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#### Abstract

A Grammar of Dongwang Tibetan by

\section*{Ellen Lynn Bartee}

This dissertation is a description of Dongwang Tibetan. It is based on personal biographies, narratives and procedural texts, as well as on elicited material. Dongwang is a Southern Khams Tibetan dialect spoken in Shangri-la County, Diqing Prefecture, Yunnan. There are about 6,000 speakers of Dongwang who live in fiftyseven villages that are scattered on the steep hillsides along both sides of the Dongwang River.

After an introductory chapter, a synchronic and diachronic description of the phonology is given. The synchronic section examines the segments, syllable canon and tone of Dongwang. The diachronic section focuses on comparing older forms of Tibetan, as reflected in Written Tibetan, with Dongwang speech in order to highlight the historical origins and development of Dongwang forms.

Word classes including nouns, pronouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs are described in Chapters Three through Six. Special attention is given to how new nouns are inducted into Dongwang and the various morphological processes required to do


so. The semantic and pragmatic categories that typify verbs are described in Chapter Four with special attention given to categories of control, transitivity and intention. Two types of adjectives are described in Chapter Six as well as the semantic categories that adjectives depict.

Constituent order and nominal morphology is discussed in Chapter Eight, in which the morphosyntactic organization of core arguments is described along with other casemarking clitics. Chapters Nine and Ten describe the verb phrase, focusing on pre-verbal and post-verbal elements and issues of grammaticization which typify the secondary verbs in Dongwang. Intention, evidentiality, and validationality are some of the issues contained in the discussion of final auxiliaries.

Chapter Eleven describes simple clause types in Dongwang and Chapter Twelve discusses combinations of clauses such as relative clauses, complement clauses and clause chains.
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## Chapter 1 Introduction

This dissertation describes a little-known dialect of Tibetan called Dongwang, spoken on the Southeastern periphery of historical Tibet. The goals of this dissertation are to describe the phonology, morphology and syntax of Dongwang, drawing on both naturally-occurring and elicited data.

### 1.1. Culture

For many, the name 'Tibet' conjures a high, dry plateau ringed by mountains, dotted by monasteries and populated by roaming monks, mendicants, and yak herders. The 'Tibetan language' has often been equated with the dialects spoken in and around one of the great centers such as Lhasa, Dege, or Amdo. By contrast, Dongwang is a non-standard dialect spoken by farmers who live in a deep mountain valley far from any well-known center of Tibetan population.

### 1.1.1. Livelihood

The Tibetans who live in Dongwang primarily cultivate corn, barley, and wheat. Irrigation channels, carved into steep hillsides, bring water from distant mountain streams to the villages. Most villages have pear, apple, walnut, peach, orange and apricot trees which dot the otherwise bone-dry hillsides. In addition, some households grow small patches of vegetables behind their houses. The main cash crops are various types of mushrooms and 'caterpillar fungus'. The most valuable
type of mushroom is the matsutake mushroom, known locally as $s \tilde{u}^{13}<?>^{1}$. The matsutake, which is found on elite menus throughout the world, grows on the hillsides during the rainy summer months. 'Caterpillar fungi', or $m b \boldsymbol{a}^{353}<$ 'bu>, as they are known locally ${ }^{2}$, are moth caterpillars that are parasitized by a fungus while they are in the ground waiting to pupate during the winter. A tiny spore stalk that the fungus makes is barely visible above the ground. Villagers camp high in the mountains to crawl on their bellies all day scanning the ground for the tiny $1 / 4$ shoot. Caterpillar fungus, usually collected in late May or early June before mushrooms, is reputed to have medicinal value that is appreciated throughout the world.

### 1.1.2. Religion

Like many other areas of Tibet, Dongwang people are Buddhists who belong to the Nyingmapa ('Red Hat') and Gelugpa ('Yellow Hat') sects. Many of the Gelugpa followers follow Shugten, a sect of Gelugpa that has been officially censored by the

[^0]Dalai Lama. Although monks know to which sect they belong, many lay people do not. ${ }^{3}$

There are a few observations to be made as to the everyday expressions of Buddhism among the villagers. In the morning, typically the oldest man of the house will light incense and recite prayers in a corner of the top floor especially reserved for such a purpose. When a woman makes a batch of butter, she will dab one thumbprint of butter on a small altar above the wood stove, one on the stovetop, and one on the beam overhead. Once a year, households that can afford it invite monks to live in their house for three or four days chanting scriptures, blowing horns, and beating drums for the express purpose of warding off bad luck or misfortune, as well as potentially bringing wealth and good luck. During such ceremonies, the household is responsible for the monk's daily wage as well as for their provision of food and housing. Generally, monks are invited around the time of the new year, but can also be invited for a wedding, funeral, or any other big ceremony.

### 1.1.3. Food

As with Tibetans elsewhere, roasted barley, butter tea and meat are the staple food and drink for Dongwang people. Villagers grow barley in their fields and make

[^1]butter every day or so. ${ }^{4}$ Those who can afford to buy and transport it, also eat rice. Wheat, grown locally as well, is made into noodles, flatbread, or steamed bread. Lower-elevation villagers tend to eat more buckwheat than those higher up. Villagers down near the river (about 9,000 feet) raise pigs and those higher up raise yaks. After an animal has been butchered, the pork and beef is rubbed in salt and hung up to cure. Rice, blood and roasted barley is stuffed into intestines to make sausage.

When working in the fields, most villagers eat four meals a day. Each meal will include butter tea. The first and third meals usually include roasted barley and maybe homemade sausage, while the second and fourth more substantial meals include noodles, potatoes, meat, rice or roasted barley. Vegetables are not common. Fruit occasionally is eaten, but is mainly sold in rGyalthang or traded for a staple food.

Each household makes a homemade liquor called $t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}<$ chang>, a strong grain alcohol made from barley. $t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}$ is reserved for visitors or during holidays or celebrations such as the New Year or a wedding. During these times, it is usually only men who drink the homemade alcohol. Sometimes yak meat is cooked in $t 6^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}$ and guests are served a bowl of hot $t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{o}^{53}$ soup. At other times, rice and egg are added to the hot $t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}$ with a little bit of sugar added.

[^2]
### 1.1.4. Clothing

Traditional clothing for women is a shin-length wool skirt worn over long pants with a blouse and hand-woven colorful vest. Traditional clothing for men is a vest worn over an extra-long sleeved shirt tucked into a coat often worn tied around the waist. Today most people under forty or fifty years old wear Western-style clothing. Many women wear a short-brimmed Mao hat or baseball hat to ward off the intense sun. Men, even older men, tend to wear a Western-style suit and coat. Very occasionally older men wear a Chupa belted around their waist.


### 1.1.5. Architecture

Dongwang houses are usually three- or four-story houses with flat roofs. Huge timbers serve as the frame for the thick rammed-earth walls. The bottom floor serves as both the entryway and as a barn. Cooking, eating and entertaining happens on the second floor, most of which is one room big enough to throw a wedding, funeral, or prayer festival for the whole village. Sometimes a special room reserved for idols and scriptures is located on the second floor and sometimes on the third floor. Here, monks can perform various rituals or special guests can be seated. Steep stairs lead up to the third-floor, which has sleeping rooms and grain storage rooms. The rooftops provide a rare flat place to dry various wheat, barley and apricots, as well as a place to thresh and bag wheat and barley.


Plate 2: A VIEw of Pongding houses from a rooftop

### 1.1.6. Kinship and marriage

There are no surnames in Dongwang, but there are house names. That is, after a house is built, family members will go to a monk or lama and ask for a name. Occasionally, a house will be named after the oldest man or woman in the house, but if that person dies, then the house name must be changed. House names form the lowest-level organization within the village. When villagers move to a town, the tradition generally does not follow.

Generally, the oldest child will stay at home to take care of the family home and will bring the spouse into the parents' home. Other children will marry or work outside of the family home. Marriages are usually monogamous, but polygamy also exists in about $5 \%$ of the marriages. The most common polygamous marriage is polyandry, in which a woman has two husbands, but occasionally a man will have two wives. There are never more than two spouses and they are always brothers or sisters. Villagers can marry within a village as long as the spouses are not closely related. Relatives removed further than four generations are allowed to marry. All marriages in villages are arranged by the parents, unless the man or woman has already found work and lives independently outside of the village. In such cases, young people may meet their own future spouse.

The wedding ceremony lasts two or three days. One ceremony takes place at the home of the parents of the son or daughter getting married. When the new bride or groom leaves their home, the parents do not accompany them, and it is usually a
mournful leave－taking．Traditionally，the new bride or groom arrives at his or her new house on horseback where they are met by the new in－laws and villagers．Once at the new house，they are seated in the main room．Guests generally stay up all night the first night，eating，drinking，talking and dancing．The host family is responsible for feeding everyone．

Children will often receive a name at birth，which may be changed later when the child is presented to the monastery for naming．

## 1．2．Geographical location

Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture（＜bde．chen bod．rigs rang．skyong khul．yul＞，迪庆藏族自治州）is situated in the northwest corner of Yunnan Province in the southwest of The People＇s Republic of China．


Map 1：Location of Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture within The Peoples Republic of China

## Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture is comprised of three counties：

Dechen（＜bde．chen＞，德钦县），Weixi Lisu Autonomous County（＜＇ba．lung＞，维西傈
僳族自治县），and Xianggelila（＜sems．gyi nyi．zla＞$>^{5}$ 香格理拉县）．Almost all of the
inhabitants of Dechen County（closest to the border of the Tibetan Autonomous

[^3]Region）are Tibetans，while the Lisu people are the majority population in Weixi County．Dongwang is spoken in Shangri－la County，which lies on the＇frontier＇of Tibet．It is bordered by Tibetan counties to the north，east，and west ${ }^{6}$ ，Yulong Naxi

Autonomous County（玉龙纳西族自治县）to the south and Weixi County（维西县）
to the southwest．
${ }^{6}$ These are：Yunnan＇s Diqin County（＜bde．chen $>$ 德钦）to the west and Sichuan＇s Derong（＜bde．rong＞，得荣），Xiangcheng（＜phyag．phreng＞，乡成），and Daocheng（＜＇da．pa＞稻成）counties in dKandze Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture（＜dkar．mdzes＞）to the north and east．


Map 2: Deqin (Dechen), Weixi, and Zhongdian (Shangri-La) Counties

Map 2 shows the three counties of Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture.
Dongwang is located in the north of Shangri-la County (formerly Zhongdian).

Dongwang is spoken by approximately 6,500 speakers who live in 58
villages ${ }^{7}$ scattered along the steep sides of the Dongwang River near the Sichuan
Provincial border. Some villages are as small as a few households, while Pongding,
the largest village in Dongwang, has nearly 50 households.


Map 3: Satellite view of the Dongwang River Valley

Map 3 shows an aerial view of the valley cut by the Dongwang River.
Pongding village is located about half-way down the valley. The white outline

[^4]indicates the boundary between Yunnan and Sichuan provinces. The Tibetan Auxtonomous Region (TAR) lies to the northwest of this photo.

### 1.3. Historical notes

The known history of Northwest Yunnan is fragmentary and tangled, and the history surrounding Dongwang is even less known. The area now known as Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture, located along the historical southern tea trading route, was the site of many political contests. The tea trade began during the Tang dynasty (618-907) and the 'Tea and Horse Caravan Road' which supported the tea trade, stretched from India and Tibet to SW China, via Lijiang and Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture (Yang 2004).

In the 1300s, Imperial China used the Naxi kingdom in Lijiang, headed by the famed Mu Family, to obtain control of the area. The Mu Family continued to rule through the Ming dynasty (1368-1644), occupied rGyalthang for a time, and advanced through NW Yunnan to Tibet (Wang 1995: 55). When the threat of the Mu Family to Tibet grew, the Tibetan government sent troops as far south and east as Markam (located in The Tibetan Autonomous Region), Batang, and Lithang (both located in present-day Sichuan Province). Throughout the time of the Qing Dynasty (1644-1911), the Naxi ruled through a hereditary system of chieftans. After the Qing Dynasty gained control of the area, Eastern Kham was divided: Bathang and Lithang were annexed to Sichuan, and Dechen and rGyalthang were annexed to Yunnan (Carrasco 1972: 141).

Due to the decaying power of the empire in the early 1900s, Chinese control over Tibetan areas had weakened considerably. Many of the Tibetan areas were ruled locally by chieftans and openly defied Chinese authority (Coales 1919: 230). After a Chinese official was killed on his way to Lhasa, General Chao Erh-feng was sent to help rein in the Kham areas. Van Spengen (2002) details General Chao's ruthless attacks on several monasteries and regions of Kham. This, combined with renegade soldiers, Tibetan warlords, and Naxi factions, helped to contribute to the making of NW Yunnan into a notorious robber haunt by the beginning of the 20th century. It was during this time that 'the dreaded Tongwa' (Dongwang) terrorized neighboring populations, raided Naxi territory, and at one point even controlled rGyalthang (van Spengen 2002:16-19). Frequently banding together with Tibetans from Chatreng (Xiangcheng), Dongwang people acquired a notorious reputation. This is colorfully described in Peter Goullart's book Forgotten Kingdom:


#### Abstract

To the west of these mountains there are two vast territories known as Hsiangchen and Tongwa. They are peopled with two Tibetan tribes whose members are professional robbers and cut-throats. So wild, untamable and treacherous are they that not even other Tibetans dare to venture into these areas.... I am prepared to admit that the Tibetan brigands of some other tribes may be 'gentlemen' to some degree but, from what I heard from reliable Tibetan and Nakhi friends, the Tongwa and Hsiangchen cannot be idealized by any stretch of imagination. They are so avaricious and unprincipled that even the bonds of friendship mean nothing to them, and there have been cases when a man has killed a bosom friend for the sake of a couple of rupees in his belt. Everybody in Tongwa and Hsiangchen robs, steals and kills: lamas and trapas, merchants and serfs, men and women: even children learn the trade at a tender age. It is not a question of whether this Tongwa or that Hsiangchen is a robber, but whether the man is a Tongwa or Hsiangchen. (Goullart 1957: 101ff).


Today，the Dongwang people have left behind this aspect of their history，but pejorative characterizations sometimes still persist ${ }^{8}$ ．

## 1．4．Linguistic classification

Dongwang is a sub－dialect of Khams Tibetan in the Tibeto－Burman branch of the Sino－Tibetan language family．Tibeto－Burman was first suggested in the 1850s when cognates between Written Burmese（dating back to the 12th century）and Written Tibetan（dating back to the 7th century）were found（Matisoff 1991：472）．A ＇key component＇of Sino－Tibetan（Matisoff 1991：472），Tibeto－Burman is a huge group with somewhere between 200 to 300 languages（La Polla 2006：393）spoken by roughly 56 million speakers．The exact number of languages and speakers is still unknown．As DeLancey（1987：797ff）points out，＇we cannot say for certain how many Tibeto－Burman languages there are or even whether there may not still be a few－possibly in western Nepal，very probably in northern Burma and southeastern Tibet－－that are yet to be discovered＇．

Attempts to sub－classify Tibeto－Burman have been made by various linguists， most notably Shafer（1966－67），Sun（1999），Matisoff（1991，2003），van Driem （1997），DeLancey（1987）and Bradley（2002）．Although there is much diversity among the different classification schemes，most have one major cluster of languages

[^5]that includes Tibetan. ${ }^{9}$ This is sometimes called Tibetan/Kinnauri (Benedict 1972), Bodic (Shafer 1974, van Driem 1993) or Bodish (DeLancey 1987), Western (Bradley 2002) or Himalayish (Matisoff 1991, 2003). Tibetan is one of nine Tibeto-Burman languages with over one million speakers (Matisoff 2003: 3).


Figure 1: STEDT Stammbaum (Matisoff 2003)

Many researchers (Gesang Gyurme 1964, Tournadre 1994, Bradley 2002, Gesang and Gesang 2002) divide Tibetan dialects spoken within China into three broad groups which include Central (<dbus.gtsang.skad>, 卫藏), Amdo

[^6]（＜a．mdo．skad＞，安多）and Khams（＜khams．skad＞康）．Another view divides Tibetan dialects spoken in China into five dialect groups（Qu and Jin 1981，Zhang 1993，both cited in T．－S．Sun 2001）：Central，Khams，Southern，Western，and Amdo．

The various approaches can be accounted for by the fact that different researchers used different criteria to divide or combine dialects．One set of criteria are those based on various typological parameters．For example，Qu and Jin 1981 （cited in T．－S Sun 2001：794ff）propose the presence of voiced obstruent onsets and the presence or absence of tone as criteria for dialect classification．T．S．－Sun has suggested that such criteria are＇only an exercise in typological，rather than genetic， classification＇．He particularly challenges the＇motley＇Khams dialect＇group（2001： 796）as a related group of dialects and suggests that dialect groupings should be determined by rigorously applying the methodology from＇mainstream historical linguistics＇．

Clearly dialects not necessarily genetically related can be typologically similar or dissimilar depending upon the path of historical development．Even when it is clear that inclusion in a particular dialect group is based on typological criteria，very often dialects are lumped together simply due to geographical proximity．Thus，the dialects spoken in the Diqing Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture are often referred to as ＇Southern Khams＇（Gesang Jumian 2002）or＇the Dechen group＇Zhang Jichuan （1993）．${ }^{10}$ As more detailed descriptions of minor Tibetan dialects become available，

[^7]the methodological rigor proposed by Sun will be possible. A study entailing such a broad examination of dialects is beyond the scope of this dissertation, but the comprehensive description of Dongwang will contribute towards a fuller understanding of the classification of Khams dialects.

### 1.5. The name 'Dongwang'

Most older mentions of Dongwang in the literature transcribe the name as 'Tongwa', but the contemporary pronunciation is generally $t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53}$ or $t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53} r \tilde{u}^{11}$. Dongwang people that I questioned are unsure whether this is a Tibetan or Chinese name, but it is likely Tibetan, from either <gter.ma rong> or <gtor.ma rung>. The Chinese transliteration is very similar in pronunciation (东旺 donglwangl). Some people from neighboring $z \mathfrak{X}^{13} t \tilde{o}^{53}<$ rGyalthang $>$ refer to the area as $t e^{55} m ə^{55} \Gamma \tilde{u}$ $<$ gter.ma rong $>^{11}$, but most seem to use $t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{55}$.

### 1.6. Villages and populations

The fifty-eight villages in Dongwang are organized into five 'administrative villages': Shangyou, Yuejin, Zhongxin, Shengli and Xinlian. The last census reported 998 households with a total population of 6,440 . Most of the research for this dissertation was collected in Pongding Village, the largest village in Dongwang.

[^8]
### 1.7. Published Research

### 1.1.7. Written Tibetan

The beginnings of Tibetan linguistic studies (see §2.2) date back to the 7th century when the popular Tibetan king Songtsan Gangpo is traditionally thought to have sent a scholar named Thonmi Sambhota to India to develop a script for Tibetan. Thonmi Sambhota is credited with devising a script and writing a two-volume grammatical description of Tibetan. While actual historical accounts that would document this version of the origin of Tibetan script are non-existent, it is known that, at least by the middle of the 8th century, Written Tibetan was inscribed on 'huge monolithic pillars to record their victories and correspondence among the military outposts of their empire' (Beyer 1992: 29). There is a massive body of literature which addresses the historical, political, religious and linguistic context of Written Tibetan.

Written Tibetan (WT), 'consonantally the most archaic attested T[ibeto] B [urman] language' (Matisoff 2003), is included in this description for comparative purposes. The second part of Chapter Two is devoted to examining historical sound changes in Dongwang using WT as the basis for comparison.

### 1.1.8. Spoken Tibetan

In addition to interest in WT, there have also been numerous studies conducted on spoken varieties of Tibetan, particularly since 1980.

### 1.7.1.1. Central Tibetan

Of the spoken varieties found within China, Central Tibetan has received the most attention, in particular Lhasa Tibetan (e.g., Hari 1980; DeLancey 1981, 1982, 1985, etc.; $\mathrm{Hu}(1986)$, Tournadre (1990, 1991, 1995, 2001), Tournadre and Sangda Dorje 2003, Garrett 2001, Agha 1993, Denwood 1999). There has also been work done on other Central Tibetan dialects such as Shigatse (Haller 2000) and Kyirong (or Lende) (Bielmeier 1982, Huber 2000).

### 1.7.1.2. Amdo Tibetan

When compared to available research on Central Tibetan, there is much less available for Amdo Tibetan. Hua Kan has published several minor descriptions of Amdo (1983 and 2002), along with a Tibetan-Chinese dictionary of spoken Amdo (Bod.rgya shan.sbyar a.mdo'i kha.skad tshig.mdzod) with Klu 'bum.rgyal in 1993. T.-S. Sun $(1986,1993)$ and Makley, et al. (1999) have provided good descriptions of various subdialects of Amdo. Felix Haller (2000) has written an article comparing Shigatse (Central) with Temchen Tibetan (Amdo).

## 1．7．1．3．Khams Tibetan

The literature which describes Khams Tibetan has largely focused on Eastern Khams，the varieties spoken mostly in Western Sichuan，or the neighboring region of the Tibetan Autonomous Region．For example，Dege（Häsler 1999）and Bathang （Gesang Jumian 1985，Haller 1999）．

There is a small body of literature regarding Southern Khams dialects．Kris Hongladarom＇s publications provide a great deal of information on Khams dialects and Southern Khams in particular．Her Southern Khams research（1996，1998，1999， 2000,2002 ）has focused on the rGyalthang dialect，culminating in her reference grammar of the rGyalthang（中甸）dialect（forthcoming）．

Wang Xiaosong（1996）has written a brief introduction to the rGyalthang phonological system．In their Overview of Tibetan Dialects，Gesang Gyurme and Gesang Yangjing（2002）make brief reference to individual lexical items from bDechen（德钦），spoken in Dechen County．

T．－S．Sun，along with his colleague You－Jing Lin，have been conducting research on varieties spoken in linguistically complex areas of Northern Sichuan， identifying several varieties of Tibetan that do not seem to fit into Central，Amdo or Khams dialect groups：e．g．，Zhonggu Tibetan（T．－S Sun 2003），Khalong Tibetan（T．－S． Sun 2002b），and Thewo Tibetan（Lin 2002）．

To the best of my knowledge，apart from two papers I have written（Bartee 2005，2006），no other linguistic description of Dongwang exists．

### 1.8. Typology

Dongwang Tibetan exhibits SV/OV word order and lies mid-way on the scales of fusion and synthesis (Comrie 1989). While Dongwang generally is an agglutinative, postpositional, and suffixing language, some subsystems exhibit fusional morphology. The most complicated element is the verb phrase (Chapters Nine and Ten), which contain elements that exhibit a high degree of fusion.

The morphosyntactic organization of $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{A}$, and $\mathrm{P}(\S 7.2)$ in Dongwang can be characterised as ergative/absolutive. That is, most A arguments are marked with the ergative casemarker $=j i$, or one of its allomorphs, and most $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}$ arguments are unmarked. Other cases include instrumental, dative, genitive, locative, ablative and associative.

Numerals, quantifiers, classifiers, demonstratives, and adjectives follow the head noun within the noun phrase. Genitives, relative clauses and some adjectives precede their nominal heads. Further, some noun phrases can have both an initial and final demonstrative pronoun (§8.1.1.1).

Relative clauses (§8.1.1.3 and §12.2) are often nominalized clauses that can optionally contain a genitive marker. In Dongwang, relative clauses can precede the head noun, be internally-headed or be headless.

In comparative constructions, the standard of comparison follows the subject which is being compared. The marker of comparison follows the standard. The quality of comparison is last: subject, standard, marker, quality.

### 1.9. Data and speakers

The research for this study was carried out over a period of three and a half years (from January, 2002 to July 2003 and from 2005 to the present). Research was primarily conducted in Pongding village in Dongwang, as well as with Dongwang speakers in the county town of Shangri-la. The data for this study is drawn primarily from a text collected from speakers who live in Pongding village. The corpus from Pongding includes sixteen interlinearised texts (personal narratives, third-person narratives and procedural texts), more than seventeen hundred elicited sentences, and a fifteen hundred-word wordlist. In addition, there are two conversational texts, one involving three speakers and one involving two speakers. At times I also use data from narrative and conversational texts collected in Shengli, a village located at the southwest end of the Dongwang valley. Although Shengli texts are occasionally included, this dissertation only attempts to describe the particular dialect spoken in the village of Pongding. Further research would pursue many topics including dialect variation within Dongwang. Whenever possible, examples are drawn from naturallyoccurring data, supplemented with elicited examples when necessary.

## Chapter 2 Phonology

This chapter describes the phonological system of Dongwang based mostly on two male and two female speakers from the village of Pongding. I will not attempt to describe phonological variation of speakers from other villages. The chapter is divided into synchronic and diachronic phonological descriptions. The synchronic section begins with a description of the segments, syllable canon, and then tone and stress. The diachronic section focuses on comparing older forms of Tibetan as reflected in Written Tibetan with Dongwang speech in order to highlight the historical origins and development of Dongwang forms.

### 2.1 Synchronic Phonology

### 2.1.1 Consonants

Dongwang has a rich phonemic inventory, including tones. Such complexity is similar to reports about other Khams Tibetan dialects (e.g., Häsler 1999; Hongladarom 1996; T.-S. Sun 2001; Wang 1996). The following section lists the phonemic inventory and illustrates each with examples arranged in minimal pairs.


TABLE (1): Dongwang Consonant Inventory

Table 1 lists all the consonant phonemes in Dongwang. The segments in parenthesis are tentative phonemes in that I only have one or two minimal pairs in my database. A description and example of each phoneme is given below. When known, a WT etymology (indicated by <>) accompanies the Dongwang examples.

### 2.1.1.1 Stops

Stops exhibit a four-way contrast in voicing, aspiration, and pre-nasalization. Some voiced stops have very slight or no voicing in absolute initial position, but are always voiced intervocalically.

### 2.1.1.1.1 Bilabial Stops

$/ \mathrm{p} /$ is a voiceless bilabial unaspirated stop. $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is a voiceless bilabial aspirated stop. $/ \mathrm{b} /$ is a voiced bilabial stop. $/ \mathrm{mb} /$ is a prenasalized voiced bilabial stop.

| $/ p a^{13} /$ | $<$ ba> | 'cow' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ p^{h} a^{53 /}$ | $<$ phag $>$ | 'pig' |
| $/ b a^{353 /}$ | $<$ lba> | 'goiter' |
| $/ m b a P^{353} /$ | $<$ ba'> | 'to carry on one's back' |

The stop portion of a prenasalized segment is frequently pronounced as a nasal with a very slight stopped portion or as a nasal with no stopped portion at all. ${ }^{1}$

Intervocalically, bilabial stops are occasionally pronounced as fricatives, as in the words $/^{n} d z o^{11} b a^{53} / \sim\left[{ }^{n} d \not \subset o^{11} \beta a^{53}\right]$ 'fast', $a^{11} b æ P^{53} \sim a^{11} \beta æ P^{53}$ 'bad' and $t^{h} \partial^{55} p a^{53} \sim$ $t^{h}{ }^{55} \phi a^{53}$ 'forehead'. ${ }^{2}$

### 2.1.1.1.2 Alveolar Stops

/t/ is a voiceless alveolar unaspirated stop. $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is a voiceless alveolar aspirated stop. /d/ is a voiced alveolar stop. /nd/ is a prenasalized voiced alveolar stop.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
/ t u^{53} / & <\text { gtub }> & \text { 'to chop' } \\
/ t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} / & <\text { mthong }> & \text { 'to see' } \\
/ d \tilde{u}^{353} / & <\text { gdong }> & \text { 'face' }
\end{array}
$$

[^9]$$
{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{353} / \quad<\text { don }>\quad \text { 'to study, to read' }
$$

The voiceless alveolar stops are pronounced fairly fronted and at times are nearly dentals. There is very little evidence, however, of a dental/alveolar contrast. ${ }^{3}$

### 2.1.1.1.3 Velar Stops

$/ \mathrm{k} /$ is a voiceless unaspirated velar stop. $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is a voiceless aspirated velar stop.
$/ \mathrm{g} /$ is a voiced velar stop. $/{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g} /$ is a prenasalized voiced velar stop.

| $/ k u^{55} /$ | $<$ rko> | 'to dig' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ k^{h} \partial o^{53 /}$ | $<$ khab $>$ | 'needle' |
| $/ g u^{11} g u æ^{53 /}$ | <sgor.sgor?> | 'round' |
| $/{ }^{n} g u^{353 /}$ | $<$ mgo $>$ | 'head' |

### 2.1.1.1.4 Glottal Stop

The glottal stop occurs word finally. It does not occur intervocalically. It can occur prevocalically in word-initial position, but is in free variation with a smooth onset in that position.

| $/ t s a^{53} /$ | <skra> | 'hair' | $/ t s a 0^{53} /$ | <skrag> | 'to fear' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ \mathrm{ru}^{13} /$ | <ro> | 'corpse' | /rup ${ }^{13}$ | <rogs> | 'friend' |
| $/ S^{53} /$ | <bye> | 'to separate' | /si: $3^{53}$ / | <? > | 'to send off' |

[^10]
### 2.1.1.2 Nasals

All nasals exhibit a two-way contrast: voiceless and voiced. Voiceless nasals are not at all rare in Tibeto-Burman languages (Matisoff 2003: 37) and have been reported in other Tibetan dialects including Khams (e.g., Hongladarom 1996 for rGyalthang; Häsler 1994 for sDe.dGe; Gesang Jigmei 1985 for Batang).

The voiceless nasals in Dongwang can be best described as slightly aspirated and slightly voiced. That is, the onset is slightly voiced and the aspiration contributes breathiness to the following vowel. Voiceless nasal onsets tend to lower the beginning of the syllable tone as well as the ending, thus creating rising falling tones in monosyllabic words.

### 2.1.1.2.1 Bilabial Nasals

$/ \mathrm{m} /$ is a voiced bilabial nasal. $/ \mathrm{m} /$ is a voiceless bilabial nasal.

| $/ \mathrm{mi:}{ }^{13} \mathrm{co}^{11 /}$ | $<$ mun? ${ }^{4}>$ | 'dusk' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ m \mathfrak{F}^{13} /$ | <mar $>$ | 'butter' |
| $/ m_{0}{ }^{13}{ }^{1} \tilde{O}^{53} /$ | <smug.pa> | 'fog' |
| $/ m_{0} \mathfrak{x}^{353 /}$ | <sman> | 'medicine ${ }^{5}$ |

### 2.1.1.2.2 Alveolar Nasals

$/ \mathrm{n} /$ is a voiced alveolar nasal. $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is a voiceless alveolar nasal.

[^11]| /nã ${ }^{53}$ | <gnam> | 'sky' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /na ${ }^{353}$ | <snabs> | 'mucous' |
| $/ \mathrm{n} 2^{55} \mathrm{ku} æ^{53}$ | <rna.kor> | 'earrings' |
| $/ \mathrm{o} 2^{35 n} g u^{11}$ | <sna.'go? > | 'in front of |

### 2.1.1.2.3 Palatal Nasals

$/ \mathrm{n} /$ is a voiced palatal nasal. $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is a voiceless palatal nasal.

| $/ n \tilde{a}^{53} /$ | $<?>$ | 'to slice' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ n_{0} a^{353 /}$ | $<$ bsnyag $>$ | 'to pursue' |
| $/ n i^{13} /$ | $<$ nyal $>$ | 'to sleep' |
|  |  |  |
| $/ j_{0} i^{353} /$ | $<$ snying $>$ | 'heart' |

### 2.1.1.2.4 Velar Nasals

$/ \mathfrak{y} /$ is a voiced velar nasal. $/ \mathfrak{y} /$ is a voiceless velar nasal. As indicated in Table 1 above, the phonemic status of the voiceless velar nasal is tentative as its occurrence is very rare and there are only a few examples of phonemic contrast.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / 7 a^{13} / \quad \text { <ngar>, <nga.ya> '1SG, DAT' } \\
& / \eta \mathrm{a}^{13} / \sim\left[\eta \partial^{13}\right]<\mathrm{ngu}>\quad \text { 'to cry' } \\
& / n 2^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53} / \quad<\mathrm{mna} \text { '.ma> 'bride' } \\
& / y \partial^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53} / \quad \text { rnga.ma> 'tail' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.1.1.3 Tap/flaps

The pronunciation of the tap varies from speaker to speaker. Of the speakers used in this study, female speakers tend to pronounce it with a very slight tap so that sometimes it is realized as an approximant. Male speakers, particularly in careful
speech, tend to trill it and insert an initial schwa onset. This seems to be due to speaker variation and is not phonologically significant. More research is needed to determine if this is a consistent gender-related generalization.

$$
/ \mathrm{ri}^{13} /<\text { ras }>\quad \text { 'cloth' } \quad / \mathrm{rg}^{13 /} \quad<\mathrm{ri}>\text { 'mountain' }
$$

### 2.1.1.4 Fricatives

Dongwang has a large inventory of fricatives, which is not unusual for Khams Tibetan dialects. Häsler (1999: 17ff) lists eleven for Dege and Hongladarom (1996:
71) lists eight for rGyalthang. ${ }^{6}$

The alveolar fricative exhibits a three-way contrast in aspiration (/s $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{s} /$ )
and voice $(/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{z} /$ ). These are in contrast with a voiced lateral fricative $/ \mathfrak{k} / . / \mathfrak{k} /$
and $/ \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ only occur as word-initial onsets.

| $/ \mathrm{sa}^{13} /$ | <bya> | 'chicken' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ s a^{53} /$ | <?> | 'to move' (tr) |
| $/ s^{h} a^{53} /$ | <sa> | 'dirt, earth' |
| $/ \mathrm{se}^{53} /$ | <bsad> | 'to kill' |
| $/ s^{h} e^{353} /$ | <sad> | 'to wake s.o.' (tr) |
| $/ \mathrm{sa}^{11} W a^{55} /$ | <byi.ba?> | 'mouse' |
| $/ s^{h}{ }^{11} W a^{55} /$ | <zor.ba> | 'sickle' |
| /za ${ }^{353}$ / | <gyo.ga?> | 'husband' |
| $/ z a 9^{353} /$ | <g.yag> | 'yak' |
| $1300^{353} /$ | <slabs> | 'to teach' |
| $130^{353} /$ | <brla?> | 'thigh' |

[^12]For some speakers $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{z} /$ are in free variation with $[4]$ and $[\xi]$ as in the words $/ s \boldsymbol{I}^{55} S \varepsilon^{53} / \sim\left[7 \partial^{55} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}^{53}\right]$ 'yellow' and $/ z \mathfrak{Z}^{353} / \sim\left[\xi \mathfrak{X}^{353}\right]$ 'to hang' (e.g. a picture). Such partial free variation seems to occur only when followed by lax vowels. The absence of a phonemic voiceless lateral fricative $/ 4 /$ is rare in Tibetan dialects. All the publications describing Tibetan dialects of which I am aware include the voiceless lateral fricative /4/ which has developed from the Written Tibetan consonant cluster $<\mathrm{lh}>$. However, in Dongwang, $<\mathrm{lh}>$ has become /hj/ as described in section 2.1.1.5 below.

The retroflex fricative exhibits a three-way contrast in aspiration (/s/ and $/ \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ )
and voice (/s/ and $/ \mathrm{z} /$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / s g^{11} W a^{55} /<\text { shwa.mo> 'hat' } \\
& / s{ }^{11}{ }^{11} a^{55} /<\text { byi.ba? > 'mouse' } \\
& / \mathrm{s}^{53} \mathrm{~J} \text { <shi> 'to die' /za }{ }^{353} / \text { <bzhi> 'four' } \\
& / s a^{53} / \text { <bshas?> 'to butcher' } / s^{h} a^{53} / \text { <sha> 'meat' } \\
& / a^{11}{ }_{l} i^{55} /<?>\quad \text { 'sister' } / \mathrm{Zi}^{13 /} \text { <yig> 'letter' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The palatal fricatives contrast in voice $(/ 6 /$ and $/ \not / /)$. I have one minimal pair
in which the voiceless palatal frictives contrast in aspiration (/ $/$ / and $/ \varsigma^{\mathrm{h}} /$ ). Until
further confirmation, I am treating $\varphi^{h}$ as a tentative phoneme.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
/ 6 \mathrm{e}^{53 /} & <\text { khyed }> & \text { '2s ABS' } \\
/ 4 \mathrm{e}^{353} / & <\text { brgyad }> & \text { 'eight' }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
/ 6 a^{53 /} & <\text { kyag }> & \text { 'to lift up' } \\
/ 6^{h} a^{53 /} & <\text { khyag }> & \text { 'cold' }
\end{array}
$$

The glottal fricative /h/ occurs in pre- and post-vocalic positions, but only prevocalic [h] is phonemic (see $\S 2.1 .3$ below).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
/ h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11} / & \text { <sngon.po> } & \text { 'blue' } \\
/ z_{i}{ }^{11} h a^{55 /} & <?> & \text { 'pasture' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.1.1.5 Affricates

Affricates match fricatives as to place of articulation (there are alveolar affricates, retroflex affricates and alveo-palatal affricates). Like the stops, they exhibit a four-way contrast in voice (/ts/ and /dz/, /ts / and /dz/, /tç/ and /dz/), aspiration (/ts/ and $/ \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{ts} /$ and $\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{t} \varsigma /$ and $/ \mathrm{t} \epsilon^{\mathrm{h}} /$ ), and pre-nasalization $(/ \mathrm{dz} /$ and $/ \mathrm{n} \mathrm{dz} /, / \mathrm{dz} /$ and


| $1 t s a^{53} /$ | $<$ rtsa> | 'vein' | /tsa ${ }^{53} /$ | <skra> | 'hair' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ t s^{h} a^{53}$ | <tshwa> | 'salt' | /ts ${ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{53}$ / | <khra> | 'falcon' |
| $/ t s i^{53} /$ | <'tshol> | 'to look for' | $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{T}^{53} /$ | <sprin> | 'cloud' |
| $/ t s^{h}{ }_{i}{ }^{53} /$ | <tshigs> | 'joint' | $1 t^{\text {a }}{ }^{53} /$ | <'khrid> | 'to lead' |
| $/ d z 1^{13} j \varepsilon^{11} /$ | <rdzun byed> | 'to lie' | $/ d z i^{353} /$ | <?> | 'hardworking' |
| ${ }^{n} d z_{1}{ }^{353} /$ | <'bras> | 'rice' | $/^{\text {n }}$ dza $a^{13}$ | <'dra> | 'to look like' |
| /tca9 ${ }^{53}$ / | <lcags> | 'iron ${ }^{7}$ | $1 t_{6}{ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{42 /}$ | <mchos?> | 'to eat' |

[^13]$$
/ d z e^{353} /<\text { rjed }>\quad \text { 'to forget }{ }^{8} \quad /^{n} d z 2^{353 /}<\text { 'ju.ba> }>\text { 'to grasp' }
$$

### 2.1.1.6 Approximants

There are four approximants in Dongwang: $w, 1, j$, and $\mathfrak{i j}$. The palatal glide $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and the lateral approximant $/ \mathrm{l} /$ have contrastive aspirated phonemes $/ \mathrm{hj} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$. /w/ and $\left[{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}\right]$ are in free variation.

| $/ W \tilde{u}^{13 /}$ | <'ong> | 'to come' | $/ W \mathrm{O}^{13 /}$ | <'o.ma> | 'milk' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $/ j 0^{53} /$ | <glang> | 'bull' | /hjo ${ }^{353 /}$ | <lung? ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 'valley (floor) |
| $/ j \tilde{a}^{13} /$ | $<$ lam> | 'path, road' | /hja ${ }^{353}$ | <lham> | 'shoes' |
| $1 / 0^{53} /$ | <rlung> | 'wind' | $1500^{353}$ | <brla> | 'thigh' |

The aspirated palatal glide and the lateral fricative contribute breathiness to the syllable in which they occur. [j], [6j], and [1] all occur in the absolute initial position or in second syllable onset position, while examples of $/ \mathfrak{l} /$ in my database are restricted to word-initial onsets.

There are two features which characterize the voiced aspirated glide: onset aspiration and delay of full oral release. The co-articulation of the glottal fricative [ f ] and the palatal glide [j] are usually accompanied with breathiness that extends

[^14]throughout the syllable. Female speakers I worked with tend to have a lot more breathiness than do male speakers. Spectrogram readings of the contrastive set $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'bull' and $\kappa j \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'valley' are shown in the figure below. ${ }^{9} j \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'bull' appears on the left with a male speaker on the top and female speaker on the bottom. $h j \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'valley' appears on the right with a male speaker on the top and female speaker on the bottom.


Figure 2: SPECTROGRAMS OF 'BULL' aND 'VALLEY' UTTERED BY A MALE AND A FEMALE SPEAKER.

[^15]In Figure 2 above, both of the spectrograms show clear formants, but onset aspiration of those on the right-hand side are reflected in the less well-defined formants, particularly in the example uttered by the female speaker.

A second contrastive feature is that the aspirated glide is held much longer than the unaspirated glide. Although it is difficult to determine discrete transition points between a glide and a vowel onset, the oscillograms below show quite distinctive onsets.


Figure 3: OSCILLOGRAMS OF 'BULL' AND 'VALLEY' UTTERED BY A MALE SPEAKER.

Figure 3 contains two words; $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'bull' (on left) and $\kappa j \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'valley' (on right) uttered by the same male speaker. The difference between the two is not exactly VOT as there is some voicing throughout the aspirated-glide onset. Rather the glottal friction of the voiced aspirated glide delays the full onset of the vowel.

### 2.1.2 Vowels

### 2.1.2.1 Monophthongs

There are 10 monophthongs in Dongwang Tibetan, some of which exhibit contrasts in nasalization and length.

| i Y |  | U u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e |  | o |
|  | $\partial$ |  |
| $æ$ |  | a |

TABLE (2): Dongwang Vowel Chart
The phonemic status of each vowel is illustrated with minimal pairs
below.

| /i/ | $z i^{353}$ | <gzig> | 'leopard' | $j i^{13}$ | <lud $>$ | 'manure' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /y/ | $S Y^{13}$ | <bya'i> | 'bird ${ }^{10}$ | $j Y^{13}$ | <lo> | 'year' |
| /e/ | $z \mathrm{e}^{353}$ | <brgyad> | 'eight' | $z \mathrm{e}^{\text {II }}$ | <yod> | 'EXIST, + $\mathrm{AN}^{\prime}$ |
| /æ/ | $z \mathfrak{Z}^{353}$ | <'phyar> | 'to hang' | $s \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | <gser> | 'gold' |
| /a/ | za1 ${ }^{353}$ | <g.yag> | 'yak (male)' | $\mathrm{sa}^{53}$ | <tsha?> | 'hot' |
| /2/ | $z \mathrm{a}^{13}$ | < yar> | 'up, upwards' | S9 ${ }^{55}$ | < su > | 'who' (QST) |
| /u/ | $z u^{353}$ | <bzo> | 'to do' (VBLZR) | $j u^{53}$ | <glog> | 'lightening' |
| /uI/ | ${ }^{n} d z u u^{3}$ | <'dzul> | 'to poke into, to enter' | juw ${ }^{13}$ | <glo> | 'to cough' |
| /0/ | zo ${ }^{13}$ | <? > | 'to shake' | jo ${ }^{53}$ | <glug> | 'to pour' |
| /a/ | $z a^{353}$ | <?> | 'husband' | ma ${ }^{13}$ | <nga> | '1SG, ABS' |

[^16]In many cases, $/ \mathfrak{\not r} /$ and $[\varepsilon]$ are in free variation as are $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and $[\rho]$. While $/ \Omega /$ occurs in fully-stressed syllables, many vowels in unstressed syllables of polysyllabic words are reduced to schwa as well.

### 2.1.2.1.1 Nasalization contrasts

Six vowels exhibit a nasalization contrast.

| /i/, 1 I/ | si $i^{53}$ | <gsal> | 'beam of light' | S1293 | <spyin> | 'glue' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /a/, / $\sim^{\prime} /$ | Kja ${ }^{353}$ | <lha> | 'god' | hja ${ }^{353}$ | <lham> | 'shoes' |
| /ol, / $\tilde{0} /$ | jo ${ }^{53}$ | <glug> | 'tent' | $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ | <glang> | 'bull' |
| $/ u /, ~ / \tilde{u} /$ | $d u^{353}$ | <rdo> | 'rock' | $d \tilde{u}^{353}$ | <gdong> | 'face' |
| $/ \mathfrak{x} /, / \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} /$ | $t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | <tshor> | 'to hear' | $t s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{53}$ | <'tshem> | 'to sew' |
| /a/, /ã/ | $s a^{53}$ | <?> | 'hot' | $S^{h a} \tilde{a}^{53}$ | <bsam> | 'to think ${ }^{11}$ |

When a nasalized vowel occurs in an unstressed syllable in disyllabic words, the nasalization is frequently dropped.
$s^{h r}{ }^{55} \quad<$ shing $>\quad$ 'wood' $s^{h} i^{55} t s a ?^{53} \quad$ 'wooden windowpane'

### 2.1.2.1.2 Length contrasts

Short vowels can occur in low or high-toned monosyllables, but long vowels only occur in low-toned monosyllables. In disyllabic words, long vowels never occur in the second syllable. Examples I have of minimal contrastive sets:

[^17]| $p i^{55}$ | <pad> | 'calf' | $p i^{13}$ | < bal> | 'wool' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wa ${ }^{13}$ | <wa> | 'fox' | wa: ${ }^{13}$ | <? > | 'boat' |
| $k^{h} a^{53}$ | <kha> | 'mouth' | $k^{h} a:^{13}$ | <kha.ba> | 'snow' |
| $s^{h} u^{55}$ | <zho> | 'yoghurt' | sui ${ }^{55}$ | <shog.bu> | 'paper' |
| $p^{h} 9^{53}$ | <phar> | 'that direction' | $p^{h}:^{13}$ | <phag.'u> | 'piglet' |
| $t s u)^{53}$ | <sgril> | 'to roll, tr' | $t_{\text {scue }}{ }^{13}$ | <gral.ma>? | 'rafters' |
| $k u^{55}$ | <rko> | 'to dig' | ku: ${ }^{13}$ | < bgur $\sim$ gog $>$ | to crawl' |
| ki ${ }^{55} p a ?^{5}$ | <ske.lpag | 'neck' | $k e:{ }^{55} p a^{53}$ | <sked.pa> | 'waist' |

I have found only a few words in my database that contain nasal vowels that contrast for length.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
s_{1} r_{1}^{55} & <\text { shing }> & \text { 'firewood' } & s^{l_{1} \cdot:^{13}} & \text { <zhing }> & \text { 'firewood' } \\
t_{s} \tilde{o}^{13} & <\text { brang }> & \text { 'chest' } & t_{s} \tilde{o}_{1}^{13} & \text { <grang> } & \text { 'cold' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.1.2.2 Diphthongs

There are six diphthongs in Dongwang. /ui/, /ua/, /ue/, /uæ/, /əo/, and /ao/.

| /ui/ | $k^{h} u i^{53}$ | <khos> | '3sAG' | gui ${ }^{353}$ | <dgos> | 'to want', 'MOD' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /ue/ | gue ${ }^{353}$ | <rgod> | 'vulture' | kui ${ }^{13}$ | <gos> | 'clothes' |
| /ua/ | $k^{h} u a^{53}$ | <khor> | '3sDAT' | guã ${ }^{353}$ | <sgo.nga> | 'egg' |
| /uæ/ | kuæ ${ }^{55} \mathrm{ri}^{11}$ | <? $>$ | 'relative' | $k^{h} u \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ | <khor> | 'to circle' |
| /ə0/ | $1300^{353}$ | <slabs> | 'to teach' | ${ }^{n} d 7 \partial O^{353}$ | <'gyel?> | 'to slip', 'to fall' |
| /ao/ | hao ${ }^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{1}$ | ha.gu> | 'to know' | cao ${ }^{11} t^{h a} \tilde{a}^{55}$ | < $\mathrm{CH}>$ | 'school' |

The four diphthongs $u i$, $u e, u a$, and $u æ$ have arisen from a very restricted context that is discussed with in detail in §2.2.2 under diphthongization. With few exceptions, the diphthong ao only occurs in Chinese borrowings. The origin of $\partial o$ is due to an older Tibetan bilabial coda $<\mathrm{ab}>$. For example, $<\mathrm{khab}>k^{h} \partial o^{53}$ 'needle' and $<\mathrm{gab}>k^{h} \partial o^{13}$ 'to hide, intr'. However this is not necessarily pervasive. For some speakers, $/ \partial o /$ is in free variation with [ $\gamma$ ] or [ $\partial$. One male speaker consistently pronounces $/ \partial o /$ as a diphthong, but his brother and a female speaker tend to pronounce it as the mid, back unrounded vowel [ $\gamma$ ], particularly when following a velar. The one exception is in the word $\xi ə o^{353}<$ slobs $>$ 'to teach', which all speakers pronounce with a diphthong.

Finally, the diphthong $\partial o$ is reduced to $\partial$ in first-syllable position of a polysyllabic word. The one exception I have is in the compound word derived from $\xi 20^{353}<$ slobs $>$ 'to teach' and $k^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}<$ khang > 'house': $\sqrt[50]{ }{ }^{11} k^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}$ 'school'. However, this word is rarely used in my text. The preferred words for 'school' are either borrowed from Mandarin $\left(\epsilon_{0}{ }^{11} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{55}\right)$ or a nominalized construction such as $z i^{13} l o^{11} s a^{53}$ (lit: 'book.study.place').

### 2.1.3 Syllable Canon

The Dongwang syllable canon is simple and can be summarized as (C)V (V) (C). All consonants except the glottal stop occur in absolute-initial positions, but in my database, the aspirated fricatives $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{h}}$, and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\mathrm{h}}$ do not occur in second-syllable onset position. Nearly every vowel can occur after every consonant in absolute initial position, but in $V(C)$ syllables, only the vowels $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}, a, \partial, o$ occur.

The glottal stop [?], glottal approximant [h], and voiceless velar fricative [x] all occur in coda position, but only the glottal stop is phonemic. The glottal stop does not occur as a coda in non-final syllables. In careful speech, the glottal approximant [h] frequently occurs following a high back vowel and the velar fricative [x] frequently occurs following a high front vowel.

VV sequences can either be identical vowel sequences or non-identical vowel sequences (diphthongs). In native words, the first vowel of VV non-identical sequences must be either $/ u /$ or $/ \partial /$. The sequence $/ \mathrm{ao} /$ only occurs in Chinese borrowings. I have found only one instance of a consonantless syllable canon in the word a: ${ }^{13}$ 'uncle'.

Theoretically, the glottal coda should be able to follow all of the diphthongs, but there are only a few examples in which it does so, e.g., ${ }^{n} g u æ P^{53}$ 'to be drunk' and gue $P^{353}$ 'vulture'.

Diachronically, nasalized vowels are reflexes of WT syllables with a nasal coda or from syllable coalescence in which the second WT syllable begins with a nasal consonant. Although nasal codas were dropped, nasalization moved to the preceding vowel.

Synchronically, there is a process of nasal consonant epenthesis in polysyllabic words, in which the epenthetic consonant assimilates to the point of articulation of the following syllable onset. In disyllabic words, syllable boundaries can move to form $\mathrm{CVC}_{[+ \text {nas }]} \mathrm{CV}$ syllable sequences. There are two sources for this resyllabification: nasalized vowels assimilate to the onset consonant of the following syllable, and the nasalized portion of pre-nasalized onset consonants become codas of the preceding syllable. This process can be written as:
(C)V0 -> (C)VC [+nas, alpha point] / V[+nas]__ C [alpha place]

This means that when a nasal vowel precedes a consonant, a nasal consonant is created which assimilates to the following consonant. E.g., $h j \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'valley floor' and hjõ $\tilde{o}^{11} b a^{55}\left[\text { hjõm }{ }^{11} b a^{55}\right]^{\prime}$ valley'.

An example of resyllabification, in which the nasal segment of a prenasalized onset becomes the coda of the preceding syllable, is $t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55 n} d z a a^{53}$ 'drop of water', which becomes $\left[t \epsilon^{h} \partial n^{55} d z a a^{53}\right]$.

In careful speech a voiceless bilabial nasal sometimes follows a high back vowel as a final consonant in monosyllables, but it is not phonemic. The following examples illustrate the syllable canon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CV } k u^{55}\left[k u h^{53}\right]<\text { rko> 'to dig' } \\
& J_{0} i^{353}\left[{ }_{\rho_{0}} i x^{44}\right] \text { <snying> 'heart' } \\
& \text { to }{ }^{13}\left[\text { tõm }{ }^{13}\right] \text { <dom> 'bear' } \\
& k \tilde{o}^{53} \quad \text { <bskams> 'to be thirsty' } \\
& \text { CVC zap }{ }^{353} \quad \text { g.yag }>\quad \text { 'yak' } \\
& \text { Gjo? }{ }^{353} \quad \text { lug }>\quad \text { 'sheep' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.1.4 Suprasegmentals

### 2.1.4.1 Tone

Simplification of the Tibetan syllable has occurred in all Tibetan dialects.
Such simplification processes have not been without historical repercussions. The Central Tibetan dialects of Lhasa and Shigatse have the most advanced tonal systems which include register as a result of onset simplification and contour as a result of coda simplification (T.-S. Sun 1995, Denwood 1999). Western Tibetan dialects such as Balti and Ladakhi, as well as Amdo spoken in Northern Tibet (T.-S. Sun 2003: 37) still retain many of the initial consonant clusters and codas and do not have phonemic tone. The disparate grouping of Khams dialects have been reported to be fully tonal with both register and contour tones (e.g., Gesang 1985; 2002 for Bathang; Hongladarom 1996 for rGyalthang; Huang Bufan 1995 for Dege (as cited in Häsler 1999: 258), partially tonal with only some syllables bearing register tone (Häsler 1999), and 'embryonically tonal' (T.-S. Sun 2003: 47 for Baima).

The description of tone in Tibetan dialects has not been without difficulty. Regarding Lhasa Tibetan, easily the most researched variety of Tibetan, Denwood
(1999: 301 ff ) notes that researchers have analyzed Lhasa Tibetan as having zero tones (Kyellin 1977; Civera 1977), two tones (Sprigg 1954, Duanmu 1992; Kenstowicz 1997: 378-80), three tones (Bell 1905; Poucha 1978), four tones (Richter 1964; Meredith 1990), five tones (Hu et all. 1972), six tones (Roerich \& Lhalungpa 1957; Dawson 1980), and even seven tones (Hari 1977, 1979). Additionally, there is little consensus as to whether these tones should be best described as registers, contours, or a combination of the two.

In the following section, I address phonemic tones in Dongwang, their phonetic correlates, and the historical development path of tonogenesis. I first discuss tone in monosyllabic words, and then in disyllabic words.

### 2.1.4.1.1 Tone in monosyllabic words

There are three phonemic tones in Dongwang: low $\left({ }^{13}\right)$, mid $\left({ }^{353}\right)$, and high
$\left({ }^{53}\right)$. These are transcribed with the tone numbers 13,353 , and 53 . Phonetically, low tones tend to have a rising contour, mid-toned syllables tend to have a rising-falling contour, and high tones tend to have a falling contour. Evidence for these three tones can be seen in the following near-minimal sets.

| $s \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{13}$ | 'food' | $s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353}$ | 'fart' | $s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ | 'to feed' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $d \tilde{u}^{13}$ | 'irrigation ditch' | $d \tilde{u}^{353}$ | 'face' | $t u^{53}$ | 'to chop' |



Figure 4: Minimal Sets illustrating three tone categories in Dongwang

Figure 4 illustrates low $\left({ }^{13}\right)$, mid $\left({ }^{353}\right)$, and high $\left({ }^{53}\right)$ tone melodies in Dongwang. The first set contains $s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}$ 'food', $s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353}$ 'fart', and $s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ 'to feed'. The second set contains $d \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'irrigation ditch', $d \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'face', and $t u^{53}$ 'to chop'. Words with other onsets such as nasals or affricates show similar pitch characteristics to those illustrated in Figure 4.

These three tones can be further illustrated by the words $t s a^{53}$ 'hair', $t_{s} a^{353}$ 'enemy', and $t s a^{13}$ 'to cut' shown in a frame sentence below:


Figure 5: tsa ${ }^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ 'HAIR' IN A FRAME SENTENCE UTTERED BY A MALE SPEAKER


Figure 6: $t s a^{353}{ }^{\prime}$ 'ENEMY' IN A FRAME SENTENCE UTTERED BY A MALE SPEAKER ${ }^{12}$

[^18]

Figure 7: $t_{s} a^{13}$ 'TO CUT' IN A FRAME SENTENCE UTTERED BY A MALE SPEAKER

The three pitch traces above show three words in the frame sentence $t \tilde{o}^{55} W \tilde{a}^{53} \mathrm{ke}^{53}$ $\ldots s 9^{55} \mathrm{gu} d z i ?^{\prime}(\mathrm{One} / \mathrm{you})$ should say (hair, enemy, to cut) in Dongwang speech'.

The pitch traces of words in one tone category can overlap with the pitch traces of words from another tone category so that a particular tone category is not always absolutely discrete. This is especially true of the mid tone $\left({ }^{353}\right)$ category, in that mid-toned syllables sometimes overlap with low tones $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ and sometimes overlap with high tones $\left({ }^{53}\right)$. This is due in part to the influence of various onset consonants (e.g., an aspirated voiceless stop tends to have a lower $f 0$ at the point of oral release than an unaspirated voiceless stop).


Figure 8: Pitch traces of 20 WORDS ILLUSTRATING High ( ${ }^{53}$ ) and Low ( ${ }^{13}$ ) tones

Figure 8 shows two distinct tone categories. High $\left({ }^{53}\right)$ tones are indicated by a slightly-lighter line than low $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ tones. All of the examples in this set have very clear contours, regardless of syllable length. When ten monosyllabic mid-toned $\left({ }^{353}\right)$ words are added, the categories seem less discrete.


Figure 9: Pitch traces of 30 WORDS ILLUSTRATING High $\left({ }^{53}\right)$, LOW $\left({ }^{13}\right)$ and MID ( ${ }^{353}$ ) TONES

In Figure 9, the darkest lines represent the addition of mid $\left({ }^{353}\right)$ tones. Although the contours are clear, the overlapping of tone categories is also clear.

Since the main perceptual correlate of tone is the fundamental frequency, I performed acoustic analysis which measured left, mid and right points of the $f 0$ in 158 words uttered by a male and a female speaker. Table 2 below gives the resultant average $f 0$ for each tone category.

| Tone | Tokens | $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Left | Mid | Right |  |
| High $\left({ }^{53}\right)$ | 52 | 296 | 292 | 234 | Female |
|  |  | 175 | 169 | 150 | Male |
| $\operatorname{Mid}\left({ }^{353}\right)$ | 55 | 263 | 286 | 253 | Female |
|  |  | 151 | 155 | 148 | Male |
| Low $\left({ }^{(13}\right)$ | 51 | 244 | 267 | 266 | Female |
|  |  | 127 | 135 | 147 | Male |

TABLE (3): AVERAGE $f 0$ MEASUREMENTS FOR 158 wORDS
The $f 0$ averages show in Table (3) above show three distinct tone groups. It is interesting that for the male and female speaker on whose speech I conducted acoustic measurements, the female $f 0$ shows a much more dramatic rise-fall than the male speaker. The female speaker shows an average fall of nearly 60 hz . on the high tones, while the male speaker has an average fall of 25 hz . On the mid-tone category, the pitch rises to more than 20 hz for the female speaker, but less than 10 hz for the male speaker. Finally, the pitch on the low tones rises roughly 20 hz for both the male and female speaker. Of course, it is impossible to consider this more than ideolectal difference without conducting a more in-depth investigation involving many more speakers.

Regarding patterns of tone-segment interaction in Dongwang, a few general observations can be made. First, low-toned monosyllables with plosive onsets tend to
be voiceless while mid-tone monosyllables with plosive onsets tend to be voiced.
Contours alone do not contribute meaning contrast, but syllables with long vowels tend to rise or fall more dramatically than syllables with short vowels.

Second, intial plosive consonants in high-toned monosyllables are all voiceless. Almost all the high-toned syllables fall, but the nature of the fall is partially dependent on the onset. Syllables with voiceless unaspirated onsets (e.g., $k \mathrm{ku}^{53}$ 'to send') fall the most dramatically, while syllables with an aspirated onset (e.g., $k^{h} a^{53}$
'mouth') tend to have a lower $f 0$ and a delayed fall (e.g., $\left[k^{h} a^{454}\right]$ 'mouth'). Syllables with short vowels tend to exhibit hardly any fall whatsoever.

Almost all of the mid-toned syllables with aspirated or voiced onsets show rising-falling contour, otherwise the mid tone is fairly level in comparison to high and low tones.

In addition to conducting $f 0$ measurements, I also tested speaker's perception of tone. I did this, keeping in mind Ladefoged's warning:
'When working on tone languages, don't expect too much help from native speakers. Some of them may be able to tell whether or not the pitch of the voice rises or falls during a given word, but many cannot. As far as they are concerned, two words differing in tone just sound different...' (Ladefoged 2004:81).

As far as accurately identifying any one tone, this advice proved to be true.
While speakers were for the most part able to identify tone differences when
presented with minimal sets ${ }^{13}$ of words, they had consistent difficulty identifying the tone category. Additionally, while speakers had almost no problems differentiating between low $/{ }^{13} /$ and high $/{ }^{53} /$ tones, they inconsistently differentiated mid ${ }^{\beta 53} /$ tone in contrast to the other tones.

### 2.1.4.1.2 Tonogenesis

Because of the generally high-registered syllables in the atonal varieties of Amdo, Jackson Sun (2003: 37) has commented that the history of tone in Tibetan languages 'can be characterised by the genesis of the low register, which has steadily invaded the former territory of the high register'.

WT provides a fairly clear basis from which to approach tonogenesis in Dongwang. There are three series of WT plosives: voiceless and unaspirated $<\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{k}>$, voiceless and aspirated $<\mathrm{ph}, \mathrm{th}, \mathrm{kh}>$, and voiced $<\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}>$. As the voicing contrast between the voiceless and voiced onsets was lost in Dongwang, low register undertook the contrastive burden for the previously-voiced series, but only for simplex voiced onsets. The previously-voiceless (both aspirated and unaspirated) plosives remained high-toned while the newly-devoiced plosives became voiceless, unaspirated, and low-toned. Thus the only distinction between the former voicing distinction ( $<\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}>$ and $<\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g}>$ ) is now a register distinction in Dongwang. The

[^19]aspirated voiceless plosives have a lower $f 0$ onset than do the unaspirated voiceless plosives, but still are high-toned.

The association of voiced prevocalic obstruents with low tone and voiceless prevocalic obstruents with high tone has long been attested in many languages and, as Hombert (1978: 78) points out, is probably one of the most well-documented types of tonogenesis. Once again, WT is a helpful and fairly transparent window through which to view this process in most cases ${ }^{14}$.

| Low |  |  | Mid |  |  | High |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k u^{13}$ | $<\mathrm{Gug}>$ | 'to <br> crawl' | $g u^{353}$ | $<\mathrm{bGo}>$ | 'to divide' | $k u^{54}$ | $<\mathrm{rKo}>$ | 'to dig' |
| $p \boldsymbol{2}^{23}$ | $<\mathrm{Bu}>$ | 'boy' | $b u u^{353}$ | $<$ sBos $>$ | 'bloated' | $p \boldsymbol{2}^{53}$ | $<\mathrm{sPu}>$ | 'body <br> hair' |
| $t \tilde{x}^{13}$ | $<$ De.Nas> | 'then' | $d \mathfrak{x}^{353}$ | $<\mathrm{bDar}>$ | 'to wipe' | $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ | $<\mathrm{rTen}>$ | 'to <br> depend <br> on' |

TABLE (4): CORRELATION OF LOW $\left({ }^{13}\right)$, MID $\left({ }^{353}\right)$, AND HIGH $\left({ }^{53}\right)$ TONES WITH WT ONSETS ${ }^{15}$

The words on the left (low tone) in Table (4) all begin with a WT single voiced plosive onset $<\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}>$. In Dongwang, these have become devoiced and lowtoned. The words on the right (high tone) all begin with a WT voiceless, unaspirated onset with or without a preinitial consonant $\langle\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}\rangle$. These are voiceless,

[^20]unaspirated, and high-toned. The words in the middle (mid tone) begin with a WT voiced consonant cluster $<\mathrm{bg}, \mathrm{sb}, \mathrm{bd}>$. This situation is analagous to the rDza .rdo dialect as described by T.-S Sun (2003: 38). Instead of high and low register, there is high, low, and mid register, but with some important distinctions.

While rDza.rdo reflexes of 'simplex $\mathrm{OT}^{16}$ voiced obstruents became devoiced, breathy, and low-registered' (T.-S. Sun 2003: 38), Dongwang reflexes of the same became devoiced and low-registered, but not necessarily breathy. In Dongwang, breathiness is frequently associated with the mid-tone reflexes of complex WT voiced clusters. Additionally, nasal onsets with preinitial consonants became mid-toned, rather than high-toned as happened in rDza.rdo. Finally, while the mid tone seems to be perceptually the most difficult for speakers to identify, it is also tonogenetically the least stable. Complex WT voiced obstruents are not always clear mid tones. For example, some speakers pronounce $<\mathrm{lba}>$ 'goiter' as a low rising tone, while others pronounce it as a short mid level tone. As to why the WT complex voiced onsets have not fully devoiced, there are several possibilities. Consonant clusters such as $<$ sb>, $<b d>$, and $<$ bg> were likely fully articulated at some point. Many Amdo dialects have retained complex consonant onset articulation. It is possible that voicing loss in these clusters took longer than voicing loss in simplex segments. This may be due in part to the likelihood that in older varieties of Tibetan, the complex voiced onsets represented more complex morphological structure than what is seen today. As

[^21]Matisoff (2003: 153ff) points out, the cyclic changes in syllable structure from a disyllable to a complex monosyllable, or sequisyllable, and then to a simple monosyllable has long been attested in Tibeto-Burman.

### 2.1.4.1.3 Tones in polysyllabic words

There is very little available literature that describes tone in polysyllabic words in Tibetan languages. In this section, I describe some of the data, but an exhaustive examination of tone in polysyllabic words will not be attempted.

First, an important phonetic characteristic of tone in polysyllabic words should be noted. In Dongwang, there exists a strong dispreference for identical adjacent tone combinations. I am not aware of this restriction in other descriptions of tone for other Tibetan dialects. That is, a high-level tone followed by a high-falling tone is acceptable, but not two high level tones. Or a low tone followed by a high tone is acceptable, but not two adjacent low tones. For example, speakers reject [tsã ${ }^{55} b a^{55}$ ], but accept $\left[t s \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{53}\right]<$ rtsam.pa> 'barley flour'. In this particular instance, speakers say that it is not a matter of intelligibility, but of authentic native pronunciation. Speakers report that two adjacent high level tones in this word sound like speech from the rGyalthang dialect spoken nearby. ${ }^{17}$

The following sections contain a brief description of the characteristics of tone sandhi and stress in Dongwang. Much more research is needed and a full treatment of tone sandhi and stress in Dongwang will have to be pursued at a later date.

[^22]
### 2.1.4.1.4 Tone sandhi

In disyllabic words, there is a general tendency for phonetic tone leveling in first-syllable position. Low-rising melodies become low, high-falling become high, and mid rising-falling melodies can either rise or fall or, in some cases, retain their rise and fall.

Low-rising tones become Low-level tones

| $t \epsilon a^{13}$ | 'tea' | $\left[t \epsilon \Xi^{11} t i^{55}\right]$ | 'butter tea pot' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t \epsilon a^{13}$ | 'tea' | $\left[t \epsilon \Xi^{11} n \tilde{u}^{55}\right]$ | 'churn' |
| $s Y^{13}$ | 'bird' | $\left[s Y^{11} t S^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}\right]$ | 'bird's nest' ${ }^{18}$ |

High-falling tones become high-level tones

| $t s^{h} a^{53}$ | 'salt' | $\left[t s^{h}{ }^{55} g a^{53}\right]$ | 'saltbox' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p^{h} a^{53}$ | 'pig' | $\left[p^{h} a^{55} S a^{53}\right]$ | 'pork' |
| $s^{h} a^{53}$ | 'meat' | $\left[s \Omega^{55} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}\right]$ | 'lean meat' |

Mid rising-falling tones tend to retain their rise, but lose their fall in first-
syllable position and retain their fall but lose their rise in second-syllable position. ${ }^{19}$

| $n u^{353}$ | 'oil' | $n 9^{55} n a^{53}$ | 'black' | $\left[n u^{35} n a Q^{53}\right]$ | 'cooking oil' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t s^{h} a^{53}$ | 'salt' | $g \tilde{a}^{353}$ | 'box' | $\left[t s^{h}{ }^{55} g \tilde{a}^{53}\right]$ | 'saltbox' |
| $\pi j \tilde{a}^{353}$ | 'shoes' | $?$ | $?$ | $\left[\hbar j \tilde{a}^{55} d z u^{55}\right]$ | 'shoelaces ${ }^{20}$ |
| $p \tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'meadow' | $z a^{353}$ | 'yak' | $\left[p \tilde{o}^{55} z^{53}\right]$ | 'rabbit' |

[^23]
### 2.1.4.1.5 Stress

Stress is not phonemic in Dongwang, but a few generalizations can be made regarding stressed syllables. A stressed syllable in Dongwang is the most prominent syllable acoustically in the word. Prominence correlates with three parameters: pitch, duration, and amplitude. In my data, the syllable with the highest pitch attracts stress. If two syllables in a word are high-pitched, but one is high-falling, the syllable with high-falling pitch will be stressed. Stressed syllables also correlate with amplitude and/or duration. The few disyllabic words with no discernable stress placement tend to be words without a relatively longer, louder, or high-pitched syllable.

|  | Gloss | Stress |  | V Length |  | Amplitude |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word |  | Syl 1 | Syl 2 | Syl 1 | Syl 2 | Syl 1 | Syl 2 |
| $\mathrm{fja}^{13} \mathrm{dzu}{ }^{53}$ | 'shoelace ${ }^{\text {21 }}$ |  | X | . 118 | . 155 | 79 db | 81 db |
| ss ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{5}{ }^{55}$ | 'hen' |  | X | . 049 | . 155 | 74 db | 72 db |
| $\widetilde{S i n}^{53} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | 'wooden cup' |  | x | . 073 | . 151 | 74 db | 80 db |
| s ${ }^{11} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{55}$ | 'rooster' |  | X | . 062 | . 084 | 82 db | 89 db |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{ni}^{55}$ | 'aunt' |  | X | . 048 | . 095 | 52 db | 60 db |
| $\mathrm{a}^{55} \mathrm{ni}^{11}$ | 'grandfather' | X |  | . 057 | . 060 | 63 db | 60 db |
| ma: ${ }^{55}{ }^{111}$ | 'soldier' | X |  | . 106 | . 049 | 69 db | 66 db |

TABLE (5): STRESS PATTERNS IN DISYLLABIC WORDS CORRELATED WITH LENGTH AND AMPLITUDE

[^24]Table (5) contains words with syllables varying in length and amplitude. Stressed syllables correlate with those higher in pitch and which are either longer or louder than non-stressed syllables. This is also true of trisyllabic and quadrisyllabic words.

| Non-verbal Compounds | Gloss | Verbal compounds | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p i i^{13} t{ }^{33} k i^{11}$ | 'sweater' | $t 6^{h}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} a^{55} p u u^{11}$ | 'to rain' |
| $\underline{\text { SO: }}{ }^{13} k \mathrm{~kg}^{33} l e^{11}$ | 'butterfly' | ${ }^{h} n i^{11}{ }_{t s a^{5}}{ }^{53} k^{h} u i^{11}$ | 'to be angry' |
| $\frac{n a^{53}}{} \mathrm{wo}^{33} \mathrm{ro}{ }^{11}$ | 'nostril' | $t s^{h}{ }^{11} \underline{m \tilde{o}^{55}} h \tilde{u}^{33}$ | 'to stir-fry' |
| $\underline{\mathrm{na}}{ }^{53} \mathrm{ma} a^{33} \mathrm{mo}^{11}$ | 'long ago' | $n a^{11} t s^{h} a^{53} p^{h} u^{11}$ | 'to be struck ill' |
| $\mathrm{tu}^{13} d i^{33} \mathrm{mo}^{11}$ | 'convenient' | $d z 9^{11} d \tilde{o}^{55} d z o^{11}$ | 'to poke' |
| $t s u^{35} p v^{33} \mathrm{ca}^{11}$ | 'spider' | $\mathrm{ki}^{55} \mathrm{ba}{ }^{53} \mathrm{pu}{ }^{11}$ | 'to walk' |

TABLE (6): STRESS PATTERNS IN TRISYLLABIC VERBAL AND NON-VERBAL COMPOUNDS.

In Table (6) above, the syllable with the high tone attracts stress.
Quadrisyllabic words in Dongwang also exhibit the same stress patterns.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s i^{11} t a^{53} g u i^{33} l i^{11} & \text { 'woodpecker' } \\
t s^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} a^{53} g u i^{33} l i^{11} & \text { 'grasshopper' } \\
t G^{h}{ }^{55}{ }^{55} \mathfrak{x}^{53} t o^{33} s a^{21} & \text { 'camera' }
\end{array}
$$

In disyllabic words, non-stressed syllables trigger vowel reduction. See the following examples:

| $s a^{13}$ | 'chicken' | $s \partial^{11} m o^{55}$ | 'hen' |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $t s^{h} a^{53}$ | 'salt' | $t s^{h} \partial^{55} g \tilde{a}^{53}$ | 'saltbox ${ }^{122}$ |
| $n u I^{13}$ | 'day' | $n \boldsymbol{a}^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55}$ | 'day' |

[^25]
### 2.2 Diachronic Phonology

Although the precise origin and date of the Tibetan script is uncertain, due to similarities shared with Indian scripts such as 'the shape of some letters and its syllabic ${ }^{23}$ approach to phonemic representation' (Chamberlain 2004:45), many have suggested that is was based on a Brahminical script. Popular accounts attribute the development of the Tibetan script to Thonmi Sambhota sometime in the 7th century A.D. Others have suggested possible models from 'India, Nepal, Kashmir, Afghanistan, or Khotan and other places in East Turkestan, at dates between the 5th and 10th centuries' (Denwood 1999:15). Beyer (1994: 41) and Denwood (1999: 15) suggest that the development was apparently more motivated by administrative rather than religious purposes. One of the first known written references is that of the annals discovered at Tun-huang which describe events from 650 through 747, after the death of King Srong.bTSan sGam.po (Beyer 1994: 41). Whatever the story, it is good, as Denwood suggests, to pay attention to what A. Róna-Tas (1985) suggests, namely that the 'development of the Tibetan writing system could well have been a long drawn out process with contributions from more than one Indian language area and script' (Denwood 1999: 15).

The basic Tibetan alphabet includes 30 consonants, each with an inherent ' $a$ ' vowel sound and four vowel diacritics. Three vowel symbols are superscripted over the root letter and one is subscripted under the root letter. In the 1950s, Turrell Wylie

[^26]romanized the Tibetan alphabet. His system of romanization, or some variation thereof, has become a standard for many academics conducting research on Tibetan. The following table illustrates the Tibetan alphabet in the order traditionally given along with a Wylie transcription of each letter.


The first five rows of columns one and two are associated with voicing and aspiration distinctions. The letters in the top five rows of columns one, two and three are organized according to voicing, aspiration and articulation distinctions. The first five letters in column one are voiceless and unaspirated. The first five letters in column two are voiceless and aspirated. The five letters in column three are voiced, and the first four rows in column four are nasals. Note that the first four rows move successively, top to bottom, from velar articulation at the rear of the mouth, through
palatal and alveolar articulations at the center of the mouth, to bilabial articulation at the front of the mouth.

The letter ${ }^{2}$ (sometimes known as the $a$-chung or 'little $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) is represented by 'a in Table (7). Although it has been the subject of considerable discussion, 'the consensus is that in Old Tibetan the letter $v^{24}$ represented a voiced fricative (either [ f ] or [ $\mathrm{\gamma}$ ] before vowels and the glide $-w$ - and prenasalization before consonants' (Hill 2005: 107). In Dongwang, 'a has become the glide $w$ before vowels and homorganic nasalization before voiced consonants.

In Written Tibetan, the shortest syllable consists of one element, e.g., $<$ kha $>$ 'mouth'. The longest syllable combines up to seven elements, e.g, <bsgrogs> 'to sew up, PST'. Certain letters can function as prefixes ( $<\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{a}\rangle$ ), superscripts (or 'head letters') ( $<\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{s}>$ ), subscripts (or 'subjoined letters') ( $<\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{w}>$ ), and a first suffix ( $<\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{'}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{s}>$ ) or second $\operatorname{suffix}(<\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{m}>)^{25}$. In addition the a.chung, can occur as a suffix with a second, or sometimes, third vowel. All of these combinations are subject to combinatory constraints.

The following section examines Dongwang reflexes of WT forms. The first section is arranged according to WT onsets and the second section according to WT rhymes. Each subsection is arranged according to the WT points of articulation. I give

[^27]examples of possible WT onsets and rhymes along with the Dongwang reflex of each. Although there are a few WT consonant clusters for which I have no examples, most possibilities are represented.

### 2.2.1 Onsets

### 2.2.1.1 Obstruents

### 2.2.1.2 Plosives

The diachronic development of plosives in onset position can be characterized by the following generalizations:

- Almost all WT simplex and complex voiceless onsets have remained voiceless
- Almost all WT simplex and complex voiceless onsets are associated with high-toned syllables
- Almost all WT simplex voiced onsets are devoiced
- Almost all devoiced WT simplex onsets are associated with low-toned syllables
- Almost all WT complex voiced onsets have retained voicing
- Almost all WT complex voiced onsets are associated with mid-toned syllables The following section lists examples of each of these diachronic developments organized according to the WT points of articulation. Exceptions will be noted.


### 2.2.1.2.1 bilabials

The voiceless bilabial $<\mathrm{p}>$ remains voiceless ${ }^{26}$, regardless of clustering.

[^28]\[

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<\mathrm{p}>,<\mathrm{dp}>,<\mathrm{lp}>,<\mathrm{sp}> & ->p & <\text { pus.mo> } & p i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11} \\
& <\text { 'kna'.bo> } & p ə^{55} w u^{11} & \text { 'hero' } \\
& \text { <lpags.pa> } & p a^{55} w a^{53} & \text { 'peel, skin' } \\
& \text { <spang.g.yag> } & p \tilde{o}^{55} z^{53} & \text { 'rabbit' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

The aspirated voiceless bilabial stop $<\mathrm{ph}>$ remains aspirated and unvoiced.
When $<\mathrm{ph}>$ occurs with a pre-root consonant, the tone is lowered.

| <ph>, <'ph> | -> $p^{h}$ | <phag> | $p^{h} a^{53}$ | 'pig' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <phag'u> | $p^{h}:^{13}$ | 'piglet' |
|  |  | <'phag> | $p^{h} a a^{353}$ | 'to hop' |
|  |  | <'phul> | $p^{h} u u^{353}$ | 'to push' |

Simplex voiced bilabials are devoiced and low-toned.
<b>
->p <ba>
$p a^{13}$
'cow'
<bal>
$p i i^{13}$
'wool'

When the root letter $<\mathrm{b}>$ occurs in a consonant cluster, it is not devoiced. In monosyllables, the tone is not completely lowered.

| $<\mathrm{db}>,<\mathrm{lb}>,<\mathrm{rb}>,<\mathrm{sb}>$ | $->b$ | <dbugs> | $b u^{353}$ | 'breath' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <dbus.ma> | $b \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ | 'center', |
|  |  |  |  | 'middle' |
|  |  | <lba> | $b a^{353}$ | 'goiter ${ }^{\text {27 }}$ |
|  |  | <rba> | $b a^{353}$ | 'roiling water' |
|  |  | <sbal.pa> | $b i^{11} W a^{55}$ | 'frog ${ }^{128}$ |

When the first letter of the $<\mathrm{b}>$ cluster is $a$-chung $\langle\gg$, it becomes a prenasalized voiced bilabial.
<'b> -> mb <'bu> mba ${ }^{353}$ 'worm'

[^29]| <'ba'> | mba $a^{353}$ | 'to carry on <br> one's back' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <'bag> | $m b a^{353}$ | 'mask' |

Bilabial stops with the subscript $<\mathrm{y}>(y a . t a)$ developed into Dongwang palatal fricatives that usually retain their aspiration distinctions. The pattern of devoicing developed along the same lines as the plosives; simplex onsets are devoiced while complex onsets retain their voicing.

| <py> | no exa |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <dpy>, <spy> | -> $s$ | <dpyid.ka> <br> <spyang.ki> | $\begin{aligned} & s i^{55} k^{h} a^{53} \\ & s \tilde{o}^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{11} \end{aligned}$ | 'spring' <br> 'wolf' |
| $<$ phy $>^{29}$ | -> $s^{h}$ | <phyags.mo?> <br> <phyen> | $\begin{aligned} & s^{h} a^{11} m^{55} \\ & s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{353} \end{aligned}$ | 'broom' <br> 'fart' |
| <'phy> | $->z$ | <'phyar> | $z \mathfrak{X}^{353}$ | 'to hang' |
| <by>, <'by> | -> $s$ | $<$ bya> <br> <byang.phyogs> <br> <'bye> | $\begin{aligned} & s a^{13} \\ & s \tilde{o}^{11} 6 U^{53} \\ & s i^{443} \end{aligned}$ | 'chicken' <br> 'north' <br> 'to open' |
| <by> (one example) | -> j | < byed> | $j \varepsilon^{353}$ | 'to do'. |
| <dby>, <sby> | -> z | <dbyar.ka> <br> <dbyug.pa> <br> <sbyong> | $\begin{aligned} & z \mathfrak{X}^{13} k^{h} a^{53} \\ & z 9^{55} w a^{53} \\ & z \tilde{o}^{353} \end{aligned}$ | 'summer' <br> 'stick' <br> 'to study' |

Bilabial stops with the subscript $<\mathrm{r}>$ (ra.ta) developed into Dongwang retroflex affricates.

[^30]| <pr>, <dpr> | no exa |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <spr> | -> ts | <sprin> <sprang.?> | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tsin}^{53} \\ & t \tilde{S}^{55} h j \tilde{o}^{53} \end{aligned}$ | 'cloud' <br> 'to beg', <br> 'beggar' |
| $<\text { phr }>,<\text { 'phr> }$ | -> $t s^{h}$ | $<$ 'phreng.ba $>^{30}$ <br> <'phrog> <br> <dge.phrug> | $\begin{aligned} & t s^{h} a^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53} \\ & t s^{h} u^{53} \\ & g i^{11} t s^{h} o^{53} \end{aligned}$ | 'rosary' <br> 'to rob' <br> 'student ${ }^{\text {'31 }}$ |
| <br> | -> ts | <brang> <bri> | $\begin{aligned} & t_{s} \tilde{o}^{13} \\ & t_{s} 9^{13} \end{aligned}$ | 'chest' <br> 'to write' |
| $<\mathrm{dbr}>{ }^{32}$ | no exam |  |  |  |
| <sbr> | $->d z^{\prime}$ | <sbrul> <br> <sbrang.dkar> | $\begin{aligned} & d z u u^{353} \\ & d z \tilde{o}^{13} k \mathfrak{æ}^{53} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'snake' } \\ & \text { 'sugar (white)' } \end{aligned}$ |
| <'br> | -> ${ }^{n} d z$ | <'bri> <br> <'brug> | $\begin{aligned} & n d z i^{353} \\ & { }^{n} d z o^{353} \end{aligned}$ | 'female yak' <br> 'thunder' |

When the second syllable formatives $<\mathrm{pa}>,<\mathrm{po}>$, and $<\mathrm{bu}>$ occur as the noninitial syllables, the shape is conditioned by the coda of the first syllable. This can be stated in the following ways:

[^31]Second syllable $<\mathrm{pa}>$ becomes $p a$ when the preceding syllable has an
obstruent coronal coda, and wa elsewhere:
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 2nd syllable }<\text { pa }> & ->p a^{33} & <\text { thod.pa }> & t^{h}{ }^{55} p a^{53} \\ & <\text { rus.pa }> & r 9^{11} p a^{55} & \text { 'forehead' } \\ & ->w a^{34}<\text { char.pa }> & t 6^{h}{ }^{11} w a^{55} & \text { 'rain' } \\ & <\text { gsar.pa }> & s e:^{55} W a^{53} & \text { 'new' }\end{array}$

The second syllable $<$ pa $>$ becomes ba following syllables with a nasal coda.
$\begin{array}{rlll}\text { 2nd syllable }<\text { pa> } & ->b a & \text { <rlong.pa> } & l \tilde{e}^{55} b a^{53}\end{array} \quad$ 'wet'

The second syllables $<$ po $>$ and $<\mathrm{bu}>$ become $m ə$ when following syllables
with nasal codas:
2nd syllables <po>, <bu> ->m <skam.po> $\quad \mathrm{ka}^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \quad$ 'dry' <ring.po> $\quad \tilde{\Gamma 1}^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{55} \quad$ 'long' <gdzam.bu.gling> za ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mə}^{55} \mathrm{II}^{11}$ 'earth', 'world' <kham.bu> $\quad k^{h} a^{11} m \partial^{55} \quad$ 'peach'

The second syllable $<\mathrm{ba}>$ becomes $j a$ following open syllables with high front vowels or closed syllables with high front vowels and sonorant codas. Second syllable $<$ ba $>$ becomes $w a$ elsewhere.

[^32]${ }^{34}$ A possible exception to this is $<\mathrm{p}>->r$ as in $<$ phor.pa> $p^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{11} r \rho^{55}$ 'porcelein bowl'
\[

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { 2nd syllable <ba> } & ->j a & <\text { lte.ba> } & t i^{55 j a^{53}}
\end{array}
$$ 'bellybutton'
\]

In some instances, the second syllables $<$ bu> and $<$ ba $>$ can be lost entirely, leading to compensatory lengthening of the first syllable and a low tone overall.

2nd syllable $<$ bu $>,<$ ba $>\quad->0 \quad$ <shog.bu $>u^{35} \quad$ 'paper'

$$
<\text { kha.ba }>\quad k^{h} a:^{24} \quad \text { 'snow' }
$$

One possible explanation for this type of syllable change is that a sort of vowel harmony is triggering the syllable coalescence. However, I do not have enough examples to be convinced.

### 2.2.1.2.2 Coronals

Historical patterns seen on bilabials can also be observed on the alveolars, retroflexes, and palatals. That is, simplex onsets are devoiced, while complex onsets retain voicing and show tendencies towards a lowered tone. Coronal stops with the subscript $<\mathbf{r}>$ have become retroflexed affricates, the a.chung <'> causes prenasalization or heavy voicing in voiced stops and affricates, and certain segments remain unchanged.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<\mathrm{brt}>,<\mathrm{blt}> & \text { no examples } & & \\
<\mathrm{tt}\rangle,<\mathrm{gtt}\rangle,<\mathrm{lt}\rangle,<\mathrm{rt}>,<\mathrm{st}> & ->t & <\mathrm{ta}> & t a^{53} \\
& <\mathrm{gtub}> & t u^{53} & \text { 'letter }<\mathrm{t}>\text { ' } \\
& <\mathrm{tta}> & t a^{53} & \text { 'to chop' } \\
& <\mathrm{rta}> & t a^{53} & \text { 'to look at' } \\
& & \text { 'horse' }
\end{array}
$$

|  | <ste> |  | $t e^{53}$ | 'to give' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <bt> | no examples |  |  |  |
| $<$ th>, <'th>, <mth> | -> $t^{h}$ | <thod.pa> | $t^{h} 9^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'forehead' |
|  |  | <mthong> | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | 'to see' |
|  |  | <'thung> | $t^{h} \tilde{o}^{353}$ | 'to drink' |
| <d> | -> $t$ | <dom> | tõ: ${ }^{13}$ | 'bear' |
|  |  | <dug> | to ${ }^{13}$ | 'poison' |
| $<\mathrm{gd}>,<\mathrm{rd}>,<\mathrm{bd}>,<\mathrm{sd}>$ | ->d | <gdong> | $d \tilde{u}^{353}$ | 'face' |
|  |  | <rdo> | $d u^{353}$ | 'stone' |
|  |  | < bdun> | $d i^{353}$ | 'seven' |
|  |  | <bdar> | $d \mathfrak{X}^{353}$ | 'to wipe' |
|  |  | <sdug> | $d o^{353}$ | 'suffering' |
|  |  | <sdod> | $d e^{353}$ | 'to stay ${ }^{135}$ |
| <'d>, <md> | -> ${ }^{n} d$ | <'dab.?> | ${ }^{n} d \partial^{11} p ə o^{53}$ | 'leaf', 'feather' |
|  |  | <'dug> | ${ }^{n} d o^{353}$ | 'to sit' |
|  |  | <mda'> | ${ }^{n} d a^{353}$ | 'arrow' |
| $<\mathrm{ld}>$ | ->d | < ldags> | $d a^{13}$ | 'to lick' |
| <brd>, <bsd> | no ex | amples |  |  |

WT voiced alveolar stops that have an $<\mathrm{r}>$ subscript (ra.ta) have become retroflex affricates. The WT simplex onsets are devoiced and the onsets with preinitials are voiced.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
<\mathrm{dr}> & ->t_{S} & <\mathrm{drag}> & t_{s a^{13}} \\
& <\text { dran }> & t_{s} \tilde{x}^{13} & \text { 'to recover' } \\
& & & \text { 'to think', 'to } \\
& & & \text { miss' }
\end{array}
$$

[^33]\[

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
<' \mathrm{dr}> & ->{ }^{n} d z_{6}<\text { 'dra }> & { }^{n} d z a^{13} & \text { 'to look like' } \\
& <\text { ga.'dra }> & k a^{11 n} d z a^{55} & \text { 'how' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

There are a few syllables, exemplified below, with a WT voiced alveolar stop and an $<\mathrm{r}>$ subscript which have not undergone devoicing and/or developed into low tones.

| Voicing retention | $->d z_{<}<\mathrm{dri}>$ | $d z \partial^{353}$ | 'smell' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High tone retention | $->t_{S}<d r u g>$ | $t_{S} S^{53}$ | 'six' |

### 2.2.1.2.3 Velars

| $<\mathrm{k}>,<\mathrm{dk}>,<\mathrm{rk}>,<\mathrm{lk}>,<\mathrm{sk}>$ | -> k | <ka.ba> | $k a^{53}$ | 'pillar' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <dka'.po> | $k a^{53}$ | 'difficult' |
|  |  | <rko> | $k u^{55}$ | 'to dig' |
|  |  | <lkugs.pa> | $k o^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'foolish' |
|  |  | <bod.skad> | $p \mathrm{e}^{11} k i^{53}$ | 'Tibetan |
|  |  |  |  | language' |
| <kh>, <mkh> | -> $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ | <kha> | $k^{h} a^{53}$ | 'mouth' |
|  |  | <mkhan.po> | $k^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{35} m จ^{11}$ | 'abbot' |
| <khw>, <'kh> | no examples |  |  |  |
| <g> | -> k | <ga.le> | $\mathrm{ka}^{11} \mathrm{l}^{55}$ | 'slowly' |
|  |  | <ga.'dra> | $\mathrm{ka}^{11 n} d z \mathrm{a}^{55}$ | 'what kind' |
| $<\mathrm{dg}>,<\mathrm{rg}>,<\mathrm{sg}>$ | -> g | <dgon.pa> | $g \tilde{a}^{11} b a^{53}$ | 'monastery' |
|  |  | <dgu> | $g 2^{353}$ | 'nine' |
|  |  | <rgun> | $g 7_{1}^{353}$ | 'grape' |
|  |  | <rgod> | $g u e^{353}$ | 'vulture' |
|  |  | <sga> | $g a^{353}$ | 'saddle' |
|  |  | <sgang> | $g \tilde{o}^{353}$ | 'on', 'above' |


| $<\mathrm{mg}>,<\mathrm{g}>$ | $\begin{aligned} ->{ }^{n} g & <\mathrm{mgo}> \\ & <\text { 'gul }> \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{n} g u^{353} \\ & { }^{n} g u u^{353} \end{aligned}$ | 'head' <br> 'to do' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <bg>, <brg>, <bsg> | no examples |  |  |
| As with the bilabials, WT velars that have the subscript $<\mathrm{y}>$ have developed |  |  |  |
| into palatal fricatives retaining their voice and aspiration distinctions. Those with the |  |  |  |
| subscript $<\mathrm{r}>$ have developed into retroflex affricates. |  |  |  |
| <ky> | $->{ }_{6} \quad<$ kyag $>$ | $6 a 2^{53}$ | 'to lift' |
| <dky>, <bky>, <rky> | no examples |  |  |
| <sky> | -> s <skye.sa> | $s i^{55} S a^{53}$ | 'birthplace' |
|  | <skyugs> | So? P $^{53}$ | 'to vomit' |
| <brky>, <bsky> | no examples |  |  |
| <khy> | -> ¢ < khyi> | $69^{53}$ | 'dog' |
| <mkhy> | no examples |  |  |
| $<\mathrm{gy}>^{36}$ | -> $6 \quad<$ gyang $>$ | $6 \widetilde{o ̛: ~}^{13}$ | 'wall' |
|  | <gyon> | $\epsilon \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | 'to wear' |
| $<\mathrm{rgy}>,<\mathrm{mgy}>,<$ 'gy> | $\begin{aligned} ->\mathrm{d} \bar{z} & <\text { rgya.mt } \\ & \text { <sgo rgyas } \\ & \text { <rgyal.po> } \\ & \text { <mgyogs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & d z \tilde{a}^{11} t s^{h} u \\ & g u^{55} d z a ? \\ & d z \mathfrak{F}^{11} b u \\ & d z o^{11} p a^{5} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{55}$ ocean' <br> ${ }^{1}$ 'to close a door' <br> 'king' <br> 'fast' |

[^34]|  |  | <'gyel.ba> | $d z \ni O^{353}$ | 'to fall ${ }^{17}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathrm{rgy}>,<\mathrm{brgy}>^{38}$ | $->_{7}$ | <rgyags.pa> <br> <rgyu.ma> <br> <brgyad> <br> <brgya> | $\begin{aligned} & z a^{11} p a^{53} \\ & z 2^{11} w \tilde{o}^{53} \\ & z \mathrm{e}^{353} \\ & z a^{353} \end{aligned}$ | 'fat' <br> 'intestines' <br> 'eight' <br> 'one hundred' |
| <dgy> | -> 6 | <dgyid.?> | ${ }_{62}{ }^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53}$ | 'center' |
| <bgy>, <sgy>, <bsgy> | no examples |  |  |  |
| $<\mathrm{kr}>$ | no examples |  |  |  |
| $<\mathrm{dkr}>,<\mathrm{skr}>$ | $->t s$ | <dkrogs?> <br> <skra> | $\begin{aligned} & t s o^{53} \\ & t s a^{53} \end{aligned}$ | 'to churn' (milk) 'hair' |
| <bkr>, <bskr> | no examples |  |  |  |
| $<\mathrm{khr}>$ | $->$ ts $^{\text {h }}$ | <khra> | $t s^{h} a^{53}$ | 'bird of prey' |
| <'khr>, <mkhr> | no examples |  |  |  |
| <gr>, <grw> | -> ts | <grod.pa> <br> <gro> <br> <grwa.ba> | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tsc}^{2}{ }^{11} p a^{55} \\ & \operatorname{tsc} u^{35} \\ & \operatorname{tsc} a^{11} W a^{55} \end{aligned}$ | 'lower stomach' <br> 'wheat' <br> 'monk' |
| <'gr> | -> ${ }^{n} d z_{1}$ | <'gro> <br> <'gram.tshos> | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{n} d z u^{353} \\ & { }^{n} d z \tilde{a}^{11} t s a^{53} \end{aligned}$ | 'to go' <br> 'cheek' |
| <dgr> | $->d z$ | <dgra> | $d z a^{11} \sim t s a^{35}$ | 5 'enemy' |
| <bgr>, <bsgr>, <mgr> | no examples |  |  |  |

[^35]
### 2.2.1.3 Fricatives

| <S> | $->S^{h}$ | $<\mathrm{sa}>$ | $S^{h} a^{53}$ | 'dirt', 'earth' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <sad> | $S^{h} e^{53}$ | 'to wake, tr' |
|  | $->s$ | <sol.ba> | $s i^{11} j a^{55}$ | 'coals' |

All of the $<\mathrm{s}>$ onsets with pre-radicals or subscripts are unaspirated.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<\mathrm{sr}>,<\mathrm{bs}>,<\mathrm{gs}> & ->s & & \text { <sring.mo> }> \\
& \text { <bsam.pa }> & & s \tilde{i}^{55} w u^{11} \quad \text { 'younger sister' } \\
& & s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53} \text { 'mind' } \\
& \text { bgser }> & & s æ^{53} \quad \text { 'gold' }
\end{array}
$$

The simple onset $<\mathrm{z}>$ has become devoiced, while those with pre-radicals retain voicing. ${ }^{39}$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<\mathrm{Z}> & ->s & \text { <zangs }> & S \tilde{o}^{13} \\
\sim->s^{h} & \text { <zor.ba> } & S^{h} O^{11} W^{55}{ }^{55} \text { sickle' }^{40}
\end{array}
$$

The radical <sh>, with or without pre-radicals, is represented by voiceless retroflex fricatives in Dongwang. A few of these are aspirated. The voiced alveolar fricative <zh> also has become a voiceless retroflex fricative, and the <zh> onset with pre-radicals retains voicing.

[^36]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\text { sh }>\quad->s \quad<\text { shig }>\quad s i^{53} \quad \text { 'bedbug' } \\
& <\text { shi> } \quad s 9^{53} \quad \text { 'to die' } \\
& ->s^{h}<\text { sha }>\quad s^{h} a^{53} \quad \text { 'meat' } \\
& \text { <zh>, <zhw> -> } s \text { <zhim.po> } \quad s \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} \text { 'tasty' } \\
& \text { <zhwa.mo> } \quad S O^{11} W a^{55} \text { 'hat' } \\
& \text { <bzh> } \quad->z_{\text {z }} \quad<\text { bzhi> } \quad \text { z } \partial^{353} \text { 'four' }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

### 2.2.1.4 Affricates

| $\langle\mathrm{c}\rangle,\langle\mathrm{gc}\rangle,\langle\mathrm{lc}\rangle,<\mathrm{bc}\rangle$ | $->t 6$ | <cog.rtse> | $t c o^{11}$ tss ${ }^{55}$ 'desk' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <gcig> | tcti ${ }^{53}$ 'one' |
|  |  | <lcags> | $t ¢ a^{53} \quad$ 'iron' |
|  |  | <bcu> | $t 62^{53}$ 'ten' |
| <ch>, <mch> | $->t 6^{h}$ | <chu> | $t 6^{h} 2^{53} \quad$ 'water' |
|  |  | <mchu.lpags> | $t 6^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55} \mathrm{pa}^{53}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{lips}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| <j> | $->t 6$ | <ja> | $t ¢ a^{13} \quad$ 'tea' |
| $<\mathrm{lj}>,\langle\mathrm{j}\rangle>$ | $->d z$ | <ljang.khu> <br> <rjed> | $\begin{aligned} & d z \tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} \text { 'green' } \\ & t_{6} \mathrm{e}^{13} \sim d z \mathrm{e}^{353} \text { 'to forget' } \end{aligned}$ |
| <ts>, <mts>, <gts>, <rts> | -> ts | <tsa.khug> | $t s \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{11} k^{h} O^{53}$ 'small bag w/string' |
|  |  | <mtsong> | $t s \tilde{u}^{53} \quad$ 'onion' |
|  |  | <gtsang.ma> | $t s \tilde{O}^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'clean' |
|  |  | <rtsam.pa> | $t s \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{53}$ 'barley flour' |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\text { tsh }>,<\text { mtsh }>,<t s w>\quad->t s^{h}<\text { tshor }>\quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad \text { 'to hear' } \\
& \text { <mtsho> } t s^{h} u^{53} \quad \text { 'lake' } \\
& \text { <tswa> } \quad t s^{h} a^{53} \quad \text { 'salt' } \\
& ->t s \quad \text { <tshong> } \quad t s \tilde{u}^{53} \quad \text { 'to sell' } \\
& <\text { 'tsh>, }<\mathrm{mtsh}>\quad->\text { ts }<\mathrm{mtshol}>\quad t s i^{53} \quad \text { 'to look for' } \\
& \text { <'tshem> ts } \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53} \quad \text { 'to sew' } \\
& \text { <dz> no examples } \\
& <\mathrm{mdz}>,<\text { dz> } \quad->{ }^{n} d z<\mathrm{mdzub} . \mathrm{mo}>\quad{ }^{n} d z u u^{35} \quad \text { 'finger' } \\
& \text { <mdzums> } \quad{ }^{n} d z \tilde{u}^{353} \quad \text { 'to gather' } \\
& <\text { 'dzul> } \quad{ }^{n} d z u u^{353} \text { 'to poke into' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2.1.5 Sonorants

Older varieties of Tibetan, as reflected in Written Tibetan, had seven sonorants including four nasals $<\mathrm{m}>,<\mathrm{n}>,<\mathrm{ng}>$, and $<\mathrm{ny}>$, two liquids $<\mathrm{r}>,<\mathrm{l}>$, and two glides $<\mathrm{y}>$ and $<\mathrm{w}>$. Of these, the liquids and glides have undergone the most unusual changes. The nasals have developed into separate sets of voiced and voiceless nasals.

### 2.2.1.5.1 Nasals

All but the bilabial nasal retain their point of articulation in Dongwang. <m> becomes $/ \mathrm{n} /$ or $/ \mathrm{n} /$ before a high front vowel and $/ \mathrm{m} /$ in all other contexts.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\text { ng }>,<\text { rng }>,<\operatorname{lng}>,<m n g>\quad->\eta \quad<\text { nga }>\quad \eta a^{13} \quad \text { '1sABS' } \\
& \text { <rnga> } \quad \eta a^{53} \quad \text { 'drum' } \\
& <\operatorname{lnga}>\quad \eta a^{53} \quad \text { 'five' } \\
& \text { <mngag> } \quad \text { a } a^{53} \quad \text { 'to send' } \\
& \text { <sng> } \quad->\eta<\text { snga.ma }>\eta \partial^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad \text { 'long ago' } \\
& \text {->h <sngon.po> hũ } 55 h \tilde{u}^{11} \quad \text { 'blue' } \\
& <\text { ny>, <gny>, <mny>, <rny> -> } \quad<\text { nya> na }{ }^{13} \quad \text { 'fish' } \\
& <\text { gnyis }>\text { nui }^{53} \quad \text { 'two' } \\
& \text { <mnyam> na } \tilde{a}^{53} \quad \text { 'together' } \\
& \text { <rnying.pa> } \int \tilde{i}^{55} b a^{53} \quad \text { 'old (things)' }
\end{aligned}
$$

When the WT root-initial letter is $<\mathbf{s}>$, the Dongwang reflex usually is a slightly aspirated and slightly voiced onset. The syllable tends to have breathiness throughout, but the degree of breathiness varies among speakers.

| <sny> | $->{ }_{0}$ | <snying> | $\mu_{0} i^{353}$ | 'heart' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<\mathrm{n}>,<\mathrm{gn}>,<\mathrm{mn}>,<\mathrm{rn}>$ | -> $n$ | <na> | $n a^{13}$ | 'to be ill' |
|  |  | <gnam> | $n \tilde{a}^{53}$ | 'sky' |
|  |  | <mna'.ma> | $n 9^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'bride' |
|  |  | <rna.ba> | $n \tilde{e}^{55} j i^{11}$ | 'ear' |
| <sn> | -> $n$ | <snub> | nut: ${ }^{353}$ | 'to sniff' |
|  |  | <sna> | nıa ${ }^{353}$ | 'nose' |
|  |  | <snum> | ${ }_{0} u^{353}$ | 'oil' |
| <m>, <dm>, <rm> | -> m | <ma.le> | $m e^{11} 1 i^{55}$ | 'chin' |
|  |  | <dmag.mi> | ma: ${ }^{55} \mathrm{na}{ }^{11}$ | 'soldier' |
|  |  | <rma> | $m a^{53}$ | 'sore', 'wound' |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(<\mathrm{my}>^{41}\) \& -> \(n\) \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& <\text { myi }> \\
\& <\text { mying> } \\
\& <\text { mye> }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& n 9^{13} \\
\& n 9^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55} \\
\& n i^{13}\left[\sim n i^{13}\right]
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
'person', 'man'42 \\
'name \({ }^{43}\) \\
'fire'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline <sm> \& \(->m_{0}\)

$->n_{0}$ \& | <sman> |
| :--- |
| <smug.pa> |
| <smon.lam> |
| <smin.po> | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{0} \mathfrak{P}^{353} \\
& m i^{11} W O^{53} \\
& m_{0} i^{55} \tilde{a}^{53} \\
& {\underset{o}{0}} u^{353}
\end{aligned}
$$
\] \& 'medicine' 'fog', 'mist' 'to pray ${ }^{14}$ 'ripe' <br>

\hline <smy> \& $->{ }_{0}$ \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <smyo> } \\
& \text { <smyon.pa> }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{0} u^{13} \\
& J_{0} \tilde{u}^{55} m b a^{53}
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 'crazy' |
| :--- |
| 'crazy person' | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

### 2.2.1.5.2 Liquids

Of the two liquids $<\mathrm{r}>$ and $<\mathrm{l}>$, only $<\mathrm{l}>$ has undergone significant changes in Dongwang Tibetan. The liquid $<\mathrm{r}>$ is sometimes pronounced as a soft tap and other times as a strong trill. It appears that these differences in pronunciation are partially due to differences between male (trill) and female (tap) speakers.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<\mathrm{ri}>,<\mathrm{rw}> & ->\rho & <\text { ri }> & \varsigma \partial^{13} \\
& <\text { rus.pa }> & \Gamma \partial^{11} b a^{55} & \text { 'bone' } \\
& <\text { rwa.ca }> & \Gamma \partial^{11} W a^{55} & \text { 'horn' }
\end{array}
$$

[^37]$$
<\text { bya.rog }>\quad s \boldsymbol{a}^{11} r u^{53} \quad \text { 'raven' }
$$

The WT root letter <l> -> [j] sound change is unusual for Tibetan dialects. It has been reported in a few other dialects and is found in some Southern Khams dialects. This change is not universal, but occurs in a somewhat restricted environment. The following section examines the conditions for this development.

With few exceptions, the following WT forms have a palatal glide onset:

$$
<1>^{45},<\mathrm{gl}>^{46}, \text { and }<\mathrm{lh}>^{47} .
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
<1>,<\mathrm{gl}> & ->j & <\text { lang }> & j \tilde{o}^{13} \\
& <\text { lag.pa }> & j a^{13}{ }_{W} a^{55} & \text { 'to stand' } \\
& & \text { 'hand', 'arm' } \\
& <\text { glang }> & j \tilde{o}^{353} & \text { 'bull' } \\
& <\text { glog }> & j u^{53} & \text { 'lightening' }
\end{array}
$$

When the simple onset $<1>$ occurs in syllables with a mid tone, it is pronounced $\kappa j$, thus merging with $<\mathrm{lh}>$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cllll}
<\mathrm{l}>,<\mathrm{lh}> & ->~ h j & <\text { lud }> & h j i^{353} & \text { 'manure' } \\
& <\text { las }> & h j i^{353} & \text { 'work' } \\
& <\text { lham }> & h j \tilde{a}^{353} & \text { 'shoes' } \\
& <\text { lha> } & h_{j a} a^{353} & \text { 'god' }
\end{array}
$$

[^38]With few exceptions, the following WT forms have not undergone the $<1>->$ [j] sound change.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
<\mathrm{rl}>,<\mathrm{zl}>,<\mathrm{kl}>,<\mathrm{bl}> & ->l & <\text { rlon.pa> } & l e^{\tilde{55}} b a^{53} & \text { 'wet' } \\
& \text { <zla.dkar?> } & l 0^{55} g \mathfrak{x}^{53} & \text { 'moon'48 } \\
& \text { <klad.pa> } & l e:^{55} p a^{53} & \text { 'brain' } \\
& \text { <bla.ma> } & l a^{55} m o^{53} & \text { 'living Buddha' }
\end{array}
$$

Finally, of the words in my database that arise from WT <sl> or <brl> onsets, some have become the voiced lateral fricative [ $[\mathfrak{b}$ ] while others have become the voiced aspirated palatal approximant [hij].

$$
\begin{array}{rllll}
<\mathrm{sl}>,<\text { brl }> & ->\xi & <\text { slabs }> & \xi \supset o^{353} & \text { 'to teach' } \\
& <\text { brla> } & \xi o^{353} & \text { 'thigh' } \\
->h j & <\text { slong }> & h j \tilde{o}^{353} & \text { 'to want, to beg' }
\end{array}
$$

In two syllable words, the second syllable onset $<\mathrm{l}>$ does not undergo the same change as described above. For example, <ma.le> $\mathrm{me}^{11} 1 i^{55}$ 'chin'; <lce.legs> $t c 9^{55} l i^{53}$ 'tongue', <las.sla.po> $l i^{13} l a^{55}$ 'easy', <yig.slob> $z i^{11} l \partial o^{53}$ 'student' and $<$ rmi.lam $>m i^{55} / \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'prayer'. However, compounds or phrases have undergone the syllable-initial changes. For example, <ko.ba lham> kua ${ }^{55} h j \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'leather shoes', <yar lang> $z \boldsymbol{e}^{13} j \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'to stand up', 'to rise', or <rkang.lam> $k \tilde{o}^{55} j \tilde{a}{ }^{53}$ 'footpath'. This suggests

[^39]that historical changes have taken place at word-initial boundaries rather than syllable-initial boundaries.

### 2.2.1.5.3 Glides (w and y)

There are very few words with the WT bilabial approximant $<\mathrm{w}>$ onsets. The few WT words with this onset are usually borrowings or transliterations from other languages. The one example in my database, <wa> 'fox', is pronounced as a bilabial approximant.

Bilabial approximant
$<\mathrm{W}>\quad->w<\mathrm{wa}>\mathrm{wa}^{13} \quad$ 'fox'

Most occurances of $\mathrm{WT}<\mathrm{y}>$ including its one cluster $<\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{y}>{ }^{49}$ are realized as $z$ in Dongwang speech ${ }^{50}$.

| $<\mathrm{y}\rangle,\langle\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{y}>$ | -> z | <yar> | $z 9^{13}$ | 'up', 'upwards' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <yig> | $z i^{13}$ | 'book', 'letter' |
|  |  | <g.yag> | $z a^{353}$ | 'yak' |
|  |  | <g.yar> | $z \mathfrak{X}^{353}$ | 'to borrow', 'to lend' |

## Velar approximants

$$
<^{\prime}>(\text { a.chung }) \quad->w<' \text { ong }>\quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad \text { 'to come }{ }^{51}
$$

[^40]|  |  | <'o.ma> | Wõ: ${ }^{13}$ | 'milk' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <'od> | we ${ }^{11}$ | 'light', 'bright' |
|  | -> $\varnothing$ | <'ug.pa | $u^{11}$ Wa ${ }^{55}$ | 'owl' |
| <h> | -> $h$ | <ha.go> | hao ${ }^{53} \mathrm{ku}{ }^{11}$ | 'to understand' |
| <hr> | -> $r^{h}$ | $<$ hral>? | $c^{h} a^{13}$ | 'to tear, tr' |

### 2.2.2 Rhymes

WT rhymes have undergone many changes in Dongwang. In the following section, I first examine open syllables in monosyllabic words, closed syllables in monosyllabic words and rhymes in polysyllabic words.

In Dongwang, similar to other Khams dialects, the WT high front and high back vowels $<\mathrm{i}>$ and $<\mathrm{u}>$ have lowered and centralised to a schwa ${ }^{52}$. Conversely, $<\mathrm{e}>$ and $\langle 0\rangle$ have raised to $i$ and $u$ respectively. $<\mathrm{a}>$ has become the low back vowel $a$.

The following diagram illustrates this vowel shift:

[^41]

Figure 10: DongWang Vowel Shift of WT open syllables
There are very few exceptions to the pattern illustrated in Figure 10 above. The examples below illustrate each of these changes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\mathrm{u}>\quad->\quad \partial \quad<\mathrm{chu}>t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{53} \text { 'water', }<\mathrm{su}>s^{h} \partial^{53} \text { 'who', <dgu>g} \partial^{353} \text { 'nine }{ }^{53} \\
& <\mathrm{e}>\quad->\quad \mathrm{i} \quad<\mathrm{me}>n i^{13} \text { 'fire', <dbye> } s i^{53} \text { 'to separate' } \\
& <0>\quad \text { u } \quad<\mathrm{mgo}>{ }^{n} g u^{55},<\mathrm{so}>s u^{53} \text { 'tooth', }<\text { rdo }>d u^{353}{ }^{\prime} \text { stone }^{\text {² }} \\
& <\mathrm{a}>\quad->\quad \mathrm{a} \quad<\mathrm{nga}>\eta a^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{lsABS} \text { ', }<\operatorname{lnga}>\eta a^{53} \text { 'five', }<\text { lha }>\text { hja }^{353} \text { 'god }^{55}
\end{aligned}
$$

When certain codas follow the vowel in the rhyme, the changes become more complex. Since vowels tend to be less stable over time, the following section represents changes which should be considered generalizations. Known exceptions are noted.

Codas which have been dropped over time leave behind traces such as vowel nasalization and/or length. Sometimes, nasal codas are totally lost and only re-emerge when followed by the nominalizer $<$ pa $>$.

[^42]\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\prod_{0} \mathfrak{Z}^{353} & <\text { sman }>~ ' m e d i c i n e ' ~ & m_{0} \tilde{x}^{35} b a^{53} & <\text { sman.pa }> & \text { 'doctor' } \\
\jmath_{0} u^{353} & <\text { smyon> 'crazy' } & \prod_{0} \tilde{u}^{35} b a^{53} & <\text { smyon.pa> } & \text { 'crazy } \\
& & & & \text { person'56 }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Vowels followed by coronal consonants can be fronted and unrounded ${ }^{57}$ or backed and unrounded.

|  | <-d> | <-l> | <-n> | <-r> | <-s> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <a> | $\mathrm{i} \sim \mathrm{e}$ | $\mathrm{i}^{58}$ | モ̃~æ | æ | $\mathrm{i} \sim \mathrm{e}$ |
| <i> | i | U | $\sim_{1}{ }^{59}$ | $\mathrm{um}^{60}$ | $\mathrm{wu}^{61}$ |
| <u> | i | U | -1~i | 20~UI | i |
| <e> | e | i | $\tilde{æ}$ | $\mathrm{e} \sim æ$ | i |
| <0> | e | i | ẽ | æ | U |

TABLE (8): DEVELOPMENT OF VOWELS FROM WT SYLLABLES WITH CORONAL CODAS

Non-high vowels followed by non-nasal bilabial codas $<$ b $>$ or $<$ bs $>$ have developed into diphthongs, while those with nasal bilabial codas $<\mathrm{m}>$ or $<\mathrm{ms}>$ tend to retain their point of articulation but acquire nasalization.

[^43]|  | $<-\mathrm{b}>$ | $<-\mathrm{bs}>$ | $<-\mathrm{m}>$ | $<-\mathrm{ms}>$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<\mathrm{a}>$ | $\partial \mathrm{o} \sim \partial$ | $\partial 0 \sim \partial$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{o}}^{62}$ |
| $<\mathrm{i}>$ | i | -- | $(\partial)^{63}$ | -- |
| $<\mathrm{u}>$ | $\mathrm{u} \sim \mathrm{u}$ | $\mathrm{u} \sim \mathrm{u}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{o}} ; \mathrm{u}$ | -- |
| $<\mathrm{e}>$ | $\partial 0$ | $\partial 0$ | $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{64}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| $<\mathrm{o}>$ | -- | -- | $\tilde{\mathrm{o}}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{o}}$ |

TABLE (9): DEVELOPMENT OF VOWELS FROM WT SYLLABLES WITH BILABIAL CODAS

Vowels followed by a velar coda $<\mathrm{g}>,<$ gs $>,<$ ng $>$ or $<$ ngs $>$ tend to retain their point of articulation. Usually velar nasals contribute nasalization to the peak vowel and non-nasal velars become a glottal coda. However, this is not a completely regular pattern.

|  | <-g> | <-gs> | <-ng>, <-ngs> |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <a> | a (?) | a (?) | o, ${ }_{\text {o }}$ |
| <i> | i (?) | i (?) | i, ì |
| $<\mathrm{u}>$ | o, u (?) | o, u ( P ) | o, ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |
| <e> | e (?) | -- | -- |
| <0> | u (?) | u ( P ) | $\tilde{\mathrm{u}}^{65}$ |

TABLE (10): DEVELOPMENT OF VOWELS FROM WT SYLLABLES WITH VELAR CODAS

[^44]Table (11) gives a general summary of the development of WT rhymes in
Dongwang discussed so far. Only codas from monosyllabic WT words that have clear etymologies are included.

| WT non-nasal rhyme | DW <br> rhyme | WT nasal rhyme | DW <br> rhyme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & <\mathrm{e}>,<\mathrm{ad}>,<\text { as }>,<\text { al }>,<\mathrm{ig}>, \\ & <\text { igs }>,<\text { ud }>,<\text { ol }>,<\mathrm{el}>,<\text { es }>, \\ & \text { <us>, <ibs>, <id>, <ing> } \end{aligned}$ | $i$ | <in>, <ing>, <un> | $\tilde{1}$ |
| <i'u>, <e'u>, <a'u>, <o $>^{\text {b6 }}$ | Y | -- |  |
| $<0>,<u g>,<$ ugs $>$ | $u$ | <ong>, <oms> | ũ |
| $\begin{aligned} & <\text { ad>, }<\text { ed }>,<\text { er }>,<\text { od }>,<\text { ar }>, \\ & <\mathrm{as}>67 \end{aligned}$ | e | <on> | ẽ |
| <ug $>,\left\langle\mathrm{ugs}>,<\mathrm{a}>^{68}\right.$ | $o$ | ```<ams>, <ang>, <ong>, <um>, <ung>``` | $\tilde{o}$ |
| <ag>, <ags>, <a $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle^{69},\langle\mathrm{ar}\rangle^{70}$ | $a$ | <am>, <abs> | $\tilde{a}$ |
| <ar>, <or>, <ad>, <an>, <er> ${ }^{71}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <\mathrm{a}^{\prime}>, \text { <an>, <em>, <ems>, } \\ & <\mathrm{en}>,<\text { on }> \end{aligned}$ | $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ |
| <i>, <u>, (<0>, <a'u>, <ar>) | 0 | -- |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & <\text { il }>,<\text { ir }>,<\text { is }>,<\text { os }><\text { ub(s) }>, \\ & <\text { ul }>,<\text { ur }>,<0>^{72} \end{aligned}$ | $u$ | $<$ in> | UI |
| <a> | $a$ | <a> | $\tilde{a}$ |

TABLE (11): SUMMARY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF WT RHYMES IN DONGWANG
${ }^{66}$ Only found in the word $<\mathrm{lo}>j Y^{13}$ 'year'.
${ }^{67}$ Only found in the word <ngas, nga'i> $\eta e^{13} 1$ SERG, 1 SGEN'.
${ }^{68}$ Only found in the word <brla> $50^{353}$ 'thigh'.
${ }^{69}$ Only found in the word <'ba'> mba ${ }^{353}$ 'to carry on one's back'.
${ }^{70}$ Only found in the words <yar> $z 9^{13}$ 'up' and <phar> $p^{h} 9^{55}$ 'thither'.
${ }^{71}$ Only found in the word $<$ gser $>s \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'gold'.
${ }^{72}$ Only found in the word $<$ glo $>j u u^{13}$ 'cough'.

A few of the forms in Table (11) might appear to be out of place. Other than individual occurrences that I have mentioned in footnotes below, there are a few underlined WT forms that should be addressed. First, the development of the nasal rhyme is not always stable. Sometimes a nasal disappears altogether (as in the word $<$ snying $>\operatorname{~j}_{0} i^{13}$ 'heart'), while other times it appears only when morphemes come together (as in the words $<$ sman $>\operatorname{mox}_{0}{ }^{13}$ 'medicine' and $<$ sman.pa $>m_{0} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} b a^{55}$ 'doctor'). I have not found any patterns for these words. Conversely, some rhymes that were not nasalized historically are now nasalized in Dongwang as in $<$ snabs $>n_{0} \tilde{a}^{353}$ 'snot'. The only examples I have of this type follow a nasal onset.

The two rhymes <ug> and <ugs> have developed into $u$ and $o$ in Dongwang. In my database, there are more words that have modern $o$ reflexes ${ }^{73}$, but there are not enough words overall to determine any patterns which might have influenced the split.

### 2.2.2.1 Diphthongization

An important exception to the preceding discussion is the development of diphthongs in Dongwang from certain WT rhymes.

The presence of front-rounded vowels in many Tibetan dialects can be traced historically to a rhyme containing a back-rounded vowel and a coronal coda which results in coronal assimilation of the peak vowel (see Brush 1997 for more discussion

[^45]of this in Lhasa Tibetan). Thus the vowel preceding the coronal is fronted, but retains other features such as rounding and nasalization. So, for example, in Lhasa Tibetan, the modern reflex of WT rhymes with $<\mathrm{u}>,<\mathrm{o}>$, and $<\mathrm{a}>$ followed by $\mathrm{C}_{[+ \text {cor] }}$ are $/ \mathrm{Y} /$, $/ \varnothing /$, and $/ \varepsilon /$ respectively ${ }^{74}$. In Dongwang however, this is not the case. While WT codas affect the rhymes, they do so in different ways. The modern reflex of syllables with a WT velar onset, mid back rounded vowel <0> , and non-nasal coronal coda ( $<\mathrm{s}>,<\mathrm{l}\rangle,<\mathrm{r}\rangle$, or $\langle\mathrm{d}\rangle$ ), is one of the diphthongs, ui, ua, uæ, or ue. Consider the following examples:

| WT | Dongwang | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| khos | $k^{h} u i^{53}$ | '3SERG' |
| 'khyol | $k^{h} u i^{53}$ | 'to boil, intr' |
| khor | $k^{h} u a^{53}$ | '3SDAT' |
| 'khor | $k^{h} u \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ | 'to circle' |
| rgod | $g u e P^{353}$ | 'vulture' |

TABLE (12): DEVELOPMENT OF DONGWANG RHYMES IN WT sYLLABLES WITH NON-NASAL VELAR ONSETS AND CORONAL CODAS FOLLOWING < O >

In Table 12 above, the WT vowel $<0>$ becomes $u i$, $u a$, $u æ$, or ue depending on the coda consonant. WT rhymes $<$ os $>$ and $<$ ol $>$ have become $u i$ when following a

[^46]velar stop. WT rhyme $<$ or $>$ has become $u a$ or $u æ^{75}$. The WT rhyme $<$ od $>$ has become ue.

It is clear that it is not the rounded vowel that has prompted diphthongization, because not all WT syllables that have rhymes with rounded vowels undergo the same change. The WT vowel must be $<0>$ followed by a non-nasal coronal coda. If these conditions are not met, the syllable does not undergo the same change:

| WT | Dongwang | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| skur | $k u^{53}$ | 'to send' |
| kho | $k^{h}{ }^{53}$ | '3s' |
| khyur | $k i^{53}$ | 'to swallow' |
| kun | $k \tilde{i}^{53},=k \tilde{i}$ | 'all', '=PL' |
| gon | $k \tilde{\varepsilon}^{53}$ | 'to wear' |
| kon | $k \tilde{i}^{53}$ | 'all' |

TABLE (13): DEVELOPMENT OF WT SYLLABLES WITH NON-NASAL VELAR ONSETS AND CORONAL CODA NOT FOLLOWING <O>

Similarly, it is only in syllables with velar onsets that a rhyme with a mid, back-rounded vowel and coronal coda has resulted in diphthongization of the rhyme. Rhymes in syllables with a non-velar onset such as $\langle\mathrm{b}\rangle$ or $\langle$ ' $\rangle$ are fronted, but not rounded. For example, $<$ bod $>\mathrm{pe}^{13}$ 'Tibet' and $<$ 'od $>w \mathrm{e}^{13}$ 'natural light'.

Syllable coalescence is also a frequent cause of diphthongization, vowel lengthening, or vowel nasalization in Dongwang. Common 'nominal suffixes' in WT

[^47]are -ma, -mo, -ba, -bo, -pa and -po. In Dongwang Tibetan these sometimes undergo onset weakening (e.g. <ba> -> wa), disappear, or coalesce. Some examples of coalescence are:

| <bu.mo> | -> | $p \tilde{o}^{13}$ | 'girl' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <kha.ba> | $->$ | $k^{h} a:^{13}$ | 'snow' |
|  |  |  |  |
| <sgo.nga> | -> | $g u \tilde{a}^{353}$ | 'egg ${ }^{176}$ |
| <ko.ba.stan> | -> | $k u a^{55} t \tilde{x}^{53}$ | 'leather cushion' |

### 2.2.2.2 Resyllabification

There are some instances of resyllabification of the WT syllable boundaries in contemporary Dongwang. This can be formulated as CVN.CV->CV.NV:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
<\text { <mgron.po> } & { }^{n} d z \partial^{55} m \partial^{11} & \text { 'guest' } \\
\text { <dang.po> } & \text { to }^{11} m \partial^{55} & \text { 'first' } \\
& & \\
\text { <mkhan.po> } & k^{h} \mathfrak{Z}^{55} m \partial^{11} & \text { 'abbot' } \\
\text { <kham.bu> } & k^{h} a^{11} m \partial^{55} & \text { 'peach' }
\end{array}
$$

These seem to be limited to first syllables with nasal codas as there are other words such as $<$ bdag.po $>d a^{11} p \partial^{55}$ 'owner', <ljid.po $>d z 1^{11} p a^{53}$ 'heavy ${ }^{177}$ and $<$ rgyal.po $>d z \mathfrak{F}^{11} b u^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ king' $^{\prime}$.

[^48]
## Chapter 3 Nouns and Pronouns

This chapter begins a discussion of lexical classes, starting with nouns and pronouns. Chapter Four will deal with verbs and verbal categories. Chapter Five will discuss adjectives, Chapter Six will discuss adverbs and Chapter Seven will discuss minor lexical classes. Semantic content, syntactic distribution and derivational processes will be the main criteria used to determine lexical classes. In this and subsequent chapters dealing with the lexical classes, I discuss each class of words, present diagnostic justification for membership in each, and describe various features and characteristics of each class.

The distinction between lexical classes is not always clear in Dongwang, as in many other languages. Semantic criteria alone, as Schachter (1985: 3) points out, often 'fail to provide an adequate basis for parts-of-speech classification'. ${ }^{1}$ Lexical classes can be identified by both 'similarity of syntactic function and similarity of meaning' (Dixon 2004: 3).

Before continuing, a few comments as to what qualifies for 'wordhood' in Dongwang are in order. A satisfactory definition for 'word' has long eluded linguists. As Aikhenvald (2005: 5) says, some definitions are 'horrifying in their complexity'

[^49]while other definitions are 'simple and appealing'. Li and Thompson (1981: 13) state that a word 'should be a unit in the spoken language characterized by syntactic and semantic independence and integrity'. Payne's (2006: 20) working definition for 'word' is 'the smallest structural unit that can occur between pauses'. ${ }^{2}$ Matthews (1991: 208ff) suggests that a universal definition of word is probably not possible and that 'language-specific criteria must be established'. But he adds that words 'tend to be a unit of phonology as well as grammar' (p. 209). Aikhenvald (2005) concurs with this idea by treating 'grammatical word' and 'phonological word' separately in order to 'examine the relationship between the two units' (2005: 9). There is a cluster of features which helps to identify a word in Dongwang.

Prosodic features such as pauses and stress are helpful diagnostics for determining word boundaries. Speakers' ability to say phrases or clauses slowly, inserting pauses between smaller units, indicates a recognition of word boundaries. Written Tibetan does not use word divisions, but does use syllable divisions. However, since none of the speakers used in this study are literate in Tibetan, it is not possible that the written language is interfering with speaker's perceptions. Further, all words have one stressed syllable.

Phonological features such as vowel nasalization can also serve as criteria for determining word boundaries. Certain phonological processes, such as the

[^50]development of a nasalized vowel into a consonant, which then assimilates to the following syllable's point of articulation, appear to occur only within word boundaries.

Aikhenvald (2005: 19) suggests three characteristics of a grammatical word: a) grammatical words always occur together (i.e., not scattered throughout the clause); b) grammatical words occur in a fixed order; and c) grammatical words have a conventionalized coherence and meaning. Semantic independence can be a good indicator of the status of words. Concrete nouns such as 'desk', 'sun', 'house', etc., are the easiest in this respect. However, nominalized forms constructed from a stem plus a nominalizer, and some compounded forms are less clear. Thus, considering a combination of phonological and grammatical factors such as those already mentioned helps to determine the status of a word in Dongwang.

### 3.1 Lexical Nouns

A noun can function as the head in a noun phrase that may make reference to 'an entity or a participant in discourse' (Andvik 1999: 32), may bear a syntactic relationship to a verb (Payne 1997: 170) and can be pluralized. ${ }^{3}$ Verbs must be

[^51]nominalized or be part of a complement clause construction ${ }^{4}$ in order to function as an argument in a clause.

The following section looks at various types of lexical nouns, including compounds and nominalized constructions. After discussing lexical nouns, I will consider pronouns. Casemarking will be discussed together with the Noun Phrase in Chapter Eight.

### 3.1.1 General characteristics of nouns

### 3.1.1.1 Number of syllables

Most nouns are one- or two-syllable words. Three- and four-syllable nouns generally designate insects, bugs, or small animals.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t s u^{55} p a^{33} r a a^{11} & \text { 'spider' } \\
s \tilde{o}^{13}{ }^{13} \partial^{33} l e^{11} & \text { 'butterfly' } \\
t s^{h} a^{11} s a^{53} k u^{33} l e^{11} & \text { 'grasshopper' } \\
s i^{55} d a^{53} g u^{33} l i^{11} & \text { 'woodpecker' }
\end{array}
$$

Other words which have more than two syllables are those derived either from compounding or from nominalized constructions, both which are discussed in §3.1.2.2.2 and §3.1.2.2.3 below.

[^52]
### 3.1.1.2 Proper nouns

### 3.1.1.2.1 Personal names

As in many Tibetan areas, new-born babies are usually taken to a Living Buddha for naming within three days of birth. Most personal names in Dongwang are similar to names throughout Tibetan areas even though the pronunciation can be quite different. They usually have four syllables, but are often reduced to two or three syllables. Most frequently, the first and fourth syllables of a name are combined for two-syllable names, but sometimes the first two syllables are used, or the first, third and fourth. When the number of syllables is reduced, some sound changes are introduced, but there does not seem to be any regular pattern. ${ }^{5}$ Each of the name reduction patterns is illustrated below:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
j i^{11} c i^{55} d z a^{33} m o^{11} & --> & j i^{11} c i^{55} \\
t \leq a^{55} & c i^{53} p \tilde{e}^{55} t s^{h} u^{53} & --> \\
\underline{l o}^{11} \tilde{S a}^{55} t \epsilon^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} O^{53} & --> & t s \partial^{11} p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \\
1 \tilde{o}^{13} t c^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} o^{53}
\end{array}
$$

If within one month to a year of a child's life, he or she falls ill, the child can be returned to the Living Buddha for a new name. This action is based on the belief

[^53]that if a demon is bringing ill health to the child, a child with a different name will not be recognized by the demon.

Occasionally, parents name their children themselves, or children may have nicknames that will remain their adult name. For example, a friend is named $p \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} p i^{55}$, which is from $p a^{13}=j i p i^{55}$ 'a cow's calf'. He was so-named because he liked to drink cow's milk when he was a child. Another friend is named $p^{h} a^{53} m \tilde{u}^{11}$, which is from $p^{h} a^{53}=j i p \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'a pig's girl'. She was so-named because she liked to play with pigs when she was a little girl.

### 3.1.1.2.2 Place names

Most place names either seem to be non-analyzable or borrowed from Chinese. For example, the village where I collected data is called Pongding. Those I questioned said it was of Chinese origin (彭丁) and did not know the Tibetan name. A village across the river from Pongding is called $p^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{11} r u^{55}$, a Tibetan name, but one whose meaning is unknown to those speakers I asked.

### 3.1.1.3 Honorifics

Khams dialects do not generally have honorific systems as elaborate as those described in Central Tibetan dialects. Häsler (1999) describes honorifics in Dege Khams (Eastern Khams), but does not state how pervasive they are except to say that
honorific pronouns are less prominent than in dialects like Lhasa (p. 109). The near lack of honorific nouns in Dongwang is worth mentioning here.

Häsler does include more honorific nouns for Dege than are found in Dongwang, suggesting that honorifics in Dege are more pervasive than in Dongwang. Part of this might be due to the cultural, religious, and literary center that has surrounded Dege's history (Häsler 1999:2, 3). Since Dongwang speakers are primarily farmers, the social stratum that often prompts the development of honorifics has been missing. When honorifics are used in Dongwang, except in very limited contexts, distance or anger rather than respect or honor, is expressed. ${ }^{6}$

As far as I know, there are no honorific nouns that stand by themselves. One verb, $s^{h} a^{53} p^{h} u I^{11}$ 'to prostrate', is derived from the honorific noun for 'hand' <phyag> and the verb $p^{h} u I^{53}$ (perhaps from <'phul> 'to push'). Chapter Four will include a short discussion on honorific verbs.

### 3.1.2 Induction of new nouns into Dongwang

New nouns are introduced into Dongwang through borrowing, vowel raising, compounding, and nominalization.

[^54]
## 3．1．2．1 Borrowing

Most non－native words in Dongwang are borrowed from the local Mandarin Chinese dialect spoken in and around Shangri－la County．This variety of Mandarin is similar to that spoken throughout Yunnan，and is actually considered an archaic dialect of Mandarin．${ }^{7}$ For example，the Mandarin spoken in Shangri－la County has retained velar stops rather than innovating alveo－palatal affricates as in standard Mandarin．So the local pronunciation of the word for＇to go＇（去）is $k^{h} \gamma{ }^{53}$ rather than $t 6^{h} Y^{53}$ as would be expressed in standard Mandarin．Similarly，the local pronunciation for＇street＇（街）is gai ${ }^{13}$ rather than the standard Mandarin pronunciation jie ${ }^{55}$ ．

Tones in the＇Dongwang＇variety of Chinese are also dramatically different from standard Mandarin．For example，袜子＇socks＇is pronounced wa ${ }^{53} t s \boldsymbol{\rho}$ in standard Mandarin，but wa ${ }^{11} t s s^{55}$ in my texts．老师＇teacher＇is pronounced lao ${ }^{21} S_{t}{ }^{55}$ in standard Mandarin，but $1 o^{55} S \boldsymbol{o g}^{11}$ in my texts．An adequate treatment of the phonological processes involved when the local variety of Chinese is borrowed into Dongwang is too complicated to pursue at this point．This dissertation will use a phonetic orthography when Chinese borrowings occur and the gloss for each borrowed lexical item will be followed by the capital letters＇ CH ＇to further clarify its status．

[^55]Borrowings include nouns, verbs, numbers, denominations of money and weekdays. Borrowed nouns generally express new technology or innovations. Thus for example:

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
w a^{11} t s \boldsymbol{e}^{55} & \text { 'socksCH' } & t i^{55} t^{h} u e^{53} & \text { 'hammerCH' } \\
l o^{55} S \boldsymbol{D}^{11} & \text { 'teacherCH' } & j \tilde{a}^{11} j Y^{55} & \text { 'potatoCH' }
\end{array}
$$

One of my texts, My Life, has the most frequent lexical borrowing. This text is a personal biography text which centers around the narrator's school years and her training as a village doctor. She also includes commentary about the changes in China she has observed over the years. Her text is sprinkled with borrowings from Mandarin for words such as 'hygiene', 'teacher', 'school', 'injections', and 'developed'. Another text, Wormgrass, involves three men discussing the caterpillar fungus market and how they fared the previous year. The Mandarin borrowings in Wormgrass are mostly denominations of money.

### 3.1.2.2 Morphological processes

Vowel raising, compounding, and nominalization are three morphological processes by which new nouns are formed in Dongwang.

### 3.1.2.2.1 Vowel raising

Some nominal diminutives are derived through vowel raising. Matisoff (2003: 485) suggests that 'it is something of a sound-symbolic universal for high front vowels to be associated with smallness'. In Dongwang, vowels in diminutives are not
necessarily front vowels, but do tend to be high vowels. All the examples I have in my database are of animals.

| $62^{53}$ | 'dog' | $64]^{13}$ | 'puppy' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p a^{13}$ | 'cow' | $p i^{55}$ | 'calf' |
| $p^{h} a^{53}$ | 'pig' | $p^{h} 2^{13}$ | 'piglet' |
| $s a^{13}$ | 'chicken' | $S Y^{13}$ | 'chick', 'small bird' |

### 3.1.2.2.2 Compounds

There are three types of compounds that yield new nouns: noun + noun; noun + adjective; and noun + verb. In locational compounds, the location precedes the located.

### 3.1.2.2.2.1 Noun + noun compounds

There are two types of noun + noun compounds in Dongwang: pre-modifiying and locational. In pre-modifying compounds the first noun modifies the second noun.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p i^{13} \text { 'wool' }+t \boldsymbol{a}^{11} k i^{55} \quad \text { 'coat', 'shirt' }=p i^{13} t \partial^{33} k i^{11} \quad \text { 'sweater' } \\
& \text { fja } a^{353} \text { 'god' } \quad+\text { æ }^{53} \quad \text { 'likeness' }=6 j a^{35} p æ^{53} \quad \text { 'tanka }^{88} \\
& t c a^{53} \text { 'iron' } \quad+s a^{13} \quad \text { 'chicken' }=t c a^{55} z a^{53} \quad \text { 'airplane' } \\
& m a^{53}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{army}^{\prime} \quad+n \partial^{13} \quad \text { 'person' }=m a::^{55} n \partial^{11} \quad \text { 'soldier' } \\
& s Y^{13} \text { 'small bird' }+t s^{h}{ }^{h} U^{53} \quad \text { 'baby' } \quad=s Y^{11} t s u{ }^{55} \quad \text { 'bird baby' }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^56]
## Locational compounds are those in which the first element serves as a location

 for the second element. These are a subtype of pre-modifying compounds in that they are all composed of two nouns, the first of which modifies the second.$$
\begin{aligned}
& n i^{53} \text { 'eye' }+p \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \quad \text { 'fur' }=n i^{55} p \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \quad \text { 'eyelash' } \\
& p \tilde{o}^{53} \text { 'grassland' }+z a^{353} \quad \text { 'yak' }=p \tilde{o}^{55} z^{53} \quad \text { 'rabbit' } \\
& n i^{53} \text { 'eye' } \quad+t 6^{h} 9^{55} \quad \text { 'water' } \quad=n i^{55} t 6^{h} 9^{11} \quad \text { 'tear' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1.2.2.2.2 Noun + Adjective compounds

In noun + adjective compounds, the adjective modifies the preceding noun.

| $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'bull' | $+t 6^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} W u^{55} \mathrm{big}^{\prime}$ | $=j \widetilde{o}^{55} t 6^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ | 'elephant' ${ }^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j Y^{13}$ 'year' | $+t \varphi^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}{ }^{\text {'small' }}$ | $=j Y^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{D}^{55}$ | 'young' |
| $n i^{53}$ 'eye' | $+\mathrm{k} \boldsymbol{r}^{55} \mathrm{k} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'white' | $=n i^{55} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | 'blind' |
| $s a^{53}$ 'meat' | $+m \partial^{55} \mathrm{mæ}^{53}{ }^{\text {'red }}$ | $=s 2^{55} \mathrm{mæ}^{53}$ | 'lean meat' |
| $s a^{53}$ 'meat' | $+k \underbrace{55} k x^{53}$ 'white' | $=s \boldsymbol{a}^{11} k æ^{53}$ | 'fat meat' |

${ }^{9}$ The uncertain meaning of the first syllable makes this a dubious locational compound.
${ }^{10}$ One speaker pronounces 'elephant' as $l \tilde{o}^{55} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{53}$ but pronounces the word for 'bull' as $j \tilde{o}^{53}$. The lack of the expected $1->j$ sound change in 'elephant' suggests that either this word came into Dongwang before the $1->j$ sound change, or it came into Dongwang via another dialect. Since there are no elephants in Dongwang, but there are elephants in Buddhist mythology, both explanations could be possible.

### 3.1.2.2.2.3 Noun + verb compounds

In Dongwang, noun + verb compounds are formed from a noun + verb root combination. Only a few verb roots inflect for tense or aspect in Dongwang, none of which (to my knowledge) are used to form compounds, so the particular form of the verb employed in these compounds is invariant.

| 6jã ${ }^{353}$ 'shoe' | $+d z u^{53}$ | 'to tie' | $=6 j \tilde{a}^{35} d z u^{55}$ | 'shoelace ${ }^{111}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k i{ }^{55} \mathrm{ta}^{53}$ 'neck' | $+s \tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'to protect' | $=k i{ }^{55} \tilde{S}^{53}$ | 'amulet' |
| $d ⿻ \mathfrak{Z x : ~}{ }^{13}$ 'flour' | $+p u^{53}$ | 'to steam' | $=d z \tilde{\mathscr{E}} \tilde{S}^{13} p u^{53}$ | 'steamed bread' |
| $s^{h} a^{53}$ 'earth' | $+{ }^{n} g u l^{353}$ | 'to shake' | $=s \rho^{55 n} g u^{53}$ | 'earthquake' |
| $t 6^{h} a^{53}$ 'to eat' | $+t^{h} \tilde{o}^{353}$ | 'to drink' | $=t 6^{h}{ }^{11} t^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55}$ | 'food and drink' |
| $n i^{53}$ 'eye' | $+6 j \tilde{o}^{353}$ | 'to beg' ${ }^{12}$ | $=n i^{55} j \tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'blind person' |
| ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ 'head' | $+t_{S}^{h} i^{53}$ | 'to lead' | $={ }^{n} g u^{35} t s^{h} i^{53}$ | 'leader' |

### 3.1.2.2.3 Nominalization

Nominalization has been observed to be a pervasive feature of Tibetan and Tibeto-Burman languages. Matisoff's 1972 description of patterns in Lahu in which one morpheme is used to 'mark genitive NPs, relative clauses, and nominalized verbs and clauses' (DeLancey 1986a: 1) has long been noted in Tibetan and other TibetoBurman languages as well. In fact, the distinction between a nominalized noun and a

[^57]relative clause is not clear-cut. Nominalized nouns are those that have one primary stress per word and can function as any other noun. Nominalizers used to construct relative clauses will be discussed in Chapter Twelve.

There are eight nominalizers in Dongwang that perform a range of functions. The following section discusses each nominalizer and its function relative to noun formation.

### 3.1.2.2.3.1 <pa>-ba and $<\mathrm{ma}>-$ mo

The nominalizer $<\mathrm{pa}>$ is realized as $[-b a]$ following syllables with nasal vowels and $[-p a]$ or $[-w a]$ elsewhere. $<\mathrm{pa}>$ is the most well-known nominalizer in Tibetan. Noonan (http://www.uwm.edu/~noonan: 6) says that 'except for rGyalrong, all branches and sub-branches of Bodic provide evidence for a nominalizing suffix *pa which can be traced back with this function to Proto-Bodic'. In Dongwang, -ba $<\mathrm{pa}>$ along with $-\mathrm{mo}<\mathrm{ma}>(\sim[-\mathrm{mə}]$ ), are marginally productive and only occur in a small handful of words such as those illustrated below.

|  | WT | DW | Gloss | WT | DW | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <pa> | <smyo> | $\prod_{0} u^{353}$ | 'to be crazy' | <smyon.pa> | ${ }_{0} \tilde{u}^{35} b a^{53}$ | 'crazy |
|  |  |  |  |  | person' |  |

3.1.2.2.3.2 <po>-mə, -pə, -bu; <ba>-wa, -ja; and <mo>-mə, -wa, coalescence
$<$ po $>$ : Following WT words that have first-syllable nasal codas, the nasal is dropped and the suffix $<\mathrm{po}>$ is realized as [-mə]. Elsewhere $/-\mathrm{po} /$ becomes [-pə]. I have one instance in which it is realized as $[-b u]$ in the word for 'king': <rgyal.po> $d \not \mathscr{F}^{11} b u^{55}$.
<ba> usually contributes length on the first syllable when syllables coalesce. Otherwise, $<\mathrm{ba}>$ becomes $-j a$ when following front vowels and $-w a$ when following non-front vowels. Examples: $<$ kha.ba $>k^{h} a:^{13}$ 'snow', $<$ ser.ba $>s i^{11} j a^{55}$ 'hail', and $<$ du.ba $>t{ }^{11}{ }^{11} W a^{55}$ 'smoke'.
<mo>: The nominalizer <mo> frequently contributes length and/or
nasalization when it coalesces with the preceding syllable. The modern reflex becomes /-mo/ in a handful of words and at least one word is [-wa]. Examples are <ba.mo> pã: $:^{13}$ 'frost', <pus.mo> pi ${ }^{55} m \partial^{11}$ 'knee' and <zhwa.mo>so ${ }^{11} W a^{55}$ 'hat'.

Many of the disyllabic nouns derived from these three nominalizers are nonanalyzable. That is, although they occur in words with a nominalizing suffix, the nonderived form is unknown. This is so for $t s \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{53}<$ rtsam.pa> 'ground barley flour', $t i^{55} j a^{53}<$ lto.ba> 'bellybutton' and $n \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53}<$ nyi.ma> 'sun'.

Thus for Dongwang, it is important to note that the 'nominalizing' suffixes for most of the words in my database are not productive. That is, new nouns are not constructed using these particular nominalizers. Further, although some derivations are transparent historically, they are not transparent synchronically. Some words, such as those given below, do not have a non-derived form in Dongwang.

|  | WT | DW | Gloss | WT | DW | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <po> | <bdag> | --- | 'self' | <bdag.po> | $d a^{11} p a^{55}$ | 'owner' |
| <ba> | <zor> | --- | 'sickle' | <zor.ba> | $s^{h} 2^{11} W a^{55}$ | 'sickle' |
| <mo> | -- | --- | --- | <sen.mo> | $s e^{11} m \partial^{55}$ | 'fingernail' |

The WT male and female formatives <-po> and <-mo> are fairly rare, but worth mentioning here. DeLancey (1993: 15), quoting Francke, says that 'there is good evidence from earlier stages of the language to suggest that these were once a productive construction, being used widely to mark gender on nouns (Francke 1929:111) and to derive nominalizations meaning ' N which is/does V , V one'. The domain in which they are most productive in Dongwang is in the designation for male and female animals. For example:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
s a^{13} \quad<\text { bya }> & \text { 'chicken' } & s{ }^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} & <\text { bya.mo }>
\end{array} \text { 'hen' }
$$

In many instances, while the WT gender distinctions have been retained, the morphological expression is less transparent in Dongwang due to syllable coalescence.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
p \boldsymbol{o}^{13} & <\text { bu }> & \text { 'boy' } & \text { põ: }{ }^{13} & <\text { bu.mo }> & \text { 'girl' } \\
{ }^{n} d z u^{353} & <\text { mdzo> } & \text { 'male yak/cow' } & { }^{n} d z \tilde{o}:^{13} & <\text { mdzo.mo> 'female yak/cow' }
\end{array}
$$

3.1.2.2.3.3 <sa> -sa, <myi> -no and <?> -mi

The three nominalizers -sa, -no, and -mi are productive for word formation as
well as for relative clause formation. $-s a$ and $-m i$, are both place nominalizers, but with important differences. The function of $-s a$ extends beyond a place nominalizer to an instrumental nominalizer as well. -mi serves a limited function in perfective and specific nominalizations, but only in relative clause constructions. The nominalizing function of -mi, -no, and -sa within relative clauses will be discussed in Chapter Eleven.

### 3.1.2.2.3.4 Place/instrumental nominalizer $<\mathrm{sa}>-s a$

Examples of the nominalizer -sa in my database derive nouns from verbs.

While many of the verb stems are compound or verbalized constructions, the use of $-s a$ is not limited to such.

| -sa | $s \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} z u^{353}$ | 'to cook food' | $s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} Z u^{22} s a^{11}$ | 'kitchen', $\sim$ 'cooking utensil' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $t 6^{h} \partial^{55} p \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ təo ${ }^{5}$ | 3 'to take a photo' | $t 6^{h} 2^{55} p æ^{53}$ təo ${ }^{33} \mathrm{Sa}^{11}$ | 'camera' |
|  | $n a^{13} \mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}{ }^{13}$ | 'to catch fish' | $n a^{13} k^{h} O^{33} s a^{11}$ | 'fishnet', <br> ~'fishing tool' |
|  | $6 j i i^{353}{ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{gum}^{11}$ | 'to work' | fiji ${ }^{35 n} \mathrm{gum}^{33} \mathrm{Sa}^{11}$ | 'tool' |
|  | $s^{r r_{1}^{55}} \mathrm{~m} \widetilde{3}^{53}$ | 'to plough' | $m \tilde{د}^{53} j \varepsilon^{13} s a^{11} \sim s^{h} \hat{I}^{33} m$ | 'plough' |

Many words constructed from -sa have more than one meaning. That is, they can designate a place, such as $s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} z u^{22} s a^{11}$ 'kitchen', or an instrument such as $s \tilde{X}^{13} \mathrm{Zu}^{22} s a^{11}$ 'cooking utensil'. Some of these do not have any other name, but some do. So the instruments are general names when there are no other names. In the example of cooking utensil, there are other names so you can be specific by saying $s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} l u^{13}$ sa ji ti ${ }^{11} \mathrm{kæ}^{53}$ 'cooking food pot'

### 3.1.2.2.3.5 Agent nominalizer -nə <myi>

The nominalizer -nə is an agent nominalizer. This nominalizer has transparently arisen from the WT word <myi> 'man' or 'person'.
nə

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \mathrm{Zu}^{353} \quad \text { 'to cook food' } \quad s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \mathrm{Zu}^{22} \mathrm{ng}^{11} \quad \text { 'cook' } \\
& t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55} p \mathfrak{X}^{53} t \partial o^{53} \text { 'to take a photo' } \quad t \boldsymbol{c}^{h} 9^{55} p \mathfrak{æ}^{53} t \partial o^{33} n \partial^{11} \quad \text { 'photographer' } \\
& j a^{13} k^{h} O^{53} \quad \text { 'to catch fish' } \quad j a^{13} k^{h} O^{22} n \rho^{11} \quad \text { 'fisherman' } \\
& \int_{1 I^{55}} m \tilde{\partial}^{53} \quad \text { 'to plough a field' } \quad S_{i} \tilde{I}^{55} m \tilde{\partial}^{53} n ə^{11} \quad \text { 'ploughman' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.1.2.2.3.6 Kinship prefix a-

Some kinship terms share a common syllable that is derived from an old Proto-Tibeto-Burman prefix *a- or *Ra- which Matisoff (2003: 104) says 'appears throughout TB with kinship terms'. Häsler (1999:88) describes this same prefix for Dege Khams as a nominal prefix 'which can be understood as a prefix expressing respect for the elder'. In Dongwang, the fact that this prefix is not used for either 'mother' and 'father', yet is used for 'child' suggests that this is a prefix indicating kinship without the specification of respect.

| $a^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ | 'child' | $a^{11} j Y^{55}$ | 'older brother' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a^{11} \mathrm{ql}^{55}$ | 'older sister' | $a^{55} n i^{11}$ | 'grandfather' |
| $a^{55} m o^{53}$ | 'grandmother' | $a^{11} n i^{55}$ | 'paternal aunt' |
| $a^{13}$ | 'uncle' | $a^{55} m b \boldsymbol{a}^{11}$ | 'uncle ${ }^{13}$ |

### 3.2 Pronouns

### 3.2.1 Personal pronouns

Pronouns can function as the single element in a noun phrase, and sometimes are accompanied by a numeral as well. Like nouns and noun phrases, they can take casemarking. Unlike nouns, pronouns are inflected for case and then can have a

[^58]redundant casemarking clitic in addition to the casemarked pronoun. Nouns, or noun phrases, only take a casemarking clitic.

There are singular and plural pronouns in Dongwang that distinguish inclusive and exclusive in first person. Dual and trial forms can be constructed by adding the numerals $n u u^{53}$ 'two' and $s \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'three'. Each form can be inflected for number and case. Gender is not marked.

### 3.2.1.1 Singular personal pronouns

The singular pronominal paradigm is given in Table (14):

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABS | $\mathrm{ya}^{13}$ | $6 \mathrm{e}^{55}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \partial^{55}$ |
| ErG, GEN, INSTR | $\mathrm{y} \mathrm{e}^{13}(\sim=\mathrm{ji})$ | $\mathrm{ci}^{55}(\sim=\mathrm{ji})$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ui}^{55}(\sim=\mathrm{ji})$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dat, Ben, } \\ & \text { REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{ya}^{13}(\sim=\mathrm{j} æ)$ | $\mathrm{ca}^{55}(=\mathrm{j} æ)$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ua}^{53}(\sim=\mathrm{j} æ)$ |
| OBJ ${ }^{14}$ | $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{e}^{13}=\mathrm{go}$ | $\mathrm{Ci}^{55}=\mathrm{go}$ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ui}^{55}=\mathrm{go}$ |
| COMIT | $\mathrm{ye}^{13}=\mathrm{ro}$ | $\operatorname{ci}^{55}=$ rõ | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ui}^{55}=\mathrm{ro}$ |
| ABL | y $\mathrm{e}^{13}=$ ts 2 | $\mathrm{ci}^{55}=\mathrm{ts}$ ə | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ui}^{55}=\mathrm{ts}$ ¢ |

TABLE 14: PRONOMINAL PARADIGM OF SINGULAR Forms ${ }^{15}$

[^59]${ }^{15}$ The casemarking clitics which attach to nouns are discussed in more detail in Chapter Eight. The symbol $\sim$ indicates an additional optional casemarking clitic can accompany the inflected pronoun.

The pronouns in Table (14) have arisen from the fusion of a pronoun and a casemarking clitic. The ERG/GEN/INSTR and DAT/BEN/REC forms can either occur as the inflected stem alone, or can be double casemarked. The OBJ, COMIT, and ABL forms are constructed from a genitive pronoun plus the relevant casemarking morpheme. The addition of a second casemarking clitic on the ERG/GEN/INSTR and DAT/BEN/REC forms is optional.

GetMar036

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | ro | $\eta a^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | $t s i$ | $m e$ | $s 9^{55}$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | TOP | 1SABS | go | PROSP | COP.NEG.SELF | say | COP.SELF | 'I already said 'I am not going'.'

GetMar034
(2) $\quad \begin{array}{llllllll}\tilde{x}^{55} & \eta a^{13} & =j \mathfrak{x} & n a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & \text { gui } & d z i i & \text { so }\end{array}$
then 1SDAT =DAT bride go NEED OTHR say
'Then (people) said/were saying to me '(you) should go be a bride'.'

### 3.2.1.2 Plural personal pronouns

Dongwang distinguishes inclusive/exclusive dual, trial, and two plural forms. Inclusive pronouns are constructed from unique stems, apparently unrelated to the exclusive forms. Dual and trial pronouns are derived transparently from the numeral $j u u^{53}$ 'two' or $s \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'three' suffix. The plural suffix is optional for dual and trial pronouns, but occurs after the enumerator when it is used. The plural pronominal pradigm is summarized in Table 15:

|  |  | ABS | ERG, GEN | DAT/BEN/REC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 INCL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DU } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \partial^{11} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55} \text { nuw } \\ & \partial^{11} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55}(=\mathrm{k} \tilde{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \partial^{11} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55} \mathrm{juw}=\mathrm{ji} \\ & \partial^{11} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55}(=\mathrm{k} \tilde{\mathrm{i}})=\mathrm{ji} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \partial^{11} k^{h} u^{55} \mathrm{nu}=\mathrm{j} æ \\ & \partial^{11} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55}(=\mathrm{k} \tilde{\mathbf{1}})=\mathrm{j} æ \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 EXCL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DU } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{wo}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}^{53} \mathrm{nul}=\mathrm{ji} \\ & \mathrm{wo}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{53}(=\mathrm{ki})(=\mathrm{ji}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wo }^{55 n} \mathrm{dza}^{53} \mathrm{nu}=\mathrm{jæ} \\ & \text { wo }^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{dza}^{53}(=\mathrm{k} \tilde{\mathrm{u}})(=\mathrm{j} æ) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DU } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ci}^{55 n} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{a}^{53} \text { nu } \\ & \operatorname{ci}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d}_{7} \mathrm{a}^{53}(=\mathrm{ki}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ci}^{55 n} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}^{53} \mathrm{nu}(=\mathrm{ji}) \\ & 6 \mathrm{i}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{z} \mathrm{i}^{53} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DU } \\ & \text { PL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u \int^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{a}^{53} \text { nuu } \\ & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{a}^{53}=\mathrm{ki} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{a}^{53} \mathrm{nu}=\mathrm{ji} \\ & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}^{55 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{z}^{53} \mathrm{nu}=\mathrm{ji} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \partial^{53 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{a}^{53} \text { nuw }(=\mathrm{j}) \\ & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \partial^{53 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~d} \not \mathrm{za}^{53} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 15: Pronominal Paradigm of Plural Forms ${ }^{16}$

### 3.2.1.2.1 Overview of plural personal pronouns

There are four plural morphemes: $-{ }^{n} d z a^{53},-n a^{53},=k i ̃$ and $=t S^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$. The first two are only used with pronouns and the latter two are general pluralizers that can pluralize nouns or occur with plural pronouns. $-7 a^{53}$ and $-{ }^{n} d z a^{53}$ are alternate forms in which - $n a^{53}$ includes a 'familial' plural reference. That is, $n a^{53}$ can be used for family and non-family members, but $-{ }^{n} d z a^{53}$ cannot be used for family members. However, while this may be generally true, some speakers suggest the forms are freely variable, and other speakers actually seem to reverse the forms. Clearly, there is a need for more research on their distribution and function.

[^60]
### 3.2.1.2.2 Background of plural personal pronouns

The historical development of the plural pronouns is more complicated than for the singular pronouns.

The relationship of the second- and third-person plural exclusive forms with the second- and third-person singular forms are clear. But the first-person exclusive forms are not so clear. One possibility for the first syllable person-indexing morpheme is $\mathrm{WT}<\mathrm{o}>$ which Jäsche suggests was pronounced as $/ \mathrm{wo} /$, at least in Central Tibetan. Stephen Beyer (1992: 214) also has an interesting note on what he calls 'the archaic determiner' $o \sim u$ in which both the pluralized forms $o-c a g \sim u-c a g$ $\sim a-c a g \sim u$-bu-cag $\sim y u$-cag, as well as o-skol $\sim u$-skol 'persisting from the earliest Central Asian manuscripts well into the nineteenth century', mean 'we'. Although interesting, exact etymologies are somewhat speculative at this point.

The likeliest origin for the second syllable of the exclusive general plural form is <rnam> as in Dege Khams (Häsler 1999: 107). Although the disappearance of the nasal coda is inexplicable, there are other words which have for some reason dropped all trace of a nasal coda.

### 3.2.2 Reflexive pronouns

Reflexive pronouns ${ }^{17}$ are formed by reduplication. They can be inflected for case just like any personal pronouns.

YDFree
(3)
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13} \eta a^{11} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353}$
1SERG 1REFL hit
'I hit myself'

YDFree
$\eta a^{13} \quad \eta a^{13} \eta a^{11} \quad k a^{13} \quad j i$
1s 1REFL laugh COP.SELF
'I laughed at myself' ~ 'I myself laughed'

Reflexive pronouns often function as emphatic relatives to express that a particular action was performed by one person alone.

GetMar089
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \eta a^{13} \eta a^{11}$ la $s^{55} p \boldsymbol{a}^{11}$ re $\quad$ õ
then $1 \mathrm{~s}:$ REFL also happy COP.OTHR EGO
'I myself am also happy'.

LeavingHome007

| $n a^{13} \eta a^{11}$ | ${ }^{n} d æ^{353} \quad$ ma | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{REFL}$ | read NEG go |  |
| 'I myself am not going to study' |  |  |

[^61]Elicited: Reflexive PN, first person, agentive
(7)

```
\eta\mp@subsup{e}{}{13}}\quad\eta\mp@subsup{e}{}{13}\eta\mp@subsup{e}{}{11}\quad\quadngu\mp@subsup{|}{}{353
1SERG 1SERGREFL VBZR.do
'I will do it myself'
```

There is a general reflexive pronoun, $r \tilde{o}^{11} r \tilde{o}{ }^{55}(\sim r \tilde{o})$, that is not person specific.

The referent is determined by the context.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { GetDivA040 } \\
& r \tilde{o}^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55} \quad p i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d q u  \tag{8}\\
& \text { REFL walk go } \\
& \text { '(He) himself left'. }
\end{align*}
$$

In (8), rõ ${ }^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55}$ refers to the narrator's husband who left her and her children. In the example below, rõ ${ }^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55}$ refers to the narrator:

MyLife265

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\tilde{x}^{13} & \text { no } & \underline{r} \tilde{o}^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { la } & g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53} & \text { re } & \text { wũ }  \tag{9}\\
\text { now } & \text { PTCL } & \text { REFL } & \text { also } & \text { old } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { come } \\
\text { 'Now, } & \text { (I) } \underline{\text { myself am also getting old'. }} & &
\end{array}
$$

The third-person reflexive pronoun can be used for inanimates:

Butter\&Cheese033, 034
$\underline{t \epsilon^{h}} u^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53} \quad t 6^{h} u u^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} k^{h} \partial^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53}$ sourwater thither pour sourwalter 3REFL thither pour 'Pour out the sourwater. Pour out the sourwater by itself.'

In the example above, the speaker's emphasis that only the sourwater and nothing else should be poured out is conveyed by the addition of the emphatic reflexive $k^{h} \rho^{55} k^{h} \rho^{11}$ in the second clause.

## Chapter 4 Verbs and verbal categories

Verbs in Dongwang are almost always regular and invariant. Tense and aspect ${ }^{1}$ as well as categories of intention, control, and transitivity are almost solely reflected in the number of arguments and the type of auxiliary included in the clause. In the following sections, the semantic-pragmatic categories of verbs in Dongwang are introduced. Grammatical and morphosyntactic repercussions of verbs will be touched on in this chapter, but discussed in more detail in the appropriate sections dealing with the Noun Phrase (Chapter Eight), the Verb Phrase (Chapter Nine) and Final Auxiliary Verbs (Chapter Ten).

In this Chapter, I first discuss the semantic and pragmatic categories surrounding the verb in, apparently, all dialects of Tibetan. These include categories such as transitivity, intentionality, and control. Since these categories exhibit complicated interaction with the verb complex and arguments, they are discussed at various points throughout this dissertation. Here, I hope to present the features specifically relevant to the verb and the copula. I also include a brief discussion of honorific verbs.

In this chapter, I also discuss equative copulas, existential copulas, and lexical verbs. Verbal prefixes and suffixes are discussed in Chapter Nine and final auxiliaries

[^62]in Chapter Ten. The various finite clause constructions which each of these participate in is discussed in Chapter Eleven.

### 4.1 Semantic and pragmatic categories

Many researchers have discussed categories such as transitivity, volition, and intention in Tibetan (e.g., Goldstein 1977; DeLancey 1985, 1986, 1990; Gesang Jumian 1981, 1992; Tournadre 1996, 2001; Bailey and Walker 2004; Häsler 1999; Zeisler 2004). Because there is usually not a one-to-one form and function relationship between these categories and a verb, these semantic-pragmatic categories have proven to be somewhat elusive. Various attempts have been made to analyze and describe the interactions between these categories and other categories coded in the verb phrase such as evidentiality, certainty, and aspect. For example, Tournadre (2003: 141-147); Denwood (1999: 134-169); Häsler (1999: 133-145); and Garrett (2001) all contribute interesting and enlightening reading on the subject. Two common points of agreement between researchers are that speakers can manipulate categories for their communicative goals and that categories are pluri-functional. These two ideas will be thematic throughout this chapter and subsequent chapters.

Like verbs in other Tibetan dialects, Dongwang verbs can be classified by two parameters: transitivity (transitive/intransitive) and control (+/- control). ${ }^{2}$ While

[^63]transitivity has to do with the number of arguments a verb can take, control has to do with the controllability of the verb. The ramifications of the covert category of control are reflected in which auxiliaries can co-occur with a control versus a non-control verb. That is, whether or not a particular verb is a control verb becomes clear when the combinatory possibilities of that verb with certain intentional auxiliaries are known.

### 4.1.1 Transitivity

In Dongwang, there are a handful of verbs that indicate transitivity by morphophonemic alternation. Transitivity, in most cases, can be determined by the number of arguments with which a verb may co-occur and certain grammatical constraints which surround a verb.

Hopper and Thompson (1980) present a scalar notion of transitivity and suggest that transitivity should be broken down into distinct factors to help explain cross-linguistic variation. In their approach, transitivity is not necessarily a feature of the verb, but of the clause (ie. the verb with its arguments). A prototypically highlytransitive clause in Hopper and Thompson's terms would be a perfective clause in which there is a volitional agent acting on a highly-individuated and highly-affected patient. In Dongwang, speakers can clearly alter the 'degree of transitivity' in discourse by manipulating selection of categories such as auxiliaries, casemarking and aspect. These complex interactions will be discussed in more detail in Chapters Nine and Ten when elements of the verb phrase and the clause are discussed.

In this section three aspects of transitivity in Dongwang are discussed. First, verbs are discussed from a traditional notion of transitivity which revolves around the number of core arguments that may accompany a verb in a clause. An intransitive verb is a verb with only one core argument and a transitive verb is a verb with two or more core arguments. Second, morphophonemic alternations of transitive/intransitive verb pairs are discussed. Finally, this section discusses the grammatical consequences of transitivity in other aspects of the grammar.

### 4.1.1.1 Core arguments

Payne (1997: 70) uses the metaphor of a stage play to describe transitivity. He likens the arguments in a predicate to participants who are present 'on stage' at a given time. A certain number of participants must be on stage for a given event to take place in a way that makes sense. The number of arguments a verb may take is closely related to Payne's idea (1997: 70) of a participant being 'on stage'. The number of core arguments is the maximum number of non-oblique arguments that may accompany a given verb in a clause. Defining the number of core arguments that a verb may take simply by counting overt arguments present in any given clause of real discourse is problematic. In naturally-occurring data speakers frequently omit an A or S argument, or a P argument, or both. If an argument is known, whether because it has already been introduced in the previous discourse or because of shared knowledge with the speech act participants, it can be freely omitted. Additionally, if an argument is unimportant or redundant within a particular discourse it can also be omitted.

Zero anaphora is by no means limited to Dongwang Tibetan. It has been observed in many other languages (e.g., Li and Thompson 1979 for Chinese and Fox 1987 for English) and in other Tibetan dialects. As Denwood (2001: 190) wryly points out: 'At the level of the clause, Tibetan regularly takes ellipsis to its extreme limit; or, as it sometimes seems to a foreigner, even beyond it. The clause arguments subject, object and/or adjunct are regularly omitted without being represented by any phoric or pronoun-like element, whenever the speaker or writer feels they are recoverable from the preceding text or exchange or from grammatical concord.'

The following clauses are taken from the story GoodSam. In the story, the hero comes upon a man who has been beaten up and takes care of him. The omitted arguments are underlined in the free translation following each clause.

GoodSam020-028

then person other INDF there arrive REN
'Then when another person arrived there,'

| $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ | $=W \tilde{O}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ | $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ | $l a^{55} m^{53} t c^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 S | $=$ OBJ | see | REN | 3 S | pity | THINK |

'when he saw him, he pitied him.'
(3) $k^{h} \partial^{55}=W \tilde{o} \quad=j æ \quad n u^{353} r \tilde{o}^{13} \quad a^{55} r a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{\imath}$

3SABS $=\mathrm{OBJ}=\mathrm{LOC}$ oil and liquor $=\mathrm{PL}$
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353}$ ra $-s a$
3SGEN beat RA -NZR
'On the places where he had been beaten, oil and liquor'
$p^{h} \partial \quad j o^{53} \quad t e^{53}$
thither pour GIVE
'He poured.
(5) $z \partial^{33} \mathrm{~mm} \quad z 9^{33} d \tilde{a}^{353} t e^{53}$
up pause up wrap GIVE
'he wrapped him up'
(6)
$z 2^{33} d \tilde{a}^{353}-t e^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{55}$
up wrap -GIVE REN
'After he wrapped him up','
(7) $\quad k^{h} 9^{55} \quad z 2-{ }^{n} d z \partial^{53} \quad n i$

3SABS up grab NI
'he grabbed him and'
(8) $r \tilde{o}^{33} r \tilde{o}^{11}=j i \quad t a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad c a^{53} \quad t 6 o^{53}$

REFL $=$ GEN horse thither ride CAUS
'he had him ride his own horse'.
(9) $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad u h \quad s \tilde{æ ㇒}^{13} k^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}$ tcti =nə $t s^{h} i^{53}$

3SABS thither pause inn INDF = LOC lead 'He led him to an inn'.

In the preceding section of text, none of the transitive verbs ( $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'see',

have an overt A argument and only four have an overt P argument. Yet it is clear

[^64]from the text who is doing what to whom. There are no clues from finite auxiliary forms ('grammatical concord' as Denwood (2001: 190) says) as even the final verb is simply a bare verb stem. Rather, knowledge of which participants are 'on stage' rests entirely on the discourse context.

Sometimes it is difficult to determine whether a particular verb should be considered an ambi-transitive verb or whether a particular verb should be considered a transitive verb whose arguments are omitted. In the story Rabbit, for example, the verb $h j \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'beg' sometimes has a P argument (the noun $m æ^{13}$ 'butter' or an indefinite pronoun) and sometimes not.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { RabbitB005 } \\
& d z u]^{13} \quad t \epsilon \subset i \quad=t s ə \quad=j æ \quad p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial  \tag{10}\\
& \text { shepherd INDF }=\mathrm{ABL}=\text { LOC FILLER }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { every.day butter beg NI } \\
& \text { '(The rabbit) begged butter from a shepherd every day', }
\end{aligned}
$$

In (10) the rabbit, the main character in the story, is easily recoverable from the text. The P argument $m æ^{13}$ 'butter' is overt in this clause but $\kappa j \tilde{o}^{353}$ is repeated many times without an S/A or P argument or any finite auxiliary marking (e.g., '(the rabbit) begged butter from the shepherd every day, begged butter, begged today and begged tomorrow and begged the next day, did like that.' '). In one sense, the shepherd only had butter to give, so the P argument is also easily recoverable from the context. But sometimes arguments are omitted because they are unimportant to the discourse goals
of the speaker. In this text, what bothered the shepherd was the rabbit's incessant begging, and the P argument really is irrelevant. Rather than analyze $\kappa j \tilde{o}^{353}$ as two different verbs (one transitive and one intransitive), it is best to consider $6 j j^{353}$ a verb that has 'indeterminate' transitivity.

### 4.1.1.2 Verb pairs

In many varieties of Tibetan and other Tibeto-Burman languages, there are pairs of verbs in which one member is more 'causative', or 'transitive', than the other member of the pair which are 'distinguished semantically, syntactically, and derivationally' (Beyer 1992: 163) from one another. Old Tibetan had around two hundred or so of these verb pairs (Beyer 1992: 163), but most contemporary dialects usually have fewer than twenty (Tournadre 2003: 352).

A derivational distinction between these verb pairs has been found in many Tibeto-Burman languages (e.g., Matisoff 1976 for Lahu, Strahm and Maibaum 1999 for Jirel, Tournadre 2003 for Lhasa and Standard Spoken Tibetan, and Häsler (1999) for Dege Khams). Linguists have used different terminology to discuss these verb pairs. Matisoff (1976) calls the derived form 'causative' and later (2003: 89, 117), ${ }^{4}$

[^65]distinguishes them as＇inner－directed states or actions＇and＇outer－directed action＇${ }^{5}$ ， which is similar to Tournadre and Dorje＇s（2003：352ff）designation as＇resultative＇ and＇causative＇forms．Strahm and Maibaum（1999）prefer to use the terms＇less transitive＇and＇more transitive＇．

Part of the reason for the different terminology is the close interaction with other semantic and pragmatic categories．Häsler（1999：135）links pairs found in Dege Khams to controllability．Tournadre and Dorje（2003：352ff）note that the ＇causative verb，both transitive and volitional，is derived from a basic verb which is usually both intransitive and non－volitional．＇Hu，et al，（2001：250ff）estimate that about $80 \%$ of the non－causative forms are also intransitive and non－volitional．${ }^{6}$

These verb pairs are akin to what（Givón 2001：74）calls＇morpho－lexical causatives＇in that historically there is a derivational morpheme but over time the derivational process has become＇less regular and more lexically－governed＇．There is also a＇morpho－analytic＇causative（discussed in §9．2．1）that can co－occur with or without these＇morpho－lexical＇causatives．

The transitive derivation in many languages can often be traced to an old morphological causative prefix＊s－in Proto－Tibeto－Burman．The derivation is often

[^66]transparent in WT orthography and found in many transitive members of the verb pairs.

The *s- prefix, associated with the derivation of the transitive form from the intransitive form, has different morphophonemic consequences cross-dialectally. Matisoff (2003: 89) calls this 'the most interesting' and 'arguably the most ancient' morphological alternation. In Lhasa Tibetan, unaspirated obstruents and high-toned nasals are associated with the transitive forms and aspirated obstruents or low-toned nasals are associated with the intransitive forms. In Jirel (Strahm and Maibaum 1999: 6), the pattern is much more complicated, showing four alternating patterns along which the verbs are contrasted: voicing, aspiration, vowel shift, and tone shift. While morphological patterns vary, some sort of variation seems to exist in all varieties of Tibetan.

So far, only thirteen verb pairs have been found in Dongwang, but there are likely others. The distinction between members of the pairs can be semantically and syntactically characterized as one of transitivity in which the transitive member of most pairs correlates with a higher tone than the intransitive member. Segmentally, if there is an aspiration distinction between the pairs, the intransitive form tends to be unaspirated and the transitive form tends to be aspirated. Additionally, if there is a voicing distinction, the intransitive member is voiced and the transitive member is voiceless.

| INTRANSITIVE | GLOSS | Transitive | GLOSS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n i^{13}$ <nyal $>$ | 'to go to sleep', intr | $\mathrm{m}_{0} i^{353}<$ snyol $>$ | 'to put to sleep' |
| mbæ ${ }^{353}$ <'bar> | 'to burn', intr | $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}<$ spar> | 'to burn', tr |
| ${ }^{n} d z u$ u ${ }^{353}$ <'khril> | 'to roll', intr | tsui ${ }^{53}<$ sgril $>$ | 'to make roll' |
| ci $i^{353}<$ ral $>$ | 'to tear', intr | $t s i^{53}<$ dbral $>$ | 'to tear', tr. |
| $s i^{353}$ | 'to separate from' ${ }^{7}$ | si $P^{53}$ | 'to send off' |
| $1 u^{13}<\log >$ | 'to tip over', intr | $1 u^{53}<$ bslog> | 'to tip over', tr |
| $p i^{13}$ | 'to bleed', intr | $p^{h} i^{53}$ | 'to bleed', tr |
| $k^{h} u i^{53}<$ 'khol $>$ | 'to boil' | kui ${ }^{53}<$ skol> | 'to make boil' |
| $t 6^{h} \mathrm{e}^{13}<$ chad $>$ | 'to stop' | $t 6 \mathrm{e}^{53}<$ bcad $>$ | 'to turn off' |
| $1 i^{13}<$ lus $>$ | 'to fall', intr | $3 i^{353}$ | 'to remove ${ }^{18}$ |
| $j \widetilde{o}^{13}<$ lang $>$ | 'to rise', to get up', intr | hjo ${ }^{353}<$ slang $>$ | 'to raise' |
| $j \widetilde{o}^{13}<$ lang $>$ | 'to rise', 'to get up', intr | $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'to pick up' |
| kuæ ${ }^{53}$ | 'to turn around', intr | $k^{h} u æ^{53}$ | 'to turn around', tr |

TABLE (16): TRANSITIVE/INTRANSITIVE VERB PAIRS IN DONGWANG

Table (16) gives examples of the verb pairs found in Dongwang. Most of the intransitive forms on the left are low-toned, while the transitive forms on the right are high-toned. The exception to this is the last example, $k u æ^{53}$ and $k^{h} u æ^{53}$ to turn around' (intr, tr).

Examples containing intransitive/transitive verb pairs follow.

[^67]Elicited837: intransitive
$w \partial^{53} d i^{13} \mathrm{mbo}-{ }^{n} d u^{353}$ tçi ${ }^{n} d q u u^{353}$ sõ
hey here down stone INDF roll EGO
'Hey, a stone rolled down the mountain'

Elicited838: transitive

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & n a^{53} & \text { mbo- } & { }^{n} d u^{353} & t \varphi i & t s u I^{53} & -\eta a & j i  \tag{12}\\
\text { 1SERG } & \text { here } & \text { down } & \text { stone } & \text { one } & \text { roll } & \text {-NGA } & \text { SELF } \\
\text { 'I rolled a stone down from here' }
\end{array}
$$

Examples (11) and (12) both contain verbs meaning 'to roll'. The verb in example (11) is an intransitive verb and the verb in example (12) is a transitive verb. There is no agent in (11), but an overt agent in (12).

Elicited247: intransitive
$t c a^{13} k^{h} u i^{53}$ Sõ ${ }^{13}$
tea boil EGO
'The tea is boiled'

Elicited248: transitive

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\eta e^{13} & m \partial^{13} & =j i & t c a^{13} & k_{u i}^{53} & -t s^{h} i & s \tilde{o}^{13}  \tag{14}\\
\text { 1SGEN } \quad \text { man }=\text { ERG ta } & \text { boil } & \text { LEAD } & \text { EGO } \\
\text { 'My husband boiled tea for } m e^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

Examples (13) and (14) both contain a verb meaning 'to boil'. In example (13) the noun $t c a^{13}$ 'tea' is the S argument of the intransitive verb $k^{h} u i^{53}$ 'to boil'. There is no A argument corresponding to an agent. In example (14) $t \epsilon a^{13}$ is the P argument of the transitive verb $k u i^{53}$ 'to boil' and there is an overt A argument.

The same distinction between intransitive and transitive verb forms holds for the verbs $t \epsilon^{h} e^{13}$ 'to stop, intr', $t \epsilon e^{53}$ 'to stop, tr' and $m b æ^{353}$ 'to burn, intr', $p \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to burn, $\mathrm{tr}^{\prime}$ in the following examples:

Elicited1006: intransitive

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
t 6^{h} a^{11} W a^{55} & { }^{n} \text { gui }{ }^{13 n} \text { gui }^{13} & \text { tc }^{h} e^{13} & t^{h} i  \tag{15}\\
\text { rain } & \text { just.now } & \text { stop } & \text { VIS.PFV } \\
\text { 'It has just stopped raining' }
\end{array}
$$

HeartAttack034: transitive
$t \tilde{æ}^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad=j æ \quad k^{h} a^{11} d q \tilde{a}^{55} \quad{ }_{0} \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55} \quad=j æ$
then 1 SDAT $=$ DAT Khadrang in.front.of $=$ LOC
$t c^{h} 9^{55}$ tcce ${ }^{53}$-nə ${ }^{n} d q u^{13} s$
water cut.off -NZR go QTV
'Then (she) told me to go cut off the water in front of Khadrang'

YDFree
nor $_{0} i^{13} \quad m b \mathfrak{x}^{353}$-de ño
fire burn CONT VIS.IPFV
'A fire is burning'

YDFree
ño $^{13} p \mathfrak{x}^{53}$-de ${ }_{0} \tilde{0}$
fire burn CONT VIS.IPFV
'S/he is burning a fire'

It is interesting to note that both members of the transitive/intransitive verb
pairs can also co-occur with the causative auxiliary $-t 6 o^{53}$ so that there is potentially a
four－way morphophonemic alternation between transitive and causative forms．$-t \epsilon o^{53}$ will be discussed in Chapter Nine．

## 4．1．2 Control

Bailey and Walker（2004：xxxiii）note that various researchers have used the terms＇volitional／non－volitional＇，＇voluntary／involuntary＇，＇intentional／unintentional＇， or＇controllable／non－controllable＇to refer to roughly the same thing．In this dissertation，I will use the terms＇control＇and＇non－control＇．Control can be defined as a person＇s ability to exert effort that can potentially，or actually，determine the outcome of an event．Control verbs are verbs over which a first－person agentive argument can exert control．It is the co－occurrence with auxiliaries expressing intention that reveals the category of control．Thus the notion of＇first－person argument＇is important as only a first－person can vouch for his or her intention to control an action．It is the co－occurrence with auxiliaries expressing intention that reveals the category of control．

Non－control verbs are verbs over which a first－person agentive argument cannot exert control．Both control and non－control verbs can be transitive or intransitive．

[^68]|  | CONTROL | Gloss | NON-CONTROL | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +TRANSITIVE | $\begin{aligned} & t a^{53} \\ & n \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 'to look at' <br> 'to listen to' | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ <br> $t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | 'to see' <br> 'to hear' |
| -Transitive | $\begin{aligned} & p^{h} a^{53} \\ & n i^{13} \end{aligned}$ | 'to jump' 'to go to sleep' | $\begin{aligned} & 1 i^{13} \\ & n i^{53} d z u^{11} \end{aligned}$ | 'to fall' 'to fall asleep' |

TABLE (17): TRANSITIVE AND CONTROL CATEGORIES IN DONGWANG
The verbs in Table (17) are arranged in pairs of control and non-control verbs. As will be mentioned, not all verbs are members of a control/non-control verb set.

As control is a covert category that has grammatical repercussions, it is necessary to briefly introduce a few auxiliaries in this section. A full treatment of the auxiliaries will be dealt with in Chapters Nine and Ten. One dimension coded by auxiliaries is that of intention. Intention is different from control in that control verbs can be intentionally performed, but non-control verbs can only be unintentionally performed. Furthermore, one can only vouch for one's own intentions. So, ji (perfective) and $d \widetilde{\boldsymbol{q}} \tilde{\mathbf{l}}$ (imperfective) are intentional auxiliaries in clauses with firstperson agentive arguments. The auxiliary sõ, on the other hand, is an unintentional (perfective) auxiliary that primarily indicates action or result directed towards the speaker. This is the 'ego-deictic' auxiliary. In transitive and intransitive clauses, s $\tilde{o}$ indicates that the action or result is somehow directed towards the speaker. In clauses
with first-person S or A arguments and non-control verbs, either an ego-deictic auxiliary or an OTHER ${ }^{10}$ auxiliary must be used.

In the examples below, the two verbs $t a^{53}$ 'to look at' and $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see'
illustrate the grammatical constraints of control and non-control verbs.

Hardship092: control verb $t a^{53}$ 'to look at'

| $k^{h} a^{55} b a^{53}$ | $k a^{11} d z i^{53}$ | $n ̃ \tilde{o}$ | $t a^{53}$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rim | how.much | VIS.IPFV | look | SELF.PST | '(I) looked at how big the rim was'

Elicited190: control verb $t a^{53}$ 'to look at'

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $k^{h} 2^{55}$ | $=g \tilde{o}$ | $t a^{53}$ | $-d e$ | $d z \tilde{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | 3 S | $=$ OBJ | look | CONT | SELF |

'I am looking at him/her'

In examples (19) and (20), the co-occurrence of the SELF auxiliaries $j i$ or $d z \tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}$ with the control verb $t a^{53}$ 'to look at' indicates that the speaker has looked or is looking intentionally at someone or something. Non-control verbs cannot co-occur with the auxiliaries $j i$ and dzzĩ. In the following examples, the egodeictic auxiliary sõ is used.

Elicited612: non-control verb $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see'

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $z i^{13}$ | $k^{h} \partial^{55} n i^{53}$ | $6 \tilde{u}^{55}$ | $=n ə$ | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | sõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SGEN | book | 3PLGEN | house | $=$ LOC | see | EGO |

'(I) saw my book at their house'
${ }^{10}$ 'SELF' and 'OTHER' copulas, existentials and auxiliaries are discussed in $\S 4.2$ and in $\S 10.1$.

Elicited801: non-control verb $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see'
$\eta e^{13} \quad \epsilon i^{55} n a^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$ tci $\quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \quad$ so $\tilde{o}^{13}$
1SERG 2PL all INDF see EGO
'I saw all of you'

Non-control verbs can occur with other OTHER auxiliary forms, but both of these examples would be ungrammatical with either of the SELF auxiliaries $j i$ or $d z \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}$.

Not all verbs belong to pairs of verbs that have control oppositions. Some control verbs, like ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ 'to go', do not have a non-control correlate. Other noncontrol verbs like $\mathrm{SO}^{53}$ 'to vomit' do not have a control correlate.

There are some verbs that are neutral with respect to control. ${ }^{11}$ That is, although semantically they may appear to be non-controllable, syntactically they are not subject to the same restrictions that non-control verbs or control verbs are subject to.

Elicited473
$\eta a^{13} \quad d q^{i^{11}} b a^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad$ sõ
1SABS sneeze VBZR EGO
'I sneezed (accidentally)'

Elicited475
$\eta a^{13} \quad d z i^{11} b a^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad j i$
1SABS sneeze VBZR COP.SELF.PST
'I sneezed (on purpose)'
${ }^{11}$ Hargreaves (n.d.: 4) calls such verbs 'fluid verbs'.

Such verbs seem to be relatively few in number.

### 4.1.3 Intention

Intentionality is reflected in the speaker's choice of auxiliary. Even though intention is a feature coded mainly in the auxiliaries, it is mentioned here relative to its overlap with the category of control. It is treated in more detail in Chapter Nine. Only control verbs, and a few 'fluid' verbs can be intentionally performed.

Elicited298

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\eta a^{13} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & z \tilde{1}  \tag{25}\\
\text { 1SABS } & \text { come } & \text { COP.SELF } \\
\text { I will come' }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { *na }_{13}^{13} & s 2^{53} & Z \tilde{1}^{11}  \tag{26}\\
\text { 1SABS } & \text { die } & \text { COP.SELF } \\
\text { *'I will die' } &
\end{array}
$$

Both of the verbs in the clauses above are intransitive, but $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'come' is a control verb and $S \rho^{53}$ 'die' is a non-control verb. This opposition is reflected in the grammaticality of the co-occurence of each verb with an intentional auxiliary. If a speaker wants to express intentionality regarding a non-control verb, then the noncontrol verb must be modified in some way. For example, when my friend Yishi Droma is playing with her son Dawa Tsering, and he 'shoots' her, she pretends to die. There are several ways to express 'I am dying' or 'I am dead' in such a context. For example:

Elicited 1397

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\eta a^{13} & s e^{53} & \text { mbe } e^{353} & j e^{13}  \tag{27}\\
\text { 1sABS die act } & \text { VBZR } \\
\text { I am acting dead' } &
\end{array}
$$

Elicited1398
$\eta a^{13} \quad s 9^{53} r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}} \quad s \tilde{o}$
1SABS die IMM EGO
'I am about to die'

In (27) the speaker uses a verbalizing strategy which could be literally translated 'I did a die' and in (28), she uses an aspect marker to indicate 'on the verge of' and the ergo-deictic auxiliary sõ. Similarly, the verb 'to come' has grammaticized to indicate a fact regarding the future:

Elicited779

| $n a^{13}$ | $s 9^{53}$ | wũ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 s | die | COME |
| 'I will die' |  |  |

These possibilities will be discussed in more detail in Chapter Nine along with the other auxiliaries.

### 4.1.4 Honorifics

As mentioned in the previous chapter (§3.1.1.3), Khams dialects do not generally have honorific systems as elaborate as those described for some other Tibetan dialects. Of the few words I have found with honorific counterparts, most are verbs. Apparently these are used only for religious personages when functioning in a
religious role. That is, honorifics do not index a monk or lama per se, but the role he acquires when performing religious ritual. They are not employed for older people, parents, or the like.

| Non-Honorific |  |  | Honorific |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t 6^{h} a^{13}$ | 'to eat' | $t^{h}{ }^{55}$ | 'to drink' | $s Y^{55}{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{53}$ | 'to drink, to eat' H |
| ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ | 'to go' | $w \tilde{o}^{33}$ | 'to come' | $s \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} r u^{53}$ | 'to come, to go' H |
| ${ }^{n} d o^{13}$ | 'to sit' |  |  | ro ${ }^{13} \mathrm{ru}^{53}$ | 'to sit' H |
| $s e^{53}$ | 'to tell' | $s 2^{55}$ | 'to say' | $n \tilde{a}^{53}$ | 'to say, to tell' H |

### 4.2 Copulas

In this dissertation the terms SELF and OTHER are used to refer to copulas, existentials and auxiliaries that participate in a system which has sometimes been referred to as a 'conjunct/disjunct' (c/d). SELF forms occur in utterances 'whenever the actor/subject is the epistemic source for the action to which the utterance refers' (Hargreaves 2005: 6) and in questions with second-person actors. OTHER forms can occur in all other contexts.

### 4.2.1 A note regarding the terms 'conjunct/disjunct'

In Tibetan studies, there has been some discussion, controversy, and terminological confusion surrounding the terms 'conjunct/disjunct'. Since the Tibetan system is not like the Newar system from which the terms have arisen, different terms
have been proposed. However, there has yet to emerge a unified consensus of terminology.

Before Austin Hale (1980) described the c/d system in Newari, a TibetoBurman language spoken in Nepal, little was known about this type of system. Since then, there has been much research describing c/d systems in Newari (Genetti 1986, 1994; Hargreaves (2005) and other Tibeto-Burman languages (Akha (Thurgood 1986), Sankhong (Matisoff 1993), and Dzongka (van Driem 1998)). A previous understanding that $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$ systems were limited to a small cluster of Himalayan languages was dismissed with the description of $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{d}$-like systems in such far-flung places as Latin America (Awa Pit (Curnow 2002)), and Papua New Guinea (Karo/Rawa (Toland, Norma R. \& Donald F. Toland 1991)).

The term 'conjunct' refers to the canonical situation in which a particular form is used in first-person declarative clauses, in second-person interrogative clauses, or in reported speech in which the agentive participant in the complement clause is coreferential with the agentive participant in the matrix clause. The term 'disjunct' refers to a particular form that occurs in all other clauses.

Hargreaves ${ }^{12}$ outlines the necessary conditions in which the conjunct forms appear in Kathmandu Newari:

[^69]1）the clause is finite，and
2）the event being described is interpreted as involving an intentional action by the actor and
3）The speech act is either
a）declarative／first person，or，
b）interrogative／second person，or，
c）reported speech when the matrix clause subject and complement clause subject are coreferential
（Hargreaves 2005：5，6）

The system is found in Dongwang is similar and is found in many other Tibetan dialects．It is distinct from that described by Hargreaves in that it is extended to include copulas and existential verbs that express copular，existential，and possessive relationships as well．In Hargreaves definition，as pointed out by Curnow ${ }^{13}$ ，the definition for conjunct forms apply mostly to control verbs and intentional actions．

DeLancey（1992）retains use of the terms＇conjunct＇and＇disjunct＇，but in DeLancey（2001）divides the auxiliaries into＇direct＇and＇indirect＇forms．What he calls＇indirect＇forms correlate to what some label＇disjunct＇，and the＇direct＇forms to ＇conjunct＇．T．－S．Sun（1993）prefers to use a term based on the Chinese terms 自称
＇self＇and 他称＇other＇．Hongladarom（1996）follows Sun in calling these forms＇self＇ and＇other＇and identifies the crucial parameters of＇self＇as＋／－control and the crucial parameters of＇other＇as＋／－new．Similar to SELF and OTHER，Tournadre（2001）uses the terms＇egophoric＇and＇non－egophoric＇．Non－egophorics can be subdivided into

[^70]sensorial, inferential, and assertive forms so that the relevant opposition is 'egophoricity as opposed to other sources of information' (Tournadre 2001: 78). Häsler (1999) glosses all the copulas and existentials as well as the auxiliaries derived from copulas and existentials as 'be'. The other forms she glosses as AUX. She treats all of the auxiliary forms as belonging to a system of empathy in which certain forms (SELF) are higher on the empathy hierarchy than other forms (OTHER). Garrett (2001) uses the term 'ego' for SELF forms. Following DeLancey, he uses 'indirect' for OTHER and 'direct' for what some might call 'evidential'.

The controversy surrounding these terms can be attributed to a number of causes. These forms not only have multiple functions, but also can combine with other forms to create new functions, and can be skewed by speakers for discourse/pragmatic reasons. The issue of glossing conventions turns out to be a small problem in comparison to these larger substantive issues. So while the system can serve cross-referencing-like functions, it is not exactly a cross-referencing system. Similarly, while the system can serve evidential-like functions, it is not exactly an evidential system. Additionally, while it can mark new and old information, more or less control, more or less empathy, more or less distance in time and space, etc., it does not exactly or only mark any one of these.

Additionally, researchers have observed similar systems in various Tibetan dialects as well as in related and unrelated languages. While there are shared similarities among languages and dialects, there is also variation of the system across language varieties so that one single term is unlikely to characterize every language in
which this is found. While SELF forms often occur in utterances in which the speaker is the actor, they do not always do so. Self forms can also occur in utterances in which the speaker is not the actor, but has personal knowledge of, or intention and/or ability to control an action described in the utterance. OTHER forms can occur in clauses in which the speaker is the actor, given the right situation.

Those who have suggested new terminology have done so in an effort to capture the complexity and the subtlety of the system in Tibetan. Each of the alternative terms mentioned above are in many ways more satisfying and transparent than the opaque terms 'conjunct' and 'disjunct'. Although there is no agreed-upon set of terms among Tibetan researchers that adequately satisfies the specific needs of Tibetan descriptions, many are moving towards terms such as SELF and OTHER. Even though there are certain characteristics that resemble characteristics of systems found in other parts of the world, the system found in Tibetan is unique enough to warrant more precise terminology.

In this dissertation, the terms SELF and OTHER (SELF/OTHR) will be used to refer to a system in which SELF forms occur in declarative clauses in which the speaker expresses personal knowledge of, and/or close temporal proximity, and/or intention, and/or ability to control an action. SELF forms can also occur in interrogative clauses with second-person subjects. OTHER forms occur in other contexts.

I find it useful to treat SELF/OTHR forms separate from evidential forms, egodeictic forms and judgmental forms. Evidential forms are those whose primary
function is to express source of knowledge. Judgmental forms are those whose primary function is to express the speaker's degree of certainty regarding the utterance. These various 'classes' of copulas/auxiliaries are by no means discrete as they have multiple functions and can interact with control verbs, casemarking, and discourse context to function in new ways. Chapter Nine further discusses this system, particularly in the extended function of copulas and existentials to auxiliaries.

### 4.2.2 Equative copulas

There are two equative copulas in Dongwang, z̃i<yin> and re<red>, which function in equational and attributive constructions, and with extended functions as auxiliaries.

|  | Affirmative | Interrogative | Negative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SELF | $\tilde{\mathrm{zi}}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{53} \sim a^{53} Z \tilde{Z}$ | me |
| OTHER | re | a re | ma- re |
| TABLE (18): | SELF AND OTHER COPULA FORMS IN DONGWANG |  |  |

The top cells in Table (18) indicate the affirmative, interrogative, and negative forms of the SELF copula. $\tilde{z}<$ yin $>$ and $m e<\min >(\sim<\operatorname{ma.yin}>)$ tend to occur in clauses with first-person S arguments while $r e<$ red $>$ and ma- re $<$ ma.red $>$ tend to occur in clauses with second- and third-person $S$ arguments.

Elicited110
$\eta a^{13} \quad m_{0} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} b a^{55} \quad$ zĩ
1s doctor COP.SELF
'I am a doctor'

Elicited103
(31)
$k^{h} 2^{55} m_{\circ} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} b a^{55}$ re
3 s doctor COP.OTHR
'S/he is a doctor'

This pattern appears very much like a cross-referencing system, but as has been briefly discussed in the previous section, there are many reasons to refrain from labeling it such. For example, the following example occurs in the story Hardship:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Hardship039 } \\
& { }^{n} d \boldsymbol{o}^{11} p^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad \partial^{13} \quad \text { ro } \quad t \partial^{55} \quad=k \tilde{\imath} \quad=j i \quad s a^{53}  \tag{32}\\
& \text { nDaphae uncle and that }=\mathrm{PL} \quad=\mathrm{GEN} \\
& \text { burn } \\
& \text { 'It was Uncle nDepae and those guys' burnplace' }
\end{align*}
$$

In (32), the conjunct form $z \tilde{1}$ occurs with the third-person argument $s a^{53}-m i^{53}$
'burnplace'. In this context, the narrator has personal knowledge of the fact expressed and chooses to express that fact by using the conjunct form.

```
GetMar030
```

$\mathrm{mm} \quad k^{h} a o^{55} d a^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{a}^{53}$ ma- re
PAUSE pass.examCH NEG COP.OTHR
'Um, (I) didn't pass'.

In example (33), although the (unexpressed) S argument is first person, the OTHER form is used. In this case, the verb 'to pass an examination' is a non-controllable verb so the speaker cannot use a SELF form.

### 4.2.3 Existential copulas

The four existentials ${ }^{14}$ in Dongwang serve to construct existential, locational and possessive clauses as well as functioning as auxiliaries.

|  |  | Affirmative | Interrogative | Negative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self | -AN | ze | $\mathrm{a}^{53}-\mathrm{ze}$ | $n \mathrm{e}^{13} \sim^{\text {h }}$ ne |
|  | +AN | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ do | $\mathrm{a}^{53}-{ }^{\text {n }}$ do | ma- ${ }^{\text {n }}$ do |
| Other | -AN | $z{ }^{11}$ dzip | $\mathrm{ze}^{11} \mathrm{dzi} \mathrm{C}^{\text {a }}{ }^{53}$ re (rõ) | $z^{11}$ dzip ma- re |
|  | +AN | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11}{ }^{\text {d }}$ dip | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11} \mathrm{dzip} \mathrm{a}^{53} \mathrm{re}$ (rõ) | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11}$ dzi? ma- re |

TABLE (19): SELF AND OTHER EXISTENTIAL FORMS IN DONGWANG

The Dongwang existential forms shown in Table (19) have four forms due to an animacy split. For this reason, they are more complex than those reported for other Tibetan dialects. In single argument clauses, a clause with an animate argument will occur with the ${ }^{n} d o$ forms ( ${ }^{n} d o,{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i \eta, a^{53}-{ }^{n} d o$, etc.) and a clause with an inanimate argument will occur with the $z e$ forms ( $z e^{13}, z e^{11} d z i P, a^{53}-z e$, etc.). In clauses expressing possession, the animacy of the possessed argument conditions speakers' choice of $z e$ versus ${ }^{n} d o$, while the possessor argument conditions speakers'

[^71]choice regarding SELF/OTHER considerations. Thus there are two different indices for each existential.

SELF-Interrogative, 2nd person POSR, +An POSD, $a^{53}-{ }^{n} d o$
${ }_{6} a^{53} \quad a^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad a^{53}-{ }^{n} d o$
2SDAT child QST EX.AN.SELF
'Do you have a child?'

SELF-Declarative, 1st person POSR, +An POSD, ${ }^{n} d o$
ya ${ }^{13} \quad a^{11} k a^{53} \quad t 6 i^{53} \quad$ ndo
1SDAT child one EX.AN.SELF
'I have one child'

SELF-Interrogative, 2nd person POSR, -An POSD, $a^{53}-z e$
$c a^{53} \quad z i^{13} \quad a^{53}-\quad z e$
2SDAT book QST EX.INAN.SELF
'Do you have a book?'

SELF-Declarative, 1st person POSR, -An POSD, ze
ma ${ }^{13} \quad z i^{13} \quad t \epsilon i^{53} \quad z e$
1SDAT book one EX.INAN.SELF
'I have a/one book'

The examples above illustrate the animate/inanimate distinction in the SELF existentials. The examples below show that this pattern also occurs in the OTHER forms:

OTHER-Interrogative, 3rd person POSR, +An POSD
$k^{h} u i^{55} d z a^{53} \quad c 2^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ? \quad a^{53}$ - re ro
3PLDAT dog EX.AN.OTHR QST.OTHR
'Do they have a/any dog/s?'

OTHER-Affirmative, 3rd person POSR, +An POSD

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
k^{h} u i^{55} d z a^{53} & 69^{53} & { }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?  \tag{39}\\
\text { 3PLDAT } & \operatorname{dog} & \text { EX.AN.OTHR } \\
\text { They have dogs' }
\end{array}
$$

OTHER-Interrogative, 3rd person POSR, -An POSD
$k^{h} u i^{55} d z a^{53} \quad z i^{13} \quad z e^{11} d z i \gamma \quad a^{53}$ - re rõ
3PLDAT book EX.INAN.OTHR QST.OTHR
'Do they have a/any book/s?'

OTHER-Affirmative, 3rd person POSR, +An POSD
(41)
$k^{h} u i^{55} d z a^{53} \quad z i^{13} \quad z e^{11} d z i q$
3pLDAT book EX.INAN.OTHR
'They have books'

This same pattern regarding animacy holds for basic existential clauses. The use of an animate or inanimate form depends upon the animacy of the $S$ argument.

OTHER-Declarative, 3rd person + An (Prod058b)
$\begin{array}{llllllll}k^{h} \partial^{55 n} d z i^{53} & =j i & p a^{13} & t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} w u^{55} & \text { rə } & s \tilde{I}^{55} & =n \partial & { }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ? \\ \text { 3PLGEN } & =\text { GEN } & \text { son elder } & \text { TOP } & \text { field } & =\text { LOC } & \text { EX.AN.OTHR } \\ \text { '...their elder son was in the field' }\end{array}$

OTHER-Declarative, 3rd person, -An
$\left.t \tilde{o}^{55} W a^{53} \quad r \tilde{o}^{13} \quad \mathrm{ze}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53} \quad p \mathrm{e}^{55} l a^{53} \quad r 9^{13} \quad s \tilde{o}^{53} \quad z \mathrm{e}^{11} d z i\right\}$ Dongwang and rGyalthang between mtn three EX.INAN.OTHR 'There are three mountains between Dongwang and rGyalthang'

The existential SELF/OTHR forms can be skewed in much the same way as the copula SELF/OTHR forms, but the animacy distinction is maintained. Further, SELF forms for both copula and existential are usually the default forms in dependent clauses. This will be discussed in more detail in Chapter Twelve.

### 4.3 Lexical verbs

Lexical verbs can be intransitive, transitive, or ditransitive.

Elicited: Intransitive clause
$\eta a^{13} \quad s \partial^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{11} \quad t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55} t s e^{53} \quad t \not \partial^{55} \quad g o \tilde{o} \quad$ ni $i^{13} \quad j i$
1s evening o'clock ten on sleep COP.SELF.PST
'I slept at ten o'clock in the evening'

Elicited: Transitive clause
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55}=g \tilde{o} \quad t a^{53} \quad$-de dz$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}$
1SERG 3s =OBJ look CONT SELF
'I am looking at her/him'

Elicited
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad d \not \mathfrak{Z}^{11} b u^{55}=t s ə=j æ \quad j \tilde{a}^{11} b ə o^{55} \quad n u u^{53} \quad t e^{53} t^{h} i$
3SERG king =ALL =DAT gift two give EVI.PFV
'S/he gave two presents to the king'

More than $2 / 3$ of lexical verbs in my database are monosyllabic. This includes the most frequently used verbs such as $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'come', ${ }^{n} d z u^{133}$ 'go', $t e^{53}$ 'give', $n a^{13}$ 'be sick', $m b a^{33}$ 'carry on one's back', $t a^{53}$ 'to look at', $n i i^{13}$ 'to sleep', and $t s a^{53}$ 'to fear'.

Most polysyllabic verbs are disyllabic or trisyllabic. These can be either compound verbs or phrasal verbs.

### 4.3.1 Compound verbs

Compound verbs are usually disyllabic. Trisyllabic compounds are rare. The constituent meaning of compounds are usually transparent, but occasionally only one element is known.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
n i^{53} \text { 'eye' } & +d z u^{353} \text { '?' } & --> & n i^{55} d z u^{11} & \text { 'to fall asleep' } \\
s i^{55} \text { 'bedbug' } & +{ }^{n} d z e^{353} \text { 'to scratch'--> } & s i^{55 n} d z e^{11} \quad \text { 'to scratch' } \\
s^{h} a^{53} \text { 'hand' } \mathrm{H}^{15}+p^{h} u u^{53} \text { 'to bow' } & --> & s^{h} a^{55} p^{h} u u^{11} & \text { 'to prostrate' } \\
k u æ^{53} \text { 'to circle' }+r o^{53}{ }^{\prime} \text { '? } & \text {--> } & k u æ^{53} r o^{53} & \text { 'to circumambulate' }
\end{array}
$$

### 4.3.2 Phrasal verbs

Phrasal verbs are constructed from nouns, verbs, or adjectives and a 'verbalizing' component. These are a type of compound verb, but phrasal verbs have specific semantic and syntactic characteristics. The 'verbalizer' is a semantically empty verb that draws semantic content from the word it verbalizes. Phrasal verbs are also syntactically distinct in that adverbs, quantifiers and numerals can come between the noun and the verb component.

The rationale for considering these constructions verbs (rather than, say, an object-verb phrase) is three-fold: non-compositionality of meaning, speaker's

[^72]perception, and prosodic unity. The notion of 'verbalization' was first put forth by Goldstein (1975) in his discussion of Lhasa Tibetan. Lhasa Tibetan seems to have the most pervasive verbalized constructions (Beyer 1999: 109, fn 10), most of which do not have alternate non-verbalized verbs. Verbalization also occurs in Dongwang, but not to the same extent that it does in Lhasa.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s e^{55} W a^{53} \quad \text { 'dirty' }+ \text { NMLZ }+d \tilde{o}^{13} \text { 'hit' } \quad=s e^{55} w a^{53} d \tilde{o}^{13} \text { 'to defecate' } \\
& j_{0} i^{13} t s^{h} a^{53} \quad \text { 'heart' }+? \quad+k u i^{53}{ }^{\prime} \text { boil' } \quad=n_{0} i^{13} t s^{h} a^{53} k^{h} u i^{11} \text { 'to be angry' } \\
& s u^{55} \quad \text { 'tooth' }+t o o^{53} \text { 'to plant' }=s \boldsymbol{o}^{55}{ }^{55} o^{11}{ }^{11} \text { to bite' } \\
& d z \tilde{i}^{13} \quad \text { 'a lie' } \quad+j e^{13} \text { 'to do' }=d z \tilde{i}^{13} j e^{11} \text { 'to lie' } \\
& k \boldsymbol{v}^{53} \quad \text { 'to steal' } \quad+j e^{13}{ }^{\prime} \text { to do' }=k \rho^{53} \mathrm{je}^{11} \text { 'to steal' }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Verbalized constructions have non-verblike characteristics as well. For example, adverbs, numbers, and quantifiers can occur between the nominalized component and the verbalizer. For example:

Elicited1572
$k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad l \tilde{o}^{53} \quad t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} W u^{55} \quad a^{55} b æ^{53} \quad t \epsilon i \quad j e^{13} \quad d z i ?$ yesterday wind big INTENS INDF VBZR OTHR
'Yesterday was extremely windy'

It is not easy to determine when these constructions are fully lexicalized and when they are still emerging as full lexical verbs. Generally, speakers' inability to define the component parts of a verbalized construction is a good clue. Prosodically, verbalized constructions fall under one intonation contour and speakers do not pause after the nominal component.

Borrowings from Chinese are frequently words used for technological items that previously had not existed. The Chinese language has a construction similar in some ways to the verbalized constructions in Dongwang which Li and Thompson (1981: 367 ff ) call co-verbs. For example:

| da3 | 'to hit' | dian4hua4 | 'telephone' | $=$ da3dian4hua4 'to telephone' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| da3 | 'to hit' | dinglze | 'nail' | $=d a 3 d i n g l z e ~ ' t o ~ n a i l ' ~$ |

When these constructions are borrowed into Dongwang, usually only the nominal component is borrowed from Chinese and a Dongwang verbalizer is used. In such cases, the verbalizer is switched from pre-nominal to post-nominal position:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { dian4hua4CH 'telephone' } & +d \tilde{o}^{13} \text { 'to hit' }=\text { dian4hua4 } \underline{d \tilde{o}^{13}} \quad \text { 'to telephone' } \\
\text { dinglzeCH 'nail' } & +d \tilde{o}^{13} \text { 'to hit' }=\text { ding4ze } \underline{\text { dəo }}{ }^{13} \quad \text { 'to nail' }
\end{array}
$$

In the examples above, the verbalizer follows the nominal component as would be expected for an SOV language. This is the reverse order of the Chinese forms.

Sometimes Chinese verbs are borrowed and then are 're-verbalized' using a Tibetan form.
'Re-verbalization' of a Chinese verb:

Chinese verb
li2hun1 'to divorceCH'
zhao4xiang4 'to photographCH'
zhao4xiang4 'to photographCH'
jian4cha2 'to examineCH' tc $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} t t^{h} a^{11} j e^{13} \quad$ 'to examine'

Dongwang re-verbalization
$l i^{11} k^{h} u i^{55} j e^{13} \quad$ 'to divorce'
$\operatorname{tsso}^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{a}^{55} j e^{13} \quad$ 'to x-ray'
$t_{S} S^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{a}^{55} t{ }_{S} O^{53} \quad$ 'to photograph'

Sometimes a whole Chinese verbal compound forms is retained, but the syntactic order is switched:

$$
\text { qing3 (yil wei4) shilfu3 } \quad->\quad s i^{55} f u^{11} t_{6} 6_{1}^{11} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { 'to hire a master } \\
\text { craftsman' }
\end{gathered}
$$

In the Mandarin example on the left, the verb qing3 'to ask', 'to request' precedes the number, classifier, and noun yil wei4 shilfu3. When Dongwang speakers use it however, the number and classifiers are dropped and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{V}$ order is switched to shifu qing as indicated on the right.

Finally, the N-V order of some borrowed Chinese compounds are switched, and then re-verbalized with a Dongwang verbalizer:

Chinese compound verb zuo shoushu (do operation) used in the following clause:

Accident095

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { su }^{53}{ }_{S} u^{53} & \text { tso }^{11} & \text { ta }^{11} k \tilde{i}^{55} & j e^{13} & -\eta a & \text { rã }  \tag{48}\\
\text { operationCH } & \text { doCH } & \text { that.PL } & \text { VBZR.DO } & \text { NGA } & \text { REN } \\
\text { 'When operating and that stuff,' }
\end{array}
$$

In (48), the syntactic order of the Chinese compound verb is first changed from zuo4 shou3shu4 'do operation' to shoushu zuo 'operation do'. Then it is treated as a nominal as reflected in the addition of the demonstrative plural pronoun $\operatorname{tg}^{11} k \tilde{i}{ }^{55}$. Then the verbalizer $j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ is added to the whole noun phrase.

Verbs and some of the main verbal categories have been discussed in this chapter. Chapter Nine will discuss the verb phrase, Chapter Ten will discuss final auxiliary verbs and Chapter Eleven the structure of basic clauses employing copulas and lexical verbs as the predicate.

## Chapter 5 Adjectives

In Dongwang, there are two classes of words that code property concepts. Using the parameters of Dixon (2004), the first ('adjectives') can be described as non-verb-like and noun-like, and the second ('descriptive verbs') as verb-like and non-noun-like. Adjectives are distinct from nouns in that they can modify other nouns and exhibit some negation strategies that nouns do not. Descriptive verbs are also distinct from other verbs in that they participate in comparative constructions. Descriptive verbs are distinct from adjectives in that they exhibit many verbal characteristics that adjectives do not have.

This chapter discusses morphological characteristics of adjectives in §5.1, syntactic properties of adjectives and descriptive verbs in §5.2, and semantic characteristics of adjectives and descriptive verbs in §5.3. Comparatives, superlatives, and excessives are discussed in §5.4.

### 5.1 Morphological characteristics of adjectives

There is no single derivational morphology that distinguishes adjectives from other word classes in Dongwang. Most adjectives are disyllabic ${ }^{1}$, although there are a few tri- and quadri-syllabic adjectival compounds. With few exceptions, descriptive

[^73]verbs are monosyllabic. ${ }^{2}$ Adjectives can be subdivided into four groups that share morphological characteristics: nominalized adjectives, reduplicated adjectives, compounded adjectives, and plain adjectives.

In my texts, adjectives are not very common. In non-elicited clauses, twentyone adjectives account for sixty-two occurrences that are found in the data. Part of the reason for these low numbers is due to the relatively small corpus (fifteen hundred and sixty-five non-elicited clauses), but the infrequent use of adjectives suggests that speakers simply do not use adjectives that much. Therefore, this chapter leans heavily on elicited data.

In spite of the relatively infrequent occurrence of adjectives in natural text, a few observations may be helpful. Many of the adjectives that occur in natural text are 'basic adjectives' expressing 'good' ( $a^{11} j \tilde{o}^{55}$ and $\left.j a^{13}\right)$, 'old' (ga $\left.{ }^{55} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\right)$, 'young' $\left(j Y^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}, t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\right)$ and colors ( $m ə^{55} m \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'red' and $k \partial^{55} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'white'). In addition, certain adjectives are repeated in stories like Rabbit and MyLife (gue ${ }^{13} c a^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ cunning' and $d o^{11} r e^{53}$ 'miserable').

[^74]Occasionally attributive adjectives are used for identificational purposes as in Prodigal. The two sons are described as the older son ( $p \boldsymbol{\partial}^{13} t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} W u^{55}$ 'boy' + 'big') and the younger son $\left(p \partial^{13} t \varphi^{h} \partial^{11} t \varphi^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\right.$ 'boy' + 'little').

### 5.1.1 Nominalized adjectives

Some adjectives, roughly one quarter of those in my database, have arisen from verbs ${ }^{3}$ and retain old nominalizing suffixes such as $\left.<\mathrm{pa}>,<\mathrm{po}\right\rangle,<\mathrm{mo}>$ or $<\mathrm{ma}>$. The situation is thus unlike that in Lhasa Tibetan in which nearly all adjectives are constructed from <po>, but more similar to Dege Khams in which most adjectives are constructed from <pa>, <po>, <ma>, or <mo> (Häsler 1999: 82ff;
sKal.bZang.'Gyur.Med 2000: 127ff).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{\text {za }}{ }^{13} p q^{53} \text { <rgyags.pa> 'fat' } t 6^{h} a^{11} W u^{55} \quad \text { <chen.po> 'big' } \\
& 1 \tilde{e}^{55} b a^{53} \text { <rlon.pa> 'wet' } k \rho^{55} m \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \quad \text { <skam.po> 'dry' } \\
& \int \tilde{1}^{55} b a^{53} \quad<\text { rnying.pa> 'old' } \quad r i^{11 n} d æ^{55} \sim r_{1}{ }^{11} m ə^{55}<\text { ring.po> 'long' } \\
& \text { se: }{ }^{55}{ }^{W} a^{53} \text { <gsar.pa> 'new' ma }{ }^{11} m \vartheta^{55} \quad \text { <mang.po> 'many' } \\
& t s O^{55}{ }^{W} \tilde{o}^{53}<\text { gtsang.ma> 'clean' dzi. }{ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \quad \text { <mdzes.ma> 'pretty' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Some of these adjectives have synchronic descriptive verb correlates. For example, the verb $k \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'to dry' and the adjective $k \boldsymbol{~}^{55} m \partial^{11}$ 'dry', or the verb $z a^{13}$ 'to be fat' and the adjective $z \mathfrak{X}^{13} \mathrm{pa}^{53}$ 'fat'. Many adjectives, however, seem to have arisen

[^75]from verbs, but do not have synchronic verb forms. For example, $d i^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}$ 'peaceful, smooth', $\eta \mathrm{e}:{ }^{55} m \partial^{11}$ 'sweet', and $d 7 i^{55} p a^{53}$ 'heavy'.

### 5.1.2 Reduplicated adjectives

Reduplicated adjectives include basic color terms, dimension, or intensified adjectives. Both color terms and dimension involve reduplication of the stem. The vowel of the first syllable is usually an unstressed schwa.

## Color Terms

| $h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11}$ | 'blue' | $k \boldsymbol{r}^{55} \mathrm{X}^{53} \quad$ 'white' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n 9^{55} n a^{53}$ | black' | $m{ }^{55} \mathrm{mæ}^{53}$ 'red' |
| $s \boldsymbol{2}^{55} æ^{53}$ | 'yellow' |  |

A notable exception to reduplication of basic color terms is the word for 'green' <ljang.khu>dz $\tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55}$.

## DIMENSION

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
t 6^{h} \partial^{11} t \sigma^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { 'small' } & t^{h} \partial^{11} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { 'short (length)' } \\
z a^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { 'lightweight' } & t^{h} a^{55} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53} & \text { 'near', 'close' }
\end{array}
$$

## INTENSIFIED FORMS

Many disyllabic adjectives can be reduplicated when the speaker wants to express some intensive quality. This type of reduplication always involves reduplication of the whole word.

| $m a^{11} m ə^{55}$ | 'many' | $m a^{11} m ə^{55} m a^{11} m ə^{55}$ | 'abundant' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n \boldsymbol{a}^{11} n a^{53}$ | 'black' | $n \partial^{11} n a^{53} n \partial^{11} n a^{53}$ | 'really black' |
| $b a^{11 n} d z \tilde{a}^{55}$ | 'big' | $b a^{11 n} d z a^{55} b a^{11 n} d z \tilde{a}^{55}$ | 'huge' |

Reduplication expresses intensity in other parts of the grammar as well. For example reflexive pronouns (§3.2.2), interrogative pronouns (§7.2.2) and directional verb prefixes (§9.1.1.2).

### 5.1.3 Compounds

Some polysyllabic adjectives are constructed from compounds such as


Adjective + Adjective combinations are rare and are restricted to modified color terms such as the following.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11} \text { 'blue' } & +k ə^{55} k æ^{53} \text { 'white' } & =h \tilde{u}^{55} k \mathfrak{x}^{53} \text { 'light blue' } \\
d z \tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} \text { 'green' } & +k ə^{55} k \mathfrak{x}^{53} \text { 'white' } & =d z \tilde{o}^{11} k \mathfrak{x}^{53} \text { 'light green' } \\
d z \tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} \text { 'green' } & +n \partial^{55} n a^{53} \text { 'black' } & =d z \tilde{o}^{11} n a^{53} \text { 'dark green' }
\end{array}
$$

### 5.1.4 'Plain' Adjectives

The final group of adjectives includes those that do not have verbal correlates and do not seem to have any derivational relationship with verbs.

| $b a^{11 n} d z \tilde{a}^{55}\left[\sim b a^{11} \eta a^{53}\right]$ | 'big' | $b a^{11} 1 \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ | 'big' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11}$ n$\tilde{o}^{55}$ | 'good' | $a^{55} b \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ | 'bad' |
| $t^{h} \tilde{X}^{53}$ | 'bad ${ }^{4}$ | $t s 9^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ | 'small' |
| $n 2^{13} 1 e^{53}$ | 'soft' | $s 9^{11} k \tilde{u}^{53}$ | 'hard' |

### 5.2 Syntactic Properties of adjectives and descriptive verbs

Adjectives can function as nominal modifiers in a noun phrase and as copula complements (CC) in predicates. Descriptive verbs function as copula complements, but cannot modify a head noun unless they are first nominalized. Unlike adjectives, descriptive verbs can occur with verbal morphology such as directional suffixes, TAM marking, and auxiliary forms reserved for verbs. Nouns can function as CC, but do not function as attributives and do not occur in comparative constructions. Finally, like nouns, but unlike verbs ${ }^{5}$, adjectives can be verbalized. Most descriptive verbs can participate in relative clauses, but adjectives must first be verbalized.

[^76]
### 5.2.1 Nominal modifiers

When adjectives modify a head noun in a noun phrase, they usually ${ }^{6}$ follow
the noun.
(1). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} \quad z i^{13} \quad z \partial^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad k \tilde{o}^{11} d a^{53} \quad$ re
this book light =PL expensive COP.OTHR
'These light books are expensive'
(2). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} \quad z i^{13} \quad k \tilde{o}^{11} d a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad z a^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55} \quad$ re
this book expensive =PL light COP.OTHR
'These expensive books are light'

Descriptive verbs cannot modify nouns unless they are first nominalized. The distinction between adjectives and descriptive verbs can be illustrated by the adjective $a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'good', and the descriptive verb ja ${ }^{13}$ 'good'. Speakers find it difficult to

[^77]identify any meaning difference between the two words, as the distinction lies not in semantic, but in distributional characteristics. The adjective $a^{11} n \tilde{O}^{55}$ can function as a

CC (§5.1.2) and as a modifier of a head noun, but the verb ja ${ }^{13}$ can only function as a
CC.

GetMar087
(3). $a^{11} k a^{53}=k \tilde{i} \quad a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d o$ child $=$ PL good EX.AN.SELF '(My) children are good'

MyLife056
(4). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial \quad \underline{l o^{55} S \partial^{11}} \quad \underline{a}^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} \quad t \in i \quad{ }^{n} d o$ then 1sDAT filler teacher good INDF EX.AN.SELF 'Then I had a good teacher'

In (3) the adjective $a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ occurs as a copula complement of the existential ${ }^{n} d o$ and in (4) as a modifier of $1 o^{55} s \boldsymbol{a}^{11}$ 'teacher'. In order for the descriptive verb $j a^{13}$ to function as an attributive, it must first be nominalized.

> Prod047
(5). $\underline{t o}^{55} \quad \underline{k u e^{13}} \quad j a^{13} \quad \underline{-w a}$ to $k^{h} u a^{53}=j æ$
that clothes good -NMZ SPEC 3sDAT =DAT
$s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ tco ${ }^{53}$ re $s$
wear CAUS COP.OTHR QTV
"Put those good clothes on him' (he) said'

Many adjectives can function as a whole noun phrase when the range of possible referents the adjective can refer to is limited, or when the referent has been established in discourse or speech context.

GetMarried005

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
p a^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53} & =k \tilde{i} & r \tilde{o} & c \tilde{u}^{55} & =n \vartheta & g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53} & =k \tilde{i} & =j i  \tag{6}\\
\text { parents } & =\mathrm{PL} & \text { and } & \text { home } & =\mathrm{LOC} & \text { old } & =\text { ERG }
\end{array}
$$

'(My) parents and the old (people) at home'...

The inclusion of $n \boldsymbol{\partial}^{13}$ 'person' in a noun phrase such as $n \boldsymbol{\partial}^{13} g a^{55} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ 'old people' is redundant since the adjective $g a^{55} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ 'old' can only refer to 'old people ${ }^{17}$. Because the range of possible head nouns that most adjectives can modify is much greater than $g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53}$ 'old', most adjectives do not function as a whole noun phrase.

Sometimes an adjective will function as the single element in a noun phrase when the referent can be deduced by the surrounding discourse. In the context of the following example, the speaker is giving reasons why she left home at an early age. After her father left home and her younger brother went away to study, she felt that there was no 'good (family member)' at home to care for her.

LeavingHome
(7). $\epsilon \tilde{u}^{55}=n ə \quad m b ə^{33} \frac{a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}}{}$ ma- ${ }^{n} d o \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
home =LOC down good NEG EX.AN.SELF REN
'When there was no good (person) at home' (=no one to help her find work)...

[^78]Here, the context limits the range of possible references that the adjective a ${ }^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'good' can modify. Further, the use of the animate existential ${ }^{n} d o$ helps to limit her reference to animates.

### 5.2.2 Copula complements

In addition to functioning as noun modifiers, adjectives can also function as copula complements (CC).
(8). $\quad \eta a^{13} \quad s \tilde{x}^{13} k \tilde{a}^{55} / \not \overbrace{x^{13}} p a^{53} \quad z \tilde{1} / r e$

1SABS thin/fat COP.SELF/OTHR
'I am thin/fat'
(9). $\quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{kue}^{13} \quad \mathrm{se}^{55} W a^{53} / k \partial^{55} m \mathrm{~g}^{11} \quad$ zĩ $/ r e$

1SGEN clothes wet COP.SELF/OTHR
'My clothes are wet/dry'

Nouns can also occur in CC position. The clauses above are exactly like declarative clauses with a nominal copula complement.

Copula complement slots are commonly filled by nouns or plain adjectives.
(10). $\quad \eta a^{13} \quad m_{0} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{11} b a^{55} \quad z \tilde{i}$

1SABS doctor COP.SELF
'I am a doctor'
(11). $k^{h} e^{55} \quad \operatorname{m}_{o} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{11} b a^{55}$ re

3SABS doctor COP.OTHR
'S/he is a doctor'

Descriptive verbs rarely occur as copula complements but tend to pattern according to non-control verbs in declarative clauses.
(12). $\quad \eta a^{13} \quad z a^{53} s \tilde{o} /{ }^{*} z \tilde{1}$

1SABS fat EGO/*COP.SELF
'I am fat' (~ have become fat)
(13). $\quad 7 a^{13} \quad t u^{53} \quad s \tilde{o}$

1SABS hungry EGO
'I am hungry'

Adjectives can occur as a complement of re ${ }^{11} d \not \boldsymbol{q}^{55}$ or of $t \epsilon^{h} a^{13}$, both of which
mean 'to become'.

KillPig024
(14). $\quad t s u{ }^{53} p^{h} \partial^{55} \quad k a^{55} \mathrm{kæ}^{53} \quad \mathrm{re}^{11} d \not z i ?$
lard thither white COP.OTHR
'...the lard will become white'
$t 6^{h} a^{13}$ has a specific meaning of something that rises to the surface, for example, when chaff rises to the surface when cleaning rice.

Hardship118
$d u^{353}=j i \quad t i^{55} p a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s 2^{55} s æ^{53} \quad t \epsilon^{h} a^{13} \quad$ wũ ${ }^{13} \quad d z i ?$ rock $=$ GEN top thither yellow become come OTHR 'The top of the rock will turn yellow'

In the example above, the speaker is narrating his experience learning to fire limestone. When limestone is heated up, the impurities come to the surface and the surface becomes yellow.

### 5.2.3 Verbal morphology

Unlike adjectives, descriptive verbs can be marked with verbal morphology in the same way as any other intransitive predicate. This includes the ability to take aspectual marking, directional verbs, causative suffixes, change-of-state suffixes, and to co-occur with auxiliary verbs. Adjectives must first be modified in some way in order to participate in such verbal marking.

### 5.2.3.1 Adjectives and aspectual and directional marking

Descriptive verbs can be marked with aspectual and directional morphemes just like other verbs, but adjectives cannot. Thus the following clauses that occur with descriptive verbs cannot be expressed with adjectives.

Butter\&Cheese026: Descriptive verb $t s^{h} a^{13}$ 'hot'
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \quad$ zo- $\quad t s^{h} a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$
then up hot PFV REN
'Then when (the milk) has been heated up',

Elicited1318: Descriptive verb za ${ }^{13}$ 'fat'
(17).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon^{55} \quad z^{13} \text { de ñõ } \\
& \text { 2SABS fat CONT VIS.IPFV } \\
& \text { 'You are fat' (e.g., in the state of being fat) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples (16) and (17) show that descriptive verbs can occur with the perfective morpheme $t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ and the continuative morpheme $d e$, but the adjective forms $t s^{h} a^{11} W a^{55}$ 'hot' and $\approx a^{11} p a^{53}$ cannot.

The directional secondary verbs (V2 §9.2.1.2) wũ 'COME' and ${ }^{n} d z \downarrow u$ 'GO' can
occur directly after a descriptive verb, but not directly after an adjective. In order for a secondary verb to follow an adjective, it must be preceded by a copula.

MyLife265: Adjective, copula, directional V2
$\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ no rõ ${ }^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55}$ la $g a^{13} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ re wũ
now NA REFL also old COP.OTHR COME
'Now, (I) myself am getting old'

Elicited903: Descriptive verb, directional V2
(19). $t_{Y}{ }^{11} j_{Y}{ }^{55} \quad j a^{13} \quad w \tilde{u}$
this year good COME
'Good is going to come this year' ~ 'This year is going to be good'

### 5.2.3.2 Adjectives and causative constructions

When descriptive verbs occur as predicates, they can be directly followed by the causative verb $t 6 o^{53}$.

MyLife104
(20). dzo ${ }^{11}{ }_{Z \rho^{55}}$ to ${ }^{11}$ ro $j a^{13}$ tsi $t^{h} u^{53}$ t $6 o^{53}$
desk that TOP up little high caus
'The desks, (they) made a little higher'

Elicited
(21).
$\epsilon i^{55} \quad k^{h} 2^{55} \quad g a^{13} \quad t c o^{53} \quad-s a \quad a^{53} \quad j \tilde{x}^{53}$
2SERG 3SABS happy CAUS -NMZ QST MOD
'Can you make her/him laugh?'

Adjectives cannot be followed directly by the causative verb.
(22).

$$
z 0-\quad \tilde{I}^{13} \quad t \epsilon o^{53}
$$

up long CAUS
(23). * zo $\mathrm{ri}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55}$ tcco ${ }^{53}$
*up long CAUS
'make (something) long/er'

When an adjective co-occurs with the causative, it functions as the complement of the copula $r e$, which is then followed by the causative.

Elicited

| ${ }_{6 i}{ }^{55}$ | $n \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | kue ${ }^{13}$ | $k \tilde{a}^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55}$ | $t s u^{55} p a^{53}$ | re |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SERG | 1SGEN | clothes | clean | dirty | COP.OTHR |
| $t 6 O^{53}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \quad n$ |  |  |  |  |
| CAUS | PFV V | IS.EVI |  |  |  |
| 'You | ade my | clean clo | hes dirty ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |

5.2.3.3 Adjectives and change-of-state suffix -ra

A descriptive verb can be followed directly with the change-of-state suffix -ra
(§9.2.1.2.1), just like other verbs.

Butter\&Cheese013: Descriptive verb $k \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'dry'

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
t \tilde{æ}^{55} & t^{h} i^{53} & p^{h} \partial^{55} & k \tilde{a}^{53} & -r a  \tag{25}\\
\text { then cheese thither } & \text { dry } & \text {-RA } \\
\text { 'Then dry out the cheese' }
\end{array}
$$

RabbitA041: Descriptive verb $t 6^{h} \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'small', 'short'
$1 コ^{11} p ə^{55} p^{h} \partial \quad t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{13} \quad$-ra $\quad$ rax $\tilde{x}^{55}$
body thither small -RA REN
'When (the rabbit's) body became short'...

Clauses with an adjective complement must first be followed by a copula and then followed by the suffix -ra.

Prod013: Adjective $d o^{11} r e^{53}$ 'miserable'
(27).
tã ${ }^{55} d o^{11} \mathrm{re}^{53}$ re $\quad$-ra dzi?
then miserable COP.OTHR -RA OTHR
'Then (he) became miserable'

Elicited330: Adjective $p \mathrm{e}^{55} \eta \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ 'broken'
(28).

| $\eta a^{13}$ | $t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53}$ | $=n o$ | $w æ^{11} r i^{55}$ | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | $r \tilde{æ}^{55}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SABS | Dongwang | $=$ LOC | time | go | REN |


| $t 6^{h} \partial^{55} z \partial^{11} p e^{55} n \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

car broken time COP.OTHR -RA OTHR
'Every time I go to Dongwang, the car breaks down'

RabbitA040: Adjective $r i^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{55}{ }^{55}$ long'

| $n \tilde{e}^{55} j i^{11}$ | ro | $z a^{33}$ | $r i^{11} m \partial^{55}$ | re | -ra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ears | TOP | up | long | COP.OTHR | -RA |

'(His) ears became long'

The following table summarizes some of the syntactic distinctions between
'plain adjectives' and 'descriptive verbs' discussed so far.

| FEATURE | Plain AdJECTIVES | Descriptive Verbs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominal modifier | Yes | No |
| Copula Complement | Yes | Rare |
| Directional prefix | No | Yes |
| Aspect marking | No | Yes |
| Directional V2 | No | Yes |
| Causative | No | Yes |
| Change-of-state suffix -ra | No | Yes |

TABLE 20: DISTRIBUTIONAL FEATURES OF PLAIN ADJECTIVES AND DESCRIPTIVE VERBS

### 5.2.3.4 Adjectives and auxiliary verbs

Descriptive verbs can be followed by many of the same auxiliary verbs that can follow other verbs. Adjectives, on the other hand, are restricted, as shown in the table below.

|  |  | Adjectives | Descriptive Verbs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SELF copula | ZĨ | $\checkmark$ | -- |
| OTHER copula | re | $\checkmark$ | [ $\sqrt{ }$ ] |
| SELF auxiliary | dzTi | -- | -- |
| OTHER auxiliary | dzi? | -- | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| egodeictic auxiliary | sõ | -- | $\checkmark$ |
| OTHR copula, egodeictic | re sõ | $\sqrt{ }$ | -- |
| visual evidential, IPFV | nõ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| visual evidential, PFV | $t^{h}$ i | -- | $\checkmark$ |
| existential, +an, SELF | ${ }^{n}$ do | [ $\sqrt{ }$ ] | $\checkmark$ |
| existential, -an, SELF | ze | ? | ? |
| existential, +an, OTHER | ${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$ | $\sqrt{ }$ ? | --? |
| existential, -an, OTHER | $z \mathrm{e}^{11} d \underline{1}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |
| zero | 0 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

TABLE 21: DISTRIBUTION OF PLAIN ADJECTIVES AND DESCRIPTIVE VERBS WITH FINAL AUXILIARIES

There are a few comments to make regarding the forms in Table (21) above. First, while there is some overlap of forms (e.g., nõ, ze ${ }^{11} d z i$, and zero), it is clear that adjectives and descriptive verbs form two distinct distributional classes. The absence
of descriptive verbs co-occurring with the first person SELF form $d \underset{\nless z}{1}$ or the perfective SELF auxiliary $j i$ is not surprising since descriptive verbs tend to pattern similar to non-controllable verbs (§4.1.3), as in the following examples:

Elicited 783
$\eta a^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad t_{S}^{h} e^{13} \quad$ Sõ
1SABS 3SABS encounter EGO
'I ran into (=encountered) him/her'

Elicited469
(31). $\eta a^{13} \quad \eta u^{55} c i^{11} \quad g e^{53} \quad$ sõ

1SABS sweat VBZR EGO
'I sweated'

Elicited 1316
(32). $\mathrm{ya}^{13} \quad z a^{13}$ so

1SABS fat EGO
'I am fat'

In (32) the descriptive verb $z a^{13}$ 'fat' patterns just as the non-control verbs 'to encounter' and 'to sweat' in examples (30) and (31). But adjectives are not grammatical in this same construction.
(33). ${ }^{*} \eta a^{13} \quad \not a^{11} p a^{55}$ Sõ
*1SABS fat EGO
*'I am fat'

Instead, adjectives first are followed by the copula, and then the clause can be followed by the ego-deictic auxiliary sõ.
(34). $s i^{55} p a^{11}$ re
happy COP.OTHR EGO THINK -NZR ADVERS
'(I) thought I would be happy but,'

Adjectives most frequently co-occur with OTHER and SELF copulas, but Table (21) shows that they can also occur as complements of evidential and existential forms. The occurrence of adjectives as complements of existential copulas is rare in my data. The co-occurrence of adjectives with the imperfective visual evidential $n \tilde{o}$ but not with the perfective form $t^{h} i$ is a semantic constraint.

### 5.2.3.5 Adjectives and clause combining

A look at clause combining also reveals a distinction between descriptive verbs and adjectives. A descriptive verb can be followed by the medial verbs $n i$ or $r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$, but an adjective cannot unless it is followed by a copula.

Nouns which occur as the object complement of the verb $t_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{a}^{53}$ 'to fear', 'to be afraid of are marked with the allative casemarker $=t s a$ as in the following example.

Elicited
(35).
$\eta a^{13} \quad c \sigma^{53}=t s a \quad t s a^{53}$
1SABS dog/s =ALL fear
'I am afraid of dogs'

When verbs occur as the object complement of the verb $t s a^{53}$, the connective $=t u$ is used ${ }^{8}$.

Elicited
(36).
$\eta a^{13} \quad t u^{53} \quad\left[w \tilde{u}^{13}\right]=t u \quad t s a^{53}$ si
1SABS hungry COME =TU fear KNOW
'I am afraid of being hungry'

Descriptive verbs can occur as a complement of the verb $t s a^{53}$, and like other verbs, can be followed by a serial verb, or a copula and serial verb.

Elicited
(37). $\quad \eta a^{13} \quad z a^{53}\left[w \tilde{u}^{13}\right]=t u \quad t s a^{53}$ si

1SABS fat come $=$ TU fear MOD
'I am afraid of becoming fat'

Adjectives must first be followed by the copula re:
(38).
na $a^{13} \quad a^{55} b æ^{53}$ re $=t u \quad t s a^{53}$ si
1 SABS bad COP.OTHR =tU fear MOD
'I am afraid of becoming bad' (= a bad person)

### 5.2.4 Negation strategies

Adjectives and descriptive verbs exhibit negation strategies different from each other. In this section, negation patterns of descriptive verbs and adjectives are compared.

[^79]
### 5.2.4.1 Clauses with first-person $S$ arguments

Adjectives and descriptive verbs can be negated with the negative prefix maand the OTHER copula re.

## Elicited

(39). $\eta a^{13} \quad z a^{11} p a^{53 / z a} a^{13}$ ma- re

1SABS fat NEG COP.OTHR
'I am not fat'

Elicited
(40). $\eta a^{13} \quad s i^{55} p a^{11} / s i^{53}$ ma- re

1SABS comfortable NEG COP.OTHR
'I am not comfortable'

Elicited
(41).

| $n a^{13}$ | $g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53}$ | ma- | re |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SABS old | NEG | COP.OTHR |  |
| 'I am not old' |  |  |  |

Unlike adjectives, descriptive verbs in clauses with first-person S arguments are negated with the negative prefix ma- and the irrealis ego auxiliary zõ.

Elicited
$\eta a^{13}$ si $i^{53} \quad$ ma- zõ ${ }^{*} \eta a^{13}$ si $i^{55} p a^{11}$ ma- zõ
1SABS comfortable NEG EGO.IR
'I am not comfortable' (or 'I did not/have not become comfortable')

Elicited
ya $a^{13}$ za $a^{13}$ ma- zõ $\quad{ }^{\eta} \eta a^{13}$ za $^{11} p a^{53}$ ma- zõ
1SABS fat NEG EGO.IR
'I am not fat' (or 'I did not become fat')

Non-control verbs in clauses with first-person $S$ arguments can also be negated in the same way.

Elicited
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ tçi $i^{53}$ la $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ ma- zõ
1SERG one even see NEG EGO.IR
'I did not even see one'

Elicited
(45).

19 $a^{13}$ t $69 o^{53}$ ma- zõ
1SABS fall NEG EGO.IR
'I did not fall'

Adjectives can be negated with ma-zõ only when the adjective or noun is
followed by the OTHER copula $r e$.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
n a^{13} & s i^{55} p a^{11} & \text { re } & m a- & z \tilde{o}  \tag{46}\\
\text { 1SABS } & \text { comfortable } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { NEG } & \text { EGO.IR } \\
\text { 'I am not comfortable' } & &
\end{array}
$$

$\eta a^{13} \quad z \mathfrak{X}^{11} p a^{53}$ re ma- zõ
1SABS fat COP.OTHR NEG EGO.IR
'I am not fat'

Adjectives, like nouns, can be negated with the negative SELF copula.
(48).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\eta a^{13} & a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { me } & (49) . *_{n a}{ }^{13} & j a^{13} & \mathrm{me}^{13} \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { good } & \text { NEG.COP.SELF } & & & & \end{array}$
'I am not good'
(50). $\eta a^{13} \quad p e^{13} \quad m e$

1SABS Tibetan NEG.COP.SELF
'I am not Tibetan'

The negative prefix ma- can occur before descriptive verbs, but not adjectives, as in the following clauses.
(51). $\quad \eta a^{13}$ ma- $\quad s a^{53} \prod_{0} \tilde{o}$

1SABS NEG hot EVI
'I am not hot'
(52). $\quad \eta a^{13}$ ma- $\epsilon^{h} a^{53}$ nõ

1SABS NEG cold EVI
'I am not cold'

Finally, future descriptive verb clauses with first-person $S$ arguments can be negated with the future negative particle $p \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{53}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\eta a^{13} & z a^{13} & \left(w \tilde{u}^{13}\right) & p \tilde{x}^{53}  \tag{53}\\
\text { 1SABS } & \text { fat } & \text { (come) } & \text { NEG.FUT }
\end{array}
$$

'I am not/will not become fat'

### 5.2.4.2 Adjectives and clauses with non-first-person $S$ arguments

Both adjectives and descriptive verbs can be negated with the negative prefix ma- as in the following examples.
(54). $k^{h} 9^{55} \quad \mathfrak{Z x}^{11} p a^{53 / \sharp a^{13}}$ ma- ño
3SABS fat NEG EVI
'S/he is not fat/has not become fat'
(55). dzi ${ }^{353}$ ma- gõo ${ }^{33}$
well NEG full
'The well was not full'

Like clauses with first-person S arguments, adjectives can occur with the negative copula OTHER form ma- re. Unlike clauses with first-person $S$ arguments, descriptive verbs cannot.


### 5.2.5 Adverbial functions of adjectives

The most common adjectives which also function as adverbs are
$t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} w u^{55} / t 6^{h} i^{53}$ 'big', 'very', $a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'good', 'well', $a^{55} b æ^{53}$ 'bad', 'really', and $t s 9^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ or $t s \rho^{55} g u{ }^{53}$ 'little', 'slightly'. As adverbs, they are frequently followed by the indefinite marker $t 6 i$ 'one' ( $\S 6.1$ and $\S 7.1 .1 .2$ ).

Accident022
$k^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55} b a^{53}=g \tilde{o} \quad t s \partial^{55} g u u^{53} \quad t^{h} o^{53} \quad W^{55} n o^{11}$
leg =OBJ little hit INF
'(They) said (her) leg was hit a bit'.
Elicited139
(59).
na ${ }^{13} \quad a^{55} b æ^{53}$ tçi $t u^{53} \quad s \tilde{o}$
1SABS bad INDF hungry EGO
'I am really hungry'.

### 5.3 Semantic Categories

The distribution of adjectives in this section is arranged following the semantic types given in Dixon 2004. Some adjectives do not have verbal corrolaries
and some descriptive verbs also do not. Each of these will be discussed in the sections that follow.

### 5.3.1 Dimension

Most of the basic dimensions can be expressed by an adjective or by a descriptive verb.

## AdJECTIVES

$t^{h}{ }^{11} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'short length'
$\tilde{r}^{11 n} d \mathfrak{X}^{55} \sim \tilde{1}^{11} m \partial^{55}$ 'long length'
$t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}{ }^{55}$ 'short' (height), 'small'
$t s^{h}{ }^{55}{ }^{55} a^{53}$ 'small'
$t s^{h}{ }^{55} g u u^{53}$ 'small'
$\mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ 'short'
$t^{h} u^{55} m ə ~ ' t a l l '$
$t 6^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} W u^{55}$ 'big'
$t^{h} O^{55} W u^{11}$ 'thick' (inanimate)
$S \boldsymbol{o}^{55}$ SO $^{53}$ 'thin' (inanimate)
$b a^{11 n} d z a^{55}\left(\sim b a^{11} \eta a^{53}\right){ }^{\prime}$ big' $^{\prime}$
ba ${ }^{11} 1 \tilde{e}^{53}$ 'big'
$s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} k \tilde{a}^{55}{ }^{\text {'thin' }}$
${ }_{7} \mathfrak{X}^{11} p a^{53}$ 'fat' ( animate ${ }^{10}$ )

DESCRIPTIVE VERBS
$t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53}$
$\tilde{1}^{13}{ }^{13}$ long'
$t 6^{h} \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'short', 'small'
mo ${ }^{53}$ 'short'
$t^{h} u^{53}$
$t c^{h} i^{53}$
$s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ 'thin' ${ }^{9}$
$\mathrm{za}^{13}$ 'fat'

[^80]```
zõ}\mp@subsup{}{}{11}m\mp@subsup{\partial}{}{55}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime\prime}\mathrm{ wide' zõ}\mp@subsup{}{}{13}\mathrm{ 'wide'
tu "11dzu\varepsilon 55 'narrow'
```


### 5.3.2 Age

```
AdJectives (Simple adjectives)
\(j Y^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\) 'young' (+AN)
Descriptive verbs
\(t_{6}{ }^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}\) 'young'
\(t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\) 'young' (+AN)
\(s e:{ }^{55} W a^{53} \sim s e:^{55} p a^{53}\) 'new' (-AN)
ga: \({ }^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53}\) 'old' (+AN)
\(g i^{13}{ }^{\prime}\) old \(^{11}\)
\(n \tilde{I}^{55} b a^{53}\) 'old' (-AN)
```


### 5.3.3 Value

AdJECTIVES
Descriptive verbs
$a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'good' both
$j a^{11} p a^{55}{ }^{\prime}$ good' $\quad j a^{13}{ }^{\prime}$ good' $^{\prime}$
$n \mathfrak{æ}^{11} m 9^{55} \quad j \varepsilon^{13}{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{good}^{\prime}$
$d i^{11} m{ }^{55}$ 'peaceful', 'pleasant', 'healthy'
$s^{h} O^{13} m{ }^{55}$ 'peaceful'
$a^{55} b \mathfrak{Z}^{53}$ 'bad'
${ }^{10}$ One exception that I know of is when it is used to modify 'meat as in $s^{h} a^{53}{ }_{Z \mathscr{X}^{11}}{ }^{11} a^{53} r e$ 'the meat is fat'.
${ }^{11}$ The classification of $g i^{13}$ 'old' as a descriptive verb is tentative as it does not seem to pattern like the other descriptive verbs.
$t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{bad}^{12}$
$p^{h} \mathrm{e}^{11 n} d \partial^{55}$ 'useful', 'beneficial'
$g \tilde{o}^{11} d a^{55}$ 'expensive'
$g \tilde{o}^{11} l o^{53}$ 'cheap'
dza ${ }^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55}$ 'suitable' dza~tsa
$d \tilde{\mathfrak{w}}^{11} b a^{55}$ 'real'
$\mathfrak{b}^{11}{ }^{11}$ o $^{55}$ 'fake'
$k^{h}{ }^{55} n \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ 'dangerous'

### 5.3.4 Color

Only four basic colors 'red', 'yellow', 'black', and 'white', have verbal corrolaries.

| $m 2^{55} m æ^{53}$ 'red' | $m \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'red' |
| :---: | :---: |
| S2 ${ }^{55}$ ® $^{53}$ 'yellow' | $s \boldsymbol{X}^{53}$ 'yellow' |
| $n 9^{55} \mathrm{na}^{53}{ }^{\text {'black' }}$ | $n a^{53}$ 'black' |
| $k 0^{55} \mathrm{kx}^{53}$ 'white' | $k \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'white' |
| $d \nsim \tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} 2^{55}$ 'green' |  |
| $h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11}$ 'blue' |  |
| $d z \tilde{o}^{11} n a^{53}$ 'dark green' |  |
| $d z \tilde{O}^{11} g \mathfrak{X}^{55}$ 'light green' |  |
| $h \tilde{u}^{55} n a^{53}$ 'dark blue' |  |
| $h \tilde{u}^{55} g \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ 'light blue' |  |

[^81]
### 5.3.5 Physical property

AdJectives
$t s^{h} a^{11} W a^{55}$ 'hot' (to touch)
$1 e^{55} b a^{53}$ 'wet'
${ }_{s e}{ }^{55}{ }_{W} a^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ wet'
$k 9^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11}$ 'dry'
$g u^{55} g u æ^{53}$ 'round'
$t s \tilde{O}^{55}{ }_{W} \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'clean'
$k \tilde{a}^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'clean'
$t s^{h} u^{55} p a^{53}$ 'dirty'
${ }^{n} d \not \subset \tilde{a}^{53} t \tilde{1}^{53}$ 'quiet'
$d a^{55} m a^{11}$ 'beautiful', 'cute'
$d z i{ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11}{ }^{\text {'beautiful', 'handsome' }}$
$d o^{55} \eta \tilde{æ}^{53}$ 'ugly'
$t i^{55} n a^{53}$ 'deep'
$z \boldsymbol{a}^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'light' (weight)
$d z i^{11} p a^{53}$ 'heavy'
$n a^{13} l e^{53}$ 'soft'
sa ${ }^{11} \mathrm{ku}^{53}{ }^{\text {'hard' }}$
$62^{11}{ }^{11} o^{53}$ 'crooked'
$t^{h}{ }^{55} g \gamma^{53}$ 'straight'
$t 0^{55} \mathrm{ba}^{53}$ 'empty'
$p e^{55} n \varepsilon^{53}$ 'broken'
$n a^{55} m u^{53}$ 'sharp'

Descriptive verbs
$s a^{53}$ 'hot' (weather, animates)
$t s^{h} a^{11}$ 'hot'
$t_{s} u^{13}$ 'warm' (weather)
$\boldsymbol{c}^{h} a^{53}$ 'cold' (animate)
$t s \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'cold' (weather)
$k \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'dry'
$t \tilde{u}^{53}$
$d z a^{11 n} d z a^{55}{ }^{\text {'same' }}$
ruI ${ }^{13}$ 'rotten'
num ${ }^{353}$ 'ripe'

### 5.3.6 Human propensity

> ADJECTIVES
> $d o^{11} r e^{55}$ 'miserable'
> $k o^{55} p a^{53}$ 'foolish'
> $t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{11} b a^{55}$ 'strong'
> $g u^{11} r 5^{55} s i^{55} p \boldsymbol{o}^{11}$ 'smart'
> ${ }^{n} d z \mathfrak{F}^{55} k{ }^{h} u \mathfrak{e}^{53}$ 'foolish'
> $p u I^{55} r e^{53}$ 'impoverished'
> $n \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} b a^{55}$ 'fierce'

## Descriptive verbs

$$
n u^{55} \text { 'crazy' }
$$

$$
p u u^{53} \text { 'upset stomach' }
$$

$$
n \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \text { 'fierce' }
$$

$$
g u e^{35} 6 a^{53} \text { 'clever, 'cunning' }
$$

$$
z 0^{33} \varphi a^{53} \text { 'efficient', 'smart' }
$$

$$
{ }^{n} d z \tilde{I}^{353} \text { 'weird' }
$$

$$
t s^{h} i^{55}, t s^{h} i^{53} \varphi \partial^{11} \text { 'shy', 'embarrassed' }
$$

$$
s i^{53} \text { 'comfortable', 'happy' }
$$

$$
g a^{13} \text { 'happy', 'to laugh' }
$$

$$
{ }^{n} d z u^{53} \text { 'to be close' }
$$

$$
k^{h} a^{55} m i^{53} \text { 'diligent' }
$$

### 5.3.7 Difficulty

## AdJectives

## DESCRIPTIVE VERBS

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ne: }{ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \text { 'sweet' } \\
& \text { sa }{ }^{55} \text { gua }{ }^{53} \text { 'sour' } \\
& \mathrm{kg}^{55} \text { to }{ }^{53} \text { 'bitter' } \\
& k \mathfrak{x}^{13} m ə^{55} \text { 'spicy', 'salty' (compare the two) } \\
& s \boldsymbol{a}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} \text { 'tasty' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j e^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{55} \text { 'difficult' }{ }^{13} & \mathrm{ka} a^{53} \text { 'difficult' } \\
& d z \mathrm{l}^{33} \sim t s \tilde{I}^{353} \text { 'hardworking' } \\
& \text { difficult' }^{\prime} \\
j e^{11} l a^{55} \text { 'easy' } & l a^{55}{ }^{\prime} \text { 'easy' }
\end{array}
$$

### 5.3.8 Quantification

## AdJectives

## Descriptive verbs

${ }^{n} g a^{11} r \rho^{55}$ 'some, few'
$k^{h} a^{11} a a^{53}$ 'all'
$t_{c} a^{11} d z_{2} \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'few'

### 5.4 Comparatives, superlatives, and excessives

Both adjectives and descriptive verbs can function in comparative, superlative, and excessive constructions. When polysyllabic adjectives function within a comparative, superlative, or excessive construction, the second syllable is usually dropped.

### 5.4.1 Comparatives

A comparative construction involves a standard of comparison ${ }^{14}$, a marker of comparison, and a particular quality. The marker of comparison in Dongwang, $=j i$, is

[^82]a clitic that attaches to the standard of comparison ${ }^{15}$. The order of the topic of comparison and the standard of comparison is flexible, but generally the topic of comparison precedes the standard of comparison. The topic of comparison is frequently omitted if the referent has already been activated in the discourse.

There are two comparative constructions. In the first, the adjective occurs in an abbreviated form reserved for comparative constructions. This abbreviated form is frequently identical to the descriptive verb form. This pattern is illustrated with examples below:

Elicited1359: Comparative construction using $g a^{55} g æ^{53}$ 'old'
(60). $j^{11}{ }^{11} c i^{55} \quad 4 a^{55} t s^{h} u^{53} \quad=j i \quad g i^{13} \quad n o \tilde{o}$

Yishi Lhatsu than older VIS.IPFV
[TOPIC] [STANDARD] -CMKR ADJ.C
'Yishi is older than Lhatsu'
(61). $k^{h}{ }^{55} \quad k^{h} u i^{55} \quad=j i \quad g u^{11}\left\ulcorner\partial^{55} S i^{53} \quad{ }_{0}{ }_{0} \tilde{o}\right.$

3SABS 3SGEN than smarter VIS.IPFV
[TOPIC] [STANDARD] -CMKR ADJ.C
'S/he is smarter than him/her'.
${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} \quad z i^{13} \quad t \partial^{55} \quad z i^{13} \quad t t^{h i^{53}} \quad n \tilde{o}$
this book that book big VIS.IPFV
'This book is bigger than that book'

[^83]Frequently, $t 6^{h} i^{53}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{big}^{\prime}$ is used in a non-specific sense in which the specific semantics are derived from the context.

Comparative construction using $t \boldsymbol{c}^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{Wu} u^{55} \mathrm{big}^{\prime}$ for $t^{h} u^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}$ 'tall'

| $c i^{55}$ | $b \tilde{1}^{11} i^{55}$ | $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $=j i$ | $t \boldsymbol{c}^{h} i^{53}$ | $n \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2sGEN | stature | 1SGEN | than | bigger | VIS.IPFV |
| [TOPIC] | [STANDARD] | -CMKR | ADJ.C |  |  |
| 'You are taller than $m e^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

In (62) $t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ 'big' does not specifically express height, but the idea of 'tall' is derived when it is used together with the noun $b \tilde{1}^{11} g i^{55}$ 'stature'. The descriptive verb $t^{h} u^{53}$ 'tall', 'high' could be used, but my consultant said it does not sound as good.

The second type of comparative construction occurs with a descriptive verb or adjective followed by $t{ }^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{53}$ 'big'. These descriptive verbs and adjectives cannot occur by themselves.

Elicited
(64). $\quad \epsilon i^{55} n i^{53} \quad \epsilon 2^{53} \quad \omega \partial^{55} n i^{53} \quad \epsilon 2^{53}=j i \quad n \tilde{\mathfrak{e}}^{13} \quad t \epsilon^{h} i^{53} \quad{ }_{0} \tilde{o}$

2PLGEN dog 1PLGEN dog than fierce big EVI.IPFV
'Your dog is more aggressive than our dog'

Elicited
(65).
$\epsilon i^{55} j i^{53} \quad \varphi 9^{53} \quad \omega 2^{55} \mu i^{53} \quad \varphi 2^{53} \quad=j i$
2PLGEN dog 1PLGEN dog than
$n \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} b a^{55}$ re $\quad t 6^{h} i^{53}$ no
fierce COP.OTHR big VIS.IPFV
'Your dog is more aggressive than our dog'

Some constructions which use comparative forms involve the same subject but at a different point in time. These constructions are composed of a directional word, genitive casemarker, directional word and the comparative form of the adjective:

DIR + GEN + DIR + ADJ.COMP $+(\mathrm{V} 2)+$ FINVERB

Elicited547
(66). $n \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55} \quad t s^{h} 9^{55}=j i \quad t s^{h}{ }^{55} \quad t s u^{13} \quad s \tilde{o}$
sun hither =GEN hither warm EGO
'It is getting hotter and hotter'

Elicited556
$m i^{11} \mathrm{mu}^{53}=j i \quad t s^{h} O^{55} \mathrm{Wa}^{53} p^{h} \partial-\quad j i \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad d o^{53} \quad$ no $\tilde{o}$
people $=$ GEN life hither GEN hither miserable VIS.IPFV
'People's lives are getting more and more miserable'

### 5.4.2 Superlatives, intensives and Excessives

In Lhasa Tibetan and in Dege Tibetan (Häsler 1999: 118ff) the superlative form of adjectives are formed when a second syllable $<$ shod $>$ follows the adjective root. In Dongwang, there is no morpheme that forms superlatives. Rather, intensive constructions are used to express superlatives and intensives. Adverbs such as $\eta a^{55} t \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'really', 'very' can precede the adjective to express 'very (big, small, etc.) or adjectives can be reduplicated.

Adjectival excessive constructions can be formed with a monosyllabic adjective root followed by the malefactive suffix -ci.

Elicited
(68). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} \quad$ to ${ }^{13} \quad r_{1}^{13} \quad c i \quad n i$
this rope long MAL AUX
'This rope is too long'

Adjectival excessive constructions are distinct from verbal excessive
constructions. Verbal excessive constructions are formed with the adverb $m u^{13}$ 'too'.

Elicited
(69). $k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{O}^{55} \quad \mathrm{ge}^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53} \quad \mathrm{mu}^{13} \quad \mathrm{ra}^{13}$ sõ
yesterday 1 SERG drink too RA EGO
'Yesterday I drank too much'

## Chapter 6 Adverbs

This chapter discusses adverbs, words or phrases that modify the meaning of the predicate or clause in some way and can be expressed by single words, phrases, or clauses. In this chapter I discuss several sub-classes of adverbs such as manner, time, speaker attitude, intensity, direction and location. Adverbial clauses and adverbs that function as subordinators in complex clause constructions are discussed in Chapter Eleven.

Schachter (1985: 20) points out that 'the label adverb is often applied to several different sets of words in a language, sets that do not necessarily have as much in common with one another, either notionally or grammatically,' as do other classes of words. Some adverbs are like nouns in that they can function as the head of a noun phrase or as the single argument of a predicate. These are the most moveable set of adverbs and include words such as $a^{11} \check{\Gamma} \tilde{1}^{55}$ 'today' and $a^{11} z^{2}{ }^{55}$ 'next year'. A few adjectives can function as adverbs (§5.1.1), for example, $a^{11} b æ ?^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ bad' $\sim$ used as an intensive adverb and a ${ }^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ 'good' used as a manner adverb meaning 'well'. Some adverbial question words such as $k a:^{13}$ 'where', 'to where'; $k a^{11 n} d z a^{55}$ 'what kind', 'how' will be discussed in §7.2. Other adverbs do not clearly fit into any lexical class other than adverb.

### 6.1 Morphology of adverbs

There is no single set of morphological characteristics that typify the class of adverbs as a whole, but most adverbs are polysyllabic. Directional verbal prefixes are treated in Chapter Nine under the discussion of the verb phrase. In my data, there are only five non-prefixing monosyllabic adverbs: $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}{ }^{\prime}$ then', $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ 'now', $z \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'again' $n \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'together' and $d z u^{53}$ 'actually'. The first three are the most frequent of all adverbs in my database. There are also some adverbs which have one or two-syllable alternate
 $s u I^{53} \sim s u I^{55} \tilde{1}^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ later', 'finally' and $g \tilde{o}^{353}{ }^{\prime}$ 'back', $g \tilde{o}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}$ 'behind'.

Although most polysyllabic adverbs are disyllabic, tri- and quadri-syllabic adverbs are not uncommon. As in other Tibetan dialects, there are quadri-syllabic temporal and expressive adverbs based on a partially reduplicated structure. For example, $t s^{h} \partial^{11} t s^{h} e^{55} m \partial^{11} t s^{h} e^{53}$ 'suddenly', $\varphi i^{11} k i^{55} c i^{11} k a^{53}$ 'shattered', $m \partial^{11} n i^{55} m \partial^{11} n u u^{53}$ 'blurrily' and $t s^{h} \partial^{11} t s^{h} u^{55} p \partial^{11}{ }^{11} i^{533}$ 'harriedly'.

The meaning of some adverbs is intensified when the whole or part of the word is reduplicated:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a^{11} r a o^{55} & \text { 'just' } & a^{11} \mathrm{rao}^{55} \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{rao}^{55} & \text { 'just now' } \\
{ }^{n} g u i^{11} l a^{55} & \text { 'just now' } & { }^{n} g u i^{33 n} g u i^{11} & \text { 'just, just now' } \\
\mathrm{ka}^{11} l \mathrm{e}^{55} & \text { 'slowly' } & \mathrm{ka}^{11} l \mathrm{l}^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{11} l \mathrm{l}^{55} & \text { 'very slowly' }
\end{array}
$$

$a^{11} \tilde{ल 1}^{55} \quad$ 'today'

$$
a^{11} \tilde{r 1}^{55} a^{11} \tilde{r 1}^{55} \quad \text { 'certainly today' }
$$

When temporal or locational adverbs are reduplicated, the meaning is intensified to a relative degree. For example, $h j \tilde{1}^{35} S u^{53}$ 'in front', 'before', versus $6 j \tilde{1}^{35} s u^{53} j i^{55} S u^{53}$ 'way in front', 'long before'. When specific time words such as $a^{11} \tilde{r}^{55}$ 'today' are reduplicated, the meaning is intensified to a more certain or urgent degree:

Elicited1461
$\begin{array}{ll}a^{11} r_{1} 55 & S u^{53} \\ \text { today } \quad \text { come.IMP } \\ \text { 'Come today' }\end{array}$

Elicited1462

The indefinite marker tci (§7.1.1.2) frequently optionally follows adverbs, for example, $t s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{11} g u^{53} \sim t s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{11} g u^{53} t \epsilon i^{\prime}$ a little ${ }^{1}, \eta a^{55} t \tilde{a}^{53} \sim \eta a^{55} t a^{53} t \epsilon i^{\text {'really'. I have not }}$ been able to identify any systematic meaning difference. Speakers say there is no meaning difference as well.

### 6.2 Syntax of adverbs

Adverbs almost always occur in pre-verbal position. The only exceptions are time adverbs that occasionally occur at the end of a finite clause as an afterthought. Manner adverbs, intensive adverbs, and some locational adverbs are non-moveable

[^84]adverbs. Other adverbs are moveable, but the preferred position is before or after the first core argument (if there is one in the clause).

Elicited631
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad a^{11} \tilde{I}^{55} \quad$ cheziCH $\quad l u^{13} \quad$ gui
1SERG today car repair NEED
'I need to fix the car today'
Elicited 255

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\begin{array}{llll}
a^{11} z a^{55} & \eta e^{13} & k^{h} 1_{1}^{11} b a^{55} & \text { tci } \\
\text { next.year } & \text { 1SERG } & \text { house } & \text { INDF } \\
\text { build } & \text { COP.SELF } \\
\text { 'Next year I will build a house' } & &
\end{array} . \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

As can be seen in the sentences above, the time adverbs can be moved to sentenceinitial position or to the position after the first core argument. Although speakers are unable to consciously discern any meaning difference that different positions contribute, it is likely that a comprehensive discourse study would reveal some interesting motivations.

All manner adverbs occur in pre-verbal position after core arguments and are ungrammatical in any other position.

## GoodSam32

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\epsilon i^{55} & =j i & k^{h} 2^{55} & =w \tilde{o} & \frac{a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}}{l} & t a^{53} & r u  \tag{5}\\
2 \text { SERG } & =\text { ERG } & 3 \mathrm{~s} & =\text { OBJ } & \text { good } & \text { watch } & \text { POL }
\end{array}
$$

'Please look after him well'.

$$
*_{6 i}{ }^{55}=j i \underline{a^{11}} n \tilde{o}^{55} k^{h} \partial^{55}=w \tilde{o} t a^{53} r u \quad * a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} c i^{55}=j i k^{h} \partial^{55}=w \tilde{o} t a^{53} r u
$$

Elicited900
(6)
$k^{h} 9^{55} \quad k a^{11} l e^{55} \quad k a^{11} l e^{55} \quad$ wũ ${ }^{13}$ de ne ${ }_{0}$
3s slowly slowly come CONT EVI.VIS
'S/he is coming very slowly'.

* $\mathrm{ka}^{11}{ }^{1} \mathrm{e}^{55} k a^{11} l^{55} \mathrm{k}^{h}{ }^{55}$ wü ${ }^{13}$ de no $\tilde{o}$

If there is a directional prefix in the verb phrase, then the locational adverb will precede the directional prefix.

> HeartAttack011

1s TOP behind up- come
'I was coming up behind'

* zoo ${ }^{53}$ ga ${ }^{13}$ zo- wũ ${ }^{13}$

Many adverbs can be modified by the suffix - $d z i$ to indicate approximate times such as:
GetMar064

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
t \tilde{x}^{13} & { }^{n} d a^{11} w a^{55} & \underline{t \epsilon i^{53}} & -d z i & p^{h}{ }^{2}- & \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{55} d \tilde{o}^{353}  \tag{8}\\
\text { then } & \text { month } & \text { one } & \text {-APPROX } & \text { thither- } & \text { think }
\end{array}
$$

$$
s e^{13} r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} r ə
$$

say REN TOP
'Then when (I) said (I) will think for one month or so,'
Butter\&Cheese011

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\underline{\tilde{O}^{53}} & \underline{z a^{353}} & -\frac{-d z i}{t s o^{53}} & t^{\text {h}} \tilde{\mathcal{X}} & \text { rã }  \tag{9}\\
\text { three } & \text { hundred } & \text {-APPROX } & \text { churn } & \text { PFV } & \text { REN }
\end{array}
$$

'When finishing churning (the milk) about three hundred times,'

The rest of this chapter will present and exemplify each type of adverb.

### 6.3 Types of adverbs

### 6.3.1 Temporal adverbs

Temporal adverbs express notions of time such as absolute time $\left({ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55}\right.$
$s^{55}{ }^{55} a^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ 'March'), relative time ( ${ }^{n} g u i^{11} l a^{55}$ 'just now' and $h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ before'),
continuous time ( $\operatorname{ta}^{55} p a^{11}$ 'always', $h j i i^{55} S u^{53} n i$ 'for a long time'), durative time
( $a^{11} W \tilde{u}^{55}$ 'still'), and iterative time ( $n u u^{13} t s^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{11} t s^{h}{ }^{\prime}$ 'everyday').

### 6.3.1.1 Absolute time

Absolute time adverbs specify time irrespective of the moment of speech.
These include notions like '3 o'clock', '1982' and 'March'. Absolute time adverbs which make reference to specific years or days of the week are often borrowed from Chinese. Months, which are expressed by ordinal numbers (discussed in §7.1.1.3) in Dongwang, tend to be native words.

Hardship002
(10) basr ñ $\quad t^{55} j Y^{13}=j \mathfrak{X}$ lingguansuo ${ }^{n} g u u^{353} n i$ 1984 CH yearCH that year =DAT limestone.quarryCH build NI 'In 1984, that year, (they were) building a limestone quarry,'

LostaLeg 102

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\underline{s \tilde{o}^{55} b a^{53}} & =j i & \underline{t 2^{55}} & \frac{t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}}{l} & -d z i & \frac{s \tilde{o}^{55} b a^{53}}{=j i}  \tag{11}\\
\text { third } & =\text { GEN } & \text { that } & \text { time } & \text { APPROX } & \text { third } & =\text { GEN }
\end{array}
$$


fourteen QST COP.SELF think OTHR
'Around the time of March, ... seems like (I seem to recall) it was the fourteenth of March'.

In (11), the narrator is having a little difficulty recalling the exact date when his wife had her leg amputated. In the previous sentence he had said that it was 'exactly April', but in (11), he recalls that it was earlier than April. In this example, the absolute time $s \tilde{O}^{55} b a^{53}$ 'third', meaning 'the third month' ${ }^{\prime 2}$, is used together with the approximate marker.

### 6.3.1.2 Relative Time

Relative time adverbs are tied to the time of speech or to a particular time within the discourse. Relative time adverbs can be specific or general. Examples of specific relative time adverbs found in my database are given below:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{55} \mathrm{~b}^{53} & \text { 'the day before yesterday' } \\
\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{11} \text { tsõ } \tilde{o}^{55} & \text { 'yesterday' } \\
\mathrm{a}^{11} \tilde{\mathrm{r}}^{55} & \text { 'today' } \\
\mathrm{sa}^{11} \mathrm{ji}^{55} \sim \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{ji}{ }^{55} & \text { 'tomorrow' }
\end{array}
$$

[^85]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{na}^{55} \mathrm{ji}^{11} & \text { 'the day after tomorrow' } \\
\mathrm{zu}^{11} \mathrm{ji}^{55} & \text { 'two days after tomorrow' } \\
\mathrm{na}^{11} \mathrm{ji}^{55} & \text { 'last year' } \\
\mathrm{tu}^{11} \mathrm{jy}^{55} & \text { 'this year' } \\
\mathrm{a}^{55} \mathrm{za}^{53} & \text { 'next year' } \\
\mathrm{so}^{11} \mathrm{pa}^{55} & \text { 'morning' } \\
\mathrm{Sa}^{55} \mathrm{ga}^{11} & \text { 'evening' } \\
\mathrm{n}^{11 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{gu}^{55} & \text { 'daytime' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

When time adverbs that refer to days are further narrowed (e.g., yesterday afternoon, tomorrow evening), the more narrow time (e.g., morning, noon, night) follows the day, and many day terms have a special form. When a special form is used, the more narrow time is optional:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{s}{ }^{55} \mathrm{~g} \partial^{11} \text { 'evening' } \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{n} \tilde{o}^{55}\left(\mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{~}^{55} \mathrm{~g} \partial^{11}\right) \quad \text { 'day before yesterday evening' } \\
& { }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11} \mathrm{sũ}\left(\mathrm{~s}{ }^{55} \mathrm{~g} \boldsymbol{~}^{11}\right) \quad \text { 'last evening' } \\
& \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{nos}^{55}\left(\mathrm{~s}{ }^{55}{ }^{5} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{11}\right) \quad \text { 'this evening' } \\
& \mathrm{s}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{o}^{55}\left(\mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \mathrm{~g} \partial^{11}\right) \quad \text { 'tomorrow evening' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$s O^{11} p a^{55}$ 'morning' and $s \partial^{55} g \boldsymbol{a}^{11}$ 'evening' are frequently used to express the time of day. The word $d \partial^{11} \tilde{S I}^{55}\left(\sim d \partial^{11} \tilde{S I}{ }^{55} g ə o^{53}\right)$ can be understood both as 'lunch' or 'noon'. Examples with specific relative time adverbs follow:

MyLife315
$\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad$ tu $^{11} \mathrm{jY}^{55} \quad \mathrm{jY}^{13} \quad \mathrm{wu}^{55} \mathrm{ca}^{11}$ suei ${ }^{11}$ re $\quad$ wũ $\quad$ dzi? now this year year fiftyCH yearCH COP.SELF COME OTHR 'Now, this year (I) have become fifty years old'.

Elicited1520

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { na } a^{13} & \frac{{ }^{n} d o^{11} \tilde{u}^{55}}{} & a^{55} r æ^{53} & { }^{n} g u æ^{53} & \text { Sõ }  \tag{13}\\
\text { 1s last.night } & \text { liquor } & \text { VBZR.circle } & \text { EGO } \\
\text { I got drunk last night } t^{13} .
\end{array}
$$

General time adverbs are tied to the moment of speech or to a particular time within a discourse. General time adverbs found in my database are listed below:

| $\operatorname{sux}^{55} \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}^{53} \sim \mathrm{su}^{53}$ | 'finally' |
| :---: | :---: |
| s2 ${ }^{11} \mathrm{nuw}^{53}\left(\mathrm{num}^{53}\right)$ | 'the next day' |
| $\mathrm{fj1}{ }^{353} \sim \mathrm{fij}^{15} \mathrm{Su}^{53}$ | 'in front, before' |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11}$ cao $^{55}$ | 'just now' |
| ta ${ }^{55} \mathrm{ra}^{11}$ | 'then' |
| ${ }^{\text {n }}$ gui ${ }^{11} 1 \mathrm{a}^{55}$ | 'just now' |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{Zum}^{55}$ | 'just now' |
| $\mathrm{sa}^{11} \mathrm{jii}^{55} \mathrm{na}^{11} \mathrm{ji}^{55}$ | 'later on' |
| $\mathrm{a}^{55}$ tsã ${ }^{53}$ | 'recently' |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11} \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}^{55} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{11}$ tso ${ }^{55}$ | 'these days', 'recently' |
| $\mathrm{s} 9^{55} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{11}$ | 'early' |
| y $2^{35} \mathrm{ji}^{11}$ | 'late' |
| dõ ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{55}$ | 'in the beginning' |

dõ ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{55} \quad$ 'in the beginning'

| $\mathrm{hi}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}(\mathrm{ra})$ | 'at first' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{hi}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h} \tilde{a}^{53}}$ | 'at the beginning' |
| $\mathrm{ta}^{11} \mathrm{rax}^{55}$ | 'then', 'long ago' |
| $\mathrm{ya}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ | 'long ago', 'once upon a time' |
| $\mathrm{na}^{53} \mathrm{ya}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ | 'long, long ago', 'once upon a time' |
| $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | 'now' |
| *t $\tilde{æ}^{13}$ | *'then', 'and then' |

MyLife303
$a^{a^{11} \mathrm{rao}^{55}} \mathrm{di}^{13}$ la $\mathrm{kəo}^{55}$ dzo ${ }^{53} \quad \mathrm{ru}^{13} \mathrm{se}^{13}$ de dzi? just.now here even shot VBZR.strike POL say CONT OTHR 'Just now, (there was someone) here (who) said, 'please give me a shot'.

The sentence in (14) is taken from a personal biography as told by a fifty-six year old village health worker. Most of the story revolves around her training as a health worker. Just prior to her describing her experience at giving shots, someone outside her window shouted at her to please give them a shot. It is this time frame she is referring to when she uses the adverb $a^{11} r a^{55}$ 'just now'.

The adverb $s u{ }^{55} \widetilde{\Gamma i}^{53}$ 'finally', 'at last', indicates an event that happened after a period of time waiting or expectation.

GetMar058
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad \underline{s u I^{55} \tilde{\Gamma} \tilde{I}^{53}} \quad b a^{353} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s 9^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
then finally father thither- die PFV REN
'Then when father finally died,'
(15) is from a story in which the narrator relates her experience when her parents arranged her unwanted marriage. After a long struggle with her parents, her father tells her on his deathbed that he wants her to accept the arrangement. After he finally died, her mother told her that she had to do what her father had wished.

'Later on when calves are born to cows and such,'

The adverb $s a^{11} j i{ }^{55} n a^{11} j i{ }^{55}$ in (16) is a compound that literally means 'tomorrow, the next day', but it is used to make a general reference to an imprecise time in the future. In the context of this example, it is a general reference to the springtime when calves are usually born. This is similar to the compound adverb $a^{11} \tilde{1}^{55} k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{O}^{55}$ 'recently' or 'these days', literally means 'today, yesterday'.

### 6.3.1.3 Continuous time

Continuous time adverbs express events or actions that persist over a space of time. There are not many continuous time adverbs in my database:

| da $^{55}$ bao $^{11}$ | 'always' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mbe ${ }^{55} \mathrm{ji}^{11}$ | 'constantly' |
| zõ ${ }^{13}$ | 'still' |
| t $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{\partial}^{11} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ guo $^{11} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ | 'incessantly' |

Elicited509
$k^{h} u i^{55}$ da ${ }^{55} b a o o ~^{11}$ me ${ }^{13} \quad$ kue $^{13} \quad z^{353} \quad$ wü ${ }^{13}$ de dzi? 3SERG always 1SGEN clothes borrow come CONT OTHR 'She always comes to borrow my clothes'

GetMar011
 that time ADVERS still 1 s TOP book like CONT KNOW 'But at that time, I still liked books'

In (18), the narrator's love for books and studying continues even though she is to quit studying in order to return home to get married.

```
GetMar032
na }\mp@subsup{}{}{13}=\mp@subsup{k}{}{h\tilde{1}}=ji\quadt\mp@subsup{t}{}{h}\mp@subsup{a}{}{11}\mp@subsup{t}{}{h}\mp@subsup{\tilde{\mathscr{P}}}{}{55}gu\mp@subsup{\tilde{o}}{}{11}\mp@subsup{t}{}{h}\mp@subsup{\tilde{\mathscr{W}}}{}{53
person =PL =ERG incessantly
na 55WO}\mp@subsup{\tilde{O}}{}{53}\mp@subsup{}{}{n}dzqu\mp@subsup{u}{}{13}\mathrm{ gui dzi? se (3 ni
bride go MOD OTHR say NI
```

'People kept on saying that (I) should go be a bride',

Example (19) comes from the same text as (18) in which the narrator's relatives kept on trying to convince her to accept the arrangement. The adverb $t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{1}{ }^{11} t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} g u \tilde{o}^{11} t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$
in (19) expresses the incessant pressuring she felt from her neighbors and family to accept the arrangment.

### 6.3.1.4 Durative time

Durative time adverbs indicate the length of time over which an event takes
place. Typically, durative time adverbs are phrases.

Hardship043
nu-- $\quad n i^{11} W^{53}{ }^{53} \quad n u u^{53} \quad d u^{353} \quad$ zə- $p^{h i^{13}}$
FALSE.START day two rock up pick
'(We) picked up rocks for two days'.

HeartAttack162
$\underline{t 6^{h}} u^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \quad \underline{s e} e^{53} \quad \underline{t \epsilon i} \quad{ }^{n} g u^{13} z \tilde{O}^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad k^{h} u æ^{53} \quad$ ra hour half INDF unconscious thither- VBZR.circle RA '(I) was unconscious for a half hour'.

YDFree

| $m b \boldsymbol{a}^{353}$ | $n \theta^{11} W a^{55}$ | $\underline{z a^{353}}$ | $\eta a^{53}$ | $t 6 i$ | $t s i^{53}$ | de | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| worm | day | four | five | INDF | look.for | CONT | CONC |

$t c ̧ i{ }^{53}$ la ja $\tilde{x}^{13}$ ma- zõ
one even find NEG- EGO.IR
'(I) looked for caterpillar fungus for four or five days, but I didn't even find one'.

[^86]
### 6.3.1.5 Iterative time

Iterative time refers to events that are repeated, usually on a regular basis.
Iterative time adverbs are almost formed from some portion of the quantifier $\mathrm{ri}^{11} \Gamma i^{55}$
(discussed in §7.1.2).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { nu }^{13} \mathrm{tsi}^{11} \mathrm{tsi}^{11} & \text { 'every day' } \\
\mathrm{num}^{13} \mathrm{ri}^{55} & \text { 'every day' } \\
\text { nu }^{13} \mathrm{a}^{11} \tilde{\mathrm{ri}}^{55} \mathrm{tsa}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} & \text { 'every day' } \\
\mathrm{jr}^{13} \mathrm{tsis}^{55} \mathrm{tsis}^{11} \mathrm{tso}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} & \text { 'every year' }
\end{array}
$$

RabbitB005

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
{d z \partial u I^{13}}^{13} & t \epsilon i & =t s ə \quad=j æ & p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial  \tag{23}\\
\text { shepherd } & \text { INDF } & =\mathrm{ABL} & =\text { LOC } & \text { FILLER }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { nul }^{13} \quad \underline{a}^{11} \mathrm{ci}^{55} \quad \underline{t s o^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}} \quad \mathrm{mæ}^{13} \quad \text { hjoo }{ }^{353} \quad \text { ni }
$$

day today every butter beg NI
'(The rabbit) begged butter from a shepherd every day,'

Example (23) is drawn from a story in which a rabbit keeps trying to get more than its share of yak butter from a shepherd.

YDFree

The sentence in (24) came from a conversation with a friend who was explaining what is done during the Tibetan New Year. One important component of Tibetan New Year is when younger people go to older people to pay their respects. They take

$$
\begin{align*}
& j Y^{13} t S i^{55} t s i^{11} t s O^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad=t s a \quad a^{11}{ }_{s a^{55}} \quad s i^{53} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13}  \tag{24}\\
& \text { year.each.every 1SGEN =ALL new.year.greeting send come } \\
& \text { 'Every year (s/he) comes to give me a New Year Greeting'. }
\end{align*}
$$

gifts (usually food and drink) and bow down as a sign of respect to any older person with whom they have a meaningful relationship. This is known as $a^{11} S S^{55}$ in

Dongwang.

Some iterative concepts can only be expressed as part of a construction such as that in the example below:

'Then each person kept the fire burning in three hour shifts'

The adverbial phrase $t 6^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} u^{53} s a^{11} s \tilde{u}^{55} s a^{11} S \tilde{u}^{55} r i^{11} r i^{55}$ 'every three hours' in (25)
functions together with the noun phrase $n \partial^{13}{ }^{n} g u r i^{11} r i^{55}$ 'each person'.

A different type of iterative expression is one that expresses the number of times an action is performed. There are two adverbs used to express the equivalent of 'times' in English. Both occur together with redpulication in some other part of the clause. The adverb $s a^{11} t^{h} \partial o^{53}$ occurs in a clause that contains a reduplicated verb and is followed by a numeral:

[^87]MyLife231

| $\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathfrak{X}^{353}$ | $6 i^{55}$ | $\underline{s a}^{11} t^{h} 20{ }^{53}$ | $\underline{S O}^{53}$ | $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| medicine | 2SERG | times | three | take |  |  |  |

$\underline{s a^{11} t^{h} \partial o^{53}} \quad \underset{\sigma^{353}}{ }{ }^{353} \tilde{o}^{53}$ rõ $j \tilde{o}^{53}$
times four take COND take
$w u^{53} \quad f \tilde{\mathbf{a}}^{53} \quad t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{11} \quad z \tilde{\imath} \quad p \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \quad z \tilde{1}$
fiveCH pennyCH moneyCH COP.SELF HIST COP.SELF
'Medicine, (whether) you take it three times a day, or four times a day, it was (only) five pennies'

The narrator in (26) is stressing how cheap medicine was in the days when she was a village health worker.

The adverb wæ also expresses the notion of repeating an activity, but not necessarily on a regular basis:

Elicited742

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
n a^{13} & \text { e }^{11} t c \tilde{1}^{55} & \text { wæ } & n u I^{53} & { }^{n} d q u u^{13} & \text { nõ }  \tag{27}\\
1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { BeijingCH } & \text { times } & \text { two } & \text { go } & \text { EXP } \\
\text { 'I have been to Beijing two times' }
\end{array}
$$

When co-occuring with another iterative adverb, the number following the adverb $w æ$ is reduplicated to convey the notion that the event was repeated in whatever time frame the iterative adverb expresses:

Youth003

every.day time eight.eight pick NEED HIST COP.SELF
'Every day (we) had to pick eight times.'

The narrator in example (28) is telling about his first job when he had to climb the mountain to collect brush eight times every day. The number of times the narrator climbed the mountain each day to pick up brush is reduplicated to match the iterative form in $n u \|^{11} i i^{55}$ 'every day'.

### 6.3.2 Manner adverbs

Manner is frequently expressed by adverbial manner clauses (§11.1), but single-word manner adverbs are found as well. Manner adverbs always precede the verb after any core arguments in a clause (if there is one).

| $a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ | 'well' | ${ }^{n} d \not \approx o^{11} b a^{55}$ | 'quickly' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k a^{11} l e^{55}$ | 'slowly' | $k^{h} a^{55} j i^{11}$ | 'together' |
| $n \tilde{a}^{53}$ | 'together' | $d a^{11} n i^{55} n i$ | 'immediately' |
| $w \partial^{55} \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}^{53}$ | 'like that' | $w \partial^{55 n} t s o^{53}$ | 'like this' |

## Elicited947

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
s a^{11} j i^{55} & \partial^{11} k^{h} u^{55} & =k \tilde{i} & k^{h} a^{55} j i^{11} & { }^{n} d z u^{13}  \tag{29}\\
\text { tomorrow } & 1 \mathrm{EX} & =\mathrm{PL} & \text { together } & \text { go }
\end{array}
$$

'Tomorrow let's go together'

GoodSam032

| $\varphi i^{55}$ | $=j i$ | $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ | $=W \tilde{O}$ | $a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ | $t a^{53}$ | $r u$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SERG | $=$ ERG | 3 S | $=$ OBJ | good | watch | POL |

'Please look after him well'.

YDFree


The sentence above was spoken when a mother was trying to get her child to quickly finish eating his food.

Most examples of quadrisyllablic expressive adverbs in my data are manner adverbs that usually follow a partially reduplicated pattern. The list below include those found in my database:

| $t s^{h}{ }^{11} t S^{h} u^{53} p \partial^{11} p i^{53}$ | 'hurriedly' | $\varphi i^{11} \mathrm{ki}^{55} ¢ 9^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ | 'all messed up' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t s^{h}{ }^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} m \partial^{11} t s^{h} e^{53}$ | 'suddenly' | $\mathrm{mba}{ }^{13} \mathrm{na}^{11} \mathrm{ji} \mathrm{mba}{ }^{13}$ | 'on the back' |
| $t^{h} a^{13} n \partial^{11} j i t^{\text {ha }} \tilde{a}^{13}$ | 'stretcher-like' | $m a^{11} \mathrm{ni}^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}{ }^{11} \mathrm{mu}^{53}$ | 'blurrily' |
| $n i^{55} n i^{55} \eta \partial^{11}$ nu $^{53}$ | 'into pieces' | $w u^{11} r i^{55} w a^{11} r a^{5}$ | 'blurrily' |

HeartAttack209
$t S^{h} a^{11} t S^{h} e^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11} t S^{h} e^{53} \quad$ ni $^{13} \quad p^{h} a^{53} \quad$ za- $\quad$ je $e^{13} \quad$ re $\quad$ ra suddenly heart beat up SELF.NEG.EX COP.OTHR RA '(I) suddenly didn't have a heartbeat' (lit: '(I) suddenly became without a heartbeat')

Accident067
$\begin{array}{llllll}k^{h} u i^{55} & \text { la } & { }^{n} d ə & r \partial^{11} b a^{55} & n i^{55} n i^{55} n \partial^{11} n u u^{53} & t s \partial^{55} k a^{53}\end{array}$
3SERG also this bone shattered a.little
$t s^{h} Y^{55} \quad \epsilon i \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{55} \quad$ по
pulled.apart MAL PFV EVI
'He (the doctor) also (said) the bone was torn a bit into pieces'

Example (33) comes from the text Accident in which a husband is telling about the time his wife was hit by a car. At this point in the narrative, the husband and others have taken the woman to the township hospital where they are discussing whether the
doctor is able to perform the operation or not. Clearly, her leg is too mangled for the local doctor and they eventually take her to a large city where her leg is amputated.

### 6.3.3 Intensive adverbs and adverbs of degree

Intensive adverbs increase the intensity of the meaning of a verb. They always occur before the verb and usually after the first argument. Some intensive adverbs are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y a^{55} t a^{53} & \text { 'very' } \\
a^{55} b æ^{53} & \text { 'really' } \\
h a^{55} d 7 \tilde{o}^{53} & \text { 'extremely' } \\
t s 9^{55} \mathrm{ku}^{53} & \text { 'a little' }{ }^{16} \\
k a^{55} \mathrm{mi}^{53} & \text { 'very' } \\
5 ə o^{53} & \text { 'hard' } \\
n 9^{11} \mathrm{mi}^{55} & \text { 'too' }
\end{array}
$$

Many intensive adverbs can co-occur with or without the indefinite marker tcti.

GetDivB011
$\eta a^{13} \quad h a^{55} d z \tilde{o}^{53} \quad d o^{11} r e^{55} \quad z \tilde{1} \quad p \sigma^{55} \quad z_{1}{ }^{11}$
1SABS extremely miserable COP.SELF HIST COP.SELF
'I was extremely miserable'

[^88]MyLife080
$l o^{55} S s^{11} \underline{h a^{55} d z \tilde{o}^{53}}$ tci dã $\tilde{a}^{353}$ la dã $\tilde{a}^{353}$ si
teacher really INDF like PTCL like KNOW
${ }^{\prime}(I)$ really really ${ }^{7}$ liked the teacher'

The adverb $a^{55} b æ^{53}$, which also functions as an adjective meaning 'bad', is a
frequently-used intensifier that does not necessarily have a negative connotation.

Elicited139
$\eta a^{13} \quad w 9^{55} n a^{53} z i^{11} g i^{55} \quad a^{55} b \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ dãa ${ }^{353}$ si 1sABS this book bad.INT like KNOW
'I really like this book'
When intensive adverbs occur with other adverbs, they occur in the slot
closest to the verb root. ${ }^{8}$

HeartAttack

1SERG actually like.that hard hit QST MAL NEG.FUT
'I didn't think (he) would hit (me) so hard like that'.

When $t s{ }^{11} k u{ }^{53}$ functions to express the degree of a verbal notion, it means
'a little bit'.

[^89]Accident

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { then TOP now TOP a.little INDF recover VIS.IPFV ADVERS }  \tag{38}\\
& \text { 'Then, now, (she) has recovered a little bit but,' }
\end{align*}
$$

In (38), the narrator's wife has had her leg amputated, but will never walk. Such sentences as (38) and (33) above, highlight the downplaying or understatement function of $t s \boldsymbol{S}^{55} \mathrm{ku}{ }^{53}$. In (33), the narrator uses understatement by saying his wife's leg was a little torn up, but in reality her leg was torn up so badly that it had to be amputated.

### 6.3.4 Restrictive adverbs

Restrictive adverbs usually occur in constructions with a negated verb which together express concepts 'only', 'not at all', 'never', and 'just'.
MyLife55

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13}$ | $p^{h} \boldsymbol{\partial}$ | $\underline{t s a^{55} W a^{53} j i}$ | $z i^{11} g i^{55}$ | $j u^{13}$ | $\underline{m a-}$ | $S i^{53}$ | ${ }_{0} \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | FILLER | completely | book | grasp | NEG- | MOD | EVI |

'Then (I), uh, did not grasp studies at all'.

Prod076
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t \mathrm{ta}^{55}{ }_{W a} a^{53} j i \quad \epsilon i^{55} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad a^{55} b \mathfrak{x}^{53} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ ma- nõ 1SERG completely 2SGEN =OBJ bad do NEG- EXP 'I have never wronged you'

The construction $t \in i^{53}$ la NEG- V means 'not at all' as in the following
examples:

GoodSam017

| $k^{h} 2^{55}$ | $=w \tilde{O}$ | $t \underline{t c} i^{53}$ | $\underline{l a}$ | $\underline{m a}-$ | $t a^{53}$ | $n i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 s | $=$ OBJ | one | even | NEG | look | NI |

'Looking at him not at all',
(42)

HeartAttack216
$b u^{353} \underline{t c i i^{53}} \underline{l a}$ ma- nõ $\quad$ so
breath one even NEG- EVI HS
'(They) say (I) didn't have any breath whatsoever'.

The modal negative $w l-$ can also negate this construction:

Hardship013

| $t c i^{53}$ | $\underline{l a}$ | $s a^{53}$ | wu- | $s i$ | $w a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| one | even | burn | NEG- | KNOW | MUT |

'(We) didn't know how to burn (limestone) at all'.

The adverb $m b \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t s i^{53}$ can express 'until', 'only' and 'unless'. $m b \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t s i^{53}$ is also
used as a locational postposition to mean 'up to' as in the following example:

Elicited

1INCL two post.officeCH =LOC up.to race go COME
'Let's the two of us race to the post office'

When it is used to mean 'until' it follows a time reference.

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} & { }^{W} \partial^{55 n} d z a^{53} & =k \tilde{\imath} & t t^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} \partial^{53} & \underline{S \tilde{o}^{53}} & =g \tilde{o} & \underline{\mathrm{mba}^{11} t s i^{53}}  \tag{45}\\
\text { yesterday } & \text { o'clock } & \text { 1PL } & & & & \\
\text { three } & =\text { OBJ } & \text { until }
\end{array}
$$

'Yesterday we worked until three o'clock'
$m b \partial^{11} t s{ }^{53}$ means 'only' when it co-occurs with the negative.

MyLife042
$j Y^{13}$ di ${ }^{53} \quad \underline{m b a}{ }^{11} t s i^{53}$ ma- re
year seven only NEG COP.OTHR
'I was only seven years old'.

Elicited

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $a^{55} n i^{11}$ | $t c i$ | $\underline{m b a^{11} t s i^{55}}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | $m a-$ | $z \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | grandfather | INDF | only | see | NEG | EGO.IR |

'I only saw an old man'

There are two instances in my database in which the negative does not occur in the same clause as $m b \partial^{11} t s i{ }^{53}$.

HeartAttack041/042
$t 6^{h} \partial^{53}$ mba- hjY $^{353}$ ya mba ${ }^{11} t s i^{53}$
water down trench NGA only
$t a^{55}{ }_{W} a^{53} j i \quad j a^{13}=g \tilde{o}^{11}=j æ \quad t^{h} O^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \quad$ ne
absolutely board =OBJ =DAT touch IMM COP.NEG.SELF
'(I) only trenched the water, (I) absolutely did not touch the board'

### 6.3.5 Epistemic adverbs

Epistemic adverbs express the speaker's attitude regarding the statement he or she is making. They express the speaker's degree of certainty or intensity about an event. I have not found many epistemic adverbs in my data. This may be due in
part to the fact that evidential, judgmental, aspectual, and other categories are
expressed in the auxiliary complex. In my data, I have found six epistemic adverbs:

| $\mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{re}^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{re}{ }^{11}$ |  | 'certainly' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dzu ${ }^{53}$ |  | 'actually' |
| $\mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{~d} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$ |  | 'truly' |
| $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{55} \mathrm{SX}^{53} \mathrm{ni}$ |  | 'accidentally' |
| $\mathrm{go}{ }^{55} \mathrm{tso}^{53} \mathrm{ni}$ |  | 'on purpose' |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{55} \mathrm{tc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}^{53} \mathrm{ni}$ |  | 'decidedly', 'certainly' |
| Elicited296 |  |  |
| $m a^{13} \quad a^{11} r e^{55} m a^{11} r e^{11}$ | $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ | $2 \pi$ |
| 1 s certainly | come | COP.SELF |
| 'I will certainly come' |  |  |

The morphologically complex adverb $a^{11} r e^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{re}^{55}$ in (49) has arisen from the juxtaposition of the interrogative and negative copulas: QST.COP.NEG.COP. The adverb $a^{11} r e^{55} m a^{11} r e^{11}$ is also frequently used as a filler when a speaker is searching for words.

HeartAttack 120
$\eta e^{13} \quad d \quad d u^{53} \quad W \partial^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \quad \xi 00^{353} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad a^{53} \quad c i \quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$
1sERG actually that.way hard.INT hit QST MAL NEG.FUT
'Actually, I didn't think that he could hit me so hard like that'.

### 6.3.6 Locational adverbs

Locational adverbs indicate where the event or action is taking place. Locative pronouns will be discussed in Chapter Seven. $h_{j 11}{ }^{353}$ 'in front' and $z ə O^{353}$ 'behind' used as locational adverbs can occur before the directional prefix (if there is one).

Locative noun phrases (in the house, on the mountain) are expressed by postpositional phrases.

HeartAttack013/014

1s TOP behind up- come Nitsongri in.front thither- go
'As for me, I was coming up behind; Nitsongri was going in front'

GetDiv015

child $=$ PL TOP uncle $=$ PL there below down lead
'As for the children, Uncle and (his family) took (them) down below (to
Kunming)'.

Examples (51) and (52) both occur with a locational adverb and a direction prefix.
The locational adverb precedes the directional prefix.

Lamas'sLouse011
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} w \tilde{u}^{55} t s o^{53} \quad d \partial^{11} p \mathfrak{æ}^{55} \quad l a^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad=j i \quad \underline{t i^{13}} \quad t^{h} \partial^{11} p a^{55} \ldots$
then wuntso when lama $=$ GEN there forehead
$t^{h} 9^{11} p a^{55} \quad \varphi 9^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53} \quad \int_{\tilde{I}^{353}} \quad{ }^{n} d z a^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i^{55} \quad s e^{53}$
forehead middle louse crawl EX.AN.OTHR QTV
'Then when they were doing the wuntso', there was a louse crawling there on the middle of the lama's forehead.'

The text surrounding (53) is a short story told by a monk about a brainless and dirty lama who wants to be honored by a monk. The use of $t i^{13}$ 'there' in this clause serves a pointing function. In the story, the monk is sitting before the lama and can see the louse.

### 6.3.7 Directionals

There is a small handful of directionals which serve to indicate direction (e.g., $t s^{h} \partial$ - 'back', $p^{h} \partial$ - 'forth', zə- 'up', and mbə- 'down'). These are verbal prefixes which serve adverbial and other functions and are discussed in §9.1.2.

### 6.4 Adverbs in discourse

It is beyond the scope of this dissertation to provide an adequate treatment of adverbs in discourse. The aim here is to discuss some of the most frequent adverbs relative to discourse. All adverbs discussed here serve to 'bracket units of talk'

[^90](Shiffrin 1987: 31) either as elements which introduce the text or as discourse markers which indicate a new thematic unit.

### 6.4.1 Openers

The adverbs $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}{ }^{\prime}$ 'then', $h i^{55} m o^{53} r \boldsymbol{r}$ 'at first', $h j \tilde{1}{ }^{55} S u^{53}$ 'first' can all be used as an opener in the introductory clause of a text, but $\mathrm{ga}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ 'long ago', na ${ }^{53} \mathrm{ga}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$
'long, long ago' and $\operatorname{ta}^{11} r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ 'long ago' only function in the initial slot of a narrative text. $\eta a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ 'long ago' and $n a^{53} \mathrm{ga}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ 'long, long ago' only occur in traditional stories as a formal opening sentence. $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{2}^{11} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$ 'long ago' occurs as an opener in traditional stories as well as in personal-experience narratives.

Rabbit\&Crane001
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\underline{n a} a^{53} \mathrm{ga}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} & a^{55} \mathrm{mi}^{11} & \text { tci } & \text { rõ } & a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} & \text { tci } & \text { nui } & =j i & p \tilde{o}^{53} \\ \text { long.ago } & \text { g'pa } & \text { INDF } & \text { and } & \text { g'ma } & \text { INDF } & \text { two } & =\text { ERG } & \text { grassland }\end{array}$
$\mathrm{ku}^{55}$ ze $\quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d \not \mathrm{c}^{2} \quad$ se
dig EX.INAN.SELF EX.AN.OTHR HS
'Long ago there was an old man and an old woman who were digging in the grassland'

Lamas'sLice001

long.ago Lama INDF and monk INDF village surrounding
$g 0^{55} \tilde{\Gamma 1}^{53} \quad j e^{13}$
scripture VBZR
'Long ago, there was a Lama and a monk who were chanting scriptures in the surrounding villages.'

## 6．4．2 Bracketing

6．4．2．1 $\mathrm{nu}^{13} t \epsilon i^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ one day＇

The adverbial phrase $j u I^{13} t \epsilon i^{53}$＇one day＇signals a major discontinuity of events．In the events leading to the following example，the narrator and his friends have been trying to fire limestone，but because they did not know what they were doing，they ruined it all．

> Hardship064

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { tax̃ }^{13} & \text { nuI }  \tag{56}\\
\text { 133 } & \text { tci } & \text { pa } & \text { mbo- } & \text { pi } i^{13} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & j i \\
\text { then day one } & 1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { down- } & \text { arrive } & \text { come } & \text { Cop.SELF }
\end{array}
$$

At the point at which（56）occurs in the text，the narrator has come down from the mountain to look for someone who can help them learn how to fire limestone．In the subsequent narrative，he finds someone who helps them and they eventually are successful．

6．4．2．2 sa $^{11} \mathrm{Jum}^{53}$＇the next day＇

The adverb $s a^{11} \mathrm{fuI}^{53}$ indicates time relative to the discourse time and is similar to English＇the next day＇or Chinese 第二天（di2 er2 tian1＇the second day＇）．

GoodSam029

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
t \tilde{x}^{13} & \underline{s \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11}} n u l^{53} & s^{h} i^{353} & r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}  \tag{57}\\
\text { then } & \text { the.next.day } & \text { arrive } & \text { REN }
\end{array}
$$

'Then when (they) arrived (at the hotel) the next day',

In the clause previous to example (57), the hero leads a beaten-up man to a hotel to be taken care of. Although the text does not specifically say it, the mention of 'the next day' implies that it took them all night to reach the hotel.

### 6.4.2.3 t $\tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13}{ }^{\prime}$ then'

Two adverbs, $t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13}$ 'then' and $\tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{13}$ 'now', function to bracket units of talk (Schiffrin 1987: 31). t $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ has likely arisen from the WT word <de.nas> which Beyer (1990: 386) calls an 'anaphoric' or 'back-referring discourse connective'. In Dongwang, t $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$, glossed as 'then' in this dissertation, is the most frequent discourse marker, occurring in nearly twenty percent of clauses in texts. It serves to link units of speech sequentially, but is not syntactically dependent on any clause-level unit. It occurs in sentence-initial position and frequently is followed by a pause.

The main function of $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ is to bracket the main events of a text. One of the easiest texts to see the sequencing function of $t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13}$ is in the simple procedural text

How to make butter and cheese. In this text, táx ${ }^{13}$ occurs in eighteen of the thirty-six
clauses. The first eight clauses ${ }^{10}$ are illustrative of the use of $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ throughout the text:

Butter\&Cheese001
wõ: ${ }^{35}$ dzo ${ }^{53}$ rax ${ }^{55}$
milk churn REN

Butter\&Cheese002
$h i^{55} m o^{53} r a^{11} \quad n u \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} u \quad w \tilde{u}^{13}$
first churn hither bring.out KHU COME
'When churning milk, first get out a churn.'

Butter\&Cheese003
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53}$
then churn thither rinse
'Then rinse out the churn.'

Butter\&Cheese004
$n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$
churn thither rinse PFV REN
'When finished rinsing the churn,'

Butter\&Cheese005

```
\(t \tilde{\dddot{x}}^{13} s \tilde{a}^{13}=n ə \quad w \tilde{o}^{13} j o^{53}\) ra ze -no ro
```

then pot $=$ LOC milk pour RA EX.INAN.SELF -NZR TOP
Butter\&Cheese006

| $n \tilde{u}^{13}$ | $=n ə$ | $z ə-$ | $j o^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| churn | $=$ LOC | up | pour |

'Then pour the milk that has been poured into the pan up into the churn'.

[^91]Butter\&Cheese007
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad n \tilde{u}^{13}=n \ni \quad z ə-j o^{53}$ the rã $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$
then churn $=$ LOC up pour PFV REN
'Then after (the milk) has been poured into the churn,'

Butter\&Cheese008
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \mathrm{su}^{55} \mathrm{ra}^{11} \mathrm{mbo}-\mathrm{tco} \mathrm{o}^{53}$
then paddle down VBZR.strike 'Then paddle (the milk)'.

In this section of text, $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ occurs four times:

First take out a churn. Then rinse the churn. (002/003)
When finished rinsing the churn, then pour the milk into the churn. (004/005-6)
Then when it has been poured into the churn, (005-6/007)
then paddle (the milk). (007/008)

The first, second and fourth clauses beginning with $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ are clearly sequential to the
preceding clause. $t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}$ can occur at the beginning of finite (003) or non-finite (007) clauses.

But the use of $t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13}$ extends beyond bracketing events in a text as can be seen by the fact that $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ occurs twice in the third and fourth clauses even though there is
only one action. This overuse of $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ appears to mark intonation units as well as being a method of propelling the text forward. It merits further investigation.

## Chapter 7 Minor Word Classes

This chapter presents minor word classes in Dongwang not discussed elsewhere in the grammar. The use of 'minor' is meant to include words that comprise generally closed, small classes. The classes discussed in this chapter are numerals, quantifiers, classifiers, non-personal pronouns, coordination markers, particles and clitics, interjections, expletives, and filler words.

### 7.1 Numerals, quantifiers, and classifiers

### 7.1.1 Numbers

### 7.1.1.1 Cardinal numbers

Like other Tibetan dialects, Dongwang numerals are based on a decimal system. Numbers one through ten are unique. Eleven through nineteen are based on ten + one through nine. Numerals one through twenty are given below.

| $t 6 i^{53}$ | 'one' | $t c o^{55} \mathrm{ji}^{11}$ | 'eleven' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| num ${ }^{53}$ | 'two' | $t 60^{55} \mathrm{n}^{11}$ | 'twelve' |
| $s \widetilde{o}^{53}$ | 'three' | tco: ${ }^{55}$ S $\tilde{o}^{53}$ | 'thirteen' |
| z $2^{353}$ | 'four' | $t_{6 Y:}{ }^{55} \mathrm{Z}^{11}{ }^{11}$ | 'fourteen' |
| $7 a^{53}$ | 'five' | $t ¢ \tilde{e}^{55} \eta a^{53}$ | 'fifteen' |
| $t_{\text {S }} \mathrm{O}^{53}$ | 'six' | $t ¢ 9^{55} d z o^{53}$ | 'sixteen' |
| $d 1^{533}$ | 'seven' | $t ¢ 2^{55} \mathrm{I}^{53}$ | 'seventeen' |
| $2 \mathrm{e}^{353}$ | 'eight' | $t 60^{55} z^{53}$ | 'eighteen' |
| $g 2^{353}$ | 'nine' | $t ¢ Y^{55} g a^{11}$ | 'nineteen' |
| $t 62^{53}$ | 'ten' | ת $9^{11} 62^{53}$ | 'twenty' |

When counting ${ }^{1}$, numerals twenty through ninety-nine are derived from the multiple of ten (20, 30, etc.) plus a specific morpheme for each set followed by numerals one through nine. The morpheme is derived from the particular decade it represents. Thus the morpheme for the seventies is derived from the number seven, the morpheme for the eighties is derived from the number eight, and so on. The one exception is the morpheme for the twenties which is not related to the number two.

It is rare that the full four-syllable numeral is used. Usually only the decimal morpheme and specific number that follows it are necessary. Both forms are illustrated below:

[^92]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c e^{11} \mathrm{num}^{55} \quad \sim \quad \mathrm{za}^{55} t \varphi 9^{55} \mathrm{ce}^{11} \mathrm{num}^{55} \quad \text { 'forty-two' } \\
& \text { y2 }{ }^{11} n u w^{55} \quad \sim \quad \eta \partial^{55} t \varphi コ^{55} \mathrm{ga}^{11} \mathrm{num}^{53} \quad \text { 'fifty-two' } \\
& \dot{i i}^{11} \text { nu }{ }^{55} \quad \sim \quad t_{S} o^{55} t \epsilon 9^{55} \mathrm{Ci}^{11} \mathrm{num}^{53} \quad \text { 'sixty-two }{ }^{12} \\
& d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} n u I^{55} \quad \sim \quad d i^{55} t \varphi 2^{55} d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} n u I^{53} \quad \text { 'sixty-two' } \\
& \boldsymbol{z x}^{11} n u^{55} \quad \sim \quad \mathrm{ze}^{11} t \varphi 9^{55} \mathfrak{z}^{11}{ }^{11} u^{53} \quad \text { 'eighty-two' } \\
& g u^{11} \mathrm{nu}^{55} \quad \sim \mathrm{ka}^{55} t \varphi 9^{55} \mathrm{gu}^{11} \mathrm{num}^{53} \quad \text { 'ninety-two' }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

When numbers are uttered for purposes other than counting, the unique morphemes that represent each decade are replaced with the morpheme $t s a:{ }^{55}$ that is used for twenty-one through twenty-nine in other contexts. In this case, the whole four-syllable numeral must be used. These are illustrated below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s \tilde{o}^{55} t \varphi 9^{55} t s a:{ }^{55}{ }^{5} u^{53} \quad \text { 'thirty-two' } \\
& \text { zo }{ }^{55}{ }^{5} \text { tc9 }{ }^{55} \text { tsa: }{ }^{55} \mathrm{num}^{55} \quad \text { 'forty-two' } \\
& \eta \partial^{55} t 6{ }^{55} t s a:{ }^{55} \eta u u^{53} \quad \text { 'fifty-two' } \\
& t_{s} O^{55} t \varphi 9^{55} t \text { tsa: }^{55} n u{ }^{53} \quad \text { 'sixty-two' } \\
& d i{ }^{55} t \varphi 9^{55} t s a:{ }^{55} n u{ }^{53} \quad \text { 'sixty-two' } \\
& z \mathrm{e}^{11} t \varphi 9^{55} t s a:^{55} n u u^{53} \quad \text { 'eighty-two' }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^93]
### 7.1.1.2 Grammaticized function of the number 'one'

As in many other languages, the number 'one' in Dongwang has grammaticized to function as a marker of indefiniteness. When it functions as a marker of indefiniteness, it is not stressed and does not carry tone.
$t \not \subset i$ can indicate indefiniteness for singular and plural referents:

HeartAttack 151
(1)
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad a^{11} W \tilde{u}^{55} \quad d u^{11} b a^{55} \quad t \in i \quad j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} \partial$
3SERG again boulder INDF pick.up KHU
'He again picked up a boulder,'

Elicited836
(2)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{ }^{W} \tilde{o}^{55} d z a^{53} & =k \tilde{1} & \text { pingguoCH } & \text { ma }^{11} m 2^{55} & t \epsilon i & t u^{53} & j i \\ \text { 1PL } & =\mathrm{PL} & \text { apple } & \text { many } & \text { INDF } & \text { pick } & \text { COP.SELF } \\ \text { 'We picked a lot of apples'. }\end{array}$

A common use of the indefinite marker is to introduce participants in narratives.

GoodSam001

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\underline{n \Omega^{13}} & \underline{t \epsilon i} & z \mathrm{e}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53} & =t s^{h} \partial & h \tilde{u}^{13}  \tag{3}\\
\text { man } & \text { INDF } & \text { rGyalthang }=\mathrm{ABL} & \text { come } \\
\text { 'A man came from Zhongdian'. }
\end{array}
$$

The indefinite marker can also be used to mean 'some' or 'a little' when it is used with non-count nouns:

MyLife035

| $t s \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{53}$ | $t c i \quad t c^{h} a^{53}$ | $z e$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tsampa | INDF eat | EX.INAN.SELF |
| '(We) ate | me tsampa'. |  |

The indefinite marker can also occur after 'all'.

Elicited80c
$\varphi i^{55} j i^{53} \quad \underline{k}^{h} a^{11} l a^{53} \quad t \in i \quad \eta a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \quad a^{53} \quad t^{h} i$
2PL all INDF 1s see QST VISU.PFT
'You all saw me'.

Finally, the indefinite marker occasionally follows numbers:

Elicited
(6)

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
m b \partial^{353} & n a^{11} W a^{55} & \underline{z o} \\
\text { cat.fungus } & \text { day } & \text { four } & \frac{n a^{53}}{} & \text { five } & t c i & t s i^{53} & d e
\end{array} \quad j i
$$

find one'

In all the sentences given above, speakers say the indefinite marker is optional and are unable to discern any difference in meaning between its presence or absence in a clause. However, it is never omitted in certain contexts such as (3) when introducing a participant into a text. When it is omitted in a clause such as (1), it would be ambiguous whether the speaker is referring to $a$ boulder or boulders. In other clauses, such as (5) and (6), the occurrence or non-occurrence of tci probably determines very
subtle meaning differences, but more examination of the distribution of $t \in i$ in texts is needed to know more.

The approximate suffix - $d z i$ and the indefinite marker $t c i$ can also follow numerals to indicate rough estimations. In this type of noun phrase, the indefinite marker seems to function as a phrase boundary marker.

Hardship059
$s^{h_{1}^{55}}$ la $p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial$ chezeCH za $a^{353}$ na $a^{53}$-dzi tci $\quad$ ze ${ }^{11} d z i i^{55}$ wood LA FILLER car four five about INDF EX.INAN.OTHR 'We had, um, about four or five carloads (=tractorloads) of wood'

Example (7) indicates that $t \epsilon \bar{i}$ has fully grammaticized into an indefinite marker as it has entirely lost its original meaning of 'one'.

### 7.1.1.3 Ordinal numbers

Ordinal numbers are derived when the formative $-p a$ is suffixed to the cardinal number. 'First' has a unique form:

| $t 0^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ | 'first' | $n \tilde{u}^{55} b a^{53}$ | 'second' | $S \sigma^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'third' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $z \rho^{11} p a^{53}$ | 'fourth' | $\eta a^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'fifth' | $t s o^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'sixth' |

### 7.1.1.4 Special count words

When counting weights or bulk measurement, the numbers 'one' and 'two' have special numbers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \epsilon i^{55} \quad k \tilde{o}^{11} \quad \text { 'one } j i n^{13} \\
& \text { jinCH one }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t c i i^{55} \quad t u^{11} \quad \text { 'two } j i n '
$$

jinCH two

### 7.1.1.5 Fractions

There are only a few fractions in Dongwang:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
s e^{55} k^{h} a^{53} & & \text { 'one half' } \\
& & & \\
z \rho^{11} & n \tilde{a}^{55} & t \epsilon i & \text { 'one quarter' } \\
\text { four } & \text { piece } & \text { INDF } &
\end{array}
$$

'One half' is commonly used but 'one quarter' is infrequently used. Speakers do not seem to know any other fractions.

### 7.1.2 Quantifiers

Non-numeral quantifiers 'make reference to sets of items and the relative number of items in that set relevant to the predication' (Watters 2003: 176). Nonnumeral quantifiers in Dongwang include words such as $k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$ 'all', ${ }^{n} g \partial^{11} r \partial^{55}$ 'some', we ${ }^{11} z a^{55}$ 'other', 'another', $r i^{11} r i^{55}$ 'each' and $m a^{11} m \partial^{55}$ 'many'. The

[^94]approximate suffix $-d z i$ is used with measurements to indicate approximation such as' about' or 'more or less'. Apart from - $d z i$ all of these forms can stand alone or as a nominal modifier within a noun phrase. Quantifiers usually follow the noun they modify.

Elicited335

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta a^{13} & t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53} & m a- & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & j i^{55} s u^{53} & =j æ  \tag{8}\\
1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { Dongwang } & \text { NEG- } & \text { go } & \text { before } & =\text { LOC }
\end{array}
$$

$j a^{11} m b \partial^{55} \quad{ }^{n} g a^{11}{ }^{11} \partial^{55} \quad$ nu ${ }^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial \quad$ gui
present some buy KHU NEED
'Before I go to Dongwang, (I) need to buy some presents'.

Only the quantifier $w e^{11} Z^{55}$ 'other', 'another' can either proceed or follow the noun it modifies. There is no apparent meaning difference.

> Elicited680a

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
y a^{13} & \underline{w e^{11} z a^{55}} & k^{h^{11}}{ }^{11} m b a^{55} & \underline{t c i} & z e  \tag{9}\\
\text { 1s another } & \text { house } & \text { INDF } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF } \\
\text { 'I have another house'. }
\end{array}
$$

Elicited680b
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { na } & k^{h} i^{11} m b a^{55} & \frac{w e^{11} z a^{55}}{l t i} & \text { te } \\ \text { 1s } & \text { house } & \text { another } & \text { INDF } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF }\end{array}$ 'I have another house'.

Quantifiers can stand alone as the single element in a noun phrase and can cooccur with casemarking morphemes like any other noun.

KillPig014/015

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
{ }^{n} g a^{11} r a^{55} & =j i & \text { ro } & t c a^{55} k i^{53} & =j i & \text { la } & k a^{13} & d z i l  \tag{11}\\
\text { some } & =\text { ERG } & \text { TOP } & \text { wire } & =\text { INSTR } & \text { also } & \text { garotte } & \text { OTHR }
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{n} g a^{11} r \partial^{55}=j i \quad t^{h} \partial^{13}=j i \quad$ la $k a^{13} \quad d z i 2$
some $=$ ERG rope $=$ INSTR also garotte OTHR
'Some use wire to garotte (the pig). Some use rope to garotte (the pig)'.

The quantifier $r i^{11} r i^{55}(\S 6.3 .1 .5)$ occurs as part of a special distributive construction. In ditransitive clauses that have multiple recipients, $\int i^{11} r i^{55}$ follows the recipient while a single syllable $\mathrm{r}^{13}$ follows the direct object:

Elicited688

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{h} u i^{55} \quad n \partial^{13} \quad \underline{r i^{11}} i i^{55} \quad=j æ \quad s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad \underline{r i^{55}} \quad t e^{53} \quad t^{h} i  \tag{12}\\
& \text { 3sERG person each =DAT food each give VIS.PFV } \\
& \text { 'S/he gave food to each person' }
\end{align*}
$$

The noun phrase $n \partial^{13} r i^{11} r i^{55}=j æ$ 'to each person' in (12) is the recipient of the verb $t e^{53}$ 'to give'.

In other clauses containing the verb $t e^{53}$ 'to give' and a single recipient, but multiple instances of giving, the quantifier $\int i^{11} r i^{55}$ follows the item given:

Hardship018

everyday dollar twenty each give say COP.SELF
'(We) said (we) would give (him) twenty dollars a day'.

In (13), the adverb $n u I^{11} r i^{55}$ 'every day', functions together with the noun phrase $n \partial^{11} \varphi a^{55} r i^{11} r i^{55}$ 'each day'.

In noun phrases, the quantifier $\int i^{11} i^{55}$ occurs after the noun. In verbalized constructions (§4.3.2) it occurs in between the nominal and verbal components.

MyLife228

| $\underline{k^{h} \partial O^{53}}$ | $\frac{r i^{11} r i^{55}}{}$ | $\frac{d z O^{53}}{}$ | $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shot | each | VBLZ | REN |

wuCH pingCH tç $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad$ Z̃̃ $\quad p \boldsymbol{o}^{55} \quad Z \tilde{\imath}$ five fen moneyCH COP.SELF HIST COP.SELF 'When each injection is given, it is five fen' (wu ping qian is from Chinese)

### 7.1.3 Measures and Classifiers

In Dongwang, there are no classifiers that impose categorization as 'special operators that are used in some or all noun phrases to directly express the class of a noun' (Payne 1997: 155). There are some words, used in certain contexts, which 'categorize the noun with which they co-occur and are independent of any other element in a noun phrase or in a clause.' (Aikhenvald 2006: 465). Most of these words in Dongwang can be called measure words. They occur after the noun they modify and before any quantifier or numerals which might be included in the noun phrase.

Examples of measures are 'a pair of', 'a blob/dollop of', and 'a day's ration of'.

Elicited
(15) ${ }^{h} \tilde{a}^{353} t^{h} a^{53}$ t $\epsilon i$
shoe/s pair INDF
'a pair of shoes'

RabbitB011
(16) $\underline{m x}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{55}$ tci $k^{h} \partial o^{53} n i \quad$ ro butter blob INDF carry NI TOP '(the shepherd) carrying a blob of butter,'

HeartAttack022

$$
\begin{align*}
& t 6^{h} \partial^{53} \quad{ }^{n} g u i^{53} \quad t \underline{t} i \quad{ }^{2} \partial^{55} t \partial^{11}=n \partial  \tag{17}\\
& \text { water ration INDF there }=\text { LOC } \\
& \text { mbo- }{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad \text { ndo rax }{ }^{55} \text { ro } \\
& \text { down- go EX.AN.SELF REN TOP } \\
& \text { 'When a day's ration of water was running down there', }
\end{align*}
$$

The phrase $t \epsilon^{h} a^{53}{ }^{n} g u i^{53} t \epsilon i$ in example (17) refers to a system of irrigation that is tightly regimented in the village. One small canal flows into the village and is controlled by a complicated system that involves the raising and lowering of boards that open and close certain ditches. Each household is allowed water on certain days to water their fields. Thus, $t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{53} n g u i^{53} t \epsilon \epsilon i$, means 'a day's ration of water'.

There are two classifiers used for humans that seem to function similarly as to those examples given above. The first, ${ }^{n} g u$, has likely arisen from the word ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ 'head'.

Hardship076
$\begin{array}{lllllll}n \partial^{13} & { }^{n} g u & =t s \partial O & p^{h} \partial- & t s \tilde{O}^{11} t a^{53} & s \tilde{a}^{53} & s \tilde{O} \\ \text { person } & \text { CLF } & =\mathrm{ABL} & \text { thither- } & \text { embarrassed } & \text { think } & \text { EGO.REAL }\end{array}$
'I thought I would be embarrassed before everyone'

When there is a quantifier in the noun phrase, the classifier precedes the quantifier as in the following example:

Hardship108
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad n \partial^{13} \quad \stackrel{n}{n g u} \quad \underline{r i^{11} r i^{55}} \quad t G^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} \partial^{53} \quad s a^{11} s \tilde{u}^{53}$ sa ${ }^{11} s \tilde{u}^{53} r i^{11} r i^{55}$
then person CLF each o'clock ?.three ?.three each
$w 2^{55} t \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11} \quad n_{0} i^{13} \quad p æ^{53} \quad j e^{13}$
there fire burn VBZR.do
'Then each person (=each one of us) fired (the limestone) for three hours each'.

For reasons unknown to me, ${ }^{n} g u$ can co-occur with the plural morpheme $=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ but not with the plural morpheme $=k i \tilde{\text { in }}$.

Elicited
$n 2^{13} \quad{ }^{n} g u \quad=t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad$ tsi $\quad$ re
person CLF $=$ PL go PROSP COP.OTHR
'The whole household is going'.

$$
{ }^{*} n \partial^{13}{ }^{n} g u=k \tilde{1}{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \text { tsi re }
$$

Without the classifier, either or both plural markers can co-occur with either noun.

The second word that functions as a classifier for humans is ${ }^{n} g a^{53}$, etymology
unknown.

HeartAttack 129
$\begin{array}{lllllllll} & w \partial^{55} n a^{53} & n u v^{53} & { }^{n} g a^{53} & w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} & d \partial^{11} d \tilde{o}^{55} & j e^{13} & k^{h} \partial & n i \\ \text { 1PL } & \text { DU } & \text { CLF } & \text { there } & \text { fistfight } & \text { VBZR.do } & \text { KHU } & \text { NI }\end{array}$
'The two of us fistfought and,'

Elicited
(22) $k^{h} \partial^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{53}$ nui ${ }^{53} \quad{ }^{n} g a^{53}$

3pL two CLF
'Both of them'

Elicited
(23) $k^{h} \partial^{55} n a^{53} \quad \underline{s \tilde{u}^{53}} \quad{ }^{n} g a^{53}$

3PL three CLF
'All three of them'

### 7.2 Non-personal pronouns

In Chapter Five, personal pronouns were discussed together with nouns because they can function as the single element in a noun phrase and can be casemarked. This is true of many non-personal pronouns as well, but non-personal pronouns exhibit additional features that are not characteristic of nouns. Each of these will be discussed in the relevant sections below.

### 7.2.1 Demonstrative pronouns

Demonstratives function as pronouns, or to point to referents, locations, time, and manner in my database.

|  | Singular | Plural | Location | TIME | Manner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proximate | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{n} d \partial^{353} \\ & { }_{W}{ }^{55 n} d \partial^{11} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{n} d \partial^{11} k \tilde{\imath}^{55} \\ & { }_{w} \partial^{55 n} d \partial^{11} k \tilde{\imath} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n a^{53} \\ & w 2^{55} n a^{53} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & w \partial^{55 n} d z o^{53} \\ & w \partial^{55 n} d z e^{53} \end{aligned}$ |
| DISTAL 1 | $\begin{aligned} & t 2^{55} \\ & w 2^{55} t 2^{11} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t \partial^{11} k \tilde{1}^{55} \\ & w \partial^{55} t \vartheta^{11} k \tilde{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & t i^{13} \\ & w \partial^{55} t i^{11} \end{aligned}$ | $t 2^{11} r \mathfrak{X}^{55}$ | $\begin{aligned} & w \partial^{55} t s o^{53} \\ & w \partial^{55} t s e \end{aligned}$ |
| DISTAL 2 | $t{ }^{55}$ re ${ }^{11}$ |  | $t 2^{11} p^{h} a^{53}$ |  |  |

TABLE 22: DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUNS IN DONGWANG
As shown in Table 22, the demonstrative pronouns can be marked for plurality, but the related location, time, and manner forms are not. Speakers say that the two proximate and distal demonstrative pronouns in Table 22 are in free variation. The difference between 'distal 1 ' and 'distal 2 ' forms is one of degree of distance. Thus, $t \boldsymbol{v}^{55}$ can be understood as 'that', $t \boldsymbol{v}^{55} \Gamma \partial^{11}$ as 'that there', $t i^{13}$ as 'there' and $t \boldsymbol{a}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}$ as 'over there'. Other forms that express further distance are the more complex forms $\operatorname{ta}^{11} p^{h} a^{53} j i t \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}$ 'way over there' and $s^{h} a^{53} t \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}$ 'furthest over there'. Unlike
other Tibetan dialects, only horizontal proximate and distal forms are found in my database. ${ }^{4}$

Demonstratives can occur attributively in a noun phrase or independently as the single element of a noun phrase. Within a noun phrase, demonstratives occur before the head noun (s§8.1.1.1). ${ }^{5}$ When they occur independently, demonstratives can be casemarked like any other noun.

Demonstrative pronouns can be pluralized with the clitic $=k i$, but not with the plural marker $=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$. When demonstratives occur in noun phrases, the demonstrative precedes the noun and the plural marker follows the noun.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
t 2^{55} a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}=k \tilde{1} & { }^{n} d \partial^{353} \quad c 2^{53} \quad=k \tilde{1}  \tag{24}\\
\text { that child }=\mathrm{PL} & \text { this } \operatorname{dog}=\mathrm{PL} \\
\text { 'Those children' } & \text { 'These dogs' }
\end{array}
$$

When demonstratives occur independently, they can be casemarked as any other noun.

Hardship048

that.one =ERG a.little INDF do know.how HIST COP.SELF
'That one knew how to do it a little bit'

[^95]Distal demonstratives tend to make anaphoric reference to previous discourse while proximate demonstratives tend to make cataphoric reference to upcoming discourse.

GetMar056

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & w \partial^{55} t^{11} & s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} & r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}  \tag{26}\\
\text { then that } & \text { think } & \text { REN } \\
\text { 'Then thinking about that', }
\end{array}
$$

In (26) the distal demonstrative pronoun $w{ }^{55}{ }^{55} \boldsymbol{v}^{11}$ 'that' refers to what the narrator's father had just told her.

In example (27), the proximal demonstrative ${ }^{n} d v^{353}$ in the second clause refers to the event stated in the third clause.

KillPig56-58

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
z \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53} & t s^{h} \partial- & j \tilde{o}^{53} & n i & \text { rə }  \tag{27}\\
\text { intestines } & \text { hither } & \text { take.out } & \mathrm{NI} & \text { TOP }
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} j e^{13}$
this do
$z 2^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53}$ ro $\quad p^{h} \partial-\quad z 2^{11} t \underset{5}{ } i^{53} \quad j e^{13}$
intestines TOP thither divide do
'After taking out the intestines, do this: divide the intestines'

In addition to indicating physical proximity, the proximal demonstrative pronoun ${ }^{n} d ə^{353}$ 'this' can be associated with exophoric reference.

Accident258

then here this bone CONN all a.little
$j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ ci $\quad{ }_{0} \tilde{o}$
VBZR MAL VIS.IPFV
'Then here this bone and all was all a little bad'

In (28), the speaker is relating the injuries his wife incurred after an accident. As he says $n a^{53}{ }^{n} d \partial^{353} r a^{11} S S^{55} r o \tilde{o} k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$ 'here this bone and all', he motions to his own leg. His motion links the location on his leg to that of his wife's leg in the text.

### 7.2.2 Interrogative pronouns

The interrogative pronouns of Dongwang are given below:

| $s a^{55}$ | <su> | 'who' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k a^{11} n \partial^{55} \sim k a^{11 n} d \partial^{55}$ | <ga.'di> | 'what', 'which', 'how' |
| $k a^{13}$ | <gar?> | 'where', 'to where' |
| $k a^{13} t s \rho^{11}$ | <gar.tsa?> | 'from where' |
| $n a a^{13}$ | <nam?> | 'when' |
| $k a^{11 n} d z a^{55}$ | <ga.'dra> | 'what kind', 'how' |
| $k a^{13} d z i^{55}$ | <ga.tshod?> | 'how many', 'how much' |
| $k a^{11 n} d \partial^{55} t^{h} O^{11} k \mathfrak{k r}^{11}$ | <ga.'di.?.?> | 'why, for what reason' |
| $k a^{11} n \partial^{55}$ Si $^{11} n e^{11}$ | <ga.'di.zer.nas> | 'why' |

The majority of the forms above are built on a first syllable $<\mathrm{ga}>$ a common question word prefix found in all Tibetan dialects. The word for 'to where' has likely arisen from the interrogative morpheme plus an old locative suffix $-r$. The word for 'from where' has likely risen from the interrogative morpheme plus the ablative $t s \rho \sim t s ə o$.

One WT word for 'when' is <nam> which is the likely etymology for the Dongwang form, but somewhere along the line, the nasal coda was dropped. The etymology for 'how many' or 'how much' is likely <ga.tshod>, but it is unclear why the second syllable onset is voiced.

Interrogative pronouns usually occur right before the verb.

Heartattack 103/104
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{11} n \rho^{55} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ sõ $\quad$ ci ${ }^{55} \quad \underline{n a:^{13}} t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$

1SERG what VBZR.do EGO 2SERG when see
'What did I do? When did you see (me do something)?'

Elicited
$s 2^{55}=j i \quad c e^{55} d \tilde{o}^{353} d z i ?$
who =ERG 2S hit OTHR
'Who hit you?'

Hardship091
$\begin{array}{lllll}k^{h} a^{55} b a^{53} & \underline{k a^{11} d z i^{53}} & n \tilde{o} & t a^{53} & j i \\ \text { rim } & \text { how.much } & \text { EVI.VIS } & \text { look } & \text { COP.SELF }\end{array}$
'(I) looked to see how big the rim was'.

Interrogative pronouns can be reduplicated when the speaker is asking for a reply that involves more than one person or thing:

Elicited012
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Ci } i^{55} & \mathrm{ka}^{11} \mathrm{n} 2^{55} & j \mathrm{e}^{13} & d z \tilde{\imath} \\ \text { 2SERG } & \text { what } & \text { VBZR.DO } & \text { SELF }\end{array}$
'What did you do?'

Elicited1463
$\operatorname{ci}^{55} \quad \underline{\mathrm{ka}^{11} n \theta^{55}} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{11} n e^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad d z \tilde{\mathrm{z}}$
2SERG what what VBZR SELF
'What all did you do?'

Elicited

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon i^{55} j i^{53} \quad \epsilon \tilde{u}^{55} \quad j 9^{13} \quad \underline{S 0^{55}} \quad \underline{\Omega^{55}} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?  \tag{34}\\
& \text { 2PLGEN house person who who EX.AN.OTHR } \\
& \text { 'Who all is in your family?' }
\end{align*}
$$

There are no true indefinite pronouns, but interrogative pronouns are used in conditional clause constructions to convey indefinite meanings. Consider the following elicited examples:

Elicited754: Indefinite construction with $\underline{s^{55}}$ 'who'

| S9 ${ }^{55}$ | $\underline{Z I^{13}}$ | rõ | ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ | $t 6^{h} u^{53}$ | $d z i^{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| who | COP.SELF | COND | go | PERM | OTHR |
| 'Anyone can go' |  |  |  |  |  |

Elicited879: Indefinite construction using $\mathrm{ka}^{13}{ }^{13}$ 'where'
(36) $\mathrm{ka}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad$ rõ ${ }^{11}$ sichuan $n \mathrm{~s}^{13} \quad \mathrm{re} \mathrm{e}^{11}$
where go COND Sichuan people COP.OTHR
'Wherever one goes, there are Sichuan people'

### 7.3 Vocatives

Vocatives are words that can be used in direct address clauses and can convey social position and/or speaker attitude. Examples in my data are adjectives $\left(t s{ }^{55}{ }^{55} a^{53}\right.$ 'little one') or nouns ( $b a^{353}$ 'father', ma: ${ }^{13}$ 'mother'). There is no suffix that would indicate either a change in word class or the speaker's polite or rude attitude, but there is always a pause after the vocative:

Prod003

| $\underline{b^{353}}$ | $\cdots$ | $\eta a^{13}$ | $t^{h} u^{53}$ | $-n \partial$ | $=j i$ | $t \tilde{x}^{11} t^{h} a^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| father |  | 1SDAT | divide | -NMZ | $=$ GEN | goods |

na ${ }^{13}$ ts ${ }^{h}{ }_{2}$ te $e^{53}$ ru $s$
1SDAT hither give POL HS
'Father, please give my portion of the goods'.

GetMar049

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
t s 9^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} & \ldots & 6 i^{55} n i^{53} & a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} & j u I^{53} & =j i  \tag{38}\\
\text { little.one } & \text { 2PL } & \text { grandmother } & \text { two } & =\text { ERG }
\end{array}
$$

$$
c e^{55} \quad w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad a^{55} m o^{53} \quad n u u^{53}=j i \quad l u^{13} \text { ra }-n ə \quad \text { re }
$$

2 s that grandmother two =ERG do RA -NMZ COP.OTHR
'Little one, your two grandmothers, your those two grandmothers are the ones who did it'.

### 7.4 Postpositions

In addition to locational casemarking clitics, there are postpositional locational words that express more specific temporal or spatial location of referents. The list below is a complete list of the postpositions that are found in my database.

| $t i^{55} p a^{53}$ | 'on top of', 'over' | $s 2^{353} \sim s O^{11}{ }^{1} a^{55}$ | 'below' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $¢_{9}{ }^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53}$ | 'center' | $\mathrm{pe}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ | 'middle', 'during' |
| $n a^{11} n i^{55}$ | 'inside' | $g \tilde{o}^{353}$ | 'on top of', 'above' |
| ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ | 'over' | $t s i{ }^{55}{ }^{5} u^{53}$ | 'tip', 'summit' |
| $720{ }^{353}$ | 'behind' | ${ }_{72} \mathfrak{P}^{11} S^{53}$ | 'outside' |
| $p \mathrm{e}^{11} 1 a^{53}$ | 'between' | ${ }^{n} d z \tilde{a}^{353}$ | 'beside' |
| $n 2^{55 n} g u^{11}$ | 'in front of' | $t s a^{55}$ | 'beside' |
| $6 j i i^{55} S u^{53}$ | 'in front', 'first' | $k a^{13} k a^{11}$ | 'everywhere' |
| $p^{h} O^{11} l a$ | 'opposite side' | $m b \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t s^{h} i^{55}$ | 'until' |
| $d i^{11} m b a^{55}$ | 'near to' | $b a^{53}$ | 'beginning' |
| $p^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} t ¢ \mathrm{e}^{53}$ | 'after' | $m b a^{1 l} t s^{h} i^{55}$ | 'until' |

Some of these words are derived from body parts. For example, ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ 'over' (from 'head') and $k e^{55} p a^{53}$ 'middle' (from neck).

Elicited594

| $t a^{55} Z^{53}$ | $w \partial^{55} n i^{53}$ | $k^{h} i^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55}$ | ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ | $p^{h} \partial$ | $d \overline{1}^{13}$ | s̃ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| airplane | 1PLGEN | house | head | DIR | fly | EGO |
| 'An airplane flew over our house' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Elicited301

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53} & \text { rõ } & z \mathfrak{x}^{11} t \tilde{o}^{53} & p e^{11} l a^{53} & \left\ulcorner\partial^{13}\right. & s \tilde{o}^{53} & z e^{11} d z i  \tag{40}\\
\text { Dongwang and } & \text { rGyalthang } & \text { between } & \mathrm{mtn} & \text { three } & \text { EX.INAN.OTHR } \\
\text { 'There are three mountains between Dongwang and rGyalthang' }
\end{array}
$$

When pronouns precede postpositional words, they are obligatorily marked with the genitive casemarker so that the postpositions appear to be functioning as the head of the noun phrase.

HeartAttack086
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ tsa ${ }^{53} \quad \tilde{S I}^{33} \quad W \tilde{u}^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{æ r}}^{55}$

1SGEN beside arrive come REN
'When (he) arrived beside $m e$ ',

Elicited336
$\begin{array}{llllllll}j a^{13} & t c i & \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & \underline{z \partial o^{53}} & z \partial- & \mathrm{Ja}^{53} & k^{h} \partial & s \tilde{O} \\ \text { person } & \text { INDF } & \text { 1SGEN } & \text { behind } & \text { up } & \text { chase } & \text { KHU } & \text { EGO }\end{array}$
'A person is following behind me'.

In (41) and (42), the location words $t s a^{53}$ 'beside' and $z \partial O^{53}$ 'behind' are the syntactic head of the first-person possessive pronoun $\eta e^{13}$.

### 7.5 Nominal coordination markers

Noun phrases can be conjoined through simple juxtaposition or through a coordination marker.

GetMar084
$a^{55} n i^{11} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad \quad n u i^{53} \quad=j i \quad t s a^{55} d z \tilde{x}^{53} \quad j e^{13}$
grandfather grandmother DU =ERG respect VBZR
'Grandfather and grandmother both respected (me)'

The coordinator rõ 'and' can join two or more noun phrases.

Elicited646

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | pingguoCH | rõ | $j i^{11} S_{Y}{ }^{55}$ | $\mathrm{nu}^{13}$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG apples | and pears | buy | COP.SELF |  |  |
| 'I bought apples and pears.' |  |  |  |  |  |

'I bought apples and pears.'

When more than two noun phrases are conjoined with rõ, it occurs after the
first noun phrase:

Elicited644


It is often the case that the speaker does not include reference to him or herself in conjoined noun phrases:

Hardship009
$d \tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{55} z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53} \quad t \underline{c}^{h} \tilde{u}^{11} p i^{53}$ rõ $d z u^{11} p i^{55} \quad{ }^{50} \tilde{o}^{55} d z a^{53} \quad s \tilde{o}^{53} \quad=j i$
Danzen Chumpi and Drupi 1PL three =ERG
$k^{h} a^{11} r ə^{55} t s ə o^{53}=j æ$ shihuiCH $s a^{53}$
Kharatso =DAT limestone burn
'(Me), Danzen Chumpi, and Drupi, the three of us, went to Kharatso to fire limestone'.

The sentence in (46) is an example of the common inclusory use of pronouns in which the person-number of the pronoun (here first-person pronoun followed by the
numeral 'three') indicates that 'a certain individual (here, the speaker) is included even though the overt noun phrase contains no explicit reference to that individual'. ${ }^{6}$

The word $d \tilde{o}^{13}$ can connect two noun phrases to mean 'or' as in the following example:

MyLife200
$j Y^{13} \quad t c a^{11} d z q u^{55}$ dõo ${ }^{13}$ tça ${ }^{11} d i^{55}$ dz̧i?
year sixteen or seventeen OTHR
'(I) was sixteen or seventeen years old'.

Two juxtaposed noun phrases can also express an approximation that can be translated 'or':

GetDivB012

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
n \partial^{55} & j Y^{13} & t c 9^{55} & t c o^{55} j i^{11} & t s i^{11} c \partial^{55}  \tag{48}\\
\text { this } & \text { year } & \text { ten } & \text { eleven } & \text { ADVERS }
\end{array}
$$

The notion 'or' as in 'Do you want ice cream or candy?' is often expressed as a clause-level notion by the juxtaposition of two clauses. This is addressed in Chapter Twelve.

[^96]
### 7.6 Expletives, fillers, interjections and particles

In this section I discuss a group of words and particles that are hard to fit anywhere else.

### 7.6.1 Expletives and emphatic words

$p^{h} e^{53}$, when uttered as a short syllable with a high-falling tone and a strong release of the plosive, communicates a strong dislike or disgust towards someone or something. When it is uttered, the speaker will often turn his or her head sideways and make a spitting motion. $p^{h} e^{13}$ when uttered in a long syllable with a rising or level tone, implies that the speaker is commiserating with someone else in their misery.

Another common expletive is $k u i^{55} t 6^{h} O^{53}$ or $k u i^{55} t \epsilon^{h} O^{53} s \tilde{u}^{53}<$ dkon.mchog $>\sim$ $<$ dkon.mchog gsum $>$. To a trained Buddhist, $<$ dkon.mchog $>$ means 'anything very excellent or best of its kind' and $<$ dkon.mchog gsum $>$ refers to the Buddhist triad ${ }^{7}$ (Das 1902: 53). As an expletive, it is used as an exclamation similar to 'God!', or 'Oh God!'

The word $\mathrm{ka}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{ka}:{ }^{13}$ is used for emphatic purposes and roughly means the equivalent of the phrase 'oh man', or 'man oh man', in English.

[^97]FirstBaby002

1SERG this boy Gesang Tsering birth SUB man!
xianCH yiyuanCH $n a^{11} n i^{55}$ zhuCH yuanCH je ${ }^{13} \quad j i$
County Hospital inside stay hospital VBZR.do COP.SELF
'When I gave birth to this boy, Gesang Tsering, oh man, I stayed at the County Hospital'.

### 7.6.2 Fillers

The most common filler is the one, two, three, or four syllable expression $p^{h} \partial$
$\sim p \rho$ which seems to function most frequently when the speaker is searching for a word or trying to recall the details of an event.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { KillaPig023 } \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { knife stab NEED reason TOP FILLER fat ... fat } \\
& p^{h} \partial \quad k \partial^{55} \mathrm{kX}^{53} \quad \mathrm{re}^{11} d \boldsymbol{z i} \mathrm{i} \\
& \text { FILLER white COP.OTHR }
\end{aligned}
$$

'The reason (we) stab the pig, um, the fat, the fat, um, becomes white'.

Another word, actually the adverb $a^{11} r e^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} r e$ 'certainly', is also used as a
filler. In my data, this occurs at the beginning of a sentence (as opposed to its adverbial position immediately before the verb):

## GetMar023

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ | $a^{55} r e^{53} m a^{11} r e^{53}$ | $\cdots$ | $\tilde{a}$ | $\cdots$ | $m a^{13}$ | $=j i$ | $l a$ | $h i^{55} m o^{53} r a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | FILLER |  | PAUSE |  | mother | $=$ ERG | also | at.first |

$w 2^{55} t s^{h} O^{53} \quad \mathrm{se}^{13} \quad \mathrm{we} \mathrm{e}^{55} n o$
this.way say INF
'Then, um, uh, they said that (my) mother also said this:...'

### 7.6.3 Interjections

There are many single word interjections that convey specific meanings. Those found in my database are given in the list below.

| $w e i$ | 'Hey', to catch someone's attention |
| :--- | :--- |
| $j o^{53}$ | 'OK', in answer to a request |
| $\tilde{o}^{535} \sim \tilde{o} j \mathfrak{z}$ | 'yes', 'that's right', listener is agreeing with what the speaker says |
| $a^{53}$ | 'what?', listener did not hear correctly and is asking for a repeat |
| $m m^{13}$ | 'no', in answer to a question to indicate the speaker does not want <br> whatever is offered him/her |
| $n \mathfrak{Z}^{53}$ | 'Here, take it' |

### 7.6.4 Sentence-final interractional particles

Throughout this dissertation I have glossed certain sentence-final particles as mut for 'mutual'. Although these often have the form of questions, they are not questions that seek information. Rather, they indicate that the speaker is seeking
affirmation and feedback from the addressee. Often the only feedback garnered is a grunt, a nod, or a monosyllabic $\tilde{o}$ 'yes, that's right'.

Catfungus002
$\begin{array}{llllll}d a^{55} \mathrm{ra}^{11 n} \mathrm{gu} \mathrm{l}^{11} & =j i & m b \partial^{353} & \text { la } & m a^{11} m \partial^{55} & \mathrm{ze}^{11} d z i ? \\ \text { Darangu } & =\text { GEN } & \text { cat.fungus } & \text { also } & \text { many } & \text { EX.INAN.OTHR }\end{array}$
'There were a lot of caterpillar fungus from Darangu' (a place in Sichuan)

Catfungus003
$w \partial^{55} t \boldsymbol{a}^{11}=g \tilde{o} \quad \tilde{a}^{53}$
that $\quad=$ OBJ QST
'Up there, right?'

In (51) and (52), the speaker is beginning to tell a story about his experience buying and selling caterpillar fungus. His use of the question particle is not to solicit information (as he is the one who is telling the story), but to solicit agreement regarding the amount of caterpillar fungus. Accordingly, one of the participants in the conversation responds with $\tilde{o}$ 'that's right'.

Usually sentence-final particles consist of a question morpheme and some (unknown) morpheme such as the two most frequent forms $a^{55} n a^{53}$ 'right?' and $a^{55} m b a^{53}$ 'OK?'

GetMar057
ce ${ }^{55}$ ma- ${ }^{n} d z \_u^{13} p^{h} \partial-\quad{ }^{n} d z ̨ u u^{13} a^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53} s$
2 S NEG go thither go MUT QTV
'You must go, OK? (father) said'.

MyLife316
(54)
 then 1SGEN lifeCH SPEC now finish IMM OTHR MUT 'Now my life is almost finished, right?'

## Chapter 8 The Noun Phrase

This chapter discusses the structure and function of the noun phrase.
Discussion surrounding the structure of the noun phrase will mainly examine constituent order of the elements described in previous chapters when they combine to form noun phrases. Discussion surrounding the function of the noun phrase will examine casemarking as well as the syntactic and semantic relationships noun phrases can hold with the verb. Factors that condition a speaker's choice of noun phrase will be discussed in Chapter Eleven.

### 8.1 Structure of the noun phrase

Within a noun phrase there are both pre-nominal and post-nominal constituents. This section is divided into two sections: constituents which occur in pre-nominal position and constituents which occur in post-nominal position. Of course, many noun phrases are composed of both pre-nominal and post-nominal elements. Additionally, noun phrases can be composed simply of a noun or pronoun.

### 8.1.1 Pre-nominal constituents

Pre-nominal constituents include demonstratives, genitives, and relative clauses ${ }^{1}$.

[^98]
### 8.1.1.1 Demonstratives

Demonstrative pronouns (discussed in §7.2.1) can occur pre-nominally or post-nominally as attributives in a noun phrase, or can stand alone as demonstrative pronouns. Demonstratives occurring in post-nominal position are discussed below in §8.1.2.2. Pre-nominal demonstratives serve to identify or locate a referent ${ }^{2}$ deictically or in discourse. ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353}$ 'this' and $t \boldsymbol{v}^{55}$ 'that' indicate something that is within viewing distance of the speaker or addressee. The distance is relative.
(1). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} c \partial^{53}$
(2). $t t^{55} z i^{13}$
this dog
'This dog'
that book
'That book'
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { (3). } & \eta e^{13} & W_{2}{ }^{55} t 2^{11} & \frac{z i^{11} g i^{55}}{t a^{53}} & d e & d \tilde{\neq} \\ & \text { 1SERG } & \text { that } & \text { book } & \text { look.at } & \text { PROG } & \text { SELF }\end{array}$
${ }_{c i} i^{55} \quad k^{h} \partial o^{53}$ ma- ${ }^{n} d z u$
2 SERG carry NEG GO
'I am reading that book. Don't take it away'.

Noun phrases without a demonstrative can be definite or indefinite.
Elicited
(4). $k^{h} u i^{55} \quad s a^{53}$ kã ${ }^{53}$ de dzi?

3SERG meat dry CONT OTHR
'He is drying somelthe meat'

[^99]Elicited
(5).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & z i^{13} & t 6 o^{55} t s 9^{11} & g \tilde{o}^{353} & z \mathrm{z}^{11} d z i i \\
\text { 1SGEN book desk } & \text { on } & \text { EX.INAN.OTHR } \\
\text { 'My book is on the/a table' }
\end{array}
$$

In (4) and (5) the definiteness or indefiniteness is dependent on the context. If the addressee was already aware that there was some fresh meat in the house, then 'meat' in (4) would be definite. In (5), if there were many tables in the room, then 'table' would be considered indefinite, but if there were only one table then it would be considered definite.

In addition to deictic functions, pre-nominal demonstratives also indicate that the noun phrase is understood as definite. ${ }^{3}$

Elicited
(6). $\quad \eta e^{13} \quad \underline{w} \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad t \leq i^{11} W a^{55} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} \quad \eta \partial^{13} \quad s \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad$ ci $\quad n i$

1SERG that news hear REN cry EMIT MAL NI
'When I heard the news I burst out crying'

The use of the distal demonstrative in the noun phrase in (6) indicates that the speaker assumes the addressee knows which news caused him to burst into tears.

The function of the demonstrative occurring in post-nominal position as a marker of specificity is discussed in §8.1.2.2 below.

[^100]
### 8.1.1.2 Possessors

When genitive constructions express possessor/possessed relationships, the possessor precedes the possessed argument.

HeartAttack001
(7). $h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} r a$ ro $\mathrm{ma}^{13} \quad g \mathrm{e}^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55}=j i \quad t \underline{c}^{h} \mathrm{a}^{53} \quad$ re
beginning TOP mother Gezang =GEN water COP.OTHR 'In the beginning it was Mother Gezang's water'

When genitive constructions relate a modifier to a head noun, the modifier precedes the modified argument.

$$
\text { GetDivB012, } 013
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { no }{ }^{13}=j i \quad \underline{\text { hii }}{ }^{353} \text { la } \quad \text { ne } e^{13} \quad \text { ra } a^{13}  \tag{8}\\
& \text { man }=\text { GEN work also 1SERG do } \\
& \underline{b a^{55} n a^{53}}=j i \quad \underline{h j i^{353}} \text { la } \mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad \mathrm{ra}{ }^{13} \\
& \text { woman }=\text { GEN work also 1SERG do }
\end{align*}
$$

'... Man's work, I even did. Woman's work, I even did.'

In the example above, the genitive construction specifies a certain type of work, namely 'woman's work' and 'man's work'.

The genitive can also be used to express a compositional relationship as in the following.

Elicited 1036
(9). $\quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad s^{r_{1}^{55}} \quad=j i \quad \underline{k \tilde{a}^{13}}$ tçi $\quad z u^{13} \quad j i$

1SERG wood =gen trunk INDF make COP.SELF.PST
'I made a a trunk from wood' (a wooden trunk)

[^101]In (9) the genitive construction provides descriptive information about the head 'trunk', but does not indicate 'possession' in any real sense. ${ }^{5}$

When a possessor and a demonstrative occur in the same noun phrase, the possessor precedes the demonstrative:

## Elicited

 1SERG yesterday 1SGEN this clothes pretty buy SELF 'I bought (these) my pretty clothes yesterday'

Although pronouns are always inflected for genitive case, the genitive marker on proper nouns is optional.

Elicited

| dza ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ | $p 9^{13}$ | $d z z^{11}{ }^{11} o^{55}$ | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Droma | son | Droma | $=$ GEN |
| 'Droma's son' |  |  |  |


| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $p \boldsymbol{a}^{13}$ | ${ }^{*} k^{h} \partial$ | $p \boldsymbol{a}^{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SGEN | son | $* 3$ SABS | son |

'His/her son'

The genitive tends to be dropped in fast speech when following proper nouns that end in a front vowel.

[^102]Wormgrass006
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { (13). } & p \boldsymbol{a}^{11} k i^{55} & {[=j i]} & p \boldsymbol{a}^{13}=j i & d z \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} & \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & n u^{13} & k^{h} u & d \widetilde{\not} \tilde{1} \\ \text { Baki } & {[=\text { GEN }]} & \text { son } & =\text { GEN } & \text { possession } & \text { 1SERG } & \text { buy } & \text { KHU } & \text { SELF }\end{array}$
'Beki's son's possession (caterpillar fungus), I bought'.

There is no alienable or inalienable distinction in Dongwang.

### 8.1.1.3 Relative clauses

DeLancey (1993) argues that in Lhasa Tibetan the distinction between nominalization and relativization is blurred so that the structure is formally like the genitive construction. Other languages are described in a similar manner (see for example, Li and Thompson (1981: 597ff) for Mandarin Chinese; La Polla and Huang (1996: 225ff) for Qiang). This is similar to the relative clause construction in Dongwang. The structure of relative clauses is discussed in detail in Chapter Twelve. In the following section, only the order of relative clauses and the head noun is discussed. Relative clauses can be headed or headless. Headed relative clauses can be pre-headed or internally-headed.

In the following examples, the relative clause is bracketed and the head of the noun phrase is double-underlined.

## GetMar062

(14).

| $c e^{55}$ | $\varsigma ə$ | $u h$ | $\left[k^{h} \partial^{55}\right.$ | $p a^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53}$ | $k^{h} a^{53}$ | $j \tilde{\mathfrak{®}}^{13}$ | $-n ə]$ | $=j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SABS | TOP | pause | 3SABS | parents | mouth | listen | NMZR | $=$ GEN |

## GetDivA

(15).
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad n \partial^{13} \quad \ldots \quad\left[b \partial^{11} S \rho^{55} \quad t s^{h} i^{53} \quad\right.$-no $] \quad n \partial^{13} \quad \xlongequal{j \underline{j}} \quad$ ro then 1SGEN man ... spouse lead NMZR man =ERG TOP $\eta a^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad p \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad$ ra
1SABS thither reject RA
'Then my man... the man [(my parents) brought to be a husband] rejected me'.

Elicited511
(16).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2SERG 1SABS give NMZR }=\text { GEN coat blue that } \\
& \text { 'The blue coat [you gave to me]' }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the pre-headed relative clauses above, the head nouns $p \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'girl' (14) and $t \boldsymbol{o}^{11} k i^{55}$ 'coat' (16) are preceded by a nominalized clause with a genitive casemarker. The head noun $n 9^{13}$ 'man, husband' (15) is preceded by a nominalized clause, but does not have a genitive casemarker. ${ }^{6}$ Thus sometimes the construction is similar to the genitive construction described in §8.1.1.2 and sometimes similar to the possessor/possessee construction in which the genitive case is optional on proper nouns (§8.1.1.2).

Internally-headed relative clauses also occur in Dongwang as the following example shows.

[^103]Elicited
(17). $\underline{k}^{h} u i^{53}$

3SERG clothes wear -KHU CONT -NMZR $=$ PL
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad d z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad$ re
1SGEN belonging COP.OTHR
'The clothes she is wearing are mine'

In (17) the nominal head of the noun phrase is $k u e^{13}$ 'clothes' and occurs in the middle of the relative clause. This is an internally-headed relative clause.

Butter\&Cheese006
(18).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { tax }^{13} \\ & \text { then } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[s \tilde{a}^{13}\right.} \\ & \text { tub } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} =n a \\ =\mathrm{LOC} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\underline{W \tilde{o}^{13}}}{\text { milk }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & j o^{53} \\ & \text { pour } \end{aligned}$ | -ra - RA | $\begin{aligned} & z \mathrm{e}^{13} \\ & \text { EX.INAN } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -n \partial] \\ & -N M Z R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro } \\ & \text { TOP } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n \tilde{u}^{13}$ | \% zo- | $j o^{53}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| churn | C up | pour |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Then | e milk | [that | s been | poured | o | b] up into | the chur |  |

In the example above, the speaker is giving instructions for making butter. In the previous clause, the speaker had instructed the hearer to pour the milk into a copper tub.

### 8.1.2 Post-nominal constituents

### 8.1.2.1 Numerals, quantifiers and classifiers

Numerals, quantifiers, and classifiers always follow the head noun in a noun phrase.

### 8.1.2.1.1 Numerals and quantifiers

Adverbs and quantifiers can both have quantitative meaning. The difference between the two word classes can be determined by the position in which they occur. Adverbs (Chapter Six) occur outside the noun phrase before the verb.

Elicited674
pa ${ }^{13} \quad t s h 2^{53} \quad \eta a^{53} \quad \underline{a^{55} l e^{53}} \quad z e$
1SDAT dollar five only EX.IN.SELF
'I only have five dollars'

In (19), it is difficult to determine whether $1 \partial^{55} l e^{53}$ belongs to the noun phrase or to the verb phrase. But in the following example, $l \partial^{55} l e^{53}$ 'only' precedes the marker of specificity: ${ }^{7}$

Elicited674
(20). $k^{h} u a^{53} z e^{13}$-nə tsha $a^{53} \eta a^{53} l \partial^{55} l e^{53}$ to $k^{h} u a^{53}$ te ${ }^{53} t^{h} i$ 3SDAT IN.EX -NZR dollar five only SPEC 3SDAT give VIS.PFV 'He gave him the only five dollars he had'.

The fact that $l{ }^{55} l e^{53}$ precedes the specific marker is good evidence that here it is a quantifier functioning within the noun phrase.

When quantifiers follow a noun, the casemarking clitic follows the quantifier as the last element in the noun phrase:

YDFree012
(21).
$n \boldsymbol{o}^{13} \quad \underline{t c i} i^{53} \quad \underline{l} \underline{ }^{55} l e^{53}=j i \quad k^{h} \imath^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad d z i ?$ person one only $=$ ERG 3 s hit OTHR 'Only one person hit him'

[^104]
## Elicited841

(22).

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $\mathrm{k}{ }^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53}$ | $\mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55}$ | $=g \tilde{o}$ | $t a^{53}$ | $d e$ | $d z \tilde{\imath}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | star | many | $=O B J$ | look.at | CONT | SELF |
| 'I am looking at many stars.'. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In (22), the quantifier $m a^{11} m \partial^{55}$ 'many' which is followed by the objective casemarker $=g \tilde{o}$.

While noun phrases with the indefinite marker $t \epsilon i$ are indefinite, the converse is not necessarily true. Frequently, a noun phrase can be definite or indefinite depending on the context.

ButterCheese002

first TOP churn hither take.out KHU
'First, get out a churn'.

ButterCheese003
(24). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53}$
then churn hither rinse
'Then rinse out the churn'.

The bare noun $n \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'churn' occurs in (23) and in (24). The first occurrence is
indefinite, but in the second clause the speaker makes definite reference to the churn that the addressee was instructed to take out in the first clause.

### 8.1.2.1.2 Classifiers

There are only a few morphemes that can be called classifiers (or 'measures'
§7.1.3) in Dongwang. Two of them ${ }^{n} g u$ and ${ }^{n} g a^{55}$ are used for humans only. The first, ${ }^{n} g u$, occurs in post-nominal position preceding any numerals or quantifiers in the noun phrase.

## Elicited825

| $c e^{55}$ | $n 2^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} g u$ | $\underline{t c i^{53}}$ | $a^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SABS person | CLF | one | QST |  |
| 'Are you alone?' |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
n 2^{13} & { }^{n} g u & t c i^{53} & \frac{\partial^{55} l e^{53}}{=j i} & k^{h} \partial^{55} & d \tilde{o}^{353} & d z i ?^{\prime}  \tag{26}\\
\text { person CLF } & \text { one } & \text { only } & =\text { ERG } & 3 \text { SABS } & \text { hit } & \text { OTHR } \\
\text { 'Only one person hit him/her' }
\end{array}
$$

There is another word which also seems to function like a classifier for humans as well, but which occurs after the numeral.

GetDivA011
(27). $\quad \underline{a}^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad \underline{\tilde{u}^{53}} \quad{ }^{n} g a \quad z e^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ child three CLF rGyalthang go 'All three children went to rGyalthang.'

HeartAttack130

1PL two CLF there fistfight VBZR.DO KHU NI
'The two of us were fistfighting,'

The difference between these two classifiers seems to be the difference
between treating referents individually ( ${ }^{n} g u$ ) and treating referents as a group ( ${ }^{n} g a$ ). In (27), the narrator refers to her three children as a group as in 'all three children'. In
(28), the narrator refers to herself and the man she is fighting with as 'the two of us' or 'both of us'.

### 8.1.2.2 Post-nominal demonstratives

In §8.1.1.1, I said that demonstratives appear in pre-nominal position in noun phrases. Demonstratives can also occur after the head noun.

Elicited500

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\frac{t \partial^{55}}{{ }^{n} d z o^{11}}{ }^{11} u^{53} & (t \partial) & =k \tilde{i} & \eta e^{13} & d z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & \text { re }  \tag{29}\\
\text { that mastiff } & \text { (that) } & =\mathrm{PL} & 1 \mathrm{sGEN} & \text { possession } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\
\text { 'Those mastiffs } \text { over there are mine. }{ }^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

In (29) the noun phrase appears to have two demonstratives. The post-nominal demonstrative serves to function as a marker of specificity. In many contexts it appears to be optional. While demonstratives in pre-nominal position bear tone, demonstrative pronouns in post-nominal positions are unstressed and atonal.

Noun phrases can have the pre-nominal demonstrative alone, the post-nominal demonstrative alone, or both. Post-nominal demonstratives are used when speakers are making specific reference to a referent.

Accident083, 084
(30). zhaoxiang $p^{h}{ }^{2}-\quad t t_{5}{ }^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad$ rax ${ }^{55}$ ro
photo thither VBZR.DO PFV REN TOP
$\underline{m a^{53}}$ to $=k \tilde{1} \quad z 0-\quad t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad$ ro
wound that $=$ PL up sew PFV REN TOP
'when (the doctor) finished $x$-raying, finished sewing up (her) wounds and stuff,'

Example (30) above occurs in the text Accident in which the narrator refers to his wife's injuries after she was hit by a car. A full study of the discourse use of demonstratives would help to determine further functions.

### 8.1.2.3 Adjectives

Adjectives have been discussed at length in Chapter Six. In this section, only the position of adjectives within the noun phrase is discussed. Adjectival modifiers follow the head noun.

Prod006
(31). $p จ^{13} t \epsilon^{h} 9^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}=j i$
son small =ERG
'The younger son...'

Elicited518

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & \underline{k}^{h 1^{11}} b a^{55} & \underline{t 6^{h}{ }^{11} W u^{55}} & \underline{t c i} & j u^{13} & \text { gui } & t^{h} i  \tag{32}\\
\text { 1SERG } & \text { house } & \text { big } & \text { INDF } & \text { buy } & \text { NEED } & \text { EVI }
\end{array}
$$

'I want to buy a big house.'

Elicited669

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
k^{h} u i^{55} & z i^{55} W a^{53} & \underline{\tilde{I}^{11} m \partial^{55}} & \underline{t c i} & =j i & c 2^{53} & d \tilde{o}^{353} & \text { re }  \tag{33}\\
\text { 3SERG } & \text { stick } & \text { long } & \text { INDF } & =\text { INSTR } & \text { dog } & \text { hit } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\
\text { 'S/he hit the dog with a long stick' }
\end{array}
$$

It is interesting that while such noun phrases with modifiers are easy to elicit, they are rare in natural discourse. Speakers prefer to use predicate adjective constructions or relative clause constructions to attribute various qualities to referents.

### 8.1.2.4 Nominal Modifiers

Nominal modifiers are rare in my data. When they do occur they occur in prehead position.

Elicited293
$\varsigma e^{55} \quad t \tilde{o}^{55} W \tilde{a}^{53} \quad n \partial^{13} \quad \tilde{a}^{53} \quad$ ro
2SABS Dongwang person QST POL
'Are you a Dongwang-er?' (=person from Dongwang)

Appositive constructions in my data are rare, but they do occur.
Hardship009
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} t \varphi^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} p i^{53} \quad$ rõ ${ }^{13} \quad d z u^{11} p i^{55} \quad w o^{55 n} d z a^{53} \quad s \tilde{o}^{53}$
Danzen Chumpi CONN Drupi 1PL three
'(Me,) Danzen Chumpi and Drupi, the three of us'
In (35) the two noun phrases '(me) Danzen Chumpi and Drupi' and 'the three of us' are next to one another in an appositive relationship.

### 8.1.2.5 Plural marking

There are two plural markers in Dongwang: $=k \tilde{1}\left(\sim=k^{h 1_{1}^{53}}\right)$ and $=t s^{h} \tilde{x}^{53}$.

Both are clitics which can occur alone or together. Although there is overlap of meaning between the two plural markers, $=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ is much more restricted and much less frequent than $=k i$ i. The fact that both are clitics can be seen by the position they occupy and by phonological characteristics.

When the plural marker $=k \tilde{\imath}$ occurs alone, it is one of the last elements of a noun phrase, preceding case and topic markers. In careful speech it is pronounced with an aspirated voiceless velar onset ( $k^{\text {trin }_{1}}$ ) by some speakers, but in normal speech it is deaspirated and unstressed.

Elicited
(36).


Example (36) illustrates the clitic nature of the plural marker $=k i \tilde{w}$ which
phonologically attaches to the rightmost edge of the noun phrase after the relative clause.

Prod022
$n u^{55}$ rõ $a^{55} r a^{53}=k \tilde{i}$
oil CONN liquor =PL
'oil and liquor'

GetMar088

$$
\begin{equation*}
z i^{13} \quad r \tilde{o} \quad t 0^{55}=k \tilde{\imath} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

book CONN that $=$ PL
'books and those (things)'
(37) and (38) occur with a single clitic which serves as the pluralizer for the conjoined noun phrases. The plural marker is optional in noun phrases containing a single noun (e.g., $a^{11}{ }^{1} a^{53}$ 'child/children') or in conjoined noun phrases such as (37)
and (38) above. But the plural marker is obligatory when a post-nominal demonstrative co-occurs in noun phrases that do not have numerals or quantifiers.

The second pluralizer, $=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$, occurs much less frequently in my data and only with human arguments. It usually references a small group of people, and often occurs together with the pluralizer $=k \tilde{k}$.

### 8.1.3 Conjoined noun phrases

Noun phrases are conjoined by the conjunction rõ which joins two noun
phrases or which follows the first noun in a list of noun phrases.
GoodSam022
(39).
$k^{h} \boldsymbol{g}^{55} \quad=w \tilde{o} \quad=j æ \quad n u^{55} \quad \underline{r} \tilde{o} \quad a^{55} r a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{i}$
3SABS $=$ OBJ $=$ DAT oil CONN liquor $=\mathrm{PL}$
$d \tilde{o}^{353}-r a^{13}-s a \quad p^{h} 0-\quad j o^{53} \quad t e^{53}$
hit -RA -NZR thither pour GIVE
'(He) poured oil and liquor on the places where he had been beaten'.

Elicited644
(40).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\eta a^{13} & \frac{t e^{11} g a^{55}}{} & \underline{r o} & j i^{11} S Y^{55} & \underline{k}^{h} a^{11} m \partial^{55} & n u^{13} & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & z \tilde{1} \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { walnut } & \text { CONN } & \text { pear } & \text { peach } & \text { buy } & \text { go } & \text { COP.SELF }\end{array}$
'I am going to buy walnuts, pears, and peaches'.

When speakers are included with another referent, it is common that the nonspeaker referent(s) will be overt, but the speaker will not be.
(41).

| Hardship009 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} t c^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} p i^{53}$ | rõ | $d q u^{11} p i^{55}$ | oo $^{55 n} d z a^{53}$ | $s \tilde{o}^{53}$ |
| Danzen Chumpi | CONN | Drupi | 1PL | three |
| (Me,) Danzen Chumpi and Drupi, the three of us' |  |  |  |  |

### 8.2 Casemarking

There are seven casemarking clitics in Dongwang. As clitics, they attach to the right-most edge of a noun phrase, followed only by the topic marker ro. The noun phrase can be a single noun, a noun phrase, or a nominalized clause. In the following example, the ergative clitic $=j i$ attaches to the rightmost edge of the second noun phrase:

Elicited

that man two CONN woman three $=$ ERG
$a^{55} k a^{53}=k i \tilde{i} \quad=j i \quad s \tilde{x}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad k i^{55} m o^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad t s^{h}{ }_{i} \quad t^{h} i$
child $=\mathrm{PL}=$ GEN food thither- thief VBZR LEAD VIS.PFV
Those two men and three women stole the kid's food'

Elicited

that child $=\mathrm{PL}=$ ERG dog CONN cow $=$ GEN bone =OBJ
${ }^{n} d z e^{353}$ de nẽ
chew CONT VIS.IPFV
'Those children are chewing on dog and cow bones.'

In (42) and (43), the casemarking clitic only occurs once after the second noun phrase. My main consultant says that a casemarking clitic can sometimes occur after
the first noun phrase in a conjoined noun phrase, but such constructions do not occur in naturally-occurring data and she is only able to them with difficulty. Further evidence for their status as bound morphemes can be found in the fact that they do not have their own primary stress and are low-toned. ${ }^{8}$

Each casemarker serves multiple functions. Pluri-functionality is not unusual, as case syncretism has long been recognized in a variety of languages (Blansitt 1988; Croft 1991; Genetti 1991; Blake 1994). In fact, Blake claims that a small case system that serves a broad variety of categories is fundamental to an understanding of the evolution of case systems (Blake 2001: 172). In Dongwang, casemarkers mark the relationship that a noun or noun phrase holds with another noun or verb. In the following section, casemarkers and their historical development are first discussed, then casemarking and the syntactic-semantic arrangement of arguments is discussed.

### 8.2.1 Casemarkers

Table 23 summarizes the casemarkers in Dongwang along with their WT counterparts.

[^105]| DW | Case Role | WT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $=\mathrm{ji}$ | Ergative | gis, kyis, gyis, 'is, yis |
|  | Instrumental |  |
|  | Genitive | gi, kyi, gyi, 'i, yi |
| 0 | Absolutive | 0 |
| $=\mathrm{jæ}$ | Dative | la, su, ru, tu, du, na, r |
| $=$ nə | Locative |  |
| $=$ tsa | Allative, human | la, su, ru, tu, du, na, r |
| $=$ gõ | Objective | la |
| $=$ tsəo | Ablative | nas, las |
| $=$ rõ | Comitative | dang |

TABLE 23: CASEMARKERS IN DONGWANG WITH WT COUNTERPARTS
In the left column of Table 23 , the Dongwang case forms are listed, followed by the case roles in the middle column and the WT etymologies in the right column. The multiple forms in WT are allomorphs that are conditioned by the spelling of the WT word to which they attach.

The bolded and underlined forms in the WT column in Table 23 indicate the forms from which Dongwang casemarkers have arisen. The first form in Table 23 is $=j i$, which corresponds to the three well-defined functions of ergative, instrumental, and genitive. ${ }^{9}$ In WT, the ergative and instrumental have the same form, but the genitive is distinct. The dative and locative in WT have one form (with various allomorphs). The dative $=j æ$ and locative $=n ə$ in Dongwang have unique forms but have arisen from WT <la> and <na> respectively. Absolutive arguments are unmarked in both WT and Dongwang.

[^106]The final four shaded rows in Table 23 indicate that while similar casemarking functions exist in both WT and Dongwang, the forms do not match. While etymologies of these forms can be found, they are not related to the forms used in WT to code similar categories.

As described in §3.2, pronouns inflect for case and can sometimes also be followed by a casemarking clitic. In nouns, vowels in open syllables do not undergo any change with the addition of a casemarker. Rather, the full casemarker is added to the open syllable, as in $m_{0} \tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{35} b a^{53}$ 'doctor', $m_{o} \tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{35} b a^{53}=j i$ 'doctor $=$ ERG' $^{\prime} ; t_{s} a^{11} W a^{55}$ 'monk', $\operatorname{tss}^{11}{ }^{11} W a^{55}=j i$ 'monk $=$ ERG'.

Following consonants, the ergative-instrumental-genitive marker is pronounced $=j i$. When the final syllable of a noun ends in an open syllable which contains the high front vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$, the ergative-instrumental-genitive marker (pronounced $/=j i /$ ) is rarely fully articulated in fast speech. This is also true when $=j i$ attaches to the plural clitic $=k \tilde{1}$. In slow speech, the vowel $(/ \mathrm{i} /$ ) is lengthened or the whole clitic $(=j i)$ is pronounced.

### 8.2.2 Casemarking relations

### 8.2.2.1 Core grammatical relations

In order to discuss core relations in Dongwang, it is useful to review the pretheoretical 'semantico-syntactico roles' (Payne 1997: 183) of S, A and P (Dixon 1972, Comrie 1978,). S, A, and P can be defined in the following way:

S: The only argument in an intransitive clause
A: The most agent-like argument in a transitive clause
P: The most patient-like argument in a transitive clause
Languages in which S and P pattern together in opposition to A are known as ergative or ergative/absolutive languages. In a prototypical ergative/absolutive system, A arguments (ergative) are marked and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}$ arguments (absolutive) are unmarked. However, as Blake (2001: 136) notes, 'simple across-the-board ergative or accusative systems are a distinct minority'. The following section discusses characteristics of the ergative/absolutive system found in Dongwang.

### 8.2.2.1.1 Ergative $=j i$ and Absolutive $=0$

In Dongwang, most S and P arguments are unmarked and A arguments are generally marked with the ergative casemarker $=j i$.

Elicited233
(44).

S
$k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad p \partial^{11} n a^{53}$ re
3SABS woman COP.OTHR
'She is a woman'

## Elicited336

S
$n \partial^{13} \quad t \epsilon i \quad \eta e^{13} \quad z 0^{13} \quad{ }_{n} a^{53} \quad k^{h} \partial \quad s \tilde{o}$ person INDF 1SGEN behind follow KHU EGO
'A person is following ( $\sim$ followed) behind me'

HeartAttack152
$\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{P}$
(46).
$\underline{k}^{h} u i^{55} \quad a^{11} W \tilde{u}^{55} \quad \underline{t u^{11} b a^{55}} \quad \underline{t c i} \quad j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} \partial \quad n i$
3SERG again boulder INDF pick.up KHU NI
'He again picked up a boulder,'

Collecting003
P
(47). $r \partial^{13} \quad=n ə \quad j æ \quad \underline{\text { mba }}{ }^{353} \quad t^{h} \partial^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$
mountain $=$ LOC $=$ DAT cat.fungus pick.up go
'(I) went to the mountain to pick caterpillar fungus'.

Accident082
A
(48).
$\underline{m} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} b a^{53}=j i \quad j i a n c h a \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j e^{13}$
doctor =ERG examineCH thither VBZR
'The doctor examined (her)'

As can be seen from the examples above, single arguments of intransitive verbs (S) and patient-like arguments of intransitive verbs $(\mathrm{P})$ are unmarked. The most agentive arguments in clauses with transitive verbs (A) are marked with the ergative casemarker $=j i$ (or a pronoun in the ergative case). These examples illustrate a clear morphological ergative system. But Dongwang also shows alternations that deviate from a prototypical ergative pattern.

Most published descriptions of Tibetan dialects (DeLancey 1990, Tournadre 1995, Denwood 1999, Häsler 1999) describe a more complex ergative system in
which A arguments are sometimes unmarked and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}$ arguments are sometimes marked. Analyses that account for 'non-prototypical' patterns have been attributed to motivations such as aspect, volitionality, control, and discourse-pragmatic categories.

Consider Lhasa Tibetan, one of the most-described dialects of Tibetan, as an example. Linguists (e.g., DeLancey 1990, Tournadre 1995, Denwood 1996) have suggested various motivations for the occurrence of the ergative such as an aspectual split, the volition of the agent, contrastive focus, emphasis and animacy. DeLancey (1990: 306) describes a split in Lhasa Tibetan in which 'ergative marking is obligatory in perfective clauses, and optional in other tense/aspect categories' in clauses with transitive verbs. Ergative marking is optional in perfective clauses with intransitive verbs. Further, 'ergative does not occur with non-volitional intransitives'. Tournadre (1995: 263) suggests that the presence of the ergative marker in sentences such as $<$ khos lo nyi.shu btson.khang nang.la bsdad pa red $>{ }^{10}$ He stayed a long time in jail is motivated by 'contrastive emphasis'. He further claims (1995: 266ff) that the ergative casemarker 'is prototypically derived from an ablative casemarker' and suggests an 'underlying 'supercase' of SOURCE...subdivided into two cases indicating the 'cause' and the 'spatio-temporal source' (1995: 268).

[^107]Slightly different motivations have been suggested for ergative marking in Khams Tibetan ${ }^{11}$ dialects. Hongladarom (1998) claims that the main function of the ergative marker in the rGyalthang dialect is to emphasize the agent. Aspect has very little influence, and volitionality has no influence on the occurrence of the ergative. Most As occur in the absolutive case in discourse and A's are in the absolutive case if P's are marked by the dative marker go.

Häsler (1999: 97) proposes that in Dege Khams, 'the ergative is used to mark the agent or the experiencer ${ }^{12}$ of a controllable verb'. However, an A argument is not obligatorily marked with the ergative, but often occurs in the absolutive and is not conditioned by aspect or the controllability of a verb as in other dialects. Unable to find clear rules as to the occurrence of the ergative, she concludes 'Maybe ergative marking in the Dege dialect is used, like in some other dialects, to emphasize the agent.'

In Dongwang, an A argument in a clause with a transitive and controllable verb is usually, but not always, marked by ergative case. While control verbs interact somewhat with casemarking, it is difficult to determine when they do so. A

[^108]arguments of some non-control verbs such as $d \tilde{a}^{353}$ 'to like', or $t s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ 'to miss' ${ }^{13}$ can be casemarked with either the ergative or dative casemarker.

Elicited
$\eta a^{13} / \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \epsilon \tilde{u}^{55} \quad t_{s} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad n \tilde{o}$
1SDAT/1SERG home miss EVI
'I miss home'

Other A arguments of some non-control verbs usually occur with ergative marking, but occasionally also occur with an absolutive A argument. As an example, consider $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see'. In the four texts I conducted counts on, $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ occurs seven times with an overt A argument, four occur with ergative marking and three occur with absolutive marking.

HeartAttack 104
(50). ci $^{55}$ nai ${ }^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$

2SERG when see
'When did you see (me do it)?'

MyLife274
(51).
$n i^{53}$ ma- $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$
eye NEG- see
'(I/My) eyes can't see'

HeartAttack 123
(52).
$n \partial^{13}=k \tilde{\imath}=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ la $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ dzzi?
person $=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{PL}$ also see OTHR
'People also saw (us fighting)'
${ }^{13}$ It appears that the ergative is not optional with the same word when it means 'to remember'.

In elicited data the ergative consistently occurs with all instances of singular A arguments in clauses with the verb 'to see'. This pattern is shown in (50). Two different motivations can account for the lack of ergative marking in (51) and (52). In (51), $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ conveys an intransitive notion of 'to see' as the speaker is not referring to seeing anything in particular, but the state of her eyes. In some senses, then, 'to see' can be considered an ambi-transitive verb and (51) reveals its intransitive use.

Example (52) cannot be analyzed in this manner and seems to have a very different motivation, which may be found in the plural marker $=k i$. Because the ergative marker is very difficult to distinguish when it occurs after the plural marker, there is a tendency to drop it altogether. As mentioned in $\S 9.2 .1$, when asked to speak slowly, speakers are able to recover the ergative marker. The tendency to drop it, however, appears to be causing the ergative marker to disappear when A arguments are plural. Even though (52) has a second plural marker $\left(=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}\right)$, it is possible that this tendency is extending to all plural A arguments.

Finally, ergative marking tends not to occur when arguments are supplied as an afterthought.

Hardship011

completely fire NEG- KMOW ... 1PL three TOP
'didn't know how to fire at all... the three of $u s^{\prime}$.

In the same way that some A arguments occur without the ergative marker, so also some ergative-marked S arguments in intransitive clauses do occur in my database.

Accident031
S
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} w \tilde{o}^{55} n a^{53} n u u^{53}=j i \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} . . \quad t i^{13} \quad s^{l_{1} 353} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad$ ro then 1pl two =ERG then there arrive REN TOP 'Then when the two of us, then, arrived there',

In the text from which (54) is drawn, the narrator has heard that his wife has been in an accident. He and a friend are going to the place where the accident happened.

Usually, the verb $s^{h r}{ }^{353}$ 'arrive' occurs with an unmarked S argument, but in this case the argument is marked. One explanation for this is that that the speaker's arrival to where his wife is laying is an emotionally salient part of the text it is 'conceivable that he used considerable force, energy, or volition to get there'. ${ }^{14}$

In the following example, although the narrator has an injured leg, she is planning to go irrigate the fields, but her friend tells her:

HeartAttack035
S
(55).
ci ${ }^{55}$ ro ${ }^{n} d z \tilde{a}^{11} b a^{53}=n ə ~ m b u^{13}$ de $a^{53}$ gua
2SERG TOP mud = LOC spash CONT QST NEED.IR
'As for you, should (you) go stomping around in mud?'

[^109]In this example, the verb $m b u^{13}$ 'to splash around in ${ }^{15}$ is an intransitive verb, yet the second-person pronoun is ergative. The speaker appears to use the question construction rhetorically as well as the ergative to reinforce her point that her friend should not go.

Case is only marked once at the end of a whole noun phrase. When nouns and pronouns occur together in single noun phrases, the pronoun occurs in absolutive case even if the noun phrase is ergative.
(56). $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad t \epsilon i^{53} \quad l{ }^{55} l e^{53}=j i \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad d z i \uparrow$

3SABS one only =ERG 3SABS hit OTHR
'Only s/he hit him/her'

In (56) the third-person pronoun is absolutive and the noun phrase has an ergative casemarker. This is the expected pattern of the clitic marker. If the third-person pronoun were ergative it would be considered ungrammatical. ${ }^{16}$

### 8.2.2.1.2 Objective $=g \tilde{o}$

In a prototypical ergative system, S/P pattern together in opposition to A. I
have said that most A arguments are marked by the ergative casemarker $=j i$ and most
$\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{P}$ arguments are unmarked. The previous section briefly discussed alternating

[^110]${ }^{16}$ In some ways this looks like an appositive construction which could be translated He , the only one, hit him' but it does not mean that and since only one element is casemarked, it clearly is not an appositive.
patterns of the ergative marker on the A and S arguments based on syntactic relations and discourse considerations. The following section discusses alternate marking on the P arguments that are governed by lexical semantics of the verb and discoursepragmatic considerations.

Explanations put forth to explain similar patterns observed in other languages include Primary/Secondary Objects (Dryer 1986), disambiguation of semantic role (LaPolla 1992), Anti-Dative Shift (Noonan 1991), and topic worthiness (Moravcsik 1978; Thompson 1990; Tournadre 1995). However, none of these satisfactorily explain the patterns seen in Dongwang. Relying heavily on earlier work by Fillmore (1970; 1975; 1982), Scott DeLancey (2001) argues that the distribution of casemarking on 'objects' in Lhasa Tibetan ${ }^{17}$ can only be understood in light of the semantics of the predicate rather than any discourse/pragmatic factors or features of the arguments. This can be illustrated with the Dongwang verbs həo ${ }^{53}$ 'to bite' that always occurs with $g \tilde{o}$-marked P arguments, and $s e^{53}$ 'to kill', which always occurs with unmarked absolutive P arguments.

Elicited
(57). $c 2^{53}=j i \quad \eta e^{13}=g \tilde{o} \quad h ə o^{53}$ sõ
dog =ERG 1SGEN =OBJ bite EGO
'The dog bit $\underline{m e}$ '

[^111]Elicited004

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $\underline{t \tilde{O}^{13}}$ | $s e^{53}$ | $d z i i^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | bear | kill | OTHR |

'He killed a bear'

Examples (57) and (58) illustrate verbs which lexically require the presence or absence of $=g \tilde{o}$ on the accompanying P arguments. 'Minimal sets' of verbs like this support DeLancey's argument for a similar arrangement in Lhasa Tibetan based on two classes of verbs: change-of-state verbs ('break') and surface-contact verbs ('hit'). ${ }^{18}$ DeLancey's analysis works nicely for many Dongwang verbs. Unmarked P arguments co-occur with the following verbs denoting change-of-state or location:

$$
s e^{53} \text { 'kill', } s a^{53} \text { 'butcher', } k a^{13} \text { 'garrotte', } s a^{53} \text { 'to burn', } t \epsilon^{h} a^{53} \text { 'to eat', } t^{h} \tilde{o}^{353}
$$

'to drink', $b i^{13}$ 'to blow up', $n a^{53}$ 'to slice', $1 u^{13}$ 'to make', 'to repair', $t s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ 'to sew up', $k u i^{53}$ 'to boil', $t s^{h} a^{55}$ 'to wash', $t s \tilde{u}^{53}$ 'to sell', $t s^{h} i^{53}$ 'to lead', $j o^{53}$ 'to throw away', zæ $?^{353}$ 'to hang'

Additionally, many non-control verbs trigger absolutive marking. These include $t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to hear', $j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ 'to find', $s \tilde{\mathscr{x}}^{13}$ 'to lose', $d u^{13}$ 'to smell', $d \not{ }^{353}$ 'to forget', $t_{s} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ 'to miss'.

Object-marked P arguments are required with the following verbs:

[^112]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{h} u^{55} d ə o^{53} \text { 'to bite', } h ə o^{53} \text { 'to bite', dzəo }{ }^{53} \text { 'to pat', }{ }^{n} d z e^{353} / s i^{55 n} d z e^{53} \text { 'to } \\
& \text { scratch', } \operatorname{tsc}^{55}{ }^{55} \tilde{x}^{11} \text { 'to stab', nul }{ }^{353} \text { 'to sniff', } s^{55} e^{53}{ }^{53} e^{11} \text { 'to accuse', } \\
& d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353} / d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} / 5 \tilde{o}^{353} \text { 'to believe', } p^{h} \partial o^{353} \text { 'to bump' }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

It is interesting to note that the semantics of almost all the verbs in the list above involves surface contact. The exceptions are $s e^{55} r i^{53} j e$ 'to accuse' and $d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{353} / d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\left[\tilde{o}^{353}\right.$ 'to believe' which may evoke a metaphorical sense of contact.

Hongladarom (1998) has observed a similar pattern in several Tibetan dialects, including the Southern Khams dialect of rGyalthang Tibetan. She describes the 'object' marker go, as a 'primary object marking' based on Dryer (1986). A primary object marker indicates both a 'direct object in a monotransitive clause and an indirect object in a ditransitive clause'. She analyses $g o$ as a dative marker that also marks recipients and suggests that 'the function of the dative $g o$ has been extended from marking a human patient who finds him or herself in a situation against his or her own will, to marking a patient - either animate or inanimate-when fully affected, and to marking a patient in the case that the speaker wants to emphasize who or what it is.' (p. 16).

In Dongwang, $=g \tilde{o}$ is distinct form the dative $(=j æ)$ and locative ( $=n \partial$ ) markers.

There is a nice semantic fit between the etymology of $g \tilde{o}$ and it's function as a partial object casemarker. It appears to have arisen from WT <sgang> 'on top', a postposition frequently used in Dongwang. In fact, speakers are able to relate the two forms to
each other, which suggests more recent grammaticization than for the other casemarkers.

However the forms are separate not only semantically, but also phonologically. As an example, there is speaker variation between $=g \tilde{o}$ and $=w \tilde{o}$, when $=g \tilde{o}$ functions as a casemarker. Used as a locative postposition, speakers reject the phonologically weaker form wõ, but when functioning as a casemarking morpheme, the weaker form is accepted. This erosion and polysemy is evidence that $=g \tilde{o}$ has grammaticized from a locational postposition to a casemarker.

The distribution of $=g \tilde{o}$ on P arguments is slightly different in Dongwang than in other Tibetan dialects. As DeLancey argues, many of the verbs lexically specify the occurance of $=$ gõ, but some verbs allow alternate marking on P arguments.

Consider the following two examples:
Elicited849

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
a^{11} l Y^{55} & =j i & w \tilde{o}^{13} & p^{h} \partial- & d a^{13} & -r a & t^{h} i  \tag{59}\\
\text { cat } & =\text { ERG } & \text { milk } & \text { thither- } & \text { lick } & \text {-RA } & \text { VIS.PFV }
\end{array}
$$

'The cat licked up the milk'

Elicited850
(60).

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
a^{11} 1 Y^{55} & =j i & k^{h} u i^{55} & k^{h r}{ }^{55} b a^{53} & =g \tilde{o} & d a^{13} & d e & { }^{n} \tilde{o} \\
\text { cat } & =\text { ERG } & \text { 3SGEN } & \text { foot } & =\text { OBJ } & \text { lick } & \text { CONT } & \text { VIS.IPFV } \\
\text { 'The cat is licking } \text { its paw'. } & & & &
\end{array}
$$

Alternating patterns such as those in (59) and (60) highlight the fact that the optional marking of $=g \tilde{o}$ can indicate the total affectedness (change-of-state) of the P argument
(as in (59)) versus the partial affectedness (surface-contact) of the P argument (as in (60)).

A complication preventing a clear-cut pattern is that in certain contexts, there is speaker variation. For example, speakers were asked to watch two video clips, one in which a person successfully cuts a wire in two, and one in which a person attempts to cut a wire but is not successful. The first clip always elicited a clause with an absolutive P argument, but the second clip elicited variation. Further, speakers considered the occurrence of = gõ in describing the first clip ungrammatical, but allowed variation in describing the second clip.

A final set of examples that illustrate the change-of-state versus surfacecontact construal possibilities involve the verb $t a^{53}$ 'to look at'. When video clips of a person watching TV and reading a book were shown, speakers did not use $=g \tilde{o}$. But when viewing video clips of a person examining the outside of a TV and looking at the cover of a book, speakers consistently used =gõ. This pattern suggests that when a verb and object combine to convey the meaning of an event (e.g., look + book $=$ 'to
 object.

In summary, there are several points to be made regarding the occurrence of the 'partial object' marker = gõ. Some transitive verbs require accompanying P arguments to be casemarked by $=g \tilde{o}$ while others prohibit such marking. The
lexically-specified criteria is that of surfact-contact versus change-of-state verbs. Some verbs not lexically require or prohibit casemarking on the P argument, but allow alternating casemarking. The occurrence of $=g \tilde{o}$ on the P arguments of such verbs is determined in part by the nature of the object (e.g., 'milk' versus 'paw' as in (59) and (60)), in part by construal of the event (e.g., reading a book versus looking at a book), in part by pragmatics (e.g., successfully or unsuccessfully cutting a wire), and in part by speaker variation. There is also evidence that the occurrence of $=g \tilde{o}$ can be manipulated depending upon the speaker's discourse goals, but more research is needed to give precise examples.

### 8.2.2.2 Non-core grammatical relations

### 8.2.2.2.1 Instrumental $=j i$

The instrumental casemarker has arisen from <yis>, one of five allomorphs of the genitive case in WT. In Dongwang, it is homophonous with the ergative and genitive casemarkers. It attaches to a noun or noun phrase and designates the instrument with which an action is carried out.

## KillaPig015

(61).

some =ERG rope $=$ INSTR also garrotte OTHR
'Some also garrotte (the pig) with a rope'

Elicited668
Instr
(62).
 'S/he beat the dog with a long stick'

### 8.2.2.2.2 Genitive $=j i$

The genitive attaches to the possessor argument which precedes the possessed argument to indicate a possessive relationship between two nominal arguments.

Elicited451
N GEN N
(63). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad \underline{a}^{11} \tilde{\Gamma i^{55}}=j i \quad$ bao $^{55} d z \partial^{11} \quad$ ze $\quad$ wũ

3SDAT today $=$ GEN newspaperCH EX.IN.SELF COME
'It seems s/he has today's newspaper'.

Elicited556
N GEN N
(64).
$\underline{m i^{11} m u^{53}}=j i \quad \underline{t s^{h} O^{55} W a^{53}} \quad p^{h}{ }^{55} . j i . p^{h} g^{55} \quad d o^{53} \quad \prod_{0} \tilde{o}$
people $=$ GEN life more.and.more miserable VIS.IPFV
'People's lives are becoming more and more miserable'

Body parts as well as kinship terms occur optionally with the genitive.
Elicited708
(65).
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad j \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55} \quad g \tilde{o} \quad t s^{h} a^{53} \quad$ nõ
3SGEN hand on blood VIS.IPFV
'S/he has blood on his/her hands'.

HeartAttack120
(66).

| $\mathrm{ci}^{55}$ | ng ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} \mathrm{dza} \tilde{a}^{11} \mathrm{ba}^{53}$ | = gõ | dzao ${ }^{53}$ | ze | ni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SER | 1 SABS | cheek | $=\mathrm{OBJ}$ | hit |  | NI |
| 'You hit my cheek' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The 'possessor' of body parts and kin are frequently omitted if they have been established in the previous discourse.

HeartAttack141
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} m a^{11} t s^{h} e^{53} \quad \frac{n i^{13}}{} \quad p^{h} a^{53} \quad z 0-\quad n e^{13}$
then suddenly heart beat up NEG.COP.SELF
re ra wei $a^{53} n a^{53}$
COP.OTHR -RA EVI.HS MUT
'Then (my) heart had suddenly stopped beating, (I was told), right?'

In (67) the speaker omits the first-person pronoun as it is clear from the events leading up to this whose heart had stopped.

In another example, the referent is not the speaker, but the speaker's wife.
Since he is telling a story about her accident, it is not necessary to use a third-person pronoun.

Accident022

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
k^{h i{ }_{1}^{55}} b a^{53} & =g \tilde{o} & t s 2^{55} g u u^{53} & t^{h} o^{53} & w e^{55} n o  \tag{68}\\
\text { leg } & =\text { OBJ } & \text { a.little } & \text { strike } & \text { INF } \\
\text { '(the car) struck (her) leg a little (they said)'. }
\end{array}
$$

It would not be ungrammatical if the speaker had chosen to use a genitive construction (her leg) in (68) above, but when a participant has been established, speakers rarely choose to do so. ${ }^{19}$

[^113]
### 8.2.2.2.3 Dative $=j æ$

The dative casemarker codes recipients, benefactives, experiencers and possessors.

In (71) the dative casemarker indicates a semantic recipient.
GoodSam031
$p^{h} \partial d \partial \quad \underline{k}^{h} u i^{55} n i^{53} \quad d a^{55} t s a o^{53} \quad=j æ \quad t e^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
FILLER 3PLGEN manager =DAT give PFV REN
'When (he) had given (money) to the house manager',

As mentioned in §3.2.1, inflected pronouns can also be redundantly marked with a casemarker.

Prod077

| $6 I^{55}$ | $n a^{13}$ | $=j æ$ | $j u^{13}$ | $t c i$ | $z 0^{11} \mathrm{mi}^{55}$ | $t e^{53}$ | ma- | nõ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2SERG | 1SDAT | $=$ DAT | ram | INDF | even | give | NEG | EXP |
| 'You ha | never | ven $g$ | n me | a ram |  |  |  |  |

In (70), the dative marker $=j æ$ which indicates a semantic recipient optionally occurs with the first-person dative pronoun.

In (71) the dative-inflected pronoun indicates a semantic benefactive.
Elicited359
(71).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}c i^{55} & n a^{13} & z i^{11} k i^{55} & t c i & n u^{13} & t e^{53} & r u \\ \text { 2SERG } & \text { 1SDAT } & \text { book } & \text { INDF } & \text { buy } & \text { GIVE } & \text { POL }\end{array}$
'Please buy a book for me'

The dative occurs on a noun or noun phrase to indicate a predicative possessor which together with an existential verb means the equivalent of 'to have' in English as in (72) and (73).

Prod001
(72).

person INDF =DAT son two EX.AN.OTHR
'A man had two sons'

GetDivA168
(73).

クa $a^{13} \quad a^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{55} \quad$ so ${ }^{53} \quad\left({ }^{n} d o\right)^{20}$
1SDAT child three EX.AN.SELF
'I have three children'

Addressees of speech act verbs are indicated by the dative $=j æ$.

GetMar051
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ na ${ }^{13} \quad=j \mathfrak{x} \quad n a^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad$ gui ${ }^{13} \quad d z i P^{11} \quad$ se ${ }^{11}$
then 1SDAT =DAT bride go MOD.NEED OTHR say
'Then people said to me, '(You) should go be a bride'
$=j æ$ can also indicate a general temporal location, but not a specific time
reference (e.g., 'morning', but not '3 o'clock').
MyLife034
$s 0^{11} b a^{55}=j \mathfrak{\mathfrak { x }} \quad j \tilde{o}^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
morning =DAT rise REN
'When (we) got up in the morning'
Sometimes the meaning of the dative is extended to include allative meaning of 'motion toward' a location.

[^114]Hardship009
(76).
${ }_{w}{ }^{55 n} d z a^{53} \quad$ sõ ${ }^{53} \quad=j i \quad k^{h} a^{11} r a^{55} t s^{h} o^{53} \quad=j æ$
1PL three =ERG Kharatso =DAT
$69^{55} h u e^{53} \quad s a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$
limestoneCH fire go
'The three of us went to Kharatso to fire limestone.'

### 8.2.2.2.4 Allative $=t s a$

The allative $=t s a$ is used in a very restricted context to refer to animate
locations only. Due to human activity (go to see people) most animate locations are humans, but a few non-human animate locations are also marked.

Accident040
$a^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55} \quad \mathrm{ts}^{h} \partial^{55} t \mathrm{~s}^{1 r}{ }^{11}=t s a{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \mathrm{ni}$
uncle Tsitring =ALL go NI
'(we) went to Uncle Tsitring',

Accident057
(78). t $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} z_{z a^{13}}=t s a \quad t s^{h}{ }^{53}$ ze ro
then Chinese =ALL lead EX.INAN.SELF TOP
$z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad l Y^{55} \quad$ ŋa rõ
again thither amputate NGA COND
'If having taken her to a Chinese (doctor), they amputate (her leg)',

It might be possible that the function of $=t s a$ extends to locative meanings as well, but I have no examples.

### 8.2.2.2.5 Locative $=\mathrm{n}$ ə

The locative =nə marks locatives and allatives and expresses such notions as
'in', 'to', or 'into'.
Prod058
$k^{h} \partial^{55 n} d \not i^{53}=j i \quad p \partial^{13} \quad t \sigma^{h} \partial^{11} W u^{55}$ rə $\quad S_{I^{353}}=n \partial \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$
3PLFAM $=$ GEN boy elder TOP field =LOC EX.AN.OTHR
'Their older son was in the field'

Elicited832
 that MEAT $=$ LOC worm sit EVI.VIS.IMPF thither wash COP.OTHR 'That meat has worms in it. Wash it'.
$=n ə$ is used with non-directional predicates in (79) and (80).

When directional verbs are used, $=n ə$ contributes an allative sense.

RabbitA034
(81). $\underline{s}^{h} a^{53}=n \partial{ }^{n} d z u u^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$
earth $=$ LOC dive go
'(The rabbit) dove into the ground'

GoodSam324
(82).

3SABS thither uh inn INDF =LOC lead '(He) led him to an inn'

The locative can also be used metaphorically to refer to a certain station in life:

GetDivA031
$g \tilde{a}^{55} b u^{53}=n ə \quad s^{\text {rin }}{ }^{353}$
cadreCH =LOC arrive
'(My son) became a cadre' (lit: arrived at cadre)

### 8.2.2.2.6 Ablative $=t s ə o$

The ablative indicates the place from which movement emanates. There is some evidence that this casemarker has not fully developed into an ablative in that its functions are restricted to spatial dimensions and have not been extended to temporal or other domains. There is no indication that $=t s ə o$ is used in temporal clauses. ${ }^{21}$

Elicited132
$\eta a^{13} \quad p^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} d \tilde{1}^{53}=t s ə O \quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad d \tilde{\not} \tilde{1}$
1SABS Pongding =ABL come SELF
'I am from Pongding'

Elicited584

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\underline{n a^{53}}=t s ə O & d \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53} & =n ə & =j æ & g \tilde{o}^{11} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53} & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & \text { rõ }  \tag{85}\\
\text { here }=\text { ABL } & \text { Dongwang } & =\text { LOC } & =\text { LOC } & \text { foot } & \text { go } & \text { COND }
\end{array}
$$

$j 9^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{11} t s e^{53} \quad t^{h} u^{353} \quad z e$
day how.many require EX.INAN.SELF
'How many days does it take to walk from here to Dongwang?'

The ablative is also used with a few emotive verbs to indicate the source of emotion.

[^115]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Hardship076 } \\
& n \partial^{13}{ }^{n} g u^{55}=t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$=t s \partial O \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t \leqslant \tilde{o}^{11} t a^{53} .
\]

Elicited701
$\eta a^{13} \varphi 9^{53}=t s ə o \quad t s a^{53}$
1S dog =ABL afraid
'I am afraid of dogs'

### 8.2.2.2.7 Comitative $=$ rõ

The marker rõ serves as a nominal conjunction meaning 'and' (§7.1.3) as well as a conditional clause marker (Chapter Twelve). When it functions as a comitative, it attaches to the accompanied argument. If both arguments are overt, the accompanied argument follows the accompanier.

Elicited193
$\eta a^{13} \quad j i^{11} \underline{c i}^{55}=\underline{r o d} \quad z \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d o$
1SABS Yishi $=$ COM play EX.AN.SELF
'I am playing with Yishi'

When rõ functions as a connective, it occurs between the two nominals.

Hardship009

'Then Danzen Chumpi and the three of us went up.'

Sometimes the line between a conjunction and the associative casemarker is not so clear.

Accident013

| $\underline{m a}$ | $\underline{q a^{55} t s^{h} u}$ | $=\underline{r} \tilde{o}$ | $k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$ | $t i^{13}$ | $z 0-$ | $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ | $n i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mother | Lhatsu | $=\mathrm{COM}$ | all | there up come | NI |  |  |
| '(She) was coming with mother Lhatsu and all of them' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In (90) the omitted referent, the speaker's wife, is the one coming along the road with a group of people.

### 8.2.2.3 Case-stacking

Sometimes more than one casemarker can occur in a noun phrase. In my database, the locative marker $=j æ$ can follow the locative marker $=n 0$, the ablative marker $=t s \partial$, the allative marker $=t s a$, or the objective marker $=g \tilde{o}$. Speakers are unable to point to any difference in meaning between the simple postposition and the same postposition followed by $=j æ$.

MyLife203
(91). nongcun $=n \boldsymbol{\eta} \quad=j æ \quad$ weisen $j e^{13} d \not \subset O^{53} d z i ?$
village $=$ LOC $=$ LOC hygieneCH VBZR ? OTHR
'(I) did hygiene in the village'

GetMar005
(92).
$\begin{array}{llll}z^{11} g e^{55}=t s a & =j æ & n a^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53} & \eta a^{53} \\ \text { Zhage }=\mathrm{ALL} & =\text { LOC bride } & \text { send } \\ \text { '...send (me) to Zhage's house...' } & \end{array}$

## GetDivB021

(93). $\quad w{ }^{55} t s e^{53} \quad t \epsilon i^{55} t \epsilon i^{53} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad=j æ \quad s e^{55} r i^{53} \quad j e^{13}$
like.that each.other $=$ OBJ $=$ LOC blame VBZR
$w 2^{55} t s e^{53} j e^{13} \quad-p \partial^{55}$ ne
like.that VBZR -HIST NEG
'blaming each other like that, like that (we) didn't do'.

Elicited983
(94). $k^{h} u i^{55} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13}=t s ə=j æ \quad z i^{11} g i^{55}$ tçi $\quad z \mathfrak{æ}^{353} \quad-k^{h} \partial \quad t^{h} i$ 3SERG 1SGEN =ABL =LOC book INDF borrow -KHU VIS.PFV 'S/he borrowed a book from me'

## Chapter 9 The Verb Phrase

In this chapter the verb phrase is described with particular attention paid to the verb phrase of finite clauses. Final auxiliary verbs will be discussed in Chapter Ten, various types of clauses in Chapter Eleven and clause combining will be described in Chapter Twelve.

The most expanded possible verb complex for any clause would include a directional prefix, verb stem, causative, directional, aspectual, modal, question particle, final auxiliary verb, and/or evidential and/or validational particle. Negation can occur in several places, most commonly before the main verb, before a modal or aspectual secondary verb, before the final auxiliary, or as a suppletive auxiliary form. Non-content question particles usually occur before the final auxiliary verb or, in the case of attributives, before the predicate. The verb complex, in its most expanded expression, is schematicized below. Parentheses indicate the non-obligatory elements:
(DIR-) (NEG-) VERB (CAUS) (DIR) (MOD) (ASP) (QST) (FNL AUX) (EVI/VAL)
In natural discourse, of course, it is extremely rare that all, or even most, of these elements would be present. The minimal possible verb complex includes only the verb. In the above schematic, all classes except the verb class are closed classes.

The following discussion is organized according to the pre-verbal elements and the post-verbal elements. Pre-verbal elements include directional and negative prefixes. Post-verbal elements include everything else.

### 9.1 Pre-verbal elements

### 9.1.1 Directionals

Directional prefixes in Dongwang include zo- <yar> 'up', mbo- <'bab?, mar?> 'down', $t s^{h} \partial$-<tshur> 'hither', and $p^{h} \partial$ - <phar> 'thither'. The reference point can be the speaker, the speaker's location, or a participant in the text, usually the S or A argument or a location relative to the S or A argument.

While speakers readily identify the 'meaning' of these prefixes as directional, they have a wider range of functions than indicating deictic orientation. Such functions include contributing aspectual meaning to the verb, denoting negative or positive connotation, or indicating the presence or absence of control.

### 9.1.1.1 zo-<yar> 'up' and mbo-<mar?> 'down'

The prefixes $z ə$ - and $m b ə$ - (often $\sim[\mathrm{m} \partial]$ in fast speech) indicate upward or downward motion. They are also associated with increase or decrease in size, quality, or duration, imply a positive or negative outcome, and contribute aspectual meaning as discussed below.

Hardship042
(1). $d u^{33}$ zo- $p^{h} i^{53}$
rock up pick.up
'(we) picked up rocks'

## Butter\&cheese013

(2). $t 6^{h}{ }^{55}$ tci mbə- kuæ ${ }^{53}$ ra
water INDF down circle RA 'pour down some water in a circle (along the sides of the churn)'

In the example above, the speaker is giving instructions for making butter and cheese. The motion involved is one in which the water is poured down along the sides of the churn in a circular motion.


The sentence in (3) is drawn from a text in which the speaker has been working up on the mountain and came down to re-stock his supplies. In this clause, mbo- is clearly contributing vertical orientation.

Sometimes a directional can occur with the indefinite marker $t \epsilon i$ to give a telic sense to the clause.

RabbitB046
(4).

| $\underline{z a^{13}}$ | $\underline{t c i}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | na | $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ | $r ə$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{u p}$ | $\underline{\text { INDF }}$ | pull | SEND | REN | TOP |

'When (the shepherd) pulled up (on the rabbit's ears)',

The example above is taken from a folktale in which a shepherd is chasing a rabbit. In this clause, the shepherd grabs the rabbit by the ears to prevent it from running into its hole again. The meaning of the verb here is not pulling in a durative sense, but something like yanked up on.

The concept of upward or downward motion does not always imply absolute vertical motion. When speakers talk about coming/going to Dongwang, or coming/going to rGyalthang, Dongwang is usually 'up' and rGyalthang is usually 'down'. This seems counter-intuitive, as Dongwang is lower in elevation than rGyalthang. One possible explanation is that the orientation is relative to the Dongwang river which runs nearby Pongding village. But to get to rGyalthang one would have to begin walking upstream from Pongding.

Another possibility is that 'going up' is more associated with home than 'going down'. When one is invited to a home, for example, the invitation is framed as 'please come up'. Speakers occasionally shift their orientation. For example, in the story Getting Married, the narrator is relating the time when she was attending school in rGyalthang. When she was in the sixth grade, her parents wanted her to go home to get married. She did not want to get married, but in the end it was decided that if she failed her examination for Middle School she must go home. Because of the stress, she did fail and returned home at the beginning of December.

GetMar031
(5). ${ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55} \quad d z o^{55} n 2^{11} \quad b a^{53} \quad$ mbə- $W \tilde{u}^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad$ rə month twelve beginning down come REN TOP 'When (I) came down at the beginning of December'

At this point, the narrator is still angry and does not want to get married. It is clear that she is presenting herself as a student living in rGyalthang, so home is 'down' rather than 'up'.
zo- and mbo- can also occur in clauses which expresses a greater or lesser
size, duration, or quality.

RabbitB047
(6). né ${ }^{55 j i^{11}}$ nə zə- $r i^{11} m \partial^{55}$ re ra
ear NA up long COP.OTHR RA
'(the rabbit's) ears became long'

RabbitA068
(7). $\quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad l \partial^{11} p \partial^{55} \quad \mathrm{mb} \partial-\quad t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{o}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d q u$
then body down short GO
'Then (the rabbit's) body got short'

The clauses above are taken from the folktale Rabbit which explains how the rabbit came to have long ears and a short body.

A similar function can be expressed in a construction [DIR-GEN-DIR + ADJ] which expresses progressive aspect.

Elicited559
(8). $c e^{55} \quad \underline{m b \partial}-j i-m b \partial \quad s \tilde{x}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad n \tilde{o}$

2SABS down-GEN-down thin go PFV VIS.IPFV
'You are getting thinner and thinner'

Elicited557
(9). $\quad \varsigma e^{55} \quad \underline{z o-j i-z o} \quad \Varangle a^{13} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{55} \quad n \tilde{o}$

2SABS up-GEN-up fat COME PFV VIS.IPFV
'You are getting fatter and fatter'

As a general rule, mbo- co-occurs with 'go' and zo- co-occurs with 'come'.
$m b \partial-$ and $z \rho$ - are sometimes used together in a construction to mean 'back and forth' or 'up and down'. These can be real motion or metaphorical motion.

Wormgrass020
(10). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad z 9-\quad j e^{13}$
mbo- $j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad j i$
then up VBZR.DO down VBZR.DO COP.SELF.PST
'Then (we) bargained'
(10) is an example of metaphorical motion, as the price goes 'up and down' when bargaining.

Another function of the non-directional use of $z \rho-$ is to denote the agentive
argument's desired or intended outcome. This is closely linked with perfective aspect.

Butter\&Cheese026
(11).
$\underline{z 0} \quad t^{h} a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{55}$
up heat PFV REN
'when it is heated up',

KillPig088
(12).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} & \text { rə } & p^{h} a^{11} S O^{53} & \underline{z ə} & t s \tilde{a}^{53} & \text {-nə } & \text { rə } \\ \text { now } & \text { TOP } & \text { pig.feet } & \text { up } & \text { sew } & \text { NZR } & \text { TOP }\end{array}$
'Now the pig's feet that were sewn up'

Prod073
(13). $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad \underline{z}-\quad S^{13} \quad d e \quad n \tilde{o} \quad S$

3SABS up comfort PROG VIS.IPF' HS
'(he) comforted (his son)'
9.1.1.2 $t s^{h} \partial$-<tshur> 'hither' and $p^{h} \partial-<$ phar > 'thither'
$t s^{h} \partial$ - and $p^{h} \partial$ - indicate direction towards (cislative) or away from (translative)
the deictic referent. $t s^{h} \partial$-can lend a positive connotation to the clause and $p^{h} \partial$-can denote lack of control as well as the completion of an event.

HeartAttack071
$t \partial^{55}=n \partial \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad p^{h} \partial o^{53}$ Wũ $\tilde{u}^{13} \quad n i$
that $=$ LOC hither run come NI
'He came running over from there',

## GetDivB025

(15). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad \epsilon \tilde{u}^{55}$
$n \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55}-k \tilde{i} \quad t s^{h}{ }^{2}-\quad m b e^{53} \quad W \boldsymbol{a}^{55} t s e^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad n i$
then home relatives -PL hither call MAN VBZR.DO NI 'Then (we) called the relatives over, doing like that',

In (15) the narrator is the deictic reference point as she relates how the subject (an unexpressed third-person argument) begins to chase after her. Example (15) is taken from a personal experience narrative in which the narrator is describing her divorce. After her husband decided to leave her, they called the relatives over to their house to discuss the conditions of their divorce. In this example, $t s^{h} \boldsymbol{\partial}$ - indicates motion towards the house she and her husband shared at that time.

GetDiva021

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}$ | $a^{11} b a^{55}$ | ro | $z \tilde{o}^{13}$ | $w o^{55} n a^{53}$ | $p^{h}{ }^{2}-$ | $p \mathfrak{X}^{13}$ | ra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then father | TOP | again | 1PL.FAM | thither | reject | RA |  |
| 'Then (the children's) father rejected us (mother and children)' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In (16), the narrator is the wife who was rejected. She construes the father who rejected her and her children as the deictic center.

Accident087
(17). t $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad$ ro $p^{h} \partial-\quad n i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$
then TOP thither sleep go
'Then (we) went away to sleep'

The example above occurs in the text Accident in which a woman was hit by a car. At this point in the story the woman's husband and his friends have taken her on the two days' journey to a hospital. They now leave her bedside in the hospital to go to sleep.

Prod006

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
p \boldsymbol{コ}^{13} & t ¢ 9^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} & =j i & k^{h} u a^{53} & z e & & &  \tag{18}\\
\text { son } & \text { younger } & =\text { ERG } & \text { 3SDAT } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF } & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
\text { nə } & =j i & s e^{55} p a^{53} & k^{h} a^{55} l a^{53} & \underline{t s^{h} \partial} & c o^{53} & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} & c \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \\
\text { NMZR } & =\text { GEN } & \text { thing } & \text { all } & \text { hither } & \text { gather } & \text { PFV } & \text { REN }
\end{array}
$$

'The younger son gathered (to himself) all the things he had',

KillPig062

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad t s^{h} \partial-j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad \text {-nə ro }  \tag{19}\\
& \text { intestines hither take.out -NZR TOP } \\
& \text { 'as for the intestines which were taken out,' }
\end{align*}
$$

The clause in (19) is taken from a procedural text on how to kill and dress a pig. Here, the pulling out motion is indicated by the directional prefix $t s^{h} \partial$-.

Similar to $m b \partial$ - and $z \partial-, p^{h} \partial$ - and $t s^{h} \partial$ - can also express an increase or decrease in size or quality. All examples functioning in this way in my database are found in reduplicated constructions illustrated in examples (20) and (21) below:

Elicited558
(20).
$\begin{array}{llllll}c e^{55} & t s^{h} \partial-j i-t s^{h} \partial & z a^{53} & w \tilde{u} & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} & n \tilde{o} \\ \text { 2SABS } & \text { hither-GEN-hither } & \text { fat.COMP } & \text { COME } & \text { PFV } & \text { VIS.IPFV }\end{array}$
'You are getting fatter and fatter'

Elicited547
(21). $n i^{11} W \tilde{O}^{55} \quad t s^{h} \partial-j i-t s^{h} \partial \quad d z u^{13} \quad s \tilde{o}$ sun thither-GEN-thither warm EGO 'The sun is getting warmer and warmer'
$p^{h} \partial$-sometimes indicates a reversal of direction as in the following example.

HeartAttack086
(22). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} a^{53} \quad p^{h} 2-\quad k u æ^{53} j i$

1SERG mouth thither turn COP.SELF.PST
'I turned around' (to face him)

In (22), the speaker is running away from a man. When the man calls her, she turns around to listen. Rather than facing forward, her orientation is now facing backwards.

Sometimes, the reason for the presence of $p^{h} \partial$ - or $t s^{h} \partial$ - is not always clear. In
the following clauses, these directionals seem to be contributing a perfective sense:
$t s^{h} \partial$ - relating more to future events and $p^{h} \partial$ - relating more to past events.

Killpig063
(23).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}t s u u^{53} & =k i ̃ & t s^{h}{ }^{2}- & t u^{53} & \text { ra } & \text { gui } & d z i ? \\ \text { fat } & =\text { PL } & \text { hither } & \text { chop } & \text { RA } & \text { NEED } & \text { OTHR }\end{array}$
'The fat needs to be chopped off'

Accident082
(24).
$m_{0} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{13} b a^{55}=j i \quad j i a n c h a \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j e$
doctor =ERG examineCH thither VBZR.DO
'The doctor examined her'


### 9.1.2 Negation

Negation is expressed through the use of two unstressed negative prefixes: $m a-$ and $w u$ - and through the use of the future negative particle $p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$. Negation can also be expressed through the suppletive forms of the copula and existential and through the use of periphrastic constructions which follow the main verb. Negation strategies employed in Dongwang are dependent upon several factors: the type of predicate (copula, existential, or lexical), the controllability of the event, the scope of the utterance over which negation has effect, and the subject of the clause. Further, speakers have several negation strategies at their disposal depending on their communicative goals. Each of these will be discussed below.

### 9.1.2.1 Negation of copular and existential verbs

The forms of the negated copula and existential verbs depend on the person of the argument. The SELF ${ }^{1}$ copular and inanimate existential negative forms are constructed using a suppletive auxiliary. The SELF animate existential negative form

[^116]is constructed with the prefix ma- and the animate existential. The OTHER copula and
existential negative forms are periphrastic constructions derived from the negative
prefix ma-.

|  | Self |  | OTHER |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aff | Neg | Aff | Neg |
| Copula | Z1 | me | re | ma- re |
| Exist, -AN | ze | ne [ $\sim \sim_{0} \mathrm{e}$ ] | ze ${ }^{11}$ dzip | $z^{11}$ dzip ma- re |
| Exist, +AN | ${ }^{\text {n }}$ do | ma- ${ }^{\text {n }}$ do | ${ }^{\text {n }}{ }^{\text {do }}{ }^{11}$ dzi? | ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11}$ dzıi ma - re |

Table 24: COPULA/EXISTENTIAL AFFIRMATIVE AND NEGATIVE PARADIGM ${ }^{2}$

The negative forms of the SELF copula and inanimate existential verbs are the suppletive forms $m e$ and ne respectively. The negative of the SELF animate existential
is constructed from the negative prefix and the animate existential: ma- ${ }^{n} d o$.

Elicited1203: Negative copula, SELF
$\eta a^{13} \quad \mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad$ me
1sABS Tibetan COP.SELF.NEG
'I am not Tibetan'

Elicited523: Negative existential, inanimate, SELF
(27).
$\begin{array}{llllll}w o^{55} n a^{53} & n u u^{53} & =j æ & \eta u I^{53} & m a^{11} m \partial^{55} & \text { ne } \\ \text { 1PL.DAT } & \text { DU } & =\text { DAT } & \text { money } & \text { much } & \text { EX.IN.SELF.NEG }\end{array}$
'The two of us don't have a lot of money'

[^117]Elicited1190: Negative existential, animate, SELF
(28).

| na ${ }^{13}$ | $a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{ma}}$ | $\underline{\text { ndo }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1sDAT | child | NEG | EX.AN.SELF |
| 'I don't have a/any child/ren' |  |  |  |

The OTHER copula and existential clauses are negated with the prefix ma-,
which always precedes the OTHER copula.

Elicited: Negative copula, OTHER
(29).
$c e^{55} \quad \mathrm{pe}^{13}$ ma- re
2sABS Tibetan NEG COP.OTHR
'You are not Tibetan'

Elicited1105: Negative existential, inanimate, OTHER
(30). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad z i^{11} g i^{55} \quad z e^{11} d z i ? \quad$ ma- re

3sDAT book EX.IN.OTHR NEG COP.OTHR
'He doesn't have a/any book/s'

Elicited1193: Negative, existential, animate, OTHER
(31). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i p$ ma- re

3SDAT child EX.AN.OTHR NEG COP.OTHR
'S/he does not have a/any child/ren'

Due to issues surrounding evidentiality and validationality, clauses with non-first-persons subjects are more complicated. In naturally-occurring discourse, speakers frequently use evidential or validational forms which qualify the statement being made. These are discussed in more detail in §10.4 and §10.5.
9.1.2.2 Negation of other verbs

### 9.1.2.2.1 ma-

Basic declarative clauses with control verbs and first-person S or A arguments allow two negation strategies: a suppletive auxiliary that follows the verb and any TAM marking or the negative prefix ma-. The negative prefix ma- has several possible positions: it can immediately precede the verb, immediately precede the modal secondary verb, immediately precede aspect marking, or immediately precede a final copula or auxiliary.

The negative prefix ma- precedes the verb:

Elicited
(32). $\quad \eta a^{13}$ ma- ${ }^{n} d z u^{13} j i$

1sABS NEG go COP.SELF.PST
'I didn't go'

GoodSam011
(33). tci ${ }^{53}$ la ma- $k a^{55} \quad n i$
one even NEG- concern NI
'showing (him) no concern whatsoever',

Elicited

| $\eta a^{13}$ | ma- | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1sABS | NEG | go |

'I am not going'

In (32), (33), and (34) the negative prefix precedes the verbs. Self clauses with control verbs can also be negated by a suppletive copula (me) or existential (ne).

Elicited
(35).
$\eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ me
1sABS go COP.SELF.NEG
'I didn't go'

Elicited
(36).
$\eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d q u u^{13}$ tsi me
1SABS go PROSP COP.SELF.NEG
'I am not going' (now or in the future)

Most speakers say that there is no difference in meaning between (35) and (36), but some speakers suggest that (36) is more emphatic. ${ }^{3}$

Basic declarative OTHER clauses with control verbs, leaving aside clauses with evidentials or validationals for the time being, allow several negation strategies. The negative prefix ma- precedes the final OTHER auxiliary, the negative prefix ma-
precedes the main verb, or a negative verb phrase can follow an entire clause.

Elicited253
(37). $k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t s^{h} e^{55} \quad n u^{13} \quad t s i^{55} \quad$ ma- re

3SERG vegetables buy PROSP NEG COP.OTHR
'S/he is not going to buy vegetables'

Elicited252
(38).
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t s^{h} e^{55} \quad \mathrm{nu}^{13}$ ma- $t^{h i^{53}}$
3SERG vegetables buy NEG go.PFV
'S/he has not gone to buy vegetables'

[^118]| $c \tilde{u}^{55}$ | $=n ə$ | $z ə-$ | la | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | $\underline{\text { ma- }}$ | re |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| house | $=$ LOC | up | even | go | NEG | COP.OTHR |

'He did not even go up to the house'

The negative prefix ma- can be positioned differently according to its scope.

In the following example, the negative prefix precedes the secondary verbs that express modal categories such as 'to want to', 'to dare to', and 'to be able to'.

MyLife05
(40). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} p^{h} \partial \quad t s a^{55} W a^{53} j i \quad z i^{11} g i^{55} \quad j u^{13} \quad \underline{m a-}$ si no $\tilde{o}$
then FILLER completely book grasp NEG- KNOW VIS.IPFV
'Then, uh, I did not grasp studies at all'

Elicited
(41). $\quad a^{13}{ }^{n} d q \_u^{13}$ ma- $s a \tilde{n} \quad \prod_{0} \tilde{o}$

1SABS go NEG- THINK VIS.IPFV
'I didn't want to go'

Elicited156
(42).

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $t \epsilon^{h} a^{53}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{ma}-}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1SERG | eat | NEG | PFV |
| 'I haven't finished eating' |  |  |  |

A negative construction can also follow an entire clause. This is the only strategy to negate the OTHER existential forms:

Elicited
(43). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad a^{55} k a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$

3SDAT child EX.AN.OTHR
'S/he has children'

Elicited
(44).
$\begin{array}{lllll}k^{h} u a^{53} & a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} & { }^{n} d o^{11} d z i q & \text { ma- } & \text { re } \\ \text { 3SDAT } \quad \text { child } & \text { EX.AN.OTHR } & \text { NEG- } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\ \text { 'S/he does not have children' } & & \end{array}$

Elicited
(45). $\quad k^{h} 2^{55} \quad t 6^{h} e^{53} \quad s i \quad d z i i ?$

3S tired MOD OTHR
'S/he is tired'

Elicited
(46). $k^{h} 2^{55} \quad t c^{h} e^{53}$ si dzi? ma- re

3 S tired MOD OTHR NEG- COP.OTHR
'S/he is not tired'
9.1.2.2.2 $p \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$

The particle $p \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{53}$, tentatively glossed NEG.FUT 'negative future' ${ }^{\prime 4}$, negates the potential conclusion of an event or state, or an event about which the speaker is expressing some degree of doubt. It always occurs as the last element in a sentence.

When addressing second persons, $p \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{53}$ means that an event relevant to the addressee will not happen.

GetMar024/25
(47).
$k^{h} a^{55} \epsilon^{5} \tilde{a}^{53} \quad$ rõ ro $\quad 6 i^{55} n i^{53} \quad=t s ə$ re $\quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$
pass.examCH COND TOP 2PLGEN =LOC OTHR.COP NEG.FUT
'If (she) passes the exam, (she) will not be of your house'

[^119]In (47), narrator's mother tells the potential groom's household that her daughter will not marry if she passes her exams.

Prod034
(48). today after 1SABS 2SGEN son VBZR.DO consider NEG.FUT 'After today, do not consider me your son'

This example, from the text Prodigal, is spoken by a son who is apologizing to his father for wronging him in the past. He feels he has wronged his father so greatly that he should no longer be treated as his father's son.
$p \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ can also be used as a polite refusal to do something. For example, if a person is asked to go visit someone whom she does not know, she may feel uncomfortable going. In such an instance, she could say:

## Elicited

| $\eta a^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | $g u i$ | $p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SABS | GO | NEED | NEG.FUT |

'I don't need/want to go'

In the example above, the speaker has not definitely said whether she will or will not go, but she is leaning towards not going. Finally, $p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ can convey the likelihood that an event will not take place. In clauses with third-person subjects, the speaker is expressing his or her doubt regarding the event:

Elicited
(50). $k^{h} \partial^{55} w \tilde{u}^{13} p \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{53}$

3s come NEG.FUT
'S/he won't come'

In clauses with first-person subjects and non-control verbs, the speaker expresses his or her doubt regarding a future event:

Elicited
ya $a^{13}$ za $^{13} w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$
(52). $\eta a^{13} d \nsucceq u u^{53} p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$
1s fat COME NEG.FUT
'I won't get fat'
1 s fall NEG.FUT
'I won't fall'

### 9.1.2.2.3 wu-

The prefix $w u$ - occurs before the dubitative markers (§10.6) $¢ a$ and $z a$. The difference between the two seems to be based on a realis/irrealis distinction. wu-ca expresses a high degree of certainty regarding potentially-negative events and wu-za expresses certainty regarding actual negative contexts.

Because the future is unknowable, there are several ways that speakers can express their certainty or lack of certainty regarding the likelihood of an event becoming reality. Speakers use $w u-¢ a$ to express a future event that they think will probably not happen. For example:

Prod26

| $n a^{13}$ | $w 2^{55} n a^{53}$ | $t u^{53}$ | $s 9^{55}$ | $s \tilde{a}^{53}$ | $d z i \hat{l}$ | wu- | $c a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1s | here | hunger | die | think | OTHR | NEG- | DUB |
| 'I won't die of hunger here' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The narrator in (53) is thinking to himself that he will go back to his father's house and eat as one of his servants. If he goes, he will not die of hunger.

RabbitA27

| $c e^{55}$ | me | ro | $t^{h} \partial O$ | $w u-$ | $z a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 S | COP.NEG.SELF | TOP | MOD | NEG- | DUB |
| It is not possible that it is not you' (='it is you') |  |  |  |  |  |

In (54) the shepherd is expressing a strong certainty that the rabbit has already come for his share of butter.

HeartAttack173

| $j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $\xi^{2} ə^{353}$ | wu- | $z a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| do | hard | NEG- | DUB |

'I could not do anything' (did not have the power to do anything)

The clause in (55) is spoken by the narrator's husband in response to her being beaten.
He says that because he and the man who beat her are (cousin) brothers, there really is nothing he could have done, or can do, to defend her.
$w u$ - also occurs before a few modals in my database:


I am uncertain whether the speaker in (56) could have used the negative prefix ma-
(ma- gui) rather than the negative prefix $w u$-. It appears that $w u-g u a$ is used as a politeness strategy in direct address clauses, but I do not have enough data to be sure. More work needs to be done on this interesting negative prefix.

### 9.1.2.3 Negation in discourse

There is evidence in discourse that speakers use different negation strategies for different communicative purposes. This can be seen in texts with adjacent clauses in which the speaker uses two different negation strategies to express different attitudes about the same event.

Hardship011
(57).
ta $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ shihui ro $t s a^{55}{ }_{W a}{ }^{53}=j i$
then limestoneCH TOP completely $=$ GEN

| $s a^{53}$ | $s i$ | $\underline{s} i$ | ma | $\ldots$ | $w o^{55 n} d z a^{53}$ | $s \tilde{o}^{53}$ | no |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| burn | MOD.KNOW | NEG | COP.OTHR | $\ldots$ | 1 PL | three | PTCL |

'Then regarding limestone, (we) completely did not know how to burn it... we three.'

Hardship012
(58).

| $t c i^{53}$ | $l a$ | $s a^{53}$ | $\underline{W u}$ | $s i$ | $w a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INDF | even | burn | NEG | KNOW | MUT |

'(We) did not even know anything'

In (57) and (58) above, the speaker is describing his first amateur attempts to fire limestone. He is stressing that he and his friends knew absolutely nothing about the process of burning limestone. In the first clause he says $s a^{53}$ si ma-re, but in the adjacent clause he says $s a^{53} w u-s i w a$. The speakers I questioned regarding these two clauses said there is no meaning difference except the second clause may be more emphatic. However, it is difficult to know whether this assertion is simply based on the effect of repetition rather than anything specific to a negation strategy.

## Hardship022/023

| $n 2^{13}$ <br> person |  |  | $\begin{align*} & w \tilde{u}^{13}  \tag{59}\\ & \text { come } \end{align*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & w \tilde{u}^{13} \\ & \text { come } \end{aligned}$ | $d z i$ OTHR | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{\text { ma- }} \\ & \text { NEG } \end{aligned}$ | re <br> COP.OTHR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma- | $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ | no |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEG | come |  | IPFV |  |  |  |  |

(59) is similar to the previous example in that two different negation strategies are repeated in adjacent clauses. In the first clause the auxiliary is negated and in the second clause the verb is negated for the apparent purpose of emphasis.

### 9.2 Post-verbal elements

The post-verbal complex in Dongwang is a cluster of non-obligatory elements that contribute information such as person, intention, direction, aspect, modality, validationality and evidentiality to the lexical verb. The following section is divided according to final and non-final elements. Final elements are organized according to SELF/OTHER, egophoric, evidential, and validational categories. In Tibetan studies, the final elements are often referred to as 'auxiliary verbs' (DeLancey 1986, T.-S. Sun $1993^{5}$ Hongladarom 1996, Tournadre 2001). Final auxiliary verbs are discussed in the next chapter.

The non-final elements mainly contribute meaning such as aspect and modality, direction, and causation to the meaning of the main verb and/or clause.

[^120]DeLancey (1991: 6) has referred to these as 'quasi-auxiliary' verbs. Following Häsler (1996), I will use the term 'secondary verb' (V2) to refer to any non-final auxiliary verb which follows the main verb. Most of these V2s are 'versatile verbs' (Matisoff 1973) that is, they are full verbs as well as 'minor' verbs that also contribute grammatical functionality.

Many V2s in Dongwang have arisen from serial verb constructions that are 'asymmetrical' serial constructions (Aikhenvald 1999) in that 'the second verb in a serial verb construction is 'always in some sense a further development, result or goal' (Lord $1974^{6}$ ) of the first verb in the construction' (Foley and Olson 1985: 19).

DeLancey (1991: 1) outlines the grammaticization process from full verb to auxiliary verb as one 'in which an initial biclausal structure with two verbs, each contributing its own lexical sense to the overall meaning of the sentence, ends up as a uniclausal verb + auxiliary construction, in which one of the verbs has undergone semantic "bleaching" and entered a new syntactic category'.

### 9.2.1 Secondary verbs

### 9.2.1.1 Valence

Secondary verbs in this group affect the valence of the verb in some way. The causative $t \epsilon o^{53}<$ bcug $>$ 'to cause', the permissive $t \epsilon^{h} u^{53}<$ chog> 'to allow' and the benefactive $t e^{53}<$ ster $>$ 'to give' clearly affect the valence of the verb in that they

[^121]can add an argument to the clause. The other three secondary verbs are not so clearcut in that they do not always add an argument. The secondary verb ga (from <mngag> 'to send') serves multiple functions including denoting a mirative-like category, a causative, and heightening transitivity. The secondary verb ra (from $<\mathrm{rag}>$ 'to obtain') changes a stative clause to an eventive clause. The secondary verb $k^{h} \rho \sim k^{h} u$ (from <'khur> 'to carry') remains somewhat of a mystery to me. The secondary verb $r u^{13}$ implies an offer or real extension of help. Each of these is illustrated with examples in the following section.
9.2.1.1.1 t6o ${ }^{53}$ 'cause'

When the secondary verb $t c o^{53}$ follows the verb stem, the subsequent construction can be interpreted as a causative or as a permissive. The causative is the one serial verb construction where the verbs can have different overt subjects.

KillPig030
(60). $\quad s \partial^{53} \quad t 60^{53} \quad r \tilde{æ}^{55} \quad$ ro
die CAUS REN TOP
'when (someone) caused (the pig) to die,'
GoodSam026
(61). rõ ${ }^{13} r \tilde{o}^{11}=j i \quad t a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad c a^{53} \quad t 6 O^{53}$ REFL $=$ GEN horse thither ride CAUS '(He had him) ride his own horse'

The verb $s 2^{53}$ 'to die' in (60) is intransitive and takes one argument, the animal or person who dies. With the causative marker the clause is transitive and includes two (unexpressed) arguments: the person who causes the pig the die and the pig which dies. Similarly the verb $\varphi a^{53}$ 'to ride' in (61) is transitive and can be accompanied by two arguments, the rider and the thing ridden. With the addition of the causative, there are three arguments.

The syntax of causatives can be better illustrated with elicited sentences which have all possible arguments present in the clause.

Elicited569

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 o^{55} s a^{11} \quad=j i \quad w \partial^{55} \mathrm{na}^{53} \quad=k \tilde{k} \quad=j æ \quad d z 0^{11} s a^{53} \quad t^{h} \partial^{55} \quad \underline{t c o^{53}} \quad s \tilde{o}  \tag{62}\\
& \text { teacherCH =ERG } 1 \mathrm{PL} \quad=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{DAT} \text { garbage pick.up CAUS EGO } \\
& \text { 'Teacher made us pick up the garbage' }
\end{align*}
$$

The transitive verb $t^{h}{ }^{55}$ 'to pick up' normally takes two arguments: someone picking up something and something being picked up. In (62) there are three arguments: the additional causer is in ergative case, the causee, those picking up the garbage $\left(w{ }^{55} n a^{53}=k \tilde{\imath}\right.$ ' $\left.w e^{\prime}\right)$ is demoted to dative case $\left(w \partial^{55} n a^{53}=k \tilde{\imath}=j æ\right.$ 'us').

Elicited563
(63).
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}k^{h} a^{55 n} d z a^{53} & =k \tilde{i} & \eta a^{13} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & m a- & t c o^{53} & d z i ? & m a- & \text { re } \\ 3 \mathrm{PL} & =\mathrm{PL} & \text { 1SABS } & \text { come } & \text { NEG } & \text { CAUS } & \text { OTHR } & \text { NEG } & \text { COP.OTHR }\end{array}$
'They won't let me come'

The causer argument $\left(k^{h} \partial^{55 n} d z a^{53}=k \tilde{\imath}\right.$ 'they') in (63) is not in ergative case, but the fact that the one who is not going has been demoted from an S argument to a P argument is reflected in the choice of the final OTHER auxiliary verb.

In naturally-occurring discourse, clauses with all expressed arguments are rare. In the following example, the causer is unexpressed but the causee is expressed in dative case.

MyLife 148
(64). põ: $\tilde{i}^{13}=k \tilde{i} \quad=j æ \quad$ rə $\quad s^{h} a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad \mathrm{mba}^{353} \quad \underline{t 6 o^{53}}$
girl $=\mathrm{PL} \quad=\mathrm{DAT}$ TOP dirt hither carry.on.back CAUS
'(They) made the girls carry dirt on their backs' (in baskets)

Elicited
(65). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{k}^{h} \partial^{55} \quad \eta \partial^{13} \quad$ tco $o^{53} \quad j i$

1SERG 3SABS cry CAUS COP.SELF.PST
'I made him cry'

The verb $\eta \partial^{13}$ 'to cry' is an intransitive verb that can occur with one argument. The addition of the causative $t 6 O^{53}$ in (65) increases the potential arguments in the clause to two. Note that the syntax of (65) is the same as a transitive clause containing a control verb.

### 9.2.1.1.2 $t_{6}{ }^{h} u^{53}{ }^{\prime}$ permit'

Sometimes the semantic distinction between causative and permissive constructions is not clearly delineated. A clause with the causative V2 can be interpreted as a causative or as a permissive. Syntactically, however, constructions
with $t \epsilon o^{53}$ can have more than one argument, whereas constructions with the permissive secondary verb $t \epsilon^{h} u^{53}$ can only have one argument which is good evidence that it is uniclausal. In addition, the permittee of a clause with the permissive secondary verb $t_{6}{ }^{h} u^{53}$ is casemarked with the ergative in transitive constructions, but the causee in a causative construction is casemarked with the dative casemarker. ${ }^{7}$ As with causatives, permissive constructions usually are constructed with non-SELF auxiliaries in clauses with first-person S or A arguments.

Elicited 1361
(66). $k^{h} u i^{55}$
$w 2^{55} t 0^{11} \quad \tilde{s i}^{11} p^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} \quad t c e^{53} \quad \underline{t 6^{h}} u^{53} \quad d z i ?$
3SERG that tree cut PERM OTHR
'He can cut down the tree' (he is allowed to cut down the tree)

Example (66) could be spoken if the speaker had seen a man wearing forestry clothes cutting down a tree. Even though there were laws against cutting down trees, the speaker assumes that the man (considering his clothes) had the authority to cut down the tree. In the example above, the ergative-marked argument is the one who is cutting the tree. Compare this with the following causative construction.

Elicited1362
(67).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
k^{h} u i^{55} & w{ }^{55} t 2^{11} & \tilde{S 1}^{11} p^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} & \text { tce } e^{53} & t c o^{53} & d z i \\
\text { 3SERG } & \text { that } & \text { tree } & \text { cut } & \text { CAUS } & \text { OTHR } \\
\text { 'He made (someone else) cut down the tree' }
\end{array}
$$

[^122]In (67), the ergative-marked argument is not the one who is cutting down the tree, but is the one who is causing someone else to cut down the tree. The permissive can also be used to express 'can' in the sense of having time to do something. For example:

Elicited318
(68).
$\begin{array}{llll}c e^{55} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & a^{53} & t c^{h} u^{53} \\ \text { 2SABS } & \text { come } & \text { QST } & \text { PERM }\end{array}$
'Can you come?' (e.g., do you have time to come?)

Elicited339
$\eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ tc ${ }^{h} u^{53}$ dzzi? ma- re
1ABS go PERM OTHR NEG COP.OTHR
'I can't go' ~ 'I am not allowed to go'
9.2.1.1.3 te ${ }^{53}$ 'give'
$t e^{53}<$ ster $>$ is a ditransitive verb meaning 'to give'. As a secondary verb, te contributes the notion of benefactive, a pattern that is found in many languages. ${ }^{8}$

Elicited354
(70).

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $k^{h} u a^{53}$ | $=j æ$ | $t \epsilon a^{13}$ | $p^{h} \partial^{55} r \partial$ | $g \tilde{o}$ | $j o^{53}$ | te | $t^{h} i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | 3SDAT $=$ DAT | tea | cup | full | pour | GIVE | VIS.PFV |  |
| 'S/he poured a cup of tea for him' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{8}$ While this is a common strategy in many languages, it is not common in Tibetan dialects that have been described. To the best of my knowledge, in many Tibetan dialects some form of the locative/allative/dative casemarker <la> marks beneficiaries without the additional secondary verb 'to give'.

It is possible to interpret the concatenation of the verbs $j o^{53}$ 'to pour' and te 'to give' in (70) above as two clauses (he poured tea and then gave it to him). However, the lack of any connecting morphology (Chapter Twelve) combined with the semantic sense of one unified event justifies analyzing this as a main verb and a secondary verb which express one event.

GoodSam022, 023
(71).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
k^{h} a^{55} & =w \tilde{o} & =j æ & n u^{353} & \text { rõ } & a^{55} r a^{53} & =k \tilde{\imath} \\
3 \text { sABS } & =\text { OBJ } & =\text { DAT } & \text { oil } & \text { and } & \text { liquor } & =\text { PL }
\end{array}
$$

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $d o^{353}$ | $r a$ | $-s a$ | $p^{h} \partial-$ | $j o^{53}$ | $t e^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SGEN | beat | RA | - NMZ | thither- | pour | GIVE |

... zo- dãa te
... up wrap GIVE
'He poured oil and liquor on all the places where he had been beaten and wrapped (him) up.'

It is difficult to construe (71) or (72) as representing two separate events. One reason in (71) is syntactic. If the third-person dative pronoun is the beneficiary of $t e$, then one would expect it to occur closer to the verb $t e^{53}$. Additionally, it is not possible to include two overt A arguments in (71) without some additional morphology. Semantically, it is difficult to conceive how one can pour and then give oil to someone. The evidence for a uniclausal analysis is even stronger in (72) as there is no object of transference involved (wrapped (and) gave what?). te clearly has grammaticized as a secondary verb denoting benefactive.
9.2.1.1.4 17a 'release', 'send'

When functioning as a full verb $\eta a^{53}<$ mngag? $>$ means 'to send' or 'to release'.

MyLife193
(73).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & d i^{53} d i^{11} & \text { nə } & \text { rə } & \ldots & \text { zhongyi } & \text { xuexiao } \\ \text { now } & \text { 1SGEN } & \text { ynger.broCH } & \text { PTCL } & \text { TOP } & \text { (RC) } & \text { ZhongyiCH } & \text { elementaryCH }\end{array}$
$z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{x}^{353} \quad \eta a^{53} \quad d z i ?$
book read SEND OTHR
'Now (someone) sent my brother, (the one living in Beijing), to study at Zhongyi Elementary School'

The verb $\eta a^{53}$ 'to send' often occurs as a main verb in clauses which express sending someone/something to a place or for a purpose. The clause in (73) illustrates the function of $\eta a^{53}$ as a main verb.

As a secondary verb, ya has several functions, the most basic of which are to construct a causative clause and to express a mirative category. It also frequently occurs with verbs which express bodily functions.

When ga co-occurs with di-transitive verbs, it increases the valencey of the clause by one argument.

Elicited
(74).

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $k^{h} u a^{53}$ | $z i^{11} g i^{55}$ | $t e^{53}$ | ya | $d z i ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | 3SDAT | book | give | SEND | OTHR |
| 'She had himgive (someone) a book' |  |  |  |  |  |

The addition of ya in (74) means that the clause now has four arguments (including one which is unexpressed). The third-person ergative pronoun $k^{h} u i^{53}$ is the causer, the third-person dative pronoun is the A argument of the ditransitive verb $t e^{53}$ 'to give', and the book is what is given. There is another unexpressed argument as the whole clause means that the recipient was someone else other than the arguments expressed in (74).

Elicited
(75).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}k^{h} u i^{55} & \eta a^{13} & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & t s i & m e & s a^{55} & d z i ? \\ \text { 3SERG } & \text { 1SABS } & \text { go } & \text { PROSP } & \text { COP.SELF.NEG } & \text { say } & \text { OTHR }\end{array}$ 'S/he said, 'I am not going'

Elicited
(76). $k^{h} u i^{55} k^{h} u a^{53} \quad \eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d z \downarrow u^{13}$ tsi me ${ }^{13}{ }^{55}$ ya dži? 3SERG 3SDAT 1SABS go PROSP COP.SELF.NEG say NGA OTHR 'S/he had him tell (someone) 'I am not going'

The verb $s \boldsymbol{2}^{55}$ 'to say' in (75) is a ditransitive verb which includes the one who is speaking (A argument $k^{h} u i^{55}$ ), the one is is spoken to (unexpressed) and the actual speech (complement clause). In (76) the verb 'to say' is followed by ya and the additional causer argument is $k^{h} u i^{55}$, while the speaker is demoted to dative case $k^{h} u a^{53}$.

In some intransitive clauses, $\eta$ a can heighten the transitivity as expected of a causative marker (Payne 1997: 240ff). Sometimes an additional argument appears in the clause and sometimes not.

Elicited768

| $1 \tilde{o}^{53}$ | $[=j i]$ | $g u^{33}$ | $s i^{53}$ | $n a$ | $t^{h}{ }_{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wind | $[=$ ERG $]$ | door | separate | SEND | VIS.PFV | 'The wind opened the door'

KillPig027
(78).
$t_{s}{ }^{h} a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad p^{h} i^{53} \quad \eta a \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad r o$
blood thither bleed SEND REN TOP
'(after stabbing the pig) and making the blood come out,'

Sometimes the occurrence of ga can lend a mirative connotation to the clause.

That is, the presence of ga can occur when the speaker considers an event surprising or unexpected. As an example, the verb $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ 'discard' or 'reject' has certain pragmatic constraints depending on the type of P argument. Without $\eta$ a it can co-occur with any object and be grammatical (albeit perhaps illogical in some instances). When the verb $p \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ is followed by $\eta$ a, however, it seems that only items which one does not expect to discard or reject can function as objects.

Elicited
(79). $\quad k^{h} u i^{55} \quad d z O^{11} s a^{53} / k^{h} 9^{55} \quad p \mathfrak{x}^{53} \quad d z i$ i?

3SERG garbage/3SABS discard OTHR
'He threw away the garbage/He rejected him'
(80).

```
*khui }\mp@subsup{}{}{h5}\mathrm{ dzo }\mp@subsup{}{}{11}s\mp@subsup{a}{}{53}/\mp@subsup{k}{}{h}\mp@subsup{a}{}{55} p\mp@subsup{æ}{}{53}\quad\mathrm{ ya dzi?
3SERG garbage/3SABS discard SEND OTHR
*'He threw out the garbage/rejected him'
```

In example (79), arguments such as 'garbage' or 'him' are expected arguments to cooccur with the verb $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ 'to throw out' or 'to reject' and cannot co-occur in clauses with the secondary verb ga as shown in (80).

However, throwing away something new is not expected and can occur as a P argument with the secondary verb $\eta a$ as in (81).

Elicited1369

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353}$ | $s e^{55} p a^{53}$ | $p \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ | $\eta a$ | $d z i ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | this | new.thing | discard | SEND | OTHR |

'He threw away this new thing'

Similarly, when someone eats something that is expected, such as rice or meat, the verb 'to eat' cannot be followed by $\eta$. However, if someone were to express eating something unexpected, e.g., a bone or a bug, then na can optionally follow the verb.

This function as 'mirative' can be extended for pragmatic purposes such as contrastive focus.

WormGrass 076

| $a^{55} n i^{11}$ | $=j i$ | dakoda | shi | er | $k^{h} u a i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| grandfather | $=$ ERG | hugeCH | tenCH | twoCH | dollarCH |

$p^{h} \partial-\quad t s \tilde{u}^{53}$ да $\quad d z i \geqslant \quad a^{55} m b a^{53}$
thither sell SEND OTHR MUT
'Grandfather sold (a caterpillar fungus) for 12 Chinese dollars, right?'

The sentence in (82) represents the sixth time the narrator uses the verb $t s \tilde{u}^{53}$ 'sell' in the surrounding text, but the first time it co-occurs with $\eta$ a. The typical price for the biggest caterpillar fungus in the year they were discussing was about eight Chinese dollars. So the speaker was extolling grandfather's ability to get the best price.

Certain unintentional events, particularly bodily functions, nearly always occur with ya regardless of the volitionality of the actor.

Elicited472
ja $a^{13}$ ju ${ }^{13}$ ja sõ
1SABS cough SEND EGO.REAL
'I coughed'

Elicited473

| $k^{h} 9^{55}$ | $d z i^{11} b a^{55}$ | $j e^{13}$ | $\eta a$ | $t^{h} i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SABS | sneeze | VBZR.DO | SEND | VIS.PFV |
| 'S/he sneezed' |  |  |  |  |

Elicited475
$\eta a^{13} \quad d z i^{11} b a^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad \eta a \quad j i$
1SABS sneeze VBZR.DO SEND COP.SELF.PST
'I sneezed on purpose'

Closely related to these verbs regarding bodily functions, ya also can co-occur with verbs which express an event which the subject did not intentionally perform as in (85) or could not have intentionally predicted as in (86).

Elicited1265
$m_{0} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{11} b a^{55}=j i \quad \eta e^{13}=g \tilde{o} \quad k^{h} \partial o^{53} \quad d \nsucceq o^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$
doctor =ERG 1SGEN =OBJ shot VBZR REN
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad w a^{53} \quad \eta a \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad$ no
1SERG cry.out NGA PFV VIS.IPFV
'when the doctor gave me a shot, I cried out'

Elicited 1338
(86).

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} d ə^{353}$ | $d u^{353}$ | $z ə-$ | $c a^{53}$ | $\eta a$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG now this | rock | up | lift | SEND | COP.SELF |  |  |
| 'I lifted this rock ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

My tentative analysis from the foregoing data is that, when ga occurs with
intransitive or non-control verbs, it indicates that they are eventive and visible.

### 9.2.1.1.5 ra'obtain'

The full verb $r a^{13}<$ rag> is a non-control verb meaning 'to obtain'.

[^123]Elicited374: ra ${ }^{13}$ as full verb 'obtain'
$\epsilon i^{55} \quad m b ə^{353} \quad t \varphi i^{53} \quad g \tilde{o} \quad=j æ \quad \eta u u^{53}$
2ERG bug pound full =DAT money
$\mathrm{ka}^{11} t s i^{55} \quad \mathrm{ra}{ }^{13} \quad$ sõ
how.much obtain EGO
'How much did you get for a pound of caterpillar fungus?'

When functioning as a secondary verb, ra serves to change a state into an
 adjective.

Elicited735
(88). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{33} \quad t^{h} \partial u u^{13} \quad \tilde{1}^{11} m \partial^{55}$ re
this rope long COP.OTHR
'this rope is long'

When the secondary verb ra is added to an attributive clause, the clause
becomes eventive.

RabbitA042
$1 \partial^{11} p \partial^{55} p^{h} \partial \quad t 6^{h} \tilde{O}^{13} \quad$ ra $\quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} \quad$-nə ro
body thither short RA REN -NZR TOP
'... the one whose body was just shortened,'

When ra follows the attributive clause as in (89) above, it becomes eventive. This same process can be seen in the following clause.

WormGrass 106
(90).
$w \partial^{55} t^{11}$ dakoda $=g \tilde{o} \quad a^{11} k^{h} u^{55} \quad$ nul ${ }^{53} \quad n a^{11} \mathrm{mbi}^{55}$
that hugeCH =OBJ 1EXCL two much
$\varphi \tilde{a}^{55} t \tilde{a} \quad j e^{13} \quad \underline{r a} \quad S \tilde{o}$
be.cheatedCH VBZR RA EGO
'The two of us were really taken in (by that guy) in (the selling of) the big caterpillar fungus'

Example (90) is taken from a conversation among three men who are discussing the caterpillar fungus market. The verb in this example is interesting. One component is an intransitive verb from Mandarin shangldang4 'to be cheated' and one component is the Dongwang verbalizer $j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ 'to do'.
ra can also function over a whole clause, as the following examples show.

Prod012
(91). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad t c t i^{53} \quad l a \quad j e^{13} \quad=j i \quad$ re $\quad$ ra $d z i ?$

3SDAT one even EX.SELF.NEG =GEN COP.OTHR RA OTHR
'He became penniless' (literally 'he became without one (thing)'

In (91), the stative clause 'He was penniless' becomes an eventive clause 'He became penniless ${ }^{\prime}$ with the addition of ra.

HeartAttack163
(92).

| $\begin{aligned} & t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \\ & \text { then } \end{aligned}$ | $d i^{13}$ <br> there? | $t s^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} e^{53}$ <br> suddenly |  | $\begin{array}{ll} n i^{13} & p^{h} a^{53} \\ \text { heart } & \text { beat } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| z2- | $n e^{13}$ | re | $\underline{\text { ra }}$ | $w e^{55}$ |  |  |
| up | EX.NEG | COP.OTHR | RA | HS |  |  |

'Then they say (my) heart suddenly stopped beating there, right'

In (92), the stative clause without ra would mean 'My heart wasn't beating'. As an event, it is 'My heart became not beating'. A similar example occurs a little later in the same text when the narrator passes out. Rather than be passed out, ra expresses the event of becoming unconscious.

HeartAttack211

| $t c^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} e^{53}$ | $s e^{53}$ | $t c i$ | ${ }^{n} g u^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55}$ | $p^{h} \partial$ | $k^{h} u æ^{53}$ | $r a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hour | half | INDF | head.mind? | thither | VBZR.spin | RA | '(I) became unconscious for a half hour'

### 9.2.1.2 Politeness marker ru 'to help'

The politeness marker $r u$ has arisen from the verb $r u^{13}<$ rogs $>$ 'to help'. When used as a verb it is followed by the verbalizer $j \mathrm{e}^{13}\left(<\right.$ byed $>$ 'to do') or $z u^{13}(<$ bzo $>$ 'to make'). As a secondary verb denotes politeness in direct address clauses.

Elicited
$k^{h} 9^{55}$ ts ${ }^{h}$ д- mbe ${ }^{353}$ ru
3s hither call POL
'Please call him/her over'

### 9.2.1.3 Directionals

When certain verbs of motion function as auxiliaries, their structure is distinct from complex clauses in syntactic structure and semantic content. In secondary verb
constructions, no subordinating or connecting morphology, adverbials, or pauses can intervene between the two verbs without resulting in a meaning difference.

### 9.2.1.3.1 "dzu 'go'

The secondary verb ${ }^{n} d z u<$ 'gro> 'go' can mean that the action is directed away
from the speaker or referent, express past tense, or convey a negative sense, loss of control or an unwanted condition or state.

## RabbitB0141

(95)
$\begin{array}{llll}s^{h} a^{53} & =n o & { }^{n} d z u u^{53} & { }^{n} d z u \\ \text { ground } & =\text { LOC } & \text { dive } & \text { GO }\end{array}$

When the verb 'to go' is used as a secondary verb, it generally conveys a
negative sense, loss of control, or an unwanted state.

GetMar047
(96). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad b a^{353} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s^{53} \quad \stackrel{n}{n d z u} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$
then father thither die GO REN
'So when father died',

MyLife290
$\begin{array}{lllll}\tilde{x}^{13} & g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53} & \text { re } & { }^{n} d z u & n i \\ \text { now } & \text { old } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { GO } & \text { NI }\end{array}$
'Now (I) have become old,'
KillaPig079

[^124]
## Elicited553

(99).

| $r a^{13}$ | $t q i$ | $n \tilde{o}^{13}$ | $d z u$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | $n \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| goat | INDF | few.COMP | go | PFV | VIS.IPFV |

'(There is) one less goat' (='I am missing a goat')

### 9.2.1.3.2 wũ 'come'

The secondary verb $w \tilde{u}<$ 'ong $>$ 'come' occurs much more frequently than the secondary verb "dzuu 'go'; its functions include direction towards the speaker or referent and notion of future.

Direction towards the referent can be literal or metaphorical.

HeartAttack135/136
(100).
$j \boldsymbol{\theta}^{13} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad=t s^{h} \tilde{x}^{55} \quad$ la $\quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \quad d z i ?$
people $=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{PL}$ also see OTHR
mbə- $p^{h} ə o^{53}$ w $\tilde{u}$
down run COME
'People saw (us fighting). (They) came running down'

MyLife 195
(101).

| $t \tilde{æ}^{13}$ | ro | jiating | kunnan | i $^{13}$ | $a^{53}-$ | Wũ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | TOP | householdCH | difficultyCH | appear | QST | COME |

'Then, difficulties had come to our house'
$w u ̃$ has acquired other grammatical meanings in addition to marking proximal
motion. In the same way that ${ }^{n} d z u$ conveys 'past' notions, wũ conveys 'future' notions.

These are usually non-controllable events that the speaker estimates are likely to happen.

Elicited779
(102).
$\begin{array}{lll}\eta a^{13} & s 9^{53} & \underline{w u} \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { die } & \text { COME }\end{array}$
'I will die'

KillPig093
(103). $\mathrm{pa}^{13} \quad=j æ \quad$ pi ${ }^{55} \quad s \tilde{a}^{13} \quad \underline{w}$
cows = DAT calf birthe COME
'Calves will be born to cows'

Elicited775
(104). $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ui}^{55} \mathrm{na}^{53} \quad-\mathrm{ki} \quad \partial^{55} \mathrm{k}^{h} u^{53}=j i \quad k e^{55} t \epsilon^{h} a^{53} \quad s e^{13} \quad k e^{53} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad$ w $\tilde{u}$

3PLABS -PL 1PL.EX =GEN speech talk sound hear COME
'They will hear us talking'

Elicited925
(105).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
a^{11} \tilde{1}^{55} & =j æ & a^{11} k^{h} u^{55} & =k \tilde{i} & t 6^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} \partial o^{53} & s \tilde{u}^{53} & =g \tilde{o} \\
\text { today } & =\mathrm{DAT} & 1 \mathrm{EXCL} & =\mathrm{PL} & \text { o'clock } & \text { three } & =\text { OBJ }
\end{array}
$$

In many cases, the notion of 'future' also blends into a validational notion
expressing a speaker's near certainty of an event. This function is discussed in $\S 10.5$.
Both verbs ${ }^{n} d \not \subset u$ 'go' and wũ 'come' can be used in constructions that express
an increasing degree of some quality (described in § 3.2.4.1). Generally, the
collocation of zə- 'up' with wũ 'come' suggests a positive connotation while the collocation of mbə- 'down' with ${ }^{n} d z u$ 'go' suggests a negative connotation.

Elicited559
(106).

| $c e^{55}$ | $m b ə-$ | $j i$ | $m b ə-$ | $s \tilde{x}^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} d z u$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | $n \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SABS | down | PTCL | down | thin | go | PFV | VIS.IPFV | 'You are getting thinner and thinner'

Elicited557

| $c e^{55}$ | $z ə-$ | $j i$ | $z 0-$ | $z a^{53}$ | $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | $n \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2sABS | up | PTCL | up | fat | come | PFV | VIS.IPFV |

'You are becoming fatter and fatter'

The positive connotation of 'becoming fat' as opposed to the negative connotation of 'growing thin' is rooted in an underlying cultural notion that fat people are wealthy and do not go hungry. Although this is changing on one level as women in the city try to squeeze into tight jeans, it is still very active in the village areas.

### 9.2.1.4 Modals

Many secondary verbs have a clear etymological relationship to full verbs
still in use. It is not surprising then, that the status of secondary verbs will sometimes be indeterminate. Most secondary modal verbs began as complementtaking predicates in a serial verb construction and later developed extended grammatical functions. The table below lists the secondary verbs and their functions as modals, the WT etymology and the abbreviation used in this dissertation.

| V 2 | MoDAL FUNCTION | WT | ABBREVIATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s i$ | cognitive ability | $<$ shes > 'to know (how) to' | KNOW |
| $g u i$ | deontic | $<$ dgos> 'to want/need to' | NEED |
| $\varsigma a^{53}$ | physical ability | $<?>$ 'to be able to' | ABLE |
| $p u$ |  | $<$ phod> 'to dare to' | DARE |
| $j \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13}$ | suitable time | $<$ long> 'time to' | TIME |
| $s \mathscr{Z}$ | loss of control | <shor> 'to emit' | EMIT |
| $\zeta i$ | malefactive | $<?>$ | MAL |
| $t^{h} \partial o$ | ability | $<$ thub> 'to be able to' | ABLE |
| $s \tilde{a}^{53}$ | emotion | $<$ bsam> 'to think' | THINK |

Table 25: SECONDARY MODAL VERBS IN DONGWANG

Each of the forms in Table 25 are discussed in the following section.
9.2.1.4.1 $s i^{53}$ 'know how to', 'able'

When the full verb $s i^{53}$ occurs in a transitive clause it is as a complement-
taking predicate which means 'to know how to'.

Elicited269
(108)
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t a^{53} \quad c a^{53} \quad s i^{53} \quad d z i ? ~ m a-\quad$ re 3SERG horse ride know.how OTHR NEG COP.OTHR 'S/he doesn't know how to ride a horse'

MyLife217
(109). ${ }^{n} g u^{353}{\underset{o}{o}} æ^{33} \quad t e^{53} s_{i} i^{53}$
head medicine give know.how
'I know how to prescribe medicine'
(108) and (109) are examples of $s i^{53}$ in its use as a full verb.

As a modal verb, one function of $s i$ is to accompany an internal state.

MyLife080
(110). $1 o^{55} S 9^{11} \quad a^{11} d \not \subset \tilde{o}^{53}$ tçi dãa ${ }^{353}$ la $d \tilde{a}^{353}$ si teacher really INDF like also like KNOW '(I) really liked (my) teacher'

In (110), si accompanies the verb 'to like' which expresses an internal state of liking.

Similarly, the following example accompanies the emotional state of 'to fear'.

Elicited851
(111).
$\begin{array}{lllll}n a^{13} & t u^{53} & =d u & t s^{53} & s i \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { hungry } & =\text { DU } & \text { afraid } & \text { KNOW }\end{array}$
'I am afraid of being hungry'
9.2.1.4.2 gui 'ought to', 'need to'

As a full verb gui ${ }^{353}$ means 'to want'.
(112).
na ${ }^{13}$ guãa ${ }^{353}$ gui $i^{353}$ ni $\quad \eta a^{13}$ sa $a^{13} \quad n u^{13} j i$ 1SDAT egg want NI 1s chicken buy COP.SELF.PST
'I wanted eggs so I bought a chicken' (wanting eggs, I bought a chicken)

The secondary verb gui $(\sim g u)$ has a range of deontic meanings.

GetMar033
(113).

| $n a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}$ | ${ }^{n} d z u u^{13}$ | gui | $d z i ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bride | go | NEED | OTHR |
| (You) should go be a bride' |  |  |  |

Example (113) occurs when the narrator returns to her home village after failing her middle school exams and her friends and family are advising her to accept the offer of marriage her parents have arranged.

HeartAttack
(114). $k^{h} u i^{55} n a^{53} s i^{53}$ gui $n e^{13}$ se

3SERG swear NEED EX.NEG say
'he said 'I don't need to swear',

MyLife276

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\text { no }{ }^{13} & k u & =t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} & =j i & k^{h} \partial o^{53} & t ¢ i & d \not \subset o^{53} & \text { gui } & \text { rax }  \tag{115}\\
\text { person } & \text { CLF } \quad=\mathrm{PL} & =\text { ERG } & \text { shot } & \text { INDF } & \text { VBZR } & \text { NEED } & \text { REN } \\
\text { 'when people need a shot' } & & & & & &
\end{array}
$$

In (114) the narrator is arguing over who stole the water and wants her opponent to swear that he did not do it. He responds that he does not need to swear. The clause in (115) is similar, but rather than an obligation there is a physical need.

### 9.2.1.4.3 $\mathrm{ca}^{53}$ 'able to', 'can'

While si usually expresses cognitive ability or a mental state, $\epsilon a^{53}$ usually expresses physical ability to perform an action. These are very close to the Chinese modals 会 (hui4 'know how to') and 能 (neng2 'able to').

Hardship063
(116).

| shihui | Z2- | $t S^{h} Y^{53}$ | $c a^{53}$ | $d z i ?$ | ma- | re |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| limestoneCH | up | cook | ABLE | OTHR | NEG- |  |

'(we) could not cook the limestone'

## Elicited 1337

(117). $7 \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \partial^{33} \quad d u^{353} \quad c a^{53} \quad m a-\quad c a^{53}$

1SERG this rock lift NEG ABLE
'I can't lift this rock'

MyLife215
(118) $\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathfrak{X}^{13} \quad t e^{53} \quad c a^{53} \quad j i$
medicine give ABLE COP.SELF.PST
'I could give medicine'
9.2.1.4.4 $\mathrm{pu}^{53}$ 'dare to'

The secondary verb $p u^{53}$ means 'to dare to'. There are only negative occurrences in my database.

Elicited1390
(119). $\eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ ma- $p u^{53}$

1SABS go NEG DARE
'I don't dare go'

Elicited1391
(120). $k^{h} 2^{55}{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \mathrm{pu}^{53}$ dziq ma- re

3SABS go DARE OTHR NEG COP.OTHR
'He doesn't dare go'
9.2.1.4.5 j$\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ have time to ${ }^{\prime}$

The secondary verb $j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ expresses the ability of an S or A argument to perform an action relative to his or her available resources. 'Resources' generally refers to time, but can also include money or some other extenuating circumstance.

Elicited1394
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { na } a^{13} & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & \text { tsi } & \text { ma- } & j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { go } & \text { PROSP } & \text { NEG } & \text { TIME } \\ \text { 'I wasn't able to } g o^{\prime}\end{array}$

Example (121) is not explicit as to the reason for not being able to go, but refers to a lack of time or resources.

Youth007
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & s u^{11} d z a^{55} & l a & t c^{h} a^{53} & t s i & m a- & j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \\ \text { 1SERG } & \text { breakfast } & \text { even } & \text { eat } & \text { IMPF } & \text { NEG } & \text { TIME }\end{array}$
'I wasn't able to eat breakfast' (=did not have time to)

Example (122) is taken from a text in which the speaker is relating his experiences gathering brush as a child. They were so busy that they had to rise before dawn and did not have time to eat breakfast.

As with the causative and permissive constructions, in clauses with firstperson S or A arguments, final auxiliaries are OTHER forms, not SELF forms. This is not surprising as the pattern is like that in clauses with non-control verbs.

Elicited946
(123). $s a^{11} j i^{55} \quad$ クa $a^{13} \quad t s i^{11} W a^{55} \quad t c i^{53} \quad z e$
tomorrow 1SDAT business INDF EX.INAN.SELF
$\epsilon I^{55} n i^{53}=t s a{ }^{n} d q \_u^{13}$ tsi j$\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ dzi? ma- re
2PL =DAT go PROSP TIME OTHR NEG COP.OTHR
'Tomorrow I have something to do (so) I can't go to your house'.

In the example above, the unexpressed $S$ argument of the second clause is firstperson, but the secondary verb forms are not SELF, but OTHER. This is in line with the expectations of SELF/OTHER patterns in that $j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ suggests the subject, due to factors beyond her control, is unable to go to the addressee's house.
9.2.1.4.6 $s \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to let escape', 'to emit'

The secondary verb $s \mathscr{X}^{53}$ indicates that the speaker is unable to control whatever event is described in the proposition.

Elicited
(124). $\eta e^{13} \quad \varphi 2^{53} d \tilde{o}^{353}\left\langle\mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad t^{h} i\right.$

1SERG dog hit EMIT EVI
'I hit the dog'

Elicited
(125).
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { ce } e^{55} & { }^{n} d q u u^{353} & { }^{n} d q u & r \tilde{x}^{55} & \eta a^{13} & g a^{13} & S \mathfrak{X}^{53} & s i & { }_{0} \tilde{0} \\ 2 \mathrm{o} & \text { fall } & \text { GO } & \text { REN } & 1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { laugh } & \text { EMIT } & \text { KNOW } & \text { VIS.IPFV } \\ \text { 'When you fell down, I burst out laughing' }\end{array}$

One possible context for the example in (124) would be if the speaker had become so angry that she was out of control and hit the dog. The sentence in (125) is similar in that the speaker is unable to control his laughter.

### 9.2.1.4.7 malefactive $¢ \boldsymbol{\sim} \sim \boldsymbol{\propto} \boldsymbol{X}$

The malefactive $\varphi i$ denotes an event or state that has negative connotations or ramification for the subject.

HeartAttack 146, 147
(126).

| $g e^{11} z \tilde{o}^{53}$ | $d z a^{11} b a^{55}$ | me | rõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gezong | Drapa | COP.SELF.NEG | COND | 'If Gezang Drapa was not there',


| $w u^{11} d i i^{55}$ | $s e^{53}$ | $c i$ | $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | $s \tilde{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| there | kill | MAL | INGR | EGO | '(he) nearly had killed me there' (=he would have killed me)

The narrator of (126) is relating the moment after she had been beaten and laying on the ground. As she is laying on the ground, her attacker is picking up a boulder to hit her with. The text does not say that Gezong Drapa prevented her attacker from hitting her with the boulder, but (126) implies that is what would have happened if Gezong Drapa had not prevented it in some way.

GetMar019

(127) $k^{h} \partial^{55} j a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{\imath} \quad w \partial^{55} t s^{h}{ }^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad \varphi i \quad r \tilde{æ}^{55}$

3PL.FAM $=$ PL like.that VBZ.DO MAL REN
'when they did like that'.

In (127) above, the narrator uses the malefactive $c i$ to express that what 'they' did had a negative consequence for her. The pressure from her family and friends to get married adversely affected her to the extent that she eventually failed her exams. The malefactive can be used with stative verbs as well as eventive verbs.

Elicited
(128). ${ }^{n} d \partial^{353} t^{h} \partial u i^{13} \quad \tilde{1}^{13}$ ci $n_{0} \tilde{o}$
this rope long MAL EVI
'This rope is too long'

The form $\epsilon \mathfrak{Z}$ seems to be a variant of $\varphi i$ used only in irrealis or future
contexts.

Elicited1053
(129).

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
d z 0^{11} b a^{55} & z 0- & j \tilde{o}^{13} & \text { re } & c e^{55} & s 0 o^{53} & \text { re } & \epsilon æ \\
\text { quick } & \text { up } & \text { rise } & \text { cop.OTHR } & \text { 2SABS } & \text { late } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { MAL } \\
\text { 'Hurry and get up. (You) are going to be late' }
\end{array}
$$

Elicited776
(130). 3PL =PL 1EXCL DU =ERG speech talk.sound
$t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad € \mathfrak{X}$
hear MAL
'They will hear our talk' (we don't want them to)

### 9.2.1.4.8 $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ 'able to'

I have a few examples of $t^{h} u$ in elicited data from a few speakers, but I suspect that this is only due to influence from exposure to other dialects. Until further research is done, these examples should be considered tentative.

Elicited1225
$\eta e^{13} \quad j e^{13} \quad t^{h} u$
1sERG do ABLE
'I can do it'

Since the modal $c a^{53}(\S 9.2 .5 .3 .3)$ expresses the same semantic range that $t^{h} u$
seems to, I suspect that $t^{h} u$ is a result of influence from other dialects but more work will have to be done to confirm this.

### 9.2.1.4.9 $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'to think'

As a full verb, $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ is a non-control verb which means 'to think'.

Elicited
$\eta e^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial o^{53} \quad t \not o^{53}$ rõ $\quad d \not \subset o^{11} b a^{55} \quad t s a^{13} \quad a \quad w \tilde{u} \quad s^{h a} \tilde{a}^{53}$ sõ 1SERG shot VBZR COND quickly recover QST COME think EGO 'I thought if I get a shot I would recover quickly'

As a secondary verb, $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ can also indicate that the subject wants to, or intends to perform an action.

Elicited
(133).
$k^{h} u i^{55}$ la tõ ${ }^{55} w a \tilde{a}^{53} \quad k e^{53} \quad z \tilde{o}^{13}$ gui $s^{h \tilde{a}}$
3SERG also Dongwang speech study NEED THINK
'He also wants to study Dongwang'

An even more general function of $s^{h \tilde{a}}$ is when it functions to indicate an
internal emotion.

GoodSam021
$k^{h} 2^{55} \quad l a^{55} m o^{53} t \varphi \tilde{x}^{13} \quad s^{h a ̃}$
3 s compassion THINK
'He felt compassion (for him)'

### 9.2.1.5 Aspect

Aspect in Dongwang is distributed throughout the clause in directional prefixes and verbs (§9.1.1 and §9.2.5.2), final auxiliary verbs (Chapter Ten) and in secondary verbs which primarily code aspect. In Chapter Ten I will discuss final auxiliary verbs which code a variety of categories, one of which is tense/aspect distinctions.

Recall from §4.1.4 that there are very few verbs which express any sort of tense or aspect in the stem. In this section, I discuss secondary verbs which have the primary function of coding aspect. These are given in the table below.

| V2 | ASPECT | WT | ABBREVIATION |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tsi | prospective | $<$ rtsis> 'to count' | PROSP |
| ts $^{\mathrm{h} a}$ | durative | $<?>$ |  |
| de | continuative | <bsdad> 'to stay' | CONT |
| rã | imminent | <ran> 'time to' | IMM |
| $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | perfective | <thon> 'to emerge' | PFV |
| pə | narrative past | <pa> 'man' | HIST |

Table 26: SECONDARY ASPECTUAL VERBS IN DONGWANG

The aspect markers in Table 26 are all verbal suffixes in a verb phrase, following any causative, directional, or secondary modal verbs that might be present. Each of these are discussed in the section below.

### 9.2.1.5.1 Prospective tsi

Clauses with prospective aspect in Dongwang denote actions that the subject is expressing a strong intention to perform. Because of the link with intention, most of the examples in my database are future events.

Elicited
(135).
$\begin{array}{lllll}k^{h} \partial^{55} & \text { sa }^{11} j i^{55} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & t s i & \text { re } \\ 3 \mathrm{~S} & \text { tomorrow } & \text { come } & \text { PROSP } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\ \text { 'She is coming tomorrow' }\end{array}$

Elicited
 1SERG 3s KunmingCH go PROSP COP.OTHR hear EGO 'I heard he was going to Kunming'

In affirmative clauses with first-person S or A arguments, the prospective aspect marker $t s i$ rarely occurs with the final SELF auxiliary zĩ. This might be because
$z \tilde{1}$ already implies that the first-person speaker is intending to perform an action.

When it does occur with zĩ, the two often merge into one morpheme $t s \tilde{i}$.

HeartAttack148
(137).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}d z a^{11} b a^{55} & =j i & c i^{55} & s \boldsymbol{a}^{55} & =g \tilde{o} & d z ə o^{53} & t \text { tsíl} & \text { sə } \\ \text { Drapa } & =\text { ERG } & \text { 2sERG } & \text { who } & =\text { OBJ } & \text { hit } & \text { COP.SELF.PROSP } & \text { say } \\ \text { 'Drapa said 'Who are you going to hit?' } & & & & \end{array}$

In negated clauses with first-person S or A arguments and all clauses with third-person subjects, the prospective marker is separate from the final auxiliary.

GetMar036
(138). ta $\tilde{\mathfrak{e}}^{13} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ ro $\eta a^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad$ tsi $\quad \mathrm{me}^{13} \quad \widetilde{1}^{13}$
then 1SERG TOP 1SABS go PROSP COP.SELF.NEG Say.PFV
'Then I said, 'I am not going to go"
Elicited587
(139)
$\begin{array}{lllll}k^{h} 2^{55} & z^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53} & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & \underline{t s i} & \text { re } \\ \text { 3sABS } & \text { rGyalthang } & \text { go } & \text { PROS } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\ \text { 'S/he is going to rGyalthang' }\end{array}$

### 9.2.1.5.2 Continuative de

The continuative de expresses an action that is ongoing at the time of utterance or at the time referenced in the discourse.

GetMar011
(140). $t \tilde{æ}^{13} \quad w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} \quad z i^{11} r \partial^{55} \quad z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad$ rə then that time ADVERS again 1SABS TOP
$z i^{13} \quad g a^{13}$ de si
book like CONT KNOW
'Then but at that time, I still liked studying'

KillPig016
(141).

| $t \tilde{\mathrm{~T}}^{13}$ | $t \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}$ | $\varsigma ə$ | $w \partial^{55 n} d z i i^{53}$ | $t \epsilon a^{53}$ | $=k i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | that.morning | RI | 1PLFAM | wire | $=$ INSTR |

$k a^{13}$ de $a^{55} n a^{53}$
garrotte CONT MUT
'So that morning we were using a wire to strangle (the pig) right?'

RabbitA005
$t \tilde{æ}^{13} \quad$ ri ${ }^{11} g \tilde{o}^{55}$ rə $p \tilde{u}^{53} \quad=n ə \quad d e^{353}$ de dzi? PTCL rabbit TOP grassland =LOC live CONT OTHR 'The rabbit was living on the grassland'

### 9.2.1.5.3 Imminent $r \mathfrak{\not x}$

The imminent ${ }^{10}$ marker rã indicates an event that the speaker anticipates is on the verge of starting. The reference point can be the time of utterance or a relative reference point in the text.

Elicited1003
(143). $\left.t_{6}{ }^{h} a^{11} W a^{55} p u\right|^{13}$ rã$\quad t^{h}{ }_{i}$ rain fall IMM VIS.PFV 'It's about to rain'
${ }^{10}$ Denwood (1999: 163) uses the term 'imminent' to refer to the marker <grabs> in Lhasa Tibetan which serves a similar function.

Elicited1002
(144).

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $s \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13}$ | $t t^{h} a^{53}$ | $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | $t^{h} i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | food | eat | IMM | VIS.PFV |

'S/he is about to eat'

The condition in which (143) would be uttered would be if the sky was dark with clouds, or even if a few sprinkles had already started to fall. (144) would be said if the speaker sees a person sitting down at a table or setting the table.
$r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ also frequently functions as a converb (discussed in Chapter Twelve).

These two functions are distributionally distinct in that when rã functions aspectually, it occurs before any final auxiliary markers and is atonal. When it functions as a converb, only the topic marker can follow it and it is a high-toned particle.

### 9.2.1.5.4 Durative ts ${ }^{h}$ a

The durative expresses an action that the referent of an S or A argument 'keeps on' doing. This is similar to the continuative in that it indicates an action ongoing at the time of utterance or at the time referenced in the discourse. But all the uses of $t s^{h} a$ in my database indicate that there is a force involved that may be better translated as 'persist'.

HeartAttack136
(145).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
t s \tilde{o}^{13} t a^{55} & =n ə & \text { mbo } & \text { rə } & \text { pi: }^{55} m \partial^{11} & \text { te }{ }^{13} & {t s^{h}}^{\prime} \\
\text { chest } & \text { = LOC down } & \text { TOP } & \text { knee } & \text { lean } & \text { DUR } \\
\text { 'He kept on leaning on my chest with his knees' }
\end{array}
$$

(146).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta e^{13} & p^{h} \partial- & g u u^{13} & t s^{h} a & j i & d z u-- \\
\text { 1SERG } & \text { thither } & \text { endure } & \text { DUR } & \text { COP.SELF.PST } & \text { actually-- } \\
\text { 'I just keep enduring actually--' }
\end{array}
$$

In (145) the speaker is unable to breathe, but her attacker continues to lean on her chest with his knees. The clause in (146) is uttered by the narrator after her husband suggests that it is really her fault that their neighbor beat her up. The narrator is determined to endure even though her husband misunderstands who she is.

Elicited
(147). $k^{h} u i^{55}$
na ${ }^{13} \quad t c^{h} a^{55} k^{h} u i^{53} \quad j o^{53}$ ma- te $e^{53}$ bi ji 3SERG 1SDAT water.boiled pour NEG GIVE CONC
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t i^{13} \quad s \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t s^{h}{ }^{13} \quad j i$
1SERG there lift.up DUR COP.SELF.PST
'Because he didn't pour tea for me, I was left there holding up my cup'

### 9.2.1.5.5 Perfective $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$

The secondary verb $t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}$ conveys aspect that is complete at the reference time.

Perfective aspect denotes 'a complete situation with beginning, middle, and end' (Comrie 1976: 18) that can be viewed as a whole. It can indicate events completed in the past or non-past.

Elicited
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \varphi \tilde{a}^{55} b \tilde{a}^{55} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
1SERG workCH VBZR PFV REN

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j i^{11}{ }_{c i} i^{55}=t s \rho \quad t a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z_{\imath} u^{13} \quad z \tilde{1} \\
& \text { Yishi =ALL look go COP.SELF } \\
& \text { 'After I finish work I am going to see Yishi' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Elicited
$\eta e^{13} \quad \epsilon \tilde{a}^{55} b \tilde{a}^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad r \tilde{æ}^{55}$
1SERG workCH VBZR PFV REN
$j i^{11}{ }_{6 i}{ }^{55}=t s \boldsymbol{s} \quad t a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad j i$
Yishi =ALL look go COP.SELF.PST
'After I finished work I went to see Yishi'

It is common that the perfective aspect marker occurs in sequential and
resumptive clauses.

Hardship030/031
(150). $k^{h} \partial^{11} \boldsymbol{\rho} \partial^{55} t s \partial o^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{x}} \quad r \tilde{æ}^{55}$

Kharatso go PFV REN
$d e^{353}-s a \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad z u^{13} \quad \cdots \quad$ nui $^{13} \quad t \epsilon i^{53}=j æ$
stay -NZR thither rentCH ... day one =DAT
'After going to Kharatso, (I) rented a place to stay... for one day'

Elicited
(151).
 then cat.fungus collect PFV REN 2SERG what VBZR COP.SELF 'After you finish collecting caterpillar fungus, what are you going to do?'

Both sentences in (150) and (151) describe sequential events. The sentence in (150) is set in the past and the sentence in (151) is set in the future.

GetMar058

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & s u^{55} \tilde{r}^{53} & b a^{353} & s e^{53} & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} & r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} & \text { rə }  \tag{152}\\
\text { then finally } & \text { father } & \text { die } & \text { PFV } & \text { REN } & \text { TOP } \\
\text { then finally when father died', } & & &
\end{array}
$$

Example (152) occurs after a time when the narrator's dying father was telling her his last words. When the narrator's father dies, her mother begins telling her that she must get married.

The use of the perfective secondary verb in resumptive clauses can be clearly seen in procedural texts in which one instruction follows the completion of a previous instruction.

Butter\&cheese004-007

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}$ | $n \tilde{u}^{13}$ | $p^{h} \partial-$ | $s_{i}^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | churn | hither | rinse |

$n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p^{h}{ }_{\partial}-\quad s i^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$
churn hither rinse PFV REN
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}t \tilde{æ}^{13} & s \tilde{o}^{13} & n a^{13} & w \tilde{o}^{13} & j o^{53} & \text { ra } & z e & \text {-nə } & \text { rə } \\ \text { then } & \text { big.pot } & \text { inside } & \text { milk } & \text { pour } & \text { RA } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF } & \text {-NZR } & \text { TOP }\end{array}$
$n \tilde{u}^{13}=n \partial \quad z ə-j o^{53}$
churn =LOC up pour
'Then rinse out the churn. After rinsing out the churn, then take the milk that has been poured into the big pot and pour it into the churn'.

The narrator makes extensive use of this function in the text Butter \& Cheese ${ }^{11}$ in which nearly every step of instructions follows a resumptive clause.

Sometimes the perfective can occur in irrealis clauses as in the following example.

[^125]HeartAttack074
(154).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { ma }^{13} & g e^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55} & =j i & k \tilde{o}^{11} p^{h} a^{53} & s_{1}{ }^{53} & { }^{n} d q u & \text { a } & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \\ \text { mother } & \text { Gyelsang } & =\text { GEN } & \text { behind } & \text { arrive } & \text { go } & \text { QST } & \text { PFV } \\ \text { 'will I make it behind Mother Gyelsang?' } & & & & \end{array}$

In the example above, the narrator is running away from a man who is trying to beat her up. As she is running, she wonders if she can get behind Mother Gyelsang before the man catches up to her.

### 9.2.1.5.6 Historical past pə

The marker $p{ }^{55}$ expresses past tense in narrative texts. All the occurrences that I have in my texts are followed by the SELF auxiliary zĩ. I have glossed HIST for 'historical past'.

Hardship107
... $\quad t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53} \quad r i^{11} r i^{55} \quad n i^{13} \quad p æ^{53} \quad$ gui $\quad p z^{55} \quad z \tilde{i}$
... night every fire burn NEED HIST COP.SELF
'Then every night (we) had to keep burning the fire'

Youth014
(156).
$z \mathfrak{Z}^{13} \quad t 6^{h} u^{53} \quad p \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \quad z \tilde{1}$
play PERM HIST COP.SELF
'(they) let us play'
MyLife060
(157).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
W \partial^{55} t 2^{11} & =j i & d i^{11} d i^{55} & \text { pe }^{13} & k u e^{13} & 12^{55} l e^{53} \\
\text { that.one } & =\text { ERG } & ? & & \text { Tibetan } & \text { clothes }
\end{array}
$$

```
s\tilde{x}}\mp@subsup{}{13}{ln
    wear DUR HIST COP.SELF
```

'That one always only wore Tibetan clothes'

At first glance it might appear that $p \boldsymbol{o}^{55}$ functions as a general past time marker as it does in other Tibetan dialects (from <pa>), but my main consultant says that one uses this marker only when telling a story. This supports my own intuitions and the data.

## Chapter 10 Final Auxiliary Verbs

This chapter describes final auxiliary verbs in Dongwang which can express distinctions that mark categories such as tense/aspect, control, intention, source of information and degree of certainty regarding the statement being made. Final auxiliaries can be grouped into five grammatical systems: SELF/OTHER, egophoric, experiential, evidential, and validational. It is important to stress that while each of these may have a primary grammatical function, they also likely have secondary functions, and/or they can combine with other categories to function in new ways. This is particularly germane to issues surrounding aspect, evidentiality and validationality.

Before continuing, it will be helpful to briefly discuss issues surrounding evidentiality and validationality. The term 'evidentiality' was probably first used by Boas ${ }^{1}$ as early as 1911 when he described a particular phenomena in Kwakiutl, a language spoken in North America. T.-S. Sun (1993: 945) credits Ramon Jacobson (1957) for using the phenomenon 'evidential' in an 'important treatise on Russian verbal categories'.

Since the mid-1980s, there has been growing interest in evidentiality (beginning with Chafe and Nichols 1986), but much confusion still surrounds the

[^126]notion, particularly how it should be defined, how it is expressed cross-linguistically, and how it interacts with other linguistic categories. Some have used the term 'evidentiality' to refer to a very specific grammatical phenomenon (e.g, Floyd 1993 for Quechua) while others have used the term to refer to a semantic category that can be expressed lexically, periphrastically, or grammatically (e.g., Chafe 1986 for English). Clearly, all languages have ways to express evidential categories, but not all languages have grammatical evidentiality.

Aikhenvald's cross-linguistic study of evidentiality carefully distinguishes evidentiality from validationality. She reserves the former term for categories that denote the speaker's source of information ${ }^{2}$ and the latter for categories which denote the speaker's attitude or degree of certainty regarding the proposition. Further, she distinguishes between grammatical evidentials, evidential strategies, and evidential extensions. It is particularly useful to adopt these distinctions for Dongwang in order to understand what resources speakers have at their disposal.

In this study, the term validationals is reserved for particles which indicate the speaker's degree of certainty or the particular attitude he or she has regarding the statement. The term evidentials is reserved for grammatical particles that indicate the speaker's source of information (i.e., hearsay, visual, inferential, etc.), or how the speaker came to know what he or she is saying.

[^127]Equally important, in many (or perhaps all) Tibetan dialects, speakers can use non-evidential categories to achieve evidential-like meanings. Thus, certain forms (e.g., $z \tilde{i}<y$ in $>$ and $z e<y o d>$ ) in the SELF/OTHER system have frequently been referred to in other dialects as evidential. This study prefers to consider the SELF/OTHER system to be separate from grammatical evidentials, but to be one which speakers can manipulate to achieve evidential-like meanings. Evidential-like categories are those that indicate the speaker's intimate knowledge, without explicitly stating the source of information (Aikhenvald 2004).

Sections 10.1, 10.2 and 10.3 discuss auxiliaries which are organized according to distributional patterns such as SELF/OTHER, EGO (egophoric) and EXP (experiential) forms. These auxiliaries have arisen from copula and existential verbs or lexical verbs. They can contribute notions such as person, evidentiality, tense/aspect, control and intention to the clause. While these are not primarily tense or aspect markers they do have tense and aspect distinctions as shown in the table below.

| FORM | TENSE/ASPECT | WT | ABBREVIATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zi <br> [tsi] re | future | $\begin{aligned} & <\text { yin }> \\ & <\text { rtsi red }> \end{aligned}$ | COP.SELF <br> PROSP COP.OTHR |
| ji | past | <yin>? | COP.SELF.PST |
| dzin <br> dzi? | non-future | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <rgyu.yin }> \\ & \text { <rgyu.red? }> \end{aligned}$ | SELF OTHR |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ do ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11} \text { dzii }$ | present | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <'dug> } \\ & \text { <'dug rgyu.red?> } \end{aligned}$ | EX.AN.SELF <br> EX.AN.OTHR |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ze } \\ & \text { ze }^{11} \text { dzi? } \end{aligned}$ | perfect | $\begin{aligned} & \text { <yod> } \\ & \text { <yod rgyu.red?> } \end{aligned}$ | EX.INAN.SELF EX.INAN.OTHR |
| sõ, zõ | experiential perfective | <byung>, <'byung> | EGO/EGO.IR |
| лก̃ <br> næ ${ }^{11}$ dzi? | experiential perfect | <myong>, <br> <myong.rgyu.red?> | EXP <br> EXP.OTHR |

Table 27: TENSE/ASPECT DISTINCTIONS OF FINAL AUXILIARIES

Before moving on to describe each of these forms in the following sections, a few observations regarding the OTHER auxiliary $r e$ (from the copula $<$ red $>\S 4.2 .2$ ) should be made. First the fact that $r e$ by itself does not express 'future', suggests that it is neutral with respect to aspect. Also this supports the notion that 'future' is closely linked to intention which only a first-person can vouch for. This is described below in §10.1.1.1. Further, the absence of $r e$ in row three suggests an asymmetrical system. This is due to the fact that for past events, speakers tend to use evidential or validational forms to indicate their source of knowledge or degree of certainty regarding an event they themselves were not involved in. These issues will be discussed in the appropriate sections below.

### 10.1 SeLF/OTHER auxiliaries

In Chapter Four the conditions for SELF forms were described: SELF forms occur in declarative clauses in which the speaker expresses personal knowledge of, and/or close temporal proximity to, and/or intention to carry out, and/or ability to control an action; SELF forms also occur in interrogative clauses with second-person subjects. OTHER forms occur in other contexts.

The copula and existentials described in Chapter Four can also function as auxiliary verbs. These, in addition to two other auxiliaries which only function as auxiliaries, pattern similarly to the distributional expectations of the SELF/OTHER system described in $\S 4.2$ and are given in the first five rows of Table 26.

The first thing to mention is that while SELF auxiliaries tend to co-occur with control verbs, OTHER auxiliaries tend to also express evidential-like knowledge. The reason can be partially attributed to what Garrett (2001: 16) calls 'privileged access'. The future and past intentional SELF auxiliaries $\tilde{z i}$ and $j i$ can be used when a speaker is intending to perform or has performed a controllable act. One might expect parallel forms for OTHER auxiliaries. However, in clauses with non-first-person S or A arguments, the speaker must express either how she has come to know what she is stating, or how much certainty she has regarding her statement. The following section discusses each of the SELF and OTHER auxiliaries listed in Table 27.

### 10.1.1 Auxiliaries from copulas

### 10.1.1.1 SELF forms $z z i$ and $j i$

$z i ̈$ has arisen from the old WT copula <yin> 'to be'. In declarative clauses, the use of the auxiliary $Z \overline{\imath l}$ relates specifically to a first-person speaker's intention to perform an action, thus the issue of the controllability of an action is relevant and $z \tilde{\imath}$ (and $j i$ ) usually co-occur in clauses with control verbs. Because $z i ̈$ functions to code events that the speaker is intending to perform, all the uses of $\tilde{z}$ are in clauses which express future events.

Elicited
(1). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad z \tilde{I}$

1SERG 3s hit COP.SELF
'I am going to hit him/her'

The clause 'I am going to hit him/her' in (1) describes an event that the speaker is intending to perform sometime subsequent to the moment of speech. An adverb can also be used to further specify the time reference.

Elicited254
(2). $\quad a^{11} z a^{55} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad t 6^{h} \partial^{55} p^{h} u^{53} s a^{11} \quad t \epsilon i^{53} \quad g u u^{53} \quad z i$
next.year 1SERG bathroom INDF build COP.SELF
'Next year I am going to build a bathroom'

Since the auxiliary $Z \tilde{\imath}$ conveys an action to be performed by an intentional
first-person argument, overt reference to the first-person argument is not needed.

Prod031
(3). $w o^{55} j i^{53} \quad b a^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad z \tilde{1}$

1PLGEN father go COP.SELF
'I will go to my father'

In the story from which (3) is taken, the son is far away from his father and considering returning to his father's house to live. The sentence in (3) does not have an overt $S$ argument, but the person and intention of the $S$ argument is indicated by the SELF auxiliary.

Sometimes, the addition of an adverb can contribute habitual meaning to the clause.

Elicited
 1SERG child every day preschoolCH =LCO send go COP.SELF 'I send (my) child to preschool every day' $j i$ occurs in clauses that express events that a speaker has already performed. It occurs in both negative and affirmative clauses with control verbs. The etymology of $j i$ is not clear to me. One possibility is that it has arisen from $<$ yin $>$, but underwent an aspectual split sometime during or before the $\langle\mathrm{y}\rangle->[\mathrm{z}]$ sound change occurred in Dongwang. The loss of the nasal can be observed in other words, most frequently in sentence-final forms (e.g., <snang> nõ ~ no 'visual evidential').

Elicited
(5).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} 3^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad j i$
1SERG 3s hit COP.SELF.PST
'I hit him'

GetMar079
(6). ${ }^{n} d e^{353}$ ta ${ }^{53}$ la ma- $j e^{13} \quad j i$
this look even NEG VBZR.DO COP.SELF.PST
'I did not even look at that (books and stuff)'

Hardship069
(7). mbə- $p i^{13} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad j i$
down walk ${ }^{3}$ come COP.SELF.PST
'(I) returned down'

Neither $z \tilde{\imath}$ or $j i$ can co-occur in clauses with certain non-control verbs, such as
$t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see' or $t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to hear'.
(8). ${ }^{*} \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} \mathrm{e}^{55} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} / t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad j i / / \tilde{\imath}$
*1SERG 3s see/hear COP.SELF
*'I saw him'

However, there are some fluid verbs which can pattern as either non-control verbs or as control verbs. Thus in certain contexts, verbs such as $d z i^{11} b a^{55} j e^{13}$ 'to

[^128]sneeze' and jui ${ }^{13}$ 'to cough' can be expressed with either an intentional auxiliary
$(j i / / \tilde{i} / d \widetilde{\neq})$ or with the ego-deictic 'happened to me' auxiliary $s \tilde{o}^{4}{ }^{4}$

Elicited
(9).

| $m a^{13}$ | wæ ma ${ }^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55}$ | $j u I^{13}$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 s | time many | cough | COP.SELF.PST |
| 'I coughed many times |  |  |  |

## Elicited

(10).
$\begin{array}{llll}n a^{13} & \text { wæ } \quad \text { ma }^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} & j u u^{13} & \text { sõ } \\ \text { 1s } & \text { time many } & \text { cough } & \text { EGO } \\ \text { 'I coughed many times' } & & \end{array}$

The difference between the two clauses in (9) and (10) does not appear to be a simple one. My consultant explained (9) as something one might say in answer to the doctor's question Are you coughing? or Do you cough? A possible context for (10) is if when talking to a friend the speaker tells her I coughed a lot last night. One possible interpretation is that $j i$ in (9) expresses something that is generally true, while sõ in (10) expresses something that happened once.

The verb $s^{h} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{353} d \tilde{o}^{11}$ also appears to be neutral with respect to control.

## Elicited

| $\eta a^{13}$ | $s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^{353}$ | $d \tilde{o}^{11}$ | $\tilde{\imath}$ | $c e^{55}$ | $p^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{55} t s i^{11}$ | $j e^{13}$ | ru |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1s | fart | STRIKE | COP.SELF | 2S | there.little | VBZR | POL |
| 'I am going to fart, you (had better) move away a bit' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^129]To express an event in which one unintentionally farted, a different verbalizer $S \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to emit' is used.

Elicited
(12). $s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353} \quad s \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ ra $t^{h}{ }_{i}$
fart EMIT RA VIS.PFV
'I farted (accidentally)'

One way to express an unintentional act using a controllable verb is to use the visual perfective evidential $t^{h}$. Consider the following set of sentences.

Elicited
(13). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad p \mathrm{e}^{55} t s \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} p^{h} \partial-\quad t s u^{53}$ ra $j i$

1SERG cupCH thither break RA COP.SELF.PST
'I broke a/the cup' (on purpose)

Elicited
(14).

1SERG cupCH thither break RA VIS.PFV
'I broke a/the cup' (accidentally)
The sentence in (13) indicates that the speaker intentionally broke a cup ${ }^{5}$, so we can say that the occurrence of $j i$ in (13) correlates with person, tense and intention. The

[^130]use of the perfective visual evidential $t^{h} i$ in (14) expresses that the speaker unintentionally broke a cup. ${ }^{6}$

### 10.1.1.2 OTHER form $r e$

The occurrence of $r e$ as an auxiliary to express events that have occurred prior to the moment of speech does not occur in non-elicited data. This is due to issues surrounding evidentials and validationals. Speakers usually do not make statements without expressing how they have come to know what they are stating (evidentials) or the degree to which they are certain of what they are stating (validationals).

When re occurs in elicited data as an auxiliary in clauses with lexical verbs, it is neutral with respect to tense or aspect.

Elicited
(15). $a^{55} n i^{11} \quad 6 \tilde{u}^{55} \quad s^{h} a^{53}$ de re
grandfather house sweep CONT COP.OTHR
'Grandfather is sweeping/sweeps the house'

Elicited

3SERG day every house time every sweep COP.OTHR
'S/he sweeps the house every day'

The sentence in (15) would only be said when the speaker can vouch for the fact that grandfather is sweeping or does sweep the floor. While it is possible to elicit clauses

[^131]with a lexical verb such as (15) and (16), they do not occur in natural data as speakers tend to indicate their knowledge using an evidential (§10.5). Similarly, 'future' is derived when the prospective aspect marker co-occurs with re.

Elicited
(17). $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad s a^{11} j i^{55} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t s i \quad r e$

3 s tomorrow come PROSP COP.OTHR
'S/he will come tomorrow'

This use of $r e$ also does not occur in non-elicited data likely because speakers cannot 'see' another's intention or know the future for certain. Future events with non-firstperson S or A arguments can expressed with varying degrees of certainty (§10.5).

The auxiliary re occurs in imperative clauses.

Prod050
(18). $t \boldsymbol{o}^{55} j \tilde{u}^{53} \quad \Varangle \mathfrak{X}^{11} b a^{53}$ tci $\quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s a^{53} \quad \eta a^{53} \quad$ re $\quad s$ that sheep fat INDF thither butcher NGA COP.OTHR QTV '(He said) 'butcher the fat sheep'.'
$r e$ is often used in questions (a-re), or negative clauses (ma-re) when the scope extends over a whole, usually finite, clause.

Hardship011
(19).

| $t \tilde{æ}^{13}$ | shihui | ro | $t s a^{55} W a^{53}$ | $=j i$ | $s a^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | limestoneCH | TOP | completely | $=$ GEN? | burn |

$s i^{13}$ ma- re
MOD NEG COP.OTHR
'Then as for limestone, (we) didn't know how to fire it at all'

Elicited1169
(20).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\eta u u^{53} & k^{h} u a^{53} & d u^{53} & d z i 2 & a- & \text { re } \\ \text { money } & \text { 3SDAT } & \text { divide } & \text { OTHR } & \text { QST } & \text { COP.OTHR }\end{array}$
'Did you divide the money with him?'

### 10.1.2 Auxiliaries from existentials

As described in Chapter Four, existentials in Dongwang are not only aligned along the expectations of the SELF/OTHER system, but also along a system of animacy. Possessive clauses can be seen as an extension of existential clauses in which the possessor argument is dative casemarked. The possessor argument conditions the choice of SELF or OTHER form, while the possessed argument conditions the choice of animate or inanimate form. Thus, both the clauses I have a book and I have a child contain a SELF auxiliary as to the first-person speaker, but unique forms dependent upon the animacy of the possessed argument.

Elicited
(21). $\mathrm{ya}^{13} \quad \mathrm{zi}^{13} \quad \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} \mathrm{ze}$

1SDAT book many EX.INAN.SELF
'I have many books'

Elicited
(22).
ma ${ }^{13} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} \quad$ ndo
1SDAT child many EX.AN.SELF
'I have many children'
Similarly OTHER existentials can occur with one of two forms depending upon the animacy of the possessed argument: ze ${ }^{11} d z i p$ (inanimate possession) and
${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$ (animate possession). The distinction between animates and inanimates is generally based on sentient life.

### 10.1.2.1 The perfect ze/ze ${ }^{11}$ dzi?

When the inanimate existentials $z e<y o d>$ and $z e^{11} d z i ?<$ yod.rgyis? $>$ function as auxiliaries, they express 'perfect' aspect which 'relates a state to a preceding situation' (Comrie 1976: 52). In clauses with SELF forms, it appears that the verb suffix RA (§9.2.5.1.6) is obligatory.

Elicited

```
\(\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{k}^{h} \partial^{55}\) dơ \(\tilde{o}^{353}\) ra ze
1SERG 1S hit RA EX.INAN.SELF
\(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad w จ^{55} n a^{53} \quad\) nu \({ }^{53} \quad\) põ: \(\tilde{r}^{13} r u^{11}\) re
now 1PL two girlfriends COP.OTHR
\(t_{s} i^{53}\) re tçi nõ,
embarrassing COP.OTHR INDF VIS.IPFV
'I had hit her. Now we are friends. It's (still) a little embarrassing.'
```

In (23) that the speaker hit her friend has current relevance as seen by the subsequent clauses. That is, the speaker recalls the time when she hit her friend and it still causes her embarrassment. If only the first clause in (23) were uttered, the implication would still be that it has present relevance but the details would not be specified.

```
GetMar015
```

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta e^{13} & { }^{n} d q u u^{13} & \text { tsi } & \text { me } & s 9^{55} & \text { ra }  \tag{24}\\
\text { ze } \\
\text { 1SERG go } & \text { PROSP } & \text { COP.SELF.NEG } & \text { say } & \text { RA } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF } \\
\text { I (already) have said that I am not going to go'. }
\end{array}
$$

The speaker utters (24) in an attempt to get her family and friends to stop pestering her about getting married. The use of the perfect in this clause indicates that her stated refusal to go still stands. ${ }^{7}$

The inanimate OTHER existential can also contribute perfect aspect, but the animate form overlaps with evidential categories discussed in §10.4.2.2. Compare the following two examples:

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
s e^{55} n \tilde{a}^{53} & =j i & s^{l r_{1}^{353}} & s a^{53} & \text { ra } & z e^{11} d z i q  \tag{25}\\
\text { Sonam } & =\text { ERG } & \text { wood } & \text { split } & \text { RA } & \text { EX.INAN.OTHR }
\end{array}
$$

'Sonam has split the wood' (and it is still there)'

In addition to marking a non-first person A argument, the use of $z \mathrm{e}^{11} d z i ?$ also indicates perfect aspect. So in (25) the wood that Sonam has split is still there. My consultant said this would be said if someone thought that the split wood had all been used up.

[^132]
### 10.1.2.2 The present ${ }^{n}$ do $/{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11}{ }^{11}$ zzi?

When the animate existentials function as auxiliaries, they contribute present tense to the clause, but are used only in contexts in which the addressee cannot see the speaker or the referent. The OTHER form has evidential-like functions (§10.4.1.2) in that the speaker is basing his or her utterance on an event that he or she has seen and assumes is still going on.

In the SELF form, the most common context in which clauses with first-person S arguments occur is when talking on the phone. ${ }^{8}$

Elicited
(26). ne $n a^{53} t s i^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o$

1SERG here look.for EX.AN.SELF
'I am looking for (a book) here'.

Example (26) would occur if someone had asked the speaker to look for a certain book. While he was looking, someone telephoned to see if he had found the book. If the same exchange took place face-to-face however, the speaker would reply with the sentence in (27).
(27). $\eta \mathrm{e}$ na ${ }^{53}$ tsi ${ }^{53}$ de dz̃

1SERG here look.for CONT SELF
'I am looking for (a book) here'

[^133]In narratives, ${ }^{n} d o$ sometimes indicates that the action the narrator is performing is happening at the time referred to within the text. This can be considered an example of present relative tense.

GetMar001/002
$\eta a^{13} \quad t s^{h}{ }^{55} d z \tilde{o}^{55} \quad b i^{55} n \mathfrak{æ}^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad m a-\quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad n i$
1s middle.schoolCH graduateCH VBZR NEG PFV CON
$z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{x}^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o$
book read EX.AN.SELF
'I was not yet graduated from middle school, (I) was studying.'

A literal translation of the second clause (28) could be I am studying as the time of the second clause is located relative to the time indicated in the first clause.

The animate OTHER existential form ${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$ co-occurs in clauses with a non-first person S or A argument, but also indicates that the speaker has witnessed the event and assumes that it is still occurring at the moment of speech.

## Elicited


Example (29) could uttered if someone had asked the speaker where Sonam was. The speaker could respond that Sonam is chopping wood, meaning they just saw him doing so and assume he is still chopping wood.

### 10.1.3 Auxiliaries dzĩ and dzi?

Unlike the SELF/OTHER auxiliaries that have arisen from copula and existential verbs, $d z \tilde{\boldsymbol{l}}$ and $d z i ?$ only occur as final auxiliaries and are not found in other systems of the grammar. It is likely that the SELF auxiliary $d z \tilde{\not r i}$ has arisen from WT $<$ rgyu.yin $>$, but the related OTHER form dzi? remains a mystery. One possibility is that it has arisen from WT <rgyu.red> but that seems unlikely given the contemporary form.
10.1.3.1 The auxiliary $d z \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}$

In affirmative clauses, dz్ׁi only occurs with intentional first-person arguments in non-future contexts.

Elicited
(30). $\operatorname{ci}^{55} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{11 n} \mathrm{de}{ }^{55} \mathrm{je} \mathrm{e}^{13}$ de dz$\tilde{\imath}$

2SERG what do CONT SELF
'What are you doing?

Elicited
(31). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad n \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \eta a^{53}$ de $d \tilde{\not z I}$

1SERG barley cut CONT SELF
'I am cutting barley' (with a sickle)

Elicited

2SERG this morning what do CONT SELF
'What did you do this morning?'

Elicited
(33).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}{ }_{s o}{ }^{11} p a^{55} \quad t \mathrm{e}^{11} k a^{55}$ rõ
1SERG this morning walnut CONN
$s \sigma^{55 n} d z \partial^{11}$ dõ ${ }^{353}$ de dž̃
hemp strike CONT SELF
'I smashed walnuts and hemp (in the pestle) this morning'

In the absence of the continuative marker, dzï expresses past tense.

Elicited008
(34). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} 9^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad d \widetilde{7} \tilde{1}$

1SERG 3SABS hit SELF
'I hit him'

The sentence in (34) cannot be understood as I am hitting him.

As with $z \tilde{\imath}$ and $j i$, the auxiliary $d \widetilde{\nexists} \tilde{\imath}$ does not occur in clauses with non-
controllable verbs.

Elicited
(35). *クロ ${ }^{13} \quad k^{h} e^{55} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \quad d \tilde{z} \tilde{1}$
*1SERG 3S see SELF
*'I saw him/her'.

When appropriate adverbs are included in the sentence, dz్ז can have a
habitual reading.

Elicited348
 1sERG every.day food cook CONT SELF
'I cook every day'
10.1.3.2 The auxiliary dzi?

When dziP occurs in clauses with non-first person arguments, it indicates a high degree of certainty that is based on some kind of direct evidence. Since, however, $d z i ?$ is unmarked as to the source of knowledge, it is not evidential by the criteria stated at the beginning of this section.

HeartAttack 135
(37).

| $n 9^{13}$ | $=k \tilde{1}$ | $=t s^{h} x^{53}$ | la | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | $d z i ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{man}=\mathrm{PL}=\mathrm{PL}$ | also | see | OTHR |  |  |
| 'People also saw | $u s^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |

In (37) the speaker is relating a time when she was fighting with her neighbor. She is able to assert a strong statement as she was present. Note also that the use of the OTHER form in (37), which occurs with a non-control verb, does not have the same constraint as to intentionality that the SELF forms do. This is because intention is not a relevant category for non-first persons as one cannot know the intention of someone else.

Like dzü, dzi? has a present or past continuous reading when combined with the continuative marker $d e$.

RabbitA005

then rabbit TOP grassland $=$ LOC live CONT OTHR
'The rabbit was living on the grassland'.
$d z i P$ sometimes occurs in clauses to express an event or condition that is
always true.

KillPig045

|  | $n a^{11} t \epsilon^{h} Y^{55}$ $l Y^{55} m o^{53}$ $p^{h} \partial-$ $j \tilde{o}^{53}$ | $g u i$ | $d z i ?$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| innards | all | thither | take.out | NEED | OTHR |
| '(You) need to remove all the innards' |  |  |  |  |  |

The A argument in (39) is understood to be anyone who is butchering a pig and the event is understood to be true whenever a pig is butchered in the proper way.

### 10.2 The egodeictic sõ/zõ

As will be discussed in $\S 11.6 .4$, in Dongwang there is a realis/irrealis distinction indicated by a voicing contrast for a few verbs and auxiliaries. One of these, the realis/irrealis auxiliary pair sõ/zõ occurs in perfective clauses with firstperson arguments in which the speaker is a semantic patient. The voiced irrealis form $z \tilde{o}$ is used in negative and interrogative clauses. In this dissertation, these are referred to as egodeictic because in clauses with this auxiliary the speaker is a semantic patient.

There are two conditions in which the egodeictic auxiliary can occur. In clauses with non-control verbs in which the speaker is the $S$ or $A$ argument and in clauses with control verbs in which the speaker is the P argument. Thus one way to characterize the $s \tilde{o} / z \tilde{o}$ pair is as a it-happened-to-me auxiliary.

MyLife083
(40). $\mathrm{ju}^{13}$ ma- zõ grasp NEG EGO.IR
'(I) didn't/couldn't grasp (studies)'

Elicited413
(41).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad a^{11} r a^{55} \quad a^{11} r a^{55} \quad s^{53} \quad$ Sõ 1SERG just just understand EGO.REAL 'I just now understand'

The clauses in (40) and (41) contain the non-control verbs $j u^{13}$ 'to understand' and $s i^{53}$ 'to know'.

In clauses with control verbs and non-first-person A arguments, the action is directed towards the speaker.

GetMar040

then first time TOP actually say NEG EGO.IR
'At first, no one even said anything (to me)'.

Even though there are no overt arguments in (42), the fact that a non-first person has directed speech toward the speaker is made clear by the use of the irrealis egodeictic auxiliary zõ.

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta e^{13} & n \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{13} & =j i & t \epsilon a^{13} & k u i^{53} & t s^{h}  \tag{43}\\
i & S \tilde{o} \\
\text { 1SGEN man }=\text { ERG tea boil } & \text { LEAD } & \text { EGO } \\
\text { 'My husband boiled tea for me' }
\end{array}
$$

Accident 146
(44).
$\begin{array}{llllll}n a^{13} & l a & t s^{h} \partial^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11} t s^{h} e^{53} & k^{h} u i^{55} & j 9^{13} & =j i \\ 1 \mathrm{~S} & \text { also } & \text { suddenly } & \text { 3SERG } & \text { person } & =\text { ERG }\end{array}$
$\eta a^{13}$ dõo ${ }^{353} \quad$ ci $\quad$ õ $\quad s \tilde{a}$
1s hit MAL EGO think
'I suddenly thought, 'he, that guy ${ }^{9}$, hit me'.

### 10.3 The experiential perfect nõ, $n \mathfrak{x}^{11} d \boldsymbol{z} i ?$

The experiential perfect $n \tilde{o}$ (<myong> 'to taste') conveys an event that the
subject has experienced sometime prior to the time of utterance.

Elicited742
(45).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\eta a^{13} & \text { beijing } & w æ^{13} & \text { nu }^{53} & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & \text { nõ } \\ \text { 1SABS } & \text { BeijingCH } & \text { times } & \text { two } & \text { go } & \text { EXP }\end{array}$
'I have been to Beijing two times'

Elicited288
(46).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t \mathrm{ts}^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53} \quad t \epsilon^{h} a^{53}$ nõ
1SERG barley.flour eat EXP
'I have eaten barley.'

Prod076
(47).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t \mathrm{ta}^{55}{ }_{W a}{ }^{53} n i \quad \epsilon i^{55} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad a^{11} b \mathfrak{æ}^{53} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ ma- jõ
1SERG completely 2 SGEN =OBJ bad VBZR NEG EXP
'I have never done anything wrong to you'

[^134]In clauses with non-first person S or A arguments, the experiential auxiliary is n $\mathfrak{Z}^{11} \mathrm{~d}$ zip.

Elicited
(48). $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} \quad$ gui $\quad z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d æ^{353} \quad$ næ ${ }^{11} d z i P^{55}$ ma- re

3SERG small when book read EXP.OTHR NEG COP.OTHR 'When he was small, he never went to school'

The difference between the experiential perfect and the non-past form can be seen in the following two examples:

Elicited
(49). $k^{h} 2^{55} n i^{55} p a^{11}=j \nsim{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad d z i ?$

3 s Nepal =DAT go OTHR
'S/he went to Nepal' (and is still gone)

Elicited
(50). $k^{h} 2^{55} n i^{55} p a^{11}=j æ{ }^{n} d q u^{13} \quad n \mathfrak{æ}^{11} d \not \approx i ?$

3s NepalCH =DAT go EXP.OTHER
'S/he has been to Nepal (and has returned)'

### 10.4 Evidentials

As stated above in $\S 10.1$, the term 'evidentials' in this study is narrowly defined as grammatical categories that encode the speaker's source of information. Evidentials in Dongwang can be subdivided into direct and indirect knowledge sources. Direct evidentials can be subdivided into visual (nõ, $\left.t^{h} i,{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i \imath\right)$ and reported categories ( $s \overbrace{}^{55} t s a^{53}$ ). Indirect evidentials can be subdivided into inferential
( $W e^{55} n o^{11}$ ) and hearsay $\left(t s a^{53}, s\right)$. There is some overlap between the categories. Each of these are discussed below.

### 10.4.1 Direct evidentials

Direct evidentials express the speaker's direct source of knowledge whether visual or quotative. There are two visual evidentials which are split along aspectual lines: the imperfective visual evidential nõ $(\sim n o \sim n o)$ and the perfective visual evidential $t^{h}$. The quotative evidential $s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{55} t s a$ generally indicates that the speaker actually heard, but there is some overlap with the hearsay evidential.

### 10.4.1.1 Imperfective visual evidential nõ

The imperfective direct visual evidential nõ has likely arisen from WT <snang> 'to feel' or 'to sense'. It is used when the time of speech and the time of event are identical. The onset aspiration and vowel nasalization frequently disappear, a process common in sentence-final position.

Elicited096
$k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad t \epsilon^{h} e^{55} t t i^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z a^{13} \quad{ }_{0} \tilde{o}$
3SABS tired look.like VIS.IPFV
'She looks tired' (speaker is looking at her)

Elicited470
(52).
$c e^{55} \quad \eta u^{55}{ }_{61} 1^{11} \quad g \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ de $\prod_{0} \tilde{o}$
2SABS sweat VBZR CONT VIS.IPFV
'You are sweating' (speaker sees)

Elicited482
(53). $k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad n a^{13}$ de ${ }_{0} \tilde{o}$

3SABS sick CONT VIS.IPFV
'He is sick' (speaker has seen him or is looking at him)
nõ sometimes occurs in clauses with non-control verbs and first-person S or

A arguments.

Heartattack216-19

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
g \partial^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} & d z e^{55} \eta a^{53} & m e^{13} & r \tilde{o}^{13}  \tag{54}\\
\text { minute } & \text { fifteen } & \text { NEG.COP.SELF } & \text { COND }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\varsigma \partial^{53} \text { ra } \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad \text { no } \tilde{o}
$$

die INGRESS VIS.IPFV
$b u^{353}$ tçi $i^{53}$ la ma- nõ so
breath one even NEG VIS.IPFV HS
'If (we) had been fifteen minutes (later when we arrived at the hospital), I would have died. They say that (I) didn't have any breath whatsoever'.

In the portion of text expressed by (54), the speaker is relating events that had happened to her while she was unconscious. This is not like the mirative use of an evidential, as the hearsay in the third clause in (54) indicates that these events were related to her by others. Thus it is their visual knowledge that is being coded.

### 10.4.1.2 Imperfective visual evidential ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}^{11}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{zi}$ i?

As discussed in $\S 10.1 .2 .2$, the OTHER animate existential ${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i \rho$ is used if a speaker knows of an ongoing event through visual knowledge, but is not observing the event at the time of utterance. Compare the following two sentences:

Elicited
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad s^{h 1_{1}^{353}} \quad s a^{53}$ no $\tilde{o}$
3SERG wood split VIS.IPFV
'He is splitting wood' (speaker currently sees him doing so)

Elicited
(56). $k^{h} u i^{55} \quad s^{1 r i}{ }^{353} \quad s a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$

3SERG wood split EX.AN.OTHR
'He is splitting wood' (speaker has seen him and assumes he still is doing so)
(55) can only be uttered if the speaker is currently observing the event. (56) can only be uttered if the speaker has observed the event, but is not longer doing so. The assumption is that the event is going on at the time of utterance.

### 10.4.1.3 Perfective visual evidential $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$

The perfective evidential $t^{h} i$ has likely arisen from WT <thad>, 'went'. $t^{h} i$ still functions as a full verb as in the following example.

Elicited 1309
(57). $k^{h} \boldsymbol{e}^{55} \quad t 0^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53} \quad t^{h} i$

3sABS Dongwang go.PFV
'He went to Dongwang'
$t^{h} i$ also co-occurs with the imperfective stem of the verb 'go'. In this function, it is no longer contributing lexical content, but evidential and aspectual values to the clause.

Elicited087
(58). $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ la $\check{\text { ra }}{ }^{13}=n ə{ }^{n} d \not \subset u^{13} \underline{t}^{h} i$

3SABS also mtn =LOC go VIS.PFV
'She also went up the mountain' (speaker saw her leave)

As an evidential, $t^{h} i$ expresses visual evidence of a completed event that the
speaker has witnessed. $t^{h} i$ usually indicates that the speaker saw the whole event.

Elicited1017
$n \partial^{13} \quad t \epsilon i^{53}=j i \quad k^{h} u a^{53}=j æ \quad n a^{13}$ tci $t e^{53} \quad t^{h} i$ person INDF =ERG 3SDAT =DAT fish INDF give VIS.PFV
'A person gave him a fish' (speaker saw the exchange)
Elicited 1022

Yishi =ERG that fish see VIS.PFV
'Yishi saw the fish' (speaker saw her see it)
$t^{h} i$ can also function in perfective clauses with first-person S or A arguments
when the event is either not intentional or non-controllable.

Elicited173
$\begin{array}{lllll}\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & c 2^{53} & d \tilde{o}^{353} & s \mathfrak{X}^{53} & \underline{t^{h}} \boldsymbol{i} \\ \text { 1SERG } & \text { dog } & \text { hit } & \text { EMIT } & \text { VIS.PFV }\end{array}$
'I (unintentionally) hit the dog'

Elicited240
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad p \mathrm{e}^{55} z^{11}{ }^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t_{c} u^{53} \quad$ ra $t^{h}{ }_{i}$
1SERG cup tither break RA VIS.PFV
'I broke the cup (accidentally)'
$t^{h} i$ usually does not occur in clauses with first-person intentional A
arguments.
10.4.1.4 The quotative evidential $\mathrm{s}^{55} \mathrm{t}$ tsa $\sim \mathrm{s} ə \sim \mathrm{~s}$

The quotative evidential $s \boldsymbol{\partial}^{55} t s a<$ zer.grag?> indicates that the source of information has been directly heard from someone. As such, there can be an overt argument for the source of information.

Elicited
(63).
$k^{h} u i^{55}$ to: ${ }^{13}$ tçi $s e^{53} j i \quad s a^{55} t s a^{53}$
3SERG bear INDF kill COP.SELF QTV
'He ${ }_{j}$ killed a bear ( $h e_{j}$ said)'.

Regardless of the presence or absence of an overt NP 'sayer' argument, coreferentiality can be determined by the expected SELF/OTHER patterns. That is, when the one who reported the event and the S or A argument of the clause are coreferential, SELF forms are used. The difference between the grammatical evidential and a full verb is that unlike the full verb, the evidential forms are not marked for tense or aspect.

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
b a^{353} & =j i & \eta a^{13} & =j æ & p a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} & j \mathrm{e}^{13} & c u & s 2^{55} t s a^{53}  \tag{64}\\
\text { father } & =\text { ERG } & 1 \text { SDAT } & =\text { DAT } & \text { bride } & \text { VBZR.DO } & \text { come.IMP } & \text { QTV }
\end{array}
$$

Elicited380: $s \boldsymbol{o r}^{55} t_{s a^{53}}$
'Father told me to come home to get married'

The use of the SELF form in (63) and the imperative in (64) indicates co-referentiality of arguments in the main and dependent clauses.

In non-perfective or non-imperative embedded clauses, co-referentiality between arguments is less explicit.

Elicited
(65).
$k^{h} u i^{55}$ to: ${ }^{13}$ tci $s e^{53} \quad s 2^{55} t s a^{53}$
3SERG bear INDF kill QTV
'He killed/will kill a bear (they say)'.

The use of $s 2^{55} t s a^{53}$ in (65) is not directly attributed to the one who killed or is planning to kill a bear. The time of the event is ambiguous, but it is probably preceding the event.

### 10.4.2 Indirect evidentials

There are three indirect evidentials: the hearsay evidentials $t s a$ and $s$, and the inferential evidential $w e^{55} n o$.

### 10.4.2.1 The hearsay $t_{s} a$

The hearsay evidential $t s a$ is used to code an auditory source of information for which there is no specific referent. In this sense, it differs from the quotative evidential which can indicate the person from whom the information came.

## Elicited

$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t \tilde{o}^{13} \quad s e^{53} \quad t s a$
3SERG bear kill HS
'He killed a bear (I heard, it is said)'.

### 10.4.2.2 The hearsay $s ə \sim s$

The evidential so is often phonologically reduced to $s$ and is typically
reserved for use in narratives or longer stretches of speech. As in many other Tibetan dialects, the hearsay evidential has arisen from WT <zer> 'to speak'.

HeartAttack206
(67). $\mathrm{bu}^{13} \quad t \mathrm{tc}^{53} \quad$ la ma- ${ }^{53} \tilde{0} \quad$ so
breath one LA NEG VIS.IPFV HS 'I didn't have any breath (they say, I am told)'

Example (67) occurs in the text Heart Attack after the narrator had collapsed from a heart attack and was unconscious. Obviously, since she was unconscious she could not have witnessed her breathless state.

GetMar055
$t s \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t c i^{53}=n ə=j \neq \quad s$
village one =LOC =DAT HS
'(father said) (you two) are of one village'
(68) occurs in the text Getting Married when the father is telling the daughter all the reasons why she should get married. The daughter who is narrating this story is clearly reporting her father's words to her before he died.

The most-reduced form $s$ is frequently used in texts not to report speech from a specific referent, but to clue the hearer in to the fact that the text is not something the narrator has personally experienced.

In clauses with second-person addressees, the particle me usually occurs to modify the speaker's assertion. This seems likely to be a deference or softening strategy as it is unusual to make direct accusations.

Elicited 185
(69).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\epsilon i^{55} & c 2^{53} & d \tilde{o}^{33} & t^{h} i & s 2^{55} t s a^{53} & m e \\ \text { 2SERG } & \text { dog } & \text { hit } & \text { VIS.PFV } & \text { HS } & \text { MUT }\end{array}$
'(Someone said) you hit the dog'
10.4.2.3 Inferential evidential $\mathrm{we}^{55} \mathrm{no}^{11}$

The hearsay evidential indicates that the speaker infers an event occurred, usually through visual information.

Elicited
(70). $\operatorname{se}^{55} n \tilde{a}^{53}=j i \quad$ to: $:^{13}$ tci $s^{53} W^{55} n o^{11}$

Sonam ERG bear INDF kill INF
'Sonam killed a bear (the speaker sees a bear carcass in the courtyard of their home and infers that Sonam must have killed it)'

Elicited1221

person INDF $=$ ERG 3SDAT $=$ DAT 1 SABS INDF give INF
'A person gave her a fish (the speaker sees that she has a fish and infers that someone gave it to her)'

Elicited1222
(72). $k^{h} u^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d z u u^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad W^{55} n o^{11}$

3SABS fall go INF
'He fell down (the speaker sees him crying and infers the reason for his crying is that he fell down)'

In one text, $w e^{55} n o^{11}$ functions like the hearsay particle $s$ to indicate a secondary information source.

RedFlowers001
$\begin{array}{lllllll}t a^{11} r \tilde{x}^{55} & n \partial^{13} & t \epsilon i & =j æ & n i^{11} m b a^{55} & n \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55} & w e^{55} n o \\ \text { that.time } & \text { person } & \text { INDF } & =\mathrm{DAT} & \text { Nyima } & \text { name } & \mathrm{HS}\end{array}$
(73) was uttered when the text Red Flowers was first told to the speaker and then the speaker was asked to re-tell it.

### 10.5 Validationals

The term 'validational' refers to a range of epistemic modes that indicate the degree of certainty the speaker has regarding an utterance. It is closely related to evidentiality, but not identical. Palmer (1986) and Denwood (1999) use the term 'judgment' or 'judgmental' modality to describe the same phenomenon described in this section. Denwood applies this to all the modalities which express any sort of hedge regarding the speaker's assertion. Tournadre (2003: 175) calls these 'Auxiliaries of probability' that express 'different degrees of certainty or probability'.

In Dongwang, there is a wide range of validationals that speakers use. At this point, I cannot provide an exhaustive list, nor can I arrange them with confidence on a clear cline of certainty/doubt. In the following section, a few validationals are introduced.

The validational $d z \tilde{z}^{53}$ indicates that the speaker has a vague recollection
regarding the statement $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is making. By itself, it refers to a recollection the speaker has regarding his or her own action or event.

Wormgrass011
$g \tilde{o}^{55} t \epsilon \tilde{1} \quad j i \quad g \tilde{o}^{55} t \epsilon \tilde{\imath} \quad t 6^{h} i \quad \tilde{i}^{13} \quad d z \tilde{a}^{53}$
kiloCH oneCH kiloCH sevenCH COP.SElF VAL
'Was it one kilo seven?'
ar $\quad g \tilde{o}^{55} t \epsilon \overline{1} \quad t \epsilon^{h} i \quad \tilde{1}^{13} \quad d z \tilde{a}^{53}$
twoCH kiloCH sevenCH COp.SELF VAL
'Was it two kilos seven?'

When used with $w u-c a,{ }^{10}$ it refers to the speaker's vague recollection
regarding a non-first person argument.

Elicited
(75). $k^{h} u a^{55} \quad a^{11} k a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad d z \tilde{a}^{53} \quad w u-c a$

3SDAT child EX.AN.SELF VAL VAL
'S/he has child/ren (I seem to recall)'

DCWormGrass 087

Chinese where Chinese one appear come NEG VAL 'There was a Chinese guy from where, (I) don't know where he came from'

The final validational wu-ca seems to be used when the speaker is ambivalent towards or unable to confirm an upcoming event.

[^135]Elicited
(77).
$k^{h} 2^{55}$ a wũ $\tilde{u}^{13}$ ma- wũ ${ }^{13}$ wu-ca
3s QST come NEG- come VAL
'Will s/he come or not? (speaker does not know)'

The full verb $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'to come' expresses relative certainty regarding the
statement being made.

Elicited451
(78).
$k^{h} u a^{53} \quad a^{11} \tilde{c i}^{55}=j i \quad$ baozhi ze wũ
3SDAT today =GEN newspaperCH EX.INAN.SELF COME 'S/he has today's newspaper' (speaker thinks it is likely)

## Chapter 11 Clause Types

In Chapters Nine and Ten the verb phrase of finite clauses was discussed. This chapter describes the structure of simple finite clauses in Dongwang. Typically, finite clauses contain one verb ${ }^{1}$ and its argument/s with either finite morphology or final intonation characteristics ${ }^{2}$. Three types of clauses are constructed from an equative copula, an existential verb, or a lexical verb (intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive). Some finite clauses, which omit finite auxiliaries, are also discussed. Finally, interrogative constructions of all clause types are discussed.

### 11.1 Sentence structure

Dongwang is a verb-final language with a very strong APV order of arguments. In a count of eight hundred and six clauses from non-elicited texts, seventy-six clauses, or $20 \%$ of transitive clauses have two overt arguments. The dominant word order in transitive clauses with two overt arguments is APV (87\%). There are two hundred and ten transitive clauses with one overt argument (or $55 \%$ of all transitive clauses). In transitive clauses with one overt argument $85 \%$ have P arguments (PV) and 15\% have A arguments (AV).

[^136]| Clause Type | \# of Clauses | \# w/overt Arg | Args |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intransitive | 390 (49\%) | $1 \arg 201$ | SV (51.5\%) |
|  |  | $0 \arg 189$ | V (49\%) |
| Transitive | 380 (48\%) | 2 Overt Args:$76 \text { (20\%) }$ | APV 66 (87\%) ${ }^{3}$ |
|  |  |  | PAV 10 (13\%) |
|  |  | 1 Overt Arg:$210 \text { (55\%) }$ | AV $32(15 \%)^{4}$ |
|  |  |  | PV 178 (85\%) |
|  |  | Zero Args 94 | $\mathrm{V} \quad(25 \%)^{5}$ |
| Ditransitive | $20^{6}$ (3\%) | 2 arg | PV 7 (35\%) |
|  |  |  | IO V 5 (25\%) |
|  |  | 3 arg | APV 4 (20\%) |
|  |  | Zero | V 2 (10\%) |
|  |  | Other 2 | $\mathrm{AP}^{\text {/ }}{ }^{\text {IIOV }}{ }^{1}$ (10\%) |
| Total | 790 | 790 |  |

TABLE 28: CLAUSE TYPES CORRELATED WITH \# OF OVERT ARGUMENTS

Table 28 shows that about half of the clauses counted are intransitive and of these, about half have an overt argument. Of the transitive clauses, only $20 \%$ have two overt arguments and of these $87 \%$ have APV constituent order. When the less frequent
${ }^{3}$ Percentage of constituent order in transitive clauses with two overt arguments.
${ }^{4}$ Percentage of transitive clauses with one overt argument.
${ }^{5}$ Percentage of transitive clauses with no overt argument.
${ }^{6}$ In addition to this count, there were 59 ditransitive clauses containing the verb $s e^{55} \sim s \rho^{55}$ to say'. All such clauses have a non-prototypical $P$ argument, namely, quoted speech.
${ }^{7}$ There is one example of a transitive clause with an omitted verb (AP) and one example of a ditransitive clause with all arguments except the $P$ argument present (AIOV).
order occurs (PAV) the examples found in my database indicate that it is due to the P argument being topicalized. ${ }^{8}$

GetDivB011/012
(1). $\ldots \quad n 2^{13}=j i \quad j i^{353}$ la $\mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad$ ra ${ }^{13}$
... man $=$ GEN work also 1SERG do
$p 9^{11} n a^{53}=j i \quad j i{ }^{353}$ la $\mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad$ ra ${ }^{13}$
woman $=$ GEN work also 1SERG do
'...men's work I also did, women's work I also did'.

In (1), the speaker is narrating the difficulties she faced after her husband left her. She draws attention to fact that she did both men's and women's work by fronting the P noun phrases.

Accident029
(2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13}=t s \partial=j æ \quad \partial \quad n \partial^{13} \quad=k \tilde{\imath} \quad=j i \\
& \text { then 1GEN =ALL =DAT uh person =PL =ERG } \\
& d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} h u a^{53} \quad d o^{11} \text { pa ni } \\
& \text { telephoneCH VBZR SEND NI } \\
& \text { 'Then to me, uh, people telephoned', }
\end{aligned}
$$

In (2) the speaker is relating how he came to know that his wife had been in an accident. The speaker does not indicate that it was anyone in particular that notified him, but that someone had telephoned him.

[^137]The only time a noun phrase occurs after a verb is when the speaker has an afterthought. These are distinctive in that the clause has a final intonation contour followed by a slight pause before adding the afterthought.
(3) Hardship011: argument transposition-afterthought
(3). te ${ }^{55}$ shihui ro $t s a^{55}{ }_{W a}{ }^{53} j i \quad s a^{53}$ si ma re... then limestoneCH TOP completely fire MOD.KNOW NEG COP.OTHR
$w ə^{55 n} d \not a^{53}$ sũ ${ }^{53}$ nə
1PL three NA
'Then didn't know how to burn limestone whatsoever... we three'

Argument omission is very common when arguments are deemed unnecessary or when the speaker assumes the listener knows who the referent is (see $\S 4.1 .1$ for an extended example of argument omission). S arguments were omitted in $49 \%$ of the 390 intransitive clauses given in Table $28 .{ }^{9}$ A arguments were omitted in $71 \%$ of the transitive clauses. P arguments were much more frequent and were omitted in only $33 \%$ of the total number of transitive clauses. Out of all transitive clauses, both A and P were omitted in $25 \%$ of the clauses, and both A and P arguments were overt in 20\% of the clauses. The following examples exemplify argument omission.

RabbitA006: S argument omission
(4). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} p \tilde{u}^{55}=n \partial \quad d e^{13}$ de r2 $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ ro then grassland $=$ LOC dwell CONT CONN TOP 'then when (the rabbit) lived on the grassland'...

[^138]GoodSam017: A argument omission
(5). $k^{h} \partial^{55}=w \tilde{o}$ tci ${ }^{53}$ la ma- ta ${ }^{53}$ ni

3SABS =OBJ one even NEG look NI '(He) didn't even look at him',

GetMar027: A and P argument omission
(6). $t_{6}{ }^{h} i^{53} r u$
lead POL
'(you) please take (her)'

As already described in Chapter Nine, most elements of the verb phrase follow the verb.

### 11.2 Copula clauses

As discussed in §4.2.2, Dongwang has two copulas (zĩ and re) and four existentials (ze, ze ${ }^{1 l} d z i \uparrow$ and ${ }^{n} d o,{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i \gamma$ ). The copulas forms are common for most Tibetan dialects, but the existentials are complicated by an animacy split reflected in an additional two forms. In the following section, clauses formed from copulas are discussed.

### 11.2.1 Equative copula clauses

A clause with an equative copula maximally includes a predicate, one core argument and any accompanying peripheral arguments. Clauses with equative copular predicates usually are equative or descriptive clauses. A copula complement can be a nominal (predicate nominal clause) or an adjective (predicate adjective clause).

| $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{CC}_{\text {noml }}$ | COP | 'X is $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{CC}_{\text {adj }}$ | COP | 'X was/is being/is becoming $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ |

Elicited230/234: Equative clause
(7). $\quad \eta a^{13} \quad p \boldsymbol{o}^{11} n a^{53} / l o^{55} S Q^{11} \quad$ Zi

1SABS woman/teacher COP.SELF
'I am a woman/teacher'

Any noun phrase can stand in the $S$ argument slot. In the following example, the $S$ argument is a full noun phrase that includes a head noun and a relative clause.

Elicited649

| $\underline{d i^{13}}$ | $k^{k}{ }_{1}^{11} b a^{55}$ | gut ${ }^{53}$ | $t 2^{11}$ | -na | $=j i$ | $n 2^{13}$ | to |  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there | house | build | that | -NZR | $=$ GEN | man | that |  | Pr |

${ }_{W} 2^{55} j i^{53} \quad b a^{33} \quad r e$
1PLGEN father COP.OTHR
'That man building the house over there is my father'

Sometimes, when the S argument is omitted, only the CC remains:

Hardship039
(9). $\quad{ }^{n} d \partial^{11} p^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad \underline{a^{13}} \quad \underline{r a} \quad \underline{t \partial^{11}} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad=j i \quad \underline{s a^{53}} \quad \underline{-m i^{53}} \quad$ zi nDaphae uncle SELF that $=\mathrm{PL}=$ GEN burn -NZR COP.SELF '(It) was the place where Uncle nDaphae and them burned (limestone)'

Just as any noun phrase can stand as the S argument, similarly any noun phrase can function as the copula complement. In the following clause, the underlined NP which contains a relative clause is the CC:

Elicited374
(10). $w \partial^{55} t 9^{11}$ na ${ }^{13}$ ro
that man TOP

1SERG 2SDAT introduce lead CAUS -NZR man COP.OTHR
'That man is the man I want to introduce you to'

### 11.2.2 Attributive copula clauses

Attributive clauses are clauses in which the CC is an adjective (see §5.2.1):

GetDivA38: attributive clause
(11). te $\tilde{e}^{55} \quad \eta a^{13}$ la $\underline{S i}^{55} p a^{11}$ re
then 1SABS also happy COP.OTHR
'Then I also am happy'
There is no tense/aspect distinction in attributive and equative clauses with a simple copula predicate.

Elicited 1474
hjī15 $\eta a^{53} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad$ do ${ }^{55} \eta æ^{53}$ re $\quad \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad$ da $a^{55} m \partial^{11}$ re previous 3SABS ugly COP.OTHR now pretty COP.OTHR 'She was ugly before, (but) now is pretty'.

The changing of an attribute or state can be expressed by complex constructions consisting of the OTHER copula re and an OTHER auxiliary, secondary verb, or evidential.

Elicited
(13). tsui ${ }^{53} \mathrm{~kg}^{55} \mathrm{kx}^{53}$ re
lard white COP.OTHR
'(The) lard is white'

KillPig024
(14). tsui ${ }^{53} p^{h} \partial-\quad k{ }^{55}{ }^{5} æ^{53}$ re dzi?
lard thither- white COP.OTHR OTHR
'The lard becomes white'

Elicited
(15). $t \epsilon^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \quad s \tilde{u}^{53} \quad r e$
o'clock three COP.OTHR
'It is 3 o'clock'

Accident086
(16). $t \epsilon^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \quad s \tilde{u}^{53} \quad$ re $\quad t^{h} i$
o'clock three COP.OTHR VIS.PFV
'It had become 3 o'clock'

The secondary verbs 'come' and 'go' (§9.2.5.2) sometimes combine with copulas to express change-of-state.

## Elicited1476

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { fjĩ̃ } \tilde{I}^{55} \eta a^{53} & k^{h} \partial^{55} & d o^{55} \eta \mathfrak{x}^{53} & \text { re }  \tag{17}\\
\text { previous } & \text { 3SABS } & \text { ugly } & \text { COP.OTHR }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & \text { da }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} & \text { re } & \text { wũ } & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} & n \tilde{o}  \tag{18}\\
\text { NOW pretty } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { COME } & \text { PFV VIS.IPFV } \\
\text { 'She was ugly before, (but) now has become pretty'. }
\end{array}
$$

The use of the secondary verb 'come' in (18) is similar to the use of become in English. Together with the visual evidential it suggests the speaker is witnessing a change based on previous sighting.

MyLife290
(19).

| $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | $g a^{55} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ | re | ${ }^{n} d z u$ | $n i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| now | old | COP.OTHR | GO | NI |
| 'Now, I have become old.' |  |  |  |  |

Example (19) occurs near the end of a personal biography. The speaker moves from her past to her present.

Sometimes equative copulas are used in locational clauses, but only to refer to locations that are stable and unlikely to change:

Elicited 1483
(20).
tõ ${ }^{55}$ Wã ${ }^{53}$ sichuan tsa re $\quad \tilde{o}^{11} \tilde{r}^{55}$ re
Dongwang SichuanCH side COP.OTHR far COP.OTHR 'Dongwang is next to Sichuan. It is far away'.

### 11.3 Existential clauses

The four existential verbs in Dongwang serve to construct existential, locational and possessive clauses. Existential and locational clauses include an existential predicate, one core argument, and peripheral arguments (usually locations). Possessive clauses include an existential verb, maximally two core arguments (the possessor and the possessed arguments), and any accompanying peripheral arguments.

### 11.3.1 Background

There are two existential forms which serve as a base for the four existential verbs in Dongwang. The first has arisen from the verb ${ }^{n} d o^{13}<$ 'dug $>$ 'to sit' (and sometimes 'to stay ${ }^{10}$ ) and co-occurs with animate S arguments. The second $\mathrm{ze}^{13}$ <yod> 'to exist' can be extended to locational or to possessives, and co-occurs with inanimate S arguments. In two-argument clauses, the ${ }^{n} d o$ forms occur in clauses with animate possessed arguments and ze forms occur in clauses with inanimate possessed arguments.

### 11.3.2 Existential clauses

Existential clauses in Dongwang are constructed with a single unmarked S argument and an existential verb. Locational clauses can be seen as an extension of existentials in that they share the same templatic structure but may additionally contain a locative NP or adverb. Possessive clauses are constructed with a dativemarked possessor (POSR) and an unmarked possessed argument (POSD). These can be schematicized as:

| Existential: | $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{S}}$ |  | Exist | 'X exists' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Locational: | $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{S}} \quad$ (LOC) |  | Exist | 'X exists in/at/on Y' |
| Possessive: | $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {POSR }}-\mathrm{DAT}$ | $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {POSD }}$ | Exist | 'Y has X' |

[^139]Existential clauses minimally involve one (optional) core argument:

Elicited1496
(21). $\quad h a^{53 n} d z i^{11} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$
demon EX.AN.OTHR
'Demon/s exist $\sim$ there is/are demon/s'.

While it seems unusual to simply state that someone or something exists, characters are often introduced into narrative texts by using this type of existential clause construction:

RabbitA007: Existential clause
(22).
$d z u{ }^{13} \quad$ tçi ${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ? \quad s$
shepherd INDF EX.AN.OTHR HS
'There was a shepherd'.
Locational clauses are constructed from the same template as existential clauses with the addition of a location:

Elicited1461: Locational
$a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}$ cư $\tilde{u}^{55}=n ə \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ?$
child house =LOC EX.AN.OTHR
'(The) child is in the house'

The inanimate form functions in the same way:

Elicited1460: Locational

1SGEN cupCH =LOC water EX.INAN.OTHR
'There is water in my cup'

KillPig060: Existence
(25). $t \boldsymbol{t}^{55} g \tilde{o}^{13}=j æ \quad t s u 0^{53} \quad z \mathrm{e}^{11} d z i ?$
that top =LOC lard EX.INAN.SELF
'(There) is lard on top of that (on top of the intestines)'

The notion of existence is closely related to the notion of possession in Dongwang, but rather than a locational oblique argument, the possessor argument is indicated by the dative case.

## Elicited136 Elicited1198

7a $a^{13} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d o$
1SDAT child EX.AN.SELF

'I have (a) child/children'
'I have (a) book/s'

The use of the existential can contribute a sense that the speaker's knowledge is old knowledge. This is usually 'old knowledge' relative to the speaker's assessment of the time depth of the hearer's knowledge. For example, it is locally known that apples from Pongding village are delicious. If, as an outsider, I did not know this and Pongding friends were telling me that they were indeed good to eat, they would use the existential form.

Elicited
(28). $s \boldsymbol{s}^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} \quad \mathrm{ze}{ }^{11} d z i ?$
tasty EX.INAN.OTHR
'(Pongding apples) are tasty'

A speaker's old knowledge can be contrasted with that which is understood at utterance time.

Elicited
(29).
$s 9^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55}$ re
tasty COP.OTHR
'It is tasty'
Example (28) expresses something that the speaker has known for a long time, in this case, that Pongding apples are tasty. Example (29) expresses something that the speaker knows at the time of utterance, specifically that the food is tasty at the time of eating.

### 11.4 Clauses with lexical verbs

Clauses with lexical verbs (§4.2.4) can have one (S), two (A, P) or three (A, P, IO) core arguments depending on the valence of the verb, plus any peripheral arguments the speaker wants to include.

### 11.4.1 Intransitive clauses

Intransitive clauses maximally involve a verb and one core argument (S) and any locational or manner oblique arguments that might be included. The core argument can be omitted.

Recall from §4.1 that control verbs are verbs over which a person has the ability to exert effort that can potentially, or actually, determine the outcome of an event. Whether a clause has a control or non-control verb has ramifications for the shape of the argument/s and the selection of auxiliary. Usually non-control verbs
cannot co-occur with intentional auxiliaries. Control verbs can occur with either intentional or non-intentional auxiliaries.

The following section discusses intransitive clauses with non-control verbs or 'patient-type' intransitives and those with control verbs or 'agent-type' intransitives ${ }^{11}$.

### 11.4.1.1 Patient-type intransitives

A patient-type intransitive clause is a clause with a non-control verb and a core argument that is semantically patient-like $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$. There are several syntactic and morphosyntactic tests which distinguish patient-type intransitive clauses from other types of intransitive clauses. In the following section, I discuss three features of patient-type intransitives in clauses with first-person core arguments ${ }^{12}$ :

- S argument must be in absolutive case
- clauses cannot contain future intentional auxiliary $t s i ̃$ or past intentional auxiliary ji.
- cannot form imperatives

[^140]In patient-type intransitive clauses with first-person arguments, the S argument is absolutive. The egodeictic auxiliary sõ can be used in all patient-type clauses and the OTHER auxiliary form can be used in some patient-type clauses. ${ }^{13}$

Elicited139
$\eta a^{13} a^{55} b æ P^{53}$ tçi tu ${ }^{53}$ sõ
1SABS bad.INTENS INDF hungry EGO
'I am really hungry'

Elicited098
(31). $\eta a^{13} \quad t 6^{h} e^{53}$ sõ

1SABS tired EGO
'I am tired'

The $S$ arguments in (30) and (31) are both absolutive pronouns and co-occur with the egodeictic auxiliary sõ.

OTHER auxiliary forms can also be used in clauses with first-person S
arguments when the speaker is not in control of the action specified in the clause:

Elicited783 Elicited095

| $\eta a^{13}$ | $d z ə O^{353}$ | $\eta a^{53}$ | sõ | (33). | $\eta a^{13}$ | $d z ə o^{353}$ | $\eta a^{53}$ | $d z i ?$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SABS fall | NGA | EGO |  | 1SABS | fall | NGA | OTHR |  |
| 'I fell down' |  |  |  | 'I fell down' |  |  |  |  |

In some intransitive first-person clauses with verbs that are non-control and which express physical sensation or bodily function, the intentional perfective or

[^141]prospective auxiliary SELF forms $j i$ and $z \tilde{z}$ are considered ungrammatical. The
following is a list of such verbs:
$t u^{53}$ 'to be hungry', $t \epsilon^{h} e^{53}$ 'to be tired' (physically), $k \tilde{o}^{53}$ 'to be thirsty', $\varsigma^{h} a^{53}$ 'to be cold', $s a^{53}$ 'to be hot', $\check{z a} a^{53}$ 'to be fat', $n a^{13}$ 'to be sick', $t s a^{13}$ 'to recover', $k a^{53}$ 'to be tired' (mentally), $\eta u u^{55}{ }_{6 i} i^{11} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to sweat', $s i^{55} t \epsilon^{h} a^{53}$ 'to itch', $s \boldsymbol{s}^{53}$ 'to die ${ }^{14}, \widetilde{I}^{33}$ 'to arrive', ni ${ }^{55} t s u^{11}$ 'to fall asleep', $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} p^{h} \tilde{a}^{11}$ 'to regret'

### 11.4.1.2 Agent-type intransitive clauses

An agent-type intransitive clause is a clause with a control verb and a core argument that is semantically agent-like. The inverse of the same three features used in §9.4.1.1 above can be used here for first-person clauses:

- S argument can be in absolutive or ergative case
- clause can contain the future intentional auxiliary zĩ or the past intentional auxiliary ji.
- clause cannot contain the egodeictic auxiliary $s \tilde{O}$
- can form imperatives

Elicited105
(34).
na ${ }^{13} \quad \check{ } ə^{13} \quad=n ə \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \mathrm{ji}$
1SABS mountain $=$ LOC go COP.SELF
'I went up the mountain'
(35).
${ }^{*} \eta a^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ sõ
*1SABS go EGO
${ }^{14}$ There are obvious semantic reasons why $s \sigma^{53}$ cannot co-occur with the egodeictic auxiliary sõ.

## Elicited105


1SABS mountain $=$ LOC go COP.SELF
'I am going up the mountain'

| ${ }^{*} \eta a^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ | $a$ | $w a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $*$ | 1SABS | go | QST |

In the examples above, the verb 'to go' can be used with the past tense intentional auxiliary $j i$ or the future intentional auxiliary $z \tilde{z i}$.

Imperatives cannot be formed with non-control verbs. With control verbs, imperative clauses can be formed with a second-person $S$ argument and either the OTHER copula, the imperative verb form of $w \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'to come', or the V2 imperative $\varphi u$ (§9.2.1).

Elicited880
(38). $\quad 6 e^{55} \quad j i^{13}$ re

2SABS sleep COP.OTHR
'Go to sleep'

Elicited210
(39).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
c e^{55} & w \partial^{55} \mu i^{53} & =t s ə & z \partial- & c u^{53} \\
\text { 2SABS } & \text { 1PLGEN } & =\text { ALL } & \text { up } & \text { come.IMP }
\end{array}
$$

'Come to my house'

A list of control intransitive verbs is given below:
${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ 'to go', wu $\tilde{u}^{13}$ 'to come', $p^{h} u u^{353}$ 'to run', $p^{h} a^{353}$ 'to hop', $p^{h} u^{53}$ 'to jump down', $\operatorname{ts\tilde {c}_{1}{}^{53}\eta a}$ 'to exert', $j \tilde{o}^{13}$ 'to get up', gui ${ }^{53}$ 'to endure', $k \tilde{i}^{55} b a^{53} \mathrm{pu}^{11}$, 'to walk', $z_{i}{ }^{11} n i^{55} j e^{11}$ 'to lie down on one's side', ${ }^{n} d o^{353}$ 'to sit', sse ${ }^{55} W a^{53} d \tilde{o}^{11}$ 'to
 $t s u^{55} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'to sing', $k ə:^{13}$ 'to hide'

There are some verbs that are neutral with respect to control (§4.1.2 and §9.2.1.1.1). That is, some verbs can occur in both clause types and the parameter of control is determined not by the verb, but by clause-level morphology. Compare the following examples:

Elicited984 Elicited1538

| $\eta a^{13}$ | $t s i^{11} p a^{55} j \mathrm{e}^{11}$ | sõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SABS | sneeze | EGO |

'I sneezed'
(41). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad t \leq i^{11} p a^{55} \mathrm{je}^{11} \quad j i$

1SERG sneeze COP.SELF.PFV
'I sneezed'

Example (40) describes an unintentional sneeze and (41) an intentional sneeze that the speaker did on purpose, either due to failure to control the sneeze or due to really trying to sneeze. Similarly, examples (32) and (33) above illustrated the verb $d z 200^{353}$
'to fall' in patient-type intransitive clauses, but $d z \partial O^{353}$ can also occur in agent-type intransitive clauses as in the following:

Elicited 1561
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k \tilde{o}^{55} t s o^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad d z ə o^{353}$ - $\partial a \quad j i$
1SERG intentionally thither fall -NGA COP.SELF.PFV
'I fell down on purpose'

The clause in (42) could be said if someone had pretended to fall as a joke. Other verbs in my database that occur in both clause types include $s^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{55} d \tilde{o}^{11}$ 'to fart', $j u u^{13}$
'to cough', and $\eta \partial^{13}$ 'to cry'. The interaction between a particular verb and the accompanying morphology to express categories of intention or agentivity is quite complex. At this point, much more research on naturally-occurring data is needed to adequately treat the subject.

### 11.4.1.3 Weather verbs

Clauses that express meteorological events have a single argument, but are different from other intransitive verbs with a single argument. In clauses with meteorological verbs, the single argument, together with the verb, comprise the predicate.

Elicited 1216

| $t c^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} W^{55}$ | pur $^{13}$ | rã | $t^{h} \dot{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rain | fall | IMM | EVI.PFV |
| 'It is about to rain' |  |  |  |

This is very similar to a single argument intransitive clause such as the following:

Elicited

```
\(t c a^{11} z a^{53} p u u^{13} r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad t^{h} \dot{i}\)
airplane fall IMM EVI.PFV
'The plane is about to land'
```

The difference between the two is very subtle, but can be seen in the ungrammaticality of weather verbs with a directional prefix:

Elicited
(45).
$t \in a^{11} z_{a}^{53}$ mbo- puu ${ }^{13}$ rã $\quad t^{h} i$
airplane down- fall IMM EVI.PFV
'The plane is about to land'

Elicited
(46).
${ }^{*} t c^{h} a^{11}{ }_{W a}{ }^{55}$ mbo- puu ${ }^{13}$ rã $\quad t^{h} i$
*rain down- fall IMM EVI.PFV

Other clauses that express the weather are similarly constructed:

Elicited848
(47). $l \tilde{o}^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad$ õ
wind VBZR.DO EGO
'The wind is blowing ${ }^{15}$

Youth005
(48). na ${ }^{53} \mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{si}^{53}=j æ$
sky NEG open =DAT
'Before dawn',

### 11.4.2 Transitive clauses

Transitive clauses have two core arguments ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}$ ) and are distinct from intransitive clauses only in the number and type of arguments they can have. There are various factors that condition the speaker's choice of auxiliary and casemarking in

[^142]a transitive clause. Only control verbs can co-occur in clauses with an intentional auxiliary. Ergative marking on an A argument usually means that the action was initiated by the A argument in some way. Auxiliaries, as well as arguments, are frequently left unexpressed.

### 11.4.2.1 Transitive clauses with control verbs

Control verbs were discussed in $\S 4.1 .3$ and $\S 9.2 .1 .1 .1$. Recall that auxiliaries provide two diagnostic tests for determining control verbs: 1) they can co-occur in clauses with first-person A arguments and the auxiliary $z \tilde{z}$ and 2) they cannot occur in clauses with first-person A arguments and the auxiliary sõ. Agent-type transitive clauses are those in which clauses with first-person A arguments can be marked by the ergative and can co-occur with the prospective intentional auxiliary zĩ.

Agent-type transitive clauses contain clauses that qualify them as being highly transitive along the lines of Hopper and Thompson (1980).

Elicited838
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k \tilde{o}^{55} t s O^{53} n i \quad p e^{55} t s \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad t s u^{53} \quad j i$
1SERG intentionally cupCH thither break COP.SELF.PFV
'I broke the/a cup on purpose'

Elicited838
(50).

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $p \mathrm{e}^{55} t s \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11}$ | $p^{h} 2$ | $t s u^{53}$ | $j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | 3SGEN | cupCH | thither | break | COP.SELF.PFV |
| 'I broke his cup (on purpose)' |  |  |  |  |  |

The elicited clauses in (49) and (50) contain a control verb, volitional first-person agent, affected patient and completed action. The addition of the intentional adverb in (49) makes overt reference to intention, but it is not necessary as is seen in (50).

Other transitive clauses with control verbs allow the same argument configuration, but do not require it. For example, if a speaker accidentally breaks a cup, he may say:

Elicited

| $\eta e^{13}$ | $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $p e^{55} t s \boldsymbol{\theta}^{11}$ | $p^{h} \partial-$ | $t s u^{53}$ | ra | $t^{h} \dot{i}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | 3SGEN | cupCH | thither | break | RA | EVI.PFV |
| 'I broke his cup (accidentally)' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The clause in (51) indicates that the speaker is the cause, but not an intentional cause. The perfective evidential $t^{h}$ i places the speaker in the role of observer.

But the perfective SELF form can co-occur in some clauses that do not have control or ambi-control verbs. Some verbs of cognition and emotion can co-occur with the perfective SELF form in first-person clauses, but not with the prospective SELF form.

Elicited
(52).
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} u i^{55}=g \tilde{o} \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \xi \tilde{J}^{53} \quad j i$
1SERG 3SGEN =obj believe COP.SELF.PST
'I believe/d him'

Elicited

```
*\etae }\mp@subsup{}{}{13}\quad\mp@subsup{k}{}{h}u\mp@subsup{i}{}{55}=g\tilde{o}\quadt\mp@subsup{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}{}{55}k\mp@subsup{\tilde{o}}{}{53}\quadZ\tilde{\imath
1SERG 3SGEN =obj believe COP.SELF
*'I will believe him'
```

Only a fully controllable verb such as $t_{S} u^{53}$ can co-occur with the prospective marker.

In non-first-person transitive clauses, the issue of control is not relevant as speakers do not attribute parameters of intention to non-first-persons, but there are a few relevant sentence types with non-first-person A arguments that can be mentioned here. First, transitive clauses in which the speaker is the benefactee, recipient, or patient are marked with the final egodeictic auxiliary sõ. If the speaker is represented by an overt argument, it is in dative case.

Elicited

1SGEN man tea boil LEAD EGO
'My man boiled tea (for me)'

Elicited
(55).

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $j \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55}$ | $d Z ə \partial o^{53}$ | $d e$ | $s \tilde{o}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SGEN | 1SGEN | hand | hit | CONT | EGO |

'S/he hit my hand'

Elicited

| $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $a^{11} z^{\prime} i^{55}$ | 7a ${ }^{13}$ | $t ¢ a 0^{11} c^{\text {a }}{ }^{55}{ }^{\text {ctci }}$ | $t ¢ i$ | $t e^{53}$ | sô |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 SGEN | old.sister | 1 SDAT | cameraCH | INDF | give | EGO |
| 'My older sister gave me a camera' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The final auxiliary $d z i P$ is generally used in clauses with non-first-person A or $S$ arguments to express a definite statement. This is the most common form used for
the event line of third-person narrative clauses. ${ }^{16}$ This can be seen in the structure of the short folk story The Rabbit and The Crane.

1 A long time ago there was an old man and an old woman ${ }^{n} d o{ }^{11} d z i ?$ se
2 Then there was a rabbit and a crane ${ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i ? ~ s e$
3 Then the crane said to the rabbit: 'do you want me to make you laugh so hard you will split your lip?'
dzi? se
4 Then 'OK' (the rabbit) said dzi? se
5 When the crane perched on the grandpa's head, the grandma took a shovel and hit grandpa's head and killed him dzi?

6 The rabbit laughed (so hard) and split his lip dzi?
7 Then again (the crane) said to the rabbit 'Should I make you cry (so hard that) your face becomes swollen?' dzî se
8 The rabbit said 'do it, do it' dzi? se
9 (The crane) said 'run over to the road beside that thing called a trap dzi? se
10 Saying 'OK', (the rabbit) ran to the road thing called a trap dzi? se
11 A dog chased (the rabbit) and after the rabbit cried hard (his) face swelled up dzi? se

There are eleven finite clauses in the text above, each of which is marked by a final auxiliary. Other than the existential verbs which introduce the participants, all the finite clauses end in the OTHER auxiliary $d z i$, followed by the hearsay particle se.

While such neat clause boundaries are absent in more spontaneous text, use of $d z i P$ in narrative texts is clear in The Rabbit and The Crane.

[^143]
### 11.4.2.2 Transitive clauses with non-control verbs

Transitive clauses can also be formed using non-control verbs. In first-person clauses, there are certain restrictions that apply depending upon the non-control verb contained in the clause. In some clauses with non-control verbs, the A argument can be in ergative case ${ }^{17}$ and the egodeictic auxiliary sõ can be used.

## Elicited801

| $\eta e^{13}$ | $c i^{55} n a^{53}$ | $k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$ | $t c i$ | $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ | sõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | 2PL | all | INDF | see | EGO |
| 'I saw all of you' |  |  |  |  |  |

Elicited533
(58). ${ }^{n} d o{ }^{11} S \tilde{u}^{55} s \rho^{55} g \partial^{11} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad c \rho^{53} \quad{ }^{n} g a^{11} r \rho^{55} \quad t \epsilon i$
last.night 1SERG dog some INDF
sui ${ }^{13} \quad \mathrm{ke}^{53} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ sõ
bark sound hear EGO
'Last night I heard some dogs barking'

Both clauses (57) and (58) contain A arguments in ergative case and the egodeictic auxiliary sõ. In such clauses we can say that the ergative indicates that the speaker is instigator of the action, while the egodeictic auxiliary indicates that the speaker's action is unintentional.

[^144]I have found less than ten verbs that participate in this type of clause: $t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ 'to see', $t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ 'to hear', $t s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}$ 'to remember', $n a^{53} n u u^{11}$ 'to dream', $d z e^{353}$ 'to forget', $s i^{53}$ 'to understand', 'to know how to', $d \tilde{\mathfrak{w}}^{13} \xi \tilde{o}^{353}$ 'to believe', and $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'to think'.

The second type of transitive clause with non-control verbs are those in which the first-person A argument is not agentive, but goal-like. First-person A arguments in these clauses can be in dative case and can co-occur in clauses with the egodeictic auxiliary sõ.

Elicited412

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta e^{13} & z i^{11} k i^{55} & \text { pa }{ }^{13} & t s^{h}{ }^{2}- & j \tilde{x}^{13} & s \tilde{o}  \tag{59}\\
\text { 1SGEN } & \text { book } & \text { 1SDAT } & \text { hither } & \text { find } & \text { EGO } \\
\text { 'I found my book' } & & &
\end{array}
$$

The act of finding in (59) may or may not have been preceded by the speaker's intentional searching for her book. The verb $t s i^{53}$ 'to search for' designates a controllable act, one that can be intentionally performed. But the outcome of searching, whether or not an object is found, is beyond one's control. Thus the noncontrol verb $j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ is used with a dative-casemarked argument to express that the object searched for ended up in one's possession.

## Elicited

$\eta a^{13} \quad c e^{55} d \tilde{a}^{353}$ sõ
1SERG 2 s like EGO
'I like you'

The verb dãa ${ }^{353}$ 'to like' is also a non-control verb. The emotion of liking is represented in Dongwang as being in a location, namely with the one who experiences the emotion.

### 11.4.3 Ditransitive clauses

Ditransitive clauses have three core arguments $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{IO})$ and a control verb. Usually, A arguments are ergative, P arguments are absolutive, and IO arguments are dative casemarked. As with other types of clauses, it is rare that all arguments are overtly expressed. The following sentence illustrates all three of these arguments:

HeartAttack088/089
(61).
$a^{11} W \tilde{u}^{55} \eta e^{13} \quad d \not \approx a^{11} z a^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{55}$-nə ro
again 1SERG hoe carry -NMZR TOP
$m a^{13} \quad g e^{11} z \tilde{a}^{53}=j æ \quad p^{h} \partial \quad t e^{53}$ да
mother Gezang =DAT thither give NGA
'The hoe I was carrying, (I) gave to Mother Gezang'.

In ditransitive clauses constructed from a verb of saying (e.g., so ${ }^{13}$ 'to say'),
the quoted speech complement patterns like a P argument and the addressee patterns like an IO argument.

GetMar036
(62).

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ | $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | ro | $\left[\eta a^{13}\right.$ | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | tsi | $m æ]$ | $\tilde{I}^{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | 1SERG | TOP | 1SABS | go | IMPV | NEG.COP.SELF | say.PFV |
| 'Then I said, 'I am not going'. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In (62), the bracketed clause is an object complement. Complement clauses will be discussed in more detail in the next chapter. In ditransitive clauses with an overt addressee, the A argument is in ergative case, the complement is unmarked (absolutive), and the addressee is in dative case.

### 11.5 Zero-auxiliary clauses

In my text database, auxiliaries are frequently omitted. This is interesting given the range of meanings contained in the auxiliaries. A full examination of the role of auxiliaries in discourse is yet to be undertaken, but a few observations can be made regarding their occurrence or non-occurrence.

In first-person elicited clauses, speakers show a strong dispreference for expressing an overt A argument and a final auxiliary.

Elicited115
$\eta e^{13} \quad t \tilde{o}^{55} w a \tilde{a}^{53} \quad k e^{53} \quad z \tilde{o}$
1SERG Dongwang speech study
'I am studying/will study/studied Dongwang speech'

Elicited157
(64).
$\eta e^{13} \quad s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad t \epsilon^{h} a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$
1SERG food eat PFV
'I have finished eating'

Clauses such as (63) and (64) above frequently occur without auxiliaries because the context of such clauses determines why such clauses would be uttered. For example, someone might ask:

Elicited 155
(65).
( $6 i^{55}$ ) $\quad s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad t \varphi^{h} a^{53} \quad a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{E}}$
2SERG food eat QST PFV
'Have (you) finished eating?'

In second-person questions, the second-person pronoun is optional because the second-person referent is made clear by the immediate context. Additionally, the final auxiliary $j i$ in (65) is omitted because the time and person reference is clear. The addressee commonly answers:

## Elicited155

(66).
( $6 i^{55}$ ) $\quad s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad t \epsilon^{h} a^{53} \quad a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{W}}$
2SERG food eat QST PFV
'Have (you) finished eating?'

Elicited157
(67). ( $\mathrm{ge}^{13}$ ) $s \tilde{\mathfrak{ß}}^{13} \quad t 6^{h} a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{E}}$

1SERG food eat PFV
'(I) have finished eating'

Sometimes, third-person references result in real ambiguity if taken out of the immediate context:

MyLife064
(68). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial \quad h a^{55} d z \tilde{o}^{53}$ dã $\tilde{a}^{353}$ si
then FILLER extremely like MOD
'Then, oh, (the teacher/I) really liked (me/the teacher)'

Clause (68) occurs in a personal biography in which the narrator is talking about the teachers she had as a child. Several speakers were asked to listen to the clause in isolation and then asked who the referents were. None were able to determine
whether the text meant Someone liked me or I liked someone. Then the same speakers were asked to listen to the surrounding context and still were unable to say with certainty whether the narrator intended to say The teacher liked me or I liked the teacher, but guessed that it was the former. This is indeed the meaning the narrator intended.

## GetMar008

$p \boldsymbol{a}^{11} \widetilde{S I}^{55} \quad=j i \quad p a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{55} \eta \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{11}$
children =ERG parents obey
'Children obey their parents'
In (69) above, the A argument is ergative, but there is no auxiliary. A 'complete' sentence could have been uttered with the final auxiliary $d z i$. In the context of (69), the narrator is explaining why she has to obey her parents, namely that in their culture, children obey their parents.

If the clause below were removed from context, the listener would be uncertain who was being respected.

## GetMar084

(70).

| $a^{55} n i^{11}$ | $a^{55} m o^{53}$ | $\mathrm{nu}^{53}$ | $=j i$ | $t s a^{55} d \not t \tilde{x}^{53}$ | $j e^{13}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| g'pa | g'ma | two | $=$ ERG | respect | VBZR.DO |

'Grandpa and grandma respected $m e^{\prime}$

The clause in (70) is preceded by the dependent clause When (I) arrived at Zhage's house, ' so it is clear that the speaker is the object of respect.

Several extreme examples of texts with very few auxiliaries can be found. In the text Getting Divorced, there is a total of forty-four dependent and independent
clauses. Six dependent clauses occur with dependent morphology and four independent clauses occur with the OTHER copula re to express stative clauses. Thirtyfour independent clauses occur with no auxiliary.

GetDivA16-22

then man husband lead -NMZR man =ERG TOP
$\eta a^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad p \mathfrak{x}^{53} \quad$-ra
1SABS thither discard -RA
(72). $\quad l i^{11} k^{h} u i^{55} \quad j e$
divorceCH vBZR.DO
(73).
$p^{h}$ ə $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53} \quad-r a$
thither discard -RA
(74).
$p^{h} \partial \quad s i^{55}$
thither separate
(75). $p^{h} \partial \quad r \tilde{o}^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55} \quad s i^{55}$
thither REFLEX separate
'Then the man that (my parents) had brought to be (my husband) rejected me. (We) divorced. (He) rejected (me). (We) separated. (We) ourselves separated.'

In (71) the narrator establishes who the participants are and the subsequent text relates the details. Since there is no finite marking, intonation also plays an important role to provide cues for clause breaks. Lack of finite marking suggests the importance of the functional load of intonation and the speaker's reliance on establishing context.

### 11.6 Interrogative clauses

In the following section, I discuss three types of interrogative clauses: those constructed from an interrogative pronoun, those constructed from an interrogative particle, and those constructed by juxtaposing two clauses.

### 11.6.1 Interrogative clauses with interrogative pronouns

Clauses constructed from an interrogative pronoun can be considered information clauses in that the answer the speaker is seeking is open-ended. In copular or existential interrogative clauses, the interrogative pronoun (§3.2.6) occurs before the copula or existential verb:

HeartAttack 095
(76).

| $t 6^{h} \partial^{53}$ | $t s \tilde{o}^{53}$ | $-n \partial$ | $\underline{s a^{55}}$ | $z \tilde{1}$ | $s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| water | block | -NMZR | who | COP.SELF | QTV |

'Who is the one who blocked the water? (she) said'.

Elicited1481
(77). meiguoCH $\mathrm{ka}^{13}$ re

America where COP.OTHR
'Where is America?'

Elicited135
(78).
$\varphi_{6}{ }^{55} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad \mathrm{ka}^{11} \mathrm{Zi}^{55} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{do}$
2SDAT child/ren how.many EX.AN.SELF
'How many children do you have?'

Prod063
$\epsilon \tilde{u}^{55} \quad=n ə \quad \mathrm{ka}^{11 n} d \partial^{55} \quad \operatorname{tsi}{ }^{55} \mathrm{Wa}^{53} \quad \mathrm{ze}^{11} d z i १^{55} \quad S$
house $=$ LOC what event EX.INAN.OTHR HS
'What is going on in the house?'

In interrogative clauses with a lexical verb, the interrogative pronoun occurs
before the verb:

HeartAttack 103/104
(80).
$\mathrm{ka}^{11 n} \mathrm{de} \mathrm{e}^{55} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ sõ $\tilde{o}^{11} \quad 6 i^{55} \quad \underline{n a i^{13}} t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}$ what do EGO 2SERG when see
'What did (I) do? When did you see (it)?'

Elicited069
$k^{h} e^{55}$ tso na: $i^{13}$ zo- wũ ${ }^{13}$ tsi re 3SABS hither when up come IMPFV COP.OTHR 'When will s/he come back?'

### 11.6.2 Interrogative clauses with question particles

Polar questions are questions in which the answer the speaker is seeking will either be affirmative or negative. They are constructed from the question particle $a^{53}$ which can occur before the final copula or existential, before the lexical verb, or before the modal (and after the verb).

Elicited232: Question particle before copula
(82). $\quad k^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \quad p \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} n a^{53} \quad a^{53}-\quad$ re

3SABS woman QST COP.OTHR
'Is s/he a woman?'

Elicited232: Question particle before existential
$\epsilon a^{55} \quad s o^{11} W^{55} \quad a^{53}-\quad z e$
2SDAT hat QST EX.INAN.SELF
'Do you have a hat?'

## Elicited1492

| $c e^{55}$ | $t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{53}$ | $n 2^{13}$ | $\tilde{a}^{53}$ | $\left[\sim a^{53}\right.$ | $z \tilde{1}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SABS | Dongwang | person | QST | $[\sim$ QST | COP.SELF $]$ |
| 'Are you a Dongwanger?' |  |  |  |  |  |

The formation of polar question clauses with lexical verbs is complex.
Different strategies are employed dependent upon the controllability of the verb in the clause, the person of the S or A argument of the clause, and the tense/aspect of the clause.

### 11.6.3 Polar question clauses with control lexical verbs

In clauses with second-person S or A arguments, the question particle $a^{53}$ has merged with the intentional auxiliary forms $z \tilde{\imath}$ (prospective) or $d \widetilde{\nexists \imath}$ (imperfective).

The resultant forms $z \tilde{a}^{53}$ and $d z \tilde{a}^{53}$ follow the verb. Speakers seem unable to parse these question forms, suggesting they have fully merged into one question marker.

Elicited
$\epsilon i^{55} \quad k^{h} 2^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad z \tilde{a}^{53}$
2SERG 3SABS hit QST.PROSP
'Are you going to hit him?'

Elicited941

```
\(w \partial^{55 n} d z a^{53}=k \tilde{i} \quad s \tilde{x}^{13} \quad t \epsilon^{h} a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad z \tilde{\imath}\)
1PL =PL food eat go COP.SELF
\(c e^{55}{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad z \tilde{a}^{53} \quad\) rõ
2S go QST.PROSP COND
'We are going to eat? Are you going?'
```

The use of the conditional marker in question constructions such as as (86) serves a politeness function.

Elicited
$6 i^{55} \quad k^{h} 2^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad d z \tilde{q}^{53}$
2SERG 3SABS hit QST.IPFV
'Did you hit him?'

Elicited
il $^{55} \quad k^{h}{ }^{55}$ dõ $\tilde{o}^{353}$ de $d z \tilde{q}^{53}$
2SERG 3SABS hit CONT QST.IPFV
'Are you hitting him?' (e.g., while talking on the phone)

Due to issues surrounding 'privileged access' (§9.2.1.2) and evidentiality, clauses with non-second-person A arguments are less regular. Due to the semantic and pragmatic constraints in Dongwang, English clauses such as Is he going to hit him? are likely to be expressed as Did he say he was going to hit him? or Does it look like he is going to hit him? in Dongwang. Speakers are likely to defer to the knowledge of the addressee when asking about events which have already taken place. In non-future clauses with third-person A arguments the question particle occurs after the verb:

Elicited
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad a^{53} \quad t^{h} i$
3SERG 3SABS hit QST VIS.PFV
'Did he hit him?' (=did you see him hit him?)

Elicited
(90). $k^{h} u i^{55} k^{h} 2^{55}$ dõ ${ }^{353}$ de $a^{53}$ re

3SERG 3SABS hit CONT QST COP.OTHR 'Is he hitting him?'

If there is any TAM marking in non-future clauses with second-person A arguments and control verbs, the question particle usually follows the verb, but precedes tense, aspect, or modality marking.

Elicited318
$\epsilon i^{55} \quad t_{6}^{h} a^{13} \quad a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{W}}$
2SERG eat QST PFV
'Have you finished eating?'

If there is any TAM marking in non-future clauses with third-person A arguments and control verbs, the question particle precedes the copula and follows the verb and other finite marking:

## Elicited

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $s \tilde{x}^{13}$ | $t 6^{h} a^{13}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ | $d z i ?$ | $a^{53}$ | re | rõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | food eat | PFV | OTHR | QST | COP.OTHR | COND |  |
| 'Has $s$ /he finished eating?' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

When there is more than one secondary verb that expresses TAM categories in non-future clauses, the question particle usually occurs before the final secondary verb or the final auxiliary if there is one. Compare the following three clauses:

Elicited
(93). $k^{h} 9^{55 / c} e^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad a^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$

3SABS/2SABS go QST IMM
'Is he/are you ready to go?'
Elicited
(94). $\quad k^{h} 9^{55} / 6 e^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d q$ u ${ }^{13}$ rã $a^{53} \quad t^{h} i$
$3 \mathrm{SABS} / 2 \mathrm{SABS}$ go IMM QST PFV
'Is he/are you already ready to go?'

Elicited
(95).

| ci $^{55}$ | ${ }^{n} g u u^{53}$ | $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ | rã | $a^{53}$ | $t^{h} i^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SERG | do | PFV | IMM | QST | PFV |
| 'Are you already almost finished?' |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.6.4 Polar question clauses with non-control lexical verbs

The construction of interrogative SELF clauses containing non-control verbs are different than for those containing control verbs. In non-future clauses the question particle precedes the irrealis egodeictic form zõ.

Elicited
ci ${ }^{55} \quad k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55} \quad w \partial^{55 n} d \partial^{11} \quad t S^{11}{ }^{11} a^{55} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad a^{53} \quad z \tilde{o}$
2SERG yesterday this news hear QST EGO.IR
'Did you hear the news yesterday?'

Elicited

| $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | $c \mathrm{e}^{55}$ | tsa $^{13}$ | $a^{53}$ | zõ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| now | 2SABS | recover | QST | EGO.IR |
| 'Are you recovered now?' |  |  |  |  |

An affirmative answer to the questions stated in (96) and (97) would contain the realis egodeictic form sõ.

Elicited
(98). $\quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ Sõ
hear EGO
'(I) heard'

## Elicited

(99).
$t \mathrm{tal}^{13} \quad$ sõ
recover $\quad$ EGO
'(I) am recovered

A negative answer to the questions stated in (98) and (99) would contain the irrealis egodeictic form zõ.

## Elicited

$\eta e^{13} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ ma- zõ
1SERG hear NEG EGO.IR
'I didn't hear'

## Elicited

(101). $\tilde{\mathfrak{w}}^{13}$ tssa ${ }^{13}$ ma- zõ
now recover NEG EGO.IR
'I am not recovered'

This split between realis and irrealis auxiliary forms also co-occurs in clauses with verbs of physical sensation such as $t u^{53}$ 'hungry', $s a^{53}$ 'hot', and $t 6^{h} e^{53}$ 'tired'. ${ }^{18}$

As with control verbs, clauses with third-person S arguments and non-control verbs must defer to the information of the addressee. Thus the parameter of control is neutralized:

[^145]Elicited
(102).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & k^{h} \partial^{55} & t_{s} a^{13} & d e & a^{53} & { }_{0} \tilde{o} \\ \text { now } & \text { 3SABS } & \text { recover } & \text { CONT } & \text { QST } & \text { EVI }\end{array}$
'Is s/he recovered now?' (have you seen him or her?)

### 11.6.5 Polar question clause juxtaposition

Either/or questions are constructed by juxtaposing two polar question clauses.
The conditional clause subordinator rõ is placed after the first clause:

Elicited1485
(103). $k^{h} 2^{55} \quad \mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad$ re $\quad a^{53}$ rõ za $^{13} \quad a^{53}$ re

3SABS Tibetan COP.OTHR QST COND Chinese QST COP.OTHR 'Is he Tibetan or Chinese?'

Elicited
(104).

| $c e^{55}$ | ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ | $z \tilde{a}^{53}$ | rõ | $m a-$ | ${ }^{n} d q u^{13}$ | $z \tilde{a}^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2s | go | QST.PROSP | COND | NEG | go | QST.PROSP |
| 'Are you going or not?' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.6.6 When questions are not questions

Using a question construction to elicit some sort of information is the most common use of interrogative clause constructions. But sometimes the question construction is used to express near certainty, politeness, or a future state or event. As discussed in §9.2.1.4, validationals are often constructed from the question particle
$a^{53}$. Apart from validationals, there are two main types of morphemes formed from the question particle that speakers use. The first type is found in pragmatic structures that I have called 'mutual interaction'. The second type is used to construct the hortative.

### 11.6.6.1 Mutual interaction

The final interrogative forms $a^{55} m b a^{53}$ and $a^{55} n a^{53}$ are frequently used when the speaker knows the answer, but either defers to the listener or wishes to draw the listener into the dialogue:

MyLife031
(105). $\quad w \partial^{55} n a^{53}=k i ̃ \quad l a \quad d o{ }^{11} r e^{55} \quad a^{55} m b a^{53}$
$1 \mathrm{PL} \quad=\mathrm{PL}$ also miserable mUT
'We were miserable, you know?'

MyLife316
(106). $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{pe}{ }^{55}$ tse to $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} d z u^{13}$ rã $d z i 2 \quad a^{55} n a^{53}$
then 1SGEN lifeCH SPEC now exhaust ingress OTHR MUT 'Now my life is about to be finished, huh?'

In (105) and (106) above, the speaker is not asking for information, but rather drawing the listener into the discourse by eliciting a response from the listener regarding the statement being made.

When a speaker addresses the listener, these forms are often used to defer to the listener's knowledge or to soften an accusation:

HeartAttack 122-124
(107).

| $c e^{55}$ | $m 9^{13}$ | amba |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2SABS | man | MUT |

$\epsilon i^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \nsucceq a^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad d z ə O^{53} \quad$ ze $\quad n i$
2SERG 1SABS cheek =OBJ hit EX.INAN.SELF NI
'You're a man, right? You hit my cheek,'

In (107), the speaker is not asking the addressee whether he is a man or not. Rather, she is saying 'You are a man and (yet) hit my cheek'. The clear undertone is calling his manhood into question since men do not hit women.

When a speaker is seeking confirmation or is stating something she is fairly certain of, she will also use $a^{55} m b a^{53}$ if addressing a second-person:

Elicited1493
(108).
$6 \mathrm{e}^{55} \quad 1 o^{55} \mathrm{Sa}^{11} \quad \mathrm{a}^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53}$
2SABS teacher MUT
'You are a teacher, right?'

Speakers can also put a question particle following the copula for the same pragmatic purposes:

Elicited 1493
(109).

$$
c e^{55} \quad 1 o^{55} S 9^{11} \text { re } \quad \tilde{a}^{53}
$$

2SABS teacher COP.OTHR QST
'You are a teacher, right?'

### 11.6.6.2 The hortative construction

The hortative construction is constructed from the question particle and the vague verb $j \mathrm{e}^{13}$ 'to do'.

Elicited

1EXCL two food eat go QST DO
'Let's us two go eat'

The only hortative construction I have in my database or that I have heard in conversation co-occurs with the verb 'to go'.

## Chapter 12 Clause Combining

In the last chapter, I discussed the construction of simple clauses in which I said a simple clause consists of one main verb and whatever secondary verbs, final auxiliaries, arguments and adjuncts may co-occur with it. In this chapter, I discuss complex clause constructions in which one or more dependent clauses combines with an independent clause to form a complex clause. A dependent clause is a non-finite clause that relies on a finite clause for the full expression of tense, aspect, person and/or evidential/validational categories. The term embedded will be used to characterize clauses, either dependent or independent, that function as a constituent of another clause. Clause combinations discussed in this chapter include adverbial clauses, clause chains, relative clauses and complement clauses.

### 12.1 Non-final clauses

In this section, I discuss two types of clauses that participate in multi-clausal constructions: adverbial clauses and chained clauses. The difference between the two is not always easy to ascertain and there can be considerable overlap between the two. Adverbial clauses are dependent clauses with morphology that explicitly indicates the type of relationship between the dependent and the independent clause. Chained clauses, or medial-verb clauses, are dependent clauses that also participate in a multiclause construction, but unlike adverbial clauses, the type of inter-clausal relationship is left implicit.

I have not found any syntactic evidence in Dongwang to suggest that adverbial clauses are distinct from chained clauses. Both sets of clauses have reduced verb morphology and some sort of dependent-marking morpheme. Both occur prior to a final clause. The difference appears to be a functional difference based on the type of dependent-marking morpheme that co-occurs with each clause.

Each of these will be discussed below, but first I would like to mention some important SELF/OTHER distinctions relevant to dependent clauses.

### 12.1.1 Neutralization of SELF/OTHER auxiliary forms

SELF/OTHER distinctions are usually neutralized in existential and equative dependent clauses. In a dependent clause with an existential auxiliary, only the SELF form occurs. Compare the following two sentences.

Elicited
(1). $k^{h} u a^{53} \quad \eta u u^{53} \quad$ ma $^{11} \mathrm{ma}^{55} \quad \underline{z e}^{11} d z z i ?$

3SDAT money much EX.INAN.OTHR
'S/he has a lot of money'

Elicited
(2). $\underline{k}^{h} u a^{53} \quad \eta u I^{53} \quad \underline{m a}^{11} m \partial^{55} \quad \underline{e} \quad \underline{\tilde{X}^{55}}$

3SDAT money much EX.INAN.SELF REN
na $a^{13}$ tsi ${ }^{53} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad d z i \hat{Y}$
1s look.for come OTHR
'When s/he has a lot of money, (s/he) comes looking for me'

In (2) the SELF form occurs in a non-first person clause and the OTHER form is considered ungrammatical. This is true of both animate and inanimate existential constructions in dependent clauses.

In dependent copular clauses, the situation is a bit more complicated.
Although the SELF forms are more frequent in dependent clauses in my data, both SELF and OTHER forms are allowed. Under normal conditions, the following clauses would be considered ungrammatical.
(3). $\quad * k^{h} a^{55} \quad \max _{0} \tilde{\dddot{x}}^{13} b a^{55} \quad z \tilde{1}$

3 s doctor COP.SELF
*'He is a doctor'
(4). * $\eta a^{13} \operatorname{mox}_{o} \tilde{\mathscr{E}}^{13} b a^{55}$ re 1 s doctor COP.OTHR *'I am a doctor'

In some dependent clauses, however, both $Z i \pi$ and re can occur with no apparent meaning difference.

Elicited
(5).
$k^{h} \partial^{55} \quad \mathrm{pe}^{13} \quad \mathrm{re} / \overline{Z 1} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad a^{11} l a^{55} \quad a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{353} \quad$ no 3s Tibetan SELF/OTHER REN folk.song well VBZR VIS.IPFV 'Because he is Tibetan, (he) can sing a (Tibetan) folk song well'

Elicited
(6).
 1s girl SELF/OTHER REN rock carry.on.back NEED FUT.NEG 'Because I am a girl, (I) shouldn't carry rocks on my back'

My consultant says that the sentences containing either SELF or OTHER forms in (5) and (6) mean the same thing (although a careful discourse study might prove differently). However, it turns out that SELF vs. OTHER forms in some clauses do have
different implications. Preliminary research suggests that the use of SELF or OTHER copulas in dependent clauses do not change the meaning if the clause expresses inalienable attributes (e.g., Tibetan, girl). However, the use of $\tilde{z i}$ versus $r e$ in dependent clauses that express alienable attributes can affect the meaning.

## Elicited

| $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ | $m \tilde{x}^{13} b a^{55}$ | $\underline{Z \tilde{1}}$ | $\underline{\tilde{x}^{55}}$ | $k^{h} u a^{53}$ | $k^{h} \partial O$ | $d z \partial O^{353}$ | $t t^{h} u^{53}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3S | doctor | SELF | REN | 3SDAT | injection | VBZR | PERM |
| 'Because s/he is a doctor, I will let him/her (give me) a shot' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Elicited
(8). $k^{h} 9^{55} \quad m_{0} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} b a^{55} \quad \underline{\text { re }} \quad \underline{\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}} \quad k^{h} u a^{53} \quad k^{h} \partial O \quad d z \partial O^{353} \quad t c^{h} u^{53}$ 3S doctor OTHR REN 3SDAT injection VBZR PERM 'When s/he is (becomes) a doctor, I will let him/her (give me) a shot'

The difference between (7) and (8) might be one of tense/aspect/mode. In (7) the dependent clause ('Because s/he is a doctor') is an actual fact, but in (8) ('When s/he is (becomes) a doctor') the dependent clause is a potential fact. At this point, my data is insufficient to do more than posit a very tentative analysis, as more research is needed on this aspect of the SELF/OTHER system.

### 12.1.2 Adverbial clauses

Chapter Six discussed adverbs, a class of words that modify a verb in some way. An adverbial clause, then, can be described as a clause that 'modifies a verb phrase or sentence' (Thompson and Longacre 1985: 172). Most adverbial clauses in Dongwang are dependent clauses that always occur before the independent clause
they modify. ${ }^{1}$ They bear a morpheme that explicitly indicates the type of relationship the adverbial clause holds with the final clause. Only the topic marker ro can (optionally) follow an adverbial clause. Adverbial clauses can be characterized prosodically as having a unified intonation contour, possibly ending with a continuing intonation and possibly followed by a noticeable pause.

The table below lists the types of adverbial clause types found in Dongwang and the morpheme which signals each type.

| Type | Form | Relation to final clause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | $p{ }^{55} / a$ | simultaneous time |
|  | pe ${ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}$ | simultaneous time, same subject |
|  | $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$ | subsequent, overlapping time |
|  | ${ }^{n} d z a^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53}$ | immediately sequential time |
|  | jæ | posterior time |
|  |  | anterior time (ma-Vjæ) |
| Conditional | rõ | conditional |
| Manner | ni | manner |
| Purpose | $\begin{aligned} & t^{h} a^{55} k e^{53} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | purpose |
| Concessive | la, bi, ze ${ }^{11} r 9^{55}$ | concessive |
| Restrictive | $m b 9^{11} t s^{h}{ }^{\text {5 }}{ }^{55}$ | restrictive |

TABLE 29: ADVERBIAL CLAUSE MARKERS IN DONGWANG

In the following section, I have used a gloss for each adverbial clause marker that indicates its semantic content. The gloss does not reflect an exact translation.

[^146]
### 12.1.2.1 Time adverbial clauses

### 12.1.2.1.1 Concurrent time

Three ways to express concurrent time are found in my data: a non-final clause with one of the morphemes $p \mathrm{e}^{11} 1 \mathrm{a}^{55}$ or $\mathrm{pe}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$, or a relative clause construction. Each of these is discussed below.

The non-final marker $p e^{11} l a^{55}$ has arisen from WT $<$ bar.la> 'between'. It can be used as a postposition to specify location between two coordinated noun phrases.

Elicited301
(9). $t \tilde{o}^{55} W \tilde{a}^{53}$ rõ $\quad z \mathfrak{X}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53} \quad p^{11} l a^{55} \quad r 9^{13} \quad s \tilde{u}^{53} \quad$ nõ Dongwang and rGyalthang between mtn three EVI.IPFV
'There are three mountains between Dongwang and rGyalthang'
$p e^{11} l{ }^{55}$ clauses are imperfective and indicate that the event or state in the independent clause occurs internal to the time of the event or state in the dependent clause. That is, $p e^{11} l a^{55}$ clauses situate the independent clause at a time concurrent with the dependent clause. Imperfectivity is either indicated with the continuative secondary verb de or with the SELF existential animate auxiliary ${ }^{n} d o$.

Elicited299
(10).
na $a^{13} \mathfrak{z a}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53}$ de $e^{13}$ de ${p e^{11} l a^{55}}_{n a^{13}}$ sõ
1SABS rGyalthang stay CONT when sick EGO
'While I was living in rGyalthang I got sick'.

## GetMar044/045

(11)

|  | $j Y^{13}$ | $t 6 i^{53}$ | $h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ | ro | ba ${ }^{353}$ | $p^{h}$ ə- | so | ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | year one prior TOP father thither die GO

$\underline{z i^{13}} \quad{ }^{n} d x^{13} \quad \xrightarrow{n} d o \quad \mathrm{pe}^{11} 1 a^{55} \quad \underline{r o}$
book read EX.AN.SELF when TOP
'A year prior (to that), father died. when (I) was studying'.

The sentence in (11) illustrates how an adverbial clause can occur after an independent clause as an afterthought.

Another adverbial clause marker, $p \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ 'middle' is, at first glance, in free variation with $p e^{11} l a^{55}$. In certain contexts, speakers say there is no meaning difference. In my text data, $p \mathrm{e}^{11} 1 a^{55}$ is used five times by two speakers ${ }^{2}$ and $p \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$
is used three times by a different speaker in one text.

HeartAttack008/009

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
t a^{55 j} \tilde{o}^{53} & =j i & \underline{t 6^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{53}} & \underline{k \tilde{x}^{53}} & \underline{\text { de }} & {p e^{11} m o^{55}}^{\text {Lhayong }}=\text { =ERG } & \text { water }  \tag{12}\\
\text { little } & \text { CONT } & \text { while }
\end{array}
$$

$j a^{13} \quad z ə-\quad d \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{53} \quad r a$
board up switch RA
'Lhayong, when the water was low, switched out the board ${ }^{\prime 3}$
${ }^{2}$ One speaker uses it four times in one text.

[^147]In (12) the marker $p e^{55} l a^{53}$ can be exchanged for $p e^{11} m o^{55}$ with no change in meaning. However, a subtle difference does emerge when the dependent clause and the independent clause are the same 'subjects'. In clauses with the same subjects, $p \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ is not allowed.

Elicited

'While Lhayong was watching TV, the child ate up all the rice'

In (13), the A arguments of the non-final and final clauses are different. If the meaning of the clauses were While Lhayong watched TV, she (=Lhayong) ate up all the rice, $\mathrm{pe}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}$ would be ungrammatical.

Relative clauses can also be used to relate two events which occur at the same time. Relative clauses are discussed in $\S 11.2$, but here I will briefly illustrate this function of relative clauses.

Elicited322

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
n a^{13} & z i^{11} g i^{55} & { }^{n} d æ^{353} & d e & s 2^{55} t s^{h} i^{11} & =n \partial  \tag{14}\\
1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { book } & \text { read } & \text { CONT } & \text { time } & =\text { LOC }
\end{array}
$$

In example (14), the head noun $s \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{55} t S^{h} i^{11}$ 'time' bears the locative casemarker, but not all such relative clauses require a casemarker.

KillPig098
(15).
 calf born that day SPEC pigfeet thither stew NI 'On the day calves are born, stew the pigfeet,'

### 12.1.2.1.2 Simultaneous overlap

Closely related to $p e^{55} l a^{53}$ and $p e^{11} m o^{55}$ the adverbial clause marker $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$
expresses simultaneous overlap between two events. All the examples in my database occur with the continuative marker $d e$.

Elicited328
(16). $\eta e^{13} \quad \underline{h j i^{33}} \quad{ }^{n} g u u^{53} \quad \underline{d o} \quad p x^{53} \quad k^{h} u i^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad m b e^{53}$ sõ

1SERG work VBZR.DO CONT during 3SERG 1SABS call EGO
'When I was working, he called me'

Youth001/002
(17).
$d \partial^{11} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$ ce $\mathrm{e}^{13} \quad j e^{13}$ de $p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}$
long.ago commune VBZR CONT during
$W \partial^{55} n a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad t \partial^{55} \quad g \tilde{o} \quad t \leq a^{11} r ə o^{53} \quad=j æ \quad$ i $^{13} \quad t^{h} \partial^{55} \quad n i$
1PL =PL that on.top Trarao =DAT brush pick NI
'Long ago, during the communes, we went to up there, on Trarao to pick brush'

### 12.1.2.1.3 Immediate sequential time

The adverbial clause marker ${ }^{n} d z a^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} t \tilde{x}^{53}$ indicates that the event in the
following clause occurs immediately after the event in the non-final clause.

GetMar074/075


Example (18) occurs in the text Getting Married. After the speaker returns home after failing her exams she is immediately sent to her new house.

Elicited

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

'As soon as I spoke, s/he hit me'

All the examples of immediately sequential clauses signal that the second event occurs upon the completion of the first event.

### 12.1.2.1.4 Posterior time

Adverbial clauses constructed with the morpheme $j æ$ indicate that the activity of a second event only begins after the completion of the first. ${ }^{4}$ The resultant

[^148]meaning is that the independent clause happened only after the dependent clause.
This is closely related to ${ }^{n} d z a^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$, which was just discussed, but without the dimension of immediacy.

Hardship142/143
(20).
 1PL $=$ PL limestoneCH tractorCH thirty thither burn jae
linguansuo mbo- $t_{s^{h}}{ }^{53}$ re $j i$
foresty.unitCH down- lead COP.OTHR COP.SELF
'... after we fired 30 tractor(loads) of limestone, (we) hauled it down to the forestry unit'
$j æ$ sometimes entails an explicitly causal meaning as in the following clause.

Rabbit\&Crane14-16
(21). $p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53} \quad g a^{13} \quad n i \quad g a^{11} g a^{55} \quad j æ$
rabbit laugh NI laugh.laugh jae
$k^{h} a^{52} \quad \epsilon u^{55}$ ra dzi?
mouth split RA OTHR
'The rabbit laughed and laughed (so hard that) (his) mouth split'

Example (21) is drawn from a short folk story in which a crane makes a rabbit laugh so hard that the rabbit's lip splits. The clear implication is that it is the rabbit's laughing that caused his lip to split.

### 12.1.2.1.5 Anterior time

Adverbial clauses that express anterior time are constructed when the adverbial clause marker $j æ$ occurs after a negated verb. Thompson and Longacre
(1985: 182) mention that in many languages 'before clauses' are treated in this way.
This is the only adverbial clause and independent clause combination that does not follow the time of the real-world sequence of events.

Elicited334

| na ${ }^{13}$ | $\underline{t} \underline{0}^{55}$ Wã ${ }^{53}$ | ma- | ${ }^{n} d z u^{13}$ | $j æ$ | $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | $a^{11} z^{\prime} i^{55}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 SABS | Dongwang | NEG | go | jae | 1SGEN | older.sister |
| 7a ${ }^{13}$ | tcao ${ }^{11}$ cã | $t 61^{11}$ | tci | $t e^{53}$ | SƠ ${ }^{13}$ |  |
| 1 SDAT | cameraC |  | INDF | give | EGO |  |
| 'Before I went to Dongwang, my older sister gave me a camera' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

In (22), the event in the dependent clause happened before the event expressed in the independent clause.

Prod060/61
(23). $6 \tilde{u}^{55}=n \varrho$ ma- $\underline{s}^{1 \pi 1^{353}} \quad \underline{t s i} \quad j æ$
home $=$ LOC NEG arrive PROS jae
$t s u^{11} t t^{h} \tilde{a}^{55} \quad k e^{53} \quad g a^{13} \quad k e^{53} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad n \tilde{o} \quad s$
song sound laugh sound hear EVI.IPFV RPT
'When he had not yet arrived home, (he) heard the sound of singing and laughing'

The aspect and verb of the dependent clause remain the same, even when the aspect and referents change in the following dependent clause. Compare the following three clauses:

Elicited1073
(24).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\underline{s \tilde{x}^{13}} & \underline{m a-} & \underline{t c^{h} a^{53}} & j \mathfrak{x} & \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & j \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55} & t 9^{53} & \tilde{z i}^{13} \\ \text { food } & \text { NEG } & \text { eat } & \text { jae } & \text { 1SERG } & \text { hand } & \text { wash } & \text { COP.SELF }\end{array}$ 'Before eating, I (will/usually) wash my hands'

Elicited 1073
(25). $\underline{s \tilde{x}^{13}} \quad \underline{m a-} \quad t c^{h} a^{53} \quad j \mathfrak{x} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad j \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55} \quad t \stackrel{̧}{2} 9^{53} \quad$ gui
food NEG eat jae 1SERG hand wash NEED
'Before eating, I want to wash my hands'

Elicited1073
(26). $\underline{s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}} \quad \underline{m a-} \quad t 6^{h} a^{53}$ jæ $\quad j \tilde{a}^{11} g u^{55} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad t{ }_{5} 9^{53} \quad r u$ food NEG eat jae hand thither wash POL 'Before eating, please wash (your) hands'

In (24) through (26), the tense and aspect of the dependent clauses are taken from the final independent clauses.

### 12.1.2.2 Conditional adverbial clauses

Conditional clauses are constructed from a clause with a bare verb stem
followed by the conditional adverbial clause marker rõ. In addition to signaling
conditional clauses, the most frequent functions of rõ include nominal coordination
(§7.5) and functioning as a politeness strategy in questions (§10.6.3). rõ is also used to co-ordinate two independent clauses which combine to form either/or questions.

MyLife216/217
(27).
$\mathrm{ce}^{55} \quad{ }^{n} g u^{353} \quad \underline{n a^{13}} \quad \underline{r o}$
2SABS head sick COND
${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ max $_{0}{ }^{353} \quad t e^{53}$ si $a^{55} n a^{53}$
head medicine give KNOW MUT
'If you have a headache, (I) know how to give headache medicine, right?'

Elicited
(28).
$t 6^{h} a^{11} W a^{55} \quad b u u^{13} r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$
rain fall REN
$\underline{c e^{55}} \quad \mathfrak{Z x}^{11} S u^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad \underline{r a ̃} \quad t 6^{h}{ }^{55} S u^{53} \quad n a^{13} \quad a w a$
2s outside go COND cold sick VAL
'When it rains, if you go outside you will catch a cold?'

The conditional clause in (27) is a hypothetical conditional and in (28) a predictive conditional. Note that in (28) neither of the non-final clauses by themselves modify the final clause. Rather the condition includes the first non-final clause when it rains. Independent clauses that follow counterfactual clauses have the same form as independent clauses expressing intention or reality. That is, they do not exhibit any irrealis or subjunctive moods.

Elicited
(29).
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ya } & =j æ & \eta u I^{53} & m a^{11} m ə^{55} & z e & r \tilde{o} \\ \text { 1SDAT } & =\text { DAT } & \text { money } & \text { much } & \text { EX.INAN.SELF } & \text { COND }\end{array}$
na $a^{13}$ mei $^{53}$ guo $^{11}{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad$ zĩ
1s AmericaCH go COP.SELF
'If I have/had a lot of money, I will/would go to America'

HeartAttack153/154
(30). $g e^{11} z \tilde{o}^{53}$ dza ${ }^{11} b a^{55}$ me rõ

Gesang Drapa COP.NEG.SELF COND
$w u^{11} d i^{55} \quad s e^{53} \quad \varphi i \quad r \tilde{x} \quad s o ̃$
there kill MAL INGRESS EGO
'If not for Gesang Drapa, (he) almost killed (me) there'

My consultant says that meaning of the independent clause in (30) is I would have died, but the form of the independent clause in (30) is exactly the same as it would be
if it appeared by itself to mean I almost died. That is, there is no indication that it is following a conditional clause.

Conditional clauses constructed from an interrogative word and the conditional rõ can express something that is always true.

## Elicited877

| $n \mathrm{e}^{13}$ | na: ${ }^{13}$ | $a^{55} r \mathfrak{X}^{53}$ | $t^{\text {tho }}{ }^{33}$ | $\underline{r}$ | $n a i^{13}$ | ${ }^{n} g u^{353}$ | $n a^{13}$ |  | กõ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 SERG | when | liquor | drink | COND | when | head | sick |  | EVI.IPFV |
| 'Whene | I dr | liquor | I) ha | $a \mathrm{he}$ | ache' |  |  |  |  |

The distinction between (28) and (31) can be seen in the verbal morphology of the independent clauses. The independent clause in (28) is subject to certain restrictions that surround a future assertion when it follows a predictive conditional. When an independent clause and a conditional clause express reality, it is not subject to the same restrictions. That is, the conditional clause is expressed relative to a broader proven truth. In the case of (31) above, the proven truth is that drinking liquor gives me a headache.
rõ is also used in the coordination of two finite clauses to express either/or questions.

Elicited

| $k^{h} \boldsymbol{e}^{55}$ | $p \boldsymbol{a}^{13}$ | $a^{53}$ | re | rõ | põ: $1^{13}$ | $a^{53}$ | re |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3S boy | QST | COP.OTHR | CONN | girl | QST | COP.OTHR |  |
| 'Is s/he a boy or girl?' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coordinated clauses are not adverbial and are not relevant here.

### 12.1.2.3 Manner adverbial clauses

Manner adverbial clauses are constructed from a medial clause and the clause chain clause marker ni. Manner clauses will be discussed in §12.1.3.

### 12.1.2.4 Purpose adverbial clauses

When a speaker wishes to make a purpose explicit, she can use the adverbial clause marker $t^{h} a^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53}$ 'in order to'.

Elicited1087

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\eta e^{13} & p^{h} \partial^{55} c a^{53} & =t S \rho & t a^{53} & g u i & t^{h} a^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53} & \eta a^{13} & t \partial^{11} s a^{53} \tag{33}
\end{array} s^{h 1_{1}^{353}} .
$$

Most purpose clauses that co-occur with verbs of direction do not have any dependent-marking morphology.

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} & n \partial^{13} & t \epsilon i & t S i^{53} & w \tilde{u}^{13} & d \tilde{\not} \tilde{\imath}  \tag{34}\\
\text { 1SERG } & \text { person } & \text { INDF } & \text { look.for } & \text { come } & \text { SELF }
\end{array}
$$

'I came to look for a person'

Elicited

| $k^{h} u i^{55}$ | $t^{h} e^{55}$ | $n u^{13}$ | tsi | re |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | vegetablesCH | buy | PROSP | COP.OTHR |
| 'S/he went to buy vegetables ${ }^{\prime}$. |  |  |  |  |

The examples in (34) and (35) are similar to one type of complement clause, described in §12.3.2.3, that are simply bare verbs juxtaposed to one another.

### 12.1.2.5 Concessive clauses

Concessive clause combinations are different from other adverbial clauses in that both the adverbial and the independent clause have finite marking. In this respect, concessive clause combinations can be analyzed as coordinated clauses.

There are three adverbial clause markers which signal concessivity: $j i \sim j i l a$, $b i^{55} j i$ and $z e^{11} \Gamma \partial^{55}$. Only $z e^{11} r \rho^{55}$ occurs in natural data.

Elicited

| $k^{h} 2^{55}$ | $t a^{53}$ | $r \tilde{x}^{55}$ | $\underline{d o^{55}} \mathfrak{x}^{53}$ | re | $\underline{z e^{11} r 9^{55}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3s | look | REN | ugly | COP.OTHR | although |

Elicited
(37).

| $\underline{k}^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{O}^{55}$ | $a^{55} t s u^{53}$ | tci | $=g \tilde{O}$ | $\underline{n i}{ }^{11 n} d a^{53}$ | $p^{h} u^{53}$ | $t^{h_{i}}$ | $\underline{Z 11}{ }^{11} 2^{55}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yesterday | monkey | INDF | = OBJ | gun | VBZR | EVI.PFV | although |
| $\mathrm{s}^{53} \mathrm{ma}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| die NEG- | EVI.PFV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Although (I) | (I) shot a m | onkey | vesterd | ay, (it) did | 't die' |  |  |

The origin of $z e^{11} r \partial^{55}$ is mysterious. Stephen Beyer (1990: 286,7) mentions an 'adversative conjunction particle' <yang> which shows up in contemporary dialects as either <yang> or <kyi>. Häsler (1999 :254,5) gives several examples of concessive clauses constructed from <yin.na.'ang> and adversative clauses constructed from $<$ te>. Neither of these resemble $z e^{11} r \partial^{55}$.

There are two other morphemes used in the construction of concessive clauses: $j i$ la and $b i^{55} j i$. As to the former, my consultant was unable to determine whether this is one word or two. The relevance is that if it is two forms, the first clause could be analyzed as a finite clause containing the copula SELF form $j i$. I have glossed it as one word, but this is only a tentative analysis.

Elicited951

| $\eta e^{13}$ | $\frac{k^{h} u a^{53}}{}$ | $\frac{t s^{h} a^{55}}{}$ | $\frac{z a^{13}}{}$ | $\underline{t e^{53}}$ | $\underline{s e^{13}}$ | de | ji la |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SERG | 3SDAT | dollar | 100 | give | say | CONT | even.though |

One rationale for analyzing $j i$ la as one morpheme attached to a dependent clause is that I was unable to elicit a non-first person clause with ji la. Rather in concessive clauses with non-first person S or A arguments, the form $b i^{55} j i$ shows up.

Elicited
(39).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\underline{m a^{11} z \partial^{55}} & \underline{k^{h} u i^{55}} & \underline{t \sigma^{h} \partial^{55} z \partial^{11}} & \underline{d \tilde{o}^{353}} & \underline{m a-} & \underline{s i} & \underline{b i^{55} j i} \\ \text { because? } & \text { 3SERG } & \text { car } & \text { VBZR } & \text { NEG- } & \text { KNOW } & \text { even.though }\end{array}$
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t \sigma^{h} \partial^{55} z \partial^{11} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad k^{h} \partial \quad t^{h}{ }_{i}$
3SERG car VBZR KHU EVI.PFT
'Even though he doesn't know how to drive, he drove away'.

### 12.1.2.6 Restrictive clauses

The last type of adverbial clause discussed here is the restrictive clause, indicated by the adverbial clause marker $m b a^{11} t s i^{55}$ 'only'.

MyLife160/161
(40). $\quad \underline{s e^{13} k i^{55}} \quad j u^{13}$
$\underline{\text { rõ }} \quad$-no $\quad \underline{m b} \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t s i^{55}$
half.day understand COND -NZR only
wu ju ${ }^{13}$
NEG understand
'(We students) did not understand anything other than what (we) understood in a half day'

In the surrounding text of (43), the narrator is describing her school days when she was little. During that time, the government required students to do manual labor for half a day and to study for half a day. The English translation other than is a bit unsatisfactory, but the use of 'only' is awkward.

HeartAttack41/42
(41). $\underline{t G}^{h} a^{53}$ mba- hjY $^{353}$ na $\mathrm{mba}^{11} t s i^{53}$
water down trench NGA only
$t s a^{55}{ }_{W a} a^{53} j i \quad j a^{13}=g \tilde{o}^{11}=j æ \quad t^{h} O^{53} \quad r \tilde{X}^{55} \quad n e^{13}$
absolutely board =OBJ =DAT touch IMM EX.NEG.SELF
'(I) only made a trench (for the water to go out), (I) absolutely did not touch the board'

### 12.1.3 Chained clauses

I have described the function of adverbial clauses, most of which are dependent clauses that modify a verb or clause. In this section, I will discuss chained clause constructions. Chained clauses (or medial clauses) are not syntactically distinct from adverbial clauses and, as already mentioned in $\S 12.1$ there can be considerable overlap between the two. However, adverbial clause markers indicate explicit interclausal relationships, while medial verbs leave much open to interpretation, dependent upon verbal semantics and knowledge of the world.

Clause chains are multi-clausal constructions characterized by 'sequences of medial clauses completed by a final clause' (Payne 1997: 423). Tibetan languages have been categorized as clause-chaining languages (DeLancey 1991, T.-S. Sun 1993), however there have been very few detailed studies of clause combining in Tibetan, and what does exist can be confusing. For example, Genetti (2005: 60, 61) points out that while DeLancey describes the use of the Lhasa Tibetan form -byas as a 'medial (or "non-final") verb in a clause-chaining construction', Bisang (1995) calls the same form a 'converb'. Interestingly, Denwood (1999: 220, 221) calls -byas 'a serial verb with positive polarity to mean "-ing", "having", "after", "and then"'.

I will use the term medial verb to characterize verbs occurring in a verbal complex with one of two morphemes used to create medial clauses, ráæ ${ }^{55}$ and ni. The two morphemes used for the construction of clause chains, $r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ and ni, occur
frequently in my texts. Out of 1561 non-elicited clauses, rex̃ ${ }^{55}$ occurs 254 times and $n i$ occurs 189 times. The functions of $r \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{55}$ include marking subsequent, simultaneous and causal relations as well as occurring in clauses which are recapitulating events. The functions of $n i$ include marking manner clauses and indicating sequential relationships between clauses which often entails causal relationships as well.

Elicited899
(42).

| $k^{h} \partial^{55}$ | $\underline{t s^{h} u^{53}}$ | $\underline{k}^{h} \partial$ | $\underline{n i}$ | $p^{h} u u^{353}$ | $t^{h} i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 S | squirm | KHU | NI | run | EVI.PFV |

'S/he ran hurriedly away'

Elicited884
(43). $k^{h} e^{55} \quad \underline{r i^{11} n i^{55}} \quad \underset{e^{13}}{n i} \quad d \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \varphi 9^{55} \quad t a^{53} \quad d e \quad \eta_{0} \tilde{o}$ 3sABS laying.on.side VBZR.DO NI teleCH watch CONT EVI.IPFV 'S/he is laying on her side watching TV'

Elicited
$\frac{k^{h} \partial^{55}}{3 \mathrm{~S}} \quad \frac{a^{55} r a^{53}}{\text { liquor }} \quad \begin{array}{lll}n^{n} g u æ^{353} & \underline{n i} i \\ \text { drunk }\end{array}$
$t s^{h} 2^{55} z 0^{53} \quad \underline{Z \tilde{i}} \quad p^{h} 2^{55} z 0^{53}$ ni
hither.? COP.SELF thither.? NI
$\epsilon \tilde{u}^{55}=n \partial s^{h_{1} 353} t^{h}{ }_{i}$
home =LOC arrive VIS.PFV
'He got drunk and staggered home' (lit: he got drunk and staggeringly arrived home)

The clauses in example (44) highlight some of the difficulties encountered when attempting to separate the functions of clauses marked with the medial verb ni. The first clause in (44) signals sequential events with respect to the other clauses (got
drunk and staggered home), but the second clause in (44) clearly functions as an adverbial clause and is not sequential in any sense.

HeartAttack69-73
$\underline{1 \tilde{o}^{11} t c^{h} u^{53}} \quad t \underline{c}^{h} \partial^{53} \quad t \underline{c} e^{53} \quad \underline{r u} \quad \underline{u} a^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d z a^{13} k^{h} a^{11} d \tilde{x}^{53}$ Longchu water cut.off POL say as.soon.as
(46). $\quad \underline{k}^{h} \partial^{55}=t s ə O \quad t \underline{c}^{h} \partial^{53} \quad d \tilde{u}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad \underline{\tilde{æ}^{55}}$
$3 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{ABL}$ water short go REN
$\underline{t \partial^{55}}=n \partial \quad t^{h} \partial-\quad p^{h} \partial O^{53} \quad \underline{u^{13}} \quad \underline{n i}$
that $=$ LOC thither run come NI
(48). $\eta a^{13}$ jna $_{0}{ }^{53} \quad t^{h}{ }_{i} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13}$

1s chase LEAD come
'As soon as (she) said, 'Longchu, please cut off the water', the water stopped running by him and (he) came running over there, chasing me'.

The example above illustrates a typical chain of clauses. The relationship between clauses (45) and (46) is immediate sequential (as soon as); The relationship between (46) and (47) is subsequent time (when) and the relationship between (47) and (48) is adverbial modification (came running).

When there are no accompanying aspect markers, $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ clauses code a vaguely subsequent relationship between the clauses.

Elicited 1265
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\underline{m} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} b a^{55} & =j i & \eta e^{13} & =g \tilde{o} & \underline{k}^{h} \partial O^{53} & \underline{d z O^{53}} & \underline{r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}} \\ \text { doctor } & =\text { =ERG } & \text { 1SGEN } & =\text { OBJ } & \text { shot } & \text { VBZR.STRIKE } & \text { REN }\end{array}$
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad$ a $^{53} \quad-\eta a \quad t^{h} i$
1SERG cry.out -NGA VIS.PFV
'When/after the doctor gave me a shot, I cried out'

The example in (49) is unclear whether the speaker cried out during or after the doctor had finished administering the shot.

When speakers want to indicate events that happened after another event was completed, the perfective marker occurs in the non-final clause.

Elicited
$\eta a^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \partial^{11} g \tilde{u}^{55} \quad t 6^{h} a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \quad n a^{13}$ sõ
1SABS dinner eat PFV REN sick EGO
'After eating dinner, I got sick'

The dependent clause in (50) cannot mean While eating dinner.
Similarly, when speakers want to indicate that one event overlapped with another event, extra marking such as the continuative aspect marker can occur in the non-final clause.

Elicited
(51).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\underline{t a^{55} j \tilde{o}^{53}} & =j i & \frac{d^{j} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53} c a^{11}}{t a^{53}} & \underline{d e} & \underline{r \tilde{x}^{55}} \\
\text { Lhayong } & =\text { ERG } & \text { teleCH } & \text { CONT } & \text { REN }
\end{array}
$$

$a^{11} k a^{53}=j i \quad{ }^{n} d \tilde{q}^{353} \quad k^{h} a^{11} l a^{55} \quad p^{h}{ }^{2}-\quad t \sigma^{h} a^{53} \quad$ ra $\quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{E}} \quad$ no $\tilde{o}$ child $=$ ERG rice all thither eat RA PFV VIS.IPFV 'While/when Lhayong was watching TV, the child ate up all the rice'

The meaning of $r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ is frequently indeterminate and it is difficult to decide whether the meaning of $r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$ is subsequent, causal, or simultaneous temporal relations, suggesting that a precise distinction is unimportant to interlocuters.

Elicited1071

$\eta a^{13} \quad s^{h} O^{11} m 2^{55}$ re $\quad$ õ

1SABS peaceful COP.OTHR EGO
'(Because) I did not have so much work today, I am peaceful'

GetDivA44,45
(53).
$\begin{array}{lllll}a^{11} k a^{53} \\ \text { child } & \frac{a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}}{\text { good }} & \text { do } & \text { do } & \text { POL } \\ \text { REN }\end{array}$
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \mathrm{ma}^{13}$ la $\mathrm{si}^{55} \mathrm{po}$
then mother also happy
'When children do good, then mother is also happy'.

It appears that the specific semantics of $r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$ in the clauses above are irrelevant. That is, the speaker in (53) does not seem to make a distinction between when, after, or because, as all of these can indicate a connection between the children doing good and the mother being happy. Similarly, the use of $r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ in the following clause is indeterminate as to whether it is a temporal or causal relationship that is being signaled.

## GetDivB008

(54).

$d o^{11} r e^{55} \quad l a$
miserable PTCL
'At first then, when/because the children did not understand, (things/life/I) was miserable'.
$r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ is very frequently used in recapitulative clauses. This is the function that

Longacre and Thompson (1985: 209) refer to as 'tail-head linkage' in which 'something mentioned in the last sentence of the preceding paragraph is referred to by means of back-reference in an adverbial clause in the following paragraph'.

GetMar012-14
(55).
$z i^{13} \quad z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad n d o$
book study EX.AN.SELF

| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | $\underline{z i} i^{13}$ | $\underline{\tilde{O}^{13}}$ | $d o$ | $\underline{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

then book study EX.AN.SELF REN
$k^{h} \partial^{55} \eta a^{53} \quad-k i \quad$ qa $a^{13} \quad w \partial^{55} t s O^{53} \quad t_{S} 9^{55} t_{\mathrm{Q}} a^{11}$
3PL -PL 1SDAT like.this ask
'....(I) was studying. Then when (I) was studying, they asked me about this matter'.

Recapitulative clauses are frequently found in procedural texts such as the following:

ButterCheese004/005
(56).
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53}$
then churn thither rinse
$\underline{n \tilde{u}^{13}} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad \underline{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}}$
churn thither rinse PFV REN
'Then rinse out the churn. When the churn has been rinsed out...'

Example (56) occurs in a procedural text in which the speaker explains how to make butter and cheese. It contains thirty-three clauses, fifteen of which are finite clauses. Of the remaining eighteen clauses, $r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$ occurs twelve times in a recapitulative function.

The second-most-frequent morpheme that constructs medial verbs is ni.
Example (57) provides a simple illustration of a clause chain.

Elicited
(57). $k^{h} u i^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}$

3SERG 1S see REN

up rise come NF 1SGEN =OBJ hug EGO
'when he saw me, (he) got up and hugged me'

The second clause with the medial marker $n i$ is clearly not modifying either the preceding or following clause, but signaling a sequential relationship between the second and third clause. Note that the first clause with $r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ provides a context for both the second and third clauses.

Similarly, the clauses in (58) indicate that the main event (in the independent clause) occurs subsequent to the first clause.

Elicited691
(58).
$\underline{\eta e^{13}} \quad \underline{t}^{h} a^{55} t c e^{53} \quad \underline{n i}{ }^{n} g u u^{53} \quad z \tilde{1}$
1SERG decide NI do COP.SELF
'I have decided to do it' (Idecided and/having decided I (will) do it')

Sometimes the distinction between $r \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{55}$ and $n i$ is indeterminate as can be seen
in the following sequence of clauses.

GoodSam024
zo- dãan te $\underline{e}^{53}$ rax ${ }^{55}$
up wrap GIVE REN
(60). $\underline{k}^{h} 2^{55}$ zə- ${ }^{n} d z 2^{53}$ ni

3 S up grab NI
(61).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
r \tilde{o}^{11} r \tilde{o}^{55} & =j i & t a^{53} & p^{h} \partial- & c a^{53} & t c o^{53} \\
\text { REFL } & =\text { GEN } & \text { horse } & \text { thither } & \text { ride } & \text { CAUS }
\end{array}
$$

'(He) wrapped him up, grabbed him, and had him ride his own horse'

The three events expressed by the three clauses wrapped (59), grabbed (60), and cause to ride (61) clearly happen sequentially, yet rex ${ }^{55}$ marks the first clause and $n i$ marks the second clause. One possible interpretation is that $r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$ clauses are more imperfective than $n i$ clauses. Thus the state of being wrapped continued while the event of grabbing did not. But this is not completely satisfactory.

Negative clauses with medial verbs are syntactically the same as other dependent clauses, but of course, the meaning is different.

GoodSam015
(62). $k^{h} 9^{55} \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{55} r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}$

3sabs SEE REN
'When (he) saw him,
GoodSam016
(63). $\underline{a}^{11} w \tilde{u}^{55} \quad l a \quad t c i^{53} \quad l a \quad \underline{a} a-\underline{k}^{h} a o^{55} \quad \underline{n i}$ again also one also NEG- pity NI again (like the others), not pitying (him) at all,

GoodSam017
(64).
$k^{h g^{55}}=w \tilde{o}$ t $i^{53} \quad l a \quad$ ma- ta $a^{53} \quad \underline{n i}$
3sabs =ObJ one even neg look Ni
not looking at him at all,
GoodSam018
(65). wa ${ }^{55}$ tso ${ }^{53}$ pi $i^{13}{ }^{n} d z u^{13}$
that.way walk go
(he) walked away'.
(62) to (65) are taken from the story Prodigal. A man has been beaten up and is lying beside the road in terrible condition. In this series of clauses, it is difficult to say that the medial clauses are to indicate a series of events or to move the narrative forward in any way, as these clauses contain non-events. Rather, the medial clauses denote background events rather than foreground events.

Sometimes the distinction between a sequential relationship and a causal relationship between two clauses is indeterminate.

ElicitedB353
(66).

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
\text { ne } e^{13} & \text { tc }^{h} \text { itc }{ }^{h} O & k^{h} 0 O^{55} & t \text { to o }^{53} & \underline{n i} & p^{h} a^{53} & t 6 o^{53} & j i \\
\text { 1SERG } & \text { balloonCH } & \text { needle } & \text { poke } & \text { NI } & \text { pop } & \text { CAUS } & \text { SELF.PFV } \\
\text { I needle-poked the balloon and made it pop }{ }^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

A causal relationship can be made explicit with the addition of the optional non-final clauses introducer ma ${ }^{11} z^{2} \partial^{55}$ 'because'.

Elicited447

```
\(\underline{\text { ma }^{11} z \partial^{55}} \quad\) w2 \({ }^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad a^{11} k a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z o^{11} b a^{55} \quad p^{h} u u^{353} \quad \underline{n i}\)
because that child fast run NI
\(d z ə O^{53}\)-ŋa \(t^{h} i\)
fall -NGA VIS.PFV
'Because that child was running fast, (s/he) fell down'
```

Elicited830
(68).

| $\text { ma }^{11} z \partial^{55}$ <br> because | $\frac{6 e^{55}}{2 \mathrm{sABS}}$ | $\frac{{ }^{n} d z u u^{353}}{\text { fall }}$ | $\frac{{ }^{n} d z u u^{13}}{\text { go }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13}$ | ga | $s \mathfrak{X}$ | si |  |
| then 1s | laugh | EMIT | KNOW | VIS. |
| 'Because you fell down, I burst out laughing' |  |  |  |  |

### 12.2 Relative clauses

A relative clause is a clause that modifies a noun or noun phrase (Keenan 1985, T. Payne 1997) and that supplies 'either anaphoric or cataphoric clues for referent identification' (Givón 2001: 175).

Mazaudon (1977), in her description of relative clauses in Central Tibetan dialects, pointed out that Tibetan employs nominalization as a relativization strategy. In fact, the boundary between nominalization and relativization is not always so clear: DeLancey (1993) points out that 'relativization is simply one function of
nominalization'. Descriptions of relativization in Tibetan are available for Classical Tibetan (Beyer 1992), Lhasa Tibetan (DeLancey 1999), Shigatse Tibetan (Kim 1989), Kyirong Tibetan (Huber 2003), and Dege Khams Tibetan (Häsler 1999).

### 12.2.1 Typological characteristics

Relative clauses in Dongwang can be headed or headless. All headed relative clauses are either head-final or internally-headed. Relative clauses are often nominalized clauses, occasionally with a genitive marker, but not always so. That is, relative clauses can be constructed from a nominalized clause with a genitive marker, without a gentive marker, or a clause without even a nominalizer.

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}
{\left[c i^{55}\right.} & \eta a^{13} & t e^{53} & -n ə] & =j i & t 2^{11} k i^{55} & h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11} & w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11}  \tag{69}\\
\text { 2SERG } & \text { 1SDAT } & \text { give } & -\mathrm{nzr} & =\mathrm{GEN} & \text { coat } & \text { blue } & \text { SPEC }
\end{array}
$$

'The blue coat that [you gave to me]'

The nominalized clause in (69) modifies the head noun $t{ }^{11} k i^{55}$ 'coat'. This relationship is overtly specified with the genitive casemarker $=j i$. Note that other noun phrase elements follow the head noun in the order expected.

Internally-headed relative clauses never co-occur with the genitive. In headfinal clauses, the optional genitive marker is omitted more than it is present.

## Elicited

(70). $w ə^{55} t \partial^{11}\left[d a^{53} b a o^{11} \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad h \partial^{53}\right.$ de -nə]
that always 1SGEN $=$ OBJ bite CONT -NZR
(=ji) $\quad \varphi 2^{53}$ re
(=GEN) dog COP.OTHR
'That is the dog that [always bites me]'

Speakers report that there is no meaning difference between the presence or absence of the genitive.

Some relative clauses are constructed without nominalizers. Relative clauses in which the head noun is a time word, and relative clauses in which the head noun is $t s a^{55} w a^{53}$ 'reason' are the only two relative clause types that are formed without a nominalizer. ${ }^{5}$

GetDivB020
(71).
$\begin{array}{lllllll}t \tilde{\mathrm{a}}^{13} & {\left[W \Omega^{55} n a^{53}\right.} & =k \tilde{1} & l i^{11} k^{h} u i^{55} & \left.j e^{13}\right] & s 9^{55} t s^{h} i^{11} & n \tilde{a}^{11} l a^{55} \\ \text { then } & 1 \mathrm{PL} & =\text { PL } & \text { divorceCH } & \text { VBZR } & \text { time } & \text { inside }\end{array}$
'Then during the time [we divorced]'

KillaPig

oh knife stab MOD reason TOP
'Oh, the reason [(one) should stab (the pig)]',
Dongwang utilizes the gapping strategy in the construction of head-final relative clauses. That is, the argument of the relative clause that is co-referential with the head noun is missing in the relative clause.

[^149]Elicited


Elicited
$w \partial^{55} t ə \quad \varphi 9^{53} \quad\left[\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad 0 \quad d u^{13} r \partial^{55} \quad t \varphi \partial o^{53}\right.$-nə] $\quad \varphi \rho^{55}$ re that dog 1SERG 0 kick VBZR -NZR dog COP.OTHR 'The dog is the dog [I kicked 0]'

The position which a coreferential noun phrase would occupy if it were present in the relative clauses in (73) and (74) corresponds to a gap (indicated by 0 ). In (73), the gap corresponds to person, which is the A argument in the embedded clause and the S argument in the matrix clause. In (74) the gap corresponds to $d o g$, which is the P argument in the embedded clause and the predicate nominal of the matrix clause.

There are three nominalizers that are productive in the formation of relative clauses: $-s a<\mathrm{sa}>$ which nominalizes locations and instruments, $-m i^{53}\left(\sim m i^{13} n \partial\right)^{6}$ which nominalizes defined locations in perfective clauses, and -nə $<$ myi $>$ for everything else.

[^150]
### 12.2.2 Relative clauses with the nominalizer -sa

Recall from §3.1.1.2.4-sa is both a locational and instrument nominalizer.

These functions also occur in the construction of relative clauses. The most common use of $-s a$ is to relativize locations.

GoodSam022

$$
\begin{align*}
& n u^{353} \text { rõ } a^{55} r a^{53}=k \tilde{\imath}  \tag{75}\\
& \text { oil and liquor }=P L \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
k^{h} u i^{55} & d \tilde{o}^{353} & r a & -s a
\end{array}\right] p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53} \quad t e^{53}} \\
& \text { 3SGEN beat RA -NZR thither pour GIVE } \\
& \text { '(He) poured oil and liquor on the places where [he had been beaten].' }
\end{align*}
$$

## Elicited

(76).
$w \partial^{55} n a^{53}$ ro $\left[t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55} t s \partial^{11}=j i \quad c 9^{53}=g o \tilde{o}\right.$ tcəo ${ }^{53}$ ra $\left.-s a^{55}\right]$ re this.here TOP carCH =INSTR dog =OBJ strike RA -NZR COP.OTHR 'This here is where [the car hit the dog]'

The nominalizer - $s a$ is also used to relativize instruments.

Elicited
 that.there dog strike -NZR car COP.OTHR 'That is the car with which (someone) [hit the dog].

Elicited
(78). $t t^{h} 9^{55} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{353}-s a \quad=j i \quad b e i^{55} t s 9^{11}$ re
water drink -NZR $=$ GEN cupCH COP.OTHR
'(It) is a cup with which [to drink water]' (='a water-drinking cup')

The examples in (77) and (78) are examples of instrument nominalization. I have one example of a less concrete instrumental relative clause.

Miscarriage
(79).
$t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \quad$ wə $^{55} n a^{53} \quad k^{h} u \tilde{1}^{55} m \tilde{i}^{11} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}$
then 1pl KunmingCH EX.AN.SELF REN

actually that do NI go go -NZR NEG- find
'Then when we were in Kunming, doing that, (we) actually didn't find (a solution) [to go]' (='we didn't find a way to go')

Example (79) is taken from a text in which a woman begins to have serious complications during her pregnancy and eventually loses the baby. When she is in Dongwang she telephones the narrator who is in Kunming. Because the narrator is in Kunming, she cannot help her friend very much.

### 12.2.3 Relative clauses with the nominalizer -mi ${ }^{53}$

The nominalizer $-m i^{53}\left(\sim m i^{13} n \partial^{11}\right)$ is also a place nominalizer, but one that only nominalizes specific and well-defined locations in perfective events.

Elicited3319: Nominalizer -mi

$$
\begin{align*}
& w \boldsymbol{2}^{55} t^{11} \quad \mathrm{ma}-\quad t_{6}{ }^{h} a^{13} \quad\left[w u^{11} l Y^{55}=j i \quad t 6^{h} a^{13} \quad-m i^{53}\right] \quad \text { re }  \tag{80}\\
& \text { that NEG eat cat =ERG eat -NZR COP.OTHR } \\
& \text { 'Don't eat that. That is where [the cat ate]'. ~'That is what [the cat had been }
\end{align*}
$$ eating]'.

The nominalized verb $t \epsilon^{h} a^{13}-m i^{53}$ does not refer to a place where the cat was eating (e.g., in the kitchen, or in the bowl), but to some remnant of what the cat had been eating on. Given the appropriate context, it can also mean 'the place where (someone) ate' as well.

While the nominalizer $-s a$ can also be used in perfective contexts, -mi appears
to be restricted to relative clauses that co-occur with matrix clauses that carry a perfective sense. Consider the following examples.

Elicited
$k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}-s a \quad d a^{55} m \partial^{11}$ re yesterday 1sABS play - NMZR pretty COP.OTHR '[The place where I played yesterday] is beautiful'

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{*} k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad-m i^{13}\left(\sim m i^{13} n \partial^{11}\right) \quad d a^{55} m \partial^{11} \text { re } \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

While the nominalizer $-s a$ can be used in (81), $-m i^{53}$ is ungrammatical in (82).

However, the following relative clause with $-m i^{53}$ is acceptable.

Elicited

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
{\left[k^{h} a^{11} t s \tilde{o}^{55}\right.} & \eta a^{13} & z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} & \left.-m i^{13} n \partial^{11}\right]  \tag{83}\\
\text { yesterday } & 1 \mathrm{~s} & \text { play } & -\mathrm{NZR}
\end{array}
$$

In Example (83) the planting of flowers occurs in a specific, defined location. One could substitute $-s a$ in (83), but the meaning would change slightly. My consultant suggested the same clause with -sa be translated as the place we played/were playing.

This supports the idea of -mi (nə) occurring in clauses with more perfective meaning.

A few more examples will help illustrate the differences between $-s a$ and $-m i^{53}$.

Elicited
$\left[k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{353} \quad-s a\right] \quad{ }^{n} d o^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d q u^{13} \quad$ wũ
yesterday sit -nzr sit go COME
'Let's go sit in the place where [we sat yesterday]'

The sentence in (84) could be uttered regardless of whether the speaker was inside or outside the restaurant. In an identical context, -mi would be ungrammatical. The same sentence, substituting -mi for $-s a$, would have a slightly different meaning.

Elicited
$\begin{array}{llllll}{\left[k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\right.} & { }^{n} d o^{353} & \left.-m i^{53}\right] & { }^{n} d o^{353} & { }^{n} d q u u^{13} & w \tilde{u} \\ \text { yesterday } & \text { sit } & -\mathrm{nzr} & \text { sit } & \text { go } & \text { COME }\end{array}$
'Let's go sit in the place where [we sat yesterday]'
On the surface, (84) and (85) look identical, but the implicatures are different. Unlike the sentence in (84), (85) would only be spoken if the speaker and addressee were inside the restaurant trying to decide where to sit. Seeing the seats where they had previously sat, they pick the same seats that they sat in before.

### 12.2.4 Relative clauses with the nominalizer -no

The nominalizer -no is by far the most frequent and flexible nominalizer in Dongwang. In word formation (§3.1.1.2.4), it is an agent nominalizer, but in relative clauses it is used to relativize agents, patients, benefactives, and occasionally even locations. In the following section I give examples of the various types of relativizing functions that -no has.

Agent (S argument) relativization:

ElicitedB368
[piqiu tcəo ${ }^{53}$-nə] $n a^{13} \quad k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$
basketballCH play NMZR man all
$b \tilde{1}^{11} g i^{55} t^{h} O^{55} m \partial^{11}$ re
stature tall COP.OTHR
'All the men [playing basketball] are tall'

The relative clause in Example (86) is a head-final relative clause. The relative clause itself piqiu tcəo ${ }^{53}$ 'play basketball' is first nominalized by -nə and then precedes the head noun $n \boldsymbol{o}^{13}$ ' man' which is followed by the quantifier $k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}$. The relative clause functions to modify 'man' in a restrictive sense, e.g., 'all men who are playing basketball'.

Agent (A argument) relativization:

Elicited
$w \partial^{55} t \partial c \partial^{53}\left[\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}=g \tilde{0} \quad h \partial^{53} \mathrm{no} \tilde{o}^{13}\right.$-nə] re that dog 1 SGEN $=O B J$ bite EXP -NZR COP.OTHR 'That is the dog [that bit me]'

In (87) the dog is the A argument in the relative clause and the predicate nominal in the matrix clause.

Patient relativization

Elicited:
$\begin{array}{lllllll}{\left[\operatorname{ta}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}\right.} & b \mathfrak{x}^{353} & -r a & -n ə] & t \boldsymbol{a}^{11} k i^{55} & m 2^{55} m æ^{53} & t 2^{11} \\ \text { over.there } & \text { hang } & \text {-RA } & \text {-NZR } & \text { coat } & \text { red } & \text { SPEC }\end{array}$
$\eta e^{13} \quad z \tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{13} \quad z \tilde{1}$
1SGEN possession COP.SELF
'The red coat [(that someone) hung over there] is mine'

GetDivA016

then 1SERG man spouse lead -NZR man =GEN TOP
$\eta a^{13} p^{h} \partial-\quad p \mathfrak{x}^{53} \quad$ ra
1S thither discard RA
'Then my man, the man [led/picked to be (my) spouse] rejected me'

In (88) coat is the P argument in the relative clause and the S argument of the matrix clause. In (89) my man or spouse is the P argument in the relative clause and the A argument in the matrix clause.
-no is also used to relativize oblique arguments like locations and recipients.

All the examples I have of -nə occurring in locative nominalizations occur with the head noun $s a^{55} t 6^{h} a^{53}$ 'place'.

Elicited
$w \partial^{55} n a^{53}$ rə $t \varphi^{h} \partial^{55} t s \partial^{11}=j i \quad c \partial^{53}=g \tilde{o}$
this.here TOP carCH $=$ INSTR/ERG dog $=$ OBJ
$t 69^{53}$ ra -nə $=j i \quad s a^{55} t t^{h} a^{53}$ re
strike RA -NZR =GEN place COP.OTHR
'This here is the place where [the car struck the dog]'

## Elicited

(91).
$\left[\begin{array}{llllll} & 13 & z i^{13} & t e^{53} & -n ə\end{array} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad a^{11} j Y^{55} \quad\right.$ re
1SERG book give -nzr 1SGEN older.brother COP.OTHR
'The guy [I gave a book to] is my older brother'

The recoverability of arguments in relative clauses is often dependent on the presence of other arguments in the clause and the listener's ability to, by process of elimination, determine the referent. For example, in (91) the co-referential argument is gapped, but the presence of an agent and an object make it possible to determine that my older brother is the recipient. The dative casemarking on the argument in (92) indicates that the gapped argument is the recipient in the relative clause.

## Elicited

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
n a^{13} & z i^{13} & t e^{53} & -n ə
\end{array} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad a^{11} j Y^{55} \quad\right. \text { re }}  \tag{92}\\
& \text { 1SDAT book give -nzr 1SGEN older.brother COP.OTHR } \\
& \text { 'The guy [who gave a book to me] is my older brother' }
\end{align*}
$$

Up to this point, I have illustrated examples of head-final and headless relative clauses using the nominalizer -no. Internally-headed relative clauses also occur in Dongwang, as the following example shows.

Elicited510

| $\left[k^{h} u i^{53}\right.$ | kui $^{13}$ | $S \tilde{x}^{13}$ | $k^{h} \boldsymbol{\partial}$ | de | -nə | $=k \tilde{i}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3SERG | clothes | wear | KHU | CONT | -NZR | $=$ PL |

$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \xi \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad$ re

1SGEN belonging COP.OTHR
'[The clothes she is wearing] are mine'

In Example (93) the nominal head of the noun phrase $k u i^{13}$ 'clothes' occurs in the middle of the relative clause. This is an internally-headed relative clause.

Butter\&Cheese006

$$
\begin{align*}
& t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13} \quad\left[s \tilde{a}^{13}=n ə \quad W \tilde{O}^{13} \quad j o^{53} \text {-ra } \quad z e^{13} \quad \text {-nə }\right] \quad \text { ro }  \tag{94}\\
& \text { then tub }=\text { LOC milk pour -RA EX.INAN -NZR TOP } \\
& n \tilde{u}^{13}=n \ni \text { zə } j o^{53} \\
& \text { churn }=\text { LOC up pour } \\
& \text { 'Then pour [the milk that has been poured into the tub] up into the churn' }
\end{align*}
$$

Example (94) is also an example of an internally-headed relative clause. All the examples I have of internally-headed relative clauses are patient relativization in which the patient is inanimate.

### 12.3 Complement clauses

Complement clauses are verbs or clauses that function as an argument of another verb. In Dongwang, there are finite and non-finite complement clauses. Each
of these is arranged below according from the most loosely-integrated to the most tightly-integrated clausal relations. This allows us to consider the 'complexity continuum', proposed by Givón (2001: 40ff) and others, which claims that 'the closer the structural integration between complement and main verb, the closer the conceptual integration is likely to be' (Payne 1997: 424). At the end of this chapter, I will more closely examine this claim in light of the Dongwang data.

### 12.3.1 Finite complement clauses

The least-integrated clauses are simply juxtaposed finite clauses which share semantic dependence. These are not considered complement clauses in the sense that one clause functions as an argument of another. Dongwang speakers seem to be very content to juxtapose clauses next to each other. The juxtaposition leaves addressees to infer the type of relationship the two clauses hold with one another.

Elicited
(95).
$k^{h} a^{55} l a^{53} \quad h a o^{55} \mathrm{ku}^{11}$ dzi?
all know OTHR
$\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}k^{h} u i^{55} & \eta e^{13} & a^{11} l Y^{55} & \mathrm{ki}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} & j \mathrm{e}^{13} & \text { tşi } & d z i\end{array}\right]$
3SERG 1SGEN cat thief VBZR LEAD OTHR
'Everyone knows. S/he stole my cat'

Elicited
(96).
$\left[\begin{array}{llllll}k^{h} u i^{55} & \eta e^{13} & a^{11} l Y^{55} & k i^{55} m o^{53} & j e^{13} & p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\end{array}\right]$
3SERG 1SGEN cat steal VBZR NEG
$\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad k^{h} u i^{55}=g \tilde{o} \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} k \tilde{o} \tilde{o}^{53}$
1SERG 3SGEN =OBJ believe
'He did not steal my cat. I believe him'

Both examples in (96) and (97) consist of two finite clauses with a slight pause in between. There is nothing in the morphology of these clauses to suggest anything other than semantic relatedness.

The same verbs that can participate in clause juxtaposition can also be embedded within the matrix clause. These are complement clauses.

Elicited
$\begin{array}{lll}n a^{13} & k^{h} a^{55} l a^{53} & =j i \\ \text { person } & \text { all } & =\text { ERG }\end{array}$

3SERG 1SGEN cat thief VBZR LEAD OTHR know OTHR
'Everyone knows [s/he stole my cat]'

Elicited
(98). $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad\left[k^{h} u i^{55} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad a^{11} l Y^{55} \quad k i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\right]$

1SERG 3SERG 1SGEN cat steal VBZR NEG
$k^{h} u i^{55}=g \tilde{o} \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} k \tilde{o}{ }^{53}$
3SGEN =OBJ believe
'I believe [he did not steal my cat]'

The fact that (98) and (99) are surrounded by constituents from the matrix clause clearly shows that these are embedded complement clauses.

Four complement-taking verbs that allow embedding of finite clauses have been found. These are $h a o^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{11}$ 'to know', $t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55} \xi \mathrm{Ko}^{53}$ 'to believe', $t \varphi \mathrm{t}^{13}$ 'to forget' and $s 2^{55}$ 'to say'.

Elicited
$\left[\begin{array}{llll}k^{h} \partial^{55} & k^{h} u i^{55} m \tilde{1}^{11} & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & d z i\end{array}\right] \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \quad$ sõ
3s KunmingCH go COP.OTHR hear EGO
'(I) heard [s/he went to Kunming]'

Elicited
$k^{h} u i^{55} \quad\left[k^{h} e^{11} t s^{h} u \quad d z u^{13} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} \quad\right.$ si $\left.\quad d z i \vartheta\right]$
3SERG Khetru dance KNOW OTHR
$p^{h} \partial-\quad$ tce ${ }^{13}$ tco dzip
thither forget caus othr
'He forgot Khetru knew/knows how to dance'.

The most common fully-finite embedded complement clauses are those which code direct and indirect speech ${ }^{7}$. Regarding the status of quotative speech in Newar, Genetti (2005: 52) argues that 'syntactic and prosodic cohesiveness' provide evidence that quoted material are object complements. In Dongwang, the verb $\boldsymbol{s \boldsymbol { 2 } ^ { 5 5 }}$ 'to say' is a ditransitive verb. The speaker in the matrix clause is an ergative argument and the addressee is a dative argument. The speech complement is unmarked, as would be expected of an absolutive argument. Complements of quotation can be one or more complete sentences embedded in a matrix clause.

GetMar034-36
(101).
$\begin{array}{llllllll}t \tilde{x}^{13} & \text { ga } & =j æ & {\left[n a^{55} w \tilde{o}^{53}\right.} & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & \text { gui } & d z i \hat{l} & \text { se } \\ \text { then } & \text { 1SDAT } & =\text { DAT } & \text { wife } & \text { go } & \text { NEED } & \text { OTHR } & \text { say }\end{array}$
'Then (people) said to me'[(You) should go be a bride]'

[^151]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (102). } \\
& t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \text { ro }\left[\eta a^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d q u^{13} \quad t s i \quad m e^{13}\right] \quad s s^{55} j i \\
& \text { then 1SERG TOP 1SABS go PROSP NEG.SELF say COP.SELF } \\
& \text { 'Then I said, 'I am not going to go' }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

(101) and (102) occur adjacent to each other in the text Getting Married. People told the narrator that she should go be a bride and she responds that she is not going to go. Both complement clauses contain TAM marking as well as finite auxiliaries and both function as object complements of the verb 'to say'.

Often in longer quotations, the speaker is coded as an ergative argument in the beginning of the section, but the verb 'to say' is reduced to $s$, the same form as the quotative evidential marker (§9.2.1.4.1.4). Further, this marker usually does not occur after the end of every clause, finite or non-finite, but seems to appear after a long portion of quoted speech.

GetMar48-50

|  | $l a$ | $\left[t s 9^{55} \mathrm{ga}^{53}\right.$ | $c i^{55} n i^{53}$ | $a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}$ | $n u I^{53}$ | $=j i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

father $=$ ERG also little 2PLGEN g'mother two =ERG

2SABS that g'mother two =ERG do RA -NZR COP.OTHR
'Father (said), 'Little one, your two grandmothers, those two grandmothers, are the ones who have arranged $i t^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
{[m a-} & { }^{n} d q u u^{13} & p^{h} \partial- & { }^{n} d q u^{13} & \text { re] } & S  \tag{105}\\
\text { NEG- } & \text { go } & \text { thither- } & \text { go } & \text { COP.OTHR } & \text { QTV }
\end{array}
$$

```
'You must go' (lit: you cannot not go)

The quote above consists of two finite clauses, but only the final finite clause is bounded by the quotative particle \(s\). This raises the question of whether or not clauses like those in (103) - (105) are complement clauses or not. On the one hand, the
phonological reduction of \(s\) 'to say' to \(s\) suggests that the verb has already undergone reanalysis to the quotative particle. However, the presence of the ergative argument, presumably of the verb 'to say', in (103) is problematic. To speakers, there is not a sharp line between the verb and the particle, as both serve the same function. Syntactically, there is indeterminacy.

\subsection*{12.3.2 Non-finite complement clauses}

\subsection*{12.3.2.1 Nominalized complement clauses}

Two nominalizers, \(-s a\) and -na, are used to construct object ( \(-s a\) ) and subject
(-nə) complements. Two verbs of perception, \(t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}\) 'to see' and \(t a^{53}\) 'to look', 'to watch' may take nominalized object complements in my data.

\section*{Elicited}
\(\eta e^{13} \quad\left[k^{h} a^{55} \quad d z ə O^{53}-\eta a \quad-s a\right] \quad t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}\) so
1SERG 3SABS fall -NGA -NZR see EGO
'I saw [him/her fall down]'
(107). \(k^{h} u i^{55} \quad\left[k^{h} u i^{55} \quad t c a^{13} \quad t s a^{55} \quad-s a\right] \quad t a^{53}\) de ne \({ }_{0}\)

3SERG 3SERG tea churn -NZR watch CONT VIS.PFV
'He is watching [him/her] make tea'

In (106) and (107), the complement clause is embedded between the matrix A argument and the verb. The arguments of the complement clauses are case-marked for their relationship to the complement clauses. The absolutive pronoun in the
complement clause in (106) co-occurs with the intransitive verb \(d z \ni o^{53}\) 'to fall down'.

The ergative pronoun in the complement clause in (107) co-occurs with the transitive verb \(t s a^{55}\) 'to churn'. \({ }^{8}\)

The only subject complements found in my database are those formed with the general nominalizer -nə and are S arguments of copulas.

HeartAttack164
(108). [ \({ }^{n} g u^{11} Z \tilde{o}^{53}\) Sの \({ }^{55}\)-nə] \(\tilde{Z 1} \quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\)
faint say -NZR COP.SELF NEG
'It cannot be called fainting' (calling fainting is not)

\section*{Elicited}
(109). [ma- ju \({ }^{13}\)-nə] mũ \({ }^{13}\) ñõ

NEG understand -NZR much VIS.PFV
'There is much (I) don't understand'

\subsection*{12.3.2.2 \(d u, r u\)}

There are two complementizing particles that are lexically-specified and have been found to co-occur with \(t_{\mathrm{S}} a^{53}\) 'to fear' and \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) 'to think', 'to wish'. The first one, \(d u\), only occurs with the verb \(t s a^{53}\) 'to fear'.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{8}\) Butter tea is made in a narrow cylinder. After the hot water, tea and butter are poured into the cylinder, a stick with a wheel-shaped head is used to mix the tea together. The verb \(t s a^{55}\) describes the plunging motion when making butter tea.
}

Elicited
(110).
\(\left[\begin{array}{lll}\eta a^{13} & z \mathfrak{X}^{13} & w u ̃]\end{array} d u t_{s} a^{53} \quad s i\right.\)
1 s fat COME DU fear KNOW
'I am afraid [(of) becoming fat]'

Elicited
(111). \(\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}k^{h} u i^{55} & t G^{h} \partial^{55} z \partial & d \tilde{o}^{353} & g u i\end{array}\right] \quad d u \quad t s a^{53}\) si \(d z i ?\)

3SERG carCH VBZR NEED DU fear KNOW OTHR
'He is afraid [(of) having to drive a car]'

Note that the complement clause in (110) does not have a modal verb, but the complement clause in (111) does. This reflects a difference between a stative verb and an active verb in the complement clause. Note also that the complement clause arguments are casemarked for the verb of the complement clause in (110) and (111). Speakers do not feel comfortable expressing the subject argument of both the matrix and embedded clause, likely because the arguments of clauses with \(t s{ }^{53}\) are coreferential.

A second complementizing particle \(r u\) only occurs with the complementtaking verb \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) 'to think'. When \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) co-occurs with \(r u\), it means 'to wish' or 'to wonder'.

Elicited
[ \(\eta a^{13}\) gõ \(\tilde{o}^{55} t s O^{53}\) a \(\left.\quad j \tilde{w}^{13}\right]\) ru \(s^{h a \tilde{a}^{53}}\) si ni
1SDAT workCH QST find RU think MOD NI
'I wonder [will I find work?]' (I wonder if I will find work)

Elicited
(113).


\subsection*{12.3.2.3 Zero marking}

In some types of complement structures, the verb is non-finite and there is no clause morphology that intervenes between verbs. These include \(g u(\sim g u i)\) 'to want', \(g a^{13}\) 'to like', dãa \({ }^{353}\) 'to like', \(t \epsilon O^{53}\) 'to cause', \(\eta a^{53}\) 'to send', and \(s i\) 'to know how to'.

Elicited
\({ }^{h} u i^{55} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} & l u^{13}\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}p^{h} \partial^{11} & \partial^{55} & t s^{h} \partial^{55}\end{array}\right]\) gui dzi? 3SERG food cook dish clean NEED OTHR 'She wants to [cook food (and) clean dishes]'

3SERG clothes pretty wear like OTHR
'(S/he_likes [to wear pretty clothes]'

Elicited
(116). \(\left[\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{ye} \\ & \mathrm{k}^{h} u i^{55} & \mathrm{kui} i^{13} \quad d a^{11} \mathrm{~m}^{55} & s \tilde{\mathrm{x}}^{13}\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{ga}{ }^{13}\)

1SERG 3SGEN clothes pretty wear like '(I) like [to wear her pretty clothes]'

The pronouns in (115) and (116) are likely arguments of the complement clause verb, as the verb \(g a^{13}\) 'to laugh', 'to be happy' does not normally take an ergative
casemarker. The verb, \(d \tilde{a}^{353}\) 'to like', can also take an object complement clause to mean almost the same thing. \({ }^{9}\)

Elicited
(117). \(\left[\mathrm{ge}^{13} \mathrm{kui}{ }^{13} \quad s e^{55} \mathrm{Wa}^{53}\right.\) s \(\left.\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\right]\) ma- ga/dã

1SERG clothes wet wear NEG- like/like '(I) don't like [to wear wet clothes]'

When the A argument of a complement clause is the causee of the matrix clause, it occurs in the dative case. This is in line with Givón's observation (2001: 41) regarding the 'syntactic prototype of manipulation verbs' in that the manipulee of the main verb is both the subject of the complement clause and the direct object or indirect object of the main clause. In Dongwang, the dative casemarking indicates that the manipulee is an indirect object of the main clause.

Elicited
(118).
\(k^{h} u i^{55} \quad\left[\begin{array}{llll}k^{h} u a^{53} & t 6 a^{13} & t s a^{55}\end{array}\right] \quad t 6 o^{53} \quad d z i ?\)
3SERG [3SDAT tea churn] CAUS OTHR
'S/he made [her make butter tea]'

Elicited
(119).
\(\varphi i^{55} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lllll} & i^{55} & j 9^{13} & =j æ & d a^{11} W a^{55} \\ t c e^{53}\end{array}\right] \quad t c o^{53}\) gui dzi? 2SERG 2SGEN man =DAT smoke cut CAUS NEED OTHR 'You should make [your man quit smoking]'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{9}\) There are at least two differences between these verbs which are not directly relevant to complement clauses. The meaning of the verb \(g a^{13}\) includes' to be happy' and 'to laugh'. It means 'to like' only in complement clauses and cannot be used for people.
}

Prop016
(120).
\(w \partial^{55} \operatorname{ta}^{11} \mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{\partial}^{13}=j i \quad\left[k^{h} u a^{53} \quad s^{h r_{1}^{55}} \quad n a \quad m b ə \quad=j æ \quad p^{h} a^{53} \quad t s^{h} u^{55}\right]\)
that man =ERG 3SDAT field inside down =LOC pig herd
\(\eta a^{53} \quad d z i ?\)
send OTHR
'That man sent him to herd pigs down in the field'

It is difficult to draw a clear line between these types of complement constructions and the secondary verb constructions described in Chapter Nine. In fact, it is evident that this type of structure in Dongwang has followed a well-known path of grammaticalization in which a complement-taking verb travels from full verb to secondary verb to auxiliary to a morpheme. The matrix verb of a complement construction may begin as a full verb, but as times goes on, it takes on a more grammatical function and loses lexical meaning. Sometimes both meanings are still active in the language, as is the case for many of the complement-taking verbs in Dongwang. Consider the following two clauses which illustrate this using the complement-taking verb \(s i^{53}\) 'know', 'know how to':

MyLife221
(121). \(\left[t \epsilon^{h} 9^{55} S u^{53} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{0} \mathfrak{X}^{33} \quad t e^{53}\right] s i^{53}\)
cold medicine give know.how.to
'(I) know how to [give cold medicine]'

Elicited
na \({ }^{13} \quad W a^{55 n} d \partial^{11} \quad z i^{11} k i^{55} \quad a^{55} b æ^{53} \quad d \tilde{a}^{353} \quad s i\)
1SDAT this book INT like KNOW
'I really like this book'

In (121) the complement-taking verb \(s i^{53}\) to know how' is the main verb and cooccurs with the object complement to give cold medicine. In (122), the main verb is \(d \tilde{a}^{353}\) and \(s i\) is functioning as a modal verb.

The table below summarizes the complement-taking verbs and their syntax.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Complement type & complement-taking verb & Syntax of complement \\
\hline Speech, Cognition, Perception & so \({ }^{55}\) 'to say', hao \({ }^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{11}\) 'to know', t \(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}{ }^{5} \mathrm{Ko}^{53}\) 'to believe', \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) 'to think', \(t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\) 'to hear' & finite clause embedded in matrix clause object complements \\
\hline Perception & \(t a^{53}\) 'to look', 'to watch'
\[
t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \text { 'to see' }
\] & non-finite clause some aspect marking nominalization \(\mathrm{w} /-\mathrm{sa}\) object complements \\
\hline Copula & zĩ 'self copula' & non-finite clauses nominalization w/ -no subject complements \\
\hline Emotion, Cognition & \(t_{s ̧ a}{ }^{53}\) 'to fear', \(s \tilde{a}^{53}\) 'to wish' ('to think') & non-finite clauses complementizing particle \(d u\) and \(r u\) object complements \\
\hline Manipulation, Cognition & gui 'to want', 'to need'; \(g a^{13}\) 'to like'; dãa \({ }^{353}\) 'to like'; \(t \epsilon o^{53}\) 'to cause'; \(\eta a^{53}\) 'to send'; sã \({ }^{53}\) 'to think'; si \({ }^{53}\) 'to know how to'; \(t c e^{13}\) 'to forget' & non-finite clause bare verb stem no complement marking 'object' complements \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 30: COMPLEMENT-TAKING VERBS IN DONGWANG

I began this discussion on complement clauses with the observation that complement clauses can be arranged according to Givón's notion of syntactic integration. Givón's claim is that 'the stronger is the semantic bond between the two events, the more extensive will be the syntactic integration of the two clauses into a single though complex clause' (2001: 40). This claim does not apply only to
complementation, but according to Givón has one of its 'most conspicuous expressions' in complementation (2001: 40). The question is, does the syntactic integration correlate with the semantic bond as Givón claims.

In Chapter Ten, I said that most secondary verbs (e.g., modal verbs si 'know', \(g u i\) 'want', \(t^{h} u\) 'able') have arisen from full verbs that have grammaticized to function as secondary verbs indicating categories such as modality and manipulation. In Givón's scale, these are the least independent clausal relations.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
+/- \\
Finite
\end{tabular} & Finite complement clauses & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Non-finite complement clauses} \\
\hline Strategy & \begin{tabular}{l}
zero \\
(embedded)
\end{tabular} & Nzr -sa O compl Nzr -no S compl & \(d u, r u\) & zero \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Compl- \\
taking \\
verb
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& s^{55}{ }^{55} \text { 'say' } \\
& h a o^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{11} \text { 'know' } \\
& t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \xi o^{53} \text { 'believe' } \\
& s^{h}{ }^{53}{ }^{53} \text { 'think' } \\
& t s^{h} \mathfrak{x}^{53} \text { 'hear' }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline t a^{53} \text { 'look/watch' } \\
& t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353} \text { 'see' } \\
& n \mathfrak{z}^{13} \text { 'listen' } \\
& z \tilde{\imath} \text { SELF copula }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& t t_{a^{53}}{ }^{33} \text { fear', } \\
& s^{h a a^{53} \text { 'think' }} \\
& \text { ('hope') }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
t \(6 o^{53}\) 'cause' \\
\(t 6^{h} u^{53}\) 'permit' \\
gui 'want/need' \\
ga \({ }^{13}\) 'like' \\
dâa \({ }^{353}\) 'like' \\
7a \(a^{53}\) 'send' \\
sã \({ }^{53}\) 'think' \\
\(S i^{53}\) 'know how' \\
tce \({ }^{13}\) 'forget'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 31: SCALE OF COMPLEMENT-TAKING VERBS IN DONGWANG

The verbs in Table 31 are arranged from left to right, from least integrated to most integrated. While finite complement clauses, in the left column, do not have any

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{10}\) I have elicited clauses in which the complement clause of \(n \mathfrak{æ}^{13}\) 'to listen' is nominalized, but I have been unable to double-check it.
}
complementizing morphology, they are fully-finite clauses embedded within the matrix clause. The most integrated clauses are manipulation and cognition verbs, many of which have grammaticized to function in a single clause. In the middle two columns, a few verbs require a nominalized complement or a complement with \(d u\) or \(r u\). There is no evidence that they are productive.

It is somewhat tenuous to claim that Table 30 shows us a 'scale' of syntactic/semantic integration. Most of the verbs require finite or non-finite clauses which have zero-complementizing morphology. \({ }^{11}\) Clearly, nominalization is not a productive strategy for complementization. \(d u\) and \(r u\) appear to be frozen constructions and are extremely restricted to one verb each. It is also difficult to determine a 'complementation strategy' based on just a handful of verbs. In spite of the lack of strong support for robust complementation structures, it is possible that a bigger database and more research may yield a fuller pattern.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{11}\) Hongladarom (forthcoming) cites sKal.bZang 'Gyur.med and sKal.bZang 'dByang.can (1964) who say that lack of a purposive marker is one of the salient features of Khams Tibetan.
}
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\section*{Appendix A: Dongwang texts}

This appendix contains four texts: a personal narrative, a procedural text, and two folk stories. The first two of these texts, Getting married and How to make butter and cheese, were told in Pongding village by CD, a young mother of two who was in her early thirties at the time of the recording. The third text, How rabbit got a short body and long ears, was told in rGyalthang by DC, a man who was in his mid-thirties at the time of the recording. DC was also born and raised in Pongding. The fourth text, Rabbit and crane, was told in rGyalthang by a monk who was in his thirties at the time of the recording. The monk was born in Pongding, but grew up in several monasteries in Shangri-la County. Currently, he is living in the Dongwang house at Songtsangling Monastery just outside of rGyalthang town.

The first three texts were transcribed phonemically and glossed with the help of the speakers themselves. The last text was transcribed and glossed with the help of a Pongding friend living in rGyalthang. Each morpheme is glossed and each clause is followed by a free translation. I was present for the recording of the first three texts, but not for the fourth.

\section*{Getting Married}

The following text is a personal biography regarding the speaker's arranged marriage. In the story, the speaker was in middle school when her parents told her that they had arranged a marriage for her and that she must accept it and come home. On his deathbed, her father had expressed his wish that she be married, but the narrator convinced her mother to let her study if she could pass the entrance examinations for High School. However, due to the stress she was experiencing, she failed her exams and returned home to get married to two brothers. At the time of the recording, sixteen years after her marriage, the narrator expressed her happiness with her husbands, her in-laws and her children.
(1) \(\eta a^{13}\) ro \(\ldots \quad j i^{55} \quad t 6 o^{53} \quad t c o^{53} \quad l u^{11} \quad j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad b-\) 1s TOP PAUSE oneCH nineCH nineCH sixCH yearCH b-
\(b i^{55} n \mathfrak{æ}^{53} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad m a-\quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad n i\)
graduateCH VBZR NEG PFV NI
(2) \(z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d æ^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o\)
book read EX.AN.SELF
'I, in 1996 \({ }^{1}\), not yet graduated from middle school, was studying'
(3) \(\quad z i^{13} \quad j i-\quad \eta e^{13} \quad \ldots \quad d z \tilde{o}^{55} d i \tilde{e}^{53} \quad j i^{55} \quad d z \tilde{o}^{55} \quad=n \vartheta\)
book ji- 1SERG ... Zhongdian oneCH middleCH =LOC
\(z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o\)
book read EX.AN.SELF
'books-- I--, was studying at Zhongdian Number One Middle School'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13} \quad w \partial^{55} t \boldsymbol{t}^{11} \quad z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad p e^{55} l a^{53} \quad\) ro then 1 s that book read EX.AN.SELF when TOP 'Then when I was studying',

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) As she later pointed out, the narrator meant to say 1986.
}
\(p^{h} a^{55} w \tilde{o}^{53}=k \tilde{i}\) rõ \(\quad \epsilon \tilde{u}^{55} \quad=n ə \quad g a^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53}=k \tilde{\imath} \quad=j i\)
parents \(=P L\) CONN house \(=\) LOC old \(=P L \quad=E R G\)
\(z \mathfrak{X}^{11} g e^{55}=t s a \quad=j æ \quad n a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad \eta a^{53} \quad c e^{53} j e^{13} \quad\) ra \(t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} \quad n_{0} \tilde{o}\) Zhage =ALL =DAT bride send tell VBZR RA PFV VIS.IPFV '(my) parents and the old people of (my) house had arranged to send me to be a bride at Zhage's house'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad w \partial^{55} t \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11}\) rə \(\quad W \tilde{o}^{55} n a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{\imath}=t s a \quad r ə\)
then that TOP 1PL =PL =ALL TOP
zə- na \({ }^{53} \eta a^{55} m o^{53} p^{h} 9^{55} d \partial^{11} n i\)
up long.ago after NI
'Then as for that, from a long time ago,
(7)
\(w \partial^{55} t \boldsymbol{2}^{11} \quad p^{h} a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}=j i \quad n a^{55} m æ^{53} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad=j i\)
that parents =ERG force \(=\) PL INSTR
\(p^{h} a^{55} w \tilde{o}^{53}=j i \quad g a^{13}\)-nə \(\quad{ }^{23} \partial^{55} t^{11} \quad l u^{13}\)
parents \(=\) ERG like - NZR that make
'Parents used force to make that love (=arrange marriage)'
(8)
\(p \boldsymbol{a}^{11} \tilde{S i}^{55} \quad=j i \quad p^{h} a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{53} \quad n \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13}\)
children \(=\) ERG parents mouth listen
'Children obey their parents'.
\(w \partial^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} j e^{13}\)-nə \({ }^{n} d z q u^{13}\) gui \({ }_{0} \tilde{o}\)
like.that do NZR go NEED VIS.IPFV
'(we children) must do like that'
(10) \(t \tilde{æ}^{13} w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} \quad z i^{11} r \partial^{55} \quad z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13}\) ro
then that time ADVERS still 1 s TOP
(11) \(z i^{13} \mathrm{ga}^{13} \mathrm{de} \quad s i\)
book like CONT KNOW
'Then but at that time, I still liked books'
(12) \(\quad z i^{13} \quad z \tilde{o}^{353} \quad n d o\)
book study EX.AN.SELF
'I was/am studying'.
(13) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad z i^{13} \quad z \tilde{o}^{353} \quad\) ndo \(\quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
then book study EX.AN.SELF REN
'Then when I was studying',
\(k^{h} \partial^{55} \eta a^{53} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad\) ya \({ }^{13} \quad{ }^{23}{ }^{55} t s o^{53} \quad t_{S} 9^{55} t_{S} a^{11} \quad \ldots\)
3PLDAT =PL 1SDAT like.this question ...
'they questioned me like this/regarding this'.
(15) \(\quad z i^{11} r 2^{55} \quad \eta e^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\) tsi me \(\quad s 2^{55}\) ra ze ADVERS 1SERG go PROSP COP.NEG.SELF say RA EX.INAN.SELF 'But I had already said, I will not go'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} \quad z^{13} \quad z \tilde{o}^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad \quad p e^{55} l a^{53}\)
then book study EX.AN.SELF when
'Then when (I) was studying'
\(\tilde{j 1}^{13} c \tilde{a}^{11} \quad\) la \(t s \vartheta^{55} k a^{53}\) no \(\tilde{o}\)
influenceCH also a.little VIS.IPFV
(I) was influenced a bit'.
\(k^{h} \partial^{55} n a^{53}=k \tilde{i} \quad{ }^{53} \partial^{55} t s^{h} O^{53} \quad j e^{13} \quad \varphi i \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\)
3PL =PL like.that VBZR MAL REN
'when they did like this'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{13} p^{h} \partial \quad t \partial^{55} j^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\)
then FILLER this VBZR go
'Then, up, (I) did that:'
\(z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d \mathfrak{X}^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o\)
book read EX.AN.SELF
'(I) was/am studying'.
(21)
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad a^{55} r e^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{re}{ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{13} \quad=j i \quad l a\)
then paUSE mother ERG LA
\(h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \mathrm{ra} \quad \mathrm{w} \boldsymbol{v}^{55} \mathrm{ts}^{h} \mathrm{O}^{53} \quad \mathrm{se} \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{we}^{55} \mathrm{no}^{11}\)
at.first like.this say INF
'Then, um, mother at first had (apparently) said this'
\(\begin{array}{lll}k^{h} a^{55} ¢ \tilde{a} \tilde{a}^{53} & r \tilde{o} & r ə \\ \text { pass.examCH } & \text { COND } & \text { TOP }\end{array}\)
'If (she) passes',
\(\epsilon i^{55} j i^{53}=t s a \quad\) re \(\quad p \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\)
2PLGEN =ALL COP.OTHR NEG.FUT
'she will not be of your house'.
\(k^{h} a^{55} m จ^{11} c \tilde{a}^{53} \quad r \tilde{O} \quad r ə\) not.pass.examCH COND TOP
'If (she) doesn't pass',
\(t s^{h} i^{53} r u \quad s a^{13} \quad a^{55} g u a \quad s\)
lead POL say.FUT NEED.IR HS
'(we) need to ask (you) to take (her)'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad\) sul \({ }^{55} \tilde{\Gamma 1}^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial \quad a^{11} r^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} \mathrm{re}^{55} \quad \mathrm{zi}^{13} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{d} \mathfrak{æ}^{353} \quad \mathrm{ni}\)
then finally PAUSE FILLER book study NI
'Then finally, um, studying,'
(27) mbo- \(k^{h} a^{53} c \vartheta^{11} \quad j e^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\) down examineCH VBZR PFV REN 'after taking the examination'
\[
\begin{array}{lcl}
k^{h} a^{53} d \partial^{11} c^{53} & m a- & \text { re }  \tag{28}\\
\text { pass.examinationCH } & \text { NEG } & \text { COP.OTHR } \\
\text { '(I) did not pass' } & &
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \text { rə mbə- }{ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55} \quad t \sigma^{55} n ə^{11} \quad b a^{53} \quad m b ə-\quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} \text { rə } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
\] then TOP down month twelve beginning down come REN TOP 'Then when (I) came down in the beginning of December',
\(j 2^{13} \quad=k \tilde{i} \quad=j i\)
person \(=\) PL \(=\) ERG
\(t \epsilon^{h} 2^{55} t \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^{53} g u o^{11} t^{h} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^{53} \quad\) na \({ }^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d q u u^{13} \quad\) gui \(\quad d z i ? \quad\) se \({ }^{13} \quad\) ni \(\quad c e^{53}\) incessantly bride go NEED OTHR say NI tell 'People kept on telling me that (I) should go be a bride'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\) ya \({ }^{13}=j æ \quad n a^{55} W \tilde{X}^{53}{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\) gui dzip se
then 1SDAT =DAT bride go NEED OTHR QTV
'(People) said to me '(You) should go be a bride'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \eta \mathrm{e}^{13}\) ro \(\eta a^{13}{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\) tsi me \(\quad\) so \({ }^{55}\) ji
then 1SERG TOP 1 s go PROSP COP.NEG.SELF say COP.SELF.PST
'Then I said, 'I am not going'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13}{ }^{n} d q\) u \(u^{13}\) tsi me \(s 9^{55}\) rex \({ }^{55}\) ro
then go PROSP COP.NEG.SELF say REN TOP 'then when (I) said 'I am not going',
\[
\begin{equation*}
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} h i^{55} m o^{53} \text { tsã̃ }{ }^{53} \text { rə dzu } u^{53} \text { sə }{ }^{55} \text { ma- z } \tilde{o} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
\]
then at.first time TOP actually say NEG EGO.IR
'at first (no one) actually said anything (to me)'.

then month one APPROX thither mind VBZR
\(s e^{13} r \tilde{x}^{55}\) ro
say REN TOP
'Then when (I) said (I) will think for about a month',
\(m a^{13}=j i \quad l a\)
mother \(=\) ERG LA

that \(=\) GEN before TOP PAUSE year one before TOP
\(b a^{353} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s \partial^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u\)
father thither die GO
'Mother-- before that, uh, a year before father died'.
\(z i^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d æ^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad p e^{55} l a^{53}\) ro
book study EX.AN.SELF during TOP
'when (I) was studying',
\(t s^{h} u^{55} a^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d æ^{353} \quad{ }^{n} d o \quad p e^{55} l a^{53} \quad\) ro
grade.twoCH study EX.AN.SELF during TOP
'when (I) was reading second grade (of middle school)'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad b a^{353} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s 9^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\)
then father thither die GO REN
'Then when father was dying',
(43) \(b a^{353}=j i \quad l a\)
father \(=\) ERG LA
\(t s \mathbf{s}^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53} \quad{ }_{6 i}{ }^{55} d 7 i^{53} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad \quad n u u^{53} \quad=j i\)
little 2PLGEN grandmother two =erg

2 s that grandmother two =ERG make RA -NZR COP.OTHR
'Father (said), 'Little one, your (pl) two old grandmothers, it was your (sg) two old grandmothers are the ones who did it.
ma- \({ }^{n} d z q u^{13} p^{h} \partial-\quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\) re \(S\)
NEG go thither go COP.OTHR QTV
'(You) must go'.
\(b a^{353}=j i \quad l a \quad w{ }^{55} t v^{11} \quad g \tilde{o}^{353} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\)
father =ERG LA that on thither depend REN
'Father (said) 'depending on that',
\(s i^{55} p \boldsymbol{o}^{11}\) re \(d z \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}\) re \(\quad s^{h} a^{53} n i\) happy COP.OTHR possess COP.OTHR think NI 'thinking that (you) would be happy',
\(c e^{55}\) na \({ }^{53}\) dzip ji \(\quad S\)
2 S send OTHR COP.SELF.PST QTV
(I) sent you'.
\(\operatorname{ts}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{u}^{13} \quad t_{\epsilon} i^{53}=n ə=j æ \quad s\)
village one \(=\) LOC = DAT QTV
'(welyou) are of one village'.

then that think REN
'Then thinking about that',
\(6 e^{55}\) ma- \({ }^{n} d z q u u^{13} p^{h} \partial-\quad{ }^{n} d z \_u u^{13} a^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53} \quad s\)
2s NEG go thither go MUT QTV
'(father) said 'you must go. OK?'",
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad s u I^{55} \tilde{1}^{53} \quad b a^{353} \quad s a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\) then finally father die PFV REN 'Then when father finally died',
\(m a^{13}=j i \quad l a\)
mother \(=\) ERG LA
\(w \partial^{55} t \partial^{11}\) rə \(b a^{353}=j i \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} \tilde{j 1}^{53}\) ra \({ }^{13}\) ra \(-n \partial \quad=j i \quad z a^{13}\) re that TOP father =ERG will put RA NZR =GEN style COP.OTHR 'Mother (said) 'that is the way (your) father put (his) last will'.
\(\epsilon e^{55}\) rə \(k^{h} \partial^{55} p^{h} a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} k^{h} a^{53} \quad n \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad\)-nə \(\quad=j i\)
2 s TOP 3 S parents mouth listen -NZR \(=\) GEN
põ: \({ }^{13}\) tçi \(j e^{13} \quad a^{55} g u a^{53} \quad s\)
daughter INDF VBZR NEED.MUT QTV
'You should act like a girl who obeys her parents'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad\) su \({ }^{55} \tilde{1}^{53}\) re rax \({ }^{55}\)
then finally COP.OTHR REN
'Then in the end'
\(p^{h} a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} a^{53} \quad\) n \(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad a^{55} g u a^{53} \quad s \quad s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
parents mouth listen NEED.MUT QTV think
'thinking (what mother said) '(You) should obey (your) parents'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad s u I^{53} \quad-d z i \quad\) ro
then some.time APPROX TOP
'then after some time',
\({ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55} \quad t \epsilon i^{53} \quad-d z i \quad s 9^{55} m b a^{53} \quad d \tilde{o}^{353} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\)
month one APPROX mind VBZR PFV REN
'thinking for about a month',
(57) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\)
then go
'Then 'go'.
\(t 2^{55} j^{13} \quad j i\)
that VBZR COP.SELF.PST
'Do it'.
(59) \({ }^{n} d z u^{13} \not x \quad s 2^{55} j i\)
go MUT say COP.SELF.PST
'(I) said '(I) will) go".
(60)
\(z \partial^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}\) дa \({ }^{13}\) rə \(\quad p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial \quad z i^{13} \quad\) rõ \(\quad t^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{i}^{55}\) otherwise 1s TOP FILLER book CONN those
\(a^{55} b x^{53}\) zõ \(\tilde{o}^{353}\) gui \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) de \(\quad\) ci
bad study NEED THINK CONT MAL
'Otherwise I will really want to study books and stuff'.
(61) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad W \partial^{55} t \partial^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial^{55} t \partial o^{53} \quad\) rə \(\quad m b ə-\quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z a^{13} k^{h} \partial^{55} d æ^{53}\) then that after TOP down come as.soon.as 'Then after that, as soon as (I) came down',
\(n a^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}\) пa \(a^{53}\) ra ra \(\tilde{\dddot{x}}^{55}\) ro
bride send RA REN TOP
'when (they) sent (me) to be a bride',
\(z i^{13}\) rõ \(t \mathbf{t a}^{11} k \tilde{r}^{55}\) tçi \(i^{53}\) la \(\quad z \tilde{o}^{353}\) gui ma- \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\) ni book CONN those one also study NEED NEG think NI 'not thinking at all about wanting to study books and stuff',
\({ }_{0} i^{13} t s^{h} a^{53} k^{h} u i^{11} \quad n i\)
angry NI
'being angry',
\(t 0^{55}\) ta \(a^{53}\) la ma- \(j \mathrm{e}^{13} \mathrm{ji}\)
that look even NEG do COP.SELF.PST
'(I) didn't even look at that'.
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
t c i^{53} & \text { la } & \text { ma- } & j e^{13} & n i  \tag{66}\\
\text { one } & \text { even } & \text { NEG } & \text { do } & \text { NI }
\end{array}
\]
'(I) didn't do it at all'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad\) zi \(i^{13} \quad\) ta \({ }^{11} k \tilde{1}^{55} \quad\) la \(\quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t c e^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u\)
then book those even thither forget GO
'Then (I) even forgot the books and stuff'.
\(p^{h} \partial\) - \(\quad t c e^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d q u\)
thither forget GO
'(I) forgot (books and stuff)'.
\[
\begin{equation*}
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial \quad z \mathfrak{X}^{11} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53} \quad=t s a \quad \tilde{S I}^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial^{55} t \partial o^{53} l a \quad 0 \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
\]
then again hither Zhage =ALL arrive after uh 'Then after arriving at Zhage's house',
(70) \(a^{55} n i^{11} \quad a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \quad \mathrm{num}^{53}=j i \quad t s a^{55} d z \tilde{\mathscr{A}}^{53} \quad j e^{13}\) grandfather grandmother two =ERG respect VBZR 'Grandfather and grandmother respect/ed (me)'.
(71) \(\quad 0 \quad \mathrm{ja}{ }^{13} \mathrm{nuI}^{53}=j i \quad k^{h} 2^{55} n a^{53} \mathrm{za}^{13} \quad\) nui \({ }^{53}=j i\) uh man two =erg 3pl husband two =erg
\(t s a^{55} d z \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{53} \quad j e^{13}\)
respect VBZR
'Uh, the two men, those two husbands respect/ed (me)'.
\(k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}=j i \quad \eta a^{13} \quad t s a^{55} d z \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{53} \quad j e^{13}\)
all =ERG 1 s respect VBZR
'Everyone respects/ed me'.
\(a^{11} k a^{53}=k \tilde{1} \quad a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d o\)
child =PL good EX.AN.SELF
'(My) children are good'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad t 0^{55} p^{h}{ }^{55} t \partial o^{53}=j æ a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}\) tçi \({ }_{0} \tilde{o}\)
then that after =DAT good INDF VIS.IPFV
'Then after that, (things) were/are good'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \eta a^{11} \eta a^{55}\) la \(s i^{55} p \partial^{11}\) re \(\quad\) õ
then 1 REFL also happy COP.OTHR EGO
'Then I myself am also happy'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} w \partial^{55} t^{11} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\) ji la
then that go COP.SELF.PST LA
'Then that is what I did'.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { then that COP.SELF INF think EGO }  \tag{77}\\
& \text { 'Then that is the way I recall it being'. }
\end{align*}
\]

How to make butter and cheese

The following is a short procedural text that the narrator told in her kitchen while she was making butter and cheese.
(1) \(\quad W \tilde{o}^{13} \quad\) tso \(o^{53} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}\)
milk churn REN
'When churning milk,'
(2) \(h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} r \partial\) to \(n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial-j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k^{h} u\) first uh churn hither take.out KHU 'first take out a churn'.
(3) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} n \tilde{u}:^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53}\)
then churn thither rinse
'Then rinse the churn'.
(4) \(n \tilde{u}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s i^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}\)
churn thither rinse PFV REN
'Then when the churn has been rinsed out',
\begin{tabular}{lllllllll}
\(t \tilde{æ}^{13}\) & \(S \tilde{o}^{13}\) & \(n a^{11}\) & \(w \tilde{o}^{13}\) & \(j o^{53}\) & ra & \(z e\) & -nə & ro \\
then & copper.tub & inside & milk & pour & RA & EX.INAN.SELF & -NZR & TOP
\end{tabular} 'Then the milk that has been poured into the big copper tub'
\[
\begin{equation*}
\text { nũ: }:^{13}=n ə \text { zə- } j o^{53} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
\]
\[
\text { churn }=\text { LOC up pour }
\]
'pour up into the churn'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}\) nüi \({ }^{13}=n \partial \quad z ə-\quad j o^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}\)
then churn \(=\) LOC up pour PFV REN
'Then when (the milk) has been poured up into the churn',
(7) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad \mathrm{su}^{55} \mathrm{ra}^{11}\) mbo- \(t 6 o^{53}\)
then churn.stick down insert
'Then insert the churning stick'.
\(t \tilde{æ}^{13} w \partial^{55} t^{11} p^{h} \partial^{55} t \partial o^{53} p^{h} \partial-\quad t \leq o^{53} \quad n i\) then that after thither churn NI 'Then after that, churning,'
\[
\begin{array}{llllll}
\partial & s \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53} & -d z i & t s o^{53} & t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} & \text { rã̉ } \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^{55}  \tag{9}\\
\text { uh } & \text { three.hundred } & \text {-APPROX } & \text { churn } & \text { PFV } & \text { REN } \\
\text { 'after plunging about three hundred times', } &
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{equation*}
z \tilde{o}^{13} \text { wõ } \tilde{o}^{13} \quad n i^{13} \text { tci } s u^{53} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
\]
still milk rest INDF rest
'let the milk rest for a while'.
(11) aaa tc \({ }^{h} \partial^{55}\) tcti mbo- kux \({ }^{53}\) ra
uh water INDF down circle RA
'Circle some water (around the inside edges of the churn) down'.
 then again that after thither churn thither churn NI 'Then again after that, churn and churn (=churn a lot)'
\(\mathrm{mmm} \quad t \boldsymbol{o}^{55} \quad j \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{rax} \quad m b \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t \mathrm{~s}^{h} i^{55}\) um that do IMM until
'Until doing that',

five.hundred six.hundred until thither churn PFV REN 'after churning for about five or six hundred times (up to five or six hundred)'
(15) \(\quad t \mathfrak{æ}^{13} \quad \mathrm{mæ}^{13} \quad s \tilde{o}^{13} \quad d \not \approx i ?\)
then butter curdle OTHR
'Then the butter is curdled \({ }^{2}\) '
(16) \(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} m \mathfrak{æ}^{13} \quad s \tilde{o}^{13} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
then butter curdle REN
'Then when the butter is curdled',
də ... \(\quad z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad j \mathfrak{æ}^{11} W a^{55} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t_{0}^{h} \partial^{55} \quad l ə o^{53}\) re DE ... again hand thither wash ? COP.OTHR 'Again wash (your) hands'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad \mathrm{mæ}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad \ldots \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad j \tilde{O}^{53}\)
then butter hither ... hither remove
'Then take out the butter'.
\(m æ^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad j \tilde{o}^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
butter hither remove PFV REN
'After the butter has been taken out',
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\) rə \(p^{h} \partial \quad d ə \quad\)... \(d a:^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial\) - \(j o^{53}\) then TOP FILLER FILLER ... buttermilk thither pour 'Then pour out the buttermilk'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}\) zõ \(\tilde{O}^{13} \quad d a:^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathscr{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{55}\)
then again buttermilk thither pour PFV REN
'Then after the buttermilk has been poured out'
\(z \tilde{o}^{13} d a i^{13} \quad\) ro do \(\quad t^{h} \partial^{11} g a^{55} \quad t i^{55} p a^{53}\) zo- \(t s^{h} a^{13}\) again buttermilk TOP PAUSE fireplace on.top up heat 'Again the buttermilk, uh, heat (it) up on the fireplace'.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) This seems to be the same word as that used for 'to be born' as in \(p a^{13}=j \nsupseteq p i^{55}{ }^{13} \tilde{o}^{13} d z i ?\) 'cows birth calves'.
}
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad z ə-\quad t s^{h} a^{13} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{E}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
then up heat PFV REN
'Then when (the buttermilk) has been heated up',
do \(\quad t^{h} i^{53} \quad t s^{h} a^{13}\) re
FILLER cheese heat COP.OTHR
'Heat up the cheese'.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(t^{h} i^{53}\) & re & \(t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}\) & \(r \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\) \\
cheese & COP.OTHR & PFV & REN
\end{tabular}
'When it has become cheese',
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad t_{S} \tilde{o}^{13} \quad t c^{h} u^{53}\)
then thither cool PERM
'then let (the cheese) cool'.

then just.now FILLER funnel.basket CONN cheesecloth two =LOC
\(n \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad t^{h i^{53}} \quad z 0-\quad t s a\)
together cheese up put.pack
'Then just now, uh, pack the cheese in a funnel wicker basket with cheese cloth in it'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} \quad t^{h i^{53}} \quad z 0-\quad t s a^{53} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}\)
then cheese up put.pack PFV REN
'Then after the cheese has been packed in',
(29) \({ }^{n} d \partial^{353} p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53}\)
this thither pour
'pour out this'...
(30)
\(t 6^{h} u I^{13} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53}\) sour.water thither pour
'pour out the sour water'.
\(t 6^{h} u^{13} \quad k^{h} \partial^{55} k^{h} \partial^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad j o^{53}\) sour.water 3REFL thither pour 'Pour out the sour water by itself'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad t^{h} i^{53} \quad t s^{h} \partial-\quad j \tilde{o}^{53}\)
then cheese hither remove
'Then take out the cheese'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{13} t^{h} i^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad k \tilde{a}^{53} r a\)
then cheese thither dry RA
'Then dry the cheese'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} \quad w \boldsymbol{a}^{55} t \boldsymbol{v}^{11}\) re
then that COP.OTHR
'Then that's it'.

The following is a traditional story told by DC. As this story opens, the rabbit, known locally as the 'yak of the grasslands', was very cunning, but shy. When he tries to get more than his share of butter from a shepherd, the shepherd grabs his ears and makes them long and shortens his body at the same time.
\begin{tabular}{llllllll}
\(t \boldsymbol{l}^{11} r \tilde{x}^{55}\) & \(=j i\) & \(\eta a^{55} t \tilde{a}^{53}\) & rə & \(p \tilde{o}^{53}\) & \(=n ə\) & \(=j i\) & \(z a^{353}\) \\
that.time & \(=\) GEN & story & TOP & grassland & \(=\) LOC & \(=\) GEN & yak
\end{tabular}
\(s e^{13} \quad d z i \uparrow \quad . . \quad p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\)
call OTHR ... rabbit
'An ancient story called 'the yak of the grassland'...'grassland yak' (='rabbit')'.
\(p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\) rə \(p \tilde{o}^{53}=n ə\) de \({ }^{353}\) de -nə no \(\tilde{o}\)
grassyak TOP grassland =LOC dwell CONT -NZR VIS.IPFV
'The rabbit lived on the grassland'
\[
\begin{array}{lllllll}
t \tilde{æ}^{13} & k^{h} \partial^{55} & \text { rə } & p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial & \eta a^{55} t \tilde{a}^{53} & g u e^{13} c a^{53} & \text { re }  \tag{3}\\
\text { then } & 3 \mathrm{~S} & \text { TOP } & \text { FILLER } & \text { extremely } & \text { clever } & \text { COP.OTHR }
\end{array}
\]
'Then he was extremely clever'
\(g u e^{13} c a^{53} \quad z \tilde{1} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
'When (he) had been clever',
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
d z u u^{13} & t \epsilon i & =t s a & =j æ & p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial  \tag{5}\\
\text { shepherd } & \text { INDF } & =\text { ALL } & =\text { DAT } & \text { FILLER }
\end{array}
\]
nui \(^{13} a^{11} \tilde{c i}^{55} \quad\) tsso \(^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55} \quad \mathrm{mæ}^{13} \quad\) hjõ \({ }^{353} \quad \mathrm{ni}\)
day today every butter beg NI
'(he) begged a shepherd for butter every day',
(6) \(m æ^{13} \quad 6 j \tilde{o}^{353}\)
butter beg
(7) \(a^{11} \tilde{\Gamma}^{55}\) la \(6 j \tilde{o}^{353} \quad w \tilde{u}^{13}\) today also beg come 'came begging today'
(8) \(s^{h} a^{11} j i^{55}\) la \(h j \tilde{o}^{353}\) wũ \(\tilde{u}^{13}\) tomorrow also beg come 'also came begging tomorrow'
(9) \(n a^{11} j i^{55} \quad\) la \(6 j \tilde{o}^{353} w \tilde{u}^{13}\)
day.after.tomorrow also beg come 'also came begging the day after tomorrow'
(10) \(w ə^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} j e^{13}\) de dziP zĩ \(a^{53}\) re like.that DO CONT OTHR COP.SELF QST COP.OTHR '(he) did (begged) in that way'.
 now shepherd that =ERG TOP day INDF COP.OTHR REN TOP
(12) \(m æ^{13} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\) tci \(k^{h} ə o^{353} n i \quad\) rə
butter blob INDF carry NI TOP
\(t^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{11} p a^{53} \quad g \tilde{o}^{353} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad{ }_{0}{ }_{0} \tilde{o}\)
forehead on smear VIS.IPFV
'Now one day the shepherd came carrying a blob of butter (and) smeared it on (the rabbit's) forehead'.
\(t^{h}{ }^{11} p a^{53} \quad g \tilde{o}^{353} \quad t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad \eta a \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
forehead on smear SEND REN
\[
\begin{array}{lllllll}
c e^{55} & k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} & h j \tilde{o}^{353} & S \tilde{o} & m e & a^{53} & \text { re }  \tag{15}\\
\text { 2s } & \text { yesterday } & \text { beg } & \text { come.PFV } & \text { COP.NEG.SELF } & \text { QST } & \text { COP.OTHR }
\end{array}
\] 'When (the shepherd) finished smearing it on (the rabbit's) forehead, (he asked) 'didn't you come to beg yesterday?'
\(t \tilde{æ}^{13}\) zõo \(\tilde{o}^{13}\) nui \({ }^{13}\) tçi \(6 j \tilde{o}^{353}\) wũ \({ }^{13}\) dzî \(\quad z \tilde{\imath} \quad a^{53} t^{h} i \quad\) wa then again day INDF beg come OTHR COP.SELF QST VIS.PFV MUT 'then again (you) come to beg, huh?'
\(k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad k a^{11 n} d \partial^{55} \quad h j \tilde{o}^{353}\)
yesterday what beg
\(z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad t s^{h} \partial \quad n u I^{13}\) tçi zə ts \({ }^{h} \partial-\quad w \tilde{u}^{13} \quad n i\) again hither day INDF up hither come NI 'what are you doing coming yesterday and then again coming today?'
\(s 9^{11} n u w^{55} \quad d z \tilde{\mathscr{x}}^{13}\)
next.day possess?
(20) \(\quad t s^{h}{ }^{2}-\quad w \tilde{u}^{13} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
hither come REN
\(\varsigma e^{55} k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad\) hjo \(\tilde{o}^{353}\) sõ me \(a^{53}\) re
2 S yesterday beg come.PFV COP.NEG.SELF QST COP.OTHR
\(\left.s e^{13} \quad d z i\right\}\)
say OTHR
'the next day, when (he) came (the shepherd) said 'didn't you come to beg yesterday?'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{E}}^{13} \quad \eta a^{13}\) me \(\quad s e^{13} d z i 2 \quad \ldots \quad p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}=j i \quad\) rə
then 1 s COP.NEG.SELF say OTHR ... rabbit =ERG TOP 'Then 'Not I' said the rabbit.
\(w \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{Za}^{55}\) tçi wüu \({ }^{13}\) de dzi? \(a^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
another INDF come CONT OTHR MUT
'Another came, right?'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}\) ma- re
then NEG COP.OTHR
'Then, 'No way'.
\(\eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad \mathrm{k}^{h} a^{11} t S^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad \epsilon i^{55} \quad=g \tilde{o} \quad m æ^{13} \quad t s^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\) 1 SERG yesterday 2 SGEN \(=\) OBJ butter blob
\(t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\) na -no to ro \({ }_{w \sigma^{55} t i^{13}} a^{55} n a^{53}\) smear SEND NZR SPEC TOP that.there MUT 'Isn't that there the butter I smeared on you yesterday?'
\(c \mathrm{e}^{55}\) me ro \(t^{h} \partial o \quad w u-z a \quad s e^{13}\) rax \({ }^{55}\) ro 2S COP.NEG.SELF TOP POSS NEG VAL say REN TOP 'when (the shepherd) said, 'It is not possible that it is not you',
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\) rə põ \(\quad=n \vartheta \quad=j i \quad z a^{353} \quad z \tilde{\imath} \quad r \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{55}\) then rabbit TOP grassland =LOC =GEN yak COP.SELF REN 'Then when the rabbit was the yak of the grasslands',
\(b \boldsymbol{a}^{11 n} d z \tilde{a}^{53} \quad a^{55} m b a^{53} \quad o\)
huge MUT PTCL
'(he) was huge, man'.
(30) \(t 6^{h} a^{11} W u^{55}\) re
big COP.OTHR
'(he) was big'.
\(t_{S}{ }^{h} i^{55} \varphi コ^{11} \quad\) Z̃ \(\quad a^{55} \quad t^{h} i\)
shy COP.SELF QST VIS.PFV
'(he) was shy'.
\(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \quad t_{s}^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{55} \varphi \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} \quad n i\)
now shy NI
'Now (the rabbit) was shy and',
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\(p^{h} u u^{353}\) & \({ }^{n} d q u^{13}\) & \(n i\) & rə & \(\partial\) \\
run & go & NI & TOP & uh
\end{tabular} 'ran away and'
\(s^{h} a^{53} \quad=n \partial \quad{ }^{n} d z u u^{53} \quad{ }^{n} d z u^{13}\)
ground =LOC dive go
'went diving into the ground'.
\(c e^{55} \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad t_{s}{ }^{h} i^{53}\) wu- gua
2s now shy NEG- NEED.IR
'You don't need to be shy now'.
(36) \({ }^{n} d z u u^{53}\) ma- de se \({ }^{13}\) ni ro
dive NEG CONT say NI TOP
'(The shepherd) said, 'don't continue to dive (into the ground)',
(37) zo- tci \(t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{w}}^{13}\) na rã \(\quad\) º
up INDF pull SEND REN TOP
'pulled up on (the rabbit's) ear',
\(\begin{array}{llllll}n \tilde{e}^{55} j i^{11} & =n ə & z ə- & c i^{11} m ə^{55} & \text { re } & \text { ra }\end{array}\)
ear =LOC up long COP.OTHR RA
'(The rabbit's) ears became long',
\(1 \partial^{11} p \partial^{55} p^{h} \partial-\quad t 6^{h} \tilde{o}^{13}\) re ra ni ro body thither short COP.OTHR RA NI TOP '(his) body became short',
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}{ }^{13} \boldsymbol{\partial}^{55} t^{11} p^{h} \partial^{55} t \partial o^{53}\) ro \(k^{h} \partial^{55} p^{h} \partial^{2} \quad\) biancheng-then that after TOP 3 s thither changeCH--
'Then after that, he changed \({ }^{3}\)--
\(W 2^{55} t \theta^{11} \quad p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial \quad d z u^{53} \quad\) ni \(\quad\) se \(e^{13} \quad a^{55} g u a^{53}\)
that FILLER change NI say MUT
'(I/one) should say 'that one changed' right?',

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{3}\) Here the narrator uses a Chinese word meaning 'to change', catches himself and explains what the Dongwang word (in the next clause) should be.
}
(42) bian \(s e^{13} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
changeCH say REN
'When saying bian ('change')',
\({ }^{n} d z u^{53} \quad n i \quad s e e^{13}\) gui \(a^{55} n a^{53}\)
change CON say NEED MUT
'should say \({ }^{n} d z u^{53}\) ('change') right?'
\(t \tilde{o}^{55} W \tilde{a}^{53}\) rə \({ }^{n} d z u^{53} \quad s e^{13}\)
Dongwang TOP change say
'Dongwang (speech) says \({ }^{n} d z u^{53}\) ('change')'.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\({ }^{n} d z u^{53}\) & \(k^{h} \partial\) & \(n i\) & \(r ə\) \\
change & KHU & NI & TOP
\end{tabular}
'(the rabbit) changed',
t \(\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} p^{h} \partial \quad\) ro \(\quad\) ri \({ }^{11} g \tilde{o}^{55} \quad w ə^{55} t \partial^{11}\) re dziP \(a^{55} n a^{53}\)
then FILLER TOP rabbit that COP.OTHR OTHR MUT 'Then (he) became a rabbit, right'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad{ }^{13}{ }^{55} t \partial^{11}\) ri \(i^{11} g \tilde{o}^{55} \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} \quad\) po \(\tilde{o}^{53} \quad=n 0\) ma- dzi?
then that rabbit then grassland =LOC NEG- OTHR
\(d \mathrm{e}^{353} \quad t s i \quad \jmath_{0} \mathfrak{æ}^{13} \quad \mathrm{mbo}-\quad \mathrm{S} \tilde{O}^{13} n i^{55}\)
dwell PROSP EX.INAN.NEG.SELF down- at.last
'Then the rabbit, then was not on the grassland, (he) did not live (on the grassland) in the end.'

there down tho--- ... thorns in reason
'That's the reason (he) (lived) in the thorns'

3s that COP.SELF OTHR COP.SELF QST VIS.PFV
'That is the story'.

Like the previous folk story, the following story is also a folk story about a rabbit and a crane. In this story, the crane gets the rabbit to laugh so hard and cry so hard that his lip splits and his face swells. This story is told by a monk who has probably heard it many times and appears to have almost memorized it.
\(n a^{53} \eta a^{55} m o^{53} a^{55} n i^{11} \quad t \epsilon \subset i \quad\) rõ \(\quad a^{55} m o^{53} \quad t \epsilon i \quad n u u^{53}=j i\) long.ago grandfather INDF CONN grandmother INDF two ERG
\(p \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k u^{55}\) ze \(\quad{ }^{n} d o{ }^{11} d z i \quad\) se
grassland dig EX.INAN.SELF EX.AN.OTHR HS
'Long ago there was an old man and an old woman who were living digging in the grassland'.
(2)
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\) tçi rõ \(\quad t s^{h} \boldsymbol{g}^{11} t t^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad{ }^{n} d o^{11} d z i^{55} \quad\) se
then rabbit INDF CONN crane EX.AN.OTHR HS
'Then there was a rabbit and a crane'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{æ}}^{13} \quad t s^{h}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} t \underline{S}^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} \quad=j i \quad p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53} \quad=j æ \quad \eta e^{13} \quad c e^{55}\)
then crane \(=\) ERG rabbit =DAT 1SERG 2 S
\(g a^{11} g a^{55} \quad-n จ\)
laugh.laugh -NZR
\(k^{h} a^{53} \quad c u^{55} \quad t 6 O^{53} \quad a^{55} \quad j e^{13} \quad s s^{55} d z i q \quad s e\) mouth split CAUS QST DO say OTHR HS
'Then the crane said to the rabbit 'Let's make you laugh so hard that your lip splits'
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} j \mathrm{e}^{13}\) jo se \({ }^{55}\) dzi? se
then do OK say OTHR HS
'Then (the rabbit) said 'Alright! do it'.
(6) \(a^{55} n i^{11}\) to \(=j i \quad a^{11} m o^{55}\) to \(=j i\)
grandfather \(\operatorname{SPEC}=\) ERG grandmother \(\operatorname{SPEC}=\) ERG
\(p \tilde{o}^{53} \quad k u^{55}\) ji se
grassland dig ? HS
'The grandfather and grandmother were digging in the grassland'
\(\begin{array}{lllll}a^{55} n i^{11} & \text { to } & =j i & { }^{n} g u^{353} & =j æ \\ \text { grandfather } & \text { SPEC } & =\text { ERG } & \text { head } & =\text { DAT }\end{array}\)

crane there perch go REN
'when the crane went over there and perched on the grandfather's head',
(8) \(a^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\) to \(=j i \quad t c a^{11} \mathrm{za}^{55}=j i \quad a^{55} \mathrm{ni}^{11}\) too \({ }^{353} \mathrm{ni}\) grandmother SPEC =ERG shovel =INSTR grandfather strike NI 'grandmother struck grandfather with a shovel',
(9) \(s e^{53}\) ra dzi?
kill RA OTHR
'and killed (him)'
(10) \(p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53} \quad g a^{13} \quad n i\)
rabbit laugh NI
'The rabbit laughed and'
(11) \(g 0^{11} g a^{55}=j æ\)
laugh.laugh \(=\) DAT
(12) \(\quad k^{h} a^{53} \quad 6 u^{55}\) ra dzi?
mouth split RA OTHR
'(his) laughing split a lip'.
\(t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} z \tilde{o}^{13} \quad p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}=j \mathfrak{Z} \quad \eta \mathrm{e}^{13} \quad c e^{55}\) ro
then again rabbit =DAT 1 SERG 2 S TOP
\(\eta 2^{11} \eta 2^{55}\)-no
cry.cry -NZR

face swell CAUS QST do say OTHR HS
'Then again (the crane said) to the rabbit, 'Let's make you cry so hard that your face swells up'.'
\(p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}=j i \quad j e^{13} j e^{13} s 9^{55} d \not \subset i \quad s e\) rabbit =ERG do do say OTHR HS
'The rabbit said 'Do it, do it'.'
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
a^{11} r a^{55} j i & t s \tilde{I}^{55} k o^{13} r \tilde{a}^{55} & \text { se } & -n \partial  \tag{16}\\
\text { just now } & \text { trap } & \text { say } & \text {-NZR }
\end{array}
\]
\(c e^{55} d i:^{13} \quad j \tilde{a}^{13}=n ə \quad p^{h} u^{55}\) sõ \(e^{55} d z i p\) se
2 S there road \(=\) LOC run go.IMP call OTHR HS
"You run over there on the road that is called a trap' (the crane) said'.
(17) \(\quad j o^{13} \quad s 0^{55} n i\)

OK say NI
'(The rabbit) said 'OK','
\(\begin{array}{llllllll}t \tilde{I}^{55} k o^{13} r \tilde{a}^{55} & \text { se } & \text {-nə } & j \tilde{a}^{13} & p^{h} u u^{353} & { }^{n} d z u^{13} & d z \text { iq } & \text { se } \\ \text { trap } & \text { call } & \text {-NZR } & \text { road } & \text { run } & \text { go } & \text { OTHR } & \text { HS }\end{array}\)
'(he) ran to the road that is called the trap'
\(\varphi \partial^{53}\) tci \(J_{0} \tilde{a}^{53}\) kə ni
dog INDF chase KHU NI
'A dog chased (him)',
(20)
\(p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\) юə \(\eta จ^{11} \eta \partial^{55} \quad=j æ\)
rabbit TOP cry.cry =DAT
'rabbit cried so hard'
(21) dũ \({ }^{353}\) ta \({ }^{55}\) ra dzi? se face swell RA OTHR say '(his) face swelled'.

\section*{English-Dongwang-Written Tibetan Lexicon}

The following section contains a word list of eleven hundred words. Each English entry is followed by a Written Tibetan entry and a phonemic IPA transcription of the Dongwang word.

Written Tibetan entries are indicated by angled brackets \((<>)\) as has been done throughout this dissertation. If no known WT etymology is known, a question mark in angled brackets indicates this ( \(<\) ? >). If a WT entry is posited, but there is a high degree of doubt, a question mark will follow the WT entry (e.g., <kha.thog>?). Finally, when one syllable is known, but the other is unknown or is doubtful a question mark in the relevant place indicates the unknown or doubtful syllable (<kha.?>, <kha.thog?>).

Regarding the Dongwang entries, a tilde ( \(\sim\) ) between forms indicates that two Dongwang forms are in free variation with each other. A comma between forms indicates alternate forms.
a little <?> ts \({ }^{55} g u u^{53}\)
a little, little <? \(>t s \boldsymbol{e}^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}\)
abbot <mkhan.po> \(k^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{13} \mathrm{mo}^{11}\)
after <phar.rjes> \(p^{h}{ }^{55}{ }^{55}\) tce \({ }^{53}\)
again <?> \(a^{11} W \tilde{u}^{55}\)
again, still \(<\) ? \(>z \tilde{o}^{13}\)
age \(<\) lo \(>j Y^{13}\)
airplane <lcags.bya> \(t \subset a^{55} z a^{53}\)
all <ga.lag>, <?> \(k^{h} a^{11} l a^{53}, l Y^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
amulet <ske.srung> \(k i^{55} \tilde{S O}^{53}\)
animal (wild) <ri.dwags> co \({ }^{11} d a \rho^{53}\)
ankle <tshigs.pa> \(t s^{h^{11}}{ }^{11} p q^{55}\)
another, other <?> we \({ }^{11} z^{55}\)
arak bottle cork <?> \(k^{h} 2^{55} t s \tilde{o}^{53}\)
arak pot <?> da \({ }^{11} m b i^{55} \sim d a^{11} m i^{55}\)
arak, hard liquor <a.rag> \(a^{55} r a P^{53}\)
arrow <mda'> \({ }^{n} d a^{353} \sim n a^{353}\)
as soon as <? \(>{ }^{n} d z a^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55} d æ^{53}\)
ash <thal.dkar> \(t^{h}{ }^{11} k \mathfrak{x}^{55}\)
at first <? \(>h \tilde{i}^{55} \mathrm{ko}^{53}\)
at first, in the beginning <?>
\[
h i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} \mathrm{ra}^{11}
\]
aunt (father's sister) <a.ne> \(a^{11} n i^{55}\)
aunt, (mother's sister) <ma.rgan>
\[
m a^{11} g \tilde{x}^{55}
\]
axe \(<\) sta.ri> \(t a^{55} r \boldsymbol{r}^{11}\)
baby mountain goat \(<\) re'u> \({ }_{Z} Y^{13}\)
baby mule <? \(>t \epsilon u^{55} t s^{h} u^{53}\)
back and forth <tshur phar> \(t s^{h}{ }_{2}{ }^{55}\)
\[
p^{h} \partial^{11}
\]
back of neck <ske.?> \(k i^{55} t a^{53}\)
bad <?> \(t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{53}\)
bad, intensifier <?> \(a^{55} b æ P^{55}\)
bag (big, for grain) <?> \(t^{h} a^{11} d æ^{55}\)
bald <mgo.?> \({ }^{n} g u^{11} l Y^{55}\)
barley <nas> ne \({ }^{13}\)
barley beer <chang> \(t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}\)
barley flour <rtsam.pa> \(t s \tilde{a}^{55} b a^{53}\)
barley, w/out hull <?> \(\mathrm{Ka}^{55} \mathrm{ra}^{11}\)
basket (for drying noodles) <?>
\[
\xi 2^{11} W \mathfrak{Z}^{53}
\]
basket shovel (to haul dirt, potatoes)
\[
\text { <?> p } \boldsymbol{o}^{11}{ }^{1} u^{53}
\]
bathroom 1 <gya.khang> \(¢ a^{55} k^{h} \tilde{O}^{53}\)
bathroom 2 <chu.?.sa>
\[
t 6^{h} \partial^{55} p^{h} u^{53} s a^{11}
\]
beans <sran.ma> \(s i^{55} m \tilde{o}^{53}\)
bear <dom> tõm \({ }^{13}\)
beard <ag.tshom> \(a^{11} t s \tilde{u}^{55}\)
beautiful, cute, pretty <?> da \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}\)
because <?> ma \({ }^{11} z_{2}{ }^{55}\)
bed, bench \(<\) khri> ts \(s^{h}{ }^{55}\)
bee <sbrang.ches?> \(d z \tilde{o}^{11} t s ə^{55}\)
beggar <sprang.slong> \(t_{s} \tilde{o}^{55} h j j \tilde{o}^{53}\)
beginning (of the month) \(<?>b a^{53}\)
behind <rgyab>, <rgyab.phar?>
\[
z ə o^{353}, z \mathfrak{x}^{11} p^{h} a^{53}
\]
belly <grod.pa> tsa \({ }^{11} p^{55}\)
bellybutton <lte.ba> \(t i^{55} j a^{53}\)
below <?> so \(0^{11}{ }^{1} a^{55}, s 9^{353}\)
bench, bed <?.khri> gu \({ }^{11} t t^{h} 9^{55}\)
beside <'gram> \({ }^{n} d z \tilde{a}^{353}\)
beside <? \(>\) tsa \({ }^{53}\)
between <bar.la> pe \({ }^{11} l a^{53}\)
bicep <?> po: \({ }^{55} n i^{11}\)
big 1 <chen.po> \(t \epsilon^{h} a^{11} w u^{55}\)
big \(2<?>\) ba \({ }^{11} l \tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{53}\)
big flat basket <?> \(t^{h}{ }^{11} 1 Y^{55}\)
big stature \(<\) ? chen.po> \(b \tilde{I}^{11} g i^{55}\)
\[
t 6^{h} \partial^{11} W u^{55}
\]
big, huge <?> ba \({ }^{11 n} d z \tilde{a}^{53}\)
bird egg <bya'u sgo.nga> \(S Y^{11}\) guã \({ }^{55}\)
birthplace <skye?.sa> \(s i^{55} S a^{53}\)
bitter <kha.tig?> \(k^{h} 2^{55} t 0^{53}\)
black <nag.po> na \({ }^{11} n a^{53}\)
blind person <myig.long> \(n i^{55} j \tilde{o}^{11}\)
blood <khrag> ts \({ }^{h} a^{53}\)
blue <sngon.po> \(h \tilde{u}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{11}\)
blurrily <?> ma \({ }^{11} \mathrm{ni}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11}{ }^{11} \mathrm{nu}^{53}\)
irrigation ditch gate \(<?>j a^{13}\)
boat \(1<\) gru> \(\operatorname{seg}^{13}\)
boat \(2<?>\) wa: \({ }^{13}\)
body <lus.po> \(1 \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{p} 2^{55}\)
body (e.g. arm) hair <spu> p \(\boldsymbol{o}^{55}\)
boiled water <chu.'khol> tc \({ }^{h} a^{55} k^{h} u i^{53}\)
bone <rus.ba> r9 \({ }^{11} p a^{55}\)
book, letter <yig>, <yig.ge> zi \({ }^{13}\),
\[
z i^{11} g i^{55}
\]
border <ri.mtha'?> ra \({ }^{11} t a^{53}\)
bovine <phyugs.pa> so \(^{55}{ }_{W a}{ }^{53}\)
bow <mda'.gzhu> do \({ }^{55} z^{2}{ }^{11}\)
box, trunk <sgam> gã \({ }^{353}\)
bracelet <lag.kor> ja \({ }^{11}{ }^{11} \mathfrak{æ}^{55}\)
brain <klad.pa> \(1 e^{55} p a^{53}\)
branch <shing.lag?> \(s^{h}{ }^{h} 55 ~ f j a a^{53}\)
breast (male or female) <snod?> \(m i P^{53}\)
breath <dbugs> bu \({ }^{353}\)
bridge <zam.pa> \(z a^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55}\),
\[
{ }^{n_{z a}{ }^{11} m b a^{55}}
\]
broken <?> pe \({ }^{11} n \mathfrak{x}^{53}\)
broom <phyags.ma> \(s^{h} a^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}\)
brother (brother's younger) <nu.bo>
\[
n \tilde{u}:^{13}
\]
brother (sister's younger) <?>
\[
n \mathfrak{x}^{11} \mathrm{mb} \boldsymbol{o}^{55}
\]
brush, slag <?> pi \({ }^{13}\)
bubble <lbu>, <dbu> bu \({ }^{353}\)
building (house, rarely) <khang.pa>
\[
k^{h} \tilde{1}^{11} b a^{55}
\]
bull <glang> \(j \tilde{o}^{53}\)
bull skin <glang.pags> \(j \tilde{o}^{55} \mathrm{pa}^{53}\)
bullet \(<\) mdel \(>\), <mde'u \(>{ }^{n} d y^{13}\)
burn pot for table <hu.phor>
\[
h u^{13} p^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}
\]
busily, harriedly <?>
\[
t s^{h} \partial^{11} t s^{h} u^{53} b v^{11} b i^{53}
\]
business person, trader <tshong.pa>
\[
t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} b \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}, t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} b a^{53}
\]
butter <mar> mæ \({ }^{13}\)
butter tea pot1 <?> \(t i^{55} d u^{53}\)
butterfly <phye.ma.leb> sõ: \({ }^{13} k v^{33} l e^{31}\)
buttermilk <?> ta: \({ }^{13}\)
buttocks <tshos?.ra?> ts \({ }^{h} O^{11} r a{ }^{55}\)
calf <pad> pi \({ }^{55}\)
camera <?.par.?.sa> \(t \epsilon^{h} 9^{55} p \mathfrak{æ}^{53} t o^{33} s a^{11}\)
carpenter <bzo?> \(\mathrm{zu}^{13} \sim l u\)
carpet for sitting <sa.gdan> sa \({ }^{11} d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}\)
cat \(1<?>a^{11} 1 Y^{55}\)
cat \(2<?>\) wu \({ }^{55} / Y^{11}\)
caterpillar fungus \(<\) dbyar.rtswa dgun.'bu>mbe \({ }^{353}\)
cat skin <?.pags> \(w u^{55} p^{53}\)
cautious, take care ? \(n \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} d i^{11}[j e]\)
cave 2 <brag.?> \(\operatorname{tss}^{11}{ }^{11} O^{55}\)
cheap <gung.?> g \(\tilde{o}^{11} l o^{55}\)
cheeks <'gram.tshos?> \({ }^{n} d z \tilde{a}^{11} t s a^{53}\)
cheese <thud> \(t^{h} i^{53}\)
chest <brang> ts \(\tilde{O}^{13}\)
chick <bya'u> SY \(^{55}\)
chicken <bya> sa \({ }^{13}\)
chicken coop, nest <bya.tshang>
\[
s a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
chicken egg <bya.sgo.nga>
\[
\text { so }{ }^{11} \text { guãa }{ }^{55}
\]
child <?> \(a^{11} k a^{53}\)
chili pepper <?> aa \(^{11}\) gup \({ }^{53}\)
chin <mas.li> me \({ }^{11} l^{55}\)
Chinese language, spoken
\[
<\text { rgya.skad> } \underset{z \mathfrak{x}^{11} k i^{53}}{ }
\]

Chinese nationality <rgya> \(7 a^{13}\)
chipmunk <?> \(t^{h} O^{11} \mid Y^{55}\)
churn (big one for making butter)
\[
\text { <rnom> nü: }{ }^{13}
\]
churn (for tea) <ja.rnom> tç \({ }^{11} n \tilde{u}^{55}\)
circle <sgor.sgor> \(g u^{55} g u x^{53}\)
clean <gtsang.ma> tsõ \({ }^{55}\) Wõ \({ }^{53}\)
cliff <brag.ri> \(t_{s} a^{13}, t_{Q} a^{11} r 9^{55}\)
cloth shoes <ras.lham> ri: \({ }^{11}\) hia \(\tilde{a}^{55}\)
cloth, cotton <ras> ri: \({ }^{13}\)
clothes <gos> kue \({ }^{13}\)
cloud <sprin> \(t s I^{53}\)
coals <sol.ba> si \({ }^{11} j^{55}\)
cold, cool (liquid) <grang> tsso: \({ }^{13}\)
color <mdog> \({ }^{n} d u^{353}\)
color <tshos.?> ts \(s^{h} Y^{55} K^{h} a^{53}\)
colt \(\left\langle\mathrm{rte}\right.\) ' \(>{ }^{\text {P }}{ }^{55}\)
comb <?> sõ \({ }^{13}\)
come, imperative <zhog> su \({ }^{53}\)
complicated <?> \(j i^{1 I} g a^{55}\)
considerate <rnam?.gzhigs?>
\[
n a^{55} c i^{53}
\]
convenient <stabs? bde.po>
\[
\mathrm{tu}^{13} d i^{33} \mathrm{ma}^{11}
\]
cooking oil 1 <snum> \({ }^{n} u^{353}\)
cooking oil 2 <snum.nag> \({ }_{0} u^{55} n a^{53}\)
copper <zangs>s \(\tilde{o}^{13}\)
coral, jewels <byu?.ru?> so \({ }^{11}\) C955
corn CH bao \({ }^{53}\) gu \({ }^{11}\)
corpse \(\left\langle\mathrm{ro}>\mathrm{ru}^{13}\right.\)
courtyard <?> \(k^{h}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} t s \tilde{a}^{53}\)
cow <ba> pa \({ }^{13}\)
crazy <smyon> nu \(^{353}\), nu \(^{13}\)
crazy person <smyon.pa> \(n \tilde{u}^{13} b a^{53} \sim\) \(n_{0} \tilde{u}^{13} b a^{53}\)
crooked <gya.kyog> \(¢ 9^{11}{ }^{11} \sigma^{53}\)
crow, raven <bya.rog> so \(^{11} \mathrm{rul}^{93}\)
cupboard <?> tccu \({ }^{11} t a^{55}\)
cymbal <?> ba \({ }^{11} t c^{h} Y^{53}\)
dangerous <?.nyen?> \(k^{h} i^{55} n x^{53}\)
dark (sky) <nag.gtib?> na \({ }^{11} d i^{55}\)
dark green <ljang.nag> \(d z \tilde{o}^{55} n a^{53}\)
darkness <nag.ma>? na \({ }^{13}{ }^{W} O^{53}\)
daughter, girl <bu.mo> \(\mathrm{pu}^{11} \mathrm{me}^{55}\),
\[
p \tilde{o}:^{13}
\]
day <nyi.ma> \(n u u^{13}, n{ }^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{\sigma}^{55}\)
day and night <nyi.tshan>
\[
\operatorname{muI}^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55}
\]
daytime <nyi.khung?> ng \({ }^{1 I n} g \tilde{u}^{55}\)
defect, fault <snyad.pa?> noæ \({ }^{353}\)
demon <lha?.'dre> ha \({ }^{55 n} t s i^{11}\)
difficult, hard \(<\mathrm{dka}>k a ?^{53}\)
dinner <?> \({ }^{n} d \partial^{11} g \tilde{u}^{55}\)
dipper (for water) <ze.?> se \({ }^{13} t^{h} \tilde{u}^{53}\)
dirt, earth, ground \(<\mathrm{sa}>s^{h} a^{53}\)
dirty <?> tu \({ }^{55} p^{53}\)
ditch, water ditch <chu.rka> \(\mathrm{ka}^{53}\), \(t c^{h} a^{11} k a^{55}\)
doctor <sman.pa> \(m_{o} \tilde{\mathscr{X}}^{13} b a^{55}\)
dog <khyi> \(\varphi \boldsymbol{o}^{55}\)
dog skin <khyi.pags> \(¢ 9^{55}{ }^{51} a^{53}\)
domestic animals <byol.song?>
\[
s Y^{11} t S^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}
\]

Dongwang <rter.ma?.rong?> \(t \tilde{o}^{55} w \tilde{a}^{55} \sim t \tilde{o}^{55}{ }_{W} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
door <sgo> \(g u^{353}\)
down, downwards <'babs> mbs \({ }^{353}\)
drop (of water) <chu.'dzag>
\[
t 6^{h} 9^{55 n} d z a^{53}
\]
drum \(<\) rnga \(>\eta a^{53}\)
dry <skam.po> ko \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}\)
duck <chu.bya> \(t \boldsymbol{q}^{h}{ }^{55}{ }^{55} z a^{53}\)
dusk <mun?.rub?> \(\operatorname{moan}^{13} \sim m i^{13}{ }^{13} O^{11}\)
dust <thal.ba> \(t^{h} i^{11} j a^{55}\)
each <re re> \(r i^{11} r i^{55}\)
eagle, vulture <glag?> hjap \(^{353}\)
ear <rna.ba> \(n \tilde{e}^{55} j i^{11} \sim n \theta^{55} j i^{11}\)
early <snga.?> \(\eta 0^{55} j i^{11}\)
earrings (pearl, hoops) <rna.kor>
\[
n ə^{55} k u æ^{53}
\]
earth, world <gdzam.bu.gling>
\[
d z a^{11} m \partial^{55} \mid I^{53}
\]
earthquake <sa.'gul> \(s^{h} \boldsymbol{e}^{55 n} g\) gu \(^{53}\)
east <shar.phyogs> cai \({ }^{13}\) cup \({ }^{53}\)
easy <las.sla.po> \(1 i^{13} l a^{55} \sim j e^{11} l a^{55}\)
egg <sgo.nga> guã \({ }^{353} \sim k u a \tilde{a}^{353}\)
elbow <gru/dre.?> tss \({ }^{11} t s^{h} O^{53}\)
elephant <glang.chen> \(1 \tilde{o}^{55} t 6^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{53}\)
eleven <bcu.gcig>tco \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ji}^{11}\)
empty <stong.pa> to \({ }^{55} p a^{53}\)
enemy <dgra> ts \(a^{353}\)
evening <?> \(s \boldsymbol{2}^{55} g \partial^{11}\)
every day <nyi.?.?> nu \({ }^{13}{ }^{t}\) si \(^{33} t s i^{11}\)
everywhere <ga.ga> \(\mathrm{ka}^{13} \mathrm{ka}^{11}\)
exactly <?> \(t s^{h} a^{53} S a^{11}\)
exciting <myi.?> \(n \boldsymbol{\partial}^{13} t s^{h} u^{53}\)
expensive <gung.?> \(k \tilde{o}^{55} d a^{53}\)
expert <mkhen?.pa> \(k^{h_{i}{ }^{11} p a^{55}}\)
eye <myig>, <dmyig> \(n i^{53}\)
eyeball <myig.ril?>, <mig.zlum?>
\[
n i^{55} l u^{53}
\]
eyebrow <yar.spu> \(z 9^{55} p 9^{11}\)
eyelash <mig.spu> \(n i^{55} p \boldsymbol{o}^{11}\)
face <gdong> d \(\tilde{u}^{353}\)
fake <? \(>\frac{1}{} u^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
fall, autumn ston.ka \(t \tilde{e}^{55} k^{h} a^{53}\)
far <?.ring> \(z \tilde{o n}^{13}{ }^{13} r i\)
farmer <zhing na 'gul myi>,
\[
\text { <zhing.pa> } S_{I^{5}}{ }^{5}=n ə{ }^{n} g u I^{55}-n ə,
\]
\[
s_{1}^{11} b a^{55}
\]
farming <sa.shing> \(s^{h} a^{53} S_{1} 1^{11}\)
fart <'phyen?/phyen> \(s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353}\)
fast, soon <mgyogs.po> \({ }^{n} d \not \subset O^{11} p a^{53}\)
fat <rgyags.pa> \(z_{2}{ }^{13} p a^{53}\)
fat meat <sha.dkar> \(s \rho^{55} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
father <p'a?> ba \({ }^{353}\)
faucet handle \(<?>k^{h} \boldsymbol{\partial}^{11} t S^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\)
feces <skyag.pa> \(\boldsymbol{s \boldsymbol { o } ^ { 5 5 } W a ^ { 5 3 }}\)
fedora \(<?>j \tilde{a}^{11} r a^{55}\)
few <nyung> \({ }^{\text {o }} \tilde{o}^{13}\)
few, some <?> \({ }^{n} g \partial^{11} \Gamma \partial^{55}\)
field \(<\mathrm{sa} . ?>s a^{55} Z_{l} \tilde{l}^{53}\)
field <zhing.?> \(S^{h} \tilde{i}^{353}, s^{h} i^{11} n o^{55}\)
fierce, ferocious <ngar.po>
\[
\eta e^{11} m b a^{55}
\]
fifteen <bco.lnga> t \(6 \tilde{e}^{55} \eta a^{53}\)
fifth <lnga.pa> \(\eta \partial^{11} p a^{53}\)
finally, at last \(<?>\) sut: \({ }^{55} r_{1}{ }^{53}\)
finger (forefinger), toe \(<\) mdzub \(>\) \({ }^{n} d z u{ }^{13}\)
finger (middle finger) <dgyil.mdzub> \(c^{6} u^{55 n} d z u u^{53}\)
finger (pinky) <mdzub.?>
\[
{ }^{n} d z u{ }^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}
\]
finger (ring finger) <sen.lag>?
\[
s \partial^{55} l a^{53}
\]
finger, forefinger \(<\mathrm{mdzub}>{ }^{n} d z u u^{13}\)
fingernail \(<\) sen.mo> \(s e^{11} m \rho^{55}\)
fire \(<\mathrm{me}>n i^{13} \sim n i^{13}\)
fire place <? \(>t^{h} \partial^{55} k a^{53}\)
first, beginning <dang.po> tõ \({ }^{11} m \partial^{55}\)
fish <nya> \(n a^{13} \sim n \partial^{11} W a^{55}\)
fish egg <nya sgo.nga> nə \({ }^{11}\) guã \({ }^{55}\)
fishing net <nya? sa> \(n a^{13} k^{h} O^{33} s a^{11}\)
flame <me.rlabs> \(n i^{13} \not \partial \partial o^{53}\)
flat bread <?> p \(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{55} S a^{53}\)
flea <'ji.ba> tco \({ }^{55} W a^{53}\)
flour <?> \(d \not \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13}\)
flower \(<\) me.tog \(>m i^{11}\) rup \({ }^{53}\)
fly <sbrang> džü \({ }^{13}\)
fog \(<\) smug?.ma> \(\min ^{13} W \tilde{O}^{53}\)
food \(<\) bza'> \(s \tilde{æ}^{13}\)
food and drink <cha.'thung>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{11} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
footpath <rkang.lam>k \(\tilde{o}^{55} j \tilde{a}^{53}\)
forehead <thod.pa> \(t^{h} \partial^{55} p a^{53}\)
forest? <? \(>t^{h} O^{11} \Gamma \partial^{55}\)
fourth <bzhi.pa> \(z \partial^{11} p a^{55}\)
fox \(<\mathrm{wa}>w a^{13}\)
fragrant, tasty <zhim.po> \(s \rho^{11} m \partial^{55}\)
freckle <?> \(\operatorname{mi}^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
friend, good <?> \(p^{h}{ }^{55} S a P^{53}\)
friend, helper <rogs> ru \({ }^{13}\), rup \({ }^{13}\)
frog <sbal.pa> \(b i^{11} W a^{55} \sim b \tilde{1}^{11} g u a^{53}\)
frost <ba.mo> pã: \({ }^{13}\)
frugal, stingy \(<?>\) si \({ }^{11} m ə^{55}\)
fruit <shing?.tog> \(\operatorname{sue}^{55} t^{h} u^{53}\)
funnel-shaped yoghurt basket <zho.?>
\[
s u^{11} t s h a^{55}
\]
garbage <?> \(d 7 O^{11} S^{55}\)
garlic <sgog.pa> \(k u^{11}{ }_{W a}{ }^{55} \sim\)
\(g u^{11} W a^{55}\)
gift, present <lag.?> ja \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55}\)
glass \(<\) shel \(>s i^{53}\)
glasses <dmyig.shel> \(n i^{55}{ }_{S i} i^{53}\)
glue (wood) <spyin> \(S \tilde{I}^{53}\)
god <lha> Kja \({ }^{353}\)
goiter < lba>• ba \({ }^{353}\)
gold \(<\) gser \(>s \mathfrak{Z}^{53}\)
good <yag>, <yag.po> ja \({ }^{13}\),
\[
j \mathfrak{F}^{11} W a^{55}, j \mathfrak{æ}^{11} p ə
\]
good, well <? \(>a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55}\)
grain, that which is ground <?>
\[
w \partial^{55} t a^{53}
\]
grand daughter, niece <tsha.mo> \(t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{13}\)
grandchild, grandson, nephew <tsha.bo> ts \({ }^{h} u^{13}\)
grandfather <a.myes> \(a^{55} n i^{11}\)
grandmother <a.mo? \(>a^{55} m \tilde{o}^{53}\)
grandmother <a.mo> \(a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
grape \(<\) rgun \(>g \tilde{1}^{353}\)
grass <rtswa> tsu \({ }^{55} W a^{53} \sim t\) ® \(^{55} W a^{53}\)
grasshopper <rtswa.rtswa.?.?>
\[
t s^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} a^{53} g u i^{33} l i^{11}
\]
grassland <spang>p \(\tilde{o}^{53}\)
green <ljang.khu> \(d z \tilde{o}^{11} k^{h} \partial^{55}\)
groom, husband <?> ba \({ }^{11} s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{55}\) guest <mgron.po> \({ }^{n} d z \rho^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11}\) gun <me.mda'> \(\operatorname{ni}^{11 n} d a^{55}\) gun bullet <me.mda' mde'u>
\[
n i^{11 n} d a^{55 n} d Y^{11}
\]
hail <ser.ba> \(s i^{11} j a^{55}\)
hair <skra> tsa \({ }^{53}\)
hair (body) <gzugs?.spu> \(s Y^{11} p \partial^{55}\)
half <phyed.ka> \(s e^{55} k^{h} a^{53}\)
hammer <tho.ba> \(t^{h} u^{55} W a^{53}\)
hand and arm <lag.?> \(j a^{11} d ə o^{55}\)
hand, arm <lag.pa> \(j a^{11 n} g u^{55}\),
\[
j \mathfrak{x}^{11} w a^{55}
\]
handsome, beautiful <mdzes.ma>
\[
d z i i^{55} m \partial^{11}
\]
happy, comfortable <skyid.po> \(s i^{53}\), \(s i^{55} p a^{11}\)
hard (not soft) <sra.'khyur?>
\[
s{ }^{11} k \tilde{u}^{53}
\]

hardworking <'grus>? tsiin \({ }^{353},{ }^{n} d q_{l} \tilde{I}^{353}\)
harvest <sog.pa> \(s u^{55}{ }_{W} a^{53}\)
hat <zhwa.mo> so \({ }^{11} W a^{55}\)
head <mgo> \({ }^{n} g u^{353}\)
heavy <ljid.po> dzi \({ }^{55} p a^{53}, t \epsilon i^{55} p a^{53}\)
hemp <so.dza?> \(s 9^{55 n} d z 9^{11}\)
hen <bya.mo> so \({ }^{11}{ }^{1} o^{55}\)
here <'o.?> w2 \({ }^{55} n a^{53}, n a^{53}\)
hero <dpa'.bo> p \(\boldsymbol{o}^{55} w u^{11}\)
hill <ri chung.chung> \(r 9^{55} t \epsilon e^{33} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{u}^{11}\)
hole <?.dong> \(s^{h} a^{11} d \tilde{o}^{55}\), wa \({ }^{11} d \tilde{o}^{55}\)
home, floor <khyim?> \(\epsilon \tilde{u}^{55}\)
honey <?.sbrang> tsta \({ }^{11} d z \tilde{o}^{55}\)
horn <rwa> ra \(^{11}{ }^{11} a^{55}\)
horse \(<\mathrm{rta}>t a^{53}\)
hot (spicy), salty <?> \(k \mathfrak{X}^{11}{ }^{11} \partial^{55}\)
hot (weather, food), to be hot <tsha>?
\[
s a^{53}
\]
how <ga.'dra> \(\mathrm{ka}^{11 n} d z a^{55}\)
how many <ga.tshod> \(k^{h} a^{11} t s^{h} e^{53}\)
hunter <?>, <rngon.pa> \(t_{\$} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{13} b a^{55}\), \({ }^{n} g u æ^{13} m b a^{53}\)
husband <?.zla?/bza’> \(t \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{za}^{55}, \mathrm{za}^{13}\) ice (frozen water) <chab.brom> \(t \epsilon^{h} a^{11} d z \tilde{u}^{55}\)
immediate <?> \(t S \rho^{55} t S^{h} e^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11} t S^{h} e^{53}\)
in a little while, then, soon de.nas?
\[
t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}
\]
in front of <?.mgo> \(n_{0} \partial^{55 n} g u{ }^{11}\)
 in order to <thog.?> \(t^{h} a^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53}\) incense (small) <spos> pui \(^{53}\)
inheritance/possessions <?>
\[
d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{55} t^{h} a^{53}
\]
ink <snag> na \({ }^{353}\)
innards <nang.?> \(n a^{11} t \epsilon^{h} Y^{55}\)
inside <nang.ni?> \(n a^{11} n i^{55}\)
intentionally <rkang.bdzugs>
\[
k \tilde{o}^{55} t s o^{53}
\]
intestines <rgyu.ma> ZO \(^{11} W \tilde{o}^{53}\)
iron <lcags> tcta \({ }^{53}\)
irrigation ditch <?> d \(\tilde{u}^{13}\)
jail <btson.khang> ts \(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{55} k^{h} \tilde{D}^{53}\)
joint <tshigs> \(t s^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{55}\)
jungle <?> mbi \({ }^{13} \mathrm{Zu}^{53}\)
just just now <?> \({ }^{n}\) gui \({ }^{55 n}\) gui \({ }^{11}\)
just now <?> \(a^{11} \not\) pul \(^{55}\)
king <rgyal.po> \(d z \mathfrak{x}^{11} b u^{55}\)
kitchen, cooking utensil <bza'.bzo.sa>
\[
\left.s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\right] u^{13} s a^{11}
\]
kitten <?.phrug> wu \({ }^{55} t s^{h} u^{53} \sim\)
\[
1 Y^{55} t s^{h} u u^{53}
\]
knee <pus.mo> pi \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}\)
knife \(<\) gri> \(t_{s} \mathbf{s}^{13}\)
lake <mtsho> \(t s^{h} u^{55}\)
lama <bla.ma> \(10^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
lamb <lug.gu> jui \({ }^{13}\)
lame <rkang.rdum>, <?> k \(\tilde{o}^{55} d \tilde{o}^{53}\),
\[
k \tilde{o}^{55} t \epsilon^{h} a^{53}
\]
lard <? \(>\) tsul \(^{53}\)
last will <?> \(k^{h} a^{55 j i I ~} \tilde{I}^{53}\)
last year <na.lo> no \({ }^{11 \tilde{1}^{55}}\)
late <phyi.phyi>? sə \({ }^{55} \mathrm{Sa}^{11}\)
lazy <?> \(j \mathfrak{æ}^{11} w æ^{55}\)
lead <zha?> ra \({ }^{13}\)
leader, government/army
<mgo.dpon> \({ }^{n} g u^{11} p \tilde{æ}^{53}\)
leader, official <dbu.ma>, <dpon.po> \(p e:^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11}\)
leaf/ feather, wing <'dab.?>
\[
{ }^{n} d \partial^{11} p \partial o^{53}
\]
lean meat <sha.nag> \(s \partial^{55} n a^{53}\)
leather <ko.ba> \(\mathrm{ku}^{55}{ }_{W a}{ }^{53}\)
leather cushion <ko.ba sdan>
\[
k_{u a}{ }^{55} d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}
\]
leather shoes <ko.ba lham>
\[
k u a^{55} h j a^{53}
\]
leather waist purse <sked.?>
\[
k e:^{55} t s u^{11}
\]
leech <?> sa \({ }^{55} j \partial^{11}\)
lefthand <?> ze \({ }^{11} j \tilde{a}^{53}\)
leg, foot <rkang.pa> \(k \hat{\imath}^{55} b a^{55}\)
leopard <gzig> zi \(^{353}\)
leopard skin/fur <gzig.lpags>
\[
z i^{55} p a 1^{53}
\]
life <srog> sup \({ }^{53}\)
lifetime, life <myi.tshe> \(n i^{55} t s^{h} e^{53}\), \(t s^{h}{ }^{53}\)
light (beam, spot of light) <gsal> \(s i^{53}\)
light (bright) <gsal.ma> si: \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}{ }^{11}\)
light (natural) <'od> we \({ }^{353}\)
light (not heavy) <yang.yang>
\[
z z^{11} z \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
light blue <sngon.dkar> \(h \tilde{u}^{55} \mathrm{kx}^{53}\)
light green <ljang.dkar> \(d \not \approx \tilde{o}^{11} k æ^{55}\)
lightning <glog> ju \({ }^{53}\)
like that (manner) <'o.?> wo \({ }^{55}\) tso \({ }^{53}\)
like this (manner) <'u.?> wə \({ }^{55 n} d z o^{53}\)
lips <mchu.pags> \(t \epsilon^{h} u^{11} p a P^{53}\)
liver <mchin.pa> \(t \epsilon^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
lock <?> sa \({ }^{11} d z i^{55}\)
long <ring.po> \(\sim<\) ring.? \(>~ r i^{11 n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{55} \sim\)
\[
r_{1}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~m} ə^{55}
\]
long ago <snga.ma> pa \(^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
long long ago <gna'.snga.ma>
\[
n \tilde{a}^{53} \mathrm{ya} a^{33} \mathrm{mo}^{53}
\]
loom <thags> \(t^{h} a^{53}\)
louse <shig> \(\operatorname{si}^{55}\)
louse eggs <?> \(s 2^{55} t s^{h} a P^{53}\)
lover <dga'.rogs> ga \({ }^{11}\) rup \({ }^{53}\)
lower back, back <sgang?> g \(\tilde{o}^{353}\)
lower leg, calf <?.nyil> so \({ }^{11} n i^{55}\)
lungs <glo.ba> \(j u^{55} W^{53}\)
manager, boss <bdag.?> da \({ }^{55}\) tsao \({ }^{53}\)
manure <lud> \(\operatorname{hji}{ }^{353} \sim j i^{13}\)
many <mang.po> ma \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}\)
mask <'bag> mba \({ }^{353}\)
matchmaker <gnyen.dpang?>
\[
n \mathfrak{x}^{55} m b æ^{53}
\]
meadow <rtswa.thang> \(t s 9^{55} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53}\)
meat, flesh <sha> \(s^{h} a^{53}\)
medicine <sman> mæ \({ }_{0}^{353}\)
middle <dkyil.?> \(62^{55} \mathrm{ke}^{53}\)
middle, during, when <dbus.ma>
\[
p \mathrm{e}^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}
\]
milk <'o.ma> wõ: \({ }^{13}\)
mind <bsam.pa> s \(^{55} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
mind <bsam> \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
mistake \(<\) nor> \(n \mathfrak{w}^{13}\)
monastery 1 <grwa.khang>
\[
t_{s} a^{11} k^{h} \tilde{o}{ }^{55}
\]
monastery 2 <dgon.pa> \(g{ }^{11} m b a^{53}\)
money purse <dngul.?> \(\eta u u^{55} t s u^{11}\)
monk <grwa.ba> tsa \({ }^{11}{ }_{W a} a^{55}\) monkey <a?.spre'u> \(a^{11} t s u^{55}\)
\[
\sim a^{11} \operatorname{ts}^{55}
\]
month <zla.ba> \({ }^{n} \mathrm{da}^{11}{ }^{W} a^{55}\) moon <zla.dkar> \(1 a^{55} g \mathfrak{x}^{53}\) morning <zhog.pa> so \({ }^{11} \mathrm{pa}^{55}\)
mortar (for spices, garlic) <?.gtun> \(s^{h} a n^{13} t i^{53}\)
mosquito <?> \(t^{h} i^{11}{ }^{1} i^{55}\)
mother \(<\mathrm{ma}>\mathrm{ma}^{13}\)
mountain <ri> ro \({ }^{13}\)
mountain goat \(<\mathrm{ra}>\mathrm{ra}^{13}\)
mountain pass \(<\mathrm{la}>j a^{13}\)
mountain peak <ri.mgo> ra \(^{11 n} g u^{55}\)
mouse <byi.ba> \(s \boldsymbol{a}^{11} W a^{55}\)
mouth <kha> \(k^{h} a^{53}\)
mud <'dam.bag> na \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
mud <sa?.bag> s \(s^{h} a^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
Mule <drel?> tcu \({ }^{53}\)
mute, fool <lkugs.pa> \(k o^{55} p q^{53}\)
nail (wooden peg nail) <shing.gzer>
\[
\left\{\tilde{I}^{55} z \mathfrak{X} ?^{53}\right.
\]
name <ming>~<mying> na \({ }^{11} W \tilde{O}{ }^{55}\)
\[
\sim n \tilde{o}^{13}
\]
near <thag.thung?> \(t^{h} a^{55} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{53}\)
near <?> di \({ }^{11} m b a^{55}\)
neck <ske.pa> \(k i^{55} p a^{53}\)
needle <khab> \(k^{h} \partial^{53} \sim k^{h} \partial O^{53}\)
negative prefix <ma> ma-
neighbor <?.rogs? \(>t_{s} \tilde{o}^{11}{ }^{1 r} u^{53}\)
nest bye'u.tshang \(s Y^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}\)
new <gsar.pa> se: \({ }^{55} W a^{53}\)
next year <?> \(a^{11} z a^{53}\)
night (one night, two nights, etc.)
\[
\text { <zhag> } c a \imath^{13}
\]
nine \(<\mathrm{dgu}>\mathrm{g} \boldsymbol{2}^{353}\)
nobleman, landlord \(<\) ?.rigs> \(t_{s} e^{11}{ }^{11} i^{53}\)
noodles CH \(\mathrm{mx}^{13}\)
noon, lunch <?> dæ \({ }^{11}{ }^{1} \tilde{I}^{55}\)
north <byang.phyogs> Sõ \({ }^{11}\) cu? \({ }^{53}\)
nose <sna> no \({ }^{353}\)
nostril <sna.wor> \({ }_{0} a^{53} \mathrm{WO}^{33} \mathrm{CO}^{11}\)
novice monk <dge.phrug> gi \({ }^{13} t s^{h} O^{53}\)
now <da.lda?> \(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}\)
oesophogus <pho?.yu> ba \({ }^{11} z \partial^{55}\)
old (of people) <dkar?.rgan>
\[
g a^{55} g \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}
\]
old (of things) <rnying.pa> \(n \tilde{I}^{55} b a^{53}\)
older brother <a.?> \(a^{11} j Y^{55}\)
older sister <a.?> \(a^{11} z_{i} i^{55}\)
on, above <sgang> \(g \tilde{o}^{353}\)
onion <btsong> tsúu \({ }^{53}\)
only <?> \(k^{h} a^{55} j i^{11}\)
opposite <phar.la> \(p^{h} O^{11} l a\)
otherwise <?> zə \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}, ~ z \imath^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}\)
outside <?> \(\boldsymbol{z x}^{11}{ }^{1}\) U \(^{53}\)
over and over; incessantly <?>
\[
t \boldsymbol{c}^{h} a^{11} t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55} g u o^{11} t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}
\]
overcast, cloudy <gnam.smug?>
\[
n a^{53} m u^{11}
\]
owl <'ug.pa> \(w u^{11} W a^{55} \sim u u^{11} W a^{55}\)
owner <bdag.po> da \({ }^{11} p จ^{55}\)
palm <?> pa \(\tilde{a}^{53}\)
pans for scales <'jal.phor> \(t 6 i^{11} p^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{55}\)
pants <snam.ma?> na \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mo}^{55}\)
paper <shog.bu> su: \({ }^{55} \sim s u u^{35}\)
paper money <shog.?> \(s^{h} u^{11} t s^{1} a^{55}\)
parents <pha.ma> \(p^{h} a^{55} w \tilde{o}^{53}\)
pasture, grazeland <?> si \({ }^{11} h a^{55}\)
path, road <lam> jã \({ }^{13}\)
peaceful, pleasant <bsam.po?>
\[
s^{h} O^{13} m \partial^{55}
\]
peaceful, smooth <bde.po> \(d i^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{11}\)
peach <kham.bu> \(k^{h} a^{11}{ }^{11}{ }^{55}\)
pear <li.?> ji \(^{11} S Y^{55}\)
peel, skin <pags.pa> \(p a^{55} W^{53}\)
penis <bu.rlig>? ba \({ }^{11} 1 \tilde{I}^{55}\)
person, man, husband \(<\mathrm{myi}>\mathrm{n} \boldsymbol{r}^{13}\)
pestle (for spices, garlic) <gtun.?>
\[
t 1^{55} t Y^{11}
\]
photograph <chas?.par> \(t 6^{h} \partial^{55} p æ^{53}\)
picture <par> \(p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}\)
pig <phag> \(p^{h} a^{53}\)
pig's feet <phag.?> \(p^{h} a^{11} S O^{53}\)
piglet <phag.'u> \(p^{h}\) : \(^{13}\)
pillar \(<\) ka.ba \(>k a^{53}\)
pillow <snas.?> \(j_{0} i^{13},{ }_{0} i^{13} p^{h} \tilde{u}^{53}\)
pimple \(<?>b a^{13}\)
pitch wood <?> dz \(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}_{i}}{ }^{13}, d z\) ax: \(^{13}\)
place <sa.cha> \(s a^{55} t 6^{h} a^{53}\)
plain tea \(<\) ja.dkar> tca \({ }^{13} \mathrm{kx}^{53}\)
plant <shing.?> \(s \tilde{1}^{11} d z \tilde{u}^{53}\)
platform over fire for cheese <?>
\[
t^{h} i^{13} t s^{h} a P^{53}
\]
plough \(<\) gshol \(>\) si \(^{53}\)
plunger <? \(>s u^{55} \mathrm{Co}^{11}\)
plunger (for tea and cheese) <?> \(s u^{55}{ }^{11}{ }^{11}\)
pluralizer \(<\) kun \(>=k^{h \tilde{1} \sim k \tilde{i}}\)
pneumonia <glog.nad?> \(j_{Y}{ }^{11}\) næ \(^{53}\)
poison \(<\) dug \(>\) to \({ }^{13}\), do \({ }^{13}\)
polygamy <?> Sil \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{23}\)
poor (person, land) <dbul.po>? \(p u{ }^{13}{ }^{13} u^{11}\)
popped rice snacks <?> \(\mathrm{zu}^{55}\)
porcelein bowl, cup <phor.pa?>
\[
p^{h} \partial^{11} r \partial^{55}
\]
pork <phag.sha> \(p^{h} a^{55} S a^{53}\) pot (big one for heating water) <?> \(d i^{11} k \mathfrak{x}^{53}\)
potato \(\mathrm{CH}{ }^{\mathrm{ja}}{ }^{11} j Y^{55}\)
power, strength, force <?.shed> \(p^{h} \partial^{55} S e^{53}\)
prayer beads <phreng.ba> \(t s^{h} \partial^{55} W \tilde{o}^{53}\)
prayer flag <lag.'debs?, dar?>
\[
12^{55} d æ^{53}
\]
previous, before <sngon>? hjiin \(^{353}\), \(6 j i{ }^{55} y a^{53}\)
puppy \(2<\) khyi.sprug> \(69^{55} t S^{h} U{ }^{55}\) purse \(<\) ? \(>d Z_{\text {Y }}{ }^{13}\)
question <?> dza \({ }^{55}\) quiet <?> na \(\tilde{a}^{53} t i^{53} \sim{ }^{n} d z \tilde{q}^{53} d \tilde{i}^{53}\) rabbit 1 <spang.g.yag> \(p \tilde{o}^{55} z a^{53}\) rabbit 2 <ri.bong> i \(^{11} g \tilde{o}^{55}\) rafters <gral.ma>? tsu: \({ }^{13}\) rain <char.pa> \(t 6^{h} a^{11} W a^{55}\) rainbow <'ja’> za \(^{353}\), za \(^{13}\) raindrop <char.thig> \(t \epsilon^{h} e^{11} t^{h} i^{55}\) rapids, waves, roiling water <rba>
\[
b a^{353}
\]
real <?> dæ \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{53}\)
reason <rtsa.ba> tsa \({ }^{55} w a^{53}\)
recently <?.sang> \(a^{11} t s^{h} \tilde{a}^{55}\)
red <dmar.po> \(m ə^{55} m \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
red (brown) sugar <?> pa \({ }^{11} r \tilde{a}^{55}\)
reincarnation <sprul?> \(t s^{h} \tilde{u}^{53}\)
relative <? \(>\) kuæ \(^{55}\) ri \(^{11}\)
remainder, abundant <lhag.ma>
\[
6 j a^{13} w \tilde{o}^{53}
\]
request, beg <?> tsa \({ }^{55} t_{\text {sta }}{ }^{11}\)
reservoir, pond <rdzing> \(d z i^{55}\)
restaurant <bza'.khang> \(s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} k^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\)
ribs <rtsib.ma> tsi \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
rice <'bras> \({ }^{n} d z_{l}{ }^{\sim 353} \sim{ }^{n} d z i^{353}\)
ridge <ri.sgang> \(\subset 9^{11} g \tilde{o}^{55}\)
righthand <?> \(t_{s} \tilde{o}^{11}{ }_{j} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
ring (gold) <mdzub.?> \({ }^{n} d z{ }^{11} t s^{h} Y^{55}\)
ripened; cooked <smin.po> nu1 \({ }^{353} \sim\)
\[
J_{0} u u^{353}
\]
river <?.chu> suei \({ }^{55} t 6^{h} g^{11}\)
roasted meat <sha tsha?> \(s^{h} a^{53} s a^{53}\)
rock, stone \(<\) rdo \(>d u^{55} \sim d u^{353}\)
roof \(<\) khang.steng>? \(k^{h} O^{11} t i^{55}\)
rooster <bya.bu> so \({ }^{11} p \boldsymbol{o}^{55}\)
root <rtsa.?> ts \({ }^{55}{ }^{55}\) ræp \({ }^{53}\)
rope <thag.pa> \(t^{h}:^{13}\)
round <sgor.sgor> gu \({ }^{11} g u æ^{53}\)
round \(2<?>{ }^{n} d z \partial^{11}{ }^{11} ə^{55}\)
rust \(<\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{ya}>z a^{353}\)
saddle, saddle blanket \(<\) sga> \(g a^{353}\)
salt <tshwa> ts \({ }^{h} a^{53}\)
saltbox <tshwa.sgam> ts \({ }^{h}{ }^{55} g \tilde{a}^{53}\),
\[
t s^{h} a i^{55} g \tilde{a}^{53}
\]
sand <bye.ma> so \({ }^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55}\)
scales <'jal?> t \(6 i^{55}\)
scar <rma.?> mə \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mi}^{53}\)
school 1 <slabs.khang> \(\mathrm{Fo}^{11} \mathrm{k}^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\)
school 2 <yig.slobs.sa> \(\mathrm{zi}^{13}{ }^{33} \mathrm{o}^{33} \mathrm{sa}^{11}\)
scrap wood for burning <?> dữ \({ }^{11} b a^{55}\)
scriptures <grwa.yig> tss \({ }^{11}\) Zi \(^{55}\)
sea, ocean <rgya.mtsho> \(t c \tilde{a}^{11} t s^{h} u^{55}\),
\[
d z \tilde{a}^{11} t s^{h} u^{55}
\]
second <gnyis.pa> \(n \tilde{u}^{55} p a^{53}\) seed <son> s \(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\)
servant <g.yog.po> zu \(^{11}{ }^{1 k u^{55}}\),
\[
\left(j 9^{55} W a^{53}\right)
\]
shade <grib.ngos?> tsisil \({ }^{11}\) goo \({ }^{53}\)
shadow <?> \(\tilde{a}^{11} g{ }^{55}\)
shallow (water) <?> ro \({ }^{53}\)
sharp (knife) <rno.po>? na \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}\)
shattered <?> \(c i^{11} k i^{55} \mathrm{ci}^{11} \mathrm{ka}^{53}\)
shattered, smashed <?>
\[
n i^{55} n i^{55} j \theta^{11} n u u^{53}
\]
sheep <lug> fijo \(^{3533}\)
sheep skin <lug.pags> fijo \(^{13}{ }^{13} a^{53}\)
sheep wool (fur) <lug.spu> \(\mathrm{hio}^{11}{ }^{11} \boldsymbol{o}^{55}\)
\[
\sim h i j o^{55} p{ }^{11}
\]
sheep wool blanket <spu.?> pa \({ }^{11}{ }^{\text {tso }}{ }^{53}\)
shepherd, herdsman <rdzi.ba>dzu \({ }^{13}\)
shirt (button), coat <?> ta \({ }^{1 l} k^{55}\)
shoelace (old style) <lham.sgrog>
\[
\operatorname{lija}^{13} t \underline{t s u^{53}}
\]
shoes <lham> fijã \({ }^{353}\)
shop <tshong.khang> ts \(s^{h} \tilde{o}^{13} k^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\)
short (height) <chung.chung>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} 9^{1 I} t \epsilon_{6}^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
short (length) <thung.thung>
\[
t^{h} 9^{11} t^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
short height (stature) <?
chung.chung> \(b \tilde{1}^{11} g i^{55}\) \(t c^{h} a^{11} t c^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55}\)
short, low <dma'.po> mo \({ }^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\) shoulder, upper arm <lag.pa?>
\[
j a^{11} b a^{55}
\]
shovel <?> tca \({ }^{I I} a^{53}\)
sick person, patient <nad.pa>
\[
n e^{11} p a^{55}
\]
sickle <zor.ba> \(s^{h}{ }^{11}\) Wa \({ }^{5 s}\)
silver drinking cup <dngul.g.yol> \(\eta u^{55} z^{53} u^{3}\)
silver knife <dngul.gri> \(\eta u^{55}{ }^{5}\) ts \({ }^{11}\)
silver, money <dngul> \(\eta u u^{55} \sim \eta u I^{53}\)
skinny, thin <sha.skam> \(s \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{13} k \tilde{a}^{55}\)
sky <gnam> nã \({ }^{53} \sim n a P^{53}\)
sliver, or small piece of wood
\[
<\text { shing.?> } s_{I^{11}} 1 t s \tilde{o}^{55}
\]
slow <ga.le> \(\mathrm{ka}^{11}{ }^{11} \mathrm{e}^{55}\)
small <chung.chung> \(t \epsilon^{h} g^{11} t c^{h} \tilde{o}^{55}\)
small bag with string <tsa.khug>
\[
t s 9^{11} k^{h} O^{53}
\]
smart, clever <?> ku \({ }^{11} r 9^{55} s i^{55} p s^{11}\)
smoke <du.ba> to \({ }^{11} W a^{55}\)
snail <?> s \(2^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{33} d z Y^{11}\)
snake <sbrul> dzulu \({ }^{353}\)
snot, mucous <snabs> na \({ }^{353}\)
snow <kha.ba> \(k^{h} a_{i}{ }^{13}\)
socks CH wa \({ }^{11}\) tss \({ }^{55}\)
soft <snyi.?> \(n a^{13} l e^{53} \sim \jmath_{0} a^{13} l e^{53}\)
soil <sa> \(s^{h} a^{53}\)
soldier <dmag.mi> ma: \({ }^{55} n 0^{11}\)
sole (of foot) <rkang.?> k \(\tilde{o}^{55} z_{0}{ }^{53}\)
son, boy <bu> po \({ }^{13} \sim p จ^{33}\)
song <bro> tşu \({ }^{13}\)
sound, language <skad> \(k i^{55}\)
soup <khu.ba> \(k^{h} O^{11}\) Wa \(^{55}\)
sour <?.skyur> sa \({ }^{55}\) kua \(^{53}\)
sour water (left over when making
\[
\text { yoghurt) <?> t } \epsilon^{h} u i^{13}
\]
south <lho.phyogs> \(1 Y^{55}\) cu? \(?^{53}\)
spark <me.tshag> \({ }_{0} i^{13} t s^{h} a ?^{53}\)
sparrow hawk, bird of prey <khra>
\[
t_{s}^{h} a^{53}
\]
spider \(<?>t_{s} u^{55} p 9^{33} r a^{11}\)
spit <mchil.ma> \(t_{6}{ }^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{11} W \tilde{a}^{55}\)
spring <dpyid.ka> si. \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{53}\)
stairs, ladder <skas> \(k \tilde{i}^{53}\)
star <skar.ma> \(k \boldsymbol{o}^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53}\)
steam <rlangs.pa> \(1 \tilde{o}^{55} p a^{53}\)
steamed bread <?.dbugs?>
\[
t \epsilon \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11} p u \hat{1}^{55}, d z \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} b u^{53}
\]
steamer <dbugs.?> \(p u^{55} \Gamma a^{53}\)
stew <?> wu \({ }^{11} k^{h} a^{55}\)
stick <?> \(Z^{i 55} W a^{53}\)
story (of a building) <thog? \({ }^{\text {tu }}{ }^{53}\)
straight (road) <thad.ka>? \(t^{h} i^{55} g \partial^{53}\)
street <?> dzüu \({ }^{353}\)
suddenly, immediately <?>
\[
d \tilde{o}^{11} n i^{55} n i^{11}
\]
suddenly \(<\) ? \(>t S^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{55} t s^{h} e^{53} \mathrm{ma}^{11} t S^{h} \mathrm{e}^{53}\) suffering, bitterness <sdug> do \({ }^{353}\), \(d o^{11} r e^{53}\)
sugar <sbrang.dkar>dz \(\tilde{o}^{13} k \mathfrak{X}^{53}\) suitable, appropriate <'tsham.po>?
\[
d z a^{13}, d z a^{11} m o^{53}
\]
summer <dbyar.ka> \(z \mathfrak{Z}^{13} k^{h} a^{53}\)
sun <nyi.ma> \(n 9^{11} W \tilde{O}^{55}\)
sunray <nyi.'od> \(n \partial^{11}{ }^{W} \mathrm{e}^{53}\)
sunrise <nyi.ma shar> \(n \partial^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55}{ }_{s} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
sunset <nyi.ma 'dug?> \(n 2^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55}\)
\[
{ }^{n} d o^{11}
\]
sweater <bal.?.?> pi: \({ }^{13} t 0^{33} k i^{11}\)
sweet <mngar.mo> \(\eta\) e: \({ }^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}\)
tail <rnga.ma> \(\eta \partial^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53}\)
tall height \(<\) ? mtho.po> \(b \tilde{i}^{11} g i^{55}\)
\[
t^{h} g^{55} m \partial^{11}
\]
tall, high <mtho.po> \(t^{h} u^{55} \mathrm{ma}^{11}, t^{h} u^{53}\)
tanka <lha.bar> fja \(^{13}{ }^{13} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
tardy <phyi?.ba?> \(s 0^{53}\)
tea \(\left\langle\mathrm{ja}>\operatorname{tca}{ }^{13}\right.\)
teacher (school teacher) \(\mathrm{CH} \quad 10^{55} \mathrm{Sa}^{11}\)
teacher (Tibetan or religious teaching)
<dge.rgan> \(g i^{55} g \tilde{x}^{53}\)
teapot on stove \(\mathrm{CH} t \epsilon^{h} a^{11} h u^{53}\)
tears <myig.chu> \(n i^{55} t t^{h} \mathrm{~g}^{11}\)
temple (of the head) <?> sa \({ }^{11 n} g u{ }^{55}\)
ten \(<\) bcu> tce \({ }^{55}\)
tent <?> jo \({ }^{53}\)
thank-you <?> wo \({ }^{55} t \mathrm{tsa}^{11}\)
that <'o.de> \(t \boldsymbol{\theta}^{55} \sim w \boldsymbol{2}^{55} t^{11}\)
that there <de.re?> \(t \partial^{55} r \rho^{11}\)
that time, then \(<\) de.ran \(>\operatorname{ta}^{11} r \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{55}\)
the day after tomorrow <gnang.nyin>
\[
n a n^{55} j i^{53}
\]
the day after tomorrow evening <?>
\[
n a^{55} n \tilde{o}^{53} s a^{55} g \partial^{11}
\]
the day before yesterday evening <?>
\[
k^{h} a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{53} s a^{55} g a^{11}
\]
then \(<\) de.nas \(>t \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13}\)
the next day <gsang.nyi.ma>
\[
s \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \mathrm{n}^{1}{ }^{53}
\]
the year before last \(<\) ?> \(Z_{l} u^{13} n \partial^{33} j Y^{11}\)
there (over there) <de.phar?>
\[
t t^{55} p^{h} a^{53}
\]
these <'di kyin>/<di kun> \({ }^{n} d a^{1 l} k \tilde{T}^{55} \sim\)
\[
n a^{11} k \bar{t}^{55}
\]
these past few days <kha.tshong
\[
\text { kha.?> } k^{h} a^{1 l} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{55} k^{h} a^{11} m b x^{53}
\]
thief <rkun.ma> \(\mathrm{ki}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
thin (book) <srab.srab>? \(s 9^{55}{ }^{5} 9^{53}\)
things <gsar.pa>? s \(^{55}{ }^{50} a^{53}\)
third <gsum.pa> \(s \tilde{o}^{55} b^{53}\)
this <'u. \({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{di}>n 9^{55} \sim{ }^{n} d e^{353}, w 9^{55 n} d e^{11}\)
this evening <?> \(a^{11} n \tilde{o}^{55} s 2^{55} g a^{11}\)
this morning <?? zhog.pa> \(a^{11} j \tilde{o}^{55}\)
\[
s o^{11} p a^{55}
\]
this year <'di?.lo>du \({ }^{11}{ }_{j}{ }^{55} \sim\)
\[
\operatorname{ta}^{11 j Y^{55}}
\]
thither <phar> \(p^{h_{g}}\) -
thorn <tsher.ma> ts \({ }^{h} \mathrm{e}^{11}\) Wã \({ }^{5 s}\)
thorns, thistles <?> ts \(s^{h} e^{55} d z u^{53}\)
thread <skud.pa> i \(^{11} p a^{55}\)
throat <'ol?.?> \(j i^{55} z^{1 l}\)
thumb, big toe <mthe.chen>
\[
t^{h}{ }^{11} t c^{h} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{55}
\]
thunder <'brug> dzo \({ }^{353}\)
tibet <bod.yul> pe \({ }^{11} z^{35}{ }^{55}\)
Tibetan (people) <bod> pe \({ }^{13}\)
tibetan dog, mastiff <?> dzo \({ }^{11}\) gu \(^{55}\) tibetan knife <bod.gri> pe \({ }^{11} d q^{95}\)
Tibetan Language <bod.skad>
\[
p e^{11} k i^{53}
\]

Tibetan pendant <?> go \({ }^{13}\)
Tibetan writing <bod.yig> \(p e^{11} i^{55}\) tiger \(<\) stag \(>\) ta \(^{53}\)
to act lazy <?> \(j e^{l l}{ }^{11} \mathcal{X}^{55}\) too \(o^{53}\)
to argue <kha.??> \(k^{h}{ }^{55} b \tilde{u}^{53} Z^{11}\)
to arrive <'byor>? \(s^{\text {hT }}\) I35
to ask <'dri> tş \({ }^{353}\)
to bark <zug> so \({ }^{13} \sim\) soo \({ }^{13}\)
to bark <?> wa \({ }^{53}\)
to be angry <snying.khra.'khol>
\[
n i^{1 I} t s s^{5}{ }^{53} k^{h} u i^{11}
\]
to be angry <snying.?> \(n i^{11} k^{h} a^{53}\) to be bent, to bow <dgur> gui. \({ }^{13}\) to be blind <mig.dkar> \(n i^{55} \mathrm{Kx}^{53}\) to be deaf <'on.rju/kyol> w \(\tilde{e}^{11} t t Y^{55}\) to be drunk <'khor.ba/skor?> \({ }^{n} g u x^{353}\)
\[
\sim a^{55} r a^{53}{ }^{\text {n }} \mathrm{gux}^{11}
\]
to be embarrassed <?> \(t s^{h} i^{53}\)
to be fat <rgyags> za \(^{13}\)
to be full (a glass) <gang>k \(\tilde{o}^{13}\)
to be full (stomach) <'grang> \({ }^{n} d z o^{353}\)
to be hungry <ltogs> tu \({ }^{53}\)
to be incorrect <nor> \(n \Re^{13}\)
to be relaxed <lhod?> ja \(a^{13} s \tilde{o}\)
to be sick <na> \(n a^{13}\)
to be standing, to stand, to get up
\[
<\text { lang }>j \tilde{o}^{13}
\]
to be strong <sbrang?.po?> tssã \({ }^{11} p a^{55}\)
to be struck ill <nad.'phog>
\[
n e^{13} p^{h} u^{11}, n a^{11} t s^{h} a^{55} p^{h} u^{11}
\]
to be struck ill <na.tsha.'phog>
\[
\text { na }{ }^{11} t s^{h} a^{55} p^{h} u^{I I}
\]
to be thirsty <bskams>k \(\tilde{o}^{53}\)
to be tired (physically) <?> \(t \epsilon^{h} e^{53}\)
to beat (a drum) <rnga rdung> \(\eta a^{53}\) \(d \tilde{o}^{11}\)
to beat, to hit <bdang>, <rdung> dõ \(\tilde{o}^{353}\)
to become <chags> tc \({ }^{h} a^{53}\)
to beg <slong>, <slang> hjõ \(^{353}\)
to believe, to trust <sten.?> ta \({ }^{55} \nmid \tilde{o} \rho^{53}\), \(d \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{353}\)
to bite (by animals) <?> hə \({ }^{53}\)
to bite, to chew <so btab> \(s u^{55}\) too \({ }^{53}\)
to bleed, intr <?> pi \(i^{13}\)
to bleed, \(\operatorname{tr}<\) phud \(>p^{h_{i}}{ }^{53}\)
to blow (e.g. sth off a table) <phu
\[
\text { btab> } p^{h} u^{55} t \partial o^{53}
\]
to blow (wind) <rlung.byed> \(1 \tilde{o}^{53} j e^{11}\)
to blow (wind) <rlung.'bud> \(1 \tilde{o}^{53} b i^{35}\)
to blow a bubble <dbugs.?> bu \({ }^{13}\)
\[
b \mathfrak{X}^{11}
\]
to boil water <chu.'khol.?>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} \mathrm{a}^{11} \mathrm{kui}^{55} t_{\text {so }}{ }^{53}
\]
to boil, intr <'khol> \(k^{h} u i^{53}\)
to boil, tr \(<\) skol> kui \(^{53}\)
to borrow/lend \(<\) g.yar \(>z \mathfrak{Z} P^{353}\)
to bow down, to kowtow
\[
\text { <mgo.gus/dgur> }{ }^{n} g u^{13} g u u^{11}
\]
to break in half, to chop <gtugs> tu \({ }^{53}\)
to break, intr <?> tşu \({ }^{13}\)
to break, tr \(<\mathrm{gcog}>\) ? ts \(\mathrm{S}^{53}\)
to breathe, take a breath <dbugs.?>
\[
b u^{13} t s^{h} \tilde{o}^{11}
\]
to bump (into) <?> \(p^{h} \partial o^{353}\)
to burn (paper, limestone, wood)
\(<\) sreg \(>\) ? \(s a^{53}\)
to burn a fire <me spor> \({ }_{0} i^{13} p \mathfrak{æ}^{53}\)
to burn incense (small) <spos.?>
\[
p u I^{53} d \tilde{a}^{11}
\]
to burn, intr <'bor> \(\mathrm{mbx}^{353}\)
to burn, \(\operatorname{tr}<\) spor \(>p \mathfrak{x}^{53}\)
to bury \(<\) sba \(>b a^{353}\)
to butcher <bsha'> \(s a^{53}\)
to buy \(<\) nyo \(>n u^{13}\)
to call out, to call for <'bod> mbe \({ }^{353}\)
to care for <?> \(\mathrm{kao}^{53}\)
to carry <'khur>, <'khyer> \(k^{h}{ }^{55}\),
\[
k^{h} \partial O^{353}
\]
to carry on one's back <'ba'>
\[
m b a P^{353}
\]
to catch <'ju> \({ }^{n} d z a \sim\) no
to chant, to read scriptures <?>
\[
a^{11} t \epsilon^{h} e^{53 n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{11}
\]
to chant w/ horns and drums <?>
\[
g \partial^{55} \Gamma \tilde{I}^{53} j e^{11}
\]
to chew <so.ldad> \(s \boldsymbol{\rho}^{55} d \partial o^{53}\)
to chew, to bite \(<\) bgrad \(>/<\) 'grad \(>\)
\[
{ }^{n} d z e^{353}
\]
to chop (into chunks) <gtugs> tu \({ }^{53}\)
to chop (tree) <?> \(t^{h}{ }^{53}\)
to churn milk <'o.ma dkrogs> (wõ: \(\left.{ }^{13}\right)\)
\[
t \leq o^{53}
\]
to circle \(<\) khor> \(k^{h} u æ^{53}\)
to circle (unintentional) <skor ba>
\[
g{ }^{55 n} g u æ^{53}
\]
to close (e.g. door) \(<\) rgyag \(>d z a a^{353}\)
to close (mouth, eye) <'dzum> \(t s \tilde{o}^{53}\)
to close a door \(<\) sgo rgyag \(>g u^{55}\)
\[
d z a P^{11}
\]
to collapse \(<\) brdibs>, \(\left\langle\right.\) rdibs \(>t i^{13}\)
to comb hair <skra shad/shas> \(t_{s} a^{53}\)
\[
s i^{11}
\]
to comb hair <mgo shad> \({ }^{n} g u^{13}{ }_{s i}{ }^{53}\) to come <'ong> \(W \tilde{u}^{13},{ }^{h} W \tilde{u}^{13}\) to comprehend, to grasp \(<\) ? \(>j u:^{35}\)
to consider, to ponder <?> \(j_{0} \partial^{13} t s^{h} e^{53}\)
\[
j e^{11}
\]
to cook food <zas?.bzo> \(s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} \mathrm{Zu}^{11}\)
to cough <glo> jui \({ }^{35}\)
to count <rtsis? rgyab>
\[
t s \Omega^{55} d z u^{53} d z ə O^{11}
\]
to crawl <bgur~gog> ku: \({ }^{13}\)
to cross (a body of water) <?>
\[
d z \_u{ }^{353}
\]
to cross a river <chu gcod/bcad>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55} t c e^{53}, t c \partial^{55} t u^{53}
\]
to cry <ngu> ga \(^{13} \sim\) g \(\partial^{13}\)
to cut (with scissors) \(<\operatorname{tra}>\operatorname{ts} a^{13}\)
to cut (with sickle) <rnga.ba> \(\eta a^{53}\)
to dance <bro.'cham> ts \(u^{55} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}\)
to deceive, to trick <slu>? Juh \({ }^{353}\)
to decide to <thag.chad.nas>
\[
t^{h} a^{55} t c e^{53} n i^{11}
\]
to defecate <skyag.pa gtong>
\[
s a^{55} W a^{53} d \tilde{o}^{11}
\]
to descend <'bab?> pui \({ }^{13}\)
to die \(<\) shi \(>s s^{53}\)
to dig <rko> \(\mathrm{ku}^{55}\)
to dig <?> (CH: wal) wæ \({ }^{53}\)
to dig by hand <yag.pa'i byed>
\[
j \mathfrak{x}^{11} p \mathrm{e}^{53} j \mathrm{e}^{13}
\]
to do (an action), to cook \(\langle\mathrm{bzo}\rangle \mathrm{zu}^{13}\)
\[
\sim 1 u^{13}
\]
to do prostrations <phya(g).'phul?>
\[
s^{h} a^{53} p^{h} u^{11}
\]
to do, to build <'gul> \({ }^{n} g u u^{353}\) to do, verbalizer <byed> \(\mathrm{je}^{13}\) to dream <rmi.lam>? na \(^{53}\) nü \(^{11}\),
\[
m i^{13} l \tilde{a}^{53} n u u^{11}
\]
to drink <'thung> \(t^{h} \tilde{o}^{353}\)
to drive, to herd (animals) <'da>
\[
{ }^{n} d a^{353}
\]
to dry, \(\operatorname{tr}<\) skam \(>k \tilde{a}^{53}\)
to eat <'cha> \(t 6^{h} a^{53}\)
to emit, to burst out \(<\) shor \(>s \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
to encounter, to meet <'phrad> \(t s^{h} e^{53}\)
to endure <? \(>~ g u u^{353}\)
to escape <'bros> \({ }^{n} d z u e^{353}\)
to expel <?> \(J_{0} \tilde{a}^{353}\)
to explode <?> \(p^{h} a^{53}\)
to faint <mgo.?.'khor> \({ }^{n} g u^{11} z \tilde{o}^{53}\) \(k^{h} u æ^{53}\)
to fall asleep, to nod off <gnyid.?> \(n i^{55} d z u^{11}\)
to fall down <?> \({ }^{n} d\) z̧ul \({ }^{353}\)
to fall, slip <'gyel?> \({ }^{n} d z ə o^{353}\)
to fall down (from above) <lus> \(1 i^{13}\)
to fart <'phyen.btang> \(s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{353} t \tilde{o}^{11}\)
to fear < skrag> tşa \({ }^{53}\)
to feed (food to a child) <bza'?>
\(s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}} 2^{53}\)
to feed liquid (a child, animals) <?> \(j i^{53}\)
to feel cold \(<\) khyag \(>\) c \(^{h} a^{53}\)
to fetch water \(<\mathrm{chu} . ?>t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55} t \varphi 9^{53}\)
to fight (with fists) <btong.btong byed \(>d \boldsymbol{a}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{55} j \mathrm{e}^{13}\)
to find <rnyed> \(j \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\)
to follow after, to pursue
<snyags>/<bsnyag> no \(_{0} \tilde{a}^{353}\)
to forget \(<\) rjed \(>t \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{13}, \sim t \epsilon \mathrm{e}^{353}\) to freeze <?> \(t s^{h} i^{55}\)
to frost <ba.mo ?> pã: \({ }^{13} k \mathfrak{x}^{53}\)
to garrotte, strangle <?> \(\mathrm{ka}^{13}\)
to gather, collect <tshur.rub?>
\[
t s^{h} \partial^{55} \mathrm{rO}^{53}
\]
to gather, intr <'dzom> \({ }^{n} d z \tilde{u}^{353}\)
to get, to obtain \(<\mathrm{rag}>\mathrm{ra}^{13}\)
to give \(<\) ster \(>t e^{53}\)
to give birth (humans) <'dug?>
\[
{ }^{n} d o^{353}
\]
to glue; to seal <lhan> \(\operatorname{hj} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{353}\)
to go <'gro> \({ }^{n} d q u^{13}\)
to go (H) <?> \(s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}, s^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{W}}^{13}\)
to go, pfv <thad> \(t^{h} i^{53}\)
to grab <'jus> \({ }^{n} d \not \subset \partial^{53}\)
to grasp <'dzin?> \({ }^{n} d z \partial^{353}\)
to hail <ser.ba rgyad/bab> \(s i^{11}{ }^{1} a^{55}\)
\[
\text { tcəo }{ }^{11}, \text { si }^{11} j a^{55} \text { pәu }^{11}
\]
to hammer \(<\mathrm{CH}\). btab> \(t \tilde{I}^{55} t s \boldsymbol{o}^{11}\) təo \({ }^{11}\)
to hang (a picture) \(<\) gzar \(>5 \mathfrak{Z}^{353} \sim\)
\[
z \mathfrak{X}^{353}
\]
to hear <tshor> ts \({ }^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
to help \(<\) rogs \((\) byed \()>r u P^{13} \sim r u^{13} j e^{13}\)
to herd <phyugs \(>/<\) khyu \(>\) ts \({ }^{h} u^{55}\)
to hide (oneself) <gab> \(\mathrm{kg}^{13}\)
to hide (something) <skung> \(k \tilde{o}^{53}\)
to Hop, jump <'phag> \(p^{h} a ?^{353}\)
to hug <?.btab?> dzu \(\tilde{u}^{13}\) təo \({ }^{53}\)
to inflate (e.g., a balloon) <'bud>
\[
b i^{353}
\]
to itch <shig.?> \(\tilde{S I}^{55} t \epsilon^{h} a^{11}\)
to kick <?> \(d u^{11} c u^{55} d z O^{11}\)
to kill <bsad> \(\mathrm{se}^{53}\)
to kiss <'od.byed> \(w o^{53}{ }_{j} \varepsilon^{11}\)
to knit a sweater <?> to \({ }^{11} k i^{55} h \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{11}\)
to know (a fact) <ha.go> hao \({ }^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{11}\)
to know how to \(<\) shes \(>s i^{53}\)
to laugh, to like, happy \(\left\langle\right.\) dga' \(>k a^{13}\)
to lead <'khrid> \(t s^{h} i^{53}\)
to leak <chu.thigs> tc \({ }^{h} 9^{55} t^{h} i^{11}\)
to lick \(<\) (b)ldags \(>d a^{13}\)
to lie <rdzun.ma.byed> \(d z \tilde{I}^{13} j e^{11}\)
to lie down (on side) <ril.nyal byed>
\[
i^{13} n i^{55} j e^{13}
\]
to lift \(<\) kyag \(>\epsilon a 2^{53}\)
to light (a candle, a cigarette) <gso?>
\[
s u{ }^{53}
\]
to like (s.o.) <?> dã \({ }^{353}\)
to listen <nyan> \(n \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{13}\)
to look at, to watch \(<\mathrm{lta}>t a^{53}\)
to look for <btsal? 'tshol?> \(t s\) i \(^{53}\)
to look like <'dra> \({ }^{n} d z a^{13}\)
to loom <thag.?> \(t^{h} a^{53} d a^{11}\)
to lose <shor>? \(\epsilon \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\)
to lose (as at a game) <?shor.la?>
\[
s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} r a^{11}
\]
to marry <bag.ma byed> pa \({ }^{11} W \tilde{o}^{55}\)
\[
j \varepsilon^{11}
\]
to melt <zhu.ba> cu: \({ }^{13}\)
to move, \(\operatorname{tr}<\) skya> \(s a^{53}\)
to nurse (a baby) <?> mi \({ }^{53} \tilde{j i n}^{53}\) to obey <kha.nyan> \(k^{h} a^{55} n \mathfrak{æ}^{11}\) to open (mouth) <gdang> dõ \(\tilde{o}^{353}\) to open a (door, eye) <phye> si \({ }^{53}\) to perch <?> sa \({ }^{53}\)
to pet, to carress <?> \(s^{h} a^{353}\)
to photograph <chu.par.btab>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} \partial^{55} p \mathfrak{x}^{53} \text { too }{ }^{11}
\]
to pick (by head) <btogs> \(t u^{53}\)
to pick up <slang> \(j \tilde{o}^{53}\)
to pick up <'thu> \(t^{h} \boldsymbol{g}^{55}\)
to pinch (a face) <sen.gcus.rdebs?>
\(s \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{13} d \not \subset \sigma^{55} t u^{53}\)
to pity <bla.ma.chen?> \(1 a^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53} t \epsilon \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}\)
to plant a seed <son.btab> \(s \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\) too \({ }^{11}\)
to plant, to bite, \(<\) btab \(>t o o^{53}\)
to play \(<\) rtse.mo>? z \(\tilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{13}\)
to point <mdzub btsugs> \({ }^{n} d z u u^{13}\)
\[
t s o^{53}
\]
to pour (liquid) \(<\) glug \(>j o^{53}\)
to pray <smon.lam.gtab?> mis \({ }^{55} / \tilde{a}^{53}\) \(t o o^{53}\)
to press \(<\) gnon \(>\) ? \(n e^{53}\)
to protect (a soccer goal, a country)
<srung> \(S \tilde{o}^{53}\)
to push <'phul> \(p^{h} u u^{353}\)
to put \(\left\langle\right.\) rag \(>\) ?, <bzhag>? ra \(^{13}\)
to put into <'dzul> \({ }^{n} d z u u^{353}\)
to put to sleep <bsnyal> \(j_{0} i^{353}\)
to rain <char.pa 'bab> \(t_{6}{ }^{h}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} W a^{55}\)
\[
\text { pəü }{ }^{11}
\]
to raise, to get up <slang> \(\quad\) j \(\tilde{o}^{353}\) to recover \(<\mathrm{drag}>t_{s} a^{13}\)
to recover <gso?> SYP \({ }^{353}\)
to reject, throw away \(<?>p \mathfrak{x}^{13}\)
to remember <?> da \({ }^{55} t s o^{53} \mathrm{je}^{11}\) to remove (clothes), to peel <?> \(b i^{353}\)
to remove (clothes, peelings) <?>
\[
5 i^{353}
\]
to remove (sth from a container)
\[
<\text { blang }>j \tilde{o}^{53}
\]
to repair \(\langle\mathrm{bzo}\rangle \mathrm{zu}^{13} \sim l \mathrm{l}^{13}\) to rescue, to save <srog.blu.?>
\[
s u^{53} \xi a^{33} d z i^{53}
\]
to respect <?> tsa \({ }^{55} d z \tilde{\mathscr{x}}^{53} j \mathrm{e}^{13}\)
to return <yar 'ong> za \({ }^{13} w \tilde{u}^{11}\)
to ride (a horse) <?> \(\epsilon a^{53}\)
to rinse, to comb <bshal> \(s i^{53}\)
to rob, to seize by force <'phrog>
\[
t s^{h} u^{53}, t_{s}{ }^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} u^{53} j e^{13}
\]
to roll medicine balls <?> \({ }^{n} d z \rho^{11}{ }^{1} m จ^{55}\) \(t_{s} u{ }^{53}\)
to roll, Intr <'khril> \({ }^{n} d z u u^{353},{ }^{n}{ }^{n}\) tsu \(u^{353}\)
to roll, tr <sgril> tsul \({ }^{53}\)
to rot, rotten <rul> \(\mathrm{fuI}^{13}\)
to run, to flee <?> \(p^{h} u u^{353}\)
to say <zer> \(s \boldsymbol{o}^{55}\), sa \(^{13}\)
to scratch <shig 'brad> \(s i^{55 n} d z e^{53}\)
to scratch <'brad> \({ }^{n} d z e^{353}\)
to see \(<\) mthong \(>t^{h} \tilde{u}^{353}\)
to sell <'tshong> tsũu \({ }^{53}\)
to send \(<\) mngag \(>\) na? \({ }^{53}\)
to send (something to someone)
\[
<\text { skur }>k u \|^{53}
\]
to send off \(<\) ? \(>\) si: \(P^{53}, s i^{55} W \tilde{u}^{11}\)
to separate (w/a person) <byed?> \(s i^{353}\)
to serve \(<\mathrm{g}\).yo> \(\mathrm{zu}^{53}\)
to sew <'tshem> ts \(\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}\)
to shake, to move, intr <'gul> \({ }^{n} g u u^{353}\)
to shiver <'dar> \({ }^{n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{353}\)
to sin <sdig.pa byed> ti \({ }^{11} \mathrm{pa}^{53} \mathrm{je}^{11}\)
to sink <'bab 'dug> mbe \({ }^{55 n} \mathrm{do}^{11}\)
to sit <'dug> \({ }^{n} d o^{353}\)
to sleep <nyal> ni: \({ }^{13}\)
to slice <?> na \({ }^{53}\)
to slip <'dred> \({ }^{n} d z e^{353}\)
to smear, to wipe \(1<\) bdar> \(d \mathfrak{x}^{353}\)
to smell \(<\) dro> dzıu \({ }^{13}\)
to smell, sniff <snom?> neu \({ }^{353}\) to sneeze <sbrid.pa.?/byed>
\[
d z i^{11} b a^{55} d z o^{11}, d z i^{11} b a^{55} j e^{11}
\]
to snow <kha.ba 'bab> \(k^{h} a^{13}\) bəuI \({ }^{13}\) to sort, to remove (e.g., rotten apples)
\[
<?>\text { bu1 }{ }^{353}
\]
to spit <mchil.ma por> \(t \boldsymbol{q}^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{11} W \tilde{a}^{55}\)
\[
p \mathfrak{æ}^{11}
\]
to spit on someone <mchil.ma btab>
\[
t \epsilon^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{11} w \tilde{a}^{55} t \partial o^{53}
\]
to split (wood) <gshogs?> \(¢ a^{53}\)
to squeeze (an orange) <btsir> tcui \({ }^{53}\)
to squirm <'tshub> \(t s^{h} u^{53}\)
to squish (with foot) \(<\) rtsi.ba \(>t \epsilon \mathrm{Cu}^{53}\),
\[
d u^{11} d z i^{55} j \mathrm{e}^{13}
\]
to stab <gri.'dzing?> tss \({ }^{55} Z \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{11}\)
to stay, to live \(<\) sdod \(>d e^{353}\)
to steal <rku.ba> \(\mathrm{ka}^{53}\)
to steal \(1<\) rku.ba byed> \(k \boldsymbol{r}^{53}{ }^{j} \mathrm{e}^{11}\),
\[
k u^{53} j e^{11}
\]
to steal \(2<\) rkun.ma byed> \(\mathrm{ki}^{55} \mathrm{mo}^{53}\)
\[
j e^{11}
\]
to steam rice <'bras dbugs?>
\[
{ }^{n} d z \tilde{l}^{13} b u^{11}
\]
to step <spo> \(p u^{55}\)
to stir (e.g., soup) \(<\) rnyog \(>n u^{53}\)
to stir fry vegetables <tshod.ma.
\[
\text { rnyod?> } t s^{h} 9^{11} m \tilde{o}^{55} h \tilde{u}^{33}
\]
to stop, intr \(<\) chad \(>t{ }^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{13}\)
to study <yig sbyong> \(z i^{55} z \tilde{o}^{11}\)
to study <sbyong>zo \(\tilde{o}^{353}\)
to study/read <'don> \({ }^{n} d \mathfrak{æ}^{353}\)
to swallow <khyur>? ki \({ }^{55}\)
to swear <mna'.skyel> \(n a^{53} s i^{11}\)
to sweat <rngul.?.?> \(\eta u u^{55}{ }_{6 i}{ }^{11} \mathrm{kx}^{53}\)
to sweep <'phyag> \(s^{h} a^{353}\)
to swim <chu.rkyal rgyab?> tc \({ }^{2}{ }^{55}{ }_{6} i^{53}\)
\[
d z a O^{11}
\]
to talk <skad.cha shad> \(k e^{55} t G^{h} a^{53}\) \(s e^{53}\)
to teach <slob> \({ }^{520} 0^{353}\)
to tear, intr \(<\mathrm{ral}>~ r i^{353}\)
to tear, intr <hral?> \(r^{h} a P^{353}\)
to tear, \(\operatorname{tr}<\) dbral \(>t s i^{53}\)
to tell a story <snga.? bshad>
\[
\eta a^{55} t \tilde{a}^{53} c e^{11}
\]
to think <bsam> \(s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53} \sim s \tilde{a}^{53}\)
to think, consider <bsam.pa btang>
\[
s 2^{55} m b a^{55} t \tilde{o}^{11}
\]
to think, to miss, to remember <dran>
\[
\operatorname{ts} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}
\]
to throw 2, to discard <brgyag>
\[
d \not \subset \partial O^{353}
\]
to thunder <'brug byed> \({ }^{n} d z o^{53} j \mathrm{e}^{13}\)
to tie up <sdom>? dãa \({ }^{353}\)
to touch <thug> \(t^{h} O^{53}\)
to trench <?> KjY \(^{353}\)
to turn (e.g., a prayer wheel) <'khor> \(k^{h} u æ^{53}\)
to turn off <bcad> tce \({ }^{53}\)
to turn off (light, computer) <?> \(t i^{53}\)
to turn, intr \(<\) kor.ba> \(k u æ^{53}\)
to understand <ha.go> hao \({ }^{53} \mathrm{ku}^{11}\)
to undress <?> li \({ }^{353}\)
to urinate \(<\) gcin gtong \(>t_{\epsilon} \tilde{I}^{53} t \tilde{o}^{11}\)
to use up, to finish, be finished <?>
\[
d z u^{13}
\]
to vomit <skyugs> so \({ }^{53}\)
to wait <sgug> go \({ }^{353}\)
to wake (someone), \(\operatorname{tr}\left\langle\mathrm{sad}>s^{h} e e^{353}\right.\)
to walk <'bud?> pi \({ }^{13}\)
to walk <rkang.pa 'bud/spo> \(k \bar{i}^{55} b a^{53}\)
\[
p u^{11}
\]
to want \(<\) dgos \(>g u i^{353}\)
to war <dmag'dzing> \(\mathrm{ma}^{53 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{dzi}{ }^{11}\)
to wash <'khri> ts \({ }^{h}{ }^{2}{ }^{55}\)
to wear (coat, shirt, pants) <gyon> \(\epsilon \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}\)
to wear (gloves, hat, glasses) <gon> \(k \tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}^{53}\)
to wind (something up), to twist
\[
\text { <'jug> tctu }{ }^{53}
\]
to wipe (table, eyes) <sub>? \(s^{h} a^{53}\)
to wrap around \(1<\) sgril> \(t_{s} u^{53}\)
to write 'bri/? ts \(\boldsymbol{g}^{13}\)
today <?.ring> \(a^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{1}^{55}\)
together <mnyam> nã \({ }^{53}\)
together with <?> \(k^{h} a^{55} j i^{11}\)
tomorrow <sang.nyin> \(s^{h} a n^{11}{ }_{j i}{ }^{55}\) tongs (for the fire) <lcags.rkam>
\[
\text { tça }{ }^{11} k \tilde{a}^{55}
\]
tongue <lce.legs> tce \({ }^{55} l i^{53}\) tooth <so> \(s u^{55} \sim s^{h} u^{55}\)
top, summit \(<\mathrm{rtse} . ?>t s i i^{55}{ }^{5} u^{11}\)
tree <shing.phung> \(s^{h} \tilde{1}^{11} p^{h} \tilde{u}^{55}\)
tree stump, log? <shing.sdum/sdong>
\[
S_{1} \tilde{I}^{55} d \tilde{u}^{53}
\]
true, truly <bden.pa>? da \({ }^{11} \mathrm{mbi}^{55}\)
tsampa pot (wood)/box <rtsam.pa sgam \(>t s a^{11} m a^{53} g \tilde{a}^{353}\)
turquoise \(<\mathrm{g} . \mathrm{yu}>\mathrm{za}^{13}\)
twelve <bcu.gnyis> tco \({ }^{55} n \partial^{11}\)
two days after tomorrow <?> qu \(^{13} j i^{53}\)
ugly <mdog.nyes?> do \({ }^{55} \eta \mathfrak{x}^{53}\)
umbrella <char.gdugs?> tch \({ }^{h}{ }^{11} d ə o^{53}\)
unburnt wood, partially burnt wood
\[
<\mathrm{me} \text {.?> } n i^{11} t \epsilon^{h} 9^{55}
\]
uncle <a.khu> \(a^{11} k \partial^{55}, a^{55} m b \partial^{55}, a^{13}\)
upper leg, thigh \(<\) brla \(>1\) or \({ }^{353}\)
upset stomach <?> pui \({ }^{353}, b u u^{353}\)
upwards, up <yar> za \({ }^{13}\)
urine \(<\) gcin \(>t \underset{\text { I }}{ }{ }^{53}\)
useful <phen.?> \(p^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13} n \partial^{11}\)
usually, often <?> da \({ }^{55} \mathrm{bao}^{11}\)
valley <lung.pa> \(h j \tilde{o}^{11} b a^{55}\)
valley floor <lung> \(6 j \tilde{o}^{353}\)
vase <?>, <bum.pa> \(\epsilon i^{11} \mathrm{mi}^{55}\),
\[
p \tilde{u}^{11} \mathrm{mba}^{55}
\]
vegetables <tshad.ma> ts \({ }^{h}{ }^{11}{ }^{11} o^{55}\)
vein \(<\) rtsa \(>t s a^{53}\)
vein \(2<\) rtsa.?> tse \({ }^{55} Z u^{53}\)
very slow <?> pui: \({ }^{13}{ }^{13} e^{53}\)
very small, tiny <?> tss \({ }^{11} g u{ }^{55}\)
village mate \(<\) grong.pa> \(t_{S} \tilde{o}^{11} b a^{55}\)
village \(<\) grong> \(t s \tilde{u}_{i}^{13}, t_{s} \tilde{O}_{1}^{13}\)
village, place <yul> zul \({ }^{13}\)
vulture <rgod> gue \({ }^{353}\)
waist <sked.pa> ke: \({ }^{55} p a^{53}\)
wall <gyang> \(\epsilon \tilde{o}^{13}, \epsilon \tilde{o}^{13}\)
walnut <star.kha> te \({ }^{11} k a^{55}\)
warm <dro> tşu \({ }^{13}\)
water \(<\mathrm{chu}>t 6^{h} a^{55}\)
water from cave, spring <mig.chu> \(n i^{55} t 6^{h} a^{11}\)
water pots (big), copper \(<\) ?> s \(\tilde{O}^{13}\)
weather <gnam.gshis?> \(n a^{55} z u u^{53}\)
wedding <bag.ma> pa \({ }^{11}{ }^{11} \tilde{o}^{55}\)
weeds <?> hü \({ }^{55} t \epsilon \tilde{O}^{53} \sim h \tilde{u}^{55}\)
weights <?> tci \({ }^{33} t u^{55}\)
west <nub.phyogs> no \({ }^{13}\) cu \(9^{53}\)
wet <rlon.pa> \(1 \tilde{e}^{55} b a^{53}\)
what <ga.re>, <gang> \(\mathrm{ka}^{11 n} \mathrm{da}^{55}\)
wheat \(<\) gro \(>t_{s} u^{55}, t_{s} u^{13}\)
wheel <?> bo \({ }^{55} d z u^{11}, b \partial^{55} l u^{11}\)
when <na> na: \({ }^{13}\)
when, during <?> do \({ }^{11} b \mathfrak{æ}^{53}\)
where \(<\) gar \(>~ k a: ~{ }^{13}\)
white \(<\mathrm{dkar}\).po> \(\mathrm{ka}^{55} \mathrm{kx}^{53}\)
who \(<\mathrm{su}>s \boldsymbol{2}^{55} \sim s^{h}{ }^{25}\)
whole, complete \(<?>1 u^{55} l i^{53}\) tci
why <ga.?> \(\mathrm{ka}^{11 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{de} \mathrm{e}^{53}\)
why (for what purpose) <?>
\[
k a^{11 n} d e^{55} t^{h} O^{33} k \mathfrak{x}^{11}
\]
wife, bride <mna'.ma> \(n \Omega^{55} W \tilde{O}^{53}\)
wind <rlung> \(1 \tilde{o}^{53}\)
window <sge.khung?> \(g i^{11} j \tilde{o}^{55}\),
\[
g i^{11} z \tilde{O}^{55}
\]
winter <dgun.ka> \(k \tilde{\imath}^{13} k^{h} a^{53}\) wolf <spyang.ki> \(s \tilde{o}^{55} \mathrm{~kg}^{11}\) woman, wife <bud.nag>? pa \({ }^{11}\) na \({ }^{53}\) wood, firewood <shing> \(s^{h \tilde{I}^{55}} \sim\)
\[
s^{h{ }_{1}^{1}} 353
\]
wooden cup <shing.phor.ba> \(s^{h{ }_{1}^{55}} p^{h} \mathfrak{X}^{53} \sim s^{h \tilde{1}^{55}} p^{h} \partial^{33} r 9^{11}\)
wooden storage bowl <?> \(g u^{11} p a^{55}\)
wooden window frame <shing.?> \(s^{h} i^{55} t s a^{53}\)
woodpecker <?> \(s i^{55} t a^{53} g u i^{33} l i^{11}\)
wool <bal> pi: \({ }^{13}\)
work <las> hii \(^{353}\)
worker <las 'gul na> \(\operatorname{hij}^{13 n}{ }^{13 n}\) ul \(^{53}\)-no
worm, wormgrass <'bu.srin> mbə \({ }^{353}\)
\[
\sim b{ }^{11} s I^{53}
\]
wound <rma.kha> \(m \partial^{55} k^{h} a^{53}\)
wound, scar <rma> \(\mathrm{ma}^{53}\)
yak (male) <g.yag> za \({ }^{353}\)
yak/cow crossbreed, female
<mdzo.mo> dzõ: \({ }^{13}\)
year \(<\mathrm{lo}>j Y^{13}\)
year after next <?> \(a^{11} z a^{53} s^{h} \tilde{o}^{33} p^{h} e^{11}\)
yellow <ser.po> \(s 9^{55} S \mathfrak{X}^{53}\)
yesterday <kha.rtsang> \(k^{h} \boldsymbol{a}^{11} t s \tilde{O}^{55}\)
yesterday evening <?> \({ }^{n} d o^{11} s \tilde{u}^{55}\)
\(s a^{55} g a^{11}\)
yoghurt <zho> \(s^{h} u^{13}\left[s^{h} u^{35}\right]\)
young (of people) <lo.chung>
\(j_{Y}{ }^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}\)
younger sister <sring.mo> \(\operatorname{Sí}^{55} W \tilde{o}^{11}\)

Zhongdian/Rgyalthang <rgyal.thang> \(z \mathfrak{x}^{11} d \tilde{o}^{53}\)```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout this dissertation, I will use angle brackets $<>$ as a convention to indicate a romanized transcription of Written Tibetan based on the widely-used Wylie transcription. 'Wylie', as it is known, was developed by Terrell Wylie and published in 1959 when he was head of the Tibet program at the University of Washington in Seattle, Washington. If a particular etymology is unknown or uncertain, a question mark in angled brackets $<$ ? $>$ will indicate that. If the etymology of part of a word is unknown, a question mark will occur in place of whichever syllable is unknown. Thus, $<$ drel? $>$ 'mule' indicates a low-possibility etymology and $<$ ?.sprel> 'monkey' indicates that the Written Tibetan etymology of the first syllable is unknown.
    ${ }^{2}$ The full name in Written Tibetan is <dbyar.rtswa.dgun.'bu> or 'summer grass, winter worm'.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This fact was made clear when some funds arrived from India in 2004 to build a Stupa, or Buddhist tower, in Pongding, the village where I collected my data. Those who previously were un-informed regarding which sect they belonged to became more interested as the stupa became a reality. Emotions ran high and the village was divided into Gelugpa and Nyingmapa camps. The stupa was dynamited several times, some monks were jailed, and former friends and relatives turned against each other. Eventually, the government was needed to resolve the issue.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ During the summer, when cows have more milk, they make butter every day. In the winter, butter is usually made every three or four days.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Xianggelila was formerly known as $z \varepsilon^{11} t \tilde{o}^{53}$（ $<$ rGyalthang $>$ ），a name that most local Tibetans still use，or as zhongdian（中甸），the Chinese transliteration of $<$ rGyalthang $>$ ．After 6 years of national competition，Zhongdian County won their campaign to be designated the true location of＇Shangri－la＇ and a year later（May 2002）the old Zhongdian officially became Xianggelila．The Chinese name 香格里拉（Xianggelila）is a transliteration of the mythical Tibetan kingdom Shambala．From this，it appears that Xianggelila has been re－transliterated into Tibetan＜sems．gyi．nyi．zla．ba＞（＇the heart＇s sun and moon＇）．For a fascinating account of the naming of Xianggelila County see Ben Hillman（2003） ＇Paradise Under Construction：Minorities，Myths and Modernity in Northwest Yunnan＇．

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The 1990 Census, published in 2003, records a population of 6,440 for Dongwang.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ As an example，a student at the teacher＇s training school in rGyalthang who had been fondly called 东旺土匪 or＇Dongwang thief＇by her friends burst into tears when a teacher at the school jokingly told the class that all Dongwang people were 土匪 or＇thieves＇．After seeing her tears，the student＇s friends agreed to no longer use that particular nickname．

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ David Watters (2002: 14) says that 'All the major classifications of Tibeto-Burman languages in the Himalayan region agree, for the most part, on two major clusters of languages - 1) a Tibetan or Bodish unit that, in addition to Tibetan itself, includes Tamang-Gurung-Thakhali (TGT), and 2) an East Himalayish or Kiranti unit that takes in the so-called "Rai-Limbu" languages. Everything else gets lumped rather differently.'

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Zhang Jichuan appears to have designated this＇the Dechen group＇based on the administrative prefectural name．

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ r $\tilde{u}<$ rong $>$ refers to a deep gorge, or valley with a river in the middle.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Because of this it may be more accurately described as a post-stopped nasal.
    ${ }^{2}$ While I do have examples for both voiceless and voiced bilabial stops, it is more frequent that voiced bilabial stops become fricatives in my data.

[^10]:    ${ }^{3}$ There are two words, 'cave' and 'eye', which seem to support a dental/alveolar contrast: ni $i^{53}<?>$ 'cave' and $n i^{53}<$ myig> 'eye'. Because of the potential semantic link between the two words, I had thought 'cave' was an extension from 'eye', but while hiking with a friend, she insisted they were different. Because this is the only potential contrastive set I have, I have not posited a dental series.

[^11]:    ${ }^{4}$ The question mark on the first syllable of this word indicates that the WT etymology is not known. The question mark on the second syllable indicates that the WT is likely, but not certain.
    ${ }^{5}$ The fact that the vowel in this syllable is not nasalized is not an oversight. Nasalization has disappeared in some syllables, but I cannot establish a pattern.

[^12]:    ${ }^{6}$ In the rGyalthang-speaking village $\left(b \partial^{55} l \tilde{Y}^{55}\right.$; 布伦) where I am currently living, there is a voiced velar fricative in addition to those listed in Hongladarom 1996.

[^13]:    ${ }^{7}$ The vowel on this is extremely creaky. Sometimes the WT final codas $\langle\mathrm{g}\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{gs}>$ have resulted in a final glottal and sometimes glottal constriction.

[^14]:    ${ }^{8}$ There is speaker variation on this word in isolation. Female speakers pronounce it with a devoiced onset, while male speakers tend to pronounce it with a voiced onset, but both with a low-rising tone.

[^15]:    ${ }^{9}$ I have not used IPA transcription in the figures due to technical problems.

[^16]:    ${ }^{10}$ This word is also pronounced with a high level tone: $S Y^{55}$

[^17]:    ${ }^{11}$ Some speakers pronounce this with very little, or no, onset aspiration.

[^18]:    ${ }^{12} t t_{s} a^{353}$, 'enemy', is sometimes pronounced with a voiced onset [ $d z a^{353}$ ].

[^19]:    ${ }^{13}$ I arranged minimal sets of words, or very close minimal sets, into wave files of two and three words. Sometimes the words were the same tone and sometimes different. I then played the words for speakers and asked them if they were the same or different. Due to constraints of time, only a few words were tested on multiple speakers. Most were tested only on one female speaker.

[^20]:    ${ }^{14}$ In the following examples, I have capitalized the root letter in each syllable for the sake of clarity.
    ${ }^{15}$ In spite of table 4 above, and the previous discussion, it is important to state that complex WT onsets do not always mean mid tone, and simplex WT onsets do not always mean low tone. Some syllables are not always that well-behaved. For example $<$ byi'u $>S Y^{13} \sim S Y^{55}$ 'small bird', $<$ zhing $>s^{1}{ }^{1}:^{13}$ $\sim s^{h}{ }_{\text {Ti }}{ }^{55}$ 'field', and $<$ dbye $>s i^{53} \sim S i^{33}$ 'to separate' (from a person).

[^21]:    ${ }^{16}$ OT=Old Tibetan. Sun seems to use OT interchangeably with WT. While I use WT as representative of various periods of Tibetan, OT generally refers to a more archaic period of Tibetan (7th-9th c.), earlier than Classical Tibetan.

[^22]:    ${ }^{17}$ In the rGyalthang dialect <tsam.pa> is pronounced $t s \tilde{a}^{55} \mathrm{pa}^{55}$.

[^23]:    ${ }^{18}$ This is also a euphemism for 'whorehouse'.
    ${ }^{19}$ I have a few counter-examples where it seems the contour is fully retained $f j i i^{353}$ 'work' and hji ${ }^{353 n} \mathrm{gul}^{11}$. 'to do work'.
    ${ }^{20}$ There are two words for 'shoelaces'. This is the word for the new style of shoelace rather than the one that laces up the calf.

[^24]:    ${ }^{21}$ This is the word for the modern kind of shoelace. The traditional shoelaces ( $\kappa j \tilde{a}^{11} d z u^{53}$ ) have shoelaces which lace up the leg.

[^25]:    ${ }^{22}$ Some speakers pronounce 'saltbox' as $t s^{h} a i^{55} g \tilde{a}^{53}$.

[^26]:    ${ }^{23}$ Technically, the Tibetan and Indian writing systems could be called 'alpha-syllabic' rather than syllabic systems. Although syllables represented by a single graph do have an inherent 'a', vowel diacritics and modification to the root letter are isographic.

[^27]:    ${ }^{24}$ Hill (2005) follows the Chinese convention of using $v$ to represent the $a$-chung.
    ${ }^{25}$ The terms 'prefix' and 'suffix' do not have their normal morphological meaning here, but refer purely to the shape of the syllable.

[^28]:    ${ }^{26}$ The word for 'father' ba ${ }^{353}$ seems to be from $\langle\mathrm{p}$ 'a $>$ in which case the post-initial a.chung causes the intial to be voiced.

[^29]:    ${ }^{27}$ This word is sometimes pronounced with a voiced onset, but a low-rising tone.
    ${ }^{28} \mathrm{An}$ alternative pronunciation, at least for one speaker, is $b \tilde{r}^{11} g u a^{53}$.

[^30]:    ${ }^{29}$ An exception to the onset <phy>becoming an aspirated sibilant is <phyed.ka>se ${ }^{11} k^{h} a^{53}$ 'half'.

[^31]:    ${ }^{30}$ This is also spelled as <phreng>, so it may not be representative of a <'ph> onset. The other words in this data set could could have the same alternative spelling.
    ${ }^{31}$ This is not used very often as a general word for student. Rather, it is a specialized use within the monastery for a novice monk. The more general term is either $z i^{13} \not \partial o^{53}<$ yig.slob>, a nominalized form $z i^{13 n} d x^{55}-n \partial<y i g . ? . m y i>$, or (most frequently) borrowed from Chinese ( $\epsilon o^{11}{ }_{S I}{ }^{55}$ ).
    ${ }^{32}<$ dbr> is a very rare onset cluster in WT. One remote possibility is the word is $<$ dbre.be> ${ }_{{ }_{Y} Y^{35}}{ }^{\text {b }}$ baby goat', but this does not fit the expected sound changes.

[^32]:    ${ }^{33}[\phi]$ is an allophone of [ p$]$ intervocalically for some speakers.

[^33]:    ${ }^{35}$ This is sometimes pronounced with a lower tone and a voiceless onset.

[^34]:    ${ }^{36}$ The exceptions to this are in the numerals 81-89. One female speaker (53 years old) pronounces the onset for the morpheme that designates the eighties (see §7.1.1.1), <gya> in WT, as $ъ$ and one male speaker ( 40 years old) pronounces it as $t$ c. Thus 'eighty-eight' <gya.brgyad> is $z e^{11} \not \mathcal{X}^{353}$ in the female's speech and $t \varphi \rho^{11} \not x^{353}$ in the male's speech.

[^35]:    ${ }^{37}$ This is the only example I have of the $<$ 'gy $>$ onset.
    ${ }^{38}$ I have one entry, a verbalizer $\left(d z o^{53}\right)$, which might possibly be from WT <brgyad>, but it does not undergo the same sound change.

[^36]:    ${ }^{39}$ One apparent exception to this: $\left\langle\mathrm{bza}^{\prime}>s \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{35}\right.$ 'food'.
    ${ }^{40}$ Some speakers do not aspirate this.

[^37]:    ${ }^{41}$ There are alternate spelling possibilities for the onsets of these entries: <m> or <my>. Given the bilabial -> palatal development it is most likely <my>. According to Nicolas Tournadre (personal communication), the $<\mathrm{my}>$ spelling is from Old Tibetan, which is older than Classical Tibetan.
    ${ }^{42}$ An exception to positing <myi> as the etymology for 'man' is in the word $m i^{11} \mathrm{mu}^{53}<$ mi.dmangs? $>$ 'people', 'the masses'. This likely came into use in the last sixty years as part of communist terminology.
    ${ }^{43}$ Also pronounced $n \tilde{o}^{13} . \mathrm{I}$ am uncertain how the two-syllable form developed.
    ${ }^{44}$ This is sometimes also pronounced with more voicing and less aspiration than $m$ suggests.

[^38]:    ${ }^{45}$ The exception is <lus.po> -> $12^{11} p \boldsymbol{\theta}^{55}$ 'body'.
    ${ }^{46}$ A possible exception to this is <glang.chen> -> $1 \tilde{o}^{55} t t^{h} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{53}$ 'elephant'. However, some speakers say $j \tilde{o}^{55} t t^{h} \tilde{\mathbb{X}}^{53}$.
    ${ }^{47}$ The exception is <lho.phyogs> -> $I_{Y}{ }^{13}$ cu $u^{53}$ 'south'.

[^39]:    ${ }^{48}$ The word <zla.ba> pronounced ${ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55}$, which refers to 'moon' in many Tibetan dialects, is used only in reference to 'month' in Dongwang.

[^40]:    ${ }^{49}$ The period between the letters in the transliteration of this word reflects the root letter $\langle\mathrm{y}\rangle$ with a $<\mathrm{g}>$ prefix as distinct from the root letter $\langle\mathrm{g}\rangle$ with the $<\mathrm{y}\rangle$ subfix (written gy).
    ${ }^{50}$ There are two possible exceptions to this in my database. I am not sure whether or not I have the correct WT source word for 'weed' $h \tilde{u}^{55} \sim h \tilde{u}^{55} t c o^{53}$ <?yur.ma?>. Secondly, a clear exception is $<$ yag.po> $j a^{13}$ 'good'. There is another more frequent word for 'good' $<?>a^{11} j \tilde{o}^{55}$. It is possible that ja ${ }^{13}$ came into Dongwang after the $\langle\mathrm{y}>->/ \mathrm{z} /$ sound change.

[^41]:    ${ }^{51}$ Several female speakers also pronounce this ${ }^{h} W u^{13}$.
    ${ }^{52}$ Häsler (1999: 52) notes that in Dege Khams $<\mathrm{i}>$ and $<\mathrm{u}>$ are lowered to $\partial$ and $\gamma$ respectively, but in Dongwang, both vowels merge into the schwa.

[^42]:    ${ }^{53}$ There is one exception to this in my database: $<$ slu $>->\mathfrak{g u}^{353}$ 'to deceive'.
    ${ }^{54}$ There are two exceptions to this in my database: $<\operatorname{lo}>->j Y^{13}$ 'year', 'age'; $\left\langle\right.$ glo $>j u{ }^{13}$ 'cough'.
    ${ }^{55}$ There is one apparent exception to this in the word $<$ brla $>13 o^{353}$ 'thigh'.

[^43]:    ${ }^{56}$ Some speakers do not pronounce the nasal onset in this word with any aspiration. Further, it is very light aspiration when speakers do pronounce it.
    ${ }^{57}$ The unique situation arising from $<0 \mathrm{C}>$ rhymes in syllables with non-nasal velar onsets is discussed on page 79 and following.
    ${ }^{58}$ One apparent exception is found in the word $t s u i^{13}<$ gral.ma> 'rafter'.
    ${ }^{59}$ An exception to this is found in the word $n u u^{53}<$ smin.po> 'ripened'.
    ${ }^{60}$ I only have one example of this in my database.
    ${ }^{61}$ I only have one example of a monosyllabic word with this rhyme in the numeral 'two' <gnyis> nut ${ }^{53}$.

[^44]:    ${ }^{62}$ I have only one example in my database: <bskams>k $\tilde{o}^{53}$ 'thirsty'.
    ${ }^{63}$ I only have one example of this in the disyllabic word for 'tasty': <zhim.po> sa ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~m} \boldsymbol{m}^{55}$.
    ${ }^{64}$ I only have one example of this in the word $t s^{h} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{53}<$ 'tshem> 'to sew'.
    ${ }^{65}$ The one exception in my database is found in the word $z \tilde{o}^{353}<$ sbyong> 'to study'.

[^45]:    ${ }^{73}$ Four words are pronounced with $u$ rhymes and ten words are pronounced with $o$ rhymes.

[^46]:    ${ }^{74}$ If the coronal coda is a nasal, the preceding vowel is also nasalized.

[^47]:    ${ }^{75}$ It appears that the rhyme <or>becomes $u$ a after a voiceless aspirated velar plosive, but $u c e$ after a voiceless, unaspirated velar plosive.

[^48]:    ${ }^{76}$ The onset for the word 'egg' is sometimes voiced and variously pronounced [kuã ${ }^{34}$ ], [guãa ${ }^{34}$ ], or [guã ${ }^{44}$ ]. In intervocalic position there is always some voicing, but not as heavy as a fully-voiced onset. ${ }^{77}$ The onset for the word 'heavy' is sometimes voiced and sometimes devoiced with a prevoiced segment. The various suffixal pronunciations in certain words suggest either etymologies different from <po> or different paths of development.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schachter points out (1985: 7) that this does not mean that a certain word class will not have certain semantic characteristics (as, for example, the fact that nouns tend to identify persons, places, or things), but that semantic features are not the basis for assigning class membership.

[^50]:    ${ }^{2}$ But Payne (2006: 20) cautions that 'empirical studies are inconclusive as to whether this definition really corresponds to any universal linguistic category'.

[^51]:    ${ }^{3}$ Plural markers are discussed in §3.2.1.2 and §8.1.2.5.

[^52]:    ${ }^{4}$ In Dongwang, complement clauses are not formed with a complementizer or special verb form. Complement clauses are discussed in Chapter Twelve.

[^53]:    ${ }^{5}$ One interesting phonological process appears to be what Nicolas Tournadre (personal communication) has called 'metathetic nasalization'. Metathetic nasalization is a process in which the nasalization in one syllable switches to another syllable. For example, $10^{11} s \tilde{a}^{55} t \epsilon^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} u^{53}--$ $>1 \tilde{o}^{13} t \epsilon^{h} u^{55} t s^{h} u^{53}$. The nasalization from the second syllable switched to the first syllable.

[^54]:    ${ }^{6}$ Tashi Tsering, personal communication.

[^55]:    ${ }^{7}$ Wang Xiaosong，personal communication．

[^56]:    ${ }^{8}$ A 'Tanka' is a Tibetan Buddhist religious painting that depicts a god or story on which Buddhist practitioners meditate.

[^57]:    ${ }^{11}$ This is the word for the new type of shoelace, rather than the old type that laced up the calf.
    ${ }^{12}$ Das gives a secondary form for 'blind' <long> which could be a possible etymology for the second syllable. Thus the etymology for 'blind person' could be 'eye' + 'blind', rather than 'eye' + 'beg'. It is difficult to determine which one is more likely since the Dongwang second-syllable form is not aspirated, and second syllables tend not to de-aspirate.

[^58]:    ${ }^{13}$ This word is used in at least two contexts. The first is when a woman is married to two brothers (such was the case of the household I stayed in). Children refer to the older brother as 'father', but the younger brother as 'uncle'. Then second is a term of address which refers to a male who is older than the speaker.

[^59]:    ${ }^{14} \mathrm{An}$ alternate form of the Objective casemarker is = wõ. The use of one over the other appears to be idiolectal.

[^60]:    ${ }^{16}=\mathrm{ki}$ is the plural clitic. There are no attested forms of plural pronouns with ablative case in my data, although I would assume they can occur.

[^61]:    ${ }^{17}$ Non-reflexive pronouns can also express notions that are semantically reflexive.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are a handful of verbs that show alternations based on tense or aspect distinctions. These are discussed in more details in §5.2.1.2.

[^63]:    ${ }^{2}$ In her dissertation, Häsler (1999: 135, fn 144) cites Franke (1883) as one of the first researchers to note the four groups of verbs arising from the control and transitivity oppositions. He designated the four groups active-transitive, neutral-transitive, active-intransitive, and neutralintransitive.

[^64]:    ${ }^{3}$ Two medial verbs, $r \tilde{æ}^{55}$ and $n i$, are discussed in $\S 12.1$. For the sake of conveience, I have glossed them as REN and NI .

[^65]:    ${ }^{4}$ Matisoff (2003: 89) cites Wolfenden (1929) as one of the first to mention these verb pairs.

[^66]:    ${ }^{5}$＇Inner－directed states or actions＇（indicated by the PTB＊m－prefix）are＂middle voice＂ notions like stativity，intransitivity，durativity，reflexivity＇．＇Outer－directed action＇express transitivity and causativity（Matisoff 2003：117）．
    ${ }^{6}$ The Chinese terminology usually used is 使动，不使动（causative，non－causative），及物，不及物（transitive，intransitive）and 自动，不自动（voluntary，involuntary）．

[^67]:    ${ }^{7}$ e.g., 'from a person'.
    ${ }^{8}$ 'To take off' as in 'to remove one's coat'.

[^68]:    ${ }^{9}$ The terms＇voluntary／involuntary＇come from the terms which Chinese linguists generally use：自主／不自主 zi4zhu3／bu4zi4zhu3）．

[^69]:    ${ }^{12}$ Hargreave's definition was intended to apply to Kathmandu Newari and so was not intended as a characterization of similar systems in other languages.

[^70]:    ${ }^{13}$ Personal communication．

[^71]:    ${ }^{14}$ The four existentials are derived from two base forms: ze and ${ }^{n} d o$.

[^72]:    ${ }^{15}$ My consultant knew that this word has some meaning related to 'hand', but does not equate it with an honorific as used in Lhasa.

[^73]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a count of 112 adjectives in my lexical database, 93 were disyllabic and 18 were monosyllabic.

[^74]:    ${ }^{2}$ Exceptions in my database are $k^{h} i^{55} \eta æ^{53}$ 'dangerous', dza ${ }^{11 n} d z a^{55}$ 'same' and $g u e^{35} c a^{53}$ 'clever'.

[^75]:    ${ }^{3}$ As far as I know, speakers are unable to make a connection between verbs and adjectives.

[^76]:    ${ }^{4}$ The adjective $t^{h} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{53}$ is one of the few monosyllabic adjectives in Dongwang. Some speakers say that it has been borrowed from the nearby rGyalthang dialect.
    ${ }^{5}$ With the exception of verbs borrowed from Mandarin. See §4.3.2.

[^77]:    ${ }^{6}$ In some Tibetan dialects, there are two possible adjective positions, pre- and post-nominal. When the adjective precedes the noun, there must be some intervening morphology. In Lhasa Tibetan, this is the genitive casemarker:

    | $<$ me.tog dkar.po> | flower white | 'white flower' |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | <dkar.po'i me.tog> | white.GEN flower | 'white flower' |

    In Dongwang, speakers rarely accept Adj-N order in elicited data and there are only a few rare occurrences in natural discourse.

    | MyLife041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | $t \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13}$ | $j a^{13}$ | $r a$ | $p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial p^{h} \partial$ | $j Y^{11} t \epsilon^{h} \tilde{O}^{55}$ | $a^{11} k a^{53}$ | $r e^{11}$ | $\epsilon i$ |
    | then | 1SABS | TOP | FILLER | young | child | COP.OTHR | MAL |

    'Then as for me, uh, I was (just) a young child'
    The example above illustrates Adj-N word order. Apparently, if the adjective followed the head noun, the speaker would be referring not to herself, but to her child (or some other child) as the genitive on the first-person pronoun is optional when expressing kin relations.

    Speakers accept a few other Adj-N word order combinations, but not all. As far as I know, no studies exist as to why speakers may choose one form over another.

[^78]:    ${ }^{7}$ There is another adjective that expresses 'old, inanimate'.

[^79]:    ${ }^{8}$ This is likely the WT allative/locative casemarker $<$ tu $>$.

[^80]:    ${ }^{9}$ This is the same word used for 'to lose' as in $c \mathrm{e}^{55} \mathrm{ka}^{11} t s i^{53}{ }_{s} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} s \tilde{o}$ 'how much (money) did you lose?'

[^81]:    ${ }^{12}$ This may be borrowed from rGyalthang. Speakers say that some people in Dongwang use it to mean a more intense 'bad' such as 'evil'. It is interesting that in rGyalthang $t^{h} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{53}$ can be used for inanimates (as in 'The potatoes are bad; don't eat them'), but in Dongwang it seems to be reserved for people.

[^82]:    ${ }^{13}$ Both $j e^{11} k a^{55}$ 'difficult' and $j e^{11} l a^{55}$ 'easy' seem to have arisen from the verb 'do' $\left(j e^{13}\right)$ followed by the appropriate adjectives.

[^83]:    ${ }^{14}$ By standard of comparison, I mean the topic that something is compared to.
    ${ }^{15}$ One possible etymology for $-j i$ is WT genitive marker $<\mathrm{yi}>$ since it is formally identical. Another possibility is that it has come from the WT ablative $<$ las $>$.

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are other forms such as $t s \boldsymbol{o}^{11} \mathrm{ka}{ }^{53}$ and $t s \boldsymbol{a}^{11} g u \|^{53}$ that can be used interchangeably with no meaning difference.

[^85]:    ${ }^{2}$ Months of the year can also be preceded by ${ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55}$ 'month' as in ${ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55} S \tilde{o}^{55} b a^{53}$ 'March' (literally, 'month third'), but speakers often omit ${ }^{n} d a^{11} W a^{55}$.

[^86]:    ${ }^{4}$ This is the general word used for 'worm', but often (as in this context) it refers to the caterpillar fungus.

[^87]:    ${ }^{5}$ The word for the number 'three' is the monosyllabic form $s \tilde{u}^{53}$. I am not sure what the first syllable sa ${ }^{11}$ means here, but it only occurs in this construction.

[^88]:    ${ }^{6}$ There are three adverbs that all seem to mean 'a little': $t s \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{55} \mathrm{ku}^{53}, t s \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{55} \mathrm{ka}{ }^{53}$, and $t s \boldsymbol{a}^{55} \mathrm{ku}{ }^{53}$.

[^89]:    ${ }^{7}$ Since the reduplicated construction of the verb also expresses intensification, I glossed this as 'really, really'.
    ${ }^{8}$ This does not include, of course, the directional prefixes.

[^90]:    ${ }^{9}$ Wuntso is a religious ceremony involving chanting and reciting scripture.

[^91]:    ${ }^{10}$ The whole text can be found in Appendix II.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since Chinese numerals are so frequently used, it would be fair to add the caveat 'when Chinese numerals are not used'.

[^93]:    ${ }^{2}$ Some speakers use $c e^{11} j u u^{55}$.

[^94]:    ${ }^{3}$ Previously, a jin used to be the equivalent of 1.3 pounds or 625 grams. However, in recent years a jin has come to be the equivalent of exactly $1 / 2$ kilo.

[^95]:    ${ }^{4}$ Many Tibetan dialects also have vertical forms constructed from the locationals <mar> 'down' and <yar> 'up', but I have not encountered these in Dongwang.
    ${ }^{5}$ As described in §8.1.2.2 the distal demonstrative $t{ }^{55}$ has also come to function as a marker of specificity which occurs after the noun.

[^96]:    ${ }^{6}$ Bernard Comrie, personal communication.

[^97]:    ${ }^{7}$ The Buddhist triad is: The Buddha, the Dharma, and the clergy.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ As mentioned in Chapter Five, adjectives can also occur in pre-nominal position, though the most frequent order by far is $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Adj}$.

[^99]:    ${ }^{2}$ A referent can be an animate or inanimate individual or group. It can also be quoted speech, an event, or a whole section of text.

[^100]:    ${ }^{3}$ Recall from §7.1.1.2 that $t \epsilon i^{\prime}$ 'one' can be used as an indefinite marker.

[^101]:    ${ }^{4}$ The unusual OAV word order is sometimes used when two analogous constituents are being contrasted.

[^102]:    ${ }^{5}$ This is similar to the 'associative' function of the so-called genitive marker 的 (de) in Mandarin. Li and Thompson (1980) choose the term 'associative phrase' (113ff) to describe two noun phrases, the first of which, together with the marker 的 (de), is 'associated' or 'connected' in some way to the second noun phrase. They consider the possessive, or genitive, to be a special case of an associative phrase.

[^103]:    ${ }^{6}$ As far as I know, the presence or absence of the genitive does not contribute any meaning difference, but more research is needed to determine that for sure.

[^104]:    ${ }^{7}$ The marker of specificity is discussed in $\S 8.1 .2 .4$ below.

[^105]:    ${ }^{8}$ Because clitics do not have their own stress, they are low-toned in natural speech.

[^106]:    ${ }^{9}$ For practical reasons, I gloss each of these according to their function.

[^107]:    ${ }^{10}$ The gloss for this sentence is: 3SERG year twenty jail inside stay PST OTHR. Tournadre does not say that this sentence comes from a corpus, but that it could be used contrastively in this way.

[^108]:    ${ }^{11}$ The Amdo dialects also mark grammatical relations according to the ergative/absolutive system, but unfortunately I do not have any descriptions of ergative marking in any of the Amdo dialects.
    ${ }^{12}$ The notion of marking an 'experiencer/patient' with the ergative seems a bit strange to me. The one sentence she provides, <khos ra bor.le nyug 'dug.sri 'gi> (3SERG goat lose-CJ search V2:DURPROG be) He searched for the goat he had lost, has only one third-person ergative pronoun. It is unclear to me whether the pronoun is the argument associated with 'lost' or with 'searched'. However, it seems the pronoun must be an argument of 'lost' in order for her point to be illustrated.

[^109]:    ${ }^{14}$ Sandra Thompson, personal communication.

[^110]:    ${ }^{15}$ This verb seems to be used only to characterize walking in mud, or something mud-like.

[^111]:    ${ }^{17}$ In Lhasa, a very similar pattern occurs, but one in which the P arguments are marked by the dative casemarker.

[^112]:    ${ }^{18}$ DeLancey's argument is based on Fillmore (1970) who observed that in English there are two classes of verbs which have distinct syntactic behaviors motivated by underlying semantic patterns which lexicalize a particular construal of an event rather than the event itself.

[^113]:    ${ }^{19}$ The text Accident is an extreme example of the lack of overt mentions of referents. Before beginning his story, the speaker said that he wanted to tell the story about his wife's accident so those listening knew in advance what the story was about. Once he began to tell it, however, he never uses a noun or pronoun to mention his wife overtly.

[^114]:    ${ }^{20}$ The narrator omits the existential in the text from which this sentence comes. I include it here in parentheses to avoid confusion.

[^115]:    ${ }^{21}$ In Central Tibetan and in Dege (Hasler), the ablative is used in contexts such as 'from two o'clock ...' but the ablative does not function in this way in Dongwang.

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ See $\S 4.2$ for a detailed discussion of the terms 'SELF' and 'OTHER'.

[^117]:    ${ }^{2}$ It might seem strange to have an inanimate SELF form in this table, but recall that in possessor clauses the SELF/OTHER form is determined by the possessor and the animate versus inanimate form is determined by the possessee. Thus the clause I have a pen would occur with the inanimate SELF existential ze.

[^118]:    ${ }^{3}$ The use of 'emphatic' in Chinese (强调) is as vague as it is in English.

[^119]:    ${ }^{4}$ I realize the label 'negative future' is not totally satisfactory. I considered the term 'negative irrealis', but since irrealis includes negation, that was unsatisfactory for different reasons.

[^120]:    ${ }^{5}$ T.-S. Sun also refers to the evidential markers as 'enclitics'. This will be discussed in more detail in §10.5.

[^121]:    ${ }^{6}$ As quoted in Foley and Olson 1985.

[^122]:    ${ }^{7}$ This is further evidence for the uniclausal status of causative clauses.

[^123]:    ${ }^{9}$ It is ungrammatical to use the future SELF form $z i$ to express 'I will lift this rock' since the speaker cannot be certain that he is able to lift the rock.

[^124]:    $p^{h} a^{55} S_{S} a^{53} \quad p^{h} \partial-\quad s e^{13} \quad{ }^{n} d z u \quad$ rex $\tilde{\mathscr{X}} \quad t \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{13}$
    pork thither finish GO IMM then
    'when the pork is about to be finished', (=when the pork is almost eaten up')

[^125]:    ${ }^{11}$ Butter \& Cheese is included in Appendix B.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ From Aikhenvald 2004: 12, 13, in which Boas says speakers of Kwakiutl would express the sentence The man is sick depending on whether the speaker saw the sick man, heard about him, or dreamed about him.

[^127]:    ${ }^{2}$ Others have also defined evidentials in this narrow sense, including Weber 1986 (cited in Payne 1997) and Payne (1997: 348, 9).

[^128]:    ${ }^{3}$ I think this verb is from WT <bul> 'appear'. Together with directional verbs it conveys the notion of 'to return'.

[^129]:    ${ }^{4}$ Other non-SELF auxiliaries and some evidential auxiliaries are possible as well.

[^130]:    ${ }^{5}$ The post-verbal marker ra seems to function to highlight the state of the cup whereas a different post-verbal marker, $\eta a$, highlights the agentivity of the A argument. However, more research needs to be done on these forms.

[^131]:    ${ }^{6}$ An added complication arises from the homophony of the ergative and genitive casemarked first-person pronoun which leads to three possible interpretations of the sentence in (14): I broke the cup (accidentally), S/he broke my cup (and I saw it), or My cup got broken. All three of these sentences are possible translations of (14).

[^132]:    ${ }^{7}$ Although there is no adverb (e.g., already), my consultant preferred to translate (24) with the Chinese adverb 已经 yi3jing1 'already'.

[^133]:    ${ }^{8}$ The only other context I am aware of for clauses with first-person is if the speaker is within hearing distance, but not in viewing distance (as in another room).

[^134]:    ${ }^{9}$ There is some confusion as to whether this should be glossed as an appositive 'he, that guy' or as a genitive 'his guy'. The person helping me to gloss the text is convinced it is the first interpretation and one of my main co-workers is convinced it is the second interpretation. I am choosing the first gloss.

[^135]:    ${ }^{10}$ Recall from §9.1.2.2.3 that wu-ca expresses a high degree of certainty regarding potentially-negative events.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ For purposes of clause syntax, the verbs comprising a serial verb construction constitute a single verb.
    ${ }^{2}$ Since many finite sentences show no finite morphology whatsoever, final intonation provides critical clues as to the finality of any given clause.

[^137]:    ${ }^{8}$ There is one case in which a false start contributes to the disrupted order. Since this also exhibits disrupted prosody as the speaker reorganizes her thoughts, it should not really be considered an example of disrupted word order.

[^138]:    ${ }^{9}$ I included copular and one-argument existential clauses in the count of intransitives.

[^139]:    ${ }^{10}$ Another verb, $d e^{13}$, is used more frequently for 'to stay', but not for 'to sit'.

[^140]:    ${ }^{11}$ The notion of patient-type, agent-type, and meteorological intransitive verbs comes from Watters (2003): 219 ff in his description of the Kham language spoken in Nepal.
    ${ }^{12}$ It is crucial to state these features within the context of affirmative SELF clauses due to issues surrounding evidentiality, control, and intention.

[^141]:    ${ }^{13}$ Verbs that express physical sensation (e.g., 'hungry' and 'tired') cannot occur with the OTHER auxiliary.

[^142]:    ${ }^{15}$ The egodeictic auxiliary is used here not to express lack of control, but to reflect the fact that the speaker is experiencing it in some way. There are several auxiliary possibilities that have evidential or experiential parameters.

[^143]:    ${ }^{16}$ If there is a final auxiliary in the clause, of course. As will be discussed in $\S 10.5$, zero auxiliary clauses are also quite common.

[^144]:    ${ }^{17}$ Some verbs occur in clauses with optional ergative marking.

[^145]:    ${ }^{18}$ To the best of my knowledge, this realis/irrealis split is unusual for Tibetan dialects and may turn out to be a characteristic of Southern Khams dialects. In the nearby rGyalthang dialect, the split does not appear on the auxiliaries, but only on a few select verb forms, e.g., z$\tilde{u}^{13}$ 'tasty, irrealis' and $s \tilde{u}^{13}$ 'tasty, realis'. There are also a few verbs in Dongwang, $s^{h} \tilde{a}^{53}$ and $z \tilde{a}^{53}$ 'to think' that seem to reflect the same realis/irrealis marking. More research is needed to determine how far spread this is both within and without Dongwang.

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes this order can be disrupted, but in my database, this is always due to the speaker producing an afterthought.

[^147]:    ${ }^{3}$ The irrigation system is composed of one canal that villagers built which travels 13 km through the mountains and is divided into smaller irrigation ditches upon its arrival in Pongding. Each of the smaller irrigation ditches is controlled by a board that slides vertically into a ditch. In order to 'switch out' a board, one ditch is closed off and another is opened up.

[^148]:    ${ }^{4}$ It is quite likely that this is the same morpheme as the dative casemarking clitic which has arisen from WT $<\mathrm{la}>$.

[^149]:    ${ }^{5}$ I have one instance of a relative clause with a genitive but without a nominalizer: $\eta \mathrm{e}^{13}$ $\left[t \epsilon^{h}{ }^{5}{ }^{55} t s^{h}{ }^{53}{ }^{53}\right]=j i b \tilde{u}^{11} b a^{55} n \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}^{13} d \boldsymbol{z i} i$ a re $s$ 'Don't I have the power [to take water]?'

[^150]:    ${ }^{6}$ The WT etymology of $m i^{53}$ is unknown.

[^151]:    ${ }^{7}$ There is no formal distinction between direct and indirect speech in Dongwang. This is not unusual as it is the case in other Tibetan dialects that 'There is no structural (or syntactic) difference between the two types of speech' (Tournadre and Dorje 2003:424).

