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## Abbreviations

ABL ablative case
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## 0. Introduction

This book is intended as a short grammar of present-day Crimean Tatar. Crimean Tatar is an understudied language of the West Kipchak branch of the Northwestern subgroup of the Turkic language family (Johanson 1998). ${ }^{1}$ Crimean Tatar is spoken mainly in Ukraine's Crimean peninsula and in Uzbekistan, as well as in small communities in Russia, Bulgaria, Romania, and Turkey. According to traditional descriptions, Crimean Tatar is subdivided into three dialects: Southern (or Coastal), Central, and Northern (or Steppe) (Berta 1998a). The Central dialect is now used as the standard variety, while the number of speakers of the other two dialects is rapidly diminishing. The description presented in this book is based on the grammatical system of the Central dialect; however, dialectal differences are discussed where possible.

Although several descriptions of Crimean Tatar exist (Samoilovich 1916; Bogoroditskii 1933 specifically on the Southern dialect; Doerfer 1959, Sevortian 1966, Memetov 1993 on the Central dialect; Izidinova 1997; Berta 1998a; Useinov, Mireev, and Sahadzhiev 2005), some of them are quite old, and none of the sources presents a comprehensive picture of the language. The only English-language source (Berta 1998a) is a short comparative overview of four West Kipchak languages, including Crimean Tatar. A pedagogical grammar in Russian by Useinov, Mireyev, and Sahaciyev (2005) provides an excellent but incomplete description of the language. Sources in German include a glossary by Prokosch (2007), a diachronic grammar of literary Crimean Tatar (Prokosch 2006), and a description of Crimean Tatar suffixal allomorphy (Çeneli 1979). No transcribed and analyzed corpus is available for Crimean Tatar. Existing dictionaries include Crimean Tatar-Russian (Asanov et al. 1988, Useinov \& Mireev 1992, Useinov 1994), Crimean Tatar-Ukrainian (Useinov \& Mireev 2002), Russian-Crimean Tatar (Abdullaev \& Umerov 1994), and Crimean Tatar-Russian/Russian-Crimean Tatar (Useinov 2007). There is no theoretical work on the grammatical system of the language, with the exception of one article on phonology, specifically, syncope (Kavitskaya 2004).

The unique sociolinguistic situation of Crimean Tatar must be taken into consideration by any study that concerns the language. In 1944, the entire Tatar population of Crimea was deported to Central Asia (mainly to Uzbekistan, but also to Kazakhstan and Tajikistan), and to several locations in Russia. As a result, speakers of the three Crimean Tatar dialects, which used to be linguistically homogenous, were separated, mixed, and immersed into radically different language environments.

In the early 1990s, the Crimean Tatars were allowed to return to their homeland. Currently, about 250,000 Crimean Tatars have returned to Crimea, and another 250,000

[^0]still live in exile. 2 Former inhabitants of certain areas of Crimea attempted to resettle in their native villages, so one can still find, for instance, speakers of the Southern dialect living on the Southern coast of Crimea, but they encountered great difficulties in doing so. On the other hand, many Crimean Tatars were forced to settle far from their original homes, as Russian and Ukrainian families had been resettled into them by the Soviet government. ${ }^{3}$ This situation, along with years of exile, contributed to the dialect mixture. In addition, although the Crimean peninsula had previously been a solely Russian- and Crimean Tatar-speaking territory, it is now part of an independent Ukraine, and the Ukrainian government's promotion of the Ukrainian language in education and local government has led to an ongoing switch from Russian to Ukrainian in the area. Thus, after centuries of close linguistic contact with Russian, Crimean Tatar finds itself interacting with a different Slavic language, Ukrainian, thus adding yet another dimension to the already very complicated set of lexical and grammatical changes that Crimean Tatar has undergone.

Understanding the sociolinguistic situation of Crimean Tatar is a prerequisite to generating any meaningful description of its grammatical system. On the level of variation in individual speech patterns, it is necessary to consider two factors: first, the original dialect of each speaker; and second, the location in which families spent their exile years. For instance, the "original" system of vowel alternations in the Southern dialect could have been influenced by Uzbek, a Turkic language that has lost its historical vowel harmony (Bodrogligeti 2003) but still has a great number of roots that give an impression of vowel harmony at least in the stem morphological domain, or by Russian, a language without vowel harmony but with pervasive and exceptionless vowel reduction.

Before the deportation of the Crimean Tatars from Crimea in 1944, the language could be clearly divided into three major dialects. As shown in Figure 2, the Southern dialect was spoken along the Southern coast and in the coastal mountains of the Crimean peninsula, approximately from Foros to Feodosia. Some researchers claim that the Southern dialect is not Kipchak like the other two, but that it belongs to the Oghuz subgroup (Radlov 1896, Samoilovich 1916, Sevortian 1966, Memetov 1993), while others (Berta 1998a, Johanson 1998) group all dialects together as West Kipchak. The southern border of the Northern (or Steppe) dialect area, according to Sevortian (1966),

[^1]was the line running roughly between the cities of Evpatoria, Feodosia, and Kerch. ${ }^{4}$ The Central dialect was spoken in the area between the Southern and the Northern varieties.

After their return to Crimea, the Crimean Tatars resettled primarily in areas other than those in which they had lived before 1944. Currently, the main areas in Crimea inhabited by Crimean Tatars are around Simferopol, which is the largest city and the capital of Crimea, and also eastern and northeastern Crimea.

The data used in this book come from fieldwork conducted by the author in various parts of Crimea. The fieldwork undertaken in 2002 and 2003 was supported by grants from the Yale University Social Science Faculty Research Fund, and the fieldwork undertaken in 2009 was supported by a Faculty Research grant from the MacMillan Center for International and Area Studies at Yale University.

The fieldwork conducted in Crimea in 2002 concentrated on the sociolinguistic differences between speakers of Crimean Tatar who were relocated to Russia, where they were surrounded by a genetically unrelated language (Russian), and speakers who were moved to Uzbekistan, where they were surrounded by a genetically related but nonetheless distinct Turkic language (Uzbek), as well as Russian. Apart from the desired sociolinguistic results, this study revealed the need for dialectological work in Crimea: the Southern and Northern dialects are rapidly disappearing, and their distinct characteristics are preserved only in the speech of the older generation. The fieldwork conducted in 2002 began to address this issue and the investigation was continued in 2003 and 2009: vocabulary lists, morphological paradigms, and narratives were collected from 37 speakers of all three dialects aged 22-90. The grammatical systems of these individuals were analyzed, and it has been determined that speakers older than (approximately) 60 have a high degree of coherence and systematicity, so their speech has basically been unaffected by contact and dialect mixture. This is consistent with earlier findings on language contact; for instance, Labov $(1963,1966)$ showed that the speech of older people tends to reflect the state of the language when they acquired it. ${ }^{5}$ Younger speakers, on the other hand, tend to lose their dialectal affiliation, speaking a more or less "standard" dialect with various Uzbek and Russian influences.

The current work is thus based on an analysis of the grammatical systems of older speakers, who have clear dialectal affiliations. Among them are 10 speakers of the Central dialect, 7 speakers of the Southern dialect, and 3 speakers of the Northern dialect. The consultants whose speech was analyzed were born and spent their childhood before the deportation in the villages of Taşlı Yar and Mavlyuș (the Northern dialect); Otarçik, Qarıl, Urkusta, Topçikoy (the Central dialect); and Simeiz, Gaspra, Nikita, Taraq-Tas and Degirmenkoy (the Southern dialect) (see Figure 1 for the location of the villages). Of course, even in the speech of these speakers we can observe certain contact effects, but

[^2]they will not be a confounding factor in this study. Such effects certainly merit documentation and analysis and are acknowledged in the book where possible, but the detailed investigation will be left to sociolinguists for future research, as it is possible to study different aspects of language contact, dialect mixture, and endangerment separately (see Stanford and Preston 2009 for a discussion of these issues).

The remainder of this book consists of the following chapters: phonology, morphology, syntax, lexicon, and three glossed and annotated texts representative of the three dialects. Since the boundary between morphology and syntax is not always clearly defined, some arbitrary choices have been made as to the order in which the material is presented.

## 1. Phonology

### 1.1. Orthography and the sound system

Before 1929, Crimean Tatar was written using the Arabic script. In 1929, at the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Orthographic Conference held in Simferopol, the capital of Crimea, it was decided that the Arabic script was to be replaced with the Latin script (at that conference, it was also decided that the Central dialect would become the basis for literary Crimean Tatar). Later, in 1938, a modified Cyrillic script was adopted for Crimean Tatar (Memetov 1993). In 1997, the decision was made by the Supreme Council of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea to use the Latin script. Now, the Cyrillic and Latin-based scripts are used interchangeably to write Crimean Tatar.

Table 1 shows the correspondences between the Latin script (Turkish-based), the Cyrillic script (Russian-based), and the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA). The table is arranged according to the new Latin-based Crimean Tatar alphabet. Note that even though there is no one-to-one correspondence between the scripts used and the IPA, the new orthography for Crimean Tatar is quite close to phonetic writing. Several sounds that are only found in loans are written as follows: ts (IPA [ts], Russian ц), şc (IPA [j] ${ }^{j}$, Russian щ), j (IPA [3], Russian ж). There are a few discrepancies in the Cyrillic script, which is still widely used for Crimean Tatar writing. First, Cyrillic does not have letters for back consonants, so digraphs with the 'hardness' sign b are used to render the velar nasal $\eta$ (нъ), the voiced velar fricative $\gamma$ (гъ), and the uvular stop $q$ (къ). Second, a digraph дж is used to render $d_{3}$, which is absent in Russian. Third, there are no front rounded vowels in Russian: signs for the Russian [ju] (ю) and [jo] (ë) are used to approximate them. These signs are ambiguous: they also denote the sequence [ju] and $[\mathrm{jo}$ ] or the palatalization of the preceding consonant followed by [ u$]$ and $[\mathrm{o}]$ respectively. Both the Latin and Cyrillic scripts use the letters â and я, respectively, to refer to the palatalization of the preceding consonant or to the [ja] sequence. In the Cyrillic script, the softness sign is also used for this purpose: ль $=1^{j}$.

| Latin | Cyrillic | IPA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A a | A a | a |
| â | word-finally) | a (with the palatalization of the preceding consonant) |
| B b | Б б | b |
| Cc | Дж дж | d3 |
| Ç¢ | $Ч_{4}$ | ts |
| D d | Дд | d |
| Ee | Э э, е (wordmedially) | e |
| Ff | Ф ¢ | f |
| Gg | $\Gamma$ r | g |
| Ğg | Гъ гъ | Y |
| Hh | Xx | x |
| İ i | Ии | i/I |
| I 1 | Ыы | ${ }^{\text {u }}$ |
| K k | K K | k |
| L1 | Лл | 1 |
| M m | M m | m |
| N | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | n |
| Ň in | нъ | ] |
| Oo | Oo | o |
| Ö | Ë ë | ø/jo |
| Pp | Пп | p |
| Qq | Къ къ | q |
| R r | Pp | r |
| S s | Cc | s |
| \$ \$ | ШШш | 5 |
| Tt | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {T }}$ | t |
| Uu | У y | u |
| Ü ü | Юю | y/ju |
| V v | В в | v/w |
| Y y | Й й | j |
| Z z | 33 | z |

Table 1. Correspondences between the Latin and Cyrillic Crimean Tatar alphabets and the IPA

The sentence in (1) provides an example of how the Cyrillic and Latin scripts used for writing Crimean Tatar match the IPA. The IPA will be used throughout this book.
(1) bir kün Nasreddin oca eşegine minip yolğa çıqa бир кунь Насредин оджа эшегине минип йолгъа чыкъа bir kyn/kun ${ }^{j}$ nasredin odza efegine minip jolya tfuqa one day Nasreddin master his donkey got on to road leaves 'One day, Nasreddin Hojja got on his donkey and set off.'

### 1.2. The vowel system

The vowel inventory of the Central and Southern dialects of Crimean Tatar is similar to that of its sister West Kipchak languages, such as Kumyk, Karachay-Balkar, and Karaim, and to that of other Turkic languages, such as Turkish. The Central and Southern dialects of Crimean Tatar have a fully symmetrical vowel system with eight vowel qualities, with oppositions in height, backness, and rounding, as shown in (2). These oppositions are highly relevant to the phonological feature of vowel harmony that Crimean Tatar shares with most other Turkic languages.

## (2) Crimean Tatar vowels

|  | -back | tback |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -round | +round | -round |
|  | +round |  |  |
| +high | i | y | ur |
| -high | e | $\varnothing$ | u |

The minimal and near-minimal pairs in (3) exemplify these vocalic contrasts in Crimean Tatar: that of height in (3)a, that of backness in (3)b, and that of rounding in (3)c.
(3) Crimean Tatar vowels: minimal pairs

| a.bet 'face, page' bit | 'louse' |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dam | 'taste, roof' | dum | 'moisture, dampness' |
| boz | 'gray' | buz | 'ice' |
| søz | 'word' | -syz | 'without' |
|  |  | (cf. søzsyz 'without words, silently') |  |

b. tfin 'true, authentic' tfun 'tchin' (poetic genre)
syrek 'length, duration' suret 'appearance, picture'
dem 'moment, instant' dam
søk-mek 'to unstitch, unbraid' soq-maq
'taste, roof'
'to put in, stick in'
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. } & \text { siz } & \text { 'you pl.' } & \text {-syz } \\ \text { sek-sen } & \text { 'eighty' } & \text { 'without' } \\ & & \text { søk-mek } & \text { 'to unstitch, unbraid' }\end{array}$

| suq-maq | 'to press' | suq-lan-maq | 'to envy' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| saj | 'shallow' | soj | 'kin, type' |

In addition to the vowels in (2), one more phonemic high vowel is present in the Northern dialect. This dialect has phonemicized the difference between [i] and reduced [1], as supported by the (near-)minimal pairs in (4). The contrast between the two high front unrounded vowels is only found in monosyllabic words. In longer words, all high vowels reduce (or fully delete) in initial syllables, thus introducing shorter and more centralized allophones of [ y ur u ] into the system.
(4) kir 'mud' kır 'come in'
bit 'louse' biz 'we'
The spectrograms in (5) and (6) show the differences between the two front unrounded vowels in the Northern dialect. The formant values are listed in (7).
(5) A spectrogram of bit [bit] 'louse' in the Northern dialect

(6)

A spectrogram of $b l z$ [bis] 'we' in the Northern dialect

(7) Formant values for [i] and [1] in the Northern dialect

|  | $[\mathrm{i}]$ | $[1]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | 342 Hz | 467 Hz |
| F2 | 2331 Hz | 1502 Hz |
| F3 | 2796 Hz | 2549 Hz |

While the duration of these two vowels is approximately the same (the vowel in blz 'we' is slightly but not significantly longer than the vowel in bit 'louse'), the quality of the vowels is clearly different. Phonetically, the reduced [1] may not be different from the reduced [ m$]$, but they are phonologically distinct.

The $/ \mathrm{i} /-1 /$ / contrast described for the Northern dialect is arguably present in the Central (standard) dialect as well (Useinov, Mireyev, \& Sahaciyev 2005: 9). If present, this contrast is marginal and exists for only a few words.

The $/ \mathrm{i} /-/ \mathrm{u} /$ contrast is clearly present in all dialects of Crimean Tatar. This is supported by phonological alternations, such as siz-ler 'you (pl.)' vs. sun-lar 'superstitions.' However, high vowels in Crimean Tatar are extremely short and reduced, even in positions where they never delete (see section 1.5.2 for the discussion of high vowel deletion). Thus, $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{mu} /$ often sound quite similar, merging as [ I ]. The table in (8) lists the formants of high vowels in the past tense suffix -DY, which receives the [back] or [+back] feature by virtue of vowel harmony. In both cases, the word is pronounced by the same female speaker of the Central dialect. Both verbs are sentencefinal, with the vowels in question thus under stress. The duration of the high vowels is approximately 65 ms in each case. While [i] is acoustically slightly higher than [u], the vowels are very similar (cf. the dramatically different values of the F2 of an unreduced [i] in the Northern dialect in (7)).
(8) Formant values for [i] in ber-di 'he gave' and [ w$]$ in al-du 'he took.'

|  | berd[i] | ald[u] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 562 Hz | 466 Hz |
| F2 | 1656 Hz | 1603 Hz |
| F3 | 2651 Hz | 2952 Hz |

Crimean Tatar has a marginal phonemic vowel length distinction between short and long vowels /a/ and /a:/, /i/ and /i:/, and /u/ and /u:/ The long vowels occur only in words of foreign origin, e.g., dzemaat 'society,' raat 'peace, rest,' daa < daha 'more,' fiir 'poem,' fuur 'conscience,' zuur 'appearance' (in the source language, Arabic, these vowels are heterosyllabic). Such words are pronounced with either a single long vowel or two short vowels separated by a glottal stop in more careful and/or educated speech. Additionally, the process of compensatory lengthening creates a long [u:]: Crimean Tatar shows variation between the diphthongization of /uv/ to [uw] and the long vowel (historically, the monophthongization of the diphthong), as in (9)a. /v/ is not lost
following other vowels, as demonstrated in (9)b. The examples in (9)c show that [ v$]$ is sporadically lost intervocalically if followed by [u], without lengthening of either of the surrounding vowels. Word-initial and postconsonantal onset [v] is never lost, as in (9)d.

| a. Isuv/ | suw~su: | 'water' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /juvmaq/ | juwmaq ~ ju:maq | 'wash' |
| b. /avlanmaq/ | awlanmaq | 'hunt' |
| c. /davu// | dawuf ~ dauf | 'voice' |
| /suvuq/ | suwuq ~ suuq | 'cold' |
| d. /vifne/ | vifne | 'cherry' |
| /ajvan/ | ajvan | 'animal' |

There are also a few examples of long [i:] that results from the monophthonginzation of the diphthong [ij], as in kij- ~ ki:- 'wear,' papij ~ papi: 'duck.'

An example with a long vowel (slightly diphthongized towards the end) is shown on the spectrogram in (10). Note that the length of the [u] in 'water' is 277 ms , which is about twice as long as the regular short vowel in Crimean Tatar.
(10) A spectrogram of [su:] 'water'


### 1.3. The consonant system

### 1.3.1. The consonantal inventory of the Central dialect

The consonant system of the Central dialect of Crimean Tatar has 27 regular members, as shown in the table in (11).

## (11) Crimean Tatar consonants

|  | labial | dental-alveolar | palatal | velar | uvular |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| stops | pb | td |  | $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g}$ | q |
| nasals | m | n | g |  |  |
| fricatives | fv | szz | $\int$ | x f |  |
| affricates |  | rll |  |  |  |
| liquids <br> glides | w |  | $\mathrm{ff} \mathrm{d}_{3}$ |  |  |

Most consonants (except $w$ ) listed in the inventory in (11) have phonemic status in Crimean Tatar. There is also a marginally phonemic glottal stop, as in a borrowed $a^{\prime}$ i?ane 'tradition' (the palatalized $n^{j}$ is quite rare and occurs only in words of foreign origin as well) and occasionally in words like fu?ur 'conscience,' discussed in the preceding section. The status of $q$ will be discussed in section 1.3.1.1, the status of $w$ in section 1.5.1.4, and issues connected with the palatalization of the velar stop $k^{j}$, fricatives $s^{j}$ and $z^{j}$, and liquids $l^{j}$ and $r^{j}$ will be addressed in section 1.3.1.4.

### 1.3.1.1. Obstruents

### 1.3.1.1.1. Stops

Labial and coronal stops are contrastive in word-initial and word-medial positions (intervocalically, pre- and post-consonantally), as exemplified in (12). Word-finally, there is neutralization through word-final devoicing of voiced stops (the devoicing affects fricatives as well). Velar stops are also contrastive in word-initial and word-medial positions. The voiceless velar stop $k$ and the voiceless uvular stop $q$ alternate depending on backness harmony (see section 1.5.1.4), but there are also examples of $k$ in back harmony words, such as kok 'sky,' koj 'village,' kanar 'bag,' etc.
(12) Crimean Tatar stops

|  | Word-initial |  | Word-medial | Word-final |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p | parmaq 'finger' | intervocalic <br> preconsonantal <br> postconsonantal | apaj 'wife' <br> apte 'older sister' <br> arpa 'barley' | jip 'rope' |$|$| b | bas 'head' | intervocalic taban 'foot' <br> preconsonantal  <br> abla 'older sister'  <br> postconsonantal tedbir 'event' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | arab 'arab'


| t | tif | 'tooth' | intervocalic preconsonantal postconsonantal | tata 'sister' atmaq 'throw' altu 'six' | et 'meat' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d | deniz | 'sea' | intervocalic preconsonantal postconsonantal | adam 'man' tedbir 'event' qajda 'where' | ad 'name' |
| k | kitap | 'book' | intervocalic preconsonantal postconsonantal | eki 'two' tøkmek 'drop' keskin 'sharp' | tirsek 'elbow' |
| g | gedze | 'night' | intervocalic preconsonantal postconsonantal | tegiz 'flat' døgme 'button' ber-gen 'he gave' | øg 'front part' |
| q | qan | 'blood' | intervocalic preconsonantal postconsonantal | qoqumaq 'smell' qapaqlü 'unclear' arqa 'back' | qursaq 'stomach' |

The coronal stops $[\mathrm{t}]$ and $[\mathrm{d}]$ are pronounced with dental articulation.
Generally, the Crimean Tatar voiceless stops $/ \mathrm{p} /$, $/ \mathrm{t} /$, and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ are unaspirated plosives in all dialects. However, they show some (variable) degree of aspiration or frication when the following high vowel is lost, as in $t^{h} k y r m e k$ 'spit' (underlyingly, /tykyrmek/), $p^{h}$ firmek 'cook' /pifirmek/, or $t^{h} \int^{7} l^{3}$ emek 'bite' /tiflemek/, resulting in twoand even three-consonantal onsets. A spectrogram of the word $t^{h} k y r m e k$ 'spit,' pronounced by a speaker of the Southern dialect, is shown in (13).
(13) A spectrogram of thkyrmek 'spit'


Note the complete absence of the underlying high vowel in the first syllable and the fricated nature of the first consonant. The conditions upon which high vowel deletion occurs will be described in section 1.5.2.

### 1.3.1.1.2. Fricatives and affricates

Crimean Tatar has two labial fricatives /f/ and /v/, two alveolar fricatives $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{z} /$, a palatal fricative $/ \mathrm{S} /$, and two velar fricatives, $/ \mathrm{x} /$ and $/ \mathrm{\gamma} / . / \mathrm{x} /$ is often pronounced more back, as a uvular $/ \chi / . / \mathrm{v} /$ has an allophonic variant $/ \mathrm{w} /$, discussed in section 1.5.1.4. The velar fricative regularly alternates with the uvular stop, as in qursaq 'stomach' vs. qursayum 'my stomach.' Two affricates, $/ \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} 3 /$, are also present in the inventory.
(14) Examples of fricatives and affricates

|  | Word-initial | Word-medial | Word-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f | farq 'difference' | ufaq 'small' | raf 'shelf' |
| $v$ | ve 'and' | ajvan 'animal' | ev 'house' |
| s | sade 'simple' | nasul 'what kind' | ses 'voice' |
| z | zor 'difficult' | gyzel 'beautiful' | søz 'word' |
| J | Sej 'thing' | vifne 'cherry' | tif 'tooth' |
| x | xane 'room' | jax.ur 'good' | nik ${ }^{\text {jax }}$ 'marriage' |
| ts | tfabik 'fast' | sutfan 'mouse' | ats 'hungry' |
| d3 | dzevap 'response' | gedze 'night' | tad3 'crown' |

Additionally, several marginally phonemic fricatives and affricates, such as /ts/, $/ 3 /$, and $/ /^{\mathrm{j}} /$, are used only in a relatively small class of recent (mostly $20^{\text {th }}$ century) unassimilated Russian loans, exemplified in (15). Their phonemic status is established for younger speakers, but not in the speech of the older generation. In earlier assimilated loans these sounds changed to conform to the sound system of Crimean Tatar, as in (16).
(15) Recent loans from Russian

| Crimean Tatar | Russian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kantsert | kantsert | 'concert' |
| tsirk | tsirk | 'circus' |
| inzener | in3ener | 'engineer' |
| 3 urnal | 3urnal | 'journal' |
| ja $\int^{\text {jik }}$ | ja ${ }^{\text {jik }}$ | 'wooden box' |
| plaj ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | plas ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 'raincoat' |

## (16) Older loans from Russian

| Crimean Tatar <br> afiser | Russian <br> afitser | 'officer' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t 0 otki | Sotka | 'brush' |
| vurra | vrat $\int$ | 'doctor' |

### 1.3.1.2. Sonorants

Crimean Tatar has three nasals: bilabial $/ \mathrm{m} /$, dental $/ \mathrm{n} /$, and velar $/ \mathrm{g} /$. The velar nasal does not occur word-initially. Speakers of the Southern dialect show fronting of $/ \mathrm{y} /$ to $[\mathrm{n}]$, as in deniz 'sea' (cf. Central deŋiz), ken 'broad' (cf. Central ker).
(17) Examples of nasals

|  | Word-initial | Word-medial | Word-final |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m | mejdan 'square' | demir 'iron' | adam 'man' |
| n | nas 'people, nation' | bina 'building' | uzun 'long' |
| y | - | deniz 'sea' | key 'broad' |

The inventory includes three liquids: /r/, non-palatalized /1/, and palatalized $/ \mathrm{l} / /$ (phonemic palatalization will be further discussed in section 1.3.1.4). The Crimean Tatar rhotic is a trill. The non-palatalized lateral is light and shows allophonic palatalization that will also be discussed in section 1.3.1.4. The palatal glide $j$ is phonemic (cf. the minimal pair jol 'road,' ol- 'be'), and the labiovelar glide $w$ is an allophone of /v/ (see section 1.5.1.4).

### 1.3.1.3. Geminates

Monomorphemic geminates occur in Crimean Tatar, as the minimal pairs in (18)a and more examples in (18)b demonstrate, but they are not very frequent and mostly borrowed, while geminates that arise from morphological concatenation are quite common, as shown in (18)c.

| a. ama | 'such a' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ata |  |
| ad3ur |  |
| ola |  |$\quad$| 'ancestor' |
| :--- |
| b. pilgrim' |
| b.because of' |
| yaddab <br> murebbi <br> millij |
| feffaf |

c. at-ta 'on a horse'
horse-LOC

| unut-tum-m 'I forgot' |
| :--- |
| 'forget-PAST-1sG' |


| tut-tur-maq 'to cause to take' |
| :--- |
| hold-CAUS-INF |


| em-mek |
| :--- |
| suck-INF |


| jol-lar |
| :--- |
| road-PL |

### 1.3.1.4. Palatalization

Palatalization of consonants is allophonic in all dialects of Crimean Tatar: palatalized variants occur in the environment of front vowels. The following consonants have palatalized counterparts in Crimean Tatar: [p b m s z l k g]. [t d n] do not palatalize even allophonically, except in recent borrowings (e.g., lifttor 'elevator operator,' $n^{j} u r n b^{j} e r g$ 'Nurnberg' or sporadically, even in the speech of older speakers, as a contact phenomenon induced by Russian, a language with contrastive palatalization of almost all consonants). $/ \mathrm{l} /, / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{j}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{z} /, / \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{j}} /$ are considered to be different phonemes in a number of sketches of Crimean Tatar grammar written in Russian (e.g., Samoilovich 1916, Memetov 1993).

In most lexical items, non-palatalized and palatalized variants of $l, r, s$, and $z$ are in complementary distribution. For instance, [1] occurs in words with back vowels, as in (19)a, while $/ 1^{j} /$ surfaces in words with front vowels, as in (19)b. The examples in (19)c illustrate that $[1]$ in the plural suffix - $l A r$ alternates with $\left[\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ depending on the front or back harmony in the word. ${ }^{6}$

| a. jol | 'way' | altur | 'six' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. $\mathrm{kel}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | 'come' | $\mathrm{kyl}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | 'laugh' |
| c. al-du-lar | 'they took' | kel $^{\mathrm{j}}$-di- ${ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{er}$ | 'they came' |

There are, however, minimal and near-minimal pairs, as in (20), that appear to contrast plain $l, r$, and $z$, as in (20)a, and palatalized $l^{j}, r^{j}$, and $z^{j}$, as in (20)b, in stems with phoneticaly back vowels. The contrast is present in the Central and Northern dialects (the vowel is significantly fronter in the Southern dialect). As shown in (20)c, the stems with phonetically back vowel in the Central dialect start the front harmony domain.

[^3](20)
a. Central/Southern

| toz | 'dust' |
| :--- | :--- |
| bol | 'free' |
| or | 'harvest-IMP' |

b. Central
$k z^{j}$
bol ${ }^{j}$
or ${ }^{j}$
c. Central
kozi-ler
bol'-mek
or-mek

Southern
$\mathrm{k} \not \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{j}}$
bøl
ø ${ }^{j}$
'eye'7 ‘divide-IMP’
'braid-IMP'

The spectrograms in (21) and (22) show a minimal pair, a word with final nonpalatalized [s] and a word with final palatalized [ $\mathrm{s}^{j}$, as pronounced by a male speaker of the Central dialect.
(21) $[$ tos $]$ 'dust'

${ }^{7}$ The voiced fricative devoices word-finally, so phonetically the distinction in 'dust' vs. 'eye' is [s] vs. [ $s^{j}$ ]. There are no minimal pairs with non-final $s$ vs. $s^{j}$, but there is evidence that $s^{j}$ also behaves like $l^{j}, r^{j}$, and $z^{j}$ (cf. os $s^{j}$-mek 'to grow,' os ${ }^{j}$-tyr-mek 'to cause to grow').
(22) $\left[\mathrm{kos}^{\text {j}}\right] \quad$ 'eye'


The table in (23) lists the formant values at the midpoint and endpoint of the vowels in 'dust' and 'eye.' The vowels appear to be quite similar at the midpoint. Both vowels raise towards the endpoint, presumably because of the following alveolar, but the raising of the second formant of the [o] in [kos $\left.{ }^{j}\right]$ is greater towards the endpoint of the vowel, suggesting a possible interpretation that the fricatives in question are distinguished by palatalization.
(23) Formant values for [o] in toz 'dust' vs. $k o z$ ' 'eye'

| Midpoint |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{o}] \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{o}] \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{j}}$ |
| F1 | 490 Hz | 427 Hz |
| F2 | 1008 Hz | 1015 Hz |
| F3 | 2709 Hz | 2449 Hz |


| Endpoint |
| :--- |
| $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{o}] \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{o}] \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{j}}$.

Note, however, that most words with reported contrastive palatalization of consonants have front rounded vowels in the Southern dialect and in related Turkic languages, as the comparison with Turkish in (24) shows (baar' 'spring,' where the palatalized $r^{j}$ begins a front harmony domain, cf. baar-de 'in the spring,' ilkbahar in Turkish, bahor in Uzbek, appears to be an exception to this generalization). While the roots in (20)b have phonetically back vowels in them in the Central dialect (standard Crimean Tatar), they trigger harmony in the suffixes as if these were preceded by front vowels (25). Additionally, $[\mathrm{g}]$ and $[\mathrm{k}]$, which otherwise occur in words with front harmony, surface in Crimean Tatar words with the palatalized $\left[\mathrm{I}^{j}\right],\left[\mathrm{r}^{j}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{z}^{j}\right]$.

| Crimean Tatar | Turkish |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gul $^{\text {j }}$ | gyl | 'flower' |
| $\mathrm{koz}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | gøz | 'eye' |
| $\mathrm{kuz}^{\mathrm{j}}$ | gyz | 'fall' |

(25) | guij-ler | 'flower-PL' |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | kozi-ler |
| kuzi-ler | 'eye-PL' |
|  | 'fall-PL' |

On the basis of the data presented, we can hypothesize that the phonemicization of the palatalized allophones of $l, r, s$, and $z$ is under way in Crimean Tatar, but the contrast is not fully present yet. Note that Useinov, Mireev \& Sahadzhiev (2005) analyze the vowels in words in (24) as front (and we will continue transcribing them as front throught the book).

There are also a number of words where palatalized consonants $l^{j}$ and $k^{j}$ are followed by a back vowel [a], as shown in (26).

| [1] |  | [ $\mathrm{k}^{\text {j }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1akin | 'but' | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}}$ amil | 'perfect' |
| liazim | 'necessary' | $k^{\text {jayuut }}$ | 'paper' |
| liale | 'tulip' | tuk ${ }^{\text {jan }}$ | 'store' |
| iliad3 | 'medicine' | nik ${ }^{\text {jax }}$ | 'marriage' |
| seliam | 'hello' | $k^{\text {ja }}$... $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{a}$ | 'either ... or' |

All these words are borrowings, and many of them are disharmonic roots, such as l'akin 'but,' k'amil 'perfect,' etc. Note, however, that the vowel that follows a palatalized consonant triggers [+back] harmony if it is root final, e.g., iladz-lar 'medicines.' We would thus conclude that palatalized $l^{j}$ and $k^{j}$ are marginally phonemic in Crimean Tatar.

### 1.3.2. Dialectal variation and consonantal inventories

The consonant systems of the Southern and Northern dialects differ from the inventory in (11) in several ways. The dialects of Crimean Tatar exhibit a number of well-documented and pervasive correspondences.

The velar fricative $\gamma$ surfaces as $w$ in the Northern dialect, as exemplified in (27) (note the rounding of the high vowel after the labiovelar glide in 'mouth').

| Central <br> day | Southern <br> day | Northern <br> taw | 'mountain, forest' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ayuz | ayuz | awuz | 'mouth' |
| jaya | jaya | dzawa | 'rains' |

Word-initial $j$ in the Southern and Central dialects regularly corresponds to $d_{3}$ in the Northern dialect (28). ${ }^{8}$

[^4]| Central Southern Northern  <br> jol jol dzol 'road' <br> jer jer dzer 'place, land' <br> jat- jat- dzat- 'lie' <br> jip jip dzip 'thread'$\$$dip |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(29) shows the correspondences between back consonants in the three dialects. $q$ and $x$ have merged as $x$ in the Southern dialect. The Northern dialect exhibits sporadic hardening of $x$ to $q$, as in 'good,' and also sporadic spirantization of $q$ to $x$, as in bir xat $\int$ 'several,' axlumda 'to my mind' (see the Northern dialect text in section 5).

| Central qulaq | Southern xulax | Northern qulaq | 'ear' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| xalq | xalx | xalq | 'people' |
| quirmuzu | xurmuzu | quirmuzu | 'red' |
| jax $\int \mathrm{ur}$ | jax $\int \mathrm{mu}$ | jaqJur | 'good' |

The affricate $t$ S regularly becomes a fricative $\int$ in the Northern dialect, as illustrated in (30). The Northern dialect also exhibits some deaffrication of $d_{3}$, as in gedze $\sim$ geze 'night,' dzol $\sim 3 o l$ 'road.' Note that 3 is not a phoneme of Crimean Tatar and is otherwise found only in recent loans.

| Central | Southern | Northern |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tfetfek | tfetfek | Sefek | 'flower' |
| t falufmaq | t Jalufemaq | Salufmaq | work' |
| ut 5 | ytS | uf | three |

Comparisons in (31) illustrate correspondences between labial consonants in the dialects. $v$ before unrounded vowels is a Southern innovation, and $m$ sporadically occurring before rounded vowels is a Northern innovation.

| (31)Central Southern Northern <br> burun burun burum/murun | 'nose' |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bojun | bojun | mojun | 'neck' |  |
|  | bojnuz | bojnuz | mojnuz/mujnuz | 'horn' |
| bar | var | bar | 'is, present' |  |
|  | bermek | vermek | bermek | 'to give' |

The Central and Southern voiceless labio-dental fricative $f$ corresponds to voiceless labial stop $p$ in the Northern dialect, as shown in (32).
(32)

| Central | Southern | Northern |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| musafir | musafir | musapir | 'guest' |
| mafuze | mafuze | mapuze | 'Mafuze (name)' |

Finally, some Southern word-initial voiced stops $b, d, g$ have correspondent voiceless stops $p, t, k$ in the Central dialect and, occasionally, in the Northern dialect, as the cognates in (33) demonstrate. The correspondences are determined on a lexical basis.

| (33) | Central | Southern | Northern |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | parmaq | barmax | barmaq | 'finger' |
| bitmek | bitmek | pitmek | 'finish' |  |
| day | day | taw | 'mountain, forest' |  |
| ti | di | ti | 'tooth' |  |
| terek | derek | terek | 'tree' |  |
| koz' | gøz | koz $^{\text {j }}$ | 'eye' |  |

### 1.4. The prosodic structure of Crimean Tatar

### 1.4.1. Syllable templates

In the native vocabulary, complex onsets are not allowed, and complex codas obey the sonority sequencing principle: only codas of falling sonority in which the innermost member of the coda is a sonorant (a nasal or a liquid) and the outermost member is an obstruent (a stop, a fricative, or an affricate) are permitted by the phonotactics of Crimean Tatar. The syllable inventory of Crimean Tatar is exemplified in (34). Any consonant can occur in a monoconsonantal coda (note, however, that voiced stops and fricatives undergo devoicing word-finally), and any consonant but $\eta$ can be an onset. The last syllable type, with the coda consisting of two obstruents, is rare in Crimean Tatar and occurs in borrowings only.

| (34) | V | o | 'this' |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | CV | bu | 'this' |
|  | fu | 'that' |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (C)VC | at | 'horse' |  |
|  | iz | 'footstep' |  |
|  | toq | 'fed' |  |
|  | bal | 'honey' |  |
|  | bir | 'one' |  |


| (C)VSC | ant | 'oath' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ars.lan | 'lion' |
|  | art.qa | 'back (about the way)' |
|  | ajt | 'tell' |
|  | qart | 'old man' |
|  | dalv | 'Aquarius' |
|  | gent | 'young' |
| (C)VOO | baxs | 'topic, conversation' |
|  | baxt | 'happiness' |
|  | dost | 'friend' |

Some recent but nonetheless assimilated borrowings from Russian have complex onsets of rising sonority.

| gramota | 'reading and writing' |
| :--- | :--- |
| drama | 'drama' |
| klassifikatsija | 'classification' |

While the lexical phonology of Crimean Tatar does not allow complex onsets of either falling or equal sonority, initial high vowels and high vowels in the first syllable may also delete postlexically, creating illicit complex onsets, as illustrated in (36). Vowel deletion will be discussed further in section 1.5.2.

|  | Formal | Colloquial |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. | ifte | Ste | 'behold' |
|  | usta | sta | 'master' |
| b. | kitap | ktap | 'book' |
|  | tifim | tfim | 'my tooth' |

### 1.4.2. Cluster simplification

Complex codas of non-falling sonority are not tolerated by the phonotactics of Crimean Tatar. The last consonant in such a cluster is usually deleted in syllable-final position, as in (37)a, but kept before a vowel-initial suffix, as in (37)b; or when a vowel-initial word follows without a pause, as in (37)c. Note that the examples in (37)c show resyllabification across word boundaries and constitute evidence that consonant deletion in Crimean Tatar is a postlexical process.

> a. /dost/
> /t $\mathrm{fift} /$
> /rastkel ${ }^{\text {j mek/ raskel }}{ }^{\text {j mek }}$

'friend'<br>'pair'<br>'to meet'

| b. | /dost- $\mathrm{V}_{\text {[thigh] }} /$ <br> /t 5 ift- <br> [thigh] | dos.tu <br> t $5 i f . t i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| 'his friend' |
| :--- |
| 'his pair' |

As with many other Turkic languages including Turkish and Uzbek, Crimean Tatar exhibits high vowel/zero alternations in the morphologically derived environment of the double-sided open syllable (such as VCVCV $\rightarrow$ VCCV). In earlier assimilated borrowings from Arabic and Persian, codas of non-falling sonority were not tolerated, but the repair strategy was epenthesis rather than deletion. The illicit clusters were broken by a harmonizing high vowel that deletes in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ singular possessive.

| (38) | NOMINATIVE | 3sG.POSS | Arabic/Persian |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | devir | devri | davr | 'epoch' |
|  | fikir | fikri | fikr | 'thought' |
|  | nutuq | nutqu | nutq | 'speech' |
|  | baxurt | baxtur | baxt | 'happiness' |

Such alternations are synchronically active and are found in words of Turkic origin as well. In the stems in (39)a, the alternating vowel is arguably epenthetic since there are other stems of the same prosodic structure in which the vowel does not delete, as exemplified in (39)b.

| a.burun <br> bojun <br> 'nose' <br> ayuzz | burn-um $\sim$ burn-um <br> bojn-um $\sim$ bojn-um | 'my nose' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'my neck' |  |  |
| ayz-um |  |  |$\quad$| 'my mouth' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

We have already mentioned in section 1.4.1 that complex onsets are not tolerated in Crimean Tatar. In assimilated loan words, the strategy of accommodating word-initial consonant clusters differs from that of coda clusters and appears to be dependent on the sonority profile of the illicit cluster. A high vowel epenthesizes before the illicit cluster if it is of falling or equal sonority, as illustrated in (40)a, and it breaks up the cluster if it is of of rising sonority, as in (40)b. A few words that are counterexamples to this generalization are shown in (40)c (however, the first word was borrowed into Crimean Tatar as such, and the second word is an earlier borrowing from Greek). In (40)a and (40)b, the epenthetic vowel harmonizes with the vowel in the following syllable, suggesting that vowel harmony in Crimean Tatar is root-driven and bidirectional.
(40)


### 1.4.3. Stress

Each lexical word in Crimean Tatar has exactly one main stress. The default stress position is word-final, as illustrated in (41).

| a. arabá | 'cart' |
| :--- | :--- |
| araba-lár <br> cart-PL | 'carts' |
| araba-lar-dán <br> cart-PL-ABL | 'from carts' |
| b.bafla-dún-m <br> begin-PAST-1SG | 'I began' |
|  | baf-lar-umumz-nú <br> head-PL-1PL.POSS-ACC |
| c.kel-dí-m <br> come-PAST-1SG | 'I came' |
| kel-me-gé <br> come-INF-DAT | 'for the coming' |

Final stress is overridden by lexical stress in both roots and prestressing suffixes. Crimean Tatar prestressing suffixes include verbal person/number inflection in the present tense, listed in (42), as well as other verbal and adverbial suffixes and postpositions, listed in (43), and particles listed in (44).
(42) Verbal person/number inflection/Copula

|  | Singular |  | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - Im | 1 | -mIz |
| 2 | - -In | 2 | - -InIz |
| 3 | -DIr | 3 | -IAr |

(43) Imperative $2^{\text {nd }}$ person plural - InIz

Verbal $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ person plural -1 Ar
Negative
Adverbial
Reported speech
Reported speech (Southern)
Postposition 'with'
Postposition'for, because of'
(44) Question particle -mY
Imperative particle
-tSI
(45) lists some words with prestressing suffixes: examples of verbs with the $1^{\text {st }}$ singular present suffix are in (45)a, and some examples with prestressing adverbial suffixes are in (45)b. The suffix meaning 'in an X-like manner' is in (45)c, and the prestressing verbal negation suffix is illustrated in (45)d.
a. afár-umm
'I eat'
itfér-im
'I drink'
yorúr-um
'I beat'
b. gedzé-lejin 'at nights'
afuq-tfanlúq-nen 'in a hurry' basqá-dza
'differently'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { c. aná-dzasuna } & \text { 'in a motherly manner' } \\ \text { insán-dzasumna } & \text { 'in a human-like manner' } \\ \text { kopék-tfesine } & \text { 'in a dog-like manner' }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { d. } \begin{array}{ll}\text { kél } & \text { 'Come!' } \\ \text { bar-dú́ } & \text { 'He went' }\end{array} & \text { kél-me } & \text { bár-ma-duu } & \text { 'Don't come' } \\ \text { 'He didn't go' } \\ \text { bil-mék } & \text { 'to know' } & \text { bíl-me-mek } & \text { 'to not know' }\end{array}$

In recent borrowings from Russian, stress remains where it used to be in the source language, as shown in (46).

| tránsport | '(means of) transportation' |
| :--- | :--- |
| biológija | 'biology' |
| botánika | 'botany' |
| anténna | 'antenna' |
| antilópa | 'antelope' |

Some words that are not recent borrowings also have exceptional non-final stress, as examples in (47) show. Some of these words are older borrowings from Arabic, as in (47)a, and some are frozen expressions historically formed with the ablative suffix -DAn, as in (47)b. (47)c shows minimal pairs with respect to stress: in the first example in both cases, the stress is exceptionally on the first syllable; in the second example the stress is regular and word-final.


In compounds, stress usually falls on the last syllable of the first member of the compound, as in (48)a. If the first member of the compound is an exceptionally stressed word, the exceptional stress is maintained in the compound, as in (48)b. Sometimes, the first syllable of the compound is exceptionally stressed, even though the first member of it is not exceptional in isolation, as in (48)c.

| a.kulé-kule <br> azár-azar <br> amán-esen <br> gizlí-qapaqluu | 'laughing' <br> 'little by little' |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'healthy, safe, whole' |  |
| 'secret, unclear, dark' |  |

### 1.5. Phonological alternations

### 1.5.1. Vowel harmony

Crimean Tatar, as most Turkic languages, is a language with pervasive vowel harmony. Vowel harmony in Crimean Tatar is a combination of two kinds of assimilation processes: backness harmony and rounding harmony. We broadly define vowel harmony as the phenomenon whereby vowels in adjacent moras or syllables within a domain (e.g., the phonological word, the morphological word, or a smaller phonological or morphological domain) systematically agree with each other with regard to one or more features (for a discussion of vowel harmony, see Clements \& Sezer 1982, van der Hulst \& van de Weijer 1995, Krämer 2003, among many others). The domain of backness vowel harmony in Crimean Tatar is the phonological word, which consists of a root plus any number of suffixes. The domain of rounding harmony depends on the dialect; it can either span the phonological word or the first two syllables of the word (depending on the morphological structure of the word). Vowel harmony in roots is best expressed as a distributional generalization: only vowels that agree in a particular feature ([back] or [round]) are allowed in a specific root (although there are various exceptions to this generalization). Vowel harmony as a process is triggered by the initial vowel of the root and targets vowels in suffixes.

### 1.5.1.1. Backness harmony

Backness harmony is illustrated in (49) with the example of the infinitive suffix -mAK. The vowel in the suffix agrees in the feature [back] with the preceding vowel, high and non-high alike.

| bil-mek | 'know' | juv-maq | 'wash' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ket-mek | 'go' | qorq-maq | 'be afraid' |
| tySyn-mek | 'think' | quur-maq | 'rub' |
| tøk-mek | 'pour' | ajlan-maq | 'turn' |

Backness harmony is usually consistent in native words. However, there are both stems and suffixes that are exceptions to backness harmony. Only a handful of suffixes, e.g, deverbal -vuq, as in aylavuq 'cry-baby,' and the adjectival -ki, as in joldaki 'road (adj.),' evdeki 'home (adj.),' have non-alternating vowels. Many borrowings, such as taleke 'cart,' kitap 'book,' talil 'analysis,' xane 'room,' ebabil 'mountain swallow,' etc., have both front and back vowels in the root. When disharmonic roots are followed by suffixes, the suffixes harmonize with the last vowel in the root, as in kitab-um 'my book.'

There are also a few exceptions to backness harmony in suffixes, such as tasdiq-la-maq 'to confirm,' which has a back vowel in the suffixes while having a front vowel in the last syllable of the root, and saat-d3i 'watch-maker,' which has a front vowel in the
suffix and a back vowel in the root (cf. also saat-lar 'watches' (standard pronunciation) vs. saat-ler 'watches' (colloquial pronunciation)).

### 1.5.1.2. Rounding harmony

As in most Turkic languages, rounding harmony in Crimean Tatar is triggered by all rounded vowels, high and non-high, and targets only high vowels, as shown in (50).

| /dost-I/ <br> friend-POSs.3SG | [dostu] | 'his friend |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /tfift-I/ | [tfifti] | 'his pair' |
| pair-POSs.3sG |  |  |

Certain suffixes with high vowels are exceptions to rounding harmony. Among them are suffixes that obey backness harmony while being exceptions to rounding harmony. The exceptional suffixes are either always [-round] (for instance, the past tense suffix - DY, as in tyf-ti 'he fell,' the accusative suffix -nY, as in dost-nu 'friend-ACC,' or the genitive suffix - $n Y \eta$, as in dost-nuy 'friend-GEN') or always [+round] (e.g., the deverbal noun-forming suffix $-U v$, as in kel-yv 'coming,' okut-uv 'teaching'). The deverbal noun-forming suffix $-v u q$ is invariant with respect to both backness and rounding harmonies.

Although rounding harmony is preserved in the Southern dialect, the Central and Northern dialects of Crimean Tatar have, to varying degrees, undergone the loss of rounding harmony. In the Central dialect, vowels are unrounded everywhere except in the first two syllables of the word. For a discussion of dialectal variation with respect to vowel harmony, see section 1.5.1.3.

### 1.5.1.3. Dialectal variation and rounding harmony

In general, Crimean Tatar vowel harmony is highly reminiscent of harmony in other Turkic languages, most notably, Turkish. In some respects, however, Crimean Tatar rounding harmony is different from other Turkic languages and varies among the dialects of Crimean Tatar as well. Whereas in the Southern dialect the domain of the application of rounding harmony is the phonological word, in the Central dialect rounding harmony is more restricted: it is only active in the first two syllables of a word, as illustrated in (51). When a suffix with a high vowel is added to a monosyllabic stem, as in (51)a, its vowel agrees with the vowel of the stem in both backness in rounding. When such a suffix is added to a polysyllabic stem, rounding harmony does not target the vowel in the suffix, as illustrated in (51)b.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } \begin{array}{ll}
\text { dost-um } \\
\text { kyz-lyk }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 'friend-1SG.POSS' } \\
\text { bul-un-maq }
\end{array}  \tag{5}\\
\text { 'autumn-ADJ.SUF' } \\
\text { b. find-PASS-INF' }
\end{array}
$$

In the Northern dialect, rounding harmony is lost not only in suffixes, but even as a distributional generalization, as shown in (52): some stem vowels undergo unrounding, an extreme case of which is illustrated in the word 'beets.' However, there is variation with respect to such unrounding: a speaker of the Northern dialect born in 1935 pronounces the word 'neck' as bojun, while a speaker related to the first one but born in 1957 pronounces this word as bojun, with rounding harmony. ${ }^{9}$

| (52) | Southern | Central | Northern |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | burun | burun | burum | 'nose' |
|  | bojun | bojun | bojun | 'neck' |
|  | xuruluf | quruluf | qurulus | 'building, construction' |
|  | tuzlux | tuzluq | tuzluq | 'salt shaker' |
|  | t ykyndyr | t ykyndir | Sungguldur | 'beets' |

In addition, there is optional unrounding of the high vowel $u$ in the first syllable in the Northern dialect, as shown in (53).

| bulamuq ~ bulamuq | 'a type of cereal' |
| :--- | :--- |
| t fubaluq $\sim$ t wubaluq | 'entangled' |
| burun $\sim$ burum | 'nose' |

We have thus established that rounding harmony is most pervasive in the South and least pervasive in the North. In the Southern dialect, harmony applies across the board; no unrounding of high vowels is attested. In the Central dialect, rounding is licensed only in the first two syllables of the word (and colloquially often only in the first syllable, e.g., doquz ~ doquz 'nine' for many speakers born in Bakhchisaray, young and old. In the Northern dialect, the loss of rounding harmony in non-initial syllables is not optional, and rounding of high vowels is contrastive solely in the first syllable of the word.

[^5]
### 1.5.1.4. Consonants and vowel harmony

Some consonants in Crimean Tatar have preferential occurrence in stems with respect to vowel harmony. For instance, $[\mathrm{v}],[\mathrm{k}]$, and $[\mathrm{g}]$ mostly occur in words with front harmony, and $[\mathrm{w}]$, [ q$]$, and $[\mathrm{y}]$ mostly occur in words with back harmony, as exemplified in (54).
[-back]
[+back]

| a. | sevintS <br> devlet | 'joy' <br> 'country' | suwarmaq <br> patfawra | 'to water' <br> b. rag' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | bilmek | 'to know' | juwmaq | 'to wash' |
| c. | bilegi | 'his wrist' | qulayu | 'his ear' |

The examples in (54) show that [ v ] and $[\mathrm{w}]$ are in complementary distribution in the postvocalic environment, while (55) demonstrates that word-initially and postconsonantally, only [v] occurs.

| vijne | 'cherry' | kervan | 'caravan' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vaquot | 'time' | jalvarmaq | 'to ask, to beg' |
| ve | 'and' |  |  |

Note that it is possible for $v$ to surface between front and back vowels in words that do not obey backness harmony, as in (56). We can thus conclude that $w$ occurs only postvocalically and in words with back harmony, and $v$ is found elsewhere.

| aves | 'wish, interest' |
| :--- | :--- |
| sevap | 'retribution' |
| divar | 'wall' |

### 1.5.2. Vowel deletion

All dialects of Crimean Tatar exhibit optional syncope both in suffixes, as in (57), and in stems, as in (58). Only high vowels undergo syncope; non-high vowels never delete. There is a clear phonetic reason for this asymmetry: high vowels are intrinsically shorter than non-high vowels. The syncope is gradual and depends on the rate of speech: in careful or read speech, the vowels in question get reduced (shortened and possibly centralized), but do not disappear completely, whereas they are fully deleted in colloquial or fast speech ([episi $\sim$ epısi $\sim \mathrm{ep}^{\text {I }}$ si $\sim$ epsi] are all possible pronunciations of the word /ep-i-si/ 'all').
al-duu-lar [ald.lar] 'they took'
take-PAST-PL

|  | kel-di-ler come-PAST-PL | [keld.ler] | 'they came' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tøk-ty-ler drop-PAST-PL | [tøkt.ler] | 'they dropped' |
|  |  | [ep.si] | 'all of them' |
|  | all-3SG.POSS-3SG.POSS |  |  |
|  | ep-i-miz | [ep.miz] | 'all of us' |
| (58) | all-3SG.POSS-1PL |  |  |
|  | ketir-ip <br> bring-CONV | [ket.rip] | 'having brought' |
|  | otur-a <br> sit-3sG | [ot.ra] | 's/he sits' |
|  | unut-tur-m <br> forget-PAST-1SG | [unt.tum] | 'I forgot' |

The deletion of high vowels is most prominent in initial syllables. This kind of deletion is illustrated in (59). If there are two high vowels in a word that are eligible to delete, the vowel in the initial syllable will undergo deletion, as demonstrated by the word tyfyrdik [tJyrdik] 'we lowered (it). The deletion of high vowels in initial syllables does not respect the phonotactics of Crimean Tatar, creating complex onsets. Note that high vowels flanked by voiceless consonants (e.g. [i] in [kitap] 'book') are more prone to delete than high vowels flanked by voiced consonants.

| bilemen | [blemen] | 'I know (Northern)' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kitap | [ktap] | 'book' |
| tifim | [tfim] | 'my tooth' |
| suvuq | [svuq] | 'cold' |
| d3yrek | [d3rek] | 'heart' (Northern) |
| ty dyrdik $^{\text {d }}$ | [tfyrdik] | 'we lowered (it)' |

Vowels do not delete under stress (word-finally before a pause), either in the absolute word-final position, as in (60)a, or between non-identical consonants, as in (60)b.
a. ber-dí [berdí] 'he/she gave'
give-PAST
ajt-tú
say-PAST $\quad$ [ajttú] $\quad$ 'he/she said' $\quad$ [ajtt]
b. ket-tí-k [kettík] 'they left' *[kettk]
leave-PAST-PL

However, high vowels between two identical consonants in suffixes are regularly deleted, followed by the degemination of the resulting geminate, as can be seen in the comparison of (61)a and (61)b. This deletion affects word-final stressed vowels and causes stress shift, as in (61)c. The example in (61)d shows that high vowels do not delete under the same conditions if the flanking consonants are not identical.

| a. jan-un-da side-3sG.POSS-LOC | [janda] | 'next to him' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. jan-um-da | [janumda] | 'next to me' |
| side-1SG.POSS-LOC | *[janmda] |  |
| c. jap-up | [jap] | 'having done' |
| d. al-up | [alup] | 'having taken' |
| take-CONV | *[alp] |  |

The syncope of high vowels is a postlexical phenomenon: it depends on the rate of speech, native speakers are usually not aware of it, and it can apply across word boundaries, as shown in (62). Were the forms in (62) separate prosodic words, their last vowels would be phrase-final and thus would not syncopate.


An important question is whether the high vowel/zero alternations described in this section are due to syncope rather than epenthesis. An argument for the former possibility is provided by the fact that at least some of the high vowels that delete in Crimean Tatar are etymological. For example, there is no reason to believe that high vowels in the second syllable in otur- 'sit' or unut- 'forget' are synchronically epenthetic. In otur-, the second vowel is present in the eight languages used for comparison by Öztopçu at al. (1996), so it is reconstructable to Proto-Turkic. In unut-, only Uighur lacks
the second vowel in the root, but since Uighur has innovated many vowel deletions, we can reconstruct this vowel as well.

| Azerbaijani | 'sit' <br> otur- | 'forget' <br> unut- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kazakh | otur- | umut- |
| Kyrgyz | otur- | onut- |
| Kazan Tatar | utur- | unut- |
| Turkish | otur- | unut- |
| Turkmen | otur- | unut- |
| Uighur | oltur- <br> øtir- | untu- |
| Uzbek |  |  |

Vowels in CV suffixes are also etymological. For example, the past tense suffix $D Y$ is a cognate with the Turkish definite past tense suffix $-D I$ and the past copula idi 'was' (Johanson 1998:113), so the postconsonantal high vowel appears to be reconstructable to Old Turkic. Suffixes of the -VC type are more problematic. Underhill (1976) interprets vowel/zero alternations in such suffixes as epenthesis, but even if we were to treat the vowel that appears after a consonantal stem as an instance of lexical epenthesis, it can still be analyzed as epenthesis followed by deletion postlexically.

### 1.5.3. Consonantal alternations

### 1.5.3.1. Voicing alternations

The stops and fricatives of Crimean Tatar exhibit three types of behavior with respect to voicing alternations. The first type is illustrated in (64)a: these are non-alternating voiceless consonants that surface as such word-finally, as in the nominative case forms, intervocalically, as in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ singular possessive forms, and pre-consonantally, triggering progressive voicing assimilation, as in the locative forms.

The forms in (64)b exemplify the second type of behavior: the consonants are voiceless word-finally and voiced intervocalically and pre-consonantally, triggering progressive voicing assimilation. Progressive voicing assimilation is illustrated with the example of the locative suffix -DA. The consonant in the suffix surfaces as voiceless after voiceless obstruents and as voiced after all other consonants and vowels. Among the suffixes that undergo progressive voicing assimilation are the dative case suffix $-K A$, the denominal noun-forming suffix -TJI, the past tense suffix $-D Y$, and many others. In the diminutive suffix $-t \int I q$, the adjectival suffix $-t \int A n$, the second singular -sIy, the privative $s I z$, and many others, initial consonants are invariably voiceless and do not undergo voicing assimilation after preceding voiced consonants, as in quztfuq 'little girl,' søzsyz 'wordless.'

The third type in (64)c shows obstruents that are voiceless word-finally and preconsonantally, but voiced intervocalically. Examples in (64)d illustrate that after sonorant- and vowel-final stems, alternating consonants in suffixes are always voiced.
(64) Voicing alternations

NOMINATIVE 3sG.pOSS LOCATIVE

| a. | tys | tysy | tys-te | 'color' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bas | bajur | baf-ta | 'head' |
|  | tif | tiji | tif-te | 'tooth' |
|  | at | atur | at-ta | 'horse' |
| b. | qas | qazu | qaz-da | 'goose' |
|  | ut 5 | ud3u | ud3-da | 'end' |
|  | garas | garazu | garaz-da | 'garage' |
|  | kys | kyzy | kyz-de | 'fall' |
|  | at | adur | ad-da | 'name' |
| c. | typ | tyby | typ-te | 'bottom' |
|  | kitap | kitabur | kitap-ta | 'book' |
|  | bilek | bilegi | bilek-te | 'wrist' |
|  | quaq | qulayu | qulaq-ta | 'ear' |
| d. | koj araba | koju arabasu | koj-da araba-da | 'village' 'cart' |

Alternations similar to those in (64) are described for Turkish. A possible analysis of these would be either to specify the obstruents in (64)a as [-voice], the obstruents in (64)b as [+voice] (with word-final devoicing), and the obstruents in (64)c as underspecified for voicing with a feature-filling rule (or an analogous constraint) for intervocalic voicing, or to mark the forms in (64)c as exceptions (see Inkelas \& Orgun 1995).

### 1.5.3.2. Progressive nasal assimilation

$l$ in suffixes is the target of progressive nasal assimilation in fast (colloquial) speech, as illustrated in (65). All nasals (labials, coronals, and velars alike) trigger the assimilation.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ml} \rightarrow \mathrm{mn}  \tag{65}\\
& \text { /adam-lar/ } \\
& \text { man-PL }
\end{align*} \text { adamnar } \quad \text { 'men' }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{nl} \rightarrow \mathrm{nn} \\
& \text { /tfoban-lar/ tfobannar 'shepherds' } \\
& \text { shepherd-PL } \\
& \eta \mathrm{l} \rightarrow \mathrm{\eta n} \\
& \text { /ay-1A-mAK/ aynamaq 'to understand' }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Morphology

The inflectional morphology of Crimean Tatar is exclusively suffixing and agglutinating. Derivational morphology makes use of both suffixation and compounding. Crimean Tatar morphology bears strong similarities to that of related West Kipchak languages and, more distantly, to that of Turkish. Oghuz elements are pervasive in the morphology of the Southern dialect of Crimean Tatar.

In the following sections, we will refer to bases (either simple or complex) to which suffixes can attach as stems.

### 2.1. Morphophonology: suffixes

Many suffixes in Crimean Tatar have alternating vowels and consonants. Consonantal alternations involve the voicing and/or continuancy of suffix-initial consonants, and vocalic alternations depend on the harmonic status of the stem. The alternating status of consonants and vowels will be represented by capital letters, and consonants and vowels that alternate with zero will be indicated in parentheses.

### 2.1.1. Vowel harmony

Vowels in suffixes undergo backness and rounding harmony as described in sections 1.5.1.1 and 1.5.1.2, respectively. In the following sections, non-high vowels that undergo backness harmony only and alternate between [a] and [e] will be represented as A. High vowels that undergo both backness and rounding harmony and thus alternate between [i], $[y],[\mathrm{m}]$, and $[\mathrm{u}]$ will be represented as I. Additionally, there are high vowels in suffixes that are always [-round], alternating between [i] and [w], and, rarely, always [+round], alternating between $[\mathrm{u}]$ and $[\mathrm{y}]$. The former will be represented as Y , and the latter as U .

### 2.1.2. Consonantal alternations

Some suffixes begin with alternating voiced/voiceless stops, such as $t / d$ (e.g., the locative suffix -DA), and affricates, such as $t / / d 3$ (e.g., the occupational suffix $-T / f$ ). There are also consonants in suffixes with a four-way alternation that involves voicing, continuancy, and place of articulation, such as $k-q / g-\gamma$ (e.g., the dative suffix $-K A$ ). The voicing of the alternating initial consonant depends on the voicing of the preceding segment: it is voiceless after voiceless obstruents and voiced otherwise. Additionally, the consonant pairs $q / k$ and $\gamma / g$ alternate in suffixes according to the harmonic status of the stem: [q] and $[\mathrm{y}]$ only occur in back harmony words, and $[\mathrm{k}]$ and $[\mathrm{g}]$ in front harmony words. There are suffixes in which the alternation if only limited to the voicing of velar stops, as in $k / g$. The alternating $q / k / \gamma / g$ will be represented as K, the alternating $k / g$ as G , the alternating $t / d$ as D , and the alternating $t / / d 3$ as $\mathrm{T} \int$.

### 2.1.3. Vowel-zero and consonant-zero alternations

In general, Crimean Tatar phonotactics does not favor vowel hiatus. Therefore, there are many suffixes that have two allomorphs: the allomorph that attaches to vowel-final stems begins with a consonant, and the allomorph that attaches to consonant-final stems begins with a vowel, as exemplified by the $1^{\text {st }}$ person possessive suffix -(I) $m$ in (66)a, the ordinal numeral-forming suffix -(I)nd $3 I$ in (66)b, the reciprocal voice suffix -(I) $\int$ in (66)c, and many others.

| (66) | a. | ev-im | 'my house' | bala-m | 'my child' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | b. bir-indzi | 'first' | eki-ndzi | 'second' |  |
|  | c. | tap-mf-maq | 'to find each other' | ayla- $\int-$ maq | 'to cry together' |

Suffixes that begin with a consonant attach to stems directly, as in ev-ler 'houses' and bala-lar 'children.' However, there are a few exceptions to this generalization. First, in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person possessive suffix $-(s) I$ the initial $s$ deletes after a consonant-final stem, as in (67)a. The distributive suffix -(f)Ar begins with a deletable $\delta$, as in (67)b, and the nominalizing suffix -(j)IJ contains a deletable initial $j$, as in (67)c.

| a. bala-sw | 'his child' | ev-i | 'his house' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. eki-Ser | 'two each' | on-ar | 'ten each' |
| c. jafa-juf | 'the way of life' | kir-i | 'entrance' |

### 2.2. Nominal morphology

Under nominal morphology, we discuss five word classes: nouns, pronouns, adjectives, numerals, and adverbs. Crimean Tatar nouns and pronouns inflect for number,
possession, and case. Grammatical gender is absent from the languages of the Turkic family, including Crimean Tatar. The only number suffix is the plural marker - $\operatorname{lAr}$ (the singular is not expressed through an overt marker). Possessive suffixes mark the person and number of the possessor. As illustrated in (68), the suffixes appear in the order number-possession-case.

> køz-ler-im-den
> eye-PL-1SG.POSS-ABL
> 'from my eyes'

Forms marked for number, possession, and case can also combine with the predicate markers (see section 2.3.1) to form predicates, as in (69).

> ev-ler-i-nde-ler
house-PL-3SG.POSS-LOC-COP.3PL
'They are in their houses.'

### 2.2.1. Nouns

### 2.2.1.1. Inflectional categories

### 2.2.1.1.1. Number

Most Crimean Tatar nouns distinguish singular from plural number morphologically. As shown in (70), the plural is formed by adding the suffix $-l A r$ to the singular stem. The vowel in the plural suffix agrees in backness with the preceding vowel. The singular is not marked.
(70) Singular vs. plural

| araba-lar | 'cart-PL' |
| :--- | :---: |
| baf-lar | 'head-PL' |
| jip-ler | 'string-PL' |

Mass nouns used in the plural denote the abundance of types, e.g., suv-lar 'waters,' mejva-lar 'fruits,' merekep-ler 'types of ink,' jay-lar 'types of butter.' The plural suffix is not used if plurality is otherwise marked, when a noun is preceded by an adverb of quantity, e.g. tJoq alma 'many apples,' when plurality is marked by a possessive suffix (see examples in the next subsection), and when a noun is preceded by a numeral (see section 2.2.3). In cases where the plural is used with an adverb of quantity, it denotes types of objects, as in tJoq alma-lar 'many kinds of apples.' The plural suffix
can also denote respect rather than plurality, as in osanov-lar kel-di-(ler) 'Osanov came,' with the meaning that one person of the last name Osanov came by.

### 2.2.1.1.2. Possession

Possession is expressed by the suffixes in (71). Vowels in the possessive suffixes harmonize with preceding vowels in backness and rounding (see section 1.5.1.4 for a discussion of rounding harmony in different dialects). Examples of words with possessive suffixes are given in (72).
(71) Possessive suffixes

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -(I)m | -(I)mIz |
| 2 | -(I) $\mathfrak{m}$ | -(I)ŋIz |
| 3 | -(s)I | -(lar)-(s)I |

(72) Possessive suffixes: examples
a. Singular possessed noun

Singular
bala-m 'my child' ev-im 'my house'

2 bala- y 'your child' ev-it 'your house'

3 bala-su "his/her child' ev-i 'his/her house'
b. Plural possessed noun

1 bala-lar-um 'my children' ev-ler-im 'my houses'

2 bala-lar-um 'your children' ev-ler-in 'your houses'

3 bala-lar-wu 'his/her children' ev-ler-i 'his/her houses'

Plural
bala-muz 'our child' ev-imiz 'our house'
bala-ŋuz 'your child' ev-iniz 'your house'
bala-su 'their child' ev-i 'their house'
bala-lar-ummuz 'our children' ev-ler-imiz 'our houses'
bala-lar-uyuz 'your children' ev-ler-iniz 'your houses'
bala-lar-w 'their children' ev-ler-i 'their houses'

A high vowel surfaces between consonant-final stems and possessive suffixes in the first and second person in both the singular and plural. In the third singular and plural, $/ \mathrm{s} /$ deletes when the preceding stem ends in a consonant. The plural suffix $-l A r$ does not surface before the $3^{\text {rd }}$ plural possessive if the possessed is in the singular, e.g., bala-suu 'his/her child, their child.' If the possessed is in the plural, only one -lAr, denoting the plurality of either the possessor or the possessed, is overt, e.g., bala-lar- $u$ means 'his children' and 'their children.' Both bala-lar- $u$ and bala-su can mean 'their children' distributively, if the reference is to several people each having one child.

Nouns in the possessive form can be optionally preceded by possessive pronouns (see section 2.2.2), as in siziy balanuz or balaŋuz 'your child.'

Possessive suffixes are used either in possessive constructions N-GEN + N-POSs, such as Seer-niy merkez-i 'the center of town (town-GEN center-3sG.poss),' or in attributive constructions $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$-poss, such as teri tfanta-su 'leather bag-3sG.POSs,' alma furas-u 'apple juice-3sg.poss.' The $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}$-poss constructions are often used for expressing geographical terms, such as aqjar Seer-i 'the city of Sevastopol,' dzurdzur $u t f a n s u v-u$ 'the waterfall of Jurjur.'

### 2.2.1.1.3. Case

There are six cases in Crimean Tatar. The case suffixes are given in (73). The nominative case is always phonologically null. The nominative marks the subject of the sentence, and a form that is identical to the nominative is used for the vocative. The accusative marks the direct object, the genitive denotes possession, the dative denotes the indirect object and motion towards a destination, the locative denotes location, and the ablative denotes the place of origin or point of departure. The case suffixes follow the plural suffix -lAr and the possessive suffixes.
(73) Case suffixes

| Nominative | null |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accusative | -nY |
| Genitive | -nYn |
| Dative | -KA |
| Locative | -DA |
| Ablative | -DAn |

The two types of declension in Crimean Tatar, non-possessive and possessive, are exemplified in (74) and (75), respectively, using the vowel-final [+back] harmony stem bala 'child,' and consonant-final stems ev 'house' for [-back] harmony and dost 'friend' for (the marginal) [+round] harmony. The differences between the non-possessive and possessive declensions are apparent in the dative singular, where the consonant of the case suffix drops out, and also in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person in the dative, locative, and ablative, where an epenthetic $n$ appears.
(74) Examples: Non-possessive declension

| Singular | Nominative | bala 'child' | ev 'house' | dost 'friend' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Accusative | bala-nul | ev-ni | dost-nul |
|  | Genitive | bala-nü | ev-niy | dost-nuly |
|  | Dative | bala-ya | ev-ge | dost-qa |
|  | Locative | bala-da | ev-de | dost-ta |
|  | Ablative | bala-dan | ev-den | dost-tan |


| Plural | Nominative | bala-lar | ev-ler | dost-lar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Accusative | bala-lar-nu | ev-ler-ni | dost-lar-nu |
|  | Genitive | bala-lar-numy | ev-ler-niy | dost-lar-num |
|  | Dative | bala-lar-ya | ev-ler-ge | dost-lar-ya |
|  | Locative | bala-lar-da | ev-ler-de | dost-lar-da |
|  | Ablative | bala-lar-dan | ev-ler-den | dost-lar-dan |

(75) Examples: Possessive declension
a. Singular possessed noun

| 1sg | Nominative | bala-m <br> 'my child' | ev-im <br> 'my house' | dost-um 'my friend' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accusative | bala-m-nuu | ev-im-ni | dost-um-nut |
|  | Genitive | bala-m-num | ev-im-nig | dost-um-num |
|  | Dative | bala-m-a | ev-im-e | dost-um-a |
|  | Locative | bala-m-da | ev-im-de | dost-um-da |
|  | Ablative | bala-m-dan | ev-im-den | dost-um-da |


| 2sg | Nominative | bala-ŋ <br> 'your child' | ev -iy <br> 'your house' | dost-uy 'your friend' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accusative | bala-n-nu | ev-ij-ni | dost-uj-nuu |
|  | Genitive | bala-y-nuy | ev-ij-niy | dost-uy-nuy |
|  | Dative | bala-y-a | ev-iy-e | dost-uy-a |
|  | Locative | bala-y-da | ev-ij-de | dost-uj-da |
|  | Ablative | bala-y-dan | ev-iy-den | dost-uy-dan |


| 3sg | Nominative | bala-su <br> 'his child' | ev-i <br> 'his house' | dost-u 'his friend' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accusative | bala-su-nuu | ev-i-ni | dost-u-nu |
|  | Genitive | bala-sum-num | ev-i-niy | dost-u-num |
|  | Dative | bala-sum-na | ev-i-ne | dost-u-na |
|  | Locative | bala-su-nda | ev-i-nde | dost-u-nda |
|  | Ablative | bala-sur-ndan | ev-i-nden | dost-u-nda |

b. Plural possessed noun

| 1sg | Nominative | bala-muz <br> 'our child’ | ev-miz <br> 'our house' | dost-muz <br> 'our friend' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accusative | bala-muz-nu | ev-imiz-ni | dost-umuz-nu |
|  | Genitive | bala-muz-nuy | ev-imiz-niy | dost-umuz-nuy |
|  | Dative | bala-muz-ya | ev-imiz-ge | dost-umuz-ya |
|  | Locative | bala-muz-da | ev-imiz-de | dost-umuz-da |
|  | Ablative | bala-muz-dan | ev-imiz-den | dost-umuz-da |


| 2sg | Nominative | bala-yuz 'your child' | ev-iniz <br> 'your house' | dost-ujuz 'your friend' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accusative | bala-ŋuz-nu | ev-ijiz-ni | dost-ujuz-nu |
|  | Genitive | bala-yuz-nuy | ev-iniz-ni引 | dost-upuz-nuy |
|  | Dative | bala-ıuız-ya | ev-itiz-ge | dost-ujuz-ga |
|  | Locative | bala-yuz-da | ev-itiz-de | dost-ujuz-da |
|  | Ablative | bala-ŋuz-dan | ev-iniz-den | dost-uymz-dan |


| 3sg | Nominative | bala-sur <br> 'their child' | ev-i <br> 'their house' | dost-u <br> 'their friend' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Accusative | bala-su-nü | ev-i-ni | dost-u-nuu |
| Genitive | bala-su-num | ev-i-niy | dost-u-num |  |
|  | Dative | bala-su-na | ev-i-ne | dost-u-na |
|  | Locative | bala-su-nda | ev-i-nde | dost-u-nda |
|  | Ablative | bala-su-ndan | ev-i-nden | dost-u-ndan |

### 2.2.1.2. Derivation of nouns

### 2.2.1.2.1. Denominal suffixes

The examples in (76) illustrate some of the most common denominal suffixes in Crimean Tatar. These suffixes attach to nominal bases to form other nominals.
(76) Denominal noun-forming suffixes

| Suffix | Notes on meaning | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - dAS | Indicates belonging to the group X, <br> sharing an attribute with another <br> possessor. | jaf-daS 'one of the same age' <br> age-SUF |
| koj-de 'one from the same village' <br> village-SUF |  |  |


| $-k^{j}$, -kir | Forms nouns that indicate a person associated with the property X or inclined to the property $\mathbf{X}$. | aves-k'ar 'fan, amateur' passion-SUF <br> if-kir 'hard worker' work-SUF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -IIK | Forms abstract nouns from nouns, pronouns, adjectives, and numerals. | dost-luq 'friendship' friend-SUF <br> bir-lik 'unity' one-SUF <br> men-lik 'pride, personal dignity' I-SUF <br> t foq-luq 'majority' many-SUF <br> serbest-lik 'freedom' free-SUF |
| -f(w)nas | Indicates a person who does X , one who is a specialist in X . | til-furnas 'linguist' tongue-SUF edebijat-Sunas 'philologist' literature-SUF |
| -TJI | Indicates a person who does $\mathbf{X}$, one who is a specialist in $\mathbf{X}$. | kure -t fi 'wrestler' wrestle-SUF <br> til-d3i ‘linguist’ tongue-SUF <br> araba-d3u 'driver' cart-SUF <br> jol-d3u 'companion' road-SUF |
| -t. YK | Forms diminutives. | buz-tfuq 'a piece of ice' ice-SUF |

## jemif-t jik 'little berry' <br> berry-SUF

If the root ends in $k$ or $q$, the final consonant deletes before the diminutive suffix, as in kope-tfik (cf. kopek 'dog'), mufuu-tfuq (cf. mufsuq 'cat'), but not before other suffixes in the same phonological environment, as in baluq 'fish,' baluq-tfu 'fisherman.'

### 2.2.1.2.2. Deverbal suffixes

Examples of deverbal suffixes that attach to verb bases to form nouns are in (77).
(77) Deverbal noun-forming suffixes

| Suffix | Notes on meaning | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -mA | Indicates the result of doing X . | afurq-ma 'hurry' hurry-SUF <br> bur-ma 'a dish, a wrap' roll-SUF |
| -KI | Indicates the instrument with which the action X is done or the result of the action X . | sar-үu 'wrap, bandage' wrap-SUF <br> bil-gi 'knowledge' know-SUF |
| -KIt 5 | Denotes a utility for doing X . | otur-yuuts 'bench' sit-SUF <br> as-quuts 'coat-hanger, rack' hang-SUF <br> tut-qut 'holder, handle' hold-SUF |
| -(T)m | Forms nouns and adjectives. | tut-um 'handful' hold-SUF <br> bitf-im 'cut, style' cut-SUF |


|  |  | bøl-ym 'division' divide-SUF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -(I)ntY | Forms nouns. | kur-untur 'intention, aim' make a plan-SUF <br> serp-inti 'splash, splutter' pulverize-SUF |
| -(j)IS | Forms verbal nouns. | jafa-jus 'the way of life; living' live-SUF <br> kir-i i 'entrance; entering' enter-SUF |
| -I | Forms nouns and adjectives. | t furp-ut 'brushwood' hook-SUF <br> øl-y 'dead man' die-SUF |
| -ts | Forms abstract nouns. | sevin-ts 'joy' rejoice-SUF <br> qazan-ts 'earnings' earn-SUF |
| -(a) vuq, -vut $\int$ | Forms nouns (agents that perform action $\mathrm{X})$. | suuzyur-avuq 'whistler' whistle-SUF <br> turna-vut 'rake' scratch-SUF |
| -(I)K | Forms nouns and adjectives. | turna-q 'nail' scratch-SUF te $\int-\mathrm{ik}$ 'hole' make hole-SUF <br> kure-k 'shovel' scoop-SUF |

$\left.\begin{array}{l|l|l}\text {-(I)n } & \text { Forms nouns. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { dzuj-un 'gathering; wedding' } \\ \text { gather-SUF }\end{array} \\ \text { ek-in 'crop' } \\ \text { plant-SUF }\end{array}\right]$

The suffix $-t Y /-d Y$, which is added to onomatopoetic verbs to form nouns signifying sound, is illustrated in (78). The voicing of the first consonant of this suffix is determined by the preceding sonorant; it is [d] after $r$-final stems, as in $\gamma u d$ udur-du 'rasp, gnash,' and $[\mathrm{t}]$ after $l$-final stems, as in fuvul-tu 'noise.'
(78) Onomatopoetic noun-forming suffixes
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l}\text { Suffix } & \text { Meaning } & \text { Examples } \\
\hline-t Y /-d Y & \text { 'the sound of X' } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Yudzur-du 'rasp, gnash' } \\
\text { gydyr-di 'rumble, thunder' } \\
\text { gyryl-ti 'rumble, thunder' }\end{array}
$$ <br>
fatur-du 'rumble, thunder' <br>

Suvul-tur 'noise'\end{array}\right\}\)| fusul-tur 'hiss' |
| :--- |
| pusur-dur 'whisper' |
| tfivil-ti 'chirp, tweet' |

### 2.2.1.2.3. Reduplication

M-reduplication, illustrated in (79), generalizes the concept that is denoted by a word to include other similar objects or events. The reduplication involves the repetition of a word where the onset of the reduplicant is replaced by $m$, as illustrated in (79)a. If the word begins with an $m$, the reduplicant surfaces with a fixed $p$ instead, as in (79)b. If the word begins with a vowel, the modifying consonant $m$ is prefixed to the reduplicant, as in (79)c (in the Northern dialect, $p$ may also be the fixed consonant in the reduplicant if the base begins with a vowel, as in it-pit 'dogs and the like.')
a. pavlin-mavlin 'peacock(s) and the like' qartop-martop 'potatoes and the like' kitap-mitap

> 'books and the like'
derek-merek 'trees and the like'
b. mufuq-purfuq 'cats and the like'
c. afta-mafta 'weeks and the like'
af-maf 'food and the like'

### 2.2.1.2.4. Compounding

Finally, Crimean Tatar nouns can be derived by compounding. The examples in (80)a illustrate coordinative compounds, and the examples in (80)b are endocentric rightheaded compounds.
(80) a. ana-baba
mother-father $\quad$ 'parents'

### 2.2.2. Pronouns

Pronouns in Crimean Tatar are inflected for number, person, and case. There is no gender distinction in pronouns.

### 2.2.2.1. Personal pronouns

In personal pronouns, first, second, and third person combine with singular and plural to form six distinct verbal subjects. Because of the lack of grammatical gender in Crimean Tatar, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular means 'he,' 'she,' or 'it.' The contrast between the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person singular sen and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person plural siz is analogous to such distinctions in, for instance, Russian: the former is informal and the latter denotes either plural or formal singular (with grammatically plural properties). The plural pronouns biz 'we' and siz 'you (pl.)' can surface with an additional optional plural suffix -lAr, as biz-ler and siz-ler.

Most pronouns take the same case suffixes as nouns in the accusative, locative and ablative (except $o$ 'he/she/it,' which takes the possessive declension suffixes). The other cases - that is, dative and genitive - exhibit idiosyncrasies, as shown in the table in (81). In particular, the genitive shows a final labial nasal in the $1^{\text {st }}$ singular and plural. The velar (or uvular) consonant of the dative disappears before the nasal in the singular, causing place assimilation: the nasal surfaces as velar, and the vowel lowers from [e] to [a], cf. men '1sG.NOM,' maŋa '1sG.DAT.'
(81) Personal pronouns

|  | 1 sg | 2 sg | 3sg | 1 pl | 2 pl | 3 pl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominative | men | sen | o | biz | siz | olar |
| Genitive | menim | seniy | onü | bizim | sizniy | olarnü |
| Dative | maya | saya | oŋa | bizge | sizge | olarya |
| Accusative | meni | seni | onü | bizni | sizni | olarnü |
| Locative | mende | sende | onda | bizde | sizde | olarda |
| Ablative | menden | senden | ondan | bizden | sizden | olardan |

The dative singular forms of personal pronouns in (81) are reported on the basis of the Central dialect. In the Southern dialect, the forms are mana $\sim$ maa '1sg.DAT,' sana $\sim$ saa '2SG.DAT,' and una ~ uya ~uja '3sG.DAT.' The Northern forms are as follows: maүa $\sim$ maa '1SG.DAT,' saya $\sim$ saa '2SG.DAT,' oүa '3SG.DAT.'

### 2.2.2.2. Demonstrative pronouns

Demonstrative pronouns are derived from the demonstrative determiners $b u$ 'this,' $f u$ 'this,' $o$ 'that' and exhibit a three-way deictic opposition (82). There are three demonstrative stems, each denoting a different degree of proximity. The demonstrative pronoun $b u$ is neutral, the pronoun $\int u$ conveys that the referent is in close proximity to the speaker, and the use of the pronoun $o$ indicates that the referent is at a significant distance to the speaker (usually interpreted as 'far away').
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (82) } & \text { bu } & \text { 'this (one) (right here)' } & \text { bular } & \text { 'these (ones)' } \\ & \mathrm{Su} & \text { 'this (one) (in close proximity)' } & \text { fular } & \text { 'these (ones)' } \\ & \text { o } & \text { 'that (one)' } & \text { olar } & \text { 'those (ones)' }\end{array}$
Demonstrative pronouns combine with the adverbs muna 'here,' as in (83)a, and ana 'there,' as in (83)b. The demonstratives in (83)c are formed on the basis of the three demonstrative stems and mean 'such,' as in øjle jaxfu 'such a good (thing), so good.' These can be pluralized when they function as pronouns, as in фjle-ler-i de bar 'even such (things) exist (=such-PL-POSS EMPH exist).'

| a.muna bu <br> muna Su | 'this here' <br> muna o <br> munavu |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'this here (in close proximity)' <br> 'that over there' |  |
| 'that over there' (Northern) |  |

When they function as pronouns (i.e., when they do not modify an overt noun), demonstratives combine with all case suffixes (possessive declension) used for nouns (cf. bu-ndan soy 'after this-ABL,' but bu ev-den 'from this house-ABL'). bu 'this' functions as a free-standing anaphor in the accusative case in (84) and in the ablative case in (85).
(84) men bu-nuu tynevin kør-di-m

I this-ACC yesterday see-PAST-1SG
'I saw this yesterday.'
(85) men bu-ndan qorq-a-m

I this-ABL afraid-PRES-1SG
'I am afraid of this.'
Just like demonstratives, universal quantifiers, such as ep, bytyn, dzymle 'all,' er 'every' also function as pronouns that carry one or two possessive suffixes, as in ep-i-miz 'all-3sG.POSS-1PL.POSS,' as illustrated in (86).

| ep | bytyn | dyymle | 'all' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ep-i-miz | bytyn-imiz | dyymle-miz | 'all of us' |
| ep-i-yiz | bytyn-iniz | dzymle-niz | 'all of you' |
| ep-i-si | bytyn-i | dyymle-si | 'all of them' |

The universal quantifiers can be declined; episi 'all of them' is in the accusative case in the sentence in (87).
(87) men episi-ni oqu-duu-m

I all-ACC read-PAST-1SG
'I read all of them (e.g., the books).'

### 2.2.2.3. Reflexive pronouns

The roots $\phi z$ and kendi, both meaning 'self,' serve as the bases for expressing reflexive meaning. $\phi z$ is a more neutral form and kendi is more Southern, but they are both used interchangeably in standard Crimean Tatar. When used as free-standing anaphors, reflexive pronouns are declined as nouns in the possessive declension. Both can also function adverbially, meaning 'own,' as in $\phi z /$ kendi istegi-nen 'by his own wish.' The nominative case of the reflexive pronouns declined by person and number, as shown in (88).
(88)
$1 \quad \emptyset \mathrm{z}$-ym, kendi-m
$2 \quad$ øz-yn, kendi-y
$3 \quad$ øz-y, kendi/kendi-si

Plural
$ø z$-ymiz, kendi-miz
$\emptyset z-y \eta i z$, kendi-ŋiz
$ø$-ler-i, kendi-ler-i

The sentence in (89) exemplifies the use of the reflexive pronoun $o z^{j}$.
(89) bu adam-lar tek øz-ler-i-ni ty $\int y n-e$ this man-PL only self-PL-3SG.POSS-DAT think-PRES 'These people think only about themselves.'

The sentence in (90) shows the use of $\phi z$ combined with baf, literally 'self-head,' which can also be used as a reflexive pronoun.
(90) o suq $\emptyset \mathrm{z}$-baf-ur-na laqurduu et-e he often self-head-3sG.pOSS-DAT conversation do-PRES 'He often talks to himself.'

The reflexive pronoun is understood to refer to the subject of the clause, as shown in (91).
(91) o bu ev-ni $ø z$-y-ne sat-un al-duu he this house-ACC self-3sG.POSS-DAT sell-SUF take-PAST ' $\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{i}}$ bought this house for himself $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}$.'

### 2.2.2.4. Indefinite pronouns

Indefinite pronouns are formed by suffixing -DIr to interrogatives (for a discussion of interrogatives, see sections 2.2.6 and 3.2.1.3) to express specific indefiniteness, as in (92), and by and preposing or postposing the particle bir to express non-specific indefiniteness, as in (93). The use of an indefinite pronoun is exemplified in (94).

| (92) | kim-dir | 'someone' | kim-ler-dir | 'some people' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ne-dir | 'something' | ne-ler-dir | 'some things' |  |

(94) erkes bir $\int$ ej-den qorq-a
every person a thing-ABL be.afraid-PRES
'Everybody is afraid of something.'
bir also expresses indefiniteness when placed between a noun and its modifier, as in qart bir adam 'an old man,' as opposed bir qart adam 'one old man.'

### 2.2.2.5. Negative pronouns

Negative pronouns are built by preposing the negative particle itf to the forms expressing non-specific indefiniteness or to the particle bir 'one,' as illustrated in (95).
(95) it $\int$ bir 'not a single one'
it fim se 'nobody'
itf bir kim se 'nobody'
it bir Sej 'nothing'
itf biri 'none of them, no one'

Negated verbs are used with negative pronouns, as shown in (96). The example in (96) also demonstrates that negative pronouns decline regularly (in the possessive declension).
(96) men it $\int$ bir $\int$ ej it $\int$ biri-ne jaz-ma-duu-m

I nothing nobody-DAT write-NEG-PAST-1SG
'I didn't write anything to anybody.' (=I wrote nothing to nobody)

### 2.2.2.6. Possessive pronouns

Possessive pronouns shown in (97) express a general meaning of possession or location at someone's home. They are built by adding the suffix $-k i$ to the genitive case of the personal pronouns. The sentence in (98) exemplifies that possessive pronouns can be used with various cases. The same form of possessiveness exists for nouns as well, e.g. dzemaat-nuy-ki 'belonging to the society.'
(97) Possessive pronouns

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | men-im-ki | biz-im-ki |
| 2 | sen-iy-ki | siz-iy-ki |
| 3 | on-uy-ki | o-lar-num-ki |

(98) bizimki-num boj-u sizinki-ne køre altfaq-tur our-GEN height-3sG.POSS your-DAT relative.to low-PRED 'The height of ours (e.g., our house) is lower than yours.'

### 2.2.3. Numerals

### 2.2.3.1. Cardinal numerals

The cardinal numerals of Crimean Tatar are listed in (99). Non-derived roots are used for cardinal numerals $0-10,20-50$, and 100.60 and 70 are formed by adding the suffix -mIf to 6 and 7 , and 80 and 90 are formed by adding the suffix $-s A n$ to 8 and 9 .


| jedi | 'seven' | doqsan | 'ninety' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sekiz | 'eight' | jyz | '(one) hundred' |
| doquz | 'nine' | biy | '(one) thousand' |
| on | 'ten' | milion | 'million' |
|  |  | bilion | 'billion' |
|  |  | miliard | 'trillion' |

The numerals from one to nine follow higher numerals to form numbers from 11 to 99 , and these follow other higher numerals, as exemplified in (100).

| on be $\int$ | 'fifteen' |
| :--- | :--- |
| elli eki | 'fifty two' |
| jyz seksen jedi | 'one hundred and eighty seven' |
| biy jyz jetmif sekiz | 'one thousand one hundred and seventy eight' |

When the simple numerals $j y z$ 'hundred' and higher are in their multiple forms, they are preceded by lower numerals, as in (101).
(101) jedi jyz 'seven hundred'
quirq eki bin 'forty two thousand'
jyz 'hundred' and biy 'thousand' do not have to be preceded by bir 'one' when they mean 'one hundred' and 'one thousand,' but in higher simpler numerals bir is not optional, e.g., bir milion 'one million.'

Fractions are formed by attaching the locative suffix -DA or the ablative suffix $D A n$ to the first member of the fraction that is the denominator in Crimean Tatar, e.g., $y t f$ te eki, $y t f$-ten eki 'two thirds (three-LOC two, three-ABL two).' The concept of a half can be expressed in two ways. First, it can be formed by means of the locative or ablative construction, as in eki-den bir, eki-de bir 'one half (two-ABL one)'; second, it can be expressed using the free-standing words butfuq and jarum. The concept of a number and a half is expressed by using the latter method, e.g., on butfuq, on jarum 'ten and a half.'

Several classifiers used when a noun is modified by a cardinal numeral are shown in (102). In colloquial speech, dane can be used as a classifier for people, along with nefer.

ytf dane oda 'three rooms' otuz bas qoj 'thirty sheep' dørt typ alma 'four apple trees' bir tilim qavun 'one slice of melon' bir tJift ajaqqap 'one pair of shoes' bir taquum $t$ famafurr 'a set of linens' eki nefer adam 'two people'

### 2.2.3.2. Ordinal numerals

Ordinal numerals are formed by adding the suffix -(I)ndz $Y$ to the rightmost member of the cardinal numeral (103).
(103) bir-ind3i
on bir-ind3i
otuz-und3u
biy jyz jetmi $\int$ sekiz-indzi
'first'
'eleventh'
'thirtieth'
'one thousand one hundred and seventy eighth'

The ordinal numerals can be used either attributively or as predicates, as in birindzi kitap 'the first book' and o bir-indzi-dir 'he is first (he one-ORD-PRED)' respectively. Ordinal numerals can take plural and possessive suffixes to indicate partitive meaning, as in dørt-yndzi-ler-i 'the $4^{\text {th }}$ ones of them (four-ORD-PL-3SG.POSS).'

### 2.2.3.3. Distributive numerals

Distributive numerals are formed by adding the suffix -(f)Ar to the last word of the numeral up to 'a hundred,' as in (104).

| bir-er | 'one each' |
| :--- | :--- |
| eki-fer | 'two each' |
| bef-er | 'five each' |
| on-ar | 'ten each' |
| jigirmi-Ser | 'twenty each' |

For numerals above 'a hundred', the distributive suffix is added to the first word of the numeral (105). The distributive numerals 'one hundred' and 'one thousand' can be formed by adding the distributive suffix either to the optional 'one,' as in birer yyz 'one hundred each,' birer biy 'one thousand each,' or to the non-optional part of the numeral, as in jyzer, biyer.

| bef-er jyz tonna | 'five hundred tons each' |
| :--- | :--- |
| sekiz-er biy kilo | 'eight thousand kilos each' |
| otuz-ar milion metr | 'thirty million meters each' |

### 2.2.3.4. Approximative numerals

Approximative numerals are formed by the addition of the plural suffix -lAr, as in (106)a, or by compounding, as in (106)b.
a. on-lar 'tens'
jyz-ler 'hundreds'
b. bir-eki 'one or two'
dørt-be $\int$ 'four or five'

### 2.2.4. Adjectives

Adjective and noun stems do not differ formally. Adjectives do not show agreement with either nouns or verbs, so adjective stems do not combine with number or case suffixes when used either attributively or predicatively, as illustrated in (107).

| (107) $\mathrm{k} ø \mathrm{z}$-ler-i mavuu | 'his eyes are blue’ | *k $\varnothing \mathrm{z}$-ler-i mavu-lar |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| mavu køz-ler | 'blue eyes' | *kz mavu-lar |
|  |  | *mavu-lar køz-ler |
|  |  | *mavu-lar køz |

### 2.2.4.1. Comparative and superlative adjectives

The comparative form of adjectives is formed by the addition of the suffix -TJA, as in uzun-dza 'longer,' sudzaq-tfa 'hotter.' The comparative can be used predicatively, as in (108).
(108) bu kitap digeri-si-nden balaban-dza-dur
this book other-3SG.POSS-ABL big-COMP-3SG.PRED
'This book is bigger than the other one.'
In Crimean Tatar and in West Kipchak languages in general, the superlative form of adjectives is formed by means of the particle $e \eta$, used in attributive constructions, as in (109), and in predicative constructions, as in (110), or by means of the pronoun episi 'all' in the ablative case, as illustrated in (111).

(111) o epi-si-nden tatlu paxlava pif-ir-e she all-POSS-ABL sweet baklava boil-CAUS-PRES 'She cooks the sweetest baklava.'

### 2.2.4.2. Approximative adjectives

Approximative adjectives of quality signify that there is enough or not enough of a certain quality denoted by the adjective. They are formed with the suffixes listed in (112)a and b, respectively.
(112) Suffixes that form approximative adjectives

| Suffix | Examples |
| :--- | :--- |
| a. -(l)tIm | jefil-tim 'greenish' <br> saru-ltum 'yellowish' <br> quzul-tum 'reddish' |
| - Jun | saru--Sun 'yellowish, red-haired' |
| b. -TSArAK | quisqa-dzaraq tajaq 'relatively shorter, shortish stick' <br> uzaq-tfaraq jol 'relatively longer, longish road' <br> eski-dzerek kijim 'relatively older, oldish clothes' |

### 2.2.4.3. Intensive adjectives

Intensive adjectives are formed by reduplication, as shown in (113). The intensive reduplicant is a (C)VC syllable that consists of the initial (C)V sequence of the base followed by a fixed consonant that can be either $m, s, p$, or $r$. In some cases, such as temtegiz $\sim$ ter-tegiz 'absolutely flat,' forms with different fixed consonants co-exist. Reduplication occurs mainly with underived adjectives and also with some adverbs (see section 2.2.5).
(113) Intensive adjectives formed by CVC reduplication

| CVm | bem-bejaz <br> tem-tegiz <br> jem-jefil <br> tim-tik | 'absolutely white' <br> 'absolutely flat' <br> 'absolutely green' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'absolutely steep' |  |  |


| CVp | qap-qara <br> sap-sarui <br> sap-saylam <br> ap-aq | 'absolutely black' <br> 'absolutely yellow' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'absolutely healthy' |  |  |
| CVr | 'absolutely white' |  |

Intensive adjectives can also be formed by partitive constructions, where the first element in the construction bears the ablative suffix -DAn, as in (114).
(114) Intensive adjectives formed by full reduplication

| balaban-dan balaban | 'very big' |
| :--- | :--- |
| teren-den teren | 'very deep' |
| gyzel-den gyzel | 'very beautiful' |
| dylber-den dylber | 'very beautiful' |
| jyksek-ten jyksek | 'very tall' |
| ufaq-tan ufaq | 'very small' |

### 2.2.4.4. Derivation of adjectives

### 2.2.4.4.1. Adjective-forming suffixes

There are many suffixes that productively derive adjectives from nouns and verbs. The most commonly used affixes are listed in (115).
(115) Adjective-forming suffixes
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\text { Suffix } & \text { Examples } \\ \hline- \text { KIn } & \begin{array}{l}\text { ker-gin 'tense' } \\ \text { stretch-SUF }\end{array} \\ \text { kes-kin 'sharp' } \\ \text { cut-SUF }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { tal-रum 'tired' } \\ \text { be tired-SUF }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | al-yur 'grabbing' take-SUF |
| :---: | :---: |
| -DAki | saraj-daki 'belonging to a palace' palace-SUF |
|  | kyn-deki 'daily' <br> day-SUF |
|  | quf-taki 'in winter' winter-SUF |
| -aq, -uq | qatf-aq 'running away' run-SUF |
| -vUq | ayla-vuq 'cry-baby' cry-SUF |
| -Gi | quaf-ki 'winter-like' winter-SUF |
|  | kyndyz-gi 'daily' day-SUF |
| -II | quirum-luu 'Crimean' Crimea-SUF |
|  | boja-luu 'colorful' color-SUF |
|  | tuz-lu 'salty' salt-SUF |
| -IIK | kyz-lyk 'autumnal' autumn-SUF |
| -mA | jaz-ma 'written' write-SUF |
| -sIz | if-siz 'unemployed' work-SUF |


| $5$ | tuz-suz 'not salty' salt-SUF <br> at-suz 'horseless' horse-SUF <br> typ-syz 'bottomless' bottom-SUF |
| :---: | :---: |
| -tfAK | utan-t $\int$ aq 'shy' be.shy-SUF erin-tfek 'lazy' be.lazy-SUF |
| -t $\int$ An | xalq-t $\int$ an 'people-loving' people-SUF |
| -(v)ij <br> (in Arabic and Persian borrowings) | mane-vij 'related to meaning' meaning-SUF <br> sijas-ij 'political' <br> politics-SUF |

### 2.2.4.4.2. Compound adjectives

Some adjectives are formed as coordinative compounds, as illustrated in (116). The meaning of the members of the compound can be similar, as in (116)a, or they can be opposites, as in (116)b.
(116) Compound adjectives
a. qara-toru 'black-brown (referring to the color of a horse)' black-chestnut
tfevik-t $\int$ abik 'deft'
deft-fast
gizli-qapaqlu 'secret, unclear, dark'
secret-with.lid
b. qart-ja 'old and young'
old-new

## jyksek-altfaq 'high and low, uneven' high-low

### 2.2.5. Adverbs

Adverbs in Crimean Tatar are not formally different from adjectives. Adverbs of quality are usually identical to the corresponding adjective in the attributive form, as in (117).

| (117) | jax $\int \mathrm{ur}$ 'good/well' <br> jaramaj 'bad/badly' <br> tez 'quick/quickly' <br>  javas |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 'slow/slowly' |

The forms in (118), (119), and (120) provide examples of adverbs of quantity, place, and time, respectively. Compound adverbs of quantity can be formed with $a z$ 'little,' as in az butfuq 'not much' (literally, 'little half') and with the numeral bir 'one,' as in bir tfoq 'enough' (literally, 'one many').
(118) Adverbs of quantity

| az | 'little' |
| :--- | :--- |
| tfoq | 'very, many, much' |
| biraz | 'few, only, a little bit |
| az butfuq | 'not much' |
| bir talaj | 'several' |
| bir tfoq | 'enough' |

Adverbs of place are often formed with the locative suffix -DA to denote location, as in (119)a, and the ablative suffix -DAn to denote the place from which the action originates, as in (119)b.
(119) Adverbs of place

| a. munda | 'here' |
| :--- | :--- |
| anda | 'there' |
| uzaqta | 'far away' |
| afayuda <br> juqaruda | 'below' |
| b. above' |  |
| mundan <br> andan <br> uzaqtan | 'from here' |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a aayudan } & \text { 'from below' } \\
\text { juqarudan } & \text { 'from above' }
\end{array}
$$

Adverbs of time are often formed with the ablative suffix -DAn.
(120) Adverbs of time

```
\intimdi 'now'
t Soq-tan 'a long time ago'
many-ABL
baf-tan 'at the beginning'
head-ABL
evel-den 'before'
before-ABL
tez-den 'soon'
fast-ABL
```

Comparative and superlative forms of adverbs are formed just as for adjectives, as shown in (121). The comparative form of an adverb is formed by the addition of the suffix $-T \int A$, as in (121)a, and the superlative form is formed by adding the particle e $\eta$, as in (121)b, or the pronoun episi 'all' in the ablative case before the adverb, as in (121)c.
a. tfoq-t $\int a$
'more'
ketf-tfe 'later'
erte-d3e 'earlier'
b. ey erte 'earliest'
c. episinden evel 'earliest, before everybody else'

The comparative form is not used in adverbial comparative constructions that are formed with the noun in the ablative case, as shown in (122).
(122) bu qadum men-im tata-m-dan gyzel jurla-j this woman I-GEN sister-1SG.POSS-ABL beautiful sing-PRES 'This woman sings better than my sister.'

Derivation of adverbs. Adverbs can be derived from nouns (e.g., arqadaf 'friend'), adjectives (e.g., rus 'Russian'), demonstrative pronouns (e.g., mun 'here'), and numerals (e.g., bir 'one'), as shown in (123).
(123) Adverb-forming suffixes
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\text { Suffix } & \text { Examples } \\ \hline \text {-TSA } & \begin{array}{l}\text { rus-tfa 'in Russian' } \\ \text { Russian-ADV }\end{array} \\ \text { tyrk-tfe 'in Turkish' } \\ \text { Turkish-ADV }\end{array}\right], \begin{array}{l}\text { arqadaf-tfasuna 'in a brotherly manner' } \\ \text { friend-ADV } \\ \text { tyrk-tfesine 'in a Turkish way' } \\ \text { Turkish-ADV } \\ \text { insan-dzasuma 'in a humanly manner' } \\ \text { man-ADV }\end{array}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bir-den 'suddenly, immediately' } \\
& \text { one-ADV }
\end{aligned}
$$

The suffix -lAjIn $\sim-l A j$ selects stems in an idiosyncratic way: while both bytynlejin and bytyn-lej 'fully' are possible, saba-lajun 'in the morning(s)' is the only existing form.

Adverbs can also be derived by reduplicating nouns, as in (124)a, or adverbs, as in (124)b.

| a. syry <br> top | syry-syry flock' | syry-syry <br> top-top |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | 'by the herd' |
| :--- |
| 'by the bundle' |

### 2.2.6. Interrogatives

Interrogatives can be nominal, as in (125)a, or adverbial, as in (125)b. Interrogative pronouns distinguish between animate and inanimate in 'who' and 'what,' but not in other instances.


Multiple question words exist to express equivalent ideas (e.g. qajsu and angi 'which,' qajerde and ne jerde 'where'). These expressions have cognates in different Turkic branches (Kipchak and Oghuz, respectively) and are used interchangeably, even though speakers of the Southern dialect have a strong preference for the Oghuz variants.

On the syntax of interrogatives, see section 3.2.1.3.

### 2.3. Verbal morphology

As is the case with any Turkic language, verbal morphology is the most complex part of the Crimean Tatar morphological system. The verb is inflected for the grammatical categories of tense (past, present, future), mood (indicative, optative, obligative, conditional, and imperative), person and number, and voice. We include the category of voice under verbal inflection rather than derivation on the basis of the definition of inflection as "morphology that is accessible to and/or manipulated by rules of the syntax" (Anderson 1992: 83).

### 2.3.1. Copula

The copula verb ol- 'be, become, exist' is overtly realized in the past and future. In the present, the predicate suffixes illustrated in (126) are used, as in men odja-m 'I am a teacher.' The $3^{\text {rd }}$ person suffix -DIr is optional and is considered to be high style. Present tense sentences with the predicate suffix are negated with the help of the negative particle degil (see section 3.3.2).

(126) |  |  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | $-(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{m}$ | -mIz |
| 2 | -sIg | $-\mathrm{sI}(\mathrm{yI}) \mathrm{z}$ |  |
|  | 2 | (-dur) | $(-\mathrm{dur})$ |

In the past, the suppletive edi is used, as in men odza edim 'I was a teacher,' conjugated as in (127). The stem ol- is used for negation, as in men od3a ol-ma-du-m 'I was not a teacher.'

(127) |  | Singular | Plural |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | edi-m | edi-miz |
| 2 | edi- $\eta$ | edi- $\eta \mathrm{jz}$ |
| 3 | edi | edi-ler |

In the affirmative, there is a semantic difference between the suppletive edi, as in men odza edi-m 'I was a teacher,' and the past tense of the verb ol-, as in men odya ol$d u-m$ 'I became a teacher,' where the regular verb stem indicates a change of state.

In the future, the stem ol- is used with the categorical future suffix $-d 3 A K$ to indicate either a state or a change of state, as in men odza ol-a-d3ay-um 'I will be/will become a teacher.'

Predicate (copular) suffixes are used in sentences with nominal predicates. Predicate markers are identical for nouns, personal pronouns, ordinal numerals, adjectives, adverbs, interrogatives, and the negative marker degil, which is used to negate sentences with all of the above-mentioned predicates (discussed in section 3.3.2). The predicate markers are identical to the person markers of pronominal origin (discussed in section 2.3.2). They are shown in (128) on an example of an animate noun doxtur 'doctor' and an adjective jyksek 'tall.' The suffix -DIr is optional in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, both in the singular and plural, and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person plural suffix can be either -sIyIz or -sIz. All predicate markers are prestressing.

Singular
1 men doxtur-um, jykseg-im
2 sen doxtur-sum, jyksek-sin
3 o doxtur(-duur), jyksek(-tir)
Plural
1 biz doxtur-lar-muz, jyksek-miz
2 siz doxtur-lar-su(yux)z, jyksek-si(ni)z
3 olar doxtur-lar(-dur), jyksek(-tir)
'I am a doctor, I am tall.'
'You (sg.) are a doctor, you are tall.' 'He/she is a doctor, he/she is tall.'

> 'We are doctors, we are tall.'
> 'You (pl. or sg.polite) are doctors, you are tall.'
> 'They are doctors, they are tall.'

Personal pronouns used as predicates are exemplified in (129), and an example of an interrogative pronoun used as a predicate is in (130).

| (129) | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | men-im | 'it is I' | biz-miz | 'it is us' |
|  | sen-siy | 'it is you (SG)' | siz-si(yi)z | 'it is you (PL; polite)' |
|  | o(-dur) | 'it is he/she/it' | olar(-dur) | 'it is them' |
| (130) | Singular |  | Plural |  |
| 1 | kim-im | 'Who am I?' | kim-miz | 'Who are we?' |
| 2 | kim-sin | 'Who are you?' | kim-si(ni)z | 'Who are you?' |
| 3 | kim(-dir) | 'Who is he/she?' | kim(-ler)(-dis) | 'Who are they?' |

### 2.3.2. Person markers

Finite verbs in Crimean Tatar are marked with person markers to agree with the grammatical subject. The person markers for finite verbs can be either of pronominal origin (131) or possessive origin (132), depending on the tense and mood. The suffix for
the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular is zero, and the suffix for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person plural is optional in both sets of person markers.
(131) Person markers of pronominal origin

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{m}$ | -mIz |
| 2 | -IIg | $-\mathrm{sI}(\mathrm{yI}) \mathrm{z}$ |
| 3 | $\emptyset$ | $(-1 \mathrm{Ar})$ |

(132) Person markers of possessive origin

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -m | -K |
| 2 | -y | -IIz |
| 3 | $\emptyset$ | $(-1 \mathrm{Ar})$ |

### 2.3.3. Tense/Aspect/Mood

### 2.3.3.1. Indicative

### 2.3.3.1.1. Simple tenses

The general present tense is formed by adding the suffix $-A / j$ to a verbal root ${ }^{10}$, followed by pronominal person markers, as illustrated by the paradigm in (133). The $-A$ allomorph attaches to consonant-final roots, and the $-j$ allomorph attaches to vowel-final roots, as in al-a-m 'I take,' sorá-j-su(ŋıu)z 'you ask.'
(133) Person markers in the present; al- 'take,' tyf- 'go down; fall,' sora- 'ask,' ele'sift.'

| 1 | Singular |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | al-a-m, ty $\int-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{m}$ |
|  | sorá-j-uum, elé-j-im |
| 2 | al-á-suuy, tyf-é-siy |
|  | sorá-j-suụ, elé-j-sin |
| 3 | al-a, tyf-e |
|  | sora-j, ele-j |

```
Plural
al-á-muzz, tyf-é-miz
sorá-j-muz, elé-j-miz
al-á-su(yum)z, ty\int-é-si(yi)z
sorá-j-suu(\etau)z, elé-j-si(yi)z
al-á-(lar), ty\int-é-(ler)
sorá-j-(lar), elé-j-(ler)
```

[^6]Verbs in the general present can refer to events limited to the time of speech, as illustrated by the sentences in (134) and (135), or to those that have a habitual meaning, as illustrated in (136).
(134) men Seer-ge ket-e-m

I town-LOC go-PRES-1SG
'I go (am going) to town (now).'
men-im aya-m bu ev-de jafa-j
I-GEN brother-1SG.POSS this house-LOC live-PRES
'My brother lives in this house.'

| men-im | aya-m | er | kyn | Seer-de ol-a |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I-GEN | brother-1SG.POSS | every | day | town-LOC be-PRES |
| 'My brother is in town every day.' |  |  |  |  |

Negation in the general present is formed by placing the negative suffix $-m A$ between the verb stem and the suffix $-j$, which is then followed by the person markers, as in al-ma-j-um 'I do not take,' tyf-me-j-im 'I do not fall,' sora-ma-j-um 'I do not ask,' ele-me-j-im 'I do not sift.' The negative suffix is prestressing, so stress always falls on the syllable that precedes it, as in ál-ma-j-um 'I do not take.'

The present progressive tense is formed with the suffix - $m A K t A$ (infinitive $-m A K+$ locative $-t A$ ), followed by the person markers of pronominal origin. It marks a prolonged, unfinished action, as in jaz-maqta-muz 'we are writing (we keep writing),' ket-mekte-m 'I am going (I keep going).'

Negation of the present progressive tense verb is formed by placing the negative suffix after the verb stem, as in jaz-ma-maqta-muz 'we are not writing (we do not keep writing),' ket-me-mekte-m 'I am not going (I do not keep going).'

The future/present tense is formed with the suffix $-A r$ or $-(I) r$, followed by the person markers of pronominal origin. Most commonly, the - Ar allomorph attaches to consonantfinal monosyllabic stems, such as boz-ar-suy 'you will spoil,' and the -(I)r allomorph attaches to all other stems, such as bayur-ur-um 'I will cry, yell.' However, there are quite a few monosyllabic verbs that exceptionally form their future/present tense with the -(I)r allomorph, e.g., ajt-ur 'he/she will speak,' al-ur 'he/she will take,' bar-ur 'he/she will walk,' ber-ir 'he/she will give,' kel-ir 'he/she will come,' qal-ur 'he/she will stay,' ol-ur 'he/she will be.' The person markers in the future/present tense are shown in (137) with the examples of a regular verb sev- 'love' and an irregular verb al- 'take.'
(137) Person markers in the future/present; sev- 'love,' al- 'take.'

|  | Singular <br> 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| sev-ér-im, al-úr-um |  |
| 2 | sev-ér-siy, al-úr-sü |
| 3 | sev-ér, al-úr |

Plural
sev-ér-miz, al-úr-muz
sev-ér-si(yi)z, al-úrr-su(yuu)z
sev-ér-(ler), al-úrr-(lar)

The future/present tense expresses willingness or possibility and thus can be used to refer to possible future events, as in (138). It also regularly refers to the habitual present, as in (139).
(138) sen Simdi bu-nuu al-ur-sum you now this-ACC take-FUT/PRES-2SG
'You will take this now.'
(139) o mektep-ke ket $\int$ qal-up kel-ir he school-DAT late stay-CONV come-FUT/PRES 'He comes late to school (usually).'

The future/present tense is negated with the suffix $-m A z$, as illustrated in (140). The suffix of the affirmative future/present does not surface in the negative forms. In the $1^{\text {st }}$ person forms, both singular and plural, the negative suffix surfaces as $-m A$, as in al-ma-m 'I will not take.' Note that when two prestressing suffixes, the negative and the person marker, occur in the same form, stress falls on the vowel that precedes the rightmost prestressing suffix.
(140) Negated future/present; al- 'take,' sev- 'love.'

1 al-má-m, sev-mé-m
2 al-máz-sự, sev-méz-siŋ
3 al-máz, sev-méz

Plural
al-má-muz, sev-mé-miz
al-máz-sul(وur)z, sev-méz-si(ni)z
al-máz-(lar), sev-méz-(ler)

The categorical future is formed by adding the suffix $-d 3 A K$ to a stem consisting of a verbal root followed by the stem formant $-A / j$. Pronominal person markers follow, as in al-a-d3ay-um 'I will take (this),' and as this example illustrates, person markers beginning with a vowel cause the final consonant of the suffix to become voiced.
(141) Person markers in the future; al- 'take,' ele- 'sift.'
al-a-dzáy-um
Plural
al-a-dzáq-muz
ele-j-dzég-im
ele-j-dzék-miz

| 2 | al-a-dzáq-sui <br> ele-j-dzék-siy | al-a-dzáq-su(nu)z <br> al-a-dzaq <br> ele-j-dzék-si(ni)z |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ele-j-dzek | al-a-dzáq-(lar) |  |
| ele-j-dzék-(ler) |  |  |

In colloquial speech, the future suffix $-d_{3} A K$ loses its final consonant and adds person markers of possessive origin, as in al-a-dza-m 'I will take,' ele $-j-d 3 e-\eta$ 'you will sift.'

Unlike the future/present, the categorical future tense only refers to events that are expected to occur, as illustrated in (142).
(142) men mektyp jaz-sa-m dost-lar-um maja kel-e-dzek I letter write-COND-1SG friend-PL-1sG.poss I.DAT come-SF-FUT 'If I write a letter, my friends will (definitely) come to me.'

Negation of the categorical future is formed by placing the suffix $-m A$ before between the verb stem and the suffix $-j$, then followed by the future suffix, as in jap-ma- $j$ -dsay-um 'I will not do (it).'

The general past tense is formed with the suffix - $D Y$ (note that the vowel in this suffix alternates with respect to backness but is never rounded), followed by person markers of possessive origin (143).
(143) Person markers in the neutral past; al- 'take,' tyf- 'fall.'

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | al-dur-m, tyj-ti-m | al-duu-q, ty $\int-\mathrm{ti}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| 2 | al-du-n, ty $\int$-ti-n | al-du-yuz, tys-ti-yiz |
| 3 | al-duu, tyf-ti | al-dut-(lar), ty $\int$-ti-(ler) |

Usually, the general past tense refers to an event known to the speaker from personal experience, as in (144).
(144) quurum-ya kel-di-k

Crimea-dat come-past-1pl
'We returned to Crimea.'

The general past tense is negated with the suffix -mA placed after the verb root, as in al-ma-du-m 'I did not take.'

The evidential past is formed with the suffix $-K A n$ followed by pronominal person markers (145).
(145) Person markers in the evidential past; al- 'take,' tyf- 'fall.'

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | al-yán-umm, ty $\int$-kén-im | al-yán-muz, tyf-kén-miz |
| 2 | al-үán-sum, ty $\int$-kén-sin | al-zán-su()(ךu)z, ty $\int$-kén-si(ni)z |
| 3 | al-yán, ty $\int$-kén | al-үán-(lar), ty $\int$-kén-(ler) |

The evidential past is used to refer to actions in the remote past that may be known to the speaker from others, as in (146), or when it is necessary to emphasize the uncertainty or unreliability of the reported action (e.g., in fairy tales, riddles, etc), as in (147).
(146) bir-eki ej ber-gen-ler one-two thing give-EV.PAST-3PL 'They (apparently) gave (him) a couple of things.'
qomfu-lar qajt-up kel-gen-ler
neighbor-PL return-CONV.PAST come-EV.PAST-3PL
'(Possibly) the neighbors have (already) returned.'
The evidential past is negated with the suffix -mA after the verb root, as in kel-me-gen-ler 'they did not come.'

### 2.3.3.1.2. Compound tenses

The habitual past is formed with the future/present verb stem (verb root, and the suffix (V)r $(A r,-(I) r)$ ) followed by the copula edi, as in iste-r edi-m 'I would want.' This construction describes habitual events that are typical of a certain period in the past, without information about their beginning or end, e.g. alur edim 'I used to take.' This construction can also express a conditional meaning, as illustrated in (148).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { mymkyn } & \text { ol-sa bu } \quad \text { bej-ler-ni } & \text { al-ur } & \text { edi-m }  \tag{148}\\
\text { possible be-COND this thing-PL-ACC } & \text { take-PTCPL.PRES } & \text { COP.PAST-1SG } \\
\text { 'If possible, I would take these things.' } & &
\end{array}
$$

The habitual past is negated on the main verb with the negative suffix - $m A z$ (used for negation in the simple future/present), as in iste-mez edi-m 'I would not want.'

The compound past is formed with a stem composed of the verb root and the suffix $-A / j$ followed by the past copula, e.g., al-a edi-k 'we were taking,' tyf-e edi- $\boldsymbol{y}$ 'you (sg.) were falling.' It indicates single or repeated events that happened at some point in the past and have no specified end-point, as illustrated in (149).
(149) qartbaba-m biz-ge tfoq-tfoq masal-lar ajt-a edi grandfather-1SG.POSS we-DAT many tale-PL tell-CONV.PRES COP 'My grandfather told us many fairy tales (constantly, all the time).'

The negative suffix in the compound past is placed on the main verb, as in al-ma-j edi-k 'we were not taking,' juqla-ma-j edi-k 'we were not sleeping.'

A construction with a similar meaning is formed with the $-A / j$ converb followed by the present/future stem of jat- 'lie' and the past copula, e.g., ala jatur edim 'I was (constantly) taking.'

The pluperfect is formed with the suffix -KAn followed by the past copula, e.g., al-zan edi-m 'I had taken,' tyf-ken edi-m 'I had fallen.' The use of the pluperfect is illustrated in (150).
(150) men bu Seer-ge 1948 sene-si-nde
I this town-DAT 1948 year-3sG.POSS-LOC

kel-gen
come-PTCPL.PAST
codi-m
'I came to this town in 1948 (a very long time ago).'
The pluperfect is negated on the main verb, as in kel-me-gen edim 'I had not come.'
The counterfactual past is formed with the categorical future stem (verb root, the stem formant $-A / j$, and the suffix $-d_{3} A K$ ) followed by the copula edi, as in kel-e-dzek edi-k 'we would have come,' jaz-a-dzaq edi-m 'I would have written.' This construction is negated on the main verb, as in kel-me-j-dzek edi 'we would not have come,' jaz-ma-j-dzaq edi-m 'I would not have written.' This construction has a counterfactual meaning, as illustrated in (151).

| (151) men bu kitap-nut al-a-dzaq | edi-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I this book-ACC take-SF-FUT | COP.PAST-1SG |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { amma } & \text { maya } & \text { ber-me-di-ler } \\ \text { but } & \text { I.DAT } & \text { give-NEG-PAST-3PL }\end{array}$
'I would have taken this book, but they didn't give it to me.'
The progressive past tense is formed with the progressive suffix -mAKtA followed by the past tense copula, as in jaz-maqta edi-m 'I was (kept) writing,' ket-mekte edi-m 'I was going (I kept going).'

Negation of this construction is formed by placing the negative suffix on the main verb before the progressive suffix, as in jaz-ma-maqta edi-m 'I was not writing,' ket-memekte edi-m 'I was not going.'

Evidential tenses are formed by adding the evidential copula eken to tensed verbs discussed above. Evidential tenses convey the sense that the action was not observed by a speaker, is under doubt, or is not immediately apparent. The tense and aspect information is determined by the tense suffix on the main verb. The complex evidential past, as in tyfken eken 'he fell (a long time ago),' is illustrated in (152) and the evidential with the main verb in the categorical future, as in unut-a-dzaq eken-im 'apparently, I have to forget,' is in (153).
(152) meger terek-ten ty $\int$-ken eken
'Apparently, he fell out of the tree (I am not sure if he actually did).'
(153) men bu adise-ni unut-a-dzaq eken-im

I this incident-ACC forget-SF-FUT EV.COP-1SG
'I have to forget (about) this incident.'
Other evidential tenses include the evidential with the general present, as in unuta eken-siy 'apparently, you are forgetting,' the evidential with the future/present, as in unut-ar eken-siy 'apparently, you will forget,' and the evidential with the present progressive, as in unut-maqta eken-siy 'apparently, you are forgetting.' Negation is expressed on the main verb, as in unut-ma-yan eken-im 'apparently, I have not forgotten,' unut-ma-j-d3aq eken-im 'apparently, I will never forget,' unut-maz eken-im 'apparently, I will not be forgetting.'

The evidential copula eken (or less often emif) is used to express counterfactuality or uncertainty in nominal sentences, as in bu kitap eken/emif 'This appears to be a book,' or the reported nature of an utterance, as in o xasta eken 'They say he is sick' (cf. o xasta edi 'He was sick.').

### 2.3.3.2. Conditional

The conditional is expressed by the suffix $-s A$ followed by possessive person markers, as in al-sa-m 'if I take, if I took.' The past conditional (usually counterfactual) is formed with -sA followed by the inflected past copula, e.g., al-sa edi-m 'if I had taken.' The use of the conditional is exemplified in (154).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { men-im } & \text { dost-um } & \text { ev-de } & \text { jafa-sa o-nuy } \\
\text { I-GEN } & \text { friend-1sG.POSs } & \text { house-LOC } & \text { live-COND he-GEN }
\end{array}
$$

| qoranda-sur | tfoq razu |
| :--- | :--- |
| family-3sG.poss | very content |

'If my friend lives at home, his family will be very happy.'

To negate a conditional, the negative suffix - $m A$ is placed before the conditional suffix, as in al-ma-sa-m 'if I do not take, if I did not take.'

### 2.3.3.3. Imperative

The imperative is formed using the person markers in (155). There is no overt marker for the imperative in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ singular, so the bare verb stem is used.

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -(A)jIm | -(A)jIK |
| 2 | $\emptyset$ | -(I) IIz |
| 3 | - -sn | -sInlAr |

Examples of the imperative are given in (156) with the stems unut- 'forget' and $e t$ - 'do.' In the $1^{\text {st }}$ person, the imperative means 'I should $\mathbf{X}$ ' or 'we should $\mathbf{X}$,' as well as 'let me $X$ ' and 'let us $X^{\prime}$ '), and in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person the meaning is 'let him/her $X$.'

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | unut-ajum, et-ejim | unut-ajuq, et-ejik |
| 2 | unut, et | unut-unuz, et-iiniz |
| 3 | unut-sum, et-sin | unut-sun-lar, et-sin-ler |

The imperative is negated by adding the negative suffix $-m A$ before the imperative affixes, as in et-me-jim 'let me not do (it),' unut-ma-sun 'let him/her not forget (it),' unut-ma 'don't forget (it).'

In addition to the suffixes above, particles such as $-t \int Y$ and $-s A$, which express encouragement (and similar senses), can attach to stems to form imperatives, e.g., ajt-tfuu 'speak!', ajt-ajum-t ffu 'why don't I speak,' baq-sa 'look!', baq-ajuq-tfu 'let us look.' The imperative without a particle is the most neutral form, $-s A$ adds more emphasis, and the particle $-t \int u / t f_{i}$ is the most emphatic.

### 2.3.3.4. Optative

The optative present is expressed by the suffixes $-K A j$ or $-K A j d I$ followed by pronominal person markers, as in tynevin kel-gejdi- $\eta$ 'I wish you had come yesterday.' The paradigm is illustrated in (157) with the example of the verb ajt- 'speak.'

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ajt-qajduu-m | ajt-qajdu-q |
| 2 | ajt-qajdu- | ajt-qajdu--ŋuz |
| 3 | ajt-qajdu | ajt-qajduu-lar |

The negative suffix is placed before the optative suffix, as in tynevin kel-me-gejdi- $\quad$ ' wish you hadn't come yesterday.'

### 2.3.3.5. Obligative

The obligative mood is formed with the suffix -mAlY followed by possessive personal markers. The paradigm is shown in (158) with the example of the verb ajt- 'speak.'

|  | Singular | Plural |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ajt-malut-m | ajt-malur-muzz |
| 2 | ajt-malu-sum | ajt-malu-suz |
| 3 | ajt-maluu | ajt-malur(-lar) |

The use of the obligative is illustrated in (159).
(159) men bu if-ni jap-malur-m

I this work-ACC do-OBLIG-1SG
'I should (have to) do this job.'
The obligative is negated before the suffix, as in jap-ma-malu- $m$ 'I don't have to do it.' The past tense of the obligative mood is formed with the past copula, as in oqumalu edi-m 'I had to read/study,' negated as oqu-ma-malu edi-m 'I did not have to read/study.'

There are also syntactic ways to express obligation, with the use of words such as bortflu 'must,' medzbur 'have to,' kerek, l'azim 'necessary,' etc. While -mAlY expresses a neutral obligation or even a wish, med3bur is more categorical and expresses a stronger obligation (the requirement in (160) is stronger than that in (159)).
(160) men bu if-ni jap-may-a medzbur-um

I this work-ACC do-INF-DAT must-COP.1SG
'I am required to do this job.'
As illustrated in the following examples, bortflu expresses the strongest obligation (which may be an absolute requirement), as in (161); kerek refers to a weaker obligation or general necessity, as in (162); and liazim expresses the weakest obligation, as in (163).
bala oqu-may-a bortflu
child study-INF-DAT must
'A child must study (it's the law).' (=It is necessary for a child to study)
(162) bala oqu-maq kerek
child study-INF necessary
'A child must study (in general, in order to do well in school).'
bu kitap-nu oqu-maq liazim
this book-ACC read-INF necessary
'It is necessary to read this book (it might be useful).'

### 2.3.3.6. Ability and possibility

Objective ability and inability are expressed by an infinitive in the dative (see section 2.3.6.1) followed by the verb bil- 'know, be able to,' as in jaz-may-a bilem 'I can write' in the sense of 'I know how to write, I am capable of writing.' This construction is negated on the main verb, as in jaz-may-a bil-me-m 'I cannot (do not know how to) write.' The sense of possibility in terms of the absence of an impediment is expressed by a past converb followed by the verb ol- 'be,' as in jaz-up ol-am 'I can write' (for instance, I have a pencil). This construction is also negated on the finite verb, as in jazup ol-ma-m 'I cannot write (do not have the means to).' The present converb followed by the verb bil- 'be able to' expresses a slightly different sense of possibility, as in jaz-a bilem 'I can write (now that I have a pen).'

Permissive possibility is expressed by a construction with mymkyn 'possible,' as in maya jaz-maq mymkyn 'I can (am allowed to) write.' This construction is negated with degil, as in mana jaz-maq mymkyn degil 'I am not allowed to write.'

### 2.3.4. Voice

### 2.3.4.1. Causative

The causative suffix is attached to transitive and intransitive verb stems. The form of the causative suffix is partially selected by the phonology of the verb stem and partially determined lexically.
(164) Causatives
-t added to polysyllabic stems ending in a vowel, liquid, or j in archaic forms

| ifle-t- | 'force to work' (ifle- 'work') |
| :--- | :--- |
| besle-t- | 'force to treat' (besle-- 'feed, grow') |

quisqar-t- 'make shorter' (quisqar- 'shorten (intrans.)')
sayaj-t- 'heal (make healthy)' (sayaj- 'become healthy')
-It added to stems ending in rk, $1 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}$

| qorq-ut- | 'to scare' (qorq- 'be scared') |
| :--- | :--- |
| sarq-ut- | 'lower' (sarq- 'hang from, be lowered') |
| silk-it- | 'shake up' (silk- 'shake') |

-Ir added to monosyllabic stems ending in $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t} \int, \int$

| bit-ir- | 'finish (smth.)' (bit- 'finish (intrans.)') |
| :--- | :--- |
| bat-ur- | 'sink (smth.), immerse' (bat- 'sink, sink in') |
| utf-ur- | 'allow to fly away' (utf- 'fly') |
| pif-ir- | 'cook, boil (smth.)' (pif- 'cook (intrans.)') |

-Ar added mostly to monosyllabic stems
qop-ar- 'pick (flowers), break off' (qop- 'break off
-DIr added to most other verb stems

| tut-tur- | 'force to hold; give (to hold)' (tut- 'hold') |
| :--- | :--- |
| at-tur- | 'force to throw; shoot' (at- 'throw') |
| qon-dur- | 'force (a bird) to land' (qon- 'land') |
| tøk-tyr- | 'force to spill' (tøk- 'spill') |

The suffixes $-t$, -It, -Ir, -Ar, and -DIr are productive. There are also a number of unproductive causative suffixes in Crimean Tatar, such as $-K I z,-D A r,-s A t,-s I t$, as in tur-sat- 'place upright,' tur- $\gamma u z$ - 'cause to get up' (cf. tur- 'stand'), kir-set- 'lead in, turn on' (cf. kir- 'enter'), av-dar- 'turn over' (cf. $a v$ - 'bend down').

It is possible for more than one causative suffix to appear on a verb stem, as shown in (165). The choice of the allomorph of the causative suffix is determined partially phonologically and partially lexically. Phonologically determined allomorphy is illustrated in (165)a and b. The stem qorq- 'to be afraid' ends in the sequence $r q$ and thus selects a -It allomorph which is then followed by the most general -DIr suffix; the stem bil- 'know' is monosyllabic and thus selects the -DIr allomorph, which is then followed by the $-t$ suffix as it makes the base polysyllabic and ending in a liquid. (165)c illustrates the lexically conditioned allomorphy of two causative suffixes: there is a difference in meaning between -Ir and -DIr used with the verb itf- 'drink.'

| a. qorq-maq <br> qorq-ut-maq  <br> qorq-ut-tur-maq  | 'to be afraid' <br> 'to scare' <br> 'to force to scare' |
| :--- | :--- |
| b.bil-mek <br> bil-dir-mek <br> bil-dir-t-mek | 'to know' |
| c. 'to let know, inform' <br> itf-mek 'to force to inform' <br> itf-ir-mek 'drink' <br> itf-tir-mek 'to make drink, to give a drink' <br> itf-tir-t-mek 'to force to drink' <br> 'to force someone to force someone to drink'  |  |

The use of single and double causatives is further discussed in section 3.1.2.7.

### 2.3.4.2. Passive

The passive voice is formed with the suffixes -(I)l and -(I)n: the suffix -(I)l can combine with both transitive and intransitive verbs, as in afa-l- 'be eaten,' et-il- 'be done,' and the (I) $n$ allomorph is attached to stems that end in $l$, showing $l / n$ dissimilation, as in al-un'be taken,' bul-un-'be found.'

The passive is negated with the negative suffixes $-m A$ or $-m A z$ (depending on the tense), which attach after the passive suffix, as in ketf-il-me-di 'was not to be entered through,' ketf-il-mez 'not to be entered through,' qoj-ul-ma-du 'was not to be put,' qoj-ul-maz 'not to be put.' The same pattern of negation applies to reflexive and reciprocal constructions.

### 2.3.4.3. Reflexive

The reflexive voice is formed with the suffix -(I)n, as in quva-n- 'rejoice,' juv-un- 'wash oneself.' Sometimes, a passive form can have a reflexive meaning, as in as-ul- 'be hung; hang oneself,' sil-in- 'be wiped; wipe oneself,' $t \phi k$ - $y l$ - 'be scattered; scatter oneself,' bo $\gamma$ -ul- 'be strangled, drowned; strangle, drown oneself.'

### 2.3.4.4. Reciprocal

The reciprocal voice is formed with the detransitivizing suffix -(I)f, as in tap-uf- 'find each other,' ayla-f- 'cry together,' utf-uf- 'fly together,' $k \phi r-y f$ - 'see each other, meet.' As with some passive verbs, some verbs with the reciprocal suffix can also belong to the active voice: $t \int e k-i f-$ - suffer,' bula-f- 'become dirty.'

### 2.3.5. Verbal suffixes: order of occurrence

When tense/aspect/modality suffixes co-occur, their order is fixed. The order of occurrence of the suffixes is as illustrated in the table in (166). Suffixes in the same column cannot co-occur in the same word. The order of the suffixes is illustrated in (167).
(166) The order of occurrence of verbal suffixes

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REFL <br> RECIP | CAUS | PASS | NEG | TENSE/ASPECT/MOOD | PERSON/NUMBER |

(167) men juv-un-dur--ul-ma-duu-m

I wash-REFL-CAUS-PASS-NEG-PAST-1SG
'I was not forced to wash myself.'
In general, suffixes occur in a given word only once. However, as will be discussed in section 3.1.2.7, double causatives exist in Crimean Tatar.

### 2.3.6. Non-finite verbs

Non-finite verbs in Crimean Tatar belong to the three following types:
(i) infinitives (or verbal nouns): non-finite verbs of nominal clauses (described in sections 2.3.6.1 and 3.2.2.2.2);
(ii) participles: non-finite verbs of relative clauses (described in sections 2.3.6.2 and 3.2.2.2.3);
(iii) converbs: non-finite verbs of adverbial clauses (described in sections 2.3.6.3 and 3.2.2.2.4).

### 2.3.6.1. Infinitives

Infinitives in Crimean Tatar are formed with the suffix - $m A K$. The infinitive is used as a citation form when the verb is mentioned as a lexical item, e.g., oqu-maq 'to read,' etmek 'to do,' jafa-maq 'to live, to exist.' The infinitive is negated by placing the negative suffix - mA between the verb stem and the infinitive suffix, as in oqu-ma-maq 'not to read,' et-me-mek 'not to do,' jafa-ma-maq 'not to live.'

The infinitive is frequently used with nominal modal predicates, such as kerek 'necessary' and mymkyn 'possible,' as in ifle-mek kerek 'it is necessary to work.' If the infinitive is used in a construction where it is followed by a verb (either non-modal or modal), it is always followed by the dative suffix $-A$ (possessive declension), as in men ifle-mege istejim 'I want to work,' jaz-may-a bilem 'I can (know how to) write.'

### 2.3.6.2. Participles

### 2.3.6.2.1. Past participles

Present/past participles in Crimean Tatar are formed with the suffix $-K A n,(-(j) A n$ in the Southern dialect), as exemplified in (168). Voice suffixes precede the participial suffix and case suffixes follow it.


Negation is formed by adding the negative suffix - $m A$ to the verb stem followed by the participial suffix, as in sun-ma-zan 'not breaking,' jaz-ul-ma-yan 'not written.'

### 2.3.6.2.2. Future participles

Future participles characterize actions that will necessarily happen in the future. Future participles are formed with the suffix $-d_{3} A K$, which follows a verb stem consisting of the verb root and the $-A / j$ suffix, exemplified in (169).
(169) oqu-1-a-dzaq eser 'writing that will be read'
read-PASS-SUF-PTCPL.FUT writing

| sum-a-dzaq araba |
| :--- |
| break-SUF-PTCPL.FUT cart |
| eg-il-e-dzek putaq |
| bend-PASS-SUF-PTCPL.FUT branch |

Negation is formed by adding the negative suffix to the verb stem, followed by the suffix $-j$, followed by the participial suffix, as in al-ma-j-dzaq 'the one who will not take,' ber$m e-j-d 3 e k$ 'the one who will not give.'

### 2.3.6.2.3. Present participles

Present participles in $-(V) r$ (the distribution is identical to the present/future tense) express durative qualities, often projected onto the future, e.g., aq-ar suv 'running water,' jan-ar day 'burning forest,' kel-ir afta 'next (coming) week.' This particle often constitutes the first part of nominal compounds, such as tfal-ar saat 'alarm clock' (=ringing time), jan-ar day 'volcano (=burning mountain).'

Present participles are negated by adding the negative suffix $-m A z$ to the verb stem, as in søn-mez atef 'inextinguishable fire,' sil-in-mez teessurat 'inerasable impression.'

### 2.3.6.3. Converbs

### 2.3.6.3.1. Present converbs

Present converbs are formed with the suffix - $A$ after consonants and $-j$ after vowels, as in kel-e 'coming,' oqu-j 'writing.' This converb is used in combination with another verb, e.g., kel-e jat-maq 'to come closer,' ol-a bil-ir 'may be, may happen,' ket-e ber-iyiz 'let (us) go,' etc. The negative suffix is placed on the converb, as in afuq-ma-j kete 'he/she goes without hurrying,' quiburda-ma-j tura 'remains unmoving.'

A similar present converb is formed with the suffix -( $j$ )ArAK, as in ol-araq 'being,' bafla-jaraq 'beginning.' The use of this construction is exemplified in (170).
(170) bu if-ni bafla-jaraq bitir-meli this work-ACC begin-CONV.PRES finish-OBLIG
'This work needs to be finished fast.' (=One must begin and finish the work)

### 2.3.6.3.2. Past converbs

Past converbs are formed with the suffix -(I)p, as in kel-ip 'having come,' jap-up 'having done,' de-p 'having said.' An example of the use of the -(I)p converb is given in (171). In this case, the converb denotes the first (non-final) in a series of actions, with the last action of the clause represented by a finite verb.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (171) } & \text { asan ev-ge } & \text { kel-ip } & \text { ev-ni } & \text { temiz-le-di } \\ & \text { Asan } & \text { house-dAT } & \text { come-CONV.PAST } & \text { house-ACC } & \text { clean-vB-PAST }\end{array}$
'Asan came home and cleaned the house.'

### 2.3.7. Verbal derivation

### 2.3.7.1. Suffixation

The following are productive suffixes of verbal derivation in Crimean Tatar.
(172) Denominal and deadjectival verb-forming suffixes

| Suffix | Examples |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1A | temiz-le- 'clean' <br> clean-vB |
|  | aq-la- 'whiten' white-VB |
|  | tif-le- 'bite' tooth-vB |
|  | baf-la- 'begin' head-vb |
| -1An | ava-lan- 'air, refresh' ava-VB |
|  | dzan-lan- 'revive, come to life' life-vB |
| -sirA, -surn | juqu-sura- 'doze' dream-VB |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ayla-sura- 'cry' } \\ & \text { cry-VB } \end{aligned}$ |
| -(II)dA | uv-ulda- 'howl (about the wind)' the sound of the wind-vB (onomatopoetic) |
|  | gyr-ylde- 'thunder, hoot' thunder-vB (onomatopoetic) |
| -(A)r | qara-r- 'become black' black-VB |


|  | køk-er- 'become green' <br> green-vB <br> deli-r- 'loose one's mind' crazy-vB <br> baf-ar- 'manage' <br> head-vB <br> sar-ar- 'become yellow'11 <br> yellow-vB |
| :---: | :---: |
| -sA | suv-sa- 'be thirsty (wish for water)' water-vB <br> tytyn-se- 'wish to smoke' <br> tobacco-VB |
| -ik | ket $\int-$ ik- 'be late' <br> late-vB |

(173) Deverbal verb-forming suffixes

| Suffix | Examples |
| :--- | :--- |
| -KAlA | tfek-kele- 'draw over, overhaul' <br> pull/carry-VB |
| -mAlA | Saf-mala- 'be lost' <br> be.surprised-vB |

### 2.3.7.2. Compounding

Compound verbs are common in Crimean Tatar. They are made up of two parts, the first part being a bare nominal (most commonly a noun or an adjective, and more rarely a numeral or an adverb) or a converb, and the second part being a verb used as a free auxiliary, such as et- 'do,' ol- 'be,' ber- 'give,' and others. Examples of nominal + auxiliary compound verbs are given in (174), and examples of converb + auxiliary compound verbs are in (175).

[^7](174) Compound verbs (nominal + auxiliary)
a. etmek
laf etmek 'to converse' (laf 'conversation')
if etmek 'to get a job done' (if 'work')
vaade etmek 'to promise' (vaade 'promise')
ant etmek 'to swear'(ant 'oath')
joq etmek 'to destroy, loose' (joq 'no')
b. olmaq
razu olmaq 'to agree' (razu 'agreeable')
c. bermek
dzevap bermek 'to answer' (dzevap 'answer')
razluq bermek 'to permit' (razluq 'permission')
d. other verbs
xof kelmek 'to be pleasant' (xof 'pleasant,' kelmek 'to come')
tytyn ketJmek 'to smoke' (tytyn 'tobacco,' ketSmek 'to pull')
(175) Compound verbs (past converb + auxiliary)

| alup kelmek | 'to bring' <br> (alup 'having taken,' kelmek 'to come') |
| :---: | :---: |
| alup bermek | 'to buy' <br> (alup 'having taken,' bermek 'to give') |
| alup ketmek | 'to take away' <br> (alup 'having taken,' ketmek 'to leave') |
| jazup almaq | 'to note, write down' <br> (jazup 'having written,' almaq 'to take') |
| kijip baqmaq | 'to try on (clothing)' <br> (kijip 'having put on,' baqmaq 'to see') |
| ty $\int$ ynip tapmaq | 'to invent, contrive' <br> (tyJynip 'having thought,' tapmaq 'find') |

### 2.4. Postpositions

There are no prepositions in Crimean Tatar. Postpositions take noun phrase complements and govern one of the Crimean Tatar morphological cases (specifically, dative, genitive, and ablative). Most postpositions are not inflected; however, a number of postpositions are formed from nouns by the addition of possessive and case markers.

In what follows, we list some of the most commonly used postpositions along with the cases they govern and examples. The first group, illustrated in (176), includes postpositions that govern the dative case, most of which contain some semantics of destination (i.e., towards a place, a point in time, or a person).
(176) Postpositions that govern the dative case

| Postposition | Meaning | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| doyru | 'straight to' | ev-ge doyru 'straight to the house' |
| kore | 'according to' | ma-ya kore 'to my mind' |
| qadar | 'until' | aqfam-ya qadar 'until the evening' |
| taba | 'towards' | ev-ge taba 'towards the house' |
| taraf | 'towards' | ev-ge taraf 'towards the house' |

The postpositions in (177) govern the genitive case, as illustrated by the examples with pronouns, such as siz-iy kibi 'like you,' sen-iy ile 'with you.' However, when these postpositions combine with nouns, the noun never has an overt genitive marker, as in day kibi 'like a mountain,' mufuqq ile 'with the cat.'
(177) Postpositions that govern the genitive case

| Postposition | Meaning | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dajum <br> (-daj) | 'as, like' | sen-iy dajum 'like you' <br> mufuq dajun 'like a cat' |
| ile (-le) | 'with' | sen-in ile 'with you' <br> mufuq ile 'with a cat' |
| -nen'12 | 'with' | sen-in-nen 'with you' <br> mufuq-nen 'with a cat' |

[^8]| sajunn ${ }^{13}$ | 'as far as' | juul sajun 'with each year' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| it $\int y n\left(-t \int y n\right)$ | 'for, in order to' | o-num itfyn 'for him' <br> vatan-umuzz itfyn 'for our motherland' |
| kibi | 'as, like' | siz-iy kibi 'like you' <br> day kibi 'like a mountain |

The postposition itfyn 'for, in order to' can also follow infinitives, as in bil-mek itfyn 'in order to know,' bar-maq itfyn 'in order to walk.'

The postposition nen 'with' often serves as a coordinating conjunction that links two nouns or noun phrases together, as the examples in (178) demonstrate. nen attaches to the first member of the compound and follows possessive suffixes.
bita-m-nen qartbaba
ana-m-num baba-suu-nen ana-suu 'grandmother and grandfather'
'my mother's mother and father'

The postpositions in (179) are spatial and temporal deictics that also govern the genitive case, as they are constructed from nouns and thus exhibit the typical morphology that one would expect to see on the second component of a noun-noun construction. The nouns that they govern may exhibit overt case markers, but very often the case suffix can be omitted on the noun, as in qaja tybynde 'under the rock,' suv yzerinde 'on the water,' $e v$ itfinde 'inside the house.'
(179) Postpositions (special and temporal deictics) that govern the genitive case

| Postposition | Meaning | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| altunda | 'under' | sofra-num altunda 'under the table' |
| aldunda | 'in front of' | balalar-nuug alduunda in front of the children' |
| artunda | 'behind' | ev-nit artunda 'behind the house' |
| bafunda | 'at the beginning' | afta-num bafunda 'at the beginning of the week' |
| zarfunda | 'during' | afta-nuy zarfunda 'during the week' |

[^9]| it $\int$ inde | 'inside' | ev-nit itfinde 'inside the house' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yzerinde | 'on top of' | suv yzerinde 'on the water' |
| ystynde | 'on top of' | jaf ${ }^{\text {jik }}$ ystynde 'on top of the box' |
| tybynde | 'under' | jas ${ }^{\text {jik }}$ tybynde 'under the box' |
| øgynde | 'in front of, before' | ev $\emptyset$ gynde 'in front of the house' |
| janunda | 'next to' | mektep janumda 'next to a school' |
| ortasunda | 'in the middle of' | Seer-niy ortasunda 'in the center (middle) of the city' |
| arasunda | 'between' | olar arasunda 'between them' |
| turfarusunda | 'outside of' | ev-nit tufarusumda 'outside of the house' |
| qarfusumda | 'across from' | ev-imiz-niy qarfusunda 'across from our house' |
| aqqunda | 'about' | quirum aqquinda 'about Crimea' o-num aqquunda 'about him' |

The locative case suffix - $d a$ that appears on the prepositions in (179) shows location in space or time. The dative and the ablative are used with the prepositions in (179) to show motion toward or away from the location, respectively. These cases are productive with most postpositions in this category (except aqquinda 'about'). An example with the spatial deictic in the dative case is in (180), and an example with the spatial deictic in the ablative case is in (181).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (180) men kitap-nü jajik-niy } & \text { itf-i-ne } & \text { qoj-duu-m } \\
\text { I book-ACC box-GEN } & \text { in-3SG.POSS-DAT } & \text { put-PAST-1SG } \\
& \text { 'I put the book into the box.' } & \\
\text { (181) } & & & & \\
\text { men kitap-nue krovat } & \text { tyb-y-nden } & \text { al-duu-m } \\
& \text { I book-ACC bed.GEN under-3SG.POSS-ABL } & \text { take-PAST-1SG } \\
\end{array}
$$

The postpositions in (179) can be declined by person and number. For instance, aqquinda 'about' (composed as aqq-u-nda 'truth-3SG.POSS-LOC' ${ }^{14}$ ) can decline as menim aqqumda 'about me,' seniy aqquiyda 'about you,' bizim aqqumuzda 'about us,' siziŋ aqquøuzda 'about you (pl).'

Postpositions that govern the ablative case are shown in (182).
(182) Postpositions that govern the ablative case

| Postposition | Meaning | Examples |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bafqa | 'except' | sen-den bafqa 'except you' |
| yajru | 'except' | evler-den |
| sojajru 'except houses' |  |  |
| øgyne | 'after' | jaymur-dan soy 'after the rain' |
| sebep | 'before' | dzenk-ten $\emptyset$ gyne 'before the war' |
| berli | 'from that time' | Ju-ndan sebep 'because of this' <br> t |
| eveq-tan berli 'for a long time' | 'before' | if-ten evel 'before work' |
| burun | 'before, in advance' | o-ndan burun 'before him' (dial.) |

## 3. Syntax

The basic constituent order in Crimean Tatar is subject - object - predicate (SOV). The general tendency is to place the verb at the absolute end of the sentence. Phraseinternally, modifiers precede heads. Both the NP and VP are strongly head-marking, with inflectional suffixes attaching to the head noun or verb. The basic word order is illustrated in (183).
(183) bala kitap-nu oqu-j
child.NOM book-ACC read-PRES
'The child is reading the book.'

All nouns in a sentence are inflected for case and number: in (183), the subject bala 'child' is in the nominative case, and the direct object kitap-nu 'the book' is in the accusative case. Pronouns are also inflected for case and number. Verbs are inflected for tense, person, number, mood, and voice; for instance, oqu-j in (183) is in the present tense, $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular, indicative mood, active voice.

The verbal predicate obligatorily agrees with the subject in person and number, as illustrated in (184).

[^10](184) siz mektyp-ni jaz-duu-yuz
you.PL letter-ACC write-PAST-2PL
'You wrote the letter.'
The nominal predicate also agrees with the subject in person and number, in which case agreement is expressed through a predicate-forming suffix that attaches to the nominal predicate, as in (185). If the subject is in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, the overt predicateforming suffix is optional.
(185) sen quirumtatar-suuy
you Crimean.Tatar-PRED.2PL
'You are a Crimean Tatar.'

Typologically, Crimean Tatar is a strongly agglutinating language that has suffixal inflectional and derivational morphology, as is the case for most Turkic languages.

### 3.1. Phrase types

### 3.1.1. The noun phrase

In noun phrases, modifiers precede heads, as in gyzel tfetfek 'beautiful flower,' bu tfet $\int e k$ 'this flower,' bu gyzel tfetfek 'this beautiful flower,' bu ytf gyzel tfetfek 'these three beautiful flowers.' Nouns and personal pronouns in nominal phrases are inflected for number and case, while adjectives are not inflected, as in kytfyk koj-den 'from a small village (=small village-ABL).' When more than one adjective occurs in a nominal phrase, a particular order is required by the semantics of the adjectives. For instance, adjectives describing size precede adjectives describing color, as in balaban mavu ev 'big blue house' vs. *mavu balaban ev 'blue big house', possible only under emphasis. Comparative adjectives and superlative adjective constructions precede nouns, as in balaban-d3a ev 'bigger house,' en balaban ev 'the biggest house.'

Pronouns are inflected for number and case, as illustrated in (186).
(186) o-num balaban mavur ev-i-nde he.SG-GEN big blue house-3sG.POSS-LOC 'in his big blue house'

Numerals are not inflected and precede nouns in noun phrases, as in (187).
men jedi ev-ni kør-e-m
I seven house-ACC see-PRES-1SG
'I see seven houses.'

When a cardinal numeral precedes a noun, the plural on the noun is omitted, as in jedi ev 'seven houses' (jedi ev-ler with the overt plural suffix is possible in colloquial speech). Ordinal numbers can be inflected when function as nouns, as illustrated in (188).

> men ev-ler-nig bir-ind3i-si-nde jafa-j-um
> I house-PL-GEN one-ORD-3SG.POSS-LOC live-PRES-1SG
> 'I live in the $7^{\text {th }}$ house.' ('I live in the $7^{\text {th }}$ of the houses.')

Possessive constructions in Crimean Tatar are formed like in most other Turkic languages. The possessive suffix indicates whether the possessor is $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$, or $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, singular or plural, as illustrated in (189). Possessive suffixes surface on the possessee, and the example in (189)b illustrates that both pronominal possessors and the genitive case on the possessor are optional (in colloquial speech).
a. men-im dost-um-num odza-sur

I-1sG.POSS friend-1sG.POSS-GEN teacher-3sG.POSS
b. dost-um odza-sur
friend-1SG.pOSS.GEN teacher-3SG.POSS
'my friend's teacher'
Demonstrative modifiers do not inflect for case if they modify an overt noun that is declined, as in bu ev-de 'in this house (=this house-LOC).' If there is no overt modified noun, they are inflected for case, as in bu-ndan bafqa 'except this (=this-ABL except).'

NPs can be conjoined by coordinating conjunctions. ve 'and,' the most frequent coordinating conjunction, is illustrated in (190).
(190) asan ve veli ev-ge kel-di-ler Asan and Veli house-dat come-Past-3pl
'Asan and Veli came home.'

There are several possible ways to conjoin nouns and pronouns, such as using the coordinating conjunction ve, as in Asan ve men 'Asan and I,' the postposition -nen, as in Asan men-nen 'Asan with me,' and the postposition ile, as in Asan men ile 'Asan and I together.'

Enumerating reduplicated conjunctions such as $d A \ldots d A$, ve ... ve, em ... em, emphasize the equal status and function of two or more persons or objects, such as men de Asan da, ve men ve Asan, em men em Asan 'both Asan and I.'

Disjunction markers are amma, l'akin 'but,' and ise 'and, but, whereas.' The overt disjunction marker is optional in Crimean Tatar, as illustrated in (191).
(191) bu qarandaf degil (amma) qalem-dir this pencil not but pen-PRED 'This is not a pencil, but a pen.'

The disjunction marker ise can never be the first word in a clause and generally follows the noun or noun phrase it contrasts, as in (192).
(192) Remzije jurla-j Mafuze ise ojna-j

Remziye sing-pres Mafuze whereas dance-Pres
'Remziye is singing, and (whereas) Mafuze is dancing.'

### 3.1.2. The finite verb phrase

### 3.1.2.1. Intransitive verbs

As defined in Levin \& Rappoport Hovav (1999), an unergative verb has an external argument but no direct internal argument: NP [vp V]. An unaccusative verb has a direct internal argument but no external argument: __ [ VP V NP/CP]. Crimean Tatar exhibits the same case marking for the subject and the same subject-verb word order for both unergative (193)a and unaccusative (193)b intransitive verbs.
a. o bala ojna-j
that child.NOM dance-PRES
'That child dances.'
b. qajuq bat-tur
boat.NOM sink-PAST
'The boat sank.'

### 3.1.2.2. Transitive verbs

In a verb phrase with a transitive verb, the direct object precedes the verb. Adverbs are typically positioned before the direct object, as illustrated in (194), unless they are topicalized. The case marking is nominative for the subject and overt or null accusative for the direct object, unless case is lexically assigned by the verb.
(194) o bala tynevin kitap-nu oqu-dur that child.NOM yesterday book-ACC read-PAST
'That child read the book yesterday.'

### 3.1.2.3. Coordination

VPs can occasionally be conjoined by means of the coordinating conjunction ve. However, in its function of conjoining two phrases, $v e$ is almost always replaced by the converb suffix -(I)p, as demonstrated in (195). Note that there are three converbs in this example (tur-up 'getting up', ajlan-up 'turning around', and baq-up 'looking') that denote a series of actions, with only the last action mentioned in the sentence ( $t f u q-a-m$ 'I go out') represented by a finite verb.
(195) men saba erte tur-up baf-ta

I morning early get.up-CONV.PAST head-LOC

| bir | azbar-lar-nuu | ajlan-up | baq-up | tfuqq-a-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | yard-PL-3SG.POSS ${ }^{15}$ | turn-CONV.PAST | look-CONV.PAST | go out-PRES-1SG |

'I get up early in the morning and go outside, looking around the yard.'
The example in (196) illustrates an instance of coordination in the compound past construction with a copula verb. Two non-finite verbs denoting coordinated events, jat-a 'lying' and juqla-j ‘sleeping', are followed by the past copula.
(196) biz bir kesek bala jat-a juqla-j edi-k we one piece child lie-CONV sleep-CONV.PAST COP.PAST-1pL 'We, the children, were asleep.'

### 3.1.2.4. The function of cases

### 3.1.2.4.1. Nominative

The nominative case can serve as a marker of the subject of the sentence, as in (197), or as a marker of the complement of the verb ol- 'to be, to become,' as in (198).
(197) bala kitap oqu-j
child.NOM book.ACC read-PRES
'The child is reading a book.'
(198) biz-ler jax $\int \mathrm{u}$ qomfu-lar edi-k
we.NOM-PL good neighbor-PL COP.PAST-1PL
'We were good neighbors.'

[^11]In addition to its argument-marking functions, the nominative case is used after numerals, as in bef kitap 'five books,' and in some temporal expressions, such as ketfken sene 'last year.'

The form of the vocative is identical to the nominative, as in odya-m! '(My) teacher!'.

### 3.1.2.4.2. Accusative

The accusative case suffix marks the object of a verb when it is definite, e.g., kitap-nu 'the book' in (199).
(199) o bala tynevin kitap-nue quz-tfurq-qa ber-di that boy yesterday book-ACC girl-DIM-DAT give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave the book to the girl.'

An indefinite object of a verb does not have an overt accusative case marker, so the form of the noun is identical to the nominative, as shown in (200) ('a book' is indefinite), (201) (this is the first time the direct object, 'dough,' is mentioned in a recipe), and (202) (this is the first time the child is mentioned in a fairy tale).

| (200) | bala kitap oqu-j |
| :--- | :--- |
| child book.ACC read-PRES |  |
|  | 'The child reads a book.' |

(201) men xamur bas-tur-m

I dough.ACC mix-PAST-1SG
'I mixed (some) dough.'
(202) o ket-ip tur-yan-da bir bala rastkelj - e. he go-CONV stop-PTCPL-LOC one child.ACC meet-PRES 'On his way, he meets a child.'

The definiteness-marking accusative does not co-occur with adverbs of quantity, as in $t$ Joq $t$ fetfek 'many flowers,' *tfoq tfetfek-ni 'the many flowers' (however, tJoq tfetfek-ler 'many flowers' with the overt plural suffix and tfoq tfetfek-ler-ni 'many flowers' with overt plural and accusative suffixes are both possible).

The accusative can be either overt or null if the object is modified by an adjective, as in the examples in (203) and (204).
(203) quz-tfuqq dylber tfetfek-ni yz-di
girl-DIM beautiful flower-ACC pick-PAST
'The girl picked the beautiful flower.'
(204) quz-t $\int$ uqq dylber $t$ fet $\int$ ek $y z-d i$ girl-DIM beautiful flower.ACC pick-PAST 'The girl picked a beautiful flower.'

The accusative suffix is always overt in the following cases:
(a) If the direct object is known to the speaker and the addressee, as in (205) (cf. the example in (206) when the object is not known to the speaker and/or addressee):
(205) ev-ni temiz-le-mek kerek
house-ACC clean-VB-INF necessary
'It is necessary to clean the house.'
(206) ev temiz-le-mek kerek
house.ACC clean-VB-INF necessary
'It is necessary to clean one's house.'
(b) If the direct object is possessed, as shown by the presence of the possessive suffix and preceding genitive possessor in (207) and (208):
(207)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { men } & \text { o-num } & \text { ev-i-ni } & \text { kør-di-m } \\ \text { I } & \text { he-GEN } & \text { house-3SG.POSS-ACC } & \text { see-PAST-1SG }\end{array}$
'I saw his house.'
(208) quaz-bala-num dost-u-nuu men jax $\int \mathrm{ur}$ bil-e-m. girl-child-GEN friend-3sG.pOSS-ACC I well know-PRES-1SG 'I know the girl's friend well.'
(c) If the direct object is a proper noun, such as a place name or a personal name, as in (209), or if it is modified by a demonstrative, as in (210):
(209) quirum-nui al-du-lar

Crimea-ACC take-PAST-PL
'They took Crimea.'
(210) quz-tfuaq bu tfetfek-ni yz-di
girl-dIM this flower-ACC pick-PAST
'The girl picked this flower.'
(d) If the direct object is a demonstrative that does not modify a noun, as in (211):
(211) men bu-nuu bil-e-m

I this-ACC know-PRES-1SG
'I know this.'
(e) If the direct object has been mentioned previously, as in the second sentence in (212).
(212) bita-su musurboydaj pif-ir-di
grandmother-3pL.pOSS corn.ACC cook-CAUS-PAST
'Their grandmother cooked (some) corn.'
musurboydaj-nuu afa-du-lar
corn-ACC eat-PAST-PL
'They ate the corn.'

### 3.1.2.4.3. Genitive

The genitive case expresses a possessive or qualifying relation of one nominal to another, such as 'my brother' and 'brother's eyes' in (213).
(213) men-im aya-m-num køz-ler-i kok

I-GEN brother-1SG.POSS-GEN eye-PL-3SG.POSS blue
'My brother's eyes are blue.'
The genitive case is usually overt when the qualifying noun is possessed, as in (213), even though it can be omitted dialectally, as in men aya-m-nuy k $\phi z$-ler-i or men aya-m køz-ler-i 'my brother's eyes.'

The genitive case is usually omitted when the qualifying noun is attributed, as in kitap tyk'an-u 'book store-3sG.POSS,' alma furas-u' 'apple juice-3sG.POss,' aqjar feer-i 'Sevastopol city-3sG.POSS (=the city of Sevastopol).'

Many postpositions take genitive complements, such as sen-iy dajun 'like you,' sofra-nuy altuinda 'under the table,' etc. (see section 2.4 for the discussion of postpositions).

### 3.1.2.4.4. Dative

The dative case has several uses: most commonly, it marks the indirect object of a verb, as in (214), where 'the girl' is the recipient of the action.
(214) o bala tynevin kitab-u-nuu quz-tfuq-qa ber-di that boy yesterday book-3SG.POSS-ACC girl-DIM-DAT give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave his book to the girl.'

The dative case can also be used to denote the place to which an action is directed, as in (215) and (216).
(215) fildzan taban-ya ty $\int-\mathrm{ti}$
cup floor-DAT fall-PAST
'The cup fell on the floor.'
(216) suv-ya tuz qof-maq kerek
water-DAT salt add-INF necessary
'It is necessary to add salt into the water.'
In Crimean Tatar, a number of verbs take nouns in lexical (inherent) dative case as complements. Among these verbs are baq- 'look at,' inan- 'believe,' qajt- 'return,' sevin- 'be happy (about),' afuq- 'hurry for,' uj- 'match, comply (with),' and many others. Some compound verbs, such as jardum et- 'help' (help + make), exemplified in (217), also take complements in the dative case.

| (217) | ana bala-sul-na jardum et-ti |
| :--- | :--- |
| mother child-3sG.POSS-dAT help make-PAST |  |
| 'The mother helped her child.' |  |

Another use of the dative case is in verbal constructions denoting obligation or necessity, as in (218). This construction consists of a non-finite clause expressing the desired state followed by the dative case followed by the finite verb.

| (218) | ifle-meg-e |
| :--- | :--- |
| work-INF-DAT | iste-j-im |
| want-PRES-1SG |  |
| 'I want to work.' |  |

Many postpositions take dative complements, such as ev-ge taba 'towards the house,' aqfam-za qadar 'until the evening,' etc. (see section 2.4 for the discussion of postpositions).

### 3.1.2.4.5. Locative

The locative case expresses location in place, as illustrated in (219) through (221) with an increasing degree of abstraction: in (219) the place is an actual physical location, (220) shows a locative construction, and the location in (221) is a concept. Locative denoting location in time is illustrated in (222).

| (219) | men-im | dost-um | bu | ev-de |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I-GEN | friend-1sG.POSS | this | house-LOC | live-PRES |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'My friend lives in this house.' |  |  |  |

(220) ana-m-da musafir bar
mother-1SG.POSS-LOC guest exist
'At my mother's there is a guest.'
(221) okupatsija-da ol-du-q occupation-LOC be-PAST-1PL 'We were in occupation (occupied, invaded).'
(222) bala ev-den saat bef-te ket-ti child house-ABL time five-LOC leave-PAST 'The boy left the house at 5 .'

Additionally, verbs of location, such as jafa- 'live,' jyr- 'walk around,' otur- 'sit,' take complements in the locative case (223).
(223) bala divan-da otur-a boy sofa-LOC sit-PRES 'The boy is sitting on the sofa.'

### 3.1.2.4.6. Ablative

The ablative case can have several meanings. Ablative denoting the point of departure is illustrated in (224); in the sentence in (225), the ablative is used to express "place through which."
(224) quirq dørt-ynd3i sene-ler-i biz-ler-ni qurumtatar-lar-nuu forty four-NUM year-PL-3PL.POSS we-PL-3PL.POSS Crimean.Tatar-PL-ACC
qurum-dan tfefit-tyrly jer-ler-ge køtf-yr-di-ler.
Crimea-ABL different place-PL-DAT move-CAUS-PAST-PL
'In 1944 we Crimean Tatars were relocated from Crimea to different places.'
(225) aya-m kim-ni pendzere-den kør-di brother-1SG who-ACC window-ABL see-PAST 'Whom did my brother see through the window?'

The ablative can also have a partitive meaning, as in (226).
(226) olar-dan tsoq-u-sur
they-ABL many-3sG.POSS-3sG.pOss
'many of them'

A number of verbs such as ayla- 'cry' (as in quvantf-u-ndan ayla-maq 'to cry from (one's) joy'), bez- 'to be fed up with' (as in men bu-ndan bez-di-m 'I am fed up with this'), qorq- 'be afraid' (as in køpek-ten qorq-maq 'to be afraid of the dog'), tut- 'take, grab' (as in qol-u-ndan tut-maq 'to grab by someone's hand') take complements in the ablative case.

Postpositions that govern the ablative case include sebep 'because of,' as in $\int u$ ndan sebep 'because of this,' evel 'before,' as in if-ten evel 'before work,' son 'after,' as in jaymur-dan son 'after the rain,' and many others (see section 2.4 for the discussion of postpositions).

### 3.1.2.5. Ditransitives

In ditransitives, the direct object can either precede or follow the indirect object. The order of the constituents depends on the definiteness of the direct object. If the direct object is definite, as in (227) and (228), it precedes the indirect object, and if the direct object is indefinite, it follows the indirect object, as in (229) and (230), regardless of the indirect object's definiteness status in both cases.
(227) DO - definite; IO - definite
o bala tynevin kitab-w-nuu bu quz-tfuq-qa ber-di that boy yesterday book-3sG.POSS-ACC this girl-DIM-DAT give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave his book to this girl.'
(228) DO - definite; IO - indefinite
o bala tynevin kitab-u-nuu bir quz-tfuqq-qa ber-di
that boy yesterday book-3SG.POSS-ACC a girl-DIM-DAT give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave his book to a girl.'
(229) DO - indefinite; IO - indefinite
o bala tynevin bir quz-tfuxq-qa alma ber-di that boy yesterday a girl-DIM-DAT apple give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave an apple to a girl.'
(230) DO - indefinite; IO - definite
o bala tynevin fu quz-tfuq-qa alma ber-di that boy yesterday this girl-dim-dat apple give-PAST 'Yesterday that boy gave an apple to the girl.'

### 3.1.2.6. Adverbial modifiers

Adverbials can modify either a verb phrase, as in (231), or an adjective/adverb, as in pek jaxju 'very good,' where jaxfu is either an adjective or an adverb. When an adverb modifies a verb, it normally surfaces directly before that verb, as in (231)a. Under
emphasis, it can also surface before the verb phrase, as in (231)b or sentence-initially, as in (231)c.
a. bala ev-den tez tfurq-tur
child house-ABL quickly leave-PAST
'The child left the house quickly.'
b. bala tez ev-den tfuq-tur
c. tez bala ev-den tfuqq-tur

### 3.1.2.7. Causatives

The causative suffixes discussed in section 2.3.4.1 can attach to both intransitive and transitive verbs. Causativization transitivizes an intransitive verb, as shown in examples (232), with the intransitive verb 'to sink,' and (233), where the verb is causativized.
(232) gemi bat-tur
boat sink-PAST
'The boat sank.'
(233) denizdzi gemi-ni bat-ur-dur
sailor boat-ACC sink-CAUS-PAST
'The sailor sank the boat.'

The examples in (233) and (234) illustrate the difference between the two causative suffixes, -Ir and -DIr. The use of -DIr in (234) emphasizes that the beneficiary of the action is not the causer.
(234) denizd3i kapitan-num ridza-sur-na kore
sailor captain-GEN request-3sG.POSS-DAT according
gemi-ni bat-tur-duu
boat-ACC sink-CAUS-PAST
'The sailor sank the boat according to the captain's request (i.e., for the captain).'

When a causative suffix attaches to a transitive verb, it expresses the concepts of causing, making, letting, or forcing to. In causative constructions, the direct object remains in the accusative case, and the causee is expressed by a dative-marked noun phrase, as in (236) (the corresponding non-causative construction is in (235)).
bala suv itf-e
child.NOM water.ACC drink-PRES
'The child drinks water.'

| ana | bala-suu-na | suv | itf-ir-di |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mother.NOM | child-3SG.POSS-DAT | water.ACC | drink-CAUS-PAST | 'The mother gave her child water to drink.'

The sentence in (237) illustrates that the use of the causative suffix -DIr in this case results in "stronger" causation, i.e., the causer is forcing the causee to do the action. The use of a double causative is illustrated in (238), where it conveys a more abstract meaning.

| ana bala-sul-na | suv itf-tir-di |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mother.NOM child-3sG.POSS-DAT | water.ACC drink-CAUS-PAST |

'The mother forced her child to drink water.'

| ana | bala-sur-na | suv $\quad$ itf-tir-t-ti |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mother.NOM | child-3SG.POSS-DAT | water.ACC drink-CAUS-CAUS-PAST |
| 'The mother made her child drink water (= to quench his thirst)' |  |  |

The example in (239) shows that in the case of a causativized ditransitive verb, such as ber- 'give,' the direct object 'toy' remains in the accusative, and both the causee and the beneficiary are in the dative case.

| (239) | ana | bala-sum-na | quzz-tjuq-qa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mother.NOM | child-3sG.POSS-DAT | girl-DIM-DAT |
|  | ojundzay-mu- | ber-dir-t-ti |  |
|  | toy-3sG.Poss | CC give-CAUS-CA | PAST |

'The mother forced her child to give his toy to the girl.'

### 3.1.2.8. Passives

The passive suffix -(I) $l /-(I) n$, discussed in section 2.3.4.2, can combine with transitive and intransitive verbs. A transitive verb is usually passivized in order to topicalize the direct object and to suppress the agent of the action, as shown in the example of an active sentence in (240) and its passive equivalent in (241). The direct object of a transitive verb becomes the subject under passivization. When the agent is overt in a passive sentence, it is expressed by an ablative noun phrase, as shown in (242).
(240) bu ev-ni alel-adzele sat-a-dzaq-lar
this house-ACC very.quickly sell-sF-FUT-3pL
'They will sell this house very quickly.'
(241) bu ev tezden sat-ul-a-d3aq
this house soon sell-PASS-SF-FUT
'This house will be sold soon.'
(242) qapur jel-den atf-uul-duu
door wind-ABL open-PASS-PAST
'The door opened from the wind.'
The passivization of an intransitive verb produces an impersonal passive construction, as in (243). Impersonal sentences cannot have agent phrases: no particular person or group of people is understood to be performing the action described by such verbs. The closest English equivalents of the sentence in (243) are active sentences with 'people,' 'one,' or the impersonal 'you' as subjects.
(243) bu qapul-dan kir-il-mez
this door-ABL enter-PASS-NEG
'One does not enter through this door.'
'This door is not to be entered through.'

### 3.1.2.9. Reflexives

Reflexive constructions, discussed in section 2.3.4.3, are formed with the suffix -(I)n. The reflexive suffix combines only with transitive verbs and has a detransitivizing function. In reflexive constructions, the agent performs the action on himself or herself, as in (244).
(244) men kør-yn-di-m

I see-REFL-PAST-1SG
'I saw myself.'

### 3.1.2.10. Reciprocals

Reciprocal constructions are intransitive constructions that describe an action that (at least) two agents perform upon each other. The verb in such constructions is marked with the reciprocal suffix -If, as shown in (245) and (246). The first sentence contains a transitive verb with a noun complement in the accusative case. The reciprocal verb, however, cannot have a direct object, and so its subject must be either plural or compounded in order to represent both the subject and the direct object of the nonreciprocal sentence, such as ana-bala 'the mother and the child' in (246). An overt
expression of 'each other' with the postposition -nen 'with' is possible in a reciprocal clause, as in (247).

| bala | ana-sur-nur | tap-tur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child.nOM | mother-3sG.POSS-ACC | find-PAST |

'The child found his mother.'
(246) ana-bala tap-uf-tur-lar
mother-child.NOM find-RECIP-PAST-3PL
'The mother and the child found each other.'
(247) olar bir-bir-i-nen ajt-uf-tuu-lar
they.NOM one-one-3sG.POSS-wITH talk-RECIP-PAST-3pL
'They spoke with each other.'

### 3.2. Sentence types

### 3.2.1. Simple sentences

Simple sentences in Crimean Tatar can contain a finite verbal predicate (section 3.2.1.1) or a nominal/adjectival predicate (section 3.2.1.2).

### 3.2.1.1. Simple sentences with a verbal predicate

Simple verbal sentences have finite verb phrases as predicates, as illustrated in (248), (249), and (250) and exemplified by an intransitive, transitive, and ditransitive verb, respectively. The subject always precedes the predicate.

(248) | qajuq | bat-tur |
| :--- | :--- |
| boat.NOM | sink-PAST |

(249) o bala kitap oqu-j
that child.NOM book.ACC read-PRES
'That child is reading a book.'
(250) men-im aya-m quiz-tfuq-qa alma ber-di

I-GEN brother-1SG.POSS girl-DIM-DAT apple.ACC give-PAST
'My brother gave an apple to the girl.'
In addition to the obligatory direct object and oblique case-marked complements discussed in section 3.1.2, the verb phrase can include a variety of optional spatial or
temporal adjuncts. The adjuncts can be either true adverbs, as in (251) or they can be created from noun phrases with oblique case suffixes or postpositions, as in (252).
(251) men jalta-ya tynevin bar-dur-m

I Yalta-DAT yesterday go-PAST-1SG
'Yesterday I went to Yalta.'
(252) men-im dost-um bu ev-de jafa-j

I-GEN friend-1sG.POSS this house-LOC live-PRES
'My friend lives in this house.'
Crimean Tatar is a pro-drop language: personal pronouns that act as subjects of sentences with verbal predicates can be omitted. Pro-drop is possible with all pronouns; (253)a shows the omission of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular pronoun, and (253)b shows the omission of the $1^{\text {st }}$ person singular pronoun.
a. jalta-ya bar-du

Yalta-DAT go-PAST
'(He) went to Yalta.'
b. jalta-ya bar-du-m

Yalta-dAT go-PAST-1SG
'(I) went to Yalta.'

### 3.2.1.2. Simple sentences with a nominal or adjectival predicate

The sentences in (254) and (255) illustrate structures containing nominal predicates. Equative sentences with a nominal predicate use a predicate marker (optional in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person) in the present tense, as in (254), a full copula verb in the past tense (255), and the verb ol- 'be' with the categorical future suffix - $d_{3} A K$ in the future tense, as in (256).
(254) men-im odza-m qart adam(-durr)

I-GEN teacher-1sG.poss old man-PRED
'My teacher is an old man.'
men-im odza-m qart adam edi
I-GEN teacher-1SG.poss old man COP.PAST
'My teacher was an old man.'
(256) men-im od3a-m
qart adam ol-a-dzaq
I-GEN teacher-1sG.poss old man be-SF-FUT
'My teacher will be/become an old man.'

In locative sentences, the theme comes first, followed by the location, which, in the example in (257), happens to be followed by the overtly realized verb ol- 'be' (although the copula (null or overt) is also possible).
men-im aya-m er kyn feer-de ol-a
I-GEN brother-1sG.POSS every day town-LOC be-PRES
'My brother is in town every day.'

The sentences in (258), (259), and (260) illustrate structures containing adjectival predicates in the present tense (with the optional predicate-forming suffix), past tense (with an overt copula), and the future tense, respectively.

| (258) | men-im od3a-m |
| :--- | :--- |
| I-GEN teacher-1sG.POSS fat-PRED |  |
|  | 'My teacher is fat.' |

(259) men-im odza-m semiz edi soy az-dur

I-GEN teacher-1sG.Poss fat COP.PAST then thin-PAST
'My teacher was fat, and then became thin.'
(260) bu adam belli ol-a-d3aq
this man famous be-SF-FUT
'This man will be (become) famous.'

Locative existential sentences are of the type there is an $x$ (in $y$ ) or $y$ has $x$. These sentences contain at least one adverbial of place or time, and one of the two expressions bar 'present/existent' (261)-(262), (264)-(265), or joq 'absent/non-existent' (263). A copular marker is overtly expressed in non-present sentences, as in (262)-(263).
bu ev-de ytf dane oda bar this house-LOC three CLASS room existent
'There are three rooms in this house.'
(262) terek-te alma-lar bar edi
tree-LOC apple-PL existent COP.PAST
'There were apples on the tree.'
(263) terek-te alma-lar joq edi
tree-LOC apple-PL absent COP.PAST
'There were no apples on the tree.'
(264) Simdi biz-de musafir bar
now we-LOC guest existent
'Now we have guests (now there are guests at us).'
(265) men-de kitap bar

I-LOC book existent
'I have a book.'
Possessive existential sentences are of the type $x$ has $y$. They contain a genitivepossessive construction, bar 'present/existent' or joq 'absent/non-existent,' and a copular marker that is not overtly expressed in the case of present tense sentences, such as (266).

| (266) | baytfasaraj-da | remzije-niy eki dost-u | bar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Bakhchisaray-LOC | Remziye-GEN two friend-3SG.Poss | existent |
|  | 'Remziye has two friends in Bakhchisaray.' |  |  |

The concept $x$ has $y$ can be expressed by both possessive existential sentences, as in (267), and locative existential sentences, as in (265). However, these sentences differ in their meaning: whereas the sentence in (267) expresses the fact that the book belongs to the speaker (for instance, it was bought by the speaker or given to him/her as a gift), (265) signifies that the book is merely in the speaker's possession (for instance, it was borrowed from a friend or a library).

| (267) | men-im kitab-um bar |
| :--- | :--- |
| I-GEN book-1sG.POSS | existent |
|  | I have a book.' |

### 3.2.1.3. Questions

### 3.2.1.3.1. Yes/no questions

Yes/no questions have the following structure: the interrogative particle $-m Y$ attaches to the last word in the sentence, which can be a noun, as in (268); a verb, as in (269); the locative existential expression bar, as in (270); the negative particle joq, as in (271); etc. The word order in statements and yes/no questions is always the same.
(268) o-numy odza-sü qart adam-mu
he-GEN teacher-3sG.Poss old man-Q
'Is his teacher an old man?'
(269) o bala kitap oqu-j-mu
this child book read-PRES-Q
'Is that child reading a book?'
(270) ev-de birev bar-mu
house-LOC anybody present-Q
'Is there anyone in the house?'
(271) ev-de kimse joq-mu
house-LOC nobody NEG-Q
'Isn't there anyone in the house?'

### 3.2.1.3.2. Wh-questions

Wh-questions are formed with the help of wh-words, such as kim 'who,' ne 'what,' qajsu 'which,' qajda 'where,' ne vaquut 'when,' etc. For a list of $w h$-words, see section 2.2.6.
$W h$-words are inflected according to their syntactic function in the sentence, as illustrated in (272), where the wh-word is the direct object and is correspondingly inflected with the accusative case. In $w h$-questions, the $w h$-words remain in situ, as is the case for the direct object in (272), the subject and the direct object in (273) and (274), and the adverb in (275).
(272) aya-m kim-ni pendzere-den kør-di
brother-1SG.POSS who-ACC window-ABL see-PAST
'Whom did my brother see in the window?'
(273) kim kim-ni pendzere-den kør-di
who who-ACC window-ABL see-PAST
'Who saw whom in the window?'
(274) kim kim-ge jardumm et-ti
who who-dat help make-PAST
'Who helped whom?'
(275) aya-m bu-nuu nerede jap-tur
brother-1SG.POSS this-ACC where do-PAST
'Where did my brother do this?'

### 3.2.2. Complex sentences

### 3.2.2.1. Coordination

The coordinating conjunctions in Crimean Tatar are ve, em, da 'and,' ja, jaxut 'or,' and jani 'that is.' ve is the most frequent coordinating conjunction, and it can be overt or omitted, as in (276).
 'The sun rose and the weather became nice.'

In colloquial speech, Crimean Tatar conjunctions are often replaced with the corresponding Russian ones, even in the speech of otherwise perfectly fluent older speakers. An example of the use of Russian $a$ 'and' as a conjunction is illustrated in (277).
(277) musurboydaj d3uj-wp kel-di-ler
corn pick-CONV.PAST come-PAST-PL

| a | bita-suu | pif-ir-ip | qoj-duu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | grandmother-3PL.POSS | cook-CAUS-CONV.PAST | put-PAST |

'They brought corn (picked it and came), and grandmother cooked it (put it to cook).'

Disjunction is expressed by the markers amma, liakin, and ise amma and lakin, roughly meaning 'but,' are interchangeable and are placed at the beginning of a clause, as demonstrated by (278) and (279), and ise, meaning 'whereas,' is placed after the subject of the sentence (280). The disjunction markers amma and liakin are optional in Crimean Tatar.
(278) o ajt-tur amma if-ni jap-ma-duu he say-PAST but work-ACC do-NEG-PAST
'He said (it) but he didn't do the job.'
(279) bu mesele murekkep degil lakin tfez-il-mele this problem difficult not but solve-pass-oblig
'This problem isn't difficult, but it has to be solved.'
(280) mafuze jurla-j remzije ise ojna-j

Mafuze sing-PRES Remziye but dance-PRES
'Mafuze sings (is singing), whereas Remziye dances (is dancing).'
Reduplicated conjunctions $j a \ldots j a, k^{j} a \ldots k^{j} a$ 'either ... or,' illustrated in (281) and (282), and bazan ... bazan 'sometimes ... sometimes,' illustrated in (283), conjoin clauses that denote alternative events or situations. joqsa 'or else' is a similar conjunction that is used to point to a contrast between two states of affairs, as demonstrated in (284).
(281) ja jaymur jay-ar
either rain precipitate-FUT/PRES
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ja } & \text { da } & \text { biz } & \text { juv-un-may-a } & \text { ket-er-miz } \\ \text { or } & \text { EMPH } & \text { we } & \text { wash-REFL-INF-DAT } & \text { go-FUT/PRES-1PL }\end{array}$
'Either it will rain or we'll go swimming.'

(283) bazan men anda bar-a-m bazan bar-ma-j-um sometimes I there go-PREs-1SG sometimes go-NEG-PRES-1SG 'Sometimes I go there, sometimes I don't.'
(284) o-ya ajt-maq kerek joqsa o kel-mez
he-dat say-INF must or else he come-NEG.FUT
'He has to be told, or else he won't come.'

### 3.2.2.2. Subordination

### 3.2.2.2.1. Finite subordinate clauses

The subordinate clause in a complex sentence can be finite, as in (285). In this case, complementizers such as $k i$ 'that,' tfynki 'because,' sanki, guja 'as if,' bile 'even,' tufta 'despite,' etc. can be used in the subordinate clause. The complementizer $k i$ 'that' is optional in finite subordinate clauses.
(285) men tfoq af al-a-dzay-um

I much food buy-SF-FUT-1SG
t $\int$ ynki oyl-um kel-e-dzek
because son-1sG.poss come-SF-FUT
'I will buy a lot of food because my son is coming.'
As in other Turkic languages, finite subordinate clauses are not very common in Crimean Tatar, as their structures have been borrowed from Indo-European languages (predominantly from Persian). More often, subordinate clauses in Crimean Tatar are formed with non-finite verbs.

### 3.2.2.2.2. Non-finite subordinate clauses

Non-finite subordinate clauses in Crimean Tatar are formed by means of suffixation and contain one of the participle suffixes, such as $-K A n,-d_{3} A K$, and $-(V) r$. These suffixes
combine with the verb to form participles that can be inflected for number (the plural suffix), possession (indicating the subject of the subordinate clause), and case (according to the government patterns of the matrix verb), as illustrated in (286).
o kel-e-dzeg-i-ni men bil-e-m
he come-SF-PTCPL.FUT-3SG.POSS-ACC I know-PRES-1SG
'I know that he will come.'

The tendency toward pro-drop exhibited in main clauses applies to subordinate clauses as well, as illustrated in (287).

| (287) | kel-e-dzeg-i-ni | men | bil-e-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| come-sF-PTCPL.FUT-3SG.POSS-ACC | I | know-PRES-1SG |  |
|  | I know that he will come.' |  |  |

Negation can be applied either to the non-finite verb in the subordinate clause, as in (288), or to the predicate of the main clause, as in (289), with a corresponding change in meaning.

| (288) o kel-me-d3eg-i-ni | men bil-e-m |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| he come-NEG-PTCPL.FUT-3SG.POSS-ACC | I | know-PRES-1SG |

'I know that he will not come.'
(289) o kel-e-d3eg-i-ni
men bil-me-m
he come-SF-PTCPL.FUT-3SG.POSS-ACC I know-NEG-1SG
'I do not know whether he will come.'
A non-finite nominal clause can function as the object of the matrix verb, as in (290) and (291), where the participle is in the accusative case, and as in (292), where the matrix verb takes its complement in the ablative case. Note that the overt accusative marker is obligatory for embedded clauses.

| (290) men o-num kel-gen-i-ni | iste-j-im |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I he-GEN come-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ACC | want-PRES-1SG |  |
|  | 'I want him to come.' |  |


| (291) | jalta-da sudzaq ol-yan-w-nul | efit-ti-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yalta-LOC hot be-PTCPL.PAST-3sG.POSS-ACC | hear-PAST-1SG |  |
| 'I heard that it is hot in Yalta.' |  |  |


| men | o-num | kel-gen-i-nden | qorq-a-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | he-GEN | come-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ABL | be.afraid-PRES-1SG | 'I am afraid of his coming.'

In indirect speech, the content of the non-finite clause constitutes a statement, as in (293), while the sentence as a whole may be a statement or a question.

| o | remzije-ni | kør-gen-i-ni | ajt-tur |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| he | Remziye-ACC | see-PTCPL.PAST-3sG.POSS-ACC | say-PAST |
| 'He said that he saw | Remzije.' |  |  |

o remzije-ni kør-gen-i-ni
ajt-tuu-mu
he Remziye-ACC see-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ACC say-PAST-Q
'Did he say that he saw Remzije?'
Indirect statements can be formed either with declined participles, as discussed above and repeated in (295), or by using a finite verb as the predicate of the embedded clause and modifying it with the converb dep 'having said,' which functions as a complementizer, as in (296). The meaning of the sentences in (295) and (296) is approximately the same. The pronoun $o$ 'he' in (295) can be ambiguously interpreted as the subject of either the matrix or the embedded clause, with the subject of the other clause dropped.

[^12]

The word order in non-finite clauses is the same as in finite clauses: in (297)a, the matrix subject 'he' comes first, followed by the embedded subject 'Remziye,' followed by the embedded object 'Mafuze' in the accusative case, followed by the non-finite verb of the embedded clause, followed by the finite verb of the matrix clause. Note that none of the arguments of the embedded clause can be extracted out of the embedded clause and placed at the beginning of the sentence, as illustrated in (297)b. However, the word order can be changed within the embedded clause for pragmatic purposes (discussed in section 3.4).
a. o remzije mafuze-ni kør-gen-i-ni ajt-tur he remziye mafuze-ACC see-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ACC say-PAST 'He said that Remziye saw Mafuze.'
b. *remzije o mafuze-ni kør-gen-i-ni ajt-tu
*mafuze-ni o remzije kør-gen-i-ni ajt-tur

* kør-gen-i-ni o remzije mafuze-ni ajt-tuu


### 3.2.2.2.3. Relative clauses

Relative clauses are adjectival constructions that modify noun phrases. They are formed on the basis of verbs that contain one of the participial suffixes, such as $-K A n,-d_{3} A K$, and $-(V) r$. These suffixes correspond to relative pronouns, such as 'who,' 'which,' 'that,' 'where,' etc. in English. All relative clauses precede the noun they modify.

A noun phrase fulfilling any syntactic role within the matrix clause can be relativized: (298) shows a relativized subject, (299) shows a relativized direct object, and (300) shows a relativized oblique.
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (298) } & \text { quiz-tfurq-nui } & \text { kør-gen } & \text { oylan-tfuq } & \text { bu } & \text { ev-de }\end{array}$ jafa-j
(299) men alma afa-yan quz-tfurq-nuu kør-e-m I apple eat-PTCPL.PAST girl-DIM-ACC see-PRES-1SG
'I see the girl who ate an apple.'
(300) o otur-yan oda-da ava sudzaq edi he sit-PTCPL.PAST room-LOC weather hot COP.PAST 'The room where he sat was hot.'

The above examples demonstrate that the relativized NP can fulfill any syntactic role in the matrix clause; however, the relativized NP can also fulfill any syntactic role in the subordinate clause. (298) shows a relativized subject in the subordinate clause, (301) shows a relativized indirect object in the subordinate clause, and (300) shows a relativized oblique in the subordinate clause.

| (301) men oylan-tfuq alma ber-gen | quiz-tfurq-nu | kør-e-m |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I boy-DIM apple give-PTCPL.PAST | girl-DIM-ACC | see-PRES-1SG |
| 'I see the girl to whom the boy gave an apple.' |  |  |

A sentence containing a relative clause can be ambiguous between the subject relative and the object relative if the accusative marking on the object of the relative clause is covert. The ambiguity is illustrated in (302).

| (302) | quz-tJuq | kør-gen | orlan-tfuq | bu | ev-de | jafa-j |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | girl-DIM | see-PTCPL.PAST | boy-DIM | this | house-LOC | live |
|  | 'The boy who saw a girl lives in this house.' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'The boy whom the girl saw lives in this house, |  |  |  |  |  |

Tense and aspect in relative clauses are expressed by means of relativizing suffixes and by means of the suffixes used on auxiliary verbs. A time adverbial can be used for time reference as well.

The suffix -KAn refers to non-future situations (both in the present and in the past), as in (303). In the sentence in (304), the progressive nature of the situation in the past is emphasized through the use of the past participle of the verb otur- 'sit' with the converb jaz- 'write.' The time of the situation described in the relative clause can coincide with the time of the situation of the main clause, precede it, or follow it. A situation in which the time of the relative clause precedes the time of the main clause is illustrated in (305).
mektyp jaz-yan adam
letter write-PTCPL.PAST man
'the man who is writing a letter/the man who wrote a letter'
(304) mektyp jaz-up otur-yan adam
letter write-CONV.PAST sit-PTCPL.PAST man
'the man who was writing a letter'
(305) mektyp jaz-up otur-yan adam qurum-da jafa-j
letter write-CONV.PAST sit-PTCPL.PAST man Crimea-LOC live-PRES 'The man who was writing a letter lives in Crimea.'

The suffix $-d 3 A K$ refers to a future action, as in (306). When the progressive nature of the situation is emphasized, the past participle of the auxiliary verb ol-follows the non-finite verb with the future suffix, as in (307).
(306) mektyp jaz-a-dzaq adam
letter write-SF-PTCPL man
'the man who will write a letter'
(307) mektyp jaz-a-d3aq ol-yan adam
letter write-SF-PTCPL be-PTCPL man
'the man who will be writing a letter'

The auxiliary verb bitir- 'finish' is used together with the past converb jaz- 'write' to emphasize the completed nature of action (i.e., perfective aspect), as in (308).

| (308) | mektyp-ni jaz-up | bitir-gen | adam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | letter-ACC write-CONV.PAST finish-PTCPL.PAST | man |  |
|  | 'the man who had written the letter' |  |  |

Participle modifiers surface before their head noun. This is exemplified in (309), where the 'girl' is the direct object of the subordinate clause, and in (310), where the 'girl' is the subject of the subordinate clause.
(309) oylantfuq kør-gen quz-tfuq ket-ken
boy see-PTCPL.PAST girl-DIM leave-EV.PAST
'(Apparently) the girl whom the boy saw left.'
(310) qoqla-suu-nuu dzoj-yan quz-tfuq kederlen-di doll-3SG.POSS-ACC lose-PTCPL.PAST girl-DIM become.upset-PAST 'The girl who lost her doll became upset.'

### 3.2.2.2.4. Adverbial clauses

Adverbial clauses perform adverb-like functions within the main clause, i.e., they refer to time, sequence of events, reason, purpose, etc. Complementizers, such as son 'after,' berli 'since,' evel 'before,' can head an adverbial clause, as exemplified in (311)-(313). Non-finite verbs in adverbial clauses take participial suffixes, depending on the complementizer that governs them.

| bala-lar-ut øs-ken | son |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child-PL-3PL.POSS | grow-PTCPL.PAST | after |

olar kitfik ev-ge køtf-ti-ler
they smaller house-DAT move-PAST-3PL
'After their children grew up, they moved to a smaller house.'
mektyp-ni jaz-up bitir-gen-den
letter-ACC write-CONV.PAST finish-PTCPL.PAST-ABL
berlio it bir $\int$ ej ajt-ma-duu
since he nothing say-NEG-PAST
'He hasn't said anything since he finished writing the letter.'
(313) raat-lan-maz-dan evel men itf-im-ni bitir-mek kereg-im
rest-VB-NEG-ABL before I work-1SG.POSS-ACC finish-INF must-1SG
'Before resting, I must finish my work.'
Sometimes the adverbial quality of a non-finite verb is encoded in its case ending, and thus temporal adverbial complementizers are not needed, as illustrated in (314).
(314) men kitfkene ol-yan-um-da

I little be-PTCPL.PAST-1SG-LOC
bala baytfa-sum-na bar-a edi-m
child garden-3sG.POSS-DAT go-CONV.PRES COP.PAST-1SG
'When I was little, I used to go to kindergarten.'

### 3.2.2.2.5. Conditionals

In conditional clauses, the embedded verb is finite, in that it agrees with the subject of the subordinate clause in person and number. However, it is not inflected for tense, instead bearing the conditional marker $-s A$. For example, in the sentence in (315), the verb ol'be' bears a conditional suffix, followed by the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person singular inflectional marker and agreeing with the subject of the conditional clause.

The conditional conjunction eger 'if' is optional but can be added for emphasis to a conditional clause.
eger jor-ul-yan ol-sa-y
if tire-PASS-PTCPL.PAST be-COND-2SG
jarun devam et-er-miz
tomorrow continuation do-FUT/PRES-1PL
'If you are tired, we will continue tomorrow.'
Conditionals can be counterfactual, as in (316); predictive or habitual, as in (317); They can also express requests or wishes, as in (318) (cf. the counterfactual situation in (319)).
(316) eger sen erte-d3e tur-sa edi-y
if you early-COMP get.up-COND COP.PAST-2SG
mektep-ke ket $\int$ qal-maz edi- $\boldsymbol{y}$
school-dat late stay-NEG COP.PAST-2SG
'If you had gotten up earlier, you wouldn't have been late to school.'
(317) eger bala aqfam ket $\int$ jat-sa
if child evening late lie-COND
o mektep-ke ket qal-up kel-ir
he school-DAT late stay-CONV.PAST come-FUT/PRES
'If the child goes to bed late in the evening, he'll be late to school.'

| ekim-ge | evel-den telefon | atf-qan | ol-sa- $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| doctor-DAT | before-ABL phone | open-PTCPL.PAST | be-COND-2SG |

gyzel ol-ur
beautiful be-FUT/PRES
'It would be good if you called the doctor earlier (so call him now).'
(319) ekim-ge evel-den telefon atf-qan ol-sa-n
doctor-DAT before-ABL phone open-PTCPL.PAST be-COND-2SG
gyzel ol-ur edi
beautiful be-PTCPL.PRES COP.PAST
'It would have been good if you had called the doctor earlier (but you didn't call).'

A conditional clause can also refer to 'knowable' conditions, that is, situations that are either past or hold at present, as in (320), or are scheduled for the future, as in (321).
(320) eger mafuze af pifir-se o tedavij-le-n-di if Mafuze food cook-COMP she medicine-vB-PASS-PAST 'If Mafuze is cooking, she got healthy.'
(321) eger utfaq saat ytf-te utf-sa
if airplane time three-LOC fly-COND
biz aeroport-ta saat bir-de ol-maq kerek-miz
we airport-LOC time one-LOC be-INF must-1PL
'If the plane is taking off at 3 , we have to be at the airport at 1 .'

### 3.3. Negation

### 3.3.1. -mA

The negative suffix - $m A$ can only attach to a verb and is used for negating clauses with finite and non-finite verbs alike, as in (322) and (323), respectively.
(322) men o-ya bu-nu ajt-ma-du-m I he-DAT this-ACC tell-NEG-PAST-1SG 'I did not tell him that.'
(323) o kel-me-d3eg-i-ni he come-NEG-PTCPL.FUT-3SG.POSS-ACC ajt-tur say-PAST

In sentences with small clauses, the negation marker can only occur on the main clause verb, as in (324).
(324) o-lar mafuze-nuu jax $\int \mathrm{mu}$ aftfur saj-ma-j-lar he-PL Mafuze-ACC good cook consider-NEG-PRES-3PL 'They do not consider Mafuze a good cook.'

Compound verbs formed with auxiliaries take negation on the auxiliary, as shown in (325).
(325) o o-lar-ya jardum et-me-di
he he-PL-DAT help make-NEG-PAST
'He did not help them.'
Unlike compound verbs, compound tenses that are formed with the past copula edi (discussed in section 2.3.3.1.2) always take negation on the lexical verb, as in al-ma-$j$-dzaq edi-m 'I would not have taken' or al-maz edi-m 'I would not take' (*al-a-dzaq ol-ma-dum).

### 3.3.2. degil

degil 'not' (tuvul in the Northern dialect) is used for negating nominal sentences, as in (326)-(327). It can combine with suffixes that occur in nominal predicates, namely, the predicate markers (see section 2.3.1), as in (327), where degil agrees with the subject in person and number.
(326) men-im aya-m doxtur degil

I-GEN brother-1sG.poss doctor not
'My brother is not a doctor.'
(327) men tfaluf-maq kerek degil-im

I work-INF must not-1PL
'I must not work.'
degil can be used for negating free-standing nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, as in deŋiz degil 'not a sea,' qart degil 'not old,' mymkyn degil 'not allowed,' tynevin degil 'not yesterday.'
degil is also used for negating sentences with nominal modals that can also be negated on the infinitive with the help of the suffix - $m A$. However, the meaning of a the sentence with the infinitive negated with degil, shown in (329), is different from the meaning of the same sentence negated with -mA, shown in (328). The sentence negated with - $m A$ asserts that the agent is not allowed or should not work ('He must [not work].'), while the negated verbal sentence with degil states that the agent is not required to work or nobody is forcing him to work ('He [must not] work.'). The agent of sentences negated with degil is marked with the dative case (329), although a nominative subject and predicate markers on degil are also possible (cf. (327)).

| (328) | o t $f$ aluuf-ma-maq |
| :--- | :--- |
| he worek |  |
| he wEG-INF | must |
| 'He must not work.' |  |

(329) o-ya tfaluf-maq kerek degil he-DAT work-INF must not 'He must not work.'

### 3.3.3. joq

joq 'absent/non-existent' (pronounced as jox in the Southern dialect and as $d z o q$ in the Northern dialect) is used only in existential sentences. It is the negated form of bar 'existent.' In such sentences, the locative argument comes first, followed by the theme argument, followed by joq, which can bear an optional predicate-forming suffix, as in (330). The example in (331) shows that the past copula surfaces after joq to form the past tense.
(330) terek-te alma-lar joq-tur
tree-LOC apple-PL absent-PRED
'There are no apples on the tree.'
(331) terek-te alma-lar joq edi
tree-LOC apple-PL absent COP.PAST
'There were no apples on the tree.'
joq also means 'no,' used as a negative response to a question, as shown in (332).
(332) sen men-im tata-m-nuu kør-di-y-mi you I-GEN sister-1SG.POSS-ACC see-PAST-2SG-Q
'Did you see my sister?'
Joq.
No (I didn't).

### 3.3.4. The negative connective

The negative connective ne ... ne can conjoin phrases of any type, such as noun phrases (333), verb phrases (334), and adjectival and adverbial phrases (335). Sentences containing ne ... ne can only have negative predicates, as in (333).
(333) ne asan ne veli ev-ge kel-me-di-ler neg Asan neg Veli house-dat come-neg-past-3pl 'Neither Asan nor Veli came home.'
(334) bu adam ne $a \int a-j$ ne juqla-j
this man NEG eat-PRES NEG sleep-PRES
'This person neither eats nor sleeps.'
(335) o ne zengin ne fuqare he NEG rich NEG poor
'He is neither rich nor poor.'

### 3.3.5. Expressions interacting with negation

As was described in section 2.2.2.5, negative pronouns are formed by preposing the particle $i t \rho$ to indefinite pronouns or to bir 'one, a.' Negative pronouns are used in sentences with nominal predicates, as in (336), and verbal predicates, as in (337).
(336) bu aran-da it $\int$ bir $\int$ ej joq this shed-LOC NEG something NEG 'There is nothing in this shed.'
(337) o-lar itf bir kimse-ni jiber-me-j-ler he-PL NEG a someone-ACC let.go-NEG-PRES-3pL 'They are not letting anyone in.'

If $i t \int$ of the it $\int k i m s e$ 'no one' is omitted, the stress in the pronoun surfaces on the first syllable, as in kímse, and the negative meaning is conveyed only by the combination of the pronoun with some other marker of negation, as shown in (338)-(339).
(338) ev-de kímse joq
house-LOC no.one NEG
'There is nobody in the house/at home.'
(339) men-i endi kímse sev-me-j

I-ACC now no.one love-NEG-PRES
'No one loves me anymore.'
A number of expressions, such as asulda 'in general,' endi 'now,' 'just,' have negative meanings when they are used in negated sentences: asulda means 'at all' and endi means 'anymore,' as in endi kerek-me-j 'not necessary anymore,' or in the examples in (340) and (341).
(340) bu tfaj asulda qoju degil
this tea at all strong not
'This tea isn't strong at all.'
(341) bu tfaj endi sudzaq degil
this tea any more hot not
'This tea isn't hot any more.'

### 3.4. Topicalization

In Crimean Tatar, the neutral word order places the subject first, followed by adverbs of time, followed by the direct object and the verb, as illustrated in (342). However, certain constituents can also be topicalized, i.e., their status as old information can be emphasized. For example, the topicalization of a temporal adverb is shown in (343), and the topicalization of a direct object is shown in (344). The verb and the verb phrase cannot be topicalized.
(342) remzije tynevin kitap oqu-duu

Remziye yesterday book read-PAST
'Remziye read a book yesterday.'
(343) tynevin remzije kitap oqu-du
yesterday Remziye book read-past
'It was yesterday that Remzije read a book.'
(344) kitap remzije tynevin oqu-duu
book Remziye yesterday read-PAST
'It was a book that Remzije read yesterday.'
As was discussed in section 3.2.1.3.2, wh-words in questions remain in situ. However, under topicalization, the $w h$-word can be fronted out of a $w h$-sentence, as illustrated in (345).
(345) kim-ge oylan jardurm et-ti
who-dat boy help make-PAST
'Who was it the boy helped?'
As was discussed in section 3.2.2.2.2, the word order in non-finite clauses is the same as in finite clauses, as shown in (346)a. None of the arguments of the embedded clause can be extracted out of the embedded clause and placed at the beginning of the sentence, as shown by the ungrammatical judgments received for the sentences in (346)b. However, the word order can be changed within the embedded clause for pragmatic purposes, as shown in (346)c, where the direct object of the embedded clause is topicalized. Moreover, the embedded clause itself can be fronted for topicalization purposes, as in (346)d.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. o remzije mafuze-ni } & \text { kør-gen-i-ni } & \text { ajt-tuu } \\ \text { he Remziye Mafuze-ACC } & \text { see-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ACC } & \text { say-PAST } \\ \text { 'He said that Remziye saw Mafuze.' }\end{array}$
b. *remzije o mafuze-ni kør-gen-i-ni ajt-tu
*mafuze-ni o remzije kør-gen-i-ni ajt-tu
*kør-gen-i-ni o remzije mafuze-ni ajt-tur
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { c. o mafuze-ni } & \text { remzije } & \text { kør-gen-i-ni } & \text { ajt-tu } \\ \text { he Mafuze-ACC } & \text { Remziye } & \text { see-PTCPL.PAST-3sG.poss-ACC } & \text { say-PAST } \\ \text { 'He said that it was Remziye whom Mafuze saw.' }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { d. remzije mafuze-ni } & \text { kør-gen-i-ni } & \text { o ajt-tul } \\ \text { Remziye Mafuze-ACC } & \text { see-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS-ACC } & \text { he say-PAST } \\ \text { 'Remziye saw Mafuze is what he said.' }\end{array}$

### 3.5. Interjections and exclamatives

Crimean Tatar uses a variety of standalone interjections that can express pure emotions or convey the speaker's attitude towards the addressee. Among interjections that express feelings such as joy, pity, pain, disgust, etc. are $a j$ 'ouch!', vaj (expressing surprise), tyf or
tyf-tyf 'what a pity!', and $u f$ 'yuck!' Other interjections are used to initiate conversation and to express agreement, such as $e$ 'yes,' axa 'yes! sure!', ej 'listen!', seliam or seliamalejkum 'hello' (borrowed from Arabic).

Exclamatives contain a wh-word and express the fact that the situation described in a sentence is to its extreme. Examples of CT exclamatives include nasul or ne (ne de) 'what an X,' ama or ama da (expressing surprise or irony), etc. The use of exclamatives in sentences is exemplified in (347)-(348).
(347) bu nasuul Jamata
this what noise
'What a noise!"
(348) ama da rastkelif
what EMPH meeting
'What a meeting!'

## 4. Lexicon

As has been discussed throughout the book, the three major dialects of Crimean Tatar differ in various aspects of phonology, morphology, and syntax, and also in their lexicon. The major source on lexical borrowings into Crimean Tatar is Memetov (1988) (see also Samojlovich 1916).

The examples in (349) illustrate some of the main differences in the lexical stock of the Southern dialect and Northern dialects. Most of the lexemes in the left column are Oghuz, and most of the lexemes in the right column are of Kipchak origin (although some are borrowed from either Persian or Arabic).

| (349)Southern <br> abla | Northern <br> tata | 'older sister' |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| alum | manlaj | 'forehead' |
| baba | ata | 'father' |
| jemek | Sorba | 'soup' |
| kemik | sijek | 'bone' |
| kopek | it | 'dog' |
| kubani | minder | 'mat' |
| el | qol | 'arm, hand' |
| say | on | 'right' |
| toz | tfan | 'dust' |
| qurmuzu | quzul | 'red' |
| bejaz | aq | 'white' |
| ev | uj (ij) | 'house' |
| tpoq | kop | 'much, a lot' |

As for the Central dialect of Crimean Tatar, it uses some of the items from the right column (such as qol 'arm, hand,' on 'right,' forba 'soup') and some of the items from the left column (such as toz 'dust,' bejaz 'white,' ev 'house,' tfoq 'much, a lot'). In some cases, both Oghuz and Kipchak words are used in the standard dialect, sometimes interchangeably, for instance, qurmuzuu, quzzul 'red' (the former adjective more commonly used), or with a slightly different meaning within the same semantic domain, for instance jaxJui 'good' vs. gyzel 'beautiful,' jemek 'food' vs. Sorba 'soup.'

There are several sources of borrowings in Crimean Tatar. Most borrowings from Persian (and from Arabic through Persian) entered Middle Kipchak between the end of the $13^{\text {th }}$ century and the middle of the $14^{\text {th }}$ century, as is evidenced by the Codex Cumanicus, written approximately at that time (Berta 1998b, Drüll 1979, Gabain 1959, among others). Other borrowings of Slavic, Greek, and Middle Mongolian origin are also attested in Codex Cumanicus, as well as in several other sources written in Arabic in the $14^{\text {th }}$ and $15^{\text {th }}$ centuries and in Armenian in the $16^{\text {th }}$ and $17^{\text {th }}$ centuries (Berta 1998b).

The examples in (350) represent some early borrowings from Persian into Middle Kipchak.
(350) Borrowings from Persian

| baytfa | 'garden' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mejva | 'fruit' |
| dзenk | 'war' |
| dylber | 'beautiful' |
| dost | 'friend' |

A large part of Crimean Tatar vocabulary was borrowed from Arabic into Middle Kipchak. In the $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ centuries, Islam became the predominant religion in Crimea. At this time, a large number of Arabic borrowings entered the language, including terms for political and social life (memleket 'country,' medzlis 'assembly,' ukymet 'government'), religion (din 'religion,' qoran 'Quran,' ezan 'morning prayer'), teaching (ders 'lesson,' mektep 'school,' kitap 'book,' dzevap 'response'), science (arif 'letter,' isim 'noun,' edebijat 'literature,' fiir 'poem,' qutup 'pole,' ufuq 'horizon,' ilim 'science'), war (arbij 'military man,' asker 'soldier,' mudafaa 'defense,' udzym 'attack'), forces of nature (ava 'weather,' zelzele 'earthquake,' nur 'light'), building and home (raf 'shelf,' bina 'building,' kursy 'chair'), and food (xamur 'dough,' farap 'wine'). Out of these borrowings, some items, such as vaqut 'time,' raatlu 'quiet,' fajdalu 'useful,' aziz 'expensive,' 'lakin 'but,' amma 'but,' etc., are very common and used in everyday life. Some other items, such as zafer 'victory,' mefkure 'thinking, thought,' are used predominantly in writing and are regarded as learned speech.

Crimea was incorporated into the Russian Empire in 1783, and since that time, the number of borrowings from Russian into Crimean Tatar has grown considerably. (351) lists examples of some early ( $19^{\text {th }}$ century) borrowings from Russian. As was discussed in sections 1.3.1.1.2 and 1.4.2, these items were originally assimilated to conform to the
phonotactics of Crimean Tatar, but as a result of intense contact with Russian in the Soviet period, they have since reverted back to their original Russian pronunciations.

| (351) | Crimean Tatar | Russian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | afiser | afitser | 'officer' |
|  | t dotki | $\int^{\text {jotha }}$ | 'brush' |
|  | duxopka | duxofka | 'oven' |
|  | potik | botink ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 'shoes' |
|  | qapusta | kapusta | 'cabbage' |
|  | kapik | kop ${ }^{\text {j }}$ jka | 'cent' |
|  | ruble | rubl ${ }^{\text {j }}$ | 'ruble' |
|  | pilta | palito | 'coat' |
|  | t $\int$ ast | $\int^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ast}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{e}$ | 'happiness' |

After the Bolshevik revolution in 1917, Crimea became an autonomous entity in the Russian Federation, and borrowing from Russian increased. Many nouns used in social and political life (delegat 'delegate,' sjezd 'convention'), as well as agriculture (ferma 'farm,' brigada 'brigade, team'), war (avtomat 'machine gun,' granata 'hand grenade,' tank 'tank,' vzvod 'platoon'), arts and culture (klub 'club,' kino 'cinema,' balet 'ballet,' kontsert 'concert,' poema 'poem,' povest' 'novella'), building (beton 'concrete,' tsement 'cement,' fundament 'foundation'), and various theoretical terminology (atom 'atom,' teorema 'theorem,' vol't 'volt') were borrowed from Russian. A number of adjectives were borrowed into Crimean Tatar from Russian in a shortened form, losing the typical Russian adjectival endings, as illustrated in (352) (Memetov 1993: 45).

Crimean Tatar
progressiv
kolonial ${ }^{j}$
fantastik

Russian
progress ${ }^{j}{ }^{j}$ ivnij 'progressive'
kolonial ${ }^{j}$ nij 'colonial' fantast ${ }^{j} t \int{ }^{\text {P }}{ }^{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ij}$ 'fantastic'

Finally, most Crimean Tatars spent their deportation years in Uzbekistan, surrounded not only by Russian, but also by Uzbek speakers. While the Uzbek influence is clearly present in the speech of the younger generation, it is difficult to isolate lexical items that are Uzbek, and not Crimean Tatar (as both languages are Turkic and thus contain many lexical similarities).

## 5. Texts with interlinear glosses and translation

> Sarma recipe
> [Central dialect]

1. sarma p(i) Sir-mek itfyn baf-ta de-mek sarma cook-INF for head-LOC say-INF
o-ya azur-luq kør-mek kerek
he-dat prepared-nM see-INF necessary
'So, in order to cook sarma, one must first consider the preparation.'
2. fu-numy itfyn de-mek azur-luq kerek that-GEN for say-INF prepared-NM necessary
sebzevat-nuu kerek Sej-ler-ni azur-la-juq vegetable-ACC necessary thing-PL-ACC prepared-vB-IMP.1PL
'So, therefore, preparation is necessary: one needs vegetables, and we should prepare (other) things.'
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 3. baf-ta et-nI } & \text { qujma-la-juqq } \\ \text { head-LOC } & \text { meat-ACC } & \text { mincemeat-vB-IMP.1PL }\end{array}$
'First, we must mince the meat.'
3. sogra de-mek prrnit juw-(u)l-a et-nen then say-INF rice wash-PASS-3SG.PRES meat-wITH

| qaruff-tur-(wu)l-a | soyan | doyra-l-up <br> mix-CAUS-PASS-3SG.PRES | onion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| chop.up-PASS-CONV.PAST |  |  |  |$\quad$| qof-ul-a |
| :--- |
| add-PASS-3SG.PRES |

'So then the rice is washed, mixed with the meat, and the onion is chopped up and added (to the mixture).'
5. quijma-үa da: qof-ul-a az-tfuq jay mince.meat-DAT more add-PASS-3SG.PRES a.little-DIM butter
'To the minced meat, a bit of butter is added as well.'
6. qujma azurr-la-n-yan son eger sarma jyzym
mince.meat ready-VB-PASS-PTCPL.PAST after if sarma grape
japray-uu-ndan jap-ul-sa de-mek japraq-lar uwut-ul-a
leaf-3sG.POSS-ABL make-PASS-COND say-INF leaf-PL boil-PASS-3SG.PRES
'Once the meat is ready and if the sarma will be made of grape leaves, then the leaves are boiled.'
7. qap(u)sta-dan jap-(w)l-a-dzaq ol-sa sarma cabbage-ABL make-PASS-SF-PTCPL.FUT be-COND sarma
qap(w)sta uwut-ul-a
cabbage boil-PASS-3SG.PRES
'If the sarma will be made out of cabbage, then the cabbage is boiled.'
8. suw qajna-t-(u)l-a
water boil-CAUS-PASS-3SG.PRES water-DAT salt put-PASS-3SG.PRES
tuz-luu suw-da japraq-lar ve qap(u)sta afla-n-a
salt-ADJ water-LOC leaf-PL and cabbage boil-PASS-3SG.PRES
'Water is boiled and salt is put into the water, (and) in the salted water the leaves and cabbage are parboiled.'
9. japraq-lar-nur afla-t-qan son er bir japraq-qa quima leaf-PL-ACC boil-CAUS-PTCPL.PAST after every one leaf-DAT minced.meat
de-mek bir zere quijma qoj-(ul)-up sar-(ut)l-a say-INF one little.bit minced.meat put-PASS-CONV.PAST wrap-PASS-3sG.PRES
'After (one) parboils the leaves, a bit of meat is wrapped up into each leaf.'
10. qazan-ya deste-le-n-e pan-DAT bunch-VB-PASS-3SG.PRES
'(The sarma) are arranged in a pan.'
11. deste-le-n-gen
bunch-VB-PASS-PTCPT.PAST
soy qajna-t-ul-yan suw-nur
after boil-CAUS-PASS-PTCPL.PAST water-ACC

| de-mek | tuz-nen | qaruf-tur-up | tuz-ud-nuu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| say-INF | salt-wITH | mix-CAUS-CONV.PAST | salt-3SG.POSS-ACC |

baq-up de-mek sarma-lar yst-(y)-ne tøk-(y)l-e look-CONV say-INF sarma-PL top-3SG.POSS-DAT pour-PASS-3SG.PRES
'After (they) are arranged, (one must) salt the boiled water (lit. mix the boiled water with salt), and after tasting the salt (water), then it is poured on top of the sarma.'
12. ve qazan ate $\int-\mathrm{ke}$ as-(u))1-a sarma p(i) $\int$-e
and pan flame-DAT hang-PASS-3sG.PRES sarma cook-3SG.PRES
azur ol-a
ready be-3sG.PRES
'And the pan is placed onto the stove (lit. hung on the flame), the sarma boil, and (then) they are ready.'

## Nasreddin (a fairy tale) <br> [Southern dialect]

1. bir kun nasred(i)ni odza efeg-(i)-ne min-ip one day Nasreddin master donkey-3SG.POSS-ACC get on-CONV.PAST
jol-ya tf(w)x-a
road-DAT leave-3sG.PRES
'One day, Nasreddin Hojja got on his donkey and set off.'
2. ket-ip tur-yan-da bir bala ras(t)kel-e go-CONV stand-PTCPL.PAST-LOC one child meet-3sG.PRES
'On the road (=while going), he met a child.'
3. e:j odza-m de-j jax $\mathrm{jum}_{\text {surlun tap(i) } \int x a-l a r-u n u z}$ hey master-1SG.POSS say-3SG.PRES good colorful slipper-PL-2PL.POSS
bar siz-de de-j
there.is you.FORM-LOC say-3SG.PRES
'Hey, master! You have (such) nice, colorful slippers!' he said.
4. u-lar-uu al-max-tfyn odza-juu alda-t-a-dzax ol-up
it-PL-ACC take-INF-FOR master-ACC deceive-CAUS-SF-FUT be-CONV
odja-jur efek-ten t(y) $\int$-yr-yp
master-ACC donkey-ABL get off-CAUS-CONV.PAST
derek-ke tf(u)x-max kerek ol-a
tree-DAT ascend-INF must be-3sG.PRES
'Trying to deceive the master, (the child) made him get off from his donkey (and said that he) had to climb the tree, so that (the child) could take them [the slippers].'
5. no odza efek-ten $t(y) \int-y p \quad o z^{j}-y-n y n$
but master donkey-ABL get off-CONV.PAST self-3sG.POSS-GEN
sandal-lar-u-nu $\quad x u \int a y-(w)$-na bel-(i)-ne $t(w) x$-a
slipper-PL-3PL.POSS-ACC belt-3SG.POSS-DAT back-3sG.POSS-DAT shove-3sG.PRES
i derek-ke tf(w)x-maj-a bafla-j
and tree-dat ascend-INF-dAT begin-3SG.pres
'But the master got off from his donkey, stuffed his slippers between his belt and his back, and began to climb the tree.'
6. derek-ke $\mathrm{t} \int(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{x}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{ax}-\mathrm{ta}$
tree-DAT climb-SUF-PTCPL.FUT-LOC this child ask-3SG.PRES

| e:j odza-m | de-j | ja tapka-lar-unuz-un |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hey master-1SG.POSS | say-3SG.PRES | but |
| slipper-PL-2PL.POSS-ACC |  |  |

ne xal-durr-ma-duu-nuz de-gen-de derek-ke
what leave-CAUS-NEG-PAST-2PL say-PTCPL.PAST-LOC tree-DAT
tf(ui)x-xan son anda da jol bar andan doyru
ascend-PTCPL.PAST after there and road there.is there.from straight

| kit-er-im | xajt-(w)p | otur-ma-m | de-j |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| leave-FUT-1SG | return-CONV.PAST | sit-NEG-1SG.PRES | say-3SG.PRES |

'While the master was climbing the tree, the child asked: "Hey master! Why did you not leave your slippers (down here)? (But the master answered) "At the top of the tree (lit. having climbed the tree) there is also a road, and I'll leave from there, so I don't need to come down again (lit. return).'

My family and childhood (excerpts from an oral history) [Northern dialect]

1. men baba-m $\quad \mathrm{u}$ keri $\int$ rajon-u ojsul koj-1-nden I-(GEN) father-1sG.POSS that Kerch raion-3sg.POSS Oysul village-3sG.POSS-ABL
ojsul koj-1 kerif-ke qurq kilametr edı
Oysul village-3sG.poss Kerch-DAT forty kilometer cop.past.3sG
'My father was from the village of Oysul in the Kerch region, which is forty kilometers from Kerch.'
2. ojsul koj-1 Ju keri§ rajon-ur surt taraf-u-ndan Oysul village-3sG.poss that Kerch raion-3sG.POSs north side-3sG.POSs-ABL
azow degız-1-ne dørt kilametr

Azov sea-3sG.poss-dat four kilometer
'The village of Oysul is four kilometers from the Sea of Azov, on the northern side of the Kerch region.'
3. azow deyız-1-nıy jalu-suu boj-uu-nda o jer-de

Azov sea-3sG.POSS-GEN coast-3sG.POSS length-3sG.POSS-LOC that place-LOC

| de | Segerfe | de-jen | tatar | koj-ler-1 | bar | edı |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| and | Chegerche | say-PTCPL | Tatar | village-PL-3SG.POSS | exist | COP.PAST.3SG |


| bir xat $\int$ | tane, | ep-(1)-s1-nı | ad-lar | bilj-mi-j-men |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| several | CLASS | all-3POSS-3POSS-ACC | name-PL | know-NEG-PRES-1SG |

'There, along the coast of the Sea of Azov, there were Tatar villages: one called Chegerche, several (others), (but) I don't know the names of all of them.'
4. baba-m ojsul koj-1-nden
father-1sG.Poss Oysul village-3sG.Poss-ABL
qartbaba-m da fu ojsul koj-1-nden doy-yan
grandfather-1sG.poss and this Oysul village-3SG.Poss-ABL be.born-PTCPL.PAST
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { qartbaba-m } & \text { bil-mi-j-men } & \text { aman } \\ \text { grandfather-1SG.POSS } & \text { know-NEG-PRES-1SG } & \text { but }\end{array}$
'My father was born in Ojsul, grandfather was also born in Ojsul, but I don't know my grandfather.'

| 5.koj-ler-de <br> village-PL-LOC | axl-um-da <br> mind-1SG.POSS-LOC | xa(l-y)an-w <br> remain-PTCPL.PAST-3SG.POSS | (1) fte <br> here |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| d3ujun-lar bol-a-tan edı |  |  |  |
| gathering-PL | be-CONV.PRES-ABL | COP.PAST.3SG |  |

'I recall that in the villages, there were gatherings.'

| 6. dzaj-lar-quz-lar | topla-n-a-lar | ed1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| youth-PL-girl-PL | gather-PASS-PRES-PL | COP.PAST.3SG |


| dare tambourine | mutlaq absolute(ly) | kemane violin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { joq } \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | edı |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sumbla-p |  |  |  |  | (1)-1 |
| sing-CONV.P | eglen-ebe.entertained-con |  |  |  | P.PAST |

'The young boys and girls would gather together - we had absolutely no tambourines or violins - and would sing and enjoy themselves.'
7. a zenaat-ler-1, $\quad$ u koj saban ajda-p and profession-PL-3SG.POSS this village plough drive-CONV.PAST

| boydaj | saf-up | Sui | arpa-boydaj i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wheat |  |  |  |
| plant-CONV.PAST | this | barley-wheat |  |

qoj-mal asra-j edı-ler uze kolxoz vaqt-ut-nda sheep-lamb breed-CONV.PAST COP.PAST-PL already kolkhoz time-3SG.POSS-LOC
'And (among) the village professions, (people) ploughed (the fields), planted wheat wheat, barley, and so on - and bred cattle; it was already the kolkhoz (=collective farm) era.'
8. men baba-m aya-lar-ur ekı dane-sı

I father-1sG.POSS brother-PL-3POSS two CLASS-3SG.POSS
al-luu k1fı bol-yan-nar baj-dza
well.being-ADJ person be-CONV-PL rich-COMP
qoj-lar-u bol-yan, dzer=topraq-lar-u atdel ${ }^{j}$ na bol-yan o-lar-(n)uy sheep-PL-3poss be-CONV land=land-PL-3poss separate be-CONV he-PL-GEN
'My father's brothers - both well-to-do people, (relatively) wealthier - they had their own sheep and individual property.'
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380 Northern Talysh Wolfgang Schulze
382 Danish Michal Herslund
384 Bagri Lakhan Gusain
385 Shekhawati Lakhan Gusain
386 Mewati Lakhan Gusain
388 A Short Grammar of Tetun Dili Williams-van Klinken/Hajek/ Nordlinger
393 Polish Ronald Feldstein \& Steven Franks
401 Scottish Gaelic William Lamb
411 The Bisu Language Xu Shixuan
415 Rotuman Marit Vamarasi
416 Ndebele Claire Bowern and Victoria Lotridge (eds.)
417 Kazak Somfai Dávid
418 Written Oirat Attila Rákos
419 Darkhat Csaba Gáspár
421 Low German Yaron Matras \& Gertrud Reershemius
423 Kyrgyz David Somfai
425 A Grammar of Chingoni Deo Ngonyani
426 Lakota Bruce Ingham

427 Marwari Lakhan Gusain
428 Betoi Raoul Zamponi
429 Classical Mongolian Alice Sárközi
430 Modern Mohegan Julian Granberry
432 Upper Necaxa Totonac David Beck
433 Mochica Even Hovdhaugen
434 Manx Grammar John D. Phillips
438 Modern Chitimacha (Sitimaxa)
Cultural Department, Chitimacha Tribe of Louisiana; Julian Granberry (Ed.)
439 Standard Lithunanian Ian Press
440 Standard Breton Ian Press
441 Marathi Kashi Wali
442 Tajik Shinji Ido
451 San Bartolomé Zoogocho Zapotec Aaron Sonnenschein
452 Lithuanian Romani Anton Tenser
453 Timbisha John E. McLaughlin
454 Dhankute Tamang Grammar Kedar Prasad Poudel
455 The Tujia Language C. Brassett, Ph. Brassett, M.Lu
456 Le Nashta Evangelia Adamou
457 Dolenjska Romani Petra Cech
458 Karay Timur Kocaoğlu
462 Swiss German Johannes Reese
463 Wulguru Mark Donohue
465 Themne Sheikh Umarr Kamarah
466 Wutun Juha Janhunen et al.
467 A Grammar of Lamaholot K. Nishiyama \& H. Kelen
468 Kurmanjî Kurdish Gülşat Aygen
469 Páez Ingrid Jung
470 Inuktitut Elke Nowak
471 Pavlou
472 Efutu Grammar Samuel Gyasi Obeng
473 Vetureño Ingo Mamet
474 Guaymí (ngäbe) Miguel Á. Pacheco Quesada
475 Ossetian Bela Hettich
476 The Rusyn Language Stefan M. Pugh
477 Crimean Tatar Darya Kavitskaya


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The West Kipchak group consists of Kumyk, Karachay, Balkar, Crimean Tatar and Karaim. Note that Kazan Tatar belongs to its sister North Kipchak group, and Turkish belongs to the West Oghuz branch of the Southwestern subgroup (Johanson 1998). Contacts between Crimean Ottoman and Crimean Tatar are responsible for the fact that the dialects of Crimean Tatar, especially its southern variety, have some Oghuz features in their phonology, morphology, syntax, and lexicon.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This estimate is per SOTA, the Research Center for Turkestan and Azerbaijan, located in Haarlem, The Netherlands. The exact number of the deported differs depending on the source.
    ${ }^{3}$ For a discussion of issues connected with the Crimean Tatar exile and resettlement, see Allworth (1998), Bekirova (2004), Chervonnaia (1997), Fisher (1978), Fouse (2000), Gabrielian \& Petrov (1997), Glyn Williams (2001), Sheehy (1971), Uehling (2000, 2004), Viatkin \& Kul'pin (1997), among others.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Already in 1896, Radlov commented on the fact that the Northern dialects were under considerable influence from the more Southern dialects, especially with regards to their lexicon.
    ${ }^{5}$ See, however, the discussion in Sankoff and Blondeau (2007) of language change across a lifespan, which suggests a different possible pattern.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Allophonic palatalization will not be transcribed in the remainder of the book.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ There are exceptions to this generalization, such as $j a f a$ - 'live.' The absense of fricativization is possibly due to the presence of a fricative $\int$ in the word.

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ This variation could be the result of education based on the Central dialect that led to the loss of Northern dialect features.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ When the $-\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{j}$ suffix is used as a stem formant in constructions other than the general present tense, it will be glossed as SF.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ This verb is formed exceptionally, with the loss of the final vowel of the stem ( sarm 'yellow').

[^8]:    ${ }^{12}$ Dialectally, -nen has two allomorphs, - nen and -nan, whose vowels are determined by backness harmony.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ The postposition sajun does not occur with pronouns.

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ The noun $a q$ 'truth' is borrowed from Arabic, where it is a triconsonantal root $h q q$. In Crimean Tatar, the $q$ surfaces as a geminate intervocalically, when it can be tautosyllabic, as in aqquinda.

[^11]:    ${ }^{15}$ In this case, the plural signifies "all of the yard."

[^12]:    o kel-e-dzeg-i-ni
    ajt-tur
    he come-SF-PTCPL.FUT-3sG.POSS-ACC
    say-PAST
    'He said that he is coming.'

