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#### Abstract

Grammatical Sketch of Turkmen by

Elliott Michael Hoey

The aim of this thesis is to provide a linguistically-informed profile of the Turkmen language in its contemporary form. This work incorporates and builds upon the findings of previous grammars of the language, notably Clark (1998), but goes beyond these works in addressing clause-level processes and discourse phenomena in greater detail. Included are a brief sociohistorical introduction, description of the basic structural organization of the Turkmen language, and four glossed and translated texts.
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## ABBREVIATIONS

| 1 | first person | EMPH | emphasis | PL | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | second person | EQA | equative | POSS | possessive |
| 3 | third person | FUT | future | PRF | perfective |
| ABL | ablative | GEN | genitive | PROG | progressive |
| ACC | accusative | GER | gerund(ive) | PRSM | presumptive |
| ACT | actor | IMP | imperative | PRT | participle |
| ADLZ | adverbializer | INDF | indefinite | PRIV | privative |
| AFIL | affiliative | INF | infinitive | PST | past |
| AFRM | affirmative | LOC | locative | PRS | present |
| ASSO | associative | NEG | negative, | Q | question particle |
| CAUS | causative |  | negation | RECP | reciprocal |
| CMPR | comparative | NMLZ | nominalizer, | REFL | reflexive |
| COND | conditional |  | nominalization | REL | relation |
| COOP | cooperative | OBLG | obligative | SG | singular |
| CVB | converb | OPTA | optative | SBJR | subjunctor |
| DAT | dative | ORD | ordinal number | TRANS | transformative |
| DEM | demonstrative | PASS | passive | VBLZ | verbalizer |
| DSDR | desiderative | PERS | personal |  |  |

Turkmen is a Turkic language of the Southwestern branch, East Oghuz subgroup. Its closest extant relatives include Afshari, Azeri, and Turkish; the now-extinct Khorasan Turkish is genetically the closest known relative. Among the Oghuz languages, Turkmen is rather linguistically conservative, but does show contact influence (largely lexical) from Persian, Arabic, and Russian. Dialects of northern and eastern Turkmenistan in particular show some convergence with Uzbek. The ethnonym "Turkmen" can be dated back to the late $10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{c}$., though its etymology remains unsettled; credible suggestions include "pure Turk" and "resembling a Turk". The so-called "Iraqi Turkmens" do not speak Turkmen, but South Azeri. Similarly, though the Turkmens of Stavropol' Kray identify as ethnically Turkmen, their language is mutually unintelligible with standard Turkmen (Kurbanov 1995), and is thus a separate language on linguistic grounds.

There are approximately six million speakers of Turkmen, predominantly in the country of Turkmenistan, though significant populations also exist in Afghanistan, Iran, Turkey, and Uzbekistan. The most recent census in Turkmenstan was conducted in late 2012, but as of this writing there exists only data for the 1995 census.

Geographically, Turkmenistan is dominated by the Karakum Desert, which relegates most inhabitants to the periphery, where water is accessible either from the Amu Darya and Murgap rivers or from the Caspian Sea. The major dialects of Turkmen
and their geographic distribution are presented below (Figure 1). These linguistic distinctions largely coincide with tribal affiliations.

Figure 1. Turkmen dialects in Turkmenistan


Since the dissolution of the Soviet Union and Turkmenistan's declaration of independence in 1991, the Turkmen language has been increasingly favored in public and private spheres. This is in many respects due to efforts toward Turkmenification (Milli Galkyňyş Hereketi 'National Revival Movement'). Turkmen has official status in Turkmenistan, with English as a second state language and Russian as a language of interethnic communication. All state structures operate in Turkmen and government employees are required to demonstrate Turkmen language skills. It is the predominant language of primary and secondary education. Russian- and Turkish-medium instruction exists, but is comparatively rare and diminishing. Russian and English are sometimes used
in higher education. English and Russian are taught in primary and secondary schools, though actual proficiency in either varies widely. Bilingualism in Russian is widespread among urban Turkmens, but both urban and rural youths tend to use Turkmen in daily activities.
1.1 Alphabet

A written tradition for Turkmen began with the adoption of a variant of the Arabic script in the $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{c}$. These early classical works were written in a Turkmen heavily influenced by Chaghatay, and it was through this medium that many Persianisms entered the language. Later orthographic reforms made under Soviet rule implemented a Latin-based script for Turkmen, which was in use 1928-39, then following this was a Cyrillic-based script, used 1940-1991. Several reforms took place after independence in an effort to de-Russify and foreground a Turkmen identity. The current alphabet (Tä:ze Elipbiý 'New Alphabet'), adopted in 1993 (Clement 2008) is a Latin-based script modeled after Turkish orthographic conventions. An estimated $99 \%$ of the population of Turkmenistan is literate (World Bank 2010), though this figure is complicated by the fact that the older generation reads Cyrillic comfortably, while those educated post-independence may be more proficient with Täze Elipbiý. It should be noted that the Arabic script is still used among Turkmens in Afghanistan and Iran; their rate of literacy is not currently known.

Table 1 below compares the Latin and Cyrillic systems used, as well as their
phonetic values in IPA. The order listed below is according to current conventions, and not included are symbols found primarily in Russian loans (i.e., Ёё, Цц, Щщ, Ъъ, Ьь, Ээ, Юю, Яя) nor the unorthodox symbols appearing in the Latin script from 1993-99, prior to universal implementation of Täze Elipbiý (i.e., Ññ=Ňň, $\$ \$=\$ ̧ s ̧, ~ ¥ \ddot{y}=$ Ýý, £f=ŽŽ ). Primary (i.e., lexical) vowel length is not represented in the current orthoragraphy, nor are the phonological processes of rounding/labial harmony, consonant assimilation, and secondary (phonologically conditioned) vowel length.

Table 1. Turkmen orthography (Latin, Cyrillic, [IPA])

| Aa, Aa, [ $\mathrm{a}(:)$ ] | Вb, Бб, $[\mathrm{b}]$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Çç, Чч, } \\ [\mathrm{t}]] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dd, Дд, <br> [d] | Ee, Ee, [ $\varepsilon$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ää, Әə, } \\ {[æ(:)]} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ff, $\Phi$, <br> [f] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Gg}, ~ Г г, \\ {[\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{\gamma}, \mathrm{G}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Hh}, \mathrm{Xx}, \\ {[\mathrm{x}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ii, Ии, } \\ {[\mathrm{I}(:)]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Jj}, ~ Ж ж, ~ \\ {[\mathrm{~d} 3]} \end{gathered}$ | Žž, Жж, [3] |
| Kk, Кк, $[\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ll}, ~ Л л, \\ {[1, \downarrow]} \end{gathered}$ | Mm, Мм, [m] | $\mathrm{Nn}, \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$ <br> [n] | Ňň, Эң, <br> [ y ] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Oo}, \mathrm{Oo}, \\ {[\mathrm{o}(:)]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Öö, Өө, } \\ {[œ(:)]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Рр, Пп, } \\ {[\mathrm{p}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Rr}, \mathrm{Pp}, \\ {[\mathrm{r}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ss, Cc, [ $\theta$ ] | Şs, Шш, $[J]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tt}, \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{~T}, \\ {[\mathrm{t}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Uu}, \mathrm{yy}, \\ {[\mathrm{v}(:)]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ü} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{Y}}, \\ {[\mathrm{Y}(:)]} \end{gathered}$ | Ww, Bв, [ $\beta$ ] | Үу, Ыы, [u(:)] | Ýý, Йй, $[\mathrm{j}]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Zz}, \mathrm{3}^{2} \\ {[\mathrm{\jmath}]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

1.2 Conventions for transcriptions and examples

The conventions used here in giving the Turkmen forms are mostly in accordance with the Täze Elipbiý orthography, the only difference being the indication of vowel length. I supplement orthographic transcriptions with phonetic and phonological transcriptions
when needed, with brackets and forward slashes, respectively. Additionally, in suffixes and particles, I follow the representational tradition in Turkology in using capital letters $A$ and $I$ to represent the vowel quality that would arise according to vowel harmony ( $\S_{2.3 .1}$ ), and $K$ to represent velars $/ \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} /$ and their allophones. Segments that appear in parentheses can indicate two things, either a regular phonological process (e.g., -( $n$ )Iň GEN, where the $n$ appears only when suffixed onto vowel-final stems), or 'free' variation in form (bile( $n$ ) 'with', where both bile and bilen appear synonymously). Additionally, where a hyphen is used in Tä:ze Elipbiý, I write two hyphens in order to retain the orthographic convention as well as indicate a morpheme boundary.

Illustrative examples are typically given in parentheses in the following format: (Türkmen word or phrase 'gloss in single quotes' [phonetic transcription when necessary] < morphological.gloss). I adhere to Leipzig glossing rules with additional abbreviations, a list of which may be found in (p. xi). Another convention used in this manuscript is reference to specific lines of the texts (§6) that exemplify a stated phenomenon in Turkmen. I employ the following abbreviations within these references: $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{News}, \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{Poem}$, $C=$ Conversation, $S=$ Story. For example, the reference (N14) refers to the fourteenth line of the news article in texts section (§6.1).

2
Phonology

There are 16 vowels, which are divided below into eight short/long pairs (Table 2). They may also be divided according to their palatality and roundness, which are the two relevant factors for vowel harmony (\$2.3.1).

Table 2. Turkmen vowels (Täze Elipbiý orthography, [IPA])

| Short | $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{a}]$ | $\mathrm{e}[\varepsilon]$ | $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}[\mathrm{y}]$ | $\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{r}]$ | $\mathrm{o}[\mathrm{o}]$ | $\ddot{\mathrm{o}}[æ]$ | $\mathrm{u}[\mathrm{v}]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{u}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long | $\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{a}:]$ | $\mathrm{a}[æ:]$ | $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}[\mathrm{y}:]$ | $\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{r}:]$ | $\mathrm{o}[\mathrm{o}:]$ | $\ddot{\mathrm{o}}[æ:]$ | $\mathrm{u}[\mathrm{v}:]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{u}:]$ |

The members of each pair are articulated in roughly the same vowel space with the exception of $/ \varepsilon /$ and $/ æ: /$. These two phonemes can be represented as a pair differentiated by length because, for one, $/ \varepsilon /$ lengthens into [æ:], not [ $\varepsilon:]$, when followed by a suffix beginning with a vowel (bellemek /bellemek/ 'to celebrate’ > beller /bellæ:г / '3SG will celebrate'). Furthermore, [ $\varepsilon:]$ and [æ] do not exist in the original sound system as phonemes, but result idiosyncratically: phonetic [ $\varepsilon$ :] is the result of an unproductive contraction (appearing only in two forms: berer [be:r] '3SG will give' and geler [ge:r] '3SG will come'), and phonetic [æ] is restricted to Persian loans (şäher [ $\left.\left.\int æ h \varepsilon \varsigma\right] ~ ' c i t y '\right) ~ a n d ~ f o r m s ~$ that are the result of historical fusion (äkitmek [ækıtmek] 'to bring to' < alyp gitmek [alup gitmek] 'to take and go').

Whereas closely related Turkish has lost original vowel length, Turkmen preserved these distinctions. Minimal pairs may be found for all pairs (with the obvious exception of
/ع/ and /æ:/) (aşyk ‘dice game with sheep vertebrae’, a:şyk ‘lover’; yzlamak ‘snivel’, y:zlamak 'follow'). Vowel length may be characterized as primary or secondary: primary being inherent in the root morpheme, and secondary being long vowels arising from historical changes (e.g., compensatory lengthening) or synchronic processes.

There are 19 consonants in native Turkmen words (Table 3), though eight more are present in Russian, Persian, and Arabic loans (given in parentheses), depending on the degree to which a specific loan has been incorporated into Turkmen phonology and a speaker's level of bilingualism with Russian.

Table 3. Consonant inventory of Turkmen

|  | Bilabial | Labiodental | Interdental | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vcl stop | p |  |  | t | $(\mathrm{c})$ | k |  |
| vcd stop | b |  |  | d |  | g |  |
| nasal | m |  |  | n |  | y |  |
| vcl fricative |  | $(\mathrm{f})$ | $\theta$ | $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{f},(\mathrm{f})$ | $(\mathrm{x})$ | h |
| vcd fricative |  | $(\mathrm{v})$ | б | $(\mathrm{z})$ | $(3)$ |  |  |
| vcl affricate |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{t} \int$ |  |  |
| vcd affricate |  |  |  |  | d 3 |  |  |
| liquid/glide | w |  |  | l |  |  |  |
| tap |  |  |  | r |  |  |  |
| approximant |  |  |  |  | j |  |  |

### 2.2 Phonotactics

Turkmen permits syllables of the types $(\mathrm{C} 1) \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$, and the minimal content word may consist of a CVC (bit 'flea')' or VC (at 'horse'). Since initial consonant clusters are not permitted, those appearing in Russian loans are resolved either by metathesis or prothetic/epenthetic vowels [ $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{v}$ ] (kwartira [kwwastira] 'apartment'). Final consonant clusters of the following types are permitted: sonorant + voiceless obstruent (ökünç [œkynt]] 'remorse'), voiceless fricative + voiceless stop (kast [kaӨt] 'revenge'), voiced fricative + voiceless stop (mugt [moyt] 'free of charge'). In other words, $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ must be a voiceless obstruent (stop, affricate, or fricative). One typologically unusual feature of final clusters in Turkmen is that while voiced stop + voiceless stop is permitted phonemically (zabt 'invasion'), voiceless stop + voiceless stop clusters are not permitted except in borrowings (Russian proýekt 'project').

In native words, phonemes $/ \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d} 3 /$ are not permitted word-finally, and phones $[\mathrm{g}$, $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{3}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{c}]$ are generally not permitted word-initially, though these sounds (with the exception of [ $[\mathrm{\eta}]$ ), are mostly restricted to loans. Long vowels /o:, œ:, $৩: /$ are confined to native Turkmen roots, and $/ \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}$ / do not appear word-finally. In words of Perso-Arabic origin, / $\mathrm{m}: /$ is confined to the final syllable, and /v:/ may only appear in the first two syllables of the root.
2.3 Morphophonology

[^0]2.3.1 Vowel harmony

Turkmen has palatal (backness) and labial (rounding) harmony, the values for which are given in Table 4.

Table 4. Turkmen vowel harmony values

|  | Front |  | Back |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unrounded | Rounded | Unrounded | Rounded |
| High | $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}:$ | $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}:$ | $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{u}:$ | $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{\jmath}:$ |
| Low | $\varepsilon, æ:$ | $\propto, \propto:$ | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}:$ | $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{o}:$ |

Vowel harmony operates on two general principles. First, the palatal value (front vs. back) of the first vowel determines the palatal value for subsequent vowels in the word. And second, the labial value (unrounded vs. rounded) determines the labial value for subsequent vowels in the word. In other words, there are high "I-vowels", which have reflexes [i, i:, y, y:, w, w:, $\left.u, v_{:}\right]$, and low "A-vowels", which manifest as [ $\varepsilon$, æ:, œ, œ:, a, a:, o, o:], all of which pattern according to palatal and labial values of the initial vowel in the stem.

The appearance of long vowels /æ:/ and /a:/ cancel labial harmony, in that neither they themselves nor any vowels following them in the word undergo labial harmony (öĺÿ:rler [œljæ:rler] 'they die', *[œljæ:rlœer]). The vowels /u/ and /u:/ also present
exceptions with respect to labial harmony．Long／w：／does not undergo rounding at all （uzyn［๖ðu：n］＇long＇），while short／w／does not undergo rounding word－finally（umи：my ［omv：mu］＇general＇，but umu：mylyk［omo：molvk］＇commonness＇）．Intervening consonants do not affect vowel harmony processes．Table 5 below exemplifies these processes．

Table 5．Vowel harmony with I and A in long and short vowels

| Short vowels | －（ $n$ ）Iň̌ GENITIVE | －dA LOCATIVE | －dA：kI AFFILIATIVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| biz［bıð］3PL | biziň［bıðı］ | bizde［bっðð¢］ | bizdü：ki［bıððæ：kı］ |
| ýüz［jyð］＇face＇ | ýüzün［jyðyp］ | ýüzde［jуððœ］ | ýüzdä：ki［jyððæ：kı］ |
| merkez［merkzð］＇center＇ | merkeziň［merkeðı！］ | merkezde［merkeððz］ | merkezdü：ki［merkeððæ：kı］ |
| göz［gœð］＇eye’ | gözüň［gœðyŋ］ | gözde［gœððœ］ | gözdä：ki［gœððæ：kı］ |
| kagyz［ka：үuð］＇paper＇ | kagyzyň［ka：үuðu门］ | kagyzda［ka：үuðða］ | kagyzda：ky［ka：ðuðða：ku］ |
| dokuz［doquð］＇nine＇ | dokuzuň［doqงð๐ๆ］ | dokuzda［doquððo］ | dokuzda：ky［doquðða：ku］ |
| namaz［namað］＇prayer＇ | namazyň［namaðuı］ | namazda［namaðða］ | namazda：ky［namaðða：ku］ |
| hoz［hoð］＇walnut＇ | hozyň［hoð兀り］ | hozda［hoððo］ | hozda：ky［hoðða：ku］ |

For borrowings containing mixed palatal and labial values，suffixes undergo palatal harmony according to the final vowel in the stem（zoopark－da［ðoparkda］＇at the zoo＇＜zoo－LOC）．Only in borrowings which have phonologically assimilated to Turkmen （i．e．，most Perso－Arabic borrowings）does labial harmony apply consistently in such loans． For Russian loans，which by and large have yet to phonologically assimilate，application of labial harmony in suffixes is inconsistent and largely dependent on the speaker＇s Russian competence．

Suffixes potentially have four forms，each reflecting palatal and labial values （－da／de［da，do，de，dæ］LOc）．However，because／æ：／and／a：／cancel labial harmony，those suffixes in which either of these are the initial or sole vowel of the stem have only front
and back variants (-ra:k/rä:k [ra:q, ræ:k] CMPR). A similar situation exists for short /u/, which does not undergo rounding word-finally in open syllables, and long /w:/, which does not obey labial harmony. Suffixes containing these as their initial or sole vowel therefore have just three variants (-di/dy [du, di, dy] PAST.3SG, -i:n/y:n [u:n, i:n, y:n] INS).

While palatal harmony is represented orthographically, labial harmony, effectively, is not. Educated speakers understand rounding processes, but whether or not these are represented in writing depends on several spelling rules which are based on the openness of the syllable and if the syllable is the first, second, third, or later syllable of the word.

### 2.3.2 Segmental processes

2.3.2.1 Vowel processes

Lengthening of short vowels occurs either as the result of fusion of adjacent vowels or the addition of certain suffixes. Adjacent vowels may fuse together and create a phonetically long vowel when there is a sequence of vowel-final stem + vowel-initial suffix, which occurs regularly with numerous suffixes such as converb -Ip and hortative -AlI:ň (so:ra-:p [ $\theta$ o:гз:p] 'asking, having asked' < ask-CvB). Two adjacent vowels may also be produced and fused when a consonant is lost between two identical vowels, which occurs when the indefinite future suffix $-A r$ is added to verb roots ending in $/ \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r} /$ (gel-er [ge:r] 'he will
come' < come-INDF.FUT), and in the present continuous forms oty:r [otw:r] 'sitting' and ýaty:r [jatu:r] 'lying', which are contracted from otyr-yr and ýatyr-yr. The specific suffixes that condition lengthening of a final vowel include the case suffixes -(:n)I $\eta$ GEN, -(:n)I ACC, and-( $n$ ) $A(:)$ DAT, as well as Russian familial suffixes -ov/ev M.SURNAME and -ova/eva F.SURNAME.

The basic formulation of vowel deletion is that high vowels $/ \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{m} /$ in second syllables are dropped when 1) the first syllable of the word is open and contains a short vowel; 2 ) the onset of the second syllable is $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{j} /$ or sometimes $/ \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{l} / ; 3$ ) the second syllable is underlyingly closed; and 4) the suffix that is added is vowel-initial (ogl-y 'his son' [oglw] < ogul-I < son-3.POSS). This process predominantly occurs when a suffix is added to a disyllabic word meeting the above conditions; the only trisyllabic words affected by this process are those ending with the verbal noun suffix -Iş (ýazy/ş-y 'his subscription' < ýazylyss-I < subscription-3.Poss).

Other vowel processes include the raising and shortening of vowels $/ \mathrm{a}, \varepsilon, \mathrm{o}, œ /$ into $\int^{\mu,, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}} /$, respectively when immediately preceding the suffixes -ýy:n or -ýy:r (epleýa:r 'he folds' [ $\varepsilon$ pljja:r]). And finally, both $/ \mathrm{a}, \varepsilon /$ raise and round into [ $\mathrm{o}, œ$ $]$ respectively when before word-final /w/ (alaw 'flame' [alow]).
2.3.2.2 Consonant processes

There are numerous consonant assimilations. Sequences /nd, ld, $\partial \mathrm{d}, \theta \mathrm{d}, \theta \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{mb} /$ trigger full progressive assimilation (hozda [hoððo] 'on the walnut'), while sequences / $\partial \theta$, t $\theta$ / trigger full regressive assimilation (görkezse [gœrkœ $\theta \theta$ e] 'if he shows'). Alveopalatal clusters /tftf, $\mathrm{t} \int \mathrm{d}_{3}, \int \mathrm{dd}_{3} /$ ) are consistently realized as $\left[\iint\right]$ (gecjek [ge $\left.\iint \mathrm{\varepsilon k}\right]$ 'will pass').

Consonant voicing, which is mostly reflected in the orthography, occurs in several situations. All voiceless consonants are voiced word-finally in single-syllable words with a long vowel (o:t [o:d] 'fire'; cf. ot [ot] 'grass'). The set of phonemes /p, t, k, tf/are voiced stem-finally before a vowel-initial suffix (sadab-a 'to the button' < button-DAT; töwereg-i 'the surroundings' < surroundings-3.POss; cf. türk-ler [tyrklœr] 'Turks' < Turk-PL). With the exception of $/ \mathrm{p} /$, these same consonant phonemes $/ \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t} /$ are voiced in stem-final consonant clusters /rt, Jk , $\mathrm{nt} \int$, rt / before a vowel-initial suffix (gezelenj-e [gezelend3 ] 'to an excursion' < excursion-DAT); it does not appear that similar clusters (e.g., /rk, $\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{lk} /$ ) undergo voicing in a similar way. These phonemes $/ \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{f} /$ are also voiced before the comparative suffix -rA:k (giç-rä:k [gidzræ:k] 'later' < late-rA:k). The consonants stops /t, k/ are voiced when followed by any suffix beginning in /j/ or /r/ (at-ýa:n [adja:n] 'making'). Finally, alveolar stop / t / voices before the addition of a suffix for high-frequency verb roots $e t$ - 'do, make' and git- 'go' (ed-ip [ $\varepsilon \mathrm{dIIp}]$ 'having made' < make-CVB). Note that, perhaps surprisingly, stops do not voice morpheme-internally between vowels, but only at morpheme boundaries.

Other processes include devoicing in the stop series $/ \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g} /$ after voiceless homorganic stops (balyk-gulak [baluqquklaq] 'shell’ < fish-ear). Of these, stops /b, g/ both spirantize intervocalically and after obstruents and taps (ýumurtga [jomortya] 'egg'; erbet [عrvet] 'bad'); ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{g} /$ also spirantizes word-finally (çyg [tfuy] 'damp') and before obstruents (mugt [moyt] 'free of charge'). The phonemes /g, k, l/appear as [ $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{l}$ ] respectively in back environments (oka [oqa] 'read!'). Affricate /t $\mathrm{f} /$ appears as $/ \mathrm{f} /$ before / d , s, l/ (agaçdan [agafdan] 'from a tree'). In Russian loans, many speakers replace [f] with [p].

### 2.3.3 Syllable deletion

Two identical syllables may be reduced to one when they appear in sequence, especially as the result of suffixing -Iň GEN onto the syncretic 2 SG.POSS -Iň, which would otherwise result in -IňIň. Whole syllables are commonly dropped in several high-frequency phrases and with the addition of the genitive case to pronouns (Table 6).

Table 6. Common words and phrases showing syllable deletion

| bu: ${ }^{\text {ýerde }}$ > bä:rde [bæ:rde] 'here' | meniň > meň [mey] 'my' |
| :---: | :---: |
| bir iki > birki [birkr] 'a couple' | meniňki > meñki [meŋkr]'mine' |
| ondan soň > onsoň [onnoy] 'after that' | seniň > seň [sey] 'your' |
| şeýle edip > şeýdip [ [fjdıp] 'having done so, and so' | seniňki > seňki [seykr] 'yours' |
| alyp gitmek > äkitmek [ækıtmek] 'to take to' | onuň > oň [oŋ] 3SG.GEN |
| alyp gelmek > äkelmek [æk\&lmek] 'to bring to' | onuňky > oňky [oŋkı] 3SG.GEN.REL |

[^1]Turkmen lexical stress typically falls on the final syllable produced in isolation bedew 'steed' [be'dzw]. Secondary stress falls on the first syllable of words consisting of more than three syllables (abadan [,aba'dan] 'prosperous', joralarymdan [joralarum'dan] 'from my girlfriends'). The precise acoustic correlates of lexical and phrasal stress have yet to be instrumentally determined for Turkmen.

Exceptions to this general pattern include many 'pre-stressing' suffixes and particles which repel stress to the previous syllable. These include suffixes -mA NEG, -Ǐn 2SG.FORMAL/2PL.IMP, and -sAnA POLITE.INFORMAL.IMP (§3.2.2.3); the personal paradigm of person markers (§3.2.2.2); and many particles with discourse functions, such as -mI Q , $-h \ddot{a}$ : Q.TAG, $-d A$ FOC, hem 'also, too', -mIş IND.EVID, -A: MODERATING.PARTICLE, -kA: WONDER, and -dIr AFRM (§3.3•3). Many loans have retained their native stress patterns, notably PersoArabic loans hä:zir ['hæ:ðır] 'now' and hemme ['hemme] 'all'.

In compounds, stress tends to fall on the last syllable of the respective components (ata-ene [a'tac'n l ] 'parents' < (grand)father-(grand)mother). As in other Turkic languages, Turkmen phrasal stress manifests as higher pitch on the final syllable. A reliable cue for phrasal boundaries is increased intensity on the initial syllable of a phrase (Hoey 2011), which is consonant with the fact that Central Asian Turkic languages tend to have greater prominence on the initial syllable of words (Johanson 1998: 35).

Turkmen shows a typical range of distinct lexical classes that may be classified broadly into those that inflect (nominals and verbals), and those that generally do not (adverbs, postpositions, modals, particles, interjections).
3.1 Nominals

Nominals include nouns, adjectives, pronouns, and quantifiers. These are grouped together due to their shared characteristics to behave like nouns, i.e. take number, person, and case morphology or act as a syntactic argument of a verb with minimal or no derivation.
3.1.1 Nouns

Turkmen noun roots in their form are predominantly one or two syllables, while those of foreign origin may be longer. Apart from simple roots, nouns may be formed through compounding or derivation from verbs and other nouns. Morphologically, nouns may express number, person, and case, for which the template is Root + Number + Person +

Case. Syntactically, nouns can appear as heads of a noun phrase, and as subject, attribute, object, or predicate.
3.1.1.1 Compounds

Compounding to create nouns is a fairly productive process in Turkmen whereby two elements are juxtaposed and lexicalized to some degree. With the exception of particles, all lexical classes may provide elements for a compound, and the resulting compounds are typically nouns, adjectives, adverbs, and verbs. These elements may be two independent morphemes (dik-uçar 'helicopter' < vertical-aircraft), a single independent morpheme that is partially or fully reduplicated (nahar--pahar 'food and stuff' < nahar 'food'), or two morphemes that do not exist independently outside of the compound form (abyny-tabyny 'the ins and outs').

The most lexicalized compounds are phonologically fused and may be unanalyzable (gelneje 'sister-in-law' < gelen eje 'mother who has come', şaýo:ly 'boulevard' < şah yo:ly 'king's road'). Less lexicalized compounds typically show little to no phonological fusion and are usually represented orthographically with a hyphen separating the two elements ( $a l-y s ̧ ̧--c ̧ a l-y s ̧ ̧ ~ ' t r a d e ' ~<~ t a k e-~ I s ̧ . N M L Z-e x c h a n g e-I s ̧ . N M L Z) . ~$. Semantically, compounds tend to express naturally associated sets (ata-ene 'parents' < father-mother), collectives of the combined elements (goýun-guzy 'sheep (plural)' <
sheep-lamb), specific types of things (garagaç 'elm’ < gara agaç 'black tree’), or idiomatic meanings (ak-an--dök-en ‘disorder’ < flow-PST.PRT-pour-PST.PRT),
3.1.1.2 Derivation

Denominal nouns are derived through several suffixes, including - $d A s ̧$ for companionship (klas-daş 'classmate' < class-dAş), -çI for an actor or 'one who...' (ACT) (N1, N4, S2), and -IIk for abstractions $\left(\mathrm{N}_{7}, \mathrm{~N}_{13}\right)$. There are also a number of diminutive suffixes, most prominently -jIk (C50) and -çAçIk.

There are a variety of suffixes that create deverbal nouns, the precise semantics of which often depend on the inherent actionality of the verbs to which they attach. Deverbal nominalizing suffixes can emphasize the product or end result of a verb (sars-gyn 'shock' < shake-GIn.NMLZ), the procedure (ek-in 'sowing' < plant-In.NMLZ), or either the product or the procedure ( $\ddot{a}$ :d-im 'a step, pace' < take.a.step-Im.NMLZ). Abstract nominalizations from verbs are often created using -ç (utan-ç 'shyness' < be.shy-ç.NMLZ) or $-U w$ (biç-uw 'fashion' < tailor-Uw.NMLZ). Deverbal suffixes may also express the instrument (çyz-gyç 'ruler' < draw-gIç.NMLZ), the agent (gataş-yjy 'participant' < participate-Ijl.nMLZ), the place for the action (ýala-k‘dog bowl’ < lick-Ak.NMLZ). The historical development of these suffixes and their current productivity require further study.
3.1.1. 3 Number

Turkmen expresses singular and plural number, though some clusivity appears in multiplural pronouns (§3.1.3.1) and in the hortative (§3.2.2.3). Turkmen displays the standard mass nouns, which do not normally take plural marking: liquids, particulates, substances, clusters, clothing, and many foods. Some hyphenated compounds that express natural sets also have inherent plurality like mass nouns (goýun-guzy 'sheep (plural)' < sheeplamb).

The plural morpheme -LAr attaches to nominals for straightforward pluralization expressing an indefinite non-singular number, but has some idiosyntactic meanings as well. It can denote totality or comprehensiveness when, for example, attached to a name (Garajaýew-ler 'the Garajayev familial unit or household'), or mass noun (S6). It may also have an intensifying effect (azar-lar 'great pain' < pain-PL), especially when attached to reduplicated forms (nahar--pahar-lar 'heaps of food and stuff'), or give an approximation (bu: wagt-lar 'nowadays' < this time-PL).

There are a few cases where even though a referent may be semantically plural, the plural suffix does not appear. When a numeral modifies the head noun, $-L A r$ is not used (dö:rt syçan 'four mice' < four mouse). The plural morpheme also attaches to verbals for agreement, though it is not required for 3PL subjects, and indeed is typically left off, especially for inanimate subjects.

Turkmen marks person on nouns through the standard five possessive suffixes for Turkic languages, which distinguish for number only in first and second person: 1SG.POSs -(I)m, 1PL.POSS - (I)mIz, 2SG.POSS -(I) ň, 2PL.POSS -(I)ňIz, 3.POSS -(s)I. These suffixes correspond and agree with possessive pronouns (3.1.3.1), though those pronouns are not required to appear overtly. The paradigm's lack of distinction for number in the third person may lead to an ambiguity where a word like öý-ler-i (< house-PL-3.POSS) may mean 'their house', 'their houses' or 'his/her houses'.

Possessive suffixes are also involved in existential constructions. They may attach to a noun and be accompanied by ba:r for presence ( $\mathrm{N} 11, \mathrm{~N} 12, \mathrm{C} 50$ ) or ýo:k for absence (S12) in present tense. Future and past existence is expressed through inflected forms of bolmak 'to be'. Past existence may also be expressed with ba:r/ýo:k plus the past tense copula -dI.
3.1.1. 5 Case

Turkmen shows the standard six cases found in Turkic languages: nominative - $\varnothing$, genitive $-(: n)$ In (GEN), accusative -(:n)I (ACC), locative -( $n$ )dA (LOC), dative -(n) $A(:)$ (DAT), and
ablative - $(n) d A n$ (ABL). Other cases, though unproductive, may still be found with more restricted distribution: the equative -çA, instrumental -I:n, and allative -ArI, -I:k.

The nominative case - $\emptyset$ is used for the subject, topic, indefinite direct object, indefinite possessors, predicate nominal, and vocative of a sentence. Definiteness of the referent in the nominative case is resolved contextually or through manipulation of word order (e.g., 'right-dislocation'). These are not morphologically glossed in the texts or examples, but may be seen in ( N 1$)$ with okuwçylar 'students'. Here, at the beginning of the news article, 'students' are brought to the center of the discourse stage.

The accusative case -(:n)I functions to mark definite grammatical objects (N17 kerpiçlerini). While indefinite objects are formally indistinguishable from nominals in the nominative case, they are identifiable via word order or other pragmatic/interactional cues. The allomorph -:nI appears after vowel-final stems and lengthens the vowel preceding the suffix (ça:ga-ny 'the child' < child-ACC).

The dative case $-(n) A(:)$ marks the grammatical indirect object, typically fulfilling the semantic roles of recipient ( $\mathrm{N}_{7}$ Radiosyna) or beneficiary (maña 'for/to me'), temporal duration (sagad-a 'for an hour'), goal, direction (gapy-na 'towards the door'), or purpose (gör-mä:g-e '(in order) to see' < see-INF-DAT). Verbs requiring use of the dative case tend to convey inherent or implied directionality (e.g., garamak 'inspect, look at'). Allomorph -nA appears after stems ending with 3.POSS -(s)I (ça:ga-sy-na 'to his child' < child-3.POSS-DAT),
and allomorph -A: appears when stem-final vowels fuse with $-A$ (ca:g-a: 'to/for the child' < child-DAT).

The genitive case -(:n)Iň is the only case which may appear internal to the noun phrase. It attaches to the possessor, and on the thing possessed a possessive suffix is attached (§3.1.1.4) ( $\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{C} 48-50, \mathrm{P} 1)$. This construction yields a definite reading of the possessed object. As with the accusative case, leaving off the genitive suffix -Iň with two juxtaposed nouns, the second of which still retains the possessive suffix, can yield an indefinite reading (N10, P 20 ). This second construction with the indefinite reading is a common source of compounds involving two nouns (şaýo:ly 'boulevard' < şah yo:l-y < king road-3.POSS). As with the accusative case, the allomorph -:nIň appears after vowel-final stems and lengthens the vowel preceding the suffix (ça:ga:-nyř 'the child's' < child-GEN).

The ablative case -( $n$ )dAn identifies the semantic source, origin, cause (saýlaw-dan 'because of the election' < election-ABL), or manner ( 100 kilometrden 'at 100 kph '). With certain verbs it conveys stimulus (gorkmak 'to fear') or theme (so:ramak 'to ask'). The ablative also functions as the mark of comparison (Ol men-den uly 'He is bigger than me' < 3SG 1SG-ABL big). Fractions are expressed using the ablative as well (dö̈rtden bi:ri ' $1 / 4^{\prime}<$ 'from four one'). Allomorph -ndAn appears after stems ending with 3.POSS -(s)I (ça:ga-sy-ndan 'from his child' < child-3.POSS-ABL).

The locative case -( $n$ )dA typically expresses the semantic roles of place (Ni4) and time ( $\mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{P}_{3}$ ). Additionally, the locative plays a role in a possessive construction, in which
the locative indicates the possessor. Using the locative in this way can denote general existence (Men-de pişik ba:r 'I have a cat' < 1SG-LOC cat exist), or, in conjunction with a possessive suffix on the possessed noun, definite current existence (Men-de pişig-im ba:r ‘I have my cat [with me]' < 1SG-LOC cat-1SG.POSS exist). Past tense marking may appear after the locative case marker when it is suffixed to a predicate nominal (Men mekdep-de-di-m'I was at school' < 1SG school-LOC-PST-1SG.POSS). Allomorph -ndA appears after stems ending with 3.POSS -(s)I (ça:ga-sy-nda 'at his child' < child-3.POSS-LOC).
3.1.2 Adjectives

Adjectives are not robustly distinguished from nouns. Like several other elements of a noun phrase (§4.1), they may appear in a headless noun phrase, which means they may take number, person, and case marking and may act as the subject or object argument of a verb. However, their typical occurrence is before the noun they modify. The adjectival class is open insofar as the noun class is open to members, and denominal derivation is widely productive for new forms (iki etaž-ly 'two-story' < 2 story-ASSO). Similar to nouns, adjectives may be a simple stem (gök 'blue/green'), a derived form (gar-ly 'snowy' < snowASSO), a compound (büti:n-dünýä: 'global’ < whole-world), or a reduplicated form (büdür-südür 'rough'). Only adjectival forms may take the comparative suffix -rA:k
(haýsy-myz bagt-ly-ra:k? 'which of us is luckier?' < which-3PL.POss luck-ASSO-CMPR). The superlative is formed analytically with iň or ha:s 'most' preceding the adjective.

Intensification of adjective quality may be done through intensifiers örä̈:n 'very', iňňä:n 'really', gaty 'very', and ýaman 'terribly', all of which precede the adjective, or through partial or full reduplication (ezber--ezber 'very talented').
3.1.2.2 Derivation

Derivation of adjectives from both nouns and verbs is possible, and many of the same suffixes are used as with denominal and deverbal nouns, which demonstrates the closeness of the two classes.

Denominal adjectives may be created with a few suffixes: the associative -lI (ASSO), suffix of relation -( $n$ ) $K I$ (REL), and the privative -sIz (PRIV). The associative -lI is very productive and may denote the quality of the noun (gudrat-ly 'miraculous' < miracle-Asso), designate a nationality (hytaý-ly ‘Chinese person’ < China-ASSO), or lend a comitative reading (anten-ly öy 'house with the satellite dish' < satellite.dish-Asso house). Other denominal suffixes that are not as productive include -jǍn (namys-jaň 'honorable’ < honor-jaň), -lAk, and -çIl. Suffixes shared with denominal nouns include: -çI, -IIk, and -dAş.

Similarly, deverbal adjectives in many ways behave like deverbal nouns: they share many of the same suffixes, make similar aspectual distinctions, and are seemingly
idiosyncratic in which suffixes may attach to which stems. The suffixes shared between deverbal nouns and deverbal adjectives are a subset of the entire possible set of deverbal noun suffixes: $-A k,-g A k,-g I,-g I n,-m A$, and -Ik ( $g a: l-a k$ 'underdeveloped' < remain- $A k$; ýa:z-gyn 'unfolded' < spread.out- $g I n$ ). There do not appear to be any suffixes expressly for the creation of deverbal adjectives.
3.1.3 Pronouns

Pronouns in Turkmen share few formal characteristics as a class, but are functionally united by their deictic and referential uses. Personal and demonstrative pronouns in particular show oblique stems in certain cases that differ from nominative stems.
3.1.3.1 Personal pronouns

Turkmen has six personal pronouns, distinguishing person and number: men 1SG, biz 1PL, sen 2SG, siz 2PL, ol 3SG, olar 3PL. Also available are two so called 'multi-plural' pronouns biz-ler 1PL-PL and siz-ler 2PL-PL, which are mostly restricted to conversational Turkmen and appear to have more rhetorical than grammatical significance. These multi-plural forms individuate a collective plurality as distinct from a general set of possible referents
(Nevskaya 2010) (Biz-ler hem Türkmen aýal--gyz-lar-y bol-up 'Us being Turkmen women...’ < 1PL-PL also T woman-girl-PL-3.POss be-CVB).

Personal pronouns decline like nouns with the exception of singular pronouns in the dative case, an exception common in Turkic languages. These appear as follows: maňa 1SG.DAT, saňa 2SG.DAT, oňa 3SG.DAT (i.e., not *men-e 1SG-DAT, sen-e 2SG-DAT, ol-a 3SG-DAT as may be predicted). While there are no distinctions for gender or clusivity in the personal pronouns, a sociolinguistic distinction appears in the second person, whereby the plural form may be used for 2PL referents as well as for 2SG referents that are regarded with formality or social distance. In addition, third person proximal demonstratives (bu:, şu:) are sometimes used for 3SG, and medial demonstratives ( ol, şol) for 3 PL.

Personal pronouns routinely take a suite of suffixes and particles expressing, among other things, opinion (men-iň-çe 'in my opinion' < 1SG-GEN-EQA), affiliation (biz-dä:ki 'the thing with/at us' < 3PL-AFIL), and collective association (sen-dä:ki-ler 'you and yours' < 2SG-AFIL-PL). The genitive case, when used with personal pronouns, creates possessive pronouns (i.e., 'my, your, our, etc.'), which require and agree with possessive suffixes on the possessed item ( N 2 ). This grammatical redundancy requires further research, specifically when and why speakers tend to use either both the pronoun and possessive suffix or simply the possessive suffix to express possession. The genitive case may also combine with the relation suffix -KI to create absolute possessives (men-iň-ki 'mine' < 1SG-GEN-REL).
3.1.3.2 Demonstrative pronouns

Turkmen makes a proximal (bu:, şu:), medial (ol, şol), and distal (o:l, şo:l, ho:l) distinction among demonstratives. Between the two proximal demonstratives, şu: is reserved for more immediate referents, often things held in hand, while $b u$ : for pointing to other proximal objects. Between the medial demonstratives, there does not appear to be a semantic difference between ol and şol, but among the distal demonstratives, ho:l is taken to be farther away than either o:l or şo:l. Vowel length in distal forms conveys distance iconically. Also available are alternate forms $o:$; şo:, and şul for $o l$, şu, and şol respectively.

Demonstratives may take number, case, and possessive marking. When not in the nominative case, their forms are mun-, şun-, $o(:) n-$, şo(:) $n-$, and $h o(:) n$ - for $b u, s ̧ u, o(:) l, s ̧ o(:) l$, and $h o(:) l$, respectively. Commonly, the locative or dative attaches to demonstratives to form textual/interactional adverbs like onda 'but, still, then' and ondan soň'then, after that'. Similarly the genitive is often used in the adverb şonuň üçi:n 'and so, therefore'. Demonstrative pronouns routinely take the affiliative suffix -dA:kI (on-da:ky 'the thing with him' < 3 SG-AFIL) as well as the equative suffix -çA (on-ça 'like so, such').
3.1.3.3 Interrogative pronouns

The major interrogative pronouns include kim 'who', nä:me 'what', nä:me üçi:n 'why', haçan 'when', haýsy 'which', nä:çe how much/many', niçik how', nä:çinji 'which (of an ordinal series)', nä:dejerede 'to what degree, amount', nire 'where', nä:dip how (manner)'; and nä:hili, neneň, nü:tüýysli what kind (of), how'.

With the notable exception of haýsy, haçan, and nä:hili, which do not decline, most interrogative pronouns may take case endings, which lends them much semantic and grammatical flexibility. For example, the pronoun kim 'who' forms the basis for words as kim-iň-ki 'whose?' (< who-GEN-REL), kim-ler-de 'at whose [place]?' (< who-PL-LOC), kim-e 'to/for whom?' (< who-DAT), and kim-den 'from whom?' (< who-ABL). 'Why?' is expressed either though nä:me üçi:n (< what for) or nä:me-den (< what-ABL). The pronoun nire 'where' commonly takes dative (nir-ä: 'to where'), ablative (nire-den 'from where'), and locative cases (nire-de 'at where').

Interrogative pronouns may combine with a number of other forms to determine their quantity or number. For distributive pronouns, her 'every' is placed before the interrogative pronoun (her haýsy 'each one'). Similarly for negative pronouns, hi:ç 'none' precedes the interrogative pronoun (hi:ç kim 'nobody'), which conditions negative concord in the rest of the clause.
3.1.3.4 Reflexive pronoun

There is one independent reflexive pronoun in Turkmen, $\ddot{0} z$, which has reflexive and emphatic functions, roughly corresponding to 'self' or 'own'. The reflexive pronoun may also take plural, possessive, and case suffixes. By itself in unaffixed form, $\ddot{0}: z$ acts as a modifier, appearing before nouns to indicate reflexive possession. In these cases, the noun it modifies takes possessive marking that indexes the identity of the possessor (Si9 'it's own fate'). The reflexive pronoun often appears by itself with a possessive suffix as the subject of a clause (P25 as subject). These forms may appear with a possessor in the genitive case, in which case there may be an emphatic reading (meniň öz-üm 'I myself < 1SG self-1SG.POSS). In the texts, the reflexive pronoun takes the genitive case, as in (N14) where ö:zleriniň indicates the subject of the complement clause following it (§4.4.2), or in (P15), where ö:züňe takes the dative case as object of the predicating adjective bagly 'dependent'.
3.1.4 Quantifiers

Quantifiers in Turkmen consist of numerals and lexical quantifiers.
3.1.4.1 Numerals

Turkmen cardinal numerals 1-10 are 1 bir, 2 iki, 3 üç, 4 dö:rt, 5 bä:ş, 6 alty, 7 ýedi, 8 sekiz, 9 dokuz, 10 o:n. Subsequent decades are 20 ýigrimi, 30 otuz, $40 \mathrm{kyrk}, 50$ elli, 60 altmyş, 70 ýetmiş, 8o segsen, 90 togsan, and larger magnitudes include 100 ýüz, 1000 müň, million million, billion milliard, and trillion trillion. Numerals combine using multiplication and addition (bir müň 'one thousand', dokuz ýuz kyrk dö:rt 'nine hundred forty four').

Shortening of the long vowel in o:n occurs in numbers 11-19, and conversely, lengthening occurs in bir 'one' in numbers ending in one (otuz bi:r ' $33^{\prime}$ '. When counting specific quantities, speakers often use the counting words sa:ny or sanak 'count' (üç sa:ny baýguş 'three owls' < 3 count owl).

Pronominal use of numbers is common. The number bir 'one' is often found as a third person pronoun in the reciprocal pronoun (bi:ri-bi:ri 'one another') or as a representative of a set (i.e., 'one of...') ( $\mathrm{N}_{7}$ ). Possessive suffixes may also attach to numerals to form collective pronouns (dö:rt-imiz 'the four of us' < four-1PL.POSS).

In order to form ordinals, the suffix -InjI is attached (N18-19). Collective numerals are created using a cardinal numeral and a possessive suffix (iki-ňiz 'the two of you' < two2PL.POSS) or using bolup 'as, being' (dö:rt bolup 'as four, the four'). Approximates are created by a number of means, including the plural suffix -LAr (sagat o:n bir-ler 'around 11:oo' < hour ten one-PL) or -LA:p (27 hepde-lä:p 'for about 27 months' < 27 week-lA:p), compounds (o:n-ýyigrimi 'ten or twenty'), or postposition töwerek 'environs' (sekiz garga töwerek 'around eight crows' < eight crow environs).
3.1.4.2 Lexical quantifiers

Lexical quantifiers appear in noun phrases to specify the quantity or amount of a noun, expressing an indefinite amount ('some, a few, a group of, many'), a distributive or collective quantity ('each, every, all, entire'), or negative amounts ('none'). In addition to their role as modulating quantity, lexical quantifiers behave in many ways like pronouns and may combine with other elements to create other modifiers, adverbs, and pronouns. Only the most common quantifiers are mentioned here.

The primary means for expressing indeterminate amounts is by using the indefinite quantifier bir 'one'. As a modifier, it means ‘some, certain' (bir za:t'something' < one thing), and may also serve as an indefinite article (bir owgan 'an Afghan'). It is found in combination with a variety of forms for a range of similar meanings (bir-ki 'a couple’ < one-two; bir-hi:li ‘somewhat' < one-type; bir-nä:çe ‘several’ < one-how.many; nä:medir bir za:t 'something or other'; kimdir bi:ri 'somebody'; bir topar kepderi 'a group of pigeons'). Indefinite quantities are also expressed through köp, kä:n 'many, much' and $a: z$ 'few, little'. In addition to their pronominal characteristics, these forms (köp, kä:n, $a: z$ ) commonly serve as predicates. The lexical quantifier köp 'much, many' also acts as an adverb (§3.3.1).

The distributive quantifier her 'each, every' may modify nouns (her gün 'each day') and may also combine with a set other forms to create modifiers (her hi:li ‘all types of),
pronouns (her haýsy 'whichever'), and adverbs (her hepdede 'weekly'). Collective quantifiers include hemme 'all', büti:n 'entire', ähli 'whole', and tutuş 'entire', which designative collectives/entireties ( $\mathrm{N} 12,17$ ), form adverbs (hemme ýerde 'everywhere'), and act as pronouns (hemme 'everybody'). Distributive quantifiers her, hemme, and ähli may take possessive endings to form pronouns (herimiz 'each of us').

The negative quantifier hi:ç may appear before nouns to express their absence, and may also combine with other forms to create fairly transparent pronouns (hi:ç kim 'nobody', hi:ç hi:li 'no kind of', hi:ç za:t 'nothing') and adverbs (hi:ç haçan 'never', hi:ç ýerde 'nowhere').
3.2 Verbals

The verbal class consists of finite and non-finite forms. A verb root may be derived from nearly any class, and the verb stem's template may be represented as ROOT-ACTIONALITYDIATHESIS. Inflectional suffixes build upon this template. Finite verb inflections appear as follows: VERb.STEM-NEGATIVE.PARTICLE-THEMATIC.MARKER-PERSON.MARKER-PARTICLE. Non-finite verbal forms, which include verbal nouns, participles, and converbs, may take only a restricted set of inflections (§3.2.3), often in addition to nominal morphology.
3.2.1 Derivation

Morphological derivation of verb stems may be done from words of numerous subclasses. The denominal verbalizer - $l A$ is the most productive (tow-la-mak 'twist' < turn-vBLZ-INF), being able to combine with the reflexive -n (piker-le-n-mek'contemplate' < thought-vblz-REFL-INF), cooperative -ş (el-le-ş-mek 'shake hands' < hand-vBLZ-COOP-INF), and causative - $t$ (ýat-la-t-mak 'remind' < memory-VBLZ-CAUS-INF). -lA may also attach to some adverbial forms to create verbs (tiz-le-n-mek 'accelerate' < tiz 'quick'). Other denominal verbalizing suffixes include $-r A,-A,-s A,-j A r$, -jIrA, -IrgI, -GAr, and -sIrA (dä:li-re-mek 'go insane’). Many of these same suffixes operate with adjectives (ýaky:n-laş-mak'approach' < near-VBLZ-INF), though the deadjectival suffix -(A)/ is restricted to adjective stems for creating intransitive verbs (agr-al-mak 'gain weight' < heavy-vBLZ-INF). Finally, de-onomatopoeic suffixes include -(I)ldA and -(I)rdA (hik-irde-mek'giggle').

Deverbal derivation is highly productive and can create rather complex stems.
Deverbal suffixes appear in the following order: VERB.ROOT-ACTIONALITY-DIATHESIS.
Actionality suffixes modify the inherent lexical quality of the verb root, expressing distinctions of transformativity (§3.2.2.4.1), frequency, intensity, and simulation.

Modification of transformativity is predominantly achieved through analytic means (§3.2.2.4.1), with the only actional suffix in Turkmen being -Iber (TRANS), which highlights a transformative meaning in a verb $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and is especially common in imperatives (§3.2.2.3). Actionality suffixes expressing frequency, many of which are based on the denominal
suffix -LA, include $-(A) L A,-G I L A,-K A L A,-(A) K L A,-m A L A,-j A,-j A K L A$, and $-(I) s s d I r$ (sermelemek 'rifle through' < sermek 'search'). Actionality suffixes modifying intensity are less productive, but include the intensive suffixes -(A)ňkIrlA and -mArlA (basmarla- 'torture' < press-mArlA), and the attenuative -jIrA (derjiremek 'sweat a little' < dermek 'sweat'). Also available is the simulative suffix -sIrA (awasyramak 'pretend to be in great pain' < awamak 'be in pain').

Diathetic suffixes, as with actionality suffixes, alter the lexical meaning of the stem, but also modify the valency of the root, yielding suffixes of voice (i.e., active, passive, middle/reflexive, reciprocal/cooperative, and causative). These appear after an actional suffix and may be combined with another diathetic suffix. Active voice is typically the default form of a root, with passives created via the suffix - $-(I) l$, or -In if the stem ends in [l] ( $a c ̧-y l-m a k$ 'to be opened' < $a c ̧-$ 'open’). Middle/Reflexive voice is conveyed with suffix -In, which is identical to a passive voice allomorph and may be differentiated contextually or through grammatical relations (ed-in-mek'get something for yourself < make-REFL-INF). The reciprocal/cooperative voice is expressed through the suffix -(I)s and semantically implicates multiple entities engaging in an action either reciprocally or against/with one another (syg-yss-mak'fit together' < fit-COOP-INF). The causative voice is expressed through multiple suffixes, most prominently -dIr and, for vowel-final stems, -t (uzak-laş-dyr-mak 'prolong' < long-vBLZ-CAUS-INF). Diathetic suffixes may combine with one another. For example a verb stem may appear with causative and cooperative suffixes (bil-dir-iş-mek
'announce to one another' < know-CAUS-COOP-INF), or causative, cooperative, and reflexive suffixes (çal-yş-yr-yn-mak 'change [clothes]' < spread-COOP-CAUS-REFL-inf).

Analytic derivation is also highly developed in Turkmen. Such contructions result in verbal complexes involving converb constructions or nominal forms with auxiliary verbs (§3.2.2.4).

### 3.2.2 Finite

Finite verb forms are united by their ability to stand in syntactically independent clauses. They may take the full suite of inflections included in the template STEM-NEGATION.PARTICLE-THEMATIC.MARKER-PERSON.MARKER-PARTICLE, but usually require a suffix that inflects for tense, aspect, and mood (the thematic marker), and a suffix that marks person/number (a personal marker). Thematic markers and person markers are discussed below, while particles are discussed in section (§3.2.3.2). Polarity is most commonly marked with the negative suffix $-m A$, though a number of allomorphs exist as noted below (§3.2.2.1). Also discussed in this section are directives, auxiliaries, and modality.
3.2.2.1 Thematic markers

Thematic markers are verbal suffixes that convey aspectotemporal information (analytic devices are also well developed §3.2.2.4), and often specify for mood and evidentiality as well. In what follows, I first explain terminality, which is the means by which Turkic languages express aspect, and then present the range of thematic markers available in Turkmen according to their temporal localization (past, present, future tenses).

Aspect in Turkic languages is more precisely conceived of as terminality (i.e., intraterminal or postterminal), which specifies the particular viewpoint taken on an event with regard to its relevant limits (i.e., beginning, interval, and ending). An intraterminal perspective envisages an event as occurring after its commencement but before its conclusion; these are often termed imperfects or progressives. Postterminals, on the other hand, envisage an event as occurring after the transgression of some crucial limit, which may be the incipience of an event (e.g., statives like hide) or its culmination (e.g., perfects like suffocate). The crucial limit of a particular verb depends on its particular actionality (§3.2.1).
3.2.2.1.1 Present tense thematic markers

The present tense thematic suffixes include intraterminal and postterminals for events reported directly and indirectly (§3.3.3.3 for indirectivity). The simple present (PRS)
$-y A: r \mid-m A y ́ A: r^{3}$ is the present intraterminal, and the negative present perfect ${ }^{4}$ (NEG.PRS.PRF) (paradigm in §3.2.2.2) is the present postterminal. The simple present is aspectually interpretable as a progressive (ol gelýä:r he is coming'), gnomic (sygyrlar süúýt berýä:r 'cows give milk'), prospective (ertir okatýa:ryn 'I teach tomorrow'). It is also used for describing a situation that started in the past still continues (Olar bä:rde üç ýylýaşaýa:rlar ‘They've been living here three years'). The negative present perfect is used for aborted or otherwise incompleted past actions with present relevance, and is commonly found with verbs of cognition (bilemo:k 'I don't know' or 'I hadn't known'). The positive form of this thematic suffix is created analytically (§3.2.2.4).

The two indirective forms of present tense thematic suffixes are the presumptive imperfect (PRSM.IMPF) -ýA:ndIr/-mAýA:ndIr and the presumptive perfect (PRSM.PRF) -AndIr/-An dä:ldir or -mA:ndIr. The presumptive imperfect is an intraterminal like the simple present, but differs in that it expresses an evidential distinction whereby the speaker infers the likelihood of their statement. In (C28) for example, Maral has just learned in C27 that Myrat's brother knows Roza, and states Gowy bilýä:ndir 'He must know her well'. Ostensibly, the speaker used this thematic suffix to index both the recency of her learning this information, and the intraterminal nature of her knowing. This thematic suffix is transparently composed of the present tense participle -ýA:n/-mAýA:n (§3.2.3.2)

[^2]plus the affirmative particle -dIr (§3.3.3.2). The presumptive perfect functions similarly, though as a postterminal, it envisages an event as occurring after the transgression of its crucial limit (Olar bişmä:ndirler 'They probably haven’t ripened yet'). This thematic suffix is composed of the past tense participle $-A n /-m A: n(\$ 3.2 .3 .2$ ) and the affirmative particle $-d I r$.
3.2.2.1.2 Past tense thematic markers

Past tense (PST) is primarily realized through the copular suffix -dI/-mAdI (oýna-dy-ňyz 'you played' < play-PST-2PL.POSS), which by itself is postterminal, usually indicating an event has occurred in its entirety, beginning to end. An interesting feature of the past tense copular suffix $-d I$ is its clitic-like ability to attach to predicates. That is, many nominals and indeclinable classes appearing as the predicate of a sentence may take $-d I$ for a past tense localization. For example, nominals in the locative case (C53-54), postpositions (§3.3.2) (ýürek-ler-imiz on-uň bilen-di ‘our hearts were with him’ < heart-PL1PL.POSS 3SG.GEN with-PAST.3SG), and adjectives (§3.1.2) (gatnaşyk gowy-dy 'the relationship was good' < relationship good-PAST.3SG) may all take the past tense copula. This morphological promiscuity of - $d I$ underlies the formation of numerous other past tense thematic markers, detailed below.

Past postterminal thematic suffixes include the pluperfect -IpdI/-mA:ndI, which describes completed actions of current relevance (el ga:ldyrypdy 'he had raised his hand'); the past prospective $-j A k d I /-j A k d \ddot{a}: l d i$, which depicts past actions of current relevance as intended but unfulfilled (kömekleşjekdim 'I was going to help'); and the presumptive past (PRSM.PST) -IpdIr/-mA:ndIr, which is an indirective postterminal form expressing a measure of certainty on the part of the speaker ( $\mathrm{C} 19, \mathrm{C} 22$ ).

The two past intraterminal thematic suffixes are the past progressive (PST.PROG) $-y ́ A: r d I /-m A y ́ A: r d I$, which depicts past events as occurring continuously or repeatedly over a bounded period of time (garaşýa:rdyk 'we were waiting'); and the habitual past -ArdI/-mAzdI, which colors actions with habitual or repetitive actionality (şaşka oýnardyk 'we used to play checkers').

Of the past tense suffixes, the presumptive past and habitual past commonly express desiderative modality when following a conditional clause (§3.2.3.3) (ol ta:ý-da ýaş-ardy-m 'I would live there' < that place-LOC live-HABITUAL.PST-1SG.POSS), while the pluperfect and the presumptive past are commonly found in order to advance the narrative in stories (C19, C21-22).
3.2.2.1. $\quad$ Future tense thematic markers

Thematic suffixes expressing futurity are not as developed as past and present tense markers. They are the definite future (DEF.FUT) $-j A k /-j A k d \ddot{a}: l$ and indefinite future (INDF.FUT) $-A(:) r /-m A r$. There is secondary vowel length and on the positive indefinite future suffix following verb stems ending in -r or -l, which delete in the process (gel-e:r [ge:r] 'will come' < come-INDF.FUT.3SG). As their designations suggest, the operative difference between these two thematic suffixes is degree of certainty of the part of the speaker regarding the likelihood of the prospective action.

The definite future $-j A k /-j A k$ dä:l communicates not only the localization of the proposition in the future, but also the conviction of the speaker regarding the eventuality of the proposition. The definite future does not inflect for person, but rather takes this information from a free pronoun or the discourse (men gel-jek dä:l'I won't come/be coming' < come-DEF.FUT NEG). Indeed, given the strong commitment that the definite future carries and the face-threatening nature of ascribing future actions to others, this thematic marker is generally only used for the first person singular. Furthermore, it is commonly found with some other hedge like öýt-ýä:r-in 'I think' (< think-PRS-ISG.PERS).

By contrast, the indefinite future occurs much more frequently in discourse, as it does not commit the speaker to the occurrence of some action. It could be said that this thematic suffix is more concerned with mood and aspect rather than tense. Indeed, this form has been called the 'aorist' in the Turkic literature (Johanson 1989), meaning it conveys uncertainty, predisposition, and prospectivity. As such, its use is appropriate in a
variety of situations. Its primary usage is in positing a possible action at some indefinite time, usually in the future (P28-30). Beyond this, it is also interpretable as a present tense suffix, given its usage in modal formations (C19, P16-19), in expressing a predisposition to perform some act (S2), or imminent commencement of an action
(gel-e:r-siň-mi 'are you coming?' < come-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS-Q). It is also often found in proverbs, reflecting a gnomic usage (Dag-y--daş-y yo:l boz-ar, dost ara-sy-ny söz boz-ar 'As rocks destroy the road, a word may overturn a friendship' < mountain-3.Poss-rock-3.POss road erase-INDF.FUT.3 friend space.between-3.POSS-ACC word ERASE-INDF.FUT.3).

### 3.2.2.2 Person markers

Person markers follow thematic markers on verb stems and indicate person and number.
Two paradigms cover the majority of thematic suffixes: the personal and possessive. Table 7 shows these person markers along with those for the negative present perfect, which takes neither the personal nor possessive paradigms, and three other irregular paradigms.

Table 7. Paradigms for person markers

|  | 1SG | 1 PL | 2SG | 2 PL | 3SG | 3 PL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal PERS | -In | -Is | -sIň | -sIňIz | -Ø | $-1 A r$ |
| Possessive Poss | -Im | -Ik | -In | -IňIz | -I | $-\mathrm{Il} A$ r |
| Negative present perfect NEG.PRS.PRF | -A(:)mo:k | -A(:)mIzo:k | -A(:)ňo:k | -A(:)ňIzo:k | -A(:)no:k | -A(:)no:klAr |
| Negative indefinite future NEG.INDF.FUT | -mArIn | -mArIs | -mArsIn̆ | - mArsIňIz | -mAz | -mAzlAr |
| Indirective particle | -Im | -Ik~-ImIz | -In | -IňIz | -In | $-\mathrm{In} \sim-14 r$ |


| Indirective modal | $-i m$ | $-i k \sim-i m i z$ | $-i n$ | $-i n ̌ i z$ | $-i \sim-\emptyset$ | $-i \sim-l e r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The thematic suffixes that take the personal paradigm include all present tense markers, with the exception of the negated present perfect. In addition to these, the indefinite future $(-A(:) r /-m A r,-m A z)$, which sometimes has present tense or 'aorist' readings, takes the personal paradigm, as do any thematic suffixes ending in the affirmative -dIr (e.g., -IpdIr PRSM.PST). An interesting feature of the personal paradigm is the ability for these suffixes to attach to nominals for a copular reading ( $\mathrm{P}_{2}-13$ ).

The possessive paradigm is nearly isomorphic to the nominal suffixes marking possession (§3.1.1.4), and is used with all past tense thematic markers. Put another way, the paradigm may be used with any constituent that can take the past tense suffix $-d I$, which includes things like conditionals and predicate nominals in locative case (C54).

There are a few irregular paradigms. The negative present perfect paradigm, in the terminology used here so far, represents both thematic and person markers in one, in that they attach directly to the verb stem. This stands in contrast to the predominant pattern of STEM-THEMATIC.MARKER-PERSON.MARKER. This irregularity arises from the contraction of a past tense participle, a possessive marker, and yo:k 'not exist'. That is, a form like bilemo:k 'I don’t know' is, in expanded form, bil-en-im ýo:k (know-PST.PRT-1SG.POSs not.exist). This analysis explains why these suffixes may take the past tense copula, since ýo:k itself may take it (ony iý-il-eno:k-di 'it had not been eaten' < 3SG.ACC eat-PASS-NEG.PRS.PRF-PST.3SG).

Other exceptions include the negative indefinite future tense (the 'aorist'), which is identical to the personal paradigm save for 3SG. Additionally, a number of thematic markers whose negative forms make use of dä:l 'not' do not inflect for person at all, but rather this information must be inferred from context or taken from an overt pronominal subject. These include the markers for definite future $-j A k /-j A k$ dä:l, intentionality $-m A k c ̧ I /-m A k c ̧ I$ dä:l, and obligation -mAlI/-mAlI dü:l.

Finally, the indirective particle -mIş and indirective modal eken/eken dä:l (§3.3-3.3)
are not thematic suffixes and do not predicate, but rather comment on a speaker's awareness of some information. These indirectives, however, still take person marking, which agrees with the subject and mostly resembles those for possessive markers and possessive person markers with some variation. Note that the paradigm for the indirective modal eken/eken dä:l only contains front unrounded vowels. This is because it is an independent word, not a suffix or particle that would conform to vowel harmony rules.

### 3.2.2.3 Directives

Directives are commands or exhortations that are modulated according to number, formality, and force. The basic imperative (i.e. second person directive) is - $\emptyset($ (In̆ $) /-m A(: n \check{n})$, where the addition of morpheme -In changes 2SG to 2 PL, or lends formality and social distance when used in addressing a 2SG referent (ýuw 'wash', ýuwma:ň 'please don't wash').

Other imperative forms include-sAnA/-mAsAnA, which typically is used with familiar or intimate relations (goýsana 'just put it down for a sec'), -gIn/-mAgIn, which is used for polite but insistent commands (seretgin 'you gotta see this'). The suffix of permission -A.ý is involved in giving suggestions and recommendations, appearing as suffixes $-A(:) \hat{y}(I n ̌) /-m A . y ́(I n ̌),-A . y ́ m A(: n ̌)$ (dadyp görä:ýy 'why don't you give it a taste'). Maximally polite and formal requests are done with the suffix -sAňIzlA:(ň)/-mAsAňIzlA:(ň) (wysyrdasaňyzla:ň'if you don't mind, would you please be so kind as to whisper').

Semantically, the previous imperative suffixes direct a recipient to initiate some action (or, if negated, inaction). By contrast, the suffixes $-I(:) \operatorname{ber}(i \check{n}) /-I(:)$ bermä(:ň) and $-A(:) w E r(i) /-A: w E r m A(: n ̌)$ direct a recipient to initiate, or more commonly, proceed or maintain some action; i.e. they imply that the action is imminent, nascent, or in-progress. These are commonly found in granting permission and instruction (aýdyber 'go ahead and speak', 'keep speaking', 'go on').

The hortative (i.e. 1SG directive) is expressed with the suffix $-A(:) y$ In $/-m A(:) y$ ýn (aýdaýyn 'let me/allow me to speak'), which is composed of the permissive suffix -Aý and 1SG personal marker. The cohortative (1PL directive) uses two different sets of suffixes to distinguish for the minimal inclusive :- $A(:) l I /-m A: I I$ for a single addressee, and an augmented inclusive $-A(:) / I: n / /-m A(:) / I: n ̌$ for multiple addressees (Dobrushina and Gouseev 2005, Nevskaya 2005). The terms minimal inclusive and augmented inclusive are preferred
over dual and plural given that there is no grammatical dual in Turkmen. When these attach to verb stems with final vowels, the first vowel of the suffix is lengthened.

Third person directives are typically expressed with the suffix $-s \operatorname{In}(L A r) /-m A s \operatorname{In}(L A r)$, which is regularly found in toasts, blessings, and wishes (ýuz ýaşynyz bol-sun 'may you live to be 100 ' < 100 age-2PL.POSs be-OPT). This also has some overlap with the imperative, in which case it is heard as a permissive or a slightly indirect command (ýabsyrsyn 'let him sew it' or 'it'd be a good idea to sew it on'). In addition to $-s \operatorname{In}(L A r) /-m A s I n(L A r)$, the conditional suffix (§3.2.3.3) may function as a polite command (al-sa-ňyz 'would you please take' < take-COND-2PL.POSS), or, in combination with the permissive suffix -Aý, as a very polite suggestion (al-a:ý-sy-ňyz 'if you would be so kind as to please take' < take-PERMISSIVE-COND-2PL.POSS).
3.2.2.4 Auxiliary verbs

Auxiliaries are finite verb forms that combine with verbals or nominals to create verbal complexes of the form $\mathrm{N}+$ Aux or $\mathrm{V}+$ Aux. These complexes form single predicates with a high degree of semantic fusion and heavy restrictions on intervening material. They display a broad range of meanings and functions, which I divide below into transformativizers, nontransformativizers, and other auxiliaries.

As regards transformativity, verbs are transformative if they imply the transgression of some crucial limit in their actional content. That is, verbs may be inherently initiotransformative, meaning they place the crucial limit at the initiation of the action (e.g., hide); finitransformative, meaning they place the limit at the end (e.g., earn); or non-transformative, meaning no inherent limit is implied (e.g., ponder). Many verbs are ambiguous with regard to their transformativity (e.g., fall may be 'start falling', 'fall down', or 'be falling'), and so transformative suffixes indicate the intended actional content. Aspect, then, may be seen as operating on and sensitive to a verb's actionality; the viewpoint taken on an action depends on where (if anywhere) that action's crucial limit is located (Johanson 2004). Actional auxiliaries may be divided into transformativizers and nontransformativizers. Transformative auxiliaries indicate a sensitivity to a verb's crucial limit, whereas nontransformative auxiliaries treat such limits as immaterial.

### 3.2.2.4.1 Transformative auxiliaries

The auxiliary verbs in this section are of the $\mathrm{V}+$ Aux type and modify the aspectual (§3.2.2.1) or actional quality of the preceding verb. Verbal complexes with transformative auxiliaries often convey execution, abruptness, rapidity, or completion. That is, transformatives highlight an action's inherent crucial limit, be it the commencement or
completion of that action; and conversely they disregard the ongoing course of progression an action may imply.

Those verbal complexes highlighting the beginning of an action include -Ip başla'start' and -Ip ugra- ‘set off (oýn-a:p başla-dy 'he started to play' < play-CVB start-PST.3SG); -mA:gA dur- 'stop, stand' and -mA:gA otur- 'sit' (çaga-la-ma:g-a otur-dy 'she [sat down and] started to give birth' < child-vBLZ-INF-DAT sit -PST.3SG); and -Ip git- 'go', -Ip taşla- 'throw', -Ip goý- 'put', -Ip goýber- 'release', and -Ip -iber 'send' (biz-i kow-up goýber-di 'he suddenly dismissed us' < 1PL.ACC dismiss-CVB release-PST.3SG).

For emphasizing the successful completion of some action or the attainment of some state, various auxiliaries are available. Constructions with -Ip çyk- 'leave', -Ip bol- 'be, become', -Ip gel- 'come', and -Ip gutar- 'finish' all indicate a finished action (gaç-yp çyk-ypdyr 'he escaped' < flee-CVB exit-PRES.PST.3SG), while the construction -Ip -ga:l 'stay, remain' indicates that the subject has attained and remains in some condition (makulla-p ga:l-dy 'he came to approve' < approve-CVB stay-PST.3SG).
3.2.2.4.2 Nontransformative auxiliaries

Verbal complexes with nontransformative auxiliaries, by contrast, impose a reading on an action wherein its limits are unimportant. Rather, it is the ongoing action that is highlighted with these auxiliaries. One set of nontransformative auxiliaries involves the
contracted forms of verbs of position:5 du:r- 'be standing', oty:r- 'be sitting', ýaty:r- 'be lying'.

These contracted verbs of position by themselves (i.e., not in a verbal complex) may appear as the sole verb of a finite clause, and designate the subject's current bodily configuration at the time of speaking (tapçan-da oty:r-lar 'They're lying on the veranda' < veranda-LOC sit.PROG-PL) ${ }^{6}$. They may be temporally localized in the past with -dI (diňe bir çaga oty:r-dy 'Only one child was sitting' < only one child sit.PROG-PST.3SG) or future with $-\operatorname{Ar} /-m A r(\S 3.2 .2 .1)$. These contracted verbs have no negated forms.

When they appear in verbal complexes, these verbs of position may specify the bodily configuration of the subject (iý-ip oty:r 'he's eating [while sitting]'; garaşyp du:r 'he's waiting [while standing]'). For inanimate subjects, du:r 'be standing' must be used (holodilnig-iň du:r-my 'Is your refrigerator running?' < refrigerator-2SG.POSS stand.PROG.3SGQ). Extending from this literal usage are constructions that modify the preceding -Ip converb to impart readings of durativity, repetition, contiguity, stability, regularity, or consistency (Ol uniwerisitet-de oka-:p oty:r 'He's [studying/a student] at university now' < 3SG university-LOC study-CVB sit.PROG.3SG). The auxiliary du:r 'be standing' is commonly used for describing longstanding, habitual, or stative-type actions with that have some consequence for the immediate situation (Men nirä:-k bar-sa-m--da, NTW eýýäm şolýer-de garaş-yp du:r 'Wherever I go, NTV is already waiting there' < ISG where-ALLATIVE go-COND-

[^3]1SG.POSS-FOC NTV already that place-LOC wait-CVB stand.PROG.3SG). For greater focality on the present moment, oty:r 'be sitting' is used (şol sebäp-li iş-siz-lig-em köp-el-ip gid-ip oty:r 'Because of that, unemployment is rapidly rising' < that reason-ASSO work-PRIV-NMLZ-also much-PASS-CVB go-CVB sit.PROG.3SG). Usage of ýaty:r 'be lying' is more restricted to describing the literal bodily configuration of a subject (issig-iň-i ýassan-yp ýaty:r 'it's leaning against your door' < door-ACC lean-CVB lie.PROG.3SG). One last contracted verb form is ýö:r 'walk, move' (from ýöremek 'walk, move'), which does not appear outside of a verbal complex (i.e., it appears only as an auxiliary). It connotes habitual or regular action occurring over an extended period with little to no consequence for the immediate speech situation (adam-lar-dan pul so:ra-:p ýö:r 'He goes around begging for money’ < man-PL-ABL money ask-CVB move.PROG.3SG). In order to negate these constructions, the converb is negated (men bu hepde iç-mä:n oty:r-yn 'I'm not drinking this week' < 1SG this week drinkNEG.CVB sit.PROG-ISG.PERS).

Some of these verbs of position appear in non-contracted forms as auxiliaries. The verbs dur- 'stand' and otur- 'sit', for example, are available as auxiliaries in nontransformative constructions stressing more stative and continuous actions (ýurd-uň bujet-i-ne pul üznük-siz gel-ip dur-ar 'Money will be flowing uninerrupted to the country's budget' < country-GEN budget-3.POSS-DAT money interruption-PRIV come-CVB standINDF.FUT.3). The verbs ýöre- 'move, walk' and gel- 'come' are used as auxiliaries and provide greater focality on the relevance of an ongoing or repetitious action for the present
situation (Terjime-çi-lik iş-i-ne adaty adam-lar kä:n bir ä:hmiýet ber-ip ýöre-nok 'Most people haven't given translation work a second thought' < translate-ACT-NMLZ work-3.POSSDAT usual man-PL much one significance give-CVB move-NEG.PRS.PRF.3). Finally, other nontransformative constructions involve two finite verbs, the second of which is the auxiliary, in a so-called double-verb construction (Csato 2003). In Turkmen, the set of auxiliaries that combine with finite verbs are dur- 'stand', otur- 'sit', and ýöre- 'move, walk'. Morphologically, both verbs in a double-verb construction take the same thematic markers and personal markers. The precise semantic difference between double-verb constructions and the aforementioned actional modifiers remains to be determined for Turkmen.
3.2.2.4.2 Other auxiliaries

Other verbal complexes of the type $\mathrm{V}+$ Aux and $\mathrm{N}+$ Aux are available. The $\mathrm{V}+$ Aux complexes may specify, for instance, a subject's direction of movement during some action using the auxiliaries git- 'go', gi:r- 'enter', çyk- 'exit', gel- 'come', or bar- 'go' (ylga:-p $g i: r-d i$ 'he came in running' < run-CVB enter-PST.3SG). Actions performed for onself are encoded through the converb suffix -Ip plus al- 'take', and those performed for someone else use -Ip ber- 'give'; the beneficiary takes the dative case suffix (saňa guý-up ber-er 'he'll pour it for you' < 2SG.DAT pour-CVB give-INDF.FUT). Attempts and experiments are
expressed using -Ip görmek 'to see' (sugun-y yzla:-p gör-ÿ̈:r 'he’s trying to follow the deer' < deer-ACC track-CVB see-PRS.3SG). Physical or mental ability may be expressed with -Ip bil'know' (ýaş-lar gatnaş-yp bil-ýä:r-ler 'the youth can participate' < youth-PL participate-CVB know-PRS.3PL.PERS). Social ability, permission, or propriety to do something is expressed through a number of constructions involving bol- 'be'; these include -Ip bol-, -sA bol-, and -mAk bol- (çilim çek-mek bol-ýa:r-my? 'is it okay to smoke?' < cigarette draw-INF be-PRS.3SGQ; gi:r-se-m bol-ýa:r-my? 'may I enter?' < enter-COND-ISG.Poss be.PRS.3SG-Q).

Turkmen displays a wide range of $\mathrm{N}+$ Aux complexes, which consist of a nominal element plus an auxiliary ('light verb') drawn from a restricted set of verbs, including bol'be/become, et- 'do/make', ber- 'give', tut- 'take, hold', çek- 'draw', and gör- 'see'. The resulting complexes may be semantically transparent (bat al- 'accelerate' < speed take-; habat et- 'inform' < news do), while others may be more opaque (boýun al- 'confess' < neck take; ýaka tut- 'be surprised' < collar hold).
3.2.2.5 Modality

Modality expresses a speaker's position relative to the informational and social content of an utterance, often qualifying, mitigating, or intensifying that position. Modality expressed in verbal constructions is discussed here, and other ways of expressing modality are discussed in (§3.3.3).

Obligative modality is encoded in the suffix -mAlI/-mAlI dä:l ('should, must, has to'), which does not take personal markers, but receives person and number information from a free personal pronoun (sen gül-meli dä:l 'you shouldn't laugh' < 2SG laugh-OBLG not). Note that the negated form -mAli dä:l receives the interpretation of 'must not', rather than 'not have to'. It inflects for future using the indefinite future form of bolmak 'to be' (otuz minut-dan soň git-meli bol-ar-yn 'T'll have to go in 30 minutes' < 30 minute-ABL after goOBLG be-INDF.FUT.ISG.PERS), and for past with suffix -dI (olar ga:l-maly-dylar 'they had to stay' < 3PL stay-OBLG-PST.3PL.PERS). The obligative may also act as a participle in modifying nouns (arassamaly otag 'the room which must be cleaned'), and may take -dIr for greater intensity (sen gelmelidiriň 'you really have to come'). It can also take the suffix of permission -A.ý to create normative statements based on personal conviction of what should be done (-A:ýmAlI/-A:ýmAlI dä:l 'should do this [because it's the sensible thing to do ]'). The adverb hökma:n 'absolutely' is used to intensify an obligation into something mandatory (hökma:n okamaly 'You absolutely must read'). Also available are constructions involving gerek/gerek dä:l 'need/necessary', which appear in impersonal constructions -mAklIk gerek, -mAk gerek (aýtmak gerek 'It is necessary to speak up'), and a personal construction, in which the subject appears with the dative case suffix (maňa hi:ç za:t gerek dä:l 'I don’t need anything’ < 1SG.DAT no thing need not).

The intentional suffix -mAkçI/-mAkçI dä:l communicates intention to perform some action in the near future, and sometimes takes desiderative modality. The suffix, like
the obligative, does not take personal markers (men otur-makçy ‘I intend/plan to sit’ < 1SG sit-mAkçI), but rather person/number values come from a free personal pronoun. It may take the past tense copula $-d I$ plus possessive marker for expressing 'intended/wanted to' (saňa hat ýaz-makçy-dy-m'I was going to write you a letter' < 2SG.DAT letter write-mAkçI-PST-ISG.POSS).

Desiderative modality expresses wants and desires, which are usually formed with the following construction: (possessive pronoun) + verbal noun with $-A(:) s+$ possessive marker + gelmek 'to come'. For example, the sentence 'I want to see you' may be literally formulated as 'My wanting to see you comes' ((men-iň) sen-i gör-es-im gel-ýä:r'I want to see you' < 1SG-GEN 2SG-ACC see-As.NMLZ-1SG.POSS come-PRS.3SG). This construction is negated by negating gelmek. The verb islemek' to desire' is also available, but is reserved for more formal registers (íý-meg-i isle-ýä:r-in 'I want to eat' < eat-INF-ACC desire-PRS1SG.PERS).

Optative modality expresses hopes and wishes, often through the suffix -A:ýAdI/-mA:ýAdI, which takes possessive person markers (§3.2.2.2) (sen-i kömek ed-ä:ýedi-m 'I wish I could help you' < you-ACC help do-DSDR-1SG.POSS). Wishes and hopes may also be expressed using umy:t 'hope' in an existential construction (ýagdaý-lar-yň gowy bol-jag-y-na umyd-ymyz ba:r ‘We hope you are in good health’ < condition-PL2SG.POSS good be-FUT.PRT-DAT hope-1PL.POSS exist) or with the auxiliary verb etmek 'to do' (díy-ip umy:t ed-ýä:r-in 'I hope that...' < say-CVB hope do-PRS-1SG.PERS).
3.2.3 Non-finite

Non-finite verbs in Turkmen include verbal nouns (infinitives, gerunds, and -dIk nominalized verbs), converbs (verbal adverbs), and participles. If they inflect, these take a restricted set of markers for tense-aspect, negation, and, infrequently, person and number.
3.2.3.1 Verbal nouns

Verbal nouns function like nominals in that they may take possessive and case markers, and may act as subjects, objects, or modifiers. These include infinitives, gerunds, and nominals created with -dIk. Of these, gerunds and nominals formed with -dIk are important in subordination (§4.4.2).

The infinitive suffix $-m A k$, which has a negated form $-m A z I I k$, is the citation form of a verb. It may take possessive suffixes (bil-meg-imiz 'our knowing' < know-INF-1PL.POSs). Infinitives may modify nouns when appearing with the genitive case marker (işle-meg-iň yol-lar-y 'ways to work' < work-INF-GEN road-PL-3.POSS). Adverbial constituents are created with infinitives through the dative case -mA:gA (onuň ýaşa-mag-y-na juda köp mümki:nçilik ba:r 'there are so many possibilities for his life' < 3SG.GEN live-INF-3SG.POSS-DAT excessive much possibility exist), locative case -mAkdA (Olar a:damlara hüjüm etmekde
aýyplanýa:rlar 'they are charged with assault' < 3PL person-PL-DAT harm do-INF-LOC chargePRS.3PL), and postpositions like üçi:n 'for' (hüjüm ed-en-ler-i jogapkä:rçilige çekmek üçi:n 'in order to hold accountable those who attacked < harm do-PST.PRT-PL-ACC responsibility-DAT draw-INF for). In addition to case marking and postpositions, infinitives are used in several modal constructions (§3.2.2.5).

Gerunds in Turkmen differ from infinitives in that they describe processes, whereas infinitives describe generalized, indeterminate actions. Gerunds are formed from verb stems using suffixes $-m A,-(\hat{y}) I S$, and $-A(:) s$. Suffixes $-m A$ (no negated form) and $-(\hat{y}) I I_{s} / m A y ́ I s_{s}$ both may take possessive and case markers, but while $-m A$ is more often used to describe the process or result of a verb (azar ber-me-si a:zal-ypdyr 'hazing has been reduced' < suffering give-mA.NMLZ-3.POSS reduce-PRSM.PST), -(ý)IŞ/mAýIş usually emphasizes the manner of a verb or the fact of its occurrence (gara-ýyss ‘outlook, worldview' < look-ýyş. nMLZ). These may also be used as modifiers (habar beriş serişdeler 'mass media' < news giving media) and in combination with postpositions (bil-ş-imiz-e görä: 'according to our knowledge' < know-GER-1PL.POSS-DAT according). The suffix $-A(:) s$ is only used in the desiderative $\operatorname{mood}(\S 3 \cdot 2.2 \cdot 5) .-m A$ Gerunds taking accusative case ( $-m A-$ $n I)$ are involved in constructions expressing enjoyment of some action, specifically with verbs gowy görmek (işle-mä:ni gowy gör-ÿ̈̈:r-in ‘I like working’ < work-INF.ACC good see-PRS1SG.PERS) or halamak (bişir-mä:ni hala-ýa:r-yn ‘I enjoy cooking’ < cook-INF.ACC enjoy-PRS1SG.PERS). Complement taking predicates typically appear with gerunds with case markers,
and not with infinitives (buz gaýmagy iý-me-ni halaýa:ryn ‘I like eating ice cream’).

Finally, the subjunctor suffix-dIk (SBJR) attaches to verbal (N4, N18, N21) and nominal and adjectival stems $\left(\mathrm{N}_{4}, \mathrm{~S}_{23}\right)$ for the creation of complement clauses (§4.4.3). The resulting form usually appears with possessive person marking to indicate the subject argument of the predicate, and with case marking to indicate grammatical relationships (watan-çy-dyg-y-ny belle-di 'he noted his patriotism' < fatherland-ACT-SBJR-3.POSS-ACC notePST.3SG ; kineçil-dig-i 'his being resentful' < resentful-SBJR-3.POSS). These appear infrequently in speech, but are used robustly in written Turkmen for clause combining and in the reporting of indirect speech.

### 3.2.3.2 Participles

Participles in Turkmen are verbally derived forms that are similar to verbal nouns in their nominal properties (e.g., as headless noun phrases), but differ in that they are most commonly used as modifiers and hence are often called 'verbal adjectives' - in essence, relative clauses that precede the head noun (§4.4.1). They differ from converbs in the sense that they have no adverbial functions and mark for tense. Participles are similar to thematic markers in that they indicate TAM, but differ in that they do not encode person or number information. There are four participial forms: past, present, future definite, and future indefinite. The past tense participial suffix -An/-mAdIk is more accurately regarded
as a postterminal marker and carries some semblance of indirectivity (§3•3•3•3) ( $\mathrm{N} 9, \mathrm{P} 22$ ), while the present tense participal suffix -ýA:n/-mAýA:n is an intraterminal ( $\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N} 16$ ), indicating that an action is ongoing. The definite future $-j A k /-m A j A k\left(\mathrm{~N}_{17}\right)$ and indefinite future ('aorist) $-A r /-m A z\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)$ localize some action in the future.

Participles, being modifiers of a head noun, may also appear as headless noun phrases, functioning as subjects, objects, and sometimes predicates of a sentence. They may take plural, possessive, and case marking (gel-en-ler-i gör-me-dim 'I didn't see those who came' < come-PST.PRT-PL-ACC see-NEG-PST.1SG; eşit-jek-ler nirede? 'where are the ones who will listen?' < listen-DEF.FUT.PRT-PL where). Another important function of participles is in their ability to take case marking for the creation of temporal adverbial clauses (§4.4.3).
3.2.3.3 Converbs

Converbs are syntactically subordinate verb forms that play a large role in Turkmen grammar, serving as the primary mechanism for linking clauses and expressing relationships between related verbal predicates. At least two predications are involved, the converb and the main/final verb, though more than one converb may be used. The converb lacks specification for person, tense, and number, though these are given on the main verb. The converb and main verb vary along a continuum of predication and actancy.

That is, the verbs may have the same subject or separate ones (actancy), and the action may be viewed as a single gestalt or as distinct actions (predication). These dimensions are reflected linguistically, with the least fused or lexicalized forms being orthographically, phonologically, and semantically fused (äkelmek 'bring' < al-yp gelmek < take-cVB comeINF), while the least lexicalized may appear with intervening material separating the converb and main verb (olar telewizor sered-ip men iý- $d$-im 'while they were watching television I ate' < 3 PL television watch-CVB eat-PST-1SG).

The most important converb suffix is -Ip/-mAn, which covers sequentiality (tur-up elýüzüm ýuw-up mekdeb-e git-dim 'I woke up, washed my hands and face, and went to school' < get.up-CVB hand face-1SG.POSS wash-CVB go-PST.1SG), simultaneity (syr-yp aýdym aýt-ýa:r 'he's shaving and singing' < shave-CVB song tell-PRS.3SG), relative anteriority (okuw tamamla:-p uniwersited-e gi:r-ip-dir 'having finishing school, he's entered university' < school complete-CVB university-DAT enter-PRSM.PST.3SG), and manner of action (biz ýylgyr-yp ýo:k diý-ip aýt-dyk 'Smiling, we said no’ < 3PL smile-CVB no say-CVB say-PST.3PL). All of these use the same -Ip/mAn suffix, and distinguishing their precise semantics is resolved pragmatically. This same suffix also appears in a number of auxiliary formations (§3.2.2.4).

Another important converb suffix is the conditional -sA/mAsA, which attaches to a verb stem and takes possessive markers (iç-se-m 'if I drink' < drink-COND-1SG.POSs) for conditional clauses. Before such clauses, eger or eger-de may appear to indicate that the
clause is a conditional. Semantically, conditional clauses usually specify pure "if...then" hypotheticals (P25), but may also indicate a temporal condition (jaň et-se-ler gideris 'we'll go as soon as they call' < bell do-COND-3PL go-INDF.FUT). The conditional suffix can combine with the suffix of permission -Aý to form -Aýs $A$, which hedges the conditional (Eger öý-üm ot al-a:ý-sa, men hökümet-den jaý so:ra-mar-yn 'I think if my house were to catch fire, I wouldn't ask the government for a room' < if house-1SG.Poss fire take-PERMISSION-COND.3SG ISG government-ABL room ask-NEG.INDF.FUT-1SG.PERS).

The conditional suffix is involved in a number of other constructions. It may take the past tense marker - $d$ I to create a counterfactual clause (gel-se-d-iň 'if you had come...' < come-COND-PAST-2SG.POSS), or particles $-d A$ or hem for a concessive clause ( $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{P} 20$ ). Future conditionals may be created using a future participle (§3.2.3.2) and bolmak 'to be' with the conditional suffix (sen gel-jek bol-sa-ň 'if you're going to come' < 2SG come-FUT.PRT be-COND-2SG.POSS). Bolsa also often functions as a topicalizer (N18), though a conditional interpretation is still available (agaň bolsa 'as for your brother' or 'if your brother's there'). A construction involving the negated conditional suffix -mAsA combined with a negated form of the copula bolmasa is used to express resolve or promise of action (komekleş-me-se-k bol-maz 'We will have to help you' [lit. 'If we don't help, it won't be'] < help-NEG-COND-1PL.POSS be-NEG.INDF.FUT).
3.3 Indeclinable word classes

There are number of indeclinable word classes, none of which are able to robustly take morphology as nominals and verbals do.
3.3.1 Adverbs

Adverbs are an open class, though there are few pure (i.e. underived) adverbs. Most are either derived from nominals or are nominal forms used adverbially. However, though isomorphic with some nominals, they remain a distinct class since they do not occur within noun phrases. The exception to this are intensifying adverbials, which do appear in noun phrases.
3.3.1.1 Derivation

Adverbs can be derived from nominals through case endings and a few adverbializing suffixes. Temporal and manner adverbs may be created through use of the locative (C6, N 15 ) and ablative cases, though the ablative more productively attaches to nominals and adverbs (P6; N18). Those formed with the dative case also form temporal (N21 iki sagad-a), manner (N16), and purposive adverbs (N20, N21).

Adverbializing suffixes include the equative -çA, which creates manner adverbs and is commonly found in giving opinions (men-iň-çe 'in my opinion' < 1SG-GEN-EQA) and naming a certain group's language (ors-ça 'in Russian, in the manner of a Russian' < Russian-EQA). The suffix - $\operatorname{lAy}(I n)$ attaches to nominals for adverbs of degree and manner ( N 1 ). Distributive adverbs are created with suffixes -LA:p (iki hepdelä:p 'for two weeks'), and $-m A$, which normally appears on reduplicated words (otagma-otag 'room by room, room to room'). Finally, directional adverbs may be created through suffixes -ArI (daş-ary 'to the outside' < outer-ArI) and -Ik (ýokar-yk 'upward' < upward-Ik. The associative suffix -II, which can create adjectives from nouns (§3.1.2.1), may also be used to create manner adverbs (uçar-ly 'by plane' < plane-Asso).
3.3.1.2 Semantic groups

Temporal adverbs set the time for some action and often appear as the first or second constituent in a sentence. Most of these function as nouns in addition to adverbs (e.g., öýlä:n may be 'afternoon' or 'in the afternoon'). These include terms for time of day (ir 'early', irden 'in the morning', daňdan 'very early', günorta:n 'at noontime', öylä:n 'in the afternoon', gündiz 'in the day(time)', giç 'late', gije 'at night(time)', agşam 'in the evening', ýa:rym gïe 'at midnight'), day-long intervals (şu/bu:gün 'today'; düýn 'yesterday'; erti:r, erte 'tomorrow'; bi:rigün 'day after tomorrow'; öňňi:n 'the other day'), and longer intervals of
time (geçen hepde 'last week', bu: a.y' 'this month', indiki ýyl 'next year'). Many of these combine with one another for greater or lesser specificity (erti:r irden 'tomorrow morning', ir öýlä:n ‘early afternoon', şu: günler 'nowadays' (N3, N8), gi:je-gündi:z 'night and day').

Closely related to these are temporal adverbs that do not reference units of time, including adverbs of frequency (hemişe 'always', hi:ç haçan 'never', kä:wagt 'sometimes', kä.wagt [kæ::wayt] 'rarely', köplenç 'usually', myda:ma 'constantly'); duration (gaýtadan, tä:zeden, ýenede 'anew, again', eýýä:m 'already', entek (C53), heni:z 'still', köp wagtdan, köp/uzak wagtla:p 'for a long time', aradan 'meanwhile', derrew 'immediately'); and sequence (öňden, bireýýä:m ‘long ago’, öň, ozal 'before, earlier', ýaňy, ýaňja'just now', indi, şu: wagt, hä:zir 'now, presently', soň, onsoň, (ş)ondan soň 'then, after that' ( $\mathrm{C}_{52}, \mathrm{C}_{55}$ ), (ş)ol wagt(lar) 'at that time', soňra, soňyra:k 'later on, afterwards', iň soňunda, ahyry 'finally').

Place and direction adverbs involve either the locative $-d A$, ablative $-d A n$, or allative -Ik case, and commonly also make use of proximal bu:/şu: and medial $o(: l) / s ̧ o(: l)$ demonstratives. The same basic stems specifying the deictic center are used with each case, the difference being a specification for location (bu:ýerde, bä:rde 'here'), place of departure (bu:ýerden, bü:rden 'from here'), and direction (bu:ýerik, bä:rik 'hither'). The stems used most commonly include ýer, ta:y' 'place', bü:r- 'here, aňyr- 'there', nire 'where', ýokar- 'above', iç- 'inside’ (P23), daş- ‘outside' (P24), and aşak 'below'.

Adverbs of degree include intensifiers (örä:n, gaty, ýaman, iňn̈ä:n 'very'), indefinite quantifiers (köp, kä:n ‘much' (C40, C55); a:z 'little'; bira:z, a:zajyk 'a little bit, somewhat');
delimiters (tas 'nearly, almost, hardly’; büti:nleýin, tüköl 'entirely'); and deictic adverbs (beýle, şeýle ‘so, such' (N20, S2, S5, S9, S18); monça 'so much').

Manner adverbs are productively created through derivation affixes described above and also through unaffixed nominals (tiz, derrew, çalt 'quickly, instantly'; bile 'together'; aňsat 'easily'; gowy, oňat 'well, good'; erbet, ýaman 'badly, poorly, terribly').

### 3.3.2 Postpositions

Postpositions in Turkmen specify interclausal and intersentential relationships, but also positional configurations. They typically appear after nouns and pronouns, but some follow adjectives, participles and verbal nouns as well. Most are unable to take stress. Only the most common postpositions are reported here, classified by the case they require.

Postpositions used with nominative case include üçi:n 'for, in order to', bile(n) 'with, and', ýa:ly like, as if, sebä:pli 'because of', ba:rada, hakda, hakynda 'about', boýunça 'according to', bara TOPICALIZER, and tarapyndan 'by' (in passive construction §3.2.1). When pronouns appear with these postpositions, however, they must appear in the genitive case, not nominative (onuň ýa:ly 'like her'). The postposition bile( $n$ ) 'with' may have comitative (§4.3), instrumental ( $\mathrm{N}_{17}$ ), or adverbial (Putiniň prezidentlik wezipesine gaýdyp gelmegi bilen 'with Putin returning to the office of the presidency') readings. Postposition üçi:n appears in benefactive, purposive (ýapmak üçi:n 'in order to close'), and
‘because’ phrases. The postposition ýa:ly like, as if can appear after nominals ( $\mathrm{S}_{7}$ ) and verbal nouns ( $\mathrm{S} 11, \mathrm{~N} 13$ ), and the resulting postpositional phrases may predicate (Sn1), form headless noun phrases ( $\mathrm{S}_{11}, \mathrm{~S}_{17}$ ), or function as modifiers within noun phrases $\left(\mathrm{S}_{7}\right)$.

Dative case is used with the following postpositions: görä: 'according to' ( $\mathrm{N}_{4}, \mathrm{~N} 8$, N2o); garamazdan 'although, despite' ( $\mathrm{N} 4, \mathrm{~N} 21$ ); ýaky:n, golaý 'near, close'; garanda, görä: 'in comparison to'; baka: 'towards'; garşy 'against'; çenli 'until, up to'; derek 'instead of (N18); and meňzeş 'same as'.
 of, beyond', başga, gaýry, ö:zge 'aside, except', soň 'after' (S21), bä:ri ‘since', öň, owal, ozal 'before, prior, in front of, gowrak 'better than, more than' (C34). Of particular interest are öň 'before' and soň 'after', which routinely suffix onto participles to create temporal adverbial clauses (§4•4•3) (N21).

Turkmen shows a range of positional postpositions that may take dative, ablative, or locative case. These usually require the preceding noun to be in genitive or, more infrequently, nominative case. Such postpositions include iç 'inside' (P23), daş 'outside’ (P24), ara 'among' (S23), üst 'top' (S6), aşak 'under' (jaý-yň aşag-y-na 'to under the building' < building-GEN under-3.POSS-DAT), arka 'back' (mekeb-iň arka-sy-ndan 'from behind the school' < school-GEN back-3.POSS-ABL), bara 'about', töwerek 'surroundings' (S19, S20), aňry 'far side', tarap ‘side', and öň 'before'.
3.3.3 Modals and particles

Modals and particles in Turkmen are forms that structure the discourse in relation to itself (textual markers), indicate the speaker's relationship to the text and/or to the listener (epistemic markers), or indicate the speaker's awareness and perception of some information (indirective markers). Modals are formally distinguished from particles in that modals are phonologically independent words, while particles are cliticized onto some other syntactic constituent, receiving no stress themselves and largely conforming to vowel harmony of the host. The precise clitic-like behavior of these properties deserves further research.
3.3.3.1 Textual markers

Textual markers are the modals and particles that structure the discourse in relation to itself, overtly specifying the relationship between various parts of the propositions within the text. This function overlaps considerably with a number of postpositions like sebä:pli 'because' and boýunça 'according to' (§3.3.2), and with conjunctions (§4.3).

Textual markers include words that summarize like garaz 'in a word, basically' or umu:man 'generally' (N19, P28), and those that paraphrase like diýmek and ýagny 'that is, meaning' (bu: hepde, ýagny 26-31-nji awgust 'this week, meaning August 26-31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ ). Discourse
connectives indicate or imply causal relationships, for example onda 'then', şonuř üçi:n 'because of this', ondan soň 'and then after this' (C52, C55), şeýlede, şeýdíýibem, şeýlelikde, şeýlelik bilen 'therefore, as such, as a result' ( $\mathrm{N}_{17}, \mathrm{~S}_{13}$ ), and çünki, sebä:bi 'the reason being, because'. Especially pervasive, in both written and spoken Turkmen, are discourse connecting particles hem(-de), which often shortens and undergoes vowel harmony as $-A m\left(N 8, C_{32}, C_{33}, C_{3} 8, C_{46}\right)$, and the focus particle $-d A$ 'and, also, even, just, though, still' ( $\mathrm{N}_{11}, \mathrm{~N}_{18}, \mathrm{C}_{40}, \mathrm{C}_{43}, \mathrm{C}_{53}, \mathrm{P}_{4}, \mathrm{P}_{5}, \mathrm{P}_{20}, \mathrm{~S}_{12}, \mathrm{~S}_{17}$ ). Other modals function to mitigate, concede, or contrast propositions in the discourse: weli( $n$ ) 'still, yet, though, nevertheless' (C2, C55, C58, P21); emma:, eýsem, ýö:ne 'but, however' (N11, N14, P25); ahyry 'finally, after all'; şeýlede bolsa(-da) 'anyway'.
3.3.3.2 Epistemic markers

Epistemic markers modulate the stance of the speaker relative to their proposition and how committed they are to its truth, which is necessarily a social action as well, since the relative authority to assert propositions depends on the interlocutor.

Certainty may be expressed through modals elbetde 'of course, certainly', dogry 'true, truly' (Dogry, bazar-da kak, sökýa:ly önüm-ler ba:r 'True, products like kak and sok can be found at the bazaar' < true bazaar-Loc kak sok like product-PL exist), which are typically found utterance-initially, or hökma:n, gerek ‘definitely’ (hökma:n tap-ar-syň 'you'll
find it for sure' < definitely find-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS). The particle $-d A$ : attaches to predicates and often has a reading of 'just, really’ (şu: wagt i:ş-im köp-dü: ‘I really just have a lot of work now' < this time work-1SG.Poss much- $d A$ :). Similarly, the affirmative particle $-d I r / d \ddot{a}: l d i r$ functions to emphasize or confirm some proposition. It attaches to predicates in general, not just verb stems, and takes personal markers (§3.2.2.2) (akylly oglan-dyr'he sure is a smart guy' < smart boy-dIr; bu: meniňki dä:l-dir 'this is not mine' < this mine not$d I r)$. This same particle forms a part of numerous thematic markers that convey presumption or affirmation of some statement (§3.2.2.1).

Less certainty can be conveyed through the modal belki 'possibly, maybe', which normally appears clause-initially or parenthetically (Belki--de men siz-iň hemmä:-ňiz-i haýran ga:l-dyr-ar-yn 'Maybe I'll even surprise you all' < maybe-FOC 1SG 2PL-GEN all-2PL.POSS-ACC marvel stay-CAUS-INDF.FUT-1SG.PERS), and also through modals mümki:n 'possibly, maybe', ä:htima:l and çemeli 'probable, likely', which tend to appear as predicate adverbs (ABŞ--nyň dünýä:-niň iň güýç-li döwlet-ler-i-niň bir-i bol-up ga:l-mag-y ä:htimal 'It's likely the US will remain one of the world's most powerful nations' < USA-GEN world-GEN power-ASSO nation-PL-3.POSS-GEN one-3.POSS be-CVB stay-INF-3.POSS likely). The modal mümki:n may combine with infinitives to express permission or possibility (bu: problema-ny çöz-mek mümki:n-mi?' 'is it possible to resolve this problem? < this problemACC resolve-INF possible-Q). Related to these are particles that attach to predicates, including - $A$ ý, which can express slight mirativity ( $\mathrm{C}_{23}$ ), and the emphatic particle $-d A$ :,
which usually functions to elicit a backchannel ( $\mathrm{C}_{50}, \mathrm{C}_{53}, \mathrm{C} 60$ ). The particle - $L A$ 'anyway, really' attaches to predicates and generally functions to mitigate some proposition (C41) (olýaman ýaş-dyr-la 'she really is too young actually' < 3 SG terribly young-AFRM-LA). This same -lA particle may attach to directives (§3.2.2.3) to moderate the force of the command.

The least certainty is conveyed in questions. This is primarily done through interrogative adverbs (§3.1.3.2) for content questions, or through the question particle - $m I$ for polar questions (C21, C24) and alternative questions. Its unmarked position is on the predicate (Oraz gel-di-mi? 'Did Oraz come? < O come-PST.3SG-Q), but it may appear on a non-predicate constituent for a focused reading (Oraz-my gel-di? 'Was it Oraz that came?' < O-Q come-PST.3SG). It may appear on multiple constituents in the same clause for alternative questions (bu: gün-mi ertir-mi 'today or tomorrow?' < this day-Q tomorrow-Q). Questions may be further modulated to express wonder through the particle $-k A$ : (gelermikä: 'I wonder if he'll come'). A non-interrogative use of - $m I$ includes its use as a general extender 'or something (like that)', for which it attaches to some nominal and then to nä:me 'what' (altysynamy nä:memi 'the sixth or something').
3.3.3.3 Indirective markers

Like other Turkic languages, Turkmen can express a type of evidentiality called 'indirectivity' through modals and particles, in addition to a number of 'presumptive'
thematic suffixes noted above (§3.2.2.1). These forms are called 'indirectives' insofar as they present information indirectly by reference to a speaker's conscious reception of it. What is criterial is the degree to which some information has been integrated into one's worldview, thus they comment on an utterance and index awareness or knowledge of that information (Johanson 2000b). Though indirectives do not strictly communicate the 'source of information' as with evidentiality in many other languages (indeed, the provenance of the information is relatively immaterial), they do in effect correspond to a speaker's perception of an event as reported, inferred, or perceived, and would translate as adsententials obviously, reportedly, they say, evidently, apparently, it seems/appears/turns out, and so on. The suffix -mIss contrasts with the past tense suffix - $d I$, which can be said to present a proposition directly and without reference to the speaker's cognition.

Turkmen indirectives include the inferential particle -mIş (no negative form) and mirative-like modal word eken/dä:l eken. The indirective particle -mIş, like the past tense suffix - $d I$, may attach to a variety of nominal and verbal predicates, although it is usually found with verbal stems. The usage of this particle implies that the speaker has inferred or has been informed of some information, suggesting non-firsthand information of a 'reportedly, they say' type (git-meli-miş-in 'he apparently has to go' < go-OBLG-IND.EVID-3SG). The particle's overtone of inference is reflected in the reduplicated noun mysmys 'rumor' (myşmyş-yň ba:r-my? 'got any gossip?' < rumor-2SG.Poss exist-Q). The indirective modal eken/dü:l eken, on the other hand, implies that the speaker has recognized and become
aware of some information in a more firsthand manner (Türkíyä:-niň kesel-hana-lar-y nä:hili eken-i? 'How do you find Turkey's hospitals?' < Turkey-GEN sickness-PLACE.FOR-PL3.POSS how eken-3PL). These two indirectives take person marking, though with nonstandard paradigms (3.2.2.2).

Fillers and hedges, which perform interactional and intersubjective work, include neme 'uh' (C47), nemede 'uhm', hany, aý 'well' ( $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ), and welin 'though, then' (C2). Question tag hä: 'yeah?' or the phrase dogry dä:lmi 'right?' (C43) are regularly found at the end of utterances to seek affirmation or confirmation of the utterance's proposition, or to seek alignment with the recipient.

### 3.3.4 Interjections

Interjections are uninflectable words or phrases that may stand as their own pragmatically complete utterance, though many are commonly found introducing or completing an utterance. Many, given their non-lexical status, are given here phonetically. Interjections include words for 'yes' (hawa, hä:, [haá], [how], [owá]), 'no' (ýo:k, [haia]), and 'okay, yeah' (bor, bolýa:r). Deictic interjections such as ine, [me], ine, ynha: 'here'; ana 'there'; and [hanha] 'over there' often accompany pointing to physical referents or involve the handling of objects. For getting someone's attention, the word [how] 'hey!' may used by itself or attached to the end of a name. Phonosemantic interjections exist for
quieting people or animals $\left[\int:, \mathrm{t} y \mathrm{y}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{t}:\right]$, and for getting the attention of or shooing away of cats [pf: pf:].

Many interjections that display affect involve the segment [w]. The most generalized form of this interjection is [waj, waj?, waj aj], and is used to punctuate emotion in many situations. More specific affective interjections include those for surprise [wi:, wax, baj bo:w], pain [wi:, wæk, wækle:, u:f, wækع:j], disgust [pæ:x], sympathy [wax wahej], and pleasure from food [hum].
4. Syntax
4.1 Noun phrase

Noun phrases in Turkmen may take case marking, plural marking, and focus particle - $d A$, in that order. They may be headed (by noun or pronoun) or headless. The elements that may appear within a headed noun phrase include demonstratives $\left(N_{7}\right)$ (§3.1.3.2), genitives (N8, N18) (§3.1.1.5), reflexive pronoun (S19) (§3.1.3.3), lexical quantifiers (N14) (§3.1.4.2), numerals (N16) (§3.1.4.1), relative/participial clauses (N18) (§3.2.3.2, §4.4.1), postpositional phrases ( $\mathrm{S}_{7}, \mathrm{~N} 22$ ), adjectives ( $\mathrm{N}_{21}, \mathrm{P}_{32}$ ), and the head noun itself. Of these elements, demonstratives and genitives tend to appear first in the noun phrase and stand in
complementary distribution. Genitives are especially numerous in written Turkmen, often appearing in two or three levels of embedding ( $\mathrm{N} 2, \mathrm{~N}_{7}, \mathrm{~N} 9$ ).

In general, all these elements appear before the head noun, roughly in the order given above, though some flexibility is permitted. The following complex combinations are attested in the texts: NUM ADJ N (N18), AdJ NUM N (C48), PRT.PHRASE DEM N (S2), GEN



By contrast, noun phrases headed by pronouns may only take lexical quantifiers (onuň köpüsi 'most of it' < 3SG.GEN much-3.POSS) and numerals (biz-iň bä:şimiz' the five of us' < IPL-GEN 5-3PL.POSS), which appear after the pronoun. All of these elements, including the head noun, are optional, since noun phrases may be headless, consisting of only, e.g., an adjective (gyzyl-lar 'the red ones' < red-pL), in which case they imply an indefinite referent. Postpositional phrases may not stand on their own as headless noun phrases (* ${ }^{m e n i n ̌ ~ b i l e n l e r ~ ' t h o s e ~ w i t h ~ m e ', ~ b u t ~ r a t h e r ~ m e n-i n ~ y ́ a n-y m-d a: k y ~ a d a m-l a r ~ ' t h o s e ~ p e o p l e ~}$ who are with me' < ISG-GEN side-1SG.POSS-AFIL man-PL), and genitives must take the relation suffix-KI in order to do so (men-iň-ki-ler 'the things of mine' < 1SG-GEN-REL-PL).
4.2 Constituent order

The "canonical" order in Turkmen is Subject-Object-Verb (SOV). That is, syntactic subjects $(\mathrm{S})$, patients $(\mathrm{P})$, and recipients $(\mathrm{R})$ all tend to precede the verb. These preferences result in the following orders: SV for intransitives, SPV for transitives, and SRPV for ditransitives. Core arguments, however, are often not given overtly when relatively unimportant for the present or imminent discourse, or if they are easily recoverable or discourse-active.

Other permutations of constituent order are possible, of course, and these largely depend on discourse-pragmatic contingencies. Post-predicate elements, for example, while not strictly permitted from a prescriptive point of view, are prevalent in conversation. In my data, the material appearing after a predicate is commonly a core argument noun phrase (C24), some element of a noun phrase, or adverbial material like a converbal (C42) or postpositional phrase.

Phonologically, post-predicate elements appear either in the same intonational contour as the preceding material, or in a separate intonation unit. That is, the "rightdislocated" material may be produced under a single prosodic utterance along with the preceding material, or it may appear separately, resulting in two intonation units (one with the predicate, the other containing the post-predicate material). This prosodic distinction can explain much of their discourse and interactional functions. Production of the post-predicate element and pre-predicate material together in a single intonation unit often manages a referent's information status. Specifically, doing so may resolve the
identity of an unclear or discourse-inactive referent (C6o), reactivate the referent, or, conversely, background the referent (C24).

By contrast, post-predicate elements appearing in their own intonational contour often have interactional, not informational, functions. That is, these typically orient to the structure and organization of conversation itself, not necessarily the propositional or informational content of the turns. For example, in normal conversation, after a speaker has completed their turn, the recipient may take the floor. However, if the recipient hesitates, then a gap between turns is perceptible. This conversational hiccup may prompt the first speaker to provide, e.g., some adverbial material (which is not required by the verb) that is pragmatically congruent with their previous utterance. The motivation behind producing a post-predicate element in this way is presumably to furnish another chance for the recipient to take the floor (a so-called 'transition-relevance place'). Another interactional function of post-predicate elements is using nä:me 'what' as a placekeeper for repairing an unproduced element of the turn. That is, during production, a speaker may not be able to locate some lexical item. In such an event, the placekeeper nä:me may be given in situ at the syntactic position where the constituent would appear normally, only to be "filled in" after completion of the utterance-in-progress, thus resulting in a postpredicate element.
4.3 Coordination

Coordination in Turkmen is possible among noun phrases, among the elements within noun phrases, and among verb phrases, all of which use the same basic coordinators, which include conjunctions of Perso-Arabic origin (via Chaghatay) we, hem(-de) 'and', and emma:, ýö:ne 'but', and conjunctions of Turkic origin like the enclitic $-d A$ FOC (which also functions as the disjunctor 'or') and comitative postposition bile( $n$ ) 'and, with'.

Coordination at the noun phrase level creates complex noun phrases with more than one head, the case marking for which usually appears on the final head (Maral we Myradyñ kaka-sy 'Maral and Myrat's father'), though marking on both heads is not ungrammatical (Nı1). Coordination of noun phrases may involve hem(-de) (N9), its shortened form -Am (P16-19), postposition bile(n) or conjunction we (oglan bilen/we gyz 'the boy and the girl'). Usage of $-d A$ is more restricted for coordinating noun phrases, appearing more commonly with verbal nouns (N20, $\mathrm{P} 4-5$ ), in lists ( $1-d A$, 2-dA, we/hem-de 3 ' 1,2 , and 3 ), and in the construction meaning 'whether... or', for which $-d A$ appears on each constituent (Nu). Other such constructions exist where a particle appears with more than one of the coordinated constituents, for example hem $X$... hem $Y^{\text {‘ }}$ both X and $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ (P10-11), ne X ne Y'neither X nor $Y^{\prime}, k \ddot{a}:(t e) X k \ddot{a}:(t e) Y^{\prime}$ sometimes X sometimes $Y^{\prime}$, and ýa:(-da) $X$ ýa:(-da) $Y^{\prime}$ either X or $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$.

Coordination within noun phrases may use these same conjunctions (more prevalently $\operatorname{hem}(-d e)$ and $w e)$, though it is more typical to simply leave them out $\left(\mathrm{P}_{32}\right)$.

Within the noun phrase, adjectives and participial constructions may be coordinated (süýji hem-de bişen kökeler 'delicious and baked cookies'), though, again, it is more common to see this without the conjunction.

Though syndetic coordination with we is possible (N8, N19), Turkmen largely prefers verb phrase coordination through the converb suffix -Ip (S17, C14, N15). Semantically, the coordinated verb phrases are usually interpreted as consecutive actions, though a disjunctive reading is possible, as are a number of aspecto-temporal interpretations (e.g., simultaneity, anteriority, etc. (§3.2.3.3, §4.4.3)), which are resolved pragmatically. Clause level coordination is also possible asyndetically and through use of $-d A$ FOC (S17).

Adversative coordination may be accomplished with ýö:ne or emma: 'but' (Nı1, N14, P25), though the modal word weli(n) is common as well. Weli(n) does not necessarily imply strict disjunction, but rather imples some contrast such that the juxtaposed utterances share a slightly unexpected relationship (C2-3, $\mathrm{C}_{55-57}, \mathrm{C}_{5} 8-59, \mathrm{P} 21$ ). It may combine with the just-mentioned conjunctions for ýö:ne weli(n) and emma: weli(n) 'but still, however, nonetheless, then again'.

### 4.4 Dependent clauses

Dependent clauses are are grammatically dependent on a matrix clause and include relative, complement, and adverbial clauses. Broadly, relative clauses modify head nouns; complement clauses function as noun phrase arguments; and adverbial clauses modify other clauses. Adverbial clauses typically involve non-finite forms and/or postpositions, and syntactically they tend to precede the matrix verb, though adverbial and complement quotative clauses often appear in the post-predicate region in conversation (§4.2).

### 4.4.1 Relativization

Relative clauses in Turkmen are pre-head modifiers centered around participial forms (§3.2.3.2) that inflect for tense/aspect but contain no information about person or number. As mentioned above, participial phrases need not occur with a head, in which case they can imply an indefinite head noun (gel-en-ler 'the ones who came' < come-PST.PRT-PL) or simply an unspecified one, which may be active in the discourse already. Both headed and headless participial phrases may take plural and possessive suffixes in addition to case marking in accordance with their grammatical relationship to the matrix verb. Headed relative clauses consist of at least a participial form appearing before the head noun $\left(\mathrm{S}_{4}\right)$, but they may be more complex depending on the valence of that participle (§3.2.1 for diathetic suffixes) or the presence of any adverbials.

Participant reference is relatively inexplicit in Turkmen morphosyntactically speaking; the relationship of the modifying clause to the head noun is resolved pragmatically. In the absence of person marking, the subject/agent argument of the participle is typically the discourse active topic (S4: gar 'snow'), or an impersonal subject (iý-en za:t 'the thing [someone has] eaten' < eat-PST.PRT thing).

More explicit marking of participant identities, however, is available through possessive person markers (§3.2.2.2), which may appear on the participial argument or on the head noun itself. When it appears on a participial argument, the head noun is the interpreted as the possessor of that argument. In (S21), for example, kellesi göçen awçy 'the hunter who has lost his mind' may be literally formulated as 'his-head-gone hunter'. Here, the head noun (awçy 'hunter') is interpeted as the possessor of the argument of the participle, kelle-si 'his head' (< head-3.poss).

Possessive person marking may also appear on the head noun itself to index the subject/agent of the participle (bişir-en nahar-ym 'the food that I cooked' < cook-PST-PRT food-1SG.POSS). In this case, the subject/agent often appears in genitive case before the participial clause (Nn1). The same pattern of a head noun's possessive marker being coreferential with the subject of the relative clause is not common cross-linguistically, but is attested in other Turkic languages like Uyghur and Karachay-Balkar (Csato and Muzappar 2010).

Other formal means of identifying a referent is possible when the verb stem contains either the passive or causative diathetic suffix. With participles involving a passive verb stem, the agent may be indicated in a postpositional phrase using tarapyndan 'by' (§3.3.2), for which pronouns appear in genitive case, otherwise the agent appears in nominative case (iş-çi-ler-iň tarap-y-ndan süpür-ül-jek köçe 'the street that will be swept by the workers' < work-ACT-PL-GEN side-3.Poss-ABL sweep-PASS-FUT.PRT street). Participles with causative verb stems can indicate the agent with dative case (siz-iň maňa ýuw-dyr-an jinsi-ňyz 'the jeans you made me wash' < 2PL-GEN ISG.DAT wash-CAUS-PST.PRT jeans-2PL.POSS). Both of these patterns with the passive and causative are the same as in finite clauses (i.e., this marking for indicating participant identities is not restricted to relative clauses).

### 4.4.2 Complementation

Nominalized complement clauses are based on verbal nouns, participles, or nominal forms, and may serve as a noun phrase complement of a postposition or as an argument of a verb (though it does not appear that such clauses may act as subject arguments). They can take the plural suffix, possessive person markers, case marking and postpositions. The plural suffix -LAr and person markers (§3.2.2.2) coreference the grammatical subject of the predicate, and the case marking or postpositions indicate the whole clause's relationship
to the matrix verb. Reproduced below is $(\mathrm{N} 21)^{7}$, which displays a range of complementation patterns to be discussed. The sentence is divided up roughly by clause, though mostly for ease of reading - that is, they are not necessarily divided by any syntactic principle.

1 Hepdä:-niň tutuş bir okuw gün-i hünä:r öwreniş sapak-lar-y indi gysgald-yl-yp, week-GEN whole 1 school day-3.poss trade training lesson next shorten-PASS-CVB 'The once-per-week trade labor classes have since been shortened,'

2 olar-y̌̌ iki sagad-a getir-il-en-dig-i-ne garamazdan, 3PL.GEN 2 hour-DAT bring-PASS-PST.PRT-SBJR-3.POSS-DAT regardless 'but regardless of their/them being shortened to two hours,'

3 okuw-çy-lar esa:sy okuw-lar-y-ndan soñ ýowar bahana-sy bilen ýene i:şlemä:g-e çagyr-yl-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny,
school-ACT-PL basic school-PL-3.POSS-ABL after assistance-3.POSS reason with again work-INF-DAT summon-PASS-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC
'they say that students are being summoned to work after school under the pretense of "helping out",'

4 [egerýüz öwür-se-ler okuw baha-lar-y-ny pes-el-jek-dik-ler-i-ni áyd-yp.] mugallym-lar-yň haýbat at-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny
if face turn-COND-3PL.PERS school grade-PL-3.POSS-ACC lower-PASS-FUT.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC say-CVB teacher-PL-GEN threat throw-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC 'and that the teachers threaten them, [saying their grades will suffer $\underline{\underline{\text { if }} \text { they refuse } .] ' ~}$

5 áyd-ýa:r-lar.
say-PRS-3PL.PERS

The structure of this sentence is two clauses at its highest level: one converb clause (line 1 )
coordinated with a finite clause (lines 2-5), which will be the focus of this section. These

[^4]lines (2-5) begin with a concessive postpositional phrase 'regardless of (line 2), whose complement is a complement clause in dative case ('their being shortened to two hours'). The following three lines ( $3-5$ ) culminate in the matrix verb 'they say' (line 5 ), which takes two arguments in the form of complement clauses: 'that students are being summoned' (line 3) and 'that teachers threaten' (line 4). Both of these appear with accusative case marking on the verbal noun, indicating their status as arguments of 'they say'. This second complement clause (lines 4) contains an embedded converbal clause ('saying'), whose complement itself is a complement clause in accusative case ('that their grades will suffer') with an embedded converb clause ('if they refuse').

Grammatical marking of referent identities (especially for human referents) is explicit on the verb, appearing as person marking that reflects person and number information. We observe that the two animate subjects okuwçylar 'students' (line 3) and mugllymlaryň 'teachers' (line 4), for example, are both indexed with regard to their number on their respective verbs, çagyr-yl-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny and at-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny, which appear with the plural suffix - $l A r$. This pattern of agreement for animates seems robust, though more discourse-level investigation is needed. For example, in line 4, the subject of the embedded complement clause okuw bahalaryny 'their grades' is inanimate, but its referenced on the verb pes-el-jek-dik-ler-i-ni with the plural marker-lAr.

Furthermore, the grammatical subject of a complement clause may semantically be the agent or patient argument of the verbal noun, depending on the voice of the verbal
noun. The verbal nouns in lines 2-3 have the passive suffix -Il (§3.2.1), while those in 4 do not. Moreover, the subject of these clauses may appear either in nominative case (okuwçylar, okuw bahalaryny) or genitive case (olaryň, mugallymlaryň). Note that the presence or absence of the genitive case marker -( $n$ )In does not indicate grammatical relations. Rather, the difference between nominative and genitive case marking on a subject is one of specificity.

This example also displays one pervasive method for creating a complement clause, namely the nominalizing subjunctor suffix - $d I k$, which roughly corresponds to English complementizer 'that'. The morphological glossing above shows how -dIk may attach to participles (§3.2.3.2), but it may also attach to nominals, which gives a copular reading. For example in (N4), the subjunctor suffix -dIk attaches to the adjective gadagan 'forbidden' in the postpositional phrase çaga zä:hmet-i-niň gadagan-dyg-y-na garamazdan 'despite child labor being forbidden' (< child labor-3.Poss-GEN forbidden-SBJR-3.POSS-DAT although). The resulting form may take the plural suffix - $l A r$, possessive person markers, which index the number and person information of the subject of the embedded clause, and case markers, which indicate the relationship of the embedded clause to the matrix verb. Any verbal arguments and adjuncts appear to the left of this verbal form.

In addition to -dIk, other nominalizing suffixes are available for subordination. The subjunctor suffix -lIk, for example, functions much in the same way as -dIk, the difference being that-dIk emphasizes a verbal character of the resulting form, while -lIk
emphasizes a more nominal character. To use the previous example, the difference between çaga zä:hmet-i-niň gadagan-dyg-y-na garamazdan and çaga zä:hmet-i-niň gadagan-lyg-y-na garamazdan would be the difference between 'despite child labor being forbidden' and 'despite the forbiddenness of child labor'. Verbal noun suffixes $-m A k$, $-m A$ and $-I s$ function similarly to subjunctors -dIk and -lIk, taking many of the same suffixes and seemingly operating in similar grammatical contexts. The precise combinatorial and distributional features of the verbal noun suffixes versus the subjunctor suffixes, however, remains to be determined.

### 4.4.2.1 Quotatives

Quotation involves a range of complementation strategies, depending on whether the reporting of speech/thoughts is direct or indirect. The distinction between the two is that in direct speech, the deictic referents are unchanged, whereas in indirect speech the deictic center is the speaker. Direct reporting is massively preferred in spoken Turkmen while indirect reporting predominates in writing.

The most straightforward strategy for reporting speech directly is through diýmek 'to say' (C14, C17, C22), which typically appears utterance-finally with finite verbal morphology. In such constructions, the quoted material remains intact: the quote takes no morphology and referential deixis is unchanged. While verb-finality is the unmarked
constituent order, a quote may be introduced with diýmek 'to say' (C5, C16), and, commonly in narrative, a pronoun plus hem 'also, too' (C18, C20).

Another common method to directly report speech is through the converbal form of the verb of speech díyip 'saying/having said'. As a converb, diýip may be variably integrated into the syntax and semantics of the matrix verb (§3.2.3.3), but essentially functions to set off the quoted material from the matrix verb ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17}, \mathrm{~N}_{7}, \mathrm{Nu}_{11}\right)$. Turkmen has not, however, grammaticized a relativizing function with diýip. Other verbs of speech like so:rap 'asking/having asked' (C14) are used similarly.

The predominant method for indirect reporting of speech involves the subjunctor morpheme -dIk (§4.4.2). A typical example of this may be seen in (N14), reproduced below with modifications.

```
1 Ýö:ne kü:bir mekdep okuw-çy-lar-y
    but some school school-ACTOR-PL-3.POSS
2 \mp@code { o ̈ : z - l e r - i - n i n ̌ ~ o l ~ s a p a k - l a r - d a ~ b a s ̧ g a ~ i s ̧ - l e r - d e }
    self-PL-3.POSS-GEN those lesson-PL-LOC other work-PL-LOC
3 \text { işle-d-il-ýä:n-dik-ler-i-ni aýd-ýa:r-lar.}
    work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC tell-PRS.3PL
    'But some students say that in those classes they are made to do other work as well.'
```

Here, there are two predications: a matrix and an embedded clause. The matrix clause is fairly straightforward: the finite verb form aýd-ýa:r-lar 'they say' has a subject argument kä:bir mekdep okuw-çy-lary‘some students’. These matrix forms are interrupted, however,
by the embedded clause, underlined above (lines 2-3). The embedded predication involves the verbal noun form i:şle-d-il-ýä:n-dik-ler-i-ni 'that they are made to work', and its subject argument ö:zleriniň 'they/their selves'. Following the stem of the verbal noun (işledil- 'being made to work') is a present tense participial suffix -ýA:n (§3.2.3.2), and the subjunctor -dIk, which signals the dependent status of the embedded clause. The subjunctor suffix is followed by agreement markers -ler PL and -i3.POSS, which indicate that the subject of the complement clause is 3PL. This verbal noun takes accusative case $-n i$, indicating that the embedded clause is a grammatical object of the matrix verb.

As a comparison, were the above example produced with direct reporting, it would be glossed as, 'But some students say, "In those classes we are made to do other work as well."', and $\ddot{0}: z-l e r-i-n i n ̌$ would be replaced with $b i z-i($ 'we' < 3 PL-ACC) and $i: s ̧ l e-d-i l-$ ýä:n-dik-ler-i-ni would be replaced with i:şle-d-il-ýä:r ('are made to work' < work-CAUS-PASS-PRS-3-PERS).

### 4.4.3 Adverbial clauses

In contast to complementation and relativization, adverbial clauses are in a sense 'less subordinate' in that they function more on the discourse level to provide textual cohesion in supplying information such as purpose, manner, or time. In Turkmen, adverbial clauses are mostly based on postpositional phrases and non-finite verb forms (converbs,
participles, and verbal nouns) and, like adverbs, they may be classified according to their semantic roles (e.g., time, location, manner, etc.). Unlike adverbs, however, adverbial clauses have a greater range of possible meaning (e.g., reason, concession, addition, etc.) because they may specify for information like participant and tense.

Temporal adverbial clauses situate an action relative to other actions. Past tense (i.e., postterminal) participial forms are involved in several of these constructions. For example, 'when' clauses are expressed with a postterminal participle and the locative case suffix -An-dA (C35, P21, S20, S21), while 'after' clauses involve a postterminal particle, optional ablative case suffix, and the postposition soň 'after' - $A n(-d A n)$ soň (Sio). 'Before' clauses, on the other hand, may be expressed through a number of constructions, including negative postterminal converb suffix -mAn (iý-mä:n git-di 'before eating/without eating, he left' < eat-NEG.CVB go-PST.3SG); by the negative postterminal converb suffix with $-k A$ : and a possessive suffix (poçta: git-mä:n-kü:-m 'before I go to the post office' < post.office.DAT go-NEG.CVB-while-1SG.POSs); or with the dative case and postposition öň 'before' (toý-dan öň 'before the party' < party-ABL before). Other such clauses may express abtemporality with the converb suffix -AlI (sen gid-eli iç-im gys-dy 'I've been bored since you left' < 2SG go-AlI insides-1SG.POss press-PST.3SG), and simultaneity with the converb suffix -kA:/mA:nkA: (Nig). Similarly, postpositions conveying simultaneity include bilen 'with' (otag-a gir-meg-i bilen '[3SG] entering the room' < room-DAT enter-INF-3.POSS) and sa:ýin 'as' (maşyn-a mün-düg-i sa:ýin 'as he got in the car' < car-DAT board-SBJR-3.POSS as). It
should also be noted that the postterminal converb suffix -Ip is rather versatile in covering many of these same temporal distinctions, though the precise meaning is resolved pragmatically. For example, iç-ip garaş-dy-m (drink-CVB wait-PST-1SG.POSS) may be interpretable as 'I drank then waited, 'Having drank, I waited’, 'I waited while drinking'.

Adverbial clauses of location typically use relative clause structure. For example, in the sentence Men dog-l-an ýer-im-de ýaşa-ýa:r-yn ‘I live where I was born’ (< ISG birth-PASS-PST.PRT place-1sg.poss-loc live-PRS-1SG.PERS), the location ('where I was born') is formed with a relative clause (lit. 'my birthed place').

Manner clauses involve a non-finite verb form followed by a postposition such as ýa:ly, deý, or dek 'like, as' (bat-an gä:mi-ni taşl-a:n dek ‘like having abandoned a sunken ship' < sink-PST.PRT ship-ACC abandon-PST.PRT like).

Purpose clauses indicate motivation for an as yet unperformed action, and may be formed using an infinitive with the dative case suffix (N21), or an infinitive followed by postposition üçi:n 'for' (ek-mek üçi:n 'in order to plant' < plant-INF for). Reason clauses, on the other hand, specify a motivation for some action that has already been performed. One way to form such a clause is through the postposition sebä:p-li'due to, because’ (< reason-Asso), which may appear after noun phrases (Ukraina-da sowuk howa sebäp-li 18 adam öl-di ‘Because of the cold weather in Ukraine 18 people died’ < U-LOC cold weather because-ASSO 18 man die-PST.3.POSS) or verbal nouns (ö:z saglyk ýagdaý-y-nyň ýaramaz-la-ş-an-dyg-y sebäp-li ‘due to worsening health’ < own health condition-3.Poss-GEN poor-vbLz-

COOP-PST.PRT-SBJR-3.POSS reason-ASSO). A related form is sebä:b-i (< reason-3.poss), which may translate either as 'because' or 'the reason being', depending on syntax. For example, it is often found in writing as the grammatical subject (S19), where it specifies the reason for an aforementioned action (i.e., 'The reason for this is...'), in which case it is an independent clause. However, this form has extended in conversation to introduce a reason clause that is dependent on another clause (git-me-di-m, sebäb-iýat-yp ga:l-dy-m 'I didn't go because I overslept' <go-NEG-PST-1.POSS reason-3.POSs lie-CVB remain-PST-1.POSS). Other postpositions related to reason clauses include laýyklykda and görä: 'in accordance with, according to' (N4, N8, N20).

Concessive clauses involve postpositions garamazdan 'despite, although' (N4), and seredeniňde, gara:nyňda 'compared to, considering', which may appear after verbal nouns with a dative case suffix (Dürli ýurt-lar-dan 100-den gow-ra:kfilm-iň dalaş ed-ÿä:n-dig-i-ne seredeniňde 'considering that more than 100 films were submitted from various countries' < various country-PL-ABL 100-ABL great-CMPR film-GEN submission make-PRS.PRT-SBJR-3.POSS-DAT considering). The conditional suffix -sA conveys subtle shades of concession, including 'unless' clauses with the negative conditional -mAsA (S6), and 'whereas, even if, even though, yet' clauses with bolsa-da ( $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{P}_{20}$ ) or -sA-PERS.MARKER-Am (saňa aýt-sa-m-am ynan-mar-syň-dyr 'even if I told you, you still wouldn’t believe me' < 2SG.DAT tell-COND-1SG.POSS-also believe-NEG.INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS-AFRM).

Closely related to concessive adverbials are substitutive ('instead, rather') and additive ('in addition to') constructions. Substitutive adverbial clauses are created with postposition derek (N18). Additives are formed through bilen, başga(-da) 'besides, in addition to (N18).

5 Swadesh list

In this section is a 100-word Swadesh list organized semantically.

| I | men | there | añry:k, aňry, | many | köp, birentek, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| you (SG) | sen |  | aňyrda, ol/o |  | birgiden, ençeme, |
| he | ol |  | ta:ýda/ýerde |  | kä:n |
| we | $b i z$ | who | kim | some | birnä:çe, kü:te, |
| you (PL) | $s i z$ | what | nä:me |  | kemkäs, kä, käbir, |
| they | olar | where | nirede, nirä: |  | kä:biri, |
| this | bu:, şu: | when | haçan |  | ýekýa:rym, |
| that | bu:, şu:, ol, o, şol | how | nä:hili, nä:dip |  | ýektük |
| here | bü:rik, bä:ri, | not | dü:l | few | $a: z$, kemkü:s, |
|  | bu://̧u: | all | ähli, büti:n, külli |  | kä:bir, kä:biri, |
|  | ta:ýda/ýerde |  |  |  |  |


|  | ýekýa:rym, | narrow | dar | forest | jeňňel, tokaý |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| other | ýektük | thin | inçe (thing), | stick | taýak, çöp |
|  | beýleki, başga, |  | ýuka (cloth), | fruit | miwe, iriýmiş |
|  | ö:zge, diger |  | hor (person) | seed | tohum |
| one | bir | woman | aýal, zenan | leaf | taprak |
| two | iki | man | a:dam, erkek | root | kök |
| three | $\ddot{u}$ ç | Man | a:dam | bark | gabyk |
| four | dö:rt | child | çaga | flower | gül |
| five | $b \ddot{a}: s ̧$ | wife | aýal | grass | o:t |
| big | uy | husband | a:dam | rope | yüp, tanap |
| long | uzak, uzyn (tall) | mother | ene, eje | skin | deri |
| wide | giň | father | ata, kaka | meat | et |
| thick | gür, ýygy | animal | haýwan, jandar | blood | gan |
|  | (dense), ýogyn | fish | balyk | bone | süňk |
|  | (diameter), | bird | guş | fat | ýag (substance) |
|  | galyň | dog | it, güjük | egg | ýumurtga |
| heavy | agyr, saldamly, | louse | bit | horn | şah |
|  | agramly | snake | ýylan | tail | guyruk |
| small | kiçi | worm | gurt, gurçuk | feather | ýaprak |
| short | gysga, kelte | tree | agaç | hair | saç |


| head | kelle, ser, baş | knee | dyz | drink | iç- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ear | gulak | hand | el | eat | iý- |
| eye | göz | wing | ganat | bite | dişle- |
| nose | burun | belly | garyn | suck | sor- |
| mouth | agyz | guts | iç | spit | tüýkür |
| tooth | diş | neck | boýun | vomit | gaýtar-, gus- |
| tongue | dil | back | arka | blow | öwüs-(wind), |
| fingernail dyrnak | breast | göwüs, kükrek |  | üfle-(breath) |  |
| foot | aýak | heart | ý̈rrek | breathe | demal- |
| leg | aýak | liver | bagyr | laugh | gül- |

6. Texts

Below are four glossed and translated texts: a news article about child labor in

Turkmenistan, a conversation between two friends, a poem about the life of man, and a story about a gazelle. Leipzig glossing rules are followed with numerous additions. A table of these abbreviations may be found in the front matter (p. xi).
6.1 News article (N)

The following article was written by Umyt Jumaýew and originally published May 10, 2012, on azathabar.com, a Turkmen-language news website produced by Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty.

1 Lebap-da okuw-çy-lar köp-çü-lik-leýin işle-d-il-ÿ̈:r

L-LOC school-ACT-PL many-EQA-NMLZ-ADLZ work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.3SG
'In Lebap students are being put to work en masse'

2 Lebap welaýat-y-nyň etrap-lar-y-nyň, Türkmenabat şäher-i-niň
L region-3.POSS-GEN country-PL-3.POSS-GEN T city-3.POSS-GEN umu:my bilim ber-ýä:n orta mekdep-ler-i-niň general education give-PRS.PRT middle school-PL-3.POSS-GEN okuw-çy-lar-y köp-çü-lik-leýin işle-d-il-ýä:r. school-ACT-PL-3.POSS many-EQA-NMLZ-ADLZ work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.3SG
'In the counties in the region of Lebap, students in Turkmenabat are being put to work en masse.'

3 Şu: gün-ler çaga-lar-yň, esa:sa:n, mekdeb-e degişli meýdan-lar-da, this day-PL child-PL-GEN basically school-DAT concerning field-PL-LOC seýrek bol-sa--da, mellek ýer-ler-i-nde-de
infrequent be-COND-FOC yard place-PL-3.POSS-LOC-still
işle-d-il-ýä:n-dik-ler-i-ni gör-mek bol-ýa:r.
work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC see-INF be-PRS.3SG
'Nowadays, even though in general students are rarely in the fields, they may still be seen being put to work in yards.'


5
"Türkmenista:n-da a:dam-lar-y mugt zä:hmet-e çek-mek tejribe-si
T-LOC man-PL-ACC free labor-DAT draw-INF experience-3.POSS
indi adaty ýagdaý-a öwr-ül-di.
so usual condition-DAT turn-PASS-PST.3SG
'"In Turkmenistan things have changed with people doing unpaid labor.'

6 Muňa ýurd-uň ähli künjek-ler-i-nde göz this.DAT country-GEN entire corner-PL-3.POSS-LOC eye ýet-ir-il-ip bol-ýa:r.
reach-PASS-CAUS-CVB be-PRS.3SG
'In all corners of this whole country, it's obvious.'

7 Bu: ýagdaý çaga zä:hmet-i-ni ulan-mak ba:batda--da şeýle" this condition child labor-3.POSS-ACC use-INF that's.why-FOC such diý-ip, Galkynyş etrab-y-nyň mekdep-ler-i-niň bir-i-niň say-CVB G county-GEN school-PL-3.POSS-GEN one-3.POSS-GEN mugallym-y efir-de ad-y-nyň tut-ul-maz-lyk şerti teacher-ACC broadcast-LOC name-3.POSS-GEN catch-PASS-INDF.FUT-NMLZ mode bilen Azat-lyk Radio-sy-na aýt-dy. with free-NMLZ radio-3.POSS-DAT tell-PST.3SG
'And in this way child labor is being used," said one of Galykynysh county's teachers to Freedom Radio, under the condition that his name not be broadcast.'

8 Onuň belle-meg-i-ne görä:, bu: ýagdaý, esa:sa:n-am, 3SG.GEN note-INF-3.POSS-DAT according this condition basically-and Türkmenista:n-yň öň-ki awtoritar prezident-i Saparmyrat T-GEN previous-REL authoritarian president-3.Poss Nyýazow-yň döwr-ü-nden miras ga:l-yp-dyr we şu SN-GEN time-3.POSS-ABL legacy stay-PRSM.PAST.3SG and this gün-ler hem dowam ed-yä:r. day-PL also duration do-PRS.3SG
'According to his report, this circumstance has apparently persisted essentially as a legacy of Turkmenistan's former authoritarian president Saparmurat Niyazov, and it continues today.'

9

| "Türkmenista:n-yň | garaş-syz-lyg-y-nyň | ilki-nji | ýl-lar-y-nda |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T-GEN | wait-PRIV-NMLZ-3.POSS-GEN | primary-ORD | year-PL-3.POSS-LOC |  |  |
| gur-l-an | hem--de şu: | gün-ler | gur-ul-ýa:n | mekdep-ler-iň |  |
| build-PASS-PST.PRT | also-FOC | this | day-PL | build-PASS-PRS.PRT | school-PL-GEN |
| ähli-si-nde | sport meýdança-lar-y, bag | ek-il-yä:n | meýdan-lar |  |  |

entire-3.POSS-LOC sport ground-PL-3.POSS garden plant-PASS-PRS.PRT area-PL ba:r.
exist
'"In all the schools that have been built since Turkmenistan's first years of independence, and also in the newly built ones, there are grounds for sports and gardens for planting.'

10 Mekdep okuw-çy-lar-y şol meýdan-lar-da işle-d-il-ýä:r. school school-ACT-PL-3.POSS that area-PL-LOC work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.3SG 'In those areas school kids are made to work.'

11 Ýö:ne klas otag-lar-y-nyň remont iş-ler-i-ne--de, but class room-PL-3.POSS-GEN repair work-PL-3.POSS-DAT-FOC mekdeb-e degişli gurluşyk iş-ler-i-ne--de school-DAT concerning construction work-PL-3.POSS-DAT-FOC okuw-çy-lar-yň çek-il-ýä:n halat-lar-y ba:r" diý-ip, ol aýd-ýa:r. school-ACT-PL-GEN draw-PASS-PRS.PRT time-PL-3.POSS exist say-CVB 3SG tell-PRS.3SG 'But whether its in repairs or construction and remodeling of the school, students' time is still being taken," he says.'
'In all of Turkmenistan's schools there are classes for learning practical skills.'

13 Ol zä:hmet sapak-lar-y-nda oglan-lar-a agaç
3.SG labor lesson-PL-3.POSS-LOC boy-PL-DAT tree
ussa-çy-lyg-y, gyz-lar-a tikin tik-mek, nahar bişir-mek craftsman-ACT-NMLZ-3.POSS girl-PL-DAT seam sew-INF food cook-INF ýa:ly durmuşs-da zerur bol-an el hünä:r-ler-i öwre-d-il-ÿ̈:r. like life-LOC vital be-PST.PRT hand trade-PL-3.POSS learn-CAUS-PASS-PRS.3SG
'In these classes, practical life skills are taught, such as carpentry for boys and needlework and cooking for girls.'

14 Ýö:ne kä:bir mekdep okuw-çy-lar-y ö:z-ler-i-niň ol but some school school-ACTOR-PL-3.POSS self-PL-3.POSS-GEN those sapak-lar-da başga iş-ler-de işle-d-il-ýä:n-dik-ler-i-ni lesson-PL-LOC another work-PL-LOC work-CAUS-PASS-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC aýd-ýa:r-lar. tell-PRS.3PL
'But some students say that in those classes they are made to do other work as well.'
"Ýaky:n-da mekdeb-imiz-iň garawul-hana-sy-ny ýyk-yp, near-LOC school-1PL.POSS-GEN guard-PLACE.FOR-3.POSS-ACC demolish-CVB ony başga ýer-den gur-du-lar. 3SG.ACC another place-ABL build-PST-3PL
' "Recently they razed our school's guardhouse, and built another one elsewhere.'

16 Yz-ly-yz-y-na gel-ýÿ:n iki zä:hmet sapag-y-nda şol back-ASSO-back-3.POSS-DAT come-PRS.PRT two labor lesson-3.POSS-LOC that jaý-yň kerpiç-ler-i-ni daşa-dy-k. building-GEN brick-PL-3.POSS-ACC transport-PST.3PL
'Over two successive classes of labor we transported bricks for it.'

17 Şeýdip, garawul-hana-nyñ ähli kerpiç-ler-i-ni okuw-çy-lar thereby guard-PLACE.FOR-GEN entire brick-PL-3.POSS-ACC school-ACTOR-PL el-ler-i bilen jaý-yň tä:ze gur-ul-jak ýer-i-ne hand-PL-3.POSS with building-GEN new build-PASS-FUT.PRT place-3.POSS-DAT daşa-dy-lar" di-ýip, Garaşsyzlyk etrab-y-nyň 1-nji orta transport-PST-3PL say-CVB G county-3.POSS-GEN 1-ORD middle mekdeb-i-niň 6--njy klas okuw-çy-sy Myrat gürrüň ber-ýä:r.
school-3.POSS.GEN 6-ORD class school-ACT-3.POSS M speech give-PRS.3SG
'And so, students brought by hand all of the bricks for the guardhouse to the site for the new building" said Myrat, a $6^{\text {th }}$ grade student from Garashsyzlyk county's School \#1.'

lesson-PL-3.POSS-LOC-FOC teacher-PL-GEN house-PL-3.POSS-GEN
iş-ler-i-ni ed-en-dig-i-ni ýat-la-ýa:r.
work-PL-3.POSS-ACC do-PST.PRT-SBJR-3.POSS-ACC memory-VBLZ-PRS.3SG
'Serdar, a resident of Garashsyzlyk who graduated from School \#1 in 2000, recalls that when he was in school, this trade class was once a week for six hours, and that instead of learning a trade, they did various kinds of labor, and moreover, sometimes even instead of having gym class they would work on the teachers' homes.'


، "When I was in fourth grade, we relocated to School \#1's new building, and until I graduated, we would repair the new building little by little, plant the gardens and smooth the soil - we would basically maintain the school grounds," said Serdar from Garashsyzlyk county.

20 Serdar-yň aýt-mag-y-na görä:; şu: gün-ler ulan-ma:g-a S-GEN tell-INF-3.POSS-DAT according, this day-PL use-InF-DAT ber-il-ýä:n mekdep-ler-iň ýagdaý-lar-y--da şeýle- tä:ze give-PASS-PRS.PRT school-PL-GEN condition-PL-3.POSS-FOC such new mekdep-ler-iň kem--kü:s-i-ni bejer-mek-de, daş school-PL-GEN little.piece-3.POSS-ACC fix-INF-LOC outside töwereg-i-ni abadanlaş-dyr-mak-da ýene surroundings-3.POSS-ACC improve-CAUS-INF-LOC again okuw-çy-lar ulan-yl-ýa:r.
school-ACT-PL use-PASS-PRS.3SG
'And according to Serdar, the state of the schools currently being used is such that students are still used to repair and maintain the new schools and their surroundings.'

21 Hepdü:-niň tutuş bir okuw gün-i hünä:r öwren-iş sapak-lar-y week-GEN wholly one school day-3.POSS trade train-NMLZ lesson-PL-3.POSS
indi gysgald-yl-yp, olar-yň iki sagad-a getir-il-en-dig-i-ne next shorten-PASS-CVB 3PL-GEN 2 hour-DAT bring-PASS-PST.PRT-SBJR-3.POSS-DAT gara-maz-dan, okuw-çy-lar esa:sy okuw-lar-y-ndan soň look-NEG.INDF.FUT-ABL school-ACT-PL basic school-PL-3.POSS-ABL after ýowar bahana-sy bilen ýene işle-mä:g-e assistance reason-3.POss with again work-INF-DAT
çagyr-yl-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny, eger ýüz öwür-se-ler okuw invite-PASS-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC if face turn-COND-3.PL school baha-lar-y-ny pesel-jek-dik-ler-i-ni aýd-yp, worth-PL-3.POSS-ACC worsen-FUT.PRT-SBJR -PL-3.POSS-ACC tell-CVB mugallym-lar-yň haýbat at-ýa:n-dyk-lar-y-ny aýd-ýa:r-lar. teacher-PL-GEN threat toss-PRS.PRT-SBJR-PL-3.POSS-ACC tell-PRS.3PL 'Regardless of the fact that the lessons have been shortened to two hours weekly, after the school day, students may be summoned again under the pretense that they are volunteering, and if they refuse the invitation, the teachers threaten that their grades may be lowered.'

22 Türkmenista:n BMG--niň çaga zä:hmet-i-ne garşy konwensiýa-sy-na T UN-GEN child labor-3.POSS-DAT against convention-3.POSS-DAT gol goý-an yurt-lar-dan bi:ri-dir.
signature put-PST.PRT country-PL-ABL one-is.
‘The country of Turkmenistan is a signatory on the United Nation's convention against the use of child labor.'
6.2 Conversation (C)

The following conversation occurred between two friends, a man and woman, both young adults. The transcription is given largely in accordance with Discourse Transcription (Du Bois et al. 1993), whereby each line represents a coherent intonational contour and ends with punctuation indicating the general prosodic shape of that contour ("." = falling/final intonation, "," = level/continuing intonation, "?" = rising/question intonation). In addition to these conventions are morphological glosses and free translations. Names have been changed to keep participants anonymous.

1 Myrat: Düýn, öý-e jaň et-d-im welin, home-DAT bell do-PST-1SG.Poss still

3 jigi-mal-dy.
little.brother-1SG.POSS take-PST-3SG.POSS

4 Maral: Nä:me díy-ÿ̈:r?

Yesterday,
I called home,
and my little brother picked up.

What does he say?
what say-PRS.3SG.PERS

5 Myrat: Aý diý-yä:r,

6 oňu-rä:k-de bir, before-CMPR-LOC one

7 bir gyzjaň et-d-i diý-ýä:r, one girl bell do-PST-3SG.POSS
say-PRS.3SG.PERS

8

9

10 Myrat: Ol diý-ýä:r,

3SG say-PRS.3SG.PERS
11 Maral: Roza hawa.

12 Myrat: men-em "Nä:dip bil-ýä:r-siň gyz?"

13

14
saňa Roza jaň et-d-i diý-yä:r, 2SG.DAT R bell do-PST-3SG.POSS
say-PRS.3SG.PERS

Maral: Hawa?

1SG-too how know-PRS-2SG.PERS girl
ol-am,

3SG-too
"Aýjaň ed-ip 'Myrat ba:r-my?' so:r-ap

Well he says, a little while ago this, this one girl called he says, Roza called you he says, Yeah?

He says,

Roza yeah.

And I'm like, "How do you know

Roza?"
and he was like,
"Oh she called and asked,

|  |  | diý-ýä:r," | 'Is Myrat there?'" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | oh bell do-CVB M exist-Q ask-CVB |  |
| say-PRS.3SG.PERS |  |  |  |
| 15 | Maral: | Aha. | Yeah. |
| 16 | Myrat: | hem diý-ÿ̈:r, | and he says, |
|  |  | too say-PRS.3SG.PERS |  |
| 17 |  | "Kim?' diý-ip so:ra-d-ym" diý-ÿ̈̈:r, | "Who is this?' I asked" he says, |
|  |  | who say-CVB ask-PST-1SG.POSS say-P |  |
| 18 |  | ol-am díy-ýä:r, | and he goes, |
|  |  | 3SG-too say-PRS.3SG.PERS |  |
| 19 |  | "Aý tana-mar-syň' diý-ipdir," | "She's like 'Oh you wouldn't know |
|  |  | oh know-NEG.INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS | me' |
|  |  | say-PRSM.PST.3SG.PERS |  |
| 20 |  | ol-am, | and he goes, |
|  |  | 3SG-too |  |
| 21 |  | "Roza sen-mi?" diý-ip so:ra-pdyr, | "Roza is that you?" he asked, |
|  |  | R 2SG-Q say-CVB ask-PRSM.PST.3SG.P |  |
| 22 |  | ol "Hawa" diý-ipdir. | she said "yeah", |
|  |  | 3SG yes say-PRSM.PST.3SG.PERS |  |
| 23 | Maral: | Nä:dip-siň-eý? | Really? |

Really?
how-2SG.PERS-Aý.MIRATIVE

26 Maral: Hawa.

27 Myrat: Baý tana-ýa:r-a:.

INTJ know-PRS.3SG.PERS-EMPH

28 Maral: Gowy bil-ÿä:ndir,
good know-PRSM.PRF.3SG.PERS

29 Waý hawa oljora-m-am gör-es-im gel-ÿä.r. Oh, I really miss her! oh yes that girl.friend-ıSG.POSS-too
see-Is.NMLZ-1SG.POSS come-PRS.3SG.PERS

30

31

32

33

Düşn-ük-li.
understand-Ik.NMLZ-ASSO

Uh Serdar,
jigi-ň-em,
little.brother-2SG.POSS-and gaty ös-ün-dür-em,
really grow-REFL-CAUS-and

Does he know her, your little know-PRS.3SG.PERS-Q little.brother-2SG.POSS brother?

Does he know Roza?

Yeah.

Of course he knows her.

He must know her well,

And uh Serdar, your little brother, he must have really grown too,

41 Maral: Bor-ýa:r-la, be-PRS.3SG-EMPH

Well that's great.
that your family's doing well.

And your uh your parents are good?
good not-Q

Neme .. şu, uly iki-nji doglan-ym-y̌̌, big 2-ORD sibling-1SG.POSS-GEN oglan doglan-ym-yň, boy sibling-1SG.POSS-GEN
ogul-jyg-y ba:r-da:; boy-DIM-3SG.POSS exist-EMPH

Noýabr-da dog-ul-dy,

November-LOC birth-PASS-PST-3SG
on-dan soň,

2SG.ABL after
o:- ol dowur hem men entek bä:rde-d-im-de;, I was still here at that time, that that time and iSG still
here-PST-ISG.POSS-EMPH

56 Myrat: Ha:

57 Maral: bir gör-es-im gel-ýä:r.
one see-Is.NMLZ-1SG.POSS
come-PRS-3SG.PERS

58 Surat-lar-y-ny gör-ýä:r-in welin,
picture-PL-3SG.POSS-ACC see-PRS-1SG.PERS but

59 gaty begen-ýä:r-in, much be.happy-PRS-1SG.PERS

60 eje-m iber-d-i-de: surat-lar-y-ny. my mom sent them to mom-1SG.POSS send-PST-3SG.POSS-EMPH picture-PL-3SG.POSS-ACC

I was in America, and so though I really haven't seen him, Yeah.

I miss him.

But I look at pictures, and I get real happy, me- the pictures.
6.3 Poem (P)

The following was transcribed from a recording of a man reciting the poem. It was recorded from an internet radio station called Goşgy we Hekaýat ('Poetry and Stories') at the website Turkmen Café, which broadcasts stories and poems as these. No attribution was given on this specific broadcast.

1

## A:dam-yň ömr-ü.

man-GEN lifetime-3.POSS

2 On ýaş-yň-da owlak-syň sen,
ten age-2SG.POSS-LOC kid-2SG.PERS 2SG
3 on bä:ş-iň-de tokly-syň.
ten five-2SG.POSS-LOC lamb-2SG.PERS
4 Bök-mä:g-e-de hak-ly-syň sen,
jump-INF-DAT-FOC right-ASSO-2SG.PERS 2 SG
5 ýat-ma:g-a-da hak-ly-syň.
sleep-INF-DAT-FOC right-ASSO-2SG.PERS
6 Ýigrimi-de kürre-siň sen,
twenty-LOC foal-2SG.PERS 2SG
7 ýigrimi bü:ş-de at-syň sen,
twenty five-LOC horse-2SG.PERS 2SG

The lifetime of man.

At the age of ten you are a baby goat,
at fifteen and baby lamb.

Prancing about is your right,
sleeping your entitlement.

At twenty you are a foal,
at twenty five a horse,

8 otuz kyrk-da çyn bedew-siň,
thirty forty-LOC true steed-2SG.PERS

9 elli-ň-de-de it-siň sen.
fifty-2SG.POSS-LOC-FOC dog-2SG.PERS 2SG
10 Hem-ä: hilegä:r-siň sen, too-EMPH cunning-2SG.PERS 2SG

11 hem-em akyl-ly-syň sen, too-and brain-ASSO-2SG.PERS 2SG

12 Duşman üçi:n ýarak-syň, enemy for sword-2SG.PERS

13 dost-a ýakymly-syň sen.
friend-dAT dear-2SG.PERS 2SG

14 Altmyş ýetmiş segsen togsan, sixty seventy eighty ninety

15 bu:-lar ö:z-üñ-e bagly.
this-PL self-2SG.POSS-DAT dependent

16 Gaplaň-am bol-up bil-er-siň,
tiger-too be-CVB know-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS

17 arslan-am bol-up bil-er-siň,
lion-too be-cVB know-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS
at thirty or forty a true steed, and at fifty you are a dog. You are both cunning, and wise.

You are a sword against your enemies, to your friends you are precious.

Sixty seventy eighty ninety, these years depend on you.

You may be a tiger, you may be a lion,

18 möjeg-em bol-up bil-er-siň,
wolf-too be-cVBknow-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS

19 şagal-am bol-up bil-er-siñ,
coyote-too be-cVB know-INDF.FUT-2SG.PERS

20 bol-sa-ň-da a:dam ogl-y.
be-COND-2SG.POSS-FOC man son-3.POSs

21 Ýüz-e ýet-en-iň-de weli, hundred-dat reach-PST.PRT-2SG.POSS-LOC still

22 bol-saň üt-ül-en towuk you may be a plucked chicken.
be-2SG.PERS pluck-PASS-PST.PRT chicken

23 Keteg-iň iç-e:m sowuk,
chicken.coop-GEN inside-too cold
24 keteg-iň daş-a:m sowuk, and outside the coop it is cold. chicken.coop-GEN outside-too cold,

25 Emma: ö:z-üň a:dam bol-sa-ň, But if you yourself are a man, but self-2SG.POSS man be-COND.2SG.POSS

26 ýo:k-dur ullakan howp. not.exist-AFRM great danger

27 Il-iň gün-üň dost ýary-ň,
you may be a wolf,
you may be a jackal, yet you are still a man.

Though when you have reached 100,

Inside the coop it is cold, there is surely no danger.

Your people and days are your close friends people-2SG.POsS day-2SG.POSS friend near-2SG.POSS

In short, you won't be starved,

2SG-ACC skinny-VBLZ-3SG.NEG.INDF.FUT in.short

29 üt-ül-en towuk diý-ip,
pluck-PASS-PST.PRT chicken say-CVB
30 azar-am ber-ip dur-maz, calling you a plucked chicken,
bother-too give-CVB stand-3SG.NEG.INDF.FUT

31 gaty git-se diý-ýä:r-ler,
hard go-COND.3SG say-PRS-3PL.PERS

32 "Ganat-syz garry horaz".
is "Old featherless rooster".
wing-PRIV old rooster
6.4 Literary story (S)

The story below was originally published online at tmolympiad.org by a user named "yhlas", who credits the writing to Ejegyz Çaryýewa, who indicates that the reader may have read the story before.

| 1 Göni gel-en | keýig-in | iki | göz-ü-nden başga aýby |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| direct come-PST.PRT | gazelle-GEN two | eye-3.POSS-ABL another shame |  |  |
| ýo:k |  |  |  |  |

not.exist
'From the eyes of the gazelle who came directly there is no more shame.'

2 Göçme many-sy-nda köplenç a:dam-lar ara-sy-nda-ky figurative meaning-3.POSS-LOC often man-PL interval-3.POSS-LOC-REL gatna-s-yk-lar ba:batda ulan-yl-ýa:n bu: aýt-gy-nyň attend-RECP-Ik.NMLZ-PL about use-PASS-PRS.PRT this tell-Gy.NMLZ-GEN asyl-ky many-sy ba:rada aw-çy Akmä:mmet aga original-REL meaning-3.POSS about hunt-ACT A elder.brother şeýle gürrüñ ber-er-d-i:
so speech give-INDF.FUT-PST-3SG.POSS
'People often speak figuratively about the relationships among people, and the hunter Akmammet would tell it in its original meaning like so:'
'Some years' winters are really strong.'

4 Dünýä:-ni gar bas-yp, er-ä:r ýer-de er-ä:no:k. world-ACC snow press-CVB melt-INDF.FUT.PRT place-LOC melt-NEG.3SG
'The snow covers the earth, and doesn't melt where it should.'

5 Şeýle doň-ak-lyk uzag-a çek-se, keýik galla-lar-y like.so freeze-Ak.NMLZ-NMLZ long-DAT draw-COND. 3 gazelle grain-PL-3.POSs gaç-yb-at-alga edin-en çagat-lyg-y-nyň escape-CVB-throw-place.for-DAT acquire-PST.PRT çagat-NMLZ-3.POSS-GEN (çagat diý-ip, giňiş oýtak-da tümmek-tümmek bol-up ýat-an çagat say-CVB spacious hollow-LOC mound-mound be-CVB lay-PST.PRT çöp-lük ýer-e aýd-yl-ýa:r) ot-u-ny-çóp-ü-ni iý-ip stick-NMLZ place-DAT tell-PASS-PRS-3SG grass-3.POSS-ACC-stick-3.POSS-ACC eat-CVB gutar-ýa:r-lar, çygyr-y-ny çykar-ýa:r-lar.
finish-PRS-3PL range-ACC remove-PRS-3PL
'If cold days continue that way, having found refuge and finished eating the çagat feed, the gazelles would leave their home territory (by çagat, we mean the spacious hollowed-out place where sticks are placed in piles).'

6 Howa birneme gow-ş-ap, gar-lar erä:-p, meýdan-da:ky weather a.little good-RECP-CVB, snow-PL melt-CVB, terrain-AFIL ot—çöp-üň üst-i ýuka-l-ma-sa, keýik-ler örä:n agyr grass-stick-GEN top-3.POSS thin-PASS-NEG-COND.3SG gazelle-PL very heavy
ýagdaý-a düş-yä:r.
condition-DAT descend-PRS. 3
'Unless the weather improves, the snow melts, and the layer on top of the grass thins out, the gazelles will fall on hard times.'

7 Dünýä:-si-niň düzüw wagt-y a:dam gör-se gaç-ýa:ndyr world-3.POSS-GEN properly time-3.POSS man see-COND.3SG flee-PRSM.IMP weli, ýaň-ky ýa:ly ýagdaý-da janawer-ler a:dam-dan dä:l-de though near-REL like state-LOC animal-PL man-ABL not-FOC göz-le-ýä:r-ler.
eye-VBLZ-PRS-3PL
'Though in normal situations they usually avoid people if they see them, in times like these, besides humans there are none that they seek.'

8 A:dam-lar-yň goýn-a ber-ýä:n ot-u-ndan hantama bol-up,

| man-PL-GEN sheep-DAT | give-PRS.PRT | grass-3.POSS-ABL expectation be-cVB |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| guýy-nyň baş-y-nda, | süri-niň | töwereg-i-nde, | ot |

dur-andyr-lar
stand-PRSM.PERF-3PL
'In expectation of getting the grass that people feed their sheep, they would stand around together near the well, around the sheep herd, and on the streets where the grass is transported.'

9
Ö:z-ler-em şeýle bir gözgyn-y bol-ýa:r-lar. self-PL-too such one pitiful-3.POSS be-PRS-3PL
'And they are so very pitiful.'

10 Uzak-uzak ýol-lar-y sök-üp, çöl-üň jümmüş-i-nden long-long road-PL-3.POSS rend-CVB desert-GEN depth-3.POSS-ABL gar-ly—buz-ly ýer-ler-den bat-yp-çom-up gel-en-soñ snow-ASSO-frost-ASSO place-PL-ABL sink-CVB-get.stuck-CVB come-PST.PRT-after aýak-lar-y dagam ham-y sypr-yl-yp, gyzyl-injik leg-PL-3.POSS disintegrating animal.skin-3.POSS peel-PASS-CVB red-shin bol-up dur-andyr.
be-CVB stand-PRSM.PERF. 3
'Winding over the long roads, after clawing their way out from the snowy and icy depths of the desert, they stand like flamingos, their legs falling apart and skin peeling
off.'

11

| At-ma:g-a-tut-ma:g-a dagy $\quad$ döz-er ýa:ly dä:l-dir. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shoot-INF-DAT-capture-INF-DAT else | withstand-INDF.FUT like not-AFRM | 'There are none that would shoot and capture them.'

12 T
12
Tuta-ýaň-da-da, on-dan agz-yň tagam tap-jak güman-y capture-PRS.2SG-LOC-FOC 3SG-ABL mouth-2.POSS taste find-FUT.PRT power ýo:k, gaty hor, çala jany bol-ýa:r. not.exist very skinny hardly alive be-PRS. 3
'Even if you catch them caught, they won't taste good, being very slim and barely alive.'

13 Şeýdip, eňki ag-an janawer-ler gaç-yr-an-ga:l-dyr-an and.so force-REL spill-PST.PRT animal-PL release-PST.PRT-remain-CAUS-PST.PRT ot-çöp-üň-i bol-up, aýag-yň-a çola-ş-yp grass-stick-2SG.POSS-ACC eat-FUT.PRT be-CVB leg-2SG.POSS-DAT wrap-COOP-CVB ýö:r-e:ndir-ler.
walk-PRSM.PERF-3PL
'So, the poor exhausted things in trying to eat the last remainders of grass and branches would entangle themselves about your legs.'

14 Dogry ýüz-üň-e sered-ip dur-andyr-lar.
right face-2SG.POSS-DAT look-CVB stand-PRSM.PERF-3PL
'They would look straight at your face.'

15 Beýle ýagdaý-da a:dam oña deg-me-ýä:r. such condition-LOC man 3SG.DAT touch-NEG-PRS. 3
'In such a state, people do not even touch them.'

16 Ana, şol-am Türkmen dil-i-ndä:ki «Dogry gel-en there that-also T language-3.POSS-AFIL straight come-PST.PRT keýig-iň iki göz-ü-nden başga aýby ýo:k» gazelle-GEN 2 eye-3.POSS-ABL another shame not.exist diý-il-ÿä:n-i bol-ýa:r.
say-PASS-PRS.PRT-3.POSS be-PRS. 3
'And there it is, the saying told in Turkmen, "The deer that came right up had no shame in its eyes." '

17 Bir gezek çöl-de aw ed-ip ýö:r-dü-m weli, bir keýik one time desert-Loc hunt do-CVB walk-PST-1SG though one gazelle


18 Şeýle ýagdaý-da aw-çy oňa deg-me-ýä:r. such situation-LOC hunt-ACT 3SG.DAT touch-NEG-PRS. 3 'In situations as these, hunters will not touch them.'

19 Sebä:bi ol janawer ö:z ykbal-y-ny sen-iň
because that animal self destiny-3.POSS-ACC 2SG-GEN
ynsab-yñ-a goý-ýa:r...
conscience-2SG.POSS-DAT put-PRS. 3
'Because the poor thing put its own fate in your conscience.'

20 Çöl haýwan-y bol-sun, dag ýyrtyjy-sy bol-sun, desert animal-3.poss be-OPTA mountain predator-3.POSS be-OPTA gyssag-a düŗ-en-de ynsan-yň belent hä:siýet-i-ne, urgency-DAT fall-PST.PRT-LOC human-GEN splendid nature-3.POSS-DAT ynsap-ly kalby-na, dözüm-siz ýüreg-i-ne bil conscience-ASSO spirit-DAT endurance-PRIV heart-3.POSS-DAT middle bagla-ýa:r...
join-PRS. 3
'Be it a desert creature or mountain predator, in an emergency, it can rely on man's splendid nature, conscientious spirit, and long-suffering heart.'

21 Şeýle bol-an-da aw-uň yşgyn-a düş-üp, kelle-si so be-PST.PRT-LOC hunt-GEN rhubarb-DAT descend-cVB head-3.Poss göç-en aw-ç-am kürtdür-ip dur-ýa:r--da:, migrate-PST.PRT hunt-ACT-too suddenly.stop-CVB stand-PRS.3-EMPH
akl-y-na aýlan-ýa:r...
mind-3.POSS-DAT spin-PRS. 3
'In such an event, having feverishly pursued the hunt, the hunter who has lost his mind just suddenly stops, the thought swirling about his head.

| 22 | Göni $\quad$ göz-ü-ne $\quad$ sered-ip | dur-an | haýwan-y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| straight | eye-3.POSS-DAT look-CVB | stand-PST.PRT | animal-ACC |
| öl-dür-mä:g-e ynsaby | çat-ano:k. |  |  |
| die-CAUS-INF-DAT | conscience-3.POSs | connect-NEG.PRS.PERF. 3 |  | 'He doesn't have the heart to shoot the deer that is looking right in his face.'

23 A:dam-lar ara-sy-nda--da, bir a:dam ed-en iş-i-niň man-PL interval-3.POSS-LOC-FOC one man do-PST.PRT work-3.POSS-GEN telek-dig-i-ni boýun al-yp, dogry ötünç so:ra-sa erroneous-SBJR-3.POSS-ACC neck take-CVB true forgiveness ask-COND. 3 ýa--da «Ö:z-üň nä:me et-se-ň ed-ä.ý, şu: şeýle or self-GEN what do-COND-2SG.POSs do-A:ý.IMP this such bol-dy» diý-se, mert Türkmen on-uň gün-ä:-si-ni be-PST. 3 say-COND. 3 brave T 3SG-GEN sin-3.POSS-ACC geç-ýä:ndir.

```
pass-PRSM.IMPF. }
```

But among men, even if one confesses to having committed such an act, and he truly seeks forgiveness or says, "Do whatever you may, but this is what happened," intrepid Turkmens should forgive his sins.'

24 Şeýle ýagdaý-da «Göni gel-en keýig-iň iki göz-ü-nden such case-LOC straight come-PST.PRT gazelle-GEN 2 eye-3.Poss-ABL başga aýby ýo:k» diý-en pähime eýer-ÿär-ler. another shame not.exist say-PST.PRT wisdom follow-PRS-3PL
'In such cases this wisdom is followed: In the eyes of the gazelle who came right up, there is no shame.'
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Words in closed classes may be CV, e.g. tä: 'up, until', şu 'this'.

[^1]:    2 with the exception that / $\mathrm{g} /$ does not spirantize after / $\mathrm{k} /$

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Thematic markers appear with the affirmative form and then the respective negated form after a forward slash.
    ${ }^{4}$ No corresponding affirmative form exists

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Contracted forms derive from the ROOT-INDF.FUT form, so, for example, the auxiliary du:r 'be standing' comes from dur-ar [doror] 'will stand' and oty:r 'be sitting' from otur-yr [otoror] 'will sit'. ${ }^{6}$ While I gloss these forms as PROG, speakers prefer the present indefinite thematic suffix for describing actions that are in progress at the time of speaking.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Notation for ( N 21 ): finite verb with thick underline, $\underline{\text { converb }}$ with double underline, verbal noun of complement clause with single underline; grammatical subjects in bold; and [embedded converb clause in brackets]

