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## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION

THE second edition of this work having sold out unexpectedly quickly, it became apparent that there was still a demand which would have to be met. The problem then arose as to how a third edition could be produced without jettisoning what seemed among the main advantages of its predecessor, namely its relative cheapness. In the meantime the cost of printing had gone up by leaps and bounds, and the sole practical course therefore appeared to be to dispense with the setting up of new pages so far as possible, and to leave most of the changes to be recorded in an extended Additions and Corrections. Considerable saving has been achieved by abandoning any attempt to bring up to date the bibliographical references in the footnotes to the Introduction, pp. 18-24c. An inevitable defect of the marginal notes which form so large a part of the work has been the impossibility, except at enormous expense, of replacing the original citations by others more correct or more easily accessible; for instance, I should have liked to use Anthes's edition of the Hat-nub texts with greater frequency. More serious has been my inability (in general) to reconsider my opinions in the light of E. Edel's great Altägyptische Grammatık, I, i955; of Lefebvre's second edition, Cairo, 1955; of Sander-Hansen's Studien zur Grammatik der Pyramidentexte, Copenhagen, 1956; of Thacker's Semitic and Egyptian Verbal Systems, Oxford, 1954 ; of Vergote's essay on a kindred subject published in Chronuque d'Égypte for January, 1956; and of Westendorf's Der Gebrauch des Passivs in der klassichen Literatur der Ägypter, Berlin, 1952. In fact, I admit having left my critics plenty of scope for their animadversions. In conclusion I must express my great indebtedness to several colleagues; above all, to Dr. T. G. Allen, not only for his able review in $J N E S \times 287-90$, but also for a long list of minor corrections. Among others to whom I owe valuable comments are A. de Buck, J. Černý, E. Edel, and H. James. To the Oxford University Press my debt is immense ; also to the Griffith Institute, which has again sponsored my task with its usual generosity.

## PREFACE <br> TO THE SECOND EDITION

THE revision that has here been undertaken is more extensive than will appear at first sight. This fact is disguised by the retention of the same pagination as in the first edition throughout the whole of the grammar proper ( $\mathrm{pp} .25-42 \mathrm{I}$ ). That retention was desirable for many reasons, not the least being the need for economy. It was clear from the start that photographic reproduction would have to be the basis of the new edition, and that the bulk of the alterations must consist of fresh words and sentences pasted in over the original text. This has involved much time-robbing compression and counting of letters, but the plan proved feasible, and it has been necessary to append only six additional pages (pp. 422-7) to contain longer passages and new paragraphs which could not be inserted in the way just mentioned. From p. 428 onwards the pagination of the first edition has had to be altered, and in the Sign-list and the Vocabularies which follow it the lay-out has needed considerable change in order to introduce fresh matter, though photography continued to be used as the technical procedure. Not a few new words have been added to the Egyptian-English Vocabulary in the hope that, in the absence of any trustworthy and at present obtainable hieroglyphic dictionary, that Vocabulary may prove of greater assistance to the beginner. I have, however, disregarded the Book of the Dead, as well as the medical and mathematical texts, partly because these belong to later stages of the student's reading, and partly because here provisionally adequate indexes already exist; also catalogue-like writings such as the Longer List of Offerings and the Ramesseum Onomasticon have been ignored; more names of places and deities have been introduced, I am afraid rather capriciously, but no names of private persons or royalties have been admitted. The expansion of the Egyptian-English Vocabulary has increased the bulk of the book and consequently the cost of production; in order to avoid further extravagance I have regretfully refrained from serious additions to the English-Egyptian Vocabulary, which thus remains what it was intended to be at the outset, a help towards the satisfactory accomplishment of the Exercises. The 'preliminaries' have required to be reprinted almost in their entirety. Having discovered that neither pupils nor teachers make use of my elaborate 'Contents' (pp. xi-xviii of the rst ed.) I have cut those pages to the bare minimum, substituting detailed subject-indexes at the end of the volume. And, needless to say, the List of Abbreviations has had to be completely reset.
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To turn now to the alterations in the grammatical section, it must be admitted that but few newly published texts have been laid under contribution. In this abstention there is, however, the compensating advantage that those new texts will serve as touchstones to test the validity and comprehensiveness of my grammatical rules. A certain number of new examples have been added, but not enough to render seriously incomplete the admirable index of passages utilized, contained in Mme Gauthier-Laurent's Supplement to Gardiner's Egyptian Grammar, Neuilly-sur-Seine, 1935; although the grammatical notes there prefixed to the index by myself have now become superfluous through incorporation in the present new edition, the index retains all its utility and will, I trust, continue to be widely used. No small part of the corrections in my text consists of better formulation or necessary qualification of statements there made, and it is here, though by no means solely here, that the acute criticisms of my old friend Battiscombe Gunn have proved specially valuable. There is a certain irony in the fact that a reform for which I am personally responsible has imposed upon me the arduous duty of modifying throughout the book the form in which examples taken from hieratic texts are quoted, see below, p. 422, § 63 A. As regards grammatical doctrine, although I have taken scrupulous pains to read and weigh all dissentient criticisms that have appeared since 1927, I have been unable to persuade myself of the necessity of abandoning any of my main positions, particularly in respect of the theory of the verb ; I have replied in a recent review (JEA. $33,95 \mathrm{ff}$.) to Polotsky's able assault on my account of the nature of the Imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ form. A bone of contention between Gunn and myself has long been the status and the formal aspects of the socalled Prospective Relative Form; an important new discovery by Clère seems to me to have greatly strengthened my own case, so much so that what in the first edition was described in that way now receives the appellation Perfective Relative Form, a name previously accorded to the relative form here given the title 'the śdmw.n.f Relative Form'--a change very satisfactorily marking the relationship of the latter form to the narrative $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form ; see on this subject below $\$ \S 380.387 .411$ and the addition to p. 303 on p. 426 . The only other terminological change in the book has been that from 'the $m$ of equivalence' to 'the $m$ of predication', an obviously more exact description, which may, moreover, become an absolute necessity if Cerny's conjecture recorded in $\S 38$, Obs. proves, on further investigation, to be justified by the evidence. On minor points of detail I owe much, not only to the reviews by Griffith and Allen already utilized by me in Mme Gauthier-Laurent's book, but also to a list of suggestions from Lefebvre, himself the author of an admirable Grammaire de l'Egyptien classique (Cairo,
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1940), and to further suggestions from Clère, Allen, and several others. In the Sign-list the greatest improvements have been due to that learned and judicious scholar L. Keimer, though in this respect he has been almost rivalled through the acute powers of observation of Nina M. Davies, my close associate in Egyptological enterprise over a long series of years. My cordial thanks are due to all the above-mentioned, but my greatest debt is to Gunn, who, as a teacher, has used my manual ever since its first appearance and without whose invaluable aid this second edition could hardly have been undertaken with success. Gunn has read and discussed every page with me. It would have spoken ill for the independence of mind of each of us if we had always found ourselves in agreement, but I have accepted a high percentage of his criticisms, and for the infinite pains he has taken in seeking to improve my book, no words can express the gratitude that I feel.

I return to my opening statement that this second edition has involved more extensive revision than may appear at first sight. In point of fact there is hardly a page that has not been plastered with pasted-on corrections the safety of which has been the source of constant anxiety alike to the printers and to myself. As the result of this and of the vastly increased cost of production, the expenditure on the book in its republished form will not be far short of that on the original edition. It is with equal relief and gratitude, therefore, that I acknowledge the signal generosity of the Committee of Management of the Griffith Institute in consenting to finance the work as one of the Institute's own publications. It is in my eyes of the highest importance that they have also consented to sell the book at a price which, though necessarily higher than that of the first edition, will not place it beyond the reach of any but the poorest students. The tale of my indebtedness would be incomplete without reference to the enthusiastic and unflagging assistance rendered by my friends at the Oxford University Press, as well as by my personal secretary Miss N. M. Myers, who very rapidly acquired the necessary skill in preparing for the printers the preliminary pasted-up models required by them.

In conclusion, I would beg students and teachers alike to read once again the first page of my Preface to the First Edition. It contains my answer to certain critics who have complained of the formlessness of my work. Since the whole exposition centres round a series of thirty-three progressive Exercises it could hardly have assumed a very different shape, and I reiterate with all possible emphasis my conviction that no student will ever obtain a mastery of Egyptian or of any other foreign language unless he has schooled himself to translate into it with a high degree of accuracy.

June, 1949.

## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

WHEN the plan of the present work was first conceived, little more was intended than to provide English-speaking students with a simple introduction to the Egyptian hieroglyphs, and it was with this object in view that the first few lessons were drafted. It soon became apparent, however, that the book was destined to obtain a wider scope, both as the result of my own predilections and also through the necessities of the case. And so what has remained in form a book for beginners has become in substance an elaborate treatise on Egyptian syntax. I have tried to mitigate this discrepancy by a fullness of statement that would have been unnecessary for advanced scholars, and have not shrunk from repetition whenever repetition appeared to serve a useful purpose. Much thought has been devoted to the order in which the different topics are presented. I had long held that the learner ought to become thoroughly familiar with the forms of the non-verbal sentence, and also with the little words of the language (prepositions, particles, \&c.), before tackling the complicated and difficult problems connected with the verb. At the same time I have always believed that reading of actual hieroglyphic texts, as well as translation from English into Egyptian, should begin at the earliest possible moment, and for those purposes some elementary knowledge of the verb is indispensable. It has been attempted to reconcile these conflicting principles by making shift with the $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ and $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms throughout the first twenty Exercises. To the Exercises I attach the greatest possible importance. Without them the beginner might well be bewildered by the mass of information imparted. Since, however, the sentences given for translation have been so chosen as to illustrate the more vital syntactic rules, the pupil who will take trouble with this side of his task ought to find himself rewarded by a firm grasp of the most essential facts. Like everything else in the book, the Sign-list at the end has assumed proportions which were not originally intended. The Egyptian-English Vocabulary in no sense constitutes a dictionary of Middle Egyptian, but will, it is hoped, enable students to translate easy pieces like many of those given in Professor Sethe's handy reading-book.

After these preliminary explanations I turn to the real business of this Preface, namely the statement of my manifold obligations to others. Were I to expatiate on my indebtedness to published works I should have a still longer tale to tell. The marginal notes relieve me of this necessity. Nevertheless, special mention must be made of Professor Adolf Erman's
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Aegyptische Grammatik, for many years past the indispensable guide of every aspirant to a knowledge of hieroglyphics, as well as of Professor Kurt Sethe's fundamental and epoch-making treatise on the Egyptian verb. Although I have borrowed from these classics as much as seemed relevant to my purpose, their utility is very far from having been exhausted. In particular, Professor Sethe's work should be consulted on all questions connected with phonetic changes and the relation of Old and Late Egyptian to Coptic, aspects of the subject left almost entirely untouched in the present volume. But also on matters where our books overlap, I would earnestly recommend constant reference to these two earlier treatises by scholars whom I am proud to acknowledge as my teachers, and to whose personal influence and friendship my debt is enormous.

To Professor Kurt Sethe I am also directly indebted for many acute suggestions and criticisms on the first half of the book, which I was permitted to read through with him in manuscript during two visits to Göttingen in r921 and 1922 respectively. At an earlier stage I had ample opportunities of discussing Egyptian syntax in all its aspects with Mr. Battiscombe Gunn, and his contributions to my book are very considerable. Some of Gunn's remarkable discoveries have been published in his Studies in Egyptian Syntax (Paris, 1924), but there are other important observations due to him which have not hitherto found their way into print. Points on which I am definitely conscious of having received new ideas from Gunn are as follows: the unequal range of meaning displayed by $i z w$ when its subject is nominal or pronominal ( $\$ \S 29.117$ ); the signification of $\boldsymbol{i n}$ ( $(\$ 40,3 ; 228$ ); the inversions quoted in § 130 ; the distinction between ir $m-h t$ and $h r m \cdot h t$ (p. 133, bottom); the function of $i n k p w$ to introduce narratives or answer questions ( $\$ 190, \mathrm{I}$ ); the rule as to the position of a nominal subject after the negatival complement (§ 343) ; lastly, the preference given to $i z w$ sd $d m \cdot t w$ over $i w \cdot t z v$ śdm.tw (§ 463). Some of these points are of great interest, and I can only regret that their discoverer is not the first to announce them. As it is, I am grateful that the privilege has been accorded to me. Furthermore, Gunn read not once only, but many times over, my manuscript of the first six Lessons, and here I often had occasion to avail myself of his advice.

Three visits to Berlin enabled me to supplement my own extensive collections with references from the Berlin dictionary; the Sign-list and the sections on the prepositions and particles are those parts of the book that have derived the most benefit from this source. Latterly, Professor Grapow and Dr. Erichsen have been most kind in answering from the Berlin Zettelkasten inquiries put to them by letter. Dr. Blackman has favoured me with notes on the expression prt-hrzw (p. 172). Professor Griffith has provided the hieroglyphic transcript of the sample of demotic in Plate II.
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Mr. P. W. Pycraft of the Natural History Museum has given valuable help as regards the signs representing birds, beasts, and fishes. Professor Breasted has permitted me to quote from the still unpublished Edwin Smith papyrus. My assistant, Mr. R. O. Faulkner, has been of much service in connection with the Sign-list, Vocabularies, and preliminary matter. I also owe a few valuable hints to Dr. A. de Buck.

The printing of the Grammar has brought in its train a whole host of further obligations, particularly in connection with the new hieroglyphic fount here employed for the first time. I should be the last to minimize the magnificent services rendered to Egyptology for more than fifty years by the Theinhardt fount. Nevertheless that fount, for which Richard Lepsius was mainly responsible, labours under two serious disadvantages. In the first place, the three-line nonpareil size is too large for convenient combination with ordinary romans, and in the second place, many of the forms, being derived from originals of the Saite period, are not palaeographically suitable for the printing of Middle Egyptian. These two considerations prompted me to undertake the production of a new fount based on Eighteenth Dynasty forms. After much unsuccessful experimenting, I was fortunate enough to obtain the co-operation of Mr. and Mrs. de Garis Davies, whose many years of work in the Theban necropolis have given them an unequalled familiarity with the Tuthmoside hieroglyphs. The admirable drawings which they provided would, however, have availed me little but for the skill of the technical craftsmen into whose hands they fell. The firm of Messrs. R. P. Bannerman and Son, Ltd., to whom the making of the matrices was entrusted on the advice of the late Mr. Frederick Hall, Controller of the Oxford University Press, has executed them in a manner for which I can barely find adequate words of praise. The unflagging enthusiasm and exceptional ability of the actual cutter of the matrices, Mr. W. J. Bilton, ensured the success of an enterprise which in less capable hands might easily have proved a failure.

The printed book itself is the best testimony to the extraordinary care that has been devoted to it at the Oxford University Press. No trouble could be too great for the late Mr. Frederick Hall, whose personal interest in the book I shall always remember with gratitude. It was thanks to the present Printer, whose connection with Egypt is of long standing, that I entrusted the work to Mr. Hall in the first instance; he too has shown an untiring interest in the task from start to finish, and has met my exacting demands in every conceivable way. I regret that I am unable to name personally all those members of the Oxford staff whose admirable efforts have contributed to the final result. The author's proofreading has been an arduous affair, but I have been admirably seconded in it by Mr. G. E. Hay.
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His vigilance has eliminated many an error, just as his experience of hieroglyphic printing proved an invaluable help at the time when the new fount was being designed. Professor Peet has likewise read a proof and furnished me with many useful comments.

In conclusion, I cannot leave unacknowledged a debt of a less direct kind, but one which is surely the greatest. It is to my Father that I owe all my leisure and opportunities for research. It was he who encouraged me and made my way easy, when as a boy I first began to take an interest in Egyptology. And it is he who now, more than thirty years later, has defrayed the cost of my new hieroglyphic fount. To him, therefore, as to all those who have aided me in a long and exacting piece of work, I tender my heartfelt thanks.

November, 1926.
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Brit. Mus. Stelae, statues, \&c., quoted by their old registration nos., not by the new exhibition nos. Mostly published in Hieroglyphic Texts from Egyptian Stelae, ©́c., in the British Museum, 8 parts. London, 1911-39. A good photograph of the often quoted Brit. Mus. 614 in A. M. Blackman, The Stele of Thethi, in Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, x7, 55.

Br. Thes. H. Brugsch, Thesaurus Inscriptionum Aegyptiacarum, 6 vols. Leipzig, 1883-9r.
de Buck A. de Buck, The Egyptian Coffin Texts, 3 vols. Chicago (Oriental Institute Publications), 1935-47.
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Budge
E. A. Wallis Budge, The Book of the Dead: the chapters of coming forth by day, 3 vols. London, 1898 . The black-bound edition, quoted by author's name only with number of page and line in page.
Burchardt M. Burchardt, Die altkanaanäischen Fremdzorte und Eigennamen im Ägyptischen. Leipzig, 1909-10.
Buhen D. Randall-MacIver and C. Leonard Woolley, Buhen, 2 vols., in University of Pennsylvania, Eckley B. Coxe Junior Expedition to Nubia. Philadelphia, 1911.
Bull. Bulletin de l'Institut Franfais d'Archéologie Orientale, 47 vols. Cairo, 1901-48.
c. common gender.

Cairo Inscriptions in the Cairo Museum published in the Catalogue général des antiquites egyptiennes $d u$ musée du Caire. Numbers between 1 and 653 are to be sought in L. Borchardt, Statuen und Statuetten von Königen und Privatleuten, 2 vols., Berlin, 191i-25; between 2000 I and 20780 in H. O. Lange and H. Schäfer, Grab- und Denksteine des Mittleren Reichs, 4 vols., Cairo, 1902-25; between 28001 and 28086 in P. Lacau, Sarcophages antérieurs au nouvel empire, 2 vols., Cairo, 1904-6; between 34001 and 34186 in P. LACAU, Stèles du nouvel empire, 2 parts, Cairo, 1909-26.
Capart, Rue J. Capart, Une rue de tombeaux d Saqqarah. Brussels, 1907.
Cart.-Newb. Th. IV. Howard Carter and P. E. Newberry, The Tomb of Thoutmósis IV (Mr. Theodore M. Davis' Excavations). London, 1904.
Cat. d. Mon. I. J. de Morgan, U. Bouriant, and others, Catalogue des Monuments et Inscriptions de l' Égypte Antique. Tome Premier, De la Frontière de Nubie à Kom Ombos. Vienna, 1894.
Caulfeild A. St. G. Caulfeild, The Temple of the Kings at Abydos. London, 1902.
caus. causative.
Cem. of Abyd. Cemeteries of Abydos, vol. i by E. Naville, vols. ii, iii by T. E. Peet. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1913-14.
Cen. H. Frankfort, The Cenotaph of Seti I at Abydos, 2 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Society), 1933.
cf. $\quad$ confer $=$ compare.
Champ. ND. Champollon le Jeune, Monuments de l'Égypte et de la Nubie. Notices Descriptives, 2 vols. Paris, 1844-79.
Chass. Ass. E. Chassinat and Ch. Palanque, Une Campagne de Fouilles dans la Nécropole d'Assiout, in Memoires . . . de IInstitut Franfais d"Archeologie Orientale du Caire. Cairo, rgI r.
cl., cls. clause, clauses.

Cl-Vand. J. J. Clere and J. Vandier, Textes de la première période intermédiaire et de la Xlme Dynastie, ist fascicle. Brussels, 1948.
Coffins Middle Kingdom coffins, quoted from unpublished copies. See too below under de Buck.
conj. conjunction.
D.el B. E. Naville, The Temple of Deir el Bahari, 6 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), [1895]1908. Quoted by plate-numbers only, these running consecutively through the volumes.
D.el B. $(X I)$. E. Naville, The XIth Dynasty Temple at Deir el-Bahari, 3 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1907-13.
Dar. Ostr. G. Daressy, Ostraca, 2 vols., in Catalogue Général des Antiquités Égyptiennes du Musée du Caire. Cairo, 1gor.
Dav. Ken. N. de G. Davies, The Tomb of Ken-Amūn at Thebes, 2 vols. New York (Metropolitan Museum of Art), $193{ }^{\circ}$.
Dav. Ptah. N. de G. Davies, The Mastaba of Ptahhetep and Akhethetep at Saqqareh, Parts i, ii, in Archaeological Survey of Egypt. Londion (Egypt Exploration Fund), r900-1.
Dav. Rekh. N. de G. Davies, The Tomb of Rekh-mi-rer at Thebes, 2 vols. New York (Metropolitan Museum of Art), 1943.
Dend. W. M. F. Petrie, Dendereh. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1900.
Denkm. See under Leyd.
dep. pron. dependent pronoun.
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Destr. E. Naville, La Destruction des Hommes par les Dieux, in Transactions of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, iv. (1876), 1-19; viii. (1885), 412-20. New edition by Ch. Maystre, Bull. 4ㅇ, 53-115.
det. determinative(s).
Dév. Graph. E. Dévaud, L'Âge des Papyrus Égyptiens Hiératiques d'après les Graphies de Certains Mots. Paris, 1924.
do. ditto.
Dum. H.I. J. Dümichen, Historische Inschriften altägyptischer Denkmäler, 2 vols. Leipzig, 1867-9.
Dunh. Dows Dunham, Naga-ed-Dér Stelae of the First Intermediate Period. Published for the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, U.S.A. London, 1937.
Eb. G. Ebers, Papyros Ebers, das hermetische Buch uber die Arzeneimittel der alten Ägypter, 2 vols. Leipzig, 1875. Conveniently transcribed in W. Wreszinskı, Der Papyrus Ebers. Leipzig, r913.
Eleph. Stela of Amenophis II from Elephantine, published by Ch. Kuentz, Deux steles d'A ménophis II, in Bibliothèque d'ctude de l'Institut Fraņais d'Archeologic Orientale. Cairo, 1925.
encl. part. enclitic particle.
Erm. Gramm." A. Erman, Agyptische Grammatik, 4th edition, in the series Porta linguarum orientalium. Berlin, 1928.
Erm. Hymn. A. Erman, Hymnen an das Diadem der Pharaonen. Extracted from Abhandlungen der königl. Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften. Berlin, 1911.
Erm. Neuäg. Gramm.' A. Erman, Neuägyptische Grammatik, and edition. Leipzig, 1933.
Erm. Spr.d. Westc. A. Erman, Die Sprache des Papyrus Westcar. Göttingen, 1889.
ex., exx. example, examples.
Exerc. Exercise.
f. feminine.

Five Th. T. N. de G. Davies, Five Theban Tombs, in Archaeological Survey of Egypt. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1913.
Florence The numbers are those given in E. Schiaparelli, Museo Archeologico di Fïrenze. Antichità Egixic. Rome, 1887.
foll. by followed by.
Fraser, Scar. G. Fraser, A Catalogue of the Scarabs belonging to G. Fraser. London, 1900.
Gaillard C. Gaillard, Les Poissons Représentes dans Quelques Tombeaux Égyptiens de l'Ancien Empire, in Mémoires . . . de l'Institut Franfais d'Archeologie Orientale du Caire. Cairo, 1923.
Gard. Sin. A. H. Gardiner, Notes on the Story of Sinuhe. Paris, rgr6.
Gebr. N. de G. Davies, The Rock Tombs of Deir el Gebrấvi, Parts i, ii, in Archaeological Survey of Egypt. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1902.
Gemn. F. W. von Bissing, Die Mastaba des Gem-ni-kai, 2 vols. Leipzig, 1905, 191 r .
Gíza H. Junker, Gîza $I, \& c$., 8 vols. Vienna (Akademie der Wissenschaften), 1929-47.
Gol. Naufrage W. Golénischeff, Le Conte du Naufragé, in Bibliothèque d'Étude de l'Institut Fraņ̧ais d'Archeologic Orientale. Cairo, 1912. See too under Sh. S.
Griff. Stud. Studies presented to F. Ll. Griffith. London (Egypt Exploration Society), 1932.
Gunn, Stud. B. Gunn, Studies in Egyptian Syntax. Paris, 1924.
Gunn, Teti C. M. Firth and B. Gunn, Teti Pyramid Cemeteries, 2 vols.; vol. i, Text. Cairo, 1926.
Hamm. J. Couyat and P. Montet, Les Inscriptions Hiéroglyphiques et Hiératiques du Ouâdi Hammâmât, in Memoires . . . de l'Institut Francais d'Archtologie Orientale du Caire, 2 vols. Cairo, 1912-1 3
Haremhab Stela published in W. Max Müller, Egyptological Researches, i. 90-104, in Publications of the Carnegic Institution. Washington, 1906.
Harh. Tomb and sarcophagus of Harhotpe published in G. Maspero, Trois Années de Fouilles, pp. 13380, in Memoires . . . de la Mission Archeologique Franfaise au Caire. Paris, 1885.
Harris Facsimile of an Egyptian hieratic Papyrus of the Reign of Rameses 1II, nowv in the British Museum. London, 1876.
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Hat-Nub Hieratic inscriptions from the quarry of Hat-Nub, transcribed in El Bersheh (see above, Bersh.), ii. pls. 22-3. Quoted mainly from here, but for improved editions see above under Anthes.

Hayes W. C. Hayes, Ostraka and Name Stones from the Tomb of Sen-Müt (No. 7I) at Thebes. New York (Metropolitan Museum of Art), 1942.
Hearst G. A. Reisner, The Hearst medical Papyrus, in University of California publitations, Egyptian Archaeology, vol. i. Leipzig, 1905. Conveniently transcribed in W. Wreszinski, Der Londoner medizinische Papyrus und der Papyrus Hearst. Leipzig, 19 x 2.
Herdsm. The fragmentary story of the Herdsman, published in A. H. Gardiner, Die Erzählung des Sinuhe und die Hirtengeschichte, in A. Erman, Literarische Texte des mittleren Reiches (Hieratische Papyrus aus den königlichen Museen zu Berlin, Bd. v.). Leipzig, r909.
Hier. F. Ll. Griffith, A Collection of Hieroglyphs, in Archaeological Survey of Egypt. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1898 .
ib. . $\quad$ ibidem $=$ in the same place or in the same book.
ideo. ideogram.
Ikhern. Stela of Ikhernofret, published by H. Schäfer, Die Mysterien des Osiris in Abydos, in K. Sethe, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Ägyptens, vol. iv, part 2. Leipzig, 1904.
imper. imperative.
imperf. imperfective.
indep. pron. independent pronoun.
infin. infinitive.
Inscr. dedic. H. Gauthier, La Grande Inscription Dédicatoire d'Abydos, in Bibliotheque d'Étude de l'Institut Francais d'Archeologie Orientale. Cairo, 1912.
interrog. interrogative
Iouiya E. Naville, The Funeral Papyrus of louiya, in Theodore M. Davis' Excavations. London, 1908. JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society, 68 vols., Boston, \&c., 1849-1948.
JEA Journal of Egyptian Archacology, 12 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Society), 1914-26.
Jéq. G. Jéquier Les Frises d'Objets des Sarcophages du Moyen Empire, in Mémoires . . . de l'Institut Franfais d'Archéologic Orientale du Caire. Cairo, 192 I.
JNES Journal of Near Eastern Studies, 7 vols., Chicago, 1942-8.
Junker, P.L. H. Junker, Die Politische Lehre von Memphis, in Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1941.
Kopt. W. M. F. Petrie, Koptos. London (Egyptian Research Account), 1896.
Kuban P. Tresson, La Stêle de Koubân, in Bibliothìque d'étude de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale. Cairo, 1922.
L. D. $\quad$ R. Lepsius, Denkmäler aus Ägypten und Äthiopien, 6 vols. Berlin, 1849-58.
L.E. Late Egyptian.
L. to D. A. H. Gardiner and K. Sethe, Egyptian Letters to the Dead. London (Egypt Exploration Society), 1928.
Lac. Sarc. P. Lacau, Sarcophages Antérieurs au Nouvel Empire, 2 vols. in Catalogue Général des Antiquités Égyptiennes du Musée du Caire. Cairo, 1904-6.
Lac. TR. P. Lacau, Textes Religieux Égyptiens, Première Partie. Paris, 1910 . Quoted by chapter and line. Chs. $85-7$ will be found in Recueil de Travaux (see below, Rer.), vols. 32-4.
Leb. A. Erman, Gespräch eines Lebensmüden mit seiner Seele, extracted from Abhandlungen der königl. Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften. Berlin, 1896.
Ledr. E. Ledrain, Les Monuments Égyptiens de la Bibliothèque Nationale. Paris, 1879-81.
Lef. Gr. G. Lefebvre, Grammaire de l'Égyptien Classique. Cairo, 1940.
Lef. Sethos. E. Lefébure, Les Hypogées Royaux de Thèbes, in Annales du Musée Guimet. Première division, Le Tombeau de Séti Ier. Paris, 1886.
Leyd. Objects in Leyden, published in P. A. Boeser, Beschreibung der agyptischen Sammlung . . . in Leiden, 12 vols., The Hague, 1908-25. The vols. here used (qu. as Denkm. i, ii. iv) are: vol. i, Die Denkmäler des alten Reiches; vol. ii, Die Denkmäler der Zeit zwischen dem alten
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und mittleren Reich und des mittleren Reiches: erste Abteilung, Stelen [the stelae are here, however, mostly quoted as Leyd. $V_{3}$, \&c., the old museum designations]; vol. iv, Die Denkmäler des neuen Reiches: erste Abteilung, Gräber.
Lisht J.-E. Gautier and G. Jequier, Mémoire sur les Fouilles de Licht, in Mémoires . . . de l'Institut Français d'Archeologie Orientale. Cairo, 1902.
lit. literally.
Louvre Stelae quoted by registration nos., e.g. Louvre C in. Chief publications: P. Pierret, Recueil d'Inscriptions Inédites du Musée Egyptien du Louvre, 2 parts. Paris, 1874-8; A. Gayet, Musle du Louvre: Steles de la XIIe dynastie, Paris, 1889, in Bibliothèque do l'École des Hautes Etudes.
Lutz H. F. Lutz, Egyptian Tomb Steles and Offering Stones of the Museum of Anthropology and Ethnology of the University of California. Leipzig, 1927.
Lyons Stelae quoted from Notice sur les Antiquités Égyptiennes du Musée de Lyon, in Th. Devíria, Mémoires et Fragments (Bibliothique Égyptologique), i. 55-112. Paris, 1896.
m . masculine.
M.E. Middle Egyptian.
M.K. Middle Kingdom.
M.u.K. A. Erman, Zaubersprüche für Mutter und Kind, extracted from Abhandlungen der königl. Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften. Berlin, 1901.
Mar. Abyd. A. Mariette, Abydos, 2 vols. Paris, 1869-80.
Mar. Karn. A. Mariette, Karnak. Leipzig, 1875.
Mar. Mast. A. Mariette, Les Mastabas de l'Ancien Empire. Paris, $\mathbf{1 8 8 9}$.
Medum W. M. Flinders Petrie, Medum. London, 1892.
Meir A. M. Blackman, The Rock Tombs of Meir, 4 vols., in Archaeological Survey of Egypt. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1914-24.
Mel.Masp. Melanges Maspero, I. Orient Ancien. Cairo, 1935-8.
Menthuw. C. L. Ransom, The Stela of Menthu-weser, publication of the Metropolitan Museum of Art. New York, $19{ }^{2} 3$.
Mett. W. Golénischerf, Die Metternichstele. Leipzig, 1877.
Mill. A convenient transcription of the Millingen papyrus in $A Z .34,3^{8-49}$. See also G. Maspero, Les Enseignements d'Amenemhâit Ier $^{e r}$ à son Fils Sanouasrit Ier, in Biblioth̀que d'Etude de l'Institut Francais d"Archeologic Orientale. Cairo, 1914.
Misc. Greg. Miscellanea Gregoriana: Raccolta di scritti pubblicati nel I centenario della fondazione del Museo Egizio. Rome, Vatican, r94r.
Mitt. viii. ix. Mittheilungen aus den orientalischen Sammlungen. G. Steindorff, Grabfunde des mittleren Reiches in den königlichen Museen zu Berlin. Heft VIII, Das Grab des Mentuhotep. Heft IX, Der Sarg des Sebk-o - Ein Grabfund aus Gebelén. Berlin, 1896, 1901.
Mitt. Kairo Mitteilungen des deutschen Instituts für agyptische Altertumskunde in Kairo, 13 vols. Cairo, 1930-44.
Möll. HL. G. Möller, Hieratische Lesestücke, 3 vols. Leipzig, 1909-10.
Möll. Pal. G. Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, 3 vols. Leipzig, 1909-12.
Möll. Rhind G. Möller, Die beiden Totenpapyrus Rhind des Museums zu Edinburg, 2 vols. Leipzig, 1913. Montet P. Montet, Les Scènes de la Vie Privée dans les Tombeaux Egyptiens de l'Ancien Empire, in Publications de la Faculte des Lettres de l'Universitt de Strasbourg. Strassburg, 1925.
Munich Stelae published in W. Spiegelberg, Ägyptische Grabsteine und Denksteine aus süd-deutschen Sammlungen: II, Mïnchen, von K. Dyroff and B. Pörtner. Strassburg, 1904. Quoted by the numbers of the stelae indicated in the plates.
Mus. ég. E. Grébaut (later G. Maspero and P. Lacau), Le Muséé Égyptien, 3 vols. Cairo, 1890-1924.

## n.

n., nn. note, notes.

Nauri F. Ll. Griffith, The Abydos Decree of Seti I, in Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, 13, 193-208.
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Nav. É. Naville, Das agyptische Todtenbuch der XVIII. bis XX. Dynastie, 3 vols. Berlin, $\mathbf{1} 886$. Quoted by chapter and line.
Nebesh. W. M. F. Petrie, Nebesheh (Am) and Defenneh (Tahpanhes). Bound up with W. M. F. Petrie, Tanis II. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1888.
Nominals. K. Sethe, Der Nominalsatz im Agyptischen und Koptischen, extracted from Abhandlungen der philologisch-historischen Klasse der königl. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, xxxiii. 3. Leipzig, 1916.
non-encl. part. non-enclitic particle.
Northampt. Marquis of Northampton, W., Spiegeleerg, and P. E. Newberry, Report on some Excavations in the Theban Necropolis. London, 1908.
$N u \quad$ The papyrus of $N u$, containing an XVIIIth Dyn. version of the Book of the Dead. Published in E. A. W. Budge, The Book of the Dead. Facsimiles of the Papyri of Huinefer, Ānhai, Keräsher and Netchemet, with supplementary text from the papyrus of Nu. London, $\mathbf{1 8 9 9}$.
obj. Object.
Obs. Observation.
O.E. Old Egyptian.
O.K. Old Kingdom.

OLZ. Orientalistische Litteratur-Zeitung, 29 vols. Berlin, then Leipzig, 1898-1926.
p., pp. page, pages.
$P$. papyrus, papyri.
P. Boul. xviii. Papyrus de Boulag, xviii, published in facsimile by A. Mariette, Les Papyrus Egypttiens du Musee de Boulaq, Cairo, r87x-2, vol. ii, Pls. 14-55. Quoted by the section numbers given in the transcription by A. Scharff published in Zeitschrift fur agyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde, vol. $57, \mathrm{I}^{* *}-24^{* *}$.
P. Kah. F. Ll. Griffith, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob, 2 vols. London, $\mathbf{x} 898$.
P. Leyd. F. Chabas (C. Leemans), Aegyptische Hièratische Papyrussen I 343-7r van het Nederlandsche Museum van Oudheden te Leiden. Leyden, 1853-62.
P. Louvre 3226. Papyrus of accounts published by H. Brugsch, Thesaurus Inscriptionum Aegyptiacarum, Part 5 (Leipzig, 189r), ro79-1ro6.
P. math. Mosc. W. W. Struve, Mathematischer Papyrus des Staatlichen Museums der schönen Künste in Moskau. Berlin, 1930.
P. med. Berl. W. Wreszinski, Der grosse medisinische Papyrus des Berliner Museums. Leipzig, 1909.
P. med. Lond. W. Wreszinski, Der Londoner medizinische Papyrus und der Papyrus Hearst. Leipzig, 1912.
P. Mook W. Spiegelberg, Ein Gerichtsprotokoll aus der Zeit Thutmosis IV, in Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache, 63, 105-15.
P. Pet. [W. Golénischeff], Les Papyrus Hiératiques Nos. 1II5, 1II6 a et init B de l'Ermitage Impérial à St.-Pétersbourg. [St. Petersburg], 1913 .
P. Ram. Papyri from a tomb below the Ramesseum, mostly unpublished. See, however, under Semnah Disp.
P. Turin F. Rossi and W. Pleyte, Papyrus de Turin, 2 vols. Leyden, r869-76.

Paheri J. J. Tylor and F. Ll. Griffith, The Tomb of Paheri at El Kab, bound up with E. Naville, Ahnas el Medineh. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1894.
part. participle. Or sometimes particle, especially in encl. part., non-encl. part.
Peas. The story of the Eloquent Peasant, published by F. Vogelsang and A. H. Gardiner, Die Klagen des Bauern, in A. Erman, Literarische Texte des mittleren Reiches (Hieratische Papyrus aus den königlichen Museen zu Berlin, Bd. iv). Berlin, r908. The individual papyri are quoted as R (Ramesseum), Bt (Butler), B I (Berlin 3023), and B 2 (Berlin 3025 ). See too below, Voc. Bauer.
perf. perfect or perfective.
pers. person.
Petr. Abyd. W. M. F. Prtrie, Abydos, 3 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1902-4.
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Petr. Court. W. M. F. Petrie, Tombs of the Courtiers and Oxyrhynkhos. London, 1925.
Petr. Eg. Hier. H. Petrie, Egyptian Hieroglyphs of the First and Second Dynasties. London, 1927.
Petr. Qurn. W. M. F. Petrie, Qurneh. London (School of Archaeology in Egypt), 1909.
Petr. RT. W. M. F. Petrie, The Royal Tombs of the Earliest Dynasties, 2 vols. London (Egypt Exploration Fund) r900-r.
phon. phonetic.
phon. det. phonetic determinative.
Piehl, IH. K. Piehl, Inscriptions Hiéroglyphiques Recueillies en Europe et en Égypte, 3 vols. StockholmLeipzig, 1886-95.
Pierret P. Pierret, Recueil d'Inscriptions Inédites du Musée Égyptien du Louvre, 2 vols, in Études Égyptologiques, livraisons 2 and 8. Paris, 1874-8.
pl., plur. plural.
Pol. J. Polotsky, Zu den Inschriften der II. Dynastie, in K. Sethe, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte urd Altertumskunde Agyptens, vol. xi. Leipzig, 1929.
Pol. Ét. H. J. Polotsky, Études de syntaxe Copte. Cairo (Société d'archéologie Copte), 1944 .
Pr. G. Jéquier, Le Papyrus Prisse et ses variantes. Paris, igrr. This abbreviation is used almost only for the maxims addressed to Kagemni, Pap. Prisse, pp. 1-2, see too Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, 32, 71-4. For the maxims of Ptahhotpe, see below, Pt.
pred. predicate, predicatival.
prep. preposition.
pron. pronoun.
PSBA. Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology, 40 vols. London, 1879-1918.
Pt. E. Dévaud, Les Maximes de Ptahhotep, texte. Fribourg (Suisse), 19r6. Quoted by the numbers in the right-hand margin of Dévaud's transcription.
Ptah. (E.R.A.) R. F. E. Paget and A. A. Pirie, The Tomb of Ptah-hetep, second part of the volume entitled J. E. Quibell, The Ramesseum. London (Egyptian Research Account), 1898.
Puy. N. De G. Davies, The Tomb of Puyemré at Thebes, in Publications of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, Egyptian Expedition: Robb de Peyster Tytus Memorial Series, 2 vols. New York, 1922-3.
Pyr. The religious texts found in the tombs of five kings of Dyn. V-VI at Sakkârah. See below, p. 18.

Pyr. K. Sethe, Die altägyptischen Pyramidentexte, 4 vols. Leipzig, 1908-22. Also posthumously, Ubbersetzung und Kommentar zu den altàgyptischen Pyramidentexten. Glückstadt-Hamburg, no date.
qu. quoted (in full).
Quib. Saqq. J. E. Quibell, Excavations at Saqqara, 6 vols. Cairo, 1907-23.
R. IH. E. de Rougé, Inscriptions Hiéroglyphiques Copiées en Égypte, 3 vols., in Études Égyptologiques, livraisons 9-11. Paris, 1877-8. The plates run consecutively, so that no volume number is quoted.
Rec. Recueil de Travaux Relatifs à la Philologie et à l'Archéologie Égyptiennes et Assyriennes, 40 vols. Paris, 1870-1923.
Rekh. P. E. Newberry, The Life of Rekhmara. London, 19oo. See too Dav. Rekh. above.
rel. relative.
Rennt J. J. Tylor, The Tomb of Renni, in Wall Drawings and Monuments of El Kab. London, rgoo.
Rev. d'Ég, Revue d'Égyptologie, 5 vols. Paris (Société française d'Égyptologie), 1933-46.
Rev. eg. Revue égyptologique, rst series, 14 vols., 2nd series, 3 vols. Paris, 1880-1924.
Rhind T. E. Peet, The Rhind Mathematical Papyrus. London, 1923.
Rifeh Tombs of Rifah, quoted by tomb-number and line, as published in F. Ll. Griffith, The Inscriptions of Siat and Dêr Rifeh. London, 1889.
Sah. L. Borchardt, Das Grabdenkmal des Königs Sashuu-rer (in Ausgrabungen der deutschen Orient. gesellschaft), vol. 2 (in two parts, text and plates). Leipzig, 1913.
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Saqq. Mast. i. M. A. Murray, Saqqara Mastabas, Part 1. London (Egyptian Research Account), 1905. Säve-Söderbergh, Äg. Denkm. 'T. Säve-Söderbergh, Einige agyptische Denkmäler in Schweden. Uppsala, 1945.

Scharff A. Scharff, Archäologische Beiträge zur Frage der Entstehung der Hieroglyphenschrift, in Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Munich, 1942.
Seas. W. M. F. Petrie, A Season in Egypt, 1887. London, 1888.
Sebekkhu T. E. Peet, The Stela of Sebek-khu, in The Manchester Musetm Handbooks. Manchester, 1914.
Sebekn. J. J. Tylor, The Tomb of Sebeknekht, in Wall Drawings and Monuments of El Kab. London, 1896.

Semnah Disp. P. C. Smither, The Semnah Dispatches, in Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, 31, 3-10. See too under P. Ram.
sent., sents. sentence, sentences.
Sethe, Ächtungstexte. K. Sethe, Die Ächtung feindlicher Fïrsten, Völker und Dinge auf alldagyptischen Tongefässcherben des Mittleren Reiches, in Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Berlin, 1926.
Sethe, Alphabet K. Sethe, Der Ursprung des Alphabets, in Nachrichten von der K. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Geschäftliche Mitteilungen, 1916, Heft 2.
Sethe, Lesestücke K. Sethe, Ägyptische Lesestücke. Leipzig, 1924.
Sethe, Rechts K. Sethe, Die Ägyptischen Ausdrücke für rechts und links und die Hieroglyphenzeichen für Westen und Osten, in Nachrichten der K. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen. Philologisch-historische Klasse, 1922.
Sethe, Zeitrechnung. K. Sethe, Die Zeitrechnung der alten Ägypter im Verhältnis zu der der andern Völker, in Nachrichten von der K. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaflen zu Göttingen. Philologisch-historische Klasse, 1919-20.
Sh. S. The story of the Shipwrecked Sailor, Papyrus Leningrad 11IS, published as above, see P. Pet. Convenient transcription of the text in A. M. Blackman, Middle-Egyptian Stories, Part I, pp. 41-8, being Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, II, Brussels, 1932. See too above, Gol. Naufrage.
Sign Pap. F. Ll. Griffith, The Sign Papyrus, in Two Hieroglyphic Papyri from Tanis. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1889.
sim. similarly.
Sin. The story of Sinuhe, published by A. H. Gardiner, Die Erzähhung des Sinuine und die Hirtengeschichte, in A. Erman, Literarische Texte des mittleren Reiches (Hieratische Papyrus aus den königlichen Museen zu Berlin, Bd. v). Leipzig, 1909. The principal manuscripts are quoted as R (Ramesseum papyrus) and B (Pap. Berlin 3022). Convenient transcription in A. M. Blackman, Middle-Egyptian Stories, Part I, pp. 1-41, being Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, II, Brussels, 1932. See too above, Gard. Sin.
Sinai A. H. Gardiner and T. E. Peet, The Inscriptions of Sinai, part I. London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1917. Second edition, by J. Cernv́, in preparation.
sing. singular.
Sitz. Bay. Ak. Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
Sitz. Berl. Ak. Sitzungsberichte der königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
Siut Tombs of Asyûṭ, quoted by tomb-number and line, as published in F. Ll. Griffith, The Inscription of Siut! and Dér Rîfeh. London, 1889.
Sm. J. H. Breasted, The Edzin Smith Surgical Papyrus, z vols., being Oriental Institute Publications, vol. iii. Chicago (University of Chicago Press), 1930.
Some Aspects A. H. Gardiner, Some Aspects of the Egyptian Language, in Proceedings of the British Academy, vol. xxiii. London, 1937.
Sphinx Sphinx, Revue Critique embrassant le Domaine Entier de l'Égyptologie, 22 vols. Uppsala, 1897-1925.
Spieg.-Pörtn. I. W. Spiegelberg and B. Pörtner, Ägyptische Grabsteine und Denksteine aus sïd-deutschen Sammlungen, I Karlsruhe, Miilhausen, Strassburg, Stuttgart. Strassburg, 1902.
Stud. Aeg. I Studia Aegyptiaca $I$, in Analecta Orientalia, 17. Rome, 1938.

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

subj. subject.
Suppl. A. H. Gardiner and M. Gauthier-Laurent, Supplement to Gardiner's Egyptian Grammar. Neuilly-sur-Seine, 1935 .
T. Carn. The Carnarvon tablet, published by A. H. Gardiner, The Defeat of the Hyksos by Kamöse, in Journal of Egyptian Archaeology, iii. 95-1.10.
Tarkhan I W. M. F. Petrie and others, Tarkhan I and Memphis V. London, 1913.
Th. T.S. Theban Tombs Series, edited by Norman de G. Davies and Alan H. Gardiner. London (Egypt Exploration Fund [Society]), 1915-33. Vol. I, The Tomb of Amenemhēt, by Nina de G. Davies and Alan H. Gardiner.

Vol. II, The Tomb of Antefoker and of his wife Senet, by Norman and Nina de Garis Davies. Vol. III, The Tombs of Two Officials of Tiuthmosis IV, by Norman and Nina de Garis Davies. Vol. IV, The Tomb of Huy, by Nina de Garis Davirs and Alan H. Gardiner.
Vol. V, The Tombs of Menkheperrasonb, Amenmosĕ, and Another, by Nina and Norman de Garis Davies.
Ti G. Steindorff, Das Grab des Ti, in Veröffentlichungen der Ernst von Sieglin Expedition in Agypten. Leipzig, 1913.
Tód F. B(ISSon de La) R(oque), Tód (I934 d 1936). Cairo (Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale), 1937.
trans. transitive.
Turin Stelae quoted by the numbers given in A. Fabretti, F. Rossi, and R. V. Lanzone, Regio Museo di Torino, 2 vols. Turin, 1882-8.
Two Sculptors N. de G. Davies, The Tomb of Two Sculptors at Thebes, in Publications of the Metropolitan Museum of Art, Egyptian Expedition: Robb de Peyster Tytus Memorial Series. New York, 1925.

Unt. K. Sethe, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Agyptens, 7 vols. Leipzig, 1896-1915.
Urk. G. Steindorff, Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums,
Section I, K. Sethe, Urkunden des alten Reichs. Leipzig, 1903.
Section IV, K. Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie, historisch-biographische Urkunden, 4 vols. Leipzig, r906-9; vol. i, second edition, 1927-30.
Section V, H. Grapow, Religiöse Urkunden, 3 parts. Leipzig, 1915-17.
Vand. Mo. J. Vandier, [Tomb of Ankhtifi-Nakht at Mo ${ }^{\text {alla }}$ ]. Publication in preparation.
vat. variant.
Varille, Karnak I. A. Varille, Karnak 1. Cairo (Institut Français d’Archéologie Orientale), 1943.
vb. verb.
Verbum K. Sethe, Das ägyptische Verbum im altägyptischen, neuägyptischen, und koptischén, 3 vols. Leipzig, 1899-1902.
virt. virtual.
Vog. Bauer F. Vogelsang, Kommentar zu den Klagen des Bauern, in K. Sethe, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Agyptens, vol. vi. Leipzig, 1913.
vs. verso, i.e. on the reverse of a papyrus.
Wb. A. Erman and H. Grapow, Wörterbuch der ägyptischen Sprache, 5 vols. Leipzig, 1926-31.
Weill, Décr. R. Weill, Les Décrets Royaux de l'Ancien Empire Égyptien. Paris, 1912.
Westc. A. Erman, Die Märchen des Papyrus Westcar, in Mittheilungen aus den Orientalischen Sammlungen, Heft v. vi. Berlin, 1890.
Wilb. Comm. A. H. Gardiner, The Wilbour Papyrus, 3 vols. Vol. II, Commentary. Brooklyn and Oxford, 1948.
Wolf, Bewaffnung. W. Wolf, Die Bewaffnung des altägyptischen Heeres. Leipzig, 1926.
WZKM Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, 51 vols. Vienna, 1886-1948.
Zahlworte. K. Sethe, Von Zahlen und Zahtworten bei den alten Agyptern, in Schriften der Wissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft Strassburg, part 25. Strassburg, 1916.

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

Through the skill of the Oxford University Press minor errors, mostly pointed out by Dr. T. G. Allen and often consisting of no more than a single sign, letter or numeral, have been corrected on thirty-four pages without necessitating new negatives. On thirty-four other pages, however, the details to be rectified seemed important enough to call for photographic replacement. The pages in question are $51,65,67,69$, 72, 73, 74, 81, 88, 99, 135, 137, 138, 139, 144, 145, 156, 189, 195, 197, 205, 206, 258, 358, $363,402,405,408,427,445,452,515,557,585$, and attention is invited especially to those pages the numbers of which have been printed in italics. For the rest, what now follows is necessitated by the reason stated in my Preface to the present edition; here, it will be observed, have been incorporated all the Additions and Corrections on p . xxviii of the Second edition.
pp . xix-xxviii. Additional abbreviations used in the marginal notes :
Barns J. W. B. Barns, The Ashmolean Ostracon of Sinuhe, Oxford, 1952.
Edel E. Edel, Altägyptische Grammatik, $I$, in Analecta Orientalia 34, Rome, 1955.

Firch. O. Firchow, Ägyptologische Studien, Berlin, 1955 -
Kamose Stela of king Kamose found at Karnak and to be published by Labib Habachi.
Lac. Stèlejur. P. Lacau, Une stèle juridique de Karnak, Supplement aux Annales du Service des Antiquites de lEggpte, Cahier No. 13, Cairo, 1949.
Lit. Fr. R. Caminos, Literary Fragments in the Hieratic Script, Oxford, 1956.
Mo'alla J. Vandier, Mo'alla, la tombe d'Ankhtif et la tombe de Sébekhotep, Cairo, 1950.
Oudh. Med. Leiden, Rijks-Museum van Oudheden, Oudheidkundige Mededeelingen. Leyden, second series, 1920, foll.
P. Hek. T. G. H. James, The Hekanakhte Papyri. In preparation.
P. Ram. See now Sir Alan Gardiner, The Ramesseum Papyri, Oxford, i955; also for Nos. 1-5, J. W. B. Barns, Five Ramesseum Papyri, Oxford, 1956.
Winlock H. Winlock, The Rise and Fall of the Middle Kingdom in Thebes, New York, 1947.
p. i, § i, l. 3. It must be mentioned, however, that A. Scharff placed the accession of Menes in 2850 в.c.
p. 6, §4, end. The date and localization of the Bohairic dialect are discussed anew in P. E. Kahle, Bala'izah, Oxford, 1954, i. 248-52.
p. 12, n. I. Griffith's admirable article has now been reprinted, $\mathscr{Y} E A 37,38$ foll

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

p. 15, ll. $!5$ foll. from bottom. Champollion, however, mistakenly took $\Uparrow$ to read $m$, not $m s$, see $\mathscr{F} E A$ 38, 127 .
p. 23, n. 7. Add: Now published in full Lac. Stèle jur. [for this abbreviation see above].
p. 24 b, 1.3 from end. For Neferroḥu read Neferty, see G. Posener in Rev. d'Eg. 8, I74:
p. 27, n. 3. $=$ for $m$ already under Kamose, Ann. 39, 252.
p. 78, n. 18. Add: Sim. ky•s mnd 'her other breast', P. Ram. IV, D 2. 2.
p. 94, 11. 6, 5 from end. .Some modification is needed in the statement 'The other form of wnn, namely (§ 107), is probably never used in simple affirmative statements with adverbial predicate.' For an exception see: 1 )
 Anthes 22, 2-3. Here and in other cases the verb-form wn.f ( $\$ 448 ;$ p. 373, 1. 7) appears to carry an implication of past time as in later stages of the language.
p. $110, \S 140$. To the second ex. add the affirmative one: *" $g r t h d t$ ' base it is to destroy', varr. of M and C to P. Pet. III6 A, 121 .
p. 120 . In n. 2 delete $A$ mrah 29, 2 and in n. 4, 1. 7 for ib. 390, 7 read Urk. iv. 390, 7.
p. 130, n. il. For ilo, 3 read 1 io, 4 . To n. 16 add $: \operatorname{Sim}$. Ann. 4, 130, 10.
p. I35, n. 18. This supposed use must be cancelled, see Barns, 24, 33 .
p. 152, §202. For exx. of the negative relative adjective written 4 - see Mo alla, Index, p. 293. See too my article $\mathcal{F} E A$ 34, 23 .
p. $156, \S 205,4,1.5$. Delete $\simeq \$ 1 / 2 w i$ 'evilly' together with n. 36a. Edel has shown me that this writing, taken as an adverb p. 81, 1.3 from end in the 2nd edition, but now corrected, is merely an unusual writing of the adjective ; he points out that the status of this stem as a triliteral is proved by the masculine infinitive in $\operatorname{sdw} w(i \cdot i)$ 'calumniating me' $U r k$. i. 223, 16 ; a further proof is the writing of the adjective in $ل$ d $b w$ dwy 'evil' (n.) quoted below, p. 417, l. 8.
p. 165 , n. Io. Delete the reference Sin. B $255-6$, see Barns, $28,46$.
p. 176, last line but one. For hands read fingers.
p. 198, n. 15. For Sinai I 39,8 substitute now $\operatorname{Sinai}^{2}$, 14 I w, 8.
p. 180, §239. James quotes an example where $h r$ s $d m \cdot f$ refers to past time:
 restore it, Mo alla Ia 2.
p. 202. In the heading Expenditure out of this amount it would be preferable to substitute for the first word Apportionment or Specification; for this use of ssmw, not in my Vocabulary, see Wb. iv. 290, 13; for the following bnt see § 174, 2.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

p．204，n．4．For my reply to Edel see $\mathcal{F} N E S 8,165$ foll．
p． 2 Io，n．7．Another ex．of the rare transitive use of $s p s s$ ，see $\mathcal{F} E A 38, \mathrm{Pl} .8,97$.
p．223，§298，end．For forms like 帆名 mswt showing the plural strokes see the Sign－list，Z 2 （p．536）with n．ig．
p．225，§300．In the ex．marked（b）for read $\pm$ ．
p．226，§ 301，l．9．For $N b-h r z-R \mathrm{r}$ read $N b-h p t-R \mathrm{r}$ ；hence also＇Nebhepetréc＇in 1．io and see below on p．499，P 8.
p．223，§304，I．Much rarer is the use of $h r+$ infinitive after $r d i$ ，ex．
 service（lit．at hearing the call）of the Asiatics，Kamose 18 ；somewhat similarly Amarn．6，I5， 6.
p． 240 ，n．8d，1．5．Before 49，insert 7 AOS．
pp． 248 foll．Vergote in his article La fonction du psezdoparticipe in Firch． 338 foll．classifies the uses of the Old Perfective somewhat differently．It is un－ fortunate that he，like Lefebvre and Edel，retains the lucus a non lucendo nomenclature＇pseudo－participle＇．
p．246，§ 322，first ex．，for \＄
p．250，l． 7 from end，for $\boldsymbol{H} r p$－read Shm－，see Gunn＇s note $\mathcal{F} E A 31,6$, n． 7 ，and in 1． 5 from end read－powerful for－leader．
p．255，l．6．As an alternative to the negation of the construction with $r+$ infinitive by $n n$ śdm．$f$ James quotes tence，Mo＇alla IIa 2.
p．256．At the end of sentence（4）in the Egyptian－English exercise for $n$ read $^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{2}$ ． Three lines lower down add the note：${ }^{2}$ See § 76， 2.
p． 26 I ，n．34．After Pt．omit：65，qu．§ 349 ．
p．262，§ 342 ，l．I of third paragraph．For os read ous．
p．267，§352A．For the negative $w$ ，extremely rare in M．E．，add：$几-\infty$
 receive any things of his，and his heir shall not inherit from him，Móalla III， 6－7（p．206）；sim．ib．III，ј．II．
p．278，top line．For p．303，n． 19 read p．304，note ${ }^{0 a}$ ，to which add ：$\underline{d} d y \cdot f$ ，Anthes， 20， 6.
p．294，in．i．To Hamm．47，io－1 ；add 191， 5 ；
 all the O．E．writings with ending $-w$ are either plurals or duals，and he therefore argues that the form should be called the $s d m n f$ ，not the $s d m w n f$ ，relative form （his spellings）．He may be right，though his attempt to explain away the three M．E．exceptions quoted by me can hardly be regarded as satisfactory．
p．314，delete n． 4 a of the 2 nd edition；I revert to my former reading wnn，see my arguments quoted Bakns，p．23，top left．

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

 $T_{3}$-wr when there was war with the nome of Abydos, Cairo 46048.
p. 325 . The omitted n. 6 should read: ${ }^{6}$ Berl. $A I$. i. p. $258,20$.
p. $347, \S 434$. Add to the last line: But the negative verb $t m$ can also be used, ex.
 shall not enter into the god's slaughter-house, de Buck, ii. 174, $i$.
p. 348, n. rod. Add a second ex.: hr $(y)$ •fy 'i st'so say I it', Lac. Stèle jur. 18 .
p. $359, \S 446$. R. A. Parker, in his article The Function of the Imperfective Sdm•f in Middle Egyptian (Rev. d'Eg. Io, 49 foll.) produces demotic evidence in favour of Polotsky's theory of this verb-form, but I see no reason for modifying my own statement on the subject.
p. $363, \S 447$. This paragraph has been left unaltered save for a short precautionary addition to n. I, partly because I do not fully understand Edel's objections raised in correspondence with me, and partly because I have seen no means, in the limited space at my disposal, of bettering my general argument. I take it that Edel has no fault to find with my sub-sections (1) and (2). The forms ending in $-w$ quoted under (3) have certainly become less mysterious through his fine discovery of a distinct $\dot{s} d m w \cdot f$ form with infixed formative $-w$, see his §§ 5 I 1-30; most, if not all, of my M.E. exx. are accepted by him; some of them, especially the $s d d w \cdot \underline{t} n$ of $p .365$, n. 18 , clearly have prospective or future meaning. My sub-section (4) requires further consideration, but I do not agree with Edel's attempted refutation of Sethe's view as stated at the bottom of my p. 363 ; the $h r y \cdot f$ and $i k r \cdot f$ of Pyr. $923 a$ stand as direct variants of one another, and the writing $i \underline{k} n w$ in Pyr. I $346 a$ is not disposed of by his $\S 514$. On the other hand I have no great confidence in my argument at the top of p. 364. As regards the following paragraph Clère's doubts printed on p. 427 of my 2nd edition still appear to me valid, but have been omitted in the present edition because no advantage is to be gained by prolonging discussion on so hypothetic a matter.
p. $377, \S 456$, first paragraph. Clère has shown ( $\mathrm{F}_{\text {IRCH. }} 38$ foll.) that in both the cliches here discussed $i m \cdot(i)$ should be read and that the general sense is 'Nothing (bad or reprehensible) came about from (or through) me'.
p. $389, \S 468$, end, add as a second Obs. : For izw followed by a noun other than the subject see below the addition to p. $412, \S 507$, I.
p. 392. At the end of $\S 477$ add: 5 . For a unique case of $c h e$ followed by an adverbial predicate James quotes $s d_{3 w}$ ' and so South and North, the entire land is a-tremble' (lit. ' under trembling'), Mo'alla II $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$ 2. The ex. in 4 above is quite consistent with this, since the old perfective is in use the equivalent of an adverb or adverbial phrase (§311). See, moreover, the ex. with $\stackrel{h r \cdot n}{ }$ here immediately following.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

p．393，§482，I．At end $a d d$ ：A case closely similar to that quoted as an addition to
 shr $n b d d y \cdot f$ then was this entire land subject to every counsel spoken by him， Anthes，20， 5.
p．407，§498．An exceptional use of $p w$ is found in the context＇to make transforma－ tions into a phœnix，a swallow，a falcon or a heron，鲐號 $p w r \cdot k$ whichever you will＇，Urk．iv．II3，I4．A somewhat similar employment of ǐst is quoted in § 500,5 ．
p． $4 \mathrm{IO}, \mathrm{l}$ ．I．For min read me．
p．4I2．To §507，I add：DE Buck quotes cases where iw introduces a noun other than the subject，exx．4 An has been given his eye，Budge，i 39， 5 （corrected）；sim．Nav．ch．i b，i3（Ia）； had iw rdiw irt nt $H r n \cdot f$ been written，this would have conformed to the rule of $\S 507$ ，i，but would have offended against the rule of word－order $\S 66$ ．A somewhat similar case quoted by the same scholar is $480_{0}^{0}$ iw Nwt tn srwd $N$ pn sşps this Nut，this N makes to flourish her light，de Buck， vi．I $54, k$ ．
p．4i5，l．8．For plan read foresee．
p．4i7，ll．II－I3．Allen makes the plausible suggestion that we should render＇I have said this and what I have said is truth＇．In that case the exceptional use postulated by me would be disposed of．
p．442，Ai．n．${ }^{0}$ ．Allen，quoting de Buck iii，p．ix，n．2，points out that the Coffin Text exx．where the 俭 of in replaced by $\downarrow$ are doubtful evidence of the reading si．
p．462，under F 5，1．3．Atter＇prescription＇read：also det．in ل』 © bhnt＇pylon＇ Urk．iv．167，15．－Under F 14，to n． 2 add：Sim．ib．109， 17.
p． 466, F 46 ，n．i，l．5．Delete the reference Saqq．Mast．i．2．Černý notes that $d b n$ here means，not the weight，but a basket or box，see $W b$. v．437，i6．
p． 470 ，G 26 ，l．I．For Det．read Ideo．
p．470，G 27 ，n．2．A damaged，but certain，ex．of［ds］r＇flamingo＇in the Ramesseum Onomasticon，see $A E O$ ．i． 9 ．
p．470，G 29．The Latin name of the jabiru should have been given as Mycteria ephippiorhyncus seu senegalensis，Shaw ；and in n．1 for 30，1．read 30， 12.
p．48i，M i9，The sign in more completely explained by M．A．Murray，Ancient Egypt 1929，43；\＆here is a later perversion of one of the half－loaves（ $g s w, \Delta \mathrm{X} 7$ ） seen on the earliest offering－tables，exx．Saqq．Mast．i．I．2． $23 ; \rrbracket$ depicts a vase of the type shown ib．22，cf．also Dav．Sheikh Said，Pl．9．In hieratic a sign like

p． $489, \mathrm{~N} 28$ ．For the reading see de Buck i．46，$a$ ．

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

p. 495, O 2 I . Y皿 is used also as a more general word for 'temple', 'chapel', Wb. iii. 465, 6, masc. in Urk. iv. 734, I5; 743, 7.
p. 498, O 48. After Use as last insert: Phon. $m h n$ in ${ }^{(1) . .0}$ mhnt 'carnelian', see $\mathcal{F} E A 38$, 3 .
p. 499, P 8. The existence of variants of the prenomen © 0 giving $f_{1}^{\circ}$ (see n. 4 and Winlock, Pl. 40, $5 ; 4 \mathrm{I}, 9.17 ; 42,19$ ) shows that $f$ there represents a feminine word which, in spite of Sethe's view $\ddot{A} Z .62,3$ foll., can only be 全d $h p t$ 'oar', see below Aa 5, n. 5 and $W b$. iii. 68, 4. The reading $N b-h p t-R r$ (so in my ist edition, but changed to $N^{\top} b-h r w(?)-R \mathrm{r}$ in the 2 nd ) is further indicated by arguments showing that the king Menthotpe whose name was written with the oar was identical with him whose prenomen is written $\ominus_{-}^{\circ}$; see my article to appear in vol. i of the resuscitated Mitt. Kairo. At all events the word hrwit ' oar' listed in Wb. iii. 324, 6 lacks any foundation and should be deleted; the origin of the phonetic value $h r(w)$ of $\delta$ remains unknown.
p. 508, S 34. For the reading of $f$ with initial P Allen quotes de Buck iii. 399, $e$, B5C ; see too Bersh. ii. 6, 5.
p. 513, T i4, l. 4 from end: for $(e)$ read $(f)$ and before it insert: $(e)$ of $\} \mathrm{S} 38$ and 1 S 39 in $\rangle_{111}^{\circ}$ rwet 'animals.' ${ }^{13 \mathrm{a}}$ Also add as note: ${ }^{132}$ Hamm. i io, 2.
p. 520, U 36. Add to n. 4 : also Rev. d'eg. i. 104.
p. 524, f V i9; at end of n. I read: Montet 95 ; according to Keimer, Bull. de l'Inst. d'Ég. 32, io the horizontal stroke merely represents the ground-level. To n. 12 add: In Westc. II, 7 'Gepäck' is suggested Wb. v. 5 I, 12 ; so too Faulkner in $\mathscr{f} E A$ 37, in 4.
p. 524, V 20, left, l. 2, for cross-bar read horizontal stroke.
p. 539 Aa $20,1.8$, after 'embalmer' add: hence also phon. det. in \#Bo mrwt 'love'. ${ }^{112}$ At end add as note ira: Hamm. iro, 8; 191, 7, further perverted

p. 553, left-hand column, l. 8 from end, instead of estate, property read transfer of property.
p. 557, left. $\mid$ emprises sheep and goats, but excludes oxen and the like; it is used also of wild animals generally. The sense 'flock' 'herd' given by me is not entirely satisfactory.
p. 584, left.hand column, after l. I I insert: © hy 'what a . . . !', § 258A, p. 427.
p. 591, left-hand column, 1.7 from bottom, after shrine of Anubis add: temple, chapel.
p. 593, right-hand column; 1 13, instead of (probably caus.) read: (caus., infin. sd 3 t).
 Elephantine. I hope to show elsewhere that the true reading is $T p-r s$, and that the expression means no more than 'the extreme South'.
p. 603, right-hand column, 1. 4, after same sense insert: also trans., amuse someone.

## IN TRODUCTION

## A. THE EGYPTIAN LANGUAGE

§ 1. The subject of this manual is the Language of the ancient Egyptians as revealed in their Hieroglyphic Writings. The earliest inscriptions go back as far as the First Dynasty, which can in no case be placed later than 3000 в. c., while some authorities favour a date many hundreds of years earlier. The same script lived on far into the Christian era; the latest hieroglyphs known are at Philae and dated to A. D. 394 ; the next latest show the names of the Roman emperors Diocletian (yr. 12, A. D. 295) and Traianus Decius (A. D. 249-25I). Thus the use of the earliest form of Egyptian writing, though at the last confined to a narrow circle of learned priests, covers a period of three or even four thousand years. In the course of so many centuries, grammar and vocabulary were bound to change very considerably, and in point of fact the Egyptian spoken under the Roman occupation bore but little resemblance to that which was current under the oldest Pharaohs. It is true that the new modes of parlance which came into existence from time to time were by no means adequately reflected in the contemporary hieroglyphic inscriptions; for in Egypt the art of writing was always reserved to a conservative and tradition-loving caste of scribes, upon whose interests and caprice it depended how far the common speech of the people should be allowed to contaminate the 901 mdw ntr, 'the god's words'. None the less, the idiom in which the public records of the Twentieth Dynasty (about $1200-1085$ b.c.) are couched differs widely from that found, for example, in the royal decrees of the Sixth Dynasty (about 2420-2294 в.c.). To avoid confusing the beginner's notions, it is obviously desirable that he should confine his attention to some special phase of the language; and there are many reasons which render Middle Egyptian more suitable for that purpose than any other phase.
§ 2. It is with Middle Egyptian, therefore, that this book will be exclusively concerned. Middle Egyptian, as here understood, is the idiom employed in the stories and other literary compositions of the Middle Kingdom (Dynasties IX-XIII, roughly from 2240 to 1740 B.c.), as well as in the public and private monumental inscriptions of that period and also far down into the Eighteenth Dynasty (1573-1314 B.c.). Much later, when the scribes of the Ethiopian and Saite Dynasties ( $715-525$ b.c.) adopted a deliberately archaistic style of writing, it was to Middle Egyptian that they reverted. There is evidence to show that the renaissance which, after a certain
interval of disruption, followed the end of the Old Kingdom, was marked by a great development of literary activity; a florid, metaphorical style now came into vogue, and a number of tales and semi-didactic treatises were written which obtained a wide celebrity, and were copied and recopied in the schools. For this reason, the period covered by Middle Egyptian may be considered the classical age of Egyptian literature. Another reason which makes the language of the Twelfth Dynasty particularly suited to the purposes of the novice is that linguistically the business documents belonging to that time differ less from the contemporary literary works than those of any other period. Middle Egyptian has further the advantage of being more consistently spelt than other phases of the language, and it is in this phase that the inflexions of the verb are best displayed in the writing. Lastly, the number of Middle Egyptian texts which have been preserved is very great, and comprises religious, magical, medical, mathematical, historical, and legal compositions, besides the literary works and business documents already mentioned.
§ 3. Affinities and characteristics of Egyptian. ${ }^{1}$ The Egyptian language is related, not only to the Semitic tongues (Hebrew, Arabic, Aramaic, Babylonian, \&c.), but also to the East African languages (Galla, Somali, \&c.) and the Berber idioms of North Africa. Its connexion with the latter groups, together known as the Hamitic family, is a very thorny subject, but the relationship to the Semitic tongues can be fairly accurately defined. In general structure the similarity is very great; Egyptian shares the principal peculiarity of Semitic in that its word-stems consist of combinations of consonants, as a rule three in number, which are theoretically at least unchangeable. Grammatical inflexion and minor variations of meaning are contrived mainly by ringing the changes on the internal vowels, though affixed endings also are used for the same purpose; more important differences of meaning are created by reduplication, whole or partial (exx. $s n$ 'brother', snsn 'be brotherly towards'; $s m s w$ ' elder', later form $s m s m^{2}$ ), or, in one or two special cases, by prefixed consonants (causatives in $\xi$, like Sthh 'cause to live'; nouns with the formative consonant $m$, like $m h n t$ 'ferry-boat' from $\underline{h n i}$ 'row'; $n$-formations, like $n f t f t$ 'leap away', beside ftft 'leap'). There are, moreover, many points of contact in the vocabulary (exx. Eg. ḩsb 'count', Arab. hasaba; Eg. ink 'I', Hebr. 'ānōkī; Eg.

[^0]hmnnw 'eight', Hebr. slemönch), though these are very frequently obscured by metathesis and• by unobvious consonantal changes (exx. Eg. sidm 'hear', Arab. samica; Eg. ib 'heart', Arab. lubbu; Eg. sshb'be healthy', Arab. salima). In spite of these resemblances, Egyptian differs from all the Semitic tongues a good deal more than any one of them differs from any other, and at least until its relationship to the African languages is more closely defined, Egyptian must certainly be classified as standing outside the Semitic group. There are grounds for thinking that it is a language which, possibly owing to a fusion of races, had, like English as compared with the other Teutonic dialects, disintegrated and developed at an abnormally rapid pace. This may be well illustrated in the case of the verb: no trace of the old Semitic imperfect has survived in Egyptian, where, moreover, the old Semitic perfect is already much restricted in its use; and it is exceedingly interesting to note that the participial formations by which these tenses have been or are being replaced (sd $m \cdot f \cdot$ heard of him' $=$ 'he hears '; s'd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ 'heard to him' $=$ 'he has heard') find analogies in certain of the most recent offshoots of the Semitic family, namely the Neo-Syriac dialects. ${ }^{1}$ The state of affairs just described is exhibited even in the oldest known stages of Egyptian. The evidence from the noun is less illuminating, but the oldest forms which can be deductively reconstructed (exx. hă̆r 'face'; nááter 'god') show by the quantity of their vowels that the case-endings of early Semitic had already vanished. The entire vocalic system of Old Egyptian may indeed be proved to have reached a stage resembling that of Hebrew or modern Arabic as compared with classical Arabic; the free and open vocalization of the earlier times (cf. in classical Arabic raggŭlun) has given place under the influence of a strong tonic accent to a system in which all the secondary syllables are shortened down and subordinated to the one accented vowel in the ultimate or penultimate syllable; a theoretic, prehistoric natírata 'goddess' has in historic Egyptian become 'ntâaret, which we may infer to have been the pronunciation about the time of the Pyramids. ${ }^{2}$

Towards the end of the Old Kingdom new grammatical tendencies manifest themselves. The 'synthetic' tenses sidm.f and sidmenff mentioned above are first supplemented and then gradually replaced by 'analytic' forms. Thus $i z v \cdot f ~ h r s d m$ 'he is upon hearing' (cf. French il est à live) appears in Old Egyptian side by side with sdim.f'he hears', though it does not wholly replace the latter until the Coptic period (below, § 4). In Late Egyptian, i. e. the vernacular of the Eighteenth Dynasty and after, such analytic forms already predominate. In various respects the relationship of Late Egyptian to Middle Egyptian is closely parallel to the relationship of French and the other Romance languages to their common parent Latin : in the already mentioned substitution of analytic for synthetic verb-forms, cf. je vais faire,

[^1]' I am going to do', as against Latin faciam ; in the possession of an indefinite article derived from the word for 'one' (Late Eg. wr, French $u n$ ) and a definite article derived from a demonstrative adjective (Late Eg. p; French $l e=$ Latin ille); in the substitution of new words for many old words signifying quite common things (ex. 'head', Middle Eg. $t p$, Late Eg. ddd; Latin caput, French tête, from Latin testa); and, lastly, in the fact that Middle Egyptian, like Latin, survived as the monumental and learned language long after it had perished as the language of everyday life.

The most striking feature of Egyptian in all its stages is its concrete realism, its preoccupation with exterior objects and occurrences to the neglect of those more subjective distinctions which play so prominent a part in modern, and even in the classical, languages. Subtleties of thought such as are implied in 'might', 'should', 'can', 'hardly', as well as such abstractions as 'cause ', 'motive ', 'duty', belong to a later stage of linguistic development; possibly they would have been repugnant to the Egyptian temperament. Despite the reputation for philosophic wisdom attributed to the Egyptians by the Greeks, no people has ever shown itself more averse from speculation ${ }^{1}$ or more wholeheartedly devoted to material interests; and if they paid an exaggerated attention to funerary observances, it was because the continuance of earthly pursuits and pleasures was felt to be at stake, assuredly not out of any curiosity as to the why and whither of human life. The place taken elsewhere by meditation and a philosophic bent seems with the Egyptians to have been occupied by exceptional powers of observation and keenness of vision. Intellectual and emotional qualities were ordinarily described by reference to the physical gestures or expressions by which they were accompanied, thus 'liberality' is 'extension of hand ' (swl-r), 'cleverness' is 'sharpness of face (sight)' (spd-hr). Another feature of Egyptian is its marked preference for static over dynamic expression; apart from the rare survivals of the active Old Perfective, there is no genuine active tense, all others being derived from passive or neuter participles. ${ }^{2}$ No less salient a characteristic of the language is its concision; the phrases and sentences are brief and to the point. Involved constructions and lengthy periods are rare, though such are found in some legal documents. The vocabulary was very rich, though, as may be inferred from our previous statements, not equally well developed in every direction. The clarity of Egyptian is much aided by a strict word-order, probably due in part to the absence of case-endings in the nouns. There remains to be mentioned a certain formality that is conspicuous in Egyptian writings-a rigidity and conventionality which find their counterpart in Egyptian Art. The force of

[^2]tradition discouraged originality alike in subject-matter and in expression, but there are some notable exceptions. For a brief estimate of the value of Egyptian literature see below, p. 24 c .
§ 4. Different stages of the language. ${ }^{1}$ Bearing in mind the fact that the written language reflects the spoken language of the different periods only to a limited extent, and that monumental records on stone are always more conservative than business documents and letters on potsherds and papyrus, we may roughly distinguish the following linguistic stages:

Old Egyptian: the language of Dynasties I-VIII, about 3180 to 2240 B.C. ${ }^{2}$ This may be taken to include the language of the Pyramid Texts (below, §13), which, however, displays certain peculiarities of its own and is written in a special orthography. Otherwise the surviving documents of this stage are mainly official or otherwise formal-funerary formulae and tomb-inscriptions, including some biographical texts. Old Egyptian passes with but little modification into

Middle Egyptian, possibly the vernacular of Dynasties IX-XI, about 2240 1990 b.c., later contaminated with new popular elements. In the later form it survived for some monumental and literary purposes right down to Graeco-Roman times, while the earlier form was retained as the religious language.

Late Egyptian: the vernacular of Dynasties XVIII-XXIV, about I573 to 715 B.c., exhibited chiefly in business documents and letters, but also in stories and other literary compositions, and to some extent also in the official monuments from Dyn. XIX onwards. There are but few texts, however, wherein the vernacular shows itself unmixed with the 'classical' idiom of Middle Egyptian. Various foreign words make their appearance. For some other characteristics, see above, pp. 3-4.

Demotic: this term is loosely applied to the language used in the books and documents written in the script known as Demotic (see below, §8), from Dyn. XXV to late Roman times ( 715 B. C. to A. D. 470 ). Here again the old 'classical' idiom is blended with later, vernacular elements, often inextricably.

Coptic: the old Egyptian language in its latest developments, as written in the Coptic script, from about the third century A. D. onwards; so called because it was spoken by the Copts, ${ }^{3}$ the Christian descendants of the ancient Egyptians, in whose churches it is read, though not understood, even at the present day. After the Arab conquest (A. D. 640) Coptic was gradually superseded by Arabic, and became extinct as a spoken tongue in the sixteenth century. Coptic is written in the Greek alphabet supplemented by seven special characters derived ultimately from the hieroglyphs,
${ }^{1}$ B. H. Stricker, 'De Indeeling der Egyptische Taalgeschiedenis', in Oudheidkundige Mededeelingen, XXV, Leyden, 1944.
${ }^{2}$ The dates adopted are approximately those given by Sewell in The Legacy of Egypt, Oxford, 1942; those prior to Dyn. XII are much disputed.
${ }^{3}$ The name Copt is doubtless a corruption of the Greek 'Aiguptos', i.e. Egypt.
namely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{u}=s h=\text { hieroglyphic } \underset{\sim}{\pi}(3) \\
& \mathrm{q}=f=\quad, \quad \infty f \\
& \approx=k h=\quad, \quad i k(3) \text {, only in the Bohairic dialect ; }
\end{aligned}
$$

the Akhmimic $\mathfrak{q}$, a differentiation from $\mathcal{q}$, answers the same purpose.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathfrak{e}=h=\text { hieroglyphic } \\
\mathbf{x}=d j= & " & \vdots d(3) \\
\sigma=g= & , & 0 k \\
t=t i= & , & 0 d i t
\end{array}
$$

The importance of Coptic philologically is due to its being the only form of Egyptian in which the vowels are regularly written. ${ }^{1}$ It must not be forgotten, however, that Coptic represents a far later stage of the language than even the most vulgar examples of late Egyptian. The vocabulary is very different from that of the older periods and includes many Greek loan-words, even such grammatical particles as $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\delta \epsilon ́$. The word-order is more Greek than Egyptian. To a certain extent, at least, Coptic is a semi-artificial literary language elaborated by the native Christian monks; at all events it is extensively influenced by Greek biblical literature. The first tentative efforts to transcribe the old Egyptian language into Greek letters belong to the second century A.D., and are of a pagan character (horoscopes, magical texts, and the like). Several dialects of Coptic are distinguished, of which the following are the most important :

1. Akhmîmic : the old dialect of Upper Egypt, which early gave place to Sacidic.
2. Ṣacîdic (less correctly written Sahidic) : the dialect of Thebes, later used for literary purposes throughout the whole of Upper Egypt.
3. Bohairic: doubtless originally the dialect of the Western Delta only, ${ }^{2}$ but later, after the removal of the Patriarchate to Cairo in the eleventh century, the literary idiom of the whole of Egypt.

## B. THE EGYPTIAN WRITING

§5. The hieroglyphic writing ${ }^{3}$ is an offshoot of pictorial art, a very early and important function of which was to provide a visible record of facts and occurrences, accessible to those who for one reason or another were beyond the range of the spoken word. The limitations of pictorial art as a medium for conveying or storing information are, of course, obvious; and recorded history may be considered to have been non-existent until, shortly before the end of the Pre-dynastic period, the Egyptians discovered the principle of the rebus or charade. The new departure consisted in using the pictures of things, not to denote those things themselves or any

[^3]cognate notions, but to indicate certain other entirely different things not easily susceptible of pictorial representation, the names of which chanced to have a similar sound. Obviously proper names could only be communicated in this way, and it is perhaps


Verso of the slate palette of Narmer (Dyn. I).
This is one of the oldest specimens of Egyptian writing known. The name of the king, written with the $n c r$-fish and the $m r$-chisel, occupies the rectangle (below, p. 72) between the Hathor-heads. The other small hieroglyphs give the names or titles of the persons over whose heads they are written; the captured chieftain may have been named Washi (harpoon zer, pool ${ }^{\boldsymbol{y}}$ ). The group at top on right was probably intended as explanation of the picture in the centre; at this early date the gist of complete sentences could apparently be conveyed only by symbolical groups of which the elements suggested separate words. The conjectural meaning is: The falcon-god Horus (i.e. the king) leads captive the inhabitants of the papyrus-land ( $T_{3}$ $m h w$ 'the Delta'). ${ }^{1}$
with them that hieroglyphic writing began (see the annexed cut). The method was that by which Prior Burton, in the Middle Ages, playfully symbolized his name by a thistle or burr placed upon a barrel or tun. In similar manner, the notion of high

[^4]numbers such as 'thousand' or 'ten thousand' could only have been conveyed pictorially by the thousandfold or ten-thousandfold repetition of a stroke or of the object to which the number referred; and even if the draughtsman had accomplished this laborious task, the spectator desirous of grasping the meaning would have been condemned to the hardly less laborious task of counting the strokes or objects so depicted. The Egyptians adopted a simple way of avoiding this difficulty. The word for 'thousand' in Egyptian was kha, and that for 'ten thousand' was djebbar; but kha in Egyptian also meant 'lotus' and djebbar meant 'finger'. In order, therefore, to write ' 32,000 cattle' in hieroglyphs all that was necessary was to depict three fingers and two lotus-plants in close proximity to the image of an ox, thus:As is hinted by the example just quoted, Egyptian hieroglyphic writing did not attempt completely to replace pictorial elements by sound-elements; throughout the entire course of its history that script remained a picture-writing eked out by phonetic elements. Hieroglyphic writing may be said to have come into existence as a properly differentiated entity at the moment when, in a given pictorial representation, one portion of the objects figured was shown in miniature and was clearly intended to be interpreted in terms of language, while the other portion, of larger size, was no less clearly intended to be construed purely visually without reference to language. The development of Egyptian writing is well epitomized in those sculptured scenes on the walls of tombs or temples where what cannot easily be represented pictorially is conveyed by sequences of hieroglyphic signs graven above the figures to which they refer. By this means we may not merely watch the ancient craftsmen at their work, but even overhear their banter and listen to the songs they sang.
§ 6. Even in the fully developed form of hieroglyphic writing only two classes of signs need be clearly distinguished. These are: (i) sense-signs or ideograms (Greek idea 'form' and gramma 'writing') ; (2) sound-signs or phonograms (Greek phonē 'sound' and gramma ' writing').
I. Ideograms or sense-signs signify either the actual object depicted, as $\odot$ 'sun', $\simeq$ 'hill-country', or else some closely connected notion, as $\odot$ the sun in the sense of 'day', 䀦 a scribe's palette, water-bowl, and reed-holder in the sense of 'scribe', 'write', or 'paint'. ${ }^{1}$
2. Phonograms or sound-signs are signs used for spelling, which, although originally ideograms and in many cases still also employed elsewhere as such, have secondarily acquired sound-values on the principle explained in § 5. Examples are $\propto r$, from original - 'mouth', in Egyptian $r a$; $\square p+r$, from original $\square$ 'house', Egyptian pāru. ${ }^{2}$

[^5]§ 7. Vowels not written. ${ }^{1}$ In reading the last section, the student has doubtless noted that the sound-values derived from 0 , the ideogram of the 'mouth' $(r a)$, and from $\square$, the ideogram of the 'house' ( $p \bar{a} r u$ ), were said to be, not $r a$ and $p \bar{a} r u$, but simply the consonantal elements entering into those two words, namely $r$ and $p+r$. To put it differently, the Egyptian scribes ignored the vowels in writing. It thus came about that both these signs could be used in a far greater number of different words than would otherwise have been the case: $\Delta$ might virtually represent $r \breve{a}, r \vec{a}, r \breve{c}, r \bar{e}$, $\breve{a} r, \bar{a} r, \breve{y} r, \bar{e} r$, or any other combination of vowel and $r$ that the Egyptian language might contain ; similarly $\square$ might stand, not only for $p \bar{a} r u$, but also for pär, $\bar{a} p r, ~ e p r$, cpra, and so forth. A like neglect of the vowels is seen in Phoenician, Hebrew, and Arabic, though in certain other Semitic scripts (Babylonian, Ethiopic) the vocalization is always indicated. The reason for the Egyptian omission of the vowels is not far to seek. It is characteristic of the family of languages to which Egyptian belongs that one and the same word presents different vocalizations according to the forms that it assumes and the contexts in which it appears; thus the ideogram for 'house' $\square \square$, pronounced $p \bar{a} r$ (from $p \bar{a} r u$ ) in isolation, may well have represented ${ }^{*} p \ddot{e^{2}} r^{2}$ when followed by a genitive and ${ }^{*} p r a ̆(y y u)$ in the plural. Such a variability of the vowels could not fail to engender the feeling that the consonants were all that mattered, whereby it became easier to utilize the sign $\square$ for writing other words pronounced with $p+r$ in that order, whatever vowels they may have possessed. In actual fact $\square$ is found in the writing of words which we have reason to believe may have been spoken as *präref or *perrāref, 'he habitually goes up', and *prāyet 'spring'.
§8. Hieroglyphic writing is only one of three kinds of script which in course of time were evolved in Ancient Egypt. Out of hieroglyphic sprang a more cursive writing known to us as hieratic, and out of hieratic again there emerged, towards 700 B.c., a very rapid script formerly sometimes called enchorial but now always known as demotic. None of these styles of writing utterly banished the others, but each as it arose restricted the domain of its progenitor. In the Graeco-Roman period all three were in use contemporaneously.

Hieroglyphic owes its name to the fact that in the latest times it was employed almost exclusively for 'sacred' (Greek hāeros) inscriptions 'sculptured' (Greek ghüpho) on temple-walls or on public monuments. At the outset hieroglyphic was used for all purposes; on stelae of stone and the like the signs are incised, or more rarely in raised relief, without interior markings; in temples and tombs where their decorative effect was of account the hieroglyphs were often executed with the most elaborate detail and beautifully coloured; upon papyrus the outlines were, on the other hand, abbreviated to a very considerable extent. For specimens of these different types of

[^6]hieroglyphic writing see the Frontispiece, Plate I. As time went on, hieroglyphic became restricted more and more to monumental purposes, though for religious texts it was in general employment even on papyrus down to the end of Dyn. XX; as an occasional medium for writing texts on potsherds or papyrus it survives right down to Christian times.

Hieratic, ${ }^{1}$ so called because in the Graeco-Roman age it was the usual script employed by the priests (Greek hizeratikos ' priestly'), is the name now given to all the earlier styles of writing cursive enough for the original pictorial forms of the signs to be no longer clearly recognizable. Hieratic was nothing more, in the beginning, than hieroglyphic in the summary and rounded forms resulting from the rapid manipulation of a reed-pen as contrasted with the angular and precise shapes arising from the use of the chisel. Under the Old Kingdom, hieratic is hardly differentiated from hieroglyphic. Under the Middle Kingdom and in the Eighteenth Dynasty hieratic is invariably used on papyrus, except for religious texts; it is developing a relatively consistent orthography of its own and distinguishes both more and less cursive varieties. Religious texts on papyrus begin to be written regularly in hieratic about Dyn. XXI, and from that time onward sporadic inscriptions on stone in the same script are found. In the latest period, as already said, hieratic was generally employed by the priests when writing religious texts on papyrus.

Demotic ${ }^{2}$ (Greek dèmōtikos 'popular'), or enchorial (Greek enkhōrios ' native') as some of the earliest decipherers called it, is a very rapid form of hieratic that made its first appearance about the time of the Ethiopian Dynasty. Throughout the Ptolemaic and Roman ages it was the ordinary writing of daily life, and is occasionally found even upon stelae of stone.

For specimens of hieratic and demotic see Plate II. With demotic we are not concerned at all in this work, and with hieratic we deal only in so far as it has been converted or, to employ the usual term, 'transcribed', into hieroglyphic. Individual hieratic hands differ as all handwriting is apt to differ; for this reason Egyptologists, before translating a hieratic text, habitually transcribe it into hieroglyphs, just as the modern printer sets up a modern author's manuscript in type.

## C. BRIEF HISTORY OF EGYPTIAN PHILOLOGY

§ 9. The tradition and its interpreters. ${ }^{*}$ As Christianity spread throughout Egypt, the knowledge of the old native scripts and lore, long since the jealously

[^7]
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LITERARY DEMOTIC OF THE THIRD CENTURY B.C. (Dem. Chron. 6, 1-3), WITH TRANSCRIPTION

SPECIMENS OF HIERATIC AND DEMOTIC
with hieroglyphic transcriptions in a modern Egyptological hand.
guarded secret of a dwindling priestly caste, fell into oblivion. In the second century candidates for the priesthood had still to show a knowledge of demotic and hieratic. In the third century demotic is no longer used for documents, though there are demotic inscriptions at Philae dating as late as A. D. $45^{2,}{ }^{1}$ i. e. some sixty years after the final disappearance of the hieroglyphs. After this, there remains only the tradition of the classical writers and the early Fathers, whose confused and mutually contradictory statements, if they point anywhere, point in a direction diametrically opposed to the truth, Scattered remarks in Herodotus, Diodorus, and Tacitus, to mention only the better known authors, do indeed imply that plain narratives of historical events formed part, at least, of the substance of the hieroglyphic inscriptions, and Josephus expressly states that the celebrated work of the historian Manetho was compiled from such sources. An obscure passage in the Stromateis of Clement of Alexandria (flor. A. D. 200) may also be interpreted as affirming that the hieroglyphs comprised phonetic signs. But the sane testimony just mentioned was altogether outweighed by the assertions of those whose beliefs and predilections were of a mystical kind. In the treatise On Isis and Osiris Plutarch compares the content of the hieroglyphic writings to the maxims of the Pythagoreans. The climax was, however, reached by Horapollo, a native of Upper Egypt who flourished in the second half of the fifth century. His treatise Hieroglyphica, written probably in Coptic but surviving only in a Greek translation, combines correct notions of the meanings of many hieroglyphic signs with the most grotesque allegorical reasons for those meanings. Thus, the goose symbolizes ' son' because of that bird's intense love of its offspring, the hare serves to write the word for 'open' because the hare's eyes always remain open, and so forth. Fantastic explanations of this type appealed all too readily to the medieval mind, and until the beginning of the nineteenth century the opinion persisted almost as an article of faith that the Egyptian hieroglyphs gave symbolic expression to recondite philosophical and religious doctrines. That erroneous opinion derived a new impetus from the learned speculations of the very man to whom the western world owes the revival of its interest in the Coptic language and literature. This was the Jesuit Athanasius Kircher, an accomplished Orientalist to whom was entrusted the translation of a Coptic-Arabic vocabulary brought home from Egypt by Pietro della Valle. Kircher's Prodromus Coptus sive Aegyptiacus, published in 1636, marks the beginning of a long sequence of books upon Coptic, a subject upon which no inconsiderable volume of information was available when at last scholars obtained the key to the decipherment of the hieroglyphs. ${ }^{2}$ For this, however, the time was not yet ripe; and the theories of Kircher as to the content of the hieroglyphic inscriptions exceed all bounds in their

[^8]imaginative folly. The cartouche of the Pharaoh Apries, encountered on a Roman obelisk, signifies to Kircher that 'the benefits of the divine Osiris are to be procured by means of sacred ceremonies and of the chain of the Genii, in order that the benefits of the Nile may be obtained'.
§ 10. The decipherment of the hieroglyphs. ${ }^{1}$ Against such fruitless speculations the occasional acute observations of exceptional men like de Guignes, Warburton, and Carsten Niebuhr could avail but little in the absence of some definite clue to the decipherment of the ancient scripts. Such a clue was at last provided when some French soldiers, working on the foundations of a fortress at Rosetta, came across a trilingual inscription in Greek, demotic, and hieroglyphic (1799). This inscription, ever since famous under the name of the Rosetta stone, proved from its Greek portion to be a decree in honour of the young king Ptolemy Epiphanes, which the priests of Egypt caused to be erected in all the temples of the land (196 b.c.). Unhappily only a relatively small portion of the hieroglyphic text is preserved, and doubtless it was for this reason, though partly also on account of the symbolic nature then attributed to the hieroglyphs, that scholars first directed their attention towards the demotic section. The stone itself had passed into the hands of the English, but a copy remained with the celebrated French orientalist Silvestre de Sacy. After an abortive attempt of his own, de Sacy handed the copy on to the Swedish diplomatist Åkerblad, a man of considerable attainments at that time devoting himself to oriental researches in Paris. Within the short space of two months Åerblad succeeded, by a comparison of the Greek and the demotic texts, in identifying in the latter all the proper names occurring in the former, besides recognizing, alphabetically written in their correct Coptic forms, the words for 'temples' and for 'Greeks', together with the pronominal suffix for 'him' and 'his'. In the Lettre à Mr. de Sacy, published in 1802, a first and most important step is taken towards the goal reached by Champollion just twenty years after. That Akerblad failed to make any further progress along the road where he had proved so admirable a pioneer was due to a prepossession from which he was unable to free himself; the words deciphered by him had been alphabetically written, and he therefore believed that the demotic writing was exclusively alphabetic.

The next great advance was due to an Englishman, no less a personage than the celebrated Thomas Young, the author of the undulatory theory of light. A man of deep learning and wide interests, Young was ever ready to try a new puzzle; so when in 1814 a copy of the Rosetta stone fell into his hands he attacked the problem with zest. While approving of $\AA$ Aerblad's results so far as they went, he quickly realized that demotic teemed with signs that could not possibly be explained as

[^9]alphabetic. Further, he grasped the fact that the demotic and hieroglyphic systems of writing were intimately related. Noticing that the Greek section was full of words which repeated themselves, he used these as a basis for dividing up all three sections into their component words, and it was not long before his Greek-demotic vocabulary amounted to eighty-six groups, most of them correct, though his attempts to indicate the sounds of which they were composed and to adduce Coptic equivalents were as a rule mistaken. In 1816 he announced further discoveries obtained from material other than the Rosetta stone. He had now identified long passages on papyri (belonging to the 'Book of the Dead') written in hieroglyphic and in hieratic, and had so established the equivalence of the pictorial and cursive forms of the signs. He was certain that both demotic and hieroglyphic consisted largely of phonetic elements; and having demonstrated the fact, guessed long before by de Guignes and Zoega, that the 'cartouches' or 'royal rings' seen in the hieroglyphs contained the names of kings and queens, 'very ingeniously but rather luckily identified the cartouche of Berenice in addition to the known one of Ptolemy, and correctly suggested that another cartouche must be that of Manetho's Thuthmosis of the XVIIIth Dynasty. He also pointed out in hieroglyphic the alphabetic characters for $f$ and $t$, and the "determinative" used in late texts for feminine names, and recognized from variants in the papyri that different characters could have the same powers-in short, the principle of homophony. All this was mixed up with many false conclusions, but the method pursued was infallibly leading to definite decipherment'. ${ }^{1}$

Meanwhile Jean François Champollion, the young French scholar who was destined to win immortal fame as the decipherer of the hieroglyphs, had as yet but few positive results to record. Born at Figeac in the Département du Lot on the ${ }_{2}$ 3rd December 1790, Champollion's interest in Egypt had awakened at a very early age. In his twelfth year he was already conversant with the rudiments of Hebrew and Arabic, and from that time onward his enthusiasm for things oriental, warmly encouraged by his elder brother Jacques Joseph Champollion-Figeac, never flagged. As a student at Grenoble he applied himself to the study of ancient history, together with Coptic and all alphabets and systems of writing which might lead him to his then already clearly perceived goal, the decipherment of the Rosetta stone. At the age of eighteen he became professor at the same university. A few years later his republican sympathies brought him into serious trouble. Banished from Grenoble, he returned in 1816 as a schoolmaster to his native town of Figeac. In 1817 he is back at Grenoble, conducting a school and serving as librarian of the local Academy of Sciences. These posts he lost in 1820, and sought refuge with his brother in Paris. Throughout this agitated period of his life, despite keen interests in other directions, Jean François was constantly adding to his store of Egyptian and Coptic

[^10]knowledge, ever and again trying new solutions of the problem; when at last the truth was borne in upon him with all the vividness of a revelation, his complete mastery of the available materials enabled him to extend his discoveries with a speed and a sureness far beyond the scope of any of his contemporaries.

Passing over Champollion's early writings, the first and most ambitious of which was the geographic portion, in two volumes, of a projected encyclopaedic work to be called L'Égypte sous les Pharaons (1814), we now turn our attention to the actual decipherment. Close study had brought him the conviction that the three kinds of Egyptian writing were mere modifications of one another, and when, in the summer of 182 I , he printed his brochure on the hieratic script, he had no difficulty in converting the demotic groups known to him into hieratic, and thence into hieroglyphic. With the name of Ptolemy both in hieroglyphic and in demotic he was long since familiar from the Rosetta stone, and about this time he became acquainted with the demotic papyrus Casati, where he found and, as his biographer assures us, at once transcribed into hieroglyphs a name which he rightly conjectured to be that of Cleopatra. Confirmation of this conjecture was, however, for the moment missing. But only for the moment. In i8i5 W. J. Bankes, exploring the temple of Philae, had discovered a base block covered with Greek inscriptions in honour of Ptolemy Physcon and the two Cleopatras, near to a fallen obelisk which appeared to have stood upon it. Both the base and the obelisk were transported to England in I8Ig to adorn Mr. Bankes's park at Kingston Lacy. A lithograph of the Greek and hieroglyphic inscriptions was made for Bankes in 1821, and in the following January Letronne forwarded to Champollion a copy with Young's suggestion of Cleopatra scribbled by Bankes against the cartouche. It seems highly improbable that either on this occasion or previously Young's ingenious but unproven conjectures can have materially helped Champollion, or even have influenced him in any way; but his failure to state exactly what he knew of the Englishman's work has done untold harm, however unmerited, to Champollion's reputation.

A kerblad had read the demotic name of Ptolemy alphabetically, and Champollion, though always inclined to hark back to his incompatible theory of the purely symbolic character of the hieroglyphs, had proved, by his identification of the demotic signs with those contained in the cartouche of Ptolemy hieroglyphs also could, at least on occasion, be alphabetic. The values attached by him to the individual hieroglyphs were now confirmed by the cartouche of Cleopatra别的, for in both cartouches the signs of for $p$, for $o^{1}$ and

[^11]were found standing in exactly the positions where they were to be expected. The $\operatorname{sign} \Delta$ for $t$ in 'Ptolemaios' differed, indeed, from the sign $\Delta$ which represented $t$ in 'Cleopatra', but the discrepancy could be easily explained by the principle of homophony (the representation of the same sound by different signs), of which Champollion was well aware. For the rest, the two cartouches provided him with a number of other equivalences which could not fail to assist him in his search for further identifications. These the following months brought in unexpected abundance; among the cartouches successively transliterated and identified were those of Alexander, Berenice, Tiberius, Domitian, and Trajan, besides others containing such imperial titles as Autocrator, Caesar, and Sebastos.

The problem was thus solved so far as the cartouches of the Graeco-Roman period were concerned. But what of those belonging to the older times? Were the hieroglyphs of an earlier age also in part alphabetic, or were they wholly figurative, as Champollion had so often suspected ? It must be remembered that he was far less well equipped with material for answering this question than many of his English contemporaries. It was on the 14th September 1822 that he received from the architect Huyot copies of bas-reliefs in Egyptian temples which finally dispelled his doubts. The first cartouche which he noticed was from a rock-temple at Abu Simbel between the first and second cataracts. In this cartouche ( the two-fold $\|$ familiar to him from his alphabet. Separated from this by a problematical sign was the circle of the 'sun', in Coptic re. The royal name Ramesses or Rameses flashed across his mind, as he read re-?-s-s. The possibility thus envisaged became a certainty a few minutes later, when on another sheet he observed the cartouche (4) with the ibis Thoth at its head and, following the ibis, the signs which he assumed to read mes. Surely this could be none other than the king Tuthmosis ${ }^{1}$ of Manetho's Eighteenth Dynasty. Confirmation of the value of $\|_{\|}$was soon found by him in the Rosetta stone, where this hieroglyph formed part of the group corresponding to the Greek $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \theta \lambda \iota a$, a word which at once suggested the Coptic misi, mose 'give birth'.

From that moment onward each day brought its new harvest. Champollion realized that there was no longer any reason for holding back his discoveries, and on the 29th September he read at the Academy his memorable Lettre à M. Dacier relative à l'alphabet des hiéroglyphes phonetiques. In this letter he characteristically makes no mention of his decipherment of the names Ramesses and Tuthmosis. Those discoveries, together with numberless others, were reserved for the marvellous Précis du système hiéroglyphique, which appeared in 1824. Prolonged visits to Turin and to Egypt filled no small part of the remainder of Champollion's short life. On the 4th March 1832 he died, at the early age of forty-one.
$\S$ 11. The successors of Champollion. The collection of new materials and the

[^12]investigation of these left Champollion no time for setting forth a reasoned account of his conclusions, nor yet for forming pupils. Long before his death he had acquired a deep instinctive knowledge of the old Egyptian language; he could elicit with ease the meaning of most simple inscriptions and texts on papyri, and the whole perspective of Egyptian history lay clear before him. The posthumous grammar and dictionary appeared between 1836 and 1844 , and though edited by Champollion-Figeac with the devotion of which the elder brother had shown himself so splendidly capable, sadly betrayed the lack of the master's revising hand. An unworthy scepticism as to the value of Champollion's achievement signalizes the years following his death. A new impetus was, however, given to the study of hieroglyphs by Richard Lepsius's Lettre $\grave{a} M$. le professeur H. Rosollini, published at Rome in 1837 . Here the eminent German scholar, whose colossal Donkmäler aus Ägypten und Nubien later supplemented the great publications of monuments by Champollion and Rosellini, submitted the decipherment to a penetrating and judicious re-examination and pronounced the foundations to be sound. Samuel Birch, whose first publications date from 1838, was an indefatigable translator and editor of hieroglyphic texts. His short but admirable Dictionary of Hicroglyphics (1867), printed in the fifth volume of Bunsen's work entitled Egypt's Place in Universal History, was at length succeeded by Heinrich Brugsch's far larger Hieroglyphisch-Demotisches Wörterbuch (vols. i-iv, 1867-8; supplement, vols. v-vii, 1880-2), which, even at the present time, retains a considerable value. Brugsch's philological work embraced all corners of the field, but his principal discoveries were in demotic, of which he may be considered the real pioneer (Grammaire demotique, 1855). In hieratic the greatest advances were made by Goodwin in England (1817-1878) and Chabas in France (1817-1882). In the latter country Emanuel de Rougé (1811-1872) was a brilliant translator of hieroglyphic texts and author of an important grammatical work. The late Sir Gaston Maspero, whose published work covers the years 1871-1916, had an admirable feeling for the civilization of Ancient Egypt, and his vast activities, extending over the entire range of the subject, make him the outstanding figure among the Egyptologists of two generations ago. The present survey deals with philology alone, but it would be wrong to omit all reference to the excavations which have added so greatly to the linguistic student's materials. Here the chief name is that of Mariette (1821-1881), whose excavations began in 1850 ; from 1884 onwards the late Sir Flinders Petrie brought new and stricter archaeological methods to bear; subsequently the Americans Reisner and Winlock improved even upon these.

It is, however, only during the last sixty years that our knowledge of the Egyptian language has come to rest upon a really scientific basis. The year 1880 saw the appearance of two grammars of the highest importance, the Koptische Grammatik of Ludwig Stern and the Neuägyptische Grammatik of Adolf Erman. The latter, which dealt with the vulgar dialect of the New Kingdom, was supplemented in 1889 by an elaborate study of the language of a papyrus containing stories written in Middle

Egyptian (Die Sprache des Papyrus Westcar). In 1894 appeared a little manual of Egyptian Grammar by Erman which long formed the indispensable guide for every beginner (English translation of the first edition, by J. H. Breasted, 1894 ; fourth German edition, 1928). The study of Coptic was greatly advanced by G. Steindorff's short grammar of the Sacidic dialect (first edition, 1894 ; second edition, 1904). A yet more important contribution to Egyptian philology was Kurt Sethe's extensive and laborious treatise Das agyptische Verbum (1899-1902), still a fundamental authority for verb-forms and for the general relationship of Egyptian to Coptic. The Zeitschrift fiir agyptische Sprache served as a focus for new light thrown by Erman's pupils on the structure and details of the Egyptian language, but now, after the second world war, has come to a temporary standstill. In close sympathy with, though independent of, the work of the German school and its adherents in other lands were F. Ll. Griffith's remarkable successes in the palaeographical field; his decipherment of the cursive hieratic texts belonging to the Middle Kingdom and of the early demotic papyri opened up tracts thitherto unexplored. In the domain of demotic W. Spiegelberg proved the most prolific and serviceable editor of texts; in England Sir Herbert Thompson collaborated closely with F. Ll. Griffith in the publication of certain important papyri. Egyptian grammar made a brilliant advance with Battiscombe Gunn's Studies in Egyptian Syntax, Paris, 1924. As regards lexicography, Erman and his colleagues inaugurated in 1897 a vast enterprise of which a more extended account is called for. The Wörterbuch der ägyptischen Sprache promoted by the German Academies was to be based upon a collection of all words in all known inscriptions and manuscripts. The collection of the material, in the end amounting to more than a million and a half slips, was a task in which scholars from many different lands participated. Their part, however, necessarily terminated when the working out of results demanded che concentration of effort exclusively in Berlin. Erman, Sethe, and H. Grapow now remained as sole editors, and when the first-named became crippled with old age and failing eyesight and the second was claimed by other tasks, practically the whole responsibility came to rest on Grapow's shoulders. The last-named was fortunate in having the help of the Danish scholar Dr. (now Professor) Erichsen, to whose admirable handwriting we owe the five volumes of the Wörterbuch proper (1926-31). By a less fortunate decision, however, the publication of the all-important references to texts, later expanded into actual citations, was deferred until the skeleton of the whole should be complete. Down to 1940, when the last part appeared, these references (Belegstellen) had reached only to the end of the letter rah, and the publication of the remainder is unpredictable. Lexicography thus constitutes our principal desideratum, ${ }^{1}$ though for the final stage of the language an immense stride forward was made by W. E. Crum's great Coptic Dictionary, the title-page of which bears the date 1939.

[^13]Until quite recently another urgent need was a handy selection of passages for study, since K. Sethe's widely used Ägyptische Lesestücke (Texte des Mittleren Reiches, 1924) is no longer available and is likely to have been a war-casualty, The place of this work has, however, now been taken by A. de Buck's Egyptian Readingbook, vol. I (Leyden, 1940).

In general, Egyptian philology has shown some progress since the first edition of the present work appeared, but not in the same degree or at the same speed as in the generation immediately preceding. In any case, we stand too close to the contributions which would have had to be recorded to make it desirable to bring this sketch further up to date.

## D. BRIEF SURVEY OF EGYPTIAN LITERATURE

§ 12. Throughout the entire course of history no people has been more afflicted with the scribendi cacoethes than the Egyptians. The decorative character of the hieroglyphic script and its close connexion with pictorial art made it a natural and handy medium of ornamentation. Hence in temple and tomb there is hardly a wall but bears hieroglyphic inscriptions, and even the common objects of daily life, such as toilet utensils, boxes, jewels, and weapons, often display the names and titles of their owners, or the cartouche of the Pharaoh under whom they were made. It would be tedious to enumerate all the types of inscription that have come down to us; but this Introduction may fitly include some account of those texts from which our knowledge of Egyptian grammar and literary style is derived. We shall confine our attention to the earlier periods and only the more important documents will be mentioned. ${ }^{1}$
§ 13. The religious literature. ${ }^{2}$ The oldest body of religious texts is the large collection of spells known as the Pyramid Texts, ${ }^{3}$ since the most ancient and complete versions were discovered on the walls of chambers inside the pyramids of five kings of the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties. These texts, for the most part of very great antiquity, are exclusively concerned with the welfare of the dead king; they consist of incantations whereby his place in the sky and the other prerogatives of a dead king are assured to him; and they also incorporate the ritual which was recited in connexion

[^14]with the daily offerings made in the pyramid-temples. At a later date these texts were usurped for their own benefit by the nobles, and many excerpts are found written in the interiors of the large wooden coffins of Dyn. IX-XI.

The coffins just mentioned also contain an important collection of spells which are known specifically as the Coffin Texts. ${ }^{1}$ These were composed on behalf of non-royal personages, and comprise incantations affording protection against hunger, thirst, and the manifold dangers of the netherworld, incantations for enabling the deceased to assume whatever forms he pleased, and incantations by virtue of which he could remain in the enjoyment of his former pastimes and partake of the society of his relatives and friends. The name of 'Coffin Texts' is reserved for those spells which are peculiar to the early coffins and do not recur later-not at least until the Saite period, when some of them were sporadically revived.

Other texts from the same source and of precisely the same nature constitute the nucleus and the earliest recension of a collection of texts to which Egyptologists have given the misleading name of the Book of the Dead. This is not really a book at all, but a heterogeneous assemblage of funerary spells of various dates, including also a few hymns to Rēr and Osiris, selections from which were written on papyrus and deposited in the tombs of most well-to-do Egyptians right down to the Roman period. The number of spells (wrongly called 'chapters') contained in individual copies, and the order in which they occur, vary greatly. The most complete 'Books of the Dead' belong to the Ptolemaic period, and count upwards of 150 spells, often embellished with vignettes. Fine specimens of rather less extent emanate from the tombs of the dignitaries of Dyns. XVIII-XIX; these are often admirably written and sumptuously illustrated in colour. It is thus convenient to distinguish three versions of the Book of the Dead: ( r ) the Middle Kingdom version, principally found on the early coffins; ${ }^{2}$ (2) the New Kingdom version, consisting of papyri dating from the Eighteenth to the Twentieth Dynasties; ${ }^{3}(3)$ the versions of the late period, from Dyn. XXI onwards. ${ }^{4}$

Other religious books, many of them very ancient, have survived only in copies

[^15]of Dyn. XIX and even later. Such are the Ritual of the Divine Cult, ${ }^{1}$ the spells accompanying the daily service performed in the temples of the gods, the most complete copies of which are found in the temple of Sethos I at Abydus. Of rather more limited extent is the Ritual of the Funerary Cult, the vignettes and texts of which are found in the tombs of many Theban nobles. ${ }^{2}$ The tombs of the kings at Thebes bring to our knowledge four theological works of high importance: the Book of what is in the Netherworld, ${ }^{3}$ often called the Am Duat, describing the strange regions and inhabitants visited by the sun-god during his nocturnal journey underground from west to east ; the Book of Gates ${ }^{4}$ and the Book of Caverns, ${ }^{5}$ two other treatises dealing with the topography of the netherworld; and the so-called Litany of the Sun. ${ }^{6}$ Of exceptional interest, though very corrupt, is an old magical text of which the most complete copies are found in the tombs of Sethos I and Ramesses III, recounting the Destruction of Mankind ${ }^{7}$ by Resc, the sun-god, and the establishment in the heavens of the celestial cow-goddess.

Hymns to the gods are found, not only in the Book of the Dead and on sepulchral stelae or grave-stones, ${ }^{8}$ but also elsewhere. Some curious hymns to the snake-goddesses who were identified with the crowns of Pharaoh have been published by Erman from a papyrus of Dyn. XVII-XVIII formerly in the possession of M. Golénischeff.9 Still earlier is a hymn to the crocodile-god Sobk (Greek Suchos) discovered in a tomb beneath the Ramesseum. ${ }^{10}$ A hymn to the Nile is ancient, but very corrupt. ${ }^{11}$ The hymns to Amen-Ré on papyri in Cairo ${ }^{12}$ and Leyden ${ }^{13}$ are of

[^16]later date; the latter indeed belongs to the border-line of the period covered by this book, as do also the wonderful hymns to the Aten ${ }^{1}$ or Solar Disk inscribed in the tombs of El-Amarna and inspired by the heretic king Akhenaten (about t373-1 357 b.c.).

The stelae which all the larger collections of Egyptian antiquities possess in hundreds must here be mentioned. ${ }^{2}$ Some record merely the names and titles of their dead owner and his relatives; but more frequently a stereotyped formula gives expression to his desire for funerary offerings, and this formula is often expanded in an interesting way, with adjurations to passers-by to recite the requisite words, or with enumerations of the benefits hoped for in the life after death. Scraps of autobiography or self-laudatory phrases are not infrequently appended. ${ }^{3}$ Sometimes, as already noted, hymns to the gods take the place of the more usual texts.

The magical papyri in Turin, Leyden, and other collections are mostly later than the Eighteenth Dynasty, ${ }^{4}$ though many of them doubtless represent much older archetypes. One collection of magical spells falls, however, well within our period;

[^17]it contains spells for the protection of mothers and their children. ${ }^{1}$ It was the common belief that the dead could exercise a potent influence upon the fortunes of the living for good or evil ; hence the letters addressed to deceased parents and other relatives which have been found upon earthenware vessels deposited in the tombs. ${ }^{2}$ Likewise inscribed upon pots are denunciations of various foreign chieftains and others deemed hostile to Egypt ; ${ }^{3}$ and a fresh series of similar character has been discovered written upon actual images of the enemies in question. ${ }^{4}$
§ 14. Secular non-literary documents. Out of the practice of magic arose the science of medicine; some important medical papyri have survived. ${ }^{5}$ The oldest pages, dating from the end of Dyn. XII, were found at Illahûn (wrongly known as Kahôn) and deal with gynaecological cases ; ${ }^{6}$ from the same place came fragments of a veterinary papyrus. ${ }^{7}$ Far surpassing these in both size and interest are two magnificent manuscripts written at the beginning of Dyn. XVIII: the Ebers papyrus ${ }^{8}$ gives instruction in the treatment of many maladies, besides describing the heart's action and explaining various medical terms; the Edwin Smith papyrus ${ }^{\bullet}$ is mainly concerned with wounds, but adds on the verso a number of magical and medical prescriptions of sundry kinds. Later than these is a well-preserved papyrus ${ }^{10}$ showing marked affinity to the Ebers. To be assigned to the Nineteenth or Twentieth Dynasty are several other manuscripts ${ }^{11}$ of which the archetypes were certainly many centuries earlier. This class of composition presents serious difficulties owing to the technical nature of its subject-matter; further obstacles to comprehension are the many unidentifiable names of drugs and diseases, not to speak of the probability of textual corruptions.

[^18]Several works on mathematics have been found ; the two most important are the Rhind papyrus in the British Museum ${ }^{1}$ and another in the Moscow collection. ${ }^{2}$ The problems dealt with are all of a purely practical order, but in some cases involve a considerable degree of knowledge.

A lexicographical book emanating from the already-mentioned Ramesseum find contained lists of birds, animals, cereals, parts of an ox, geographical names, and the like, but the earlier portions are very fragmentary. ${ }^{3}$

The legal documents which have been preserved are less numerous than one might have expected. Some wills were discovered among the Illahun papyri, as well as deeds of sale, census-lists, \&c. ${ }^{4}$ From the neightouring site of Medinet Ghurab come several agreements concerning the work of certain female slaves, together with the procès-verbal of a lawsuit connected with the same subject. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ A more obscure document in which a female slave plays a prominent part ${ }^{6}$ is interesting for its legal form and terminology, agreeing with those of a highly important stela discovered at Karnak more than twenty years ago, but unfortunately still unpublished; ${ }^{7}$ this records the sale of the office of mayor at El-Káb under an obscure king of Dyn. XVII. The only other procès-verbal of a lawsuit falling within our period dates from the reign of Tuthmosis IV and is very fragmentary. ${ }^{8}$ A long inscription in a tomb at Asyutt (early Dyn. XII) records the arrangements made with the local priesthood for periodic funerary offerings to be made on behalf of the tomb-owner after his death, the text being set forth in a number of paragraphs well illustrating the character given to written contracts at this period. ${ }^{9}$

Of high importance for our knowledge of the administration of Egypt are a long inscription of Dyn. XVIII setting forth the duties of the vizier and a complementary text recording the advice given to the vizier ${ }^{10}$ on the occasion of his appointment by the Pharaoh. ${ }^{11}$ Earlier than the phase of the language covered by this book are the royal decrees, dating from the Old Kingdom, conferring upon the staffs of

[^19]various temples ${ }^{1}$ immunity from external interference. Dispatches passing between the Capital and certain officials stationed in the fortresses of the Second Cataract throw light upon sides of Egyptian official life not illustrated elsewhere. ${ }^{9}$ Many fragments of account-books and the like have been found, the most interesting being a journal detailing the distributions of food made at the court of a king Sebkhotpe of Dyn. XIII, ${ }^{3}$ the records of a royal dockyard of the time of Tuthmosis III, ${ }^{4}$ and some apparently related accounts on two papyri at Leningrad ${ }^{5}$ and on two others in the Louvre. ${ }^{6}$

A large number of private letters exist, some dating back as far as Dyn. VI. The finest of all, still unpublished, were discovered by H. Winlock in a Dyn. XI tomb at Thebes and deal with the agricultural and domestic interests of one Hekanakhte and various associates and relatives of his. ${ }^{7}$ Many more come from Illahun and belong to the second half of Dyn. XII. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Curiously few letters of Dyn. XVIII have come to hand, but a series of six, all centring round the person of a scribe named 'Ahmosě, well illustrate the epistolary style of the period. ${ }^{9}$

Turning now to historical records ${ }^{10}$ of one kind and another, the earliest of these are the private autobiographies from the tombs and the royal decrees just mentioned; of great interest also are the inscriptions left by the leaders of expeditions to distant mines or quarries such as those of Sinai ${ }^{11}$ and the Wády Hammâmât. ${ }^{12}$ It is not until the end of Dyn. XII that official monuments with historical texts really

[^20]begin; among the oldest are some boundary-stones erected by Sesostris III at Semnah in the Second Cataract. In Dyn. XVIII such monuments become frequent; they record either warlike campaigns or the dedication of great buildings to the gods; particularly valuable are the many texts of the kind which Tuthmosis III caused to be placed in the temple of Karnak.
§ 15. The literature of the early periods. ${ }^{1}$ Several stories have been preserved to us from the Middle Kingdom. The masterpiece is the tale of Sinühe, ${ }^{2}$ an official at the court of Ammenemes I, who, overhearing the news of the murder of that king, fled away in panic to Palestine; there he rose to a position of great influence, but in old age was overcome by longing for his Egyptian home; his pardon and return to the royal palace are recounted with great vivacity and humour. Another book tells how a peasant of the Wâdy Natrrin, the oasis nearest to Egypt, is robbed of his asses whilst on his way to that land; he complains to the high steward of the king, and with such eloquence, that the high steward is ordered to detain him and to make him talk ; in the end the peasant's petitions are reported to the king and the wrong inflicted is made good. ${ }^{3}$ The romance of travel finds expression for the first time in the story of a shipwrecked sailor who is cast upon a wonderful island where a kindly serpent holds sway. ${ }^{4}$ Of more popular character is an unfortunately mutilated book of tales relating wonderful events which happened in the reigns of the Pharaohs Djoser, Nebka, Snofru, and Cheops; the last tale of the four contains a legend of the origin of the Fifth Dynasty. ${ }^{\circ}$ A fragment seems to deal with the fortunes of a cowherd who was tempted in the marshes by a goddess in human shape. ${ }^{\circ}$

Didactic treatises containing wise maxims and proverbial truths were greatly to the taste of the Egyptians. The earliest complete example of such a sbōyet or ' instruction' is ascribed to the vizier Ptahhotpe who lived under Asosi of the Fifth

[^21]Dynasty, and contains advice, much of it unfortunately obscure, which might serve his son in his administrative career. ${ }^{1}$ The same papyrus preserves the remains of similar counsels addressed by a vizier of the Third Dynasty to his children, of whom one, named Kagemni, followed him in his high office. ${ }^{2}$ A book that enjoyed immense popularity in the schools, but which has come down to us only in a late and impossibly corrupt version, is the 'Instruction of Akhtoy, the son of Duauf'; here the various trades and professions are reviewed, and the conclusion is drawn that the occupation of scribe alone confers dignity and staves off misery. ${ }^{3}$ Two kings left 'instructions' as a legacy to their successors; no book was more admired than the ' Instruction of Ammenemes I', the literary testament of a Pharaoh of great achievements who appears in a dream to his successor Sesostris I and recounts the story of his assassination and of the ingratitude with which his favours had been rewarded. ${ }^{4}$ Of no less interest is the advice given to his son and heir Merikarē by a Ninth Dynasty king whose name is lost; here much stress is laid on piety and reference is made to various historical events. ${ }^{5}$ The actual authorship of the various works above mentioned is of course open to doubt, the more so since the Egyptians' love of ancient attributions is amply attested in the medical writings and the Book of the Dead.

A related group of texts is best described under the name of pessimistic literature. This kind of literature seems to have sprung up under the influence of the catastrophes which overwhelmed Egypt at the close of the Sixth Dynasty, bringing in their train centuries of social upheaval and political disruption. The key-note is one sounded by the conservatives and aristocrats of all ages: wickedness and misery are everywhere rife, and the poor have usurped the place of the rich. Such a book of laments is that of the prophet Ipuwẽr, who none the less seems able to descry the dawning of a happier day. ${ }^{6}$ Another prophetic book predicts the coming of king Ameny (i.e. Ammenemes I, the founder of Dyn. XII); the supposed speaker is a sage of the time of Snofru (Dyn. IV) named Neferrohu. ${ }^{7}$ One Khakheperrassonb, a priest of Heliopolis, is yet another critic of his own age, who naïvely voices his desire for original phraseology and new expressions wherewith to unburden

[^22]his troubled heart. ${ }^{1}$ A composition of a very unusual type is the dialogue between a man weary of life and his own soul; ${ }^{2}$ in stanzas of considerable beauty the man describes his disgust at the world he lives in and his longing for death, but he is haunted by the fear lest in seeking a voluntary death he may be deserted by his soul ; the arguments on both sides are full of obscurity, but the soul appears to give way in the end, won over by the man's plea that the dead have power, like gods, to chastise the evil of the world they have left.

Of secular poetry little remains. Some hymns to Sesostris IIIs well illustrate the use of the refrain and the penchant felt by the Egyptian writers for a rhythmical parallelism of members. Music and song were the regular accompaniment of every banquet, but the legends written beside the figures sculptured on the tomb-walls seldom give more than the opening words. In the tomb of Neferhotpe at Thebes a harper urges his listeners to eat, drink, and be merry, for death is the common lot and none may tell what lies beyond. ${ }^{4}$ On the opposite wall such cynicism is sternly rebuked: ${ }^{5}$ is not the West the universal home, where all may find rest and where wrangling is no more? The Nineteenth Dynasty has bequeathed to us some tender little love-songs ; ${ }^{6}$ of these a few may well belong to the Middle Kingdom.

To sum up, what has survived to us from the literature of Early Egypt is but a small selection of fortuitous samples. We are fortunate enough to possess a few of those writings by which the Egyptians themselves laid most store ; but the study of other books of which we have but single copies, and which may therefore be conjectured to have enjoyed less celebrity, shows that the ancient taste differed considerably from our own, and that possibly many works in which we could find real poetic beauty have been lost through lack of appreciation at the time they were written. The best characteristics of Egyptian literary art are its directness, its love of the picturesque, and its sense of humour; the worst defects are a leaning towards bombast, a monotony in the metaphors used, and a very limited range of sentiment. The impression with which we are left is that of a pleasure-loving people, gay, artistic, and sharp-witted, but lacking in depth of feeling and in idealism.
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From the funerary stela Louvre C i72. Sharpe, Eg. Inscriptions, i. 82. ..... 123
From the stela of Tjetji, Brit. Mus. 614. $\mathcal{F E A}$. 17, Pl. 8. ..... 138
Funerary wishes from the tomb of Amenemhēt. Th. T. S. i. Pl. 27. . ..... 146
From the stela of Nebipusenwosret, Brit. Mus. ior. $\mathcal{F} E A$. 2 I, Pl . ı. ..... 168
From the accounts of the Royal Court, P. Boul. XVIII, 3 I . Own copy, cf. $A ̈ Z .57,58$. ..... 201
Legend accompanying a scene of foreigners bringing tribute to the vizier Rekhmerēs. Urk. iv. Io98-9. ..... 233
From the archives of the temple of Illahûn. Mölc. HL. i. p. 18. ..... 255
Beginning of Chapter 30 в of the Book of the Dead as found on heart scarabs. From the papyrus of Nu in the British Museum. ..... 268
From the hymn to Rē on a door-jamb from the tomb of the general Haremhab, Brit. Mus. 552. Hieroglyphic Texts, viii. Pl. 27. . ..... 291
Autobiographical text from the stela of Beb, Leyden V 88. Boeser, ii. ı. ..... 309
From the precepts ascribed to the vizier Ptaḥhotpe. P. Brit. Mus. 10509, 4, ni-14 = [Dévaud], Pt. 264-74. . ..... 323
Inscription on a rock in the island of Sehêl in the First Cataract. Urk. iv. 814-15. ..... 335
From the medical Papyrus Ebers, 78, 6-10. ..... 349
From the stela of Sesostris III at Semnah. Berl. ÄI. i. p. 257. ..... 361
From the tale of the Eloquent Peasant, B i, 82-6. ..... 361
From rules given to the vizier for the administration of his office, Newberry, Rekhm., Pls. 2-3. ..... 362
Hymn to the White Crown of Upper Egypt. Erm. Hymn. i, i-2, I. ..... 380
From the autobiography of the sailor 'Aḥmosě. Urk. iv. 6-7. ..... 399
From the book of tales relating to the sons of Cheops. Westr. 9, 1-15. ..... 419

## LESSON I

§ 16. Direction of writing.-Hieroglyphic inscriptions consist of rows of miniature pictures arranged in vertical columns or horizontal lines. These columns or lines, as well as the individual signs within them, read usually from right to left, but more seldom, and then only for special reasons, from left to right. In spite of the preference shown by the Egyptians for the direction from right to left, that from left to right has been adopted in modern printed books on grounds of practical convenience.

The signs that represent persons, animals, and birds, as well as other signs that have fronts and backs, almost always face the beginning of the inscription in which they occur, so that the direction in which this is to be read is but rarely in
 right because the birds, men, kid, and basket with handle all face toward the left.

Upper has precedence over lower, both as regards lines of hieroglyphs and
 the signs is $\eta+\infty+\infty+a+$ 皿 $+\cdots+\infty$

Here is a short inscription written in all four possible ways. The arrows show the direction in which the writing is to be read in each case; the letters give the order of the lines; the numbers indicate the sequence of the individual signs.


Note the effort that is made to arrange the hieroglyphs symmetrically and without leaving unsightly gaps. Observe, further, that no divisions are marked between the individual words.
§ 17. Phonograms or sound-signs (§ 6, 2) are of three kinds:
I. Uniliteral or alphabetic signs, representing single consonants. Exx. $\sim f ;-r$.
2. Biliteral signs, or combinations of two consonants. Exx. $ఊ m+n$ (or more briefly $m n$ ); $\square p+r(p r)$. See below, § 3 r.
3. Triliteral signs, or combinations of three consonants. Exx. $n+f+r$ $(n f r) ; \& h+t+p(h t p)$. See below, $\S 42$.

These three kinds of phonograms will receive detailed consideration in turn. The most important, as being the most frequent of occurrence, are the uniliteral or alphabetic signs (§ 18).

1 Exceptions occur in vertical columns, but affect only the order of these, not the signs within them; exx. P. Kah. 7 ; Mar. Karn.16; Rekh.2.9.
${ }^{1}$ See Sethe, Alphabet and the Signlist at the end of this book.
§ 18. The alphabet ${ }^{1}$ is shown in the adjoining table (p. 27). How the Egyptians named their letters is unknown ; the student will find it convenient to refer to them in terms, partly of the sounds which they approximately represent (column 4 of the table), partly of the objects which they depict (column 3); thus - is called 'bolt $s^{\prime} ;-$ is ' $n$ ' is 'the vulture'.

For transliteration into English writing, the symbols given in the second column should be used; these are our own letters differentiated by diacritical points or marks wherever the sounds to be indicated are unknown to English or would there have to be represented by more than one letter.

The remarks in column 5 should be carefully read, though the comparisons with Hebrew and Arabic letters will be of interest only to students acquainted with Semitic languages.
62. See $\ddot{A} Z .34,51-$
§ 19. On transliteration. ${ }^{2}$-As in other languages, words in Egyptian were made up of sounds partly consonantal and partly vocalic ; but, as explained in the Introduction ( $\$ 7$ ), hieroglyphic writing consistently ignored and omitted the vowels. Thus the two signs might in effect represent was, wes, ews, awsa or any other combinations of vowels with $w+s$ which the language permitted. Since we are thus as a rule ignorant of the actual pronunciation of early Egyptian words, the only mode of transliteration that can be regarded as strictly scientific is a mode which renders the consonants alone; therefore in most recent books on hieroglyphs will be found transliterated simply ws, without reference to the particular vocalization attaching to those consonants in each individual word.

A little practice will accustom the serious student even to such uncouth transliterations as $l \mathfrak{l n} r^{\text {, }} d_{3}$, wiz, or ${ }^{3}$; but since he will need sometimes to refer orally to the words thus rendered into modern written characters, a convenient method of pronunciation must also be devised. The course usually adopted is to use the English vowel $e$ in every case except where the consonants 3 and $r$ occur; in those two cases a (pronounced as in French) is substituted for $e$. Thus the following pronunciations are obtained: men for $m n$, djed for $\underline{d} d$, sedjem
 Individual teachers have their own methods of pronunciation, but the method just described is probably as good as any, and is recommended here. In order to help the beginner, vocalized transliterations of the kind just indicated have been added to the purely consonantal transliterations in the vocabularies accompanying the first two lessons. Thus ' ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ ht ("chet") thing' must be understood as meaning that the Egyptian word ${ }_{\circ}$, having the signification 'thing', is to be transliterated in writing as $h t$, but may be pronounced conventionally 'chet', with 'ch' as in Scotch 'loch', see the alphabet, column 4. But it must never be

THE ALPHABET

| SIGN | TRANS- <br> LITERATION | OBJECT DEPICTED | APPROXIMATE SOUND-VALUE | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SN | 3 | Egyptian vulture | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { the glottal stop heard at the commence- } \\ \text { ment of German words beginning with } \\ \text { a vowel, ex. der Adler. } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { corresponds to Hebrew s'äleph and to } \\ \text { Arabic \{'alif hamzatum. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| 4 | $i$ | flowering reed | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { usually consonantal } y ; \text { at the beginning } \\ \text { of words sometimes identical with } 3 . \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\right.$corresponds to Hebrew, yōdh, Arabic <br> $y \bar{a}$ |
| $\text { (1) } f f(2) \backslash$ | $y$ | $\{(1)$ two reed-flowers \{(2) oblique strokes | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | \{ used under specific conditions in the last (syllable of words, see § 20. |
| - | $r$ | forearm | a guttural sound unknown to English | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { corresponds to Hebrew y rayin, Arabic } \\ \text { ع rain. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $8$ | $w$ | quail chick | $w$ |  |
| $J$ | $b$ | foot | $b$ |  |
| $\square$ | $p$ | stool | $p$ |  |
| $\underline{\sim}$ | $f$ | horned viper | $f$ |  |
| A | $m$ | owl | $m$ |  |
| mmm | $n$ | water | $n$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { corresponds to Hebrew a nün, but also } \\ \text { to Hebrew } ; \text { lämedh. }\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\longrightarrow$ | $r$ | mouth | $r$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { corresponds to Hebrew } 7 \text { rèsh, more } \\ \text { rarely to Hebrew lānedh. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\square$ | $h$ | reed shelter in fields | $h$ as in English | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { corresponds to Hebrew } i \hbar \bar{e}, \text { Arabic } \gamma \\ h \bar{a} . \end{array}\right.$ |
| 8 | $h$ | wick of twisted flax | emphatic $h$ | corresponds to Arabic $\simeq^{h \bar{a}}$. |
| \% | $h$ | placenta (?) | like ch in Scotch loch | corresponds to Arabic $\dot{\chi} \underline{h} \bar{a}$. |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\underline{h}$ | animal's belly with teats | perhaps like ch in German ich | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { interchanging early with } \Longleftarrow \S \text {, later with } \\ \hat{\theta} h, \text { in certain words. } \end{array}\right.$ |
| ${\underset{\sim}{(1)}}_{(2)}$ | $S$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { (1) bolt } \\ \text { (2) folded cloth } \end{array}\right.$ | $s$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { originally two separate sounds: (1) } z, \\ \text { much like our } z ;(2) f \text {, unvoiced } s . \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\square$ | $s$ | pool | sh | early hardly different from $\quad \underline{h}$. |
| $\Delta$ | -k | hill-slope | backward $k$; rather like our $q$ in queen | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { corresponds to Hebrew } p q \bar{o} p h, \text { Arabic } \\ \vec{v} k \bar{f} f .\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\infty$ | $k$ | basket with handle | $k$ | $\left\{\right.$ |
| (D) | $g$ | stand for jar | hard $g$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ | $t$ | loaf | $t$ |  |
| $\square$ | $\underline{t}$ | tethering rope | originally tsh ( $火$ or $t j$ ) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { during Middle Kingdom persists in some } \\ \text { words, in others is replaced by } \Delta t . \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\square$ | $d$ | hand | $d$ |  |
|  | $\underline{d}$ | snake | originally $d j$ and also a dull emphatic $s$ (Hebrew צ) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { during Middle Kingdom persists in some } \\ \text { words, in others is replaced by } d . \end{array}\right.$ |

OBS. Later alternative forms are $\odot$ for $w, \subset$ for $m, y$ for $n$, and $f$ for $t$. Of these, $\odot$ arose from an abbreviated form of in Middle Kingdom hieratic, so that it appears in our transcriptions of hieratic texts belonging to a time when $¢$ was not yet written in hieroglyphic ${ }^{2} \subset$ and $f$ originate in the biliteral signs for $i m^{3}$ and $t i$ respectively, while $y /$ is taken from the word $n t$ 'crown of Lower Egypt'. ${ }^{4}$ Note also that $g$ is used for $g$ in a few old words.

1 The form usually employed in printed books is not found on the monuments until a quite late period; early detailed forms are $\square$ and amumime $\quad 2 \ddot{A} Z .29,47 . \quad 3$ As $m$ not before Tuthmosis $\mathrm{I}, \ddot{A} Z .35,170$. Already sporadically as $n$ from early XII Dyn., ex. Petrie, Gizeh and Rifeh isg.
forgotten that the vocalizations thus provided are purely artificial makeshifts and bear little or no relation, so far as the vowels are concerned, to the unknown original pronunciations as heard and spoken by the Egyptians themselves.
${ }^{1}$ See particularly Verbum, vol. I; also below, Appendix A.
${ }^{2}$ Bersh. i. I2, right; 34. Sim. $d r p$ for $d r p$, Siut 4, 27.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 648, 12. So $n t$ for $n t$ ' of ' (f.), Kopt. 8, 4. 7. 12 ; $t w$ for $t$ 'v'one', Amrah 29, 5 .
${ }^{4}$ See Gunn, Stud. p. $x$.

Obs. By an elaborate process of inference scholars have succeeded in determining from the Coptic the position and the quantity of the original vowels in a large number of words; but the quality is far less easily ascertainable. ${ }^{1}$
In this book $\cap$, originally unvoiced $s(\hat{s})$, and 一, originally more like $z$ than the $s$ by which it is usually transliterated, will both be consistently transliterated as $s$, since the two sounds had undoubtedly become fused by the time of the Middle Kingdom ; only when it is required to indicate the original sounds, will $\xi$ be used for $\oint$ and $z$ for - -

In many Middle Egyptian words ${ }^{2} \underset{d}{d}$ had already obtained the value of $\Delta d$, and $ص \underline{t}$ the value of $\Delta t$, as is proved by the occasional substitution of for original ${ }^{2}$, and of $\Delta$ for original $\Delta$. These changes of sound were, however, confined to certain words, and it is advisable always to transliterate written $\}$ as $\underline{d}$ and written $ص$ as $\underline{t}$, even where we chance to know that these signs were sounded as $d$ and $t$ respectively. For $ص t$ with a tick see Sign-list, under $\mathrm{V}_{14}$.

The hieroglyphs $\ominus \underline{k}$ and $\infty \underline{h}$ interchange, but much more rarely than the consonants mentioned in the last two paragraphs. They must be distinguished carefully in transliteration.
$\square h$ and $\ell h$, like $a k$ and $\Delta k$, represent quite distinct sounds, and must never be confused.

ObS. I. It follows from what has been said that biliteral and triliteral signs containing an original $\underset{d}{d}$ and $\underline{t}$ should be transliterated with these letters unless accompanied by alphabetic $d$ or $t$. Thus $f$ is read $w \underline{d}$ except in such a form as


Obs. 2. In Dyn. XVIII and even earlier, ${ }^{2}$ and $\Delta$ are sometimes found for original $\propto d$ and $\Delta t$ by a kind of false archaism. Exx. $18 \prod^{2}$, ${ }^{\text {In }}$ w $w w$ for wdhw 'table of offerings' $;^{2}=\mathrm{m} n$ for $t n$ 'this' (f.). ${ }^{3}$

ObS. 3. Suffixes which are, or once have been, independent words are in this book preceded by a dot, ex. hears $(s d m)$ him $(f)$ '. A hyphen is used only in compound words, exx. $r-p r$ 'temple'; $\Lambda^{\omega \mu}$ \& 'Imn-htp'Amenhotpe', a man's name. Grammatical endings like the $t$ of the feminine are not marked as such in transliteration, ex. 규료 $43 t$ 'daughter'.4
§ 20. Semi-vowels and weak consonants.-The hieroglyphs $\downarrow i$ and ware consonant-signs, but the consonants represented by them being closely related to the vowels $i$ and $u$ respectively, they exhibit peculiarities in their employment which entitle them to the appellation of semi-vowels. Indeed, the Egyptians seem to have regarded them, except at the beginning of words, as but little more essential than the unwritten vowels, and they are therefore frequently omitted in hieroglyphic writing. This is particularly true of gram-
matical endings, though full writings might there have appeared indispensable for the avoidance of ambiguity. For example: 2 a masculine participle, but this is very often written summarily as ${ }^{2} d d$; the same writing $2 d d$ is also, however, the proper form of the infinitive $\underline{d} d$ 'to say'.

Here we touch upon one of the principal sources of difficulty in the interpretation of Egyptian texts. Summary writings are so much commoner than full ones, that grammatical distinctions are obliterated and become a mere matter of inference. When, in a given context, the beginner is told that a form written $\underline{d} d$ is to be understood as $d d w$, he should take this assertion on trust until such time as he is able to appreciate or criticize the reasons which prompted it.
$\square$ is transliterated $i$ because it seems, from the start, to have possessed two sound-values in Egyptian : r, $y$ or $\underset{\sim}{i}$ like' $y \bar{o} d h$ in Hebrew, ex. ी- $\}$
 the Pyramid Texts.
$0 \mid y$ is barely found as initial letter in Middle Egyptian except in the interjection 股 $\triangle y h^{\prime}$ 'hey' $(\$ 258)$. $^{1}$ Elsewhere it is employed only in grammatical endings corresponding to $i \hat{i}$ or simply $i$ in Old Egyptian. Whereas $\mathbb{A}$ can occur either as last letter or as last but one, exx. masc. PQ mry 'beloved', fem. $\$ 14 \circ$ $m r y t$, " (less often II) can occupy only the last place; ${ }^{2}$ there it has the value $y$ of $i$, which it sometimes replaces, ex. Dion Drty 'Djerty' (a town), Dyn. XVIII

; and $\propto r$ may be termed weak consonants, since they are very susceptible of change or omission; both tend to be replaced in writing by $\downarrow i$.
21. Absence of the article.-Old and Middle Egyptian dispense, as a rule, with any equivalent of the English article, whether definite or indefinite. Thus $\propto r n$ may be rendered, according to the demands of the context, by 'the name', 'a name', or simply 'name'.

Obs. For Egyptian equivalents of both articles, appearing first in Middle Egyptian and becoming regular only in Late Egyptian, see below, $\$ \$ 112$ end ; 262, I.

## EXERCISE I

(a) Learn and write out from memory, both in hieroglyphs and in transliteration, the following words:

[^24]${ }^{1}$ In $y m m^{\prime}$ sea', Onom
i. $162^{*}, y$ is 'groupwriting', § 60.
${ }^{2}$ An obscure exception, § 1 \%7.
${ }^{3}$ Tôd, pl. 22. Sim, '/wny for earlier'Iwni, Cairo 2000I.

## Exerc. I

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Qh ky ('key') other, another, m. } \\ \text { okt ('ket') other, another, f. }\end{array}\right\}$ precedes its noun. $i m$ (' yem') there, therein, therewith, therefrom.
d $b w$ ('bew') place, m., singular only.
hat ('chet') thing, f.
\&th ('Pteh') Ptah, name of the god of Memphis.
4) $i w$ ('yew') is, are.
${ }_{\sim n n} r n$ ('ren') name, m.

- ${ }^{2} d$ ('djed') say, speak.
$\ell_{\text {K_n }}^{\text {mm }}$ hne (' hena ') together with.
(b) Write in hieroglyphs the following combinations of letters:
(N.B. Here and elsewhere the student should conform to Egyptian usage with its preference for a symmetrical arrangement of the signs (§ 16). The individual words will, however, best be kept separate, contrary to the practice of the monuments.)

$$
h n, r^{\prime} k, g r h, s f t, p t r, m y, s n b, \underline{h} r d, i z h, w i z, d s f, \underline{k} n d, p t p t, w s b, \underline{t} s m .
$$

(c) Translate into Egyptian, adding transliterations to the hieroglyphs:
(N.B. The words are to be translated in the order of the English, unless a different order is indicated by small numerals before the words, or unless instructions to the contrary have been given in the Lessons or Vocabularies.)
(I) To another place. (2) To Ptah. (3) ${ }^{2}$ Another ${ }^{3}$ thing ${ }^{\text {is }}$ there. (4) In this name. (5) ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ptah}{ }^{\text {'is }}$ there in this place. (6) Together with another name. (7) $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ thing ${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ is in this place. (8) ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Ptah}{ }^{1}$ speak(s) in respect of this thing.

## LESSON II

§ 22. Ideograms or sense-signs, as we have seen $\S 6, \mathrm{I}$, are signs that convey their meaning pictorially. More often than not they are accompanied by sound-signs ( $\$ \S, 2 ; 17$; 18) indicating the precise word to be understood.

Thus $\odot$, a picture of the sun, immediately suggests to the mind, besides the notion of the sun itself, also the notions of light and time; the addition of sound-signs is indispensable to define the exact meaning and the exact word intended in a particular context. Hence $\odot$ enters into the words $\otimes_{-}^{\circ} r r$ 'sun',



Obs. Note that one and the same word may often be written in several different ways; such different writings are called variants of each other.

Similarly，ex，depicting a boat，appears in the words 1 en wis＇solar


时年（also，but less frequently，间）represents a combined palette，water－bowl， and reed－holder．Hence it is used in the words 期》ss＇write＇（the spelling 一解
 ＇finely ground＇，originally of pigments．

0 ，an animal＇s ear，is found in $s d m$＇hear＇，more rarely written with all the component consonants；also in $\rho_{0}^{\infty} i d, \infty$ sh＇be deaf＇，and various other words．

As the example of shows，it is by no means necessary that an ideogram， when accompanied by phonograms，should be accompanied by all the signs needful to express its complete sound－value．It is only from full writings that the sound－value of ideograms can be ascertained；these are，however，on the whole rarer than short and summary writings．
§ 23．In several of the examples quoted in § 22 the ideogram follows one or more phonograms and ends the word．In cases such as these it is called a determinative，because it appears to determine the meaning of the foregoing sound－signs and to define that meaning in a general way．Words written ideographically may also have determinatives，ex．影通 sš＇scribe＇．
 with＇，lack determinatives；and many，like 组 $h k r$＇hungry man＇， wer＇flee＇，have more than one．

Obs．The name＇determinative＇is in many cases historically inaccurate，the ideogram having been the original sign with which the word was first written，and the phonograms having been prefixed to it subsequently for the sake of clearness．In such cases it might be more truly said that the phonograms determine the sound of the ideogram，than that the ideogram determines the sense of the phonograms．
§ 24．Generic determinatives．－Ideograms that serve to determine a considerable number of different words can naturally only express the kind of sense borne by these，and not their specific meaning ；they are therefore called generic determinatives．

The following is a list of the more important generic determinatives；they may be learnt gradually．For fuller details the Sign－list at the end of the book must be consulted．

[^25]痈 old man，old，lean upon．
䈍 official，man in authority．
 person，the dead．
god，king．
or 解 king．
god，king．${ }^{1}$
B or goddess，queen．${ }^{1}$
胃 high，rejoice，support．
praise，supplicate．
影 force，effort．
㿽 ${ }^{2}$ eat，drink，speak，think，feel．
通 lift，carry．
会 weary，weak．
気 enemy，foreigner．
enemy，death．
$\longmapsto$ or $\Longleftrightarrow$ lie down，death，bury．
f mummy，likeness，shape．
T head，nod，throttle．
Til hair，mourn，forlorn．
eye，see，actions of eye．
actions or conditions of eye．
©（less accurately $\overbrace{\text { ）nose，smell，joy，}}$ contempt．
$\Theta$ ear，states or activities of ear．
$\leadsto$ tooth，actions of teeth．
$\backsim$ force，effort（interchangeable with ${ }_{j}$ ）．
－substitute for $\sim$ in hieratic，less often in hieroglyphic．
of offer，present．
$\sim$ arm，bend arm，cease．
\} ）envelop，embrace．
$\rightleftharpoons$ phallus，beget，urinate．
$\int$ leg，foot，actions of foot．
$\Lambda$ walk，run．
＾move backwards．
$\bigcirc$ limb，flesh．
© tumours，odours，disease．
© bodily discharges．
and cattle．
\＄y savage，Typhonian．${ }^{3}$
§ skin，mammal．
\％bird，insect．
is small，bad，weak．
fish．
on snake，worm．
$\int$ tree．
le plant，flower．
loon or fro vine，fruit，garden．
to wood，tree．
$\therefore$ ©
000 or $0_{0}^{\circ}$ grain．
$ص$ sky，above．
© sun，light，time．

[^26]T night, darkness.

* star.

Ah fire, heat, cook.
$\frac{\sum_{P}^{2}}{P}$ air, wind, sail.
$\square$ stone.
$\square$ copper, bronze.
$\circ \circ$ sand, minerals, pellets.
$\operatorname{mmm}_{\mathrm{mm}}$ water, liquid, actions connected mm with water.
$\rightleftarrows$ (less often $\rightleftharpoons$ ) sheet of water.
프 irrigated land.
$\checkmark$ land (later often replaces $\pi$ ).
픈 road, travel, position.
$\sim$ desert, foreign country.
$\dagger$ foreign (country or person).
(2) town, village, Egypt.
$\square \square$ house, building.
$\rightleftharpoons$ door, open.
$\models$ box, coffin.
A shrine, palanquin, mat.
数 boat, ship, navigation.
ns sacred bark.

क bind, document.
$\rho$ rope, actions with cord or rope.
$\checkmark$ knife, cut.
T hoe, cultivate, hack up.
$\times$ break, divide, cross.
$\square$ cup.
$\square$ vessel, anoint.
\# (less accurately ס) pot, vessel, beverages.
$\theta$ bread, cake.
$\lessdot$ or $\Longleftarrow$ loaf, cake, offering.
$\otimes$ festival.
$\infty$ (also vertically $\}$, older form $\sim$ ) book, writing, abstract.
$\sigma^{1}$ royal name, king.
I one ; the object depicted (\$25).
। । । (also $\mid, 1, \circ \circ$ ) several, plural.
$\backslash$ substitute for signs difficult to draw (mostly hieratic).
${ }^{1}$ The hieroglyphs spelling the royal name are written inside this; see below, p. 74 .
This occasion may be taken to urge upon the student the desirability of acquiring a good hieroglyphic handwriting. In writing, the printed forms of the hieroglyphs may be abbreviated where needful, but care must be taken not to ignore any essential or characteristic feature. The transcriptions from the hieratic and demotic shown in Plate II (facing p. ro) are examples of the author's own hieroglyphic handwriting; though not to be regarded as models to be copied, they will serve to show the kind of way in which modern Egyptologists represent the old hieroglyphic script. Note that these transcriptions are written from right to left, i.e. with the signs pointing to the right (§ 16 ). It is important for the student to be able to write with equal ease in both directions, so that, when copying a text, he can retain the direction of his original.
${ }^{1} A Z .45,44$.
${ }^{13}$ In status absolutus ( $\$ 78$ ) the $t$ had probably fallen as early as O.K., cf. Hebr. Arab. and see $A Z .44,80$, n. 2.

[^27]§ 25. Purely ideographic writings.-When ideograms stand for the actual objects which they depict, the phonetic signs that would indicate the names of those objects are often dispensed with. Ideograms so employed are usually followed by the stroke-determinative 1 ; if the noun is feminine, the stroke is preceded by o $t$, the feminine ending ( $\$ 26$ ). ${ }^{1}$

Masculine exx.: ${ }_{i} r r$ sun; $\hat{i} r$ face.

Obs. r. The stroke , was early extended to other uses as well; not only was it retained when such words as $\odot r r$ 'sun', $\uparrow h r$ 'face' were employed in their derivative meanings of 'day' and 'sight' respectively, but it is sometimes found also with ideograms that have become purely phonetic, the whole ideographic word being transferred to a phonetic usage ; so 'h' $s 3^{\prime}$ 'son', which is written with an ideogram belonging to the old word $z t(z z t)$ ' pintail duck'.

Obs. 2. Ideograms meaning what they depict, and therefore accompanied by the stroke ${ }_{1}$, were in the Old Kingdom often accompanied by phonetic signs; a few cases

§ 26. Egyptian distinguishes two genders, masculine and feminine. Most feminine words ended in $\Delta t$ (probably vocalized $-a t$ ), ${ }^{12}$ exx. $\square$ 边 $s t$ 'woman', $\otimes_{0}^{\otimes}$ niwt 'town'. Most other nouns are masculine, as $\underset{\sim}{0} \mathrm{re}$ 'sun', $\mathrm{i} h r$ 'face'.
§ 27. Verbal sentences are those in which the predicate is a verb-form having the sense of a simple finite verb in English or Latin ('loves',' loved', amat, amavit).

In such sentences the normal word-order is: i. verb, 2. subject, 3. object, 4. adverb or adverbial phrase (preposition with noun).

 Obs. Sentences having in the Egyptian a verb-form serving merely as copula are in this book grouped for convenience sake with the non-verbal sentences, see $\S 28$.
§ 28. Non-verbal sentences. ${ }^{2}$ - This is a convenient class-name for all those sentences which either have in the predicate no proper verb at all, or else have one with the attenuated meaning of the copula ('is', 'are', 'was', etc.).

The copula (i.e. that 'link' between subject and predicate expressed in English by some part of the verb ' to be') is often left unexpressed in Egyptian, as happens regularly in Semitic and less frequently in Greek and Latin.

Ex.
Non-verbal sentences are classified according to the nature of their predicate. There may be distinguished:
I. Sentences with adverbial predicate, such as 'the scribe is there', 'the scribe is in the city'. Note that a preposition together with its noun constitutes
an adverbial phrase, so that predicates like 'in the city' come under this head. See in detail Lesson X.
2. Sentences with nominal or pronominal predicate, such as 'the scribe is a knave', 'he is a knave', 'I am he', 'who are you ?' The term ' nominal ' here means 'consisting of a noun' (Latin nomen) and the reference is to nouns substantive only. See Lesson XI.
3. Sentences with adjectival predicate, such as 'the scribe is good'. See Lesson XII.

No small part of the first twelve lessons will be devoted to mastering the different ways in which Egyptian expresses sentences of these three kinds.

Obs. I. Hitherto it has been usual to group together the sentences described by us as 'non-verbal' under the heading of the 'nominal sentence'. This is a term borrowed from Arabic grammar and has a signification rather different from 'nonverbal sentence' as here employed.

Obs. 2. The sentences expressing existence or non-existence described below §§ 107-9 are partly verbal, partly non-verbal. Another type of sentences to be dealt with in Lesson XXIII is non-verbal in form, though its predicate has verbal meaning ; we shall refer to it as the 'pseudo-verbal construction'.
§ 29. Sentences with adverbial predicate.-The word-order is the same as in verbal sentences (§27); since there is no object, and since the copula is in many cases omitted, this means that the order is 1 . subject, 2. adverb or adverbial phrase.

Exx. ๑๑円ी Rr im (the sun-god) Rē (is) there.
吅 $m p t$ the sun (is) in the sky.
To introduce such sentences the word $i w$ is frequently used. This is an old verb (perhaps a specialized variation of the verb $\Delta$ iw 'come') which has only this one form, and is employed in certain cases to be specified below with the meaning of the copula ('is', 'are', etc.).

Ex.
When the subject is a noun, the word iw occurs only in independent statements or assertions made with a certain detachment, and in these the presence of iw is much more common than its absence. Thus the difference between iwrr $m p t$ and $r m p t$ is that, whereas the former type of sentence gives considerable prominence and importance to the affirmation which it contains, the latter is the form of words chosen for simple, unobtrusive description, particularly when there has to be expressed the equivalent of an English adverb clause, i. e. clause of time, circumstance, condition, etc.; see the next section.

When the subject is a pronoun, the sentence. with iw has a wider use, see below, §§ 37. 117 .
§ 30. Dependence, tense and mood in Egyptian.-The student must realize from the start that Egyptian is very sparing in its use of words meaning 'when', 'if', ' though', 'for', 'and', and the like ; consequently, it often devolves upon the translator to supply the implicit logical nexus between sentences, as also between words.

Similarly, distinctions of tense and mood are not marked in the same clear way as in English.

What is said here applies both to verbal and to non-verbal sentences, though in verbal sentences the ambiguity of meaning may sometimes result from the fact that the omission of vowels in the writing has obliterated differences between verb-forms which were really distinct and possessed distinct significations. In their particular contexts any of the following renderings may be legitimate:
the sun rises in the sky
the sun rose in the sky
the sun will rise in the sky
when the sun rises in the sky
when the sun rose in the sky
if the sun rise in the sky
let the sun rise in the sky
that the sun may (might) rise in the sky, etc.
( the sun is in the sky
the sun was in the sky

$$
\leftrightarrow \circ \text { rompt }
$$

let the sun be in the sky when the sun is (was, will be) in the sky the sun being in the sky (circumstantial), etc.
When, however, a sentence with adverbial predicate like the last is introduced by $i z$, the range of possible meanings is narrower, and almost confined to main clauses embodying an assertion (see above § 29, below § 1 17); thus we obtain :

## the sun is in the sky the sun was in the sky

but also to express an emphatic contrast: whereas the sun is (was) in the sky.

At the present stage of his knowledge, the beginner will do well to translate all these sentences as referring to present time. On the other hand, if the sense appear to demand it and the rules already given permit, he may insert in his renderings such an English word as 'when'.

Ex. the earth is in joy.

## V OCABULARY

ㅇ．］rk（＇rech＇）become acquainted with，know．
Q on（＇chem＇）not know，be ignorant of．
$\Delta$ 號 $g r$（＇ger＇）be silent，cease．
Et $b d$（＇ched＇）fare downstream， northwards．
挂 $\triangle h_{3}$（＇ha＇）go down，descend．
sdm（＇sedjem＇）hear；with $n$＇to＇， hearken to，obey（a person）．
B．${ }^{m m} w b n$（＇weben＇）rise，shine forth．
 det．命，Rē＇，sun－god．

피 ts（＇ta＇）earth，land．
口○ $p t$（＇pet＇）sky，heaven．
组 shr（＇secher＇）plan，counsel．
$\bigcirc$ hrw（＇herew＇）day，day－time．

© 0 rszut（＇reshwet＇）joy，glad－ ness．
ロ0 $d p t$（＇depet＇）boat．
34 wis（＇weya＇）ship，bark， particularly divine ship．
 commoner．
 －$\frac{1}{}$ st（＇set＇）woman．
㷁通 $s^{y}$（＇sesh ）scribe．
Oी sht（＇achet＇）horizon．
ㄷ pr（＇per＇）house．


## E X ERCISEII

（a）Transliterate and translate：




Rocex $0_{01 \mathrm{~mm}}^{80}$
（4）Br mum 묭ㄹ
（5）4
$4 T$

（7）


回
（8）

（9）

（b）Write in hieroglyphs and in transliteration：
（N．B．Words in brackets are not to be translated．）
（1）The scribe goes down into another boat．（2）Ptah knows this counsel．
(3) (When) this poor man fares downstream to the city, the house is in joy.
(4) The moon rises in the sky. (5) The scribe is silent by day and by night (render: in day, in night). (6) This land is in joy, (when) Rē goes down into the bark. (7) A pool is in this city. (8) This woman hearkens to the scribe. (9) A man is there in the house.

## LESSON III

§ 31. The biliteral signs (§ 17,2 ), or combinations of two consonants, are of great importance, and a few must be learnt in each of the next lessons.
i. Signs with $;$ as the second consonant:

§ 32. Phonetic complements.-The biliteral signs (and similarly the triliteral signs, see below $\S 4^{2}$ ) are almost always accompanied by alphabetic signs expressing part or the whole of their sound-value. Thus $\boldsymbol{\mathbb { N }}$ is to be read $\xi_{3}$, never $\xi_{3}$, which would be written mim similarly not $b b_{33}$, but simply $b_{3}$. Alphabetic signs used in this way are called phonetic complements.

The exact mode of combination varies with the individual signs. In the
 (except - ) vacillating between this arrangement and that exemplified by where the first consonant precedes the biliteral sign and the second follows it; with - the exceptional arrangements and are found. What is customary in each case must be learnt by use.

The complete absence of phonetic complements is uncommon, but is seen in
 'construction'.
§ 33. The personal pronouns appear in Egyptian under several different forms, each of which has its own restricted field of employment. There must be distinguished:

1. Suffix-pronouns, see below § 34 .
2. Dependent pronouns, see below $\$ 43$.
3. Independent pronouns, see below $\S 64$.
§ 34．The suffix－pronouns（more briefly suffixes）are so called because they must follow，and be suffixed to，some preceding word．They are as follows：

Sing．I，c．敢－i I，me，my．Also fem．，${ }^{1}$ i not occurring before Dyn．XIX．${ }^{2}$ Kings sometimes \＆ （ $\$ 24$ ）；${ }^{3}$ 色，rarely for kings in Dyn． XII，${ }^{4}$ is replaced by 偈 ${ }^{5}$ or 昂 ${ }^{6}$ in Dyn．XVIII，when 并 occurs for gods．${ }^{7}$ Other writings of the suffix：
 inscriptions sometimes $\left\{,{ }^{10}\right.$ seldom.$^{11}$ The suffix was regularly omitted in O．K．；so too sometimes later．${ }^{12}$
Sing．2，m．$\sigma \cdot k$ Thou，thee，thy．
， 2, f．$ص \cdot \underline{t}$ Thou，thee，thy．
＂ $3, \mathrm{~m} . \infty \mathrm{f} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{him}$ ，his，it，its．
＂3，f．叩 •s She，her，it，its．
Plur．i，c．$-n$ We，us，our．
，2，c．\＃tn You，your．
，3，c．$\Gamma_{111}^{-} \cdot s n$ They，them，their．
，＂3，c．${ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \cdot w$ They，them，their．

Reversed in hieratic，viz．$\varnothing$ ．
Later also $\circ \cdot t$ ．
Old only $\| \cdot f$ ，later also－
Rarely－
 Or $\perp \cdot s n$（old $\cdot s n$ ），later also written
 Also written $\varrho_{1}$ ，a later suffix，a few examples of which are found as early as Dyn．XVIII．${ }^{13}$

Obsolete in M．E．except in archaistic texts ；${ }^{14}$ usually replaced by the plural suffixes．${ }^{15}$

Dual I，c．＂${ }^{1 "} \cdot n y$ Wetwo，us two，our．
，2，c．$=\underline{\pi} n y$ You two，your．
＂ 3 ，c．$\Gamma^{11} \cdot s n y$ Theytwo，them two， their．
Obs．I．For $\int_{0}, \vec{a}$ st＇them＇，＇it＇（ $\S 46$ ）as object of the infinitive，i．e．used like the suffixes，see $\S 300$ ．

Obs．2．For the forms assumed by the singular suffixes after dual nouns，see below $\S 75$ ， 2 ．

Obs．3．In $+\frac{11}{11}$ imytzeny＇between them＇（§ 177）$n y$ might be a very rare suffix 3 rd pers．dual ；${ }^{\text {＂}}$ or ${ }_{11}$ after verbs is best explained differently，see $\S 486$ ，OBS． 2.

Obs．4．The exceptional writings of $s n$ without $n$ are paralleled by even rarer ones with $t \underline{t} n$ ；reasons have been given ${ }^{16}$ for thinking that the final $n$ fell away at an early date，though revived for $\cdot \underline{t} n$ in Coptic．

16 Clêrein Groupe ling．d＇et．Chamito－ Sémitiyues，ii． 66.
§ 35．Among the chief uses of the suffix－pronouns are the following：
I．as genitive after nouns，with the sense of our possessive adjectives．
 ＇city of them＇．
${ }^{1}$ Cf．also $P$ ．Pet． ıI 6 в， 6, qu．§ $96,2$.

[^28]${ }^{5} B H$ ．i．26， 197. Sim．Siut 1，278－9； Cairo 20c03， 7 ；Westc． 6，24．Anticipating a suffix serving as sub－ ject，Westc．7， 8.
${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．i16．Sim． Pt．18ı．Dsiry，Adm． 2， 12.
$7 M$ hew．f，Peas． B I， 83 ，sim．Bersh．ii． 22，9，16．Rhew＇than itself＇，Sin．B66．Wpw－ $h r l i$ lew．k ds．k＇except thyself＇，BUDGE，p． 291，10；366，10．$\stackrel{\text { P }}{N}$ here．i＇＇by myself＇， ＇alone＇，Mill．2， 2.

2．after prepositions，as $n \cdot i$＇to me＇；
3．as nominative with the simple tenses of the verb．Exx．© $-d d \cdot k$＇thou sayest＇（ $\$ 39$ ）；$=s d m \cdot n \cdot \underline{t}$＇thou（f．）hast heard＇（ $\$ 67$ ）．

Obs．Note that $p r \cdot f$ in Egyptian may mean，not merely＇his house＇，i．e．＇the house of him＇，but equally well＇a house of his＇，contrary to the use of the English possessive adjectives；exx．below in § 115 ．$^{1}$
§ 36．＇Myself＇，＇thyself＇，etc．－Egyptian distinguishes no special reflexive pronouns．Hence ${ }^{2}=\frac{d}{} d \cdot f n \cdot f$ could quite well mean＇he says to himself＇．${ }^{2}$

For emphatic＇myself＇，＇thyself＇，etc．use may be made of $\uparrow$ n $d s$ ．，later also written $\rightarrow$ ，with appended suffix．${ }^{3}$ This is found

I．after nouns，as in © ${ }^{\text {股 }}{ }^{2}{ }^{*}=\operatorname{Rr} d s \cdot f$ Rē himself，i．e．in person．${ }^{4}$
 own name．${ }^{5}$
 sn $n \cdot k$ krwt $d \leq \cdot s n$ the bolts open to thee of themselves．${ }^{6}$

In later times＇myself＇，＇thyself＇are regularly paraphrased by folli殳h hrw．i $(\$ 73,3),\{-\square \backsim h r w \cdot k$ ，lit．＇my（thy）members＇；early examples also occur，some preposition always preceding．${ }^{7}$
§ 37．The suffixes as subject of iw．－Like other verbs，ize＇is＇， ＇are＇（ $\$ 29$ ）may have a suffix for its nominative．The student must remember that the sentence with $i z$ ，though here for reasons of convenience classed as non－verbal（ $\$ 28$ ），is verbal in actual form．

Ex．
We have seen above（ $\$ 29$ ）that，if the subject of a sentence with adverbial predicate is a noun，the effect of placing $i w$ before it is to give it the importance of a more or less independent assertion．This rule does not necessarily hold when the subject is a suffix－pronoun ；the suffixes must lean on some preceding word，and $i w$ is the word most commonly used to support the suffixes in the case before us．

Hence such a sentence as $i z v \cdot n m$ pr．f may have two meanings：（i）either it is a main clause，the assertion＇we are in his house＇，as above ；（2）or else it may be a subordinate clause of some kind．
 are in his house．
§ 38．Sentences with the $m$ of predication．－Egyptian cannot say $i z w \cdot k s s$ for＇thou art a scribe＇，but only

4－風时通 $\mathrm{izw} \cdot \mathrm{km} \mathrm{ss}$ ，lit．thou art（as）a scribe．
Here the preposition $m$ has the signification＇in the position of＇，＇as＇；hence it may be termed the $\boldsymbol{m}$ of predication．By its aid the pattern of the sentence
with adverbial predicate may be adopted in order to express sentences which in English have a nominal predicate. An example with nominal subject would be:

Obs. The predicate here usually, if not always, expresses what in logic is termed an 'accident', an acquired attribute rather than a permanent 'property'.
§ 39. The sdm•f form of the verb.-We have incidentally become acquainted with a form or tense of the verb in which the subject, sometimes a noun ( $\$ 27$ ) and sometimes a suffix ( $§ 35,3$ ), is added directly to the signs expressing the verbal notion; exx. scribe hears'. In describing the various parts of the Egyptian verb it is usual to take the verb sdm 'hear' as paradigm or model ; and since, following the example of Semitic grammar, precedence over the ist pers. sing. is given to the 3 rd pers. sing., the verb-form to which reference has just been made is known as the $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}^{1}$ form (pronounce sedjemef).

We shall see later ( $\S 4 \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}$ ) that the $s d m \cdot f$ form appears to have originated in a passive participle followed by a genitival suffix-pronoun; an original 'heard of him ' came to mean 'he hears' or 'he heard'.

To create the passive of the $s d m \cdot f$ form, an element $\quad t w$, sometimes more briefly written $\circ \cdot t(w)$, is inserted immediately after the verb-stem, as in ○ this utterance) is heard'. The element $\cdot t w$ is really an indefinite pronoun like our 'one', French on, and is sometimes still so used independently, ex. ${ }^{2}$ on $\underline{d} d \cdot t w$ 'one says', 'it is said' (see too below § 47) ; from this use sdm•tw•f 'he is heard' was doubtless derived on the analogy of the active sdm•f.

Obs. The suffix-pronoun after $t$ tw was undoubtedly felt as the subject of a passive, not as the object of an active ; otherwise the dependent pronouns (§44, 1 ), not the suffixes, would have been used. ${ }^{2}$ However, such constructions as $h r \cdot t z s s d m$. $t w \cdot f(\S 239)$, $i w \cdot t w$ śdm $d x w \cdot f(\$ 463)$ show that the origin was not altogether lost from sight.
The full form follows any determinative that the verb-stem may have, as教 follow the determinative, but ending $t w$ is in all cases inseparable from the verb-stem.

The full paradigm of the $s d m \cdot f$ form is as follows:

## Active

ist sing. c. on $s d m \cdot i$ I hear 2nd $\mathrm{m} . \sim s d m \cdot k$ thou hearest
(2) or on " " f. $\mathbb{N}^{2} \Rightarrow s d m \cdot \underline{t}$ thou hearest 3rd , m. ${ }^{2}=s d m \cdot f$ he (or it) hears
" , f. $\|$ sdm.s she (or it) hears
0. 0 or $s d m \cdot t w \cdot k$ thou art heard
0. 0 or $s d m \cdot t z \cdot \underline{t}$ thou art heard An or 0 sdm.tw $f$ he is heard Nor of sdm.tw.s she is heard

1 The transliteration with $s$ is here adopted since the term has to apply to Old Egyptian no less than to Middle Egyptian. The paradigm and exx. below are written with $s$, as being solely Middle Egyptian.
${ }^{2}$ 'Ith-tw st in Urk. iv. 658,4 is ' that one might pull them'rather than 'that they might be pulled'.

Active
ist plur. c. $0, n=1 m$ we hear 2nd ,", $\operatorname{Din}^{2}$ sdm.tn you hear $3 \mathrm{rd}, \ldots \sin _{111}^{n d}$ sdm$\cdot s n$ they hear

Before nouns $\operatorname{sdm}$ hears or hear Indefinite $s d m \cdot t w$ one hears.

The duals are omitted, since they are ordinarily replaced by the plurals; nor has it been considered necessary to encumber the paradigm with the variant writings of the suffix-pronouns, for which see $\S 34$.

When the subject of the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form is a suffix, this is inseparable from the verb-stem or, in the passive, from the verb-stem accompanied by $t w$; tw is itself inseparable from the verb-stem.

When, on the other hand, the subject is a noun, this, under given conditions ( $\$ 66$ ), may be separated from the verb.

Exx. ${ }^{2}$ (fime $d d \cdot s n \cdot f$ she says to him.

2- $)^{8}$
4
When the agent has to be expressed after the passive of $\operatorname{sd} m \cdot f$, or indeed
${ }^{1}$ Old perfective, ex Sh. S. $\mathbf{4}^{\circ}$; participle, exx. Eb. 1, 13 ; Urk. iv. 331, r2; infnitive, see § 300.
${ }^{2}$ Exx. Sin. B $205-$ 6 ; Louvre C 3, 12 .
${ }^{3}$ Pt. 634 ; Eb. 47, 19; Urk. iv. 137, 10; 490, 17. after any other passive form of the verb, ${ }^{1}$ it is introduced by the preposition 4 in 'by'.

Ex. 2 为
Much more rarely, the preposition ${ }^{-}$br, properly 'with' or 'near', is used for the same purpose. ${ }^{3}$
§ 40. Meaning of the $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}$ form.-This difficult topic is reserved for detailed discussion in Lessons XXX, XXXI. Provisionally, it may be said that the $s d m \cdot f$ form excludes the meaning of hardly any English tense or mood; see too above $\S 30$. As a past tense, it is to no small extent replaced by another form, the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ (pronounce sedjemnef) form, to be described in Lesson V. In most cases the student will do well, at this stage of his knowledge, to render sdm.f as an English present. But to serve as indications of the wider meaning, three common uses are here specified, and may be utilized at once ; the employment in clauses of time has been previously mentioned ( $\$ 30$ end).

1. The śd $d m \cdot f$ form is often used without any introductory particle in rendering the equivalent of an English clause of purpose; see below $\$ 2$ 219.454, 3.
 he may say thy plan.

2．Or else it may express a wish or exhortation ；see $\S \S 440,5 ; 450,4$.

3．Preceded by the particle $\ell \stackrel{\theta}{2}$ ，the fundamental meaning of which appears to be＇then＇or＇therefore＇（§ 228），the śdm•f form serves to express a consequence destined to take place in the future，or else an exhortation based on previously stated facts．



## VOCABULARY


$\emptyset_{0} d_{3}$ cross；ferry across．
$\because$ 合 $r s$ rejoice，be glad．
回 $\int \Lambda h 3 b$ send．
解通 $s 3$ son．
设运通 sit daughter．
 father．

\％sim but maidservant．
 side．

嗤 $k 3 t$ construction，work，device．
通 $t_{s} t y^{2}$ vizier．
$\Rightarrow{ }^{\infty}{ }^{3}$ donkey，ass．

40 ）minitrw river．
ms h crocodile．
皿 $h r$ face，sight．
$h r$ upon，concerning，because of； before suffixes written $\stackrel{\ominus}{8}$
周 $m$ in，with（of instrument），from，as； before suffixes 4 im ．

[^29]
## EX ER CI SE III

（a）Transliterate and translate：
（1）$)^{2}=2$
（2） 5 N


（b）Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration：
（1）The crocodile is in the river．（2）The moon rejoices，when the sun is in his horizon．（3）Then（ik）shall thy name be heard by the vizier．（4）This scribe is in his office by day（and）by night．（5）The donkey goes down to the city upon another road．（6）The scribe sends this boat，that we may cross in it． （7）He rejoices because of thy utterance．（8）This land is in joy，when thou art in the sky．（9）He fares down to this city，his daughter with him．

## LESSON IV

§ 41．Biliteral signs（continued from § 31）：－ ii．with $i$ as second consonant ：

$$
\& m i \quad \text {, less accurately }-m i^{1} \quad \text { o } t i
$$

iii．with $r$ as second consonant ：

$$
\text { twr } \quad \triangleright \mathrm{kr}
$$

iv．with $w$ as second consonant：

| 欰 ${ }^{3 \%}$ | ठ $n w$（rarely also for $i n$ ） | $\smile h w$（rare） | $\beta$ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 㖇 | \％ww |  | $\checkmark d w($ later $d w)$ |
|  | Q $r w$ | $\nrightarrow s w$（old siw） |  |

v．with $b$ as second consonant：
$\prod_{3 b} \quad \checkmark n b$
§ 42．The triliteral signs（ $\$ 17,3$ ）represent combinations of three con－ sonants，and have naturally a far more restricted use than the biliteral signs． They need be learnt only as occasion arises．

Like the biliteral signs，they are usually accompanied by phonetic com－ plements（§ 32 ）．Two arrangements are particularly frequent ：the one consists in adding the third consonant only，exx．眂 $\Delta$ r $h r$ stand up，arise；参 $k p r$ become； © $\operatorname{sdm}$ hear．

The other consists in appending both the second and third consonants, exx.


Obs. The student may be puzzled at finding $\&$ in $s d m$ here treated as a triliteral sign, while in $\$ 22$ it was described as an ideogram. This contradiction must be explained. In the case of the triliterals the distinction between phonograms and ideograms becomes particularly precarious. Thus probably all words containing the consonants $h+t+p$ are etymologically connected with the verb-stem $h t p$ 'rest', 'be propitiated'; they are, moreover, all written with the sign - representing a loaf placed on a reed-mat-a sign taken over from a word $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{i}$ htp 'altar', perhaps literally 'place of propitiation'. The sign - in any given word may be described as ideographic in so far as any connexion of meaning is discernible between that word and the word for 'altar', 'place of propitiation'; it may be described as phonetic, on the other hand, in so far as the sound-value outweighs, or throws into the shade, such similarity of meaning.

## § 43. Personal pronouns (continued from §§ 33-5):-

2. The dependent pronouns ${ }^{1}$ are less closely attached to a preceding word than the suffix-pronouns ( $\$ 34$ ), but can never stand as first word of a sentence.

Sing. I, c. $w i$ I, me.

Or e通. Varr. as in the corresponding suffix (§34), ${ }^{2},^{2} 1,{ }^{3} 1,{ }^{5}$ etc.
Later also $\$$ tw. Later also $-t n$. Originally św.
Early $\uparrow$; later also written $\sim$ or $\rightarrow$ Originally sy.

Obs. I. For the $s w, s y$, and $s t$ which, from Dyn. XVIII onwards, are occasionally found as subject to an adverbial predicate or to the old perfective, and which may stand at the beginning of the sentence, see below § 124. See too Add., § 148 , i, Obs.

Obs. 2. A form is very rarely found as object in place of $\underline{t n}$ ' you'. In one text - 'thou' (f.) is used strangely as a suffix-pronoun. ${ }^{7}$ Both are probably explicable by $\S 34$, Obs. 4 .
§44. Among the chief uses of the dependent pronouns are the following:
I. as object of any form of the verb ${ }^{8}$ except, as a rule, the infinitive.
 ferried him over'.

Obs. $S n$ as object is uncommon, usually being replaced by $s t$ of $\S 46$; some exx. may, however, be quoted. ${ }^{9}$
${ }^{1}$ See $A \not Z .30,16$.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 158, 16.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 385, 4 .
4 Urk. iv. $158,17$.
${ }^{5}$ Hamn. 199, 6.
${ }^{\text {ba }}$ Spieg. - Portn. I. 4,16 .
${ }^{\text {bl }}$ So too an archaistic dual, sny, Erm. Hymn. 12, 2.

[^30][^31]${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin}$. B． $263 . \operatorname{Sim}$. Sh．S． 108.

1．${ }^{3}$ Exx．below § 119 ，,$~$
${ }^{+}$Sin．B 223－4．
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{173}$－4．
${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 31$.

 these cases the pronoun frequently serves as subject when an adverbial predicate follows．

 Note that the $m$ of predication $(\$ 38)$ is employed also in this case．${ }^{3}$

别遥 $n n s(y) m i b \cdot i$ it was not in my heart．${ }^{4}$
 state which I（was）under it．${ }^{5}$

3．as subject after adjectival predicate．
 is to be carefully distinguished from the indefinite pronoun of $\S 47$ ．
§ 45．Reflexive use of the dependent pronouns．－Like the suffixes （ $\$ 36$ ），the dependent pronouns are used reflexively．

§ 46．The pronoun $\bigcap_{\Delta}$ st appears to be an old form of the dependent pronoun 3rd sing．f．${ }^{8}$ which has been specialized for certain particular uses，mainly in place of the 3 rd plur．＇they＇，＇them＇，or of the neuter＇it＇．
r．as object of the verb．
 meaning．

2．after the particles，etc．，named in § 44， 2.
Exx．$\sigma$ 風 thee．${ }^{11}$

A Allan bre nty st im the place where it is，lit．which it（is）therein．${ }^{12}$
3．as subject after adjectival predicate．
Ex． $\begin{aligned} & \text { than }\end{aligned}$ Obs．For st as object of the infinitive，like a suffix，see § 300 ．${ }^{132}$
§ 47．The indefinite pronoun tw＇one＇，French on，which we have found used like a suffix in the sodm•f form，ex．2\％dd•tw＇one says＇（§ 39），may also be employed after the particles mentioned in $\S 44,2$ and others like $h r$ ， § 239 ；$k 3, \S 242$.
 Obs．For an independent use of $t w$ at the beginning of a sentence，see below § 333 ；a unique ex．before $s d m \cdot t w$ ，see Add．§ 148 ， 1 ，Obs．For its employment as
indicating the passive voice in the sidm．f and other forms of the suffix conjugation see $\$ \S 39.410$ ；in the pseudo－verbal construction iwe tw occurs（an ex．in §332），as well as $\approx: n \cdot i n \cdot t w(\$ 470$ ）；cf．also lirr．tw（ $k \cdot \cdot t w$ ，izw．tw）śs $d n \cdot t w \cdot f$ ，§§ 239．242．463．A very exceptional example after the infinitive used absolutely，$\S 306$（last ex．but one）． Syntactically，$t w$ is treated as of masculine gender，see $\S 5^{11,5}$ ．
§ 48．Adjectives may be used as epithets，as predicates，or as nouns．
I．when used as epithets they follow their nouns，agreeing with them in number and gender．The ending sing．f．is $\Delta t$ ，as with the noun；for the plural， see below $\$ \S 72.74$.

O〇… ht nbt nfrt every good thing．
These examples illustrate the fact that $\oslash n b$＇every＇，＇any＇，＇all＇，and demonstrative adjectives which，like $p n$ ，follow their noun，have precedence of position over other adjectives．So too the suffixes when used possessively．

The word for＇other＇，m．$k y$ ，f．$k t$ ，precedes its noun，see Exercise I（a）；so too the demonstratives $p_{3}$＇this＇and $p f(y)$＇that＇，see below $\$ 11$ I．

With the adjective $n b$ the plural ending（ $\$ 72$ ）is usually，the fem．ending often， omitted in writing，exx． $997 \nabla n t r w n b(w)$＇all gods＇；合 $h t n b(t)$＇everything＇．

ObS．The masc．plur．ending is，however，sometimes shown；${ }^{0}$ Copt．$n i m$ is invariable．
2．when used as predicate，the adjective precedes its subject，and is invariable both in gender and in number．

性阶 bin sy she is bad．
Note that a dependent pronoun，not a suffix，is here used as subject $(\$ 44,3)$ ．
3．when used as a noun，the adjective is generally followed by some appropriate
 woman＇；宿 $n$ nft＇beautiful cow＇．
§ 49．The ending ${ }^{\prime \prime} \cdot w y$ ，much more rarely ${ }^{1}$ written $\beta$ ，as regularly in Old Egyptian，is sometimes added to adjectival predicates in order to give them an exclamatory force．

Ex．${ }^{2}$ ค＂
Obs．It is probable that this $w y$ is merely the masc．dual ending（below § 72） with a special signification；in this case $n f r \cdot w y$ would mean＇twice beautiful＇，compare modern Arabic marhabatên＇twice welcome＇．
§ 50．The Egyptian adjective has no special forms to indicate the degrees of comparison．Comparison is effected by means of the preposition $\propto r$ ， which here signifies＇more than＇，literally perhaps＇relatively to＇．

Ex．㐬的

[^32]${ }^{1}$ Siut 3，12；4，31； Urk．iv．817， 9 ．
${ }^{2}$ Exx．P．Kah．2， 11 ；Pt．627．629；ERM． Hymn．6， 1 ；Cairo 20089，$d 6$.

[^33]§ 51．The sense of the English neuter（＇it＇，＇thing＇）is expressed in Egyptian
${ }^{1}$ Pt．299．Sim．nfrt， bint，Peas．B I， 152.
${ }^{2}$ Peas．B I，${ }^{2} 39$ ． by the feminine．Exx．$\leadsto$ drut＇an evil（thing）＇，＇evil＇；${ }^{1}$ hr s＇on account of it＇．${ }^{2}$ Compare too the use of $\eta_{\Delta} s t$ ，see above $\S 46$ ．
§ 52．The meaning of the dative is rendered by means of the preposition mm $n$＇to＇，＇for＇．
 －

## V O C A B ULAR Y

$\overbrace{\Delta}^{\Delta}$ var． var．$_{\Delta}^{\infty} d i$ ì give，place．${ }^{1}$
$f^{\mathrm{mm}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{l}$ live；life．
$\stackrel{\theta}{\Delta t} h t h$ rest，go to rest，become at
peace ；set（of sun）；peace（noun）．

绊 hr appear，shine（of sun，gods or
阵会 shs remember．
to nfr good，beautiful，happy．
悬min bad，miserable．
M dwe evil，sad．
riss plentiful，rich，many．

没 var．great，large．
$4 \Delta \sharp i k r$ excellent．
fr sw empty，free（with $m$ of， from）．
i $i b$ heart，wish．
var．｜$n b$ lord，master．
$\bigcirc n b$ every，any，all．
mm $m w$ water．
mam
多 hrd child．
4040遉 ity sovereign，monarch．
\＆$m i$ like．
（a）mìt likeness ；$m$ mitt likewise．
${ }^{1}$ In this verb appearing in two variant forms $(\$ 289,1) \Delta$ is probably an ideogram depicting some gift，perhaps a loaf ；$\_$，increasingly common as Dyn．XVIII is approached，represents a hand holding such a gift．For $\quad$ is sometimes substituted $-\infty$ ，the two usually not being distinguished in hieratic ；$d i$ is not identical with 0 ．$m$ ．

## E X ERCISE IV

（N．B．In analysing complex examples like（1）and（2），the student should first transliterate the whole，and then divide it into its component sentences and clauses．）
（a）Transliterate and translate：



(b) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(1) How beautiful is this thy house! Behold, it is in my sight (lit. face) like heaven. (2) The sun sets in life ${ }^{2}$ every day. (3) Behold, thou art with me as a maid-servant. (4) She is more beautiful than her daughter. (5) Evil is on every side (lit. road). (6) Then we will stand up (and) speak to our good lord. (7) Every man is in joy, when he hears (lit. they hear) it. (8) Mayest thou go down in peace to thy city. (9) How excellent is this thy counsel in (lit. upon) my heart, (O) sovereign, my lord!
${ }^{1}$ For the plural suffix see § 510, 2. ${ }^{2}$ A common Egyptian phrase meaning perhaps 'to set in full vigour'.

## LESSON V

§ 53. Biliteral signs (continued from §41):-
vi. with $p$ as second consonant :

$$
凶 w p \quad<k p
$$

vii. with $m$ as second consonant:

viii. with $n$ as second consonant:


## PECULIARITIES OF HIEROGLYPHIC WRITING. ${ }^{1}$

§ 54. The classification of the hieroglyphs into ( I ) ideograms or sense-signs and (2) phonograms or sound-signs (§6) covers the entire ground, but, as shown
${ }^{1}$ See Erm.Gramm. ${ }^{4}$ §§ 16-89, where this subject is dealt with in greater detail; also Lef. Gr. §§ 9-66. in § 42 , Obs., the line of demarcation between the two classes is often difficult to draw. Nor must it be imagined that all the signs contained in the sub-divisions of these main groups stand on an equal footing and conform to identical rules; on the contrary, custom plays a very important part in deciding what writings are possible and what are not, though variant spellings are very numerous. A few examples will make this statement clearer.
${ }^{2}$ See AZ．49，${ }^{15}$ ； Rec．38，69－70．Ety－ mologically the word appears to mean＇be－ longing to（ $n \boldsymbol{i}$ ）the sedge（swt）＇，the plant－ emblem of Upper Egypt，as the papyrus wusd was of Lower Egypt．The etymolo－ gical feminine $t$ of swt remains in the writing of＇king＇，although variants show $n s w$ to have been the conson－ antal value as early as the Pyramids．Some scholars prefer to transliterate niswt or $n$－stot，but serious difficulties then arise in the case of the deri－ vatives $n s y t$＇king－ ship＇，nsyzv＇kings＇， etc．A recent alterna－ tive view regards $n s w$ and niswt as entirely different words，see JNES．6， 8.
＇House＇$(p r)$ is written $\square$ ，much more rarely $\square$ ；such a writing as $\square \square$ is never found．
＇To be firm＇，＇remain＇$(m n)$ is always $\stackrel{\text { щu }}{=}$ ，or the like，never $\AA$ ．
The club－sign $\rrbracket$ is used with phonetic（or semi－phonetic）value in a few words like $\ell_{1}{ }_{\mu}^{\mu} h m$＇slave＇，$\ell_{\ell} h m t$＇female slave＇，as well as in the common expression $\ell_{x}^{\prime} h m \cdot f$＇His Majesty＇（see further below，Excursus A，p．74）；but it has not otherwise obtained currency as a biliteral for $h m$ ，the sign $\theta$ being used for that purpose．

Yet again，some signs used phonetically must be preceded by letters repre－
 is phonetic inasmuch as the entire word $\|$ 拥 $i b$＇kid＇enters bodily into the writing of the etymologically unrelated verb for＇thirst＇；here alone is not phonetic，since such a writing as＝without $\$ 』 would be quite abnormal in early times．It is useful to describe such signs as phonetic determinatives；other
 horses＇； $8(3 r)$ in 3 n mir＇miserable＇．

Enough has been said to indicate that a correct theoretical account of all hieroglyphic spellings would be a very long and tedious undertaking．The method of this book is largely based upon the view that beginners，having once mastered the main principles of the writing，should not inquire too curiously into the nature of individual spellings，but should learn both the hieroglyphic groups and their transliterations mechanically．It is as unnecessary－to take an extreme instance－for the beginner to know why $\ddagger$ ． Egypt＇，variant $\ddagger \circ$ 负，is to be read $n s w$ and not $s w t n^{1}$ as it would be for a learner of English to know why the word pronounced plow is now written＇plough＇．

The student must，accordingly，expect to find in the Vocabularies a number of spellings which he will not at once understand．In order，however，to elucidate a few simple problems that may perplex him at an early stage，some paragraphs will be devoted to certain types of peculiar writing．
§ 55．Abbreviations．－These are commonest in monumental inscriptions， stereotyped phrases，formulae，titles，and the like．
 healthy＇（below § 313，end），attributes bestowed on the king and on honoured persons by the gods，and prayed for by men on their behalf；often appended as a token of respect to words for＇king＇，＇lord＇，etc．
 to the names of dead persons and hence often practically equivalent to our ＇deceased＇．Originally applied to Osiris with reference to the occasion when his regal rights，being disputed by Seth，were vindicated before the divine
tribunal in Heliopolis．The same epithet is also used in connexion with Horus as the＇triumphant＇avenger of the wrongs done to Osiris．

If whm $\mathrm{nh} h$＇repeating life＇，another epithet given to deceased persons in Dyn．XVIII and thereabouts．
 Pharaoh．

Ws．n－sw－bit＇＇king of Upper and Lower Egypt＇，literally＇he who belongs to the sedge of Upper Egypt and the bee of Lower Egypt＇；compare ＇king of Lower Egypt＇，a derivative in $-y$ from bit．${ }^{2}$

If haly－r，literally＇foremost in position＇，a common term for local princes or mayors．
（ 91 imy－r hmwentr＇overseer of the priests＇，more fully 19 hll（§73）．
§ 56．Graphic transpositions．${ }^{3}$－Signs are sometimes transposed，either in order to give a more pleasing appearance or for some less assignable reason．

A small sign may be placed under the breast of a bird even when the latter has to be read first；thus according to the word in which it occurs，may be read either $t w$ or $w t$ ；similarly either $t s$ or $s t$ ．

Thin vertical signs show a peculiar tendency to precede a bird which they ought properly to follow．Exx．$\%$ instead of $\& ;$


Economy of space is one reason for such writings as $\| \not \subset \downarrow$ for $\| \downarrow * s b_{3}$＇star＇；


§ 57．Transpositions with honorific intent．－There is a common
 names of specific kings and gods，before closely connected words which in actual speech were pronounced first．Exx．$\ddagger$ 时 ${ }^{\circ} s^{\text {s }} n s w$＇scribe of the king＇；7l hm－ntr
 of Amūn＇．${ }^{5}$ Note that abbreviated writings are here frequent．
§ 58．Monograms．－（i）In certain verbs involving the notion of movement
${ }^{1}$ Vocalized as in－ sibya in a cuneiform tablet from Boghaz Keui；see $\ddot{A} Z .49,17$.
${ }^{2}$ ÄZ．28， 125 ；49， 19.
${ }^{5}$ See Rec．25，I39； Pyr．iv． 8 17．
${ }^{4}$ Probable meaning ＇holder of the ritual book＇，hence $h b(t)$ ， not $h b$ ；see JEA． 4 I ， 11，n．3．Sim．$\underline{h r}(t) \cdot n t r$ ＇necropolis＇omits the fem．ending，$J E A .24$ ， 244；so too $n b(t)$ ， $\S 4^{8,1}$ ．
${ }^{5}$ Cf．also＇beloved of his lord＇written nb．f mry，e．g．Sinai 87 ；＇praise god＇writ－ ten ntr dzuz，e．g．p．173， last ex． the ideogram $\Delta$ is combined with a phonogram．

So with $\downarrow i$ ：$\ell \wedge \Delta i i$ come．
$" \rightarrow s: \mid \triangle$ is go（imperative）；$\Lambda m s$ bring，offer；$\Pi\rfloor \Omega s b$ bring， conduct，pass．

$" ص \underline{t}$ ：元惯 $\underline{t} \underline{t}$ take，carry off．
，，$\quad$ nw，in： $\mathbb{L} i n$ bring，fetch．

（2）Some other common monograms are：

| $\{$ or $\delta$ in $t r$ season | mm | \％${ }_{0}$ Lrt－hrw daytime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{_{\text {i }}\right.$ in $r n p$ be young | $\ddagger r s(w)$ southern | \＃${ }^{\text {H }}$ ch palace |
| mi，m | \＃Smrw Upper Egypt | －${ }^{\text {r }}$ wdr judge |

${ }^{1}$ Reading from late variants（Brugsch， Wörterbuch 976）and from Coptic henke．Cf． also the play on words Pyr．37， 39.
${ }^{1 a}$ See Onom．II， 237＊。

2 See M．Bur－ Chardt，Die altka－ naanä̈schen Fremd－ worte und Eigenna－ men im Aegyptischen， Leipzig，1909－10．Also particularly W．F． Edgerton，＇Eg．Pho－ netic Writing＇in $J A$ $O S$ 60，473，mainly an answer to W．F． Albright，The Vo－ calization of the Eg． Syllabic Orthography， New Haven， 1934 ．
${ }^{3}$ JEA．iv，Pl．IX， 10．Sim．Urk．iv．648， $5 ; 650,6$.
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．1119， 2. Sim．in Dyn．XII，BH． ii．p． 30 ．
${ }^{5}$ Leb． $145^{-6}$ ．
${ }^{6}$ See $A ̈ Z .56,61$.

7 Sh．S．38，con－ trasted with 106．Sim． $n h t(\cdot t i)$, Peas． B I, 116 ．
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv． 363.
${ }^{9}$ Peas．B i， 199.
${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv． 1111.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．III2．
§59．Defective and superfluous writings．－Such writings as for $r m t$＇men＇，＇people＇，and ${ }_{\ell}^{\Delta} \Delta \theta$ for $h n k t t^{\prime}$＇beer＇are in no way at variance with the rules already given，but are apt to puzzle beginners．The omission of $m$ and $n$ here is probably due to calligraphic reasons；but the Egyptian was under no obligation to prefix to an ideogram more phonetic signs than were needed to remove obscurity．Conversely，a superfluous $w$ is inserted in ＇meat＇，Coptic showing that if is to be read．${ }^{1 a}$
§ 60．Group－writing．${ }^{2}$－A peculiar method of writing with biliteral instead of alphabetical signs，e．g．$\overbrace{3}$ for r ，$h$ for $h$ ，and with some other groups， e．g．柤 $h 3$ for $h, 0,1$, for $t$ ；especiaily often in foreign words or etymologically



§ 61．Determination of compounds．－Compounds and other closely connected groups of words may show one common determinative or group of
 $r k-h t$＇a wise man＇，lit．＇a knower of things＇．5 Doubtless for this reason titles preceding the name of their owner are usually left without a determinative of their own，ex．射家通 ss Nht＇the scribe Nakht＇．
§ 62．Avoidance of the repetition of like consonantal signs in con－ tiguity．－When，for inflexional or other reasons，two like consonants either fell together or else came into close contact so as not to be separated by a full vowel， there was a strong tendency to write them but once．Thus，within the limits of
 ${ }_{\sim}^{\prime}=i n \cdot n \cdot f(\S 413)$ ．In the kind of verbs known as geminating（see below $\S 269$ ） this rule is still stricter，the alternative writing with repetition being practically excluded．

The same tendency not seldom manifests itself when a word ending with a certain consonant is immediately followed by another word beginning with the same consonant，ex．－偍败 $i r \cdot n \cdot i$ ist for $i r \cdot n \cdot i$ is st＇lo，I did it＇．${ }^{8}$ This case occurs particularly often with uniconsonantal words or the like，so that they then find no expression at all in the writing；exx． ds：two irf $m m$＇by what means（lit．with what）shall one ferry across ？＇；${ }^{9}$ \＆ $s m i \cdot s n \cdot f$ for $s m i \cdot s n n \cdot f$＇they report to him ${ }^{10}$ beside $\|\&\|^{\prime \prime 2}$

Conversely，a consonant is sometimes abnormally repeated，doubtless to mark the retention of a sound that in other combinations had fallen away；exx．$\pi \wedge s$
 for $m \underline{t} n$ wi＇behold ye me＇；${ }^{2}$ ㅇ

See Add．for § 62A．
§ 63．Doubtful readings．－A consequence of the complex and often defec－ tive nature of hieroglyphic writing is that scholars are still often in doubt as to the correct transliteration of words．Thus $\ell_{\Delta}^{\Delta}$ ® $k n k t$＇beer＇（ $(59)$ is in other books on Egyptian almost universally read $h k t$ ；in old－fashioned works $\ddagger^{\circ}$ 온 nswe＇king＇is regularly rendered as swtn；and so forth．Among readings which are not yet fully established we incline to gnzety for aid＇sculptor＇，sdzwty for $\Omega$ ＇treasurer＇．Where there is a choice，shorter readings are preferable to long ones；thus we read mni for $\stackrel{\text { 世明＇moor＇，though the stem is probably mini．}}{=}$

See Add．for § 63 A．
§ 64．Personal pronouns（continued from §§43－6）：
3．The independent pronouns ${ }^{4}$ almost always stand at the beginning of the sentence（exceptions § 300 ），and are more or less emphatic in meaning．

| Sing．I，c．${ }^{\text {® }}$ |
| :---: |
| ＂2，m．$\overline{\underline{S}}$ |
| ，2，f．${ }_{\text {e }}$ |
| 3，m． 2 |
| 3, |

I．


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {, 2, c. } \\
& \text { 3, c. } \frac{a}{a} \text { 唯, } n t s n \text { They. Later also }
\end{aligned}
$$

These pronouns often stand in parallelism to the particle（\＄227）or pre－ position（ $\$ 168$ ）$\perp$ in followed by a noun，and are clearly related to that word etymologically．

Obs．This series is closely connected with the personal pronouns in Hebrew and Arabic．The element in is probably demonstrative in origin，${ }^{11}$ the $t$ may be that of the feminine，and the variable endings are mainly those of the suffix－pronouns．
In the Pyramid Texts and the Old Kingdom the place of the forms above given for the 2nd and 3 rd pers．sing．is occupied by an earlier type of independent pronoun formed from the dependent pronouns by the addition of $t^{12}$ The two masculines have survived into Middle Egyptian as archaisms．

Sing．2，c． 5 twt Thou．Later
3 ，c．$\ddagger$ swt He ，she，it．Originally swt．
Obs．Twt and swot were originally masculines only ；in Middle Egyptian they are found for both genders．${ }^{14}$ Swt as a particle meaning＇but＇，see below § 254 ．
${ }^{1}$ Sin．B 171．Sim． LAC．TR．47，21． 23. For the loss of $n$ in． $5 n$ ， tn see f 34，Obs． $4-$
${ }^{2}$ LAC．TR．78， 3 ； Mar．Abyd．ii．30，33． ${ }^{3}$ Peas．B 1，7－8． Sim．Sh．S．7；Kopt． 8，6．9．So already in Pyr．，see AZZ． 44,80 ， n． 2 and above p．34， n． 1 a．

4 AZ．29， 121 ；30， I 5 ；GUNN，Studies， p． 46.
${ }^{5}$ Cairo 20007．
${ }^{6} A Z .23,8$.
7 Urk．iv．813， 9.
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．835， 15.
${ }^{9}$ M．и．K．2，8．9．
$10 J E A .27,106$.
${ }^{11}$ PSBA．22， 325.
$12 \ddot{A} Z .30,17$ ．For stt see above p．46，n． 8 ．

18 Already in Erm． Hymn．1， 5.
14 Twt，see ERM． Hymn．1， 5 ；Urk．iv． 222，10；229，12；343． 10．Swet，see ib．221， 14；257，9．11；258， 2.
§65. The uses of the independent pronouns to be noted at this point are :
I. as subject of sentences with directly juxtaposed nominal predicate.


= 皿通 twt $n b \cdot i$ thou art my lord.
2. as subject of sentences with adjectival predicate. This use is almost confined to the ist pers. sing.

Ex. ${ }^{0}{ }^{\dagger \infty} i n k n f r$ I am good.
In both uses a certain degree of emphasis rests upon the pronouns, and in some contexts it would be desirable to translate, 'it is I (who am) thy father', 'it is I (who am) good', etc.

Observe carefully that it is against Egyptian usage to employ the independent pronoun when the predicate is adverbial; 'thou art in the house' may be rendered by 4 ,
§66. Word-order.--It is now necessary to supplement what was said on this score in $\S \S 27.29$.

The dative ( $\$ 5^{2}$ ) differs from other adverbial phrases (i.e. preposition accompanied by a noun) in its tendency to follow as closely as possible the word that governs it. The following sentence exemplifies the usual word-order.
 reports this secret to his lord in this city.

This word-order is, however, modified when the subject or object is a pronoun; also when the preposition $n$ governs a suffix-pronoun so as to form a dative case. In these conditions the rule is that a noun must not precede a pronoun and that the dependent pronoun must not precede a suffix.

Exx.

d. across', since $t n$ may be the suffix just as well as the dependent pronoun.


 sent to us a despatch about it.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 368.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Peas. B 2, 26.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 166.
${ }^{5}$ Common as a m.
proper name.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 368.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Peas. B 2, 26.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 166.
${ }^{5}$ Common as a m.
proper name.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 368.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Peas. B 2, 26.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 166.
${ }^{5}$ Common as a m.
proper name.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 368.
s Peas. B 2, 26.
4 Urk. iv. 1166.
© Common as a m.
proper name.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 368.
s Peas. B 2, 26.
4 Urk. iv. 1166.
© Common as a m.
proper name.
${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 86-7. Sim. Peas. B 2, 38-9.
) 1 Hmm twt wy $n \cdot s$ st how like (to) her it is ! ${ }^{2}$
men $n n n \cdot k$ st it does not belong to thee, lit. it is not to thee. ${ }^{3}$

Q Bncin iwe $n \cdot i$ he is mine, lit. he is to me. ${ }^{5}$
Certain particles, termed enclitics (§ 226), which cannot stand at the beginning of a sentence, may take precedence of the subject (when a noun) or
the object or the dative．Such are $g r t$＇now＇（often best left untranslated），$r f$ （with wishes，commands，questions，etc．），and $/ \mathrm{lm}$＇assuredly＇in the following examples．
 tomb at the staircase of the great god．${ }^{1}$
 thereof．${ }^{2}$
 now indeed he was returning ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{3}$ See $\S$ 148， I．

Such non－enclitic particles as $m k$＇behold＇，$\underset{\sim}{\sim} n n$＇not＇$(\$ 44,2)$ stand at the beginning of the sentence，preceding even the verb．Examples below § I 19，and often．

Obs．Exceptional word－order is more often than not due to motives of emphasis， see below $\S \S 146$ foll．；but compare also § 507 ．
§67．The sdm•n•f form．－This second common form of the verb is constructed，as regards its pronominal or nominal subjects，as well as in its mode of expressing the passive，exactly like the śdm•f form（§ 39）．From that form it differs only in the insertion of an inseparable element $-n$ immediately after the verb－stem or after any determinative which the verb－stem may have．


－－
OMTS sdm．n．tw hrw the voice was heard．
$\square \Delta m p r \cdot n \cdot f$ he went out．

朝感通 $m s \cdot n \cdot t w \cdot i$ I was born．
Observe that the rules of word－order given in $\S 66$ apply also here．A full paradigm is unnecessary；the one point to remember is that the formative $n$ is inseparable from the verb－stem．

In its origin the $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form appears to have resulted from the combination of a passive participle with a dative of possession or agential interest．Thus $\square \wedge-p r \cdot n \cdot f$ would mean＇gone out to him＇，$A_{m}$ sdm．n．f＇heard to him＇．

Since the sdm．n．f form expresses essentially what occurs or happens to someone or by his agency，it was at the start no less indeterminate，as regards time－position，than the $s d m \cdot f$ form．We shall later on become acquainted with one affirmative use（ $\$ 414,5$ ）in which the $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ must be translated as an English present；and so too very frequently when it is preceded by the negative word $n$＇not＇（§ 105，3）．These are，however，exceptional cases；almost

[^34]${ }^{1}$ Leb.141. Sim. $P$. Kah. 28, 21; 29, 12 ; Urk. iv. 1090, 14 .
${ }^{2}$ BH. i. 8, 10. Sim. Feas. R 7. 59.
${ }^{3}$ Westc. 8, 8. Sim. Sin. B 189-90; $P$. Kah. 30, 31; Peas. BI, 74-5; Urk.iv. 17 , 7.
${ }^{4}$ Sh. S. 67. Sim. BH. i. 25, 13 ; Brit. Mus. 614, 3 ; Hamm. 113,9; 199, 6 ; Cairo 20538, ii. $b 3 \cdot 4 ; 20543$, $\boldsymbol{a}_{13}$; C'rk. iv. 34, 5 . I1. 16; 55, 16; 13 I, 14; 748, 2. 6. 10.

[^35]6 Sin. B 238.
everywhere else the $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is restricted to past time. It is thus employed of past time in affirmative sentences, where it may have the meaning of the English past tense ('he heard'), of the English present perfect (' he has heard'), or of the English past perfect (' he had heard') ; the latter two uses are particularly common in clauses of time (see below § 212 ).
 r $\xi_{s t} m n d r t$ (when) he has passed many years in imprisonment. ${ }^{1}$
 he had overthrown his enemies. ${ }^{2}$
§ 68. The compound verb-form iw sdm.n•f.-We have seen (§ 29) that 4. izw, properly the copula 'is' or 'are', confers upon sentences with adverbial predicates the value of a detached or independent statement. It is also frequently employed before the sidm.n.f form in main clauses to mark some more or less important event in a narration.
 I have brought Djedi. ${ }^{3}$ English present perfect.

48 $1 \times \times=\infty$ 菑 $i w w p \cdot n \cdot f r \cdot f r \cdot i$ he opened his mouth to me. ${ }^{4}$ English past tense.

The student should make use of this form at the beginning of narrative sentences in the Exercises, reserving the simple sddm.n.f for subsidiary sentences. The form iz sidm.n•f, to which we shall return later ( $\$ 464$ ), gives a certain smoothness and elegance to recitals of past events.
§ 69. Verbal sentences as noun clauses.-A striking characteristic of Egyptian is the ease with which it can treat an entire sentence as a noun. We often find words having the form of verbal sentences, without any equivalent of English 'that' by way of introduction, as object of verbs of saying, thinking, wishing, etc., or as subject of their passives; and a similar use occurs after prepositions. Sentence-like groups of words thus used we call noun clauses.

We shall be much concerned with such constructions in the later parts of this book. For the moment all that is needful is to state the principle and to illustrate it in one particular case, namely after the verb ( $r$ )di 'give', 'place', 'cause' (§ 70).
§ 70. The Śdm•f form after (r)di.—The verb $(r) d i$ 'give', 'place' often takes as object another verb in the śd $d m \cdot f$ form, and then means 'cause' or 'allow'.

Similarly as subject of the passive of $(r) d i$.
 (lit. one gave I passed) a day in Yaa. ${ }^{6}$

## LESSON V

## VO CA BU LAR Y

${ }_{m}$ in bring，fetch，remove．
赵 $w p$ open．
$\triangleleft \wedge s m$ go，walk．
S $g_{m}$ find．
 （ordinary）priest．

K 等 $k k r$ hunger（ vb ．and n ）； hungry．
Q $\sqrt{10}$ Mm in in ib thirst（vb．）；thirsty．
$\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim}$ 完 if m nh efficient，beneficent，ex－ relent．

$\square$ K mt the Black Land，ie． Egypt．
D sst the Red Land，i．e．the Desert．

Tor （royal）Residence．

導！$h m t$ woman，wife．
 slave．
 of Upper Egypt，king．
FI var．Fl，贫 $n t r$ god．
$\stackrel{\Delta \theta}{\rho} t$ bread．


$\infty$ 领 t sm hound，dog．
官（early also 虽）$s s$ back；$m$－$s s$ at the back of，following after．
$\rightarrow$ hand，arm．

## EX ERCISEV

（a）Transliterate and translate：



 （6）




## Exerc．V

（b）Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration：
（I）The scribe opened his mouth that he might answer the king：（O） sovereign，my lord！Thou art greater than any god．Thou art my lord，I am thy slave．This thy humble servant ${ }^{1}$ is like a hound following after thee．The Black Land（and）the Red Land rejoice（because）thou art（iw－k）beneficent king． （2）He caused them to go down to the boat．（3）How evil is thy utterance； thou art not（ $\$ 44,2$ ）my brother．（4）She is my sister ；she is in thy hand as a slave．

[^36]
## LESSON VI

§ 71．Biliteral signs（continued from § 53）：－ ix．with $r$ as second consonant：
 $3 b, \S 4 \mathrm{I}$ ．
${ }^{2}$ Also with value hw，§ 4 I ．
${ }^{3}$ See Faulkner， The Plural and Dual in Old Esyptian，Brus－ sels， 1929 ；ERMAN， Die Pluralbildung des Aegyptischen，Leipzig， 1878；also Rec．35， 75．For the dual，see AZ 2 ．47， 42.
${ }^{4}$ Cf．ityw＇fathers＇， but here $-y z$ is written out only rarely before Dyn．XIX，see $\dot{A} Z$ ． 48， 2 ．

x．with $h$ as second consonant ：
$\simeq b h$
D $p h$
$\square m h$
辣 $n h$
§ 72．Number of nouns and adjectives．${ }^{3}$－There are three numbers in Egyptian，singular，plural，and dual．The dual is used only for pairs of things or persons．

Sing．m．has no special ending．Ex．$\frac{b}{\text { 登 }} s n$ brother．
， f．ends in $\boldsymbol{t}$ ．
Plur．m．„，，－w．

$$
„ \text { f. „, }-w t .
$$

Dual m．，，，－wy．
，f．，，，ty．

Ex．$\square^{\prime \prime}$ 边 snt sister．
Ex．$ل$ 最皿，snw brothers．
Ex．bo shin snwt sisters．



§ 73．Writing of the plural and dual．－I．The oldest method consisted in the repetition of the ideogram with which the singular was written，thrice for the plural，twice for the dual．

Exx．Sing．
$\square(\square) p r$ house．
－（ ）（a）irt eye．

Plur．
기 prw houses．

Dual
号 prow the two houses． irty the（two）eyes．

This method of writing is archaistically retained in many monumental inscriptions of the Middle and New Kingdoms．The phonetic spelling of the words often precedes the ideograms，which thus appear as determinatives（ $\$ 23$ ）．


$$
\text { " } \mathrm{T} 000 \text { nhwt trees. } \quad \text { and } \mathrm{cty} \text { pair of limbs. }
$$

2．On the same principle，words that are written purely phonetically may have their component sound－signs，or some of them，repeated．This again，so far as Middle Egyptian is concerned，is for the most part a consciously archaistic practice．

Exx．Sing．
$\uparrow n t r$ god．
${ }_{\square} r n$ name．
UU hks magic．

Plur．
497 ntrw gods． $\propto \infty$ rnw names． \}UUU h hkzw magical spells.

Dual
$97 n t r w y$ pair of gods．

3．Towards the end of the Old Kingdom a determinative of plurality，
 general use．${ }^{1}$ As a rule it accompanies some sign or signs which in earlier times would have been written thrice，and serves as substitute for the repetition．

$\prod_{11} n t r w$＇gods＇
＂， 999

Sometimes，however，the＇plural strokes＇stand independently as the mark of plurality，as in 血ilinfo＇beautiful＇（m．plur．）；they may even accompany words that are plural only in meaning，not in grammatical form．

4．The sign＂，less frequently＂，which is seen in the dual endings＂－wy and ${ }_{n}$－$-t y(\$ 72)$ ，was originally a mark of duality employed，like the plural strokes 11 ，to obviate the repetition of ideograms；thus the archaic writing $\frac{1}{\sim}$ snty ＇pair of sisters＇was at first no more than an abbreviation of 频䖯孚．Since， however，Old Egyptian orthography habitually omitted the $-y$ of the dual endings $-w y$ and $-t y$ ，the substitute＂of the original pair of ideograms soon came to be interpreted as that semi－vowel．By the beginning of the Middle Kingdom， accordingly，＂had ceased to be a special mark of duality and had become a sound－sign for $-y$ ，with a use restricted to the terminations of words．Henceforth
 ，셩 have to be added．

Obs．The sign $\ell$ originally represented consonantal（semi－vocalic）$y$ ，but at the beginning of some words it seems to have possessed a value indistinguishable from 1；hence it is transliterated $i$ ．At the end of words $y$ is written $4 \ell$ or $N$ ，but not as a rule interchangeably； $4 \ell$ may occur as last letter but one，see above $\S 20 .{ }^{2}$

Superstition，as well as motives of abbreviation，helped in the development； see Rec．35， 73 ；$A Z$ ． 51， 18.

[^37][^38]${ }^{3}$ Sh．S．87．
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 16$.
${ }^{5} \ddot{A} Z .13,76$.
${ }^{6}$ Sin．B 63.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．1， 3.
${ }^{5}$ Peas．B 1， 167.
${ }^{9}$ P．Kah．6， 9.
${ }^{10} S h . S .85$ ；see Rec．38，197．A con－ vincing ex．Arm．103， 8.
${ }^{11}$ Leb． 65.
${ }^{12}$ Leb．106．See too below § 263，hrsn－nw －sy．
${ }^{13}$ Coffins， $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ C， 84 ．
§ 74．Omission of the plural and dual endings．－As seen in the last section，the plural and dual numbers of nouns were usually indicated by repetitions of signs or by the use of special determinatives．All the more readily，therefore， could the actual phonetic terminations $-w$ and $-w t,-w y$ and $-t y$ ，be omitted in the
 of $\circ$ Nild $n b t y$＇pair of ladies＇；indeed，the abbreviated spellings are the commoner， the full feminine plural being especially rare．For example，${ }_{0}$ ，${ }^{2}$ usually，nay possibly always，replaces the theoretically correct full writing＊ $\mathrm{B}^{\circ}$ ． $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ hmwt ＇women＇，＇wives＇．

In the case of adjectives，the plurals and duals of which were formed in the same way as with nouns，such abbreviated spellings are yet more common．The ending of the feminine plural is here never fully written out，and even the plural strokes may be omitted；$\dagger_{1} \odot_{1}$ and ${ }_{6}^{\circ}$ are equally legitimate writings of $n f r w t$ ．In the masculine plural of the adjective the plural strokes are often dispensed with，


Obs．As we have seen（ $\S 48$ ），$\checkmark n b$＇all＇，＇any＇，＇every＇was early often written as though invariable，but occasional variants show that this was not the case．
§ 75．After nouns in the dual
I．the sign for the suffix ist pers．sing．is occasionally preceded by $\|\| y$ ，


2．the suffixes 2 nd and 3 rd m ．sing．and 3 rd f．sing．sometimes show an

 case the dual ending is occasionally omitted after the noun，ex．$\subset_{\text {in }} g s(w y) \cdot f y$ ＇its two sides＇．${ }^{10}$
§ 76．The use of ${ }^{*}$ ．$\cdot f y$ just mentioned（ $\$ 75,2$ ）is extended，strictly speaking inaccurately，to certain words

I．having dual form but singular meaning，ex．$\Delta^{*}{ }^{*} p h(w y) \cdot f y$＇its end＇${ }^{11}$
2．having singular form but a meaning with some implication of duality，

§ 77．Apparent duals and plurals．－1．Certain words ending in $-w$ ，
 $n f r w$＇beauty＇；妴 $m n w$＇memorial＇，＇monument＇；回 ＇time＇．Similarly，certain words ending in $-z v y$ and $-t y$ ，though not really duals， are apt to be written as such；exx．in hinw＇night＇；（var．©in）miwty ＇belonging to a town＇．However，ำ（var．에）phty＇strength＇was early a true dual；${ }^{13}$ whether $\{$ nity enty＇period＇，＇end＇was so or not is doubtful．

2．Other words sometimes written like plurals，such as 4 品i，irp＇wine＇，
… nbw＇gold＇，are treated grammatically as singulars；$=m w$＇water＇is some－ times a plural，${ }^{1}$ sometimes a singular．${ }^{2}$

3．Many collectives ${ }^{3}$ in $-t$ are written with the plural strokes，though they are really feminine singulars and are so treated syntactically；exx． mnmnt＇herd＇；代他其 knyt＇sailors＇．
 appears from such phrases as tive $r m t(t)$ ；very rarely the writing 亳其品 rmtt $n b t$ is found．${ }^{5}$
§ 78．Status pronominalis．－When a suffix－pronoun is added to certain feminine nouns，an apparently intrusive $-w$ occasionally appears before the
周 wrbt＇meat＇，${ }^{8}$ but $D^{\circ}$ wrbwt．f＇his meat＇．${ }^{\circ}$

Obs．This phenomenon is due to a displacement of the accent when the suffix is added；some such pronunciation as dápé（from original dapwat）may be assumed for the status absolutus，becoming depreatef，with the original $w$ retained under the protection of the accent，in the status pronominalis．The Latin terms here used are borrowed from the grammarians of Coptic，where such modification of the noun before the suffix is regular．
$\S$ 79．Adjectives in $\cdot \boldsymbol{y} \cdot{ }^{10}$ —The ending $-\boldsymbol{y}$ is employed to form adjectives from nouns and prepositions．Exactly the same formation exists in the Semitic languages，and the Arabic grammarians have invented for it the term nisbe－ adjectives，or＇adjectives of relationship＇；this name is sometimes applied to the Egyptian counterparts．Examples are ：
 sing．m．$f_{\pi}^{\prime \prime}$＇or $f^{\prime} r s y$＇southern＇．
，f．$f_{\mathrm{x}}^{\circ}$ or $f_{0} \times r s y t(r s t)$ ．


From the preposition $-r(1) i r$ ）＇to＇．
sing．m．$\$ in $\mathbb{N}, \sqrt{4}$ or $\\langle$ iry＇relating to＇，＇connected with＇．
，f．促 or 位iryt（irt）．
plur．m．$\$ S行 iryw，irw．

As the above writings indicate，the formative $-y$ is never written out in the feminines，and the semi－vowels $y$ and $w$ are also elsewhere usually suppressed；for reasons of practical convenience，the less correct transliterations given in brackets are to be preferred as a rule．The $-y$ of the m．sing．is often， but by no means always，written out，and as regards the m ．plur．the latent
${ }^{1}$ Leyden V3， 4 ；
Westc．9， 18.
${ }^{2}$ Sin．B 233.
${ }^{3}$ See Rec．31， 83.
${ }^{4}$ Peas．R 52.
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1，225．See too Rec．35， 77.

6 Peas．B 1， 126.
${ }^{7}$ Peas．B 2， 103.
${ }^{8}$ Siut $1,276$.
${ }^{9}$ Siut 1,275 ．Other exx．，see JEA．iv．35， n．8；also sd $3 w t-i$ ，Brit． Mus．574，12－13 and with $y$ for $w$ ，sdiyt．（i）， Siut 5，7．
${ }^{10}$ See $A Z .19,44$ ； 44， 93 ．
${ }^{11}$ See $A Z .44,1$.
${ }^{1}$ Differing from 3， with which it is often confused，only in the rounded back of the head and the rather plumper breast．But in painted inscrip－ tions the colour is brown．
${ }^{2}$ Pt．69．75． 435.
${ }^{3}$ This hieroglyph represents the tongue， which is＇what－is－in－ the－mouth＇；hence its value $i m y-r$ is due to a kind of graphic pun， see $\ddot{A} Z .40,14^{2} ; 4^{2}$ ， 142.
${ }^{4}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 35^{\circ}$ ．The suffix in $m h t y \cdot f$ ，＇his northern one＇，scil． ＇boundary＇，B．H．i． 25,50 ，has its ordinary possessive sense；sim． the first ex．on p． 63 ．
presence of that semi－vowel is betrayed by the use of the sound－sign tiw $(t y w)^{1}$ in derivatives from f．nouns（so mhytyw above）or from m．words ending in $t$ ，ex．昌是解 hftyw＇opponents＇，＇enemies＇，an adjective used as a noun and derived from the preposition © 10 bft＇before＇，＇opposite＇．

Obs．In Old Egyptian the formative was either omitted or else written with $4-i$ ．An alternative ending survives in some nouns like $\mathbb{\|}$ hrw＇lower part＇and 8 mitzo＇peer＇．？
Prepositions that have a special form before the suffixes exhibit the same or a similar form in their derivative adjectives in $-y$ ．

Exx．行 iry＇relating to＇from $\propto r$＇to＇（form with suffixes $\propto r$ but occasionally also $h \varnothing i r$ ．）

In titles and the like these adjectives are sometimes abbreviated in such a way as to be indistinguishable from the prepositions from which they are derived．Exx．imy－r＇overseer＇，variants $\mathbb{S}^{\circ},{ }^{3}$ lit．＇one－who－is－in－the－
 one－who－is－over－the－head＇．

Owing to their resemblance in sound to duals，some adjectives in $-y$ from feminine nouns are written with a twofold ideogram（see above § 77,1 ）．

 the horizon＇．
§ 80．Adjectives derived from prepositions may，like the latter，govern a noun or pronoun．

Exx．\＆目解 hry stst＇he who is over the secret＇，a common title．
At ${ }^{\circ}$ imyt．f＇what is in it＇，lit．that－being－in it．
The adjective $\&_{\text {II }}$ mity（also mitw，$\S 79$ OBs．），which is derived from a f． noun $\&{ }^{\circ}$ mit＇copy＇，may similarly take a suffix，ex．\＆inisinn mity．f＇his equal＇．4

From the noun ${ }_{i} t p$＇head＇and its derivative preposition $t p$＇upon＇（ $\$ 173$ ） comes the adjective ${ }^{\circ}$ ，varr．${ }_{0}, \infty, t p y$ ，also written $\mathbb{d}$ ，with the two meanings （1）＇foremost＇，＇chief＇，＇first＇and（2）＇being upon＇，ex． 4 盟＇Inpw tpy dew．f＇Anubis（who is）upon his mountain＇．There is also a secondary adjective ©

The beginner must bear in mind that such adjectives in $-y$ ，in their most summary writings，are easily mistaken for their originating prepositions；the example＇$M n p w$ tpy $d w \cdot f$ just quoted is a case in point，doubt here being the more justifiable，since a prepositional phrase may sometimes be closely linked to a noun， ex．© 会 $n b-r-d r$＇lord of the universe＇，lit．＇lord to the end＇（ $\$ 100, \mathrm{I}$ ）；see further § 158 ．

On occasion some word may intervene between an adjective in $-y$ and the word it governs．

$\triangle \bar{M} \triangleright \mathbb{M}$ iry nbssm every functionary，lit．every one－relating－to a business．${ }^{2}$

${ }^{s}$ Eb．1， 7 ．
§ 81．Like other adjectives，those ending in $-y$ are often employed as nouns．
Exx．


Pin imntt＇the west＇，from fo imnty＇western＇．
$\xlongequal{\triangle} \backsim \underline{h} r(t)-n t r$＇the necropolis＇，lit．＇that under－（i．e．possessing－）－the－god＇．4 ${ }_{\text {n．}} 4^{.}$See above p． 5 ，
B hryw－sc＇those－upon－the－sand＇，i．e．the Bedâwin．

## V OCABULARY

a ir make，do．
$\square \Delta p r$ go forth，go up．
$\Omega_{\Delta}^{\Omega}$ ph reach，attack．
区县 $m r$ love，wish．
$\Longrightarrow$ fill（ $m$ with）．
组 $43 / 2$ capture，take as plunder．

FII imnty western．

\＃wr great，important，much．
仿多 Ethiopia，the Cush of the Bible（f．）
foni，禀，irtt（earlier irtt）milk．
$\underset{000}{\longrightarrow} m n w$ monument．


E』rd foot．

日 $x_{13}{ }^{3}$ boundary．
T $\Delta m r$ pyramid．
i\＃it barley，corn．
01 ht body．
$\sim$ hast hill－country，（foreign）country．
\＄$h r$ under，carrying，holding（pre－ position）．

## EXERCISEVI

（a）Transliterate aind translate：

（2）



[^39]
## LESSONVII

§ 82. Biliteral signs (continued from § 71) :-
xi. with $s$ as second consonant :
xii. with $k$ as second consonant: $r k$
xiii. with $k$ as second consonant: $\ell s k(s k)$
xiv. with $t$ as second consonant:
$\Rightarrow m t \quad$ Lo $m t$ (also $m w t$ ) $\quad$ ht $s t(s t)$

## Syntax of Nouns and pronouns

§ 83. Subject and object.-Egyptian shows no trace of case-endings, and the syntactic relations of nouns were indicated either by the word-order (\$\$ 27.66) or by the use of prepositions and the like, e.g. the use of $n$ 'to', 'for' to express the dative ( $\$ 52$ ).

With the personal pronouns，the subject of narrative verbs，i．e．the nomi－ native，is expressed by the suffixes（ $\$ 35,3$ ），and the object，i．e．the accusative， by the dependent pronouns（ $\$ 44, \mathrm{r}$ ）．

Obs．The use of the Latin case－names vocative，dative，etc．，in reference to Egyptian is more convenient than strictly scientific．In the case of the genitive，at all events，it could hardly have been avoided．
§ 84．Verbs taking two direct objects hardly exist in Egyptian．${ }^{0}$ To express the predicative adjunct found in English after verbs of＇making＇，＇becoming＇， and the like，Egyptian uses the $m$ of predication（§ 38）．

Exx． lit．as a known one．${ }^{1}$

Sman rdinf wi m hry niwt．f he placed me as chief（or，he made me chief）over his town．${ }^{2}$

The same construction is found with verbs of＇seeing＇and＇knowing＇，as
 －find（as）＇．${ }^{6}$

After the verbs of＇appointing＇，＇making＇$\quad r$＇to＇is apt to be used in place of $m$ ，with little，if any，difference of meaning．

Ex． so as to be）prince and chieftain．${ }^{7}$

The verb 肤县 $s b_{3}$＇teach＇takes a direct object of the person and introduces the thing taught by $\Delta r$＇concerning＇．${ }^{\text {s }}$
See Add．for § 84A．
§ 85．The genitive is of two kinds，direct and indirect．${ }^{82}$
A．The direct genitive follows the noun that governs it，immediately and without connecting link．

Exx．$\square_{,}$imy－r pr overseer of the house，i．e．steward．
$\sigma$ ）$n 6 \mathrm{im} 3 \mathrm{~h}$ possessor of veneration，venerable．
O．On rh hrt－ib nb•f knowing the desire of his lord．${ }^{-1}$
This form of genitive is usual wherever the connexion between governing and governed noun is particularly close，as in titles，set phrases，etc．Hence an epithet belonging to the governing word will normally follow the genitive．

Examples where the direct genitive is separated from its noun are of extreme rarity．${ }^{11}$

In expressions like $78 \mathrm{hm}-\mu \underline{t r}$＇priest＇，lit．＇servant of god＇， 7 日合 hwt－ntr ＇temple＇，lit．＇house of god＇，$\ddagger$ 믄 pr－nsw＇palace＇，lit．＇house of the king＇， $\ddagger$ 은 $s s-n s w$＇prince＇，lit．＇son of the king＇，the priority given to＇god＇and ＇king＇is purely graphic，and due to honorific reasons；see § 57.
${ }^{\circ}$ See，however，$n$ ． 8 below．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．119．Sim． PSBA．18，201，1． 5.
${ }^{2}$ Bersh．i．33．Sim． BH．i．44，7．
${ }^{3}$ Rhind 24．Sim． Peas．B I，237；C＇rk． iv．II3，II．
－Adm．1，5；Ikhern． 8．Ratherdifferently， Budge，p．46， 14.
s Urk．iv．1095，I．
${ }^{6}$ Usk．iv． $1208,6$.
${ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ BH．i．25，46－7． Sim．Sebekkhu14．17； Peas．B1， 237 ；Urk． iv．31， 9 ；after $i$ ， ＇make＇，Pt． 486.
${ }^{8}$ Pt．37．399．Very rarely with two ob－ jects，Brit．Mus． 58 I； Lit．Fr．6，3， 11 ．
${ }^{8 a}$ Combined e．g．in the frequent st Hr $n t$ enhw＇Horus－throne of the living＇，Urk．iv． 137， 12 and passim．
${ }^{9}$ Brit．Mus．614， 1 ．

[^40]After Dyn. XII filiation is sometimes expressed by the help of the direct
${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. I. Sim.ib. 2, II; 30, 6; III9, 2 .
${ }^{2}$ BH. i. 26, ${ }^{1} 59$. See ÄZ. 12, 8; 49, 95 ; 71,69; much material Anthes, passim.
${ }^{2 a}$ Note the suppression of the fem. ending $-t$; see $J E A .27,44$, n. 1 .

## ${ }^{2 b}$ Perhaps a demonstrative in origin, see

 PSBA. 22, 322.${ }^{3} E b .74,12$.
${ }^{4}$ Lac. TR. 2, 61; 22, 92 ; 23, 19 .
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 165$.

- Th. T.S. i. 30 F .
${ }^{7}$ Louvre C 3.
${ }^{*}$ Peas. R 42.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 185.
${ }^{13}$ I'cas. R 35.
${ }^{11}$ It. $44^{2}$.

12 Sin. 1 287-8. Sim.ib. B 3د-1 ; Kopt. 8, 2.

 Nhry ss $\underline{H} n m-h t p s 3 \underline{H} n-h t p{ }^{2}$ means ' Khnemḥotpe, son of Khnemḥotpe, son of Nehri', not ' N., son of Kh., son of Kh.' as it would have done later ; and here, as often, the determinative is absent after the two fathers' names. This mode of writing shows much variation, the word for 'son' being sometimes omitted.

The use of the suffixes after nouns with the meaning of English possessive adjectives (' my', 'thy', etc. $\S 35$, I) also exemplifies the direct genitive.

Obs. Coptic shows that the direct genitival relation led to loss of accent and consequent reduction of the vowel in the first of the two words, cf. Copt. $n e \bar{b}-\bar{e} \dot{e}$ ' lord of a house' beside nēb 'lord'; yĕh-eloole 'vineyard' beside yöhe 'field', Eg. sht. ${ }^{2 a}$ The status constructus so formed has left no trace in hieroglyphic writing.
§ 86. B. In the indirect genitive the noun is preceded by the genitival adjective $-n y$ ' belonging to', a derivative in $-y$ (§ 79 ) from the preposition $n$ 'to' 'for'. ${ }^{2 b}$ The genitival adjective agrees in number and gender with the governing word as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sing. m. }=n y \text { plur. m i nyw rare and dual m. }- \text { nn }^{n \prime \prime} \text { nywy }{ }^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The transliterations given are those demanded by strict etymology, but since these words were probably already much reduced by the M.K., there is some ground for the handier renderings m. sing. $n$, m . plur. $n w$, f. sing. and plur. $n t$.

At an early period the genitival adjective shows a tendency to become invariable in the form $-m$. The dual is very rare ; from M.K. on ${ }_{i}^{\infty}$ is often replaced by $-m$, which may also, though far less frequently, stand for $\stackrel{-1}{\square}$.

$Q 10\left\|\|^{\circ}\right.$ niwt nt nhh, the city of eternity. ${ }^{6}$



When an adjective or other word intervenes between a noun and its genitive, it is the indirect genitive which is used.


 treasury. ${ }^{12}$

See Add.
Obs. For the genitival adjective as predicate, see $\S 114,2$; before $\delta \underline{d} m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, see $\S \S 19 \mathrm{~T}-2$; before the infinitive, see $\S 305$; before prep. + noun, see $\S 158$; after adjectives, see $\S 95$; after passive participles, see $\S 379,3$.
§ 87. The vocative may stand at the beginning or at the end of a sentence; more rarely it stands in the middle, but it must not interrupt a sequence of words belonging very closely together.
 Arsaphes (the god of Herakleopolis Magna) praise thee. ${ }^{1}$

0 (
 wum.f $s m r \cdot i$ I will take away thy ass, peasant, because it is eating my corn. ${ }^{3}$

In ordinary parlance no introductory interjection was used; but in religious



§ 88. Adverbial uses of nouns.-I. Indications of time are often expressed by a noun used absolutely, i.e. without preposition. The normal position of such a noun is towards the end of the sentence, in the position regularly occupied by adverbs.
 thou livest, lit. time of thou-art. ${ }^{9}$

4 (the town of) Imiotru in troubled years. ${ }^{10}$

Very common as adverbs are 包 $\underline{d} t$ ' eternally', lit. 'eternity', and $\ominus_{1}^{1} \mathrm{rr} n$ ' every day'. Note the mathematical use of $\overrightarrow{\square Q}^{n} s p$ Io 'ten times '. ${ }^{11}$

If the adverbial phrase is a date, it may begin the sentence:

2. Nouns may further be employed to qualify adjectives or adjective verbs, like the accusative of respect in Latin or the genitive in Arabic; a very common use.

Exx. $\rho^{0}{ }^{0} \Delta_{i}^{i} s p d h r$ sharp of face, i. e. clever. ${ }^{13}$
Y气b
 him, lit. that I was beneficial to him in heart. ${ }^{16}$
§ 89. The noun with the function of a sentence.-1. This use is frequent in headings, lists and the like.

Exx. - ${ }_{0}{ }_{1}^{0}, k t$ phrt another remedy. Title introducing a prescription. ${ }^{16}$
Eloms whead-rest, I. Item in a list of goods. ${ }^{17}$

2. Not infrequently; however, such self-sufficient phrases convey comments or even narrate a fact.


1 P'eas. B 1, 196. Sim. ib. K 90; Sint. H 156; Leb. 17 ; $P$. Pet. Iir6 B, 6.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 12. Sim. Peas. B 1, 26 ; B 2 , 133; P.Kah. 1, 2..
${ }^{3}$ Pcas. B I, 11-2. Sim. P. Pet. 1116 B , 12-3.

4 Siut 3, 1; Lonvre C 166; C 177; Cairo $2053^{8}$ i. c 12.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{~Wb} . \mathrm{ii} .47 \mathrm{I}$; these particles alwaysat the beginning of the sentence.
${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20089, 7. Sim. Lac. T.R. 7 , 1 ; 8, J .
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20014 . Sim. ib. 20003, 1 .

8 Budge, p. 467, 12.
${ }^{9}$ Pt. 186. Sim. Peas. Bi, 139; PSBA. 18, 202, 8; Hamm. 114, 4.
${ }^{10}$ Cairo 20001. Sim. Sin. 1345.
${ }^{11}$ Rhind 1. Sim. il. 6 .

[^41]${ }^{13}$ BH. i. 8, 10. Many
exx. Sin. B 48 full.
14 Cairo $20119, c 4$;
Sim. $20040,17-8$.

${ }^{15}$ Berlin $A I$.ii. p. 26.

[^42]${ }^{1} B H$. i. 26, 121 . Sim. Hamm. 110, 2 ; Urk. iv. 940, 4 ; ÄZ. 69, 30, 16 .
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 31, 5 .
${ }^{3}$ Sin. R 6. Sim. ib. B 240; Brit. Mus. 614, 12. 13.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. R 6i-8. Sim. Westc. 9, 15 ; Lac. TR. 6, 1; 21, 41; 23, 29.
${ }^{42}$ In Arabic known as badal 'substitution', $A Z Z .71,5^{6}$.
${ }^{5}$ See $A Z .28,15$.

- Louvre C 11, 7. Sim. P. Kah. 19, 16 ; Urk.iv. 206.635.636.
${ }^{7}$ Feas. B1,84. Sim. ib. $\mathrm{R}_{5} ;$ Rhind 41,4 ; 42, 4; Urk.iv. 6, 7-8.

[^43]'Sh. S. 47-8. Sim. Peas. B 1, 84 ; Westc. 9, 23.
${ }^{10}$ BH. i. 8, 20.
${ }^{11}$ Cairo 20093, 3.
12 P. Kah. 12, 4. Sim. Cairo 20001, 6 ; Siut 1, 286.

Old the midst of a narrative ; the favour is then recounted as a kind of apposition. ${ }^{1}$

If the eleven workmen are waiting here for their remuneration (?), لeot $b w n b n f r$ all well and good, lit. everything good. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. These uses will be found recurring in the case of the nominal parts of the verb : with the infinitive § 306 , and with the participles and relative forms $\S 390$.
§ 90. Apposition.-Words in apposition may be separated from one another by other words.
 mounted up to his horizon (i. e. his tomb), the king of Upper and Lower Egypt Seḥetepibrē ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$

A suffix-pronoun may be used to anticipate a noun placed in apposition after it.

Ex. How shall this land fare ${ }_{0}$ without him, that beneficent god ? ${ }^{4}$

A style of apposition common to Egyptian and the Semitic languages ${ }^{4 a}$ is found in three special cases : ${ }^{5}$
I. to indicate the material of which a thing is made.

Ex. lit. together with cedar, the great altar. ${ }^{6}$
2. with measures and numbers.

Ex. ${ }_{4 \Delta}^{\Delta}{ }^{\sigma} \| h n k t, d s 2$ beer, two jugs, i. e. two jugs of beer. ${ }^{7}$
3. with indications of locality.
 Thinite nome, Abydus. ${ }^{8}$

Obs. For the nominal subject in apposition to a dependent pronoun, see $\S \S 132$. 139; to the demonstrative $p w$, see § I 30 . For the $m$ of predication emphasizing a noun in apposition, see §96, 2. For $n$ is negativing a noun in apposition, see § 247, 2.
§ 91. Co-ordination and disjunction.-1. Egyptian has no special word for 'and'. The co-ordination of nouns or adjectives is often effected by direct juxtaposition.
 grapes there. ${ }^{9}$

The repetition of a preposition, a suffix or an adjective may help out the sense.

O11. town. ${ }^{12}$

Closely connected words may be coupled by means of $\hat{i} h r$, lit．＇upon＇．

Or else $[$［ $工$ hnr＇together with＇is employed，especially when the co－ordination is less close．

2．＇Or＇，like＇and＇，may be left unexpressed．
Ex．${ }^{-}$tsw $n b$ hsty $n b$ any commander or any prince．${ }^{3}$
Here the repetition of $n b$ assists the meaning；a repeated preposition or suffix may have the same effect，as was seen in the case of＇and＇above．

A special word for＇or＇is $r-p w$ ，which is placed after the last of the alternatives．
 brother，or as friend．${ }^{4}$
§ 92．Gender of nouns．－A few remarks must be added to what has been already said on this topic（ $\$ 26$ ）．

1．The names of foreign countries are treated syntactically as feminines， ex．－ names of towns ${ }^{6}$ and，in part at least，of those of the nomes or provinces．${ }^{7}$

2．－亚 $h t$（orig．$i k t$ ）is fem．when it means＇things＇or＇property＇，but is apt to be treated as a masc．when it means＇something＇，＇anything＇，ex．©111 $\$$ $m r$＇something painful＇．${ }^{8}$ With the plur．the use is variable．${ }^{82}$

3．in bt＇wood＇，＇tree＇is not really a fem．，the $t$ being radical；cf．wist


4．A ht＇body＇，＇belly＇is usually fem．，${ }^{11}$ but instances occur where it is treated as masc．${ }^{12}$

## VOCABULARY

## 3 B <br> recenter．

$B \|_{m \times n}^{\infty} \Delta$ wstn var．$\beta_{m \times m}^{\circ} \Lambda$ wstn stride．
$\xrightarrow[\sim]{m}$ nht be mighty，victorious； mighty（adj．）．



的 pour．
＊dwu adore（in the morning）．
10 9 m mot mother．

$\xrightarrow[1]{M} d w$ mountain．

1 Westc． 11 ， 14. Sim．Siut 4，17；Urk． iv． 659,16 ．
${ }^{2}$ Sh．S．128．Sim． Peas．B I， 94 ；Sin．B 84；Siut I，304．
－Kopt．8，9．Sim． Cairo 20040，9－15； Eb．99，2－3．
－Pt．279．Sim．Eb ． 6,$14 ; 24,3 ; 93,6-7$ ． After each of two alternatives，Eb．39， 17.
${ }^{6}$ BH．i．8， 10 ．Sim． Sin．R 55；Urk．iv． 697， 9.

C Cairo 20025，12－ 13；Siut 4，13－4；Urk． iv．689， 10.15 ．
${ }^{7}$ Siut 1,151 ；Brit． Mus．1203；but m． see BH．i．8， 20.
${ }^{8}$ Sh．S．124；$P$ ． Turin 132，9．Sim． ht（1），Sin．B 215 ．See too Eb．42，18；107， 20.
${ }^{84}$ P．Ram．IV，C22； Hearst 6，2，contrasted with $E b .1,20 ; 47,9$ ．
－Urk．iv．719， 3 ．
10 Sh．S． 156.
${ }^{11}$ Eb．36，6． 15 ．
${ }^{12}$ Eb．36，8；41， 14.
See too Verbum ii．
$514,3.4$.
$\int_{\square}^{\square}$ st place．${ }^{1}$
 sound．

 § 19，Obs．2）table of offerings．
Affine var．$\ell_{\frac{-\infty}{-\infty}}^{\infty}$ isft evil，wrong－ doing．
$\square \int_{111}^{\infty} s b w$ food．
道 hst praise，favour（noun）．
 trance，memory．
 just．
$1 』 \times$ 国（jet．also $\square$ ；abbrev．可）$s b 3$ door．
队～～imnt（also fin int §81）the West．
$\chi_{\square}^{\square} d u, s t$ netherworld．
2 $d t$ eternity，everlasting．
${ }_{8}^{\theta} h r$ with，before，（speak）to．
${ }^{1}$ For the reading see $\ddot{A} Z .46$ ， 107 ．

## EXERCISE VII

（a）Study the following funerary wishes from a Theban noble＇s tomb（Syn． $X V I I I)^{1}$ ：




[^44](b) Transliterate and translate:
(I)





(6)

(7)


(c) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(I) I am (one) good of counsels in the house of his lord. (2) Mayst thou hearken, $O$ sovereign my lord, to this (the) daughter of thy handmaid. (3) The overseer of the city found his brothers and sisters at ( kr$)$ the door of the palace. (4) My praises reached heaven. (5) The wife of the priest went down into the boat. (6) Thy hands are mightier than (those of) all the gods of Egypt. (7) The gods are satisfied when they receive oblations upon their altars. (8) May I hear thy counsels every day. (9) He sees the gods in their beautiful places of the West.

## EXCURSUS A

## The Titulary and other Designations of the King. ${ }^{1}$

The student now possesses the knowledge of Egyptian writing and grammar requisite to decipher the royal names and titles occurring on innumerable monuments of stone. The 'titulary' $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\times 111}^{\circ} n h b t\right)^{2}$ consisted of five 'great names' ( $r n w r$ ), ${ }^{3}$ which were assumed by the Pharaoh on the day of his accession. We have not here to study the gradual development of the titulary; it will answer our purpose to illustrate it in the forms in which it occurs in Middle Egyptian. The following is the full titulary of Sesostris I (Dyn. XII) :
 mswt, Hr nbw rnh mswt, n-sw-bì Hpr-kz-Rr, s3 Re $S-n$ - $W s r t$, di rnh ddt wess mi Rr $\underline{d} t$ Horus 'Lifé-of-births', Two Ladies 'Life-of-Births', Horus of gold 'Life-of-Births', King of Upper and Lower Egypt 'Kheperkerēr' ['the $k a$ of Rēc comes into being'], Son of Rēr 'Sesostris' ['man of (the goddess) Wosret'],4 (may he be) granted life, stability and wealth like Rē eternally. ${ }^{5}$

1 See H. MüLler, Die formale Entwicklungder Titulaturder ägyptischen Könige, Glückstadt, 1938; A. Moret, Du caractère religieux de la royauté pharaonique, Paris, 1902, ch. I.
${ }^{2}$ Urkiv.80,11;160, 11 ; Br. Thes. 1077,19 .
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 261, 3. 14-17; Br. Thes. 1077, 19.
4 The name $S_{-n-}$ Wsrt belonging to three kings of Dyn. XII was formerly read Wsrtsn (Usertesen), whereby its identity with the Sesostris of Manetho (see p. 76, n. 1) was obscured. See Unt. 2, 1-24; ÄZ.41, 43.
${ }^{5}$ BH. i. 35, 59-62.

1 Sinai 196; after the first cartouche is a longstring of epithets not belonging to the name. Both the titularies quoted conclude with epithets not belonging to the names (' may he be granted life', etc. and 'beloved of Hathor', etc.). These are so typical that it seemed advisable to retain them.
${ }^{2}$ Seas. pp. 21-2;
${ }^{2 a}$ On these symbols see $J E A .30,50-\mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{3} A Z .34,167$.

A titulary of Tuthmosis III (Dyn. XVIII) from Sinai is similar in form:

 bit Mn-hpr-Rr, ss Rr Dhwty-ms-nfr-hpr(w), mry Hthe nbt mfkst Horus 'Strong-bull-arising-in-Thebes', Two Ladies 'Enduring-of-kingship-like-Rēe-in-heaven', Horus of gold ' Powerful-of-strength, holy-of-diadems', King of Upper and Lower Egypt ' Menkheperrēe' ['the form of Rē' remains (?) '], Son of Rēe, 'Tuthmosis [' Thoth is born '] beautiful-of-forms', beloved of Hathor, lady of the turquoise. ${ }^{1}$

The comparison of these two titularies discloses five elements common to both; these common elements are followed by names that are variable in the case of every king. The underlying idea is that the king, while being the re-incarnation of Horus, or protected by the goddesses called the Two Ladies, or appearing as the golden Horus, reveals his individuality by exhibiting the divine nature under some aspect peculiar to himself; thus Sesostris I is the Horus who infuses life into all who are born, Tuthmosis III is the golden Horus who is powerful of strength and whose diadems are holy. Similarly, the names in the two 'cartouches' or 'royal rings' describe the nature of the king in his capacity of ' King of Upper and Lower Egypt' and of 'Son of Rē'' respectively. Whereas an Englishman distinguishes two different kinds of name, Christian and family name, the Egyptian kings distinguished five, which we term the Horus name, the nebty name, the golden Horus name, the prenomen and the nomen. These we shall now consider in turn.
I. The Horus name, less suitably called banner-name or $k a$-name, represents the king as the earthly embodiment of the old falcon-god Horus, who early became the dynastic god of Egypt, and as such was identified with the sun-god Rē', himself also at some very early period the dynastic god. This name is frequently written within a rectangular frame, at the bottom of which is seen a design of recessed panelling such as we find in the façades of early brick tombs and in the false doors of Old Kingdom mastabas ; ${ }^{2}$ on the top of the rectangular frame is perched the falcon of Horus, in more elaborate Dyn. XVIII examples crowned and accompanied by sun and uraeus $;^{2 a}$ see the annexed figure. It is not quite certain whether the building symbolized by the rectangle and façade (together termed the 無 $s r k)^{3}$ was the king's palace or his tomb. The former alternative is the more probable, since in the oldest times the Horus name was the commonest designation of the king, and it is unlikely that a purely sepulchral name should have
 been chosen for the purpose. Still, its associations with the $k a$ or 'spirit' came to be very close. On the whole, we may conclude that the Horus name denotes the aspect of Horus worn by the king whilst dwelling in the palace.
2. The nebty name, so called because the probable reading of the group Bb is nbty 'the two ladies', displays the king as standing in a special relation to the two principal goddesses of the period immediately preceding Dyn. I, when Egypt was still divided into two kingdoms; these were the vulturegoddess $7 J_{\circ}^{\circ} \stackrel{D}{S}$ Nbbt Nekhbet of the Upper Egyptian city of El-Kâb and the cobra-goddess $\gamma \circ$ B $W_{3} d t$ Edjō ${ }^{\text {1a }}$ of the Lower Egyptian city of $\otimes_{\square \otimes} D p$; these cities were in the close vicinity of the early capitals of $\otimes_{\otimes}$ Nhn Hieraconpolis and ${ }_{9} P \mathrm{Pe}$ respectively, and it is to this reason that the two goddesses owed their prominence. Probably Menes, the founder of Dyn. I, was the first to assume the nebty title, symbolizing thereby the fact that he had united the two kingdoms. ${ }^{2}$ The Greek interpretation $\kappa \dot{v} \rho \iota o s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{\prime}$ 'lord of crowns' is probably secondary; doubtless protection by the goddesses was in the mind of the Egyptians, not merely ownership of the crowns with which the goddesses were identified.
3. The golden Horus name is more disputed. Some high authorities ${ }^{3}$ have supposed, on the strength of the Greek equivalent $\dot{a} \nu \tau \tau \pi a ́ \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\dot{v} \pi \epsilon} \rho \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ 'superior to (his) foes' on the Rosetta stone, that the monogram symbolized Horus as victorious over $\mapsto_{\otimes}^{-} N b t(y)$ 'the Ombite', i.e. the god Seth who was worshipped at Ombos near the modern Kas. ${ }^{4}$ This was, no doubt, the interpretation of Greek times, but the evidence of the earlier periods points in another direction. In a context dealing with the titulary of Tuthmosis III that king says 'he (Amūn) modelled me as a falcon of gold' ( $\downarrow \mid-1-\ldots b i k n n b w)$, ${ }^{5}$ and
 the concept of the golden falcon can be definitely traced back to Dyn. XI, ${ }^{7}$ and an inscription of Dyn. XII describes the golden Horus name as the ' name of gold' ( have the title $\frac{17}{n}$ with two falcons over the 'gold' sign; but the two falcons are normally a circumlocution for the reconciled enemy-gods Horus and Seth, so that, on the hypothesis here combated, Horus and Seth would both seem to be indicated as vanquishers of Seth. Lastly, the names following the group are far from being always of a bellicose character. There seems but little doubt that this group meant ' Horus of gold' except perhaps in the very latest periods; ${ }^{*}$ but exactly what god was intended is a problem still unsolved.
4. The prenomen is the name which follows the title $n$-sw-bit 'he who belongs to the sedge and the bee'; the plant $\ddagger_{1}^{\circ}$ swt symbolizing Upper Egypt is supposed to be identical with the flowering scirpus-reed or sedge, Egyptian $\ddagger$ $s m r$, a common emblem of Upper Egypt ; ${ }^{10}$ the exact connexion of the bee with Lower Egypt is still obscure. In effect the title means 'king of Upper and Lower Egypt', and the Rosetta stone translates it by $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \ddot{a} \nu \omega$ кai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega \chi^{\chi \omega \rho} \hat{\omega} \nu$. The prenomen itself is almost always compounded with the
${ }^{1}$ Rec. 17, 113; PSBA. 20, 200.

[^45]${ }^{2}$ See Unt. 3, 13; also $A n n .44,279 \mathrm{ff}$.
${ }^{3}$ H. Brugsch, Die Aegyptologie (Leipzig 1897), 202 ; SETHE, in J. Garstang, Mahîsna and Bêt K'hallaf (London, 1902), 19.

* We must carefully distinguish between this Ombos, which is that mentioned by Juvenal in his fifteenth Satire, and the other, the present Kôm Ombo, some 25 miles north of Aswân, where there is a much visited temple dating from Graeco-Roman times.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 161.
- Urk. iv. 237.
${ }^{7}$ Lac. TR. 55, 5.
${ }^{8}$ Berl. AIT, i. p. 138.
- So Moret, op.cit. p. 22, quoting his earlier work Rec. 23, 23 ; Thierry, op. cit. 6683. Further discussion, Mill. Kairo, 4, 9 ff.
${ }^{10}$ Griffith, Hieroglyphs, p. 29. Keimer agrees, however, that the sign is too schematically shown to be identifiable with any particular species.
${ }^{0}$ Ranke (JAOS 7o, 65) has made it probable that the divine name Rḕ was originally read before -hrof, in which case the Herodotean Chephren would be due to later misinterpretation.

1 Examples showing the rope as such are rare, even in quite early times. That here illustrated is from Cairo $155^{8}$, a relief dating from the reign of Saluué (Dyn. V).
${ }^{2}$ AZ. 35, 4; Quibell, Hierakonpolis (London, 1900), i. $3^{8 .}$
${ }^{3} \ddot{A} Z .34,{ }^{167}$.

+ Sine. B213; Urk. iv. 82, 13; 102, 11; 283, 16. A less plausible explanation Bull. II, 14 I .
© $\ddot{A} Z .43,{ }_{15}$.
- Tarkhani. 79, 11 ; Mar., Karn. 34; 29.
${ }^{7}$ Hamm: 17, 1.
${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv, 158, 10.
- Urk.iv. 101, 1.

10 Sin. B 236.
11 Westc. 5, 2. 3.
name of the god Rēr; typical examples are © $\odot \frac{1-0}{\circ}$ Shtp-ib-Rr 'propitiating the heart of Rēr' (Ammenemes I), ©o Nb -mst- Rr 'lord of truth is Rē (Amenophis III) ; one of the first cases of Rē as an element in a king's name is with Rr-kr.fo Chephren of Dyn. IV, and the instances without Rē all date before Dyn. IX. The prenomen and nomen are invariably written within 'cartouches' (this French word means an ornamented tablet of stone, wood, or metal destined to receive an inscription) or 'royal rings'. The cartouche depicts a loop formed by a double thickness of rope, the ends tied together so as to offer to the spectator the appearance of a straight line; ${ }^{1}$ strictly speaking the loop should be round, as it is in one or two very early examples, ${ }^{2}$ but becomes elongated and oval because of the length of most hieroglyphic names enclosed in it. The Egyptians called the cartouche land it seems not unlikely that the idea was to represent the king as ruler of all 'that which is encircled by the sun', a frequently expressed notion. ${ }^{4}$ Another name of the cartouche, not found before Dyn. XIX, is $\underset{=}{\mu})^{4} .^{5}$
5. The nomen is introduced by the epithet $s 3 R$ ' son of (the sun-god) Rē'. The name in the cartouche was, as a rule, that borne by the king before his accession to the throne; it is almost the equivalent of our family name, for

 Sesostris; Dyn. XIII shows several kings of the name $\underset{\Delta 0}{\Delta 0} S b k-h t p$ Sebkhotpe and Dyn. XVIII consists almost entirely of rulers named $\xlongequal[=]{\square}$ 白 $I m n-h t p$ Amenophis and $\mathbf{\sim}^{\text {个 }} \boldsymbol{*} \|$ Dhroty-ms Tuthmosis. The first Egyptian kings to distinguish a nomen and a prenomen were those of Dyn. V.

In the period covered by this book the five names of the titulary have a rigidly fixed order. The principal name is the prenomen, and this is often found alone or accompanied only by the nomen. Only very rarely does the Horus name serve for identification purposes, ex. $\beta$ Appearing-in-truth', i. e. Amenophis III. ${ }^{6}$

To introduce the king's name the phrase $\ell^{\prime} . h m n$ is often found; this we translate 'the Majesty of', but the origin of the expression is obscure. One example will suffice:
 $N-m s t-R r$, ss $R r$, 'Imn-m-hst year 19 under the Majesty of the good god, lord of the two lands Nemareéc, son of Rē $\subset$, Ammenemes (III). ${ }^{7}$

As speaker the king often refers to himself as $1 \$ h m \cdot i$ ' My Majesty', ${ }^{8}$ var.

pers．lin hm．f＇His Majesty＇，＇var．$l_{1}$＇$^{\prime} \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is also exceedingly common．The
 Majesty of the palace＇．${ }^{3}$ The plural $\ell \ell \ell=h m w \cdot \underline{t n}$ is found addressed to gods or even to honoured men（＇your worships＇）；${ }^{4}$ Hashepsowe，who styled herself king， though a woman，employs the feminine form $\rho \circ$ 显 $h m t \cdot i^{5}$ The translation of $h m$ as＇Majesty＇is a mere makeshift ；the precise meaning of the Egyptian word thus used is unknown，though a word of similar appearance means＇slave＇． 5 ．${ }^{\text {a }}$

The ordinary word for king is $\ddagger \ldots$ ，$\ddagger \Delta$ 㨪 $n s w(\$ 54$ ）；far less common is 4040 1 ity，var．${ }^{2}$ ，${ }^{6}$ which we conventionally translate＇sovereign＇；another fairly common appellation is $\sigma$ 是 $n b$＇the Lord＇． r We cannot here discuss other epithets of the king，such as 9t ntr nfr＇the good god＇（perhaps rather
 r $h$＇Horus，lord of the castle＇；for $n b-r-d r$ see § $100, \mathrm{I}$ ．As regards the term
 follows．${ }^{9}$ The Egyptian original $\square$ Pr－fs＇Great House＇was used in the Old Kingdom as part of many phrases like $s m r$ Pres＇courtier of the Great House＇， and clearly there referred to the palace itself or to the court，and not to the person of the king．From the end of Dyn．XII onwards the term is written $\square \square f \|_{\|}$Prfs rnh wds snb＇Great House，may it live，prosper，be in health＇ with the auspicious wish－formula discussed $\$ 55.313$ ；but still it seems to mean only the palace．The earliest certain instance where Pr－fs refers actually to the king is in a letter to Amenophis IV（Akhenaten），which is addressed to $\sim+l_{0}$ Pr－rs cnh wd ${ }^{2}$ snb nb＇Pharaoh，l．p．h．，the Master＇．${ }^{10}$ From Dyn．XIX onward it is used occasionally just as $h m \cdot f$＇His Majesty＇might be used；we read＇Pharaoh went forth＇，＇Pharaoh said＇，etc．In other words the term has become a respectful designation for the king，just as the head of the Ottoman government was termed the Sublime Porte．The final development was when a proper name was added to the title，as in the＇Pharaoh Hophra＇of the Old Testament； the earliest Egyptian example of this use is under one of the Shoshenks of－ Dyn．XXII．

In conclusion，a few words must be said concerning the way in which the royal names may be best represented in English．The Horus name，nebty name， and golden Horus names ought perhaps to be translated；so far as that is possible，at least，for the epithets employed as names are often very obscure in their meaning．The prenomen and nomen，on the contrary，must be left in their Egyptian forms，for to replace（e．g．）＇king Tuthmosis＇by＇king Thoth－is－born＇ would be obviously absurd．The question now arises as to how such names as Dhwty－ms should be vocalized，for only in the rarest cases do we know how an old Egyptian name was really pronounced．The practice followed by a number of writers，to whom the author of the present work belongs，is to utilize the names
${ }^{1}$ Hamm．192， 3.
${ }^{2}$ Westc．6，1．2．13．
${ }^{3}$ See Gard．Sin． p． 83.

4 AZ．47，89；Tar－ khan i．79，5．
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv． $363,6$.

5a Recent discus－ sions：$A Z .75$, I 2 ； JEA．29，79•
${ }^{6}$ Pt．7；Sh．S． 173 ； Urk．iv． $15,9$.
${ }^{7}$ P．Boul．xviii，2．12； Urk．iv．1092， 14 ； 1112， 13.
${ }^{8} A Z .53,{ }^{1} 30$.
${ }^{9}$ PSBA．23， 72.

[^46]Excurs. A

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

${ }^{1}$ Manetho was an Egyptian priest contemporary with the first two Ptolemies, who wrote an Egyptian history in three books. Only excerpts remain, which are preserved in the works of Josephus, Africanus and Ensebins. See Waddell, Manetho (Loeb Classical Library), London, 1940.
given by the historian of Egypt Manetho (first half of the 3rd cent. b.c.), ${ }^{1}$ so far as the forms handed down by the excerptors of Manetho are fairly recognizable as transcriptions of the hieroglyphic writing; so, for example, Tuthmosis for Dhwty-ms, Sesostris for $S-n-W s r t$, and so on. When, however, the Manethonian form is either absent or barely recognizable as an equivalent of the hieroglyphs, a guessed transcription will be found preferable, for example Haremhab for $H r-m-h b$, where Manetho gives Harmais. We shall deal further with such guessed transcriptions in Appendix B at the end of this book. Here we need only warn the student against one specially barbarous transcription of a royal name ; Thothmes is still used for the Manethonian Tuthmosis by many Egyptologists who ought to know better.

For the various names of the Egyptian kings see H. Gauthier, Le Livre des rois d'Égypte, 5 vols., Cairo 1907-17, in Mémoires . . . de l'institut fraņais d'archeologie orientale. In English there is the smaller work, E. A. W. Budge, The Book of the Kings of Egypt, 2 vols., London, 1908.

## LESSON VIII

§ 93. Biliteral signs (continued from § 82) :xv . with $d$ as second consonant:

| $s^{s} d$ | $\sqrt{\text { g }}$ | 争 ${ }^{\text {d }} d$ (later $d d$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

xvi. with $d$ as second consonant :

$$
\infty \subset \mathfrak{d}(\text { later } r d)
$$


$\oint n \underline{d} \quad 9 h \underline{d}$

## SYNTAX OF ADJECTIVES

§ 94. The sentence with adjectival predicate will be treated fully below in Lesson XII.

For the adjective as epithet, see above $\$ 48$, I , where it was seen to follow its noun and agree with it in number and gender. It remains to be noted that such an adjective may on occasion be separated from its noun by a genitive or by an adverb.

${ }^{2}$ Siut I, ${ }^{237}$.
${ }^{8}$ Siut 1, 277. Sim. wer im.tn $n b$, ib. I, 288.
4. Siut 1, $225^{\circ}$

- Eb. 70, 4. Cf. smr-werty nb, BH. i. 25,101 , qu. § 137 .
holy places of Rokereret which are in Siût. ${ }^{2}$

No wr im $n b$ each one thereof. ${ }^{3}$
Occasionally, however, epithet and noun adhere so closely together that



Two much rarer methods of expressing the adjective as epithet now call for description ：

1．The adjective is used as a noun and the qualified noun follows as an indirect genitive．
 a washerman．${ }^{1}$

Obs．See below $\S 262$ ， 1 for $w{ }^{2} n$＇one＇，＇$a$＇；the construction of $n n n, n ; n$ ＇these＇（§III）is also comparable，as well as $n h y n$＇a few＇，＇a little＇，and $h h n$＇many＇ （§ 99）．
2．The adjective follows its noun as an indirect genitive．
 white．${ }^{8}$

Obs．Here again the adjective is used as a noun．For a similar construction with noun clauses，see below § 191.
§ 95．It has been seen（ $\S 88,2$ ）that nouns may be used，like the accusative of respect in Latin，to qualify adjectives．The indirect genitive is sometimes employed similarly，when a suffix－pronoun follows the noun in question．

Exx．射通） fingers．${ }^{3}$

Twenty women body，lit．as beautiful ones of their members．${ }^{4}$

In the masculine instances it is not quite certain that $n$ is the genitival adjective．It might be the preposition $n$ ；for a similar ambiguity see $\S 379,3$ below．
§ 96．The emphatic and the emphasized adjective．I．It happens not seldom that an adjective bears an emphasis such as to make the meaning of the whole sentence dependent upon it．
 as for a heart（which is）brave in evil case，it is the equal of its lord．${ }^{5}$
 mild man than（that of）the strong．${ }^{6}$

包迢空 $\mathrm{d} d \cdot \mathrm{i}$ wrt I speak a（thing that is）important．？
2．As the above renderings show，the emphasis of the adjective often requires to be brought out in English by a relative clause（＇which is＇，＇that is＇）． Egyptian occasionally utilizes the $m$ of predication（ $\$ 38$ ）with the same intention； the adjective then ceases to be a mere epithet，and is employed as a noun．
 ikr a son of yours who is（lit．as）wise，a brother of yours who is（lit．as）excellent．${ }^{8}$
－Aी ${ }^{2 r y}$ i $m$ wrt I will do（something）which is（lit．as）great．${ }^{\circ}$

1 Peas．B1， 169. Sim．ib． 175 ；Berl． $A I$. i．p．26I，3．

[^47]> 8 Sh.S. 188. Other exx. Rec. 38, 210.
${ }^{4}$ Westc．5， 10.
${ }^{5}$ Adm．p．104．Sim． below $\$ 144,4$.
－Pt．319．Sim． Peas．B 1，284，qu． $\$ 148,3$ ．
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20538，ii． c 9 ．

[^48]It is not possible to distinguish between $m+$ adj. used as noun and the case where $m+$ a real noun is employed as a kind of emphasized apposition.
 $h m w e t-n t r \ldots \ldots n w r-p r p n \mathrm{O}$ ye who live upon earth, such as are (lit. as) priests
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20026. Sim. Bersh. i. I4, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B i, 53.88. Sim. Westc. 9,7 . See too $A Z .55,65$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 410.
${ }^{4}$ BH. i. 32. Sim. ib. 26, ${ }^{152}$ (imy n); Lac. TR. 8 o , 28; also Siut 1, 224 (spd wn imy ntrw); cf. further Urk. iv. 893, 16 (nty $m$ instead of $\boldsymbol{i} m y$ ).
${ }^{5}$ Cairo 20750; $B H$. i. 25,54 .
${ }^{6}$ Bersh. i. I4, I.
${ }^{7}$ Ex. Peas. B 2, 132.
${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv. 68. Sim. ib. 495, $14 ; 557,3$.

[^49] and priestesses ..... of this temple. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. We shall find similar uses in connexion with the relative adjective (§ 199 , end) and the participles (§ 393).
§ 97. Comparative and superlative.-The Egyptian adjective has no special forms for the degrees of comparison. The preposition $0 r$ is used, as we have seen ( $\$ 50$ ), to render the meaning of the comparative.

The meaning of the superlative may be conveyed by a genitive.

可 the entire land. ${ }^{3}$

Or else by means of $1+$ imy ( $\$ 80$ ).
Ex. $1+1$ wr imy sthw greatest of (lit. being in) the nobles. ${ }^{4}$
The repetition of a suffix may help to indicate superlative meaning.
Ex.
For 'very' wort 'greatly' $(\S 205,4$ ) is of common occurrence.

The common phrase much the same sense. So too wr 'one', 'alone':

Ex. $\leq\|\Delta\|_{\text {wr } i k r}$ alone excellent, i. e. uniquely excellent. ${ }^{8}$

## EQUIVALENTS OF ENGLISH ADJECTIVES, ETC.

§ 98. The word for 'other' has an ending $i$, doubtless dual in origin :

, f. O- $k t$ ( $k i t i$ ) f. O- $k t(k i t i)$, only known from Old Eg. ${ }^{13}$
The transliterations in brackets give the correct etymological values. ${ }^{14} \quad K y$ is no true adjective, but a noun to which another may be added in apposition.

Exx. $\sigma 4_{0}^{-}-k y s p$ another time, lit. another, a time. ${ }^{15}$
00,0 kt phrt another remedy. ${ }^{16}$

A suffix may be attached to the word for 'other':

$\sigma \| k y$ and $\sigma_{0} k t$ are frequently used as nouns; for the plural 'others' the

＇One＇．．．．．＇other＇is expressed by $\underset{\rightarrow}{\frac{\leftrightarrow}{1}}$ wr ．．．．．$\sigma\|\| k y$ ：
Ex． was under water，the other under corn．${ }^{1}$

Or else by $\square\|\ell k y \ldots . . \square\| \ell k y$ ：
Ex．$\left.\ell_{0}^{0} \cap\right)=\square\|\cap\| ी h p t \cdot n k y k y$ one embraced the other．${ }^{2}$
Or else by $\rightarrow$ wr＇one＇．．．．．＂om $s n$－nw．f＇his second＇：

Or else is merely implied：

§ 99．＇Many＇，＇few＇，＇a little＇．－For these notions 出 h h＇million＇（\＄259） and ${ }^{\prime \prime}, \circ$, nhy＇a little＇are often used with the indirect genitive．

Exx．${ }^{4}-\cdots h n n s p$ many times，or often．${ }^{5}$
$\square \mathbb{\square}$

§ 100．For＇entire＇，＇complete＇，＇whole＇several phrases are used．


 hit $r d r$＇all these things＇．${ }^{11}$ Note too the phrase 8 是 $n b-r-d r$ lord of the universe＇，lit．＇lord to the end＇，a title given to the sun－god ${ }^{12}$ or the king；${ }^{13}$ so too $n b t-r-d r$ is an epithet of the queen．${ }^{14}$
 $k d \cdot s$＇the entire priesthood of the temple＇．${ }^{15}$ A rarer synonym is $\&\|\Delta\| \|_{=}^{=} m i k i \cdot f .{ }^{16 a}$

 suffix $\downarrow 7=$－
§ 101．＇Each＇，＇every＇，of time，is rendered by the noun $\Rightarrow \underline{y_{1}} \underline{t} n w$ ＇number＇，later ${ }^{\circ}$ 吸 $\mid \mathfrak{k} \| t n w$ ，followed by a direct genitive in the singular．

Exx．－
$\therefore$－
§ 102．$\overline{m_{1}} s$＇man＇is common for＇someone＇，＇anyone＇；also，combined with a negative word，for＇no one＇．


§ 103．For＇everyone＇，＇everybody＇$n n b$＇every man＇${ }^{23}$ is the
 lit．＇every face＇，${ }^{25}$ are also frequent．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \text { BH. i. 8, } 17 \text {; Urk. } \\
& \text { iv. 55. 70. 719. } \\
& 20 \text { Urk. iv. } 117 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }_{1}^{1} \text { Peas. R 46. Sim. }
$$ Urk．iv．744，4－6．

${ }^{2}$ Urk．v．48．Sim． BH．i．26， 165 ；Peas． B1， 152.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．26．Also exceptionally wre．． wer，Westc．8， 22.

4 Sin．B28－9．Sim． BH．i．25，40－1；Sem－ nah Disp．2，8；Urk． iv． 652 ， I ．

| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} E b . \\ \text { iv. } 1091,8.17 \\ 8 . \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
| －Adm．7，3．Sim． |
| $P$ ．$P \cdot 1116 \mathrm{~B}, 7$ ． |
| ${ }^{7}$ Peas．B i， |
| ．Pr．r， |

${ }^{8}$ Westc． 9,$11 ; P$ ． Pet． 1116 в， 1.
－Kopt．8，2；Urk． iv． $655,16$.
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 111$ ．
${ }^{11}$ Siut 1， 269.
${ }^{22}$ Urk．v．51． 64.
73 ；Hearst 6， 7.
${ }^{13}$ Mill． 2 ；Adm． 15 ， J3．
${ }^{14}$ Sin．B172． 274.
${ }^{15}$ Kopt．8，2．Sim． Siut $\mathbf{I}, 151$.
${ }^{152}$ Peas．B 1， 41 ； Westc．6， 14.
${ }^{16}$ Pt． 380.382.
${ }_{17}^{17}$ Urk．iv． 6.
${ }^{18}$ Adm．I3， 2.
${ }^{31}$ Eb．38， 3 ．
${ }_{22}$ Leb． 121.
${ }^{23}$ Sh．S．6；Leb． 112． 119.
${ }^{24}$ Siut 3，3．6；Pr．
1， 12 ；Leb．10\％． 111.
${ }^{25}$ Adm．6， 3 ；Urk．
iv． 17,10 ，
${ }^{1}$ Parenthetically like quisque after a plural, Urk. iv. 752, 14; ÄZ. 69, 31, 19.
${ }^{2}$ Siut 1, 277. 288 ; V'rk. iv. 747, 17.
${ }^{3}$ After negative, P. Kah. 5, 58; Eb. 109, 2.
${ }^{4}$ Eb. 42, 18; 104, $2 ; 107,12.20$.
${ }^{5}$ After negative, FE. 27, 13 ; 110,3 .
${ }^{6}$ Sa See Gunn, Studies, ch. 25.
${ }^{6}$ See Gunn, Studies, ch. 10.
${ }^{7}$ U.k. iv. 650, 3, qu. §49I, 2 .
${ }^{8}$ A different formulation of the same standpoint in GUNN, Studies, ch. 26.
${ }^{10}$ Brit. Mus. $137^{2}$.
${ }^{11}$ BH. i. 8, $10-1 \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{21}$ Kopt. 8, 8.
${ }^{13}$ Pt. 13.
${ }^{14} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 58$.
'Each one',' 'each' is also represented by $s n b ;{ }^{1}$ but $\leftrightarrows$ wor $n b$ 'every one ' ${ }^{2}$ is equally common.
'Everything', 'anything' is ©首 ht nbt, lit. 'all things'; ${ }^{3}$ 酋 $h t$ alone is also used for 'something',4 'anything', ${ }^{5}$ see above § 92, 2.

## NEGATION

§ 104. Egyptian is rich in negative words, each of which possesses its own peculiar syntactic uses. For the moment we are concerned only with the commonest of these, which appears in two forms, $\mu n n$ and $\mu n$. Old Egyptian did not make the distinction and Dyn. XI still often uses $\mu$ for $\mu$ religious texts show the variants $=$ and $\approx$, seeming to point to the reading $n n{ }^{6}$ In a few texts $\mu$ interchanges with the particle $\perp$, so that their phonetic values must have been very similar ; $\mu$ is also sometimes written as $-\boldsymbol{m}$, and the preposition $-n$ 'to' has as a common variant (§ 164). Late Egyptian writes $\perp b n$ for $\stackrel{\mu}{\sim} n n$, and an instance occurs already in Dyn. XVIII. ${ }^{7}$

The distinction between $\mu n n$ and $\mu n$ is rather obscure; possibly $\mu$ is always a predicate ' not is.....', 'it is not (the case that).....', while -1 is more closely linked to the word which it precedes and qualifies; cf. ov and $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ in Greek. ${ }^{8}$ In carelessly written texts the two are apt to be confused, especially after the middle of Dyn. XVIII. See further below \$ 235 .

Obs. The replacement of the sign of negation by - in some MSS. of the Book of the Dead is clearly due to superstitious reasons.
§ 105. Negation of the narrative verb.-The negative word precedes the verb, and specializes its meaning in a strange way. ${ }^{9}$
I. $\rightarrow n=n$ sdm.f has past meaning for the most part, and as such provides the ordinary method of negating the narrative $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form.
 I did not do things for any small man, I did things for the prince. ${ }^{10}$
 there had not occurred loss in my army. ${ }^{11}$

We shall see in § 455 that $n$ sdm.f may occasionally refer to events in the present or the future, but such cases are not common enough to delay us here.
2.
 crown. ${ }^{12}$
3. $u$ nn $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ has often present meaning.

Exx. The mouth is silent $-1-1{ }^{n} n m d w \cdot i \cdot f$ and does not speak. ${ }^{13}$
Den does not turn (lit. give) his back. ${ }^{14}$

The three rules given above are sufficiently accurate for the purposes of the beginner, but will require considerable elaboration in the sequel, where it will appear that the Egyptians themselves approached the matter from a quite different angle from that of tense or time-distinction. To avoid giving a wrong impression from the start, we will enter somewhat more deeply into the discussion of $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ (see further $\S 418$ ). It has been seen ( $\S 67$ ) that $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$, though in use mainly a past tense, etymologically expresses no more than that something happens to someone or through his agency. Hence $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ means in effect 'it does not happen that he hears', a certain space of time being envisaged during which his hearing might have taken place. We may define the function of $n$ sddm.n.f as to deny the occurrence of an action throughout the course of a more or less prolonged period. Hence it is common in generalizations, proverbs, and statements of custom, for all of which English usually employs the present tense. But $n s d d m \cdot n \cdot f$ may also be employed where the context is past or future.
 and no boat sailed upon it. ${ }^{1}$

Such and such things must be done to prevent a snake from coming out of its hole, $-n p r \cdot n \cdot f$ and it will not (or never) come out. ${ }^{2}$

It is not quite easy to explain the reason why $n$ sd $d m \cdot f$ and $n n$ sd $d m \cdot f$ are not used in these two instances; nor is it possible to affirm that they might not have been used. Nevertheless two things are clear: first, $n$ sddm.n.f occurs only in contexts where, in the widest sense of the word, a generalization is being made; and second, a position of affairs is implied which $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ declares not to be interrupted by a negative instance.

The student must realize clearly that the affirmative and negative uses of the Egyptian verb-forms are separate things, not to be confounded with one another. For instance, it cannot be taken for granted, because $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ may be rendered 'he had heard', that $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, the same form with the negative word $n$ in front of it, may be rendered 'he had not heard'. In point of fact, $n$ sd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ appears never to have this meaning.
§ 106. 'Never'.-All three forms of negation described in the last section can, if the context requires it, be translated with 'never' instead of 'not', as is shown by several of the examples there quoted. If, however, it be desired to state more explicitly and emphatically that something has never happened, $\sim-$ $n s p$ followed by the $s d m \cdot f$ form may be employed.
 did I do anything evil against any people. ${ }^{3}$
 since the primal age of the earth. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 814.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 97, 19.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20729, a 3.

4 Urk.iv. 374.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 348, 9. Sim. ib. 305, 8 ; 306, 11; 1151,3; D.el B. 155 ; Caulfield, 4.
${ }^{2}$ Sin. R 34. Sim. T. Carn. 14. 15 , after mi 'as though', qu. § $157,3$.

> 3 Westi. 6, 26. Sim. ib. 2,$5 ;$ Fraser, Scarabs 263 . Interrogative exx. with in, see Sin. B 120.133 . Before an adjectival predicate, see $\$ 467$, end.
${ }^{4}$ Peas. R 2. Sim. Urk. iv. I39, 2.
${ }^{5}$ Peas. B I, 89. Sim. ib. 304.

[^50]See further below $\S 456$, where grounds are given for thinking that $s p$ is here a verb meaning 'occur', so that $n s p i r y \cdot i$ would mean literally 'it did not occur that I should do'.

## EXISTENTIAL SENTENCES

§ 107. To express existence, whether absolute or as relative to some situation, i.e. presence, the verb wnn 'exist', 'be' (perhaps originally 'move', (run') is used.
I. The $s d m \cdot f$ form of this verb varies according to the time and the duration which are envisaged. The longer form wnnf is commonly employed for the future, but may refer to any time-position where the notion of duration is stressed; the shorter form wn.f lays no stress on duration, and tends rather to have past reference.

Exx. 0 wn pt, wnnt $\mathfrak{h r} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ so long as heaven shall exist, thou shalt exist with me ; lit. sky shall exist, thou (fem.) shalt exist. ${ }^{1}$
 day was. ${ }^{2}$

Of the two forms, wnn.f alone is common in main clauses.
2. The phrase 4 iww (in which wn is $s d m \cdot f$ form, $\S 462$ ) means 'there is', 'there was'.
 whose name was Djedi. ${ }^{3}$
 ( $\$ 44,2$ ), here $w n$ occurs alone with the meaning of $i z w w$.

Exx. An ond ist whe hmt.f and he had a wife, lit. lo, there was a wife of him. ${ }^{4}$
 who there existed a great one for his great ones. ${ }^{5}$

Note that absolute existence is but rarely asserted; usually there is some qualification in the form of a genitive, an adverbial phrase or an adjective, as is indeed the case with several of the examples above quoted. When such a qualification occurs, there is a tendency for it, rather than the notion of existence, to become the real predicate, the verb wnn then degenerating into a mere copula ( $\$ 28$ ). Hence we shall find the model of the existential sentence much emplojed in sentences expressing possession ( $\$ \mathbf{8} 114-15$ ), sentences with adverbial predicate ( $\$ \mathrm{I}$ I 8 . I 20 ) and sentences with adjectival predicate ( $\$ \mathrm{I} 42$ ).

Obs. For a case where the $i z v$ of $i z w n$ is changed into $w m n$ according to the rules enunciated in $\S \S 118,2 ; 150$, see below $\S 150$. And for a case where $i z v$ in $i z v$ $w n$ is omitted after $n$ wnt ' there does not exist' ( $\S 108$ ), see $\S 394$. So too ir wn 'if there be ' occurs for a theoretic ir izv zon. ${ }^{6}$
§ 108. Non-existence or absence is expressed (1) by means of $n n$ wn ' there exists not', 'there existed not'. ${ }^{1}$ Since $w^{\prime \prime}$ here represents $i w w n$ with $i w$ suppressed (see § 1о7, 2), this phrase escapes from the rule (§ 105, 2) that $n n+s d m \cdot f$ always has reference to future time.

Exx. exist its end. ${ }^{2}$
 (lit. of) my time. ${ }^{3}$

People say: $n n w n$ there is nothing, lit. there does not exist. ${ }^{4}$
2. More rarely $-n$ wnt ${ }^{5}$ occurs with identical meaning ; wont is possibly the sdmt.f form of the verb, see below $\$ 402$, end.

3. Frequently $\bumpeq n \boldsymbol{n}$ ' (there is) not' stands alone for 'there does (did) not exist': ${ }^{7}$

 heavy (i. e. slow to move) as regards his appetites (lit. the counsel of the body). ${ }^{\text {. }}$

As in the sentences expressing existence, so too in those expressing nonexistence, some qualification is as a rule added, and this is apt to become the real predicate ; exx. below $\S \S$ 114; 120; 144, 4; 394.

Obs. In a sequence of parallel denials of existence, if the first begins with $n n$ $w n$, the second is likely to omit $w n$ as superfluous; $n n$ may then be rendered ' nor'. ${ }^{10}$
§ 109. 'Without'.-We have seen (\$ 29.30) that sentences of various kinds may be used, without the help of conjunctions, to express the equivalents of English adverb clauses. Sentences having as predicate $\sim n n$ 'there is not' ( $\S$ I08) are frequently so used, and in this case $n n$ may often best be translated 'without'.

Ex. lenty.s may they give to thee everlasting without an (lit. there is not its) end, and eternity without a (lit. there is not its) term. ${ }^{11}$

 malady, lit. its malady does not exist. ${ }^{12}$
 offering things, there was not cessation. ${ }^{13}$

Obs. $N n$ is very commonly used in this manner with the infinitive as its subject, and there occurs a similar use with the lighter negative word $n$ ( $\$ 307$ ). For $n n+$ noun + suffix employed as a relative clause see $\S 196, \mathrm{I}$.

1 See Gunn, Stu-
dies, pp. 122 foll.;
160-1.
${ }^{2}$ Leb. 130.
An-
other ex. below § 115.
${ }^{3}$ BH. i. 8, 19. Sim. Hat-Nub ix, 9, qu. § 394, end.
${ }^{4}$ Adm. 6, 4.
${ }^{5}$ See Gunn, Studies, ch. 19.
${ }^{6}$ Eb, 100, 15. Sim. Turin $156,4$.
${ }^{7}$ See Gunn, Studies, ch. I7. Rarely written $n, i b$. p. 195.

8 Leb. 122. Sim. Adm. 2, 2 ; Sin. B84.
${ }^{9}$ Peas. B i, 209. See too below §§ 144 , 4; 394 .

10 Exx. BH. i. 8,
19. Sin. B62-3. 19; Sin. B62-3.
${ }^{11} \operatorname{Sin}$. B212. Sim.
ib. 299 ; Adm. 6, 1 ;
Urk. iv. $163,15$.

12 Turin $159,5$.
${ }^{13}$ Urk.iv. 519.Sim. Bersh. ii. 2I, top 14.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

## VOCABULARY

mhy be neglectful，care－ less．

02 $4 d$ be white，bright；white（adj．）
组 $]$ kd build．
［｜通 var．［｜hm Majesty（with suffixes or genitival adj．）
م〇䧄 sr official，noble．
SH hity－r chieftain，local prince，mayor （plur．叓会，hityw－r）． （是 bity king of Lower Egypt．共 $b_{3} w$ might（plur．）

$\left\{_{1}^{0} r n p t\right.$ year．
$\bigcirc 0 r k$ time，period．
皿 haw environment，neigh－ bourhood，time．
${ }_{\square}^{-\infty} s p$ occasion，time，deed，fault．
肌蚟 bit qualities，talent．
$\left\{\frac{0}{\sigma}\right.$ kd form，character；good char－ acter，virtue．
$\stackrel{\square}{\square} d r$ end，limit．
3） 81 mir wretched．
$\square d r$ since（prep．）．
$\overbrace{1} t p$ head；upon（prep．）．

## EXERCISE VIII

（a）Transliterate and translate：

(b) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(I) Thy praises are in the mouth of everyone. They say: how great is the might of Thy Majesty! (2) He shall not receive bread (from) upon the altar of any god. (3) There was none wretched of my environment, there was none hungry of my period. (4) He does not say (either) good or evil. (5) Thou art greatest of the officials of the palace. (6) His Majesty answered the vizier, he did not answer this woman ( $h m t$ ). (7) There was a god in this (foreign) country, whom (lit. him) the people of Egypt did not know. (8) They gave him praises on account of his very excellent qualities. One said (sdm.f form) to another : 'there is no fault in (lit. of) him'.

## LESSON IX

## demonstrative adjectives and pronouns

§ 110. The demonstratives ${ }^{1}$ conform to a common pattern, as will be seen from the following list.
${ }^{1}$ See Rec. 35, 70 ; ȦZ. 47, 59; 50, 101 . Plur. c.

$\eta n n$, var. $\downarrow 7-$
$n f^{2}$
$=n f_{3}{ }^{3}$
var.直 $n$ 3
 hieratic ${ }^{2} \mathbf{n}^{5 b}$
In this series three demonstrative stems, characterized by the consonants $p$, $t$ and $n$, are utilized for the sing. m., sing. f., and plur. c. respectively ; and with these stems are combined other demonstrative elements such as $n, f$ and . The resultant compounds may be compared with celui-ci, celui-là in French.

The forms beginning with $n$, though called plurals for convenience, are really singulars with the meaning of Latin hoc, illud. In earlier use was a set of real
 still occasionally employed in Middle Egyptian, but mainly ${ }^{6}$ after a noun accom-
 ponding duals, occurring only in religious texts, are too rare to be specified here. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 7, 61;
Siut 4, 24.
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 108, 20.

- Leb. 34-37.
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 3, 3; 125,
$3 ; 654,8$; written $p_{3 y}$
as emphatic 'this', ib.
654, 16.
${ }_{59}^{54}$ Louvre C ir, 5.
6 ; Pr. 2, 5 .
${ }^{\text {Bb }}$ Rarely too in
hierogl. influenced by
hieratic, Kopt. 8, 4.

[^51]§ 111. Construction of the demonstratives.-As epithets the singulars (together with the plurals $i p n, i p w$ ) all follow their noun, excepting $p s, t$, which

${ }^{1}$ Pr． 2,5 ．
${ }^{2}$ Leb． 16.
${ }^{3}$ P．Kah．5， 27. Sim．Leb．77．
${ }^{4}$ Peas．B $1,51$. Sim．Sin．B 256.
${ }^{6}$ P．Kah．12， 13. Sim．Urk．iv．172， 12 ； 186，4．Nw，e．g．Eb． 2， 5 ．
${ }^{6}$ Peas．B $1,{ }^{7} 7$. Sim．ib．9．81－2；Meir iii． 13 ；in all these cases preceded by we $m^{\prime}$＇one of＇．
7 Westc．5， 1 2．Sim． ib．9， 21.27.
${ }^{8}$ Sin．R 56．Sim． It． 507.
－Westc．11， 10. $\Lambda^{\prime} w$, e．g．Urk．iv． 175 ， i．

[^52]12 See AZ．54， 104.
${ }^{13}$ Peas．R 5.

[^53] $p f_{3}, f_{3}$ may precede their noun，as in $p f g s$＇that side＇，${ }^{2}$ phrt＇that remedy＇．${ }^{3}$

The plurals in $n$ all precede their noun，and are connected with it by the
 nemen $n$ rwt＇these dwellings＇．${ }^{5}$ Occasionally the noun is in the singular，
 XVIII the vernacular began to drop the genitival $n$ ，ex．V70，nn hnmev＇these women＇${ }^{7}$ but this practice，which later became regular，is very rare in Middle Egyptian，and is not found in good monumental texts．

The demonstratives beginning with $n$ are thus really singular pronouns，not plural adjectives，and often occur with the neuter sense of＇this＇and＇that＇．Exx．
 agreeing with these apparent neuters are in the sing．m．form，but the suffix 3 rd sing．f．（ $\cdot s$＇it＇）is used in referring back to them（ $\$ 5 \mathrm{II}, 3$ ）．

For the same demonstratives as subject of sentences with nominal predicate， see below § 127，2．The singular $p w$ is very widely used in a similar way as an equivalent，invariable in gender，of the pronouns of the 3 rd pers．＇he＇，＇she＇， ＇it＇，＇they＇，ex．$\odot$ 皿吸 $R r w$＇he is Rer＇；for this construction and its extensions，see §§ I28－31． 140.

Otherwise，the singular demonstratives are seldom used except as epithets． $P_{3}$ rarely occurs as a predicate，when it may be translated＇such＇，referring to something that precedes or follows；ex．號 pw wir＇such is Osiris＇， lit．＇this is he，（namely）Osiris＇．${ }^{10} P n$ and $p f_{3}$ are found still more rarely as
 knew that from this＇．${ }^{11}$

Obs．From $p ;$ and $t$ as demonstrative pronouns are derived the prefixes ${ }^{n} p-n-$ ＇he of＇and $\gamma_{0}^{\text {m＂n }} t$－nt－＇she of＇，which，however，occur in our period only as components of proper names．${ }^{12}$ The genitival adjective seems to have fallen away early，since $2044 y$ and $t$ are found as variants of $p-n$－and $t-n t$－at the beginning of Dyn． XVIII or even earlier．
§ 112．Meaning of the demonstratives．－$P n, t n, n n$ are the commonest words for＇this＇，i．e．near me，at hand，both of time and of place．They are apt to be used in a manner rather redundant to our way of thinking．
 to this his wife．${ }^{13}$
$P w$, as an epithet，is confined to high－flown diction and religious texts， where the preference for archaic words is very marked；ex．$\sim \sim \infty$ fnd ${ }^{\prime} k$ pw spss＇this thy noble nose＇in a speech to the Pharaoh．${ }^{14}$ Even in religious texts $p w, t w$ tend to give place to $p w y, t w y$ ，forms employed only as
 have $p w$, and those of Dyn. XVIII pwy. ${ }^{1}$ Note the curious use in vocatives.
 see above § ili.
$P f, p f y, p f_{s}$ with their feminines and plurals, are employed where some opposition between 'that yonder' and 'this here' is intended; but also, like Latin iste, to express some emotional stress, whether of disgust or of admiration;
 Residence (of long ago) ' ${ }^{4}$ Note that such a nuance of admiration is particularly often applied to things and persons belonging to the past.
$P_{3,} t_{3}, n_{3}$ are both the most recent and the weakest of the demonstratives.


 this day','to-day'. ${ }^{8}$ Elsewhere, however, they have merely the force of the definite article, their regular use in Late Egyptian and onwards. So already before Dyn.
 storehouse '. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

## EQUIVALENTS OF THE ENGLISH POSSESSIVE ADJECTIVES

§ 113. The sense of English 'my', 'thy', etc. is usually conveyed, as we have seen ( $\$ 35, \mathrm{I}$ ), by means of the suffix-pronouns, which are appended to their nouns as direct genitives. Some less frequent alternatives have now to be considered.

1. From the demonstratives $p_{3}, t_{3}, n_{s}\left(\$ \$_{1} 10-112\right)$ are derived the possessive adjectives; it will suffice to quote the forms of the ist and 2 nd pers. sing.

> With sing. m. noun With sing. f. noun With plur. noun


Similarly for the other persons and numbers. Forms without $y$ are some-


The possessive adjective is not uncommon in the more popular writing of Dyn. XII and after, but does not become usual until Late Egyptian. Its construction is identical with that of the demonstratives from which it is derived.

( $14 \cap$ -
2. 倍 iry, more rarely written $\ell_{1}^{\infty} i r w(?)$, is sometimes used as an unchangeable substitute for the suffixes of the 3 rd pers. sing. or plur. It seems to

- Variable still in -lief lirt 'the like thereor', Hamm. 114, $16: J E A .16,19 . S i m$. Cant 20539, i. $b 3$; AI. $58,24^{\circ}$.
${ }^{3}$ Sin. R. 12. Sim. Se. S. 22; Leb. 63; Ale ? 12.
B Cirk. iv. 1092. Sa BH. i. 25,83 ; Cirt iv. 53. 659. 743.
- P. Kah. 29, 43.
- Eb. 55, 1 .
- Crkiv. II4, 5.
- Urk. iv. 1021 . Sien ib. 650, 5; 1068, 10: Rhird 56-9; wiser. 9, in. On one corian m. $k$-imyt after a $f \in$ noun, S I C 239 . 243. Urk.iv. 666.
be nothing more than the adjective $\mathrm{P}_{1 \text { I }} \mathrm{M}$ iry 'relating to' become invariable in this particular use, ${ }^{1}$ and is often best rendered by the English 'thereof', 'thereto'.
 $i r y$ and his eldest son was the chief thereof. ${ }^{2}$

四 $\|_{11}$ h $h \mathrm{irw}$ (?) the law appertaining thereto. ${ }^{3}$
 $h r-s 3$ iry ' thereafter'; ${ }^{5} 1_{\text {in }}^{\circ} m-m$ iry 'among them '. ${ }^{6}$
3. A more emphatic equivalent of the English possessive adjective, corresponding roughly to our ' of mine', ' of thine' is provided by the series 1 N $n \cdot i-i m y,=1$ 解 $n \cdot k-i m y$, etc., for which the variants These phrases follow their noun.


Obs. In origin this -imy was probably the adverb elsewhere written without $y$, $\S 205$, I, cf. Copt. ${ }^{e}$ mmaul 'there' after wentai' I have', lit. ' there is with me'.

## SENTENCES EXPRESSING POSSESSION

§ 114. Egyptian has no verb meaning 'to possess', 'to have', nor yet any verb meaning 'to belong to'. In order to express these notions, use is made of the preposition $-n$ 'to', together with its derivatives.
I. When $-n$ itself is employed, the rules governing the sentence with adverbial predicate ( $\$ \mathbf{2 9} ; 37$; 44, 2; Lesson X) come into play. Note, however, that when $n$ is followed by a suffix, it acquires that precedence in word-order which we have noted in $\S 66$ as peculiar to the dative. Compare for this construction the Latin est mihi, sunt mihi.

Exx. © all my property in country and in town (shall belong) to my brother 'Ihysonb. ${ }^{\text {' }}$

4B- $i w n \cdot k \mathrm{c} n \mathrm{~h}$ thou shalt have life, lit. life is to thee. ${ }^{10}$

 against his Majesty, i. e. the rebel shall have no tomb. ${ }^{12}$
$\cdots \|_{0} n n n \cdot k$ st it does not belong to thee. ${ }^{13}$
2. When the subject is a pronoun, the genitival adjective $-n(y)$ may be employed as predicate. According to $\S 48,2$ this will be invariable in number and gender, and according to $\S 44,3$ the dependent pronoun must be used. The association between adjectival predicate and pronominal subject is here so close, that in the case of the 3 rd person m. $\ddagger s w$, f. $\Gamma_{\|} s y$, the biliteral sign $\uparrow n s$ is regularly found linking the two together as

표 $n(y)-s(y) i m y-r p r$ it（this province，f．）belongs to the steward．${ }^{2}$ So too in indications of measurement．

Ex．$\ddagger \neq \underbrace{n n} n(y)$－sw $m h 30$ it（the snake）was of 30 cubits．${ }^{3}$
3．For＇belongs to me＇，＇belongs to thee＇，or alternatively＇I am（thou art） owner of＇，the independent pronouns of $\S 64$ ，or at all events forms evidently very closely akin，are employed；${ }^{4}$ some emphasis is here laid on the possessor．If the subject be pronominal，it is represented by the dependent pronouns．

A具它介道 ink sy she belongs to me．${ }^{6}$ A personal name．
In certain religious texts of the M．K．言通 $n n k$ is written for ist pers．sing． in this employment．${ }^{7}$ For another possible use of $n n k$ ，see $\S 300$ ，near end．

Obs．For the same purpose Late Egyptian uses forms ${ }^{\text {ª }}$ clearly descended from
the older $\underline{\underline{z}} w t$ ， $\mathfrak{s w t}$ ，definitely proving the kinship with the independent pronouns．
4．$N \cdot i \cdot-i m(y), n \cdot k-i m(y)(\S \pm 13,3)$ occur with the same meaning and with a like construction．


Obs．Ntf is found as a noun meaning＇its content＇，${ }^{10}$ and $n \cdot k$－imy similarly as a noun meaning＇thy possessions＇${ }^{11}$
${ }^{1}$ Eb．1， 7. Sim． ÄZ．57， $7^{*}$ ；Nav． 1 ， 7；Nebesh． 11.
${ }^{2}$ Peas．В і， 16.
${ }^{3}$ Sh．S．62．Sim． Rhind 45．46；Budge p．219， 3 ．
${ }^{4}$ See AZ．34，50； 4I， 135 ．
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．96．Sim．
Adm．IO， 4.
－Berl．Hi．Pap．iii． 42 a．Sim．Brit．Mus． 1203；ÄZ．54， 49.
${ }^{7}$ See AZZ．54，40； 58， 53.
${ }^{72}$ See $A Z .50,114 ;$ $J E A .20,13$ ．
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．96．Sim． ib．244，11－12；Ann． 39，189， 9 ．
${ }^{9}$ Sh．S．151．Sim．
Sin．B 222.
${ }^{10}$ Rhind 49.
${ }^{11}$ Peas．B I，103－4．
§ 115．To convey the meaning＇I have（had）a．．．．．＇，＇thou hast（hadst） no..... ＇the existential sentences of $\$ \S$ 107－8 may be employed，the subject being qualified by a suffix－pronoun（see $\S 35$ ，Obs．）．

Exx．$\|_{0}^{0} \boldsymbol{D}^{2 m}$ ist wn hmt．f and he has a wife，lit．lo，there was a wife of him．${ }^{12}$
＂nn wn tpf he has no head，lit．not exists a head of him．${ }^{13}$
－ $0 ⿻_{i 11} 10$ wnt swwt．s it has no reeds．${ }^{14}$
So too in cases where $\Lambda n n$ is best rendered as＇without＇（ $\$ 109$ ）．
Ex． city without a ruler，lit．as a city，not is a ruler of it．${ }^{15}$

See Add．for § 115 a ．

## VOCABULARY



85 hnm join，endue（ $m$ with）．
）
（6at $s n d$（later $s n d$ ）fear；（ sndw（sndw）fear（noun）．
6 $n d m$ be sweet，agreeable；adj． sweet，agreeable；n．sweetness． $\underbrace{m \times i w n n}_{m m}$ sanctuary．
${ }^{12}$ Peas．R 2.
${ }^{15}$ P．Ram．unpubl．
14 Urk．v．15I．Sim．
Bersh．ii．21， 14.
${ }^{15}$ Peas．B $1,190$. Sim．Sin．B I3． 47. 212.
$4 \|_{\square}^{-\infty}$ is tomb，tomb－chamber．

$\cdots$ now gold．
$\stackrel{P}{\square}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\circ}^{\circ} h \underline{d}$ silver．
ใ $\Delta$ 氕 h ks ruler，chieftain．
茳 $t 3 w$ breach，wind．

世 足 nowt love（noun）．

约
$\ell \int \bigcirc$ そnbt breast．
$8=-\mathrm{hr}$ piece of flesh；plur．flesh，body．
$\underset{\sim}{\Longrightarrow}$ And nose（earlier nd $)$ ．
${ }_{1}^{2888}$ var． $\mathcal{N}$ ss magic knot，amulet，pro－ section．
N $3 t$ moment．
布 $0 \|$ nd ty helper，avenger．

## EXERCISE IX

（a）Study the following text；${ }^{1}$ Amen－Rēr，the god of Thebes，addresses the Pharaoh Tuthmosis III（Din．XVIII，150I－1447 Bic．）


My son，my avenger．${ }^{2}$ Menkheperré，${ }^{3}$（may he eternally：I shine forth through love of


Endue my hands thy body with the protection of life．${ }^{5}$ How sweet is thy charm

${ }^{1}$ Extracts from the so－called＇Poetical Stela＇，found in two examples at Karnak；see Erk．iv．Gr． 620.
${ }^{2}$ Throughout Egyptian temple－ritual runs the conception of the king as＇the living Horus＇，and consequently any god who is worshipped and regarded by him as his father，becomes thereby identified with the god Osiris，whom Horns vindicated and avenged after his murder by the wicked god Seth．
${ }^{3}$ Prenomen of Tathmosis III，see Excursus A，p． 73.
${ }^{4}$ Note here and in sndzw $k$ ，hryt $\cdot k$ below the counterparts of the Latin＇objective＇genitive．
${ }^{5}$ Amen－Rēe is here the sun－god，bestowing life by means of his rays．
(b) Transliterate and translate:
[1]
(3)

(2)




(4) $A$

man 是运



(7) $x=\rightleftarrows \underbrace{m m}_{x}$




(c) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(1) To thee belongs the sky and (lit. with) all that-is-in it. (2) Never had the like happened in the time of any king. (3) How pleasant is the voice of these women in ( $h r$ ) my heart! (4) (May) the gods of Egypt give the breath of life to thy nose, ${ }^{1}$ that thou mayst adore Rēc every day. (5) The overseer of works built for me a tomb on the west of my city. (6) Others shall not hear this. (7) Rē caused him to arise as ruler of this entire land. (8) Then shalt thou say the like thereof to thy children. (9) Silver and gold are in thy house, there are no limits to (lit. of) them. (10) Life is thine in this thy city of eternity (i.e. the tomb).

[^54]
## LESSON X

## SENTENCES WITH ADVERBIAL PREDICATE ${ }^{1}$

§ 116. This topic has been touched upon in many previous sections; we must now gather together what has been already learnt and supplement it with further details.

First let it be noted that the term predicate ought, in grammar, strictly to include the copula (' is ', 'are', etc.). It is, however, extremely convenient to use the term in a looser sense, and we shall not hesitate to speak of an adjective, an adverb, or a noun as of itself constituting a predicate.

The adverbial predicate may consist either of an actual adverb, like Am 'there', or else of an adverbial phrase composed of a preposition + a noun, ex. $T_{1} m$ pr.f'in his house'. In the latter event two special cases call for
${ }^{1}$ See Sethr, Nominalsatz, \$6 3-21; Lef. Gr. $£ \S 637$ foll.
remark: (1) the preposition used may be the datival $-n$ 'to', 'for', which serves to convey the notion of possession and involves certain deviations from the usual word-order (see $\$ 114,1$ ); (2) the preposition may be the $m$ of predication ( $\$ 38$ ) or the $-r$ of futurity ( $\$ 122$ ), and then the predicate corresponds to an English nominal predicate, i.e. a predicate consisting of a noun.

Neither of these special cases affects the expression of the copula or of the subject ; in other words, the same rules as to subject and copula which hold of the adverbial predicate generally hold of it also in the case of the $n$ of possession, the $m$ of predication, and the $r$ of futurity.

The copula is often left unexpressed. When it is expressed, one of the two verbstems $\boldsymbol{i} w$ ' be ' ( $\$ 29$ ) or wnn 'exist' ( $\$ 107$ ) is employed. The different shades of meaning resulting from the insertion of the copula in its various forms will be studied in the following sections.

When the subject is a noun or demonstrative pronoun nothing prevents it from standing at the beginning of the sentence; but it may be preceded, either by $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{w}$ or by a sidm•f form from wnn (in these cases conforming strictly to the type of the verbal sentence, $\S 27$ ), or else by a particle like $m k$ 'behold' or $n n$ ' not', which modifies the meaning of the sentence as a whole. When the subject is a personal pronoun, some supporting word must necessarily precede it, since the independent pronouns are normally not used with adverbial predicate ( $\$ 65$, end), and the suffixes and dependent pronouns must always be attached to some preceding word. The suffix-pronouns are employed after the copula in its various forms (thus $i w \cdot f$, w $w \cdot f$, w $n n \cdot f$ ), but when the supporting word is a particle of the kind above alluded to, it is as a rule the dependent pronouns which are used (exx. $m k s w, n n s w$ ).

As just stated, the employment of the independent pronouns as subject of the sentence with adverbial predicate is exceedingly rare, and may be archaistic, since a few instances occur in the Pyramid texts. ${ }^{1}$ In Middle Egyptian only the following have been noted:


Obs. For important ramifications of the sentence with adverbial predicate see below Lesson XXIII on the pseudo-verbal construction. For cases where the grammatical subject is the logical predicate, see § 126 .
§ 117. The presence or absence of iw in sentences with adverbial predicate.-The verb $i w$ states facts as such, declares this or that to be the case. I. With nominal subject it serves to introduce some statement, often a description, of outstanding interest, and the clause containing it must be translated as a main clause.
 Statement of fact．

ACo ل and also grapes．${ }^{2}$ Description of the land Yaa．
 as blood．${ }^{3}$ In a pessimistic description of Egypt．Note the $m$ of predication． （§38）．
 subject is here a demonstrative pronoun．

Only rarely does it happen that such sentences have the value of English subordinate clauses；they have such a value，for example，when a strong contrast is expressed or a medical symptom emphasized．
 $i t \cdot f m$ hnw $c h \cdot f$（while）his father was within his castle．${ }^{5}$
 under）fire．${ }^{6}$

When $i w$ is omitted，the statement or description becomes less obtrusive．
Exx． $\mathbb{U}_{0}$ midst of an argumentative passage．
$\triangle=1,0111 d k r w n h r h t w \cdot f$ all kinds of fruit（iit．all fruits）were on its trees．${ }^{8}$ Part of a description．

口品 as a spoiler．${ }^{9}$ From a descriptive passage；note the $m$ of predication．

This too is the ordinary way of expressing an attendant circumstance ；it is impossible to draw a hard and fast line between descriptive sentences and clauses of circumstance．

Exx．Every man was caused to know his order of march，如定双 htr m－s htr horse（following）after horse．${ }^{10}$
 （lit．as）my（sole）companion．${ }^{11}$ Note the $m$ of predication．

2．When the subject is a suffix－pronoun，the sentence with $i w$ has a wider range of meaning，see above $\S 37$ ．On the one hand，it may express an independent statement or description．
 under）favours from（lit．of under）the king．${ }^{12}$

As N criminal（lit．the doer）．${ }^{13}$ Note the $m$ of predication．

On the other hand，sentences introduced by $i w+$ suffix may be quite subordinate in meaning，i．e．may serve as clauses of time or circumstance（§ 214）．

1 Peas．B 2，65． Sim．Sin． 1217 ； Westc．7，17；Urk． iv．244， 10 ．

2 Sin．B 81．Sim． ib．R 8；Peas．R 46－7； Leb．134；Adm．2， 8 ．
${ }^{3}$ Adm．2， 10.
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv． 1090. Sim．Leb．34•
${ }^{5}$ Sin．B 50．Sim． Urk．iv．2，10．See too below § 323．Kalher differently Urk．iv． 657，13．
${ }^{6}$ Eb．97，3．Sim． P．Kah．7， $3^{8 .}$
${ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ Peas．Br，93．Sim． ib． 7 ．

8 Sin．B 83．Sim． ib．В $186-7.239-40$ ； Sh．S．48－51；Urk．iv． 657，10．12．14．
${ }_{9}$ Peas．B1， 248.

10 Urk．iv． $652,10$. Sim．Sin．B 244． 290 ； Sh．S．16；Westc．7， 15；10，I；Cairo 20001，5；Urk．iv． 1104，I－II．
${ }^{11}$ Sh．S．42．Sim． Urk．iv．1 39， 7.
${ }^{12}$ Sin．B 309－10． Sim．P．Kah．${ }_{11}$ ，21； Urk．iv．59，5；405， 7． 9.
${ }^{13}$ Peas．B I， 218.
With $r$ of futurity，see § 122 below．

Exx. A storm went forth, An $i w \cdot n m$ Wid-wr (while) we were
${ }^{1} S h . S .33 .102$. Sim. ib. 67 ; Sin. B 2 ; Leb. 83; Mill. 2, 2 ; Urk. iv. 974, 16.
${ }^{2}$ Sin. B 68. Sim. Ikhern. 7; Urk. iv. 2, 14.

[^55][^56][^57]in the Great-green (the name given to the open sea). ${ }^{1}$

Men and women are in jubilation, 4 \& $\boldsymbol{q}^{\circ}$ 且 $i w \cdot f m n s w$ (now that) he is king. ${ }^{2}$ Note the $m$ of predication.

Obs. A certain contradiction may seem to be involved in the use of $i w$ to introduce ( 1 ) detached independent sentences and (2) clauses subordinate in meaning, even though the latter use is confined, or nearly confined, to examples where a suffixpronoun is the subject. The difficulty disappears if we assume that what we take to be a clause of circumstance was originally felt as parenthetic, i.e. as an independent remark thrown into the midst of, and interrupting, a sequence of main sentences. The use of parentheses to express temporal and circumstantial qualifications is frequent in all languages. In Late Egyptian and Coptic izw becomes increasingly common as the mark of a clause of time or circumstance.
§ 118. Tense and mood in the sentence with adverbial predicate.1. The types of sentence studied in § 117 are strictly regardless of time, and there is nothing about the form of the examples translated there with 'is' to prevent them, in a different context, from being translated with 'was' or even with 'will be'; the example $i w e n \cdot k$ rnk in 114, I contains a promise for the future, and may, accordingly, be freely translated 'thou shalt have life'.

So too the simple unintroduced sen'ence with adverbial predicate may express a wish or command.
 shall say : The breath of life (be) to the nose of the ..... Sebkhotpe. ${ }^{3}$

Similarly, when the negative word $n n$ precedes ( $\$ 120$ ).
Ex. the living. ${ }^{4}$
2. When, however, it was desired to convey more explicitly some temporal or modal distinction of meaning, this could be contrived by the use of the verb wnn or of the particles to be enumerated in § II9.

The future is frequently expressed by means of wnn•f, a sdm.f form from wnn 'exist', 'be' already familiar from the existential sentences (§ 107), of which we have here a development.


The other $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form of $w n n$, namely ${ }^{s} w n \cdot f(\$ 107)$, is probably never used in simple affirmative statements with adverbial predicate ; it is, however, common in a number of usages. ${ }^{7}$ So, for example, in order to express purpose ( $\$ 40, \mathrm{I}$ ).

Ex. 10 man $i i \cdot n \cdot(i) w n \cdot(i) m s s \cdot \underline{t}$ I have come that I may be thy protection. ${ }^{8}$

So too after 19 is（ $(40,3)$ ．
 of the god．${ }^{1}$

And again after $r d i$＇cause＇（ $(70)$ ．
Ex． $9 \mathrm{Tdi} \cdot n \cdot s$ wn $\mathrm{k} m$ ntr she has caused thee to be（lit．that thou be）a god．${ }^{2}$

In none of these last cases could $i w$ have been employed．The verb wnn thus supplies various parts of the Egyptian verb for＇to be＇，izw itself occurring almost only in main clauses ${ }^{2 a}$ and having a very restricted range of employment． The same phenomenon is to be observed in many other languages，where the different parts of the verb＇to be＇are taken from various stems；so English＇be＇， ＇are＇，＇were＇，Latin sum，erat，fuit，German bin，wäre，ist．We shall frequently have occasion to refer to this important rule．

Obs．In theory wonn could supply any missing parts of $i w$ ，when followed by an adverbial predicate．${ }^{3}$ In practice it is not possible to illustrate all the different cases，though what will be called the pseudo－verbal construction（Lesson XXIII） supplies examples of some（e．g．win－in•f $\S 470$ ）which would otherwise be missing．
§ 119．Particles used in the sentence with adverbial predicate．－ Some of these have been mentioned already in $\S 44,2$ ，where it was seen that they are followed by a dependent pronoun，when the subject of the sentence is pronominal．For fuller details see below $\$ \$ 230$ foll．

1．$m k$（for the variant writings see $\S 234$ ）is a compound of which the first element appears to be an imperative，＇behold＇，and the second element is a pronoun 2nd sing．m．${ }^{4}$ When a woman or several persons are addressed， a different pronoun is apt to be used．Thus we have the series ：

Na $m k$ behold thou，sing．m．or general．
$m t$ ，later $m t$ ，behold thou，sing．f．
回 $m \underline{n} n$ ，later $m t n$ ，behold ye．
This particle appears to depict the sense of the sentence which it accompanies as present and visible to the mind；more often than not the time referred to is the present．
 on rafts，i．e．have been deprived of their luxurious boats．${ }^{b}$

気通品 $m k$ wi $r-g s \cdot k$ behold I am in thy company，lit．at thy side．${ }^{6}$
 of predication（ $\$ 38$ ），which is indispensable here and in all similar cases．

2．$\left\|\|_{\curvearrowleft} \text { ist，later } 4\right\|_{0} i s t$ ，archaistically $\|_{\curvearrowleft} \quad s t$ ，the form used in Old Egyptian before pronouns；is clearly related to the enclitic particle $4 \Gamma$ is＇ 10 ＇，＇indeed＇ （ $\S 247$ ）．${ }^{8}$ It describes a situation or concomitant fact，and sentences introduced
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20538, ii.
${ }^{7} ; 20539$, ii. $b i=12$.
${ }^{2}$ Mitt．viii． 10 ． Sim．Mar．Abyd．ii ${ }_{30}, 29 ; P$ ．Kah．${ }^{36}$ ， 34；Urk．iv． 776,14 Arrn． 103, in．
${ }^{23}$ Partial excep－ tions，p．93．n． 5 ．
${ }^{3}$ Old perfective （ $\$ 309$ ）wn $k(t)$ ，Brit． Mus．574，4；s sdm．hr．f form（ $\$ 471$ ）$w n \cdot h r \cdot i$ ， Urk．iv．Io80， 11 （col－ lated）；the participles
wnn wonn and iun，see § 396 ．
${ }^{4}$ See Rec．28，186； 35， 217.
${ }^{5}$ Adm．7，10．Sim． ${ }^{\text {ib．p．I }}$ IO8；Siut I， 269.
${ }^{6}$ Sh．S．ro8．Sim． Sin．B77；Peas．BI， 231；Siut 1， 272.
${ }^{7}$ Peas．B $1,177$. Sim．ib．168．1才1．174； Bersh．ii．21，left， 7.

[^58]
> ：rrass R 44 Sim． F゙ズ．6，10－11．

－Cork．iv．260．Sim． ac． 219 ． 4.
${ }^{3}$ 1 ouvre $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ ．Sim． ftiv．157， 3 （isk $\therefore 8$ ．
－Sie Proc．SBA． $1 \therefore .4 \%$
－Sin．R 13.15.
－L＇rk．iv．890．Sim． i．．899， 11 ；926， 17 ．
－C＇rk．iv．897．Sim． is．209，7；271，12； $613,7$.
${ }^{30}$ Urk．iv．66I．Sim． it．86， 7 ．
${ }^{11}$ Rhind 21．Sim． ib．22．23；Urk．iv． IIO4， 8.9 （varr．）．

12 Sin．B ir8．See Rec．24，34；ÄZ． 43 ， 159.
${ }^{13}$ Peas．Bt．25．Sim． Adm．p．97．
${ }^{34}$ Lac．TR．31， 5. Cf．p．240．n．2a．
by it may often，though by no means always，be rendered as clauses of time or circumstance．

Exx．I spent many years under king Antef， $4 \|=\square \mathbb{d}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ist ts pn hr $s t-h r \cdot f$（while）this land was under his charge．．．．．$=$ s． I being his servant．${ }^{1}$

Year 30，Afolx＇$\underset{\sim}{\text { Pu }}$ land of Retjnu．${ }^{2}$

Followed by the enclitic particle $r f$（below §252），ist announces a situation with a view to some further narrative．The meaning is very much that of the French or，and may best be rendered in English by＇now＇．

Ex．4 house of this Djeḥutnakht was on the river－bank．${ }^{3}$

3．A foisk，fosk（below § 230）are archaic variants of ist，st，and have the same meaning．

Exx．Afoliflamin isk hmt．s minpw when Her Majesty was a child．${ }^{4}$ Note the $m$ of predication．

4．$f t i^{6}$ has similar meaning to $i s t$ ，from which it may possibly be derived． Examples do not occur until after Dyn．XII，and then at first only with sw ＇he＇；${ }^{7}$ later it may be followed also by wi＇I＇，or，more rarely，by a noun．

Exx．I was his companion $7 \ddagger$ 肌近 ti sw hri when he was upon the battle－field．${ }^{8}$

 the eastern fortress．${ }^{10}$

5．$\quad h r$ ，earlier $\theta$ or $\theta i h r$ ，indicates what comes next in order，and may be translated＇and＇，＇further＇，or even sometimes＇accordingly＇，＇so＇． Examples with adverbial predicate are rare，and no instance with pronominal subject has been found．

Ex．客官 added to it．${ }^{11}$

6．The rare $\square$ nhmn means＇assuredly＇or the like．


 to me）any efficacious idol．${ }^{13}$

8．造 $h w y-3$ ，a compound with the enclitic particle 3，also expresses a wish．

Ex．$h w-3$ wim would that I were there．${ }^{14}$
§ 120. Negation of the sentence with adverbial predicate.-The word $\stackrel{\mu}{\sim} n n$ is placed before the subject, which may be either a noun or a dependent pronoun ( $\$ 44,2$ ).

Exx. $=1$ N

Sentences of this type may on occasion be equivalent to English clauses of time or circumstance.

Ex. on earth ( $\$ 158,2$ ), thou not being in it. ${ }^{3}$

The model of the sentence expressing non-existence (§ Io8) is used when universals are denied; the subject is then an undefined noun and the negation may be expressed by $n n$ alone, or by $n n w n$, or more rarely by $n w n t$.

Exx. their midst. ${ }^{4}$

風 $n n$ wnt $m$ ht $\cdot f$ there was no greed in his body. ${ }^{5}$

Very rarely $-1 \|^{n}$ is ' not indeed' is used; for is see below $\S 247$.

Before $i w$ and $w n n$ the negative word is extremely rare. Certain examples can, however, be quoted:
$\sim$ An $n$ izw $k m p t$ thou art not in heaven. ${ }^{8}$
 upon his seat. ${ }^{9}$

According to § 105, 2 negation of the future is expressed by $n n s d m \cdot f$; the last example is, therefore, an exception to the rule, if $=$ be a s $d m \cdot f$ form; hence a doubt arises whether it may not be the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see $\$ 413$.
§ 121. Position of the adverbial predicate.-The normal position is after the subject ( $\$ 29$ ) ; a pronominal dative may, however, sometimes precede it (§ 66).

Sometimes a short adverbial predicate may intervene between the subject and some words which are joined to it or qualify it.

Exx. © © therein, lit. fishes were there together with birds. ${ }^{10}$
 thy heart is to thee of being-in-front. ${ }^{11}$
§ 122. Use of the preposition $-r$ to indicate a future condition.Closely parallel to the $m$ of predication is what may be termed the $r$ of futurity.

${ }^{1}$ M.u.K. verso 2, 3;
sim. Siut 3, 69. De-
monst. pron. as sub-
ject, Urk. iv. 415, 12.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 131. Sim.
Sin. B 223-4, qu. §44,
2; Pt. 435 ; Eb. IO1,
15 ; 108, 20.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo $5^{83}$,3. With
nom. subj. AZ.69,27, 4.

[^59][^60][^61]${ }^{1}$ Th．T．S．ii． 1 I.
${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{43} . \operatorname{Sim}$. ib．215．224；Le． 81．
${ }^{3}$ Usk iv．iol．Sim． Adm．p． 101.
${ }^{4}$ BH．i．26， 155. So too after $n n$＇there is nothing＇，Peas．BI， 120；after $n$ wit，with same meaning，Pt． 212.
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．6I，Sim． ib．iv．506， 8 ．
${ }^{6}$ Erk．iv．656， 5.
${ }^{7}$ T．Carne．7．Sim． Usk．iv．649， I 5 ．
${ }^{8}$ Graffito in the temple of Saḥurēe （Möller．）
${ }^{9}$ See Era．Str．$d$. Westc．p．II 9，n． 2.

且
Obs．For the use of this $r$ after verbs of＇appointing＇，＇making＇，see § 84 ；and for its development with the infinitive see § 332 ．
§ 123．Omission of the subject before adverbial predicate．－ Instances are occasionally found：


軳是 $n n$ zn $h r-h w \cdot f$ there was none beside him．${ }^{+}$
 had＇（lit．would that to me）about anything．${ }^{\text {b }}$

See further below $\S 153$ for the omission of the subject in wishes，greetings and the like．
§ 124．The pronominal compound ere $\boldsymbol{i}$ two．－In Din．XVII are found the earliest traces of a new method of expressing the pronominal subject when the predicate is adverbial．The full paradigm，some forms of which do not occur until the Late Egyptian stage of the language，is as follows ：

Sing．
st pars．c．¢通 twi． i I．
and prs．m．$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ two $k$ thou．
f．es two thou．
ard ers．m．$\ddagger ¢$ sw he，it．
f． $\mathbb{R}_{\|} s y$（later $\left.\ddagger \mathrm{f}\right)$ she，it．
Impersonal ${ }^{3} A_{3}^{2} t w e$ one．${ }^{6}$
 possession of（lit．under）the land of the Asiatics，we are in possession of Egypt．${ }^{7}$
 temple）was in his face like the sky．${ }^{8}$

Obs．These new pronominal forms are conjectured ${ }^{9}$ to have arisen from $n t t w i$ ＇that I＇，etc．，see $\S 223$ ．At all events the parallelism of $s w, s y$ and $s t$（perhaps from
$* t \cdot s w, * t \cdot s y, * \cdot s t$ by assimilation of $t$ to $s$ ）with $t w \cdot i$ warrants the distinction of them from the dependent pronouns of $\S 43$ ．See $\S 330$ for an extension of this construction．

## VOCABULARY

 $\triangle 』 \wedge s b$ send ；pass（time）．
km complete；completion．


邲 $\Delta s m s$ follow，accompany，serve；邲会，$\Delta$ ，$s m s w$ or 邲 smswt following，suite（noun）．
4． old age．

Of H haw rudder．
皿（abbrev．8）$p p d$ bird．
－$r m$ fish．
组 ${ }^{\circ}$ 프t field．
$\underset{\star}{\odot} 36 d$ month．
4 ne var． $\mathrm{K}_{1}^{0}$ it office，rank．

$\ell \|$ var．［］$h b$ festival，holiday．

吅 haw jubilation，praise．
${ }_{1} r$－pr temple，chapel，shrine．
 hwt－ntr temple．
OI ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$ hasty heart，breast．
aw wrong，crime．
 $k s w$ height．

## EXERCISE X

（a）Transliterate and translate：


（3）$-\infty \quad 01 \mathrm{~mm}$




（8）

（9）角等

${ }^{1}$ See p． 423 ，Add．to $\S 86 . \quad 2$ The ordinary priests（ web）served in the temples in rotation，one month at a time．
（b）Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration：
（1）I crossed in a boat without a rudder（lit．not was its rudder）．（2）Thou shalt be an old man of thy city．（3）All my property shall belong to my brothers and sisters．（4）There were old men there and（lit．with）children．（5）He caused me to be in the following of His Majesty，when he was at（lit．upon）his southern boundary．（6）He entered into the temple，the entire town being in festival．（7）I say to the birds which－are－in the heaven and to the fishes which－ are－in the water：How great is the might of this god！（8）I ploughed my fields with my own asses．（9）My office was（that of）he－who－is－over the secrets． （to）God sends it to thee in the completion of a moment．

## LESSON XI

${ }^{1}$ See Sethe，No－ minalsatz，§§ 22 foll．； Lef．Gr．$\$ 5603$ ，foll．
${ }^{2}$ Sin．R 2，Sim． Urk．iv．6I，14；118， 3；1069，6－7．
3 Peas．B I， 62. Sim．${ }^{i b}$ ． 140 ． 161 ； ntt，M．u．K．2，3－9； $n t f$, Budge，p．38， 7.9.
${ }^{4}$ Rifeh 7，35．Twt， Lac．TR． 11,9 ；ERM． Hymn．1，5，qu．Exerc． 31（a）；Urk．iv．228， 15.
${ }^{5}$ M．u．K．，verso 4， 7.
${ }^{6}$ Fraser，Scarabs， no．262．Seealso Peas． B I，158－9；Westc． 7，17－8；Hamm．43， 12．More complex exx．C＇rk．iv．271，9； 558， 15 ．

## SENTENCES WITH NOMINAL OR PRONOMINAL PREDICATE ${ }^{1}$

§ 125．We have seen that，with the help of the prepositions which have been termed the $m$ of predication（ $\$ 38$ ）and the $r$ of futurity（ $\$ 122$ ），the model of the sentence with adverbial predicate could be utilized by the Egyptians to express the meaning of English sentences with nominal or pronominal predicate ； examples have been quoted in §§ II7．II8．II9．122．In the present lesson we have to learn that apart from the method just alluded to，Egyptian possessed a specific and well－characterized model for constructing sentences with a noun or pronoun as predicate．The principal divergences from the sentence with adverbial predicate are that here the independent pronouns of $\S 64$ are freely used，that $i w$ and $w n n$ are not employed，and that the demonstrative word $p w$ （§ IIO）makes its appearance as an important syntactical element．

The principle underlyirg the Egyptian sentence with nominal or pronominal predicate is the principle of direct juxtaposition，the subject preceding the predicate as in the sentence with adverbial predicate．This construction is still very common in Middle Egyptian when the subject is a personal pronoun，and a previous lesson has taught us that in this case the independent pronouns are used（ $\$ \S 65,1$ ）；the copula is not expressed．

Exx．○会身通 ink smsw I was a follower．${ }^{2}$


When the subject is a nuun，direct juxtaposition is practically obsolete， though it was still common in the Pyramid Texts．A few Middle Egyptian examples may be quoted，notwithstanding．

Exx． of Reer．${ }^{5}$

Other examples will be found below § $127,1.2$ ． 3 ．
Obs．The old construction nom．subj．＋nom．pred．survives also in the important construction $i n+$ noun + participle，see below $\S \S 227,3 ; 372 ; 373$ ；to this the counterpart with pronominal subject is of the form indep．pron．+ participle，quite in accordance with the examples quoted above．
§ 126．Subject and predicate．－In sentences having an adverbial predi－ cate there is no risk of confusing subject and predicate，since an adverb or adverbial phrase is by its very form precluded from being a subject in the grammatical sense．The necessity of defining the terms＇subject＇and＇predi－ cate＇becomes urgent，however，when we proceed to consider the sentence with
a noun or pronoun as predicate; for we are evidently not justified in speaking of sentences with nominal or pronominal predicate unless we are able to distinguish the subject from the predicate in any given sentence, and here the criterion of form fails us. In English such a criterion is often afforded by the agreement of the copula with the subject in person and number, as in 'I am your friend', 'they are a united family'; in Egyptian no such help is forthcoming, and we are consequently thrown back upon the logical definitions of subject and predicate as respectively 'the thing spoken of' and 'that which is affirmed or denied of the subject'. A good test for the logical predicate is to cast the sentence into the shape of a question; then the elements which correspond to the interrogative word constitute the logical predicate. Thus in ' I am your friend' the logical predicate is ' your friend ' whenever the sentence answers the question 'what am I?'

Returning now to the Egyptian sentence with adverbial predicate, we find that more often than not the adverbial predicate does state exactly what is affirmed or denied of the subject. In iw $n s m$ sbyyt 'this is (as) an instruction' ( $\$ 117$ ) the corresponding question would be 'what is this?' and consequently $m$ sbzyt is the logical predicate, besides being the grammatical predicate. Such is the natural or normal state of affairs, and we may define the grammatical predicate as that element in a sentence (or even in a subordinate clause, § 182) which either by position or by form would normally express the meaning of the logical predicate ; and the grammatical subject as that element which in like manner would normally express the meaning of the logical subject. A distinction between the two kinds of predicate would, of course, be unnecessary in practice, if both always coincided ; but we have now to see that such is not the case. In the sentence $i w d ; b w i m \cdot f$ hnt $i s r r t$ 'figs were in it and grapes' ( $\$ 117$ ) we are indeed informed where figs and grapes were, so that $i m \cdot f$, the grammatical predicate, is in a secondary sort of way also a logical predicate; but this is not the real point of the sentence, which is to tell us what was there, and accordingly $d_{3 b w} h n r i z r r t$ 'figs and grapes', although they are grammatically subject, must undeniably be considered as the real logical predicate. Such cases are frequent, ${ }^{1}$ not only in Egyptian, but also in English, where a stress is laid in pronunciation upon the logical predicate whenever this does not coincide with the grammatical predicate; thus 'he is in the house', with even intonation, answers the question 'where is he?' and 'in the house' is simultaneously grammatical and logical predicate; but if we say 'he is in the house', the question answered is 'who is in the house?' and the stressed word ' $h e$ ' is logical predicate, although it is grammatically subject. So in the English translation of the above-quoted Egyptian sentence, a slight stress is laid on the two words 'figs' and 'grapes'.
${ }^{1}$ Sim. Sin. B 68. 83 ; Sh. S. $4^{2}$, all quoted in $\$ 117$.

In the Egyptian sentence with nominal predicate it is certain, both from general considerations and from examples like those of $\$ 125$, that the normal word-order was 1. logical subject, 2. logical predicate, as in English and as in the Egyptian sentence with adverbial predicate ; hence the formulation adopted in § 125 . When, therefore, as we shall find to be the case in many instances, the logical predicate precedes the logical subject, we are justified in regarding this as a departure from the normal word-order, i.e. as an inversion quite analogous to the use of stressed 'he' in the English sentence, ' he (and no one else) is my brother'.

Obs. The definitions of grammatical subject and predicate have been framed to accord with the fact that in some sentences with adjectival predicate, as well as in verbal sentences with $\delta d d m \cdot f$ and similar forms, the word-order is $\mathbf{I}$. gramm. pred., 2. gramm. subj.; for the reasons of this see below §§ 137, OBS.; 411, I. Later on, the term 'grammatical subject' will sometimes be used in antithesis to ' grammatical object' or again to another kind of subject for which we have coined the name 'semantic subject', see below § 297, I. When 'subject' is written without qualification, either there has seemed but little likelihood of confusion, or else the word so described is subject in more senses than one, as in § i25.
§ 127. The logical predicate comes first in the following cases, exemplifying the kind of inversion explained at the end of § 126:

1. When the logical subject is $r n \cdot f$ 'his name', $r n \cdot s$ 'her name'.
 lit. a commoner, Djedi is his name. ${ }^{1}$

Note that in this case, as well as in others quoted below under 2 and 3 , direct juxtaposition is used in spite of the fact that the grammatical subject is not a personal pronoun.
2. When the logical subject is a demonstrative pronoun.

Exx. - -
 of Thath to the house of Māret. ${ }^{3}$
3. When the logical predicate is an interrogative pronoun; in this case the logical subject, if a pronoun, is a dependent pronoun, since it occupies the second place. ${ }^{4}$

Exx. $4 \bar{\gamma} \mid$ which thou hast done to it ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$

4. When the logical predicate is an independent pronoun. The greater emphasis of the independent pronouns always tends to give them the force of the logical predicate. Possibly the second and third examples of § 125 would
have been better translated＇it is thou（who art）the father of the orphan＇and＇it is he（who is）our lord＇respectively．When the pronoun is stressed in this manner，it is not seldom accompanied by the enclitic particle $4 \beta$ is＇indeed＇（ $\$ 247$ ）．

It is no absolute rule，however，that the pronoun，when accompanied by $i s$ ， is to be understood as logical predicate．Nor yet is such the case with wnnt and ${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ wnt，which are similarly used ${ }^{2}$ these are probably fem．participles from the verb wnn＇be＇which have come to be employed as particles meaning ＇indeed＇，＇really＇，see below § 249.

Exx．${ }^{\circ}$ truly in the heart of his lord．${ }^{3}$

禺
§ 128．Use of pw for the pronoun 3rd pers．－The use of the demonstratives exemplified in § 127,2 gave rise to an idiom of the highest importance；the demonstrative pronoun $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{pw}$（§ IIO．III）came to be employed as logical subject after logical predicates consisting of a noun，not however with its own proper meaning of＇this＇or＇that＇，but as an equivalent for＇he＇，＇she＇，＇it＇or＇they＇invariable in number and gender．Compare French ce in c＇est，ce sont．

Exx．© $R$ r pw it is Rē or he is Rēc．${ }^{5}$ Answer to the question ptr rf sw＇who is he ？＇quoted above § 127,3 ．
 Answer to the question＇who is this Reddjedet？＇quoted below § 132.

股运吅 hwow pw they are wretches．${ }^{7}$
The logical predicate may be an independent pronoun：

Or else，rather rarely，it may be a demonstrative pronoun ：
Ex．W ${ }^{\circ} p^{3} p w$ this is it．${ }^{9}$
Sometimes $p w$ is absent in places where we might expect it；it is then impossible to be sure whether there is a deliberate omission of $p w$ ，for sake of brevity or some other reason，or whether we have the construction of § 89， 2.

Obs．For＇he is $\mathrm{Re}^{-r}$＇，as we have seen § $125, n t f R r$ can also be said ；but in that case the pronoun is more emphatic and tends to obtain the value of the logical predicate＇he is Rē＇．＇
§ 129．Position of pw．－If the logical predicate consists of several words，pw may be intercalated before some of them．${ }^{11}$
 Wâdy Natrûn．${ }^{12}$
${ }^{1}$ Sh．S．${ }^{151}$ ．Sim． Lac．TR．19， 45 ； Sin．B232；NAv．Ib， 17.
${ }^{2}$ Sce Verbum，ii， $\S 978$ ．
${ }^{5}$ Brit．Mus．614， 7. Sim．Cairo 20543，16； Mitt．ix． 18.
${ }^{4}$ Turin 1447．Sim． Leyden V 4，12； Louvre Ci，io；AZ 34， 27.
${ }^{5}$ Urk．v．10．Sim． Sin2．B 47．57．58．60； Urk．iv．17，in． 16.
－Westc．9， 9.
${ }^{7}$ Berl．AII．i．p． 258.
${ }^{8}$ Sin．B 268.
${ }^{9}$ Rhind 60.

10 Urk．iv．123．Sim． ib． $122,16$.

[^62]판․
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 8r. Sim. Pt. 330; Urk.v. ir, i.
${ }^{2}$ Ur.k. v. 30, 9
${ }^{3}$ Leb. 38. Sim. ib. 20-1 ; BH. i. 25, $7^{6}$; Westc. 8, $\mathbf{1}_{3} ;{ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 369,$1 ; 519,9$.
${ }^{4}$ PSBA. 18, 203, 16. Sim. Peas. Bi, 232-3.
${ }^{5}$ Vrk. iv. 973, 10. Sim. ib. 973, 8 .
${ }^{6}$ Rec. 39, $\mathbf{1 2 1 .}$ Sim. R'hind 57. 58; Peas. B I, 19. $N_{3}$ pw + rel. form 'these it is which .....'AZ. 69, 32, 23. Similarin appearance, but different in reality, are cases like $t_{3}$ pro ktt ' it is that girl', Westc. 12, 22; sim. Eb. 103, $6-7$, cf. ib. 103, 9, qu. § $190,1$.
was Yaa. ${ }^{1}$
 question 'who are these gods?'

Obs. Compare the similar intercalation of short adverbial predicates, above § 121.
§ 130. $p w$ in sentences where both subject and predicate are nouns.-As we have seen ( $\$ 125$ ), the original method of expressing sentences where both subject and predicate were nouns was by direct juxtaposition; but long before the Middle Kingdom that method had become obsolete and had given place to another based on the use of $p w$ described in § 128 . The logical predicate (or part of it, § 129) comes first and is followed by $p w$ as a purely formal logical subject; the real logical subject is added in apposition to $p w$.
 namely the West. ${ }^{3}$
 the monument it (is) of a man, (namely) his goodness. ${ }^{4}$

Rarely either the subject or predicate may be a demonstrative.


The substitution of this construction for the method of direct juxtaposition was evidently due to the desire to indicate the logical predicate more clearly than could be done by that method, in connection with which inversions were frequent. Now a demonstrative word like 'this' is far more often logical subject than logical predicate; we are more prone to say that 'this' is so-and-so than that so-and-so is 'this'. Hence the intercalation of a demonstrative in a sentence in which both subject and predicate are nouns (in Egyptian it must occupy the second place, inversion here being the rule, $\$ 127,2$ ) is apt to mark the preceding noun as the real logical predicate. The effect of such an intercalation will be felt by comparing French c'est lui le roi with il est le roi, where the use of ce, just like that of $p w$ in Egyptian, points unmistakably to lui as the logical predicate.

Thus whereas in the old method of direct juxtaposition the first word (the grammatical subject $\$ \S{ }^{125} \mathbf{- 6}$ ) could be almost as easily logical predicate as logical subject (though the latter was of course its proper function), in the sentence with intercalated the first word is, in the vast majority of cases, not the logical subject, but the logical predicate.

The tragedy of language is, however, that it is constantly perverting the constructions which it creates to purposes for which they were not primarily intended; by a second inversion (the first being that of §127, 2) the sentence
with $p w$ could sometimes have the logical subject in the first place, thus returning to the original word-order ( $\$ 125$ ).
 of her body. ${ }^{1}$ The sentence raises the question what is the best preservative for a woman's body, and the answer is 'oil'.

§ 131. Owing to the frequent intercalation of $p w$ between a noun and some words that qualify it ( $\$ \mathbf{1 2 9}$ ), an ambiguity is apt to arise which requires careful
 the context can decide whether the intended meaning was 'it (or 'he') is Nun, the father of the gods' ( $i t$ in apposition to $N w n, \S 90$ ) or 'the father of the gods is Nun' ( $i t$ in apposition to $p w, \S 130$ ).
§ 132. The dependent pronoun in place of $p w$.-This construction is a development of that of ptr $s w$, above $\$ 127,3$, and occurs only with interrogatives.
 who is she, this Reddjedet?
§ 133. Tense and mood in the sentence with nominal predicate.As in the sentence with adverbial predicate, so too here it is usually the context which provides the key to the intended tense and mood. The verbs $i w$ and wnn (§ $\$ 17.118$ ) are not, as a rule, ${ }^{5}$ found in company with any of the constructions described in $\$ \$_{125-130}$, so that if it was desired to utilize those verbs, the Egyptians had recourse to the $m$ of predication ; examples above §§ 117.118 .

On the other hand, $m k$ ' behold' and various particles like it ${ }^{6}$ may occur at the beginning of the sentence with $p w$.
 thee. ${ }^{7}$

 smwn 'probably' see § 241 .

So too with the particle of wishing $h_{3}(\$ 119,7)$.
 end of men. ${ }^{10}$

Obs. Clauses of time and circumstance utilize the $m$ of predication and conform to the rules for adverbial predicate ; see above $\S \$ 117$; 119,2 . So too the construction $w n n \cdot f(w n \cdot f) m$ is employed after prepositions (§ 157,2 ) and ir 'if' ( $\$ 150$ ). For exceptional cases where a clause with real nominal predicate follows a preposition, see § 154 end.
${ }^{1}$ Pt. 328. Sim. P. Kah. 8, 25. 26. 27 ; Pr. 1, 12; Eb. 1, 8; Budge, p. 209, 6.
${ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 23, 3.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. v. 8.

4 Westc. 9, 8. With $m^{\prime}$ 'who!' Urk. v. 30, 8; pw 'who?'§498. Sim. with $\underline{t} \boldsymbol{n}$ 'whence?' §503, 4 ; $t \boldsymbol{n}$ is, however, an adverbial predicate.

5 'Iw exceptionally before the indep. pron., see § 468,3 .
${ }^{6} \mathrm{Hr}$ before the construction with direct juxtaposition ( $\$ 125$ ), see Westc. 7, 17-8.

7 Sh. S. ${ }^{1} 59-60$. Sim. Pt. 414; Rhind
57 ; Urk. iv. 20, 14.
${ }^{8}$ BH. i. 26, 166-7.
${ }^{9}$ Peas. B I, 44.
${ }^{10}$ Adm. 5, 14.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．122．Sim． Cairo 20530，7．With pw omitted，Urk．iv． 122， 15 ．
${ }^{2}$ Sinai 90．Sim． Siut 1，288；Peas． B I，95－6．
${ }^{3}$ Berl．AII．i．p．258， 20．Sim．Lac．TR． 47， 34 ．
${ }^{4}$ Berl．AI．i．p．258， 13.
${ }^{5}$ Sin．B 114. Sim． with is，Lac．TR．72， 41 ．

6 Sin．B 267.
${ }^{7}$ Westc．9，6．See also Gunn，Studies， p． 170.
§ 134．Negation of sentences with nominal or pronominal predi－ cate．－Examples are uncommon．Before a noun followed by $p w=n n$ is used．
 with another．${ }^{1}$

Note，however，that $\mu n$ is employed if $p w$ is preceded by the enclitic particle $\| \cap$ is＇indeed＇．
 it was indeed not the season for coming to this mining region．${ }^{2}$

With $\mu \ldots . . \| n \ldots$ is occasionally $p w$ is omitted as superfluous．

In one case the pronoun st＇they＇appears to be substituted for $p w$ ：

Before the independent pronouns the negative word used is $n n$ ．
 of his．${ }^{\text {b }}$

事边 $n$ ntf pw mast it is not he in truth．${ }^{6}$
Later，however，instances with $工 n n$ can be found．${ }^{7}$

## VOCABULARY

Bl｜윰 wosh be broad，wide；broad， wide（adj．）；breadth（noun）．
$\square 』 \Delta$ var．$\rightleftharpoons_{\varnothing}^{\varnothing} \Delta p h r$ go round ； $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \wedge s p h r$ cause to circulate．
$\bigcirc$－
$\triangle s p r$ draw nigh，approach；peti－ tion（ $n$ ）．
$\Longrightarrow y t h t$ raise up．

TT 鼠 var． 11 smr courtier，friend （of the king）．
$\rightarrow \infty$ or army）．


P
$\triangle$ SHA
$\Rightarrow$ ค解 mst truth，right，justice．
切旬 nfrw beauty．
－NiN rewy sleep．
W4 ${ }_{\text {II }}^{\circ}$ mryt river－bank．
$\ell_{m \times m}^{\circ} \odot i t n$ sun＇s disk，sun．
a irt eye．
for enk ear．

T ${ }^{2}$ here．
${ }^{\circ}{ }_{0}^{0}$ I Iwnw，Heliopolis，On of the Bible， a town near Cairo．
O気（ $I$ ）$/ \mathrm{m}$ Atum，the solar god of Heliopolis．

## EXERCISE XI

(a) Study the following extract from a self-laudatory inscription: ${ }^{1}$


I (am), moreover,


${ }^{1}$ Newberry, Life of Rekhmara, 7, 16, collated and slightly restored; see $A Z .60,69 . \quad{ }^{2}$ I.e. pass all my time.
(b) Transliterate and translate:
(1)




(4)

(5) 피묘응
$\square{ }^{\circ}$
(6)



[^63](c) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(1) O great (wr) overseer of the house, thou art the rudder of the entire land. (2) Behold, thou art here, thou art happy ( $n f r$ ) with me, thou hearest the speech ( $r$ ) of Egypt; thou shalt be the commander of my army. (3) Thou shalt have a tomb on the west of Heliopolis. (4) I was indeed greatest of the courtiers. (5) This is the way to the Residence. (6) (King) Amenemḥēt is Atum himself, he gives the breath of life to $(r)$ the nose(s) of everyone.

## LESSON XII

## SENTENCES WITH ADJECTIVAL PREDICATE

${ }^{1}$ SeeSethe, Nominalsatz, 85 32-37;6367; 85-86, etc.; Lef. Gr. §§ 623 foll.
${ }^{14}$ Not, however, to the nisbe-adjectives of § 79.

[^64]4 Urk. iv. 67. Sim.
ib. $1078,17$. ${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 2$ 30.
${ }^{6}$ But $n t f$ minh, Urk. iv. $86 \mathrm{r}, 8$.
§ 135. Sentences with adjectival predicate ${ }^{1}$ follow, in principle, the pattern of the sentences with nominal predicate, but there are many important differences. Some of the relevant facts have been stated already in previous sections (§§ 44, 3; 46, $3 ; 48,2 ; 49 ; 65,2$ ).

To most ${ }^{1 a}$ Egyptian adjectives there corresponded an adjective-verb, and indeed it is highly probable that the adjective was simply a participle from such a verb. Thus $n f r$ is a verb 'be beautiful, good' and the adjective $n f r$ may well mean properly 'being good'. We shall frequently have occasion to note that some form of the adjective-verb is substituted for the adjective itself in constructions where the latter cannot be used, just as we found (§ II8) the verb wn substituted for iwe where the latter cannot be used; in fact, the two cases will be shown to be remarkably analogous and parallel.
§ 136. Independent pronoun + adjective.-This is the construction usual with the ist pers. sing.


The characteristic and regular use of the suffix 3rd pers. sing. (nb•f' his lord') in this last example indicates that $b n r$ means 'one pleasant' rather than simply 'pleasant', in other words that it is here a noun rather than an adjective. Thus the construction is merely a special case of that described in § 125.

As in the corresponding construction with nominal predicate just alluded to, so too here the pronoun is apt to have emphatic force and tends to become the logical predicate. Here again (see above § 127,4 ), the particle $4 \Omega$ is 'indeed' often serves to give emphasis to the pronoun, though without always giving it the importance of the logical predicate.

 Here ink is logical predicate: $I$ am not overweening, whatever others may be.

Examples with any independent pronoun other than that of the first person are very rare, if the predicate be an adjective pure and simple. ${ }^{6}$

Obs. For the closely related construction with independent pronoun and participle see below § 373 .
§ 137. Adjective + noun or dependent pronoun.-Except in the case of the ist pers. this is the usual construction. The adjective precedes the subject and is invariable in number and gender; it may be accompanied by the exclamatory ending $\mathrm{\beta}^{\prime \prime} \cdot w y$, see above § 49 .

Examples with a noun as subject:

 my praise in the palace than (that of) any Unique Friend. ${ }^{2}$

Examples with a dependent pronoun as subject:



With the ist pers. sing. the construction independent pronoun + adjective ( $\$$ I 36 ) is preferred, ${ }^{6}$ except in the case of the adjective $-n y$ 'belonging to'; the construction both of $n y$ and of the expressions for 'belonging to me, thee', etc. ( $i n k, n t k ; n \cdot i-i m y, n \cdot k-i m y$ ) has been seen to conform to that of the adjectival predicate ; see above § 114, 2. 3. 4.

Attention must here be drawn to two very important points :
I. In the construction here discussed the adjectival predicate precedes its subject ; we have good reason for thinking that, whenever an apparent adjectival predicate follows its subject, this predicate is not really an adjective at all, but the old perfective tense of the adjective-verb; see below § 320, end.
2. With nominal subject it is impossible to distinguish between the construction adj. + subj. and the sdm.f form of the adjective-verb; with a singular pronoun as subject, on the other hand, the distinction is clearly marked, since the adjectival predicate demands the dependent pronouns, whereas the sdm.f form employs the suffixes. For the uses of the sdm.f form of the adjective-verb see below §§ 143. 144.

Obs. The construction dealt with in this section is obviously closely related to those cases with nominal predicate where the secondary and inverted word-order I. log. pred., 2. log. subj. has become stabilized and customary; see § 127 , particularly under 3 .
§ 138. The same construction with a following dative serves to combine the notion of an adjectival predicate with that of possession; see above § 114, i.

Ex. The land of Yaa....... abundant in wine than in water; or it has more wine than water; lit., great to it is wine more than water. ${ }^{7}$
§ 139. Adjective + dependent pronoun + noun.-An example of an uncommon kind is
 (namely) my heart. ${ }^{8}$

Here $s w$ exercises much the same function as $p w$ in its developed use with nominal predicate, above § 130 ; a still closer parallel above § 132 .

7 Sin. B82. Sim. Sh. S. 150, qu. 6144 , 1; P. Pet. III6 B, 10 .
${ }^{8}$ Paheri 3; Sim. Urk. iv. 1166, 10. See too Nominalsatz, $\$ \$ 85.86$.
${ }^{1}$ Peas. R 45. Sim. Urk. iv. 1087, 8, where $n n$ for $n$ is in accord with later castom, see GUnn, Studies, p. 169.

2 Peas. B I, 165.

## ${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 123 ; sim.

 Sinai 90, 20-1. Present time, see $U r k$. iv. 366, 5; v. 170, 17.${ }^{4} \ddot{A} Z .57$, I $^{*}$. Sim. Mitt. ix. 18 .

5 Sin. B 146-7. Sim. ib. 106; Cairo 20512, b4.
${ }^{6}$ Adm. 6, 8.

7 Th. T. S. i. pp. 40. 64. Pl. 15. Sim. Bersh. i. 15 .
${ }^{8}$ P.Pet.1416a,91.
§ 140. Adjective + pw.-Examples similar to those with nominal predicate ( $\$ \mathrm{I} 28.130$ ) are found when the predicate is adjectival, only much more rarely.
 was not broad. ${ }^{1}$
$\rightarrow n$ is isw $p w$ such a great one is not really great, lit. not great indeed is he, the great one there. ${ }^{2}$

ObS. For $n f r p w$ as a means of expressing negation, see below \$351, 2.
§ 141. The adjective as impersonal predicate with following dative.-In the constructions already studied the adjective predicated is an inherent quality. We are here to become acquainted with a construction in which the adjectival predicate is followed by the dative; this is used when a contingent, accidental or merely temporary qualification has to be expressed. The difference is best illustrated by German, where er ist kalt means 'he is cold' in temperament, while ihm ist kalt signifies 'he is cold', meaning 'he feels cold'; so too in the French il a froid as contrasted with il est froid.

Exx. ${ }^{\ddagger}-m_{1} \rightarrow n f r n \cdot t n$ it will go well with you. ${ }^{3}$

 I became extensive in my wealth, I became rich in my flocks. Lit. it was broad to me in my wealth, it was plentiful to me in my flocks. ${ }^{5}$

Aी
 a certain thing happens). ${ }^{7}$

The same construction occurs with $p z w$, but extremely rarely.
 wretched to) the place where he is. ${ }^{8}$

Obs. 1. The word with adjectival meaning in this construction is doubtless a true adjective with omitted subject, see below § 145. That it cannot be an impersonal $s d m \cdot f$ form seems clear from the occasional presence of the exclamatory ending $w y$; another reason is given below $\$ 467$. The occasional presence of $\cdot w y$ also proves that the adjectival word $+n$ cannot be identified with the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form; we may also point to the absence of any examples where the $n$ is separated from its noun, as may happen with the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form. None the less, the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form originated in a very similar way, see $\S \S 3^{86} ; 389,3$, end ; 411, 2. For an undoubted sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form from the adjective-verb, see below $\S 144,3$.

ObS. 2. For $n f r n$ as a means of expressing negation, see § 351 , i.
§ 142. Tense and mood in the sentence with adjectival predi-cate.-As in the sentences with adverbial and nominal predicate the tense must, as a rule, be inferred from the context. Examples with both present and past
meaning have been quoted in the foregoing sections, and $n f r n \cdot t n$ in $\S 141$ is an example with future meaning.

The verbs 4 iw and $=w n$ ( $\$ 117.118$ ) have a certain limited use before the adjectival predicate when its subject is a dependent pronoun.
 ize nfr sw m ps hrw $r$ sf while he is better to-day than yesterday. ${ }^{1}$
 heart. ${ }^{2}$
 hearts. ${ }^{3}$ For the $\delta \underline{d} m \cdot i n \cdot f$ form of $w n n$ here used see below $\$ \$ 429,1 ; 470$.

For $i w+$ adj. $+n$ see below $\S 467$. Much more commonly, however, it is the old perfective tense of the adjective-verb, preceded by its subject, which is used after $i w$ and $w n n$, see $\$ \S 220.323 .326$.

The particles $m k$ 'behold', ist 'lo', and $b r$ 'further' 4 are found before adjectival, as before nominal, predicate.
 to hearken, lit. good is a hearkening to men. ${ }^{5}$

且 $m k d h r p w$ behold it (the office of vizier) is bitter. ${ }^{6}$

In wishes and in various dependent constructions the adjective itself cannot be used at all, and recourse was had to the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb; see the next section.

Obs. Clauses of time and circumstance are expressed by the help of the old perfective; see below $\$$ § 314. 322. 323 .
§ 143. The Sdm•f form of the adjective-verb.—Just as izw can be used only in a restricted number of cases and is elsewhere replaced by the sdm.f form of $w n n$ (see above § 118 ), so too the adjective must often be replaced by the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb.

So, for example, in clauses of purpose ( $\$ 40, \mathrm{I}$ ).
Ex.
 head, that thou mayst be eminent by means of it, that thou mayst be exalted by means of it, that thy estimation may be great by means of it. ${ }^{8}$

So too, again, after the verb rdi 'cause' ( $\$ 70$ ),
Exx. $-\$$ divi wsh swt ir wi I. will cause to be spacious the places of him who made me. ${ }^{9}$

国 with thy greatness. ${ }^{10}$ Lit. (that) he become acquainted.
${ }^{1}$ Th. T.S. iii. 26.
: P. Kah. 3, 36.

[^65]${ }^{4}$ Sin. B 202-3.
${ }^{5}$ Leb. 67. Sim. ib.
86-7; Sh. S. 182.

- Urk. iv. 1087.
${ }^{7}$ Bersh. i. 14, ${ }^{1}$.

[^66]${ }^{9}$ Urk.iv. J63. Sim. ib. 102, 12; 505, 13 . ${ }^{10}$ Sh. S. 139. Sim. Eb. 59, 9; Urk. iv. 198, 7 ; 766, 5 .
${ }^{2}$ Sies, See Gunn, Studies, ch. 27.
${ }^{2}$ Puy. 35; Sim. Urk. iv. 1082, $15 ; N u$ 102, 7 ; BUDGE, 256, I.
${ }^{3}$ Louvre C 55. Sim. Sinai 90, 15; N'u 125 b, 25 . 32. 36. 40.

4 Peas. B 2, 103. Sim. ib. B 1, 177 ; LAC. TR. $1,55$.
${ }^{5}$ Sh. S. 150.
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 500. Sim. Sin. B258; Siut 1, 295; Five Th. T. 25 . 26 .
${ }^{7}$ Siut 1, 280-1. 310. Sim. Sm. 3, 17-18.
${ }^{8}$ U'rk.iv. 519: Sim. ib. IOJ7, 3; ERM. Hymn. 13, $5 ; P$. 282-3; P'eas. B I, 316-7.

[^67]A similar use of the adjective-verb is found, as we shall see later, after other verbs ( $\S 186,1$ ) and after prepositions ( $\$ 157,4$ ). The cases are exactly the same as those in which $w n \cdot f$ is found in place of $i w \cdot f$. We have already pointed out ( $\S 137$ end) that the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb can be clearly distinguished from the adjective itself only when the subject is a singular pronoun, in which case a suffix-pronoun is used.
§ 144. Negation of sentences with adjectival predicate. ${ }^{1}$-Examples quoted in $\$ \$ 136.140$ show how the sentence with adjectival predicate was negated when the subject was either an independent pronoun or else $p w$. In most other cases the adjective-verb, not the actual adjective, appears to be used, and the rules followed are those already stated in § io5.
I. $N s d m \cdot f$ has often past reference.

Exx. - $n h s i h r i b$ I was not weak in the heart, i.e. I was not deemed weak. ${ }^{2}$
$\cdots \infty$ 我 have not been self-assertive) among the courtiers. ${ }^{3}$

But it may also have present reference.
Exx. $\sim$ d $\sigma n i s \cdot k$ thou art not light. ${ }^{4}$
 incense to thee. ${ }^{5}$
2. $N n$ sddm. $f$ has future meaning.

3. $N s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ denies a continuous or repeated action, irrespectively of the time at which the negative instance may occur.

Exx. $\sim$ nh $n n d m \cdot n$ n $n$ htht $i m$ reversal thereof (lit. receding therefrom) is not pleasant to him. ${ }^{7}$

Obs. Most examples of $n$ sid $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ are ambiguous, since it might be possible to view them as negative cases of adjectival predicate with following dative (§ 141 ). However, the first of the two examples quoted above is placed beyond all doubt by the separation of $n d m \cdot n$ from its nominal subject.
4. Negative universals follow the model of the sentences expressing nonexistence ( $\S$ Io8). The best examples contain participles or other adjectival parts of the verb; see below §394. Here only one type will be quoted:
=lit. there is not a member in me void of god. ${ }^{9}$

Obs. The negation of wishes, commands, and clauses of purpose with adjectival predicate is contrived by means of the negative verb tm . Not all these cases can be illustrated; an example of a negatived clause of purpose in § $347,4$.
§ 145．Omission of the subject．－When the nature of the subject is clear from the context，it is occasionally omitted．
 css wort $r$ ht not and lo，（they were）much more numerous than anything．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Bersh．i．7．
Or again，the subject may be omitted if it is perfectly vague．Thus in the construction $n f r n \cdot i$＇it goes well with me＇（ $\$ 14 \mathrm{I}$ ）the implicit subject is the vague＇it＇or＇things＇．

This subjectless use of the adjectival predicate occurs also after $i w$, cf．§ 142. The cases thus arising are discussed at length in $\S 467$ ．

## VOCABULARY

A会iw come．
是 $m d w$ speak，talk．$m d t$ speech，word．
mm nh nh m take away，rescue．
有 $3 /$ be beneficial，advantageous．
肌 war be powerful，wealthy．

$\triangle \iint \sqrt{\square} m$ be exact，precise．
$\Delta \bigcap_{m m}$ es sn be difficult，dis－ agreeable．
$\underset{m m n}{ } \|_{d} \square d n s$ be heavy，irksome． ऊ○通 Dhwty Thoth，the ibis－headed god of writing and mathematics．

为 7 inv on balance．

 dignity．
$\underbrace{\infty}_{0}$ ，hart share，portion．
$\Rightarrow$＜compat＞ᄀ＜compat＞ᅦ misty righteous．

$\left\{\oint_{\odot}^{*}\right.$ shf last year．
Oी hst front；$m$ hast，$r$ hast formerly， before．
mos $m i z(r) w(\$ 79)$ misery．
to ；together with．

## EXERCISE XII

（a）Transliterate and translate：



(b) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(1) My portion was not (too) great (wr). (2) I rescued the poor man from him (who was) more powerful than he. (3) (When) the mistress of the house speaks, it ( $p w$ ) is irksome in ( $h r$ ) the heart of the maid-servants. (4) I was one important ( $w r$ ) in his office, great in his rank, a noble in front of the common people. (5) I cause thee to be greater than any courtier. (6) Her clothes were white. (7) Behold, thou art beneficial to thy lord; it goes well with thee because of it. (8) The river will not be empty of crocodiles. (9) No man (rmt) is powerful like Rēc.

## LESSON XIII

## EMPHASIS BY ANTICIPATION

§ 146. A noun, adverb, or adverbial phrase which has been removed from its regular place and put outside and in front of the sentence is said to stand in anticipatory emphasis. The word or phrase thus given prominence becomes, as it were, the pivot upon which the whole sentence turns ; the effect is, however, sometimes different from what might be expected; thus in the two first exx. of § 147 the stress is upon the predicate, not upon the subject.

Except in the case of a mere adverbial expression, a resumptive pronoun must be substituted within the sentence itself for the word thus emphasized. Such a pronoun appears also in the parallels from modern languages, e.g. cette confiance, il l'avait exprimée; 'every man that dies ill, the ill is upon his own head'.
§ 147. Anticipatory emphasis in non-verbal sentences. I. The subject is put at the head of the sentence.
 than two cubits. ${ }^{1}$

- 品


## EMPHASIS BY ANTICIPATION

 that spice which thou didst speak of bringing, it is the main thing of this island. ${ }^{1}$ 2. A genitive is emphasized.
 $s n-n w \cdot f$ each one of them, his heart was stouter, his arm stronger, than his fellow('s). ${ }^{2}$
3. Adverbs or adverbial phrases. See the examples with ir, below § 149.
§ 148. Anticipatory emphasis in verbal sentences.-I. The subject is put at the beginning:
 the rivers, it is drunk if thou desirest. ${ }^{3}$

M1, 웅 $m k n t r$ rdi $\cdot n \cdot f r n k \cdot k$ behold, god has caused thee to live. ${ }^{4}$
\&abila $m k t w d d \cdot t w$ behold, one says. ${ }^{5}$
An independent pronoun may be used before the $s d m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms.

This example shows the $\dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form ; the construction $n t f \dot{s} d n \cdot f$ has always future meaning, see below $\$ 227$, 2. See Add. for the construction sw sdim.f, etc.
2. The object is put at the beginning:
 placed them as a protection behind thee. ${ }^{7}$
3. A genitive is put at the beginning:
 pr.f a sluggard, shall a petitioner stand at the door of his house? ${ }^{8}$ For the construction see $\S 332$.
4. A noun which virtually follows a preposition:

Ex. one of (them) was left.' The adverb $i m$ 'therefrom' is equivalent to $i m \cdot s n$ ' of them'.
5. An adverb or adverbial phrase.
 Majesty proceeded to the workshop of offerings. ${ }^{11}$
 ${ }^{1}{ }^{3} h n r \cdot i$, $i w, ~ r d i \cdot n \cdot i ~ i w t . f$ behold, through lack of a messenger here with me I have caused him to come. ${ }^{12}$ ' $n$ is the initial form of the preposition $-m$.
 His Majesty proceeded ${ }^{\prime},{ }^{10}$ are more probably to be explained by $\S 89$, I.
§ 149. Anticipatory emphasis by means of $\<$ ir.-The preposition $r$ may have the meaning 'as to', 'concerning', and when occurring at the beginning of a sentence exhibits the original full form $1-i r$. This $i r$ is often
${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 1 :2.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 100.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 233$. With past meaning, see § 450 , I .
${ }^{4}$ Sh.S. 113-4. Sim. Sin. B142-3; Bersh. i. 14,5 .
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 1090. Sim. Sin. R I5, qu. $\$ 66$.
${ }^{6}$ Lac. $T R$. 72,21. Sim. ÁZ. 57, $8^{*}$.
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. 618. Sim. ih. 1075, 11; Sh.S. II ; Sin. B 223 .
${ }^{8}$ Peas. B 1, 284. Sim. Adm. 7, 7; Th. T.S. ii. 8.
${ }^{9}$ Sh. S. 107.
${ }^{10}$ Seas. 340.
${ }^{11}$ Ul.k.iv. 685. Sim. ib. 836,6 .
${ }^{13}$ P. Kah. 31, 8-10; translation dubious.

[^68]3 Urk. v. 95.

- Pr. 2, 4-5.
${ }^{5}$ Kopt. 8, 7-8. Sim. Urk. iv. 1021, 8-9.

6 Urk. iv. 102 I. Sim. Munich 3, 22, qu. Exerc. 29, (b) 3; ist
 Ann. 37, pl. 2, 19.
${ }^{7}$ Exx. Siut 3, 62; Cairo 20458, $b$ I.

[^69][^70]10 Eb. 49, 22. Sim.
Pt. 84.119 .145 .197.
placed before a word which is to be emphasized, the resulting expression then being an adverbial phrase in anticipatory emphasis, as described in § 148,5 .
I. In reference to the subject of the sentence.

 as to the (office of) vizier, behold it is not pleasant. ${ }^{2}$
 know this spell, he shall never enter. ${ }^{3}$
2. In reference to some other member of the sentence.
 as to all which is in writing on the papyrus-roll, hear it. ${ }^{4}$
 $n b n t y r h t p n \cdot f \ldots \ldots n n h t p n \cdot f n b t y$ as to every king and every potentate who shall forgive him . . . . . the Two Ladies shall not forgive him. ${ }^{6}$

40 = to) after thy own old age, they are (heritable) from son to son. ${ }^{6}$

As several of the above examples indicate, a frequent motive for the use of $i r$ was the desire to lighten the sentence by placing outside it some lengthy and cumbrous member; so particularly when this member consisted of several co-ordinated nouns. ${ }^{7}$
§ 150. 生 ir'if' before the Sdm•f form.-A variety of the construction described in the last section is the use of $i r$ with the meaning 'if' before the $s d m \cdot f$ form ; the verb with its adjuncts is here used as a noun clause, i.e. in place of a noun (above $\S 69$ ), and the strict translation would be 'as to he-hears'. When $i r$ is thus employed, the $i f$-clause precedes the $t h e n$-clause.
 $n b r$ hast if thou hearkenest to this that I have said to thee, every plan of thine will go forward, lit. will be to the front. ${ }^{8}$

When the predicate of the $i f$-clause is adverbial, the verb 'to be' is used in its $s d m \cdot f$ form $w n n \cdot f$; see above $\S 118,2$.

When the predicate is nominal, wnn•f is likewise used, together with the $m$ of predication; see above $\$$ § $_{116 ; 133 \text { Obs. }}$.
 $\mathrm{r} m$ if he be a big child, he shall swallow it down, lit. swallow it with a swallowing. ${ }^{10}$

In both these cases we may think of the construction as the conditional form of sentences with adverbial predicate introduced by $i z w$ ( $\$ 117$ ); thus $i r$ $w n n \cdot k h n r r m t$ is the conditional form of $i w v \cdot k h n r r m t$. Similarly the existential clause with $i w w n(\$ 107,2)$ appears conditionally as ir wnn wn.

Ex. 10 通ir wnn wn sprw if there shall be a petitioner. ${ }^{1}$
When the predicate is adjectival, the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb is employed; see above § 143 .
 shalt found thy house. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. This kind of clause is negatived with the help of $t m \cdot f$, the sidm•f form of the negative verb; see below $\$ 347,6$. For other modes of negation, see $\S \$ 35 \mathrm{r} .352$.
§ 151. \- ir 'if' before the śdm•n•f form.-Doubtless this was the construction regularly employed to express an unfulfilled condition. Examples are, however, of extreme rarity.
 $d r t \cdot i, i z w d i \cdot n \cdot i \quad h t h m w$ if I had made (lit. taken) haste, (with) weapons in my hand, I should have caused the cowards to retreat. ${ }^{3}$
§ 152. Emphasis of sentences by means of $\approx$ rf.-The enclitic particle $r f$, among other uses ( $\$ 252,3$ ), serves to emphasize whole sentences, which then depict a situation and point forward to some further occurrence; rf is hence usually to be translated by English 'now' (French or), but sometimes may be better rendered ' now when'.
 and said, etc. ${ }^{4}$
$\triangle A n$ ne of this word, one of his asses filled his mouth, etc. ${ }^{5}$

This use is particularly common after ist ' 10 '.
 their bead-necklets, etc. ...... and they presented them to His Majesty. ${ }^{6}$

An example of ist rf with adverbial predicate following has been quoted above §1rg, 2.

Thus $r f$ may do for whole sentences what $i r$ does for parts of sentences. The two are etymologically related, for, as we shall see later ( $\$ 252$ ), $r f$ is derived from the preposition $r$ combined with the suffix 3 rd sing. m .
§ 153. Emphatic use of adverbial predicates.-In wishes or exhortations an adverbial predicate is sometimes found at the beginning of a sentence; the subject may follow, or, if clear from the context, may be omitted altogether.
 field! ${ }^{7}$ Words spoken by a bringer of offerings.
 thee), thou messenger of any god. ${ }^{8}$

A ning of a letter acknowledging a despatch from the king. ${ }^{9}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 1090, 11. Sim. ib. 1093, 5, qu. § 395 .
${ }^{2}$ Pt. 325. Sim. ib. 175.370.428.
${ }^{3}$ Mill. 2, 3. Sim. Adm. 12, 6, obscure.

[^71]${ }^{5}$ Peas. R 59. Sim. Sin. B 248; Ann. 37, pl. 2, 16.

[^72][^73]
## PREPOSITIONS

§ 154．Use of the prepositions．－The employment of prepositions before nouns and suffix－pronouns is by this time very familiar to the student．Another common and important use is that before the infinitive and other nominally used parts of the verb．Since，however，these verb－forms have not yet been treated， only one or two instances will be given by way of illustration．

 lit．to him having－sent（perfective active participle，§ 359）him．

用边 shmh $\mathrm{Hr} m \mathrm{mrt} \cdot \mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{f}$ diverting the heart of Horus
${ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus．614， 2.
${ }^{4}$ B．of D．ch． 133 in Cairo coffin 28085， 3or．Sim．Urk．iv． $2 \div 8,2$ ．
${ }^{5}$ Düm．H．I．ii． $40 a$, 28．Sim．Inscr． dédic． 66 ；Kuban 18. With $p w$ after the pronoun（cf． $5 \mathrm{t28}$ ， end；§ 130 ）Düm． H．I．ii． 40 a， 22.25.
－See Sethe，Ver－ hum，ii．§15I．

7 Pt． 129. （i．e．the king）with what he wishes．${ }^{3}$ Mrt•n• $f$ is perfective relative form，§ 387,3 ．

More remarkable is the use of the prepositions to introduce noun clauses of the kind already described（ $\$ 69$ ）；compare English＇since I came＇，＇after he went＇，＇for he was young＇．In Middle Egyptian the noun clauses thus employed have nearly always a verbal predicate，and as a rule it is the śd $d m \cdot f$ form that introduces them（§ I 55 ）．After a few prepositions the $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is also found （ $\$ \mathrm{I} 56$ ），as well as the $\dot{\operatorname{s} d m} \cdot f$ passive（ $(423,3$ ），and in one case the construction noun＋old perfective（ $\$ 327$ ）occurs．Further，a verb－form called the sdm $1 \cdot f$ form is rather frequently found after prepositions（ $\$ 8407-9$ ）．At the present stage we can deal only with the uses of the prepositions before the two common verb－forms（sdm．f and sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ）thus far discussed．

In early religious texts the preposition－is occasionally found before a clause with an independent pronoun as subject and with nominal or adjectival predicate；this $n$ is to be rendered＇because＇，＇for＇．
 of gold．${ }^{4}$

Similar examples with $m i$＇according as＇may be quoted from the end of Dyn．XVIII and later．

Ex．\＆ house of Ptah．${ }^{5}$
§ 155．The sdm•f form after prepositions．${ }^{\text {－}}$－The sd $d m \cdot f$ form intro－ ducing a noun clause（ $\S(54$ ）is found after a number of different prepositions，see below §222．The time which it indicates is，more often than not，identical with that of the main clause；such time we may fitly describe as the relative present．
 （lit．corresponding to）he addresses thee．${ }^{7}$

阵
＊Peas．B i，r26－7．likes．${ }^{8}$
 followed my lord when (lit. corresponding to) he sailed upstream to overthrow his enemies. ${ }^{1}$

If, however, the context and the meaning of the preposition demand it, the $s d m \cdot f$ form thus employed may refer to relatively future time, i. e. to time which is future as compared with that of the main clause.
 I have equipped thee .... in order that (lit. through love of) thou mayst perform rites (lit. things) for me. ${ }^{2}$

With $\underline{d} r$ 'since' and $r$-ss 'after' the time of the $s d m \cdot f$ form is relatively past.
 $p n$ since this land was founded. ${ }^{3}$

They shall give a candle to his $k a$-priest they have done religious service to him. ${ }^{4}$

Strictly, therefore, we must regard the $s d m \cdot f$ form after prepositions as timeless, as dependent for its time on the context, and still more on the nature of the preposition. It must be noted, however, that when relatively past time has to be indicated explicitly, the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is used (below $\S 156$ ); and further that, as we shall see later ( $\$ \$ 444,3 ; 454,4$ ), and as will soon be illustrated in the case of the verb $w n n(\$ 157,1$ ), the $s d m \cdot f$ form was liable to assume different forms according to the sense to be expressed.

Note that the prepositional character of the Egyptian preposition can be retained in translation by employing the English gerund; so in the examples quoted above, 'through love of thy performing rites for me', 'since the founding of this land'. Otherwise, English must often substitute a conjunction or conjunctional phrase (ex. 'in order that'). Renderings with the English gerund have the advantage of marking the temporal indeterminateness of the $s d m \cdot f$ form.

Lastly, observe that the construction with $\<$ ir 'if' ( $\$ 150$ ) strictly belongs under this head, $i r$ being simply the preposition $r$ 'to ', 'as to' in the form which it must assume at the beginning of a sentence. So too we have one example of I区S通 in-mrwt for $n$-mrwt 'through the love of', 'in order that' (§ 18I) at the head of a sentence ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ in is here initial form of $n$, as in $i n-g 3 w-n$ 'through lack of' quoted in § 148,5 .
§ 156. The sdm•n•f form after prepositions.-This construction is found only with $m$ - $h t$ 'after', $r$ 'until', $m i$ ' like', and $h f t$ 'according as'. The time is in every case antecedent to that of the main clause; such time we may call relative past.

Exx. © - © after my having founded) a house. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{2}$ Siut I, 271. Sim. Berl. AI. i. p. 258, 2 I .
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 95. Sim. Sin. B69.
${ }^{4}$ Siut $1,313$. Sim. ib. 298.
${ }^{5}$ Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1}, 79$.

See Sethe, Ver-
bum, ii. $\$ 366$. bum, ii. $\$ 366$.
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. 3, 2. Sim. ib. 5,4 ; Eb. 91, 16 17; 96, 21 .
${ }^{1}$ Siut 5, 29.
${ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 22, 33.
Sim. Amrah ${ }^{29,} 2 ;$
Urk. iv. 624, 5.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 593.
${ }^{48}$ AZ $A 7$, P1. 1 (p. 88), 3 ; sim. Urk. iv. 897, 13; after mi, Arm. 103, 11; after ist ir (\$149, 2), Ann. 37, pl. 2, 19; after $\underline{d} r$, ib. 390,7 .
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1, 317 .
${ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 232, 6.

7 Urk. iv. 157.
${ }^{10}$ Eb. 46, 21-2. Sim. Lac. $T_{R}$. $\mathbf{7}^{2}$, 34.
${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 198. Sim. ib. 3, 3 ; Leyden $V_{4}$, 2; Louvre C $17^{2}$ qu. Exerc. xiii. (a). ${ }^{12}$ Urk.iv. 662 . Sim. ib. 654, 5; 736, 11 .

The daughter of the nomarch reigned $0_{0}^{\circ}$ 能, nht-r until her son had become a strong man. ${ }^{1}$
 thou didst upon earth. ${ }^{2}$

Horus . . . who smites the chieftains who have attacked him $\left.\theta_{\infty}\right\rangle$ mmm - hft wd.n $n \cdot f i t \cdot(\cdot f) \operatorname{Rr} n h t w r t ; n b$ according as his father Rē has decreed for him victories over every land. ${ }^{3}$

See too the example after ir 'if', above § 15 I.
§ 157. Prepositions before noun clauses with adverbial, nominal, or adjectival predicate.-Here again the usual expedient of employing the sdm.f form of $w n n$ 'be' ( $\$ 118$ ) or of the adjective-verb ( $\$ 143$ ) is adopted.
I. With adverbial predicate the form wnf ( $\$ 107$ ) is used where no stress is laid on the duration of the act, or unless specifically future time is referred to.

Ex. I was a priest.....together with my father $m$ wnf $t p t s$ when (lit. in) he was upon earth. ${ }^{4}$

The other form of the sdm.f of wnn, namely $w n n \cdot f$, is employed when the sense is future or else markedly continuous.

Exx. ye shall be after my statue. ${ }^{5}$

2. When the predicate is nominal, the sdm.f form of wnn is likewise employed, together with the $m$ of predication.

3. Here we must call attention to the peculiar use of wnn after $m i$ ' like' and $r$ 'than'.
 were a falcon, lit. as though a falcon were (upon him). ${ }^{\mathbf{s}}$
 before. ${ }^{9}$
4. With adjectival predicate, the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb is used.

Exx. Bandage all wounds........○f(if $r$ ndm.f $h r$ fwy so that (lit. to) he may become well immediately. ${ }^{10}$

The god put it in my heart.... to make his house flourish \& $m i{ }^{r} 3 . f r n t r n b$ inasmuch as (lit. like) he is greater than any god. ${ }^{11}$

The princes came . . . to do obeisance to the might of His Majesty


Further examples of cases 1,2 , and 4 above have already been quoted in connection with ir 'if'; see § 150 .

Obs. To negate clauses of this kind, the $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form of the negative verb $t m$ may be used ; see below $\$ 347,5.6$.
§ 158. Prepositions serving to form epithets and nouns.-I. Since adjectives in $-y$ (§79) can be formed from most simple prepositions, it is these which are usually employed when a noun has to be qualified by a phrase consisting of preposition + noun.

Ex. 1 i.e. the priests of any given time. ${ }^{1}$ ' $m m w$ is m. plur. of the adjective from $m$.

Certain fixed phrases consisting of preposition + noun have, however, come to be regularly used as epithets; so, for example, $r \underline{d} r \cdot f, r \underline{d} r$ 'complete', lit. 'to (its) end' and $m i k d \cdot f$ 'entire', lit. 'like its form', both quoted above $\S$ roo.
 thy humble servant', lit. 'the servant there', ${ }^{2}$ in which $i m$ is the adverbial form
 'every one of them'. ${ }^{3}$

Certain idiomatic phrases consisting of preposition + noun are made into epithets by the help of the genitival adjective.

Exx. $18{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ A curious parallel is afforded by the French mes faveurs de par le roi.


2. Another way of analysing the last-named expressions would be to say that $b r$-nsw 'with-the-king' serves as a noun. Sentences can be quoted where preposition + noun together function as a noun.

 on account of what is it, the doing accordingly? ${ }^{7}$
 $t ;$ ws $r$ bst $h r$ h $m \cdot f$ lo, from Yeraza to the ends of the earth had fallen into rebellion against His Majesty. ${ }^{8}$

§ 159. Position of the prepositions.-The prepositions always precede the word which they govern, whether it be a noun or some verb-form. The governed word thus seems to be a direct genitive, as in the Semitic languages. This view is confirmed by the fact that some, at least, of the simple pre-


[^74]${ }^{2}$ See above p. $5^{8}$, n. I; and for some analogous phrases Griffith, Kahun Papyri, pp. ヶ8. 105; AZ. 59, 22.
${ }^{3}$ Sh. S. 99 ; Sin. B246. WC $i m$ alone, Eb. 54, 20 ; Adm. 7, 14.

4Bersh. i. 14, 8. Sim. Sin. B3IO; BHI. i. $25,57-8$.
${ }^{5} B H$. i. $25,115-6$.
${ }^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ Eleph. 25. Sim. Urk. iv. 186, 13 .

- Mill. I, in.
${ }^{7}$ PSBA. 35, 166.
${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv.648. Sim. har yse-r Nhrn, ib. 649, 9; r-mn-m $S_{3 t}$, subj., ib. 618, i. ${ }^{9}$ PSBA. 35, 166. Sim. Cairo 583,3 , qu. § 120 ; possibly also Leb. 78.

${ }^{1}$ Sh.S. 175.<br>${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. ${ }^{115}$.

${ }^{3}$ However, $m$ introducing subordinate clauses at beginning of the sentence, § 444, 2 : also independently, $p$. 374, n. 7 .
lit. ' back of the head'; cf. American 'back of......', French faute de. Moreover, in the compound prepositions the second element is often a noun (§ 178 ), and in some cases the genitival adjective here appears between the preposition and a governed noun, ex. of) this isle'; ${ }^{1}$ contrast with suffix $m$ -

Egyptian shows a repugnance to placing so weak a word as a preposition at the beginning of a sentence, but $m-h t$ is sometimes so used, see $\S 148,5$. Apart from this, and excepting the exclamatory sentences of § 153 , the only prepositions ever placed at the beginning appear to be $\Delta r$ and $-n$ in their initial forms $\ell-i r$ and $\|-i n$; for $i n$ see $\S 155$, end, and for the very common $i r$ see $\S \S$ I 49-5 1 . The cases where preposition + noun together function as a noun (§ 158,2 ) can hardly be quoted as exceptions to this rule.

Note that what is here said of the position of the prepositions holds good both when they are followed by a mere noun and when they are followed by a noun clause. ${ }^{3}$
§ 160. Negation of the prepositions. -The uses of $\leadsto n n$ and $-d \| n$ is to negative a group consisting of preposition + noun will be dealt with in connection with the adverbs (§ 209).

## V OCABULARY

$\sigma_{0} \mathrm{rr}$ ascend, approach.

© $\|^{2} r d$ grow.
 $m$ mrwo anew, lit. in newness.
$44_{\square}^{\mathrm{mm}} \quad \mathrm{inr}$ stone.

- is cedar (properly 'pine ').
${ }^{\infty}{ }^{3}$ door.
${ }_{\square}^{\square}$ rt house, department; iry-rt official, one belonging to a department.
0 ot limb, member.
用 $W$ sir Osiris, the god of the dead.
$\bigcup_{1}^{\prime} k_{3}$ spirit, soul, double, see below p. 172.
htyw staircase, terrace, hillside.
Or rwdt hard stone, sandstone.
ก $)^{\circ} p_{3} t$ antiquity, old time.
回 var.


에 var. $\AA^{\circ} t p y$ (who is) upon, chief, first.
mm nty who, which.
ill iwty who not, which not.


## EXERCISE XIII

(a) Study the following sentences from a funerary stela: ${ }^{1}$
$\min _{\text {rdi } n}^{\infty}$ Win

Placed me the overseer of works ${ }^{2}$ as officer in charge of that which (is) and
mm

| izett |
| :---: |
| that which |
| (is) not, ${ }^{3}$ |




| $\checkmark$ | So | mind |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n b$ | ismt | $b n r$ |
| a possessor | of favour, | sweet |

${ }^{1}$ Louvre C 172, published Sharpe, Eg. Inscriptions, i. 82.
${ }^{2}$ I. e. chief builder or architect.
3 'That which is and that which is not', i. e. everything.

* The sign for $T_{3}-m h w$ is here identical with that for $h_{3}$, from which it is usually distinguished. Sme must not be confused with rsw 'south', see below in the Sign-list, under M 24-6.
(b) Transliterate and translate:


(2) $10 \| \square$




5 The hill-slope in question is presumably that of the Lebanon.

- Ntyw. $\mathrm{Im}_{m}$ 'those who are there', a common periphrasis for 'the dead'.
(c) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(1) To thy ka, my good lord! ${ }^{1}$ (2) As for Heliopolis, it is the principal city of Egypt. (3) There is no man void of wrong-doing. (4) This ruler, he placed me in front of his children, he made me commander of his army, because my hands prospered more than (those of) any servant of his. (5) If thou goest up to heaven, behold he is there ; if thou goest down to the netherworld, behold he is there likewise. (6) He followed his lord when ( $m$ ) he was in (lit. upon) this (foreign) land. (7) If I had heard it, I would have given him gold (ize sddm•n•f form).
${ }^{1}$ Words spoken by an attendant offering wine.


## LESSON XIV

## PREPOSITIONS (continued)

N.B.-The details of this lesson are intended for reference only. For this reason the uses with the infinitive, the Sdmt•f form, etc., have been enumerated, although those verb-forms belong to a later part of the book.
§ 161. Egyptian prepositions are either simple or compound. The simple prepositions consist of one word only; those which consist of more than one word are called compound.

The simple prepositions ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}_{162-177 \text { ) are enumerated in the approximate }}$ order of their importance; the compound prepositions (\$§ 178-181) are classified according to their mode of formation. Only the more important and typical meanings are given. Note that before verb-forms like sdm $m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ the Egyptian prepositions must frequently be rendered by an English conjunction, exx. $m$ ' when', ir 'if', see above § 155 . For further remarks see Add.

## THE SIMPLE PREPOSITIONS

§ 162. $m$, before suffixes im., indicates position generally, the main lines of development being 'in', ' from', and the instrumental ' with '.

1. of place. 'In' a place, house, boat, etc. $M i b$ ' in the heart', $m r$ 'in the mouth'. Not as a rule meaning 'into' after verbs of motion, $r$ having superseded $m$ in this sense; but $r k m, \operatorname{tkn} m, \underline{h} n m$ 'approach', 'draw near to'. So too di $m$ ib 'place (a plan) in the heart'; di $m \mathrm{hr}$ 'command', 'charge', lit. 'place in the face'.
2. of time. 'In' this year, day, time. $M$ smw 'in the summer'; $m$ dwsw ${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 4, i4. 'to-morrow', $m$ sf ' yesterday'; $m g r h$ 'in the night'; $m r n p t ;$ 'for three years'. ${ }^{1}$
3. of states. Mrswt, imw 'in joy', 'grief'; $m s n b$ 'in health'; $m$ hst $n t$ 'in the favour of'.
4. of manner. $M$ mst 'in truth', 'truly'; $m$ mswt 'anew'; m mitt ' likewise'.
5. of kind, where 'consisting of' is often the best rendering. $M \mathrm{inr}, \underline{d} b t$ ' of stone', 'brick'; rhrw $m$ rnpwt 'period of years'. Here may be included the partitive use, exx. wr im.sn 'one of them' ( $\$ 262,1$ ); in im.f 'bring (some) of it'.'
6. of equivalence or predication, see above $\$ \S 38.84 .96$. 'As', ex. ' I sailed down $m s s$ hsty-r as the son of a prince '; ${ }^{2}$ rarely with suffix, ex. it.tn im. $\mathfrak{i}$ ' your father, namely myself'. ${ }^{3}$ Also sometimes to define a suffix subject. ${ }^{32}$
7. of instrument. $M$ h $p s \cdot i$ 'by my strong arm'; $m$ shrw.i'by my counsels'. $M h m$ 'fill with'; rpr $m$ 'equip with'.

7a. of concomitance, almost like hnr 'together with', § $17 \mathrm{I} .{ }^{3 b}$
8. of separation. Pr $m$ 'go out from'; ii $m$ 'return from'; ys $m$ 'begin from'; šw $m$ 'free from'.
9. idiomatically with verbs. 'In $m$ ' have recourse to'; ${ }^{4}$ irt $m^{\prime}$ act according to' ${ }^{5} m h m$ 'seize upon'; $\quad m d w m$ 'speak against' ${ }^{5} r h m$ 'know (something) of (someone)' ; ${ }^{8} \underline{h n m ~} m$ 'join with'; shm $m$ 'gain control over'; sbt $m$ 'laugh at'.
ro. with infinitive. In various uses as above, ex. $m$ whm 'again', lit. 'in repeating'. Particularly with verbs of movement (below $\$ \$ 304,2 ; 331$ ), ex. $m p r t$ ' (engaged) in going forth'.
II. with sdm.f. (a) 'when', ex. m wn.f $t p t_{3}$ ' when he was upon earth' (§ 157, I). (b) 'as', 'as truly as' (almost equivalent to 'if'), ex. $m m r r \underline{t} n$ ‘ $n h$ 'as truly as ye love life' $(\$ 444,2)$. (c) 'though' (rare): ex. N msdd $i b \cdot f$ 'though his heart hates (it)'.' (d) curiously, with the $m$ of predication, almost like a relative clause: 'a hotp-di-nesu formula mir $m$ ir $n \cdot f s$ s.f being what (lit. as) his son made for him'; ${ }^{10}$ see below $\$ \S 444,3 ; 454,4$.
12. with sdmt-f (doubtful). 'When', see below §407, 2.
§ 163. $-r^{11}$ originally $\ell \varnothing i r,{ }^{12}$ form with suffixes $\left\langle r \cdot\right.$, seldom $\ell<i r \cdot ;{ }^{13}$ at the beginning of sentences $\ell-i r$ occurs with the meanings 'as to' and 'if', see $\$$ § 149-51. Original signification apparently ' to', 'towards'.
I. of place. 'To' heaven, the West, Thebes, his abode, etc., with verbs of motion. To place an amulet 'upon' the neck; ' ${ }^{14}$ 'at' the feet of; make a tomb 'at' the stairway of the great god; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{spr} r$ 'arrive at' even of reaching persons, ${ }^{16}$ though $n$ is here usual with other verbs of motion.
2. of persons. Wpr'open the mouth' $r$ 'to' a person, to address him. ${ }^{17}$ After verbs of speaking $n$ is far commoner than $r .^{18}$

1 Westc. $11,25$. Sim. Peas. B1, 93; P. Kah. 31, 12.15.
${ }^{8}$ BH. i. 8, 7. Sim. Munich 4, 6 .
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 28083 (Sp), 141.
${ }^{32}$ Urk. iv. 651, 10 , $\left.k_{3} \cdot 5 n(\$ 436) m n\right\} n$ hrw. Sim. Th.T.S. iv, p. 10, n. 4.
${ }_{30} B H . \mathrm{i}, 8,12$; Hamm. 114, 12. See JEA. 25, 166.
${ }^{4}$ See Rec. 39, 105.
${ }^{5}$ See Unt. 4, 107.

- Cirk. iv. 660, 8.
${ }^{7}$ See ÄZ. 29, 49.
${ }^{8}$ Pt. 179; Urk.iv. 368, 7 -
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 969, $3 \cdot$ Sim. P. Kah. 36, $4^{2}$; $E b .70,24$.
${ }^{10}$ Cairo 20027 . Sim. ib. 20048. 20117. 20225. 20235. 20372. Rather differently 'such as', Urk. iv. 46, 7 ; 198, 2.
${ }^{11}$ See G. Roeder, Die Praeposition $R$, Berlin 1904.
${ }^{12}$ So before noun, Harh. 763.
${ }^{13}$ Siut 1, 275 ; Petr. Court. 22, 2; Menthuw. 18.
${ }^{14}$ M. u. K. 9, 3.
${ }^{15}$ Sebekkhu 8.
16 Westc. 7, 13; Lac. TR. ${ }_{11}, \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{17}$ Sh.S. 81.
${ }^{18} R$ after in . sn , see Lac. TR. 23, 15. 29, qu. 8436.
${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 20$.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 1 IO6, 9.
"Peas. BI, 3 I.
${ }^{32}$ Griff. Stud. 57.
${ }^{1}$ Rhind 45. Sim. Hamm. 191, 4
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. $1211,15$.
${ }^{6}$ Eb. 36, 5 .
${ }^{7}$ Sin. ${ }^{\text {B }}{ }_{256}$; $P$. Kah. 6, 12 ; Ul'k. iv. 970, 1 ; Ann. 37, pl. 2, 15.
${ }^{15}$ See Vog. Bauer, p. 101-2.
- Urk. iv. iti20, 1 . Sim. hrt-r $r$ 'arrears against', $B H$. i. 8, 17 .
${ }^{10}$ BH. i. 8, 7. Sim. Sin. Bit. 243 ; Peas.
R 3; Bi, 33 ; Shes.
157, qu. § 154.
${ }^{11}$ T. Carn. 4.
${ }^{12}$ Eb. 18, 14. Sim.
ib. 19, 21; 21, 11;
60, 15 .
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 974, 4.
${ }^{14}$ Siut 5, 22.
${ }^{15}$ Paheri 5. Sim. Siut 1, 266; Eb. 46, 21, qu. §157, 4; $P$. Kah. 29, 43, qu. $\{326$.
${ }^{16}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 12. Sim. Hamm. IIO, 4; Th. T.S. iii. 26, 12.
${ }^{17}$ Ratherdifferently, Peas. BI, 104.
${ }^{18}$ Hamm. 114, 8; Urk. iv. 617, 9.
> ${ }^{10}$ See Gunn, Studies, ch. 9 .

3. of time. $R \operatorname{tr} n$ 'at time of'; ${ }^{1} r \operatorname{tnw} r n p t$ 'every year' (§101); $r n w$, 'at the (right) time'; ${ }^{2} r \mathrm{hrzv} 4$ 'for (extending over) four days'. ${ }^{3}$
4. of purpose or futurity. $R m$ 'to what purpose ?' ( $\$ 496$ ) ; $r$ huty-p 'to be prince', see §§ 84. 122. 332. Also with verbs, ii $r$ 'come for', $h 36 r$ 'send for'. ${ }^{3 a}$
5. of measurement. Io $r$ Iо $r 10$ 'ten by ten by ten (cubits) '. ${ }^{4}$
6. of respect. Speak, report, 'concerning'; sbs r 'teach about' (§ 84 ) ; r hp 'according to law'. 'Ir 'as to', see § 149.
7. of comparison. 'More than' after adjectives, adverbs, and verbs, see $\S \S 50$. 207. Also in $r_{3} \xi_{3} r$ smnt 'too many to record'; ${ }^{5}$ stomach too heavy $r$ wnm' to eat '. ${ }^{6}$ For the abbreviation characteristic of Egyptian comparisons see §506, 4.
8. of separation. Hrw-r 'apart from' (§ 179) ; hspr'conceal from'; stn r 'distinguish from'; rh, sis $r$ 'know', 'discern' one ' from another'.'
9. of opposition. 'Irt $r$ 'act against'. ${ }^{8}$ Of debts, ipr'charge against'. ${ }^{\text {i }}$
10. with infinitive. Besides other uses (e.g. above 7) very common of futurity, ex. iwv $f r$ sdm 'he shall hear', see below § 332. Also of purpose, ex. $r$ shrt hftywef 'in order to overthrow his enemies'. ${ }^{10}$ Further, after particular

 morning', lit. 'thou shalt use the morning to rub it'; ${ }^{12} s n \underline{d} r$ 'fear to'; ${ }^{13} s b 3 r$ ' teach to '. ${ }^{14}$
II. with śdm•f. (a) 'so that'; ex. 'mayst thou have access to water so that thy heart may be satisfied'. ${ }^{15}$ (b) 'until', ex. 'the king loved me.... went in peace to his horizon'. ${ }^{18}(c)$ 'more than', see above § $157,3 .{ }^{17}(d)$ 'according as' ex. ${ }^{*} r \operatorname{mrr} \cdot f$ ' according as he desires'. ${ }^{18} \quad(e)$ 'if', in the form ir, see § 150.
11. with sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$. (a) 'until', see above § 156. (b) 'if', in the form ir, see § 151.

1 3. with sdmt•f. 'Until', see below §407, i.
$\S$ 164. $m$ m before nouns not infrequently written and consistently so in certain papyri of early Dyn. XVIII, often wrongly transcribed as - by modern scholars; ${ }^{19}$ before suffixes always mm in some rare instances written $\mathbb{1}^{4}$ at the beginning of the sentence, see $\S \S 148,5 ; 155$ end. Indicates the person or thing affected.
I. of dative. 'To' a person, so with rdi 'give', swd 'hand over'. $\underline{D} d$, smi $n$ 'speak', 'report to'. Also with other verbs: wd $n$ 'command'; sdm $n$ ' hearken to', 'obey' a person ; $h 3 b$, in $n$ 'send ', 'bring to'. Hence of motion :
$h h^{\prime}$ go down', $s m$ ' go ', $i z w$ ' come' $n$ 'to' a person, whereas $r$ is used of movement 'to' or 'towards' a thing.
2. of advantage. 'Ir 'to make' (something) $n$ 'for' a person; absolutely, ir $n$ 'act on behalf of', 'help'; ${ }^{1} n f r, 3 h n$ 'good', 'useful for'.
3. of the person interested. For example after imperatives, see § 337, 2.
4. of possession : 'belonging to'. See above § 114, I.
5. of cause. Rm $n$ 'weep at' a thing ; ${ }^{2} n h k r$ 'through hunger'; ${ }^{3}$ a judge deaf $n d b z w$ ' for the sake of rewards'. ${ }^{4}$ So $n-m r w t, n-s t-n$, below § 18 I .
6. with certain verbs. $D g n$ 'look at'. ${ }^{5} H s f n$ 'punish', lit. 'ward off for'.
7. in certain expressions. $N \xi z$, $i s d t$ 'in the sun', 'the dew'. ${ }^{6}$
8. of time. $\quad N \quad 36 d 2^{\prime}$ within two months' $;^{7} n$ wnwt 'in an hour'; ${ }^{8} n d t$ 'for ever'.
9. with $s d m \cdot f$. 'Because'; ex. above $\$ \$ 157,4$ end.
10. with non-verbal clause introduced by an independent pronoun, 'because', 'for'. See above § 154.

Obs. For $n$ after adjectives, see above § 141, and as component of the sdm $\boldsymbol{d} \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see §411, 2.
§ 165. $\frac{h}{} h$, more rarely \& alone, ${ }^{10}$ with suffixes \& $h r$ or occasionally \& ${ }^{11}$ signified originally 'upon'.

1. of place. Strictly 'upon': the ground, a road, a chariot, a bed. Hr mw $h r t_{3}$ ' on water and on land '. I followed $h r r d w y \cdot i$ ' on my feet', i.e. 'on foot'. But often much more indefinitely: $h r r s$, mht'to the south', 'north'; $h r$ ht $k ;$ 'up a high tree'; $h r s b_{3}$ 'at (or 'through'?) the gate'; $h r$ Kmt 'in Egypt'. ${ }^{12}$ So with various verbs: $s n$, $s w s{ }_{c} h r$ 'pass by'; $h m s h r d m i$ 'besiege a town', lit. 'sit down at '. ${ }^{13}$ Also figuratively : $h r i b \cdot k$ 'in thy heart'. ${ }^{14}$
2. of provenience. Nbw hr hust 'gold from the desert-land'. Honey hr $p r-h \underline{d}^{d}$ ' from the Treasury'. ${ }^{14 e}$ ' $i i h r$ 'come from'. ${ }^{15}$
3. of privation. Despoil (fwn) the poor man 'of ' (hr) his property ; ${ }^{16}$ wpw-hr 'excepted from' ( $\$ 179$ ).
4. of time (rare). Hr sbd 2 ' in two months'. ${ }^{17}$ He makes a delay $h r h r w 3$ 'for three days'. ${ }^{18}$
5. of occasion. Pr $h r$ hrww 'come forth at the voice'.
6. distributively, Latin per. They give a loaf $h r$ wr $i m n b$ ' each of them'. ${ }^{19}$
7. of cause (very common). Htp, hr hr 'pleased', 'content on account of' something. 'Ib dwhr 'heart sad concerning', rs tp $h r$ 'vigilant concerning', $m h y h r$ 'neglectful about' something. $H s \mathrm{hr}$ 'praise for' something. $H s b, i i$ $h r$ 'send (a letter)', 'come concerning' something. Also of barter, rdi 'give'
${ }^{1}$ Siut I , 274. 292. 296; Rhind 62.
${ }^{2}$ Berl. AI. i. p. 258, 21 .
${ }^{3}$ Leb. 24.
4 Rhind 25. Sim. ib. 24. 26.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 766, 2. But also ' mention hr rn.f 'by its name', Arm. 103, 3.
${ }^{6}$ Westc. 1 i, 24.
${ }^{7} B H$. i. 8,10 , qu. § 300 .
${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv. 740. Sim. ib. 745, 12 ; Siut 1 , 308; Ann. 37, pl. 2, 15 .
${ }^{9}$ Sin. Biry. Sim. Peas. B1, II-2; Urk. iv. 3, 3; 654, 5; Eh. 37 , 10.17 .

> ma In $h r$ tp.k' at thy head, $h r ~ r d w y \cdot k$ 'at (or 'by') thy feet', $J E A .27,144$.
> 10 Westc. 4, 7. Sim. Crk. iv. 4, 7.
> 11 Peas. R 47, qu. p. 79, top.
${ }^{12} \operatorname{Sin}$. Bili3. 182. ${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 174$, qu. § 44, 2.
${ }^{14}$ Eb. 102, 13 . Sim. ib. 102, 5 .
this $h r$ 'for' that.' Again rhs $h r$ 'fight on behalf of ; ${ }^{2} h s f h r$ 'protect', lit. ' ward off on account of '. ${ }^{3}$ Note further $s b i h r$ ' rebel against' the king.
8. of addition. Gs.f hr.f'its half is (added) to it'.4 So too for purposes of co-ordination, ex. $d r h r$ hyt 'wind upon (i.e. and) rain'; see above §91, i. Šbn $h r$ ' mixed with'. Ps, wrh, wt hr 'cook', 'anoint', 'bandage with' something.
9. of marks. Hr rn 'having upon it the name'; ${ }^{5} \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{htm}$ 'having upon it the seal'.' A very curious use, cf. Engl. 'all over snow', 'cow in calf'.
ro. with infinitive, properly 'on' or 'while' of a concomitant act, ex. ii $\cdot n \cdot i h r$ $\xi_{m s} \cdot f$ ' I returned (on) accompanying him'. 7 This use leads to extensive developments, see below § 3i9. Also referring to past events, probably as an extension of use 2 above, ex. His Majesty returned $h r$ shrt Rtgw 'from (or 'after') overthrowing (or 'having overthrown') Retjnu'. ${ }^{8}$
 me'. ${ }^{9}$

I. 'under' the sky, the feet, etc. Rarely, however, simply 'at '. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
2. 'under', 'carrying' a load. Hr inw, swt-¢ 'bringing tribute', 'presents'; the crocodile departed $\underline{h} r \cdot f$ 'carrying him off'; ${ }^{10}$ fields $\underline{h} r i t$ 'under corn'. ${ }^{11}$ With verbs $s t p, m h \underline{h} r$ 'loaded', 'filled with'.
3. metaphorically, in various uses. Loaded $\underline{h} r$ mirw 'with sorrows'; lands $\underline{h} r$ ršzet 'in joy'. $\underline{H} r \underline{d} b r w t \cdot i$ ' under my seal'; $\underline{h r} s t-h r \cdot f$ 'under his charge'. $\underline{H r} s h,{ }^{12}$ shr 'under (i.e. influenced by) the counsel', 'will' of someone. This state ( $s s^{\prime} m$ ) which I was 'in', $\underline{h r} \cdot f$, lit. 'under it'. ${ }^{13}$ Also of cause : tired $\underline{h} r \xi^{\prime} m t$ swt 'through long journeying'. ${ }^{14}$
§ 167. © $b r$, 'with' or 'near' someone. Restricted to a limited set of uses.

1. 'Under' a king. Hr $h m n$ ' (Year....) under the Majesty of', very frequent. So too hswt nt hr nsw 'favours (of) under the king' (§ 158,1 ) ; imshy $h r$ 'honoured with' a god, etc.
2. 'To' a person. $\underline{D} d \mathrm{hr}$ 'speak to' a person, his children, etc. Hprt hr-i 15 AZZ. 47, Pl. 1 (p. 88), 2.
is the question whether it is at all connected with the preposition $n$, of which, as we have seen ( $\$ 164$ ), the rare initial form is 1 in .

Obs. For the possibly different $i n$ which serves to form the $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ form, see $\$ 427$.
§ 169. © $\mathrm{e} f t$, so written apparently for reasons of symmetry in place of the much rarer $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$, means properly ' face to face with'.
r. 'in front of '. Him who is $h f t \cdot k$ 'opposite thee', i.e. with whom thou art talking. ${ }^{1} \quad \underline{D} d h f t$ 'speak with', 'say to', not uncommon. ${ }^{2}$ Especially also $h f t-h r$ 'before the face of' (§ 178 ).
2. 'in accordance with'. Act hft ss pn'according to this writing'; ${ }^{3}$ hft hsy 'according to measure'; ${ }^{4}$ respect him hft hprt $n \cdot f$ ' in proportion to what has accrued to him '. ${ }^{5}$
3. 'as well as' (very rare). Male and female slaves $h f t h r d w \cdot s n$ 'as well as their children ${ }^{\prime}$. ${ }^{6}$
4. of time. Year $43 \ldots$ hft hast-sp 25 'corresponding to year 25 ' in the Oryx nome. ${ }^{7}$
5. with infinitive, 'at the time of', 'when'. Words to be recited hft wesh phrt' when applying remedies'. ${ }^{8}$
6. with sdm.f. (a) 'when' (common). Exx. ©n hft hss.f'when he is humble'; ${ }^{9}$ en lin hft wnff $m r$ 'when he was ill'. ${ }^{10}$ (b) 'according as', 'in proportion as' (seldom), exx. not high-tempered exil人 hft wsr.(i) 'in proportion as I was powerful'; ${ }^{11}$ I built it ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Con}$ hft mrrf'according as he desired ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{12}$
7. with $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$. 'According as'; an ex. above § 156.
8. with sdmt.f (doubtful). 'When'; see below § 407, 2.
§ 170. \& $\mathrm{mi}^{3},{ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ sometimes \&, hardly ever with suffixes, ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ expresses likeness.

1. of resemblance. 'Like' a dream, the will of god, etc. ; \& $A_{0} m i m$ 'like
 $s i t w m$ rbbw' I was like a man caught in the dusk'. ${ }^{13}$ For the abbreviation sometimes found in comparisons, see below § 506, 4 .
2. of conformity. He went down $m i n t-r \cdot f$ 'according to his habit'; ${ }^{14}$ act $m i$ $w d t$ 'according to commands'; mi ntt $r h p$ 'according to what is lawful'. ${ }^{15}$
 'hail to thee as well as (to) the lady of the universe'; ${ }^{18}$ iff © $\{$ T $h r w ~ m i ~ g r h . ~$ 'day as well as night'. ${ }^{17}$
3. with infinitive in the meaning 'like'. ${ }^{18}$
4. with $s d m \cdot f$. (a) 'as when', ex. his rays illuminate the two lands $\&$. $\sqrt{\circ}$
${ }^{1}$ Pt. 79. Sim. Urk. iv. 26, 15 .
${ }^{2}$ Sin. R 67 ; B 267; Urk. iv. 26, 16 ; 649, 14.
${ }^{3}$ Meir ii. 6.
${ }^{4}$ Pt. 228.
${ }^{5}$ Pt. 180.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 665.
${ }^{7}$ BH. i. 8, 3.
${ }^{8}$ Eb. 1, 10. Sim. ib. 97, 4; Urk. iv. 734, $15 ; 742,4 ; 757$, I5.
${ }^{9}$ Pt. 76. Sim. Leb. 147; Siut 1, 297 ; Urk. iv. 742, 2.
${ }^{10}$ P. Kah. 13, 34.
${ }^{11}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 9; cf. Peas. B I, 214.
${ }^{12}$ Rifeh 7, 31. Sim. Urk. iv. 116, 17.
${ }^{124}$ Mrinearly O.K., see Sign-list, W 19. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{~b}}$ Examples, Wb. ii. 36,9 .
[^75][^76]
－Urk．iv．839，16； 842，4．15；862， 14 ．

 ＇according as this thy humble servant desires＇．${ }^{3}$

6．with $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$（rare）．See above，§ 156 ．
7．with the passive $s d m \cdot f$ ．See below，§ 423,3 ．
8．with the $\delta d m t \cdot f$ form（doubtful）．See below，§407， 2.
9．with non－verbal clause introduced by an independent pronoun．See above，§ I 54 ．

I．＇together with＇someone，less commonly something．So too with verbs， $m d w h n r$＇talk with＇，rhi hnr＇fight with＇．Never＇with＇of instrument，which is $m$ ．

2．of co－ordination，where English has＇and＇；see above § $9 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$ ．
3．with infinitive．Sometimes found curiously to continue an injunction， where English uses a finite form，ex． hne rdit n•f phrt＇thou shalt make ．．．．and shalt give（lit．with giving）to him remedies＇．${ }^{6}$ So frequently in letters，contracts，etc．，where however hnr may co－ ordinate an infinitive with a preceding infinitive $;^{6}$ for a further development of this construction see $\S 300$, Obs．Also continuing construction with $h r+i n f$ ．，ex．iwef hr wnm ．．．．hne swri＇he eats ．．．．and drinks＇，lit．＇with drinking＇．${ }^{7}$

4．with sd$d m \cdot f$（rare），ex．on that day on which the enemies ．．．．were
 was caused to rule＇． 8
§ 172．矛国 $h_{3}$＇behind＇，derived from a noun $h_{3}$＇back of head＇．
1．＇behind＇a person．${ }^{9} \quad N w h_{z}$＇look behind＇oneself；${ }^{10}{ }^{\prime} n h_{3}$＇turn behind＇ oneself，i．e．turn back ；${ }^{11}$ iwt $h_{3}$＇come behind＇，＇take．unawares＇，of evils ；${ }^{12} h_{3} t_{3}$ ＇behind bread＇，i．e．＇at meals＇．${ }^{13}$

2．＇around＇．Phr $h_{3} i n b$＇move around a wall＇．＇So too frequently $s_{3} h_{3}$ ＇a protection around＇a person，where however there may be a sense of envelop－ ing from behind，as with wings，etc．
§ 173．© $t p$ ，an old word for＇head＇，is used as a preposition meaning＇upon＇ in certain phrases；枵 tpre•k＇upon thee＇${ }^{15}$ is a quite exceptional writing with the suffix．Most commonly $t p t s$＇upon earth＇，i．e．living．Also wrsyw tp inb ＇watchers upon the wall＇；${ }^{16}$ the child came forth $t p$＇wy．sy＇upon her hands＇，i．e． upon the hands of the midwife ；${ }^{17}$ and others．${ }^{18}$
§ 174．$h n t$ ，rarely written $\stackrel{\circ}{2}$ ，once ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{19}$ seems akin to a word for ＇face＇and signifies properly＇in front＇．

I．＇in front of＇，mainly in a tag applied to the Pharaoh but $k 3 w$ rnhw $n b$＇in front of the souls of all living＇．${ }^{20}$

2．＇among＇，with the notion of＇foremost among＇．${ }^{1}$ So with $\underline{t} n,{ }^{2} s t n$＇dis－ tinguish＇，stp＇choose＇${ }^{3}$＇among＇a number．Rdi＇＇give＇something＇out of＇one＇s possessions．${ }^{4}$ Also as a mathematical term．${ }^{5}$

3．in certain expressions．Pr hnt＇issue from＇of a child as engendered by father；${ }^{6} r d i \quad i b \cdot(f) h n t$＇pay attention to＇，lit．＇place the heart in front of＇．${ }^{7}$
§ 175．$\sim_{0} \wedge h t$ means＇through＇，＇pervading＇．The fear of Pharaoh is $h t$ huswt＇throughout the foreign lands＇；${ }^{8}$ the influence of the god is ht cwt ＇pervading the members＇of his spouse．${ }^{9}$
$\S$ 176．${ }^{-} d r$ ，derived from a stem meaning＇end＇，signifies＇since＇．
I．mainly of time． $\operatorname{Dr} r k$＇since the time of＇；$\underline{d r} r \underline{t} r$＇since（the time of） the god＇．${ }^{10}$

2．of cause．Scarcely except in the phrase $\bar{\square}-\underline{d} d r-n t t$＇since＇，＇because＇（ $\$ 223$ ）．
3．with sdm．f．＇Since＇of time，exx．B肌成送 $d r m s \cdot t w \cdot f$＇since he was
 ＇since the Asiatics were in Avaris of Lower Egypt＇．${ }^{12}$

4．with śd $m t . f$ ．＇Since＇，but also strangely＇before＇，＇until＇，§407，i．
 ＇between＇，and possibly had its origin in the fem．dual of the adjective $+\AA^{\prime \prime}$ imy ＇being in＇（§ 79）．In Dyn．XVIII it is sometimes preceded by the preposition $r$ ，



I．＇between＇two things，ex．imytw bsty＇between two bushes＇．${ }^{21}$ Also followed by $r$ ，ex．imytwo hast tn $r$ Nhrn＇between this country and Nahrin＇．${ }^{212}$

2．＇in the midst of＇，ex．$r$－imytw srw＇in the midst of the nobles＇；${ }^{22}$ even with a sing．，imytw dud $3 \cdot f$＇in the midst of its head＇．${ }^{23}$

This preposition occurs also with the ending $\cdot n y$ ，which may be a very rare

 them，thy buttocks＇．${ }^{25}$ The construction may also be $r$－imytw $n y \ldots \ldots r . .$. ＇between and ${ }^{26}$

## COMPOUND PREPOSITIONS

§ 178．For definition see §16I．The present list lays no claim to completeness．
A．Prepositions formed by the addition of a noun to one of the simple prepositions．－In a few cases the genitival adjective $-n(y)$ is added when the governed word is a noun，but is absent when a suffix follows．
${ }_{-10}^{\sigma} n i b(n)$＇for the sake（lit．＇heart＇）of ${ }^{\prime} .{ }^{27}$ With śd $m \cdot f$ ，＇in order that＇（rare）．${ }^{28}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Siut 1，27．2； Sinai 181；Urk．iv． 298， 9 ．
${ }^{2} B H$. i．25， 10. IOI．
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．888， 7.
${ }^{4}$ Siut 1， 276.
${ }^{5}$ PSBA．16， 204 ； Siut 1， 286.
－Bersh．i． 33 ；Urk． iv．161． 228 ；cf．Pt． 630.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．29， 37 ；
35，II．15；Louvre
C 55；Urk．iv．1093， 2.
${ }^{8}$ Sin．B 44．Sim． Siut 1， 268 ；Adm．3， 1． $3 ; 7,9$ ；Urk．iv． 138 ， 1 ．
－Urk．iv． 22 I ．
10 Urk．iv．1092， 3 ． Sim．ib．86，4；Leyd． $\mathrm{V}_{4}, 13$ ．
${ }^{11}$ Sin．R $93=\mathrm{B} 69$ ．
Sim．Urk．iv．95，16，
qu．§ $155 ; 162,6 ; 994$ ， 3.
${ }^{12}$ Urk．iv．390， $7 \cdot$ Sim．ih．157，7，qu． §157， 2.
${ }^{13}$ Eb．30， 1.
${ }^{14} A Z .57,7^{*}$ ．
${ }^{15}$ Sin．B 5． 249.
${ }^{16}$ Sin．R 28；Urk． iv．894， 2 ．
17 Urk．iv．131． $3^{65}$ ．
18 Urk．iv．287， 7 ．
${ }^{19}$ AZ．57， $7^{\text {º }}$ ．
${ }^{20}$ Eb．108， 14.
${ }^{21}$ Sin．R 28．Sim．
Urk．iv．365，4；894，2．
${ }^{21 a} A$ A $Z .69, ~ 29, ~ 12 . ~$
22 Urk．iv．13I， 8.
Sim．ib．12；Sin．B249．
${ }_{23}$ Eb．30， 1.
${ }^{283}$ Allen prefers to regard this $n y$ as the adverb of $\$ 205,1$ ，see AJSL 44， 123 ．
${ }^{24}$ Urk．iv．362， 15.
${ }^{25}$ P．K＇ah．3， 35 ．
Sim．Eb． 108 ， 14.
${ }^{96}$ Urk．v．68， 5 ．
Sim．$m$－imywti．n，$A Z$ ． 57，7＊．
${ }^{27}$ With noun，$L$ ．to D．，Berlin bowl；with suffix，BH．ii．7；Urk． iv．1164，II；Ledr． 22,5 ．

${ }^{1}$ Siut 1, 270; D.el B. 16. ${ }^{24}$.
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 13, 25.
${ }^{3}$ Siut 1, 294, cf. 306.
*AZ. 58, 16*.
${ }^{5}$ Th. T.S. ii. 36,
17; 37, 31. 34.
© Sh.S. 16; Urk.iv. $117,13$.
${ }^{7}$ Siut 1, 295.
8 Urk.iv. 123, 10. Sim. Siut 1, 299; Brit. Mus. 6I4, 5; Sin. B 240. 269.
${ }^{9}$ P. Kah. 28, 12; 29, 26. 30.
${ }_{10}$ Brit. Mus. $614,6$.
${ }^{11}$ Sin. B 203. Sim. Eb. 1, 8. 14; Pt. 299.
${ }^{12}$ R. IH. 26, 12.
${ }^{13}$ Sh. S. 22; Leb. 10; Eb. 20, 23; 69, 17 .
${ }^{14}$ Adm. 2, 4. Sim. ib. 3,4 ; Bersh. i. 14, 2 ; with inf. Pt. 644 .
${ }_{15}$ Menthuw. 13.
${ }^{16}$ Urk. iv. 62, 6. Sim. ib. I 104, 6. 15.
${ }^{17}$ Siut 1, 272. 277 .
304.
${ }^{18}$ Bersh. i. 14, 5; Budge, p. 292, 16;
Urk.iv. 877, 15; 931, 8 ; 1094, 10.
${ }^{19}$ Peas. R 122 ; cf. Urk. iv. 1104, 12.
${ }^{20}$ L. D. iii. 228 bis. ${ }^{21}$ Sin. B 253.263.
${ }^{22}$ Cairo 20542, a7; P. Pet.1116 $\mathrm{B}, 8$; Urk. iv. 776, 14 .
${ }^{23}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 7; Cairo 20011, 4.
24 Urk. iv. 116, 2.
${ }^{25}$ Urk. iv. 66, 16.
${ }^{26} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 280$. Cf. UTk. iv. 1024, 12.
${ }^{27}$ See JEA. 27, 146.
${ }^{28}$ Cart.-Newb.
Th. IV, Pl. II ; Cairo 340 $29,14$.
${ }^{29}$ Urk.iv. 367, 17.
${ }^{30}$ Cart.-Newb. 7h. IV, Pl. 11 .
${ }^{31}$ Urk. iv. 835, 12.
${ }^{32}$ Cairo 34019, 14.
${ }^{33} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 32.80$.
34. Westc. 6, 24; Rhind, title.
${ }^{35} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}$ 108. Sim. Siut 1, 151; Cairo 2053 I , $d$.
${ }_{36}^{36}$ Sinai 90, 16.
${ }^{37}$ Urk.iv. $1104,14$.
${ }^{38}$ Cairo 20318; Urk.
iv. 808,16 .
${ }^{39} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 87$; Urk.
iv. 7 11, 2.
${ }_{40}$ Sin. B48; BH.
i. 25, 103-4; Urk. iv. 1092, 8 .
${ }_{41}$ Sin. B 194 ; Urk. iv. 1095,5 .
${ }_{42}$ L'rk. iv. 766, 3 .

OAAP $r$ - $i s w$, with the same meaning as $m$ - $i s w .{ }^{4}$
$\$ m$-r, lit. 'in the hand of ' is common in various meanings.
I. 'together with' a person (like $h n r$ ). X came $m$-r $Y$ ' with Y '; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ thy heart is $m-r \cdot k$ ' with thee'. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Htm} m$-r 'contract with' someone. ${ }^{7}$
2. 'in the possession, charge of ': my portion of everything being $m-r \cdot i$ ' in my hand'. ${ }^{8}$
3. 'from': a letter 'from' a person; ${ }^{9}$ bring something 'from' someone; ${ }^{10}$ $n h m, n \underline{d} m$. $\cdot$ rescue', 'save from '; ${ }^{11} n \underline{d} n \underline{d} m$-r 'inquire from'. ${ }^{12}$
4. 'through', 'because of' someone or something: hpr m-r 'happen through' i.e. 'be done by'; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{r}$ shrz' ts 'because of the state of the land'. ${ }^{14}$ Especially also in the phrase $\mathbb{N}_{00} m$ ntt 'seeing that' (below § 223).
$\rightarrow r-r$ 'beside', 'near', var. $\rightarrow_{1}^{-1} r-r-r,{ }^{15}$ is uncommon. His soul shall live $r-c n b-r-d r$ ' beside the lord of the universe '. ${ }^{16}$
$\triangle 1 \underline{h} r-r(n)$ 'under the hand of ', 'in the charge of'. ${ }^{17}$
A- $-m-r b$ ' in the company of', 'together with '. ${ }^{18}$

 a very common preposition for 'in the presence of', mainly in the presence of respected personages. An extended form is $m$ - bsh-r. ${ }^{22}$
\& $m-m$ 'among' people, very common; ${ }^{23}$ varr. ${ }^{24}{ }^{25}$ and even A N. $^{26}$ Perhaps a simple reduplication of $m$ (§ 162), cf. htht below, p. 134 .

$\rightarrow$ © $r$-msw ( $n$ ) 'in the sight of, ${ }^{28}$ var. $-\infty{ }^{29}$
 may be 'so that . . . . may know', see p. 304, n. i.
 the time of' someone. ${ }^{34}$
(S) $m$ - $h s t$ 'in front of': he placed me $m$ - $h s t \underline{h} r d w \cdot f$ 'in front of his children'; ${ }^{35}$ to shrink 'at the prospect of' work. ${ }^{36}$
 a person. ${ }^{38}$
 rank to ; ${ }^{40}$ 'in front of 'in a procession. ${ }^{41}$ Also temporally 'before'. ${ }^{42}$

变 $m$－hzw＇in excess of＇：offerings＇in excess of＇what existed before．${ }^{1}$ So also Min m－hsw－hr：（a）＇in addition to＇；${ }^{2}(b)$＇over and above＇i．e． ＇except＇，less common．${ }^{3}$
en $k f t-h r$ ，lit．＇before the face of＇．＇In front of＇someone，opposed to $h^{3}$ ＇behind＇；＇＇before＇someone，i．e．before someone＇s eyes．${ }^{5} \quad H f t-h r-n$＇in presence of＇the entire land．${ }^{6}$
\＆$m-h r(y)-i b$＇in the midst of＇，varr． $0^{7}$（common）， 80 ； 7 ＇in the midst of＇a number of people．${ }^{8}$
 appears to mean＇exclusively unique＇；${ }^{\circ}(2)$ in phrases like 一是 $n n$ wn $h r$－$h w \cdot f$＇there is none beside him＇；${ }^{10}(3)$ also elsewhere as＇beside＇or＇except＇， ex．none survived ie日 答 $h r$－$k w \cdot i$＇except me＇．${ }^{11}$
$-x_{0}^{\circ} r$－hft＇in front of＇（rare）．.$^{12}$ With the same sense $-0{ }^{0} .^{12 a}$
 him＇；${ }^{13}$ the palace is $m$－$k m t b 3 k w \cdot f$＇without its tribute＇．${ }^{14}$
（1）$-m$－$k n t$ lit．＇in the face of＇（rare）；＇within＇the palace ；${ }^{15}$ also＇out of＇， ex．gold brought $m$－$k n t T_{3}$－sty＇out of Nubia＇．${ }^{16}$
\＄$m$－$b s w$＇at the approach of＇，＇in meeting＇someone，${ }^{17}$ var．
$\sigma_{01}^{m-} r-h t$＇under the authority of＇，lit．＇at the staff of＇，${ }^{18}$ less correctly written $\stackrel{\text { en }}{0}^{19}$
（ins $\Delta m-h t$＇after＇，＇accompanying＇，var．
1．of place：the princes nty $m$－$b t \cdot f$＇who were with（or＇accompanying＇） him＇；${ }^{20} m$－$h t$ switwt．f＇accompanying his promenades＇in his chariot．${ }^{21}$

2．of time（frequent）；＇after＇death，old age，etc．；m－ht $n n$＇after this＇．
3．with infinitive，always＇after＇．${ }^{22}$
4．with sdm．f．Really always＇after＇，but often equally well translated as ＇when＇．Exx．His Majesty raged Nén $m-h t s d m \cdot f s t$＇when he heard $i t$＇；${ }^{23}$
 the palanquin was set down．${ }^{2+}$ So too when the main verb refers to the future，

 $h t p h m n n t r p n$＇now after the Majesty of this god is satisfied＇with his offerings， one shall cause to go forth，etc．${ }^{26}$ Note that when the clause with $m$－$h t$ precedes the main clause，the preposition is usually，though not universally，${ }^{27}$ introduced by $i r$（ $\$ 149$ ）or by the particle $h r(\$ 239)$ ；$k r$ is used when the main verb is past， and $i r$ when the main verb is future．${ }^{27 a}$
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．（XI）i．
24；Urk．iv．188， 2.
Sim．P．Kah．17， 14.
${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．843，ir．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． $1108,14$.
4 Westc．10， 7.14. 22．Sim．Bersh．i． 18， 3 ．
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1，272；$P$ ． Pet．11168， 11 ；Sh．S． 176；Peas．B $1,280$.
－Urk．iv． $2,2$.
${ }^{1}$ Sin．B200；Sh．S． 101．131；Pr．2， 2.
${ }^{70}$ Renni 1 ， 1 ．
${ }^{8}$ Bersh．i．I5；ii．13， 16；Urk．iv．18， 10.
－Urk．iv．942．Cf． Siut i．214－15．
${ }^{10}$ BH．i．26，${ }_{5} 55$ ．See
too $\mathcal{F E A}$ ．iii． 241 －3．
${ }_{11}$ Sh．S． 108. Sim． Ikhern． 9 ．
${ }_{12}^{12}$ Urk．iv．893， 17.
${ }^{124} A Z .61,9^{22}$.
${ }^{13}$ Sin．R 68．Sim． Mill．2，4；Urk̇．iv． 390， 9 ．
${ }^{14}$ Adm．10， 4.
${ }^{15}$ Urk．iv．603， 8.
Sim．ib．357， 13.
${ }^{16}$ Ikhern． 4.
${ }^{17}$ Lac．TR．43，${ }^{1}$ ；
Budge，p．12，2；46， 12；137， 12.
${ }^{18}$ Urk．iv．54，10； 55，15；453，9；1044， 13.
${ }^{19}$ Urk．iv．1021， 4.
${ }^{20}$ T．Carn．3．Sim． Sin．R 23；B 244； Kopt．12，3， 2.
${ }^{21}$ Urk．iv．3， 6.
${ }^{22}$ Siut 1，278；Eb． 41，1．9；59，11；63， 14；Urk．iv．916， 2.

[^77]${ }^{4}$ Westc．7，13．Sim． ib．8， 22.
${ }^{25}$ Siut 1, 308．Sim． ib．298；P．Kah．3， 32；Westc．3，2；11， 26；Eb．56，21；76， 13；97，3．
${ }^{26}$ Urk．iv．708．Sim． P．Kah．22，8；29， 18；Eb．87，9；88， 14.
${ }^{27}$ P．Kah．3， 32 ； Urk．iv．836，6；Ann． 37，pl．2， 26.
${ }^{27 a}$ Exception，Ann． 42，19， 25.
${ }^{1}$ P．Kah．4，3； Cairo 20541，a 10； Urk．iv．3，2；5， 4.
${ }^{2}$ P．Kah．3，34； Eb．91，16－7；96， 21 ．
${ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus．614， 5. 6；Cairo 20512，${ }_{4}$ ．

4 With $n$ before noun，Sh．S．43． 175. Without $n$ ，Sin．B 50. 283.
${ }^{5}$ See $A Z Z .59,61$.
${ }^{6}$ See $A Z .25,33-4$.
${ }^{62}$ Pt．in 7 ．Sim．Lac． TR．17， 31 ；ÄZ．57，
107.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 283$.
${ }^{8}$ Sh．S． 1 175．
${ }^{9}$ Siut 1，278．317； Sin．B 245 ；Urk．iv． 651， 17 ；652， 10
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 227.
${ }^{11}$ Sin．B 239.242.
12 Urk．iv．971， 11.
${ }^{13}$ P．Kah．27， 9.
${ }^{14}$ Mill．1， 11 ；Sin．
B 7 ；Peas．B I， 244 ；
Leb．13I．
${ }^{15}$ Sin．R 3I；Eb． 60,15 ．
${ }^{16}$ Sh．S． 180.
${ }^{17} E b .21,13$ ．Sim． il．41，16；Siut 1． 298．31 3；P．Kah．35， 22 ；Urk．v．95， 4.
${ }^{13}$ Urk．iv．112，2； 146，14；383， 15 ； 975， 2.
19 Westc．7， 5
${ }^{20}$ Urk．iv．138，16； 661， 12.
${ }^{21}$ Urk．iv． $1104,13$.
${ }^{22}$ Louvre C $5^{2}$ ．
${ }^{23}$ Urk．iv．28，2；912， 13；D．el B．134，left； Berl．leather， $\mathrm{x}, 16$.
${ }^{24}$ Westc．11，8； $A Z .43,33$ ．
25 With $n$ before noun，Sh．S．136；Urk． iv． 390,7 ．Without $n$ ， Sin．B196．281． 300.
${ }^{26}$ Sin．B 196．28I； Sh．S． 127.136 ；Urk． iv． $390,8$.
${ }_{27} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 300$.
${ }^{23}$ Urk．iv．390， 7 ； cf．Mill．2，II．
${ }^{29} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{154}$ ；Leb． 64． 128.
${ }^{30}$ P．Kah．13，1．30； Urk．iv．1088， 14. ${ }^{31}$ Sh．S．Io8；BUDGE， p．103，14；286， 3.
${ }_{32}$ Peas．B 1，44． 46.

5．with sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ，for examples see above $\S 156$ ．The past meaning of the verb following $m$－$k t$ is here doubtless strongly emphasized．Again in this case $h r m-h t$ is used when the main verb is past，${ }^{1}$ and ir $m-h t$ when it is future．${ }^{2}$

6．with the passive $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form（rare）．＇After＇；see below $\S 423,3$ ．
7．with s d $m t \cdot f$（doubtful）．＇After＇；see below §407， 2.
8．with noun＋old perfective ；see below $\S 327$ ．
－－$\quad h t-h t$＇through＇，${ }^{3}$ like the simple preposition $h t$（ $\$ 175$ ）．Rare．
\＄7 $\square m-\underline{h} n w(n), 4$ lit．＇in the interior of＇，common；sometimes strangely writen $\cong(-m),{ }^{5}$ later and less frequently $\cong .{ }^{6}$

I．＇within＇a place：the city，island，boat，temple，body．
ia．＇in＇before abstracts，ex．$m$－$\underline{n} n w h r t$＇in contentment＇．${ }^{\text {．a }}$
2．＇out of＇：go out＇from＇a room ；${ }^{7}$ bring tribute＇out of＇this island．${ }^{8}$
m－ss，lit．＇in the back of（common）．Almost always spatially＇after＇， ＇following after＇．Come，go，be＇after＇someone，i．e．follow him or accompany him．${ }^{9}$ Shs $m$－ss＇run after＇i．e．persecute．${ }^{10}$＇After＇in the sense of looking after，being in charge of．${ }^{11}$ Metaphorically $p h r m$－ss＇go round after＇，i．e．＇seek for＇；${ }^{12}$ rdi ib $m$－ss＇be anxious about＇，lit．＇give the heart after＇．${ }^{13}$
－首 $r$－s3，lit．＇towards the back of＇（common）．Almost always temporally．
I．＇after＇supper，a storm，illness．${ }^{14} \quad R-s s n n$＇after this＇．${ }^{15}$
2．with infinitive＇after＇doing something．${ }^{16}$


if $h r-s$ ，lit．＇on the back of＇；except in one or two special meanings less common than $m$－$s s$ and $r$－ss．

I．of place，＇upon＇the earth，the desert．${ }^{18} \quad \check{S} m$ h $h r-s s \cdot f$＇walk behind him＇．${ }^{19}$
2．＇outside＇a fortress or wall．${ }^{20}$
3．of time：one is heard $h r-s 3$ sn－nwv－f＇after the other＇；${ }^{21}$ men who shall come $h r-s 3 n n$＇after this＇．${ }^{22}$

些 $m-s 3 h t$ ，var．䧑些 ${ }^{\circ}$ ，＇in the neighbourhood of＇．${ }^{23}$
－
皿 $m-k ; b(n)^{25}$＇in the midst of＇，lit．＇in the folds of＇（not uncommon）： people，${ }^{26}$ pyramids，${ }^{27}$ a place．${ }^{28}$
－『 $n$－g $3 w$＇through lack of＇，${ }^{29}$ once written at the beginning of a clause 1 凹e $i n-g_{3} w(\$ 148,5)$ ．
$\propto_{1} r$－gs＇at the side of＇，＇beside＇（common）．For＇beside＇people in various senses：＇in the presence of＇witnesses；${ }^{30}$＇in the company of＇someone ${ }^{31}$ practically equivalent to＇except＇in $k y r-g s \cdot f$＇another beside him＇．${ }^{22}$
$9<h r-g s$＇beside＇，much rarer than $r$－gs in the same sense．${ }^{1}$

 ＇on behalf of the life，prosperity and health＇of the king．${ }^{4}$

层 $n-\underline{-1} t t-r$＇apart from＇what was done before，lit．＇in distinction from＇．4a
§ 179．B．Compound prepositions consisting of adverb＋preposi－ tion．－In this much smaller class an adverbially used noun（ $\$ 88,1$ ）or some part of a verb is prefixed to one of the simple prepositions．

促 $t$－$m$ ，with suffixes $t p-i m$ ．，＇before＇，lit．＇head in＇．To come＇in front of＇a person；${ }^{5}$ also＇in the direction of＇a place．${ }^{6}$ Of time，＇before＇ old age．${ }^{7}$
thalloonfryt－r＇down to＇，lit．＇end to＇；of time，＇down to＇My Majesty， year 16，etc．；${ }^{8}$ of place，＇down to＇the ends of the earth，${ }^{9}$ etc．；also metaphorically， ＇down to＇the lowest official，${ }^{10}$ alone＇down to＇，i．e．＇with the sole exception of＇， someone．${ }^{11}$
$8-\frac{d r-r-r}{}$＇right down to＇（lit．＇end to＇）death．${ }^{12}$
 ward to ．．．．．．，ending at ．．．．．＇（a place）；${ }^{13}$ ，hnt－r ．．．．．．To ${ }^{\text {a }}$ mht－r ．．．．， ＇southward to ．．．．．，northward to ．．．．＇；${ }^{14}$ all precious stones $\$$ D ${ }^{2} 3 t-r$ ＇starting with＇silver and gold，${ }_{11}-p h w y-r$＇down to＇ivory and ebony．${ }^{15}$

Some part of the verb，perhaps the old perfective（§309），adverbially used， enters into the composition of the following：

Y $w p w-h r$ ，with suffix $\bigcup_{0}{ }^{\circ} w-h r$ ，lit،＇separated from＇．
I．＇except＇a person or thing．${ }^{16}$ Very strangely，wpw－y＇except me＇．${ }^{16 a}$
2．with infinitive，like hnr（see § 171,3 ），where English uses＇but＇and trans－
 they fled＇．${ }^{17}$
 $n f n d d f$（sic）hrw．i＇but my limbs －ed＇．${ }^{18}$
 as＇something．${ }^{20}$ Later，the $r$ is omitted（rare）．${ }^{21}$

近 $x_{s} r-m$＇beginning from＇，used either of place ${ }^{22}$ or of time．${ }^{23}$
m as＇of place：${ }^{24}$ Cf．$r-\xi_{\xi} \zeta-r, \S 180$.

## § 180．C．Compound prepositions containing an infinitive．

 separate．．．．．．from＇.$^{25}$ Not before end of Dyn．XVIII．
${ }^{1}$ Budge，p．7， 11 ；
86， 15 ；287， 10 ．
${ }^{2} A Z .43,28$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．1074， 1.
4 Urk．iv．334， 11 ；
335，16；336， $11 ; 768$ ，
10．Sim．Cairo 20543，
14 ；Hamm．47， 5 ．
4s Ulk．iv． $5^{8} 4,17$ ；
Ann．42， 4.
${ }^{5}$ Peas．B1，40．74； Westc．10，6；12， 16 ．
${ }^{6}$ Urk．v．26， 3.
7 Westc．7， 17.
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．34， 10 ； 367，4；390， 11 ．
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv．648， 6 ； 125， 12.
${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv．1107， 12.
${ }^{11}$ P．Kah．33， 14.
12 Снав．Oeuvr． Div．5，Pl．6；Five Th．T． 19.
${ }^{13}$ Brit．Mus．614，4； cf．Urk．iv． 1129,3 ．
${ }^{14}$ Abyd．iii．29．Sim． Petr．Qurneh，3，2， 4；Cairo 20543， 10.
${ }^{16}$ Louvre C $14 ; P$ ． Kah．27，10；Eb．39， 9；Berl．ÄI．i．p．256； Budge，p．308， 12 ； 408，I；497， 8.
${ }_{16 \mathrm{a}}$ Ann．36， 137 （pl． II，I）．
17 Urk．iv．697．Sim． ib．363，17；439，2； 661， 13 ．
18 Sin．B 228.
19 Urk．iv．665， 13.
${ }^{20}$ Sin．B 89． 90.
299；Urk．iv．702， 15 ；
703， 12 ；823， 12.
${ }^{21}$ Urk．iv．8， 1.
${ }^{22}$ Urk．iv．125，12； 648， 6 ；I I 20， $4^{\circ}$
${ }^{23}$ Urk．iv．743，6； 776，4；895， 16.
${ }^{24}$ T．Carn．4．5．6； Urk．iv．649， 9.
${ }^{25}$ Ann．37，pl．2，16； Amarre．5，28，18； Nav． 15 A，iii． 17.
${ }^{1}$ BH. i. 25,35. 53; 26, 145; Urk. iv. 808, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Westc. 7, 3.
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 5, 19.
${ }^{\text {sa }}$ P. Mook 2, $\mathrm{I}=$ $A Z .63$, 106 .

4 Urk. iv. 2, 12.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 66, 15 ; 439,8; 752,17; 1107, 6; 1109, 8.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 168, 10 ; II50, 14.
${ }^{7}$ Rhind, title; Urk. iv. $12 \mathrm{I}, 9$.
${ }^{8}$ Against $A Z .64,9$.
${ }^{84}$ Ann. 42, 6, 6. 7 ; 16, 16. 17 , etc.

[^78]${ }^{21}$ See $A Z .48,45$.
${ }^{22}$ Urk. iv. 1099, 1. Sim. ib. 342, 3 ; 809, II.
${ }^{23} \operatorname{Sin}$. 18.
${ }^{24}$ Budge, p. 353, 6. ${ }^{25}$ L. to D. Cairo linen, 3 ; LAc. TR. 80, 17 -8.

- "e r-mn-m, lit. 'to remain in' with the meaning 'as far as', alike of place ${ }^{1}$ and of time; ${ }^{2}$ ' as far as' her buttocks. ${ }^{3}$

© $\mathbb{A}-d b 3$, lit. 'in order to replace'. 'Instead of' someone; ${ }^{4}$ not until Late Egyptian does this become the equivalent of English 'in exchange for', in Middle Egyptian $m$-isw, $r$-swnt or $r$ - $d_{3} t$.
 a monument, act, wrong, or like. ${ }^{5}$ Common in Dyn. XVIII.
-     - m-snt-r 'in the likeness of', 'in accordance with ', ${ }^{7}$ lit. 'in beinglike to'.

Obs. ※ $r$-mn ' together with', 'as well as', possibly a development of $r-m n-m$ and perhaps the original of Late Egyptian irm , Copt. nem' ${ }^{\prime}$ with'; ${ }^{8}$ in one text only. ${ }^{\mathrm{sa}_{a}}$
§ 181. D. Compound prepositions used mainly as conjunctions.The following phrases are followed either by the infinitive or by $s d m \cdot f$.
$-4 \Delta \| n-i k r(n)$, lit. 'through the excellence of '; with sd $d m \cdot f$, ex. he gave it to me as commander of soldiers $-n \stackrel{y}{5}$ being efficient in (his) heart', i.e. 'because he thought me so efficient'.'
 'through (in) the greatness of'. Equivalent to English 'inasmuch as', with
 . heart '. ${ }^{13}$
$-n-w r-n$, lit. 'through the greatness of'. 'Inasmuch as', with s'dm•f; ${ }^{14}$ much less common than $n-s t-n$.
$-\mathbb{S} \pi$-mrwt, varr. $-\frac{\pi}{8}, \cdots,{ }^{15}$ once at the beginning of a sentence

 thou mayst perform ceremonies for me'; ${ }^{17}-$ 'in order that the white bread may be established'. ${ }^{18}$ (2) More rarely with the
 offering-bread'. ${ }^{19}$ So too rarely $m$-mrwt (or -maryt) with the infinitive. ${ }^{20}$

 mof sb-tw(?) rdit $n \cdot s n t w n \times n h$ 'seeking that might be given (more literally perhaps 'in quest of the giving') to them the breath of life'. ${ }^{22}$
 Sal in m-snd mis wrsyw 'through fear that the watchers should see'. ${ }^{23}$ Sim. $n$-snd-n, ${ }^{24} n-s n d .{ }^{25}$
－－t per，lit．＇upon the hand＇．＇Before＇，（ I ）with the infinitive，ex．＋ © $\rightarrow$～$w n m t p-r s d r$＇to be eaten before going to bed＇；${ }^{1}(2)$ with $s d m \cdot f$ ，ex．the
 land＇．${ }^{2}$
$\bigcirc$－
 ＇every time that this（thy）servant finds him＇；${ }^{3}$ taw alone in the same sense， ＇shooting（to hit the mark）응 $\vec{n}_{1}^{\wedge}$ every time he tries＇．
${ }^{1}$ Et． 13 ，1．Sim．ib． 34，13；Peas．B 1， 110.
${ }^{3}$ Sh．S．33． 103. Sim．Adm．16， 1.
${ }^{3}$ P．Nah．36， 26.
4 ÄZ．69，30， 16.

## VOCABULARY


\＆ 8 wish（1）trans．place，put down； （2）intrans．endure ；adj．en－ during，durable．
Bi\｜fwd（orig．$r w d$ ）be hard， flourish；adj．flourishing．
攺 stow the choicest，best．
急 $\triangle d d$ be stable；看 $d d(t)$ durability， stability．
）sis make great，magnify．
n a mm shake prominent，pro－ mote．
领 $s h m b-i b$ amusement，lit．dis－ traction of heart．

$\stackrel{\theta}{0}$ ，hrt－ib desire，wish；lit．what belongs to the heart．
$\oint$ was dominion，lordship：an old word， occurring only in formulae．
§呤 st－hr charge，care；lit．place of the face．
whew privacy．
$\gamma_{1}$（properly $\left.\rangle_{1}\right) s s r$ thing，concern．


园○ shew period，space．
恠（masc．）statue，image．
$\stackrel{f}{f m}$ wen being：in the phrase $n w n$ ms e in true being，truly．
$\hat{\rho}$
＠$s d s t$（reading not quite certain） precious thing，treasure．
包 $d t$ estate，domain；$b_{3} k n$ pr $d t$ or $b_{3} k n d t$ servant of the estate， liegeman．${ }^{1}$
Ho Hr the god Horus．

[^79]
## EXERCISE XIV

(a) Reading lesson : extract from a biographical inscription of Dyn. XI: ${ }^{1}$
(N.B. The interlinear transliteration and translation are henceforth replaced by a division into sentences designed to show the structure of the passage studied. Students should, at least in the early stages of their reading, always write out the original texts which they study, paying special attention to good writing; an arrangement such as is here offered will be found conducive to a clear understanding of the Egyptian.)
48 =
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 Noーmosi (898-五810m

 - Winno
iw ir $n \cdot n \cdot(i)$ rhrw ss m rupwt
. $h r h m n n b \cdot(i) H r W_{3} h-r n h n-s w-b i t ~ S i-R r-1 n t f$, ist ts $p n h r r s t-h r \cdot f$,
hntt-r $36 w$,
pht-r $T_{i-w}{ }^{2} T n(i)$
st wi $m$ bsk.f $n d t \cdot f$,
$\underline{h r} t p \cdot f n$ wn ms.
$i w s r^{2} \cdot n \cdot f$ wi, shnt $\cdot n \cdot f$ st $\cdot(i)$, di•nff wi $m$ st $k r t-i b \cdot f$
$m$ ch.f $n$ werrzw, $s d$ dst m-r. (i) $h r d b r t \cdot(i)$
$m$ stpw $n$ nfrt nbt
innt ${ }^{3} n h m n n b \cdot(i) m$ Šmiw $m T_{3} m h w$, $m$ sšr $n b n$ shmh-ib, $m$ inw $n t_{3} p n$ mi $k d \cdot f$, $n$ sndw.f ht-ht ts $p n$;
innt ${ }^{3} n \mathrm{hm} n n b \cdot(i) m-c$ hasw hryw$n$ sndw.f ht-ht huswt. [tp d'srt,
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. $614,11.3-6=\mathcal{F E A} 17,55$.
${ }^{2}$ See $\S 90,3$. $\quad T_{3}$-wr was the Egyptian name of the 'nome' or province of Abydus. $\quad$ See § $369,2$.
' I passed a long space of years under the Majesty of my lord, Horus Enduring-of-Life, the King of Upper and Lower Egypt Son-of-Rēr-Inyōtef, while this land was under his charge southwards to Elephantine and ending at This of the Abydus nome, and while I was his liegeman under his command (lit. head) in very truth. He exalted me, and promoted my place, and put me in a position of his desire, in his palace of privacy, treasures being in my care
under my seal of the best of every good (thing) which-used-to-be-brought to the Majesty of my lord from Upper and Lower Egypt, consisting of every thing of enjoyment and of the tribute of this entire land, owing to the fear of him throughout this land; (also) which-used-to-be-brought to the Majesty of my lord by the hand of the chieftains who are over the desert, owing to the fear of him throughout the foreign lands.'
(b) Write in hieroglyphs and in transliteration:
(I) My lord gave to me my city as prince, he gave it to me as commander of the army, by virtue of my being efficient in the heart of His Majesty. (2) I give (use s $d m \cdot u \cdot f$ ) to thee all life, stability and dominion, all health and all joy with ( $b r$ ) me in exchange for this beautiful, flourishing, efficient monument. (3) I caused my image to be made at this my southern boundary in order that (lit. through love) ye might flourish on account of it and in order that ye might fight on behalf of it. (4) I have paid attention to (rdi.n. $i \mathrm{ib} \cdot \mathrm{i} \mathrm{bnt})$ the house of my lord ; I have not been neglectful concerning his children, his cattle or anything of his. (5) My office is more beautiful to-day than it was yesterday. (6) Thy pyramid shall be in the midst of the pyramids of the Royal Children ( $m s w$ nsw).

## LESSON XV

## SUBORDINATE CLAUSES

§ 182. A part of a sentence which is equivalent to a noun, adjective or adverb, while having a grammatical subject and predicate of its own, is called a subordinate clause, or more specifically a noun clause, adjective clause, or adverb clause.
I. Noun clauses. See below $\$ \S$ 183-193.
2. Adjective clauses, better known as relative clauses. See below §§ 195-204.
3. Adverb clauses. See below $\$$ 210-223.

When a subordinate clause has nothing to distinguish it from a complete sentence except its meaning and its syntactic function (e.g. the replacing of a nominal object, $\S 69$ ) it is called a virtual subordinate clause. Clauses of this kind are more common in Egyptian than in English, though in English they are by no means rare, exx. 'I know he does', 'the day he met us'.

Other subordinate clauses are marked off as such by means of connecting links like the relative adjective nty 'who, which, that' and the conjunctive particle $n t t$ 'that'.

## NOUN CLAUSES

§ 183. Noun clauses, or subordinate clauses which exercise the function of nouns, remain as a rule without introduction (virtual noun clauses), but sometimes are ushered in by a word for 'that' (ntt, wnt, § 187).

Verbal noun clauses, i.e. those which have a narrative verb-form as grammatical predicate ( $\$ 27$ ), are commoner than non-verbal, for we shall see ( $\$ 186$ ) that noun clauses with adverbial, nominal, or adjectival predicates were conformed to the type of the verbal sentence by use of the sdm.f form of wonn 'be' or of the adjective-verb; see already above $\$ \$$ I 18 . 143. 150. 157 .

We shall treat noun clauses from the standpoint of their function as syntactic elements in the main clause, beginning with their use as object, already familiar in the employment of $s d m \cdot f$ after $r d i$ 'cause' ( $\S 70$ ).
§ 184. Śdrm•f as object after certain verbs.-1. After some verbs like E rdi 'cause' (§ 70) the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form has prospective meaning, i. e. points to an act that may or will occur in the future. Such verbs are $\}$

匀 $d^{d}$ 'say', 'think', besides the compound $\Delta{ }^{\circ}$ di $m$ ib 'determine', lit. ' place in the heart', 7 and the supposed imperative
 him. ${ }^{7 a}$

 I should draw nigh to the god. ${ }^{9}$
 he does not find he may look) at his shoulders. ${ }^{10}$

After the passives of the same verbs the sdolm•f form naturally becomes subject; see $\S 70$ for an example with $r d i$.
 behold, it has been commanded that thou shouldst cleanse the temple of Abydus. ${ }^{11}$

The above examples show that this use of sdm.f was common even when its subject was identical with that of the main verb. Not infrequently, however, it seemed unnecessary to repeat the subject, and in such cases the sd $d m \cdot f$ was regularly replaced by the infinitive. See below $\S 303$, and compare English 'I wished to go' with 'I wished he would go' and ' I wished I could go'.
 the objective $s d m \cdot f$ has not necessarily prospective meaning, but may refer to the same time as the main verb (relative present time, § 155 ).
 being made in it. ${ }^{1}$ ' $I r \cdot t w$ is elliptic for $i r \cdot t w \cdot f$.
 at seeing them row. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. To negate the sd $d m \cdot f$ form thus used as object the negative verb $t m$ is employed, see § 347 , I.
§ 185. Sdm•n•f as object of verbs.-This use is of rare occurrence; the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form then refers to time anterior to that of the main verb (relative past time, § 156 ).
 become constricted, lit. has tied. ${ }^{3}$
§ 186. Virtual noun clauses with adjectival, adverbial or nominal predicate as object of verbs.-After the verbs quoted in § 184 the construction is the same as after $r d i$ 'cause'. I. Thus in noun clauses with adjectival predicate the s $d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective-verb replaces the adjective itself (§ I43).

 had found that the cultivation of barley was very good. ${ }^{5}$
2. Similarly, in noun clauses with adverbial predicate the $s d m \cdot f$ form of $w n n$ 'exist', 'be' is employed, since $i w$ cannot stand in this position (§ 118 , end).

Ex. ©
3. Noun clauses with nominal predicate could doubtless also be expressed in the same way, use being made of the $m$ of predication ( $\$ 838$. 125 ); but instances also occur where the object consists of the construction with $p w$.

So too the type of sentence introduced by the independent pronoun (§ 125) is found as the object of $r b$.

Ex. lord. ${ }^{8}$
 ( $\$ 237$ ) and the much rarer ${ }^{\mathbf{5}-\mathrm{O}}$ wnt ( $\$ 233$ ) are occasionally used for ' that' after verbs of seeing and knowing.

Exx. on account of it. ${ }^{9}$
 $n d m \cdot n n \cdot f$ btbt $i m$ ye know that as to every prince . . . . reversal thereof is not pleasant to him. ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1}$ Westc. 12,4. Sim.
Urk. iv. 751, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Westc. 5,$15 ; 6,2$.

> s Eb. 40, 19. Sim. ib. $39,13$.

4 Urk. iv. 9, 16; sim. ib. iv. 89a, 6. After $r h, \operatorname{Sin}$. 76. 107; Urk. iv. 363, 6; Berl. AI. ii. p. 26, qu. $\$ 88,2$; after ptr, Siut 1, 220; after si, P. Kah. 7, 31 .

S Urk. iv. 747, 9. Sim. after mik, Sin. B 108; after $m r, P t$. 298.

- Cairo 20712, alo.

Sim. Urk. iv. 34I, 8.

$$
{ }^{7} \text { Sh. S. 6r. Sim. }
$$ after $i b$ 'suppose', $i b$. 58.

> E'rk.iv. 346,3-6.

- Urk. iv. 835; sim. ib. 593; 5, qu. 8452 , 2 ; with sdm.n.f, Sin. B18ı; JEA 16, 19, 1 .

[^80] ib. 280-1.


1 NAV. $148,22$. After $r \cdot \frac{l}{2}$, Crken $^{\prime}$ iv. $\mathbf{3}^{64}$, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Ikhern. 9. Sim. Sin. $\mathrm{B}_{215}$.
${ }^{3}$ Crk.iv.1111, 1 I . Sim. Destr. 85, nty twi for ntt zui.
${ }^{\text {sa }} \ddot{A} Z .58,29^{*}$. For a further development of this construction see p. 253, n. II a.
${ }^{4}$ Sh. S. ${ }^{153}$. So too hpr.n, ib. 130. 166 ; P.Pet.11168, I. Sim. after hprm-r.f,Leb.10. Some regard hpr and $h p r \cdot n$ in such cases as impersonal.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 197$.
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 363. Sim. Piehl, IH. iii. 75, past time. stisw pw is when he saw that it was a great secret. ${ }^{1}$
 perceived that there was none who would do it except thee. ${ }^{2}$

The examples show that $n t t$ and wnt may introduce both verbal and nonverbal clauses. As stated in $\S 44,2$, a dependent pronoun may on occasion be attached directly to $n t t$, and the same is true of wnt.
 it is provided with the seal of the proper official. ${ }^{3}$
with thee. ${ }^{3 a}$

> Obs. For a different type of construction (the pseudo-verbal construction) after ntt and wentt, see below $\S 329$. Note that after verbs of saying 'that' is not ntt but $r-n t t$; on this and other phrases introducing the content of a speech see $\S \S 224-5$. Noun clauses introduced by $n t t$ may be preceded by a preposition, see $\S 223$.
§ 188. Virtual noun clauses as subject.-The use of noun clauses as subject is very much rarer than their use as object. I. We have already noted ( $\$ 870.184$ ) that noun clauses may be employed as subject after the passives of $r d i$ ' cause' and similar verbs; other cases occur less frequently.

Ex. 盟贿 $m s \cdot k i w p n$ it shall happen, when thou sunderest thyself from this place, never shalt thou see this island more. ${ }^{4}$

So too in the expression $\sim n s p$ 'never' $s p$ appears to be the sd $m \cdot f$ form and takes another sdm $\mathrm{d} \cdot f$ form as its subject; see above § io6, below § 456 . Similarly a sdm.f form may serve as subject after ir wdf' if (so and so) fails (to take place)', lit. 'if it delay that .......'; see § 352 .
2. On rare occasions the $s d m \cdot f$ form is found after the phrases expressing non-existence ( $\$$ Io8).
 does not exist that thou diest) in a foreign land. ${ }^{5}$
 temple, i.e. perhaps, I was constantly vigilant concerning it. ${ }^{6}$ The time referred to appears to be the past.

Obs. It seems not improbable that $n n$ s $\underline{d} m \cdot f$ ' he will not hear' ( $(\mathbf{\$ 1 0 5}, 2$ ) is to be explained in this way.
3. An adjective or adjective-verb may be predicate to a virtual noun clause introduced by the sddm.f form.

Exx. ${ }^{\text {tn m }}$ a son should hearken to his father. ${ }^{7}$
（O）
To be explained in the same way are the formulae of valediction in letters
 the lord hears）be good ${ }^{2}$ and ${ }^{*}-n f r$ sd $m \cdot k$＇may thy hearing be good＇．${ }^{3}$

So too after $n f r^{\prime} p w$ with the meaning＇there is（are）not＇，and possibly after the nearly synonymous $n f r n$ ；see below $\$ 35 \mathrm{I}$ ．
§ 189．Virtual noun clauses as predicate with pw as subject．－ Under this head we have to deal with extensions of the constructions discussed in $\S \$ 128.130 .4$

1．Sdm•f pw．This construction is not rare in glosses，where pzu can best be translated＇this means＇；compare in French c＇est que．

Exx．I am Rēr in his first appearances；لromblow dwesw $m$ sht－f this means（lit．it is）that he arises of mornings in his horizon．${ }^{5}$
 $i s b y$ as to＇movement of the heart＇this（phrase）means that it moves itself in his left breast．${ }^{6}$
 live．${ }^{7}$

Elsewhere pw must be rendered＇that is how．．．．＇
 Heliopolis came into being．${ }^{8}$

Literary manuscripts often end with a colophon of the type $\Delta$ 皿品号in
 means：Here ends the book，and it has been copied，start to finish，from some other old manuscript．Literally perhaps：this is it arrives，its front to its end， like what was found in writing．

2．In rare cases $p w$ after the $s d m \cdot f$ form simply serves to introduce the logical subject，the construction being that of § 130 ．
 $R b s$ is the infinitive（ $\$ 298$ ）．
 ink $p w$ ，etc．$P w$ is here inserted in a whole sentence beginning with the independent pronoun＇ I ＇，and has the meaning of French c＇est que．
 about（lit．it is I have recollected）the mother of my mother．${ }^{11}$ Answer to the question＇what has come to thy heart？＇

With a noun in the place of ink．
 Rē $h e)$ spoke to Horus．${ }^{12}$
${ }^{1} E 6.109,15$.
${ }^{2}$ P．Kah．27，2； 29， $2+45$ ．
${ }^{3}$ P．Kah．28，3． 6. 10．Sim．ib．32， 16 ．

${ }^{5}$ Virk．v．6，8．Sim． ib． 6,$15 ; 10,5 ; 23$ ， 15 ．
－Eb．101，12．Sim． ib．100，14，qu．§347， 2；102， 15 ．
${ }^{7}$ Eb．97， 13. Sim． ib．97，14． 15 ．
${ }^{8}$ AZZ． n $^{*} 5^{*}$ ．Sim． ib． $4^{*}$ ．
${ }^{9}$ Sh．S．186－7．Sim． Sinl．B3II；Leb． $154-$ 5；Pt．645－6．Short－ ened，P．Kah．4， 27.

10 Peas．B 1，${ }^{175 .}$
Sim．Sin．B60．
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．${ }_{2} 7,14$. Sim．ib． 364,16 ．

[^81]${ }^{1}$ El. 103, 9. Sim. ib. 103, 6 ( $p ; p w m t$ ).

${ }^{2}$ Eb. 99, 5.
${ }^{3}$ Sm. 1, 7, where Eb. 99, 4 has hr-ntt 'because'. Sin. Bi 26 is obscure. In Rhind 4. $6 n t p^{w}$ replaces mitt pw of ib. 1. 5; these formulae may be rendered respectively 'that is it' (scil. the answer) and 'that is the number in question', lit. 'the equivalent'.
${ }^{4}$ See Gunnin JEA. 35, 21 ff .
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. I8. Sim. ib. 280, 13; Buhen. $\mathbf{5}^{2}$.
${ }^{6}$ Anthes, 24, 8. Sim.ib.24, 3(wd $3.5 n)$; DE BUCK, iii. 262, $k$

${ }_{7}$ Eb. 97, 13. Sim. P. Ram.IV, С 17 .
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 707. Sim. ib. 518, $5 ; 758,16$.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 497, 10.
${ }^{10}$ Pt. 186. Sim. ib. 481.624; P. Kah. 2, 19; JEA. 39, Pl. 2, 5 .
${ }^{11}$ Paheri 5.

If he has pain in his neck..... thou shalt say concerning it:
 vessels of his neck have caught an illness. ${ }^{1}$

Similarly with other forms of verbal predicate not yet discussed, see below $\$ 325.332$ end. This construction is specially appropriate to the beginnings of narratives and the answers to questions.
2. $-\mathrm{d} n t p w$ is possibly equivalent to $n t t p w$ 'it is the fact that' and seems to correspond roughly to our use of i.e. $=$ id est $=$ ' that is to say '.

Exx. (the heart) speaks out of the vessels of every member. ${ }^{2}$
 vessels are in the back of the head and in the place of the heart. ${ }^{3}$

The view of this construction here taken is the more probable since $4-0$ in nt pw once occurs with a fairly clear interrogative sense 'Is it the case that?' See below § 494, 3 .
§ 191. The śsdm•f form serving as a genitive. Note that here, as after prepositions ( $\$_{155}$, towards end) the śd $m \cdot f$ form may often be best translated by the English gerund (' his hearing ').
I. Appended as a direct genitive ( $\$ 85$. 1 ) to a noun expressing time. ${ }^{4}$

 (on the) day (that) it fought. ${ }^{6}$
 about a child (on the) day it is born. ${ }^{7}$

ObS. That the verb-form was felt as a genitive is indicated by the analogy of the construction under 2 below, and by similarities in the Semitic languages.
2. After the genitival adjective ( $\$ 85$. B). See further below, $\S \$ 44^{2}, 5 ; 45^{2}, 5$. Often best translated by an English adjective (relative) clause.
 Majesty received. Or, all good things of His Majesty's receiving. ${ }^{8}$
 thou wast. ${ }^{9}$ Lit. in thy youth of thou-wast-in-it.

Or else it may correspond to an English clause of time or place.
Exx. 0 - -.shalt-be. ${ }^{10}$
lit. of thou-shalt-be-in-it. ${ }^{11}$

Elsewhere the relation to the antecedent noun is less easily defined.

Exx．믕․－中 （lit．of）one＇s punishing this Dḥutnakhte？${ }^{12}$
\＆ him．${ }^{13}$

The virtual noun clauses thus introduced are mostly short ${ }^{14}$ and attached to words like $h r w, t r, s p$ with which a genitive is usual．

When expression of the subject of the subordinate verb is superfluous，the infinitive may take the place of the śdm•fform，see $\S 305$ and compare § 184 ，I，end．
§ 192．The sdm•n•f form after the genitival adjective．－The śd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is similarly used when the reference is to relative past time，but this construction is of very rare occurrence．
 a king whom（lit．of）he bred up，a son－who－loves ${ }^{2 a}$ whom（lit．of）he made for himself．${ }^{3}$
§ 193．Noun clauses in other positions．－Other uses of noun clauses are more conveniently classified elsewhere．Thus the employment of sd $d \cdot f$ ， $s$ d $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ，etc．to introduce virtual noun clauses after prepositions（above $\S \S 154-7$ ） will be treated under the head of adverb clauses，the preposition being regarded as inside the subordinate clause，instead of，as hitherto，outside it（ $\$ \mathbf{2} \mathbf{1 0}, 2$ ； 222）；and similarly when the preposition is followed by $n t t$（ $\$ 223$ ）．Again， virtual adverb clauses（ $\$ \$ 210.212-221$ ）might be taken as noun clauses used adverbially，since the noun itself has a corresponding adverbial use（ $\$ 88,1$ ）． Lastly，virtual relative clauses（ $\S 195$, I）might，if it had suited our general scheme of classification，have been regarded as virtual noun clauses in apposition．
§ 194．Idiomatic phrases used as nouns．－A peculiarity of Egyptian is its fondness for semi－proverbial sentences or phrases which are used as nouns； cf．English＇a ne＇er－do－well＇．
 of Egypt is common property．${ }^{4}$

The $m$ here employed seems to be the $m$ of predication，and the phrase translated＇common property＇means literally＇I go down and there is brought to me＇．So too 口AR县 $A$ pr－hs $\cdot f$＇he goes and comes＇s means a＇popular resort＇；$A$ 隹 $i w \cdot f-f \cdot f$＇he comes and grows＇means a man who rises in rank，as one might say＇a crescit eundo＇；${ }^{6}$ 帓 iw－ms＇but there is＇，${ }^{7}$ var． A种长，${ }^{8}$ means a statement to which exception can be taken，an＇untruth＇or ＇misstatement＇．So too proper names，ex． 4 要＇I $w \cdot f-n \cdot i$＇ He －is－mine＇，name
${ }^{12}$ Peas．B I，46－7．
${ }^{13}$ Sin．B 124.
${ }^{14}$ Longer examples， Budge， $5^{2,4-6 ; 71,9 .}$
${ }^{2 a}$ For the active meaning see $P y r . ~$
IIjo．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．812，8－9 completed by i6． 807 ， 7－8．Sim．ib．671， 3 ．

[^82]${ }^{1}$ Chasse．Ass．p． 100；LAC．TR．78， 15．See too Pyre．412， qu． 6442,4 ．

2 Sin．R 10 （re－ stored）．

3 Le．119．Similar phrases Siut 3， 24 ； AZ．34，39，n． 6.
 god of primordial times．${ }^{1}$

Non－verbal expressions of a similar character are also to be found：
 i．e．in mourning．${ }^{2}$

In both these examples the subject is followed by the $m$ of predication（ $\$ 38$ ）．

## VOCABULARY



을 $\quad$ bits take pleasure，have enjoyment．
院

约 var．$\triangle$ 为 $g_{3}(w)$ be nat－ row，deprived of（ $m$ ）．
－${ }^{8}{ }^{〔}{ }^{〔} d d h$ shut in，confine．
隹 br boasting，exaggeration．
$\Rightarrow 1$ ms edge，brink．
世号 mrht oil．
［］but（for hart）prison．

$\left.{ }^{m}{ }^{m}{ }^{\circ}\right)_{m \times m}^{m m} n w$ water（a rather select word）．
min $n$ ht sycamore，tree．
$\bigcirc$ ○○ $\operatorname{tr}$ time，season，period．
$\Leftrightarrow \ell \|_{1}^{I I} d m i$ town，habitation．
$\downarrow d d m d w$ to be recited，lit．to say words，as heading（§ 306，1）．
范品 place in Palestine．
 land，probably Crete，and its people．
$\int_{\text {Places，name of the temple of }}^{\square}$ Karnak at Thebes．

## EXERCISE XV

（a）Reading lesson：funerary wishes from a Theban noble＇s tomb（Din． $X V I I I):^{1}$
n
Dd maw：
［haw；
開会－
imy－r pr hst（§353）Bht，ss＇ $1 m n-m-h s t$ ，ms switz $\cdot k$ r $\operatorname{mrr} \cdot k(\$ 444,3)$ hr morn mfr $n s \cdot k$ ，
${ }^{1}$ Th．T．S．i． 27 ．




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\{0\}^{2}\right\}=2
\end{aligned}
$$

hnts' $i b \cdot k m m n w \cdot k$,
$s k b b \cdot k \operatorname{hr} n h w t \cdot k$,
htp ib.k $m n w$
$m-h n w e ~ h n m t ~ i r t \cdot n \cdot k(§ 382)$,
$r n h h h n e d t$.
${ }^{1}$ Orig. wrongly
' Recitation. O steward who-keeps-count-of the fields, scribe Amenemḥett, true of voice. Mayst thou walk according as thou desirest on the beautiful edge of thy pool. May thy heart take delight in thy monument. Mayst thou refresh thyself beneath thy trees, and thy heart be appeased with water from the cistern which-thou-hast made-for ever and ever.'
(b) Transliterate and translate:








(7)

${ }^{1}$ A feminine equivalent of $s n d . \quad$ a 'How often'.

## LESSON XVI

## RELATIVE CLAUSES

§ 195. Relative clause, or Adjective clause, is the name given to that kind of subordinate clause ( $\$ 182$ ) which is equivalent to an adjective. A relative clause can, like an adjective, be used either as epithet or as noun; when used as an epithet, the noun or pronoun to which it is attached is called the antecedent; when used as a noun, the antecedent is inherent latently in the relative clause itself.

Egyptian relative clauses fall into two groups: I. virtual relative clauses, i.e. groups of words resembling main clauses simply juxtaposed to their antecedents (if any), a construction comparable to the apposition of one noun to another (see § 193, end) ; 2. clauses introduced by a word which is adjectival in form and agrees with the antecedent in number and gender. The latter class subdivides into: $2 a$. clauses introduced by the relative adjective nty (\$199) or by the negative relative adjective iwty (\$202); and $2 b$. clauses introduced by the relative forms ( $\$ 380$ ), these last being extensions of the passive participles which cannot be discussed until a later stage.

Egyptian shows close kinship with the Semitic languages in the fact that its relative words, though able to indicate the gender and number of the antecedent, are incapable of expressing their case or the manner of their dependence upon the other members of the relative clause. Thus while English can say 'the man whom I saw', 'whose son I saw', ' in whom I trusted', Egyptian must substitute 'who I saw him', 'who I saw his son', 'who I trusted in him'. The pronoun thus inserted in Egyptian relative clauses is called a resumptive pronoun, a term which we have employed already in another connection ( $\$ 146$ ). Occasionally an English relative adverb is represented by an adverb in Egyptian, as in form bw nty hm.f im 'the place where His Majesty is', lit. 'the place which His Majesty is there'; in this case im 'there' is called a resumptive adverb.

In any clause which the beginner suspects of being relative, he should make a practice of looking first of all for the resumptive word. This found, he will know whether to translate 'who' or 'whose' or 'to whom' or 'where', etc., and with this knowledge he will find that the other members of the clause quickly fall into place.

Obs. English is apt to employ a relative clause to make some additional statement, ex. 'I saw John to-day, who (=and he) sent you his greetings'. This spurious kind of relative clause is unknown to Egyptian.
§ 198. Virtual relative clauses.-When the antecedent is undefined in meaning (exx. ' $a$ man', 'men'), almost any kind of sentence may be joined to it without introduction with the sense of an English relative clause. Examples of different types follow.
I. Non-verbal:

[^83]Exx. lit. a man, swellings are on his neck. ${ }^{1}$
 having a hardness in his left side, which is under his ribs, lit. it is under his flank. ${ }^{2}$
 which had no rudder, lit. not (was) a rudder of it. ${ }^{1}$
 name is Djedi, lit. Djedi is his name. ${ }^{2}$
 is its hearing. ${ }^{3}$
 possessions, lit. great to him were possessions. ${ }^{4}$
2. Verbal:
 discharge, lit. it gives a foul water. ${ }^{6}$
 fruit of the sycamore. ${ }^{6}$
 not been made since antiquity. ${ }^{7}$
 a snake that (lit. it) was coming. ${ }^{8}$ Here the rel. clause is only partly verbal, see § 33 I .

For the old perfective in virtual relative clauses see below $\$ 317$.
§ 197. It but rarely happens that virtual relative clauses of this kind are used as nouns, i. e. lack an expressed antecedent.
 60 cubits in length, lit. I brought, sixty cubits (are) in their length. ${ }^{9}$

In the following examples, the relative clause is used as nominal predicate.
 and hates evil. ${ }^{10}$
 ence him who is to be. ${ }^{11}$

The construction of the example last quoted must be carefully distinguished from the $s d m \cdot f p w$ of $\S 189$.
§ 198. Occasionally an unintroduced relative clause is found after an antecedent which is defined in meaning, ex. the man.
I. Non-verbal, perhaps always in connexion with personal names:
 Hori's son Snofru, whose (lit. his) father is on the second (register?) of the troops. ${ }^{12}$

[^84]${ }^{5}$ Eb. 91, 3. Sim.
ib. 30, 1 $(\mathrm{gm.tw.f}$;
$49,7.8 ; 51,16 ;$ Leb.
121; Westc. 7, 1.
${ }^{6}$ Eb. 102, 2. Sim.
ib. 105, 16.
${ }^{7}$ Urk. iv. 57. Sim. Sin. B 58 ; Peas. B I, 174; Urk. v. 178, 10.
${ }^{8}$ Sh.S.6I-2. Sim. with $h r+$ inf. see below § $3^{22} 3$.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 535, with the numeral and suffix restored.
${ }^{10}$ Brit. Mus. 159; 614, 8. Sim. ib. 1059. See Gunn, Studies, p. 60, no. II; and cf. also the expression lwofc3.f qu. $\$ 194$. I1 Cairo 20538, ii. c 15 .
${ }^{1}$ Urk. v. 12, 5-6. Sim. Th. T.S. i. ${ }^{5} 56$ (hrw ms.notzv.klm.f); It seems unlikely that these passive verbs are relative forms, see § 388 .
${ }^{2} E b .93$, 1. Sim. ib. 89, 20; 102, 16 ; Sin. B34, qu. $\mathbf{\xi}^{200}$, ; Sh. S. 5I. 115, qu. § 200, 1 , end.

[^85]- Hamm. 191, 8.

5 Feas. B I, 43. Sim. T. Carn. 2.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 747.
${ }^{7}$ Brit. Mus. 614, vert. 5; Th. T. S. ii. 22; Lac. TR. 6, 3; 10, 9.
${ }^{8}$ Leyd. V io3. Sim. Cairo 20057 ; Dend. if B. Cf. also $p ; n t y$ $n b$ as in L E., Urk. iv. 690, 4.
${ }^{9}$ P. Kah. 11, ${ }^{23}$. Sim. Pr. 2, 4.
2. Verbal (very rare) :

Ex. ロ $n b-r-d r i m \cdot f$ that day on which (lit. in it) the enemies of the lord of the universe were destroyed. ${ }^{1}$
§ 199. The relative adjective ${ }_{\alpha l}{ }_{\text {all }}$ nty.—Of greater importance are the relative clauses introduced by the relative adjective $n t y$, which is normally used when the antecedent is defined in meaning, though sporadic instances also occur of its employment when the antecedent is undefined, ex. 言, oll 'a man who has a lump (?)'. ${ }^{2}$

We have seen (§191) that the equivalent of an English relative clause is sometimes produced by placing the śd $d m \cdot f$ form after the genitival adjective $n$ $(n y)$. The relative adjective ${ }_{0}=n t y$ is nothing more than an extension of the genitival adjective formed by the addition of $-y(\$ 79)$ to its feminine $u t$, cf. late tpty 'chief' beside tpy from tp 'head', 'upon '. ${ }^{3}$

The relative adjective agrees in number and gender with the antecedent, whether implied or expressed, in the following forms: m. sing. ${ }_{\text {oll }}$ uty, f. sing.
 pressed, however, $n t y$ is often found in place of $n t y w$, ex. $n t y r$-gs.f 'the officials who were at his side'. ${ }^{5}$ Later, $n t y$ appears to become invariable, ex. ollmmo N belongs to each one of these obelisks'. ${ }^{6}$ An archaic writing of m. sing. nty occasionally found in Middle Egyptian is ${ }_{0}{ }^{m} n t(y) .^{7}$

Nty may be used either as epithet or as noun, i. e. without separately expressed antecedent. In the latter case it may be followed by the adjective $n b$ 'all', 'every', so as to yield the meaning 'everyone who', 'anyone who', ' whoever', or 'everything which', 'whatever'.

Exx. سौ this stela. ${ }^{8}$
 together with whatever is in it. ${ }^{9}$

If special emphasis is to be laid on the relative clause, the $m$ of predication may be placed before the relative adjective on the principle explained and illustrated in § 96, 2.
 $m n l y n n$ st $h b s$ as for any writings which the vizier sends.... . being writings (lit. as) which are not covered (i. e. signed and sealed). ${ }^{10}$
§ 200. Nty in relative clauses with adverbial predicate.-1. When the subject of the relative clause is identical with the antecedent, it is not specially expressed, being implicit in the relative adjective itself.
 temple. ${ }^{1}$
$84_{00}-m i n t t r h p$ conformably with what is according to law. ${ }^{2}$
ㅇNan were there with him. ${ }^{3}$
$\ddagger$ a 团 nsw ntyw im king of those who are there (yonder), i. e. the dead; epithet of Osiris. ${ }^{4}$

When, however, the negative word follows the relative adjective, a pronoun is inserted.
 not within it. ${ }^{5}$
2. When the subject of the relative clause is different from the antecedent, it must of course be expressed. The resumptive pronoun or adverb (§ 195) then gives the clue as to how the relative adjective is to be translated.

Exx. لBoll bw nty ntrw im the place where the gods are, lit. the place which the gods are there. ${ }^{6}$

To be drunk 1 onf in in in $n t y m$ ht.f by him in whose body the pains are, lit. by him who the pains are in his body. ${ }^{7}$
 face is (that of) a dog. ${ }^{8}$ Note the $m$ of predication.

If the subject of the relative clause be pronominal, usually a dependent pronoun is employed. ${ }^{\text {sa }}$
 $\underline{h} r \cdot f$ concerning this state in which I was, lit. which I (was) under it. ${ }^{9}$

I know لfolla bw nty st im the place where it is. ${ }^{10}$
With the 2nd and 3 rd pers. sing., however, the suffixes are generally used, and combine with the relative adjective in the forms $\bar{\square} n t k,{ }^{11}$ 른 $n t f,{ }^{12}$

 'thou art'.

Obs. There could be no objection in theory to relative clauses with nty having a nominal or adjectival predicate, but no examples are forthcoming. An example with the $m$ of predication is quoted above.
See Add. for § 200 A .

## § 201. Nty in relative clauses with sdm•f and śdm•n•f.-The relative

 adjective is comparatively seldom followed by these verb-forms. thine with which thou seest, lit. which thou seest with them. ${ }^{16}$
 bread and beer . . . . which I have given (lit. which I have given it) to you. ${ }^{17}$
${ }^{1}$ Siut $1,290$.
2 Urk. iv. 1088.
Sim. ib. 121, 14 .
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 33-4$.

- Budge, p. 478, 3 .
${ }^{5}$ Sh. S. 51. 115. Sim. Urk. iv. 1109, 12, qu. 8 199, end.
${ }^{6}$ Cairo 20485. Sim.
Brit. Mus. 614, vert.
$5 ;$ Westc. 8, $5 ;$
Paheri 5, top register.
${ }^{7}$ Eb. 14, 6.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. v. 67, 1.
84 However, the plurals are ambiguous ( 843 ). $\frac{T}{} n$, see BUDGE, p. 260, 2-3; $5 n, i b$. p. 174, 10.
- Sin. B 173-4.

10 Westc. 9, 3-4.
${ }^{11}$ Nav. 99, Einl. 16.
${ }^{12}$ Bersk. ii. 19, 1, 14.
${ }^{18}$ Urk. v. 156, 1.
${ }^{14}$ Rec. 35, 223.
${ }^{15}$ Budge, p. 491, 12.

[^86]${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. 330. Sim Eb. 48, 1 ; Westc. 5, 11. With $n$ Sdm.n.f ( ${ }^{417}$ ) , Peas. B I, 316.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 47, 18. Sim. ib. 65, 14; Sh. S. 73; Brit. Mus. 58I, vert. II; Urk. iv. 751, 14.

3 Uri. iv. 7; Ann. 42, IO. Sim. Anthes, 22, 18.
${ }^{3 a} N t y w n$ for $n t y$ wnn.f'who possesses' Rev. d'E'E. V. 254. An $^{\prime}$ ex. of the negative $n t y$ $n n$ wn, see $A Z .69$, 28, 11 .
${ }^{4}$ See $A Z .31,82$. For the reading see the Sign-list under D 35.
${ }^{8}$ See $A Z .50,113$.
${ }^{6}$ Ann. 5, 235, 17.
${ }^{6 a}$ Nav. Einkitung, 56. 62. 77. 84.
${ }^{7}$ Rec. 35, 223.
${ }^{8}$ Peas. B1, 64. 122 ; Pt. 169 ; Dend. 1 IA.
${ }^{-}$Siut 1, 249. 349. See too $A Z .31,83$, n. 2.

10 Budge, p. 369, 8. Sim. ib. p. 340, 9; 371, 3 .
${ }_{11}{ }^{\text {Adm. 8, 2. Sim. }}$ Brit. Mus. 581, vert. 9; Urk. iv. 1078 , 10. Note in Mill. 1, 6 izwty.fy as var. of izwty $n \cdot f$ of the Brooklyn tablet, Mel. Masp. I 481; sim. iwty-f, Urk. iv. 919, 5 .

Negative examples are rather more common.
 the likes of which had not been brought (before), lit. that which their likes had not been brought. ${ }^{1}$

The last example shows that the subject of the relative clause is in this case expressed, although identical with the antecedent; but it may happen that the suffix of the sdm.f form is omitted as obvious.

Ex. \&f -1 台 mi ntyw $n$ hpr (for $h p r \cdot s n$ ) as though they had never existed, lit. like ones who have not come into being. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

The rarity of nty with a following verb-form is due to the fact that the natural method of expressing the same meaning is provided by the participles (§353), the śdmty.fy form ( $\$ 363$ ), and the relative forms derived from the passive participles ( $\$ 380$ ). Nevertheless, we shall later have occasion to quote examples in which nty is followed by the construction with the old perfective or with $h r$ (or $r, \S 332$ ) + infinitive (rather common, see $\S 328$ ), or again by the negatived verb-form $n$ sdmt.f ( $\$ 402$ ).

Obs. Since $i w$ cannot be used after nty in Middle Egyptian, the phrase $i w$ wn 'there is' must be reduced simply to $w n$; for an example of $n t y$ wn, see above § 107, 2. ${ }^{\text {3a }}$ For the Late Egyptian use of $i z e$ after $n t y$, see below § 468 , 4.
§ 202. The negative relative adjective and iwty. 4 common word for ' which not . . . ., doubtless a nisbe adjective (§ 79) from the feminine of an obsolete equivalent $* i w$ surviving only in the O.E. negative particle 'that not . . . $\therefore$ ', cf. - ${ }_{o c}$ 'that' from "-11 'which' (§ 237). Besides the archaic writing $-{ }^{6}$, the Book of the Dead offers the variants ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3} t^{68}$ and, very rarely, $\ell_{\text {in }}^{\infty} i(w) t y .{ }^{7}$ A form ${ }^{-1}$ iwtw ${ }^{8}$ also occurs, chiefly when there is no antecedent. The fem. and plur. forms follow the model of $n t y$ and the other adjectives in $-y$.

The rare form $\min ^{0}$ is a puzzle; it is more probably a writing of izwty influenced by $\sim n n$ than a separate negative adjective $n n t y$.
§ 203. Uses of inty.-The negative relative adjective is used like nty, only more rarely, and with a few additional employments. The corresponding main clauses may be seen by substituting $\sim n n$ (or $\sim n$ ) for izwty.

1. with adverbial predicate, not common.
 $\mathrm{hr} \cdot \mathrm{s}$ that mound of the blessed on which are none sailing, lit. which-not sailing ones are on it. ${ }^{10}$

Here belongs also the phrase ans in inety $n \cdot f$ ' he who has nothing', ${ }^{11}$ lit. 'who-not (things are) to him', the implied subject bt 'things' being left unexpressed.
2. The phrase All 道 iwty sw has much the same sense as iwty $n \cdot f$ just mentioned, but is perhaps to be explained as meaning properly 'a no one' on the basis of a possible $n n s w$ 'he does not exist' ( $\$ 844,2$; 108, 3).
 i. e. the pauper. ${ }^{1}$
3. 'Iwty followed by noun + suffix denies possession, like the similar sentences with $n n$ exemplified in § 115 .

Exx. writing of it. ${ }^{2}$
 who has no property. ${ }^{3}$

For a further development of this construction with the infinitive, see § $307,2$.
4. $i w t t$ 'that which exists and that which does not exist', i. e. everything. ${ }^{4}$
5. with following $s d m \cdot f$, fairly frequent:
 belly's prompting. ${ }^{5}$
 whereof one cannot gain control, lit. which one does not gain control of the water that is in it. ${ }^{6}$
6. with following s $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, not very common.
 whom no one spent the night disappointed. ${ }^{7}$
7. Whether iwty can be used with the passive sdm•f is very doubtful; see below $\S 424,3$ end.
§ 204. Other equivalents of English relative clauses.-It will be useful here to summarize various modes of expression which, while not constituting relative clauses from the Egyptian point of view, are often best rendered as such in English.
I. the adjectives in $-y$, especially when derived from prepositions, ex. imyw$b_{3} h$ ' those who were aforetime', lit. 'those-being-in-front'. Note particularly
 meaning with bw ntf im 'the place where he is'. So also other adjectives, ex. 合 nfrt'what is good', lit. 'a good (thing)'; see § 96, i.
2. the emphatic epithet introduced by the $m$ of predication ( $\$ 96,2$ ), ex. $s 3$ ' n n $m 33$ 'a son of yours who is wise', lit. 'as a wise one'.
3. the original meaning of all participles ( $\$ 353$ foll.) and of the sdmty $\cdot f y$ form ( $\$ 363$ ) was that of relative clauses in which the subject is identical with
${ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 373, 6. Sim. Ann. 5, 235, 17-
${ }^{7}$ Brit. Mus. 1 59, 1 r. Sim. Harh.418; Louvre Ci68, 3 .

- 3
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 30, 7. Sim. Siut 1, 265 ; Louvre CI, II; Urk.iv. 1077, 8.
${ }^{3}$ Pt. 164. Sim. Peas. B 1, 64 ; Adm. 8, 4. 5; 9, 4. 5.
${ }^{1}$ Cat. d. Mon. i.
177; Cairo 20537; ib.
20539, i. $b 4$; Urk. iv.
48, 17.
- Hamm. 113, 8;

the antecedent．Exx．sdmyzw＇those who hear＇，lit．＇hearing ones＇，mry nb．f ＇one who is beloved of his lord＇，sdmty．fy＇one who will hear＇．This rule applies also ultimately to the relative forms，on which see $\$ \delta 380$ foll．

Under this head fall also the participles of wnn＇exist＇，which closely correspond in their uses to the relative adjective nty；see below $\$ 396$ ．

4．As we have seen（§§ 191．192），the genitival adjective $n(n y)$ ，when followed by $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d d \cdot n \cdot f$ ，may sometimes be translated as a relative clause， ex．bt nbt nfrt $n t$ ssp hem．f＇all good things which His Majesty received＇，lit．＇of His－Majesty－receives＇．So too with the infinitive（§305），ex．srh n sdm $n \cdot f$＇a noble who is to be listened to＇，lit．＇a noble of listening to him＇．

## V OCABULARY

$10 \triangle i i$ come．
㞧惯 $i t$ ，var．云惯，take away，seize．
Bumt be thick；adj．thick，stout．



N $m r$ be ill；adj．ill，painful．
$\stackrel{\text { 冋m }}{m} r h n$ lean，$h r$ upon．
领
$\rho 』$ 大惯 $s b_{3}$ teach，$r$ concerning．
$\rho^{\mathrm{mmm}} \sum_{\text {！}} s n b$ be healthy；n．health．
胃阴 $s p s$ be noble；adj．noble；
思肌学，spssw riches．

${ }_{\infty}^{\infty} d r$ drive out，crush．
有



 smitten living．
を風の $s f d w$ papyrus－roll，book．
5 筩 $s m s$ nomad，foreigner．
ใ． $\int$ 界 $G b$ Geb，the earth－god．
1 1 hand．

## EXERCISE XVI

（a）Transliterate and translate：


(b) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(I) I brought away the chief of this country as a prisoner, I seized all that was in his town. (2) Those who were in the ship died. (3) The king's son gave to me a house in which were riches without end ; there was nothing which was not therein. (4) He is, moreover, a god who has no equal; he is stout of heart, one who does not allow sloth to assail his heart (lit. he does not place sloth behind his heart). (5) I gave to him who had as to (lit. like) him who had not. (6) The overseer of all that exists, Nakht, whose father is Sonb. (7) There is none who knows ${ }^{3}$ the place where he is. (8) He in whose heart is iniquity, his name shall not remain upon earth. (9) The gods who are in Heliopolis are in festival, when they see this great god in his bark.

[^87]
## LESSON XVII

## ADVERBS

§ 205. There are but few words in Egyptian which can be classified specifically as adverbs. i. Such are, however, the following:

$\delta$ © ${ }^{-}$min 'to-day'; the reading $s m n$ has been proposed. ${ }^{2}$
 negatives 'at all'. ${ }^{\text {s }}$
 more'; ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ once at least, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ as in O.E., ${ }^{8}$ used enclitically like M.E. 要 grt ( $\$ 255$ ).

Ely $t n$ 'where ?', 'whence?' (§503).
${ }^{1}$ AZ. 31, 107; 50, ${ }^{99}$ in P. Kah. 32, 5; without det., L. to D., Cairo linen, 10.
${ }^{2} A Z .58$, 1 .
${ }^{3}$ Gard. Sine. p. 153.

- Adm. 6, 5; Urk.
iv. 519, 8; $945,4$.
${ }^{5}$ Sim. R 21 ; Eb.
37, 17; 75, 14; 91,
16; Urk. iv. 115, 2 ;
1074, 3.
- P. Kah. 29, 42 ;

Eb. 97, 15 ; P. Pet.
1116 A, 91. 93.
${ }^{9}$ P. Pet.1116A, 27;
Paheri 3; Tarkhan i. 79, 44; Haremhab 23. Pt. 412.
${ }^{76}$ Sin. B 230, 259; Haremhab 23. See too $J E A .31,35, \mathrm{n}$. ec.
${ }^{7 c}$ P.Pet.1116A,93.
${ }^{8}$ Exx. Urk. i. 125 , 13; Weill, Decr. 9.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 501, 3 ; 776, 10.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 835, 14 ; Arm. 103, 5.
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 100, 10.
${ }^{4}$ Lac. 7'R.57,1 I. 13.
${ }^{5}$ Sm. 2, 22; 3, 13 ;
see $P S B A$. 40, 5 ; a rare use, p. 258, n. 14
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. 130 ; Eb. 105, 12 ; Sm. 2, 6.
${ }^{7}$ Bersh. i. 14, 3 ;
AZ. 69, 32, 22.
${ }^{8}$ BH. i. 25, 106-7.
${ }^{9}$ Pr. 2, 7.
${ }^{10}$ Pt. 177. 179.432.
${ }^{11}$ Sh. S. 155 ; Eb. 37, 1.
${ }_{112}$ Mill. 1, 9 .
${ }^{12}$ Adm. 6, 4.
${ }^{13}$ D. el B. (XI), i. 24 ; Urk. iv. 607, 12.
${ }^{14}$ Urk. iv. 768, 14 ; 966, 14.
${ }^{15}$ Louvre C 3, 16.
${ }^{16}$ Eb. 37, 9; 56, 3. $16 ; 78,4$.
${ }^{17}$ Pt. 260; Eb. 40, 8; Urk. iv. 664, 17.
${ }^{18} \mathrm{Pt} .431$.
${ }^{19}$ Lac. TR. 19, 4 ; Sin. B 186.
${ }^{20}$ Adm. 4, 5.
${ }^{21}$ Lirk. iv. 656, 4.
${ }^{22}$ Pt. 591; Westc. 8, 24.
${ }^{23}$ Peas. B1, 75-6;
Brit. Mas. 614, $_{4} 4$.
${ }^{24}$ Leb. 154
${ }_{25}$ Leb. 59; Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1}, 4$.
${ }^{26}$ Leb. 82. 131.
${ }^{27}$ P. Kah. 5, 36; Eb. 22, 3 .
${ }^{28}$ Peas. R 48; Hearst 2, 6 .
${ }^{29}$ Pt. 177 ; Adm. p.
98.
${ }^{30}$ Peas. B $1,25$.
302 Coffins, G I T 316.
${ }^{31}$ Sin: B 254 .
${ }^{32}$ Brit. Mus. 614, vert. 4; Urk. v. 63, 7 . ${ }^{324}$ Louvre C 174, qu. §375; Pol. § 65 a.
${ }^{33}$ P. Kah. 22, 8.
${ }^{34}$ P. Kah. 6, 14.
${ }^{35}$ Eb. 37, 17; 56, 9.
${ }^{36}$ Louvre C $12,17$.

[^88]${ }^{41} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{II}$.

There is also a series of adverbs connected with the simple prepositions, but derived from the adjectives of $\S 79$; probably all originally ended in $-w$ or $-i$ :

A im (from $m$ ) 'there', 'therein', 'thence', 'therewith'.
$m m,{ }^{1}$ var. ${ }^{2}$ 'therein', apparently a mere Dyn. XVIII variant of im .

"m $n y$, 'therefor', 'for (it) '. ${ }^{3}$
$\}_{-\infty}^{m m} h n r,{ }^{6}$ var. $\}=1{ }^{7}$ 'therewith', 'together with (them)'.


$\underbrace{\boxed{*}}{ }^{\text {"I }}$ hry 'having (it)', lit. 'under'. ${ }^{11 a}$
$B d r$ 'at an end '. ${ }^{12}$
Obs. Here doubtless belong iry, irw, and -imy found in special uses, § $113,2,3$.
2. Other adverbs correspond to the compound prepositions, many originating, like the latter, in the combination of a preposition with a noun. Only a few examples need be quoted: $m b_{3} h$ 'formerly', ${ }^{13}$ 'in front'; ${ }^{14}$ 县 $h r ~ h 3 t$
 © ${ }^{0} \mathrm{im}$ 'previously'. ${ }^{18}$
3. Any combination of preposition + noun constitutes an adverbial phrase, and has been so treated in dealing with the sentences with adverbial predicate (Lesson X). Some fixed and frequent expressions deserve special notice : ${ }^{\circ}$ $m$ min 'to-day' ${ }^{19}$ (beside simple $m^{i n}$, above under 1 ) ; ${ }^{2} \odot m$ sf'yesterday'; ${ }^{20}$



 is a mere arbitrary selection, which might perhaps have been bettered.
4. Adverbs derived from adjectival or verbal stems exhibit various forms. Rarely they show the ending -w, exx. fully' ${ }^{30}$ © 1 hnmw 'in friendly fashion'. ${ }^{31}$ Much more frequently there is

 common.
5. Adjectival adverbs are also formed with the help of the preposition $r$ :
 'vigorously'; ${ }^{39}$ - $r$ r3t 'greatly '. ${ }^{40}$
6. Reference was made in § 88 to the adverbial use of nouns. Some particularly common examples, besides the dates, are $2 \underline{d t}$ 'eternally'; $\infty$ rr nb 'every day'. So too whole phrases such as 合m $D_{0}$ " its beginning to its end '. ${ }^{11}$
§ 206. Syntax of adverbs.-Like adjectives, adverbs can be used either attributively or as predicates. Their use as predicates formed the theme of Lesson X. As attributes (or epithets) they may qualify a verb or an entire sentence:

Exx. 4 very greatly. ${ }^{1}$
 Alternatively, an adverb may qualify an adjective:
 very good was to see) more than anything. ${ }^{3}$

Or else it may qualify another adverb; this applies mainly to wrt 'very' as used in the first example of this section.

More remarkable is the employment of adverbs to qualify nouns, an employment found in a restricted number of common phrases and modes of expression; the phrases $b_{3} k \mathrm{im}$ 'this thy humble servant', lit. 'the servant there', $n b-r-d r$ 'lord of the universe', lit. 'lord to the end' ( $\$ 100,1$ ), and wor im $n b$ 'every one thereof', have already been discussed in connection with the prepositions (§ 158 ).

We reserve for the next Lesson such sentence-adverbs as smwn 'probably', 县 $k_{3}$ 'then', which are usually classified as conjunctions.
§ 207. Comparative and superlative.-The adverb, like the adjective ( $\$ 97$ ), shows no distinct forms for the degrees of comparison. The meaning of the English adverb 'more' is, as we have seen ( $\$ \$ 50 ; 163,7$ ), conveyed by the preposition $\triangle r$. So too after a verb:

Ex. formerly, lit. I made it great more than what-was-in-front. ${ }^{5}$

The tag after adjectives. ${ }^{6}$ Several examples of wrt' very' were quoted in the last section. The same meaning could be produced by a repetition of the adverb, indicated in the writing by the signs ${ }_{\| 1} s p s n$ 'two times', 'twice'; exx. Be m3r ms 'very

§ 208. Position of the adverb.-For the position of adverbial predicates in the sentence see above § 12 I .

The attributive adverb follows the particular word which it qualifies, see the examples in § 206. If, however, it qualifies a whole sentence it may precede this; for adverbs and adverbial phrases at the beginning of the sentence, either introduced by ir or without introductory word, see $\S \$ 148,5$; 149, 2.

[^89]B Urk. iv. 766, 6.
Sim. $767,15$.
${ }^{6}$ Bersh. i. 14, 4. 10; Louvre C 12, 13. 17 .
${ }^{9}$ AZ. 45, Pl. vi. 16. 17.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 40, 18; 4 $\mathrm{S}^{\text {, }}$ 11; 57, 4; with twofold $s p$ sn, $i 0.67,7$.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 149 . Sim.
il. 189; Peas. B 1 , 180; Adm. 5, 2 ; after in, Sin. Bi62.
${ }^{2}$ Leb. 104-5. Sim. Adm. 3, 7; 12, 5 .

[^90]We shall see that, of the sentence-adverbs to be studied in the next Lesson, some are regularly placed at the head of the sentence, while others cannot occupy this place, but come as near to the beginning as possible; the latter are called enclitics, see $\$ \$ 245-256$. The adverb ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \min$ 'to-day' shows a marked tendency to be used as an enclitic, though sometimes it is found farther on in the sentence.


§ 209. Negation of the adverb. $-4 \| n$ is ( $\$ 247,2$ ) occurs sometimes with the meaning ' but not' before an adverb or adverbial phrase.

Ex. ¢ 요 After another negative word, $n$ is must be translated 'except' or 'unless'. se.
 regards thy own due. ${ }^{4}$

It seems likely that $n n$ ' not' could be similarly employed, but no certain instances are forthcoming.

## ADVERB CLAUSES

§ 210. An adverb clause (§ 182) is any part of a sentence which, while having a subject and predicate of its own, functions as an adverb. In Egyptian, adverb clauses fall into two classes, of which the second subdivides into two.
i. Virtual adverb clauses. These have either no introductory particle or only such a one as might occur, like ist, in a main clause ; they have thus the appearance of complete sentences simply juxtaposed, without link, to the real main clause. There is a similar absolute use of nouns ( $\$ 88, \mathrm{I}$ ), so that those who wish may regard the virtual adverb clauses as noun clauses used absolutely as adverbs; see above § 193.
2. Prepositional adverb clauses. Just as an adverbial phrase may consist of preposition + noun ( $\$ 28,1$ ), so too an adverb clause may consist of preposition + noun clause. But noun clauses, as we have seen (§ 183), are either virtual, i. e. dispense with any special introductory particle, or else are introduced by a word for 'that'. Accordingly we obtain :

2 a. Prepositional adverb clauses without ntt. These consist of preposition + virtual noun clause, ex. 0 hr sdm.f 'because he hears'.

2b. Prepositional adverb clauses with ntt (or izwt). Ex. 900 $h r-n t t ~ s d m \cdot f$ ' because he hears', lit. ' because of that he hears'.

The three varieties of Egyptian adverb clause thus resulting from our two main classes will be discussed in turn.
§ 211. Difficulties in connection with virtual adverb clauses in Egyptian.-This topic was touched upon as far back as Lesson II (\$30), where it was learnt that the verbal sentence wbn rempt might, in certain contexts, correspond to English 'when (or if) the sun rises in the sky' or 'that the sun may (or might) rise in the sky', and that the non-verbal sentence $r e m p t$ might correspond to English 'when the sun is (or was) in the sky' or 'the sun being in the sky', etc. Such virtual adverb clauses play an important part in Egyptian, and our task in the next few sections will be to illustrate the range of English meanings covered by them. By way of preface, we must caution the student that there is here a serious risk of imputing to the Egyptian writers distinctions which are, in fact, due only to the analysis of our English translations. It must be remembered that in form the virtual adverb clauses are complete sentences, and that what they say is simply (e. g.) 'sun rises in sky' and 'sun in sky'. But we must take care not to run into the opposite error of maintaining that, because the Egyptians used one and the same form of words for (e.g.) 'the sun rises in the sky' and 'when the sun rises in the sky', therefore they did not feel that the first was a statement and the second a clause of time. Such a contention would be absurd ; broadly speaking, the Egyptian must have known as well as we do the difference between an assertion and a temporal qualification; often, however, he was content with leaving the matter to the discrimination of the listener, where we should be at pains to convey our precise intention. This being so, we are reduced to guessing at the meaning, and since we guess from the English standpoint and not (except to a very limited extent) from the Egyptian, we are apt to be mistaken, the more so, because an Egyptian virtual adverb clause may often be translated in several different ways without materially altering the sense of the passage as a whole.

Thus a difficulty in connection with virtual adverb clauses is that we frequently cannot be sure that they were not felt as independent sentences. We have only the precarious guidance of our English translations to help us to a decision, and the additional difficulty now presents itself that formally independent sentences in English are often virtually subordinate in meaning; exx. ' you enter the house (=if you enter), I leave it'; 'he had pinned his hopes on the meeting ( $=$ since he had), therefore he was bitterly disappointed'. As a general rule, when Egyptian statements which are ultimately subordinate in meaning are very long, they may be presumed to have been felt as separate sentences; examples will be found among the statements with $r f$ and ist $r f$ quoted in $\S \S 119,2 ; 152$. We cannot, however, be confident that the Egyptian feeling in such cases was identical with our own. To sum up, the boundary line between independent sentences and virtual adverb clauses is, both in English and Egyptian, a shifting and uncertain one.
§ 212. Virtual clauses of time, with verbal predicate.-In this common variety of adverb clause, the sd $d m \cdot f$ form refers to time identical with, and the sd$d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form to time anterior to, that of the main clause: a state of affairs more briefly expressed by saying that śdm.f has here relative present time, and śdm $\cdot n \cdot f$ relative past time.

Like the adverbs of which they are the equivalent, such virtual clauses of time may either follow or precede the main clause (§208). For the much rarer case when the clause of time occurs parenthetically between elements of the main clause, cf. the first example above in § 188, and see further below in §507, 6 .

The following examples with śd $\dot{d} \cdot f$ fillustrate the cases where the main verb is past, present, and future and where the adverb clause precedes or follows the main clause.

${ }^{1}$ Peas. R41-2. Sim. Urk. iv. 837, 13.

[^91]B Sh. S. 14-5.
${ }^{6}$ Mill. 1, 5. Sim. Hamm. 191, 8; Sh.S. 153, qu. § 188.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. rogo.

- T. Carn. 14. shty $p n$ then said this Djeḥutnakht, when he saw the asses of this peasant. ${ }^{1}$
 night came, he who spent the night upon the road gave me praise. ${ }^{2}$
 attacked, desisting when the enemy (lit. one) desists. ${ }^{3}$
 praise is given to thee. ${ }^{4}$
 thou art addressed. ${ }^{5}$
 for thyself thine own heart. ${ }^{6}$

Examples with $s d n \cdot n \cdot f$ have been quoted earlier ( $\$ 67$, end), but two will be added here by way of contrast to the above, and in order to illustrate the position either before or after the main clause.
 thou shalt punish him after thou hast caused him to hear that on account of which thou punishest him. ${ }^{7}$

ห० - I was upon him as though it were a falcon. ${ }^{8}$

The adverb clause may be reinforced, and its meaning made more apparent, by some particle or, to be more precise, sentence-adverb. Thus the enclitic $\approx$ rf may serve, as we have seen ( $\$ 152$ ), to point forward to a main clause, so that the clause which it accompanies is best translated with 'now when'.

## VIRTUAL ADVERB CLAUSES

So too \ीص ist 'lo' (§§ II 1,$2 ; 231$ ) may accompany a clause of time. ${ }^{1}$
Exx. They were plundering Megiddo at this moment, $\left\|_{0}\right\|_{0}$
 drawn (up into the city). ${ }^{2}$
 sdiwtyw(?) ....... $\mathrm{hr}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{m} 33}$ sts.i when I sailed down (to do homage) ..... the treasurers . . . . . . . . witnessed my introduction. ${ }^{3}$

Tuthmosis III made as his monument to Amūn.... the erecting of his
 ist gm.n hm.f wis $r$ wss after His Majesty (lit. lo, His Majesty) had found (it) gone to ruin. ${ }^{4}$
 now when the king had completed his period.......... he flew to heaven. ${ }^{5}$

So too $f t$ ( $\$ \mathbf{1 1 9}, 4 ; 243$ ) occasionally in Dyn. XVIII.
 $n f r w \cdot s$ when he receives its good things. ${ }^{6}$
 when His Majesty had taken his heritage, he reposed upon the throne of Horus. ${ }^{7}$

Obs. Virtual clauses of time may be negatived by $t m \cdot f$, the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the negative verb, see below § 347, 3 .
§ 213. Virtual clauses of circumstance with verbal predicate.Sometimes a $s d d m \cdot f$, or more rarely a $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, similar to those dealt with in the last section cannot be translated as a clause of time, but serves rather to express an attendant circumstance. In such a case English often uses a participle, particularly after verbs of seeing, finding and the like.
 boundary, going further south than (lit. I out-fronted) my fathers. ${ }^{8}$
 when he is seen charging (lit. he charges) the Ropedjetiu. ${ }^{9}$
 (the hardness) going and coming under thy fingers. ${ }^{10}$
 $35 n$ rnpt wsh $h r g s \cdot f$ after His Majesty found this obelisk having (lit. it had) completed thirty-five years lying on its side. ${ }^{11}$

Sometimes a $s d m \cdot f$ form alternates with the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ of narrative to express concomitant facts of a descriptive nature; these, though strictly subordinate, may have to be translated as English main sentences.
${ }^{1}$ See Rec. 19, 187, where many examples are quoted.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 658.
${ }^{3}$ Munich 3, $15-6$.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 882.Sim. ib. 197, 17; 818, 3; 834, 14.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 895-6.
${ }^{6}$ Th. T.S.i. p. 40. Sim. Urk. iv. 836, 9; 1163, 3. To be translated as an independent sentence, Sin. $\mathrm{R}_{15}$, qu. $\mathbf{\varsigma}^{66}$, end; Urk. iv. 270, 12.
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. 83.

8 Berl. AII. i. p. 257, 4. Sim. Sin. B 45-6; Peas. B 2, 117; Herdsman 24; Cairo 20712, a 8; T. Carm. 14-5; Urk. iv. 863, 8; Arm. 103, 4. ${ }^{9} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{52-3}$. ${ }^{10}$ Eb. 40, I. Sim. Urk.iv. 9, 11-2; Urk. v. 16I, 14-6; 162, 6-9.
${ }^{11}$ Marucchi, Gli Obelischi i, left. Sim. Eb. 107, 17 ; Sm. 10, 13 .
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 2-3. Sim. Urk. iv. 102, 3-7; $835,1-5 ; 1078,12-3$ (collated).
${ }^{2}$ See § 118 , 2, p. 94, n. 7 ; also $\S 454$, I.

Ex. I heard (sdm $m \cdot n \cdot i)$ his voice as he was speaking, being near at hand;
 wide. ${ }^{1}$

Later on ( $\$ 314$ ), we shall find that the verb-form known as the old perfective is very commonly employed in virtual clauses of circumstance, particularly ( $\$ 315$ ) after the verbs of seeing and finding above mentioned. In that case, however, the circumstance is more of the nature of a state or condition, whereas with the $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form it involves an action.

Obs. Virtual clauses of concession are so rare as not to require separate treatment here; in any case they would not differ in appearance from virtual clauses of circumstance ; see the first ex. in $\S 507,6$.
§ 214. Virtual clauses of time and circumstance with non-verbal predicate.-Clauses of time and circumstance are so closely akin, that it would be neither easy nor desirable to distinguish between them again here. The topic has been dealt with incidentally in Lessons X, XI and XII, where references will be found.

To sum up what has been previously stated, when the predicate is adverbial, the subject may be introduced in various ways. The following table will recall the details.

Nominal subject
noun alone, frequent ( $\$ 117$, I)
$i z w+$ noun, only in marked contrasts ( $\$ 117,1$ )
[wn + noun]
$i s t, i s t, s t$, or $s k+$ noun ( $\S$ I19, 2. 3)
$t i+$ noun, seldom, and only after Dyn.
XVIII (§II9, 4)
$n n '$ not' + noun ( $\S$ I 20 )

Pronominal subject
[pronoun cannot stand alone, § 117,2 ] $i z u+$ suffix, very common (§ 117,2 )
zun + suffix, past time, rare ${ }^{2}$
$s t$, , ist, ist, or $s k+$ dep. pron. (§ $119,2.3$ )
$t i+$ dep. pron., not common until Dyn. XVIII (§119, 4)
$n n$ ' not' + dep. pron. (§ $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ )

Obs. For an important development of these constructions, in which their form is employed to introduce the equivalent of English verbal predicates, see below Lesson XXIII.

When the predicate of a virtual clause of time or circumstance is nominal, it is not usual to employ any of the specifically nominal constructions of Lesson XI. Recourse is had to the $m$ of predication, and the model of the sentence with adverbial predicate is followed. Examples in §§ 117,$2 ; 119,2$.

When the predicate is adjectival, the adjective itself is but rarely used; see however an example after $i w, \S 142$. As a rule, the adjective-verb (§ 135 ) is substituted, the construction subject + old perfective being employed. Examples below in § 322 .

Theoretically, there is nothing to prevent any of these clauses from preceding the main clause in anticipatory emphasis ( $\$ 146$ foll.). In general, however, they will be found to follow ; only when the subject is introduced by ist is the position before the main clause at all common.
§ 215. Virtual clauses of circumstance used as predicate.-We must here mention some rare but interesting examples where a virtual clause of circumstance is used as an adverbial predicate after $i z v$ or $w n n($ cf. $\S \S 117.118$ ).

Exx. Seek out for thyself all beneficent deeds on $r$ wnt shr.k mu izv im.f until thy conduct is void of wrongdoing, lit. there is no wrongdoing in it. ${ }^{1}$

If I see a bull lit. which is its-eyes-streaming. ${ }^{2}$

The last quotation exemplifies the construction noun + old perfective to be discussed in Lesson XXIII. In certain other examples, it is also possible to interpret the noun as a virtual genitive in anticipatory emphasis according to $\$ 148,3$.
 father Amen-Rē is content of heart (lit. is his-heart-being-content) at what thou hast done for him. ${ }^{3}$ Or else: thy father Amen-Rē, his heart is content.
 Majesty was downcast concerning it. ${ }^{4}$ Lit. either 'His Majesty was his-heart-being-fallen-into-evil', or else ' His Majesty, his heart was fallen, etc.'

Obs. Not improbably such compound tenses as $i z v s d m \cdot f$, izw s sd $d n \cdot u \cdot f$ should be explained under this head ; see below $\$ 46$ I.
§ 216. Virtual clauses of condition.-These are closely allied in meaning to clauses of time, and it often happens that a subordinate s $\dot{d} d m \cdot f$ form may be translated indifferently 'if', 'when', or 'whenever'.
 is breathed if (or when or whenever) thou sayest. ${ }^{5}$

Cases occur, however, where 'if' is more appropriate in the English rendering.
 $d r p n \cdot i$ if ye love life and hate death, ye shall offer to me. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ However, for 'if' we might substitute 'as', see below \$218.
 of this N ( = an Eg. personal name) fall to the ground, Nut will fall to the ground. ${ }^{7}$

The Egyptians showed great liking for the form of sentence exemplified in the last quotation, where the repetition of the same verb-form suggests the
${ }^{1}$ Pt. 87 . Sim. Rec.
4, 131, 4; Urk. iv.
501, io, qu. § 396 , I .
${ }^{2}$ P; Kah. 7, 36.
Sim. $C^{\prime} r$ k. iv. 1166, I2.

[^92]- Westc. 9, 12.
${ }^{5}$ Sin. B 234. Sim. Pt. 349 (zur), qu. § 454, ir; Crrk. iv. $123,4^{2}$ (ir.tn). With $i f$-clause first, eas. $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{1}$, 257 .
- Cairo 20003. Sim. ib. 20043, h2; 20141, $a 3$.
${ }^{7}$ Quib.Saqq. 19067, p. 32. Sim. Lac. TR. 4, 33-40; 5, 3-5; Urk. iv. 479, 6-17; 1057, 3.
${ }^{1}$ Budge, p. 264, 3-4.

See, however, Rhind 28, beginning; and with the repetition just noted, Peas. BI, 120.
${ }^{3}$ Sh.S. 28-30. Sim. Lac. TR. 2, 3-6.
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 2000I.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 232-3 . \operatorname{Sim}$. with $m k$, Peas. B I, 313-4; with $s k, E b$. 39, 18-9.
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah. 7, 51-3.
${ }^{7}$ See in general J. A. Wilson in JNES. 7, 129. The oaths with $\mathrm{r} n h$, see $i b$. 132; Wb. i. 202.
${ }^{8}$ Sebekkhu ${ }_{4-5}$. Sim. Anthes, no. 49, 4; somewhat differently, ib. no. 22, 19; Berl. AI. i. p. 258; 16; with $n$.tn (2nd pers. plur.), Siut 3,1 .
${ }^{9} A Z .43,30.35$. 37. 39. Sim. in simple asseveration, Urk. iv. 38, 10 ; 488, 17 .
correspondence and interdependence of the two clauses. Compare in § 107 a common type of example with wnn, where the most suitable rendering is 'so long as heaven shall exist, so long shalt thou exist'.

Lastly, note the use of $-4 \| n$ is ( $\$ \delta 209 ; 247,2$ ) to express the meaning 'if not. . .', 'unless'.

Ex. $h r \cdot n$, in $b n s z e n$ sbs $p n, n$ is $\underline{d} d \cdot n \cdot k r n \cdot n$ we will not allow thee to pass by us, say the posts of this door, unless thou hast told us our name. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. With adverbial predicate, virtual clauses of condition are extremely rare ; ${ }^{2}$ the prepositional type with $i r$ is here preferred, as also when the clause of condition has to contain a nominal or adjectival predicate, see above $\S 150$. Virtual clauses of condition were possibly negated by $t m$, see $\S 347,3$.
§ 217. 'Whether . . . . . or whether' clauses.-A repetition of words was also the regular Egyptian method of expressing alternative conditions; compare French soit .....soit and the repetition of words usual in Egyptian co-ordination and disjunction ( $\$ 9 \mathrm{r}$ ).
 $i b \cdot s n r$ miw looked they at sky or looked they at earth, their hearts were stouter than (those of) lions. ${ }^{3}$

We may note two examples where the repeated element is not a $s d m \cdot f$ form.
 $n$ iw ht im (whether) I served a great lord (or whether) I served a little lord, no cause of complaint arose, lit. nothing came therein. ${ }^{4}$
 $t n, n t k$ is hbs sht tn whether (lit. behold, $\S 234$ ) I am at the Residence, or whether (lit. behold) I am in this place, it is thou who canst hide this horizon. ${ }^{5}$

Obs. The alternatives suggested by repetition are not necessarily subordinate clauses; in one passage, at least, they seem to express the meaning of main clauses presenting alternatives, cf. iw.f $m w t \cdot f \underline{h} r \cdot s, i w \cdot f f(n h \cdot f h r \cdot s$ ' he either dies or lives from it ', lit. ' he dies under it, he lives under it ' ${ }^{6}$
§ 218. Virtual clauses of asseveration.7-Under this separate head must be placed certain formulae used in oaths and adjurations.
 Sesostris lives for me, I have spoken in truth. ${ }^{8}$
 Prince endures. ${ }^{9}$ This is the usual legal oath from Dyn. XVIII on.

Clauses of this kind contain implications both of comparison and of condition.
Such clauses as $m r \cdot \underline{t} n\ulcorner n h, m s d \cdot \underline{t} n h p t$ quoted in $\$ 216$ are perhaps to be understood in the same way.

The usual oath sworn by the king in Dyns．XVIII－XIX was as follows：
 lives for me and loves me，and as my father Amūn praises me．${ }^{1}$

That it is a mistake to render＇as［I］live for myself＇ 1 la is indicated by the absence of any such variant as＊千遈．Grammatically，there is no objection to $R \mathrm{r}$ as subject of two sidm•f forms，see $\S 488$ ，and the sense thus obtained is con－ firmed by Hathor＇s once addressing the sun－god with the words $f$－- 啇＇as thou
 it is clearly for lack of a superior being to invoke．However，one badly written ex．of the royal oath shows $f$ f＇as I live＇，${ }^{2}$ and an official of Dyn．XII once uses
 even as a verb＇to swear＇．${ }^{\text {© }}$
§ 219．Virtual clauses of purpose．－The use of sdm．f to express purpose（above $\S 40$, I）seems to be an extension of its use to express an attendant circumstance；quite unambiguous cases are rare．
 Q ${ }^{8} h \cdot b \cdot i n \cdot k s w h r \cdot s$ that I may send him to thee concerning it．${ }^{6}$

Anlom $x^{\prime}$ it $h m \cdot f d r \cdot f$ isft when His Majesty came that he might repress wrongdoing．${ }^{7}$
 answer（or，answering）what he had said．${ }^{8}$

It is often difficult or impossible to distinguish clauses of purpose from the $s d m \cdot f$ in wishes and exhortations，for which see above $\S 40,2$ ；on this difficulty see § 337 ．

When the predicate in clauses of purpose is adverbial，wn•f is employed，see § 118,2 ；so too with the $m$ of predication，when the predicate is nominal．With adjectival predicate，the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the adjective－verb is used；an example was given in § $1433^{9}$

Obs．The verb in a virtual clause of purpose may be negatived by the help of the negative verb $t m$ ，see below $\$ 347,4$ ．
§ 220．Virtual clauses of result．－It is sometimes necessary to translate sddm．f with a clause introduced by＇so that＇，＇that＇．
 not，forsooth，a confederate of his，that I should strut in his enclosure．${ }^{10}$

Obs．We shall see that $1 / \boldsymbol{h}+\boldsymbol{s} d m \cdot f$ may often be well rendered in English by＇so that he may hear＇$(\$ 228)$ ；moreover，the sidm．in．fform was used to express results （§ 429）．From the Egyptian point of view，however，both these methods of expressing consequences were undoubtedly main，not subordinate，clauses．
${ }^{6}$ Peas．B I，38－9．
${ }^{7}$ BH．i． $25,36$. Sim．ib．25，5；Cairo 20056，c；Mill．1，2－ 3 ；Urk．iv．807，5－6．
${ }^{8}$ Leb． 4.

> Old examples, Pyr. $618 a .1558 c$.
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{Br}_{14-5}$ ．Sim． ib．183－4；255－6；Peas． BI，49；Urk．iv．109I，5．
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 32. Sim. i6. B ro7; Ikhern. 9 .
${ }^{2}$ Meir i. 5 ; Siut I , 289; P. Kah. 28, 41.
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 11, 19.
' Lac. TR. 33, 3 ;
§ 221. Virtual clauses of cause.-In these clauses the $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is apt to be used, since the act assigned as cause is as a rule anterior to the action expressed in the main clause. Examples are uncommon.
 discerned (or, because he knew) my character. ${ }^{1}$
§ 222. Prepositional adverb clauses without ntt.-Turning now to this second class of Egyptian adverb clauses (see $\S 210,2 a$ ), we find that little remains to be said about them, since they have been discussed in detail in connection with the prepositions (§§ 154-7; 162-18I). We may, however, classify them according to the various meanings which they express.
I. clauses of time. With $m$ 'when'; $r$ 'until'; left 'when'; $d r$ 'since'; $m$ - $h t$ 'after'; $r-s s^{\prime}$ 'after'; tp-r'before'; $r-t n z-s p$ 'every time that'.
2. clauses of condition. With ir 'if'. Cf. too with $m$ or $m i$ 'according as'.
3. clauses of asseveration. With $m$ or $m i$ 'according as'.
4. clauses of concession. With $m$ 'though '.
5. clauses of purpose. With $n$-mirwt (rarely $n-i b-n$ ) ' in order that'.
6. clauses of result. With $r$ 'so that'.
7. clauses of cause. With $n$ 'because'; $h r$ 'because'; $n$-ikr-( $n$ ) 'by virtue of the fact that'; $n$-(or $m$-) $r s t-n(t)$ 'inasmuch as'; $n-w r-n$ 'inasmuch as'.
8. clauses of comparison. With $r$ 'than'; $r$ 'according as'; hft 'according as'; $m i$ 'as when'; $m i$ ' according as'.
9. clauses of co-ordination. With hur 'and'.
10. clauses of exception. With wpw-hr 'but'.

For the position of such prepositional adverb clauses see above § 159 . To negate the verb in them use is made of the negative verb $t m$, see below $\$ 347,5 ; 408$.
§ 223. Prepositional adverb clauses with "-o ntt 'that'.-In this third type of adverb clause ( $\$ 210,2 b$ ), which always follows the main clause, a preposition is again used as introductory word, but the noun clause governed by the preposition is ushered in by ${ }_{\circ 0 \mathrm{on}} n t t$ 'that' (see § 187). Whereas the prepositional adverb clause without ntt is essentially verbal (except in the instances quoted at the end of § I 54), that with $n t t$ uses various types of sentences, verbal no less than non-verbal.

The prepositional phrases thus employed are $\hat{C D}_{00}^{\sim} h r-n t t$ 'forasmuch as',
 'in view of the fact that', ${ }^{3}$ and $-m m_{\infty}^{m} n-n t t^{4}$ 'because', perhaps also written
defectively ${ }_{00} n t t .^{1}$ The clauses introduced by these all come under the head of clauses of cause. The common $-r-n t t$ seems likewise often to usher in a reason, when it may be translated 'inasmuch as', 'seeing that'; ${ }^{2}$ but it has also another use to be discussed later ( $\$ 225$ ).

Non-verbal examples:
 dr-ntt rn n Rr m lit nt $W$ sir $N$ since the name of Res is in the body of the Osiris N. ${ }^{3}$
 lirzuy since he who desists after attack is a strengthener of the enemy's heart. ${ }^{4}$
 I am the son of a priest like any one of you. ${ }^{5}$
 heavier than I. ${ }^{6}$

Verbal (and pseudo-verbal, $\S 329$ ) examples:

 the old perfective here, see below $\$ 329$.
 $r$-ntt iwetw $r \underline{t h n} r$ chs hne hr pf hlsy $m$ dww seeing that it is intended to engage issue (lit. one is going to join to fight) with that vile enemy to-morrow. ${ }^{9}$
 make for me the bread and beer. ${ }^{10}$

The lastexample buttwo shows that, if the construction requiresit, the dependent pronoun ist sing. may be placed after $n t t$. So too 2 nd sing. m. $t w,{ }^{102} 3$ rd sing. m. $s w,{ }^{11}$ f. $s(y) .^{12}$ In MSS. of Dyn. XVIII onward, particularly of the Book of the Dead, such writings as $n=n t y-s w$ are not rare, ${ }^{13}$ and lend colour to the view that the pronominal compound 通 7 w. $(\$ 124$ ) originated in this construction; twtw is, indeed, found after $h r-n t t .{ }^{14}$

However, just as $n t k$ and $n t f$ have been seen to occur in the phrase $b w n t k$ ( $n t f$ ) im, in place of nty $t w, n t y s w$ ( $\$ 200$, end), so too after $n t t$ the suffixes $2 n d$ and 3 rd sing. m . are preferred to the dependent pronouns.

Exx. © these. ${ }^{15}$
 the old perfective, see below $\$ 329$, end.

An obscure instance of $h r$-izwtt 'because.... quoted, ${ }^{17}$ where iwett (from iwty $\S 202$ ) seems to be the negative counterpart of $n t t .^{18}$
${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 76$.

2 Urk. iv. 656, 3, qu. below ; 660, 7.8 ; 751, 15; Budge, p. 2+4, 3 ; 308, 13.
s Budge, p. $281,7$.
Sim. with hr-ntt, Lac. $T R .23$, 21.
4 Berl. AI. i. p. 257, 9 .
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1, 288. Sim. Peas. B 1, 62 ; Leyd. V 3, 6; Budge, p. ${ }^{1}$ I, 12.
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah. 3, 33. Sim. ib. 28, $21 ; 29,12$.
${ }^{1}$ Ikhern 5. Sim. ib. 6; Budge, p. 308 : 14. With hr-ntt, Lac. TR.23,13(negatived). 25; Siut 1, 282. 296. 301.
${ }^{8}$ P. Kah. 11, 19. With hr-ntt, Lac. $7 \%$. 23, 17 ;BUDGE, p. 24,3 .
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 656.
${ }^{10}$ Siut 1, 323; sim. ib. 316. 'I $n+$ noun + participle ( $\$ 227,3$ ) see P. Kah. 35, 17 ; indep. pron. + participle ( $\$ 227,3$ ), see P. Kal . 29, 39.
${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Pt} .53 .54$.
${ }^{11} \mathrm{NAV}{ }_{17}, 7 \mathrm{I}^{\circ}(L a)$;
after $r$-ntt, Urk. iv.
649, 11; 751, 15 .
${ }_{12} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 76$.
${ }^{13} A Z .30,17$.
${ }^{14}$ Urk.iv. 656, 5 .
${ }^{16}$ Budge, f. 280, $8=$ Lac. Sarc. i. p. 213; sim. ib. ii. p. 114.
${ }^{16} A Z Z .19,18$.
${ }^{17}$ Siut 3, 11 .
${ }^{18}$ So too earlier izut in $n$-izut'because not', see $A Z .50,110$.

## VOCABULARY


个 1 ，wo d command．

 bequeath．

48 焐 $i t$ ox．

 （collective）．
$\square P r-r_{3}$ the Great House or palace ； Pharaoh（see above p．75）．
 iry $n f r$－hst keeper of the da－ dem（？）
 husband．
\＆ס O ，en w chattels，belongings， lit．vessels．
 TIT hat hart，widow．
 man．
$\|^{\infty}$ 厄 $s f$ yesterday；$m$ sf yesterday， adverb．
0．4
［fftissp image，idol．
噜鼠 msw follower，attendant．
县 $1 \frac{\circ}{\Delta}$ quickly．
m $t n$ where？whence？
且 ${ }^{\text {II }}$ hr down，lower part；$m$ how downcast．

## EXERCISE XVII

（a）Reading lesson；from a funerary stela of Dy．XII：${ }^{1}$





hwwwt．f ${ }^{3}$ nt $n$－s wabbit： luzon nszo $m \subset n b \cdot \underline{t} n$ ，${ }^{4}$ $m n n \cdot \underline{t} n$ m nw $n n t r w \cdot \underline{t} n ~ n i w t y w$,

[^93]
$w n n \cdot \underline{t} n \underline{h} r \underline{h s w t} n t i t y \cdot \underline{t} n$, swd.tn $\mathrm{isw} t \cdot \underline{t} n \quad n \underline{h r d w} \cdot \underline{t} n$, wnn msw tn mn (§ 326) hr nswt tn $m$ iswt.tn $n t d t$ 。 $n n h k r \cdot t n$, $n n i b i \cdot t n$. iw wd.n ntr is wnn $\underline{t n}$ tp ts $\underline{h} r$ hswt.f; $n n s n r \cdot t w \cdot \underline{t} n m$ st ksnt, $\underline{h} r$ hswt nt ntrwetn niwtyw, $\underline{d} d \cdot \pm n:{ }^{1} h t p d i n s w{ }^{2} W \operatorname{sir} n b 3 b d w$, $n t r{ }^{\circ} ;$ Wnn-nfr, ${ }^{3}$
hs $m t$ hankt ksw $\quad$ pdw, prt-brw ${ }^{4} m h b n b,{ }^{\circ}$ $n$ ks $n$ iry nfr-hst smsw Pr-fs $N b(\cdot i)$-pw-Snwsrt, ir n (§ 361) 'Its.

1 The promises are clearly all dependent on the condition that the priests shall recite the funerary formula.
${ }^{2}$ See below pp. 170-2. ${ }^{3}$ A name of Osiris, in Greek Onnophris, probably meaning 'he who is happy'.
4 See below p. 172. $\quad$ The sign $\square$ serves also as det. ( $=\varnothing$ ) of $h b$ preceding, see § 62 A (Add.)
' The keeper of the diadem (?) and attendant of the Great House Nebipusenwosret. He says to the priesthood of the temple of Abydus, and (of) its chapels of the king of Upper and Lower Egypt:-The king shall be rejuvenated in your (?) life, the monuments of your city gods shall stand firm for you, ye shall be in (lit. under) the favour of your sovereign, ye shall hand on your offices to your children, and your offspring shall be established upon your seats in your offices of eternity; ye shall not hunger, ye shall not thirst, nay the great god has commanded that ye be on earth in his favour; ye shall not be repelled in (any) difficult place, (being) in the favour of your city gods; (according as) ye shall say: An offering which the king gives (to) Osiris, lord of Abydus, (even) the great god Onnophris; a thousand of bread, beer, oxen and fowl, invocation-offerings at every feast, to the spirit of the keeper of the diadem (?) and attendant of the Great House, Nebipusenwosret, son of Ita.'
(b) Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration:
(I) Now when he had heard this, he went forth very quickly to the door ( $s b_{3}$ ) of the temple, and sat down beside the door-keepers who were there. And one of (lit. in) them said to him: ${ }^{2}$ Whence 'hast-thou-come? And he was silent, his face downcast, and he answered them not. (2) It shall be well with you, (if) ye do the like. (3) As my father lives for me, I speak in truth. (4) Would I had (some) potent ( mnh ) idol, that I might steal the belongings of
this peasant by means of it．（5）He loved me，（because）he knew my arms were vigorous．（6）She is more beautiful than she was yesterday．（7）I was a possessor of favour upon the earth，forasmuch as I was a father of the orphan and a husband of the widow．
（c）Translate into hieroglyphs in several different ways：
（I）His Majesty honoured him when he was a child．（2）I knew that she was a goddess because she had said these words．（3）When he had arrived at the city，he found no one．

## EXCURSUS B

## The Formula of Offering employed in the Funerary Cult．

Throughout the period covered by this book，the presentation of food－ offerings，whether real or fictitious，and alike in temple and in tomb，was called毒是 $\Delta$ irt htp－di－nsw＇performing（the rite named）hotp－di－nesu＇，or＇a－boon－ which－the－king－gives＇．The offerer，who is in theory Horus，the son and heir of the dead Osiris，stands with arm upraised $(-\neq)$ in the attitude of invocation（his gesture is that of $-\|^{\prime} \mid \sim n i s$＇calling＇or＇invoking＇）before the shrine，statue，or stela of the god or deceased parent，and pronounces the hotp－di－nesu formula； there was deemed to be little difference in the efficacy of this，whether actual offerings were present or whether they were only imagined or desired．

We will here quote one short，but typical，example of the hotp－di－nesu formula，as inscribed on innumerable stelae and other funerary monuments：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ○ロ辺 } \\
& \text { 프䖲 } 14 \text { 行를 }
\end{aligned}
$$

1 A town in the centre of the Delta．

[^94][^95]＇A boon which the king gives（to）Osiris，lord of Busiris，${ }^{1}$ the great god， lord of Abydus，that he may give invocation－offerings consisting of bread and beer，oxen and fowl，alabaster and clothing，all things good and pure on which a god lives，to the spirit of the revered Senwosret，justified．＇${ }^{2}$

The phrase $\ddagger{ }^{\circ} \Delta t p d i n s w$ is one of very ancient date；${ }^{3}$ in spite of a slight doubt as to whether $d i$ is the verb－form which we shall come to know as the relative form（§382），as well as some uncertainty as to the precise meaning of $h t p$ ，the phrase may with approximate accuracy be rendered＇a boon which the king gives＇．In the Old Kingdom this phrase is frequently employed in reference to favours of various kinds bestowed upon his subjects by the king；
among such boons we find clothing，coffins，a sacrificial ox，or again even the rank and title of prince．The food－offerings made by the living Pharaoh in the pyramid－temple of his deceased father or predecessor were likewise known as $\ddagger$ ：htp nsw＇a boon of the king＇．In fact，it would seem as though all funerary gifts and privileges were in a certain sense boons given by the king， though certain deities like Anubis，the god of embalmment，Osiris，at once the dead king and king of the dead，or Geb，the earth－god，were also desired or recognized as givers of like benefits．Hence in the Old Kingdom we find on
almost every funerary false door or lintel some such formula as the following：${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 阵的昌 (D) pr } n \cdot f b r w^{2} m h b n b^{3} r e n b \text {, } \\
& \text { 號謂 Pth-spss. }
\end{aligned}
$$

＇A boon which the king gives，and a boon（which）Anubis，in front of the divine booth，he who is upon his mountain，（gives）：（namely）that there may be （made）invocation－offerings for him at every festival and every day；Ptahshepses．＇

There are many variants，and in place of the food－offerings here aspired to we frequently find reference to such benefits as a goodly burial in the West，or power to walk＇on the roads upon which the revered ones walk＇．The point to be observed，however，is that in the Old Kingdom the king and whatever god is named are mentioned in parallelism with one another as givers of the boon or boons bestowed；the phrase $h t p d i n s w$ is followed by the co－ordinated phrase $h t p d i$＇Inpw（ $W$ sir，Gb）＇a boon which Anubis（or Osiris，or Geb）gives＇，though for
 example quoted，or even $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ alone．

That the hotp－di－nesu formula found in Middle Egyptian is the direct outcome of the Old Kingdom formula discussed above is quite apparent；but it is equally apparent that in the later period it had undergone re－interpretation． A series of variants shows that the divine name which follows the phrase $h t p d i$ $n s w$ was now understood as a dative，though it is only at a far later period that the preposition $n$ was inserted．The best proof of this re－interpretation is the fact that，if one god is named after the phrase $h t p d i n s w$ ，the following clause of purpose has di．f＇that he may give＇（see the example which served as our starting－point）with a singular suffix－pronoun，whereas if several gods are named we find $-\prod_{111}^{-m} d i \cdot s n$＇that they may give＇；had the king and the god（or gods） been still regarded as collateral givers of the funerary benefits，the verb $d i \cdot s n$ with plural suffix would have been found in all cases．

Thus，in the Middle Kingdom and later，the idea underlying the hotp－di－nesu formula is that the king gives，or has given，or is to give，an offering to some god in his temple，in order that the latter in turn may give offerings to a private
${ }^{1}$ Saqq．Mast． 28.

[^96]${ }^{1}$ See above，p．70， n．2．The technical term in O．K．for this ＇diversion＇of offer－ ingswas $w d$ d $b$＇change＇， see $J E A .24,86 ;{ }^{25}$ ， 215.

[^97]${ }^{5}$ Pyr． 745 ；Sak－ kârah，tomb of Mere－ ruka．
－Pyr． 1332 ；sim． without $\S$ and $s$ ，Saqq． Mast．i． 23 ；Berl．AT． i．p． 99.
${ }^{7}$ Ex．Saqq．Mast． i． 28.
${ }^{3} S_{s}$ and mnht pho－ netically，Turin ${ }^{1447}$ ．
${ }^{9}$ Exx．Budge，p． 150，16；261，4；366， 7．The last two signs determine the entire phrase，see $\S 6 \mathrm{r}$ ．
10 Elsewhere＇at the voice＇is $h r$ hrw，exx． Pyr．796；Louvre C．74．However，late exx．show $r$ hrw with the same meaning，see Wb．I， $\mathbf{5}^{28}$ ， 11 ；Rec． 7， 119 ．
${ }^{11}$ Bibliography for the ka，see Th．T．S． i．99；add $A Z .49$ ， 126 ．
${ }^{12}$ Cf．Ir．n nb tswy $k_{3} \cdot f$＇one whose fortune the lord of the two lands made＇，$U, k$ ．iv． 486， 3 ；sim．Bersh．ii． 21,15 ．
individual in his tomb or wherever a memorial of that individual has been dedicated．The view thus indicated of the source of private funerary offerings corresponds to the actual practice of the Twelfth Dynasty and later，since of the vast quantities of food accruing to the temples only a small portion was consumed by the priests，the rest being distributed by contract or otherwise to the persons in charge of private funerary cults；${ }^{1}$ such persons，if not the sons or immediate relatives of the priests，were known as $山_{1}$ 重，$h m w-k_{3}$＇soul－priests＇，lit． ＇servants of the $k a$＇（see below）．In Middle Egyptian $\neq \& \Delta$ may be rendered ＇an offering which the king gives＇，since the boon therein contemplated was always food－offerings．Many more divine names are used than in the Old Kingdom，when only a few funerary and chthonic deities were regarded as givers of boons in company with the king．

The difficult expression $0_{0} 0_{0}$ obviously had $\square \wedge \theta$ pr hrw＇the voice goes forth＇as its starting－point，these words referring to the hotp－di－nesu formula accompanying the presentation．The actual offerings were，however，so closely associated with the expression that this often received the determinative ${ }_{\square}^{\theta \sigma}$ and practically acquired the meaning＇make an offering＇．Throughout the Old Kingdom pr was treated grammatically as a transitive verb with brw as object， whether or not the whole was consciously felt to mean＇send forth the voice＇with evocative magical intent．${ }^{2}$ Side by side with this verbal use was the compound noun战 $p r t$－hrw of which the first element was the infinitive prt＇a going＇or＇sending＇ forth（§298）．${ }^{2 a}$ Both verbal and nominal uses are perhaps best paraphrased with the help of the term＇invocation－offerings＇，as in our translations above．After O．K． the writing to di．f prt－hrw $m t m$ hnktt＇that he may give an invocation－offering consisting of bread and of beer＇．Various other species of offering then follow in abbreviated
 written $\underset{\sim}{\gamma}$ or $\underline{\gamma}$ ，has the early variants $\gamma \ddot{j}^{5}$ and $\|^{\gamma} \gamma^{6}$ and so must mean＇alabaster＇， doubtless in allusion to the seven alabaster oil－jars ${ }^{7}$ deemed indispensable to the

 is not clear whether this referred to the emergence of the offerings themselves or to the coming forth of the deceased from his burial chamber at the call of the offerer．${ }^{10}$

In Middle Egyptian the funerary oblation is said to be made $m \sum_{1}=m n k n$ ＇to the $k a$ of＇the deceased．In this context the word $k$ ，if translated at all，is best translated＇spirit＇．${ }^{11}$ The term appears to embrace the entire＇self＇of a person regarded as an entity to some extent separable from that person． Modern concepts to which that of the $k a$ occasionally corresponds are＇personality＇， ＇soul＇，＇individuality＇，＇temperament＇；the word may even mean a man＇s＇fortune＇ or＇position＇．${ }^{12}$ The Egyptians conceived of such notions in a more personal
and tangible way than we do; hence the bai ('soul', see below), the 'shadow' ( $\uparrow_{1}^{\circ} s w t$ ), and the 'corpse' ( $A_{\Delta}$ hst) were all apt to be viewed as beings distinct from, and as it were the doubles of, the person to whom they belonged. The student must beware of the attempts which have been made to give a harmonious and self-consistent account of the nature of the $k a$; this always remained a shadowy and ill-defined concept, variously regarded in different contexts. A second word for 'soul' is $\frac{\$}{3} b ;$, in Dyn. XVIII often written for which a longer, but more precise, rendering would be 'external manifestation'. Both in life and in death an individual man might assume different forms; the form taken by him was called his bai ( $b_{3}$ ), and one of the typical shapes was that of a bird, as is seen in the hieroglyphic writing of the word. ${ }^{\circ}$

## LESSON XVIII

## DIRECT AND INDIRECT SPEECH

§ 224. By way of conclusion to the lessons on subordinate clauses, some notice may be accorded to the Egyptian methods of introducing the content of a speech. It must be observed that the highly developed indirect speech found in Latin, where all the pronouns after 'he said' or the like are reduced to 3 rd pers., hardly exists in Egyptian. The nearest approach to it is found in such examples as the second in § 184 , where 'he said he would fight with me' presupposes as its original 'he said, I will fight with him (or thee)'. ${ }^{1}$

Contrary to expectation, ntt 'that' is not found after verbs of saying. The speech is usually introduced directly, without any introductory phrase. So very frequently after ©in $d d \cdot f$ 'he says', 'he said', and its equivalent $2 d d$ ( $\$ 450,1$ ).
 $s m s w$ the prince ...... Sinuhe said: I was a henchman. ${ }^{8}$
 who is at the head of the king, the revered Tjetji, says: I was one beloved of his lord. ${ }^{3}$

So also after other forms of, and substitutes for, the verb 'to say'. 4 When the main verb either has nothing to do with speaking, or else only hints at it, the phrase $\boldsymbol{0}^{2} \boldsymbol{r} d d(\$ 304,3$ ) 'saying', lit. 'in order to say', is often used.
 $m$ and saying: How (comes it that) this is done? ${ }^{5}$
 dd: wsd.wy ir ns $n$ ntr.f thereupon he praised god for me more than anything, saying: How happy is he who has done this for his god! ${ }^{6}$

0 For a recent discussion of the bai see $A Z .77,7^{8}$ ff.
${ }^{1}$ Sim. P. Kah. 29, 17-8. See too Erm. Gramm. ${ }^{4}$ § 533 .
${ }^{2}$ Sin. R 1-2. Sim. Peas. B 1, 53. 74. 88; Sh. S. 69.
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 3.

- Sin. B 23 ; Peas. R 2. 5. 41 ; Leb. 4. 56. 86; Westc. 8, 13. After sdm, Mill. 2, 5 .
${ }^{5}$ Sin. B 202. Sim. Westc. 3, 6; 12, 23. 24; Urk. iv. 649, 4; $751,8$.
- Louvre C 12 , 1214. Sim. P. Kah. 13, 23-4; Urk. iv. 1106, I. 3 ; $1108,6$.
${ }^{1}$ Siut 1, 275.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. ${ }^{2} 36,16$, $i w$ and $f$ restored.
${ }^{3}$ Louvre C 12, 5. Sim. ib. C II, $1 ; \underset{P}{P}$. Kah. 13. 27 ; Munich 3, 18 ; Th. T. S. iii. 26, 5 .
${ }^{4}$ Exx. Sin. B 36.43, contrasting the same passages in R; Sh. S. 73; Westc. 5, 7; Eb. 69, 3-4; Urk. v. $155-$ 6; Lac. TR. 23, 1922, qu. §506, I.

4a See § 3I 3 for this word and its adjuncts nothere transliterated.
${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. 27, 8. Sim. Kopt. 8, 3. 4; Urk. iv. 2, 9 (after d d $\cdot f$ f); 138,$13 ; 649,5$.

- Urk. iv. 649, 1 I ; 650, 8.

In Dyn. XII $r \underline{d} d$ is already found quite tautologically after verbs of saying.
 then he said to them, saying: Behold, I have given to you, etc. ${ }^{1}$

Here $r \underline{d} d$ cannot well be translated 'that'; but by Dyn. XVIII it had acquired this value, since it is now, though very rarely, used even after $r b$.
 it is his resting-place. ${ }^{2}$

When insistence is laid on the fact that the words given are the exact words of the speaker, $m d d$ is apt to take the place of $r d d$.
 upon this official placed the command before me as follows, lit. in saying. ${ }^{3}$

In dialogue the speeches occasionally follow one upon the other without any indication of the speaker, in accordance with the practice adopted in modern novels. ${ }^{4}$
§ 225. - rontt introducing statements.-In addition to its meaning 'inasmuch as' (\$223) r-ntt is used, especially in official writing, to express the content of some communication; it is perhaps best translated 'to the effect that'.
 $r-n t t h s w n b n n b r d w d_{3}$ it is a communication to (lit. a making easy the heart of) (my) lord to the effect that all the affairs of (my) lord are safe and prosperous. ${ }^{6}$

Occasionally this $r$-ntt is found without any preceding verb, and is then practically untranslatable. ${ }^{6}$

## PARTICLES

§ 226. The name particle is given by grammarians to any minor invariable part of speech like a preposition or a conjunction. Here, however, it will be used as a class-name for those relatively unimportant words (like $m k$, $i s t, \mathrm{grt}$, is) of which the characteristic is that they usually stand either at or very near the beginning of the sentence. The words in question are as a rule classed as 'conjunctions', though this term is often clearly inappropriate. The name 'sentence-adverb' is much nearer the mark, since they frequently serve to modify, or to present in a certain light, the substance of an entire sentence. But since some, like swt and is, may be used also to qualify mere phrases or even single words, the vaguer term 'particle' will be retained.

The Egyptian particles may be enclitic or non-enclitic. Only the latter can stand as the first word of a sentence. The others, which owe their name to the Gk. enklitikos 'leaning upon', need the support of a preceding word, presumably because they possess no accent or tone-vowel of their own. ${ }^{7}$

Many of the words here to be enumerated have been discussed already; in such cases it will suffice to supplement the statements made previously.

## Non-enclitic particles (\$ 227-244) :-

§ 227. I in 'indeed'.-This particle, with which the preposition in 'by' introducing the agent (\$ 168) is clearly identical, serves to lay a stress of one kind or another on sentences or parts of sentences. It enters into the composition of those independent pronouns which begin with $n$ or in (§64), so that these are found in several uses parallel to, i.e. forming paradigm with, $i n+$ noun. ${ }^{1}$
r. When employed to qualify whole sentences, in gives to them interrogative force. See in detail below \$§ 492-4.
 his province? ?

2. In its other uses in emphasizes some particular noun. So in the construction $i n+$ noun $+s d m \cdot f$ (or independent pronoun $+s d m \cdot f$ ), which has always future sense. ${ }^{4}$ See further below § $450,5 e$.

Exx. As to everyone who shall lift up his hand to this image, $1-\frac{1}{4} 8 \sqrt{5}$ in Dhwoty hs.f sw Thoth shall praise him. ${ }^{5}$
 eldest of the three children.... shall bring it to thee. Or better: it is the eldest of, etc. . . . . who shall bring, etc. ${ }^{6}$

As the second of these examples shows, the effect of $i n$ thus placed before a grammatical subject in anticipatory emphasis ( $\$ 148,1$ ) may be to give it the value of the logical predicate ( $\$ 126$ ). Only when this occurs can we render in English 'it is X who will'. In other instances, as in the first, in merely marks the presence of this stereotyped future construction.
3. A related construction consisting of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { in }+ \text { noun } \\
\text { or independent pronoun }
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { perfective } \\
\text { or imperfective }
\end{array}\right\} \text { active participle }
$$

yields the counterpart, for past or present time, of the future construction just described. Some attention must here be given to the use of $i n$, although the participles belong to a later stage in our studies; see below $\S 373$.
 'Aḥmosě who copied this writing. Lit. verily the scribe 'Aḥmosé was the-one-who-copied this writing.
 provided for the festivals of the seasons. Lit. lo verily My Majesty was the-one-who-provisioned the festivals of the beginning of seasons. ${ }^{8}$

In this construction has survived the otherwise almost obsolete mode of expressing a nominal predicate after nominal subject by direct juxtaposition (see above § 125 ); for the participle is merely an adjective of a special sort, here used

[^98]${ }^{2}$ Peas. B i, 18.
${ }^{8}$ Peas. B I, 95 .
${ }^{4}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. $v$.
${ }^{5}$ Hat-Nub 10, 12.

6 Westc. 9, 7-8.
${ }^{1}$ Rhind, title.

- Urk. iv. 750.
${ }^{1}$ Pyr.1370a;1988a. See Nominalsatz, $\$ 24$.

> For a detailed analysis of the meanings of in see GUNN, Studies, pp. 6r foll.
${ }^{3}$ Harhotpe 431.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 8, 24 ; Rlind 35.
${ }^{5}$ Sh. S.69. 84. Sim. U'rk. v. 148, 3.
${ }^{6}$ Peas. Bio6. Sim., but with in m, Urk.v. 168, 15 ; Westc. 9, 6.
${ }^{7}$ Lac. TR. 43, 5.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. $T R .47,35-6$.

[^99]as a noun. ' $n$ merely reinforces the first word ; in the Pyramid Texts may still be found rare examples of $i n+$ nom. subj. + a noun, not a participle, as predicate. ${ }^{1}$
 $n t f$ d d st 'he it is who says it'; see further below § 373 ), falls into line with indep. pron. + nom. pred., which, as we saw in $\S 125$, is common at all periods.

Here again in is apt to give to the grammatical subject the value of the logical predicate, and in this case the English equivalent is of the form 'it is X who did' or 'does'. Examples occur, however, where we must render simply ' X does' or ' X did', $i n$ having hardly any force at all. ${ }^{2}$

When, in either of these constructions (2) and (3), the subject is the interrogative pronoun $\mathbb{A} m$ 'who?', 'what ?', the combination $i n+m$ is sometimes shortened and welded together in the form $-{ }^{-6}$ or much more rarely $\sqrt{\left[\sqrt{n}-0^{3}\right.} n-m$.

Exx. $1 \mathbb{N}_{0}$ ใqe in $m d d s w$ who says it ? ${ }^{4}$

- -8 in in tw who is it that has brought thee ${ }^{5}$


4. When introducing the agent after a passive form of the verb ( $\$ 39$, end) or the infinitive ( $\$ 300$ ), in has clearly the function of a preposition, and has therefore been classified under that head ( $\$ 168$ ). Nevertheless, the alternation of $i n+$ noun with the independent pronouns to express the agent after the infinitive proves that in here is the same word as in the uses (2) and (3) above. For examples see below § 300 , towards end.
5. A very rare extension of the prepositional use of $i n$ is to introduce a noun defining a pronoun which either precedes or follows.
 does (lit. by) Isis, on Earth. ${ }^{7}$
 (my) heir, .... he has given it to me. ${ }^{8}$

Obs. For in as formative in the sdm.in.f form of the verb, see below $\S 427$; and as a means of indicating the speaker, below $\S 436$.
 and the interrogative pronoun $\$ 显 访 'what?' ( $\$ 501$ ) is doubtless derived from it. As a particle ib means 'then' or 'therefore', often best rendered 'so that', and is always followed by the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the verb ( $\$ \S 40,3 ; 118,2$ ).

In its commonest signification ih expresses a desired future consequence.
Exx. I may say what I have seen. ${ }^{9}$ Literally : open to me; then I will say, etc.
 that thou mayst answer when thou art addressed. ${ }^{10}$
 then would the earth cease from noise. ${ }^{1}$

From this meaning subtle gradations lead to the use in exhortations and even commands. ${ }^{2}$ Note, however, that in every shade of meaning the sentence with ih 'then', 'therefore' refers to some still future result of precedent actions.

Exx. Is Thoth mild? 9 end ih ir.k iyt in that case thou shalt do mischief. ${ }^{3}$ The question is a rhetorical substitute for an $i f$-clause assuming an absurdity.

For the use of $w n \cdot f$ after $i k$, when the predicate is adverbial, see $\S 118,2$; and of $t m \cdot f$, when the construction is negatived, see $\S 346,4$; for the forms of sd dm.f which are employed, see $\S \S 440,4 ; 450,5, a$.

$\S$ 230. $4 \| \backsim i s k^{5}$ or $\| \backsim s k,{ }^{6}$ the latter form being preferred when a dependent pronoun follows, may be regarded merely as archaic writings of $\|\| \backsim i s t$ and $\eta ص s \underline{t}(\S 231)$; in the Old Kingdom certain words normally written with $\underline{t}(t)$ are found to have variant writings with $k$, whether as different pronunciations or as attempts to render an obscure consonant. ${ }^{7}$
§ 231. $\|_{\|} \hookleftarrow i s t,{ }^{8}$ in Dyn. XVIII often $4 \|_{\circ}$ ist, with the alternative rarer forms
 form to be employed when a dependent pronoun follows ( $\$ 44,2 ; 119,2$ ), but this distinction is no longer consistently observed in Middle Egyptian. ${ }^{11}$ 'Ist is clearly derived from the enclitic particle $\| \cap$ is 'lo', 'verily' (\$247) by the addition of an abbreviated form of the dependent pronoun 2 nd m . sing.; this origin was, however, no longer felt, since forms varying according to the gender and number of the persons addressed, such as are found in the case of $m k$ ( $\$ \S \ldots 9$, 1; 234), are here wanting. The translation ' 10 ' is purely conventional; the function of the particle is to describe situations or concomitant facts. It is used both in verbal ( $\$ 152 ; 212 ; 402 ; 414,1 ; 422,1$ ) and in non-verbal ( $\$ \$ 119$, $2 ; 133 ; 142 ; 214$ ) sentences, as well as in the type of sentence which we shall call pseudo-verbal ( $\$ 324$ ). Sentences introduced by ist are sometimes to be rendered as independent sentences and sometimes as clauses of time or circumstance. Common combinations of particles are $\left\|\|_{5}^{\infty} \operatorname{ist} r f\right.$ (see above §§ 119, 2 ;

 to introduce main clauses only.


[^100] ii. $\mathrm{c} 7, \mathrm{qu}$. E 118, 2.

[^101]${ }^{18}$ Berl. AI. i. p. 258, 20 ; Siut 1, 279 ; Budge, p. 280, 8.
${ }^{13}$ P. Kah. 2, 20. Sim. ib. 2, 12-19. Before a verb, Mill. 2, I (doubtful).
${ }^{1}$ Rev. Eg., nouv. sér. 2, 53.
${ }^{2}$ Rec. 28, 186; 35,
217.
${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 547.
*Rekh. 10; Urk. iv. 509, 17.
${ }^{5}$ BH. ii. 7; Meir i. 2 ; Louvre C 18 .
${ }^{6}$ Bersh. i. 22; ii. 21 ; Paheri 7.
${ }^{7}$ Rec. 26, 3 ; D.elB. 69.
${ }^{8}$ Siut 1 , 271. 272.
${ }^{9}$ Rhind 61a, 6.
${ }^{10}$ Th. T. S. ii. II.
${ }^{11}$ Paheri 7 .
${ }^{12}$ Mar. Abyd.ii. 30, 33; Adm. 7, I.
${ }^{13}$ Present perhaps Urk. iv. 1092, 2; Paheri 7.
${ }^{14}$ P. Kah. 32, 18. Sim. Siut I, $3^{23}$.
${ }^{15}$ Urk. iv. 519. ${ }^{16}$ Siut 4, 23. Sim. if. 1, 315; Th.T.S. ii. 8. Cf. also P. Kah. 3, 36, qu. § 142 .
§ 233. wnt that ${ }^{1}$ is probably the feminine singular of the perfective participle from wnn ' be', 'exist'. It serves to introduce noun clauses as object of certain verbs ( $\$ 187$ ), and is much rarer and more restricted in use than its synonym $n t t$ (§ 237).
$\S$ 234. $\mathbb{A}^{\infty} m$ and its derivatives. ${ }^{2}$ — $m$, older , is possibly an obsolete imperative meaning 'behold'. Instances of its occurrence in this simple form are very rare; one has been quoted in § 217 , and another, likewise followed by the dependent pronoun ist sing., may now be added:

A Man m wi m 3h $p n$ behold, I am this spirit. ${ }^{3}$
Everywhere else, $m$ is welded together with a pronoun of 2nd pers. which resembles a suffix-pronoun, but which is probably always an abbreviated form of an old dependent pronoun. In Middle Egyptian, the element $m$ is usually supplemented, and occasionally replaced, by a sign borrowed from the imperative imi 'give' ( $\$ 336$ ) ; this sign is in Dyn. XII identical with the ideogram in $r d i$ ' give', but in Dyn. XVIII is usually differentiated from it as $\quad$ - ; hieratic does not distinguish it from - , and - is also not infrequently found in hieroglyphic. Hence we obtain:

运 $m k$, ${ }^{4}$ in Dyn. XII ${ }^{5}$ in hieratic regularly and also elsewhere ${ }^{6}$
 is used when a single male person, or else no one in particular, is addressed. ${ }^{9}$

$m$ or addressed. ${ }^{12}$

All these forms may serve as supports to the dependent pronouns; for examples see $\S \S 44,2 ; 119,1 ; \S 324$. For the indefinite pronoun tw 'one' after $m k$ see $\S \$ 47.324$.
$M k$ and its congeners are essentially pictorial in meaning, serving to depict some fact as vividly present in the mind. With non-verbal sentences the time referred to is usually the present, and in English one must practically always render as an independent sentence, not as a subordinate clause; examples with adverbial (§ I 19, I), nominal (§ 133), and adjectival (§ 142 ) predicate have already been quoted.

With the sdm.f form, curiously enough, the event which $m k$ serves to picture is nearly always, not present, ${ }^{13}$ but future.

Exx. mint a bad time, lit. receive an evil hour. ${ }^{14}$
 thyself. ${ }^{15}$

边

With the $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, $m k$ has the effect of giving to this the meaning of the English present perfect.

The usual negation of the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form being $n s d m \cdot f(\S 105, \mathrm{I})$, we find $m k$ $n$ śd $m \cdot f$ meaning 'behold, he has not heard ' $(\$ 455,1)$. The passive śd $d m \cdot f$ form often serves as the passive of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$; hence, when preceded by $m k$, it has present perfect sense ( $\$ 422, \mathrm{I}$ ).

For $m k$ where the predicate is the old perfective, or else $h r+$ infinitive, see below § 324 .

Lastly, $m k$ may be used simply with a following noun or dependent pronoun to indicate what is present ; cf. French voici.

Exx. behold) a wonder which happened in the time of thy father. ${ }^{2}$

Obs. In a biographical inscription of Dyn. XVIII $m k$ is strangely used before the infinitive where we should expect the preposition $k r$; the constructions in

§ 235. $\mu n n$, with shortened form $\mu n$, is the common word for 'not'; see above § 104. For the various uses of the two forms see $\$ \S$ 105. 108. 120. 134. 144. 200. 2OI. 209. 258. 307. 334. 346. 394. 402. 418. 424. 445. 455. 456. 49 I. As we have seen $\S \S 44,2 ; 120, \ldots$ may be followed by a dependent pronoun.

Ors. In certain cases $n n$ and $n$ cannot be used, and are replaced by the negative verb from the stems $i m i$ and $t m$, see below $\$ \S 342-50$.
§ 236. - - nhmn 'assuredly' or the like, may likewise be followed by a dependent pronoun. The particle is rare, but examples with adverbial ( $\$ \perp 19,6$ ) and verbal predicates are both found. An example of the latter is
 forth from Sais. ${ }^{7}$

See below $\S 324$ for an example of $n h m n+$ noun + old perfective.
Obs. Nhmn is doubtless connected with the enclitic $h m$ ( $\$ 253$ ), which has the same meaning. In the earlier hieratic exx. - is written for $-\boxed{\sim}$, see $\S(24 ; 119,6$.
§ 237. - -o ntt 'that' is properly the f. sing. of the relative adjective nty used as a neuter (§ 199); cf. French $q u i$ ' who', que 'that'. It introduces noun clauses when these are objects of certain verbs ( $\$ 187$ ). Such noun clauses with ntt may also follow certain prepositions and, in conjunction with them, form adverb clauses ( $\$ 223$ ). For $-\frac{\sim 0}{0} r-n t t$ ushering in statements see $\S 225$, and for the problematical construction $1=$ in $n t t$ see $\S 494$. The dependent pronouns may follow $n t t$, see $\S 44,2$, but in their place are sometimes found the suffixes of 2 nd and 3 rd pers. sing., see $\S 223$, end.

## ${ }^{1}$ Westc. 11,7. Sim.

 ib. 15 ; Peas. B I, $3^{6}$ (rdi-tw); Adm. 12,2; 13, 5; Th. T.S. ii. 1 I.${ }^{3}$ Westc. 5, 2. Sim. ib. 9, 23 ; $A Z .38,136$. 140; BUdGE, p. 399, 9.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. B I, III-2.
${ }^{4}$ Adm: 6, 5 .
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 658, 8, qu. §455, $\mathbf{1}$.

6 Urk.iv. 96. Sim. Piehl, IH. iii. 75.
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20543, $a 11$; Brit. Mus. 614, 12 ; Lutz, 34, 66, 3 .

[^102][^103] synonymous particles serving to introduce wishes or requests; the enclitic particle 3(\$245) is often used to strengthen them and is particularly common with hrwy.

Examples in the sentence with adverbial ( $\$ 119,7.8$ ) and nominal ( $\S 133$ ) predicate have already been quoted.

These particles are still more frequent with a verbal predicate; so with the sdamff form (see further below $\S 450,5, b$ ).
 $k n i$ pray give ye the corn to your palanquin-bearer. ${ }^{1}$
 Majesty would proceed to the lake of the Great House! ${ }^{2}$

Similarly with the subject placed by anticipatory emphasis immediately after $h$.
 destroy! ${ }^{3}$

To express an unfulfilled wish the $s d d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form was used:
 I had made my voice (heard) at that moment! ${ }^{4}$

We have seen that $n s d m \cdot f$ is the usual negation of the $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 105$, 1) ; hence $h s n s d m \cdot f$ is employed for 'would that he had not heard!'s

For $h s+$ noun (or $h w s+$ dep. pron.) + old perfective see below $\S 324$.
Lastly, note that $h s$ may be used as a noun meaning 'wish', 'would-that!'.

For an example of $h 3 n \cdot i$ ' would that I had!' as object of $d d$ 'say' see $\S$ I 23.
§ 239. ${ }^{-} \mathrm{hr}$, also written (regularly so in Dyn. XVIII), old form会 $\boldsymbol{i k r}$, indicates what comes next in order, and may be translated 'and', 'further', or even 'accordingly', 'so', 'then'. This particle is probably derived from the verb $k r$ 'fall', and the preposition $h r$ ( $\$ 167$ ) is obviously closely related.

Examples in the sentence with adverbial ( $\$ 119,5$ ), nominal ( $\$ 133$ ), and adjectival (§ 142) predicate have been already quoted, as well as cases where a clause or phrase of time with $m-b t$ is in anticipatory emphasis and is introduced by $h r(\S 178 \text {, under } m-h t, 4.5)^{8}$

The construction $b r s d m \cdot f$ expresses what will be found to happen, what may be anticipated, or the like, and is often best rendered by the English future. See below $\$ 450,5, c$ for the $s d m \cdot f$ forms used in this construction.
 $t_{3}$ st he will flourish here in this place. ${ }^{9}$
will be found to amount) to I. ${ }^{10}$

The same construction occurs also with the subject placed after $h r$ in anticipatory emphasis（ $\$ 148,1$ ），when it may conveniently be called the br．f sdm．f construction．This has always future reference，and hence is closely parallel in meaning to the verb－form sdm．$h r \cdot f$ to be considered later（ $\$ \S 427 \cdot 430-1$ ）．

Exx．是家吅公 $h r \underline{t} t y h(3) b \cdot f$ then the vizier shall send．${ }^{1}$
 brought to the court．${ }^{2}$

Note that the emphasized subject，when a pronoun，is a suffix，not a dep． pron．，and that in the passive only $t w$（not $t w+$ subject）follows $h r$ ．For $h r \cdot t w$ ＇one says＇，see $\S 436$ ．

As used before other verb－forms，$h r$ calls for no special remark．${ }^{4}$
§ 240．$\ddagger s w$＇then＇，only in archaic or archaistic religious texts and where inexplicable as the obscure pronoun treated in the Add．to § $148,1 .{ }^{5}$

$\ddagger \Delta \Delta-\operatorname{sw} d i(\$ 422)$ irt $n H r$ then was given the eye to Horus．${ }^{7}$
 $s y+m+w n$＇it is as though it were＇，and is found with sentences of various kinds． An example with nominal predicate has been quoted（§ 133 ）；other examples are：
－ her worship．${ }^{\circ}$
 surely thou wilt grant me to see the place where my heart dwells．${ }^{10}$

For the construction of this last example see $\S 332$ ，and note the use of the suffix as subject．
§ 242．－$k_{3}$＇so＇，＇then＇，var． written verb＇to plan＇，＇devise＇．Combined with sdm．f it serves to express either a simple future event arising out of what has previously been said，or else an injunction or determination．
 $r$ st．s then Right will rest in her place．${ }^{11}$

For the forms of $s d m \cdot f$ found after $k 3$ see $\S 450,5, d$ ．The construction $k_{3}$ $s d m \cdot f$ is negated by the help of the negative verb $t m$ ，see $\S 346,5$ ．

When the predicate in this construction is adverbial，the copula assumes the form $w n \cdot f$ ，as after $i b(\$ 118$ ）．
 him as one man．${ }^{13}$

1 Urk．iv． 1106. Sim．Siut 1，297．
${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． $110 \%$.
Sim．ib．1111，12；$P$ ．
Kah．22，1－2；Peas． $\mathrm{B}_{1}, 15 \mathrm{I} .162$ ；$E b$ ． 48，3－4；87，9－10．
${ }^{3}$ Eb．59，9．Sim． ib．44，3；Urk．iv． $1109,6$.
${ }^{4}$ Before $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, Sin． B147；$n$ Sḋm．n．f．L＇rk． iv．1089， 2 ；sd $1 m \cdot h r \cdot f$ ， Rhind 55；noun＋old perfective， $\operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 75－ 6 ；Paheri 3 ；passive sdam．f，Urk．iv．46， 6，qu．§422， 2.
－$A Z .71,50$ ．
${ }^{6}$ Cen．84，8．Sim．
ib． 85,40 ．
${ }^{7}$ Cen．85， 19.
${ }^{8}$ Gard．Sin．p． 59.
${ }^{9} A Z .35,16$.
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{1} 58$.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv． 1074 Sim．Adm．12，2；13， 6；ib．p． 105 ．
12 P．Kah．29， 43. Sim．ib．13，36；31， 1．13；36，16． 23 ； Westc．9， 17 ；Urk． iv．655， 3 ．
${ }^{18}$ P．Kah̆．31，20－1．

[^104]- Westc. 9, 14 (elliptical); $11,{ }^{2} 5(k)+$ $i n+$ noun + Sd $d n \cdot f(\xi$ 227, 2).
${ }^{5}$ Pt. 78-9.
${ }^{6}$ UTrk.iv. 83.

7 Rec. 28, 186; Gard. Sin. p. I53.

With the subject in anticipatory emphasis ( $\$ 148, \mathrm{I}$ ) there is hardly any perceptible difference in the sense.
 shall fetch it. ${ }^{1}$


This construction is conveniently described as the $k 3 \cdot f$ sdm.f construction, and is closely related to the $s d m \cdot k s \cdot f$ form to be described below ( $\$ \$ 427.433-4$ ). For $k_{3} \cdot f$ 'he will say' see $\S 436$.

Obs. Other uses of $k$, are unimportant. ${ }^{4}$ Once $k ; \ldots k$ seems to mean 'whether . . . or'. ${ }^{5}$
§ 243. $\delta t i$, rarely written $\left\langle\ell t i,{ }^{6}\right.$ is always followed by a noun or dependent pronoun, and serves to introduce clauses, usually short clauses, of a descriptive or circumstantial nature. These may have either adverbial (§ I19,4) or verbal ( $\$ 212$, end) predicate. $J$ may be ultimately a shortening of $\| \eta \leftrightharpoons i s t$, the two particles being identical in meaning and use. ${ }^{7}$ See further § $119,4$.
§ 244. Retrospect.-Reviewing the contents of $\S \S 227-243$, the student will find that the name 'sentence-adverb' is, on the whole, a fair description of the non-enclitic particles. It is strange how many of them help to give future meaning to a following $s d m \cdot f$ form-so in, $h 3, k r$, and $k z$ when the subject follows in anticipatory emphasis, and $i h, h 3, h r, k s$, and in part $m k$ when such is not the case. The two particles in and $k r$ bear a close relationship to prepositions, and in these and one other case ( $k_{3}$ ) there is an obvious kinship to three similarly built narrative verb-forms to be studied later ( $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f, s \underline{d} m \cdot h r \cdot f$, $s d m \cdot k \cdot f$, see below, $\$ \S 427$ foll.). The non-enclitic particles vary as regards the pronouns which follow them before adverbial or verbal predicate; ${ }^{8}$ whereas most ( $i s k, i s t, m k, n n, n h m n$, $n t t, h_{3}$ and $t i$ ) require the dependent pronouns, the three which may be suspected of verbal origin ( $k r$, smwn , and $k s$ ) demand the suffixes; in this matter in and $n t t$ present peculiarities for which the student is referred to the relevant sections.

## V OCABULARY



解 wrh anoint.通var. mm weep.
${ }^{1}$ Due to an early confusion in hieratic between the signs \& and of.
 1ac具！irtyw mourning．口of pnw mouse．

mme 1 11। $n t$－r custom，habit（f．）．
мm $h n$ box．
$\}_{0}^{\square}$ 通 hnwt mistress．
 rence．
纤 ssm condition；procedure．
صดn $3 t_{3}$ mysterious，difficult．
м
 Nubian people．${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Originally the name of the pyramid and pyramid－city of Phiops I at S Sakkarah．The name means＇（Phiops is） established and beautiful＇．
${ }^{2}$ The name has been equated with that of the modern Bedja－peoples of the Eastern Desert and the Sudan．In Dyn． XVIII men of this stock were employed as police，and the word practically comes to mean＇policeman＇．See now AEO．， under No． 188 of On．Am．

## EXERCISE XVIII

（a）Transliterate and translate：




1 요

（6）

（2）为

（5）会边距気 N Wiarosin

（7）

（b）Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration：
（I）He wept saying：How evil is this condition in（ $\mathrm{h} r$ ）which I am！Would that I had never（lit．not）seen this city！（2）If he is ill，thou shalt send to his wife concerning it．（3）Now when the messenger of the chief（wr）of the Medjay arrives at the Residence，thou shalt be with him like a brother．If he say to
thee, ' Who shall give me food ?' thou shalt answer him saying, 'I will give it to thee'. Thou shalt not allow him to express a want (lit. say 'would that to me!') about anything. Behold, I have furnished thee with fields and (lit. with) cattle and serving-men in order that (lit. through love of) thou mayest act accordingly. (4) May I serve (sdm.f form only) the Lady of the Universe ( $\$ 100, \mathrm{I}$ ), so that she may tell me (of) the beauty of her children.

## LESSON XIX <br> PARTICLES (continued)

Enclitic particles (§§ 245-257):-
${ }^{1}$ See $J E A$ 34, 12.
${ }^{12}$ Cf. too $n f r \cdot w(i)$; 'how beautiful', Pyr., Bull. 32, 60 .
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B I, 180. Sim. ib. 181. 224.293; B 2, 125 ; $\operatorname{Sin}$. B 217. 260 ; P. Kah. 30, 39; 31, 10; 32, 6; 33, 10; Crk. iv. $158,9$.
${ }^{3}$ Ikhern. 6. Somewhat similarly Sh. S. 153, qu. § 188, i ; Peas. B1, 276; Adm.12, 1.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 164. Sim. ib. $3^{63}, 7 ; 367,9$. ${ }^{5}$ Pt. 18 r . Sim. Turin 276, Rec. 3, 119.
${ }^{6}$ See Gunn, Studies, $\mathrm{pp} .170-\mathrm{I}$ and ch. 23.
${ }^{7}$ Pt. 213 ; Westc. 9, 6, qu. § 368 ; Urk. iv. $1087,8$.
§ 245. ${ }^{1}$ appears to have a vague exclamatory or interjectional force, as may be concluded from its use, already illustrated, after the particles of wishing $h_{3}$ and $h w y\left(\$ \S_{1} 19,8 ; 238\right) .{ }^{\text {1a }}$ In a few Middle Egyptian passages, for the most part rather obscure, it seems to have some such meaning as 'indeed'.

Ex. not. ${ }^{2}$
§ 246. A irf, see below § 252 .
§247. $4 \int$ is seems to be ultimately interjectional in character and to have some such meaning as 'lo'; the non-enclitic particles isk and ist (§§ 230.23I) are evidently derivatives; perhaps also isw (§232).

1. One of the main functions of is is to give a certain impressiveness or emphasis to the statements in which it occurs:
 up as a foster-son of My Majesty. ${ }^{3}$
 eternal. ${ }^{4}$

2. A common use of is is to emphasize the negative word, ${ }^{6}$ which here appears as $n n$ even in cases where $n n$ would be expected; later, however, $n$ in this use is occasionally replaced by $n n .^{7}$ An example of $\mu-4 \beta n$ is in the sentence with adverbial predicate was quoted in § 120 ; with nominal (§ I 34) and
 and it was seen in § 134 that here $p w$ is apt to be omitted as superfluous. The use of $n$ is to negative an adverb or adverbial phrase has been illustrated in $\S 209$; it is then translatable as 'but not' or, after another negation, as 'except'.

So too $n$ is may be employed to negative a noun in apposition.
 $m h w r w, n$ is mitw $\cdot k$ if thou find a disputant... . who is a poor fellow, one not thy equal. ${ }^{1}$

For $n$ is meaning 'if not', 'unless' before the sdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$ form see § 216 , end.
3. 'Is may also emphasize single words; so $4 e \& \circ \cap \mathrm{izw} \min$ is 'to-day indeed ', ${ }^{2} A_{n}=14 \mathrm{mtn}$ is 'behold ye indeed'. ${ }^{3}$ Similarly, it is used after the independent pronoun in both affirmative and negative sentences with nominal ( $\$ 127,4$ ) and adjectival ( $\S 136$ ) predicate, tending to confer upon the pronoun, as we have seen, the value of a logical predicate.
4. Further, is may help to characterize a sentence as a question; see §491, 2.
5. When placed after a noun, is has sometimes the meaning of the preposition ' like': a construction common in the oldest Egyptian, ${ }^{4}$ but of which only a few instances have survived in later times.
 I acted for him in loyalty of heart, as a king (does) for every god. ${ }^{\text {s }}$

Obs. In Late Egyptian is is often employed like ist at the beginning of sentences; however, in the sporadic examples of this found as early as Dyn. XVIII is is possibly an interrogative particle, see (4) above and $\S 49 \mathrm{I}, 2$ below.
§ 248. 肘 $ص$ ist ' 10 ' ( $\$ 231$ ) appears to be used enclitically in a few examples.
 lo, as for the office in which thou judgest, there is a broad hall in it. ${ }^{6}$
§ 249. wnt and wnnt ${ }^{7}$ are used after the independent pronoun ink ' I' in the sense of 'indeed', 'really'; for examples see above § 127 , end. Though wnt and wnnt are probably the f. sing. of the perfective and imperfective participles respectively, no difference of meaning is discernible between them.

Only very rarely are these particles found in sentences with verbal predicate.
Ex. the construction see § 326 .

The particles here treated are, at least for practical purposes, to be distinguished from the wnt which means 'that' ( $\$ 233$ ) and from the wnt which occurs in $-\pi w n t$ 'there does not exist' ( $\$ 108,2$ ).
§ 250. $m(y),^{\text {sa }}$ also written ${ }^{, 9}$ is occasionally found after imperatives or, quite exceptionally, after the $s d m \cdot f$ form when used to express a wish.

(1) M, wds $m(y) i b \cdot k$ may thy heart prosper. ${ }^{11}$

In a few religious texts this $m y$ is found non-enclitically.
Ex. thou lord of walls. ${ }^{12}$
${ }^{1}$ See Adm．pp． $21-2$.
${ }^{2}$ Adm. 2, 7. Sim.
Westc. 2, 5; 11, 22;
12, 22; Sinai 90, 12.

${ }^{3}$ Leb. 145. Sim. $i b$.
142. 143.
${ }^{4}$ Westc．12， 22.

[^105]${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 45$ ．Other exx．Sinai 90,5 ；LAC． TR．23，99；28， 9.
${ }^{7}$ Leb．67．Sim．ib． 148；Sh．S． 12 ；Ikhern． 9；P．Kah．3， 30.
${ }^{8}$ Budge，p．266， 1. Sim．Hearst 14， 12.
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv．${ }^{255}$ ．
${ }^{10}$ Hearst $1 \mathrm{I}, 4$. Sim． Urk．iv．480， 7.
${ }^{11}$ Lac．TR．18， 17.
${ }^{12}$ Lac．TR．19， 31 ； 60,5 ．
${ }^{13}$ Peas．B I，29－30．
14 Urk．iv． 120. Sim． ib．367，13；390，2； 508， 12.
${ }^{15}$ Adm．5，14，qu． 8 $133 ; 6,5, q n . \S 238$ ．
${ }^{16}$ Peas．R 79．Sim． 1st pers．$S h . S$ ． 21 ．
${ }^{17}$ Peas．R 59．Sim． ib．B I，18．124． 149 ； Adm．12，5．14．
${ }^{18}$ Sin．B 43．Sim． Peas． B 1，106；Westc． 9， 4 15；M．u．K．1， 6；Adm．14， 14 ．
§251．㐌 $m s^{1}$ hints that some thought，statement，or the like has been overlooked by the person addressed，and conveys some tinge of surprise or reproof at this omission．It may sometimes be translated by＇surely＇．
 mourning．${ }^{2}$
 （i．e．dead）shall be a wise man（lit．one knowing things）．${ }^{3}$

Obs．For the compound noun izu－ms＇untruth＇，lit．＇but－there－is＇，see above § 194．In one instance $m s$ is found after $m k$＇behold＇and followed by a dependent pronoun．${ }^{4}$
§ 252．rf and the related particles．－The preposition $r$ ，combined with a suffix，is used enclitically as a particle；the suffix－pronoun originally employed was that demanded by the context in each case，${ }^{5}$ but later the particle thus formed manifested a tendency to become stereotyped and invariable in the form $\approx \vee f$ ，var．作 irf．The literal meaning is＇as to him＇（＇me＇，＇thee＇），but the function of these particles is to express emphasis of one kind or another．

I．$r \cdot i$ is occasionally found in conjunction with a verb in ist sing．
Ex．乌曾惫m $d d \cdot k i r \cdot i n \cdot f$ then spoke I to him．${ }^{6}$
 $i r \cdot t$ ，is not uncommon with the imperative．

Exx．${ }^{\circ}$ 要 $\operatorname{ddm} r \cdot k n \cdot i$ hearken thou to me．${ }^{7}$

$A m(i) r \underline{t}$ come thou（fem．）．${ }^{9}$

With the plural imperative is found $\Lambda \varnothing i r \cdot \underline{t} n{ }^{11}$ or $\varnothing \varepsilon r \cdot \underline{t n}{ }^{12}$ but only rarely，the invariable irf（below 3）usually taking its place．

After the 2nd pers．of the $s d m \cdot f$ form used in wishes and exhortations，$r \cdot k$ is but rarely found．
 give thou me my property．${ }^{13}$

3．The invariable $r f$ ，later writing $\ell_{x} i r f$ ，has several different uses．
（a）First，it is found after plural imperatives．

Similarly after in 通 $h_{3}$＇ would that＇（ $\left.\$ 238\right)^{15}$ and after sdm．f used in wishes．${ }^{16}$
（b）Second，$r f$ and irf are common in questions．
Exx．4．－n
＝$=$ be like without him？${ }^{18}$
（c）Occasionally in sentences with a certain exclamatory and emphatic force． So for example after smwn＇probably＇，$m k$＇behold＇，${ }^{2}$ and $h r-n t t$＇because＇．${ }^{3}$ Here we must recall the anticipatory use of ist rf and $r f$ alone which was explained above in § $15^{2}$ ；so again after an emphasized word，ex．乌つ做．．．． Solo ${ }^{\circ}$ d $d \cdot k$ irf ．．．．int $\cdot k$＇thyself ．．．．thou shalt bring＇．${ }^{4}$

4．$-\int r s$ ，with the 3 rd f．suffix used as a neuter，is very rare．
 with（them）．${ }^{6}$
§ 253． $\mathrm{hm},^{\circ}$ also written $0{ }^{1}{ }^{7}$ or $0 h^{8},{ }^{8}$ occurs almost only in main clauses，where it has the meaning＇assuredly＇，＇indeed＇．Being a particle of asseveration，it is frequent in statements，promises，or predictions referring to the future．
 rowing．${ }^{\text {．}}$
 spread my protection about my daughter．${ }^{10}$
$H m$ is sometimes found，however，also in statements of present fact，to which it lends a certain emphasis．${ }^{11}$
 nay，good indeed is the clemency which has saved me from death．${ }^{12}$

So too km may occur in an if－clause with which some alternative condition is contrasted．

Ex． 100 为 on the one hand，thou findest ．．．．．；if，on the other hand，thou findest ．．．．．．${ }^{13}$

Obs．Hence，doubtless，is derived the non－enclitic particle $n h m n$（ $\$ 236$ ），which has much the same meaning．
§254．$\ddagger$ swt is used in statements in order to mark a contrast，and corresponds to English＇but＇．

Exx．I do not know the number thereof．．．．4ify swt rb．kwi bw nty st im but I know the place where it is．${ }^{14}$

If thou do not let me go forth vindicated（certain evil results will follow）；


Occasionally the adversative swt occurs in a mere clause or phrase，not qualifying an entire sentence；in this case＇however＇is the closest translation．
 dislike（it）．${ }^{16}$ Lit．while I am disliking，however．

Let all that is good be done with them，그응요 swis kis but without letting a boat pass．${ }^{17}$ For the construction see $\S 307$, r．

${ }^{5}$ Sh．S． 130.
${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．225， 13 ； 285， 15 ．
${ }^{7}$ Westc．5，7；Eb． IIO，I．
${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} \mathrm{76} 203.$.
${ }^{9}$ Westc．5，7．Sim． Urk．iv．344， 17.
${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv．225．Sim． ib．285，15；Th．T．S． i． 10 ．
${ }^{11}$ In past narrative， Sin．RI5，qu．\＆66， end．
${ }_{12}$ Sin．B 203．Sim． ib．76；Peas．R 61 （ $m k$ hm）；Leb． 151 ；Adm．
13；9；Urk．iv．221， 7 ．
${ }^{13}$ Eb． $110,1-3$ ．Sim． Lac．TR．2，25； Budge，p．147，II．

14 Westc．9，3．Sim． Sin．B 37 ；Peas．B I， 124.
${ }_{15}$ Nav．65， 13 ．Sim． Lac．TR．2，48；Siut 1，225；4，79；Eb．30， 13；Urk．iv． 1110,15 ．
${ }^{18}$ P．Kah．36， 42.
${ }^{17}$ Berl．AI．i．p． 256，5．Sim．Peas． R 128，with $h n \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{in}$－ finitive．

[^106] a main clause or indeed an entire narrative．

Obs．Swwt is doubtless ultimately identical with the indep．pron．of the 3 rd m ． sing．，for in Late Egyptian and Coptic ntf acquires a like adversative meaning．
 XVIII sometimes written $\stackrel{\text { 『 }}{\square},{ }^{3}$ takes the place，in Middle Egyptian，of Old Eg．区 $g r$（rarely $\mid$ 区 $i g r$ ），which hardly survives later except as an adverb meaning ＇also＇，＇（not）any more＇（ $\$ 205, \mathrm{I}$ ）．Hence the proper meaning of grt was doubt－ less likewise＇also＇，＇moreover＇．In use，however，$g r t$ has a much weakened signification；the nearest English equivalent is the＇now＇which claims the listener＇s attention，but frequently it is best left untranslated．

It stands at the beginning of new paragraphs．
 years as prince in the Oryx－nome．${ }^{4}$
 undertook for him very many monuments．${ }^{6}$

Also at the beginning of descriptions or explanatory comments．
 equal（lit．second）．${ }^{6}$
 htmt ky haty－r no prince in his time destroys what another prince contracts for．${ }^{7}$

Grt is found even at the very beginning of narratives．${ }^{8}$ It occurs frequently in the phrase $\downarrow<\frac{\mathrm{B}}{3} \mathrm{irgrt}$＇now as to ．．．．．＇with some emphasized word（§ 149）．${ }^{9}$

An example may be quoted where $g r t$ is used exactly like the adverb $g r$ ＇also＇（§ 205，1）；this example confirms the relationship of the two words．
 either（or also）．${ }^{10}$

Obs．＇Iw grt is a common combination；a case may even be quoted where it introduces indep．pron．＋participle，the construction of § $227,3 .{ }^{11} \quad \mathrm{Ts} \underline{\underline{t}} \mathrm{grt}$ ，see § 23 I ．
§ 256．©［［ $t r$ ，sometimes shortened to $\stackrel{\circ}{N}$ ，of $t y$ ，seems to express surprise or indignation，and may be translated＇forsooth＇，＇I wonder＇，or the like．

Such examples are，however，exceedingly rare． Tr is common，on the
In a number of examples swt has little or no force，merely introducing




14 Urk．v． 30 ．
${ }^{4}$ BH．i．8， 15 ．Sim． Berl．A1．i．p．258， 20 ； Sin．B219；Hamm． 110， 7 ；Urk．iv． 1105 ， 12.
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv． $173,6$. Sim．ib．168，12；171， 11；172，1；174，9； Hamm． $113,10$.
${ }^{6}$ Sin．B 47．Sim． ib． 5 I ；Peas． $\mathrm{BI}_{1} 17$ ．
${ }^{7}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 28 \mathrm{I}$ ．Sim． ib． 279 ．
${ }^{8}$ Ex．Cairo 20099， 2，qu．p．55，top．
${ }^{9}$ Berl．$A 1$ ．i．p． 258 ， 19；Cairo 20539，i．$b$ 21；Urk．iv．1105，7； Urk．v．24，9；26，12； 28， 10 ．
${ }^{10}$ Louvre C i4， 12.
${ }^{11}$ Munich 4，6－7， qu．$\$ 468,3$ ．

[^107] which thou wilt walk ？${ }^{1}$

The interrogative pronoun ${ }^{\text {as }}\left[\right.$ ptr，${ }^{2}$＇who？＇＇what？＇（\＄497），also written
 compound of $p w$ and $t r$ ；for $p w$ alone as an interrogative＇who ？＇see below $\S 498 .{ }^{7}$

A strange employment is also found in which a suffix is appended to $t r$ ， apparently in apposition to a preceding $m$＇who ？＇

Ex．$-\infty \upharpoonleft n-m$ tr$\cdot k i$ who art thou that hast come？Perhaps lit．， who，pray，（namely）thou，has come ${ }^{8}{ }^{8}$ For the construction see above § $227,3$.
§ 257．Accumulation of particles．－Finally，it must be pointed out that Egyptian is by no means averse from accumulations of particles．Examples will be found in many of the above paragraphs；compare，for instance，ist of § 23 I ；mtn is § 247,3 ；$h r h m \S 253$ ；so also $m k h r,{ }^{9} m k g r t t^{92}$ and many others．

## INTERJECTIONS

§ 258．The number of Egyptian words which can definitely be classed as interjections is very small．Here belong，in the first place，the words $\\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { and }, ~ \\ \text { A }\end{array}\right.$ $i$ and $h$ s，which occasionally accompany the vocative，see above $\S 87$ ． Closely related to the latter is probably $\quad$ Qी通 $h y$ ，which may best be translated
 interjection exhorting to movement or the like．${ }^{11}$ We have discussed ist＇ 10 ＇，$m k$ ＇behold＇，and $h_{3}$ ，hwy＇would that＇under the head of non－enclitic particles or sentence－adverbs（\＄§ 231．234．238）．Here，for want of a better rubric，we may place fe $t$ 会 $t$＇yes＇${ }^{12}$ and the rare use of $\sim n n$ for＇no＇．${ }^{13}$

For interjectional sentences beginning with an adverbial phrase，see above § 153．Sentences consisting only of a noun or of a nominal phrase（ $\$ 89,2$ ）may also be regarded in this way．On the interjectional $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{\text { M }}$ hy＇what a ．．．！！＇see § 258 A ， below，p． 427.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．v．168， 12. Sim．ib．172，2． 4 ； 173，13；177，13．
${ }^{8}$ Sis．B 122． 183. 26I．
${ }^{8}$ P．Kah．5， 6.
${ }^{4}$ Rhird 36．39． 5 I．
${ }^{5}$ Mission，i．p． 219.
${ }^{6}$ Adm．3，7．13； 4， 6.

7 Urk．iv．1078， 13 ；
79,$1 ; 1081,16$
1079，1；108I， 16 （collated）．

8 Urk．จ．148， 3. Sim．ib．165，6；171， II．
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv．1088， 10 ； 1092， 13 ．
9a JEA．16，19， 3.
；P．Kah．30，40； 5；P．Kah．
Siut I， 269.
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 274.
${ }^{11} \underset{\text { Paheri } 5 .}{ }$ el B. $89 ;$
${ }^{12}$ Westc．8，14；Adm． 6， 13 ；$A Z .43,42$.
${ }_{13}$ Peas．B I， 200.

## V OCABULARY

解 $m s$ bear，give birth；form，fashion （statue）．
掼 $n d$ protect，rescue，$m$－r from．
$\bigcirc$－a xs wake（intrans．）．
 repel；with $n$ ，punish．

$\rho-\frac{r^{\circ}}{\sim}$
P）smis make true．
1風是 smb forget．
亿䖯 $n$ conjure，exorcize．

惯 $d m$ be sharp，sharpen；det． $\rightarrow$ 號 pronounce，$r n$ a name．
風通 $r_{3}$ an Asiatic．

${ }_{-}^{\ominus} p s d t$ ennead of gods，company of nine gods．
 mihet tomb．${ }^{1}$
$\stackrel{\ominus}{\square}$ mtwt poison．
$\Delta \sim \underbrace{B}_{1} r k-i b$ disaffected，envious， lit．downward inclined of heart．
風
昰 kkw darkness．

$\prod_{0}^{2} \times 1 \times A_{A}$ var．${ }^{\times} \|_{A}$ s $s d t$ fire，flame．
团 $m$ who ？what？
${ }^{1}$ The use of the sign for $m i$ here indicates that the initial f of the stem $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{e}$ has changed into $i$ ．See Sphinx ${ }^{1} 3,{ }^{1} 57$ ．

## E X ERCISE XIX

（a）Transliterate and translate：

（b）Write in hieroglyphs and transliteration：
（I）The overseer of priests Harhotpe says：I adorned this tomb for my father anew，in order that（ $n-m r w t$ ）his name might be firm and flourishing therein for eternity．（2）O Isis，if thou allow this child to live，I will give to thee incense upon the flame；but if thou take him from me，I will not allow thee to receive offerings upon the altar，I will not allow thy name to be pronounced in thy temple．（3）Would that he would give me my clothing！（4）If thou awake in peace，Great－of－Magic ${ }^{3}$ awakes in peace．（5）They fashioned a statue， the like of which had not been seen since the time of the god．（6）Shall this peasant be punished for this evil word ？

[^108]
## LESSON XX

## NUMBERS ${ }^{1}$

§ 259．The writing of the numerals．－A vertical stroke ，is used for che units，and special signs for the various powers of ten．The seven signs employed are as follows：－

| 1 | 1 | 10,000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $n$ | 100,000 | 中 |
| 100 | $p$ | $1,000,000$ | 虫 |

The higher values are written in front of the lower，and to indicate the numbers between I and io，and between any power of ten and the next higher power，the signs in question are repeated as many times as is necessary．Hence


The word for＇million＇出 $h h$, which was also used for＇many＇（§ 99），early fell into disuse；probably as a consequence of this loss，a new method of expressing the higher values was occasionally employed．
 470，000．${ }^{5}$

In hieratic the tens and units，when referring to the days of the month，are invariably laid on their side，ex．$\odot \subset \equiv \equiv s w 18$＇day 18 ＇．${ }^{6}$ Traces of a similar use， though as regards the units only，are sometimes found in Middle Kingdom

 epagomenal day，${ }^{8}$ beside $Y_{=}^{\circ}$ associated more with ordinal than with cardinal meaning；in hieratic texts this position is apt to be found in the words for＇third＇，＇fourth＇，etc．，ex．＝$\sigma_{\square}^{--} 3-n w$ $s p$＇the third time＇．${ }^{10}$
§ 260．The names of the numbers．${ }^{11}$－Very few of these are written out in Middle Egyptian，though an example of $\psi_{1}^{0} s n w$＇two＇${ }^{12}$ and another of ${ }_{01} \cap_{1}$ ，bmt＇three＇13 may be cited．Since，however，derivatives involving the

$V^{3}$ See K．Sethenter und Zahl－ wortem bei den alten Agyptere，in Schriften d．Wiss．Ges．Strass－ burg，25．Heft， 1916.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 630.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 666.
${ }^{4}$ Harris 73， 5.
${ }^{5}$ P．Kah．8， 19.
${ }^{6}$ P．Kah．12， 6.
${ }^{7}$ Siut 1，283．Sim． ib． 277.299 ．
${ }^{8}$ Siut 1，305． 312.
－Siut 1，297．

10 Peas．B 1， 139. Sim．ib．194． 24 a． 266. 290；Eb．86， 20.
${ }^{11}$ See $A Z .47$ ， 1. ${ }^{12}$ AZ．45，PI．VI， 12．See，too，JEA．16， 19．1． 8 ．
${ }_{13}{ }^{\prime} \dot{A} \dot{Z} .45, \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{VI}$, IIIIT－Hmnw＇Eight－town＇，i．e．El－Ashmanên in Upper Egypt，it is desirable for the student to become acquainted with them．The transcriptions given below are based on phonetic writings in the Pyramid Texts and elsewhere，or else on inferences from Coptic ；a few details remain uncertain．
${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ See Sethe，Pyr． iii．p．9， $12 \mathrm{I} c$ ．
${ }^{3}$ Peas．B r，16r ； Urk．iv．18，1．3；19， 13.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 266 ; E b$. 4， 8 ；II，I．

[^109]$1 \operatorname{zwr}(y w)$
$2 \operatorname{snw}(y)$
$3 \operatorname{hmt}(w)$
$4 f d w$
$5 \operatorname{diz}{ }^{1}$
$6 \operatorname{srs} w$ or $s i s z w$
$7 \operatorname{sfh}(w)$
$8 \operatorname{hmn}(w)$
$9 \operatorname{psj}(w)$

IO $m \underline{d}(w)$
20 ［d drty ？？］
30 mebs
40 hm
50 diyw
$60 \operatorname{sr}(s y w)$ or $s i(s y w)$
$70 \operatorname{sfh}(y w)$
80 hmn（yw）
90 pśdyw

100 st orig．perhaps snt 1，000 hs
10,000 d $b r$
100，000 hfn
I，000，000 h h h

The bracketed consonants fell away at different times，mostly early．All the units，except snwy，which is a dual，have a masculine ending $w$ ；from 3 upwards they were plurals，but in Middle Egyptian，having mostly lost the $w$ ， they are already treated as singulars（see below § 261）．The tens，from 50 upwards，are plurals of the units．The word for 100 is fem．sing．，but the higher numbers are masc．sing．

We know from various sources that the units had fem．forms as well as masculine．There existed also a set of collectives corresponding to the English ＇triad＇，＇trio＇，＇quartet＇，etc．；an example from Middle Egyptian is＇1，＇娶＂diwt ＇a set of five＇．${ }^{2}$

The way in which combinations of tens and units（e．g．＇twenty－five＇），etc．， were expressed in spoken Middle Egyptian is largely a matter of conjecture，and cannot be discussed here．

Unlike the other numbers，＇one＇is often written out，m．wr，${ }^{3} \mathrm{ff}$ ．${ }^{-1}$ wrt．${ }^{4}$ Derivatives are：wr（w）＇be alone＇，合 wrty＇sole＇，＇unique＇，和 wrew ＇privacy＇，＇solitude＇．
§ 261．Construction of the cardinals．－The numeral follows the noun， which，as a general rule，exhibits the singular form．

Exx．Jl mh $I$ one cubit．${ }^{6}$ Il $s 2$ two men．${ }^{6}$
im ${ }^{2}$ msd $r \cdot f 2$ his two ears．${ }^{7} \quad \int_{i n}^{0 n} r n p t 20$ twenty years．${ }^{3}$


of if $d m i b_{3}$ a thousand towns．${ }^{11}$
洷 $\int d b r$ ten thousand men．${ }^{12}$
Frequently，however，the noun shows the plural form ；not，however，（a）with the numbers I and $2,(b)$ nor yet，as a rule，${ }^{13}$ in indications of time or of measure．



When the noun and numeral are accompanied by a demonstrative or possessive adjective，this adjective is made to agree with the noun in gender， though it always shows singular number，even when the noun has the plural form．

Exx．通臬 $p_{3} s 2$ the two men．${ }^{1}$
옴 $h r w 3$ $p n$ these three days．${ }^{2}$


When no noun is present，the adjective is singular and masculine．
Exx．III足 $3 p n$ these three．${ }^{5}$ 皿别 $p 32 I$ the twenty－one．${ }^{6}$
Only in the case of the numbers 100 and 1,000 does the demonstrative follow the gender of the numeral，without reference to the gender of the thing that is numbered．


What has been said above of the demonstrative applies equally to the word for＇other＇．

$\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1}^{6} k t s t r-s s_{0} k t s t$ one hundred（years）after another hundred．${ }^{10}$
The explanation of the puzzling facts set forth above is given by Old Egyptian and Coptic．It thence becomes clear that the method of writing the numeral after the word denoting the thing numbered was purely graphic； doubtless it was borrowed from book－keeping，just as $\mathcal{L} 6$ is the regular symbol in English account－books for＇six pounds＇．It is just possible that Egyptian measurements like $m h 4$ were sometimes read as $m h f d z$ ，lit．＇cubit，four＇；but if so，this manner of reading was merely derivative and a reflection of the manner of writing．

From the evidence which we possess it is plain that in actual speech the number always preceded the noun numbered．The only exception is snwe＇two＇， which was pronounced after its noun，cf．Coptic $p$－son snau＇the two brothers＇． In all other cases the noun indicating the thing numbered came at the end． When the number is relatively small，the noun is in the plural and in apposition to the word denoting the number；with the highest numbers（see below § 262，2）the noun numbered is in the singular and introduced by $m$ or $n$ ．The word denoting the number is in all cases a singular noun（the units were originally plural， but early became singular，§ 260），and hence the accompanying demonstrative and possessive adjectives and the word for＇other＇are always singular．The variability in gender which they exhibit when the number is a low one is due to the fact that the units had masc．forms when the following noun in apposition

1 Urk．iv． 1069.
${ }^{2}$ Peas．R 46.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 650.

4 Westc．8，3．Sim． ib．6， 3 ．
${ }^{5}$ Peas．B1，9．Sim． ib．81－2；Siut $1,287$.
－Siut 1，288．Sim． ib． 285 ．
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20003．Sim． ib．20006．20009，k． 20011．20012． 20053.
${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20004．Sim． ih．20007．20012， 2. 20046.
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv．539；1058， 15．Sim．hh•f $n k s$ （sing．）LAC．TR． $\mathbf{7 8}^{8,9}$ ${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv． 306.
11 Sebekn．7．Sim． Urk．iv．893， $1_{5}$ with $n$ for $n t$ ．
12 P．Turin 137， 3 ．

13 Marucchi，Gli Obelischi，1．Sim．Ann． 29，7，8，is $n$ hrw；$B$ ． of D．ed．Nav．，ch． 125，Intr．3，some MSS p ${ }^{42} n n t r$ ． 14 Urk．iv． $175^{\circ}$ ． ${ }^{15}$ Urk．iv． 740.
was masc．，and fem．forms when that noun was fem．The rules for the demon－ strative，etc．，become intelligible when we realize that what is written $p s \cdot i \underline{h r d z v} 4$ was read $p s \cdot i f d w, \underline{h} r d z v$＇my masculine four，（namely）children＇；＇my four cows＇ would have been $t s y \cdot i$ fdt，ihwt＇my feminine four，namely cows＇，though written tsy．$i \operatorname{inzw}$（or $i h t$ ）4．On the other hand，with the hundreds the demonstrative is always fem．，since $s t$＇hundred＇is an unchangeable fem．noun ；$h_{3}$＇thousand＇is，on the contrary，masculine，and consequently any adjective agreeing with it would have to be masculine likewise．
§ 262．The construction of the lowest and highest numbers．－
1．The word for＇one＇，when written phonetically，as a rule follows its noun．
Exx．offir $d m i z r$ one city．${ }^{1}$

Or else it precedes it and is connected with it by the genitival adjective．
Ex．$\leq-\sqrt{\infty}$
At a later stage of the language，the last－named construction gives rise to the indefinite article；an early example is -1.
＇One of（several）＇is expressed by wr $m$ ．

没造 $=$ wr im．$n n b$ every one of you．${ }^{6}$
For the use of wr to convey superlative meaning see above，$\S 97$ ，end ；and for its meaning＇one＇as contrasted with＇other＇see $\S 98$.

2．The words for 1,000 and $1,000,000$ are sometimes written before their noun，which is usually in the singular，and are connected with it either by the $m$ of predication or by the genitival adjective．The same doubtless held good of the words for 10,000 and 100,000 ，but here we have no evidence

Exx．${ }^{\theta E}$ h3 $m t$ hnkt a thousand of bread and beer．${ }^{7}$ Abbreviated writing $98 .{ }^{8}$


Similar writings occur where the word for 100 is involved．
 measure）．${ }^{11}$

In late Egyptian the construction with $n(y)$ has been extended also to the tens． Sporadic examples may be found from Dyn．XII onwards．

§ 263．The ordinal numbers．－ r ．For＇first＇合 $t p y$ ，varr． $\mathbb{O}$ ，the adjective from ${ }_{1}^{\infty} t p$＇head＇，is used and follows its noun．

Exx．©f $s p t p y$ the first time．${ }^{14} \quad Y \mid Q^{\infty} A_{0}^{\otimes} w d y t ~ t p t$ the first campaign．${ }^{15}$
2. The ordinals from 2 to 9 are formed by the addition of an ending $m$. $\sigma-n w$, f. ${ }_{0}^{0}-n w t$ to the stem of the cardinals. As a rule this ending is appended to the numerals, exx. "̈ $2-n w$ ' 2 nd' ( m .), "'m"'" 6 -nwt '6th' (f.), but a few phonetic
 uncommon. ${ }^{2}$

When used as epithets these ordinals may precede their noun.
Ex. $\bar{\equiv} \sigma_{\square \odot}^{-} 4-n w s p$ the fourth time. ${ }^{3}$

This is the older use; the second example shows that a suffix may be attached to the ordinal; so too in the adverbial phrase ${ }^{\prime \prime} \|_{\|} h r s n-n w \cdot s y$ 'again', lit. 'for its second (time $s p$ )', ${ }^{5}$ and compare $k t y \cdot f$ in $\S 98$.

Later, the ordinal follows like a true adjective.

Y M 0 ak 1111111 wdyt 6 -nwt the sixth expedition. ${ }^{7}$
Less commonly, the ordinal precedes its noun and is connected with it by means of the genitival adjective.

Ex. "!!" - (b) $5-n w n h b$ the fifth festival. ${ }^{8}$
3. From 10 upwards, the ordinals are formed with the aid of the participle m . ๆ $m \mathrm{~h}$, f. ๆ $m h t$ 'filling', 'completing'; the compound thus created follows its noun.

Exx. $\prod_{A \rightarrow n}$ wdyt mht-Io the tenth campaign, lit. the campaign completing ten (campaigns). ${ }^{9}$
 hat-sp 2, (3bd) $2(-n w w), 3 h t, s w i 8^{10}$ it seems certain that the numbers after the words for 'year' and 'day' are cardinals, though in sense they are ordinals. ${ }^{11}$ Similarly we might write in English 'year two', 'day eighteen' whilst meaning 'second year', 'eighteenth day'. The month-number in Egyptian was, on the contrary, almost certainly an ordinal, and it is probable also that the word for 'month' ( $36 d$ ) was omitted in speech and $-m$ inserted before the name of the season. This emetges from the following facts. Though $\uparrow$, i.e. 'first month' is invariable in hieratic ${ }^{12}$ and occasional in hieroglyphic, ${ }^{13}$ in the latter it is often

 text has correspondingly "1110


 points to an ordinal meaning for the cardinal numbers of the days. For the general system of dating, see Excursus C at the end of this lesson.
${ }^{1}$ A $Z .45$, Pl. 6, l. 12.
${ }^{2}$ AZ. 45, P1. 6, 1. 12. Sim. Sin. B4\%; Sh.S. 42.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. BI, 194. Sin. ib. 224. 226; Eb. 86, 20.

- Siut 3, 20; the sign for $i_{l}$ is uncertain.

> B Urk. iv. 4, 9; 5, 10; 10, 3.
> © Urk. iv. 590.
> 7 Urk. iv. 689. Sim. Budge, p. 327 , I4; 328, 1. 5. 9. 13.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 741. Sim. ib. 740, 17; Hearst 2, $3=E 6.86$, 19.

[^110]10 P. Kah. 12, 6.
${ }^{11}$ See Unt. iii. 92. 96.
${ }^{12}$ P. Kah. 14, 9; 22, 11; 24, 27 ; Urk. iv. 44, 8. 12. 16.
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 45 .
${ }^{14}$ L.D. ii. $150 f$.
${ }^{15}$ Urk. iv. 648, 9 ; 649, 3. Sim. Cairo 20026.
${ }^{16}$ Louvre C 166. Sim. Hamm. 114, 2; L. D. ii. $150 f$.
${ }^{163}$ P. Leyd. I 32, 4, 2 (unpubl.) qu. Mö́LL. Rhind, p. 73. Sim. Br. Thes. 271 1.447.
${ }^{17}$ Louvre C i. Sim. Hamm. 113, 3.
${ }^{18}$ P. Kah. 15, 13; 23, 1 ; Urk.iv. 771, 7 . ${ }^{19}$ Cairo 20541; Urk. iv. 823, 16; 836, 2 .
${ }^{20}$ Cairo 20026.
${ }^{21}$ Brit. Mus. 155.
${ }^{22}$ Budge, p. 252, 5 .
${ }^{1} C f$. perhaps $s p{ }^{2}$ 'a second time', Peas. B I, 88.
${ }^{2}$ Sebekkhu17. Sim. ib. 13 ; the sense seems guaranteed by the late Abbott 4,15 .
${ }^{24}$ JEA. 25, 167; for $m$ ' together with' see § $162,7 \mathrm{~A}$.
${ }^{2 b}$ Zahlworte, p. 60.

We shall see below (§ 265), in dealing with the fractions, that there too the cardinal numbers have ordinal meaning. ${ }^{1}$ Much more doubtful is the following:
 downstream in the escort (lit. in following) with five others of the Residence. ${ }^{2}$ Lit. perhaps 'as six', the text seeming to say he was six or represented them; hardly ' with six (others)' as has recently been suggested. ${ }^{2 a}$
§ 265. Fractions.- The commonest method of expressing fractions in Egyptian was by the use of the word $0 r$ 'part', below which (or partly below it in the case of the higher numbers) was written the number described in English as the denominator. Thus illi r-s 'part 5' is equivalent to our $\frac{1}{5}$, is nnnlill $r$-276 'part 276 ' to our $\frac{1}{2} 76$.

For the Egyptian the number following the word $r$ had ordinal meaning; ili! $r$-S means 'part 5 ', i. e. 'the fifth part' which concludes a row of equal parts together constituting a single set of five. As being the part which completed the row into one series of the number indicated, the Egyptian $r$-fraction was necessarily a fraction with, as we should say, unity as the numerator. To the Egyptian mind it would have seemed nonsense and self-contradictory to write $r-74$ or the like for $\frac{4}{7}$; in any series of seven, only one part could be the seventh, namely that which occupied the seventh place in the row of seven equal parts laid out for inspection. Nor would it have helped matters from the
 a writing which would likewise have assumed that there could be more than one actual 'seventh'. Consequently, the Egyptian was reduced to expressing (e. g.) $\frac{4}{7}$ by $\frac{1}{2}(+) \frac{1}{14}$. For more complex fractions even as many as five terms, all representing fractions with $I$ as the numerator and with increasing denominators, might be needed; thus the Rhind mathematical papyrus, dating from the Hyksos period, gives as equivalent of our $\frac{2}{61}$ the following complex writing : nnn fi $n \cap 11$ fiffnnnnilli ke8n $r-40 \quad r-244 r-488 r-610$ ' $\frac{1}{40}+\frac{1}{244}+\frac{1}{488}+\frac{1}{610}$ '. It is not generally known that the same cumbrous methods of expression were in common use with the Greeks and Romans. It would seem also that a relic of them survives in the use of English ordinals in the names of our fractions, though we speak of ' one-third' and 'three-fifths' without any qualms.

For $\frac{1}{2}$ the Egyptians used the word $\subset g s$, lit. 'side'. In place of hieroglyphic $\quad$ III, hieratic employs $\times$, which was originally read hsb 'fraction' (par excellence), but later understood as $r$-fdw ' part 4 '; rarely $\times$ or + occurs in hiero-
 Similarly, where the hieroglyphs have 0,1 r-3 ' $\frac{1}{3}$ ', hieratic has a sign $\Omega$, which may presuppose a hieroglyphic* $\rho$, probably to be understood as 'one part' (out of three).

Though the Egyptians were unable to say 'three-sevenths' or 'ninesixteenths', yet they made a restricted use of certain fractions which appear, at first sight, to stand on the same footing : a great rôle is played in Egyptian arithmetic by the fraction $\pi r w y^{1}$ 'the two parts' (out of three) i.e. $\frac{2}{3}$, and a very rare sign $\Pi \quad r-3$ (perhaps to be read $h m t r w$ ) can be quoted for 'the three parts' (out of four), i.e. $\frac{3}{4} \cdot{ }^{2}$ These 'complementary fractions' represent the parts remaining over when 'the third' or 'the fourth' is taken away from a set of three or four, and indeed their existence is practically postulated by the terms $r-3, r-4$. But we must be careful to note that in $r-3=\frac{3}{4}$ the numeral is a cardinal, not an ordinal, and that the expression means 'the three parts' and was not construed, as with ourselves, as meaning 'three fourths'. In ordinary arithmetic the only complementary fraction used was $\frac{2}{3}$. Compare in English 'two parts full', i.e. two-thirds full, doubtless a survival of the old Egyptian way of regarding the same fraction.

Some examples of the symbols above explained may now be quoted from the Rhind papyrus and elsewhere:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|<x_{n}^{0}\right\| I I \|_{\text {กili" }}^{\text {II }} 2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{14}+\frac{1}{28}=2 \frac{6}{7} \text { (half of } 5 \frac{5}{7} \text { just quoted). }{ }^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$ a temple day, it is the three hundred and sixtieth part of (lit. out of) the year. ${ }^{6}$

Tm $r-9 n_{9} m I$ the ninth of nine, namely one. ${ }^{7}$

§ 266. Other kinds of fractions; weights and measures.-In their measures for corn and for land, the Egyptians appear to have preserved a more primitive kind of fractions obtained by halving. In discussing these, we shall deal also with the terms for weights and linear measurements.

1. The corn-measure. ${ }^{9}$-The symbols employed in this, as shown in the accompanying cut, are derived from the ancient myth according to which the eye
 of the falcon-god Horus, often depicted on the monuments in the form 危, was torn into fragments by the wicked god Seth. ${ }^{10}$ Later, the ibis-god Thoth miraculously 'filled' or 'completed' ( $m h$ ) the eye, joining together the parts, whereby the eye regained its title to be called the $w d s t$, the sound eye'. In accordance with this myth the sign $\varangle$ was used for $\frac{1}{2}$, $\circ$ for $\frac{1}{4},-$ for $\frac{1}{8},>$ for $\frac{1}{16}, \backslash$ for $\frac{1}{32}$ and $\downarrow$ for $\frac{1}{64}$. These fractions together add up to $\frac{63}{84}$; presumably the missing $\frac{1}{64}$ was supplied magically by Thoth.
${ }^{1}$ In hieroglyphic, Urk. iv, 630.637 ; Mar. Karn. 34, 22. For the reading see the Sign-list under D 22.
2 Varille, Karnak 1, Pls. 27. 28. See further Zaklworte, p. $9^{8 .}$
${ }^{3}$ Rhind 34.
${ }^{4}$ Rhind 34.
${ }^{5}$ Rhind 42.

- Siut $1,285$.
${ }^{7}$ Rhind 41.
${ }^{8}$ Rhind 46.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．756， 8 ； Puy．36，reversed； hieratic also seems to reverse this sign．
${ }^{2}$ Siut 1，${ }^{279}$ ； Rhind 44 ；Urk．iv． 429， 12.
${ }^{3}$ Puy．35；Urk．iv． 720， $7 \cdot$
${ }^{4}$ Puy． 36.
${ }^{5}$ Peas．R 5；P． Boul．xviii．47；Rhind 82，6． 7 ．
${ }^{6}$ Rhind 35． 37.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．18， 26. 30；Rhind 64 ．
${ }^{8}$ Rhind 82， 11.
${ }^{9}$ P．Kah．15，65－7； Urk．iv．763， 9 ．
${ }^{10}$ PSBA．15， 306.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．667， 14.
${ }^{12}$ Rhind 41－6；$P$ ． Louvre 326，4， 9.
${ }^{13}$ Möller，Hiera－ tische Paläographie，ii． p． 62.
${ }^{14}$ In hieratic only； the transcriptions are conjectural，see PSBA． 13， 533 ．

15 In hieroglyphic Sinai 139，8．An isolated case where $\frac{1}{3}$ is employed for $33 \frac{1}{3}$ hkyt，see Rhind 82， 7 ．
${ }^{16}$ Rhind 82，10．Sim． Rec．28，69，down to $\frac{1}{10} \boldsymbol{T} r, \operatorname{see} J E A$ ．ix， 91 ．
${ }^{17}$ Rhind 82，6．Sim． P．Boul．xviii．47－

18．Rhind 41．Sim． ib． 43 ．
${ }^{19}$ P．Kah．15， 50. Sim．ib．${ }^{15}$ ，52；$P$ ． Pet．ini6a，vs．ioi． ${ }^{1} 79$.

[^111]With the exception of $\varangle \frac{1}{2},{ }^{1}$ no hieroglyphic examples of this notation have been found before Dyn．XX，but the hieratic equivalents are of frequent occurrence．These are regularly employed in connection with the hekat－measure
 recent measurements of the $\operatorname{hin}$（see below）than a galion $=4.54$ litres；but they also
 the＇quadruple hekat＇written once in hieroglyphic $\xi^{(1111}{ }^{11}$ in hieratic regularly $\rangle_{i / 10}{ }^{12}$ and later，less correctly，）${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ；${ }^{13}$ the quadruple $h k s t$ makes its first appearance in the Rhind mathematical papyrus（Hyksos period）and was much used at a later


Fractions below $\frac{1}{64}$ of the hekat，whether single，double，or quadruple，were indicated in terms of the $\Delta$ ro－measure $(r)$ of $\frac{1}{10} \quad \leq=\frac{1}{10} \times \frac{1}{32}=\frac{1}{320}$ hekat；to avoid confusion with the ordinary fractions like $, 1, \frac{1}{3}, 1111 \frac{1}{4}(\S 265)$ ，the multiples of the ro－measure were written as ${ }^{\prime \prime}$＇＇＇＇＇，and ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}$ ；${ }^{14}$ for 5 ro，being $\frac{1}{64}$ hekat， 1 is written． For quantities smaller than the $r o$－measure itself the ordinary fractions were used．

We must next describe the curious way in which multiples of the hekat－ measure were indicated in hieratic ； $1 . \mathrm{T}, 11 \mathrm{~m}$ stand respectively for 100 and 200 single，double，or quadruple hekat，and with $1: ⿴ 囗 ⿰ 丿 ㇄$
 represent io hekat and 20 hekat and the units are denoted by mere dots，e．g． $i^{\infty} \circ=2$ hekat，$\overbrace{\circ \circ \circ}^{0000}=7$ hekat．
 hekat $(=80 r o)+\frac{1}{16}$ hekat $(=20 r o)+\frac{1}{64}$ hekat $(=5 r o)+1 r o+\frac{2}{3} r=93$ hekat $106 \frac{2}{3} r$ $=93 \frac{1066^{1}}{320}$ hekat $=93 \frac{1}{3}$ hekat．.$^{16}$
 $100+50+10+6+\frac{1}{2}$ hekat $(=160 \mathrm{ro})+\frac{1}{8}$ hekat $(=40 r o)+\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2}$ hekat $(=10 \mathrm{ro})+3_{3}^{\frac{1}{3}}$ $r o=166 \frac{213 t}{320}=166_{3}^{2}$ hekat．${ }^{17}$

Only very rarely are the fractions for $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ substituted for the signs of the Horus－eye notation．

Ex． $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{D}} \subset 00 \varangle \pi$ hkst $\frac{1}{2} 2 \frac{2}{3}=50+2+\frac{2}{3}=52_{3}^{2}$ hekat．${ }^{19}$
In hieroglyphic，on the other hand，multiples of the hekat seem to have been expressed with the ordinary notation．


In Dyn．XVIII the＇sack＇$A$ hlsr（rare variant $\left.\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{f}}\right)^{22}$ comes into vogue as the multiple 4 of the quadruple hekat $=16$ single hekat．One，two，or three quadruple hekat（the oipĕ of Dyn． XX ）are expressed，as previously，by dots，and fractions of the quadruple hekat by means of the Horus－eye notation．
 2. ${ }^{1}$ A somewhat strange way of expressing $(4 \times 20)+2=82$ quadruple hekat.
 IOS $3 \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}$ crates (?), 226, content $1 \frac{7}{8}$ hekat, making ( $\$ 422,3$ ) 105 sacks and $3 \frac{3}{4}$ hekat. ${ }^{2}$

It seems evident that the 'sack' ( $\operatorname{h}_{3} r$ ) of Dyn. XVIII was a modification of the $\overline{\text { B }}$ hr 'sack' of 5 quadruple, or 20 single, hekat mentioned in the Rhind mathematical papyrus. ${ }^{3}$
 apparently also for grain, ${ }^{5}$ is shown by the Rhind papyrus to have contained $\frac{1}{10}$ hekat $;^{6}$ actual inscribed examples average about $\cdot 503$ litre. ${ }^{\text {ba }}$ Other vessels employed as liquid measures were named ${ }^{0} d s$ (especially for beer), ${ }^{7}$, ${ }^{0}$
 $\stackrel{\rightleftarrows}{=} \|_{0}^{x} m n^{i 1}$ (oil, incense); the size of these has not been determined.
2. Measures of length. ${ }^{12}$-Measurements of small objects are given in terms of the cubit of about 20.6 inches $=523$ millimetres ${ }^{13}$ and its subdivisions, 1 cubit being equal to 7 palms or 28 digits. 'Cubit' is $\left.]^{14}\right]^{15} \mathrm{mh}$, abbrev.
 'digit', i.e. finger-breadth, is $\mathbb{d} d r$. A much less often mentioned linear measure is the $\bar{I} \mid$ es $u b i w$ ' nebiu', lit. 'pole', perhaps equal to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ or $1 \frac{1}{3}$ cubit. ${ }^{206}$
 (the serpent) swallows 1 cubit and 3 palms of the great waters. ${ }^{21}$
 $1_{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}}$; dmd, $s s p s, d b r$ half of it is $3 \frac{1}{2}$ (palms), one-fourth of it is $1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4}$ (palms); total, 5 palms and a digit. ${ }^{22}$ Here the digit is represented in hieratic by ,

בIIIIIIIII mh 4, ssp 4, dbr 2 four cubits, 4 palms and 2 digits. ${ }^{23}$
The chief multiple of the cubit was the ${ }_{a}^{2-1} h t$ 'rod' of 100 cubits, also called "- -
 $n w h 2 I$ I made a wide road (lit. made wide a road) for my offerings consisting of 21 rods of cord, i. e. 2,100 cubits. ${ }^{24}$

 the Greek 'schoenus', now estimated on good grounds at 20,000 cubits $=10.5 \mathrm{~km} .{ }^{26 \mathrm{a}}$ However. in one place a smaller itrw occurs in conjunction with the $b t$ 'rod', and with two fractions of this which we shall find below as measures of area :-

The distance between stela and stela on the hill east of Akhetaten $\frac{1}{3}-4$ 폰川101 4 cubits. ${ }^{27}$ For irw $n$ ' makes' see $\$ 422,3$.
${ }^{1}$ P. Lowvre 3226, 4, 9. Sim. P. Pet. 1116 A, vs. passim. In hieroglyphic Urk. iv. 667,14
${ }^{2}$ P. Lowore 3226, 29, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Rhind 41.43.
${ }^{4}$ Peas. B I, 94 ; P.
Kák. 5, 11. 32. 33 ;
Eb. 53. 10.
${ }^{5}$ Khind 83.
${ }^{6}$ Rhind 80, 81.
${ }^{60}$ Ann. 40, 80, a recent computation.
${ }^{7}$ Siut 1, 302; Peas. B I, 84; P. Kah. 26, 3. 13 ; Rhind 71.
${ }^{8}$ AZ. 45, Pl. 8; K'ekh. 6; Urk. iv. 718 , 6.
${ }^{9}$ Siut 1, $302 ; 1$. Kah. 26, 4. I4.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 699, 15 ; 718, 7 .
${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 712, 15.
${ }^{12}$ PSBA. 14, 403; Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, i. p. $6_{5}$.
${ }^{13}$ See JEA. iv. ${ }^{136}$.
14 Hamm. $114,14$.
${ }^{15}$ Urk. iv. 425, 17 ; 459, 9.
${ }^{16}$ Bersh. i. 14, 1.
${ }^{17}$ Urk. iv, 640.
${ }^{18}$ BH. i. 26, 200; Urk. iv. 373, 9.
${ }^{19}$ AZ. 59, 44*; Rhind 56. 58.
${ }^{20}$ P. Kah. 23, 30.
${ }^{200}$ See the Sign-list,
under $D 48$.
${ }^{200}$ Cen. p. 93; Wb.
II, 243, 9; 244, 2; hayes, p. 36.
${ }^{21} A Z .59,47^{*}$. Sim. P. Boul. xviii. 4.
${ }^{2}$ Rhind 58.
${ }^{23}$ ABZ. 59,44*. Sim.
Arw. 93.
24 Usk. iv. 133.
${ }^{25}$. Whind 49. Sim.
AZ $59,44^{*}$.
${ }^{28}$ See $A Z .41,58$. 2ge Borchardt in Festschrift. . . . Leh-mann-Haupt (Janus, 1921), 119; see also JEA. $30,33$.
${ }^{27}$ Amarna v. 26, $18-$ J 9 , see $i$. p. 33 , n. 8 . According to BorchARDT, here perhaps an ltrw of 5,000 cubits.
${ }^{1}$ See PSR．4．${ }^{14}$ ， 410；Sethe，Zahl． worle 74：Möller， Hieratische Palaio－ graphic，i．p． 65.
${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．6，8． 15.
＊Siut I， 313 ．
－Sebekn．7，qu．§ 262， 2.
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1，313．Sim． ib． 325 ．
${ }^{6}$ P．Kah．21， 3. Sim．Rhind ${ }^{48}$ ． 53.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．21， 19. Sim．Rhind 54 ． 55.
${ }^{1}$ See PSBA．14， 435.
－Mar．Karm．34， 30－1：the same sign reversed，D．el B．8I
${ }^{2}$ Berl．AI．i．72， O．K．
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{K}$ Peas．B I， 166. Sim．Cairo ${ }^{1}, \mathbf{6}_{5} \mathbf{2}$ ，see Weigall，Weights， pl． 6.
${ }_{11}$ Urk．iv．602．Sim． ib． 630.637 .638 ．
${ }_{12}$ Urk．iv． 630.637. ${ }^{13}$ See Spiegelberg， Riechnungen aus der Zeit Setis I（Strass－ burg，1896），Text，p． 87.
${ }^{14}$ Rhind 62.
1s $\ddot{A Z} Z .43,45$ ．Con－ firmed by a papyrus in anthor＇s possession．
${ }^{16} \ddot{A} Z .43,35$. Sim． ib．43，39；P．Boul．

3．Measures of area．${ }^{1}$－A set of fractions obtained by halving，like the fractions of the corn－measure，was used in connection with the $s t s t$ ，the Greek
 100 cubits squared，i．e． 2735 square metres，or roughly $\frac{2}{3}$ acre．The fractions of the $s t s t$ are $\triangle r m n=\frac{1}{2} s t s t, \times \underset{\sim}{s} b=\frac{1}{4} s t \leq t$ and $\hat{e}{ }^{2} s s=\frac{1}{8} s \underline{t} s t$ ；in Middle Egyptian they have been found only in hicratic，but of the three hieroglyphic forms derived from Ptolemaic texts two，namely $\omega$ and $\times$ ，certainly were used in Middle Egyptian，since they occur as measures of length（see above，2）．Smaller parts of the aroura are expressed in terms of the $-m h$＇cubit＇，i．e．a strip of land 100 cubits in length with a depth of 1 cubit $=\frac{1}{100} s t s t$ ．A measure of ten arouras


Exx．Knat sht h； 2 ，stst 2 twenty－two arouras of field．${ }^{5}$
IIIII口（ks？） 4 stst 2 rmn forty－two and a half arouras．${ }^{6}$
 cubits．${ }^{7}$

4．Weights．${ }^{8}$－From Dyn．XVIII onwards the weight employed for
 phonetically $-\boldsymbol{J}^{-1}{ }^{10}$ ）of 10 捛 $k d t$＇kite匕＇；actual weighing shows it to have amounted to about 91 grammes，or a little more than 1，400 grains．

For weights smaller than the kitĕ the ordinary fractions were used．${ }^{12}$
The values of different articles were in Ramesside times expressed in terms of deben and kitĕ of gold，silver or copper．${ }^{13}$ For Dyn．XVIII there is not much evidence of the kind，but in one or two documents we find articles valued in
 the latter was equivalent to $\frac{1}{12}$ deben．${ }^{15}$


## V OCABULARY

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fand measure (vb.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\sim}{\infty} \text { kf uncover. } \\
& \text { 罚 sow length. }
\end{aligned}
$$

4 м为 $=W_{3 d}$－wr the sea，lit．the great green． S1，rkw provisions，revenue．
代，道，ckyw members of household．亚通 wapw butler．
$f_{111}$ rnhw victuals．
$\int_{-1}^{\infty} 1, \underline{d} s t$（or $w d s t$ ）remainder，balance．
min quantum，fixed ration．

会口身 ret amount，number（m．）．

级 harîm．
${ }^{1}$ In this and the perhaps identical word for＇prison＇（above，p．146）the spellings vacillate between hat and hart， partly owing to the similarity of hieratic $\longleftarrow$ and $\boldsymbol{Q}$ ．Both words are probably derived from $h n r$＇restrain＇．

## EXERCISE XX

（a）Study the following excerpt from a papyrus of accounts relating to the Royal Court（Din．XIII）：${ }^{2}$


[^112]|  | $\begin{gathered} t \$ b n \\ \substack{t} \end{gathered}$ | $h n k t$ $d s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rht rẹw $n$ nb (r.w. s.) $n$ hist-sp 3 (3bd) $2(-n w n) 3 h t$, erky | 1680 | 135 |
| in (§ 422, 1) nff m dst nt hit-sp 3 (3bd) 2(-nw n) 3ht, sw 29 | 200 |  |
|  | 100 | 10 |
| dmd. Ssm hnt rht pn. | $\overline{1980}$ | 145 |
| diw (§ 422, 1) $r \operatorname{stp}-\mathrm{s}(3) \mathrm{m}$ rk, $n$ wdpw $n$ hnt | 625 | 45 |
| $m n t(?) n t \leq n r d d t(\$ 369,4) n \mathrm{rmt}$ pr mnrwt | 630 | 61 |
|  | 525 | 38 |
| $d m d$ | 1780 | 143 (sic) |
| $\underline{d}$ d $t$ | 200 | 2 (sic) |
| Account of the revenue of the Lord (l. p. h.) of yr. 3, second month of inundation, last day. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { beer, } \\ \text { des-jugs } \end{gathered}$ |
| Amount of the revenue of the Lord (1. p. h.) of yr. 3, second month of inundation, last day | 1680 | 135 |
| Was brought to him as balance of yr. 3, second month of inundation, day 29 | 200 |  |
| Was brought to him as king's victuals (?) which are brought from the temple of Amūn | 100 | 10 |
| Total. Expenditure out of this amount. | 1980 | 145 |
| Was given into the palace at the entry of the butler of the harim | 625 | 45 |
| Ration of the ergastulum which is given to the people of the house of the nurses | 630 | 61 |
| Ration of the ergastulum which is given to the ordinary members of the household | 525 | 38 |
| Total | 1780 | 143 (sic) |
| Balance | 200 | 2 (sic) |

(b) Write in hieroglyphs and in transliteration:
(I) It happened (on) one of these days I heard a noise and uncovered my face and found it was ( $p w$ ) a snake of ( $n y$-sw, lit. it was of) ro cubits in its length. (2) Thou ( $n t k$ ) shalt divide for them $1_{32}^{31}$ hekat ${ }^{1}$ of corn. (3) Year 7 , first month of summer, day 1 under the Majesty of the Horus 'Great of Might' (rs bsw), King of Upper and Lower Egypt, Nema'rēe, Son of Rē厄, Ammenemes, ${ }^{2}$ tribute of the prince $(w r)$ of the Medjay, 265 deben of gold (lit. gold, 265 deben).

[^113](4) He went to the door a fourth time, and found no one there. (5) He gave her three-fifths of all his possessions ( $h t$ ). (6) The twelfth hour of the night. (7) They cried out with one voice (lit. mouth). (8) He was the third of these three (write 'third' and 'three' phonetically).

## EXCURSUS C

## The Divisions of Time and Method of Dating. ${ }^{1}$

The Egyptian year ( $\left\{_{1}^{-} m p t\right)$ was divided into 12 months ( $\underset{\star}{ }{ }_{\circ} 3 b d$ ) of 30 days ( $\circ h r w$ ), completed to 365 days by the addition of the five so-called epagomenal or 'added' days ( $\{=-$ S $\mathrm{hryw} r n p t, \S 259$ ). Though for dating and calendrical purposes generally the year of 365 days perforce served as the basis, there was clearly a tendency to regard the year as of only 360 days; thus for purposes of calculation the daily income of a temple is stated as $\frac{1}{360}$ of the yearly revenue. ${ }^{2}$ In dating, the year was further divided into three 'seasons' ( 0 f $\odot \mathrm{tr}$ )
 presumably the season of the 'emergence' ( $p r$ ) of the fields from the water; 3. $\doteq \odot s m w$ 'summer', daringly guessed to mean 'deficiency ( $w s r$ ) of water'. The word for 'day' used in dates seems from Coptic to have been sw, not
 Egyptian with the meaning 'dates '. 4 Similarly the word for 'year' used in dates is not $\left\{_{1}^{Q} r n p t\right.$, which never has $\odot$ as a determinative, but $\left\{\begin{array}{|}\ominus \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$, which we now know to read $h_{s} t-s p$; in $h_{3 t-s p}$ the round sign is the ideogram of $-s p$ 'occasion'; only at a late period is the ordinary determinative of time $\odot$ substituted in this word for $\Theta$. We shall have more to say about the meaning of $h s t-s p$ below.

The way in which the numerals are indicated in dates has been studied above in $\$ \S$ 259. 264. We may now give one or two examples :-
 $N$-mset-Rc year 2, third month of inundation, day one under the Majesty of king Nemarēé (i.e. Ammenemes III). ${ }^{6}$
§\{ $h r w-h b m h$-Io n 'Imn m 'Ipt-swt in year 24, second month of winter, last day, tenth festival-day of Amūn in Ipet-sut (i. e. Karnak). ${ }^{6}$ Note that, as often, the king (Tuthmosis III) is not mentioned. This date occurs in the midst of a sentence ; at the beginning of a text the preposition $m$ is never used.
 opening of the year (= day I ), feast of Chnum. ${ }^{7}$

Very rare writings of the regnal year are seen in $\left\{_{n}^{n n}\right.$ hat-sp 30 ' year 30 '; ${ }^{8}$

${ }^{1}$ See in general K. Sethe, Die Zeitrechnung der alten Aegypter im Verhältnis zu der der andert: Völker, in Nachrichten d. .k. Gesellschaft d. Wissenschafien zu Göttingen, Phil.-hist. Klasse, 1919-20.
${ }^{2}$ See Siut 1, 285, qu. § 265 .

[^114] iv. $112,12$.
b Hamm. 43.

- Urk. iv. 836.
${ }^{7}$ Urk. iv. 823.
${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20516.
${ }^{9}$ Leyd. V 4 ; sim.
${ }^{10}$ Rhind, title.


## Excurs. C

${ }^{1}$ For all that follows see now $J E A \cdot 3$ I, 11; fundamental is Unt. 3, 60-100.
${ }^{2}$ Unt. 3, 79.
${ }^{3}$ Sinai 16.
${ }^{4}$ Disputed by Edel in $J N E S .8,35$; a reply to appear $i b$. later.

[^115]${ }^{6}$ The following paragraph has been re-modelled to meet the objections raised byO. Neugerauerin Acta Orientalia, vol. if, to Ed. Meyer's thitherto generally accepted views in his Asyptische Chronologie, Berlin, 1904.

On the strength of the testimony already quoted the student will have concluded that the Egyptians dated their inscriptions by the years of their kings' reigns; throughout the whole of the Pharaonic history no use was ever made of a continuous era. Even the numbering of the regnal years was, however, a secondary development. ${ }^{1}$ In the earliest Dynasties each separate year was named after some conspicuous event that happened in it, e.g. 'the year of fighting and of smiting Lower Egypt'. In the Fifth and Sixth Dynasties this ancient method of dating survived in a modified form; an event which occurred biennially was the census of the cattle, and this became the standard event by
 $i h$ rwt $n b$ 'beginning of time 14 of the numbering of the oxen and of all small
 time $18^{\prime} .^{3}$ Note that in this last date, which appears to refer to the 37 th year of Phiops I (Dyn. VI), the words $t n w t i h$ rwt $n b$ are omitted as obvious and unessential. Still more would this be true when the census of the cattle came to be taken every year, as may possibly have happened towards the end of the reign of Phiops II. There is no definite evidence that a census of cattle ever occurred annually, nor do we know precisely when the 'times' ( $s p$ ) in question ceased to be biennial; but certain it is that henceforth $h_{3} t-s p$ meant ' year' in dates-the reading hst instead of $r n p t$ is proved by the variants and $\{$ 个月, for $\left\{_{\Theta}^{\circ}\right.$ in texts of the Ptolemaic temple at Edfu. ${ }^{4}$

There is testimony to show that in the Middle Kingdom and earlier the Pharaohs dated their second regnal year from the New Year's Day (wpt-rnpt= ist day of ist month of inundation) following the actual day of their accession, and that their first year consisted merely of the odd months and days after the demise of their predecessor. ${ }^{5}$ In Dyn. XVIII, however, a new system came into vogue and continued until at least the end of Dyn. XX: year i was dated from the actual day of accession and year 2, accordingly, from its anniversary in the following civil year, so that the civil year now always contained parts of two regnal years.

Grave consequences resulted from the fact that the Egyptians used a civil year of 365 days, whereas the astronomical year has approximately $365 \frac{1}{4}$ days. ${ }^{6}$ Since they never resorted to intercalation of a day such as we carry out in leapyear, it followed that four years after the coincidence of the beginning of the astronomical year with the beginning of the civil year New Year's Day of the civil calendar would already occur one day earlier than the event which marked the beginning of the astronomical year. In about 120 years the civil year would be a whole month in advance of the astronomical year, and in about 1460 years, when the civil and astronomical years would again coincide, any given annual astronomical event would have fallen in turn on every different day
of the civil calendar. It must have been early recognized that the Nile began to rise afresh about the same time (near July igth of the Julian calendar) that the brilliant star Sirius (the dog-star), after having been invisible for a prolonged period, was first again observed in the sky shortly before sunrise. Consequently this latter event, described by modern astronomers as the heliacal rising of Sirius and by the Egyptians as $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ} \Delta \hat{\star}$ prt Spdt 'the going up of (the goddess) Sothis', came to be regarded as the true New Year's Day (א wpt-rnpt 'the opening of
 event always formed the beginning of the Egyptian civil year, the Inundation season ( $; h t$ ) would have corresponded roughly to middle July-middle November, Winter ( $p r t$ ) to middle November-middle March, Summer ( $s m w$ ) to middle March-middle July. Owing to the above-mentioned defect in the civil year, it sometimes happened that the real summer fell in the winter of the civil calendar, and vice versa. We know on the authority of Censorinus that a coincidence of the civil New Year's Day and the heliacal rising of Sirius took place in A. D. 139, and thence it is calculated ${ }^{1}$ that a similar coincidence must have occurred in B. C. 1317 and 2773. In the period covered by this book three records of Sothic risings have come down to us, namely from an unspecified year of Tuthmosis III (IIth month, day 28), ${ }^{2}$ from year 9 of Amenophis I (IIth month, day 9), ${ }^{3}$ and from year 7 of Sesostris III (8th month, day 16). ${ }^{4}$ Combination of these dates with those previously mentioned yields as the approximate corresponding years в. C. 1469 , 1545 , and 1877 ; the two first dates fit in admirably with other considerations, but the third has been thought by some to allow too small an interval between the Twelfth and the Eighteenth Dynasty.

In the Aramaic papyri of the Persian period and in the subsequent Greek and Coptic documents from Egypt the twelve months are no longer numbered and allotted to one or other of the three seasons, but receive names derived from certain feasts. The month-names in their Greek forms are Thōuth, Phaōphi, Athyr, Khoiak, Tybi, Mekhir, Phamenōth, Pharmouthi, Pakhōn, Payni, Epiph, and Mesorē. To translate $1111 \odot_{11}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'as 'the 5 th of Pharmouthi', as many scholars still do, is a gross anachronism, the more reprehensible since some of the originating feasts were in Dyns. XVIII-XX celebrated not in the month to which they gave their name, but on the first day of the following month. ${ }^{5}$ Thus Dyn. XVIII inscriptions inform us that the feast of Ernūtet, who gave her name to Pharmouthi, took place on the ist day of the ist month of summer, ${ }^{6}$ not in the 4 th month of winter. A calendar from year 9 of Amenophis I gives the entire series of month-names in similarly advanced positions, ${ }^{7}$ whereas another calendar of Ramesside date ${ }^{8}$ shows the names in the places accorded to them in Greek times. Unsolved problems present themselves in connexion with these facts. ${ }^{9}$ Clearly the only scientific course is to render 1111 安 $\odot$ as 'fourth month of winter'. ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1}$ The figures here given are taken from Winlock's article in Proc. Aner. Philosoph. Soc., 83, 447, where most of the recent literature is quoted.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 827.
${ }^{3}$ Eb., calendar at beginning.
${ }^{+} A Z .37,99$.
${ }^{5}$ See $A Z .43,136$.

- Düm. Kalenderinschr. 38 ; cf. L. D. Text, iii. 283..

7 Above, n. 3 .
${ }^{8}$ Ann. 43, 179.
${ }^{9}$ See my discussion in Rev. d'Eg. 10, 931, a reply to K . PARker in The Calenilars of Ancient Egypt, Chicago, 1950. An additional piece of evidence $J E A .41,123$. ${ }^{10}$ It may sometimes be convenient to abbreviate as ' 8 th month' or simply 'viii'.

The Egyptians were the first to divide the day into 24 hours (
${ }^{1}$ D.el B. 114 .
${ }^{2}$ D.elB. 116.

[^116][^117][^118]var. $\left.\star_{\circ} \odot\right)$; there were twelve hours of the day and twelve hours of the night.

$\star_{\odot}^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$
These hours, which had their own religious names, were used mainly for religious and astronomical purposes. ${ }^{3}$ Ordinary parlance made shift with such


 to have had no very precise instruments for measuring the hours, and the hours of the day were longer in the summer than in the winter. ${ }^{7}$ Still less was it possible to fix the length of a short space of time to which the name $3 t$ ' minute', 'moment' was given.

In conclusion, reference must be made to the 'decans', the 36 constellations, or parts of such, which rise at particular hours of the night during the 36 different periods of ten days constituting the year. These periods or 'decades' are named according to the calendar months in which they occur, with the addition 'first
 $h r w(?)$ Io $t p y$, $\odot \cap$ \& $h r w(?)$ Io $h r y-i b, \odot \cap \odot \Delta ~ h r w(?)$ Io $h r-p h w y .^{8}$ The various decans have their own names, which have survived in Greek; ${ }^{9}$ examples are


## LESSON XXI

## THE VERB (INTRODUCTORY) ${ }^{10}$

§ 267. Verbs of different classes.-In dealing with the $\dot{s} d m \cdot f(\$ 39)$ and sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 67)$ forms it served our purpose to regard these as built up from unchangeable verb-stems, to which the necessary inflexions were appended as suffixes. Only in the case of the verb wnn 'be' were we compelled to admit (§ 118 ) the existence of different forms of the $s d m \cdot f$, namely $w n n \cdot f$ and wn.f, each with its own particular range of meaning. It has now to be learnt that, while unchangeable stems are in the majority, they are by no means universal ; in other words, that wnn is no isolated case.

A classification of Egyptian verbs is therefore required, and the basis of this must be the mutability or immutability of the stem. A second mark serving to distinguish the different verbal classes is the gender of the infinitives, some classes having masculine infinitives like $f^{-\prime}$ enh 'to live'. while others have infinitives showing the feminine ending $-t$, ex. $\left\|\| \frac{m s t}{}\right.$ ' to bear', 'to give birth'.
§ 268. Verbs with mutable stems.-It must be remembered that in hieroglyphic writing the vowels are ignored and only the consonantal skeletons of words are exhibited to the reader (\$19). Hence it is quite possible a priori that one and the same hieroglyphic writing sdm.f might conceal several differently vocalized verb-forms such as *sedmaf and ${ }^{* e}$ sddämef, or even a form with doubled medial consonant like *seddamef. ${ }^{1}$ That such was actually the case cannot be directly proved for verbs like sdm 'hear', in which the component consonants $s, \underline{d}$ and $m$ are strong and different from one another; but it may be inferred with great probability from the fact that variations of writing explicable only if due to differences of vocalization analogous to our postulated *sedmaf and ${ }^{* e}$ sddamef occur in the case of two classes of verbs, namely ( I ) those in which the final radical consonant is identical with the preceding consonant (geminating verbs like $w n n$ ), and (2) those in which the final consonant is one of the semi-vowels $i$ or $w$ (ullimae infirmae verbs like $\operatorname{pr}(\boldsymbol{i})$ 'go forth', $r \xi(w)$ ' rejoice'). These classes of verbs we shall proceed to discuss in turn.
§ 269. Geminating verbs.-These verbs have the peculiarity that the last two radical consonants of their stem are identical, ex. wnn 'exist'. Now we have seen ( $\$ 6_{2}$ ) that when two identical consonants fell together in pronunciation, or at least were not separated by an accented vowel, there was a strong tendency to write them but once, and this tendency would naturally become the rule where there was the additional inducement that a vital difference of meaning could thereby be emphasized. Hence, when we find a regularly
 fell between the two consonants $n$ of the verb-stem; in cases where, on the contrary, wnff is consistently found we may suppose that the two like consonants had fallen together, yielding some such pronunciation as *wennaf, corresponding to *sedmaf assumed in $\S 268$ as one of the possible values of onn sdm.f.

In the case of wnnff a plausible pronunciation would be ${ }^{*}$ runanef, pointing to a similar pronunciation ${ }^{* e}$ sdamef in the corresponding form of the immutable verb sdm. There is, however, another possibility (it is no more than such) to which but little attention has been paid hitherto, and which may turn out to be applicable in certain cases, though it evidently cannot hold in all, e.g. the infinitive. This possibility is that the first of the two like radicals has been doubled, as in the Hebrew pirel or the Arabic second form; the effect of such doubling is necessarily to hold the last radical apart from the doubled middle radical, whether the vowel following the latter be accented or unaccented. ${ }^{2}$ Hence wnn•f might represent a pronunciation *wennānef (instead of ${ }^{*}$ wwnänef), pointing to *seddāmef from the immutable sdm.
${ }^{1}$ The asterisk denotes hypothetical vocalizations. The small $e$ indicates the initial helping-vowel discussed in $\$ \mathbf{2 7 2}$.
${ }^{2}$ This follows from the fect that, alike in Semitic and in Egyptian, 2 doubled consonant must both close a syllable and begin a second one, in other words must always be followed by a vowel. See Appendix $A$ at the end of the book.
${ }^{1}$ See Rec. 40, 73.

[^119]Obs. The existence of geminating verbs in Egyptian is established beyond a doubt, but the reasons for the appearance or absence of the gemination in the hieroglyphic writing are largely a matter of conjecture. ${ }^{1}$ For the infinitive we have the evidence of Coptic, ex. $\chi b o b$ 'to be cool' from Eg. $k b b$; since the vocalization here corresponds to that of immutable intransitive verbs like Coptic ${ }^{e} n$ šot ' be hard ', from Eg. $n h t$, it would appear that the presence of the gemination in the writing is due in this case solely to the existence of an accented vowel between the two like consonants. Conversely, after $d i$ 'cause' the $s d m \cdot f$ form of the verb $k b b$ 'be cool' would show the hieroglyphic form $k b \cdot f\left(\$ 45^{2}, 1\right)$; but Coptic has $t k b o f$ for 'to make him cool' (Eg. *dit $k b \cdot f$ ' to cause thát he be cool'), and there is reason to think that this was pronounced tkebbof, a form analogous to Coptic $t s^{e} n k o f$ ' to suckle him ' from the immutable triliteral stem snk 'suck'; ${ }^{2}$ here, then, the single writing of $b$ in hieroglyphic $k b \cdot f$ would seem due to the last two radical consonants of $k b b$ falling together without an intervening vowel. For the geminating sdm.f forms and participles in hieroglyphic, however, no explanation is forthcoming from the Coptic. Our enquiry will tend to show that the geminating sdm. $m$ is entirely dependent, for the writing of the gemination, on its origin in the geminating passive participle (the imperfective passive participle), see $\S \$ 356$, ObS.; $41 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} ; 438$, ObS. But since gemination in the participles is associated with notions of repetition or continuity such as might well find formal expression in the doubling of the medial radical consonant, the hypothesis that the geminating s $\underline{d} m \cdot f$ forms are comparable to Hebrew picill forms appears at least worth examination.
§ 270. Weak verbs.-In the case of the weak verbs ending in $\backslash i$ or $w$ matters are complicated by the fact that these consonants (or semi-vowels, § 20) were often omitted in the writing. Accordingly, a hypothetical form like *merwāt. from the stem mrav (or mri§28I) 'love' might in one place be written out as TI $m r w t$, while in another place it might, no less correctly, be rendered (O mrt. Scholars have shown, however, that under certain conditions, e.g. after another consonant and before a short unaccented vowel, the original $i$ and $w$ of stems were apt to disappear, not only from the written, but also from the spoken language. ${ }^{3}$ For this reason, when we encounter a form like $\square \wedge$ with a flexional element $-w$ added to the verb-stem $p r i$, we cannot be certain whether some such pronunciation as *pariew or *periaw is to be assumed, or whether $i$ had here fallen away so that only *parew or *peraw was spoken; nothing but an
 Egyptian would write it, see Obs. below) could settle the question in favour of the former pair of alternatives. Thus the presence or absence of $i$ and $w$ in the hieroglyphic writing of weak verbs is but a precarious criterion of differences of form.

Fortunately, however, the weak verbs display in certain forms a more trustworthy criterion, namely a gemination similar to that which was described in the last section. It is supposed that in some circumstances the final $i$ or $w$ became assimilated to the preceding radical, so that forms like ©乘 $m r r \cdot f$
from original $m r w \cdot f$ came into existence．Probably such gemination or repetition of the penultimate radical would only occur where the repeated consonants were separated by a vowel of some importance；and it is even possible that a doubling of the radical penultimate consonant has to be assumed，in addition to the assimilation just mentioned．Thus，on the same lines as were discussed in connection with the geminating verbs（§269），so too＂of might theoretically represent either ${ }^{* e} m r a \bar{r} e f$ from ${ }^{* e} m r a \bar{a} \hat{e} f$ or ${ }^{*}$ merrāref from ${ }^{*}$ merräief；the latter possibility is one not hitherto taken into account．

Obs．In most Middle Egyptian verbs and verb－forms $i$ near the end is written $\ \ell y$ ，see above $\S 20$ ．A few verbs，however，seem to show $\$ as a strong，i．e．immutable，
 change in value of $\&$ from $m r$ to $m i$（sce $W^{19}$ in the Sign－list）；both $r$ and $i$ are kept in the spelling 㮩幍 swri＇drink＇，for swi from old $z w r$ ；but no similar explana－

§ 271．The geminating and non－geminating sdm•f forms．－To the writing out or omission of the gemination in forms from the mutable verbs there regularly corresponds a difference of meaning．Hence the sdm．f form， which，as we have seen，sometimes geminates and sometimes does not，really comprises at least two separate forms．The distinction of these is，however，a matter of considerable difficulty，the discussion of which is best deferred until Lessons XXX，XXXI．Henceforth use will be made of both forms in the Exercises，but the exact nuance of meaning which they imply may for the moment be ignored．
§ 272．The prothetic $\backslash \mathbf{i .}^{1}$－In both Old and Late Egyptian a valuable clue to the vocalization of verb－forms is provided by the sporadic appearance of the sign for $i$（old $\ell$ ，late $\$ 肾 $)^{\text {1a }}$ at their beginning．This＇prothetic $i$＇undoubtedly indicates a short helping－vowel ${ }^{e}$ before two initial consonants not separated by a vowel．Middle Egyptian examples are very rare，but a few may be collected from our texts：该 iddw（i．e．perhaps ${ }^{* e}$ ddaw）＇one whom ．．．．speaks（of）＇，${ }^{2}$
 given to the circumpolar stars），lit．＇one not knowing destruction＇，${ }^{3}$ perfective active participle（ $\$ 359$ ）； $4 \dagger^{2} 99^{9}$ ind $h r \cdot t$＇hail to thee＇， 4 a formula which perhaps originally meant＇I salute thy face＇，but which appears very early in this subject－ less form．${ }^{5}$ The imperatives of 2 －lit．verbs（ $\$ 336$ ）also occasionally show the prothetic $i$ ，which also is once found in $\left\}_{6} i h r \cdot k\right.$＇upon thee＇${ }^{6}\left({ }^{* e} h r a k\right)$ for the normal - ．In Dyn．XVIII 1 is found a few times in place of $i$ ，ex． iworkw＇knowing ones＇， 7 perfective active participle（ $\$ 359$ ）．

ObS．In Semitic the consonant＇alif has sometimes a similar function，and is there called＇prosthetic＇；＇prothetic＇is，however，a more suitable term．
${ }^{1}$ See Sethe，De Aleph Prosthetico， Berlin， 1892.
1a Exceptionally in Dyn．XIII in sdm．f form of $d d$＇say＇：Lou－ vre C 10；JEA．33， Pl．II， 5 ．
${ }^{2}$ Seliekn．3．Sim． active participle iddt ＇who says＇JEA．32， PI．VI， 32.
${ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus．10I， horiz． 7.
－ERM．Hymn．I， I．Sim．Cairo，20517， c 3；20520，d I．
－Instructive pas－ sages are Pyr． 1989. 2019．2035． 2042.
－Rec．35， 219.
7 Urk．iv．481， 17 ； 972， 1 I．Sim．$i w h m w$ ， ib．480，9．Also in the noun iwgrt＇the silent one＇，a designa－ tion of the necropolis．
§ 273. General characteristics of verb-stems.-As in the Semitic languages, the typical verb-stem consists of three radical consonants, ex. $\triangle \operatorname{sdm}$ 'hear'. There existed, however, a considerable class of biliteral stems, ex. 業 $m n$ 'remain', 'endure', though it may be shown that many of these originally belonged to one or other of the classes with three consonants, such as $1=1$
 the verbs just quoted had, owing to some inherent weakness, passed in prehistoric times from the triconsonantal to the biconsonantal class, there are other apparently biliteral stems, such as $m(w) t$ 'die', which prove on closer inspection to be really triliterals. Similarly, there are but few stems of four and five consonants which cannot be accounted for as due to expansion from originals of three consonants. The most important methods of expansion are ( 1 ) reduplication, (2) afformative additions. These are dealt with in the next three sections.
${ }^{1}$ See Verbum i. §§ 327-40.

2 Mar. Abyd. ii. 35, 29.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 729, 16; cf. $i b .8$.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 559. Sim. in O.K., Ti HII. Lit. perhaps ' mutually inquire health'.
${ }^{5}$ ÄZ. 45. Pl. VI, 7 ; Inscr. dédic. 87 .
${ }^{6}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 11; Cairo 20543, 20.
${ }^{7}$ Inscr. dédic. 83.
§ 274. Reduplication. ${ }^{1}$-Verbs signifying continuous or repeated human actions, habitual occupations, sounds, colours, and violent movements are apt to be created from biliteral or triliteral stems by the repetition of two of the radical



 unknown). After Dyn. XII the graphic abbreviation ${ }_{\|}$" $s p s n$ 'two times', 'twice' (see above § 207) is sometimes used as a substitute for the consonants to be



A half-reduplication also occurs, giving rise to a number of verbs of the
 of these cases do we possess a well-attested simplex.

Much rarer is a reduplication of the final consonant only. Verbs comparable

 immutable quadriliterals derived from the triliteral adjectives snb 'healthy', $s p d$ ' ready', and $s p s$ ' noble' respectively. Some verb-forms of passive meaning which may be compared to the Hebrew purlal will be dealt with in $\$ \S 360.425$.

More problematic is the kind of reduplication exhibited in the Hebrew pirel. This consists in the doubling of the second radical consonant of a triliteral stem, and would in no case be apparent in the Egyptian writing, though its effects might, as explained above ( $\$ \mathbf{2 6 9 .} 270$ ), sometimes be visible in the gemination found in geminating and weak verbs. That pirēl verbs did exist in Egyptian is probable a priori, and seems further likely from the transitive
meaning occasionally found with some usually intransitive verbs，exx．
 physician who heals the eye＇，${ }^{2}$ where $h t p\left(={ }^{*} h t t p\right.$ ？）and $s n b\left(={ }^{\prime} s n n b\right.$ ？）are active participles from stems usually meaning＇be at peace＇，＇be healthy＇．Whether Coptic offers any cogent evidence has been both asserted ${ }^{3}$ and denied．${ }^{\text {aa }}$

This debatable question is discussed at some length because such pirēl verb－ forms may turn out to be commoner in Egyptian than has been suspected，see above $\S 269$ ，end．In any case，the student should realize the difference between a geminating verb and a reduplicated verb．Gemination，as understood in the term＇geminating verb＇（ $\$ 269$ ），is a constitutional peculiarity of the stem that leads to the single writing，in certain forms，of two identical radicals，ex． from ；geminating verbs are therefore mutable．Reduplication，on the other hand，is a secondary expansion of verb－stems by repetition of part of


> Obs. I. As applied to particular verb-forms, 'geminating' has a less technical meaning; it signifies no more than that two identical radicals follow one another in the writing. Thus both are 'geminating' sdm.f forms, though of the two stems involved wnn alone is a 'geminating verb'. Similarly, are called 'non-geminating' sdm.f forms.
> Obs. 2. Hebrew can parallel all the above-mentioned kinds of reduplication. With the Egyptian verb-forms corresponding to the Hebrew pirlēl (see above) compare the names of small animals, ex. and
§ 275．Afformative prefixes：（ I ）the causatives in $\uparrow$ s．$^{6}$－The con－ sonant $\int s$ ，later also－$s$ ，when prefixed to a verb－stem，gives to it causative meaning．The new verbs thus formed are derived not only from transitive and intransitive verbs，but also occasionally from nouns and prepositions．


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 阶鱼 } s m i \text { 'report', 'announce' , \& } m i \text { 'like'. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Some causatives，particularly those derived from transitive stems，do not possess full causàtive force，but have meanings different from that of the simplex．


狍题 sdd＇relate＇
阾 ${ }^{\circ} \beta$ sndm＇sit＇，＇make oneself com－ fortable＇
，＂包 $d d$＇say＇．
，ndm＇be sweet＇，＇be agreeable＇．
${ }^{1}$ Leb． 23.
${ }^{2} A Z .53,111$ ；sim． ib．95．Further exx． Vog．Bazuer，index，p． 234，Ist．col．，end．

3 See Verbum i． $\oint 344$ ．

3．$A Z .73,131$ ．
${ }^{4}$ See Rec．35， 228.
${ }^{5}$ Adm．p．97．
${ }^{6}$ See Verbum i． §§ $350-6$ ．

Except in one case, the causatives fall into the verb-classes to which they would belong if the afformative $s$ were a radical letter ; thus sinh 'make to live', from a triliteral stem, has a masculine infinitive like the quadriliteral westn 'stride'; smsi 'to deliver', from a triconsonantal stem (with weak final $i$ (a tertiae infirmae verb, §281), has an infinitive 肺 resembling ©in hrty 'to travel by land', the infinitive of a quartae infirmae verb ( $\$ 285$ ). The exception alluded to is the case of the causatives of the biliterals; these, unlike the triliterals to which they might be expected to conform, have feminine infinitives, ex. $\mid$ 紧 $\|$ smnt ' to establish '.

Obs. The causatives in $s$ are evidently related to those with $s$ or $s$ in Semitic (Assyrian, Aramaic, and Minaean).

[^120]${ }^{2}$ Sin. R 27.
§ 276. Afformative prefixes: (2) the prefix $n .{ }^{1}$-The verbs beginning with this afformative are intransitive and in almost every case derived from quadriliteral reduplicated stems, exx. $\underset{=}{\subseteq}$ ngsgs 'overflow', synonymous with
 ftft 'leap'.

## THE VERB-CLASSES

§ 277. Classification according to number and nature of the radical consonants.-We shall now proceed to classify the different kinds of Egyptian verb-stems, premising that only such distinctions will be noted as may prove useful in the study of Egyptian texts. Coptic shows that adjective-verbs like $s b o \check{ }$ ' to be small' were vocalized otherwise than transitive verbs like $s \bar{t} t^{e} m$ 'to hear', but such facts as these must be ignored in this grammar, since they cannot be followed up in the hieroglyphs. It should be observed, further, that weak verbs written shortly like $\square_{\Lambda}$, hitherto rendered $p r$, will in the following paragraphs be transliterated with all the radicals of the stem, ex. pri. Consistency in this matter is neither possible nor desirable. As a general rule it is safest to supply as few unwritten consonants as possible; it is simpler, and for that reason better, to transliterate $\square \wedge$ as $p r \cdot f$ even where we may be reasonably sure that pry.f would represent the spoken consonants. On the other hand, in grammatical discussions it is often desirable to write $p r y \cdot f$ or better $p r(y) \cdot f$.

The basis of our classification will be the number of radical consonants, whether weak or strong, single or reduplicated. The designations of the classes are those usually adopted, though they are not altogether satisfactory. By biliteral, triliteral, quadriliteral, and quinquiliteral verbs are meant those having two, three, four, or five immutable (strong) consonants respectively, though there is really no reason (e.g.) for refusing the name 'triliteral' to triconsonantal stems with identical second and third radicals (the secundae geminatae class, § 280 ) or to
those having a weak third radical (the tertiae infirmae, § 281). The notion of gemination inherent in the names secundae and tertiae (litterae) geminatae is also misleading, since both here and in the tertiae and quartae infirmae, so far from gemination or doubling being employed for making twofold a consonant that was originally single, its presence actually warns us that the verb-stem in question possessed from the beginning a final radical letter which was specially prone, either from inherent weakness or from its identity with the penultimate, to disappear from the writing. See above $\$ \$ 269.270 .274$.

## i. Verbs with two consonants only.

 'be firm'. The infinitives are masculine, and of the form quoted. For the originally triliteral character of these verbs see above $\$ 273$; and that many of them may be derived from tertiae infirmae (below $\S 28 \mathrm{I}$ ) is perhaps hinted by the feminine infinitives of their causatives (above $\S 275$ and below § 282). Some biliteral verbs show a repetition of the last radical letter in the perfective passive participle ( $\$ 360$ ) ; but such forms are due to reduplication ( $\$ 274$ ), and are not to be explained, as hitherto, as survivals from the time when the verb-stems in question belonged to the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. or zae inf. class. The verb $\pi \wedge \Delta m$ 'go' has a fem. infinitive, but is classed with the biliterals because it does not, as a rule, show gemination ${ }^{1}$ in verb-forms where this would be expected if the verb belonged to the tertiae infirmae.

## 2. Verbs with three radical consonants.

§ 279. Triliteral verbs, abbreviated 3 -lit., exx. sdm 'hear', \& $w d_{3}$ 'prosper'. The infinitives are masculine and show the forms just quoted. A few verbs ending in $i$ and $w$ belong to this class, like $\propto f / \rightarrow d m i$ 'touch' ${ }^{2}$
 intended to convey that the verb-stem now to be read as swi originated in swr $(z w r)$; so, too, $二 1$ 直 $d_{3 i}(r)=d_{3 i}$ from original $d_{3 r}$ 'suppress', and one or two more. The originally 3 -lit. verb kms 'create' is often spelt $\triangle \gg \mathbb{N} \mathbb{\$}$, and the writing of $m$ after $m$ may indicate that it had lost 3 and so fallen into the
 Triliteral is $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{Z}_{2} m(w) t$ 'die', the medial $w$ being omitted in the writing; the same view is perhaps also to be taken of some other verbs usually classed as 2 -lit.
§ 280. Secundae geminatae verbs, abbreviated zae gem. These are triconsonantal verbs in which the second and third radicals are identical and hence, under certain vocalic conditions (\$ 269), are written once only. The infinitives are masculine and show the gemination, ex. $\Delta ل \downarrow / \mathbb{l} \equiv k b$ ' to be cool'.

[^121][^122]4 Pyr. writings vacillate between $\mathrm{imm}^{\text {and }}$ lim.
and

The $2 a e \mathrm{gem} . \mathrm{verbs}$ mis＇see＇and wrin＇exist＇display certain peculiarities．This class of verbs is a small one－between twenty and thirty are known－but most of its members are important．The following is a list of the chief among them ：－
． 3 mm seize，grip．
wnn be，exist．
worr be great．
通 $\mathrm{m}_{3} \mathrm{see}$ 。

뜬 $h n n$ bow，assent to．

又＂班多 $n n n$ destroy．
－NA $\mathrm{smm}^{2}$ be hot．
$\square s r_{r}$ be small（later $\left.\triangle s_{1} r^{3}\right)$ ．
$\Delta ل f i=k b b$ be cool．
巨伶 gnn be soft．
会惯 $t k$ attack，violate（frontier）．
§ 281．Tertiae infirmae verbs，abbreviated jae inf．These are verbs in which the third and last radical consonant is a weak $i$ or $w$－the latter distinguish－ able from the former only in a few cases（ $r s ̌ w$＇rejoice＇，sffw＇swell＇，gsw＇be narrow＇），since forms with $w$ are apt to be replaced by others with $i$ ．The weak final radical is but rarely written out，in the case of $i$ mainly when it is followed by the flexional ending（ $\$ 2270.296$ ）$i$ or $w$ ，in which case the two combine as $y$ ， ex．马肘通 pry for pri．i＇I go forth＇．（For sake of convenience this form is transliterated pry．i．）As explained in $\S 270$ ，gemination is a characteristic feature of the zae inf．class，ex．專通 mrrw＇who is loved＇．The infinitives are feminine and without gemination，ex．莶县 mrt＇to love＇．Three verbs of this class call for particular comment ：－
a iri＇make＇，＇do＇is usually written without the expected phonetic comple－ ment - ；writings with - as a rule correspond to the geminating forms of other verbs．No doubt some abnormality of pronunciation is responsible for this peculiarity of writing，but since we are unable to define the nature of that abnormality it seems desirable，for practical reasons，to transliterate the forms of $i r i$ as though they conformed to the ordinary spelling ；the infinitive ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}(\$ 299)$ we shall transliterate，accordingly，as irt，and the imperf．act．participle（ $\$ 357$ ） as irr ．This is the more necessary because variants with - as a phonetic complement sometimes occur．Thus $\frac{\overline{3}}{8}$ is a rarer writing of the infinitive，and会 is fairly common for the imperf．act．part．To sum up，while forms like clearly lack，and forms like e clearly possess，the gemination，forms like are ambiguous；the probability is in favour of the gemination，but exceptionally must be read simply $i r$ without gemination．${ }^{1}$
 also geminating forms with 定定有，${ }^{2}$ clearly $ص \underline{t}$ here had already passed into $0 t{ }^{3}$ The said spellings should，accordingly，be read as it and itt．

The doubly weak verb forms，namely $h i i z$ and $h \not w_{i}$ ；no geminating forms are found，but in Middle Egyptian the infinitive is feminine，see below $\$ 299$.

More than one hundred verb－stems can be assigned to the $弓 a e \mathrm{inf}$ ．class； the following is a selection of the most important：－
mis $3 w i$ extend．
T通 $36 i$ wish．

－iri make，do．

x wpi divide，open，judge．
■ $A$ pri go forth，go up．
直思 $f_{3 i}$ carry，lift．

䣊夺 $m s i$ bear，give birth．
N－$m k i$ protect．
A $r m i$ weep，beweep．
OD $r$ 会 $r$ šw rejoice．

跑 $h r i$ be content．
\}- Myri rejoice.

通 $h s i$ praise，favour．
$9_{x}^{2} \backslash d i d$ destroy，damage．
에 $k r i$ appear in glory．
－
© ek kdi fare downstream，north．
E et hni row．
N $k s i$ be feeble，vile．
左 siz guard，prevent．
$\|_{0-7} \mathrm{~h}^{-}$A sti shoot，pour，kindle．

5ydithe，withdraw．
$\triangle t \in n i$ be brave．
－$k s i$ devise，think out．
－俻 ksi bow down．
区 $g_{3} w$ be narrow．
B $g m i$ find．
$\stackrel{\square}{\square} \wedge$ thi disobey，violate．
囬 dgi see，look．
d $d d_{i}$ cross（the river），ferry across．
 and later initial radicals being retained side by side．
§ 282．Causatives of biliteral verbs，abbreviated caus．2－lit．，ex．鱟 smn＇make to remain＇，＇establish＇．As pointed out above（ $\$ 275$ ），the infinitives are feminine，ex．险宸 smnt．Geminating forms do not occur．These verbs show relationship with the quartae infirmae，among which are some verbs with similar characteristics（ex．hmsi＇sit＇）．

3．Verbs with four radical consonants．
§ 283．Quadriliteral verbs，abbreviated 4－lit．，with masculine infinitives． Many of these are due to reduplication，ex．$\hat{\omega}_{\infty} \hat{\omega}_{\Delta} \wedge b t h t$＇be reversed＇，but others， like $\Omega \Omega$ wstn＇stride＇，consist of four different strong radicals．Here must be classed also the causatives of triliterals，abbreviated caus． 3 －lit．，ex．$\|^{\circ}$ senh＇make live＇；see above § 275 ．
§ 284．Tertiae geminatae verbs，abbreviated jae gem．，are mutable verbs with identical third and fourth radicals．The very existence of the class
 as we have seen（§ 274），immutable quadriliteral verbs．Under this head would fall causatives of the secundae geminatae，abbreviated caus．
 to be classed with the quadriliterals，non－geminating forms being of great rarity．
§ 285．Quartae infirmae verbs，abbreviated $4 a e$ inf．，in which the fourth radical is $i$ or $w$ ．From the analogy of the zae inf．one would expect this class to show geminating forms and feminine infinitives，but no single example of the class has both characteristics．Gemination occurs with some，


 ＇be renewed＇，might well be classed with the 4 －lit．（ $\$ 283$ ）；so too，for example， $\}\}_{\Lambda}^{\prime \prime} h h y$ ，properly doubtless $h(y) h y$ ，though the second radical is never written． In $\stackrel{\mu}{=}$ Q佟 mini＇moor＇（m．infinitive）the written $i$ is not improbably the second radical ；such is apparently not the case with the $w$ of though the full reading appears to be $m(w) d w$ ．Under this head must be placed the causatives of tertiae infirmae，abbreviated caus．zae inf．，partly with
 birth＇，陛 $\AA$ shpt＇to bring nigh＇；no geminating forms appear to occur．

## 4．Verbs with five radical consonants．

§ 286．Quinquiliteral verbs，abbreviated $s$－lit．，with masculine infinitives． This class seems in all cases to have arisen through reduplication，exx．
 ＇walk＇，＇promenade＇is the causative of a quadriliteral（abbreviation caus． 4 －lit．）is uncertain；no other example of the last－named class has been noted in Middle Egyptian．${ }^{0}$
§ 287．Quintae infirmae verbs，abbreviated sae inf．，constitute another rather dubious class of verbs．It is very doubtful whether the three feminine
 synonymous meanings＇joy＇，＇gladness＇，＇exultation＇are really infinitives of this class；more probably they are mere nouns．The masc．infinitive 0 』 $h b b$ ＇dance＇， 1 if really a writing of $h b(i) b i$ ，as the $3 a e$ inf．simplex $\int^{\prime}\langle b b i$ might suggest， possibly belongs here．The rare causatives of quartae infirmae，abbreviated

§ 288．Compound Verbs have little to distinguish them except the place of the determinative at the end，not after each component part．Exx．齐，通

§ 289．Anomalous Verbs，abbreviated anom．This class comprises some very common verbs which，but for certain peculiarities，would have to be assigned to the $3 a e$ inf．class．

I．＇Give＇．＇Rdi（originally perhaps $r d i$ ）has a feminine infinitive $r d i t$ ， written $\stackrel{B}{\Delta}$ or $_{0}$ ．The sign $\Delta$ characteristic of the verb is probably an ideogram representing a loaf brought as a gift ；${ }^{2}$ for this，from the early Middle Kingdom onwards，is often substituted as a purely graphical variant $\boxed{\Omega},-$ ，or even $\omega$ ，the latter two being due to a confusion of the signs in hieratic．In a few parts of the verb（e．g．the old perfective，§ 310 ）writings with initial $r$（,$\infty$ ，exist side by side with others $(\Delta,-,-\infty)$ in which $r$ is omitted ；since，however，in certain forms（infinitive，$\S 299$ ；sdmty fy form，§ 364）the writing with $r$ is as regular （rare exceptions may be found）as it is irregular in other forms（ $s d m \cdot f$ after ir＇if＇， $\S 454,5$ ，as well as after $r d i$ itself，$\S 45^{2}$, I $)^{3}$ the evidence points to real loss of $r$ having occurred in the latter；Coptic nowhere shows any trace of $r$ ．In any case it seems wise to omit $r$ in transliteration wherever it is not written， though the signs $\Delta$ and $\Omega$ ，if ideographic，would not originally point in either direction．The geminating forms $\Delta \Delta$ and $\triangle$ are never accompanied by $r$ ，and are probably to be read $d d$ ，though doubtless they arose from $r d d ; \Xi$ is in fact substituted for them in some archaizing texts，${ }^{4}$ and the name of the town 盘 $^{8}$ 。 $D d w$（originally $D d w$ ）is occasionally spelt $\triangle \otimes^{5}$ or $\triangle \otimes^{6}$ So too - is substituted for - in the early or archaic writing of certain non－geminating parts of the verb．${ }^{7}$ The final radical of the stem，the semi－vowel $i$ ，is only written out when fused with a flexional $-w$ or $-i$（ex．the perf．pass．participle $-1 / r d y$ ，for $r d i-w$ ？），and certainly disappeared early in particular forms．The view that $r d i$ ， $d i$ is a single verb which early suffered the loss of both its first and its third consonant in certain forms seems preferable to the view that $r d i$ and $d i$ are two distinct verbs obscurely related in their origin．The imperative is almost entirely replaced by 4 § $i m i$ ，from a quite different stem（§ 336 ）．

2．＇Come＇．$\quad \Delta i w$ and $\Lambda A \Delta i i$ are clearly two distinct verbs，though they are equally clearly related．The infinitives are fem．，namely $\Delta i w t$ and $\oint_{\Lambda}^{A}$ it （also If ${ }^{\circ}$ iit）．No geminating forms occur．While some parts of the verb， like the infinitive（ $\$ 299$ ）and the sd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ form（ $\$ 413$ ），display forms from both stems，in others only $i w$ is employed（ $i w t y \cdot f y$ ，§ 364 ；$i w \cdot i n \cdot f, \S 428$ ）；forms from $i i$ tend to oust forms from $i w$ ．From $i w$ comes a peculiar śdm•f form $\Delta$ iwt．f analogous to int．f（below under 3）．Here again the imperative is from a different stem，$\$ \wedge m i$ being as a rule employed $(\$ 336)$ ．

1 See Verbume i． § 453－462；A $Z .39$ ， 75．130；50， 92 n．，95； Erman，Gr．s，$\varsigma \mathbf{2 6 5 .}$
${ }^{2}$ Doubts as to the nature of the sign， Griff．Hier．p． 64.

3 For the Coptic see Nachr．d．kön．Ges． d．Wiss．z Göttingen， 1919， 139.

4．Urk．iv．260，13； v．76， 2.
${ }^{5}$ Rifeh 5， 8.
${ }^{6}$ Leyd． $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ ；Brit． Mus． 572.
${ }^{7}$ Imperative． 5 336； sdm $\cdot n \cdot f, \S 413 ; \operatorname{sd} m \cdot f$ ， $\$ 448$ ．
－See Verbum i． 55 463－479．
${ }^{1}$ See H．Grapow， Über die Wortbildun－ gen mit cinem Präfix m －im ägyptischen，in Abh．d．kön．Preuss． Akad．d．Wiss．1914， no． 5 ．
${ }^{2}$ See Sitzb．d．kön． Preuss．Akad．d．Wiss． 1912， 914 foll．；$A Z$. 50， 95 n ．

[^123][^124]3．＇Bring＇．The verb $\perp$ ini or $i n w$ shows in most respects the character－ istics of the $z a e \mathrm{inf}$ ．class，and has a fem．infinitive $\AA_{0}^{\text {mm }} \mathrm{int}$ ．It is，however，of great interest as possessing three distinct sdm．f forms，a geminating form $\mathcal{S}=\mathrm{m}$
 latter comparable to iwt．f from the verb for＇come＇（above 2）．In the śdm•n•f form we find a less common writing $\AA_{\infty}^{m m}$ beside $\AA_{\infty}^{m}$ m $n \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 413)$ ．
$\S$ 290．Verbs with initial $A \mathcal{i}$ and $w$ often omit these weak consonants
 － 18 rbw＇purification＇from 0 mem＇be pure＇．So too in the nouns formed by

 have been shown to belong to wdi＇push＇，＇thrust＇，but it will possibly turn out that all the Middle Egyptian examples are from the verb $\triangleq r d i\lrcorner d$,$i ＇give＇．{ }^{2}$
§ 291．Classification of verbs according to meaning．－The meaning of verbs not only affected their stem－form，as we have seen（§§274．276），but is also of importance for syntactic reasons．The following distinctions may be made ：－
i．Transitive verbs are those which take a direct object，exx．sdm ＇hear＇（a thing），$\triangleq r d i$＇give＇．Verbs with two objects do not exist，the remoter object found after some English verbs being expressed in Egyptian by the help of prepositions．${ }^{2 a}$ For $m$ and $r$ after verbs of＇making＇，see $\S 84$ ．＇Teach somebody something＇is $\|$ 大㸨 ．．．$\Delta s b_{3} \ldots r$＇teach ．．．concerning＇．${ }^{3}$ Some words expressing psychic activities tend to have different meanings in different forms； thus $\quad v h$＇perceive＇，＇learn＇has a preference for past forms（like $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ） whenever＇knowing＇，i．e．the result of the activity，is intended；cf．Latin novi． So too 廿通 $m r i$＇love＇，＇wish＇seems to prefer the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form when it means


2．Intransitive verbs are those which have no direct object．Here we may distinguish
a．Verbs of motion，exx．$\triangle \wedge \Delta m^{\prime}$＇go＇，期 $\Delta$ rhe＇arise＇，＇stand＇．
b．Adjective－verbs，exx．${ }^{\text {tm }} n f r$＇be good＇，$\infty \|_{3 i}$＇be great＇．
c．Other intransitives，exx．$\stackrel{\beta}{\circ}$


Obs．The verbs $\boldsymbol{m} n$＇be ill（of）＇，wnh＇be clad（in）＇，wrh＇be anointed（with）＇，$h t p$ ＇rest（upon）＇can take an object and are，therefore，not real intransitives．See Add．，§84A．
§ 292．Denominative verbs are verbs derived from nouns．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Exx. } \bar{i}_{i b} \text { 'wish' }{ }^{4} \\
& \text { Fol } 4 \text { \# nswy (?) 'be king' }{ }^{5} \\
& \underset{\rightarrow 1}{\theta}{ }^{0} \text {, } k m t \text { 'do for third time' }{ }^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

## VOICE, MOOD, AND TENSE

§ 293. Voice.-Egyptian distinguishes an active and a passive voice. The passive participles have a wider range of employment in Egyptian than they have in English ; see below § 376 .
§ 294. Mood.-With the means at our disposal it is not possible to distinguish different moods in Egyptian, if such existed. ${ }^{1}$ A rough classification of Egyptian verb-forms will be found in § 297, 3.
§ 295. Tense.-It is clear that Middle Egyptian had not yet developed, as Coptic later did, a precise set of tenses relating the time of the verbal action to the time-standpoint of the speaker. The tenses which we discover in the earlier period are concerned, like the Semitic tenses, rather with the singleness or repetition, the momentariness or continuity, of the notion expressed by the verb; though particular forms have already become specialized for use in connection with past or future time, and so approximate to our English tenses. In the participles we shall distinguish ( I ) an imperfective tense ultimately implying repetition or continuity, and (2) a perfective tense without any such implications. From these will be shown to spring the later tenses (including sd $d m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ) known as the 'suffix conjugation'. Besides the tenses of the suffix conjugation, there is an earlier tense to which we shall give the name old perfective, owing to its relationship to the Semitic perfect ; this tends to have static meaning and to refer to the past, but its original signification cannot be precisely fixed. The great wealth of compound verb-forms (see Lesson XXXII) evidently owes its origin in part, but only in part, to an effort to acquire definite tense-distinctions.

Obs. I. The terms 'perfective' and 'imperfective' have been substituted for the usual ' perfect' and 'imperfect', because we require the name ' perfect' for the more precise English tenses. In connection with our English translations we shall often speak of 'he has heard' as the present perfect, and of 'he had heard' as the past perfect, while ' he heard' is described as the past tense.

Obs. 2. The first edition of this work distinguished in the relative forms (§ 380 ) also a 'prospective' tense. Here this distinction has been abandoned.
§ 296. Inflexion.-Differences of verb-form were marked, partly by variations in the position and quality of the vowels-variations only to a small extent deducible from the writing, see above $\$$ 268-272-and partly by the use of flexional endings. The latter consist of the suffix-pronouns ( $\$ 34$ ), the indefinite pronoun $t w\left(\$ 47\right.$ ), a few prepositions and sentence adverbs ( $n, i n, b r, k j$ ), ${ }^{2}$ the gender-endings $m$. $-w$, f. a $-t$, hesides a few less easily analysable elements, e.g. $04-t i$, Iी $-y$ (for old $i$ ), and $-w$. In the case of $w$ and $y$ it is often impossible to be sure whether they are flexional elements, or whether they are the final weak
${ }^{1}$ For an attempt see C. E. SanderHansen, Uher die Bildung der Modi im Altägyptischen, in Kongl. Danske Vi-densk.Selskab,Copenhagen, 1941.

2 An alternative theory views $i n, b r$, $k 3$ differently, see below, § 427 .
radicals from $3 a e$ inf. and $4 a e$ inf. stems. Still greater trouble is caused by the fact that $i(y)$ and $w$ are apt to be omitted in the writing of the flexional endings, just as much as in the writing of the verb-stems (above $\S 270$ ). Hence one and the same summary writing may represent a large selection of different verb-forms. For example,
$\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { I. infinitive ( } \$ 299) . \\ \text { 2. imperative sing. or plur. (§ 335). } \\ \text { 3. } \mathbf{i} \text {. }\end{array}\right.$
3. sdm $m \cdot f$ form before nominal subject ( $\$ 39$ ).
4. $=$ sd $d m w, 3$ rd pers. m. sing. or plur. old perfective ( $\$ 309$ ).
5. = sddmw, passive śdm•f form before nominal subject ( $\$ 420$ ).
6. m. sing. of perf. or imperf. participle, active or passive ( $\$ 362$ ).
7. $=$ śd $d m w$, masc. sing. imperf. or perf. relative form before nominal subject ( $\$ 380$ ).
8. = śd $d m w$, negatival complement ( $\$ 34 \mathrm{I}$ ).


The student must not allow himself to be discouraged, and still less to be rendered sceptical, by the great ambiguity displayed in the writing of the various verb-forms. Their separate existence has been elicited with certainty in almost every case, partly through the alternation of fuller and more summary writings, partly through syntactic observations, and partly through differences of meaning. Only by scrupulous study of both syntax and morphology does accurate interpretation of the hieroglyphic texts become possible. Attention to the rules laid down in this grammar will enable the learner quickly to pass in review the various possibilities and to choose that which is appropriate in the particular context.

## TERMINOLOGY

§ 297. It is desirable here to discuss the meaning of several terms which will be constantly used in connection with the verb.
I. Semantic subject and object, ${ }^{1}$ abbreviated 'subjects' and 'objects ${ }^{\text {s }}$ '. While the terms 'subject' and 'object' will be used normally in the sense of ' nominative' and 'accusative', they will often be needed to express the relations
of meaning familiar to classical students in the terms 'subjective genitive' (ex. amor matris 'a mother's love') and 'objective genitive' (ex. amor patriae 'love of country'). It lies in the nature of our conception of verbal meaning to regard this as springing from a certain source and proceeding in a certain direction. We shall adopt the term semantic subject to denote that noun or pronoun from which the verbal action, actively conceived, appears to start or spring, and the term semantic object to denote any noun or pronoun which the verbal action, actively conceived, affects in the course of its progress. Thus in 'he is', 'he flourishes', 'he strikes', ' $7 o h n$ 's wooing of Mary', 'the Rubicon was crossed by Caesar' the italicized words are semantic subjects. In the following sentences the italicized words or phrases are semantic objects: he is $m y$ friend, he struck him, he gave the book to him, John's wooing of Mary, the boy who was found fault with, the Rubicon was crossed by Caesar.

In 'he filled the jug with water', 'jug' will be called the direct semantic object, because we may say, passively, 'the jug was filled'; 'water' is only an indirect semantic object. Every noun preceded by a preposition may be regarded as an indirect semantic object of the active notion in the verb.

Obs. What is here called 'semantic subject' is often called 'logical subject'; the latter is, however, a far less suitable term, and is, moreover, required for another purpose ; see above § 126.
2. Agent.-We reserve, however, the name of agent for that particular subject $^{s}$ which is expressed in the external form of an indirect object ${ }^{s}$ (see under I), i.e. there where it is introduced by a preposition. The agent is found after passives of all kinds, as well as after that neutral part of the verb, the infinitive. The prepositions which introduce it in Egyptian are $\perp i n$ and much more rarely $\stackrel{\ominus}{\ominus} b r$; see above $\S 39$, end. After the infinitive a pronominal agent is sometimes expressed by the independent pronouns, into which, as we have seen ( $\$ 227$ ), in enters as a component element; see below $\S 300$, end.
3. Verbal and other kinds of verb-forms.-A broad distinction may be drawn between parts of the Egyptian verb which are fundamentally verbal, i. e. function primarily as the predicates of verbal sentences ( $\$ 27$ ), and those which function primarily as other parts of speech. To the former class belong the old perfective (Lesson XXII), the imperative ( $\$ 335$ ), and the various forms of the suffix-conjugation ( $\$ 410$ ), of which the $s d m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms are the principal representatives. The forms here described as 'verbal' would in Latin be called 'finite', as being limited, unlike the 'infinitive', in respect of person and number; but the term 'finite' is inappropriate to Egyptian, since the sdmty.fy form ( $\$ 363$ ) and the relative forms ( $\$ 380$ ) are limited in person and gender, and yet are not essentially verbal in function. It will be found useful to describe
verb-forms which are normally used in main clauses to embody affirmations as ' narrative' verb-forms; the śdm•f and sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms are good examples, and the only ' verbal' verb-form which cannot be described as ' narrative' is the imperative, which does not narrate but commands. The infinitive is a nominal part of the verb, i.e. functions as a noun. Other grammarians use the term ' nominal' to describe also the participles, sdmty fy form and relative forms, but for many reasons we shall prefer to regard these as adjectival; not the least important of these reasons is that the participles are best regarded as the equivalents of English adjective, or relative, clauses (§ 353). The so-called śdmt.f form (§401) is 'nominal' at least in origin. We shall find grounds for thinking that the so-called negatival complement (§34I) is ultimately adverbial in function, and it will be shown (§3II) that the old perfective, though originally 'verbal' and ' narrative' in character, had become mainly 'adverbial' in its Middle Egyptian uses.

## LESSON XXIa

## THE INFINITIVE

${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 544 foll.
${ }^{2}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. vi.
${ }^{3}$ Pt. 407. Sim. P. Pet. $1116 \mathrm{~A}, 49$.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 26, 2. Sim. Siut 3, I; Rhind Sim.
82.
§ 298. The infinitive ${ }^{1}$ is a noun denoting the action or state expressed by a verb-stem. It corresponds, therefore, to English infinitives like 'to make',' to flourish', 'to be', or else to English gerunds like 'making', 'flourishing', 'being'. It differs from other nouns, first of all, in the facility with which it can replace
 make it' in place of 1 it' (śdm•f, § 184); second, it differs from other nouns in the close resemblance of its construction to that of the narrative verb-forms; thus it may be followed by an 'agent' (see § 297,2 ), and may even, on occasion, have a grammatical subject or a direct grammatical object of its own (§30I).

Though strictly neutral in voice, as also in tense, the Egyptian infinitive has usually an active implication; for example, ${ }_{0}^{\infty}$ irt tends to signify 'to make' more often than 'to be made'. Cases are found, however, where translation as a passive is necessary in English. ${ }^{2}$

Exx. 4 C thy back is towards covering through it. ${ }^{3}$
 are to be made for tribute. ${ }^{4}$ Here French could render literally qui sont à faire.

A rather precarious distinction is made between infinitives that are nominal and infinitives that are verbal, the former name being given to those which, from the point of view of syntax, have nothing to distinguish them from nouns, while
the latter, for one or other of the reasons given above, are more like narrative verbs. The nominal infinitive may be qualified by an adjectival epithet, may take an indirect genitive after it, may be put into the plural, may serve as predicate in the sentence with $p w$, and so forth.

Exx. $A_{0} s m t n b t$ every proceeding, lit. going. ${ }^{1}$
 greetings frequently take this form.

 to (lit. a making easy the heart of my) lord, may he live, be prosperous and hale. ${ }^{4}$ The commonest formula of letters.

Employments like these need no further discussion. The Egyptians themselves appear to have felt a distinction between the verbal and nominal uses of the infinitive, since in the latter the zae inf. verbs sometimes substitute fuller

 owing to the absence of written vowels, nouns regarded by us as infinitives may often conceal forms not really infinitival at all ; doubt is legitimate, for instance, in the case of rhrw 'positions' quoted as the third example above.

Obs. The name 'complementary infinitive' ${ }^{5}$ has been given to certain forms from verb-stems which serve as cognate accusatives to various parts of the same
 $\underline{n} n n \cdot s n$ hant 'they row a rowing'.] Such complementary infinitives sometimes agree with the ordinary infinitive in respect of gender, and sometimes differ from it in that respect; being to all intents and purposes mere nouns they do not concern us further. Note that a form msyt resembling the fem. perf. pass. part. occurs as
 ' I was not born a being-born '.8 See further below $\S 405$.
§ 299. Forms of the infinitive.-See above §§ 278-289. The various verb-classes differ as regards the gender of their infinitives, the immutable verbs having masc. infinitives without special ending, while some mutable verbs have fem. infinitives ending in - -t. Possibly in the older stages of Egyptian the infinitives ending in $-t$ were treated syntactically as feminines, though in an
 far from certain that $h s t$ is an infinitive.

In Late Egyptian all verbally used infinitives were treated syntactically as masculines, and could be preceded under certain circumstances by the masculine definite article $p_{3} .{ }^{10}$ One or two instances of this occur already in Dyn. XVIII.

Ex. Jo gitng M of Megiddo is the capture of a thousand towns. ${ }^{11}$
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 10.
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 27, 4.
${ }^{3}$ Louvre C 14.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 27, 1. Different examples with pw, e.g. Eb. 8, 9; 98, 8; for sdm $p w i r(w)$. $n \cdot f$ see below $\$ 392$.
${ }^{5}$ See Verbum, ii. §§ 720 foll.
${ }^{6}$ Lac. TR. 47, 24.
7 Westc. 5, 4.
${ }^{8}$ Rec. 16, 130.

- BH. i. 8, 9.

10 See Verbum ii. $58556-6 \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{11}$ Urk.iv. 660. Sim. $A Z .55,85,2$.

0 If dmit in Sh．S． 79 is infinitive（ $A Z$ ． 52,109 ），it is the sole ex．of fem．inf．in this class．
${ }^{1}$ With suffix，Peas． R 123；Mill．1．8； Eb．36，15．With noun， 7 h．$T$ ．S．ii． 35,6 ．
${ }_{2}$ With suffix，Peas． $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}, 78$ ．With noun， Rec．I， 133 ；Urk．iv． 6II，16；620， 6.
${ }^{3}$ Peas．BI， 25.
－Westc．12， 1.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{5}$ ． 11 7． 282.
${ }^{6}$ Peas．B r， 93.
7 Westc．12，10； Sin．R $14 ;$ Eb．69， 18 ． Without h，Sin．B 72.
${ }^{1}$ Westc．11，14； lirk．iv．84， 9.
${ }^{9}$ Rhind 87， 8.
9a $S h . S .157$ ； Westc．8，7．

10 Westc．7，12； Berl．ÄI．i．p．255，3． ${ }_{11}$ Pt． 9.
${ }_{12}$ Urk．iv．83， 9.
${ }^{13}$ Westc．10， 5.
14 D．el B．110，bot－ tom．

15 Urk．iv．322， 6.
${ }^{16}$ Cairo 20057； Ikhern． 3 ；$A Z .45$ ， Pl．VIII A．
${ }^{17}$ Sh．S．62；BH． i． 29 ．
${ }^{18}$ Sin．B248；Westc． 8，4；12，6．
${ }^{19}$ BH．i． 29.
20 U＇rk．iv．6， 3.

Our evidence does not，however，include any Middle Egyptian instance of ps before an infinitive which is feminine in form．In the Middle Egyptian
 forth＇，lit．＇it is a going forth which he made＇（see below $\S 392$ ），the masc．gender of the relative form $\operatorname{ir}(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ does not prove that the infinitive was treated syntactically as a masculine，since $\operatorname{ir}(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ agrees with $p w$ ，not with the infinitive．
 infinitive $\pi A_{s}^{s m t}$ ，an indication that this verb－stem once belonged to the zae inf．

 ＇exist＇．＇See＇presents the peculiarity of showing several forms or writings：笽 $m_{33}$ ，$m n^{2}$ and $m^{2}$ the two latter are rarer than the first and occur only when an object follows．

зae inf．Fem．；exx．등 $m r t$＇love＂，路 $\wedge p r t$＇go forth＇．For fuller forms like
 is found verbally．${ }^{3}$ The masc．is found in place of the fem．in the phrase et $m$ hd＇in sailing northward＇；also lIN hsy＇sing＇4 is from a $3 a e$ inf．stem．
 ＇Strike＇has $h(y) t$ ，but the related word for＇rain＇，which is perhaps


4－lit．Masc．；exx．



 ＇speak＇．In the masc．forms the last weak radical is frequently written，but in the fem．forms seldom，except in +410 at $b s f y t$＇travel upstream＇and bntyt＇sail southwards＇，for which the writings 40 ak $b s f t$ and $b n t{ }^{12}$（the latter in the phrase $m b n t$＇in faring southward＇）also occur．
caus．弓ae inf．Either masc．，ex．П肌＂smsy＇bring to birth＇，${ }^{13}$ or fem．，exx．䧄A


5－lit．Masc．；ex．
caus． 4 ae inf．Masc．；ex．今 $>$＂smiwy＇renew＇．
anom．＇Give＇has almost always 은 rdit；$\Delta \Delta$ dit is uncommon．${ }^{16}$ With ＇come＇both $\Lambda_{\Lambda}^{i}\left(\Delta \rho_{\Lambda}^{\circ}\right) i i t^{17}$ and $\Lambda \$ i w t^{18}$ are found．＇Bring＇has $\AA_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ int；${ }^{19}$ abnormal writing with suffix $\Omega^{-1}$ int．f，this having by now acquired the same sound as the passive of the sd $d m \cdot f$ form．${ }^{20}$
§ 300. Subject and object of the infinitive.-The terms 'subject' and ' object' are here used in their semantic sense (see above § 297), i. e. refer to the meaning of the verb as actively, not passively, conceived.

The following statement incorporates a general rule of considerable importance, although, as we shall see, it will require subsequent qualification:The subject's of the infinitive is expressed as an agent with the help of the preposition I in 'by', while the object' is represented by the direct genitive, i.e., in the case of the pronouns, by the suffixes.
 on the part of the ordinary lector-priests. ${ }^{1}$
 found him. ${ }^{2}$ See below § 306, 2.
 panying him. ${ }^{3}$

The point to be noticed is that, whereas after other parts of the verb (the śdm•f form, participles, etc.) the direct object ${ }^{\text {s }}$ is expressed by the dependent pronouns, these being felt as accusatives, after the infinitive it is expressed by the suffixes, a fact pointing to their being felt as genitives. The only common exception to this rule is the pronoun ${\Pi_{\alpha}}^{s} s t$, var. $-(\$ 46)$, which is employed for (a) the 3 rd pers. neuter, (b) the 3 rd pers. plur., (c) the 3 rd pers. dual, and (d) more rarely the 3 rd pers. fem. sing.

Exx. (a) 思
 them to me as slaves. ${ }^{5}$ The word-order st $n \cdot i$ shows that $s t$ was felt as a suffix ( $\S 66$ ).
(c) (remedy) for . . . . . giving relief to the hinder parts and cooling them. ${ }^{6}$
 thing (lit. repetition) consists in adding it (viz. msdmt eye-paint) to fat of goose. ${ }^{7}$

When the agent is pronominal, use may be made of the independent pronouns; for the correspondence of the independent pronouns and $i n+$ noun, see above § 227. Examples are not common.

Exx. going forth on their part after his $k a$-priest. ${ }^{8}$

N2-200 on his part in the presence of the (proper) functionary. ${ }^{9}$

2-and $d d n t s n$ then said they, lit. saying on their part. ${ }^{10}$ See below § 306, 2.
In the one instance where an independent pronoun of the ist pers. sing. is found after the infinitive it is written $=n n k ;{ }^{11}$ for the writing $n n k$ see $\oint 114,3$.
${ }^{1}$ Siut 1, 68. Sim. ib. 126 ; Bersh. i. 18, top ; BH. i. 13, vert.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 6.
${ }^{3}$ BH. i. 8, 10.
${ }^{4}$ Sh. S. 157. Sim. Sin. B 215.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 4 Sim. BH. i. 25, 113 ; Peas. B I, 49. Reflexive, Pr. 2, 6.
© Eb. 31, 7.
${ }^{7}$ Eb. 59, 7.

[^125]0 Early exx．，L．to D．，Moscow bowl， 2 ； $J E A .14, \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{XXXV}$ ， I 4－5．
${ }^{0}$ as $J E A, 146$.
${ }^{1}$ BH．i．24， 3.
${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20057，$d$. Sim．ent．i，＇my brav－ ery＇，Urk．iv．7， 9.
${ }^{3}$ Turin 1447.
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．271．Sim． ih． $55^{8}, \mathbf{I} 5$ ．
${ }^{5}$ Urk．v．104，6． 10.

```
\({ }^{6}\) BH．i．\({ }^{25}, 30\) ， with error fdit．f for \(r d i \cdot f\) ．
```

${ }^{7}$ Sizt $\mathbf{1}, 290$.
${ }^{8}$ A case in point is p．145，1st ex．

The rarity of this construction is due partly to the existence of the alternative to be discussed in the next section，partly to the fact that the expression of the semantic subject is by no means common，a frequent motive for the use of the infinitive being the lack of any need to name the subject．See below $\S 302$ ．

Obs．Towards the end of Dyn．XVIII the independent pronoun changes places with the infinitive in a particular construction，the outcome of $\begin{aligned} & 171,3 \text { ．A theoretical }\end{aligned}$
 and out of this idiom develops the conjunctive tense of Late Egyptian and Coptic．${ }^{0}$
§ 301．Subjects and objects of the infinitive conformed to the con－ struction of the suffix－conjugation．－Some special cases call for study．

With intransitive infinitives the subject ${ }^{s}$ can always be added as a direct genitive，whether noun or suffix．Exx．$A_{\Omega} m p r t s(t) m$＇at the going forth of the setem－priest＇；${ }^{1} \Omega_{0} m$ priff tpt＇at his first going forth＇．${ }^{2}$

With transitive verbs the same construction is possible，but only where sub－ jects ${ }^{\text {s }}$ and object ${ }^{\text {e }}$ are both expressed．
 tzwy niti $N b-h r w-R e$ a good beginning came about in Mont＇s giving the two lands to king Nebkherurē．${ }^{3}$
 the first occasion what thou didst do unto My Majesty was（ $\$ 125$ ，end）that thou gavest（lit．thy giving）to me thy kingship．${ }^{4}$

T吅留 night of Isis＇making mourning for（lit．after）her brother Osiris．${ }^{5}$

别 1 prince．${ }^{6}$

The last example，which is of a very rare kind，shows that the pronominal objects，if not immediately following the infinitive，becomes the dependent pronoun as after the stdm．f form ；and this suggests that，whenever the objects of an infinitive is separated from it by an extraneous element，as in

Nomal $m$ rdit $n \cdot f t-h d$ in giving to him white bread，
this objects is to be regarded，not as a direct genitive，but as an accusative．It has been seen，in dealing with the syntax of nouns（\＄85），that a direct genitive cannot easily be separated from its antecedent．

Externally，at least，the construction illustrated above is that customary after all other parts of the verb，and analogy seems to have been at work．

Obs．I．When，in constructions like the above，the verb－form is masc．，we cannot always be sure that it is really the infinitive，and not a s $\underline{d} d m \cdot f$ form（see above $\S \S 155$ ． 191）．${ }^{8}$ Again，when it is fem．，the doubt arises whether the supposed infinitive is not the $s$ demt．f form，an obscure category of the verb to be discussed later（§ 401 foll．）．

ObS. 2. For the change of the pronominal objects from the suffix (genitive) into the dependent pronoun (accusative) a comparison with Arabic is instructive: 'if only the objective complement of the act (and not likewise its subject) be expressed, it is put after the nomen actionis in the genitive; unless it be separated from the nomen actionis by one or more words, in which case it is put in the accusative because the genitive can never be divided from the word that governs it'. Wright, Arabic Grammar, ${ }^{3}$ ii. p. 57, в.
§ 302. The infinitive as substitute for a noun clause with the śdm•f form.-In Lesson XV it was seen that the s sdm•f form, with whatever other words accompany it, is constantly employed as a noun clause, i.e. as equivalent to a noun in the various syntactic positions which can be occupied by a noun. In the following sections it will be shown that a parallel set of uses existed for the infinitive, this being used in preference to the $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form whenever the mention of subject ${ }^{s}$ seemed superfluous.
§ 303. The infinitive as object of certain verbs.-The infinitive is




 well as after the verbs iri 'make', prw 'do in the past', which will be treated as auxiliaries ( $\$ 8484-5$ ) ; also occasionally after the imperative 观 $53 \%$ 'beware of ' $(\$ 338,3)$ and after the negative verb $t m$, see below $\S 344$.

Exx. (Man up this inscription. ${ }^{12}$
 of the tomb of His Majesty. ${ }^{13}$
 sleep. ${ }^{14}$
$4-m$-a to celebrate millions of sed-festivals. ${ }^{15}$

The infinitive was used only when the expression of the subjects ${ }^{\text {s }}$ of the subordinate action appeared unnecessary; when it was preferred to insert this the s $\operatorname{d} m \cdot f$ form was used, as was seen in $\S 184$ after many of the same verbs.
§ 304. The infinitive after prepositions.-In the enumeration of the meanings of the prepositions ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}_{162-181}$ ) due attention was paid to their very common use with a following infinitive, and this was seen to run parallel, in almost every case, to an employment with the $s d m \cdot f$ form; the latter employment was dealt with in § 155 .
${ }^{1}$ Eb. 93, 6.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 834, 1 ; 837, 3 .
${ }^{3}$ El. 70, 14 ; U.k.
iv. 893, 5 .

- Louvre C 14, 5 ; Peas. B I, 78, qu. § 315 .
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 7, 4; 10, 5 .
${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 215$.
${ }^{7}$ Adm. 11, 2-6.
${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{9} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{BII}_{12}$; 144, qu. § 385 .
${ }^{10}$ Brit. Mus. 213.
${ }^{11} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 7$.
${ }^{12}$ Hamim. 192. Sim. Brit. Mus. 202; C'rh. iv. 618, 16; 647, 5 .
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 57. 3. Sim.ib. 521, 10; 524, 7; 1088, 5 .
${ }^{14}$ Mill. 1, 12-2, 1.
Sim. Urk. v.6, 1415 .
${ }^{15}$ Urk. iv. 292. Sim. ib. 223, 14. 16; 481, 1. 7-9; 570, 12; Th. T. S. i. 30, B. D.
${ }^{1}$ For the use with the meaning 'after' see § 165,10 .
${ }^{2}$ Urk.iv. 697. Sim. ib. 699,$1 ; B H$. i. 8 , IO; Sin. B 239. 249 ; Siut 1, 278. 297; Westc. 8, 2.
${ }^{3}$ Sin. B 201. Sim. Peas. B I, $3 \mathbf{1}$; Westc. 8, 2 I. 23 .

4 Westi. 7, 6-7. Sim. after $\begin{gathered}\text { urs } \\ \text {, }\end{gathered}$ P. Kah. 30, 18; Paheri 3, qu. §492, 5 ; see Rec. 39, 108.
${ }^{5}$ Peas. B I, 3+-5. Sim. Westc. 12, 13 ; Urk. iv. 1073, 5-6.
${ }^{6}$ Sin. B116-7. Sim. lirk. iv. $657,17$.

7 Peas. R 84.
${ }^{8}$ Selekkhu I. Sim. Peas. B1, 33; Sh. S. I 57 ; BH. i. 8 , i1. 14; Urk. iv. 648, 14. $15 ; 693,13.14$.

Three particular cases lead to important developments to be discussed in Lesson XXIII.
I. $\hat{i} h r$. The infinitive after $h r$ expresses a concomitant circumstance, ${ }^{1}$ often best translated in English by a participle. There seems hardly any difference of meaning between this use and the use of the sdm.f form described in § 213 .
 went northwards plundering (lit. on plundering) towns. ${ }^{2}$
 encampment rejoicing. ${ }^{3}$
 msr-hrw hr hhy $n \cdot f n s n$ ipwt lo, the Majesty of king Cheops, justified, spent all his time seeking for himself the secret chambers. ${ }^{4}$

So too sometimes qualifying the object after $g m i$ 'find' and $m 3$ 'see'.
Exx. A M found him going forth from the door of his house. ${ }^{5}$
 envy because he sees me performing his business. ${ }^{6}$

It will be seen below that the verb-form known as the old perfective ( $\$ 315$ ) has a corresponding use, but while the old perfective indicates states, $h r+$ infinitive applies essentially to action as in progress; thus with transitive verbs it is active, with verbs of motion it stresses the movement itself rather than its result, and with adjective-verbs it emphasizes the becoming and not the being.
2. $m$ occasionally takes the place of $h r$ with verbs of motion. Thus in the last example but one $h r p r t$ in one manuscript is replaced by 몽 $\Delta m$ prt in another. ${ }^{\text {? }}$
3. $\quad r$. The infinitive after $r$ often expresses purpose or result.
 His Majesty proceeded north to overthrow the Beduins of Asia. ${ }^{8}$

Beyond the three important uses above described, the chief construction of interest is that after hur to be described just below. We may mention once again, as of special interest, the comparative use of $r$ after adjectives, ex. $c \xi 3 z$ smnt 'too many to record', lit. 'many as compared with recording' ( $(\$ 63,7$ ), the use of $r$ as 'to' after $i b$ 'wish', $d w$ ' 'rise early', snd 'fear', $s b_{3}$ 'teach' ( $\S 163,10$ ), and the employment of $h n r^{\prime}$ together with' ( $\$ 171,3 ;$ § 300, Obs.) and $w p w-h r$ 'except' ( $\$ 179,2$ ) as equivalent to English 'and' and 'but' with a following finite tense.

Obs. When subject ${ }^{s}$ closely follows the verb-form, it is to be presumed that the verb-form is not the infinitive, but the śdm•form (§ 155 ), or alternatively, if there is an ending $-t$, the $\dot{s} d \underline{d} t \cdot f$ form ( $\$ \S 407-9$ ).
§ 305. The infinitive after the genitival adjective.-We saw in § 191 that the $s d m \cdot f$ form may be employed after the genitival adjective $-n(n y)$ with a variety of meanings. The infinitive occurs in exactly the same way whenever the expression of the subjects was felt to be unnecessary. Only in very rare cases is the infinitive substituted for $s d m \cdot f$ when the subjects is added; an example ( $g r h p f$, etc.) has been quoted in $\S 301$, and a doubt might possibly be felt about the last example but one ( $m h_{3} \cdot f$ ) in § 191, where the form ( $s d m \cdot f$ or inf. + suffix) is ambiguous.

Among the notions expressed by $n+$ infinitive are time, place, means, purpose and the like, and the kinship of the phrase thus formed with a relative clause may often be realized by means of a paraphrase.

Exx. Tann $H_{3} k r$-festival, i. e. when the $H_{3 k}$-festival is celebrated. ${ }^{1}$
 the necropolis. ${ }^{2}$
$-\min ^{-}-\dot{\lambda} n s t_{3}$ a brazier which can be moved about, lit. of dragging. ${ }^{3}$


Specially noteworthy is the use of such infinitival genitives to describe how a man can be, or deserves to be, treated.
 lit. of opening to him the heart. ${ }^{6}$
 lit. of boasting for him. ${ }^{7}$

Note that in several cases the infinitive is best translated by an English passive.
§ 306. Absolute uses of the infinitive.-Like other nouns (§ 89), the infinitive may be used as the equivalent of a sentence, i.e. as significant and complete in itself.
I. Thus it often occurs absolutely in headings to scenes, titles to parts of books and the like ; compare above $\S 89$, r. The subjects, or agent, is introduced by $i n$.
 $m h_{3} n t \leq t y$ in $r$-pet haty-r $\ldots .$. . Rh-mi-Rr sitting to hear the petitioner in the office of the vizier by the prince . . . . . Rekhmerēe. Description above a painted scene. ${ }^{8}$
 Réc. Title of an incantation. ${ }^{9}$
summertime. ${ }^{10}$ Heading of a recipe in a medical papyrus.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. v. 104, 17. Sim. ib. 103, 10;105, 13; 107, 9; Siut 1, 308; Ưk. iv. 1072 16.
${ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 45, 1
Sim. ib. 29, 1, 48, 1 .
3 Urk. iv. 639, 2 I.
${ }^{4}$ Eb. 21, 8. Sim. ib. 31, 17; 46, 2; 79, 2. 5 .
${ }^{5} E b .52,3$.
${ }^{6}$ Bersh. ii. 21. 4. Sim. Leyd. V4, $\mathbf{1 2}^{\text {; }}$ Urk. iv. 415,13 .

7 Amada 6. Sim. ib. 7 ; Hamm 12, 3 .

- Urk. iv. 1117. Sim. ib. 1159, 10 ; 1161, 3; 1175, 17; 1187,9; BH. i. 30.32.
- Lac. TR. 35, I; Sim. ib. 36, 1 ; 39. 1; 53, $1 ; 63,1$; P. Kah. 6,8. 12.
${ }^{10}$ Hearst 2, $17=E b$. 86, 8 ( phrt nt dr, etc.).
${ }^{1}$ Eb. 1. 10; 2, 6; Hearst 6, 10 ; 11,5 .

[^126]${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 894. Sim. ib. 9, 3; 653, 8; 655, 5 ; Ann. 37, Pl. II, ${ }_{2}^{27}$; also the exx. gmt.f in $h m \cdot f$ and $d d n t s n$ in § 300 .
${ }^{4}$ Berl. AI. i. p. ${ }^{257}$,1. 3. Sim. Hamm. 48, 3 ; 19 1 , I.
${ }^{5}$ Sebekkhu 1-2. Sim.ib. 12-14; Urk. iv. 9, 11; 54, 14; 6I, 7 .
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 656, $13-$ 14. $\operatorname{Sim}$ ib. 695, 5-6. Withnut subjects ${ }^{3}$, ib. 6;6, 6-7; $685,10-11$; 729, 15-16; 730, 8 10; Hamm. 123, 3 .

7 Sin. B 107. Sin. ib. B4-5. 5. 15. 23.86 . See below $\$ 406$ for these doubtful cases.

Here belongs the very common phrase $d d m d w$, lit. 'the speaking of words', which has a double employment in Middle Egyptian. Written fully ${ }^{2} \|_{1}^{1}$ it is found in magico-medical papyri at the beginning of rubrics with prescriptive meaning.
 remedies. ${ }^{1}$

Secondly, it occurs in the abbreviated writing $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ at the beginning of all
 spoken by Hathor'. ${ }^{2}$ On many Middle Kingdom coffins $\mathfrak{i}$ stands at the top of every column of text, serving much the same purpose as our inverted commas.
2. Again like other nouns ( $\S 8,2$ ), the infinitive is used in narrative to announce incidents of outstanding importance. The subjects may be presented as an agent with the help of $i n$, or else may be appended directly to the infinitive in accordance with $\S 30 \mathrm{r}$.

Exx. $\Xi_{0}$ Majesty caused (lit. causing by His Majesty) every brave of his army to go forth. ${ }^{3}$
 rsy r Hh year 16, month 3 of winter: His Majesty made (lit. His Majesty's making) the southern boundary at Heh. ${ }^{4}$ $h m \cdot f t p-n f r$ His Majesty proceeded...... His Majesty arrived..... His Majesty made (lit. gave) a good beginning. ${ }^{5}$

- forndin in life (in the royal tent); one came to tell His Majesty. ${ }^{6}$ Note the indefinite pronoun $t w(\$ 47$ ), a very rare use.
 his children. ${ }^{7}$

Obs. Various doubts and difficulties present themselves at this point. When the infinitive is closely followed by in + noun it is indistinguishable, if of masc. gender, from the s sdm•in•f form (below $\S 429$, r). When subjects immediately follows the verb, a choice arises between the infinitive and the sd $d m \cdot f$ form, the latter being unquestionably excluded only with verbs whose infinitive ends in $-t$, while with the verb iwt 'come' this ambiguity is always present, as one of its sd$m \cdot f$ forms is izwt $\cdot f$ (§447). When the hypothetical infinitive ends in $-t$, the question arises whether it may not be the sdmt.f form, see below $\S 406$; so, for instance, in the last example above, where the doubt is intensified by the fact that no parallel forms without $t$ from immutable verbs are there to suggest the infinitive. Reasonably certain examples of the narrative infinitive are those in which forms ending in - $t$ alternate with forms not ending in $-t$, and where both are parallel to real narrative tenses like sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$. These criteria place our third and fourth examples beyond doubt. A different kind of question arises in connection with examples like the second above; here the infinitive (if it be such and not the śdmt.f form) may be, not a narrative infinitive, but one in apposition to the preceding date.
§ 307. The infinitive after $n n$ and $\sim n$, and after the negative relative adjective.-I. Just as $n n$ is used with a nominal subject to predicate non-existence (§ 108 ), so too it is used with the infinitive as its subject to express the non-performance or non-occurrence of some verbal action. ${ }^{1}$ This construction is hardly employed, however, except to qualify some preceding statement, and in this case, as with nominal subject (§ IO9), it is often best to translate $n n$ as 'without'.

Exx. I caused his weapons to be carried off..... without desisting from the fight. Lit. not was desisting. ${ }^{2}$
 $p s s \cdot f$ st $n h r d w \cdot f$ without his being allowed to divide it among his children. ${ }^{3}$ Lit., not is the allowing that he divide it for his children.
 sbs $n$ drost mayst thou go out and in, without being driven back, and without being turned away from the door of the netherworld. Lit. there is not the driving back of thee, etc. ${ }^{4}$

As the last example shows, when objects is added to the infinitive, it is often more idiomatic in English to render this as a passive, e. g. ' without (thy) being driven back' instead of actively ' without driving thee back'.

A very uncommon case is where, in agreement with $\S 301$, the noun following the infinitive is subjects, not objects.
 without blow of a stick, lit. there is not striking of a stick. ${ }^{5}$

Rarely an agential dative is inserted after $n n$ when the infinitive is intransitive.
 lit. not to her is going forth. ${ }^{6}$ Note that this example is a main clause.
$-\mu \| n$ is ( $\$ 209$ ) can be used when the negatived infinitive definitely restricts the scope of a preceding clause.
 $n$ is $n d r t m$-r.f an overseer of lawsuits cannot judge a thief, except he be (?) imprisoned with him, lit. not indeed is there imprisoning with him. ${ }^{7}$

Very rarely $n$ wnt ( $\S 108,2$ ) is used in place of the usual $n n$.
Ex. My Majesty has commanded to consecrate the holy ground south of
 without allowing any people to tread upon this holy ground. ${ }^{8}$ Lit. there is not the allowing that any people tread, etc.

Exceptionally and, so far as our evidence goes, only when two parallel infinitives are negated and these have no objects, $n$ n found in place of $\mu$.
${ }^{1}$ See Gunn, Stud.
pp. ${ }^{1} 55$ foll.
${ }^{2}$ Sebekkhu 4. Sim. Peas. B 1, 79; Hamm. 113, 7; Sh. S. 17 ; Westr. 5, 17; 11, 11.
${ }^{3}$ Siut 1, 272. Sim. Sin. R 22; P. Kah. 12, 12; 35, 13; Berl. AI. i. p. 256, 5, qu. §254.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 498. Sim. ib. 65, 9; 520, 8; Siut 1, 293; 4, 33; Bersh.ii. 21, top, 1 .
${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. I. 4.

[^127]${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 30, 11-3.
${ }^{8}$ Amrah 29, $2 . S i m . ~$ Dend. $37 \mathrm{~b}, 387$.

${ }^{1}$ Adm．5，14；Urk． iv．57，4－5．Sim．ib．97， 15－6；546，6－7．

[^128] without conception，without birth．${ }^{1}$

This use is，up to the present，unexplained．
 by the mere substitution of the negative relative adjective iwty for $n n(\$ 203,3)$ ， so too with the construction $n n+$ infinitive discussed above under I ．

Exx． 477 iwtw $h s f \cdot f$ ntrw who is not repelled among the gods．${ }^{2}$ Some variants omit the suffix as unessential．
$\rightarrow)_{\infty}^{\infty}$ izut $(y) r h r n \cdot f$ whose name is not known．${ }^{3}$
One might，in explaining these instances，hesitate between the infinitive and the form which we shall term the passive $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ ，but there is no definite evidence in favour of the latter，and the infinitive seems indicated by Coptic equivalents like $a t$－sont ${ }^{e} f$＇uncreated＇，lit．＇who－not（there is）creating of him＇．See below § 424， 3 ．
§ 308．Negation of the infinitive．－To express such notions as＇not－ hearing＇，＇not－to－hear＇use must be made of the negative verb tm，the discussion of which belongs to a later stage in our studies；see below $\S 348$ ．

Obs．It must be carefully noted that the constructions of $\S 307$ do not constitute negations of the infinitive in the sense here meant，since there the negative word $m n$ or $n$ is the existential predicate＇is not＇，while the infinitive is subject．

## VOCABULARY

且 $w d r$ divide，sever；judge， judge between．
 $\Downarrow{ }_{\square}^{\mathrm{mmn}}$ var．${ }_{\mathrm{mm}}^{-\infty} \mathrm{O}$ sn smell，kiss．
$\Delta\rfloor \underset{\sim}{\rightleftharpoons}$（ k 36 ）double（vb．）．
${ }_{10}{ }^{i} w^{2}$ island．

会 var．角 wr chieftain．
Y4月合 wdyt（military）expedition．
$\underset{-\infty}{\square} p s d$ back．
 quoise．
Mmonill nhtw victory．
路 $h t p w$ peace．
 gods）．
융oo hsbd lapis lazuli．
$\gamma \|_{-\infty}^{\infty} s s r$ arrow．
$\triangle \sigma_{0}^{m m} \sim R t n w$ Retjnu，name of Palestine and Syria．
U ＇Opener－of－the－ways＇，the wolf－ god of Abydus and Asyûṭ．

## EXERCISE XXI

（a）Reading lesson：words accompanying a scene of foreigners，who bring tribute to the vizier Rekhmereer（reign of Tuthmosis III，Dyn．XVIII）．${ }^{1}$

＇Coming in peace by the chieftains of Keftiu（Crete）and of the islands in the midst belonging to the sea，in bowing down，in bending（lit．putting down）the head，through the might of His Majesty，the King of Upper and Lower Egypt， Menkheperrēe，granted life eternally，when they hear of his victories over all countries ；their tribute on their backs，seeking that may be given to them（lit． in quest of the giving to them）the breath of life，through desire of being loyal subjects（lit．being upon the water）of His Majesty，so that（lit．to cause that）his might may protect them．It is the trusty servant（lit．heart－filler）of the sovereign， the overseer of the city，the vizier Rekhmerē厄，who receives all the tribute of all lands，which is（wont to be）brought through the might of His Majesty．＇
（b）Write in hieroglyphs：
（I）To do justice is the breath of the nose．（2）Thou wast placed in order to hear disputes（ $m d t$ ），to judge between disputants（lit．two），and to repress the brigand．（3）My Majesty dedicated（ $h r p$ ）to him gold，silver，lapis lazuli and turquoise in order to make all the monuments of my father Amūn．（4）Thou didst seize thy city without fighting，without an arrow being shot（lit．shooting an arrow）．（5）My Majesty commanded to double these offerings，（making them）
into (literally 'as') a thousand various (kinds of) bread, when ( $m-h t$ ) My Majesty came (infinitive) from having ( hr ) crushed Retjnu in the first campaign of victory. (6) It is better to give than to receive (lit. receive from another). (7) Giving praise to Osiris, smelling (i.e. kissing) the earth for Wepwawet, by the prince Nebseny. ${ }^{1}$ (8) A book for driving out all snakes. ${ }^{2}$ (9) Send thou to me (news) about his health, without letting him know it.
${ }^{1}$ Legend beside the picture of a man with arms raised in adoration. ${ }^{2}$ Title of a book.

## LESSON XXII

## THE OLD PERFECTIVE

${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. 551 foll. Also $\ddot{A} Z$. 27, 65; 29, 85.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 3, 3. 9;
Louvre C 174 .
${ }^{3}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 11. 14; Urk. iv. 749, 17; A' 47 , Pl. 1, 7 . 8. 13 .
${ }^{4}$ Louvre C 1, 14 ; Sinai 139, 12.
b Bersh. i. 14, 2;
Th. T. S. ii. 9. II.

- Hamm. 1, 7;

Leyd. V 88, io. II.
7 Urk. iv. 244, 4.
${ }^{8}$ Five Th.T. 27.28.
-Leb. 63: Urk. iv. 758, 16; Ann. 37, Pl. II, $13-14$.
${ }^{16}$ Wny, Cairo 20003; dwey, Sm. 3, 10; hry, BUDGE, 304, $13 ; 305$, 6. 9. Sim. in O.K., Weill, Décr. Pl. 9, vert. I.
${ }^{11}$ Eb. 36, 18; 41, 1; ${ }_{12}{ }^{2} 9,1$; $110,5$.
12 Sm. II, 18.
${ }^{13}$ ERM. Hymm. 1 1, 4 .
${ }^{14} E b .37,18 ; 38,18$.
${ }^{15}$ Eb. 109, 20 ; but see Rec. 35, 216.
16 3rd plur. f., Siut 1, 235 ; Hamm. II4, 11. 12; Urk.iv. 707, 10. 3rd dual m., Six. B 169; Pt. II.
14: Eb. 73, 6. $3^{\text {rd }}$ dual f., Sin. R 9 . B272; C'rk.iv. 365, 2.
§ 309. The old perfective, ${ }^{1}$ often known under the less suitable name of 'pseudo-participle', is the sole surviving relic in Egyptian of the Semitic finite verb (§3). It differs from the various forms of the suffix conjugation, i.e. verbforms like sdm.f ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{I}$ ), in the possession, for the persons, of special endings of its own not identifiable with any of the Egyptian pronouns. This will be best made clear by the following paradigm from the immutable verb sdm 'hear'.

Sing. i, c. So hieratic. Rarer writings of the ending are
 O.K., simply.$-^{6}$

Also more rarely on
The fuller writing is rather less common.
Also $\mathbb{N}^{\circ}$, more rarely
Rarely ${ }^{7}$
Also rarely ${ }^{\text {n }}$. ${ }^{8}$
Much less commonly ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{9}$ but also sometimes 1 Al sdmy. ${ }^{10}$
Hardly except in very ancient texts; in Middle Egyptian regularly replaced by 3rd masc. form. ${ }^{16}$

Thus, only the endings of ist sing., ist plur., and 2nd plur. have a very distinctive character. The 2nd sing. and 3 rd f. sing. are identical, as also 3 rd m . sing. and plur. Moreover, the summary writings for $s \underline{d m w}$ and for $s d m \cdot t i$, which are exceedingly common, are easily confused with other parts of the verb ( $\$ 296$ ). In order to recognize the old perfective easily, close attention to its syntactic uses is required.

Turning now to details，for ist sing．some hieratic texts which otherwise write the ending $k w i$ in full display the abnormal writings 2 and $w n \cdot k$＇I was＇；${ }^{2}$ in several instances the enclitic particle $r f$ follows，${ }^{3}$ so that these writings may indicate some special form．

In 2nd sing．and 3 rd f．sing．the ending $\| f$ or $\}$ ti follows the determinative， if any；the shorter writing，which is usual in the older hieratic texts，has the ending $a \cdot t(i)$ before the determinative．Exx．©肋 $h r \cdot t i$＇thou art content＇，${ }^{4}$ TAOU $s m \cdot t i$＇she is gone＇，${ }^{5}$ but 口今 $p r \cdot t(i)$＇thou art come＇，${ }^{6}$ 可 $m h \cdot t(i)$＇it is full＇．＇With verb－stems ending in $t$ the ending may disappear entirely，in


The endings $\cdot k w i$ ，wynn，tizwny follow any determinative that there may be； but the $w$ of the 3 rd m ．sing．and plur．，if written out，regularly precedes it．

In certain zae inf．and $4 a e$ inf．verbs the ending of the 3 rd m．sing．and 3rd plur．is apt to be written as $y$ ，exx．略 $4 Q \wedge h y$＇has fallen＇，＇＇have
 wrw ${ }^{13}$ ）； isolated ex．1st plur．，量 $\triangle 4\left\|\|_{1}^{\prime \prime} 11\right.$＇we having fared down＇．${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ This $y$ is apparently due to the fusion of the ending，which in the oldest Egyptian was $i$ more commonly than $w$ ，with the final $i$ or $w$ of the stem．

Any separately expressed subject，whether noun or pronoun，must be placed before the old perfective；see Lesson XXIII for further details．

Exx． thou art come．
 were supplied with everything good．${ }^{16}$

Obs．I．The old perfective was discovered and compared with the Semitic perfect by Erman，who gave to it the name＇pseudo－participle＇here abandoned．In particular，a close resemblance has been noticed between the Egyptian old perfective and the Akkadian（Semitic Babylonian）＇permansive＇，an important similarity being that both can be used with passive，as well as with active，meaning；${ }^{17}$ a difference is that the old perfective shows an additional $i$ not present in any Semitic parallel， cf．Eg．sdm．kwi with Akkad．kašdāku．Contradictory views have been taken，how－ ever，with regard to the age of the Akkadian permansive．Brockelmann held it to be a secondary formation，${ }^{18}$ but the consensus of recent opinion attributes to it an antiquity not much less than that of any other Semitic tense．${ }^{18 a}$ One scholar ${ }^{19}$ sought to discover in Akkadian two tenses，a＇durative perfect＇and a＇durative imperfect＇， which might have been compared to the traces of an apparently similar distinction in Egyptian，see below，§ 310 ，end；however，the hypothesis in question has not found favour with other Semitists．There are also Hamitic analogies to be taken into con－ sideration，${ }^{20}$ but here the external resemblances are much less striking，the facts different in the different tongues，and the meanings less conspicuously comparable．

[^129]${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 257$.

16 Urk．iv． 719.

[^130]To sum up, the relationship of the Egyptian old perfective to a Semitic counterpart seems indisputable, and the Akkadian permansive illustrates that relationship at its closest ; but the exact nature of the connexion has still to be determined.

Obs. 2. In transliterating the old perfective it seems advisable to place a dot before those endings which are written after the determinative and were, accordingly, regarded as suffixes (exx. sd$m m \cdot k w i, s d m \cdot t i)$, but to omit the dot elsewhere (exx. sd$m m e$, $s d m t)$; sdmt may, however, be conveniently represented by $s \underline{d} m \cdot t(i)$.
§ 310. Forms of the old perfective in mutable verbs.-In the
${ }^{1}$ Eb. 36, $15 ; 37$, 3. Sim. T. Carn. 5 (pb.wyn).
${ }^{2}$ Leb. 127; Brit. Mus. 574, 16. Sim. Urk. iv. 385, 3 ; Puy. 35 (wn-kwi).
${ }^{3}$ Sh.S. 24.169.
${ }^{4}$ Eb. 51, 18.
${ }^{5}$ Siut 1, 276. 282. 289.

- Mill. 2, 1.
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. ${ }^{11} 59,17$. Sim. ir, Cairo 20543 , 14.
${ }^{8} B H$. i. 8, 11. 14.
${ }^{9}$ Eb. rog, 6.
${ }^{10}$ Westc. 7, in.
${ }^{11}$ Bersh. ii. p. 25.
${ }^{12}$ Brit. Mus. 614, II, qu. §312,4.
${ }^{13}$ Sin. B 286; Sh. S. 39. 177.
${ }^{14}$ Eb. 43, 17.
${ }^{15}$ Sh.S. 4, qu. § 322.
${ }^{16}$ Urk.iv. 472, 15.
${ }^{17}$ Sin. B 193.
18 Hamm. 114, 12.
19 Hamm . 114, 12. Sim. m. sing. BII. i. 26, 126.
${ }^{20}$ Urk. ív. I19, 10.
${ }^{21} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 257$.
${ }^{22} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 265$; Pt. 9; Paheri 3, reg. 1.
${ }^{23}$ Westc. 8, 12.
${ }_{24}$ Brit. Mus. 614, vert. 4 -
${ }^{25}$ Hamm. 114, 11.
${ }^{26}$ Five Th. T. ${ }^{27}$. 28.
${ }^{27}$ Urk. iv. 55: 13 ; 530, 12 .
${ }^{28}$ Eb. 105, 2; 107, 15.18.
${ }^{29} E b$. IIo, 5, qu. $\$ 326$.
normal forms no gemination is seen.
 rare geminating forms see at the end of this section.
 The third weak radical is not written, and in 3 rd m. forms like $\# h v w$ 'were content's the $w$ is the personal ending; however, in the rarer alternative $y$ (§309), the semi-vowel of the stem is probably combined with the ending. On the rare geminating forms see at the end of this section.
' Make' is written normally without $r$, exx. Des ir ir kri' 'I acted' ${ }^{6}$ (active, §312, 1) ; irw' (they) being made' ${ }^{7}$ (passive, §312, 2). The exceptional writing 皿这 is probably to be viewed as a geminating form irrokiz, see below.
 held fast'. ${ }^{\circ}$ In the 3 rd masc. the ending is sometimes omitted, ex. ${ }_{\mu m}^{\mu}\| \|$ mini 'had landed ${ }^{10}$ sometimes written as $y$, ex. ㅇ 1914 wssy '(it) was ruined' ${ }^{11}$
 to the 4 -lit. verbs, see § 274 .
anom. 'Give' shows forms both with and without $r$ : ist sing. B
 2nd m. sing. $-d i \cdot t(i) ;{ }^{17} 3$ rd m. sing. (agreeing with f. plur. noun) $\left.\sim d i(w)\right)^{18}$ 3 rd m. plur. $\cos ^{19}$ etc. An example of the geminating form, namely $d d \cdot k w i$ 'I am placed', ${ }^{20}$ can be quoted from Dyn. XVIII; in Late Egyptian, curiously enough, the old perfective is always written with $ـ$.
'Come' shows forms from both stems. From iw: 2nd m. sing. $\Lambda$, $i w \cdot t(i) ;{ }^{21}$
 2nd plur. $\& \perp-\operatorname{li}$-tiwn $(y) .^{26}$

The above enumeration of forms contains only two examples with gemination. Nevertheless, a few more geminating old perfectives may be quoted :-
 'they being'. ${ }^{29}$

 3rd m. formerly quoted ( $h_{33,}{ }^{2} p r r^{3}$ ) are really imperf. act. participles ( $\$ 357$ ).


## 

The evidence is too slight to admit of certain conclusions, but it is remarkable that these geminating forms occur only (with the exception of $d d \cdot k w i$ just mentioned) in generalizing, characterizing passages, i. e. in passages of the kind where, as we shall see later, the participles ( $\$ 355$ ) and the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 440$ ) also display the gemination.

Exx. © entered without being announced, lit. without saying of him. ${ }^{4}$ In the next line

 gone down) three times into the hekat-measure. ${ }^{6}$

There is thus a distinct possibility that the geminating old perfective may possess implications of repetition or continuity.
§ 311. Meaning and use.-There can be no doubt but that, in an early lost stage of the Egyptian language, the old perfective was a freely used narrative tense with both active and passive meanings. In historic times, however, and particularly in Middle Egyptian, this tense has become much restricted and specialized in its use.

First, it has been restricted and specialized in respect of person. The ist person ${ }^{6}$ alone is used independently in main clauses ( $\$ 312$ ); the 2nd and 3 rd persons, except in some idiomatic phrases ( $\$ 313$ ), require a noun or pronoun, this usually preceding, ${ }^{7}$ upon which to depend. It will be seen, as we proceed ( $\$ 314$ ), that the effect of the dependence just mentioned was to give to the old perfective more and more the status of an adverb (virtual adverb clause). Often it is added as a qualification to a noun or pronoun exercising some syntactic function in a main clause ( $\$ 314 \mathrm{foll}$.). When, on the other hand, the preceding noun or pronoun has no other function than to serve as subject of the old perfective, then that verb-form resembles an adverbial predicate, and all the rules for the sentence with adverbial predicate come into play. The resulting construction will in this book be termed 'the pseudo-verbal construction', and will be described in detail in the next Lesson.

Second, the old perfective has undergone restriction as regards meaning. Here we reach some very important rules. The old active-transitive employment as a past tense survives only in a few patently archaistic examples, though it is still common, both for past and for present reference, with the verb rb 'know'. The old perfective from other transitive verbs has passive meaning, ex. hsb.kwi
${ }^{1}$ Rhind 35. 37-38.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 42, 18, qu. § 323 .
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 105 , 1 .
${ }^{4}$ Munich 3, 16 ; hardly to be rendered as Lef. Gr. § 346.
${ }^{5}$ Khind 35 .

[^131]0 Very rarely with Engl．present；with an adj．verb，$h t p \cdot k w i$＇I am content＇，Brit．Mus． 101，qu．p．348，top．

1 Brit．Mus． 574. Sim．rdi．ki＇I caused＇， Bersh．i．14，2；ib．kzei ＇I supposed＇，Sh．$S$ ． 58；in $\cdot k(i)^{\prime}$＇I brought＇， Ann．39， 189.

2 Mill．2，1．Sim． Munich 3，16，qu． § 310 ，end；$M . u . K$ ． 5， 10.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{45} 114$.
${ }^{4}$ Turin ${ }^{56}$ ，3．Sim． Urk．iv．835，i6，qu． § 187 ．

[^132][^133]＇I have been sent＇．With verbs of motion it describes，not so much the move－ ment itself as the position reached as the result of the movement，ex．$k ; \cdot k w i$＇I went down＇to the mines．Lastly，it is frequent with adjective－verbs（ex．wesr－kwi＇I was powerful＇）and with some other intransitives（ex．msh＇burned＇）．In all these cases the old perfective expresses a state or condition of things；as contrasted with the essentially dynamic suffix conjugation it is static or at least relatively so． The time－position indicated by the old perfective depends upon the context；but in its narrative uses it must be translated with the English past（＇burned＇，＇was rewarded＇）or the English present perfect（＇has perished＇，＇has been sent＇）．${ }^{\text {．}}$

Obs．The negative construction $n$ śdm $\cdot n \cdot f$ ，which serves to negate various verb－ forms envisaging a protracted span of time，is often used in close association with the old perfective；see §418．This is exactly what would be expected，seeing that the old perfective refers to a static，enduring condition of things．
§ 312．Independent use of the ist person．－1．The few surviving examples of the active－transitive use（already rare in Old Egyptian）are narrative in the ist person．
 place where the god was．${ }^{1}$

a首d $d \cdot k i$ I said．${ }^{3}$
Alone among verbs $r k$＇know＇has a more frequent use in the old perfective，see below § 320 ．

Ex． was profitable to him who performed it upon earth．${ }^{4}$

2．With passive meaning from transitive verbs，in narrative．The sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form supplies the corresponding active．

Exx．$\Delta$ 国 chamber．${ }^{5}$
 to the official so－and－so．${ }^{6}$

3．With verbs of motion，in narrative．
Exx．a upstream to bring marvels of gold．${ }^{7}$
 the Great－Black．${ }^{8}$

4．With adjective－verbs and other intransitives．

回 © Thfo rs•kwi hr•s grh mi hrw I was watchful concerning it night and day alike．${ }^{10}$

Thus the independent use of the ist person in main clauses illustrates the variety of meanings which the old perfective may convey, according as the verb in question is transitive or intransitive, a verb of motion or an adjective-verb ( $\$ 31 \mathrm{I}$, end). The same variation of meaning runs through all uses of the old perfective, and cannot be specifically mentioned in each section.
§ 313. Exclamatory use of the and and 3rd persons.-The 2nd and 3rd persons of the old perfective are used independently only in certain greetings, exhortations and the like. Note that it is just in these cases that Egyptian is wont to employ an adverbial phrase; see above § 153.

So in two phrases for 'beware', 'keep away'.
Exx. © from) eating the radu-fish. ${ }^{1}$
 neglectfulness. ${ }^{2}$ Compare the use of $s 3 z$, below $\S 338$, 2.

Similarly in certain greetings.

 to him 'welcome!' by the great ones of Abydus. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

A greeting of similar appearance $\| \cap \Delta A^{\prime N} i i \cdot w y$ 'welcome!' ${ }^{5}$ is probably a participle followed by the admirative ending -wy; see below $\S 374$.

Further examples of a similar kind:
 healthy, twice), good fellow, to thy home! ${ }^{6}$
 being praised), I do not know the number thereof. ${ }^{7}$

Here belongs the exceedingly common expression (above §55) $f \AA_{\rho} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{b}(w)$, $w d_{3}(w), s n b(w)$ 'may he live, be prosperous, be healthy!' (conveniently abbreviated in translation as 'l. p. h.') found after the word $n b$ '(my) lord' ${ }^{8}$ and the names of honoured persons, ${ }^{9}$ particularly in letters. ${ }^{10}$ This formula is found also, but only sparsely before Dyn. XVII, following various terms connected with
 Great House', i.e. the royal palace, ${ }^{13} \neq \square \square r-n s w$ ' the king's house', ${ }^{14}$ 無口 $\square s t p-s$,
 after kings' names, ${ }^{16}$ and $f f$ r $n b \cdot t i$ 'may she live!' after names of queens ${ }^{17}$ and princesses. ${ }^{18}$ For the use of $r n h(w) d t$, etc. as object of $i r$ 'make' see below $\S 378$.

Obs. It is not impossible that some of these exclamatory old perfectives may be relics of fuller formulae. In all languages greetings and the like are apt to be cut down to the briefest form, ex. 'morning!' for 'I wish you a good morning!'
${ }^{1}$ M. и. K. 8, 6. Sim. ib. 8, 7. 8, 9. ' Keep away from ( $r$ ), Destr. 88 ; Harh. 421 ; Sm. 18, 18. The sing. $h r t i$, Peas. B 1,306 , Sm. 19, 2; Budge, p. 101, 7 ; Destr. 37.
${ }^{2}$ Pt. 154 . Sim. ib. 260. 281. 300 ; P. Pet. 1116 A, 48. 122.
${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 611; 620, 5. Sim. Harh. 195; Brit. Mus. 155, 20.
Plural i-tizuny, Five
Th. T. 27. 28 .
${ }^{4}$ Brit. Mus. 614,
vert. 4 ; Louvre $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, I2.
${ }^{6}$ See further $A Z$. 29, 99.
${ }^{6}$ Sh.S. 158 ; sim. P. Kah. 4, 23. Wn.ti (sp sn), Puy. 54, lower half, centre.

7 Westc. 9, 2-3.
${ }^{8}$ P. Kah. 27, 1. 11; 28, 2.9, etc. It has now been proved (JEA. 31, 107) that in M.E. $n b$ 'lord', without $l$ 'my', is to be read, though L. E. has pyy. $i$ $n b$ in similar contexts.
${ }^{9}$ Sh.S. 189 ; BH.
i. 8, 12 .
${ }^{10}$ P. Kah. 27, 33;
29, 31; 30, 25; 31, 48; 35, 24, etc. Fem. with
$t$ added, ib. 30, 1. 24.
${ }^{11}$ Westc. 8, 8;9,6; Urk. iv. 3, 5; 15, 9.
${ }^{18}$ Urk. iv. 8, 11 ; 9, 11 ; 80, 9.17.
${ }^{18}$ Westc. 5, 2; 8,
10; an ex. in Dyn.
XII, P. Kah. 16, 30.
${ }^{14}$ Westc. 4, 22 ; 6,
14.
${ }^{16}$ Urk. iv. 194, 1 ; 651, 1; 1021, 3 .
${ }^{16}$ GAUTHIER, Livte des Rois, i. 223, 266; ii. 198. The 2nd pers. in addresses, Urk. iv.
564, $10 ; 580,14$.
17 Gauthier, op. cit. i. 250 ; ii. 163.
193.

18 Gauthier, op. cit. i. 337 ; ii. 341 .
§ 314. Use of the old perfective as a clause of circumstance.A common adverbial use of the old perfective is as a virtual clause of circumstance (see above §2I3). In this employment, which is frequent with all persons, the old perfective links up with some preceding noun or pronoun of the main clause, and serves to describe or qualify it in some way.

${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 199-200.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 4I. Sim. T. Carn. 3; 3 rd m., wry, P. Kah. 28, 24.
${ }^{8}$ Sh.S. 72-3.

4 Urk.iv. 66. Sim. P. Kah. 31, 7.
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 657. Sim. ib. 758,$16 ; 765$, I5; 766, 1; 879, 8 ; Sin. В 272 ; BH. i. 25, 8 ; Kopt. 8, 11.
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. \%. For ii.ti see § $\mathbf{3} 2 \mathbf{2}$.
${ }^{7}$ Sh.S. 154. Sim. BH. i. 25, 37 .
${ }^{78}$ Occasionally best rendered as a clause of result, ex. smnw'so that it was established', Mar. Karn. 37, 41 . See further $\cdot$ Verbum ii. § 3 ; JEA. 22, $3^{6}$.
${ }^{8}$ Sin. $\mathrm{B}^{193-4 .}$
${ }^{89}$ Lef. in Misc. Greg. 129.
${ }^{85}$ Tomb in Mo'alla, ed. Vandier. Sim. $\operatorname{pr}(y), \operatorname{Urk} . \mathrm{iv}, 54,15$; $59,{ }_{8 c}{ }^{2} 3$.
${ }_{8 c}$ Anthes, 14, 6. Prob. sim. Ist plur., Lutz, 66, 2.
${ }^{8 d}$ In most cases the choice between main and circumstantial use is disputable. Allen in AJSL. 44, 130: 49, 160 unduly favours the latter.

- Sh. S. ${ }^{157}$. Sim. P. Kah. 6, 13. 14; 30,30 ; BH. i. 25 , 39 ; 26, 133; Westc. 12, 20.
whewt. $\boldsymbol{i}$ this command reached me (as) I stood in the midst of my tribe. ${ }^{1}$
 alone. ${ }^{2}$
 $m$ nty $n m s \cdot t(w) \cdot f$ I will cause thee to know thyself, thou being as ashes, having become as one who is not seen. ${ }^{3}$
 earth, you being prosperous. ${ }^{4}$
 drm, srbw m hkrwof nw re-ht His Majesty set out on a chariot of gold, adorned (lit. he being adorned) in his panoply of war. ${ }^{5}$

 thou see this island (again), it having become sea. ${ }^{7}$

As our translations show, the old perfective may be rendered in English in many ways: by a predicatival adjective ('alone') or a participial construction ('you being prosperous', 'it having become'), by a clause of circumstance ('as I stood'), or by an adverb ('safely'). ${ }^{\text {a }}$ It may even be opportune at times to render it by a main clause:
 heaven is over thee, thou art placed in the hearse, oxen drag thee. ${ }^{8}$

Without a pronoun to lean upon the old perf. $d i \cdot t(i)$ could not here have been used. Rare cases occur, however, where the pronoun does not precede, but
 reached Wawat'sb $h n t(y)$ is shown to be old perf. by the parallel elsewhere
 circumstantial, though as a ist pers. it might well have been a main verb $(\$ 312,3) .{ }^{\text {sd }}$
§ 315. The old perfective qualifying the object of certain verbs.A special case of the construction described in the last section is the use with such verbs as $g m i$ 'find', $m 3$ 'see', and more rarely $r$ di 'cause', with its imperative $\ \subset$ imi ( $\$ 336$ ).
 him he knowing it. ${ }^{9}$
 see me in health. ${ }^{1}$
 strewn on my hair. ${ }^{2}$
 thy city. ${ }^{3}$

With the passives of these verbs, it is of course the subject, not the object, which is qualified.
 $n s y \cdot s n ~ n m w$ their wine was found lying (lit. placed) in their presses. ${ }^{4}$

The verbs $g m i$ and $m 33$ are those whose object, as we have seen, is not seldom qualified by $h r+$ infinitive ( $\$ 304, \mathrm{I}$ ), or alternatively by the $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form (§213). In both these constructions, however, the qualification consists of an action; with the old perfective it consists rather of a state or condition.
§ 316. The old perfective qualifying the subject of certain verbs.A second special case of the construction described in § 314 is the use of the old perfective to qualify the subject of verbs like ${ }_{\circ} w r$ 'spend all day', $18 \leadsto$ $s d r$ ' spend all night', 'lie', ${ }^{5}$ and more rarely $\triangle d r$ 'end', ■ $\Omega$ pri ' come forth'.
 hungry. ${ }^{6}$

 fused through it. ${ }^{8}$

For a corresponding use with $h r+$ infinitive see above § 304, I.
§ 317. The old perfective in virtual relative clauses.-Like the śdm.f and other narrative verbal forms ( $\$ 196$ ), the old perfective is often appended to a noun with the meaning of an epithet, i.e. as a virtual relative clause. The noun, as we should expect, is usually undefined.
 with oil. ${ }^{\circ}$
son ${ }^{2}$.. wrrt bsketi $m$ nbw a chariot wrought in gold. ${ }^{10}$
Instances in which the antecedent is defined are much rarer. This is, however, regularly the case with $d m d$ 'entire' and $t m$ 'complete'; here again the old perfective may be adverbial, the phrases $r d r \cdot f, m i k d \cdot f(\$ 100)$ suggesting that the Egyptians conceived of such notions as 'entire' adverbially.

Exx. ${ }_{-}^{9} 977$ 盆 40 psdt $d m d \cdot t i$ the entire ennead (cycle of nine) of the gods. ${ }^{11}$
a $10 \mathrm{irt} \mathrm{tm} \cdot t i$ the complete eye. ${ }^{12}$

${ }^{2}$ Sin. B 201 ; ${ }^{\text {Ynwy. } i}$ is emended. Sim. Pt. 162; Rec. 2, 115, 176.
${ }^{3}$ Sh. S. 159. Sim. Vienna 142, qu. Erm.
Gramm. ${ }^{3}$ § 335 .

4 Urk. iv. 687.
${ }^{5}$ Exx. Adm. 7, 11.
14; Brit. Mus. 159, 11, qu. § 203, 6; Menthuw. 11; M.u.K. 7, 5.
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah. 5, 33.
7 Leb. 75. Sim. Urk.v. 128,9 $=130,7$.
${ }^{8}$ Eb. 102, 5. Sim. ib. 99, 20-1 ; 100, 21 ; 101, 6 ; Peas. B1, 113.

[^134]An unexpected example with a defined antecedent might be：
 $m s \cdot k w i$ im．$f$ what is a greater thing than that my corpse should be interred（lit．
${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{BI}_{5}$ 5－60．$^{\text {．}}$
${ }^{2}$ JEA． 22,37 ． the uniting of my corpse）in the land in which I was born．${ }^{1}$

However，a recent conjecture ${ }^{2}$ regards $t_{3}$ here as equivalent to＇Egypt＇and renders＇．．．．．in Egypt，seeing that I was born in it．＇
§ 318．Other uses of the old perfective．－In all other uses of the old perfective it is preceded by a nominal or pronominal subject of its own．Most of these uses will be dealt with in the next Lesson，but a few will remain over to be discussed under the heading＇compound narrative forms＇（Lesson XXXII）．

## V OCABULARY

for wis be far；with $r$ ，fall into （bad condition，etc．）
wri be alone．
$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ wrs spend all day，pass time．有 $b 3 k$ work（trans．and intr．）． $\overbrace{}^{\square} ص p d$, var．${ }^{\square} ص p d$ ，stretch； adj．wide．
这 $\delta \int \times n b$ unite，link together； equip with（ $m$ ）．
\＆—
$\stackrel{\cap}{8} \frac{1}{4} h r$ be far from $(r)$ ．

1早加胃 sche erect．

ロ Mm dhn promote，appoint．
－1st precious stone．

fon var． 1 w win
wort chariot．
3 §ค mist lap．
$\underset{-\infty}{\gamma}$＠s＇s cord．
 the Thrones of the Two Lands， a common epithet of the god Amen－Rēc．

## EXERCISE XXII

（a）Translate into English：




（5）

 （7）$\cap 1 甘 / 4$.




${ }^{1}$ The reference is to a foundation ceremony．
（b）Write in hieroglyphs：
（1）I have given to thee all lands and all foreign countries under thy feet， thou living and enduring like Rēr for ever．（2）His Majesty endowed him with a tomb in front of（ $m-h f t-h r n$ ）his city，it being equipped with fields，and furnished with slaves，male and female．（3）Thou arisest in the sky new and young every day．（4）Welcome ye to the temple of your city god．（5）They brought their tribute on their back（s），a chariot wrought in gold and precious stones without end．（6）They found this temple fallen into ruin．（7）I was precious（ikr）in his sight（lit．on his heart）．（8）He was found spending the day eating and drinking．

## LESSON XXIII

## THE PSEUDO－VERBAL CONSTRUCTION

§ 319．The name pseudo－verbal construction has been chosen，for want of a better，to bring under one common head those sentences or clauses in which either the old perfective or $h r$（or $m$ or $r$ ）＋infinitive serves as predicate to a pre－ ceding noun or pronoun．The following are some of the commoner models：－



回口 $\triangle m k \operatorname{sw} p r(w)$ behold，he is gone forth．
国 wnn•f $h r p r t$ he will go forth，lit．be on going forth．

These examples have the common characteristic that, while expressing the meaning of ordinary verbal sentences ( $\$ 27$ ), they conform to the pattern of the sentence with adverbial predicate (Lesson X). The name pseudo-verbal here given to them is strictly accurate in the case of $h r+$ infinitive, since this, while conveying the signification of a narrative verb-form, is in fact an adverbial phrase (§28). With the old perfective the designation pseudo-verbal is more open to criticism. It is true, however, that in Middle Egyptian the old perfective was no longer a narrative verb-form in the full sense, but was mainly reserved for employments of an adverbial kind (\$311). Nor can it be denied that, in all the above examples, the subject is introduced in exactly the way it would be if the predicate were adverbial. Thus there can be little doubt that the old perfective here is a virtual clause of circumstance used as predicate; cf. $\$ 215$.

Under the same heading we shall include the sentences having $m+$ infinitive ( $\$ 304,2$ ) or $r+$ infinitive $(\$ 304,3)$ as predicate ; these will be treated at the end of the Lesson. We shall postpone, on the other hand, certain constructions which agree with those here considered in having as predicate the old perfective or $h r+$ infinitive, but in which auxiliary verbs other than $i w$ or $w n n$ are used or in which wenn appears in verb-forms not yet described ; see $\S \S 396,2 ; 470-\mathrm{I} ; 482$.
§ 320. The respective meanings of $\boldsymbol{h} r+$ infinitive and of the old perfective.-In discussing the sentence with adverbial predicate we saw that variations of tense and mood, if indicated at all, were indicated by the various particles which might be used ( $m k$, ist, etc.), or by the various forms of the verb 'to be' which might be chosen (iw, wen, wenn, §§ II8-9). The same holds good of the pseudo-verbal construction, this being, in fact, no more than an elaboration of the ordinary sentence with adverbial predicate. The pseudo-verbal construction possesses, however, a further means of indicating varieties of temporal nuance in the choice between $h r+$ infinitive and the old perfective. These two forms of predicate must be discussed together, and apart from $m$ or $r+$ infinitive, because they often occur in parallelism with one another, and are indeed to a large extent mutually complementary. This complementary character of $h r+$ infinitive and the old perfective has been observed already in the employment of both to express a concomitant circumstance appended, as an adverbial qualification, to the subject or object of a preceding main clause ( $\$ 8304, \mathrm{I} ; 314-5$ ).

The difference between $h r$ +infinitive, on the one hand, and the old perfective, on the other, may best be summed up by saying that the former is dynamic, active, and expressive of action, while the latter is static, passive, and expressive of condition. The meanings conveyed in each case vary according to the nature of the particular verb in question; the following table will serve to illustrate the point in detail.

| Nature of verb | $h r+$ infinitive | Old perfective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transitives | actively and stressing the action itself． <br> Exx．wnm＇eats＇；${ }^{1} g^{m h}$＇beholds＇；${ }^{2}$ Yms ＇accompanied＇；${ }^{3}$ snht＇made victorious＇．4 <br> Note especially ： <br> $r$＇sought to know＇．${ }^{9}$ <br> dd＇says＇． 12 <br> $m n$＇suffers from＇，trans．not adj．verb．${ }^{13}$ | passively and stating the result of the action． <br> Exx．${ }^{d} b_{3} w$＇is stopped up＇；${ }^{5} r d i \cdot t(i)$＇is placed＇，＇bas been placed＇；${ }^{6} 3 t p \cdot k w i$＇am loaded＇；${ }^{7}$ diw＇were placed＇．${ }^{8}$ <br> Exceptionally，active： <br> $r h$＇knows＇；${ }^{10} r h . t i$＇knew＇（f．）${ }^{11}$ <br> ［cf．$d d \cdot k i$＇I said＇above § 31 2］ |
| Intransitives <br> I．．vbs．of motion． | expressing action as in occurrence，dynamically． emphasize the movement itself． <br> Exx．shs＇flee＇；${ }^{14}$ shsh＇ran＇；${ }^{16}$ mnmn shook＇．${ }^{16}$ <br> Note especially ： <br> ‘he＇rise up＇．${ }^{21}$ | expressing action as achieved，statically． emphasize the result of the movement． <br> $h_{3 w}$＇is come＇；${ }^{17} \boldsymbol{i i}$＇is come＇；${ }^{18} \mathrm{pr}$＇went forth＇；${ }^{19} \mathrm{hy} \cdot \mathrm{kwi}$＇I go down＇${ }^{20}$ <br> ＇her＇standing＇；${ }^{22}$（he $\cdot$ •kwi＇I stood＇．${ }^{29}$ |
| 2．adjective－vbs． | rare，expressing the process of becoming． Ex．$m 3 w y$＇renews itself＇．${ }^{24}$ | very common，expressing the state of being． Exx．nfr $\cdot t(i)$＇is happy＇；${ }^{26} t ; \cdot t(i)$＇is hot＇；${ }^{26}$ $h k r \cdot k i$＇am hungry＇；${ }^{27} \mathrm{hmze}$＇was hot＇．${ }^{28}$ |
| 3．others． | when the active aspect predominates． <br> Exx．3．k＇is perishing＇；${ }^{29} \mathrm{spr}$＇make supplica－ tion＇；${ }^{30} m d t$＇was speaking＇；${ }^{31}$ hpr＇come into being＇，${ }^{32}$＇came about＇．${ }^{3 s}$ <br> So too with verbs expressing emotion and the gestures connected therewith． <br> Exx．$n \mathrm{hm}$＇jubilate＇；${ }^{\mathbf{8 8}} \mathrm{nmi}$＇shonted＇；${ }^{30}$ imt＇mourn＇；${ }^{40}$ snd＇fears＇．${ }^{11}$ | when the statical aspect predominates． <br> Exx．3k＇is perished＇；${ }^{34} \mathrm{mn}$＇remained＇；${ }^{35}$ gr ＇is silent＇；${ }^{36} \mathrm{hpr}$＇is become＇．${ }^{37}$ <br> So too with verbs expressing emotion and the gestures connected therewith． <br> Exx．$h{ }^{\text {c }} \mathbf{w}$＇is in joy＇；${ }^{42} \mathrm{snm}$＇are sad＇；${ }^{43} \mathrm{rmw}$ ＇are in tears＇；${ }^{44}$ sndw＇was afraid＇；${ }^{45} \mathrm{hr} \cdot \mathrm{ti}$ ＇art content＇．${ }^{46}$ |

As the translations given in the table show，the tense to be assigned to these two kinds of predicate is largely dependent on extraneous causes－the time of the context generally，or else the particle or auxiliary used to introduce the subject．Nevertheless it is clear that the old perfective tends to have past meaning，while $h r+$ infinitive is more appropriate to the present or relatively present．The reason is that a condition，such as is expressed by the old perfec－ tive，usually implies an antecedent action conducing to that condition．＇Being come＇is the result of＇having come＇，and it is legitimate to translate in either way．Similarly when a man says＇I am sent＇he means that he＇has been sent＇．

The variation in meaning between $h r r h$＇sought to know＇（very rare）and $r h(w)$＇knows＇，＇knew＇（old perfective）is interesting ；we shall see（ $\$ 414,4$ ）that the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form of this verb is employed in the meaning＇knows＇or＇knew＇， cf．novi in Latin，$\epsilon \neq \nu \omega \kappa \alpha$ in Greek，while the śd $d \cdot f$ form tends to mean＇to obtain knowledge of＇，＇learn＇．${ }^{77}$ See too $\$ 367$ ，end ；389，3；455，i．

Some comment is needed in regard to the adjective－verbs．Whenever a seemingly adjectival predicate follows its subject，it is not the adjective itself，but the old perfective of the adjective－verb．This is proved by examples like the following，where the endings are written out in full．

芳の一巛 my nostril．${ }^{48}$
 beautiful in the presence of Ptah－Sokar．${ }^{49}$

It seems impossible to detect any difference of meaning between real adjectival predicates（§ 137）and adjective－verbs in the old perfective；see § 374 ．

1 Westc．7， 2.
${ }^{2}$ Adm．7， 12.
${ }^{3}$ Louvre C12， 12.
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．657， 9.
${ }^{5}$ Pt． 22.
${ }^{6}$ Sh．S． 4.
7 Peas．B 1， 70.
${ }^{8}$ Hamm．I14， 12.
${ }^{2}$ Mett． 190.
10 Westc．7，4；Urk．
iv． $751,15$.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．363， 6.
${ }^{12}$ Leb．35；Adm． 2， 1 ．
${ }_{13} E b .25,4 ; 32,21$ ．
${ }^{14}$ Adm．8， 13 ．
${ }^{15}$ Urk．iv．894， 8.
${ }^{16}$ Sh．S．60，qu．§
322.

17 Sin．B 168.
18 Th．T．S．ii． 12.
23.
${ }^{19}$ Sh．S．32；Urk．
iv． 654,8 ．
${ }^{20}$ Rhind 35，qu． § 310 ，end．
${ }_{21}$ Cf．$m$ くhr，$\ddot{A} Z$ ．
37， 97.
${ }_{22}$ Leb． 144 －
23 Sin．B I．
${ }^{24}$ Pt． 9.
${ }^{25} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 76$.
${ }^{26}$ Leb． 90.
${ }^{27}$ Th．T．S．ii． 11.
28 Sin．B 22.
${ }^{29}$ Pt． 12 ，qu．§ 322.
${ }^{30}$ Peas．B 2， 113.
${ }^{31}$ Sin．B 2.
${ }^{32}$ Adm．p．Ior．
33 BH．i．8， 16.
34 Pt． 12.
${ }^{35} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{BI}_{3} 8$.
36 Pt． 13.
${ }^{37}$ Pt． 24 ；Adm．8，4．
${ }^{38}$ Bersh．i． 15 ，top．
39 Sin．B14I．
${ }^{40}$ Adm．5，5． 6.
${ }^{1}$ Adm．7， 6.
${ }^{42}$ Bersh．i．15，bot－ tom．
${ }^{43}$ Adm．2， 5.
14 Adin．5， 5.
45 Sin．B 215 ．Sim． sdi＇trembling＇，Urk． iv．616， 8.
${ }^{46}$ P．Kak．13， 24. ${ }^{47}$ E．g．Peas．Bi， 287．Sim．infinitive， BH．i．29．
${ }^{48}$ Urk．iv．944．Sim． Lac．$T R .88,5$.
${ }^{49}$ Budge，p． 386. Sim．Urk．iv． $1153,5$.
${ }^{1}$ Adm. 2, 3. Sim. ib. 2, 7; 6, 4. 13; Urk. iv. 17, 10.12.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 1-5. Sim. old perf., ib.32.101-2; Leb.63.117.118.120; Peas. B i, 188.193.
${ }^{3}$ Pt. I I-2. Sim. $h r+$ inf., Sin. B 194 ; Leb. 105. $1 \mathrm{I}_{2}$; Peas. B I, 98 foll.; Hamm. 114, 8.

4 Sin. B i31-2. Sim. old perf., ib. 93 . 133. 138. 250 ; $B H$. i. 8, 15; Hamm. 114, 12; Ürk. iv. 59, 16.
${ }^{3}$ Sh.S. 59-60. Sim. Hamin. II 4, II; Sin. В 141 I. 176. 228-30. 253-4. 301-4; Urk. iv. 60,$1 ; 659,5$.
${ }^{6}$ So too in narrative Bersh. i. 14, 5-6.

- Urk. iv. 5. Sim. ib. 28, 10; 113, 6. 8 ; 134, 15 ; 365, 2 ; $\operatorname{Sin}$. B 162 ; BH. i. 26, 177-8; Siut 1, 271; Hamm. 47, 13 .
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 657, 5-9. Sim. ib. 1160,8 ; Westc. 7, 16.
§ 321. \& her with omitted infinitive for 'says', 'said'. —Dd is often idiomatically omitted after $h r$.

Ex. 喵We do not know what may happen throughout the land. ${ }^{1}$
§ 322. The pseudo-verbal construction without introductory word.-The subject can stand without introduction only when it is a noun, a pronoun needing the support of a particle or of an auxiliary verb. This use is common in descriptive and narrative passages.
 $p h \cdot n \cdot n \underline{h} n w \ldots . . h_{s} t t r d i \cdot t(i)$ hr $t t^{\prime} \ldots s n b h r h p t s n-n w \cdot f$ behold, we have reached home......the prow-rope has been placed on land...... every man is embracing his fellow. ${ }^{2}$
 $h r 3 / . n w r d-i b$ the eyes are dim, the ears dull, the strength is perishing through weariness of heart. ${ }^{3}$
 every heart burned for me, women and men murmured. ${ }^{4}$
 and the earth shook. ${ }^{5}$

In three of the four examples the old perfective and $h r+$ infinitive are seen in parallelism; ${ }^{6}$ the first two are drawn from descriptions or characterizations relating to present time, the last two from narratives of past events.

The pseudo-verbal construction without introductory word is rather rare in main clauses, since here $i z u$, or some particle like $m k$, is usually employed to lend importance to the statement. Nevertheless, instances may be quoted even at the beginning of speeches.

Exx. Thereupon I said to him: $i b$-Rr wdsw $r$ aht King Sehetepibrē has gone to the horizon, i.e. has died. ${ }^{7}$
 measurer of the corn-heaps pilfers for himself. ${ }^{8}$

On the other hand, just as in the sentence with adverbial predicate, the normal way of expressing a virtual clause of circumstance (\$§117.214) is to leave the nominal subject without introduction.
 fared downstream, his heart rejoicing, lit. being extended. ${ }^{9}$
 wrryt nt drm...... it•f'Imn hr snht rwy•f His Majesty went forth on a chariot of gold ........ . his father Amūn making victorious his arms. ${ }^{10}$

Sometimes a virtual clause of time ( $\$ 214$ ) assumes the same form.

Ex. 思 ${ }^{\circ}$ when the sky is hot. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Leb. 88. Sim. ib. 90.
§ 323. The pseudo-verbal construction introduced by iw.-As in the sentence with adverbial predicate (§117), a difference of usage occurs according as the subject is nominal or pronominal.

In main clauses this construction is common alike with nominal and with pronominal subject. The following examples illustrate its use with $h r+$ infinitive and with old perfective, with suffix subject and with noun subject, for present time and for past time.
 (herewith) giving my (office of) regulator of a (priestly) order to my son. ${ }^{2}$

 precipitation. ${ }^{4}$
 looked on. ${ }^{5}$
 bread. ${ }^{6}$

 what is heard. ${ }^{8}$

In virtual clauses of circumstance or time the pseudo-verbal construction with $i w$ is common when the subject is a suffix-pronoun, but not when the subject is a noun ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}_{1} 17.214$ ).
 he was speaking. ${ }^{10}$

Has His Majesty gone on another road, $4 w-1 w n d w) r$ snd $n \cdot n$ having fallen (lit. he is gone) into fear of us ? ${ }^{11}$

So too in virtual relative clauses ( $\$ 196$ ).
 wn $n d s \ldots .$. . izu.f $h r$ wnm $t s o o \ldots .$. iw.f $r h(w) t s t p h s k$ there is a commoner ..... who eats 500 loaves ..... and who knows how to join together a head that has been cut off. ${ }^{12}$

Whereas with pronominal subject, as explained in § I 16 , a supporting word like $i z$ is indispensable, such is not the case when the subject is a noun, so that $i w$ is then, as a rule, dispensed with ( $\$ 322$ ). Nevertheless, $i w$ is found before a nominal subject in certain sentences which approximate to clauses of circumstance or time, while standing forth with a certain independence of their own.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．650，5－7．
${ }^{2} J E A$ 16，195， 9.
${ }^{3}$ Eb．42，18．Sim． ib． 37,18 ．
${ }^{33}$ See above，p．240， n． 7 a ．
${ }_{4} \operatorname{Pr}$ ． 1,9 ．
${ }^{5}$ Peas．B 2，113－4． Sim．Urk．iv．509， 17.
${ }^{6}$ P．Kah．28， 36. Sim．Meiri．3，bottom．
${ }^{7}$ Leb．i1．Sim． Peas．R 6ı；Adm． 7 ， $6 ; 8,10.12 .13$.

8 Peas．B I， 116. Sim．ib．219－20；Ist pers．sing．，Herdsm．I； Urk．v． 40 ，I．； 3 rd pers．，Westc．12，22－ 3；Peas．B I，76－7；Ist pers．plur．，Westc．10， 5.
－Adm．7，10．Sim． ib．7，1．4． 8 ；Peas． В 1，130；Urk．iv． 654， 14 ．
10 Urk．iv．347， 1 I．
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．663．Sim． ib． 365,$6 ; 716,14$ ．
${ }^{12}$ Sin．R 24－5．Sim． present time，BUDGE， p．168， 7.
13 Urk．iv．272， 10. Sim．ib．662，8；692， 15．Present time，ib． 502， 16.
${ }^{14} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R}_{13}$.
${ }^{15}$ Sin．R 70.

So，for example，when a strong contrast is marked ：
Exx．Shall our vanguard fight， 1 国－ $i w n s n$ phwy che is $m$ r $r n, n$ chs $\cdot n \cdot s n$ while the rearguard stops here in Aruna and does not fight？${ }^{1}$
 $h r$ htm $r 3 \cdot f$ while every man was shutting his door．${ }^{2}$

Or again，when characters of importance，such as medical symptoms，are being described．
 rt．f $n b t d n s \cdot t i r \cdot f$ if thou examine a man suffering from his stomach，while every limb of his is too heavy for him．${ }^{3}$

The following example is perhaps best translated as a clause of result：${ }^{\text {3a }}$
 thou（so that）his heart is content．${ }^{4}$

Obs．For the participial form of this construction，employing participles from the stem $w n n$ ，see below $\S 396$ ，2．For $i z w$ followed by an adjective verb see $\S 467$ ．
§ 324．The pseudo－verbal construction introduced by non－enclitic particles．－It is hardly necessary to discuss the exact nuances of meaning in the sentences thus obtained；reference must be made to the corresponding section of the Lesson on the sentences with adverbial predicate（§ I 19），as well as to Lesson XVIII on the particles．
 I make supplication to thee，and thou dost not hear it．${ }^{5}$

Neis $m k$ tw $h r d d$ behold，one is saying．${ }^{6}$

 influential．${ }^{8}$
－云 possessor of wealth passes the night thirsty．${ }^{9}$

Alasem
 chieftains anew．${ }^{11}$

肑通期 or，as I was standing，I heard．${ }^{12}$
 in accordance with my doing．${ }^{13}$
 smite the foreign countries．${ }^{14}$
 into the palace．${ }^{15}$

Note, too, with $h$ s and $h w_{3}$ ' would that' ( $\$ 238$ ):

his equals! ${ }^{1}$

1 Brit. Mus. 562.
${ }^{2}$ Lac. $T R .86,110$.
§ 325. The pseudo-verbal construction with 元通 ink pw, etc. The phrase ink $p w$, which we found ( $\S 190$, ) before the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form at the beginning of narratives or in answers to questions, occurs also in the pseudo-verbal construction. Examples are, however, rare.
 what has happened, lit. it is I-am-on-meditating, etc. ${ }^{3}$
 (lit. it is I-went-down) to the mine-country, ${ }^{4}$

In these examples $p w$ is subject, and the remaining words constitute a noun clause serving as predicate ; one may compare the French c'est que.

Sometimes a noun takes the place of ink .
 (lit. was) that Rē was at variance (lit. speaking) with the Imy-wedj serpent. ${ }^{5}$
 name was Khunanūp; lit. it is a-man-existed. ${ }^{6}$ Beginning of a story.

See too an example with $r+$ infinitive $\S 332$, end.
Rather similarly also in glosses; compare § 189, i.
 for bnwes of heart, this means (lit. is) that heat has spread (bns) over his heart. ${ }^{7}$
§ 326. The pseudo-verbal construction with wnn.-As in the sentence with adverbial predicate ( $\$ \mathrm{I} 18$ ), so too in the pseudo-verbal construction wnn $\cdot f$ is used to indicate future time.

Exx. (lit. shalt be on causing) provisions to be given to him. ${ }^{8}$

 yonder shall stand in the bark (of the sun-god). ${ }^{10}$

In similar examples with past meaning it is to be presumed that the $s d m \cdot f$ form of $w n n$ (if such it be, rather than $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 413$ ) possesses an implication of continuity.

Exx. -
 (when) I was alone. ${ }^{12}$ Wnn.i here introduces a virtual clause of time (§ 212).
${ }^{3}$ Adm. p. 105.

4 Sh.S. 89.
${ }^{5} A Z .57,3^{*}$.
${ }^{-}$Peas. R I. Sim. Westc. 6, 5 in the answer to a question.

[^135]${ }^{8}$ Peas. B 1, 83. Sim. Urk. iv. 225, 13 , qu. § 253; 650, 5, qu. §492, 6; 1111, 15 ; Budge, p. 152, if.

- Cairo 20458, $b 4$.
${ }^{10}$ Leb. I43-4. Sim. L'rk. iv. 62, 6, qu. § 365,$2 ; 66,15.17$; 518, 14; Budge, p. 285, I. 14.
${ }^{11}$ P. Kah. 34, 20. Sim. Urk. v. 171, 2, qu. 6249 ; Urk. iv. 688, 15 (with ist).
$12 \ddot{A} Z .54,47$. Sim. Lac. TR. 30,2 , in a main clause.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．v．53，1－2． Sim．ib．4，but with wn．
${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 252-3 . \operatorname{Sim}$. Sh．$S$ ． 1 36－7．
＇Eb．110， 5 ．
${ }^{4}$ Budge，p． 120,11 ．
${ }^{5}$ Meir iii．11．Sim． Urk．iv．853， 12.
${ }^{6}$ L．D．ii．${ }^{151}$ ，$c$ ． Sim．after ir＇if＇，ir wnn shw hr 36 ＇if the blessed dead become blessed＇，Cairo 34057， see JEA．32， 104.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．13，34， restored．Sim．with old perf．，$r$ wn．f ms ＇that he may be vindi－ cated＇，PSBA．18， 203，12；n．mrwt zun rn•i mn，Urk．iv．366， 15.
${ }^{8}$ P．Kah．29，43－4． Sim．after m，Urk．v． 35，II ；after $n$－$m$ rwt， Peas．B I，79－80．
（2m that Shu was（engaged）in making a testament for Geb．${ }^{1}$ For the construction see above § 325 ．

The pseudo－verbal construction may also，in rare cases，be employed where $w n n$ itself is in the old perfective．
 stretched on my belly．${ }^{2}$
 on moving，lit．it being on going and coming．${ }^{3}$

Or else it may be employed where $w n n$ is in the infinitive．
Ex． not perishing，but for keeping alive．${ }^{4}$

So too after the participles of wnn（below § 396，2）and after several forms of that verb to be discussed later（ $\$ \$ 470-1$ ）．

These combinations with different forms of wnn＇be＇find their explanation in the last paragraph of § 118 ，where the very restricted employment of $i z e$ was remarked upon，and where $w n n$ was regarded as supplying its missing parts．From this point of view wnn•f $h r s d m$ is simply the future tense of $i z v \cdot f$ $h r s d m$ ，and $w n \cdot k i$ rf $d w n \cdot k w i$ simply the old perfective form of $i w \cdot i d w n \cdot k w i$ ．On the same lines we might expect to find wnf $h r s d m$ after the particle $i h$ ，as object of $r d i$ ，and so forth．The comparative rarity of such developments of the pseudo－verbal construction makes it impossible to illustrate all the varieties that doubtless occurred in the Middle Egyptian literature；but we may at least quote some examples with the $s d m \cdot f$ form of $w n n$ after prepositions．In agree－ ment with the rules already laid down（ $\$ 107$ ；157， 1 ），the form either marks simple futurity or else lays stress on the duration of the act in
 no stress on duration．
 $d t$ I made this，in order that their names might be enduring eternally．${ }^{5}$

O日，＝
 general of the army Rensonbu was commanding in the fortress＇Khackauré－the－ deceased－is－leader ${ }^{\prime}$ ．${ }^{6}$
 said to me，when he was ill．${ }^{7}$

面目口 one shall do accordingly，so that the soul of the Prince may praise thee．${ }^{8}$
 with simple adverbial predicate does not appear to admit of government by prepositions (§ 154 ), but examples may be quoted where $m$ - $h t$ 'after' is followed by noun +old perfective:

4 $H f_{3 t} m$ - $h t$ 'Iw-m-itrw senh-t(i) I gave corn of Upper Egypt to Yuni and Hefat (names of towns), after lmiotru (another town) had been fed. ${ }^{1}$
 passed over these things. ${ }^{2}$
§ 328. The pseudo-verbal construction after the relative adjective nty.-Two cases must here be considered, namely, that in which the subject of the relative clause is identical with the antecedent and that in which it differs from it.
I. When the subject of the relative clause is identical with the antecedent, it is latent in the relative adjective itself and requires no further expression.
 of something else, lit. who is on recalling another saying. ${ }^{3}$

A chamberlain of the secret apartments Keki who had been sent to Medâmûd. ${ }^{4}$

处的 are near to the Southern City. ${ }^{5}$
2. Examples are rare in which the subject is different from the antecedent and in which, accordingly, a noun or pronoun has to be inserted after nty, though, from the analogy of $\S 200,2$, we might have expected their frequent occurrence.
 $r h n \cdot t i \quad h r \cdot f$ as for that mountain of Bakhu on which this heaven rests. ${ }^{5 a}$
 $r \cdot s, n n$ irt bisyt $n n s n$ hardw what is this purpose for which (lit. this which .... as regards it) we have come, without doing wonders for the children ? ${ }^{6}$

See also the examples below $\S 333$, and one which is negatived in § 334 .
Obs. Late Egyptian can use the pseudo-verbal construction with izv after the relative adjective; see below $\S 468,4$.
 construction is common after ntt, both as 'that' and also when it is preceded by a preposition; see $\$ \S 187.223$.

Exx. This despatch is brought $h m \cdot i$ ( $n h h, w d\}, s n b$ ) krw $m n$-sw-bit to let thee know that My Majesty (l. p. h.) is arisen as king of Upper and Lower Egypt. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20001. Sim. JEA. 16, 195, 6-7; SETHE, Sprüche, 24*, 6 (J 6).
${ }^{2}$ Westc. 12, 9. Sim. ib. 3, 10. 17; 7, 11. Once with ir $m$-ht, Ann. 42, 19, 25 .
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 102, 16. Sim. ib. 32, 21 ; Westc. 10, 4; P. Kah. 22, 13; Urk.iv. 690, 4 ; 1023, 12.
${ }^{4}$ P. Boul. xviii, 6. Sim. Pt. 389.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 1110. Sim. ib. 649, 16 ; Leb. 47. 49 ; P. Kah. 16, 32; Hearst 6, 5-6; Cairo 20003, 1; 20537, 4.
ba Sethe, Spriüche, 44*, 8 (Aa).

6 Westc. 11, 10-12. Sim. Urk. iv. 1092, 12, doubtful.

[^136][^137]- Iaheri 3.

[^138]${ }^{9}$ Paheri 3.
${ }^{10}$ Sin. B 248-9. Sim. Pr.2, 4 .

It is a communication to (my) lord 0 -on $n b n n b(c n b, w d\}, s n b) \subset \underline{d} w d ;$ to the effect that all the affairs of (my) lord (1. p. h.) are safe and prosperous. ${ }^{1}$
 $d m i n H z t-P d s$ to the effect that I have arrived at the town of Ha-pedes. ${ }^{2}$
 names. ${ }^{3}$

Similarly, but much more rarely, after wnt.
 mur hrw.f thou shalt say to Horus that I was rejoiced at his triumph, lit. at his-voice-becomes-true. ${ }^{4}$

Note in the above examples the characteristic use of the dependent pronouns. Instead of these the suffix-pronouns are apt to appear for the 2 nd and 3 rd sing. masc. ; see above $\S 223$, end.
§ 330. The pseudo-verbal construction after the pronominal compounds e逆 $\boldsymbol{t w} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ etc.-Evidence has been quoted in $\S 223$ suggesting that the pronominal compounds of $\S 124$ originated in the fusion of the final $t$ of $n t t$ with the dependent pronouns or suffix-like pronouns found following that word. Hence it is not surprising to encounter, from Dyn. XVII onwards, examples of the pseudo-verbal construction after the pronominal compounds.
 going. ${ }^{5}$
 possession of (lit. cool under) our (part of) Egypt. ${ }^{6}$
 has happened. ${ }^{7}$

 fine, one is cool, the oxen are drawing (the plough). ${ }^{9}$
§ 331. The pseudo-verbal construction with m+infinitive.-As noted already in $\S 304,2$, $m+$ infinitive is used with verbs of motion as an occasional substitute for $h r+$ infinitive ; possibly the former lays more emphasis than the latter on the gradual, drawn out, character of the movement.

The constructions employed with this form of predicate differ in no way from those employed with $h r+$ infinitive. It suffices, therefore, to give examples.
 ten men came and ten men went (lit. were in coming .... in going), conducting me to the palace. ${ }^{10}$ See $\S 322$ for the absence of an introductory word before the subject.
 serpent which was coming, lit. it was in coming. ${ }^{1}$ Cf. $\S 323$ for $i w$.
 Egypt. ${ }^{2}$ For $m t$ cf. $\$ 324$.
 the first phyle of the priesthood of this temple which is entering upon its monthly duties, lit. is in rising in the month. ${ }^{3}$ For the relative adj. cf. $\S 328$.

It is a communication to the effect that (my) lord (l. p. h.) is sailing south. ${ }^{4}$ For $r$-ntt cf. § 329 .

Possibly in all the three last examples, and certainly in the first of them, the action referred to lay in the future, not in the present. So too in English we say 'he is going down' for 'he is about to go down'.
§ 332. The pseudo-verbal construction with $r+$ infinitive.-This construction is often used to express future action, whether simply or as conditioned by the speaker's will ; in other words, it corresponds alike to English ' will' and to English 'shall'. One may compare the construction with the $r$ of futurity discussed above in § 122.
 Majesty will be refreshed at seeing. ${ }^{5}$

4Ban

4 shall inquire about it) at the Treasury. ${ }^{8}$ Note that the use of $i z w \cdot t w$ provides the passive of this construction.
 away thy ass, peasant. ${ }^{9}$ For $m k$ see $\S 324$.

The above examples show that the various modes of introducing the subject found with $h r+$ infinitive here repeat themselves. For an example after the particle $s m w n+$ suffix see $\S 24$ I. The construction $i w \cdot f r s d m$ is particularly common, and has survived into Coptic as a specific future tense. One even finds wnn.f $r$ śd $m$, though this involves a tautologous insistence on the notion of futurity.
 (l. p. h.) shall spend a day here. ${ }^{10}$

The construction wnn•f $r \dot{s} d m$ occurs also after ir 'if', ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ but in this case it is simply a substitute for $i w e \cdot f r s d m$, according to the rule given in § 150 .

Ex. 0 = ${ }^{-0} \|_{0}$ ir wnn.f $r$ rdit st if he will give it. ${ }^{11}$
In one example wnt may similarly be taken as the equivalent of $n t t i w$ :
 destroy heads. ${ }^{112}$ For the dependent pronoun after wnt see $\S 187$.
${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 61-2. Sim.
P. Kah. 33, 33-4;
Urk. iv. 7, I.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. R 2-3. Sim.
P. Boul. xviii. 22.
${ }^{3} A Z .37,97$. Sim. ntyw m lbpr, Urk. iv. 120, 13; 1083, 5. 14.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 35, 26, hntyt completed.
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 5, 4. Sim. $P$. Pet. 1116 в, 35. 61-2. After $r-n t t, \dot{A} Z$. 59, 24 (I, I).
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. 119-20. Sim. P. Pet. 1116 B , 63. 68 ; Pt. 407 ; Sin. B 203. 234; Peas. BI, 281; Westc. 9, 10-11.
${ }^{7}$ P. Kah. 6, 14. Sim. Sin. B7I.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 694. Sim. 'shall'; ib. 1023, 15 ; P. Kah. 13, 27; Cairo 20003, 4 .
${ }^{9}$ Peas. B $1,11$. Sim. ib. 12; 313-4; Sh. S. 117. 167 ; Th. T.S. ii. 11 .
${ }^{10}$ P. Kah. 32, 8.
102 Cf. too with $h r$ p. 250, n. 6 .
${ }^{11}$ P. Kah. 36, 13.
${ }^{112}$ Nofru 33.
${ }^{1}$ Kopt. 8, 7-8. Sim. P. Kah. 6, 12. 16; 26, 2, qu. § 298; Westc. 9, 24 .
${ }^{2}$ T. Carn. 4.

3 P. Pet. 1116в, 57-8. Cf. Khind 73, qu. §502.
${ }^{4}$ P. Pet. 1116 B, 39. Sim. ib. 66; Pt. 82; Sall. ii. 4, 6, var. in ostracon formerly belonging to M. Naville.
${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. 22, 5. Sim. Adm. 4, 7.

B Amrat 29, 5.
${ }^{7}$ Sh. S. 74-5, with a faulty sign omitted.
${ }^{8}$ P. Pet. 1116 A, 48. Sim. Lel. 126.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 1109.
${ }^{10}$ Peas. B і, 293.

Note the use with the relative adjective nty; cf. $\S 328$.
 as to every king and every potentate who shall forgive him. ${ }^{1}$

An isolated example shows the pronominal compound tw.i (\$§ 124.330) as subject:
 him that I may cleave open his belly. ${ }^{2}$

Another example illustrates the use of $p w$ described in § 325 :
 belonging to the south. ${ }^{3}$
§ 333. The construction $\boldsymbol{t w} \boldsymbol{r}$ śdm. - In a few passages the indefinite pronoun $t w(\$ 47$ ) is unexpectedly found as subject without support from a preceding particle or auxiliary verb.
 warfare. ${ }^{4}$

This construction is found also after the relative adjective $n t y$.
Exx. ${ }_{0}$ shall command him. ${ }^{5}$
 $n s n w d w$ as for everyone whom one shall find within the stelae. ${ }^{6}$

In the last example the position of $t w$ before $n b$ is noteworthy; compare § 375 , Obs.
§ 334. Negation of the pseudo-verbal construction.-Lastly, we have to consider how the pseudo-verbal construction could be negated. Examples are rare; a few cases occur where $n n$ is followed by a dependent pronoun, once in a relative clause with $n t y$.

Exx.

 not clothed, i. e. provided with official seals or dockets. ${ }^{9}$

Compare with the second of the above examples the following:
$\cdots n n$ 级 $n \cdot k$ it is not profitable to thee. ${ }^{10}$
Here we have possibly an ellipse of the pronoun st, but it is also conceivable that this is an instance of $n n$ with future meaning before the $s d m \cdot f$ followed by a dative (cf. § 144, 2), in imitation of the construction of adjective + dative (§ 141); the meaning would then be 'it will not profit thee'.

As a rule, the meaning conveyed by the pseudo-verbal construction is negated in a quite different form. We have seen ( $(\mathbb{1 0 5}, 3$ ) that $\mu \sim n=n$ sdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$
is the natural medium employed for denying the occurrence of an action throughout the course of a more or less prolonged period；as such，it is clearly the most appropriate negative counterpart of the old perfective with its implica－ tons of permanence and stability；${ }^{1} n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ also serves to negate $h r+$ infinitive when this chances to describe a continued or repeated action．${ }^{2}$ The negation of
 is only in Late Egyptian that $\stackrel{\mu}{m} n n$ can stand before $i w \cdot f r$ std $m$ ；one instance
${ }^{1}$ Lxx．in $\S 418$ ．
${ }^{2}$ See the first ex． in $\S 3^{2} 4$ ；also $A d m$ ． p． 107.
${ }^{5}$ Lxx．P．Pet． 1116 b， 41． 42 ；Koph．8， 8. falling within our period is quoted below，$\S 468,4$.

## VOCABULARY


$\infty_{\infty}^{\infty} r d$ ，var．$\underset{\sim}{\infty} r d$ ，be in good condi－ ion，safe．
AI $w d_{3}$ be whole，sound，pro－ sperous．Caus．swiz make pro－ sperous；swiz ib see § 225 ．
N
$\overbrace{}^{2 m m} n d s$ be poor；of eyes，dim．
清 $h r w$ be satisfied，quiet．
$\frac{A}{\Delta 0} h t p$ pardon，$n$ someone．
肭运 mi report，announce．
${ }_{\mu m \times}^{\mu} \Delta s m n$（caus．）retire；rest．
d俻 $d b_{3}$ stop up，block．
J $b w$ place；also forms abstracts， as $b w n f r$ good ；br bin，evil．


$\left\{1, \frac{h_{1}}{1}, ~ s ;\right.$ body（of men）；corps ；regi－ mont．


Upper Egypt．

## EXERCISE XXIII

（a）Reading lesson：extract from the archives of the temple of Illahûn，dating from the ninth year of Sesostris 1II．${ }^{1}$ The headings here underlined are written in red in the original．


## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

ht nbt nt hwe-ntr rd wds,
m-r ss 4-nw $n$ wnwt hwt-ntr tn,
$n t y m$ smnt $m$ sbd.
iw hwe-ntr mert m bw nb nfr.

[^139]' Report of the first phyle (i.e. company) of the priesthood of this temple which is entering upon (lit. rising up in) the month(ly duties). What they said was: All thy business is safe and sound. We have received all the property of the temple-everything belonging to the temple being safe and sound-from the fourth phyle of the priesthood of this temple which is retiring from the month(ly duties). The temple is fortunate in all prosperity.'
(b) Translate into English:





(4)


(7)

(8) 脽虺
${ }^{1}$ From the Annals of Tuthmosis III; $f ; n t y n b$ is Late Egyptian for $n t y n b$.
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(I) Now after three days had passed over this, His Majesty sailed southward, his heart rejoicing ( $3 w$, lit. expanded). (2) A remedy for (lit. of) every limb which is ill. (3) Behold, I am come to you. (4) She was silent at that moment, for ( $h r-n t t$ ) she knew that the slave was there. (5) As to every prince ( $h_{3} t y-r$ ) who shall petition (lit. approach) the lord (1. p. h.) to pardon him, his property shall be taken from him. (6) Thou shalt be seated beneath the trees of thy garden. (7) How unhappy ( $(\underset{2}{ } s n$ ) is old age! All taste is gone. The mouth is silent and does not speak. (8) Whoever comes to us, he shall be listened to.

## LESSON XXIV

## THE IMPERATIVE

§ 335. As in other languages, the imperative ${ }^{1}$ expresses a command or exhortation addressed directly to one or more persons. It is thus implicitly in the 2 nd person. In M. E. no difference of form is visible for masc. and fem. ${ }^{1 a}$

The singular has no flexional ending, exx. sdm. 'hear', $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{S}}$ in 'bring'.
The plural had originally the ending $i$, and some rare Middle Egyptian instances may be quoted where this $i$ has coalesced with a preceding radical $i$ to form $y$, as in $-14 \triangle m y$ (from $m i-i$ ), 'come', ${ }^{2}$ - 44 分 $r m y$ (from $r m i-i$ ) 'weep
 ithy'drag ye'. 4 The later ending seems to be $w$, but examples where this is written out are se rare as to be not beyond suspicion; so ? ${ }^{2}$ d $d w$ 'say', ${ }^{5}$ A $C_{1}^{A}$ smze 'go'. As a rule the plural imperative presents the same appear-
 means unusual to find the plural determinative $11, \mid$, exx. $A_{1} w d_{3}(w)$

§ 336. Forms from the mutable verbs.-A final semi-vowel is never shown and gemination is also unusual. The forms quoted below are singulars, unless otherwise stated.
$2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. 3n $\mathrm{ms}^{\prime} \mathrm{see}^{\prime}{ }^{11}$ but also $\mathrm{m}_{3}{ }^{12}$ as regularly in O.K.
zae inf. 1 In $4 \triangle \Omega$ is 'go', 'hie thee', ${ }^{16}$ the $i$ is the prothetic $i$ discussed in $\S 272$; the verb-stem is uncertain, but doubtless began with $s$. (Note that in Old Egyptian the prothetic $i$ is often found with imperatives of the 2 -lit. class; ${ }^{17}$ Middle Egyptian examples are 伦irk 'inquire' ${ }^{18}$ and $\triangle i m s$ 'bring'. ${ }^{19}$ ) For the rather rare plurals in $y$ see $\S 335$.

## 

anom. Imperatives from the stems $(r) d i$ 'give' and $i i, i w$ ' come' are un-
 Ordinarily they are replaced by imperatives from other stems, as follows:-
 differing from - , but often identical with the latter. In early hieratic no distinction is made between $\Omega$ and $-\infty$, and scholars conventionally use - in transcribing from hieratic, thus $\ \subset \mathbb{A}^{26}$ or $\={ }^{27}$ Also in hieroglyphic texts
 (Dyn. XVIII) ${ }^{30}$ is due to an ancient misinterpretation of hieratic $<$ as

[^140]${ }^{1}$ Meir i. 10. Sim. Th. T. S. ii. 22.
${ }^{2}$ Sin. B 160 ; $P$. Kah. 32, 17; M. u.K. 2, 9 .
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 1075, 10. Sim. Th. T.S. ii. 7.
${ }^{4}$ Erm. Hymn. 13, 4. Sim.ib. 12, 2. 3. 4.
${ }^{5}$ Pııy. 57; Mar. Abyd. i. 26, g; 33 ; 39, a.
${ }^{6}$ Peas. R 48 ; Westc. 4, 6. 23 ; 8, 9 .

$\begin{aligned} & 7 \text { Peas. } \\ & \text { Sim 47-8. } \\ & \text { 4in. }\end{aligned}$ Sim. Sin. B 73-4; 274-5; Sh. S. 13 ; Peas. B 1, 67-9.

8 Cairo 20538, ii. c 10. Sim. Urk. iv. 20, 9-16; 100, 16-7.

[^141]${ }^{10}$ Peas. B 2, 133. Sim. ib. B I, 213 .
${ }^{11}$ Sin. B 282. Sim. Urk. iv. 660, 9 .
12 Paheri 3; Rekh. 13 ; plur. is(w) tn, Paheri 3.
${ }^{13}$ Cf. $h r$ rs'n 'is hurrying us', Paheri3, qu. § 330 .

[^142]It is from $4{ }_{\infty}$ imi 'give' that has been borrowed as a biliteral sign for $m^{i}$ (also for initial $m, \S 4 \mathrm{I}$ ), chiefly introduced by $m$ as , with the variants $A_{0}$; so in the imperative $m i$ 'come' next to be treated.
$\Omega \Omega m i^{1}$ 'come' is more often written $\Omega \Omega$, both in hieratic ${ }^{2}$ and in hieroglyphic. ${ }^{3}$ For a writing $-1 \mid \triangle m y$ of the plural see above $\S 335$.

A similarly written word $\&$ (Coptic eeo) means 'take', and occurs rarely in ancient religious texts; ex. Since this $m$ is always accompanied by a dative, use is occasionally made of the sign - $m n$, ex. - $\quad m n n \cdot k$ for $m n \cdot k ;{ }^{5}$ this graphic peculiarity is similar to the writing of $n(y)$-sw ' he belongs to' with $\uparrow$, see above § $114,2$.

The negative verb $\rightarrow$ imi forms an imperative which is dealt with below in § 340 .

For 'bring' $\xrightarrow{8} i n^{6}$ is common.
§ 337. Use of the imperative.-The independent use is quite common.
 from my house. ${ }^{7}$

An imperative is often followed by a śd $d \cdot f$ form continuing and elaborating the command.
 him protection, lit. make his protection. ${ }^{9}$

In such cases it is impossible to decide whether the sdm.f form should be classified as expressing an exhortation ( $\$ 40,2$ ) or as introducing a clause of purpose ( $\$ 840,1 ; 219$ ).

Often some adjunct is added to reinforce the meaning of the imperative. I. This adjunct may be a dependent pronoun.


For other examples see below under 3 and $\S 338$, 3 . In the common造 ${ }^{-12}$ tw 'haste thee', ${ }^{12}$, is probably transitive ${ }^{13}$ and $t w$, accordingly, direct object.
2. Or again liveliness may be imparted to the imperative by adding a reflexive dative.
 equip for thyself a bark with all the fair ones of the Residence. ${ }^{14}$
3. Commoner than either of these modes of reinforcement is the use of $r+$ suffix explained in $\S 25^{2}, 2$, or else the employment of the related particle irf $\left(\S 25^{2}, 3\right)$. A few typical examples are quoted again here.

Exx．道道 $A_{\infty} r r k$ tarry thou．${ }^{1}$

－N＂ $\mathrm{N}_{\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{sdm}(w)$ irf $\underline{t} n$ hearken ye．${ }^{3}$
In the last instance the particle irf is accompanied by the dependent pronoun $\underline{t} n$ ，this being used in the manner described above under I ．

4．The rather rare particle $\mathbb{N}_{0} m(y)$＇pray＇is similarly used with imperatives； examples have been quoted in $\S 250$ ．
§ 338．Special uses of the imperative．－I．The imperative ir ＇make＇is occasionally used with an infinitive as a periphrasis for the simple imperative．So with a verb of motion．
 coming）to Egypt．${ }^{4}$

A technical term in Egyptian mathematics was X ，wosh－tp $m X$ ＇multiply $x$＇（ $n$ times），doubtless literally＇bow the head at＇（or＇over＇）；instead of this imperative ${ }^{5}$ is rarely found 勋别 X ir wh－tp $m$ with the same meaning．${ }^{6}$

The negation of this form of periphrasis is $m i r$ ，for the use of which see § $340,2$.

2．To express the equivalent of an imperative for the 3 rd person， $i m i$＇give＇，＇cause＇is employed，with following sd $d m \cdot f$ form as after（ $r$ ）di． Compare in English＇let him hear＇．
 name，lit．cause that he learn thy name．${ }^{7}$

4． 5
4 moment，i．e．at once．${ }^{9}$

To negative this construction use is made of $m r d i$＇let not＇，see below § 340,3 ．

3．It is appropriate here to discuss some phrases for＇beware lest＇．The
 śd $d m \cdot f$ form．

Exx．我

$S_{i z w}$ is always regarded as an imperative，probably rightly，although the presence of the final radical $-w$ does not altogether favour this view．${ }^{12}$ The imperative from this verb is perhaps also to be seen in variant is $ص$ 明 $\subset$ h $3 t$＇fight＇，${ }^{14}$ in case these writings are to be analysed as $s 3$ tw and $\uparrow h s t w$ ，i．e．imperative＋dependent pronoun（ $\$ 337, \mathrm{I}$ ），respectively；such writings
${ }^{1}$ P．Kah．3， 30.
${ }^{2}$ Lac．TR．18， 17.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．367， 13 ．
$4 \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 188$.

> 5 Rhind, nos. 21. 26. 43.57.
> 6 Rhind, no. 43. More often ir.hr.k $(\$ 431$, I) wshoto, ib. 45. 46. 50. 59.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 73-4 . \operatorname{Sim}$. ib．B 125 ．
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．654．Sim． ib． $65 \mathrm{I}, 7 \cdot 9$ ．
${ }^{9}$ P．Kah．11， 19. Sim．Kopt．8，5－6； Westc．8， 3 ．

[^143]${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 752, 12.
${ }^{2}$ Pt. ${ }^{1} 57$ (= Chat 149); 6II.
${ }^{3}$ Pt. 154. 260. 281. 300 ; P. Pet. 1116 A , 122 ; without gemination, ib. 48.
${ }^{4}$ M. u. K. 3, 7 foll.
${ }^{5}$ M. и. K. 8, 6-9.
${ }^{6}$ Pt. 154.260 .300.
${ }^{7}$ P. Pet. 1116 A, $4^{8 .}$
${ }^{8}$ Pt. 149. 281.475.
${ }^{9}$ Pt 157.611. Sim. P.Kah. 3, 32. Allen (AJSL. 44, 132) regards $m$ in both cases as prep. A possible ex. of $r+s d m \cdot f$ after $s 3 w$ $t w, P t$. $612-3$.
${ }^{10}$ Sh. S. I79. Sim. and pers., ib. 13 ; Pt.
${ }^{291}{ }_{11}$ Sin. B 256-7. Sim. Pr. 2, 5 .
${ }^{12}$ Sh. S. I11. Sim. Pt. 169.476.
${ }_{13}$ Peas. B I, 152. Sim. Pt. 389; U'rk. iv. 1090, 9 ; 1091, 2.
${ }^{14}$ Pt. 486. Sim. ib. 477.
${ }^{15}$ Pt. 76. Sim. ib. 489-90. A very
end exceptional instance Peas. B1, 222.
${ }^{16}$ Pt. 52. Sim. ib. 374 ; Pr. 2, 1 ; plural, Urk. iv. 752, 9.

[^144]would, of course, be very abnormal, but the explanation is supported by the fact
 other hand, sst might be interpreted as $s s \cdot t(\hat{z})$, 2nd sing. of the old perfective, the construction being that of § 3 I 3 . In Dyn. XVIII for 'beware' in a number of places, ${ }^{3}$ and can be nothing but the old perfective; for the unusual gemination see above $\S 310$, end.

As to the construction of these various phrases, szw alone seems to be followed by sdm. $f$, which is sometimes replaced by a noun ${ }^{4}$ or an infinitive. ${ }^{5}$ After $s s t$, chast and $s 3 \cdot t i$ we find either ${ }^{i} h r+$ a noun ${ }^{6}$ (or infinitive ${ }^{7}$ ) or else the vetitive $m$ 'do not' ( $\$ 340$ ). ${ }^{8}$ After $c h s$ tw the vetitive $m$ is found. ${ }^{\circ}$
§ 339. Object of the imperative.-Like all other parts of the verb except the infinitive ( $\$ 300$ ), the imperative takes the dependent pronoun as its object, when the object is pronominal.

§ 340. Negation of the imperative.-I. In order to effect the negation of the imperative use is made of $m$, the imperative of the verb +-imi ( $\$ 34^{2}$ ). This is followed by a special verb-form to be discussed hereafter ( $\$ 341$ ), to which the name negatival complement will be given.

Exx. $m$ snd do not fear. ${ }^{12}$
Nan $m$ ddikt $m$ st kt do not put one thing in the place of another. ${ }^{13}$

Afoos A $m$ ir sw r tkn im $\cdot k$ do not make him an intimate, lit. into one-who-draws-nigh to thee. ${ }^{14}$

When the verb thus negated is an adjective-verb, a noun followed by the suffix of the 2nd pers. is apt to be added with the meaning of the Latin accusative of respect. See above $\S 88,2$, and further below $\$ \$ 343$ Obs. ; 345.

Exx. $m$ id $i b \cdot k r \cdot f$ let not thy heart be angry against him, lit. be not angry as to thy heart. ${ }^{16}$
 heart) on account of thy knowledge. ${ }^{16}$
2. From Dyn. XVIII onward the vernacular replaced the simple vetitive $m$ by $m$ ir 'do not make', to which the infinitive was added as object. A few examples may be found already in texts of the Tuthmoside period.

Ex. Acs $m$ ir snd do not fear. ${ }^{17}$
3. The negation of the construction imi sdm.f'let him hear' $(\$ 338,2)$ is的 $m$ rdi sdm.f' do not cause (or allow) that he hear'.

Exx. upon that difficult road. ${ }^{18}$

N 9 - $m r d i$

## THE NEGATIVAL COMPLEMENT

§ 341．The special verb－form ${ }^{1}$ used after the vetitive $m$＇do not＇（§340，i） will be called the negatival complement，since it is only employed after this and the other parts of what we shall term＇the negative verb＇（below §§ $342-350$ ）．Hitherto it has been known，less suitably，as the＇predicative＇form．

The principal characteristic of the negatival complement is the ending $w$ ， which，however，more often than not is left unwritten．Gemination appears in the case of the $2 a \mathrm{gem}$ ．verbs，but not elsewhere；the $4 a \mathrm{e}$ inf．display some curious full writings retaining the final radical．The details are as follows：

2－lit．Exx． （ $-3 d w$＇be eager＇．${ }^{4}$
 ＞．
 hot＇．${ }^{10}$ From wnn is found wnn＇be＇．11＇See＇has mas．${ }^{12}$
zae inf．Without gemination and without final radical，exx．息期 $f_{3}$＇lift

 and 宽增 $i t .{ }^{19}$
caus．2－lit．Exx．

4ae inf．With final $w$ or $i(y)$ ，as well as the ending $w$ ，exx．组
『14C bsgyw＇be weary＇．．${ }^{27}$ Other verbs seeming to belong to this class are

anom．＇Give＇shows $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ rii．${ }^{31}$＇Come＇has only $\Delta i w .{ }^{32}$＇Bring＇has $\xrightarrow{8}{ }^{i n}{ }^{33}$

The negatival complement is a verb inasmuch as it may take an object of its own－the dependent pronoun if the object is pronominal．${ }^{34}$ It appears always to have active meaning，since when such notions as＇untrodden＇have to be expressed，it is the negative verb which is put into the passive voice，and not the negatival complement（§ 397，I）．The syntactical relation of the negatival complement to the negative verb which it follows is not very clear．It will be seen later（§344）that from Dyn．XVIII onwards the infinitive is apt to be substituted for the negatival complement，and must have been felt as the object of the negative verb；hence one might argue that the negatival complement is likewise the direct object．There is reason to think，however，that both stems of the negative verb（i．e． imi and $t m \S 342$ ）were originally intransitive，and if so，
the negatival complement must be adverbial, not objective; for instance, $m$ sd $m m(w)$ 'do not hear' may, at the start, have signified 'do not be (in) hearing', $\operatorname{sd} d m(w)$ being analogous to an adverbial predicate. It is possible, therefore, in spite of certain difficulties of form, that the negatival complement is a survival of the 3rd pers. m. of the active old perfective ( $\$ 3$ II), become stereotyped and invariable for all persons and numbers in this particular use.

## THE NEGATIVE VERB

§ 342. The negative words $n n$ and $\mu n$, discussed in $\S \S$ 104-6 and again in § 235 , have a very wide range of employment, which will, however, be found on examination to be almost confined to statements and to certain virtual subordinate clauses derived from these. In order to negate other kinds of clauses, as well as the nominal and adjectival parts of the verb $(\$ 297,3)$, the Egyptians had recourse to what we shall term the negative verb. In English 'not' is a sentence-adverb, and so are the Egyptian negatives $n n$ and $n$; the peculiarity of the Egyptian negative verb lies in the fact that here it is the negation which is conjugated, and not the verb which is to be negated; it is as though in English we were to replace 'if he does not heed (or heeds not) thy words' by 'if he nots heed thy words'.

The negative verb comprises forms from two stems, namely $i m i$ and $t m$.

[^145]${ }^{2}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 994 bis-1009. Reasons for its use, Pol. Et. § 3 I .
${ }_{3}$ Cairo 20512, b.
${ }^{4}$ El. 92, 13; 93, 14; 96, 21.
 hortative or optative meaning, and in the imperative, where, as we have seen, it is shortened to $m$ ( $\$ 336$, end). The original meaning of the stem is unknown, but it may be conjectured from its analogy to $t m$ and from its construction to have signified ' not be '.

The 2 -lit. verb tm, ${ }^{2}$ varr. wider use ( $\$ \$ 346-350$ ). It is an interesting fact that the cases where $t \mathrm{~m}$ is employed are, in the main, those in which $w n n$ is substituted for $i w$ ' is', 'are ', and those in which the adjective-verb replaces the adjective itself, as explained on many previous occasions ( $\$ \$ 118$. 143. 150. 157. 186. 326). The meaning of tm seems to have been 'be complete' (cf. $\S 317$ ) in the sense of being 'finished'; $t m \cdot f \operatorname{sid} m(w)$ would thus mean 'he is finished (with) hearing', i. e. 'he does not hear'.

Obs. In a few difficult passages $t m$ appears to mean ' not exist' or 'cease '.4
§ 343. The subject of the negative verb.-The negative verb has a subject of its own, either explicit or implicit. The subject is naturally explicit in the 'narrative' forms of the negative verb, like + $i m i \cdot k s d m(w)$ ' thou shalt not hear', perhaps literally 'thou shalt not be (in) hearing', and like tm•f śdm(w) 'he does not hear', lit. 'he is finished (with) hearing'. It
is implicit in the imperative $m s d m(w)$ 'do not (thou) hear' and in such adjectival forms as the participle $t m . \operatorname{sdm} m$ ( $w$ ' (he who is) not hearing'
 with hearing') is, of course, subjectless as a rule.

Now while the subject of the negative verb, if expressed and pronominal, differs in no respect from that of any other verb, a curious transposition is seen in the cases, which are relatively rare, where the subject is a noun. There seems to have been a reluctance to separate the negatival complement from the negative verb by any element more important than a mere suffix-pronoun. Consequently, when the subject is a noun, this is placed, not before, but after, the negatival complement.

Exx. 1i.e. if she does not menstruate. ${ }^{1}$ With pronom. subj. we should have ir tm.f hiw.

ASfon tm spr bw dwr.k lest (lit. in order that not, śdm.f, § 40 , I) evil come to thee. ${ }^{2}$
 necropolis. ${ }^{3}$ Title of an incantation; $t m$ is infinitive and $s$ subject to it according to the unusual construction explained in $\S 301$.

Very rarely a similar transposition seems to occur even when the subject is a suffix.
 ' $m i \cdot t n d n$ is the usual construction, see the third example in § 345 .

Obs. This postponement of the nominal subject must not be confused with the absolute use of the noun in the same position ( $\$ 340,1$ ); in $m$ r $3 i b \cdot k$ 'be not puffed up', $m$ is imperative and has the implicit subject 'thou', lit. 'be not great as to thy heart'. The postponement occurs also when the infinitive takes the place of the negatival complement (§ 344), see an example § 347, 2. That the word following the negative verb is the negatival complement and not the s $d m \cdot f$ form, to which it usually bears a close resemblance, is indicated by the ending $-w$ of $h_{s} w$ in the first example above. For the difference in word-order of noun and pronoun compare in Egyptian $\underline{d} d n \cdot k n t r$ beside $\underline{d} d \cdot f n \cdot k$, and in French il voit cet homme beside il le voit.
§ 344. Use of the infinitive after tm.-In Late Egyptian the infinitive is regularly used after tm in place of the earlier negatival complement. Examples are found already in Dyn. XVIII and even earlier. ${ }^{52}$
 does not carry out thy instructions. ${ }^{6}$

Y-s ts-ntr tmm knd.f the god's land which has never been trodden, lit. having-been-finished the treading of it. ${ }^{7}$ The suffix as object shows that bnd must be infinitive ( $\$ 300$ ) ; tmm is perf. pass. participle, $\S 397$, i.

Eb. 110, 3. Sim. ib. 56, 6; 79, 3-4; Peas. B I, 131 ; Pt. 99. 205. 331 ; Westc. 10, 9. 16. 23.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 91, 16. Sim. 3rd pers., Pt.453.460.
${ }^{3}$ Sinai 90, 4.
${ }^{4}$ Sim. Pt. 178.
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 6, 5. Sim. 5, 20 ; Poas. B I, 180, qu. § 256 .

- Westc. 11, 21-2.
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. 693. Sim. $J E A .12, \mathrm{Pl}$. XVII, below, $7-8$, see Pol. Et. 87 .

```
\({ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin}\). B74-5. With \(n\) Sdam.f, see Urk. iv. 123, 11.
\({ }^{9}\) Urk.iv. 519.
```


## 10 Peas. B 1, 30. Sim. Leb. 46.

[^146]§ 345. Use of $+\cdots$ imi.-Apart from its employment in the imperative form $m$, already illustrated in $\S 340, i \mathrm{mi}$ occurs only in the $s d m \cdot f$ form to express a negative wish or command (prohibition); for the use of $s d m \cdot f$ see $\S 40,2$.

Exx. 4N
4

+ $=$ it. Lit. ye shall not be faint as to your faces because of it. ${ }^{3}$

The last example shows that the same absolute use of the noun as was illustrated above ( $\$ 340,1$ ) in connection with the vetitive $m$, may occur also when the negation is the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form of $i m i{ }^{4}{ }^{4}$

When the subject of $i m i$ is a noun, it is placed after the negatival complement, not before it ; examples above § 343, end.
§ 346. tm in main clauses.-In Egyptian main clauses the negative word is usually $\leadsto n n$ or $\rightarrow n$, but the śd $d \cdot f$ (or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ) form of $t m$ occurs in certain cases translatable in English as main clauses.
I. In questions employing an interrogative word, though not after in.

 (with grain) been brought? ${ }^{6}$

For Egyptian feeling $t m \cdot t \underline{h} n$ in the first example was doubtless a virtual noun clause ( $\$ 188$ ), just as in the English 'why is it that-thou-dost-not-row ?'
2. The following example must be similarly explained :
 $s s^{\prime}$; mdwt the number of them has not been put upon this record in order not to multiply words. ${ }^{7}$ Or, that the number has not been put ... is in order not, etc.
3. When a double negative is used for emphatic assertion; $t m$ is here best translated 'fail'.

Exx. A-alo $h r m w \cdot f$ he will not fail to do good to the land which will be loyal to him, lit, be on his water. ${ }^{8} \quad N n t m \cdot f$ is future according to $\S 105,2$.
$T_{0}=-\Delta=-\infty$ st $m w, n$ tm $n \cdot n f$ r $n w$ the pourer of water (at the tomb), he never fails to return. ${ }^{9}$ For $n$ sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ irrespective of time in generalizations, see § 105, 3 .
4. After $\ell$ e ib 'then', 'therefore' ( $\$ 228$ ).
 (or so that I may not) cry out. ${ }^{10}$
5. After 员通 $k_{3}$ 'so', 'then' ( $\$ 242$ ).
 then we will not trouble about (lit. place our heart after) the rear of our army. ${ }^{11}$

The similarity of the uses of tm to those of $w n n$＇be＇，mentioned above in $\S 342$ ，is well illustrated in the last two cases；tm．f and $w n \cdot f$ are alike found after $i h$ and $k$ ，neither of which could be followed by $n n$ or $i w$ ．
§ 347．The śdm•f form of $\boldsymbol{t m}$ in subordinate clauses．－I．The $s d m \cdot f$ form of $t m$ is used in virtual noun clauses．In $\S 346$, I． 2 we have really clauses of the kind serving as subject．They may also serve as the object of certain verbs（§ 184）．
 wnm hs Geb，the father of Osiris，has ordered that I should not eat excrement．${ }^{1}$

2．Likewise，in a virtual noun clause serving as predicate of $p w(\$ 189,1$ ）．
 wn $r \cdot f p w m d w \cdot f$ as for（the expression）＇his mouth is tied ．．．．．．＇this means （lit．it is）he does not open his mouth that he may speak．${ }^{2 a}$ In a series of glosses on medical phraseology，see $\S 189$ ，i．
 of the heart，this means（lit．it is）that the heart does not speak．${ }^{3} \mathrm{Tm}$ seems likely to be a sddm．f form ；for the construction see too $\S \leqslant 343$, Obs．； 344 ．

3．In a virtual clause of time（ $\$ 212$ ）or condition（ $\$ 216$ ）．
 to put right the water when it is not in order．${ }^{4}$

4．In virtual clauses of purpose（\＄219）．
 （lit．that it may not）be humiliated．${ }^{5}$

5．After prepositions（\＄222）．
 voiced so that he does not speak．${ }^{6}$

6．In $i f$－clauses introduced by $i r$（ $\$ 150$ ）．
 hsbwt ir．br．k n．f spw nw wsst if he does not pass it as worms，thou shalt make for him medicaments for passing water．${ }^{7}$

It will be observed that the cases where $t m \cdot f$ is employed are，for the most part，the same as where the $s d m \cdot f$ form of $w n n$ or of the adjective－verb is found．
§ 348．Tm as negation of the infinitive．－In order to negate the infinitive，the negative verb tm is itself put into the infinitive and followed by the negatival complement．

Exx．中事郘只 tm wnm hs not to eat excrements．Heading of an incantation．${ }^{8}$

(ist ed., p. 265)
${ }^{9}$ Eb. 63, 14. Sim. Lac. TR. 44, 1 .
${ }^{10}$ Eb. 98, 8. Sim. ib. 98, 5-6.
${ }^{1}$ Berlin AII.i.p. ${ }^{255}$. Sim. Urk. iv. 693, 13 , qu. $\$ 346,2$. After $m$, Pt. 65 ; after $h r, P$. Kah. 22, 6; after $n$ mert, Bersh.ii. 21, 15 ; Urk. iv. 840, 5 .
${ }^{2}$ Pt. 479.
${ }^{3}$ § 349 of Ist ed. is cancelled.
${ }^{4}$ See Rec. 40, 79.
${ }^{5}$ See below $\$ 389$, 3 end.
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. III4, 8.
${ }^{7} J E A$. iv. 143, n. 4.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 1107, i2.

8a $̈$ ÄZ. 57, 5**, bottom.
${ }^{9}$ See the literature quoted Sphinx 7, 21 I.

[^147]not letting hair grow in the eye. ${ }^{9}$
 being eaten, lit. it is the not causing that the corn be eaten. ${ }^{10}$
 $\ldots . . r$ tm $r d i$ sn sw nhsy $n b$ the southern boundary made in year $7 \ldots$ so as not to allow any Nubian to pass it. ${ }^{1}$
n $T m$ is here direct object of $p 3$, see $\S 484$. As obj. after $w \underline{d}$ see Add.
§ 350. ${ }^{3}$ Tm as negation of other parts of the verb.-We shall see later that $t m$ is used to negate the participles, the $s \underline{d} m t y \cdot f y$ form, and the relative forms (§ 397), as well as the s'dmt.f form (§ 408). There are also isolated instances of $t m$ in the $s d m \cdot b r \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 432$ ), and possibly also in the passive $s d m \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 424,2$ ). In all these cases tm itself assumes the verb-form in question, and is followed by the negatival complement or, much more rarely, by the infinitive (§ 344).

## OTHER MODES OF NEGATION

§ 351. ${ }^{+\infty}$ nfr with the meaning of a negative word. ${ }^{4}$-Besides its senses 'good', 'beautiful', 'happy' the adjective $n f r$ has sometimes the significa-

 nfryt-r 'down to', lit. 'end to' (§ i 79) ; perhaps also $\ddagger$ as symbol for 'zero'. ${ }^{8 a}$ This signification gives rise to two idiomatic ways of expressing negative meaning.
 § 164, but here the negative meaning has doubtless helped. The construction of adjectival predicates with datival $n$ was seen always to refer to a contingent, accidental qualification (§ 14 I ) ; so too $n f r n$ always denies an occurrence.

In the rather rare Middle Kingdom examples there is a doubt whether the following verb is an infinitive or the $s \dot{d} d m \cdot f$ form introducing a noun clause ( $\S$ 188).

Exx. 4月 $i w \cdot \underline{t} n r d r p n \cdot i m n t t m-r \cdot \underline{t} n$; ir nfr $n$ wnn $m-r \cdot \underline{t} n$, iw• $\underline{t} n r \underline{d} d m r \cdot \underline{t} n$ ye shall offer to me with what is in your hands ; if there chance to be nothing in your hands, ye shall say with your mouths. ${ }^{10}$ Other examples of the same formula write $\dagger^{\circ} \times \mathrm{mm},{ }^{11} \dagger_{\square=12}{ }^{12}$ as invariably in earlier times. To indicate the literal sense we may paraphrase : if at-an-end (be) to there-is (or the being) in your hand.
$4 \cap P m m$ in found that it had not been made in hard stone. ${ }^{13}$

Obs. This idiom was commoner and had a wider use in the Old Kingdom. ${ }^{14}$
2. ${ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{n}$ nfr $p w$ ' there is (are) not', but with following sdm.f simply ' not'. For $p w$ after an adjectival predicate see $\S 140$.

The subject may be a noun.
Exx. ${ }^{t} 00010$ il $n f r$ pw phrwt iry there are no remedies for it. ${ }^{1}$ Lit. they are at-an-end the remedies thereof.
 nothing which has been said about it. ${ }^{2}$ Here nfr pw dddt nbt $r \cdot s$ constitutes a virtual noun clause used as subject of wnn, see § 188.

Or else the subject may be an infinitive.
 a taper there. ${ }^{3}$

Lastly, the sdm.f form may be employed as subject (§ 188), with past meaning.
 $n \cdot s n m$ sf the people ..... to whom contributions were not made yesterday. ${ }^{4}$
§ 352. in the $s d m \cdot f$ form after $\ell-i r$ 'if' with practically the same meaning as a negative word.

The subject of $w d f$ may be a $s d m \cdot f$ form used as a noun clause ( $\$ 188$ ).
 $m s \cdot i \quad b \cdot \cdot i s w t \cdot i, g m \cdot k$ irt $\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{rchr} \cdot t i r \cdot k$ if thou failest to let me see my soul and my shadow, thou wilt find the eye of Horus standing up against thee. ${ }^{5}$ Lit. if it delay that thou causest, etc.

Or else the subject of wdf may be an infinitive.
 $d m d n N p n \underline{h} r d w \cdot f$ but if the joining to this N of his children be delayed, retarded, or waver. ${ }^{6}$ In this example two almost synonymous verbs are co-ordinated with wdf.

Quite unusual is the construction in
 failest to tell me (lit. delayest in saying to me) him who brought thee to this island. ${ }^{7}$
§ 352a. The negative word w. ${ }^{8}$ - Much more likely to escape notice is this ancient and exceedingly rare word for 'not', which is placed after the śdm.f form in prohibitions. Only one example has been quoted from Middle Egyptian:
 $n h h$ ye shall not remove this tombstone from this its place for ever. ${ }^{9}$

[^148]${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 22, 7.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 772, 6. Sim. Westc. II, 23.
${ }^{4}$ P. Boul. xviii, 18. See also $A Z .59,26$.
${ }^{5}$ Nav. 89, 7. Sim. ib. 89, 3 (so Ani); P. Turin 122, 1. So too already Pyr. 1223.
${ }^{6}$ Lac. TR. 2, 25. Sim. Nav. 89, 3 (Aa. $\left.P_{i}\right)$; $A d m$. 10, 5 .
${ }^{7}$ Sh. S. 70-1.
${ }^{8} \ddot{A} Z .59,63$; 61, 79. Possibly an enclitic form of the obsolete *iw 'not'.
${ }^{9}$ Cairo 20539, i. 6 20.

## VO CABULARY

色 who interpret，explain．
\＆ $\operatorname{minm}_{\text {min }} m h$ drown．
$\Delta \Delta{ }_{\Delta}^{\infty} r \underline{k}$ incline．
$\cap \triangle \| \int p d$ be keen，ready ；caus．$s s p d$ make ready．
$s d r$ spend all night，lie．
组 虽 $k 3 s$ be harsh，tyrannical．
$\underset{\sim}{4}$ 先 d nd be wrathful ；wrath（n．）．
foon县 $i k b$（ $i 3 k b$ ）mourning．
団 $b t_{3}(w)$ crime，wrong．



会 会 h wow poor man．
 growth or development．
$4 \sim \sim$ var． 4
$1 \Delta$ 会 $\operatorname{sgr}$ peace，quiet．
$\infty$ 具 $t s$ utterance，sentence．

$\int=-\operatorname{din} d r w$ accusation，reproach．
 H1 In $x^{\circ}$ 氕＇Iwn－mwt•f Pillar－of－his－ Mother，a name of Horus．
83 H headed god of the First Cataract．

## EXERCISE XXIV

（a）Reading lesson：beginning of chapter 30 в of the Book of the Dead，the spell usually inscribed on the heart scarabs，and referring to the weighing of the heart before Osiris ${ }^{1}$ ：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { OR } \\
& \overbrace{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R n$ tm edit shf ib $n$
imy－r pr $n$ imy－r sd $d_{z} t(?) N w, m s-l i r w$,
$i r \cdot n(\$ 361) i m y-r p r n i m y-r s d s t(?)$ ） $1 m n-h t p$ ，
$r \cdot f m \underline{h} r(t)-n t r$.
$d d \cdot f:$
ib．i $n$ mut $i(s p s n)$ ，
huey．in hprwi，

[^149]A $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ Th





$m$ cher rim mtrw， $m$ shsf r－i $m$ mtrw， $m$ shsfr$r \cdot i m d s d j t$ ， $m$ ir rk．kr－i m－bshiry mbst． $n t k k \cdot i \operatorname{imy}$ ht $\cdot \mathrm{i}$ ，

${ }^{1}$ The ram－headed god of Elephantine，reputed to have fashioned mankind on a potter＇s wheel．
＇Spell for not allowing the heart of the steward of the treasurer Nu ，justified， son of the steward of the treasurer Amenhotpe，to create opposition against him in the necropolis．He says ：－O my heart of my mother！O my heart of my mother！O my heart of my different ages（lit．my forms）！Stand not up against me as witness．Create not opposition against me as a witness．Create not opposition against me among the assessors．Do not weigh heavy（lit．make thy inclination）against me in presence of the keeper of the scales．Thou art my soul which is in my body，the Chnum who makes to prosper my limbs．＇
（b）Translate into English：
 ロa～o 4N N （2）N—nsin （3）

（4）汤乐


会路（




1 ＇Izun－mwt．f＇Pillar－of－his－Mother＇，a name of Horus in his aspect of a pious son，clad in a leopard skin and making offerings to his parents．

2 The person named Any is here identified，as was every dead man of rank，with Osiris．
（c）Write in hieroglyphs：
（I）If it is not given（lit．one does not give it）to thee，then thou shalt write （lit．send）to me concerning it．（2）Thou wast placed to（be）a dam for the poor man，take heed lest he drown．（3）Mayest thou not be loud（k）of voice in the
house of the lord of quiet. (4) Hearken ye who (ntyw) shall come-into-existence (bpr), I have not done iniquity. (5) Place (lit. give) me in thy presence, so that I may see thy face; then will I not fear ( $n$ because of) thy wrath. (6) Avaunt from me (p. 239, n. 1), ye evil ones (isftyw)! (7) Be not tyrannical in proportion to ( $h f t$ ) thy power, lest mischief ( $b w e d w$ ) approach thee. (8) Welcome to thy house, our good lord! (9) I built my tomb near ( $m-s 3 h t$ ) my lord, in order ( $n$ - $m r t$ ) not to be far from ( $r$ ) him eternally. (Io) Do not let these evil things ( $m d t$ ) be said.

## LESSON XXV

## THE PARTICIPLES

## ${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii.

 $\$ 8827$ foll.${ }^{2}$ Pt. 588.
${ }^{3}$ Pt. 540, 553 .
${ }^{4}$ Eb. 1,13 .
${ }^{5}$ Peas. B $1,{ }^{2} 5$.

[^150]§ 353. The participle ${ }^{1}$ in Egyptian is an adjective displaying the meaning of a verb as exercised actively by, or passively upon, somebody or something. Like other adjectives, it can be used either as an epithet or as a noun; exx. 유애․
 MPQMA, Dint iryt r.f'the wrongs done to (lit. against) him', ${ }^{4}$ beside $\|_{\infty}^{\infty}$ iryt r.f'(that) done to him'. ${ }^{5}$

When used as a noun, the participle may itself be qualified by an adjective.
 'everyone who had been (lit. having been) in this place'; ${ }^{6}$ 但 $0_{110}^{0}$ wddt nbt 'all that has been (lit. having been) commanded '.'

From these examples we perceive that the Egyptian participle has the meaning of an English relative clause in which the subject is identical with the antecedent; the first four examples might have been translated equally well 'a son who hears', ' one who hears', 'the wrongs which were done to him', ' what was done to him'; see above § 204, 3 .

It should be noted that the Greek and English use of the participle as equivalent to a clause of time or circumstance (e.g. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \in ́ \phi \eta . .$. 'then answering him spoke.....') is alien to Egyptian. See, however, $\S 405$ below.

Obs. The equivalence of the participles to English relative clauses explained above is of importance as showing their close relationship to the relative forms (below Lesson XXVI), as well as their distinction from them-a distinction which we may characterize by saying that the participles express 'who'-clauses, while the relative forms express 'whom'- or 'whose'-clauses; see below $\S 376$ for some qualification of this statement as regards the passive participles. The equivalence to English relative clauses may also serve to distinguish the old perfective from the participles; if a form like sd$d m w$ or $s d m t$ cannot be translated as a relative clause, there is some likelihood that it may prove to be an old perfective; on the other hand, we have seen that the old perfective has itself an occasional use in virtual relative clauses ( $\$ 317$ ).
§ 354．Concord，etc．－The participles agree in number and gender with the noun or pronoun to which they are attached，or which is implied in them．The marks of number and gender are the same as in the ordinary adjective．
 which had come forth（lit．having come forth）from the mouth．${ }^{1}$
 found）in writing．${ }^{2}$

Masculine Plural．Exx．an 1 ，$\prod^{*}$ irwisft those who do（lit．doing）wrong．${ }^{3}$
－号通 I know the name of the 42 gods who are（lit．being）with thee．${ }^{4}$

Feminine Plural．Exx． which are issued to him，lit．coming forth for him．${ }^{5}$
 has been placed，lit．placed myrrh on their hair．${ }^{6}$ For cntyw here see § 377 ．

As with the ordinary adjective（ $\$ 74$ ），the f．plur．ending $-w t$ is never written in full．When the participles are used as epithets of a preceding plural noun， they not infrequently dispense with the plural strokes，and the ending $-w$ of the m ．plur．is often omitted．
 existed）aforetime．${ }^{7}$

 Prince which were made to repel the Asiatics．${ }^{9}$

The plural strokes are frequently added to feminine participles used without antecedent noun to express neuter ideas；exx．䗁OIII bprt＇that which has


When a participle is used as a noun，a determinative indicating the nature of the person or thing which it serves to describe is sometimes added；exx．
 wdet＇she who is divorced＇；${ }^{15}$ IVACO ${ }^{(1)}$ ．hsyw＇those who are praised＇．${ }^{16}$ Occasionally such a determinative occurs even when the participle is used as an
 shtyw．sn iww $n k t-k t$＇peasants of theirs who have come to others＇．${ }^{17}$ When a participle has one or more adjuncts closely dependent on it，a determinative of the kind here described may conclude the entire phrase（compare above §61）；


It may be noted here，once and for all，that the flexional endings of the participles precede any determinative or determinatives that there may be．
§ 355. The four kinds of participle.-The Egyptian participle distinguishes an active and a passive voice, as well as two tenses, which we shall describe as imperfective and perfective respectively, see above § 295, Obs. Thus there exist four separate varieties of participle: i. imperfective active (§ 357); 2. imperfective passive (§358) ; 3. perfective active (§359) ; 4. perfective passive (§§ 360-1).

The distinctions of meaning corresponding to the terms perfective and imperfective have been outlined in $\S 295$, and will be discussed in detail in $\$ 8365-70$. They refer to the duration and the frequency of the verbal action rather than to its time-position relatively to that of the speaker. But we discern a tendency for these more primitive aspects of verbal action to become subordinated to the time-standpoint-the standpoint which alone appears important to the modern mind.

The imperfective referred originally only to action which was repeated or continuous, and is regularly used whenever one or other of these aspects is stressed. This tense is better adapted, as we shall see, for the description of present and future action than for that of past action; but it may be used of past events if their repetition or continuity is to be made very prominent (' who was hearing', ' who used to hear').

The perfective seems to have been free of any such implications, presenting the verbal action simply as occurring. Thus it may be used in reference to any time-position, but it is specially useful for reference to the past when there is no notion of repetition or continuity (' who heard', 'has heard', 'had heard'). Of the present it is used either when the action is definitely momentary, or when it is in fact habitual, but that aspect is not stressed; see below $\S 367$.

To express the meaning of the future active participle ('who will hear') a particular form known as the sdmety•fy form has been evolved ( $\$ 363$ ). This form is, however, built on too different lines to be included among the participles.

Obs. The existence of a third participial tense, to be known as the 'prospective' tense, is favoured by some, and supposed examples of both active and passive have
${ }^{1}$ See Gunn, Stud. chs. 2. 3.
m . sing. and the f. sing. may be translated in many different ways, of which the following are the principal: 'who hears', 'who is hearing', 'who was hearing', 'who heard', 'who has heard', 'who had heard', 'who is being heard ', ' who was being heard', 'who was heard ', 'who has been heard', and 'who had been heard'.

In the mutable verbs, tense at least can be discerned. The important general rule is that the imperfective participles, whether active or passive, show the gemination, while the perfective participles do not.

To the second half of this rule there is an apparent exception, since certain 2 -lit. verbs show a doubling of the last consonant in the perf. pass. part., ex. 乌ֻIII $d d d t$ 'what was said' ( $\$ 360$ ). But this exception is doubtless really only apparent, the doubling being of the nature of reduplication, a phenomenon different from the gemination seen in the geminating and weak verbs. See $\S \$ 274$, end; 278 .

Obs. The problem of the gemination, outlined in § 269 , here presents itself in crucial form. On the one hand, there seems some connection between the gemination which is the outward characteristic of the imperfective participles and the notion of repetition or continuity which is characteristic of their meaning. On the other hand, it is striking that the gemination persists in the imperfective participles whether they are active or passive, both in masculine and in feminine, alike in singular and in plural, and irrespective of their syntactical function as status absolutus, as status pronominalis (§78) or as status constructus (§85, OBS.). This persistence of the gemination seems due to some more potent factor than the mere fortuitous position of the vowels, particularly of the accented vowel. The only close analogy in the Semitic languages appears to be the picēl of double rayin verbs in Hebrew, corresponding to the second form of geminating verbs in Arabic; there the doubling of the medial consonant serves, not only to indicate intensive or iterative meaning, but also to necessitate the twofold writing of the geminating consonant in all circumstances, see above p. 207, n. 2. Thus the hypothesis suggests itself that the Egyptian imperfective participles may likewise contain a doubled medial consonant. Though based solely on an analogy, this possibility seems well worth consideration.

## § 357. Imperfective active participle. ${ }^{1}$

m. sing. As a broad practical rule, it may be said that the imperf. act. part. shows no special participial ending, while the imperf. pass. part. ends in -w.
 does (it) than him for whom (it) is done, lit. than (the one) done for him. ${ }^{2}$
 (become) one to whom command is given, lit. given to him command. ${ }^{3}$

On closer examination it is found, however, that the imperf. act. part.
${ }^{1}$ Verbum ii. $\$ 5858$ foll. The non-geminating forms there given are here asslgned to the perf. act. part.
${ }^{2}$ Berl. AI. i. p. 180. Sim. Cairo 20609, a6; Florence 1540; Cat. d. Mon. i. 89, no. 76. Cf. also Urk.iv. 1114 , 5 (dhn, dhnw); 1115 , 7 (lirr, lirvu); [116,7, qu. § 377, $2\left(5_{3}, \breve{s}_{3} w\right)$. ${ }^{3}$ Adm. p. 106. possessed a participial ending, and that this ending is sometimes written. From the m. plur. $-y w$, older $-i w$, it may be inferred that the original ending was $-i$, which would later appear as $-y$. The original $-i$ survives in the noun $\mathbb{A} \| s d m i$
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 1111,16 1112， 1 （wrongly divided）； Pt． 536 （contrasted with sdimw＇one who heark－ ens＇）．${ }^{2}$ Pt． 248 （L 2）．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．85，14．So too $m d w y, i b$ ．1076， 3 ．
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．113，II；147， 6；Budge，p．I，I3； 51,3 ； see also ib．323，2．Sim． mdwy＇who speaks＇，Peas． R 71；siddy，Cairo 20539 i．$b$ 10．${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{151}$ ． ${ }^{6}$ Sin．R 44．Sim．${ }^{2} w 3 y$ ＇robber＇，Peas．B 1，302； spry＇petitioner＇，Peas． $\mathrm{Bi}_{1}, 284$ ，qu．§ 148,3 ．
${ }^{7}$ Pt．534．536．540． 553. 588．Many exx．Berl．AI， i．p． 257 ，if not perf．
${ }^{8}$ Pt．81．Sim．swz，Pt． 14I ；shprw，ib． 173 ．
${ }^{9} \mathrm{~Eb} .89,6 .{ }^{10} \mathrm{Pt} .553$.
${ }^{11}$ The ending $-w$ in the construction with in，Pt．

${ }_{12}$ Pt．30． 72 ；Urk．iv． 1152，II．Sim．irryw， NAV．68，12；msddyw， Leyd．V 38.
${ }^{13}$ Peas．B1，61．Sim． ${ }^{r} 3 b y w, i b . R^{2}$ ；sckyz Eb．I， 6.
${ }^{14}$ Budge，p．159， 14 ； 249，10；252，9；D．el B． 125.
${ }_{10}$ Pt．413．Sim．Turin 1447 （mrrw，nisddw）； Erm．Hymn．1， 3 （ $\left.h_{3} w w\right)$ ．
${ }^{16}$ Cairo 20003.
${ }_{18}^{17} \mathrm{~Eb} .109,9$.
${ }^{18}$ Cairo 20003．
${ }^{19}$ Sing．，Siut 1，275； plur．，ib． 305 ；dubious， e．g．Urk．iv．1105，5． 7.
${ }^{20}$ Sing．，Westc．12，6； plur．，$E b .7^{6,} 12$.
${ }^{21}$ M．u．K．I，4．So too in＇ckyt＇serving maid＇， Th．T．S．ii． 12.
${ }^{22}$ Bersh．ii． 7 top； 21 top，3．${ }^{23}$ Siut 1，4．217．
${ }^{24}$ Urk．iv．556，2；614， 10.
${ }^{25}$ Siut 1，302．303．
${ }^{26}$ Sh．S．${ }_{1} 47$ ；P．Kah．
29，7；Urk．iv．198， 2.
${ }_{23}$ Urk．iv．806， 13.
${ }^{28}$ Siut 1，215；2，7； Louvre C14，${ }^{2}$ ；Hamım． 114,3 ；Urk．iv． $1112,12$. 14； $1113,6.7$ ．
${ }_{29}$ Louvre C 3，3；Cairo 20026．20541；Urk．iv． $54 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 4$.
${ }^{50}$ PIEHL，$I H$ ．iii．75， 4 ； Erm．Hymin．II， 5 ．
${ }^{31} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 54$.
${ }^{32}$ BH．i．8， 4 ；Louvre $\mathrm{C}_{94}{ }^{77}$ ．${ }^{33}$ Sin．B 94.
${ }_{34}$ Peas．B I， 21 ．
${ }^{35}$ Peas．R7I．
${ }^{96}$ Urk．iv．1076， 3.
${ }^{37}$ Brit．Mus． 58 I ．
${ }^{38}$ Hamım．114，4；Cairo 20539 ，i．$b 2$.
${ }_{39}$ Cairo 20539，i．$b$ Io．
＇hearer＇，apparently in the technical sense of＇judge＇．＇The rare $-y$ is found in
 in $f^{-m}$＇ll enhy＇living＇in the common phrase $b_{3}$ rnky＇living soul＇；${ }^{4}$ so too in the
 really participial．Less rare，but still uncommon，is the ending $-w$ ，exx．
 bites＇．${ }^{\text {．}}$ The more nominal in character a participle is，the greater the tendency to write the participial ending，ex． $4-\infty$ ne in sdmw sdm $\underline{d} d$＇ it is a hearer who hears a saying＇${ }^{10}$（sdmw and sdm both imperf．act．participles），but here again no rule can be made．${ }^{11}$ So too perhaps ${ }^{\text {an }}$ whmw herald＇，smsw ＇follower＇．
$m$ ．plur．The fullest writing，which is not rare，is $-y w$ ，exx． 14 ，
 exist＇．${ }^{14}$ Other writings show simply $-w$ ，exx． more rarely simply $-y$ ，ex．$\varangle<\left\langle 4 r r y\right.$＇who love＇；${ }^{16}$ or else no ending at all， exx．wnn＇which are＇；${ }^{17}$ 灲逄 msdd＇who hate ${ }^{18}$
f．sing．and plur．It may be inferred from m．plur．$-y w$（old $-i z w$ ）that these forms ended in $-y t$（old－it）and－ywt（old－iwt）respectively．Nevertheless only the gender ending $\Delta-t$ is written．
 The participial inflexion is exceptionally written in $\left\|\|_{x}^{\infty}{ }_{x}^{2}\right.$ sdyt＇which breaks＇，


The following imperf．act．participles from mutable verbs are quoted mainly to exhibit the gemination of the verb－stem；see above for all details as to the participial ending and as to the marks of gender and number．
 For wnn see the examples quoted under the heads of m．plur．and f．sing．and plur．；also below § 396 ．
 ＇who shines forth＇．${ }^{27}$＇Make＇shows two forms，both to be read irr ：is the
 （from earlier $i \underline{t} t$ ）．${ }^{30}$
caus．2ae gem．©＝－m 企 sgnn＇who makes weak＇．${ }^{31}$
4 ae inf．With gemination，解迢 msddw＇who hate＇．${ }^{32}$ Without gemina－ tion，ek lnt＇who used to sail upstream＇；${ }^{33}$ 组 mdw（mwdz）＇who

 powerless（？）＇${ }^{39}$

## THE FORMS OF THE PARTICIPLES

anom．＇Give＇has regularly $\Delta \Delta,{ }^{1} \triangle d d^{2}$＇who gives＇，very rarely $\triangle{ }^{3}$ From＇come＇a few exx．of both $\left\lfloor Q \wedge i i^{\text {sa }}\right.$ and $\triangle Q Q \wedge i y^{3 b}$ appear to be indisputable imperf．act．parts．＇Bring＇has $\AA=$ inn．${ }^{4}$

## § 358．Imperfective passive participle．${ }^{5}$

$m$ ．sing．The ending $-w$（see above $\S 357$ ，at beginning）is much more frequently written than omitted，exx．晠 shzw＇who is remembered＇；${ }^{6}$ sftw＇which is slaughtered＇；${ }^{7}$ irrw＇which is made＇．${ }^{8}$ Examples without $-w, 1 \Delta w_{3}$＇which is sought＇；${ }^{9}$ 通 $5 d d$＇one（over whom is）recited＇．${ }^{10}$ Altogether exceptional is a form in $-y$ ，namely 8 I－ADA $h s s y$＇he who is praised＇；${ }^{11}$ this might possess a special meaning．
$m$ ．plur．Only one $-w$ is written，and this may well be the participial ending，
 are placed＇．.$^{14}$ Forms without $-w$ are occasionally met with，ex．$\infty$ irr＇which are made＇．${ }^{15}$
 T』ل通 $36 b t$＇what is desired＇；${ }^{17}$ 会 $i r r t$＇what is done＇．${ }^{18}$

The forms from the mutable verbs display the gemination and are often indistinguishable from the imperf．active forms．Some of the verbs to be quoted are intransitives；see below $\S 376$ ．

2ae gem．3 maze＇who is seen＇．${ }^{19}$
зae inf．级通 nhhw＇being prayed（for）＇；${ }^{20} \square \triangle$ prrw＇being gone forth＇；${ }^{21}$ gmmt＇which is found＇．${ }^{22}$＇Make＇has usually forms writing one $r$ ，ex．irrw＇which is done＇；${ }^{23}$ more rarely the $r$ is repeated，ex． irrw；${ }^{24}$ a plur．without $r^{25}$ is certainly a mistake．＇Take＇shows a form宁 $i t t w .^{26}$

4ae inf．能 $=$ msddt＇she who is hated＇．${ }^{27}$
anom．＇Give＇shows forms like $\Delta \Delta \Delta^{3} d d w,{ }^{28}=111 d d t .{ }^{29}$＇Bring＇shows


Obs．The imperfective relative form，to be treated in Lesson XXVI，will there be seen to be nothing more than the imperfective passive participle in an extended use．

## § 359．Perfective active participle．${ }^{32}$

$m$ ．sing．As a rule no ending is shown，exx．$\square \perp \perp h b$＇he who sent＇；${ }^{33}$ ${ }_{B}^{\infty}$ witt＇he who begat＇；${ }^{34}$ ir＇who made＇，${ }^{35}$＇who makes＇．${ }^{36}$ Nevertheless sporadic writings point to the existence of a flexional ending $-w$ or $-y$ ，exx．




357
${ }^{1}$ Siut．i．310；Cairo 20539，i．$b 2$.
${ }^{2}$ Siut．i． 237 ；Adm．
p．${ }^{106, ~ g u . ~ p . ~ 273, ~ n . ~} 3$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk．v．76， 2.
${ }^{34}$ Peas．B 1， 67 ；plur． Pt． 260 （Pr．）
${ }^{\text {sb }}$ Westc．8，II ；plur． Pt． 260 （L 2）．
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20530，$b$ Io．
${ }^{5}$ See Verbum ii．$\$ 894 \mathrm{I}$ foll． 6 Brit．Mus． $5^{81}$ ．
${ }^{7}$ Siut．1， 302.
${ }^{8}$ Siut 1,318 ．Sim．M． u．K．vs．2， 7 （ ${ }^{(3 d d w) .}$
${ }^{9}$ Sinai 90，10．Sim． Cairo 20571，a 2 （ $s m i$ ）．
${ }^{10}$ Urk．v．96， 7 （Ydd）； Sinai 90， 12 （gyl）；Urk． iv． 415,3 （dd）．
${ }^{11}$ Pt． 137. Sim．mrry， Sinai 30；Menthuw．10； perhaps also $h s b y, i b .5$ ； wbsy，Urk．iv．546， 12 ； prry．s Eb．25，5；52， 4.
${ }^{12}$ Rekh．5；cf．perhaps P．Kah．I3， 25 with plur． strokes．
${ }^{13}$ Urk．iv．344， 1 I．
${ }^{14} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 304$.
${ }^{15}$ Leyd．V4， 12 ；Louvre
C 3，19．Var．Irrw，Brit．
Mus． 567,15 ．
${ }^{16}$ Eb．108， 13.
${ }^{17}$ Urk．iv．975， 6.
${ }^{18}$ Sin．B 307；Eb．30，
9 ；Kopt．8， 7.
${ }^{19}$ Cairo 20538，ii．c 12.
${ }^{20}$ Urk．iv．972， 14.
${ }^{21}$ Cairo 20359.
${ }_{22} E b .66$ ， 1 ．
${ }^{23}$ Siut 1，318；Pt．282； Eb．61， 6 ．
${ }^{24}$ M．u．K．vs．4，6；Berl．
AI．i．p．180，qu．p．273，
n． 2.
${ }^{25}$ Cairo 20024， 67.
${ }^{26}$ Ann．v．239， 33.
${ }^{27}$ Eb．67， 4.5.
${ }^{28}$ Siut 1， 302.
${ }^{20}$ Rhind，no． 62 ；Eb． 56， 18.
${ }^{30}$ P．Boul．xviii． $\mathbf{I}^{2}$ ； Ann．v．239， 32.
${ }^{31}$ Brit．Mus．614，5． 6.
${ }^{32}$ See Verbumii．$\$ \$ 840$ foll．${ }^{33}$ Siut 1,215 ．
${ }^{34}$ Berl．A1．i．p． 258 ， 19.
${ }^{25}$ Budge，p．${ }^{213,16 ;}$
Mill．1，7；Sin．R 8；
Urk．iv．194， 15 ．
${ }^{*}$ Bersh．ii．13，15；Hamm．
114， 17 ；Leb． 116.
${ }^{37}$ Menthuw． 4.
：8 Peas．B i， 164.
${ }^{99}$ Peas．B I， 237.
${ }^{40}$ Urk．iv．429， $2 ; 533,8$.
${ }^{41}$ Urk．iv．506，3；507， 15 ．
${ }^{42}$ P．Kah．5，18；Eb． 19， 17.
${ }^{43}$ Menthuw． 4.

1 Urk．iv．910， 13. Contrast without ending， Leb． 116.
${ }^{2}$ Spieg．－Pörtn．i．no．g．
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20418，$b$ ．
${ }^{4}$ Compare ir sw（\＄374） dilt in Hamm．114， 7 with irv ddt，Urk．iv．429， 2.
${ }^{5}$ Hamm．191， 5 ；Siut 4， 26．Sim．wnw，BH．i． 25 ， 103；Adm．3，6．14；mjw， Leb．79；ldzw，Lel． 60.
${ }^{6}$ Peas． B 1，45．Sim． ${ }^{4} m z w, i i . \mathrm{R} 91$ ．
${ }^{7}$ Urk．iv． $66_{5}$ ， 1 I．
${ }^{8}$ Munich 3， 19 ，qu． §354．Sim．spr，P．Boul． xviii .44 .46 ．
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv． $665,3 . \operatorname{Sim}$ ． ii，P．Boutl．xviii． 42.
${ }_{10}$ Leb． 64.
${ }^{11}$ Sin．B 245 ；Urk．iv． 691， 13 ．
${ }_{12}$ Pt． 32 （ L 2 ）．Sim． zunyw，Urk．iv． 151 ， 1 ； Adm．4，9；tmy，ib．；pryzv， L．D．iii．72， 5 ；$y_{d} d y w$ ， M．u．K．vs．4． 8.
${ }^{13}$ Sing．，Hamm．ino， 2 ； El．104，6．13．15；plur．， Eb．20， 17.23 ；21， 14 ．
14 BH．i．25，26．58－9； Eb．1， 18.19.
${ }^{15}$ Eb．90， 19.21.
${ }^{16}$ Urk．ivi 168 ，in ；$A Z$ ． 45，76．${ }^{17}$ Eb．42， 15 ． ${ }_{18}{ }^{18}$ Sin．B 278；f．sing．， Lac．TR．47， 5 ；m．plur．， Leb． 79.
${ }^{19}$ Sin．R 296；Adm．8， 3 ；f．sing．，Brit．Mus．614， 14；m．plur．，Adm．3，6； 6,14 ．
${ }^{20}$ Urk．iv．540，2；953，2； m．plur．，Hamm． 87,12 ． ${ }^{21}$ Siut 5，23；f．sing．， Eb．97， 10 ．
${ }_{29}{ }^{22}$ Lac．TR．29， 9.
${ }^{23}$ Hamm．87，9；Pt． 184 ； Brit．Mus．159， 12 ；Cairo 2001 2，3；Siut 2，9；f．sing．， Urk．iv．21，6；m．plur．， Leb． 123 ；Urk．iv．66， 16.
${ }_{24}$ Siut 3， 14 ；Berl．AII． i．p．257， 5 （irw）；m．plur．， perhaps $B H$ ．i．26， 212 ． See too above under m． sing．${ }_{26}{ }^{25}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 233$. ${ }^{26}$ Sin．B 308；Hamm． 110，8；P．Kah．2，13； Westc．11， 12 ；Urk．iv． 970,3 ．
${ }_{27}^{97 \mathrm{~L}}$ ，eyd． $\mathrm{V}_{4}, 7$ ；Urk．iv． 358， 8.
${ }^{28}{ }^{28}$ Urk．iv．1094， 17.
${ }^{29}$ Peas．B1，44；m．plur．， ib． 45 ；Sin．B 245 ；Adm． p．${ }^{50}$ 99．
${ }^{30}$ Cairo 20499， 69 ； 20530，b 17 ；m．plur．， P．Boul．xviii． $4^{2}$ ．
${ }^{31}$ Cairo 20539，i． 68 ； M．u．K．vs．2， 8.
${ }^{32}$ Sh．S．69． 71 ；$A Z$ ． 45，Pl．8，A．
${ }^{43}$ See Verbutm ii． 8927 ．
apt to occur when the participle is used as a noun（cf．§357），ex．ir r $i r w$＇doing to the doer（him who does）＇，${ }^{1}$ or when it is component of a compound， ex．$l_{11}$ irw bnrt＇confectionery－maker＇，${ }^{2}$ var． thought that here some nominal formation is exemplified，not a participle．But our texts，at least，hardly warrant such a distinction．${ }^{4}$
$m$ ．plur．The ending $-w$ is sometimes written，exx．hprw＇who had existed＇，${ }^{5}$ ，izw＇who have come＇，${ }^{6}$ \＆ $\mathrm{irw}^{\prime}$＇who made＇，${ }^{7}$ but is sometimes omitted，exx．纷－$k p r$＇who had existed＇， 8 \＆$r d i$＇who had placed＇，${ }^{8}$ $m(w) t(w)$＇who have died＇，${ }^{10} A$ 具，iw＇who had come＇．${ }^{11}$ Difficult to explain， and possibly in some cases faulty writings of the imperf．act．part．，are some rare examples with $-y w$ ，ex．MQC psyw＇who once did＇；${ }^{12}$ in this particular instance，however，the $y$ may be due merely to the 3 of the stem，the change of 3 into $y$ being frequent．
$f$ ．sing．and plur．Only the fem．ending $\Delta-t$ is shown，exx．瑶呈 $k p r t$＇which happened＇，＇has（have）happened＇；${ }^{13} \square_{0} \Delta p r t$＇which came forth＇；${ }^{14}$ 削 $m s t$ ＇who has borne＇．.$^{15}$ In some rare cases where $-y t$ is found，this may be due to change of the radical ；of the verb－stem into $y$ ，possibly under the influence of the
 has fallen＇．${ }^{17}$

To the perfective active participle must be assigned all active participles from the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．and $3 a e \mathrm{inf}$ ．class which do not geminate；possible exceptions， see above under m．plur．The gemination is not found in any verbal class．

2 －lit．The only point needing remark is the existence of some rare forms with prothetic $i$ ．On these see $\S 272$ ．

2ae gem．Sh＇who sees＇，＇has seen＇；${ }^{18}$ wn＇which was＇．${ }^{19}$
 $r m z v$＇who bewept＇．${ }^{22}$＇Make＇writes $\sim i r,{ }^{23}$ only very rarely ${ }_{0}{ }^{24}$ ，which latter is presumably the perfective counterpart of the imperfectives written as and

anom．＇Give＇has usually the form $\quad r d i ;{ }^{26}$ much rarer are forms without $r$ ，namely $\Delta^{27}$ and $\triangle d i i^{28}$＇Come＇has forms both in $-w$ and in $-i$ ，namely $\beta$

§ 360．Perfective passive participle：A．forms from 2－lit．verbs with reduplication．${ }^{33}$－Contrary to expectation，some biliteral verbs show a repetition of the last radical consonant in the perf．pass．part．The m．sing．is usually written without ending，but occasionally $-y$ appears．The forms in question are：－

으＂rhby＇one who is known＇，${ }^{3}$ m．sing．
＊${ }^{\text {N }}$ hmmy＇which are unknown＇，${ }^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ．plur．；N hmm，${ }^{5} \mathrm{~m}$ ．sing．
 been decreed＇，${ }^{7}$ f．sing．（§ 354 ）．

요 tmm．＇which had not been＇，lit．perhaps＇which had been com－ pleted ${ }^{\prime,}{ }^{8} \mathrm{~m}$ ．sing．；${ }^{\circ}$ a tmmt，${ }^{9} \mathrm{f}$ ．sing．




In several cases forms without the reduplication are also found，exx．${ }^{2}$ wdt＇what has been commanded＇，${ }^{14}$ var．$\left\{\int_{011} ;{ }^{15}\right.$ 匃 $d d t$＇what had been said＇．${ }^{16}$ For this and for other reasons，it seems necessary to consider the forms above quoted as a special formation，standing outside the general system of the participles．Hebrew possesses some rare verb－forms which likewise show re－ duplication of the last radical consonant－the so－called purlal conjugation，see above § 274 ．

ObS．These forms have hitherto been supposed to exhibit real gemination，i．e．to be survivals indicating that the 2 －lit．verbs in question once belonged to the $3 a \mathrm{ainf}$ ． or $2 a e$ gem．class，a fact which indeed is demonstrable in the case of wod（Arab．wasa） and tm （Arab．tamma）．But in the zae inf．and $2 a e$ gem．gemination is found only associated with imperfective meaning，and no reason has been vouchsafed why it should be found here associated with perfective meaning．As we shall see，the passive s＇dmm•f form（ $\$ 425$ ）helps to corroborate the view taken above．Moreover， only non－geminating forms are found for the 2 －lit．verbs alike in the perf．relative form $(\S 387,2)$ and in the passive śdm．$f(\S 420)$ ；since these forms are derivatives of the perfective passive participle，it seems likely that the original forms of the perfective passive participle in the 2 －lit．class lacked the gemination，cf．wdt，$d d t$ quoted above．

## § 361．Perfective passive participle：B．the normal forms．－The

 perf．pass．part．agrees with the perf．act．part．in the absence of the gemination．$m$ ．sing．Writings without participial ending are fairly common．So from
 been cut off＇；${ }^{18}$ 乌ी＇who has been said（to）＇；${ }^{19}$ and likewise with 3 ae inf．and
 With the verb－classes just named，however，an ending $-y$ is far more frequent，
 represent a fusion of the last weak radical with an ending $-w$ or $-i$ ，but an extremely rare writing is found where a flexion $-w$ is written in addition to $-y$ ， ex．THीe通 mryw＇beloved＇，${ }^{23}$ and there are grounds for thinking that this may be the original form．Other possible examples with the ending $-y$ ，like 340
${ }^{17}$ Hamm． $114,16$.
${ }^{18}$ Westc．7，4．Sim． sip，Leyd．V4， 2.
${ }^{10}$ Leb． 100.
${ }^{2}$ Sin．B 276．See further below under sae inf．
${ }^{21}$ Louvre C 14， 13.
${ }^{22}$ BH．i． $3^{2}$ ；Siut 1， 233.
${ }^{23}$ Pt． 2.43 （L 2）．

$$
{ }^{23} \text { Pt. } 2.43 \text { (L 2). }
$$

[^151]${ }^{1}$ P．Kah．13， 24.
${ }^{2}$ Westc．8， 1 I．Sim． stpw，BH．i．8， 12 ； snkw，knızw，Lac． TR．5，1－2．
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 206$ ；Cairo 20538 ii． c 20 ；Urk． iv． 465, I．Sim．$h \mathrm{hww}$ ， Sin．B 206；Peas．BI， 196.
${ }^{4}$ Sin．B 254.
${ }^{5}$ Gunn，Stud．ch． 2.
${ }^{7}$ Urk．iv．704，5， qu．$\delta 354$ ．
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．795， 11.
${ }^{9} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 17 ，qu． § 354 ；P．Kah．13， 1，30，qu．§ 377， 1 ．
${ }^{10}$ P．Boul．xviii． $7{ }^{2}$ ； sim．ib．18．38．Other verbs：ity，P．Kah．19， 1；iny，Meir i．10； msy，P．Kah．11， 22.
${ }^{11}$ Louvre C i， 6.
12 Sh．S．146；cf． Sin．B 244.
${ }^{13}$ Peas．B 1，69； Urk．iv．II9， 2.
${ }^{14}$ P．Boul．xviii． 60. 74.
${ }^{15}$ Rhind 67.
${ }^{16}$ Leyd．V 88， 10.
${ }^{17}$ Berl．AII．i．p． 257， 5 －
${ }_{18}$ Pt． 153 （L 2）； Urk．iv．897， 15.
19 Urk．iv．162， 8.
${ }^{20}$ GUNN，Stud．chs． I． 2.
${ }^{21}$ M．U．K．3，5，qu． §354．
$\underline{d} d y \cdot k$＇said by thee＇，will be quoted below，p．303，n．19．A participial ending $-w$ is sometimes found with immutable verbs where perfective passive meaning
 and correspondingly－w occurs with some non－geminating zae inf．forms，apparently as intentional（archaistic？）modifications of the usual type in $-y$ ，exx．耳e县 mrw


Obs．In investigating the participial ending of the perf．pass．part．attention must be paid to its derivatives the passive śdm•f（§420，with old endings $-w$ and $-y$ ），the perf． relative form（ $\$ 387,2$ ）and the relative form śd $m w \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 387,3)$ ．It will be seen later that the perf．relative form，i．e．that which does not geminate in the mutable verbs， sometimes has past，and sometimes prospective，meaning，and Gunn has assumed the existence of a special prospective pass．part．as origin in the second case．${ }^{5}$ This con－ tention is far from proven，at least in so far as it depends on a supposed fem．ending $\cdot t i$ ，see below．However，the question is legitimate whether what we call the perf． pass．part．does not conceal more than one form．
$m$ ．plur．Forms identical with m．sing．are commonest（see above § 354）；so for example in the case of forms with no ending at all，participial or otherwise， like 节 $\Delta h_{3} k$＇sacked＇；${ }^{7} \frac{8}{m}$ in＇carried off＇；${ }^{8}$ the same is true of forms from zae inf．and anom．stems showing the characteristic $-y$ of m．sing．，exx．a 44 iry


 participle is used as a noun．A curious 3 －lit．m．plur．is $\dot{\Lambda} " s t_{3} y$＇introduced＇；${ }^{14}$ compare with this another doubtfully plural form $\Pi \Lambda_{11}^{\square}$ sipy＇entrusted＇．${ }^{15}$
$f$ ．sing．and plur．The immutable verbs show only $-t$ ，exx．皿 habt ＇sent＇；${ }^{16}$ the Middle Kingdom rarely，but becomes rather frequent in Dyn．XVIII，exx． 2 04 d $d t i$＇what is said＇； 18 a 34 irti＇what is done＇；${ }^{19}$ and it has been maintained that this ending marks a special form with prospective meaning；${ }^{20}$ the thesis is far from proven，however，the alternative to it being that $-t i$ is a mere approxi－ mative miswriting of the f ．ending $-t$ ．In forms from 3 ae inf．and anom．verbs the
 further below．A genuine $f$ ．plur．form is $0 h_{1} 1_{1} .^{21}$

We now turn our attention to the various verbal classes．
2－lit．A few forms without reduplication of the second consonant are found， but the reduplication is more usual ；see above $\S 360$ ．
$2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．No example appears to have been noted．
zae inf．The ending $-y$ is characteristic of all genders and numbers，see

found＇；${ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ．plur．EXAC鱼其，hsyw＇praised ones＇．${ }^{2}$ There is no gemination． ＇Make＇has $\Delta \|$ iry，${ }^{3}$ much more rarely written with $r$ ，ex．$\& \| \circ$ iryt．${ }^{4}$＇Take
 instead of $-y$ have been discussed in connection with m ．sing．，together with a very rare form in $-y w$ ．Examples have also been given of writings without either $-y$ or $-w$ ；often no reason can be assigned for these，but it is noticeable that the omission is more frequent if a closely connected word follows．So，for instance，when a suffix－pronoun follows，exx． $\mathbb{N}^{*} m r \cdot f$＇his beloved＇r（later
 the preposition $n$ follows，especially in the very common expressions of filiation
 to＇（lit．＇borne to＇）．We shall see later（ $\S 386$ ）that the relative form śd $d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ originated in a perf．pass．part．＋preposition $n$ ，and that there the ending， whether radical or inflexional，is usually omitted（rarely $-w$ with $\mathrm{m} . \operatorname{sing}$ ．）．There is just possibly a slight distinction of meaning between the participles in（e．g．） $\pm 14-{ }^{\circ}$ 風 $m r y n i t \cdot f$ perhaps＇the beloved of his father＇${ }^{16}$（ $n$ may here be
 his mistress＇，${ }^{17}$ i．e．＇whom his mistress loved＇，and it might be well to describe all writings like the latter（including ir $n$ ，$m s n$ above）as śdmw．$n \cdot f$ relative forms， rather than as perf．pass．part．$+n$ ；in this case we should have to transliterate with a $\operatorname{dot}(m r \cdot n, i r \cdot n, m s \cdot n)$ ．

4ae inf．A form in $-y$ is 股通 sksyt＇what has been recalled＇，${ }^{18}$ though possibly the $-y$ may be due in part to the preceding 3．A form in $-w$ is 散一 $m s d z w$＇one who is hated＇.$^{19}$
caus．4ae inf． 17014 shnty＇promoted＇．${ }^{20}$
anom．＇Give＇has the form $\$ 14 r d y,{ }^{21} \mathrm{f}$ ． $14 \triangleright r d y t,{ }^{22}$ as well as a writing without $-y$ ，namely $\triangle r d i ;{ }^{23}$ also a form $\triangle A \mid d y,{ }^{24}$ var．$\boxed{-14}{ }^{25}$＇Bring＇has forms

§ 362．Forms of the participles：summary．－The student cannot be expected to retain in his memory more than a small portion of the details set forth in the last few paragraphs．We shall endeavour，therefore，to provide a concise statement which will serve as a rule of thumb．

Gemination，in the participles，is a sign of the imperfective tense，whether active or passive；a doubt arises only in the case of the 2 －lit．verbs，where a repetition of the second radical consonant indicates the perf．pass．part． ＇Give＇shows the gemination as $\boxed{\Omega} d d$－in both imperfectives，while the verb－ stem appears as rdi－in both perfectives．

The fem．ending is $-t$ and that of the m．plur．is $-w$ ；but the latter is often not written，and the $-w$ of the f．plur．$-w t$ is never shown．
${ }^{1}$ Sin．B3II；Sh．S． 187.
${ }^{2}$ Peas．B i， 69.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 236.309$ ； Rhind，title 4；fem．， Westc．4， 1 ；6， 16.
${ }^{4}$ Peas．B 1， 25 ； $B H$ ．i．${ }^{25},{ }^{24}$ ．Sim． m．iry，Peas．B, 236.
${ }^{5}$ P．Kah．19，1， m．plur．
－Sin．B 254.
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20457，$i$ ； 20458，c；Siut I， 233. 234；oftenwithout $r, i b$ ． 20017，a4；20024，$h$ ．
${ }^{8}$ Th．T．S．i．3．${ }^{18 ;}$ f．，mrt．f，ib．т． 3 ．
${ }^{9}$ Cairo 20004； 20005 ；often without $r, 20002 ; 20029$.
${ }^{10}$ Siut 1,234 ；Cairo $20012, i$ ；often without $r, 20026, f$ ．
${ }^{11}$ Cairo 20531，$d$ ．
${ }^{12}$ Regularly of mother，Hamm．17， 14；47，14；Cairo 20020，$d$ ；20022，$i$ ； 20167 ；but of father， if $m s n$ introduces mother，ib．20039，$b$ ； 20084；20089，${ }^{2} 13$ ．
${ }^{13}$ Of mother，Cairo 20020，$d$ ；20023，aa ； 20028，$h$ ．
${ }^{14}$ Only of mother， Cairo 20017，a 5； 20025，$h$ ；20026，$c$ ．
${ }^{15}$ Only of mother， Cairo 20025，$h$ ；20032， c．
${ }^{16}$ Cairo 2050I．Sim． ib． 20008.
${ }^{17}$ Cairo 20506，b 3. Sim．hs $n$ ，Siut I，236， parallel to $m r y$ ．
${ }^{18}$ Peas．B I， 189. Sim．m．sing．，ib．B I， 2 I．${ }^{19}$ Leb． 101 ．
${ }^{20}$ Siut $1,339.351$.
${ }^{21}$ P．Kah．29，15；
Hamm．43， 6 ；Urk．
v．72， 6.
22 Nav．112，3； Urk．iv．97， 8.
${ }^{23}$ Siut 1,$233 ; B H$ ． i． 32 ．
${ }^{24}$ Cairo 20089，$d 5$ ．
${ }^{25} \mathrm{~m}$ ．sing．Urk．iv． 7，6；m．plur．Louvre ${ }^{C}$ 1， 6 ；Urk．iv．84， 7. ${ }^{26}$ Urk．iv．686， 2 ； 686，3；690， 17 ．

Urk．iv．664， 17 ； Eb．95， 10.
${ }^{28}$ Urk．iv．795， 11. ＇Int n．f and inyt in close proximity，JEA． 31，7，n．II．

All four participles possessed a special participial inflexion，which in the case of verbs with final weak radical（ $-i$ or $-w$ ）is liable to confusion with this．The ending，whether radical or participial，is frequently omitted，but more often in the active participles than in the passives．Characteristic of the imperf． pass．part．is an ending $-w$ ，yet the three other participles occasionally present forms with the same ending．A final $\| \cap-y$ is similarly characteristic of the perf． pass．part．from zae inf．and anom．stems，but $-y$ appears also rarely and exceptionally in both imperf．act．and imperf．passive ；particularly noticeable is the m ．plur．ending $-y w$ in the imperf．act．part．The participial inflexion，like those of gender and of number，precedes the determinative，if any．

## THE ŚDMTY•FY FORM

§ 363．But for the peculiar mode of its formation，the śdmty．fy form ${ }^{1}$ would
${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii． §§ 965 foll．；GUNN， Stud．ch． 4.
${ }^{2}$ Sin．B75．Sim． Cairo 20538，i．$d$ I； ii． c 23 ；Westc． 10 ， 13；Siut 1，224－6； 3， 1 ；Urk．iv．1083， 17.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20539，i．${ }^{b}$ 21．Sim．Berl．À $I$ ． i．p．258， 19 ．

4 Pt．49．The form in other syntactic posi－ tions，exx．Pt． 622 ； Urk．iv．85，10；Adm． p． 98.
${ }^{5}$ Turin ${ }^{5} 547=$ Rec． iii．123．Sim．Urk． iv．IIIO，II．
${ }^{53}$ Siut 1，314．322， strongly supported by the O．K．instance itwty．fy＇who shall be taken＇，Urk．i．36， 14.
－Siut 3， 1 I ．
7 Urk．iv． 11 Io， 7 ； IIII，2．9． 15.
${ }^{8}$ Berlin，AII．i．p． 258，18；Urk．iv． 6iI， 17 ．

[^152]have to be regarded as a future active participle．Like the true participles，it is an adjective，and may be used either as an epithet or as a noun；in the latter case，it may be qualified by $n b$＇every＇，＇any＇．It is best translated as a relative clause in which the subject is identical with the antecedent（a＇who＇－clause）．The meaning is always future and，except in one isolated case，always active．
 to him，lit．be on his water．${ }^{2}$

1－巴 remember my good name．${ }^{3}$

 In one single M．E．context the meaning is passive：
$つ$ 細
Obs．For the use of the negative verb $t m$ to negate the $s d m t y \cdot f y$ form see $\S 397,2$.
§ 364．Structure and forms from the mutable verbs．－The sdmty fy form appears to have as its base a noun ending in－ty and expressing an activity that may be expected of someone or something．Such nouns are frequently


 toin ndty＇helper＇．s One or two rare examples may be quoted where such a noun seems to take a direct object as a participle would do．
 $n t$（read $n$ ）tht（ $y$ ？）st being profitable to him who shall obey it and harmful to him who shall disobey it．${ }^{\circ}$

To some such noun was added a suffix-pronoun ${ }^{0}$ of the 3 rd person, often accompanied by the $-y$ which we noted after duals and nouns affecting the appearance of duals ( $\S \S 75,2 ; 76$ ). This pronoun has probably genitival function, sdmty.fy thus meaning ' one (of whom is expected, $-t y$ ) hearing of him'.

Obs. One theory assumed appositional function, yielding 'a he-hearer'. The objection is that elsewhere the suffix-pronouns always had original genitival function.
The endings exhibit the following writings, apart from the familiar variations of the suffix-pronouns:-

f. sing. $\stackrel{\sim}{\|}_{N}, \Delta \|_{N}$ or $\Delta \|-t y \cdot s y$. Rare and perhaps faulty, $\Delta \|_{0}-t(y) \cdot s t .^{12}$

When the formative $-t y$ is written simply $-t$, it occasionally precedes the determinative of the verb-stem, instead of following it, as is more usual,
 will pass by ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{6}$ Rare examples occur with $t$ in both positions, ex. ${ }_{0}{ }_{0} A^{*}{ }^{*}$ in $\operatorname{sws} t(y) \cdot f y .{ }^{7}$

From the mutable verbs the following forms are found:
zae gem. Shows the gemination; exx. 皿唯, m3st(y).sn'who shall see'; ${ }^{8}=11 / 11$ wnnty $s y$ ' which shall be'. ${ }^{9}$
 $\pm 0 \|_{11}^{-1} \xi d t(y) \cdot s n$ ' who shall recite '. ${ }^{11}$ Occasionally the weak radical $-w$ appears
 $k d w t(y) \cdot s n$ 'who shall sail down'. ${ }^{13}$ 'Make' shows forms without $r$, ex. $a_{\infty}^{a}$ $\operatorname{irt}(y) \cdot f y$ ' who shall make'. ${ }^{14}$
$4^{a e}$ inf. The form $\operatorname{lntt}(y) \cdot s n$ 'who shall sail up' ${ }^{15}$ shows no feature of special interest.


## LESSON XXVA

## USES OF THE PARTICIPLES AND OF THE SDMTY•FY FORM

§ 365. Distinction of the tenses.-Since, in certain circumstances, both the perfective and the imperfective participles in Egyptian may refer to verbal actions occurring in the past or the present or the future, it seems clear that the distinction between them was not fundamentally one of time-position. As already stated in $\$ \$ 295.355$, a careful scrutiny shows that the imperfectives, i. e. the participles showing gemination in the mutable verbs, originally conveyed a notion of continuity or repetition, while the perfectives expressed the verbal action quite simply and without implication either of such a notion or of its reverse.
${ }^{1}$ Adm. p. 106. Similar and equally instructive, Peas. R $130-8$ ( $=$ B $_{1}, 84-6$ ).
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 26, 1. Sim. ib. 42, 15 (hiyt); Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1,44}\left(\mathrm{i} w^{2}\right)$; Louvre C12, 13 (ir).
${ }^{3}$ Adm. 9, 5. Sim. Sh. S. 71 (in); Sin. B80 (wnt); ${ }^{156}{ }^{229}$ ${ }_{\left(Y_{\xi}\right)}$; Th. T.S. ii. 11 (nst) ; Sinai 90, 11 (ii).
${ }^{4}$ Sin. B 245. Sim. ib. R 8 (ir); BH. i. 25, 26, qu. § 354 (prt).

The fundamental absence of time-distinction in the participles is drastically shown in an example already quoted for a different purpose:
 (become) one to whom command is given, lit. given to him command. ${ }^{1}$

Here the writer is contrasting a past condition of things with the present condition. Nevertheless he uses the imperfective participle in each case, preferring to stress the habitual character of the action rather than to bring out the seemingly so vital contrast between past and present. The recognition of that contrast he left to the reader's intelligence.

Every language needs, however, to be able to distinguish between past, present, and future action. It is not difficult to see how the original meanings attributed above to the Egyptian participles might, in practice, amount to timedistinctions. What we call 'present' time is not, as a rule, a mere point of time, namely the precise moment of speaking, but a more or less indefinite span lying partly behind, and partly in front of that moment. An action belonging to the present is not unnaturally regarded as continued over the said span, and for this reason the Egyptian imperfective participle was peculiarly adapted to convey present time, the more so, since an action which one 'does' is more often than not of repeated, frequent, or habitual occurrence. When, on the contrary, an action in the past is alluded to, its extension in time is apt to dwindle to a mere point ; the stretch of hours or days over which it was continued is forgotten, all that is retained being the mere happening. Hence the Egyptian perfective participle becomes, like the aorist in Greek, the natural instrument for reference to past time. The future active participle, as we have seen, was often expressed by the sdmty.fy form, at the base of which appears to lie a noun conveying a habitual and predictable activity ( $\$ 364$ ). Thus far, therefore, we have the following scheme for the Egyptian active participles:-

Past time. $\quad r d i$ ' who gave', perfective active participle.
Present time. - $d d$ ' who gives', imperfective active participle.
Future time. ©in in rdity•fy 'who will give', sdemty.fy form.
Before we proceed to show how this scheme is complicated by apparently contradictory facts, testimony to its approximate truth must be given.
I. The Egyptian perfective active part. in reference to past actions.
 borne a male (child). ${ }^{2}$ 'Has borne', English present perfect tense.

Am loan is one who (now) causes it to go forth. ${ }^{3}$ 'Got', English past tense.
 company. ${ }^{4}$ 'Had come', English past perfect.

2．Imperfective active participle in reference to present actions．
 $b_{s} \cdot f$ rnb $r-r n b-r-d r$ as for him who passes（Engl．present tense）the years as a praised one，his soul shall live beside the lord of the universe．${ }^{1}$
 hate death．${ }^{2}$

3．Sd $d m t y \cdot f y$ form in reference to future actions．
 who shall strengthen this boundary．${ }^{3}$

Other examples have been quoted in $\S 363$ ．
§ 366．Repeated or continued action in the past．－To express these notions use is made of the imperf．act．participle，not the perf．act．part．usual in reference to past events（ $\$ 365,1$ ）．
 the messenger who used to go north，or he who used to go southward to the Residence，tarried on my account．${ }^{4}$

Under this head often fall the characterizing epithets to be described in the next section．
§ 367．The active participles in laudatory epithets．－I．Laudatory epithets are so common in Egyptian inscriptions that it is worth while to devote an entire section to them．The meritorious actions or qualities attributed to the bearers of such epithets are，as a rule，habitual characteristics involving repetition or continuity．For this reason the imperf．act．part．is very often employed． But almost equally often we find the perf．act．part．，and at first sight this alternation seems inexplicable．The cause is，however，a simple one．It is always open to a speaker to describe the same actual fact in different ways．He may be very explicit，and lay stress on the precise way in which an event occurs； or else he may state the fact merely as such，and leave it to his audience to fill in the details．When the imperf．act．part．is used，the former mode of expression is that adopted，and the full English translation would be＇he who is （or was）wont to do＇something；the perf．act．part．substitutes＇who does（or did）＇something，stating the fact，but not the custom．

The following examples display pairs of similar or identical epithets，where sometimes the imperfective，and sometimes the perfective，participle is employed．

口马解远 $r d i \operatorname{prs} 2 h t p$ who causes（perf．act．part．）two men to go forth contented．${ }^{5}$
 causes（imperf．act．part．）two men to go forth（from the court of justice）contented with the utterance of his mouth．${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. $515,{ }^{14 .}$
Sim. ib. 456, $12 ; 466$,
$2 ; 909,5$.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $960,3$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 953,2 ;
984,$11 ; 1018,8$.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 453, 12.
${ }^{5}$ Exx. Cairo 20539, i. $65 d d ; 6$ ir: 7 gm ; $8 d d, g m, r d i$. Sim. Urk. iv. 967, 9 rdi, 10 dd ; 1184, 12 ir , 13 dd.
${ }^{6}$ Siut 1, 215; 2, 7; Cairo 20026, 5; Louvre C 3, 3; 14, 2 ; Hamm. 114, 3.
${ }^{7}$ Hamm. 113,15 ; Cairo 20012, 3; Siut 2,9.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 587, 2 ; 967, 7; 970, 16; 1051, 15; 1055, 1 ; 1184, 12 . 14. But irr, ib. 960, 3 ; 1050, 9.
${ }^{9} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{5}{ }^{2}=\mathrm{R} 76$. Sim. Cairo 20001, 1 ; Urk.iv. 809, I. Other like epithets, Cairo 20499, 7; Bersh. ii. 13, 15 ; Urk. iv. 427 , 12; 456, 11 .
anden ir sht $n$ Hr.f who does (perf. act. part.) good to his Horus (i.e. the king). ${ }^{1}$
an mirr int $n n b \cdot f$ who habitually does (imperf. act. part.) good to his lord. ${ }^{2}$
$\square \wedge \$$ pr hsw going forth (perf. act. part.) praised. ${ }^{3}$
$\square \Omega \$ p r r$ hsw mrw always going forth (imperf. act. part.) praised and loved. ${ }^{4}$

In many such cases the choice between the perf. and imperf. part. has clearly nothing whatever to do with the time-standpoint, one and the same text employing first the imperfective and then the perfective. ${ }^{6}$ There is a doubt whether the Egyptian funerary stelae mean to speak of their possessors as still living or as dead; if the former, English must translate the participles with the present tense (' who does', or 'habitually does'), if the latter, with a past tense (' who did', or 'who used to do'), but the alternative is open to us to employ the participle 'doing' and so, like the Egyptians themselves, to avoid any reference to time-position.
2. It remains to be noted that in the case of particular verbs a preference is naturally given either, on the one hand, to the perfective participle or, on the other, to the imperfective. With, for example, iri in the meaning 'do', 'make' the imperf. act. part. or $_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{irr}^{6}$ is, in the Middle Kingdom, rather commoner than the perf. act. part. \& ir; ${ }^{7}$ the latter, on the contrary, is more frequent in Dyn. XVIII. ${ }^{8}$ The preference in this case seems to be a mere matter of habit or fashion. In both periods, however, the perf. part. ir is invariably used when the meaning is 'achieving', 'accomplishing'.
 achieving with his strong arm. ${ }^{9}$

It is probable that the perf. part. is used in this case because the imperf. irr, expressing a prolonged action, would not have conveyed the vigour and immediacy of the verbal notion as here intended. Similarly, since 'finding' is essentially a sudden act, the Egyptian gmi shows a preference for the perf. part., even though it is implied that the finding in question was a habit of the person to whom it is attributed.
 is a lack, lit. lacked in respect of it. ${ }^{10}$ Note the curious combination of perf. act. part. $g m$ with the imperf. pass. $g_{33}$.

It seems not impossible, similarly, that the imperf. part. m $_{3}{ }^{11}$ is preferred when the sense is 'seeing', and the perf. part. $\mathrm{ms}^{12}$ when the act of 'looking' is intended; and a like distinction may sometimes be intended


Obs. Similarly $r \boldsymbol{h}$ ' know' affects the perf. tense, ${ }^{1}$ as opposed to the imperf. of the same verb in the sense 'learn'; see above § 320 , below $\$ \S 389,3 ; 414$, 4. The distinction of perf. and imperf. is, however, not visible in the active participles of this immutable verb.
§ 368. The active participles in reference to future events.-While the sdimty.fy form provides the most precise method of referring to future events, a participle may attain approximately the same result.
 $t_{3}$ as for him who knows this book, . . . . . he shall walk upon earth. ${ }^{2}$

Here $r h$ is probably perfective ( $\$ 367$, Obs.). Evidently no need was felt of making the tenses agree, and no instance of $r h t y \cdot f y$ seems forthcoming.

Elsewhere, however, we find the imperf. part., even occasionally when a single event, neither continuous nor repeated, is in question.

Ex. Nons. (will) bring it to thee. ${ }^{3}$

Perhaps the imperfective was felt in such cases to be appropriate through a vague consciousness that the future is a kind of projection forwards of the present. Whatever the reason, the imperf. is not seldom used in reference to future events. This use is naturally most frequent when the event in question is to be repeated or is a customary one; in English we may best translate with the present, or the present continuous, tense.

Exx. Thou shalt cause provisions to be given to him, without letting him know
 $h t n b t n f r(t)$ wrbt prrt $h r w(3) d h w n n b-r-d r$ may he give invocation-offerings of bread and beer, oxen and fowl, and all things good and pure which go (i.e. shall from time to time go) up upon the altars of the lord of the universe. ${ }^{5}$

In the first of these examples another MS. has the perf. part. $\sim r d i ;{ }^{6}$ in the common type of formula illustrated in the second example the Middle Kingdom stelae have usually the perf. part. $\square_{\Lambda}^{\wedge}$ prt. ${ }^{7}$ Two explanations are possible. Either the perfective participles here express the notions of 'giving' and 'going up' bereft of all implications alike of time and of repetition, or else they are chosen as the participles ordinarily used in reference to the past. The actions in question are, in fact, past relatively to the preceding verb, and could be translated in English by the present perfect ('without letting him know that thou hast given', 'all good things which have gone up'). Latin would express both the futurity of the action and its nature as past relatively to another action by using the future perfect, omnia quae ascenderint. The second of the two explanations seems the more probable.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. above $\mathbf{§}^{272}$.

2 Budge, p. I52,
10. Sim. ib. p. 130 , 10; 141, 3 .

3 Westc. 9, 6.

4 Peas. R I 30; see
too $\$ 373$.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 48, 8-9. Sim. ib. 52, 15; 74, 10.

- Peas. B I, 84.

7 Cairo 20012. 20024. 20534; Brit. Mus. 573. 575. 805.
§ 369. Tense-distinction in the passive participles.-Generally speaking, the same standpoints which hold for the active participles, hold also for the passive ; the imperfective expresses repetition or continuity, the perfective is free from these implications.
I. The perf. pass. part. in reference to past occurrences.
 to this thy servant. ${ }^{1}$ Note the Engl. pres. perfect.
 of officials to whom things were brought (Engl. past tense, lit. who were brought-to-them) on this day. ${ }^{2}$

Sी M Mo min mi gmyt $m$ ss according to what had been found (Engl. past perfect) in writing. ${ }^{3}$
2. The imperf. pass. part. in reference to continued or repeated (habitual) actions in the past.

Exx. She went round the room, $i m$ but could not find the place where it was being done. ${ }^{4}$ For the construction with st see § 377, 2.
 mšre $n t p$-rwy which had been passed by (lit. come and gone on its two sides) by the expeditions of former times. ${ }^{5}$

In this second example only one of the parallel participles ( $h_{33 t}$ ) shows the gemination of the imperfective; in the other ( $p r t$ ) it is omitted, perhaps by mistake, but perhaps rather because the gemination of hast sufficed for both verbs.
3. The perf. pass. part. in reference to present states. This use is common in epithets; for the corresponding use of perf. act. part. see § 367 . An additional reason why this employment should be common in the passive voice is that an act which 'has been' done 'is done', and remains done.

 praised of those who are praised. ${ }^{7}$
 ing of the thief which is done by thee. ${ }^{8}$

If the person thus qualified is regarded as dead, or if the context employs past tenses, such epithets are translated in English as pasts, ex. 'my pen made me " $m$ rbhy one who was known, i.e. celebrated'. 9 See above § 367 .
4. The imperf. pass. part. of actions continued or repeated in the present.

Exx. She heard the sound of singing and jubilation $n n s w$ and of all things which are done (or are wont to be done) for a king. ${ }^{10}$
 come in under his will, i. e. one by whose authority men go out and come in. ${ }^{1}$ For the construction see $\S 376$.

Non mrrw nb.f one who is loved (habitually) of his lord. ${ }^{2}$ Mry might have been used, see under (3), but then no stress would have been laid on the continuous nature of the king's affection.
5. The perf. pass. part. in reference to future events.
 $n \underline{h} r d w$ she shall give (it) to anyone she likes (lit. any who is or shall be desired of her) of her children. ${ }^{3}$

It is the context which here yields the future meaning.
Obs. Probably it is from such uses that the prospective meaning of the perfective relative form is derived. See below $\S \S 387,2 ; 389,2$.
6. The imperf. pass. part. in respect of continued or repeated events to occur in the future.

Ex. $\operatorname{Son}^{\circ}$ seasonal feast which is (i.e. is henceforth to be) made in this temple. ${ }^{5}$
§ 370. Tense-distinction in the participles: summary.-This subject is of so much importance that many pages have been devoted to its discussion and illustration. As the net result, the beginner has mainly to remember that the imperfective participle implies repetition or continuity, while the perfective has no such implications; and, as the inevitable outcome of this position, that the perfective participle becomes the natural medium for alluding to events in the past, while the imperfective is more adapted to the expression of events in the present or future. Either of the last statements, however, is liable to exception if repetition or continuity is deliberately kept in or out of view; that is to say, the imperfective participles may be used of the past if continued or repeated past action is envisaged, or the perfective participles may be used of present or future action if it is desired to refer to this quite simply as merely occurring. The sdemty fy form has, on the contrary, no other function than that of a future active participle.

## § 371. Use of the participles to express obligation or the like.-

 Egyptian lacking equivalents for such notions as 'ought', 'have to', these may be implicit in the meaning of simple participles, whether active or passive. behold, thou art . . . a district superintendent who has to punish robbery. ${ }^{6}$
 deserves anger, lit. (that) angered upon it. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20359, 4-5. Sim. Urk. iv. 269, 8 (hr(w);546,8(hrrw); 972, 14 (nhhw).
${ }^{2}$ Siut 1, 214. The same phrase also $p$. 296, n. 4 .
${ }^{8}$ P. Kah. 12, 10. Sim. Siut 1, 272; Sinai 139, 7 (ly-i), qu. 5382 .
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. $1111,12$. Sim. Pt. 153 (ddti).

[^153][^154]§ 372. The participles as predicate.-Two constructions, in each of which the predicate is a participle, have now to be considered. The more frequent of the two, which will be called the participial statement (A), follows the model of the sentence with nominal predicate (§ 125 ); the subject precedes, and is either an independent pronoun or a noun introduced by the particle in. In the other construction (B), the participle comes first and is followed either by a noun or by a dependent pronoun as subject; here, accordingly, the model is that of the sentence with adjectival predicate ( $\$ 37$ ).

[^155]${ }^{2}$ See Verbum ii. § 753 .
${ }^{3}$ But see Gunn, Stud. p. 59, under 6.

[^156][^157]§ 373. A. The participial statement. ${ }^{1}$-This construction was explained in some detail above $\S 227,3$. The scheme is

$\left\{\begin{array}{c}i n+\text { noun } \\ \text { or independent pron. }\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { perf. act. part. for past time } \\ \text { or imperf. }, ~ „, ~ p r e s e n t ~ t i m e ~\end{array}\right\}$
The construction corresponds in meaning to English 'it is he who hears', or to French c'est lui qui entend. For a reason that will be explained in § 391 some degree of emphasis rests on the subject, though this emphasis is not always calculated to make the grammatical subject into the logical predicate; see above §227, 3. Note that the participle, as here used, is invariable in number and gender, and hence must be literally translated 'the-one-who-hears (heard)', not 'he (she)-who-hears (heard)'. In very ancient times the participle seems to have taken the number and gender of the subject. ${ }^{2}$ No certain examples of this construction with a passive participle are known. ${ }^{3}$

1. With perf. act. part. for English past time.

Exx. If $h_{x}^{\prime}$ in hm.f $r d i$ ir $r t(w) \cdot f$ it was His Majesty who caused it to be made. ${ }^{4}$ Lit. indeed, His Majesty was the-one-who-caused, etc.
" my daughter to be taken. ${ }^{6}$ Lit. thou wast the-one-who-caused, etc.

응콩im inksed drt.f it was I who cut off its (the elephant's) trunk. ${ }^{6}$ Obs. For rare examples after the obscure archaistic pronoun sw' he' see Add.
2. With imperf. act. part. for English present time.

Exx. 1110 mucus, and two give blood. ${ }^{7}$ Lit. indeed, two are the-ones-which-give, etc.

4-980 $4 \|$ in ntr $i r r i k r$ it is god who makes prosperity. ${ }^{8}$
The liver has four vessels; ${ }^{-1}$ give it water. ${ }^{9}$

For English future time the sdmty.fy form is very rarely used. ${ }^{10}$ The corresponding idiom for the future is $n t f s d m \cdot f$ or $i n+$ noun $+s d m \cdot f$, as we saw in § 227, 2 ; see also §450, 5, e.

The above rules as to the tenses are liable to the following exceptions:
(a) For past repeated action the imperf. act. part. may be employed; see above § 366 .

Ex. $=-\infty$ ne $n t f d d n$ st it is he who used to give it to him. ${ }^{1}$
(b) When the imperf. part. is used for present time, as in the examples quoted above under (2), the sentence normally expresses a statement of custom, a generalization or the like. It may happen, however, that it is important to avoid suggesting that the act described occurs more than once ; in this rare case the perf. part. is used.
 the remainder is ten. ${ }^{2}$
(c) Occasionally the imperf. act. part. refers to a future event; two cases have been quoted above $\S 368$, one in which there is no implication of repetition or continuity, ${ }^{3}$ the other of the commoner type where custom is clearly implied. ${ }^{4}$
§ 374. B. The participle as adjectival predicate.-In this construction the participle comes first, according to rule (§ 137 ), and the following subject, if pronominal, is a dependent pronoun.
 rejoicing) thereat more than any petitioner. ${ }^{5}$
$19 R 7=-1 \Delta$ shdw sw tizy $r$ itn he is one who illuminates the two lands more than the sun. ${ }^{6}$

Sometimes the participle thus used is accompanied by the exclamatory ending ${ }^{\circ \prime \prime} \cdot w y$ (§ 49).
 he who relates what he has experienced (lit. tasted)! ${ }^{7}$
 amidst thy meads! ${ }^{8}$

ASAM $i 2 \cdot w y$ occurs also alone as an exclamation 'welcome!', and is probably to be distinguished from a similar use of the old perfective in ( $\$ 313$ ).

The participle employed in this construction is almost invariably the perfective active. No imperfective examples occur, and passive ones only when these are more adjectival than truly participial in meaning. [See, however, Add.]
 hidden) than the fashion of the netherworld. ${ }^{10}$

The examples show how often this construction is used in comparisons.
Note that when the subject is a noun or the dep. pron. 3rd sing. f. in its older writing $\upharpoonright s(y){ }^{11}$ the participial predicate is indistinguishable from the s $\dot{d} d m \cdot f$ form.
${ }^{1}$ Peas. B $1,85-6$.
${ }^{2}$ Rhind 28. Pr similarly in another construction $A Z .57$, 6* qu. § 503 , 4 .
${ }^{3}$ Westc. 9, 6.
${ }^{4}$ Peas. Ri30. Sim. Urk. iv. Inir-6, pas$\operatorname{sim}$ (cf. Exerc. XXX, iii).
${ }^{5}$ Pt. 270; also with hr, Sin. 1366 ; Mar. Abyd. ii. 30, 35; Urk. iv. 162, 5. Sim. with other verbs, Pt. 314 (irw); $410(e n / z)$.
${ }_{6}$ Cairo 20538, ii. $c$ I2. Sim. with object, Hamm. 114, 7 (ir sw ddt); Ann. 37, Pl. 2, 11 (rhbsw kst $n b t$ ).

- Cairo 20538, ii. $c$ 12. Sim. with object, Hamm. $\mathrm{II}_{4}, 7$ (ir sw ddt).
7 Sh.S. ${ }^{124}$. Sim. with nom. subject, Sin. B70; Pt. 557 ; (r〕.wy); P. Kah. 2, 1 (hr.wy); Peas. $\mathrm{BI}_{1,117 \text { (nh.wy); }}$ LEDR. 25, 17 (iy.wy).
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 990; sim. Amarm i. 14 Other verbs, Cem. of Abyd. ii. p. 117 (mn.wy $t w)$; Brit. Mus. 55I, 3 (hr.avy tw).
- Pt. 347; Urk.iv. 117, 5; 990, 9 .

[^158]${ }^{1}$ In constructions not exemplified be－ low：§ 314，Hirt．24； Urk．iv．879，4；882， 12；§316，Ebb．25， 6 $=52,4$ ；§ 317 ，U U $k$ ． iv． 1160,7 ；§ $3^{223}, E b$ ． 107，7；§324，Erk． iv． 1163,$3 ; \S 3^{28}, 2$ ， Sethe，Sprüche，44＊， 8 （SI）；see too § 482 ， 2．Further exc．，$A Z$ ． 71， 52
${ }^{2}$ Pt． 25.
s $A Z .57,10^{*}$ ．
${ }^{4}$ Champ．ND．ii． 424.
${ }^{5}$ Hearst 6，11，with superfluous plural strokes，see $E b .1$ i，in． Sim．Ebb．Wo，10，the sy corrected out of thnn．ti res．

As a rule，the construction participle＋subject is found in main clauses． A peculiar use occurs，however，with the pronoun 3 rd sing．f． $\mathbb{N I}_{1} s y$ ，the participle + sy being substituted for the corresponding form of the old perfective in a number of cases where the latter is habitually used as a virtual adverb clause．${ }^{1}$ The reason for this substitution，which is confined to the 3 rd sing．f．，is quite obscure．

Exx．－©
4 通 know the tuft is flourishing，black and（fully）numbered．${ }^{3}$ Later MSS．ip．$t i$ ．

解 more perfectly beautiful than any temple．${ }^{4}$ One expects $n f r \cdot t i, \S 315$ ．


## VOCABULARY

8 var．每 wo d be green，fresh； caus．sw id make green．
合 $\stackrel{\Delta}{\sim}$ wit（old witt）beget．

ๆ俞：nary（old ntri）be divine．
$\rho \infty$ shr overlay．
 st hon make dazzling．
TA $\Omega \Delta b w$ cessation．
A 是，$i(3) m w$ splendour，brilliance．
解
A $][J$ var．IJ ind wall．
Aa pl ${ }_{11}$ ir form，nature．
40 谓 ir duty．

）$m \subset n d t$（written rdt ）the morning－ bark of the sun－god．
18 R evening－bark of the sun－god．
$\square_{0}$ var．$\square_{\square} r$－pt（from iry－prt） hereditary prince．
$8=0$ M god；inundation，high Nile．
92 管，否，hd dwt brightness．
$\int_{0}^{\infty} s t-i b$ pleasure，affection．
 sonified as deity；a power．
肌路 ssmt horse．
＠sdzwty（？）treasurer．
$\ell=$ 月因 šndyt apron，skirt．
$\underset{\square}{\text { dit }} \odot s_{s p}$（old $s s p$ ）light．

## EXERCISE XXV

（a）Reading lesson．Part of hymn to Rēr from the door－jamb of the tomb of the general，aftervards king，Haremhab；end of Dyn．XVIII．${ }^{1}$

| ㄱำ五雨思こ | dd mdw in $r-p t t H r-m-h b, m s r-h r w$, $d w s \cdot f \operatorname{Rr} m w b n \cdot f$, d $d \cdot f$ ： |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  | $i(3) w n \cdot k$ hpr ${ }^{1 a} r n b$ ， mss sw tnzu dwisyt， |
| 口 A momoxpls | pr m hit mwet．f，$n$ n $36 w .^{2}$ |
|  | ize $n \cdot k$ itrty ${ }^{3} m k s w$ ， |
|  | di $\cdot 5 n n \cdot k i(3) w n w b n \cdot k$ ， |
|  | sthn $n \cdot n \cdot k^{4}$ ts $m$ ismw ${ }^{\text {a }}$ hrw $\cdot k$ ， |
|  | $n t r y$ ti m Shm imy pt， |
| ¢込 | $n t r m n k$, |
| $7 \times$ ¢0\％ | $n s w(n) h$ ， |
| $\bigcirc$ | $n 6$ ssp， |
|  |  |
|  | hry nst．f $m$ msktt，${ }^{5}$ |
|  |  |
|  | hwon ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ntry izorw（n）hh， |
| － | wett ${ }^{\text {T }}$ su |
| 帓是気 | $m s s w d s \cdot f$. |
|  |  |
|  | hnve $n \cdot k$ psdt $n$ dust， |
|  | $d w e \cdot s n t w n$ irw $k$ nfr． |

[^159]' Words recited (lit. the saying of words) by the prince Haremhab, justified, when he adores Rēr at his rising; he says:-Praise to thee, who comest into existence every day, who givest birth to thyself every morning, who comest forth from the womb of thy mother without cessation. The two halves (of Egypt) come to thee doing obeisance (lit. in bowing), they give thee praise at thy rising, thou hast made dazzling the land through the splendour of thy body, being divine as the Power which is in heaven, the beneficent god, the king of eternity, the lord of light, the prince of brightness, who is on his seat in the Bark of the Dusk, great in (his) appearances in the Bark of the Dawn, divine stripling, heir of eternity, who begot his (own) self and bare his own self. The Great Ennead adores thee, the Little Ennead makes jubilation to thee; they adore thee in thy beautiful forms.'
(b) Translate into English:

(2) $\bigodot_{1}^{\infty}$



(4)




(8) 10 ค 044 通
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(1) He maketh green the earth more than a high (r3) Nile. (2) He did this with loving heart for his father Chnum. (3) It is not I who say it, it is Horus who says it. (4) All that is (use wnn) in my house, I have given it to thee. (5) May there be said to thee ' Welcome, welcome' in this thy house of the living! (6) Tribute which was brought to His Majesty in this year: 1056 horses, 183 chariots wrought ( $\$ 317$ ) in gold. (7) Let (imi) him who has done it stand up. (8) My statue was overlaid with gold, its apron with fine gold. It was His Majesty who caused it to be made. (9) Who will bring me these books ?

## LESSON XXVI

SYNTAX OF THE PARTICIPLES AND OF THE SDDMTY•FY FORM
§ 375. Expression of the object and dative.-The active participles and the $\dot{s} \underline{d m t y} \cdot f y$ form may be followed, like the $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form, by such adjuncts as a direct object or a dative.
 sent him. ${ }^{1}$

An
=-mon ntf dd n.fst it is he who used to give it to him.*
$\Omega \equiv$ 용 give me this white bread. ${ }^{6}$

These examples show that the dependent pronouns are used when the object is pronominal, and that the same rules of word-order apply as in any main clause ( $\$ \$ 27.66$ ).

Obs. If a pronominal object or dative follows the participle, and this is also qualified by the adjective $n b$ 'every',' all', that adjective is usually placed after the entire phrase; if, however, both object and dative occur together, $n b$ may precede them. See the 2nd and 3rd examples in § 377, 2.
§ 376. Extended use of the passive participles.-The passive is, by definition, a name given to verb-forms which treat the direct semantic object (i.e. the grammatical object of the active voice) ${ }^{5 a}$ as a grammatical subject or nominative. It follows that any passive participle ought to be translatable, like any active participle, as a 'who'-clause; and such is, of course, very frequently
 as in English a person may be said, not only to be 'sent', but also to be 'sent to', so Egyptian may stretch the meaning of the passive participles in such a way that the antecedent (the word, implied or expressed, with which they agree) is no longer identical with the direct semantic object but with an indirect one, i. e. the case after some preposition.
 to them. ${ }^{6}$

名 8 sdt $h r \cdot s$ a thing to be angry at, lit. (a thing) angered upon it. ${ }^{7}$
Two points have to be noticed. First, this extended meaning of the passive enables passive participles to be formed from intransitive verbs like $3 d$ ' be angry', no less than from transitives like smi 'report'. Second, Egyptian, unlike English, regularly employs a resumptive pronoun ( $n \cdot \mathbf{s n}, \underline{h} \cdot \mathbf{s}, \S$ I46).
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20359. Sim. Hamm. 47, 10-I; Cairo 20538, ii. c 12 ; Rifeh 4, 57.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 269. Sim. ib. $546,8$.
${ }^{3}$ See Rev.ég., n. s. ii. 45. See, however, Add.

4 Urk.iv. 415. Sim. ib. 46, 15 ; P. Kah. 35, 28; Pt. 557 ; Sin. B 309 ; Cairo 20498, a 5-6; Adm. p. Io6, qu. § 357 .
${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. 13, I. Sim.ib.11, 24;Sh.S. 141-2; Leb. 100 ; Urk. iv. 795, 9; Budge, p. 23I, 4; 268, 6.
${ }^{6}$ M. и. K. 3, 5-6.
${ }^{7}$ P. Kah. 29, 15.

[^160]Had English employed a resumptive pronoun, nothing could have prevented a still further extension of this construction, so that the pronoun would refer, not merely to indirect semantic objects, but even to persons and things still more remotely involved; from 'a person confided in him' (instead of 'a person confided in'), it would have been no far cry to 'a person confided in his judgement', i.e. a person in whose judgement one confides. Egyptian, since it regularly employs a resumptive pronoun, has been able to take this step.

Exx. and goes, lit. (one who is) gone up and come down habitually under his will. ${ }^{1}$
 one habitually rejoiced at all that he has done. ${ }^{2}$ For irt.nff see § 382 .

Nothing is more characteristic of Egyptian than such complex constructions with the passive participle. The student will find them easy enough to cope with if he will make a practice of looking for the resumptive pronoun first of all, and then translating the phrase in which it occurs in such a way that the resumptive pronoun appears as an English relative. For example, $\underline{h} r$ shr•f 'under his will' is transformed into 'under whose will'. Next, the Egyptian passive participle must be turned into an English active verb; and since the Egyptian has not indicated the doer, the English must insert 'one' or 'people' as a quite indefinite subject; prrw h3zw is therefore rendered 'one goes and comes'. Thus is obtained the complete rendering 'under whose will one goes and comes', or more idiomatically, 'by whose will one comes and goes'.
§ 377. The retained object after the passive participles. ${ }^{3}$-I. English, because it uses the phrases 'to find fault with', 'to think much of', can also say 'he was found fault with', 'she was thought much of'. This retention of the direct objects is exceedingly common with the Egyptian passive participles.
 repeatedly given, lit. (one) given to him the gold of favour. ${ }^{4}$

+ = whose presence this was done, lit. done this in their presence. ${ }^{5}$

 whose hair myrrh, and upon whose shoulders fresh incense has been placed. ${ }^{6}$
 was given (lit. given a charge therein) to this thy servant. ${ }^{7}$

Note that the resumptive pronoun is regularly employed; in the last instance, however, im 'therein' serves as a substitute for $m+$ suffix. ${ }^{8}$ Observe further that in all the above examples, unlike those quoted in $\S 376$, the passive voice can be kept in translation, the retained direct objects becoming its subject.
 to him (her)', by which secondary personal names are introduced.

In introducing such names the $\delta d \underline{d} \cdot f$ form $d d \cdot t w$ is occasionally used in place of the passive participle. ${ }^{2}$
2. When the retained object is a personal pronoun, the dependent pronoun is used, as after the active participles ( $\$ 375$ ) and after the $s d m \cdot f$ and $s \underline{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms.
 for, lit. (that) sent me for it. ${ }^{3}$
 over whom it (this spell) is read every day. ${ }^{4}$ Lit. every read-it-over-him.
 respect of everyone to whom they have to be assigned. ${ }^{5}$ Lit. every assigned-it-to-him.

A weakening of usage is, however, occasionally found in the case of the 2nd and 3 rd pers. sing., suffixes being employed instead of the dependent pronouns.

Exx. 1 品 $m b w p n i n y \cdot k i m$ in this place from which thou wast brought, lit. brought thou thence. ${ }^{6}$
 born. ${ }^{7}$

One may perhaps compare the substitution of these same suffixes for dependent pronouns after $n t t$; see above $\$ 223$, end.

Obs. Compare further the use of the suffixes as subject of the passive sdm.f form, below § 421 .
§ 378. Omission of the resumptive pronoun.-The replacement of $m+$ suffix by the adverb $i m$ was noted in $\S 377$, I. So too after the relative forms, below $\S 385$.

The name of a reigning Pharaoh is often accompanied by the phrase $\Delta f d i e n h$, f. $\Delta \Delta f$ dit enh. This must be considered as a shortening of $r d y n \cdot f$ enh ' to whom life is given' or perhaps rather of $r d y$ enb.f'given that he live'. English can similarly shorten to 'given life' its equivalent of the Egyptian phrase.

The present opportunity must be taken to allude to the use of $\Delta f$, f. $\Delta \circ f$, as well as the old perfectives $f$ enk $(w), f f$ enb.ti ( 2 nd m . sing.), etc. ( $\$ 313$ ) as object after the verb iri 'make'. This use is frequent at the conclusion of dedicatory inscriptions in the temples.


$\infty \Delta f i r \cdot f d i\left(n h\right.$, may he make 'given-life'. ${ }^{10}$
${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 11, 18, Sim. Cairo 20213, 6. 9; Vienna 57 ; Louvre ${ }^{\text {C }} 72$; fem., $P$. Kah. 12, 8.
${ }^{2}$ Ex. Urk. iv. 32, 12 (dd.tw n.f); Cairo $20141, a_{2} 2$ (izw dldtw r.f).
${ }^{3}$ Leyd. V88, $10-1$. Sim. Westc. 12,3 , qu. $\S$ 369, 2 ; Pt. 282. 623; Cat. d. Mon. i. p. 89, no. $76 ;$ Urk. iv. 1108 , 12; IIO9, 7.
4 Urk. v. 96.

- Urk. iv. 1116.
${ }^{7}$ Lac. TR. ${ }^{21}$, $9-$ Sim. $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers., $\mathscr{A} Z$. 47, 122.

[^161]§ 379. The semantic subject after the passive participles.-1. There are several ways in which the semantic subject, i.e. the performer of the action of the verb as actively conceived, can be expressed after the passive participles. It is sometimes expressed, as after other passives and after the infinitive, in the form of an agent, i. e. with the help of the preposition in (\$ 39, end).

${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~Eb} . \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I} 3 . \operatorname{Sim}$. P. Kah. 11, 22; Urk. iv. 689,17 .
: Urk. iv. 972. Sim. Hamm. 191, 5, qu. § 369 , 2.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20543, ${ }^{6}$ 6 7. Sim. Sinai 28.35. $71 ;$ Urk. iv. 994, 16. See too below, n. 11.

- Urk.iv. 68. Sim. Sinai 17 ; Louvre C I, 7 (hssw).
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 4, II-2.
${ }^{6}$ Cairo 20540. Sim. ib. 20430; BH. i. 7,3.
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20501 and passim. Sim. Peas. B 1, $21(\operatorname{sh} 3 y \cdot k) ; 118$ ( $s k y \cdot k$ ); ${ }_{23} 6$ (iry.k).
${ }^{8}$ Louvre C $1,4$. Sim. Siut 1, 267 (mrrl.f).
${ }^{9}$ Cairo 20501. Sim.
Urk. iv. 19, $14 ; 153$,
$9 ;$ IoI 1, Io.
${ }^{10}$ Piehl, IH. i. 143. Sim. Brit. Mus. 43.
${ }^{11}$ Var. $m s$ + direct genitive, Dyn. XI, YoL. § 7 I .
${ }^{12}$ Ächt. p. 32. $N+$ suffix, P. Kah. 11, 22; Pt. 623 .
${ }^{1 s}$ Cf., however, int n.f 'brought to him' qu. p. 279, n. 28.
to him by his brother Seth. ${ }^{1}$
 health and life are prayed for by all people. ${ }^{2}$

2. The same meaning can, however, be conveyed by the direct genitive.

Exx. I am one beloved of (or by) his mistress and praised of (or by) her in the course of each day. ${ }^{3}$
*On mrrw nb.f one beloved of his lord. ${ }^{4}$

 all things good and pure, given of heaven, created of earth, brought by (lit. of) the inundation. ${ }^{6}$ A common formula.

Here belong the examples where the semantic subject after the passive participle is expressed by a suffix-pronoun. We have frequently had occasion to point out that the relation of the suffix-pronouns to the words which they follow is that of the direct genitive.

Exx. 票
Sil $=$ irr hsst.sn nbt doing all that is praised of them. ${ }^{8}$
3. An ambiguity arises when the semantic subject is introduced by $-n$.

Ex. praised by his mother. ${ }^{9}$

It is not clear whether $n$ here is to be regarded as the preposition or as the genitival adjective. Feminine instances like $\mathbb{Z O O}{ }_{0}$ - hsyt $n t$ Ht-hr praised of Hathor' ${ }^{10}$ show that the genitival adjective may really be used to introduce the agent. On the other hand, we have seen ( $\$ 36 \mathrm{I}$, under $3 a e$ inf.) that ir $n$ ' engendered by' and $m s n$ ' born to' (lit. 'borne to') make as feminines 墨 irt $n$ and $\prod^{a}{ }_{m}$ mst $n$ with the preposition $n .{ }^{11}$ Possibly the use of the preposition $n$ to introduce the agent entailed certain changes in the passive participle, producing the sddmwenff relative form to be described below. On the other hand, texts exist
 'borne to', i.e. 'by', (the mother), ${ }^{12}$ showing that the full form could be retained if the preposition $n$ had a function other than that of introducing the agent. ${ }^{13}$

## THE RELATIVE FORMS

§ 380. It was seen in § 353 that the Egyptian participles may nearly always be translated into English by what can be described briefly as 'who'-clauses, i.e. relative clauses in which the subject is identical with the antecedent. We have now to consider a class of verb-forms best translated by relative clauses in which there is an expressed subject different from the antecedent. Where these verb-forms occur, the relative word in the English rendering appears as 'whom', ' whose ', 'where', and so forth, only not as the nominative 'who' or 'which'. Two typical examples may serve as a concrete basis for the discussion to follow:
(n) sdmw $n \cdot f$ sdmw one to whom (lit. to him) judges listen. ${ }^{1}$
 the souls of Heliopolis created. ${ }^{2}$

The verb-forms here in question are known as the relative forms ${ }^{3}$ and at first sight seem peculiar to Egyptian. When the antecedent is masculine, they are often outwardly indistinguishable from the narrative s sdm.f and sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, though fuller writings showing a gender-ending -w (in one case $-y$ ) indicate their independent existence as distinct verb-forms. When the antecedent is feminine, the gender-ending $-t$ is written after the stem to agree with the antecedent. Thus from the immutable verbs we have the forms
m. $\operatorname{sdm} m(w) \cdot f$, very seldom written with $-w$ when the subject is a suffix; with nominal subject the writing sdmw is not rare.


(f. OM sdmt $n \cdot f$.

If the verb-stem has a determinative, the gender-ending precedes the deter-
 the analogy of the old perfective has evidently influenced the writing.

Thus, so far as the immutable verbs are concerned, only two varieties of relative form can be detected, one resembling narrative $\delta d d m \cdot n \cdot f$ and the other resembling narrative sdm.f. The mutable verbs show that the latter comprises at least two forms, one with gemination and the other without. Taking now $m r i$ 'love' as type-verb and quoting only the forms with nominal subject, we obtain :-



 f. $\triangle$ 大象 $m m m$.
${ }^{1}$ BH. i. 26, ${ }^{1}$ 55-6.
${ }^{2}$ Urke.iv. 36 t.
${ }^{3}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 737 foll. ; Kev. ég. n. s., ii. 42 foll. ; $A Z$. 54, 98-103; 59, 6 ; Some Aspects, \%-

[^162][^163]${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 5, 8. Sim. Urk. iv. 6i8, 1 I , qu. $\S 386$, .
${ }^{2}$ Ikhern. 9. Sim. Urk. iv. 353, 12.
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 12, 9.

[^164] 389, 2.

6 BH. i. 25, 10. Sim. Sin. B 148.162 ; Urk. iv. 684, 14; 734, 14;743, 5; 780, 5; fem., ib. iv. $107 \mathrm{I}, 8$; 1074, 3; Brit. Mus. 614, 10; P. Kah. 12, 9 , qu. § 38 r.

[^165][^166]In the first edition of this work the perf. relative form was called the ' prospective relative', ${ }^{\prime}$ since it often looks forward to action lying in the future. A recent discovery ${ }^{\text {aa }}$ shows that in the early M. K. this form, or one not clearly distinguishable from it, ${ }^{\text {ob }}$ often referred to past action, though later superseded in that function by the $\dot{s} d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form. Thus the non-geminating relative form without $n$ is completely parallel in its functions to the 'narrative' perf. $s d m \cdot f(\$ 447,449)$ and the name ' perfective' is altogether appropriate.
§ 381. The relative forms as epithets or as nouns.-Like the participles ( $\$ 353$ ), the relative forms can be used either with or without an expressed antecedent, i.e. either as epithets or as nouns. See below, passim.

When the relative form is used as a noun, it may be qualified by the adjective $n b$ 'all', 'every', 'any'.
 over anything which she smells as roast. ${ }^{1}$

- that My Majesty commanded. ${ }^{2}$

응 after the dative $n \cdot i$, see above $\S 375$, Obs.
§ 382. The relative forms with direct semantic object identical with the antecedent.-In this case (true 'whom'-clauses) no resumptive pronoun is ever used.
 which any official or any commoner places in the temple. ${ }^{4}$
 mouth. ${ }^{5}$
 servants. ${ }^{6}$

It is extremely significant that Egyptian does not here write ddt st sr, dit i st and $t n(w) \cdot n \cdot f s w$. This absence of the dependent pronoun as object has a remarkable consequence, namely that in the case of the imperf. and perf. relative forms (see the first two examples above) it would be equally possible to regard the verb-form as a passive participle followed by a direct genitive (above, §379, 2). This becomes still clearer when no adverbial phrase is appended.

Exx. $-\infty \infty$ love and what the gods praise. ${ }^{7}$ Or, I did what is loved of men and what is praised of the gods.
 I was going to do ; or, the work to be done (§371) by me. ${ }^{8}$

It is obvious that, in particular, the first of these two examples is inseparable, as regards its construction, from $n v r w n b \cdot f$ ' one beloved of his lord' quoted in $\S 379,2$ as an example of the imperf. pass. part. + direct genitive as subjects. In other words, we begin to see that the relative forms originated in an extension of the use of the passive participles.
§ 383. The relative forms with direct semantic object different from the antecedent.-In this case the direct objects has naturally to be inserted as grammatical object of the relative form, and, if pronominal, is represented by a dependent pronoun. The word-order is the same as after the narrative verb-forms.
 im.s that balance of Rē in which he weighs Right. ${ }^{1}$
 masculine gender of $h d(w) \cdot k$ see above §ini.
 whose lord praises his goings.
 position. ${ }^{4}$
 whose pen caused him to be known.
 $n \cdot s$ ipt every private department of the king.......for which he caused me to do business. ${ }^{6}$
 of which (my) lord (l. p. h.) said : I will cause it to be made for thee. ${ }^{7}$

The important point to be observed here is that the English relative pronoun ('in which', 'for which ', 'whose', etc.) is represented in Egyptian by a resumptive pronoun. Save for the presence of the semantic subject and, in the sdmzw.n.f relative form, of the $n$ which introduces it, these examples show a very close parallelism to the examples of the passive participle quoted above in § 377 .
§ 384. The relative forms from intransitive verbs.-Again, with intransitive verbs a resumptive pronoun must be used to represent the English relative pronoun, and may be, for example, a suffix-pronoun after a preposition or a genitive following a noun.

Exx. rejoice in Heliopolis. ${ }^{8}$

ㅇ


[^167]${ }^{3}$ Louvre C I, 8-9. Sim. Siut 1, 247; Hamm. I13,6.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 957. Sim. Siut 1, 221; Hamm. 17, 6; P. Kah. 1, 6; Urk. iv. 361, 9, qu. § $380 ; 780,6$.
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 127.
${ }^{6}$ Brit. Mus. 6 I4, 10 .

7 P. Kah. 28, 27. Rather similar after pass. part., P. Boul. xviii, 68.
${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20498. Sim. ib. 20539, i. $b$ I5; Siut 1, 234 ; Eb. 99, I5 ; ERM. Hymn. II, 2-3; Urk. iv. 350, 9.
${ }^{9}$ Bersh. i. 14, 1. Sim. Sin. B IOI; $P$. Boul. xviii. 6; Urk. iv. 350,9 ; 807, 12.

10 Cairo 20539, i. $b$ 11. Sim. Sin. B445, qu. § 389 , 1 ; ERM. Hymn. 1, 2 ; Urk. iv. $\S 389$, 1. More complex exx. Suppl. p. 12 on $53^{8} 4$; Coffin Texts iii. $\mathbf{3 2 4}^{24}, g-h$.

Note that these examples closely resemble the passive participles quoted in § 376 ; the only difference, indeed, so far as the imperfective relative form is concerned, is that the semantic subject is here expressed.
§ 385. Omission of the resumptive pronoun.-We saw in § 382 that the resumptive pronoun is regularly absent when the direct semantic object is identical with the antecedent ('whom'-clauses). It may, however, happen that the direct objects of the relative form is a dependent verb (sdm•f or infinitive) and that it is the direct object ${ }^{8}$ of this dependent verb which is identical with the antecedent. In such cases the resumptive pronoun is sometimes used for the sake of clearness.
 planned to do (lit. to do it) to me, I did it to him. ${ }^{1}$
 wishes to preserve, lit. that he should preserve me. ${ }^{2}$ In this instance the ist pers. wi is illogically and exceptionally substituted for $s w$.

Sometimes, on the other hand, the resumptive pronoun is omitted.
 which he had caused My Majesty to bring out of Nubia. ${ }^{3}$ Int here is an active $s d m \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 448$ ).

PA wind $\cdot n \cdot f$ irt what he had commanded to be done, lit. to do. ${ }^{4}$
Elsewhere the absence of the resumptive pronoun is common only in association with im in various meanings; so too after the passive participles, § 378 .

Exx. لe
 whereof the spirits love to eat. ${ }^{6}$

In this connection we must note an apparent ellipse of the infinitive wnn 'to be' after $m r(i)$ 'love', 'wish'.
 to be. ${ }^{7}$
§ 386. Origin of the relative forms. ${ }^{8}-1$. Throughout our account of the uses of the relative forms ( $\$ \S 381-385$ ), the close analogy to similar uses of the passive participles ( $\$ 353 \cdot 376-378$ ) has everywhere been apparent. Indeed, in the case of the imperfective and the perfective relative forms, the distinction is apt to disappear altogether; it does not matter whether we explain $m r r w$ in ton mrrw nb.f 'one beloved of his lord', 'one whom his lord loves' $(\S 379,2)$ as imperfective relative form, or whether we regard it as an imperfective passive participle with the semantic subject $n b \cdot f$ in the form of a direct genitive.

So, too, more complex constructions of the imperfective passive participle may be considered as imperfective relative forms from which subject ${ }^{\text {s }}$ has been omitted as unessential ; $d d(w) n \cdot f n b w n$ hswt ( $\$ 377$, I, first example) needs only the insertion of $n b \cdot f$ to turn it into a typical example of the imperfective relative form : $d d(w) n \cdot f n b \cdot f n b w n$ hswt 'one to whom his master repeatedly gave the gold of favour'. ${ }^{\circ}$ It thus seems evident that the relative forms are simply an extension of the passive participles. Whereas English can only retain the direct semantic object ('the boy found fault with '), Egyptian feels no difficulty in the simultaneous retention of the semantic subject ('the boy found-of-his-father-fault-with-him '), and thus obtains an exceedingly compact method of producing the equivalent of an English relative clause ('the boy whom his father finds fault with ').

This explanation of the relative forms is confirmed by the absence of the resumptive pronoun when that pronoun would be the direct object of the relative form ( $\$ 382$ ) ; the reason why Egyptian does not say *mrrw sw nb•f' one whom his lord loves' is because mrrw is, in its origin, a passive participle which has inherent in itself the direct semantic object ( $\$ 376$, beginning) ; 'one who is beloved' is not *mrrw sw, but simply $m r r w$. This point is the corner-stone of the theory of the relative forms here maintained.

There are, however, some good reasons why the relative forms should be classified apart from the passive participles in which they originated. The semantic subject in $m r r w n b \cdot f$ had to be explained $(\$ 379,2)$ as a direct genitive. But we saw ( $\$ 85$ ) that it is almost impossible to separate a direct genitive from its noun, whereas the subject of the relative form may be readily separated from it in accordance with the rules of word-order given in $\$ \$ 27.66$.


 every good thing of the Divine Land for which 〈Thy〉 Majesty sends them. ${ }^{3}$

It seems clear that these final extensions of the use of the passive participles can only have come about when the semantic subject had ceased to be felt as a direct genitive, and was now, though doubtless not fully consciously, regarded as a nominative, or as on a par with other nominatives. But this is only another way of saying that these involved constructions with the passive participle had come at last to be felt to contain a quasi-narrative active form, having a nominative ${ }^{4}$ as subjects and an accusative as direct objects ; compare above § 30 I, Obs. 2. It is at the precise moment when the verb-forms in question were first felt as actives instead of as passives that the relative forms became differentiated as separate grammatical entities from the passive participles.
${ }^{0}$ Ann. V. 248 gives a var. of the ex. qu. p. 299, n. I with $f_{33} t$ in place of $f_{33 t}$.f. Cf. also the varr. without ntr qu. in Gunn's Appendix on enht $n t r$ im, Stud. p. 32.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 618.
${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin}$. B ${ }^{261 .}$. Sim. BH. i. 26, 155-6, qu. § 380 .
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 346.

[^168]2. This conclusion is borne out by the śdmw $n \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form, which we must take to have originated in the perfective passive participle followed by the preposition $n$ 'to', 'for' $(\$ 379,3)$; for example, brw sdmw $n \cdot f$ would mean literally 'the voice heard to him', and this would subsequently be felt as active just as the Low Latin ego habeo factum, containing a passive participle, becomes the active French tense j'ai fait. Note that it is the less common type of perfective passive participle having the ending $-w$ even in the $3 a e$ inf. ( $\$ 36$ I) which lies at the base of the sdmw.nff relative form, and perhaps this had undergone some shortening, seeing that the ending $-w$ is so rarely shown. At all events the preposition has in course of time become detached from its noun and, in cases where the word-order demands, cleaves closely to the verb-form.

${ }^{1}$ Leyd. V 88, 9.

[^169] Sim.ib. II; Urk. iv. 862, 6. 13 .
${ }^{3}$ The matter is not wholly clear, and in this book the transliterations vacillate between $i r \cdot n, m s \cdot n$ and ir $n, m s n$. See (e.g.) p. 296. wherein His Majesty placed me. ${ }^{1}$
 my brother gave to me. ${ }^{2}$ For the masc. relative form here see § $5 \mathbf{I I}, \mathbf{2}$.

It seems hardly likely that the preposition $n$ could have become detached from its noun so long as it preserved intact its prepositional value 'to'. Its detachment may, therefore, serve as evidence that the verb-form was by this time no longer felt as a passive participle, but rather was interpreted, in combination with the element $\cdot n$, as the quasi-narrative active form which we call the $s d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form.

The decisive proof of the correctness of this view lies, however, in the quite obvious parallelism of the relative forms to the narrative $s d m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, the former possessing at least two varieties corresponding respectively to the imperfective and perfective relatives. The active force of the two narrative forms in question is of course undoubted, and this is enough to enable us to ascribe active force also to the corresponding relative forms, although it remains true and certain that these last were derived from passive participles. For further details see below $\S 387$ and, for the relation to the narrative forms, below §4II. This last argument will be better appreciated when the student has mastered the contents of the next two Lessons.

The question now arises as to where the boundary-line between passive participle and relative form is to be set. A necessary condition for every relative form is the presence of the semantic subject. Cases like $m r r w n b \cdot f$ are perhaps best classified as passive participle + direct genitive ( $\$ 379,2$ ) ; on the other hand, we have inclined to take the $i r \cdot n, m s \cdot n$ expressing parentage as relative forms (p. 279). ${ }^{3}$ But when a clause-like appearance is given to the whole phrase by any addition, whether direct object ${ }^{s}$ or an adverbial phrase, then it is doubtless best to treat the verb-form as a relative form. So too when $n b$ 'every', 'all' separates the verb-form from its subjects, as in the examples quoted $\S 38 \mathrm{I}$.

Obs．For the origin of the narrative $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form see below § 411 ， 2 ，where further considerations bearing upon the origin of the corresponding relative form will be found．For the secondary separation of the agential $n$ in Egyptian from the noun governed by it，compare the Greek verbs compounded with prepositions like кar $\eta \gamma \quad \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ． Another evidence of the origin of the $s d m(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ relative in the perf．pass．part．is afforded by the construction $s m t$ pw $\operatorname{ir}(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ ，the passive of which is $\dot{s} m t$ pwiry （below §392）；from this it seems likely that $\operatorname{ir}(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ is merely the perf．pass．part． iry slightly changed and with the agential phrase $n \cdot f$ added to it．
§ 387．The writing of the relative forms．－We have just seen that the boundary－line between the relative forms and the passive participles is precarious and shifting．It will be unnecessary，therefore，to do more than supplement the sections already devoted to the originating passive participles．

I．Imperfective relative form．Generally speaking，the forms are those of the imperf．pass．part．（ $\$ 358$ ）．Note，however，that the m．ending $-w$ is very seldom written before the suffixes；exceptions are $\triangle d d w \cdot t n$＇which you give＇；${ }^{1}{ }^{1}=s h z w \cdot \underline{t} n$＇which you remember＇．${ }^{2}$ As regards the feminine，all writings with $\}$ or $0 \ell$ instead of mere a t must in mutable verbs ${ }^{2 a}$ be assigned to the perfective relative form，since these endings are never found in company with the gemination．As in the participles，the plur．strokes sometimes accompany feminines used as neuters，ex． themselves are indistinguishable from the singulars．

2－lit．Beside usual forms like $\int_{-1} d d w,{ }^{4}$ 匀 $d d t,^{5}$ occurs，as a great rarity， a form with prothetic $i(\$ 272)$ ，ex．得是 $i d d z 0^{\circ}$ For ist pers．sing．we have an

zae gem．Forms from＇be＇are wnnw，${ }^{8}=w n n \cdot \underline{t n} .{ }^{9}$
zae inf．Only geminating forms occur，since forms without gemination are to be assigned to the perfective relative form，see below under 2．Exx．herrw ＇（at）which rejoice＇；${ }^{10}{ }^{0}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{2} 3 t \cdot f$＇（in）which he weighs＇．${ }^{11}$
anom．＇Give＇has $\triangle d d w \cdot t n$（see above）and＇bring＇$\Omega$＂innt（fem．），${ }^{12}$ i．e．forms in both cases identical with the imperf．pass．part．＇Come＇shows forms from both the $-i$ and the $-w$ stem，exx．$A P A y w,{ }^{13}$ f．$A i^{14}$ and $\triangle S$ $i z w,{ }^{16}$ var．$i z w .{ }^{16}$

2．Perfective relative form．${ }^{17}$ This form is clearly differentiated from the imperf．rel．form by the absence of gemination in the mutable verbs，and from the sidmwenff rel．form by the absence of the formative－n．Like the latter it is probably derived from the perf．pass．participle．The outstanding problem with regard to it is whether it should be sub－divided into two distinct relative forms，one with past reference，${ }^{17}$ the other with future or prospective reference．${ }^{18}$ The M．K．examples with past reference show no special ending for m．sing．， though two isolated instances from outside our period ${ }^{189}$ justify us in assuming
${ }^{1}$ Siut 1， 276 ；ddw． $s n, i b .289 .292 .298$.
${ }^{2}$ Turin 1447．Sim． m．plur．，$d d z v \cdot \underline{n}, U r k$ ． iv． 651,8 ．
${ }^{2 a}$ For this qualif． cation see Add．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．750， 4.
${ }^{4}$ Peas．B I， 19.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 261 ．
${ }^{6}$ Sebekn． 3 ．
${ }^{7}$ Spieg．－Pörtn．i． 4， 17 ．
${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{44}$
${ }^{9}$ Turin 1447
${ }^{10}$ Cairo 20498，$a 3$ ， qu．§ 384 ．
${ }^{11}$ Lac．TR．37， 3. qu．$\$ 383$ ．
${ }_{12}$ Th．T．S．i．30，G．
${ }^{13}$ Cairo 20539，i．$b$

${ }^{14}$ Brit．Mus．581， horiz． 6.
${ }^{15}$ Siut I， 234.
${ }^{16}$ Louvre C I，6； Hamm．113，5；Urk． iv． 17 ， 1 ．
${ }^{17}$ See above，p．298， n．oa．

[^170]${ }^{0}$ Formerly called 'prospective relative form', see above, $p$. 298, top. Lexf. Gr. § 483 shares Gunn's view of these endings.
${ }^{01}$ Ddy'i, P. Kah. 36, 24 ; ddy.k, Lac. TR. 7, 3; Westc. 9, 8; sny.f.f BuDge, p. 366, 14 ; $b n d y \cdot k$, ib. p. 265, 15 .
${ }^{1}$ P. Salt 834, 1, $2=$ GUNN, Stud. p. ${ }^{15}$, no. 91; Mill. 1, 2; Urk. iv. II95, 8. Perhaps r rbti of § 178 is to be taken as 'sothat... mayknow', see Gunn, Stud. 15 ; Lef. Gr. $\$ 486$.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 162, 8. Sim. ib. 96, 16 irti, ib. 162, 8; Adm. 3, 7 .
${ }^{2 a}$ See further Add. to p. 303, n. 2a.

[^171][^172]${ }^{12}$ Sh. S. 143.
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. $363,13$. Sim. $\mathrm{S}_{\ell} t \cdot n \cdot f$, Sin. B 5 r.
the unwritten presence of the ending -w; the $f$. sing. ending is o-t. It has been maintained that the relative forms with prospective reference ${ }^{0}$ had a $m$. sing. ending $44-y$ and a $f$. sing. ending 04 or $f-t i$, but the $m$. sing. examples either are from mutable verbs where $\ell \|$ may belong to the stem, or else are doubtfully prospective in meaning, ${ }^{0 a}$ and although a few indisputable early instances of $f$. sing. 84, 8 occur, this writing grows much more frequent towards Dyn. XVIII, when there is a far greater chance that it may be a mere graphic variant of $0-t$, due to the fact that original $-t i$ in other verb-forms, i.e. old perfective 2 nd masc. and 3 rd fem. sing., had already been reduced to $-t$ by loss of $-i$. It is certainly strange that such a form as $204 \underline{d} d t i^{1}$ should often have prospective meaning, no less than the sae inf. $\varangle d m r t i ;^{2}$ but the great improbability of $-t i$ instead of $-t$ as fem. ending of a participle or relative form weights the scale heavily against this supposed peculiarity of the prospective meaning; see too a certain case of the writing $-t i$ for $-t$ below $\S 409 .{ }^{2 a}$

Provisionally, then, the perfective relative is best regarded as a unity, though the possibility remains that if we had full knowledge of the vocalization, we might find it to conceal two sub-forms like the ' narrative' perf. śdm.f to which it is so closely parallel ( $\$$ § 447,449 ).

If the view taken above be correct, in the immutable verbs the perf. rel. form will be practically indistinguishable from the imperf. rel. Forms from mutable verbs:
sae gem. wn $k$ ' (in) which thou wast'. ${ }^{2 b}$

 which . . . . . will praise'; ${ }^{6}$ a $g m t \cdot(i)$ '(something) that I found'. ${ }^{6 a}$ 'Make' is without $r$, ex. ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}+i r t \cdot i$ ' what I shall make'; ${ }^{7}{ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ ' what . . . . had done'. ${ }^{\circ}$
$4^{\text {ae }}$ inf. -
anom. 'Give' shows the stem as $d i$, ex. - $_{\Delta}$ 县 $d i t \cdot i$ ' what I could put'. ${ }^{8}$
3. The sd $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{m} w \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form. This relative form, like (on our hypothesis) the perfective relative, is derived from the perf. pass. part. (§ 36 I ) ; but whereas in the perfective relative the semantic subject appears as a direct genitive, here it is mediated by means of the preposition $n$; see above $\S 386$, 2. In agreement with this origin, the $n$ follows any determinative which the verb-stem may have, while the gender endings precede. There is no gemination.
 which I uncovered'; ${ }^{9}$ amen irw $n \cdot k$ ' which thou hast made'; ${ }^{10}$ @merdizen ' which . . . . gave'. ${ }^{11}$
$f$. sing. The f. ends in o-t. When the meaning is neuter, the plural strokes
 ' what he has commanded '. ${ }^{13}$

2-lit. Exx. $h m(w) \cdot n \cdot k$ 'whom thou knowest not'; ${ }^{1}$ rmt $\cdot n \cdot f$ 'what it has swallowed'. ${ }^{2}$ Such writings show that the basic perf. pass. part. is the non-geminating form, not the reduplicating form of $\S 360$.

 whom he has gone forth' ${ }^{6}$ So too $i r \cdot n$ and $m s \cdot n$ (above $\S 361$ ) are to be taken as relative forms owing to the invariable absence of the $-y$ characteristic of the




4ae inf. Exx. $\boldsymbol{D}^{\circ}$ 通 $m d w t \cdot n \cdot i$ '(concerning) which I have spoken'; ${ }^{11}$ 800 sntt.n'which $\qquad$ founded '. ${ }^{12}$
 only rarely does it appear as $d i$, ex. $\Delta_{m}^{m} d i(w) \cdot n \cdot f .^{15}$ 'Come' has only forms
 $i n(w) \cdot n \cdot i ;{ }^{18} \Omega=111, i n t \cdot n \cdot s n ;{ }^{19}$ but abbreviated writings with one $n$ sometimes occur, ex. $\AA={ }^{20}$ side by side with $\AA=$ in $n \cdot n n^{21}$
§ 388. The supposed passive of the relative forms. ${ }^{22}$-The certain existence of these could be proved only if well authenticated cases with the m. ending $w$ or the f. ending $-t$ were forthcoming. Exx. after hrw 'the day on which.....' possibly contain the simple narrative $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see above p. i 50, n. I. ${ }^{23}$ Exx. with the indef. pron. -tw are hardly true passives; ${ }^{23 a}$
 the three parallel texts give the narrative sidm.f form heretw; the writing with $t$ may well, therefore, be a mistake. Other examples that have been quoted ${ }^{24}$ a are late and perhaps illusory, though there is no inherent reason why a passive in $t w$ should not have been constructed for the relative forms when once their origin in passive participles was eclipsed or forgotten.
§ 389. Tense-distinction in the relative forms.-The various relative forms closely follow in their meanings the distinctions associated with their originating passive participles.
I. Imperfective relative form. This is used in reference to repeated or continuous action, whether in present or past, less certainly in future, time.

Commonest of all are examples which must be translated by the English present. Many of these are either aphorisms or statements of custom.
 age does to men is evil in every respect (lit. thing). ${ }^{25}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 1090, 5.
${ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 566.
${ }^{3}$ Mar. Abyd. ii. 29,
8. Sim. Sh.S. 143 .
${ }^{4}$ No exx. from zunn have been found; see now p. 306, n. 5 d.
${ }^{5} B H$. i. 25,38 -9.
${ }^{6}$ Pt. 630.
${ }^{7}$ BH. i. 26, 200.
${ }^{8}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 273$.
${ }^{9} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 28$.
${ }^{10}$ BH. i. 8, 19.
${ }^{11}$ Lac. TR. 72, 35.
13 Siut 4, 21.
${ }^{13}$ Siut 1,287 .
${ }^{14}$ Leyd. V 88, 9.
${ }^{15}$ Ikhern. 4
16 Westc. 11 , 10. Sim. Peas. B i, 196.
${ }^{17}$ Bersh. i. 14, ${ }^{\text {上 }}$
${ }^{18}$ Sh. S. ${ }^{175}$.
${ }^{19}$ Semnah Disp. I, 13; 6, 1 I.
${ }^{20}$ Urk.iv. 780, 11 ; 78 r , .
${ }^{21}$ Urk. iv. 780, 6.
${ }^{22}$ See Verbum ii. § 786, with p. 468.
${ }_{23}$ In Eb. 97, 13, hrw mss.tw.f is suspect on account of the imperf. tense ; for the absence of im cf . $\mathrm{l} \boldsymbol{\prime} \mathrm{yr}$. 606 c.
${ }_{23 a}$ With m. ending $-w$ in obscure context, Haremhab 29 (wnwtw!.
${ }^{24}$ Amarn. v. 27, 4. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}} \ddot{A} Z .44,111$.
${ }^{25}$ Pt. 20-1. Other exx., Peas. B 1, 45 46, qu. § 391 ; Siut I, 280; Urk.iv. II 54, 6.
${ }^{1}$ Pt．145－6．Sim． Sin． $\mathrm{B}_{158}$ ；Peas．BI， 275 ；Urk．iv．1107， 11.
${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 44-5$.
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．993．Sim． Siut I，234． 247 ．
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 2054r， 5 ．
${ }^{4 a}$ Certain O．E．exx． expressing futurity or a wish，Urk．i．9，II； 67， 17 （irrw）．
${ }^{5}$ Sin．B 77．Sim． Siut I，298． 299.
${ }^{\text {ba }}$ Clère＇s discov－ ery，p．298，n．oa．
${ }^{50}$ Abyd．iii．29； contrast $i t \cdot n \cdot(i)$ ，Siut III，9．Sim．Dunh． No． 84 （sh．（i）， 3 hr． （i））；Bersh．II，p． 25 （gmt．f）．
${ }^{50}$ Vand．Mo．iv． 23；contrast gmt ${ }^{\text {m }} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \cdot(\mathbf{i})$ ， Cl．．－Vand．p．if，i． Sim．Leyd．V $4,6$.
${ }^{5 d}$ Sh．S． 135. Sim． ib．I26（zun．i）；K＇e． 14， 35 （ $w n \cdot k$ ）；before nom．subj．Sin．B 215 （wnt）；m．exx．with ending－$w$ ，see p .303 ， n． 18 a ．
${ }^{6} E b .95,22$ ．Sim． ib．88，3；95，5．17； 96，2－3．

[^173] entering）whom（one）great man sends to（another）great man．${ }^{1}$ The $-w$ in $h s b w$ ， not $-y$ ，might show that this is imperfective，not perfective；but see p．303，n．I 8 a

Instances expressing repetition or continuity in the past are difficult to find．
Ex．How shall this land fare without him，$\neg_{1}^{0}$ ntr pf mnh wnnw snd．f ht hiswt that beneficent god fear of whom was throughout the foreign lands ？${ }^{2}$

In honorific epithets，however，there is often a doubt whether one should translate with the English past or present．Egyptian uses the imperfective relative form in either case．

Exx．ロ utterance the lord of the two lands is（or was）wont to be pleased．${ }^{3}$

AD－o all that he praises（or praised）in the course of every day．${ }^{4}$

Examples referring to future time are uncommon and uncertain．${ }^{\text {as }}$
 Syrian prince here promises to treat his guest handsomely；a nuance of custom may be implied．But perhaps 鿖通 is to be interpreted as irt．$i$ ，see 2 （b）below．

2．Perfective relative form，see above pp．298，top；303．（a）With past reference．${ }^{5 a}$
 plundered，none whose property I seized．${ }^{\text {b }}$
－ （something）which I found it had been done by my fathers．${ }^{5 c}$

As the marginal notes show，this early M．K．use is in other texts replaced by the śdmw•n•f relative．Only with the two verbs wnn＇be＇and 急 hpr ＇become＇does this use appear to have survived the beginning of Dyn．XII．

Exx． im•f $m-k, k b-n s n w \cdot k$ thou shalt reach the Residence in which thou wast together with thy brethren．${ }^{\text {dd }}$
 vulva disease has come about．${ }^{6}$
（b）With future or prospective reference，describing events which either will or else might occur in time future relatively to the main verb；compare similar future（ $\S 369,5$ ）and obligational（ $\$ 37 \mathrm{I}$ ）uses in the perf．pass．part．

Exx． whereon thou mayst rest．${ }^{7}$

The sudty priest shall hand it (the candle) to my $k a$-servant 0 禹 96 $r$-ss ir.firt.f im.s $m$ liwt-ntr after his doing what he has to do with it in the temple. ${ }^{8}$
 all changes into which he may wish to change. ${ }^{9}$

Note that cases with a direct object like the last are very uncommon with the perfective relative. But for them, the very existence of the perf. rel. as distinct from the perf. pass. part. would be doubtful ; see p. 302, bottom.
3. The sdmw•n•f relative form. In the large majority of cases this form refers to action regarded as past, i. e. past relatively either to the moment of speaking or to the time of the main verb.
 which I have given to these priests. ${ }^{1}$ English present perfect.
 it.f 'Imn His Majesty commanded to cause to be recorded the victories which his father Amūn had given him ${ }^{2}$ English past perfect.
 which was made by (lit. made to) the priace and overseer of the priests Hepdjefi. ${ }^{3}$ English past tense.
 and The $m r$ whenever they mean, not 'learn', 'ignore', and 'love'-notions implying continuity—but 'know', 'not know', and 'wish', these being regarded from the Egyptian standpoint as definite occurrences resulting from 'having learnt', 'failed to learn', 'conceived a wish'. So even in reference to the present.
 sailors being in it whom thou knowest. ${ }^{4}$
 thou knowest like him that thou knowest not. ${ }^{5}$

会 skmk ib $\mathrm{Hr} m \mathrm{mrt} \cdot n \cdot f$ diverting the heart of Horus with what he wishes. ${ }^{6}$

Naturally the same forms are also employed in contexts referring to the past.
Exx. One whom the god distinguished out of millions $m s m n h r h(w) \cdot n \cdot f r n \cdot f$ as a capable man whose name he knew. ${ }^{7}$
 after this the Majesty of this god did all he wished with her. ${ }^{8}$

Obs. For similar uses of $r h$ and $h m$ in the old perfective and perf. act. part. see above $\$ \S 320 ; 367,2$ Obs.
Of considerable interest is an example from the adjectival stem $n f r$ :
 well with me, i. e. when I died or ended ( $\$ 35 \mathrm{I}$ ). ${ }^{9}$
(Ist ed., p. 306)
${ }^{8}$ Sizut 1, 297-8. Sim. Westc. iI, 6 ; Adm. 3, 7. 13.

- Urk. v. 4. Sim. Budge, p. 129, 9; 210, 3 .
${ }^{1}$ Siut r, 270. Sim. ib. $27^{2}$; Peas. B 1 , 287 ; Berl. ÄI. i. p. 258, 21 ; BH.i.8, 18.

2 Urk. iv.684, ${ }^{\prime} 1 m n$ restored. Sim. Sin. B 144 , qu. § 38 .
${ }^{3}$ Siut $\mathbf{1}, 296$. Sim. I.el. 30 , qu. § 390 ; Sin. H202. 205.

[^174]${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 1090, 5 . Sim. ib. 97 I, 3. 10 ; 1071, 9 .

- Brit. Mus. 6i4, 2 .
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20539, i.b 9.
${ }^{5}$ C'rk.iv. 22 I. Sim. Brit. Mus. 6I4, 10 ; Cairo 20024, $c$.
- Florence 1774. Rather similarly, Berl. AII. i. p. 185.
 from the Pyramid texts show that $n \cdot i$ was there still a dative，since to © $0 . .$.
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 1645 ．
${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1648 ．
${ }^{3}$ Pyr． 1234 ． tom＇everything wherewith it goes well with him＇${ }^{1}$（cf．§ i41）corresponds
 ＇the eye of Horus wherewith（one）is powerful＇．3 These constructions are analogous to those of the passive participle studied in $\S 376$ ，though doubtless no passive participles could be formed from the adjective－verbs．


## V O C A B U L A R Y

요 rf pack，envelop，enclose．
会

8 促 $h n k$ present，offer．
$\xlongequal{\ell} \int \xi n i$（det．also ¢）surround，en－ circle．
$l_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{mm}} \mathrm{h}$ 月 $s n t$ resent，vent anger on．
是 $x d i$ recite，read aloud．
$\Delta$ ）$\ / \mathrm{lmz}$ create．

fil in twe be like，$n$ someone．
$\square \times t s i$ be missing，absent oneself， $r$ from．
绊 $\|$ ds set apart；be set apart，private； adj．holy．
iwert heritage，inheritance．
O $i p t$ mission，business．
H1111 imyt－pr estate，testament．


40111st property，belongings．

中 合口 $w(d$ dett．also＠，\＃）stela．
别 offering，kind of loaf．
$3112 m$ misw tribute，offerings．
F44呈 nsyt（？）kingship．
因 號 hrwt－cst temple．
$\delta$－
（b）$\Rightarrow d \|_{\text {d }} h b$－sd jubilee，sed－festival．

\＆프 sntt（old snt $t$ ）foundation．
10．h 프 sht field；countryside．
$1_{0}^{\circ}$
$\xlongequal[\lambda]{\lambda} \frac{n}{\lambda} r w$ policing，control，lit． holding in check．
－$\wedge_{n}$ tit figure，image．
$\approx 』 \circ 贝 t b t$ sole ；sandal．
$\stackrel{8}{\square} N w t$ Nut，the sky－goddess．

## EXERCISE XXVI

(a) Reading lesson. Autobiographical text from a stela of Dyn. XII, ${ }^{1}$ reproduced here without omissions so as to illustrate the difficulties from which ferw Egyptian texts are wholly free:

' The honoured one, the officer of policings Beb, he said: I made policings for the king in the upper deserts to their full extent. No fault was ever found in (lit. of) this humble servant in this charge wherein His Majesty placed me, through my seeming to him strong (lit. through being strong on
my part in his heart) while punishing crime (? lit. I punish what is damaged) in Neha, in consolidating the possessions of my lord. I returned in peace to Upper Egypt, (after) I had performed that for which I had been sent. I handed over my charge to my son while I was (yet) alive. I made for him a testament in excess of that which my father had made, my house having been established on its foundation, my field(s) being in their place, there being nothing of it gone astray, all my possessions being in their (proper) place. It is my son who made my name live upon this stela; he acted (as) heir for me, as a stout son, the commander of the army of the palace, the honoured one Bebi, justified.'
(b) Translate into English:




$\therefore$ ммм
 (




(7)

(8)

${ }^{1}$ Words addressed by a deceased official to those whom he had asked to pray for him. ${ }^{2}$ § 305 , end.
${ }^{3}$ Prenomen of queen Hashepsowe. $\quad{ }^{4} \S 19$, Obs. $2 . \quad{ }^{5} \mathrm{~m}_{3} n \cdot k$, an unusual śdmı•f form, see § 448.
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(I) I will cause ( $d i \cdot i$ ) to be brought to thee ships laden with ( $\underline{h} r$ ) all the riches of Egypt, as is done (lit. like what is done) for a god who loves men in a distant ( $w_{3}$ ) land which men do not know. (2) Behold, I have caused thee to know these things which I gave to these priests in exchange for ( $m$ - $\mathrm{iszv}, \S 178$ )
that (lit. this) which they had given to me. (3) He who shall hear this shall not say (that) what I have said is exaggeration, but (wpw-hr, § I 79 ) shall say 'How like her it is!' (4) Adore ye His Majesty; he is Rē ' by whose rays one sees. (5) I am one to whom hidden matters ( $m d t$ ) are said. (6) He went down to the city, without letting it be known (lit. one know) wherefore he had come. (7) Mayest thou allow mine eyes to see the place where my lord dwells ( hmsi ). (8) As for everyone over whom this spell $(r)$ is read, his name shall be established in the mouth of the living eternally.

## LESSON XXVII

SPECIAL USES OF THE PARTICIPLES AND RELATIVE FORMS
§ 390. Absolute use.-Just as the noun (§ 89) and the infinitive (§ 306) may stand alone with the self-sufficiency of an independent sentence in headings, titles, or even in narrative, so too the participles and relative forms.
 majesty of the palace (l. p. h.). ${ }^{1}$ The words spoken follow.
 royal scribe Amenmosě. The whole context is in similar abbreviated style, like the headings in a table of contents ; this is not to be taken as a case of nominal predicate with simple juxtaposition (§ 125 ).
 follow.

으내요 follows a statement of the things given.

It will be seen later ( $\$ 405$ ) that the so-called sdmt.f form, in two of its usages ( $\$ 8402.406$ ), is probably a passive participle used absolutely.
§ 391. Use of the participles and relative forms to point to the logical predicate.-Since, by definition, the participles display the meaning of verbs as exercised actively by, or passively upon, somebody or something ( $\$ 353$ ), their use is apt to attract the listener's interest to that somebody or something, the verbal action itself becoming of merely secondary and derivative importance. Thus, in the examples quoted in the last section, the participles and the relative forms direct the listener's attention to what was said, who came, what was given; in other words, the logical predicate ( $\$ \mathrm{I} 26$ ) is much more clearly indicated than if these examples had been expressed in the form of ordinary verbal sentences ('this was said in the palace', 'my soul said this', 'Amenmosĕ came', 'he gave to them these things'). ${ }^{5}$ The same linguistic device lies at the root of the participial statement studied in § 373 , where it is

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Urk.iv. 194. Sim. }
$$ ib. $102 \mathrm{I}, 3$.

${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $102 \mathrm{I}, 2$. Sim. Th. T.S.iii. 26.
${ }^{3}$ Leb. 30. 147. Sim. P. Kah. 13, 26; Leyd. V 6, II; Th. T.S. iii. 21.
${ }^{4}$ Siut 1,274 Sim. ib. 284. 292. Also in headings to letters, etc., P. Boul. xviii, 6 . 26. 27.

[^175]${ }^{1}$ Peas. B I, 46 .
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B $\mathbf{1},{ }^{2}$.
Sim. ib. 19. 235-6.

3 Erm. Spr. d. Westc. pp. 99-IOI.
${ }^{4}$ Peas. Br, 4. With other verbs of motion, ib. R 7. 36 . 37 ; B I , 73; Sh.S. 172; Sin. $\mathrm{B}_{241}$; Westc. passim (see last note).
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 236$. Sim. Westc. 12, 19.
${ }^{6}$ Westc. 4, 17; 6, 22;7,14.
7 Westc. 12, 8.
${ }^{8}$ Peas. B I, 49.
${ }^{9}$ Hamm. IIO, 5 .
just as much the use of the participles as the employment of the emphatic in or of the independent pronouns which gives the status of a logical predicate to the grammatical subject. Herein too lies the secret of English 'it is he who does this' with the meaning of 'he does this', French c'est lui qui le fait with the meaning of lui le fait.

So too when $p w$ is used ( $\$ \$_{1}$ 128-30).
Exx.
 mentioned by thee. ${ }^{2}$
§ 392. The construction śdmpwir(w) $\cdot \boldsymbol{n} \bullet f$ and its passive śdm pw iry. ${ }^{3}$-The same principle underlies a mode of narrating events which is much employed in the Middle Kingdom stories. Here it is the action itself which is the centre of interest, and accordingly the action has to appear as a verbal noun, i. e. infinitive, to which are added the words $p w i r(w) \cdot n \cdot f(s$ śdmwe $n \cdot f$ rel. form) 'it is $\ldots$. . which he did' or passively pwiry (perf. pass. part.) 'it is .... which was done'. The construction is found almost exclusively with verbs of motion.

Exx. Active. 口今e up higher. ${ }^{4}$ Lit. it was going up higher which he did.
 for this humble servant. Lit. it was a coming which was done. ${ }^{6}$

Other verbs with which this construction occurs exceptionally are rhr 'stand up ', ${ }^{6}$ hmst 'sit down', gr ' keep silence ${ }^{,}{ }^{8}$ mst 'give birth '. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Note that $\operatorname{ir}(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ and $i r y$ have masculine gender whether the infinitive is of masc. or fem. form. These verb-forms are in apposition with $p w$, according to § 130 ; $p w$ ' it' is treated syntactically as a masculine, just as we saw that the neuter pronouns $n$; and $n n$ were treated as masculines ( $\S 11$ ).
§ 393. The emphatic or emphasized participle.-The last two sections have dealt with cases where emphasis rests upon the antecedent of a participle or relative form. It not seldom happens, however, that the stress lies upon the action expressed by these forms, that action constituting a condition or qualification of the meaning of the entire sentence. The same kind of emphasis is found, as we saw in $\S 96$, also with adjectival epithets. As in that case, so too with the participles, the emphasis either is implicit, or else may be made explicit by the use of the $m$ of predication.
 who is feared. ${ }^{10}$ Implicit emphasis.
 $i m y$-hst $n i r r$ as for the judge who ought to be punished, he is a pattern for the (wrong)-doer. ${ }^{11}$ Here bsfw $n \cdot f(\$ 371$ ) is explicitly emphasized by $m$.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 1091. Sim. with active part., Peas. B I, 192-3.
${ }^{11}$ Peas. B I, 217-8. Sim. M. u. K. 3, 5, qu. $\S 377$; with active part., Sin. B 296; Adm. p. 105 ; with rel. form, Pt. 177 -

## § 394. The participles and relative forms in negative universal

 propositions.-In order to express ' there is none (or no.......) who(m) .....' the model of the existential sentence with $n n$ wn or simply $n n$ (§§ 108 ; 144, 4) is used.Exx. $\rightarrow$ nn wni rhswf there is none who can check his arrow. ${ }^{1}$

为 $n n$ wn bmt $\cdot n \cdot f r$-sy there is nothing at all which he does not know. ${ }^{2}$

城 overseer of five whose people I took away. ${ }^{3}$
 nothing thereof which escaped me, lit. passed by me. ${ }^{4}$

My Majesty perceived $\operatorname{con}_{0}$ that there was not anyone who would do it except thee. ${ }^{5}$ Note the s $\underline{d} m t y \cdot f y$ form.
 hast caused to speak. ${ }^{6}$

Much more rarely $n$ wnt is used.
Ex. $n$ wnt wp st there being no one who discriminated it. ${ }^{7}$
A strange and interesting case where $n$ wnt is combined with (iwe) won, the $i w$ being omitted according to rule ( $\$ 107,2$ ), is :

All its statues were broken, existing one who remembered them. ${ }^{8}$

One instance occurs where $i w$ is found standing before $n n w n$ in order to mark a strong contrast :

I tended it (my city) who gave to it (the city). ${ }^{9}$
§ 395. The participle after iw wn.-We might expect to find instances of $i w w n+$ participle corresponding to the examples with $n n w n$ quoted in the last section. No actual instance is forthcoming, but there is an indirect one, in which $i w$ is changed into $w n n$ after $i r$ 'if' according to the rule stated in § 150 :
 shall make investigation before thee. ${ }^{10}$ Here the s $\underline{d} m t y \cdot f y$ form is used.
§ 396. The participles of wnn as equivalents of the relative adjective.-Just as we found $w n t$ as an occasional substitute for $n t t$ 'that' ( $\$ 187$ ), so too the participles of wnn are sometimes used as equivalents of the relative adjective nty ( $\$ 199$ ); over nty they have the advantage of distinguishing two tenses, so that they can help to define the time and the duration of the facts stated in the equivalents of English relative clauses which they introduce. It
${ }^{1}$ Adm. $8,3$. Sim.
ib. $3,6.14 ; 9,5 ;$ Sin.
R $23 ;$ B $80 ;$ Cairo
20543, 19; Urk. iv.
$389,2$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 50I.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{44-5}$.

[^176]${ }^{7}$ Siut 4, 31. Sim. $J E A .15,3,12$.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 386. Sim, Sizt $\mathrm{I}, 235$, qu. § 51 I , 2; Urk. iv. 385,17 ; 758, 7 .
${ }^{9}$ Budge, p. 208, 1o. Sim. Eb. 23,14 . In the constr. of §394, $n n$ wnw $m(w) t, A ̆ Z$. 72, 85.
${ }^{10}$ Th. T. S. iii. 26.
will be seen that the phrases introduced by the participles of wnn may in every case be paralleled by main clauses beginning with $i w$, so that, to take a concrete instance, as the participial counterpart of $A$ ) izw.f nnbw 'he is living' ( $\$ 323$ ).
I. Examples with adverbial predicate ; corresponding main clauses with $i w$, see above § ir 7 .
 a messenger (now) sends another. ${ }^{1}$
 of pioneers (?) who are (continually) in the following of Pharaoh. ${ }^{2}$

In one example an entire virtual adverb clause is substituted for a simple adverbial predicate ; see above § 215 :

He planned to restore monuments which were no longer standing, lit. which were they-were-not-on-their-feet. ${ }^{3}$

Akin to the above examples is wnnw snd $\cdot f$ ht haswt 'fear of whom was throughout the foreign lands' ${ }^{4}$ quoted $\S 389$, I. There, however, wnnw appears to be a relative form rather than a participle, since it is followed by a subject differing from the antecedent; with this view agrees the ending $-w$, which is usual with the imperf. rel. form, but not with the imperf. act. participle.
2. Examples showing the pseudo-verbal construction; so with $i z w, \S 323$.
 $p r p n$ I surpassed every peer of mine who had been in authority in this temple. ${ }^{5}$
 $R$-stize these spirits who are wont to go in and out in Rostjaw. ${ }^{6}$
 the sight of men. ${ }^{7}$ For the masc. $s t_{3}$ in spite of fem. wnt see $\S 51 \mathrm{I}, 4$.

7月, of the lady of Cusae which had fallen into ruin. ${ }^{8}$

3. Cases where a participle from wnn precedes a narrative verb are of extreme rarity.

Ex. His Majesty has given command........ so as to look after the
 $h r s m s P_{r-c s}(r . w . s$.$) who has reached old age serving Pharaoh (l. p. h.). { }^{10}$

Wn ph.n.f here evidently serves as the past participle of the compound narrative verb-form izv ph.n.f ( $\$ 68$ ). For this and other analogous developments see below $\S \S 402.469-475$, especially $\S 474,3$.
§ 397. Negation of the participles, sdmty fy form and relative forms.-For this purpose use is made of the negative verb tm ( $\$ 342$ ), which itself assumes the required verbal form, being followed by the negatival complement ( $\$ 341$ ) or sometimes, from Dyn. XVIII on, by the infinitive ( $\$ 344$ ).
I. Negation of the participles. The imperfective and perfective active participles of $t m$ are indistinguishable.
 is the heart which educates its lord as one who hearkens or as one who does not hearken. ${ }^{1} \quad \mathrm{Tm}$ is probably imperf. act. part., though since the perf. is also used in epithets ( $\$ 367$ ) we cannot be quite sure of the tense.
 occurred (lit. passed). ${ }^{2}$ Tmt is perf. act. part.

In the passive, the imperfective participle $t m$ is distinguished from the perfective tmm ( $\$ 360$ ).
 interfered with. ${ }^{3}$ Lit. being finished with the disturbing his command; wdt-mdw is object of the negatival complement, which is always active.
 kwy bityw all lands ....... which had not been trodden (lit. treading them) by other kings. ${ }^{4}$
 of seers (title of the high-priest of Heliopolis) has not been initiated. ${ }^{5}$ Lit. (a thing) having been finished with the introducing the chief of seers (object of $b s(w))$ upon it. For the construction see too $\S 377$.
2. Negation of the sdmty fy form.
 now as for him who shall lose it (this boundary) and shall not fight on behalf of it. ${ }^{6}$
3. Negation of the relative forms.
 any place in which I did not make monuments. ${ }^{7}$

Obs. The beginner must realize that the constructions of $\S 394$ do not constitute negations of the verbal notion itself. What they negate is the existence of a person or thing described by the help of a participle or a relative form.

## § 398. The participles and relative forms in comparisons.-

 Egyptian differs from English in its tendency to focus comparison upon some specific thing, rather than upon an action, so far as this is possible. The participles and relative forms are very useful for this purpose, since they always describe somebody or something, and this may be the thing compared.1 Pt. 550-1. Sim.
P. Kah. 1, 9 ; Sebekn.
2;Urk. iv. 97 I, 7. Io.
${ }^{2}$ Adm. p. 97. Sim. ib. 7, 8. 9. 10. 11; 8, 11; 9, 4.
${ }^{3}$ Siut I , 268.

4 Urk. iv. 780 . Sim. ib. 344, 7, qu. §344; 1097, 12; Sinai 54 ; Adm. p. 100.
${ }^{5} \dot{A} Z .57,2^{*}$. Sim. Mill. 1, 3-4; P. Kah. 2, 15 .
${ }^{6}$ Berlin ÄI. i. p. 258, 19. Sim. Mar. Abyd. ii. 30, 37; Urk. iv. IIO9, 4.
${ }^{7}$ Louvre Cis. Sim. Rifeh 7, 39; Adm. 8, I; Urk. iv. 1074, 5 .
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 30\%. Sim. with iry, BH. i. 25, 24; Kopt. 8, 7. In relative form, Siut $\mathrm{I}, 278.29 \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{2}$ Sinn. B3II. Sim. Sh. S. 187.
${ }^{3}$ Sh. $S_{155}$. Sim. ib. 174; Ikhern. 9. Io; Urk.iv. 780, 9. With pass. part., Louvre C 11,5 ; Urk. iv. 897, 15 (ddti).
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 188. With rel. form, Lac. TR. 22, 14.
 $n s m r t p y$ as is done (lit. like what is done) for a foremost Companion. ${ }^{1}$

It (i. e. this book) has come (to an end) \& S M M M was found (lit. like what was found) in writing. ${ }^{2}$

Then that ship arrived \& what he had) foretold beforehand. ${ }^{3}$
 of what was before. ${ }^{4}$

The use above illustrated overlaps with the other in which a sddmff or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is employed, see above $\S 170$.
§ 399. The participles in virtual indirect questions.-The participles may be employed in Egyptian where Latin or Greek would show an indirect question.
 him who) brought me to this country. ${ }^{5}$
 was given to Horus? Lit. that given Pe to Horus on account of it. ${ }^{6}$
$\S 400$. The participles and relative forms as predicate in relative clauses with ntt.-The following examples are exceptional:

I cause you to know -0 ) $m \mathrm{ntt} w d \underline{d} \mathrm{hr} \cdot \mathrm{i}$ about that which has been commanded to me. ${ }^{7}$ Lit. (something) out of that which is what has been commanded to me.

I make eternity clear in your sight $m$ ntt $m r t \cdot n$ it $\cdot i$ according as my father wishes. ${ }^{8}$ Lit. according to that which is what my father has desired.
$N t t$ seems entirely superfluous in these examples. As they stand, it appears necessary to take $w d d t$ and $m r t \cdot n i \cdot l i$ as directly juxtaposed ( $\$ 125$, end) predicates to $n t t$.

## THE SDMT.F FORM

§ 401. Its three uses.-Under the name of the sdmt.f form ${ }^{9}$ we shall deal with a verb-form which is partly verbal and partly nominal, and the characteristic feature of which is a formative o $t$ appended immediately to the verb-stem. The sdmt•f form has three uses: A, after $\sim n$ 'not', chiefly with the meaning 'he has (or had) not yet heard'; B, as a narrative tense (rather doubtful) ; C, after prepositions, ex. $r$ sdmt.f'until he has heard'. These uses will be treated separately, since it is not quite certain that the sdmt.f form has identical origin in all three cases.
§ 402. A. The construction $\rightarrow n$ sdmt.f.1-This construction is particularly common as a virtual adverb clause with the meaning ' before he has (had) ......', lit. ' he has (had) not (yet).....'
 before it has come. ${ }^{2}$ English present perfect.
 $n$ Hnty $n$ rngt-sn who saw the face of the Crocodile-god before they lived (or had lived). ${ }^{3}$ English past tense or past perfect tense.

 without thee, before the courtiers had heard that I was handing over to thee, before I had sat (enthroned) together with thee. ${ }^{4}$ English past perfect.

In spite of its common use illustrated above, $n$ sdmmt.f is not to be regarded as essentially subordinate in meaning. This seems indicated by sporadic examples after $i w$, after $i s t$, and after the relative adjective $n t y$, all of these being elsewhere regularly prefixed to constructions having the form of main clauses.

 ist $n$ hprt 3 st (when) Isis had not yet come into existence. ${ }^{\circ}$
 (yet) happened. ${ }^{7}$

The omission of the subject seen in the last example is found elsewhere in cases where the context makes its expression superfluous.
 they could foretell a storm before (it) came, and a tempest before it happened. ${ }^{8}$

After a preposition, izw $n$ sdmt.f becomes wn $n$ sdmt.f; cf. above $\S 157$, I.
 $n$ hprt stsw $\check{S} w$ when the supports of Shu had not (yet) come into existence. ${ }^{9}$

Only very rarely is the temporal significance 'not yet', 'before' absent. In one case we must probably translate as a virtual clause of circumstance, not of time.
 without my swerving from the place where he was. ${ }^{10}$

Under this head, too, it seems necessary ${ }^{11}$ to place the phrase $n$ wnt 'there is (was) not', which has been discussed and illustrated in §§ 108; 109; 115; 120; 188, $2 ; 394$. No examples seem to be forthcoming where $n$ wnt means 'before there was', 'there was not yet', but this is not the only point in which wan differs from other verbs.
${ }^{1}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. 22.

[^177]${ }^{4}$ Mill. 2, 5. Sim. Lac. TR.78,7 (hprt); 27 (hprt.tn); BH. i. $4^{1}(r h t \cdot f)$; Urk. iv. 2, 15 (irti).
${ }^{5} A Z .58,20^{*}$.
${ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 167 ,
16. Sim. with sk, $E b$.
39. 19.
${ }^{7}$ Peas. B i, 272.
Sim. Westc. 5, 11 .
${ }^{8}$ Sh. S. 30-2, but $97^{-8}$ has $i i t \cdot f$. Sim. Leyd. V77 Urk. iv. 971, 2.

- Urk. v. 6.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 892.
${ }^{11}$ Differently,Gunn, Stud. ch. 19.
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．1090， 10.
${ }^{2} A \ddot{Z}$ ．12，87， 1 r ． Sim．hst，Pap．mag． Ram．，unpublished．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．892， 9.
4 Urk．iv．2， 15.
${ }^{5}$ Mill．2， 5 ．
${ }^{6}$ Sh．S．98．Sim． Peas．B1，183． 271.
${ }^{7}$ Leyd．V 7.
${ }^{8}$ See Rev．Kg．n．s． ii． $50-\mathrm{I}$ ．
－Lac．TR．19，60－
I．Sim．ib．80， $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ； Pap．mag．Ram．，un－ publ．
${ }^{10}$ Iouiya Pl． 22.
${ }^{100}$ Coffins，M 3 C ，
137．Sim．Git，i29， cf．Pyr． 779.
${ }^{11}$ Pap．mag．Ram．， unpublished．
${ }^{12}$ Ann．5， 234.
13 Iouiya，Pl． 22.
${ }^{14}$ Lac．TK＇．80， 15 ． Sim．${ }^{\text {sfdyt，above，n．10a．}}$ ${ }^{15}$ Lac．TR．19， 60. ${ }^{16}$ Pap．mag．Kam．， unpublished．
17 Iouiga PI． 22. Sim．Ann．5， 234.
${ }^{18}$ LAC．TK．19， 61 ； 80， 15 ；D．el B．116， $4^{\text {th }}$ hour．
${ }_{19} A Z Z .59,5$ ，top， in the autographed pages．
§ 403．Forms of the verb in the construction $\sim \sin ^{\circ}$ n sdmt．f．— In the immutable verbs the formative $t$ is simply added to the stem；in the text and notes of the preceding section examples have been quoted from the 2 －lit． verbs $f h, r h, m r$ and from the 3 －lit．verbs $\mathrm{cnh}, h p r, s d m$ ．

The following forms are found from the mutable verbs；note that in the zae inf．，4ae inf．，and anom．verbs the sdmt．f form has the appearance of the infinitive．
 see § 402.
 ＇Make＇shows ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ irt－i＇I had made＇．${ }^{4}$

4ae inf．日哈通 hmst－i＇I had sat＇．${ }^{5}$

§404．The passive of 1 ．$n$ sdmt．f．${ }^{8}$－When the construction $n$ śdmt．$f$ has passive meaning，the verb－form assumes the appearance of the fem． perf．pass．part．

Exx．合緼 I came into existence when bulls had not yet been born，and cows had not yet been made．${ }^{9}$

道 $r d t) r \xi, n m s t \xi n d t, n k m(3) y t h m t(?)$ h $h r h_{3} s w t \cdot f$ his name came into existence before the cedar had grown，before the acacia had been born，before the copper had been created in its mountain－deserts．${ }^{10}$

Examples with pronominal subject are rare，ex． $m k r k s(y) h r$ whrt $n$ šdyt．s behold，it is（still）in dock，not（yet）having been removed．${ }^{10 a}$

The verb－forms in question are：
 for spht＇had been lassoed＇；${ }^{12} \triangle \$^{2}$ ．$k m(3) y t$＇had been created＇．${ }^{13}$
誚 $m s t{ }^{17}$＇had been born＇； 40 iryt＇had been made＇．${ }^{18}$
anom．S0l0 rdyt＇（it）has been allowed＇．${ }^{19}$
Obs．When the verb is in its abbreviated form，the question arises as to whether the passive of $s d m \cdot f$ is not to be understood．
§405．Origin of the verb－form in $\rightarrow$ nin $n$ sdmt．f．—That the sidmt．f form cannot have originated in the infinitive，as was formerly supposed， seems evident from the number of examples which have been found from 2 －lit． and $\xi$－lit．verbs，i．e．from strong verbs with masculine infinitives．The discovery of the passive counterpart $n$ śd $d m t$（§ $\$ 404$ ）prompts the conjecture that $\dot{s} \underline{d} m t \cdot f$ was
participial in its origin, since both the outward form and the passive meaning of such examples as $-\mathbb{N} \| 4 \cap n m s y t$ and $\mu a .14 \circ n$ iryt appear to identify the verbs here as feminine perfective passive participles. The question thus arises: from which participle must the active śdmt.f be derived?

This problem is best approached through a consideration of the passive counterpart just mentioned. If iryt in $\sim 010 \circ n$ iryt is or originated in the perf. pass. participle which it appears to be, its original meaning will have been 'that having been made' or 'that which has been made'. But there are good grounds for thinking that such meaning could have developed into 'the having been made', '(the fact) that.... has (or had) been made', compare the transition from 'that which' into '(the fact) that' in - $n t t$ and $w n t$ ( $\$$ 233.237), in the Hebrew On this basis $n$ ( 10 would signify 'not (is) that. . . . has (or had) been made', the construction resembling that of (more rarely -1 ) +infinitive ( $\$ 307$ ); for the use of $\mu n$ rather than $\mu n n$ the analogy of $n$ sdm $m$. $f^{\prime}$ he has not heard' ( $\$$ § 105. 455) and of several other forms of the suffix conjugation (§410) may have been at work.

In the case of the active $\rightarrow n$ sod $d$ there is the difference that the originating sdmt.f must have been a relative form; 'that which he has (or had) heard' will have passed into 'the fact that he has (or had) heard', the prefixed - again signifying ' not (is)'. The objection which might until recently have been raised, namely that the forms in $n$ s sdmt.f are those of a relative form ${ }^{1}$ having only prospective meaning, is now disposed of by the discovery that this form or one very much like it early often had past meaning ( $\$ 389,2$ : the Perfective Relative form). Now the relative forms have been seen to have evolved out of passive participles ( $\$ 386$ ) ; it would follow that the same perf. pass. part. gave rise both to the active $\pi$ śdmt.f and to its passive counterpart, the former having originated in 'not (is) the having been heard of (i.e. by) him'. To this hypothesis there is no serious obstacle, since we shall adduce cogent arguments to prove that both the active sdm•f(\$411, i) and the passive sdm.f(\$421) were derived from passive participles; see especially the last paragraph of $\S 4^{2} \mathrm{I}$.

An alternative theory ${ }^{2}$ has, however, been put forward connecting the verbforms in $n$ s $\leq d m t \cdot f$ and its passive counterpart with the so-called 'complementary ${ }^{2}$ Gunn, Stud. pp.
${ }^{77}$-9.

1 The Prospective Relative form of $\$ 389$, 2 in the Ist ed. infinitive' ( $\$ 298$, OBs.), from which various feminine active forms like لـornt 'a rising' occur, together with at least one passive form $\mathbb{W} \mid Q \sim m s y t$ ' a being-born'. This theory does not necessarily contradict our own hypothesis; for these feminine 'complementary infinitives' may themselves be derived from fem. pass. participles, as indeed is highly probable in the case of the passive $m s y t$.
${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 23-5$.
2 Möller, Hier. Pal. i. no. 243, followed by Allen and Gunn.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{5} ; \mathrm{R}_{45}$.
${ }^{4}$ Uik. iv. 1074, 10.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 283$.
${ }^{6}$ Sin. B86. 107.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin}$. R 28 ; B ${ }^{5}$.
${ }^{8}$ Sh. S. 166 ; Sin. B 4 .
${ }^{9}$ Sh. S. 54.
${ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 23$.
${ }^{11}$ Sin. B iog;Louvre C 12, 3; Sinai 90, 5.

12 Sh. S. 166. Sim. Sin. B 4-5, but this MS. often omits the suffix ist sing.
${ }^{13}$ Sh. S. 54-5. Sim. Sin. B $\mathrm{I}_{5} \xlongequal{=}=r d i \cdot n \cdot i$ R 4I); prob. also, ib. B 283.
${ }^{14}$ Sh. S. 166-7.

[^178]§ 406. B: The narrative śdmt.f form.-Whereas the sdmt.f form after the negative $n$ is a well-authenticated and clearly differentiated verb-form, such is not the case with that śdmt.f form which occasionally takes the place of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ in narrative. Only one example has been quoted from an immutable verb, namely $s s k t$ in
 sdm.n•i hrw nmi $n$ mnmnt (when) I had lifted up my heart and collected my members, I heard the sound of the lowing of herds. ${ }^{1}$

The reading $s 3 k t$ has been doubted on palaeographical grounds, ${ }^{2}$ but seems probable. In all other examples that have been quoted, however, the supposed narrative sdmt.f form might well be the infinitive; the verbs in question are

 as infinitive ; see below $\S 447$. That the infinitive can be employed in virtual narrative seems proved by the examples quoted in $\S 306,2$, in some of which forms in $t$ from zae inf. or anom. verbs alternate with forms without $t$ from 3 -lit. verbs. Nevertheless, when forms in $t$ are in close association with $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, it is legitimate to question whether we have not to do with affirmative examples of $s \underline{d} d m t \cdot f$ in narrative.

Note that even in texts where the suffix ist sing. 蛋 $\cdot i$ is ordinarily written, this is omitted if the reflexive dependent pronoun wi follows. Ex. 通 rdit•(i) wi ' I placed myself'. ${ }^{12}$ Cf. below $\$ 412$.

In a few passages besides that quoted above the supposed $\delta d m t \cdot f$ form either must or may be translated as a virtual clause of time.
 stick, I created fire. ${ }^{13}$
 $n t r$, chr $n d \underline{d} d \cdot n \cdot f n \cdot i$ it happened, (when) I had placed myself on my belly to thank him, thereupon he said to me. ${ }^{14}$

Occasionally, however, it seems possible or necessary to render as a main clause.
 ward. ${ }^{15}$
§ 407. C. The sdmt.f form after prepositions.-This, at all events, is a genuine use of the sdmt.f form, since examples are found from various immutable verbs where no alternative explanation seems possible. A doubt occurs, however, in the zae inf. and anom., where the verb-form is indistinguishable from the infinitive. Nor is it altogether certain that the sdmt.f as used in this construction is identical with the $s \underline{d} d m t \cdot f$ of the negative $n s d m t \cdot f$
(§§ 402-5), since here no passive examples like those of $\S 404$ can be quoted to prove the participial origin. There is, however, considerable likelihood that the sdmt.f form is identical in all its uses.

The problem is to discern any difference between the construction prep. + śdmt•f form and the constructions prep. $+\dot{d} d m \cdot f\left(\${ }_{1} 55\right)$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f(\xi 156)$ form. In all certain examples the time of the action appears to be relatively past, i.e. anterior to the time of the main verb, agreeing with the origin proposed for the śdmt.f form in $\S 405$. It seems by no means unlikely that this common employment after prepositions is the reason for the comparative rarity of the $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form in this use.
I. Quite certain examples, i. e. examples from immutable verbs, have been found only with the prepositions $\propto r$ 'until' and $\triangle \underline{d r}$ 'since', 'from the moment that', but also 'before', 'until'. ${ }^{\circ}$

Exx. A torch shall be lighted for thee in the night wbnt $\xi w h r s n b t \cdot k$ until the sun has arisen over thy breast. ${ }^{1}$ The main verb refers to the future.
 has invited. ${ }^{2}$ The main verb is a command.
 until I reached (or had reached) the town of Itu. ${ }^{3}$ In past narrative.

4日通 rwy. $f$ I have been (lit. am or was) in this land under his command until death overtook him, lit. happened upon his hands. ${ }^{4}$
2. The use after $m$ 'when', $m$ - $h t$ 'after', $h f t$ 'when' and $\& \Delta m i$ 'like', 'according as' is more doubtful, since instances are forthcoming only from zae inf. and anom. verbs. There is nothing to prevent such instances being interpreted as infinitives (see $\S \$ 301.304$ ), though again there is no positive evidence in favour of this view. The analogy of $r$ and $\underset{d}{ }$ favours a provisional classification under the heading of the sdmt.f form.
 $s m s \cdot n \cdot(i) s w$ but when his son (had) gone down into his place . . . . . I I served him. ${ }^{5}$

I filled his temple . . . . when My Majesty came . . . . . on the first occasion
 he gave (or had given) me all the lands of Djahi. ${ }^{6}$
 $m$-ht gmt.f sw dbsw $m$ inrw after he had found it blocked with stones. ${ }^{7}$
 $t p t$ nt nht when My Majesty returned from the first campaign of victory. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{0}$ See Junker, Gîza III, 93 .
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 117, 4 ; 148, 14 ; 499, 10. Sim. Sh. S. 118 ( $k m t . k$ ); P. Kah. 5, 25. 29 (snbt.s); Siut 1, 278. 308 (pht-sn). In present time, Lac. $T R$. 21, 33(h3t.k); AZ.64, 113 ( $h p r t$ ).
${ }_{2}^{2}$ Pt. 126. Sim. $i$ b. 87 (wnt), qu. $\$ 215$; $453(\mathrm{sdm} \cdot \mathrm{k})$. $\mathrm{In}^{2}$ wish, possibly Weste. ${ }_{11}, 16$ (iwt.n).
${ }^{3}$ Sin. B 247 . Sim. Ann. 37, P1. II, 25 ${ }^{(\text {bprt }) \text {; also possibly }}$ PSBA. 18, 202, 9 (izut).
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 405. Sim. from 3 ae inf. verbs, ' since', ÄZ. 47, 92, 3 (prt.i); Urk.iv. 386, 2 (het.l); 1073, 10 (prli); 'before', BUDGE, 208, I (d $d^{2} \cdot k$ ).
${ }^{5}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 12. Sim. BH. i. 25, 36 (it); Urk. iv. 89, 8 (iwt.f).
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 767 . Sim. ib. 591, 12 (rdit.f.).
${ }^{7}$ Urk.iv. $814=90$, 2. Sim. ib. 751, 2 (gmt); 745, 12 ( $i t$ ).
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 740. Sim. ib. 698, 16; 74I, 5 ; 767, 3 (it).

[^179]${ }^{8}$ Pt． 466.

[^180]${ }^{5}$ Pt． 87.
${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．1073， 10.
7 Urk．iv．814， 12.
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．492， 7.
${ }^{9}$ Quib．Saqq．ii．p． 33.
${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv．591， 12 ； 767， 5 ；807， 10.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．198， 8.
${ }^{12}$ BH．i．25，36； UTrk．iv．745，12；767， 3.
${ }^{13}$ PSBA．18，202， 9 ；Urk．iv．89， 8.
 rwy：sy hr ss：s Hr even as she placed her hands over her son Horus．${ }^{1}$

A e given to me，according as I have done it．${ }^{2}$
§ 408．Negation of the sdrmt．f form after prepositions．－An example of the negative verb tm in the $s d m t \cdot f$ form can be quoted．

Deal with him privately art not troubled about his condition．${ }^{3}$
§ 409．Forms of the sdrmt．f form after prepositions．－In the text or notes of the last two sections examples have been quoted from the following immutable verbs：ph，km，tm（2－lit）；$i_{3} \xi$, ，wbn，$k p r, s n b, s d m$（ $3-l i t$ ）．To these
 the writing of $t i$ for $t$ in a MS．of Dyn．XVIII is of importance for the view of the perfective relative form adopted above $\S 387,2$.

In the case of some mutable verbs there arises the possibility，as we have seen，that the supposed sdmt•f form might be the infinitive．The following exx． will suffice to illustrate the various verbal classes；for further details see the notes of $\S 407$ ．The preposition is added in brackets after each form quoted．
zae gem．${ }^{-1}$ wnt has been＇$\left.(r)\right)^{5}$
зae inf．口今通 $p r t \cdot i^{\prime}$＇I went forth＇$(\underline{d} r) ;^{6} \mathcal{P}^{\circ}$ a $g m t \cdot f$＇he had found＇$(m-h t) ;^{7}$


 there are both $\AA_{\Delta}^{i}$ it $(m, m-h t, h f t){ }^{12}$ and $\Delta \$$ izvt $(r, m) ;^{13}$ but the latter might be either infinitive or $s d m \cdot f$ ，see $\S 448$ ．

## VOCABULARY

． 60 䏂 $3 m i$ mix，compound，$h r$ with． $B 0^{3}$ abbrev．$\underbrace{\infty} w t$ bandage，bind． SN $\triangle w d_{s}$ proceed． 씅 $h n n$ assent to；attend to．是 $h r$ fall；caus．shr overthrow．

18 $\underbrace{\circ}$ ski destroy；empty out．
＂nm $k n$ complete，be complete．
国 园 $g f n$ rebuff；$g f n w$ rebuff（n．）
Sob ${ }^{\text {So }}$ ，$t k k$ attack．
mun $t n i$ distinguish．

104 iyt mishap，harm．
$\square \uparrow \square p r$－$h d \underline{t r e a s u r y}$（lit．white house）．
肖朋の可」msyt supper，evening meal．


$\rightleftharpoons \square$ sett bread or cake of some kind．
صfin sin swow poor man．
$\triangle$ 陚盈
$\underset{\sim}{x}$ Bel Fnbw Syrians；hence ＇Phoenicians＇，Gk．Фоívıкєs．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Festschrift für F．Hommel，Leipzig，19r7－8，i．305－32．

## EXERCISE XXVII

（a）Reading lesson；extract from the book of precepts purporting to have been written by the vizier Ptahhotpe in the reign of Asosi $(D y n . V):^{1}$


成酸和
－19＂





4eかe

ir wnn $k$（§ 150）$m$ sprw $n \cdot f$ ，
$h r(\S 40,2) s d m \cdot k n \underline{d} d(\$ 5 I \mathrm{I}, 4) s p r w$.
$m$（§ 340 ）$g f n s w$ ，

$$
r \operatorname{skt} \cdot f \underline{h} t \cdot f,
$$

$$
r \underline{d} d t(i) \cdot f(\S 409) i z \cdot n \cdot f h r \cdot s .
$$

$m r$ sprw hnn（\＄303）tsw m ，

$$
r \underline{k} n \cdot t(w) i z t \cdot n \cdot f(h r \cdot s
$$

he sw im r sprw nb．
ir irr gfnw m sprw，
ize dd－tw（§462），

$$
i w \cdot f \operatorname{tr} r m(\$ 495) \operatorname{ir} \cdot f(\$ 463) \text { st? }
$$

${ }^{1}$ Pt．264－74，with some omissions．
2 The manuscript，dating from the Eighteenth Dynasty，divides off connected groups of words by means of red ＇verse－points＇，so called because they are commonest in poetical texts．
${ }^{3}$ This sign is cancelled in red．
＇If thou art one to whom petition is made，listen quietly to what the petitioner says（lit．let thy hearing to the speech of the petitioner be quiet）．Rebuff him not until he has poured out his heart（lit．body），until he has said that for which he came．A petitioner had rather（lit．likes）that his words should be attended to than that（the thing）for which he came should be accomplished；he rejoices thereat more than any（other）petitioner．As for one who deals（lit．makes）a rebuff to（lit．with）a petitioner，people say，To what purpose，pray，does he do it？＇
(b) Translate into English:

 mm (3) 品






${ }^{1}$ Prescription from a medical papyrus.
${ }^{2}$ Passive $\delta$ d́dm.f, §422, 2.
${ }^{3}$ § 165 , 10 .
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(1) She whom he had never seen is (now) possessor (fem.) of his property. He who had not made for himself a coffin is (now) possessor of a treasury. (2) A departure was made from this place at time of supper. (3) All this happened by my hand (r) (even) as he had commanded. (4) There is no poor man for whom the like has been done. (5) Thou art Atum who came into existence by himself, before the plans of the gods had been made. (6) Beware lest thou say, I do not know why this has been done (give two alternative renderings of this sentence). (7) (That) happened to $(r)$ which no thought (ib) had been given. (8) They did it before order (wdt-mdw) was given (lit. made) to them.

## LESSON XXVIII

## THE SUFFIX CONJUGATION

§ 410. Under the name of suffix conjugation are to be understood those really verbal or 'narrative' ( $\$ 297,3$ ) verb-forms, like the $s d m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, in which the subject, if pronominal, is denoted by a suffix-pronoun following the verb-stem and whatever flexional elements may be added to the verb-stem. As such, the suffix conjugation is opposed ( I ) to the 'old perfective' (Lesson XXII), an originally narrative verb-form akin to the perfect of the Semitic languages, (2) to the adjectival verb-forms of the same type as the suffix conjugation, i.e. the 'relative forms' of $\$ \S 380$ foll., and (3) to the partly nominal, partly verbal sidmt•f form studied in the last Lesson ( $\$ \mathrm{~s}^{201-9)}$ ).

The following forms will have to be considered:
I. The sdm.f form, see above $\$ \$ 39-40$, below $\$ 4 \mathrm{II}$, and Lessons XXXXXXI. Two and probably more varieties existed, which are indistinguishable in the immutable verbs and only with difficulty distinguishable in the mutable verbs. We can, however, definitely discern (a) a perfective form without gemination, and (b) an imperfective form showing gemination in certain verb-classes.
2. The passive sddm•f form, see below $\S$ 419-24.
3. The sdmm.f form, an almost obsolete form with passive meaning; see below $\$ \S$ 425-6.
4. The $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see above $\S 67$ and below $\$ \S 4$ 12-8.
5. The $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ form, see below $\$ \$ 427-9$.
6. The $s d m \cdot b r \cdot f$ form, see below $\$ \$ 427 ; 430-2$.
7. The $s d m \cdot k \cdot f$ form, see below $\S \S 427 ; 433-5$.

Passives of all these forms except 2 and 3 , which are passive from the outset, may be made by the insertion of the indefinite pronoun ( $\$ 47$ ) $\$ t w$, var. $\Delta \cdot t(w)$, after the verb-form with its formative element. The formative element ( $n, i n, k r, k_{z}$ ) and the passive ending are dependent upon the verb-stem to the extent that they are inseparable from it ; but they show a certain independence in that they regularly follow any determinative which the verb-stem may possess,

 removed '. ${ }^{4}$ The sole exception to this rule is in the s $\underline{d} m \cdot f$ form when the passive ending has the abbreviated writing $\Delta \cdot t(w)$; in this case it is more often than not
 forms like 期 ${ }^{\circ} m s \cdot t(w) \cdot f$ 'he is born' ${ }^{6}$ also appear ; cf. a before the determinative in the ending $\cdot t(i)$ of the old perfective ( $\$ 309$ ).

If the subject is a suffix, this is inseparable from the verb-form and follows the determinative. ${ }^{6 a}$ If, on the contrary, the subject is a noun, this may, under certain conditions already studied (§66), be separated from the verb-form.


- D D © irw $n \cdot k h b r s$ there is made for thee a great feast. ${ }^{8}$

We shall see later ( $\$ 486$ ) that impersonal uses, i. e. cases where the subject is omitted, are far from rare, and this applies alike to the active forms of the suffix conjugation and to the passive $s d m \cdot f(\$ 422)$. It is perhaps better, however,
 made', ${ }^{9}$ as actives with the indefinite pronoun as subject, than as impersonal passives, though either description is defensible.

The general rules given above must be noted once and for all, as they cannot be repeated in treating of each separate form.
${ }^{1}$ Pt. 13.
${ }^{2}$ Urk iv. 19, 6.
${ }^{8}$ Sin. B 269.
4 Eb. 53, 7-8.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 233$.
${ }^{6 a}$ In sd $m \cdot f$ suffix 2nd f. sing. rarely before det. if written, not $t$, but $t$, Lef. Gr. § ${ }^{2} 43$, end.
${ }^{7}$ Peas. B I, $5^{2}$.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 76, 7. ib. B 55 (Che.n.tzu).
§ 411. The origin of the śdm•f and śdm•n•f forms.-It will pave the way for the account to be given of the suffix conjugation if the origin of its two commonest varieties be discussed by way of preface.
I. As regards the sddm.f form, an often held theory ${ }^{1}$ supposes this to be ultimately a sentence composed of active participle + pronominal or nominal subject; the whole would thus mean 'he is (or was) one hearing'. To this theory there are serious objections. We have already seen that 'he is one hearing' can be expressed in Egyptian either as ntf sidm (§ 373) or else as s $\mathfrak{d} d m$ $s w(\$ 374)$; it seems gratuitous to postulate a third method. The proposal is to consider $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ as a sentence of the same type as $\dot{s} d m \dot{s} w$, it being conjectured that the suffix-pronouns are merely worn-down dependent pronouns. This view of the suffix-pronouns may indeed be true in the last resort, but the differentiation of function between dependent pronouns and suffixes probably lies much farther back than the origin of the suffix conjugation, if this, as is supposed with great probability, supplanted an earlier kind of conjugation of which the old perfective is the last survival.

Much more serious, however, is the objection arising from the comparison of the ordinary narrative s $\dot{d} m \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms with the corresponding relative forms. Since the discovery of the perfective, i.e. non-geminating, relative form it has become possible to construct a most striking table of parallelisms.

RELATIVE FORMS


NARRATIVE FORMS


In face of these parallelisms the interdependence of the narrative and the relative forms seems indisputable; the two series coincide so closely at the end of their development that to assume a distinct origin for each is paradoxical. But the development of the relative forms out of the passive participles can now be traced in some detail; see above $\S 386$. The conclusion seems inevitable: the narrative Sddm•f and Sdm•n•f forms must likewise be derived from the passive participles. Only on this theory can the use of the suffix-pronoun in the sdm.f form be explained ; it is a direct genitive such as often serves to express the semantic subject after the passive participles ( $\$ 379,2$ ); sdm $\cdot f$ thus signifies 'heard of him'. 4 We saw ( $\$ 386$ ) that the passive participles, as extended by the addition of a semantic subject and object and a phrase containing the resumptive pronoun, must at a given moment have been construed actively, not passively. If this be granted, no great difficulty should be felt in supposing that at the same moment two separate kinds of verb-form began gradually to be
differentiated out of the passive participles, $(a)$ the ordinary narrative sddm.f and $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, in which the gender-endings were suppressed, ${ }^{0}$ and (b) the relative $s d m(w) \cdot f$ and $s d m(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, in which the gender-endings were retained.

The hypothesis here rejected assumes that the narrative $s d m \cdot f$ form, both in its geminating form, ex. 空迹 $m r r \cdot f$, and in its non-geminating form, ex. $T_{i=1} m r(y) \cdot f$, is derived from the imperfective active participle, the difference between the two varieties being attributed merely to emphatic or non-emphatic utterance. This view, which reduces the distinction between geminating and nongeminating sdm.f to the level of the distinction between English 'sayeth' and 'saith' or between German gehest and gehst, seriously underrates the difference in their meanings and syntactic uses. We shall find on closer study that, while the narrative $m r r \cdot f$ is definitely imperfective in meaning, the narrative $m r \cdot f$ and $m r(y) \cdot f$ has partly past and partly prospective signification-the latter, for example, after $i \not h(\$ 450,5, a)$, after $h 3(\$ 450,5, b)$, and after verbs like $r d i\left(\$ 45^{2}, 1\right)$. This agrees well with our view that the perfective relative form originated in the perfective passive participle ( $\$ 387,2$ ), of which it exemplifies at least two of the three uses ( $\$ \S 369,1.3 ; 389,2$ ).

As a last argument in favour of the origin of the ordinary narrative $s d m \cdot f$ in a passive participle, one may point to its parallelism with the narrative sddm. $n \cdot f$ form. For the latter no other explanation has been advanced than that it originated in a passive participle followed by a dative, since it is no explanation to say that the $n$ is a formative element added to an active participle. But if the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ originated in a passive participle, why not also the śd $d m \cdot f$ form ?
2. The sddm.n.f form. We have repeatedly referred to Sethe's view ${ }^{1}$ that this had its origin in a passive participle followed by a dative ; see above $\S \S 3$; $67 ; 386,2 ; 387,3$. Our own hypothesis that the developed use of the passive participle + dative led to the simultaneous evolution of (a) the relative śdmwenff and (b) the narrative sd$d m \cdot n \cdot f$ (see above) is only an elaboration of that view. It is significant that in the relative form $s d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ the participial and gender endings $-w$ and $-t$ precede the element $n$; hence it seems likely that $n$ is no part of the underlying participle. Analogies both in Semitic ( $\$ 3$ ) and in the Indo-European languages speak for the origin of the ending $\cdot n \cdot f$ in the dative. ${ }^{2}$ If $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ means properly 'heard (is) to him', then the resemblance to French il a fait and German er hat getan is obviously very close and illuminating, the more so since English 'he has' (il a, er hat) is often expressed in Egyptian by $n \cdot f$ 'to him' (§ II4, I). Compare also faciendum est mihi in Latin. But Egyptian also shares with French and German another mode of expression involving the dative of possession ; as we have seen (§ 141), nfr $n \cdot f$ is opposed to $n f r$ sw as il a froid to il est froid or ihm ist kalt to er ist kalt. Apparently

```
\({ }^{1}\) See Verbum, ii. §§ 359-89.
```

${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 156.161.
Sim. ib. $53 ; S i n . \mathrm{B}$
$200 ;$ LAC. TR. 3, 34.
$36 ;$ Urk. iv. 158,$16 ;$
1080,$16 ; 1083,2$.
${ }^{3}$ Hamım. $113,14$. In exx. like $P$. Pet. 1116 в, ı; Sh.S. 130; Urk. iv. 648, 4 a noun clause serves as subject, see p. 142, n. 4 .
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 24$.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{55}$.
${ }^{6}$ BH. i. 8, 9-10.
${ }^{7}$ Hamm. II3, 10. Sim. Louvre Cr, vert. 4. 5.
P.Pet. 1116A, 68. Sim. tkk.n, ib. 33 ; 3mm.n.f, Urk. iv. 17, 8, rnn.n.i, ib. 367 , i2.

- Sin. Bios; Leb. 7I; passive, Urk. v. 61, 17; 62, 2.
${ }^{10}$ JEA. 4, Pl. 9, 2, qu. § $414, \mathrm{I}$, end. Cf. too $i w w$ w.sn, Eleph. ${ }_{2} 5$, qu § 468, 2.
${ }^{11}$ Peas. B I, 22.
${ }^{12}$ Sin. R 19.
${ }^{13}$ BH. i. $25,4$.
${ }^{14}$ Cairo 2001 I . 20016.
${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 46-7.
the particular notion which is conveyed by the combination of an adjective or participle with a possessive phrase is the fortuitous or incidental character of an occurrence. There is nothing about this combination which definitely demands reference to past time, and the use of the $\bar{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form after the negative word $\leadsto n$ 'not' ( $\$ 418$ ), as well as the affirmative use to express immediate present time ( $\$ 414,5$ ), shows that, as with all other Egyptian verb-forms, the tendency to restrict its application to one particular time-position was secondary. The primary function of the śd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form was thus probably to present the verbal action as an incident, as something happening or occurring to someone, irrespective of time-position.

The origin of the other forms of the suffix conjugation will be discussed as occasion arises. They are obviously all of participial origin, and reason will be found for thinking that the participle in question was in every case a passive one.

## THE S $\dot{D} M \cdot N \cdot F$ FORM ${ }^{1}$

§ 412. Endings, etc.-Observe that, even in texts which habitually write the suffix 通 $\cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ of the ist sing., this is apt to be omitted before the reflexive dependent pronoun $w i$. Ex. 监 $r d i \cdot n$.(i) wi'I placed myself'. For a like omission elsewhere, see $\S 406$.
 'it happened'; ${ }^{3}$ also sd $d m \cdot f$ follows (below $\S 476$ ).

The passive in twe is not very common, since the passive sdm.f form corresponds to active sdm $d \cdot n \cdot f$ in various uses; see below $\S 422$. Not infrequently
 $n$ rhrentwe 'no one stands'. ${ }^{5}$

Examples where the formative $n$ precedes the determinative are rare, and may be considered faulty : exx.

Obs. For the elliptical omission, in a sequence of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms, not only of the suffix subject, but also of the formative $n$, see below $\$ 487$.

## § 413. Forms from the mutable verbs.

2ae gem. A few geminating forms are known, ex. $\Delta \mathcal{A}\{==k b b \cdot n$ 'does (not) grow cool'. ${ }^{8}$ 'See' has $m 3 \cdot n \cdot f^{9}$ 'Exist' has a form $w n \cdot(i)^{10}$ ' I was' which might possibly stand for $w n \cdot n \cdot i$, since it is parallel to $m ; \cdot n \cdot(i)$ ' I saw'; cf., however, above $\S 387,3$ and Add. to the present paragraph ; there is often a doubt whether is to be taken as $\dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ or as geminating $\dot{d} d m \cdot f(\$ \$ 120$, end; 326).


 caus． $2 a e \mathrm{gem} . \vec{\Delta} \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{d}=-\mathrm{sk} \mathrm{kb} \cdot \mathrm{n}$＇cooled＇．${ }^{3}$

 found varying with $\square_{2}$ ． 9


＇Bring＇writes normally $\AA=$ for $i n \cdot n \cdot f,{ }^{15}$ but $\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}$ in by no means rare．${ }^{16}$
The absence of gemination in the 3 ae inf．and anom．agrees well with the theory（ $\$ 41 \mathrm{II}, 2$ ）that the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form originated in the perf．pass．part．Its presence in some forms of the $2 a \mathrm{gem}$ ．is just possibly to be attributed to the former existence in this class of reduplicating perf．pass．participles such as we found for the 2 －lit．verbs，$\S 360 .^{162}$ The suppression of the participial ending is no more than we should expect to find before the originally prepositional formative $n$ ，see above $\S \S 361 ; 379,3$ ．
§ 414．Affirmative uses of the $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}$ form．－We have seen that the primitive function of the sdmenff form was to present the verbal action as an incident happening to someone，irrespective of time－position（ $\$ 411,2$ ，end）．Never－ theless，in most affirmative uses it is used solely in reference to events lying in the past．

I．It is the usual form in past narrative，where it may be rendered，according as the case demands，either（a）by the English present perfect，or（b）by the English past tense．

Exx．（a）鸟管边 $d d \cdot n \cdot i m$ mst I have spoken in truth．${ }^{17}$
 of what hast thou reached this ？${ }^{18}$
 order that I may break your water－pots．${ }^{19}$
（b） $\operatorname{com}^{-20}$

Note carefully that the corresponding negation is $n=n \leqslant d m \cdot f$ ，not


As already noted，the passive śdm．n $n$ twof $f$ is rather rare（ $\$ 412$ ），the passive $s d m \cdot f$ form often taking its place $(\$ 422,1)$ ．In narrative of the ist pers．the old perfective is frequently the passive counterpart of the active $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 312,2) .{ }^{22}$

The simple $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is the staple of most past narrative，but at the beginning of paragraphs it was often felt to need reinforcing．Hence the
 to which we shall return later．
${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 78$.
${ }^{2}$ T．Carn． 2.
${ }^{3}$ Lac．TR．37， 13.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 78$.
${ }^{5}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, \mathbf{2 7 5}$.
${ }^{6} B H$. i．25，77．
${ }^{7}$ Siut 5， 22.
${ }^{8}$ Lac．TR． $14,4$.
${ }^{9}$ Lac．TR．66， 1－3．
${ }^{10}$ Siut 3， 13.
${ }^{11}$ Lac．TR．8， 2.
${ }^{12}$ LAc．TR．4， 5.
${ }^{13}$ Urk．iv．566， 10. Sim．Paheri 1 ．
${ }^{14}$ Leyd．V $3,5$.
${ }^{15}$ Westc．6，10． 12.
${ }^{16}$ With one $n$ ，Sin． B 30．103；Sh．S． 114 ； $B H$ ．i．25， 7 I．
${ }^{163}$ For another pos－ sibility with regard to skbb see below p． 343.
${ }^{17}$ Sinai 53. Sim．ib． 90，5．II ；Sin．B46－ 7 ；Urk．iv．649， 8.
${ }^{18}$ Sin．B 34．Sim． in a question，Urk．v． 160，8． 9.
${ }^{19}$ Lac．$T R .10,7$. Sim ．with following clause of purpose，$i b$ ． 32，2；Urk．iv．614， 15－6．
${ }^{20}$ Sin．B 27．Sim． Peas．B I， 34 ；Sh．S． 4I；BH．i．25，4； Hamm．113，14；Urk． iv． 3 8， 14 ； 151,1 ； 649， 14.
${ }^{21}$ Sin．B 200．Sim． Leyd．V 4，5；Eb．75， 12.
${ }^{39}$ Exx．Urk．iv． 55. 160．530．1073．1208．


#### Abstract

${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 31, 19. Other exx., p. 179, n. I.


${ }^{2}$ Urk.iv. 879. Sim. ib. 28, 11; 834, 14; MAR. Abyd. ii. 30 , 39 ; Berl. AT. i. p. 258, 20. With isk, Eb. 1, 19; Budge, p. 291, 4. 6 .
${ }^{3}$ Sin. B168-9. Sim. after $m k$, Sh.S. 113 4, qu. § 148 , I. To be rendered as Engl. past, Sin. B $142-3$. 185; Bersh. i. 14, 5. After ink $p w$, etc., see § 190.
${ }^{4} \mathcal{F E} E$. 4, Pl. 9, 2. Sim. Urk. v. ${ }^{2 I}$, parallel to $i \cdot n \cdot i ; A Z$. 47, Pl. I, 3.

[^181] Sim. Peas. R 7. After narrative inf., Urk.iv. 5, 14; after Che.n+ noun + old perf., ib. 6, 12 .
${ }^{8}$ Sin. B 32-3; Sim. ib. 107. Of time, after sdm.n.f, Ur-k. iv. 8I4, 16,qu.Exerc.XXVIII, (a).
${ }^{9}$ As main clause, afterist( $r f$ ), Sin. RII.

We pass now to the use after the non-enclitic particles. After $m k$, as already seen ( $\$ 234$ ), the śdm $m \cdot n \cdot f$ form corresponds to the English present perfect.
 I have written (lit. sent) commending thee to the steward. ${ }^{1}$

After $i s \underline{\underline{L}}(\$ 231$ ) and $i s k(\$ 230)$ the $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form describes a situation or circumstance occurring in the past.
 Majesty found (or had found) this sanctuary in brick. ${ }^{2}$ There follows: His Majesty commanded to make this temple of hard stone.

Examples where such sentences with ist are best translated as clauses of time have been quoted in §212. So too after $t i, \mathrm{ib}$.

The s$\dot{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form has likewise past meaning after a nominal subject in anticipatory emphasis (§ 148, 1).

In conclusion, mention must be made of the rare cases where whin means 'I was' in past narrative.
 mine-country, I have seen it. ${ }^{4}$

The parallelism of $w n \cdot \dot{\imath}$ here to an indubitable $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form might seem to suggest that it stands for $w n \cdot n \cdot \hat{i}$, but see Add. to $\$ 41 \jmath$.
2. Not infrequently the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form serves to express relative past time, i. e. time which is past relatively to the time of the adjacent context.

Exx. upon his throne, (after) he has recovered his senses, lit. counted his body. ${ }^{5}$ Note the English present perfect.
 was returning, and had brought prisoners. ${ }^{\circ}$ Note the English past perfect.
 these gods went forth, (after) they had delivered Reddjedet. ${ }^{7}$ Engl. past perfect.
 he said this, (because) he knew my character, he had heard of my prudence. ${ }^{8}$ Engl. past perfect.

In most cases of the kind the sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is best translated as a virtual subordinate clause. ${ }^{9}$ It is this same relative past time which the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form expresses in all subordinate olauses where it occurs affirmatively, and there it is contrasted with the $s d m \cdot f$ form, which expresses relative present or future time. The last example shows, however, that śdm.n•f may have relative past time even when the surrounding narrative tenses involve the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form itself. Here again the corresponding negation is $n s \dot{d} d m \cdot f$, see below $\S 455$, I.
 form is used in reference to unfulfilled action，＇would that＇or＇if he had heard＇． Examples have already been quoted．The negation after $h_{3}$ is $n$ sddm $\cdot f, \delta \S 238$ ． 455，1；after ir it would doubtless be $t m \cdot n \cdot f \xi d m(w)$ ，§§ 151 ； $347,6$.

4．Some actions necessarily involve resultant states，and languages are apt to differ with regard to the angle from which such verbal notions are viewed． In the case of verbs of motion，English uses＇I have come＇and＇I am come＇ with hardly any perceptible difference．Egyptian，on the contrary，seems to have

 the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form as in $\$ 总 $i \cdot n \cdot i$（above 1）．The latter is certainly preferred when any stress is laid on the movement as an action performed by someone； such a stress occurs，for example，when words indicating the purpose of the movement are added．
 thee．${ }^{3}$

The difference，then，with verbs of motion is that the $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ form emphasizes the fact of the movement，while the old perfective merely calls attention to the result（\＄ 320 ）．

Still more conspicuously，Egyptian chooses to look upon＇knowing＇as ＇having learned＇，and＇remembering＇as＇having recollected＇．Hence the verbs埌 rb ＇learn＇，＇know＇and sher＇recollect＇，＇remember＇sometimes appear in the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form even where they must be translated by English present tenses．

Exx．

A similar use of $r h$ has been noted in connection with the old perfective （ $\$ 320$ ，end）and the $s d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form $(\$ 389,3)$ ；under the latter head some other like employments have been noticed．It will be seen below（ $\$ 455$ ， I ，end） that here again $n s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ corresponds as negation to the affirmative $s \underline{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ．

5．On a different footing is the common use of the sdm．$n \cdot f$ form in ritual texts and scenes to express an action simultaneously spoken of and performed．${ }^{\text {．}}$
 A god is depicted sprinkling water over the queen and speaking these words．
$\Delta=$ 三〇 $d i \cdot n \cdot(i) n \cdot k t_{3 w} n b$ I give to thee all lands．Words spoken by the god Dedwen while leading prisoners to the king．${ }^{8}$

This employment is so invariable as to justify us in regarding writings like
 ＇I bring to thee＇respectively．
${ }^{1}$ BH．i．8， 15 ．
${ }^{2}$ Westc． $8,12$.
${ }^{3}$ Westc．7，20．Sim． with clause of purpose， above p．329，n． 19. See，however，Westc． 3,7 ，where a phrase expressing purpose， follows mk wity．kwi．

4 Siut $\mathrm{I}, 280.310$. Sim．Ann．5，234， 22 ； Urk．iv．350，16；353， 12.
${ }^{5}$ Eb．2，3．Sim． Harh．412；Érk．iv． 27，14．

[^182]In this usage there seems no notion of past time, so that the $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form here appears to retain its primitive force of stressing the merely occurrent; such a translation as 'herewith I give to thee' renders the sense closely. Note that this employment is borrowed from Old Kingdom temple scenes, and has not been found in contexts of later origin.
 clauses, the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form has relative past meaning. This has already often been pointed out, and may be verified, so far as virtual noun clauses are concerned, in the rare cases where śdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$ serves as object of a verb ( $\$ 185$ ) or follows the genitival adjective $n y$ (§ 192).
§ 416. The śdm•n•f form in relative clauses.-An example in a virtual relative clause with undefined antecedent is quoted $\S 196,2$, and another after nty in $\S 20$ I. In both cases the corresponding negation was seen to be $n$ śdm•f. For the sidm•nff form after iwty see $\S \delta 203,6 ; 418$, end.
§ 417. The śdm•n•f form in adverb clauses.-I. We have observed $(\$ 414,2)$ that where $s \dot{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ has relative past meaning it must often be rendered as a virtual clause of time; sometimes it may have to be interpreted as a virtual clause of cause (§221).
2. The sddm.n.f form but rarely follows prepositions; when it does so it has relative past meaning, see above § 156 . For śd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ after ir 'if' see $\S \S 15 \mathrm{I}$; 414, 3 .
${ }^{1}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. I2, where a different standpoint is adopted.
${ }_{2}$ In its various constructions, viz. :§315, Urk.iv. 814,13 , qu. Exerc. XXVIII, (a) ; § 322, Pt. 13 , qu. below; § 323 , Adm. 2, 4, qu. below (1); Lrk. iv. 650,7 , qu. p. $2^{248}$, top; $\mathbf{§}^{266}$ Leb. 146; Nu, ch. 130, 4 ; Urk.iv. 518, ${ }^{15}$.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. B 2, 101-2; $N u$, ch. 149 , ii. 8, both qu. below; Urk. v. 67, 17.
${ }^{4}$ See § 445, 2.
${ }^{5}$ Peas. B 2, 98-9; Eb. 97, 2; EUdGE, p. 152,12 .

- Also with $\mathrm{h} r+$ inf., see § 334 .
§ 418. The negative construction $\rightarrow \infty$ ñ $n$ śdm• $n \cdot f_{0}^{1}$ —A broad
§ 418. The negative construction $\rightarrow-n$ s $\boldsymbol{n} m \cdot n \cdot f_{0}-A$ broad survey shows that the construction $n$ s $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ is common in characterizations, statements of custom, and generalizations of all kinds. The affirmative verb-forms which it accompanies and continues are, in the main, those usual in such contexts. Thus $n$ sddm.n.f is found in close association with the old perfective, ${ }^{2}$ the part of the verb best adapted to the description of more or less permanent conditions ( $\xi_{3} I \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{end}$ ) ; with the geminating participles ${ }^{3}$ (imperfective) or the geminating s $\dot{d} d m \cdot f$ form, ${ }^{4}$ parts of the verb often found to imply repetition or continuity ( $\$ \$ 365$ foll.; 440 foll.) ; or else, finally, with the compound verb-form iwe $f$ sd $d m \cdot f,{ }^{5}$ which we shall see later to be common in proverbs and statements of custom ( $\$ 463$ ). ${ }^{6}$

That the construction $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ does not itself explicitly generalize, though it certainly serves to reinforce generalizations, seems evident from the impossibility of linking up any such function with the affirmative uses of the śdm•n•f form. The true modus operandi of $n$ sddm $n \cdot n \cdot f$ becomes clear when we realize that the best way of confirming a generalization is to assert the absence of any invalidating incident. An example will here be helpful. The sentence
 not speak' is found in a description of old age. ${ }^{1}$ We have shown reason for thinking that the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form presents the verbal notion as an incident occurring to its doer ( $\$ 411,2$ ). If so, $n m d w \cdot n \cdot f$ may be freely paraphrased as ' an act of speaking does not happen to the mouth', or, in other words, its state of silence is not contradicted by any negative instance.

Naturally, when it is said that such and such an act does not happen to someone, some space of time is envisaged over which it might happen, so that we can now adopt the formulation already proposed in § 105, 3, and define the function of $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ as to deny the occurrence of an action throughout the course of a more or less prolonged period.

We might also render the sentence above-quoted 'the mouth is silent and cannot speak', and it will often be found that a possible, or even the best, rendering for $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ is 'he cannot', 'could not', or 'will not be able to hear'. ${ }^{2}$ In such renderings, however, an English standpoint is substituted for the Egyptian ; English affirms the impossibility of the act, while Egyptian merely states that over a contemplated period it does not occur.

The following examples show that the actions referred to by $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ may belong indifferently to present, past, or future time.
I. In reference to present actions, the commonest and most typical use. The time-position is often very vague, the statement being of proverbial or generalizing character.
 who sails with falsehood for a cargo (lit. under it ( grg ) ), he does not reach land. ${ }^{3}$ A proverbial utterance.
 are barren, no one conceives. ${ }^{4}$ Description of a prevailing condition.
 not tire. ${ }^{5}$ Characterization of a person.
2. In reference to past actions.

 heed to it, lit. gave not his temple to it. ${ }^{6}$ A continued activity is narrated.
 running. ${ }^{\text {ba }}$ Characterization of the young Amenophis II.
3. In reference to future actions.
 belly, without coming out, lit. it does not come out. ${ }^{7}$ A medical generalization.

Needless to say, the construction $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ is not confined to main clauses.
${ }^{1}$ Pt. 13.
${ }^{2}$ Exx. present, Peas. B I, ${ }^{2} 56$; P. Pet. $1116 \mathrm{~A}, 93$; past, Westc. ${ }_{12}, 3$, qu. § 369, 2 ; Urk. iv. 36 , 8; 758,15 ; future, Eb. 97, 19, qu. § 105, 3. Till (AZZ.67, 118) exaggerates the frequency of this sense.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. B 2, 101-2. Sim. ib. 75; B $1,256$. 325; Adm. p. 108 ; P. Pet. 1 II6A, 43 .

4 Adm. 2, 4 Sim. ib. 2, 5; 3, 8; 4, 1; P. Kah. 33, 8.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{~N} u$, ch. 149 , ii. 8. Sim. Sin. B 58.59 ; Peas. B 1, 174; P. Kah. 30, 11, qu. § 307.
${ }^{6}$ Peas. B I, 3 1-2. Sim. Westc. 5, I; 12. 3, qu. § 369,2 ; Brit. Mus. 6I4, 7.9; Urk. iv. $77,7, q u . \$ 440$, 2; 98, 9; 131, II; 697, 13; 758, 15 ; 814, I3, qu. Exerc. XXVIII, (a); I195,9; Rec. 29, 164, 9.
${ }^{64}$ Ann. 37, Pl. 2, ${ }^{12}$.
${ }^{7}$ Eb. 25, 5. Sim. ib. 97, 19, qu. § 105. 3 ; after wnn, Leb: I46; BUDGE, P. 285 , I.
${ }^{8}$ Exx．Urk．iv．6i6， 4． 10.
${ }^{1}$ Peas．B 1，${ }^{216 .}$.
$\operatorname{Sim} . E b .12,16$.
${ }^{2}$ Coffins I， $385, b$ ． Sim．$i b$ ．I，3I，$b ; 404$ ， $c$ ；Nav． 149 e， 30 ； Brit．Mus．I59， 1 I，qu． p．${ }^{153}$ ，n． 7 ．
${ }^{3}$ See Gunn，Stud． ch．14．Another diffi－ cult case，L．to D．， Cairo bowl 7 ．

[^183]${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．751．Sim． ib．847，3．$N n$ cer－ tainly for $n$ ，Rec． 29 ， 164,9 ．

Besides its use in virtual relative clauses，${ }^{8}$ it occurs also after the relative adjective $n t y$ ．

Ex． attack．${ }^{1}$

After the negative relative adjective iwty $(\S 203,6)$ the sidm．n．f form appears to have exactly the same meaning as in $n$ sddm $n \cdot n \cdot f$ ．

Ex．this noble god who came into being of himself and $\mu \rightarrow \rho^{2}$ $i w t(y) w d b \cdot n \cdot f$ sw $h r d d t \cdot n \cdot f$ who does not go back（lit．turn himself）upon what he has said．${ }^{2}$
§ 418 a．The negative construction＂hn sidm $n \cdot f \cdot{ }^{3}$－The examples of this construction are scanty，obscure，and sometimes even possibly corrupt．In a few places $n n$ śd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ denies with emphasis that something will （or can）occur．

Ex．
In two cases it is a past event which is denied．
Ex． acted to（wards）men（so that）people might utter boasts concerning it．${ }^{5}$

Until better evidence is forthcoming this construction must be regarded with suspicion，the more so since after the middle of Dyn．XVIII $\mu$ tends to take the place of $\mu$ in the writing，see § 104，end．

OBS．The student should examine in every instance of $n n s$ sdm $m \cdot n \cdot f$ whether $n n$ cannot mean＇there is none who $(\mathrm{m}) \ldots .$. ．＇in accordance with $\S 394$ ．

## V O C A B U LARY

IN ${ }^{-\infty}$ sh reap．
］${ }^{3}$ whm repeat．

解谄 si be satiated．
$1] \|$ 领 sbi rebel．
 slay．
$\Pi \eta_{\square}^{\mathrm{mmm}} s s n$ smell，breathe（trans．）．
$\|\{\underset{0}{\sigma}$ ek skdz travel by water，fare upon（river，sea）．
$\int=1 \pi$ In $s d$ tremble．
requ $53 d$ excavate，dig out．
sdi take out，extract，rescue； clear（a canal）．
$\circ \cap \circ \Omega \Omega$ titi trample down．
$\square$ mm tkn approach，with $m$ ，more rarely transitive．
易 whe fisherman．
$\int ी \underbrace{\forall}$ bis copper．
 a poor kind of cereal．
$\square m r$ lake，canal．
前会々 mshtyw adze。
$\bigcirc n b t$ basket ；island－home（？）．
$\stackrel{\square}{\square} \underset{\sim}{\sim} g r g$ falsehood，lie．
$\square 10 d p y$ crocodile（rare）．
 7 会 TA $36 w$ Elephantine，an island at the N ．end of the First Cataract．
 E．of the Euphrates．

## EXERCISE XXVIII

（a）Reading lesson．Inscription cut on a rock in the island of Sehêl，in the First Cataract．${ }^{1}$




```
    Nos,0
        ~|||\Delta
0
        音勫
        |>>
```





```
    "0f{{{
```

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． $8 r_{4}$ ．
hut－sp so，tpy（ $n$ ）smw，sw 22，
br hm $n n$－sw－bit $M n$－$k p r-R r$ ，di $r_{n h}$ ．
$w d$（ $\$ 306$, Obs．）$h m \cdot f$ s $s d m r p n$ ，
$m-h t g m t \cdot f(\$ 407,2) s z v d b z w(\$ 315) m i n r w$, $n$ skd $d \cdot n d p t h r \cdot f$.
$h d \cdot n \cdot f h r \cdot f$,
ib•f sw（\＄322），
$s m ; \cdot n \cdot f h f t(y w) \cdot f$ ．
rnnmrpn：${ }^{2}$
wn ts west $m$ nfrt（\＄96）$M n-h p r-R r, ~ r n h d t$. in ns $n$ whicw－rmw $36 w$ šd•sn $(\$ 227,2) m r p n$ tnw rapt．
${ }^{2} \S 89$ or else as p．100，n． 6.
＇Year 50，first month of summer，day 22，under the Majesty of the king of Upper and Lower Egypt，Menkheperrē厄，given life．His Majesty commanded to dig this canal，after he had found it blocked with stones，and no boat fared upon it．He travelled down over it，his heart glad，（when）he had slain his enemies．The name of this canal ：Menkheperree－－is－opener－of－the－way－as－（some－ thing－）good．The fishermen of Elephantine shall clear this canal every year．＇

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

(b) Translate into English:

${ }^{1}$ Words spoken by the $\underline{h} r y-h b t$ priest while standing before the mummy on the day of burial, a ceremonial adze in his hand.
${ }^{2}$ A personal name.
${ }^{3}$ Perhaps the compound preposition thus spelt p. 132, n. 25 . ${ }^{4} n$-sw-bit.

- Note that nouns denoting persons in a particular position in life are also used in Egyptian to express that position itself, abstractly considered; cf. tity 'vizier', but also 'the rank of vizier', Urk. iv. 1087, 7, qu. § 149, 1 ; similarly $m t y n s 3$ 'office of regulator of a priestly order', P. Kah. i 1, 18, qu. § 323 .


## (c) Write in hieroglyphs:

(I) I have spoken in truth, I have not spoken lies. (2) Dost thou not remember the name of that great god who is in Heliopolis? (3) I give to thee all things good and pure which are in me. (4) Pleasant words are what thou hast said ; the heart cannot have enough (lit. does not become satiated) of ( $m$ ) hearing them. (5) He saw that my arms were strong. (6) I was rewarded with gold three times. (7) The nose is stopped up and cannot smell. (8) I acted as (lit. made) overseer of cattle, and was not neglectful concerning the commands of my lord. (9) Reply, O my heart ; a heart that is attacked does not keep silence.

## LESSON XXIX

## THE PASSIVE SDM•F FORM

§ 419. The form of the suffix conjugation (§410) next demanding attention is here called the passive $s d m \cdot f .{ }^{1}$ Externally, this closely resembles the active $s d m \cdot f$ form long familiar to the student. Confusion with the latter is, however, rendered impossible in practice by the invariable passive meaning; the passive sddm•f signifies 'he was heard' or 'he is heard' despite the absence of the element
 addition to this distinguishing characteristic, an ending $-w$ (with some mutable verbs also $-y$ ) often helps to identify the form ; see the next section.

Obs. The passive $s d m \cdot f$ has been hitherto known as the passive $s d m w \cdot f$; but since the ending $-w$ never appears before a suffix subject in Middle Egyptian and, further, varies occasionally with $-y$, the name here adopted seems more appropriate.
§ 420. Writing of the passive śdm•f and forms from the mutable verbs.-The ending $-w$ is fairly common before nominal subject and in impersonal uses, but does not occur in Middle Egyptian before the suffixpronouns; ${ }^{2}$ it is at least as frequent in the verbs with final weak radical, exx. i $\mathrm{Vrw}^{3}$ rdize, ${ }^{4}$ as in the immutable verbs, exx.
 in all verb-classes of more usual occurrence, exx. 预 $\Delta h_{3} k$ 'were captured'; ${ }^{7}$


The much rarer ending $\|\{-y$ has been thought to be more than a mere alternative to $-w .^{10}$ But so far as the Middle Egyptian evidence goes, the ending $-y$ belongs solely to verbs with a final weak radical, and hence may represent some fusion of that radical with a flexional ending. The ending $-y$
 $\bar{\pi} \| / \square i t y \cdot k$ 'thou hast been taken', ${ }^{12}$ but instances before nominal subject are also found, as $\$ 4$ iry 'was made'; ${ }^{13}$ S $\$ 4$ rdy 'were placed '. ${ }^{14}$

Note that the passive $s d m \cdot f$ is by no means common with pronominal subject. It is altogether a less frequent verb-form than the narrative tenses hitherto discussed, though it has certain well-marked uses, particularly after izw and $\ulcorner k r \cdot n$, see below $\$ \S 465$; 481. Apart from the regular use with nominal subject, impersonal employments are often met with, exx. 组 smize 'it was reported'; ${ }^{15}$ - ir 'it was done'. ${ }^{16}$

As time went on, Egyptian showed an increasing unwillingness to form parts of the suffix conjugation from stems of more than three radical consonants.

## ${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 443-49I.

${ }^{2}$ In old Eg., suffix after -w, Pyr. 1164. 1509. 1705; after $-y$, ib. 1042.
${ }^{3}$ Lac. TR. 21, 6 ; 76, 7.

4 Lac. TR. 2, $7^{2}$; 3, 45; 4, 45 .
${ }^{5}$ Hamm. ilo, 6.
${ }^{6}$ Sin. B 300.
${ }^{7}$ Urk. iv. 659, 1..
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 59, 3.
${ }^{9}$ Pr. 2, 8; Westc. 8, 4 .
${ }^{10}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. 8.
${ }^{11}$ Cairo 20518, $a$ 1; Sebekkhu II.
${ }^{12}$ Lac. TR. 86, 95. ${ }^{13}$ Lac. TR. 21, 7; Urk. iv. 605, 16; 606, 2.
${ }^{14}$ Munich 3, 23.
${ }^{15}$ U,k.iv. 4, 8. Sim. $h_{3 b} b$ Sin. R 22 ; $d d w$, Urk. iv. 661, 8. More often written with $-w$ in Hearst, without -w in $E b$.; exx. H 2, $5=$ E 16, 13 ; H 3; $1=$ E 86, 14 . See below p. 340, n. 9 .
${ }^{16}$ P. Boul. xviii. 6. Sim. ib. passim ; also Hearst 1, 2. 5. 13, written with $-w$.
${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. 606. Sim. ib. 605 , 16. Contrast, however, § $4^{23}, 3$, ist ex.
${ }^{2}$ Louvre C 11, 2 ; 12, 16.
${ }^{3}$ Lac. TK. 59, 3.
4 Urk. iv. $4^{84}$, 10.
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 11,5 .
${ }^{6}$ Lac. $T R .38$, 10. Sim. Ist pers., Brit. Mus. 828 .
${ }^{7}$ P. Kah. 6, 9.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 30, 6.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 669, 13.
${ }^{10}$ P. Botl. xviii. 6; P. Kah. 9, 11; Urk. iv. 667,10 .
${ }^{11}$ Hearst 1, 2. 5.
13; Lac. TR. 76, 7 .
${ }^{12}$ See above p. 337, n. 13 .
${ }^{13}$ Peas. R 54.
${ }^{14}$ Hamm. 19, 10.
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 86, 93.
${ }^{16}$ Lac. TR. 47, 3 1. Sim. ib. 63, 2.
${ }^{17} E b .85,16$.
${ }^{18}$ Amada 18.
${ }^{19}$ Sh.S. 5 ; P. Kah. 12, 5 ; Westc. 8, 4. 18.
${ }^{20}$ Lac. TR. 2, 72; C'rk. iv. S97, 7 . ${ }^{21}$ Munich 3, 23.
${ }^{22}$ P. Kah. 15, 45. 47; P. Boul. xviii. 21.
${ }^{23}$ Hearst 1, 7; 3, 9; Urk. iv. 652, 9.
${ }^{24}$ Peas. B 1, 300; Westc. 8, 18.

Hence we find the passive $s d m \cdot f$ of $s n f r$ 'make beautiful' replaced by a periphrasis in which the passive śdm.f of $i r i$ has the infinitive of $s n f r$ as subject:

4 made beautiful in year 22. ${ }^{1}$

Forms of the passive $s d m \cdot f$ from the mutable verbs are as follows :-
 been gripped ' ${ }^{3}$ probably belongs to $\$ 425$.
 'have been born'; ${ }^{5} \|-\infty=m s \cdot f$ 'he was born'. ${ }^{6}$ With $-w$, U
 measured'. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
'Make' shows the forms $-i r,{ }^{10}{ }^{10} i r w{ }^{11}-14 i r y{ }^{12}$ and quite exceptionally © $\mathrm{irw}{ }^{13}$ - $\mathrm{ir}^{14}$ (for the reading of these see § 281).

caus. 弓ae inf. $\downarrow \downarrow$ ص
caus. 4 ae inf.
anom. 'Give' has forms both with and without $r$, and without gemination :

' Bring' has $\xrightarrow{\perp}$ in.$^{24}$
§ 421. Origin and relations of the passive sdrm•f.-In several usages, particularly after $i w$, , $h \check{c} \cdot n, m k$ and $i s t$, , the passive $s \dot{d} d m \cdot f$ serves definitely as the passive of the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form (see $\S 422, \mathrm{I}$ ), and the thought thus suggests itself that the former may possibly be nothing more than the latter docked of those elements ( $n$ + noun, $n+$ suffix) which serve to express the semantic subject, the author of the action. To put the matter more concretely, if s $\dot{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ hrzw 'he heard the voice' ultimately means 'heard to him the voice' ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{II}, 2$ ), may not śdm hrw ' the voice was heard' ultimately mean 'heard (to $x$ ) the voice' ? There can be no doubt that in final analysis this view is correct, but two reasons prohibit us from identifying the two forms and regarding the passive śdm.f merely as a sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form from which the agential element $n \cdot f$ has for the nonce been omitted: (I) the passive $s d m \cdot f$ sometimes shows the original participial ending $-w$ or $-y$ which has completely disappeared from the sidm•n•f form; (2) the passive $\delta d m \cdot f$ sometimes has a suffix subject of its own, this of course representing the direct semantic object, since the form is passive in meaning.

It is thus clear that the $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form and the passive $s d m \cdot f$ have each developed further than the other in certain directions; nevertheless the frequent parallelism of their uses is only explicable if both are regarded as having
originated in the perfective passive participle. Confirmatory testimony is forthcoming on all hands. The lack of gemination is common to all the forms in question, while the existence of a rare sd $d m m \cdot f$ passive closely related to the passive $s$ d $d m \cdot f$ (see below $\S 425$ ) recalls the curious reduplicating perfective pass. participles from 2 -lit. stems which were studied in $\S 360$. The ending $-w$ characteristic of the passive $s d m \cdot f$ except with pronominal subject is seen in the relative form $s$ d $m w \cdot \cdot n \cdot f$, which we have shown to be closely akin to the narrative śd $m \cdot n \cdot f(\$ \$ 386,2 ; 411,1)$. The alternative ending $-y$ from verbs with final weak consonant (ultimae infirmae) is familiar from the perfective passive participle of those same verbs ( $\$ 36 \mathrm{I}$ ).

Further reflection will show the close connection between the passive s $s d m \cdot f$ and the construction of the passive participles with retained object ( $\$ 377$ ) ; indeed it seems not improbable that the passive śd $d m \cdot f$ directly originated in that construction, the development being upon lines similar to the development of the narrative $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form out of the construction perf. pass. participle + dative ( $\$ 41 \mathrm{I}, 2$ ). To this theory it is not a very grave objection that in the construction of the passive participles with retained object the dependent pronouns were used, whereas with the passive $s d m \cdot f$ the suffix-pronouns are found; for, in the first place, even with the passive participles a certain weakening of usage in favour of the suffixes was observed ( $\$ 377,2$, end) , and in the second place, the substitution of the suffixes for the dependent pronouns was bound to occur as soon as the pronoun following the verb-form ceased to be regarded as retained object and was felt as a grammatical subject.

Lastly, the relations of active and passive sd $d m \cdot f$ have to be considered. If we are right in supposing that the active $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ arose, no less than the passive śdm$\cdot f$, from a use of the passive participle ( $\$ 411$, I), the sole difference would be that in the active perfective $s d m \cdot f$ the suffix represents the semantic subject, and that in the passive sdm. $f$ the suffix represents the direct semantic object. Hence it is by no means surprising to find uses where the passive śd $d m \cdot f$ corresponds closely to the active $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$; this is true wherever the passive $s \dot{d} d m \cdot f$ has present or future meaning ( $\$ 422,2$ ), as well as in its negative uses ( $\$ 424$, I. 2).
§ 422. Affirmative uses of the passive śdm•f.- i. In past narrative.
 given to me as reward. ${ }^{1}$ English past tense.
 year I of the son of the Sun Ammenemes. ${ }^{2}$ English past tense.
 mooring-post driven in. ${ }^{3}$ English present perfect.
${ }^{1}$ Sebekkhu 17. Sim. Brit. Mus. 574, 3. 5 ; Munich 3, 23-5; Urk. iv. 661, 6. 7; 891, 2. 8; 897,7.
${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20518, $a \mathrm{I}$. Sim. Sebekkhu 11 ; Brit. Mus. 828 .
${ }^{3}$ Sh. S. 3-4. Sim. Lac. TR. 43, 2;75, 11; 76, 7 .
${ }^{1}$ P. Boul. xviii. 6. Sim. Sin. B 247 ; Urk. iv. 4, 8; 6, 9 . Cf. too irw in (also briefly written $i r \cdot n$ ) 'made by', i. e. 'written by ', AZ. 43, 33.
${ }^{2}$ Semnah Disp. I, 13; 6, 11. Sim. Sin. B 291. 295. 300.
${ }^{3}$ Lac. TR. 2, 72. Sim.ib. 3, 45; 4, 45 ; $69,2$.
${ }^{4}$ Westc. 11, 5. Sim. Louvre C II, I-2; $\mathrm{C}_{12}, 6$, qu. § 184 , 1 .
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 667. Sim. ib. 6o6, 2, qu. p. 338, n. I; 659, 6 ; 690, 2 .
${ }^{6}$ Sin. R 22. Sim. ib. B 173; Urk. iv. 657, 4; 686, 13.

[^184]Impersonal uses are frequent.
Ex. eovis in ir hft ipwt tn it was done in accordance with this commission. ${ }^{1}$

The passive of the construction $i w s$ s $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ so much employed in narrative ( $\S 68$ ) is of the type $i w s d m \cdot f$. See further below $\S 465$.
 past tense.
 present perfect tense.

Another favourite construction in narrative, as we shall see later, is chr.n sdm.nff. The passive of this is of the type $\mathcal{H}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ chr•n $s d m \cdot f$, though examples with suffix-pronoun as subject are of extreme rarity ( $\$ 481$ ), while in the construction iw sdm.f just mentioned none at all has been noted.

It was seen $(\$ 414, \mathrm{I})$ that $m k$ placed before the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form gave to that form the signification of the English present perfect. So too in the case of $m k+$ passive $s d m \cdot f$.

Ex. Nan born to thee. ${ }^{4}$

After $4 \|=i s \underline{t}$ the passive $s d m \cdot f$ describes a situation or concomitant fact belonging to the past, exactly like $i s \underline{t}+\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 414, \mathrm{I})$. In most cases one can translate with the English past perfect.
 been made into plough-lands. ${ }^{5}$
 sent for. ${ }^{6}$ Impersonal; another manuscript (G) has $\quad$ 』es.

The passive $s d m \cdot f$ in reference to past action is negatived by prefixing the word $\mu n$ 'not'. See below $\S 424$, I.
2. In reference to future events. The passive sadm•f is frequently used with a vaguely prospective meaning in medical prescriptions and the like.

Exx. His Majesty instituted a festival of victory anew . . . . . . a (T): the festival of victory is (to be) made on the day of introducing the god. ${ }^{7}$
once. ${ }^{8}$ For $k_{3} r \cdot s$ as subject of $r d i(w)$ see $\S 70$.

So too impersonally.
Ex. e thing. The Ebers papyrus writes $a$.

Sometimes one may be tempted to interpret what is really a passive $s d m \cdot f$ as an old perfective.
 nty $m$ rwty one shall take a man's property from him, and it shall be given to him who is outside. ${ }^{1}$

Here rdize must be the passive $s d m \cdot f$ with omitted subject, since the old perfective would have had to be $r d i \cdot t i, k t$ being a feminine noun $(\S 92,2) .^{12}$

After $h r$, the passive sdm.f may have future meaning. Compare the corresponding use with active $s \underline{d} m \cdot f, \$ \S 239 ; 450,5, c$.
 nesu offering. ${ }^{2}$
3. Present time. Several of the examples quoted above may be translated alternatively as presents. So the third example from the end under ( I ) 'there are born' and the second under (2) 'she is caused'.

Here belong two mathematical expressions $=i r n$, var. $\stackrel{a}{\infty}-\mathrm{irwn} n^{3}$ 'amounting to', lit. 'it is made for', and ir m 'equivalent to', lit. 'it is made as (?)' or 'in (?)'.

 $k d t 3$ a silver cauldron of Cretan work with four vessels of bronze, (with) the handle of silver, makes 56 deben and 3 kitĕ. ${ }^{4}$

List of cakes, innin ir $m$ hkst i2 equivalent to 12 hekat. ${ }^{5}$
The difference between the two expressions remains to be determined.
§ 423. The passive sdm.f in subordinate clauses.-The use of the passive $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ in subordinate clauses is very limited, and this limitation constitutes a serious difference between it and the narrative $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ and $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms.
I. Nevertheless, when followed by a nominal subject the passive $s d m \cdot f$ sometimes serves as a virtual clause of circumstance.
 with her legs apart. ${ }^{6}$ Lit. her thighs have been opened.

Let him be deprived of his temple-rank, 是 S $P_{1 \infty}^{\infty} p t h h r t_{3}, n h m w r k w \cdot f d r f(f\rangle$ wrbwt•f being cast on the ground and his food, title-deed (?) and joints being (lit. have been) taken away. ${ }^{7}$
 rwd ntr ${ }^{3}$ I I made for myself this tomb, it being consecrated and its place being embellished at the staircase of the great god. ${ }^{8}$

The last two examples illustrate the close parallelism in use of the passive sdm.f and the old perfective. That in the last example smnk cannot be old perfective is clear from the facts that its subject $s t \cdot s$ would in that case have to precede it (\$322) and that it would then have to be feminine in gender (smnh-ti).

> 1 P. Pet. I116 B, 47. Sim. Tarkhan i. $79,46$.
> 1a This argument fails, however, if $h(w) t$ is a plur., see § 511,2 . So Gunn.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 46.

3 AZ. 43, 35, 6, qu. $\oint 266,4$; Amarn. $5,26,18$, qu. § $266,2$.

4 Urk. iv. 733. Sim. ib. 732, 15 ; Rhind 82. 83 ; Br. Thes. 108 I . v. II; vi. I; 1087, xviii. 10 .
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 761. Sim. ib. 762. 763; Rhind 82. 84,
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah 6,9. Sim. Semnah Disp. 1, 9; Westc. 8, 18. $25 ; 9$, 20; 10, 11-2, see $A Z$. 66, 7 I ; Cairo 20512 , b2; Rec. 36, 215, 39.
7 Kopt. 8, 6. Sim. BH. i. 26, 127 ; Urk. iv. 28, 3. 4. 5 ; Th. T.S. iv. 6, top right.
${ }^{8}$ Sebekkhu 8. The same formula Cairo 20153. 20497. 20691.
2. In one passage, a statement with passive sdm. $f$ has virtually the sense of a clause of condition :

${ }^{1}$ Rhind 2 I .
${ }^{2}$ Rhind 30.47. 68.
${ }^{3}$ Tarkhan i. 79, 18.
${ }^{4}$ Rhind 49.51.61.
${ }^{5}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. ${ }^{5}$.
${ }^{6}$ Lac. TR. 86, 95 ; 88, 15, qu. below; Urk. iv. 669, 13, qu. below.
${ }^{7}$ T. Carn. 6.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 88, $\mathbf{I}_{5}$.
Sim. $i 6.63,2 ; 86,95$.
${ }^{9}$ Urk.iv. 484. $^{\text {Sim. }}$ Th. T.S. iii. 26, 8.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 133, 3 ; 151, 3 ; 1024, 9 .
${ }^{11}$ Urk.iv. 13 8. Sim. ib. 547, 11-2; Siut iv. 33 .
${ }^{12}$ Lac. TR. 59, 3. Sim. ib. 63, 2 .
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 669. Sim. Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1}, 300$.
${ }^{14}$ Urk. iv. 795.
${ }^{15}$ Kopt. 8. 6. makes $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{15}$ complete as I ? ${ }^{1}$

Elsewhere the formula introducing the question is $i r \underline{d} d n \cdot k s s^{y}$ 'if the scribe say to thee '. ${ }^{2}$
3. The use of the passive $s d m \cdot f$ after prepositions is very rare.

Exx. © had been made beautiful. ${ }^{3}$

8気" $m i d d n \cdot k$ according as it is said to thee. ${ }^{4}$
§ 424. Negative uses of the passive Sdm.f form. ${ }^{5}$-l. The passive $\rightarrow-n$ sidm•f is not common. Perhaps by mere chance, no examples with the ending $-w$ have been quoted; a few with $-y$ occur. ${ }^{6}$

Sometimes we must translate with the present perfect or past perfect.

4 taken away. ${ }^{8}$
 (or had) been found in the temples. ${ }^{9}$

The last instance shows the similarity of this use to that of $n+$ active $s d m \cdot f$ ( $\$ 105, \mathrm{I}$ ) ; for $-\infty g m \cdot t z$ is found in similar contexts. ${ }^{10}$

Sometimes the English present affords a more appropriate rendering.
 his envoy is not impeded throughout the lands of the Fenkhu. ${ }^{11}$ Lit. the arm of his envoy is not, etc.

Examples from religious texts like the last have almost as much application to the past and the future as they have to the present, and might be rendered accordingly. Sometimes we may translate by 'cannot', 'could not'.

Instances with $r h$ are often best translated with the English present.

2. The passive $\delta d m \cdot f$ in virtual clauses of circumstance $(\$ 423,1)$ appears to have been negatived by the negative verb $\operatorname{tm}$ ( $\$ 350$ ).
 N ㅁ.. $t m$ shist $r n \cdot f m r-p r p n$ his name not being remembered in this temple. ${ }^{15}$

Apparently $t m$ here must be passive $s d m \cdot f$ form ; the construction is thus parallel to that of nhmw ckw•f, the words immediately preceding (see $\S 423, \mathrm{I}$ ).
3. There is no sure ground for assigning to the passive śdm.f examples like the following:

Here $b s \cdot k$ may well be infinitive + suffix, see $\S 307$, I. To prove the contrary, examples from the zae inf. or anom. verb-classes would be necessary. The like
 § 307, 2.

## THE SDMM.F FORM

§ 425. This old verb-form, not uncommon in the Pyramids and surviving into the Middle Kingdom practically only in ancient religious texts, is characterized by the doubling of the last radical letter even in the case of the immutable verbs. Its uses and meaning are identical with those of the passive $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$, together with which it has hitherto been classified; ${ }^{2}$ there seem, however, to be good reasons for regarding it as a separate form, analogous to the Hebrew purlal.

The subject may be either a suffix-pronoun or a noun. In one or two
 is not repelled'; ${ }^{3}$ - de时 111 snerw 'it is to be ground fine '. ${ }^{4}$

Forms from the different verb-classes:
2-lit. $Q_{0}^{\square}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i} p \mathrm{p}$ ' has been examined'. ${ }^{5}$
3-lit. nhmm' has been taken away'; ${ }^{6}$ O restrained'. ${ }^{7}$

caus. a ae gem. $\mid \triangle \perp \perp \mathbb{R}$ skbb 'it is cooled'.' This example is classed here, like the preceding $s m m \cdot i$, because the passive $s d m \cdot f$, consonantly with its origin in the perfective passive participle, does not geminate; but possibly s $k b b$ is to be regarded as a 4 -lit. immutable verb ( $\$ 284$ ), in which case it will belong to the passive śdm.f.

It seems likely that the s $\dot{d} m m \cdot f$ form was derived from a class of perfective passive participles with doubled last radical, which has survived as such only in the 2 -lit. verbs. See above $\S 360$.
§ 426. Uses of the Sdmm•f form.-The meaning is always passive, and the uses are identical with those of the passive $s \underline{d} d m \cdot f$.

Exx. (his) place of origin (?). ${ }^{10}$ See above $\$ 422$, I.
$\left\lceil\triangle \rrbracket \backslash\left\{\int k b b\right.\right.$ 'it is (to be) cooled'. ${ }^{11}$ In a medical prescription, see $\S 422,2$.
$\sim$ OA $n n h m m$ tp.f m-r.f his head is not (or has not been) taken away from him. ${ }^{12}$ See above §424, I.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 259. Sim. nn Yne.k, Urk.iv. 498, 9; 1220, 13 ; nn ḩff.k, 520, 9 .
${ }^{2}$ See Verbum ii.
$\$ \S 47$ I. 478.480 .485.
${ }^{3}$ Lac. TR. 49, ${ }^{3}$ 3; perhaps read $h s f z v$.
4 Hearst 1,17 .
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. $3^{8,11}$.
${ }^{6}$ Ann. v. 241.
${ }^{7}$ Lac. TR. 19, 27.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 59, 3.
${ }^{9}$ P.Kah. 5, 11. 58. So too suefw, above n. 4 .
${ }^{10}$ Lac. TR. 38, 1 I.
${ }^{11}$ P. Kah. 5, 11. 58. Sim. Hearst I, 17 (sncrw).
${ }^{12}$ Ann.5, 241. Sim. Lac. $T R .19,18.27$; 49, I3, qu. above n. 3 ; 59, 3 .

## 

§427. We now reach three forms of the suffix conjugation which are employed only in main clauses. In structure they agree with the $\dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form in all respects, except that for $n$ is substituted one of the three formatives $\perp i n$, $\theta \mathrm{br}$, or $k$. These formatives are inseparably appended to the verb-stem (after the determinative, if any), but may under given conditions (§66) be separated from their subject, if a noun. See further $\S 410$.

Since the $1=\dot{d} d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ and $\theta=s d m \cdot h r \cdot f$ forms appear to con-
${ }^{1} A Z .54,98$.

[^185] tain just those prepositions which regularly serve to introduce the agent after passives (§ 39, end), it has not unreasonably been supposed ${ }^{1}$ that the verbforms in question are derived from passive participles. The analogy to the $s$ s $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form would then be complete, and just as this meant originally 'heard to him', so the s $\underline{d} m \cdot i n \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot h r \cdot f$ forms would have meant originally 'heard by him'. The śd $m \cdot k \cdot f$ form presents, however, a formidable obstacle to this hypothesis, for not only is $k z$ never found as a preposition, but also it reminds us that the prepositional function of in and $b r$ is not their only function. We have found the three words in ( $\$ 227$ ), $h r$ ( $\$ 239$ ), and $k s$ ( $\$ 242$ ) alike employed as sentence adverbs, and we have become acquainted with three parallel constructions $i n$ or $h r$ or $k s+$ noun $+s \dot{d} d m \cdot f$ all expressing, with certain differences of nuance, the equivalent of the English future tense. That in those constructions in and kr cannot be the prepositions seems clear, first from the tautology which would be involved in hrf sdm.f (i. e. the case when the subject inserted after $h r$ is a pronoun), if this should mean 'by him heard of him', and second from the consideration that Middle Egyptian has the further constructions $h r s d m \cdot f$ and $k 3$ śdm•f likewise having future signification; the construction $k r$ or $k 3+$ noun + $s d m \cdot f$ would thus seem to differ from $h r$ or $k s+s d m \cdot f$ only by the introduction of a nominal subject in anticipatory emphasis.

How these obviously interrelated facts are to be coordinated is obscure. Meanwhile a startlingly different theory has been mooted ${ }^{2}$ and has won considerable support. It will be seen in $\S \xi^{4} 36-7$ that $\frac{9}{}$, $\theta$ and when followed by a noun or suffix-pronoun all express a parenthetic 'says X', 'says he' or the like meaning in some other tense. The generally accepted view assumed an ellipse of the verb $\widehat{-} d d$ 'say'. However, not only is there a verb $k i i$ 'plan', but also good evidence has come to light of $\ell$, var. 月卹 $i$ (from which in might be
 On this basis śd $d m \cdot i n \cdot f, \dot{s} d m \cdot h r \cdot f$ and $\dot{s} d m \cdot k \cdot \cdot f$ might have meant originally 'heard -said he', 'heard-cries he' and 'heard-plans he'. That a verb of the kind could serve thus as an auxiliary has been proved or at least made probable for both Chinese and Nubian. ${ }^{3}$

## §428. The sdm.in.f form ${ }^{1}$ in the mutable verbs.

 urinate' ${ }^{3}$ is not from a $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. but from a 3 -lit. stem wss, the doubled $\xi$ being due to assimilation.)

зae inf. 月— remove'. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 'Make' shows $-\frac{1}{} \mathrm{ir} \cdot \mathrm{in}^{\prime}$ 'made'. ${ }^{\text {b }}$



The lack of gemination is consistent with the theory that the s $\dot{d} m \cdot i n \cdot f$ form is derived from a perfective passive participle, whether it be analysed as containing the preposition in or the sentence-adverb $i n$, or whether the theory outlined p. 344, bottom, be adopted.
§ 429. Uses of the sdm•in•f form.-Broadly speaking, this verb-form appears to indicate result or sequel.
I. Thus it is commonly used to introduce any outstanding incident in past narrative.





- | all that His Majesty commanded. ${ }^{15}$

Beside the impersonal use with $t w$ just illustrated, there is another without it.
Ex. children to be brought. ${ }^{16}$
2. Less frequently $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ is employed to name or describe a consequence to take place in the future; but often a clear injunction like s $\dot{d} d m \cdot h r \cdot f(\$ 43 \mathrm{I}, 2)$.

Exx. If thou examine a man with a pain in his stomach, $r d i \cdot i n \cdot k \underline{d} r t \cdot k h r \cdot f$ then thou shalt lay thy hand upon him. ${ }^{17}$ An injunction.
 shall come into being shall hear. ${ }^{18}$ A future consequence is described.

No negatived examples have been noted.
Obs. I. With pronominal subject no confusion with other verb-forms seems possible. With nominal subject, however, confusion may sometimes occur (i) either with the infinitive + the preposition $i n$, (2) or with the passive $s d m \cdot f$ impersonally used and followed by the same preposition. The chief criterion of $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ is the fact that the formative in is inseparable from the verb-stem, but this will not serve in all cases. ${ }^{19}$

Obs. 2. For $z w n \cdot i n$ as auxiliary, see below $\$ \$ 470.472 .473$.
${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 390-405.
${ }_{2}$ Westc. 4, 2. Exx. (all past) also $\$ \S 470$.
472. 473 .
${ }^{3} E b .25,7=52,5$.
4 Westc. 10, ir. 19.
${ }^{5}$ Eb. 109, 7.
${ }^{6}$ Peas. Bi, $3 \mathbf{1}$.
7 Peas. B I, 39.
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 158, 17.

- Peas. B 1, $5^{2}$.
${ }^{10}$ Westc. 4, 24.
${ }^{11}$ Peas. R 2. 5. 47; Sin. B 75; Pt. ${ }_{3} 6$. $51 ; P$ Pet.initbi, iI. Other verbs, Westc. Io, 9. 11 ; if, 8 ; Urk. iv. 8, 13 ; 139, 9.
${ }^{12}$ Westc. 8, 10.
${ }^{13}$ Westc. 11, 13 .
${ }^{14}$ Peas. B I, 52 .
${ }^{15}$ Westc. 4, 17.
${ }^{16} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 263$.
${ }^{17}$ Eb. 40, 19. Sim. ib. 25, 7; 51, 22; 65, 17; 91, 21: 109, 7; P. Kah. 7, 67-8.
${ }^{18}$ Siut 3, 3.

[^186]${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii.
§§414-432.
${ }^{2} E b .36,7 ; 93,17$.
${ }^{2 a}$ Exx. below, $n$.
${ }^{1} 3$ a.
${ }^{3}$ Dav. Rekh. 12,
29. As auxiliary, §
471, 2.
${ }^{33}$ As auxiliary, $P$.
Kah. 7, 40, qu. p. 390,
n. 7 .
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 7, 54.
${ }^{5}$ Eb. 53, 7.
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah. 8, 27 ;
Eb. 36, 9 .
${ }^{7}$ Eb. 54, 2 c .
${ }^{8}$ Eb. 36, 19; 37,4.
${ }^{9}$ Eb. 54, 19.
§430. The sdm.hr.f form ${ }^{1}$ in the mutable verbs.
 be'. ${ }^{2 a}$ But 通 wn $w r \cdot h \cdot i$ 'I was' in past narrative; ${ }^{3}$ sim. for a single future act. ${ }^{32}$ зae inf. ․ 日 shall be removed'. ${ }^{5}$ 'Make' has $\theta i r \cdot h r \cdot k$ 'thou shalt make'. ${ }^{6}$
caus. zae gem.

Forms without gemination are thus the rule, but perhaps only for single future acts. The non-geminating forms from wonn are mainly past, the geminating always future; this suggests derivation from a perfective participle in the former case, from an imperfective in the latter.
§ 431. Uses of the sdm.hr.f form.-Unless the hypothesis set forth p. 344, bottom, be adopted, this verb-form will be akin to the constructions hr.f $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ and $h r s d m \cdot f(\$ 239)$, into which the particle $h r$ enters. If, as we supposed, that particle indicates what comes next in order, sddm.hr.f may originally have meant something like 'he proceeds to hear'.
I. In reference to future time. The śdm• $h r \cdot f$ form is common in injunctions and statements of result.

Exx. © five four times. ${ }^{10}$




An impersonal use is also found.

Later ( $\$ 47 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$ ) we shall find zonn $\cdot h \cdot \cdot f$ as an auxiliary verb used with future meaning as above.
2. In reference to present time, rare and not quite certain; perhaps summing up the result of a situation.
 goes on erring. ${ }^{15}$ A comment called forth by an act of violence.

That means that his heart is hot, $-\infty$ his heart is weary through it. ${ }^{16}$
3. Occasionally too in reference to past events; found only with two verbs.

Exx. $\Omega^{-} \|_{111}^{\prime \prime} d d \cdot h r \cdot s n$ thereupon they said. ${ }^{17}$
 all talk. ${ }^{18}$

For $w n \cdot h r \cdot f$ as an auxiliary in past narrative see below, $\S 47 \mathrm{I}, 2$.
§ 432. Negation of the śdm•hr.f form.-In its use with reference to the future the $s d m \cdot b r \cdot f$ form is negatived by means of the verb $t m$, see above § 342 foll.

§ 433. The sdm. ${ }^{\prime} \cdot f$ form ${ }^{2}$ in the mutable verbs.
зae inf. forth '. ${ }^{4}$

These non-geminating forms are consistent with the possible origin of the form in a perf. pass. participle; see above $\S \S 427.428 .430$.
§ 434. Use of the sidm•k $k \cdot f$ form. -Like the related $k_{s} \cdot f$ s $d m \cdot f$ and $k_{s}$ $s d m \cdot f$ constructions ( $\$ 242$ ), the $s d m \cdot k 3 \cdot f$ form refers to a future act dependent on something already stated. It is confined to religious texts and temple inscriptions, and certainly did not occur in spoken Middle Egyptian.

It may express a future consequence or determination.
Exx. If such and such a thing happens, $n h m \cdot k s \cdot t(w) s t p(w) t h r h_{3} w t$ ntrw then the choice joints shall be removed from the altars of the gods. ${ }^{5}$
 see thee. ${ }^{6}$

Much more rarely it appears to express an injunction.

The construction $n n s d m \cdot f(\$ 105,2)$ serves as negation of the $s d m \cdot k s \cdot f$ form. ${ }^{8}$
§ 435. Uses of the śdm•in•f, śdm•hr•f and śdm•k•f forms: sum-mary.-It will have been noted that there is a close correspondence in the uses, no less than in the formation, of these three verb-forms. They are used in main clauses only; and all three may be employed to express future consequences of one sort or another, whether enjoined or merely asserted. The $s d m \cdot i n \cdot f$ and $s d m \cdot h r \cdot f$ forms may serve as rather impressive narrative tenses, and the sddm$\cdot h r \cdot f$ tense has in addition a not very clear use in reference to the present. Observe, finally, that of the three verb-forms the first alone is really common in Middle Egyptian, the other two tending to be replaced in secular texts by such constructions as $k r \cdot f$ (or $k s \cdot f$ ) $s d m \cdot f$ and $h r$ (or $k s) s d m \cdot f$.

## PARENTHETIC PHRASES FOR 'SAID HE', ETC.

§ 436. Here we have to consider some parenthetic expressions for 'said he', 'they will say' and the like, which in the past were thought to be merely the three verb-forms just discussed with an ellipse of the initial verb-stem $d d$ 'say'. Compare the omission of $d d$ after $h r$, above $\S 32 \mathrm{I}$.

[^187]${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 2, 3 I.
Sim. ib. 2, $55 ; 44,6$.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 569, 10.
Sim. ib. 569, 12.

7 Urk. iv. 346.
${ }^{8}$ I,AC. TR. 2, 33 . 35 ; NAV. 65, 14.
${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus． 101. Sim．Urk．v．203， 10 ； 204， 3 ．
${ }^{2}$ Lac．TR．23， 15.
${ }^{3}$ Lac．TR． $23,29$. Sim．ib．6， 1 ；19，33； 8I，39．
${ }^{4}$ P．Loutre 3230， vs．8．IIr．sn ntrw， Budge，p．179， 16.
－Urk．iv． 1075. Sim．ib．649， 11 ．
${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv． 1092. Sim．JEA．4，Pl．9， $5 ; E b .9,20$.
7 Northampton， 20，21．Sim．P．Kah． 3， 34 ；31， 16.
${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv． 651. Sim．Peas． 1 i i， $\mathbf{1} 29$.
${ }^{83}$ In L．E．of wider range，and perhaps al－ ways with past mean－ ing．
9 Faulkner in JEA．${ }^{21}$ ， 177 ．
${ }^{92}$ ib． $\mathrm{IS}_{4}$ ．
${ }^{9 b}$ Coffins 1， $107 b$. More exx．JEA． 21 ， 183.
${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{F}$ Fem．exx．（ib． 182）show $i$ to be old perfective．
${ }^{10}$ Exx．Dyn．XIX， Griff．Stud． 85.
${ }^{102}$ De Buck in this Gr．1st ed．，p．xxviii ； also $J E A .21,190$.
${ }^{100}$ Griffith Kahun Papyri，p． 103 ．
${ }_{10 c} \ddot{A} Z .59,28$.
${ }^{10 \mathrm{~d}}$ Once even $h r(y)$ ． $f y \cdot k$＇sayest thou＇， Coffins，B 5 C， 145.
${ }^{11}$ P．Kah．29， 42. Sim．ib．13，22． 37 ； 36，9． $\operatorname{Hr}(y) \cdot f y$ st ＇so said they＇Seminah Disp．2，11；4， 10 ． ${ }^{12}$ Budge，p．169， 3．Sim．ib．p．459，I． ${ }^{1 s}$ Budge，p．458， 14．Sim．ib．pp． 124 ， $6 ; 267$ ， 11 ；492， 13 ． 16.
 says the desert．${ }^{1}$


是险陾 $h r \cdot s n \cdot i m s m i$ says she to me in accusation．${ }^{4}$
 say，to make flourish the order of the two lands．${ }^{5}$
 concerning him．${ }^{6}$

国通通 $m k w i, k 3 \cdot k$ here am I（lit．behold me），thou shalt say．${ }^{7}$
4Bmen they will say．${ }^{8}$

In all known M．E．examples the subject of $i n$ is either a noun or the suffix 3 rd pers．plur．or dual，and it is always translatable as a present．${ }^{8 a} K_{3}$ always refers to the future，and $h r$ to present or past indifferently．
§437．Whatever the origin of the śdm•in•f，sdm•hr•fand sdm•k3•fforms（\＄427）， the derivation of the parenthetic expressions of $\S 436$ from verbs meaning＇say＇， ＇cry＇and＇plan＇appears certain．The verb $\& i$＇say＇${ }^{\prime}$ is attested from O．E．
 the Coffin Texts，ex．谄 $4=-$ 具 $i$ in Wsir＇said Osiris＇；${ }^{9 b}$ from such writings 4 ＇says＇seems not to be a śdm．n•f form，but abbreviated from $i$ in．${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}}$ In $k 3 \cdot k$＇thou wilt say＇the determinative of $k 3 i$＇plan＇is seen．A verb $\theta$ 话 $\operatorname{lin}(w)$

 are found both there and in later M．E．hieratic；the incomprehensible fy is followed by a noun，a dependent pronoun，or both，and seems wholly superfluous．${ }^{10 d}$ Exx．Teti said to me：‘．．．．．$\therefore^{\circ}, \ell^{n} \neq h r(y) \cdot f y$ sw so said he．${ }^{11}$



## VOCABULARY

攺惯 $n \underline{d}$ grind．
陮解 his rule
夏 $\int \times h b i$ curtail，subtract．
15 n＂：smawy renew，restore．
$\| \leftrightharpoons$ 量 $s d b$ swallow．
min
Q

ID0 wrbt meat．
圂

皿出 bsw table of offerings．
 $\xrightarrow[0]{\rightarrow 1919} 1$ ssh toe．
$14 \int_{0}^{\square}$ Nipty inspection．
$\|_{\infty}^{\infty}$ esft（old sft）oil for anointing．

風 7 合 eldest．
 $\|^{\gtrless}$ sti，var．$\|_{\triangle}^{\infty} \infty$ sty，perfume．
$\int 1 d b r$ finger．
N $k t t$ little，trifling（adj．）．
Wnt the Hare－nome，the I 5 th nome or province of Upper Egypt．

## EXERCISE XXIX

（a）Reading lesson：extract from a medical book：${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \infty \sim \text { No } \\
& \text { 18县际 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 包易 }
\end{aligned}
$$






$\dagger{ }^{-1}{ }^{7}$
0781
${ }^{1}$ Eb．78，6－10 $=$ Hearst 12，1－3 with variants．

$$
d d \cdot h r \cdot k^{3} r \cdot s:
$$

$m r$ iry．i（§ 371）；
ir $\cdot \overrightarrow{b r} \cdot k^{3} n \cdot f$ spw nw sm（3）（§305）sp：${ }^{4}$
si3 ${ }^{5}$ Šmr，$r-32 ;{ }^{6}$
sis Mhw，r－32；
$s f t, r-8$ ．

$$
n \underline{d}(w),
$$

$$
w t(w) h r \cdot s(165,8) .
$$

${ }^{2}$ Var．H．$k m(3) \cdot n s_{3}$＇which a worm has created＇．
${ }^{3} \underline{D} d \cdot h r \cdot k, i r \cdot h r \cdot k$ ，the words usually employed to introduce diagnosis and treatment respectively．
${ }^{4}$ Var．H．＇spd－worm＇．
${ }^{5}$ An unknown drug．
${ }^{6}$ The unit to be understood is the hin of about $\cdot 503$ litre（ $\$ 266$ ， 1 end）．
7 Varr．H．$n d w, w t w$ ，with $w v$ written out．
＇If thou findest a finger or a toe，which are（sic）painful，and around which water circulates，and their smell is evil and they create a $s s$－worm，then thou shalt say concerning it：a disease I must treat．Then thou shalt make for him treatments for killing a $s p$－worm．Upper Egyptian siz，$\frac{1}{32}$ ；Lower Egyptian siz，$\frac{1}{32}$ ；oil，$\frac{1}{8}$ ． It is（to be）ground up；it is（to be）bandaged with it．＇

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ir gmek dbr ssh r-pw (§ 91, 2) } \\
& m r \cdot s n(\S 196,2) \text {, } \\
& \text { phr mw hrs } s n \text {, } \\
& \text { dw sty } \cdot s n \text {, } \\
& k m(3) \cdot s n s\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Translate into English:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (5) AB [9] mm }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1}$ A medical prescription. After $k l$ understand $p \underline{c} r$ t. Parse sab in. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Read $p r t-\leq n y$ ' hair-fruit', a drug.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Hpr}$ - $\mathrm{d} \cdot f \mathrm{f}$ perhaps ' ferment' or like. $\quad$ A short religious spell, with preceding title.
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(i) Another favour which was done to me: my eldest son Nakht was appointed (lit. given) to rule the Hare-nome, having become a Sole Companion, having been placed at the head ( $r-h s t$ ) of Upper Egypt, and a number of dignities having been given to him. (2) Tell me my name, says the keeper of the door. If thou dost not tell me my name, I will not allow thee to pass. (3) Do not be angry for a trifle ; people will say thou art hot-tempered (lit. he is one hot of heart, one will say concerning thee). (4) Behold, it has been commanded to thee to make inspection in this temple, to renew its altars and to establish its offerings. (5) This book is to be hidden in a box of silver, without anyone (lit. another) being allowed to see the place thereof except thy own self.

## LESSON XXX

THE ŚDM•F FORM
${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. §§ $136-35^{2}$.
§ 438. Introductory.-We now return to the sdm.f form (\$§39.40), ${ }^{1}$ by far the most important verb-form in the Egyptian language, and at the same time that which presents the most difficult problems. The writing of such immutable verbs as $s \underline{d} m$ 'hear' offers no suggestion that more than one kind of sdm.f form is to be distinguished; but in the mutable verbs, and particularly in the $2 a e$ gem., zae inf., and anom. classes, a clearly marked distinction is visible

 $\sim d i \cdot f$. Unhappily there are reasons for thinking that the non-geminating sdd $f \cdot f$ is itself not a unity, but conceals two or more separate forms; see below $\S 447$. However, the ambiguity and inconsistency of Egyptian spelling prevent us from penetrating far into a differentiation of the varieties of the non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$; most of its uses seem consonant with its identification with that non-geminating sdm.f form which, in the $3 a e$ inf., was vocalized *periaf, as we may infer from
 Broadly speaking, then, we may treat the non-geminating śdm•f as a unity, and contrast it with the geminating $s d m \cdot f$. What are the mutual relations of the two ?

In §411, I cogent arguments were adduced for deriving the sdm.f form from the passive participle + a genitival suffix, the resultant meaning being 'heard of him', i.e. 'he hears', 'heard'. It then became evident that the geminating sdm.f must be closely related to the geminating or imperfective passive participle, and the non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$ to the non-geminating or perfective passive participle. On grounds of origin, therefore, we appear to be justified in describing the geminating sdm.f form as the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$, and the non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$ form as the perfective $s d m \cdot f$. In the meanings of the two forms we shall find much that bears out the hypothesis here adopted, the geminating sddm•foften conveying notions of repetition or continuity $;^{1}$ but it must be frankly admitted that some uses of both exist, where the connection with the perfective or imperfective ground-ideas remains obscure.

Obs. The possibility that the geminating forms may be the counterparts of Hebrew piēel forms here suggests itself anew; see above §§ $269-70 ; 356$, Obs. On this view wnn•f and mrr.f might represent some such vocalizations as *wennänef and *merräref respectively. Doubtless the gemination in the imperf. śd $d m \cdot f$ was due to more fundamental reasons than the gemination seen (e.g.) in the perfect of the A rabic first form from zae gem. verbs, as written without points. There the separate writing of the identical radicals depends wholly on the distribution of the syllables under the influence of the flexional endings; beside marartu 'I passed' is found $m a \bar{r} a$ (for *marara) ' he passed '. No such variations are found within the Egyptian imperfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$, which maintains its geminating appearance whatever the weight of the flexional endings may be. If the Arabic and Egyptian forms were really analogous one might reasonably expect to find such variations as *ir wn'tn for 'if ye are', "ir wn ntr 'if the god is' beside ir wnn•f 'if he is'. In point of fact ir wnn . with gemination occurs whatever the following subject may be, or again if no subject immediately follows. Probably the presence and absence of gemination in the two sd $d m \cdot f$ forms are to be explained by the desire to retain in them the characteristic features of the participles in which they originated. In other words, the gemination of the imperf. $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ is probably due to its presence in the imperfective passive participle, and the lack of gemination in the perfective śdm•f to its absence in the perfective passive participle ; see above §411, 1 .
${ }^{1}$ First pointed out by Golénischeff, Le Conte du Naufrage (Bibliothèque $d^{\prime}$ Etude, vol. 2), Cairo, 19I2, pp. 6I-4.

## THE IMPERFECTIVE SDDM•F

$1 E b .53$, 19. Sim. $A Z .43,39,16$.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. R 41 ; Sin. BII7.
${ }^{3}$ Sin. B 52.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 6, 24 (after ir 'if').
${ }^{5}$ Pt. 220. $23^{2}$; see too §§ 107. 118.326.
6 Peas. B ז, 307. Sim. Lac. TR. 4, 3..

7 Urk. iv. 687, 13.
8 Sh. S. 20; Peas. B $1,163.164$.
${ }^{9}$ LAC. TR. 78, 17.
10 Eb. 39, 8.
${ }^{11}$ Louvre C 196. Sim. Cairo 20515; P. Kah. 36, 42; Eb. 70, 24.

12 P. Kah. 2, 19.
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. $363,6$.
14 Peas. B1, 25 I.
${ }^{15}$ Urk.iv. $1165,16$.
16 Peas. B 1, 267.
17 Berl. AIC. i. 258 , 12 ; Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1}, 85$.
${ }_{18}$ Urk. iv. 260, 13.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. IIII, 6.

[^188]
## § 439. Forms from the mutable verbs.

2ae gem. صharmint smm 'they are hot'. ${ }^{1}$ 'See' has
 unusual change of 3 into $n$ and is elsewhere perfective ( $\$ 448$ ). ' Be ' has wnn $\cdot k .{ }^{5}$

'Make' has the form irr $k,{ }^{8}$ but $r$ is occasionally written twice, ex. $\infty \infty$ irrf. ${ }^{9}$
zae gem. A possible example is enmis'shrinks'. ${ }^{10}$
4 ae inf. Geminating forms are not very common; exx. are $\|_{n} \leq m s d d \cdot / n$

 thou have refreshment. ${ }^{15}$ Possibly, however, both these are 4 -lit. verbs, see $\S 284$.

anom. 'Give'shows $-\infty d d \cdot f,{ }^{17}$ also written archaically $=-18$ From 'come' no geminating forms are known, $\triangle$ iw taking their place ; see below §459. With 'bring' we find $\Omega^{\prime}=$ inn $\left.\cdot t(w)\right)^{19}$
§ 440. Uses of the imperfective sdm•f in affirmative main clauses.-Since the imperfective or geminating participles from which the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ is derived regularly imply the notions of repetition or continuity, these same notions ought to be perceptible in the imperfective sdm.f itself.
I. Such is apparently always the case in affirmative main clauses referring to present or past events. With present reference the geminating sod $d \cdot f$ is frequently found in statements of custom or aphoristic truths.
 $\operatorname{irr} \operatorname{sy} r \underline{h} r(t)-n \underline{t} r$ justice is unto all eternity, it goes down with him who does it to the necropolis. ${ }^{20}$ An aphorism.
 when there is trouble. ${ }^{21}$ In this aphorism another MS. has 48 , using the $i w$ śd $m \cdot f$ form which regularly has reference to customary acts ( $\$ 462$ ).

To whom shall I speak to-day? There is a lack of intimates;
 unknown in order to complain to him. ${ }^{22}$ Characterization of a period.
 the land sails in accordance with thy command. ${ }^{23}$ Characterization of a person.

It is true that in such passages a non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$ is often found either as variant of, ${ }^{1 /}$ or else associated with, ${ }^{2}$ the geminating $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$; but we have seen ( $\S 367$ ) that a similar alternation between perfective and imperfective occurs with the participles. It is highly significant for the view here taken that the negative accompanying the geminating $\delta \underline{d} m \cdot f$ is usually $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f(\S 418) .{ }^{3}$
2. The geminating sdm•f is used, like the imperfective participles ( $\delta \S 366$; 369,2 ), of past custom ; examples are not common.
 hr inwes $n$ nsw tnw rnpt $n b(t)$, $p r r \cdot i$ im $m$ msr- $h r w, n g m \cdot n \cdot t w d s t \cdot i$ I used to sail down with its tribute to the king every year, and went forth thence vindicated, and no deficiency was found in (lit. of) me. ${ }^{5}$ Note the negation $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f \cdot{ }^{6}$

边 wnn might conceivably be ssdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$, but several examples of the negation $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ are in the neighbouring context.
3. The geminating sdm. $f$ is common in contracts, rules and the like, where the reference is to some customary or prescribed act destined to occur in the future, though we shall see below (under 5) that the gemination occurs also where the act is future, but not customary.
 of the New Year. ${ }^{8}$ In a contract.
 be placed before this statue. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The context narrates the institution of certain festivals.
 office. ${ }^{10}$ In rules respecting the vizier's administrative duties.

Probably the use of wnn•f as a simple future ( $\$ \$ 107$, 1 ; 118, 2) comes under this head, since 'existence' is a notion which of itself implies some degree of continuity.
4. We shall see hereafter $(\$ 450,5, a)$ that the particle $i 夕(\$ 228)$ is always followed by the non-geminating, perfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$. One single exception to this rule has been found in a coronation decree, where the custom to be observed throughout the reign is prescribed.

 $k s-r e d i \quad$ rnh thou shalt cause offerings to be made (lit. cause that offerings be caused to proceed) to the gods of Elephantine in the Upper Egyptian province in performing what is praiseworthy on behalf of the life, prosperity, and health of the king 'Akheperkerē', given life. ${ }^{11}$ The last words contain the point of the sentence: when offerings are made, the name of Tuthmosis I is to be invoked.

${ }^{8}$ Siut 1 , 298. Sim. ib. 282. 297 ; also 304 (prr.sn); 315 (wnn).
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 769, 16, $p n$ restored. Sim. ib. 4 (dd•tw); 17 (prr); Budge, p. 14I, 15 ( $\$ d d \cdot t w)$.
10 Urk.iv. 1113 , 15. Sim. ib. IIII, 15 ; inn.tz, IIII, 6, qu. Exerc. XXX, (iii); III2, 15 .
${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 80, 15. Again ib. 17 .
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 263 . Sim. Peas. R 6; $\mathrm{B}_{1,257 ; ~}^{\text {1 }}$ Eb. 12, 3; 91, 12. 2 Peas. B 1 , 164. Sim. Pt. 122-3 (L 2). ${ }^{3}$ Möll. HL.i. 18. Sim. Urk. v. 156, 14. In $E b .7,22 ; 24,3$ the sense may perhaps be general.
${ }^{4}$ Pol. Ét. § 28 extends this explanation still further, see below § 446 .
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 1165. Sim. Stockholm 55, 3 ; Louvre C 55,6 .

6 Westc. 12, 21. Sim. Adm. 5, 9; L. to D., Cairo letter, 4 .
$\quad{ }^{7}$ P. Kah. 30, 1.
Sim. ib. 32, 1; DAR.
Ostr. $25375.253^{8} 5$.
${ }^{8}$ Th. T. S. iii. 12 (prr, past cnstom).
${ }^{9}$ Paheri 7 (mrri); Urk. iv. 1092, 2 ( $36 b$. tze) ; Peas. B 2, 124 (irr.k). Frequently also the future winn.f, see p. I78, n. 16 .
${ }^{10}$ Exception Eb. 23, 12, where, however, irr.k may be written for $i r \cdot k$.
5. The geminating sd $d m \cdot f$ is also found in exhortations or wishes, i. e. in relation to future acts. Since the perfective $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ frequently has a similar function ( $\$ 450,4$ ), the problem is to discover the reason for the choice of the form with gemination.

Sometimes a certain degree of generality is discernible.

 the robber. ${ }^{2}$

In a few cases, however, it is only a single act that is involved.
 $d d \cdot k s w n t b w$ Pth-wry do thou give it to the sandal-maker Ptaḥwēre. ${ }^{3}$

It has been conjectured ${ }^{\star}$ that in such cases the geminating form serves as a noun subject to an adverbial predicate, which would thus acquire a special stress. The above example would then have to be rendered: It is to . . P P that thou shalt give it, lit. (that-)thou-givest-it (be) to . . . P. Cf. below under 6 .

In one case $s k b b \cdot k$, expressing a wish, is parallel to the $3 a e \mathrm{inf}$. ${ }^{-\infty} \mathrm{i} r \cdot \mathrm{k}$.
 ment of the shade of its trees. ${ }^{5}$

Perhaps, however, skbb•k belongs to the 4 -lit. verbs, see $\S 284$.
6. In questions emphasis naturally rests on an interrogative adverbial adjunct, and the geminating sidm.f may then introduce a virtual noun clause as subject, as explained above under 5 . The negative examples with $\operatorname{tm} \cdot f(\$ 346,1)$ favour this explanation.
 thou in this mood? Lit. thou-makest-this-heart (is) because of what? ${ }^{6}$
7. A common mode of addressing Middle Kingdom letters calls for remark. Ex. —an the lady of the house Sebkḥotpe. ${ }^{7}$

It is uncertain whether this is the geminating $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ or the imperfective relative form ('what P. gives'). The lack of the direct semantic object suggests the latter, and as antecedent the masc. word 射的 $s y^{\prime}$ 'letter' may be implied.

Obs. The geminating $s d m \cdot f$ is rare after the non-enclitic particles, though exx. with $i s t^{8}$ and $m k^{9}$ may be quoted. No instance has been found after $h_{3}{ }^{\text {' }}$ would that', and the case after $i \underline{h}$ quoted above under 4 is quite exceptional. After $\underline{h} r$ and $k \xi^{10}$ the non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$ is regular, as also in the construction in or $k r$ or $k_{3}+$ noun $+s d m \cdot f$ (below $\S 450,5$ ). Similarly after $i z w$ the non-geminating $s d m \cdot f$ is the rule, though there are exceptions ( $\$ 862-3$ ). Lastly, the gemination is rare after the negative words ( $\$ 445$ ). It would seem that the expressive force of these particles and auxiliaries was felt to be sufficient, without overburdening the phrase with the additional nuance of repetition or continuity.
§ 441. The imperfective śdm•f in subordinate clauses has, as a rule, relatively present meaning, i.e. refers to time contemporary with that of the main verb. In this respect it contrasts with the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, which has relatively past meaning; and resembles the perfective sdm.f form, from which it differs mainly through its inherent notion of repetition or continuity. Sometimes, but much less often than the perfective $s d m \cdot f$, the geminating śdm•f may refer to prospective, i.e. relatively future, time; examples below $\S 44^{2}$, I after $s n d{ }^{\text {' }}$ 'fear' and $m r i$ ' wish'.
§ 442. The imperfective śdm•f in noun clauses.-I. As object of certain verbs or subject of their passives, see above § 184.

After $r d i$ 'cause' the perfective, non-geminating sdm $\cdot f$ is used in all verbclasses, see below $\$ 45^{2}$, I. Now and again geminating forms from $m_{33^{1}}{ }^{1}$ and $w^{2} n^{2}$ occur, sometimes even in MSS. which seem trustworthy.

When the $s d m \cdot f$ has prospective meaning after other verbs, the gemination is rare. A few examples from the $2 a \mathrm{gem}$. class occur, and may be due to the intrinsic meaning of the verb-stems involved ( $\$ 446$ ). So after snd 'fear' and $m r i$ ' wish'.
 watchmen might see. ${ }^{3}$
 honour for you should be with Osiris. ${ }^{4}$

So $w n n \cdot \underline{t} n$ also after $w \underline{d}$ 'command'. 5 After this same verb is once found an example from a zae inf. verb.
 commanded me to go forth to this desert. ${ }^{6}$

Repetition is perhaps not completely excluded by the context here, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$ but there is nothing beyond the gemination to indicate that a repeated act was meant, and the reference is probably to the single occasion when the royal sarcophagus was fetched. ${ }^{\text {eb }}$ Nevertheless the scribe may have wished to express himself generally, as could be done in English by the use of the gerund ('commanded my going '); see below under 5 .

The sdm.f form which serves as object of certain verbs sometimes has nonprospective meaning, for example after $r h$ 'know' or $m_{33}$ 'see' ( $(184,2)$. In this case the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ is more apt to be found than the perfective ( $\left.\$ 45^{2}, \mathrm{I}, b\right)$, doubtless because what is seen or known is an action in progress or a continuously exerted quality.

Exx. 4 I know .... (how one) eye looks at its fellow. ${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~Eb} .43,17(=m 3$,
93, 12); Budge, p.
170, I (Nu); 334, 1
( $N u$ ).
${ }^{2}$ Mitt. viii. p. 4 ( $=$
wn, viii. p. 10 ; ix. p.
3). See further below,
p. 379, top.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{18}=\mathrm{R} 44$.
$4_{\text {Turin 1447. Sim. }}^{\text {But. }}$
Brit. Mus. 152.
${ }^{5}$ Brit. Mus. Io1, 4,
qu. Exerc. XVII, (a).
${ }^{6}$ Hamm. ${ }^{113}$, 10.
${ }^{68}$ Continuous action after wd in O. E., Urk. i. 301, 3-5 (srr.f, irrff); 305, 17 (wnn.sn).
${ }^{60}$ Single action after $w \underline{d}$ in U. E., Urk. i. 298, 8 (dd $\cdot k$ ).

[^189]${ }^{1}$ Westc. 9, 22 (in 10, 4 ms.s). Sim. Eb. 109, $15(d d \cdot f)$, qu. p. 143, n. I.
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 102, 15. Sim. ib. 101, $9(k t t) ; 101,12$ (rww.f), qu. p. 143, n. 6 ; 101, 13; 114, I (znn). See too LAC. TR. 43, 1 .
${ }^{3}$ Npr.n.f, Eb. IOI, 17.

4 Lac. TR. 78, ${ }^{15} 5^{-18}$; Chass. Ass. p. 100; Mitt. ix. p. 18.
${ }^{5}$ Pyr. 412. See the comments JEA. 33, 99.
${ }^{6}$ Westc. 7, 21. Sim. Sin. B 187. 236; Paheri 1; Urk. iv. 447, 7. II.
${ }^{7}$ It. 642. Sim. P. Kah. 3, 9 (inn).
${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv. 132. Sim. ib. 384 , IO. For exx. with wnn $k$ see § I9I.
${ }^{9}$ P. Kah. 2, 19.

[^190]2. When the geminating $s$ d $m \cdot f$ is subject of an adjectival predicate ( $\$ 188,3$ ), a more or less prolonged process is doubtless envisaged.

Ex. Reddjedet was in travail painful. ${ }^{1}$
3. The geminating sdm.f is found as the predicate of $p w(\$ \mathrm{I} 89, \mathrm{I})$ in the medical definitions of the Ebers papyrus. Here the reference is to habit or rule, cases where we have seen the gemination to be usual $(\$ 440, \mathrm{I})$.
 'his heart is drowned' this means that his heart is forgetful. ${ }^{2}$

The negative statement in these definitions is conveyed by $n \dot{s} \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f \cdot{ }^{3}$
4. The imperfective $s \underline{d} d \cdot f$ is found in a name ( $\$ 194$ ) given to the supreme
 This complex name is expanded in the Pyramid texts ${ }^{5}$ to ${ }^{\circ} \infty$ Mrrffirrf, msdd•f $n$ ir $\cdot n \cdot f^{\prime}$ (Whenever)-he-likes-he-does,-(whenever)-he-dislikes-he-does-not', where the gemination is again seen to be negatived by $n$ śdm $m \cdot n \cdot f$.
5. The use of the geminating $s d m \cdot f$ in the construction after the genitival adjective (§ 191) well illustrates the notions of repetition or continuity belonging to that form. Whereas $(\$ 452,5)$ the non-geminating sd $d m \cdot f$ is employed when the action referred to occurred in the past ( $k w n \cdot k n w n \cdot k i m \cdot f$ ' thy youthful vigour in which thou wast') or is a single event ( $h \mathrm{rw} n \mathrm{~ms} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ 'the day when she shall give birth '), the geminating śdm. $f$ is used to describe more generalized acts ; such as may often best be rendered by an English noun or gerund.
 things of the king's gift (or giving). ${ }^{\circ}$
 iro years of life which (lit. of) the king gave to me. ${ }^{7}$
 making. ${ }^{8}$
 season of heaven-rages.
§ 443. The geminating sdrm.f in relative clauses.-Among the few examples of śdm.f after the relative adjective - - nty the imperfective form国 0 m $3 \cdot k$ is once found ( $\$ 201$, first ex.) , and that in a MS. of the Book of the Dead which enjoys a good reputation.

On the other hand, in some examples after the negative relative adjective all izwty, the gemination seems due to the generalizing or characterizing nature of the epithet contained in the relative clause.
 transgress the charge laid upon him, lit. what has been placed in his face. ${ }^{10}$

## THE IMPERFECTIVE $S \underline{D} M \cdot F$ IN ADVERB CLAUSES

§ 444. The geminating śdm•f form in adverb clauses.-I. The gemination is sometimes found in virtual clauses of time, when the notion of repetition is present.

Exx. $\theta$ sailest northwards, reverence is paid to thee. ${ }^{1}$
 $\underline{d} n h w \cdot \underline{t} n$ when (or whenever) ye go up to heaven as vultures, I go up on the tip of your wings. ${ }^{2}$

With the verb m33'see', the gemination occurs irrespective of any notion of repetition; for a possible explanation, see below $\S 446$.

Exx. when he sees a multitude. ${ }^{3}$ A characterization.
 he saw the asses of this peasant. ${ }^{4}$ Statement of a single occurrence.
2. In the $i f$-clause of virtual clauses of condition, when this precedes the then-clause. (But we may also view these examples as clauses of asseveration, see § 218 .)
 wishest to see me in health. ${ }^{5}$ Variant Of $\mathrm{mmvr} \cdot \mathrm{k}^{6}$
$\theta=$ mrr tn Wp-zuzwt . . . d d $d \underline{t n}$ if (or so surely as) you love Wepwawet .... say ye. ${ }^{7}$

This formula is found with $m m r \cdot \underline{t} n^{8}$ and $m m \cdot \underline{t} n^{9}$ as variants; there are also various similar formulae beginning in the same way, and these yield the additional variant $\varangle \subseteq m r \cdot \underline{t} n^{10}$ without $m$. See $\S \S 454$, I. 4; 458, for further comments on these alternatives.
3. The $s d m \cdot f$ form after prepositions ( $\$ 155$ ) may be either the geminating or the non-geminating sddm•f. Which of the two is chosen appears to depend partly on the particular meaning of the preposition and partly on that of the verb in question. In certain cases the choice of the geminating śdm.f seems undoubtedly due to the notion of repetition or continuity which is involved.

So, for example, after $m i$ ' as when', ' like' in similes.
 $m 3 s$ sw idhy $m 3 b w$ as when a man of the marshes sees himself in Elephantine. ${ }^{11}$

They found their wine lying in their vats $8 \|^{\circ}=m i k d d m w$ as when water flows. ${ }^{12}$ I.e., their wine was as abundant as ever-flowing water.
 humble servant desires' ${ }^{13} m i$ has a different sense, but the gemination is always present ; the phrase occurs in letters, where it follows wishes for the welfare of the person addressed.

Examples of $r m r r \cdot f$ 'according as he desires' have been quoted $\S 16_{3}, \mathrm{II}$, and of $m m r r \cdot k$ 'so surely as (or 'if') thou desirest' above under (2) at end. Com-


The expression $m d d$, lit. ' (being) as gives', i. e. ' by the gift of', seems to occur only where the gift is repeated or generalized.
 things by the gift of Osiris. ${ }^{2}$

Where the non-geminating $\Delta m d i$ is substituted, ${ }^{3}$ it seems not unlikely that a single gift is envisaged.

Analogous to $m d d$ is $m i r r \cdot i$ 'by my making'; ${ }^{3 a} \mathrm{cf}$ ' I did not plan works in another ex. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{cc}}$ is less easily translated.

Another frequent phrase in which generalization seems implied is $r d d$ ib•f' to his heart's content', lit. 'according as his heart gives'. ${ }^{4}$

In the case of the two $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ forms of $w n n$ we found ( $\left(\S \xi_{157,1}-3 ; 326\right.$, end) the gemination after prepositions either when stress is laid on duration or else when future time is involved; elsewhere the non-geminating form is usual.

The reasons for the gemination or for its absence cannot be followed up in the case of every preposition. The geminating śdm•f has been noted, for example, after $n$ 'because', $h r$ 'because', ${ }^{6} h f t$ 'according as', $m$ - $h t$ 'when', 'after', ${ }^{8} n$ - $3 t-n$ ' inasmuch as '. ${ }^{9}$
4. In the $i f$-clause of clauses of condition after $\dagger-\mathrm{ir}$ (§ I 50 ). Here a remarkable divergence is observable between verbs of different classes; whereas the zae inf. and anom. verbs regularly employ the non-geminating form ( $\$ 454,5$ ), the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. use the geminating sdm.f.


So too with other verbs of the same class. ${ }^{12}$ The explanation may lie in the fact that most verbs of the $2 a \mathrm{e}$ gem. class have meanings which inherently imply repetition or continuity ( $\$ 446$ ).

In accordance with the general behaviour of the zae inf. in this case, as noted above, we find $0 \subset$ 多 $\mathrm{gm} \cdot \mathrm{k}$ for 'if thou findest' in the Ebers medical papyrus and elsewhere. ${ }^{13}$ If, however, another verb immediately follows ir and 'thou findest' occurs only as a second condition, then it is regularly represented by the geminating form $\mathrm{gmm} \cdot \mathrm{k}$.

$$
\text { Ex. } 4 \operatorname{son}^{2} . . .
$$ $s m \ldots d d \cdot h r \cdot k$ if thou examinest (him after doing this), .... and thou findest his side warm . . . . thou shalt say. ${ }^{14}$

In such cases the gemination is doubtless due not, as has been supposed, to the separation of 'thou findest' from ir 'if', but to some nuance of repetition or
continuity which it is difficult to catch. In favour of this view it is significant
 thou examinest him and dost not find', ${ }^{1}$ for we have seen that the negation $n$ śdm. $n \cdot f$ occurs only in cases where a prolonged period is envisaged ( $\$ 418$ ). Note that the geminating sdm•f form from wnn 'exist' may occur after and parallel to $g m m \cdot k$ as further continuation of such a complex $i f$-clause. ${ }^{2}$
§ 445. Negation of the geminating sdrm•f.-I. This form hardly ever follows the negative words.

Exx. $n$ irr $k s t, n \mathrm{irr} \cdot(s)$ st (if) thou dost not do it, she does not do it. ${ }^{3}$ But $i r \cdot k, i r \cdot(s)$, might conceivably be read, see $\S 28 \mathrm{I}$.
 Probably future, in accordance with § $105,2 .{ }^{5}$ For the special leaning of the 2ae gem. verbs towards geminating sidm $\cdot f$, see below $\S 446$.
2. In several places we have seen the construction $n=n$ śdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$ serving to negate the geminating śd $d m \cdot f:$ in present generalizations, $\S 440, \mathrm{I}$; in past custom, $\S 440,2$; in medical definitions, $\S 44^{2}, 3$; in a divine name, $\S 442,4$; continuing $i f$-clause with $i r, \$ 444,4$ end. Since $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ denies the occurrence of an action in the course of a more or less prolonged period (§ 105, 3; 418), its employment to negate the geminating $s d m \cdot f$ is important, if indirect, testimony to the notions of continuity or repetition inherent in the latter.
3. After ir 'if' the $s d m \cdot f$ form $t m \cdot f$ of the negative verb is used, except in the one case mentioned at the end of the last section. Thus the negative form of ir m3sf' if he sees' would be ir tm.f m3s; see above $\S 347,6$.
§446. Conclusion.-A theory has recently been advanced ${ }^{6}$ that the geminating sd $d m \cdot f$ was a form specially evolved to serve in the way explained above under $\S 440,5.6$, namely as subject to an adverbial predicate. On this view the second ex. in $\S 440$, I would have to be rendered ' (It is) to an intimate (that-)one-has-recourse when there is trouble'. It is undeniable that both here and elsewhere emphasis often does seem to rest on an adverbial adjunct, but it is equally undeniable that in all the main clauses of $\$ 440$, 1. 2. 3 a notion of repetition or continuity is invariably present; and the frequent appearance of the negation $n s \dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ in connexion with the geminating $s \underline{d} d m \cdot f(\$ 445,2)$ guarantees that such a notion was the usual motive for the choice of this form. Also there are some main clauses containing the form where no adverbial adjunct exists. ${ }^{7}$ Hence the utmost that can be conceded to the new theory is that owing to the generality of the geminating śdm.f it was specially prone to be used as a noun, so that in particular cases (e.g. §440, $5.6 ; \S 442,5$ ) this may have provided the motive for its employment.
${ }^{1}$ So too in win． br．f，$\$ 430$ ．
${ }^{2}$ Cf．the O．K．exp． of the imperf．rel．form qu．p．306，n． 4 a．

There are，however，other directions in which explanations of recalcitrant uses of the imperfective s$\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ may plausibly be sought．Notions of repetition and continuity are perhaps more easily associated with future time than with past；this might possibly account for the future sense of wnn•f（ $\S 118,2),{ }^{1}$ the single－action wishes of $\S 440,5,{ }^{2}$ and the use in clauses of condition（p．358， bottom）．Or again the employment may be due to the inherent meaning of certain verb－stems．This is particularly likely in the case of $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．verbs，a class specially rich in adjective－verbs（ex． $2 u r r, s ̌ r r, k b b, s m m$ ）and containing other verbs like win＇be＇and $m_{33}$＇see＇that similarly bear an implication of continuity． It is，at all events，a fact that the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．class is found in forms ${ }^{3}$ or syntactic employments ${ }^{4}$ where the $3 a e$ inf．and nom．verbs do not display the gemina－ tion．We are here，however，in a hypothetical region where further speculation appears barely profitable．

## VOCABULARY


I $3 d$ be aggressive，rage，resent．
St $\Delta v d f$（properly $w d f$ ）lag，delay．
$\bigcirc \wedge \frac{h m}{}$ flee，retire．
風 $\wedge m$－ht retreat（ $\$ 288$ ）．
ㅇ． htm shut，close，seal．
h si be timid，weak，feeble．
用搰 sym be powerful；with $m$ ， have power over；caus．ssh strengthen．
$\|_{\times 1}^{2}$ strengthen．$s d$ ，var． smash．
（he（h iso $i h t)$ field，holding（of land）．

BI $w$ district，region．
用 hm coward，poltroon．吅 $h p$ law．

用澥 hams friend．
量 111 ert what belongs to someone or something．

$\infty$ ○通 sporty petitioner．
污 ft dignity，worth． magistrates；knbty magistrate．


## EXERCISE XXX

Reading lesson．The following extracts illustrate the use of the geminating Sdm•f in reference to present，past，and future time，and exhibit the parallelism of that form with the imperfective participles．
（i）Extract from the stela of Sesostris III at Semnah and its duplicate at Uronarti：${ }^{1}$



```
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ir gr m-bt ph (§ 298)
ssbm ib pw n brwy.
knt pw 3 ( (§ 298),
hast pw hambt.
hm pw mor srw hr tssff,
$d r-n t t ~ s d m$ Nhs $r$ br $(\S 304,3) n r$;
in wšb•f dd ( $\$ 373,2) ~ h m \cdot f$.
$3 d \cdot t(w) r \cdot f$,
$d d \cdot f s \cdot f ;$
$h m-h t \cdot(t w, \S 62)$,
wo.f $r$ sd.
$n \mathrm{rmt}(t)$ is $n t s f t$ st (§ I 34),
hworw pw sdwa ibw.
${ }^{1}$ Berl．AI．i．p．${ }^{25 \%}$ ．Variants in the Uronarti stela from a copy by Prof．Steindorff．

${ }^{3}$ The chick $w$ is written for $n h$ ．
4 Uronarti $\| \times{ }_{x}$
＇He who desists after attack is a strengthener of the enemy＇s heart．To be aggressive is to be brave，to retreat is timidity．A real coward is he who is debarred from his frontier，for the Nubian hears（only）to fall at a word；the answering of him causes him to retire．If one is aggressive against him，he shows his back；if one retreats he falls into aggression．They are not people of worth ；they are caitiffs broken of heart．＇
（ii）From the tale of the Eloquent Peasant．${ }^{1}$ The king commands that the peasant be detained，but supplied with the necessary food：


ir grt（§ 255） $\mathrm{rnh}^{2}$ shty pn m hew f（§ 36）．

wnn•k hr rdit（§ 326）di•tw n•f rkw，
${ }^{1}$ Peas．B1，82－6．
$n n r d i t(\$ 307) r b \cdot f n t^{3} n t k r d i(\$ 368) n \cdot f s t$.
${ }^{2}$ Sense clear，but grammar obscure．
－For ntt．

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR


＇＂Further，keep alive this peasant himself．Thou shalt cause him to be given provisions，without letting him know that thou hast given them to him．＂ So they gave him ten loaves and two jugs of beer every day．The chief steward Rensi，son of Meru，used to give them ；he used to give them to a companion of his，and his companion used to give them to him（the peasant）．＇
（iii）Extract from the rules given to the vizier for the administration of his office ：${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ir grt } \operatorname{sprt}(y) \cdot f(y)(\{365,3) n b n t 3 t y \text { l. } \mathrm{lr} 3 h w w t \text {, } \\
& \text { 18 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wd.f sw } n \cdot f(\S 507,4) \text {, } \\
& m \text { haw sdm } n \text { imy-r chrwt } \\
& h n r d_{3} d_{3 t} n t \underline{t m} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1-7 }{ }^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - N( } \\
& \text { 에N․ }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { "ロ呬 }
\end{aligned}
$$

> =9 8
> irgrt sprt $(y) \cdot f(y)(\$ 365,3) n b n t 3 t y$ ' lr 3hwt, wd.f sw $n \cdot f(\S 507,4)$,
> $m$ hiw sdm n imy-r chrwt
> $h n r d_{3} d_{3} t n t \underline{t m}$.
> $i r r \cdot f$ wdf $r \cdot f h r 3 b d 2$
> $n$ shwot.f $m$ Šme Ts-mhw
> ir swt shwt.f
> nty tkn $(\S 328,1) n$ nizwt rst $n$ hazw, irrff wdf $r \cdot f h r h r w 3 m n t t(\$ 200,1) r h p$. sdm.f sprty $n b$ hft hp $p n$
> $n t y m r \cdot f$.
> ntf grt inn ( $\$ 373, c$ ) knbtyw nww. $n t f h(3) b s n$, smi.sn n.f hrt wrw $s n$. inn $\cdot t(w) n \cdot n f$ imt-pr $n b$;
> $n t f$ htm st.
${ }^{1}$ Newb．Rekhm．2－3，corrected from duplicates in the tombs of User（U）and Amenemoper（A）．
${ }^{2}$ So U．A；R omits $r$ ．$\quad{ }^{3}$ So A；R sdintf．$\quad$ So A；R has only one $\boldsymbol{n}$（§62）．
＇Further，everyone who shall make petition to the vizier concerning fields， the vizier shall order him（to come）to him，in addition to listening to the overseer of lands and the officials of the cadaster（？）．He shall make a postpone－ ment with regard to him for two months for his fields in Upper and Lower

Egypt. But in respect of his fields which are near to the Southern City (i.e. Thebes) or to the Residence, he shall make a postponement with regard to him for three days according to what is in the law. He shall hear every petitioner according to this law which is in his hand. Further, it is he who shall send for (lit. fetch) the district-assessors, and it is he who shall despatch them that they may report to him the state of their districts. There shall be brought to him all wills; it is he who shall seal them.'

## LESSON XXXI

## THE PERFECTIVE SDM.F FORM

§ 447. The perfective sdm.f not a unity. ${ }^{1}$-To put the discussion on a sound basis, it must first be admitted that the perfective śdm.f probably embraces two distinct forms, though these are usually indistinguishable even in the mutable verbs. The evidence may be summarized as follows. (i) One verb actually shows three $s d m \cdot f$ forms; this is the anomalous verb $\xrightarrow[i n i]{ }{ }^{2}$, with the geminating (imperfective) form $\AA^{\prime}=-\operatorname{inn} f(\$ 439)$ and the two perfective forms $X_{\infty}^{m} i n \cdot f$ and $\int_{0}^{m}=i n t \cdot f$, the last a curious form of active meaning with intrusive $t$. (2) The anomalous verb for 'come' has only two $s d m \cdot f$ forms from the stem $\Lambda i w$, but one of these, namely $\Delta{ }^{i}=i w t \cdot f$, seems to correspond in usage to int.f from in(i) just mentioned, while the other $A i^{i} w \cdot f$, though not showing the gemination, is partly imperfective and partly perfective in its uses; see below $\S 459$. (3) From time to time mysterious forms in $-w$ are found from
 'sit'; ${ }^{4}$ such forms it seems natural to distinguish from those of more normal writing. (4) The principal argument, however, is drawn from facts belonging to the two extreme ends of Egyptian linguistic history. The non-geminating śdm•f has survived in Coptic in one use, namely as object after di 'cause', and here the зae inf. verbs exhibit the vocalization *peribf, older doubtless *periáf, ex. Bohairic $\theta$ mesios ' cause that she bear', Eg. dit $m s(y) \cdot s ;{ }^{5}$ sporadic variants in Dyn. XVIII,
 court of justice) contented', ${ }^{6}$ confirm the latent presence of the third weak radical $y$ in the normal writing $\square \wedge$ after $d i$. Professor Sethe has, however, shown from the Pyramid Texts that beside the śdm•f forms from zae inf. verbs used after $d i$ and $r d i$, there are others, never so employed, which have a final $-w$ or $-y$ corresponding to variant writings with prothetic $i$ ( $\$ 272$ ); exx. Q


${ }^{1}$ See Verlum ii. 65 $323-352$, where, however, different conclusions are reached. Sub-sections (3) and (4) of this paragraph require reconsideration in the light of Edel's researches, for which see above, in the Additions and Corrections, p. xxxiv

[^191]as is supposed with much probability, the prothetic $i$ represents merely a short helping vowel employed when two initial consonants chance to be juxtaposed without intervening vowel, then we must reconstruct from these writings some such vocalizations as *ekrázvek, *Chnaw; these vocalizations do not square at all with those deducible, as we have just seen, from Coptic, for Coptic points to vocalizations like *harwak, *henie. Thus we are driven to infer for the $3 a e \mathrm{inf}$. class the existence of at least two types of non-geminating stdm•f, one with the initial consonants juxtaposed without intervening vowel, and the other beginning with an unaccented shut syllable (per-, har-, hen-). The Middle Kingdom writings seldom or never permit us to recognize these two types; the rare
 type, but we could not be certain whether a writing like $\$ 10 \sim g m y \cdot k$ should be understood as *egmáyek or as *gemy ${ }^{*} k$.

The above argument goes to prove ( I ) that the non-geminating sidm.f form embraces more than one sub-form, and (2) that these different sub-forms cannot be identified at sight. As a practical measure, therefore, we are forced to treat the non-geminating s $s d m \cdot f$ as a unity; does this necessarily render our treatment of it unscientific? Perhaps not, for the following reason. It has been argued ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}$ ) that the geminating and non-geminating sdm $\cdot f$ forms are derivatives of the corresponding passive participles, and we have found no reason for thinking that there existed more than one non-geminating (perfective) passive participle. It is quite conceivable that the $s d m \cdot f$ form derived from the perfective passive participle may have developed different vocalizations for different uses, just as the imperfect in Arabic has its subjunctive and jussive moods. These vocalic differences are beyond our purview, and we must necessarily ignore them; but we seem justified in describing the non-geminating sd $d m \cdot f$, on grounds of origin, as the perfective sd $d m \cdot f$, and in seeking to connect its various meanings with those of the originating perfective passive participle.

Obs. In two cases-namely in explaining $n s p$ śd $m \cdot f$ 'he never heard ' (§ 456) and $n n s d m \cdot f$ 'he will not hear' ( $\$ 457$ ), as opposed to $n s d m \cdot f$ 'he did not hear' ( $\$ 455$ )-we shall argue from observed differences in the non-geminating śdm.f forms employed. It may turn out that such forms as int.f, izwtf and gmy.f are exclusively prospective in meaning.
${ }^{1}$ Lac. TR. 37, 11. Sim. Pt. 462.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 776, 14. Sim. P. Kah. 36, 34 .
${ }^{3}$ Sh. S. 134. Sim. Peas. B I, 60.
${ }^{4}$ Peas. R io3. Sim. Westc. 8, 11; 9, 17 ; Urk. iv. 1088, 5.
§ 448. Forms of the perfective $\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}$ from the mutable verbs.
zae gem. Exx. $\Delta \mathbb{N}\left\{=-k b \cdot f^{\prime}\right.$ that it may be cool'; $;^{1}$ 'that they may
 $m r^{\prime} k$ 'thou wilt see'. ${ }^{3}$ The verb 'see' also has the peculiar form $\quad$ 3 $n \cdot k ;{ }^{4}$ this we have met with ( $\$ 439$ ) as equivalent of the geminating $s d m \cdot f$, but it is much commoner as variant of the non-geminating stdm.f.
zae inf．Exx．■䛼含 $h^{\prime} \cdot k$＇thou goest down＇；${ }^{1}$ ■ $p r$＇（that）should go forth＇；${ }^{2} \| \sim=m s \cdot t(w) \cdot f$＇is born＇．${ }^{3}$ Thus the third weak radical is usually left unwritten；only in the ist pers．sing．is it apt to combine with the suffix as $-y$ ， ex．口ी $\triangle$ 通 pry $^{4}$（for clearness sake to be transcribed pry．i），beside shorter
 some cases represent a difference of form，see below $\S 457$ ．Sporadic writings occur，however，where $-y$ appears before other suffixes or before nom．subj．，
 the rarer writings with $-w$ ，see $\S 447$ ．
＇Make＇as a rule writes but one $r$ ，see the exx．just quoted，and ir．f．${ }^{8}$ $\stackrel{\Delta}{\infty} i r \cdot t w ;{ }^{9}$ but ，to be read $i r \cdot k$ ，occurs by way of exception．${ }^{10}$
 later change of $\underline{t}$ into $t(\S 28 \mathrm{I})$ is commoner．
 The final weak consonant hardly ever appears，except in ist pers．sing．，ex．





 rather more frequently，forms without $r$ ，like $\underset{\sigma}{\sigma} d i \cdot k k^{22} \stackrel{\sim}{\square} d i \cdot t w .^{23}$ In old religious texts spellings like $\underset{\nabla}{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} d(i) \cdot k$ are occasionally found．${ }^{24}$ Once we have $\boxed{~} \mid$ before nom．subj．；${ }^{25}$ cf．similar forms under jae inf．above．
＇Bring＇differentiates two forms：one without $t$ ，ex． $\bar{\delta}$ 签 $i n \cdot i ;{ }^{26}$ another with $t$（see above §447），ex．$\AA_{2=2}$ int．f；${ }^{27}$ for the latter there appears just before Dyn．XVIII a variant $D=\frac{0}{e}$ int $(w) \cdot f{ }^{28}$ due to loss of value of $w$ and possibly also to confusion with the passive，which ${ }^{29}$ thus becomes indistinguishable from the active．
＇Come＇shows from the stem with $-i$ such forms as $14 A_{i}^{A} i y f$ ．Far commoner， however，are forms from the $-w$ stem，namely a form without $-t$ ，$\wedge_{C} i z v \cdot f$ ，and second， a form with intrusive－t（see §447），ex．$A$ iwe iwtf；see below § 459 ．

Thus the outstanding characteristic of the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is absence of gemination，just as the presence of gemination is the characteristic of the imper－ fective $s d m \cdot f$ ；no definite obstacle stands in the way of a derivation from the perfective passive participle（ $\$ 411,1$ ），a derivation which is indeed suggested by the ending $-y$ in some $3 a e i n f$ ．and anom．forms．The forms iwt．f and int．f may be due to the analogy of the infinitive，or may even be infinitives replacing $s d m \cdot f$ forms that were too much reduced to serve their purpose adequately．

1 Peas．B $1,54$.
${ }^{2}$ Hamm．192， 11.
${ }^{3}$ Berlin $\ddot{A} I$ ．i．p．
258，18． 20.
4 Eb．30， 8.
${ }^{5} E b .1,17$.
－Urk．iv． 485 ，I． Sim．iry．k，ib．1074， 14；$d g y . k, i b .117,6$ ； gnıy．k，P．K＇ah．6， 18. 23；iry．f，Arm．103，5； $m r y \cdot f, U r k$. iv． 1163 ， 16；irv．n，ib．327，13； bdy．n，Lutz，34，66， 2.
${ }^{7}$ P．Kah．34，2－3． Sim．pry，Urk．iv．49， 1，qu．§ 447 ；$h s y$ ，ib． 121， 5 ；939，9；1207， 7.
${ }^{8}$ Siut $1,3{ }^{23}$.
${ }^{9}$ P．Kah．29， 43.
${ }^{10}$ Pt． 415 （ $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ ）．
${ }_{11}$ Cairo 20001， 64.
12 Peas．B 1，104． Sim．it．tw，Eb．2，3．
${ }^{13}$ Cairo 20003，$a 2$.
14 Eb．39，3－4．
${ }^{15}$ Peas．Bt． 26.
${ }^{16}$ Sin．B 67 ；sim． rnpy•k，Sh．S． 168.
${ }^{17}$ Pt． 615.624.
18 SpIEG．－PöRTN．i． 4，II．
${ }^{19}$ Westc．9，23－4． Sim．skdy．k，Urk．iv． II3，17；sḥy，Brit． Mus． $5^{80}$ ．
${ }^{20}$ Westc．9， 17.
${ }^{21}$ Munich 3， 12.
${ }_{22}$ Peas．B I， 29.
${ }^{23}$ Westc．8，3．
${ }^{24}$ Lac．$T R .44,6$.
${ }^{25}$ Lac．TR．20， 5.
${ }^{26}$ Eb．58，10．Sim．
Peas．B I， $25^{2}$（in．k）．
27 P．Kah．30，38； 31，1；Ikhern． 4 ．
${ }^{28}$ Westc．7，8；8，3．
${ }^{29}$ Westc．5，11．
§ 450. The perfective śdm•f in affirmative main clauses.- I. Past reference. In Old Egyptian the non-geminating sdm.f is fairly frequent in past narration with verbs showing an object, ${ }^{1}$ but towards Dyn. VI the sddm•n.f form can be seen gradually superseding it in this use. Nevertheless, undoubted examples of the earlier custom can still be found in Middle Egyptian.
 tms, hs wi hm.f hrffr $r^{\prime s t}$ wrt His Majesty appointed me to be scribe of the cadaster (?) ; His Majesty praised me for it very much. ${ }^{2}$
This use of s $\dot{d} m \cdot f$ can be detected with certainty only in the case of verbs with feminine infinitives, since with other verbs the absolute use of the infinitive ( $\$ 306,2$ ) offers an alternative possibility.
 $i d d \cdot f^{3 a}$ with prothetic $i\left(\$ 27^{2}\right)$. In texts of the early Middle Kingdom $\Omega d d$ is used in the same way, ${ }^{4}$ and may be $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ with ellipse of the subject.

A similar explanation might be thought to apply to that $\underline{d} d$ which occurs at the beginning of Middle Kingdom letters.
${ }^{1}$ See Gunn, Stud. p. 72.
${ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 828. Sim. Sin. B 265 (wd.s); 285 ( ${ }^{(3 m \cdot n)}$.
${ }^{3}$ Sin. R 2. 55; Peas. Bt. 24 ; B I, 53 . 74 ; P. Kah. 4, 5. 23 . Sim. dd.i Sh. S. 88. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{am}}$ JEA. 33, Pl. 2, 5 , Dyn. XIII.
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 2000 I. 20007. 20011. 20012; Brit. Mus. 614, 3; Hamm. 1, 3. See now Arch.äg. Arch. 1, 81, opposing an argument in PoL. $\S 78$.


#### Abstract

§ 449. Meaning of the perfective sdm•f form.-In dealing with the perfective passive participle, we found that this could be used to describe events belonging alike to past, to present, or to future time ( $\$ 369$, I. 3.5) ; it differed from the imperfective passive participle only in the fact that the latter gives prominence to some notion of repetition or continuity associated with the act described. If, as we have conjectured, the perfective $\delta d m \cdot f$ originated in the perfective passive participle, it ought to possess substantially the same range of meaning as that participle. Such is, in fact, actually the case, save that the śd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ form has largely superseded the employment of the perfective s sdm•f in reference to past events. In past narration, the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is but little used in affirmative main clauses ( $\$ 450,1$ ) ; on the contrary it is quite commonly employed in sentences or clauses negatived by $n$ ( $\$ 455$ ). For the description of both present and future actions the perfective sidm.f is of very frequent occurrence, as we shall see. It is important to realize that though this form contains no implication of repetition or continuity, the facts which it describes may nevertheless possess that character; a generalization may be made or a custom affirmed without any explicit avowal that such is its nature; see above $\S 367$ and below $\S 450$, 2 .

The perfective sdm.f is distinguishable as such only in the mutable verbs, and it is these which will mainly be considered in the following paragraphs. On occasion, however, we may be compelled to discuss under this head forms from immutable verbs, like $d d \cdot f$ in $\S 450$, I. The absence of any hint of repetition or continuity here makes it probable that the form has been rightly classified. made or a custom affirmed without any explicit avowal that such is its nature


 the servant of the estate Neni speaks to the steward Iyeb．${ }^{1}$

The $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form is excluded，however，by cases showing the fem．ending a $-t$ ．

The choice thus lies between an active participle and the old perfective．To the latter the 3 rd pers．and active sense are perhaps obstacles．The former view seems preferable，demotic offering an analogous formula．${ }^{2 a}$

In texts where the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form is usual for past narration the $s d m \cdot f$ with a nominal subject in anticipatory emphasis（ $(148,1)$ sometimes takes its place．
 $i r f n \cdot s h t p-d i-n s w$ lo，His Majesty stretched forth his arm，and bent his hand， and made for her the funerary oblation．${ }^{3}$
$\rightarrow 00^{2} \times 1 \times$ wrt $h d \cdot t(w) \cdot s$（only）one（wisp）has been damaged．${ }^{4}$
It will be seen later（ $\$ 462$ ）that $i w+$ non－geminating $s d m \cdot f$ served now and then to express past custom．Otherwise，the cases above enumerated appear to exhaust the material for perfective $s d m \cdot f$ in reference to past events，so far as affirmative sentences are concerned．In negative sentences referring to past events perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is，as we have already stated（ $\$ 449$ ），very common； further details below $\$ \$ 455, \mathrm{I} ; 456$.

2．In reference to present occurrences；the fact is described simply，without any consideration whether it is a single or a repeated happening，whether it is momentary or prolonged．
 act described is a single momentary act．
 condition is described，but without stress being laid on its continuity．${ }^{7}$
 perhaps best classified here，though they might seem to be vaguely prospective or optative．

So too in the compound narrative forms iw sddm．f（below §462），rhe sdm•f （below $\S 477$, r）．For a similar use in negative sentences，see below $\S 455,2$.

3．With future reference．
 fifteenth day of the first month of winter．${ }^{9}$

通の的 $m \cdot k p r \cdot k$ thou shalt see thy home．${ }^{10}$
轰等 $0 .{ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ From wnn＇exist＇the imperf．wnnf is universally employed， provided no particle，or merely $m k^{\prime}$ behold＇，${ }^{13}$ precedes ；see above $\S \S$ IO7， 1 ； 118 ， 2. The negation of the future is，as we have seen，$n n s d m \cdot f$ ；see further $\S 457$ ．

$$
{ }^{1} P_{:} \text {Kah. 29, } 31 .
$$ Sim．ib．28， $1 ; 29,1$ ； 30， 25 ；3I， 30.

${ }^{2}$ L.to D., Hu bowl,
I. Sim. ib., Cairo
linen, I.
${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Ib}$. p. 13.

[^192]1 Peas. B I, 29. Sim. with di, Th.T.S. ii. II; Lac. TR. 4 , 13; ib. p. 9, top; Urk. iv. 753, 8. Also ist pers., sdd.l rf, Sh. S. 21. 125 .
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 1091, 3. Sim.ib.1090, 13 (hd.k); the neg. verb imy.k, see § 345 .
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 34, 3. Sim. Iry.n, Urk. iv. 327, 13; $d g y \cdot k, i b$. 117, 6.
${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 35, 38.
${ }^{5}$ Sim. Harh. 618; Westc. 7, 8 (int.k).
${ }^{6}$ Sim. Urk. iv. 1090, 5 ( $m$ 3.k).
${ }^{7}$ Moscow 1, 6-7. Sim. Brit. Mus. $6{ }_{1} 4$, vert. $3(\underline{d} \cdot \sqrt{2})$.
8 Peas. B I, 196. Sim. Westc. 7. 24.
${ }^{9}$ Urk.iv. 113, 17 ; 116, 17.
${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. $116{ }_{2} 15$.

11 Leb. 154. Sim. Urk. iv. 1092, 18.
${ }^{12}$ Cairo 20040, a 2; Leyd. V 3 .
${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 1088, 5.
${ }^{14}$ Cairo 20538, ii. c 7 .
${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 167$.
${ }^{16}$ Budge, p. 165 , 12; 167, 6. Sim. Urk. iv. 492, 7.
${ }^{17}$ Urk. iv. 1074.
${ }^{18}$ Th. T. S. ii. II.
${ }^{19} A Z .38,140$.
${ }^{20}$ Westc. $11,7.15$. Sim. AZ. 38, 136.
${ }^{21}$ Peas. B I, 36.
4. The perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is common also in wishes and exhortations, which are often hard to distinguish from one another and from the simple future use. The addition of the enclitic particles $r \cdot k$ or $r f(\$ 252,2$ and $3 a)$ may help to indicate this use.

Exx. So minn in $d i \cdot k r \cdot k n \cdot i k t \cdot i$ give thou me my chattels. ${ }^{1}$
 anger, lit. that angered for it. ${ }^{2}$
 lord of Heracleopolis Magna, perform for thee thy desire. ${ }^{3}$ Iry is an exceptional writing ( $\$ 448$ ), $\infty$ ir being normal. ${ }^{*}$
 $r \cdot f, m_{3} \cdot f^{6} \mathrm{~m}$ irty $\cdot f$ may the Inundation god bring him his offering, may he eat with his mouth, may he see with his eyes. ${ }^{7}$
 praise thee. ${ }^{8}$

The various verb-classes are sufficiently illustrated in the above examples; one may add caus. zae gem. $\uparrow \Delta \rrbracket \sqrt{ }$ \{ $\sigma$ skbb•k (probably perfective, $\S 440,5$ ), caus. zae inf. $1\left\|_{0}^{0}\right\| ी$ skdy $k,{ }^{9}$ and anom. $\triangle \frac{\$}{8}$ izwt. ${ }^{10}$ Here probably belongs the use of the perfective s $s d m \cdot f$ as continuation of the imperative ( $\$ 337$ ), though this might often be translated as a clause of purpose. For a similar, but nevertheless distinct, use of the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ in exhortations see $\S 440,5$.
5. After various particles, in reference to future events. Whether simple futurity, wishes, commands, exhortations, or consequences are meant depends upon the particle employed. See also Lesson XVIII above.
(a) After 1 是 ih ( $\$ 228$ ) expressing future consequences or exhortations.


 example with the imperfective $-\square d d \cdot k$ has been quoted in $\S 440,4$, the reason for the gemination being that the command there given is of a general and lasting character.

The negative form of $i \zeta s d m \cdot f$ is $i b t m \cdot f s d m(w)$, see $\S 346,4$.

 as I say. ${ }^{17}$
 $d i \cdot t n,{ }^{20}$ but also $r d i \cdot t(w) .{ }^{21}$

No negative forms have been found, since $h 3 n s d m \cdot f$, illustrated below $\S 455, \mathrm{I}$, is the negation of $h 3 \delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$.

## THE PERFECTIVE SDDM•F AFTER CERTAIN PARTICLES

(c) After © br (§239), expressing futurity.
 will cause his son to go to rise up in his place. ${ }^{1}$

The material for the mutable verbs is scanty; $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. $\mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{tt}(\mathrm{w}) .^{2}$
(d) After 冝盆 $k$ ( $(\$ 242$ ), expressing future result or injunction.



 it were imperfective ( $\mathrm{irr} \cdot k$ ), but is possibly either a mistake or a writing of the perfective $i r \cdot k$.

The negative form of $k s s d m \cdot f$ is $k s t m \cdot f s d m(w)$, see $\S 346,5$.
The evidence above quoted goes to show that, when a particle precedes, it is the simplest form of $s d m \cdot f$ which usually follows. The particle supplies the special nuance of meaning to be given to the verb, and only in exceptional cases (see under $a$ at end, $d d \cdot k$ ) is that meaning further complicated by the notion of repetition or custom which the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ would imply. The same holds good in the three already studied constructions to be considered next.
(e) The construction ntf (or in $X$ ) sdm•f(§227, 2), with future meaning. ${ }^{12}$

Exx. =ine min me this bread and beer. ${ }^{13}$
 (it is) the deputy Gebu (who) shall act (as) guardian (lit. child-rearer) to my son. ${ }^{14}$

In this construction in occurs only when the subject is a noun; when the subject is a pronoun it is the independent pronoun which is employed, usually the later independent pronoun, but more rarely the earlier one. Further exx. are:

 he shall live. ${ }^{16}$ In an archaistic text.

Forms employed: zae gem. no certain instance; zae inf. see irff above;


Obs. The original meaning of $n t f$ s'd $m \cdot f$ may have been 'to him belongs that he should hear ', cf. French il entendra from ille intendere habet. ${ }^{20 \mathrm{~b}}$ For the possessive sense of $n t f$ see § 1 14, 3 .
$(f)$ The construction $b r f f$ sdmbf ( $\$ 239$ ), with future meaning.
Ex. © © 0 ——en
 DAP $\wedge$ i $y \cdot f .{ }^{24}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 690, 5.
2 Urk. iv. IIII, 11, qu. § 187 .
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 29, 43 ; iry.i, Adm. p. 105.

4 Wesic. 9, i7.
${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. 31, 21.
${ }^{6}$ P. Kah. 36, 23.
7 P. Kah. 31, 1. Sim. ib. 13, 36.

8 Westc. 9, 17.
${ }^{9}$ P. Kah. 29, 20; 36, 16; Urk. iv. 836, 16.
${ }^{10}$ Piehl, TH. iii. 76.

11 Eb. 23, 12.
${ }^{12}$ See GUNN, Stud. ch. v.
${ }^{13}$ Siut 1, 323-4.

14 P. Kah. 12, 14.

15 P. Kah. 28, 27. Sim. Eb. 1, 8. With ntk, P. Kah. 31, 6; ntf, Pt. 519; nts, P. Kah. I2, II.
${ }^{16}$ Urk. iv. 257, 14. Sim. ib. 25I, 8 ; Urk. จ. I54, II.
17 Hat-Nub 10, 12, qu. §227, 2.
18 Urk. iv. 257, 9.
19 P. Kak. 12, 1 I.
${ }^{20}$ Urk. v. 155, II; Westc. 9, 8, qu. §227, 2; Eb. 58, 10.
${ }^{200}$ Urk. iv. 257, 17.
${ }^{20 \mathrm{~b}} J E A .20,13$.
${ }^{21}$ Eb. $59,8$.
${ }^{22}$ Urk.iv. 1111 , 12.
${ }^{23}$ Urk. iv. 1107, 5, qu. § 239 ; di.tw, Eb. 44, 3.
${ }^{24}$ P. Kah. 22, 1-2.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

[^193]4 After $m k$, see di.i in $\ddot{A} Z .59,24$ (1, 5); in.tw in Sin. BI8I and $U r k$. iv. 80,8 is more probably sdin. n.f; in Peas. B 1, 81 ize is ambiguous. After ist, see an ex. § 212 . 'Ist wn, see § IOך, 2.
(g) The construction $k 3 \cdot f$ sdm. $f(\$ 242$ ), future meaning, usually future result. Ex. .
Forms employed: zae inf. see ir $\cdot k$ above ; anom. ${ }_{\square}^{\circ} d i \cdot t w ;{ }^{2}$.
Obs. ' $1 s \underline{t}$ and $m k$ appear to exert less influence over the $s \underline{d} \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form than the other particles studied above, since they are followed sometimes by the imperfective (above $\S 440$, OBS.) and sometimes by the perfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f \cdot f^{4}$ The more expressive a particle is, the less likely it is to be followed by the imperfective $\delta \mathbf{d} m \cdot f$, since it would not as a rule be desired further to encumber the meaning with the notions of repetition or continuity which would be implied by that form. This conclusion is confirmed by the use with the negatives $n$ ( $\$ 455$ ) and $n n(\$ 457)$, as well as with the auxiliary verb $i z v(\$ 462)$. In all these cases the perfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ is usual and the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ very rare.
§ 451. The perfective śdm•f in subordinate clauses.-As contrasted with the s $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form with its relatively past meaning in all affirmative subordinate clauses ( $\$ 414,2$ ), the sdm.f form has reference to time which is either relatively present or else relatively future (prospective), i. e. time either contemporary with, or posterior to, that of the main verb; only when preceded by a preposition like $\underline{d r}$ ' since' does it refer to relatively past time ( $\S 454,4$ ). All this holds good alike of the perfective and of the imperfective sdm $\operatorname{d} \cdot f(\$ 44 \mathrm{I})$, the sole difference being that the perfective sd $d m \cdot f$ is destitute of the additional implication of repetition or continuity usually discernible in the imperfective s$\dot{s} d m \cdot f$.
§ 452. The perfective śdm•f in noun clauses.- i. As object of various verbs, or subject of their passives; (a) with prospective, i. e. relatively future meaning ( $\$ 184, \mathrm{I}$ ).

The commonest case is with $r d i, \amalg d i$ 'cause', 'allow' ( $\$ 70$ ) and with the corresponding imperative 4 imi $(\$ 336 ; 338,2)$. Examples have already been quoted, so that it will be sufficient to detail the forms employed in the case
 $w n \cdot k^{7}$ (for suspect forms with the gemination see p. 379, top); 弓ae inf lif $h s ;{ }^{8}$




After other verbs it is usual to find the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ in the case of the zae inf. ; so after wd 'command' we find $i r \cdot f,{ }^{17}$ and similar forms occur after di $m$ ib 'determine ', lit. ' place in (one's) heart', ${ }^{18}$ and $d \underline{d}$ ' say', ' promise '. ${ }^{19}$ So
 (for $d g \cdot f$ from $d g i$ ) 'that he can look' after $g m i$ 'find', ${ }^{21}$ The only exception in M. E. is a geminating form $p r r \cdot(i)$ 'that I go forth' after wd ' command '. ${ }^{22}$

When the objective sidm.f comes from the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. class, there is some
hesitation between the geminating and non-geminating forms. Geminating $s d m \cdot f$ forms from $m 3$ ' 'see' after $s n d \underline{d}$ 'fear', and from wnn 'be' after $m r i$ 'desire' and $w d$ ' command' have been quoted in $\S 442$, I. Against these, however, have to be set occasional examples of the non-geminating śdm•f of wnn after $m r$.

Ex. 刃 (lit. that she should be) on his seat. ${ }^{1}$
(b) Objective śdm.f with relatively present sense after $g m i$ 'find'.
 had found that ceremonies were being performed there. ${ }^{2}$
2. In the noun clause used as object and introduced by $n t t$ ( $\$ 187$ ), the perfective sdm.f may have prospective meaning.

Ex. Tuthmosis . . . . whom they created.... $n s y t$ (?) wsht knowing that he would have (lit. make) a prolonged kingship. ${ }^{3}$
3. No general statement can be made as to the form of sdm.f when this serves as subject ( $\S$ I88), except in the cases of the sidm.f form after $-\mu_{0} n s p$ 'never has', 'never did', lit. 'it has not occurred that....', 'it did not occur that.....', and after ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{nfr} p \mathrm{w}$ 'there is (are) not'. In both these cases the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is used, see below $\S 456$.
4. As predicate with $p w$ as subject ( $\$ 189$ ) the $s$ $\dot{d} m \cdot f$ form is imperfective in general definitions ( $\$ 442,3$ ), but may be perfective even in a general characterization (see $\S 189,2$ ). Whether $\Delta \Omega i w \cdot f$ in the colophon of literary compositions ( $\$ 189, \mathrm{I}$ ) is perfective or imperfective remains obscure.
5. After the genitival adjective (§191) the sdm.f form is imperfective or geminating in phrases involving repeated or continued acts $(\$ 442,5)$. In other cases the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is used.

Exx. © hrwn ms.s on the day of her giving birth. ${ }^{4}$ A single act is envisaged.
 thou wast upon earth. ${ }^{5}$ For present and future time wnn.f would be employed (exx. in § ig1).
§ 453. The perfective sdom.f in relative clauses.-Examples of the perfective sd $d m \cdot f$ in virtual relative clauses have been quoted in $\S$ 196, 2, and it is doubtless due to mere chance that similar examples have not been found (except negatively as $n s d m \cdot f$ ) after the relative adjectives. After the negative relative adjective $i w t y$ there are some instances of the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$; these have been quoted in $\S 443$. The fact that a clause is relative appears to exert no influence upon the form of the verb occurring therein.
§ 454. The perfective sdm.f in adverb clauses.-r. Virtual clauses of time, circumstance, condition. A very sketchy treatment is here imposed because of the difficulty of discriminating between main clauses and virtual adverb clauses on the one hand, and on the other hand between the several varieties of virtual adverb clauses, from which, moreover, virtual relative clauses (§ 196) are barely separable.

Differences are here discernible in the different verb-classes, and according as the virtual adverb clause precedes or follows the main clause.

To take the zae inf., qae inf., and anom. verb-classes first, here the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is usual when the adverb clause follows the main clause.
 there is none like him when he is seen charging down upon (lit. he charges) the Asiatics. ${ }^{1}$ In the anom. class $\stackrel{A}{\mathcal{E}}$ is used to qualify the object of $g m i$ 'find ${ }^{\prime} .{ }^{2}$
 river is drunk if (or when) thou willest. ${ }^{3}$ Similarly with other zae inf. verbs ; ${ }^{4}$ the anom. 'come' has $\hat{e} i w$ in this type of sentence. ${ }^{5}$

Clearly the statement in the main clause is here qualified by subsequent reference to a particular case which narrows its scope; such a particular case could scarcely be expressed by an imperfective sdm.f with its generalizing force.

When, on the other hand, the adverb clause precedes, the imperfective śdm•f is of not uncommon occurrence, probably because the temporal qualification, circumstance, or condition is first presented in a general way, the main clause then following to express the consequence resulting therefrom. Examples with $h d d \cdot k$ and $p r r \cdot t n$ were quoted in $\S 444, \mathrm{I}$, and the force of the imperfective was there apparent, since 'when' and 'whenever' were seen to be equally possible translations. There is, however, no reason why the perfective sidm•f should not have been employed, and in the case of $m r r \cdot k$ 'if (or as) thou wishest' ( $\$ 444,2$ ) we noted that the perfective śd $d \cdot f$ occurs as a possible alternative.
 hate death. ${ }^{6}$

Note the $4 a e$ inf. verb msd.tn here; 'come' has $\downarrow i i$ in a clause of time. ${ }^{7}$
To turn now to the $2 a \mathrm{e} \mathrm{gem}$. class, mi3 'see' appears usually to show the gemination; see the first example in this section, and others in $\S 444$, I. Our examples are clauses of time following the main clause. But in one instance of this kind $m_{3} \cdot f$ is found as a variant of $m_{3} 3 \cdot f \cdot{ }^{8}$

With $w n n$ 'be', 'exist', so far as our evidence goes, the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is used, whether the adverb clause precedes or follows the main clause.
 intimate when there is trouble. ${ }^{1}$ Note the English present tense.
 whilst thou art upon earth. ${ }^{2}$ English present.
 I was in this land of the living, there was no sin toward god (laid) to my charge, lit. against me. ${ }^{3}$ English past tense.

Reviewing the evidence, it would appear that the presence or absence of the gemination has but little to do with the fact of use in a virtual adverb clause, but depends, partly on the meaning of the particular verb in question, and partly on the speaker's desire, or lack of desire, to emphasize repetition or continuity. Whichever form of $s d m \cdot f$ is employed, the time is always relative present; if relative past time has to be expressed, use is made of the sdm $m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see §§ $212 ; 414,2$.

In 'whether . . . . . or whether' clauses ( $\$ 217$ ) preference is naturally given to the perfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$, one action being here contrasted with another as an
皿会 $h 3 \cdot f \cdot{ }^{5}$
2. Virtual clauses of asseveration. To the perfective forms $\$ \| \cap m r y$ and

 as clauses of condition, we shall also have to include under this head the imperfective variant $\varnothing=m r \cdot \underline{-n}(\$ 444,2)$.
3. Virtual clauses of purpose ( $\$ 219$ ). Perfective forms are always used, as in the closely related wishes and exhortations of $\S 450,4$, and as in the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form which serves as continuation of the imperative ( $\$ 337$ ).
 $k b \cdot f$ this Sep has spat upon this forehead of Atum in order that it may be cool. ${ }^{7}$
 $k r y \cdot i m \times b s i$, swrb.f wi, int.f $n \cdot i i_{3} m$ ts wrb that I might arise with my sceptre, that he might purify me, that he might bring me praise from the pure land. ${ }^{8}$

 Se int.f, see above, rarely written $\Omega_{0}^{-\infty} e^{-\infty} . .^{15}$
4. Adverb clauses after prepositions (\$§ 154-7; 162-81; 222). To sum up what has been said previously, four active forms of the type of the suffix conjugation are used after prepositions : the $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 156$ ) has always relative past meaning, as is true also of the sjdmt.f form ( $\$ 8407-9$ ) ; the geminating or

```
\({ }^{1}\) Pt. 349. Sim. in past context, Sin. R 34, qu. § 107, 1.
\({ }^{2}\) Urk. iv. 1163.
```

s Urk.iv. $123=511$,
4.

Sh. S. 28-9, qu. § 217.
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 2, 3-6.

- Urk. iv. 366,1 I.

7 Lac. $T R$. 37, 10.
${ }^{8}$ Lac. TR. 47, 267.

9 Leb. 59.
10 Urk. iv. 239, 17 , qu. \& 118, 2 ; 1024, 12.

11 Westc. 7, 22.
18 Lac. $T R .14,7$; Urk. iv. 807, 6.
${ }^{18}$ Urk.iv. 485, I.
14 Urk. iv. 807, 5 .
15 Westc. 8, 3 .
imperfective $\delta d m \cdot f$ appears to differ in no way from the perfective $\delta d m \cdot f$ as regards time-position, but serves to stress some notions of repetition or continuity which need to be brought to expression ( $\$ 444,3$ ).

The time-position of the perfective (and imperfective) sdm $d \cdot f$ after prepositions depends largely on the nature of those prepositions; indeed we had best say, negatively, that the sdm.f form has no specific implications of time-position at all. The illustrative examples quoted in § 155 were mainly from immutable verbs; we quote here a few from mutable verbs.

After $m$ 'as' or 'if' the time is relatively present.
 city gods. ${ }^{1}$

After $r$ ' until', 'so that' and $n$-mrwt 'in order that' ${ }^{2}$ relative future time is indicated.

Ex. To be masticated and washed down with beer $n t t n b t m$ ht.f until all that is in his belly goes forth. ${ }^{3}$

After $r$-ss 'after' 4 and $d \underline{d} r$ 'since' the time is perforce relatively past; so too with $m$ - $h t$, when this has the meaning 'after'. ${ }^{\text {s }}$
 since he came forth from the womb. ${ }^{6}$

To turn now to the other aspects which doubtless determined the choice between imperfective and perfective $s d m \cdot f$. That the imperfective $s d m \cdot f$ implies notions of repetition or continuity absent from the perfective sdm.f seems to be illustrated by the use of the former in similes after $m i$ ' as when' ( $\$ 444,3$ ); it is significant too that the imperfective $s \underline{d} m \cdot f$ is not found after $\underline{d r}$ 'since', which is apt to recall a single fact of by-gone times (see above). It appears significant, moreover, that in the dedicatory formula with $m$ the non-geminating s $d m \cdot f$ is employed, for here the reference is to a single act.

Ex. A hotp-di-nesu formula..... for the steward Djaf..., 4ी*~道 $m$ ir $n \cdot f s 3 \cdot f m r y \cdot f$ Mrw being what (lit. 'as', $m$ of predication) his beloved son Meru made for him. ${ }^{7}$

We have seen $(\$ 444,2)$ that $9 m m r \cdot \underline{t} n$ varies with in the formula 'as truly as ye love....' This variation is comprehensible if the imperfective merely stresses the prolonged and general character of the condition, this stress being quite optional and unnecessary to the sense. Similarly, the variation of wnn•f and wnff after prepositions (e.g. in the phrase $n-m r w t ~ w n n n^{8}$ or $w n^{9} r n \cdot f m n$ 'in order that his name may be enduring') seems attributable to a like reason; see further above $\S \S 157,1-3 ; 326$, end.
5. If-clauses with ir (§ 150 ). The $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. use the imperfective sdm.f (above, $\S 444,4$ ), ${ }^{\text {aa }}$ but all other mutable verbs consistently employ the perfective.
 sea of Truth．${ }^{1}$
 given to him．${ }^{2}$



§ 455．The negative construction $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{n}$ Sdm•f．${ }^{12}$－It has been seen that the imperfective $\delta d m \cdot f$ is hardly ever used after the negation $n$（ $\S 445$ ）； the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ is，on the contrary，very frequently so used．Typical forms

 E $r d i ;{ }^{18}$＇come＇has $\triangle i z$, rarely $\| i$ ，and very rarely $i w$（see below $\S 456$ ）；
 $i y,{ }^{22}$ are possibly restricted to present or future reference，but the material is too scanty to allow a safe inference．

As regards meaning，$n$ sdm $\cdot f$ performs no function which cannot also be illustrated in the affirmative $s d m \cdot f$ ．Nevertheless，it is clear that there has been unequal development ；whereas affirmative $s d m \cdot f$ has been almost entirely super－ seded in reference to past events by $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$（above $\S 450, \mathrm{I}$ ），the negative $n s d m \cdot f$ is the common and normal negation of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ in past narrative ；see above $\S 105, \mathrm{I}$ ．

We proceed to illustrate the various uses of $n s \dot{s} d m \cdot f$ in detail．I．In reference to past events．In this very frequent use $n s d m \cdot f$ often stands in conspicuous parallelism to a series of affirmative $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms．
 sst $s$ I did not take away（any）man＇s daughter．${ }^{23}$ English past tense．
 English past tense．
 this goose．${ }^{25}$ English present perfect tense．

的的通 $n s k s t(w) m-s \cdot i$ no one had run after me．${ }^{26}$ English past perfect．

Similarly，$n s d m \cdot f$ after the particles $m k$（ $\$ 234 ; 414,1$ ）and $h s(\$ 238)$ is the negation of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ after the same particles．
 （lit．not）commanded to do the like thereof．${ }^{27}$
 lo，would that the army of His Majesty had not given over（lit．made the giving） their hearts to plunder．${ }^{28}$
${ }^{1}$ Peas．B I， 54.
${ }^{2}$ LAc．TR．2，37．
${ }^{8}$ P．Kah．6，14；
Eb．109， 16.
－Pt． 175.
${ }^{6}$ Pt． 415.499.
${ }^{6}$ Pt． 415 （L 2）．
${ }^{62}$ Arm．103，5，of past actions．
${ }^{7}$ Pr．1， 3.8.
${ }^{8}$ Eb．97， 15.
－Peas．B I， 252.
${ }^{10}$ Pt． 346 ；Urk．iv． 1070， 1.
${ }^{11}$ P．Kah．6， 27 ．
${ }^{12}$ See GUNN，Stud． ch．xi．
${ }^{13}$ Meir iii． 23.
${ }^{14}$ Hamm．191， 6.
${ }^{15}$ Rifeh 1， 16.
${ }^{16}$ Cairo 20537， 66 ；
for 1 st pers．sing．ir－i， not $i r y \cdot i$ ，see $\S 456$ ．
${ }^{17}$ Leb． 5 ．
${ }^{18}$ P．K＇ah．28，30； Sebekkhu 4.
${ }^{19}$ Pt． 23 1． 348.
${ }^{20}$ Lac．TR．23， 12.
${ }^{21}$ Lac．TR．85， 129. Sim．hiy $\cdot i, i b .23,11$ ． 22 Pt．181． 26 I.
${ }^{23}$ Cairo 20001，$b_{2-}$ 4．Sim．ib．b 8，qu． § 217 ；Hamm． 113 ， 14；Sin．R 30－1； Peas．B 1， 50 ；Urk． iv．118－20；835， 10 ； 1031，7－14．
24 Sebekkhu 4.
${ }^{25}$ Meir iii．23．Sim．
Cairo 20537，b 6； BUDGE，pp．${ }^{250-1 .}$ With adjective－verbs see § 144 ， 1 ．
${ }^{26}$ Sin．B226－7．Sim．
ib． $4^{-10}$ ； 184 ．
${ }^{27}$ Westc．8， 17.
${ }^{28}$ Urk．iv． 658.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B42. Sim. Sh.S. 148; Westc. 9, 3; U'rk.iv. 365 , 1 .
${ }^{2}$ Leb. 5. Sim. ib. 76; Sin. B 259; Sh. S. 73 .
${ }^{3}$ Leb. ir 5-6. Sim. Adm. 1, 2; 9, 6.

Lac. TR. 1, 55-
Sim. Peas. B 2, 103,
qu. § $144, \mathrm{I} ; E b .47$,
18, qu. $\S 201 ; 65,14$.
${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 415. Sim. ib. 564, 17; 1032, 14; Peas. B i, 309-10, if not for $\sin \cdot n \cdot t z, \mathrm{~B}_{2}$, 75.
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. 153-4.
${ }^{7}$ Pt. 5 , if not for in $\cdot n \cdot t w$. Sim. P. Pet. $1116 \mathrm{~A}, 93$, if not for $\boldsymbol{k} n \cdot n \cdot t w \cdot f$.

[^194]We have seen ( $\$ 320 ; 414,4$ ) that Egyptian conceived 'knowing' as 'having learnt'; hence $n$ rhe may mean 'he does not know' just as well as 'he did not know'.
 who) brought me to this country. ${ }^{1}$

For cases where $i w$ is used before $n s d m \cdot f$ see $\S 468$, 1. 2.
2. In reference to present occurrences. The commonest way of negating present occurrences is by means of $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, see above $\S \S 105,3 ; 418$, $\mathbf{1}$. Nevertheless cases occur where $n$ sddm.f refers to present events, an employment not rare, as we have seen ( $\$ 450,2$ ), with affirmative $s d m \cdot f$.

 yesterday is not remembered, the helper is not helped (lit. one does not do to the doer) at this moment. ${ }^{3}$

This use is specially common with adjective-verbs ( $\$ \mathrm{I} 44, \mathrm{r}$ ), where we are tempted to explain it along the same lines as $n$ rh.i'I do not know' considered above under r.
 Possibly the literal rendering is: I have not died, I have not become poor. ${ }^{4}$
3. In reference to future occurrences. In this case the normal negation is $n n s d m \cdot f(\S \S 105,2 ; 457$ ), and the very exceptional examples where $n s d m \cdot f$ refers to future events or aspirations are difficult to explain.

One example is found with $n s p:-\infty \quad n \mathrm{spm} \mathrm{m} \cdot k$ ' never shalt thou see'. ${ }^{6}$ This has been quoted more fully in § 188, I , and is discussed below in § 456 .
4. Rare examples are found where $n s d m \cdot f$ is apparently best rendered 'he cannot hear', a meaning of which $n s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ is the more usual equivalent. It is uncertain whether this meaning was reached along the lines of 1 above ('he has not, or never, heard') or whether it came about in some other way; it is also possible that the instances are miswritings or abbreviations of $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$.

5. Lastly, it must be pointed out that $n s d m \cdot f$ may occur in subordinate clauses of various kinds, in so far as the negative verb tm is not necessary there. Examples of its use in the virtual relative clause are quoted in § 196, 2, and of its use after nty in § 201 .

Obs. Towards the end of Dyn. XVIII a confusion between $n$ and $n n$ begins to manifest itself, and $n n s d m \cdot f$ is sometimes found with the meaning of $n s d m \cdot f .{ }^{8}$
§456. The negative construction $-\square \rightarrow n$ sp sdm•f, etc.I. Formerly the phrase $n s p s d m \cdot f$ for 'he never heard' or 'he has never heard' was explained as consisting of $n$ sdm$\cdot \mathrm{f}$ ' he did not hear' or 'he has not heard' ( $\$ 455,1$ ) with insertion of the adverbially used noun $s p$ 'a time', 'once'. It has been observed, however, ${ }^{1}$ that the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ form employed after $n s p$ sometimes shows a difference from that employed in the simple $n s d m \cdot f$ construction. The best attested case is in the expression $-\therefore \Delta B$ izet ht im•(i) ' never was there any shortcoming (lit. did anything come) in me'. ${ }^{2}$ In the synonymous expression $\sim \Delta \theta n$ iw $k t$ im, lit. 'nothing came therein ${ }^{s}, s p$ is absent and $i w$ is usually substituted for $i w t$-very rare variants are $\rrbracket^{i 4}$ and $\rrbracket i w .{ }^{5}$

A similar phenomenon has been observed in connection with the verb $i r i$ 'do', 'make'. In the first person singular - $n i r \cdot i^{\circ}$ is regular without $s p$, as against $-\infty$ 促 $n s p i r y \cdot i^{\prime}$ ' I never made', when $s p$ is used.
 forms found after $r d i$ ' cause' ( $\$ 45^{2}, \mathrm{I}$ ), i. e. with prospective meaning. This suggests that $n n s p s d m \cdot f$ should be rendered literally 'it did not occur that he should hear', sp being taken as the sdm.f form of a verb 'to occur' related to the noun $s p$ 'time', 'occurrence'. This hypothesis is the more likely since no good analogy can be quoted for the enclitic insertion of a noun after $\mu n$ which was formerly postulated.

The same explanation would apply to $n s p$ in its exceedingly rare future sense ( $\$ 455,3$ ), as also to the equally rare $n n s p$ 'never will' ( $\$ 457$ ).



2. After $n f r p w$ ' there is (are) not' ( $\$ 35 \mathrm{I}, 2$ ) the perfective sdd $m$.f is sometimes
 in $\cdot t(w)$, impersonal. ${ }^{17}$ An isolated imperfective form $\mathcal{R}^{-}=$inn $\cdot t(w)$ is uncertain. ${ }^{18}$
§ 457. The negative construction struction is exclusively limited to events happening in the future.

Exx.
 in the skin of a sheep. ${ }^{21}$

Sometimes $n n s d m \cdot f$ serves to convey the will of the speaker.
 $m s^{5} s$ I will not mention to thee a little daughter whom I had obtained by prayer. ${ }^{22}$ -
${ }^{1}$ Gunn, Stud. p. 95, n. I.
${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20005, a 7 ; Brit. Mus. 614, 6. It.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20001, 68. Sim. ib. 20513, l 3; Urk.iv. 151, 2;484,8.
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20506, 66.
${ }^{5}$ Cairo 20543, a 12 , collated.

- Brit. Mus. $137^{2}$ (suffix omitted), qu. § Io5, 1 ; Urk. iv. 505, 1 ; 1078, 15 ; 1180, II; BUDGE, p . 249, 16; 250, 4. II.
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 20729, a 3, qu. § 106 . Sim. Herdsm. 6, qu. §457.
${ }^{8}$ Sh. S. $153-4$.
${ }^{9}$ Budge, p. 146,
II.
${ }^{10}$ See above n. 7.
${ }^{11}$ Hamm. 114, 15-6; Urk.iv. 312, 13; 766, 3; 843, 12 .
${ }_{12}$ Urk. i. 137, 4.
${ }^{13}$ See n. 2 above. Sinn.f in Sin. R 21 is a crux; there $n$ sp means, not 'never', but ' not a moment'.
${ }^{14}$ Urk. iv. 329, 12.
${ }^{16} A Z$. 59, autogr. p. I.
${ }^{16}$ P. Boul. xviii. 18, qu. § $351,2$.
${ }^{17} A Z .59$, autogr. pp. 1. 3 .
${ }^{18} A Z .59$, autogr. p. I.
${ }^{16}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. 13 .
${ }^{20}$ P. Kah.6, 17. 24. Sim. Leb. 50-1 ; Peas. B1, 56-60; LAC. TR. 24, 6; Siut I, 225 ; Kopt. 8, 8; P. Pet. 1116 B, 41. 42 ; Urk. iv. 402, 1-2.
${ }^{21} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{197-8}$.
${ }^{28}$ Sh. S. 128-9.
Sim. M. u. K. 2, 3.
${ }^{28}$ Sin. B 279.

In these two examples it is hardly possible to translate the verbs as simple futures (' I shall not ....', 'he will not ....'); but often it remains doubtful whether one should render with 'will' or with 'shall'.

Note an example with $n n s p$, lit. 'it shall not occur that .....' (\$456).

In one solitary instance $n n s d m \cdot f$ occurs in the course of a narrative of past events and, unless a mere error for $n$ s $d m \cdot f$, may represent a past future tense.

## - $n n d i \cdot i$ whff I was not going to let him escape. ${ }^{2}$

The forms from the mutable verbs employed in the construction $n n s d m \cdot f$

 case of the anom. verbs the forms differ from those of $n s d m \cdot f$ and resemble those found after $r d i$, $d i$ 'cause' (above $\S 45^{2}, \mathrm{I}$ ). Hence it seems not impossible that the $s d m \cdot f$ of $n n s d m \cdot f$ is really a noun clause, and subject of the negative word $n n$; the meaning would then be 'it does not exist that he will hear'. Some support for this view may be found in the occurrence of $n n$ wn $m(w) t \cdot k$ as an emphatic future 'thou shalt never die' ( $(188,2)$; but an example of $s d m \cdot f$ after $n$ wnt ( $\$ 188,2$ ) is probably not future in meaning.

As pointed out in § 108 , $n n$ wn 'there does not exist', 'there is (was) not' is really only an apparent exception to the rule that $n n$ s sdm.f has future meaning, $n n$ wn being here a substitute for ${ }^{*} n n i w w n$.

Obs. See $\S 455$, Obs. for the late writings with $n n s d m \cdot f$ in place of $n s d m \cdot f$.
§ 458. The perfective śdm•f; conclusion.-Despite the lengthy treatment here accorded to the perfective s $d m \cdot f$ form, the topic is far from exhausted and the results attained are in many respects ambiguous and insecure. Nevertheless, it seems evident from the regularity with which the gemination is avoided in some cases and chosen in others that the distinction between the non-geminating and the geminating sdm•f was of far greater importance than current theory admits; and nothing seems to stand in the way of a derivation of the nongeminating $s d m \cdot f$ from a non-geminating or perfective participle ( $\$ 4 \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{I}$ ). The student must be cautioned, however, against attaching an exaggerated value to the evidence of our texts; it is unfortunately certain that the Egyptians were very careless copyists, and only in original documents written by well-trained scribes can we expect to find a consistently trustworthy distinction between geminating and non-geminating forms. Of the four ways in which the funerary stelae are apt to write 'as truly as (or if) ye love' ( \& 5 , §444, 2) possibly not all are really correct; but our evidence is too scanty to enable us to pick and choose among these variants. In deciding
whether a text should be emended or not we must steer a middle course. When we find $d i \cdot k$ wnn $\cdot i$ 'thou causest that I be' ${ }^{1}$ in a MS. of the Book of the Dead judged on other grounds to be incorrect we may replace it by $\quad$ an $d i \cdot k w n \cdot i$ with some assurance. Similarly we may suspect $h m \cdot f \operatorname{prr} \cdot(\boldsymbol{i})$ quoted in $\S 44^{2}$, I , but there emendation would be quite illegitimate in view of the O. K. evidence cited p. 355, nn. 6a. 6b.
§ 459. Appendix : the sdm•f forms from ii, iw' come'. ${ }^{2}$-Alone among the mutable verbs, the verb meaning 'come' fails to distinguish clearly-marked geminating and non-geminating sddm.f forms. The $-i$ stem writes $\rrbracket i i,{ }^{3} \| \cap \wedge i z,{ }^{4}$ DAQ $\triangle \boldsymbol{i},{ }^{6}$ and as these forms are found after $-n,{ }^{6}$ they are probably perfective ( $\$ 455$ ), at least in that case. Examples from the $-v v$ stem are, however, far more frequent, and show two distinct forms, (a) $\Delta i w$, rarely written $B^{7}$ and (b) $\Delta \frac{\$}{a}$ iwt. That $\Delta i w$ is sometimes imperfective seems certain, since it occurs in parallelism with many geminating sdm•f forms in a passage prescribing future custom ( $\S 440,3$ ).

Ex. Amon (the Vizier) all disputes from the palace. ${ }^{8}$

So too $\Delta i w$ is found in similes after $m i(\$ 444,3)$.
 and ends hunger. ${ }^{9}$

Lastly, the imperfective relative form provides an analogy, often being written merely $\Delta$; see above $\S 387$, i.

On the other hand, $\sim \wedge n$ iw 'not came....' (\$455) provides strong evidence that $\Delta i w$ may occasionally be perfective. In a number of uses $\Delta$ m and $A{ }_{S}^{P}$ vary with one another, sometimes exciting the suspicion that one of the two is a mistake for the other ; so, for example, after $k_{z}(\$ 450,5, d$ ), as a clause of circumstance in the phrase $\xi m \cdot f i w \cdot f$ 'he comes and goes' ${ }^{10}$ ( $\$ 2 \mathrm{I}_{3}$ ), after various prepositions ${ }^{11}(\$ 454,4)$, and after ir ' if' $(\$ 454,5)$. But the consistency
 the colophon $i w \cdot f$ pw ( $\$ 189$, ) shows that a real difference existed between the two, although their domains overlap in certain places.

Here only one more problem will be considered, namely the narrative use of $\triangle$.
 Retjnu. ${ }^{12}$
$A A_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ iwt.fr bust tn he came to this desert. ${ }^{13}$
There is a possibility that $i$ wet here may be the $\delta d m \cdot f$ form in accordance with $\S 450$, I , but it is perhaps more probably the infinitive $(\S 306,2$ ) ; a third possibility is the $s \underline{d} m t \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 406$ ).
${ }^{1}$ Budge, p. 4, 15.
${ }^{2}$ See Sethe, Ver. bum, ii. §§ 31 5-9.
${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20506, 66.
${ }^{4}$ Lac. TR. 6, i.
${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R}{ }_{15}$, qu. p. 55, n. 3; P. K'ah. 32, 12.
${ }^{6}$ Pt. 181. 26 r .
${ }^{7}$ Louvre C I4, 9; Cairo 20543, a 12, see p. 377, n. 5 .
${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. III4, 6.

[^195]${ }^{10}$ So Eb. 40, 1, qu. § 213 ; 107, 3 ; 109 , 4 ; iwt.s, ib. 106, 5 .
${ }^{11} R$ 'until': izof $f$, Louvre C 14, 9 ; Hearst 9, 12 ; iwt.f PSBA. 18, 202, 9 ; Sin. B 310; Westc. II, 16. M-ht 'after': izv.f, Westc. 11, 26; Urk. iv. 220, 2 ; $i$ wt.f, Hamm. 114, 15 . With lwoff the possibility that this is the $\S \underline{d} m t \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 407$ ) has always to be considered.
${ }^{13}$ Sin. B io9. Sim. Louvre C 12, 3 .
${ }^{13}$ Hamm. 17, 15. Sim. Sinai $90,5$.

## VOCABULARY

虽 ip calculate，reckon；caus．sip $\square$ prow a coming forth；pron pass in review，examine．
迫俻 $f_{3 i}$ carry，lift．

$9 \times 2$ hi damage，destroy．
The asti pierce，transfix（with look）．

足 for gm look at．
团 tm be complete，perfect．
$\stackrel{\square}{\square} \Omega \Delta$ the violate，transgress．
Hover．fit－nter father of the god， god＇s father，name of a class of elder priests．
＜compat＞ᄋ＜compat＞ᅭ＜compat＞ᄋ writ leg．
3 $\frac{0}{0}$ var． 888 w $w g$ misery，
want． $r$ utterance．
d 1 md w staff．

9 hd t the white crown of Upper Egypt．
$1 』 \times \sqrt{\infty}$ shit teaching，in－ struction．
無 I sp st province，nome（ $\nu o \mu o o_{s}$ was the name given by the Greeks to the provinces of Egypt）．
$\prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} y \mid$ stsw supports．
$\Delta$ ） 1 ：$k m z$ nature，form．
 （Gk．इoû ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ）．
${ }_{1}^{-} d r-$ originally，formerly．

## EX ER CIS XXXI

（a）Reading lesson：hymn to the white crown of Upper Egypt：1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * 胃惿 }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 9200 }
\end{aligned}
$$

dwi hd t．
ind（§ 272）hr $\cdot$ ，int trey nt Hr，${ }^{2}$
hd ${ }^{2} \mathrm{r}_{3}$ t， hest（§ 384 ）psdt m nfrw•s， won $\mathrm{s} m$ ht isbtt．
dwi tn imyw ssw $\check{S} w,{ }^{3}$
haw（§357）m ht int．
${ }^{1}$ Erg．Hymn．1， 1 －2， 1 ． 2 For the identification of the crown with the eye of Horus see Ont．v． 128.
${ }^{3}$ Shu was the god of the＇void＇or atmosphere，and the＇supports of Shu＇are the supports with which that god kept heaven apart from earth．By＇those who are within the supports of Sha＇the constellations are meant．


${ }^{1}$ The original has $t$ before the papyrus-roll; the parallelism suggests this emendation. But one might render without emending 'thou being caused to shine for those, etc.', sket being understood as shr.t $(\eta)$, $\S 314$.

2 These two oblique strokes ( $\$ 24$ ) here represent two shrines surmounted by bucrania, see Rec. 38 , 186.
${ }^{3}$ Doubtless named here as god of the capital or royal residence at the close of the Twelfth Dynasty; to that period this hymn must belong. Crocodilopolis, the Greek Arsinoe, is the modern Medînet el-Fayyûm.

* MS. inserts another $w$ wrongly before $n \cdot f ; n$ is lost in the original and here restored.
' Praise to the White Crown. Hail to thee, thou (lit. that) eye of Horus, the great white one, at whose beauty the Ennead rejoice, when she rises in the eastern horizon. Those who are within the supports of Shu praise thee, (they) who go down in the western horizon. Those who are within the netherworld cause thee to shine forth. Grant thou that Sobk the Crocodilopolite, the Horus who is in the midst of Crocodilopolis, may seize the two lands through thee, that he may have control over them. Grant thou that the gods may come to him doing (lit. in) obeisance, (even) Sobk the Crocodilopolite, the Horus who is in the midst of Crocodilopolis. Thou art the mistress of glorious appearances.'
(b) Translate:
(1) 7 ถum 4 щ

(2) 人 ○



[^196]



$\left.{ }^{1} W \underline{d}\right\}$, see § 56.
(c) Write in hieroglyphs:
(I) I will not let thee kiss this child. (2) Would that I might see thy face, then should I know what is in thy heart. (3) Let ten (loaves of) bread and two jugs of beer be given to this thy servant. (4) Never have I seen the like since I was born. (5) I did not let my nome hunger, I gave it corn of Upper Egypt and emmer, I did not let want occur therein until great Niles came. (6) Give to him a pleasant breeze, that he may be among all those who are praised in the land of the living. (7) His Majesty caused the scribe to bring it to him at once.

## LESSON XXXII

## COMPOUND NARRATIVE VERB-FORMS

§ 460. In the Old Kingdom are seen the beginnings of a process that ended in the complete disappearance of the suffix conjugation, save for some fossilized relics of the $s d m \cdot f$ form ( $\$ 438$ ), and in its replacement by a set of tenses based upon the pseudo-verbal construction (Lesson XXIII). This final result was attained only in Coptic, where the tenses resemble those of French or English in the precision with which they mark distinctions of time. The first step in the process appears to have been the employment of $i w$ to introduce the pseudoverbal construction and to produce compound verb-forms, like izv sdm $n \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 68)$, involving the suffix conjugation. Compounds with various parts of winn rapidly
 'stand up', 'arise' comes into favour as an auxiliary verb. Various less important auxiliaries of which examples occur in Middle Kingdom texts are passed over in this preliminary survey. In the Hyksos period or thereabouts the pronominal compound e 通 tw. i began to be used in the vernacular as the subject of adverbial ( $\$ 124$ ) or pseudo-verbal predicates ( $\$ 330$ ), and evidence of its popularity emerges already here and there in the inscriptions of Dyn. XVIII. During the New Kingdom a few more compound verb-forms are invented, but the process becomes mainly one of elimination and specialization; compound verb-forms containing the $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms give place to those containing the old perfective or preposition + infinitive, and each of the survivors obtains its own exclusive range of temporal meaning.

When it is recalled that Middle Egyptian possesses no less than seven forms belonging to the narrative suffix conjugation ( $\$ 410$ ) and that statements could be made, not only by means of these, but also by means of various nominal or nominally used parts of the verb, the wealth of narrative constructions used in main clauses and produced by the development of new compound verb-forms must appear quite extraordinary. Past narration, to take but one example, could be managed in a great variety of different ways, of which the following incomplete enumeration exhibits the main types, though it is not maintained that in the case of the particular verb here chosen every type could be substantiated by documentary evidence.
'His Majesty went forth'


These different modes of expression, to which could be added others involving such particles as $i s t, t i, g r t$, vary greatly in frequency of occurrence. Each must have possessed its own peculiar rhetorical flavour, its greater or less degree of vivacity, formality, or impressiveness. Some of these shades of meaning may still be indicated by the grammarian, others can only be felt or not even that. From the constructive point of view there was much overlapping; to narrate the same fact one writer might choose the form prt prw ir $\cdot n \cdot f$, another prt in $h m \cdot f$, a third $i w p r \cdot n \cdot f$ and a fourth $s h \cdot \cdot n p r \cdot n \cdot f$, and our texts reveal the fact that different writers had different preferences. ${ }^{1}$ It will be noticed that we view $p r$ $h m \cdot f$ and $h m \cdot f p r \cdot f$ as roughly equivalent forms; the reason is that in this and other cases of anticipatory emphasis ( $\$ 148,1$ ) often no stress on the subject can be

[^197] detected, and the motive seems to have been mere desire for variety or liveliness. It is doubtful whether in all the pseudo-verbal compounds above exemplified verbs of motion like pri could employ both the old perfective and hr (or $m$ ) + infinitive. If so, the list would have to be augmented accordingly.
${ }^{1}$ Wb. i. 42 ; iec . 35, 63 .

2 Cf. Pyr. 270 a with $267 c ; 2075 a$ with 376 c ; also passages like $1180 a$ and the varr. $1480 b$.

Amid the plethora of verb-forms which Egyptian has thus evolved only a very few seem to have been deliberately created with the intention of marking distinctions of time. Such an intention is, no doubt, apparent in iwefrs sdm 'he will hear', and probably the speaker who first prefixed $m k$ to śd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ wished to convey the nuance that belongs to the English present perfect. But it appears likely that most of the verb-forms which were developed from time to time aimed at variations of meaning of quite a different kind, and that if in due course they became specialized to past events rather than present, or to future events rather than past, this came about owing to their greater usefulness in the one direction than in the other. We have tried to demonstrate this process in the case of the participles ( $\$ 365$ ), and we have found that the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form had originally no time-restriction at all, but expressed the fortuitous character of an occurrence ( $\$ 411,2$ ). The like probably holds good of most of the compound verb-forms to be studied below.

A number of narrative compound verb-forms like iwef hr śdm (§323) have been dealt with already, and the present Lesson must be read in conjunction with Lesson XXIII, where the simpler ramifications of the pseudo-verbal construction were discussed.

## THE AUXILIARY 1 'IW

§461. The origin of $i w$ is uncertain; some ${ }^{1}$ connect it with Hebrew Tהָה verb $\perp i w$ 'come' specialized for use as the copula. ${ }^{2}$ Be this as it may, ize as copula exists only in the śd $d \cdot f$ form, and its use is almost entirely restricted to the sentence with adverbial predicate ( $\S$ I 17 ). Under the heading of the pseudoverbal construction we have already dealt with $i$ suf $h r d m$ and \& $4 \beta=0$ iwef $r \operatorname{sdm}(\$ 332)$. In all these cases $i w \cdot f$ is followed by the equivalent of an adverbial predicate. In the compound verb-forms $i w s d m \cdot f, 0$ in sdm.n.f and the passive $i w s d m \cdot f$, which will now be discussed in turn, the function of $i z$ is more difficult to determine. A possible view would be that it has become a particle, somewhat like $\theta$ gr ( $\$ 239$ ). But more probably $i z$, as thus employed, should be regarded as an impersonal statement 'it is', i. e. 'the situation is', the following s $\underline{d} m \cdot f$, $\operatorname{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ or passive $s$ dam $\cdot f$ form being a virtual adverb clause ( $\$ 215$ ) serving as predicate of $i z u$. Compare sentences like $i w m i z h r n t r$ quoted in § 123 .

Obs. I. It is hardly possible to regard $s d m \cdot f$ in $i w s d m \cdot f$ as a virtual noun clause acting as subject of $i w$, for this would yield the meaning 'that he hears is', i.e. exists or comes about; we have no warrant for a use of $i z w$ with existential meaning.

OBS. 2. There are grounds for thinking ${ }^{3}$ that, when $i w$ was followed by a singular suffix-pronoun, the $w$ was merely graphic, e.g. A was pronounced $a f$; cf. the occasional use of $\$$ to represent the prothetic $i$ of $\$ 272 .{ }^{4}$
§ 462．The form verb－form is imperfective ${ }^{1}$ in meaning，i．e．has implications of repetition or continuity．This character it owes rather to the combination with $i z w$ than to the $s d m \cdot f$ form itself，since it is the perfective $s d m \cdot f$ which is here found（see p．370，Obs．）．Forms from the mutable verbs are： 3 ae inf．马通 pri；${ }^{2}$ ， $i r \cdot s n ;^{3}$ anom．${ }_{0}$ di tw $;^{4}{ }_{3}^{8} i n \cdot t w ;{ }^{5}$ so too the $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．wn if，as seems probable，izw wn＇there is＇（ $(107,2$ ）belongs here．The geminating zae inf． 38 ${ }^{3} \mathrm{gmm} \cdot \mathrm{tw} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{6}$ is an isolated exception．

Passive examples are a good deal commoner than active ones，for a reason that will be mentioned in the next section．

The form izw sdm•f is particularly frequent in generalizations，where it refers to vaguely present or future time．

Exx．Eloquence is more hidden than the emerald， iw gmet（w）•s m－s hmwt hr bnwt（but）it is found with handmaidens at（their） mill－stones．${ }^{7}$

He who knows this spell goes forth from Field－of－Reeds， 1
 are given to him $s n s$－bread，beer－jugs and $p r$－sn cakes from the altar of the great god．${ }^{8}$

Or else a prevalent state of affairs is described．

Or a person may be characterized．
Ex．AC－C
The same uses are found also in past narrative．
 a leader who gave instructions．${ }^{11}$ Past habit．

 the town of Avaris．${ }^{13}$ Prolonged action in the past．

In $\S 468$ examples will be given where $i w$ appears to be prefixed to the $s d m \cdot f$ form for quite special reasons，and where，accordingly，the compound verb－ form $i w s d m \cdot f$ is not in question．
§463．The form 4 subject，whether nominal or pronominal，is placed after $i w$ in anticipatory emphasis （ $\S 148, \mathrm{I}$ ）．The effect of this proceeding seems to be very slight，and the meaning and uses of $i w \cdot f s d m \cdot f$ are practically identical with those of $i w s d m \cdot f(\$ 462) .^{14}$ Here too the perfective sdm．f is employed，except in zae gem．and caus．zae gem．，


${ }^{1}$ In Pt． 349 （qu．p． 352，n．21）iw in tw of Pr．corresponds to innetw in L2．
${ }^{2} \not{A} Z .47$, Pl．I（p． 88），3．
${ }^{3}$ Eb．98， 17.
4 Budge，p．209， 12.
${ }^{5}$ Pt． 349.
${ }^{6}$ Pt． 59 （L 2）；Pr． has $g m \cdot t(w) \cdot s, q u$ ．Ist ex．below．
${ }^{7}$ Pt．59．Sim．pass．， ib． 274 ，qu．Exerc． XXVII，（a）；288；349； Peas．B I，291． 308 ； Eb．47，19． 21 ；act．， ib．98，1ヶ；Arm．103， 10.
${ }^{8}$ BuDGE，P．${ }^{209}$ ， 12．Sim．pass．，ib．p． 213， 11 ．13．I5；300， 8；act．，ib．p．211， 12 ．
－Leb． 112.

> 10 Peas. B 1, 292. Sim. act., Sh. S. 73-4; pass., Peas. B r, 236.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv． 421 ．Sim． act．，ib．489，2；$\dot{A} Z$ ． 47，Pl．I（p．88）， 3.
${ }^{12}$ Sin．B89－90．Sim． pass．，Munich 3，18； act．，Sin．B95．
${ }^{13}$ Urk．iv．3．

[^198]${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 17-8. Sim. Peas. B 1, 216. 230; Leb. 21. 80 ; Pr. I, 5 ; Pt. 103. 206; Cairo 20538, ii. c 11 .
${ }^{2}$ Eb. 47, 10. Sim. ib. 104, 1; 109, 1 ; P. Kah. 7, $5^{2}$; Sin. В 151 ; Pt. 305-8; Leb. 69; Urk.iv. 20, I.
${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 99-10 \mathrm{I}$. Sim. Siut I, 267, qu. § $184, \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} \mathbf{2 5}^{5}$. Sim. Herdsm. 24.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{Qu} . \S 196, \mathrm{I}$.
${ }^{6}$ Eb. 51, 20.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 2, q u$. § $^{2} 3$; Eb. 25, 4 .
${ }^{8}$ Budge, p. 300, 7-9. Sim. ib. 161, 10-12; 209, $11-12$; Peas. BI, 290-I.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 344 .
${ }^{10} E b .51,18$.

The meaning is thus imperfective; the form occurs frequently in generalizations, characterizations, and statements of habit or custom, with reference to vaguely present or future time.

 rwy he gets well immediately. ${ }^{2}$ Here with suffix-pronoun as subject.

Similarly in past contexts.

 spend many years as commander of his army. ${ }^{3}$

We have seen ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}_{1} 17,2 ; 323$ ) that virtual subordinate clauses frequently begin with $i w+$ suffix ; so too $i w+$ suffix $+\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ may be virtually subordinate.
 he was speaking. ${ }^{4}$ Virtual clause of time.
 $i w \cdot f m n \cdot f r t y n n h b t \cdot f$ and he is suffering in the two members of his neck. ${ }^{6}$ Virtual relative clause.

Parallel texts in each of these examples have the construction iw.f $h r s \dot{d} d m$ $(\$ 323),{ }^{7}$ which differs in that it lays no stress on the continuous character of the action.

Passive examples of $i w \cdot f$ sd $d m \cdot f$ are rare, the $i w s d m \cdot f$ form being regularly substituted for it.

Ex. As to every spirit for whom this is done, ....... he eats and drinks (4)
 he is made to enter with the kings of Upper and Lower Egypt every day. ${ }^{8}$

One example of $i w \cdot t w s$ sdm $d$ tw can, however, be quoted.
 heard from mouth to mouth. ${ }^{9}$

Note that, as in the $h r \cdot f s d m \cdot f$ construction ( $\$ 239$ ), only the indefinite pronoun $t w$ is here placed after the initial formative, not the complex consisting of $t w+$ suffix. One example is forthcoming where $t w$ is omitted after $i z v$ and its place taken by the nominal subject of the passive.
 its seed is placed on the bread of the sufferer. ${ }^{10}$

Obs. For an instance where the suffix subject is omitted after the $s$ d $d m \cdot f$ form, see below $\S 486$. For $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ as negative counterpart of $i z v \cdot f s d m \cdot f$, see p. $33^{2}$, n. 5 .
 tense，which is used where English employs either the present perfect or the past tense，has been amply illustrated in $\S 68$ ．Sometimes it is given a more impressive turn by the addition of the particle grt ．
 Majesty dedicated to him very many monuments．${ }^{1}$

Only very rarely is $i w$ separated from its sdm•n•f form by a clause of time； see the first example in $\S 507,6$ ．
 passive $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ is the regular passive of $i w w s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ when a nominal subject follows． Middle Egyptian examples have been given in §422，i．

Examples with suffix subject do not seem to occur．Here we need add only an impersonal instance：
feas）${ }^{2}=$ iw ir mi $d d \cdot f$ it was done as he said．${ }^{2}$
§ 466．The auxiliary iw followed by an impersonal verb of motion．－Examples are very rare．
 his father was returned in year $2 .{ }^{3}$

There is no means of deciding whether iw rck should be regarded as a shortening of $i z e \subset k \cdot n \cdot t w(\$ 464)$ ，lit．＇（one）entered with the census－list＇，or of $i z w$ ‘kw（§465），lit．＇it was entered with the census－list＇．

## § 467．The auxiliary $\downarrow$ iw followed by a word of adjectival meaning．

Exx．ACPCA路 iw sw $m$ ck－ib there is a lack（lit．it is lacking）of a confidential friend．${ }^{4}$
 irksome more than me．${ }^{5}$
 the king．${ }^{6}$ Words spoken while offering．

The construction here is unlikely to be $i z w s d m \cdot f(\$ 462)$ ，which is imperfective， since the second and third of our instances refer to particular occasions．In one example $i w+$ old perfective（ $\$ 323$ ）；this is indirectly confirmed by another example where $i z w$ is replaced by $w n n$ with future meaning（ $\$ 326$ ）：
－ So too with expressed subject 他盆izus wr $r \cdot i$＇this is too much for me＇．＂

[^199] On the other hand，it is difficult to separate cases where a dative follows from the construction of § 141 ，so that here perhaps a true adjective was used．

[^200]${ }^{1}$ Pt. 171-2.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $75^{2}$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 366.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 38. Sim. ib. 847, 3 (iw nn ir.n).
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 65 I .

- In Urk. iv. 489, 2 $i w$ has both functions.
${ }^{7}$ Eb. 51, 16. Sim. Turin 1447, 5 and possibly $\operatorname{Pr}$. 2, I.
${ }^{8}$ Eleph. 25. Sim. Rec. 29, 165, 13 .
- Urk. iv. 751. Sim. with $s t$ 'lo' after $i w$, L. D. ii. $112, e ; 113, b$.
 does it on earth. ${ }^{8}$

In a unique and interesting example the phrase $i w w n$ affirming existence (§ 107) precedes a sentence with adjectival predicate:
 $h t p k t r \cdot s$ there is many a father in trouble, and (many) a mother who has borne, and another is happier than she. ${ }^{1}$

Obs. For in $i w$ in questions see below $\$ \S 491,3 ; 492$.
§ 468. Appendix. Exceptional cases of iw.-1. We must note the use of $i w$ in statements introduced by oaths. The point of departure was probably the normal use of $i w$ in instances like
 Rē lives for me and loves me ( $\$ 218$ ) ...... I have done this. ${ }^{2}$

Perhaps it is by an extension of such uses that $i z w$ comes to be employed after oaths to introduce constructions of various other types.

Exx. I swear........... 4 行 iw ir ps thnwy . . . . iw $n(y)$-st inr wer $m$ mst rwdt as for the two obelisks . . . . . . . they consist of one block of hard red granite. ${ }^{3}$

As the Prince endures, I did not swerve from the king on the battlefield. ${ }^{4}$
 $h m \cdot i h r m t n ~ p n$ My Majesty will proceed upon this road. ${ }^{5}$

In the last of these sentences the construction is not the $i z w s d m \cdot f$ form of $\S 462,{ }^{6}$ since that construction does not serve to express single acts as here.
2. Sometimes $i w$ is employed to bring out a strong contrast. This use has been illustrated in the case of the sentence with adverbial predicate ( $\$ 117,1$ ), in the pseudo-verbal construction ( $\$ 323$, end) and before $n n$ wn 'there was not' ( $\$ 394$ ). It is found also with the $\delta \underline{d} m \cdot f$ form.
 $k_{3} d t$, izw ir $\cdot \mathrm{s} h r t m i \operatorname{ssn}$ which grows on its belly like the $k 3 d t$, while it flowers (lit. makes flower) like the lotus. ${ }^{7}$

His Majesty caused the garments for the procession . . . . . to be made large garments, 4 (before) been small garments. ${ }^{8}$ It is uncertain whether $w n \cdot s n$ here is $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f ;$ see $\S 413$.
 $h m \cdot i$ I have done a matter, whereas My Majesty had not done it. ${ }^{9}$

Like the last example under I above, the first two quoted here are not to be classified under the heading of the $i z w s d m \cdot f$ form.
3. As a rule $i w$ cannot precede the independent pronouns. There are, however, a few exceptions in statements showing some detachment or emphasis.
 I who acted as head of the hewers of sandstone. ${ }^{1}$
 favourite of Hathor of the turquoise. ${ }^{2}$
4. The rule that $i w$ must not be employed after 는 nn 'not' and $\quad$ NI $n t y$ 'who' (§ 107, 2) breaks down in Late Egyptian. A few examples are found within the period covered by this book.

Exx. (f.). ${ }^{3}$ Dyn. XVIII, in colloquial conversation.
 $n \underline{h} r y-h b(t)$ who shall give my offerings to the lector-priest. ${ }^{4}$ Reign of Amenophis III.
5. In course of time, as noted § II7, Obs., iw developed from a colourless verb indicating independence into a mere particle expressing dependence. The use above under 2 illustrates a stage along this road. Another M. E. example marks a further advance in the same direction.

Ex. w.s.nb(r.w.s.) because the heart of this servant is happy when he has heard that (my) master is living, prospering and in health. ${ }^{4 a}$ Other exx. of this formula omit $i w e .^{4 b}$
6. In conclusion, we must mention the very rare writing of $i z w$ simply as $\ell$.
 caused a bull to be brought to me as raw meat. ${ }^{5}$

Obs. For $i w$ before an adjectival predicate followed by pronominal subject see above $\S 142$ and the second example above under I ( $i w n y$-st).

## THE AUXILIARY $W N N$

§ 469. In many parts of this book we have insisted that the verb wnn 'exist', so far as it is employed as a purely grammatical element, supplies the missing parts of $i w{ }^{\prime}$ 'is', 'are'; see §§ 118, 2; 142; 150; 157, 1, etc. In dealing with the pseudo-verbal construction it was shown that the forms wnn•f $h r s d m$ and $=-2 n n \cdot f$ sdmw find a rational explanation if regarded as expressing the future of $i w n d r$ $i w \cdot f$ sddmw respectively, and cases were quoted where, upon similar lines, compound verb-forms were formed with the old perfective ( $\$ 326$ ), infinitive ( $\$ 326$ ), and participles ( $\$ 396,2$ ) of wnn; a particularly curious compound is wnnff $r s d m$ 'he will be going to hear', expressing the future of 4 an $i w e \cdot f s d m$, itself of future meaning ( $\$ 332$ ). In the next sections we deal with cases which for various reasons could not be dealt with at an earlier stage.
${ }^{1}$ In O.K., Urk. i. 127, 7 ; 139, 9.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B I, 24.
Sim. ib. 42 ; Urk. iv.
4, $13.15 ; 5,7 ; 659,9$.
${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 8. Sim.
ib. 659, 14; Pr. 2,
5-6, qu. § 300; Westc.
10, 3 .
4 Urk. iv. 5. Sim.
ib. 7, 12 ; Peas. B I,
84; Hamm. 19, II.
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 6, I. Sim.
ib. 8, 21 ; Peas. B 2,
117. With suffix subj.,
T. Carn. 7 ; Urk. iv.
$685,12$.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 897.
' P. Kah. 7, 40.
${ }^{8} N u{ }_{72}^{2}, 14$.
${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 3. Sim. ib. 3, 8 .

10 Urk. iv. 1073. Sim. ib. IO75, 4; El. 2, 4-5.
${ }^{11}$ Pr. 2, 7.
${ }^{12}$ Peas. Bt. 35.
§ 470. \&wn wn in f in the pseudo-verbal construction. -The sdm.in•f form was seen in $\S 429$, to be common in past narrative; 4 $w n \cdot i n \cdot f h r d m^{1}$ and $4 *-\infty$ whinf sdmwemerge at an early date as explicit past narrative forms of iwef $h r s d m$ and $i w \cdot f s d m w$ respectively.

Exx. In ind then this peasant proceeded to weep very greatly. ${ }^{2}$
 him. ${ }^{3}$ Lit. then I was on being brave.

+ Pharaoh) proceeded to reward me with gold yet again. ${ }^{4}$

S was refreshed. ${ }^{5}$

With the ist pers. sing. of the old perfective the presence of the suffix after wn in in not absolutely essential.
 I lay prostrate upon the ground before His Majesty. ${ }^{6}$

Obs. Compare with the above the use of $w n \cdot i n$ before adj. pred. (§ 142); wn-in•f
before a clause of circumstance, see $\S 215$, end ; $m k s d m$ for $h r s d m$, see $\S 234$, Obs.
§471. Wn wn hr.f and emn.hr.f in the pseudo-verbal construction.-For the distinction between the two forms see $\S 430$.
I. In reference to future time. In injunctions and statements of result.

Exx. shall be sprinkled (lit. one shall sprinkle it) with cold water. ${ }^{7}$ Single action.
 he was upon earth. ${ }^{8}$ Continued state.
2. In past narrative (Dyn. XVIII); rare.
 I accompanied the sovereign (l. p. h.) on my feet. ${ }^{9}$
 hworw) my praises were established in the midst of (both) tall and short. ${ }^{10}$
§472. \& wn•in śdm•f.-In agreement with the now familiar principle, this rare form provides a past tense of $i z v$ sdm. $f(\$ 462)$. Hence we are not surprised to find a passage where it describes a past habit:

The children of the vizier read his advice and found it good, 4
 and sit down) accordingly. ${ }^{11}$

In another passage it refers to a condition resulting from a certain action.
 resting on the water. ${ }^{12}$ For the unexpected gemination $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{gmm} \cdot \mathrm{tw} \cdot \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p} .385$, n. 6.
 Two rare developments of ive.f $s d m \cdot f(\$ 463)$.
 His Majesty kept sending to me with presents. ${ }^{1}$ Past custom.
 this is done shall come in and go forth. ${ }^{1 a}$ Future habit.
§ 474. Other forms from wnn before śdm•f.-i. Strange cases are
 workmen are waiting here. ${ }^{2}$
 the period of existence upon earth. ${ }^{2 a}$

In both exx. ir wenn stands for *ir iww (§ 150 ). In the second ex. $d d y$ looks more like an old perfective than a sdm.fform. But if so (cf. $\S 323$ for the basic construction), the nominal subject will have been postponed as is regularly done after the negative verbs $t m$ and $i m i(\$ 343)$.
2. Closely analogous to wn.inf sdm•f ( $\$ 473$ ) is another form narrating a past continuous action.
 workmen concerning it. ${ }^{3}$ For $w n \cdot i$ possibly $w n \cdot n \cdot i(\$ 413)$ should be understood.
3. The construction $i w s \dot{d} d m \cdot f(\$ 462)$ with the meaning of a relative clause:
 (real) nature. ${ }^{4}$ Wnt is perf. participle and past habit is expressed.

Obs. The above example seems unique in Middle Egyptian, but analogous constructions are found far earlier ; thus wnt.kir.k 'that which thou wast wont to do' ${ }^{5}$ must be regarded as relative form of $i w \cdot k i r \cdot k$ ( $\$ 463$ ) and $w n w$ ir $\cdot$ sn ' who are wont to do ${ }^{\prime} 6$ as plural participle of $i w i r \cdot s n(\S 462)$.
§475. Wnn as auxiliary before the śdm•n•f form.-Here we can only quote $D \Lambda_{\infty} w n p h \cdot n \cdot f_{\text {? }}^{7}$ the perfect. participle from $i w p h \cdot n \cdot f$; see $\S 396,3$.

## 

§ 476. The finite verb-forms compounded with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}-\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ rhe 'stand up', 'arise' occur only in main clauses, and always carry the action which is being described one step further on. Originally, no doubt, the subject of rhr was the same as that of the following verb, the form commonest construction-thus meaning 'he rose up and heard' (see below $\$ 488$ for two verbs with one subject). But in further developments this original meaning seems to have become obscured; the passive ${ }^{-1}$ example, can barely have been understood as 'he rose up and was heard'. The verb $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A}^{-1}$ becomes, in fact, less and less literally significant. This may well be the reason that, as auxiliary, it very often lacks its determinative $\Omega$.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. Sin $^{1}$ 174-5.
Sim. Brit. Mus. 574, 3-4.
${ }^{1 a} N u$ 137A, 35.
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 31, 2.

$$
\text { 7. }{ }^{\text {2a }} \text { Haremhab, left, }
$$

${ }^{8}$ Sinai 90,8 ; sim. ib. 90, I3. Also in Oid Eg., Urk. i. 59, 16.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 973, 14.

> © Pyr. 623, c. Sim. wn(w.i) dd $d$ ( $)$, Urk. i. 57, I5.
> © Urk. i. $50,3$.
${ }^{7}$ Th. T.S. iii. 26.
${ }^{6}$ See $A Z .27,29$.
§ 477. Compounds with $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{R} \\ & \Delta\end{aligned}$ in the sdm.f form.-Four very rare constructions fall under this head. The context in each case describes an event which will follow as the result of some precedent condition.

 wssf ddft nbt then he passes all worms. ${ }^{1}$ Lit. (he) arises and he urinates.
2. 盼 $A^{\circ}=$ che $s d m \cdot f$ with the passive $s d m \cdot f$. Our example refers to a contingency that may arise in the future.

Ex. As for every commander .... who shall beseech the king to pardon him,
 $h t p-n t r i t \cdot i$ Mn $n b$ Gbtyw his people, his property and his fields shall be given
${ }^{2}$ Kopt. 8, 9-10.
${ }^{2 a}$ Coffins, $\mathrm{B}_{7} \mathrm{C}, 3$.
${ }^{3}$ Eb. $51,18=$ Hearst 3, 6 .
${ }^{4}$ Br. Mus. 6i4, 6. Sim. Sin. R 5I. $5^{88}$. 59.67; Peas. R 4.49 ; B 1, 9.22 ; Sh. S. 45. 56. 83. 86. 161. 166; BH. i. 25, 79; Louvre C 12, passimi; Urk. iv. 140, 3 ; 185 , 10 ; 654, 13; 894, 3. 5.
${ }^{5} \mathrm{Hamm} .114,14$. Sim. ib. 199, 7. 8.
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. 149.
${ }^{7}$ Sebekkhu 14. Sim. Urk. iv. $657,16$.

[^201]for the offerings of my father Min, lord of Coptus. ${ }^{2}$


4. 眂 $-n c h r+$ subject + old perfective. Vaguely present time.
 rhres hs ty $h r-r$ then it goes down immediately. ${ }^{3}$
 some texts only to introduce incidents of outstanding interest, but occurring in other texts (e.g. the story of the Shipwrecked Sailor) with almost painful monotony.

Exx. a transitive verb; lit. (he) arose and he gave.
a transitive verb.
 intransitive verb.
 With an adjective-verb.

With verbs of motion $c h r \cdot n \cdot f+$ old perfective $(\S 482,2)$ is preferred, and that construction is also rather more usual with intransitives. With both transitives and intransitives $c h r \cdot n \cdot f h r s d m(\$ 482, \mathrm{I})$ is a rarer and possibly later equivalent
 ( $\mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ ), but apparently only when the subject is nominal ; when it is pronominal rhr $\cdot n \cdot f+$ old perfective ( $\$ 482,2$ ) seems to have been employed.
§479. subject in anticipatory emphasis. Very uncommon.
 Majesty of king Huni died. ${ }^{8}$
§480. be quoted; the sense differs in no way from that of $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{hr} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \mathrm{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$.

The continuation of this passage shows two more sdm. $f$ forms parallel to $r d i \cdot f$ here. These make it difficult to assume a corruption from $r d i \cdot n \cdot f$, as one would otherwise be inclined to do.
§ 481. The passive śdm•f form after placed after $\mathrm{ch} \cdot \cdot \cdot n$ provides the ordinary passive of $\mathrm{r} h\ulcorner\cdot n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$. Examples are fairly common, but mostly with nominal subject or impersonally.


 (re)built and more added to its ground-plan. ${ }^{3 a}$ Exceptionally with suffix-pronoun.
 wait upon him. ${ }^{4}$ Lit. (it) was caused that, etc.
 been said. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Impersonal.
§ 482. The pseudo-verbal construction with $\boldsymbol{H}_{-\infty}^{-\infty}$ chron f. -r . The construction with $h r+$ infinitive is uncommon, since $c h r \cdot n s d m \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 478)$ covers the same ground.
 then I called to the travellers who were in this ship. ${ }^{6}$
 rewarded me) with gold. ${ }^{7}$

For some curious instances where the particle $m k$ appears to be substituted for $h r$ see § 234, Obs.
2. The form $\mathrm{H}^{-\infty}$ - $\mathrm{ch} h \cdot n \cdot f+$ old perfective is usual with verbs of motion.

 peace. ${ }^{9}$

It is also fairly common with intransitives.

慁- -
 thereat more than anything. ${ }^{12}$

An example occurs where a participle + dep. pron. 3 rd f. sing. is substituted for the old perfective, after the manner described in § 374 , end.

## ${ }^{1}$ Sebekkhui 2.

${ }^{2}$ Sh.S. 39-4I. Sim. Urk. iv. 3, 3.9; $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. sing., P. Mook 2, $4=A Z .63,106 ; 3$ rd pers. plur., Budge, p. $75,5-6$. See too below, last ex.

${ }^{42}$ Urk. v. 35, 12. The best MSS. have $r$, others $h r$.
${ }^{5}$ See $A Z Z .27,34-6$.

- Westc. 6, 4.
${ }^{7}$ Westc. 6, 14. Sim. Urk. iv. 895, 4.
${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 127$.
${ }^{9}$ Pr. 2, 4.

[^202] Sekmem fell (i.e. was defeated) together with vile Retjnu. ${ }^{1}$

A few cases of transitive verbs also occur, but only with pronominal subject. These have, of course, passive meaning; with nominal subject the passive chr•n $s d m \cdot f(\$ 48 \mathrm{I})$ seems to be preferred.
 $W_{3} d-w r$ then I was cast upon an island by a wave of the sea. ${ }^{2}$

In the second half of the story of the Shipwrecked Sailor the suffix of ist pers. sing. is omitted after chr$\cdot n$. This seems a quite legitimate construction, a parallel to it occurring after $w n \cdot i n$ ( $\$ 470$, end).
 Sovereign. ${ }^{3}$

3. With $r$ +infinitive, only in the sentence Dhwoty r psg.s then Thoth proceeded to (?) spit on it. ${ }^{\text {an }}$

## OTHER AUXILIARY VERBS

§ 483. I. A construction similar to chr•n sdm $n \cdot n \cdot f(\$ 478)$ is found exceptionally with the verbs $i \boldsymbol{i}$ ' come', $p r i$ ' come forth', $s \underline{d} r$ 'spend all night', and $\underline{d} r$ ' end'. ${ }^{s}$
 and) she spoilt her side (by ceasing to row). ${ }^{6}$
 went out and) he rewarded the chief lector. ${ }^{7}$
 and) I strung my bow. ${ }^{8}$

All these verbs except $i i$ show a further analogy with $c h r$ in that their subject may be qualified by the old perfective; cf. $\underset{r}{ } r \cdot \operatorname{in} \cdot f(\mathrm{hms}(w)$ 'at last he sat down', lit. 'he ended being seated' ( $\$ 316$ ) with the construction chr $\cdot n \cdot f$ sdemze of $\S 482,2$.
2. The verb $i w$ 'come' appears to be used rather similarly with various parts of the suffix conjugation, particularly in conjunction with the verb ini 'bring'. ${ }^{10}$ The least obscure examples are:
 coolness upon the heat. ${ }^{11}$ 'Inn•f, imperfective $s d m \cdot f$.

ASOallun=in in rht iry $m$ snn the amount thereof shall be brought in copy. ${ }^{12}$ ' $n$ is probably passive $s d m \cdot f$.

In these examples and in others with $i^{14}$ the action of 'coming' is probably meant literally, but the close association with a following verb reduces its force almost to that of an auxiliary verb.
§ 484. The auxiliary ${ }^{2} p s(w ?)$ 'have done in the past'. ${ }^{1}$ —Various forms of this not improbably zae inf. verb, which is closely related to the noun Ko ${ }^{\circ} p_{3 t}$ ' antiquity', ${ }^{12}$ are used with a following infinitive to express past action.
 brought its venture safe to port. ${ }^{2}$ Lit. wrong has not done-in-the-past mooring its action.
 msr-brw never had it been done (lit. not occurred that one did the making of it) since the time of king Snofru, the justified. ${ }^{3}$
$\ddagger \|_{0}$ had existed formerly, lit. that which had-done (perf. act. part.) exist. ${ }^{4}$
 hst st never had the like happened to (any) servants whom their masters had praised. ${ }^{\delta} \quad P_{3} \cdot n$ is probably the narrative $\delta d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, see $\S$ 196, 2.
 ' Iw śd $d \cdot f$ form, see $\$ 462$.
§ 485. The verb irí as auxiliary. ${ }^{7}$ - i. Late Egyptian has a repugnance to verb-forms from stems with more than three radical consonants, as well as from compound and foreign verbs; such verb-forms it therefore paraphrases with iri followed by the infinitive; compare in old English 'he doth make'. Rare early examples occur already in Middle Egyptian.

 works devastation among the perverse-of-disposition. ${ }^{\circ}$

Here belongs the vetitive $m$ ir 'do not' mentioned in § $340,2$.
2. For some reason unknown, verbs of motion sometimes are paraphrased with $i r i+i n f i n i t i v e$.

Ex. ${ }_{0}$ wards. ${ }^{10}$
 ones who had deserted (lit. made a going forth, perf. act. part.) through hunger from that enemy. ${ }^{11}$

An abstract verbal noun (cf. § 77, I) may be employed instead of the infinitive.
 $t n$ and who shall pass (lit. make a passing) by this desert tract. ${ }^{12}$
3. The construction $s d m p w i r(w) \cdot n \cdot f$ ' it is a hearing which he did' and its passive $\$ 392$.
${ }^{1}$ See $A Z .45,73-9$.
${ }^{14}$ The possibly related intrans. vb. $p_{3}$ ' fly' is $2-l i t$.
${ }^{2}$ Pt. 93. Sim. ib. 115. 479; Siut 4, 15. A question with sdinvn•f, Mill. 2, 7 .
${ }^{3}$ Sinai 139, 10-II. For the $h n$-bird in place of $p 3$ see Signlist, $\mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{I}$.
4Unt. v. 46. Sim. Urk.iv. 168, 1I; 584, 17; 618, 13 .
${ }^{5} B H$. i. 25, 11 I.
${ }^{6}$ Sinai 90, 11. See above, n. 3 .

## 7 See Verbum ii.

 553, a.${ }^{8}$ Eb. 42, 9-10.
${ }^{9}$ Urk.iv. 613. Sim. Rhind 43, qu. §338, 1 (imperative); 46. 50. 5I ( $5 d m \cdot h r \cdot f)$; Urk. iv. 606, 2, qu. § 420 (passive Sd ${ }^{2} m . f$ ); ib. $658,8, q u$. p. 375 , n. 28 (perf. sdam.f).
${ }^{10}$ Sin. B 5-6. Sim. ib. 19 ; 188 , qu. § $33^{8 .}$.
${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 665.

12 Siut 3, 1.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS ON THE SUFFIX CONJUGATION

${ }^{1}$ See Verbum, ii. §§ 183. 373. 396. 4 I 8.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B 1, 276.
${ }^{3}$ Th. T. S. ii. in.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 263-4.
${ }^{5}$ Rhind 62. Sim. Eb. 75, 13-14.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 4.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 24$.
${ }^{8}$ Eb. 61, 7. Sim. ib. 39,15 ; Urk. iv. 1105, 9 .
${ }^{9} \ddot{A} Z .57,6^{*}$; sim. perhaps Peas. B r, 296. So too after nty, §§201. 402.
${ }^{10}$ Sh. S. 54. Sim. after $r, E b .9^{2,}$ 13; 97,17 ; after $i r$ ' if', Pt. $4^{82}$; P. Kah. 6, 22. So too with the ślint.f form, § 402.
${ }^{11}$ P. Kah. 1, 8.
${ }^{12}$ Pr. $\mathrm{I}, 6$.
§ 486. Omission of the subject. ${ }^{1}$ - The subject of the verb-forms of the suffix conjugation is sometimes omitted.
 because of the condition thereof. ${ }^{2}$ The peasant is referring to his grievance, which he is unable to contain.
$\triangle \omega=\square \underline{t w}, d r m s t \underline{t w}$ damn thee, and damn her that bore thee $!^{3} D r \underline{t w}$ stands for $d r \underline{t w} n t r$ ' may god destroy thee' or the like.
© be brought. ${ }^{4}$

厽—思 IIII $h p r \cdot h r m_{4}$ it will become 4, i. e. the result will be $4 .{ }^{5}$
In these instances the omission is due either to the subject being too clear to need expression, or else to its being vague and a matter of indifference.

The normal way of evading the expression of the semantic subject is, of course, to use the passive voice, which is, indeed, a device serving that very purpose. But the passive may itself be impersonal, and in this case it is the expression of the direct semantic object, if any, which is evaded.

 one of them. ${ }^{7}$

Examples with the $s d m \cdot f$ passive are specially common, see §422. When -tw is used we prefer, as a rule, to describe the verb-form as an active having for its subject the indefinite pronoun ( $\$ 410$, end).

A similar omission of the subject is found in subordinate clauses.
 $H_{3}$ is for $h_{s} \cdot s$.
$\theta_{0}^{-\infty}$ ht $n r b \cdot t(w)$ a thing which is not known. ${ }^{9}$
 the ground because (it) was (too) much upon my hands. ${ }^{10}$ This means: because I had too much to carry.

In these cases it is a suffix-pronoun which is omitted, and the noun to which the suffix would have referred has sometimes been expressed in the main clause. We might expect a similar omission of the suffixes in main clauses where the subject is in anticipatory emphasis ( $\$ 148, \mathrm{I}$ ), but in point of fact such a construction is very rare.
 Nubia. ${ }^{11}$ Rth is possibly for $r t h \cdot f$.
 much. ${ }^{12}$ ' $I d n$ is for $i d n \cdot f$, see $\S 463$.

It is perhaps in this way that we ought to explain $2 d d$ as a substitute for dd.f 'he says', 'he said'; see above $\S 450$, i.

Occasionally the subject which is omitted after a form of the suffix conjugation is subsequently indicated in a round-about way.
 allowing it to be interfered with by any controller. ${ }^{1}$ Lit. without letting crossland to it by any controller ; $d_{3} t_{3} r$ is an idiomatic phrase, and the subject is postponed in order not to separate $\underline{d}_{3}$ from its object $t$.

组 $n \mathrm{ir} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}{ }_{3} 6 \mathrm{w}$ im none of them (the offerings) suffered delay. ${ }^{2}$ Lit. not made delay (any) thereof; $i m$ is partitive in meaning and equivalent to wer im.sn.

Obs. I. The words $k p r, k p r \cdot n$, 'it happened that ....' are not here taken as impersonal verb-forms, since it seemed preferable to regard the following clause as a noun clause serving as subject ; see above $\S 188$, i.

Obs. 2. In certain cases where $s \underline{d} d m \cdot n$ occurs, particularly after the negative word $\sim n$, it has been explained as a special participial ${ }^{3}$ or finite ${ }^{4}$ form, the more plausibly since ${ }^{-1} 1^{6}$ or ${ }^{11} n y^{6}$ is occasionally written instead of simple $-n$. An alternative view consists in regarding this $n$ or $n y$ as a rare suffix-pronoun of 3rd pers. plur. or dual, see $\S 34$, Obs. 3. The probable explanation in most cases, however, is that $\delta d m \cdot n$ or $s d m \cdot n y$ simply represents the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form with omission
 $m r \cdot n \cdot s n$ ) 'the friends of to-day, (they) do not love'. ${ }^{8}$ It would almost look as though the origin of $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ from perf. pass. part. + preposition $n$ were here remembered, since for this preposition without noun or suffix the adverb $\frac{-1}{\alpha}(\$ 205,1)$ might naturally be substituted, and the rare spellings $-\frac{11}{}, \operatorname{T1}_{1}$ correspond closely to $\ell_{\|}^{\circ}, \ell_{111}(\$ 113,2)$.
§487. Omission of both subject and formative element.-Such omissions occur in passages where there is a sequence of parallel verbs, and where consequently subject and formative element are alike superfluous.
 rt.f $n b$ im $\cdot s, n h m$ csw $\cdot f$, sck.k $r$ d ${ }^{\prime} t t t($ ? $) \cdot f$ then he belaboured all his limbs with it, took away his asses, and drove (them) into his estate. ${ }^{\circ}$ Understand $n h m \cdot n \cdot f, s r k \cdot n \cdot f$.

 4-nw hrw it shall be anointed with fish-oil on the second day, anointed with hippopotamus-oil on the third day, and anointed with $i b r$ on the fourth day. ${ }^{11}$ Wrh must twice be understood as $k r \cdot t w w r h \cdot t w \cdot f$.

So too in a sequence of simple $s d m \cdot f$ forms, the later members are apt to be docked of their suffix subjects. ${ }^{12}$ Cases where the first of a series of parallel verbs seems to lack the suffix and formative are better explained otherwise. ${ }^{13}$

[^203][^204]§ 488．Several verb－forms before a single subject．－Examples are not rare．
（0）
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20046．Sim． Hamm．48， 15 ．
${ }^{2}$ Lac．TR．2， 25.
${ }^{3}$ Peas．B 1，251－2． god of Abydus）shall love and favour him．${ }^{1}$
 $d m \underline{d} n S p$ pn $\underline{h} r d w \cdot f$ but if there delay，lag，or be impeded the joining to this Sep of his children．${ }^{2}$
 excess．${ }^{3}$

So too in the construction $r / h \cdot n$ śdm $d m \cdot n(\$ 478)$ and in that of $\S 483$ ， ．

## V O C A B U L A R Y

4． $\int^{2} i s^{2}$ call，$n$ a person．

A色险 whs pull up（corn）；hew （stones）．
路 $w d$ address，question．
Be $\Omega \Delta$ wth flee．
妵 $n d$ ask，inquire；$n d \underline{h r t}$ inquire after health of，greet．
$\ell_{4}$
hnti sail southward，up－
（3）hat hi row，trans．and intr．； convey by water．
18 8 \％shwy collect．
 clay，mud．

 put rope round neck of．
Hf （lit．bowman）．${ }^{1}$
打1，通，ist crew．
除号 errwt gate．
$\overbrace{\text {－III }}$ mty controller；in title mty $n s s$ controller of a phyle（ $s$ ）of priests（see p．99，n．I and Exerc．XXIII，（a））．
Mun nhw loss．
$\underset{\theta}{\min } \quad$ nhnt youth，childhood．
คrwd stairway．
－有 var．
A
四遥典 hrywinhabitants，people．
$\underset{1}{\subset} g s$ side，half；$\underset{\mid}{\ominus} \subset d i h r g s$ place on one side，dispose of，kill．

[^205]
## EXERCISE XXXII

（a）Reading lesson：from the autobiography of the sailor Aḥmosĕ，carved on the wall of his tomb at El－Kâb；early Dyn．XVIII：${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 00-2=\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pm 4-\ln 185=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 上"prin } \\
& \text { BBAC-AAC } \\
& \text { 80気一䋨 }
\end{aligned}
$$

rhr．n hr pf izw， Tti－n $r n \cdot f$ ， shww $\cdot n \cdot f n \cdot f$ h $3 s k w-i b$.
wn•in hm•f hr sms•f，
ist•f $m$ tmt（ $\$ 397$ ）hpr．

wn－inn－i hr hnt n－sw－bit Disr－ks－Re msr－hrw，
$i w \cdot f m$ bntyt（§33I）$r K(3) s$
$r$ swosh tašz Kmt．
wn－in ham．f har skr＇Iwnty－Sty pf
$m-h r-i b m s r \cdot f$ ，
inw（§ 314 or § 422）$m$ grosws（ $\$ 274$ ）．
nn nhwesn（§77， 1 ），
wtbw $m$ dy（§ 361） $\mathrm{hr} g s$
mi ntywn hpr（§20I）．
ist wi $m$ tp $n$ msr $n$ ．
iw chs $n \cdot i r$ wn msr ；
$m \cdot n h m \cdot f$ knt $\cdot$ ．
＇Then that enemy，whose name was Tetycan，came and had collected to himself the froward－hearted．His Majesty proceeded to slay him，and his crew were as what has never come into being．Then there were given to me 3 persons， and 5 arouras of field in my city．I proceeded to convey by water king Djeserkarē（Amenophis I），the justified，as he was sailing upstream to Cush to widen the frontiers of Egypt．His Majesty proceeded to capture that Nubian nomad in the midst of his army．They were brought tightly bound，there was no loss among（lit．of）them；he who fled being dispatched（lit．being one laid on one side）like men that have never come into being．Lo，I was at the head of our army．I fought in very truth and His Majesty saw my valour．＇


## LESSON XXXIII

## DIFFERENT TYPES OF SENTENCE

§ 489. Sentences are classified in accordance with the different kinds of intention which they embody; for every sentence must embody some intention on the part of the speaker or writer. A roughly adequate classification would comprise: (1) statements, arising from the desire to give information ; (2) questions, by which information is sought; (3) desires, a class incluiding commands, exhortations, and wishes ; (4) exclamations, calling attention to some emotional attitude of the speaker. Three of these types of sentence have been sufficiently, though not consecutively, dealt with in different parts of this book. The remaining type, namely questions, will be treated in the present Lesson.

It must be noted that the form of a sentence does not always reveal the actual intention of the speaker. As everywhere in language, forms originally created for one purpose are apt to be used subsequently for some quite different
purpose. Thus a statement introduced by $m r \cdot i$ 'I desire' may express a wish no less effectively than $h_{3}$ ' would that!' followed by the sdm.f form. ${ }^{1}$ Or again a question may be an effective means of making a negative statement or denial; such questions we call rhetorical questions.

Ex. $=\Delta x-m d k \cdot k, n-m \cdot f$ (if) thou sunderest, who shall bind? ${ }^{2}$ I. e. none can heal these evils except thee.

In similar fashion a sentence of one type or another may be used as a subordinate clause, i. e. may cease to be a complete sentence of itself in order to function as a noun, an adjective, or an adverb in a larger complex sentence. A statement used in place of a clause of condition has been quoted in $\S 423,2$. Or again, the same sense may be conveyed by a rhetorical question.
 Thoth show leniency? Then mayst thou work ill! ${ }^{3}$ The sense is: if Thoth is lenient (which he is not), then thou mayst do evil.

In the two examples quoted above the writer was, of course, well aware that he was employing the form of a question, though his intention was to make a strong denial in the first instance, and to convey an if-clause in the second. Such conscious and deliberate transferences of meaning belong more to the domain of rhetoric than to that of syntax. But there are similar transferences which are effected by quite unconscious processes, and it is due to this fact that subordinate clauses exist in Egyptian and elsewhere, these being simply ordinary statements which, through the natural development of language, have come to be employed as noun, adjective, or adverb clauses. The whole subject of subordinate clauses was treated above in Lessons XV, XVI, and XVII.

Just as sentences are thus used to take the place of nouns, adjectives, or adverbs, so too nouns and adverbs (or adverbial phrases) are sometimes employed with the meaning of entire sentences. This topic is dealt with below $\S 506$ under the head of Ellipses.

## QUESTIONS

§ 490. Various kinds of question. ${ }^{4}$-A question either demands confirmation or denial of its whole content, i. e. requires to be answered with 'yes' or ' $n o$ '; or else it may indicate by means of an interrogative word or phrase (e.g. ' who ?', 'by what means ?') the specific detail concerning which information is desired. We shall call these two kinds of question questions for corroboration and questions for specification respectively.

Again, questions may be direct or indirect. Indirect questions are those which depend upon some phrase like 'I ask' or 'tell me'.

Sentences which are questions only in form, but not in meaning, are called rhetorical questions; see above $\$ 489$.
${ }^{1}$ Adm. 4, 2.
${ }^{2}$ Peas. B 1, 257. Sim. ib.95. 168; also 284, qu. $\S$ 148, 3; Sin. B115.133; Leb. 108. 109; Sh. S. 184.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. B I, 149-50. Sim. M. u. K. 2, i. 8.

[^206]${ }^{1}$ Eb. 69, 3.

[^207]${ }^{3}$ Harh. 453.
*Leb. 3 .
${ }^{5}$ Peas. B I, 95-6.

[^208]§ 491. A. Questions for corroboration.-I. It may be conjectured that the earliest interrogation was marked only by the speaker's tone of voice. Middle Egyptian examples of this are rare:
$=1 \mathrm{mw} \mathrm{im}$ is water there ? ${ }^{1}$
 $n \underline{\text { Dhewly-nkt pn hr nhy } n \text { hsmn hnr nhy } n \text { ḥmst is it a case for one's punishing }}$ this Djehutnakht on account of a little natron and a little salt? ${ }^{2}$
 another thing that thou hast done to it ? ${ }^{3}$

In the first two examples the Egyptian seems to say 'water is there', 'it is a case'. English indicates the questions by an inversion of words unknown to the ancient language. Our third example is virtually a question for specification ( $\$ 490$ ), and is quoted here only to illustrate the absence of any mark of interrogation; for the elliptical form see below $\S 506$, i.
2. Elsewhere $\| \uparrow$ is ( $\$ 247$ ) appears to mark the interrogative tone; but since this particle means little more than 'indeed', 'verily', the nature of the sentence remains outwardly ambiguous, nor are our examples quite certainly questions.

 a balance which tilts? ${ }^{5}$

These might conceivably be ironic statements ('thou art not a man, I suppose', 'it is not wrong, I suppose'); but in Late Egyptian initial is becomes an interrogative particle, ${ }^{6}$ and examples can be quoted even from Dyn. XVIII.
$4 \cap$ 』为

3. The chief interrogative particle is, however, \& in (§ 227), which stands at the beginning of the question. Particularly common is the combination 14 in iwe; this may be considered as a special interrogative phrase, for it occurs even in constructions which, if they contained statements instead of questions, could not employ $i z w$. Special sections must be devoted to $i n i z w$ and to $i n$ alone. Both after in and after in izw the interrogative meaning may be reinforced by the


Note that when the answer 'yes' is suggested, the negative word $\mu n$ or $\leadsto n n$ is employed, as in English and in the Latin nonne? When this is absent either the enquiry is made without prejudice, or else the answer 'no' is expected. Observe, further, that the fact of a sentence being a question exerts no influence upon its syntax; the ordinary forms of verbal, non-verbal and pseudo-verbal construction are all employed after in and in iz.
§ 492．If in iw．－1．In sentences with adverbial predicate．
 the $m$ of predication．

2．In existential sentences．
Ex．Iffoll strong man who could fight against him ？${ }^{2}$

3．In sentences with nominal predicate and independent pronoun as subject．
Ex．4－M Mod，in in iw ntt hmt art thou a slave－woman ？${ }^{3}$
Before the independent pronouns $i w$ is unusual ；see，however，$\S 468,3$.
4．In sentences containing $p w$ with a nominal predicate．
 ＇$I w$ is not found in the corresponding type of statement．

5．In verbal sentences with $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ．
Exx．Inc゙
Iी リ゚ U
 child ？${ }^{7}$

In the instances with $s d m \cdot f$ above it is possible to regard the compound tense $i w s d m \cdot f$ or $i w \cdot f s d m \cdot f$ as the underlying verb－form，since the meaning is general，see $\$ \S 462.463$ ．An example may be quoted，however，where we should hardly expect the $i w s d m \cdot f$ form，a particular occasion being referred to．
 the whole day carrying barley and emmer ？${ }^{8}$

6．In the pseudo－verbal construction．


 partially，lit．place on（one）side ？${ }^{11}$
 vanguard be（engaged）in fighting ？${ }^{12}$ In the corresponding statement $i w$ would not stand before wnn．

7．＇In izw has only once been found before the negative word $\sim n n .^{13}$
§ 493．I in as interrogative particle without iw．－＇In alone is less common than $i^{n} i w$ ，and naturally does not occur where the corresponding statement would contain $i w$ ，as in the sentence with suffix subject and adverbial predicate（ $\S_{117} 2$ ）．Where，however，a choice between in and $i n i z w$ is possible， the former appears to express some surprise on the part of the questioner，such as English might convey by＇can it be that？＇

[^209]I．In questions with nominal predicate and $p w$ ．
 the proverb（lit．utterance of speech）which people tell？${ }^{1}$

2．Before the $s d m \cdot f$ or $s d d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form．
 the fourth time of（lit．in）making petition to thee，shall I indeed spend all day at it？？

In 盾 $d b r w \cdot f$ hast thou ferried across to me a man who does not know the number of his fingers？${ }^{3}$

§ 494． 1 －in ntt＇is it the case that ．．．．．？＇－A rare construction； apparently some verb like＇dost thou suppose＇is suppressed before $n t t$ ．

I．Before the passive sdam．f．
Ex． 4 －完eth
${ }^{5}$ P．Kah．33， 12.
${ }^{6}$ P．Kah．32， 6.
${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 162－3．
${ }^{7 a}$ For $n t$ perhaps cf． p．361，bottom，n． 3 ．
${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} \mathrm{II}_{5-5}$ ．
${ }^{84}$ By Gunn．Fall discussion，Suppl． 15 ．

[^210]${ }^{2}$ Peas．B1，224－5． Sim．ib．R 53；B I， 18．135－322；Westc． 9， 13 ．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．v．178．Sim． Harh．336；Peas．B I， i15．
${ }^{4}$ Peas．R 59．Sim． Westc．5， 19. be that the boat was taken by Seḥetepibrēc？${ }^{5}$

2．With the pseudo－verbal construction．
 this thy humble servant entered into the temple ？${ }^{6}$

A $\circ=0$ forgiving ？${ }^{7}$ Note the suffix after $n t t$ in accordance with § 223，end．

3．In one passage，before the $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form，${ }_{\circ}^{m} n t-p w(\S 190,2)$ takes the place of $n t t .{ }^{7 a}$
 opened his door ？${ }^{8}$

Obs．The third and fourth exx．here seem to guarantee the literal renderings proposed for the first two．It has，however，been pointed out ${ }^{8 a}$ that the contexts would yield good sense only if in $n t t$ there could be understood to mean＇except that＇．
§ 495．B．Questions for specification（ $\$ 490$ ）always contain an inter－ rogative noun or adverb，which occupies just the same place in the sentence as it would occupy in a non－interrogative statement．

Exx． what completes $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{30}$ as I ？$M^{\prime}$＇what ？＇is subject．

Q $\|$ 通 iryi $m$ what shall I do？${ }^{10} \quad M$ is object．
 $13 s t$ is object of the infinitive in an adverbial phrase．
－wnk $\underline{t} n$ where hast thou been ${ }^{12} \quad T n$ is an adverb．
 thee？${ }^{13} N m$ is dative．
 Lit. so has become $\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{10}$ of what? $N(y) m$ is genitive.
 done (lit. like what is this done) to a servant whom his heart led astray ? ${ }^{2}$ Mi $m$ is an adverbial phrase.

These examples show that Egyptian did not feel the same compelling need as is felt in English to place interrogative words at the beginning of the question. In some examples, a disinclination to separate the interrogative word from an interrogative enclitic particle seems to have dictated a departure from the normal word-order.
 it ? ${ }^{3}$ One might have expected iw.f tr ir.f st $r m$.

 thyself to eat it ? ${ }^{5}$ An extreme case in which it has doubtless been felt impossible to postpone irf beyond the third place.

In other instances where the interrogative word comes early in the sentence, it does so in accordance with rules governing other kinds of words as well.

Exx. 噱 $m$ tr tw who pray art thou? ${ }^{6}$ For the inversion see § 127,3 . However, substantially the same meaning may be expressed by $\triangle \mathbb{A}$ iwt $m t r^{7}$ or by $\overline{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{J} \backslash \ n t k s y(\$ 499,3)$; for $\underline{t w t}$ and $n t k$ as subject see $\S 125$.
 20 says it. ${ }^{8}$ See $\S 227,3$ for this and the related constructions.
 every man slays his fellow? ${ }^{\circ}$ For adverbial phrases in anticipatory emphasis see § 148,5 .

The above examples show that the enclitic particles irf, rf $(\$ 252,3, b)$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\$ 256)$ are used as freely in questions for specification as in questions for corroboration.

Negative questions for specification are by no means common. In those which we have found, the form is that of the sentence with adverbial predicate, the interrogative phrase serving as predicate and the subject being a virtual noun clause introduced by the śdm.f form of $t m$. Examples have been given in § 346 , I , but one is quoted here to illustrate the type:

- $\int_{0}$ \& $t m \cdot k \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{sdm} \mathrm{hr} m$ wherefore, pray, dost thou not hearken? ${ }^{10}$

The literal rendering would doubtless be: that-thou-dost-not hearken is on account of what? English similarly says: why is it that thou dost not hearken ?
${ }^{1}$ Rhind 30.
: Sin. B 202.
${ }^{3}$ Pl. 274.
${ }^{4}$ Rhind 67 .
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 23, 39.

[^211]10 Peas. B I, 180. Sim. Westc. 5, 20 ; 6,5 , both qu. §346, .
${ }^{1}$ In hieroglyphic， Urk．iv．365，II．
${ }^{2}$ NAV． 125 ，Schluss－ rede $43=$ BUDGE， p ． 266，6，qu．§ 495 ．
${ }^{3}$ M．U．K．1，6． 7 ； written with one $m$ only，Peas．B I， 199.
${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 43；202， qu．§ 495 ；Adm．14， 14，qu．§ $495 \cdot$
${ }^{5}$ Pt．274，qu．§495； Adm．3， 12 ；BUDGE， p． 267.
${ }^{6}$ Peas．B 1，180， qu．§ 495；Westc．6， 5 ，qu．§ 346,$1 ; 11,22$ ， qu．§ 346 ， $\mathbf{1}$ ；Urk．iv． 365 ，II，qu．§504，I．

6a＇In $m$＇what？＇ see the ex．qu．p．405， n． 8.

[^212]${ }^{7 a}$ For this spelling cf．swi § 270 ，Obs．
${ }^{8}$ Budge，p．263， I．Sim．Peas．B I， 280 ；Rhind 39． 49 ． 6r．With fem．adj． as neuter，Sin．B I 59 ．
${ }^{9}$ Urk．v．Io．Sim． Budge，p．262， 16.
10 Adm．4，6－7． Rather differently， Rhind 62.
${ }^{11}$ Rhind 43．Sim． Urk．iv．27，12，qu． §5II， 4 ．

## INTERROGATIVE PRONOUNS AND ADVERBS

§ 496．${ }^{1}, m$ is the commonest word for＇who ？＇，＇what？＇It is used as a noun，not as an adjective．In the rare event of its employment as equivalent of the English interrogative adjective＇what ？＇it is followed by the genitival $n(y)$ or by the $m$ of predication，ex．$m$（var．$\prod_{1} n m n$（var．$m$ ） $n \underline{t r}$＇to what god ？＇lit．＇to whom of（or as）god ？＇${ }^{2}$ Various examples of $m$ in reference both to persons and to things have been quoted in the last section； besides its use as genitive，dative，or accusative，it was there seen also as logical predicate in the non－verbal sentence（＇who art thou ？＇）．Note particularly the adverbial phrases $m m$＇wherewith ？＇；${ }^{3} m i m$＇how？＇，lit．＇like what？＇；${ }^{4}$ －$r m^{\prime}$ to what purpose ？＇；${ }^{5}$ \＆$h r m$＇why ？＇，lit．＇on account of what ？＇${ }^{6}$ As subject of a verbal notion，$m$ but seldom follows a form of the suffix conjuga－ tion（ex．skm $m$ at beginning of §495）；usually it stands at the beginning of the question preceded by the emphasizing（not interrogative） 4 in ；a participle or the $s d m \cdot f$ form follows in $m$ ，the constructions thus obtained being is in $m$ ir＇who made ？＇for the past，$\$$ in $m$ irr＇who makes ？＇for the present， and 1 in $m$ ir．f＇who will make ？＇for the future；see above $\S \S 227,2.3$ ； $373 ; 450,5, e$ ．As already stated in $\S 227,3$ the writings $n-m$ ，very rarely感 ，are apt to take the place of in $m$ ，a first step towards the formation of the Coptic word nim，which even in Late Egyptian is employed for＇who ？＇， ＇whom ？＇in all kinds of construction．${ }^{\text {a }}$ The extended use of $i n m$ is perhaps
 MS．of the Book of the Dead，where older texts have $m$ or ptr．For $n-m$ tr．ki＇who art thou that hast come ？＇see § 256 ，end．

 pronoun is a combination of the enclitic particle tr with the very rare inter－ rogative $p w(\$ 498$ ），the latter being of course derived from the demonstrative $p w$＇this＇．Ptr stands at the beginning of questions with the function of logical predicate，the subject following it in direct juxtaposition（§ 127,3 ）．

1．With noun or dependent pronoun as subject．

吅㿽
2．With a relative clause or its equivalent as subject．
 Lit．what is that which one shall do ？

메여N $H_{33 t}$ ，imperf．act．part．；lit．what is that which goes into it in corn ？

INTERROGATIVE WORDS MEANING＇WHO？＇，＇WHAT ？＇
 rel．form ；lit．what is that which my lord says to me？

In this latter use ptr corresponds to English＇who ？＇or＇whom ？＇with a finite verb，but Egyptian must use a relative clause，a participle，or a relative form，on the principle explained in § 39 I ．

3．With a dependent or demonstrative pronoun as actual subject and a noun or noun equivalent added to this in apposition；see above § 132.
 Djedi，that thou hast not let me see thee（before）？${ }^{2}$ Lit．what is it，Djedi，the not causing I see thee； tm is infinitive．
§ 498．$p w$ ，familiar as a demonstrative（§ ino），is found rarely as an interrogative＇who？＇，＇what？＇With this meaning it is，however，common as a constituent of ${ }^{\square} \Delta\{p t r$ discussed in the last section．

Ex．
In one or two cases where $p w$ occurs at the beginning of a sentence it may possibly have exclamatory force．

Ex．
§ 499．$\triangle \mid A, ~ \triangle N s y$ ，also written $\pi$ and even rarely $\cap$ ，is a not very frequent word for＇who ？＇，＇what？＇；besides this use，sy provides the Egyptian equivalent of the English interrogative adjective＇which ？＇，＇what？＇

I．With the adjectival meaning＇which ？＇，＇what？＇sy precedes its noun， which is probably in apposition to it；$s y$ is invariable in gender．

Exx．帆肺』


2．＇Who？＇，＇what？＇in the sentence with $p w$ ．
 MS．has $\triangle 4 \rho_{i}^{\circ} s(y)$ sy $t y$ pw．For $t y=t r$ see $\S 256$.

3．＇Who？＇with the independent pronoun as subject．

§ 500．A
I．In the sentence with $p w$ ．
 what is that（to be）done？

Since there is no clear evidence that isst ever means＇who ？＇the sentence
 Eloquent Peasant probably means＇what is（the matter with）him who is yonder？

1 Sin．B 26r．Sim． ib．183；Peas．B I， 94；P．Kah．5，6； Adm．3，7．13；BUDGE， p．263， 11.13 ．
${ }^{2}$ Westc．8，10－1I． Sim．with ni，ib． 11 ， 10－11，qu．§ 328， 2.
${ }^{3} A Z .57,6^{*}$ ．Sim． ib．60，70． 73 ．

4 $A Z .60,70$.
Sin． $\operatorname{Sin}$ B16I．
${ }^{5}$ Westc．9， 15.
${ }^{6}$ Lac．TR．65， 5. 13．Sim．Nav． 145 B， 7． 8.
${ }^{7}$ Urk．v．172．Sim． ib．168， 12 ；177， 13 ．
${ }^{8}$ Lac．$T R$ ．19， 3. Sim．Urk．v．51，3； Budge，p．267， 8.

9 BUDGE，p．129，
14；24I，I5．
${ }^{10}$ Adm．5，10；sim． Budge，p．457，10； 458，8．＇TYst pw alone Sin．B 35 ；Westc．6， 25.

11 Peas．B i， 129.

1 Urk．v．162， 15.
Sim．ib．182，6；LAC． Sim．ib．182，6；LAC．
${ }^{2}$ LAC．TR．23， $35 \cdot$ Sim．ib．23， 18.25 （hr ifst）；17，21（mi itst）．
${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．27．Sim． ib． $3^{2} 4,8$ ，imitated from Sin．R $5_{5}$ ．
－Urk．iv．503．Sim． shnt haty $m$ isst iry ＇one advanced of mind in whatever is done（？）＇， Cairo 583， 3.

[^213]7 Urk．iv．27．Sim． L．to D．，Cairo letter， 4.

8 Urk．iv．649， restored．Sim．as indirect question $T$ ． Carn．3，qu．§ 504， 1 ．
${ }^{9}$ Rhind 45 ．

10 Rhind 73.

[^214]${ }^{15} A Z Z .57,6 *$ ， parallel to pw＇sw ck， qu．§ 498.

## 2．As object．

Ex．ann linn in ir $k n \cdot s n$ isst what art thou doing to them ？${ }^{1}$
3．After a preposition．


 mind ？${ }^{3}$

5．We can only guess at the meaning of a rare expression 48 － 10 isst iry．
 he was one who，whatever was done，advanced（the matter）．${ }^{4}$
§ 501．渞 ih＇what？＇is rare in Middle Egyptian，but becomes common in later stages of the language．It is doubtless related to the interjectional of hy


Its only certain use in the period here dealt with is the use after prepositions．${ }^{6}$
 this matter recounted ？${ }^{7}$
 this road ${ }^{8}$ Lit．it is like what，the going，etc．？
§ 502．wr＇how much ？＇Only two examples have been quoted in Middle Egyptian．
 Lit．it is of how much，by how much ？

那 $w r$ pwr $r$ d $b \cdot s$ how many will be equivalent to it？${ }^{10}$ For the construction compare $\S 332$ ，last example．
§ 503． less correctly 슨）

I．With the meaning＇where？＇
Exx．$\triangle \| \neq \square$ wd．i swirf $t n$ where shall I place it ？${ }^{11}$
4
2．In $0^{-}$没 $r$ tn＇whither？＇

3．With the meaning＇whence？＇
Ex． 1 m
4．$\underline{T} n$＇whence ？＇treated as though it were a nominal predicate ；see § 132 ．
Ex．

## INDIRECT QUESTIONS

§ 504. I. We have seen (§224) that indirect speech is of rare occurrence in Egyptian. So too indirect questions may show no difference from direct questions.

Exx. I know not wherefore this has been done. ${ }^{1}$
 (lit. let me know) to what purpose it is, (namely) my strength. ${ }^{2}$ See §50r for a corresponding direct question with $s w(\$ 124)$ as subject.
2. Without any interrogative word; cf. the direct questions of $\$ 49 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$.
 seeing (whether) a woman will give birth (or) will not give birth. ${ }^{2 a}$
 whether (lit. that) it has upon it ( $\$ 165,9$ ) the seal of (its) proper official. ${ }^{2 b}$
3. The meaning of an English indirect question may be rendered in Egyptian by a participle or relative form. See above $\S 399$.

## MULTIPLE SENTENCES

§ 505. Multiple sentences and clauses are those in which some essential member is duplicated, or in which-what amounts to the same thing-some member exerts an identical syntactic function towards more than one part of the same sentence or clause. The sentences quoted in $\S 488$ are multiple because they have two or more verbal predicates, or because one and the same noun serves as subject to several verbs. ${ }^{2 c}$ It will suffice to quote a few different types.
I. Examples where verb-forms other than those of the suffix conjugation are duplicated:
 \& come and entered into Megiddo. ${ }^{3}$ 'Tw and $r k$ are old perfectives.
 according to his command. ${ }^{4}$ Prt and hst are infinitives, subjects of the adverbial predicate $k f t w d \cdot f$.
 friend with whom I drank and ate. ${ }^{5}$ Two $s d m w \cdot n \cdot f$ relative forms.
2. With co-ordinated nouns, each having its own adverbial qualification:

 goose was placed at the western side of the hall, and its head at the eastern side of the hall. ${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 365. Sim. Sin. B 126-7.
${ }^{2}$ T. Carm. 3.

[^215]${ }^{2 c}$ See too the king's oath discussed p. 165, top.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 649 (ntt and $h s$ restored). Hr + infinitive, Leb. I I13.
${ }^{4}$ Sin. R 73-4.
${ }^{5}$ Cairo 2cos7, $q$.

[^216]I went down to the sea $120 \mathrm{~m} 3 w \cdot \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{mh} 40 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{shw} \cdot \mathrm{s}$ in a ship of 120 cubits in its length and 40 cubits in
${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 25-7.
${ }^{2}$ Sh. S. 14-16.
${ }^{3}$ Peas. $\mathrm{B}_{1,} 5^{0-1}$. Sim. Sin. B 198 ; Coffins, $L_{1}, 81$, compared with Budge, p. 185 , 13.
${ }^{4}$ Sh. S. 52-3. After ive, Brit. Mus. 614, 4, qu. Exc. XIV, (a).
${ }^{-}$Louvre C r. Sim. Urk. iv. 122,13 .
${ }^{6}$ Sin. R 8-9; Peas. $\mathrm{R}_{46-7 .}$ In pseudoverbal constr., Sin. B 307-8 (iw); Urk. iv. 62, 6-7 (wnn).

7 Brit. Mus. 614, 3. Sim. Peas. B I, 62-3.
${ }^{8}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. 18.
${ }^{9}$ Eb. 42, 2. Sim. P. Pet. 1116A, 121 .
${ }^{10}$ Budge, p. 497, 9. its breadth. ${ }^{1}$
3. Examples with a particle or auxiliary verb governing two or more parallel verb-forms:
 so thou shalt answer when thou art addressed, and speak to the king. ${ }^{2}$
 wosb.f $n$ shty $p n$ he did not reply to these nobles, (nor) did he reply to this peasant. ${ }^{3}$
 left (lit. placed) on the ground. ${ }^{4}$ The auxiliary $c h r \cdot n$ governs both $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ forms.
4. Non-verbal sentences; see also the second example under i, above.

Exx. falsehood therein. ${ }^{5} \quad \mathrm{Im}$ is a predicate common to two subjects.
 in silence, and hearts were in mourning. ${ }^{6}$ ' $I w$ is a common member.
 beloved of his lord, praised of him in the course of every day. 'Ink is a common subject. ${ }^{7}$
5. Under this head fall cases where 'not' serves to negate a particular member of a sentence. ${ }^{8}$
 he is suffering in his lower legs and the ...... (?), not (in) his thighs. ${ }^{9}$

上ㅡㅡㅁ without letting other eyes (lit. face) look on, not (even) a slave who has come from abroad. ${ }^{10}$

In both these instances the negated portion is incomplete without the preceding words. One may compare the use of $n$ is 'but not' before an adverb, limiting the scope of a preceding statement (\$209).

## ELLIPSES

§ 506. The term ellipse is here taken to mean the omission of any element or elements which might seem desirable, from the grammarian's point of view, for the full and explicit expression of a sentence. In actual parlance any set of words which is capable of conveying a meaning relevant to the hearer, any set of words in which he can discern a reasonable intention on the part of the speaker, is a sentence. As thus defined, a sentence may often consist of a single word, such as 'yes' or 'no' ( $\$ 258$ ); but traditional grammar demands the
presence of at least subject and predicate. The term 'ellipse' is, for this and for other reasons, a questionable one; but it will serve as a convenient heading under which to group those forms of speech which seem deficient from the standpoint of the grammarian's over-rigid categories.

1. Questions and answers to questions are often elliptical in the sense just defined; so also are other elements of dialogue.

 $p w m-r \cdot i d s r$ pt $t$, 'Eat', say they to me. 'I do not eat for you.' 'Wherefore?' say they to me. 'Because that staff is in my hand which separates heaven and earth.' ${ }^{1}$ Abbreviated for: 'Wherefore dost thou not eat?', 'I do not eat because', etc.

In this passage 'say I' is twice to be understood ; see § 224, end. We have, moreover, become acquainted in $\S 32$ I with $\uparrow h r$ used elliptically for $h r d d$ 'says', ' said '.
2. Exclamatory wishes, interjectional comments and the like often have elliptical form.
 $m w t ~ \underline{h r} r$ hst, s3 $r \cdot s$ as for the testament which I made for his mother previously, let it be cancelled. ${ }^{2}$ Lit. back to it!
 epistolary greeting.
 Teti, son of Minḥotpe. ${ }^{4} \quad W_{3} n$ may conceivably be for ws dwt $n$ 'evil befall for'.

Further examples in $\S \S 153.313$.
3. Egyptian writers are fond of what may be called the label mode of statement-the curt substitution of a noun or noun-equivalent in place of an assertion. Examples above in $\$ \S 89$ (nouns), 306 (infinitives), 390 (participles or relative forms).

Questions too may assume the form of label words or phrases.
 ipwot (what about) the report (lit. saying) that thou knowest the number of the secret chambers? ${ }^{5}$
4. Comparison, from our point of view, is much abbreviated in Egyptian.

Exx. © fear of him is throughout the lands like (that of) Sakhmet in a year of pestilence. ${ }^{6}$
 magnified the victories of My Majesty more than (those of) any king who had come into existence before. ${ }^{\text { }}$

[^217] 16; 9, 4. 5. 14.
${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 11, 20. Sim. ib. 3T, 5, qu. §89, 2 ; MớLL. HL. i. 19 top, 2 after $i k$.
${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 27, 4. Sim. ib. 29, 4-5. 34.
${ }^{4}$ Kopt. 8, 5. Sim. Sin. B74.
${ }^{5}$ Westc. 9, 1-2. Sim. Harh. 453, qu. $\oint 491$, I .
${ }^{6}$ Sin. B44-5. Sim.
 after the $m$ of predication, Urk. v. 67, 1, qu. § 200, 2.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 767. Sim. ib. 59, 3; 618, 15 ; 862, 16 ; Pt. 319, qu. §96, I .

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

5. Omission of pronouns. The omission of pronominal subjects was dealt with in $\S \S 486-7$. In such instances as the first one in $\S 487$ a natural result of the abbreviation is that the pronominal object should likewise be swept away; but we find elsewhere omissions of the object which we should not have expected.
 instructor of the henchmen. ${ }^{1}$

4月, found (it) surrounded with brick. ${ }^{2}$

In contexts similar to the last the omission of the pronoun seems to be even idiomatic. ${ }^{3}$

Obs. For the omission of the subject (nominal or pronominal) in non-verbal sentences see $\$ \S 123 ; 128$, end ; 145 .

## FINAL REMARKS ON WORD-ORDER

§ 507. The very strict word-order of Egyptian was described in \$§ 27. 29. 66, to which the sections on anticipatory emphasis (\$§ 146-9) served as a supplement. The rules there laid down apply not merely to main and subordinate clauses, but also to such parts of the verb as the infinitive, the participles, and the relative forms (see $\$ 375$ ). Exceptions to the rules are of rare occurrence, but under certain conditions were permitted or even obligatory.
I. It is a general rule that a pronoun must not precede the noun to which
${ }^{4}$ See $A Z .44,112$.
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 2, 1. Sim. Harh. 344. Cases like Lac. TR. 2, 37, qu. p. 375, n. 2, are due to replacement of a proper name by a suffix.
${ }^{6}$ Lac. TR. 2, 3941.

7 Urk. iv. 521.

[^218]${ }^{1}$ Sebekkhu 17. Sim. Urk. v. 177, 12; Harh. 394; Westc. i2, 4 .
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $834 \cdot$
${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 197, 17 ; $\$ 212$.
2. Occasionally an adverbial phrase precedes the subject or object, if such a transposition is felt to be convenient. This is felt, for example, when the adverbial phrase belongs very closely to the verb.
 his arrows. ${ }^{1}$

Cefforn min izmibtrswhmst it ine a big thing to repeat it. ${ }^{2}$
 difficult in the heart of men to drag great things over it. ${ }^{3}$
$\triangle$ M行 I should make monuments for him (lit. his monuments). ${ }^{4}$

In the last example the context continues 'and that I should cause him to be powerful even as he has caused me to be powerful'. Thus the object is long and complex; this is an additional reason for its postponement. Similar cases are by no means rare.
 swnt it $m$ rmw may the sun be given (lit. let one give to the sun) the price of the corn in fish. ${ }^{5}$ This is a witticism ; the speaker is thinking of the inundation, which will put fish in the place of the crops now being harvested.

Particularly common is the ancient and stereotyped formula of dedication, of which a single example must here suffice.

气 w tbnwy wrwy, bnbnt $m \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d} m$ he made as his monument to his father Harakhte the erecting for him of two great obelisks (with) the pyramidion of gold. ${ }^{6}$

The infinitival object usually broadens out into a longish description, after which $m m n w o f$ would come in lamely or incomprehensibly. ${ }^{7}$

For the displacement of certain interrogative adverbs or adverbial phrases, in order to avoid separation from the interrogative enclitic particles, see $\S 495$.
3. A strange example, in which subject and object appear to change places for a like reason, is

 ss $R r$ ' Intf there served as (lit. made) scribe of the fields in the waters of Abydus of the Thinite nome my father and the father of my father since the time of the Horus 'Enduring-of-life', the king of Upper and Lower Egypt, Son of Rē̃, Antef. ${ }^{8}$

Another possible rendering 'I made ..... and my father and the father of my father' is intrinsically rather improbable; its improbability is increased by the fact that this text elsewhere contains no instance of the omission of the suffix of the ist pers. sing.

[^219]> © Paheri 3. Sim. Pt. 28.
${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 590. Sim. ib. 357,4; 584, 9;586, 13; 592, 14; 607, 3 . Without inf. object, 526, 5 .
${ }^{7}$ A good parallel (Old Kingdom) is Urk. i. 146,6-8. Sim. Pt. 566-7.
${ }^{8}$ Leyd. V 3.
${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. 1 IIO, 12 , qu. Exerc. XXX, (iii).
${ }^{2}$ Siut I, 308.

[^220]${ }^{5}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 3.
Sim. Sin. R $_{2-3 .}$

6 Leyd. V 6.

[^221][^222]4. In $\dagger$ Bman $\ddagger{ }^{m m}$ wd.f sw $n \cdot f$ lit. 'he shall order him to him', ${ }^{1}$ i.e. the vizier shall order him to come to himself, the pregnant and special meaning of the dative is clearly the cause of the inversion.
5. In other cases exceptional word-order is explicable only on grounds of general convenience.
 hne rdit in wrb imy $3 b d \cdot f$ psk niw hnkt dwiwe $n$ hnty $f$ nty $m$ rwd hry $u$ is $\cdot f$ with the giving by the priest in his month of a bowl of $p_{3} k$-bread and a jug of beer to his statue which is in the lower stairway of his tomb. ${ }^{2}$ Strictly speaking, the objects ${ }^{s}$ of the infinitive should have preceded the agent.
6. Virtual adverb clauses are sometimes inserted parenthetically for reasons of convenience.
 $b r p \cdot n \cdot i k z$ now though I had fallen into (the condition of) an orphan I had oxen at my command. ${ }^{3}$ I $T w \ldots h r p \cdot n \cdot i$ is the form iw sdm•n•f of $\$ 464$.
 $n h t$ His Majesty fared downstream, his heart rejoicing, in might and victory. ${ }^{4}$

## CONCORD

§508. Concord, i. e. the assimilation of one element of a sentence or clause to another in some important particular of form, is of three kinds: concord of person (§509), concord of number ( $\$ 5 \mathrm{IO}$ ), and concord of gender ( $\$ 5 \mathrm{II}$ ).
§ 509. Concord of person.- i. The chief peculiarity of Egyptian here is its strong tendency to treat adjectives and participles as nouns, and hence as of the third person singular, even when they refer to pronouns of the first or second person. See already above § 136.

Exx. ठ $\uparrow$ Uी ink mry nb•f I was one beloved of his lord. ${ }^{5}$ English usually says: I was beloved of my lord.

O? teach him to know. ${ }^{6}$ Contrast English : I knew who could teach me to know. psdt $m$ nfrwes hail to thee.... . thou great white one, at whose beauty the Ennead rejoices. Lit. rejoiced the Ennead at her beauty. ${ }^{7}$
 swesty•sn $h r$ is $p n \ldots . .$. iwetn $r d r p n \cdot i$ $O$ ye who live . . . . . . . and who shall pass by this tomb . . . . . . ye shall offer to me ${ }^{8}$ The s $\dot{d} m t y \cdot f y$ form is essentially of the third person, yet is here used to qualify a vocative.

2．Pronouns of the 3rd pers．sing．are usually employed in referring back to the phrase 通㿽 b3kim（§158）．
 the heart of this thy humble servant is happy now that he has heard of the good health of（my）lord（l．p．h．）．${ }^{1}$

Occasionally，however，$b_{3} k i m$ alternates with the ist pers．sing．
 $s(y)$ this flight which thy humble servant made，I did not plan it．${ }^{2}$

With $\rho, \$ \mathrm{hm} \cdot \mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{My}$ Majesty＇either the 3 rd or the ist pers．may be used．${ }^{3}$
 his（own）hands．${ }^{4}$ Such use of the 3rd pers．seems to be the rarer case．
 wished to make a monument for my father Amen－Rēc．${ }^{5}$

With $l$＇置 $-h m \cdot k$＇Thy Majesty＇pronouns of the 2nd pers．are used．${ }^{6}$
§ 510．Concord of number in Egyptian is much looser than in English．${ }^{7}$ I．We have noted（§86）the tendency of the genitival adjective $-n y$ to become invariable in number and gender，but $\sigma n b$＇all＇，＇every＇without ending is mere graphic abbreviation（ $\$ 48,1$ ）．The absence of $-w$－from the fem．plur．of adjec－ tives（ $\$ 74$ ）may have had its counterpart in the spoken language．

2．Feminine collectives $(\xi 77,3)$ have fem．adjectives in agreement with them．
 $n b t$ hnmmt nbt all mankind，all noble people，all commoners，all sun－folk．${ }^{8}$

 rwt nbt ibw．sn rmw＇all cattle，their hearts weep＇．${ }^{10}$

When $\nabla n b$＇every＇accompanies a singular noun，either the plural or the singular suffix may be employed．Whereas in the two expressions for＇every－
 suffice to indicate that they were regarded as plurals，${ }^{11}$ ，$s n b$ ，which often has the same meaning，is referred back to with a singular suffix ；${ }^{12}$ not infrequently ＇each one＇is a better translation of $s n b$ than＇everyone＇，see $\S 103$.

3．When a number of persons are described as doing something with some part of their bodies，Egyptian idiom speaks of that part in the singular．

Exx． 480 ， lit．mouth．${ }^{13}$
 ntyw ib－sn bt mnw pn I call to the attention（lit．I put in the face）of mankind ．whose heart（s）are occupied with this monument．${ }^{14}$
This rule is，however，liable to exceptions，see $i b w \cdot s n$ above under $2 .{ }^{15}$
${ }^{1}$ P．Kah．29， 12. Sim．ib．32，6；＇Sin． B 178．205． 213 －4．
${ }^{2}$ Sin．B 223．Sim． ib．174－7；P．Kah． 28，5－6．
${ }^{3}$ For $h m$ ．$i$ repeated， see Berl．ȦI．i．p．258， 20－1．
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．169．Sim． ib．256， 9 ．
${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．834．Sim． ib． 366,$14 ; 776,13-$ 14；Ikhern． 5 ．
－Urk．iv．613，6－7．
${ }^{7}$ Cf．＇a finger or a toe which are painful＇， Eb．78，6，qu．Exerc． XXIX，（a）．
${ }^{8}$ Budge，p． 113 ， 8－9．Sim．Urk．iv． 233， 14.
${ }^{9}$ Urk．iv．6，9．Sim． ib．390， 2.
${ }^{10}$ Adm．5，5．Sim． Cairo 20016，a I．
${ }^{11} \mathrm{Hrab}$ with follow－ ing plural suffix，Urk． iv． $17,10-11$ ．
${ }^{12}$ Leb． 112.119.

[^223]${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20748, $g 2$. Sim. with adj. ib. 20520, $d$ 4-5; 20775, 01 .

2 Siut 1, 295. Sim. with rel. form, $O_{r k}$. iv. 743, 5; with sdinty•fy form, Turin 1447 ; Eb. 1 , $15-16$.

2a $A Z .59$, 10.
${ }^{20}$ Urk. iv. 366, 13.
${ }^{20} A \not A Z .59,57^{*}, 1_{5}$ 6. Sim. Urk. v. 28 , 1-2.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 692.
${ }^{4}$ Urk. iv. 707, 10.
${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 719, 7.
${ }^{6}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 235$.

7 P. Kah. 12, 8. Sim. Urk. iv. 85, 11 ; 780, 5-6.
${ }^{8}$ More exx. Verbum ii. § 50 .
${ }^{9}$ Siut $1,305$. Sim. Eb. 20, 17. 23 ; 76, 12.
${ }^{10}$ Brit. Mus. 614, vert. 5 .
${ }^{11}$ Siut. 1, 301. Sim. P.Kah.12,13; Louvre C 11, 2. Sim. nty, Tarkhan, 1, 80, 21.
${ }^{12}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 270$. Sim. ib. 1, 269 .
§ 511. Concord of gender.-Under this head we have to consider a number of cases where the gender of an adjective, verbal form, or suffixpronoun differs from what might be expected.
r. When in a sequence of co-ordinated words of both genders the first is masculine, the sequence as a whole is treated as masculine.
 are in Abydus. ${ }^{1}$
 $h(w) t-n t r n t y r d i \cdot n \cdot i n \cdot \underline{t} n s w$ the bread and beer which the staff of the temple make for me and which I have given to you. ${ }^{2}$

I a. In Middle Egyptian arises a tendency to treat dual nouns, whether masc. or fem., as masc. singulars. ${ }^{2 a}$

 me that I may benefit by them. ${ }^{2 c}$
2. Old perfectives, participles, etc., referring to feminine plural words take masculine forms, though the suffix-pronoun used in such a case is fem. sing.

Exx. 4 。 to which His Majesty comes were equipped. ${ }^{3}$ Note the rel. form $s p r$, the old perf. sspd and the suffix $s$. That mniwt is plur. and sspd masc. is indicated by


4Bamis were destroyed. ${ }^{6}$ Wn is participle, sky old perfective.
 brother gave to me. ${ }^{7}$ Contrast the fem. nbt with the masc. rel. form $r d i z v \cdot n$.

The above rule seems almost absolute in the old perf. ${ }^{8}$; the same fact was expressed in $\S 309$ by saying that the ancient form of the 3 rd pers. fem. plur. (and dual) is in M.E. regularly replaced by the 3 rd pers. masc. form. In the participles and cognate forms, masc. gender referring to fem. plur. nouns is only exceptional; one can quote good instances to the contrary.
 tapers which go forth unto him and with which lights are kindled. ${ }^{9}$

It is noticeable that the preference is given to masc. forms when $n s n$ or $n n n$ 'these' precedes the fem. plur. noun ; cf. below under 3.
 which thou hast given to me. ${ }^{11}$


3．When $n s, 7 \pi w$ ，and $\eta \eta$ are used as demonstrative pronouns for＇this＇，＇that＇，they are referred back to by masc．participles and relative forms；but the resumptive pronoun then used is fem．

Exx．ीه which the ears become dulled．${ }^{1}$

国』国 $n s h d(w) \cdot k$ sw hres that for which thou punishest him．${ }^{2}$
Similarly when the antecedent is an abstract noun ：
 I did not strain after evil on account of which men are hated．${ }^{3}$ For the construction see § 377 ．

In one instance $\downarrow \downarrow n n$ is followed by a feminine relative form ：
 truthfully．${ }^{3{ }^{3}}$

4．In Late Egyptian the meaning of the neuter is expressed by the masculine， whereas in Middle Egyptian it is expressed by the feminine（§5I）．Contrast


 hast done＇．${ }^{6}$ The transition from the feminine to the masculine seems to have begun with the old perfective；here the masculine is usual in Middle Egyptian．

Exx．S日小 decayed．${ }^{7}$ Contrast the fem．pass．part．gmyt with the masc．old perfective wissy．
 does to men is evil in all respects．${ }^{8}$

In the case of the participles and relative forms，examples of masc．gender for neuter meaning are rare in early times．
 let me tell thee the like thereof which happened in this island．${ }^{10}$
 hearsay（lit．in hearing），behold they have happened．${ }^{11}$
 Ir $\cdot n$ for irt．n．

The rare examples where the masc．definite article precedes a relative form of neuter meaning are to be viewed as early cases of Late Egyptian．
 do what my lord commanded．${ }^{14}$ The written $t$ is probably due to a recollection of the ordinary M．E．form wd $d \cdot n \cdot f$ usual in such contexts．
${ }^{10}$ Sh．S． 125 ．Sim．
ib． 22.
${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv． 500.
${ }^{13}$ Cairo 2074I，$c 2$ ．
Sim．dd for datt，
${ }^{P t}$ ． 26.5 ，qu．Exerc．
XXVIII，（a）；；ib．543．
553.

[^224]${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ Louvre C 12. Sim．
Pahari 3，qu．§330．
${ }^{14}$ Roeder，Debod， Pl．108，iv．a．Sim． $A Z Z .69,32$（1．23）．

5．The indefinite pronoun $t w(\$ 47)$ is treated as a masculine．
Ex．
So too the pronoun $\prod_{0}$ st with the meaning＇them＇（ $\$ 46$ ）．
 bank．${ }^{12}$

6．The Egyptians were never remarkable for scholarly accuracy，and examples are not infrequent，especially in much－copied texts，where the fem． ending is wrongly omitted．

 correctly 念 $\mathrm{irt} \cdot n$ ．
 The epithet immediately preceding contains the correct fem．form

Obs．For concord of gender in the case of numbers，see $\S 26 \mathrm{I}$ ；and for nouns of exceptional gender see $\S 92$ ．
${ }^{2}$ Hearst 5，7．Sim． ib．5，9．11．12． 15 ．
${ }^{3}$ Ib．46，10． 16. 22.
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv． 361. Sim．
ib． 361,11 ；1082， 3 ． －

## VO CA B UL AR Y

学会解 $i w r$ become pregnant．
$\ell \cap \Delta$ hs k cut off．
$\rho$ ssm lead，guide．
fo a int private chamber，harìm．
左 wr－msw＇Greatest－of－seers＇， name of the high－priest of Heliopolis．
An［0］resht hall，court（in temple or palace）．




amt craft，craftsmanship．

而 $h s w$ singer．
$\stackrel{\theta}{\square-a 111} h t p t$ offerings．
$\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ priest．
（7） 1


囟）
enates
$2 \times 1 f_{3}$ food．
AfN on $\Delta m y-h t$（adj．from $m-k t$ ） one who goes after or accom－ panes．

## EXERCISE XXXIII

（a）Reading lesson：extract from a book of tales．${ }^{1}$


行体各

勾明一斯童



all－19m




2 2 －$-\mathrm{H}_{1} 0$


$31-118$

24一锶信



21－148

要2140

$p_{s}$ irf $d d$ ，
$i z w \cdot k r b \cdot t i t n w n s n$ ；
ipwt nt wnt nt Dhwty？
ddin Ddi ：
hstiz，n rb：i tnw iry，
ity（r．w．s．）nbiz；
iw：i swt rb•kwi bw nty st im．
ddin hm．f：
iww irf tn？
dd $d i n$ D ${ }^{2}$ i $p n$ ：
$i w f$ fflt im $n t d s$
$m$ ct sipty rn．s m＇Iwnw：
$m t s f d t$ ．
dd．in Ddi：
ity（r．w．s．）noi．i，
$m k n n$ ink is inn $n \cdot k$ sy．
ddin hm．f：
in mirf inff $n i s y$ ？
dd in Ddi：
in smsw $n$ ps hrdw 3
$n t y m \underline{h t n} R d-d d t$

$$
i n \cdot f n \cdot k s y .
$$

ddin hm．f：
mri is st．
$n 3 d d y \cdot k$ ，
pty sy ts $R d-d d t$ ？
1 Westc．9，1－15 with a few restorations．See too $J E A .1$ ， 2.
${ }^{2}$ The traces do not suit ${ }^{m m m}$ 刑＇king＇，which gives，however，the required sense．
3 The original has wrongly \＆；see $i b .7,5.7$ ．




```
    イゼロー
```








```
        固睛绿量
        员迢绿是
        㽞自灾1月䫆
\(31-19 \times\)
```



```
帆閣へ口○○くこ
dd•in Ddi：
hat wrb pw n Rr nb S내bw
iwr－ti \(m \underline{h} r d w 3\) \(n\) Rr \(n b\) Şhbww，
\(i w d d \cdot n \cdot f r \cdot s:\)
iw．sn \(r\) irt ist twy mnht
```

```
            内- = - Om
```

```
            内- = - Om
```

```
［1wnze．
iw smsw \(n \cdot s n\)－imy rirt wr－msw \(m\)
```



``` \(d d \cdot i n\) D \(d i\) ：

> pty irf ps ib, ity (r.w.s.) nb.i?
in ir two hr po hrdw 3 d d \(\cdot n \cdot i\) ：
\(k 3 s 3 \cdot k\) ，
\(k s s 3 \cdot f\) ，
ks wrw im•s ？\({ }^{1}\)
dd．in hm．f：
```

```
\(m s \cdot s \operatorname{irf} s(y) n w, R d-d d t\) ？
```



```
ms＇s m \(36 d\) I（n）prt sw Is．
\({ }^{1}\) Probably the abbreviated form of the suffix 3rd pers．plur．noted p．39，n．12a；so too perhaps above，1．4．
```

＇Then said the［king］Cheops，the deceased：（What about）the saying thou knowest the number of the secret chambers of the sanctuary ${ }^{1}$ of Thoth？And Djedi said：So it please thee，I know not the number thereof，O Sovereign，my lord，but I know the place where it is．${ }^{2}$ And His Majesty said：Where is it ？ And this Djedi said：There is a box of flint in a room called（room of）inspection in Heliopolis；（it is）in that box．（And His Majesty said：Go fetch me that box）． And Djedi said：O Sovereign，my lord，behold it is not I who will fetch it for thee．And His Majesty said：Who will fetch it for me？And Djedi said：The eldest of the three children who are in the womb of Reddjedet will fetch it for thee．And His Majesty said：Indeed I should like it！（But as regards）what thou hast said，who is this Reddjedet ？${ }^{4}$ And Djedi said：She is the wife of a priest of Rē，lord of Sakhebu，who is pregnant of three children belonging to Rē厄，lord of Sakhebu；and he has said about them（？）that they shall exercise this

[^225]beneficent office throughout the entire land; and the eldest of them shall be high-priest ${ }^{1}$ in Heliopolis. Thereupon His Majesty grew sad in his heart because of it. And Djedi said: What is this mood, O Sovereign, my lord? ${ }^{2}$ Is it on account of these three children I spoke of? Next your son, next his son, and next one of them $!^{3}$ And His Majesty said: At what moment will she give birth, Reddjedet? (And Djedi said:) ${ }^{4}$ She will give birth on the fifteenth day of the first month of winter.'
${ }^{1}$ Wr miw, lit.' greatest of the seers'; this was the particular name of the high-priest of Heliopolis.
${ }^{2}$ Lit. ' heart', i.e. state of heart, mood. 'In ir.twlit. 'is (it) done?' $\quad{ }^{3}$ Rendering doubtiul. ${ }^{4}$ See § 224, end.
(b) Translate into English, emending if necessary:



${ }^{1}$ Text from a stela showing a minstrel playing the harp before his master.
(c) Translate into Egyptian:
(I) Then said the courtiers to (hft) His Majesty : Behold, we will do according to ( $m$ ) all that thou hast commanded, O Sovereign, our lord. (But) wherefore hast thou inquired from us a counsel (sh) ? Does one guide Horus who is in the sky to sail in the heavens? Does one give a rule of knowledge to Ptah, the noble one who-presides-over ( $h r y$-tp) craftsmanship? Does one teach Thoth to speak? There is no difference between (lit. of) these three and (lit. $r$ 'from') Thy Majesty. If thou givest instruction ( $h r$ 'face') to him who is ignorant ( $\mathrm{km}-\mathrm{kt}$ ), the morrow dawns (lit. the earth grows light), and he is cleverer than those who know! (2) Hail to thee, thou eye of Horus, who cuttest off the heads of those who accompany Seth! Great is thy dignity (over) against thy enemies, in this thy name of lady of dignity! O Sobk, thou hast placed her in thy head, ${ }^{1}$ that thou mayst be great through ( $m$ ) her. (3) It is a greeting to my lord (l. p. h.) to the effect that the two Medjay-people who went to the desert on the fourth day of the first month of summer came to report to me to-day at time of evening, and brought three Nubians, saying that they had found them to the south of the fortress. Thereupon I asked these Nubians, ' Whence have ye come?' Thereupon they said, 'We have come from the Well of Horus.' (4) This book was found by night by the hand of a lector-priest, when this earth was in darkness. The moon shone on this book, on every side of it. It was brought as a wonder to the Majesty of King Cheops, the deceased.

[^226]
## NEW PARAGRAPHS AND OTHER ADDITIONS

P. 53. On this page add two new paragraphs:
$\S 62 \mathrm{~A}$. Avoidance of the repetition of like hieroglyphic signs.Analogous to the phenomena illustrated in the early part of $\S 62$ are cases where what is avoided is repetition of signs other than a single consonant, or even of an entire word.
 serves partly as group-writing for $m$ ( $\$ 60$ and see Sign-list N 35), but partly also as the determinative of water.

P-m $h r n t t$ for $h r n t t n t t$ 'because $\ldots$. . what' ${ }^{2}$ in a clause quoted below in $\S 200 \mathrm{~A}$, if the omission of the second $n t t$ be not a mere mistake.
§ 63 a. Hieratic and hieroglyphic.-At the outset hieratic writing was no more than a particular summary mode of presenting hieroglyphic (see p. io), but in course of time the two scripts diverged and developed special orthographic habits of their own. Hieroglyphic, true to its essentially decorative character, remained the more free of the two, exhibiting its signs in greater or less detail as occasion demanded, and disposing them in relatively arbitrary positions. Hieratic, on the other hand, became far more regular and consistent, and invented, in case of need, fashions of spelling suited to itself. ${ }^{3}$ Only a few traits of Middle Kingdom hieratic can here be mentioned :
r. Biliteral signs usually have their phonetic complement, exx. 얘 $w$ rather than $\circ$ alone, 会 $t s$ rather than $\theta$ or $\hat{\text { ® }}$.
2. Elaborate hieroglyphs are avoided and sometimes replaced by a mere

3. The repetition of signs in duals and plurals ( $\$ 73, \mathrm{I}$ ) is avoided, exx. ${ }_{\text {oll }}$
 (§77, i).
4. New signs were developed, ex. $\odot w$ for or variant forms retained, exx.


Egyptologists have experienced the practical need of adopting some common standard to which different hieratic hands could be reduced, and instead of selecting one simple style of hieratic for the purpose, have preferred to transcribe all hieratic hands into hieroglyphic. In view, however, of the aforementioned divergence of the two scripts, it is necessary to realize that such transcriptions, as they are called, are perforce in some degree artificial products, exhibiting the text transcribed in a form more or less different from that which would have been

## NEW PARAGRAPHS AND OTHER ADDITIONS

chosen by a contemporary scribe or sculptor. It belongs to good scientific method not to gloss over such differences, and since the appearance of the first edition of this Grammar most scholars have adopted a more rigid attitude in this matter. ${ }^{1}$ In the present edition the transcriptions of most texts have been revised accordingly, and the presence of instead of and of $\sigma$ instead of $\sigma$ is as a rule a useful indication that the example in question is taken from a hieratic text, not a hieroglyphic one.

Obs. i. For reasons of economy and spacing it has not proved possible in this edition to revise the transcriptions from hieratic as thoroughly as would have been desirable. In the best modern editions of texts not only is the direction of the originals from right to left retained, but also the positions of the individual signs are scrupulously followed. The student is urgently counselled to conform to this sound practice; in particular - should not be turned upright as $\#$ and, if the original writes the plural strokes as ${ }^{-}$, the transcription also should show them thus, not as or $1 \mathrm{II}^{\prime}$.

Obs. 2. The revision of transcriptions in this edition has not as a rule extended to the Book of the Dead and the Coffin Texts, since there it was usually needful to quote certain handy and easily accessible editions where the old style was employed.
P. 65. Add at the place marked the following new paragraph:
§ 84 A . Direct object after verbs of apparently intransitive or passive sense. -Such verbs as onT whh 'be clad (in)', ${ }^{\circ}$ wrh 'be anointed (with)', 쓴 $m n$ 'be ill (of)' a disease or '(in)' a limb, $\frac{8}{\square 0} h t p$ 'rest (upon)' sometimes take a direct object.

Exx. ${ }^{6}$ oil. ${ }^{2}$

4
P. 66, §86. At bottom, before the Obs., add :

After $\sigma n b$ 'lord' the indirect genitive, not the direct, is found when the following noun is qualified by an adjective or demonstrative.

Exx. 므N $n b n b 3 s t n b(t)$ the lord of every foreign land. ${ }^{5}$

P. 89. Before the Vocabulary insert a new paragraph :
$\S 115 \mathrm{~A}$. Yet another way of expressing possession is by means of the noun - nb 'lord', 'possessor', usually followed by a direct genitive.
 and an owner of ploughlands. ${ }^{7}$

This use is particularly frequent with abstract words, resulting in the creation
${ }^{1}$ For an exposition of the new principles see $J E A$. 15,48 ; cf. also $O L Z$. 1933, 608.
${ }^{2}$ Leyd. K 9. Sim. Mill. 1, 8; Urk. iv. 1214, 1 5. Wnh, Mill. $1,7-8$.
${ }^{3}$ Eb. 103, 6. Sim. P. Kah. 5, I9. Other exx. Wb. ii. 66, I9; 67, 21.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv.836. Sim. ib. 896, 9 .

[^227] Sim. Cairo 34022, 4 .
${ }^{6}$ Siut 1, 227. Sim. Sh. S. 171; Peas. Bi, 16.

[^228]
## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20038 ;
$20046,2$.
${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20046, 3.

3 See Cen., p. 83, n. 5; ÄZ. $71,48$.
${ }^{4}$ Cen. 85, 23. Sim. Urk. iv, 219, 15.16. With $s(\boldsymbol{y})$, fem., Cen. 84, 3. 16.
${ }^{5}$ Cen. 843 ( $\xi_{n t}$ in semi-enigmatic writing). Sim., but with noun following $s w, i b$. 84, 1 I.
${ }^{6}$ Cen. 84, 2. Sim. ib. 84,7 .
of an epithet equivalent to an adjective, ex. the very common $\sigma \underline{M} n b i m 3$ ' lord


For cases where the direct genitive following $n b$ is replaced by an indirect genitive see above the Add. to $\S 86$.
P. II5. At the end of § 148 , 1 add :

Of similar appearance, but of very problematic character, is a construction found in some archaic or merely archaistic texts. ${ }^{3}$ Here the 3 rd pers. pronouns m . sing. $\ddagger s w$, f. sing. $\uparrow, \rightarrow s(y)$, plur. $\uparrow y s n$ are found before the $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form, but the meaning is not future, but past or present.

Exx. $\ddagger$

防阬
There is no emphasis on the pronouns in this narrative use, and their employment at the head of the sentence seems to prohibit their identification with the Dependent Pronouns of $\S 43$. Still less is it possible to connect them with the Pronominal Compound of § I 24, this being a quite late development. Since a parallel use is found before active participles (Add. to $\S 373$, i) one might be tempted to regard them as equivalents of the Older Absolute Pronoun ( $\$ 64$ ); however, s'wt sdm.f, like $n t f$ sd $\underset{d}{ } \cdot f$, has future meaning, see p. 369, n. 16. Out of this employment probably evolved the likewise archaic or archaistic particle $\ddagger$ $s w$ of $\S 240$. Analogous also is a unique example with the Indefinite Pronoun $\$ t w(\$ 47)$ in a historical text:
 $W_{3 s t}$ it was heard (lit. one, one heard) in the palace by his father the Horus Strong-bull-arising-in-Thebes. ${ }^{7}$
P. 124. At the end of § 161 add:

As in most languages, comparable relations of time and space are in Egyptian indicated by the same simple prepositions ; see (e.g.) the uses of $m$, § 162, I. 2 ; of $h r$, § 165, I. 4. A peculiarity of these Egyptian prepositions is that their meaning is strangely vague. Thus $r$, according to the context, may mean either 'to' or 'at' or 'from'. Somewhat similarly with $m, \underline{h} r$ and $\underline{d} r$.
P. 151. Before § 20I the following new paragraph should be read:
§ 200 A. Nty in relative clauses with nominal predicate.-An example of a very rare type is
 lit. with it. ${ }^{8}$ For $h r$-ntt see $\S 223$ and for the single writing of $n t t$ in place of $n t t$ $n t t$, see § 62 A , above p. 422.
P. 266, end of $\S 349$. A unique ex. of the infinitive $t m$ as object of $w d$ 'command':
 His Majesty commanded me not to prostrate myself (lit. touch the earth with my forehead) to any official greater than me. ${ }^{1}$
P. 288. Add at end of $\S 373$, I :

In some archaic or archaistic texts the independent pronoun is replaced by the obscure 3 rd pers. pronoun discussed in the Additions to § 148 , i.

P. 289, § 374. Add after the fourth line from bottom:

The exclamatory ending $-w y$ is found also with passive participles:
 how (well-)built is thy house, O Atum, how (well-)founded thy mansion, O Ruty. ${ }^{3}$

Not quite certain are the examples alluded to on p. ro9, n. 6 with the dependent pronoun of the ist pers. sing. :
 than yesterday. ${ }^{4}$ Since this sentence involves a comparison, it is more easily so explained than by taking the three first signs as an exceptional perf. pass. participle with 逆 as determinative. ${ }^{5}$
 Palace. ${ }^{6}$ Perhaps emend $m r w t \cdot i$ 'my love was' or ${ }^{\pi} m r \cdot k w i$ 'I was loved'.
P. 294, n. 3. The first four lines of $\S 377$ require the following qualification :

It seems extremely likely that in M. E. the direct objects was felt to be a retained grammatical object, as the comparison with English suggests. Arabic analogies make it possible, however, that at the outset this objects was a grammatical subject, such a sentence as 'is given to him gold' having been transformed into 'to whom is given gold' lit. ' (he-)given is to him gold', by the addition of a gender ending linking up the passive verb-form with an antecedent implied or expressed; such is the hypothesis favoured by De Buck, in $\ddot{A} Z .59,65$, followed hesitatingly by me in Some Aspects, 23, n. 9. See further the next additional note.
P. 300 , n. 8. The divergent theory here alluded to is as follows:

In $\ddot{A} Z .59,65$ De Buck put forward a theory of the relative forms differing somewhat from that advocated in $\S 386$. He agreed that all the relative forms originated in passive participles, to which was appended, in the case of the imperfective and perfective relatives, a direct genitive (noun or suffix-pronoun) to express the subjects. It is in respect of constructions with the passive participle like $d d(w) n \cdot f n b w n h s w t$, lit. ' (one)-given to him the gold of favour', that he disagreed. As already explained in the Add. to p. 294, n. 3, Arabic analogies
${ }^{1}$ Aswân, stela temp. Sesostris I cominunicated by L. Habachi.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $219,17$. Sim. ib. 220, 1 .
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Nu}, 17,107$.
${ }^{4}$ Brit. Mus. 574, 5.
${ }^{5}$ See above p. 278, n. 3 .
${ }^{6}$ Munich 3, 17.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20556. Gunn renders both exx. of $k m 3 \cdot t i$ prospectively, see below, n. 3 .
${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20313.
${ }^{3}$ Gunn, Stud., 14 foll. It is far from easy to decide when such forms should be rendered prospectively, and some of the cases quoted in the notes p . 304 , top, are open to serious doubt.
${ }^{1}$ Gunn, Stud., 3 I.
led him to regard nbw here, not as a retained object, but as originally the subject of a sentence with a passive participle as predicate. It is true that, as Sethe had noted before him, Arabic here employs the nominative, r. it the accusative; De Buck pointed to the construction exemplified in § 374 as evidence that no argument in favour of nbw being an implicit accusative could be drawn from the use in similar cases of the dependent pronoun. De Buck is just possibly right in his contention, but if so, the evolution of the relative forms will have been more complex than is set forth in the text of this Grammar.
P. 303, n. 2 a. Further note on the fem. ending $f$ or $\$ f$ in the relative forms :

Except on the ground of meaning a relative form from an immutable verb cannot be proved to be imperfective rather than perfective unless it stands in indisputable parallelism with geminating relative forms from mutable verbs.
 $t_{3}$, innt hrp ' what heaven gives, earth creates (var. on another stela $\rangle_{\Lambda}^{\circ}{ }_{1}$ ) and the inundation brings '. ${ }^{2}$ Since the fem. ending in the imperfective relative form from mutable verbs is $t$, not $\cdot t i$ or $t y$, the same must be true of the immutable verbs, whence it may be concluded, in agreement with p. 304, top, that $f$ or 96 or "in such examples is merely a substitute for $0 \cdot t$ and has no significance except as a graphic variant. This conclusion may be extended to a whole series of relative forms from immutable verbs claimed to have prospective meaning, ${ }^{3}$ and particularly when $f^{\prime \prime \prime} d$ or $f^{\prime \mu} 80$ is found in a formula commonly associated with that quoted above, namely 0 pure things whereon a god lives'. It has been conjectured that when the scribe substituted a writing appearing to read rnh-ti he was varying the tense, and that we ought then to render 'whereon a god would live (scil., if he were in the deceased's place'). ${ }^{4}$ This has been shown above to be unnecessary, besides being contrary to all likelihood from the standpoint of sense. Such a hypothesis is also contrary to the spirit of the Semitic languages, which are very sparing in the modal distinctions favoured by Greek and Latin. It is true that in certain examples of the perfective relative form ( $\S 389,2, b$ ) we may find it appropriate to render this as '(whereon) thou mayst rest', '(what) he has to do (with it)' or the like, but the prospective or obligational sense here is probably an importation on the part of the translator, and is not inherent in the Egyptian form itself.
P. 326, n. 4. On the theory here set forth the sdm.f form will have started with transitive verbs followed by an expressed object', ex. 'heard of him is (or was) this speech'. Such an origin must necessarily be assumed also for the śdm.n•f form. It is idle to speculate exactly when and how the form was extended to intransitive verbs, but it has been seen in $\S \S 376.384$ that the conception of passives from intransitives was by no means alien to Egyptian feeling.
P. $328, \S 413$, under $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. The problem of a $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form from wnn requires closer investigation. In Late Egyptian the stem has predominantly past meaning, and there seem to be traces of this specialization of meaning at a far earlier stage. Accordingly it is even plausible that the $s$ d $d m \cdot f$ form $w n \cdot i$ may have stood in parallelism to the sddm•n•f form $m s \cdot n \cdot i$. On the other hand, the analogy of $\$=$ for $i n \cdot n \cdot f$ makes it equally possible that may be a writing of $w n \cdot n \cdot(i)$.
P. 358, n. II. Examples exist, however, where ir 'if' is followed, not by wnn,
 $s r h m$ hat t.t smb $s w$ 'if there be accusation in thy heart (lit. body), forget it'. ${ }^{1}$ Here $w_{n}$ has probably the sense of $i w w n$ 'there is' ( $\$ 107$ ), the element $i w$ being ignored altogether, as regularly in Late Egyptian, ${ }^{2}$ though a more truly Middle Egyptian procedure in such a case would have been to write ir wnn wn, convert-
 ir wn hpr mi $d d$, wnn $r n(\cdot i) n f r m n m i w t(\cdot i)$ 'if there be a happening as has been said (i. e. if the proverb just spoken be a true one), (my) good name shall endure in (my) town', ${ }^{3}$ the same explanation will hold good if $h p r$ be infinitive and the equivalent of an undefined common noun.

## ADDITION TO THIRD EDITION

P. ı89. After § 258 insert a new paragraph :
§ 258 A . The interjectional 气, later ${ }^{\circ} \| h^{4}$, is doubtless related to the interrogative Q $^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ ih 'what?' of $\S 50$. Only one ex. noted before Dyn. XIX.
 upstream of the Ruler. ${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ L. to D., Berlin bowl ; also two more less easily explained exx. on the same bowl.

2 Erm. Neuäg. Gramm. ${ }^{2}$ §§ 506 foll., where, however, the suppression of $i w$ is not pointed out.
${ }^{3}$ Proc. S.B.A. Pl. opposite p. 196, l. 16. Gramm. ${ }^{2}$, §688, Anm.
${ }^{5}$ Kamose stela, 30.

## APPENDIX A

## THE VOCALIZATION OF MIDDLE EGYPTIAN

The purpose of this book being the practical teaching of hieroglyphics on scientific lines, it has been deemed advisable to avoid the extremely difficult and hypothetical questions connected with the vocalization of the ancient language. From the very outset we have laid stress upon the fact that the vowels are not written in the hieroglyphs; the consequence of this fact is that our consonantal transliterations resemble desiccated skeletons of words far more than the living, vibrating sounds of real speech. From the transliteration ' $1 m n$ one fails altogether to realize that the god of Thebes was called Amanaa, or something like it, by the contemporaries of the Tuthmosids. This Appendix is intended partly to correct the distorted impression which our practical object has forced us to give, and partly to lead up to the discussion as to the most suitable rendering of Egyptian proper names, the subject of Appendix B.

Such knowledge as we have of the pronunciation of the older stages of Egyptian is based on the vocalized forms vouchsafed to us by Coptic, Greek, Assyrian, and Babylonian. Of these Coptic is, of course, by far the most important, being actually the old Egyptian language in its latest stage of development and written in Greek characters (§4). The disadvantage of Coptic is, however, its remoteness in time from the stages of the language upon which it is required to shed light; it would be as little legitimate to transfer the Coptic pronunciation of such a word as $\bar{o} b^{e} t$ 'goose' to the old Egyptian equivalent居 $3 p d$ as it would be to use modern English pronunciation as our authority for pronouncing Anglo-Saxon. The vowels and consonants of the older language have usually become modified in the lapse of time, so that the more recent equivalents can at best serve only as a basis for inference. A like objection applies to the Greek and Assyrian transcriptions of Egyptian words; these transcriptions are, moreover, comparatively few in number and confined mainly to proper names. Of greater value are the fully vocalized transcriptions of Egyptian names and words which occur, written in Babylonian cuneiform, on the clay tablets known as the El-Amarna letters (i4th century, B. c.) and on those constituting the archives of the Hittite capital of Boghaz Keui ( $I_{3}$ th century). ${ }^{1}$ Good examples are urusssa 'head-rest' for Eg. Px wors; kuikku 'Khoiakh vessels', i. e. vessels such as were used at the festival of the month of Khoiakh, for Eg. ЧiU for Egyptian © $\odot$ Nb-mst-Re, prenomen of Amenophis III. Probably these

Babylonian transcriptions differ only little from the contemporary Egyptian pronunciations. Hence their great interest; but here again we are handicapped by the extreme rarity of their occurrence and by their restriction to but a few classes of words.

In the main, therefore, we are thrown back upon Coptic for such positive knowledge as we can glean concerning the pronunciation of the earlier stages of the Egyptian language. Now if we examine the word-forms of the Sacidic dialect (this seems to have preserved its ancient character better than the other dialects, except in some particulars the Akhmimic), a definite system of vocalization reveals itself, of which the following are the main principles:-

Rule I. Every syllable, and consequently every word, begins with a consonant. No syllable can either begin or end with two consonants; where a word appears to begin with two consonants, a short helping vowel ${ }^{e}$ was pronounced before the first of them, which thus functions as the end of an initial closed syllable. ${ }^{1}$ Exx. ran 'name', Eg. $\quad r n$; sō-t $e^{e} m$ 'hear' (infinitive), Eg. $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{N}} s d m$;


Rule 2. Open syllables, i. e. those ending in a vowel, have their vowel long. Closed syllables, i. e. those ending in a consonant, have their vowel short. Exx.


Rule 3. Each word has only one accented syllable (tone-syllable), which may be open or closed and must be either the last or the last but one (penultimate). The subsidiary unaccented (toneless) syllables are closed and have merely the
 'destroy (inf.) him'.

It must be made perfectly clear that Coptic, taken as it stands, shows at least as many exceptions to these rules as exemplifications of them. The following words offend in different ways: $\overline{o s}$ 'call', 'read'; nūfĕ 'good'; smŏnet 'be established' (qualitative) ; eŏrw 'praise'; gĕrăģ̆̆ 'hunters'; egŏŏ́s ' Nubians'. On a close inspection, however, it will usually be found that, even where the rules are ostensibly broken, nevertheless the principles which they embody have been at work. For example, rŏ'mouth' contradicts the second rule by having a short vowel in an open syllable, while $r \bar{f} f$ ' his mouth' contradicts it by having a long vowel in a closed syllable; but it is clear that $r o \check{o}$ and $r o ̈ f$ are related in some such way as $\operatorname{sot}^{e} m$ 'hear' and $s^{\prime} t m^{e} f$ 'hear it' instanced above. Now in $s o t^{e} m$ the division of syllables is $s \bar{o}-t^{e} m$, and the first syllable, being open, demands the long vowel $\bar{o}$ according to Rule 2 above; in sơtme $f$ the addition of the suffix alters the syllable-division to söt-me $f$, whence the short vowel $\check{o}$. Conversely, rŏ 'mouth' is explicable if the original form was $r a ̆ ̀ s$; when the suffix ${ }^{\cdot} f$ was added,

[^229]the consonant 3 would be needed to begin the second syllable；the vowel $\stackrel{a}{a}$ would then fall in an open syllable and accordingly have to be lengthened to $\bar{a}$ ；thus $r \bar{f}$ would represent an original $r \frac{\hat{a}-s^{e}}{}{ }^{e} .^{1}$ Proof that ${ }^{3}$ has fallen away is impossible in this particular case，since＇mouth＇is always written ideographically．In countless examples，however，the old hieroglyphic writings at once provide an explanation for the departure of the Coptic equivalents from the rules．Thus $\bar{o} \bar{s}$ ＇call＇begins with a vowel in Coptic because that language has no means of
 syllable to loss of the final consonant $r$ ，cf．$n f r=n \bar{u} f^{e}(r)$ ；smŏnet＇be established＇has as its prototype the 3rd pers．s．fem．of the old perfective П $t$ to avoid two consonants at the end of the syllable）；eŏw＇praise＇is found to be
 ＇blood＇，Coptic snŏf；gĕrăgĕ＇hunters＇may be reconstructed as＊区区 grgyw，m．plur．imperf．act．part．from $\mathrm{grg}_{g}$＇hunt＇，the final－$\breve{\text { 匕 }}$ being the relic of an unaccented－yĕw；it looks as though the entire word must have been vocalized gerrăgyew，a form recalling the pirēl－reduplication which we are tempted to postulate for the imperfective verb－forms（ $\$ 356$ ，Obs．）．

We are now in a position to appreciate the arguments proving that $3,4 i$ ， $\ldots r$ and $w$ are not vowels，as the earlier Egyptologists supposed，but are consonantal in character．It is true that all these hieroglyphs are used to indicate vowels in the cartouches of the Graeco－Roman period；but an analogy

[^230] for this perversion of their original function has been found，${ }^{2}$ and there is the serious difficulty that vacillates between the different values $a, e, \bar{e}, o$ and $A$ between the values $a, e, o$ ．Again，if we collect the Coptic equivalents of the hieroglyphic words in which these signs occur，we find（1）that ，though written $o u$ ，is employed in a thoroughly consonantal way like $w$ or $u$ ；（2）that $\downarrow$ either is written $e i$ or $i$ and employed like consonantal $y$ ，or else disappears altogether； （3）either disappears altogether or else has changed to $e i$ ，i．e．consonantal $y$ ； （4）$-\infty$ has vanished completely．If it should be argued from ${ }^{\circ}$ 显＇load＇， Coptic $\bar{o} t^{e} p$ ，that $=\bar{o}$ ，or from $\mathbb{T}$＇skin＇，Coptic $\breve{a} n o ̆ m$ ，that $Q=\breve{a}$ ，or again from ${ }^{\circ}$＇sun＇，Coptic $r \bar{e}$ ，that $\omega=\bar{e}$ ，we could easily make rejoinder with instances which would show，upon the same lines，that is not only $\bar{o}$ ，but also $\breve{a}, \breve{e}, \bar{e}, \bar{c}, \bar{u}$ ；that $\$ is at once $\breve{a}, \breve{e}, \bar{e}, \bar{\imath}, \breve{o}$ ，and $\bar{o}$ ；that - may as easily stand for $\breve{a}, \breve{e}, \breve{o}, \bar{o}$ as for $\bar{e}$ ．To accept any such conclusions would，of course，be absurd， and it ought to be evident，without further proof，that $\&$ and $\ldots$ are not equivalent to the vowels in the Coptic words in question，but have here fallen away or become invisible．The matter is，however，settled definitely when examples of the different verbal classes in Egyptian and Coptic are compared with one another．

| 2－lit．Old writing | Coptic：Infinitive |  |  | Qualitative ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | absolute | with nom．obj． | with suffix obj． |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $k \bar{t}$ | kĕt－ | $k o t^{e} f$ | $k e \bar{t}$ |
| Q $\mathrm{c}_{\text {v ip＇count＇}}$ | op | ĕp－ | obpef | $\bar{e} p$ |
| f | $y \bar{o}$ | $y \breve{a}^{-3}$ | $y a ̆ a ̆ f ~ 3 ~$ | － |
| 3－lit． |  |  |  |  |
| －sdm＇hear＇ | $s \bar{o} t^{e} m$ | $s e t^{e} m$－ | sotmef | ［sote ${ }^{e} m$ ］ |
| 且运 $3 \underline{\text { p＇load＇}}$ | $\overline{o t} p$ | $\breve{e} t^{e} p$－ | $o \mathrm{tp}{ }^{e} f$ | $\breve{t o}^{e} p$ |
| $f^{\text {¢ }}$ 囟 rah ＇live＇ | $\bar{o} n^{e} h$ | － | － | onn $h$ |
|  | $w \bar{s}{ }^{\text {e }}$ b | － | wơs ${ }^{\text {eff }}$ | － |
| zae inf． |  |  |  |  |
| 㠶 8 msi＇bear＇ | $m \bar{s}{ }^{\text {ĕ }}$ | $m e s(t)-$ | mastef | mŏsĕ |
|  | 訁̇b̆ | － | － | ŏbĕ |
| $\cdots$－$\sim_{0}^{\circ}$ chi＇hang up＇ | $\bar{\imath}$ | est－ | $a s t^{\ell} f^{\prime}$ | $a \breve{S U}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 気 $\Delta w n i$＇pass by＇ | $w \overline{n e}$ | － | － | － |
| ${ }^{1}$ The Coptic Qualitative is the <br> ${ }^{2}$ This verb，originally zae inf <br> ${ }^{3} a \check{a}$ instead of $d$ and $\check{o}$ under the | dant of the condarily a ce of the $g$ | Perfective treate and | Lesson XXII． class． | indicated． |

Examination of the above table shows that the various Coptic verb－classes have each its own characteristic vowel，which persists unchanged，or nearly so， whatever the neighbouring radical consonants may be．There can be no doubt that the $\bar{o}$ of $\bar{o} p$ ，of $y \bar{o}$ and of $w \bar{o} \bar{s}^{y} b$ is the same $\bar{o}$ as in $k \bar{o} t$ and in $s \bar{o} t^{e} m$ ，and similarly that the $\bar{\imath}$ in $\bar{i} s e$ and winne is the same $\bar{\imath}$ as in misse．Hence we may conclude at once that $\triangle$ in $\emptyset$ is a consonantal $y$ ，and that the seen in and implicit in $\Delta$ is a consonantal $w$ ．As for the of $s t p$ ，the $\triangle$ of $i p$ and $i b i$ ， the $-r$ of $i r, r n h$ and $r k i$ ，they clearly represent consonants which either have fallen away or for some other reason fail to find expression in the corresponding Coptic verbs．

Such considerations as these warrant the conclusion that Coptic displays the ruins of a much earlier phase of Egyptian，in which the division of the syllables and the quantity of the vowels were governed by the strict rules above specified． The question now arises as to what particular phase in the history of the Egyptian language is represented by Coptic in its ruinous condition；is that phase Late Egyptian，Middle Egyptian，or Old Egyptian？The problem must be clearly understood．Coptic is，of course，the ultimate outcome of all preceding stages of Egyptian，including some prehistoric stages of which we have no precise knowledge．What we are now seeking is，however，that particular phase
of the language in which the decayed vocalic system of Coptic finds its explanation. An analogy may help to elucidate the problem : many of our great abbeychurches were preceded by Anglo-Saxon, if not by Roman, structures; yet it may be possible in a particular church to ascribe the ruinous portions alone surviving in mass beside other portions not so ruined, to the Perpendicular style of architecture, not to any other style whether earlier or later. Similarly we are able to state with some assurance that the vocalic system found in ruinous condition in Coptic belongs to a phase of the Egyptian language at least as old as Old Egyptian. In order to discover an explanation for smönet (see above) we
 rules here come into operation only when smnti was already reduced to smnt, doubtless that later form would have assumed some such vocalization as semnot, ${ }^{e}{ }^{\text {smon}}{ }^{e} t$ or sŏ̀mnet. The actual form smŏne $t$ found in Coptic demands that the final syllable $-t^{e} y$ should have been still intact at the moment when the vocalic rules exerted their influence; now since $f$ is sometimes written for simple $t$ in the fem. relative form from Dyn. XII onwards ( $\$ 387,2$ ), ex. $\left.\mathcal{f}^{-m}\right]$, and since this $f$ must be copied from the old perfective, it seems necessary to suppose that the $i$ of the ending $\cdot t i\left(\cdot t^{e} y\right)$ was lost by then, and possibly even far earlier. Similarly the short vowel in Coptic ' $h k \sigma^{\prime}$ 'hunger' must date from a time when the original $r$ of $h k r$ had not yet fallen away; but this probably occurred as early as the Old Kingdom, since $h k r$ 'hunger' and $h k$, 'rule' interchange in the Pyramid texts. ${ }^{1}$ Many nouns like nēb 'lord' betray the former presence of an ending ${ }^{-e} w\left(n \bar{e}-b^{e} w\right)$, of which hieroglyphic writing subsequent to the Old Kingdom contains no trace. Again, the Coptic hŏ' face' and the preposition hi 'upon' derived from it reveal the loss of the original end-consonant $r$ ( $h \check{o}=$ old $h_{a}^{\circ} r$; $h i=$ old $h e r, h e y$ ), whereas the corresponding form with the suffix $h r a ̆ f^{2}$ has preserved the $r$; already in the Old Kingdom $h r$ 'face' and 'upon' are consistently written without $r$, while the $r$ appears consistently in $h r \cdot f$ 'upon him'; ${ }^{3}$ that the Coptic pronunciation ${ }^{e} h r a f$ holds good of early Middle Egyptian is shown also by the isolated variant $1 \ll(\$ 272)$. Sometimes hieroglyphic writings for which no exact Coptic equivalents can be quoted tell their own tale. We have noted in $\S 78$ that the XIIth Dyn. spelling ${ }_{\square}{ }^{\circ} d p w t \cdot f$ 'his boat', when compared with $0_{0}$ at $d p t$ 'a boat', can be explained only as due to the displacement of the accent owing to the addition of the suffix; under the protection of the accent the original $w$ of the word (hypothetically dapwet) is preserved in $d^{e} p w^{e} a^{e} t^{f} f$, while it disappears in dáap ${ }^{e} t$. The Coptic laws relating to syllable-division and accentuation here found in full force doubtless originated much earlier than when first observable in our texts, so that we may fairly conclude them to go back to the Old Kingdom or even before. ${ }^{4}$

Whereas the division of the syllables and the quantity of the accented vowel can thus often be ascertained, the quality of the vowels is much more doubtful. Nevertheless, a careful comparison of the Coptic, early Greek, and Babylonian word-forms has enabled scholars to form a rough idea of the nature of the Egyptian vowels as early as the Eighteenth Dynasty. It would seem that at this period, and possibly very much earlier, Egyptian had the same vowels as classical Arabic, namely $a, i$ and $u$, each of which could be either short or long; the $e$ and $o$ vowels appear to be more recent developments. To summarize very briefly the results attained, starting with Coptic, the following statement may be made :-

Coptic $\bar{o}<{ }^{1}$ old Greek $\bar{u}<$ Babylonian $\bar{a}$. Ex. Hōr 'Horus', old Gk. -v (in A $\theta v \rho$ ' Hathor'), Bab. Hāra. Note that Coptic has kept the $\bar{u}$ of the old Gk. after $m$ and $n$, cf. $A m \bar{u} n$, Bab. $A m a \overline{n a}$, Gk. (Mı)a $\rho o v \nu$; $A n \bar{u} p$, old Gk . A $\nu o v \beta ı s$.

Coptic $\bar{e}<$ old Gk. $\bar{\imath}<$ Bab. $\bar{\imath} . ~ E x . ~ r \bar{e} ' s u n ', ~ o l d ~ G k . ~-~ \rho \iota ~(i n ~ M ~ M ~ \sigma o o \rho \iota, ~$ a month-name), Bab. rīa (e.g. in Nibmuarīa).

Coptic $\breve{o}<$ old Gk. $\breve{a}<$ Bab. $\breve{a}$. Exx. hŏte $p$ 'is pleased' in Par ${ }^{e} m h o ̆ t^{e} p$, a month-name, Bab. -katpi (in the name Amanhatpi='Imnhtp); mŏse 'is born', old Gk. $\mu a \sigma \iota$ (in the king's name A $\mu a \sigma \iota s$ ), Bab. maši (in Haramaši $=H r-m s$ ). Note that Gk. for the most part represents this old $\breve{a}$, late $\breve{o}$, by $\omega$ (ōmega), perhaps because Gk. o (omikron) had in it a tinge of $u$ which was unsuitable.

Coptic $\breve{a}$ < older $\breve{e}$ < still older $\breve{b}$. It can be shown that Akhmîmic has often preserved the quality of the vowels better than Sacidic; now Sacîdic $\breve{a}$ is $\breve{e}$ in Akhmimic, ex. S.acid. lăs 'tongue', Akhm. lüs. That $\check{\imath}$ was the earlier form of $\breve{e}$ is a matter of inference. ${ }^{2}$

Coptic ĕ sometimes at least goes back to Bab. u. Ex. mĕ'truth' (Eg. ms $t$ ), Bab. mua (in Nibmuarīa).

The summary account here given must suffice to indicate the kind of means by which the pronunciation of Middle Egyptian can occasionally be elicited. The chief authorities to be consulted are Sethe's great work on the Egyptian verb, and a much later brilliant article entitled Die Vokalisation des Ägyptischen in Zeitschr. d. deutsch. morgenl. Ges., 77 (1923), 145-207, reprinted in 1925. See too a review by G. Farina in Aegyptus, 1924, 313-25. ${ }^{3}$ Research is now beginning to take the further and still more hazardous step of comparing the vocalization of Egyptian with that of the related Semitic languages. Here too Sethe was the pioneer, see the aforementioned article. The conclusions reached by Sethe, though admittedly of a tentative character, coincide, on the whole, with those of W. F. Albright, whose brief independent study, entitled The principles of Egyptian phonological development, is printed in Recueil de Travaux, 40, 64-70.
${ }^{1}$ This symbol means 'arises from'; the reverse symbol would mean 'gives rise to'.
${ }^{2}$ Curiously paralleled in the case of Sacîdic las 'tongue' by the old Arabic lisān. In a number of cases the old Arabic confirms the earliest vocalizations which have been deduced for Egyptian words, hinting that the quality of the Egyptian vowels mayhavechanged very little in the earlier stages of the language.
${ }^{3}$ No adequate attention can be here paid to sceptical voices. Of these the ablest, that of J. Sturm (Zur Vokalverflüchtigung in der ägyptischen Sprache in WZKM 41, 43 foll., 16 I foll.), sceks only to modify, not wholly to reject, the findings of Sethe and others. The above presentation has sought rather to illustrate the method than to assert indisputable results.

## APPENDIX B

1 See GardinerWeigall, $A$ TopographicalCatalogue o) the Tombs of Thebes, London, 1913, pp. ${ }^{1} 4^{-1} 5$. On similar lines also Gardiner, The Wilbour Papyrus, III, Translation, Oxford, 1948, p. ix.

## THE TRANSCRIPTION OF EGYPTIAN PROPER NAMES ${ }^{1}$

The absence of vocalization in the hieroglyphic writing has the irritating $^{\text {a }}$ consequence that there can be no fixed norm for the transcription of proper names. Thus 泽品 $D$ hwety-htp, the owner of a famous tomb at El-Bershah, is called Tehutihetep by one scholar, Thuthotep by a second, Thothotpou by a third, Dḥutḥotpe by a fourth. Other personal names are still less recognizable;
 in Egyptological books variously as Anna, Anena, Ennē, and Ineni. In these circumstances, what line is the learner of Egyptian to adopt? This is the question to be discussed in the present Appendix.

The desirability of a uniform method of dealing with proper names is great and indisputable ; yet such uniformity is clearly unattainable. It could scarcely be demanded of the editors of widely read works like Baedeker's Egypt or Breasted's History that they should reconcile the divergent spellings with which their readers have been long familiarized, the more so since the proposed modifications would at best have only the virtue of greater consistency, not really that of greater scientific accuracy. The practice of the present writer conforms more closely to that of Baedeker than to that of Breasted; but since both are founded on sound philological method there is little to choose between them. The following pages suggest certain reasonable principles which the student may adopt, unless he prefer to accept the authority of one or other of the standard works named above.

In a few cases we can actually ascertain the contemporary pronunciation of Eighteenth Dynasty personal, divine or local names ; the El-Amarna and Boghaz Keui tablets preserve for us, written in Babylonian cuneiform, such transcriptions as Amanhatpi for 1 one of the names of Memphis. But such contemporary evidence is scanty, and the rules of vocalization deducible thence are too incomplete for us to attempt to reconstruct other names on their basis. We are unable to live up to so high a standard. The best we can attain to is the sort of pronunciation which a Greek of the Ptolemaic period might have advocated; upon this we can now and then improve a little by retaining the consonantal values which are known to have obtained in the Eighteenth Dynasty.

In the case of royal names it seems advisable (as already stated in Excursus A, pp. 75-6) to employ the actual Greek forms which have been handed down, so far as they embody the old consonantal skeletons in fairly recognizable form.

Egyptology has from its earliest days been committed to the classical royal names Menes，Cheops，and Mycerinus；${ }^{1}$ and it is，therefore，quite reasonable to add to their number Ammenemes for（4\＃2）Imn－m－hst，Sesostris for $4 \prod_{0}^{\infty-2}$

 practice with Baedeker and the German school，we must，however，make sure that we select none but authentic Greek forms，this being the only possible excuse for the employment of the Greek transcriptions with their very un－ Egyptian endings．For that reason the German choice of Thutmosis，a hybrid resting on no traditional basis，cannot be defended on the ground that it preserves the sequence of the original consonants（Dhwt－）better than the genuine Manethonian forms Tuthmosis or Tethmosis．Where royal names either do not occur in Manetho ${ }^{2}$（exx．＇Ahhotpe，Akhenaten），or else occur in that author in much distorted form（exx．Misphres $=M n-h p r-R r, S k e m i o p h r i s=S b k-n f r w-R r)$ ， we must have resort to the same kind of transcriptions（see below）as we should employ if the names in question were not royal but ordinary personal names （exx．Menkheperrē＇，Sebknofrurē厄）．

The same holds in the case of divine names．Long use makes it impossible， even if it were desirable，to abandon the classical forms Osiris，Isis，Horus， Nephthys，as well as a number of others．To these may perhaps be added some of which the Greek transcriptions have been recently discovered from the
 known as Tefnut．${ }^{3}$ Amūn is a Coptic rather than a Greek form，${ }^{4}$ but occurs in the royal name Ramesses Miamūn；for various reasons Amūn is preferable to the earlier Greek form Ammon．Sōs，Suchos and Ophois are Greek equivalents of 且，用 and originals to be really serviceable；in these cases Shu，Sobk and Wepwawet are handier renderings．In cases where no Greek forms have been preserved，we must use such transcriptions as we might employ if the names were mere personal names，exx．Nut for ${ }^{0}$ a ，Māret for

As regards place－names，the classical forms Abydus，Coptus，Thebes， Elephantine，Heracleopolis Magna，Heliopolis will as a rule serve us best，and where these fail，we may often have recourse to Arabic names，like Assiut，Aṭfịh， Denderah，Esna．When the actual site is unknown or doubtful，conventional transcriptions of the old Egyptian names must be used，like Nefrusi for


We turn now to ordinary personal names．${ }^{5}$ Of these the Greek papyri have preserved a large number complete with their vowels；${ }^{6}$ however the names in question are mainly late ones and as such do not concern us here；also the
${ }^{1}$ Cheops and My－ cerinus（see $\ddot{A} Z .5^{6}$ ， 76）are philologically poor forms，but rest on the authority of Herodotus；Manetho gives the less familiar Suphis and Men－ kheres．
${ }^{1 a}$ This is the ac． cepted Manethonian form，but there is ano－ ther，namely Ameno－ phthis，that comes closer to the original． Amenoth and Ameno－ thes are also genuine forms，though not found in any classical author．
${ }^{2}$ For Manetho see p．76，n．I．

[^231]${ }^{5}$ See J．Lieblein， Dictionnaire de noms hiéroglyphiques，Leip－ zig，1871－92；H． Ranke，Die ägypli－ schen Personennamen， Glückstadt， 1935.

[^232]modification or fusion of their component consonants often renders them unrecognizable as equivalents of their hieroglyphic originals. In transcribing Middle Egyptian personal names it is both usual and advisable to reject any actual Greek equivalents there may be in favour of more artificial dressings up of the written consonantal skeletons. In choosing the vowels to clothe these, etymology and grammar must be carefully consulted; thus Harmosě as equivalent of hieroglyphic $H r-m s$ owes its vocalization to the considerations (i) that the divine name Hōr (so Coptic ; Bab. Hāra) must be in the reduced form Hărfound in such Greek compounds as 'A $\rho \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, 'A $\rho \epsilon \nu \delta \omega \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$, and (2) that, the meaning being 'Horus is born', $m s$ is old perfective ( $\$ 322$ ) and must be given the corresponding Sacidic form mŏs̆̌. One might hesitate as to whether-mosẽ or -mosi, which is the Bohairic form, is the more suitable English rendering; we prefer -mosě, writing -ĕ to avoid its being pronounced monosyllabically; -mosi is less desirable through the danger that -i might be pronounced as in 'bite'. Note further that though Greek parallel names like 'A $\mu \omega \sigma \iota s$ (earlier 'A $\mu a \sigma \iota s$ ) use ömega, the vowel in question is not really long; on this point see above p. 433. Now it so happens that the name $H r-m s$ is recorded in the El-Amarna letters under the form Harramašsi ; why then do not we borrow from this contemporary transcription at least the vowel $a$ of -mašsi, and write Harmasi instead of Harmosě ? The answer is that as a rule we should be unable to maintain so high a standard of vocalization. It is better to content ourselves with artificial graecizing or copticizing forms.

Before pursuing further this question of vocalization, it will be well to consider the values which the Egyptian consonants ought to assume in our tran-
${ }^{1}$ For recent studies of the consonants see w. Czermak, Die Laute der ägyptischen Sprache, Vienna, 1931-4: J. Vergote, Phonetique historique de l'Égyptien, Louvain, 1945 . scriptions. ${ }^{1}$ Many of them ( $w, b, f, m, n, r, h, s, k, g, t, d$ ) present no difficulty. In scientific writing it is desirable to differentiate $\{h$ from $\square h, \Delta k$ from $a k$, though these distinctions may be ignored in more popular use. For $\Theta h, \infty$ and $ص \xi$ we should use $k h, c h$ and $s h$ respectively. The consonants $ص$ and ${ }^{2}$ are embarrassing. To use $\underline{t}$ and $\underline{d}$ would convey little meaning to the general reader, and such equivalents as $z$ and $j$ are open to various objections. No suggestions yet made seem really satisfactory; the least unsatisfactory are $t j$ for $\Rightarrow$ and $d j$ for ${ }^{2}$. These transcriptions have at least the advantage of hinting at the relationship of $ص \underline{t}$ to $\Delta t$ and of $\urcorner d$ to $\Delta d$; and $d j$, at all events, is near enough to the real pronunciation of $\}$ to pass muster. On the other hand, we must admit that to transcribe 3 兮㡀 Dhwty-ms as name of a private individual by Djeḥutmosě, while transcribing it as a royal name by Tuthmosis, must seem to the uninitiated a very strange proceeding. The semi-vowel $\downarrow$ is suitably rendered as $y$ except where we have good reason for thinking that it possessed the value of $3 ; A$ and $"$ will also be $y$. is best omitted in transcription; its
presence, as in mand Any, is sufficiently marked by the quality of the vowel employed ( $a$ instead of $e, \S 19$ ) and by the absence of any supporting initial consonant. On the other hand, - has too emphatic a sound to be ignored; its presence should be indicated by the symbol $\varsigma$, except in the most popular writing, ex. ©îl $R$ r-ms, Rarmosě, more popularly Ramosě. In compound women's names with a fem. noun as first element, the fem. ending $-t$ should be disregarded in accordance with p. 66, n. 2a, ex.

Where no etymology of a name can be given, scientific reasons for preferring one vocalization to another disappear entirely. In this case it is best to adopt that form which will most clearly recall the hieroglyphic writing. In names like
 ( $\S 60$ ) to indicate merely the consonants $\underline{T} n n$ and ' $I t .{ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ The same course is advisable also in reference to names now known to be abbreviations of others

 transcription Appaıs of the name of king Haremhab might embolden us to choose Mahi rather than Mahu, but the latter seems preferable, since it reminds us at once that the last syllable is written with the biliteral sign $-h w e$.

A very important class of personal names is that containing the names known as theophorous, i. e. compound names in which one element is the name of a deity. ${ }^{3}$ Now in Graeco-Roman transcriptions it is the rule that when such a divine name stands at the beginning of a compound, it is less heavily vocalized than when it stands independently or at the end of a compound; compare ${ }^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{A} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ with $\mathrm{M} \iota a \mu o v \nu$, $\mathrm{P} a \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s}$ with Lampares ( $=N-m 3(t-R c$ Ammenemes III). To this habit we must closely adhere; to argue from the independent form $\Theta \omega \theta$ or $\Theta \omega v \theta$ that 分蚌 must be transcribed Thothmes is to ignore a very characteristic tendency of the Egyptian language. It is probable, indeed, that down to a relatively late period such divine names were not completely bereft, at the beginning of compounds, of their characteristic vowel, but had merely shortened it ; thus we find such exceptional Greek forms as ' $A \mu \nu \nu$ - instead of ' $A \mu \in \nu$ - (from

 Hnmw- $3-n b-36 w$ 'Chnum the great, lord of Elephantine'. ${ }^{4}$ Having, however, decided to adopt a graecizing or copticizing standard for our transcriptions we shall write Amenemḥēt rather than Amonemḥēt. It should be noted, however, that we cannot always go so far in the reduction of divine names as the Greek transcriptions go; thus in Greek compounds $\mathrm{f}_{\sim}^{\infty} S b k$, Greek EovXos, often
 our principle that the full ${ }^{5}$ consonantal skeleton must be maintained we shall

${ }^{1}$ The form Anuy would better remind one of the hieroglyphs, but the well-known designation 'the papyrus of Ani' prompts the adoption of a closely similar form.
${ }^{19}$ For this reason the queen's name Hatshepsut has been rendered as Hashepsowe in this book. In the names of the goddesses Hathor and Nephthys the fem. ending has survived, but this may be an exception of very early date.
${ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ The issue between Albright and Edgerton (p. 52, n. 2) is still sub lite, and our conservative practice is dictated solely by expediency.
${ }^{2}$ See $A Z .44,87$; 57, 77; 59, 7 I.

[^233]
## - See on this point

 Sethe, Vokalisation (above p. 427), pp. 182-9.[^234]
## LIST OF HIEROGLYPHIC SIGNS

In the following pages an attempt is made to enumerate the commonest hieroglyphs found in Middle Egyptian, to determine the objects depicted by them, and to illustrate their uses. It would be easy enough to augment our list very considerably, though there might be difficulty in finding good forms of the rarer signs which would then have to be included. But such an augmentation might well do more harm than good, by unduly dispersing the student's interest, instead of concentrating it upon the signs most frequently met with. It must never be forgotten that in the eyes of the old Egyptians the hieroglyphic writing always remained a system of pictorial representation as well as a script. Hence the capricious variety exhibited in the more elaborate inscriptions. To take but one example, the sign for 'statue'運 (A 22) is apt to change sex, head-gear, dress and accoutrements according as the context or the scribe's fancy may dictate. This is the principal reason why the printing of hieroglyphic texts is so unsatisfactory. No fount of type is sufficiently rich or sufficiently adaptable to do justice to the Egyptian originals. Indeed, there is only one wholly satisfactory method of publishing hieroglyphic texts, namely reproduction in facsimile. Two possibilities here present themselves, facsimile by hand and facsimile by photography. The objection to facsimile by hand is, of course, the very laborious nature of the process. Facsimile by photography has the disadvantage that it will serve only for perfectly preserved texts. As a second-best alternative, the employment of autography is to be recommended, as in Sethe's Urkunden der 18. Dynastie and in the Brussels Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca. The printing of hieroglyphic texts in type is really suitable only for grammatical or lexicographical works, especially where the hieroglyphs are to be combined with European characters. The discussion of this question is not without a practical purpose; it aims at impressing upon the student the great desirability of a good hieroglyphic handwriting. Far too lax standards in this respect have been tolerated in the past, and one of our principal aims in creating the new fount of type here employed for the first time was to give a fresh impetus to this side of the hieroglyphic scholar's training. The forms shown in the new fount are those normally used in the tombs of the Eighteenth Dynasty, though in some cases earlier forms had to be added in order to elucidate pictorial meanings which by that time had become either modified or forgotten. The beginner may safely use our types as his models, but he must realize that copying from the actual monuments gives a knowledge of hieroglyphic writing unobtainable in any other way.

The commonest hieroglyphs received their traditional, relatively stereotyped, forms in the very earliest Dynasties. Misinterpretations and confusions may, therefore, be expected at least as far back as the time of the Pyramid-builders. Some of the objects depicted may have been obsolete at a still more remote date, exx. the three-toothed harpoon of bone $\downarrow(\mathrm{T} 20)$ and the form of mast represented by $\mathcal{H}^{(P 6)}$. In other cases it is the method of depiction, not the object itself, which had become obsolete by the time that inscriptions began to be plentiful.

Who would have guessed that ${ }^{41}$ (D 61) represents human toes? This interpretation is, however, supported by the form of that sign in the tomb of Metjen (Dyn. III), where the toe-nails are clearly marked, and is clinched by the fact that the word \#! ' ssh means 'toe'. The investigation of the pictorial meaning of the hieroglyphs is for this reason a very difficult task. But it is a task the interest of which is not confined to archaeology alone, since important lexicographical conclusions depend on the right understanding of the signs. We
 is known to depict the warp being stretched between two uprights. From th (A 34) we learn at least something of the quality of the action expressed by the stem 0 hwsi, 'pound',
 verb a colouring definitely distinct from the nearly synonymous ? d d $n d$. Without the sign $\Delta$ ( $\mathrm{M}_{44}$ ) we should not realize the idea of 'sharpness' which enters into the Egyptian conception of 'preparedness' $\int_{0}^{\square} d x d p$. It is interesting, too, to note that in contexts where an object in contemporary use is intended, the determinative employed to designate it is sometimes brought up to date, while in other employments the corresponding sign retains an archaic

 against $\simeq(\mathrm{T} 9)$, earlier $\sim$, in the verb $p \underline{d}$ 'stretch'. However, the full value of the study of the hieroglyphs will not emerge until that study is far more advanced than it is at present. We are still quite ignorant of the origin of many signs, such as $\sim\left(\mathrm{Aa}_{7}\right)$, 自 (Aa 20), and $\dagger\left(\begin{array}{ll}\text { (a 27 }\end{array}\right.$ ).

The modern craving for scientific precision, so contrary to the habit of the Egyptians themselves, has often led in the past to falsification of the actual graphic facts. Thus it has been the habit of scholars to write $b r p$ 'administrate' with $\mathcal{f}$ and $\operatorname{shm}$ 'powerful' with $\mathcal{Y}$. This particular distinction rests, as it happens, on an erroneous assumption, namely that the signs in question were originally different. But in other cases where there really was a difference, as between $\ddagger s m r$ and $\ddagger r s w$, between the rope $\gamma(s s)$ and the bag $\gamma(s s r)$, it is astonishing how often even the best scribes are guilty of confusion. Some of these confusions led in course of time to the substitution of one sign for another. Thus $\circ$ ( $\mathrm{Aa}_{2}$ ) has absorbed quite a number of different signs. Many such confusions arise through hieratic. For instance, hieratic $w$

 kind are apt to pass into hieroglyphic as well, where the reason for them is not obvious until their origin in hieratic is pointed out. Thus (M. K. hieratic $\propto$ ) constantly takes the place
 in which $\gg$ itself is a substitution for an earlier sign $<$. In copying the monuments we must resist the temptation to substitute more correct forms for those actually used. We are not entitled to impose upon the Egyptians our own scholarly preferences.

The first column of our sign-list, showing, as we have said, Eighteenth Dynasty forms, seeks to define the objects depicted in the earlier prototypes of these. Note that our heads of
classification are not，nor could they have been conveniently made，mutually exclusive．Thus we might have placed $\psi$ O 44 under F＇Parts of Mammals＇because of the horns which are one of its constituent parts，or else under R ，the class containing other religious symbols． Classes S，T，U，and V have proved especially troublesome，and signs allotted to one of them might often have been assigned equally appropriately to another or even to more than one other class．Our second column，which deals with the uses of the signs in the writing of words，sometimes necessarily employs the terms＇phonetic＇，＇ideographic＇，＇determinative＇，and ＇abbreviation＇in ways which are open to criticism．The distinction between phonetic and ideographic uses of signs is not nearly so absolute as might be supposed，see $\S 4^{2}$ ，Obs．It may even happen that a sign is phonetically used in the very name of the object from which it
 originated；nevertheless the phonetic complement $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ and the determinative $\wp$ are sufficient evidence that $\square$ is here the phonetic biliteral sign $s d$ ；the like is true of in $d b z w$ ＇floats＇．Elsewhere，as in \＆when abbreviation for htp＇favour＇（ $\mathrm{s}^{2}, \mathrm{O}_{\text {BS }}$ ），or in $s d m$ ＇hear＇or $A$ iw＇come＇，the terms ideographic and phonetic seem almost equally suitable． Again，within the domain of＇phonetic signs＇，not all are on the same footing．Save for very rare variants like $\triangle$－备 for
 whereas is freely used for $w n$ even in the words where etymological relationship is out of the question，exx．hwn＇be young＇，winh＇clothe＇．Such facts as these go to show the impossibility of a hard and fast classification of the uses of signs．Ideographic uses shade off into phonetic，and there are degrees and varieties within the two main groups of sense－sign （ideogram）and sound－sign（phonogram）．We have，on occasion，found it convenient to employ the terms＇semi－ideographic＇and＇semi－phonetic＇，as well as the term＇phonetic determinative＇ explained in §54．＇The objection to the term＇determinative＇，which is nevertheless too convenient to discard，was stated in $\S 23$ ，Obs．We shall also make frequent use of the term ＇abbreviation＇（ $\$ 55$ ），though this is open to the objection that signs so described，ex．\} hks ＇chief＇，often represent the original spelling，later amplified by the addition of phonetic and other elements，ex．个昷鼠．To sum up，the terminology adopted by us is not intended to bear too technical or too precise an interpretation．

The sign－list which follows is a Middle Egyptian one．With few exceptions it disregards all hieroglyphs that had fallen into disuse by the Eleventh Dynasty，as well as all invented after the reign of Haremhab．For this reason，the words that are quoted to illustrate the uses of signs are throughout Middle Egyptian words．It has proved impossible，however，to ignore Old Egyptian completely．We have already alluded to the earlier forms of signs which are sometimes added to the later ones in order to illustrate their original meanings．Again，it is often only some passage in the Pyramid Texts which reveals the reading of an ideogram，and we have sought everywhere to indicate the reasons，or at least one sufficient reason，for the accepted reading of each separate hieroglyph．Moreover，Old Egyptian sometimes gives the
explanation why one sign rather than another is used in the writing of a particular word. This applies especially to phonetic signs involving an $s$-sound, for Old Egyptian rigorously
 instead of ${ }^{\prime}$ is that the earlier reading of the Middle Egyptian word $s$ ' 'son' was $z z$, not $s$ s. Such facts as these have had to be taken into account.

The transliterations used in the following list call for comment in one particular. The use of brackets () is a double one. Either they imply that a consonant has to be understood which is not written, as in $8 \circ \mathfrak{G} W_{3} d(y) t$ ' $E d j o$ ', or else that a consonant which is written had disappeared from the pronunciation, as in $\|\{1$ ㅇif $\operatorname{sw(r)i}$ 'drink' ( $\$ 279$ ). When one consonant passed into another in the course of the development of the language the conservative Egyptians sometimes retained in the hieroglyphs both the earlier and the later sound-signs. This is what has happened also in 號 'what?', transliterated by us $p w-t i$ in § 497 and elsewhere; we might well, however, have written $p w-t(r) i$, indicating thereby that the earlier form $p w-t r$ had changed into $p w-t i$. It is unlikely that ambiguity will arise from this twofold employment of brackets. In some cases, as with $3 a e$ inf. verbs like ■ $\Omega$ pri, the unwritten consonant $i$ is added in the transliteration without employing brackets.

The explanations given of individual signs have been confined, as a rule, to normal uses, except where, as with 3 , an abnormal use has been found in a particularly important text. It has not, for example, been thought desirable to record wholly exceptional abbreviations, ex. $\neq$ for $i s w$. But even with this abstention the variety of employments must often appear astonishing. Sometimes this variety may be due to the fusion of signs originally distinct, as illustrated above. The diversity of employments as determinative sometimes arises from the fact that a sign may stand, not merely for the object it depicts, but also for actions performed
 (vb.); so too with $\rightarrow\left(\mathrm{T}_{30}\right)$, $-\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right)$, 射 ( $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ ). And, of course, the development of the generic determinatives ( $\$ 24$ ) out of signs of much more specific character greatly increased the range of application of the former, ex. the hieroglyph of the striking man tome to be employed where the early O . K. inscriptions particularized by showing a man in the act of sowing or one in the act of reaping

The study of the individual hieroglyphs is still in its infancy, though some admirable pioneering work has been done. The principal authorities are:-W. M. Flinders Petrie, Medum, London, 1892 ; F. Ll. Griffith, Beni Hasan, Part III, London, 1896; Id., $A$ Collection of Hieroglyphs, London, 1898; N. de G. Davies, The Mastaba of Ptahhetep and Akhethetep at Saqqareh, Part I, London, 1900; M. A. Murray, Saqqara Mastabas, Part I, London, 1905 ; A. M. Blackman, The Rock Tombs of Meir, Part II, London, 1915; A. Scharff, Ärchäologische Beiträge zur Frage der Entstehung der Hieroglyphenschrift, in Sitz. Bayr. Ak. 1942, Heft 3. An admirable synopsis of the signs employed in the earliest period will be found in Hilda Petrie, Egyptian Hieroglyphs of the First and Second Dynasties, London, 1927. Not to increase our references too greatly, we have as a rule preferred to quote less obvious sources.

The letter and number prefixed to the individual hieroglyphs in the following list are those assigned to them in the Catalogue（Oxford，1928）of the new fount of type made for the express purpose of this Grammar．Sometimes，however，the designation will seem to be out of its rightful place，exx．A 59 between A 25,26 ，Aa 23,24 between $U 35,36$ ．This is due either to the sign having been added after the publication of the Catalogue or to our desire to present it in a more appropriate position than in the first edition．

## Sect．A．Man and his Occupations

I 舀 seated man

Ideo．in $\overline{T_{1}} s(s i)^{0}$＇man＇．Ideo．or det．＇ I ＇，＇me＇in $\qquad$ B 通 wi，是通 $i n k$ ，军通 • $k w i$ ．Det．man＇s relationships or

 names，ex．早＂${ }^{-1}{ }^{2}$＇$n h w$＇＇Ankhu＇．In personal names，
 ＇Reḥưonkh＇．
${ }^{0}$ Very rarely written as $s i$ ，exx．Urk．v． 179 ；Mett． $18 . \quad{ }^{1}$ liec．9，57，n． 2.

袘纪 seated man and woman with plural strokes man with hand to mouth

3 为 $^{1}$ man sitting on heel

4造 man with arms raised （cf． $\begin{aligned} & \text { A A 30）}\end{aligned}$

5 有 $^{1}$ man hiding behind wall（Dyn．XVIII）
$6{ }^{1}$ man receiving purifica－ tion（in M．E．usually replaced by 19 D 60 ）
7 合 ${ }^{1}$ man sinking to ground from fatigue

Det．people and their occupations，exx．



 ex．T息 $m r i$＇love＇．
${ }^{1}$ Old uses，$A Z .57,73$.
Det．in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{mmi}$＇sit＇．Replaced in hieratic by \＆ $\mathrm{A}_{17}$ or $\wedge_{A^{*}} \mathrm{~A}_{17}{ }^{*}$ or even by $\mathrm{B}_{4}$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Rekh．4， 1.
Det．supplicate，ex．大昷 $d w w^{\prime}$＇adore＇；hide，exx．院孟 $\Lambda^{1}$



${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 84,15 ．Very rare before Dyn．XIX．
Ideo．in var．Pyr．- wrb ${ }^{2}$＇pure＇，＇clean＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex，D．elB． $56 . \quad$ P Pyr． 117 r ．
 （1）＝刍 ${ }^{4}$ gnn＇be soft＇．

[^235]
hnw－rite
${ }^{1} \mathrm{Ex}$, D．elB． 89 ．

9 是 man steadying basket $\square \mathrm{W}$ ıo on head

10 fin $^{1}$ man holding oar
II 答 ${ }^{1}$ man holding the ${ }^{1} b_{s}-$ sceptre \＆ $\mathrm{S}_{42}$ and crook 1 S 39 （O．K．）
12 除 soldier with bow and quiver

13 等 ${ }^{1}$ man with arms tied behind his back

14 man with blood stream－ ing from his head

 ${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 244；Peas．B і， $70 . \quad{ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 246 ．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．52，i7．

${ }^{1}$ Th．T．S．i． 37 ．
O．K．ideo．or det．in 公 var．© 瀶 ${ }^{2}$ bnms＇＇friend＇． Later replaced by 1 A 21 ．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Pata．i．4，no． $8 . \quad 2$ Saqg．Mast．i． 23.
Ideo．or det．in var．ص墅＇mś＇army＇．Det．in

 ${ }^{1}$ Ex．$P u y$ ． 30 （skrengw，
 ${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．elB． 114 ．

14＊as A 14 but blood in－ terpreted as an axe ${ }^{1}$

Use as A 14.
${ }^{1}$ Model taken from temple of Ramesses III at Medînet Habu．Probably in use far earlier．

Ideo．or det．in $\theta$ var．${ }^{1} \mathrm{br}$＇fall＇and derivatives． Abbrev．${ }^{2}$ for $\theta$ brw＇fallen（i．e．conquered） enemy＇；also ${ }^{2 a}{ }^{3}$ for $\uparrow \dot{\circ}$ gad sbrt＇overthrow＇（infinitive）．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．653， $15 . \quad 2$ Urk．iv．658，II． 3 Urk．iv．140，5．
16 man bowing down
Det．in $\sigma$ 限 $k s i$＇bow down＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B．70．

 ＇child＇．Phon．$n n i$ in $\ddagger$ 里 $\boldsymbol{m}^{2}$ Nni－nsw＇Heracleo－ polis＇．

> ' Especially in the title $h r r d n k ; p$ 'child of the harimm ', written phonetically Thebes, tomb $24 \mathrm{I}, J E A .16, \mathrm{Pl} .17,0.2$. ${ }^{2}$ From $n n(i)$ 'child', see the reference qu. on W 24.
 arms hanging down hmsi＇sit＇，${ }^{2}$ or A 17 ，ex．
${ }^{1}$ Möll．Pal．i．no．31．$\quad$ 2 P．Kah．6， $5 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Hat－Nub $18,5$.
18 child with crown of Det．child－king，exx． 4 为 ${ }^{1}$＇$i n p$＇crown－prince＇，＇royal child＇； Lower Egypt if S 3

Y男出 ${ }^{2}$ wdh＇weaned princeling＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Urk．iv．157，7．Sim．rnnt＇nursling＇（fem．），ib．361，15．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．157， 8.

A 19 bent man leaning on stick（clearly distin－ guishable from A 21 in hieratic，${ }^{1}$ not always so in hiero－ glyphic）${ }^{2}$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

 smsw（smsw）＇eldest＇；great，in varr．\＄isi，wr ＇great one＇，＇chief＇．Det．old，also in 응 tni＇old＇；
 Phon．or phon．det．$i k$（from a rare $i \xi k$＇be aged＇${ }^{3}$ ）in $\ \square \nabla^{4}{ }^{4}$ var．$\|^{\prime} /$ l $^{5}$ iky＇miner＇，＇hewer of stone＇．In M．K．hieratic $\}$ 俭 is written for $h w i, h i z$＇strike＇．${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Möll．Pal．i．nos．13－14；AZ．49，122．${ }^{2}$ Especially as wr＇chief＇．${ }^{3}$ Wb．i．34．
${ }^{4}$ Hamm．108．${ }^{5}$ Hamm．123， $3 .{ }^{6}$ See below A 25.

20 情 ${ }^{1}$ man leaning on forked stick，less senile than A $19^{2}$

Ideo．or det．in much rarer var．
 cf．Pyr．

${ }^{1}$ O．K．，Leyd．Denkm．i． 6 in $s m s w h(y) t$ ．$\quad{ }^{2}$ A sign like A 19 is used for smsw in heratic．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr． $608 . \quad$ A $Z .60,64$.


 lnms＇friend＇，here replacing an older sign 䑁 A II；in 포情 ${ }^{3}$ hnti＇statue＇replacing 通A22．In hieroglyphic俻 is often hard to distinguish from A 19 （in the word wor＇chief＇）and from 1 A 20.
${ }^{1}$ Möll．$P a l$ i．no．11；$A Z .49$ ，122．${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20245，$l$ ；20426，$k$ ．${ }^{3} P$ Puy． 20.

22 䢙 $^{1}$ statue of man with stick and $\mathrm{r}_{3}$－sceptre f S 42
 form of the sign varies according to the nature of the statue to be depicted．${ }^{2}$

Det．in 409才揞 ity＇sovereign＇．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 55.

Det．in $h w i, h i z$＇strike＇．Hence det．force，effort，exx．

 XVIII hieroglyphic is mostly replaced by - D 40 ， which either as $\omega$ or as $-\infty$ is common also in hieratic．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Urk．iv．82， 10 ；89， 7.
man striking，with left arm hanging behind back ${ }^{1}$

59
man threatening with stick
26 h．$^{1}$ man with one arm raised in invocation
$27 \Re^{1}$ man hastening with one arm raised

28 H
man with both arms raised

29 楽 $^{1}$ man upside－down
30 man with arms out－ stretched（cf．监 A 4）
$3^{1} \AA^{11}$ man with his arms stretched out behind him
$3^{2}$ 怣 $^{1}$ man dancing

33 驾 $^{1}$ man with stick and bundle or mat on shoulder
 book as a conventional transcription of the hieratic group㧺 employed in Din．XVII－XVIII papyri and also earlier in Dy．XI；${ }^{2}$ the explanation of the group is obscure．${ }^{3}$ In papyri of Din．XII＇strike＇is written 俱㾞 with a sign identical with A $19 .{ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { Möll. Pal. i. no. } 16 \text { (Hyksos period). } & { }^{2} \text { Frequent in the Coffin Texts; see } \\
\text { also a hieroglyphic equivalent Pend. i A. } & { }^{3} \text { AZ. } 44,126 ; 56,39 .
\end{array}
$$

Deft．＇drive away＇in $\uparrow$ 有 shr＇drive away＇．${ }^{1}$

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Ur. iv. } 618,7 .
$$

 Abbrev．rs in $\Omega^{-7}{ }^{3} s d m-r s '$＇servant＇，lit．＇one who hears

${ }^{1}$ Ex．Kekh．12．${ }^{2}$ Erk．iv．874，6．${ }^{3}$ Th．T．S．iii． 5 ；reading，ib．lowest register．
Cf．Per．$\downarrow$ 』ヘヘヘ ${ }^{2}$ inw＇messengers＇．Hence phon．in in 恰 in＇by＇（§ 168）．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Rekh．12．${ }^{8}$ Pr．1675，the body probably omitted for superstitious reasons．


 iss＇bald＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．82．${ }^{2}$ JEA．41，10－1．${ }^{3}$ EL．66， 9 ；cf．too sst，Wb．i． 20,15 ．
Dat．in 1 曷思 sh＇be upside down＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．$A$ medal 17 ．

 twi＇claim＇；awe，in ${ }^{\circ}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { 娟 }\end{array}{ }^{3} t r\right.$＇show respect for＇．



$$
{ }^{1} \text { Möll. Pal. ii. no. } 5 \text { (Dyn. XVIII.) } \quad{ }^{2} \text { R. } I H .240,39 \text { (Dyn. XIX). }
$$

 $h n w$＇jubilate＇．
${ }^{1}$ Möll．Pal．ii．no．6．$\quad 2$ Erk．iv．386，6．Sim．Din．XII，Bersh．ii． 2 I， 14. ${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．J4I， 1.

 deters＇，＇strangers＇．

[^236]A 34 man pounding in a Det．in heor＇pound＇，＇build＇．
A 34 man pounding in a Det．in heor＇pound＇，＇build＇．

Sign－list mortar ${ }^{1}$

35
His man building a wall
36晏最 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ straining into a vessel ${ }^{2}$
$37)^{1}$ commoner form of last
38 越 man holding necks of two emblematic animals with panther heads（Dyn．XII）
$39 \operatorname{sen}^{1}$ alternative form of last

40 畀 seated god．（Note the slightly curved beard and straight wig）

41 king．（Note uraeus on brow，straight beard， and coif）

> 1 See the picture Rekh. 12. In the accompanying text gwss is infinitive, hence the verb is $4 a$ inf.

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 765,12 ；cf． 767 ， 11 ．

${ }^{1}$ From a walking－stick formerly in the possession of N．de G．Davies．See the
picture Leyd． $\mathrm{V}_{3}=$ Denkm．ii．2．${ }^{2} A \neq 35,128 .{ }^{3}$ Cairo 20161，c 28．Sim．
Northampt．4．${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20095 ；see too $A Z .37,84$ ．

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20018，$n$ ；Leyd．V 6；see $A Z .37,82$.
 ＇Cusae＇，the modern town of El－Kaṣ̣̂yah in Upper Egypt．
${ }^{1}$ Meir ii．${ }^{17}$ ，no． $8=$ i． $2 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Meir ii．17，no． $4=$ ib．iii． 9.
${ }^{8}$ Lac．TR．20，35；see Meir i．p．1，n．3．UUrk．iv．386， 4.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Ķen．i． $44 \cdot$

 in 用 $\cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ ，色 $w i$ ，元遈 $i n k$ when a god is speaking or，in Dyn．XII，the king．${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ M．E．hieratic retains G 7 ，see $\S 63 \mathrm{~A}, 4 . \quad{ }^{2}$ References for $i$ see $\S 34$ ．
Det．king（common Dyn．XVIII），exx．$\ddagger^{\circ}$ 是 nsw＇king＇； l＇罟 $\mathrm{hm}^{\prime}$＇Majesty＇；$\sigma$ 显 $n b$＇the Lord＇（p．75）．Ideo．or det．＇I＇，＇me＇in 是 $\cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ ，是 $w i$ ，百是 $i n k$ when the king is speaking．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ References for $\boldsymbol{i}$ see $\$ 34$ ．
42 An $^{1}$ the same，but with Use as last（common Dyn．XVIII）． flagellum $\wedge$ S 45
43 king wearing crown of Ideo．or det．in $\ddagger^{\circ}$ var．nsw（ $n z w, n i$－swt $)^{1}$＇king of Upper Egypt $\left\langle S_{\text {I }}\right.$

A1 $y^{1}$ the same，but with flagellum $\wedge S_{45}$

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ As abbrev．nsw，Urk．iv．332，io．
斯 king wearing crown of Lower Egypt $\psi^{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$
46 斯 $^{1}$ the same，but with flagellum $\wedge$ S 45

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Puy．a0， 6.

A 47 利 shepherd seated and wrapped in mantle， holding a stick with appendage ${ }^{1}$

48 V
beardless man（or woman ？）holding knife（？${ }^{1}$

49 Syrian seated holding stick

50 䑕 man of rank seated on chair

51 盟 the same with flagellum AS 45

52 黛 noble squatting with flagellum $A$ S 45 （common in Dyn． XVIII）

53 mummy upright
$54 \propto$ recumbent mummy
 ＇sarcophagus＇，lit．＇lord－of－life＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．405，8．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．II3， 9.
 death，exx．$\theta_{0} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{3} h p t$＇decease＇； $\boldsymbol{A l}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{4}$ hst＇corpse＇．


For A 59 see above after A 25.

## Sect．B．Woman and her Occupations

B I seated woman

2 pregnant woman

3 会 woman giving birth

4 命 $^{1}$ combination of sign for a squatting woman （cf．B 3）with＊ F3I ${ }^{2}$

5

${ }^{1}$ woman suckling child

6

${ }^{1}$ woman seated on chair with child on lap
7 匋
queen wearing diadem and carrying flower

Det．female，exx．－ †合这 ntrt＇goddess＇；woman＇s relationships，exx．会通 sst ＇daughter＇； exx．Ios hmt＇female slave＇； her name，ex． ＇I＇，＇my＇（fem．）in $i$ has not been noted before Dyn．XIX．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Mar．Abyd．i． 25.
 pregnant＇．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { D.el B. } 49 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Urk. iv. 268, } 7 .
$$

Ideo．or det．in $\|^{1}$ var．$m s i(m s i)$＇bear＇，＇give birth＇ and the related words．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Urk. iv. 13, } 16 .
$$

Use as last．In one hieratic MS．substituted for 䧟 A $3 .{ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Brit．Mus． 566 ；Cairo $70040=$ Roeder，Naos 42．Also without arms showing，ex．Berl．$A$ AI．i．p．258，18．20．${ }^{2}$ Old exx．show the two signs almost or quite separate from one another，but with the phon．sign $m s$ placed as though it were the infant in course of being born，Urk．i．24， $15 ; 35,11 ; 36,7 .{ }^{5} J E A .3^{2}$ ， Pl．14，n．1，за．
 ${ }^{1}$ Exx．Dyn．XII，BH．i．25，79；Dyn．XVIII，D．el B． 53.

Det．＇nurse＇in щm
${ }^{1}$ Exx．L．D．iii．53；D．el B． 101.
Det．of names of queens．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Ann．42，479，from Thebes，tomb 192，temp．Amenophis III．

## Sect．C．Anthropomorphic Deities

$C_{1}$ 痈 god with sunand uraeus on head

2

3
god with head of falcon
bearing sun on head \＆ 9 and holding ＋ $\mathrm{S}_{34}$
god with head of ibis 3 G 26
god with head of ram塥 E

Ideo．or det．in ${ }^{1}$ var．期 ${ }^{1} R r$＇（the sun－god）Rē ${ }^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．ino．

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．14， 13 ．

${ }^{1}$ Bersh．i． $5 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Reading，see on G 26.

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．99，5．
$\mathrm{C}_{5}$ the same holding f Use as last． S 34
 s．4 E15

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．99，io．
7 合
god with head of Seth－Ideo．in 含 $S t \underline{\underline{h}}{ }^{1}\left(S t^{\prime}\right)$＇Seth＇．

## animal $1 / \mathrm{E} 20$

${ }^{1}$ Reading，see on E 20 ．
 feathers，upliftedarm，${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．1031， $4 \quad{ }^{2}$ Reading，see on $R 22$. and flagellum $\wedge S_{45}$
9 goddess with sun and Ideo．or det．in ${ }^{1}$ var．$H t-h r$＇Hathor＇． horns ${ }^{1}$ Sinai ${ }^{44}$ ．${ }^{2}$ Sinai 95 ．Also shown seated on chair，$i b$ ． 105.

10 角 goddess with feather Ideo．or det．in $\Rightarrow$ af var．ff Mst＇Māret＇，the goddess of on head Truth．
 ing（the sky）and \｛ M 4 on head（often also without \｛） Hence phon．he in 最 h h＇million＇，＇many＇（\＄259）．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 1390.2 The eight Heh－gods were those who held the sky aloft，see Kees，Götterglaube，p． 312 and the picture $\int E A .28, \mathrm{Pl}$ ．4．The sign for＇year＇（M 4） was added doubtless on account of the common expression hh $m$（or $n$ ）rnpwt＇a million years＇．
It may prove possible to find images of other deities used as ideo．or det．in M．K．inscriptions， but for lack of positive earlier evidence some models for the hieroglyphic fount have been taken from monuments of Dyn．XIX or later，${ }^{1}$ exx．C 12 道Amūn，C in Mont， C 18 昜 Tjanen，C 19 解 and C 20 Ptah．
${ }^{1} J E A$ ．17， 245 ．

## Sect．D．Parts of the Human Body

D I head in profile
Ideo．in ${ }_{1} t^{1}$＇head＇and 思 $t p y$＇chief＇，＇first＇．Det．head， exx．\＆ 1 d $d_{3}$＇head＇；县 $h_{3}$＇back of head＇，


 gwsw，＇fetter＇，＇bind fast＇．Possibly ${ }_{1}^{\infty}$ possessed the value $\underline{d}_{3} d_{3}$ in some cases where there is no evidence to prove it． In one M．E．story the spellings for the＇head＇of a goose，as well as in the common O．K．

## Sign－list

D I（continued）

2 우 face
$3 \pi$ hair

4 eye

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

personal name $\boldsymbol{q}^{3}$ ．Of the two words for＇head＇$d_{3} d_{3}$ alone has survived in Coptic（ $d j \bar{o}$ ，construct $d j{ }_{j}-$ ），also in the prepositions edjen，hidjen；it is impossible to say how early the latter readings are，and the values $r-t p, h r-t p$ have been provisionally retained above，p．I 35 ，top．
${ }^{1}$ Reading，PSBA．21，269．$\quad 2$ Urk．iv．7，4．s Westc．Index，p． 30.
Ideo．in $\hat{i} h r^{1}$＇face＇and derivatives．Hence phon．$h r$ ，exx．

${ }^{1}$ Reading from Coptic ho＇face＇，derivatives like hrai＇upper part＇，and phonetic use．


 empty，forlorn，exx． abbrev． $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathbb{B} \mathbb{T} g m$ ws＇found defective＇（of damaged writing or pictures）；${ }^{1}$ 直。

> 1 Eb. 18, 1; 90, 3 ; Piehl, IH. iii. 74 ; reading from BH. i. 26, 162. See too Sits. Berl. Ak. 1912, 912 .

Ideo．in ${ }_{a}^{a}$ irt＇eye＇，Gk．ipi．${ }^{1}$ Hence phon．$i r$ ，exx．a $i r i$ ＇make＇；惊青 irtt＇milk＇．Det．see，in 3 varr．3
 ＇lions＇．Early det．in other words for see，look，and in connection with other notions involving the eye，exx．
 Y ${ }^{7} r s$＇be wakeful＇；later，especially in Dyn．XVIII， mainly replaced as det．by the more specific signs $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ ， D 6，$\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{D}_{7}$ ，and $\mathbb{A} \mathrm{D}_{9}$ ．From end of Dyn．XVIII a masc．dual $\Phi$ ．．wy＇eyes＇is occasionally found，${ }^{8}$ but whether this points to the existence of a masc．word ir＇eye＇is uncertain．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { Plutarch, De Iside 10. See Rec. 17, 93. }{ }^{2} \text { Siut 1, 2.17, where the } \\
& \text { doubling indicates gemination, see Verbum i. § } 390 \text {. }{ }^{3} \text { Sh. S. } 30 \text {. }{ }^{4} \text { Sin. B } 279 \text {; } \\
& \text { Urk. iv. 19, 6. }{ }^{5} \text { Peas. B 2, 105. }{ }^{6} \text { Leb. 76. }{ }^{7} \text { Paheri 2. }{ }^{8} \text { Wb. i. 108, 1-2. }
\end{aligned}
$$

5 eye touched up with Det．actions or conditions of eye，exx．${ }^{1}{ }^{1} d g z^{\prime}$＇look＇；
paint $\square_{0}{ }^{2} s p$＇blind＇；${ }^{3} r s$＇be wakeful＇．
${ }^{1}$ Mar．Abyd．ii．30，33．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．85，6．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．960，II．
$6{ }^{1}$ later alternative to last Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Möll．Pal．ii．no．83，from Dyn．XVIII；very rare，however，as early as this．
$\mathrm{D}_{7} \rightleftharpoons$ eye with painted lower lid

8 eye enclosed in sign for land $\int \mathrm{N}_{18}$

9 eye with flowing tears
10 human eye with the markingsof a falcon's head

II $\varangle$ part of the white of the $w d s t$-eye
12 O pupil of the eye
${ }^{1} 3$ ~ eye-brow (also as part of the $w d 3 t$-eye
$14>$ the other (see D ir) part of the white of the $w d s t$-eye
15 one of the markings of the $w d_{s t}$-eye
 $(r i n)^{3}$ 'beautiful'. From the latter, phon. det. $r n$, ex. $=0$ ' ${ }^{\prime} n w(\text { ( } i n w)^{3}$ ''Ainu', a place-name, see B 8. The use as det. see etc., ex. ${ }_{0}^{\text {a }} \otimes^{\text {' }}$ ptr ' behold', is abnormal.
${ }^{1}$ BH. i. 38 . $\quad{ }^{2}$ Amarn. iii. 19. Sim. Urk. iv. 6, 11, qu. Exerc. XXXII, (a).
${ }^{5}$ For rin as the full reading cf. Semitic rain 'eye' and Eg. words qu. below, D 8, n. 1. $\quad B H$. i. 26, 175 . ${ }^{\prime}$ Siut i. 220.

Det. in © ${ }_{n w}$, ${ }^{( }(i) n w$ ' 'Ainu', the quarry at the modern
 (lime)stone of 'Ainu' was obtained. ${ }^{1}$ Hence phon. det. in二 $\mathrm{r}_{n}$ ' beautiful'. ${ }^{2}$ See too above D 7 .
${ }^{1}$ AEO. ii. $126^{*}$, following Sitz. Berl. $A k$. 1933, 864. The fall valne $r$ inn, corresponding to Semitic cain 'eye', is proved by the derivatives sin 'coat with limestone (?)', Urk. i. 20, 5 (O. K.) and ryn' 'Ainu-stone' Wb. i. 191, 4, 5 (Dyn. XX). ${ }^{2}$ Meir ii. 12, 3 ; Urk. iv. 52, 16.
 ${ }^{1}$ Rekh. 4.

Ideo. or det. in $\int^{1}$ var. $\widehat{\epsilon}^{2} w d 3 t$ 'the $w d 3 t$-eye' (or ' wedjat-eye'), i. e. 'the sound (uninjured) eye' of Horus ( $\$ 266,1$ ). $\quad{ }^{1}$ Budae, p. $56,7 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Budar, p. $3^{88}, 15$.

Sign for $\frac{1}{2}$ hekat-measure of corn ( $\left(266\right.$, I). ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Reversed in hieratic, see p. 198, n. I.
Det. in $n^{2} 0 d f d$ 'pupil' of eye. ${ }^{1}$ As part of the $w d_{s}$-eye sign for $\frac{1}{4}$ hekat-measure of corn ( $\S 266, \mathrm{I}$ ). A similar, but smaller, sign appears to have been used for from 1 to 9 hekat ( $\S 266, \mathrm{I}$ ). To be distinguished from the grain of sand。N 33 , and from the circle $\circ$, see after $Z 8$.
${ }^{1}$ Budge, 212, 13 ( $N u$ ).
Sign for $\frac{1}{8}$ hekat-measure of corn ( $\$ 266, \mathrm{r}$ ). Also $\approx$ as det. in ${ }^{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{inh}$ ' eye-brow(s)'. Det. or phon. det. in some words connected with $£$ smd ( $s m d$ ) 'eye-brow' itself not found until Greek times. ${ }^{2}$

$$
{ }^{1} \text { M. u. K. } 3,8 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Wb. iv. } 146 \text {. }
$$

Sign for $\frac{1}{16}$ hekat-measure of corn $(\$ 266,1)$.

Sign for $\frac{1}{32}$ hekat-measure of corn (§ 266,1 ).

Di6 another of the markings of the $w d s t$－eye
I 7 a markings of the $w d_{3 t}$－ eye（nos．D 15 and 16 together）
$18 Q^{1}$ ear
$190^{1}$ nose，eye and cheek

Sign for $\frac{1}{64}$ hekat－measure of corn（ $\$ 266, \mathrm{I}$ ）．

Ideo．or det．in $\Delta \hat{A}^{\circ}{ }^{1}$ var．$\hat{N o}^{2}$ tit＇figure＇，＇image＇．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Urk. iv. } 887, \quad \text { 2 } 2 . \quad \text { Urk. iv. } 53,17 .
$$

Ideo．or det．in 禹 $\theta^{2}$ msdr＇ear＇，dual $\otimes \theta^{3}$ msdrwy＇the two ears＇．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Thebes, tomb 93. }{ }^{2} \text { Amarn. vi. } 15,6 . \quad \text { B.el B. } 116 .
$$

 Det．nose，ex．导 8 ＇nose＇，＇nostril＇；smell，exx．哈 0 tpi ＇sniff＇； $1 \ddagger 0$ sn＇smell＇；face，in $B$ lnt＇face＇；joy，
 soft，kind，ex．$\Gamma^{\infty} \Omega$ sfn＇be mild＇；also in $ل \theta$ btn＇be disobedient＇；© ® $^{*} g f n$＇rebuff＇．From hnt＇face＇（see above），phon．det．and（seldom before Dyn．XIX）${ }^{2}$ phon． ．bnt，exx．${ }^{\circ}$ var． 8 lenty＇in front of＇（adj．）．Owing to similarity in hieratic $\theta$ sometimes appears in hiero－

 ＇restrain＇；the hieratic has been often transcribed wrongly in modern books．${ }^{3}$ Confusion of two different stems has contributed to the confusion of signs in words like $\leftarrow \mathrm{hnt}$ ，varr． ＇fortress＇，which are consequently hard to differentiate．${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Hier．5，no．59；Rekh． 15.
Sim．in hanrw＇prisoners＇，Cairo 20024 $=$ Musée Ägyptien i． 17.

20 寿 semi－cursive variant of Use as last，but seldom in careful sculptures or paintings． last ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Already Dyn．IV，Medum 22．Exx．Dyn．XII，Cairo 20538，ii．c 13． I 4 ．
$21 \bigcirc$ mouth（Dyn．XII rare－Ideo．in $r(r)^{1 \mathrm{am}}$＇mouth＇，Coptic rŏ．Hence phon．$r$ ．In ly vertically ${ }^{1}$ ）
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Louvre C I， 5 ；Pol．§ 33，a． 12 See above，p．429，for the original presence of 3 ． 2 BURCHARDT § 77.
（Monograms incorporating $\bigcirc$ D 21．）For $£$ see M 6．For $\frac{7}{f}$ ．see M 24 ．For see M 25 ． $22 \pi$ mouth with two strokes Ideo．in $<r w y^{1}$＇two－thirds＇（§ 265）． attached

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Reading, Clè̀re in Arch. Or. 20, } 629 .
$$

$23 \pi$ mouth with three Ideo．in $\prod^{1}$＇three－quarters＇，probable reading hmt $r w^{1}(\$ 265)$ ． strokes attached

[^237]D $24{ }^{(1)}{ }^{1}$ upper lip with teeth

25 two lips with teeth
$26 \mapsto^{1}$ liquid issuing from lips
$27 \nabla$ breast $^{0}$
 'breast'. Det. suckle, exx. $-\nabla^{3}$ snk 'suckle'; mnry ' tutor' (det. transferred from mnrt ' nurse').

${ }_{3}$ D.elB. $94 \quad{ }^{4}$ Paherer 4.
27* $\nabla$ breast (rather com- Use as last. moner shape) ${ }^{1}$
28 L arms extended so as to embrace ?

29 combination of $\mathrm{U} \mathrm{D}_{2} 8$ In $\psi{ }_{4} k_{3}$ 'soul', regarded as of divine nature. and ${ }^{\top}$ R ${ }_{12}$
$30)^{1}$ the $\operatorname{sign} \cup D 28$ with an appendage

Det. in $\mathcal{1} \| \times\left(x_{1}=\right)^{2} N h b-k z w$ ' Uniter-of-attributes', name of a mythical serpent-deity. ${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 229. Sim. Urk. iv. 459, 13. ${ }^{2}$ Mar. Karn. 33, where the appendage takes the form of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{I}$ Io. ${ }^{2} J E A .21,41$.
$31 \prod^{1}$ combination of $\oslash \mathrm{D}_{32}$ In $\uparrow$ var. \& $h m-k s$ 'servant of the $k a$ ', ' $k a$-priest'. and $\rrbracket U_{36}$
${ }^{1}$ Hier. 9, no. 165 (Bershah).
32 arms enclosing or embracing
33 armsengaged in rowing
Det. envelop, embrace, exx. $\=\Delta \$ ink 'envelop'; $\ell_{0}^{0} \bigcap$ h $p t$ 'embrace'; open arms, in $\frac{0}{6} \cap \mathrm{pg}_{3}$ 'unfold'.
Ideo. in $\underbrace{\underline{g}} \underline{\underline{h}} i^{1}$ 'row' and derivatives. Hence phon. $\underline{h} n$,

${ }^{1}$ Reading, see the varr. of $m \underline{h} n t$ ' ferry-boat', Pyr. 1223 combined with 334•
$34 \int \underset{\text { battle-axe }^{1}}{\text { arms holding shield and }}$ battle-axe ${ }^{1}$
$34^{*}[]^{1}$ O.K. form of last

${ }^{1}$ Thebes, tomb 93. Elsewhere usually shield and mace, Hier. p. 15.
${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 574. In M.K. also sometimes $\mathrm{I}_{\text {h }}$, see Sphinx 12, 108.
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Dav. Ptah. i. 5, no. 46.
 negation
 Ideo. also in var. $\rightarrow$ izety, var. Pyr. 'which not' (§202). ${ }^{3}$ Det. not know, in -hm 'be ignorant'; hence phon. det. bm , exx. 合 ${ }^{4} \mathrm{hm}$ 'shrine'; also with metathesis $m h$, in ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{5} s m b$ 'forget'.
${ }^{1}$ Palms upward, common at all periods, exx. O.K., Saqq. Mast. i. i ; M.K., Meir i. 5; Dyn. XVIII, Rekh. 2. 3. 10; bat sometimes palms down, exx. O.K., Medum 24; M.K., BH. i. 8; Dyn. XVIII, Rekh. 4. 1 5. ${ }^{2}$ Readings, Gunn, Stud.ch. 9. ${ }^{3}$ Reading JEA. 34, 27. ${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 96, 4; see on O 34. ${ }^{5}$ Mill. i, io.

36 forearm
Ideo. in © rearm', 'hand'. Hence phon. r. Also in hieratic, less often in hieroglyphic, as substitute for $\simeq \mathrm{D} 37$, $\Omega$


For $\overbrace{0}$ see D 59. For see G 20. For see G 45. For see M 27. For see O 12. For 具 see P 7. For for see Aa 22.
 holding $\triangle \mathrm{X} 8$ imperative ( $\$ 336$ ), ${ }^{1}$ whereas $\triangle$ is there common both as $(r) d i$ and as $i m i$. In M.K. and later $\square^{\circ}$ is commoner than $\triangle$ both in $r d i(\infty)$ and in $d i(\Perp)$, but tends in the imperative $i m i$ to be replaced by -D 38. In Dyn. XI sometimes replaced by $\omega \mathrm{D} 40^{1 \mathrm{ab}}$ or $\leftarrow \mathrm{D} 44$. $^{\text {1b }}$ Phon. $d$ (from di) in Lis D $D d w$ 'Busiris' ( $\$ 289, \mathrm{I}$ ); also $m i$ or merely $m$ (from imi), exx. - $\underbrace{2}{ }^{2}$ var. $\rightarrow$ A ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Kmi}^{\prime}$ Kemi', name of a queen; $\quad m k$ 'behold ' $\$ 234$ ).
${ }^{1}$ Verbum ii. §537. ${ }_{2}{ }^{\text {1a }}$ JEA. 16, 195; Coffin Texts, passim. ${ }_{3}{ }^{16}$ Cairo 20001, qu. § 327.2 Cat.d.Mon. i. p. 87, no. 44. ${ }^{2}$ MAR. Abyd. ii. 28, 30.
For see G 19.
38 forearm with hand holding a rounded loaf

In M.K. and more frequently in Dyn. XVIII det. in imi 'give' (§336). Hence phon. $m i^{1}$ and more commonly

${ }^{1}$ Evidence (but mainly with D 36 or D 37) Verbum ii. §538. ${ }^{2}$ Puy. 20. Sim. $m t n^{\prime}$ behold', Siut i. 275. In O.K., see p. 257, n. 25. 3 AZZ. 46, 140.

39 forearm with handhold- Det. offer, present, exx. \& var. hnk'present'; ing bowl 0 W 24 $d r p$ 'offer'. In Dyn. IX-XII occasionally as substitute
 $M k t-R r$ 'Mektrē'', name of a man; also for -D 36 , ex. $\sim^{3} m$-r 'in the hand of'.
${ }^{1}$ Siut 5, 5. 8; Brit. Mus. 581, vert. 19. ${ }^{2}$ D.el B. (XI) ii. 9, D. ${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20003, qu. p. 266, n. 10 .

D $40 \backsim$ forearm with hand From M.K. on tends to replace A 24, exx.
 'examine'. ${ }^{2}$ In Dyn. XI sometimes replaces - D 37, see there.
${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. 856, 4. $\quad$ E Eb. 37, 2, qu. 5444, 4, compared with ib. 36, 4 .
 hand downwards rmn 'arm', 'shoulder'; $\uparrow \downarrow^{\prime \prime}$ isby 'left'; det. various actions involving movement of arms, ${ }^{3}$ exx. $-\underline{h} m s$
 cessation of movement, exx. 区 $\boldsymbol{\|}-\mathrm{grh}$ 'cease'; $\bar{T} \longrightarrow n i$ 'reject'. From this last, phon. or phon. det. ni, exx.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{AZ}$. 34,30 . $\quad{ }^{2}$ Brit. Mas. 572 , i2. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Reason obscure in $m m i$ 'traverse'. *Reading, see G 34. ${ }^{\text {. Siut 1, } 308 . \quad \text { © Eb. 21, } 10 .}$
$42 \_$forearmas last, but with Ideo. or det. in $\beth$ var. $-m h$ 'cubit' (§ 266, 2). upper arm straight
$43 A$ forearm with hand holding flagellum $\wedge S_{45}$

44 forearm with hand holding the rb -sceptre $\dagger$ S 42

45 arm with hand holding the $n h b t$-wand ${ }^{1}$
$46 \approx$ hand

 ${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 1629. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 1797. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 1077, 9. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 60, 15.

Det. in $\boldsymbol{0}^{1}$ abbrev. $\omega^{2} \mathrm{brp}$ 'be at the head of', 'control', 'administer' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 31, 7. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cairo 20001, b 6, qu. $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{227}$.
Ideo. or det. in $\mathcal{W} \|$ var. $N^{2} d s r$, var. Pyr. ${ }^{2} \mathcal{S}^{3} d s r$, 'clear (a road)', 'be private', 'holy', and derivatives.
${ }_{3}^{1}$ See JEQ. 185 ; used as a brush (?),JEA. 32, 51. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 864, 15. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. $145^{6}$.
 Phon. $d$, from the old Semitic word $y a d$ 'hand', 4 cf. Egypt. P wdi 'put', 'push', 'emit (sound)'.
${ }^{1}$ Reading based mainly on Coptic tōre, to8t-, AZZ. 50, 91; formerly read dt, the varr. here given being regarded as distinct words. ${ }_{3}$ Pyr. 440; Siut 3, 3 . ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1703 ; Brit. Mus. 574, $18 . \quad 4 \neq A Z .50,91$.

Det. in $d r t$ 'hand' when written phonetically; see last.
${ }^{1}$ Chass. Ass. PI. 19, top, 1.6 from left.
46* ${ }^{1}$ hand letting fall drops Ideo. in ${\underset{\Delta}{2}}^{2}$ var. $\overbrace{0}{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ idt 'fragrance', O. K. var. 恪. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1} B H$. i. 17, and so always Pyr. Shown with drops, not curve, Ikhern., col. to left, and so already Petr. RT: i. 17, $26 . \quad{ }^{2}$ BH. i. 17 , cf. O.K., L. D. ii. 89 c.
${ }^{3}$ Jkhern., col. to left, the det. due to confusion with $i_{3} d t$ ' dew'. 'Pyr. ${ }^{665}, b$.

Sign－list
D $48 \backsim$ hand without thumb

49 fist
50 finger ${ }^{\circ}$
$51 \curvearrowleft$ finger horizontally
$52 \leadsto$ phallus

Ideo．in $\sigma^{1}$ varr．$\frac{\mathrm{dtI}}{\square}$ の，$\frown$ ssp＇palm＇，more strictly＇a hand－ breadth＇，a linear measure（ $\$ 266,2$ ）．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．190， 10.12 ；cf．$\ddot{A} Z .60,71$ for the reading．
Det．grasp，in
Ideo．or det．in［1 var．Pyr．$\}$－${ }^{1}$ d $d b r$＇finger＇and related words．Hence phon．$d b r$ ，ex．$\| \frac{d b r}{}$＇ 10,000 ＇（ $\$ 259$ ）．The
 ＇accurate＇；$\Rightarrow\left\|\|\right.$ mty（ $m$ tr ？${ }^{2}$ ）＇precise＇；also in derivatives of these stems．Apt to be confused in hieroglyphic texts with $\mid$ T 14，though quite distinct in hieratic．${ }^{3}$
${ }^{0}$ Not a thumb as proposed $\ddot{A} Z .73$ ，119；see Mitt．Kairo 9， $146 .{ }^{1}$ Pyr． 118. 2 Possibly two stems mty＇precise＇and mtr＇be present＇，＇witness＇are to be dis－ tinguished．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Möll．Pal．i．nos． 117 and $457 \cdot$

Ideo．or det．in $=0$ var．$\Delta_{1}^{1}$ ent＇nail＇．Det．for obscure
 on＇；$\triangle=A / d k r$＇press＇．${ }^{2}$ From the last，phon．det．$d k r$ in $\underset{\square}{8}$ abbrev．$\overbrace{00}^{3} \mathrm{dkr}(w)$＇fruit＇．As abbrev．$\underset{\ldots 0}{-}$ appears also to represent $\triangle$ ，${ }^{\circ}$ k3w＇grains（？）＇in the medical
 either replaces a nail－like notching instrument ${ }^{6}$ or more probably expresses the general notion of scratching．
${ }^{1} B H$. ii．4．${ }^{2}$ Gard．Sin．6o．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．748， 7 compared with $i b .694,5$ ； see too PSBA． $13,45^{2-3 .}$ ：Compare Eb． 87,5 with Hearst 10， 15 ． 5 KEIMER in Acta Orientalia，6， $288 . \quad$ 6 Ib．29．3．
岁紐 abbrev．
 In O．K．this sign is used of the organ and all that is characterized by it，while $\curvearrowleft \mathrm{D} 53$ expresses what issues from or is performed by it．${ }^{1}$ In M．K．the use differs somewhat and is less consistent．${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Sphinx 16，69．$\quad 2$ Sphinx 16， 186.
$53 \leadsto$ phallus with liquid For the use of $\curvearrowleft$ as contrasted with $\leadsto \mathrm{D} 52$ ，see the latter． issuing from it Det．in hnn＇phallus＇；$\rightarrow$ wsy＇urinate＇； mtwet＇poison＇；sometimes also（contrary to O．K．usage）
 regularly（contrary to O．K．usage）in 第组 var．

For $\because$ as substitute for the female organ，see on $\sigma \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ ．

D $54 \Delta$ legs walking

Ideo．in $\Delta i w^{1}$＇come＇$(\$ 289,2)$ ．Det．movement，exx．


 reads $n m t t .{ }^{2}$ For $\Delta$ combined with other signs，exx．§，穴，$\AA$ see § 58 ，I．

[^238] $\mathrm{V}_{15}$ ．For $\AA$ see $\mathrm{W}^{25}$.
 ＇cause to retreat＇； $\operatorname{\omega n}_{\infty} \omega_{0} \Lambda^{3}$ btht＇be reversed＇．

```
'1 Leb. 83. I P. Kah. 1, 8. ' Siut 1, 270.
```

Ideo．or det．in \＆var．\＆$r d$＇foot＇．Det．leg，foot，

 몽 pds＇box＇．From wert，phon．or phon．det．werr in B－$\oint_{\pi}^{\circ}$ abbrev．$\Omega_{\pi}^{\circ}$ wrrt＇district＇and its derivative title S左 wretw＇district official＇；also in S及A wer＇flee＇． From $\| \perp \Delta \Omega^{3} s b k \nmid$＇leg＇，phon．det．or phon．sblk in $\|\perp \Delta \mathbb{I}\|$ var． $\mathcal{S} \mathbb{l}^{4} s b k$＇excellent＇，＇successful＇．For some reason
 ＇gazelle＇．The group $\Omega \Delta$ is used to determine various verbs expressing movement，exx．领 $\Omega$ thi＇transgress＇； $\stackrel{\oplus}{=} \Omega \Delta^{7}$ hnd＇tread＇．

$57 \mathbb{N}$ combination of $\Omega \mathrm{D}_{56}$ Det．mutilate，in $\int \mathbb{S}^{1}$ ist＇be mutilated＇and deriva－ and $\rightarrow$ T 30
 var． 1 號 ${ }^{4}$ sisty＇cheat＇（n．）appears from the var．to be a causative．${ }^{5}$ Det．also in ⿹ㅡㅈㅜ ${ }^{\circ} n k n$＇damage＇．
${ }^{1}$ Wb．i．34．${ }^{2}$ Wb．i．35．${ }^{3}$ Peas．B 1，99．262－3．$\quad 4$ Peas．B $1,250$.
${ }^{5}$ Vog．Bauer 94．${ }^{\circ}$ Brit．Mus．574，II ；Westc．8， 16.
$58 ل^{1}$ foot
Cf．ل var．$ل^{\prime 2} b w$＇place＇，＇position＇．Hence phon．$b .^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ In Dyn．I often very low，exx．De Morgan，Recherches ii．p．235，fig． 786 ； Quibell，Hierakonpolis i．38．In M．E．usually lower than other high signs．
${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．512， $15 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Sethr，Alphabet 152.

Sign－list
$\mathrm{D}_{59} \not \mathrm{~J}^{\prime}$ combination of $\& \mathrm{D}_{58}$ Phon． rb ，ex．$\sharp>\mathrm{rb}$＇horn＇． and $-D_{3} 6$
 places earlier A 6）

For $\frac{\pi}{3}$ see $\mathrm{Si}_{13}$ ．
61 에씌 toes ${ }^{1}$
$62 \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P R P}^{1}}{ }^{1}$ less correct form of last $\begin{array}{r}\text { Use as last．} \\ (\mathrm{Dyn} . \mathrm{XVIII})\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { Rekh．} 3 .\end{array}$
$639{ }^{91}{ }^{1}$ another form of last （Dyn．XVIII）

E I ${ }^{1}$ bull

2 aggressive bull

3 calf

4 发 ${ }^{1}$ sacred hsst－cow

5 cow suckling calf

 \＄11．$m$－s $3 h t$＇in the neighbourhood of＇（ $\$ 178$ ）．
${ }^{1}$ L．D．ii． 3 （Dyn．III）．See $A Z .34,77$ and above p．439．${ }^{2}$ For $\$$ see Pyr． 959.

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 34002 （Lacau，Pl．3）＝Urk．iv．28， 8.

## Sect．E．Mammals

 $n g$＇bull＇；ीfी ＇herds＇．
${ }^{1}$ The sign is apt to vary in form according to the sex and species demanded in the particular case．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading，see p．172，n．4；but in some contexts the reading may be $i h$ or $i w$ ．
 Det．in ل號 ${ }^{2}$ sms＇fighting bull＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B． 120.
${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．2， 13.
 horned cattle＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．27．$\quad{ }^{2}$ D．el B．140，where the sign differs from the calf only slightly．

${ }^{1}$ Karnak，chapel of Hashepsowe．The sign differs considerably elsewhere，exx． Meir i． 11 ；Louvre C $14,5 . \quad{ }^{2}$ For the $z$ see Pyr． 1029.

Det．in 和级 sms＇show solicitude＇as towards child or parent．${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Wb．i．in．

E 6 horse

7 ass
Det．in $\leftrightharpoons$ r $^{\prime}$＇＇ass＇．In hieratic ${ }^{1}$ sometimes replaced by处 $\mathrm{E} 20^{2}$ 。
${ }^{1}$ The proper form，Möll．Pal．i．no．133．$\quad{ }^{2}$ References，E 20，n． 5.
 ing，form not found $i b i$＇thirst＇；$\backslash \backslash$ 觔的 $\square i b w$＇refuge＇；only rarely phon．$i b$ ， before Dyn．XIX）${ }^{1}$

Ideo．or det．var．${ }^{1}$ ssmt＇horse＇．Det．horse，in
 ＇pair＇of horses．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．652，10，qu．§ 11 \％．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．663， $10 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．697， 16.
 rwt＇flocks＇，＇goats＇；
${ }^{1}$ This later type is wrongly substituted for the earlier in many old publications． See $J E A .17,246 . \quad 2 W b$. i． $61 . \quad 3 \ddot{A} Z .37,9 \mathrm{t} . \quad 4$ Urk．iv．664，13． ${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{1}{ }_{47}$ ．
9 newborn bubalis or Phon．iw，exx．等 var．Pyr． hartebeest（Alcela－izer＇inherit＇．In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ）is used for $i^{2}{ }^{2}$ phus buselaphus），cf． below F 5，6．${ }^{\circ}$
 palaeoaegypticus）${ }^{2}$

II ${ }^{1}$ ram（O．K．form of last）Use as last．
125878
13 fry ${ }^{1}$ cat
$14 \overbrace{1}^{1}$ greyhound（slughi）
$15 \underset{\sim}{3}$ recumbent dog ${ }^{1}$
 shrine
${ }^{1}$ From the picture Sah．I；as hieroglyph，ib．17．
 $h d t$＇white flocks＇，i．e．＇sheep＇．
${ }^{1} B H$ ．iii．3，no． 35 （ $\underline{H} n m w$ ），here represented，as not uncommonly，with the beard characteristic of the male animal．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Rec．24，44；also more fully Ann． 38，297．${ }^{3}$ Menthuw．7．${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．664， 14 ．

Det．in \＆月 miw＇cat＇．
${ }^{1}$ Cf．the picture Muste egyptien i． 3.
Det．dog in the＇ ${ }^{1} D . e l B .70$ ．Cf．the picture $B H$ ．iv． 2 ．
 as sportive ideo．for the title is over the secrets＇．
${ }^{1}$ So interpreted by the Greeks，rather than as a jackal，$A Z .41,97$ ．Howeyer， the question is still disputed，see Hopfner，Der Tierkult der alten Agypter 47. See further below，E 18，n．2．${ }^{2}$ BH．i． 3 （see for reading Cairo 20539，i． 6 18）； Cairo 20457，$i$（see for reading $i b$ ．20088， c 12 $^{2}$ ）；Urk．iv．1118， 14 ．
like 4 E I 5 for $h r y$ stst＇he who is over the secrets＇．
1 Urk．iv． $1120,7$.

Sign－list
E 17 jackal ${ }^{1}$
$18{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ wolf（？）${ }^{2}$ on the stan－ dard ${ }^{\top}$ T R 12
$19{ }^{10}{ }^{1}$ O．K．form of last with protuberance（ ${ }^{3} d d^{\prime} d$ ） in front and a mace －T 3 passing through the standard 20 f．animal of Seth，perhaps a kind of pig ${ }^{1}$

21 animal of Seth recum－ bent（var．of last）
22 纽 lion
23 recumbent lion

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

Ideo．or det．in $s s b$ ，var．Pyr．لhan ${ }^{2} z 3 b$ ，＇jackal＇and related words，ex．解 $s 36$＇dignitary＇，＇worthy＇．
${ }^{1}$ The conventional rendering is here retained．The animal is depicted $B H$ ．ii． 4. The Upper Egyptian $s s b$ is，however，the Anubis nimal $\mathrm{E}_{15}(P y r .727$ ）；on the other hand，the $s ; b$ has close connections with Wepwawet，the wolf－god E 18 （Unt．iii． 8．16）．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1257.
 ＇（the wolf－god）Wepwawet＇，lit．＇opener of the ways＇， Gk．＇Oфفिı．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes tomb 100．${ }^{2}$ So interpreted by the Greeks，$\ddot{A} Z .41,97$ ，cf．their name av́kav mó̀is for the modern town of Asyût．However，Gaillard（Ann．27，33） showed that the skulls found at Asyût were either those of（ $\mathbf{r}$ ）wandering dogs（canis familiaris）or（2）crosses of this with the small Eg．jackal（canis lupaster）producing the hybrid called canis lupaster domesticus by Hilzheimer．Hence，he argues，the description of Wepwawet as a wolf is wrong．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 126 （W 187）．See Garstang，Mahâsna and Bêt Khallaf p．19；for Ydºd also $A Z .47,88$.
 Seth＇$\Sigma \eta \theta$ ；later sometimes abbreviated as $\ddagger$ 品 $\neq 7 n$ ．
 shz＇be in confusion＇．M．K．hieratic shows a strong tendency to use for $\mathrm{K} 7^{5}$ and $\$ \mathrm{E} 27 .{ }^{6}$


Det．turmoil，ex．－$n$ nsni＇storm＇，＇rage＇（vb．）．


$$
{ }^{1} \text { Urk. iv. 893, i2. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { Urk. iv. 39, r; 718, r. }
$$

Ideo．in var．Pyr．© ${ }^{1} \mathrm{rw}$＇lion＇；品只会 Rwty＇the
 rwyt＇gate（？）＇；$Q^{\infty}$ itrw＇river＇．In group－writing
 a Syrian locality；for matas see on N 35 ．Through similarity in hieratic ${ }^{6}$ is employed in words with $\rangle \mathrm{U}_{13}$ reading

${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 135 I with the lion matilated，see $A Z .51,36 . \quad{ }^{2}$ PSBA． $3^{8,}, 92$.
${ }^{8}$ Gebr．ii．12．＇Burchardt 8 80．＇Urk．iv． 784,80 ．＇See above p． 439 ．
 ${ }^{1}$ D．el B． $74 \quad$＇Urk．iv．139， 9 ．

E 25 wner $^{1}$ hippopotamus

26 物 $^{1}$ elephant

27 giraffe $(m m y)^{1}$

28 oryx
29 gazelle
$30 \overbrace{}^{1}$ ibex
 $b_{3} b$＇hippopotamus＇．
${ }^{1}$ From the picture Bull．Metr．Mus．New York，Eg．Expedition，1922－3，35－ ${ }^{2}$ Peas．B I，206． 3 Th．T．S．ii．II．${ }^{2}$ Louvre C 14，II．
 ＇Elephantine＇，a town near the First Cataract．
${ }^{1}$ Cat．d．Mon．i． 155 （Dyn．XII）．${ }^{2}$ Ib．
For unknown reason，det．$s r$ in 1 § $s r(s r)^{2}$＇foretell＇．
${ }^{1}$ Rec．38，205．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr．278．The det．of this word is confused with the Seth－ animal in M．K．hieratic，see above E 20.
Det．in Jif mshd＇oryx＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B． 140.
Det．in 『仍奶 $g h s(g h s)^{2}$＇gazelle＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B．140；cf．ib．III．$\quad{ }^{2}$ For the reading with ${ }^{\prime}$ see Dav．Ptak．ii．ig．
 ＇ibex＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B．140；cf．ib． $111 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Eb．52， $12 . \quad{ }^{8}$ Dav．Ptah．ii． 19.
 ing a cylinder seal ${ }^{1}$ and related words．Occasionally replaced by \＆S 20.
${ }^{1}$ Perhaps originated in some attribute feh characteristic of goats，with which was combined the cylinder seal $Q \mathbf{S} 20$ as det．of sense；if so，the Pyr．form of F 3，a leopard＇s head with uraeus，might provide a close parallel，see $J E A$ ． 34,14 ；$A Z .35$ ， 171 connects the word s＇h＇rank＇with Arab．saraha＇pasture freely＇．${ }_{2}$ For the reading with \＆see Pyr． 800.
 cephalus hamadryas） Det．in 台宝 ${ }^{4}$ knd＇be furious＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B． 74 （enr）．
${ }^{2}$ Varr．，see Rec．28，162；AZ．46，99．101．
${ }^{3}$ Sh．S． 165 （ib．also $g f$ ）．
${ }^{4}$ Rekh．8，37．
$33 \sin ^{1}$ monkey
Det．monkey，in 区寝 $g f$ ，var．区 4 范 $g i f$ ，＇monkey＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B． $74(g f)$.
34 desert hare（shrt）${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ BH．ii．4．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading from many Coptic equivalents，exx，wön＇open＇； wornesh＇wolf＇．

## Sect．F．Parts of Mammals

FI head of ox Replaces 细 $k_{3} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}$ in the formula of offering（p．172）and like． 2 旨 ${ }^{1}$ head of infuriated bull Det．in 気齿 $d n d$＇rage＇．
${ }^{1}$ Puy．20，where the word is written $d n d$ Cf．Pyr． 63 （dond）．
 later form of a sign $\mathrm{B}^{\circ} \odot 3 t$＇moment＇，＇attack＇． resembling of 9
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 93，chocolate coloured；see too Cairo 34，002（Lacau，Pl．3）．
${ }^{2}$ See JEA．34，${ }^{1} 3$ ，for discussion of the sign and its meanings．

## Sign－list

F 4 forepart of lion

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

 hity＇heart＇．Note Is hity－r＇prince＇．
${ }^{1}$ Reading，AZ．39， 135 ；Sphinx 13， $98 . \quad 2$ Cat．d．Mon．i．24，no． 165.
 above E 9

6 皆 ${ }^{1}$ forepart of bubalis
7 ram＇s head
$83^{1}$ forepart of ram
9 ใ head of leopard $(63)^{1}$
长 $101^{4} s_{s z w}$＇prescription＇．Sometimes incorrectly as

${ }^{1}$ Depicted BH．ii．4．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Written $\$ f$ in Dyn．V，Dav．Ptah．ii．19．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 134，8．＊P．Kah．5，20．© Sh．S．129，qu．§457．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Urk．iv．97， 7 －
Det．in $ص^{1} s f t$＇ram＇s head＇，whence also in $ص 40 \square$ var．${ }^{2}$ sfyt＇worth＇，＇dignity＇；चص ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． 183,$10 ; 623$ ，I．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． 848,5 ．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ The common form in Dyn．XVIII；but so already Louvre C 30 （M．K．）．

${ }^{1}$ See Rec．37，113；also sculpture from Abu Gurâb in Klebs，Reliefs des alten Reichs，p． 63.
 necked animal（Dyn．alsoactivities connected therewith，exx．伿通 rm ＇swallow＇； XVIII）

面是通 $n d_{3}$＇be parched＇．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 270.
$12 \xlongequal{4}$ headandneck of canine Ideo．in Pyr．$\left\{_{1}^{1}\right.$ var．$\left\{_{0}^{0^{1}}\right.$ ws $r t$＇neck＇．Hence phon．wsr animal（wsr），exx．仍 $w s r$ ，var．Pyr．行 ${ }^{2} w s r$ ，＇powerful＇；髟 ${ }^{3}$ wsr＇oar＇．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Pyr. } 286 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Pyr. 297. } \quad \text { Bestc. } 5,8 .
$$

$13 \backslash$ horns of ox
Ideo．in $\Psi_{1}$ wpt＇brow＇，＇top（of forehead）＇，＇beginning＇．${ }^{0}$
 ＇open＇；in two words reads $i p$ ，viz．Y $\times$ 通 $i p t t^{2}$＇mission＇；


0 According to DAWSON，$J E A$ ．22，106，properly the vertex or sagittal line of head；but the rendering＇brow＇seems often needed in untechnical contexts．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．92．$\quad 2$ Coptic esore；also a L．E．var．，Cerný，Late Ramesside Letters， 10，6．s Pyr． 1440 ；sim．also later，Sitz．Berl．Ak．1912， 958.
14 § combination of $\cup \mathrm{F}_{13}$ In $\Psi^{1}$ var．$\cup \int^{2}{ }^{2}$ wpt－rnpt＇New Year＇s day＇． and \｛ M 4
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．824， $9 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．26I， 8.
Use as last．

[^239]Fi6 $\downarrow$ horn

17 combination of $\mathrm{F}_{16}$ and a vase with water，cf． 18 D 60
$18 \Longleftarrow$ tusk of elephant
$19 \mathcal{V}^{1}$ lower jaw－bone of ox ${ }^{2}$
$20 \sim$ tongue of ox？

Ideo．or det．in $\Rightarrow$ 人 var．${ }^{1} d b$＇horn＇；k $\rightarrow \downarrow$ var．$\Downarrow^{2} \mathrm{rb}$＇horn＇．From this last，phon．or phon．
 with＇（§ 178 ）．
${ }^{1}$ P．med．Berl． 11 ， 12 （Dyn．XIX）$=$ Eb．48，16．${ }^{2}$ Rec．39， 11 \％．See too ib． 38，61．＇D．el B． 112 ．Sim．cbw－r＇breakfast＇，Ürk．iv．506，10，cf．ib．59，7．
In $-\int^{1}$ var． $11^{2} \mathrm{rbw}$＇purification＇．

$$
1 \text { D.alB. 63. } \quad \text { D.el B. 86, } 3 .
$$

 ＇tooth＇；also actions connected therewith，exx．으영 $p s h$＇bite＇；煺道 $s b t$＇laugh＇（influenced by $s b h^{\prime}$ cry＇？）． From ibh，phon．or phon．det．bh，exx．＝－ $6 h \mathrm{~s}$＇calf＇； リ线—禹 sbh＇cry＇．For unknown reason，${ }^{12}$ phon．det．hw in l

 ＇wonder＇，－is possibly not a tooth，but a metal spout．${ }^{3}$



${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 100．${ }^{2}$ Ann．44，313，n．I．
Ideo．in 付 $n s$＇tongue＇．Hence phon．$n s(n s)^{1}$ ，exx． $7 \ddagger$ $n(y)$－sw＇he belongs to＇（ $\$ 114,2$ ）；＂ Det．actions connected with tongue，ex．$\square_{\square} d p$ ＇taste＇．Sportive ideo．in＇T ${ }^{2}$ imy－r＇overseer＇，lit．＇one who is in the mouth＇（ $\$ 79$ ）．Sometimes confused with the abbreviated det．for death，enemy， $\boldsymbol{Z} 6$.
${ }^{1}$ For the reading with $s$ ，see $n s r^{\prime}$＇flame＇，Pyr．295．$\quad{ }^{2} A Z .40,142$ ；42， 142.
Ideo．or det．ear，exx．哨昌 0 var．$i^{1} m s d r$＇ear＇；$f f 8$ enbwy＇the two ears＇；also in actions connected with ear，
 deaf＇．Phon．or phon．det．idn（cf．Hebr．Hix＇ear＇）in
 medical papyri $i$＇leaf（of a tree）＇is to be read $\underline{d} r d$ ，cf． the late var． 8 ㄱ013；there too on ${ }^{4} \mathrm{sdm}$（Pyr． $5 d m$ ） ＇paint＇（eyebrows），after $\operatorname{sd} d m$＇hear＇had become sdm．
${ }^{1}$ Eb．92， 5 compared with 92，3．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．${ }^{1461 .} \quad{ }^{3}$ See P．med．Berl． vs．3， 7 （ed．Wreszinski，p．48）compared with Eb．62， 20.1 Eb．59， 10 ．

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

F 22 hind-quarters of lion or leopard

Ideo. in $\otimes^{\prime \prime \prime}$ phwy 'hind-quarters', 'end'; hence phon.
 'strength'. Det. in $D^{2} k f_{3}$ 'bottom' (of vase, etc.);
 'trusty'. Also det. in EDD ©rt 'hind-quarters'.
${ }^{1}$ Berl. AII. i. p. 257, 8. ${ }^{2}$ Eb. 54, 22. ${ }^{3}$ Pt.433. ${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20266, b8; 20399.
$23 \sim \sim^{1}$ foreleg of ox (thus always in hieratic)
$24 \approx^{1}$ the same reversed

25 leg and hoof of ox ${ }^{1}$

26 shin of a goat ${ }^{1}$

27 T cow's skin ${ }^{0}$

29 cow's skin pierced by an arrow


${ }^{1}$ Möll. Pal. i. 164. Sim. Five Th. T. 3. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 20, 89, cf. Griff. Stud. 373.
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Common in hieroglyphic at all periods ; exx. O.K., Capart, Rue 98. 100; M.K.,
Meir ii. 2 ; iii. 21 ; Dyn. XVIII, Five Th. T. 4.
Ideo. in $\rrbracket_{1}^{\infty}$ whmt 'hoof' of ox. ${ }^{2}$ By transference to donkey, semi-ideo. in $\mathbb{S}^{3}$ abbrev. \&whm( $t$ ? ) 'hoof', figuratively for 'asses'. ${ }^{4}$ Hence phon. whm in whm 'repeat', O. K. var. ${ }^{5},{ }^{5}$ and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ Keimer, $A n n .44,311 .{ }_{3}^{2}$ Of ox, Onom. Ram. 281 in $A E O$ i. 16 (read whint for whmt). ${ }^{3}$ Dend. II, top right. ${ }^{4}$ Rec. 38, 61. ${ }^{5}$ Jequier, Les Pyramides des reines Neit et Apouit, Pl. 13, $382=$ Pyr. $1622, b$; pointed out as correction of accepted reading. whm (see Rec. 24, 189) in Wb. Belegstellen to i. 340, 1 .
 exx.
${ }^{1}$ Montet p. $316 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Petrie, Deshasheh $21 . \quad 3$ Eb. 40, 2.
'Reading, Pyr. 334, variants of mhnt 'ferry-boat'.
 'skin', 'rug'; mammals generally, exx. ${ }^{2} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ wns

${ }^{0}$ See the markings above, Pl. 1, top. $\quad{ }^{1}$ Westc. $12,5 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Munich 3, 21
${ }^{3}$ I'eas. R 15.

This form is regular as ideo. dappled in $\uparrow^{1}{ }^{1}$ var. $\uparrow \uparrow \| \beta_{1111^{2}}^{\infty}$ ssb sywt ' variegated of feathers', epithet of the solar Horus, cf. Pyr. $\uparrow \uparrow \rrbracket^{3} s^{\prime} ; b$ 'variegated'. Sometimes replaces $\ddagger ; b$

${ }^{1}$ Exx. with winged disk, D. el B. 96 ; flying falcon, ib. 93. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 826, $9=$ Rec. 1, 70. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1211 ; cf. the common O.K. man's name Śsbw, exx. Dyn. I, De Morgan, Recherches, ii. p. 235, fig. 786; Dyn. V, Urk. i. 82, 8.
${ }^{4}$ Lac. Sarc. i. 184 (collated); Mar. Abyd. ii. 22.
Ideo. or det. in $\prod_{0}^{-7}$ var. $\prod^{-0}$ sti ( $\left.s t i\right)^{1}$ 'pierce' and deriva-
 in spite of the fact that Pyr. write this name $\left\|_{\square}\right\|_{\circ}^{\overbrace{3}}{ }^{3} t i t$ with $\underline{t}$ instead of $t$.

[^240]$\mathbf{F}_{30}$ water－skin

日偂 ${ }^{4}$ wssd＇address＇，＇question＇．
${ }^{1}$ Rec．II，IIgicf．Petrif，Deshasheh ig，O．K．ex．with det．waterskin．
${ }^{2}$ Rekh．2，1．${ }^{8}$ Pyr．1030．${ }^{4}$ Brit．Mus．574， 3.
31 光 three foxes＇skins tied together ${ }^{1}$

32 animal＇s belly showing teats and tail ${ }^{1}$
$33 \nabla^{1}$ tail

34 heart
$\dagger$ heart and windpipe ${ }^{1}$

36 lung and windpipe ${ }^{1}$

37 冊有 backbone and ribs
$38 \mathrm{Hm}^{1}$ alternative to last （Dyn．XVIII）
39 侯 backbone with spinal cord ${ }^{1}$ issuing from it

40 囬 portion of backbone with spinal cord issu－ ing at both ends

Cf．$\|^{2} m s t$＇apron of foxes＇skins＇．Hence phon．$m s(m s)$ ， exx．粡 msi，var．Pyr．肺 ${ }^{3}$ msi，＇give birth＇；

${ }^{1}$ Bibliography，JÉq．93．${ }^{2}$ Lac．Sarc．ii．163．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．1466．${ }^{4}$ Eb．33， 3.
Ideo．in ${ }_{\alpha}^{\infty} \underline{h t}$＇belly＇，＇body＇．Hence phon．h．${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Medum，Pl．I2，with p． 30 ＇Serte，Alphabet 155.
Det．in $\| s d(s d)^{2}$＇tail＇．Hence phon．or phon．det．$s d$ ， ex．flill var．$\rangle_{{ }^{\circ}}^{Q^{3}}$ sdty，a title．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 93 ．${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $1302 . \quad{ }^{s}$ Thebes，tomb 93 ．
 ${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 3 II．
 ＇good＇and related words．
 $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha i \nu \in ⿺$, Horapollo，Hieroglyphica，2，4．$\quad 2$ Cairo 2001 ；cf．also Copt．nūfe ＇good＇．
Cf． $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ sms＇lung＇．Hence phon．or phon．det．$s m m^{(2 m s)}$


 psd＇back＇．By confusion with M M 21，phon．det．$s m$ in阳些 ${ }^{4}$ sm＇succour＇．
${ }^{1}$ BH．i．25，34．${ }^{2}$ Sin．B141．${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．947， $15 . \quad$ Brit．Mus． 5 81 ； Leyd．V 4， 9 ；rather different，Menthuw．II．
Det．in 은 $p s d^{\prime}$＇back＇．
${ }^{1}$ Also with four ribs，ex．Cairo 34010，in（LacAv，Pl．7）$=$ Urk．iv．614，7．

 ＇back＇．
${ }^{1}$ So Dawson，JEA．22，107；Schäfer had suggested＇marrow＇，see Möll．Pal．i． p．r6，n．1．${ }_{2} A Z .47$, 126．${ }^{3}$ Dyn．XVIII，Urk．iv．373，9；O．K．，Pyr． 517.

Ideo．（？）in $m$ mi＇stretch out＇，＇be long＇．Possibly hence
 ficence＇．

[^241]Sign-list
$\mathrm{F}_{4}$ vertebrae conventionally depicted
$42 \Leftrightarrow r i b$
$43 \nabla^{1}$ ribs of beef

44 leg-bone with adjoining meat (two different, seldom distinguishable, signs)
 for blood' from an old sign ${\equiv \underline{\left.\right|^{3}}}^{3}$ depicting stalks of flax tied together and the bolls cut off. ${ }^{4}$
 763. 1212. ${ }^{4}$ See the scene Paheri 3 .

Ideo. or det. in ${\underset{\sim}{0}}^{1}$ var. $\overbrace{\infty}^{0} \infty^{2} s p r(s p r)$ 'rib'. ${ }^{3}$ Hence phon. $\operatorname{spr}(s p r)$ in $\Delta s p r$ 'approach' and derivatives. Similar signs with which $\sim$ is liable to be confused are - D 24 , $\sim \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}$, and $\sim \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} 2}$.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { P. Boul. xi. vs. 8. } \quad \text { S Siut } \mathrm{I}, 30 . \quad{ }^{3} \text { Pyr. 81. }
$$

Det. in $\mathrm{R}_{0} \hat{\mathrm{O}}^{2}$ spht 'ribs of beef'.
${ }^{1}$ Meir iii. 25 ; see the picture ib. i. 1o. ${ }^{2}$ Meir iii. 2I.
(I) Det. in ${ }^{2}$ izer ${ }^{1}$ 'thigh (of beef)', 'femur'; ${ }^{2}$ hence
 izort 'heritage'. (2) Det. in $\ddagger \hat{\theta}^{4}$ swt (swt) 'leg of beef', 'tibia'; ${ }^{2}$ hence phon. isw ( $i s s w$ ) in var.

${ }^{1}$ Siut ${ }_{1}, 276$; cf. Pyr. 1546. ${ }^{2}$ See Lortet-Gaillard, La faune momifiée, p. ix.
${ }^{3}$ BH. i. 32. ${ }^{2}$ D.el B. 107. 110 ; cf. Pyr. 64 © References, p. 132, top.
${ }^{6}$ Urk. i. 2, 8.
Ideo. or det. in ${ }_{\square}^{\circ}{ }^{\rho} 2$ var. ${ }^{9} จ^{3}$ idt (? $)^{4}$ ' vulva', ' cow'.
${ }_{2}{ }_{2}$ PSBA. 21, 277; verified together with Griffith in an Oxford laboratory.
${ }^{2}$ P. Kal. 5, 2. ${ }^{3} E b .96,5$. For this reading, not $l \mathrm{lmt}$, see on $\cup \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{I}$.
Ideo. in $\Delta \mathbb{S}^{e^{2}}{ }^{2} k 3$ 'intestine'; hence semi-ideo. in $\Delta \mathbb{A}$ ل $m$ - $k 3 b$ ' in the midst of ' $(\$ 178)$; $\Delta y^{\rho} k(3) b$ 'double'. Ideo. and later phon. also in 'go round' and derivatives; $\downarrow^{m=\prime}$ var. $\triangleq \wedge$ dbn 'go round' and derivatives. Det. (from Dyn. XII) in $\dagger \downarrow \Delta$ $w d b$, var. $-\int^{3} w d b$, 'turn' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ That this, rather than any of the forms $\mathrm{F}_{47-49 \text {, is the correct form is shown }}$
by its frequency in good hieroglyphic texts and by the hieratic evidence, see Möll.
Pal. i. no. 183. Hieroglyphic exx. : m-ksb, Paheri 9, 11 ; phr, O.K., Gemn. i. II ;
M.K., Cat. d. Mon. i. 155 ; Dyn. XVIII, D. el B. 62. 154 ; Paheri 9, 7; dbn
'deben-weight', O.K., Berl. AI. i. 72 (no. 803z) ; Saqq. Mast. i. 2; Dyn. XVIII,
Puy. 36; Northampt. 1, 21 ; wd $d b$ 'cloth (?)', D. el B. 109; wd $b$ 'shore', Paheri
9, 24. ${ }^{2}$ Eb. 42, $12 . \quad 3$ Sinai 139, 10.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Varies with F 46 for $p h r$ in Pyr. ; Urk. iv. 270,7 ; D.el B. 10.45 ; dbn, D.el B. 81. ${ }^{2}$ Ex. phr, D.el B. II. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Regularly for dbn 'deben-weight' in the Annals of Tuthmosis III, exx. Urk. iv. 699. 718. 733; contrast phr, Urk. iv. 655, 9. 14. Exceptionally also phr, Rekh. 3, 21. An wdib, Amarn. iii. 20. Probably never in $k_{3} b, p h r$, or $d b n$.
 and $\dagger$ S $29 \quad{ }^{1}$ Rhind，title．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Weile，Decr，P1．4， 1 ．
5I $O$ piece of flesh（also Det．limb，flesh，exx． $\overrightarrow{\Delta 0} \mathrm{rt}$＇limb＇；for he＇flesh＇；parts
 mist＇liver＇；meat，ex．4 ${ }^{2}$－iwf＇meat＇．As abbrev． $\mathbf{o}^{1}$
 ＇vagina＇．Possibly a different sign is 0 ＇as phon．is or ws in D．${ }^{25} 3$ st＇Isis＇and D䑕 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Wsir}^{2}$＇Osiris＇，writings found on the M．K．coffins for some superstitious reasons； the former has as rare variant $\sqrt{10} 0^{-7}$ In Dyn．XIX or before 0 changes into the egg 0 H 8 and subsequently 0 becomes a generic det．for goddesses．
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 959, $2 .{ }^{2}$ Ib. $9 .{ }^{3}$ Eb. 94, 5. 8, cf. 93, 21. ${ }^{4}$ Note the position.
It has been proposed to derive this phonetic sign from $l s w$ 'testicle' ( $W$. i. i. i3) , see
Northampt. p. $9^{*}$; another possibility is that it is an adaptation of the hieratic
sign for 'son' ( $(s)$ ), which is likewise later shown in hieroglyphic as the egg; see on H 8 .
${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. 2, 81 ; Lac. Sarc. ii. p. r29. ' AZ.46, 94 ' Petrie, Gizeh
and Rifeh 13 F ; Capart, Recueil de Monuments i. 20.
$52 ठ^{1}$ excrement（Pyr．）Det．in Pyr．财 $h s$＇excrement＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．127．Later replaced，first by if $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{2}$ and then by $D$ Aa 2.

## Sect．G．Birds

$\mathrm{GI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ Egyptian vulture（Neo－Ideo．in Pyr．${ }^{2}$ ；＇vulture＇；hence phon．3．Often indis－ phron percnopterus）${ }^{1}$ tinguishable from（tyw）G 4.
${ }^{1}$ Hier．p．19．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1303 ；sim．ib．1729．In the more general sense＇bird＇， Lourre C 14， 10.

2
 two vultures G I as Phon．33，ex．mis＇see＇． monogram
 and $>\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}$
${ }^{1}$ the long－legged buzzard （Buteo ferox）

Phon．tyw，exx．7』 異，hrtyw－ntr＇necropolis workmen＇； $\$ \Lambda-\dot{i} \cdot \operatorname{tywn}(y)$＇welcome ye！＇（\＄313）．Reading，see §79．Often indistinguishable from（3）G I．${ }^{2}$

1 A brown bird，with head rounded and breast more prominent than in GI，see Hier．I，no．I ；cf．Shelley，Birds of Egypt，Pl．IX．${ }^{2}$ Ex．Cairo 20046，qu．§ 488.

G 6 falcon with flagellum $\wedge$ S 45
7 falcon of Horus on the standard or $\mathrm{R}_{12}$

${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 159 , 13 .
Det. in the O.K. writing $\$ \$^{1} \dot{H} r(w)$ 'Horus'. Hence. in O.K. and later often archaistically det. of gods, ex.
 So too regularly in hieratic, while hieroglyphic prefers 유 A 40. Also ideo. in pronouns of ist pers. sing. when the king is speaking, exx. $\$^{2} \cdot i, \$^{3} w i^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ ', 'me'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. i. 132, 3. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See p. 39, n. 3. ${ }^{3}$ Urk.iv. 158, 16.
Ideo. for the god of the XIIth nome of Upper Egypt, whose name has been inferred from somewhat complicated data to read 'nty ' 'Anty', meaning perhaps literally 'he with the claw(s)'. This god occurs also in other parts of Upper Egypt, particularly in the XVIIIth nome, where his name was possibly read differently. Closely connected was also a biune god 'ntywy ' 'Antywey' worshipped in the Xth nome and elsewhere, whom the Greeks equated with their mythical giant Antaeus. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ For the sources of these forms see $J E A .17,246 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Full discussions in $A E O$. ii., see the Index P. 317 under 'Anty and 'Antywey.

For as old symbol of the West, see R i3. For see O io.

8 falcon of Horus on the sign for gold $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{I} 2$

9falcon of Horus bearing the sun $\odot \mathrm{N}_{5}$ on head
 sacred bark -

In title of the king \& $H r$ (or bik?) $n n b w$ 'Horus (or falcon ?) of gold '. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ See p. 73 above.
$\ln { }^{1} R r-H r-3 h t y$ ' (the composite god) Rér-Harakhti'
${ }^{1}$ In cartouche of the Aten, Sexte, Göttinger Nachrichten, 921,109, n. 1, cf. Urk. iv. 144-5. The sun behind the falcon of Horus in royal titularics was perhaps not read, cf. Urk.iv. 2 II, 15 with ib. 4 .

Det. in ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} S k r$ ( $Z k r$ ) '(the god) Sokar'. ${ }^{2 a}$ Also det. in $\left\{\sum_{0}{ }^{3} h n w\right.$ ' the h $n$ ww-bark (of Sokar)'.
${ }^{1}$ Leyd. Denkm. i. 17 ( $Z k r$ ). $\quad 2$ Dend. 8 ; Budge, p. 38, 13. ${ }^{2 a}$ The often used Sokaris appears to be a spurious classical form; it is doubtful whether $\sum \omega \chi$ á $\rho \eta$ s as personal name is derived from that of the god, see $A E O$. ii. $124 .{ }^{*} \quad{ }^{3} \mathrm{NAV}$. ch. 1, 21. Sim. Pyr 138 .

Det. in $\triangle$ rsm (also chm, rhm) 'divine image'; also in

${ }^{1}$ Urk.iv. 6ı2, 4.
Like $\_\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{II}}$, det. in $-\widehat{\sin }$ rhm 'divine image'. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Brugsch, Thes. 1078 .
 with the double Horus of Nekhen＇，i．e．of Hieraconpolis．Dit．in $\triangle \mathbb{R}^{3}$ plumes $\square \mathrm{S}_{9}$ Spdw（Śpdw）＇＇（the god）Sold＇．
${ }^{1}$ Erk．iv．130，12；134，4．${ }^{2}$ Pr．295．${ }^{8}$ Sinai 11 5．${ }^{4}$ Reading，see Pr． 1534 ；Lac．TR．20，14－15．

14 vulture（Gyps fulvous；Dit．in Per．$\square^{1} n r t$＇vulture＇；hence phon．dent．$n r$ ，ex． cf． $8 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ） meet＇mother＇，Copt．main；hence phon．$m t$ ；ext． A $^{2}{ }^{2}$ rhet＇river－bank＇，${ }^{3} \mathrm{mtn}$＇road＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pr．in is．${ }^{\text {la }}$ Div．Ptah．i．19．${ }^{2}$ Peas．K 57 ．${ }^{3}$ Sit I， 230 ．

15 vulture with flagellum AS 45（Dyn．XVIII）

Idea．in ${ }^{1} M w t^{\prime}$（the goddess）Mut＇．
${ }^{1}$ Erk．iv． $413,16$.

Nekhbet and the cobra－${ }^{1}$ See p． 73 for the reading and interpretation． goddess Edjō on baskets $\square$ V 30


Cf．Coptic roy $\lambda$ dx＇owl＇．${ }^{2}$ Phon．$m$ ．
${ }^{1}$ According to Keimer the hieroglyphs show several members of the family of Strigidae．Newberry states that the sign as here printed depicts the Barn owl （Typo alba alba）．$\quad 2$ SETHE，Alphabet 153 ．

Phon．mm，ex．${ }^{1} \mathrm{tmm}$＇not having been＇．In Dy． XVIII seems to be used for in＇therein＇（\＄205）．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B． 76 ．
Phon．$m$（originally $m i$ ），ex．路 $m h y$＇be neglectful＇．
See $\simeq$ D 37 and $\simeq$ D 38 ． and $\hookrightarrow$ D 37 （Dy． XVIII）

20 combination of $\mathrm{GI}_{7}$ and $-\mathrm{D}_{36}$（Dyn． XVIII）

21 Sennâr guinea－fowl （Numidia m．medea－ gris）${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}}$
$22{ }^{1}$ hoopoe（Upupa epos）

Use as last．

Ido．in $\left.\right|^{2} n h$＇the $n h$－bird＇．Phon．$n h$ ，ext．色通 $n h i$ ＇pray＇； $\mathfrak{R}$ this sign to G I or G $43{ }^{3}{ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Exp．O．K．，Möll．Pal．i．no．229；Dyne．XVIII，Rekh．2， $12 . \quad{ }^{12}$ JEA．26， 79；earlier also $A n n .38,253.689 . \quad 2$ BUDGE，p．397， $12 . \quad{ }^{3}$ For the latter see $J E A$ ． $26,80, \mathrm{n} .1$ and above p． $36 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n} .3$ ．

Phon．$\underline{d} b$ in $\int_{\square}^{\Delta_{0}^{1}}$ var．Syr．${ }^{2} \square^{2} d b t$ ，var．N．K．$\rightarrow \downarrow_{\square}^{\circ} d b t$ ， ＇brick＇．

[^242]Sign－list
$\mathbf{G}_{23}{ }^{1}$ lapwing（Vanellus cri－

24 分 lapwing with wings twisted round one another ${ }^{1}$
25 每 $^{1}$ crested ibis（Ibis coma－ ta）

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

 ＇common folk＇．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Plah．i．18，no．410，with p．20．See too the picture Th．T．S．i．frontispiece．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Ann．26，186；AEO．i．101＊．

Ideo．or semi－ideo．in $\$^{2}$ var．${ }^{3}$ ，spirit＇，＇spirit－like nature＇．Hence semi－phon．ih in sh＇be glorious＇， ＇beneficial＇and derivatives．

26 3 sacred ibis（Ibis reli－ giosa）on thestandard T R 12
26＊sacred ibis
27 flamingo（Phoenico－ pterus roseus）${ }^{1}$

28 black ibis（Plegadis falcinellus）${ }^{0}$

29 jabiru（Ephippiorhyn－ chus senegalensis）${ }^{1}$

30 three jabirus as mono－ gram
31 heron（Ardea cinerea or Ardea purpurea）${ }^{1}$

32 heron on a perch
33 In $^{1}$ buff－backed egret？ （Ardea ibis ？）
$34 z_{3}{ }^{1}$ ostrich（Struthio came－

```
'1 Hier. p. 21; Bull. 17, 183; Ann. 30, 24; 38, 263. N ' Pyr. 474.
```

'1 Hier. p. 21; Bull. 17, 183; Ann. 30, 24; 38, 263. N ' Pyr. 474.

* AZ. 57, 137.

```
* AZ. 57, 137.
```

 Dhwety＇（the ibis－god）Thoth＇．
${ }^{1}$ Brugsch，Thes． $1075 . \quad$＇ AZ ． $\mathrm{5}^{1}, 58$ ．
Use as last，but very rarely without the standard．
 var． $10 d s r$＇red＇and derivatives．
${ }^{1}$ Coloured red，Medum，frontispiece，no．6．${ }^{2}$ B．of D．ed．Leps．，ch．31， 9.
Cf．O．K．© ${ }^{1} g m t$＇the $g m t$－bird＇．Hence phon．$g m,{ }^{2}$ exx． Smi＇find＇；$\quad$ gmh＇look at＇．
p． 461,12 ．

Ideo．in $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{3} b_{3}$＇soul（in bird form）＇．Hence phon．$b_{3}$ ，exx．处通 $b_{3} k$＇servant＇；备县 $k b_{3}$＇destroy＇．In group－


$$
{ }^{1} \text { Ann. } 30,1 \text {. }{ }^{2} \text { Burchardt } \$ 4 \text {. }
$$

In bsw＇spirits＇，＇might＇．

Det．in 步雷 bnw（bynw）${ }^{2}$＇phoenix＇．A very similar bird is det．in $\ell_{n}$ S $^{3}$ snty＇heron＇．
${ }^{1} A Z .16,104 . \quad{ }^{2} A Z .45,84 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．113， 14. Cf．$A Z .6 \mathrm{I}$ ，106．
Ideo．or det．in $\downarrow-6=$ var．密 ${ }^{1} b r h i$＇be inundated＇． ${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 1165,14 ．
Det．in Pyr． $\int \rightarrow I^{2} s d_{3}$＇the $s d z$－bird＇．Hence phon．det．
 ${ }^{1}$ Cairo 34010， 12 （LacaU，Pl．7）$=$ Urk．iv．616， $8 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr． 2152.

${ }^{1}$ Cairo 34001,18 （LacAU，Pl． 1 ）$=$ Urk．iv．19，10．${ }^{2} E b .59$ ，19．${ }^{3}$ Pyr． 469. corax）
swallow or martin ${ }^{1}$（one of the Hirundidae． Note the swallow tail）
 ＇revenue＇，＇provisions＇．
${ }^{1}$ See the picture $B H$ ．iv．II．$\quad{ }^{2} A Z .57,6^{*}$.
Phon．wr ${ }^{2}$ ，exx．$w r$＇great＇； dis $^{0}$ wrh＇anoint＇．A similar sign is det．in ${ }^{-3} \mathrm{mnt}^{3}$＇swallow＇．${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ So Carter，confirmed by Keimer，who insists that it is impossible to define the species more closely．Coloured facsimiles，differing much in detail，Medwm， frontispiece no． 4 ；Hier．Pl．1，no．3；contrasted with the＇bad＇bird，below G37， see Bull．Metr．Mus．New York，Egyptian Expedition，1916－7，18，fig． 17.
${ }^{2}$ Reading，cf．Coptic оүнp＇how much＇＝Eg．wr（§502）；but also varr．in Pyr． 1183 （nwrw）and kindred words．s Urk．iv．113， $13 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Hier．p． 20.
37 sparrow ${ }^{1}$（Passer do－ mesticus aegyptiacus． Note the rounded tail）

38 white－fronted goose （Anser albifrons）${ }^{1}$
 acuta）${ }^{1}$

Det．small，exx．${ }^{7}$ abbrev．${ }^{2} n d s(n d s)^{3}$＇small＇； \％${ }^{4}$ ．hns＇narrow＇；bad，defective，exx．Jh bin ＇bad＇；县 ${ }^{2} w$＇empty＇；fis $m r$＇ill＇，＇diseased＇；迢 $3 /$＇perish＇
${ }^{1}$ So Carter，confirmed by Keimer．Represented with approximative accuracy as a small brown bird，spotted with dark brown on the sides of the throat and crop； see Bull．Metr．Mus．New York，Egyptian Expedition，1916－7，18，fig．18；ib． 1922－3，35，fig．29．${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．i．11，row 2．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 912 ． 4 Peas．R 45.

Det．in O．K．区 $\boldsymbol{J}^{2} g b$＇the $g b$－goose＇；hence semi－phon．

 geese ${ }^{4}$ ；also in ${ }^{0}$ ． $3 p d$＇bird＇，＇goose＇．This type may be employed in place of the more exact 哏 G 39 in words containing $s 3$（ $z 3$ ），except when the originals clearly mark the pintail．It may be employed for the indeterminate

 and the generalized det．of birds and insects found in hieratic，${ }^{6}$



[^243]Det．in $\square_{\square}^{2}{ }^{2}$ st（ $z t$ ，perhaps for $z z t$ ）＇pintail duck＇．Hence
 ＇plank＇；$\sqrt{3}-\frac{1}{3}$ hst＇the divine hsst－cow＇．This type may，if preferred，be employed in place of 浣 G 38 in the indefinite uses where the actual nature of the bird in question is unknown．

[^244]
## Sign－list

$\mathbf{G}_{40}$ pintail duck flying

4I pintail duck alighting

Ideo．in Pyr．吸 ${ }^{1}$ later var． 0 质 ${ }^{2} p$＇＇fly＇．Hence
 pede＇．In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ）（hieratic $\$$ ）is used for $p .{ }^{3}$ In Dyn．XII $\chi$ is occasionally used for $41 .^{4}$ In hieratic $\mathfrak{K}$ is always replaced by 4 I ，as also occasionally in hieroglyphic．${ }^{5}$
 $8(b n) ; B H$. i． $25,10(t n) . \quad{ }^{5}$ See G41，n． 10 ．

Det．in $\xi^{〔} \Delta h n i$＇alight＇，＇＇halt＇；hence phon．det．$k n$ ，ex．

 ＇gum＇；phon．det．shw in $\cap\} \times{ }^{3} \sum_{n} \|^{4}$ shwy＇collect＇．The combination $\mid \mathbb{y}^{5}$ is used to show that $\rangle$ is the throw－stick ）T I4，not the identically shaped warrior＇s club，serving as det．in $\triangle>)^{6}$ var．$\left.\Delta>\right)^{7} \mathrm{kms}$＇throw＇，whence
 $\rangle^{9} m \underline{n} n$ ，＇nomad hunter＇，whence phon．det．$t n$ ，$t n$ ， exx． ＇distinguish＇．Before Dyn．XVIII $\mathfrak{W}$ is sometimes used for ${ }^{2} \mathrm{G} 40$ in hieroglyphic，${ }^{10}$ as always in hieratic，${ }^{11}$ where it often serves，like G 38，as an indefinite det．for birds．
${ }^{1}$ Contrasted with p；＇fly＇，Pyr．366．${ }^{2}$ Eb．68，4．${ }^{3}$ Eb．68，7；see $A Z .31,118 . \quad$ BUdGE，p．228， 1 1．Sim．Urk．iv．84，2．B Occasionally in Dyn．XVIII（ex．tn，Paheri 9，39）the bird＇s head hangs as though it had been struck；so often later．${ }^{6}$ Westc．4，10．${ }^{7}$ Lac．TR．22，69．${ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 50$. ${ }^{9}$ L．D．ii．6，as proper name．${ }^{10}$ Exx．p．395，nn．3．6；Louvre C i1，3．6；C i2， 6. 11 Möll．Pal．i．no． 22 I compared with no． 222.
 geon ？${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Hier．p．23．Cf．too wrst＇widgeon（？）＇，Wreszinski，Atlas i．27．${ }^{2}$ BH．
i．27．${ }^{3} E b .89,3$ ，where the generalized det． $\mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ is used．${ }^{\text {emn．}}$ ．ir．
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv． 1 165，13．Sim．ib．1222，4．${ }^{6}$ For the radical；see Verbum i．§72， 2.
43 quail chick ${ }^{1}$
For unknown reason，phon．$w$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Hier．p．21 ；Ann．30， 6.
For $\varrho$ ，the hieroglyphic adaptation of the hieratic abbreviated form of $G_{43}$ ，see $Z_{7}$ ．
44 two quail chicks G43 Phon．ww，ex． as monogram
combination of G43 Phon．wr，ex． and $-D_{36}$
46 combination of G43 Phon．msw，ex．罂 $m$ mswt＇anew＇． and \＆UI
$\mathbf{G}_{47}$ duckling ${ }^{\circ}$
 $t s y$ 'male'; $\triangle \underbrace{\prime} t n t s t$ 'baldachin'. In group-writing


 in nest of 迩.
${ }^{1}$ O.K., Gebr. i. 5, with the scene. ${ }^{3}$ D.el B. 131. ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 897, 12, qu. p. 96 , n. 9 .
 ing from a pool ${ }^{1} \quad{ }_{2}^{1}$ See $7 i$ 23; Bersh. i. 20, where the water of the pool is clearly marked.
${ }^{2}$ Amarn. vi. 16, 19. Sim. 5 y y, Urk. iv. 898, $9 . \quad{ }_{8}^{8}$ Bersh. i. 20.
50 號 ${ }^{1}$ two plovers(?) as mono- In
gram
${ }^{1} B H$. i. 29. One bird only, see $B H$. iii. p. 6; Meir i. p. 23. In spite of the identity of the consonants rht, this bird seems to be distinct from the lapwing G 23.
${ }^{2}$ Reading, Maspero, Etudes égyptiennes, i. 91, n. $3 ; 93$, n. 1; AZ. 20, 189.

${ }^{1}$ Th. T.S. ii. 5.

grain ${ }^{2} \quad{ }^{1}$ Puy. 63 ; cf. Th.T.S. i. 7. ${ }^{2}$ See the scenes of 'feeding' (Snmt), Gemn. i.
II. 12. ${ }^{8}$ With fem. infinitive, hence probably caus. a-lit.

53 human-headed bird Ideo. in ${ }^{1} b_{3}$ 'soul'.
preceded by \& R $7 \quad{ }^{1}$ Leyd. Denkn. iv. 37 ; see $A Z Z .6$ r, 104. (Dyn. XVIII)

54 (russed goose or duck
Det. in ${ }^{1}$ wšn ' wring neck of (birds)', ' offer'. For unknown reason, phon. or phon. det. in snd, var. Pyr.

${ }^{1}$ Siut I, 239 . ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 194 .

## Sect. H. Parts of Birds

 G 39 (p. 172). Det. in 'offer'. This type may be used for $\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathrm{H}} 2$ in transcribing hieratic $m 3 r$ when the crest is absent. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Sh. S. 145 ; see Rec. 38 , 200. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Exx. mje'temple', Eb. 58, 22 ; mje'real', Sh. S. 66 ; Peas. B i, 76.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Tr head of a crested bird ${ }^{1}$ Phon．det．ms，exx．$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{T}^{2} m s$＇temple＇（of head），cf．

 （for beer）＇．From Dyn．XII in place of of $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ as phon． $p k(p, k)$ in $\left.\mathcal{J}_{\Delta}^{\Delta \omega}\right|^{6} p k t$＇fine linen ${ }^{\prime}$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Heron（？）；but a duck mpe occurs Mar．Mast．p． $112 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Bersh．i．14， 7.
${ }^{3}$ See H i，n．2．${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．535，10．${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．828，7．16．${ }^{6}$ Dyn．XII， Sinai 53， 14 ；Dyn．XVIII，Rec．29， 165 （collated）．

3 ～$^{1}$ head of spoonbill（Pla－ talea leucorodia；Pyr．）
4 8 head of vulture $\mathrm{G}_{14}$ （Gyps fulvus）

5 wing
$6 \&$ feather

${ }^{1} P_{y r} .378=\mathrm{W} 486$（ $p k$－cake）．$\quad$ Siut $\mathrm{t}, 240$.
Phon．det．$n r$, ex．$\sigma^{1} n r w '$ terror＇．From Dyn．XVIII




${ }^{1}$ Lac．TR．5，3．Sim．Pyr．387．${ }^{2}$ Eb．88，13．$\quad$ Sin．R 21 ． －Budge，p．493， 12.
Ideo．in $\beta_{1}^{\circ}$ var．Pyr．${ }_{\Delta} \beta_{1}{ }^{1} s w t$＇feather＇．Hence phon．${ }^{y}{ }^{2} w$, ex．哖 Šw＇（the air－god）Shu＇．Ideo．as substitute for血 C io，in $\# 0 \beta_{11}$ varr．$\beta_{1}^{\infty}, \beta^{2}$ mst＇truth＇；in the adjective $\xlongequal[n]{n}$ mor＇true＇and related words $\beta$ is not written， nor has it been found in M．E．hieratic in any words from this stem．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．${ }^{15}$ 66．Reading，see also Rec．38，62．${ }^{2}$ Rekh．10， $21 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 4II，4，in hm－ntr $M / 3(t$ ．

6＊\＆feather as found in

7 d．claw

80 egg

With one or two strokes at side in M．E．hieratic for words from the stem $s w$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Artificial sign to be used in transcribing from hieratic，see Möll．Pal．i．no．237． L．E．hieratic uses H 6 for M3ft，ib．ii．no． 236.
Phon．$\xi_{3}$ in $\mathcal{L}^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} S_{3} t^{2}$＇（the land）Shat＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．618，1．${ }^{2}$ Reading，AZ．13， 12 ；Sphinx 1，256．The sign occurs also as det．of ifft＇claw＇（Pyr．1779）and as a division of the cubit（PSBA．14， 404），in both cases outside our period．
Det．in $\uparrow\}_{0}{ }^{1}$ swht（swht）${ }^{2}$＇ egg ＇．The hieratic contraction ${ }^{3}$ of 339 found in the inverted M．K．method of ex－ pressing filiation（p．66，top）appears in Dyn．XIX hieroglyphic as the egg $0^{4}$ ；that sign may be con－ ventionally used in transcribing the instances in M．K． hieratic．In ㅁ． 0 其期他＇patricians＇，＇mankind＇ 0 is perhaps derived from an earlier sign for a clod of earth．${ }^{6}$

[^245]
## Sect. I. Amphibious Animals, Reptiles, etc.

1. 

2 freshwater turtle

3 crocodile

 - $)^{3} \mathrm{r}_{3}$ ' many' and the related words.
${ }^{1}$ Eb. 98, 9. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Ramesseum medical papyrus, unpublished. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1146.
Ideo. or det. in $\square_{0}{ }^{1}$ var. $S^{2} s t y w^{3}$ 'turtle'.'.
${ }^{1} E b .57,6 . \quad{ }^{2} E b .86,12 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Writings with $\underline{t}$ are all late.
Ideo. or det. crocodile, exx. $\mathbb{S}^{\infty} m s h(m z h)^{1}$ 'crocodile'; hanty 'crocodile'; ${ }^{2}$ however, for the god Sobk the sign $=\mathrm{I} 5^{*}$ or I 4 is perhaps invariably used. Det. greedy, in $\operatorname{Din}^{3} \operatorname{skn}$ 'lust after'; \& ${ }^{0}{ }^{4}$ hnt 'be greedy'; perhaps also in ${ }^{5} \mathrm{rhm}$ 'voracious (?) spirit'; aggression, in ${ }^{6} 3 d$ 'be aggressive ', 'angry'. For obscure reason, ${ }^{7}$ phon. it in for 1801 ity 'sovereign'.

5* archaic stone (?) image of a crocodile ${ }^{1}$

4 国 $^{1}$ crocodile on a shrine
$5 \rightarrow{ }^{1}$ crocodile with inward curved tail

Ideo. or det. in $\cap \|_{\infty}{ }^{2}$ var. $\sim{ }^{3} S b k(S b k)$ ' (the crocodile-god) Sobk', Gk. इov̂रos.
 Pal. i. no. 242. ${ }_{2}$ Pyr. 456; Sinai 23, no. 85. ${ }^{2}$ Sinai 35, no. 106.
 Sobk'.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Petr. Abyd. iii. 13 ; Sinai 53, 6.
 together'.
${ }^{1}$ Petr. Abyd. iii. 29 ; Dend. 8. ${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 23-4 . \quad{ }^{3}$ See n. 1. ${ }^{4}$ Pyr. 735.
$6 \lessgtr$ piece of crocodile-skin with spines ${ }^{1}$

7 2d frog $(k r r)^{1}$

8 4 tadpole

 ${ }^{1}$ Hier. p. 23. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Sin. R 159 . $\quad{ }^{3}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. p. 157 .
Det. in $\}_{\Delta}^{\Delta} H H k t$ ' (the frog-goddess) Heket'. From Dyn. XVIII or XIX sometimes as sportive ideo. for $\rrbracket f$ whm rnh ' repeating life' as epithet after personal name. ${ }^{2}$

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Krr is apparently not known before Dyn. XX. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { Sphinx 7, } 215 .
$$

Cf. the O.K. name of a man ${ }^{1} H f n r$, i.e. 'Tadpole'. Hence phon. hfn in $\uparrow h f n$ ' one hundred thousand' (\$259), plur. var. Dyn. XIX

[^246]I 9 horned viper（Cerastes Ideo．perhaps in the name of the XIIth nome of Upper cornutus）${ }^{0}$
 which a rare var．with ${ }_{0} f t$ occurs ；${ }^{1}$ cf．also demotic $f y$ ＇viper＇．Hence phon．$f$ ．For $\ell^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} i t$＇father＇see p．43，n．i． ${ }^{0}$ Keimer，Etudes d＇égyptologie，VII．${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Alphabet $15^{2}$ ；cf．the epithet $D_{\text {wobft }}$ Pyr．1335；see too now $A E O$ ．ii． $69^{*}$ ，n．I．

 haje，Gk．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s)^{0}$ times also，by a false archaism，for $\triangle d$（ $\$ 19, \mathrm{O}_{\text {bs．2）}}$ ）．
${ }^{0}$ Keimer，Études d＇esyptologie，VII， 41 ；Miss Murray（JEA．34，117）prefers to identify with Naja nigricollis．${ }^{1}$ Pyr．2047．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．697．${ }^{8}$ Doubtless
 bet 157 ．

For ${ }^{2}$ 等 see M14．For ${ }^{\circ}$ see T 5．For 氛 see T 6．For 角 see V 2 I．
 forehead of the Pha－ raoh） to whom the appearance of a snake was attributed，exx．
 Nesret＇．

 basket $\square$ V 30

14 2n $^{1}$ snake
Det．snake，exx． ＇snake＇；possibly also det．worm，but it is doubtful if $d d f t$ ever had that usually attributed meaning．${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Amarn．iv．4，3．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Sh．S．61．${ }^{3}$ Sphinx 4， 147 ；see too JEA．34， 118 ．
15 POR alternative form of last Use as last．

## Sect．K．Fishes and parts of Fishes

$\mathbf{K I n}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{a}$ fish（Tilapia nilotica；Det．in $4^{2}{ }^{2}$ var．${ }^{3}$ int＇the bulti－fish＇．Hence phon．

$$
\text { Arabic bulti })^{1}
$$

$2 \leftrightarrow{ }^{1}$ a fish（Barbus bynni）${ }^{2} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Phon．det．} b w^{3} \text { in } \\ { }^{1} \text { Thebes，tomb } 83 .\end{gathered}$
$\mathrm{K}_{3}{ }^{1}$ a fish（Mugil cephalus； Arabic bûri）${ }^{2}$
$4{ }^{1}$ oxyrhynchus fish（Kor． my rus kannume ${ }^{2}$
$5{ }^{1}$ a fish（Petrocephalus bane）${ }^{2}$

7 of a fish（Tetrodon fahd－ $k a)^{1}$
$6 \sum^{1}$ fish－scale（also written $\diamond)$

Deft．in ${ }^{\circ} r d w$＇the $b a r i$ fish＇．Hence phon．$r d(r d$ ？） in the title ${ }^{4} \rho^{4} d(?)-m r$ ，var．$\underbrace{\infty}{ }^{6} d-m r$ ，＇administrator （of a province）＇，probably lit．＇excavator of canals）＇．

1 D．el B．109．${ }^{2}$ Gaillard 93．${ }^{1}$ Kb．82，9．＊Dy．XVIII，D．el B． 109；O．K．，Erk．i．5， 17 ；11， 10 ．${ }^{\text {b }}$ Erk．iv．952，13．The writing ${ }^{\text {ed }}$ in Gard．Sin． 152 is not quite conclusive for the O．K．reading．

Idea．in ${ }^{3} \underline{h} 3 t$＇oxyrhynchus＇．Hence phon．$\underline{h}_{3},{ }^{4}$ exp．


${ }^{1}$ D．el $B$ ． 152 ．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Galliard $26 . \quad{ }^{3} 7 i$ int，fem．；the $t$ is written in the tomb of Mereruka，A ${ }_{13}$ ，east wall．${ }^{4}$ Reading，Verbum i．p．156，top．
${ }^{5}$ Pr．474．${ }^{\circ}$ BH．i．8， $20 . \quad 7$ Shut 3， 5.
 ＇enter＇．A very similar fish is used as generic dit．fish， ex． $\boldsymbol{B i n}^{4} \mathrm{rmw}$＇fish＇；fishy smell，ex． $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{5}{ }^{5} \mathrm{hns}$＇stink＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Rekh．io．$\quad 2$ Gaillard 17．The characteristics are shown in earlier forms，Bull．11，41．${ }^{3}$ Erk．i．87，17．${ }^{1}$ Erk．iv．954，7．${ }^{5}$ Hearst 2， 17.
Dat．of Go $_{0} Q^{2} \xi p t$＇be discontented＇．
${ }^{1}$ Galliard $97 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Brit．Mus．is g．
Idea．or dent．in ${ }^{-2} \delta^{2}$ var．$\nu^{3} n s m t$＇figs h－scale＇．
${ }^{1}$ Lead．Denkm．ii．5；not to be confused with $Q$ L $6 . \quad{ }^{2}$ M．u．K．1， 2 ；see Rec．38，62．${ }^{3}$ Leyd．Denkm．ii． 5 ．

## Sect．L．Invertebrata and Lesser Animals


baeus acer）

2 bee
$3 \operatorname{Sos}^{1}$ fly
$4{ }^{1}$ common locust（Acry－ diam peregrinum） phon． kpr in 魚 var．Ayr．吕觛 $\boldsymbol{0}^{3} \mathrm{kpr}$＇become＇and derivatives．
${ }^{1}$ Lb．88， $13 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pr．697．${ }^{2}$ Pr． 212.
 phon．$b i t^{3}$ in $\$^{\circ}$ var．Pr．Ilo ${ }^{4}$ bit $(y)$＇king of Lower Egypt＇．For $n$－swebit＇king of Upper and Lower Egypt＇see $\$ 55$ and p． 73.
${ }^{1}$ P．Nah．3，2．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Coptic ebiö．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Reading，AZ．30，113．${ }^{4}$ Pr．${ }^{2}$ 2．
Bet．in＜compat＞ᄅ＜compat＞ᅳ＜compat＞ᄂ Q $^{2}$＇ff＇fly＇．
${ }^{1}$ From a gold fly given as an honorific decoration，Busing，Thebanische Grabfunde 6；see PSBA．22，167．${ }^{2}$ Erk．iv．39，1；893， 12 ；see $A Z .48,143$.
Net．in
${ }_{2}^{1}$ Schiaparelli，Relazione ．．．lavori d．Miss．Arch．ii．p．171，fig． 156.
${ }^{2}$ Par．891．

Sign－list
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \Longleftarrow$ centipede
$6 \quad$ bivalve shell ${ }^{1}$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR


${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .58,82 . \quad 2 \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr．669．
For unknown reason，phon．$k_{3}$ ，in M．E．only in $\frac{\Delta \frac{\Delta x}{\top} 2}{} 2 h_{3} t$ ，var． －${ }^{9} \frac{1030}{}{ }^{3}$ hizwt＇table of offerings＇．
${ }^{1} B H$ ．iii．p．14．Not to be confused with the fish－scale $\left\langle\right.$ K $6 .{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．i63，7． Reading，see Sah．63；Pyr． $58 .{ }^{3}$ Siut 1， 240.

7 Cl $^{1}$ scorpion（modified for superstitious rea－ sons）${ }^{2}$

Ideo．in 夋 Srkt＇（the scorpion－goddess）Serket＇，full name
 pipe＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Sinai 85． 143 ；Five Th．T．9．${ }^{2}$ 2̈ZZ．51，49．57．${ }^{\text {T Pyr．} 606 \text { ；see }}$ PSBA．39， 34.

## Sect．M．Trees and Plants



Det．tree，exx．

 $450^{5} \mathrm{im}, 4>4^{6} \mathrm{im}(3)$ ，unidentified tree．From this last，phon．$i s m, i m$－writings with are best transcribed $i 3 m$（cf．§ 19，Obs．І）－exx．ه Q ismt＇charm＇，＇favour＇；$^{\circ}$ QNロ7 ism（w）＇tent＇．The rather similar sign which serves as det．in $0^{8} \mathrm{mrr}$＇fortunate＇may well depict a quite different object．

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
1 \\
{ }^{1} \text { Urk. iv. 1064, 8. } & { }^{2} \text { Urk. iv. 353, 3. } & { }^{3} \text { Urk. iv. } 73,14 . & { }^{4} \text { Pyr. } 699 . \\
& { }^{2} I b . & { }^{2} \text { Urk. iv. } 325,12 . & 8 \text { D.el B. } 57,4 \text {; cf. O.K., Gebr. }
\end{array}
$$ ii．13；M．K．，Siut 3，8；later the det．of $m r r$ resembles V 29，see on this．

Det．plant，flower，exx． 1 负 $h r r t$＇flower＇．From ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{1} h i^{\prime}$＇rush＇，phon．$h n$ ，exx．
 Det．in $A \|{ }^{2}$ isi＇be light＇（perhaps like $\$ 肌 $\mathbb{H}$, isw ＇reeds＇）；hence phon．det．is，exx．A肌湦 ${ }^{3}$ isy，var．O．K．
 From 1 ＇$i$＇reed＇（see on $\mathrm{M}_{17}$ ）rarely as sportive writing for $\cdot \boldsymbol{i}$＇I＇，＇my＇ ；hence also for 通 as det．，ex．$\overline{\mathbb{W}}^{7}$＇s＇man＇． From Dyn．XVIII on sometimes as faulty transcription of hieratic $\mathrm{T}_{24}$ in ${ }_{\Delta}^{\text {＂}}{ }^{8}{ }^{8}$ ihwty＇tenant farmer＇．

[^247]Ideo. in "n- $h t$ 'wood', 'tree'; hence phon. $k t$, exx.
 hbny 'ebony'; wooden objects, exx. ${ }^{-1}{ }^{-1}$ whe 'column';
 var. $\mathrm{S}^{-} \mathrm{F}^{4}{ }^{4} \mathrm{dr}$, 'search out', lit. perhaps 'harpoon' (vb.); ${ }^{5}$ here $\}$ is probably corruption of an old sign $\left\{\right.$ or $\downarrow .{ }^{6}$

[^248]$4 \oint$ palm-branch stripped of leaves and notched (rare var. $\AA^{1}$ ) to serve as tally ${ }^{1 a}$

Det. in 은\{多 $r n p i$ 'be young', 'vigorous'. Hence $r n p$ in $\left\{_{1}^{a}\right.$ var. Pyr. 요 $\left\{0^{1 \mathrm{~b}}\right.$ rnpt 'year', with \{ ideographically as symbol ; with similar sense ideo. in $\left\{\begin{array}{|}\ominus \\ h 3 t-s p & \text { 'regnal year' }\end{array}\right.$ (p. 204) and in $\left\{\int^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{\text {c }} \operatorname{snf}\right.$ (from $s n \cdot n w \cdot f$ 'its second') 'last year'. Possibly ideo. of time (if not phon. det.) also in $\otimes\left\{\odot^{2}\right.$ tr 'time', 'season', where it usually appears in the form $\{$ M 5 or $\{$ M 6. Elsewhere also $\{$ is an occasional substitute for $\left\{\mathrm{M}_{5}\right.$, \{ M 6 , or $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { M } 7 .{ }^{3} .\end{array}\right.$
${ }^{1} B H$. i. $8 . \quad{ }^{1 a} J E A .34$, II 9 , cf. Horapollo I, 3 фoivika; in pictorial repre-
sentations always with many notches, e.g. JEA. 4, Pl. 4; 30, Pl. 4; Moret, Royaute
Pharaonique, figs. I7. 18. 19. 16 Pyr. 965, as designation of Sothis; Coptic
rompe' 'year'. ${ }_{16}$ Adm. p. 102. 2 Written trw, Urk. iv. 195, $4 .{ }_{3}$ In
ptr'see', Siut 1, 220 ; Cairo 20538, i. c 3 ; rnpt'vegetables', 'fruit', ib. ii. c 25 . combination of $\left\{\mathrm{M}_{4}\right.$ and $\circ$ X I $\Delta$ ) in Pyr. $\delta \varnothing t r$, var. $\left\{t(i)\right.$, 'season'. ${ }^{1}$ Hence § (see on $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ for \{) becomes in M.E. characteristic det. in ${ }^{\circ} \oint^{\circ} \odot^{2}$ abbrev. $\oint_{\odot}{ }^{3}$ tr 'season'. However, $\{\mathrm{M} 6$ is a commoner substitute for $\mathbb{\delta}$, though $\{$ interchanges with $\mathbb{\{}$ in some uses really belonging only to the latter, exx. $\% \iint^{0} \omega^{4}$ pri

${ }^{1}$ Sethe, Pyramidentexte iv. § $132 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 384, 9. Sim. plur. $\operatorname{ltr}(w)$,
Sinai 90, 19. ${ }^{3}$ Sinai 90, 3. II; Ikhern. 14. ${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 32, 10. ${ }^{5}$ Urk.iv. 102, 11. combination of $\left\{\mathrm{M}_{4}\right.$ With sportive ideographic intention in Pyr . $\Omega^{1}$ tr 'season'. and $-\mathrm{D}_{21}$ In M.E. det. in ${ }^{\circ}\left\{\odot^{2}\right.$ abbrev. $\left\{^{3} \mathrm{tr}\right.$ 'season'. Hence
 'assess' (taxes) ; also phon. det. ri, exx. $\\left\{\prod_{11}^{5}{ }^{5}\right.$ pri


[^249]$\mathbf{M}_{7}$ § combination of $\{\mathrm{M}$ and $\circ$ Q 3

8 pool with lotus flowers
lotus flower
io D．${ }^{1}$ lotus bud

11 flower on long twisting stalk ${ }^{1}$

## I leaf，stalk and rhizome

 of lotus ${ }^{0}$I3 8 stem of papyrus

With sportive ideographic intention in Pyr．－ young＇，＇vigorous＇．${ }^{1}$ Hence，in M．E．，ideo．or det．in

${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Pyramidentexte iv．$\$ 132 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Amam．v．27，Q 1 I．${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 182，17．More often thus abbreviated in rnpt＇vegetables＇，＇fruit＇，Th．T．S．i．14； Urk．iv．1167， 10.




 ＇inundation season＇（p．203）．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Rec．24，180，where the reading $3 h$ is unnecessarily assumed．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1223. ${ }^{3}$ Burchardt § ilo．${ }^{4}$ Wb．33．${ }^{6}$ Urk．i．25．${ }^{6}$ Reading，$A Z .3^{8,103 ;} 41,89$.

Ideo．or det．in ${ }^{1} s^{4} n$ ，var．Pyr：${ }^{-\square)^{2} z צ n n, ~ v a r . ~ M . K . ~}$ 1＇＇lotus＇．
${ }^{1}$ Eb．44，21；Urk．iv．1162，9．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． $266 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Cairo 20093，c．
Det．in $J^{\circ} 9^{2} n h b t$＇lotus bud＇．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 55 ．$\quad$ Urk．iv． 988 ， 12 ．
Ideo．or det．in $\sum^{2}$ var．$\Xi^{3}$ wdn＇offer＇．In late Dyn．XVIII also erroneously in place of $ص \mathrm{~F} 46$ as det． in $8 \int^{3}={ }^{\prime}=11{ }^{4} w(3) d b w$＇shores＇．
${ }^{1}$ Hier．p．28．The forms in Pyr．do not suit this interpretation very well．
${ }^{2}$ Puy．52．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．452，3．Sim．Pyr． $1127 . \quad$＊Amarn．iii． 20.

 member＇．In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ）$\left\{\right.$ or $\$$ is used for $h .^{3}$
${ }^{0}$ Ann．48，92．${ }^{1}$ Eb．43，6．${ }^{2}$ Siut 1， $267 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Burchardt，§ 100.
Ideo．in $\gamma^{1}$ wesd＇papyrus column＇，cf．Pyr．fी $\gamma_{1}{ }^{2}$ wesd＇papyrus＇． Hence phon．wesd in 8$\}$ var．Pyr． 月 $^{2} 78^{3}$ ws ${ }^{2}$＇be green＇ and derivatives．From M．K．，phon．w（ 3 ）$d$ as substitute for $\left\{\mathrm{V} 24\right.$, exx． $1 \gamma^{2}{ }^{4}{ }^{4} \operatorname{sw}(3) \underline{d}$ ，older var． $\left.1 \beta\right\}$ swd，＇hand
 ＇pour out＇．


Phon．wsd，w（3）d，exx．${ }^{7}{ }^{1} \sigma^{1} W_{3} d-w r$＇the sea＇，lit．＇the great green＇；$\uparrow$ 危羽 $\operatorname{sw}(3) \underline{d}$＇hand over＇，＇bequeath＇．

[^250]$\mathrm{M}_{15}$ ofe clump of papyrus with buds bent down

16 of clump of papyrus

I7 \＆flowering reed
\＆combination of $\ \mathrm{MI}_{17}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{D}_{54}$

19 heaped conical cakes between reed $\ \mathrm{M}_{17}$ and sign like｜U $36^{\circ}$ reeds growing side by side
 ＇swamps＇（of the Delta）；${ }^{2} 140$ 柮 ${ }^{1} \underline{d y t}$＇papyrus－marsh＇．

 ＇thicket＇（of papyrus）．Hence phon． 3 h（or ideo．？）in
 place），possibly understood as＇Papyrus－thicket of the
 T3－mhw＇Lower Egypt＇，＇the Delta＇，${ }^{4}$ whence $\frac{3}{3} y^{5} ~ m h-s$ ＇the crown of Lower Egypt＇．

 is $h . .^{2}$ As O．K．det．in $\overline{\Pi_{0}}{ }^{3}{ }^{3} T-m h w$＇the Delta＇and related words $\frac{8}{4}$ is often replaced in M．E．by 触 $\mathrm{M}_{15}$ ， but exx．with $\mathbb{\$}$ are still fairly common，${ }^{4}$ exx．峦 ${ }^{5} T_{3}$－ mhw＇the Delta＇；部先 ${ }^{6} m h$－s＇crown of Lower Egypt＇．
${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus．562，qu．§364．${ }^{2}$ Burchardt § 94．${ }^{3}$ Urk．i．64，8；101， 11.
${ }^{4} A Z .44$ ， $10 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Louvre C $17{ }^{2}$ ；Urk．iv．583，7．Brit．Mus．574， 6.

Ideo．in $A_{1}, 1_{1}{ }^{1}$＇reeds＇．Hence phon．$i$ ．For $\|\left\{y\right.$ see $\S 20 .{ }^{2}$

${ }^{1}$ Bersh．ii．p．19．Sim．Eb．49， $2 . \quad{ }^{2}$ See the full discussion Verbum i． §§ 121－4．${ }^{3}$ Burchardt，§ 16 ；cf．Albright，Vocalization，pp．33－4；36－7．

In $\sharp \cap \Delta i z$＇come＇and the related words．
 of offerings＇and the related verb．${ }^{3}$
${ }^{0}$ Dav．Rekh．Pl．49；p．44，n．5．$\quad{ }^{1}$ Lac．TR．14，7．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．769，3． ${ }^{3}$ Wb．i． 167 ．


 ＇occupation＇，＇pastime＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．275．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．462，13：Sim．sm＇succour＇，Dend．15， 15 ．

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

$M_{2 I}$［08 like the last，but with a loop at the side
$22 \forall$ rush with shoots ${ }^{0}$
（22）$\downarrow \downarrow$ two rushes with shoots Cf．Pyr．$\downarrow 7_{0}=1{ }^{1} n n t$＇rushes＇．Hence $\downarrow \downarrow$ is phon．$n n$ ，exx．
 ${ }^{1}$ Pyr．55\％．
Cf．Pyr．${ }^{-m} J^{\circ} \gamma^{1} n \xi b t$＇germination＇，＇shooting up＇．Hence phon．$n h b$ in $\gamma \perp \perp$ D var．Pyr．$-d v^{2} N b b t^{\prime}$（the vulture－ goddess）Nekhbet＇．
${ }^{0}$ According to Loret in Griff．Stud． 308 the marsh club－rush（Heleocharis palus－ tris）．$\quad 1$ Pyr．4；Sah．Text，p．109．$\quad 2$ Pyr． 1229.

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．775，15．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． $1722 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus．1164，I，where the form is almost like D 61．But see Pyr．892．

deo．or semi－ideo．in M M ${ }^{1} s m$ ，var．Pyr．股 ${ }^{2} s m$ ， ＇herb＇，＇plant＇．Hence phon．$s m(s m)$ ，ex．肫 $\|^{3} s m$ ＇succour＇．

23 $\nRightarrow$ plant regarded as typi－ cal of Upper Egypt （probably form of $\ddagger$ M 26，but without flowers） Ideo．in $\ddagger_{1}^{\infty}$ swt（swot）＇the swt－plant＇．${ }^{1}$ Hence phon．sw $(s w),{ }^{2}$ ex．$\ddagger$ swt＇but＇（ $\$ 254$ ）．The word $\ddagger$ var． $\ddagger_{0}$ 偈 ${ }^{3}$＇king of Upper Egypt＇probably originally read $n i$－ swot＇he who belongs to the swot－plant＇，but before M．K．
 to be read nsyt．For $\mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{8}} n$－sw－bit＇king of Upper and Lower Egypt＇see § 55 ．Sometimes $\ddagger$ is inaccurately used
 for $s .{ }^{5}$
${ }^{1} A Z .49,18 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Reading from O．K．varr．of $s m s w^{\prime}$＇elder＇；also from other words cited $W b$ ．iv． 60,$2 ; 65,13 ; 74,2 ;$ cf．too the exceptional writing of szush
 ${ }^{5}$ Burchardt § io6．
$24 \nexists$ combination of $\neq \mathrm{M} 23$ With sportive pictorial intention（plant swt growing from and $-D_{21}$ mouth $r$ ），${ }^{1}$ phon．$r s w$ in Pyr．$\neq{ }^{2} r s w t$＇South＇and the related words．${ }^{3}$ In M．E．＇South＇is $\frac{7}{3} \stackrel{r}{1} r y$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Pyramidentexte iv．§ $132 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr． $470 . \quad{ }^{3} A Z .44$ ， 1.

25 confusion of $\neq$ M 24 Faulty writing either for words connected with $\begin{aligned} \text { 子 } \\ \text { Fwt }\end{aligned}$ and ${ }^{7}$ M $26^{1}$ ＇South＇，ex．Z ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ rsyw＇southerners＇，or for words
 Šme＇Upper Egyptian corn＇．
${ }^{1}$ ÄZ．44，22．＇Urk．iv．909，3．＇s PSBA．18， 196.
$\mathbf{M}_{26}$ sedge(? ${ }^{0}$ growing from a sign for land resembling - $\mathrm{N}_{17}$

Probably as a flowering specimen of $\ddagger \mathrm{M}_{2}$, ideo. in $\ddagger{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {mint }}$ var. $\not 7^{2}{ }^{2}$ Smew 'Upper Egypt', the reading of which is
 Hence phon. $s m e$ in $7.40^{5} 5 m e y t$ ' chantress', 'singer'.
${ }^{0}$ See above, p. 73, n. 10. Depicted as a desert plant, JEquier, Monument funéraire de Pepi II, ii, Pl. 43. Identified with $\ddagger \mathrm{M} 23$, but with flowers, Mitt.


${ }^{1}$ Urik. iv. 530, 12. ${ }^{2}$ Th. T. S. iii. 14.
 and $\cap \mathrm{V} 20$ 'greatest of the tens of Upper Egypt'. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} A Z .44,18$; Sethe, Zahlworte 40, n. 7. Some varr. have M 23 instead of M 26 .
29 pod from some sweetsmelling tree ${ }^{1}$

Cf. $0^{2} n d m$ ' $n d m$-tree'. Hence semi-phon. $n d m$ in $f(\mathbb{A}$ var. Pyr. "h ${ }^{3} n d m$ 'sweet' and the related words. The



 ${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 749,5 .
31 $\mathrm{U}^{1}$ stylised rhizome of a Det. in $=0 \mathrm{rd}$ 'grow'. lotus (Dyn. XVIII)

32 ¹ $^{1}$ Dyn. XII var. of last
Use as last. A similar sign sometimes in hieratic erroneously borrowed from $r d$ 'grow' in alur $r(v e) d$ 'be strong '. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Bersh. ii. 21. $\quad{ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 76.108$; one would have expected 81 T 12.
33000 grains of corn (also Ideo. in ;ioi var. Pyr. $\mathrm{f}_{000}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ it 'barley', ' corn' and its varieties

 grain-god) Nepri'.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Compare Pyr. } 1748 \text { with ib. 1950. } \quad=2 \ddot{A} Z .44,19 . \quad \text { s Mill. 2, } 12 .
$$

 ${ }^{1}$ Already Dyn. XI, PSBA. 18, 202, 9. Sim. BH. i. 8, 21.
$35 \Delta$ heap of corn
Det. in ${ }^{H} 0_{1} A_{1}^{1}$ rhrw 'heaps'; also in $ل^{2}{ }^{2} w b n$ 'overflow'. ${ }^{1}$ D.el B. 79. Sim. ib. 74. ${ }^{2}$ Puy. 36.

Sign－list
M36 bundle of flax stems showing the bolls＂ （sometimes misin－ terpreted ${ }^{1)}$
37 譄 $^{1}$ bundle of flax stems （O．K ．form of $\mathrm{BM}_{3}$ 6）
$38 \mathbb{M}^{1}{ }^{1}$ bundle of flax（O．K．； specialized variant of m M 37）
$39{ }^{1}$ basket of fruit or grain

40 \＆${ }^{1}$ bundle of reeds

41 a log of wood stripped of its branches（Dy． XVIII）${ }^{1}$

42 of flower？
$437^{7000}$ 回 $^{1}$ vine on props（var． †恠）

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

 Dit．in $\Rightarrow$ Br $^{3} d m$＇bind together＇．
${ }^{0}$ See the picture Paheri 3．${ }^{1}$ Din．XII，Meir ii．17，no．30．$\quad$ Reading， Pr． 582 （ $\boldsymbol{d}^{\prime} r t$＇hand＇）．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Erk．iv．612，15，but rather different from $d r, i b .9$.

Phon．$d r$ like ® $^{2} 36$.
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Din．V，Sa．28；Gen．i． 13 ；Dyn．XII，Meir ii．17，no． 28.
Deft．in O．K．${ }^{n} m h r(?),{ }^{2}$ var．Din．XII 工级 ${ }^{3} m r h(?)$ ， $m h$（？），＇flax＇and in $\Rightarrow$ 地 $^{4} d m 3^{\text {＇bind together＇．}}$
${ }^{1}$ Montet 194．${ }^{2}$ Reading，Rec．25， $159 . \quad{ }^{3}$ BH．i．29．${ }^{4}$ Shh．8； Dy．XVIII form differs slightly from M 36 ，see there n ． 3 ．
Deft．vegetable offerings，ex．甜 $r n p t$＇vegetables＇，＇fruit＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．O．K．，Medium 16 （ $d_{3} b$＇figs＇）；Den．XVIII，D．el B． 93 （rnpt，kkt）．
 （ez）${ }^{3}$＇tomb＇；揾㴓，is wot＇crew＇．
${ }^{1}$ Elaborate forms，O．K．，Medum 13 ；Din．XVIII，Pug． $20 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Ebb．19， 13.
${ }^{3}$ Ex．O．K．，Url．i．16， $4 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Sh．S． 7 ；Rec．28， 113.
Deft．wood，ix．$\square \sim$ rs＇cedar＇（properly＇${ }^{-1 n e}$＇or＇fir＇）；

${ }^{1}$ See Ann．16，33．O．K．forms，see Pr．590．634；Palermo stone，6，2－4． ${ }^{2}$ Erk．iv．373， 4.
 hon＇be young＇；中且 ${ }^{4}$ var．${ }^{6}$ wm＇eat＇．Not distinguished from $\psi_{11}$ in the earliest hieratic，and re－ placed by the latter sign in M．K．hieratic，${ }^{\text {，}}$ as also regularly in later hieroglyphic．
${ }^{1}$ See Sita．Bert．Ak．1912，960．${ }^{2}$ Erk．iv．716，7．${ }^{3}$ Erk．iv．365， 17.

${ }^{6}$ Möll．Pal．i．no． 564.
 ＇vine＇；various notions connected with the vine，exp．



${ }^{1}$ Thus with a vessel or basket Dyne．XII，BH．i． 17 （ $\operatorname{lrp}$ ）；Din．XVIII，D．el B． 112 （irp）；Pul． 12 （kim）．In O．K．and often later the vessel or basket is absent， see Div．Ptah．i．10，nos．166．173．${ }^{2}$ Erk．iv．73， $11 .{ }^{3}$ Erk．i．103， 14.
${ }^{4}$ BH．i．17．${ }^{8}$ Cairo $20167, b_{2}$ ．${ }^{6}$ BH．i．29．${ }^{7} \operatorname{Sin}$ ．B 81．${ }^{8}$ Kb．86，1．
Net．in $\Gamma_{\Delta}^{\circ} \Delta^{2} s r t$＇thorn＇．Possibly it is the same sign，if not a mere triangle，which serves as ideo．or tet．in $\prod_{\infty}^{\square} \Delta$ var．$\Delta \|^{3} s p d(s p d)^{4}$＇sharp＇and the related words．

[^251]
## Sect．N．Sky，Earth，Water

$\mathbf{N I}_{\text {I }} \Longleftarrow$ sky

 $h r t$＇heaven＇，lit．＇the distant one＇；high，in $\vec{\sigma}^{2}$（ $k i$
 Onüris＇$ص$ is a later interpretation，since the name origin－ ally meant＇he who fetched the distant one（fem．）＇．${ }^{5}$ From h．rt＇heaven＇，phon．$h r y$ ，$h r w$ in＂＂var．$ص$ ．$h r y$＇above＇ and the related words．In two words for＇gate＇$\square$ is derived from earlier signs for a gateway like $\Pi$ or $\Pi$ ，${ }^{\text {，a }}$
 var．$\square\left\|\|_{ص^{\circ}}{ }^{8} h y t\right.$ ，var．O．K．$\square^{\sigma^{9}} h t$ ，＇portal＇，whence the
 portal＇．There is perhaps a similar contamination in『舀 ${ }^{\circ} h 3$＇＇ceiling＇．${ }^{12}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $117 \mathrm{I} . \quad{ }^{2}$ Amada $18 .{ }^{3}$ Cairo 20057，a．${ }^{2} ; 20380 .{ }^{4}$ Gebr．i． 18.
${ }^{5}$ Unt．5，142．${ }^{51}$ Rzwt，see Wb．ii．404，1．${ }^{6}$ Sin．R 9．${ }^{7}$ Cairo 30230，a．
${ }_{11}^{8}$ See n．II．$\quad{ }^{1}$ Leyd．Denkm．i．5．$\quad{ }^{10}$ Cairo 20035，$h$ ；20086，h．r．
${ }^{11} A \not A Z .60,64 . \quad 12$ Urk．iv．429， 7.

 rhhw＇dusk＇．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 93．${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20738，b．${ }^{3}$ Siut 3，10，qu．§212．${ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{2} 54$.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．${ }^{265}$ ．According to the ingenious theory of Mile M．Chatelet an oar（or sceptre， $\mathbf{N}^{\circ}$ 2）suspended from the sky broken and bound up to symbolize darkness， Bull．18， 2 I．
 the sky（Dyn．XVIII）

而 ${ }^{3}$ isdt，＇dew＇；\＆ $4 ी \circ \operatorname{Tin}^{4}$ šnyt＇rain－storm＇．

 ＇day＇；$\odot$ in dates reads $s w$（ p .203 ）．Det．sun or actions of sun，exx．$\beta \odot{ }^{z} w$＇sun＇；$\sqrt{\odot}$ wbn＇rise＇；day，exx． $\Gamma^{*}{ }^{\circ}$ sf＇yesterday＇；${ }^{\circ}$ wrs＇＇spend all day＇；time


${ }^{1}$ Development of this use，see Sethe，Zeitrechnung（II）， 29.

N 6 DO sun with uraeus（Dyn．Ideo．or det．in $\operatorname{Da}^{1}$ var．pi ${ }^{2} r r^{\prime}$＇sun＇． XVIII）

7 © combination of $\odot \mathrm{N}_{5}$ and $\triangle$ T 28

8 \＆sunshine



 Pyr．$\left\{-{ }^{\infty}{ }^{9} \mathrm{hnmmt}\right.$＇the sun－folk＇of Heliopolis．
${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Urk．v．55，9．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．19，11．${ }^{3}$ Urk．v．55，4．${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．585，12． ${ }^{5}$ Eb．107，5．${ }^{\circ}$ Eb．67，1．${ }^{7}$ Urk．iv．17，7．${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20498．${ }^{\circ}$ Pyr． 139.
$9 \ominus$ moon with its lower half obscured（Dyn． XVIII）${ }^{1}$
 var．Pyr．${ }^{5}$ pssdtyw，＇New－moon festival＇．Hence phon． det．$p s \underline{d}$ in ${ }_{-}^{9} 977 p s \underline{d} t t^{6}$＇divine ennead＇，＇company of nine gods＇．This sign is liable to confusion with the loaf $\odot \mathrm{X} 6$.


io $\Theta$ alternative form of last Use as last． （Dyn．XVIII）${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Möll．Pal．ii．no．573．
II $\curvearrowleft$ crescent moon（also vertically $)^{1}$ or（ ${ }^{2}$ when used as det．）

Ideo．or det．in 4 － phon．det．or abbrev．in R $^{3}$ var．${ }^{\circ}{ }^{4}$ wrh＇carob beans＇．Combined with $\star \mathrm{N} \mathrm{I}_{\text {1 }}$ ，ideo．in $\widehat{\star} \stackrel{\circ}{\odot}$ var．$\widehat{\star}$ $3 b d$＇month＇；for the reading cf．an O．K．personal name （ ${ }^{5} 3 b d w$ and Sacidic ebŏt＇month＇；in dates abbre－ viated as $\frown$ ，ex．${ }_{11} 3 b d 3^{\prime}$ month $3^{\prime}(\$ 264)$ ．In 軍の abbrev．へ $s$ sp＇palm＇（as measure $\S 266,1$ ）the sign has doubtless a different pictorial origin．${ }^{6}$ In some inscriptions ○ is written for spr，F $42 .{ }^{7}$


（Dyn．XVIII）
$13 \star$ combination of half of $\sim \mathrm{N}_{11}$ and $\star \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} 4}$

Ideo．in $\AA^{-2} \sigma^{1}$ var．$\AA^{2} \ldots n t^{3}$＇half－month festival＇．


Ni4 大 star
$15 \otimes$ star in circle
$16 \Longleftarrow{ }^{1}$ flat alluvial land with grains of sand ．．． N 33 beneath it
$17 \int^{1}$ alternative form of N 16
$18 \int$ sandy tract ${ }^{1}$


 Det．star，constellation，exx．朋笑通 ${ }^{2}$ Mshtyw＇the Great Bear＇；$\Delta \dot{\star}$ Spdt＇Sothis＇；time as indicated by
 （I）＇hour＇，（2）＇priesthood＇．Also semi－phon．dwe，exx．
 （in the morning）．In the word $\star_{\square}$＇netherworld＇（origin－ ally the place of the morning twilight，${ }^{5}$ popularly known as＇the Duat＇and in this work still transliterated dwest） the very common Pyr．var． $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ 国 $d s t$ probably indicates that the $w$ had fallen and that the pronunciation already approximated to the Old Coptic $t \bar{t}, t \bar{e} i^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $1038 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Lac．TR． $20,89 . \quad{ }^{3}$ As＇priesthood＇，Kopt．8， 4. ${ }^{4}$ Pyr．1087．$\quad{ }^{5}$ Sethe，Pyr．，Commentary， $149 . \quad{ }^{6}$ ÄZ． $38,87$.
 ＇netherworld＇，see $\mathrm{N}_{\text {I4 }}$ ，at end．
${ }^{1}$ Budge，p．14，i2．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr． 5.8 .802 and after．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr． 257 ． 272.
 $t_{3}$（rare），ex． $\boldsymbol{-}^{2}$ s $\xi^{5} t_{3}$＇mystery＇，＇secret＇．In group－ writing（ $\$ 60$ ）${ }_{5}$ is phon．$t^{3}$ Det．land，in ${ }^{2}{ }^{4} \underline{d} t$＇estate＇，

${ }^{1}$ O．K．exx．Pyr．75；7i 49．${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20088，c12；20683，a8．${ }^{3}$ Burchardt
 Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Common at all periods．As det．land in Dyn．III，see exx．under N 22．
Ideo．in $\sigma_{1}^{\sigma}$ var．$\overbrace{1 \pi} i z w^{2}$＇island＇．In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ） $\underset{\sigma}{\square}$ is phon．$i,{ }^{3}$ ex．$\sigma_{0}, 100^{4}$＇Irt＇Yareth＇（Syrian place－ name）．Det．desert or foreign country，exx．\％e var． $\sigma$ sht＇horizon＇，more exactly the land of the sun－rise ；${ }^{5}$
 Different signs，but with similar outline，are（ $\mathbf{I}$ ）the gar－ ment $ص$ ，see after S 26；（2）the cake $\square$ ，see after $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ ； （3）the oval，see $\square$ Z 8.
${ }^{1}$ Exx．showing the sand，D．el B．io $\left.(t)-d s r\right)$ ；Puy． $36(S t t) .{ }^{2}$ Reading from old varr．of iwy＇deprive of a ship＇，Pyr．I429．1742．${ }^{3}$ Burchardt $\S 21$ ．
${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．791， 237 ．${ }^{5}$ See under N 27 ．${ }^{6}$ In Pyr． 628.707 also of sea in $W_{3 d}$－wr＇the great green＇，as var of $ص \mathrm{~N}_{37}$ ．
$19 \rightleftharpoons$ the last twice repeated （often small s）
 horizon＇，＇Harakhti＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．590，13，qu．§507，2．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 337.

Sign-list
$\mathbf{N}_{20} \Longleftarrow$ tongue of land
$21 \quad$ tongue of land

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

Det. in $Y\rfloor ص^{1}$ var. Pyr. $\left\{\rrbracket_{1}^{{ }^{2}} w d b\right.$ 'sand-bank', 'shore'. Hence phon. wd $b$ in $\square \int_{\Omega^{3}}$ var. Pyr. $\left\{\downarrow \square \Lambda^{4} w d b\right.$ 'turn back' and derivatives. From O.K. onward a sign of like
 festival. ${ }^{5}$
${ }^{1}$ D.el B. ı16. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 29r. ${ }^{3}$ Louvre C $166 . \quad$ 4 Pyr. 808.
${ }^{5}$ Exx. Dyn. VI, Hamm. 63; Dyn. XII, Kopt. 9 ; Dyn. XVIII, D. el B. 37.
Det. land, especially in $\ \stackrel{\jmath}{\square}$ abbrev. ${ }_{\pi}^{\circ}, ~ \triangleright i d b$ 'bank', 'region'
 widely used in Dyn. XVIII, then often taking the place of
 - $\|_{1}{ }^{3}$ dmi'town'.
${ }^{1}$ Wb. i. ${ }^{1} 53 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Rekh. 3, 18, qu. Exerc. XXX, (iii). ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Urk. iv. 893, 7•
In wdd, see O.K. varr. under N 20. Det. land, exx. Dyn.

${ }^{1}$ Showing the sand, Munich, Inv. 204 (Abu Gurâb, Dyn. V). Sim. but reversed, Dav. Ptah. i. 11, no. $219=$ Ptah. (E.R.A.) 31, in the title hry wdbl, like last. ${ }^{2}$ Berl. ÄI. i. p. 79, 8. 10. ${ }^{3}$ Berl. AK. i. p. 93. Sim. 3ht, Urk. i. 12, 7.
 in Dyn. XVIIIII tends to be replaced by $\oslash$ N 21. In Dyn. XI-XII © or ${ }_{\pi}^{\odot}$ is found as det. of time, probably corrupted from $\varrho$ as used in words for 'to-morrow' and ' yesterday', ${ }^{\text {, }}$ exx. $\stackrel{-1}{-1 ®^{3} t r}$ 'season'; $\overbrace{-1}^{\circ} r k$ 'time'.
${ }^{1}$ Dyn. XI, Bissing-Bruckmann, Denkmäler 33 A (idbwy); Dend. il a (t3).
${ }^{2}$ AZ. 34, 28 ; Rec. 35, 80. ${ }^{3}$ Th. T.S. ii. 12 ; Meir iii. $16(t r)$. Sim. JEA.

 ' nome'; also in 舞 $d_{3} t t$ (?) $)^{2}$ 'estate'. Det. province, exx.
 also garden, in $\zeta_{\square}$ 冊 $^{3} h s p$ (Pyr. $\left.h z p\right)^{4}$ 'garden'.
${ }^{1}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. $13^{2}$; the reading $s p 3 t$ is suggested also by some varr. of the place-
name $S_{p 3}$, see $A Z .{ }_{5} 8,81$, n. $20 ; 82$, n. $4 . \quad 2$ Reading, see on $\mapsto$ Aa 8.
${ }^{3}$ Mission V $283 .{ }_{4}{ }^{4}$ Pyr. 126.

Ideo. or det. in $M$ var. $\$_{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ hast ( $h_{3} s t$ t) 'hill-country', 'foreign land'. Det. desert, exx. $\vec{\square} \cong$ varr. $\overparen{\mathbb{R}} \leadsto{ }^{2} \cong{ }^{2}{ }^{3}$ smt (zmt) 'desert', 'necropolis'; © in hrt'upland tomb'; $\downarrow$ Nis isbtt 'east'; also foreign countries, ex. $\therefore 0 \rightarrow \infty$
 $H_{3}$ ' (the desert-god) Ha'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 343, 16; 373, I 1 ; cf. O.K., Mar. Mast. p. $188 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Cairo 1622, cf. O.K. Gebr. ii. 8; smyt, Cairo 2coIt. ${ }^{3}$ Proof in title imy-r smwt isbtt, see Hier. p. 31; other exx., Siut i. 314. 322. 4Pyr. IoI3.

N26 sand－covered mountain over edge of green cultivation Of sun rising over moun－ tain

Q hill over which are the rays of the risingsun ${ }^{1}$
$29 \Delta$ sandy hill－slope ${ }^{1}$
 phon．$k$ ．

[^252]Ideo．in $Y_{1} d w^{1}$＇mountain＇，plur．$n^{2}$ dww．Hence phon． $d w^{3}$（later $d w$ ），exx．$\sim \backslash \nmid d w i$＇call＇；$\uparrow \ddagger \backsim 3 b d w$

${ }^{1}$ Coptic toou．The proposal to read tpy $m n n y \cdot f$ in the well－known title of Anubis ＇he who is upon his mountain＇（Rec．35，228）needs further investigation．
${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．i． $9 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Reading，see pdw，Pyr．IoI3；cf．Vog．Bauer，p．yo．
Ideo．in $\stackrel{O}{9}$ var．Pyr．为 $\Delta^{1}$ 3ht＇horizon＇（properly the place in the sky where the sun rises）${ }^{2}$ and its derivatives．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Pyr. } 154 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Bull. 17, } 189 .
$$

Ideo．in Pyr．${ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{kr}$＇hill of the sunrise＇and in hri ＇appear
 hrm，＇approach＇．
${ }^{1}$ De Buck，De egyptische voorstellingen betreffende den oerheuvel，Leyden，1922， p．63．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． $542 .{ }^{3}$ Wb．iii．243， 2 quotes an O．K．word in which the sign a is preceded by the alphabetic signs for hr；Coptic also points to hr rather than hr．$\quad{ }^{1}$ Gard．Sin． 33.
${ }^{1}$ mound of earth with shrubs ${ }^{2}$

31 皆菏 road bordered by shrubs ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Exx．Dyn．IV，Medum 11 ；Dyn．XVIII，D．el B． $116 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Bull．3， 145.
Ideo．or det．in 에 品 var． Det．road，exx． （with related words）；travel，in ©r＇mount up＇and derivatives；position in general，exx．${ }^{2}$＇here＇（ $\$ 205$ ）；



 ＇besides＇（ ${ }^{179}$ ）；and for superstitious reasons in place of $H_{r}$＇Horus＇on M．K．coffins ${ }^{5}$ ；also abbrev．ws in登 ${ }^{2}$ war $r$＇fall into＇a bad state．${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．O．K．，Medum 9；Saqq．Mast．i．39，no．47．${ }^{2}$ Leyd．V 3 （in a proper name）；Cairo ${ }^{20446, a}$ ．${ }^{3}$ Gebr．i．18．${ }^{4}$ Lit．＇he who fetched the distant one＇； see Unt．5，i＋1．${ }^{5}$＇$A Z .5$ 1，58．59．${ }^{6}$ Westc．9，12，qu．p． 420.
 of $\|$ In $\sin$＇clay＇．Also as alternative for o $\mathrm{F}_{52}$ in
 0 Aa 2.
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $1499 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Tili2．

Sign－list
$\mathbf{N}_{33} \circ$ grain of sand，pellet， or like．（For similar signs cf．○ D 12 and the circle，see after Z 8）
$34 \Sigma^{1}$ ingot of metal（Dyn． XVIII ；in Dyn．XI the sign resembles $\square \mathrm{W}_{13} ;^{2}$ in Dyn． III－V it resembles $0 \times 3{ }^{3}$ ）

35 mm ripple of water $\left(\right.$ rarely vertically［）${ }^{1}$

Det．sand，in $ص_{n}{ }_{1}$, sry＇sand＇；metal or mineral（often
 eye－paint＇，＇koḅl＇；medicaments，incense，etc．exx． $\mathrm{Z}_{3}{ }^{1}$ $\underline{t}$ ；＇pellet＇；$\ominus_{0}^{0} \mid$ phrt＇medicine＇，＇prescription＇．A sign of like appearance rarely takes the place of dangerous signs such as A 14 in religious documents，ex． －．．hftyw＇enemies＇；this practice dates from Pyr．${ }^{2}$ Sometimes $\cdots$ or ：is substituted for the plural strokes

${ }^{1}$ D．ell $B$. io．${ }^{2}$ ÄZ．51，18．63．＇Urk．iv．86， $3 . \quad$＇Urk．iv．1143， 13.
Ideo．in $\nabla_{0.0}$ ．＇copper＇，early perhaps read $b i$ s and later $h m t$（？）．${ }^{4}$ Det．objects of copper or bronze，exx．f $\delta^{5} \mathrm{rnh}$

${ }^{1}$ Puy．38．$\quad{ }^{2}$ JEA．4，Pl．9．$\quad{ }^{8}$ Medum 13 ；Palermo stone 5，4； rather different，Gebr．i．13，reg．3．4 $A Z .53,51$, n．2．The reading $b i /$ would be confirmed for early times if the compound word written with this sign JEA．4，Pl．9， 1．Io proved to be really bis－rwd，the Coptic barōt＇copper＇．The supposed later reading $h m t(?)$ is based solely on Coptic homent，homt． ${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．656， 2.
${ }^{7}$ Gard．Sin．5I． 159.
Cf．${ }_{\square}$ 은 $n t$ water＇．${ }^{2}$ Hence（？）phon．$n$ ．Perhaps phon．$n$ too when used as a substitute for $\mu \mathrm{D} 35$ both in $-n$ ＇not＇and in $=n n$＇not＇（§ 104）．In group－writing（§60）等，and does also $\prod_{11}^{0}$ in Dyn．XIX，to correspond to $l,{ }^{4}$ ex．
 ＇serf＇- replaces the sign of land $-\mathrm{N}_{17}$ ，a curious sub－ stitution（or error of transcription ？）found also in Pyr．${ }^{7}$
${ }^{1}$ Louve C i．${ }^{2}$ Sethe，Alphabet 153 ．${ }^{3}$ Burchardt $\$ 867.69 .71$ ．＇1b．§ 8 I ．
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．1194，2．${ }^{6}$ Cairo 20161． 7 Pyr． 1217 （ 18 ）；1713（kr）．
Ideo．in $\equiv m w^{1}$＇water＇．Hence phon．$m w, \mathrm{exx} . \square \equiv$ smw＇summer＇；四 쪼 $-h d m w$＇footstool＇；phon．$m$ in group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ），

 ＇sweat＇；actions connected with water，exx．肘 ＇wash＇；脮 4 영 $s w(r) i$＇drink＇．The composite det． $\equiv$（in hieroglyphic also $\equiv$ ）for rivers，lakes，seas



[^253]$\mathrm{N}_{36} \mp$ channel filled with Ideo. in $\square_{\Delta 1}^{2}$ var. Pyr. $\Sigma_{\square}{ }^{3} \mathrm{mr}$ 'canal', 'channel'. Hence water ${ }^{1}$ (later form as det. irrigated land ${ }^{[1} \mathrm{N}_{23}$ )
$37 \leftharpoondown$ garden pool
$38 \Longleftarrow$ garden pool with sloping sides (detailed form of $ص \mathrm{~N} 37)^{1}$
For see U 18 .
39 mum garden poolfull of water (alternative of $\square$ $\mathrm{N} 37)^{1}$

## Use as $ص$ N 37 .

${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Medum 9. 13 ; Ti 69; M.K., Berl. ÄI. i. p. ${ }^{254 .}$
$\mathrm{N}_{40} \approx$ combination of $ص \mathrm{~N} 37$ In $\pi \wedge \Delta m^{\prime}$＇go＇． and $\triangle \mathrm{D}_{54}$ ．

41well full of water ${ }^{1}$

Det．well，ex．© $\mathrm{g}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{hnmt}$＇well＇；pool，marsh，in
 lands＇．As substitute for the female organ（cf．O．K． ${ }^{\infty}{ }^{8}$ ）in O
 ＇rescue＇．Also as female organ in ${ }_{\square}^{0}{ }^{0}$ var．${ }^{0} 0$（here $\theta$ is det．）＇vulva，and derivatively＇cow＇，where the reading is more probably $i d t$ than $h m t ;{ }^{9 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{cf}$ ．above ${ }^{\rho} \mathrm{F} 45$ ．For
 $b i z$＇firmament＇；for the reading see $\\left\{\underline{\underline{D}} \sim^{11}\right.$ var．

${ }^{1}$ With interior zigzag lines for water，BH．iii．6，no．88；D．el B．16．${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．i． 27. Sim．O．K．$\xi_{d}$ wot，Berl．$\ddot{A} I$. i．p．71，cf．$\ddot{A} Z .4^{2}, 9 .{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．138，8．${ }^{4}$ Puy． $3^{66 .}$ ${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．587，3．${ }^{6}$ Montet 4．$\quad 7$ The proposed reading linw reposed mainly on Urk．iv． $5^{23}, 5$ ，but $P u y$ ． 30 shows the reading there to be false．See also $\ddot{A} Z$ ． 3，62．${ }^{8}$ From a sculpture at Abu Gurâb；cf．$n k$＇copulate＇，Pyr． 132 I ．${ }^{9}$ Urk． iv．257，9．$\quad{ }^{9 n}$ Full discussion and references，$A E O$ ．ii． $25^{* *}$ ；$W b$ ．iii． 76 reads hmt ．
${ }^{10}$ Hier．p．34；hardly a copper axe－head as proposed in Moll．Pal．i．98，n．i．
${ }^{11}$ Sh．S．23－4．$\quad 12$ Sinai 53， 3.
$42 \forall$ well full of water（a common alternative form of last）${ }^{1}$

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ With the zigzag lines，but having a straight line at top，O．K．Sah．48；Ti 128 （ $h m t$ ）．

## Sect．O．Buildings，Parts of Buildings，etc．

Oi $\square$ house

Ideo．in $\square_{1} p r^{1}$＇house＇，cf．the fem．collective 马悢 ${ }^{2}$ pryt＇houses＇．Hence phon．$p r$ in 马A pri＇go forth＇ and derivatives；only very rarely not initial $p r$ ，ex．$\dot{\square}^{3}$ for 捔 hpr ＇become＇．Det．house，building，exx．－ D rt

 in ل合 st＇seat＇，＇place＇；『号 $n s t$＇seat＇of office ；■号 sht＇horizon＇．
${ }^{1}$ Reading perhaps preserved in Coptic djenepör＇roof＇（p．8，n．2），also in Greek

${ }^{2}$ Haremhab 34．36． $3^{8}$ ；also in pryt Pr－C＇courts of Pharaoh＇，P．Kah．38， ro－1 I（Akhenaten），Coptic neprrepor．${ }^{3} A Z Z .57,3^{*} \cdot 5^{*}$ ，very rare．
$02 \quad \square$ combination of $\square \mathrm{O}_{1} \operatorname{In} \uparrow p r-h \underline{d}$＇treasury＇，lit．＇white house＇． and ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ combination of $\sqsubset \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ，In ${ }_{00 \mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{prt}$－ brw ＇invocation－offerings＇．${ }^{1}$ \｜P 8，o X 3，and $\sigma$ W 22.

Ideo．in $\pi^{\prime} \dot{b}^{1} h$＇room（？）＇．Hence phon．$h$ ．
${ }^{0}$ Probably a reed shelter of the kind still to be seen in Egyptian fields（Iversen）． A late sign－papyrus in Copenhagen gives pr $n$ sht＇field－house＇as one of several descriptions of the hieroglyph．${ }^{1} M . u . K .1,7$（with note）；Eb．25，16．The exact meaning is doubtful，but see $W b$ ．ii． $470,2$.
winding wall（also sometimes пu）

Det．in ©iी $\square^{1} m r r t$＇street＇；hence phon．or phon．det．$m r$
 unknown reason，phon．det．$n m$ in T珻－$n^{4}$ var．Pyr．「風 ${ }^{5} \mathrm{nmi}$＇traverse＇；also in cattle．
${ }^{1}$ BH．i．44，2．${ }^{2}$ Antirn．v．32，21．${ }^{3}$ Berl．ÄI．ii．p． $100 .{ }^{4} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 32$. ${ }^{5}$ Pyr． 1260. Sim．ib． $1370 . \quad{ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 49$.

6 rectangular enclosure seen in plan The full reading $h$ wwt，possibly later $h y t$ ，is suggested by the
 variant $\sigma_{0}\left\|Q_{i}\right\|^{3}{ }^{3} N b t-h y t$ ，together with the Coptic equi－ valent nefrem ${ }^{4}$ of the name of the goddess Nephthys． Hence the transliteration hwot has been adopted in this Grammar except for the divine name $H t-h r, \mathrm{Gk}$ ．＇$\theta \theta \nu \rho$ ， ＇Hathor＇where the element hat－is clearly in status con－ structus．However，in one passage of Dyn．XII Gim is written for $\hat{l}_{\Delta}^{\circ} \square h t t$＇quarry＇，giving to 回 the mere value $h$ ．
${ }^{1}$ Perhaps one of the large enclosures of reeds called in Arabic zaribah，the enclosed
portion roofed with stalks and reserved for the women and children（Calverley）．
${ }^{2} A Z .63,149 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Cairo，unnumbered coffin from Asyatt．${ }^{4}$ Preisendanz，
Pap．Graec．mag．i． $7^{2}$ ；cf．also the place－name $q \mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}, q \omega$ AEO．ii． $33^{*}$ ．
${ }^{6}$ Bersh．ii．p． 24.

9 目
alternative form of last Use as last．

$$
\left(\text { Dyn. XVIII) }{ }^{1}\right.
$$

 and $-\mathrm{O} 29 \quad{ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． $575,8 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Berl．ÄI．i．p． 78.
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Puy．40；Rekh． 16.
 and $\varnothing$ V 30

Nephthys＇．
${ }^{1}$ M．u．K．vs．5，4．$\quad{ }^{2}$ See above O 6，n．3．

Sign－list
$\mathrm{O}_{\text {Io }}$ combination of 1 O 6

II
palace with battle－ ments ${ }^{\circ}$

12 combination of 合 $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ In var．of r h＇palace＇，see last． and - D 36

 of last ${ }^{1}$

15 ［7］${ }^{1}$ walled enclosure with buttresses，and with the signs $\square \mathrm{W}$ io and $-\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}}$
$16{ }^{\text {岂 }}{ }^{1}$ gateway（？）surmount－ ed by protecting ser－ pents
$17 \mathbb{L m}^{1}$ O．K．form of last

18 shrine seen from side

19 primitive shrine

EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR
In var． $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{B}^{1} \mathrm{Ht}-\mathrm{hr}$＇（the goddess）Hathor＇． ${ }^{1}$ Sinai 80.
＇palace＇．
${ }^{0}$ Earliest depictions，Petr．$R T$ ．ii． 3,4 and within an enclosure like $\mathrm{O}_{13}$ ，ib．ii． 7，8． 9 ；later DAv．Ptah．i．12，no． 225 ；Hier．3，30．Not two－storeyed，Scharff， 22，n．64．${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．58，7．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．141．${ }^{3}$ Rec．14， 167 ；see Sphinx 13，157．

Det．in $\int \downarrow$ ص sbht＇gateway＇and in the related verb $s b h$ （sbb）＇wall in＇，＇＇enclose＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Urk．iv．174，9．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Exx．Pyr．585．636．Sim．with O ${ }_{14}, \ddot{A} Z .60,63$.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Urk．iv．422，2；AZZ．60， 63.
Ideo．in 回 ${ }^{1}$ varr．院号，吅 wsht（wsht）＇hall＇in palace or temple．

[^254] tsyt＇curtain＇．Hence semi－ideo．in the title of the vizier
 curtain＇．For th－wr＇larboard＇see on $\boldsymbol{A} S 22$.
${ }^{1}$ Rekh．4．${ }^{2}$ Mar．Abyd．i．19，a．${ }^{8}$ Lac．TR．21，85－6．${ }^{4}$ Th．T．S．ii． 14．17．${ }^{5}$ Budge，p．322，2，as epithet of Osiris．${ }^{6}$ Dav．Ptah．ii．6． 28 ； Saqq．Mast．i． 17.

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Ptah．i．12，no． 232.
 ＇shrine＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．D．el B．114；Urk．iv．168，15．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．130， $16 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr．${ }^{27} 6$.
Det．in 氡边 ${ }^{1} P_{r-w r}$＇Great House＇name of the pre－dynastic national shrine of Upper Egypt at Hieraconpolis（Nhn）；${ }^{2}$ also of itrt in $\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ itrt $\operatorname{smr}(y t)$＇the row of Upper Egyptian sanctuaries＇，as seen at the Sed－festival；${ }^{3}$ hence also as collective term for＇the gods of Upper Egypt＇${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．648；Brit．Mus．574，7．${ }^{2}$ Unt．v． 127 ，n． $2 .{ }^{3}$ JEA． 30,27 ；for references see $A Z .44$, 17．${ }^{4}$ See above p．291，with n． 3 ．
$\mathrm{O}_{20}$ 血 $^{1}$ shrine
Det．sanctuary，exx．信国 itrt＇row of sanctuaries＇；© 国 $^{2}$
 $P_{r-n s r}\left(P_{r-n z r}\right)$ ，names of the pre－dynastic national sanctuary of Lower Egypt at Buto（ $P$ ）．Hence 1 으ㅇㅔㅔ itrt mht＇the row of Lower Egyptian sanctuaries＇and collective term for＇the gods of Lower Egypt＇；see on O 19 ，together with nn．3， 4 there．
${ }^{1}$ Lisht, p. 37 . ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 167 , i. ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Pyr. 1438; Brit. Mus. 574, 8 .

- Pyr. 852 ${ }^{2}$ BUDGE, p. 88, 20; 319, 11 .

Ideo．or det．in 7国 var． 7 T且 ${ }^{1}$ sh．ntr $(z h-n t r)$＇the divine booth＇．
${ }^{1}$ Mitt．ix．PI．7， I ，in the title of Annbis hnty sh－ntr．Cf．Hier．p．${ }^{36}$ ．
$2 2 \longdiv { 7 }$ open booth supported by a pole

For（［）see $\mathrm{W}_{4} 4$.
23 hall used in the Sed－ festival ${ }^{1}$

Ideo．or det．in $l_{k} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}$ var． $\mathrm{m}_{\square}^{\prime} s h$ ，var．Pyr． $\mathrm{Im}^{1} z h$ ， ＇booth＇；hence phon．sh（ $2 h$ ）in 险期 sh＇counsel＇．In the combination（D）the sign $\mathbb{T}$ retains a value $h b$（ $h 3 b$ ） which it formerly possessed when used alone．${ }^{2}$

 ＇jubilee＇，＇Sed－festival＇
${ }^{1}$ See Unt．3，136．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．565，16．${ }^{3}$ Kopt．9．Sim．O．K．，Urk．i．97； 6. 4 Urk．iv．569， 8.
Det．in $\uparrow \Delta m r$＇pyramid＇，＇tomb＇and in names of specific royal pyramids，ex． 4 ＇the pyramid Amenemhēt－is－high－and－beautiful＇．${ }^{1}$ Hence also in $\stackrel{4}{=}$ を $\triangle \Delta \otimes M n-n f r$＇Memphis＇（p．183，n．1）．

$$
{ }^{1} \mathrm{See} A Z .3_{3}, 88 .
$$

Ideo．or det．in $\mathbb{D}^{1}$ var． $\mathbb{\natural}^{2}$ thn＇obelisk＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 366,13 ．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． 360,16 ．
 rhew＇station＇，＇stela＇．
${ }^{1} B H$. i．25，32．$\quad{ }^{2}$ BH．i．26， 14 I．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Rec．20，40，in the phrase rhew $n$ $N b$＇station of the King＇，see Unt．2， 40.
Det．hall of columns，exx．国同解＇office＇．From last，phon．or phon．det．$h_{3}$ in

${ }^{1}$ Rekh．4．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．257，1．${ }^{2} J E A .4$, Pl．8， 3 ；cf．Pyr．1639．${ }^{4}$ Puy．29， 5 in the name of the feast $h t-k r w y$＇night－ceremonies＇，cf．BH．i． 24 ；Urk．iv．27， 5 ．
28
I column with tenon at top

Ideo．in＇bizun＇column＇；for the reading cf．珀白号 var．


${ }^{1}$ Amada $14=$ Eleph．17．For further evidence see Sitz．Berl．Akad．1912，961．
${ }^{2}$ Sebekkhu 5．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．${ }^{1644 \cdot}$

Sign－list
$30 Y$ supporting pole
$3 \mathrm{I} ص^{1}$ door
$\mathrm{O}_{29} \sim$ wooden column ${ }^{1}$（also found vertically ${ }^{0}$ ）

32 䀢 $^{1}$ gateway
$33 \overline{\text { 而 }}^{1}$ façade of palace or tomb
$34-\infty$ bolt



 Palestinian place－name．
${ }^{1}$ As support of the booth $\mathrm{O}_{22}$ ，Medum 10．${ }^{2}$ P．Kah．13，2．${ }^{3}$ Louvre C 1 ， 10.
${ }^{4}$ Pyr． $1105 .{ }^{5}$ Burchardt，§ 26．${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．11，9．${ }^{7}$ Urk．iv．744，5． Ideo．or det．in $\mathbf{\Xi}^{ \pm} \Delta Y$ var．$Y^{1}$ shnt $(z \ln t)^{2}$＇support＇of heaven． For a similar sign，but reversed $\ell$ ，see after U 12 ．
${ }^{1}$ Four times repeated，＇the four supports＇，Urk．iv．843，2．${ }^{2}$ Reading with $z$ ， Pyr． 1559 ；Harh． 365.
Ideo．or det．in $\curvearrowleft$ var．$\square^{2}{ }^{1} 3$＇door＇；hence very rarely phon．rs，ex．口風 ${ }^{\text {r }}$＇smt＇Asiatic woman＇．Det．open，


[^255]䧄国 sbht＇gateway＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el $B$ ． $137 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． 845 ， 13 ．
Det．in 䧄扁 $s$ rh＇banner＇for the Horus name（p．72）．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 160,12 ．
Ideo．in T－${ }^{1} s(z)$＇bolt＇．Hence phon．$s(z)$ ．Also as substitute for $-\mathrm{R}_{22} \mathrm{in} \Theta \otimes^{2}$ var．Pyr．$\Theta \otimes^{3} \mathrm{Hm}$ ＇Letopolis＇，the modern Ausîm NW．of Cairo．${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．498， $11 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Cairo 20498；Harh．535．Det．with the shrine O 20， Cairo 20738．${ }^{3}$ Pyr． 1670 ． 4 From Dyn．XIX onward，however，-20 is often actually written at the beginning of this place－name（Gauthier，Dict．geogr． $\mathrm{V}_{45}$ ），which appears from the Gk．personal name Пєтєapßॄ大кıvios（gen．）$=P_{3}-d i-H r-$ $n b-S h n$ really to have read Shm or Sbl（SPiegelberg，Äg．u．gr．Eigennamen，i8＊，
no． 198 a）．

In a number of words implying motion and having $s(z)$ as a characteristic radical，exx．$\Pi \downarrow \Lambda$ rare var．$\Pi s b i$＇go＇， ＇pass＇，＇send＇； $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ si＇perish＇，later replaced by $\pi \downarrow \Omega$

 $s y(z y)$＇who ？＇，＇what？＇There is much confusion in the value of $\Pi$ ，owing to the tendency（ 1 ）to write $\Omega$ alone for $s b$ ，and（2）to write $\pi \downarrow$ for simple $s .^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $3^{88}$ ．$: ~ A Z Z .48,3^{1}$ ．
Ideo．or det．in 4 至 $\int[\text { var．}]^{2}$ inb＇wall＇．Det．wall，exx． $\left\|\mathbb{N}_{n}\right\|^{3}$ sbty＇surrounding wall＇；$๑_{00} ص^{3}$ wmtt＇bulwark＇， ＇fortification＇；ㅍ．］$\Omega^{4}$ snb＇overleap＇a wall．

[^256]$\mathrm{O}_{37} \leadsto$ falling wall

38 『 corner of wall

39 stone slab or brick （sometimes large like ص N 37 ）
$40 \leadsto$ stairway

41 double stairway

42 fence outside primitive shrine $1 \square \mathrm{O}_{19}{ }^{1}$

43 momi ${ }^{1}$ O．K．form of last
44 \＆emblem erected outside the temple of $\mathrm{Min}^{1}$

45 domed building

46 older form of last ${ }^{1}$

47 （1）a prehistoric building at Hieraconpolis ${ }^{1}$ （Dyn．XVIII form）${ }^{2}$

 ${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．780，7．${ }^{2}$ Cf．Puy．20．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Peas．B 1， 92.
『適 corner＇．Det．gate，in 용（rrt＇gate＇；corner（？），in
 $\therefore$ h $\mathrm{hry}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{tm}$ ，an obscure title．${ }^{1}$
o Palermo stone，vs．5，2；see too $A E O$ ．ii．no． 452 of On．Am．${ }^{1} A \ddot{Z} .40,96$ ．
 ＇valuable stone＇for vessels，etc．；${ }_{\text {a }}^{\text {a }} d b n$＇deben－weight＇

Det．stairway，exx．

${ }^{1}$ Sebckkhu 8．Reading，see Leyd．V 3，5．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv． 1031 ，6，in connection with Min：for the htyw＇platform＇of Min see Cairo 20703，a 5 ；also Legrain， L＇aile nord du pylóne d＇A ménophis III， 14 A；see too the elaborate study Kêmi ii．41．

Det．stairway，exx． 4 A䛼 ${ }^{1}$ ksy＇ascent＇，＇high place＇；

${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． $364,3 . \quad=A Z Z .46,98$ ．

 ${ }^{1}$ See the pictures of $\mathrm{O}_{19}$ Medumı 9 ；Sah． 22 ；Ann．25， $126 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr． 879. ${ }^{3}$ Siut 1， $225 .{ }^{2}$ P．Kiah．1，10；Peas．B 1，201．${ }^{5}$ De Buck，ii． 5.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 260 （W 387）．See too Scharff，13，n． 23 ．
Ideo．or det．in $\psi_{1}{ }^{2}$ var．A ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} i s t$＇office＇，＇rank＇．
${ }^{1}$ Sce Kopt．10，3；a divergent early form Junker，Giza I， $146 .{ }^{2}$ Commonest form，exx．Beni Hasan I，25， 11 ；Kopt．8， 11 ；Urk．iv．208．${ }^{3}$ Budge，482，16； $i_{s w t}$ ，given as principal form $W b$ ．i．29，if found at all early，is probably status pro－ nominalis，see § $7^{8}$ ．
 is possibly the fuller form of the same word．
${ }^{1} A Z .45,127$ ．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Urk. i. 100, 13 ; M.K., Bersh. ii. 21, top 16 ; Dyn. XVIII, Urk.
iv. $897,3$.
 el－Aḥmar in Upper Egypt．
${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .53,57 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Rekh．16；but also Dyn．VI，Gebr．ii．6；Dyn．XII，BH．ii． 14；Leyd．V 4，1．${ }^{3}$ Reading，Brugsch，Dict．Geogr．353；see too $\ddot{Z} Z .58,60$ and the alternative writing $M \vec{h} \boldsymbol{n}, A E O$ ．ii．no 320 of On ．Am．
$\mathrm{O}_{48}$（1）alternative form of last ${ }^{1}$
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Dyn．V，Sah．18；Dyn．XII，Th．T．S．ii．6；Dyn．XVIII，Paheri 8.
49 village with cross－roads
 $n(i z w) t y w(?)$＇those belonging to the lower heaven＇and the very late var．$\dot{U}^{2}{ }^{2}$ for Nav́кpatıs．Det．village， town，ex．个ิิ $W_{\text {sst }}$＇Thebes＇；inhabited region，in $\triangle$ §ి Kmt＇Egypt＇，lit．＇the black（land）＇；瞴 ${ }^{3} d_{3 t t}(?)$＇estate＇． ${ }^{1}$ Pyr． $146 \%$ ．The puzzling evidence suggests that the＇lower heaven＇had two
names，namely $N i(w) t$ and $N n t$ ，which are much confused in the writing．Crucial
passages are Pyr．149．446．1691．$\quad$ 2 AZ $Z .53,105 . \quad 3$ Peas．R 68 ；see Aa 8.

Det．in $\overrightarrow{\square 口}_{\Delta}{ }^{(2} \operatorname{spt}(z p t)$＇threshing－floor＇．Hence phon．or phon．det．$s p(z p)$ in $\xrightarrow[\square \ominus]{ }$ var．$\otimes s p$＇time＇，＇occasion＇and related words．Note ${ }_{\| 1}$ var．${ }_{\|} s p s n$＇two times＇as sign that a word or part of a word is to be repeated in reading
 ＇rejoice＇．For $\otimes$ in $\left\{_{\Theta}^{-} h s t-s p\right.$＇regnal year＇see p． 204.
${ }^{1}$ Hier．pp．27．67．$\quad 2$ Montet 213－14．
 raised mud floor ${ }^{0}$
${ }^{0}$ So Erman，Ägypten，577，n．3．probably rightly；for the shape of the heap，ef． Ti i24．Dav．Ptah．i． 36 thought the sign originally depicted a granary，and was only later interpreted as a heap of corn．However，both early（ib．28；Ti 84）and late（Erman，op．cit． 576 ）the actual granaries were dome－shaped．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．Ioso， 13 ．

## Sect．P．Ships and Parts of Ships

$P_{1}$ boat on water

 $n\ulcorner i$＇sail＇；© hdi＇fare downstream＇；also det．in ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} i z(y)$＇one without a boat＇．As abbrev．the sign presents difficulties； $70 k^{4}$ is doubtless $d p t-n t r^{5}$ ＇the divine bark＇；in T，게․ 6 ＇overseer of ships＇there is definite evidence in favour of rhrw，${ }^{7}$ but possibly rhrw is merely a later writing of，or more recent substitute for，$h$ rw；${ }^{8}$ the singular ak＇boat＇doubtless usually stood for $A \subset{ }^{9} \mathrm{imw}$ ，but once at least represents the


$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { Hamm. 114, } 14 \text {; Bersh. i. 14, } 7 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { BH. i. } 44,5 \text {; Rekh. 3, 34. }{ }^{3} \text { Wb. i. } 47 \cdot \\
& { }^{4} A \neq A Z .45, \text { Pl. VI, } 6 .{ }^{7} \text { s Adm. p. } 33 .{ }_{6} \text { ÄZ. 45, Pl. VI, } 6 \text {; Cairo 20023, s; } \\
& \text { Urk. iv. 153, 3. } \quad{ }^{7} \text { Compare Cairo 20143, } c \text { with ib. } b \text {; so too without plural } \\
& \text { strokes and followed by numeral, Cen. 90, 1, 5. 6. 8 AZZ. 32, 34. Possibly the } \\
& \text { relationship is like that of O.K. Sbw, Dyn. XVIII wsh ' breadth'. }{ }^{9} A Z .68,8 . \\
& 10 \text { Westc. 8, 3-4. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Det．in 뭈 pnr＇upset＇，＇overturn＇．
$\mathbf{P}_{2}$ ship under sail

Det．in
Ideo．or det．in var．wis＇sacred bark＇．Det． divine boats，exx．mendt＇bark of the dawn＇； ＂－nsmt＇the neshmet－bark＇，i．e．the sacred boat of Abydus．Also det．sail，when divine journeys are meant， ex．${ }^{2} d_{3 i}$＇cross＇sky，said of Rēc．
${ }^{1}$ For different forms see Ikhern．${ }^{1} 4$ ． 23 （ $n \mathrm{~s} m t$ ）；Cairo $20024=$ Mus．\＆g．i． 17 （ $m \mathrm{P} n d t$ ，msktt）；Urk．iv．366，6．7（mendt，msktt）． 2 D．el B． 114 ．
For see G $7^{*}$ ．For 㥿 see G 10 ．
 net


6 mast ${ }^{\circ}$

7 息 combination of ${ }^{\text {最 } \mathrm{P} 6}$ and $-D_{36}$
8 f oar（also often horizon－ tally in § 55 ）$^{\text {5 }}$
$9 \times \underset{\substack{\text { combination of } \\ \text { and }} \mathrm{I} 9 \mathrm{I} 9}{ }$
io $\lambda^{\text {steering oar }}$

II mooring post
together with the related words．
${ }^{1}$ Bersh．ii． 16 withont the plural strokes．Cf．Ṣacidic orwge＇fisherman＇．




${ }^{1}$ Reading，Pyr．309；see ÄZ．24，86．${ }^{2}$ Dümichen，Kalenderinschriften 35，49．${ }^{3}$ Lepsius，Todtenbuch，ch．99，23．Coptic neef．

${ }^{0}$ For the problem of the form（also in P 5，7）see Sah．II，p．16r．${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ That $h$ forms part of the reading is shown by a late spelling of $h \mathrm{f} w$＇limbs＇，BrUGSCH， Wörterbuch，Suppl． 272 ．
Use as last，ex．其，分1 ${ }^{1}$＇hrw＇ships＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． 702,15 ．
 from a word $\delta^{3}$ var．$b_{1}^{\circ}, 4$＇oar＇known only from the king＇s name ©〇b ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Nb}$－hrw（？）－Re＇Nebkherurē＇＇phon．hrw，
 ${ }^{1}$ NAv．99，23．$\quad{ }^{2}$ A secondary word，see $A Z .62,4$ ．${ }^{3}$ Value deduced only from phonetic use． 4 D．el $B$ ．（XI）iii．Pl．II．${ }^{5}$ Now recognized as distinct．from king Nebhepetréc，see Stud．Aeg．I 38－4I；also ÄZ．62， 3 ．

Det．in 0 ＇steersman＇．

Det．in $\xlongequal[=]{\Perp} \wedge^{1} \|^{1} m n i t$（minit）＇mooring post＇and the related words．In hieratic often indistinguishable from $\rangle \mathrm{T}$ I4 and consequently so usually transcribed．${ }^{2}$

[^257]
## Sect．Q．Domestic and Funerary Furniture


$2 \AA$ portable seat（some－ times reversed）${ }^{1}$
$3 \square$ stool of reed matting ${ }^{1}$




 ＇perish＇．

Ideo．in $\AA^{2}$ st＇seat＇（rare）．Phon．ws（ws）in rarer var． $\AA^{3} W$ sir＇Osiris＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Cairo 20023．34449．34085．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Kopht $7,16, a . \quad{ }^{3}$ A $Z Z .46,94$.
Cf．믐 ${ }^{2} p$ base＇（for shrine），Ptolemaic $\square^{\circ} \AA^{3} p$＇seat＇，Coptic pờ＇bench＇．Hence phon．p．${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Depicted Th．T．S．i． 15 ；the earliest forms suggest a stool－covering rather than an actual stool，but exx．of Dyn．II favour the latter，see PeTr．Eg．Hier．Pl． 38.
${ }_{2}$ Urk．iv．S34，6．${ }^{3}$ DÜmichen，Resultat ${ }^{51}$ ， $19 .{ }^{4}$ SeThe，Alphabet 152.

Det．in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{x}}$ wrs $(w r s)^{1}$＇head－rest＇．
${ }^{1}$ For ${ }^{\text {s see Saqq．Mast．i．i．}}$
$5 \longmapsto$ chest（varies much in Det．box，chest，exx．■صhn＇box＇；』صصrfdt＇chest＇． form）${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Bersh．i．10． 15 ；Urk．iv． 427 ，6；Petr．Abyd．ii． 34.
$6 \curvearrowleft$ coffin（varies much in form）${ }^{1}$
 in $\Delta$ P司曰 ers＇bury＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．BH．i． 12 ；Puy． 60.68.
7 brazierwith flame rising from it ${ }^{1}$

Det．fire，exx．© $A t$＇fire＇；${ }^{x} \mathbb{A}$ sdt＇flame＇；heat，exx．$-\mathcal{A}$ $r k h$＇heat＇；领能 $t_{3}$＇hot＇；cook，etc．，exx．ㅁ月 $p s i$
 ＇torch＇，＇candle＇．Also abbrev．$A^{2}$ for 1 it $\operatorname{srf}(s r f)$ ＇temperature＇；$A A n s r s r(n s r s r)$ in ${ }^{\circ} A A A^{3}$ I Iw－nsrsr，a mythical locality．
${ }^{1}$ Meir ii．p．34．${ }^{2} E b .24,6=46,10 . \quad{ }^{3}$ See the varr．NAv．110，17．19．

## Sect．R．Temple Furniture and Sacred Emblems

 jug
$2 \frac{1110 ~}{11}^{1}$ table with convention－ alized slices of bread （alternative form of last）

3 ． 3 four－legged table with loaves and libation vase ${ }^{1}$
$5 \sim^{1}$ censer for fumigation ${ }^{2}$ （after O．K．doubt－ less misunderstood）
$6 \mathbb{U}^{1}$ O．K．form of last
$7 \Delta^{1}$ bowl for incense with smoke rising from it
 of offerings＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．37．Often the round loaf is on the left，exx．Paheri 4 ；Urk．iv．163， 7 ．
${ }^{2}$ Siut $1,240 . \quad{ }^{3}$ See on L $6 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Cairo 20667．
 of offerings＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B． 1 40．Sim．O．K．，Sah．63．${ }^{2}$ Louvre C $11,7 .{ }^{3}$ D．el B． 140.
${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20712，a6．
 －${ }^{3} \frac{10}{T}{ }^{3}$ wdhw，＇table of offerings＇．Also as abbrev．， especially in the title 䧋両 ${ }^{4}$ ss wdhw＇scribe of the offering－table＇．

[^258]Ideo．in $\frac{\theta_{0}^{1}}{\Delta}$ var．O．K．$\frac{\AA}{i}^{2} h t p$＇altar＇．Hence semi－phon． $h t p$ in $\frac{\&}{\Delta a t p}$＇rest＇，＇be pleased＇and derivatives；the writing $\frac{\ell \Delta}{\circ}$ is not uncommon in M．K．proper names and occurs also in a hieratic ligature of the same date．${ }^{3}$
${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus．590．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．i．107，17．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Ex．Leb．23；see $A Z Z .29,54$.
Ideo．or det．in 保 var．Pyr．员呙 ${ }^{3} k$＇fumigate＇．Hence


${ }^{1}$ Ex．Dyn．XVIII，D．el B．r39．The same form，but reversed，already Dyn．V， Saqq．Mast．i．2I．Sometimes in Dyn．XVIII somewhat resembles a wrist and hand， exx．Two Sculptors 8；Urk．iv．997，6；later interpreted as a claw．${ }^{2} \ddot{A} Z .50,66$. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr．184．Sim．ib．803．${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．997，6．Sim．k3pw＇crocodile＇，Pt． 262. ${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．535，6；Sin．R 53.

Use as last．
${ }^{1} T_{i} \mathrm{I} 32$ ，over a scene of fumigation．

 ${ }^{15} b_{3}$＇soul＇；also in $b_{3}$＇ ram ＇．${ }^{6}$


Sign－list

12 \％standard for carrying religious symbols

R 8 ๆ
cloth wound on a pole， emblem of divinity ${ }^{1}$

98
combination of 9 R 8 and 8 V 33

Io ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ combination of 9 R 8 and $\triangle T 28$ and $\triangle \mathrm{N} 29$

$$
\text { and } \triangle T 28 \text { and } \triangle \mathrm{N} 29
$$

II 看 column imitating a bundle of stalks tied together ${ }^{1}$
$13 \mathrm{P}^{1}$ falcon G 5 on $T$ $\mathrm{R}_{12}$ with feather （O．K．to Dyn．XII）

14 \＆abbrev．of last，omitting falcon and enlarging feather（from Dyn． VI onward）${ }^{1}$
$15 \frac{0}{4}$ spear decked out as standard ${ }^{1}$

Ideo．in $ๆ_{1} n t r$＇god＇．Hence phon．（semi－ideo．）$n t r$ ，ex． 7 요 \｜try．var．Pyr． $\bar{q}^{-2} n t r(i)$ ，＇divine＇．Very rarely det．for a god，ex． 8 ل $^{3}{ }^{3} G b b$＇Geb＇，Gk．K $\hat{\eta} \beta$ ．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { See Newberry, JEA. } 33 \text {, } 90 \text {; Meir ii. p. 35; Saqq. Mast. i. p. } 45 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ideo．or det．in $\mathcal{J} \boldsymbol{f}$ var． $\mathcal{F}^{1} b d^{\prime}$（ a kind of）natron＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el $B$ ．io．In Pyr．ideo．in ntr＇nitre＇（ $P y r$ ． 1368 ），det．in hamn＇natron＇（ $(i$.$) ．）．$
Ideo．in var． $9 \mathbb{M} \leadsto \underline{h} r(t)-n t r^{2}$＇necropolis＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Paheri 3．${ }^{2}$ JEA． 24,244 ．
 Pyr．匀弗 ${ }^{2}$ d $d i$＇be stable＇，＇enduring＇and derivatives． The twofold writing of the sign in the town－name 群 doubtless indicates the change of value from $d d$ to $d d$ ， see the varr．§ 289 ，i．

Det．in 4 ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}+i s t$＇standard＇．Also accompanying various ideograms for gods，exx． $\bar{T}$ Mnw＇（the god）Min＇；$\frac{\sim}{T}$
午 $\mathrm{G}_{26}$ ；\＆ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I} 3}$ ．

As emblem of the West，ideo．in $\psi_{\text {innt }}$ imest＇and the related words．For the reading compare Pyr． $1=4{ }^{2}$ imn ＇right＇，＇right－hand＇．
${ }^{1}$ Sah．5．The forms differ greatly，see Sethe，Rechts 21 I．Exx．Dyn．XI，Petr． Abyd．ii．24．25．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 730.

Ideo．in $\beta$ M imnt＇west＇and related words，including $f$
 side，etc．
${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Rechts $215 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr．1002．See Sethe，Rechts 199.
As emblem of the East，ideo．in $\uparrow \downarrow{ }_{i} i_{3} b t$＇east＇and related
 From Dyn．XVIII on，by confusion with $f \mathrm{U} 23$ ，phon． 36 ，

${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Rechts $220 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr．730．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．11，49．${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．28， 1. with feathers ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ With many variant forms，see Meir i．p． 2 ；ii．p． 38.
$\mathrm{R}_{17}$ wig，with fillet and plumes，on pole ${ }^{1}$ （Dyn．XVIII）

18 急 variant form of last
19 § the uas－sceptre 1 S 40 with fillet and feather

20 閣 ${ }^{1}$ conventionalized flower （？）surmounted by horns

21 录 $^{1}$ O．K．form of last
$22 \infty$ two fossil belemnites？${ }^{1}$
$23{ }^{1}$ O．K．form of last

24 ソ－${ }^{1}$ two bows tied in a package ${ }^{2}$（sometimes also vertically $\rceil$ §）
 nome of Abydus or This＇．
${ }^{1}$ See Winlock，Bas－reliefs from the temple of Rameses I at Abydos，p． 15. ${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．III， 13.

Use as last．
As emblem of the Upper Egyptian nome of Hermonthis and its town，ideo．in 1® $W_{3 s t}\left(W_{3} s t\right),{ }^{1}$ var．Dyn．XX

${ }^{1}$ Reading further proved by demotic，see Möller，Die beiden Totenpapyrus
 Stories of the High Priests of Memphis，p．2，n．2．${ }^{2}$ Brit．Mus． 303.

As emblem of the goddess of writing ideo．in $\log ^{2} S y^{2} t$ ，
 Seshat＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．55．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．19，14．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．616．${ }^{4}$ Louvre A 97，qu．PSBA．16， 252.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Saqq．Mast．i．I（Dyn．III－IV）．
As emblem of the god of Panopolis（Ekhmin）and of
 Mnw＇（the god）Min＇，Greek Miv．${ }^{3}$ The name of Letopolis（Ausim）in the Delta ${ }^{-}{ }^{4}$ reads Hm ，as the var． Pyr．${ }^{5}$ shows ；from M．K．onwards－O 34 is often substituted for - ，ex．${ }^{-}$，see on $\mathrm{O}_{34}$ ．Hence phon． km in © var．© ${ }^{6}{ }^{6} \mathrm{gm}$＇shrine＇．
${ }^{1}$ Annals of Archaeology and Anthropology（Liverpool）3，50．The earliest exx． resemble a double－headed arrow．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． $424 .{ }^{3}$ Plutarch，De Iside 56. 4 Cairo 20221 ；sim．Pyr． $1270 . \quad$ B Pyr．1670．For the localization at Ausim see Ann．4， 91 ；Rec．26，144．$\quad{ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．96，4．This word has no connexion with the Gk．town－name Chemmis and its modern descendant Ekhmim，the Egyptian original of which was $H n t-M n w$ ，see $A Z Z .62,9^{2} ; A E O$ ．ii． $40^{*}$ ．

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Saqq．Mast．i． 8.
As emblem of the goddess of Sais，ideo．or det．in＂alis ${ }^{3}$

${ }^{1}$ D．el B．116．${ }^{2}$ Ancient Egypt 1921，35．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．414，5．${ }^{4}$ D．elB．116． ${ }^{5}$ Reading，$\ddot{A} Z .43$ ，144．The Gk．form N $\eta i \theta$ suggests a medial $l$ or $r$ ．

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Ti 46.

## Sect. S. Crowns, Dress, Staves, etc.

S I $\int$ white crown of Upper Egypt ${ }^{0}$

Ideo. or det. in $\varphi \triangleright \|^{1}$ var. $\|^{2} h d \underline{d}$ ' the white crown'. Det. white crown, exx. $\ddagger-\|^{3}{ }^{3}$ mr-s 'crown of Upper Egypt'; Sol ${ }^{4}$ wrrt'great crown'.


2 © the last in basket $\square$ V 30

Ideo. or det. in $9^{2} \delta^{1}$ var. $\delta^{2} h d t$ 'white crown'. Det. white crown, in $\boldsymbol{S}^{3}$ wrrt 'great crown'.
${ }^{1}$ Lac. TR. 89, 35. ${ }^{2}$ Kopt. $8,8 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 16 , 11 .
$3 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{red}$ crown of Lower Egypt ${ }^{0}$

Ideo. or det. in ${ }^{2} y^{1}$ var. $\xi^{2} d \xi r t$ 'red crown'. Det. red crown, ex. 触- $y^{3} m h$-s'crown of Lower Egypt'. From Pyr. "'" ${ }^{3}{ }^{4} n t$ 'crown of Lower Egypt' phon. $n$, rare before Dyn. XVIII. ${ }^{6}$ Substituted for $\$ \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ for superstitious reasons ${ }^{6}$ in $\mathscr{y}^{6}{ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ sdjwety (?) bity 'treasurer of the king of Lower Egypt'; also in ${ }_{\square}^{7} 母_{8}^{8} n$-sw-bit 'king of Upper and Lower Egypt'.

$4 \mathcal{H}$ the last in basket $\sigma$ V 30

5 combined white and red crowns ${ }^{0}$

6 the last in basket V 30 double crown'; ${ }^{\text {i }}{ }^{3}$ wrrt ' the great crown'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 565,14 . ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 278, 6 . ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 255, 7.
7 the blue crown ${ }^{1}$
8 the atef-crown ${ }^{1}$

9 two plumes

${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .53,59 . \quad{ }^{2}$ P. Boul. xvii. 3, 3-4. $\quad$ Br. Thes. 1077.
Ideo. or det. in ${ }^{2}$ var. ${ }^{2} t f$ 'the atef-crown'.
${ }^{1}$ Abubakr, 7 ; an early ex. Sah. 38, Dyn. v. ${ }^{2}$ Rec. 39, 117 .
Ideo. or det. in $\beta_{n}^{\circ} \square^{1}$ var. $\square^{2}$ swety 'double plumes'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. III, 8. ${ }^{2}$ Urk.iv. 48, 6.
$S$ io $\propto$ band of cloth as fillet
Ideo. or det. wreath, exx. ${ }^{1} \alpha^{1}$ wshw 'wreath'; $\alpha^{2}$ var. $\alpha\{$ var. O.K. ${ }^{2}\left\{\alpha^{3} m d h\right.$ 'fillet'. From this last, phon. $m d \underline{h}(m d h)$ in $9{ }^{6} \Xi^{4} m d h$, var. A-d-5 $m d h$, 'hew' and the related noun $\underline{s}^{6}$ varr. $\alpha\left\{,{ }^{7} \alpha^{8} m d h(w)\right.$ 'carpenter', 'shipwright'.
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 826. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 828, in this and the ex. quoted under 3 often
wrongly translated 'girdle'. ${ }^{3}$ Urk. i. 98, 12 , in the phrase $t s m d h$ as above n. 2.
4 Urk. iv. 56, $13 . \quad$ S Urk. iv. 778, 14. Sim. mdh, ib. 707, $14 .{ }^{6}$ Cairo 588.
${ }^{7}$ Cairo 2044I. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Brit. Mus. 223.

II (c) collar of beads with falcon-headed terminals
 occasionally phon. or phon. det. wsh (wsh), exx. $\sigma^{3}$ wsh 'breadth'; $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{4}$ swesh 'widen'.
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20539, ii. $b$ 8. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Mitt. 8, 17 ; Urk. iv. 54, 3. ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 142, 10.
4 Urk. iv. 83, 3.
12 collar of beads Depicted with the name "IMO nbyt 'collar'. ${ }^{1}$ Hence ideo. in … var. $\left.m b\right|^{2} n b w w^{3}$ 'gold' and the related words.
 'silver'.
${ }^{1}$ Jéq. 60; Rec. 35, 23 I. $\quad{ }^{2}$ BH. i. 8, 3 . $\quad{ }^{3}$ Reading from Coptic noub 'gold', etc. See too $A Z .8,20$.

and $\perp \mathrm{D} 58$
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 826, 3.
 and $9 \mathrm{~T}_{3}$
 and 1 S 40
$15 \frac{503}{0 / 0}^{1}$ pectoral of glass or fayence beads (Dyn. XVIII form)
 $t h n t$, 'fayence', ' glass', and in other words from the stem $t h n$ 'sparkle', 'be dazzling'.
${ }^{1}$ Mölt. Pal. ii. no. $417 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Mar. Mast. I 13 .
$16{ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Mar. Mast. I13, qu. under S 15.
${ }^{17} \mathrm{~m}^{1}$ another O.K. form of Use as last. S 15
${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 454 (W 563 ).
18 (1) ${ }^{1}$ bead - necklace with Ideo. or det. in $\underset{=}{\mu}$ ¢ counterpoise $\quad{ }^{1}$ Puy. 53.54. ${ }^{2}$ Gard. Sin. 100.
$\mathbf{S}_{\text {I9 }}$ @ cylinder-seal attached to bead-necklace ${ }^{1}$

20 \& cylinder-seal attached to bead-necklace (as seen from the front $)^{1}$

21 O ring (possibly a plain finger-ring)
$22 \boldsymbol{f}^{1}$ shoulder-knot ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1} 7^{*}\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{10}\right)^{1}$ girdle as worn by various gods (Pyr.) ${ }^{2}$

23 knotted strips of cloth $^{1}$

24 girdle knot ${ }^{1}$
$25 \square^{1}$ a garment

EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR
 $s d_{3 w t y w}$ (?), and in the related $@^{4} s d_{3} w(?)$ 'precious'.
${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .35,106 . \quad 2$ Reading doubtful; see $\ddot{A} Z .3^{2}, 66 ; 36,146 ; 37,86$.
${ }^{3}$ Munich 3, 15, qu. § 212 . ${ }^{4}$ Gard. Sin. III.
Ideo. or det. in 9 var. $\&^{2} \mathrm{htm}$ 'seal' and related words.

 value (§ 266,4 ). Also as substitute for $\cap \mathrm{S}$ 19, ex. पौ sdizwty (?) bity ' treasurer of the king of Lower Egypt'; ${ }^{4}$ also as substitute for E E $3 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{srh}) .{ }^{6}$
${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .35$, 106. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cairo 20056, $c$, in the title $s \Varangle \mathrm{hr} \mathrm{htm}$. ${ }^{3}$ Siut 5, 7.
 exx. Pyr. 219; Cairo 20520, $d$ 6; Budge, 241 , 3 .

Det. ring, ex. similar, if not identical, sign in $\bar{\square} \times 0^{2}$ ssw 'ring' (of silver).

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Wb. i. 5I. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { Urk. iv. } 701,12 .
$$

Phon. st (st), ex. $\boldsymbol{R}_{\infty}^{\infty} S t t$, var. $\mathcal{M}_{\square}^{\infty} S t t,(\mathrm{I})$ 'Asia', (2) 'Sehêl', an island in the First Cataract ; ${ }^{3}$ also st in $\boldsymbol{N}^{-4}$ var. $\mathrm{y}^{2} \circ$ = sti 'pour'. Also, for unknown reason, ideo. or det. in O.K. Ms ${ }^{5}$ var. $\boldsymbol{M}^{6} t s-w r^{~ ' l a r b o a r d ' ; ~}$ here later apparently replaced by $\mathrm{O} 17 .{ }^{7}$

[^259]Ideo. in name of the goddess 'Shesmetet'. Phon. $\check{s} m$ in ${ }^{1015}{ }^{3}-00{ }^{4}{ }^{4}$ ysmt 'malachite'; also in - (imin $m^{5} T_{3}-S \check{S N}_{s m t}$ 'To-Shesmet', a region E. of Egypt.

1 Pyr. iI36. In M.E. the form varies greatly (two varr. in above text), sometimes approximating to $\boldsymbol{F}_{22}$ or even to S 12. ${ }^{2}$ Griff. Stud. 316. ${ }^{3}$ Op.cit. 318; Rec. trav. 24, 198. 4 Urk. iv.875. ${ }^{5}$ BIRCH, Alnwick Castle, Pl. 4.

Ideo. or det. in 'unite' and derivatives. Different from $\mathcal{M}$ Aa 6.
${ }^{1}$ This conventionalized form, Rekh. 3; earlier forms, $A Z .39,84 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr. 1036.
Ideo. in ${ }^{2} \underline{t s t}(\mathrm{I})$ 'knot', (2) 'vertebra'. Hence semiideo. in $t s$, var. Pyr. $\underline{t z}$, 'tie', 'bind' and derivatives.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { ÄZ. 49, 120. } \quad{ }^{2} \text { M.и.K.K. 8, 3. }{ }^{2} \text { Pyr. } 1805 .
$$



$\mathbf{S}_{26}$ 用 apron
$\left(\mathrm{N}_{1} 8\right) \int^{1}$ a garment
$27 \varliminf^{1}$ horizontal strip of cloth with two strands of a fringe ${ }^{2}$
$28 \xrightarrow{\sim}$ stripofcloth with fringe， combined with the folded cloth $\uparrow$ S $29^{1}$
 sndwt，＇apron＇．
${ }^{1}$ Rekh．4．$\quad 2$ Pyr．369．

${ }^{1}$ ÄZ．49，106．A form $\quad{ }^{2}$ also occurs，Dend．3．Peas．Butler 29；Westc． 10， $2 . \quad 3$ P．Berl．10003，24，in MöLl．Pal．i．Pl． $5 \cdot$

${ }^{1}$ Sometimes with three（Urk．iv．175，3）or more strands．${ }^{2}$ JÉq．38．That the vertical signs are strands，not single threads，is shown by Medum 16.
${ }^{3}$ Turin 1447．${ }^{4}$ See p． 172.

 notions connected with clothing，exx．血 14T hsy＇naked＇；

${ }^{1}$ O．K．forms supporting this interpretation are：Dav．Ptah．i．14，no．288；Saqq． Mast．i． 21 ；L．$D$ ．ii．Ió3，a．For variant forms appearing to combine $\delta \mathrm{V} 33$ and \｜S 29 see Ti ini；Prtrie，Giseh and Rifeh I3 g．

Phon．$s(s)$ ；the originating word is unknown．Abbrev．for阬 snb in the formula $9 \|_{\|}$rnb wds snb＇may he live，be prosperous，be healthy＇（\＄55．313）．
${ }^{1} \ddot{A} Z .44,76$ ．This cloth is seen in the hands of many statues and was probably used as a handkerchief，Rec．21，26．See too $\ddot{A} Z .58,151$ ．

Phon．$s f$ in $\uparrow \odot s f$＇yesterday＇． and I 9

3I B combination of $\uparrow$ S 29 and $>\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}$
$32 \int^{1}$ piece of cloth with fringe ${ }^{2}$

${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．2，Iz．
 cloth＇．Hence phon．siz（siz）in ص县 $s i 3$ ，var．O．K．阵 ${ }^{5}$ siz，＇recognize＇．

Ideo．or det．in $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{1}$ var．Pyr．$ص \downarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} t b t$ ，var．Dyn．XVIII $\square \square \ell^{3} t b t$ ，＇sandal＇．Hence semi－phon．or phon．det．$t b$ ，


$$
{ }^{1} \text { Cairo 20318, } b 7 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { Pyr. } 578 . \quad \text { S Urk. iv. 390, 16. } \quad \text {,LAC. TR. }
$$

$$
\text { 23, } 19 \text {; Dyn. XVIII, } t 6, \text { Leyd. V } 38 . \quad \text { MÖLL. } H L . \text { i. } 18, \text { qu. p. 354, n. } 4 .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{5}{ }^{5} \text { Urk. i. } 128,5 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

> S 34 \& tie or strap, especially sandal-strap ${ }^{1}$ (as symbol of life known as 'the $a n k h$ ')

Ideo. in $f^{2}{ }^{2} r n h$ 'sandal-strap'; semi-ideo. (from resemblance) in $f^{\prime} j^{3} \mathrm{rnh}$ ' mirror', etc. Hence phon. rnh, ex. f-anh 'live'; for the initial r cf. Ptolemaic var. = $=11 f^{4}$ rnky and demotic.

${ }^{1}$ Hastings, Encyclopedia of Religion and Ethics, art. Life (Egyptian); Revue archéologique, 1925 , 101 ; against this view, Schïfer, Griff. Stud. 426. ${ }^{2}$ Lac. 


${ }^{1}$ Griff. Stud. 426 ; Mitt. Kairo iv. 2. From Dyn. III found as decorative symbol in company with \& S 34 and \# R irt to signify 'life', 'welfare', or like.
${ }^{2}$ BUdGe, p. $403,3.7$. ${ }^{3} B$. of D. ed. Lepsius, Pl. 75 ; also as enigmatic sign for $-t(i)$ in writing of the name of Sethos I, $A n n .40,310$. Of red jasper or glass, in $B$. of $D$. ch. 156 connected with Isis, see $\ddot{A} Z .15,33 ; 62,108$.

Ideo. in $Q_{1}^{0}{ }^{1}$ var. Pyr. $\Delta \hat{Q}^{2}$ swot 'shadow', 'shade'. Ideo. or det. in ${ }^{-14} \Phi^{3}$ var. $\Phi^{4}$ sryt ' (military) standard'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 1165,16 . Whether this writing has ever to be read $h 3 y b t$, another word
for 'shadow' found in Dyn. XX and perhaps earlier, is very doubtful; see $A Z Z .39,120$.
The actual word for 'sunshade' bht is not attested before Dyn. XIX; the older nft
(Wb. ii. 250, 10) means 'fan'. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. $1487 .{ }^{3}$ Th. T. S. iii. 21. ${ }^{4}$ Th. T.S.
iii. 23.

Use as last. In the rare divine name $\uparrow_{0} \uparrow H p(w y)$ 'Hepui', doubtless a personification of the two sunshades accompanying the king; ${ }^{3}$ the reading is ascertained from varr. of a very late word showing the signs A before that of the fan. ${ }^{4}$ ${ }^{1}$ Leyd. Denkm. i. $7 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Dyn. XII, ÄZ. 39, 117,8 ; Dyn. XVIII, Th. T. S. i. 23. ${ }^{3} J E A .30,29$, with n. 4 ; AZ. 77, 24. ${ }^{2}$ Wb. iii. 69, 1 i.

Ideo. or det. in $\Theta \rightarrow \beta^{2}$ var. $f^{3} \mathrm{bw}$ 'fan'.
${ }^{1}$ See the pictures Th. T.S. iii. 12. 28. ${ }^{2}$ Th.T.S. iv. 38, G. ${ }^{3}$ Commonly so in the title $t 3 y$ hw'fan-bearer', ex. Amarn. i. 34.

 measure' ( $\$ 266, \mathrm{I}$ ). Also usually replaces the awet-sceptre
 Pyr. $-0^{5}$ rwt awet-sceptre'. From the stem $i, k$ found in two Pyr. words ${ }^{6}$ comes the rare divine name $\uparrow \uparrow \ell$ var.
 royal apparel, later reading Hks. ${ }^{8}$

[^260]$\mathbf{S} 39$ peasant＇s crook（N．B．Cf．- rwet，name of the sceptre of the shape $\eta .{ }^{1}$ Usually not curved backward replaced in hieroglyphic writing by $\}$ S 38 ，but occasionally like \｛ S 38）

${ }^{1}$ Cairo 28034，no． $69=$ Lac．Sarc．i．Pl．45．${ }^{2}$ Bersh．i．27．Sim．O．K．，Berl Äl．i．．p．76，I；Dav．Ptah．ii． 18. sceptre with straight shaft and head of Seth（？）－animal ${ }^{1}$（cf． too $\%$ R 19 ）
 hence phon．or phon．det．wess（ws＇s），ex．股 varr． 1 1 ．${ }^{3}$ wsi＇decay＇．In hieroglyphic writing 1 usually represents also the djam－sceptre $\left\{\mathrm{S}_{4 \mathrm{I}}\right.$ ，exx．马\＄

 ＇the Oxyrhynchite nome＇，on the evidence of a twice found


 ȦZ． 41 ， 75 ．${ }^{4}$ Pyr． 1466 ；Lac．TR． 19,50 ．${ }^{8}$ Reading，$A Z .41,73$ ；44， 132 ；

 the name of a godess $13 t$ ，see $P y r$ I 13 r．


4 I sceptre with spiral shaft and head of Seth（？）－ animal ${ }^{1}$
 phon．$\frac{d r m}{}$ ，occasionally in inscriptions of Dyn．XVIII in

${ }^{1}$ JĘ． 176 ；the spiral is well seen in de Morgan，Dahchour 1894，p．96，Fig． 224. ${ }^{2}$ Ex．Cairo 28034，no． $65=$ Lac．Sarc．i．Pl．45．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv． 42 I，I I．See AZ．44，i32．
\＆sceptre of authority ${ }^{1}$ （it is impossible to distinguish separate forms for the various uses）





 and related words，cf．$\omega \mathrm{D} 44$ ．In titles $f$ is possibly always to be read $h r p$ ；at all events this reading is
 nsty＇controller of the two seats＇，a priestly title ；${ }^{8}$ 搭盆
 undertake works＇，＇constructions＇． 9

[^261]Sign-list
S 43 』 walking-stick ${ }^{1}$

Ideo. in $\square_{2}^{\prime}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ var. Pyr. $-\|^{3} m d(w)$ 'walking-stick', 'staff'. Hence phon. $m d$ in $\|$ var. Pyr. $\int^{4} m(w) d w^{5}$ 'speak' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ JEQ. 159. Important for the use as a walking-stick is the title $m d w l_{1 z w}$ 'staff of old age', Griffith, Kahun Papyri, p. 30. ${ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 23, 21. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1144. ${ }^{4}$ Pyr. 1014. ${ }^{5}$ Reading, Verbumt i. §481.
 gellum 1 S 45 ${ }^{2} \quad{ }^{1}$ Ex. Medum, frontispiece. ${ }^{2}$ JÉQ. 163 ; Wb. i. ir.
 originally an instru- 'flagellum'. ment used by goat herds for collecting
${ }^{1}$ Newberry, JEA. 15, 86; see too Jeq. 187; the conventional name'flagellum' is here retained. ${ }^{2}$ Nav. ch. 182, 14 . ${ }^{3}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. 164. Cf. nh3h 3 'shake', Pyr. $2204 . \quad 4$ Leyd. Denkm. iv. 28. ladanum ${ }^{1}$

## Sect. T. Warfare, Hunting, Butchery

T I $)^{1}$ prehistoric mace with Cf. $\underset{=}{\boldsymbol{m}} \mathbf{~ m n w ~ ' m a c e ' , ~ n a m e ~ o f ~ t h i s ~ t y p e ~ o f ~ m a c e ~ o n ~ M . K . ~}$ cup- or dish-shaped coffins. ${ }^{3}$ Hence phon. muv, exx. - var. Pyr. ${ }^{\text {an }}$ head ${ }^{2}$ $m n \cdot k$ 'take to thyself' $(\$ 336) ; 7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{\sigma}$ var. 7 m
 wasworshipped, possiblyEr-Rizeikât, 14 km . N. of Gebelên. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ Puy. 57. ${ }^{2}$ Wolf, Bewaffnung 4; Scharff 25. ${ }^{3}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. 162 ; Jtq. 201. sa Pyr. $912 .{ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ AEO. ii. 275*.
 head ${ }^{2}$ inact of smiting $\quad{ }^{1}$ Ex. O.K., Sah. I. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Wolf, Bewaffrung $4 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 780,11
39 mace with pear-shaped Ideo. in $9^{2}{ }^{2}$ var. $\ell^{2}{ }^{3} h \underline{d}$ 'mace'. Hence phon. $h d \underline{d}$, exx. head ${ }^{1}$ (vertical)

9 7 var. $\ell^{2} \times{ }^{4}$ hdi 'damage'; $\rho^{2}$ © $h \underline{d}$ 'be bright', 'white'. ${ }^{1}$ Wour, Bewaffuung $6 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Lac. Sarr. ii. 18, no. 99. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Mitt. viii, Pl. 3. - Siutt $\mathrm{I}, 224$.

4 \& the same with a strap to pass round hand ${ }^{1}$

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Wolf, Bewaffnung 6. Exx. Lac. Sarc. i. 94, no. 66 (hd 'mace'); Hier. 7, no. $85=$ Bersh. i. 30 (in name $S_{3 t-H d h t p) ; ~ D . e l ~ B . ~}^{1} 110$ ( $k d \underline{d} v^{\prime}$ onions').
$5 \& \begin{gathered}\text { combination of } 9 \mathrm{~T} 3 \\ \text { and } \mathrm{Q}_{10} \text { Use as last. }\end{gathered}$

and two ${ }^{2}$ I io ${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 552, qu. Exerc. Xxv, (a).
For ${ }^{[\rho}$ see $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; for $\frac{\rho}{\text { fit }}$ see $\mathrm{S}_{14}$.

Det. in O.K. \& $\int_{0^{\circ}}{ }^{2}$ mibt' axe', which is undoubtedly related to M.K. $\square \Sigma^{3}$ var. $\square \Sigma^{\square} \delta^{4} \operatorname{minb}$ 'axe'. Det. in N ${ }^{0} \|^{5} m d h$, var. $a k{ }^{6} m d h$, 'hew', and ideo. in the related word $\sigma^{7}$ var. $\left.\alpha\right\}^{8} m \underline{d} h(w)$ 'carpenter', 'shipwright'.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. O.K., Dav. Ptah. i. 13, no. 280. This type was used alike for battle and for hewing wood, Wolf, Bewaffing 8. ${ }^{2}$ Ti ilig. ${ }^{3} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R} 160 .{ }^{4}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. 13, no. 20 (collated), beside picture of an axe. See Gard. Sin. 51.159.
${ }_{8}^{6}$ Urk. iv. ${ }^{\text {778, 14. }}$ - See under S $10 . \quad{ }^{7}$ Cairo 20268, $a ;$ 20528, $h$.
${ }^{8}$ See under S io.
7* 9 axeof morerecenttype ${ }^{1}$ Det. in $\Delta \beta Y^{2}$ 3khw 'axe'.
${ }^{1}$ From Dyn. XII onward, Wolf, Bewaffinung, Pl. 3.
${ }^{2}$ Urk.iv. 39, 1 ; also without handle, ib. 39, 3 .
 'chief', 'first', 'being upon' (§80), value probably derived from an obsolete word $t p$ 'dagger' found only once (written @I) ${ }^{3}$ and obviously related to $m t p n t$ mentioned above.
${ }^{1}$ IEQ. 195; Wolf, Berwaffnung, P1. 13 ( $=$ Pl. 4, 1); worn, Sinai, Pl. I. As hieroglyph, Petr. Eg. Hier. nos. 757-61; outstanding features the 0 -shaped knob and rib-less blade. ${ }_{2}$ Legend to picture on M.K. coffins, exx. LAC. Sarc. i, Pl. 43, nos. 264, 265, 269; Wb. ii. 170, 6 renders 'dagger-sheath' probably on account of the formative $m$-. $\quad \mathbf{s}$ Mitt. viii. Pl. 5 .

8* $\sqrt{5}$ dagger of M. K. and Det. in $\rfloor \mathbb{\square} \ddagger b(3) g s w$ 'dagger'. 2
later type ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ JEQ. 197 ; Wolf, Bewaffnung, Pl. 4, nos. 6 ff.; often with crescent-shaped. or pierced circular top and ribbed blade. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 38, 15 ; also as picture with legend $m_{3} g 5 w=b(3) g 5 w$ on M.K. coffins, Lac. Sarc. i. Pl. 43, nos. 255, 257, 259, 261, there often contrasted with the dagger mtpnt, see above T 8.
$9 \backsim$ bow consisting of oryx horns joined by a wooden centre-piece ${ }^{1}$

Ideo. or det. in $\square^{2} \ln ^{2}$ var. $0_{0}^{\infty} 1^{3} p d t$ ' bow'. Hence phon. (semi-ideo.) or phon. det. $p d$, later $p d$, in Pyr. $\overbrace{}^{4}$ var. Dyn. XVIII $\wedge^{5} p d$, later var. ${ }^{0}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{6} p d$, 'stretch' and the related words.
${ }^{1}$ Wolf, Bewaffnung 15, 27 ; actual specimens in Dyn. I tombs, Petr. RT. ii. Pl. 7 A (p. 26) ; Pl. 36, 35-6 (p. 38). ${ }^{2}$ Lac. Sarc. ii. 161. Sim. Pyr. 673 ${ }^{3}$ Sin. B 127. This bow regularly in hieratic. ${ }^{4}$ Pyr. 650 . ${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 977, 2. ${ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 38, 7 .

9* $\sim$ better O. K. form of Use as last.

$$
ص \mathrm{~T} 9^{1}
$$

${ }^{1}$ Dav. Ptah. i. 15 , no. $338=$ ii. 23. Also among dets. of ' $h_{3} w$ ' weapons', Sak. 17 The curved ends suggest the horns of a gazelle rather than those of an oryx, but see $T \%_{2}$ n. 1 .

IO composite bow with Det. in Pyr. 明 $^{2}$ izwnt'bow'. From Dyn. XII on preferred middle tied to bowstring when out of use ${ }^{1}$
to $\approx \mathrm{T} 9$ in the hieroglyphic writing of $\sigma_{1}^{3}$ var. $\sigma^{4} p d s$ 'bow', 'foreign people', 'troop'; all $\omega^{5} p d t y$ 'bowman'. while $ص$ is preferred for phon. $p d, p d .^{6}$

[^262](Aa 32) $\mathbb{d}$ archaic type of bow ${ }^{1}$ Ideo. or det. in $-\int_{M^{3}}{ }^{3} T_{3}-S t(\hat{i})$, varr. Pyr. $-d_{0}^{0},^{4}=\left[0 v^{5}\right.$ (sometimes written \}, from Dyn. XVIII also $\sim^{2}$ )
 Also as var. of $\rightarrow$ T i with value $s \xi r$ and obscure sense in offering-list. ${ }^{9}$

[^263] the latter, phon. det. in from an obsolete $z i n$, zwn 'arrow', phon. or phon. det. $\sin$, swn (zin, zwn), exx. ${ }^{4}$ swn, var. Pyr. $\vec{\sigma}^{5} z i n$,
 swnw, var. O.K. $\rightarrow$ zinw(?), the M.E. reading swnw on the evidence of a var. physicians', but Coptic has saein.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 190, $12 . \quad{ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 1, 4. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. $1866 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Adm. 5, 2.
${ }^{5}$ Pyr. 617. 725 ; 0 may here read in, see Sitz. Berl. Ak. 1912, 962.
${ }^{6}$ Griffith, Ǩahun Papyri, p. 35. 7 Wb. iii. 427, 13.

Ideo. or det. in $\phi \beta \bar{\gamma}^{2} r w d$, varr. Pyr. $\phi\left\{g^{3}, 夕^{4} r w d\right.$, 'string', 'bow-string'. Hence phon. or phon. det. rwd,

 Ideographic det. in $3 r$ 'restrain'; hence phon. det. $3 r, 3 i$, exx. 3 1 mis $(r)$ 'wretched'; of $d_{3 i}(r)$, abbrev. $8{ }^{1}{ }^{7}{ }^{7} d_{3 r}$, 'subdue'.
${ }^{1}$ Wolf, Bewaffnung 48. See ib. 56-7 against the theory, supported PSBA. 22, 65 , that the sign as represented depicts a sling. ${ }^{2} P$. Kah. 1,5 certainly meaning 'bow-string'. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. 2080. ${ }^{4}$ Pyr. 684. ${ }^{5}$ Pyr. 197. ${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 845, 14.
${ }^{7}$ Sin. B 50, cf. ib. R 74; Sh. S. 132.
$p^{1}$ pieces of wood joined and lashed at the joint ${ }^{2}$

Semi-ideo. (?) in oflo var. fors $\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ 'be wakeful',
 rst 'foreign hordes'.
${ }^{1}$ Rekh. 22. O.K. form $T i$ 80. For a later degraded form due to hieratic see U 40. 2 Associated with the bow in Pyr. 921.1245 and evidently part of the bowman's equipment. On the other hand, from writings like Pyr. 502. 597. 1502 several appear to form a shelter. A set of four, with names pd-phr, nw-n-ntr, $\operatorname{ir}(\boldsymbol{y})-n \underline{t} r, \underline{d} b_{i-n t} \underline{r}$, is depicted on M.K. coffins. One may perhaps compare the mantlet or shelter used by the Babylonian archers. Discussed JÉq. 223. ${ }^{3}$ For $\mathcal{S}$ see Pyr. 126.4 Amada 5. Sim. Ann. 39, Pl. 25, 2 ; Urk. iv. 200, 17. ${ }^{5}$ Louvre C 14, 10. as a foreign weapon of warfare ${ }^{1}$
$\left.{ }^{1} 5\right\rangle^{1}$ O.K. form of last
$16 \sim^{1}$ scimetar

17 chariot

18 crook 1 S 39 with a package containing a knife, etc. lashed to it ${ }^{1}$
(1) Det. in $)^{2}$ rmrst' throw-stick' with the related verb $\mathrm{rmr} \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ 'throw'; also in $\left.\Delta\right)^{3} \omega^{3} \mathrm{kms}$ 'throw' and the
 derivatives. The combination $)$
 =4通 ${ }^{\text {ata }}$ ?) indicates that $)$ is here the throw-stick, not the club; see on $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{I}$. (2) As club, $)$ is found in
 N, O.K. DM $^{7}$ rsm 'Asiatic'. Extended gradually as

 (3) ) takes the place of various other signs, partly due to identity or close similarity in hieratic; thus it takes the place (a) of Y Aa 26 in $|\mathrm{S} \backslash|{ }^{11}{ }^{11}$ sbi 'rebel'; (b) of $\}$ M 3
 $m(i) n i$ ' moor'; $(d)$ of $\uparrow$ T i 3 , see under that sign; (e) prob-
 like, though examples of such confusions in modern publications may sometimes be due to inexact copying.
${ }^{1}$ Wolf, Bewaffung 7.57 . $\quad{ }^{2}$ Lac. $T_{5} R .22,69$; Wb. i. $186 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Lac. TR. 22, 71.
${ }^{4}$ Urk.iv. 1044, 5. ${ }^{4 a}$ Urk. i. 127, 2. ${ }^{5}$ D.el B. i14. An ex. without the vases on a
palette of Dyn. I, $A Z$. 52, 57. $^{6}$ Pyr. 455. ${ }^{7}$ Urk. i. 101, 9. For the various
spellings of this word sec W. Max Müller, Asien und Europa 121. ${ }^{8}$ Sin. R12;
det. of this word already in O.K., Urk. i. 125, 16. ${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 84, 1. Sim. M.K.
as m. personal name, Cairo 20680. In O.K. and as a rule in M.K. the club is absent
from Nhsy. ${ }^{10}$ Before Dyn. XIX only in the personal name $P_{3}-$ Nhsy, ex. Sinai 22 I.
${ }^{11}$ Exx. Hamm. 114, 12 ; Kopt. 8, 7. ${ }^{12}$ Cairo 20254, a; 20765. ${ }^{13}$ Paheri 3.
${ }^{14}$ Hieratic consistently shows the finger D 50, exx. Sin. B 33 ; P. Kah. 13, 30.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Sah. 1 (TT!nww).
Det. in ${ }^{0} \sim h p \xi^{\prime}$ 'scimetar'.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dav. Ken. i. 20; Urk. iv. 726, 17. See Wolf, Bewaffnung 66.

Ideo. or det. in $\$^{2}$ var. ${ }^{2}$ wrt 'chariot'.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Urk. iv. 704, $15 . \quad$ ' Urk. iv. 712, 10.
 'follow', 'accompany' and derivatives.

[^264]Sign－list
Ti9 \＆harpoon－head of bone

20 1 $^{1}$ O．K．form of last

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

Det．in $\Delta$ 伺 $k s$（ $k s$ ）＇bone＇，＇harpoon＇．0 Hence phon．or phon． det．ks（ks），in $\Delta$ var．Dyn．XVIII ksn be irksome＇；$k r s(\underset{k}{ } r s)$ ，in $\Delta \|_{\text {鳥 } ص \text { krs＇bury＇and derivatives．}}$ For reason unknown，phon．or phon．det．$g n$ in $\Phi 0$ 而
 gnwty（？）＇sculptor＇（in relief），reading not fully established．${ }^{1}$ Det．bone，ex．执 36 ＇ivory＇；tubular，exx．》用引－2 miwt＇shaft＇；os twor＇reed（？）＇，whence phon．det．in － Dl $^{4} \mathbb{1}^{4} \operatorname{tw}(r) i$＇be pure＇．
${ }^{0}$ In sense＇harpoon＇，Pyr． $1212 .{ }^{1}$ See Montet 291．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．666， 15. ${ }^{3}$ Eb．55， $16 .{ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．752， 11.

Use as last．

```
\({ }^{1}\) Ex．Dav．Ptah．i．15，no．339．Of bone or metal，Petrie，Tools and Weapons， Pls．43， 44 ．
```

deo．in var．Pyr．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Urk．iv．194， $2 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1226.


 ＇incense＇．
${ }^{1}$ Not a spear－head，but an arrow－head，Scharff 33；among earliest exx．（Petr． Eg．Hier．753－6）is one with quite short shaft；for later lengthening cf．the sign for＇foot＇（ $D$ 58）；the two barbs yield the notion of duality，contrast the sign for ＇one＇（T 21 ），AZ．47，36．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．i．147，3．$\quad{ }^{24}$ For ${ }^{\prime}$ see Sni＇l loose＇，Pyr． $1100 .^{2}$ ${ }^{3}$ So already Pyr．${ }^{323}$ ．${ }^{1}$ Pyr．1027，unless a different word．

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Th．T．S．iii． 2 I.
$24 \operatorname{coc}^{1}$ fishing－net ${ }^{2}$
Det．in－
「hwoty，ihwoty＇field－labourer＇，＇tenant－farmer＇．＇${ }^{\text {＇}}$
${ }^{1}$ Rekh．3，18；reversed，ib．5．$\quad{ }^{2}$ PSBA．22， 152 ；in historic times，however， only used of netting desert animals；see too Montet $89 . \quad 3$ Urk．iv．248， 2. ${ }^{4}$ Bersh．i．7；see Sphinx 12，107．${ }^{5}$ For the two senses see JEA．27， 21.

 $d b 3$ ，replace＇．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Ptah．i．p．37．${ }^{2}$ Budge，p．390，13．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．272．${ }^{4}$ Peas．B 1， 49.

T $26 \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{\sim}}{ }^{1}$ bird-trap ${ }^{2}$
$27 』{ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last
28 butcher's block ${ }^{1}$ (to be distinguished from © Wil and a W in)
 (birds)' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dyn. XVIII, Hier. 5, no. 52; Rekh. 21. ${ }^{2}$ See Montet 53.
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Dav. Ptah. i. 15, no. 335, adapted to suit reduction in size.
Semi-ideo. (?) in $\mathbb{\Delta}$ var. $\mathbb{\Delta} \underline{h^{2}}{ }^{2}$ 'under' (§ $\left.\mathbf{1 6 6}\right)$. Phon. $\underline{h} r$,

${ }^{1}$ Deduced from T 29. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading due mainly to the consideration that this preposition never interchanges with $h r(\$ 167)$. The hieroglyphic evidence is conflicting, 团 being substituted for © in $\underline{h} r p=h r p$ 'control', Pyr. 1143, and in shl $r=s h r$ 'counsel', Cairo 20026, but for in $\underline{h} r d$ 'child', Br. Thes. $15^{27}$; Vienna 64.
$29 \widetilde{\pi}$ combination of $\rightarrow T 30$ and $\triangle$ T 28

${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 163, 8; see Pyr. 214, where the knife is over the block, whereas elsewhere (exx. Pyr. 81r. 865) the two signs are written as a monogram. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. v. 80, 14.

$30 \sim$ knife (used early ${ }^{1}$ also as substitute for the saw $\bigotimes_{0}$ )

For $\mathbb{N}$ see $D_{57}$
$3^{1} \rightarrow$ knife-sharpener (? $)^{1}$

32 combination of $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{I}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{D} 54$
$33 \overbrace{}^{1}$ knife - sharpener as carried by butcher (O.K.) ${ }^{2}$
$34!^{1}$ butcher's knife
 sharp, in $\rightarrow d m$ 'be sharp', ' pronounce (name)'; cut,
 $\hat{\theta}_{0}-k t i$ 'carve'. Note the abbrev. At $d m t^{2}$ 'knife'. ${ }^{1}$ Ti 133 , as det. of wst and $t f$. $\quad{ }^{2}$ In $\underline{h} r y-d m t ' \operatorname{sufferer}(?)$ ', Eb. 40, 6; reading from Metternich stela 82, see Hier. p. 50 . Wb. v. 450 takes as referring to the surgeon's knife, but this seems doubtful.
 'guide', 'lead' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ This description rests on the supposition that the sign was originally identical with T 33, as would appear from Pyr. 70 ; see below on that hieroglyph. ${ }^{2}$ Siut 1 , 247. ${ }_{3}$ Reading, Nec. 14, 18. For ${ }^{\prime}$ see Pyr. 70.

Phon. $s s m$ in $\Gamma \mathbb{M} s s^{\xi} m(s s m)$ 'guide', ' lead'.

Ideo. in $\rightarrow$ s'sm (?) ${ }^{3}$ 'butcher'.



${ }^{1}$ BH. iii. 5, nos. 63. 65. Sim. Dyn. XVIII, D.el B. 74. ${ }^{2}$ Karnak, chamber of Annals, Tuthmosis III, in offering list immediately before $h \not p$ ' 'foreleg of ox'. Sim. Gayet, Temple de Louxior 26.
$35 \int^{1}$ alternative form of last
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Gemn. i. II ; Dyn. XVIII, Th. T. S. i. 7-

## Sect. U. Agriculture, Crafts, and Professions

Ideo. in $\$_{1}^{2} m$ ' sickle-shaped end' of the wis-boat 3 .
 'mat'. In group-writing ( $\$ 60$ ) is used for $m$. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ sign similar, but not quite identical, in shape is used as det. in $\operatorname{Son}^{5} 3 h^{\prime}$ 'reap'; also perhaps in $h_{3} 6 b$, var. $ل \int^{3} h_{3} 66$, 'crookedness'.
${ }^{1}$ Medum, frontispiece, no. 8 ; Dav. Ptah. i. 13, no. $282 .{ }^{2}$ Lac. TR. 27 , 1. 2 ; Budge, p. 212, 7. ${ }^{3}$ For the initial $m$ cf. Coptic $m e^{r}$ 'truth', müi 'lion', $m \bar{u} h$ 'burn'. ${ }^{4}$ Burchardt §56. ${ }^{5}$ Urk. v. 16i, i6. ${ }^{6}$ Peas. Bi, 10\%. ${ }^{7}$ Adm. p. 107; possibly both here and in Peas. the lower part of the sickle only. $H 3 b$ is a name of the sickle, see $W b$. iii. $3^{61}, 14$.

2 -S alternative form of last Use as last.
$3 \Leftrightarrow$ combination of $\$ \mathrm{UI}$ In 83 'see'. and $\infty D_{4}$
 and $ص$ Aa 11
$5 \Rightarrow$ alternative form of last Use as last.


6 hoe

7 W alternative form of last Use as last.
$8<{ }^{1}$ hoe, without the rope connecting the two pieces

9 ミШ corn-measure with grain pouring out
 'cultivate', 'hoe'. For unknown reason, ${ }^{1}$ phon. $m r,{ }^{2}$ exx.
 place of $\subset \mathrm{U} 8$, phon. $h n$, ex. $\ell_{m} \nmid \triangle h n '$ go', 'depart'.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{~Wb}$. ii. 98 , in quotes as gloss in the Sign Pap. Pl. 4 the otherwise unknown word mrizw 'hoe', but only a very uncertain trace of $\nabla$, is there. $\quad{ }^{2}$ For the initial $m$, cf. Coptic $m e{ }^{\prime}$ ' love', mour 'bind', emrō 'harbour'.
 hnw 'hnw-bark'.
${ }^{1}$ Already Dyn. IV, Medum 15. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. $1394 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Nav. ch. I, 2 I.

 'hekat-measure' (\$266, 1).
${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20500. Sim. Urk. iv. 64, I. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading, see Bull. 30, 179.
 M 33
 $b t y$（from $b d t$ ）＇emmer＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．1880．${ }^{2}$ Paheri 3.

 and ${ }^{*}$ U 9
（O 30）\ pitchfork
$13>$ plough
 （vb．）；also in 몽 $x_{1} 1$ ，var．od prt＇seed＇．From $h b$＇plough＇， phon．$h b$ ，exx．
 Dyn．V onwards replaces $-\mathrm{U}_{14}$ as phon．or phon．det．
 var．邓■ $\check{x} \kappa^{4}$＇ magazine＇＇ergastulum＇．
${ }^{1}$ Ti 66．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．748，17．${ }^{3}$ Leyd．V 88，qu．Exerc．XXVI，（a）．
${ }^{4}$ Reading，Rec．24， 93.
Old sign for $\mathrm{snc}_{\mathrm{n}}$ later replaced by $\searrow \mathrm{U}$ I3．
${ }^{1}$ Medum 15 in a place－name Snntt $^{\prime}$ ；slightly different，ib．12．Elsewhere in O．K． replaced by the plough，exx．Ti 86 ；Pyr． 1209 ．${ }^{2}$ This possibly represents a contrivance for straightening or bending wooden staves，Ti $132=$ Monter 31 I ．
Cf．${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$＇＇sledge＇．Hence phon． tm ，exx．组 tm ＇be

${ }^{1}$ Budge，p． 38,14 ．Sim．ib．p．210， 12.
16 gin $^{1}$ sledge with head of a jackal（Copt．wōne ${ }^{e} h$ ） bearing a load of metal（？$)^{2}$
 $b i ; i$＇of copper＇（adj．）．Hence perhaps phon．or phon．det．
 words；for the reading cf．对造 ${ }^{5}$ bist＇wonder＇（n．）．
 ${ }^{4}$ Urk．iv．6i2， $6 . \quad{ }^{5} \mathrm{Hamm} .110,2$.
$17 \mathbb{T}$ pick excavating a pool ص $\mathrm{N}_{3} 8$
 ＇snare＇．Hence phon．det． grg in 区区 $\mathbb{N}^{1}$ varr．区区尺N， $\mathbb{E}^{2} \mathrm{grg}^{\prime}$ falsehood＇，＇liè＇．
${ }^{1}$ Spellings，Verbum i．§338．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．1031， 10.

Sign-list
$\mathrm{U}_{18} \sqrt{1}^{1}$ O.K. form of last
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$20 \Gamma$ ${ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last
$21 \leadsto$ adze at work on a block of wood

22 \& chisel

23 chisel (?) ${ }^{0}$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ L. D. ii. 7 (tomb of Metjen, Dyn. III).

Ideo. in Pyr. $T_{1}^{-1}$ var. $\widetilde{\sigma o n}^{1}$ nwoty ' the two adzes'. Hence
 '§le $n w h$ 'rope'. In group-writing ( $\$ 60$ ) $\overline{3}$ is used for $n$. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 3ı. A ceremonial adze called $n w, 7 h . T . S$. i. $17 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Burchardt $\S 69$.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Gemn. i. ir. A somewhat similar sign in O.K. as det. of ent 'nail', 'claw', Wb. i. 188 ; Kêmi iv. 1 79.

Det. in O.K. R $\operatorname{Ron}_{0}^{\infty} s t p^{1}$ 'cut up' ox. Hence semi-ideo. or phon. $s t p(s t p)$, in $\prod_{0}^{\infty}$ var. $\sim_{\square} d s t p$ 'choose' and derivatives; inaccurately also $s t p$, in $\|_{0}^{2} s t p$, var. Pyr. $\|_{0}^{2} \Delta^{3}$ $s t p$, 'leap up'.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Ti $127 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk.v. 147, 4. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr. 947.

Det. in O.K. $\stackrel{\mu}{=} \ominus^{1}{ }^{1} m n h$ 'fashion', ' carve' and $\stackrel{\mu}{=} \ominus^{2}{ }^{2} m n h$
 the related words.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Ti $120 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Leyd. Denkm. iv. 14.
 smr 'friend', 'courtier'. Also for unknown reason, phon.

${ }^{0}$ A similar object is seen used as hair-pin on a Dyn. XI coffin, Griff. Stud. 134 ; Reisner, however, preferred the explanation as a chisel, since no such hair-pins are found early; so too Scharff 43; oldest forms, Petr. Eg. Hier. 8oi-8. ${ }^{1}$ Reading from varr. of $m r$ ' pyramid', Pyr. 1649. 167r. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading from varr. of $36 \mathrm{~d} w$ 'Abydus', Pyr. 794. 798. The view that the original form of the sign, when it has the value 36 , was a leopard's hide (see Rec. 9, 158) is very doubtful, in spite of the word $3 b y$ 'leopard', since from the earliest times the phonetic value of the leopard's (really cow's) hide was $\xi_{3} b$, not $3 b$; see on F 28 .

24 stone-worker's drill weighted at the top with stones (Dyn. XVIII) ${ }^{2}$

Ideo. in $\underline{I}_{1} \|$ var. O.K. Oq通 ${ }^{3} h^{4} m t^{4}$ 'craft', 'art' and the related words.
${ }^{1}$ Thebes, tomb 93. Sim. Rekh. $16 . \quad{ }^{2}$ See the pictures Gebr. i. 13; Rekh. 17.
${ }^{3}$ Urk. i. 53, 13 , in collective sense for 'body of craftsmen'. ${ }^{4}$ Reading, Rec. 9, 164. For this see too Coptic qaelure =hm-ht' worker in wood'; qaelrork = $h m$-kbw 'gold-worker'.

$\mathrm{U}_{25}{ }^{1}$ O．K．form of last

$26 f^{1}$ drill being used to bore a hole in a bead ${ }^{2}$ （Dyn．XVIII）
$27 \prod^{1}$ O．K．form of last（also used later ${ }^{2}$ ）
$28 \rrbracket^{1}$ fire－drill2 ${ }^{2}$（Dyn．XVIII）
$29 \rrbracket^{1}$ O．K．form of last（also common later ${ }^{2}$ ）

30 0 potter＇s kiln

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Saqq．Mast．i．39，no． 65.
 and derivatives．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Rec．22，107，Plate；Th．T．S．iii．5．$\quad{ }^{2}$ See the picture Gebr．i． 13. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr． 1205.

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Ex．Gebr．i． $13 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Dyn．XII，Bersh．i． 27 ；Dyn．XVIII，Puy． 54.
Cf． $\int^{x}{ }^{3} d_{3}$＇fire－drill＇．Hence phon．$d_{3}{ }^{4}$ exx． 1 sat $d_{3 i}$
 mainder＇．Abbrev．for wds in the formula $f \& \rho \mathrm{rnh}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{wd}_{3}$ snb＇may he live，be prosperous，be healthy＇（ $\$ 55 \cdot 313$ ）． In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ） $\mathbb{d}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$ is phon．d．${ }^{6}$
${ }^{1}$ Exx．Puy．9；Th．T．S．iii．26，6．${ }^{2}$ Hier．p．50．${ }^{3}$ Sh．S．54；see $A Z$. 43， 161 ；45，85．＇Reading partly from varr．of $d_{3} d_{3 t}$＇council＇（Pyr．309．1713）， partly from Coptic equivalences，ex．orxai $=w{ }^{2}$ s＇be hale＇，＇sound＇．${ }^{\text {r }}$ Wb．i． 404， 2 accepts $w d_{3} t$ as the N．K．reading on the evidence of L．E．variants，see Spirgelberg，Rechnungen aus der Zeit Setis I，p．40；but the relation of L．E．wd $3 t$ to older $d 3 t$ may be like that of L．E．wwsh＇breadth＇to O．E．sbw，and Wb．v． 517 is probably right in taking $d 3 t$ as the M．E．reading．${ }^{6}$ Burchardt $\S 150$.

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Plah．i．13，no．287．${ }^{2}$ Exx．Dyn．XII，BH．i．8， 10 ；Dyn．XVIII， Th．T．S．i．I．

Ideo．in O．K．合 ${ }^{1} t_{3}$＇kiln＇．Hence phon．$t_{3}$ ，exx．颌能 $t_{3}$ ＇be hot＇；『明 ${ }^{2} t_{3}$＇mysterious＇，＇difficult＇．In the geographical name ©通 $\omega^{2} H t$＇Hittite land＇ be read simply $t$ ，not $t$ ，cf．Hebrew $n$（ $(\$ 0)$ ．$^{3}$

$31 \longleftarrow^{1}$ instrument employed in baking（？）
 det．in the related words Ol $_{0}$ ith＇prison＇．Probably for some reason connected with
 var．
 ssnt＇breathe＇．
${ }^{1}$ In Dyn．III－IV the ends are curved，not angular，Saqq．Mast．i．r；sim．Meir ii．7．Later the shaft is sometimes shown as double．${ }_{2}$ Unpublished P．Ram． ${ }^{3}$ Reading，Rec．39，20．${ }^{1}$ See Adm．p． 47 and above，p．201，n．I．${ }^{5}$ Urk． iv． $\mathbf{7 6} \mathbf{8}$ ．

Sign－list
$\mathrm{U}_{3}{ }^{2}$ § pestle and mortar ${ }^{1}$

33
f pestle

34
F spindle

贵 combination of $\hat{\$}$ U 34 and - I 9
（Aa 23）$\psi^{\text {（ }}{ }^{1}$ warpstretched between two uprights ${ }^{1 a}$
（Aa 24）$)=0^{1}$ O．K．form of last
36 club used by fullers in washing ${ }^{1}$

## 

37 razor ${ }^{1}$
 O．K．缁】 ${ }^{2}$ smn，芭 $2 m n^{20}$＇press down＇bread with a stick；from this latter ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ phon．or phon．det．smn（zmn） in
 Det．pound，also in $\boldsymbol{S} \Delta \mathrm{D}_{1} i, h m 3 t$＇salt＇；heavy，in 텍dns＇heavy＇；임 wdn＇heavy＇．
${ }^{1}$ See the hieroglyphs Metum 15；Pyr．249；and the picture，Leyd．Denkm．i．io． ${ }^{14}$ Eb．86，10；cf．BH ii．6．${ }^{10}$ Ti 83 ．However，$W b$ ．iii．464， 1 interprets this as $z h$ and reads the preceding word as $s h m$ with $s$ ．$\quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{Ti}_{8} 8_{5}$ ．${ }_{2 \mathrm{am}}$ Leyd． Denkm．i． 10 ；see Montet 240 ；$\ddot{A} Z .61$, 13．${ }^{2 b}$ Not，as $W b$ ．iii．453，3，from the homonym $2 m n$＇tarry＇Pyr．533．1418．s ÄZ．30，31．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．1187， 10 ，an early instance of a writing that is usual in L．E．
Ideo．in $\|_{a 1-}{ }^{0}$ tit＇pestle（？）＇of red granite（ $m s t \underline{t}$ ）；hence（？）
 enh．ti＇may she live！＇（（\＄3I3）；more rarely phon．$t$ ，especially
 rate（a feast）＇．In group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ）$\}$ or 04 or AlO is

${ }^{0}$ Molle．Pal．i．Pl．5，left， 16 ；meaning doubtful．${ }^{1}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 244$.
${ }^{2}$ Burchardt § $134 . \quad 3$ Urk．iv．784； 74.
Ideo．in $\Theta \| \hat{\beta}^{1}$ bsf．＇spin＇．Hence semi－ideo．or phon．bsf
 ＇repel＇，＇oppose＇and its derivatives．
${ }^{1}$ BH．ii．4，in scene of spinning．${ }^{2}$ For ${ }^{\prime}$ sce Pyr． 253.
Use as last．
 ＇hit（a mark）＇，＇adhere to（a path）＇and derivatives．
${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 85．Very various in form；exx．M．K．，Brit．Mus．614，8；Siut 1， 221 ；Dyn．XVIII，Puy．68；Th．T．S．i． $17 . \quad{ }_{12}$ Davies，Seven Privale Tombs， Pl． 35 （p．50）．Perhaps this suggests as the original sense of the stem＇make straight＇． ${ }_{5}^{2}$ Urk．iv．484，5．Sim．Peas．B I， $212 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus．581．${ }^{1}$ Lonvre C 174. ${ }^{6}$ Pyr． 2048.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Sinai 7．See $A Z .30,52 ; 62,1$.
Ideo．in $\| \sum^{2}$ var．$\sum^{3}{ }^{3}$ l $m$ www＇fuller（？）＇．Hence（？）phon． $h m$ ，${ }^{4}$ in $\rho$ 通 hm ＇slave＇and the related words；also in $\rho_{1}$ ， isolated late var．${ }^{10}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{hm}$＇Majesty＇（p．74）．
${ }^{1} A Z .37,82 . \quad{ }^{2}$ BH．i．29．${ }^{3} \mathrm{lb}$ ．${ }^{4}$ Reading from proper names ending in $m$ and from the name Pahamnata $=P_{3}-h m-n t r$ in the El－Amarna letters （see above p．428），besides late writings in which the sign interchanges with $\cup \mathrm{N}_{41}$ ； see AZ．46，109；Sphinx 14， $143 .{ }^{5}$ Bull．28， 103.

Det．in $\infty \Delta \nabla^{2} k r k$＇shave＇．
${ }^{1}$ Razors，see Petrie，Tools and Weapons 61．${ }^{2}$ Ex．BH．ii． 4.

U38 $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{4} \Delta^{1}}$ balance

39
y post of balance

${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb 76．${ }^{2}$ Exx．Paheri 9，30；D．el B．81．${ }^{3} A Z .59,44^{*}$ ．
Det．in ${ }^{-1}{ }^{1}$ witst＇post（of balance）＇and in the related verb ${ }^{2}$ wts＇lift＇，＇carry＇，＇wear＇．Secondarily also det．in $y^{3} t s i$（ $t z i$ ）＇raise＇，＇lift＇．
${ }^{1}$ Piehl，IH．iii．82．$\quad{ }^{2}$ D．et B．81．${ }^{3}$ In Pyr．the det．of $t z i$ is a sack－ like receptacle，ex．Pyr．960，but our sign already appears exceptionally，ex．ib． 294.

Use as last，ex． Also，owing to similarity in hieratic，used for $\gamma^{3} \mathrm{~T}_{13}$ ，ex． 1覴 ${ }^{1} r s-t p$＇vigilant＇．
${ }^{1}$ For the hieratic see Möll．Pal．i．no． 405 ；ii．no．405．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．85， 12.
${ }^{3}$ MÖll．Pal．i．no．588．${ }^{4}$ Leyd．Denknt．iv．28， 4 c．3．Sim．srs，Urk．iv． 897， 6.
 nection with the ${ }^{1}$ Dyn．XII，Leyd．V ${ }_{10}=$ Denkm．ii． $13 . \quad{ }^{2} J E A .9,10$, n． 4. balance ${ }^{2}$

Sect．V．Rope，Fibre，Baskets，Bags，etc．
V I $\bigodot$ coil of rope
 ship；actions with rope or cord，exx．$\downarrow \square h_{5}^{\rho} \mathrm{ith}$＇drag＇；

 phon．or phon．det．$s_{n}$ in $\mathcal{L}_{\rho}^{e}$ var．$\rho_{0}^{2} \xi_{n t}$＇dispute＇，the relations of which with … $5 n i$＇exorcise＇，＇litigate＇and with $\ell$ 至 ${ }^{3}{ }^{3} n t t^{\prime}$ contend＇require further study．Another possibly related word is $\mathrm{e} s t(s n t \text { ？})^{4}$＇hundred＇（ $\$ \S 259$. 260）．A similar，but doubtless different，sign is det．in If $\rho^{5} \underline{h}_{3} b$＇bent appendage＇（of metal ？）belonging to the crown 4 ．
${ }^{1}$ M．u．K．1，3．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Cairo 20393．20562，$d$ ，in the title $\operatorname{lmy}$－r Ynt $^{2}$ ；cf．too a title $Y_{n t}$ discussed JEA．9，15，n．2． 3 AZ．36， $138 . \quad$ AZ．36， 135.
${ }^{5}$ Urk．iv．200， 15.
For $\bigodot$ as substitute for $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ ，see Z 7 ．

2 －bolt－O 34 combined with the cord $\rho \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$ used for drawing it ${ }^{1}$
 hence phon．$s \underline{t}_{3}$ in $\frac{+}{\infty} s t_{s t}$＇aroura＇（ $\left.\$ 266,3\right)$ ．For an un－ known reason det．in 防 35 ＇hasten＇．
${ }^{1} A Z .35,105$ ，confirmed by Dav．Rekh．ii．26，12．The sense of the verb agrees so well with the Dyn．XVIII form just quoted that the suggestion（Hier．44）that this is secondary seems unlikely．An alternative explanation，Montet 304.

Sign-list
EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

V 3 fof the same sign with three cords (Dyn. XVIII)

4 fil lasso

5 \& looped rope
$6 \gamma$ cord (in early exx double and looped at top on left) ${ }^{1}$

Phon. st $3 w$ in ${ }^{* \pi}{ }^{1} R$-st $\underline{3} w^{\prime}$ 'necropolis,' ${ }^{2}$ particularly that of the Memphitic god Sokar.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Th. T.S. iv. 38, G. $\quad{ }^{2} A Z .59,159$; Wb. ii. 398, 9. 10.
Cf. fी $\left.\right|^{1}$ wevz 'lassoes'. Hence phon. ws, exx. की $w_{3}$ 'far'; की
${ }^{1}$ Budge, p. 454, 2. Cf. too wist 'cord' (Wb. i. 244) and wiwst 'cord' (Urk. iv. 166, 12). $\quad 2$ For the initial $w$ see a var. of $w \not{ }^{2}$ 'tie up', MONTET 207.

Det. in $\Gamma_{5}^{m} \mathbb{Q}^{1}$ snt (snt) 'plan', 'plot out', 'found'.
${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 644; Meir i. II; D.el B. 37.
Ideo. or semi-ideo. or det. in ${ }^{\gamma} \mathrm{e}$ var. $\gamma^{2}$ ss, var. O.K. $ص \gamma^{3}$ ss, ‘cord', 'rope'. Hence phon. $\xi^{\prime} s(y s)$, exx. $\underline{\gamma}-\square$ var. $\gamma$
 has been much confusion with $8 \mathrm{~V}_{33}$ : $^{\text {ta }}(\mathrm{I})$ in the words $\gamma_{T}{ }^{2}$ 'linen', 'cloth', $\gamma$ ' 'thing', 'concern', and $\gamma_{i} /{ }_{1}$ ' corn', all originally reading $s s r$; however, the fact of the confusion, together with certain writings with metathesis $\langle s r$ (see $\mathrm{V}_{33}$, nn. 4. 9), make the usually accepted reading ${ }^{\prime} s$ (so in the ist edition) still just defensible, for final $r$ usually falls; (2) as det. in ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}$ 'rf 'tie up', 'pack'; (3) as phon. $g$ in hieratic, where the two signs are not distinguished in early times; ${ }^{4}$ (4) as det. clothes, ex.
 replace $\mathbb{T}$ S 28 does not appear before Dyn. XIX.
${ }^{1}$ Early forms, Medum 13 ; Saqq. Mast. i. 1. $2 .{ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. $885,7 .{ }^{4}$ DAv.
Ptah. i. 25. ${ }^{4}$ MÖLL. Pal. i. nos. 515.520.
${ }^{4}$ Adm. 3,4 ; the MS. is probably of Dyn. XIX.
 ends downward

${ }^{1}$ Pyr, 213.
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Puy. 57 ; Rekh. 3, 28. So too already Pyr. 5.
9 Q cartouche in original round form ${ }^{1}$

10 cartouche in secondary oval form (p. 74)

Det. in $\underset{\sim}{\infty} a^{1}$ snw 'circuit'; $a^{2} r n$ 'name'; also in names of kings and other royal personages, in which case the component signs are written inside it, ex. ©夏踢 $M n$-hpr-Rr 'Menkheperree', i. e. Tuthmosis III.
${ }^{1}$ Gayet, Temple de Louxor, p. 14. ${ }^{2}$ Brugsch, Thes. 1077, 19.
$V_{\text {II }} K$ sign probably later taken to be a cartouche cut in half and reversed ${ }^{1}$

Det. in $=\left\{\kappa^{2} d n i\right.$ 'dam off', 'restrain'. The hieratic equivalent of the same sign ${ }^{3}$ serves also as det. in ${ }^{0}{ }^{5}$ $p h 3$ 'split'. It seems doubtful whether the hieratic word
 originally written with this sign. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
${ }^{1}$ So at least it appears to be in Dyn. XVIII. Early hieroglyphic exx. are lacking, for the det. of $d n i$ in Pyr. 278. 716, namely a kind of hoe, cannot easily be the prototype of our sign. $\underline{D}_{n i}$, later $d n i$, may originally have meant 'cut off'; cf. the later word dnit 'portion', 'fraction', see Sethe, Zahlworte 89. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 312, 11; 445, $17 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Müll. Pal. i. no. 584 ; ii. no. $584 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Pt. 283 ; Eb. 36, 16. ${ }^{5}$ In hieroglyphic of Dyn. XIX it has the same det. with which $d n i$ is written. For the reading see Vog. Bauer 69-70; Gard. Sin. 99.

12 ond of string or linen

 phon. det. in $\equiv=0$
 'deed'. Phon. or phon. det. $\mathrm{r} k$ (from rrk ' bind on') in
 the month (§264).
${ }^{1}$ Sinai 90, 16 ; sce Gard. Sin. 20.
$13 \approx$ rope for tethering animals ${ }^{1}$
$14 \Longleftarrow$ the last, with an added diacritical tick
$15 \approx$ combination of $ص \mathrm{~V}_{13}$ and $\triangle D_{54}$
16888 looped cord serving as hobble for cattle
$17 \chi^{1}$ rolled up herdsman's shelter of papyrus ${ }^{2}$ (Dyn. XVIII)
$18 \|^{1}$ O.K. form of last
 a false archaism, for $\circ t$ ( $\$ 19, O_{b s . ~ 2) . ~}^{\text {2 }}$
${ }^{1}$ PSBA. 22, 65. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 672, epithet of a cat-goddess. ${ }^{3}$ SETHE, Alphabet i56.
Phon. $\underline{t}$, both in hieroglyphic and hieratic, but apparently only in a few words, doubtless words in which the value $\underline{t}$ had not changed into $t$, exx. $y^{1} t s i$ 'lift'; $\fallingdotseq \|^{2} \underline{T} t i$ ' Tjetji', a man's name.
${ }^{1}$ Sin. B 23 ; P. Kah. 2, 7. Sim. wts 'raise', Westc. 12, 23; stsw 'supports', Erm. Hymn. 1, 2. ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 614, 3; ib. vert. 2; tsl, ib. 13. In other words in this inscription $\underline{t}$ is written without the tick, exx. $s \underline{t}$ ' 10 ', 4 ; $i t i$ 'seize', 10.

${ }^{1}$ See Verbum i. § 397, 5. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Reading, see p. 214, bottom.
Ideo. in O.K. $\operatorname{mp}^{1}{ }^{3}(z z)$ 'hobble'. Hence phon. $s z(z z)^{2}$ in H ${ }^{2}$ ' protection'.
${ }^{1}$ L. D. Ergänzungsband' 40, with the picture. ${ }^{2}$ Reading from varr. of $z z w$
'guard', Pyr. 1203.1752 .


$$
{ }^{1} \text { D.el.B. } 13 . \quad{ }^{2} \text { AAZ. 44, } 77 \text {; Rec. 30, 39. }{ }^{3} \text { Pyr. } 1470 .
$$

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Dav. Ptah. i. 16, no. 353.

## Sign-list

Vi9 f hobble for cattle ${ }^{1}$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

 ' (cattle-)stall'. For unknown reasons, det. in $S_{8}{ }^{5}$ varr.

 $\underline{t} m$; ' cadaster (?)' or kind of land (?) ; in $\underbrace{}_{\text {f }}$ var. f $\underline{h} 3 r$ 'sack' as measure of capacity ( $\$ 266,1$ ) ; and in other names of woven or wickerwork objects. By confusion with an older sign for a palanquin or portable shrine, ${ }^{11}$ det. in $\Delta m f^{12} k n i$ 'palanquin', whence also in $\Delta \|_{n} f^{13} k n i$ 'sheaf'; so too in fl $^{14} \mathrm{kzr}$ 'shrine'; possibly also in $\approx 14 \hat{f}^{15}$ styt, name of the sanctuary of Sokar in Memphis. ${ }^{16}$ To be distinguished carefully from $\cap$ Aa 19.
${ }^{1}$ Made of cord, with a wooden cross-bar to be hidden below the earth, Montet 95. ${ }^{2}$ Bersh. i. 18. ${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20104, $m$ I; Rhind 84. ${ }^{4}$ Meir iii. 4. Sim. Pyr. 2202. ${ }^{6}$ Rec. 39, $\mathbf{~ 2 0 . ~}{ }^{6}$ BH. ii. 13. ${ }^{7}$ Westc. 7, ${ }^{15 . ~}{ }^{8}$ Rekh. 3, 18,
 qu. § 450. $\quad{ }^{10} S \sharp n t m z$, Cairo 20056; Leyd. V $3 .{ }^{11}$ Pyr. 300 (kzr); cf. the picture Sah. 65 . 12 Westc. 11, 7 ; differently determined, ib. 7, 14. ${ }^{13}$ Paheri 3. ${ }^{14}$ Lac. TR. 21, 3. ${ }^{15}$ D.el B. $11 . \quad{ }^{16}$ Stolk, Ptah (Berlin, 1911), 27.

Cf. Dyn. XIX ก ${ }^{2}$ 고11 ${ }^{1}$ mdwt'stables'. Hence phon. $m \underline{d}^{2}$ in $\cap$ madw ' 10 ' (§§ 259. 260).
${ }^{1}$ Mar. Abyd. i. $53 . \quad 2$ AZ. 34, 90.
Ideo. in 负号 ${ }^{1} m d t$ 'stable',' cattle-stall'. Hence phon. $m \underline{d}$ in $\mathrm{hn}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{md}$ 'be deep' and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ See V 19, n. 3. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Budge, p. 458, 9. Sim. madt 'depth', Kuban 32.

For and 28.
$22{ }^{1}$ whip $^{2}$ (Dyn. XVIII)
${ }_{23}{ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last

24 \& cord wound on stick (O.K. and M.K. form ${ }^{1}$

For unknown reason, ${ }^{3}$ phon. $m h$, exx. ${ }^{-7}$ var. Pyr. ${ }^{4}$

${ }^{1}$ Ex. Hier. 6, no. 77. $\quad{ }^{2} \ddot{A} Z .35$, ro6. $\quad{ }^{3}$ A derivation from liwi'strike' has been suggested, Hier. p. 63. ${ }_{4}$ Pyr. 682.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dav. Ptah. i. 17, nos. 371. 372. 377; Sah. 30; Ti 112.
For unknown reason, phon. wd (later wd), exx. ${ }^{\text {w }}$ var.
 offerings'; $\dagger \underset{\Delta}{\leftrightarrows}$ var. Pyr. $\beta^{2} \square^{3} w d b$ 'turn'.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Dav. Plah. i. 14, no. 296; M.K., BH. iii. 4, no. 51. ${ }^{2}$ BH. i. 17. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1723 .

Use as last.

[^265]V $26 \infty^{1}$ netting needle filled with twine ${ }^{2}$
$27={ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last
$288^{1}$ wick of twisted flax
Cf. with a similar sign, lion hrt 'wick'; ${ }^{2}$ hence ${ }^{3}$ phon. $h$. As late det. once in $\hat{U}\} t k$ ' 'candle '. ${ }^{4}$

[^266]$298^{1}$ swab made from a hank of fibre (down to Dyn. XVIII identical for all uses ${ }^{2}$ )
 Hence phon. or phon. det. $\left(\underline{d}\right.$, later $r d$, ex. $\mathcal{F}^{n \infty}{ }^{5} \stackrel{r}{d}$, var.

 $m \times n d t$, the morning-bark' of the sun-god.
${ }^{1}$ Rekh. 2, 6; Puy. 20, 6. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See the picture BH. ii. $4=$ Bull. 9, 5 .
${ }^{3}$ Budge, p. 391, $2 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Nav. ch. 153, $15 . \quad{ }^{5} B H$. i. 8, 15.
${ }^{6}$ Sh. S. 7. ${ }^{7}$ See Sitz. Berl. Ak. 1912, $95^{8 .}{ }^{8}$ Pyr. 335. 336; sim. ib. 661.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Medum 13. Sim. Saqq. Mast. i. I.

Det. in O.K. $\|^{2}{ }^{3} s k$ 'wipe'; hence phon. $s k(s k)$, ex. 19 $s k i$ 'perish'. For unknown reason, phon. or phon. det.

 corruption of a sign resembling $\left\langle\mathrm{MI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right.$, det. in $\mathrm{D}^{2}{ }^{7} \mathrm{mer}$ 'fortunate '.


 'lord'; $\nabla n b$ ' every', 'all'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 896, io. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 557. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. i. 126, 9.

## For see O 9 .

31 $\checkmark$ wickerwork basketwith For unknown reason, phon. $k$.

31* $\infty$ the last, but with handle Regularly in hieratic except in rare O.K. examples. ${ }^{1}$ on opposite side

[^267]$\mathbf{V}_{32}{ }^{1}$ wickerwork frail ${ }^{2}$（pos－ sibly also used as a float by hippopota－ mus－hunters）${ }^{3}$
$33 \gamma^{1}$ bag of linen ${ }^{2}$

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR


 $M s n$ ，a Lower Egyptian town near K antarah．${ }^{8}$ Possibly，but not certainly，the same $\operatorname{sign}^{9}$ in © ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~g}_{3}{ }^{100 t}$＇bundles＇， ＇tribute＇；hence phon．det．$g_{3 w}$ in $\mathbb{N} g_{3 w}$＇be narrow＇，and related words．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．O．K．，Quib．Saqq．1911－2， 32 （M5n）；Tin ${ }^{115}$（msn ？）．${ }^{2}$ See the pictures
Ti ${ }^{38}$ ．39；Gemn．ii．I．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Guess based partly on the use of the sign to deter－
mine msnv＇hippopotamus－hunter＇，partly on the occurrence of a very late word $b b$ ，
with this det．，mentioned among the equipment（spears，ropes，etc．）of the $m s n w$ ；see
AZZ． 54,53 and compare the fisherman＇s reed－floats T 25 ．But possibly the sign is
really the det．of $m s n$＇weave＇，＇plait＇，though not so actually found，in which case
it wonld only be phon．det．in msnww＇lippopotamus－bunter＇．＇Lac．TR．20， 34 ．
${ }^{9}$ Before Dyn．XVIII it lacks the tie and so resembles $\infty \infty$ W 8，see Weile，Decrets，
Pls． 2.3 ；Dend． 8 ；Cairo 20539，i． $68 . \quad{ }^{10}$ D．el B． 77.
 $\gamma \gamma^{4}$ ssr，＇linen＇，＇cloth＇，cf．especially the compound
 ＇byssus＇，Coptic wencic ；the var．$\ddagger \overline{T_{T}}{ }^{\text {² }}$＇with $\gamma$ V 6 makes the hitherto accepted reading $\xi_{s}$－nsw possible for Dyn． XVIII，as final $r$ frequently fell away．Hence phon． $s s r$（read as šs in the ist edition）in $\gamma 1$ var．Dyn．XII

 through connection with the stem found in
 phon．$g$ in a few words，exx．var．${ }^{13} \mathrm{wgg}$ ＇misery＇；$\delta$ Gbtyw＇Coptus＇，a town in Upper Egypt． Det．tie up，in 気期＇rf＇tie up＇，＇pack＇，＇envelop＇；also perfume，because kept in bags of linen，${ }^{14}$ ex．牸 var．胳 sty＇perfume＇，cf．$₹ \mathrm{R} 9$ ．As det．clothes not before Dyn． XIX，${ }^{15}$ and then mainly in the form $\gamma \mathrm{V} 6$（see on that sign）．Note that in M．K．hieratic $\gamma$ is indistinguishable from $\gamma \mathrm{V} 6 ;{ }^{10}$ in hieroglyphic the two are very often con－ fused．

[^268]$\mathbf{V} 34 \theta^{1}$ alternative form of last （Dyn．XVIII）
$35 \lambda^{1}$ A $^{1}$ O．K．form of last
（rarely also Dyn．
XVIIII）$^{2}$

36 receptacle of some kind
$37 \sim^{1}$ bandage（？）
$380^{1}$ bandage（O．K．）

Use as last．

```
` D. el B. }94\mathrm{ (sty 'perfume').
```

Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Ptah．i． 14 ，no． $318=(E . R . A)$.37 ，in $i m y-r f r^{\prime}$＇overseer of linen＇．This form of the bag is carried by an attendant，$T i_{115}$ ；cf，too L．D．ii．22，b．Other O．K．exx．of the same form of the sign，in 今fr＇thing＇，＇concern＇，Urk．i．136， 5 ； Weille，Décrets，Pl．2．$\quad$ In syr＇corn＇，Urk．iv．372，14，qu．under V 33，n． 11.
Det．in $\left\{\leq \prod^{1} h n(h n t\right.$ ？），name of a receptacle given to a temple．Hence（？）phon．or phon．det．$h n$ ，exx．畒 ${ }^{2}$
 ＇period＇，＇end＇（ $\$ 77,1$ ）．
1 Mar．Ab．i．10，b．${ }^{1}$ Rekh．16．${ }^{1}$ S Paheri 3．Urk．iv．369，15．Urk．iv．364， 12.

Det．in $\ \otimes \sigma^{2} i d r$＇bandage＇，＇bind＇．Phon．or phon．det．

 the confusion of $\because$ and $\sigma \mathrm{N} 41$ see $A E O$ ．ii．258＊．
${ }^{1}$ Karnak, Tuthmosis III unpublished. Rather different, Dyn. XII, Bersh. i. 18.
${ }^{2}$ Sm. 9, 8. 10. 21. ${ }^{3}$ Bersh. i. 18. ${ }^{4}$ Cairo 20001. ${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 699, 13.

- Urk. iv. 195, 10; 196, 1.

Det．in 00 ＇bandage＇，＇mummy－cloth＇．Later re－ placed by o Aa 2. ${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 1202 （ ${ }^{(1197)}$ ）．

Sect．W．Vessels of Stone and Earthenware

W I 首 sealed oil－jar

2 甾 sealed oil－jar，like W I， but not showing tied ends
$3 \sigma^{1}$ basin of alabaster as used in purifications ${ }^{2}$
匀前 mdt＇ointment＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．914，9；for the reading cf．Cairo 20720，a 3.
 var．O．K．攺禺 ${ }^{3} B_{3} 3 t t$ ，＇（the cat－goddess）Bastet＇．，
${ }^{1}$ Lac．Sarc．ii．${ }^{13}$ ，no．23．${ }^{2}$ Ex．Urk．iv．432，9．${ }^{2}$ Ti 23.
 on account of the purifications characteristic of feasts，det．
 ideo．or phon．$h b$ in $\ell \delta^{\prime} h^{3} h b$＇mourn＇．Abbrev．of

 festival＇．

[^269]
## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

W4（］）combination of $\mathrm{mO}_{22}$ Ideo．or det．in \＆（D）var．（1）$h b$＇feast＇．Det．feast（much and $\otimes \mathrm{W}_{3}$ rarer in M．E．than © alone），ex．$\otimes$（［］${ }^{1} t p-r n p t$＇feast of the first of the year＇．
${ }^{1} B H$ i．i．24．Sim．$W_{g}$ ，Brit．Mus． 162.
5 感 combination of $\triangle$ T． 28 and $\odot \mathrm{W}_{3}$

In 盈通 ${ }^{1}$ hry－hbt＇lector－priest＇，lit．＇he who is under（i．e． carries）the ritual book＇．
${ }^{1}$ Already M．K．，$A Z .39,117,6$.
${ }^{2}$ Reading，see p．5r，n． 4 ．
$6 \sigma^{1}$ O．K．sign for a parti－ cular vessel

In ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ wht＇cauldron＇．Later replaced by o Aa 2.
${ }^{1}$ Saqq．Mast．i．2．Rather different，ib．I；Medum 13 （here of copper）．
$7 \square^{1}$ granite bowl（Dyn． XVIII）
$8 \infty^{1}$ deformation of the last （Dyn．XI）
$9\}^{0}$ stone jug with handle
io $\square$ cup（probably some－ times also a basket， cf．显A9）

10＊$\nabla^{1}$ pot perhaps used also as lamp（O．K．）
（Aa4）$\nabla^{1}$ alternative form of last （Pyr．）

Det．in $\square^{1} m s t$ ，var．O．K．$\square^{2} m s t$ ，＇red granite＇；hence phon．det．$m \underline{t} \underline{\text { in }} \boldsymbol{B}^{3} \mathrm{~m} s \underline{t}$＇proclaim＇．Det．in ${ }^{4}$ var．${ }_{\neq}{ }^{5} 36 \mathrm{w}$＇Elephantine＇，as source of the red granite；

${ }^{1}$ D．el B．156．${ }^{2}$ Urk．i．1o7，2．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．261，3．${ }^{4}$ Rekh．5．Sim．Urk．iv．
 In $36 w$＇Elephantine＇and $36 t$＇family＇，see on W 7 ．The same sign may serve as the earlier form of $g_{3 z w} \mathrm{~V}_{32}$ ．$^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus． 614,4 （ $36 w$＇Elephantine＇）．Sim．Cairo $205 \mathrm{Fr}, \mathrm{cc} . \quad{ }^{2}$ See $\mathrm{V}_{32}$ ，n． 9 ．
Det．in－ vase＇with its specific oil．Hence（？）phon．hnm，exx．of

${ }^{0}$ Scharff 49．${ }^{1}$ BH．i． 17 ；Pyr． 51 （N 3 II a）．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 5 I （W 59 a）． In O．K． $\int$ interchanges with later $-\frac{h}{2}$ ，see Verbum i．$\$ 260$.
Det．in $\-\mathrm{J}^{1} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i} b$, var．$-\mathrm{J}^{2} \mathrm{rrb}$ ，＇cup＇；hence phon．or
 the company of＇（ $\$ 178$ ）．Det．in ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ wsh（wsk）＇cup＇； hence phon．or phon．det．wsh（wsk），exx．䧑 wh＇be
 shw＇width＇．Det．in ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \square^{5} h n t$＇cup＇；hence phon．$h n t$
 words reading $b i_{3} \square$ sometimes replaces older $\square \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{I}$ ，ex． $\left.ل^{\circ}\right)_{1}^{118}$ bisw＇rare treasures＇．
${ }^{1}$ Wb．i． 40 ；JEQ． 115 ；LaC．Sarc．ii．${ }^{156 .}{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．770，${ }^{15}{ }^{5}{ }^{8} \mathrm{JEQ} .115 ;$ evidently very rare，not in $W b$ ．i．nor in LaC．Sars．
 D．elB． 81 ；84， 6.
Phon．$b_{3}{ }^{2}$ in conjunction with $\mathbf{3}$ G 29，ex．昷吸 ${ }^{3} b_{3}$＇soul＇， or with E го，ex．口雷品 $B_{3}-p f(i)$＇Bapf＇，a god．${ }^{1}$ Later superseded in these uses by iे R 7 ．
${ }^{1}$ Ann．43，309．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf．a vessel called $b_{3} w$ named Eb．4，9．$\quad{ }^{3}$ Pyr． 854 （M 386）； $137^{8}$（ $\mathrm{N}_{1144}$ ）．
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 854 （N 657）； 1098 （N 1252）； 1378 （P 616）．
$W_{\text {II }} \Delta^{1}$ (I) ring-stand for jars, (2) red earthenware pot (Dyn. XVIII form, round at bottom)
$12 \boxed{U}^{1}$ ring-stand (O.K. form, straight at bottom)
I3 $\square$ red earthenware pot (O.K. form, round at bottom and plain)
14 § tall water-pot

15 \$3 water-pot with water pouring from it
${ }^{16}$ the same in a ring-stand $\pi \mathrm{W}_{12}$
$17{ }^{1}$ water-pots in a rack (Dyn. XII-XVIII)
${ }^{1} 8$ mom ${ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last

19 \& milk-jug as carried in a net ${ }^{1}$
(1) Ideo. or det. in $\operatorname{Si}^{2}$ var. $\mathbb{\Xi}^{3} n s t$ 'seat'. For unknown
 'red pot'. (3) Occasionally substituted for aO 45 in Dyn. XVIII, ex. $\ddagger_{\Delta \square}^{\Phi_{0}^{6}}$ ipt nsw 'king's harim'.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Rekh. 2, $2(g)$; D.el $B .36(n s t)$. In Dyn. XII still sometimes with bottom straight as $g$ (Bersh. i. 31), while curved as nst (ib. 19). ${ }^{2} A Z .47,91$.
${ }^{3}$ D.el B. 36, in Nswt-tszuy. ${ }^{\text {sa }}$ According to Grdseloff (Ann. 43, 310) from an O.K. word $g w(A n n .16,196)$; but this is described as an altar.
${ }^{4}$ Rekh. 11. ${ }^{5}$ D.elB. 11. ${ }^{6}$ JEA. 11,4.



Use as $W_{I I}$, in O.K. ron $_{\square}^{1}{ }^{1} d^{4} r t$ 'red pot'. In M.K. a sign of this appearance is used for $\delta \mathrm{N} 34{ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr. $249 . \quad{ }^{2}$ JEA. 4, Pl. 9.



${ }^{1}$ AZZ. 37, 95 ; Lac. Sarc. ii. 166. ${ }^{2}$ Montet 393. ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 874, 3.
${ }^{4}$ Pyr. 1179.
 kbh 'libate'.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. $970,{ }_{15}(k b) . \quad{ }^{2}$ Ex. slbbb, Urk. iv. 65, 6. ${ }^{3}$ Amarn. iv. 3, 8.
 and the related words. Much more rarely det. in $\Delta \downarrow ل \mathbb{R X}^{3}$ $\$ b b$ 'be cool'.
${ }^{1}$ Meir iii. $17 . \quad{ }^{2}$ BH. i. 17. $\quad{ }^{3}$ Ex. P. Kah. 7, 41 (kb).
Ideo. in 㒀| $^{2}{ }^{2}$ bntw 'racks for water-pots'. Hence phon. bnt, ex. var. $\div 6 n t$ ' in front of' ( $\$ 74$ ) and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ Hier. 2, no. 6. With three pots, not infrequent in M.K., exx. BH. i. 8. 15; Leyd. V 2 ; usual in Dyn. XVIII, exx. Rekh. 4 ; Paheri 4. ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 874, 3.

## Use as last.

${ }^{1}$ With four pots, nsual in O.K., exx. Sah. 1; Saqq. Mast. i. 20; more often than not in M. K., exx. Leyd. V 3.4. 6. 7; only rarely in Dyn. XVIII, ex. Urk. iv. 874, 7.

Det. in © $\&^{2} m h r$ 'milk-jug'. From a probably obsolete
 var. Pyr. $\&^{4} m r$, 'like' ( $\$ 170$ ) ; $\Delta \& A_{1}^{I}$ dmi 'town'; $\$ \bar{\circ}$ var. $\& \uparrow{ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ min 'to-day' $(\$ 205) .{ }^{6}$
 the place-name Mrt determined by a sign like W 20, Medum 21. Pr. Pyr. 1665
${ }^{5}$ Chass. Ass. 77. ${ }^{6}$ The use in Pyr. amn (Wb. iii. 453) is un-explained.

## Sign－list

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

```
\(W_{20} \frac{1}{母}\) milk-jug with a leaf
        covering the milk \({ }^{1}\)
    \(2 \mathrm{I} \#\) twin wine-jars \({ }^{1}\)
\({ }^{1}\) See the pictures Ti 114 ；Dav．Plah．i．16．\(\quad{ }^{2}\) D．elB． \(94 . \quad{ }^{3}\) L．D．ii． 66. Det．in \(\|_{\square}^{-}{ }^{2} \operatorname{irp}\)＇wine＇．
\({ }^{1}\) For the O．K．form see the picture \(\mathrm{Ti}_{11}{ }^{4}\) ；also Saqq．Mast．i．39，no． 55 ．
\({ }^{2}\) D．el B． 105.
```

22 召 beer－jug

23 jur with handles
$24 \bigcirc$ bowl

Ideo．or det．in $\ell_{\Delta}^{\Delta} \Delta_{\sigma}$ var． $\bar{\sigma} h n k t$＇beer＇．Det．pot，measure，
 （ $\$ 266, \mathrm{I}$ ，end）；offerings generally，in $\AA_{111}^{\oplus}{ }^{2}$ inw＇tribute＇； notions connected with fluids，ex．$\hat{\theta}^{\circ}$ thi＇be drunken＇． As det．in the group $\theta \sigma$＇food and drink＇，see on $\theta$ X 2. Ideo．in $A^{3}$ var．Pyr．$\theta^{4} w d p w$＇butler＇．
${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv． $427,8 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．429，7．${ }^{3}$ See Schäfer－Lınge，Grab－und Denksteine，iii． 58 ；PSBA．13， 45 I．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．120．124．See too Sebekn．7， 9.
Use as last，but not specially in connection with beer．Exx．
 wdpw＇butler＇，see on W 22.
${ }^{1}$ Th．T．S．iii．i2．${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．iii． $4 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Meir iii． 25.
Phon．nw，exx．© inw（nyw）＇of＇，m．plur．（§86）；百 $N w t$ ＇（the goddess）Nut＇，probably so to be read in spite of
 $n w$ is preferably written ${ }^{n} \circ$ or ${ }^{\prime} \delta$ ，see on U i9；final $n w$ is sometimes written $0 \delta \sigma$ ，exx．汕 mnw＇monument＇； ${ }^{000}$ Thnw＇Libya＇．Great difficulty is caused by ＇primeval waters＇，which may have existed in two distinct forms（1）niw or nww or nw，（2）nnw or nwnw；${ }^{\text {la }}$ for（1）see Pyr．var．$-4 \int^{1 b}$ and a Dyn．XVIII enigmatic equivalent ． $\mathrm{H}^{1 \mathrm{c}}$ for（2）see Pyr．var．$=00 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{dd}}$ also the female counterpart $\mu^{m} \downarrow \downarrow^{\circ}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} n n t$＇the lower heaven＇，further the personal name $0_{0} 0$ 各 presumably to be read $N n w$ on account


 whether the former writing has anything to do with \＆${ }^{\circ} 0^{6}{ }^{6} d_{3} d_{3} w$＇pot＇is doubtful．So too from M．K． $\psi_{0}^{\circ}$ replaces O．K．$\|_{0}^{\infty}$ in such words as $\left\|_{0}\right\|_{i}$ var．Pyr．
 phon．det．o here is due to the stem－meaning of $\underset{R d i}{ }$＇go round＇，while the later $\sigma$ may be connected with $k d$ ＇fashion＇pots．From M．K．onwards $\delta$ inexplicably ac－ companies $\uparrow$ Aa 27，exx．挴 $n$ d＇protect＇；侮通 nd＇ask＇； and is found also in $7 \perp_{0}^{\circ 9} N h b t$＇（the goddess）Nekhbet＇．

The writing $\cong$ for $m$－$\underline{n} n w$＇in＇，lit．＇in the interior（of）＇ （ $\$ 178$ ）has been explained as a rebus $m(w) \underline{h}(r) n w$＇water under pot＇．${ }^{10}$ Lastly，$\sigma$ occurs as occasional alternative to $\delta$ or $\sigma$ as det．pot；hieratic often fails to distinguish these signs．${ }^{11}$
${ }^{1}$ Pyr．1184．1454．${ }^{13}$ Fuller collection of relevant writings，SkTHz，Amun und die acht Urgotter \＄5 61， $127 . \quad{ }^{10}$ Pyr．207．446．${ }^{\text {10 }}$ Rev．$d^{\prime}$ Eg．i． 5 ： ${ }^{14}$ Pyr．1078． $1778.1780 .{ }^{2}$ Pyr．1691．${ }^{8}$ Griffith，Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the Rylands Library iii．220，n． $14 . \quad$＇Pyr．141．1098．See Sity．d． Berl．Ak．1912， $962 .{ }^{5}$ Pyr． $1713 .{ }^{6}$ Eb．66，17．${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 1597. ${ }^{3}$ Urk．i．1oI，10．＇D．el $B$ ．35．Also in the related place－name $N b b$＇El－Kâb＇， Paheri i．$\quad{ }^{10} A Z Z .59,61 . \quad{ }^{11}$ Möll．Pal．i．nos．495． 497.
25 combination of $\sigma W 24$
and $\triangle D_{54}$

${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 913 ．${ }^{3}$ Reading，cf． O W 24 with the value $i n$ and see Sitz，d．Berl．$A k$ ． 1912，962；also Coptic cine．

## Sect．X．Loaves and Cakes

X 1 a bread $^{1}$

For $\left\{_{2}\right.$ see M 5.
$2 \underset{\text { loaf }}{ }$

Ideo．（or semi－phon．$t$ ）in ${ }_{\theta}^{\hat{\theta}}$ varr．${ }_{\theta \mid 1,}^{0}, \Delta^{2}$ rare var．Pyr．${ }^{\circ}{ }^{3}$ $t$＇bread＇；the accepted reading $t$（so in the ist edition） has no justification．Hence phon．$t$ ．Note the spellings
 elder priests．In group－writing（ $\left(60\right.$ ）$\hat{\theta}_{111}^{a}$ or $\stackrel{\Delta \theta}{b}$ is used for $t .{ }^{5}$
${ }^{1}$ Sethe，Alphabet 156 ．Cf．the Pyr．var．of n．3．and the later writing of $\boldsymbol{l}$－ntr with
the loaf $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Common in compounds like $t$－rth＇baked bread＇，$t$－wr＇large
bread＇，t－nbs＇bread of the nebk－tree＇，exx．BH．i． 17 ；cf．the varr．of $t$－wer，Pyr． 1946.
2194．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．1723．${ }^{4}$ See $\ddot{A} Z .47,94 ; 48,21-2 . \quad{ }^{\text {b }}$ Burchardt § 130.
 For ${ }_{\theta 111}^{\Delta}$ or $\stackrel{\Delta \theta}{\square}$ as $t$ in group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ）see on X I ． The groups $\theta \theta$ or $\stackrel{\theta \Xi}{\ominus}$ ，representing bread and beer with or without another sign for bread，occur as generic det． food，exx． 0 品 prt－hrw＇invocation offerings＇（p．172）；
 $h t p(w)-n t r$＇divine offerings＇．On M．K．coffins $\theta$ 负 is some－ times substituted for 4 午园 Dhwty＇Thoth＇for superstitious reasons，${ }^{3}$ and a similar or identical group serves also rarely for 3 皿会 $G b$＇（the earth－god）Geb＇ 4 or for sy＇Inpw ＇Anubis＇．${ }^{5}$ From the end of Dyn．XVIII ${ }_{\theta}{ }^{6}$ is found as var．of 7 $h_{i}^{\circ}$ ， $90 i t-n t r$＇god＇s father＇，a priestly title， see above under $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ．

[^270]
## Sign－list

$\mathbf{X}_{3} \quad 0$ alternative form of last
$4 \infty$ roll of bread

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

Use as last．For 0 as the earliest form of $\delta \mathrm{N} 34$ see on that sign．
 vocation offerings＇；－${ }^{0} \operatorname{mos}^{3}$（Dyn．XVIII），var．M．K． $\| \downarrow=0^{4} s n w^{5}$＇food－offerings＇．From this last，phon．det． $s n(z n)$ ，exx．$-{ }^{6}{ }^{6}$ var．$-\Delta$ sni＇pass by＇；$-\infty{ }^{7} S n t$ ＇Senet＇，a fem．personal name；cf．also Pyr．$\={ }^{\mathbf{m}} 44^{8}$ iznii ＇are opened＇．In $s n i$＇pass by＇and snt＇likeness＇$\infty$ is subsequently replaced by $ص \mathrm{X}_{5}$ ，while Pyr．$\infty$ in $z n$ ＇open＇later takes the form $ص \mathrm{~N}_{37}$ ，see on that sign． Also from $\Delta f_{k}$＇cake＇，phon．det．$f k$ in $f_{k}$＇reward＇．As det．sometimes takes the place of $\sigma \mathrm{W}_{3}$ （Dyns．XI．XII），ex． $\mathrm{m}_{11}^{\infty}$ ，$h b w$＇festivals＇．＇
${ }^{1}$ Meir ii．8．Sim．sbt＇food＇，ib．${ }^{2}$ BH．i．33．${ }^{3}$ Budge，p．159， $7 \cdot$ © D．el B．（XI）i．24．${ }^{5}$ This word chances not to have been found before M．K．， in which period its spellings are influenced by $\delta n$＇smell＇．Nevertheless，the original value was probably znw and this must be regarded as the origin of the phonetic value sn；see Rec．35，61．${ }^{6}$ BH．i．8， $8 .{ }^{7}$ Th．T．S．ii． $7 .{ }_{8}{ }_{8}$ Pyr． 1408. ${ }^{\prime}$ Brit．Mus． 580. Sim．ib． 237 （W3g＇Wag－festival＇）；Cl．－VANd． 5 33， 10 （3bd ＇month－festival＇）．
Use as last．
Det．bread，food（in hieratic only），exx． $0_{011}^{0} t$＇bread＇； Ser ${ }^{\text {® }}{ }^{2}$ rkw＇provisions＇．From Dyn．XII usually takes the place of $\infty$ as phon．det．$s n^{3}$ in hieroglyphic－$\Delta^{4}$ sni＇surpass＇； $0^{5} \mathrm{~m}$－snt－r＇in the likeness of＇（ $\$ 180$ ）．
${ }^{1}$ Pr．1，4．${ }^{2} \operatorname{Pr} .17,7 .{ }^{3}$ See the intermediate forms in the name Snt，Brit． Mus． 46 I ；Th．T．S．ii．38．＇Urk．iv．102，4．Sim．＇pass by＇，Sh．S．g．© Urk． iv．168， 10.
 ＇antiquity＇，＇primeval times＇and the related words．Apt to be confused with $\theta \mathrm{N} \mathrm{9.4}$
${ }^{1}$ Dav．Ptah．i．18，no． 402 and p． 34 ；Ann．9， 111 ；also depicted D．el B． 135. ${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．i． $18 . \quad 3$ Urk．iv．165，14．${ }^{1}$ Ex．${ }_{3} t y$ ，Urk．iv． $1168,6$.
In O．K．offering－lists described as $\square \rho=\frac{\Delta /}{\Delta} g$ gw＇half－loaves＇ or ${ }^{0} p d w$＇（pieces）spread out＇．${ }^{12}$ In Pyr．杋 or 4 is ideo．or det．food，exx．$ص \downarrow \delta^{2} q^{2}$ wsb＇eat＇；$+\delta^{3}$ var． $8 q^{3}$ wnm＇eat＇．After O．K．$\Delta$ becomes indistinguishable from $\Delta \mathrm{N} 29$ and is practically confined to the word +8 迬 ${ }^{4}$ var．结 ${ }^{5} \mathrm{wnm}^{6}$＇eat＇．Exceptionally，however，as det．in产＂忩 ${ }^{7}{ }^{7}$ snw＇food－offerings＇．
${ }^{1}$ Pyr． 807 （M in3）．Often，but not always，taller and narrower than $\Delta$（ N 29. ${ }^{1 a}$ Gunn，Teti，207，n．i．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．805．Sim．brhl＇have abundance＇，ib．${ }^{3}$ Pyr． 807．＇Dend．12．Sim．Manich 3，7．Brit．Mus．574，17；Paheri 3．${ }^{6}$ For the reading see on M 42 and $\mathrm{Z}_{11}$ ． 7 Urk．iv．481， 12.
$\mathbf{X} 8 \Delta$ conical loaf? (in M.E. more often replaced by $ـ$ D 37)

Ideo. give, in $\mathbb{\Delta} d i, \Delta d i \quad$ give' $(\$ 289$, I) and also in Pr. $\Delta$ rare vars. $\Delta \_,{ }^{1} \Delta \Delta^{2}$ for the more usual imperative
 both stems seems conclusive for the ideographic character of the sign. ${ }^{3}$ Possibly the earliest reading of the later stem $r d i$ was $r d i$, cf. the personal name $\Delta \Delta$ var. $\# \pi^{4}$ and the Per. var. $\operatorname{mon}^{5}$ for $r d w$ 'efflux'; but verbforms with repetition of the sign (ex. $\Delta \Delta \sigma$ ) doubtless indicate the reading $d d$; so in Pr. already ${ }^{5 a}$ and see above $\S 289$, I. From the same stem, phon. $d$ (very rare), ex. $\Delta \Delta_{111}^{\circ}{ }^{10} d k(r)$ 'fruit'. The word $\Delta \|^{\prime \prime} 1_{1}{ }^{7}$ 'provisions' probably reads $d i$; in hieratic the inner markings are not shown, so that the sign there resembles $\triangle$, see before $Z 9$, and the word has, therefore, often been read $s p d .^{8}$

[^271]
## Sect. Y. Writings, Games, Music

$Y_{I} \Longleftarrow$ papyrus rolled up, tied, and sealed (from Din. XII on also vertically , 引)

 '(sculptor's) chisel'. Deft. ${ }^{7}$ writing and things written, exp.


 mathematical books and accounts - is often abbrev. for $\Delta 0=d m d$ ' total'. ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{1}$ Lb. 30, 7. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr.491. ${ }^{3}$ PSBA. 21, $269 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Two Sculptors 8.
${ }^{5}$ Leyd. Denkm. iv. 14. ${ }^{6}$ Common in Din. XIX. XX. ${ }^{7}$ Old uses, $A ̈ Z .57,75 . \quad{ }^{8}$ Compare P. Nah. 8, 13. 14. with ib. 8, 62. Sim. P. Louvre 3226, 10, 8. This use arises from the habit of separating $\rightleftharpoons$ from the phonetic signs for $d m \underline{d}$ in M.K. papyri, see Exec. XX, (a), end.
$2 \sim \int^{1}$ O.K. form of last (also vertically \|from Per. on in specific cases) ${ }^{2}$

Use as last.

[^272]3 解 ${ }^{1}$ scribe＇s outfit，consist－ ing of palette，bag for the powdered pigments，and reed－ holder
时并，var．$\vec{\sigma}_{11}{ }^{2}$ ssw＇writings＇and the related words，cf． O．K．च㧶 ${ }^{3} z^{3}$＇ write＇．Perhaps because pigments were ground fine and smooth，det．in \＃nifind ner＇smooth＇；in
 sner＇made smooth＇，＇ground fine＇．Also det．of the Pyr．
 tmsw＇injury＇，＇harm＇．
${ }^{1}$ This form is commonest at all periods，exx．O．K．，Saqq．Mast．i．4．8．20；M．K．， Bersh．i．15．18． 20 ；Dyn．XVIII，Th．T．S．i． 1 ；Rekh． 5 ；Puy．20，7．So too in hieratic，Móll．Pal．i．no．537．For the leather bag see Scharff 54 ；Quib．Saqq． 1911－12，Pl．29；later apparently interpreted as a water－bowl．1a Wb．ii．83， 3. ${ }_{2}$ Rekh．2，16，cf．p．25．${ }^{3}$ Sah．1；Pyr．906．${ }^{4}$ Herdsm．5；Eb．108， 20.
 Hearst I，17．In O．K．scenes Snrr means＇polish＇，Montet 290．306；snrr ib＇make calm＇，lit．＇smooth the heart＇，Pt．276；BUDGE，p．262，14． 7 Wb．v． 369. ${ }^{8}$ Budge，p．110，2；262， 5 ；cf．$A Z .60,74$.
Use as last．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．O．K．，Ti 23.46 ；M．K．，BH．i．29．30． 35 ；Dyn．XVHI，Cairo $34017=$ Lacau，Pl．II．Gunn，Teti，147，n．I points out that this alternative form occurs especially often in texts written from left to right，the scribe having omitted to make the customary reversal．So too with certain other signs．
For unknown reason，phon．$m n,{ }^{2}$ exx．

${ }^{1}$ For this word，see L．D．ii．61，$a$ ；when it has the dranght－board as det．，this is much more elaborately made than the sign for $m n$, ex．Urk．v．4， $12 .{ }^{2}$ Reading from a large number of Coptic equivalents，exx．moun＇remain＇；Amoun＇Amūn＇．
 phon．$i b_{3}$ in $\ \perp \Delta^{3}$ varr．$\cap \triangle \Delta \Delta \Delta,{ }^{3} \triangle 0 \Delta^{4} i b 3(w)$ ，＇dances＇． ${ }^{1}$ Capart，Rue 69．${ }^{2}$ Rec．16，129，Dyn．XX．${ }^{3}$ BH．ii．7．${ }^{4}$ BH．ii． 17.
Det．in $ل_{a}^{\prime \prime \prime} D^{2}$ bnt（bint）${ }^{3}$＇harp＇．

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Thebes, tomb } 50 . \quad \text { I Urk. iv. 174, } 13 . \quad{ }^{3} \text { S.acîdic boině. }
$$

 XIII－XVIII occasionally phon．shm（because of $\ddagger$ ishm，a

${ }^{1}$ Thebes，tomb $93 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Gard．Sin．p．102．${ }^{3}$ Kopt．8，8．Cf．Cairo 20539，i．b 1 I．

## Sect．Z．Strokes，Signs derived from Hieratic，Geometrical Figures

Z I I stroke（perhaps pro－ perly a wooden dowel）${ }^{1}$

Ideo．or det．one，unity，exx．$\leftrightarrows$ var．I wr＇one＇，＇IIII 7 ＇seven＇， lit．＇seven units＇（\＄259）．Following an ideogram I denotes that this means the actual thing that it depicts（\＄25），exx． or＇mouth＇；M dw＇mountain＇；so too in duals and
 $\frac{M 1.14}{4}{ }^{4}$ bswt＇countries＇；in fem．nouns the fem．ending

Z I I（continued）
$t$ often intervenes，ex．$\overline{\mathbb{l}}{ }_{1}^{\circ}$ hst＇water－jar＇．Such writings were often preceded in O．K．by phonetic signs；${ }^{5}$ M．E． survivals of this practice are $s$＇man＇；皿 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{hrw}$ ＇day＇．Ideo．with I is occasionally followed by a det．，ex．

 for $\sigma_{1} \xi^{\prime}$ pool＇．It is strange that -9 is a later writing of $t_{3}$＇earth＇，while $=_{\pi 1}^{10}$（also found in Dyn．XVIII）${ }^{11}$ is the usual writing in Dyn．XII；$-\left.8\right|_{1} ^{\pi{ }^{12}}$ dmi＇town＇is likewise difficult to explain，as also are many later exx． of the stroke．Already in Pyr．cases occur of ideo．with i being together transferred to a phonetic use；${ }^{13}$ so often
 so particularly in group－writing（ $\$ 60$ ），exx．，$r$ ；${ }_{\alpha}^{2}$ ，t． Along similar lines $\otimes$ ，occurs as det．towns in place of $\otimes$ ，
 in Dyn．XII i serves merely to fill an empty space，exx． ${ }^{-1}{ }^{15} n$＇for＇；南 ${ }^{16} d_{3} \cdot n \cdot i^{\prime}$＇I ferried across＇．In Pyr．I was sometimes used（like ，and o）to replace human figures，these being regarded as magically dangerous ${ }^{17}$ ；

 appear to be the rare employment of $I$ as suffix ist pers． sing．$\cdot i$＇ I ＇；${ }^{20}$ perhaps also the fairly common writing

${ }^{1}$ Hier．p．37．${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20538 ，ii．c $7 . \quad{ }^{8} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 271 . \quad{ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 45$. ${ }^{5} A ̈ Z .45,4^{6} . \quad{ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．81，4．${ }^{7}$ BH．i．8， $8 . \quad{ }^{8}$ Urk．iv． 1165 ， 10. ${ }^{\circ}$ Urk．iv．102， 15 ；${ }^{15} 5,11 .{ }^{10} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}_{43}{ }^{11}{ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．96，3；cf．ib．149， 14. ${ }^{12} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 306 . \quad{ }_{13} A Z .45,50 . \quad 14$ Peas．Bt．17．Sim．BH．i．25， 79. ${ }^{15}$ Louvre C I，if．${ }^{16}$ Brit．Mus．562，7．${ }^{17}$ ÄZ．51，22．${ }^{18}$ Lac．TR．1，6； 7，1；8，1．$\quad{ }^{19} A Z Z .51,51 . \quad{ }^{20}$ Cairo 20057，$q$ ，qu．§505，r；ib．20538，ii．c 4 ． Already in O．K．，Urk．i．126， $2 . \quad 21$ Cairo 20538，i．$\subset 4$ ；Urk．iv．1148，in．

Det．plurality（ $\$ 73,3$ ），common from Dyn．IX onwards， following an ideo．or det．to show that it should be understood three times，exx．$\left\{\left.\right|^{1}\right.$ rupwot＇years＇for O．K．
 Examples occur already in Pyr．，but very rarely，ex． स ${ }^{W}{ }^{3} \mathrm{mrw}$＇canals＇；these suggest as origin of the use a contraction of plurals like $\Gamma_{\square} \square^{4}$ into 品，but since $\cdots \circ$ ，are found as plur．det．from Dyn．VI onward（exx．


11 as plur．det．cannot be dissociated entirely from the employment of I ，\or $\circ$ in Pyr．as substitutes for signs representing human figures which were regarded as magically dangerous；${ }^{7}$ see on $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ ； $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ ；。 $\mathrm{N}_{33}$ ．In M．E． 11 is found also with purely phonetic signs，exx．
 $\dagger_{1} \stackrel{i}{1}_{1}{ }^{10} \mathrm{nfrt}$＇beautiful＇，f．plur．Sometimes it marks plural meaning in words that are not themselves plural，exx．

 probably felt by the Egyptians in wordsdenoting foodstuffs， materials，etc．，though singular in form，exx．$\theta_{111}^{14} t$
 in fem．participles with neuter meaning，ex．乌－$\frac{d}{} d d t$ ＇what was said＇，＇（things）said＇（ $\$ 354$ ）．Lastly， 11 is found with abstracts ending in $w(\$ 77,1$ ），whether these are really plurals or not，exx．耶，$A_{1}^{17}$ smsw＇following＇； ＂unin ${ }^{18} n d s w$＇poverty＇；so too with fem．infinitives，if such they be（§ 298 ，end），ex．肌思，mswt＇birth＇${ }^{19}$ For the same sign vertically written＝in hieratic，see under $Z_{3}$ ；for $\equiv, 三$ and $=$ in numbering the days in dates，see § 259 ；三 occurs also as phon．det．in ${ }^{\circ}$＝金 $k m t$＇think＇．
${ }_{1}$ Siut ${ }_{4}, 22 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Siut 3，12．${ }^{3}$ Pyr．508；sim．ib．396．See $A Z$. 11，18，n．1．
4 Pyr． $292 .{ }^{5}$ Urk．i．127， $9 .{ }^{6}$ Urk．i．151， $11 .{ }^{7}$ ÄZ．51， $18 .{ }^{8}$ Leyd． V 4，12．Sim．$d d d(w)$ ，Meir iii．4；nhtw，Urk．iv．654， $14 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Cairo 20086， $b_{12}$ ．Sim．Leb．61．$\quad{ }^{10}$ Cairo 20086，$b_{14}$ ．${ }^{11}$ Dots already in Pyr．287，cf．Rec． 35，67．${ }^{12}$ Urk．iv．I，16．${ }^{13} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 147，as predicate．${ }^{14}$ Cairo 20024， 68. ${ }^{15}$ Leyd．V 4，3．$\quad{ }^{16}$ Urk．iv．423， $10 .{ }^{17}$ Budge，p．80， $14 .{ }^{18}$ Pt． 428. ${ }^{19}$ Verbum $\mathrm{ii}, \S 603$ ．
Use as last，common in hieroglyphic from Dyn．XII，${ }^{1}$ rarer in hieratic，where the original form was $\overline{-}{ }^{2}$

[^273]For $\circ$ oo see on N 33 ．
4 ＂ 1 two diagonal strokes （less often written 11 ）

In Pyr．only as det．duality，exx．${ }^{1} \underline{t}(i)$＇you two＇（cf．for
 rw（i），also＂$\left.10\right|^{6}$ rw（y），＇the two arms＇；and in O．K．，ex． ${ }_{11}^{187}$ phw（i）＇end＇（ $(77,1)$ ．In some cases＂replaced human figures，these being deemed to be magically dangerous，exx． $\mathcal{P}_{1}^{0}{ }^{8} 3 h t(i)$＇the two glorious ones＇for
 $t(w) t w i$＇the two images＇．The last use survives in M．E． ${ }_{\text {oll }} \backslash^{11}$ Šdty＇Crocodilopolite＇，where，however，the hieratic ＂replaces，not dangerous signs，but signs difficult to

## STROKES, SIGNS DERIVED FROM HIERATIC, ETC. Sign-list

$Z_{4}$ " (continued)
$5 \backslash{ }^{1}$ diagonal stroke as made in hieratic (sometimes also - )
$6{ }^{1}$ hieratic substitute for (2) $\mathrm{A}_{13}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~A}_{14 .}{ }^{2}$
draw ; ${ }^{12}$ see below \Z 5 . Elsewhere in M.E. " is always phon. $y$, through its constant association earlier with words of dual form, i. e. ending in $i(y)$; exx. are ${ }^{*} \cdot f y$, var. Pyr. mil ${ }^{13} . f i$,'his two' ( $\$ 75,2$ ); fin imnty 'western'; - Ni" in sdmty.fy 'who will hear' ( $\$ 364$ ). Except in compounds like the last " $y$ is always final consonant ; it has its distinct uses, and $\ell Q$ is seldom interchangeable with it. ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{1} \text { Pyr. } 2200 \text {. Sim. but with dots, } \operatorname{sn}(l), \text { Pyr. 631. }{ }^{2} \text { Pyr. } 1424 \text {; see Rec. 35, } 68 .
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{8}$ Pyr. $1425 . \quad{ }^{9}$ Pyr. 1248. Sim. snt $(\bar{\ell})$, Pyr. $628 .{ }^{10}$ Pyr. ${ }^{1329 .}{ }^{11}$ Erm.
Hymn. 1, 4, qu. Exerc. XXXI, (a). ${ }^{12}$ Rec. 38, 183 ; most similar exx. belong to
Dyn. XIX or later. ${ }^{13}$ Pyr. 2048; see Rec. 35, 69. ${ }^{14}$ Verbum i. § 125.

Identical in origin with the stroke $\backslash$ used in Pyr. as substitute for human figures, these being considered magically dangerous, ex. $\ddagger{ }^{2}$ smsw 'elder' for $\neq 1 /{ }^{2}$ In M.E. hieratic used only to replace dets. that were difficult to draw, ${ }^{3}$ exx. ${ }^{\circ} \odot^{4} 3 t$ 'moment' for $\odot$;
 XVIII sometimes in personal names without preceding phon. signs for B 3, ex. A\通 'ICh-ms(w) 'Ahmosĕ' for anliev鱼. ${ }^{7}$ Only very rarely to replace complicated or unusual signs in Dyn. XVIII hieroglyphic, ex. 엉 ${ }^{8}$ $i d t$ (?) 'cow', possibly for ${ }_{0}^{0} 9$. Hieratic $\ 1$ as substitute for two dets. (see on $\backslash \backslash Z_{4}$ ) is merely a doubling of $\backslash$.

[^274]Det. death, enemy, exx. $\cup^{3} m(w) t$ 'die'; $0_{0} \mathrm{~J}^{4} \mathrm{bpt}$ 'decease'; ©ill ${ }^{5}$ bft $(y)$ 'enemy'. In hieroglyphic barely distinguishable from ${ }^{\top}$ F 20 .
${ }^{1}$ Möll. Pal. i. no. 49, b. For the hieroglyphic form here adopted, see the ex.
qu. below n. 4. $\quad{ }^{2}$ It is doubtful whether this is abbrev. of A 13 or A 14.
Matilation for superstitious reasons has clearly played a part, see $\tilde{A} Z .51,51$.
${ }^{3}$ Lac. TR. p. 9, 1.4. $\quad$ Cairo 20003, $2=$ Musée égyplien i. 18. ${ }^{5}$ Eb. 109, 17.

7 ৎ hieroglyphicadaptation of the hieratic abbreviated form of $\mathrm{G}_{43}$

The hieratic abbreviation of $\mathrm{G}_{43}$, best transcribed by $¢$, occurs with increasing frequency from Dyn. IX onwards. ${ }^{1}$ In hieroglyphic e $\mathfrak{z}$ does not become really common until the reign of Akhenaten. ${ }^{2}$ Not to be confused with $\rho$ V I.
${ }^{1}$ Möll. Pal. i. no. 200, b. Early exx. at Hat-Nub and in the Bershah coffins.
${ }^{2}$ Early exx., U'rk. iv. 2, 12 ; 148, 8, beginning of Dyn. XVIII.

For O as hieroglyphic adaptation of the more cursive hieratic form of ${ }^{2}$ G 39, see on H 8. For لـ as hierogiyphic equivalent of the hieratic forms of $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{3} \mathrm{U}_{3}$, see U 40 .

Sign-list
Z $8 \backsim$ oval
(N 33) o circle
(M44) $\triangle$ triangle ?

Z $9 \times$ two sticks crossed

EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR
Det. round, in $\ell \bar{\sigma}^{1}{ }^{1}$ ynw 'circuit' and the related words. A different sign from $ص \mathrm{~N} 18$.
${ }^{1}$ D.el B. 156 .
Det. round, from O.K. ${ }^{1}$ onwards common in words from the
腚 ${ }^{3} \mathrm{kd}$ 'character'. From Dyn. XI increasingly often replaced by 0 W 24.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. kd' 'form', Urk. i. 101, 10. 12 ; kd' mould', Pyr. 1597.
2 Cairo 20609, a $6 . \quad$ B Brit. Mus. 6ı4, 8.
Ideo. or det. in $\prod_{0}^{\circ} \Delta$ var. $\Delta \sharp s p d$ ( $s p d$ ) 'sharp', unless it is there a thorn, as in $\rho_{0} \Delta s r t$ 'thorn', see on M 44. In ${ }_{\theta}{ }^{\text {il }} \Delta^{1} t$-hd ' white bread' it signifies a loaf of triangular shape.
${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 770,9 .
Det. break, exx. $\left\{_{x}^{2}\right\rceil^{1}$ hdi 'damage'; $\mathcal{P} x^{2} \mathrm{gmgm}$ 'break'; divide, exx. $\underset{\square \times}{\cup} w p i$ 'divide'; ${ }_{x} p s y^{\prime}$ 'divide'; $\theta \ \times b b i$ ' lessen', 'subtract'; actions involving something crossed or encountered, exx. 1 wss 'answer'; $\left\rfloor_{x}^{\theta} \|^{5} 36 h\right.$ 'be united'; also in many words where the reason is not apparent, ${ }^{6}$ exx. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{7} n k t$ 'matter',

 'break up (numbers)' ${ }^{9} \times\left(\mathrm{Z}_{10}\right)$, the prototype of $\times$, has become $O$ Aa 2 ; but in $\times$ hsb ' $\frac{1}{4}$ ' ( $\$ 265$ ) the sign has survived as an ideo. Owing to its use as det. in certain stems, $\times$ has acquired special phon. or semi-phon. values
 'pass by', from $\hat{f} \times^{13}$ var. $x^{14}$ swe (zwos) 'cut off';
 sdi 'break'; (3) bbs, in ${ }_{-111}{ }^{18}$ var. © $\iint_{1}{ }^{\times}{ }_{10}^{19} b b s w$ 'ploughlands'; (4) sbn, in ${ }^{20}$ var. $ص$ ل ${ }^{21}{ }^{21} s b n$ 'mixed', 'various';
 it', a phrase serving to introduce details of accounts;



 Sethe, Zahlworte 77. ${ }^{10}$ Peas. B I, 8. ${ }^{11}$ Sin. B $14 . \quad{ }^{12}$ Sin. R 39. ${ }^{13}$ Lac. TR. 7, 4. Sim. in O.K., Ti $110 . \quad{ }^{14}$ Rekh. 2, $13 . \quad{ }^{16}$ Sh.S. 56 ; for the reading see Dav. Ptah. i. p. 29 and Akhm. cBĩcete 'holocaust', $\ddot{A} Z .48,36$. ${ }^{16}$ Pyr. 124. Sim. Dend. 37 F. $\quad 17$ Lac. TR. 10, 7. 18 Th. T.S. i. 30. 10 Th.T.S.i.7. $\quad 20$ P. Kah. 15, 68; Urk. iv. 769, 9. So usually written in phrases like $t \$ b n$ 'various loaves'. ${ }^{21}$ Hat-Nub 11, 14. $\quad{ }_{22}$ P. Gurob A (unpablished), vs. 2, 18, end of Dyn. XVIII; so often later. ${ }^{23}$ P. Kah. 40, 3; cf. zupt 'specification', Griffith, Kahun Papyri, p. 20. 24 Eb. 21, 13-14. ${ }^{25}$ Eb. 96, 3. Sim. s3-wr, ib. 9, 13. ${ }^{26}$ Eb. 96, 10.

# STROKES, SIGNS DERIVED FROM HIERATIC, ETC. Sign-list 

$Z$ io $>^{1}$ O.K. form of last

I I
two planks crossed and joined ${ }^{\circ}$

Use as last.

Ideo. (?) in 4 " varr. + , + imy ' who is in' ( 879 ) and derivatives. Hence (?) phon. imi, ex. $+\rightarrow$ var. 1 imi ' not be' ( $\$ 342$ ). Since in hieratic + is often identical with $+\mathrm{M}_{42}$, Dyn. XVIII hieroglyphic writes $+{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$


 30,28 , where $\lfloor$ is wrongly written for $\leadsto$.

## Sect. Aa. Unclassified

Aa I ${ }^{1}$ human placenta ? ${ }^{2}$
20 pustule or gland ? ${ }^{1}$

Cf. $\oplus \beta^{3} b$ 'placenta (?)'. Hence (?) phon. $b$.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Ex. Five Th. T. 3. } \quad \text { S Sah. Text, } 77 \text {; JEA. iii. } 235 . \quad{ }^{3} \text { JEA. iii. } 243 .
$$

Det. bodily growths or conditions, especially of a morbid

 (whence phon. $\underline{p} p(3)$ in $)_{0} 0_{1}{ }^{6}$ var. $0_{1}{ }^{7} h p w$ 'sculptured reliefs'); fat, distended, exx. 绿 $0^{8} d d s$ 'fat'; $\nabla_{0} 0^{\circ} 乡 f w$ 'swell'. Replaces a number of O.K. signs that have become obsolete:-( I ) $0=$ Pyr. 0 V 38 , as ideo. or det.
 sdwh 'treat', 'embalm'. (2) $0=$ O.K. $\times \mathrm{Z}$ го, as det. in
 $\times$ has survived as $\times Z 9$. (3) $0=$ Pyr. ठ F 52 or O.K. 0 N 32 , as det. excrement, in $\left\{_{1} \mathrm{O}_{1}{ }^{16} \mathrm{hs}\right.$ 'excrement';

 whst 'cauldron'; hence phon. whs in ${ }_{i s}^{21}$ var. O.K.
 mst 'granite'; $\mathrm{PO} \mathrm{J} \mathrm{m}^{24} 3 b w$ 'Elephantine'. (6) $0=$
 'bundles'; hence phon. det. $g_{3}$ in $0{ }^{2}{ }^{27} g_{3 w}$ 'be

${ }^{1}$ Conjectured from the very frequent use in the medical papyri and from 0 Aa 3. ${ }^{2}$ Eb. 70, $2 .{ }^{3}$ Eb. 36, 14 . Adm. p. 104. © Eb. 100, 19. Cf. JEA. iii. 203. 6 Urk. iv. 422, 11. 7 Urk. iv. 425, 2. ${ }^{8}$ Peas. B 1, 62. - Eb. 108, 3. $\quad 10$ Eb. 39, 18. $\quad 11 B H$. i. $18 . \quad 12 E b .6,17$. For the reading sawh, not stwh, see Urk. iv. 913, 17. ${ }^{13}$ D.el B. 79. ${ }^{14}$ Th. T. S. i. 27. ${ }^{15}$ Cairo 20296, i. ${ }^{16}$ Budge, p. 123, 7. ${ }^{17}$ Eb. $71,17 . \quad{ }^{18}$ Lac. TR.72, $4^{2}$. 10 Nav. ch. 17, 68. $\quad 20$ Eb. 65, 18. ${ }^{21} P u y .31$; reading, see $A Z Z .56,44$. ${ }^{22}$ Urk. i. 125, $14 . \quad{ }^{28}$ Urk. iv. 623, 5. $\quad 24$ D.el B. $154 . \quad 25$ Urk. iv. 138, 6. 26 D.el B. 77. $\quad 27$ Eb. 102, $10 . \quad 28$ Urk.iv. 23, 12; 423, 2.

Aa3 © pustule or＇gland（？）o Aa 2 with liquid issuing from it

Rarer alternative of 0 in its medical or anatomical use，as det．when soft matter or a liquid is meant，exx． wosst＇urine＇； $1 . .{ }^{2} 3 s^{\text {＇}}$ soft inner parts（？）＇．Also det． in 隐 ${ }^{3} s \underline{t}$＇odour＇．
${ }^{1}$ D．el B．ı10．Sim．kny＇bulging＇，P．Kah．7，60．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．84，8；cf．is， Eb．97，8；see now JEA 33， $4^{8 .} \quad{ }^{3}$ Bersh．ii． 17.

For $\downarrow$ Aa 4 （Pyr．）see after $\square \mathrm{W}$ 10＊
$6 \wedge^{1}$ doubtful（different from Det．in $9 \Omega^{2} t m 3$（from $\underline{t m s}$ ？）＇mat＇．Hence（？）phon．det．

5 part of the steering gear of ships？ ${ }_{80} S_{23}$ ）
 $i \not t i h p t$＇take the $h p t$＇，i．e．＇proceed by boat＇，$r$ to a place ；
 ＇sail＇${ }^{4}$ ．From M．K．there is a word $A b^{5} h p t$＇oar＇，but the writing $\underset{\sim}{\mathscr{S}}$ in Pyr．makes it impossible to interpret that word as meaning＇oar＇from the start．${ }^{6}$ Hence phon． $h p$ ，exx．给 40 造 ${ }^{7}$ Hpy＇Hepy＇，one of the four sons of Horus；$\}^{n}{ }^{8} h p$＇Apis－bull＇．The full stem may have

${ }^{1}$ Apparently made of rushes bound together. See for various forms, Kees,
Opfertanz des äg. Königs (Leipzig, 1912), Pl. 5 ; Petrie, Royal Tombs ii. 24, no.
210; L. D. ii. 6; Sah. 31; Meir ii. 17, no. 66. ${ }^{2}$ Pyr. 873. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. 1346.
${ }^{4}$ ÄZ. 62, 4, n. 3 . ${ }^{\circ}$ Brit. Mus. 6655 , qu. Kees, op. cit. 221 . ${ }^{6}$ See Kees,
op.cit. 74 foll. ${ }^{7}$ Petrie, Gizeh and Rifeh 13 g. ${ }^{8}$ Meir i. i1. ${ }^{9}$ Pyr. 1081. $\underline{t} m$ in $\Longrightarrow M^{3}$ var．$\Rightarrow A O^{4} \underline{t m}$＇＇cadaster（？）＇or kind of land（？）．
${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. $828 .{ }^{2}{ }^{2} B H$. ii. $3 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Brit. Mus. 828, qu. $5450 . \quad$ Rekh. 3,
88, qu. Exerc. XXX (iii).
 $\operatorname{skr}(s k r){ }^{7}$＇smite＇．
${ }^{1}$ Exx．O．K．，Ti 60 ；Sah．I．The sign has been supposed to represent a mat of papyrus，Rec．26，48．From Dyn．XIX onwards interpreted as a claw or hoof，so already perhaps D．el B．ıoo；in Gebr．i． 14 （Dyn．VI）it looks like an arm．The sign is not found in hieratic．${ }^{2}$ Exx．Urk．iv．9，14；659， $15 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．895，5． ${ }^{4}$ D．el B．100；Urk．iv．780， 1 1．＇Urk．iv．36， 7 in skr－fnhw＇prisoners of war＇． ${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{R}_{14}$ ． $15 . \quad{ }^{7}$ In O．K．regularly written without $r$ ，exx．Ti 60 ；Capart， Rue 33 ；Pyr． 1138 ． 143 r ．
$8 \mapsto$ irrigation runnels as in 표 $\mathrm{N}_{24}$ ？

Ideo．or det．in $d^{1}$ var．$\omega^{2} d s t t$＇estate＇in the title $\uparrow)^{\infty 0}$＇steward of the estate＇；the meaning of $\underline{d}_{3} t t$ and the interpretation of here depend on the not improbable identification of this title with ©
 var．舞 ${ }^{6}$＇estate＇would in this case read $d_{3 t t}$ ．Hence
 djdst＇magistrates＇，＇assessors＇．For an unknown reason，

Aa $8 \ldots$ (continued)
$9 \operatorname{rr}^{1}$ doubtful
$10-{ }^{1}$ doubtful

II $\int$ doubtful $^{1}$ (sometimes vertically \| or \|)
$12 \int^{1}$ O.K. form of last
 $k n$ ' mat'. In hieratic $\rightarrow$ stands not only for itself but also for certain other signs, whence confusions have resulted both in modern transcriptions and in actual hieroglyphic texts. Thus $w$ is found (1) for $\mathrm{m}^{12} \mathrm{~N} 24$ in hierogl. $\operatorname{lo}_{1}^{13} s p 3 t$ 'district'; (2) for $\infty \mathrm{V} 26$ in hieratic


 found in Dyn. XVIII hieroglyphic for - $\simeq s m t$ 'desert', 'necropolis', as a mediating var. this reason the name of king ( $\mathrm{O11})^{20}$ var. (स) Dyn. I ${\underset{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}}}^{22}$ is possibly to be read $Z m t y$ rather than
 the Oivadais of Manetho being probably due to mistaken interpretation of the hieratic.


Det. in $\underbrace{1}$ kwd 'rich'.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. D.el $B$ 110; Rifeh 7, 22. Černý conjectures that this may be an abbreviated form of the O.K. sign for havdt, 'portable chair', Wb. iii. 250, 3 .
Det. in ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} d r f$ ' writing'.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. BH. i. 7. Rather different forms, Siut 1, 263; Urk. iv. 776, 10.
 ' truth' and the related words. Note specially often the writing $=m 3 r-h r w$ ' true of voice' ( $\$ 55$ ). As a pedestal det. in $\operatorname{sen}^{\infty} \underline{t n t t}$ ' raised platform', a unique writing (?).
${ }^{1}$ Neither the form nor the value suits the identification with the flute ( $m s t$ ) sometimes upheld. According to Kristensen (Het leven uit den dood 7 I ) and others (Griff. Stud. 45; Kêmi i. 127) a platform or pedestal.
${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 200, 9.
Use as last.

[^275]For $\Rightarrow, \Rightarrow$ see U 4. 5 .

Aa ${ }_{13} \leftharpoonup^{1}$ hardly the two ribs of Ideo. or det. in O.K. $\downarrow \subset i m$, plur. $\equiv i m w$, a part of the

suggested ${ }^{2}$
${ }_{14}<{ }^{1}$ O.K. form of last
$15 \Longleftarrow{ }^{1}$ alternative form of $\subset$ Aa 13 (Dyn. XVIII)
$16 \subset$ short form of $\subset \mathrm{Aa}_{1} 3$
$17 \measuredangle^{1}$ back of something ${ }^{2}$ (O.K. and M.K. form)
 on, phon. $m .^{3}$ Ideo. also in $<$ var. $\subset g s$, varr. Pyr. ©f $=$, ${ }^{5}{ }^{5} g s$, 'side', 'half'. Hence phon. $g s$, exx. $\cong \delta^{6}{ }^{6}$ var.

${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dyn. XII, Meir iii. 23 (im) ; Dyn. XVII, Kopt. 8, 5 (im); Dyn. XVIII, Rekh.3, 29 ( gs ); D.el B. $116(\mathrm{lmz})$; there is no difference between im and $g s . \quad{ }^{2} A Z Z$. 64, 10. ${ }^{3}$ ÄZ. 35, $170 .{ }^{4}$ Pyr.925. ${ }^{5}$ Pyr. 1092. ${ }^{6}$ Hearst 10,16. ${ }^{7}$ Sin. B 293. ${ }^{8}$ Peas. B 1, 305. $\quad 9$ Maspero, Trois Années de Fouilles, Pl. 2 ; see Sphinx $12,117$.
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dav. Ptah. i. 17, nos. 380-2 (imz).
Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. Paheri 3; Amarn. i. 26 (prep. m). This straight form is usual from late Dyn. XVIII on.
Used only in $\subset^{1}$ var. $\subset 1^{2} g s$ 'side', 'half' and as phon. $g s$. ${ }^{1}$ Exx. Urk. iv. 429, 12; 630, $17 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 367, 9; D.el B. 113.
Ideo. in $\left.\frac{1}{1} s s^{(s 3}\right)$ 'back', Coptic soi. Hence phon. $s$ ( $\left(s_{3}\right)$, ${ }^{3}$


${ }^{1}$ O.K. forms, Saqq. Mast. i. 2 ; Sah. 1 ; Montet 225 ; Dyn. XI-XII, Brit. Mus. 614, 9; Leyd. V 4,5 ; Sebekkhu 4 . See also on Aa 18; there is great variety in detail. ${ }^{2}$ Inferred from the use of 1 in $s_{3}$ ' back', $A Z .45,45$; full discussion, MONTET 225-6; Grdseloff adheres to Borchardt's explanation as a razor, Ann. 43, 310 . ${ }^{2}$ For ${ }^{\prime}$ see Pyr. 959 ( $\$ 3$ h). ${ }^{4}$ Siut 1, 235 .

18苗 ${ }^{1}$ Dyn.XII-XVIIIform of last

19 $\bigcap^{1}$ doubtful (different from f V i9)

20 f $^{1}$ doubtful ${ }^{2}$
$2 I \|^{1}$ a carpenter's tool?
 ${ }^{1}$ Exx. Dyn. XII, Bersh. i. 18 ; Berl. ÄI. i. p. 258, 12 ; Dyn. XVIII, Rekh. 2, 2 ; Paheri 9, 48. This exact form as cover of a quiver, Medînet Habu (Chicago) I, 25, B, but this explanation is hard to apply to the earlier counterpart. ${ }^{2}$ BURCHARDT §IO5.

For unknown reason, phon. det. $h r$, exx. $n^{1} h r$ 'prepare';
 serve (?)' and derivatives. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ D.el B. 69. $\quad 2$ Wb. v. 355; Adm. p. 89; ÄZ. 68, 21 .
 and derivatives.
${ }^{1}$ O.K. forms, Sah. 52 (elaborate as in Dyn. III, see WeiliL, IIe. et IIIe. Dynasties,
Pl. 4); Ti 25 ; Dyn. XVIII, D.el B. 91 ; Puy. 12. ${ }^{2}$ Clearly not identical with
the counterpoise menht, for which see JEQ. $65-6 . \quad$ 3 Pyr. 1465 ; Louvre C 14,7 .
 wde ' sever', ' judge'. In M.K. coffins $\downarrow$ or $\downarrow$ 用 is some- times used as a substitute for the god sth 'Seth '. ${ }^{4}$

[^276]Aa22 ${ }_{\sim}^{f}$ combination of ${ }_{\alpha}$ Aa 21 Use as last. and - D 36
For $\#$ Aa 23 and $V=\square$ Aa 24 see after $+\frac{+}{+}$ U 35 .
$25 \&^{1}$ doubtful ${ }^{12}$
$26 Y^{1}$ doubtful

27 覌 1 doubtful ${ }^{2}$
 of a priest whose function consisted in clothing the god (Min, Horus, etc.), cf. Gk. $\sigma \tau o \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 's.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Sah. 32. ${ }^{12}$ According to Grdseloff (Ann. 43, 357) a phallus sheath
conventionalized; but the connexion with the word smt (Urk. iv. 2, 16) is very far
from certain. ${ }^{2}$ Ikhern. 16. Sim. Siut I, $268 . \quad{ }^{24}$ Cairo 20538, ii. c 6, con-
firmed by the writing $s m 3-t_{3}$ of the later form of the word $s m 3 t y$, GARDINER, Late-
Eg. Miscellanies it2, $16 .{ }^{3}$ Annals of Archaeology (Liverpool), iv. 103. ${ }^{4}$ On
account of $s$ apparently not derived from the stem $z m 3$ ' unite'.

Phon. det. sbi (sbi) in $\| \perp \ 4{ }^{2}{ }^{2} s b i$ ( $s b i$ ) 'rebel'. Often replaced by) T 14.


 'take counsel'. Except in $4 \dagger$ ² $i$ ind $h r$ 'hail to' (§ 272) $\dagger$ is usually accompanied in M.E. by $\sigma \mathrm{W} 24$.
${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Medum 11 ; Dav. Ptah. i. 17, no. 376; Dyn. XII, Th. T. S. ii. 14 ; Dyn. XVIII, Rekh. 2, 5. ${ }^{2}$ The view that the sign depicts a winder for thread (Hier. p. 61) is not supported by the earlier forms. It has also been thought to represent a porridge-stirrer, Man 1909, no. 96. ${ }^{3}$ Sin. B $166 .{ }^{4}$ Rekh. 2, 5. ${ }^{5} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{BII} 3$.
 related words.
${ }^{1}$ This view is favoured by the fact that the sign sometimes stands alone in the sense of 'builder', ex. Sah. 54. Other suggestions are a plasterer's float (Hier. p. 49) and a striker used in measuring corn (Quib. Saqq. 1911-12, P1. 17 and p. 26). ${ }^{2}$ Siut i. 236. Sim. Dend. 1 I.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Sah. 54. Sim. Dav. Ptah. i. 13, no. 27 I.
 ment', 'adornment' and the related words.
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Th. T.S. iii. 12. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See the picture Bissing, Re-Heiligtum ii. 9; in Dyn. I, Petr. RT. ii. 3, 4; 7, 8. Later shown as frieze in tombs. Discussions, Ancient Egypt 1920, 111 ; Deutsche Literatur Zeitung 1926, 1879; Scharff 22. However, the O.K. form of the hieroglyph (see Aa 31) is quite different. ${ }^{3}$ Ex. D.el B. 60, 6. $\quad{ }^{4}$ Bersh. i. 14, 9. Sim. D.elB. 60, 6. ${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 657, 6.

Use as last.
${ }^{1}$ Dav. Ptah. i. 17, no. 392. See $A Z .34,162$.

For $\int$ Aa 32 see after $\approx \mathrm{T}$ ı.

## EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR

## INDEX TO THE FOREGOING SIGN-LIST





Sect. B. Woman and her Occupations $\frac{10}{\text { 而 }} \underset{1}{\text { 令 }}$








## INDEX TO THE FOREGOING SIGN－LIST

近 16


Sect．I．Amphbious Animals，Reptiles，etc．筬


Sect．K．Fishes and parts of Fishes
Sect．L．Invertebrata and Lesser Animals
Sect．M．Trees and Plants fill




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 䉼北 }
\end{aligned}
$$




気 $\underset{3^{2}}{\text { a }}$

 Sect. U. Agriculture, Crafts, and Professions



 $\underset{14}{\approx} \underset{15}{\pi}$ $\begin{array}{lll}3^{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 37 & 3^{8}\end{array}$

## INDEX TO THE FOREGOING SIGN-LIST










For reasons explained p. 442, top, the following signs have been removed from the place to which they were originally assigned and now stand at some distance from the positions indicated by the attached letter and number: A $59 \AA^{*}$, see after A ${ }_{25}$; S ${ }_{17}$ * V 39 负, see after S 34; Aa $4 \downarrow$, see after W 10*; Aa $23 \%$, Aa $24 \mathrm{\nabla v}$, see after U 35; Aa 32 , see after T io. A few hieroglyphs are treated in more than one place: M $44 \Delta$ also before $\mathrm{Z}_{9} ; \mathrm{N}_{18} \oslash$ also after $\mathrm{S}_{26}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{4} ; \mathrm{N}_{33} \circ$ (smaller than $\circ \mathrm{D}_{12}$ ) also after Z 8; $\mathrm{O}_{30} \$ also reversed $\backslash$ after $U_{12}$. Minor divergences of position like A 46* after A 47, instead of after A 46 , need no further notice than is given to them in the Index above.

## A SELECTION OF SIGNS GROUPED ACCORDING TO SHAPE

This list aims at facilitating the finding of particular signs in the Sign-list or the Index thereto. Hieroglyphs the subject of which is immediately recognizable, e.g. animals, boats, most buildings and some pots, have been excluded.

















## EGYPTIAN－ENGLISH VOCABULARY

The main purpose of this much enlarged Vocabulary is indicated in the Preface to the Second Edition，p．vii．Though some rare words have been included，it has proved impracticable to deal completely even with such well－known texts as the Story of Sinuhe and the Shipwrecked Sailor．In order to economize space the words have been subsumed under their stems so far as appeared justifiable and convenient，and hieroglyphic spellings have been dispensed with when deemed unnecessary．Students should realize that the majority of words can be written in several different ways，and that here only typical variants could be shown．As regards the order in which the words are presented，flexional endings like $-y,-z v,-t$ have been disregarded； the Old Kingdom distinction between $\dot{s}$ and $z$ is ignored，both being entered under the common head $s$ ；in choosing between $\underline{h}$ and $h, \underline{t}$ and $t, \underline{d}$ and $d$ ，the form more characteristic of，or earlier in，Middle Egyptian has so far as possible dictated the choice．Causatives and reduplicated forms have been entered under the simple stems．

Simultaneously this Vocabulary has to serve as Index to the hieroglyphically written individual words discussed in the Grammar，as well as to the values and uses of the various hieroglyphs enumerated in the Sign－list－these here indicated by letter and number，e．g．W 7 ． By no means all the words cited in the Sign－list receive references of the kind，the indispensable cases being those where students may desire to know the source of a given writing or the reasons for reading it in the way it has been read．The indexing of the Sign－list has necessi－ tated the inclusion of certain words not belonging to Middle Egyptian，but in all such cases the period to which these belong has been recorded．


3，weak consonant，apt to be replaced by $\ i$ or $\| \ell y, \S 20$ ，end ；final，lost in some vbs．，§ 279.
；encl．part．with exclamatory force，§ 245 ． © ${ }^{\circ}$ var．${ }^{\circ}$ 3t（ $\mathrm{F}_{3}$ ）moment，attack（of cobra），striking power．
皿明 $3 w i\left(\mathrm{~F}_{4} 0\right)$ extend，stretch out；no det． or $\|$（be）long ；（of heart）old perf．，joyful， lit．expanded；閭纪 $3 w$ deceased，lit．ex－ tended ； $3 w$ det．\＃length ；$r 3 w \cdot f$ entire， § roo， 3 ；swt det．关 oblations，offerings； $3 z v t-\mathrm{c}(\rightarrow)$ presents；；zwt－ib joy；sswilength－ en，prolong；sswi ib rejoice heart（of）．
（ ${ }_{1}^{13} 3$ ，see under $i 3 t$ ．
I $\downarrow \Delta 3 b(\mathrm{U} 23 ; \mathrm{D} 54)$ stop，cease； $36 w$ cessation；

โ】勉； $6 i$ desire（vb．），foll．by infin．，§ 303.

 pard．
 ivory ；det．嗞 abbrev．${ }^{\infty} 36 w($ W 7．8） Elephantine，island in the First Cata－ ract．
If $A^{2} 36 w(Q 7)$ brand（vb．），§ 279.
f $\Lambda_{x}^{\ominus} \|$ 3bh join together，unite，$m$ with．
$\underset{\star}{\odot} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\circ}$ abbrev．$\frown 36 d\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}\right.$ ）month，p． 203.

To ${ }^{\circ}$ 3bdw＇Arabah el－Madfanah，Abydus，a town in Upper Egypt．
通 ${ }^{\circ}$ abbrev． 2 （p．172） $3 p d$ goose，bird； plur．fowl．
通 $3 f($ be）greedy ；greed．
A $1 m$ burn；sim burn up．
期期 3 mi mix，compound，$h r$ with．
No mm（D 49）seize，grip；amt grasp （n．）．

种縎 $3 m s(\mathrm{E} 5)$ show solicitude．
领 $3 r(\mathrm{~T}, 2)$ restrain，hold back，$h r$ from．
国 shew pain，trouble．
园 $3 h d$（be）feeble，faint．

Re 36 （ G 25 ）be beneficial，advantageous； bht something advantageous，usefulness；
 $3 h w(\mathrm{~N} 8)$ sunshine； $3 k t$ deft．\＆the royal uraeus；dot．the Beneficent one，i．e． the eye of Re $; ~ s s h$ dit．－beatify，render blessed；ssh dot．并 beatific spells， glorification．
 웅 var． 3 hey belonging to the horizon， see under $H r$ ．


险 $35\left(\mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)$ hasten，overtake； is two haste thee，§ 337，I ； 35 quickly，§ 205， 4.
\＄．0． 35 （ Aa 3 ），soft inner parts（？）of body．

（ - var．Ret．$\sum_{3} 3 \sin \left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)$ reap．
』 $\quad 3 \xi r$ roast $(\mathrm{vb}.) ;{ }_{3} s^{2} r t$ roast meat．
迢 perish．
（4）khz（T 7＊；p．439）axe．
 personifying some part of the royal apparel．
目园 $3 k r$ Aver，an earth－god．
直 $3 t f(\mathrm{~S} 8)$ atef－crown．
 $m$ ，with ； $3 \underline{t} p w$ dent．盛＂load（n．）．
\＄ $3 d\left(\mathrm{I}_{3}\right)$ be aggressive，angry．

$$
\rho_{i}\left(\mathrm{M}_{17}\right)
$$

 often omitted in grammatical endings， $i b$ ．；tends to replace $;$ or $-r, i b$ ．end； as immutable consonant in some vbs．， § 270，Ops．；initial，omitted in some deri－ vatives，$\S 290$ ；prothetic，$\$ 272$ ．See too under $4 \ y$ ．
佥 $\cdot i$ ，suffix－pron．st sing．c．，I，me，my；war．


\通 var．\i say，p．344，bottom ；§ 437 ；see too below under $i n$ ．
Q｜$i$（ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{IF}_{17}$ 2）reed．
 $\nabla_{11}, i z t$ det． $\mathbb{I}_{11}, 33$ dat．${ }_{1}$ ，ruin（s）．
4 수 ${ }^{2} 3 t\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}\right.$ ）standard，banner，for support－ ing religious symbols．


4 月 $^{2}$ i $33 t$ stick，rod．

 $i z w t$ old age；$i z w$ old man；$i z y t$ old woman． $\$ ل^{\prime \prime} i_{3} b_{y}\left(\mathrm{R} \mathrm{I}_{5}\right.$ ；D 41）left－hand（adj．and n．）； ${ }_{\psi} \sum_{\sim}^{i} i_{3} b t$ ，later $i_{i} b t t$ ，east；$i z b t y$ eastern， easterner．
＠ $\sin _{3}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ ，unidentified tree．
 （§ 279），（be）gracious，charming；ismt graciousness，charm；$i_{3} m w$ det．否，splen－ dour，brilliance

4－Dete $i_{3} \mathrm{rw}$ reeds．
 grapes．
 3ht（M 8）inundation season，p．203；
 extreme N．of Delta；cf．too wiky below． 4． 1 肯 $i 3 s$（A 28）bald．
 call（ n. ）；see too under śd $m$ below．
4．


$4 \mathbb{S} i_{3} \underline{t}(\mathrm{D} 57)$ ，var．$i_{3 t}$ ，be mutilated， missing；sizt purloin，cheat；sisty abbrev．

 $i d t$ ，dew．
4
$10 \wedge i i$（M I8）come，§ 289， 2 ；welcome！，old perf．，§313；$i \boldsymbol{i} \cdot w y$ how welcome（is），wel－ come！，§ 374 ；peculiarities of $s d m \cdot f$ forms， §459；aux．vb．，§483， I ； 444 iyt mis－ hap，harm．
 cf． $\bar{n}$ rw below．
 appetite，wrath．
f－dirb（W 10），var．
$\dagger-\perp$ irb unite；$A-ل_{\square}^{\infty} \sim$ irbt hist，var．
 ing corpse（with earth）；－$-\downarrow \square$ var． $\leadsto \rrbracket-\mathrm{rb}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}} 6\right)$ in the company of，$\S 178$ ．

4ㅍmosirn（E 32）sacred baboon．

 er，ascend，mount up，approach；rrw det．皆 neighbourhood； $4-\infty$ irrt（I 12） cobra，uraeus；ser det．$\stackrel{\pi}{\stackrel{\pi}{\Delta}}$ make to ascend， offer up．
（——i）var．det．（abbrev．ค irh（N II．I2） moon．
4．iw，rare var．$\langle i, \S 468,6$ ，is，are ；the $w$ before sing．suffixes prob．merely graphic，§46I， Obs． 2 ；perhaps derived from $\triangle$ ，§§ 29. 461 ；sometimes has value of copula，§ 29 ； as such replaced by $w n n$ in other tenses and moods，§ 118,2 ；wider use with suffix subj．than with nom．subj．，§§ 37 ． 117,2 ；in sents．with adv．pred．，§§ 29. 37．II 7 ；presence or absence of，in these， § II7；with nom．subj．，§ 117，I；with suffix subj．，§ I 17,2 ；do．，introducing cl． of time or circumstance，§§ II7，end；214； here perhaps originally with parenthetic force，§ II $7, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{BS}}$ ．；not used in sent．with nom．pred．，§ 125 ；rare in sent．with adj． pred．，§§ 142.467 ；introducing pseudo－
 $i w \cdot f m$ śdm，§ 33 I ；iw $\mathfrak{f} r$ śd $m$ ，§ 332 ；with impers．vb．of motion，$\S 466$ ；with words of adj．meaning，$\S 467$ ；as aux．vb．， §§ 461－8 ；iw śdm•f，§462；iwvf śdm•f， § 463 ；iw sdm $\quad$ ．n•f，§§ 68． 464 ；iw＋pass． $s$ śdm•f，§§ 422，I；465；omitted after ist， $n n, n t y, \S 107,2$ ；however，late exx．after $n n$ and $n t y, \S 468,4$ ；very rare after $n$ ，§ I20；use to mark strong contrast， § II 7 ，I ；p．248，top ；§§ 394，end ；468， 2 ； expressing detachment before indep． pron．，$\S 468,3$ ；in affirmations preceded by oath，$\S 468$, i ；questions introduced by
in $i w$, § 492 ；$i w w n$ ，there is，are，§ 107， 2 ；do．foll．by parts．，§ 395 ；do．in ques－ tions，§492， 2 ；4 䊖 $i w-m s$ ，untruth， misstatement，lit．but there is，§ 194.
$\Delta i z$ come，§ 289,2 ；peculiarities of $s d m \cdot f$ forms，§ 459 ；aux．vb．，§483， $2 ; \Delta$ ， iw．f－fr $3 \cdot f$ a crescit eundo，one who rises in rank，§ 194；for ${ }_{\Delta}^{\Delta}$ see $n m t t$ ．
III $i w(\mathrm{~N}, 8)$ island．

iw wrong，crime ；izeyt wrongdoing．
是通 ize complaint ；siz bring a complaint， $r$ against．
Nat $i z(y)$ one without a boat．
朋别 iwyt street．
1f 通初 iws ox．
$\xrightarrow{4}$ izer（ F 44 ）thigh（of beef），femur．
设 inherit ；izert，izuret heritage，inheri－ tance ；izerw heir．

泡 40 ，黄，izeryt garrison，soldiery；cf．wrzu below．
Bo iwrw（S 21），var．
4§
－Tizun colour，complexion，nature．
 Pillar－of－his－Mother，a name of Horus， p．269，n．I ；珀号 var． （ O 28 ）hall of columns．
 （ T ıо）Nubian foreigner，lit．bowman， p．398，n．I．
\＃®＇Iwnw El－Mațarîyah，Heliopolis，On of the
 Egypt，an epithet given to Thebes．
日 Upper Egypt．

日－＇Iwnt Denderah，Tentyra，a town in Upper Egypt．
 Upper Egypt．
回 $i w h$ load（vb．），$m$ or $\underline{h} r$ with．

minn iwsw balance（n．）．
वin iwty（D 35），varr．通 $3 t y$ ，who ．．．not，which ．．．not， §§ 202－3；origin and forms，§ 202 ；with adv．pred．，§ 203，I ；with noun + suffix， who has not，$\S 203,3$ ；with infin．+ suffix， § 307， 2 ；foll．by imperf．s sdm $\cdot f$ ，§ 443 ；by sddm．n•f，§§ 203，6；418，end ；izety $n \cdot f$ ， iwety sw，who has nothing，§ 203，I． 2 ；ntt izett what exists and does not exist，i．e． everything，§ 203， 4 ；$h r$－izutt because not， § 223.

$\Rightarrow \stackrel{\pi}{\Delta}$ izd separate（vb．）；r－izud between ．．．．，$r$ and，§ I8o．
${ }_{i}$ ib（F 34）heart，wish（n．）；as seat of intelli－ gence，etc．，second element in many epi－ thets，exx．wh h－ib patient；wmt－ib stout－
 wish，desire（n．）；rdì ib $m$－ss be anxious about；di $m$ ib•f determine，infin．to， § 303；rdi ib hut pay attention to ； $\bar{i}$ ib wish（vb．），§ 292.
－ل廨 ib（E 8）kid．

$\square \backslash \sqrt{6}=1 b i$（be）thirsty；ibt thirst（n．）．

 403，אבּיר
\ป $\square_{\text {॥ }}^{\circ}$ ロ ibhty，a stone used for beads，etc．， from Ibhet．a Nubian region．

抽— ibh（ F 18）tooth．
为 tions or the like．
 take stock of（one＇s）person，i．e．grow up；
 Most－select－of－Places，name of the temple of Karnak ；sip revise，inspect，assign，$n$ to ；sipty revision．
 $=4$ hekat or 18 litres，$\S 266, \mathrm{I}$ ．
Y $\times$ 盈 $i p t\left(\mathrm{~F}_{13}\right)$ mission，message，occupation；


 do．of ㅁ．$p n$ this，§ 110 ．
 ip（3）$t$ harim，private apartments．


4 im．form of prep．$m$ used before suffix－ prons．，§ 162.
4 im，adv．from prep．$m$（§ 205,1 ），there， therein，thence，therewith；apparent varr． （G I8），mm，ib．；also probably 4 A1，4－imy in $n \cdot-$－imy，$n \cdot k$－imy，etc．，of mine，thine，etc．，§§ II3．3，Obs．；205，I， Obs．
$4+{ }^{N}$ imy being in，adj．from prep．$m, \S \S 79$ ． 80 ；of，following adjs．，with superlative meaning，$\S 97$ ；+ ＂
 lit．content of house；varr． （ F 20 ）imy－r overseer，superintendent， § 79；＋imy－rn•f list of persons；十号 imy－hst prototype，example； $1+\omega_{0} \Delta i m y-h t$ ，adj．from prep．$m-h t$ （§ 178 ），who goes after，accompanies；

imytw，also $m$－imylzw，$r$－imytzw，prep．be－ tween，§ 777.
 cause，as imper．of $r d i$ give，$\S 336$ ；foll． by（perf．，§ $45^{2}$, 1）śd $d m \cdot f, \S 338.2$ ；by obj．＋old perf．，§ 315 ．
＋${ }^{-1}$ var． 1 imi negative vb．，§ 342 ；posi－ tion of subj．，§ 343 ；sdm．fform of，in wishes and commands，$\S \$ 342$ ． 345 ； imper．$m$ negating imper．，$\S 340$ ，I； later replaced by $m i r, \S 340,2$ ； Aㄷ $m$ rdi $+s$ sd $m \cdot f$ let him not（hear）． § 340,3 ．
A＝imi mourn ；imw mourning．
ek var． $1 \subset$ imw（ $\mathrm{PI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ）boat．
4 3 im（3），etc．，see under $i s m$ above．

 imshy revered，honoured．

1 ＂ $1 \mu \mu \omega \nu$.
fin var． 4 м̀ imnt（ R 14．13），later imntt， west；imnty western；see too wnmy below．

$4-i n$ ，rare initial form of prep．- ，$\S \S 148,5$ ， end ； 155 ，end； 164.
4－var．Lif（A 27）in，prep．，by（of agent）， §§ 39，end ；168；227，4； 300.
4－in，non－encl．part．，indeed，§ 227 ； $\mathrm{in}+$ noun + （perf．§450，5，e）sdm•f，§ 227， 2 ； in + noun + part．，$\S \S 227,3 ; 373$ ；element in indep．prons．，$\S \S 64.227$ ；relation to prep．in，§ 227,4 ；introducing n ．already represented by a pron．，$\S 227,5$ ；intro－ ducing questions，$\$\{227,1 ; 491,3 ; i n i w$, $\S 49^{2}$ ；in alone，$\S 493$ ；in ntt is it the
case that．．？？§ 494，1． 2 ；in nt－pw is it the case that？，§494， 3 ；in $m$ who ？，§§ 227 ， 3； 496.
 §§ 436－7；see 4 通 $i$ say，above．
4 int（K I）the bulti－fish．
$\square^{\infty} \Delta$ in delay（vb．）；sin，same sense．
Ant valley．


 of This，N．of Abydus，Gk．＇Ovov̂pıs．
4 d］abbrev．［］inb（O 36）wall ；also inbt det．- or $I$ ．
 the dog－headed god Anubis，Gk．＇Avov̂－
 prince，royal child．
）Tin inm skin．
 ist plur．c．，we，§ 64.
4 white stone：$n^{r}(\vec{i}) n v$ of＇Ainu（D 8），i．e． limestone；$n$ rudt of hard stone，i．e．sand－ stone．
4
40ifsinh surround，enclose．
毋und inst shank．
$\ \Delta \$ ink envelop，embrace．
$\bigcirc$ O $i n k$（W 24）indep．pron．ist sing．c．，I，§64；
 to me，§ II4， 3 ；ink pw，§§ I90，I； 325.
Q ind，earlier ind，（be）ill ；illness；sind make ill．
$4\}^{2}$ in ind $h r$ foll．by suffix，hail to，§ 272 ；see too under $n \underline{d}$ below．
$\ell-$ ir initial form of prep．$r, \S{ }^{2} 63$ ；as to， § 149 ；if，foll．by sdem•f，§ 150 （imperf．，
$2 a e$ gem．vbs．，§444， 4 ；perf．，other mu－ table vbs．，§ 454， 5 ；negated by tm．f， §347，6）；if，unfulfilled condition，foll． by $s \underline{d} m \cdot n \cdot f$ ，§§ І $5 \mathrm{I} .414,3$ ；母－ir ，occa－ sional form of prep．$r$ before suffix，§ I63， cf．below irf encl．part．；$Q^{\circ}$ iry，var． $4_{1}^{\infty} i r w$ ，adv．from prep．$r$ ，§§ II3， 2 ； 205，Obs．； 4 ＂（A 48．47）relating to， connected with，adj．from prep．$r$ ，§ 79 ；
 irt duty．
a irt（D 4）eye；会＂，reading uncertain （irwy ？，brwy ？），eyes．
© iri make，do，act，acquire；writings，§ 28 I ； as aux．vb．，$\S 485$ ；foll．by infin．，ib．； § 338， I ；$m$ ir do not，§ 340,2 ；part．ir achieving，§ 367,2 ；ir $n$ ，f．irt $n$ ，engen－ dered by，§§ 361 ．379， 3 ；ir n ir $m$ amount－ ing to，§422， 3 ；iri $n$ act \＆n behalf of， help；irir act against，oppose；Qos， irw form，nature．

f irf，var．$\sim r f$ ，encl．part．used for empha－ sis，§ $25^{2}$ ．
4—路 irtyw mourning．
㣛券 irtt（W 20），O．K．给专 irtt，milk．
4■『 ihw（military）camp．
同 in hold back，detain；det．$\Delta$ lag，go slow．
保领肖 ihhy jubilation．



4会口 ihw stable（for horses）．
4O ihms，see under hmrsi below．
显 ih non－encl．part．，then，therefore，intro－ ducing desired future consequence，ex－
hortation or command，$\S \S 40,3 ; 228$ ； foll．by sidm．f（perf．，§450，5，a；rarely imperf．，§ 440， 4 ；negated，$t m \cdot f, \S 346,4$ ； wn．f，§ 118,2$)$ ，$i 6$ ．；interrog．，what？，§ 501 ．


 bank．

4：Bn ikhw，later var．-9 Th chbw（ $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ），dusk， twilight．
Q $\|$ is encl．part．，§ 247 ；after indep．pron．， §§ 127,4 ；1 36 ；as interrog．part．，§§ 247 ， 4 ；491， 2 ；like，§ 247， 5 ；－$\|\| n$ is see under $\sim n$ below；ARe迫isw rare non－ encl．part．，§ 232.
$\ \pi$ is go（imper．）§ 336 ，see too under $\pi s$ ；

 chamber．
4 isi（ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ）（be）light（in weight）．
\＃1． 1 異，ist gang，crew．
A\＃le，it，isw（M40）reeds．
 old times，antiquity ；isywt det．$\overline{\gamma \mid}$（V6） rags．
 ment ；$m$－isw，rarely $r$－isw，in return for， § 178.

 isfty sinner．
$\|$ — $<$ isr tamarisk．
$\| \cap \Delta \Omega \Delta$ isk linger，delay，restrain．
4\｜$-i s k$ ，var．$\| \sim s k$ ，lo，archaic var．of ist， §§ 119，3； 230.
$4 \|_{\square}$ ist，varr．$\|_{\Delta} s t$ ，later $\left\|\|_{0}\right.$ ist，etc．，non－ encl．part．，lo（or sim．），§ 231；origin，$i b$. ；
in sent．with adv．pred．，§ 119,2 ；with nom．pred．，§ I 33；with adj．pred．，§ 142 ； before pseudo－verbal construction，§ 324 ； introducing virt．cls．of time and circum－ stance，before $s{ }^{d} d m \cdot f, \$ 212$ ；before $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ， § $212.414, \mathrm{I}$ ；before pass．$s d m \cdot f, \S 422$ ， I ；before $n$ sdmt．f，§402；enclitically， § 248.
㽽㡽ist property，belongings．
A § 500， 4 ；izst iry，§500， 5.
 its fruit．
4 $\Delta \geqslant i k r$（be）excellent，precious；excellence， virtue，also $b w i k r$ ；$n$－$i k r(n)$ by virtue of，§ 181；rikr exceedingly，§ 205， 5 ； sikr advance，promote（a person），adorn （a place）．

Q stone．
4ロ Q $^{2} \mathrm{~km}$（I 6）shield．
㫜有 ikn draw（water）．
乌彩igrt，early var．of ${ }_{8}^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{grt}$ ，encl．part．，§ 255 ．

＂ị abbrev．$\because$ it（M33；U 10）barley．
Anin．$i t$ ，var．in $(i) t($ p．43，n．I），father；often without $m$ in 70 var．\＆$i t-n t r$ god＇s father，name of a class of elder priests．
4091道 var．$i$ ity（ $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）sovereign，p． 75.
 Atum．

Q $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \odot i t n$ sun＇s disk，sun．
$4 \therefore$ itn oppose，thwart，obj．（something），$m$ （someone）；itnw det．© opponent， enemy ；itnw det． $\mathcal{O}_{11}$ difficulties．

4O＝later det．포 itrw（ N 35 ）river， Nile；also measure of length $=10.5 \mathrm{~km}$ ．， the Gk．schoenus，§ 266， 2.
给国 $\operatorname{itrt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{20}\right)$ row（of shrines），particularly of those of Upper（det．In 19）and Lower（det．国 O 20）Egypt as seen at the Sed－festival，p．291，n．3；collectively，the gods of these shrines；itrty det．ロロコ the two sides，rows，aisles．
4०k ith drag，draw，stretch（a bow）．

 seize；take possession，$m$ of；$i \underline{t} t \underline{l} h p t$ ，see under $l p t$ below．

## 


A $=$ Q $\|$ 务 idy＇t girl，maid．


$A=T \| i d w$ pestilence．
$\dagger-j \circ$ abbrev．표 $i d b$（ $\mathrm{N}_{21}$ ）bank（of river）， cultivated area；idbwy the two banks， i．e．Egypt．
 idnw deputy，substitute．


领虺idhw（M $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ），the marshlands of the Delta；idlhy Delta man．

$$
\text { Aी, } \because y\left(\mathrm{M}_{17} ; \mathrm{Z}_{4}\right)
$$

$\| y$ in grammatical endings representing O．E．$\& i$ or $4 \backslash i z, \S \S 20$ ，end ； 270, Obs．； as initial consonant hardly except in group－writing where equivalent of Hebr． ＇，p． $4^{8_{1}, M_{17}}$ ；use of $4 /$ and＂distin－ guished，§§ 20，end ；73，4，Obs．

Q $-y$ ：after duals before suffix ist sing．，$\S 75$ ， I；in certain plur．impers．，$\S 335$ ；ending 3rd sing．plur．in certain old perfs．，$\S 309$ ； m ．ending in imperf．act．parts．，§ 357 ； rare in imperf．pass．parts．，$\S 358$ ；in m． sing．plur．perf．pass．parts．，$\S 36 \mathrm{I}$ ；alleged ending m ．sing．in perf．rel．form with prospective meaning，$\S 387$ ， 2 ；in pass． sidm $\cdot f$ before suffixes，$\S 420$ ；in perf．$s$ d $m m \cdot f$ of certain vbs．，§ 448.
＂$-y$ ：origin and nature，$\$ \$ 20.73,4$ ；after duals added to suffixes 2nd m．， 3 rd m．，f．， § 75,2 ；do．after ns．dual only in meaning， § 76,2 ；ending m ．sing．of adjs．derived from preps．and ns．，§§ 79－81；in imytw， § 777 ；rare ending m ．sing．of imperf．act． parts．，$\S 357$ ；in perf．pass．parts．of 2 －lit． vbs．，$\S 360$ ；in śd $d n t y$ ffy form，$\S 363$ ；in $h r(y) \cdot f y, \S 437$ ．
A
Al\＆ s ＇h，interj．，hey！，§ 258.
r (D 36)
$\rightarrow r$ arm，hand ；in compound preps．$m-r, r-r$ ， $h r-\Gamma$ ，§ 178 ；advs．，$h r$ r，$h r$ rwy immedi－ ately $\mathfrak{d r} r$ long ago，§ 205，3；r piece， pair（ $n$ of ），action，position，state，see too $u t-r, r-r, r-r w y, h r y-r ;$ 』n ${ }^{\circ}$ । st－r activity， stroke ；$\Xi$ var．$-r$ affairs，business．
$\nabla r(\mathrm{~W}$ ıо）cup．
 terpreter；see too $i$ is above．
$\Rightarrow-\frac{0}{0}$ linb，member．
$\rightarrow$－ $\operatorname{st}$ room，department，house ；iry it official， attached to the department（of）．
$\therefore-{ }^{-13}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} 9\right)$ column．

$\approx$ abbrev．$\square r_{3}\left(\mathrm{O}_{31}\right)$ door；iry ${ }^{\prime}$ d doorkeeper．
－湖 ${ }^{13}(\mathrm{E} 7$ ）ass，donkey．
 $4 ; r$ rst greatly，§ 205， 5 ；rst greatness； $n-r_{s} t-n(t), m-r_{3} t-n$ so greatly（did，etc．），in－ asmuch as，§ 18 I ；－rst（valuable） stone（for vessels，etc．）；stz enlarge，ex－ alt，enrich．
 pile of offerings；${ }^{[3 b}$ det．$\dagger \eta \|$（hieratic） be desirable；$r_{3} b t$ self－seeking，selfishness．
 A 49）Asiatic，f． $\mathrm{r}_{3} \mathrm{mt}$ ．
－
二rav（ $y$ ）sleep（vb．）．
－
－${ }^{1}$ 人（wot（S 38，Pyr．）awet－sceptre．
fornf rwt（S 38．39；E 8）flock，herd（small cattle），goats．
式边 rwsi rob，steal ；robber；one robbed； Nhmt－rwsy，see under nhm below；鳥畋

——思 fwn（be）rapacious；defraud，$h r, m$ of； rwn－ib of rapacious disposition．
——ront stick，club．
$\rightarrow \downarrow$ abbrev．$<>$（ $\mathrm{F}_{16}$ ）horu；metaphori－ cally，（archer＇s）bow．
－$-\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{r} b$ ，see under $\hat{i} \mathrm{r} b$ above．
 （hair）．
$-1)$ rbw，see under wrb below．

 of offerings．
一元遜 br boasting，exaggeration．
自 $\operatorname{rpr}$（Aa 20）equip，$m$ with ；learn，master； ！prw equipment．
릉

运 $\operatorname{rff}(\mathrm{L} 3)$ fy（n．）．
动向 T （fnt（royal）head－dress．
$\rightarrow-\ulcorner f d t$ ，older $f f d t$ ，box，chest．
 rm ib lose consciousness，faint；rmib $h r$ be thoughtless，negligent about；$s e m$ swallow down，wash down（food），$m$ with（drink）．
－．

$=$ var．det．$\quad r_{\imath}, r(i) n(\mathrm{D} 8.7)(\mathrm{be})$ beautiful； det．道 the good man；${ }^{\circ}(i) n z$ ＇Ainu，the limestone quarries at Turah．
$\pm 0$ ent（D 5I）finger－nail，claw；var．「nty（G7＊． $7^{* *}$ ）‘Anty，a god，lit．He－with－ the－claw（s）．
$\equiv n ~ r n n(D 55)$ turn back；rnze one who （always）returns ；det．$\AA^{\prime}$（A 3I）（face） averted．
$f_{1} r n h(S 34)$ sandal－strap．
$f-r n b l i v e$ ；live，$m$ on（food，truth）；rnh $n(\cdot i)$ as（god，king）lives for me，in oaths，§ 218 ； $f \downarrow p{ }^{\prime} n h(w) w_{3}(w) \operatorname{snb}(w)$ may he live，be prosperous，be healthy，$\S 555 \cdot 313$ ；生包 $\mathrm{rnh}(w) \underline{d} t$ may he live eternally，$\S 313$ ； do．after $\sim$ iri，§ 378 ；$\Delta f$ di ruh given life，$\S 378$ ；rnh life；det．触 swear，oath， §218；Pr．rnh，see under pr；nb rnhl，see under $n b$ ；$f=-\operatorname{rnh} n(i)$ one living in， attached to，with foll．noun（nizwt town， $m s{ }^{\prime}$ army，$t t h k z$ the Ruler＇s table）；rnhw det．．．．the living ；f1，en rnkw victuals； forim rintt means of subsistence；srnh make to live ；$s r_{n k}$（portrait－）sculptor．
for rnk garland．
fornh（S 34）mirror．
f－
ffor inhwy（F 21）the two ears．
－$\Delta_{\Delta} r_{n k t}$ ，the goddess Anūkis of Aswân，Gk． ＇А̀ov̂кıs．
－${ }^{(111}$ rntyw myrrl．
＝rnd，older rud，（be）few；rudt a few （people）；srud make few，depreciate．
$\sigma_{\rho_{i}}$ r $r$ ，see under itr above．
－iber reed（for writing）．
$\Delta$ ret sheet（of papyrus or leather）．
今－gret（Fi9）jaw．
$\stackrel{E}{\delta} \otimes \operatorname{crt}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{22}\right)$ hind－quarters．
 up；bag，bundle．
용 $\operatorname{crrt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} 8\right)$ ，varr．crrowt， $\operatorname{crryt}$ ，gate，place of judgement．
$\dot{8} \quad$ rrk（ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}$ 2）bind，$n$ on（someone）；det．巩 understand；det．$\propto$ ，$\Phi$（be）under－ standing，wise；det．onear，take an
 the month）．§ 264 ；srrk det．』 put an end to（enemies）．

－－ （animals）．
$\mathbb{C}_{0}^{\circ}$ cht field，holding，domain； $\mathbb{C}_{8}^{811}$ var．
 tenant farmer，field labourer．
 hner with（against）；rllst，chs tw beware， § 338 ， 3 ；－rhiswty warrior；rhas det．－ （ T II）arrow．
 her to ；che $m$ shd start on month＇s service （as priest）；chr himsi pass one＇s life，lit． stand up and sit down；rhe aux．vb．， §§ 476－82；rlhr śdm．f．§477，I ；chr＋pass． $s[l m \cdot f, \S 477,2$ ；chr＋subj．＋old perf．， § 477， 3 ；rhr．n śdm．n•f，§ 478 ；chr．n•f
 pass．śdm．f，§481；rkr•n＋pseudo－verbal construction；srher erect（obelisk，monu－ ments）；chrzo det．，A，position，attendance．
 lit．lord of heaps．
©
慁口

－$T$ rh brazier，fire（for cooking）．
－$ص$ 堵 rhi（ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ）hang up．
－A rhm extinguish（fire）；det． （thirst）．
－ Mil $_{\text {II }}$ chmt，see ihmt above．
－®T（hlkw，see ilhkw above．
$\underset{\infty}{\infty}$（hil（H5），var．$\vec{\theta}=$（hi，fly（vb．）．
 rhme，divine image．

 chamber；see too under $\underline{\underline{k}} n$ below．
$\square$ later det．ors（M4I；Aa 2）pine，fir， the＇cedar＇of the Bible，p．123，n． 5.


 （今，\＆）chatter，§288；adv．，often，§ 205，4； r $\check{s} s t$ multitude；s $s \xi_{3}$ multiply；det．贩 curb （vb．）lit．scatter（？）．
N $A_{s}^{\Delta}(\mathrm{k}$ 35）enter，$r$ into（a place．）$h r, m$ before，among（persons）；$c k(y w)$ det．其县intimates；ckyt female servant； ckwo provisions，revenue（in food）；sclk cause to enter．
 M1．equality，level ；det．\｜li adjust，rkzzu the right rope（in the ferry－boat）；$r-c c_{3}$
on a level with，§ 178 ；scks put，set in order．
－${ }^{-1}$ th strain（vb．，in beer－making）．

$\vec{Z} \infty r \underline{d}$ ，later $\underset{\sim}{\mathcal{F}}(d$ ，perceive，recognize．
 tion．
${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ erdw（ $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ ）the buri－fish．
$\infty r d(\mathrm{U} 6)$ ，var．O．K．rd，hack up；rḍ－ $m r$（K 3）administrator of a province． prob．lit．excavator of canal（s）．
긱 var．${ }^{\infty}$ ，hieratic 극피，파 $r \underline{d}$（Aa 8），later $\infty \quad{ }^{\pi} r d$ ，desert－edge．
$\infty{ }_{\infty}^{\infty}, r d(\mathrm{~V} 26)$ fat．
$\infty$ © ，see $(m) r(n) d t$ below．

B, ¢w(G43; Z 7)
$w$ ，semi－vowel，§ 20 ；often omitted in gram－ matical endings，$i b$ ．；immutable in（e．g．） $36 w$＇brand＇，§ 279 ；initial，omitted in some derivatives，§ 290.
B $-w$ ，ending 3 rd sing．or plur．m．of old perf．， § 309 ；of plur．in impers．，§ 335 ；of neg． complement，§ 34 I ； m ．in imperf．act． part．，§ 357 ；in imperf．pass．part．，§ $35^{8}$ ； in some perf．act．parts．，§ 359 ；in imperf． rel．form，$\S 387,1$ ；in śdmze．nff rel．form， $\S 387,3$ ；ending of pass．śdm•f form be－ fore nom．subj．，§ 420 ．
§ $-w$ ，plur．m．ending of ns．and adjs．，§ 72 ；
 ＂－ty，dual f．，$i b$ ．
N＂var．$\varrho_{1,}^{C} \cdot w$ ，from Dyn．XVIII occasional suffix－pron．3rd plur．c．，they，them，their， § 34.
\＆$w$ ，very rare encl．part．，not，§ 352 A ．

B피 $w$ district，region．
ीㅗㄹ wst（V 4），also नीी́ wзest，coil of rope，let loose（whr）in foundation cere－ monies．
요 $203 i$（ $\mathrm{N}_{31}$ ）（be）far，distant，$r$ from； fall，$r$ into（decay，etc．）；$w z w$, adv．，afar ； wst，abbrev．$\frac{r_{2}^{2}}{\omega}$ ，way，road，side；$r\binom{0}{1}$ －wost path，place of passage；swsi det．$\stackrel{\sim}{n}$ var．det．or abbrev．${ }_{\wedge}^{\times}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { 9 }\end{array}\right)$ pass，$h r$ by ； pass，of time ；swizw passing（n．）．
요
얘영 $w_{3} w_{3}$ ponder，deliberate．
คीㅇㅆㅆ $W_{3 z e s t}$ Wawat，region at N．end of Lower Nubia．
१ป\｛โT $W 3 b(w y)$ ？（S 40），name of the i9th nome（Oxyrhynchite）of Upper Egypt．
 down ；permit，foll．by śd $\underset{m}{ } \cdot f$ ，§ 184，I； ussh $t p$ bow the head（in submission）；with m，multiply，§ 338，I ；w3h ht（ offerings；2．endure，（be）enduring，lasting； $w s h$－$\hat{i} b$ patient，well－disposed；swish make to endure．
8能领，wushyt increase，abundance（of corn）．
flifa wshw（S io）wreath．
 reception hall in Palace；cf．too $i s h$ above． 1＇wuss（S 40）uas－sceptre．
$\{$ wis dominion，lordship，only in fixed ex－ pressions like f㸷\｛ rnll，d d $d$ ，wss life，stabi－ lity，dominion．
个月 Wist（R i9）Wīse，Thebes．
요 var． 1 vusi（ S 40 ）be ruined，de－ cay ；ruin（ n. ）．
 \％（A 30）extol．
ी® $W_{3 g}$（W 3）Wag－festival，celebrated on the i8th day of the ist month．

8．M I 3 incorrectly used for $\left\{V_{24}\right.$ ，see under wd below．
83 wid（M I3）（be）green，fresh；r wisd vigorously，§ 205， 5 ；thi wid success， good fortune； 8 wosd（ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）papyrus column；84号 wosdyt colonnade；8！ wosd a pale green stone，felspar（？）；80 § Widt（I I2．I3），the cobra－goddess Edjō，
 lit．the great green； 040 烝 $\operatorname{dyt}$（M I5）， O．K．dyt papyrus－marsh；swid make green，renew．
监 varr．wi dep．pron．Ist sing．c．，I， me， $\mathrm{my}, \S 43$ ；as subj．in $n(y)$－wi I belong to，§ 114,2 ；with other adj．preds．，rare， p．Io9，n． 6 ；with pass．parts．，doubtful， p． 425 ，Add．to $\S 374$ ．
\＄19 wi（A 53）mummy，mummy sheath．
＂n $\cdot w y$ ，ending added to adj．preds．with ex－ clamatory force，how，$\S 49$ ；do．added to parts．，§ 374 ，with Add．p． 425 ；prob． originated in dual m ．ending，$\S 49$ ，Obs． $\beta^{\prime \prime}-w y$ ，see under $-w$ above．
$\stackrel{〔}{\aleph 11} \cdot w y n$, ending ist plur．c．of old perf．，$\S 309$. 1 4 ek var．det．wis（P3）sacred bark．
win reject，decline．
$\rightarrow$ wr（ $\mathrm{T}_{21} ; \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ）m．，$\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{b}} \mathrm{i}$ wrt f．，one，alone； §§ 260．262，I ；wr $n$ as indef．art．，§ 262， I ；foll．by adj．，yielding superlative sense， § 97 ；as numeral，one，usually written ।， § 259；wr ．．．．ky，wr ．．．．．sn－nw•f one ．．．．other，§ 98 ；wr $n b$ everyone，§ ro3； wer $m$ one of（several），§ 262， ；wri det． $\$$ be alone；$\frac{\leftrightarrow}{\circ N}, \frac{6}{a l}$ wrty sole，unique； $\triangle$ var．det．$\square$ werew privacy，soli－ tude．

皿解解 speak abuse．
$\square \equiv$ var． $\operatorname{zrrb}$（D 60；A 6）（be）pure，clean； swrb purify，cleanse；四通 worb（ordinary） priest；wurbw det．${ }^{T}$ rean clothes；wrbt det．号 place of embalmment，tomb， sanctuary；det．o meat；－ 1 abbrev．首 cbw（ $\mathrm{F}_{17}$ ）purification；crw－r．（C） breakfast．
B－isis wrf bend，curb．
$B=\operatorname{wrn}$（M41）juniper（？）．
릉 wrrt（D 56）leg，shank．
$\triangle \Omega \wedge$ wer flee；fugitive；wert flight．
BO $\AA_{\text {II }}^{0}$ abbrev．$\AA_{\text {II }}^{0}$ wert（D 56）administra－
 －$\}_{0 \circ \circ}$ abbrev．．${ }^{\circ}$ wrh（ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ）carob－beans．
 $i b, w b s-h r$ intelligent，capable，enlightened； swbs $h r$ initiate，$r$ into（work）；ids 孚 wbs open court（of temple）；is var． 10 ل wobs butler．
$\sqrt{6}$ var．det．$\odot$ whn（N 8．5）shine forth， rise（of sun）；det．$\Delta$（M 35 ）overflow； Blopo var．หo wound（n．）．
$B \in \mathbb{A}$ wd burn（vb．）．
$\cup_{\Delta}$ wpt（ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}^{3}$ ）vertex，brow．
$\checkmark$ wpi divide，open，judge ；wpw－hr except， but，§ 179 ；wpt det．$\times 111$ specification； ${ }^{\times} \mathrm{p}_{0} w p$ st（ Z 9 ）lit．specify it，intro－ ducing list of items；connected with this stem are $i p t$ message，i $\neq w t y$ messenger， see above ；$\downarrow$ var． $\mathfrak{\}}$ wpt－rnpt（ $\mathrm{F}_{14}$ ． 15）New Year＇s day，p． 204 ； $\underline{W}$ Wt－ts Earth＇s Beginning，name given to the extreme south；品品品 $W$ p－wiwt （E i8）the jackal－god（Gk．wolf）Wepwa－ wet of Asyutt，Gk．＇Oф̂̄ıs．


D．｜wmt（be）thick；wmt－ib stout－hearted； B゚ロ wmt gateway；womtt det．■（O $\quad \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ） fortification，bulwark．
－ $20 n\left(\mathrm{O}_{31}\right)$ open（vb．）；wn－hr instructed， expert；wn hrn light is given to（some－ one，that he may see），lit．face（i．e．sight） is given to，etc．
A．wni（E 34）pass by，disregard ；wn det． \＄fault，failing．
（Wnze El－Ashmanên，Hermopolis，a town in Upper Egypt．
 priestly duties；det．界，priesthood； wnwt $(y)$ hour－watcher，star－watcher．
臬monf be glad，gay．
 M42；X 7）eat；＋ه首昌；wnmt food； $+\$ 10 \&$ wnmyt the consumer，i．e．fire；
 feed on；det．Of greed；snmzw det．园，food．
\＆＂wonmy（R 14）right hand（ n ．and adj．）．
＝wnn exist，be，§ 107 ；supplies missing parts of $i w$, §§ 118,2 ； 142 ． $150.157,1 ; 326$. 395．396． 469 ；in sents．with adv．pred．， § 118 ， 2 ；not in sents．with nom．pred．，§ 125 ； in sents．with adj．pred．，§ 142 ；in pseudo－ verbal construction，$\S 326$ ；do．，itself in old perf．or infin．，§ 326 ；wnn•f $r$ sddm， § 332 ；parts．of，as equivalents of rel．adj．， § 396 ；wnn $f$ with future reference，$\S \S$ I 18 ， $2 ; 326 ; 440,3$ ；wnn．f after ir，§§ 150 ． 395．444，4；wu．f expressing purpose， §118， 2 ；after $i \ell$ ，$i b$. ；as obj．after $r d i$ ， $i b_{0}$ ；after other vbs．，§ 186,$2 ; w n \cdot f, w n n \cdot f$ after preps．，§§ 157，1．2．3；326，end；444， 3 ；wn•f，wnn•f in virt．adv．cls．，§§ 214. 215．219；wnu as aux．vb．，§§ 469－75； wn．in．f in pseudo－verbal construction，
§ 470 ；wn $\cdot h r \cdot f$ ，wnn•hr•f do．，§ 47 I ；wn•in $s d m \cdot f, \S 472 ; w n \cdot i n \cdot f s d m \cdot f, w n \cdot h r \cdot f s d m \cdot f$ ， §473；other forms from wnn before $s d m \cdot f$ ， $\S 474$ ；before $\delta d \underline{d} \dot{m} \cdot u \cdot f, \S 475$ ；iww wn there is，are，§ 107， 2 ；foll．by parts．，§ 395 ；in questions，$\S 492,2 ; n n w n, n w n t$ there is， are，not，§§ 108，1． 2 ； 109 ；nn wn，$n$ wnt， before $s d m \cdot f, \S 188,2$ ；ir wn if there be， p．427，Add．to p．358，n． 11 ；$\pm$ var．wn being（ n ．）in phrase $n(m)$ zon m3r（ in reality，lit．of（in）true being，§ 205， 3 ； －wnut，wut encl．parts．，indeed， really，§§ 127,$4 ; 249$ ；wnt non－encl．part．， that，$\S \S 187.233$ ；foll．by subj．＋old perf．，
 $W n n-n f r(w)$ Onnōphris，He－who－is－con－ tinually－happy，a name given to the resurrected Osiris，cf．p．307，bottom．
\＆whl be clad，obj．in，§ $84 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{p} .423$.
－${ }^{\text {® }}$ wns jackal or wolf－like animal ；det． （ $\mathrm{U}_{16}$ ）sledge．
む津 wndzu（M42；E 3）short－horned cattle．
 people．
图 $\operatorname{wrr}$（G36）（be）great，important，much； \＆$w r$ ，adj．；wr，wrt，adv．，much，very， § 205， 4 ；wer how much ？，§ 502 ；$n$－wr－n inasmuch as，§ 18 I ；var．周迤 wr （A 19）prince；？wor miw greatest of seers，title of the high－priest of Heliopolis； S－4＊wr－n－if haunch（of beef）；werrt det．\＆\＆\＆（S 2．6）great crown；det．or abbrev．（T i7）chariot；Wrt det． $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {，}}$ the Great one，designation of a goddess； Wrt－hkrw，see under $h k$ ．
worh（W 23）be anointed with，obj．， § $84 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{p} .423$ ；see too $m r h t$ below．

© wrš spend all day，pass time；foll．by subj．＋old perf．，§ 316；wrsy watchman．
S－萐 wrd（A 7），O．K．wrd，be weary．
whi，escape，miss，fail．
믄 $\underbrace{x} w h(\mathrm{O} 37)$ overthrow．

保有 whs pull up（papyrus，flax），hew （stones）．

 Whst Oasis region；Whatyw Oasis dwellers．
道 whe（ P 4 ）loose，break off work；det． © ，unravel，explain；det．$\rho$ 发 fisherman， fowler．
di whmt（ F 25）hoof．
\＆var．det．\｜whm repeat；foll．by infin．， §303；whm rnh repeating life，living a second time，$\S 55 ; m$ whm，$m$ whm－r a second time，adv．；whmw det．通通 herald， also a provincial official in charge of judicial matters．
© $w h\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} 6\right)$ ，fetish of the Upper Egyptian town Cusae．
T wh（ $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ），also wht，night；swh make dark． Sm whs（M3）（wooden）column；det．$\square$ hall of columns．
S $\Delta w_{3}$ require，demand．
In（be）ignorant；fool（n．）；swhs make foolish．
80 whd $\left(\mathrm{Aa}_{2}\right)$ suffer，bear patiently；pain （ n ．）．
 $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ I）Osiris，local god，king of the dead， the dead king，Gk．＇Oripıs．
皿 $\Delta$ wsf be idle；idleness．
$1_{1}^{0}$ wosrt（F 12，Pyr．）neck．

41은 var．det．\＆wsr（F 12；P 8）oar．
 wealth ；swsr make powerful．
徣 wosh（ W го）cup．
陼影 wsh（W io）（be）wide，broad ；breadth， with older var．号 shw；swsh det． ${ }_{\underline{\circ}}$ var．©（S I I）widen，enlarge；whh det． or abbrev．O（ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ）collar；国 varr．院品，品口 wsht（ O 15）broad hall，court； wsht det．ㅁ，later wsh，barge．

Awstn，later $\pm$ wstn，stride，move freely．
気 ws fall out（of hair），be bald；free，un－ occupied（of time）； $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{T}$ gm wss（ $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ） found defective．
 heap（praises）．
Blan we bite，chew．
 answer（n．）．
－
$\sigma$ © wismw（ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ），a vessel for beer．
 neck（of bird）；make offering of．
w ws＇r dry up，be barren．
Bis wsd（F 30）address，question（vb．）．
var．obve（V 33）misery，want．
Ditvar．$D_{0} w t\left(\mathrm{Aa}_{2}\right) \mathrm{wrap}$（mummy），bandage （vb．）；det．监 embalmer；det．T，O．K． 0 （V38），var．领 $\wedge$ wh hee；wthzw fugitive．
Be wtt，O．K．wtt，beget．
¢－】 wtst（U 39）post（of balance）．
Dy var．det．ل wts（U 39．40）lift up，carry， wear；det．lodge a complaint，denounce； cf．too $t s i$ ．
madi（D 46）put，push，shoot，inflict，emit （sound）．
明通 wodpw（W 22）butler．
 lag，delay；ir wdf if（something）delays， i．e．does not happen，$\S 352$ ；wdf，adv．， tardily，§ 205， 4.
$\equiv$ var．$\triangleq \|_{\|} w\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{II}}\right)$ offer，make offer－ ings ；offering（n．）．
ODaw（U32）（be）heavy．
 pour ；det．$\AA$（Q 7）cast（metal objects）；
 wdhw（V 25），table of offerings．
\＄wd（V 24．25），later wd，e．g．p．277，n．2， command（vb．）；foll．by śdm．f（imperf． § 442, I），§ 184 ；by infin．，§ 303 ；\｛0\｜wd $m d w$ give command，$n$ to ；wd，wdt，wedt－ $m d w$ command（n．）；$\dagger \Omega \square$ abbrev．$\square w d$
 （M I4），hand over，bequeath．
Y 1 wdi send forth，set forth；MAA wedyt （military）expedition； dering herds．
1 w $d_{3}$（be）whole，sound，prosperous； abbrev．$\downarrow$ ，see under $\mathrm{r}_{n} k ; \operatorname{sw}_{2} d_{3} i b$ send a communication，write，$n$ to，lit．make easy the heart（of），$\S 225 ;$ swd $_{3} i b$ communica－ tion，letter；abbrev．wdst （ D ıо）the $w{ }^{2}$ dst－（wedjat－）eye，the sound uninjured eye of Horus，$\S 266, \mathrm{I}$ ； dill $_{\text {ill }} \underline{d}_{3 t}$ （ $\mathrm{U} 28, \mathrm{n} .5$ ）remainder．
$18 w_{3}$ proceed；cf．too $s d_{3}$ below．
的d magazine，storehouse．
S蚆wdr（Aa 21 ）divide，sever，judge，judge between；wdr ryt（ $\mid$｜$\Delta$ ）judge（vb．）；wdrt det．$d$ divorced woman．
 turn，trans．and intr．
 （ $\mathrm{M} \ddagger$ ．II），sandbank，shore．
B2
Y等 wh whild，weanling；var．det．（A 18） princeling．
Y ${ }^{\text {In }}$ wdhw，see under wdh above．

$$
\int b\left(\mathrm{D}_{5} 8\right)
$$

$\rfloor^{\prime}$ ，see bw below．
 Pyr．）Bapfi，a god．
 Pyr．），soul，external manifestation，Gk．
 （plur．），might（sing．or plur．）．

有昷会 $b_{3} t$ bush．

 stream，swirl．
 $\mathscr{P}$ or $\oplus$ in $m-b_{3} h$ ，also $m-b_{3} h-r$ ，in the presence of，§ 178 ；do．，adv．，formerly， § 205， $2 ; d r$－ 3 3 $h$ formerly．
桻䧃 $b_{3 s}\left(\mathrm{~W}_{2}\right) \mathrm{jar}$ ．
Ho $_{0}^{\circ} B 3 s t t\binom{\mathrm{~W}}{2}$ the cat－goddess Baste $(\mathrm{t})$ ，Gk． －ov $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \iota$ ．
S $b_{3} k$ ，an oil－bearing tree（not olive？）．
朝 $b_{3} k$ work，$n$ for，i．e．serve；$b_{3} k w$ det．首 work，produce（n．）；$b_{3} k$ det．舀 manservant； $b_{3} k$ im this（thy）servant，lit．the servant there，p． 58 ，n． $1 ; \S 509,2 ; b 3 k t$ det．\＆ maidservant．
 slack．
 ＞区 $\ddagger 0 \mathrm{mggsw}$ ，dagger．
 or abbrev．券（A 45）bity king of Lower Egypt ；see too under usw below．
 character，qualities．
 （ N 34 ）is provisionally likewise read as
 F 18）mine；$ل^{-} ص b i z$ firmament．

 marvel，wonder（ n. ）．
IS bin（G37）（be）bad，miserable，act evilly； bin，bint bad（n．）；so too bw－bin，see under bw below．
Ifobik（G 6）falcon．
」－ inundation．
」 var．ل ل $b w$（D 58）place，position ；det．具 $b w n b$ everyone，everybody，§103；bw hrj＇f the place where he is，§ 204，I；used to form abstracts，bw bin bad（n．），misery； $b w u f r$ good（n．），prosperity；sim．with $i k r, m 3 r, h z u r w, d w$.
لbawt（ $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ ）abomination．
」f 8 降：bwsu magnates．
ل」のMm $b b t$ ，see $b_{3} b_{3} t$ above．

$ل_{0}^{m m b n t}(\mathrm{Y} 7)$ harp．
ل＂
$\xrightarrow{\perp}$ 」 $\circ b u b n t$ pyramidion．
 （be）sweet．
ل＂mb burw outside（n．）．
J⿴囗⿰丿㇄心．$\Delta h_{3}$ flee；bh3w fugitive；$s b h_{3}$ det．a （D 55）make to flee．
二物 $b h s$（ $\mathrm{F} \mathrm{I}_{1}$ ；E 3）calf．
ل $\ell \| \cap$ varr．det．$\omega, \Delta b h s$ hunt（vb．）．
${ }_{\infty}^{-}$® $B h d t$ Tell el－Balamûn，Beḥdet，the nor－ thernmost town of Egypt ；secondarily， Edfu in Upper Egypt；$B h d t(y)$ ，the Beḥdetite，epithet of the winged solar Horus．
$\downarrow^{\ominus} \square b h n$ tower，fortress；also f．，bhut．
」 ${ }^{\circ}$ ob bhuw greywacke，a hard dark stone found in the Wâdy Hammâmât．
d $\|_{\mathrm{S}} b s\left(\mathrm{~K}_{5}\right)$ introduce；be initiated，$h r$ into； det．$\approx, \approx$ mystery，mysterious form．
ل腔 $b s i\left(\mathrm{~K}_{5}\right)$ flow，come forth in abundance． $\downarrow$ $b s_{i}$（D 26）vomit．
$\downarrow_{0}^{\square} b s t$ ，older $b s t t$, （be）rebellious．
ل以赑 $b k_{3}$（ $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ）be pregnant．
ل凶低 $b g i$ ，see $b s g i$ above．

© bgs（be）bad，fractious．

ل领 $b t_{3}(w)$ crime，wrong，wrong－doer． ل穴 $b \underline{t}$ ，var．$ل$
 rebel against ；$b \underline{t} n-i b$ rebel，adversary． J－$ף$ abbrev． $7 b d(\mathrm{R} 9)$ ，a kind of natron． $J_{0}^{\infty}$ varr．det．四，边 $b d t$（M 34；U 9．го）， var．${ }^{\circ} \cdots b t y$ ，emmer，a kind of coarse wheat．
ل金 $b d s(\mathrm{~A} 7$ ）faint，languish．

$$
\square p(\mathrm{Q} 3)
$$

$\square p(Q 3)$ base，pedestal．
${ }_{\otimes}^{0} P \mathrm{Pe}, \mathrm{K} \hat{m}$ Farâin，Buto，a town in Lower Egypt，p． 73.
ロa abbrev．صpt（ $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ）sky，heaven．
2 $p_{3}$（G40），in hieratic always，and in hiero－ glyphic sometimes，replaced by G41．I．
别（G40；H5）fly（vb．）．

楽 varr． m．，§ IIO；construction of，§ III；mean－
 $p_{3 y} \cdot i$, poss．adj．ist sing．m．，my ；so too
 믕 $p-n$ he of，§ imi，Obs．
रु응 ${ }^{2} t$（ X 6）loaf，bread－offering．
吸解 $p_{2}$ ？）have done in the past，aux．vb．，
 times；pswty belonging to primeval times．


 व A 阬 $p i s$ bring in corn（on back of donkeys）．
 cians；see too $r$－prt below．
${ }_{0}^{6} p w$ this（obsolescent），sing．m．，§ iIo；con－ struction of，§ III；meaning of，§112； use as pron．3rd pers．，§ 128 ；do．antici－ pating nom．subj．，§§ I $30.189,2$ ；position of，§§ 129.130 ；use in sents．with adj． pred．，$\S \S 140.141$ ；in questions after in $i z w$ ， § 492， 4 ；do．after in alone，§493，I ；cl． with $p w$ after $g m i$＇find＇，§ 186,3 ；in $s$ $d m \cdot f$ $p w, \S 189$ ；meaning c＇est que，§§ 190． 325 ； imperf．śdm•f as pred．of，§442，3；perf． sdm．f do．，§ $45^{2,4} 4$ ；in $r$－pw＇or＇，§ 91,2 ；in $n t-p w, \S 190,2$ ；in negation $n f r p w, \S 35 \mathrm{I}$ ， 2 ；吸 14 pwy this，that，sing．m．，later substitute for $p w$, § 1 IO；meaning of，§ 112 ；號 interrog．，who？，what？，§498；see too under ptr ；whichever，Add．p．xxviii．领 Pwnt Pwēne（t），popularly known as Punt，the coast－line S．of the Red Sea．
 construction of，§ III；meaning of，§ 112 ；远 $p f_{3}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right)$ ，later form of $p f$ ，§ 110 ．品 $A$ ，see under $p s i$ below．

ㅁ pn，this，sing．m．，§ 110 ；construction of， § III；meaning of，§II2．
믕 $p-n$ he of，§ini，Obs．；see too under $p$ ； above．
엉 $p n c\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ upset，overturn．
몽
ㅁ．$\Delta h^{\prime} p n k$ bale out．
 ■ Pr－fs Great House，Pharaoh，p． 75 ；声口 $P_{r-c}$ h House of Life，scriptorium where books were written；盟 Pr－wr （O i9），name of the oldest national shrine of Upper Egypt at Hieraconpolis ；吅园
 alternative names of the oldest national shrine of Lower Egypt at Buto ；$\ddagger$ 무 $p r-n s w$ palace；$\square \rho \square$ var．$卬 p r-h d\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ treasury，lit．white house；狍 $p r-\underline{d} t$ estate；imy－r pr overseer of a house， steward ；nbt $p r$ mistress of a house， married lady；see too $r-p r$ under ${ }_{i} r$ below．
■ $\Delta$ pri go forth，go up；pri $r h_{3}, r$ hnt，go forth abroad，see under $h$ ，$h n t$ ；as aux． vb．，§ 483 ， I ；pry det．$\wedge$ 通 champion； det．$\Delta * \rightarrow$ champion bull ；pr－c $(-\overrightarrow{1})$ ，ener－ getic，valorous；prowess；prw det．\＃1 excess；det．$\Delta$ a coming forth，outcome； prwnr（1）utterance；哏 prt－brw（O $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ ） invocation－offerings，lit．a going or send－ ing forth of the voice，later sometimes interpreted as $p r t-r-h r w$, p． 172 ；$\square_{\odot}^{\circ} p r t$ winter season，p． 203 ；동 $\mathrm{y}_{1}{ }^{\circ}$ ，abbrev． olt prt（ $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}$ 3）seed．
요pri（M6．5）battlefield．
© ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ phwy（F 22）hind－quarters，end ；phwy－r down to，§179；pht－r northwards to，§179；
 ND，茴 phww（ N 4 I ）distant marshlands．

$\perp_{11}^{\circ}$ g abbrev．命phty（F9）strength．


处 var．$\sum_{\wedge}^{\infty} p h r$（F 46）turn，go round； serve，$n$（someone）； $\boldsymbol{o}_{1} i, p h r t$ remedy， medicament ；det．有，frontier guards； $s p h r$ var．\＆（F50）cause to circulate， copy，write down；屋 $=P h r-w r$ ，Pekher－ wēr，Eg．name of the Euphrates．
道 $A$ psi（see § 28 I ）cook，boil．

$\therefore p s h$ be in disorder，distraught．
 perty）；$p s$ st division，share．
므৫ $p s g$（ D 26 ）spit，spit upon．
 O．K．pśd，back（n．）．
묭ㅇ 2 psd，O．K．pśd，shine．
${ }_{-}^{9} 979 p s d t(\mathrm{~N} 9)$ company of nine gods，ennead， p． $29 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n} .8$.
 뭉의 $p s d n$ ，New－moon festival．
$\square_{\Delta} \square^{T}$ ，see under $p z k t$ above．
荙（ $\cap \mathrm{gg}_{3}$ spread out，unfold；det．픽 opening （n．）．
마영 $p t p t$ tread down，crush．
 interrog．pwo part．tr，§§ 256．497．
O f ptr，rare det．（D 7），behold，see．
㗔通Pth Ptah，god of Memphis，Gk．$\Phi \theta \hat{a}$ ．
$\therefore$ ath overthrow．
$\square \Omega$ ，see under $p s d$ above．
$\stackrel{\sim}{\Omega}$ abbrev．$\stackrel{\sim}{\wedge} p d(\mathrm{~T} 9)$ ，earlier $p d$ ，stretch， （be）wide；©im var．$\underset{a l}{\square} p d t$（ T 9．ıо） bow，foreign people，troop；而il $p d t g$ the

Nine Bows，traditional name given to the peoples neighbouring Egypt；patty det．｜通 bowman，foreigner，see too $r$－$p d t$ under $\} r$ below．
${ }_{\square} \Omega \wedge p d$（vb．showing confusion with $p d$＇knee＇ and $p d$＇stretch＇）i．kneel，2．run．

요
$\checkmark p d, \underset{\Delta}{\sim}-p d t$ ，see under $p d$ above．

$$
\approx f(\mathrm{I} 9)
$$

＊as det．in $\_{\infty}^{\circ}$ it father，p．43，n．i ；not to be read in $p s i$ ，see under this above．
＊$f$ suffix－pron． 3 rd sing．m．，he，him，his，it， its，§ 34 ；＂ after words dual in form but sing．in meaning，$\S 76$ ， I ；after sing．words with dual implication，$\S 76,2$ ；in the sdmty $f y$ form，$\S 364$ ；not an obsolete dep．pron．， §41I，I．
${ }^{m}$ var．$m f t$（I 9，O．K．）viper．
 $f_{3 i} \underline{t_{3} w}$（高


$\approx f n$（be）weak，infirm；sfn make weak， afflict．
 Фоирікєs．
$\stackrel{y}{\approx}$ abbrev． $\mathcal{1}$ fnd（D 19），O．K．fnd，nose．
N unloose，take off（garments）．
$\square f_{i}(\mathrm{X} 4)$ ，a kind of cake．
$\Delta f_{k}$（X4）reward（vb．and n．）．
动 $f k$ ，see under $f_{3} k$ above．
远 ftft leap，see too nftft below．
$x f$
true, real, just; $n(m)$ wn mar in reality, § 205, 3 ; bw m3r truth, right; m3r-ȟrw (§55) justified, deceased; smsr-hrw justify, make triumphant, $r$ over (enemies); $\Rightarrow \Delta \beta_{-}$var. $\beta_{1}^{\infty}$ mirt (H6) truth, right, justice; det. 出 ( C 10 ) Māce(t), the goddess of Truth and Right ; msety righteous.
$\Rightarrow m_{3}$ be offered (of offerings), $n$ to; mзгw offerings, tribute ; sm3r offer (vb.).
 with good dispatch, with a good wind.
$\Rightarrow$ g mir ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) temple (of head) ; tp-m3r accompanying, escorting, § 178.
$\Rightarrow{ }_{3}{ }^{0} m_{3 r}$ edge, brink.
 fresh; $m_{3}$ fresh, new; $m$ miwt anew, freshly; smiwy renew.

## 

 $m ; i(r) w$ misery ; smir afflict, harm.
S $m 3 h$ wreath (of flowers, etc.).
Sif $m 3$-hd ( E 28 ) oryx.
 sumed.
 lap, i.e. in mourning, § 194.
Sa¥D $\triangle$ migsw, see $b_{3} g s w$ above.
Sロ mit (W 7, O.K.), later S (Aa 2), red granite (from Elephantine).
$3 \square m s t$ proclaim.
$\mathbb{N}_{0} \wedge$ var. $\& \Delta m i$ imper., come, $\S 336$.
$\& \frac{m i}{}$ (W 19, Pyr. $m r$ ) prep., like, according to, as well as ; conj., as when, according as, § 170 ; $m i k d \cdot f$ entire, § Іоо, 2 ; mi $m$ how?, § 496 ; \& $\operatorname{mit}(y)$ copy (n.) ; \& $\|^{\circ}$ mity, var. \&itw (§ 79, Obs.), like (adj.), equal; mitt likeness, the like; $m$ mitt likewise, § 205,3 ; \& 44 , var. \&4 4 m ,
likewise，accordingly，§ 205，I；性 smi report（vb．），§ 275 ；report（ n ．），acknow－ ledgement（of letter）．
\＆SB miw（ E I3）cat，f．mit．
$\& \circ$ very rarely $\& A_{\circ}^{\sim m} \min \left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{m} & 19) \text { ，to－day，}\end{array}\right.$ § 205，I ；used enclitically，§ 208 ；$m$ min to－day，§ 205， 3.
$\stackrel{\mu}{m} \mid$ 惯 $m(i) n i$ ，see under $m n i$ ．
$\square \beth \square$ minb（N 34；T 7）axe．
$\square 0$ mist（ $\mathrm{N}_{3} 6$ ）liver．
A var．$m(y)$ encl．part．after imper．or $s d m \cdot f$ in wishes，pray，§ 250 ；rarely non－ encl．，ib．
$m$－r prep．，together with，in the hand of， from，owing to，§ 178 ；me－ntt seeing that， § 223.
$\infty \infty_{\infty}^{\infty}(m) r(n) d t(\mathrm{~V} 26)$, O．K．mendt，the morn－ ing bark（ship）of the sun，p．29I，n． 5.
－ $0 \downarrow$ var．det． $1 \mathrm{mer}\left(\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}^{2}\right.$ ；V 29）（be） fortunate，successful．
 tomb．
＂mw（N 35）water；hr mw $n$ loyal to，lit． on the water of ；쏬 $14 \approx$ m m my，also f ． mwyt，urine，seed，saliva．
m m m（ N 35 ）muu－dancers，in funerary ceremonies．
Nosif mwt（G 14）mother；Mwt，var． （G I 5），Mut，the chief goddess at Karnak．
A Am mwnf helper，champion．
AR var．det．$\succ m(w) t\left(\mathrm{~A}_{14} ; \mathrm{Z} 6\right)$ die，§ 279 ； death；$m(w) t, m(w) t t$ dead man，woman．
ज．
\＆var．\＆$m-m$ prep．，among，§ 178.
（G i8）var．$m m$ ，prob．mere varr．of adv． 1 im，see there．
凡宛 var．$\$$ my giraffe．
－mnw（ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ），a kind of mace ；in writing of
$m n \cdot k$ take to thyself，see $m$ imper．，take， above．
$\stackrel{4}{\mu} m n\left(\mathrm{Y}_{5}\right)$ be firm，remain，be established； $r-m n-m$ as far as，§ $180 ; r-m n$ together with，§ I8o，Obs．；smn det．<br>＃（U 32） establish，make firm ；halt，stand down （from office）；mn det．沮 such a one，f． $m n t$ det．${ }^{2}$ ；mnt det．- such an amount （see pp．2OI－2）；mn，mnt example，a similar case；$\underset{\text { щоо }}{\ldots} m n w$ monument（s）；
 $\xrightarrow[m n]{\sim}$ be ill，obj．of（something），§84A， p． 423 ．
$\stackrel{\text { щ }}{\sim}$ ه $m n t$ ：$m m n t$ daily．
$\stackrel{\text { 山 }}{\sim}$ mut（G 36）swallow（n．）．

 $m(i) n i . \S 285$ ； P II；T 14）moor，land； attach，join（someone），$m$ to（something， a wife）；det．$\quad \sim$（A 14．54）die； death ；$\stackrel{\mu}{\sim} \downarrow \rightarrow \$ mnit mooring post．

＂ poise，menat．
 $m(i) n i z w ;$ A 47．33）herdsman．
페 $M n w(\mathrm{R} 22 ; \mathrm{C} 8)$ Min，the god of Pano－ polis（Akhmîm）and Coptus（Kift），Gk．Mî̀．
帚红条 mnwt pigeon．

 nurse，foster－mother ；mnry tutor．

$\stackrel{\text { меш }}{\text { м }}$ м $m n n n$ move about，be disturbed； mnmnt det．解，觔（E8）herds，cattle； smnmn remove．


出 日时 $m n h d\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)$ ，O．K．$m n h d$ ，writing outfit． $\stackrel{\rightleftarrows}{=} \ell_{1} 1, m n h$ wax．
＂mnh papyrus plant．
 （O．K．）．
 ficent，excellent；$r m n h$ thoroughly，§205， 5 ；smnh fashion excellently，put in order， honour，advance（someone）．
$\underset{\sim}{4}$ eif mnh string（beads），fasten（amulet on neck）．
$\stackrel{\text { щ．}}{=}$－ 11 abbrev． 11 mnht（ $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ）clothing．
$\stackrel{\text { щ }}{=} m n s$（L．E．）cartouche，p． 74.
$\stackrel{4}{=} \Delta \| \square m n-k b$ bed－chamber．
르요 Mntw Mont，the falcon－headed god of Hermonthis（Armant），Thebes，etc．

 mnd，breast．
뻬 $\mathrm{f} m n \mathrm{dm}$ basket，crate．
is read imy－r，not $m r$ ，see under imy above．
fis $m r\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { 23 }\end{array}\right)$（be）ill，painful；$m r t$ disease； mrw painfully，§ 205， 4.
f $\Delta \Delta m r\left(\mathrm{U}_{23} ; \mathrm{O}_{24}\right)$ pyramid，tomb．
$\pm \stackrel{m}{s} m$ bind ；$m r w$ band．
이 $m r\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} 6\right)$ canal，channel．
而逆过 $m r$（ $\mathrm{N}_{3} 6$ ）friend（s），partisans；
 the masses．
二佥领 var．可其 $m r t$（ N 36 ，f．）weavers．
䓂其需 $m r t$ serfs，slaves．
愐 varr．스，二mri（U7．6；N 36）love， wish（vb．）；foll．by $\varsigma d m \cdot f(2 a e ~ g e m . ~ i m p e r f ., ~$ § 442， I ；other vbs．perf．，§ $45^{2}, \mathrm{I}$ ），§ 184 ； by infin．，§ 303 ；Mrr．f irrf Whenever－ he－likes－he－does，a name of the supreme
god，§442，8；卫is mrwt love，wish （n．）；$n-m r w t, m-m r w t$ in order that，§ 18 I ； mrwyty the beloved．
 meri，a name of Egypt．
IV $\|_{\text {II }}^{\text {a }}$ mryt river－bank，coast，harbour．
——侱 mryn Syrian magnate，Babyl． mariannu．
$\underset{B}{\square} \sim m r w$ desert
\＄10m $m r w$（M41），a red wood from Syria．
 the sacred bull of Heliopolis．

If $m r h(w)$ decay（ n ．）．
＂碞 abbrev．前mrht（W i）unguent，oil； cf．wrh above．
N $n h y$ be forgetful，negligent，$h r$ about．

思\＆$m h r\left(\mathrm{~W}_{19}\right)$ milk－jug．
I $m h(\mathrm{~V} 22)$ fill，be full，$m$ of；as formative in ordinal numbers，§ 263,$3 ; m h i b$（be） trusty，trusted；$m h$ det．$w$ seize，$m$（some－ one or something）；mhw a filling．
$\xrightarrow[\square]{ }$ varr．$\beth, \longrightarrow,-m h$ cubit，linear measure of 523 mm ．，§ 266,2 ；as measure of area， $27 \cdot 3$ sq．metres，§ 266， 3 ．
马通 later var．工抩通 $m h(y)$ be anxious， grieve，$h r$ about ；grief，care（n．）．


จ0 mht dish．
O var．．$\quad$ mht north ；mht－r northward to， § 179 ；mhyt det．${ }_{\text {F }}$ north wind；mhty northern，§ 79 ．
工悬 mhw（M15．16）papyrus clump，in
 Lower Egypt；mh－s det．븨，多（S 3．4）
crown of Lower Egypt ；mh（i ？）det．㞼 or 崗 Lower Egyptian．
 fishes．
ㄱuld mhnyt the Coiling one，i．e．the uraeus on head of sun－god and king．
 abbrev．有 $m h 3 t\binom{$ 3 }{38} balance（n．）；cf． hsi below．
A．$\Delta m-h t$ ，see under $h t$ below．
A 8
$\stackrel{A}{\triangle} \square m h r$ storehouse．
若 mhrw administration，governance．
$\mathbb{S}_{\Omega} \triangle$ var．$\AA \mathrm{ms}$ bring．
$\|_{0} \quad m s t$（ $\mathrm{F}_{31}$ ）apron of foxes＇skins．
擜 msi（F 31；B 3．4）bear，give birth； form，fashion（statue）；㿻－ms $n$ ，f．$m s t$ $n$ born to（mother），§§ $36 \mathrm{I} .379,3 ; m s$ det．各 child ；$\backslash$ writing of $-m s w$ in personal names（ $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ ）；smsi deliver（in childbirth）．
䣒通 $m s$ encl．part．expressing surprise or re－ proof，§ 251 ；$i w-m s$ ，see under $i z w$ ，at end．刚肌T ${ }^{\circ} 11$ msyt supper，evening meal．
${ }_{*}^{*} M s n\left(V_{32}\right)$ Mesen，a town near Kantarah in Lower Egypt．
Homo in msnw（V 32）harpooner，hippopota－ mus－hunter．

I msh（ $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）crocodile．
縕昷口 $m s h n$ ，also $m s h n t$ ，resting－place；see too under hui below．
\＃$\uparrow$ mshtyw adze．
擜会约 Mshtyw（ $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ ）the Foreleg，i．e． the constellation of the Great Bear，re－ placing earlier conception as Adze．
帆 $\boldsymbol{\sim}$
灲し乌 msks hide（of ox）．

絸の1通 mski rumour．
限品影（ $m$ ）sktt the evening bark（ship）of the sun－god，p． 29 I, n． 5.
肌 in mstizuty descendant（of a god）．
利品 $m m s t$ bier（at funeral）．
机一 $\stackrel{i}{i}, m s d m t$ ，see under $s d m$ ．

帆号 0 var．det．\＆abbrev．i msdr（F 21 ； D 18）ear．
 expedition．

躬里号 $m s d t$ ford．
－varr．$\square, m k$ non－encl．part．from $m$（see above），behold，§ 234 ；used in addressing a male person，$i b$ ．；foll．by dep．pron．as subj．，§ 44， 2 ；in sent．with adv．pred．，§II 1 ；in sent．with nom． pred．，§ I 33 ；in sent．with adj．pred．，§ I42； in pseudo－verbal construction，§ $324 ; m k$ $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 414,1 ; m k+$ pass．$s d m \cdot f, \S 422$, 1；$m k s d m \cdot f, \S \$ 234 ; 450$ ，Obs．；with sense of Fr．voici，§ 234 ；curiously sub－ stituted for $h r, \S 234$ ，Obs．
 det．$\quad$ II protection ；mkty protector．
目 $m k t$ right place．
 thing）．
S ${ }^{4} m g(3$ ？），a class of young recruits．
－$m t$ ，earlier $m t$ ，non－encl．part．from $m$（see above），behold，in addressing a female，§§ II9，I； 234.
$A\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { A } \\ \text { ，see } \\ m(w) t \text { above．}\end{array}\right.$
D $m t$ vein，muscle，vessel of body．
POMA mty（D 52．50）（be）regular，correct， trustworthy，loyal ；$m t t \quad n i b$ rectitude，lit．
regularity（？）of heart ；$m m t t n t i b \cdot f$ fol－ lowing his natural bent（or sim．）；mty regulator（？）of a phylē（ss）of priests．
$\Rightarrow \beta$ mtwt seed，poison．
D ${ }^{\text {a }}$ IItwn，O．K．mtzwn，place of combat for bulls．
色 $m t n$ ，earlier $m=n t n$ ，non－encl．part． from $m$（see above），behold，in addressing several persons，§§ I19，I； 234.
A응 mtput（T 8）dagger of the form 0 ．
© $m$ tn reward（vb．）；mtnwt det．- reward （n．）．
 witness to ；mtrw witness（person）；mert testimony．
$\Rightarrow \bigcirc$ mirt midday．
ค－$m \underline{t}$ non－encl．part．，see under $m t$ above．


Nint $m$ ，non－encl，part．，see under $m t n$ above．


n－m Mtn Mitanni，a kingdom E．of the Euphrates．
L．＇mdw（S 43）staff；mdw $n$ isw staff of old age，epithet applied to a son taking over his aged father＇s work．
1 speak against ；mdw dispute，litigate，, hne with（someone），$h r$ about（something）； $m d w$ word，saying ； 9 III abbrev．${ }^{70} m d w$ $n t r$ the god＇s words，p．I；乌ll 1, abbrev． $\bigcap d d$ mdw（words）to be recited；or placed at top of columns containing spells， etc．，§ $306, \mathrm{I}$ ；wd $m d w$ ，wd $t m d w$ ，see under $w d$ ；mdt speech，matter．
$\rightarrow-\infty$ mds keen，alert．
n mdw（V 20）ten，§§ 259．260；construction of，§ 26 I ．
别 $m \underline{d}$（V 21）（be）deep；mdrwt depth．
角合 var．2nf mat（V 19．20．21）stable， cattle－stall．
匀前 $m$ d $t\left(\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ ointment．
兹it $m d_{3 t}\binom{\mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{I}}$ papyrus－roll，book．

A M01異 $M d_{3} 3 z w$ Medjay，a Nubian people， p．183，n． 2 ；police．
$\alpha$ var．$\alpha\left\{m d h\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right.\right.$ о）fillet．
 hew ；$m d h(w)$ carpenter．
鸟 hit（a mark），adhere to（a path）．
mm $n\left(\mathrm{~N}_{35}\right)$
－$n$ afformative prefix in some reduplicated verb－stems，§ 276.
－$n$ prep．，var．$-\ldots$ ，rare initial form $\perp i n$, to， for，belonging to（§ 114, I），through，in （of time）；as conj．，because，§ 164 ；in compound preps．，§§ 178.18 I ；in $n \cdot i-i m y$ ， $n \cdot k$－imy，etc．，$\S \S 113,3 ; 114,4$ ；after adjs．， indicating possession，$\S \S 138$ 141；possibly sometimes to introduce qualifying noun， § 95 ；in negative $n f r n, \S 35 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$ ；by，of agent after pass．parts．，p．279，top；§ 379， 3 ；element in śdmwe n．f rel．form，$\S \S 380$ ． 386,2 ；in narrative śd $d \cdot n \cdot f, \S 411,2 ; n-$ $n t t$ because，§ 223 ．
＂$n y$ adv．，therefor，for（it），§ 205，I；with varr．－$n,-11, n(w$ ？）prob．in cases of $n$ $s d m \cdot n$ for $n s \dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ he does not hear， §486，Овs． 2.
－$n(y)$ genitival adj．$\S 86$ ；forms，$i b$ ．；use in indirect genitive，ib．；Add．to § 86 ， p． 423 ；in genitive between noun and
adj. epithet, § 94; mediating adj. epithet, § 94, I. 2 ; introducing noun used like Latin accusative of respect, § 95 ; after demonstratives in $n$-, p. 86, top; as pred., § 114,2 ; introducing prep. + noun, § 158 , I ; after compound preps. when governing noun, p. 131, bottom; foll. by śdm•f, §§ 191; 442, 5 (imperf.) ; 452, 5 (perf.) ; by śd $m \cdot n \cdot f$, § 192 ; by infin., § 305 ; i niw ( $n w)$, pl. m. of $n(y)$ belonging to, § 86 ; ${ }_{0}^{\circ}-1$ 11, see below under $n t$-r.
$\prod_{11} n$ suffix- and dep. pron. ist pl. c., we, us, our ; rarely me, §§ 34.43 ; "" $n$ ny dual of do., early obsolete, § 34 .
II $n y$ possibly rare suffix-pron. 3 rd dual in +8" 11 imytw ny between them, §34, Obs. 3.
$\leadsto u$ not (shortened form of $n n$, see there), varr. discussed, § 104. $N$ śdm•f, with perf. śd $d m \cdot f$ form, $\S 455$; negates $s{ }^{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ in reference to past events, § IO5, I; less commonly negates present (§455, 2; adj. vbs. § 144, I) or future $(\S 455,3)$ events ; with past reference after $m k$, §455, I; in unfulfilled wish after $h_{3}, \S 455, \mathrm{I}$; rarely translatable as 'cannot', $\S 455,4$; in subordinate cls., § 455,5 ; in virt. rel. cls., § 196, 2 ; after $n t y$, § 201 ; $n$ sp śdm•f, showing a distinctive form of perf. śd $m \cdot f$, §§ го6; 456. $N$ śd $m \cdot n \cdot f$, §§ 105, 3 ; 418, common in characterizations, statements of custom, and generalizations : present, §418, I; past, §418, 2 ; future, §4I8, 3 ; in virt. rel. cls. and after nty, ib.; with adj. vbs., § 144,3 ; negating statements with old perfect., §§ 3II, Obs.; 418. $N+$ pass. $s d m \cdot f, \S 424$; with past and present reference, §424, i. $N$ śdmm•f, §426. $N$ sddmt.f, §§402-5; meaning, §402; forms,
active, § 403 ; forms, pass., § 404 ; origin, § 405. $N$ before iw' 'is', 'are', very rare, § 120; $n$ wnn.f referring to future, § 120 ; $n$ before indep. pron., § 134 ; rarely negating infin., §307, I , end. $\sim \| \eta$ is in sent. with adv. pred., § 120 ; in sent. with nom. pred., § 134 ; negating adv., § 209 ; before $s$ sdm.n.f with meaning 'if not', 'unless', §216, end; with infin., 'except(?)', §307, I ; negating a word or phrase, §§ 247, 2, cf. 505,5 , end. $-n$ wnt there is not, §§ IO8, 2 ; 1 I 5 ; without, § 109 ; in sent. with adv. pred., § i 20; with śd $m \cdot f$ as subj., § 188, 2 ; with infin. as subj., § 307 , ; with part. or rel. form as subj., § 394 ; $n$ wnt wn there does not exist, ib.
$\rightarrow n$, writing of prep. $-n$, see above.
men Nt (R 24) Neith, the goddess of Sais, Gk. N $\eta$ ti $\theta$.

- 4 (S 3.4), the red crown of Lower Egypt.
늤쓴 $n t$ water, see under $n z y$ below.
$n s$ this, the, properly with neuter sense, but used as plur. c., § iIo; construction of, §III; meanings of, §II2; concord of, § $5 \mathrm{II}, 3$; 仏逆 $n s y \cdot i$ poss. adj. plur. c., foll. by $n, \mathrm{my}$; so too $n 3 y \cdot k$ thy, etc., § II3, I.
 $\Pi \rightarrow n i\left(\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{I}\right)$ reject.


$\stackrel{\otimes}{\otimes}$, niwt (O 49) town, village; Niwt rst the Southern City, i.e. Thebes; imy-r niwt overseer of the (pyramid-)city, traditional title of the vizier; niwty (§79, end) belonging to (one's own) town, local;

i $n i z w(n w)$ ，pl．m．of genitival adj．，see under $-n(y)$ above．
$\stackrel{\infty 00}{\square}=$ perhaps with two distinct readings i．niw or $n w w$, 2．nnw or $n w n w(\mathrm{~W} 24)$ ，primeval waters，Copt．noun．
โ $\uparrow$ 角 $n i s$（A 26）call，obj．，$r, n$（a person）；in funerary cult，invoke，p．I70．
＂＂＂on nyny do homage．
$\rightarrow n$ ri travel by boat．
프여웅 ner（ $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ ）（be）smooth；sner polish，grind fine．
Oo－nuwti（U i9．20，Pyr．）the two adzes．
$T 010 \%$（U 19）this，these，properly with neuter sense，but used as plur．c．，§ i io； construction of，§ 1 II ；concord of，§511，3． TOBo $n z$ time．
TO 名 $n w$（be）weak，limp．
$\sim \bigcirc \triangle n w i$ return（also reflex．），$r$ to（a place）； var．det．㙁有 collect，tend．
$\stackrel{000}{\square}=$ ，see under nizw above．
$\stackrel{\text { OO }}{\curvearrowleft}$ Nwt Nut，the sky－goddess．
$\cdots O M A=n w y$ water，flood；also f．$n w y t, n w t$ ， $n t$ ．
TOB n nww hunters．
－f nw，later
Toge $n w h\left(V_{\text {I }}\right)$ rope ；ht（nim）$n n w h$ rod of cord，a measure of ioo cubits， $52 \cdot 3$ metres， § 266， 2 ；nwh bind（vb．）．
$\bigcirc$ ㅇ，see under ink．
$\because 0 B n=0$ move crookedly，aslant ；nwdw crookedness．
T © i nwd ointment，perfume．
－nbt（V 30）basket or like ；plur．，name of distant indeterminate foreign regions；
 Hau－Nebwet，inhabitants of thoseregions， in Graeco－Roman times interpreted to mean the Greeks．

F var．$n b$ lord，master；use in letters， p．239，n．8；owner of（property，attribute）， §II5A，p．423；万迸 $n b$ the Lord，i．e． the king，p．75；$\square n b t s w y$ lord of the two lands，do．，$i b$ ．；$n b-r$－$d r$ lord（ $n b t$－lady） of the universe，§ $100, \mathrm{I}$ ；nbwy the Two Lords，i．e．Horus and Seth；nb enh det．$\sim$（A 54）sarcophagus；$n b t$ mistress， lady；$n b t p r$ ，see under $p r$ ；$n b t y$（G i6） Two Ladies，title of the king，p．73；
 the goddess Nephthys，Gk．Né $\phi \theta v$ s．
$\nabla n b$ every，all，any，f．$\nabla_{\Delta} n b t$, pl．m．位 $\| n b w$ （uncommon），p．47，n．${ }^{\circ}$ ；$\sigma$ common for both genders and numbers，$\S 48$ ，i ；use after $n t y$ ，§ 199；after parts．，§ 375 ，Obs．； after rel．forms，$\S 38 \mathrm{I} ; s n b$ everyone，each one，§ Io3；bw $n b$ everyone，$i b$ ．；$h r n b$ everyone，$i b$. ；wr $n b$ everyone，each，$i b$. ； bt nbt everything，anything，$i b$ ．
$\bar{J} 10<n b y t(S$ I $)$ ，the collar depicted as $円$ ．
ㅇ．．$n b w$（S i2）gold；det．R Gold，name given to the goddess Hathor；茓的 $n b i\binom{$ I }{3} gild，fashion； $\operatorname{m} \mid \ n b y$ goldsmith．
家亩 $N b t$ Ombos，near Ṭ̂̂kh in Upper Egypt； $N b t(y)$ the Ombite，epithet of Seth．
芠4迢 Nbyt Kôm Ombo，Ombi，a town some distance N ．of Elephantine．
 linear measure larger than I cubit，§266， 2.
च $\ddagger$ n $n b s$ Christ＇s thorn，nebk－tree．
 of character，epithet given to foreign enemies．
믕 1 ：Npri，the corn－god Nepri．
믕미 $n p r t$ edge，brim（of sheet of water）．
－$n f$ that，§ i Io；properly with neuter sense， but used as plur．，§§ III－I 2 ；construction
of，§ III；man var．nem，later form of $n f$ ，§ 3 IO．
${ }^{m m} n f$ wrong（n．）．
要会多 nfw（P 5）skipper，rêis；snf relieve， release．
$\sim$ n $n$ nfr remove，drive away．
$n$ nfr（F 35）（be）good，beautiful，happy； $n f r n \cdot i$ it went well with me，i．e．I died， § 307，bottom ；nfr adv．，happily，well， § 205， 4 ；Wnn－nfr $(w)$ ，see under wnn； nfrt det．这 beautiful woman；nfrw det．

 bw nfr goodness；$\dagger$ ？$n f r-h 3 t$ diadem，or like；iry $n f r$－$h 3 t$ keeper of the diadem ； snfr embellish．Probably connected are the following words，see § 351 ：

 nfryt $r$ down to，§ I 79；\＆ rudder－rope；$n f r p w$ as negation，§ 35 I ， $2 ; n f r n$ as negation，§ $35 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$ ．
씀 $n f t$ ，later var．
Nam nftft leap，cf．ftft above．
M－rare var．$n-m$ ，for in $m$ who？，what？， as subj．，§§ 227， $3 ; 496$.
 nmt（ T 29）place of slaughter．
（1） farers，i．e．Beduins．
風收 nmi（O 5）cry aloud；low（vb．，of cattle）．
風 $n m$ act partially，show partiality，$n$ to （someone）．
風 $n m h$ poor man，orphan，waif，f．nmhyt； snmh abase oneself，pray，$n$ to．

風階nmst jug（for water）．
 steps．
د $n n$ non－encl．part．，not，§235；distinguished from only after Dyn．I X，§§ 104． 235 ； negates sents．with adv．pred．，§§ I 18 ，I； I 20 ；with nom．pred．when $p w$ is subj．， § 134 ；in questions introduced by in iw， with indep．pron．as subj．，§492， 7 ；as pred． of sents．with infin．as subj．，§ 307，i；with part．or rel．form as subj．，§ 394 ；negating sent．with pseudo－verbal construction， § 334 ；$n n s$ sd $m \cdot f$（perf．）with future refer－ ence，§§ 105,$2 ; 144,2 ; 457 ; n n$ sd $m \cdot n \cdot f$ ， obscure，§418A；at beginning of sents．， §66，end ；foll．by dep．pron．as subj．， §44， 2 ；iw suppressed after，§ 107， 2 ；in questions with sense of nonne，§491， 3 ； negating single word or phrase，§505，5； with meaning＇no＇，§ 258 ；expressing non－existence，§ 108,3 ；＇without＇，§ 109 ； do．with infin．，§307，I．them $n n$ wn ＇there is（are）not＇，§ Io8， I ；＇without＇， § 109 ；in sent．with adv．pred．，§ 120 ； with śdm•f form as subj．，§ 188,2 ；with part．or rel．form as subj．，§ 394 ．
$\downarrow 7$ var． $7 \downarrow$ m $n n$（ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ）this，these，properly with neuter sense，but used as plur．，§ I Io； construction of，§ III；meaning of，§ I I2； concord of，§5II， 3.
 el－Medînah，Heracleopolis，a town in Upper Egypt．
 $\stackrel{\infty}{\curvearrowleft}$ nnw，see under niw above．
mun num err，go wrong．
認
$\underset{\sim}{m} n n k$ belong（s）to me，§ II4， 3 ；after infin．， on my part，§ 300 ，end．



mum $n h t$（M I）sycomore－fig，tree．
円am $\square$ nht shelter（n．）．
＂m＂$\circ$ ，nhy a little，a few，§ 99 ；nhw loss． $\cdots \cdots \times n h p$ rise early；$n h p w$ early morning；snhp det．$\Delta$ spur on．
四路 $n h m$ jubilate．
픈N $N h r n$ Nahrin，i．e．Mitanni，a kingdom E．of the Euphrates．
mun $\prod^{m h s}$ wake up（vb．）．
造 1 分 $n h$（G21）guinea－fowl．
 thing）；$n h, n h t$ prayer．
mme 典 $D n h_{3}$（be）hard，rough，dangerous．
路 $\| \times n h b$ yoke together，unite；equip，$m$ with ；$N \not h b-k z w$ det．Э（D 30）Uniter－of－ attributes，name of a mythical serpent； det．$\varnothing$ Neheb－kaw，feast of the month later called Khoiak，see p． 205.
Is \＆Jo nhbt neck．

通趷 $n h p$ potter＇s wheel．
$\square$ mol $n \mathrm{hm}$ take away，rescue，$m-r$ from （someone）；Nhmt－rwsy She－who－rescues－ the－robbed，consort of the god Thoth at Hermopolis．
$\square \min ^{\sim} n h m n$ non－encl．part．，surely，assuredly， §§ II 9，6； 236.


道 $-n h d t$ tooth，molar；see too ndht below．
－$-n h$ defend，protect ；nhw protector．
 mourning．
$-\downarrow \times n h b$ open up（mine，fields）；det．$\frac{\times}{\text { II }}$ newly
opened up field ；nhbt det．$\times 1$ ，protocol， titulary，p． 7 I．
$\forall \downarrow_{\bigotimes}^{\circ} N \not b b$ El－Kâb，Eileithyias polis，a town in Upper Egypt；ㄱㅇN Nbbt（M 22；W 24； G i6）the vulture－goddess Nekhbet，p． 73. © var． $\mathbb{Q}_{\otimes}$ Nhn（O 47．48）Kôm el－Aḥmar， Hieraconpolis，a town in Upper Egypt； $\underset{\oplus}{\infty} r-N b n$ mouth of（or iry attached to） Nekhen；迅 © minw Nhn herdsman（i．e． ruler）of Nekhen，two distinct titles；see too under Hr below．
의 ${ }^{\text {sin }}$ nhn（be）young；child；nhnw，nhnt childhood．
－$-\wedge$ abbrev．$\AA$ nhhw（S 45），earlier nh3h3， flagellum（conventional rendering）．
 victorious；strength，victory；$n h t w$ victory， hostages；nhtw det．$\prod_{1}$ strongholds；snht make strong，strengthen．
－mgn nhm（W 9），one of the seven ritual oils and jug for same．
行 $n s(\mathrm{~F} 20)$ tongue．
$\Rightarrow$ © nb nswe tzwy lord of the Thrones of the Two Lands，epithet of Amen－Rēe．
$\ddagger \ddagger n s w$ ，for $n y s w$ he belongs to，§ in4， 2.
 of Upper Egypt，king；plur．$\ddagger=418$
 $n s y$（？）be king，§ 292 ；$\ddagger 4$ ）$\quad n s y t($ ？$)$ king－ ship；挌 $n$－sw－bit（§55）king of Upper and Lower Egypt，p．73；pr－nsw，see under $\operatorname{pr} ; \ddagger^{\circ}$ s3－nsw king＇s son；sim． with $s s t, m w t$ ，sn，snt，hmt daughter， mother，brother，sister，wife．
$\leftrightarrows \circ$ nswt flame，fire，cf．$n s r t$ below．
$\stackrel{7}{\square} n s b$ lick．
 the uraeus－goddess．
$\bar{\sim} \cap \propto A n s r$ burn，blaze（vb．）；nsrt flame，cf． nswt above．
$=\triangle n_{s}^{t}$ supplant，drive away，$h r$ from．

Dek Nsmt，the sacred bark of Osiris at Abydus．
－${ }^{\circ}$ abbrev．$\diamond n s m t$（K 6）fish－scale．
－＂
$\triangle$ Co．o．nkrwt（ $\mathrm{D}_{51}$ ）notched sycomore figs． $\triangle$ 通 $n k m$ be in pain，sorrow．
$-n k d d$ ，see under $k d d$ below．
$\bar{\sim} \sim n k$ copulate．
－$n k 3(y)$ reflect，$m$ upon；cf．$k 3 i$ below．
＝
$\cdots \times n k t$（m．）a little，a trifle．
区科 $n g$ a species of bull．
$\cdots \times n g i$ break open；$n g t$ breach．
프ㅇㅡㅡㄹ nggs overflow，§ 276.
－
－${ }^{0} n t-p w$ it is the fact that，$\S \S 190,2 ; 494,3$ ．
all nty who，which，§§ 199－201；antecedent mainly defined，§ 199 ；origin，forms，and writing，$i b$. ；foll．by $n b, i b$ ．；foll．by adv． pred．，§ 200，I；do．with inserted subj．， $\S 200,2$ ；in pred．of cl．with $p w$ as subj．， §200 a（p．424）；foll．by dep．pron．；§ 200， 2 ；by suffixes，$i b$ ．；$i z w$ suppressed after， § 107， 2 ；foll．by sdm．f（imperf．§ 443）， § 201 ；by sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f, i b$. ；do．negated by н n，p．334，top；with construction $n$ sdmt．f．§ 402 ；foll．by pseudo－verbal con－ struction，§ 328 ；nty wn，§ 201，Obs．；ntyw $i m$ those who are there，i．e．the dead， p．123，n． 6 ；ntt izett what is and is not， i．e．everything，§ 203， 4.
$\cdots{ }^{-} \downarrow n t b$ be parched．
＂$n t f$ indep．pron． 3 rd sing．m．，he，§ 64；be－ long（s）to him，§ I $14,3$.
$\approx n t f=n t y \cdot f$ which he $\ldots$ ，§ 200， 2.
$\overline{=}=$ ntf irrigate，water（vb．）．
＝$\times$ 缁，see above under $n f t$ ．

$\stackrel{-}{a} \|$ var．$=n t s$ indep．pron． 3 rd sing．f．，she， § 64.
 3 rd pl．c．，they，§ 64.
픔영 $n t s$ besprinkle．
등 $n t k$ indep．pron．2nd sing．m．，thou，§64； belong（s）to thee，§ I $14,3$.
－
－ac ntt conj．，that，§ 237；foll．by dep．pron．as subj．，§44， 2 ；introducing noun cls．，§ 187 ； after preps．，§ $223 ; r$－ntt，$h r$－ntt，etc．，see under $r, h r$ ，etc．；foll．by subj．＋old perf．， § 329 ；foll．by parts．and rel．forms，$\S 400$ ； in interrog．in $n t t, \S 494$ ，I． 2.
＝$n t \underline{\text { t }}$ ，later $-n t t$ ，indep．pron．2nd sing．f．， thou，§ 64.
 pl．c：，you，§ 64.
 O．K．writing with suffix－pron．，p．432， n． 3 ；१ $n t r n f r$ the good god，title of the king，p．75；it－ntr，see under it；havt－ $n t r$ ，see under $h w o t ; T$－$n t r$ ，see under $t_{3}$ ；
 $7 \stackrel{\beta}{i} \| n t r y$, O．K．$n t r(i)$ ，（be）divine；$s n t r$
 （R 7），incense．
－${ }^{-}$．$n d b$ cover，overlay，$m$ with（metal）．
도용 $n d b w t$ area，full extent．
$\dagger .{ }_{-} n \underline{d}$（Aa 27；W 24）grind；miller．
†o通 $n \underline{d}$ ask，inquire，$m$－r from（someone）；$n \underline{d}$ $\left.r()_{1}\right)$ take counsel，$h r$ for；$n d \underline{d} w t-r$ counsel
（n．）；$n \underline{d} \underline{h} r$ greet，$n(i)$ someone，see too ind $h r$ above；$n d t-h r$ homage，gifts；$n \underline{d}$ hrt inquire the health of ；$n d$（det．－）$i s t$ confer rank，$h r$ on（someone）；nd $n \underline{d}$ det．通 converse，take counsel．
$\dagger$ © $n d$ save，$m-r$ from（someone）；ndty pro－ tector．
†op nd thread（n．）．
気．．var．気 $n d t$ subjects，serfs；cf．$d t$ below．
7 100 需 $n d y t$ baseness．
T通 $n d z$ be parched，stifled．
§ $\downarrow$ dm（M 29）（be）sweet，agreeable；ndm－ $i b$ joy，happiness；if $n d m$ ，a species of tree；sndm sweeten，make pleasant；det． \＆sit，§ 275.
to to $n d n d$ ，see under $n \underline{d}$ above．
宣多 $n d r i$ catch hold of，hold firm；ndrt im－ prisonment．
ㄱํㄴ nd $h t$ ，O．K．nhdt，tusk；see too nhdt above．
ㄱ abbrev．nds（G 37）（be）small，poor， feeble；dim（of eyes）；det．迢 poor man， commoner；$n d s w$ poverty．

$$
\sigma r\left(\mathrm{D}_{21}\right)
$$

－r prep．，with suffixes rarely $\<i r$ ，to，at， concerning，more than，from；as conj．，so that，until，according as，§ 163．Before noun or infin．conveys futurity or purpose， §§ 84．122．163，4．10；304，3；332． 333 ； $r m$ to what purpose？，$\S 496$ ；in compound preps．，$\S$ § $_{1} 78-8 \mathrm{I}$ ；to form advs．，$\S 205,5$ ； $r 3 w \cdot f, r \underline{d} r \cdot f$ entire，§ Ioo，I． $3 ; r-n t t$ in－ asmuch as，$\S 223$ ；to the effect that，$\$ \S 187$ ， Obs．；225；rdd that，saying，§ 224．See too ir，irf，$r f$ ．
－r part，in fractions，§ 265 ；ro，smallest measure of capacity $=\frac{1}{320}$ hekat，§ $266, \mathrm{I}$ ．

울 $r$ ，a species of goose．
ir（originally $r$ 3，p．429，bottom）mouth， utterance，spell，language，door；师号 st－r occasion for speech，authority；
 location of the great limestone quarries， Gk．Tpoía；$r$－r place，state ；as prep．， var．$\triangle 1-r-r-r$ ，beside，near，§ 178 ；-101 $r-c-h t$ warfare，see under $h r w$ below； $r$－rwy hands，activity of hands；if 皆 $r$－wst path，place of passage；


 particularly that under the protection of the god Sokar of Memphis； $r-d_{3} w$ fight，battle．
在 $r \cdot i$ ，as encl．part．with ist sing．，§ 252，I．
$\rightarrow \odot$ var．det． $\mathfrak{a}$ abbrev．${ }_{i}, r\left(\mathrm{~N}_{5}\right.$ ．6）sun；
 det．进，（C 1．2）Re Rēe，the sun－god； ${ }^{3} \operatorname{Rr}$ son of Rēe，as epithet of king，p．74；月 $R \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{Hr} \mathrm{-}$－ghty（G9）Rē－Harakhti．號 ${ }^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ gateway，outside ；$r w t y$ double
 rwyt（ E 23）gateway，place of judgement．
I $\mid \triangle r w i$ cease，make to cease；depart，$r$ from （place，something）；var．det．（A 33） wander．
B var．© rwd（O40）stairway．

－에 rwd，O．K．rwd，（be）hard，vigorous， flourishing；srwd（srwd）make to flourish （varr．with $\triangle l-r d$ by confusion with vb．for＇grow＇）； 0 ） rwdt hard stone，sandstone．
Sis rwd control，administer；controller， executor．
에이 rpyt statue（of female）．

In var．$\square_{\square}^{-1} r$－pet（iry－prt）prince，hereditary prince；$\square_{0-\infty} r t$－prt（irt－prt）princess．
$\underbrace{\infty}_{\infty} r f$ ，var．$\|_{\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{irf}$ ，encl．part．used for emphasis， also with wishes，commands，questions， etc．，§§ 66．I 5 2．252， $\mathbf{3}$ ；after pl．imper．， § 337,3 ；after perf．śd $m \cdot f$ in wishes，§ 450 ， 4 ；ist rf sentence－adv．，now，§§ II9， 2 ； I 52.
$\propto \& r m\left(\mathrm{~K}_{5}\right)$ fish（n．）．
$\mathbb{B} \infty$ var．det．and abbrev．Ar rmi（D 4．9） weep，beweep；rmyt weeping（n．）．
$-\stackrel{\mu}{\mu} r-m n$ ，see under $m n$ above．
 side（one of the two sides）；』ـ山一巛 $r m n$ carry（on shoulder）；$\curvearrowleft r m n$ ，measure of area，$\frac{1}{2}$ aroura $(s t s t), \S 266,3$ ．
—umm Rmnn Lebanon，Hebr．
 also as collective，var．©鲾，rmtt，§ 77， 4 ．
＠$\quad \mathrm{rn}$ name；as logical subj．，§ 127，i；var． det．$\square$（V io）king＇s name ；rn wr great name（of king），p． 7 I ；rn $n$ nbw name of gold，i．e．golden Horus name，p．73； $i m y-r n \cdot f$ ，see under imy above．
＠rn young（of cattle，antilopes，etc．）．
 det．and abbrev．追号（M 39）vegetables and fruit；$\left\{_{1}^{\circ} \mathrm{rnpt}(\mathrm{M} 4)\right.$ year；$\left\{_{\ominus}^{\bullet}\right.$ ，see under $h 3 t$ below；$\sqrt{ }$ ，see under wpi above．
영 $r n n$ praise，belaud；rnnwt jubilation， § 287.
气䠘rnn（B6）nurse，rear（vb．）．
$\Longrightarrow$ Rnnwtt Ernūte（t），the cobra－goddess of the harvest，Gk．$-\rho \mu o v \theta \iota$.
©宛 rri（E I2）pig；rrt sow．
$\underset{\square}{\sim}$ var．det．${ }^{\circ} r h n(A 19)$ lean，$h r$ upon．
Пெढ rhdt jar，cauldron．


Q 1 rh learn，become acquainted with，know ； foll．by śdm•f（imperf．§442，i），§ I 84 ；by infin．，§ 303 ；by $n t t$ ，§ $45^{2}, 2$ ；with active sense in old perf．，§§ 312,$1 ; 320$ ；with pres．meaning＇know＇in sdm $\quad$ ．$n \cdot f$ ，§414， 4 ；in rel．$s \underline{d} m w v \cdot n \cdot f$ ，§ 389,3 ；in negation n rh．f，p．376，top；rh－ht（ man ；$r$－rht to the knowledge of，§ 178 ； $\nexists_{m}^{\infty}$ var．$\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\boldsymbol{e}}$ ，old title interpreted in M．E．as＇acquaintance of the king＇；srh det．denounce，accuse；srhy accuser．
 people，common folk．
－ 1 rhs（T30）slaughter（vb．）．

盈＂通 rhty（G50）fuller，washerman．
－｜rs encl．part．，§ 252， 4.
Olo var．rs（T I3，also inaccurately with 1 U 40）（be）wakeful；Yoi rs tp vigilant；rswt dream；srs awaken．

○ $\prod_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\prime \prime}$ quite，at all，§ 205， I ．
 south wind．


$\underset{\Delta}{\sim} \longrightarrow r k i\left(D_{41}\right)$ incline（intrans．）；bend；$r k t-i b$ envy，hostility ；$r \underline{k} w$ ，also $r k-i b$ ，det． disaffected one，rebel ；rkw det．$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta}$ tilting （ n ．，of scale of balance）．
Єr•k，as encl．part．with 2nd sing．m．，§ 252， 2.
छ๑rk time，period．
Okf rkh（Q 7）burning，heat．

Ol！rth restrain．
©r•t，var． $4_{0}^{\infty} i r \cdot t$ ，as encl．part．with 2nd sing．f．，§252， 2.

○筫出，see $r m t$ above．
$\omega_{m \times n}^{\infty} r \cdot \underline{t n}$ ，var． $4 \infty \quad \ddot{2} \cdot \underline{t n}$ ，as encl．part．with 2nd plur．，§ 252， 2.
$\Rightarrow \sim R \neq n w$ Retjnu，Eg．name for Palestine and Syria．
$\Leftrightarrow \Omega r d(\mathrm{D} 56)$ foot $; \Omega \Omega r d w y$ the two feet； ist $p-r d$ rules，order，principles．
$\otimes r d$ ，see $r w d$ above．
SU\｜var．det．则 $r d$（M 31．32）grow；also inaccurate for $r w d$ ，see above ；srd cause to grow，plant．
$\AA$ var．$\& r d i$ ，with related forms $\Delta, \square d i$ （X 8；D 37），give，place，§ 289，1；cause， foll．by śd $d m \cdot f$（perf．§ $45^{2}$ ，I ；imperf．only $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$ ．rarely，§442，1），§§70．184；by wn $\cdot f$ ，§ 118,2 ；by śd $m \cdot f$ of adj．vbs．， § 143 ；by obj．＋old perf．，§ 315 ；give， grant，foll．by infin．，§ 303 ；special uses and phrases，see under $i b, h_{3} w, h r, h t p$ ，
 $d i(w)$（X 8）provisions，rations．

$$
\square h\left(\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)
$$

$\square_{\square} \cdot h\left(\mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ room（？）．
匹 var．『 $\triangle h_{3}$ ha，ho，$\$ 887.258$ ．
匹 $\Delta h_{3 i}$ go down，descend，fall；attack（vb．）； shsi send down，cause to fall．
国 hisw environment，neighbourhood， time ；$m$－hzw in the neighbourhood of，at the time of，§ 178 ；det．界 $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ neighbours， kindred．

 portal；smsw hyt elder of the portal，usher （in the Palace）．
覌 $ل h_{3} b$ send，$n$ to（someone），$h r$ about （something），i．e．send a message（in writ－ ing or otherwise）．
roor hikr，name of a feast．
r｜㡀 $\Delta h i-m s: m h i-m s$ approaching in humble attitude．
 （A 32）jubilation，jubilate．
 $\square \downarrow \wedge h b$ enter，penetrate into ；$h b h b$ traverse， explore．
민 $h b$（G 26．26＊）ibis．
$\square \searrow \lambda b\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{U}_{1}\end{array}\right)$ plough（n．）．
 § $266, \mathrm{I}$ ．
$\geq$＂
吅 hp law．
途 kmt fare，payment to ferryman．
回 hmhmt roaring，war－cry．
■ $ص$ var．det．$曰 h n(\mathrm{Q} .5$ ．6）box，chest．
믕 $\quad h n w$ ，a liquid measure of about $\frac{1}{2}$ litre， hin，§ 266，I．


 rely，$n, h r, m$ on．
枵 $h r^{i}$（hrw？）be content，pleased，quiet； $h r t$ contentment，quiet；shri make con－ tent．
Oo var．$\uparrow h r w$ day，day－time ；irt hrw nfr make holiday；$\underline{h} r t-h r w$ ，see under $\underline{h} r$ below；hrwyt det．$\uparrow$ journal．
口ロ＂$h r p$ sink，be submerged；$h r p i b$ suppress thoughts．
$\square \equiv \square h r m w$ enclosure for poultry，pen．
明 $h h$ hot breath．
${ }_{\square}^{\mathrm{a}} h k s$ be deficient；stint．
$\square \rightarrow h d$ punish，defeat；（victorious）attack．
$\boxed{\square}=-h d m w$ footstool．

$$
\frac{\&}{\ell}(\mathrm{~V} 28)
$$

■号，see under hwo below．
Tㅠㅇ $\mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{~N} 25)$ the desert－god Ha．
通组 var．$h_{3}$ non－encl．part．，would that！， § 238 ；in sents．with adv．pred．，§ I 19，7； with nom．pred．，§ 133 ；foll．by perf．$s$ dem．f， $\S 450,5, b$ ；by $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 414,3$ ；by pseudo－ verbal construction，$\S 324$ ，end ；as noun ＇wish＇，＇would that＇，§ 238 ，end．
甬 $h_{3}$（D I）back of head；prep．，behind，

 $n b t$ above．

前 1110 hat ib grief，sadness．
윤 hst（F 4）front ；$m-h_{3} t, r-h 3 t, \underline{h} r-h 3 t$ in front of，before，§ 178 ；$\underline{h} r-h 3 t$ formerly，§ 205 ， 2；imy－h3t prototype，example；imyw－hst det．Al ancestors；$I 3 t-r$ beginning，$m$ of（a book，instruction）；\｛ ${ }_{\Theta} h_{3} t-s p$ regnal year，p．204；Dil hity heart，breast；Dis $h_{s} t$ prow－rope（of a ship）；${ }^{2}$ sty－r（§55）

 shisy lay bare，reveal．
 crease（vb．）；$m$－$h_{3} w$ in excess of， 178 ； $m-h 3 z w-h r$ in addition to，except，§ 178 ；




左 $h 3 k$ plunder（vb．and n．）；is－h3k，see under $i s$ ．
范草 hityw linen．
 strike，beat，drive in（mooring post）；tread
（a road）；hii $t_{3}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~m}}{ }^{1}\right)$ go a－wandering；hii
 see under $s d d$ ．
\｛－0 hr piece of flesh，member；pl．，abbrev．§ （F 5 I）flesh，body；－self，with suffixes，$\S 36$ ．

\}- Wh hri rejoice; herwt joy, § 287 ；shri make to rejoice．
\＆－0 hew（P1）ships．
if hes（O 29）child，lad．
 （of Nile）；Hacpy，the god of the Inunda－ tion．
$\left\{-1\right.$ h $h d_{3}$ pillage，plunder；plunderer．
$\} \rightarrow H z$（F I8）Hu，deity personifying Authoritative Utterance ；he hood， sustenance．
 §§ IIq，8； 238.
■的 hwt（O 6）house，temple，tomb；walled
 hwot－ntr temple；凫合 hwt－rst（O 8）castle， also of temples；$\square \hat{U} \square h w t-k$ soul－house， tomb－chapel；var． $H t-h r$（О ıо； C 9$)$ the goddess Hathor， Gk．${ }^{\text {A }} \theta \hat{\omega} \rho$ ；$N b t$－hwt，see under $n b$ ．
组（hws rot，decay（vb．）．
组 hwer（be）short ；shwer shorten．
 hwont maiden．
 wretch；bw hworw wretchedness，misery； shwor abuse，vilify．
Soth hrotf rob，plunder（vb．）．
d⿴囗⿰丿㇄
 \＆ under $\underline{h} r$ below ；$⿴ 囗 \downarrow$ d $b$ triumph（vb．）；
 make festal．

$l$ 阶 $h b s$（S 28）clothe，cover；hbsw clothes， clothing．
To ${ }_{0} H p(w y)(S 36)$ Hepuy，a deity personi－ fying the king＇s two sunshades．
Abl $h p t(\mathrm{Aa} 5 ; \mathrm{P} 8)$ oar．
A hpt（Aa 5），literal meaning obscure； $i t i$ hpt proceed by boat；$d s r$ hpt row （vb．）．

${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \cap \operatorname{hint}$（D 32）embrace（vb．and n．）．
 serpent ；hfit det．多 crawling（n．）．
母 hfn（I 8）100，000，§ 259 ；construction of， § 262， 2.
 var． f 保 hm Majesty，foll．by suffix－prons．
 $h m-n t r$ prophet，the highest grade of priests；山 var．$\uparrow$ hm－$k 3$（D 3I）soul－priest， $k a$－priest，appointed to tend the funerary cult of private persons．
18 hmww（U36）washerman，fuller．
 assuredly，indeed，§ 253 ．
 § 288.
묭 hmt woman，wife ；hmt nsw king＇s wife， queen ；st－hmt，see under $s(s i)$ below．
$\nabla_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ ，see under $i d t$ above．
$\square \wedge \mathrm{hm}$ poltroon．
－${ }^{2}$ hmw（ P Io）steering oar；hmy steers－ man．
$\nabla_{1}$, ，perhaps later read $h m t(?)(\mathrm{N} 34)$ copper， bronze；see too under $b i z$ above．
hmww（U24）craftsman；$h m t$ craft；
 $h m w-i b$ clever，skilful．

与通吅，hmigt，a red stone from Nubia．
O．hmsi（A 3．17＊）sit down，sit，dwell； besiege，$h r$（a town）；chr $h m s i$ ，see under rhe above；hmst session（e．g．of king and courtiers）；$h \mathrm{msw}$ sloth；$\backslash$ ㄹ $\mathrm{i} h \mathrm{~ms}$ occupant （in titles）．
1（1）hni（M2）rush（n．）．
l 1 Sh go；see too hnhn below．
ĺㅡㄴ $h n\left(\mathrm{U} 8 ; \mathrm{V}_{36}\right.$ ；Dyn．XIX），a receptacle given to a temple．
ㄴ․․ $h n(\mathrm{~V} 36)$ command；commend（some－ one），$n$ to（someone）；supply，equip，$m$
 pation．

l＂${ }^{\circ} \square$ hnt（W io）cup．
fon var．${ }^{\square}$ hnwt（ $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ г）mistress．
组
 $\xrightarrow{*}$ 亿 hnt（Fi6）horn．
边 hnw（U 8；G 1o），name of the sacred bark of the god Sokar．
$\left\{=\frac{m n c}{}\right.$ ，rare var．$l-h n$ ，prep．，together with， and（§9I，I）；as conj．，and，§ 171 ；foll．by infin．，§ 300，Obs．；adv．，var．k－in hncw therewith，together with them，§ 205，I． \＆ of Heliopolis ；mankind．
$l=$＝1 hnn（U 8，Pyr．）hoe（n．）．
血口hnn（D53）phallus．
组 $n h n h n$ be detained．
1（1）hns（G37）（be）narrow．

$\ell_{\Delta}^{\triangle} \triangle$ abbrev．© hnkt（W $22 ;$ §59）beer．
 offerings（of meat and drink）．

） $4 n t(y)\left(I_{3}\right)$ be greedy，covetous．
A $H r\left(\mathrm{G}_{5}\right)$ the falcon－god Horus； Hr － 3hty（N 19）Horus－of－the－horizon，Hara－ khti；see too under Re； $\mathbb{S}_{\otimes}^{\otimes} \operatorname{Hr} N h n y$ （G $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）Horus of Nekhen； Ht －hr，see under hwot above．
i $h r$（ $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ）face，sight；$m$ h $r \cdot f$ in his sight； $r d i \quad m h r n$ charge，command（someone）， $r$ to（do something）；$\underline{h} r \operatorname{st}-\mathrm{h} r \cdot f(\sqrt{\circ}$ 合im） under his supervision；$h r n b$ everyone， § 103.
i $h r$ prep．，with suffixes $\langle h r$ ，upon，in，at， from，on account of，through，and（ $\$ 9 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$ ）， having on it；as conj．，because，§ 165 ； before infin．，on，in，$\S \S 3$ ． 165 ，io；304，i； 319． 320.482 ；do．，from，after，§ 165，IO； infin．omitted，say（s），said，§ $32 \mathrm{I} ; h r-n t t$ because，§ $223 ; h r m$ why ？，§ 496 ；com－ pound preps．$h r-h w, h r-t p$ ，etc．，see under second word ；advs．，$h r r$ ，$h r$ rwy immedi－ ately，§ 205，3；®＂́ var．ص hry adj． （§79）who，which，is over，upon；captain；
 road，see too $h r$＇be far＇below；©in hrty travel by land； $\mathrm{P}^{\rho} ص$ shr fly aloft； 8 M hrt upland tomb；\｛ 5 hryw $r n p t$ the five epagomenal days，p．203；
 Hry－sff He－who－is－upon－his－lake，Arsa－ phes，the ram－god of Heracleopolis，Gk． ＇A $\rho \sigma a \phi \eta$＇s ；Hryw－še Beduins，lit．those－
 chieftain ；hry－r，also hrt－r，arrears； Q hrw upper part；r－hrw adv．，up，
 （n．）；$m$－$h r y-i b$ in the midst of，§ $178 ;$ \％ var．$\stackrel{\otimes \otimes}{8} h r y-i b(y)$ adj．，who is at（a town）， localizing deities worshipped away from their own home．
Q var．det．$\frac{n}{\square} h$ be far，$r$ from；$h r \cdot t i$ ， $h r$ tizwny $r$ ，keep away from，avoid，$\$_{313}$ ； hrow－r abbrev．${ }^{\circ}$ apart from，besides，
 $\operatorname{shr}$（A 59）drive away，banish．

80，hrrt（ $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ）flower．
\＆$\beta_{0}, 0, h r s t$ carnelian．
\} $\odot\}$ ，see $n h h$ above．
出 Hh（ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I}$ ），one of the eight Heh－gods who hold aloft the sky．
出 h h a great number，million，§ 259 ；con－ struction of，§ 262， 2 ；hh $n$ many，§ 99.
捲＂ s h．$h$ seek．
$\ell_{1} \eta_{1}, h s$（Aa 2，cf．F 52 ；N 32）excrement．
§i hst（W 14）water－pot．
絧言 hs freeze．
${ }^{\ell} \ell_{\mathrm{I}}^{6} \mathrm{~s}$ hs turn back，intrans．or reflexive；turn in homeward direction；$m h s$ in meeting （someone），in front of（someone）．
l． praise，favour（n．）．
斯险 hsi（O．K．hsi）sing ；hsw singer，f．hsyt．

 $t p-h s b$ right calculation，right order ；$\times h s b$ （hieratic）$\frac{1}{4}, \S 265 ; \frac{1}{4}$ aroura，§ 266,3 ．

则 amethysts；det．or abbrev．\．J．bronze．
k ${ }^{\wedge} \triangleq h s k$ cut off，hew off：
$\ell_{\Delta}^{\Delta}$ ®，see $h n k t$ above．

${ }_{i}^{1}$ var．$\left.l_{a}^{\Delta}\right\} h k(3) t\left(\mathrm{~S}_{3} 8\right)$ sceptre．
 tain；det．嚊 Ruler，i．e．the king；吅 $h k k_{3}$－ hwot village headman．
 gallon，§ 266．I．
组昷 var．det．\＄hkr（be）hungry；hkrw hunger．
［U通 hks magic；hkzy magician；Wrt－hksw Great－of－Magic，goddess identified with the royal crown，p．190，n．i．
红 var．det．重 $h k n$ exult，$m$ at ；$h k n z v$ exulta－ tion，praise（n．）．


强苗蒙 htzw（ $\mathrm{P}_{5}$ ）sail（n．）．
$\underset{\Delta}{\square}$ var．hieratic $\}_{-1}^{\circ} h t p(R 4)$ rest，go to rest， set（of sun）；（be）at peace，pleased，$h r$ with；forgive，$n$（someone）；rest，obj． upon，§ $84 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{p} .423$ ；$h t p$ ，$h t p w$ peace（n．）； $\stackrel{ \pm}{\Delta a}$ var．O．K．$\stackrel{\star}{i} t p\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ altar，table of offerings；$h t p(w)$ ，$h t p t$ det．, 11 offerings； $h t p w-n t r$ offerings to the gods；$h t p t-d f ;$ food－offerings；$d b h t-h t p$ ，see under $d b h$ ； $\ddagger_{i}^{\circ} \Delta$ htp di nsw a boon which the king gives，opening words of the formula of funerary offerings，p．170；shtp propitiate， pacify．
期的 htmt（Q I，Dyn．XIX）chair．
 shtm destroy；shtmw destroyer．
lo［－htr（M 6）tax（vb．），assess；tax（n．）．
边 htr（E 6）pair of horses；det．妞 pair of oxen（for ploughing）．
doly hts（U 33）celebrate（a feast）．
 make a halt，$h r$ at（a place）．
ir $h d(\mathrm{~T} 3)$ mace．
${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ © $h d$（be）white，bright；hd－hr cheerful， bright； light ；$h d-t_{3}(\overline{\mathrm{Tr}})$ dawn（vb．），lit．the earth becomes light ；dawn，morning（n．）；shd illumine，make clear；$\uparrow \uparrow$ shd in titles，in－

 （of Upper Egypt）； 90 T $h d t$ white cloth； see too under $t$ bread．
组e日通 $h d n($ L．E．）be vexed；shdn（M．K．）vex．

$$
\hat{\theta}\left(\mathrm{Aa}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)
$$

－$h$ ，in some words substituted，usually later， for $-\underline{h}$ ，under which must be sought writings not found here．
if ht（Q 7）fire．
© 111 var．without det．ht（O．K．ibt）things， property，f．，§ 92， 2 ；bt nbt everything， anything，§ 103 ；something，anything， m．，§ 92， 2.
$q_{1} 1_{1}$ bsw（M 12 ；§5）lotus－plants；plants （generally）．
q h3 1000，§ 259 ；construction of，§ 262， 2 ； $q_{\bar{I} 1} k_{3}-t_{3}$ ，var．$q_{2} k_{3}$ ，measure of area of Io arouras $(s t 3 t), \S 266,3$ ．
\＄四 $h_{3}(\mathrm{O} 27$ ）administrative office，diwân．
\｛ ${ }^{(1)} h_{3 i}(\mathrm{U} 9)$ measure（vb．）；hzw measurer； bsy det．© measuring cord ；see too $\mathrm{mb}^{3}$ ， mbst above．
\＄罗 abbrev．$-63 i$（D 40）examine（a patient）．


 \＄ $144^{4 \mu} b_{3} y(t)\left(R_{2}\right)$ ，table of offerings．
 late evening．
？has throw，put，leave，desert ；throw down（hippopotamus）．


\＄（as）$\sim H 3 r w$ Khor，name of Palestine or a part of it（Dyn．XVIII）；Hir det． ${ }_{111}^{M}$ Khorians．
in $h 3 h$ hasten，move quickly；sh3h hasten （trans．）．
M var．${ }_{8}^{1}$ in $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right.$ 25）h3st hill－country，foreign land；histyw desert－dwellers．
Q $\|_{\text {hri（ }}(\mathrm{N} 28$ ）shine，appear（of sun，gods，or king）；brw det．并appearance in glory； $\nabla_{1,1} n b$ hrw lord of the crowns，epithet of the king ；shri make shine forth．
 of warfare．
Qus her rage（vb．）．
A （n．）；晶 hw（Aa I）exclusion，in we hr hw．f


－ 1 胡 hwosi（A 34）build，accomplish；shzos det．\＃deck out．
 rich．
－ $\int_{3}^{24}$ hbi（A 32）dance（vb．）．
O $\quad \times h b i\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { g }\end{array}\right)$ lessen，subtract．


© ${ }^{\prime} \times h b n$（be）guilty；hbut crime；hbnty criminal．
थ $\|$ 』 hbs（U 6．7）cultivate，hoe（vb．）；hbsw det．，${ }_{1}$ ，abbrev．${ }_{\text {dII }}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { g }) \text { ploughlands．}\end{array}\right.$
Q $\triangle$ o ha hbst tail，beard；hbstyw det． $\mathbb{m}|\hat{M}|$ the bearded ones，i．e．the inhabitants of Pwēne（t）．
－ 1 s $h b d$ blame，disapprove of．
 $Z$ 6）decease，death；shpi bring（offerings）； 요엔 $h p p$ strange．
能＇$h \operatorname{prr}$（L i）dung－beetle，scarab．
䦶 very rare var．昌 $\operatorname{hpr}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ come into existence，become，happen；sometimes used as pass．of iri make；hprt occur－ rence ；Hpri det．通 Khepri，the sun－god at his rising；$h p r w$ det． $1_{111}$ forms，stages of growth；$h p r-d s \cdot f$ lees，dregs；shpr create，bring to pass，train．

 arm，strength ；det．$\sim$（ $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ 6）scimetar．
－－var．det．$\bullet h f($（D 49）seize ；grip，grasp （n．）．
$\circ$ var． with，corresponding to ；as conj．，when， according as；with infin．，at the time of， when．§ 169 ；$r-h f t, r-h f t-h r$ in front of， § 178 ；hft－ntt in view of the fact that， § 223；hft－hr presence（n．）；in front of， § 178 ；hftw，hft，adv．，accordingly，§ 205， 1 ；hfty det．© ，$\longrightarrow$（A 14；Z 6）enemy． －$-h m$ not know，（be）ignorant of ；A－ 18 实 ihm－sk Indestructible，lit．not－know－ ing－destruction，name given to a circum－ polar star，$\S 272$ ；$\quad m-h m t$ in the absence of，without，§ 178 ；see too smh and $s h m h-i b$ below．
－${ }^{7} \mathrm{~h} m$ be dry；hmw dust．
－varr．－ Letopolis，a town in Lower Egypt．
－ －var． D 35）shrine．
－A－hmet handle（of oar）．
三〇〇 Hmnz El－Ashmûnên，Hermopolis，a town in Upper Egypt，§ 260.
$\equiv$－ak hmntyw，ships of a special kind．
$\ominus_{-1}^{\ominus}$ ㄴ $h m t$ three，§ 260；do for third time，§ 292 ； ${ }_{-}^{\text {－＇＇O＇}} \mathrm{hmt}-n w$ third，§ 263；介 hmt rw（？） three quarters，§ 265.
 § 184, I．
 utterance，saying ；huw det．乌ウ dwell－ ing－place，chapel ；hnt expenditure ；hnyt det．W female）dancers，musicians；shny settle down，alight，halt，$h r$ at ；see too $m s h n$ above．
$\overbrace{\square}^{\circ} h n p$ 1．rob，despoil ；2．offer．
－1 $\Omega$ hnm 1. smell（vb．）；hnmw smell（n．）； 2．give pleasure to（someone），$m$ with； hnmw in friendly，cheerful fashion，§ 205，4．
 carnelian．
 friend；det．呼 associate with（obj．）．
－ strain；$h n(r) i$ det．$\rightleftharpoons$ prisoner；$\Leftarrow$ hnrt，varr．$\omega_{0} \omega \square, \theta_{\square}^{\circ} \operatorname{lnt}(\mathrm{p} .20 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{I})$ ， harim，prison．

 Hnsw Khons，the moon－god at Karnak．
－$\underbrace{\sim}$ hns stink（vb．）．
lintw（ $\mathrm{W}_{17}$ ）racks for water－pots．
俭 det．）within，out of，§ 178 ；中解 var． imy－hnt，a priestly title；${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ var．$h n t$ prep．，in front of，among，from，§ 174 ； hnty adj．，to the fore in，in front of，§ 79； hntt－r southward to，§ 179 ；shnt advance （someone，in rank，etc．）；hnt det．ㅍ front part；pri r hnt go forth abroad；hntw adv．，before（of time），§ 205，I；hnti det．
（ $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ）sail south，upstream；go farther south than，obj．（earlier kings）．
－$\Theta \square$ ，see under hnr above．

al hnt－s wooded country，garden．

$\Theta$－$\Omega \wedge$ hnd（D 56）tread，$h r$ upon．
© $h r$ prep．，with，near；under（a king）；（speak） to，§ 167 ；by（of agent），§ 39，end ；$n(y)$ hr nsw from（Fr．de par）the king，§ 158 ， I ；hrt det．${ }_{11}$ ，what belongs to（someone or something）；hrt－ib desire，wish．
$\theta$ emr（A 15）fall（vb．and n．）；© abbrev．hrw fallen one，i．e．conquered enemy，see too hrwy below；shr abbrev． ga overthrow（vb．）．
\＆ part．，and，further，§ 239 ；in sent．with adv．pred．，§ II9， 5 ；with nom．pred．， p．105，n．6；with adj．pred．，§ 142 ；in hr sdm．f，hr．f śdm•f，§ 239；relation of these to $s d m \cdot h r \cdot f, \S 427$.
 f 今，$\downarrow$ hrw（P8）voice，sound；m3r－hrw，see under m3r above；fil varr．$f_{11}$ ，of $h r(y) \cdot f y$ + dep．pron．and／or noun，parenthetic， says，§ 437 ；$\triangleq h r+$ suffix，parenthetic， says，§ 436 ．
－ 1 M4 hrwy enemy ；hrwyt det．Har．
 of，undertake，make offering of；$\stackrel{\ddagger}{f}$ abbrev．\＆hrp director，leader；hrp nsty controller of the two seats（thrones），a priestly title ；hrp kst director of works， builder，architect；©
$\theta$ © $h r s$ bundle（of vegetables）．
：It earlier det．Y hh（F IO．I I）throat．
－员＂hs hy，var．ㅇ．hsy，bribe（n．）．
－リ．o．hsbd lapis lazuli．
－$\uparrow \hat{\beta}$ hsf（U 34）spin．
 punish，$n$（someone）；hsfw approach（n．）； $m$－$k s f w$ at the approach of，§ 178 ；+ ak var．det．$h s f i$ travel upstream．
－
 a way，ward off．
© $A$ ht fire，$\xlongequal[\Delta \| l]{\theta} h t$ things，see at beginning of letter $\boldsymbol{h}$ above．
${ }_{\square}^{\theta}$ a $\mathrm{Ht}(\mathrm{U} 30) \mathrm{Khatti}$ ，the land of the Hittites．
－＂．ht（ $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ ）wood，stick，tree（m．，§ 92，3）； abbrev．for ht $n$ nwh，see under wwh above；
 $r-h t$ under the authority of，§ 178 ．
－ั॰ $\Delta$ ht prep．，through，pervading，§ 175 ； m－n ht－ht prep．，throughout，§ 178 ．
$\sim_{0} \wedge h t$ 会 $\wedge m$－ht prep．，accompanying， after；before infin．，when；as conj．，before $s d m \cdot f$ ，after，when ；before sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ ，after， $\S \S{ }^{5} 56.178$ ；before pass．śd $m \cdot f$ ，after， §423， 3 ；before＇śdmt．f，after，§407， 2 ； before noun＋old perf．，§ 327 ；adv．，after－ wards，§ 205， 2 ；see too under imy above．
$\sim_{0} \Delta h t i$ retreat，retire；see too under hmi above； $\operatorname{\epsilon r}_{\circ} \hat{\theta}_{0} \wedge$ htht retreat，be reversed． －- －$h t i$ carve，sculpture（ vb ．）．
Hably hty（O40）terrace，ter－ raced hill．
－in htyw threshing－floor．
O 8 htm（ S 20 ）shut，close ；seal（vb．and n．）；det．$\square$ fortress ；htmt det．盖 con－ tract（n．）．
© $\quad$ hdi（ $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ）fare downstream，travel north．

$$
\infty \underline{h}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{32}\right)
$$

－h ：see here for various words also written （usually later）with $\theta(\underline{h}$ also often represents earlier $ص \xi$ ．
© ht（F 32）body，belly，f．，rarely m．，§ 92，4； det．其㝵 body of people，generation．
A hst（K 4，O．K．）oxyrhynchus，a fish．
分 9 varr．det．$\propto, 0$ h $3 t$（A 55．54；Aa 2） corpse ；irbt h $h_{3} t$ ，rbt $h_{3} t$ ，see under $i r b$ above．
An hat quarry，mine．

A ${ }^{\text {e }}$ habt（ V I），bent appendage of the red crown 4 ； 1 s 约 36 （VI．2），var．

 bend（arms，back）；hsmt－ht（ offerings．
A A abbrev．f har（V 19）sack，a large measure of capacity，§ 266 ， I ．
 widow．
A
－${ }^{\circ} 3 k-i b$ disaffected，rebellious；rebel．
－$\triangle \mathrm{hrm}$ ，var．$\Omega \wedge \mathrm{hrm}$ ，approach（ obj ．， with hostile intent）．
$\infty \quad \underset{\Delta}{\infty}$ hrk（ U 37 ）shave（vb．）；hrckw barber． $\infty_{0}^{\infty}$ ，abbrev． i，$_{1}$ hpw（Aa 2）sculptured re－ liefs．
$\therefore$ 国
品出 $h p n$ ，var．合。 $h p n$ ，fat（adj．）．
－- h $m s$ bend，obj．（the back）．
盛口
侖雨 $h n$ tent．
留 $\Delta n$ approach，$m$（someone）； interior，inside；det．©（royal）Residence；

the interior of，inside，§ 178 ；see too r－hnwty above．
盛 $=\square \underline{h} w(\mathrm{~N} 35)$ stream，brook．
프 ak hni（D 33）row，convey by boat；hanyt det．真，sailors；mernt ferry－boat；
 （A 22．21）statue（originally portable？）．
§ $n n m\left(\mathrm{~W}_{9}\right)$ join，become joined，obj．or $m$ with；छु
 Chnum，the ram－god of the First Cataract， Gk．X $\nu 0$ ôßıs．
5月 desert）．
子펑․ hnn destroy，disturb；hnnw det． turmoil ；cf．too shnn．
$\otimes \underset{\Delta}{\otimes}(\mathrm{T} 28)$ prep．，under，carrying，at（head or foot），§ 166 ；$\underline{h} r-\mathrm{r}$ in the charge of， § $178 ; \underline{h} r-h 3 t$ ，see under $h 3 t$ above；$\underline{h} r(y)$－c assistant，subordinate；bw h $\underline{r y} \cdot f$ the place

 priest，lit．holder of the ritual book；$\ddagger$ 愿 hry tp nsw he who is at the head of the
 duty；$m \underline{h r t-h r w ~(v a r . ~ 8 ~}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N} 7$ ）$n t r e n b$ in the course of every day； $9 \mathbb{M} \leadsto$ var．${ }^{2}$ $\underline{h r}(t)-n t r$（R 1о；p． $5 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n} .4$ ）necropolis； $\underline{h r t y}$－ntrdet．朋necropolis－worker；© hryw kinsfolk，household； $\mathbb{B N}^{\text {II }}$ hrw lower part；$h r-m-\underline{L} r w$ abashed，lit．face downcast，§ 194，end．
是多 abbrev．出通 $\underline{2} r d\left(\mathrm{~A}_{17}\right)$ child．
hsi（be）weak，feeble；of enemies，vile．
O ornament，also hhkryt；$\ddagger \hat{\AA}$ hkryt nsw king＇s ornament，title of a royal concubine；shkr adorn．
$\infty \downarrow^{4} h d b$ kill．

$$
\|_{1} \rightarrow s\left(\mathrm{~S}_{29} ; \mathrm{O}_{34}\right)
$$

$\cap \dot{s},-z$ ，signs for distinct consonants in O．K．， are no longer so distinguished in M．E．， and are here treated as a single consonant $s$ ．Note that the sequences $s y$ and $s s$ are particularly liable to metathesis．For the causatives in $s$－（ $\S 275$, I）see under the simple stems．
$\rightarrow-s\left(\mathrm{O}_{34}\right)$ bolt（n．）．
 nite，a man）；someone，anyone，§ 102 ；$s$ $n b$ everyone，each，§ 103；$\overline{\text { oll }}$ st（B i） woman；st－hmt woman．
 compounds with parts of body forms equivalents of Engl．abstracts，indicating activity of the part，ex．给 st－ib affection， lit．place of heart ；see also under $\mathrm{r}, r, h r$ ，
 see under $W$ sir．
－욮 st（G39）pintail duck．
－$m$ ，see smyt below．
道通 $s 3$（ $\mathrm{G}_{3} 39$ ）son，in filiations written with a hieratic sign giving rise to Dyn．XIX ○（H 8）；sz mr•f son－who－loves，epithet of Horus，king，or priest impersonating one of these，p．145，n． $2^{2}$ ；s3 $s$（ man of rank，lit．son of man；经 $s 3-t_{3}$ snake，lit．son of earth；see too under $n s w, R \mathrm{r}$ ；징ㄱㅇ sst daughter．
2（hieratic）$s 3$ ，land－measure of $\frac{1}{8}$ aroura（ $s t s t$ ）， § 266， 3 ．
首 older var．臽 $s 3$（Aa 17．18）back；in preps．， sometimes also as conj．，$m-s 3, r-s 3, h r-s 3$ after，§ 178 ；do．as advs．，§ 205， 2 ；rdi s3 turn the back，i．e．flee；put a stop，$r$ to．向向 var．苧 $s 3$ cattle－pen，door（？），outside．
䧑風 1 sst（Aa 17．18）outer wall．
$\mathrm{p} \rightarrow \mathrm{m}$
EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR
® $s 3 w y$（D 22 ；§ 265）two－thirds．
$\ell$＇var． m ，see under $s 3 w$ below．
脂通 $s 3 i$ I．be sated，$m$ with；ssw satiety； sssi sate，feed ；2．（be）wise，understand－ ing，cf．too ssrt below．
 $A_{\infty}^{\circ} i t \cdot f$ ）slow（as regards）his coming， i．e．impatiently awaited．
 protect；imper．foll．by śd $d m f$ beware lest， §§ $184.338,3$ ，varr．$s s t$ ，perhaps for $s 3$ twe， and $s 33$ ti old perf．，§ 313 ；foll．by infin． （rare），§ 303，or by noun，$\S 338,3$ ；s3w guardian；X ivar．册ss（V 16．17）protec－ tion，esp．magical；see too under stp； $\chi_{1}$ H $_{1}, s 3$ phylē of priests（Lesson XXIII，$a$ ； p．247，n．2），corps，regiment ；X magician ；imy－s3，see under imy．
通 siw beam，plank．
S Siw Sa el－Hagar，Sais，a town in Lower Egypt．
国 Siwt Asyât，Lycopolis，a town in Upper Egypt．
 variegated of feathers，epithet of the solar Horus．
数 $s 36$（ $\mathrm{E}_{17}$ ）jackal；dignitary，worthy．
的给 $\sin$ ssmourning．
 $s 3 i(r) w$ need（n．）；s3r det．贪 needy one．
用䛼－g保 sirt wisdom，understanding，cf． ssi，2．above．


 land given as reward；sshw det．装鱼界 neighbours；$m$－ssht in the neighbourhood
of，§ 178 ；$S_{3} h$ det．H 4 式，the constella－ tion Orion．

的 $\Delta \leq 1$ s（I 5）collect，gather together； with reflex．pron．，gird oneself，$r$ against．

 $\pi \| ी$ var．$\pi \xlongequal{\|}, ~ \pi s y$ who ？，what ？，which ？， § 499 ；hr sy isst wherefore ？，§ 500， 4.忍 see under sb－tw．
 she，her，it，§ 43 ；part．+ sy replacing 3 rd f．old perf．，§ 374 ，end ；use in archaistic texts before śd $d m \cdot f$, p．424，Add．to § $\mathbf{1 4 8}$, i． $\bigcap_{k}$ sy she，it，pron．compound，§ 124.
！var．Pyr．朋通 sist（ $\mathrm{S}_{32}$ ）piece of cloth． ص siz perceive，recognize；ص各 $\mathrm{Si}^{2} \mathrm{Sia}$ ， deity personifying Perception．
M——sin smear（vb．）．

则 $\triangle \sin$ I．hasten；2．delay，see under in above．
R－Ja seb castrate．
 rank，dignity；nobleman，worthy（n．）； det． $\mid$ mummy．
Ұi swot（ $\mathrm{M}_{23}$ ），a plant，perhaps sedge or scirpus－reed，p．73，n．io．
$\ddagger$ sw dep．pron． 3 rd sing．m．，he，him，it，§43； use in archaistic texts before s $s d m \cdot f$, p．424， Add．to § I48，I ；do．as non－encl．part．， $\S 240 ; 7$ swt old indep．pron． 3 rd sing． m．，he，very rarely f．，she，§ 64 ，with Obs．； as encl．part．，but，§ 254.
$\ddagger$ sw pron．compound，he，it，§ 124 ．
$\ddagger$ swt（F 44）leg of beef，tibia．

days；probably plur．of $\odot s w$＇day＇，as used in dates，p． 203.

$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{f}} \times$ var．${ }^{\times}$sws（Z 9）cut off（limb）；cut down（tree）．
ns $\operatorname{swn}$（ $\mathrm{T}_{\text {I I }}$ ）perish，suffer；sswn consume， destroy．
$\vec{O}$ 通 swuw（T it），var．O．K． $\overrightarrow{00} z i n$, physician．
险 abbrev．swn barter（vb．）；swnt barter，price ；iri swut trade（vb．）；$r$－swut in exchange for，§ 178 ．
 drink，§ 279 ；ssw（r）i make to drink．
险国 var．det．通 swh boast，$n$ about．
险道 swht（H8）egg．
险○冡 swt breeze（m．）．
號 swtwt walk，promenade（vb．）．
$\boldsymbol{I} \downarrow \wedge s b i(\mathrm{O} 35)$ go，pass，send；load（ship）； $s b t$ det．$\wedge$ 并 load，transport（n．）．
正运 sb－tw（？），si－tw（？）in quest of，seeking for， foll．by infin．，§ 181.
所 abbrev．本 $s b 3$（ $\mathrm{N}_{14}$ ）star．
 § 84 ；sbsyt det．－teaching，（book of） instruction ；＊o $\operatorname{sb} s t(y)$ pupil．

 （vb．），$h r$ against ；det．${ }^{2}$ r rebel（n．）．
$\uparrow$ リ

Pei sbh（O I4）wall in，enclose；sbht varr． det．$\square$ ，凩 $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{3} .3^{2}\right)$ gateway．

 ful ；ssbk honour（vb．）．
今告 var．det．岡 $\operatorname{Sbk}$（I 4．5＊）the crocodile－


 ssbt make laugh．
$\overline{\square a}_{\Delta}$ ospt（ O 50 ）threshing－floor．
$\overrightarrow{\square \odot} s p$ happen，in $-\vec{\square}$ it did not happen，never， foll．by śd $d \cdot f, \S \S$ ro6． 456 ；time，occasion， blameworthy action；$n s p$ together，at once，$\S 205,3$ ；© ${ }_{\text {in }} s p 2$ after group of signs to be repeated，e．g．after advs．，§ 207 ； to indicate reduplication，§ $274 ;\left\{{ }_{0}\right.$ ，see under $l_{3} t$ above．
$\bar{\square} \theta s p i$ remain over ；spyt remainder．
－$s p t\left(\mathrm{D}_{24}\right)$ lip，edge（of pool，etc．）；poilo abbrev．© spty（ $\mathrm{D}_{25}$ ）lips．
和的 $s p_{3}(\mathrm{~L} 5)$ centipede．

$\uparrow \circ$ var．$\underset{\circ}{\circ} \operatorname{spr}\left(\mathrm{F}_{42}\right)$ rib．
D $\Delta s p r$ approach，$r$（place or person）；det．通 petition（vb．），$n$（someone）；sprt peti－ tion（n．）；sprw，sprty petitioner．
Пロ＠̣ sph lasso（vb．）．
阿组 spht（F43）ribs of beef．
 （be）sharp，clever，ready；$s p d$ make ready； spdd supply（vb．），§ 274.
$\triangle \mathbb{B} S p d w\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{G}_{13}\end{array}\right)$ the god Sopd．
$\Delta \stackrel{\star}{\star}$ Spdt the dog－star Sirius；Sothis，the dog－ star as a goddess，Gk．$\Sigma \hat{\omega} \theta \mathbf{t s}$, p． 205.
$巾_{\circ}$ var．$\uparrow \odot s f\left(\mathrm{~N}_{5} ; \mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ yesterday；$m$ sf adv．，yesterday，§ 205， 3.

ت゙ロ sft sword（f．）．
를 $s f n$（be）gentle，kind．
䧄百 sft，O．K．sft，an oil for anointing．
تina sft，O．K．zft，slaughter（vb．）；slaughterer． 1 ，see stm below．
$\mid 1,-\infty-s$
滑 var．叫 $\operatorname{sm}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{I}\right)$ herb，plant．
 tend ；2．occupation，pastime．
 desert，necropolis．
\＄5 var．Ifosms（F36）lung．
If var． 1 sms unite，（be）united，$m$ with； take part，$m$ in（holiday）；smsy companion， participant，$n(y)$ in，lit．of；smiyt det．箕其 confederates；$s m 3$－$t 3\left({ }_{(T I}\right)$ reach land，i．e． be buried；burial；verge（of river）．
$1 \boldsymbol{S T}$ sms locks，hair－covered part of head．
际 $s m$ ，var． 3 sm（3）（§ 279），slay；红初sms（ S 3 I ）fighting bull．
\＆sm3（Aa 25），a priest of Min，Horus，etc．， whose function was to clothe the god．
附通 $s m i$ ，see under $m i$ above．
附足 smi lash（ n ．）
的気 smwn non－encl．part．，probably，surely， § 24 I ．

 （of king），courtier．
च̄lek $s m h$ skiff，light boat．
1R A $\operatorname{smh}$（D 35）forget（caus．of $h m$ ？）．
N abbrev．smsw（A 19．20）eldest， elder；see too hyt above．
周会通 smdt subordinates，staff（e．g．of temple）．
 suffix－pron．and dep．pron．3rd pl．c．，they， them，their，$\S \S 34.43$ ；as obj．usually re－ placed by $\bigcap_{\Delta} s t, \S 44$, I，Obs．；in archaistic texts foll．by śdm．sn，p．424，Add．to § $148, \mathrm{I} ; \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$＂sny，dual，they two，them two，early obsolete，§ 34 ．
\＄O，usually written II，snw（T 22）two，§ 260 ； foll var．${ }_{0}^{11} s n$－nw second，§ 263， 2 ；hr sn－
$n w \cdot s y$ adv．，a second time；$\sqrt{2} s n$ brother；
$\downarrow$＂－${ }^{\circ}$ snt sister；snsn det．\＃fraternize．
$\sqrt{2}=s n$ smell，kiss（vb．）；$s n t 3$ kiss the ground， $n$ before（god or king）；ssn，later usually snsn，sniff，breathe．
$f_{1}^{\circ}$ snt flagstaff．
1才흘 snt base－block．
$\cdots-1 \infty$ var． 1400 snw（X 4）food－offerings． $=\overline{-\infty}$ snt feast of the sixth day（of the month）．
戸ーロar．
$\rightarrow-\underset{\Delta}{\infty}$ var．${ }_{\Delta} \operatorname{sni}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4} .5\right)$ pass by，surpass； 촛․
－－ snt（ X 5 ）likeness ；$m$－snt－r in the like－ ness of，in accordance with，§ 180 ；示 1 snty image，duplicate；$-\downarrow \downarrow]$ snn image， portrait ；cf．too $s n n$ document．
$\mp \downarrow[\Omega s n b$ overleap（wall）；snbt det．［］wall．
－ل】 $\sqrt{ } \operatorname{snb}(t)\left(\begin{array}{ll}W_{14}\end{array}\right)$ ，jar of shape $\rrbracket$ ．
阬 $\operatorname{snb}$（be）healthy，rarely trans．heal，§ 274： health；abbrev．$\uparrow$ in $f!\rho$ ，see under $\mathrm{c} h \mathrm{~h}$ ； $\| \bar{J})$ snb－ib famine；snbb det．\＃converse （vb．）；ssub preserve，keep in health．
$\left\{\int^{*}{ }^{\circ} \operatorname{snf}\left(\mathrm{M}_{4}\right)\right.$ last year．

芜分 $\operatorname{snf}$（D 26）blood．
同乘 sum，see under wnm above．
－
－I
$\rightleftharpoons$ snn document，deed；see too under snt ＇likeness＇above．
际，通 snhy muster（troops，workmen，etc．）．
$f-\operatorname{mes} s n h$ bind．
－${ }^{2}$ var．det． $\operatorname{snhm}\left(\mathrm{L}_{4} ;\right.$ G 38）locust， grasshopper．
际隌 $\operatorname{sns}(y)$ praise，adore；snsw adoration．

二合 $\operatorname{snk}$（D 27）suckle．
陁\＆$s n t\left(\mathrm{~V}_{5}\right)$ ，var．$\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ \＆$s n t$ ，plan，plot out， found；snt，sntt det．\＆${ }^{\text {II }}$ ground－plan．
9fっ：sntr，see under ntr．
阿 var．道 snd（G 54）fear，$n$（someone）； foll．by sdm．f（imperf．，§442，I），§ 184 ； by infin．，§ 303；by $r+$ infin．，§ 163，10； $s n d w$, sndt fear（n．）；$m$－snd through fear （that），§ I8I ；sndw the timid man．
－ 3 sr ，kind of goose．
团 $s r$（ E io）sheep，ram，f．srt．
p － Ar （ E 27）foretell．
 office，magistracy．
䧄 $\Delta \operatorname{srt}\left(\mathrm{M}_{44}\right)$ thorn．
$\bar{O} 14 \circ \uparrow$ abbrev．$\uparrow$ sryt（S 35）military stan－ dard．
POD srwh tend（of physician）．
finf srf（be）warm；also abbrev．$\AA$（Q 7） warmth，temperature，passion．
Po丛 $s r f($（ $)$ take rest；repose（n．）．
陾需 $\operatorname{srh}(\mathrm{O} 33)$ banner，to contain Horus－ name，p． 72.
䧄 $\operatorname{srck}$ relieve，admit breath to（ $h t y t$ wind－
 scorpion－goddess Serke（t）．
院 srd glean．
䧋共 shi disorder，lawlessness．
 chamber；德 var．9T血 sh－ntr（ $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ ） divine booth，shrine of Anubis；$\| \begin{cases}1 \\ s\end{cases}$ counsel．
 blage．
10N1－shm，O．K．zhm（U32），pound（vb．）．
$\overrightarrow{-1}$ sh beat ；sht blow（n．）．
for ahw，see under wh above．
 shty peasant，fowler．
䧉 shs（probably caus．，with f．inf．）re－ member；foll．by $s d m \cdot f, \S 184$, I ；by infin．， $\S 303$ ；recall，$n$ to（someone）；sh3，shzw memory．
fo通 shzon（caus．）dispute（vb．and n．）．
f $\uparrow$ var．det．$-\operatorname{shm}(\mathrm{S} 42)$ ，sceptre of au－ thority．
\＃ （be）powerful；power（n．）；fl shm a Power， epithet of deities；sshm strengthen；f1 $\operatorname{shm}\left(\mathrm{S}_{42}\right)$ sistrum；for ${ }^{2}$ Slimt the lioness－ headed goddess Sakhme（t）．Gk．－$\sigma a \chi \mu$（s；
 potentate，magnate；foily shmty（ $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ ．6） the double crown of Upper and Lower Egypt，Gk．$\psi \chi$ д́́ $\nu \tau$ ．
Po shmb－ib recreation，sport，lit．distraction of heart，shmh caus．half－reduplication （ $\$ 274$ ）from him，see under this above．
$\bar{\Xi}_{\Delta} \backslash y \operatorname{shnt}\left(\mathrm{O}_{30}\right)$ post，support（of heaven）．
渞 shr plan，counsel，will，way of acting，state ； shry manager，commander．
$\because \Delta$ shs run（vb．）．
 （birds）；weave；make，form（d $d b t$ bricks）． fe其 shd（A 29）（be）upside down．
$\rightarrow \infty$ shl，var．$\vec{\theta}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ sh，（be）deaf；sh－hr $(f)$ turn a deaf ear，$r$ to．
除 $\sim$ 面多 $s h z k$ strain，empty to the last drop．
 drink）．
－ $\operatorname{sh} \mathrm{h} m$ exert oneself，act violently，cope with，obj．
际－ molish ；caus．of $\underline{h} n n$（？），see above．
nos $s h r$ cover，coat（a surface），$m$ with（gold， etc．）．

二别 ssmt（E 6）horse．
ח沏 $\int \operatorname{ssndm}$（ $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{29}_{2}$ ），a species of tree．
阶阵 var．det．－t ssh smash，destroy．
च pool，nest．
च्च，see under $s n$ ．
च ${ }^{\times} s s^{\text {r }}$ spread out．
瞅》 $s^{s}\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)$ write，draw，paint；writing，book，

 writing．
 （n．）．
च× $\times$ sszw（ $\mathbf{S}_{21}$ ）ring or disc（of metal）．
造城，see ssp below．

 show，$w s t$ the way；conduct，$h b$ a festival； det．\＃guidance，scheme，state of affairs； iry $s s m$ the proper official ；ssmw，ssmy leader；det．逆 divine shape，form．
$\rightarrow$ śsm（？）（T 33，O．K．）butcher．
abbrev． 1 sšn（M 9）lotus．
 royal linen，byssus； 8 ．o．\％ssw（ $\mathrm{N}_{33}$ ） bags；see too under $\delta s$ ．
 cern ；mi sšr（or $\left.\begin{array}{l} \\ s\end{array}\right)$ in good condition； $s s{ }^{\Sigma} r$（or $s s_{s}$ ）m3r a genuine remedy．

 corn．


旸 $5 s^{\circ} d$ gleam，glitter（like a star）．
lisa sšd head－band．
 skr－cnh det．living captive．
阬 $-0 \times$ skdi travel by water，fare upon（river， sea）；det．慍（A Io）sailor，traveller．
$\| \backsim s k$ ，see under isk above．
际 $\$ 10$（V 29，O．K．）wipe，sweep；sk（M．E．） empty（ $\underline{h} t$ body，of what one wishes to say）．
际 $s k i$ perish，destroy；pass（time）；ikm－sk， see under $l \mathrm{~lm}$ above；skw det．㸨， det． N $_{11}$ squadrons，companies；battle； － battle；sksk det．- destroy．
$18 \$_{00}^{0}$ ak，see $(m) s k t t$ above．

『ロT～ $\operatorname{skm}$（ $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ）grey－haired．
 var．det．逆 $\operatorname{Skr}$（G io），the god Sokar of Memphis．
榪通 sgr quiet（n．）；cf．too $g r$ below．
$\Gamma_{0}$ later var．－a st，dep．pron． 3 rd sing．f．and pl．c．，it，them，$\S 43$ ；as obj．of vb．，$\S 46, \mathrm{r}$ ； of infin．，§ 300 ；as obj．in $i t h \cdot t w$ st，p．4r， n． 2 ；relation to O．K．indep．pron． 3 rd sing．f．$s t t$ ，p． 46 ，n． 8 ；after particles， § 46,2 ；treated as m．，§ $51 \mathrm{I}, 5$.
$\eta_{1}^{\circ}$ i，st，pron．compound 3rd pl．c．，they，§ 124.
1－4t var． $7^{-1} \pm$ sti（F 29；O．K．$s t i$ ）shoot，obj． （arrow）；$r$ or obj．at（a person，a mark）； det．$\equiv-$ pour（water）；det．© stare at； later var．㭘 rays．
觔 $10 \circ \overbrace{1}$ ，see under $s t i$ below．
防谓，Styw Asiatics；see too under Stt below．
 at the First Cataract ；see too under Stt below．
 properly ist nome of Upper Egypt；
 sty（D 19）red（？）Nubian（？）pigment．
$\rho_{0}^{\circ} \sim \operatorname{stp}(\mathrm{U} 2 \mathrm{I})$ ，O．K．$s t p$ ，i cut up（ox，O．K．）； stpt pieces of meat；stp det．dis－ memberment，ruin ；2．var．管d choose， hnt out of ；stpw the choicest，best；stpss （册）extend（magical）protection，$r$ over， $h 3$ around ；$s t p-s s$ det．$\square$ the Palace．
\＆later var． to the toilet of a deity or deceased person．
 （C $7 ; \S 60$ ），the god Seth，Gk．$\Sigma \eta^{\prime} \theta$ ．
$\|_{\square} ص$ ，see under ist above．

 tion（n．）．
院 varr．det．o，© stiz（V 33；Aa 2．3），later
 time for breakfast，p．206，n． 5.
$\boldsymbol{M}_{0}^{\circ} \operatorname{stt}\left(\mathrm{S}_{22}\right)$ ，later var． $\boldsymbol{R}_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Stt}\left(\mathrm{N}_{18}\right)$ ， i．Asia；2．Sehêl，an island in the First Cataract；hence perhaps I．Styw Asiatics， and certainly 2．Stt the goddess Satis，see above．

䧄 $s t 3$（ $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ ），later 㭘分 $s t 3$ ，drag，draw， flow；$\dot{\infty}$ stst（ $\mathbf{N} 37$ ），aroura，a field－ measure of about $\frac{2}{3}$ acre，$\S 266,3$ ；see too $R$－stsw under ${ }_{1} r$ above．
陁 $1, \frac{\$}{1}$, stsw support，supporting（ n ．），see too $t s i$ below ；stsw $S w$ the supports of Shu， p．380，n． 3 ．

$-\mathbb{T}$＿sd be clad，$m$ in．
f 8 sd（F33）tail；sdty，a title of unknown meaning．
$\stackrel{\mu}{\square} s d(\mathrm{~N} 20)$ in $h b-s d$ ，see under $h b$ above．


肠 $s d_{3}$（also $s d_{3} d_{3}$ ）tremble ；$s d_{3 w}$ trem－ bling（ n ．）．
－ $0_{0}^{0}$ sdwh（ Aa 2 ）embalm．
阵通 $s d b$ swallow（vb．）．
 brows）；削－${ }^{\circ}$ io，msdmt（F31），var． $\pi \Pi^{2} 9 \perp$.鸣 $A$ var．${ }_{a}^{\times} \mathbb{A}$ sdt $\left(Z_{9}\right)$ fire，flame．
م年备 sadty child，foster－child．
忛 $\Delta s d_{3}$（probably caus．）go，pass by，pass away（die），cf．$w d s$ above．

 （n．）；$\Omega$ var．\＆sdzwty（？）（S 19）treasurer； § $s d_{3 z w}($ ？$)$ precious．
均 1 var．det．倸 $s d b$（ $\mathrm{O} 30, \mathrm{p} .517$ ）hindrance， obstacle，harm；$h i i i s d d b$ impose an obstacle； $d r s d b$ remove an obstacle．
－ $\operatorname{sdm}\left(\mathrm{F}_{21} \mathrm{I}\right)$ hear；obey，$n$（someone）；
陽 $\leftrightarrows$ abbrev．$\leftrightarrows \operatorname{sdr}$（A 55）lie，spend all night ；foll．by old perf．，§ 316 ；as aux． vb．，§ 483 ，i．

$$
ص y(\mathrm{~N} 37)
$$

$\checkmark \xi$ ，of O．K．later often replaced by $\propto \underline{h}$ ；the combinations $s s$ and $\Varangle s$ are particularly liable to metathesis．
 $T_{3-s}$ To－she，Lake－land，i．e．the Fayyûm； see too $H r y-s \cdot f$ under $h r$ above．
e $\begin{aligned} \\ t\end{aligned}\left(\mathrm{~V}\right.$ ），perhaps originally ${ }^{5} n t$ ，hundred，$\S 260$ ．
出䓂 $\xi_{3}$（M8）lotus pool，meadow，country（as opposed to niwt town）．

组 $\xi_{3}$ appoint，command；foll．by infin．， § 303 ； $44 \frac{3}{111} s_{3} 3 t$ dues，taxes；$s_{3} w$ extent，bulk，fate；世出 $\xi_{3} t y$ equivalent， equal．
 treme south．

 beginning from，§ 179 ；$\breve{s}_{3} \mathrm{r}-\tau$ ，also $r-\xi_{3}\ulcorner-r$ ， as far as，§§ I79．I80．
背

 Hypselis，a town in Upper Egypt．
¥um $\sim \check{S}_{3 s w}$ Shasu，the desert region adjoin－ ing Egypt to the E．；without det．，Beduin of the Shasu－desert，



च＂॥1，sey（N 33）sand；Nmize－sr，see under $n m i$ ；Hryw－sr，see under $h r$ ．

$\square^{\prime}$ var．صa and value $=\frac{1}{12}$ deben，§ $266,4$.
 cut up，cut down．
$\beta_{1}^{\infty}$ swot（H 6）feather；šwty det．$\emptyset(\mathrm{S} 9)$ double plumes．
\＆swi（be）empty，free，$m$ of，from ；swot emptiness；降界 Sw，the air－god Shu， Gk．$\Sigma \hat{\omega}$ s．
§ şo sw（be）dry；šw det．厄，\＆sun，sun－light． Pi šzt（S 35．36）shadow，shade，p． 173.
险组 sww，a herb or gourd．
 sšzus impoverish，rob，$m$ of．

$\xi_{z u} b b t(y)$ ，funerary figure later known as wsbty＇answerer＇，perhaps originally made of persea wood．
 price ；$s b s b b$ det．$\underset{\sim}{x}$ regulate，transform．
 $\xi_{3}$ bw above．
$\square ل$ 增 $s b b$ knead（in brewing）．
 various．
$\square$ var．det．$s p$（D 4．5）（be）blind．
 rich ；trans．，enrich，Dyn．XIX，§ 274 ； $s p s(w)$ nobleman；$s p s t$ det．这 noble lady； spssw，spsssw det．$\underset{11 \text { riches．}}{ }$
$\square_{0} Q_{0} s p t(\mathrm{~K} 7)(\mathrm{be})$ discontented，$r$ with．
صo $s f w(\mathrm{Aa} 2)$ swell（vb．）．
$\approx \square \sqrt{\square} f t$（F7．8）ram＇s head（？）．
$\because$ صीの

用 $\Delta s m\left(\mathrm{~N}_{4}\right)$ go，depart，§ 278.
 strangers．
 Upper Egypt；see too under＇Iwnw above； $T p(\underset{1}{\mathrm{Q}})$－Smew，the southern end of Upper Egypt from Asyut or Thebes to Ele－ phantine；${ }^{n}$ ，var．wr $S_{m r}(w)\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { M 28）}\end{array}\right)$ greatest of the tens of Upper Egypt，a title；$\ddagger-\square s m r-s\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { S }\end{array}\right)$ the crown of Upper Egypt．
 \＄410 var．smryt（M 26．27）chantress， singer．
 （n．）．
－AA 5 mm be hot；$s m$ ，var．OA 2 mm ，hot； sšmm heat（vb．）．

阴s sms（T i8）follow，accompany；smsw det．通 follower；smsw det．，A，following，suite；耶 $\Delta \subset \perp$ S $s m s-w d 3$ funeral procession．
$\& \frac{8}{} 8 n$ tree．
\＆$\xlongequal{\rho}$ var．det．© sui（V i ；Z 8）surround，en－
 V 9．Io）circuit ；cartouche，p． 74 ；\＆ ㅆm $=S n$－wr，the Ocean supposed to sur－
 var．šnyt，courtiers．
 （something）；snt enchantment，spell．
९ $s n t(?)$ ，see $s t$ above．
\＆＂Ta sny（D 3）hair．
\＆$\|_{1} \circ$ Tim snyt $(\mathrm{N} 4)$ rain－storm，cf．šne below．
\＆obe snw（V i）network，net．
\＆

 deter，turn back（trans．）；snew det．\gg policing，control．
 ergastulum．
l
$\ell$ ل
$\ell_{m} \|_{\theta} s u s$ ，kind of cake or loaf．

 towards；sut ht vent anger，$r, u$ on（some－ one）．
\＆ 2 Q 0 国 abbrev．因 $s n d y t(\mathrm{~S} 26)$ apron．
 Arab．sunt．
$Z_{\Delta}^{Z} y_{r t}(\mathrm{D}$ 19）nose，nostril．
『llinssi stop up，close．
EN srr，later صin sri，（be）small；sri det．

备 boy，son；srit det．girl，daughter； sšrr diminish．
首 e abbrev．$\gamma$ sss（V 6）cord，rope．
 vessels of alabaster，p． 172.

صן
صן （be）skilled，$m$ in ；有 ${ }_{111}$ sssw prescrip－ tion，recipe．
垫渭 $s s p$ ，in M．E．possibly usually $s s p$ ，later $s p$ （O 42．43），receive，accept．
迷 $\curvearrowleft s s p\left(\mathrm{~N}_{11}\right)$ palm，a measure of length $=$ $\frac{1}{7}$ cubit，§ 266， 2.
逰



Simst（S i7＊）Shesmete（t），a god－ dess．
$\operatorname{inl}^{8}-0000 s_{s m t}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{17} 7^{*}\right)$ malachite．

䧄號 sstz（be）secret；secret（n．）；hry
 secrets（of），introducing various titles．
$\square$ styw（I 2）turtle．
明 stm（be）insolent；det．abuse（some－ one），$n$ to（someone else）．
$\Xi$ Иीfी styt（V i9），sanctuary of the god Sokar at Memphis．
$\Longrightarrow$ § ${ }^{\circ} d w$（ F 30 ）water－skin，cushion．
동 $s d i$ draw forth，rescue，educate；also in
 recite，read aloud．

$S_{S} d t y$ ，the Shedtite，epithet of the crocodile god Sobk．
Dis표 stzu plot of ground．


$$
\Delta k\left(\mathrm{~N}_{29}\right)
$$

 time）；$k_{3} 3 w$ height（abstract）；$k_{33}, k_{3}(y) t$ det．피（ ${ }^{2} 2$ ）hill，high ground ；k3y det． 3 （O 41）high place；skiz raise on high， exalt．

$\Delta$ 䓝 $k 3 r(\mathrm{D} 26)$ ，var．$\triangle k r$ ，spew out．
 the midst of，$\S 178 ; \Delta \square^{=} k(3) b$ double（vb．）．
$\Delta$ 解 $h$ earth，plaster（n．）；sk3 $/ 2$ plaster（vb．）．
犆 $\int_{0} k_{3 s}$ bind；string（a bow）．

$\Delta \\} i(\mathrm{~A} 53)$ form，image；mi ki．f entire， § $\mathrm{IOO}, 2$.
24s varr． Ksy，El－Kuṣ̂yah，Cusae，a town in Upper Egypt．
 corner；krht det． $\overrightarrow{\text { II }}$ district．
1 dif var．det．$k b b$（W i5．16）（be）cool， calm，secure（as adj．$k b$ ）；s $k \not b b$ cool（vb．）， refresh oneself；skbbwy det．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 玉 } \\ \text { 玉 } \\ \text { bath－}\end{array}\right.$ room．
 libation；det．$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text {＝} \\ =0\end{array}\right.$ Kebh，the region of the First Cataract ；kbhw det．$\{$ 켱 birds of the marshes．
$\pm \nsubseteq f f n$ bake；det．$ص$ cake，biscuit．
 throw（throw－stick）；var． $\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{m}(3)}$（§ 279 ）create ；nature，form．
 resin．
－（気 $k m d$ devise．
$\Delta t h n i$（be）strong；prevail over；strong man；$k n t$ valour；skni strengthen；$k n k n$ det．$\times$ beat．
च $\because n$（Aa 8）complete，（be）complete．
\＃$-k n(\mathrm{Aa} 8) \mathrm{mat}$.
$\triangle \ \cap k n i$ embrace（vb．and n．）；kniw det．$\Omega_{0}$ （ $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ ）palanquin，carrying－chair．
$\triangle \|_{n} k n i\left(V_{19}\right)$ sheaf．
『，magistrates；knbty magistrate．
会领 knd （ $\mathrm{E} 3^{2}$ ）be furious，angry．
$\triangle{ }_{\Delta}^{\circ} \mathrm{krt}$ ，earlier $k 3 r t$ ，bolt（of door）．
$\Delta$ Sy $k r i$ cloud，storm．
\＆${ }^{8} \sin \operatorname{kr}$（I 7，Dyn．XX）frog．
$\Delta \Delta_{0} \square \mathrm{krrt}$ cavern．


 krsw coffin，sarcophagus．
邻 ks（T 19．20）bone，harpoon．
$\Delta$ 號（T19）（be）irksome，difficult．
 W 24；N 33，p．538；A 35）build，fashion （pots）；ikdzv（ N 33, p．538）builders，§ 272 ； fold form，character；$n b k d$ the man of character，virtuous man ；$m i d d \cdot f$ entire， § 100， $2 ; h r k d$ completely； 1001 kdret outline（of a drawing）．
fook kdd sleep，slumber（vb．）；kddw sleep （n．）；$-n k d d$ sleep（n．）；skdd cause to sleep，let sleep．
$\}_{\square}^{\circ}$ ．$k d t$, kitĕ，a weight of $\frac{1}{10}$ deben $=9 \mathrm{I}$ grammes， § 266， 4.

$$
\checkmark k\left(\mathrm{~V}_{3 \mathrm{I}}\right)
$$

$\square k$ ，in hieratic regularly written $\varnothing\left(\mathrm{V}_{3} \mathrm{I}^{*}\right)$ ． $\sigma \cdot k$ suffix－pron．2nd sing．m．，thou，thee，thy， § 34.
$\sigma \cdot k$ ，ending ist sing．old perf．，see $\cdot k w i$ below． －var．$-k_{3}$ non－encl．part．，so，then， § 242；in $k s$ śd $m \cdot f, k \cdot f$ sddm．f（perf．，§ 450， $5, d), \S 24^{2}$ ；before $t m \cdot f, \S 346,5$ ；relation to $s$ d $d m \cdot k \cdot f$ form，$\S 427$ ．
 infin．，§ 303 ；$k 3 . f$ he will say，$\S \S 436.437$ ；
 abbrev．显 $k 3 t$（A 9）work，construction； $k z w t y$ porter，workman．Cf．too $n k 3 y$ above．
ب var．ل ${ }_{4} k 3$（D 28．29）soul，spirit（p．172）， mood，attribute，fortune，person（ality）； see too under $h z o t$ house，$h m$ slave．
 p． 172 ；努 $k 3$ nht（ $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ ）victorious bull， epithet of the king，§ 55 ．
Ч® $k 3$ ，var．Ч1，$k 3 w$ ，food．
是多 var．O．K．员品 $k_{3} p$（R 5．6）fumigate．
合 $\square$ abbrev．$\sim k_{3} p$ harîm，nursery．
－$k_{3} p$ cover（in building），$m$ with．
 $k 3 n y$（ $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ ）gardeneŕ，cf．too kzry below．
늘 var．det．A $k_{3}(r) i\left(\mathrm{O}_{18}\right.$ ；V i9）chapel， shrine．
ப4ltop ksry gardener，cf．too under ksmw above．
－国 kshs（be）harsh，overbearing．

O｜$k i$ cry aloud，complain，$h r$ about．
 plur．m．，other，another，preceding noun， § 88 ，I；98；do．with numeral，§ 26 I ；we （or $k y$ ）．．．．．ky one ．．．．other，§ 98 ；

－ 14 施 $k y$ monkey．
$\sigma$ 通 varr．$\sigma \cdot k w i, \sigma \cdot k$ ，ending ist sing． old perf．，§ 309.
 Byblus，a coast－town in Syria．
 $h r$ of ；plunder（a place）．
$\therefore \mathbb{Z}$
 ful．
氙気 kfe capture（vb．and n．）．
～
－$k m$（I 6）black（adj．）； ） Kmt the Black Land，Egypt；$\square=K m-w r$ the，Bitter Lakes E．of Egypt．
$\square \sqrt{k m}$ complete（vb．），be complete；com pletion，success ；$s k m$ make complete．
요 pabbrev．$\circ k n s$（ $\mathrm{F}_{51}$ ）pubic region．
－$)_{\text {月 }}^{\text {A }} k s i$（ $\mathrm{A}_{1} 6$ ）bow down；ksw bowing down， crouching down（n．）．

百T $k k w(y)\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ darkness．
$\rightarrow \sim_{\infty} k t t$（be）small，trifling；little one．

$$
\Delta g(\mathrm{~W} \stackrel{1}{\mathrm{I}})
$$


© varr．det．© ，运 $g_{370}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{32}\right.$ ；Aa 2$)$ lack， $r$（something），be narrow，short of breath； deprive，$m$ of（breath）；$g_{3} t, g_{3 z v}$ lack，$n$ of；$n$－g ${ }^{3} 3 \mathrm{w}$ through lack of，$\S 178 ;$ gwiwe det．®（D i）throttle，choke．
酎 $\int_{3} g b b$（G38，O．K．）white－fronted goose．
 Geb，Gk．K $\hat{\eta} \beta$ ．
\＆Gbtize（V 33）Kift，Coptus，a town in Upper Egypt．
 room）．
 fall．

 gfnw rebuff（n．）．
© gmt（G 28，O．K．）black ibis．
§ $\mathrm{gmi}^{(\mathrm{G}} 28$ ）find ；foll．by sdm．f（perf．， $\S 452$, 1），§ 184，1． 2 ；by $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 185$ ； by obj．$+s d m \cdot f$ or $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 213$ ；by obj． $+h r+$ infin．，§ $304, \mathrm{i}$ ；by obj．＋old perf．， § 315 ．
PRP gmw mourning．
$\mathcal{P}$ gmh espy，look at；sgmh ，same sense．
及風吅 gmht wick．

羽 var．品 gnwty（？）sculptor．
른 0 通 $g n f$ ，see $g f n$ above．
를 $g n n$（A 7）be soft，weak；sgnn soften， weaken．
©通 $g r\left(\mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ be silent；silence；$g r w$ silent，
 （p．209，n．7），the necropolis，lit．the silent one；$s g r$ silence（someone）；silence，quiet （n．）．
${ }_{\square}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{grt}$ ，older ${ }_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{E}} g r$ ，early varr． igrt ， igr ，encl． part．，moreover，now，$\S 66.255$ ；as adv．， further，either，§§ 205，I； 255.
$\xrightarrow[O]{\infty} \rightarrow g r h(\mathrm{D} 4 \mathrm{I})$ cease，$m$ from ；finish，$m$ （something）；sgrh make to cease，quell．

 （vb．）；2．found，establish．
$\triangle \mathbb{N}$ var．区 区 $\operatorname{grg}$ falsehood，lie．
 gazelle，f．ghst．

$h r-g s$ ，beside，in the presence of，§ 178 ； ${ }_{1}^{c}$ in $g s(w y) \cdot f y$ its two sides，$\S 75,2 ; \operatorname{li}_{1}^{\circ}$ di hr gs i．dispose of，kill；2．show parti－
 gsw（X 7，O．K．）half－loaves ；ᄃㄱ $g s-p r$ administration（？），in title imy－r $g s-p r$ ．

$\cong \wedge g s i$ run（vb．）．
8ช俭 Gsy Kas，Apollonos polis，a town in Upper Egypt．
畍与 $4 g_{3}(\mathrm{O} 37)$ tilt，slant（intrans．）


 dazzled amazement，$h r$ at．

$$
\Delta t\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)
$$

$\Delta t$ ，often replaces earlier $ص \underline{t}$ ，which is later sometimes written for $a$ by a false archa－ ism，§19，Obs． 2.
－$-t$ f．ending in nouns，adjs．，and parts．，etc．， §§ 26．354；in certain infins．，§§ 267．299； early lost in status absolutus，p．34，1． $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{a}}$ ； p．432，n． 4.
－$\cdot t$ suffix－pron．2nd sing．f．，for earlier $\bullet \cdot t$ ， thou，thee，thy，§ 34 ．
－$t$ formative in sdmtyfy form，§ 363 ；in sdmt．f form，§40I．
－－$t$ summary writing for $d$ th in old perf．，see $d$ ti below．

 （M 44，p．538）white bread．
解 $t 3$ this，the，sing．f．，§ 1 IO；construction of，
 poss．adj．sing．f．，my ；so too $t_{3} y \cdot k, t_{3} y \cdot f$ ，
 §iif，Obs．

피 varr． $\bar{\sigma} 1$ ，－etc．，$t_{3}(\mathrm{~N}$ 16．17）earth，land； $=t_{3} w y$ the two lands，i．e．Egypt；$t_{3} w$ lands（as opposed to h3swt deserts），coun－ tries；$\$$ var．－$T_{3}$－wr（R I7．I8），the nome of Abydus and This；† $\sim T_{3}-n \underline{t r}$ God＇s Land，generic term for foreign tribute－producing lands，esp．in N．E．and S．E．；$\sim \sim T_{3-s}$ Lake－land，i．e．the Fay－ yûm；$T_{3-m r i, ~}^{3}$－mhw，$T_{3}-\underline{d} s r$ ，see under $m r i, m h w, \underline{d} s r ; \sim \infty_{-}$diwer $t_{3}$ putting （lit．it was put）to land．
$=\downarrow \downarrow T_{3}-\underline{t} n n$ Tatjenen，a Memphite earth－god． Êt（U30，O．K．）kiln．
อิ $A^{t} t_{3}(\mathrm{Q} 7)(\mathrm{be})$ hot．

 goddess of weaving；$\stackrel{\mu m}{\sim}_{\square}^{\circ}$ tsty he of the curtain，epithet of the vizier； m var． En $T_{3-w}\left(\mathrm{O}_{17} ; \mathrm{S}_{22}\right.$ ）larboard．

Jala tit（U 33）pestle（？）．
$f$ varr． $3 \| \cdot t i$ ，a－t，endings 2nd sing．c．， 3 rd sing．f．old perf．，§ 309.
$J$ writing for $\circ-t$ ，f．ending in perf．rel．form， §§ 380.387 ，1． 2 ；Add．，p．426；in śdmt．f form，§ 409.
$f$ var． 81 ti，non－encl．part．with same sense as ist，§§ II9，4； 243 ；in sent．with adv． pred．，§ II 9,4 ；in virt．cls．of time with vb．pred．，§ 2 I 2 ；in pseudo－verbal con－ struction，§ 324.
fe鼠 tiz interj．，yes，§ 258 ．
姶 abbrev．No tit（ $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ）figure，image．

媳给 ti－sps，a tree and a spice．
$\circ$ 领绘 var．det．$\Delta t i t i$ crush，trample down．
$\mathbb{1}=$ var．- tizuny ending 2nd plur．c．old perf．，§ 309.
$\$$ tw later form of $\square t w$ ，dep．pron．，see $t w$ below．
$\$ t w$ indef．pron．，one，Fr．on，§\＄39．47；after various particles，$\S 47$ ；uses，$\S 47$ ，Obs．； as subj．to $r+$ infin．，§ 333 ；appended to infin．as subj．，p．230，n．6；in anticipatory emphasis before $s$ sd $d m \cdot t w$ ，unique ex．，Add． to $\S \mathrm{I} 48, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{p} .424$ ；forming pass．of $s d m \cdot f$ ， § 39 ；of $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 67$ ；of other forms of suffix conjugation，§410；in supposed pass．of rel．forms，$\S 388$ ；in $h r \cdot t w$ śd $d m \cdot t w \cdot f$ ， § 239 ；in $k 3 \cdot t w s$ sdm．tw $f$ ，§ 242 ；in $h r \cdot t w$ one says，$\S 436$ ；treated as m．，§ 51 I， 5 ．
\＄tw this（obsolescent），sing．f．，§ ifo；con－ struction of，§ III；meaning of，§112； $\$ 14$ twy，later form of $t w$, §§ ino－i 3 ．
通 $t w \cdot i$,
㶲算tws（A 30）claim，obj．（something），$n$ from（someone）；det．䯕 poor man，in－ ferior．
of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ，two support（ vb.$)$ ，support oneself．
－备 twor（T i9）reed（？）．
$\triangle$ 期 $t w(r) i\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { 19 }\end{array}\right)$ be pure．
－合 twor show respect，obj．or $h r$ for，cf．too tr below．
\％${ }^{1}$ Int（A 53）I．（be）like，$n$（someone）；statue； strwt make resemble，$r$（someone，some－ thing）：2．（be）fair，appropriate；3．be assembled．
it $t \mathrm{D}$ I）head，chief；beginning（of year， season，morning）；tpnfr good beginning； $h r y-t p$ chief，chieftain；$t p$ det．通 with numeral，$x$ persons；$t p-h r-m 3 s t, t p-r, t p-r d$ ， $t p-k s b$ ，see under mist，etc．；$r$－$t p, r-t p-r$ into presence of，$\S 178 ; h r-t p$ on behalf of，$\S 178 ; t p-m$ in front of，in the direction
of，§ 179；कitp prep．，upon，§ 173 ；$t$－ －m3e accompanying，§ 178 ；${ }_{1}$－$t p$－r conj．，be－ fore，§ 18 r ；界， $\mathbb{1} t p y$（T 8）who，which，is upon，$\S 80$ ；first，$\S 263$ ；first（month）， § 264 ；明合盆 tpyw－e those of former times，the ancestors；$\frac{0}{\square}-t p y w-t s$ those upon earth，the living；$\otimes_{0}$ tpt first quality oil．
${ }_{0}^{\circ} 0$ t $t \rightarrow i$（D 19）sniff，breathe in．
 hole（of snake，Nile）．
$\therefore t f$ ，also ${ }^{\circ} t f$ ，that（yonder），sing．f．， §IIO；construction of，§IIf；meaning of，§ II2．
$\therefore$ 通，see under $A_{\infty}^{\infty}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} i t$ father．
응 tfn orphan．
© O O Tfnt，the goddess Tefēne（t），Gk．$-\theta \phi \eta \nu \iota$ ， p． 435 ．
요 int（U i5）sledge．
港边，see under＇ Itm ．
㗐（ U 15）be complete，perfect，be closed，§ 342 ；old perf．，complete，§ 317 ； tmw det．異县 the totality（of mankind）．
에N tm negative vb．，§§ 342－4；346－50； nature and origin，$\S 342$ ；uses analogous to those of wnn，$\S 346$ ，end ；position of subj．，$\S 343$ ；foll．by infin．replacing earlier negatival complement，§ 344 ；śd $d m \cdot f$ or sd $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form of，in main clauses，$\S 346$ ； in questions for specification，$\$ 346$ ， ； 495 ，end ；in double negatives，$\S 346,3$ ； after $i k, \S 346,4$ ；after $k 3, \S 346,5$ ；in subordinate cls．，§ 347；virt．noun cls．，as obj．，§347， 1 ；as pred．of $p w, \S 347,2$ ； virt．cls．of time and condition，$\S 347,3$ ； of purpose，$\S 347,4$ ；after preps．，$\S 347,5$ ； in sddmt．f form after preps．，$\S 408$ ；after ir＇if＇，§ 347，6；as negation of infin．，
§ 348 ；in parts．，śdmty．fy form and rel． forms，§ 397 ；in pass．śdm．fform，§424， 2 ； in śdm．$h r \cdot f$ form，$\S 43^{2}$ ；summary，$\S 350$ ． $『$ var．$\downarrow \mathrm{tm}\left(\mathrm{O}_{3} 8\right)$ in obscure title hry tm ．
 Aa 6）mat．
$\therefore t n$ this，sing．f．，§ $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ io；construction of， § 111 ；meaning of，$\S 112$ ；see too $\underline{t} n$ below．
$\therefore t n$ dep．pron．，later form of $\equiv t n$ ，see $t n$ below．
in ．tn suffix－pron．and dep．pron．，later form of $=t n$ ，see $t n$ below．
$\gamma_{0}^{\prime \prime} t-n t$ she of，§ in i，Obs．；see too $t ;$ above．

$\therefore$－$\|^{\prime}$ tni（ A 19 ）（be）old，decrepit．
$\therefore ل_{\Omega}^{\infty}$ tnbh shrink，recoil．
$\therefore \wedge$ tnm go astray；stnm lead astray．
$\therefore$－
$\bigcirc \oint \odot$ varr．det．$\{\odot,\{\odot \operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{M} 4.5 .6)$ season， time．
 I suppose，§ 256 ；in questions，pray， §491，3；see too ptr above．
 respect for，awe of，cf．$t w r$ above ；$s d f 3$ tryt，see under $d f 3$ below．
秢 $\Omega$ thi（ D 56 ）wander，transgress，disobey （command）；cause to wander．

－－
$\stackrel{\theta}{\theta}^{\circ}$ thi（ $\mathrm{W}_{22}$ ）be drunken；thw drunkard．
$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \mathrm{\rho}=\mathrm{F}$ 部 thb immerse，soak．
－$\downarrow$ abbrev．$\rrbracket$ thn $\left(\mathrm{O}_{25}\right)$ obelisk．


ifl tes（Q7）torch．
$\therefore$－$\frac{1}{s}$ tkn（be）near，$m$ to；approach，obj．（some－ one）；stkn bring near．

会参 tkk attack，violate（frontier）．

$$
\approx \underline{t}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V}_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

ص $\underline{t}$ ，often original of M．E．$\Delta t$ ；sometimes written for the latter as a spurious archa－ ism，§ 19，Obs． 2 ；form with tick $ص$（V 14） found sometimes in hieratic and hiero－ glyphic of Dyn．XI in words where the old value $t$ persisted．
$ص \cdot t$ ，later $\Delta \cdot t$ ，suffix－pron．2nd sing．f．，thou， thee，thy，§ 34 ．

${ }_{3}{ }^{\prime} t{ }_{3}(\mathrm{G} 47)$ nestling，child．
？${ }_{3} \underline{t}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{33}\right)$ pellet．
 bt－t－3zw，see under ${ }^{\circ 1}$ bt．


 $t$ twe theft．

？${ }_{3} t_{3} m$ be veiled；$t_{3} m$ hr $n$ show in－ dulgence to（someone）；$m t 3 m$ det． $\mathbb{T}$ clinging dress（for girls）；det．$\rightarrow$ foreskin．
 det．$\cap$ cabin．
$t s w$ ，later $t w$, dep．pron．2nd sing．m．， thou，thee，$\S 43$ ；$\quad t w t$ old indep．pron． do．，used very rarely also for f．，§64，with Obs．
 sole（of foot），sandal ；$t b$ be shod；$t b z v$ sandal－maker．
$\Longrightarrow$－tms－r with powerful arm，epithet of Horus or king．
 cadaster（？）；kind of land（？）．
 Libyan（s）．
 hurt，injury．
ㄹ $t n$ ，spurious archaistic writing for ${ }_{-} t n$ ， sing．f．，this，see $t n$ above．
$=t n$ ，later ${ }^{\circ} t n$ ，dep．pron．2nd sing．f．，thou， thee，§ 43 ；very rarely used for suffix－ pron．$ص t, \S 43$, Obs． 2.
$\stackrel{s}{1 T 1} \cdot \underline{t}$ ，later $\stackrel{n}{111} \cdot t n$ ，suffix－pron．and dep．pron． 2nd pl．c．，you，your，§§ 34． 43 ；rare var． as dep．pron． $\approx$ ．tny dual，early obsolete，you，your，$\S 34$ ．
Е®॥ Thy，This，town near Girga in Upper Egypt．
行）tnis（T 14，O．K．）throw－stick（？）．
 § $503 ; r \underline{t} n$ whither ？．
 up，distinguish，$r$ over（others），bnt out of（a number）；$s \underline{t} n i$, almost synonymously； tnt distinction，difference．
三OB （n．）；foll．by noun，each，every，§ 101 ； $r$－tnw－sp every time that，foll．by sdm．f， § 18ı；tnw，do．，see Add．p．xxviii ；tuwt number（n．）．
 at Hermonthis．
$\Rightarrow \overbrace{0}$ baldachin，raised platform for throne．
榢 $\mathrm{t} r p$ ，species of goose．
$\Rightarrow\{\bar{\Delta} t h n$ draw near（to fight），hur with．
 var．设解圌：thnt，fayence，glass．
 Libyans．

こ解—通 th $h$ exult ；thw，thhwt exultation， § 287.
－1 tst（S 24）knot，vertebra；$t$ ，var．Pyr． $=t z$ ，tie，bind，arrange；ts skw，see under ski；ts saying，utterance；tsw det．㣘通 commander ；tst det．$\uparrow$ hill．
$\Longrightarrow y$ var．det．」 $t s i\left(V_{14}\right.$ ；U 39．40）raise，lift， recruit（vb．）；rise，mount（vb．）；tsi $m$ feel resentment at，blame ；tswt det．」1， complaints；see too wts，stsw above．
$=$ in $t s m$（ E 14）hound．
$\approx \Delta$ var．det．
$\Rightarrow$ ê $\underline{t t t}\left(\mathrm{~V} \mathrm{I}_{3}\right.$, Pyr．）fetterer（？）．

$$
\Rightarrow d\left(\mathrm{D}_{46}\right)
$$

$-d$ ，often replaces earlier ${ }^{2} \underline{d}, \S 19$ ．
$\Leftrightarrow$ hand，to be read $\underline{d} r t$ ，see there．
$\otimes_{\square} \stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ var．$\star_{\square}{ }_{\square} d_{3 t}\left(d w_{3} t, \mathrm{~N}_{14}\right.$ ．15）netherworld． った var．－ cloth．
－ $\int^{5000}{ }^{\circ}, d 3 b$（M 43）figs．
－ 1 务 $d 3 i(r)\left(\mathrm{T}_{12} ;\right.$ § 279），abbrev．8ith， originally $d_{3} r$ ，subdue，suppress．
$\Delta,\lfloor d i$ ，see under $r d i$

 field－labourers．
 （n．），cf．dur＇below ；later ${ }^{11}{ }^{1}$＇is here re－ placed by C （V II）．
＊$\odot d w ;$ morning，to－morrow；rise early，$r+$ infin．to do（something），§163，10；大 1 \＆ dwsyt，var．＊${ }^{\circ}$ dwst（ $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ），morning； ＊曾 var．det．dws（A 30．4）adore （deity）in the morning；dwizet adorations； $d w z$ ntr praise（i．e．thank）god，$n$ for （someone）．
－$\Delta d w n$ stretch out．
－P显 dws，see dws below．
$\Rightarrow d b$（E 25）hippopotamus．
$\Rightarrow-d b i$ stop up，block（vb．）；cf．$\underline{d} b_{3}$ below．
 circle，circuit；$\sum_{\text {ロ }}$ ，also with $\rightleftharpoons, d b n$ （O 39 ；F 48）deben－weight，of about 91 grammes，§ 266， 4.
—】通 $d b h$ beg for，request（vb．）；dbhw re－ quest（n．），requirement；$\underset{\Delta=0111}{\sigma} d b h t-h t p$ the requisite offerings，full menu of offer－ ings．
－號 $d p$（ F 20 ）taste（vb．）；$d p t$ taste（n．）．
$\triangle D p$ Dep，part of the Delta town of Buto．
－0 $d p t$ ship，boat；耳气k $d p t-n t r\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ god＇s ship，divine bark．
$\Rightarrow \| f d p y$ crocodile．
 pronounce，$r n$ name（of someone）；$d m t$ abbrev．A knife．
$-\gg \mathrm{S}_{3}$（M 36．38）bind together．
 crue，$r$ to；det．${ }_{1}^{I}$ abode，town；sdmi attach，annex（one place），$n$ to（another）． 쑈의 $d m d(\mathrm{~S} 23)$ ，O．K．dmd，unite；old perf．， entire（§ 3 I 7）；var．abbrev．$\sim$（Y I）total （n．）．
$\Rightarrow d n$ cut off（heads，etc．）．
${ }_{=} \|_{1} d n i$（VII）dam off，restrain；duit det．
$\kappa^{\pi}$ dam（n．）；for $C$ 道
$\Longrightarrow d n h\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, O．K．$\underset{\sim}{d} h h$ ，wing．

$\Leftrightarrow d r$ remove，quell，drive out．
$\underset{\sim}{\infty} d r p$（D 39）offer food，$n$ to；feed（some－ one）．
＝$d r f(\mathrm{Aa}$ 10）writing（n．）．

ground with forehead；dhn promote （someone），$r$ to（a rank）．
－$d h$（be）low，lowly；sdh det．$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\text { s }}$ bring low．
－$d h r$（be）bitter；det．\＆（F 27）hide， leather．
$\Longrightarrow \delta d s\left(\mathrm{~W}_{22}\right)$ beer－jug，beer－measure，$\S 266, \mathrm{I}$ ．


 Red land，the desert；det．and abbrev． $y\left(\mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)$ ，the red crown of Lower Egypt； det．©（ $\mathrm{W}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ ）red pot．
会 $\bar{\Delta} d k r$（D 51）press（？），move，expel．
ㄷ․․abbrev．… dkrw（D 5 I ）fruit．
家监 var．det．亚 $d g$（ $\mathrm{A}_{4}$ ）hide（trans．and intr．）；sdg，var．院皿今 $\operatorname{sdg}(3)$ ，hide oneself，$r$ from ；conceal（ $r$ from）；det．hidden place or thing．
囟 var．det．$d g i(\mathrm{D} 4.5)$ look，$n$ at；see． $\therefore \square_{\square}^{-1} D d w$ ，see $D d w$ below．
$\Leftrightarrow$ \＆Ddwn Dedwen，a Nubian god．

$$
\} \underline{d}(\mathrm{I} 10)
$$

$\backsim d$ ，often original of M．E．$\Delta d$ ；sometimes written for the latter as a spurious archa－ ism，§ I9，Obs． 2.
－${ }^{2} d t$ body，self；$i p d t \cdot f$ ，see under $i p$ above； $n d t \cdot f$ his own．
 above．
勺อ $d t$（ $\mathrm{N}_{17}$ ）eternity．

d ${ }^{\times} d_{3}$ stretch forth，（ $\operatorname{arm} \rightarrow$ ）．
d et $d_{3 i}$ ferry across（trans．and intr．）；$d_{3 i}$ ts $(\underset{\text { III }}{ }) r$ interfere with，lit．cross land to； $-d_{x}^{\circ} r-d_{3} t$ in return for，corresponding to，
 gression，wrong．
d $14 x$ x $d y-h r$ divert onself，amuse oneself；

$d_{1}{ }_{1}, d_{3} t$ ，see under $w d_{3}$ above．
 ant．
d $4 \int_{1}$ d $3 i s$ ，an unidentified plant．
皿 quirement．
Liw D3hy Djahy，a name for Phoenicia．
 estate．

1 1 abbrev．${ }_{1}^{(1)} d_{3} d_{3}$（D I）head．
d
d100 葸 $d_{3} d_{3 t}$（Aa 8）magistrates，assessors．
\＆ 1 an ${ }^{2} d_{3 t}$ harp．
引）$\triangle d_{c}\left(\mathrm{M}_{3}\right.$ ，n．5）spear（vb．），harpoon（fish）．
乌范 $d e$（ P 5 ）storm．
$\rightarrow J_{1} 1, \frac{d r b t}{}$ charcoal．
乌\｛ 1 var．det．$\{\mathbb{d r m}(\mathrm{S} 40.4 \mathrm{I})$ djam－sceptre， of spiral shape．
 fine gold．
 out．
$\because d w\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} 6\right)$ mountain．
dw（be）bad，evil；sad（of heart）；dwt evil，sadness．
$\sim \neg \|^{d} w i$ call（someone）；cf．too diwt above．
M dws，var．－P dzes，malign（someone）， $n$ to（another）．
S．$\perp_{\circ}^{\circ} d b t(\mathrm{G} 22)$ brick．

$2 d$
EGYPTIAN GRAMMAR
友 $d 6$ replace；$r-d b$ instead of， §180；dbzw payment，bribe．

$\AA \downarrow \otimes \underline{D} 3$ Edfu，Apollonos polis，a town in Upper Egypt．
$\| d b r(\mathrm{D} 50)$ finger；finger－breadth，as measure
 ring ；$\sqrt[l]{\mathbb{N} d} d$ rw reproach，lit．a finger－ pointing．
§d dre 10，00b，§ 259 ；construction of，§ 262.

 above；sdfs equip with provisions，pro－

Z＇О $d f d$（D 12）pupil（of eye）．
？${ }^{-1}$ d $n d$（ F 2）rage（vb．and n．）．
 hand；trunk（of elephant）；لौ the way to act，how to handle things．
$\triangle d r\left(\mathrm{M}_{3} 6\right)$ end，aux．vb．foll．by old perf． § 316 ；by $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 483$, I ；as adv．，at an end，$\S 205, \mathrm{I}$ ； $\mathrm{B}=\frac{d r w e n d, ~ l i m i t ~(n .) ; ~}{n}$ ini $d r w$ reach boundary（of a country）； －Bm r－drff entire，§ Iо0，I ；$n b-r-d r$ ， see under $n b$ above；$\triangleq-\frac{d}{\square} r-\mathrm{r}$ end（n．）； as adv．，originally，long ago，§ 205，3； $d r-r-r$ right down to，§179； $\bar{\Xi} d r$ prep．， since ；as conj．，since，before，until，§ 176 ； $d r-n t t$ since，because，§ 223 ； 8 思 drtyw those of yore，the ancestors．
$8] d r$ ，later var． $8 \ln ^{\circ} d(r) \hat{i}(t)$ ，wall，enclosure wall（？）．
Bln dri（L．E．）（be）hard，firm ；adv．（Dyn． XVIII）hard，stoutly．
$B P d r w$ side（of body，chariot，etc．）．
B 今＂ㄱㅇ，drwy colour（n．）．
il late var．B in $d r d$（F 2I）leaf（of tree）．

B

X 2）the ibis－god Thoth，Gk．$\Theta \omega \dot{v} \theta$ ．
$\Pi \backslash \underset{d}{ } \cdot$ with suffix－pron．，－self，by（him－）self，$\S 36$ ．
ㅙㅖ $d s r$（D 45）set apart，clear（a road）；be
private，holy；$d s r h p t$ ，see under $h p t$ ；
$\bar{\sim} \leadsto T_{3}$－$d s r$ the Sacred Land，i．e．the necropolis；$d s r w$ privacy．
马 $d d$ say，think；foll．by s $s d m \cdot f, \S 184$ ；by infin．， §303；abbrev．${ }^{\text {Y }}$ in $\bigcap$ ，see under $m d w ;$ $d d$ namely，as follows，$\S 224 ; r d d$（saying） that，$\S 224$ ；$d d w n \cdot f$ called，introducing second name（m．），f．ddt $n \cdot s$ ；sdd det． relate，converse，$h n e$ with．
邦 $1 d\binom{\mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{I}} d j e d$－column．
 $d d t$ stability，duration．
竦 $\otimes_{0}$ Ddt Tell er－Rub＇a，Mendes，a Delta town．
 Abuṣir Banâ，Busiris，a Delta town．
包数 var．det．od d $d_{3}$（ $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{A}_{2}$ ）（be）fat．
包 $d d b$ sting，incite．

－2lis ddh imprison．
Words of Doubtful Reading © ${ }^{1 \prime \prime}$（D 4）eyes，see under irt．
而（D ${ }^{23}$ ）three－quarters，see under hmt ． ${ }_{0}^{\circ} 9\left(\mathrm{~F}_{45}\right.$ ；N 41）vulva，see under $i d t$ ．
 $\star$（ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}^{3}$ ）half－month festival． $\nabla_{1}{ }_{1}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{N}_{34}\right)$ copper，see under $b i z$ and $h m t$ ． Dis（O 35）in quest of，see under sb－tw． ＠（S 19）treasurer，see under sd3yt．羽（T 19）sculptor，see under gnwt．


## ENGLISH－EGYPTIAN VOCABULARY

For the restricted scope of this Vocabulary see the Preface to the Second Edition，p．vii．

## A

A，omitted，§ 21 ；later $\underset{\leftrightarrows}{\text { an }}$ § 262，I． abandon $ل$ 元 var．$ل$
abide 豊，看
able，be $\mathbb{P}$ foll．by $s d m \cdot f$, § 184，I．
abomination 18
about $\uparrow$ § 165,7 ．
above $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{=} \S 79$ ．
absence：in the－of $\mathbf{D}_{0} \equiv 178$ ．

abundant 齐
Abydus
accept 無胃
accompany 耶 $\Delta$
 who accompanies $1+\mathrm{N}_{0} \wedge$
 （－b－
according as $-\S 163,1$ I $(d) ; \& \ell \$ 170,5(b)$ ； es $169,6(b)$ ．
according to \＆ी § 170,$2 ; 20$ § $169,2$.

accurate，be $\triangle$ N10
accusation 1 1
accuse 俻
acquainted：become－with
act -
added to $\$$ § $165,8$.
addition ：in－to ${ }^{\text {P }}$
address 日迫
adore $\star$ 肙

advantageous，be $\%$ e
adversary $\underbrace{\circ}_{n}$
adze $\|$ 亿
affair：state of－s PM
 i $\$ 165,10$.


against - § 163， 9 ．


aggressive，be $\underset{\mathbb{A}}{\boldsymbol{\sim}}$
agreeable，be
alabaster $\gamma_{\square}^{\prime}$ var．$\gamma$
alight（vb．）$-\infty$
all -
allow var．』 $\Delta$ var．$\hookrightarrow$
alone，be
also 『var．区§ $\$ 205$ ， ．
altar $\frac{0.0 \text { ano }}{\Delta}$

a mount $\overbrace{\Delta} \cdot{ }^{2}$
amulet var．$\ell_{1}$

amuse oneself $14 \times 1$
amusement 1.0
an，omitted，§ 2 I ；later $\underset{\sim}{\sim} 1$－m § 262，I．



anew 3 名 1

annals ©

anoint 5
another $\sigma \| \mathrm{m}$ ．，${ }_{0} \mathrm{f} ., \S 98$ ．
answer ص』通

Anubis 1 －
anxious：be－about
any $\oslash \S 48$ ，i．
anyone，after negation，

apart from
appear
appearance in glory 0



apron $\ell=\mid 1 \circ$ 因
are 4 $\$ 829.117$ ．
arise ${ }^{1} \Omega$


around \＆§ $172,2$.
aroura ${ }^{\circ}$ var．$+\square$
arrow $\gamma$ P
as §162，6． $11 ;$ well－$\& \& 170,3$ ；
－when \＆ी § $170,5(a)$ ．
ascend $4-\pi$ var．$\sigma_{0}$
Asia $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{H}}$


ass $\stackrel{\text { 梱 }}{ }$
assent（vb．）』っ var．』®
assessors 10.0
assuredly
Asyût 도소옹
at，of time $-\S 163,3$ ；of place $\$ \S 165$ ， ．


attack $\Omega$ ，会加务
attend to $\cong$
attendant 渞通

audience chamber 气ing
authority ：under the－of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ § $£ 178$ ．
avaunt（from） 40 § 3 r 3 ．
axe $=10$

## B

Baboon 4－

bad $1 /$
baker $\frac{11}{6}$

bald
bandage（vb．）${ }^{\circ}$

barge 陽正
bark，sacred 1 边
barley fï
basket $\overbrace{1}$
Baste（t）${ }^{\text {Ha }}$
battlefield $011_{1}^{\circ}$

beam，wooden
bear（a child）\＃n var．



 § 223.
because of § § 165,$7 ; \$^{-}$§ 178 ．
become 㸗

bee ${ }^{\circ}$
beer ${ }^{\Lambda_{\Delta}}{ }_{\Delta}$
beer－jug ${ }^{-\sigma}$ § 266， ．
beetle 蕉＇


 § 205， 2.

beget ${ }_{\circ}^{\infty}$
begin

behalf：on－of PD $_{1} \S 178$ ．
behind 变
behold

belly $\infty$
belonging to $-\S \S 86$ ；114，1． 2 ；he belongs to $\# \$ 114,2$ ；belongs to me，thee，
 var．$=\overline{=} \bar{\Omega} \S 114,3$ ；what belongs to someone or something $\dot{\bar{\circ}}$

bend Bas ；the arm $\Delta$ 虽
beneath $\mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{S}}$ § 66.
beneficent
beneficial，be er

beside（near）

besprinkle＝편
best，the 胳会
between＋Ne；also－\＆中

beware（lest）
 § 338 ， 3 ．
beweep - 石

चke ；－together $\rightarrow$ 为
bird $\mathbb{N}^{2}$
birth 种是，；give－敝
bite（vb．）络
bitter
black，be $\square \mathbb{T}$
Black Land，i．e．Egypt $\boldsymbol{A}_{\text {®ิ }}$
blind，be

blood $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim}$
boasting－ل
boat $0_{0}$ ；without a－

bolt -m
bone $\Delta$ 柌
book 릉 $\beta_{1}$ 首
boon：a－which the king gives $\ddagger{ }^{\circ} \Delta \mathrm{p}$ ． 170.
booth 1 m
born to，m．$\|-m$ ，f．$\|^{-}{ }^{-} \S 36 \mathrm{I}$ ．

boundary 解 ${ }^{7}$
bow（ n ．） $0_{1}$ ， $\mathrm{b}_{1}^{a}$
bow down A M var．
bowman oll

brand（vb．）ISA
brave，be $\triangle \Delta$
bread $\stackrel{\theta}{\square}$
breadth 䧑，璟


breath $\frac{3}{9}$
breathe $\Pi$ 怔，叫

brick 绽
brigand $\vec{f}$ ne
bright，be 9 〇
brightness 9 궁
brilliance 0 ，

brink $\Rightarrow$
broad，be 涫

brother $\frac{5}{2}$
brow $\cup_{1}$
bud 1 \＆ิे
build 喵四 var．㽗；湖
 bulwark $B O$

burden（n．）国会范
burn 8 RA
bury $\Delta$ 狍 $ص$
bush 5 为
business Yo
but（prep．）
but（encl．part．）$\ddagger$ § 254 ．


－（of measurement）＠§ 63,$5 ;-$ means of § 162,7 ．
Byblus $\sim_{\square 11}^{\sim}$
C
 calculate 8 品，肌
calf $=-$

 canal $\underset{\circ}{\square}$
candle บิA
capture 路县
care ：in the－of $\int_{0}$

careless，be N
carpenter（vb．）$\alpha\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { 有 }\end{array}\right.$
carry 通腸， 0
carrying $\otimes \S$ I 66 ．

case ：is it the－that．．．．？ $4=1 m$
castle Пロ
［§494．
cat \＆ 18

cattle $\stackrel{\text { щиш }}{\sim}$
cauldron Bip var．${ }_{0}^{\circ}$
cause $-\infty$ § 70 ．

 cedar $\square$（properly＇pine＇）
centipede -a
cessation $7 \mathrm{f} \Omega$

channel
chantress 10 保 var．
chapel $\square_{1}, \stackrel{\square}{\circ}$
character：good－豆， 1 風
charge 』品；in the－of ，$\triangle 1$ § 78 ；
chariot $0^{2}$

charm 8 N＂

chatter（vb．）齐，皿






choicest，the 䧄感
choose $\|_{0^{\wedge}}^{\circ}$
circuit $\ell \sigma \square$
circulate $\sum \wedge, \sum_{\mu}$
cistern $\underbrace{6}$
city ${ }_{-1}{ }^{-1}$
clay $\Pi 100$
clean，be $10=$


close 合解阴
closed，be $\circ$ 里


clothes $k \not \|^{2} \mid$

cobra $4 \stackrel{\square}{\square} Q_{a}$
coffin $\Delta$ 阿㫫
collar 今院 var．$\because$

 4919
 $A \cap \& 336$ ．

coming forth（n．）$\triangle$


common people $\widehat{\theta}$ Mの莀
commoner そ险

company：in the－of 1


completion
［边，§
complexion $\ \mathbb{T}$
conceal 咅偊分明
conceive 紫㢶

concerning i § 165，7；（speak）－© § 163， 6.
condition $1 \infty$ ；be in good－var．
confine 2 ）
consisting of \＄162，5．
constrict 『 8 오N
construct
construction 능
content，be 试，㫛




convey by water 픈
cook 믈

cool（adj．）$\Delta \mathbb{I}$ il
copper $\downarrow$ 践，$\nabla_{11}$
Coptus 8 \＆
copulate $\sim$
copy（ n ）示初

corn $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{1}$
corps 对宾
corpse
corresponding to ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ § 169,4 ．
council \＆10～宾

count 阶』是，保

court（in temple or palace）


cowardly，be
craft 4
craftsmanship

Crete EnMu
crew fli．
crime
crocodile Iom
cross 通

— of Upper Egypt 900 var． $0 ; 710$ ；


crush $\Rightarrow$ ，唯，唯
［var．ig．


cubit ${ }^{\text {I }}$ § 266,2 ．

cultivated lands e\｜flif var．$\times$
curb


Cush－～～
custom $-\underset{0}{-111}(\mathrm{f}$ ）．
cut：－off $\mathfrak{\Delta}$

## D


daily

dam off $\Rightarrow\{k$
damage（vb．） $9^{2}$
damage（n．）

dance（n．）$\cap \perp 000$
dappled $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ var．$\uparrow$
darkness DT
daughter दु융
dawn（vb．） $9^{2}$ 〇피

pass the－${ }^{\circ}$

dazzling，be $\} \delta^{m m a n}$
dead var．${ }^{\circ}$
deaf $1=-\infty$

deben，a weight of 91 grammes，$\Longleftarrow \square$ var．$\rightleftharpoons \square$ § 266， 4.
decease $\stackrel{\ominus}{\square}$
deed ${ }_{\square}^{-0}$
deep，be 角壮
defeat D $\boxed{\square}$
defective $\sqrt{\beta}$ 제 var．께

deliver（in child－birth）县煘
Delta
demolish $\eta^{\circ}=$

depart $\triangle \Omega$
department
departure $\pi$ A
depth ${ }^{\circ} \frac{10}{2}$
deputy 0 员道
descend $\square \wedge$
desert $A$

destine
 18011
determine $\Delta S_{i}^{\bar{T}} \S \S 184,1 ; 303$.
device U盛
devise $\rightarrow$ 会
dew $1 \sim$ vir var．而
diadem $\dagger$ 昌
die An var．A
difference $=$

$\operatorname{dig} \pi$

dignity П－水
dim，be $\overline{4}$
diminish $\theta$ ل $\times$
disaffected $\triangle \triangle \square_{1}^{\nabla}$
disagreeable，be $\Delta^{\circ}$ mem
discreet $\Rightarrow 19 \%$
disease 9A영N
disk（of sun） A $_{0}^{\circ}$
disobedient，be $ل^{\infty} \theta$
disobey $\stackrel{\square}{\square} \Omega \Omega$
dispatch（n．）صa
dispel $\because 8$
dispose of（kill）-
distinguish $\Rightarrow \mid m, 1=2$


divine：be－ 7 윤
diwân
do $\infty$ ；－not $\mathscr{N}^{-1} \S 345 ;-$ not（imper．）
§340；have－ne in the past $\$ 484$.
doctor Oi通
$\operatorname{dog}$ 第的，$\Longrightarrow$ 领
domain ${ }^{2}$ ，r，包，品
donkey $\stackrel{\infty}{\square}$

door－keeper $\mathrm{N}^{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
double（vb．）$\Delta \mathrm{l}^{\circ}$

go 一 r
downstream ：fare－©

dragoman $\bar{n}$ var． 1 国

dread © 月nnci $^{\circ}$
dream $0 \rho 0$
drink 11 0 気

drown 9 ＝
drunken，be ${ }_{-}^{\circ}{ }^{\text {® }}$
duck ${ }^{-3}$

durability 羊运 var．奉
duty $4 \circ$ N
dwell 흥

## E




earlier（adv．）
earth II
Earth－god 요에
east ${ }^{4} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}^{00}$
eastern $]_{1}^{\circ}$

ebony $\geq$＂ㅡㅡㄴ
edge $\Rightarrow$
educate

egg 䧑


elder 7 var．隘回仏品
elephant 9 最俖
Elephantine 1 品 var．

embalmer 0
embrace $\Omega_{0}^{\square} \cap, \pm 10$
emit（sound）$x^{\circ}$
emmer $J_{0}^{-3}$
empty，be
empty out（ $\underline{\underline{h} t}$ body）$\| ?$
encircle \＆$\square$ var．누

encounter ${ }^{\circ} \Omega$
end（vb．） 8 § $316 ; 483$ ， 1 ．
end（n．）D $\mathrm{D}^{\circ}, ~ 区$
endow 胋我 憾
endue $\begin{gathered}\text { a }\end{gathered}$
endure 期，出
enduring 8 號


enjoyment，have $\underset{\sim}{\square}$
ennead ${ }_{-}^{9}{ }_{9}$
enter $\hat{A}$


envelop $\=$ ） ，

environment
envoy Yox
equal \＆iv

erect（vb．）П．．．+ 多
ergastulum $\searrow$
establish 膆，区 区 $\mathbb{E}$ ；be－ed

eternally ${ }^{2}$ ，
eternity ${ }^{2}$ 象 $\left.k\right\}$
Ethiopia－图
evening 明す！

evening bark of the sun－god 1 保品是
everlasting（n．）？
every $\quad$


everything $\overbrace{011}^{\circ}$ § 103 ．
evil：be $\sim 1$ ；one $\operatorname{AR}$

exact，be $\square$ all
exaggeration－』ご县

excavate 표
exceedingly－$\frac{\hat{L}}{2} \S 205,5$ ．
excellent $\backslash \Delta \|$,
 §178；○¢§178．

exchange：in－for $\theta$ § 78 ．
excrement 期品


expect $0_{0}=$ 屋

explain 을

extinguish $-\mathbb{A} A$

extract
exultation $\triangleq$

eyebrows 1 ？
［机－
F
Face ${ }^{\text {i }}$
failing（n．）
faint 扬金
fall $1 \wedge$ ；－into（decay，etc．）요 falsehood 区 $\mathbb{S}$





fare upstream，southwards
fashion（vb．）帆安，安い
fat（adj．）乌

fatten［title，780 var．of
fault，－0
favour（v．）I事喻

fayence $\}$
fear（vb．）通

feather $\beta_{1}^{\circ}$
［§18i．
feeble，be
feed（trans．）Pintr
femur $\stackrel{y}{3}$
ferry across \＆
ferry－boat
festal，make 限 $f$（
festival
fetch $\xrightarrow{8}$
few


figs $-\mathbb{N} \prod_{1}^{\circ}$
figure $\triangle$ 合
fill
find
fine linen $T_{\Delta}^{\Delta} T$
finger $\emptyset_{1}$ ；（as measure）§ 266， 2.
finger－nail $=0$

firm，be $\stackrel{\text { 止 }}{=}$ ， －$\$ 89$
firmament $\mathcal{J}^{\circ} \square$

fish -
fisherman 응욘
flagellum $\Theta \wedge$ var．$\uparrow$
flagstaff $\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\circ}$

fledgling ${ }_{3}=$


flint $\Rightarrow$ a
flock 180
flourish $-\beta$ 에，염
flourishing - 페
flower 8
fly（vb．）$-\infty$ ，吸思
fly（n．）$\approx 9$
follow 阴 $A$ ；upon（road）匀§ 224.
follower 渞通
following（ n ．）耶
following after $\$ 178$.

fool
foot $\&$
for $-\S 164,2 ;$ § $\S 165,7$ ．
forasmuch as $\overbrace{00} \S 223$ ．
forehead $U_{1}, \vec{\square}$
foreign country $\frac{M}{\Delta 1}$

foreleg（of ox）${ }^{\bullet-\infty}$

foretell $\uparrow —$
forget A是
forgetful，be sin
forgive $\frac{\square}{\Delta a}$ ．m

畚至栄

forsake $ل$ var．$ل$ 完 $\Omega$

fortification $B_{\infty O} \square$

fortunate－ 0 ， $\mid \triangle \perp \Omega$
foster 空腈

foundation $\mathbb{Q}_{\Delta}^{D^{-x}}$

fowler MODN造
fraction $-\$ 265$ ．
fraternize $\downarrow \downarrow$
free $\beta$

 var． 19
from § 162,$8 ;$ § $178 ;-\S 163,8 ;$ § § $165,2.3$ ；



 full，be ت

fumigate - 足
furious，be 娄企
furnish 区区，可


## G


garden

garland fó


gazelle 『紈条
Geb 요
gentle，be

 4F $\leftarrow 336 ;$－n life $\Delta f \S 378$ ．
glad，be صO 显



glorify 䧑
glorious，be 资是
 —down ■ $\Delta$ ；－forth ■ $\Omega$ ；－round


 after $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{SN}_{\mathrm{Na}}^{\mathrm{\theta a}} \mathrm{~A}$

god 7 I var． 7 刮
goddess 7 号边 $^{\text {s }}$
gold
good，be to
$\operatorname{good}(\mathrm{n}) .\mathrm{A}{ }^{*}=$

government
gracious，be 480 varr． 453515
granary \＆酎 圆
granite $\xlongequal[0]{3}$ var． $3_{0}^{3}$

grasp（vb．）N
grasshopper
great，be $\infty$

green，be 82

grey－haired $\uparrow \square \overbrace{}^{\circ}$
grind to ${ }_{0}$

grow $\triangle$ 四

guide $\Omega \triangle A$
gum $\triangle 8$ A80：

## H

Ha （interj．）几 $\$ 87.258$.
Ha，god of the desert ${ }^{\sim}$
habit $\square_{0}$ —11
habitation of $f_{1}^{\pi}$
hail（interj．）ㅁAी通 $\$ 258$ ．
hair $\ell=\mathbb{\pi}, ~ 1>0$
half ${ }^{\circ}$ § 265 ．



hang up - 百多
happen 鯜
happily $\ddagger$ § 205， 4.
happy，be ${ }^{*} \approx$ ，
Harakhte s
hard stone 0 Og

harm 140，var． $1=1 \|$
harp $ل^{\prime \prime}$
harsh，be－畕啊

hasten 9
hate 股气通 var．暚
have，$\S$ II4－15．
he


head－rest 18
health 1 开
healthy，be $\cap \mathrm{J} \|$
heaps 㫷只
hear $\mathcal{A}$
hearken to 0 －
heart $\stackrel{\nabla}{1}, \frac{8 \pi}{0} \overline{0}$

heaven $\stackrel{\square}{\square}, \stackrel{\ominus}{\ominus}$

heed $\stackrel{\text { 玉 var．}}{=} \stackrel{\square}{=}$

heir
Heke（t），goddess ${ }_{4}^{\Delta} \Delta$
Heliopolis ${ }^{\text {dio }}$
helper to ${ }^{\text {ow }}$

Heracleopolis $7^{\circ}$ 요요
herb


here
heritage $\stackrel{\text { 觜合 }}{ }$
Hermopolis 的
heron $\ell_{n}$ N
hers，of $=10$ § II 3,3 ．
hew：－（stones）县县胃
hey（interj．）Aी\} $\triangle$ § 258 ．

hide（ n ） ）

hill $\Delta$ 通
hill－country M
hill－side
him $m$ § $34 ; 7 \$ 43$ ．
hin，a liquid measure，뜽 $\sigma$ § 266 ， ．
hind－quarters $\triangle \beta^{\prime \prime}$
hippopotamus 0 ．
hism§34；2
Hittite land $\Theta_{\square}$ an
ho（interj．）■
hold fast 要有
holding $\triangle$ § 66,$2 ;$－of land $\mathbb{c}_{\text {。 }}^{\circ}$
holiday 回 ${ }^{\circ}+\boldsymbol{b}$
holy，be of
honey $\mathbb{R}_{6}$
honour（vb．）1卫
honoured 4 m 4 l
hoof $J_{1}$
horizon 앰；belonging to the 一 道 $\$ 79$ ．
horn－』 var．い；－

Horus
hot，be $-\mathbb{M}$ ，
hot breath 嵒
hound 领
hour ${ }_{0}^{0} \star \odot$ var．${ }_{0}^{*}$ 。
house ㄷ．日问
how（with adj．）＂
how ？（interrog．）\＆f \＆$\$ 496$ ；much ？\＆ § 502.
however $\ddagger$ § 254 ．

humble：man of birth 굴 ；this thy — servant 条通行 p .58 ，n． I ．
hundred $\uparrow$ §§ 259.26 I ；一 thousand $\uparrow$ § 259 ．
hunger（n．）${ }^{\Delta} \Delta$
hungry，be $4 \triangle$
hunter：hippopotamus－－तथrosin
hurt（vb．） $9^{2}$


## I



ibis $\square$ ป年

idol 弊县
if，omitted，§ $216 ; \$$ § 150.15 I ；－not $-1 \rho$ § 216 ，end．
ignorant，be $\underbrace{-1}$ ，

万』
immediately ${ }^{\text {१ }}$－
important 8
imprison 3 Lis
in $\S 162$ ；being $-4+\mathbb{N} \S 79$ ．
inasmuch as $\propto_{\infty}^{m \times 223 ; ~ m m m, ~ m m m ~}$ § 18 I.

incite $\Omega \mathrm{J} \rightarrow$
incline $\Delta \stackrel{\Delta}{\Delta}$
 －§ 253 ．
indict
inhabitants 希会县县
inherit
inheritance
iniquity 940
initiated，be $\downarrow$ 芩

inspection 14
instead of $\downarrow$ § 180.

interior $\$ 0 \mathrm{Bl}$
interpret



irksome，be $\underset{m}{\square}$
is 1 §§ 29.117.
Isis 今近
island ${ }_{\text {III }}$
 § 43 ；＂
its（m．）§ $34 ; ~(,-$（f．）§ 34 ．
ivory［月
J
Jackal 敂

jaw 둉
join 8 A

jubilation 모웅
jubilee（1）$\underbrace{}_{\square}$
judge，judge between Sad，品

jug $\underset{\sigma}{ }{ }_{\sigma}$
just 3 －
justice $\Rightarrow \overbrace{0} \beta \|$
justified $\leftrightharpoons$ var． 08

## K

Karnak 1 몽
keen，be $\cap{ }^{\circ}$ 네
Khepri 点绝
Khons 9 옹



Egypt $\ddagger{ }^{\circ}$ 是 var．夺；－of Lower Egypt港 var．鼠；－of Upper and Lower Egypt 努
king，be $\ddagger \square \ \mid$ ，
kingship $\ddagger 40$ ．
kiss $f_{8}^{2}$ var．$\overline{=0} 0$
kite 1án § $266,4 .^{\circ}$
knee
knife 0 亿
knot（vb．）
knot（n．）
know $\vec{\theta} \|$－how to $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\theta}$ ；not－ knowledge：to the－of $\widehat{\text { è }}$ § 178 ．
kohl $\Pi_{n}=\hat{i}_{1}$


## L

Lack：through－of $-\mathbb{1}$ § 178 ．

lake 핀
land（vb．）$\stackrel{4}{=}$ 9 1 明
land（n．）$\overline{I_{1}}$ ；foreign $-\frac{M_{1}}{A_{1}}$
languish ل二⿷⿱㇒⿸⿻日丿乚厶会
lap 8』』』
lapis lazuli e｜l．
large－运，
lasso（vb．）poke
last day of the month $\delta 140 \S 264$ ．
last year $\left\{\int_{\text {N}}^{\circ}\right.$
later（adv．）© ${ }^{\text {® }}$ § 205， 2.
laugh $1 \mathrm{~L}^{\circ}$ 急
law
lead PAs
lean on $\underset{\square}{\square} \rightarrow i$
leap $\operatorname{man}_{0} \sin$
learn -
leather -8 㝏

left（－hand） $\int_{\Delta}^{\prime \prime}$

length 요용
leopard Y 1 月的
Letopolis © var．©


Libya ${ }^{000}$
lie down $P$ 号
lie（n．）©

life $f^{2}-$

light，be（in weight） 0 ．
light：（n．）弊๑；grow－ 9 ²

like ：（prep．）\＆\＆§ 170 ；（adj．）\＆il § 80 ；the－
likeness \＆ 8 이
likewise A．․․
limb 0

limit

linger $\stackrel{s}{8}$

lion 38 8 俞
lip
little ；a－（of something）
 liver $\leftrightarrows 0$



load（n．）気忽前
loaf $x^{2}$ ค
local ：－prince
loiter $\Omega$
long ago $\triangle-1$ § 205， 3 ．


lord 至 var． ；of the king，$\nabla_{\text {是 p．} 75 .}$
loss
lotus $\#$
love（vb．）保 varr．슬，


绝 var．各
lower part $\triangle \triangle$
lowly，be
lungs 1 \＆

## M

Mace 9,
magazine $\square \square, \square$ var．$\xlongequal{\square} \square \square$
magic 纪通

magnificence 监会い
magnify ${ }^{-\infty} \|$

Majesty $\|_{1}$ 是 var．$\ell^{\prime}$, p． 74 ．
make
male 要 10

man－servant 空，吅盆
management $\mathbb{A}$

many 㐬；出－m
 BN，茄


master $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{h}}$ var．
mat ${ }^{2}$ on var．is
matter（n．）$\|_{0}^{\circ}$
mayor 9
me 会§ 34 ；退§ 43 ．
meadow ${ }^{4}$ 픽

means：by－of §162， 7.
measure（vb．）${ }^{\text {皿与 }}$
meat 480 ， 10
medicament ${ }_{8}^{0} 0_{11}$
Medjay A（10）鲜
member（of body）$\rightarrow$ ；－s of household 3101 其．
memory 陽县
Memphis
men
menat $\stackrel{\text { un }}{=} 1 \rightarrow$ ©
messenger Yロx



mighty，be $=0$ ， $4 \|$
mild，be $=0$ 是

million 出
Min 후 var．꾸

mine，of 算行 1 § 13,3 ．

mirror ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{j}$
miserable 1 N

mishap 1018
missing，be $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
mission $\cup$
mistress $\}$ $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$
Mitanni A－ロu
mix 80
Mnevis 组
moment
monarch 4019券

Mont $\stackrel{\mu}{m}=0$ 园
month $\widehat{\star} \stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
monument
moon $4-\infty$ ）

mooring－post $\xlongequal[=]{\rightleftarrows} \downarrow \downarrow$
more than $-\S 163,7$ ．
moreover $\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\circ}$ § 255 ．
morning 大
morning bark of the sun－god $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$
mother 10 H
mound 合 var．月会
mount up $\sigma^{-3}$
mountain $\stackrel{4}{4}$

mouse 0 O 9
mouth
much（adj．）\＆（adv．）\＆205， 4.
multiply 8 期荗
mummy 11
Mut
 § 113 ， I ．
myrrh＝s
mysterious च回

## N


name



navel－string
neck 30 －

 1～～H
neglectful，be
neighbourhood 0 of

Neith ${ }^{-1} \times \alpha$

Nepri 或行虺
nest ${ }^{\text {mas }}$
netherworld $\star$ 吕 var．$\otimes_{\square}$ 品
network 잉
never,-

New Moon festival $\theta$
New Year＇s Day $\Psi$
newly 3 ？ 1
nigh，draw $\triangle \wedge$ ，量 $\triangle$



no one 그…．．．．．．．．．§ro2．


noble，be
nomad $\Longrightarrow$ 典琞

north $\underset{O T}{ }$

northern all
northwards：fare－©ek

nostril ${ }_{8} 0$
not $\mu, \ldots 8104.235 ; \$ 352 \mathrm{~A}$ ；（vb．）+ ， －
nourish $19-$
now 4ीった § 119,2 ；
Nubia ${ }^{\circ} \stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ ， $\int_{\square}^{\circ}$

number $0 \|, \pm$ ，
nurse（ vb ．）쿄
nurse（n．）＂
Nut ${ }^{00}$

O 復 var．玬 $\$ 887.258$.
oar 4
Oasis OM
oath f
obelisk
obey $\cos ^{2}-$
oblations $\boldsymbol{m}^{8}$
obstacle 饥 1 var．lis
occasion $-\stackrel{-}{\square}$

occur 台
occurrence 点宮い
of -m 86 ；consisting－$\S 162,5$ ．

offerings $\boldsymbol{m}$
 table of 一 \＄


officials，body of $\Delta$ 险澺
often 㐬 § 205， 4.
oil（for anointing） $\begin{gathered}\square \\ \delta\end{gathered}$
ointment $\mathbb{N}^{2}$ 首

一 times 4 最

## O

Ombos 覀会
on § § $165, \mathrm{I}$ ；${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{P}}$ § 173 ．
once，at $-\overrightarrow{0}-\sqrt{0}$ 205， 3 ．
one $\leftrightarrows \S 260 ; 262,1 ;$－of（several）


one（impersonal）\＄$\$ 47$ ．
Onnōphris＝－园
Onūris $\frac{8}{4}{ }^{-}$

oppose
opposite $\begin{gathered}0 \\ \text { ® } 169, ~ \\ \text { i．}\end{gathered}$
opponent
or：not expressed，§91， $2 ;$ §

order：in－that－
Orion 胋县 大
ornament $A B$
orphan
oryx spen
Osiris $\sqrt{\text { a }}$



 § II 3,1 ．

out of §162， 8 ；§ § 178 ．
outside（prep．）
outside（n．）楓宫号
overlay $1_{\infty}^{\infty}$
overleap（wall）$=\| \Omega \Lambda$
overseer varr．

overturn
owing to 18178 ．


pack up ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{b}^{4}$
pain
pair（of horses）${ }^{\circ}$
painful，be 9

palanquin $\triangle \mathrm{l}$ A
palette $\subset 8 / 2-$
palm（as measure）鲴へ varr．$\frown, \frown \S 266,2$.
panther 1040 级
papyruś－roll 层Bの，时期
pardon $\frac{8}{\square 0}$
part $-\S 265$ ．

— the day ${ }^{\circ}$ ；— the night 989 ；



peasant
peer \＆ 879 ，Obs．
pellet
 pron．）\＄$\$ 47$.

perfect，be
perfume 狩 var．䏽


permit $\{$ 负，$=$
pervading（prep．）${ }^{\omega} \triangleq \wedge$ § 175.
petition（vb．）$\Omega=$
petitioner 0 ＂通
phallus
Pharaoh ㅁ． 75 ．
Phoenix Jot $\frac{1}{3}$
physician $-{ }^{\circ}$
pierce with looks $\|_{7}^{-\infty}$


pillar 白， 9

pillow 숄

place（n．）\＆䏽


plank
plant（ n ．）M
plaster（ib．）险名
pleasant 1
pleasure，take $\stackrel{\Delta}{\square} \theta, 0$
plentiful 㐬
plot out 1 ＂O8
plough（vb．）地
plough（n．）$\square \downarrow \gg$
plummet
plunder
poison $\square \mathrm{B}^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$
poltroon $0 \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$
pool III $^{7}$ ；bird－－
poor，be $\overline{7}$ var．


portion 会前
possess，see § 114 ．
possessor of $\varnothing$
potent ${ }_{4}^{\mu}$ 붕
pound（vb．）
pour $1-7^{\circ}$
poverty
power：divine－股绝 var．母迅；have
—over fry

praise（vb．）IS





predilection $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$


prescription
presence：in the－－of 0.169 ；

present（vb））\＆
preserve 阴河
prevent
previously §／§205， 2.

 — $\downarrow$ var． 9
priesthood ${ }_{0}^{0} \star_{1}$ var．$\star_{1}$
primeval：－times ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{0}^{\circ}$ ；－waters ${ }_{\square}^{000}$
prince $\not \ddagger^{\circ}$ 을 ；hereditary－ ；local－
princess $\ddagger$ 응 ；hereditary－可
principal ${ }^{\circ}$ § 80 ．
principles is


privacy
private，be $\&$ d
probably 1 感县
procedure 10
proceed 1 ת
prominent，make 1 －
promote 1 际
pronounce（name）$\triangle$ 皿
property
prosper $-8 \bar{y}$
prosperous，be 183
protect $\dagger_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ ，
protection var．$\ell_{1}$
protector $\phi_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ 易
province 舞
prow－rope 9
prudent，be 陹筑
Ptah 嘍通


pure，be $2=$ 영
purification -18
push 0

Pwēne（t）（＇Punt＇）咥感
pyramid 9 昷 $\Delta$

Q

quarter $\times \S 265$ ．

quest：in－of $\bar{\delta} \$ \S 18 \mathrm{I}$ ．
question（vb．）空品
quickly
quiet，be
quiet（n．）॥『鼻


## R


rain $\}$
raise up $三 1$ 备


rapacious，be ．


reach $\stackrel{D}{\Delta}$
read aloud

real 3 －
reality，in－sens 205， 3 ．
really ${ }_{0}^{\circ}$ ，
reap


rebuff（n．）区－0
recall 降鼠

recite
recitation $凡$ § 306，r．

recognize - 合
recollect 䧑会
red P －
Red Land

refresh oneself $\cap \triangle \perp \perp / \mathcal{F}$

regiment $\ell_{1}$,
region ${ }^{\text {F }}$
reis 营会多

rejuvenated，be $1 \pm$
relate 㙁昷
relating to $4 \| N 879$ ．
remain $\underset{=}{\#}$ ；－over $\vec{\square}$
remainder ${ }^{\circ}$
remedy $800_{11}$
remember 㙁县
remembrance 啝昷

remove $\frac{\Delta}{S}, \square$
renew 1 及
renewed，be 3 영

repeat \＆

replace $1=0$ var． $0_{1}$
report 肘建
repress 产多
reproach（n．）1－0

resent $l_{\square}^{2}=\frac{1}{4}$
resentment，show
Residence（of the king）mos
resin $\triangle 1810{ }_{1}^{\circ}, 1$, var．$K 100_{1}^{\circ}$
respect：in－of－§163，6；show－for， $\therefore$－［解
rest（vb．）$\stackrel{\circ}{\Delta \square}$ ，㦓 $\Lambda$

restrain
retire $\xlongequal[4]{4} \wedge, ~$ 解 $\wedge$
Retjnu，i．e．Palestine and Syria $\sim$
retreat 0 笑 $\Omega$

$\rightarrow \operatorname{dix}_{x}^{\circ} \S 180$.
revenue
revered 4 嘸 14

revise 附品
reward（vb．）$\triangle$

rich，be 齐，是阶
riches
right，be $B$
right（n．）$\geqslant 0 \beta$ ．
right（－hand）\＆${ }^{\circ}$
righteous $\Rightarrow$ Nㅔ


rise $\sqrt{2}$
river $408=$
river－bank $-\mathbb{A}$

roaring 几四国
roast $\mathbb{N}_{\square}$
rob
rod（as measure of length）in § $266,2$.
room $-\infty$

round，go $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ var．$\otimes \Delta$
row 프른
rudder 0 N
rug 胜
ruin（n．）A1 1 var． 10
ruined，be fo
rule 个团
ruler $\} \Delta$ 但
rule（s）$P$
run $-\Omega, \square \Omega$
rush（ n ）） 4
S
Sack（as measure）\＆f var．A § 266，I．
sad $\sim 1$
safe，be $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ var．

—downstream，northward $\theta$ ；－up－
stream，southward


Sais 面
sale 1


sand $\square_{011}$
sandal $ص \downarrow$ \＆
sandstone $\triangle \beta \Rightarrow$ gna
sarcophagus $\sigma \boldsymbol{\theta}$
satiated，be 胋䈍
satisfied，be 吅
 § 437 ．
scale（of fish）－
sceptre 10 ，З
schoenus $1-8=\square 18266,2$.
scimetar 昭
scribe 时通

sculpture（vb．）$\Theta_{0}$

seal（vb．）A

search out ${ }^{2}$
season ${ }^{\circ}$ โ。

second $\downarrow=11$ var． $\begin{aligned} & \| \\ & 8\end{aligned} 263$ ．
secret $1{ }^{\circ}$ 日
Sed－festival（1） $\boldsymbol{y}$ and

seed 동 $\searrow_{1}$, ，var．$\searrow_{11}{ }_{1}$

seer：＇Greatest of－s＇，title of high－priest of Heliopolis \＄
Selêl $\mathrm{FB}_{\mathrm{C}}$

self，not expressed $\S 36 ; \pi, \pi-2$ with suffixes， § 36.
send $\sqcap 』 \Omega, \pi 』 \Omega$

sentence

Serke（t）䧄分通


serve 阿』
Sesha（ t ）雨边
set（of sun）$\frac{d}{\Delta a}$ ；be－apart $-\underset{y}{d}$

shadow 9i p． 173.
shape $\Delta \mid\{\mid\}$, ，$\overbrace{0} \mid$
share $\triangle \triangle$
sharp，be $\int_{0}^{0} \Delta \|, \rightarrow \sim$
shave ${ }_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta \Phi$
shawabti figure mof لin］
she $\uparrow,-\S 34 ; \uparrow_{N} \S 43 ;{ }_{\square}{ }^{-1} \S 64 ; \upharpoonright_{N}$（pron． compound）§ 124 ；§ 128 ．
sheep 万雨
shield $4 \square$
shine 9 ；forth $\sqrt{2}, \frac{0}{8} \pi$
 shoot $\int_{0}$ The $^{2}$ ， ［abbrev．ak
shore $4 \sum_{81}$
short－horned cattle ${ }^{ \pm}$筫

shrine 두，늘
Shu 1 目

Sia ص典
side fl
sight 9 ；in the－of $\rightarrow$－§ 178 ．
signet－ring $1 \overline{8}$
silent，be 区这
silver 9 ！
since $8 \S 176$.
sing 根通 var．很

sister ${ }^{4}-2{ }^{2}$
sistrum 苛－푸



skipper 营夏有
skirt $\ell=40 \circ$ 困
sky ${ }^{0}-\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$
slack，be 备四的var．四余
slaughter（vb．）$\approx \stackrel{\pi}{0}$

slave（male）$l_{1}$ 逆；female－$l_{1}$ 逪
slaves 䓂界悬
slay 13 var． 1 皿盛
sledge 沮品品，
sleep $\triangle \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}}$

small，be var．$\square 1$





snare（vb．）阁

Sobk 19－5
soft，be $\xlongequal{=}$
Sokar
soldier 5 －
sole（of foot）$\Rightarrow \downarrow \circ$ ．
sole（adj．）$\frac{\leftrightarrow}{\square 1} \$ 260$ ．
someone $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ § 102.

son ${ }^{1}$
Sopd $4 \mathbb{A}$
Sothis $\Delta \dot{*}$
soul
soul－priest 出 var．$\uparrow$

sound，be 1
sour
south $\frac{7}{2}$ II
south wind 7
southern $f_{\pi}^{\prime \prime}$
sovereign 4891青 var．盛
space（of time）
speak $\overbrace{0}$ ，！要虽

spell（n．），


spirit $\cup$（p．172），䈍

spit ㅁ． | $\infty$ |
| :---: |

spleen 17 为 0 var．

split 路兵
spring（vb．）

stable，be | 曾 |
| :--- |

stability 单合》 var．羊
staff Din $^{\prime}$
staircase ${ }_{-\infty}$
stairway
stable：（for horses） 18 ；（for cattle）섐
stall 周号
stand
standard 1 우；（military）－ $0 \| \cap \circ 9$
star 1 』 $*$ var．＊

steal $\widehat{\text { An }}$
steering oar 0 为
steersman ORAD页



sting $2 \sqrt{6}$
stink $\underset{\sim}{2}$
stone $4{ }^{\circ}$－；precious－$-\infty$


storm 乌華
stout，be $D_{0}$

strength $\Omega_{11}^{\circ}$ g
strengthen $\Pi$ 朋
stretch $\stackrel{\square}{\square} \backsim$ var．
stride $\Omega \Delta$ var．

strong，be＝
subdue 0 多度 var． 81 备
subjects $\widehat{\theta}$ 付管
subsequently ${ }_{i}$ 守 § 205， 2.
subtract $\theta \mathrm{Jx}$
succour 1 器
suck，suckle $\Gamma^{1 / 20}$
suffer 0 ，
suite 䣕分
summer－season $ص$ 플
summon $\overline{4 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$

sun－god - 皿
sunder $=\hat{x}$
supper 灲脂T




surround $1, l_{0}$
survive $\stackrel{-}{\square}$
sustenance

swallow（n．）щ＂
swamp $\overbrace{\text { 合 }}$
swear f－备，百
sweat $\approx=$
sweet，be ？
sweetness
sycamore

## T

 tail $1=$

 counsel toto空
talk｜
tall $\triangle$ 骨
tardily §2 $\AA$ § 205， 4.

Taye（t）ETM0T
teach $\| \Delta x$ 县
teaching $\| \Delta x$ 友
temperature $1 \infty A$
temple，ㅁ，行品，胃品
ten $\cap$ § 259 ；－thousand § § 259 ．
tend 1sm
tent 8 ®
terrace $-{ }_{0}$

test（vb．） 19 号
testament＋
testify to Elll
than $-\S 163,7$ ．
that（demonstrative）
that（conjunction）§ 237 ；§ 233 ；in order－，omitted，§ 40.
the，omitted，§21；
Thebes ！！${ }^{\circ}$
thee（m．）$\sim$ § 34 ；$\square$ ，§ 43 ．

their $\Gamma_{11}^{2-1}$ § 34 ．



thence $4 \$ 205$ ， I ．
there 1 § $205, \mathrm{i}$ ；一 is，was，1 § 107 ；

therefore $\$ 易 § 228 ．
［§351， 2.
therefrom $\$ 205$ ， 1 ．
therein $\$$ § 205 ， ．
thereof $\emptyset_{11}$ var．$\ell_{11}$ I $_{1}$ §113， 2.
thereupon $\xi^{-1}=$ § 478－482．
therewith 1 ，

 § $124 ;$ 吸 $\$ 128$.
they two $\uparrow$＂
thick，be P埗


thing $\overbrace{011}^{\ominus}, \gamma_{1} ;$ expressed by fem．gender，$\S 51$ ．

third ${ }^{\text {g＇}} \mathrm{\sigma}$＇var．${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$＇$\$ 263,2$.
thirst（vb．）$\backslash \backslash \sqrt{6}=$ 영
thirst（n．）$\backslash$ ป觔



thoroughly $-\underset{\sim}{\mu}$＂．$\sqrt{4}$ § 205， 5 ．
those
Thoth 《Nㅛ

 －
though § $162,11, c$ ．
thousand $\ddagger \$ 259$ ．
three $\underset{\rightarrow 1 \text { ！}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{ }^{\circ}$ var． 111 § 260.
three－quarters $\prod^{\top} \S 265$ ．





throw－stick－
thrust


tibia $\neq \stackrel{\square}{\square}$
tie（vb．） C ，

 — of（1）§ －○ ס


 toe 1110
 § 178.

to－morrow $大$ § 205,3 ．
tongue 10
too $\circlearrowright$ § 163,7 ．

torch บ．
tortoise $=\$$
total $A 1=$
touch $\infty 84-\infty$
town $\theta_{0}^{\prime},=f_{1}^{\pi}$
trample down $\circ \cap \circ \cap \Omega$
transgress ${ }^{\circ} \Omega \Omega$
transfix（with look）$\|_{\text {雨－}}^{\infty}$
$\left.\operatorname{trap}(\mathrm{vb})\right|_{.\Delta} ^{\circ}$

upstream
（by water）惟


treasure $\Omega_{\mathrm{L}}^{\circ}$
treasurer＠
treasury $\square \hat{\square}$

tremble $\|=3$

trifling（adj．）
trouble
true $\underset{\sim}{3} ;$

truth $\Rightarrow \Omega \&$

 back（trans．）$\ell^{m \times h}$
turquoise $\mathbb{U}_{0.0}^{0}$
two $\operatorname{l}_{\|}^{0}$ var．＂
tyrannical，be $\sim$ 回会
U
Uncover

undertake $\stackrel{\circ}{0}+H^{\circ}$
unfold 员
unguent $\pi k \stackrel{A}{\Delta}$
unique $\underset{\Delta 11}{\leqslant}$ § 260 ．
 united，be $\left\{\int_{x}^{\ominus}\right.$
unless $-4 \| \S 2$ I6，end．
unloose $\|^{*}{ }^{*}$




 of — and Lower Egypt 怒
upset $\xlongequal{=}$
upside down，be 1 是楽

urinate
us ${ }_{11}$ m $\$ 34.43$ ．
us two＂


V
Various $ص \downarrow^{m \times n}$ var．${ }^{x}$
vegetables 响只，var．吅
vein 0

vertebra
very $\$ 0$ § 205， 4.

victorious $=-0$ 家
victory $=0810$
victuals f1，11
view ：in —of the fact that $\underbrace{\theta-m}_{\infty} \$ 223$ ．
vigilant
vigorous，be 에
vile（of enemy）

violate 號；（frontier）会组

vizier 家会

void $P B$
vomit $ل$
vulture $\underset{\square}{\infty}$
vulva ${ }_{-}^{\circ \rho}$ var．${ }^{\circ} \rho$

W
Waif 風各
wake 프
wakeful，be $O$ var．Yos
walk ${ }_{\square}^{\circ} \Omega, \Omega \Omega ;-$ about $\Omega \Omega$


want（n．）var．vis
war－cry 几 口盆
warmth $\prod_{\infty}^{\infty} A$
wash $1-\infty$
washerman 缚 몬
water＝표
water－skin 98
wave ㅇN＝


we
§ 124 ；— two＂
 wealthy，be 40
weapons


weep $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}$ var．$\hat{\mathbb{R}}$

welfare 1
well ：it goes－with ${ }^{2}=$ § 141 ；as－as
\＆§ 170,3 ．
well（n．）
Wepwawet
west f
western fo


§ 50 I ；to－purpose？－$\AA_{8} \$ 96$.
when ：not expressed，§ 30 ；$\S 162$, II．12； © § $69,6.8$.
whence ？

wherefore？オ $\triangle$＂
wherewith？\＆ 496.
which ${ }_{\text {all }}^{\text {＂II }}$ §§ 199－20I．
which ？$\AA 14$ §499， ．
while $\mathcal{i}+$ infin．，§ 165 ， 10 ．
white 9 ；the－crown of Upper Egypt 90 1
whither？－
who＂all $\S \S$ I99－20I．
who ？$\frac{A}{A_{0}}$ var．吸通§ 497 ；吸§ 498.

whole，be $\perp$
why？$A_{0} \$ 96$.
wide，be 䧑：
widow 盛
wife ${ }_{0}$ 수
wind ${ }^{\frac{7}{9}}$
wine $\rho_{\square} \boldsymbol{m}$

winter－season $\square \odot \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$
wise，be 媳量

with（of instrument）§ 62,7 ；（of persons） © §167， I ；together－ §178；§162， 7 a ．
withdraw 9 备
within
 § 178.
witness（n．）玉阶通通
wolf（or jackal）


wood ${ }_{a}$ i．

workman UR 皿盛一通
worm $0^{2 n}=\mathrm{m}$
worship－
worth

wrath 2 니
wrathful，be 気出
wreath $818, a$
wretched 3
wring neck of（bird）
write 朝初




## Y

Year $\left\{_{1}^{\circ} ;\right.$ regnal $-\left\{_{\ominus}^{\circ} ;\right.$ last $-\left\{\int_{\odot}^{\alpha_{-}}\right.$
yes de血§258





youth -20 年

## INDEXES

## I. GRAMMATICAL AND ORTHOGRAPHIC

For words written in hieroglyphs see the Egyptian-English Vocabulary

Abbreviations, § 55 .
Absence, see Non-existence.
Absolute use of infinitive: in headings, titles, etc., § 306, I ; in narrative, § 306, 2.
Absolute use of nouns: to express time, §88, 1 ; with function of a sent., § 89 ; after adjs., § 88, 2 ; after prohibitions from adj.-vbs., § 340 , I .
Absolute use of participles and relative forms, in headings or narrative, § 390 .
Abstracts ending in $-w, \S 77,1 ;$ Sign-list, Z 2.
Accent: in Coptic, p. 429 ; in fem. ns., $\S 78$, Obs.
Accumulation of particles, § 257.
Active voice, § 293.
Adjectival parts of verb: parts., sdmty.fy form and rel. forms, p. 222, top; § 353 .
Adjectival predicate: sents. with, $\S \S 28,3$; 13545 ; this preceded by indep. pron. ist pers. as subj., $\S \$ 65,2 ; 136$; very rare with other persons, p. 108, n. 6; exceptionally after $m i, \S 154$, end ; is inserted to emphasize pron., § 136 ; related construction with parts., $\S \S 136$, OBS.; 373 ; foll. by n. as subj., $\S \S 48,2$; 137 ; by dep. pron., $\S \$ 44,3$; 137 ; by $s t$, $\S 46,3$; by $p w, \S \S$ 140. 14 x ; by dep. pron. with $n$. in apposition, § 139 ; adj. with ending rwy 'how', §§ 49. 137. 139; parts. as adj. pred., § 374, with Add. p. 425 ; adj. pred. combined with dative indicating possession, § $138 ; n(y)$ 'belonging to' foll. by dep. pron. even of ist pers., § 114,2 ; sim. ink, $n t k$, etc. 'belonging to me , thee, etc.', § 114,3 ; sim. $n \cdot i-\operatorname{im}(y)$, etc., § 114,4 ; adj. pred. impersonal, foll. by dative of person affected, § 141 ; preceded by $i w$ and $w n n, \S 142$; by $m k$ or ist, § 142 ; replaced by adj.-vb., see under this; subj. omitted, § 145 , cf. too § 467 ; negated, § 144. Adjective clauses, see under Relative clauses.
 used in same cases as $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ of $w n n$ and of $t m$, §§ 143.342; as obj. of certain vbs., §§ 143. 186, I;
(imperf.) p. 355, n. 8; in virt. cl. of purpose, §§ 143. 219; after preps., § 157, 4; 'after ir 'if', $\S 150$, end; sidm $\cdot n \cdot f$ form of, after $-\ldots$, $\S \$ 141$, Obs. 1; 144, 3, Obs.; old perf., when pred. following its subj., §§ $137, \mathrm{I} ; 320$, end; p. 252, n. 8 ; with omitted subj., § 467 ; expresses state of things, § 311 , end; in ist pers. narrative, §312, 4; as negatival complement followed by qualifying n ., § 340 , r .
Adjectives, §48; probably are mostly parts. from adj.-vbs., § 135 ; some ending in $-y$ (less often $-w$, § 79, Obs.) derived from preps. or ns., so-called nisbe-adjs., §§ 79-8r ; some from f. ns. ending in $-t y$ are written as duals, § 79 , end; genitival adj. $n(y)$, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.; nb 'every', 'any', 'all' see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.; equivalents of Engl. adjs., $\S \S 98$-103; number in, § 72 ; no degrees of comparison, $\S 50$; these, how indicated, §97. See further Demonstrative adjectives, Possessive adjectives.
Adjectives, uses and syntax of: as epithet follow n., agreeing in number and gender, $\S 48,1$; do., sometimes separated from $n$. by genitive or adv., but sometimes adhere closely, forming compound n ., § 94 ; m. form when following several ns. of which first is m ., § 51 I , I ; rarely precede n ., this introduced by $n(y), \S 94, \mathrm{I}$; or follow n ., themselves introduced by $n(y), \S 94,2$; foll. by qualifying n., § 88, 2 (cf. §§ $34^{\circ}, \mathrm{x} ; 345$ ); or such a n . introduced by $n(y)$ or prep. $n(?), \S 95$; adjs. in $-y$ may govern n . or suffix-pron., § 80 ; sometimes with intercalated word, § 80 , end; adj. epithet may bear emphasis without external mark ( $\S 96,1$ ); or may be introduced by $m$ of predication, $\S 96,2$; adj. epithet employed like a rel. form, p. 308, top. Use as ns., usually followed by appropriate determinative, $\S 48,3$; adjs. felt as ns., hence treated as of 3 rd pers., $\S \S 136.509$, I. Use as preds., §48, 2; see too under Adjectival predicate.

Adverb clauses, $\S \S 210-23$ : one of three kinds of subordinate cl., § 182 ; nature defined, § 210 ; three types, I . virtual (details below), $2, a$. prepositional without $n t t$ ( $\$ 222$ ), 2, b. prepositional with $n t t$ (§ 223), § 210 ; difficulties in connexion with type I., § 21 I ; virt. cls. of time with verbal pred., § 212 ; virt. cls. of circumstance with verbal pred., § 213 ; use of old perf. as, § 314 ; pass. śd $d m \cdot f$ used as, $\S 423, \mathrm{I}$; virt. cls. of time and circumstance with non-verbal pred., § 214 ; virt. cls. of circumstance used as pred., § 215 ; virt. cls. of condition, § 216 ; 'whether . . . or whether' cls., § 217 ; virt. cls. of asseveration, § 218 ; virt. cls. of purpose, § 219; virt. cls. of result, § 220 ; virt. cls. of cause, § 221. Adverbial phrase, i.e. preposition + noun, $\S \S 28, \mathrm{I}$; 116; common exx., § 205, 3; position at end of sentence, § 27 ; dative, however, as far forward as possible, § 66 ; if needful for convenience, others too may precede subj. or obj., $\S 507,2$.
Adverbial predicate, sents. with, $\S \S 28,1 ; 116-24$; rare with indep. pron. as subj., $\S 116$, end; presence or absence of $i w$ in, with n . subj., § 117 , r ; with suffix-pron. subj., § 117,2 ; expressing wish or command, § II8, i; with emphasized pred. without subj. in exclamatory wishes, § 153 ; other cases of omitted subj., § 123 ; themselves serving as adv. pred., $\S 215$; tense and mood made explicit by use of $w n n, \S 118,2$; so too after $i h, \S 118,2$; also as virt. n. cl. (obj.) after $r d i$ and other vbs., § 118,2 ; 186,2 ; adv. pred. after participles of $w n n, \S 396,1$; parts. $m k$, ist, $h r, n h m n, h s, h w y-s$ used before sents. with adv. pred., §II9; pronominal compound $t w \cdot i$, etc., used in, § 124 ; introduced by in iv in questions for corroboration, § 492, I; with interrogative word in pred. in questions for specification, § 495 ; theory that imperf. sdm.f serves as subj. to such sentences in wishes and questions, etc., $\S \S 440,5.6 ; 446$; this certainly true in questions with $\mathrm{tm} \cdot f, \S 346, \mathrm{x}$; also elsewhere, § 346 , 2 ; ways of negating such sents., § $120 ; m$ of predication as adv. pred., §§ $38.125 ; r$ of futurity, § 122 ; prep. $n$ to indicate possession, § II4, I ; adv. pred. may intervene within a composite subj., § 121 ; pseudoverbal construction conforming to model of sents. with adv. pred., § 319.
Adverbial use : of ns., see there; of infin. after negative $n n$ or $n, \S 307$; of old perf., § 311.314 .
Adverbs, §§ 205-9; derived from (nisbe-) adj. in
$-\boldsymbol{y}, \S 205,1$; corresponding to compound preps., § 205, 2; consisting of prep. $+\mathrm{n} ., \S 205,3$; derived from adj. or verbal stems, § 205, 4; formed with help of $r, \S 205,5$; ns. used as, $\S \S 86.205,6$; syntax of, § 206; to qualify a vb. or entire sent., § 206; to qualify ns., equivalent to adjs., § 206; phrases for 'entire', § 100 ; degrees of comparison, how expressed, § 207 ; position of, § 208 ; negation of, § 209 ; resumptive, § 195. See too under Sentence-adverb.
Afformative prefixes: causative $s, \S 275 ; n, \S 276 ; m$ in ns., § 290.
Agent: defined, § 297, 2 ; introduced by $i n$ or $h r$ after passives, § 39, end; after infin., § 300 ; after pass. parts., § 379, I; expressed sometimes by indep. pron. after infin., § 300 .
Alphabet, the, § 18 ; p. 27 ; later alternative forms, p. 27, Obs.

Alphabetic (or uniliteral) signs, §17, 1.
'And', how expressed, § 91, i.
Anomalous verbs, § 289.
Answers to questions: introduced by $p w, \S 190, \mathrm{I}$; 325 ; often elliptical in form, §506, $\mathbf{1}$.
Antecedent: meaning of term, § 195 ; when undefined, foll. by virt. rel. cl., § 196 ; rarely so when defined, § 198 ; when defined, nty usual, § 199 ; nty less common when ant. undefined, § 199 ; rare exx. of ant. being absent before virt. rel. cl., § 197; term also used for the word with which pass. parts. (or rel. forms) agree, $\S 376$; cases where a resumptive pron. is not needed, $\S 382$; where it is needed, $\S 383$; presence or absence of resumptive pron. in certain cases, § 385 .
Anticipatory emphasis, defined, § 146 ; in non-verbal sents., § 147 ; in verbal sents., § 148 ; by means of ir 'if', § 149 ; by means of $r f, \S 152$.
Aphorisms, use imperf. sidm $\cdot f, \S 44^{\circ}$, i.
Apposition, $\S 90$; used to indicate material of which objects are made, $\S 90,1$; used of measures and numbers, $\S 90,2$; in indications of locality, $\S 90,3$; adj. used as $n$. in app. emphasized by $m$ of predication, $\S 96,2 ; n$. in app. to dep. pron. as subj., §§ I 32 . 139 ; in app. to $p w, \S{ }^{2} 3^{\circ} ;$ n. in app. after suffix-pron., § 90 .
Archaism, false, in writings of $t$ for $t$ and $\underline{d}$ for $d$, § 19, Obs. 2.
Article, usually unexpressed, §21; but see under Definite article, Indefinite article.

Asseveration, virt. clauses of, § 218.
Attendant circumstances, usually expressed by n. + adv. pred., § II7, I. See too Circumstance, clauses of.
Auxiliary verbs, $\$ \S$ 460-85. Details, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.

Badal-apposition, p. 68, n. $4^{\text {a }}$.
'Be', two stems $i w$ and wnn used to express, § 1 r 8 , end.
Biliteral signs, §§ 17,2 ; 31. 41. 53.71.82.93; how to be transliterated, § 19, Obs. I.
Biliteral verbs, § 278 .
Body, parts of: apt to be in singular, if single in the individual person, § 510,3 .
'Bring', anomalous verb, § 289, 3.
Cardinal numbers, see Numbers, cardinal.
Case-endings not found in Egyptian, § 83.
Case-names, use of in connexion with Egyptian convenient, but not scientific, § 83, Obs.
Causatives in $s, \S 275, \mathrm{I}$; of 2 -lit. vbs., § $\mathrm{S}_{2} 82$; of 3-lit. vbs., § 283 ; of zae gem. vbs., § 284 ; of 3 ae inf. vbs., $\S 285$; of 4 -lit. vbs., § 286 ; of 4 ae inf. vbs., § 287 .
Cause, virt. clauses of, §221.
Characterizations: use imperf. $s d m \cdot f$ form, § $440, \mathbf{1}$; negated by $n s d m \cdot n \cdot f$, §§ 105, $3 ; 418$.
Circumstance, clauses of: virt., with verbal pred., § 213 ; with non-verbal pred., §§117. 214; old perf. used as, § 314 ; pass. sddm.f used as, § 423,1 ; in pseudo-verbal construction, $\S \S 322.323$.
Clauses, subordinate, defined, § 182. See under Adverb clauses, Noun clauses, Relative clauses; also under Circumstance, Condition, etc.
Collectives, feminine, $\S 77,3.4$; referred to by f. adjs., but sometimes by plur. suffix-prons., §510, 2.
'Come', anomalous vbs., § 289, 2.
Commands: expressed by imper., § 335 ; negative, § $34^{\circ}$; sometimes expressed by $i h+s d m \cdot f, \S 228$; sents. with adv. pred. expressing, § II8, 1 .
Comparative degree, absent from adjs., §50, and advs., § 207; meaning expressed with help of prep. $r$, §§ 50. 97. 163, 7; 207.
Comparisons: apt to be much abbreviated, § 506, 4; tend to be focussed on things rather than actions, $\S 398$; construction with part. + noun or dep. pron. common in, §374, with Add. p. 425 .

Complementary infinitive, § 298, Obs.
Compound narrative verb-forms, §§ 460-85. Details, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Compound verbs, § 288.
Concord, $\S \S 508-11$ : defined, $\S 508$; of person, § 509 ; of number, § 510 ; of gender, §§ 92.511 ; with parts., § 354 .
Condition, clauses of: virt. cls. of condition, § 216 ; using $n$ is for 'if not', 'uriless', § 216 , end; with imperf. sidm•f, §444, 2; after ir 'if', with sdm•f form, § 150 ; with imperf. sdm.f of $2 a e \mathrm{gem}$. vbs., $\S 444,4$; also of $3 a e$ inf. vbs. if another sd $d m \cdot f$ precedes, $i b$.; with perf. $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ in other verb-classes, $\S 454,5$; negated by $t m \cdot f, \S 347,6$; by $n f r n$, p. 266, n. 10; by $n f r p w$, p. 267, n. 2; by ir wdf, § 352 ; unfulfilled, with $i r+s \dot{d} d m \cdot n \cdot f$, §§ $151.414,3$.
Conjunctive tense of L.E. and Coptic, origin of, § $300, \mathrm{Obs}$.
Consequence, future, expressed by $i \underline{h}+s d m \cdot f, \S \S 40$, 3; 228.
Consonantal changes, § 19.
Consonants: alone represented in hieroglyphic writing, § 19 ; how to be rendered in transliterating proper names, p. 436 .
Consonants, weak, § 20.
Continued action, expressed by imperf. tense, $\S 295$; in act. parts., $\S \S 366.367$; in pass. parts., $\S 369,2$; imperf. sd $d m \cdot f, \S 44^{\circ}$; negated by $n$ s'dm $m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 418$.
Contrast, $i w$ employed to express, § 117 , $1 ;$ p. 248, top; §§ 394, end; 468, 2.
Co-ordination, how expressed, § 9 I.
Copula: often left unexpressed, $\S \S 28$; in certain cases expressed by $i w$, and in others by some form of $w n n$; in sents. with nom. (more rarely adj.) pred. expressed by $p w$; see under these words in the Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Custom, pres., past or fut., expressed by imperf. $\dot{s} d m \cdot f, \S 440$, I. 2. 3; by $i w \dot{s} d m \cdot f, \S 462$; by $i w \cdot f$ $\dot{s} d m \cdot f, \S 463$; negated by $n$ śdm $n \cdot n \cdot f$, §418. See too under Continued action.

Dates: absolute use of nouns in, § 88,1 ; numbers used in, §§ 259.264 ; examples of, p. 203.
Dative: expressed by means of prep. $n, \S \S 52 ; 164$; precedence in word-order before other adv. phrases, §66; serves to indicate possession, §§ $114, \mathrm{I}$; 138 ; possible use after adj. to express qualifying n., § 95 , end; use after adj. pred. to
express contingent or temporary qualification, § 14 I ; indicating agent after pass. parts., p. 279; § 379,3 ; so used also as element in rel. sdmwen•f ( $\S 386,2$ ) and narrative $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 411,2$; reflexive use after imper., § 337, 2.
Defective writings, §59.
Definite article, § II2, end; usually dispensed with, § 2 I ; masc. $p$; with neuter sense before rel. form an anticipation of L.E. usage, $\$ 5$ II, 4, end.
Degrees of comparison, absent in Eg., §§ 50.97 ; meaning of, how conveyed, §§ 50. 97. 207.
Demonstrative adjectives and pronouns: enumerated, § IIO; construction of, § 111 ; meaning of, § 112 ; as subj. of sent. with adv. pred., §§ 116.117 ; with nom. pred., this preceding, § 127, 2 (see too esp. under $p w$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.); plur. (really neuter in meaning) $n$, $n w$ etc. treated as m., but referred back to with f. suffix-prons., §§ rif. 511,3 ; dem. adj. has precedence over other adjs., §48, 1 .
Denominative verbs, § 292.
Dependence (of verbs and clauses) often not marked, § 30.
Dependent pronouns, $\S \S 33,2 ; 43$; main uses, $\S 44$; as obj. of all verb-forms except infin., §44, I ; with infin. in exceptional cases, $\S 301$; obj. of imperative, § 339 ; of negatival complement, § 34 I ; retained obj. after pass. parts., $\S 377,2 ; 386$ (disputed by de Buck, Add. p. 425); as subj. after adj. pred., $\S \$ 44,3 ; 48,2 ; 137$; after adj. anticipating n., § 139 ; after interrogatives, $\S \S 127,3 ; 132$. $497, \mathrm{I} ; 498.503,4$; after words signifying 'belonging to', $n(y)$, § 114,$2 ; i n k, n t k$, etc., § 114,3 ; $n$-i-imy, etc., § II4, 4; ist pers. hardly used as subj. except after $n(y), \S 137$, and possibly with pass. parts., Add. p. 425 to $\S 374$; 2nd pers. after imperatives, § 337 , $\mathbf{1}$; 3 rd pers. f. sy replacing ending - $t i$ of old perfect., $\S 374$, end; dep. pron. as subj. after many non-enclitic parts., $\S \S 44,2$; 244 ; after $n t y, \S 200,2$; after $n t t, \S 237$; reflexive use, $\S 45$. For $s t$ and $t w$ see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Determinative of dual, $\S 73,4$.
Determinative of plurality, $\S 73,3$; added to some sing. ns., § 77, r. 2. 3 ; to collectives, §77,3; to f. parts. with neuter meaning, $\S 354$.
Determinatives, § 23 ; only rarely absent from words, $i b . ;$ inaccuracy of term, § 23, Obs.; p. 440 ; generic, § 24 ; p. 441; stroke-, § 25 ; phonetic, § 54 ; after
final element of compound phrases, §§ 61. 354; added to parts. used as ns., § 354 .
Direct genitive, see under Genitive, direct and indirect.
Direct speech, § 224 .
Direction of writing, § 16 .
Disjunction, how expressed, § 9 I.
Doubtful readings, $\S 63$.
Dual appearance of some adjs. ending in $-t y, \S 79$, end, cf. also § 77, i.
Dual of adjectives, $\S 72$; omission of ending, § 74 ; dual of $n(y)$ rare and archaistic, § 86.
Dual of nouns, $\S \S 72.73$, 1. 2.4 ; omission of endings, $\S 74$; treated as m . sing., $\S 51 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{a}}$; apparent duals, § 77, 1 ; forms of suffix-prons. after, § 75, I. 2.

Dual of pronouns, early obsolete: suffix-prons., § 34 ; dep. prons., p. 45, n. $5^{\text {b }}$.
Dynamic sense of construction with $h r+$ infin., § 320 .

Ellipses, §506; definition, $i b$.; in questions and answers to questions, $\S 506, \mathrm{I}$; in exclamatory wishes, etc., $\S 506,2$; in label mode of statement, $\S 506,3$; in comparisons, $\S 506,4$; omission of prons., §506, 5 .
Emphasis: often rests on indep. pron. ist sing. before adv. pred., $\$ 65$, end; on subj. in participial statement, $\S \S 373.391$; on adj. without external mark, $\S 96,1$; do., conveyed by $m$ of predication, § 96,2 ; of sents. by means of $r f, \S 152$. See too under Anticipatory emphasis.
Enclitic particles, $\S \S 66.245-57$; defined, $\S \S 208$. 226 ; position in sent., §66. For the individual particles see the Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Epithet, adjectives as, $\$ \$ 48$, 1; 94. See too under Laudatory epithets.
Exclamations: use of old perf. in, see under Exhortations. See too under Interjections.
Exclamatory ending $w y, \$ \S 49$ 137. 139. 141. 374.
Exhortations: expressed by and and 3rd pers. of old perf., § 313 ; use of imperf. sidm•f in, §440, 5 ; use of perf. śdm.f in, $\S 40,2 ; 450,4$; do., after $i h$, § $450,5, a$.
Existential sentences, $\S \S 107-8$; use of $w n n$ in, § 107, 1 ; iw wn 'there is', 'was', § 107, 2; iw omitted in, after $n t y$ and certain particles, §§ 107, 2 ; 201, Obs.;
or else $i w$ changed into $w n n, \S 107,2$, Obs.; negated, see under Non-existence.

Feminine ending $-t$, § 26; attached to ideograms, § 25 ; fallen already in O.K. in status absolutus, p. 34, n. $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{a}} ;$ p. $43^{2}, \mathrm{n} .4$; falls also in status constructus, p. 66, n. $2^{2}$; retained in status pronominalis, $\S \S 78$, Obs.; 62, end.
Feminine gender used to express neuter, § 51 ; so too in pron. st, § 46 .
Feminine plural: ending $-w t, \S 72$; the $w$ never shown in adjs., §74; referred to by m. old perfs. and parts., § $511,2$.
Filiation: $s ;$ direct genitive, $\S 85$, end; do., early with graphic inversion, $i b$.; expressed by ir $n$, $m s n$, p. 279, top; § 379, end.
Flexional endings in verbs, $\S 296$.
Fractions: expression of, $\S 265$; in the corn-measure, § 266,1 ; in other measures, § 266, 2. 3 ; in weights, § $266,4$.
Future participle: represented by sdmty.fy, §§ 363 . $364.365,3$; rarely by an actual part., $\S 368$.
Future, reference to, in perf. rel. form, $\S 389,2, b$.
Future, statements with regard to: expressed with wnn.f in sents. with adv. pred., §§ 118,$2 ; 440,3$; do., in existential sents., § 107,1 ; using $r$ of futurity, § 122 ; do., in pseudo-verbal construction, $\$ \$ 332.333$; in verbal sents., with imperf. $s d m \cdot f$, of custom and the like, $\S 44^{\circ}, 3$; with perf. sd $d m \cdot f$, $\S 45^{\circ}, 3$; do., preceded by $i n+$ n. or by indep. pron., $\S 227,2 ; 450,5, e$; do., preceded by $m k$, § 234 ; by $h r$ or $h r \cdot f, \S \$ 239.45^{\circ}, 5, c$; by $k$; or $k \cdot f$, §§ 242. 450, 5, $d$; using sidm•in•f, § 429, 2 ; sidm•hr•f, §431, I; $\dot{s} d m \cdot k \cdot f, \S 434$. Negated, mainly $n n s d m \cdot f ;$ see under $n n$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab:; tm.hr $\cdot f \dot{s} d m$, § 432 .
Futurity, $r$ of, see under last entry and $r$ prep. in Eg.--Engl. Vocab.

Geminating verb-forms: imperf. parts., $\$ \S 356.357$. 358 ; śdmty.fy, in zae gem. only, § 364 ; imperf. rel.
 Obs. 1; 438. 439; wnn.hr.f, §§ $430.47 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$; old perf., § 310 , end.
Geminating verbs, §§269. 274, Obs. 1 ; zae gem., § 280 ; 3ae gem., § 284.
Gemination: term misleading, § 277; possible explanation of, $\S \S 269.356$, OBS. ; distinguished from
reduplication, § 274 , end; found in weak vbs. ending in $-i$ or $-w, \S 270$.
Gender: of ns. and adjs., §§ 26.72.79.92; of adj. as epithet and pred., $\S 48$; concord of, $\S 511$. See too under Infinitive, Participles, and Relative forms.
Generalizations: affirmative, expressed by imperf. $s \dot{d} d m \cdot f, \S 440, \mathrm{r}$; by $i w w \dot{d} d m \cdot f, \S 462$; by $i w \cdot f \dot{s} d m \cdot f$, $\S 463$. Negated by $n \dot{s} \dot{d} m \cdot n \cdot f, \S \S 105,3 ; 418,1$; possibly expressed by geminating forms of old perf., §310.
Generic determinatives, § 24 ; p. 441 .
Genitival adjective, see under $n(y)$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Genitive, direct and indirect, $\S 85$. Direct, uses, ib.; changed to indirect when adj. intervenes, Add. to § 86 , p. 423 ; suffix-prons. used as, $\S \$ 35$, i ; 85 ; do., as semantic obj. after infin., § 300 ; as semantic subj. after intrans. infins., rarely elsewhere, $\$ \S 3$ II. 306, 2 ; as semantic subj. after pass., $\S 379,2$. Indirect, see under $n(y)$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
'Give', anomalous vbs., $\S 289$, I.
Glosses introduced by $p w, \$ \S 189,1 ; 325$; do., with neg. vb. $t m, \S 347,2$.
Grammatical predicate, defined, § 126 ; normally follows gramm. subj., $i b$.
Grammatical subject, defined, § 126 ; normally precedes gramm. pred., ib.
Greetings: expressed by 2 nd or $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. of old perf., §313; by part., with ending $\cdot w y, \S 374$; by adv. pred., § 153.
Group-writing, $\S 60 ;$ p. 437 , n. $I^{b}$.
Headings: n, used in, § 89, I ; infin., § 306 , I ; parts. and rel. forms, § 390 .
Hieratic writing, $\S 8$; mode of transcribing, $\S 63 \mathrm{~A}$, see Add. p. 422.
Hieroglyphic signs: generalities, §6; pp. 438-41; details in the Sign-list.
Hieroglyphic writing, see in General Index.
Horizontal lines, writing in, § 16 .
Ideograms or sense-signs, $\S \S 6, \mathrm{I} ; 22$; after phonetic signs called determinatives, $\S 23$; ambiguity of term, § 42, Obs.
Ideographic writings, accompanied by stroke-determinative, $\S 25$; ambiguity of designation, p. 44 .
Idiomatic phrases used as nouns, § 194.

Immutable verbs, § 267.
Imperative, §§ 335-40; meaning and endings, § 335 ; forms from mutable vbs., § $33^{6}$; use and adjuncts of, § 337 ; periphrasis with $i r, \S 338$, r ; 3 rd pers. equivalent uses $i m i, \S 33^{8}, 2$; 'beware lest', § $33^{8}$, 3 ; obj. of, § 339 ; negation of, § 340 .
Imperfective relative form, § 380 ; origin, § 386 , I ; forms of, § 387,1 ; tense-distinction in, § 389 , r.
Imperfective tense, implies repetition or continuity, §§ 295. 355. 365. See below under Participle, Relative form, $S d m \cdot f$ form.
Impersonal use: with adj. pred., § 145 ; do., adj. foll. by prep. $n$, § 14 I ; in $i w+$ old perf. with adj. meaning, $\S 467$; perhaps sometimes with actual adj., $i b$.; §§ 422.465 ; in $i w+\mathrm{vb}$. of motion, § 466 ; with different forms of suffix-conjugation, § 486 ; esp. with pass. $\dot{s} d m \cdot f, \$ § 422.465$.
Indefinite article, usually unexpressed, §21; later expressed by wor $n, \S 262$, 1 .
Indefinite pronoun, see under $t w$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Independent pronouns, §§ 33, 3 ; 64; main uses, § 65 ; as subj. in sents. with nom. pred.; §§ 125. 127, 4 ; 128. 499, 3 (with interrog. pron.); with adj. pred. (mainly rst pers. sing.), § 136 ; very rare with adv. pred., § 116 , end; with meaning 'belongs to me', etc., § 114,3 ; as agent after infin., § 300 ; do., giving rise to conjunctive tense in L.E. and Copt., § 300 , Obs.; before parts. in participial statement, §§ 227, 3; 373; in anticipatory emphasis before śdm•f, § 148, 1; do., future sense, § 227, 2 ; do., perfect. śd $m \cdot f, \S 450,5, e$; before śdm. $n \cdot f$, § 148,1 ; in cl. with nom. or adj. pred., preceded by prep., § 154 , end; rarely follow $i w, \S 468,3$; negated by $n$, § 134 .
Indirect genitive, see under $n(y)$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Indirect questions, § 504; not differing from direct, § 504, I; without interrogative word, §504, 2 ; sense rendered by part. or rel. form, $\S \$ 399.504,3$.
Indirect speech, § 224 -
Infinitive, §§ 298-308; nature defined, § 298 ; exceptionally with passive sense, $\S 298$; nominal and verbal, § 298; complementary, § 298, Obs.; forms, § 299; forms in Coptic, p. 43 I ; gender of, § 299 ; subjs. and objs., how expressed, §§ 300 . 30 I ; as obj. of certain verbs, $\S 303$; in this use alternating with śdm.f form, §§ 184.298 .302 ; after genitival adj., § 305 ; after preps., § 304, see too under $h r$, $m$, and $r$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.; after $h r$, meaning
contrasted with that of old perf., $\$ \S 304,1 ; 320$; use in headings, etc., § 306, 1 ; in narrative, $\S 306,2$; later replaces negatival complement after $\boldsymbol{t m}, \S 344$; $t m$ itself as, $\S \S 308.348$; with preceding $n n, n$, and liwty, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Inflexion of verbs, § 296.
Interjectional comments, often elliptical in form, § $506,2$.
Interjections, § 258.
Interrogative adverbs, see under worr and $\underline{t} n$ in Eg.Engl. Vocab.
Interrogative particles, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab. under $i n, r f$, and $t r$.
Interrogative pronouns, see in Eg.-Engl. Vocab. under $i h$, isst, $p w, p t r, m$, and $s y$.
Interrogative words: sometimes absent in questions, § 491 (direct); § 504, 2 (indirect); not necessarily at beginning of question, but occupy same place as in statement, § 495 ; often close to an interrog. encl. particle, $i b$.
Intransitive verbs, § 291, 2 ; pass. parts. from, § 376; rel. forms from, $\S 384$; some apparent, take obj. § 84 A, see Add., p. 423.
Inversion of subject and predicate, $\S$ § 126. 127. 130. 137, Obs.

Juxtaposition, direct, of subject and predicate: in sents. with nom. pred., §§ 125. 127. 130. 497.

Label mode of statement, § 506, 3 .
Late Egyptian: defined, §4; def. art. in, § 112 , end; indef. art. in, § 262,1 ; pronominal compound, § 124 ; higher numbers foll. by $n(y), \S 262,2$; conjunctive tense in, § 300 , Obs.; m. gender of infin. in, p. 223, bottom; neuters in, expressed by m., § $5 \mathrm{II}, 4$; m. def. art. before rel. form with neuter sense, p. 417 , bottom.
Laudatory epithets: may employ either imperf. or perf. parts., § 367 ; doubt whether imperf. rel. form in, is to be rendered as past or present, § 389 , .
Letters: imperf. śdm•f in address of, §440, $7 ; \underline{d} d$, f. $\underline{d} d t$, in opening words, $\S 450$, I ; formula $s w d: i b$ $p w, \S 298 ; n b$ without suffix for '(my) lord' in, p. 239, n. 8; concluding formula $n f r s d m \cdot k, \S 188,3$.

Lists, absolute use of nouns in, $\S 89$, .
Logical predicate: defined, § 126 ; normally follows
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$\log$. subj., $i b$.; cases where it precedes, § 127 ; parts. and rel. forms used to indicate, $\S 391$.
Logical subject, § 126.
$M$ of predication (term substituted for former ' $m$ of equivalence', p. viii), see below Predication, $m$ of.
Masculine forms written where fem. expected, §5II, 4.6 .
Masculine gender, § 26; of infin. in L.E., p. 223, bottom; used for neuter in L.E., § $511,4$.
Medical prescriptions: pass. śdm•f common in, § 422, 2.
Middle Egyptian, defined, §§ 2. 4.
Monograms: with $\Lambda, \S 58(\mathrm{I})$; others, § 58 (2).
Mood: not clearly expressed in M.E., §§ 30.294 ; Add., p. 426 to p. 303 , n. $2^{\text {a }}$; how indicated in sents. with adv. pred., § 118 ; with nom. pred., § 133 ; with adj. pred., § 142.143 .
Motion, verbs of, § 291, 2, $a$; old perf. in, describes position reached as result of the movement, p. 238, top; $\boldsymbol{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form in, lays stress on the movement itself, § 414, 4 .
Multiple sentences, §505.
Mutable verbs, §§ 267. 268.
Narrative: verb-forms, p. 222, top; p. 324, bottom; compound verb-forms in past, $\S \S 460-85$; n. used absolutely for purpose of, $\S 89,2$; infin. do., § 306, 2 ; parts. and rel. forms do., § 390 .
Negation, §§ 104-6: of sents. with adv. pred., § 120 ; with nom. pred., § 134 ; with adj. pred., § 144 ; of advs., § 209 ; of preps., § 160 ; of parts., rel. forms and s'dmty fy by $t m, \S 397$; effected by $n f r n$, § 351,1 ; by $n f r p w, \S 351,2$; paraphrased by $w d f$, §352. See too the next entries, under Non-existence below, and under $n, n n$, and $w$ in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.
Negatival complement, § 341 ; forms, use, and origin, $i b$.
Negative verb, $\$ \S 342-50$ : two stems, $i m i$ and $t m$, § 342 ; subj. of, § 343 ; foll. by negatival complement, § 341 ; later by infin., §§ 343. 344.
Negative universal propositions with parts. and rel. forms, § 394 .
Negatives, double, $\S 346,3$.
Neuter: expressed by f., §51; in L.E. by m., §51I, 4; meaning of, treated syntactically as m. already in M.E. in old perf., parts., and rel. forms, $i b$.;
demonstratives in $n$ - properly neuter in meaning, § III; treated syntactically as m., §§III; §511, 2. 3; f. parts. with plur. strokes with sense of, § 354 .
Nim, Coptic for 'who?', 'whom?', § 496 .
Nisbe-adjectives, derivatives in $-y$ from preps. or ns., §§ 79-81; may govern a $n$. or suffix-pron., § 80 ; used as ns., §8r; from preps., introducing epithets, § 158 ; at base of sidmty $f y, \S 364$.
Nominal: use of term defined, $\S 28,2$; parts of verb, p. 222, top; infins. that are, $\S 298$.

Nominal predicate, sents. with, $\S \S 125-34$; questions with, introduced by in iw, §492,3.4; by in alone, § $493, \mathrm{x}$; virt. n. cls. with, § 186,3 .
Nominative: suffix-prons. as, $\$ \S 35,3 ; 83$; term convenient, but not strictly scientific, for Eg., §83, Obs.
Non-enclitic particles, §§ 227-44; defined, § 226; position in sentence, $\S 66$; foll. by dep. prons., § 44, 2. For the individual particles see the Eg.Engl. Vocab.
Non-existence, how expressed, § 108 ; $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form as subj. of phrases expressing, § 188,2 ; parts. and rel. forms do., § 394 .
Non-geminating forms: of vb., §§ 269. 270; of $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$ form, $\S 27 \mathrm{I}$; of perf. parts., § $35^{6}$; of perf. rel. form, § $387,2$.
Non-verbal sentences, § 28; anticipatory emphasis in, § 147.
Noun clauses, $\S \S 69$; 182-93; defined, § 183 ; verbal commoner than non-verbal, ib.; virtual, as obj., introduced by $s d m \cdot f, \S 184$; by $s d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 185$; virt. with adj., adv., or nom. pred., § 186 ; introduced by $n t t$ or wnt, § 187 ; virt. as subj., § 188 ; as pred., with $p w$ as subj., $\S \S 189$. 190; after genitival adj., introduced by $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$, § 19 I ; do., introduced by $s \dot{d} m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 192$; after preps., §§ 154 . 193 ; see for these too under Adverb clauses.
Nouns: gender of, §§ 26. 92; number of, §§ 72-7; adjs. used as, $\S 48,3$; adjs. felt as, $\S \S 136.509, \mathrm{I}$; nisbe-adjs. used as, $\S 8 \mathbf{r}$; do., ending in $-t y$, at base of $s d m t y \cdot f y, \S 364$; derived from verb-stems with initial $i$ or $w, \S 290$; derived from parts., p. 274, top; §359; parts. and rel. forms used as, §§ 354 . 390 ; idiomatic phrases used as, § 194 ; syntax of, $\S \S 83-92$; as subj. and obj., § 83 ; do., place of, in sent., § 66 (exceptions, $\S 507$ ); as subj. of sents. with adv. pred., § in 6 ; in pseudo-verbal construc-
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tion, §§ 319. 322. 323 ; in suffix conjugation, § 410 ; in rel. forms, § 380 ; in śdmt $\cdot f$, § 405 ; after infin. from intransitive vbs., §30I; do., rarely from transitive vbs., $i b$.; as pred., see under Sentences with nominal predicate; as predicative adjunct, $\S 84$; in genitive, $\S \S 85.86$; in dative, $\S 52$; in vocative, § 87 ; adverbial uses of, §§ 88. 205, 6; with the function of a sent., § 89 ; governed by nisbe-adjs., § 80 .
Number of nouns and adjectives, § 72, see too under Adjectives, Nouns, Singular, Dual, and Plural.
Numbers, cardinal: names of, § 260 ; construction of, §§ 261. 262; use as ordinals, § 264.
Numbers, ordinal, § 263 ; use of cardinals as, § 264 . Numerals, writing of, §259.

Oaths: how expressed, $\S 218$; use of $i w$ in statements introduced by, §468, r.
Object: dep. pron. as, except after infin., § 44, 1 ; suffix-prons. as, after infin., § 300 ; of infin., conformed to use with suffix-conjugation, § 301 ; after parts, and sidmty $f y, \S 375$; retained, after pass. parts., §377, but see Add. pp. 425-6; not shown with rel. forms whose subj. is identical with antecedent, $\S \S 382.386$; exceptional cases, $\S 385$; no vbs. with two objs., §§ 84. 29r, r ; after vbs. apparently intrans. in sense, § 84 A , see Add. p. 423 ; s ${ }^{\prime} d m \cdot f$ as, §§ $184.442, \mathrm{x}$ (imperf.); 452, I (perf.); do., negated by $\mathrm{tm} \cdot f, \S 347,1$; $s \underline{d m} \cdot n \cdot f$ form as, § 185 ; infin. as, § 303.
Object, retained: see above under Object.
Object, semantic: defined, § 297, x ; direct, $i b$.; indirect, ib. See too in various paragraphs quoted above under Object.
Objective genitive, suffix-prons. as, p. 90, n. 4.
Obligation, sometimes expressed by parts., § 371 .
Old Egyptian: defined, $\S 4$; dual suffix-prons. in, $\S 34$; indep. prons. twt and swo in, §64; plur. demonstratives $i p n$, etc., in, § roo; particles $i s k$ and $s k$ in, § 230 ; - used for both $n$ and $n n$ in, § 104 ; s $d m \cdot k \cdot f$ form belongs to, § 434 .
Old perfective, $\S \S 309-18$; endings, $\S 309$; affinities, $\S 309$, Obs. 1 ; transliteration of, $\S 309$, Obs. 2 ; forms in mutable vbs., § 310 ; rare geminating forms, $i b$.; meaning and use, § 311 ; with pass. sense from transitive vbs., $i b$.; rare act. sense from same, § 312 ; independent use of ist pers., § 312 ;
exclamatory use of 2 nd and 3 rd pers., § 313 ; 3 rd pers. f. sometimes replaced by part. $+s y$, p. 290, top; as cl. of circumstance, $\S 314$; do., exceptionally preceding main cl., §314, end; expressing result, p. 240, n. $7^{\text {a }}$; qualifying obj. of vbs., § 315 ; qualifying subj., § 316 ; in virt. rel. cls., § 317 ; other uses, § 318 ; in pseudo-verbal construction, $\S \S 319$. 320. 322-30. 334; explanation of this term, § 319 ; relation to sents. with adv. pred., $i b$.; contrast of, in this construction, with $h r+$ infin., § 320 ; preceded by subj. with adj. vbs., p. 245, bottom; with adj. vbs., after izw, subj. omitted, § 467 ; sim. with vb. of motion, § 466 . See further under Pseudo-verbal construction.
Omission of subject: in sents. with adv. pred., § 123 ; with adj. pred., §§ 145.467 ; in verbal sents., §§ 466. 486.487.
'Or', how expressed, § 91, 2.
Ordinal numbers, see Numbers, ordinal.

Parentheses, virt. adv. cls. sometimes as, $\S 507,6$.
Parenthetic, cls. of circumstance introduced by $i w$ originally perhaps felt as, § 117 , Obs.
Participial statement, $\S \$ 227,3 ; 373$.
Participle, imperfective active: endings and forms from mutable vbs., §357; implies repetition or continuity, §§ 355. 365 ; with like implication in reference to past, § 366 ; better adapted for reference to present, $\S 365$; so especially in participial statement, §373, 2; use in laudatory epithets, $\S 367$; rare use in reference to future, § 368 . See further under general heading Participles.
Participle, imperfective passive: endings and forms from mutable vbs., § 358 ; use in reference to continued or repeated action in past, $\S 369,2$; in present, $\S 369,4$; in future, $\S 369,6$; use as adj. pred., § 374 and Add. p. 425 . See further under general heading Participles.
Participle, perfective active: endings and forms from mutable vbs., $\S 359$; free of any particular implications, § 355 ; specially suited to refer to past action, $\S 365$; so particularly in participial statement, § $373, \mathrm{I}$; use in laudatory epithets, $\S 367,1$; expresses vigour and immediacy with some vbs. better than imperf. part., § 367,2 ; rarely in reference to future events, § 368. See further under general heading Participles.

## INDEXES

Participle, perfective passive: forms with reduplication from 2 -lit. vbs., $\S 360$; normal forms from other verb-classes, $\S 36$ r; various derivatives, § 36 r, Obs. r ; use in reference to past occurrences, $\S 369$, r ; in reference to present states, $\S 369,3$. See further under general heading Participles.
Participle, prospective: separate existence of such a participle denied, § 355, Obs.
Participles, §§ 353-62; 365-79; §§ 227, 3; defined, § 353 ; use as epithet or as n., $i b$. ; have meaning of Engl. rel. cls., $i b$.; most adjs. are, from adj. vbs., § 135 ; number and gender, § 354 ; with additional determinative when used as ns., ib.; four kinds of, § 355 ; cannot be distinguished in immutable vbs., § 356 ; general rule as regards gemination, $\S \$ 356.362$; partial exceptions, perf. pass. part. from 2 -lit. vbs., ib.; general rule as regards endings, $\S 3^{62}$; distinction of meaning in tenses, $\S \S 3^{6} 5.370$; how tending to express distinctions of time, $\S 365$; both kinds of act. part. in laudatory epithets, $\S 367$; act. parts. in reference to future events, $\S 368$; tense-distinction in pass. parts., $\S 369$; summary as regards tensedistinction, § $37^{\circ}$; use to express obligation, § 37 I ; uses as predicate, §§ 372-4; in participial statement, §§ 227, 3; 373; as adj. pred., § 374 and Add. p. 425 ; expression of obj. and dative with, § 375 ; extended use of pass. parts., § 376 ; these with retained obj., § 377 , but see Add. pp. $4^{-6}{ }^{-6}$; omission of resumptive pron. with, $\S 378$; semantic subj. after pass. parts., § 379 ; rel. forms derived from pass. parts., § $386 ;$ śdmt $\cdot f$ form derived do., § 405 ; verb-forms of suffix conjugation derived do., §§ 4 II. 42 I. 425.427 .43 . 447 ; absolute use of parts., § 390 ; use to point to logical pred., § 39 I ; emphatic or emphasized, § 393 ; in negative universal propositions, § 394 ; after $i w w n, \S 395$; parts. of wnn as equivalent of rel. adj., § 396 ; negation of parts., $\S 397$; use in comparisons, § 398 ; in virt. indirect questions, $\S 399.504,3$; as pred. in rel. cls. with $n t t, \S 400$.
Particles, see above Enclitic particles, Non-enclitic particles; also Accumulation of particles.
Passive: of forms of suffix conjugation, mediated by $-t w, \$ \S 39.67 .410$; sense of most old perfs. from transitive vbs., p. 237, bottom; some exx. of infin. must be translated as, $\S 298$; as means of avoiding expression of semantic subj., § 486 ; supposed, of
rel. forms, § 388. See too under Participle(s), Passive sidm.f form, Sdmm•f form, Passive voice.
Passive śd $d m \cdot f$ form, §§ 419-24; defined, §419; endings and forms from mutable vbs., $\S 420$; origin and relations of, §421; used in past narrative, §422, I; do., impersonally, p. 340, top; after izw as pass. of $i w \dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S 422, \mathrm{I}$; after $m k$ as pass. of $m k \dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, i b . ;$ after $\ulcorner h \cdot \cdot n$ as pass. of rhc $\cdot n$ s'dm.n•f, §§ $422, \mathrm{I} ; 4^{8 \mathrm{r}}$; after ist, ib.; in reference to future events, § 422,2 ; do., impersonally, $i b$.; do., after $h r, \S 422,2$, end; in reference to present, § 422,3 ; in subordinate cls., § 423 ; in virt. cl. of circumstance parallel to old perf., $\S 423, \mathrm{I}$; with sense of cl. of condition, $\S 423,2$; very rare after preps., §423, 3 ; negated by $n, \S 424, \mathrm{I}$; by tm in virt. cl. of circumstance, $\S 424,2$; doubtful exx. after $n n, \S 424,3$.
Passive voice, § 293 ; defined, $\S 376$.
Past: Engl. tense, § 295, Obs. I; how expressed in Eg., §§ 295.311, end; 355.407.414.422, I; 450, I; p. 383. Negated mainly by $n s d m \cdot f, \S \S$ I05, i. 455.

Past perfect: Engl. tense, § 295, OBs. i; expressed in Eg. by $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S \S 67.414,2$; do. in virt. cl. of time, § 212.
Peculiarities of hieroglyphic writing, §§ 54-63.
Perfect tense, Engl. : see under Past perfect, Present perfect.
Perfective relative form, formerly called Prospective, p. 297, n. 4 ; forms of, $\S 387,2$; use in reference to past action, $\S 389,2, a$; to prospective action, § $389,2, b$.
Perfective tense, Eg., distinguished from Engl. Perfect, § 295, Obs. I ; see too §§ 355. 365 and under Old perfective.
Person: concord of, §509; special uses of rst, in old perf., §312; exclamatory use there of 2nd and 3 rd, § 313 .
Personal pronouns, see under Pronouns, personal.
Phonetic complements, § 52.
Phonetic determinatives, §54-
Phonetic signs, preceding ideograms foll. by stroke det., § 25, Obs. 2.
Phonograms or sound-signs, $\S \S 6,2$; of three kinds, uniliteral or alphabetic, biliteral and triliteral, § 17.
Pi'el-forms in Hebrew, possible analogy of Eg. geminating verb-forms to, $\$ \S 269$, Obs.; 274. 356, Obs.; 438, Obs.

Pi'lèl-forms in Hebrew, Eg. verb-forms analogous to, § 274 ; related nouns, § 274, Obs. 2.
Place-names: gender of, $\S 92$, 1 ; forms best to use in Egyptological books, p. 435 .
Plural: of prons., $\S \S 34 \cdot 43 \cdot 64$; of $n b^{\prime}$ 'all', $\S 48$; of ns. and adjs., §§72-4; ns. denoting foodstuffs and materials, written as plur., Sign-list $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$; of demonstratives, § 110 ; of old perf., § 309 ; of imper., § 335 ; of parts., §§ 354. 357-9. $3^{61}$; of sdmty.fy, $\S 364$; f. collectives sometimes referred to by plur. suffix-prons., §510, 2.
Plurality, determinative of, $\S 73,3$; see too $Z_{2 .} 3$ in the Sign-list.
Plurals, apparent, § 77.
Possession, sentences expressing, §§ 114-15; 115A (Add. p. 423).
Possessive adjectives, equivalents of Engl., § if3.
Predicate: three kinds of non-verbal sentence, distinguished according as they have adverbial ( $\$ \mathrm{\S} 116-24$ ), nominal or pronominal ( $\$ \S 125-34$ ), or adjectival ( $\$ \S$ 135-45), § 28 ; virt. cls. of circumstance used as, § 215 .
Predicate, grammatical and logical: distinguished and defined, § 126.
Predicate, logical: cases where it precedes logical subject, §§ 127.128 .13 a
Predication, $m$ of (formerly called $m$ of equivalence, p. viii), § 162,6 ; used where Engl. has nominal pred., §§ 38. 44, 2; 116. 117; p. 151, n. 8; used to emphasize adj. as epithet, $\S \S 96,2 ; 204,2$; do., parts., § 393 ; before rel. cls. introduced by nty, § 199, end; in cls. of time, circumstance, and condition, §§ 133, Obs.; 150.214.
Predicative adjunct: introduced by $m$ after vbs. of 'becoming', 'making', by $r$ after vbs. of 'appointing' and like, § 84 .
Preposition+noun, used as epithet of ns., § 158 , 1 ; used as n., § 158, 2.
Prepositional adverb clauses: without ntt, § 222; with $n t t, \S 223$; former negated by $t m \cdot f, \S 347,5$.
Prepositions, simple or compound, § 16 I ; simple, $\S \$ 162-77$; compound, $\S \$ 178-81$; suffix-prons. after, § 35,2 ; compound, often with genitival adj. $n(y)$ before n., p. 131, bottom; rarely at beginning of sent., § 159 ; negation of, § 160 ; use before n., suffix-pron. or infin., § 154 ; before virt. n. cl. with indep. pron. as subj. and nom. or adv. pred., § 154 , end; as conjunction before śdm•f, $\S \S 154$.
155. 444, 3; 454, 4; before śdm.n•f form, §§ 156 . 417 ; rarely before pass. sidm•f, $\S 423,3$; before sddmt.f form, §§ 407-9.
Present perfect: Engl. tense, § 295, Obs. I; expressed in Eg. by $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f, \S \S 67.414,2$; do. in virt. cl. of time, § 212.
Present time, expressed in Eg. mainly by imperf. forms, §§ $355.365,2 ; 367.370 .373,2 ; 389$, 1 ; $440, \mathrm{r}$; but sometimes by perf., $\S \$ 367.369,3$; $\S 373(b) ; 450,2 ;$ negated mainly by $n \dot{s} \underline{d m} \cdot n \cdot f, \S \S 105$, $3 ; 418,1$; but sometimes by $n \dot{s} d m \cdot f, \S 455,2$.
Prohibitions, $\S \S 338$, 1. 2; 340 .
Pronominal compound (tw•i, etc.), § 124 ; origin, $i b$., Obs.; as subj. of sents. with adv. pred., ib.; after $n t t, \S 223$; in pseudo-verbal construction, § $33^{\circ}$; with $r+$ infin., § $33^{2}$, end.
Pronouns, demonstrative, §§ 110-12.
Pronouns, interrogative, $\$ \S$ 496-502.
Pronouns, personal: three kinds, §33. See under Dependent pronouns, Independent pronouns, Suffix-pronouns. Occasionally omitted, § 506,5 ; ordinarily do not precede n. to which they refer, § $507, \mathrm{I}$; 3rd sing. used with $b 3 k i m$ and $h m \cdot i$, more rarely ist sing., $\S 509$, 2. See too Reflexive use of pronouns.
Proper nouns, transcription of, Appendix B, pp. 434-7.
Prospective: reference of verb-forms, see Future; relative form, term abandoned in this edition, § 295, OBs. 2 ; p. 298, top.
Prothetic \& $i, \$ 272$.
Pseudo-participle, name given by others to verbform here called old perfective, § 309 , Obs. I.
Pseudo-verbal construction, §§ 319-34; defined, § 319 ; conforming to model of sentence with adv. pred., ib .; meaning in, of old perf. as compared with $h r+$ infin., § 320 ; without introductory word, § 322 ; intr. by $i w, \S 323$; by wnn, § 326 ; by parts. of wnn, § 396,2 ; by non-enclitic particles, § 324 ; containing $p w, \S 325$; after $m-h t, \S 327$; after nty, § 328 ; after ntt and wnt, § 329 ; after the pronominal compound, § $33^{\circ}$; with $m+$ infin., § 331 ; with $r+$ infin., $\S \S 332-3$; negation of, $\S 334$; in questions intr. by in iw, $\S 492,6$; by in $n t t$, § 494, 2.
Psychic activities, vbs. expressing, §291, I.
Pu'lal-form in Hebrew, Egyptian analogies to, §§ 274. 360.425.

Purpose: virt. cls. of, with sidm•f (perf. §454, 3), §§ 40,1 ; 219 ; with $w n \cdot f, \S 118,2$; negated by $t m \cdot f$, $\S 347,4 ;$ cls. of introduced by $n$ mrwt, §§ 181. 222,5.

Quadriliteral verb(s), § 283 ; causatives of 3-lit. vbs. belong to these, $i b$.; swtwt 'walk', doubtful causative of, § 286 .
Qualitative, Coptic verb-form, p. 43I, n. r.
Quartae infirmae vbs., § 285 ; causatives of 3 ae inf. belong to these, $i b$.; causatives of, $\S 287$.
Questions, $\S$ § 490-504; various kinds of, $\S 49^{\circ}$; often elliptical in form, $\S 506$, 1 ; for corroboration, defined, $\S 490$; indicated only by tone of voice, § $49 \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{I}$; using particle $i s, \S 49 \mathrm{I}, 2$; introduced by $i n, \S \S 49 \mathrm{I}, 3 ; 493$; when negative $n$ or $n n$ precedes, answer 'yes'suggested, 491,3; introduced by in iw, § 492 ; by in $n t t$, § 494 ; for specification, defined, $\S 490$; position of interrogative word in, § 495 ; introducing parts. irf, $r f$, tr, ib.; interrogative prons. and advs. used in, $\$ \S 496-503$, see too in Eg.-Engl. Vocab.; imperf. sdm.f used in, $\S 44^{\circ}, 6$; negated by $t m \cdot f, \S 346,1$; indirect, $\S \S 490.504$; these sometimes not different in form from direct, $\S 504, \mathrm{I}$; without interrogative word, $\S 504,2$; sense rendered by part. or rel. form, §§ 399. 504, 3 ; rhetorical, $\S \$ 489.490$; answers to, $p w$ used in, § rgo, I .
Quinquiliteral verbs, § 286.
$R$ of futurity, § 122.
Rank of officials considered abstractly, how expressed, p. 336, n. 5 .
Reduplication, $\S 274$; half-, $i b$.; of final consonant only, $i b$. ; do., in some pass. verb-forms, comparable to Hebr. puilal, ib.; $\S \S 360.425$; of second radical, comparable to Hebr. pie $\bar{e} l, \S 274$; how distinguished from gemination, $i b$., end; in names of small animals, etc., § 274, Obs. 2.
Reflexive use of pronouns: of suffix-prons., $\S 36$; of dep. prons., $\S 45$; in dative after imper., $\S 337,2$; phrases reinforcing reflexive sense, $\S 36$.
Relative adjective, see Eg.-Engl. Vocab. under nty; negative, $i b$. under izwty.
Relative clauses, $\S$ § 195-204; definition, § 195 ; virtual, defined, opposed to those using rel. adjs., $i b$; not used for making additional statements,
§ 195, Obs.; virt., used when antecedent undefined, § 196 ; do., without expressed antecedent, § 197; rare when antecedent defined, § 198; in latter case usually with rel. adjs., § 199, see above under Relative adjective: other ways of rendering sense of Eng. rel. cls., § 204 ; old perf. in virt., § 317; pseudo-verbal construction in do., §323. See too under Participles, Relative forms.
Relative forms of verb, $\S \S 380-9$; definition and endings, $\S 380$; three kinds, $i b$., and see under Imperfective rel. form, Perfective rel. form, and Sdmw $n \cdot f$ rel. form; origin of, from pass. parts., but nevertheless felt as active, $\S 386$, see too Add. p. 425 ; supposed pass. of, $\S 388$; with direct semantic obj. identical with antecedent, § 382 ; different from antecedent, $\S 383$; rel. forms from intrans. vbs., $\S 384$; tense-distinction in, $\S 389$; absolute use of, $\S 390$; used to point to logical pred., § $39^{1}$; in the construction $\dot{s} d m p w i r(w) \cdot n \cdot f$, § 392 ; in negative universal propositions, § 394 ; in comparisons, § 398 ; from wnn with adv. pred., p. 314, n. 4 ; with pseudo-verbal construction, p. $3^{14}$, n. $4^{2}$, but see correction $p$ xxviii; negated by $t m, \S 397,3$; in rel. cls. with $n t t, \S 400$.
Relative past time, conveyed by sidm $\cdot n \cdot f$ form, $\S \S 156$. 212. 414, 2; 415. 417.

Relative present or future time, conveyed by $\dot{s} d m \cdot f$, §§ 155.212 .441 .451.
Repeated action, sometimes implied in reduplicated verb-stems, $\S 274$; conveyed by imperf. tense, § 295 ; so in imperf. act. parts., $\S \xi^{665-7}$; do., pass., $\S 369,2$; in imperf. rel. form, $\S 389$, 1 ; in imperf. sidm.f, §§ 440-4. 446; perhaps in rare geminating old perf., § 310 , end; conveyed also by $i w \operatorname{s} d m \cdot f, \S 462$; by $i w \cdot f \dot{s} d m \cdot f, \S 463$.
Repetition: of like consonants, avoided, § 62 ; do., with other signs, $\S 62 \mathrm{~A}$ (p. 422); of the same consonant, to indicate its retention in pronunciation, p. 53 , top; of a prep., suffix-pron. or adj., to indicate co-ordination, § $91, \mathrm{x}$; do., to indicate disjunction, §91, 2. See too Repeated action.
Result: expressed by sdm $\dot{d} \cdot i n \cdot f, \S 429$; by $s d m \cdot h r \cdot f$, § 43 I ; by old perf., p. 240, n. $7^{\text {a }}$; of movement, expressed by old perf. in vbs. of motion, $\S \S 311$. 414,4 ; virt. cls. of, $\$ 220,6$; cls. of, introduced by $r, \S \S 163$, II $(a) ; 222,6$.
Resumptive adverb, §§ 195. 200, 2; 377, 1; 378.
Resumptive pronoun, defined, § 146 ; in rel. cls.,
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§§ 195. 200, 2 ; after pass. parts. in extended use, §§ 376 . 377 ; cases where omitted in do., $\S 378$; not found with rel. forms whose semantic object is identical with antecedent, $\S 382$; elsewhere is necessary, $\S \S 383 \cdot 384$; exceptional cases of omission, $\S 385$; importance of omission for origin of rel. forms, $\S 386$, .
Retained object after pass. parts., § 377 ; this interpretation disputed, p. 425, Add. p. 294, n. 3. Rhetorical questions, $\$ \S 489.490$.
'Said he', etc., phrases expressing, $\$ \$ 436.437$.
S $d m$ 'hear', verb used as paradigm, $\S 39$.
$S \underline{d} m p w$ ir $(w) \cdot n \cdot f$, with pass. $s \dot{d} d m p w$ iry, construction used in narrative, § 392 .
Sd $d m \cdot f$ form : preliminary account, $\S \S 39-40$; belongs to suffix conjugation, $\S 410$, I ; endings, $i$.; origin, § $411, \mathrm{I}$; at least two forms, § $41 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}$; imperfective, §§438-46; perfective, §§ 447-59 [see separate entries below]; in statements, $\S 39$; wishes and exhortations, $\S 40,2$; continuing imperative, $\S 337$; from adj. vbs., §§ 143. 144, 1 ; 150 , end; 157, 4; from $w n n$ and $t m$, see Eg.-Engl. Vocab.; as obj. of certain vbs., §§ 70.184 ; do., alternates with infin., §§ 184. 298. 302; as subj., § 188, r. 3; do., after phrase expressing non-existence, § 188,2 ; $\dot{d} d m \cdot f$ $p w, \S 189$; after genitival adj., § 19 I ; in virt. rel. cls., $\S \S 196,2$; 197 ; after $n t y, \S 201$; after iwty, § 203,5 ; in virt. cls. of time, $\S \S 30.212$; of circumstance, $\S 213$; of condition, $\S 216$; of asseveration, § 218; of purpose, $\S \S 40,1 ; 219$; of result, § 220 ; in 'whether . . or whether' cls., § 217 ; after ntt and $w n t, \S 187$; after prep. $+n t t, \S 223$; after preps., §§ 155.157 ; (in detail) 161-81; after ir 'if', $\S 150$; after in or indep. pron., future sense, § 227, 2; after $i h$, future consequence or exhortation, $\S \$ 40,3 ; 118,2 ; 228 ;$ ist, in cls. of time or circumstance, $\S 212 ; m k$, future sense, $\S 234 ; h$; and $h w y, \S 23^{8} ; \underline{d} r \dot{s} d m \cdot f, h r \cdot f \dot{d} d m \cdot f$, future sense, § $239 ; s w$ (archaic), § $240 ; s m w n n, \S 241$; ks sd $d m \cdot f$, $k s \cdot f s d m \cdot f$, future consequence, $\S 24^{2}$; in questions introduced by in iw, § 492, 5 ; by in alone, $\S 493,2$; after archaic prons. $s w, s(y), s n$, p. 424, Add. to § 148, I. Negated, see Eg.-Engl. Vocab. under $n, n n$; also $i b$. under $i m y$ and $t m$.
$S d m \cdot f$ form, imperfective, $\S \S 438-46$ : introductory, $\S 43^{8}$; various theories about, $\S 446$; relation to
pass. parts. and rel. forms, $\S 411$, ; forms in mutable vbs., $\S 439$; implies repetition or continuity, $\S 44^{\circ}$; statements with present reference, § 440 , 1 ; past custom, $\S 440,2$; with future reference, $\S 44^{\circ}, 3$; after $i h$ (unique ex.), $\S 44^{\circ}, 4$; in exhortations and wishes, $\S 44^{\circ}, 5$; in questions for specification, §440, 6; in address of letters, § 440,7 ; in subordinate cls., $\S 441$; as obj. of certain vbs., $\S 442$, I; as subj. of adj. pred., $\S 442,2$; as pred. of $p w, \S 442,3$; after genitival adj., §442, 5 ; after nty and iwty, § 443 ; in virt. adv. cls., § 444, I. 2; after preps., §444, 3;2ae gem. after ir 'if', § 444, 4; also 3 ae inf. when preceded by another $\delta d m \cdot f, \S 444,4$; negation of, $\S 445$.
Sdm•f form, perfective, $\S$ § 447-59: probably conceals more that one form, $\S 447$; forms in mutable verbs, $\S 448$; meaning of, $\S 449$; in past statements, § 450,1 ; with present reference, $\S 450,2$; future reference, $\S 450,3$; in wishes and exhortations, $\S 450,4 ;$ after $i h, \S 450,5, a ; h z$ and $h w y$, §450, $5, b ; h r, \S 450,5, c ; k, \S 450,5, d$; in $n t f$ (or in $X$ ) $\dot{s d m} \cdot \mathrm{f}$, future sense, $\$ 450,5, e$; $h r \cdot f$ $\dot{d} d m \cdot f$, future sense, $\S 45^{\circ}, 5, f ; k_{i} \cdot f \dot{s} d m \cdot f$, future consequence, $\S 450,5, g$; in subordinate cls., $\S 451$; in n. cls., §452; as obj. of certain verbs, §452, 1. 2; after $n t t$, $\S 452,2$; as subj., $\S 452,3$; as pred. of $p w, \$ 452,4$; after genitival adj., § 452,5 ; in rel. cls., $\S 453$; in adv. cls., $\S 454$; in virt. cls. of time, circumstance, condition, $\S 454, \mathrm{I}$; of asseveration, § 454,2 ; of purpose, $\S 454,3$; after preps., § 454, 4 ; after ir 'if', $\$ 454,5$; forms from $i i$, iv 'come', § 459 ; concluding remarks, $\S 458$. Negated, see Eg.-Engl. Vocab. under $n, n n$. For the Passive sdm.f form see under this above.
Sd $m \cdot h r \cdot f$ form, §§ 427. 430-2: origin, § 427 ; forms in mutable vbs., $\S 43^{\circ}$; with future reference, § 43I, i; present, § $43 \mathrm{I}, 2$; past, § 43 I, 3 ; negated by $t m, \S 432$; wn $h \cdot h \cdot f$ and $w n n \cdot h r \cdot f$ in the pseudoverbal construction, § $47 \mathrm{I} ; w n n \cdot h \cdot f \cdot \dot{s} d m \cdot f$ of future habit, § 473 ; summary, § 435 .
Sdm in ff form, $\begin{aligned} & \text { § 427-9: origin, } \S 427 \text {; forms in }\end{aligned}$ mutable vbs., $\S 428$; in past narrative, $\S 429$, I ; in future consequences and injunctions, $\S 429,2$; wn-in.f in compound verb-forms, $\$$ § 470. 472. 473; concluding remarks, § 435 .
Sd $m \cdot k \cdot f$ form, $\S$ § 427. 433-4: origin, $\S 427$; forms in mutable vbs., $\S 433$; to express future consequences or injunctions, § 434 .

Sdmm.f form, §§ 425-6: nature and meaning, $\S 425$; forms in different verb-classes, $i b$.; pass. meaning and uses, §426.
Sddm $n \cdot f$ form, narrative, $\S \S 412-18 \mathrm{~A}$ : preliminary account, § 67 ; belongs to suffix conjugation, § 410 ; endings, $i b$., 412 ; origin, § $41 \mathrm{I}, 2$; forms from mutable vbs., §413; affirmative uses, §414; with past reference, $\S 414$, 1 ; do., after $i w, \$ \S 68.464$; do., after $\ulcorner h\ulcorner\cdot n, \S 478$; do., after $\ulcorner h r \cdot n \cdot f, \S 479$; do., after $m k, i s t$ or subj. in anticipatory emphasis, §414, 1 ; expressing rel. past time, $\S \S 67.414,2$; after $h$;, § 414,3 ; after ir 'if', §§ I5I. 414, 3 ; from vbs. of motion, §414, 4; from $r \underline{h}$ and $s h$; § 4 $_{14}, 4$; to express actions simultaneously spoken of and performed, §414, 5 ; in virt. n. cls., with rel. past reference, $\S 415$; as obj. of vbs., § 185 ; after genitival adj., § 192 ; in virt. rel. cls., §§ 196,2 ; 416; after nty, § 201; after iwty, §§ 203, 6; 418, end; in virt. adv. cls., $\$ 417$, 1 ; with rel. past reference as virt. cl. of time, $\S \S 67$, end; 212 ; as virt. cl. of circumstance, §213; after preps., §§ $156.417,2$; in questions introduced by in $i w$, § 492, 5; by in alone, §493, 2. Negated, see Eg.Engl. Vocab. under $n, n n$.
Sdmt.f form, $\S \S$ 401-9: three uses, possibly not of same origin, §401; 1. $n$ śdmt $\cdot f$, negative, use, §402; forms in mutable vbs., § 403 ; passive forms, §404; origin, § 405 ; 2. narrative śdmt $\cdot f$ form, doubtful, § $406 ; 3$. after preps., § 407 ; negated by $t m, \S 408$; forms in this use, $\S 409$.
Sddmty.fy form, §§ 363-4; equivalent to future act. part., ib.; object of, § 375 ; very rarely with pass. sense, $\S 363$; structure of, $\S 364$; forms of, ib.; negated by tm : § 397, 2.
$S d m w v \cdot n \cdot f$ relative form, p. 297, n. 4; origin, § 386, 2 ; relation to narrative s s $d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, § $41 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} .2$; endings and forms in mutable vbs., $\S 387,3$; mainly past meaning, $\S 389,3$; meaning in verbs $r h, h m, m r i, \S 389,3$.
Secundae geminatae verbs, § 280 ; causatives of, § 284 ; use of imperf. sdm•f perhaps sometimes due to inherent meaning of stem, $\S 446$, end.
'-self', how expressed, § 36 .
Semantic object, defined, § 297, 1 ; direct, $i b$.; indirect, $i b$.; agent a special case of indirect, § 297, 2 ; with infin., how expressed, $\$ \S 300.301$; after active parts., $\S 375$; inherent in pass. parts., §§ 376.377 ; in rel. forms, $\$ \S 382.383$.

Semantic subject, defined, § 297, I; with infin., how expressed, $\S \S 300.301 ;$ p. 231, n. 5 ; after pass. parts., expressed as agent, $\S 379, \mathrm{I}$; by direct genitive, $\S 379,2$; by $n$, whether genitival adj. or prep., $\S 379,3$; in rel. forms, $\S 386$, .
Semi-vowels, § 20 ; proofs of consonantal character, pp. 430.43 I.
Sense-signs, see under Ideograms.
Sentence-adverb, more appropriate designation of particles, §§ 226. 244.
Sentences: verbal, defined, § 27 ; non-verbal, defined, § 28 ; with adv. pred., §§ $116-24$; with nom. or pronom. pred., $\S \$ 125-34$; with adj. pred., §§ $135-45$; existential, §§ 107 -8; expressing possession, §§114. 115 ; multiple, defined and exemplified, $\S 505$; classification of, according to intention of the speaker, $\S 489$, see too under Statements, Questions, Commands, Wishes, Exhortations, Exclamations, and Interjections.
Sexiliteral verbs, only in O.K., p. 216, n. 6.
Singular number: with ns. and adjs., §72; see too under Pronouns, Participles, etc.
Sound-signs, see under Phonograms.
Spelling, conforms to definite habits, §54; special cases, §§ $54-62$.
Spellings, defective, §59.
Statements, arising from desire to give information, $\S 489$; label form of, $\S 506,3$; seen in absolute use of ns., $\S 89$; of infins., § 306 ; of parts. or rel. forms, § 390 .
Static sense of old perfective, p. 238, top.
Status absolutus, § 78, Obs.; f. ending $-t$ fallen in this already in O.K., p. 34, n. $\mathrm{I}^{2} ;$ p. 432, n. 4; final $r$ apt to disappear in, p. 432, n. 3 ; in Coptic infins., p. 43 I, top.

Status constructus: in relation of direct genitive, §85, Obs.; involves reduction of vowel and loss of $f$. ending $-t$, $i b$.; in Coptic infins., p. $43^{1}$, top.
Status pronominalis in f. ns., § 78, Obs.; in Coptic infins., p. 43 I , top.
Stroke as determinative, § 25 ; extended use of, § 25 , Obs. i; see too the Sign-list under $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{I}}$.
Subject: pronouns as, see under Suffix-pronouns, Dependent pronouns, and Independent pronouns; ns. as, see under Nouns. See too under Grammatical subject, Logical subject, and Semantic subject; also under Omission of subject, Transposition of subject.

## GRAMMATICAL AND ORTHOGRAPHIC

Subordinate clauses: defined, § 182 ; see under Adverb clauses, Noun clauses, and Relative clauses; sense of, sometimes conveyed by actual sents., § 489 .
Suffix conjugation: definition, enumeration of forms, and common features of these, § 410 ; concluding remarks, §§ 486-8.
Suffix-pronouns, § 34 : chief uses, § 35 ; (a) as subj., in śsdm.f form, §§ 35,$3 ; 39$; in $\dot{s} d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form, § 67 ; in all forms of the suffix conjugation, § 410 ; in rel. forms, $\S 380$; in sdmt $\cdot f$ form, § 405 ; after $i w$ as copula in sentences with adv. pred., §§37. 117; do., in pseudo-verbal construction, § 323 ; after infin. from intrans. vbs., § 301 ; do., rarely from trans. vbs., ib.; after nty, § 200, 2; after ntt, § 223; (b) as genitive after ns., $\S \$ 35,1 ; 85$, end; after preps., §§ 35, 2; 159; nouns followed by suffixes are not necessarily defined, §§ 35 , Obs.; 115 ; (c) as semantic subj. (properly subjective genitive) after pass. parts., §379, $2 ;(d)$ as semantic obj. (properly objective genitive) after infin., $\S 300$; of 2nd and 3 rd pers. sing. rarely after pass. parts., § 377 , end.
Summary writings, i.e. omission of inflexions and like, §§ 20. 296.
Superlative: of adjs., meaning conveyed by genitival adj., § 97 ; by imy, ib.; by wr or wrt, ib.; a suffixpron. may help to indicate, $i b_{0}$; of advs., indicated by wrt or by phrases like $r$ ht $n b t, \$ 207$.
Syntax of nouns and pronouns, $\$ \S 83-92$; of adjectives, $\S \S 94-7$; of verbs, after $\S 298$, passim.

Tense, not clearly expressed in Egyptian, §§ 30.295 ; distinction of, in parts., $\$ \S 365-70$; how indicated in sents. with adv. pred., § 118 ; with nom. pred., § 133 ; with adj. pred., § 142. 143. See too under Imperfective tense, Perfective tense.
Tertiae infirmae verbs, § 28 I ; causatives of, § 285 .
Time, virt. clauses of, verbal, § 212 ; negated by $t m \cdot f, \S 347,3$; use imperf. sidm•f, when with notion of repetition, §444, I; do., non-verbal, § 214 ; verbal cls. of, after prepositions, § 222, I.
Titles: of sections of books, etc., indicated by $n$. used absolutely, §89, 1 ; by infin., do., § 306, 1 ; of persons, often use direct genitive, § 85 ; see too under Laudatory epithets.
'Transitive meaning of some usually intransitive vbs., § 274 .

Transitive verbs, § 291, I; old perf. of, with act. meaning, §§ $311.312,1$; do., usually with pass. meaning, §§311. 312, 2 ; construction with infin. of, § 3 or.
Transcription: of hieratic, § 63 A, see Add. pp. 422-3; of Egyptian proper names, Appendix B, pp. 434-7.
Transliteration of Egyptian words, § 19; of particular letters, $i b$. ; of biliteral and triliteral signs, § 19 , Obs. r ; use of dot and hyphen in, §§ 19, Obs. 3 ; 309, Obs. 2; minimum transliterations generally advisable, § $6_{3}$, end.
Transposition of subject : of negative vb., when a n., to position after the negatival complement or infin., § 343 ; a possibly similar case after ir wnn, §474, I. See further under Word-order.
Transpositions, graphic, $\S 56$; with honorific intent, § 57 ; p. 65, bottom; in expression of filiation, p. 66, top.

Triliteral signs, § 17,3 ; relation to ideograms, §42, Obs.; accompanied by phonetic complements, § 42 ; how then transliterated, § 19, Obs. I.
Triliteral verbs, § 279; causatives of, § 283.
Undefined antecedent in rel. cls., § 196; rare with nty, § 199.
Uniliteral signs, see under Alphabetic signs.
Universals, negative, how expressed, §§ 144, 4; 394.

Variants (variant writings), § 22; Obs.; p. 549.
Verbal sentences, defined, $\S 27$; anticipatory emphasis in, § 148 ; word-order in, §§ 27.66 .507.
Verb-classes, § 277 ; in detail, §§ 278-89.
Verb-forms, § 296; verbal and other, § 297, 3. See in detail under Infinitive, Old Perfective, $S d m \cdot f$ form, etc.
Verbs: introductory, §§ 267-97; different classes of, § 267 ; mutable and immutable, $i b$.; mutable, discussed, § 268; geminating, § 269 ; weak, § 270 ; reduplicated, § 274 ; causative, § 275 ; with prefixed $n, \S 276$; compound, $\S 288$; anomalous, $\S 289$; with initial $i$ and $w, \S 290$; classification of, according to meaning, § 291.
Verb-stems, general characteristics of, § 273; usually triconsonantal, $i b$.; classification according to number and nature of radical consonants, § 277 . See too under Verb-classes.

## INDEXES

Vertical columns: writing in, § 16 ; exceptional order of, p. 25, n. I.
Vetitive $m, \S \S 338$, end; 340 , i ; later expanded into $m i r, \S 340,2 ; m r d i$ as neg. of $i m i, \S 340,3$.
Virtual subordinate clauses, defined, §182. See in detail under Adverb clauses, Noun clauses, Relative clauses; also under Circumstance, Condition, etc.
Vocalization of Middle Egyptian, for most part unknown, § 19; means of ascertaining, Appendix A, pp. 428-33.
Vocative, $\S 87$; position in sentence of, $i b$. ; introduced by $i$ or $h, i b$.; $p w$ attached to, p. 87 , top.
Voice, §293; see too under Active voice, Passive voice.
Vowels: omitted in hieroglyphic writing, §§ 7. 19; changes of, found in Coptic, p. 433. See too under Semi-vowels.

Weak consonants, § 20.
Weak verbs, § 270.
'Whether . . . or whether' clauses, § 217.
Wishes: introduced by $h$ s or $h w y$, § 238 ; do., with perfect. sidm•f, §450, $5, b$; without particle, śdm•f expressing, §40, 2 ; imperf. sidm.f in, §440, 5 ; perf. $s \underline{d} d m \cdot f$ in, § 450,4 ; negative, with s'dm. $f$ form of $i m i, \S 345$; sentences with adv. pred. having meaning of, § 118 , 1 ; exclamatory, often elliptical in form, §§ $153.313 .506,2$.
'Without', how expressed, § 109 ; with infin., § 307; see too Engl.-Eg. Vocab.
Word-order: in sentences with adv. pred., §§ 29. 66. 116; with nom. or pron. pred., §§ $125-7$; with adj. pred., §§ $135-7.139$; in verbal sentences, §§ 27. 66 ; final remarks on, and exceptions, § 507.
Writing, nature of hieroglyphic, $\S 5$; direction of, § 16.

## II. GENERAL

Åkerblad, J. D., pp. 12. 14.
Akhmîmic dialect of Coptic, p. 6 .
Akhtoy, son of Duauf, Instruction of, p. 24 b.
Am Duat, p. 20.
Amen-Rēc as sun-god, p. go, n. 5.
Ameny, prophetic book concerning king, p. 24 b.
Ammenemes I, Instruction of, p. 24 b.
Area, measures of, § 266, 3 .
Aroura, a measure of area, $\S 266,3$.
Aten, hymns to the, p. 2 I.
Babylonian transcriptions of Egyptian words, p. 428.
Bai, soul, external manifestation, p. 173.
Bankes, W. J., p. I4.
Banner-name, p. 72.
Birch, Samuel, p. 16.
Black ink substituted for red for superstitious reasons, p. 268, n. 2.
Bohairic dialect of Coptic, p. 6.
Book of the Dead, p. 19 .
Breasted, James Henry, p. 17.
Breath, as symbol of life, p. 9r, n. i.
Brugsch, Heinrich, p. 16.
Buto, early capital, p. 73; Sign-list, under O 20.

Buto, goddess, better called Edjō, p. 32, n. I; p. 73, n. $I^{\text {a }}$.

Calendar, pp. 204-5.
Cartouche, pp. 13. 33, n. 1; p. 74.
Caverns, Book of, p. 20.
Chabas, François, p. 16.
Champollion, Jean François, pp. 12-15.
Charade, principle of the, p. 6.
Chronology, pp. 204-5.
Clement of Alexandria, p. ir.
Cleopatra, p. 14.
Coffin Texts, p. 19.
Coptic, pp. 5-6; early books on, p. ir ; value of, for study of Eg. vocalization, pp. 428-33.
Corn-measure, § 266, i.
Corpse, p. 173.
Crocodilopolis, p. $3^{81}$, n. 3 .
Crum, Walter Ewing, p. 17.
Cubit, measure of length, § 266, 2.
Dating, pp. 203-5.
Decans, p. 206.
Decipherment of the hieroglyphs, pp. 12-15.

## GENERAL

Deben, a weight, §266, 4.
Demotic, defined, pp. 5. 10; writing, latest examples of, p. II ; sample of, PI. II.
Destruction of Mankind, p. 20.
Dictionary, the Berlin, p. 17.
Didactic treatises, p. $24 a$.
Digit, measure of length, § 266, 2.
Diversion of offerings, p. 172, n. I.
Divine Cult, Ritual of the, p. 20.
Divine names: how best represented in English, p. 435; as first element in Eg. personal names, pp. 436-7.
Dot, use of, in transliteration, § 19, Obs. 3; § 309, Obs. 2.

Ebers medical papyrus, p. 22.
Edjō, better form of the name of the goddess usually called Buto, p. 32, n. I; p. 73, n. Ia ; together with Nekhbet reincarnate in king, p. 73.
Edwin Smith medical papyrus, p. 22.
Egyptian language: affinities of, §3; character of, p. 4.

Eloquent Peasant, story of the, p. $24 a$.
Enchorial writing, now called Demotic, p. ıo.
Ennead, the Great, p. 291, n. 8.
Epagomenal days, p. 203.
Erman, Adolf, pp. 16-17.
Falcon of gold, p. 73.
Fayyûmic dialect of Coptic, p. 432, n. 2.
Filiation, how expressed, see in Grammatical Index.
Finger(-breadth), see Digit above.
Foreign words, § 60 .
Fractions, ideas underlying, § 265.
Funerary Cult: Ritual of, p. 20; formula of offering used in, pp. 170-3.

Gates, Book of, p. 20.
Golden Horus, name of king, p. 73.
Goodwin, Charles Wycliffe, p. 16.
Griffith, Francis Llewellyn, p. 17.
Gunn, Battiscombe George, pp. viii. ix. xi. 17 .
Hamitic languages, relation of Egyptian to, p. 2.
Harpers, songs of the, p. $24 c, \mathrm{n} .4$.
Hekat, a corn-measure, § 266, $\mathbf{I}$.
Hieraconpolis, early capital, p. 73; Sign-list, under O 19. 47.

Hieratic writing, p. $10 ; \S 63$ A, see Add. pp. 422-3; samples of, Pl. II.
Hieroglyphic writing: principles of, $\S 5$; latest examples of, p. x; students' need of good, p. 33; autography best mode of publishing texts, p. 438 ; different styles of, Pl. I (Frontispiece).
Hin, liquid measure, § 266, 1 (p. 199).
Historical records, p. 24.
Horapollo, p. 11 .
Horus, god, p. 32, n. 3 ; incarnate in the king, p. 32, n. I; p. 72 ; p. 90, n. 2.

Horus name of king, p. 73.
Hotp-di-nesu formula, pp. 170-3.
Hours, p. 206.
Hymns to the gods, p. 20.
Hyphen, use of, in transliteration, § 19, Obs. 3.
Ipuwēr, Admonitions of, p. 24 b.
$K a$, spirit, p. 172.
$K a$-priests, p. 172.
Kagemni, Instruction addressed to, p. 24 b.
Kharkheperrassonb, writing by, p. 24 b.
King, designations of the, pp. 71-6.
Kircher, Athanasius, p. II.
Kitě, a weight, § 266, 4.
Language, Egyptian, see under Egyptian language.
Late Egyptian, defined, p. 5. See too in Grammatical Index.
Lebensmüde, pessimistic composition known as, p. 24 c .

Legal documents, p. 23.
Length, measures of, §266, 2.
Letters, private, p. 24.
Lepsius, Richard, p. 16.
Lexicographical book, p. 23.
Litany of the Sun, p. 20.
Literature, Egyptian, pp. 18-24c.
Magical papyri, p. 21.
Manetho, pp. 1I. 15.76. 435.
Mariette, Auguste, p. 16.
Maspero, Sir Gaston, p. 16.
Mathematical papyri, p. 23.
Measures, Egyptian, § 266.
Medical papyri, p. 22.
Menes, p. 73.

## INDEXES

Merikarēc, Instruction addressed to king, p. $24 b$.
Middle Egyptian, defined, § 2 ; p. 5 .
Month-names, p. 205.
Names, see under King, Month-names, Personal names, Place-names.
Narmer, slate palette of, p. 7.
Nebiu, measure of length, §266, 2.
Nebty-name of king, p. 73.
Neferrohu, prophesyings of, p. $24 b$; different readings of name, see Add. p. xxviii.
Nekhbet, goddess, p. 73 .
Netherworld, Book of what is in the, p. 20.
Nomen of king, p. 74.
Oaths, see in the Grammatical Index.
Offering, formula of, pp. 170-3.
Official documents, pp. 23-4.
Oipě, a corn-measure, § 266, $\mathbf{1}$.
Old Egyptian, defined, p. 5; see too in the Grammatical Index.
Ombos, p. 73, n. 4.
Osiris, god, p. 32, n. 3 ; p. 70, n. 2; p. 90, n. 2.
Palm, measure of length, § 266, 2.
Pe, a town, p. 73.
Peasant, see under Eloquent Peasant.
Personal names, how best transcribed and quoted, pp. 434-7.
Pessimistic literature, p. 24 b.
Petrie, Sir (William Matthews) Flinders, p. 16.
Pharaoh, the term, p. 75.
Philae, demotic inscriptions at, p. 11; Obelisk of, p. 14.

Place-names, how best quoted, p. 435 .
Plutarch, p. II.
Poetry, secular, p. 24 c.
Prenomen of king, pp. 73-4.
Prophetic books, p. 24 b.
Ptahhotpe, Instruction of, p. $24 a$.
Ptolemy, p. 14.
Pyramid Texts, p. 18.
Ramesses, p. 15.
Rebus, principle of the, p. 6.
Regnal years, p. 204.

Ritual: of Divine Cult, p. 20; of Funerary Cult, p. 20.

Rod of cord, measure of length, § 266, 2.
Rosetta Stone, pp. 12-13.
Rougé, Emanuel de, p. 16.
Sacîdic dialect of Coptic, p. 6.
Sack, a corn-measure, § 266, I.
Sacy, Silvestre de, p. 12 .
Schoenus, measure of length, $\S 266,2$.
Seal, as a weight, § 266, 4 .
Sedge or scirpus reed, symbol of Upper Egypt, p. 73 .

Semitic languages, relation of Egyptian to, p. 2.
Seth, god, p. 32, n. 3; p. 73; p. 90, n. 2; p. 197; p. 268, n. 2.

Sethe, Kurt, p. 17.
Shadow, p. 173.
Shipwrecked Sailor, story of the, p. $24 a$.
Sinūhe, story of, p. $24 a$.
Sirius, heliacal rising of, p. 205.
Sothis, goddess, p. 205.
Soul, pp. 172-3.
Soul-priests, p. 172.
Spiegelberg, Wilhelm, p. 17.
Spirit, p. 172.
Steindorff, Georg, p. 17.
Stelae, p. 2I.
Stern, Ludwig, p. 16.
Stories, Middle Egyptian, p. 24 a.
Supports of Shu, the, p. $3^{80}$, n. 3 .

Thompson, Sir Herbert, p. 17.
Thoth, pp. IIz. 197.
Time, divisions of, pp. 203-6.
Titulary of king, pp. 71-6.
Tuthmosis, pp. 15.75.
Typhon, see under Seth.

Wedjat-eye, § 266.
Westcar papyrus, p. $24 a$, n. 5 .

Year, Egyptian, pp. 203-4.
Young, Thomas, p. 12.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The present state of the question is well summarized in G. Lefebvre, 'Sur l'origine de la langue Égyptienne' in Chronique d'Égypte, July, 1936, with full bibliography; see too the same scholar's Grammaire de l'Égyptien classique, §§ $\mathbf{1}-7$. The relationship to both families is certain, but comparisons of vocabulary become the more hazardous the further they are pushed. For the Semitic affinities see especially A. Ember, Egypto-Semitic Studies, Leipzig, $193^{\circ}$; Fr. Calice, Grundlagen der ägyptisch-semitischen Wortvergleichung, Vienna, 1936; for the Hamitic, E. Zyhlarz, Ursprung und Sprachcharakter des Altägyptischen, Berlin, 1933. The comparison with Hamitic labours under the difficulty that hardly any ancient written records exist, while that with Semitic has rendered much good service, particularly in the realms of morphology and syntax.
    ${ }^{3}$ Egyptian writing omits the vowels, so that our transliterations of the hieroglyphs display only the consonantal skeleton; see below, § 7 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Brockelmann, Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, i, § 264 e.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Appendix A and the literature there quoted.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ This general verdict is not vitiated by the sporadic occurrence of texts showing a real speculative or scientific interest, such as the exegetic text published by Breasted under the title 'The Philosophy of a Memphite Priest' ( $A Z .39,39$ ), or the Edwin Smith medical papyrus edited by the same scholar. These were doubtless the creations of individuals far above the average intellectual standard.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gardiner, 'Some Aspects of the Egyptian Language', in Proc. Brit. Acad. XXIII, 1937.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Appendix A at the end of the book. $\quad{ }^{2}$ See Crum's remarks, JEA. 27, r8o.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the general theory see Sethe, Das hieroglyphische Schriftsystem, Leipzig, 1935; also in wider perspective, Id., Vom Bilde zum Buchstaben, Leipzig, r939. A popular account by the present writer, $/ E A .2,6$ r.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Ranke in Studia Orientalia (Helsingfors, 1925), 167 ff ; Keimer in Aegyptus, 7, 169 ff .

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ In strictness ideograms represent words rather than objects or notions connected therewith. Nevertheless, substitution of the term 'word-sign' could only obscure the clear distinction above made.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ The pronunciations here given are reconstructions from Coptic po 'mouth' and -пшр in $\boldsymbol{x e n e n \omega p}$ 'roof'.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sethe's convincing views on this topic are vindicated by De Buck in Bibl. Or. r, in against Scharff in Sitz. Bay. Ak. 1942, 72, n. 3 1r.
    ${ }^{2}$ The asterisk * indicates that the reconstruction so marked is purely hypothetical.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Möller, Hieratische Paläographie, 3 vols., Leipzig, 1909-12; Ergänzungsheft, 1936; also Id., Hieratische Lesestücke, 3 vols., Leipzig, 1909-10. On the transcription of hieratic see Add. § 63 A.
    ${ }^{2}$ See W.Spiegelberg, Demotische Grammatik, Heidelberg, 1925; W. Erichsen, Demotische Lesestiucke, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1937-9; Fr. Lexa, Grammaire démotique egyptienne, I, II, Prague, 1939-40.
    ${ }^{3}$ See P. Marestaing, Les écritures igyptieanes et l'antiquité classique, Paris, 1913; H. Sottas and E. Drioton, Introduction ì l'étude' des lis'roglyphes, laris, 1922.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ F. Le. Griffith, Demotic Graffiti of the Dodecaschoenus, p. in.
    ${ }^{2}$ See the admirable account given by Et. Quatremere, Recherches sur la langue et la littérature de l'Égypte, Paris, 1808.

[^9]:    ' See particularly A. Erman, Die Entzifferung der Hieroglyphen in Sitzungsberichte der preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1922; and an excellent article [by F. Ll. Griffith] in The Times Literary Supplement, 2 lebruary 1922.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Professor Griffith's verdict, in the article quoted above, p. x2, n. r.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The earlier stages of Egyptian, as we have seen (§ 7), do not indicate the vowels. Just as in the Hebrew writing of German employed by the German-Polish Jew the old semi-consonants wāw and $y \bar{o} d h$ are employed for $o$ and $i$ respectively, so too here the loop, originally $\pi \%$ (see $\S 19$ for this mode of transliteration), is secondarily employed for $o$. See $\ddot{A} Z .34,54$; also Zeitschr. d. deutsch. Morgenl. Ges. 77, 145-7.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ More familiar to the general reader under the erroneous modern form Thothmes.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ For further observations on this matter see A. H. Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica, Oxford, 1947, vol. i, pp. xiii-xxi ; also the article in $/ E A$. vol. 34, pp. 12-18.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ The bibliographical references in the footnotes give only the best or the most easily accessible editions. Invaluable for inscriptions still in situ in Egypt is the Topographical Bibliography of Ancient Egyptian Hieroglyphic Texts, Reliefs, and Paintings by B. Porter and R. L. B. Moss, 6 vols., Oxford, 1927-39. A comprehensive guide to Egyptological books and articles down to 194 I is provided by Ida A. Pratt, Ancient Egypt: Sources of Information in the Neze York Public Library, 2 vols., New York, 1925 \& 1942.
    ${ }^{2}$ A considerable collection of translations into German will be found in G. Roeder, Urkunden zur Religion des alten Ägypten, in Religiöse Stimmen der Volker, herausgegeben von Walter Otto, Jena, 1915.
    ${ }^{8}$ Kurt Sethe, Die altagyptischen Pyramidentexte, 4 vols., Leipzig, 1908-22; Id. (posthumously), $\ddot{U} b e r s t z u n g$ und Kommentar zu den Altägyptischen Pyramidentexten, 4 vols, Glückstadt-Hamburg, no date. A handy, though not wholly reliable, vocabulary in L. Spelfers, Les textes des pyramides égyptiennes, vol. ii., Brussels, 1924.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Standard edition, still incomplete, A. de Buck, The Egyptian Coffin Texts, in University of Chicago, Oriental Institute Publications, 3 vols., Chicago, 1935-47. See too P. Lacau, Sarcophages anterieurs au nouvel empire, 2 vols., Cairo, 1904-6, in Catalogue général des antiquites égyptiennes du musee du Caire; P. Lacau, Textes religieux dgyptiens, in Recueil de Travaux, vols. 26-34, also separately, Paris, 19ro; besides other publications of tess importance. The kind of writing employed for these texts may be seen in S. Birch, Egyptian Texts of the Earliest Period from the Coffin of Amamu in the British Museum, London, 1886.
    ${ }^{2}$ Being gradually incorporated into the work by DE Buck cited in n. I.
    ${ }^{3}$ The chief works, mostly in need of completion and revision, are: E. Naville, Das agyptische Todtenbuch der XVIII. bis XX. Dynastic, 3 vols., Berlin, 1886; E. A. Wallis Budge, The Book of the Dead: The Chapters of coming forth by Day, 3 vols., London, 1898 (a later, rather fuller, re-edition, 1910); Id., The Book of the Dead, Facsimiles, \&c., including complete text of the important papyrus of Nu, London, British Museum, 1899 ; É. Naville, The Funeral Papyrus of Iouiya, London, 1908 ; [E. Schiaparelli], Relasione sui lavori della Missione . . . in Egitto, Turin, [1927,] vol. ii, pp. 33-63 (the papyrus of Khas); Catalogue of Egyptian Religious Papyri in the British Museum, [Part] I, by A. W. Shorter, London, 1938 .

    - The most famous of all is R. Lepsius, Das Todtenbuch der Ägypter, Leipzig, 1842.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Definitive copies of the scenes and texts in A. M. Calverley and M. F. Broome, The Temple of King Sethos Iat Abydos, vols. i, ii, London, Egypt Exploration Society and Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1933-5. See too A. Moret, Le Rituel du culte divin journalier en Égypte, Paris, 1902.
    ${ }^{2}$ N. de G. Davies, The Tomb of Rekh-mi-Ree at Thebes, New York, Metropolitan Museum of Art, r943, vol. ii, Pls. 96-110. Other versions, E. Schiaparelli, Il libro dei funerali degli antichi Egiziani, 3 vols., Turin, 188r-90.
    ${ }^{3}$ Earliest examples, P. Bucher, Les Textes des tombes de Thoutmosis III et d'Aménophis 1I, vol. i, in Mémoires de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, Cairo, 1932. Versions from later tombs, E. Lefébure, Les Hypogées royaux de Thèbes, 3 parts, Paris, 1886 -9, being Annales du Musée Guimet, vols. 9 and x6.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ch. Maystre and A. Piankoff, Le Liure des Portes, vol. i, in Mémoires de l'Institut Français d'Archeologie Orientale, Cairo, 1939-46.
    s A. Piankoff, Le Livre des Quererts, extracted from Bulletin de l'Institut Francais a'Archéologie Orientale, vols. $4^{1-5}$, Cairo, 1946 . É. Naville, La Litanie du Soleil, Leipzig, 1875.
    ${ }^{7}$ Ch. Maystre, Le Livre de la Vache du Ciel, in Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale, $40,53-115$; for the accompanying picture in the tomb of Sethos I see JEA. 28, PI. 4.
    ${ }^{8}$ Those on stelae are collected in Sélim Hassan, Hymnes religieux du Moyen Empire, Cairo, 1928.

    - A. Erman, Hymnen an das Diadem der Pharaonen, Berlin, 1911 , in Abhandlungen der konigl. Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften.
    ${ }^{10}$ Still unpublished.
    ${ }^{11}$ G. Maspero, Hymne au Nil, Cairo, 1912, in Bibliothèque d'étude de l'Institut Franfais d'Archéologie Orientale. A damaged duplicate text with numerous divergences in P. Chester Beatty $V, \mathrm{rt} .1,12-5,5$, published in A. H. Gardiner, Hieratic Papyri in the British Museum, Third Series, Pls. 23-4, London, 1935. An early Dyn. XVIII copy of the opening lines is on an unpublished writing-board now in the Ashmolean Museum, Oxford.
    [Notes 12, 13, see p. 2 I .

[^17]:    ${ }^{12}$ A. Mariette, Les Papyrus égyptiens du Musée de Boulaq, Cairo, 1871-2, II, 11-13.
    ${ }^{13}$ Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache, 42, 12-42.
    ${ }^{1}$ N. de G. Davies, The Rock Tombs of El Amarna, especially vols, 4 and 6, in Archaeological Survcy of Egypt published by the Egypt Exploration Society, London, 1903-8. Mainly excerpted thence in a convenient single volume, M. Sandman, Texts from the Time of Akhenaten (Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, VIII), Brussels, 1938.
    ${ }^{2}$ The principal publications are as follows. Cairo: H. O. Lange and H. Schäfer, Grad- und Denksteine des Mittleren Reichs, in Catalogue général .... du musée du Caire, 4 vols., Cairo, 1902-25. London: Hieroglyphic Texts from Egyptian Stelae, Ec., in the British Museum, 8 parts, London, 1911-39. Paris: P. Pierret, Recueil d'inscriptions inédites du musée égyptien du Louvre, 2 parts, Paris, 1874-8; A. Gayet, Musée du Louvre: Stèles de la XIIe Dynastie, in Bibliothèque de l'École des Hautes Études, Paris, 1886; A. Moret, Catalogue du Musée Guimet, Galerie égyptienne, 2 vols., Paris, 1909. Brussels: L. Speleers, Recueil des inscriptions égyptiennes des Musées Royaux du Cinquantenaire à Bruxelles, Brussels, 1923. Berlin : Ägptische Inschriften aus den königgichen Museen zu Berlin, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1913-14. Vienna: W. Wreszinski, Aggptische Inschriften aus dem k. k. Hofmuseum in Wien, Leipzig, 1go6. Various German and Swiss Museums: Ägyptische Grabsteine und Denksteine, vol. i. Karlsnuhe, Mülhausen, Strassburg, Stuttgart, by W. Spiegelberg and B. Pörtner; vol. ii. München, by K. Dyroff and B. Pörtner; vol. iii. Bonn, Darmstadt, Frankfurt a. M., Genf, Neuchátel, by A. Wiedemann and B. Pörtner; Strassburg, 1902-6. Leyden: P. A. A. Boeser, Beschreibung der agyptischen Sammlung in Leiden: Die Denkmüler der Zeit zwischen dem alten und mittleren Reich und des mittleren Reiches: erste Abteilung, Stelen, The Hague, 1909. Copenhagen: M. Mogensen, Inscriptions hiéroglyphiques du musée national de Copenhague, Copenhagen, 1918; O. Koefoed-Petersen, Les Stèles égyptiennes, being Publications de la Glyptothèque Ny Carlsberg, No. i, Copenhagen,_1948. Stockholm: M. Mogensen, Steles égyptiennes au musée national de Stockholm, Copenhagen, 1919. Berkeley (Univ. of California): H. F. Lutz, Egyptian Tomb Steles and Offering Stones, Leipzig, 1927. The stelae of many other museums, in Italy, Russia, \&c., have likewise been published, but it has been necessary to confine this note to publications of primary importance. Two valuable works not restricted to any single collection are D. Dunham, Naga.ed-Der stelae of the First Intermediate Period, Boston (Museum of Fine Arts), 1937 ; J. J. Clère and J. Vandier, Textes de la première période intermediaire et de la XI'ime Dynastie (Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, X) Brussels, 1948.
    ${ }^{3}$ J. Janssen, De traditioneele Egyptische autobiografie vórr het nieuwe rijk, 2 vols., Leyden, 1946.

    - Magical fragments of the late Middle Kingdom exist in the still unpublished Ramesseum papyri. Others written in Dyn. XIX belong to the Chester Beatty papyri referred to above, p. 20, n. ri.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ A. Erman, Zaubersprüche für Mutter und Kind, in Abhandlungen der königl. Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, rgor.
    ${ }^{2}$ A. H. Gardiner and K. Sethe, Egyptian Letters to the Dead, London (Egypt Exploration Society), 1928. Other examples found later, $J E A$. 16, 19-22; 20, 157-69.
    ${ }^{3}$ K. Sethe, Die Ächtung feindlicher Fürsten, Völker und Dinge auf altägyptischen Tongefässscherben des Mittleren Reiches, in Abhandlungen der Preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1926.
    'G. Posener, Princes et pays d'Asie et de Nubie, Brussels, 940.
    ${ }^{5}$ Convenient editions of the main texts by W. Wreszinski. General characterization, see H. Grapow, Untersuchungen über die altag̈pptischen medizinischen Papyni, Leipzig, 1935. Many details have been discussed by such scholars as V. Loret, F. von Oefele, B. Ebbell, and W. R. Dawson.

    - F. Ll. Griffith, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob, London, 1898, Pls. 5-6.
    ${ }^{7} O_{\text {p. cit., Pl. 7. The unpublished Ramesseum papyri (Dyn. XIII) contain fragments of three more }}$ medical texts, only one of which, however, shows any degree of completeness.
    ${ }^{8}$ G. Ebers, Papyros Ebers, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1875. Transcription of the whole into hieroglyphic, W Wreszinski, Der Papyrus Ebers, Leipzig, 1913.
    - J. H. Breasted, The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus, 2 vols., Chicago, 1930.
    ${ }^{10}$ G. A. Reisner, The Hearst Medical Papyrus, Leipzig, 1905 ; transcribed in W. Wreszinski, Der Londoner medizinische Papyrus und der Papyrus Hearst, Leipzig, 1912.
    ${ }^{11}$ The largest are the London text published by Wreszinski (op.cit.) and one in Berlin edited in his work Der grosse medizinische Papyrus des Berliner Museums, Leipzig, 1909. Other more fragmentary examples in A. H. Gardiner, Hieratic Papyri in the British Museum, Third Series, London, 1935.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ T. E. Peet, The Rhind Mathematical Papyrus, London, r923; A. B. Chace, The Rhind Mathematical Papyrus, 2 vols., Oberlin, Ohio, 1927.
    ${ }^{2}$ W. W. Struve, Mathematischer Papyrus des staatlichen Museums der schönen Künste in Moskau, Berlin, 1930; see too Ancient Egypt, 1917, 100-2; JEA. 15, 167-85. Fragments of similar treatises, Griffith, op. cit., Pl. 8 ; Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache, 38, 135-40; 40, 65-6.
    ${ }^{3}$ A. H. Gardiner, Ancient Egyptian Onomastica, 3 vols., Oxford, 1947 ; the Ramesseum Onomasticon, vol. i, pp. 6-23 ; vol. iii, Pls. x-6.
    *GRIFFITH, op. cit. $\quad$ Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache, 43, 27-45.

    - P. C. Smither, The Report concerning the Slave-girl Senbet, in JEA. 34, 31-4.
    ${ }^{7}$ Cairo 52453, see Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientake, 30, 891.
    ${ }^{\text {B }}$ P. Mook, see Zeitschrift für agypnische Sprache, 63, 105-15.
    - F. Ll. Griffith, The Inscriptions of Sitit and Der Rifeh, London, s889, Pls. 6-8; translation and discussion by G. Reisner, JEA. 5, 79-98.
    ${ }^{10}$ N. de G. Daviks, The Tomb of Rekh-mi-Ree at Thebes, two vols., New York (Metropolitan Museum of Art), 1943 ; the texts, vol. ii, Pls. 26-8, r19-22; translation, vol. i, pp. 88-94.
    ${ }^{11}$ Op. cit., the texts, vol. ii, Pls. 14-15, 116-18; translation, vol. i, pp. 84-8.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. Weill, Les Décrets royaux de Pancien empire égyptien, Paris, 1912. Additional examples, edited by W. C. Hayes, see $J E A .32,3-23$.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. C. Smither, The Semnah Dispatches, loc. cit., 31, 3-10.
    ${ }^{3}$ A. Mariette, Les Papyrus égyptiens du Musée de Boulaq, 2 vols., Paris, 1871-2: No. 18, completely transcribed with commentary by A. Scharff in Zeitschrift für agyptische Sprache, 57, 51-72, and autographed pages 1-24**. *Edited by S. R. K. Glanville in op. cit., 66, 105-21; 68, 7-41.
    ${ }^{5}$ On the (so-called) verso of Pap. Leningrad $1116 A$ and $B$ in the publication cited below p. 24a, n. 4.

    - Pap. Louvre 3226, published in H. Brugsch, Thesaurus Inscriptionum aegyptiacarum, Leipzig, 1883-91 (vol. v), 1079-1ri8.
    ${ }^{7}$ Sole consecutive account as yet, Bulletin of the Metropolitan Museum of Art: The Egyptian Expedition, 1921-1922, pp. 36-49.
    - Griffith, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob, Pls. 27-37. From later finds, A. Scharff, Briefe aus Illahun, in Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache, 59, 20-51, and autographed pages 1-12.
    ${ }^{9}$ Those in the Louvre edited by T. E. Peet in $J E A$. 12, $70-4$, those in the British Museum by S. R. K. Glanville, JE.A. 14, 294-312.
    ${ }^{10}$ A convenient but incomplete collection of the texts, Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums herausgegeben von Georg Steindorff; the historical texts edited by K. Sethe: Abt. I, Urkunden des alten Reiches, 2nd ed., Leipzig, 1932-3; Abt. IV, Urkunden der 18. Dynastic (4 vols. to end Tuthmosis III), Leipzig, 1906-9 (vol. i, and ed., 1930) ; Abt. VII, Urkunden des mittleren Reiches, one part only, 1933. For Dyn. XI, see above, p. $2 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{n} .2$, end. Many pieces are given also in the reading-books of K. Sethe and A. de Buck (p. r8, top). For translations see J. H. Breasted, Ancient Records of Egypt, 5 vols., Chicago, rgo6-7.
    "A. H. Gardiner and T. E. Peet, The Inscriplions of Sinai, Part I, London (Egypt Exploration Fund), 1917; a revised and enlarged edition is being prepared by J. Černý.
    ${ }^{12}$ J. Couyat and P. Montet, Inscriptions hiéroglyphiques et hiératiques du Ouadi Hammámát, in Mémoires . . . . de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale du Caire, 2 vols., Cairo, 1912-13:

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ See A. Erman, The Literature of the Ancient Egyptians, translated by A. M. Blackman, London, 1927; G. Lefebvre, Romans et Contes Cgyptiens, Paris, 1949; most of the texts mentioned below are translated in one or both of these important books, so that no further references to them will be given. Three stories have been translated also by B. Gunn in B. Lewis, Land of Enchanters, London, 1948.
    ${ }^{2}$ A. H. Gardiner, Die Erzählung des Sinuhe und die Hirtengeschichte, Leipzig, 1909, in Literarische Texte des mittleren Reiches, herausgegeben von A. Erman; also Id., Notes on the Story of Sinuhe, Paris, 1916. The text also in A. M. Blackman, Middle-Egyptian Stories, Part I (Bibliotheca Aegyptiaca, II), Brussels, 1932.
    ${ }^{3}$ F. Vogelsang and A. H. Gardiner, Die Klagen des Bauern, Leipzig, r908, in Literarische Texte des mittleren Reiches, herausgegeben von A. Erman; also F. Vogelsang, Kommentar zu den Klagen des Baxern, Leipzig, 1913, in K. Sethe, Untersuchungen sur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Agyptens, vol. 6. Translation by A. H. Gardiner in JEA. 9, 5-25.
    ${ }^{4}$ [W. Golénischeff], Les Papyrus hiératiques, Nos. ints, int6 a et into b de l'Ermitage Imperial à St.-Petersbourg, 19r3, Pls. r-8. Transcription, translation, and notes by A. Erman in Zeitschrift für agyptische Sprache, 43, 1-26; the text also W. Golénischeff, Le Conte du Naufragé, Cairo, 1912, in Bibliothèque d'étude de l' Institut Franfais d'Archéologie Orientale ; A. M. Blackman, op. ait., pp. 4r-8.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ See A. Erman, Dic Märchen des Papyrus Westcar, Berlin, 1890, being Mittheilungen aus den Orientalischen Sammlungen, part 5.

    - Published in the book mentioned above in note 2.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ G. Jéquier, Le Papyrus Prisse et ses variantes, Paris, 19 II ; E. Dévaud, Les Maximes de Ptahhotep, Fribourg, 1916.
    ${ }^{2}$ Transcription and translation by A. H. Gardiner in JEA. 31, 71-4.
    ${ }^{5}$ H. Brunner, Die Lehre des Cheti, Sohnes des Duauf, in Ägyptologische Forschungen herausgegeben von Alexander Scharff, Heft $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, Gluckstadt-Hamburg, 1944.
    ' G. Maspero, Les Enseignements d'Amenemhaít ler d̀ son fils Sanouasrít ter, Cairo, 19 14, in Bibliothèque d'étude de l'Institut Francais d'Archéologic Orientale; A. Volten, Zwei altägyptische politische Schriften, in Analecta Aegyptiaca, vol. iv, Copenhagen, 1945, pp. 82-128. See too the article by B. Gunn in JEA. 27, 2-6.
    ${ }^{5}$ Pap. Leningrad 1 IIt A, recto, [W. Golénischeff], op.cit., Pls. 9-14, Suppl. A-C; A. Volten, op.cit., pp. $3-8 \mathrm{f}$. Also translated by A. H. Gardiner in $J E A$. $\mathrm{x}, 20-3^{6}$.
    ${ }^{6}$ A. H. Gardiner, The Admonitions of an Egyptian Sage, Leipzig, 1909.
    ; Pap. Leningrad III6 B, recto, see [W. Golénischeff], op. cit., Pls. 23-5, Suppl. C-D. Translated by A. H. Gardiner in $J E A$. $\mathbf{y}$, 100-6.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ British Museum 5645, published as an appendix in A. H. Gardiner, Admonitions, see above, n. 6.
    ${ }^{2}$ A. Erman, Gespräch eines Lebensmüden mit seiner Seele, in Abhandlungen der königl. preuss. Akademie der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1896; A. Scharff, Der Bericht über das Streitgespräch eines Lebensmüden mit seiner Seele, in Sitzungsberichle der Bayerischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Munich, 1937. For the conclusion see the article by H. Junker in Anzeiger der phil.-hist. Klasse der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1948, Nr. 17.
    ${ }^{5}$ F. Ll. Griffith, Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob, London, 1898, Pls. r-3.

    - For this and other such poems see now M. Lichitheim, The Songs of the Harpers, in Journal of Near Eastern Studies, iv. 178-212.
    ${ }^{5}$ A. H. Gardiner, In Praise of Death, in Procedings of the Society of Biblical Archacology, 35, 165-9.
    - W. Max Müller, op. cit. Important new examples in A. H. Gardiner, The Chester Beatty Papyri, No. , London, 1931, ch. 3.

[^24]:    解 $m$ ('em I , in ; 2, by means of, with (of instrument); 3 , from, out of.
    ${ }_{m m m} n$ ('en') r , to, for (in sense of dative) ; 2 , to (of direction, only to persons). $\diamond r$ ('er') I, to, into, towards (of direction towards things); 2 , in respect of.
    

[^25]:    man，person．
    woman．
    
    行 child，young．

[^26]:    1 The king was often thought of as the incarnation of the falcon－god Horus，and the queen as the incarnation of the cobra－goddess Edjō，commonly known as Buto；moreover，both deities were typical of their class，whence the employment of falcon and cobra as determinatives of royalty and of divinity；but the former alone was so used at an early date．
    ${ }_{2}$ Note the difference from ${ }^{3}$ in the position of both arms．
    3 This animal represents the god Seth，identified by the Greeks with Typhon，the brother and murderer of the good god Osiris，and the enemy of Horus，son of Osiris．

[^27]:    ${ }^{2}$ K. Sethe, Der Nominalsatz im ägyptischen und koptischen; see in Abbreviations under Nominals.

[^28]:    ${ }^{2}$ Exx．Sebekkhu 8 ； Peas．B 1，22；Cairo 20497， 1 ；Westc． $11,8$. 3 Without suffix，Pt． 18 r.
    ${ }^{4}$ Louvre C 3， 16. Sim．T．Carn．2；Urk． iv． $3^{64}, 10$ ；after $i n k$ ， Louvre C 3， 7 ；sw ds．f ＇himself＇，Brit．Mus． 552， 2.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ The apparent $f$ written in this word has been shown to be a determinative with some unascertained symbolic meaning，see $A n n .43,3$ II．Until recently it and $t f$ were held to be distinct words，see $\ddot{A} Z .48,18$ ．
    ${ }^{2}$ For the reading with final $-\boldsymbol{y}$ see Cairo 20184，$k$ ；Brit．Mus． 572.

[^30]:    ${ }^{6}$ Erm. Gramm. ${ }^{s}$ p. 83, n. 2.
    ${ }^{7}$ Erm. Hymn. p. 40.
    ${ }^{8}$ After active old perfective, ex. Lac. .TR. 1, 54 ; after imperative, Sh. S. 179 ; after participles and Sdmty.fy form, see § 375.
    ${ }^{9}$ Dend. 9. 11 A; Urk. v. 162, 6 ; Urk. iv. 346,$12 ; 618,5$.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above p．44， n．I．

[^32]:    ${ }^{0}$ Dyn．XII，Ann． 39，189， 8 ；Âcht．p． ${ }_{25}^{2}$ ；Dyn．XVLII，Urk． iv． 384 ，I．

[^33]:    ${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．693， 8.

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cairo 20099， 2. Sim．ib．20538，ii．c 1 ； 20539 ii．$b 6$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sh．S． 2 I．Sim．ib． 12；before dep．pron． ib． 10 ．

[^35]:    ${ }^{6}$ Cairo 20538, ii.b9. Other exx. see $\S 45^{2}$, I $a$.

[^36]:    1 ＇This thy humble servant＇is to be rendered simply $b_{3 k}$ im＇the servant there＇，a respectful circumlocution for the ist pers．sing．in Middle Egyptian．See $\ddot{A} Z .27,122 ; 30,126$.

[^37]:    ${ }^{2}$ On this question see Verbum，i．$\S \S 109$ foll．

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Peas． $\mathrm{RIO}_{5}=\mathrm{BI}$ ， 62．Sim．Sin．B196； Sh．S． 165 ；Urk．iv． IIO5， 4.
    ${ }^{2}$ Th．T．S．ii． 22.

[^39]:    1 'Southern City' was a name commonly given to Thebes.

[^40]:    10 Sin．B 244．Sim． Peas．B $1,16$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Exx．Siut $1,288$. 301.

[^41]:    ${ }^{12}$ Seas.no.340. Sim. Sin. R 5-6; Peas. B I. 224.

[^42]:    ${ }^{16}$ Eb. 44, 19. Sim. headings of accounts, etc. P. Boul. xviii. 2. 10. 16. 19. 23. $3^{66}$.
    ${ }^{17}$ P. Kah. 18, 15.
    ${ }^{18}$ BH. i. 29.
    ${ }^{19}$ Eb. 2, 6. Rather differently, Westc. Io, 21.

[^43]:    ${ }^{8}$ Leyd. $\mathrm{V}_{3}, 4.8$. Sim. ib. 7 ;" Cairo 20105; Urk.iv. 80, 15 . See ÄZ. 29, 120.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adapted from Th．T．S．i． 27.
    ${ }^{2}$ The＇lord of eternity＇is Osiris，the god of the dead．A large part of the temple offerings was passed on for use in private tomb－chapels＇after＇，as the texts say，＇the god had been satisfied therewith＇．

[^45]:    is Commonly called Buto, see above p. 32, n. 1; also $J E A$. 30 , 55.

[^46]:    ${ }^{10}$ P．Kah．38， 17. However，Arm．pl． 93,5 probably dates from Tuthmosis III， see ib．p．160；cf．also Brit．Mus． 148 （Hier． Texts vii，pl．43）， Tuthmosis IV．

[^47]:    ${ }^{2}$ Lac．Sarc．i．p． 46．Sim．Bersh．i． 14，4．7；Urk．iv．497， 8；654， 14 ．

[^48]:    ${ }^{8}$ P．Pet． 1116 в， 6. Sim．Westc．5， 10 qu． 895 ；JEA．iv． Pl．8， $7-8$ ；Urk．iv． 814， 17.
    －Urk．iv． 350.

[^49]:    ${ }^{9}$ Alm. p. 100 ; Urk. iv. 85. 102.
    10 AZ. 34, 35.
    ${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 320, 17; 322, 14; 331, 12.
    ${ }_{12}$ PSBA. 18, 201,

    1. 6. 

    ${ }^{13}$ Urk. i. 78, 5.
    ${ }^{14}$ See $A Z .40,92$.
    15 Urk. iv. IIog.
    ${ }^{16} E b .31,17$.
    17 Urk. iv. 102.
    ${ }^{18}$ Peas. Bt. 30.
    19 Peas. Bi, 46.
    ${ }^{20}$ Urk. iv. 20, 11 ; 1089, 11. Without det., ib. 736, 13.

[^50]:    ${ }^{6}$ L. to D. Berlin bowl. A further development, see JEA. 27 , II2.

[^51]:    - Exception, Urk. iv. 257, 2 in an archaic text.
    ${ }^{7}$ Eb. 1, 5 .
    ${ }^{8}$ See $A Z .45,57$.

[^52]:    ${ }_{10}$ Rec．39， 121 ．Sim． Peas．Bi，19；Rhind 57.

    11 Urk．iv． 119.

[^53]:    14 Sin．B 237．Tw， sing．f．，Cairo 20153. 20497．20691．

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the Egyptians the concrete symbol of life was the breath, which the gods ' gave into the nose' of the king, the king doing likewise for his subjects.

[^55]:    ${ }^{3}$ Cairo 20164. Sim. ib. 20003, 4; Sin. B 269. 274 ; P. Kah. II, 20.

    4 Mar. Abyd. ii. 30, :7.

[^56]:    ${ }^{5}$ P. Kah. 12, 13. Sim. Sin. B43; Siut I, 28 I ; Leb. $142{ }^{2}$. 145 ; Urk. iv. 65 I, 17.

    6 JEA. ii. 6. Sim. Sin. B77; Siut 4, 25; Urk. iv. 573, 10.

    7 Wn•f in clauses of time see below 5454 , 1 , end.

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 239, 17. Sim. ib. 1024, 12.

[^58]:    ${ }^{8}$ See Rec．19， 187 ； 28， 186.

[^59]:    4 Sh. S. 100. Sim. Eb. 69, 3 ; Urk. iv. 122, I3; 123,3; Arm. 103, 5 .
    ${ }^{5} B H$. i. 7. Sim. Adm. 3, 2; Buhen, p. 91.
    ${ }^{6}$ Urk.iv. 973. Sim. Cairo 20765, 3-4.

    7 Urk. iv. 973.

[^60]:    8 Harh. 68; sim. ib. 69. Also some doubtful cases written with mum GUNN, Studies, ch. xxi.
    ${ }^{9} B H$. і. 25, 98-9.

[^61]:    ${ }^{10}$ Sh.S. 50-1. Sim.
    Sin. B8I-2, qu. §II7.
    ${ }^{11}$ Urk.iv. 115 . Sim. between noun and gen., im. $(f)$ Sin. B 287-8; Sh.S. 35-6; Berl. A'I. i. p. 258, 16-7; hne.(i),Hamm. II4, II.
    ${ }^{12}$ Sin. B280. Sim. Siut 1, 227 ; Meir iii. 8; Peas. B t, 95. 215 ; Cairo 20538, ii. c 18.

[^62]:    ${ }^{11}$ Rulesin H．AbEl， Zur Tonverschmelz－ ung im Altaegypti－ schen，Leipzig，1910， ch．I．
    12 Peas．R I．Sim． Sin．B30－1；Eb．103， 9；Westc．9，9，qu．n． 6 above；Urk．iv．249，4．

[^63]:    1 The four personal names mentioned in this passage are to be rendered Amenemhēt ('Imn-m-hst' Amūn at the front'), Senbsumaci (Sub-sw-mr-i'he is healthy with me'), Ptaḥhotpe ( $P t h-h t p w$ ' $P$ tah is content') and 'Ankhu ( P nhzw, shortening for some such name as $H \mathbf{H}-$ enhze 'Horus lives').

[^64]:    ${ }^{2} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B}$ 154-5.
    Sim. ih. I $_{53}$; Siut I ${ }^{-}$ 228.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 581. Sim. Cairo 20531, c 2 .

[^65]:    ${ }^{3}$ Pr. 2, 6. Sim. Peas. B 2, 131 .

[^66]:    8 Erm. Hymin. 16, 1-2. Sim. probably Cairo 20538, ii. $c 18$ (and pers. plur.).

[^67]:    - Budge, p. il3, 4. Sim. ib. p. 115 , 10; 262, 10; Pt. 56; Peas. B I, 208-9.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urk. v. II. Sim. Siut 1, 300; Berl. AI. i. p. 257, 9 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Urk.iv. 1087.

[^69]:    ${ }^{8}$ Pt. 507-8. Sim. ib. 463. 564; Leb. 39. 56; Sh.S. 70; Peas. B I, 162. 167 .

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pt.232. Sim. Eb. 49, 22 ; Sin. B 125.

[^71]:    ${ }^{4}$ Peas. B 1, 52. Sim. ib. 88. I 39 .

[^72]:    ${ }^{6}$ Sin. B 268 . Sim. ib. 173 ; Peas. B I, 7 I.

[^73]:    ${ }^{7}$ Bersh. i. 20. Sim Meiri. 2. 3; Th.T.S. i. 16.
    ${ }^{8}$ Budge, p. 93, 4. Sim. ib. 97, 10 ; 98, 13. 15 ; Lac. TR. 73, 2.

    9 Sin. B 205. Sim. Westr. 7, 23 .

[^74]:    ${ }^{1}$ Siut 1,3 II. Sim. Budge, p. 260, 6.

[^75]:    ${ }^{13}$ Sin. B 254. Sim. ib. 118.
    ${ }^{14}$ Westc. 3, 2. 11.
    ${ }^{15}$ Urk. iv. 1088.

[^76]:    ${ }^{16}$ Sin. B 274. Sim. Urk. iv. 368, I.
    17 Mar. Abyd. ii. 29, 22. Sim. ib. 13.
    ${ }^{18}$ Exx. Leb. 131. 133. 138; Eb. 53, 22 ; 108, 2.

[^77]:    ${ }^{25}$ Urk．iv．139， 10. Sim．Pr．2， 3.

[^78]:    ${ }^{9}$ Munich 4. $N-i k r-$ $n$, see Leyd. $\mathrm{V}_{4}$, 2.
    ${ }^{10}$ Brit. Mus. 614, II.
    ${ }^{11}$ Munich 3, 21.
    ${ }^{12}$ BH. i. 25, 45. 75 . Sim. Hamm. II3, 15 ; Urk. iv. 100, 3 .
    ${ }^{13}$ Urk. iv. 409, 17. ${ }^{14}$ Cairo 20086; Turin 1584; Urk. iv. 749 , 16. With noun, Coffins, BiP, 114 .
    ${ }^{15}$ Berl. AII. i. p. 258, 21.
    ${ }^{16}$ Peas. B I, 79.
    ${ }^{17}$ Siut 1, 271. Sim. Crk. iv. 100, 4.
    18 Siut I, 275. With wn( $n$ ), see Turin 1447; Peas. B I, 79; Meir iii. I 1 , qu. § 326 ; Urk. iv. 366, 15 .
    ${ }^{19}$ Urk. iv. $415,16$. Sim. ib. 776, 14 ; 835, 7; 840, 5.
    ${ }^{20}$ Siut 3, 12 ; Cairo 20539, ii. $b$ 13; Urk. iv. ro99, 2.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Gard．Sin．p．77，n． 2.

[^80]:    ${ }^{10}$ Siut 1, 310. Sim.

[^81]:    $12 A Z .58,16^{*}$. Sim
    ib． $57,4^{*} ; 58,18^{*}$ ．

[^82]:    4 Adm．6，9．Sim． ib．10， 3 ．
    © Adm．6， 12 ；Urk． iv． 387,13 ．
    ${ }^{6}$ Brit．Mus． 574 ； Leyd．V 4,5 ；cf．also s33．f－ir．f＇a he－knows－ and－does＇，ib．6；$h p r \cdot f$－ it．f＇a he－grows－and－ seizes＇，JEA 32，55， n．$v$ ．
    ${ }^{7}$ Sin．B 37 ；Urk． iv．776， 10 ；808， 13 ； 973，II．
    ${ }^{5}$ Berl．A1．i．p． 258， 14 ．

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eb. 51, 19. Sim. Sh. S. $120-1$; Sin. B 286; Rhind 62, 2. With ellipse of the resumptive suffix Westc. 7, 12-3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eb. 41, 5.

[^84]:    ${ }^{12}$ P. Kah. 9, 2. Sim. Hamm. 43, 12 ; Sebekkhu, top, 4; Urk. iv, 6, II.

[^85]:    ${ }^{3}$ See PSBA. 22, 37.

[^86]:    16 Budge, p. 191, 10 ( $N u$ ).
    ${ }^{17}$ Siut $1,295$. Sim. P. Leyd. 345, recto, G 3, $14-$

[^87]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participles, 'one who knows', 'one who teaches', see § 204, 3. 2 'But', §254. 3 㭥 (participle).

[^88]:    ${ }^{37}$ Eb. 20, 13 ; 66, 18; 97, 17 .
    ${ }^{38}$ Harmhab 20; $K u$ ban 9.
    ${ }^{39}$ Meir ii. 4.
    ${ }^{40}$ Eb. 37, 20; Brit. Mus. 8a8.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit. Mus. 828. Sim. Peas. B 1, 25 ; Louvre C 12, 13.17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Budge, p. 483 , 14.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bersh. i. 14, 4. Sim. io. 14, i. 10 .

    - Louvre C 167. Sim. Sin. B 265-6; Urk. iv. 227, 12; 355, 12.

[^90]:    ${ }^{3}$ Eb. 104, 8. Sim ib. 107, 7 ; Peas. B I, 26 r : Westc. 8, 16.
    ${ }^{32}$ A different view, SĀve-SÖderbergh, Äg. Denkm. 12.
    ${ }^{4}$ Pt. 317. Sim.ib. $37^{2}$; $\operatorname{Pr}$. 1, 3.

[^91]:    ${ }^{2}$ Siut 3, 10. Sim. Brit. Mus. 828, 4 ; .Sebekkhtu 12-13.
    ${ }^{3}$ Berl. ÄI. i. p. 257, 8. Sim. Sin. B $52-3$; Crk.iv. 19, 6; 520, 3 .

    4D.elB. 114. Sim. Berl. AM. i. p. 258, 12 , qu. Exerc. XXX (1).

[^92]:    ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. $580,3$. Sim. LAc. TR. 15,16 .

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus．dor，see $J E A .21$ ，r．The position of the signs and not very regular orthography are here retained．
    ${ }^{2}$ A compound name＇Senwosret－is－（my）－lord＇；on its last element $S$－$n$－$W$ st，see above，p．Tr，n． 4.
    ${ }^{s}$ Town names being fem．（ $\left.\$ 9^{2}, \mathrm{r}\right), f$ can refer only to the $n t r$ of $h \mathbf{w} t-n t r$ or to the name of Osiris implicitly present， see $J E A .23,261$ ；hence our translation＇its＇is not strictly accurate．
    ${ }^{4}$ This formula（cf．Erk．iv． $3^{6} 5$ ；old writing $h n$ ）elsewhere has no suffix after $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}$ ；here perhaps a mistake．

[^94]:    2 Brit．Mus． 198 （Dyn．XII）．

[^95]:    ${ }^{3}$ For a full discus－ sion see Th．T．S．i． 79－93；critically re－ viewed by G．Farina in Rivista degli studi orientali 7， 467 ．

[^96]:    ${ }^{2}$ The signs follow－ ing $n$ ．f are here deter－ minatives，see p． 172. ${ }^{3} C f$. ． 169, n． 5.

[^97]:    2 Clère in Mel． Masp．i，pp． 753 ff．
    ${ }^{2 a}$ No other transi－ tive use of prt occurs in Egyptian，though it does in Coptic．
    ${ }^{3}$ Brit．Mus． 162.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Bull．Metr． Mus．New York 9， 239 ；NAv．ch． 125 ， Nachschrift 4．In very late times＇oxen＇ was read ih，see Brit． Mus．330；Florence 1660．1661．

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ See ÄZ. 29, 121; JEA. 20, 13.

[^99]:    - Budge, p. 186, 10. Sim. ist pers. Pt. 30; Peas. Bi, 30; Cairo 20040, a 2 ; Leyd. V3, 5.
    ${ }^{10}$ Sh.S. 14-5. Sim. and pers. Pt. 619; Peas. Br, 178;Budge, p. 165 , 13 .

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adm. 6, 1. Sim. 3rd pers. Sin. B I68; Pt. 33. 39. 600.626 ; Leb. 45-6; Urk. iv. 492, 7; 945, 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Best ex. Urk. iv. 80, qu. 5440,4

    3 Peas. B I, 150. Sim. Urk. iv. 1088, 5 ; 3rd pers. ib. 650, 13 ; Peas. B 1,80 .
    ${ }^{4}$ Leb. 154 . Rather similarly Cairo 20538,

[^101]:    ${ }^{5}$ Eb. 1, 19 ; Urk. iv. 219, 4 ; 228, 4 ; 260, 17 (ist, 14), qu. § 119, 3; 261, 12; BUDGE, p. 29I, 4. 6! With dep. pron. Urk. iv. 157,3 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Louvre $\mathrm{C}_{15}$, qu. §119, 3; Cairo 20453; Eb. 39, 18.

    7 ERM. Gramm. ${ }^{3}$ § 120; Sethe, Verbum, i. § 285,2 ; EMBRR, in Johns Hopkins University Circular, New Series, 1919, no.6, pp. 29-31.
    ${ }^{8}$ For the forms see Rec. 28, $\mathbf{1 8 6}$; and for the use, Rec. 19, 187.
    ${ }^{9}$ Hamm. 47, 3. ${ }^{10}$ Sinai 90, 2, qu. $\$ 134$. ${ }^{11}$ See, however, Brit. Mus. 6I4, 4, qu. $\mathrm{S}_{119}$, 2.

[^102]:    ${ }^{8}$ Sim. with $m$, Brit. Mus. 614, 12.

[^103]:    - Urk. iv. 1090. Sim. ib. 690, 5 (di); 1105, 16; 1109, 3; IIIO, 3; IIII, II, qu. $\$ 187$.
    10 Rhind 22.

[^104]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 36, 15. Sim. ib. 22, 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 1090. Sim. Westc. 3, 3 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 1068. Sim. ib. 768, $12 ; P$. Kah. 22,9.

[^105]:    ${ }^{5}$ See especially Pyr． 1102.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1} \ddot{A Z} Z .47$, Pl．I，2， opp．p．88．Sim．Peas． B 1，283．307；Urk．iv． 26,$12 ; 1074,10$ ．After imperatives，Peas．R 3； BI，8i．
    ${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20503， 1 ； Brit．Mus．1164， 9.12.
    ${ }^{23}$ Rec．37，139，1． 36.
    ${ }^{3}$ lirk．iv．344，i1； 1110， 7 ．

[^107]:    note．Sim．Gaver， Temple de Louxor 63； AZ． 57,6 ．
    ${ }^{15}$ Peas．B 1， 180. Sim．ib．201；Eb．2，
    3；Pt．274，qu．§495． Sim．26．201；Eb．2，
    3；Pt．274，qu．§ 495.
    12 Sin．B 114，with

[^108]:    ${ }^{2}$ See above，p． $65, \mathrm{n} .8^{\mathrm{a}}$ ．${ }^{3} \mathrm{Wrt}-h k_{3} w$ ，name of a female divinity，identified with the crown of the Pharaoh．

[^109]:    ${ }^{5}$ Siut 3，13；Westc． 10， 10.
    －Adm．12， 14 ．
    ${ }^{1}$ Eb．100， 1.
    ${ }^{8}$ P．Pet． 1116 A， 58. －Sh．S． 127.
    10 Westc．4，13．
    ${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv． 660.
    12 P．Pet．III6 A， IOI．
    ${ }^{13}$ Exceptions：rnprwt s4，AZ．47，P1．I， 6 ： hrww 4，Hearst 10 ， 4． 13 ．
    ${ }^{14}$ Hearst I I，14．Sim． Rhind 4 I.
    ${ }^{15}$ Westc．5， 9.
    ${ }^{16}$ Urk．iv． 688 ．Sim．

[^110]:    ${ }^{0}$ Urk. iv. 709. Sim. ib.716, 13; 721, 10; Budge, p. 377, $5 ; 378$, 2.

[^111]:    ${ }^{20}$ Urk．iv．195．Sim． ib．762，3．6；Mar． K＇arn． 33.
    ${ }^{21}$ Urk．iv．429．Sim． Puy． 36.
    ${ }^{22}$ P．Kah．22， 14 ； Sm．21，10；seefurther Wilb．Comm．， 61 ，n． 2. The word hyr also Siut 1，292；Westc．12， 4.

[^112]:    ${ }^{2}$ P．Bout．XVIII，31，printed exactly as in the original．Words there written in red are here underlined．Several readings are disputed，the hieratic containing difficult ligatures．${ }^{3}$ Rather uncertain on account of the unexpected or． ＊This rubric is the heading to what follows and was inserted after the word for＇total＇in order to economize space．
    B Others read $\square \square . \quad{ }^{6}$ Others read $\square \square$ ．
    ${ }^{7}$ An error for 144.
    ${ }^{8}$ An error for 1.

[^113]:    ${ }^{1} h_{3} k_{3} t \mathrm{I}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{8}+\frac{2}{16}+\frac{1}{32}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ For these royal names see above, p. 74, bottom.

[^114]:    ${ }^{3}$ Not ssw as formerly read; see the witings $W b$. iv, 57 .
    4 Adm. 11, 4; Urk.

[^115]:    ${ }^{5}$ In Dyn. XII, however, coregencies were common.

[^116]:    ${ }^{3}$ Exception, Urk. iv. 655, 14.

    4Eb. 18, 2.
    ${ }^{5} E b .50,20 ; T$. Carn. 14. See, too AZ. 7 1, 86.
    ba Stricker in Oudh. MFed. 1948, 57, n. 2.

    - Sin. R 20.

    7 L. Borchardt, Altägyptische Zeitmessung, Berlin 1920, in E. von Basser-mann-Jordan, Die Geschichte der Zeitmessung und der Uhren; a brief account, R. W. Sloley in $J E A$. 17 , 166 .

[^117]:    Chass. Ass. p. 146.

    9 S. Schott, Die altäg. Dekane, in Stud. d. Bibliothek Warburg, pt. 19; see, too, SETHE, op. cit. p. 98.

[^118]:    ${ }^{10}$ See Sethe, Das ägyptische Verbum, Leipzig, 1899-1902, and for the present lesson especially vol. i. $\$ 53^{14-482}$. General theory, see Some Aspects, 4 ff .

[^119]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Verbum ii. § 216 .

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Sphinx 14, 201.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exception, $\mathrm{y}_{1 \mathrm{~mm}}$.l (imperf. sdm.f), ErM. Hymn. 3, 4-5.

[^122]:    ${ }^{2}$ For a possible fem. infinitive see below, p. 224, n. ${ }^{\circ}$
    ${ }^{3}$ Sinai 90, \%.

[^123]:    ${ }^{24}$ The exceptions after srwbin $S m$ ．（In－ dex，p．561）are doubt－ less merely apparent， $m$ being sometimes inserted．
    ${ }^{3}$ Pt． 37 ；Leyd．V 6.

[^124]:    4 Urk．iv．651； Th．T．S．iii． $21 ; T$ ． Cain． 4.
    ${ }^{5}$ Mill．1，2；Urk． iv． $58,16$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Piehl， 1 H．iii． 77.

[^125]:    ${ }^{3}$ Siut I, 307. Sim. ib. 278. 291. $3^{12.3^{1} 3 .}$

    - Urk. iv. 1088, 14.
    ${ }^{10}$ Rec. 8, $128,18$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Leyd. 88, 10 , qu. Exerc. XXVI (a).

[^126]:    ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 236 . Sim. ib. 239, 3; 242, 6. 9 . 10. I3.

[^127]:    © Leb. 77. Sim.
    Adm. 8, 6.

[^128]:    2 Urk．v．10， 13. Sim．Budge，p．107， 13；497， 14.
    3 Rec．35， 223.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1} \operatorname{Sin}$. B $_{45}{ }^{114}$ Sim．wn．ki，Sin．B 252，qu．§ 326.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sh．S． 136 ．So too hierogl．，Brit．Mus． 574， 4.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sh．S．136；Sin． B 252 ；Brit．Mus． 574 ， 4．With $r . i$, Sin．${ }_{45}$ ．
    ${ }^{4}$ P．Kah．13， 24.
    ${ }^{5}$ Westc．12， 23 ．
    ${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 182$.
    ${ }^{7}$ Hamm．191， 4.
    ${ }^{8}$ Peas．B i，${ }^{116 .}$ Sim．mwet．（it），Sh．S． 38.
    ${ }^{9}$ Eb．37，16；42，16． Sim．iry，Rifeh 1，16； $d y$＇being placed＇， Ann．39， 189.
    ${ }^{10}$ Eb．37， 4.
    ${ }^{11}$ Sh．S． $130 ;$ Pt． 8.
    12 P．Kah．28， 24.
    ${ }^{13}$ P．Kah．33，I4．
    ${ }^{14} E$ Eb． 37,$18 ; 38,18$.
    ${ }^{14 a}$ Ann．37，P1．II， ${ }^{14} 14$
    ${ }_{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ Lutz，66， 2.

[^130]:    ${ }^{17}$ Fr．Delitzsch， Assyrian Grammar， § $87, b$ ．
    18 Grundriss d．ver－ gleich．Grammatik d． sem．Sprachen，i．p． 583 ，f．$\alpha$ ．
    189 G．R．Driver， Problems of the He－ brew Verbal System， pp．9－3I，80－4．
    19 A．Ungnad，Das Wesen des Ursemiti－ schen，Leipzig， 1925. 20 M ．COHEN，in Mém．de la Sociéte de Linguistiquede Paris， 22 （1921），p．242；E． Zxhlarz，Ursprung und Sprachcharakter des Altägyptischen， esp．pp．7． 7 ．

[^131]:    ${ }^{6}$ Exx. of plural are very rare; see Urk. iv. 244, 4.
    ${ }^{7}$ Rare exceptions, § 314 , end.

[^132]:    5 Louvre C 174. Sim．BH．i．8， 13 ； Sin．B286．291． 292. 293 ；Urk．iv．2，2．4； 55，I3；160，6；504，I3．

    6 Urk．iv． 1108.

[^133]:    ${ }^{7}$ BH．i．8，in．Sim． ih．15；Sh．S．23－5； Hamm．1，7；Urk．iv． 363，I5；390， 14.
    $8 \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{I}$ ．
    9 Brit．Mus．614， 11．Sim．ib． 14 ； Lonvre C 1，14；Urk． iv．505，17；749， 17.
    ${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv． 185 （mi $h r w$ restored）．Sim． sdr．kwi，Sin．B 294.

[^134]:    - Eb. 49, I. Sim. ib. 52, 21; 73, 6; 76, 15; 97, 18. 19.
    ${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 663 . Sim. ib. 174, 14; 667, 2 ; 669, 6. 15; Westc. 9, 10 ; $11,17-18$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Rec. 39, 120. Sim. Hamm. 114, 11 (dmd); Bersh. i. 14, 4. 10 (dmdt).

    18 Eb. 99, 20. Sim. ib. 99, 14. 18 (tm); Brit. Mus. $580(t m w)$. See Verbum ii. § 1004.

[^135]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~Eb}$. 101, 21 . Sim. Urk. v. 53, 1-2, qu. $\$ 326$.

[^136]:    7 Urk. iv. $80=8 \mathrm{r}$, 6. Sim. ib. $8 \mathrm{I}, 3$.

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. Kah. 37, 15-16. Sim. ib. 27, 8. Sim. with me-ntt, ib.28, 41 .
    ${ }^{2}$ P. Kah. 30, 28. Sim. with sw, $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. m. sing. Urk. iv. 751, 15.
    ${ }^{3}$ lirk. v. 60, 9. Sim. Lac. TR. ${ }^{23}$, 17 ; with hft-ntt, $P$. Kah. 11 , 19, qu. § 223. Sim. $s(y)$, $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. f. sing, after ntt for $n-n t t$, Sin. 1 F 7 .
    ${ }^{4}$ I ouvre C io.

[^138]:    ${ }^{6}$ T. Carn. 5. Sim. AZ. 43, 28,4; 37, 19; Dav. Ken. 42.
    ${ }^{7}$ 1).el B. 155 . Sim. ÄZ. 69, 28, 10 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Taheri 3.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ The formula generally used by officials in reporting to their superiors. It is doubtless the 'overseer of the temple' (imy-r hwt-ntr) who is here addressed. ${ }^{2}$ Partly destroyed.

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. $\$ \$ 492$ foll. Also $A ̈ Z$. $31,42$.
    is But Copt. has m. amou, f. ame ' come'; f. see too Orb. 5, I .
    ${ }^{2}$ Lac. $T^{\prime} R .19,36$;
    Th. T. S. ii. 7. ${ }^{22}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Adm. 10, 3. Sim. $r^{4} y, P$. Pet. 1116 в, 6I; hry, Stockholm 55, 13; dgy, Siut 3, 43; $d y, A Z .58,18^{*}$.

    4 Urk. iv. $1023,16$.
    ${ }^{5} B H$. i. 8, 5 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Adm. 10, 3 .
    ${ }^{7}$ Th. T. S. ii. 11. Sim. Pr. 2, 5 ; Sinai 90, 19 ; LAC. TR. 78, 5.19; Urk. iv. 656, 2.
    ${ }^{8}$ Siut 5, 46.
    ${ }^{9}$ Sin. B 282. Sim. Urk.iv. 100, 16-101, 6; 656, 1; 752, 9-12.
    ${ }^{10}$ Adm. 11, 3. 4. 6.
    ${ }^{103}$ Stockholm 55,
    13.
    ${ }_{11}$ Sh. S. 179; Lac. TR. 18, 17; 19, 36; Urk. iv. 1087, 4.
    ${ }_{12}$ Peas. B i, 247.
    ${ }^{13}$ Sh. S. 13.
    14 Westc. 6, 6.
    ${ }^{15}$ Peas. K 52 ; B 1 , 68. 81 ; Sin. B 188.
    ${ }^{16}$ See $A Z Z .48,41$-2.
    ${ }_{17}$ A different view, ERm. Gramm. ${ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ § 38 r , n. 2.
    ${ }^{18}$ AZ $.57,104$
    ${ }^{19}$ Meir i. 10.
    ${ }^{20}$ Pr. 1, 4
    ${ }^{21}$ Rhind, no. 41; Pt. 250.
    ${ }^{28} \ddot{A} Z .58,8^{*}$.
    ${ }^{222}$ Coffins, L i, 185. 188.
    ${ }_{23}$ Peas. B 1, 67 ; Eb. 2, 2; 60, 17-19.
    ${ }_{25}^{24}$ Urk.iv. 651,7 .9. ${ }^{25}$ An early example of the sign, Ptah. (E. R.A.), $3_{2}^{2}$ (Dyn, V).
    ${ }^{26} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 73.125$. 257 : Sh. S. 13.
    ${ }^{27}$ Sin. R. 103 ; Peas.
    R111; Leb. 148.
    ${ }^{28}$ Meir iii. 23.
    ${ }^{29}$ Kopt. 8, 5 ; Urk. iv. 654,16 . 17 .
    ${ }^{30}$ Budge, p. 126, 4. Sim. Urk. iv. 20, 11. 15; 101, 4.6.

[^141]:    ${ }^{9}$ Lac. TR. $17,15$. Sim. Meir i. Io, reg. 3 (iry.k); Sin. B 199 (iwt.k).

[^142]:    14 Westc. 5, 2-3. Sim. Sin. B 188. 190 ; Pt. 233; see GUNN, Stud. p. 74. For the employment in this use of the adverb $n y$ (§205, 1 ) instead of n'tn see JEA. 38, 18, n. 6; sim. probably $n(y), P . H e \underset{\text { k. II. }}{ } 3^{2}$.

[^143]:    10 Pt．419．Sim．ib． 438；Pr．2，2；Peas． B 1，145；P．Kah．29， 17；Siut I， 270.
    ${ }^{11}$ Urk．iv．${ }^{665 .}$ Sim． Pt． 223 ；Eb．95， 12. ${ }^{12}$ For $s z w$ as an imperative meaning ＇guard＇see Mill． $\mathbf{1}, 5$ ， qu．§ 212 ．
    ${ }^{13}$ Pt． 300.
    ${ }_{14}$ Pt．300．Sim．ib． 149． 28 I．

[^144]:    17 Paheri 3. Sim. ib. 7; Th. T. S. iii. 33.

    18 Urk. iv. 650 (slightly restored). Sim. ib. 1107, 3. 7. 14; Peas. B I, 222. 224.

    19 Urk. iv. 1070, 4. Sim. ib. 1070, 7 ; 1107, 13; 1110, 6.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. §§ 1009 bis- 1015 .

[^146]:    ${ }^{11}$ Urk. iv. 655, m restored. Sim. Hearst II, 14.

[^147]:    ${ }^{10}$ Cairo 20003.
    ${ }_{11}$ Turin 1447.
    ${ }^{12}$ Brit. Mus. 152.
    ${ }_{13}$ Rec. 22, 20 (Dyn. XXVI, archaistic).
    ${ }^{14}$ Exx. Urk. i. 84, 17; 85, 5; AZ. 42, 7. 8. 9. io; Weill, Décrets, pls. I. 2. After $n$-mrwt, $P$.Berl. 8869, 3-4. After $r$ ('so that not'), Urk. i. 102, 12. I3. 15. 16; 106, 5 .

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ Adm. 4, $1 \mathrm{I}-12$. Sim. Br. Thes. 1528, 4 (original $n i r r$ ).

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the papyrus of $N u$ ；the heading is an addition borrowed by us from ch． 30 A in the same papyrus．
    ${ }^{2}$ The name and titles of the deceased and his father are written in black for superstitious reasons．Though they are part of the rubric，they are not written in red，that being the Typhonic colour and unlucky．

[^150]:    ${ }^{6}$ Cairo 20543, 19. Sim. fem., Urk. iv. 1105, 5-7.
    7 P. Kah. 22, 6. Sim. ib. 12, 10 .

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Siut $\mathrm{I}, 220$ ．Sim． Brit．Mus．574，I3．
    ${ }^{2}$ Louvre C II， 5. Sim．P．Kah．22，6；
    Urk．iv．${ }^{2} 5,17$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．119， 3.
    ${ }^{4}$ Adm．p． 97.
    ${ }^{5}$ Leb．124；Lac．
    TR．2，63；Adin．7， 4.
    ${ }^{6} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 262$.
    ${ }^{7}$ Pr．2， 5 ．
    ${ }^{8}$ Urk．iv．331， 12 ； 344， 7 ；780， 13 ．
    ${ }^{9}$ Mill． $1,3$.
    ${ }^{10}$ Louvre C 168， 1 ； 170， 5 ；Cairo 20538， i． $\mathrm{c}_{7}$ ；Urk．iv．47， 12 ． ${ }^{11}$ Pt． 557.
    ${ }^{12}$ Pt． 568 ；Louvre С 167，7．8；Urk．iv． 194，I．
    ${ }^{13}$ Pt． $63_{2}$ ；Adm．p． 97.
    ${ }^{14}$ Siut $\mathrm{x}, 350$ ．
    ${ }^{15}$ Westc．4， 17 ； Urk．iv． $3^{63},{ }^{1} 3$.
    ${ }^{16}$ BH．i．8， 15 ； Rhind 66．Sim．ddzv， P．Kah．13， 24.

[^152]:    －Pt．49－50（L 2）． Sim．Cairo 20030，i5．

[^153]:    ${ }^{5}$ Siut 1,318 . Sim. ib. $3^{02}$ (sftw).

[^154]:    ${ }^{6}$ Peas. B i, 192-3.
    Sim. ib. B I, 100-2 Urk. iv. IIII, 3. 7.8 etc.

    7 Urk. iv. 109I, 3. Sim. ib. 6 ; Peas. B I, 147. 219; Pt. 58I.

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Verbum ii. 5§ 752-3; GUNN, Stwd. pp. 59-64.

[^156]:    4 Sin. B 308. Sim. Mill. 1, 7 (ir); Urk. iv. 194, 15 (ir) ; 766, 5 ( $r d i$ ). With fem. subj., Urk. iv. 12, 12 (s $n h h$ ).
    ${ }^{5} A Z .55,85$. With the old indep. pron. swt, Lac. TR. 47, 36 .
    ${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 894, I. Sim. ib. 895, I.

[^157]:    ${ }^{7}$ Eb. 99, 6. Sim. ib. 99, 14 (irr).
    8 Pt. 184. Sim. Peas. B I, ${ }^{215}(d p)$; Eb. 103, 18 ( $\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{r}$ ).

    - Eb. 100, 8-9. Sim. P. Kah. 29, 39 (ntk irr).
    10 Urk. iv. 22 I , 14.

[^158]:    ${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 99. Some late exx. N'ominals. §§ $80 a .82$.
    ${ }^{11}$ So perhaps Pt. 88. 97 .

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus． $55^{2}$（VIII，Pl．27）．$\quad{ }^{\text {la }}$ For the 3rd pers．see $\S 509$ ， $1 . \quad 2$ \＆for $\uparrow$ ，as often at this period．
    ${ }^{3}$ Lit．＇the two rows＇，i．e．the gods of Upper and Lower Egypt ；see $A Z .44,17$ for the expression and JEA 30， 27 for explanation；see also the Sign－list under O 19， 20.

    4 The sign for $t i$ is here used simply for $t$ ．
    ${ }^{5}$ ．Mendt＇the bark of the dawn＇and msktt＇the bark of the dusk＇are the names of the ships in which the sun was supposed to perform his day－journey from east to west and his night－journey from west to east respectively．Af ndt here has borrowed the ending $t t$ from $m s k t t$ ．
    
    7 The sign for tyw seems superfluous．
    ${ }^{8}$ Psdt＇ennead＇or＇cycle of nine gods＇，see $\S 260$ ．The Great Ennead consisted of Atum，Shu and Tphēnis，Geb and Nut，Osiris and Isis，Seth and Nephthys．

[^160]:    ${ }^{8}$ Sim. Siut 1, 296; Westc. 12, 3 ; Pt. 282.

[^161]:    ${ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 871, 12 ; 873, 11. Fem. exx., ib. 214, 3; 296, 7; 334, 12.

    - Urk. iv. 214, 9 ; 569, 4 ; 570, 10; 864, 6. Fem. exx., ib. 358, 10; 375, 10.
    10 Petr. Abyd. ii. 28 ; Urk. iv. 340, 15 ; 584, 12 ; 596, 6. Fem. exx., ib. 312,16; 340, 8; 343, 3. Var: ir.f n.f $d i$ (nh, Kopt. 10, 1; Urk. iv. 881, 13 ; see also ib. 43, 16, where word-order shows that the dative refers to the god, see below § 507 , 1 .

[^162]:    4 In the ist ed. called' perfective relative form', a name now transferred to the foregoing form. The name here preferred stresses the close relationship to the 'narrative' $s d m \cdot n \cdot f$ form of $\$ \S 67.412 \mathrm{ff}$.

[^163]:    ${ }^{0}$ See Gunn, Stud. ch. I.
    oa By Clère, still unpublished.
    ${ }^{\text {ob }}$ See below, 5 § 387 , $2 ; 389,2$.

[^164]:    ${ }^{4}$ Siut 1, 280 . Sim. ib. 292. 295; Pt. 146; Urk. iv. 1107 , 1 I.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sh. S. 46. Sim. Siut 1, 298, qu. §

[^165]:    ${ }^{7}$ Siut I , 266. Sim. Sin. B 213.

[^166]:    ${ }^{8}$ Sinai 139, 6-7.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lac. TR. 37, 3. Sim. Quib. Saqq. 1906-7, p. 32, xii. 3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 1090, 14.

[^168]:    ${ }^{4}$ See § 83 , Obs. for this convenient, though not strictly scientific, terminology.

[^169]:    2 P. Kah. 12, 8.

[^170]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Gunn，Stud． ch． 1 ．
    ${ }^{\text {18a Pyr．} 1544 \text {（wnw．}}$ k）；Haremhab 29 （wnw•tw）．

[^171]:    ${ }^{2 b}$ Sh. S. ${ }^{1} 35^{-6 .}$ Sim. ib. 126; Rec. 14, 35 ; before nom. subj. wnt, Sin. B 215.
    ${ }^{3}$ Urk. v. 4, 10.
    ${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 12, 1 c.
    ${ }^{5}$ Leb. 51.
    ${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. 85, 1 .
    ${ }^{64}$ Vandier, Mo'alla, Ankhtif, iv. ${ }^{2} 3$.
    ${ }^{7}$ Urk. iv. 834, 12. Sim. ib. 1103, 16; ino8, 15 ; Adm. 3, 7 . 13.

    7a Vandier, Mo'alla, Ankhtifí, ii. c i.
    ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ Abyd. iii. 29.
    ${ }^{8}$ Sh. S. 46, qu. § 382. Sim. P. Kah. 27, 9 .

[^172]:    ${ }^{9}$ Urk. iv. 484, 11.
    10 Urk. iv. 202, 8.
    ${ }^{11}$ P. Kah. 12, 8, qu. § 386, 2 .

[^173]:    ${ }^{7}$ Leb．51，restored． Sim．Sh．S．46，qu． § 382 ；P．Kah．27， 9 ．

[^174]:    - Sh.S. i21. Sim. Pt. 177. 179.

[^175]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Nominals. § 42.

[^176]:    ${ }^{5}$ Cairo 20543, 19. Sim. Urk. iv. 634.
    ${ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 270, 2-3. Sim. Eb. 93, 11-12.

[^177]:    ${ }^{2}$ Peas. B I, 183. Sim. Leb. 19 ( $i t t \cdot i)$; Urk. iv. 1090, 10 (hnnt.k); BH. i. 26, 185 ( $f$ fht ff).
    ${ }^{3}$ Leb. 79-80.

[^178]:    ${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin}$. B 5-6. Sim. ib. $\mathrm{B}_{4}$; R 45 ; B 86 . 10\%.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ M．и．K．2， 10. Sim．Urk．iv．198， 8 （dit．f）；807， 10 （rcit．f）．
    ${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．492．Sim． ib．134，13－4（hst．$f$ ， rdit．f）；Quib．Saqq． ii．p． 33 （smnt．s）； Rekh． 12 （wd $3 t$ ）．

[^180]:    ${ }^{4}$ Pt．267，qu．in the Reading lesson oppo－ site．

[^181]:    ${ }^{5}$ Lac. TR. $12,7$. Sim. ib. 12, 1. 4. 13; Leb. 141, qu. § 67 ; Eb. 105, 9-10. 17; 106, 18; Urk. iv. 613 , 9; 1090, 14 .

    - Sin. R ${ }^{5}$.

    7 Westc. II, 3-4.

[^182]:    －See Gunn，Stud． ch． 7 ．
    ${ }^{7}$ D．el B．63．Sim． Urk．iv． $250, \quad 15$ （sצ．n．l）；Th．T．S．i． 17 （wp．n．l）．
    ${ }^{5}$ Mar．Karn． 23. Sim．D．el B． 128.
    －D．el B． 60.
    ${ }^{10}$ Mar．Karn． 18 ； D．el B． 128 ．So too wn．n．（i）n．k，Th．T．S． i． 17 ．

[^183]:    ${ }^{4}$ Leb．59．Sim．Pt． $3^{81}$ ．383．459．576； Urk．iv．445， 7 ．

[^184]:    7 Urk. iv. 740. Sim. in then-clause after 'if', $\ddot{A} Z .43,35$, 8; 37, 19; 39, 17.

    8 P. Kah. 5, 36. Sim., but with noun subject, ib. 40, 56; 12, 5.

    - Hearst 2, $7=E l$. 64, 8. Sim. with $-w, E b .67,4$ (dizw); Hearst 1, 1 ( emww ); 1, 2 (thbw); without $-w, E b .66,17$ ( $p s$ ); 69, 15 ( $g s$ ).

[^185]:    ${ }^{2}$ By Lexa in Philologica 2, 25-53; Arch. Or. 8, 2Io. Further developed, Suppl. 13; Faulkner in JEA. 21, 186; Some A spects, 12. See too Lef. Gr. § 285 .
    ${ }^{3}$ Waley and Armbruster in Bull. Sch. Or. Stud. 7, 573 .

[^186]:    ${ }^{19}$ Cf. Eb. 34, 9 with ib. 35, 14 .

[^187]:    ${ }^{1} E b .25,6=52,5$.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Verbum ii. §§433-442.
    s Urk.iv. 569, 10.
    4 Nav. 65, 12.

[^188]:    ${ }^{20}$ Peas. B I, 307. Sim. Sin. B151 (s33); ${ }^{1} 52$ (rvuw); Berl. Al. i. p. $258,12(d d \cdot f)$, qu. Exerc. XXX. (i); $P$. Pet. III6A, 55 (m3; sn, spp.sn); Urk. iv. 1092, 2 (3lb.tw).
    ${ }^{21}$ Pt. 349. Cf. Sin. B 151, where $i w \cdot i d i \cdot i$ ( $\$ 46,3$ ) is parallel to s33.
    ${ }^{22}$ Leb. 124 ; sim. ib. 117. Sim. too Adm. 12, 3 ( $36 b . t w)$; 12,4 ( prr ) ; 12, 14 (gmm. twi) ; p. 102 (hnn.tw, wnn) ; D. el B. 114 ( $d d \cdot t w$ ), qu. § 444, I.
    ${ }^{23}$ Peas. B 1, 267. Sim. Sin. B61 (thif); Cairo 20538 , ii. $c 14$ (dd•f); P.Pet. I I 16A, 134 (irr-f, sklddff); Urk.iv. 18, 10 (prrf); 19, 6 (dgg.tw.f); 246, 4 (irr.s). Of stars, Cen. 84, 1-2 (skdd, prr.sn).

[^189]:    ${ }^{7}$ Louvre C $14,9-$ 10. Sim. Urk.iv. ${ }^{6} 3$, 6; after m33, Westc. 5, $\underset{\text { end. }}{4=5}, 15$, qu. § 184 end.
    ${ }^{8}$ Urk.iv. 9, 16 ; sim. ib. 892, 6. Qualities after $r$, , Gard. Sin. p. 178; Pt. 76; Urk. iv. 363,6 .

[^190]:    ${ }^{10}$ Urk. iv. 97. Sim. ib. 959, 15 ( $k d d \cdot f$ ); Brit.Mus. 343 (b3gg.f); Urk.iv. 410, 6 ( $b \mathrm{sg} . f$ ); P. Pet. 1116 A, 67 (dd.sn).

[^191]:    ${ }^{2}$ Eb. 88 19; 91, 16; Westc. 3, 2 (all after prepositions) ; Lac. TR. 23, 12 (after negative $n$ ).
    ${ }^{8}$ Siut 4, 19; Cairo 20538, i. $d 6-7$. For ršw, Siut 4, 29; hrw, ib. 31 see p. 47, n. I. ${ }^{4}$ P. Kah. 36, 23 (after $k_{3}$ ). Sim. caus. 2-lit. sddw.tn, SPIEG.Pörtn. i. 4, II (after m).
    ${ }^{6}$ See $A Z .22,28$ foll.

    - Urk. iv. 49 .
    ${ }^{7}$ Pyr. 794 b.
    ${ }^{8}$ Pyr. $1012 c$.
    ${ }^{9}$ Pyr. 923 a.
    $101 b$.
    ${ }^{11}$ Pyr. $1346 a$.
    ${ }^{12}$ Pyr. 1374 a.

[^192]:    ${ }^{5}$ Meir iii．23．Sim． Peas．B I， $14(i t \cdot k)$ ； $28(h i . k$, P $w\} . k)$ ；Adm． 4， 2 （ $m r$ ．$)$ ；p． 104 （di．l）；P．Pet． 1116 в， 38 （di．i）．
    ${ }^{6}$ Adm．p．102．Sim． ib．p． 106 （dww．tw）； Sin．B233（swritwof）．
    ${ }^{7}$ Active，ex．Sin． B $66(m r)$ ；a proverb， Pt． 268 （ $m r$ ），qu． Exerc．XXVII，＇（a）．
    ${ }^{8}$ Lac．TR． $13,4$. Sim．${ }^{\text {2ib．} 20, ~} 1$（ $\left.t s\right)$ ； 20， 4 （dil）；21， 1 （ hr ）； 21， 45 （di．f）；Eb．2， 1 （ l ） ）．
    －Westc．9，15．Sim． Sk．S． 139 （sqde．i）；Sin． B 192 （Ir．twu）；Cairo 20303，$k 8$（（ d d．f）；Eb． 30， 8 （pry．l）；Urk． iv．649， 12 （ $\left(\frac{h r}{}\right.$ ．$i$ ）．
    ${ }^{10}$ Sh．S．134．Sim． ib． $168(r n p y \cdot k)$ ．
    ${ }^{11}$ Sh．S． 139.140. 146.
    ${ }^{12}$ Sh．S．72；Leb． 41；passive Sin．B 28 I． ${ }_{12 a}$ Louvre C 10 （Dyn．XIII）as in L．E． 13 Exx．，§ 234 （p．178，
    n．16）．

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 1090.
    ${ }^{2}$ Urk. iv. 768, 12.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. Kah. 36, ${ }^{5} 5$.

[^194]:    ${ }^{8}$ Exx. Urk.iv. 511 , 8 as contrasted with $i b .484,9$; also $i b$. 1195, 2 as contrasted with Lyons $88,6$.

[^195]:    9 Peas. B 1, 242. Sim. Leb. 137.

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mdwon $i_{s} w$ ' staff of old age', an epithet applied to a son who carries on the labours of his aged father.

[^197]:    ${ }^{1}$ E.g., Sh. S. uses Phr•n 26 times, against 4 in Sin. B and. 5 in Peas.

[^198]:    14 Compare Pt． 308 （Pr．）with ib．（L 2）．
    ${ }^{15}$ Eb．108， 20.
    16 Eb．104， 1.
    17 Leb．82．Cf．，how－ ever，$N u$ r $37 \mathrm{~A}, 35$ （prr．f），qu．p．．391， top，after wnn－hr．
    ${ }^{18}$ Peas．B 2， 15 ．Sim．
    Pt． 314 ；Eb． 2 ． 5.
    19 Peas．Br， 261.
    90 Peas．B i， 251.
    ${ }^{21}$ Sin．B 100． 151 ； Sh．S．19；Pt． 140.

[^199]:    B P．Kah．${ }^{3},{ }^{33 .}$.
    Sim．Leb．6；Urk． iv． $121 \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I} 5$ ．
    ${ }^{6}$ Lac．TR．88， 46－50．
    ${ }^{6 *}$ Coffins， $\mathrm{G} \mathrm{IT}_{3}{ }^{221}$ ．
    ${ }^{60} P t t_{132}(\mathrm{~L} 2)$ ．
    ${ }^{7}$ Leb． 5 ．

[^200]:    ${ }^{8}$ Urk. v. 4. Sim. Hearst 6, 2.

[^201]:    ${ }^{8}$ Pr. 2, 7-8. Sim. Westc. 5, 15-6; II, 18.

[^202]:    ${ }^{10}$ So too P. Kah. 36, I3; Urk. iv. 247, 7. With hpr, Eb. 106, 5; 108, 19; with rdi, Urk. v. 174, 5; with ir, Ikhern. 9 .
    ${ }^{11}$ Adm. 11, 13.
    ${ }^{12}$ MöLL. HL. i. 20, 8.
    ${ }^{15} \operatorname{Sin} . \mathrm{B} 248$.
    ${ }^{14}$ Sin. R ${ }_{5} 5$; Peas. B17I.

[^203]:    ${ }^{9}$ Peas. B I, 23-4 ( $1 ; g^{\circ} \cdot n \cdot f$ emended from R 72). Sim. Westc. 6, 10 ; $B H$. i. 8, 20 ; 25, 32-3; Berl. AI. i. p. 258, 15 ; Cairo 20538, ii. с 5 .
    ${ }^{11}$ Eb. $86,19-20=$ Hearst 2, 3.

[^204]:    12 Exx. Peas. B r, 112-3; Leb. 72-3.
    ${ }^{18}$ For Urk. iv. 54, 15; 59, 13 see p. 240, n. 8 b.

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ The derivation of＇Iwnty from iwnt＇bow＇seems probable，although the sign for iwn－is never accompanied by a bow as determinative．However，this derivation is not accepted Griff．Stud． 365.

[^206]:    ${ }^{4}$ See Erm. Gramm. ${ }^{3}$ §8504-II.

[^207]:    ${ }^{2}$ Peas. B 1, 46-8. See also ib. 199-200; after $m k$, Lac. Stèle jur. 19.

[^208]:    ${ }^{6}$ Erm. Neuäg. Gramm. ${ }^{2}$ §§ 736-7.
    ${ }^{7}$ Urk. iv. 650 (last word restored).
    ${ }^{8}$ Paheri 3.

[^209]:    ${ }^{1}$ Peas．B 1， 19. Sim．ib． 103.

[^210]:    ${ }^{9}$ Rhind 22．Gunn and Allen regard $m$ here as the part．$m(y)$ after an imperative， but that seems un－ suited to this kind of text．
    ${ }^{10}$ Adm．2， 9 ．
    ${ }^{11}$ Lac．TR．23，31．
    ${ }^{12}$ Lac．TR．32， 2.
    ${ }^{13}$ BUDGE，p．266，
    6．Sim．Leb． 116.

[^211]:    ${ }^{6} B$. of D. 58, 1 (Ani). Sim. Lisht 20, 33.
    ${ }^{7}$ Lac. TR. $23,99$. Sim. Budge, p. 109, 7-8.
    ${ }^{8}$ P. Kah. 8, 24. 28.
    

[^212]:    7 Budge，p．24I， 14 （ Nu ，collated）．

[^213]:    ${ }^{5}$ Cf．$k t$ iht，almost ＇what else？＇，Harh． 453，qu．§491，i．
    ${ }^{6}$ As object（very doubtful），Herdsm． 8.

[^214]:    ${ }^{11}$ Urk．v．156．Sim． Lac．TR．23，39， qu．§ 495 ；BUDGE， p ． 495， 9.
    12 BUDGE，p．109， 3．Sim．Lac．TR．32， 2，qu．§ 495；Westc． 9，4．
    ${ }^{13}$ Westc．12， 14.
    14 Semnah Disp．2，
    14．Sim．Budge，p． 203，4；24I， 15 ．

[^215]:    ${ }^{23}$ P. med. Berl. vs. 2, 2.
    ${ }^{2 b}$ Urk.iv.IIII, II. Sim. ib. $1109,6$.

[^216]:    - Westc. 8, 18-20; sim. Peas. B 1 , 2012; 242-4 Expanded objects, Sh. S. 30-2, qu. 5402 ; Hamm. I, 5-6; Sin. B 294-5,

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lac. TR. 23, 1923. Sim. Westc. 8 ,

[^218]:    ${ }^{8}$ LAC. TR. 39, 1. Sim. BUDGE, p. 287, 16.
    ${ }^{9}$ Sin. R 68, qu. § 90 ; Lac. TR. 23 , 29, qu. §436.
    10 See the text qu. p. I7I. Sim. Cairo 20008, 20011 .

[^219]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sin. B I36. Sim. ib. 258 .
    ${ }^{2}$ Sin. B 21 5-6.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bersh. i. 14, 2.

    4Urk.iv. 198. Sim. Cairo 20025, 9-IO.

[^220]:    3 Petrie, Courtiers 22. Sim. Sh. S. 153, qu. \& 188, 1; Lirk. iv. 1020, 7-9.

    4 Urk.iv. 5. Sim. ib. iv. 894, I.

[^221]:    7 Erm. Hymn. I, 1-2. Sim. Urk. iv. 942, 12-13.

[^222]:    8 Cairo 20003. Sim. ib. 20026, c 7-10; Urk. iv. 1032, 3-4; 1083, 15-17.

[^223]:    ${ }^{13}$ Cairo 20003，a 4. Sim．Adm．4， 13 ； Urk．iv．101， 6 ．
    ${ }^{14}$ Urk．iv．364， $11-$ 13．Sim．ib．1083， 13.
    ${ }^{15}$ Also Urk．iv．613， 13．14；6i4，II；615， 2.

[^224]:    14 Urk．iv． 1069. Sim．D．el B． 155 ， qu．§ 330 ．

[^225]:    ${ }^{1}$ A word otherwise unknown，possibly connected with the geographical name Wnw，i．e．Shmûn，Hermopolis Magna．
    ${ }^{2}$ The context seems to demand that st should here refer to the number，not to the ipwt themselves．
    ${ }^{3}$ The sense demands the restoration of some such speech on the part of the king．Its omission may have been due to homoioteleuton，the recurrence of one and the same word at the end of two consecutive phrases or sentences．
    ${ }^{4}$ It seems best to take $\left.n\right\} d d y \cdot k$ as in anticipatory emphasis（ $\{148,5$ ）and as equivalent to ir $n\} d d y \cdot k$ ．For the form $\underline{d} d y \cdot k$ see p .303 ，n．19．However，Gunn and Blackman attach $n 3$ d $d y \cdot k$ to $s t$ preceding and render＇$I$ shall be pleased with it，what you are going to say＇，see $J E A .16,67$ ．

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ The eye of Horus is here identified with the uraeus (i. e. cobra) in the royal diadem.

[^227]:    ${ }^{6} A Z .69,26,1$.

[^228]:    ${ }^{7}$ Brit. Mus. 1628, IO-I. Sim. plur. 'having' BH.i. 8, 2 I .
    ${ }^{8}$ BH.i.8, 15 . Sim. Cairo 20007, 6 .

[^229]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the changes $\breve{a}$ to $\delta, \bar{a}$ to $\bar{\sigma}$, see p ． 433.

[^230]:    ${ }^{2}$ See p．14，n．I．

[^231]:    ${ }^{3}$ Perhaps，however， Tefēnet is preferable to Thphēnis，which has an outlandish appearance．
    ${ }^{4}$ It is found，how－ ever，in Plutarch．

[^232]:    ${ }^{6}$ Fr．Preisigke， Namenbuch，Heidel－ berg，1912．See also W．Spiegelberg， Aegyptische und griechische Eigen－ namen，aus Mumien－ etikettenderrömischen Kaiserzeit，Leipzig， IgoI．

[^233]:    ${ }^{3}$ K. Hoffmann, Die theophoren Personennamon des älteren Agyptens in K. SETHE, Untersuchungen zur Geschichte und Altertumskunde Aegyplens, Bd. vii, Heft I, Leipzig, 1915 .

[^234]:    ${ }^{5}$ Except in semivocalic endings like $-v$.

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ex．D．el B． 110.
    ${ }^{4}$ Cf．Urk．iv． 943 ， 4 Brit．Mus．101． ${ }^{8}$ Budge，p．372， 14.

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pry．50．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Berle．À $I$ ii．p． $166 . \quad 3$ A $Z .42$ ， 119.
    ${ }^{4}$ Pul．50；D．el B． $113 . \quad{ }^{1}$ Erk．iv．390， 8.

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ CLĖRR，op．cit． 640.

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reading，Pyr． 1210 in the divine name＇Iw．f－c．s．s．$\quad{ }^{2} A Z .38,56 ;$ Sphinx 6， 53 ；see the varr．Pt． 313 and compare Ikhern． 18 with Cairo 20473，$b$ ．

[^239]:    ${ }^{1}$ D．el B．63．Sim．Siut I， 305.

[^240]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pyr. 1197. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Brit. Mus. 852. ${ }^{3}$ Pyr. II16; see AZ. 45, 24.

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reading，see PSBA．18， 187 ；cf．also ；w＇announce＇，Pyr． 1141 ．

[^242]:    ${ }^{1}$ L．D．iii．56，A．$\quad{ }^{2}$ Pr． 246.

[^243]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hier．p． 22 ；Bull．Metr．Mus．New York，Egyptian Expedition 1916－7， 19.
    ${ }^{2}$ O．K．，L．D．ii．61，B ；N．K．，P．Harris soo，recto，4，7．9．${ }^{3}$ Reading，AZ．
    24，1；43， 147 ；51，58．59．${ }^{4}$ Dav．Ptah．i．p．21；cf．Gemr．i．II． 12.
    ${ }^{5}$ A goose according to Hier．p．22．${ }^{6}$ Möll．Pal．i．no． 21 7．${ }^{1}$ Peas．B 1， 175.
    ${ }^{8}$ Eb．86， 1 ．$\quad 9$ Hearst 14， 7.

[^244]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hier．p． 22 ；Bull．Metr．Mus．New York，Egyptian Expedition 1916－7， 19.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ptah．（E．R．A．）37；Ti 25．${ }^{8}$ Reading with z，Pyr． 1130.

[^245]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．361，14．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．1967．${ }^{8} A Z .49$ ，95．${ }^{4}$ Gard．Sin． 155. An isolated Dyn．XII instance seems to occur Sinai 28．See further F 51 ，n． 4.
    ${ }^{6}$ AEO．i．12＊，18＊，108＊．

[^246]:    ${ }^{1}$ Berl. AI. i. p. 162. $\quad{ }^{2}$ L. D. iii. 175, G.

[^247]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lac．TR．22，63．${ }^{2}$ Vog．Bauer $121 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．132，11．${ }^{4}$ Urk．i．16， 4. ${ }^{5}$ BH．i．25， $45 .{ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．77，5－6，qu．§ 440， 2 ；sim．ib．401， $16 .{ }_{7}{ }^{7}$ Ib．
    ${ }^{8}$ Petrie，Tarkhan i．8o， 19 ；Med．Habu（ed．Chicago），140， 60.

[^248]:    ${ }^{1}$ Urk. iv. 765, 13. ${ }^{2}$ Westc. 7, 12. ${ }^{3}$ Urk. iv. 384, 12. *Thebes, tomb 110.
    

[^249]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sethe, Pyramidentexte iv. § 132, where a word $r r$ 'season ' is perhaps unnecessarily assumed. $\quad 2$ Urk. iv. 343, 13. $\quad 3$ Urk. iv. 195, 8. ${ }^{4}$ Rekh. 3, 28. ${ }^{5}$ Urk. iv. 38, 1 I. ${ }^{6}$ Urk. iv. $3^{25}, 15 .\left(P_{\xi}\right)-t_{3}-m r^{2}$ is $\Pi \tau\{\mu \nu \rho i s$ in a fragm. of Ephorus, there wrongly given as a name of the Delta only, Gard. Sin. 8r.

[^250]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sh．S．40－I．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．I21， 8.

[^251]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rec．28，167．${ }^{2}$ Lb．88，4．${ }^{3}$ Erk．iv．535，10．${ }^{4}$ Pr． 1159.

[^252]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exx．showing sand，Meir ii．17，nos．36．37．${ }^{2}$ Pyr． 1652.

[^253]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coptic mow．Cf．mwt＇semen＇，phonetically Pyr． $123 . \quad{ }^{2}$ Gard．Sin． 70.
    ${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．744，6．＇Dév．Graphies，no．9．＇Urk．iv．655，13．Sim．ib．3， 10.

[^254]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rekh．ro．Varr．with battlements as in $\mathrm{O}_{13}$ ，as well as palace $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ ，see Hier． p． 34 ．

[^255]:    ${ }^{1}$ Puy．54；see the picture Petrie，Deshasheh 21．${ }^{2}$ In the title iry－ry＇door－ keeper＇，Cairo 20103，$l$ ；20184，$k . \quad{ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．743， 4.
    

[^256]:    ${ }^{0}$ In the earliest times perhaps plan of a brick enclosure with buttresslike projec－ tions，but later certainly interpreted as a wall，cf．A 35 and O 37．See Scharff， 18.
    ${ }^{1}$ Urk．iv．764，9．${ }^{2}$ Urk．iv．765，7．16．${ }^{3}$ Urk．iv．661，5．${ }^{4}$ Sin．R 141.

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brit．Mus．574，14．${ }^{2}$ See Möll．Pal．i．nos．457． 47 2．

[^258]:    ${ }^{1}$ Forms differ considerably，but in M．E．the four－legged table is characteristic of wdhw，while biwt has the forms shown under R 1．2．Dyn．XII，see Hier．8，no．i26； BH．iii．3，no． 21 ；Dyn．XVIII，Paheri 7 ；Northampt．3，7．${ }^{2}$ Bersh．i． 12.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pyr．474．$\quad$ Cairo 20023，$n$ ；20562，$g$ ；reading proved by ib．20671，b．

[^259]:    ${ }^{1}$ Puy. 36. ${ }^{2}$ Ann. 29, 33. ${ }^{3}$ ÄZ. 45, $24 \quad$ ' Brit. Mus. 1164, 8.
    ${ }^{5}$ Ti 78. 79. See Boreux, Etudes de nautique 435, n. $8 . \quad{ }^{6}$ L. D. ii. 96. ${ }^{7}$ Already Dyn. VI, Gebr. ii. 7.

[^260]:    ${ }^{0}$ See Newberry, JEA. ${ }^{15}, 84$. ${ }^{1}$ Cairo 28087, no. 73. ${ }^{2}$ On the radical 3 to be understood here see Rec. 25, 142. ${ }^{3}$ Even in the pictures of the awet-sceptre, see Cairo 28083, no. 59 ; 28087, no. 74, both in Lac. Sarc. i. Pl. 45. ${ }^{4}$ Exx. O.K., Gemn. i. 15 ; Dyn. XII, Bersh. i. 7 ; Dyn. XVIII, Th. T.S.i. $9 .{ }^{5}$ Pyr. 202. ${ }^{6}$ Wb. i. 33, 14. I5. ${ }^{2}$ De Buck, i. 184 f. ${ }^{2}$ JEA. 30, 29, n. 3; 31, 116 ; ÄZ. 77, 24 .

[^261]:    ${ }^{1}$ JÉQ．181；as hieroglyph，Hier．p．57．${ }^{2}$ Pyr．866．${ }^{3}$ Leb．63．${ }^{4}$ Cairo ${ }^{20061}$ I．Sim．Brit．Mus．IoI．${ }^{5}$ Lac．Sarc．ii．p． $168 . \quad{ }^{6}$ Rekh．2， 9. ${ }^{7}$ Gard．Sin．102－3．$\quad 8 A Z .47,9$ r．Sim．$h r p$ srḳt＇controller of the scorpion＇， PSBA．39，34；hrp sndwt nbt＇controller of all aprons＇，Petrie，Gizeh and Rifeh 27 O，recto 2，compared with Rekh．4．$\quad{ }^{9}$ See Louvre C 172，qu．Exerc．XIII，（a）．

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wolf, Bewaffnung, 14, 26. Of Asiatic origin and at first reserved for the tine and high personages. So depicted already Bissing, Rē-Heiligtum ii. 13.3
    1644. ${ }^{3}$ Amada 3. ${ }^{4}$ BH. i. 7 (pdit 9 'Nine Bows'). particularly Urk. iv. 977, 2.

[^263]:    ${ }^{1}$ Montet, Kêmi 6, 43 ; Scharff, 38, 139 ; depicted Quibell, Hierakonpolis, I, Pl. 19 ; II, Pl. 58 ; Capart, Débuts de l'Art, Pl. ${ }^{1 .}$ Later apparently surviving only in Nubia. ${ }_{2}$ Urk. iv. 7, 3, qu. Exerc. XXXII, (a). ${ }_{3}$ ÄZ. 45, Pl. 6, 7 . ${ }_{7}^{4}$ Pyr. 994. ${ }^{5}$ Pyr. 1867 ; see too $A$ AZ. 45, $128 .{ }_{9}{ }^{6}$ Budge, p. 284, 12. 7 Urk. iv. 1099, $11 . \quad{ }^{8}$ See Rec. 39, $22 . \quad{ }^{7}$ Kêmi 6, 57.

[^264]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sign probably depicts the equipment of an early chieftain's attendant, Bull. 3, 12, n. 2; so too Scharff 45 ; however, Sethe, Commentary on Pyr. 230 c , adheres to Capart's explanation as an instrument for the execution of criminals $A Z .36,125$. ${ }^{2}$ Cairo 20001, qu. § $217 . \quad 3$ Pyr. 953.

[^265]:    ${ }^{1}$.Ex. Rekh. 2, 17.

[^266]:    ${ }^{1}$ Detailed ex., Saqq. Mast. i. 40 , no. 68. See too the picture Carart, Rue 37. ${ }^{2}$ Dyn. XIX, Wb. iii. 39; a hieroglyphic ex. in the Hypostyle Hall, Karnak (Nelson). ${ }^{3}$ ÄZ. 73, 8, n. 2; Ann. 43, 309. 4 Mogensen, Musée nat. Copenhague, Pl. 24 ; see Sethe, Zur Geschichte der Einbalsamierung, i1*.

[^267]:    ${ }^{1}$ MÖll. Pal. i. nos. 511,511 b. Hieroglyphic exx. have not been sought, but must be extremely rare; in Dyn. I-II the few exx. have handle as in $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}{ }_{3} \mathrm{I}$, Petr. Eg. Hier. nos. 975-9.

[^268]:    ${ }^{1}$ In O．K．and sometimes later the shape varies greatly．Sometimes like our type， but thinner and inclined at an angle，exx．L．D．ii．22．23；sometimes almost triangular，
     ＇tied－up cloths＇，Urk．iv．1143，13．${ }^{3}$ Ti $115 . \quad$＇Urk．iv．1143，13，צsrw，plur． ${ }^{6}$ Urk．iv．195，16．Sim．O．K．，Sah．6I．${ }^{6}$ L．D．ii．100，c．${ }^{7}$ Urk．iv．742， 15. ${ }^{8}$ Cairo 20538，ii．c9．$\quad$ Urk．i．149，9．For writings of possibly the same word with the metathesis Y sr see $^{2}$ Adm．p．101．${ }^{10}$ Urk．iv．743，I．${ }^{11}$ Urk． iv．372，14．${ }^{12}$ R．IH．178，3．．${ }^{123}$ Suggested by Dawson；see Wb．v．208，7； also Sign Pap．11，4．${ }_{15}$ PSBA．18，202， 9 ．${ }^{14}$ See the picture D．el B． 78. ${ }_{15}$ See，however，O．K．hnkwt，Gebr．i．8；also the alternative form of S 28 seen in Petrie，Gizeh and Rifeh 23 G ．${ }^{16}$ Möll．Pal．i．no． 520 ，without recognizing that V 6 and V 33 are different signs．

[^269]:    ${ }^{1}$ Showing the markings of alabaster，Hier．2，no．9；9，no． $178 ;$ KON． 9.
    
    i．25，90－5．

[^270]:    ${ }^{1}$ Munich 3，17．Possibly the cursive hieratic ligature seen in wnmt＇food＇，Sin． B Io4，is to be resolved similarly．${ }^{2}$ D．el B．14．Sim．Th．T．S．i．8．，${ }^{8}$ Lac． TR．22，8；see $A Z .51,59 . \quad$ Lac．TR．29，1．26．28．${ }^{\text {E Lac．TR．5，} 1 .}$
    ${ }^{6}$ L．D．，Text，iii． 15 ；see $A Z .48,22$.

[^271]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pr yr. 38i.
    
     16*, n. 7 . ${ }^{8}$ So still $W$ b. iv. 112 .

[^272]:    ${ }^{1}$ Div. Ptah. i. 15, no. 34r. Sim. in Dy. XI, exp. Brit. Mus. 6r4; Louvre C 14. In Dyn. XII, one thread is apt to be shown, not none as here, nor yet on each side as in 上 $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{I}}$, exp. Brit. Mus. $5^{81}$; Louvre CI. ${ }^{2}$ Pr. iv. § $13{ }^{1}$; Din. XI, Pol. § 29.

[^273]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exx．Leyd．V 4．V 88 ；Brit．Mus． 572 2 ${ }^{2}$ Möll．Pal．i．nos．562．563．

[^274]:    ${ }^{1}$ See below n. 8. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Pyr. 608. See AZZ. 51 , 20. ${ }^{3}$ Mölı. Pal. i. no. 559. ${ }^{4}$ Pr. 1, 4; Leb, 32. $116 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Shind 60, $1 . \quad{ }^{\circ}$ Northampt. 18, 7. ${ }^{7}$ Northampt. 22, 33. $\quad{ }^{8}$ Urk. iv. 1020 , 10 . Sim. in kf3t'glory', ib. 385, 4. As det. in smatt 'staff' (of temple), Paheri 9, 39, perhaps by confusion for - D i3.

[^275]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leyd. Denkm. i. 5. Also in Dyn. XII, Leyd. V $6=\operatorname{Denkm}$. ii. 3. Often tapers from right to left, ex. Dav. Ptah. i. 17, no. 393.

[^276]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exx. O.K., Dav. Ptah. i. 17, no. 387; Ti 132 ; Dyn. XVIII, Rekh. 10, 14. The lower part looks like a mitre square; see Dav. Rekh. ii. 55 for an object of this shape in a scene of carpentering. ${ }_{2}$ Urk. iv. 1079, 2. Ann. 5, 231. 232. 245.

