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## PREFACE

THIS little book has been written with a twofold object: first, to provide students of early Rabbinic literature with a fairly complete grammar of the dialect of that literature; and, secondly, to demonstrate the organic connexion of this Mishnaic dialect with Biblical Hebrew, and its relative independence of contemporary Aramaic, at least in the field of grammar. A good deal of material belonging to comparative grammar has, therefore, been introduced, and constant reference is made to the standard authority in the English language on the grammar of Biblical Hebrew, viz. Dr. Cowley's edition of GeseniusKautzsch's Hebrew Grammar. As that work is most familiar to English readers, I have followed it, as far as possible, in the arrangement and terminology of the present work.

The examples illustrating grammatical rules have been drawn mainly from the Mishna and, to a smaller extent, from the Baraitot and other Hebrew elements of the Babylonian Talmud, because these works are the most accessible to ordinary students. Other less accessible works, like the Tosefta, the Jerusalem Talmud, and the Midrashim, have been drawn upon only occasionally. The English renderings of the examples have been made as
literal as possible, in order to exhibit clearly the construction and phraseology of the original.

As is well known, the text of Rabbinic works is in a neglected condition, and readings are often uncertain. In citations from the Mishna I have taken care to give only examples of which the reading is established as fairly correct by agreement between the Palestinian text of Lowe and the Babylonian texts of the current editions of the Mishna, and of the Mishna embodied in the Babylonian Talmud. I have refrained from troubling the reader with variant readings which serve no grammatical purpose. Occasionally, however, differences of reading have been indicated where they have a bearing on the grammar of the dialect.

It has not been found practicable to accompany the work with a general index. In order to facilitate reference to its contents, the Table of Contents has been made as detailed as necessary.

I desire to acknowledge my indebtedness to Professor Albrecht's excellent little book, Neuhebräische Grammatik, which has furnished me with numerous examples, and has thus lightened my labours in the preparation of this work.

I have also to record with gratitude the interest taken in the publication of this work by that good friend of all English students of Rabbinics, the late Dr. Israel Abrahams, ל"r.

My friends Mr. Herbert Loewe, of Exeter College, Oxford, and Canon Danby, of Jerusalem, have read the proofs, and have otherwise helped me with corrections and suggestions. If the work be found free from typographical
errors, it will be due chiefly to their help combined with the skill and vigilance of the readers of the Clarendon Press.
M. H. Segal.

The Hebrew University, Jerusalem. September, 1926.

## NOTE TO THE NEW IMPRESSION

In this new impression a number of misprints and other errors have been corrected, but otherwise the book has been left unchanged.

Readers familiar with modern Hebrew who may wish to pursue further the grammatical study of Mishnaic Hebrew are recommended to consult the author's דקרוק לשון המשנה (Tel Aviv, 1936), which provides a fuller and more detailed treatment of the subject.
M. H. S.

The Hebrew University, Jerusalem.
Fanuary, 1957.
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| :---: | :---: |
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L. The Mishnah on which the Palestinian Talmud rests, ed. by W. H. Lowe, Cambridge, 1883.
Ab. doRN.

Ex. R.
Lev. R.
Num. R.
Lam. R.
Qoh. R. שְׁׁוֹת רַּבּה


אֵיָּה רַבָּתִּ
קֹהֶלֶח רַבָּה
Mekil. מְבִילִּתָּת
Singer The Authorised Daily Prayer Book, ed. Singer. BDB. Hebrew and English Lexicon of the O. T., ed. Brown, Driver, and Briggs, Oxford, 1906.

Ges.-K. Gesenius-Kautzsch's Hebrew Grammar, ed. A. E. Cowley, Oxford.
JQR. Jewish Quarterly Review. MGWJ. Monatschrift filr die Geschichte u. Wissenschaft d. Judentums.
ZAW. $\quad$ Zeitschrift für die Altestamentliche Wissenschaft. ZDMG. Zeitschrift d. deulschen morgenländischen Gesellschaft. Aram. Aramaic.
BH
Biblical Hebrew.
MH
Mishnaic Hebrew.
Gr.
Greek.
Lat.
Latin.

## LITERATURE

A. Geiger, Lehr-u. Lesebuch sur Sprache der Mischnah, Breslau, 1845.
L. Dukes, Die Sprache der Mischna, Esslingen, 1846.
J. H. Weiss, משפט לֹאשן המשנה, Wien, 1867.
C. Siegrried \& H. Strack. Lehrbuch d. neuhebräischen Sprache, 1884.
L. Metmann, Dië hebräische Sprache, Jersualem (no date).
K. Albrecht, Neuhebräisı he Grammalik auf Grund d. Mis̃na, München, 1913.
F. Hillel, Die Nominalbildung in der Mischnah, 1891.
H. Sachs, Die Partikeln der Mischna, 1897.
M. H. Segal, Mišnaic Hebrew and its relation to Biblical Hebrew and to Aramaic, /QR. (Óld Series), vol. XX, pp. 647-737, and separately, Oxford, r909.
Siegfried, Zur Lehre von dem zus. gesetzten Satze im Neuhebr., Kohut, Semitic Studies, pp. 543 ff.
Albrecht, $ֻ$ שֶׁ in der Mišna, $Z A W$., 1911 , pp. 205 ff.
J. Barth, Die Nominalbildung in den semit. Sprachen, 1894.
S. Stein, Das Verbum in der Mischnasprache, 1888.
A. Kohut, Aruch Completum, Wien, 1878-92.
J. Levy, Neuhebr. Wörterbuch, 1876-89.
M. Jastrow, Dictionary of the Talmud, 1886-1903.
S. Krauss, Griechische u. Lateinische Lehnwörter im Talmud, 1898-9.

## ADDENDA

Sect. 2, note 2. So Midrash Tehillim (ed. Buber), ix. 3 : שנו חכמים בלשון המשנה. The term is also frequent among medieval writers, e. g. Rashi on Gen. xl. ir. Menahem b. Saruq uses לששון עברית in contrast to i. e. BH, cf. מחון משנה (ed. Filipowsky), p. g. Abraham de Balmis uses it in contrast to . לשון מקרא, cf. מקנה אברהם, ch. iv, Sect. פעלת.
Sect. 25, p. 18. Qid. iv. 1 is cited in Hebrew in Yob. 85 a; cf. Grätz, Geschichte ${ }^{4}$, iii. 7 II. That the $K^{e}$ tuba was originally in Hebrew is shown by its Hebrew name (for the form cf. § 229 below, and Stade, Heb. Gram., § 204 b. The Aram. כתובתא is a Hebraism). Cf. also its Gr. equivalent $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$, Tobit vii. 14, and Grätz, ibid. 706.
Sect. 63. Krauss's suggestion (ZD.MG., 1919, 732) that ברבי
 been spelt plene, בירבי.
Sect. 126. Another example of Pu'al is found in Pasiqta R. ix.

Sect. 134. A quadriliteral form of Nithpa'el is found in מחתְְְנֶ, fem. שִתְגְנָה to fail, decay, BQ 91 a; So. iii. 5 ; Hul. 57 b;
 and Ibn Ganah, Riqma, 81, who explains it as an intensive.
Sect. 149. סרגל. Cf., however, Perles, Festschrift für A. Schwarz, 303, and Krauss, ibid., 575.
Sect. 150. שלחב. Cf, however, Barth, Etymologische Studien, 49.
Sect. 168. יתוותרו. Cf. Ginzberg, Festschrift für A. Schwarz, 348.

Sect. 187. Cf. Barth's note in Petuchowsky's Mischnajjot (Berlin, 1922), iii. 89.

Sect. 2 Ir. הרצת. Cf. Fränkel, $Z A W$., xix, 18 i.
Sect. 269. בורקי ,ברקאי. Cf. also Ginzberg, Journal of Jewish Lore (Cincinnati, 1919), 202 f.
Sect. 282, p. 127. סממנים. Cf. Nöldeke, Syr. Gram. 74. The form may, perhaps, be a double plural, cf. Brockelmann, Grundriss, i. 45 I ; also Bauer-Leander, Histor. Gram.d. hebr. Sprache, §§ 146, 160.
Sect. 298, n. 1. With pronominal suffixes the form alone is used, as in BH, thus: Ber. 24 b;


Sect. 302. The use in Jewish Aram. of אף על ,אפילו ,אלו ,אבל , and is borrowed from MH, cf. Levias, Gram. of Aram. idiom contained in the Babyl. Talmud, § 182. Cf. also
 expression for ברם verily. The Aram. ברם is also found in MH, e. g. Šab. 13 b.
Sect. 304. On ארי and. also Barth, Jahresbericht d. Rabb.Seminars zu Berlin, 1909-10, 27 II.
 which has been put into hot water, Šab. xxii. 2. Similarly we find the active infin. used with a passive significance:

 to be built, his wall to be closed in, his field to be harvested, Ned. iv. 7 ; cf. § 348.



Sect. 402. שמין. Cf. in a medieval text: שמין זהוב $\frac{1}{8}$ of a gold dinar, Mann, The Jews in Egypt and Palestine, ii. 188. The editor's correction is unnecessary.
Sect. 43I. Cf. Frankel, 10 a.
Sect. 435. Cf. Be. 3 b ; Zeb. 72 a , where a distinction is drawn
 first being definite and the second indefinite.
Sect. 437. כלום. Cf. also Schulthess, Gram. d. christ.-palüst. Aram., § 65, 2. אחר also serves to express an indefinite
 was not to be trusted about tithes, said to him, Dam. iv. 1. 6 ;
 עָמַּ אֶחָר some one arose, Ket. xiii. 2.
Sect. 449. On כל אדם קורין cf. Frankel, דרכִי המשנה (Warsaw, 1923), 273.

Sect. 502. On אחר . . . . So also with plur. nouns in the masc. : אֵחָר כֵּלִים וְּדוֹלִים וְאֶחָר בֵּלִים קְטַּנִּים whether large vessels or small vessels, Miq. iv. 1 ; fem. : אֶחָ בְּתגוֹלוֹת וְאֶחָ בְּעוּלוֹת אֶחד גְּרֹשׁוֹת וְאָחר אַלְמָנוֹת whether virgins or married women, divorced women or widows, Y•b. iv. 10 ; אֶחר חְקִירוֹת וְאֶחָר תוֹת time and place, San. v. 2; sometimes, however, אחת is found
 בֵּין לִחים בֵּין : שֶׁ יְיָּשִׁי whether moist or dry, Šab. iv. i.
Sect. 503. אבל may also introduce a co-ordinated noun clause:
 ( L ) one may remove but not put back, Šab. iii. i.
Sect. 504. So אֶּאֹא שֶׁבּפְִִּינָה but in the province, \&c., in contrast to the Temple, Tam. vii. 2. Cf. also Ginzberg, Journal of Jewish Lore, 1919, 279.

## INTRODUCTION

1. The Hebrew language has been used for the expression of human thought, whether in speech alone, or in writing alone, or in both speech and writing, for some 3000 years. Its history may be roughly divided into four periods: (1) Biblical Hebrew ( BH ), from the earliest times to the end of the Biblical period, say about 200 b.c.e.; (2) Mišnaic Hebrew (MH), from about 400-300 в.c. e. ${ }^{1}$ to about $400 \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{E}$.; (3) Medieval Hebrew, from the redaction of the Babylonian Talmud, about 500 c.e., to 1700 ; (4) and Modern Hebrew, from the beginning of the eighteenth century to this day. The revival of Jewish life in Palestine through Zionism has brought with it a new efflorescence of Hebrew both as a literary medium and as a spoken tongue.
2. The present work is devoted to a study of the grammatical characteristics of the Hebrew language of the second period. We designate the Hebrew of that period 'Mišnaic Hebrew' ${ }^{2}$ from the Mishna and its allied literature which form the sole literary remains that have come down to us in that particular idiom.

The name Nišnaic Hebrew is to be preferred to the name 'New Hebrew', by which the idiom is commonly known; for it serves to mark off this idiom both from the Hebrew of the first period, and also from the Hebrew of the third and fourth periods.

[^0]The term 'New Hebrew', on the other hand, distinguishes our idiom from BH only, but ignores the later phases through which the Hebrew language passed after the Talmudic age, or confuses these important phases with the particular idiom of the second period.
3. In earlier Mišnaic literature no distinction is drawn between
 Tongue, as contrasted with other languages, which are described as לin לְשׁוֹ, the common tongue. Thus, in So. vii. I ff. it is laid down that certain piescribed religious formulae may be recited



 xxvii. 15-26), which had to be recited in the actual words of Scripture, i. e. in BH. But they also include בּרְבוֹת כּׁהן גָּדוֹל which were composed in MH (So. vii. 7; cf. the commen-
 xx. 3-4) which was amplified. in MH (ib. So. viii. if.). Cf. also:

 to say: 'Whoever dwells in the Land of Israel, and reads the Šema ${ }^{1}$ morning and evening, and speaks the Holy tongue, lo he belongs to the world to come,' Sifre, Deut. xxxii. 43; where certainly ordinary MH must be meant, like that used by R. Meir

 a child begins to speak, his father speaks with him in the Holy tongue, and teaches him Torah, ib. Deut. xi. 19 : אַמר רַבּּ בְּאֶרֶ (-Rabbi (200 c.e.) said: in the Land of Israel why the Sursi (Syrian) tongue?

[^1]Either the Holy tongue, or the Greek tongue, So. 49 b; BQ 83 a;
 R. Joseph (c. $35^{\circ}$ c.e.) said: In Babylon, why the Aramean tongue? Either the Holy tongue, or the Persian tongue, ib., where again MH must be meant, the language used by the speakers themselves



 and


A distinction between BH and MH is found only in later times, when MH had ceased to be used outside the learned circles in the schools. Thus, R. Johanan (c. 275 c.e.) objects to the use in a halaka of the expression שְסְכו, which he calls לְשוֹן תוֹרָה, and insists on the use of מְמָגו, which he describes as לְשׁוֹן חֲקָמִים , 'AZ. 58 b. Similarly the same teacher demands the use of nitin


4. The literary monuments of MH consist of the record of the teaching and sayings of the Tannaim and Amoraim, ${ }^{2}$ as preserved in early rabbinic literature. These may be classified as follows:
(i) Tannaitic, in the following works which are exclusively in MH: Mishna, Tosefta, ${ }^{3}$ Abot deR. Nathan, Masiktot Qetannot,

[^2]Mekilta, Sifra, Sifre, Seder 'Olam, and the Baraitot, ${ }^{1}$ scattered over the Palestinian and Babylonian Talmuds. We must also include in this class the sayings of the Tannaim, as reported by the Amoraim in the two Talmuds and in the Aggadic Midrashim. In these works, though they are partly composed in Aram., the Tannaim are, as a rule, made to speak in MH, even in ordinary conversations, and with women and children : cf. for example, 'Er. 53 b (ר) , Ned. 62 a, 66 b.
(ii) Amoraic. The teaching and sayings of the Amoraim, as recorded in the two Taimuds and in the Aggadic Midrashim.

The earlier Amoraim, especially in Palestine, used MH very frequently, though they are often found to speak in Aram. But even the later Amoraim, and even in Babylon, used MH exclusively for the following purposes: statements of the formulated

$M G W J$., li, pp. 54 ff . (ii) a Babylonian text, as given in the Babylonian Talmud of the Mishna. With this the text found in current editions usually, but not always, agrees; cf. Frankel, דרכי המשנה (2nd ed., Warsaw, 1923), pp. 231 ff. Similarly the text of the Tosefta is found in a Palestinian recension, as represented by the edition of Zuckermandel (Passewalk, 1877-82), and a Babylonian text found in the current editions printed with the Alfasi. On these and other works named, cf. the respective articles in the Fewish Encyclopaedia; Schechter, Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible, Extra Volume, pp. 57 ff ; Strack, Einleitung in d. Talmud.
 halakot not included by Rabbi in his standard Mishna.
${ }^{2}$ Even in popular addresses. Cf. the confusion by a popalar audience in Babylon of the phrase, used by R. Matna (fourth cent. c.e.) in an address,勋 'water kept over night' and 'our water', P's. 42 a . This confusion could only have arisen in MH, and not in Aram., which would have used different words for these two ideas, viz. דִילָנָא ; דִּבִית ; cf. Rashi, ad loc., and also Wijnkoop, JQR., vol. xv (1903), p. 29. Further the confusion by a popular audience in Palestine in the time of Rabbi of מי מי מיצִים and ( account in j. Š॰bi. vi, 1 ; Giṭ. r, 2.
${ }^{8}$ The evidence can be found on almost every page of both Talmuds, and
even in the middle of an Aram. conversation (cf. e.g. BQ 60 b ; Ta'a. $5^{\mathrm{b}}$ ) ; and prayer (cf. Ber. $17 \mathrm{a}, 55 \mathrm{~b}, 60 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$; Ket. 8 b ). The frame-work of the Talmuds is in Aram. So also the discussions about the Halaka, and the ordinary conversations of the Amoraim are in Aram. Tales and traditions about the Tannaim and past ages in general, are usually in MH, sometimes interspersed with later Aram. additions (cf. e.g. Ber. 27 b ; BM 59 b , \&c.).
5. The differences between BH and MH are obvious and striking. They extend to grammar, vocabulary, and general style of expression. Some grammatical forms which are common in BH either have become rare, or have disappeared altogether in MH. Again, certain forms which are rare in BH have become the normal type in MH. Then as to vocabulary, we meet in MH large numbers of words which are rare or unknown in BH, but are common in Aram. Again, many words that are common in BH are absent in MH. Many BH words are used in MH in a changed connotation, usually as in Aram. Moreover, MH contains a large number of technical words and phrases which are unknown in BH. It has also borrowed a large number of names of objects from the Greek and Latin, which further help to detract from its Hebraic character. Finally, the diction of MH is quite unlike the ordinary BH style. Many familiar BH constructions are entirely absent in MH, whilst new constructions and usages have arisen in MH which are often similar to those known in Aram. or identical with them.
6. The similarities between MH and Aram., combined with the fact that MH has come down to us in works produced by the schools of the learned at a time when Aram. was used as a common vernacular among the Jews, have led many scholars to
also in the earlier Aggadic Midrashim. Cf., for example, the use of MH and Aram. in halaka in the first pages of BM, and in Aggada in the first pages of $\mathrm{B}^{6}$.
the view that MH was merely a Hebraized Aram., artificially created by the Schoolmen, like the Latin of the Middle Ages, and that it never had an independent existence as a natural medium of ordinary speech in the daily life of the Jews. This view rests, however, on a miscorception of the whole character of MH. Far from being an artificial scholastic jargon, MH is essentially a popular and colloquial dialect. Its extensive literature does not consist of books composed by literary men in their study. It is rather a record of sayings, oral teaching, and discussions of men of the people on a variety of subjects, embracing, practically, all the manifold activities in the daily life of an organized civilized society. Its vocabulary and its grammar both bear the stamp of colloquial usage and popular development. Apart from the technical phraseology of the specialized halaka, not a single trace can be discovered in it of that artificiality with which it has been credited.
7. As to the alleged dependence of MH on Aram., a careful examination of the grammar and vocabulary of MH as presented in the following pages will show that, while Aram. has undoubtedly influenced MH in many directions, it would be an exaggeration to describe this influence as a dependence of MH on Aram. In its morphology, MH is absolutely independent of Aram., and largely also in its syntax. In its main characteristics, the grammar of MH is practically identical with the grammar of BH. Where it differs from BH grammar, the genesis of the difference can generally be traced back to an older stage in the language, out of which the new forms developed in a natural way. Forms that deviate from the regular type of BH are usually found in BH in isolated cases as grammatical irregularities. It is nearly always possible to trace the connexion of a MH form with a BH prototype, at least in later BH literature. If such forms in MH and late BH happen also to be found in Aram., they are usually also found in other Semitic languages, especially in a late stage of
development. They are thus not Aramaisms, but grammatical phenomena common to the whole, or to a portion, of the Semitic family. On the other hand, MH has also a considerable number of forms which are quite unknown in Aram., and which could not have arisen if MH had been the artificial creation of men whose natural language was Aram.
8. It is chiefly in the sphere of syntax, particularly in the use of the tenses, the expression of the genitive, and the construction of the dependent clause, that MH deviates most from BH , and comes nearest to Aram. But this is due to the fact that, whereas BH is a highly poetical literary language, MH, like Aram., is a simple homely idiom, with no pretence whatever to poetical or picturesque expression. The refined, but often ambiguous, constructions of the poetical prose of BH could not have survived in the daily speech of the people throughout those centuries of violent changes which followed upon the golden age of BH literature. Refinement of expression had to be sacrificed to clearness and usefulness. Thus, popular Hebrew of daily use must have freed itself from the conventionalized literary forms of BH at a comparatively early date, if it ever had been subject to them at all. The simplification of Hebrew syntax in speech was in time reflected also in literature. It is possible to trace this gradual process of simplification in the later books of the Hebrew Bible, until we reach the severe plainness and baldness of MH. No doubt, Aram exercised a powerful influence in that direction. But the tendency was inherent in Hebrew itself, and if left alone Hebrew would have developed on somewhat similar lines, though perhaps not so rapidly as it actually did under Aram. influence.
9. The evidence of MH grammar is strongly supported by MH vocabulary. It is true that MH has a large number of words which are common in Aram. But, on the other hand, it can also show a considerable number of words which are not found in Aram.

As is to be expected of two closely related dialects living side by side, MH borrowed extensively from Aram., but so did Aram., especially Jewish Aram., borrow extensively from MH, particularly in the sphere of religion and the higher life. ${ }^{1}$ The presence of so many Aram. words and expressions in MH can affect its genuine Hebraic character as little as, for example, the numerical preponderance of the Latin element in the English dictionary can affect the genuine Teutonic character of the English language; or, let it be said, as little as the presence in Aram. itself of so many Hebrew and other foreign words and phrases can affect the Aramean character of the old language of Syria and Mesopotamia.
10. Moreover, a detailed examination and analysis of the MH vocabulary, such as is given below for the verb ( $\$ \S 83$-102), reveals the fact that the Aram. influence on the MH vocabulary has been exaggerated in the same way as the Aram. influence on the MH grammar. It has been the fashion among writers on the subject to brand as an Aramaism any infrequent Hebrew word which happens to be found more or less frequently in the Aram. dialects. Most of these 'Aramaisms' are as native in Hebrew as they are in Aram. Many of them are also found in other Semitic languages. The lists given below show that of the 300 new verbs in MH only some 25 verbs can be described as undoubtedly genuine Aram. loan-words. The other so-called Aram. verbs are either ordinary Semitic words (§ 95), or are common to both Hebrew and Aram. (§ 94).
11. Further, the lists given there also show a group of over fifty verbs which are common to BH and to Aram. of the Mišnaic period, and yet are not found in MH (§ 84). If MH was merely a Hebraized Aram., or an artificial mixture of Aram. and BH, why did it not appropriate those verbs which belonged to

[^3]both the elements of which it is said to have been composed? Again, the lists show a group of over thirty verbs of undoubted Semitic origin which are peculiar to MH, and which are not found even in Aram. ( $\S 93$ ). How is one to explain the origin of these verbs, if MH was but an artificial mongrel, made up of BH and Aram. ?
12. The same phenomenon appears in the other parts of the MH vocabulary. Thus, in the particles we have only a dozen or so loan-words from Aram., against a considerable number of new formations and adaptations from old Hebrew words and expressions ( $\S \S 294,300,302,304$ ). The numerals are practically all identical with BH, and free from Aramaisms ( $\S 394 \mathrm{ff}$.). So also the pronoun exhibits some interesting new formations, but is practically free from Aram. influences ( $\S \mathrm{\xi}_{\mathrm{j}} 66-8 \mathrm{r}$ ). In the noun, MH has borrowed much from Aram.; but it has also borrowed much from Greek, Latin, and other sources. On the other hand, the substance of the MH noun rests on the BH noun, not only in its grammatical, but also in its lexical character. Furthermore, as in the case of the verb, MH has preserved a considerable number of old Hebrew nouns not found in BH or in Aram., and, in addition, has coined from Hebrew roots many new names for objects and ideas produced by the changed conditions of life (cf. $\S \oint_{217} \mathrm{ff}$., and the nouns enumerated in ' Formation of Nouns', §§ 220 ff.).
13. It is clear from the facts presented by its grammar and vocabulary that MH had an independent existence as a natural living speech, growing, developing, and changing in accordance with its own genius, and in conformity with the laws which govern the life of all languages in general, and the Semitic languages in particular. It was greatly influenced by Aram., its close neighbour and rival, but it was not submerged by Aram. till after some centuries, when political factors made it impossible for MH
to continue as a living speech. The home of MH was Palestine. So long as the Jewish people retained some sort of national existence in Palestine, MH continued to be the language of at least a section of the Jewish people living in Palestine. As Jewish life in Palestine gradually decayed, and eventually suffered total extinction, so MH was banished step by step from everyday life, and eventually, towards the end of the Mišnaic period, became confined to the learned in the schools and academies. With the ruin of these schools in Palestine, MH disappeared altogether from its native home, but continued to exist side by side with Aram. in the Jewish academies of Babylon, whither it had been carried by the Palestinian immigrants. When, in their turn, the Babylonian academies also decayed, towards the end of the Talmudic period, the last remnant of MH died out. The dialect continued a more or less fitful and precarious existence as a literary medium, until it passed into the new literary dialect of Medieval Hebrew.
14. We may now attempt to define more precisely the relation of MH to BH. In defining this relation we have to recapitulate the lexical and grammatical characteristics of MH, already briefly outlined above.

The bulk of the MH vocabulary is found in BH. This applies especially to the most necessary words, such as pronouns, numerals, particles, and the most common verbs and nouns. But a considerable number of BH words have been lost in MH, particularly words that were rare in BH, and used only in poetry, even though these were common and prosaic words in Aram. (cf. for verbs, §§ 84 ff .). On the other hand, MH shows a considerable number of words of undoubted Hebrew origin, which are not found in BH. This may, indeed, be due to a mere accident, in view of the scanty and fragmentary remains of BH literature. Nevertheless, the possession by MH of Hebrew words not known in BH
establishes the important fact that MH was not derived from BH , but that it drew its lexical material from a source much wider than the literature of the Hebrew Bible. What was that source? Was it a literary source, more extensive than the Hebrew Bible, containing, in addition to the Bible, books discarded when the Canon of Scripture was fixed, and now lost, but familiar to the creators of MH (assuming again that MH was an artificial creation of the Rabbis)? This is hardly probable. For if those lost books were of such merit and value, that the Rabbis thought them worthy of the closest and most intimate study, and became familiar with their vocabulary as with the vocabulary of the books of the Bible themselves, then those books would not have been excluded from the Canon as of no value, or as of heterodox tendencies. It is much more reasonable to assume that the MH vocabulary was in the main drawn not from a literary source, but from the actual Hebrew speech of daily life which preceded the Mišnaic period, and which, of course, possessed many Hebrew words that did not find their way into the books of the Bible. If this assumption be correct, MH is the direct lineal descendant of the spoken Hebrew of the Biblical period, as distinguished from the literary Hebrew of the Biblical period preserved in the Hebrew Scriptures.

This explains also why MH has not preserved the poetical words and expressions of BH. These words and expressions were not used at all, or only rarely, in the colloquial Hebrew of Biblical times, which was the ancestor of MH. This also explains the homely and severely prosaic chracter of MH. It was a purely colloquial, one might say a vulgar idiom, directly descended from an older colloquial or vulgar idiom. ${ }^{1}$

[^4]15. This view of the origin of MH affords also a satisfactory explanation of the grammatical characteristics of the dialect. As has already been stated above, MH agrees in the main with BH grammar. Its various differences from BH consist chiefly of BH irregularities which have become the regular type in MH. On examination these will be found to be colloquial and popular variations from the standardized literary idiom. Cf. below the
 the Nithpa'el, § 13 I ; the consecutive tenses, § 157, \&c. Some of these variations are probably due to differences of dialect in the Hebrew spoken in different parts of Palestine. Traces of the existence of dialects, especially in Northern Palestine, are found even in BH. ${ }^{1}$ The concentration of all Jewish life in Palestine in and around Jerusalem, which began towards the end of the Judean monarchy, and which lasted throughout the period of the second Temple, must have introduced these dialectal variations into the speech of Jerusalem. From the spoken vernacular these irregularities found their way also into the literary dialect of the time. Hence the phenomenon that from the days of Jeremiah onwards, BH begins to show, in constantly increasing numbers, forms and expressions which are the normal type in MH, and many of which are also found in Aram.
16. It is not possible to trace the rise and development of MH, owing to the lack of early literary monuments in the dialect. The earlier halaka still shows a free and picturesque mode of

 , צֻל קֶרֶן הַצְבִי
similar in its diction to the halaka. That the kalaka was sometimes capable of picturesque expression, is shown by the examples cited in $\$ 16$.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. below, § 78 ; Stade, Heb. Gr., pp. 11-14; Bergsträsser, ZAW., xix, pp. 4 If . ; Sznejder, pp. 27 ff.
 the descriptive passages in Yo. i. 5, 7, \&c.; Suk. iv. 4, 5 ; v. 4, \&c.; Ta'a. iii. 5 ; So. i. 4, 6 f.; ix. 15 ; Men. x. 3 f.; Tam. i. 1 f.; Neg. xii. 5 f.; Pa. iii. if., \&c. Contrast also the picturesque tale of Simon the Just, t. Naz. iv. 7; Naz. 4 b, with the style later developed in such tales (עעשיות). A characteristic specimen of the early historical tale is found in Qid. 66 a ( $\$ 126, \mathrm{n}$.). No doubt many of the Palestinian apocryphal and apocalyptic books must have been composed in a similar style, as can be seen from the Hebrew fragments of such compositions that have come down to us.
17. But, nevertheless, BH, with a MH colouring, continued to be the principal literary medium long after it had died out as a living speech, as is shown by the later books of BH. There can be no doubt that the authors of Chronicles, Esther, Ecclesiastes, Daniel, Sirach, and the Psalms of Solomon did not use BH in their ordinary everyday life. BH was to them only a literary and artificial medium of expression which they had acquired in the schools from the study of the old sacred literature. That is why they sometimes show awkwardness in handling the old Hebrew idiom, and difficulty in expressing their thoughts with clearness and exactness. That is also why they often lapse into usages and expressions which remind us of MH or of Aram. What language did the authors of those late BH books speak in their daily life ? Or, in other words, what was the language of ordinary life of educated native Jows in Jerusalem and Judea in the period from 400 b.c.e. to 150 c.e.? The evidence presented by MH and its literature leaves no doubt that that language was MH. Of course, those educated Judeans also understood Aram., and used it even in writing, but only occasionally, and not habitually-in the same way as the educated Boer in South Africa sometimes uses English, or as the Flamand in Belgium may often use French.
18. Thus, for a number of generations, the Judean Jews
remained Hebrews in their language, using the classical dialect-BH-for literary purposes, and the popular dialect-MH-as a medium of speech in their ordinary daily life, in the school, in the Temple and the Synagogue. Gradually, however, the influx into Jerusalem of Aram.-speaking Jews from the Eastern Diaspora, and also from Galilee, Transjordania, and Syria, established Aram. as a native tongue in Jerusalem. The native Jews then became bi-lingual, using both Aram. and MH indiscriminately in ordinary life, but MH exclusively in the school, and for religious purposes. Eventually, Aram. gained the upper hand, and MH, like BH, became a לְשׁוֹן הַּרֶֹשׁ, a purely religious language; then, finally, at the end of the Mišnaic period it was reduced to a לְשׁוֹ חִבָמִים (§§ 3, 13 ).
19. It is possible to indicate only approximately the probable dates of these linguistic changes. The rise of MH may be assigned to the period associated by tradition with the 'Men of the Great Synagogue '. The saying reported of them is already in pure MH ( $\mathrm{Ab} . \mathrm{i} .1$ ). They are also reputed to have been the authors of the oldest portions of the Jewish liturgy, which are likewise couched in MH (cf. Ber. 33 a ). That period may approximately be identified with the end of the Persian rule and the beginning of the Greek rule in Palestine, say 400-300 в.c.e. The BH books of the period all show distinct traces of MH , viz. Chronicles, Canticles, Esther, and especially Qohelet and Sirach, and also Daniel. ${ }^{1}$
20. MH seems to have attained the height of its power during the rise of the Hasmonean dynasty. ${ }^{2}$ Its decline as a spoken language seems to have begun with the fall of that dynasty and

[^5]the reign of Herod. The destruction of many of the native families in the bloody wars which accompanied the coming of the Romans and the establishment of the Herodians (whose original language was probably Aram.); the closer connexion between Jerusalem and the Aram. Jewries of Syria and the Eastern Diaspora which followed on the incorporation of Palestine in the Roman Empire; and the settlement of those Aram.speaking Jews in Jerusalem, all tended to spread the use of Aram. at the expense of MH. But MH still remained a popular speech, as is testified by numerous passages in its literature. Thus, e. g., the Jewish damsels at their dances in the vineyards sing to the young men in MH (Ta'a. iv. 8); the taunt-song against the tyranny of the powerful priests is in MH (Pes. 57 a ; t. Men. xiii. 21); popular vows are in MH (Nod. i. i, \&c.). ${ }^{1}$
21. Finally, the destruction of Jewish life in Judea after the defeat of Bar Kokba ( 135 c.e.), and the establishment of the new Jewish centre in the Aram.-speaking Galilee, seem to have led to the disappearance of MH as a popular tongue. In that age we find R. Meir pleading for the preservation of MH speech at least among the pious, just as he pleads against the emigration from the Holy Land, which then prevailed in consequence of the Roman oppression. The Aramaization of the Jews in Palestine was completed one generation later, when Rabbi complains of the exclusive use of Aram. in the Land of Israel (cf. § 3). ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Cf. בנדרים הלך אחר לשון בני אדם, N®d. 30 b, 51 b and Rashi, ad loc.
${ }^{2}$ Nevertheless, Rabbi's words prove that MH was still thought of as a language of everyday life. So two generations later than Rabbi, R. Jonathan

 world to make use of : the Foreign ( $=$ Greek) for song, the Roman for war, Sursi ( = Syriac) for lamentation, and Hebrew for (ordinary) speech, j. Meg. iv. 4. So R. Joseph's saying (53) proves that MH was known as a spoken language in Babylon in the fourth century c. e.
22. Before concluding this sketch, we may refer briefly to the evidence advanced for the view that Aram. was the exclusive language of the Jews in Judea during our period. ${ }^{1}$ This evidence consists in the use of Aram. in Ezra and Daniel, and in Megillat Ta'anit; the use in the Synagogue of an Aram. translation (Targum) of the Scriptures; the use of Aram. in legal documents, like Ketuba (marriage settlement), Get (bill of divorcement), \&c.; the use of Aram. in inscriptions in the Temple; the reported Aram. sayings of some Rabbis in the Mishna and Tosefta, and of Jesus in the Gospels; the existence of Aram. proper names, and of Aram. words in Josephus.

The most that this evidence can prove is that during our period Aram. was understood and used in Palestine, a fact which nobody denies. It cannot, however, prove that Aram. was the exclusive vernacular of all Jews of that period. For against these remains of Palestinian Aram., there is the vast MH literature, including the MH liturgy; there is, further, MH itself, with all its popular and original elements, to prove that during our period Jews in Judea spoke MH.
23. It must be remembered that Jerusalem was more than merely a Judean city. It was the metropolis of a world-wide Jewry. Beside the native Judean majority, there were in Jerusalem also important colonies of Aram.- and Greek-speaking Jews, especially in Roman times. In addition to the Aram.-speaking residents, vast numbers of Aram.-speaking Jews visited the Holy City for the celebration of the Festivals of the Temple. It is not, therefore, surprising that there were Aram. inscriptions in the Temple, just as there were also Greek inscriptions (Šeq. vi. 5; iii. 2); that there were numerous Aram. proper names, just as there were numerous Greek proper names; that the Synagogue used an Aram. Targum for the benefit of unlettered Galilean and Oriental

[^6]Jews, or that the calendar of the Megillat Ta'anit (completed c. 70 c. e.), intended as it was for all classes of Jews, should have been composed in Aram.; or that certain legal documents of common and universal use should have been in Aram. Against the few isolated sayings of Hillel (a Babylonian!), and some other Rabbis, there are numerous sayings by the same Rabbis in MH. ${ }^{1}$ So also Josephus cites Hebrew words in addition to Aram. (cf. Antiquities, iii. 7; x. 6). With regard to the language of Jesus, it is admitted that in the Roman period, and perhaps earlier, Aram. was the vernacular of the native Galilean Jews. But even in Galilee, MH was understood and spoken, at least by the educated classes.
24. The Aram. chapters in Ezra and Daniel, dealing as they do with Babylonian and Persian subjects, may have been the work of Jews of the Eastern Diaspora. This seems very probable, at least, with regard to the Aram. in Ezra. A Jerusalem Jew would
 Again, a Jerusalem Jew would not have described the Temple

25. The same may be said of Aram. legal documents. It is possible that the formulae of the Ketuba, Get., \&c., originated in Babylonia, and their Aram. was retained also by MH-speaking Jews, in order to give these documents the recognition of the Persian authority. ${ }^{2}$ On the other hand, legal documents of undoubted Judean origin were composed in MH. So the Prosbol, instituted by Hillel (Šebi. x. 4), the קיִּם שְׁטר (confirmation of a bill that has become illegible, BB x. 6), and scrolls of genealogy
${ }^{1}$ Note the express remark of the narrator: : ובְלָשׁוֹוֹן אְרָמִית אֲמָחָן ובלשוֹ ;-me said (he heard) them in the Aram. tongue, t. So. xiii. 4-6, as if the use of Aram. by Samuel the Little (died c. 70 c.e.) and Simeon the Just called for a special explanation.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Cowley, Aram. Papyri, p. 119. For early $K^{\circ}$ tubot in Aram. ibid., pp. 44, 54, 13 .
(Yeb. iv. 13. In Qid. iv. 1 we have an extract of such a document in Aram., with, however, several Aramaized Hebrew terms: אֲסוּפי , , \& , \&c. This Mishna is attributed to the Babylonian Hillel, Yeb. 37 a). So also a special condition in the Judean Ketuba is cited in MH, as contrasted with the Jerusalem and Galilean $K^{e t u b o t}$, which followed the established legal formula, and are cited in Aram. ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{et} .}$ iv. 12). Other special conditions in the Ketuba are also given in MH (ib. ix. 1, 5). So the Get of divorcement and of manumission are cited in MH (Git. ix. I, 3).
28. The view has also been expressed ${ }^{1}$ that the usual language in the Temple was Aram., and that it was only in the last few years of its existence that the Pharisees replaced the Aram. in the Temple by MH. This view is based chiefly on the report that on two occasions High Priests heard in the Temple Bath Qol speaking Aram. But, surely, the evidence of such an isolated legendary report cannot outweigh the evidence of innumerable passages in MH literature which prove that the Temple ritual was carried on in MH. No doubt, the Babylonian priests (Men. xi. 7) may have spoken Aram. in the Temple, as elsewhere; but it is jncredible that in the Temple of all places, with all its reverencefor tradition, Hebrew would have been banished in favour of a new and un-Jewish tongue. Hebrew has remained the exclusive language of the Synagogue to this very day. Even if we had not the evidence of Rabbinic tradition, we should conclude that such was also the case in the ancient Temple.
27. Further, there is no evidence whatever that the use of Hebrew or Aram. was a subject of controversy between the Pharisees and the Sadducees. Nor is there any reason to believe that the Sadducees preferred Aram. to MH. On the contrary, one would be inclined to infer from their exclusive, aristocratic

[^7]character, and from their conservative political tendencies, that the Sadducees would have favoured the use of the old national language, rather than the foreign patois of the unlettered populace. The sayings of the Sadducees are all reported in MH, cf. Ya. iv. 6-8; Men. 65 b , \&c.; and a conversation between a Sadducee High Priest and his father, Yo. 19 b.
28. In conclusion, we must refer briefly to the linguistic trustworthiness of the Mišnaic tradition, which has been called into question by some writers. ${ }^{1}$ Its trustworthiness is established by the old rule, older than the age of Hillel, that a traditionwhich, of course, was handed down by word of mouth-must be repeated in the exact words of the master from whom it had
 observed throughout the Mišnaic and Talmudic periods (cf.'Ed. i. 3, with the commentaries; Ber. 47 a; Bek. 5 a), and was, in fact, the basis of the authority of the Oral Law. So careful were the Rabbis in the observance of this rule that they often reproduced even the mannerisms and the personal peculiarities of the Masters from whom they had received a particular tradition, or halaka. This rule makes it certain that, at least in most cases, the sayings of the Rabbis have been handed down in the language in which they had originally been expressed. There were, of course, exceptions, as when heathens are made to speak in MH (Ša. 3 ra; Ta'a. 18a, b); but, nevertheless, the rule was strictly observed in all halakot, and also in Aggadic and other sayings which are reported as the ipsissima verba of the speakers. This linguistic faithfulness of the tradition is proved by the preservation of a number of sayings in Aram. (e.g. 'Ed. viii. 4; Ab. i. 13; v. 22, 23, \&c.), and by the preservation of the text of Megillat Ta'anit in Aram., though the exposition of the text is given in $\mathrm{MH}^{2}{ }^{2}$

[^8]These Aram. traditions were not translated into MH, but were left in their original language. It follows, therefore, that MH sayings were originally spoken in MH. A striking illustration of the care which the Rabbis took to reproduce the actual language of their predecessors is afforded by the interchange between Aram. and MH in narrative passages. Thus, we often find narratives in MH which contain conversations in Aram. (e.g. So. 48 b; Ša. 3r a, \&c.). Again, we find, even more frequently, narratives in Aram. in which the persons of the story are made to speak in MH (e.g. Pes. 3 b ; BM $83 \mathrm{~b}, 84 \mathrm{~b}, \& \mathrm{c}$.). This change of language between the narrator and the persons introduced into his story is clearly due to a deliberate effort on the part of the narrator to reproduce the original speech of the persons he is reporting, whether MH or Aram. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Cf. also Ben Jehudah, ער אימתי דברו עברית, pp. 77 f.; Sznejder, p. 54 (3).

## PART I

## PHONOLOGY AND ORTHOGRAPHY

## I. PRONUNCIATION

The evidence for the pronunciation of MH may be grouped under two heads:
29. (a) External:
(1) The transcription of Greek and Latin words in MH. ${ }^{1}$
(2) The transcription of Hebrew words in Greek and Latin, viz. in Origen's Hexapla and in Jerome's writings. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
This evidence has to be qualified by the consideration of the inequalities which exist between the Hebrew and the Greek and Latin alphabets, and their respective inability to express adequately each other's peculiar sounds. Thus, for example, MH was unable to transcribe correctly the Greek and Latin vowels. Similarly, Greek and Latin were unable to express the Hebrew sibilants, the Hebrew gutturals, and the variation in the sound of the letters,

(3) The traditional pronunciation preserved among the Jews.
30. This is a valuable witness, representing as it does a living and uninterrupted tradition reaching back to the time when MH was still a living speech. The value of this tradition is, however, discounted by the considerable differences in pronunciation which

[^9]exist between the Jews of different countries. ${ }^{1}$ Some of these differences are, no doubt, original, and go back to dialectal variations in Hebrew itself as spoken in different parts of Palestine. Others are the result of the influence of the various vernaculars spoken by the Jews in the Diaspora. Moreover, many errors must have crept into the traditional pronunciation. This tradition is, therefore, not to be trusted when it disagrees with the established rules of grammar.
31. (4) The punctuation, complete or partial, found in some MSS. of the Mishna, and other MH works.?
This is even less trustworthy than the living pronunciation. There never was an authoritative system of punctuation for the Mishna, as for the Hebrew Bible. The scribes were often ignorant, and never consistent. At best the punctuation in the MSS. can only serve as evidence of the pronunciation current in the time and the locality of the particular scribes.
(b) Internal:

## (1) The method of vocalization by vowel letters.

32. This is helpful, but insufficient and often misleading, in view of the inadequacy of the few vowel letters to represent what must have been a fairly complicated vowel system. Thus 1 represents no less than seven distinct vowel-sounds ( $\delta, \bar{o}, \gamma,{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\mp}$; $\bar{a}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}$ ), ' represents six distinct sounds ( $\hat{i}, \bar{i}, \bar{i} ; \overline{\mathcal{E}}, \bar{e}, \bar{c})$. Cf. below, § 39 .
33. (2) The mutation of consonants.

The interchange between various consonantal sounds affords evidence as to the relationship between these sounds, their similarities, and their differences. Cf. below, §§ 42, 44, \&c.
${ }^{1}$ On the various systems of pronunciation among Jews cf. A. Z. Idelsobn,

${ }_{2}$ This punctuation, as also the whole of the MS. material of MH, still a waits special investigation.

## (3) Information in MH literature.

34. The literature contains a fesw scattered notices which shed some light on contemporary pronunciation, particularly the guttural letters. Cf. below, §4i.
35. (4) The analogy of BH, as represented by the Massoretic Text of the Hebrew Scriptures.
This is undoubtedly the most valuable witness for the correct pronunciation of MH. The gradual fixing of the present text of the Hebrew Scriptures took place in the MH period. The men responsible for that text spoke MH, and the living MH speech must have been reflected to a considerable extent in the form given to the Authorized Text, especially in the vowels.

## II. THE VOWELS

## 1. Vowel Changes.

38. In the absence of a trustworthy system of punctuation in MH, it is not possible to determine with any certainty the character and value of the MH vowels, or to ascertain what modifications they had undergone in comparison with the BH vowels. The spelling gives us no help. The three vowel letters $\mathcal{N}, ?, l$, corresponding to the three Semitic vowels, $a, i, u$, are used to represent a large variety of modifications of these three vowels, both short and long, simple and composite. On the whole, it may be assumed; for the reason given in the last section, that the MH vowel system is identical with the latest form of the BH vowels, as represented in our consonantal texts of the Hebrew Bible, and in the system of punctuation elaborated in later centuries by the Massoretic Schools. No doubt, there must have been also a strong Aram. influence on the pronunciation of the MH vowels, but with our present knowledge of the subject, it is impossible to say
what that influence was. Moreover, this Aram. influence would have been operative also on the contemporary pronunciation of the BH vowels, if not to the same extent. The various sources of external evidence enumerated above in (a) have, unfortunately, not yet been investigated and compared. But it may safely be said that that evidence would not materially modify this statement.
39. A vowel change which has no parallel in BH is found in the change of $\bar{e}$ into $\mathbb{Z}$ in two nouns: mother, becomes DiN in the derived significance of 'the matrix of a female, and of a millstone', Toh. ix. 8, and then ' bulk', 'substance', Neg. i. 5;' and $\begin{aligned} \text { שיׁ } \\ \text { name, becomes } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { in the derived sense of 'subject', }\end{aligned}$ chiefly in later texts, and with the preposition pecause, Pea i. 3. So also with other prepositions, e.g. עשל Pes. x. 5, \&c. But this latter is most probably an Aramaism due to the scribes. ${ }^{2}$ Palestinian texts show also a change of $\check{a}$ into $\check{u}$, as
 Palestinian Jewish Aram.
${ }^{1}$ L reads here 'mother' $A^{\prime} K$ is found only once, $j$. Yeb. xi. 1 . The change is due to assimilation with the labial m. Cf. the Assyr. ummu, and the Arab. í. This form is not found in Aram.
 [So cod. K, Krauss, MGWY., li, p. 452.] With the preposition b, it is almost

 םוש. Syr. only pin. See König, Lehrgebäude, i. 2, p. 512. See further, Weiss, מללה", pp. 14-15; Bacher, Aelteste Terminologie, p. 118; Terminologie d. Amoräer, p. 218. Albrecht's suggestion (56) that םiש is an artificial modification to distinguish this meaning of $\underset{\sim}{\text { ש丷. }}$ from its use for the Divine name is disproved by the great frequency of the use of $\begin{gathered}\text { in a secular }\end{gathered}$ sense. Moreover, the use of this form $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pib belongs to a later stage in MH, }\end{aligned}$ when the Deity was described by other terms, such as הוֹחקב"ה, \&ipe.

## 2. The Spelling of Vowels.

38. The vowels are represented in MH by vowel letters much more frequently than in BH . The vowel letters are, as in BH , $N, \pi, i$, and $\cdot$
$\boldsymbol{N}$. The $\mathbb{N}$ is used as in BH to represent $\mathbb{d}$ and $\bar{a}$ : , heads, RH iii. $1, \& c . ;$ אֵּ end of a word in foreign feminine nouns: טַבְלָא tabula, MQ
 is also found in BH. ${ }^{2} \mathbb{K}$ is found also after other vowels whenever
 After $\bar{a}, \vec{a}$, when followed by 9 , or in some texts by , to indicate that the, or , are consonants : לָא not, Ber. i. r; ; בַּנַּא a builder, Kel. xiv. 3; גוֹבַא locusts, Ber. vi. 3 ; N
39. The $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is found as a vowel letter only at the end of a word. Its use is exactly the same as in BH.
40. 9. The 1 represents not only $\mathscr{u}$ and $\delta$, but also $\bar{u}, \underline{u}$, and $\bar{\sigma}, \delta$, ${ }^{\circ}$ ־ִּוֹבֶר
 their thickness, 'Er. i. 6; עָוּמְרי sheaves, Pea vi. 1; שָּמָּרִים, vi. 5 ; ם ifths, Ter, vi. 4. ${ }^{4}$
${ }^{1}$ L. has always $\lceil\mathfrak{j}$, cf. below, $\S 295$.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Ges.-K., $\delta 80 \mathrm{~h}$. L, however, retains a final $\boldsymbol{i}$ also in such foreign

 Toh. ix. 9 (ed. מהוהה) has $\mathcal{K}$ at the end, owing to the presence of the inice before in the same word. Cf. נמהה, Kel. xxvii. 12.
${ }^{8}$ Cf. the plural forms K. See below, § 56.
${ }^{4}$ L has עמרים, חמשים. So elsewhere L. has the defective spelling, e.g. כוחלו for ed. BB ii. 4, \&c. So cod. K, Krauss, MGW7., li, p. 325; but see below, 8282.

Similarly, the ' is found also after $\check{i}, \delta$, and $\check{c}$, e. g.
 her companion, Šab. xi. 5 .

Sometimes ' follows $\overline{\boldsymbol{a}}$ before 1 to indicate the consonantal character of 1 : עָּנְשָׁי , Ber. 6 b , on the analogy of such forms


It must be added that this method of spelling is not always applied consistently, and that it often differs from text to text. But as a rule, the full spelling is more common than the defective spelling, particularly when the consonants might be read in more than one way. ${ }^{1}$

For the rendering in MH of Greek and Latin vowels, cf. Krauss, Lehnwörter, i, § 23 ff. (for MH and Aram.), Albrecht, § 7.

## III. THE CONSONANTS

40. What has been said above on the pronunciation of the MH vowels is also true to a very high degree of the MH consonants. The pronunciation of the consonants was, on the whole, the same as in BH at the time of the Massoretic redaction of the Scriptures. The evidence of the other sources is, however, fuller in the case of the consonants than the vowels. In what follows we shall briefly summarize this evidence, and also tabulate the various mutations among the consonants.

## 1. The Gutturals $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{y}$.

41. The various BH gutturals were still distinguished in MH as late as the fourth century c.e. Thus we find later Amoraim discussing whether certain words in the Mishna should be pro-

[^10]



 to the pronunciation and not to the spelling in a written text, and proves that there was yet a distinction in the sound of $x$ and $y$. But this distinction was not universal. Thus we are told in a Baraita (beginning of the third century, Meg. 24 b) that people of certain localities, including Beth Shean and Haifa, could not distinguish between $א$ and $y$. The same is said of the school of R. Eliezer b. Jacob (end of second century, Ber. 32 a), and of the Galileans who are held up to ridicule for their slovenliness in speech, particularly in the pronunciation of the gutturals ('Er. 53 b). They, together with certain others, could not distinguish between any of the gutturals. Thus, חקרוְר, mustard, they pronounced הַרַרו, and וְחִכִּתי להּ (Isa. viii. 17) they read וְהֵֵּּי, which was tantamount to blasphemy (Mog., loc. cit.).?

Jerome still heard a distinction in the various gutturals, though in his transcription, as also in that of Origen, the differentiation between the hard and the soft $\pi$, the hard and the soft $y$, was not copied from living speech, but rather from the older transcription of the LXX. (But note the mutation of $\Pi$ and $כ, \S 43$ ).
42. Transcription from the Greek and Latin.
 $=$ 'Aфpoסít $\eta$, 'AZ. iii. 4. $\kappa$ represents the Lat. initial vowel, like



[^11]ה represents the Gk. spiritus asper: הֶנמוֹנְ


$\Pi$ equals spiritus asper in ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ádıкós (a kind of salt fish),

y seems to represent the spiritus lenis in עָ ãaviov, Pes. iii. I (but some texts have אמיל, אמל).

## Mutation of the Gutturals.

43. $\mathcal{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. As a rule, Palestinian texts prefer initial $\kappa$, and

 viii. 6; Kel. xxv. 6; אוֹנָאָה, L.: אילָה wrong, BM iv. 3 אוֹנָאה
 Pes. iii. ı. Cf. below, §§ 249, 295.



 sticking, ${ }^{3}$.Kel. iii. 5 ; מֹוֹת, L., מוֹתֶ secretion, Miq. vii. 4 ; מהּ to be shabby, worn, Kel. xxvii. $12=B H$ מחה
 shows that there was also a hard pronunciation of the $\pi$, as in earlier BH.

```
\({ }^{2}\) Cf. BH חִגָגוֹת (Exod. xxiv. 6).
\({ }^{3}\) As in Aram. Cf. Kohat, iii, pp. 185 f., 349 a.
\({ }^{4}\) Cf. BH DTal (Isa. i. 22). See Kohut, v, p. 109.
\({ }^{5}\) Cf. Kohut, v, pp. 31, 40 f.
```


## 2. The Palatals $\lambda, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{p}$.

Transcription from Greek and Latin.
44. $\lambda$ transcribes the Gk. $\gamma$, and the Lat. $g$ : N
 'AZ. i. 7. a may, perhaps, also represent the Gk. $\kappa$ in fin' $\kappa \eta \mu \delta{ }^{\prime}$. Combined with $\boldsymbol{i}, \lambda$ represents $\xi$ in $\mathcal{K}$ L., however, has here and elsewhere

So Jerome renders $\lambda$ by $g$. He does not distinguish the dageshed a from the raphe $\overline{\overline{1}}$, most probably because the Lat. alphabet possessed no equivalents for these finer sound distinctions.

 with D, the Gk. $\xi$ : אַכְסַרְְרָ prosthetic $\kappa$, cf. below, § 64), Dam. iii. I.

Jerome renders $\boldsymbol{J}$ by ch. He does not distinguish between $\mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, for the reason stated above.

 D , it renders also the $\mathrm{Gk} . \boldsymbol{\xi}$ at the end of a word: : Ab. iii. 6.
p transcribes the Lat. c: Šab. vi. 2. Combined with 1 , it renders qu: קִ quaestor, Bek. v. 3 (L. Babylonian texts have

So Jerome transcribes $p$ by $c$.

## Mutation of the Palatals.

45. $\lambda$ and מזג : מסך to dilute, mix, Dam. vii. 2, BH 'AZ. 54 b, cited above, § 3. בנגר to be of age, Ket. iii. 8, BH בכר. Cf. also
 Bok. vii. 6, BH
 fold, Šab. ii. 3, BH כפל.

For the mutation between כ and $n$, cf. above, § 42.

## 3. The Dentals $7, \Delta, n$.

 'AZ. iii. 3. Also sometimes $\tau$ : ר denarius, Men. xiii. 4 ; ?
Jerome also renders 7 by $d$, whether aspirate or soft ( 7,7 ).


 Ket. xiii. 10.
 renders $\Delta$ by $t$.
 Kil. i. 3 ; iii. 8. So Jerome renders $n$ by th.

## Mutation of Dentals.

 Dam. v. 4, and elsewhere.
 cord, Ḥul. iii. 2, L.

ט and $\pi$ : טעה untithed, Dam. vii. 5, BH

## 4. The Labials 2, D.

48. 3 , whether dageshed or raphe, represents $\mathrm{Gk} . \beta$ : Díbיבּ or


[^12]$\kappa_{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\beta} \epsilon i ́ a$, San. iii. 3. Rarely through mutation also $\pi$ : : BQ i. 4 (some texts have 'פרד); אבְטוֹלְמֹס חтолє
 correctly (דילפקי).

Further, באבְטינָם : Evepresents $v$ in
 Nid. ii. 2. ב שַבְלֶה ; represents Lat. b: tabula, MQ iii. 7 ; לִבְיבָרִין : לְבָר vivarium, Šab. xiii. 5.

Jerome always transcribes $ב$ by $b$, whether dageshed or raphe, never by $v$.

 'AZ. iii. 4.



The Lat. $p$ : 'AZ. v. 4 ; נָפנוּ (L.

Lat. $f$ :


In LXX, $D$ is always transcribed by $\phi$, never by $\pi$, except חDB, and foreign names. So Jerome transcribes it always by $p h$, never by $f$, or $p$. He expressly states that only $\square$ in equals Lat. $p$.
49. Mutation between ב and 0 .





For the mutation between ב and I , cf. below, § 57 .
${ }^{1}$ Jastrow, Dictionary, s. v. derives it from סֵפֶּ.

## 5. The Sibilants $D, \Psi, ש \in, i, Y$.

50. MH has preserved the distinction between the three similar sibilants, $D, \dot{ש}, \dot{ש}$. Thus $ש$ is preserved in a considerable number

 words that have 6 in BH are regularly spelt in MH with $D$, no doubt owing to a change of sound, due probably to Aram. influence, from 6 to $D$. Thus, the following which have iv in




Jerome, however, mentions only the distinction between $D$ (samech) and $\boldsymbol{ש} \dot{(s i n})$. Perhaps his ear was not able to mark the finer distinction between the very similar sounds of iv and $D$. He represents these sounds, as well as y (sade) by $s$, owing, no doubt, to the poverty of the Latin alphabet in sibilants. Similarly, LXX transcribes these four sibilants by $\sigma$.
51. Transcription from Greek and Latin.
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ is very common for Gk. $\sigma$ : אִסְטִים ;
 cf. above, § 44. With $\boxplus$ for $\psi$, cf. above, § 48.
 AZ. i. 7 ; קוְפְסָ capsa, Kel. xvi. 7.
i represents Gk. $\zeta$ : Dif $\zeta \omega \mu$ ós, Pas. iii. ı ; ; But also $\sigma$ :
 Kel. xiv. 2 ;

Jerome transcribes $\boldsymbol{\dagger}$ by $\boldsymbol{z}$.

[^13]צ represents sometimes Gk. $\sigma$ : מַרְצֵ $\mu$ á $\rho \sigma v \pi o s$, Šab. viii. 5 ; אֶצְטְלִית ; $\sigma \tau 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{Yo}$ vii. I ; cf. above, § 44), Mid. ii. 5. Also Lat. s in קִצְרָ castra, 'Ar. ix. 6 ; (also
52.

Mutation of Sibilants.
D and שָּ

$D$ and $I:$ : ָָּ

 סֶ, Šebi. v. 4 ; 4 ;

צ and ט:

## 6. The Sonants $b, n, y, 7$.

53. ל represents Gk. $\lambda$, Lat. $l$ : לִבְלָר libellarius, Pea ii. 6. By mutation, also $\rho, r$ : אַסְ $\boldsymbol{\text { Ná }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \chi \chi$ á $\rho a$, Pes. vii. 2 ; מַרְגָּלִית margarita, Ab. vi. 9 ; מַרְקילִים Mercurius, 'AZ. iv. ı.

D represents Gk. $\mu$, Lat. $m$ : מַרְצִּ mappa, Ber. viii. 3. $D$ is sometimes assimilated with the following
 'AZ. v. 4.

J represents Gk. $\nu$, Lat. $n$ : אנְְטינְנוֹס napus, Kil. i. 3. It is also found for $\mu, m$ before a labial : אַגְּוֹרְטָ

${ }^{1}$ Albrecht's suggestion ( 84 e) that מִרְרָם may be an artificial form to distinguish it from מִדְרָשׁ Midrash, is altogether improbable. The verb דרם is extremely common in various connotations; cf. Kohut, iii, pp. 156-60.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. the transcription of Gr. $\sigma(=0)$ by i , above, § 5 I .
${ }^{3}$ Cf. also the transcription of Gr. $\sigma$, Lat. $s$ by $Y$, above, § 5 I,
7. The evidence of Jewish tradition and the pointing or the MSS. ${ }^{1}$ show that 7 was pronounced as a guttural, as in BH, causing the same vowel changes as the regular gutturals. But it was also pronounced as a lingual, as is shown by its mutalion with $ל$, as above, and below § 54 .

It represents Gk. $\rho$, Lat. $r$ : denarius, Ab. vi. 9 .

## Mutation of the Sonants.

 שׁׁלְשְׁלֶח chain, Kel. xiv. 3, BH
 Kot. ii. $\mathbf{1}$.
 ending $l$ - for $\mathrm{D}^{י} \ldots$; the pronominal suffix for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ masc. pl. f for D . (Cf. below, §§ 70, 281.)

## 7. Semi-vowels 1, ?

55. 1 . While still retaining its semi-vocal character, 1 appears to have been hardened into a real consonant. Hence, it is more frequent in MH as an initial letter than in BH. Thus, יִידּ confession, San. vi. 7 ; וֵָּאיא certain, Yo. viii. 8 ; וָָָ child (BH),
 jugular vein, Ḥul. ii. 4 ; plentiful, San. xi. 5, \&c. (Cf. below, § 168.)

The consonantal character of 1 is also shown by its mutation with soft Z ( $\mathbf{5}$ ), cf. below, § 57 .

In transcriptions the isometimes represents Lat. $v:$ : 1 : Velum,


$$
\text { ¹ e.g. עֵירַה, L., 'AZ. v. } 10 .
$$

${ }^{2}$ These may, however, belong to two different roots : של to draw,




Jerome renders i by $u$.
56. ' seems to have been pronounced very much like K (spiritus lenis). This explains its frequent mutation with $\kappa$. However, it never transcribes a Greek or Latin initial vowel, for which $\mathbb{N}$ is used, cf. above, § 42. But l is regularly used to represent the first of the two vowels in the Hiatus: אַמְסוֹנְיָא א
 אוֹלְיָּין olearius, Țoh. vii. 8 ;

Jerome transcribes ${ }^{\prime}$ by $i$.
57. When consonants, $1, \backslash$ are often written twice, to prevent
 to intend, Ter. iv. 4; חַּרָ
 "בְּרַ, worthy, i. 7 , for

## Mutations.


 to sprout ; 䨌



 ix. 3, from ’ạ, \&c. Cf. also the interchange between the third

${ }^{1}$ So L. and some other texts. Others, again, especially Bab. texts, have Cf. Kohut, i, pp. 166 f. Also שְצְבְהָה, אִסְטְבָה
${ }^{2}$ Cf. the commentaries, especially Maimonides, ad. loc.

${ }^{*}$ However, this interchange between' and $\mathcal{K}$, never occurs, as in Aram., at the beginning of a word; cf. Dalman, pp. 97-8.

## 8. The Letters $\mathcal{Z}, \lambda, 7, \beth, \varnothing, \Omega$.

59. The BH distinction between the hard and the soft sounds of these letters (dagesh and raphe) existed also in MH. This is proved by many considerations. Thus, a!l the various Jewish systems of pronunciation have preserved this distinction. It is also supported by the transcriptions of Greek and Latin words, and by the sound mutations in MH.

Thus, $\mathcal{I}$ represents $\beta, b(=\mathfrak{Z})$, and also $v, v(=\mathbf{j})$, §47. It interchanges with $1(=\overline{\mathrm{I}})$, § 57 .
$\lambda$ interchanges with $p=1, \S 44$, and also with $\Pi$ ( $=\overline{\mathrm{j}})$, § 42.
7 represents $\delta, d$, and also $\tau$, §45. It interchanges with $\boldsymbol{\nu}(=\boldsymbol{\top})$, and also with $\mathrm{i}(=\overline{7})$, § 46.

כ represents $\kappa, c(=9)$, and also $\chi$, $c h(=5)$, § 43. It interchanges with $p(=9)$, and also with $\Pi(=\overline{5})$, §§ 44, 42 .

D represents $\pi, p(=\mathbb{D})$, and also $\phi, f(=\bar{b})$, § 47 .
$n$ represents $\theta, t h(=\bar{n}), \S 45$. It interchanges with $\Delta(=\Pi), \S 46$.
60. The difference in the dagesh and raphe sounds was not, however, so marked as in some of the modern Jewish pronunciations. This is proved by the dictum in a late Baraita (Ber. 15 b), that in reading the Sema (Singer, pp. 40 f.) a pause must be

 produce an assimilation of the final letters of one word with the


## 9. Other Consonantal Changes.

 = עלקב ; to insult, Yo. 23 a= לערק, j. So. $19 \mathrm{a}, 2$ Chron. xxxvi. 16


 (xvii. 1).
${ }^{2}$ Cf. p. 279.
 Ab. iv. ir. Perhaps also רָ to wink, Git. v. 7, רָׁר (Job xv. in).
 BQ $4 \mathrm{a}, \& \mathrm{c}$. ${ }^{1}$
62. Rejection. (a) Aphaeresis. In addition to the rejection of initial weak consonants when without vowels in verbs ${ }^{\prime \prime} \square, \mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{D}$, \&c., as in BH (cf. below, §§ 165, 169 ; Ges.-K., § 19 h), MH shows aphaeresis also in a few other cases, viz. with the gutturals $\kappa, \pi, y, n$ (as preformatives), and preformative $D$ :



ח: = $=$ n martilege, Bek. vi. I (cf. L.).



Further, לִיָָה birth, Ket. iv. 3 = יְיִיָה (cf. below, § 228). קיחָה (c) leather thong, Kel. xvi. 4 ; taking, Qid. $2 \mathrm{a}=$ ? ? ? (cf. below, § 228 ).
63. (b) Syncope. MH shows the same cases of elision of a consonant in the middle of a word, as appear in BH (Ges.-K. § 19 k ). In addition syncope takes place in a number of compounds, e. $g$. אִ, Ab, Ab, iii. 2 ; its equivalent in Pal. texts:


1 חַרִי cannot be a contraction of (Levy i. 493 b), since it is in the construct state. Bacher's view (Aelleste Terminologie, pp. 46-8), that הֲרִי
 The two expressions are used in different types of texts with exactly the same significance.
${ }^{2}$ חרות = cistern, cited by Albrecht ( 89 a), rests upon a scribal error. With two exceptions (t. Oh. xii. 6), it is always הרות (also in plur. הדותיות t. BB iii. 1 ), and should be הַחַּהּת = הַהַּוּת, as in Syr. and Arab.; cf. Kohut, iii. $3^{2}$.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. the comment of Weiss, ad loc. (1. 46), and also Kohut, viii, p. 116 f .
 away $=$ 管 + עֶכְשָׁי now, 'AZ. i. r, a compound of uncertain composition (cf. below, § 296); the elision of $א$ in when joined as an enclitic,



Further examples of syncope of $k$ are found in in L. and BH, Ḥul. ii. 4, and in the popular بְאבָה = بְיבָה she wolf, j. Šeq. v. i.
64. (c) Apocope. The rejection of a final letter is found in





For elision of consonants in foreign words, cf. Krauss, LW i. §§ 214 ff. ; Albrecht, § 9 b.
65. Augmentation. As in BH, an $\mathbb{N}$ is sometimes prefixed




* The prosthetic $\mathbb{N}$ is especially frequent in the transcription of foreign words beginning with two or more consonants: אִסְטִָים =
 Dam. iii. 1 ; אַסְקוּטְלָא scutella, MQ iii. 7. So also before one
 Cf. Krauss, §§ 261 ff. ; Albrecht, § 10.
 (cf. below, § 274), and in the formation of Quadriliterals, below §107.

68. Softening of a sound, owing to dissimilation, is found in
 (cf. below, § 245).
 ישו הנוצרי, p. 237. These forms are, probably, caritatives.

# PART II <br> MORPHOLOGY 

## I. THE PRONOUN

## 1. The Personal Pronoun.

 quotations from the Bible, or in direct allusions to Biblical passages. ${ }^{1}$
 is not found in the later or popular BH books, like Canticles, Lamentations, Haggai, Zachariah i-viii, Ezra, Esther, and Qohelet; and only once in Ezekiel, Daniel, Nehemiah, and Chronicles. ${ }^{2}$ So also Aram. (with the exception of the Zinjirli dialect), ${ }^{3}$ Arabic and Ethiopic have forms corresponding to Moabite, and Phoenician use forms corresponding to אנכי only. In earlier Hebrew alone are the two forms found existing side by side, but אֲנִ, being the shorter of the two, gradually came to be employed more frequently, especially in colloquial speech, until the longer form disappeared entirely from common use.
68. The plural is always ${ }^{\text {inde. }}$. This form occurs, as is well
' e.g. San. vi. 2 ; Šab. 105 a. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Cf. BDB., p. 59 and reff.

- Cf. G. A. Cooke, N. Sem. Inscr., Nos. 61, 1. i, אנג ; 62, 1. אנכי ; but $63,1.20$, אנה. For the origin and mutual relation of אנכי cf. Stade, Heb. Gr., p. 135, and Barth, Pronominalbildung, pp. 3-4.

4 4 Authorized Daily Prayer Book, pp. 4, 51, \&c. So in P's. x. 5, but L. has ואנ is also found exceptionally in an ordinary passage, K't. x. a, but here also L. has אגו.
known, only once in BH, and then only in the Ketib (Jer. xlii. 6). which is rather remarkable, since the Ketib generally represents a more archaic type of language. אנְ seems to be a popular formation from 'אֻֻ, on the analogy of the plural forms of the

 the form crept into the Book of Jeremiah, but was struck out by the Massoretes as a vulgarism.

All other Semitic languages have forms corresponding to So especially the Aram. dialects, אנחנן, נחנא, אנחנא, and in the latest and most debased dialects אנן, with the syncope of the inaudible guttural. ${ }^{1}$
69. (2) In the 2nd pers. the fem., as in BH. earlier MH literature, and may always be due to scribal errors. It is, however, frequent in texts of a later date, particularly of Palestinian origin, and in the Haggadic Midrashim. This use must be considered an Aramaism (but sporadically also in BH).

The plural is אֵیֵ for the masc. Of the fem. plural, no example occurs in our literature. The form אֲת is also found

70. (3) The $3^{\text {rd }}$ pers. has for the masc., and $\boldsymbol{\text { היא }}$ for the fem. The plur. is הֵ, in respectively. הֵקָּ is found only in Biblical quotations (Ab. vi. 2).

The forms אیی for the and masc. plur., and especially for the $3^{\text {rd masc. plur., are also found, but they are merely due to a }}$ phonetic change common in MH (cf. above, §54). They may, however, be also due to the influence of Aram., in which these pronouns end in 1.
${ }^{1}$ Barth (op. cit., p. 6 (e)), holds that אנן is formed from אנא by the addition of the plur. suff. $\mathfrak{j}$, like אני from.
71. The Pronominal Suffixes. The pronominal suffixes are in MH the same as in BH. The $3^{r d}$ plur. masc. has, however, also here $\boldsymbol{l}$ for D . But often the reading is not certain, and varies from text to text. There are also examples of the masc. form used for
 Hal. i. 7.

## 2. The Demonstrative Pronoun.


The form ${ }^{1}$ for תאik occurs in BH in Hos. vii. 16 ; in the cycle of North Israelitish stories in the Book of Kings (ni, 2 Ki. vi. 19), both of which exhibit a number of dialectal peculiarities; also, in Ezekiel (ni, xl. 45), in a late psalm (if, Ps. cxxxii. 12), and six times in Qohelet.

It is, therefore, very probable that the shorter form $ה \boldsymbol{i}$, or $\uparrow$, existed from early times as a dialectal form in the northern speech, from which it gradually spread to the south, and in the course of time supplanted the longer form rit.
 The change of the second vowel may have been due to an unconscious desire to indicate more clearly the plural character of the word. The vowel chosen is the same as in שֵּ, discussed above, § 67 .
73. (2) BH has also preserved the demonstrative use of the



74. (3) The stronger BH demonstrative הַּלָּוֶה is found only in the shortened form הַלָּ (Judges vi. 20) for the masc., Toh. vi. 5, 8.


 It is only used substantivally, MŠ. iii. 2; San. viii 2, \&c. In Yeb. iii. 5, 'Ed. iv. 9, וְהַלָּה תֵצא מִשׁוּם stands also for the fem and that one goes forth ${ }^{2}$ as a wife's sister.
 מוֹpין הַּלָל
75. (4) The emphatic particle אֵת is also used as a demonstrative, either alone or with pronominal suffixes, e.g. אֶת שֶׁלְפְּי הַמִּפָה וְצֶת ;שֶׁלּאחר הַמִּטָה those before the bier and those behind the bier, Ber. iii. 1 ; אוֹתָּה הַחַּלָה וְאוֹתוֹ הַסֵּרֶר that loaf and that order, Men. ii. 2.
78. (5) The Article. In the form and use of the Article, MH agrees with BH. See further in the Syntax (\$§ 373-78).

## 3. The Relative Pronoun.

77. The relative is in MH everywhere שֶׁ. Nְשֶׁ occurs only in quotations (Pe. ii. 2 from Isa. vii. 25; So. ix. 5 from Deut. xxi. 3, \&c.), and in early liturgical language, which is conceived in an elevated and semi-Biblical strain ; thus, in the Passover Haggadah,
 p. 96); and often in Benedictions,
 and a few more. Elsewhere (p. 239), and in Benedictions, Ber. vi. 3 ; vii. 3 ; ix. Iff.; Singer, pp. 5 f., 276, 290, 291, \&c.
78. In BH the form occurs twice in the Song of Deborah
 Gideon, a North Israelitish hero; once in the North Israelitish
 which was later strengthened by the addition of Tr , op. cit., pp. $78,105 \mathrm{f}$.
 but L. has הַּ הַּ
section of the Book of Kings; exclusively, except in the late superscription, in the collection of popular songs known as Canticles, which probably originated in Northern Israel ; sporadically in the later BH books, and very frequently in Qohelet (cf. Ges.-K., § 36). Now, whatever the relation of the two forms to each other, ${ }^{1}$ there can be no doubt that $\underset{\sim}{ש}$ שi is as old as confinement in the earlier books of the Bible to North Israelitish documents would prove that its use must have been common in the colloquial speech of Northern Palestine, under the influence, to some extent at least, of the Phoenician $\mathbb{K},{ }^{2} ש$, the Assyrian $¥ a$, and, perhaps, also the Aram. $\uparrow$, די. The scarcity of its occurrence even in these documents must be explained by the assumption that it was regarded as a vulgarism which the literary language had to avoid. Its use gradually extended to Southern Palestine, and being the shorter and more pliable form, it must in the course of time have entirely supplanted the longer $\overbrace{v \in \sim}^{\sim}$ in the language of the common people, and from this it descended directly to MH. But the literary prejudice against it seems to have remained even after BH had ceased to be a living speech. Hence its nonoccurrence in Esther, its scarcity in Chronicles, and the anxiety to avoid it which is displayed by a studious imitator of the ancients like Sirach, and even by such an independent mind as the author of Qohelet. ${ }^{3}$

## 4. The Possessive Pronoun.

79. Possession is very frequently expressed in MH by the
 texts this combination is still attached to the noun which it governs,

[^14] noun by the scribes, and now appears as an independent particle
 have become regular possessive pronouns. Cf. Ab.v. 10 ; BM i. 5 , \&c. See further in the Syntax, §§ 406-9.

## 5. The Interrogative Pronoun.


(2) By prefixing the interrogative particle ' K to the demonstrative אֶ, which? This is often strengthened by the enclitic היא, with which it is often written as one word, and the final $\kappa$ omitted: איֶֶה, Zeb. v. I ; איזוֹהי, Kel. xvii. 6. In the older texts, however,
 cf. L., loc. cit.

Prepositions are attached to
 Jer. v. 2.
81. The plural of אֵי אֵלּ is which is found only once in MH literature, viz. Naz. 6 b ( . . . אי אیלּ הֵן ָָמים ). Elsewhere it is contracted to איאיל, spelt אֵל through the ignorance of the scribes, who confused it with the demonstr. plur. .א.2 This confusion may account for the scarcity of this form in our literature. Perhaps the Rabbis, who had a passion for lucidity and precision, deliberately avoided it for fear of this confusion with the demonstr.
 when found are his [the finder's], and which is he bound to


[^15]consider which are the stones that are likely to have been broken,
 and it is not known which had changed and which had advanced? t. Neg. ii. 7; בְּאלּו מַשְׁקִין אָמָרו In the case of which fluids have they said it? Maks. iii. 2 ; בְּאיל וְרָרִים אָמָרו In the case of which
 אֵּרְ In the case of which intestines have they said it? t. Hul.
 they come before the Almighty ? Posiqta, § 25 ; עַל אֵלי עֲמָרים נֶחֵלָ Over which sheaves did they dispute? t. Pe. iii. 2. So probably also עֲל אֵלוּ מוּמִים ששוֹחְטִיֹ אֶת הַבְּכוֹר Over which blemishes may one slay the first-born animal? Bek. vi. ı; לְאֵילו נְאֲמִין Whom shall we believe? Pesiqta Rab., p. 138 b.
82. We can trace clearly in BH the development of this new interrogative. In הֵי וֶה בֵּית הָּאֶא, i Sam. ix. 18, the emphasis is on אֵיֵ (یֵה =ֵֵ), while serves merely as an enclitic particle to give directness to the question, and the proper answer to the

 2 Kings iii. 8, Which way shall we go up?, as is shown by the
 existed side by side, until, finally, the older usage disappeared, and یיזֶה ceased to be an interrogative of place, and became a pure interrogative pronoun or adjective. So in Qoh. ii. 3, and, especially, in xi. 6, where it stands before a verb, אֶּ צֶּ יְְשׁר, and is used exactly as in MH. ${ }^{2}$
e.g. Pea i. I; BB. iii. 7. The interrogative style is exceedingly common in MH.

${ }^{2}$ See further the writer's remarks, $\mathcal{F} Q R$., $\mathrm{xx}(\mathrm{I} 908$ ), p. 651 f.

## II. THE VERB

## A. General Survey.

In all Semitic speech the verb forms the backbone of the language. A detailed study of the MH verb may, therefore, help us to a correct estimate of the -character of the MH idiom, and of its relation to BH . In what follows we shall review the MH verb both from the lexical and the grammatical side.

## 1. Lexical.

83. Of the 1,350 verbs which are found in the Lexicon of BH MH has lost 250 verbs, and gained 300 new verbs. The majority of these lost verbs will be found on examination to consist of rare and poetical expressions which from an early date may have been confined to literature, or to certain dialects, and were rarely, or never, used in the normal language of every-day speech. A smaller, but still considerable number of verbs (over 50) consists of common and prosaic BH words which, for some reason, were lost in MH, and replaced by other equivalent verbs. A third group is of special interest: it consists of about 50 verbs which are common to both BH and Aram., but yet have not been preserved in MH, a fact which tells against the theory that MH is but an artificial conglomeration of BH and Aram.

In what follows will be found a classified list of BH verbs lost in MH.
(A) BH Verbs not found in MH.
84. (1) Rare or poetical expressions: ${ }^{1}$ און weigh, אנש, אנק, , גור ,גהר ,גהה ,בתק ,בלק ,בלג ,בחל ,אפף
${ }^{1}$ In this group have been entered verbs that cannot be included in any of the following groups. Groups (2), (4), (5), and (6) also contain numerous rare and poetical forms. The English equivalents have been given only where they are necessary for the identification of the verb. For the meaning of the other verbs in these lists, the reader is referred to the Dictionaries. For the purpose of this survey, Aram. verbs found in BH are regarded as BH verbs.
 חול be strong, חטטץ be red, חמטם be ruthless, חמטץ be



 עוח be dark, עוף ,ערר , עעה be exposed, עור turn aside,


 reel, שאחה, רתם, רצר, , שעו , שוק, שוע, שגל, שור travel,
 תאה.
85. (2) Verbs common to BH and Aram. : אלף, אלם , אזל,









 שלרה.
(4) Denominative Verbs: אפר, , עסס, נָכר ,נהר , מָהַר ,לחם ,גבל, קרש, עלן , עפש.
87. (5) BH Verbs used in MH in cognate roots only : יעף = , סוך ; נכה-נכא ; רקק-ירק ; ירה-ירא ; קוץ ; עיקץ ; נפח-יפח, עיף
 .תקן-תכן ;תלה-תלא ;רום-רמם ;רמו-רזם ;נקר-קור
88. (6) The following is a list, by no means exhaustive, of the





 -נגש ; מרר-מרה ; הוחלק-מער ; כסה-לאט ,לוט ; העמיד-נצב
 , חכה ;משכן-ערב ,עבם ; שוטה-סכל ; גרר-סחב ;השתחוה-קדו ; קור ; ; עשה-פעל ; פגע-קרה ,פגש ; שמחח-גיל ,עלס ,עלו ; ;עו ; המחן-קוה -משל ,שוה ; כחש-רזה ; עני-דלל ,ריש ; הרג-קטל ; התענה-צום ; צום ; דין - פלל ,שפט ; דמה
89. Of the 300 new verbs in MH, only a very small proportion are loan words from Aram. The majority are old Hebrew expressions which occur in BH either in cognate verbal roots, or in derivatives, usually substantives. A further large number of these new verbs are real denominatives which are consciously formed by MH from nouns found in BH. Of the residue which cannot be traced to BH sources, a very large proportion belong to the original stock of the old Hebrew vocabulary, which by mere accident have not been preserved in the scanty and fragmentary remains of BH literature. Some of these are found also in Aram. and in other Semitic languages, and are thus of a general Semitic character. Others are found in MH and in Aram. only, and are, probably, at least in most cases, as original in MH as in Aram. Others, again, are peculiar to MH, or may be also found in other Semitic languages, but not in Aram. The remainder (about thirty verbs) are direct borrowings from Aram., and a few more are derived from Greek.

It will, therefore, be seen that the Aram. influence on the lexical character of the MH verb is far less extensive than has been
supposed. It may safely be said that the MH influence on the Aram. vocabulary was greater than that of Aram. on MH, particularly in the sphere of religion and the higher life.

We give in what follows a classified list of the new verbs in MH. ${ }^{1}$

## (B) MH Verbs not found in $B H$.

90. (r) MH verbs, found in BH in a different form.




 -פשר ; בקע-פקע ; פקח-פכח ;עקל-עקם ;עבש-עפש ; עשקו-עסק גרר; גרר ; כפל-קפל ; קמט-קמע ; קמץ-קמז :קצע-קוטע ;פתר ; T.נתש-תשש ; נפח-תפח ;ריקם-ריקן
(c) By transposition of sound: עלנ ; חרג-חגר ; זרק-וקר עצם -עמץ ;לעב
91. (2) MH verbs found in BH in derivatives: אחה, אגר,





 שפעע, שחף ,שחן, שגר ,רחת ,רטם ,רהם ,קרש, קלט ,קלח ,צרך

[^16]92. (3) Denominative Verbs.













 Perhaps also רמץ, רוק, and a few more.
(d) From the Greek, cf. below, § 96.
93. (4) Old Hebrew verbs not found in Aram.: (חרס) הרס),



94. (5) Old Hebrew verbs found also in Aram: אמר (or עמר),



95. (6) Old Hebrew verbs found also in other Semitic languages


 תקל stumble.







97. (9) A few new formations are of an onomatopoetic character : קשקׁש or knock, שטפש chatter, babble, קעקע cackle, קרקר shake, knock. Perhaps also שכשך to drip, כסכם to chew, לגלג to mock.
98. A large number of verbs which MH has inherited from BH., have undergone certain changes in usage and meaning. Thus, many verbs which were common in BH have become rare in MH. Most of these belong to the elevated diction of BH literature. But a considerable number are quite ordinary prosaic expressions which for some reason or other went out of ordinary use in MH. Again, a number of verbs which were rare in BH have become very common in MH. Many of these will be found to belong also to the Aram. vocabulary. Finally, many verbs have developed in MH a new significance, sometimes analogous to their usage in Aram., sometimes of a technical or legal character Often this new significance is expressed by a new verbal stem not found in BH (cf. below, §§ 108 ff .). A small group of verbs is of special interest. These have preserved in MH their primary significance, while in BH they only occur in a derived and secondary sense.

## (C) BH Verbs with a different usage in MH

99. (1) Verbs more common in BH than in MH: אטם, (צעק =) זעק , זעם ,זכך, הרס ,הטם ,המה ,הדר ,הגה ,בחר ,בהל, ארב
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, Lehnwörter, i, pp. 144-52. His etymologies, which are not always reliable, shoald be checked by Kohut and, especially, by Jastrow.





 עטף be faint, עלף , עלץ , עצב be grieved, עצם be strong, ערץ, עתק, ערץ,


 ששוט ,ששרד, שגב ,רעש, רעץ ,רער ,רנן ,רמס ,ריב , be at rest רגע
 delight, תעב.
100. (2) Verbs more common in MH than in BH : בדה, אנס,




 (כרסם = ) קרטם ,קרם ,קפר.
101. (3) Verbs which show a change of meaning in MH:







 ; צרף ,צער, צלל ,צחח ; פשם ,פרע ,פקר ,פסל, ,פנה ,פלל ,פטר ,פוג

[^17]


102. (4) Verbs with the primary meaning in MH and a secondary meaning in BH .

כסף BH long, MH grow pale; מהל BH mix, MH circumcise ; עין BH look with envy, MH look intently ; סלר BH spring back, MH be scalded ; DH bristle up with fear, MH stud with nails ; פלט BH escape, MH discharge, spit out ; פצע BH bruise, MH split; פקח BH open the eye, MH open up; פרר BH frustrate, annul, MH break, crumble ; פמש BH part, open wide, MH split, cut ; צנע BH be modest, MH hide, retire ; cf. also דלִק ,געל, בצע

## 2. Grammatical

103. The differences between the BH verb and the MH verb are as marked on the grammatical side as on the lexical side. Many verbs in MH show a loss of verbal stems found in BH. Thus, the Qal has been lost in most verbs of an intransitive character, especially in the Babylonian dialect of MH. Of the Pual only the participle has been preserved as a living form. Formations that are rare in BH, like the Poiel, Pol'el, and their derivative stems, have either disappeared entirely, or survived in only a few verbs. The common and regular stems have disappeared in those verbs in which their occurrence was rare in BH.

Against these losses, MH can, however, show some important gains. It has increased its quadriliteral formations, often, no doubt, under Aram. influence, either by reduplication, Pitlel, Pilpel, \&c., or by augmentation, Šaf'el, Pirel, \&c. Further, MH has extended the use of Piel to ע ע verbs, again often under Aram. influence. Finally, MH has applied the common and regular stems to many verbs in which these stems were lacking in BH.
104. In the field of syntax, MH has lost many constructions which imparted much beauty and distinction to BH , such as the use of the cohortative and jussive, and of the consecutive tenses; the manifold applications of the perfect and imperfect ; the variety of constructions with the infinitive, \&c. On the other hand, MH has developed and extended constructions which were rare or unknown in BH, e.g. the rise of a present tense in the participle ; its use with the auxiliary verb ${ }_{\text {NT }}$ तָ ; the combination of two verbs to express continuous and progressive action (cf. below, §§ 364-5), and the periphrasis of the future with עִָָיר.

For these syntactical changes in MH the reader is referred to the Third Part of this work (§§ 306 ff .). On the Pu'al, cf. below, §§ 125-30. Here we append tables showing the changes which the verb has undergone in MH in regard to stem formation.

## (A) BH. Slems not found in MH.

105. (1) Formations that are rare in BH.



Pollal: רוע, רום ,עול ,חול.
Pi'lel: צמת , נאוה) נאה).
Péalal: ימה, ימה.
Tiphel : ל $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\lambda}$.


Hithpalpel: חות.
Hothpael : טמא , דשן ,כבס.
106. (2) Regular stems of rare occurrence in BH in particular verbs:




 רתח ,קפד ,קהה, עתר ,נכה, אכה,







107. (3) Qal of the following intransitive verbs. This is usually expressed in MH by the corresponding adjective with היה, e.g. היה חולה ,היה טמא, or in some cases by the Internal Hiphil, האדים, הואיץ ; הגריל ; or by the Hithpael, הורקן, התגאה, התאבל,



(B) $M H$ stems not found in $B H$.
108. (1) Quadriliterals. These forms are found also in BH and in other Semitic languages. ${ }^{1}$ MH has more of these forms than BH, but, unlike Aram., it has exercised a certain restraint in their formation. ${ }^{2}$
(a) By Reduplication.
109. Doubling the third radical (Pílel), ערוטם , ערבב.
110. By repeating the whole root, only in verbs ע ע ע"ע and




[^18](b) By Augmentation.

1. The Causative stem Šaphel, cf. below, §§ 149,150 .
2. By inserting 7 after the first radical: קרסם, Cf. also קרול (קורזל (קורז rounded, t. Sab. xiii. 17); ר added at the end: קנתר (קנםר, Aram. loan-word). Further with at the end: פרסם from פרשׂ = פרס. With $D$ at the end: פרנם ,פרכם. Cf. Jastrow, s. vv.
(c) Denominatives: שרבט ,כרכם ,כרכב ,אשכן, Cf. above, з 92.
3. (2) The Intensive stem (Pi'el, \&c.) in verbs ע״" ע״,



4. (3) Application of the regular stems to verbs in which they were lacking in BH.








 רקם ,רכם, רדר ,רגש, ,רבע, ,קמץ ,צנע, צמק ,צבה ,פרשׁ, ,פרשׁ


 שום , שקע, שמד ,שבח, שאר ,רמה,
Hithpa'el (Nithpa'el): אבק , אהב , ארש , ארח , אכל) , אכל (ארס),










 שחר (be black), תאם שלש,

## B. The Stems.

I. The Simple Stem.
111. (1) The Qal. The Qal is identical, both in form and meaning, with the Qal in BH. The form Qatel is exhibited in
 Ab. ii. 14 ; קָּ, Pes. ix. 61, \&c. Qatol is found only in the common verb יָּיָ. These, however, occur only as participles.
112. The Participle coincides almost completely with the partic. in BH. The fem. sing. ends in $\pi$, e.g. גוֹשֶׁרֶח ; robbing

 it ends in $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ :

 giving, i. e. trading, Šebu. vii. 8.
113. The Partic. of intransitive verbs, being treated as an adjective, forms the fem. with טְמֵאה : unclean, Nid. iii. r ; שְּלָה ceases, Ab. ii. 2 ; קְרִיבָה comes near, Pes. ix. 6, \& 'c.

The Passive Partic., which always expresses in MH a state or condition, is also treated almost like an adjective, and the fem.
sing. always ends in n , ib. i. 2; ;
114. In this frequent use of the older termination $\pi$ for the fem. sing., MH has remained faithful to the BH tradition, in which this termination is far more common than the younger termination $\boldsymbol{n}$ (cf. Ges.-K., § 94 d ). In Aram., on the other hand, the fem. partic. always ends in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}$.
115. (2) Niphal. The Niphal also agrees in form and in meaning with the Niph'al in BH.

In the Infinitive the preformative $n$ is usually elided after the $b$. In most texts the short $i$ of the $\zeta$ is then written plene with ', in order, presumably, to differentiate it from the inf. Qal. Thus,


 ליקיָּקל to be stoned, San. vi. 6.

The full form is, however, also common, especially in weak







116. In one case, the frequently occurring technical expression חהּקַּר (derived from Num. xv. 3I, \&c.), the preformative $n$ is dropped even when not preceded by b : Often, however, particularly when preceded by 2 , and especially in Palestinian texts, the full form חהָּּרת is found: Hal. i. 2 ; Pes. ix. I; Keri. ii. 6, \&c.
117. This elision of the $\boldsymbol{i}$ is merely an extension to the Inf. when preceded by a preposition, of the phonetic princ:ple followed
in the formation of the Imperfect. Cf. also the elision of the article after a preposition, and other cases of the elision of the $\boldsymbol{B}$ in Ges.-K., § 23 k . It is found in the Inf. Niph. in isolated cases also in BH (Ges.-K., § 5 I l., 53 q.), and may have been quite common in the colloquial language.
118. The fem. Partic. always ends in $\pi$, even in verbs "ע,
 to be sold, Ket. iii. 7 ; נִ, נְ, to be fed, Ket. xii. 2; to be read, Meg. i. ı ; צֶהֶנית to enjoy, Ned. vii. 9.

## Signification of the Niphial.

119. (i) Reflexive : נֶּחְלְקי they divided themselves-disagreed,
 enter, Ned. x. 4 ; بִמְני to count oneself, Šab. i. 4 ; بְפְטָרִיז to depart, ${ }^{1}$


In a few cases it is found in a tolerative sense: נְינְב to allow oneself to be stolen from, t. BQ vii. 8 ;
 Sifre, Num. xxv. I.
120. (ii) Middle: קֶהֵֶֶה to enjoy, Ab. iv. 5 ; Ber. iii. 5 : נִמְלך to change one's mind, Dam. iii. 2 ; נִפְרע to obtain payment, Ab. iii. 16 ; נִשְׁאַל to consult, MQ iii. ı; נִשְּנְּרַ
 Šab. 33 b.
 to be finished, Pea iv. 8 ; , ִִּשְׁבּ to be lain with, San. 54 b. ${ }^{4}$

As passive to Piel :

[^19](cf. ib. 5). To Hiphil : بִּשְ to be cleansed by boiling, j. Ter. xi. 5 (cf. 'AZ. v. i2).
 A deaf mute makes signs (with his hand or head), and signs are made to him . . ., makes signs with his mouth, and signs are made to him, ${ }^{1}$ Git. v. 7.

Denominative verbs in the Simple Stem are but few. Examples




## 2. The Intensive Stem.

122. (1) $P i^{*} e l$. The Piel is identical with the BH Piel, except that it is often spelt with ' after the short hireq. This is merely an orthographical device to prevent its confusion with the Qal, and has no grammatical significance whatever. ${ }^{2}$

The fem. of the Partic. sing. ends in $\pi$, as מְבֶשֶׁלֶ cooking,



## Signification of the Piel.

 to commit fornication (repeatedly), San. vii. 5 ; שְחַַּשְחָּ she covets



[^20]124. (ii) Causative: טיהּרְתֶּם ye have declared to be clean,

 caused her to be pregnant, Yeb. vii. 5 ; לְשׁׁex to cause to forget,
 be deaf, BQ 86 a.

 Some of these have a privative force: pocien to remove ashes,


 remove vermin, j. Šab. vii. 2. ${ }^{1}$
126. (2) Puial. Of the Pu'al only the Partic. is common. Of the other parts of the stem only the following examples have been preserved: אוֹרַ it happened, t. Šebu. 1, 2 ; חוּיבְנג we were made liable, Mak. 3 a; :יְחהּ to be rendered common, Qid. 77 a; יחוְנְ

 to be taken away, ${ }^{5}$ Kel. iii. 2 ; תְּעוּבּר צוּרָת let its form be made to pass away, ${ }^{6}$ Pes. vii. 9 ;
${ }^{1}$ Cf. with BH, Ges.-K., § 52 f -h.
${ }^{2}$ In elevated style. The whole passage is a later addition to the Mishna, not found in the Editio Princeps. The form may, however, be Po'al. L. has ויחנגו, Hoph'al.
 The form יפה is given in all texts in the same phrase in $K^{\circ} t$. viii. 4, where it is also parallel to הורע. Cf. also Krauss, ZDMG., lxvii. 732.

4 So in most texts. In L. the whole passage is missing.
${ }^{5}$ Some texts, including L., reads ניטל.
${ }^{6}$ This is a common technical expression in connexion with sacrifices. Cf. the active עִ, yִy reading of the Pu'al is not, however, quite certain. T. has תעיבר, תעבר, Pos. vi. 6, \&cc.
 following are doubtful: , נְטְמִאו
 enticed, Gen. R., § 7 I.
127. The finite parts of the Pual have been replaced by the Hithpael (Nithpael), which latter has greatly extended its usage as a passive, in the same way as the old passive of Qal was already in BH replaced by its corresponding reflexive, Niphal. The Partic. alone has been preserved in the Pu'al as a living form, but only in an adjectival sense to express a state or condition. ${ }^{3}$ Thus, the Partic. Pual bears the same relation to Piel as the passive -partic. Qa!ul bears to the Qal (cf. above, § 112 ).
128. In the formation of the fem. sing. of the Partic., the same rule is observed as in the Piel. The short vowel $\grave{u}$ is regularly followed by 1 , to prevent confusion with the Partic. Pieel.
129. The following are examples of the Partic.: מַאוּכָּלוֹת consumed, Tam. i. 4 ; טְבְצֶֶֶ fortified (of an eruption surrounded by sound



${ }^{1}$ An imitation of BH derived from Esther ii. 23. This Baraita, so different from the ordinary oral Baraita, seems to be an extract from a historical chronicle. It exhibits a number of other imitations, such as the use of the consecutive tenses (cf. below, \& 156 ), and poetical expressions. Cf. also above, 816 .
${ }^{2}$ In some texts קפלה and הבהבה, Šab. 33, 3, are also pointed as Pu'al:
 vi. $I$ in L.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. MŠ. v. it, where שְ joined to the soil, is parallel to plucked from the soil, and both these participles are parallel to $\underset{\sim}{\square}$ So. ib. 6 מְתָּעְעִרִים has the force of a present participle, 'may be cleared away', whereas מְבֶּרֶ, represents a past participle, expressing a condition. almost like an adjective, 'cleared away'. Cf. also the adjectival use of מֶוֹן

 מְתחּקּן

130. In a few cases the preformative $D$ has been dropped:
 Ber. vii. 3 ; Dam. v. 5, \&c. ; עוּבּרוֹת = טְעוּבָּרוֹ ת pregnant women,
 abominable, 'Er. 68 b. Cf. above, § $6_{2}^{2}$. So sometimes also in BH, Ges.-K., § 52 s.
131. The disappearance of the finite parts of the Pual, and their replacement by the originally reflexive Nithpa ${ }^{\circ}$ el, is evidently due to the decay of the inflexional power of the language. It was no longer capable of expressing the modification of the stem idea by internal change alone without the aid of external additions to the stem. The partic. with its firm preformative has been preserved, and even extended to newly acquired verbs. But the imperfect, with its continually changing preformatives, and, especially, the perfect which has no preformatives at all, were no longer able to maintain themselves. This decaying tendency was already strong in BH, in which the finite parts of the Pual are very scarce, as compared with other stems. ${ }^{2}$ The same tendency was at work in the disappearance of the old passive of the Qal, ${ }^{3}$ and its replacement by the reflexive Niphal.

The same phenomenon appears in all the other Semitic languages. In Assyrian the reflexive stems were gradually supplanting the passives. In Ethiopic, Modern Arabic, and Aramaic the passive forms have disappeared, and been replaced by the corresponding

[^21]reflexives. ${ }^{1}$ MH, however, did not go so far, since it has preserved remnants of the Pu'al, and in addition has retained the Hoph'al as an active and living stem in all its parts.
132. (3) Hithpaiel-Nithpael. The reflexive of the intensive stem in MH is, with a few exceptions, Nithpa'el instead of the BH Hithpa'el. These two formations are identical both in form and in meaning, except that in the perfect the one has $n$ as the preformative, the other J . There can be no doubt that they are really one and the same stem. The preformative - $\rightarrow$ was in the course of time changed in popular speech into - $?$, on the analogy of Niphial. In the popular mind in became associated with the causative idea, through the influence of Hiphil, Hophal, and -? with the reflexive idea, through the influence of Niph'al. This change extended only to the perfect. For in the imperfect there is no room for either of these preformatives, and in the infinitive and imperative Niph'al itself has - $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, hence also Hithpael-Nithpaael has retained - (e.g. לְהִחְּמְּל to magnify one-
 In the participle the preformative - p has maintained itself, in spite of the Niph'al partic. נקטל, through its firmly established nominal force. Besides, it would be unreasonable to expect that an analogy-formation of this kind should be worked out to its full logical extreme.
133. The preformative -? survives only in a few cases: וְהִשְׁתחMְוָה and he bowed down, Bik. iii. 6 (probably a reminiscence of


[^22]



The Nithpa'el agrees with the BH Hithpa'el both as regards its meaning and its formation (cf. Ges.-K., § 54).
134. Formation. The preformative syllable is, as stated above,一 נְִ in the perfect, in the other parts it is exactly like Hithpa'el in BH . The fem. sing. of the participle follows the rules given above (§§ 112, 122).

The phonetic rules regulating the preformative are the same as in BH. (i) When the first radical is a sibilant $i, D, y, ש$, the $\pi$ is transposed after the sibilant. In the case of the $i$, the $\Omega$ is changed into 7, as in Aram. (cf. Dan. ii. 9), and of Y, into $ט$.


 to be left over, Yeb. viii. 2.
135. (ii) When the first radical is $7, \Delta$, or $\pi$, the preformative $\pi$ is assimilated to the first radical. The short $\bar{i}$ of the preformative syllable is then often written with , as in Piel:
 to be purified, Yo. viii. 9 ; ${ }^{2}$ to become unclean, Hag. iii. 2 ;
 Mog. iv. I.

Assimilation of the $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ is sometimes found also with other con-
 become holy, 'Or. iii. 3.

[^23]Signification of Nithpacel. The Nithpa'el bears the same relation to the Piel as the Niph'al to the Qal.
138. (i) It is primarily Reflexive : מְחאֵֵֵּק to cover one self with
 rub oneself, BQ iv. 6; לְהחקְבּפּת to cover oneself, Ned. vii. 3 ;

 strengthened by the addition of a reflexive pronoun: תן מחתעשְמִין מֵیְלִיחֶן they shut of themselves, Šab. 15 r b.
137. (ii) Internally reflexive, or in a middle sense: :
 Zeb. x. 8 (cf. Ezra iii. 5 ; 1 Chron. xxix. 17); ; תּתָּ to despair,
 Further, the performance of an act in which the subject is interested, which thus assumes a purely active significance : חִחְקֵּל to receive (for oneself), Ket. ix. 8.
138. (iii) Inchoative, to describe the entry into a new state

 became proselytes, Ket. iii. 1; נִתְחָרשׁ to bécome deaf-mute,

 become mad, Git. ii. 6 ;
139. (iv) Reciprocal: נִתָעְרְבג they become mixed up one with
 T however, the reciprocal idea is strengthened by the addition of


 from each other.
140. (v) Finally, the Nithpa ${ }^{\circ}$ el is extensively used in a passive sense, serving as a substitute for the Pual (§ 127 ); ; boiled, Ned. vi. 6 ;
 be tried, Ab. v. 3; נִתִּבְּעִ

 to the Piel active : קווץ , פתה ,פצע, ,נסה, מעך ,חלל , בשל , (cf. MQ 6 a), קדש.

This passive use of a reflexive stem is common to all Semitic languages in their later stages, cf. above, § 131. In BH it is comparatively rare in the Hithpa ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{el}$, cf. Mic. vi. 16 ; Prov. xxxi. 30 ; Qoh. viii. 10, and the cases cited in the Note to § 133. See Ges.-K., § 54 g .
141. (4) Póel, Po'al, and Hithpo'el. These stems, already rare in BH, have practically disappeared in MH (cf. above, § 105). Pool is found in a few ע"ע verbs, cf. below, § 19r. In the strong verb it is found only in the forms $\begin{gathered}\text { nemen gate-keepers, by }\end{gathered}$
 empty, Lev. R. 24, a secondary form of ? ? San. 60 b. This is
 no trace is to be found in MH. The Hithpoel is found as Nithpoel in a few $y^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime}$ verbs, cf. below, § 191, and in the form נִחְרוֹקְנָה she was made emply, Ned. x. 2.

## 3. The Causative Stem.

(1) Hiphit. The Hiphil is identical with the Hiphil in BH, both in form and in meaning.
142. The preformative $\kappa$, instead of $\pi$, is found in some texts in אוֹנִיתַני , הוֹנִיחַנִי

${ }^{2}$ But the verb is in the Pi'el :
xxii. 20, \&c.), BM iv. 4, no doubt on the analogy of the cognate verbal noun אוֹנָאָ, ib. (L הֹאָאָה). For BH cf. Ges.-K., § $53 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{p}$.
143. In the Infinitive the $ה$ is elided in

 for BH Ges.K., § 53 q. Elsewhere the $ה$ is preserved : לְהבבְעִית to frighten, Yo. v. 1 ; לְהחחְמִיר to be strict; לְהָאל to be lenient, Kil. ii. 2 ; לְהבְִִים to bring in, Ket. vi. 3 ; לְהַצִִיע to hide, Dam. iii. 3, \&c.
144. The fem. sing. of the Partic. follows the rule given above, § 112: מַּשְׁבּחַ causing to forget, Ab. ii. 2; מַחְרעח blowing the horn (denom. of תרועה, cf. above, § 92), Ta'a. iii. 3, 4; but מְרֵעָה ; doing good, San. vii. ıo; מְטִיבָה doing evil, San. vii. 10.

The shortened Hiphil (Jussive) is rare : mayest thou cause to dwell, Ber. 16 b ; אַל תָאֲמֵן do not believe, Ab. ii. 4 (L most

145. Signification. (i) Usually Causative : לְהְבְטִיל to cause to cease, Suk. v. 5 ; מַpp to make to read, to read to, Bik. iii. 7 ;
 ix. 4, \&c.
146. (ii) Inchoative, or Internally Causative, describing the entry into a state or condition. This usage is even more frequent in MH than in BH (cf. Ges.-K., §53e). Exx.: : יְבְיִיא to become healthy, Šab. xix. 5 ; הִבְרִיקָה to become bright (euphemisticallyblind), BM vi. 2 ; תַגְּדְּל to grow up, come of age, Ket. vi. 6;



${ }^{1}$ ל לשטם , cited by Stein (p. 10 b) and by Albrecht ( $\$ 101$ b) is a noun, for a spread, or mat, cf. Bertinoro to Hel. ix. 3 .
to become old, rotten, sour, BQ ix. 2 ; הִקְצִירו , הִשְׁחִירו to become black, short, Neg. i. 5 ; הֶאֵריכו, הִלִבְּנו to become white, long, ib. 6.
 to begin, Šab. 1, 2 ; אהצבעבְּנְיעו (
 south, north, BB 53 b, \&c.
147. (2) Hophial. The Hophal is extremely common. It differs in no respect from the BH Hophal, except that it takes the vowel $\check{u}$ after the preformative, always written plene with 1 : - $i n$, — $i b,{ }^{1}$ \&c., even in strong verbs, instead of the usual BH hö. This form of the vowel is found occasionally in BH in strong verbs (Ges.-K., § 53 s ), and regularly in most of the weak verbs, viz. the Pual it must have become in the popular mind the only characteristic vowel of the passive stems, resulting in the total suppression of the vowel $\delta$.
148. Examples of Hophal : הּחְחְ to be made strong, confirmed,


 be deposited with a third party, Ket. vi. 7 ; הוּרע to become bad,
 v. 8 ; מוּדֶּר to be forbidden by vow ; מוּרְרָש to be separated, Ned.

 to be destroyed, ' $A Z$ iii. 9, \& c.
149. (3) Saphiel. This old stem is found in the verb ${ }^{2}$

[^24]
 Sopherim i. I.
150. (4) Saphel. The causative stem Šaphel is found in the following verbs: שִׁחְחר to liberate, manumit; to enslave,
 be dim, dull; and ; to be much, great.

Examples. Active: : Yob. xi. 5 ; Passive Participle:




Active: שְׁשְׁעִבְּרִ RH iii. 8; Passive: mortgaged, Ket. ix. 7, 8; Nistaphel :


 became prolonged, So. 53 a. ${ }^{1}$

These formations are found in all Semitic languages, including BH. ${ }^{2}$ Nevertheless, these verbs may, perhaps, be loan-words in MH from Aram.

## C. The Tenses.

The inflexion of the verb in MH follows generally the verbal inflexion in BH. The following few variations may be noted.
151. (1) The second masc. sing. of the perfect is sometimes

${ }^{1}$ In the partic. משְלַקְטְטִ to cause birds to pick up corn from the hand, we have a secondary Hiph iil of לקט with the retention of the preformative n, formed on the model of Šaphel, as distinguished from the regular Hiph iilמַלְקיטִין to cause birds to pick up corn from the ground, t. Šab. xviii. 4; cf. Šab. 155 b.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Ges.-K., $\{55 \mathrm{c}$. Also the nouns enumerated below, $£ 262$.


152. (2) The second masc. plur. of the perfect often ends in
 This is a common phonetic change, which may, however, have been assisted by Aram. influence. ${ }^{1}$
153. (3) In the Imperfect the form חִקְטְלְנָה (second and third fem. plur.) has entirely disappeared from MH. ${ }^{2}$ Both genders use

 women who had been married may become betrothed, and women who had been betrothed may be married, Yob. iv. 10 ; daughters must be fed, Ket. iv. 6 ; xiii. 3.

So also in the Imperative the form pẹ is used also for the fem.,
 his wife : bathe her . . . and dance before her, Ab. deRN. xli. 13 .

In this MH has merely developed to the full a tendency already strong in BH. ${ }^{3}$ Modern Arabic has gone further in this respect than MH, and has given up all the fem. plural forms, both in the perfect and the imperfect. ${ }^{4}$ Aram., on the other hand, has carefully preserved the distinction of gender in all its dialects. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
154. (4) The imperfect and imperative Qal is spelt plene with I ,

${ }^{1}$ Cf. above, $\delta 70$, and $J Q R$., $\times x$. 680 f.


 (Singer, p. 51), from Isa. xxxiii. 17 ; Ps. xvii. 2.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Gen. xxx. 39 ; Lev. xxvi. 33 b; Judges xxi. 21 a; Cant. ii. 7; iii. 5; v. 8 ; vi. 9 ; viii. 4-ten examples of MH nsage against three examples of the older BH usage, viz. iv. 11 and iii. 11 (imperatives).

- Cf. Spitta-Bey, op. cit., § 90 a (1).
${ }^{5}$ Mandaic forms the only exception, cf. Nöldeke, Mand. Gr., 8162.



 will tithe, Ter. i. ı. Cf. also the pointing in L: Me? they shall be hidden, San. x. 9.

Imper.:

155. (5) The lengthened forms of the imperfect and imperative (cohortative) are not found in MH. The shortened form of the imperfect (jussive) is found only occasionally ; cf. for the Hiphil above, § 144 , and for $\mathrm{n}^{4 h}$ verbs below, §§ 205, 212.
158. (6) The Consecutive Tenses have practically disappeared from MH. In the few cases where they occur, they are conscious
 Gen. xxv. 33. Five examples occur, besides more than a dozen simple tenses, in that remarkable Baraita in Qid. 66 a, which must be a fragment of some historical work written in a mixed style of BH and MH (cf. above, §§.16, 126 n .). They are

 were slain. They are found occasionally in the older, but not the oldest, parts of the liturgy : וַּתֶּ (Singer, op. cit., pp. 228, 230, \&c.);




${ }^{1}$ The occurrence of this form not in pause is due to scribal error. Thus, in
 but edd.

[^25]157. As is well known, numerous passages are found even in the oldest portions of the Bible, in which the simple tenses are used in place of the usual consecutive tenses. This becomes more frequent from the time of Jeremiah onward, until in Qohelet the relation between the consecutive and simple tenses is reversed, i. e. the use of the simple tense becomes regular, while that of the consecutive sense becomes exceptional. The explanation of this phenomenon as due to Aram. influence is inapplicable to the numerous cases in the earlier books of the Bible. ${ }^{1}$ Probably this irregularity in the use of the simple for the consecutive tense arose at an early time within the Hebrew language itself, and independently of outside influence. It may even be doubted whether the consecutive construction ever attained in popular speech that dominating position which it occupies in the literary dialect. It may be plausibly assumed that the more convenient construction with the simple tenses had survived in the every-day speech side by side with the more difficult construction by means of the consecutive tenses. ${ }^{2}$

Similarly in Phoenician, which also possesses the consecutive tenses, the simple tenses are used very often where BH would employ
 Inscriptions, No. 3, 1. 8) ; ופעלח אנך (ְיְקְרִשְׁת (No. 29, 1. 13); (No. 33, 1. 3), פעל וְחִּשׂ (No. 38, 1. 1); and the common phrase ית ויטנא (No. 13, l. 2, \&c.), both of which verbs are perfects.

The contact with Aram. naturally strengthened the simpler construction, until eventually the consecutive construction disappeared from the living speech, and survived only in literature, largely by the force of the literary tradition, as in the later books of the Bible, in Sirach, and other literary productions of the MH period.

[^26]
## D. Weak Verbs.

## (i) Guttural Verbs.

158. In the absence of a trustworthy system of punctuation in MH texts, it is not possible to determine whether the Guttural verbs have suffered any change in MH, as compared with BH. It may, however, be safely assumed that these verbs obey the same phonetic rules in MH as in BH. (Cf. above, $\S \S 36,40$.)

## Examples of Guitural Verbs.

159. Guttural in the First Radical. Qal: Diņ ל to trim, Dam.


 darkness, Šab. xxiv. 1 ; מַחְכִיר to farm, BM 104 b .
160. Guttural in Second Radical. Qal : צָּקָבּו to be bright, Men. 18a; מהחרְחִי : to to delay,
 מְארת to entertain, Ber. 63 b; to uproot, Šebi. iv. 4. Pu'al:
 i. 6 ; יְתָּ
161. Guttural in Third Radical. Qal: נוֹבֵy bubbling up, BB

 to split, Šab. 145 a;


162. The verb ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to leave, hardens the $\mathbb{K}$ into ' in the Pi'el

 Zeb. viii. 12.
(2) Verbs ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.
163. These verbs follow generally their BH models. Thus,
 אוֹמַר I shall eat, say, Šebi. viii. 9 ; תאֹפֶה (but L תופה) to bake, Pes. iii. 3;
 on the analogy of the imperfect Sos', \&c. So, usually, in all texts




 Pes. 6 b. Hiphill : הֶאֶחֵּל Oh. iii. ı; מַאְפִיל to make dark, Šab. 86 a; מַחִחִיִין to cause to take hold, kindle, Šab. i. ir. Some texts
 יואְחָר : מַאְגִירוֹת j. Yo. iii. 4.
164. Note. The verb הפך forms the imperfect $Q$ al on the

 to have been weakened into א. Cf. R. Jonah, Riqmah, p. א.

## (3) Verbs י"פ.

165. MH has preserved the distinction between the three classes of verbs as in BH. (i) Original 1 " ${ }^{\prime}$; (ii) Original " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$; (iii) With , assimilated to a following $y$.
 also
 strong, Ber. 42 a. (iii) pish to pour, Šab. xvii. 5 .

The Infinitive is formed on the analogy of the imperfect. Thus:


 Ber. 16 b (a liturgical phrase), which are formed as in BH. ${ }^{2}$

 Makš. v. 9.
188. Pi'el, Pu'al retain the ', as in BH: : San. 82 b ; , to make fair, good, j. BB viii. ; ; ; prenc to chasten San. 39 a; brother's widow, Yeb. xv. 10 ;



 So the forms נתוספו 'Er. vii. 7 ; יתוספו Pes. 87 b ; מחmסת to be added, Šab. 152 a; מתוקרת to be burnt, Lev. R. 7 ; נתותרו to be left over, Pes. 159b; Yo. 46 a, traditionally pronounced like the









2 לידתה K`t.iv. 3, cited by Albrecht ( 5 II b), is not an infin, but a verbal

${ }^{3}$ The preformative in may have been preserved here because of the assonance with the preceding לְ לְ ; cf. above, § 115.
${ }^{4}$ This traditional pronunciation seems to be more correct than that of the
 as a consonant, would have been written twice; cf. above, § 57. Cf. also the Targum: بֵּ, I.ev. x. 16 ; 2 Sam. xxiii. 7.

Ter. v. 9 ; אוֹנִתַנִ (cf. above, § 142 ); הוֹקירי to become dear, BM v. 9 ; הוֹתִרְתֶּ ib. vii. 2 ; מוֹצִיא K^t. iv. 4 ; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to agree, Pes. iv. 9 ; מוֹרִיקוֹ to become yellow, So. iii. 4 ; לְהוֹרִיע Ab. iv. 22.

 Mo. iii. 4.
(iii) הִצִּ BQ 22 b ; Šab. xviii. 3 ; Dַ Ker. 5 b.

Hophal : הוּקְרוּ BB. v. 8 ; מובֶ to be led, Țoh. vii. 5 ; מוֹל be added, Šebu. i. 6 : מוּטָב San. vii. 10 ; מוֹעַׁח 'Ar. vi. 3 ; מוצֶתֶח Num. R. 12.
168. The two verbs יער (פר) (סו) , have formed in MH secondary roots ותר, וער. Exx. Pi'el : Lam. R. ii. 13;

 may, perhaps, be loan-words from Aram.

The verb הלך forms the imperfect Qal and the whole of the




 Intensive stem is formed throughout from הלך.

## (4) Verbs פ"

169. These verbs conform generally to the BH פerbs. The $J$ is regularly assimilated to the following consonant whenever
${ }^{1}$ Some of these forms may be derived from the cognate עוב : עוב : נוק ; cf. below, \& 185 .
${ }^{2}$ L and other texts have יצֵי, Quel.
 also in edd. $B Q$ ii. i, \&c.
preceded by a preformative with a vowel, or it is dropped altogether where it would have to begin a word with a shewa as in the imperative Qal.
(i) Qal. Imperfect: בía?, בín to dry up, 'AZ v. 3 ; Día! to bite off, 'Uq. ii. 6 ; עִּ

 יִּשְל Ket. x. 2. The vowel $\bar{o}$ is sometimes retained on the analogy









 to take and give, i.e. to buy, to deal), where the old forms have been preserved for their assonance. ${ }^{6}$

These infinitives may, perhaps, be older than the BH forms with the termination $\pi$. Cf. 1 亿 Kings vi. 19, which may be a forma mixta of a colloquial and the literary ${ }^{\text {Sn }}$. So, perhaps, תח ib. xvii. 14 (Ketib), is a forma mixta of (חֵּ and $\pi$.
170. When the second radical is a guttural, the 3 is preserved:
 xiii. 16 ; לִנְ Ab. vi. 3. So also sometimes in other cases:



[^27]






 syllable instead of $\bar{a}$ : P : Pes. 8 b ; p to be kindled, Kel. v. 6;

 pluck out, Gen. R. 56.



The Intensive Stem is regular.




 face south (denom. from נגב), 'Er. 53 b . Imperfect: :
 but also

 Bek. vii. 6, but also מַנְּקִיף So. 22 b; מַשִׁיר to drop, Šab. 67 a ;

 iii. 2 ; הוּפַק Kel. v. 4 ; הוּקְשׁׁ to be compared, Ker. 3 a. Imperf. :


[^28]


174. The verb ל ל forms the imperfect Qal on the analogy of


The Niph'al is regular, but some later texts show assimilation :


## (5) Verbs

175. In this class of verbs, MH follows faithfully the model of BH , but has carried certain forms to a fuller development, especially in the Intensive Stem. The ע' עיש forms, like דיש, , בין, $\Gamma$, ע, \&cc. differ very little from the y'ו forms, and the two classes may be treated together.
176. (i) The Simple Stem.

Qal. MH has preserved the distinction between transitive verbs which have the vowel $\bar{a}$ in the perfect, and intransitive verbs which have $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{o}$ in the perfect.
 58 b (Singer, p. 319); Dָָ to fence, Ab. deRN. i. 7; עָָ to draw a circle, Ta'a. iii. 8 ; ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{T}}$ to pity, Neg. ii. 5 ; with the older fem. termination





 ix. 15 ; $\mathbb{N}$ Tָ to come, Naz. iii. 6 ; בדּאָ Ned. ix. 5 ; בָּאחָ Yeb. xv. I (L L




Kot. 67 b ; ג號 to grow cold, weak, Yo. iii. 5 (L and other texts





 Ber. 18 b; ${ }^{2}$ אiق Yo. ii. 4.

 Pes. iii. 9 ; צָּ to catch, Šab. vii. 3 ; צָּ Be. iii. 1 ;
 awake, BQ ii. 5 ;



Passive: טוּח to plaster, Mid. iv. 1 ; טוח


177. Niphial. Perfect: The preformative $J$ is pointed with hireq (- ! ! ), evidently on the analogy of the strong verb. Exx. בִידוֹנו
 t. BB viii. 19 .

Imperf.: ריֵ. enjoy, Ber. viii. ©; יִּוֹן Oh. vi. 3, 7 ; San. viii. 8, 9 (L ed. ניָּוֹן

 to rub, t. BM xi. 8.

Infin.: : לִידּוֹא Git. iii. 4 ; Ab. iv. 29; לִיָּח Miq.




 to be low; ib. iv. 7 ; nimimit to feel (aged persons who grope their way), Pea viii. r. ${ }^{1}$
178. (ii) The Intensive Stem. In the formation of this stem MH has further developed the two methods already found in BH, viz. (a) Hardening of the vowel into the cognate consonants ( 1 or ${ }^{\prime}$ ) on the analogy of the strong verb, and (b) Reduplication.
(a) Hardening the vowel into a consonant.
(a) Into 1. Piel : כִּ

 7



 to walk, Suk. 28 b ; קִּיְ





 to cause cattle to dwell on a field, to manure, Šebi. iii. $x$; מְרֶיֶֶּ



${ }^{1}$ Another reading has niluivivo ; ff. the comment of R. Simson, ad loc.
2 The verb ${ }^{2}$, So. i. 6; Naz. iv. 5, \&c., cited by Albrecht ( 5114 b) does not belong here. It is a MH modification of the BH verb נבל; cf. above, $\{58$.
 xxviii. 4.





180. Of this formation BH shows only one example with 1 (חִוְרינבי : Ms. cxix. 61) and two examples with (Dan. i. 10;
 and Ges.-K., § $7^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ). The formation is generally considered to have been borrowed by late BH from Aram. But it is found also in other Semitic languages, e.g. Arabic. That earlier Hebrew possessed this power of converting the vocalic middle radical into

 הצִ, presence in the root of another weak letter. It is, therefore, quite probable that this formation for the intensive stem was developed within Hebrew itself on the analogy of the strong verb. It may even be assumed that the formation existed in the spoken language long before it appeared in literature, and that MH received it from the spoken language of BH times. ${ }^{1}$
181. (b) Reduplication. (a) Doubling of the second radical, Po'lel, and Nithpollel. These forms are rarer in MH than in BH Only the following examples are found in MH:
 exalt, Pes. x. 5 (liturgical passage) ; to wheel round (denom.


to shatter, Lev. R. 27 ; מְקוֹנֶנֶת to lament, Ket. iv. 4 ; מְקוֹנְׂוֹת MQ


 to long, Yeb. 62 b; Ab. deRN. i. 7 ; מִחְמוֹטְטִין BM 7 Ia (cf. Sirach xxxii. 2); מִחְחוֹצִץ Qid. 30 b .
182. ( $\beta$ ) Doubling of the whole root. This is more frequent in MH than in BH; cf. above, § 108.










 Miq. iv. 3.
(iii) The Causative Stem.

 Šab. 3 г a ; הֵנחקחק

 to reply, Sifra (Weiss), 113 a; חֵּ

For the omission of the separating vowel before the afformative in BH, cf. Ges.-K., § 72 k .

 Ber. v. ı; יָבִיא Naz. iv. 6. Imperat. : הָ Pes. vi. 2; ; הָאֵ to cool,



Partic.: מֵבִּ Hag. ii. ı; מֵסִים Zab. v. ı; מְטיבָה San. vii. ıo;


 iv. 3 ; מַּתָּ

185. Note I. As in BH, so in MH some 1^y roots are found


 מí to feel = מששׁ.
186. Note 2. The verb forms also a causative by assimilation, as in BH, in the sense 'to lay down', e.g. חֵּ
 Qid. 66 a.
187. Note 3. The verb נאם (BH) to speak, shows some strange forms, probably of an artificial character. Thus ניִ he
 I spoke, ib. ; נוּמינו (L נומֵו we spoke, Giṭ. vi. 7 ; but also נָם,

(6) Verbs ע"ע.
188. The tendency, already strong in BH , to make these verbs approximate to the standard of the strong triliteral type is carried still further in MH. Biliteral forms are the regular type

[^29]only in the causative stem and, to a smaller extent, in the Imperf. Qal and Niph'al. In the other parts of the Qal and the Niph'al triliteral forms predominate. In the Intensive Stem they are used exclusively.

Aram., on the other hand, has preserved and accentuated more strongly than any other Semitic language the natural affinity of the y y y verbs with the verbs. The biliteral forms of the predominate in Aram.

## 189. (i) The Simple Stem.

 measure, 'AZ v. 7 ; PRE to stop, Miq. iii. 2 ; $P Q 7$, to spit, $B Q$ viii. 6; שָׁp to err, Šab. 95 a; Yep. xii. 3 ;

 t. Nid. iii. in ; חn to offer the Festival sacrifice, Pes. 70 b.

Biliteral : גn Hag. i. 9 ; ר רַ to be many, Šab. i. 7 .

Imperfect: : baste, j. MQ iii. 5. But usually biliteral: רǐ̦ to choose, Ab. ii. ı;

 So Imperat.: דíl BB 13 a ; פּ to bend, Bor. 60 b ; ixip Ab. deRN. xxxix. 1 ; but also מְּ Yo. 39 a.

Infinit.:

 Biliteral : MŠ v. 9 ; pisţ̧ Kel. xxviii. 2 ; ripלְ 'AZ i. 8.



[^30]to shake, t. Hul. ii. 12 ;
 press, Ab. v. 5 .
 bind, Naz. ix. 4 ; بִקצְֶ
 Nid. iii. 4 ; 3 ? 1 Bik. i. 8 (L 8 (נימוקו).
 biliteral forms are more common: יֵ to be hot, Be. ii. 5 ;
 clarify, ib. xx. 2.
 roll, Ber. 7 a ;

 ii. 5 ; but also ל- Kel. xiv. i.


 RH iii. 8.
191. (ii) The Intensive Stem.
(a) Po'el, Po'al, Nithpoiel: These forms are still more rare in MH than in BH. The following are the only exx. found:

 חוְחוֹנְני

 Hul. 77 a ; מִשְׁת to be desolate, Qid. 66 a.







 ib. i. 7. Biliteral only : מְחֵּ Yo. iii. 5 (unless this is Hiphil:








(c) Reduplicated Forms.

 corrupt, Yeb. x. 2 ; קִלְקְל Ber. ix. 5 ; יְחרחחר to bore, 'Uq. iii. II;简 to shake, Hul. ii. 6 ;


 חמשל





(iii) The Causative Stem. Biliteral; triliteral forms are very rare.

[^31]198. Hiph'il: Non Nod. x. ı ; חֵֵר to make light, be lenient,

 Without separating vowel (cf. BH הֵתַלְתָ Jud. xvi. 10; Ges.-K.,

 Suk. 28 a.

 Šebi. iv. 2 ; יָּ Mak. i. 7 ; 7 ;



Imperat. : הָחֵ j. Ber. v. 2 ; Singer, p. 46.
Part. : מֵר to to defile, Ber.






 (without the separating vowel) ; הוֹחָּפּה BM vi. 3 ; הוּחָּ Šab. iii. 4; תוּמַק
 iii. 9 ; יר는 BB x. 6.

מוֹרָק j. So. ii. 2 ; מוּזָּוֹת smashed, San. 82 b; מוּפָּרין , מוּפָּ Nod. viii. 7. Triliteral : מוּבְלֶלֶת j. Šeq. vi. ı end ; מוּכְלֶל Yo. 54 b.
 vi. 3.
 § 192 ; j. Šab. ix. 3 .



## (7) Verbs $\times$ "

198. The inflection of these verbs follows on BH lines. The interchange of forms between these verbs and verbs i'h, already frequent in BH (Ges.-K., § $75 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ff}$ ), becomes more frequent in MH. Sometimes we find forms combining both $\left.\kappa^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime b}$ types. Nevertheless, the distinction between these two classes has been clearly preserved in MH. Many of the $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$ b forms in ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ b verbs may be ascribed to the negligence and the Aramaizing tendencies of the scribes, as is proved by comparing different texts. MH also shows more frequently than BH the older termination $n$ for the third fem. sing. in Qal, Niphal, and Nithpa'el (cf. Ges.-K., § 74 g ).
199. Qal. Perfect: : Yo. v. 3; מָָּ BM ii. i. The fem. wavers between יָָאר (so usually in L and other Palestinian



 especially in later texts. Imperf., as in BH.
 viii. I.

Imperat. : קִרָ Yo. i. 6 ; שְׁנְ Ab. i. 10.
Partic.: : קוֹרא Yo. i. 6 ; pich ib. ; Ber. i. ı (cf. Psalm xcix. 6);-


 Ter. iii. 7 ;
200. Niphial: נִטְמָא Pes. i. 6 ; נִטְמאת Ter. viii. 2; So. vi. 2 ;




The imperf. as in BH.
 mixed form לְהקְּרְאוֹ Ab. iv. i.
 Git.. ix. 5.






 BQ viii. I. So in BH, cf. Ges.-K., § 74 h .

 Yo. 38 b; מיטֵַּא Naz. iv. 3 ;
 'AZ ii. 2.




Hophal: מוּפְלְא distinguished, Hor. i. 4.
(8) Verbs
204. These verbs also conform to the BH rules. As in BH (Ges.-K., § 75 rr ), $\mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime} ל$ verbs sometimes assume $\left.\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle$ forms. This happens usually in the third sing. and plur. of the perf. Qal when they have pronominal suffixes attached to them, and further, in the third fem. sing. perf. Niphal, and in the fem. sing. of the Partic. Niph'al. The older termination $\Omega_{-}$for the third fem. sing. of the perf. Qal is regularly found in Palestinian, and sometimes also
in other texts. Cf. the $\kappa^{\prime \prime \prime}$ verbs above, § 199, and Ges.-K., § 75 m .
205. Qal. Perfect: זָָּ to acquire, BM i. 3; שָׁהָה to tarry,



 ת swell, j. BQ vii. r.

The original third radical $י$ reappears in the third sing. and plur. of the perfect with suffixes: שְלָיָ to patch, Kel. xxiv. 17 ; ; ְָָּיָ to redeem, Pea iv. 8. Often, however, this is changed into $\kappa$,

 below, § 216 , and above, §§ 56,58 .


 Jussive : אیּ do not make, Ab. i. 8; ii. 12.
 Yo. 40 b.

Imperat.: צִלֵה Pes. vii. 2 ; שְֵׁה to repeat, Nid. 5 b; לְה to borrow, Be. 15 b.

 vii. 6 ; חוֹרִין to soak, Šab. i. 5 .
208. A few participles are found in an adjectival form with $\bar{a}$

 one can confer an advantage upon a person in his absence, but one cannot confer a disadvantage upon a person, except in his
presence, 'Er. vii. in ; Git. i. 6. The form due to assonance with זָּ זָּנוֹת Go. So iit. vi. 2, in
 extinguished, Šab. 151 b ;

 deRN. xxxvii. 2, 3 .

Partic. Passive : טָוּ Kil. ix. 8 ; צָּ to see, Ab. iii. 15 ; ; רָּי fit,


207. Niphial. The third fem. sing. of the perfect is identical in form with the fem. sing. of the Participle. They usually both end in $\pi^{-}$., or $\pi^{-}$in $L$, as in the $\left.\kappa^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ verbs ( $\$ 200$ ).

 count, Pes. ix. 10; נִקְנֵיִּ to have leisure, Ab. deRN. xxv. 3 ;
 נְעְנִ





 נִבְוֹKet. 5 b.
 ת ? to ease oneself, Šab. 82 a.

The Intensive Stem is regular, as in BH.
208. Piel:


,

נַגֶ (imperat.) to sift, Men. 85 a ; nime to make fair, good,

 ceiling, Suk. i. 8.
209. Pu'al: '险 to be made good, Pea vi. 6 (cf. above, § 126 ).
 נימחו Toh. iii. 1) Kel. xxiv. 17 ; מְנוֶֶּה excommunicated, MQ











The Causative Stem is exactly as in BH.
 ix. 1 ; הִתְרו to warn, Mak. i. 12 ; דִרצֵחת to discourse, t. Nid. vi. 6 ;


Infin. : לְהַקְוֹות to collect water, t. Šeq. i. 2 ; with elision of the



 to lead astray, San. 55 a.

Note.-The denominative Hiphil of עני poor, preserves the י in
解 Ber. 33 a; Moiil. 17 a.

Hophial: הוּמְנָה t. Pes. vii. 2 ; ההּקְשְׁה Num. R. 16; הוְרָה Yo.
 Hag. 13 a (from Sirach).

Partic. : מוּמְחֶה expert, Bek. iv. 4 ; מוּקְנֶה free, Yeb. iii. 5 ; מוטָעִין
 Oh. ix. II ; מוּוֹ ib. xv. 3.
212. Note.-The verb has in the imperf. Qal the following
 (L often יהוא, cf. Qoh. xi. 3). The Imperat. is formed from הוה : ॥ֶ Ab. i. 4 (cf. BH Gen. xxvii. 29; Isa. xvi. 4) ; plur.: : רֶib. ii. 3. The partic. is always הֶוֹה Šab. vi. 6, 10 ; 'Er. i. 10, as already in BH: Qoh. ii. 22; Neh. vi. 6. Examples of the Piel, causing
 Qid. 58 a and parallels.

The rest of the verb is regular, and even for the forms given above, the regular forms are also found.

Note also the jussive forms חקחהי , יְּי Ab. iii. ro, \&c.

## (9) Verbs with Pronominal Suffixes.

213. The object of a verb can be expressed in MH, as in BH, by a suffix. The phonetic rules governing the modification of the verb when it assumes a pronominal suffix, are the same in MH as in BH. The following points may be noted:

The third sing. imperf. usually inserts the so-called nun energicum before the suffix of the third sing. masc. and fem. (cf. Ges.-K., § 58 i).

In verbs the original, reappears before the suffix in the third sing. and plur. of the perfect Qal, or is softened into $א$ (cf. § 205).

These suffixes are used in MH somewhat less frequently than in BH, their place being taken by את. The most common suffixes

[^32]are those of the third person sing. and plur. The suffixes of the first person are also fairly common, especially in the sing. The suffix of the second person sing. is much rarer, and that of the second person plur. is exceedingly rare, just as in BH.

## Examples of Verbs with Suffixes.

(1) Suffix of the First person.



 ת Šab. $3^{1}$ a.


 fatten, ib. 29 a.
(2) Suffix of the Second person.



 near;
 לְחַחִחיֹחְכֶם to revive, Ber. 58 b; cf. Singer, p. 319. (Contrast with four times אֶחְֶֶ in the following finite verbs.)
(3) Suffix of the Third Person.









Imperfect: יְשִׁיֶֶּ



 Šebu. vi. I.


 throw, Ab. iii. 17 ; מחאבכילתּ


Sing. Fem. Perfect :





 Šab. 29 b.

Imperf. : بִּתְּנָּ Šab. ii. 4 ;


Infin. : לְנְוטְעָה to plant, Kil. ii. 4; לְדְּכָּ to pound, Be. 14a;




Plur.: : ריפְּקָ to crush, Ṡab. xxii. $\mathbf{~}$;


 to move, Be. iii. 5 ; יְשׁוּם Kel. xvi. 1 ;
 Pes. 36 a ; לְיָּלְלִ to hem, MQ 26 a.
 iv. 3 ; Ab. ii. 2.

## III. THE NOUN

## 1. General Survey.

217. As in the other parts of speech, so also in the treatment of the noun MH has followed faithfully the traditions of BH. This is true of the formation of the noun and also of its inflexion. With regard to the formation of the noun, MH has retained the original forms of those BH nouns which it still uses. Further, all its new nouns are formed on the basis of the old BH ground-forms.

Noteworthy features in the MH noun formation are : (a) the wide development of the verbal nouns to replace the old BH infinitive construct, viz. the forms קְיטִילָּ for the Simple Stem (§ 228);
 for the Causative Stem ( $\$ \mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{5 1 - 2}$ ) ; (b) the more frequent use of Reduplication (§§ 244-8) ; (c) of the nomen agentis (לiup (§ 235);
 (c) and the various forms of the Diminutive (§ 276). But all these forms are based on BH ground-forms, and have parallels in the BH vocabulary.
218. As regards the lexical character of the MH noun, the results of our review of the MH verb (§§ 83 ff .) apply also to the MH noun, except that the noun has borrowed more freely than the verb from Aram., from Greek, and, to a less degree, from Latin. The nouns which are most common in MH are
also found in BH. A number of BH nouns have disappeared in MH, especially nouns of a poetical character or of rare occurrence in BH , though common in Aram. (e.g. new MH nouns are usually formed from BH roots, or from old Hebrew roots not found in BH. Many of these MH nouns occur also in Aram., just as many old BH nouns occur in Aram. But the majority of such nouns are native in MH.
219. Even Aram. loan-words usually receive a. Hebraic form,



 iii. I, \&c. ${ }^{1}$

MH also follows BH in the other grammatical changes of the noun, viz. in the formation of the feminine ( $\$ \mathbf{\$} 277 \mathrm{ff}$.) ; the plural (§§ 281 f.) ; the dual (more frequent in MH than in BH , § 293); the construct state ( $\$ 380$ ), and the use of the noun with pronominal suffixes.

For the forms of nouns derived from Greek and Latin cf. Albrecht, § 82.

## 2. Formation of Nouns.

(1) Nouns derived from the Simple Stem.
(i) Ground-form Qatl, Qitl, Qutl.


 Kel. viii. 6 ; רֶֶ hot ashes, Nog. ix. I. With guttural in second or third radical : ץַּe tin, Kel. xxx. 3; jetty, Oh. viii. 2 ;
 improvement, MŠ ii. I.

[^33]Abstract: : חֶֶֶ strangulation, San. vii. 1 ;
 manence, Yo. vi. 3 ; שֶׁבֵּ praise, ib. iii. 9, ro.
 'AZ iii. 4.
 gross, Ab. iv. 7.
 cheek, board, 'Er. i. 2.

Fem.: חַרְהָּ knife, Šebi. viii. 7; צְחְנָה offensive matter, Ter.
 wax, Šab. ii. 1 ; שָׁper irrigation, Ter. x. II (L has the masc. form :

221. (b) Qitll. אֵבֶר limb, Šab. viii. ı ; חֵלֶש lot, ib. xxiii. 2 ; חֶֶר


 vi. 6; ;"ל" : excrements, Kel. xvii. 2.

Fem.: אִכְּנְ pressure, BM iii. 7 (with older fem. termination

 תּשׂ permission, ib. iii. 7.
222. (c) Qutl. The vowel $u$ is represented by 4 .








[^34]antelope, Bik. ii 8 ; רiּ unculivated, Ab. ii. 5 ; חi pleasing, ib. 10 ; ע"y: pin spittle, Yeb. xii. 6; לitr cheapness, MŠ iv. 1 ; לíp


 forbidden fruit of a tree under four years old (cf. Lev. xix. 23), 'Or. i. I.



223. To this ground-form belong also nouns in which the characteristic vowel has been moved forward to the second radical:


 'AZ v. 6; ;

Qitl : leek, Šab. viii. $5 .^{2}$ Cf. Ges.-K., § 84 A e.

Some texts point in this fashion many nouns which properly belong to the regular Qatl type, like שְּלג , pele half, Ber. iv. i, \&cc. The extension of this form by the scribes is no doubt due to the influence of Aram., in which this is the regular form for the Qatl (cf. Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., §§ 93-4). So, sometimes, in BH:

(ii) Ground-form Qatal, Qatil, Qatul.

 rottenness, Naz. $\because .2$.

[^35] Kel. xxviii. 7 ; P PTָּ stopper, Šab. xvii. 7 .
 Ab. iii. 7 ; שָׁ worth, BQ iv. r ; עָָׁ humble, Ber. 6 b.
 iii. 1 ; חִחָרָ return, Yeb. xiii. 6 ; ְְבָּה net work, hairdress, Neg.



 covering, overhanging boughs, Naz. vii. 3; Pְ prũ̃ife, Yeb. xv. I; שְׂרְרָה dominion, Mak. ii. 8.
 ררTT proof, Šab. viii. 7 .
225. (b) Qațil:

 vi. 7 ; 7 כָּ proper, legal, Šab. vii. 3.

Fem. : אֲרֵרָה lost property, BM ii. 7 ; גְּלָה robbed property,



228. (c) Qatul. The vowel $\check{u}=\check{o}$ is represented by ${ }^{\prime}$, $\mathfrak{q}$.
 'Ed. v. 6 ; מֶוֹק deep, t. Nog. i. 5 .
(iii) Ground-form Qatăl, Qatîl, Qatatl.
227. (a) Qatal, with $a$ obscured into 6 . Concretes are rare: רำ bitter herbs, Pes. ii. 6. Usually abstract nouns and adjectives

[^36]derived from BH, e.g. i. 12 ; צָּ great, ib. vi. 5 ; píc holy, ib. v. 4 ; טָּ pure, Kel. xii. 2.
228. (b) Qatîl: Nָ lath, 'Er. i. 3 ; אָּ

 חָדִיב beloved, ib. ii. 10 ; צָ צִָיך necessary, Šab. ii. 7 ; חָ accustomed,
 iii. 8.

The Fem. form Qetillā is exceedingly common in MH as a nomen actionis for the Qal, taking the place of the old BH construct infinitive. The form is also found in BH with the same significance, both in early and in late texts. Thus, תipup jud. v. 16 ; I Kings xix. 8; שִבִילָה 1 ; שְׁיאוֹת 2 Chron. xxx. 7; יִִיֶָה Qoh. xii. 12 ; perhaps also xiii. 21. In Pיקָה : Lam. iii. 63, and in a derived concrete sense : מְלִילָה Deut. xxiii. 26 ; בְּיאָ entry, Ezek. viii. 5. It is also
 The form is used in Ethiopic in exactly the same way as in MH, cf. Dillmann, Eth. Gr., § 124 ; Barth, Nominalbildung, p. 137. In Aram., however, it occurs only occasionally in Jewish dialects, ${ }^{1}$ in which it is most probably borrowed from MH. The fact that in earlier BH it occurs only in the Song of Deborah and in the story of Elijah may, perhaps, tend to show that it was originally a Northern dialectal form, which was received into the literary language only after it had established itself in the spoken language. From the latter it may have descended into MH.

Concrete nouns in this form are comparatively few in MH, as compared with the extremely numerous examples of abstract nouns of a verbal nature. This seems to show that the concrete

[^37]
## MORPHOLOGY

significance is only secondary, and that it was derived from the original abstract meaning ; cf.


 wick, Šab. ii. 1 ; ?יָחָ ל לְיחָה handle, Kel. xvi. 4.

 plaster, 'Er. x. ${ }^{13}$.

Abstracts (nomina actionis) can be formed at will from every
隹 inquiry ; חקחקירָה investigation, San. iv. 1, 5 ; סְמִיכָה laying on of hands; קְמִיצָה taking of a handful; pinching bird's head,



 kneading, Men. v. 2 ; מיָתָ death, Yo. viii. 8; פיכָה anointing, Šab. ix. 4 (L יסיכה: so elsewhere, e.g. Yo. viii. i).


 digging, BQ v. 6; yְלִיָה going up, Šeq. viii. 2; צְלָיָ roasting, Pes.
 Yo. viii. I.

A number of nouns add the abstract suffix $\boldsymbol{n}$ - (cf. below, § 272 ) to strengthen the abstract significance, e.g. אֲרִיס tenancy, Pea
 silence, Git. iv. 8, \&c.
229. (c) Qatall. To this form belong the Passive Participles Qal, and verbal adjectives like חָמוֹ weighty, strict, Kel. i. 4;
 חָרוב ; carob tree, Šebi. vii. 5 ;

Fem.: בְּתוּלָה virgin, Ket. i. ı; חְבוּרָה company, Ber. vii. 51 ;
 Ber. ix. 2.

To this class may, perhaps, be reckoned also fem. nouns with
 writ, marriage settlement, Qid. ii. 5 ; קְ holiness, RH iv. 5 cf. Barth, op. cit., § $95 \cdot$
(iv) Ground-form $Q^{e t a l}$, $Q^{e} t i l l, Q^{e} t a l$.
 בְּתְ writing, Ket. ii. 3; pְיָּ hedge, Ab. i. 2 ; סְ young ass,


 Ber. vi. 2.

With $a$ obscured into $\delta$ : בְּכוֹר first-born, Zeb. v. 8 ; חְ ass, Bek. i. 2 ; םín muzzle, Kel. xvi. 7 ; לְ milt, Hul. iii. 2. עְ ע" ע: צְרוֹ knot, Kel. xxvi. 4 ; ; צְּ radish, Kil. i. 5.

Fem.: יָּריְקה sea-weed, Šab. ii. ı; עֲבוֹרָה service, Kil. vi. 1 ; שְׁעוֹרָה barley corn, 'Ed. vi. 3. Segolate forms ${ }^{2}$ :
 חלְּ metal shavings, Kel. xi. 3 .
231. (b) Qetili.

 food, Ned. ii. 4 ; סְנִיף attachment, Men. xi. 6 ; שְ wick, Šeq. v. r ;

[^38]

232. (c) Qetal: border, Šéq. vii. 3 ; ְְּבוּל hair net, Šab.
 boundary, 'Er. iii. 4 ; שְלי usufruct, Yeb. vii. 1 ; Git. ix. 3 ; שְּס disqualification, Yo. i. ı ; שְׁבוּת resting, Pes. vi. 2.
(v) Ground-form Qátāl, Qatil, Qaṭūl.
233. (a) Qatāl, the $a$ obscured to 6 : Duin nose, Bek. vi. 4 ; חוֹתָ wrapper, Kel. xvi. 11 ; ; ib. xxiv. 3 ; שׂוֹפָ trumpet, RH iii. 3.
234. (b) Qa!īl. To this form belongs the Active Participle Qal of transitive verbs. Also a number of nouns of participial origin, e.g.


Fem. :
235. (c) Qatūl. To this class belong the following nomina agentis with $\bar{u}$ modified to $\sigma$ : ן pin miller, Dam. iii. 5 ; BQ 99 b ;
 pind woolcomber, Kel. xxvi. 5; חipin ib. xii. 2 : the following occur in the plural only:

 תinievin measurers, surveyors, 'Er. iv. in ; תivipל gatherers, BM 72 b; t. BM vi. 1 ; nimivivi j. Pea viii. I (cf. § 177, note); תinip purchasers, Ket. viii. I : the sing., however, is always nitib. This form may, perhaps, be due to a deliberate change of spelling by the scribes, who mistook the form
 קרקוֹ, in , in some texts.

The form Qatūl is also found in the following names of instru-

[^39]
 supporters, artificial legs, Šab. vi. 8 ; שׂinī reptile-shaped ornament, t. 'AZ v. 2. Perhaps also Dinș' timbrel, Kel. xv. 6 (L, but So. ix. 14 : אירוס, also in L).
 occurs in BH nearly as often as in MH, viz. ָָּ,
 perhaps also שְׁדוֹדָה (Psa. cxxxvii. 9, for שְׁוּרָה (i), and as nomen instrumenti: עַּקָּ (Ezek. vii. 4).
236. (vi) Ground-form Qatāl: :
 fox, Šab. vi. ıo.

## (2) Nouns derived from the Intensive Stem.

(i) Ground-form Qăṭal, Qăṭtil, Qăṭtul.
237. (a) Qat!āl, Qa!tal: chiefly nomina opificum, describing the occupation or profession of the substantive: : robber, Kil. vii. 6;
 camel-driver, Qid. iv. 13 ; חַ ib. ; בַּנַּ
 רTָָ potter, BQ iii. 4.

With in the second radical: הָ murderer; חָרָ confiscator, Ned, iii. 4;
 Mudge, Pea viii. 9 ; טַּיָּיָ man of leisure, Ket. v. 7.
$\mathrm{n}^{n h}$, with $=$ in the second radical for $\tau_{\mathrm{T}}$, in Aram. fashion:
 ค

Ab. i. 8; אn nilent, modest, Šeq. v. 6; xiv. 6 ; ${ }^{\text {² }}$ רַ permitted, Šab. xxiii. 3 .
 and with $n$ at the end: nevene ${ }^{1}$ reconnoitring troop, t. Be. ii. 6;
 wart, 'Er. x. 3; בַּבֶּשֶׁ pressed vegetables, t. Šebi. iv. 16 ; כַּנֶּ


Abstracts, including nomina actionis, chiefly with $\Pi_{\top}$ : בַּטָּל idleness, Ab. iii. 4 ; הַָָּ cry, RH iv. 9 ; ii. 1; סַכָּנָ danger, Ber. iv. 4 ; עַכָּבָּבָה detention, 'AZ v. 7 ;
 Ges.-K., § 84, в е.

The form is comparatively rare in MH, as in BH, and cannot like the other verbal nouns Qittul (§241) and Qetîlä (§228) be formed at will.
238. (b) Qattil, Qattîl: the infinitive of Piel; ריִּ notable, Yo.
 viii. 5 ; צִּ

- 239. (c) Qatțūl, Qattal: : Šab. 133b; טַבּוּר navel, Šab. xviii. 3; עַּמוּר ; ball, Kel. x. 4


Fem.: חַּבּוּרָ wound, BQ viii. ı; בַּצוֹרֶת drought, Ab. v. 8; nַּוֹרֶת tradition, ib. iii. i3.
(ii) Ground-form Qittal, Qitttil, Qit!tul, Qittól.
240. (a) Qitṭal, Qittal: root, principal, Ber. vi. 7 .

 Git. v. r. The form is further used, as in BH, to express a
${ }^{1}$ Some texts have בולשת, a participial form, $\$ 234$.
physical defect: אִּלֵּ dumb, Ter. i. 6; hunchback, Bek.

 Bek. vii. 4, 6; חֵ? lanky, ib. ; ${ }^{1}$ ? ${ }^{1}$ crippled, Šab. vi. 8 ; and by analogy : ,
241. (c) Qittal. This form is widely used as a verbal noun for Piel, and can be formed at will from any verb. It has primarily an abstract significance, but is also found in concretes in a derived sense.

Exx.: איטּםוּר prohibition, 'AZ ii. 3; בִּטוּל idling, interruption, Šab. xvi. 1 ; ; דֵּ
 levirate marriage, Yeb. i. ı; לִּ לִּ honouring, Pea i. 1 ; לִּוּר
 Pes. iii. 5 ; יֵירוּ trimming, Šebi. ii. 3 ; יֵירוּ confusion, Ber. v. 4 ; שֶּירוֹש
 above, § 162) remainder, Ker. ii. 5 ; תִּיחּ crushing, Oh. xviii. 9.

מִלּוּי ; filling, Dam. vi. 5 ; بִינּ afflicting, Ab. v. 8 ; רִּ healing, $B Q$ viii. 1 .

Concrete: מִּיּדּלִים products, Ber. 40 b ; חִּלּיּ exchange, relay,
 לִמוּרִים boards, MQ ii. 2 ; חִיםּם steel edge, Kel. xiii. 4 ; yִּוּל round
 measure, Pea i. r.
 cover, Šab. xvii. 8 ; קיפּ froth, Ter. iv. in.

Fem.: :
The form is found in a number of technical terms in the plural

[^40]only: אירוּסין betrothal, Yeb. vi. 4 ; נירצוֹאין matrimony Ket. i. 4;




The form is found in BH both as abstract and concrete, in the
 מִלִּאים, \&\&c. Cf. Ges.-K., § 84 ві ; Stade, § 228. So in Sirach :

 red, Bek. vii. 6; יִילִי born, Ab. iv. 2I; young birds fallen out of their nest, BB ii. 6 ; נִיצוֹ spark, t. Yo. ii. 3 ; צִּ pipe, Miq. iv. 1 ; קיפשוֹף long-tailed ape, Bek. 8a; שִׁכּוֹר drunkard, Bek. vii. 6.

Fem. : קִּבוֹלֶת
 ear of corn, Pea v. 2.
 Sirach xl. 24); אחאּ

## (3) Nouns formed by Reduplication.

244. (i) Reduplication of the Third radical. These have the vowel $a$ in the second syllable, and are mostly of a diminutive significance.

 (Saph'el of מרט to pluck, cf. above, § 149 ), lappet, Šab. xi. 2,
 Meg. 9 a. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Kohut, iii. 283 f., holds that in an error for The noun is also found in the Arabic dialect of Iraq, cf. Brockelmann, Grundriss, i, \& $17^{2}$ a.

The other examples are all fem.:
(קרה (phem) hellow pumpkin, Pa. v. 3. With the termination אַרְמוּמִית : - redness, Hul. 87 b ; לְבְנונִית whiteness, Neg. iv. 4 ;



Diminutives: : בַּבְּשׁׁשׁׁ a hillock, heap, Šab. 23 b ; little
 fisher-boat (contracted to $\overline{1}$ ), BB 78 b ; litula Lev. R. 5 ; Num. R. 10 ; שְׁלֹלִיִית pool, Oh. xvi. 5 ;

A BH parallel to the diminutive significance of reduplicated

 Mable, Num. xi. 4, and (cf. פְּחִי כְהוּנָה young priests, Yo. i. 7; Tam. i. 1), are to be regarded as diminutives, the last two nouns with a contemptuous significance.
245. (ii) Reduplication of Second and Third radicals.





 feverish flushes, Nid. ix. 8.
 details, t. MŠ ii. ir. So the caritative form : ;ְפִיפִין very beautiful,

[^41] Jerem. xlvi. 20. For the diminutive character of the vowel $\hat{e}=a i$, cf. § 273 .

If the third radical is $b$ or 7 it is sometimes omitted : מְטוֹטֶלֶת

 developed grapes, 'Or. i. 8.
248. (iii) Reduplication of the whole Stem, ${ }^{1}$ in $1^{\prime \prime}$ ע and roots.
(a) Ground-form Qatgat, Qatqit, Qatqut: לגְּלְּ wheel, Mid.
 vessel, Ab. iv. 20.

Fem.: קַלְקָאִה disgrace, Ab. iv. 18 ; קַרְקרָה bottom of vessel, Kel. ii. 2; צַפְצָפָה a species of willow, Suk. iii. 3 ; בַּלְּבְּלָ basket, Pea vii. 3 ;


Qatqut: :


Fem.: : קְנוֹקִנוֹת (קנה ) branch sinews, Hul. 92 a, b.
247. (b) Ground-form Qitqat, Qitqit, Qitqut.

Qitqat:


Qitqit : Ter. viii. 2.

Qitqut: This form is very common as a verbal noun of Pilpel, corresponding to Qittul for the Intensive Stem (§ 241), and also in a secondary sense as a concrete : growth of hair, Šab. viii. 4 ; לִשְלוּף glutinous substance, Miq. ix. 4 ; מִלְמוּ crumbs, ib. ix. 2 ; קִלִקוּל
${ }^{1}$ Here may also be mentioned the form , Suk. 48 a , and often, for BH ליל; cf. BDB s.v. and reff.

 iv. 8.
248. (c) Ground-form Qutqut: טוּטְט of unknown sex, Hag.


## (4) Nouns formed by means of Prefixes.

249. (i) With Prefix $\mathbb{N}$ : אַּוּ flute, Kel. ii. 3 ; אֲגוֹרָל thumb, Yo. ii. ı; אֲגָ

 xii. 3 ; אַטְקוָפָה ; threshold, Šab. x. 2.

In the following verbal nouns the $\kappa$ is a phonetic modification of $\boldsymbol{i}$ (cf. the next section and above, § 43) ; אֲבְּעָיָ search, Pea iv. 5 ;
 wrong, BM iv. 3 .
250. (ii) Prefix i. These are all verbal nouns with an abstract significance formed from the Hiphil. They fall into two classes: (a) masculines in the form Haqtel, confined chiefly to strong verbs, and (b) feminines in the form Haqtala, for all classes of verbs. Some of the Haqtel forms have assumed a secondary concrete significance.
251. (a) Haqtel. This is practically the old Infinitive Hiph'il
${ }^{1}$ From ששפח, as is shown by the plur. ninnereve Šobi. i. r-3; So. 42 b, \&c.

 final $n$, on the analogy of the fem. termination. So K${ }^{\circ}$. vii. 5 ; RM. v. 7 ; BB. v. 3; M ${ }^{\circ}$ il. iii. 6. L and other texts have, however, nimejic. In BM. ii. 3 also L reads with 'Ed. i. 3); Pos. 8 b , \&c. The Palestinian Talmud has often j. Sab. ii. 3 ; j. K ${ }^{\mathrm{c} t .}$. i. 10. Cf. also $J Q R$., xx, p. 715 .
fossilized into a noun. The traditional, and no doubt correct, pronunciation of the prefix with $e$ for $a$, seems to have arisen through assimilation to the $\bar{e}$ in the second syllable. ${ }^{1}$
 ownerless property, Pea vi. ı ; תֶפְסֵר loss, Ab. v. 4 ; הֶפְ רֶשְ flaying, Yo. ii. 7 ; הֶקְחֵּ consecrated property, Ter. i. 5 ; ֶֶרְּ habit, Šab. i. 5 ; הֶסֶּ הֶּ shutting up, Meg. i. 7 .

 waving, Suk. iii. 12.

When followed by a genitive, the prefix preserves, if tradition
 fit (= responsibility for) all its damage, $B Q$ i. 1 ; העְלֵם שֶׁרֶץ unconsciousness of the reptile, Šebu. iii. 4; הַצִרב שֶׁטֶשׁ setting of the sun, Hal. i. 9; הָּ
 the rising of the sun, Ber. i. 2.

This substantival use of the Infin. Hiphil is found also in BH,
 ENin ib. vi. 25 ; cf. Stade, § 245.
252. (b) Haqtala : הַבְבָּדָּ separation, Hul. i. 7 ; הַבְעָר kindling, Šab. ii. 6; הַגְבָּהָה lifting, Qid. i. 4; הַטְטָּ hiding, Šab. 39 a;

 sifting, Pes. IIa.
 Qid. i. 8.



[^42]doing good, Śbu. iii. 5; הֲרָרָערה doing ill, Šebu. iii. 5.
 הוֹרָרָה bringing down, Mid. iv. I.
For the change of $\boldsymbol{n}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ cf. above, § 249 .
This form which is identical with the Aram. infin. Aphel (Haph'el) is probably older than the ordinary infin. with $\bar{e}(=\bar{\xi})$ in the second syllable. ${ }^{1}$ In BH it is found already in Isaiah: הַקָּרָה



253. (c) With the prefix $\because$ is found the reflexive verbal noun
 vii. 3, formed on the analogy of 2 Kings v. 18. Cf. Stade, § 254.
254. (iii) Prefix : In a few nouns, consisting, chiefly, of names of animals and plants; cf. BH יִיְחמוֹ, \&c. Stade, § 259, Barth, p. 226 f.
Exx. : יְבְּשוּ a kind of gnat, Nid. iii. 2 ; gnat, t. Šab. xii. 4 ;


255. (iv) Prefix D. As in BH, D is extensively used for the formation of nouns of the following classes: (i) subjective nouns, including the Participles of the derived active stems of
 (2) objective nouns, including the Participles of the derived passive stems of Verbs; also a number of concrete nouns, representing
 instruments and tools, e.g. מַנְעָל, מַבְבֵּשׁט , מָדוֹף; (4) nouns of a local


[^43]258. (a) Ground-form Maqtal, Maqtil, Maqtul.
 shoe, Šab. viii. 2 ; صַעְעָ adze, BQ x. io. With $\breve{a}$ changed to $\check{e}$ : מֶרֶֶ bath, Šab. i. 2 ; cf. Stade, § 270 ; also Ges.-K., § 85 h.

 iii. 6 ; ַַּתָּ gift, Ab. ii. I.
 (from חמםם = חיחָם best, BQ i. i.

 Kil. iv. 2 ; מָק the eye of a coulter, Kel. xiii. 3.
palpable, real, San. v. 4 ; מַּמָשׁ : ע"ע
 מַpְen mess of grist, Šab. xvii. 5 .

Fem.: מַטְטְהֶרֶת gutter, Miq. vi. ir ; מַרְשֶׁסֶח מַּלְלָּה braid, Yo. vi. 7 ; pack-saddle, Šab. v. veranda, Ma'a. iii. 6.

מַכַּה wound, So. iii. 4 ; מַּתָּ gift, Zeb. v. i.

 מעשיפְּה flour paste, Hal. i. 6.
 frame, Neg. xiii. 3 ; מַלְקט (or מַלְקֶטֶ L) pincher, Mak. iii. 5 ; מַסְמֵר


 travailing chair, Kel. xxiii. 4 ; מַחְּ store of straw, 'Er. vii. 5 .

 Makš. vi. 8.

Maqtul, only fem. nouns. The $\bar{u}$ is changed to $\bar{o}$ and usually

 fall, Pes. ii. 3 .
257. (b) Ground-form Miqtal, Miqtil, Miqtul.

Miqtal: מִרְבָּר wilderness, Ber. ix. 2 ; מִרְרָם treading, Kel.


 ii. 1 ; מִכְוָה burn, Neg. ix. 1 ;
 study, Ab. iii. 7 ; שְחִּלָּ cavity, t. Yeb. xiv. 6; שְסִּה winding staircase, Tam. i. I; שְׁעִיפְּ flour paste, Hal. i. 6.

Miqtil: :
Miq!ul, only fem. : מִכְמוֹרֶח net, Kel. xxiii. 5 ; מִשְׁקוֹלֶח plummet, ib. xxix. 3 .
258. (c) Ground-form Muqtal: Participle Hoph'al; further, מוּמְמָ blister, ${ }^{\text {E Ed. ii. } 5 .}$
259. (d) Ground-form Maqtal-Maqtôl, Maqtill, Maqtal.

Maqtal. The long $a$ is obscured to $\sigma$ : מַכְּ eye-painter, Kel. xiii. 8 ; טוֹקִ bag, ib. xvi. 7.

Maqtîl: Participle of Hiphil; further, fem. nouns: מַשְׁיבָה plaster of ceiling, BM x. 1 ; מַטְלִית patch, lining, Šab. xxiv. 5 ; night, appearance, ib. xix. 6.

Maqtal: חַּ bellows, t. Be. iii. 15 .
260. (e) Ground-form Magattel : Participle Pi'el; further some fem. nouns of a participial origin : מְקַּנְּנְ strainer, Ab. v. 15.
201. (v) Prefix $\mathrm{j}: ~ P a r t i c i p l e ~ N i p h ' a l ; ~ f u r t h e r ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w i n g ~$


 cf. § 177 , Pilíl uninterrupted flow, Toh. viii. 9.
262. (vi) Prefix D, ש: Verbal nouns formed from Saph'el and


 empty (of a tree that bears no fruit), Kil. vi. 5.
263. (vii) Prefix $月$, chiefly in abstracts.
(a) Ground-form Taqtal, Taqtil.

 43 b; תַּרְוָור spoon, Kel. xvii. 12.


284. (b) Ground-form Tiqtal, Tiqtul.

Tiqtul, only fem.:
 t. BB iv. 14 ;
265. (c) Ground-form Taqtil, Taqtal.
 תַּמְצִית ; תַּכְטִׁים
 Yo. viii. I.






(5) Nouns formed by means of Suffixes.


267. (ii) Suffix $i_{\bar{T}}$, fi-. This suffix is used to form abstract nouns and abjectives. Nouns of a concrete sense with this suffix are mostly of an originally adjectival character (cf. Stade, § 292; Barth, p. 316 f.).
(a) Suffix $i_{T}$.


 mallet-shaped, Bek. vii. 1 ; סַרְבְּוֹרְ
 robber, 'Or. i. 2 ;
 iii. 9 (L L ) ; קוּבְֶּוֹ

Qitlan: לְְִחָּ turnip-shaped, Bek. vii. 1 ; צֵיקן avaricious, Pes.
 t. Meg. iv. 3 ;
 Qatlan forms are in certain texts given as Qutlan, e. g. סוּרְבָּן, קוּבְלֶן, in L, cf. above.

Cf. also the adverbial ending $i_{\top}\left(=\square_{\bar{\top}}\right)$ in מַּ, § 295.
268. (b) Suffix fí-.

Adjectives: אֲחִרוֹן last, BQ iv. 1 ;



[^44] widower, Ket. 7 a ; אֵילֹינִיח barren woman, Yeb. i. ı.

Abstract: : ֶֶ loss, t. Ta'a. i. 2 ;
 Hag. 13 a.

With sharpening of the second radical: גררָעוֹן diminution, 'Ar.
 RH iv. 6 ; חִיָּכוֹן saving, Men. 86 b; נִפָּיוֹן trial, Ab. v. 3 ; נִצָחוֹן
 Šebu. v. 1 ; פֵרֶק redemption, Ket. iv. 4 ; 구눈 appearance, Pea i. i.

Concretes with this ending are found only in a few denominatives with a diminutive significance: !ְרֶעוֹנים seeds, Kil. iii. 2 (only plur., cf. Dan. i. 16); צִמְחוֹנִים green buds, MŠ ii. 3 (only plur.);
 ib. xi. 8 ; קַנָ little reed-basket, Be. i. 8.
 p. 348 f .). The form is as scarce in MH as in BH. In Aram., on the other hand, it is extremely common, and can be formed at will from any noun, cf. Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 13 r.
269. (iii) Suffix ' , , usually spelt ${ }^{K} K_{-}$, or " ${ }^{\prime}$ (in Palestinian texts), to distinguish it from the vowel letter ${ }^{-} \ldots$. It is only found in a few nouns: אַחִרי responsible, Dam. iii. 5 (cf. Prov. xxviii. 23);

 § 301 ; Ges.-K., § 86 i).
270. (iv) Suffix '-. To this formation belong denominative adjectives describing origin.





 Meg. 24 b; so טִבְרִנית Tiberian, j. Šeq. iii. 2 ; ${ }^{1}$ פּוּפְרִ rural, wild, Kil. i. 6.
 three, four years old, Pa. i. I (cf. below, § 398).

Of other nouns : אֵלּלִ? born in the month of Elul, Bek. ix. 5 ;
 domestic, Be. v. 7 ; יְחִיִי alone, Ab. iii. 4 ; עוֹלִמיח ever, Yeb. iii. 9 ;




 aromatic, Nid. 52 a ; עִירוֹנִי
 Ber. 57 b.
271. (v) Suffix $\boldsymbol{n}^{-}$, Fem. and chiefly denominative : ת tub,
 חָבִית cask. Šab. xvi. 3 ; חַרְטִית pottery, MŠ v. ı ; טַּלִּ vi. 4 ; יבבְלִית pulp, Kel. iii. 6 ; כָּפְּנְית date berry, 'Or. i. 9 ; עִדִּית best



With Diminutive fotce: : ְְּדוּרִיח a little band, ${ }^{2}$ Sifra roc; ruined partition wall, 'Er. v. i; בַּדִיִִיח little pitcher, t. Men.
 boat, BB 78 b; שְנוּנִית a swallow, Šab. 77 b ; עסָסִית pounded wheat or peas, t. Be. i. 23 .

[^45]Cf. also the reduplicated forms with this suffix enumerated above, § $244{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$
272. (vi) Suffix 7 - —, chiefly used in forming abstracts from concrete nouns : אוּפָּנוּ handicraft, Qid. iv. 14 ; אֲנִינוּת grief, MŠ

 error, Ned. ix. 10 ; יַלִלְּת kingdom,





Also in nomina actionis : גְּמְילמוֹת doing, Ab. i. 2 ;
 § 228.

This suffix is sometimes combined with another suffix : אֲחרָיוּת responsibility, BM ii. 7 ; רַבָּנוּ authority, ib. ı0; קַבְלָׁנוּת contract, BM x. 4 (cf. also Dalman, p. 182).
(6) Formation by Sound-insertion.
273. (i) Insertion of a У̇owel Sound to form Diminutives. The original Ground-form was Qutail (cf. Barth, Nominalbildung, pp. $3^{12}$ ff. ; Brockelmann, Grundriss, i. § 137), but in MH the diphthong $a i$ was contracted to $\hat{\varepsilon}$ and $\hat{\imath}$. The first vowel $u$ was also contracted in most cases to Shewa. We thus get the forms Qutêl, Qetêl, and Qetíl.

Qutêl. The only examples are the feminines ${ }^{2}$ a ${ }^{2}$ a little oil

${ }^{1}$ Several BH nouns ending in $n^{-}$- may also, perhaps, be regarded as


${ }_{2}$ This is the reading of the best texts, including $L$. Other texts have ה,

So N., M., and editions. In BB iv. 8 editions have שׂומירָה, but N.


 Targum Prov. xiv. 6 ; xii. 9 ; xxvii. 7.

Qetail, all feminine: חֲ חִִִיסָה a small bag, BM. i. 8 (cf. t. ib.
 Ter. ix. 3 ; עֲ עְיָּ A full-sized bed is always מִטָה in MH); סְליללה a little basket, 'AZ ii. 7 (L and N. Other texts have סְלוּלָה). So in proper


That the formation is original in MH, and not borrowed from Aram. (Barth, loc. cit.), is shown by its comparative frequency in MH as compared with its scarcity in Aram.
274. (ii) Insertion of a Consonantal Sound.

ר: :
 enclosure, 'Er. ii. 3.
 a foreign word).

## (7) Formation of Nouns from Biblical Expressions.

275. Certain Biblical expressions have given tise to new nouns

 שומרה. L has in all these passages שומרה. Cf. Maimonides and other commentaries, ad loc.

¹ מַחַט שִִֶּׁטַל חִרִירָּ a needle, the eyelet of which has been removed范a needle of sack-makers, the eyelet of which, \&c. Cf. Syr.

${ }^{3}$ From כפּ קפ. L and other texts have more correctly קפ׳פה, diminutive of קוֹקָה. So elsewhere, e.g. So. ii. 1 ; Kel. xxvi. I. Cf. Wright, Comp. Gr., p. 167 ; Kohut, iv. 298.
 particle אֵ BQ 4ib; לאחוּין prohibitionists (לא לאו) Yeb. ıob; (עודיין (ע, עedras, plur. of vii. 2 ; Ter. iv. 7 ;

 שׁשֶּוֹא בְלא יָּבא ' who is included in the command: 'He shall not enter' (Deut. xxiii. 3); Yeb. iv. $1_{3}$; ‘' command 'Thou shalt not give' (Lev. xxv. 37), BM v. II; 'אוֹתוֹ וְאֶת בְּנוֹ' נוֹהֵג ' the command 'It and its young' (Lev. xxii. 28) is in force, \&c., Ḥul. v. i.

## (8) Diminulives. ${ }^{1}$

278. We may summarize here the various methods employed by MH to express the diminutive. Most of these forms have already been given above.
(i) Reduplication.
(a) Ground-form Qatlul, especially with the termination $\pi-$, § 244.
(b) Ground-form Qtaltul, § 245.
(ii) By means of Suffixes.
(a) Suffix lí-, § 268.

(iii) Insertion of Vowel-Sound (Qutai).
(a) Ground-form Qutel, § 273.
(b) Ground-form Qetel, § 273 .
(c) Ground-form Qetil, § 273 .


 hammer, Kel. xxix. 7. Perhaps also לip בַ the Bath Qol, Yeb.

[^46]
## 3. Formation of the Feminine.

277. In the formation of the Feminine, MH follows faithfully the rules of BH , except that it uses more frequently the older and more expressive termination $n_{\text {_ }}$. But the termination $n_{\sim_{\top}}$ is still the more common of the two.
(i) $\pi_{\Gamma}$ is found in nouns like יְבָּמָה a brother's childless widow, Yeb. ii. 3 ; לְחָלָה illegitimate issue of a priest, Qid. iv. 6 ; לְיָה a Levite woman, Qid. iii. 5 ; נְחִינָה female descendant of the
 t. Kil. v. 4 ; רְחלֵה ewe, Ḥul. xi. 2.
 Šab. xxii. 3 ; מְחיצָה party-wall, Kil. iv. 4. Abstracts: חִזְקָה usu-
 ii. 8 ; ; pich cooling, Ab. iv. 17.



Usually in Adjectives: טְהוֹרָה pure, Ket. ii. 5 ; צְרִיכָה necessary,
 thin, small, ib. i. 4.

Participles: Exclusively in Participle active Qal of Intransitive verbs of the form Qatel, and Participle passive Qal (§ 113 ). Predominantly in Partic. of ע"ע (except the Intensive Stem and


On the Aramaizing spelling of $\kappa_{\odot}$ for $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mp}$ cf. above, $\S 38$ footnote.
278. (ii) $\pi_{-}, \pi_{-}$(after a guttural) : waman proselyte, Yeb. vi. 5.; שֶּהֶנת female descendant of the priests, ib. xvi. 7 ; מַמְֶרֶ


 dessert, Ab. iii. 18.

Participles: cf. §§ 111, 118, 122, 144.
279. (iii) Termination $\Pi^{1}-\ldots$, in nouns and adjectives:







## 4. The Formation of the Plural.

## (1) Masculine Nouns.

281. The plur. termination of masc. nouns is in MH almost as often $r$ _ as $\square^{-}$. The termination $\Gamma \ldots$ is not an Aramaism. $-i n$ is probably the more original of the two terminations, since $-n$ is common to nearly all Semitic languages. It is the only one found on the Meša' stone, though Phoenician uses only -im. In BH the form -in is found frequently, ${ }^{1}$ and as early as the Song of Deborah (טִּיֹ Judges v. ro). It must have been more common still in the spoken language. Its frequent occurrence in MH can, therefore, be explained as a purely Hebraic phenomenon. However, in very many cases it is due to the Aramaizing proclivities of the copyists, as is proved by comparing different texts.
282. The following peculiarities may be noted about the plur. of masc. nouns in MH.

Nouns of the Ground-form לֶip (Qutl) retain in many texts, especially those of Babylonian origin, the vowel letter 1 also in the

 merely an orthographic peculiarity, and the first letter should be pronounced with a short vowel $\gamma\left(\begin{array}{rl}\text { : }\end{array}\right)$, as usually in L . But it

[^47]is also possible that the traditional pronunciation of the first vowel as long ( $\bar{o}-i$ ) is correct. The full spelling with $i$ would, therefore,
 Ges.-K., § 93 r. ${ }^{1}$
 BH שְּסִילִים- פֶּסֶל
 market, שְׁוֹוחקים SM Cf. Ges.-K., § 93 w.

Some nouns from ע"ע roots, show the reduplicated form in the plur., on the analogy of the tri-radicals: : צִרְרָים Siab. xx. 4,

 the termination,

署 weaver, Dam. i. 4, has the plur. analogy of עֲנְ.ַ.
 letter $א$ in the plur.:
 Yo. viii. 5. L and other Pal. texts often have l",-, I, \&c. So


Similarly, the $\pi^{4 \prime}$ noun half, BQ iv. $9=$ plur. constr.


 Forms ending in a vowel insert , (or $א$ in Babylonian texts) between the final vowel and the plur. termination: מַַה =) מַשְׁה

[^48] iv. 5 ; rẹi Šebu. 33 b (cf. § 275).
 ששיריים (L) as dual and so pronounced in editions traditionally: שׁׁירַיָם. Cf. also the verbal form, § 162, and below, § 293.

A few nouns of the form Qatil take in the plur. the form Qatal:





## (2) Feminine Nouns.

283. (i) Feminine nouns ending in the sing. in $\Pi_{\bar{\top}}$, or $\Pi_{\nabla}$, $n_{-}$, form the plur. in $\pi i-$, e.g. Iittle girl, תִּנוֹקֶת Yo. viii. 4.

Some segolate nouns ending in $\Omega$ retain the $\Omega$ in the plur. :


 Cf. for BH Ges.-K., 95 f.
 § 249 note.
 last form is actually found in L., Hag. i. 10, and in K., ${ }^{1}$ 'Ed. i. 12. Cf. also Bek. vi. 5-genitals of animal ; further, Habak. iii. 9.


[^49]middle, בֵּינוֹנִיוֹת Kel. xvii. 10 ; חָבִית jar, חֲבִיוֹת Ned. viii. 7 ; טַלִּת cloak, nub Zab. iv. 5 (but also nimen in later texts, e.g.



 Ges.-K., § 87 k .
285. (iii) Nouns ending in the sing. in ni - form the plur. in
 i. I; Hag. 15 a.

Some nouns in ni_ take the termination nim ; mandi-
 letter of the alphabet, ת Šab. viii. 3 (תוֹתִּאוא is plur. of sign, Kil. ix. 10 ).


 ture (תוֹשׂׂ sections of the spine, Hul. 45 b).

## (3) Foreign Nouns.

288. The plur. of nouns borrowed from Gk. and Lat. is formed, like that of native nouns, by attaching the plur. termination ${ }^{1}$ '-, תí_, to the sing. in its MH form, ${ }^{2}$ thus : טְם (




${ }^{2}$ Cf. Krauss, Lehnwörter, i, 88 315-25; Albrecht, $\S 84 \mathrm{k}, 1$. לִיסְטים,
 exception, cf. Kohut, v, p 46 a. Usually the sing. is also written לסטuים.


In the case of fem. nouns ending in the sing. in the vowel $\bar{a}$ ( $=\kappa_{\Gamma_{\Gamma}}, \pi_{\Gamma_{\Gamma}}$ ), $\kappa$, or usually in Palest. texts,, , is inserted between the final vowel of the sing. $\bar{a}$, and the vowel $\bar{\sigma}(=\pi i$, ) of the plur. termination, in order to obviate the meeting of two vowels. Thus:


 תוֹאְרְ Ab, iii. 18. Some foreign nouns have become fully naturalized in the language, and form their plur. regularly, e.g.


This form of the fem. plur. termination for foreign nouns, nik- $\boldsymbol{n}_{T_{-}}$, is also used for Gk. nouns ending in the sing. in

 Further,


287. This plur. termination, nik- $\boldsymbol{N}_{\top}$, $\boldsymbol{i n}_{\boldsymbol{-}}$ became very common through the influx of Gk . and Lat. nouns into the language, especially in later MH. As a result this termination is also found ‘sometimes in native nouns, thus: מִקְוֶה a ritual bath, nimp Šeq. i. i (but L has מִpici; so Miq. i. i, \&c.) ; מֶרְחָ a bathing


 also the Aram. noun ביריוח) בּירָאוֹת cisterns, 'Er. ii. i.

 t. BB vil. 9. Of אֲחָּוֹת , Yeb. ii. 6 , as in BH.
288. As in BH, numerous masc. nouns form the plur. by ni--,

general rule, nomb




 fice,


So also a number of nouns from ע' y roots; D


 nisueq. iv. 4.

 12) ; but the construct is בִּלִ Suk. v. 3 , בְּ Kel. xxvii. 5.

The same difference between the plur. absolute and construct



 פּ Naz. iii. 7.

Verbal nouns of the Ground-form Haqtel (§ 251), being really fossilized infinitives, have no plur. of their own. They use the plur. of the corresponding verbal noun Haqtala, e.g. הֶֶֶֶ cor.

 (§ 116 ) is in the plur. תin Ker. i. i.
289. Conversely, many fem. nouns take the masc. termination






 I Šים Šq. viii. 5. Cf. Ges.-K., § 87 q.
290. Some nouns show both plurals : ְְרידָה pair of pigeons,
 BQ 38 b; רְ רְחִלים and new, Hul. xi. 1,2 (in L ; cf. Ḥul. 137 b (above, § 3)); מַסְטְרוֹת BB 7b, and מַסְמְרִים Gen. R. 68,


Sometimes there is a variation in meaning between the two plurals: יָיִים days, Yo. i. i, and nip collective : season (only in constr.). Ber. i. 5 ; Seq. viii. 1 ; Toh. vi. 7 ; jears, Ab. v. 21 ,
 bones, and بְצָּמוֹת collective, 'Ed. i. 7 ; קִבָרִים graves, Ta'a. 25 b, and תבְ ָּרוֹת collective, burial-place, Naz. ix. 3. ${ }^{2}$ With a derived




 trees, Ma'a. iv. 6, \&c.; ; שִׁיַּיִּ teeth and teeth of rock, cliffs,

 iv. 7 ; יָּ יָּ hands; handles, Yo. iii. 2.
291. In the lists given above, the reader will have observed several nouns with plur. in MH which in BH are only found in the sing., e.g.
${ }^{1}$ But prob. read ממשלות; cf. Lewin, אנרת ר׳ שרירא, p. iii (נספחים).
${ }^{2}$ For the original collective sense of the fem. termination, cf. Stade, § 322 c .








 xxviii. 3), Šeq. iv. I.
292. Conversely, many nouns which in BH appear only in the plur., are found in MH also in the sing. In addition to those
 tioned : אַלִמוג red sandal wood, Kil. xiii. 6 ; onion, Šobi. i. 10 ;
 Šab. iii. I (BH ${ }^{\text {( }}$ )

## 5. The Dual.

293. The Dual, which has practically disappeared from Aram., is quite common in MH. Not only has MH retained the old BH
 also preserved the absolute form of the dual of nouns which in BH occur only in the construct or with suffixes, e.g. back, Kel.



 Q


[^50]


 ii. 6.

## IV. PARTICLES

## 1. Adverbs.

294. MH has lost a considerable number of BH adverbs. Some of these have been replaced by MH formations, or by borrowings from Aram. Others, mostly of a poetical nature, have found no exact substitutes in MH.

The following is a list of BH adverbs not found in MH. The words in brackets represent their MH substitutes.




 only as adjective, Ta'a. ii. 2.


 is shortened to

 עַכְּשׁׁ (probably = א

[^51]
 So also 2 שxi is an Aramaism $=$ 2หด.

## (1) Adverbs of Place.

 where ? Šab. i. 3 ; Šeq. vi. i. So in Aram. (cf. Barth, Pronominalbildung, p. 81), but very probably indigenous in MH.

With prepositions: ְלהיכָן whither? Šab. xvi. ı; מהֵיכָן whence? Yo. v. 5 ; עַ חֵּיָּ until where? Pes. x. 6.
 thither, Pes. vii. 13 ; Zab. iii. 2 ; or הילק קוהחילק Mak. iii. 12.

איא where? only with prepos. : לְאִז whither? Ter. iv. II;
 Hag. 15a; more often introducing quotations from Scripture : Šab. ix. iff., \&c.


 Gen. R. 4.
 Dam. i. 3. So. vii. 5 .
 outside, 'Er. vii. 9; לְ לְ viii. 12 ; מִן הַפוֹרִיעִיח וּלְחוּ from Modin and outside beyond, as opposed to מִן הַטוֹרִיעִית וְלְִִנִים Hag. iii. 5.
is improbable. Perhaps the Aram. form is more original, and is to be equated with the BH א . On עֲ עְ cf. Dalman, p. 212, foot-note.
${ }^{1}$ From $\mathcal{N} \boldsymbol{N}+\boldsymbol{i}$, cf. Dalman, $\delta$ 46. This particle is, however, much more common in MH than in Aram. The traditional pointing $1 \times$ is incorrect, as


, בָּ and there, 'Er. x. 11; לְכָא, after a verb of motion, 'Er. 40b; מִכָּאן on the one side, on the other side, Kil. vi. 6;


מַטַּה , מַטָּ in Palest. texts and also occasionally in others, especially in passages connected with the Temple and its ritual, Mid. i. 5, \&c. This adverbial termination $i_{T}$ is a modification of the BH $\square_{\top}$, cf. Ges.-K., § $100 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~h}$, and above, § 267 .
 below, 'Er. viii. 6 ; מִלְמַטִּ Šab. 98 b.
 passages cited above.

מַפְרֵע, only with ל לְמְפְרע : backwards, Ber. ii. 3.
 not found in MH) RH ii. 5 ; Šab. xvi. 4, \&c.

## (2) Adverbs of Time.

298. לאָח לאזחוֹר :ל backwards, Hag. ii. i.
 Pa. iii. 5.

אֵימָתַּ שֶׁתּחֶצֶה ; whenever thou
 ib. viii. 7 .


 in Pal. texts ; contracted in Babyl. texts to לִאלְתִּ Git. iii. 3; viii. 8.

 noon and further, Men. x. 5 .

Tָּ
 יָ על עָ they do not compel him to sell at once, but he may sell very gradually, BQ 80 a . (So perhaps BH, Prov. vi. 5 ; cf.

 and in the future, Kel. vii. ı ; לְאַחר מִּבָּא afterwards, Qid. ii. 6.
בְּבָּ already, Yad. iv. 4 ; צְבְבָ a formula introducing a report of an event in confirmation of a previous statement, j. Ket. v. 4.
 BM viii. r .



 Aram., is never used in MH).

למקַפְרע : מַפְרעע before, backwards, Miq. ii. 2.
עוֹר yet, again, Yo. vi. 1 ; with suff. : עוֹרָהּ ; Bik. iii. 6 , עוֹדו
 Šab. i. 5 .

עוֹלָ with prepos.: לְעוֹלָם always, Ter. ii. 4; with negative: never, MQ 26 b ; so מֵעוֹלָם, with negative: never, Mak. i. ıo; עולִָיִית for ever, Yeb. iii. 7 ; with negative : never, Šab. xxiii. 4.

עֲרַי", עֲדַאי in Palest. texts, irregular, improvised, Heal. iii. I (opposed to קֶקַע fixed, Suk. 2 a).
 BB v. 2.
 literature.

תָּרִיר continually, always, BB iii. .

กֵֶּ immediately, only once in Mishna: Men. ix. 8, but frequent in later literature, e.g. Ber. 42 a, \&c. Cf. the verb, Kil. ix. 10.
297. Other adverbial expressions of time are : בָּרִשׁׂוֹנָ at the
 Babyl. texts always לְכַתְחִּלִּה ) as at the beginning, Šab. vi. 5 ,

 Pes. i. 6, with ${ }^{4}$, never ; cf. BH I Kings i. 6, \&c.

## (3) Adverbs of Manner.

298. 'Er. v. 9; אֵין אֲנֵי Šebu. iv. 2 ff. Suffixes are attached in some persons without the so-called nun epentheticum, as in BH (Ges.-K., § $1000, p$ ), thus : 1 sing. הינ Ber. ii. 5 ; 3 sing. masc. אֵינו ; fem. אינָה So. vi. 3 ; 3 plur. איֶָ ib. viii. 3.

Before words beginning with $\mathcal{K}$,

 ${ }^{\text {Naz. iv. } 5 .}$

אֵ not, Ab. i. 3, \&c.
לאַּ below, § $47^{2}$ ).
 after a negative, Ber. i. I; or

הֵיאֵּ how? BH; איך RH ii. 8, \&c.
in yes, Git. vii. r.
 II have certainly used sacred property; opposed to

nach round about, over again, Suk. v. 6; Pes. 48 b.
יָּכוֹל
could say (of an anthropomorphic expression applied to the Deity), San. vi. 5. (Cf. Kohut, iv. 130-2.)
 (שׁׁ MŠ v. 2, \&c.


 ת Pex Pea i. 4 ; Ber. ib. (L, of masc.).

İְ like the colour of-like, as, for instance, Šebi. viii. I; cf.
' ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ as much as, Šab. viii. I.
as Kil. vii. 6 ; ְּרֶרֶ as his manner, as usual, Máa. iii. 10.

בִּיוֹצֵא בְ־

 how? Ber. vi. I, \&c.
 sense of 'that is to say', ' namely'.
 בַּפָּה נָאִים how beautiful, Šab. 33 b; עֲל אַחַת בַּפָּה וְבַטָּה how much more and more? Mak. i. 7 (in arguments from minor to major).

בְּמִין like the kind of, like, Suk. ii. 2.
Tּנְּנֶּ against, Dam. i. 2.
קֶּ
בֵּ
${ }^{1}$ Cf., however, Barth, Etymolog. Stud., p. 39, who holds that the $\Im$ is a radical which suffered aphaeresis in BH.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Sirach xxxviii. 17. It is also found in Aram., no doubt, as an adaptation from MH., בְּנֵָיק בֵּיהּ j. Targ. Gen. ii. 18, 20 ; Deut. xiv. 18. The origin of the expression is obscure. Perhaps we may derive it from the
 viz. to annul, and hence-to balance, to be equal, like.
 vi. 6,7 ; צעל שָּ for this purpose, 'Ed. viii. 3.
 agrees that it is so-how much more so? (in arguments from the minor to the major).
 not so but, Yeb. viii. 3 ; BQ iii. 1 . ${ }^{1}$
not, no.
放 not, no, particularly in alternatives after Q , when the verb is omitted, Ber. iii. 2, 5, \&c. (§§ 466, 489).

מָה how ? Ber. 10, 2, with preposition : לָָׁה why 'AZ ii. 7 ;

299. Other adverbial expressions of manner are : privately, San. vii. 8 ; with certainty, Šeq. vi. 2 ; RHi. 5 ; שֶׁלּלא ; with thanks, i.e. with owner's permission שְטוֹבָה without gratuity, 'AZ iv. 3 ; שֶּׁלֹא בְטוֹבָתi against his will, San. vii. 2 ; בְּפּירֶ distinctly, 'Or. i. 7.

עִיקֶּ 'all the root'-altogether, in a negation, Be. iii. 6;
 Kil. vii. 7.
 against her will, So. 19 a, \&c.
 two rows in a cross.line, Dam. vii. 8 ; Kel. xviii. 5.
${ }^{1}$ Others, following Geiger, Lehrbuch, p. 24, point כִ, and regard the expression as an imitation of the BH לֹאִ (1 Sam. viii. 19, \&c. ; cf. $B D B$., p. 475 a), which was misinterpreted by the Rabbis. But such an artificial explanation is most improbable. לא לא כי only occurs before $\mathbb{K}$, and is, therefore, parallel to אֵי from before $K$, as above.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. RH 21 b from Ps. xii. 7 ; further, Šab. 133 b ; Men. 64 a; Gen. R. 23; cf. also Barth, Etymol. Stud., p. 65.

סירוּגִין ('chequer work'), alternately ; קִרָאָּ פֵירוּנִ he read it in alternate verses, Meg. ii. 2; BQ 37 a.

ס סְחָ (' stopping up'), undefined, unspecified, Dam. iii. i.
 Ta'a. ii. 6.

אַחַת in one bat (name of measure, Isa. v. io)-in one stroke, at once, Heul. ii. 3 .

## 2. Prepositions.

300. MH prepositions are all of Heb. origin. They include (a) BH prepositions preserved in their old significance; (b) BH nouns or other forms turned by MH into prepositions, e.g. חֵמָה wrath : מיחְטַת through the fury of, the energy, or activity of-
 upon the back of, upon; עֲל מנְת on the portion of, for the advantage of, for the sake of, on condition, in order that; so שיׁ name, subject, and its various combinations.

The following BH prepositions have been lost in MH: אֵ

301. אָאחֹרוֹיִּם the back, is used as a prepos. in the dual (§ 293), in the sense of 'behind ', corresponding to פָנִים (below).



 viii. 6.




 'Er. iii. 6.

Of place, behind; usually with prefix ל לְאַר הַחַּזה : behind the sun, RH ii. 6 ; שְֶּׁאֵחר הַקוֹצְרִים that which is behind the reapers

 with the back of, his hand (L) לְאחר), Šab. x. 3.
אֶּ rare : אֶל into the space under the remainder (L omits אל). Kil. vi. 3, 4; מֶיוֹם אֶל יוֹם from day to day, Ned. viii. I (so L, probably a reminiscence of Num. xxx. 15 ). ${ }^{1}$ With suff. in the plur., as in BH, only in a few exx.: בָּא אֵל Naz. 4 b; אָחָרֶ it returned to it, Pes. vii. 2 (some texts have
 speak to him, Mak. ii. 5. Frequently with prefix ${ }^{-1}$ and the suff. of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person in a reflexive sense: מאيלָיו of itself, 'Or. i. 2;
 I do not say it from my own authority, Yo. 5 b.

Nצל by the side of, at, to (after verbs of motion-BH $h_{א}$ ):
 אֵּ he leaves his cloak with him, Šab. xxiii. r.

בְ in, as prefix and also with suff. ( ${ }^{\text {Nid. }} 58 \mathrm{~b}, \& \mathrm{c}$.), as in BH. Also in combination with nouns to
 , בֶּ בְּ , \&c.
 . . . and') are expressed by לְ . . . . a festival and the Sabbath, Meg. i. 5 ff. ; Yo. iii. 5, \&c. Also:
 Hal. i. 9 ; from Joel ii. 17) ; לְ לְבֵין הָעָם between him and the
 between him and himself, and themselves-privately; so בֵּינוֹ לְבֵינוֹ
${ }^{1}$ Other scriptural phrases with אֶּ are: Yo. v. 6; So. vii. 2, 5 ; viii. 1 ; Mak. iii. 2 (ע) ; Z®b. xiv. 1 ; Pa. xi. 4, 5 (L ל).
privately, 'AZ ii. 2 ; בֵּינוֹ לְבְינָּ Yob. xii. 5. Also as noun : על בֵּין הַבַּדִּים on the space between the staves, Zeb. v. r.
, חוּץ לִחְחום : followide the boundary, 'Er. v. 7; also with i local, but without any special significance : חוצָה לָּ expression חוצָה לָאֶָץ outside the Land (of Israel), as opposed to Ter. i. 5 ; Hal. ii. r, 2, \&c. So בָּרֶץ Dam. ii. r.
 ii. 5 ; חוּץ מִוֹ בִּלְבר except this alone, Yo. iii. 3.

כְ, combines with some nouns to form prepositions : בְּנֶד over

 study of the Torah against (= compares, outweighs) them all,
 viii. 8.
 Sab. viii, Iff.
 facing the people; קָּלֶּ facing the gallows, San. vi. 4;


לִ to, as prefix and with suffixes (לִ, לִ? fem. לִ? Git. iv. 8, \&c.), as in BH. Also prefixed to prepositional nouns: לְלְבין , לְאַחַ,

 them (rare), Šeq, vi. 3 .
 thou wilt not come to transgression, Ab. ii. у ; לִירי סַָָּּ ל ל to danger, Yo. ii. 2.
 words, Ya. iv. 3 .

לְשִּ to the mouth of-according to : לִשִּ הָאוֹרְחִין according to the guests, Šab. xx. 2 ; לְפִּ רוֹב הַמְּשְשֶׁה according to the abundance of
the works, Ab. iii. 15 ; לְפִי חִיָּדָן because of the love entertained for them, Ya. iv. 6.
 לִפְנֶיך , \&c., as in BH.
? ? to the name of-for the sake of, for the purpose of:
 the purpose of idolatry, 'AZ. iii. 7 .
 מְִּּק , \&c., as in BH. Often the demonstrative particle (= BH N(n) is prefixed instead of $\square$ when it has the pronominal suffix : תהּמֶךְ from thee, Ned. 5 a; הֵימֶּ from him, Šebi. x. 9 ; Git. viii. 9 ; הֵימֶּהּה from her, Pea iv. 3 ; vii. 8; Ket. 27 b. The texts often waver between these and the older forms: מִשֶֶּׁ

Also in the sense of 'in the power of' : לא הֵיטָּ לוֹמַר it is not in thy power to say, Num. R. 4 ; especially with - כָּל הֵימָּ לוֹמַר : בָּל ל וֹל

 the first has no power to destroy the right of the second, Git.

 BB 154 b.
 from the front of the wall and towards within, towards without, MŠ. iii. $7,8$.

Prefixed to prepositional nouns:
 מַחְמַת חוֹלִי in consequence of the sickness, Git. vii. 3 .
 an abstract of the eighteen benedictions, Ber. iv. 3 (cf. Ber. 29 a);
 of the nature of evil, ib. ix. 3 ; מֵֵֵין שְלאכָה אֵחת of the nature of, similar to one work, Šab. vii. I.

 נוֹתֵג he behaves to it as to a god, 'AZ iii. 4.' Cf. also on לN, above, and $¥ \mathfrak{j i n}$, below.
 as far as a space of two seahs, 'Er. ii. 3. $\begin{gathered}\text { y } \\ \text { is not found with }\end{gathered}$ pronominal suffixes.
y upon; also with suffixes: עָלִ, , עָליֶ, as in BH; cf. also below, § 363 .

In combination with nouns:
 them, Šab. xi. 2 ; טָמֵא עֶל גַּב עֲם הָאָּרץ unclean upon, because of,
 Miq. viii. 5. More frequently with the constr. dual (§ 293):
 ib. iv. 9 ; Kil. ii. II ; y


 על וְיֵּ עוֹרָה together with its skin, MŠ. i. 6; iii. 1 I.
 Ab. i. 3.

עֶל שֵׁם סוֹמí : yor the name, purpose, of-because of because of his end, San. viii. $5,6$.
 found in MH).

[^52]Of time：עִם הִּנֶּטֶש with the sun－while the sun still shines，
 while，before，the soul goes forth，ib．xxiii． 5 ．
a preceding，before（properly a participle），followed by the accusative，or by ${ }^{-\zeta}$（indirect object，cf．§ 351 ）．The texts waver．

 its fellow ；precede the additional sacrifices，Zeb． x．Iff．Similarly ：
before doing them，Pes． 7 b．
 the time，Nid． 45 b，but usually with another preposition prefixed．

Min，at ：בְּתוֹך
 into it water，Ber．vii． 5 ；מָדם לְתוֶֹ צְלוֹחִיתו ל he measured into his flask，＇AZ v． 7 ；מִחּ from ：מָטֶל מִתוֹכָּ he took from it，Šab．i．ı；促解 from his own，Šebi．iii． 6.

 his hand，BB 173 a．

## 3．Conjunctions．

302．Of the old BH conjunctions and conjunctive expressions， MH has lost the following（the words in brackets give the MH substitutes）：


 88303,462 ．＇习习 alone is preserved only in elevated diction，ander the influence of BH，e．g．in the liturgy（cf．Singer，pp．46，47，\＆c．），or in a poetical passage like אוֹי נָא לָּ ．．．כִּ אָבְרָה כְלִי דֶמְדָּתָה woe to her ．．．for she has lost her precious jewel，MQ 25 b ．
 on Adverbs, § 294).
 perhaps also the form ${ }^{2}$ שֶּ

The conjunction שֶֶּׁ ( $=$ שֶּ was adopted by Aram. from MH. But Aram. influenced its spelling in MH with final $\mathcal{K}$ for $\boldsymbol{N}$ :

MH shows some other interesting new formations, such as the use of the verbal form אִלְמָלי



 has also greatly extended and developed the uses of the BH

303. We give here a list of the conjunctions and conjunctive expressions. For examples of their usage and their various constructions, the reader is referred to the respective sections in the Syntax.

Co-ordinating : ! and ; אֵ also, moreover, indeed.
Adversative, restrictive, and exceptive : אֲבָּ ; אֶּאָא but, except; הֶּקֶּ of a truth, however.

Disjunctive: iא or ; ב . . . . whether . . . or.

 nevertheless.


Consecutive : לְפִיכָּ therefore.
${ }^{1}$ The verb b ! as in BH: Joshua vii. 7 ; 2 Sam. vii. 29. Cf. Bacher, Aelleste Terninologic, p. 37.
 introduce a subordinate clause. Hence like different meanings in accordance with the character of the clause it introduces, viz. a causal, concessive, conditional, or final meaning.
 expressions to form the following new conjunctions.








Restrictive : וּבִלְבְד שֶׁ only that.

## 4. Interjections.

304. (1) Pure Interjections.

iל woe! Yeb. xiii. 7 ; iii. 5 (L) ; 'Ed, iv. 8 (L has in one word, as Qoh. iv. 10; cf. x. 16); Wִּ Wh, heavens! RH 19 a.

י הוֹי אִּש יאֵּ woe! Ab. deRN. xxv 3.
אָּ pray, Yo. iii. 8 (only liturgical). So in a poetical passage MQ 25 b , and in the liturgy.
 Ma'a. ii. 5, 6.

הְרֵי behold, Ber. vii. 5 ; שְרֵי behold I!

The following BH pure interjections have been lost in MH:

305. (2) Words and phrases used as Exclamations.

הָאֵלהּם by God! Hul. 54 a, \&c. (late, and mostly in semi-Aram. passages).

תְִּּרִ by the Covenant! Pes. 38 b; t. Ḥal. r.
 of a heathen).

חְִבְ alas! Ned. 74 b; Sifre Deut. xviii. 12 (prob. Aram.).
חסם וְשָׁלֹ May He have pity and grant peace!-God forbid 'Ed. v. 6 ; Ya. iii. 5 .

הַּעׁעּ By this habitation (he Temple)! Ker. i. 7; vi. 3 ; $K^{\circ}$ t. ii. 9. .

הָעֲבוֹדָה by the worship! Ber. 34 b ; Yeb. $3^{2}$ b.
הַשָׁpun by Heaven, Ab. deRN. xxxviii. 3 .
The following BH exclamations have been lost in MH: הָבָה, . לְנָה , חִֵּּה

## PART III

## S Y N T A X

## I. THE VERB

## 1. Tenses and Moods.

308. In the use of the Tenses, MH has attained to a greater precision and exactness than BH. Generally in MH the perfect coincides with the present, the imperfect with the future, and the participle with the present. The perfect and the imperfect (and also the imperative) describe simple acts. Continuous, or repeated, or customary action is expressed by the participle alone for the present, by the participle with the perfect of $\begin{gathered}\text { Tָה } \\ \text { for the past, }\end{gathered}$ by the participle with the imperfect of


It follows that the use of the perfect for the present in such
 use of the perfect for actions that lie in the future (Perfect of Confidence, \&c.; ib., § $106 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{n}$ ), is not admissible in MH. Likewise, the use of the imperfect to describe actions, whether simple or continuous, which lie in the past or even in the present (ib., § $107 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{h}$ ), is not tolerated in MH.
(i) The Perfect.
307. The perfect describes a single act or event that has already taken place, or that is conceived as having taken place. Hence the perfect is the tense of the past, e.g.: יוֹחֵף הַכֹחּן הֵבִיא בִיכּוּרִים Joseph the Priest brought first ripe fruits, Hal. iv. 2 ; טשֶׁה קִֵּּל

Moses received the Torah from Sinai, Ab. i. 1 ; לאז קָרִנוּ אֶת שְׁמַע
308. In the absence of the imperfect consecutive in MH, the perfect serves also to describe a series of consecutive acts in the
 they delivered him . . . they brought him up . . . they adjured him,

 they brought the stones, and built the altar, and plastered them with plaster, and wrote on them . . . and took the stones, and came and lodged in their place, So. vii. 5 .
309. The perf. also expresses an act which is anterior to another act in the past (pluperfect). Such a pluperfect is usually placed in a relative clause: (רָחַץ he bathed in the first night that his wife had died, Ber. ii. 6 ;


 things which R. Eliezer had declared to be clean, Ber. 19a; and there came a woman who had circumcised, Šab. 134 a.
310. The perf. is used in hypothetical sentences, with or without a conjunction, to express a single act conceived as already performed. English in such cases would often use the present, e.g. יָָא . . . . not pronounced its letters carefully . . . he has discharged his duty; . . .
 on the poor man puts forth his hand . . and puts into the hand of the master of the house, or if
 . . . . . . if they bring him to death . . . should they not
 came to write, we should not be capable, Šab. 13 b; וְאִם כָּבְתָה כָּבְתָה if it is extinguished, let it be extinguished, ib. 120 a ; אִם שִֶָּׁנו הוּ if ours be this Paschal lamb, then let thy hands be withdrawn from thine own, and thou art counted for ours, Pes. ix. io.
311. In descriptions of continuous, or repeated, or customary actions in the past, for which the participle is regularly used, the perf. is sometimes found amidst a series of participles. In such cases the perfect usually has a temporal or hypothetical signifi-


 the ox goes with them . . ., and the flute strikes in front of them, until they reach near Jerusalem. When they have reached near Jerusalem, they send (messengers) in front of them, and they crown their first ripe fruits, and the rulers go forth to meet
 they would bring in the second, and examine him. If their words were found to correspond, they discussed the matter . . . When they finished the matter, they would bring in ..., San. iii. 6-7 ; v. 4-5; vi. I. Sometimes the perf. is used in such cases uithout any apparent hypothetical or temporal significance: . . .

 them and put them . . . and cover themselves . . . when an impurity happens to one of them he goes forth and departs... and goes down and dips himself, comes up and dries himself and warms himself... he comes and sits by the side of his brethren, Tam. i. 1 ; cf. also Yo. i. 1-5; Suk. iv. 5, 9; Neg. xiv. 1-3; Pa. iii. 2-3, 7-8, f., \&c.
312. Sometimes the act described by the perf. has just been performed, in which case English would use the present : yיָקרְ מֵַה שֶׁכָּתוּב בַּתוֹרָה Pes. vi. 1 ; מָה רָאִיתָ לְטַמּם what (reason) dost thou see to make

 which is gradually going out, when a man lays his hand upon it, immediately it is extinguished, Šab. $\mathbf{I}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ b. So also of single acts

 לחחקּקָה every body knows for what purpose a bride enters the bridal chamber, Ket. 8 b.
313. Finally, the perf. is found, though very rarely, also of a future act conceived as already performed : כְּשִׁם שֶׁקַּבּלִּתּ שָּנָּר עֲל just as I shall receive reward for expounding, so shall I receive reward for withdrawing (from expounding), Qid. 57 a. ${ }^{1}$ So sometimes in a conditional sentence, as a futurum exactum, for which usually the participle or imperfect

 this is thy bill of divorcement if I shall have died ... if I shall not have come, Git. vii. 3, 7-9.

## (2) The Imperfect.

314. The imperf. describes a single act or event which has not yet taken place. It is, therefore, the tense of the future: : אֲנִי
 let us write a bill of divorcement, Git. vii. 1, 2. So in dependent


[^53] sufficient time that they be soaked, heated, \&c., Šab. i. 5, 6;
 Ber. $3^{2}$ a. Also in questions: מַּ what shall they do?
 shall I not weep? Bor. 28 b ; וְלא צִקיְיֶֶנּ shall I not fulfil it?
 (could) I do, when they were a majority against me? (L, edd.: (נשׁחְבֵּי רַבּוּ עָלִי
315. The futurity of an act is sometimes emphasized by peri-


 will be forgotten, ib. $13^{8} \mathrm{~b}$.
318. The imperf. is used to describe a series of consecutive acts in the future, where BH would use the perf. consecutive : תָּחובו you will incur the guilt of exile and you will be exiled to the place of the evil waters, and the disciples will drink and die, Ab. i. 9 ;
 I shall go home and eat . . . and drink . . . and sleep and after that I shall read Šemá and pray, Ber. 4 b .
317. The imperf. is used of hypothetical acts, the performance


 Šab. 17 a.


 אטְּ what can that son do that he might not sin ? (he cannot hel committing sin), Ber. 32 a.
319. The imperf. is used to express a wish or a prayer (Optative

 may my mercies suppress mine anger, and may my mercies roll themselves against mine anger, and may I conduct myself towards my children with the attribute of mercy, and may I enter for them . . ., Ber. 7 a ; cf. ib. $16 \mathrm{~b} ; 29 \mathrm{a} ; 60 \mathrm{~b}, \& \mathrm{c}$. (§ 467).
320. To express a command that some act should or should

 and read, but in the morning they should stand, Ber. i. 3 ;
 thy neighbour . . . and do not comfort him . . . , and do not ask of him . . . , Ab. iv. 18.
321. The imperf. expresses an act which must be performed prior to another act in the future (Futurum Exactum). Such an


 thou hast reached his place, Ab. ii. 4 ; מאֵחר שֶׁיֶּתָלְשׁׁ after they
 cover it after it has become dark, Šab. iv. 2 ; cf. § 513.

## (3) The Participle.

322. The participle has retained in MH its twofold character of noun and verb. Its primary function is to describe the subject as being in a state of activity or passivity. By emphasizing the state of the subject, the partic. becomes equivalent to a nounadjective. By emphasizing the activity or the passivity of the subject, the partic. becomes equivalent to a verb. But as even in
the latter case, the activity or passivity of the subject is expressed as a state, the partic. comes to describe an act as in the process of being performed. Hence the partic. becomes in MH the tense of the present. But is by no means confined to the present, even in its verbal character. It is also used, as in BH, of the past and the future to describe acts that are continuous, or repeated, or customary.
323. The partic. as present: עֲל שְׁלשָׁה דְבָּרִים הָעוֹלָם עוֹמֵר the world stands on three thinge, Ab. i. 2 ; בַּעל הַבַּיִּת דּוֹחת
 see where the children read, Šab. i. 3. With pronouns as subject :


 the herbs of the field, some sprout forth, others fade away, 'Er. 54 a.
324. The partic. as past, in a frequentative or iterative sense; usually with the perf. of as auxiliary to emphasize the tense:
 Ber. i. 3 ; דָיִיתָ נוֹתֵּ thou hast conducted thyself, Suk. ii. 7;
 . . . with her hand and laying it, BQ viii. 6 ; especially in conditional


 presence of a human king..., I would, nevertheless, have wept, Ber. $28 \mathrm{~b} ; 3^{2} \mathrm{~b}$ (\$§ 490, 494).

Often, however, the verb היה is omitted, when the context
 found that he was siting, 'Er. IIb; ; אִם הָיָה חָכָם דּוֹרֵשׁ וְִם לָאו

if not, scholars expounded before him, Yo. i. 6 ; Bik. iii. $\mathbf{~}$; Suk. iv. 4, and other descriptive passages cited above, § 3 II.
325. The partic. as future, with or without כְּשֶׁיְּיֶּי בְּעַלִי : היה
 standing before thee ... and when they will depart ..., Ab. i. 8 ; lest thou omit, lest thou add, Er. 13 a, for which the parallel passage in So. 20 a has the
 sure of this one that he will teach doctrine (promulgate decisions) in Israel, Naz. 29b; Git. 58 a ; אֲנִי מֵת I am going to die,
 declared guilty, San. iii. 8. Also of single acts: מַה שֵֶׁׁתּת שׁוֹמַעַת

 my father Yoha, and he will deliver thee to the (Roman) authority, Pes. 112 a.
326. The partic. is used with the imperative to command the performance of a frequentative or iterative act, as contrasted with the ordinary imperative which signifies the performance of



327. The partic. is similarly used with the infinitive of היה in a frequentative and iterative sense : הַּוֹטֵל שְׁנָרוֹ לִהְיוֹת רוֹאֶה he who
 is suspected to be selling, ib. ix ; לִלְיָּת מַמְרִישׁׁ to be separating


 planted and bear fruit ; עֲתִיִִים לְהְיוֹת עוֹשִׁים: they will make, Sifra (Weiss), rio d; cf. below, § 349.
328. The auxiliary verb is sometimes omitted in the infin.,
as in the perf. and imperf. ( $\$ 33^{24}, 3^{25}$ ), but only after certain



 he began to spread out, ib. vi. 3 .
 at the hour when it is the custom of men to lie down ... to rise up,
 of all those about to be put to death to make a confession, San. vii. 2
 accustomed to give, Pea ii. 4 ; Šab. i. 9 (L) ; לֹא נִשְנְעי עוֹשִׁׂ they did not shrink from preparing, Yeb. i. 4; 'Ed. iv. 8 (var.: associates are not suspected of giving tithes . . ., t. Ma'a. ii. 5 (but var. לִתְרוֹ; so Ḥul. 7 a).
329. The partic. also expresses an act which is customary,

 a man goes down to his field and sees ..., Bik. iii. 1 ; בְּשֶׁחוֹחְ
 when they cut . . ., they do not cut but upon the table ; when they kiss, they do not kiss but upon the hand; when they hold counsel they do not hold counsel but upon the field, Ber. 8 b . The subject is often omitted, and then the partic. has the force of an impersonal verb, which may usually be rendered in English by a passive : הַקוֹרֵא אֶת שְׁמַעׁ Ber. ii. 3 .



 Ahitophel, and consult the Sanhedrin, and inquire of the oracle,
 to him, Sab. 25 b. Cf. below, § 439 .
330. Such participles often assume a somewhat jussive sense :
 בנּל אָדָם קוֹרֵא בְּדְרְּוֹ to the preceding, יַטי
 וּוֹרֶרֶת a woman may lend . . . and pick and grind and sift . . . , Šebi. v. 9. The transition from this usage to a real


 cularly with a negative : אין עוֹמְרִין לְהִחְפַּלִל to pray, ib. v. ı ; בַּמֶה מַרְלִיִיִין וּבַפָּה אֵין מַרְלִיִיִין wherewith may one light, and wherewith may not one light? Šab. ii. 1 ; החתְקין רַבָּוֹ Rabban Gamaliel ordained that one must not do so, Git. iv. 2.
331. The partic. retains its verbal character even when it is apparently used as a noun : הַקוֹרא אֶת שְׁמַע וְלא הִשְׁמִיע לְאָאָניו if one reads the Sema', but has not made it audible to his ears, $^{\circ}$ Ber. ii. 3. Here the partic. takes the article like a noun, yet it also takes a direct object and is co-ordinated with a finite verb. Other examples are הַמִּחְפַּלִ וְטָעָה one who prays and makes a mistake, ib. v. 5 ; Šebi. x. 2 ; Ter. iii. I , and often ; cf. also § 374.
332. As already stated in the treatment of the Verb (§§ $11_{3}$, 127), in the passive forms the static significance of the partic. is predominani. The passive partic. of the Qal, and the participles Pu'al and Hoph'al are practically equivalent to adjectives. Thus, (לוּלָב) that has been obtained by robbery, is parallel to
 joined to the ground, are parallel to שָׂׂ new; ; ָָּ old, MŠ. v. in ;



333. These participles, viz. the passive of Qal, and the participles of Pu'al and Hoph'al, describe a more or less permanent state as the result of a verbal action. They thus differ from the participles of the corresponding reflexive-passive stems, which describe the verbal action as in the process of being performed. Thus הָּטוּר free, Šab. ii. 5 ; הַנְּטָר who frees himself-departs,


 מְחוּיב

334. The passive partic. sometimes has the significance of the




 are to be put to death, San. vi. 2. ${ }^{1}$
335. The active partic. Qal is sometimes found in a middle sense which is equivalent to an English passive: a loading-loaded ass, BM v. 4; טוֹרֶקֶ unloading-unloaded, ib. vii. 4; ; put cut (ears of corn), Maa. i. 6; pouring out-to be poured out, i.e. ill-smelling liquid, Šab. viii. r.
338. The passive partic. Qa!, being almost equivalent to an adjective, is found in an active sense in a few intransitive and transitive verbs. So already in BH, Ges.-K., § 50 f ; also in Aram., and especially in Syriac, Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 280; Mand. Gr., § 380 .

[^54]The following is a fairly exhaustive list of such cases in MH.


 Q רְבצִים lying down, Bok. ix. 7 ; רָּ riding, BM i. 3 (edd.; so BM 9a, \&c.); 放 watchful, Ab. ii. 14 ;
(ii) Transitive : אֲחוּוַח הַדָּם holding in the blood, Hul. 58 b ;

 one who bathed by day, TY ii. 1 ; טְּ שְּ

 not having washed the hands and feet, ${ }^{2}$ Kel. i. 9 ; Pa. iv. 1 ;品 holding the acts of their fathers, Sifra $112 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{3}$

The following are only apparently active : חְלִוֹצָה a woman that has received halisa, ${ }^{4}$ Yeb. ii. 3 ; טְעוּנָ burdened with-requiring,
 suspended-falling, Bek. vi. 7 ; ;
 hanged-hanging, iv.'
${ }^{1}$ As opposed to
 sisters may marry . . ., t. 'Ed. ii. 9, the first expressing a state or condition, the second expressing an act.
${ }^{2}$ A standing phrase, derived from Exod. xxx. 21. Cf. t. Yo. i. 18 ; San. xiv. 6.


 Yob. iv. 10; חָּלָה , ib. xii. 3. More often, however, the condition is reversed : the man is active, woman is passive, חֲלחהּדָה.
 iii. 7. \&cc., are pare Aramaisms.
337. In spite of the strong development of the verbal character of the participle in MH, the idiom has also retained in full the substantival usages of the partic. found in BH. Thus, the partic. is widely used as a noun, especially when determined by the article, e.g. הָה הָּרוּ what is broken, Kil. iv. 4 ; הַנּוֹלָ what is born $=$ the result of a action, Ab. ii. $9, \& c$. As an attribute, the partic. with the article often has the force of a relative clause, as in BH ; cf. השוֹר הְְַּסָּל and the other exx. in § 376 .
338. The partic. often stands in the construct state: נְקוּבַת
 pipe, Hul. iii. 1 ; מוּפּת שְׁחִּ struck with leprosy, Ket. iii. 5 ; חוֹחְמי בְרָּוֹת those who conclude the benedictions, Ber. ix. 5 ; cf. § $3^{80}$.
339. In accordance with its substantival character, the partic. is regularly negatived by אֵין מַדְלִיקִין : אֵין Šab. ii. ı ; cf. exx. above, §§ 329-30. Frequently, however, it is negatived by $\langle$, but as a rule only when it is intended to impart a certain emphasis to the negation. This happens either when the negative follows immediately upon an affirmative, or when two or more negatives follow each other. In the first case, i! has the force of 'but not', in the second case וְ . . . . means 'neither . . . nor'. The participial clause negatived by לא contains, as a rule, no other words but the participle.
 who bring first-fruits and recite, some who bring but do not recite,
 pay, Šebu. vii. 1; cf. further, Šab. xi. 2 ; Yeb. iii. If.; vi. 1 ; vii. 4 ; x. 8,9 ; xi. 4,6 ; So. 1, 2 ; San. vii. 5 ; Hel. i. 7 .
(ii) תוֹלִיi לא אוֹכְלִין וְלא שוֹרְפִּ they are in suspense: neither may they eat (ihe leavened bread), nor need they burn it, Pes. i. 5 ; Be. ii. 8 ; v. 2 ; Yeb. vii. 5 ; viii. 4, 5 ; לֹא חוֹלְצִים וְלא מְּבְּמִים
neither do they give halisa, nor do they marry (the deceased brother's childless wife), xi. 2. Contrast with 5, 7, where in similar cases the negative is $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{~}$, because the clauses are longer, and there is no particular emphasis on the negative ; So. iv. I f.; Mak. iii. 13 ; 'Ed. v. 2 ; Ḥul. viii. $\mathbf{I}$; Tem. iv. 1 ; M'il. iii. 1 ff. (contrast with 3) ; Neg. x. 4: Nid. vi. r.
(iii) The two cases side by side : כֹהּן גָּדוֹל מַקְרִיב אוֹנָן וְלא אוֹרֵל the High Priest may offer sacrifices on the day of the death of a near kinsman, but may not eat of a sacrifice, while an ordinary priest may neither offer a sacrifice, nor eat of one, Hor. iii. 5 ; BB viii. 1 ; ‘Ar. vii. 1 ; Oho. viii. 1 ; Miq. vii. $\mathbf{~ ; ~ ' U q . ~ v . ~} 5$.

In longer clauses the partic. is negatived by $\mathbb{N}$, even in such
 one does not incur $m^{c^{c} i l a}$ by using them, nor is one guilty in their case of . . . Méil. i. 3 ; 'Ar. ii. 6 ; Ket. v. 5.
340. There are, however, exceptions to the above rules, when the partic. is negatived by K , where we would expect especially in later texts; cf. Ket. vii. 6; 'Ar. ii. 3; vii. 1, \&c. But we also find in BH numerous examples of such an irregular construction, ${ }^{1}$ e.g. Deut. xxviii. 61; Hab. i. 14; Job xii. 3; xxix. 12. Further, 2 Sam. iii. 34; Ezek. iv. 14, where the partic. is parallel to a finite verb.
341. The subject of a participial predicate is often placed after the partic., as in $\mathrm{BH},{ }^{2}$ but as a rule only when a certain emphasis
 יוֹצא רַגָּמל wherewith may an animal go out, and wherewith may it

[^55]not go out? The camel may go out, Šab. v. r. Contrast with 2 :

 man may eat, opposed to the following לא לא יאכל BM vii. 5, 6.
 one may not put into it cold water, but one may put into it ...,
 going down? . . . you are permitted, 'Er. iv. 2.

Often, however, the emphavis is hardly noticeable: יוֹרֶ אֲנִי I know, Ber. v. 5 (so Qoh. viii. 12); רוֹאֶה I prefer, Ab. ii. 9
 fashion, Šab. II5a, and often); ${ }^{1}$ קוֹבֵע אֵּ קוֹבְלִין אִנוּ we complain, ib. 6.
342. Similarly the auxiliary verb partic. : . . . נוֹגְגִּן הָינ my father's house were accustomed, Pea ii. 4, modifying the preceding statement; צוֹתֶה הָּיִי I was watching, Suk. iii. 9; אֲבָּל אֲנוּטִים הָּיִּנו but we were forced, Kel. ii. 3 : . . . Ker.iv. 2. So also in BH for the sake of emphasis: Exod. xxvii. r; xxviii. 16; Deut. ix. 7, 22, 24 ; Joshua v. 5, \&c. So in Aram.: Dan. ii. 31, 34 ; Ezra iv. 12, 22, \&c., and often in the Targumim and other Aram. dialects.
343. Note. The construction of the partic. with in MH is of native origin, and is not borrowed from Aram. It is frequent in BH even where the partic. has a purely verbal force, ${ }^{2}$ e.g. in the
${ }^{1}$ This contraction of $\underset{\sim}{1}$ with the partic, under Aram. influence, is common in the Midrashim and in late MH generally, but not in the Mishna. Where such forms occur in some texts of the Mishna they are due to the copyists, cf. e.g. $N^{\text {ed. }}$ i. 1 ; RH ii. 9 ; Šab. xxii. 3 ; Y ${ }^{\text {e }}$ b. xvi. 7 ; Ya. iv. 2 in edd. with L and N . The contraction of MH, though common in Aram.; cf. Dalman, § 65 ; Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 64 ; Mand. Gr., § 175 a.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. Driver, Tenses, § 135 (5), and, with fuller lists, Sellin, op. cit., p. 35, and Kahan, p. 25.
past: Judges i. 7; 2 Sam. iii. 7; viii. 15; Jer. xxvi. 18, 20 ; 2 Kings xvii. 32, 33 ; of the future : Gen. i. 6; Deut. xxviii. 29 ; Isa. xxx. 20; with pass. partic.: Jer. xviii. 23 ; Ezek. xliv. 2; xlvi. 1 ; Zach. xiii. 1 ; especially in the later books : Neh. i. 4; ii. 13, 15 ; iii. 2 ; v. 18; vi. 14, 19; xiii. 5, 22; 2 Chron. xxx. 10; Dan. viii. 5,7 ; x. 2; Esther ii. 7,15 , and with לִהְיוֹn (a genuine MH construction): i. 22 ; viii. 13 ; ix. 2 I.

On the other hand, it is worthy of note that, with perhaps one doubtful exception (cf. § 184 foot-note), the tenses are never found in MH combined with היה, as sometimes in the Targumim (e.g. דהוה חמידת, Gen. iv. . (Jer.); הוא נפיק Cant. i. ı2), and very frequently in Syriac (Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., §§ 263, 268), and in Arabic (Wright, Arab. Gr.s, ii. § 3 (c)) : also in Phoenician (כן נדר, Cooke, NSI., No. 57, l. 5), evidently because there is nothing approaching such a construction in BH.

## (4) The Infinitive.

344. Of the various constructions with the inf. in $\mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{MH}$ has preserved only the gerundial use with $b$ to express the direction and purpose of a verb. In its use as a noun, the inf. has been supplanted in MH by the corresponding verbal nouns which are so numerous in MH (§217). For the BH inf. with the preposi-

 the use of the inf. in its absolute form to emphasize a finite verb is never found in MH, ${ }^{2}$ though this construction is very common in Aram. ${ }^{\text {s }}$
[^56]The loss of these infinitival constructions in MH is due to nalural decay. They are already very scarce in the later books of the Bible. Thus, the combination of the inf. absolute with the finite verb is not found in Qoheleth, Canticles, Ezra, and Daniel, and is rare in Esther, Nehemiah, and Chronicles. So also the inf. with $\exists$, and $\exists$ is rare in those books, and where found, it is not always used in a correct way. ${ }^{1}$
345. The use of the inf. with $\zeta$ coincides generally with the BH inf. construct with $ל$. The pronominal suffixes attached to this inf. are, however, always of an objective force: לְל?רְעָּ to sow it, Kil. ii. 3 ; לִנְוֹטְעִה to plant it, ib. 4; to redeem it,


346. After verbs of preventing, restraining, \&c., either expressed or understood, the inf. takes the prepos. מִסוֹרין : לְ- before מִׁ

 Pes. i. 6 ; שַׁקָּת הִיא מִלְְוְעוֹ it is Sabbath, so that one must not cry,
 RH iv. 4 ; cf. Ges.-K., § 119 x .
347. The inf. is sometimes used as a verbal noun with the ? in the sense of 'with regard to': מָה אֲנִי לָבוֹא בַקַּהָּ what am I with regard to entering the assembly (of Israel, cf. Deut. xxiii. 4) ? ${ }^{\text {P-may I enter, \&c., Ya. iv. } 4 \text {; מָה אֲנוּ לִירֵר what are we }}$ with regard to going down-may we go down? 'Er. iv. 2; should one mention ? Šab. 24 a.
348. The inf. sometimes expresses necessity, obligation, as in
 up, Neg. iv. 10 ; הְרֵי הוא לְהְחִלִיט behold this is to be finally decided
finite verb is used almost always where the Hebrew text has it. Cf. also Winer, Chald. Gr. (1882), \$46, 4, 5 .
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Driver, Introd. to the Lit. of the OT. ${ }^{6}$, pp. 506, No. 12 ; 538, No. 37.
(as impure), ib. 11. The person upon whom the obligation rests

 רְאָה לְלֵּד thou, he must teach (produce) the evidence, Ya. iv. 3 .
349. When preceded by the adjective עָחִיר ready, the inf. expresses with certainty and emphasis the occurrence of an act or event in the future (Periphrastic Future) : מֵט עָחִיד לַחְחוֹר if he is

 but he is going to buy (more); he has finished buying, but he is going to borrow (more), Țoh. ix. 2 ; Dam. vii. 1 ; Ned. iii. 1 ; Šeq. vi. 3 ; Mid. ii. 6, 13 (הם עתידים להיות); Naz. v. 4; So. v. 2 ; BM iv. 2 ; San. $\mathbf{x}$. 2 ; Ab. iii. $\mathbf{1}$; 'Uq. ii. 12 (a later addition).

These are all the passages in the Mishna where this construction occurs. But it becomes very common in the later Aggadic literature. Sometimes, however, עָ is construed with the imperfect (cf. above, § 315). So also in the Targumim עָתִיר is found in both these constructions (e.g. Gen. iii. 15 in Jerus.; Isa. xl. 2). In Syriac $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ is always followed by $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ with the imperfect. In BH the construction is found only once, and in the primary sense
 iii. 14 ; and $\begin{aligned} & \text { עֲחִיוֹת the destined future, Deut. } x \times x i i . ~ \\ & 35\end{aligned}$
350. The inf. expresses the certainty of a future action also


 we shall certainly examine, San. iv. 5. Sometimes, however, the partic. is used, instead of the inf. : שin in in the end he will inherit, Ab. i. 5 ; סוֹפָּח בְטֵלָה in the end it will cease, ib. ii. 2.

## 2. Government of the Verb.

## (1) Subordination of the Noun to the Verb as Accusative.

351. The method in MH of marking the direct object of a transitive verb is exactly the same as in BH . As a rule, a determinate object is indicated by אֵ, an indeterminate object is left
 one may not dig (new) sepulchres . . . but one may adapt the (old) sepulchres, MQ i. 6; ; pead the 'Šema', Ber. i. i, \&c. Sometimes, but not more frequently than in BH (Ges.-K., § 117 n ), a determinate object is indicated by ל, instead of
 who suspects his wife (cf. Num. v. 14), So. i. 1. Often, however, a determinate object is found without the nota accusativi, whether $n$ or $b$, especially when the object is determined by a possessive

 majest thou increase our border ... prosper our end . . . put our portion, Ber. 16 b . This omission may in many cases be due to scribal negligence, as is shown by the frequent disagreement of the texts: נָטְלוּ כְסוּתוֹ they took his garment, BQ x. 2, L, but edd. הַפַּבִּיר בֵּלָי ; את כס if one recognizes his articles, edd., but L את כל ib. 3, and ofien.
352. A nominative after a transitive verb is found in the expression let him bring it and its bread, Men.
 ib. xiii. 7. The phrase may be an invariable technical expression, like אוֹת וְאֶת בְּנוֹ, § 275.
353. The accusative is found also after a passive verb: נשְְָּׂ
 thine own, Ket. xiii. 18 ; נִוְרָק אֶת דָדמוֹ its blood was sprinkled, Pes. vii. 7 (L, edd. withou: את); cf. Ges.-K., § 121 a, b.
354. The double accusative is somewhat rarer in MH than in



 תִּתן paking their bread into thick loaves, Be. ii. 6; 'Ed. iii. II.

More frequently the remoter object takes a preposition: הְְִּבִישׁׁ he clothed him with a (woman's) tunic, and girded him with a (woman's) girdle, $\mathrm{Me}^{e_{n}}$. rog b. In the passive the verb is followed by the accusative of the remoter object: clothed in white garments and wrapped in white garments, Yo. 39 b ; Men. ib.; טָעוֹ פִּשְִּׁ laden with flax, BM. vi. 8 ; . . . صְעוּנָה הַמְּשׁׁ requiring flaying, \&c., Zeb. v. 4.
355. The accusative, but without the nota accusativi, ${ }^{1}$ is also used to define the action of the verb in reference to time, especially to express duration of time : נֶאֶסרְה עָלָיו שָׁעָה אַחַת she was pro-
 on the eve of the fourteenth one must search, Pes. i. ı; אוֹכְלִיז כָּל
 (hours), and suspend all the fifth (hour), and burn at the beginning
 eve of Passover one must not eat, ib. x. ı ; נָדרָה לִילֵי שָׁבָּ she vowed on the night of the Sabbath, Šab. 157 a (but Ned. x. 8 : (כ׳ בְלֵיליל שַׁקָּת . More often, the noun is introduced by a preposition, especially when it is intended to express a point of time, and
 early in the morning the director would

[^57]say, Bik. iii. 2 ; לְשָׁנָה הָאֲחֶרֶת הָלֹך וְלָ the following year he went and spent the night, Ber. 18 b ; cf. § 36 I (viii).
356. The accusative also defines a verb as to the place: ת טָק do, Pes. iv. i ; טָקוֹם שֶׁהּא מַתְחִיל the place where he begins, Yo.
 וְעָמַר בְטָקוֹם שֶֶׁמַּר he entered to the place where he has to enter, and stood in the place where he has to stand, ib. 3 .
357. The accus. is often used to describe the mode or manner


 he read it in alternate verses, Meg. ii. 2 ; לְעוֹלָם יִשְׁנֶה אָדָם לְתִלְמִידוֹ踏 one should always teach a disciple in a brief manner, Pes. 3 b; בָטוע ערְבּוּבִיָה planted in confusion, Kil. v. 1 ; especially

 cuts her hallah naked, Hal. ii. 3 ; הַבָּא אֶל הַּשְּקְּשׁׁ טָׁא if one comes
 watched her as she was standing, BQ viii. 6. Often, however, the





 clause : וְהֵם מְאּלִּין when they are uncovered, Ma'a. v. 2 ; cf. below, § 479 .

To this usage belongs also the adverbial use of nouns, such as



## (2) Subordination of the Noun to the Verb by means of Prepositions.

358. As in BH, nouns can be governed by a verb also indirectly, by means of a preposition. Such nouns serve to define the verbal action in its various relations to time, place, manner, \&c., but they may also represent the object of the verbal action in the same way as an accusative. The prepositions chiefly used for this purpose
 in MH the general characteristics of the meaning and usage which they have in BH. In the following sections some special constructions will be noted. (Cf. Ges.-K., § i19.)
359. אֵצֶ. This prepos. usually takes in MH the place of


 Ber. 3 b. Also in the old BH sense : מִחְארחֵ אֶצֶ עֶם הָאָּרץ stays as a guest with an 'am ha-ares, Dam. ii. 2.
360. ${ }^{-7}$. (i) To introduce the object of a transitive verb : ${ }^{1}$
 of it ; זָכָה בָה he acquires it, ib. 2 ; הַחוֹבל בַּחְבֵר if one injures his

 him? San. v. r; לְבַשׁ בְּחָלגּ he put on a shirt, Meil. v. i. With a partitive sense: אוֹבֵל : בְּקָרָשׁים eats of holy things, Naz. viii. 6; שָּוֹתֶה בְיֵּ drinks of wine, ib. iv. 3 ; מַרְבֶּה בִבְרִיקוֹת increases examinations, San. v. 2.
(ii) To describe the character of the subject or object in
${ }^{1}$ This use of a preposition to introduce a direct object is exactly parallel to the use of for the same purpose. In both cases, the preposition or the particle $ת \mathbb{M}$ is dependent on the verb as its accusative, and the noun is dependent on the preposition, or $\Omega \mathbb{\Omega}$, as its genitive.
relation to the verb, ב essentiae: בְרָרָה בְנָּיר she vowed as a Nazirite, Ned. iv. 3; בִבְנַס he entered without intention, Er. iv. 4 ; מַקְרִיב חֵלֶק בְּרֹאט offers a portion as a chief; חנוֹטֶלק בְּרֹאט portion, Yo. i. 2.
(iii) Expressing the instrument or means of an action: صַעְ,
 going down a ladder, Mak. ii. ı ; שבֶַּּה מַרְלִיקִין wherewith may one

 much is this article, BM iv. ıо; מַצִילִי; אוֹחָּ בְּנַפְשׁׁם one may deliver them at the cost of their lives, San. viii. 7; or the measure : Toubel rènders a food prohibited when it is sufficient to add a flavour, Hal. iii. 10 ; אוֹסר בְּכָל שֶׁהּא prohibits by any quantity, 'AZ v. 8 ; נְקובָה בְכוֹנֵם מַשְׁקֶה bored with a hole large enough to take in liquid, Oh. v. 2 ; Kel. x. 8 ; cf. ib. iii. $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{2}$. For BH cf. $B D B, \mathrm{p} .90 \mathrm{~b}$, iii. 8.
(iv). To define and limit the verb, in the sense of 'in respect



 regards (indirect) profit? 'AZ ii. 5.
(v) Finally, in a local sense after a verb of motion : שְּלִחין בְּכָּ
 coming by the way, Ber. i. 3 ; הַמְהַלִּ בַּדֶֶֶּך if one walks by the
 'בְאַנְּוּלִי
361. ל. (i) To introduce a direct object, like את; cf. above, § 351 .
${ }^{1}$ Or אַמְּ mappula ; cf. Kohut. i. 124 a.
(ii) To introduce an indirect object, the ל of the dative : נָּנוּ לו they gave him, 'Er. vi. 3.
 he fell on it, Pe. iv. 2; האצֶל יוֹחָנן he goes to Johanan, Šeq. v. 4.
(iv) To introduce the genitive : belonging to, cf. below, $\S 384$.
(v) To introduce the agent afier a passive or reflexive verb:

 him in partnership, Bek. ii. I (cf. Ges.-K., § 12 If ). So also after

 taste, of thine, Ned. i. I.
(vi) 'In respect to': : טְבָרקּ לְעִצְמוֹ pronounces a benediction for himself, Ber. vi. 6 ; מועָך לְמִינוֹ forewarned in respect to his own
 לְשָׁנָה לְחָרִשִים . . . if one lets a house for a year, for months, BM viii. 8.
(vii) In a local sense, after verbs of motion: 'into’-BH אֻ:
 הוֹלְבָּם ; לְתוֹך בֵּיחֶּ thou hast carried them to thine house, Šebu. vii. 6; in a figurative sense : אין מַעְלִין לְבְהוּנָה one does not raise to the priesthood, Ket. ii. 8.

 in the morning R. Gamaliel found him, Pes. 72 b ; cf. $\S \S 296$, 297, 301 .


 down, Yob. xii. r; cf. § 301 .
(ii) Of time: מִּכָן מִבְּעוֹד יוֹם prepared from day-time, Be. i. 2 ; מִכָּאן וְשר ג׳ שָׁנִים from now till three years, BM v. 3.
 he heard some of the women, some of the children, say, Yeb. xvi. 5;
 he stole of his father's, San. viii. 3 ; רָרִיָּ מָּמֶיך hast thou seen on
 Pes. v. 7; i. 6 (cf. Ges.-K., § 119 w, foot-note i); ;
 they stood at three (seahs per sela'), Šeq. iv. 9 ; so Kel. xvii. II; Pa. i. i, \&c.
(iv) In a privative sense: 'away from'-not to, after verbs of preventing, restraining, \&c.; ; לא הָיו מוֹנְִִין מִסֶּוּ they did not withhold from him, Yo. i. 4 ; cf. § 346.
(v) Introducing the source, cause, instrument of an action: לא מִפְּיה אֲגוּ חַיִּן

 מִקוֹל הַבְּרָיָה he fell forward because of the noise of the digging,
 itself, Bor. 3 b ; cf. above, § 30 I , and below, § 432.
 him (parallel to

 making the incense, Yo. iii. II $^{1}{ }^{1}$
 they go over all the brothers, Yeb. iv. 5 ; הָלְכָה לָה עֶל לִב it went

 one should not change the custom, j. BM vi. 4. So, perhaps, in BH: חוֹשin





 by three by three high, Šobi. iii. 5 ; אֶחָ ישׁׁב על פֶּתַח one sits at the door . . ., San. xi. 2.
 הַשְּפוּתָה one may marry in addition to the woman that has been forced or seduced, Yeb. xi. i; מוֹסִיף עָלָיו it adds unto it, Ket. iii. 5; יְתֵרוֹת על הָרִאשׂוֹנוֹת exceed the first, Ta'a. i. 6 ; and so often to
 יִקָּר על יַר עוֹרוֹ
 cf. $B D B$, p. 755, 4.
 her about her daughter, Naz. vi. ir ; הַּשוֹמע על מֵת if one hears concerning his dead, Pes. 92 b ; בִשְלָּ לְלֵיהֶה he changed bis mind concerning them, BM. i. 7; שָׁחָ עָלְיָ he slew on her behalf, Pes. viii. I ; cf. $B D B, \mathrm{p} .754 \mathrm{~b}$ (g).
 might not be bloted out by the water, So. i. 4 ; הַבָּנוֹת נִיזוֹנוֹת עֲ הַבַּנִים the daughters are maintained by the sons, BB. viii. 8; נוֹסֵ ; he shall not slay (it) through it, Bek. v. 2 ff. לא יִשְׁחוֹט עָלְיו קִרָאָּ : גָּ
 if one divides his property by word of mouth, BB ix. 7 .
(3) Co-ordination of a Verb to another Verb as Auxiliary
362. Certain verbs are used in an auxiliary character to give an adverbial definition to another verb with which they are
[^58]
## SYNTAX

co-ordinated. Some of these, as in BH (Ges.-K., § 120 d ), stand before the principal verb which they serve to define. Others stand after the principal verb.
(i) Before the principal verb.

דחק to press: וְנְ

to return : חור he changes them again, MŠ ii. 6 ;


 quickly, 'Er. vi. I.
 morning, Suk. iii. 13 ; מַשְׁבְּים וְטוֹבֵל he immerses himself early,
 ! ! go forth early in the morning, go forth late in the evening, Ber. 62 a.


 diligently, Ber. $5^{8}$ a.
365. (ii) After the principal verb.

ל לא יְהֵא קוֹרֵע יבָא : he shall not sow on, Kil. ii. 5 ;

 tinued to be maintained, t . BB viii. $18,19$.

הלך to go: מוֹסִיף עָלָיי וְהוֹלִּך he goes on adding to it, Šebi. iii. 3 ; מִתְנונְה וְהוֹלֶכֶת she goes on decaying more and more, So. iii. 5 ;

 מִחְמַעְטִין וְהוֹלְבִין Pes. $99 \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}$; he may go on eating, ib. 99 b ; 105 a.

ירד he go down : הִחְחִיל טְחֵیא גִיוֹרֵר ho to purify down-
wards, Yo. v. 5 ; מִחְלְלְּלִל וְיוֹרֵ it rolls downwards, ib. vi. 6;


עלה to go up : עלה הָה upwards towards him, Ab. deRN. xx ; הָיָה מְחַּטר וְעוֹלֶה (the smoke) was going straight upwards (palm-like), ib. xxxv. 7 ; טוּמְאָה בוֹקַעֶת単 the impuritv breaks through upwards, down-

 downwards, ib. 43 b.
 bursting nutwards, Pes. 13 a; ; שְבְצְבֵּ וְיָצֵא


 $\mathrm{N}^{\bullet} \mathrm{d} .8 \mathrm{a}$.

## II. THE NOUN

## 1. Determination of the Gender. ${ }^{1}$

368. In the determination of the gender, MH follows the principles of BH. Thus, in the case of animate beings the gender agrees with the sex, whether the two sexes are distinguished by
 is formed from the masc. as טָלָה ( edd. טְלָיָה) Men. xiii. 6 ; or one word serves for the two sexes, as


MH shows some new fem. formations from the masc., especially in late texts. Thus, beside חֲחמוֹרָה we finch ent. R. i. 9 ;


[^59] 71 ; Num. R. 10 ; ת תְ twin sister, BB 123 a; Gen. R. 22.
367. So also in the case of inanimate objects, MH follows generally the rules of BH . The following are construed as fem.
(i) Limbs or parts of the body, especially those in pairs:


 reff. cf. the Lexicons).
 are masc.

 as sing. like the Aram.
 BM i. 4.





The following are common : אוּר money, small change, MŠ iii. 5 ; iv. 9.

 nouns: אֲבִילָה eating; הַּטָּלָה idling; הַבְּלָה separating, \&c.; cf. §§ $217,272$.

Further, certain collectives : חֶַּּרֶח (§ 237) ; and most diminutives, § 276 .
368. Some nouns change their gender when used in a derived
 v. 4 ; סֶ rock, masc. BB vii. I ; סֶע sela' (weight, or coin), fem. MŠ ii. ı0; רֶֶ foot, fem. Oh. x. 2 ; רֶֶ festival, masc. Pes. viii. ı.
369. The following fem. nouns which form the plur. in $\square_{-}$ are construed in the plur. as masc: : דְ pumpkin,

 cf. § 289.
370. Certain nouns ending in $\Pi$ _ are fem., even when the $\pi$ is treated as a radical letter: nik sign, letter of the alphabet, MQ iii. 4 ; Kil. ix. 10 ; אֶמֶת So. ix. 15 ; Ma'a. i. 7 ; לֶֶח Kel. x. 5 ;
 ת Ber. vi. 7 ; צְבֵ tongs, Ab. v. 6; Dam. iv. 2 ; cf. also Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 86.
371. A number of nouns are found both in the masc. and in the fem. form but with a difference in meaning: f garden ;
 הִּ Tuelling for human beings, Bor. 77 b; בin debt, BM i. 7 ;

 צy shadow, Pes. 114 a ; צִּ shade, protection from the sun, Suk. i. 1 ; p pold, BM 106 b; קוֹרָה cooling, satisfaction, Ab. iv. 17 . 372. Gender of Greek and Latin nouns.
(i) Nouns ending in D - (-os, -us) are construed as masc.: :
 iii. 16 ; Diki soleas, Kel. xxvi. 4.
(ii) Nouns ending in $\Pi_{\top}, \mathcal{K}_{\top},{ }^{`}-(-a,-\iota a,-\epsilon \epsilon a,-\eta,-a)$ are


(iii) Nouns ending in ${ }^{\text {O }}$
 construed as fem., Mid. i. 6. ${ }^{1}$
(iv) Nouns ending in 1 ’- are fem.: :
 Exod. R. 33.

## SYNTAX

 vii. 2 (L) ; but בִּיבָּיִ (vivarium) is masc̈., Šab. xiii. 2 ; cf. further, Krauss, Lehnwörler, i, §§ 287-305.

## 2. The Use of the Article.

373. As in BH, so in MH nouns are either determinate by their nature, such as proper nouns and pronouns, or are rendered determinate by their grammatical connexion, viz. when followed by a determinate noun as genitive, or by a pronominal suffix, or when preceded by the article.

Only in the latter case does MH exhibit certain peculiarities which demand special treatment.

The MH article is identical with the BH article, both in form and in the general characteristics of its usage.

374 (i) The article is used whenever the noun is definite.
Thus with nouns which are definite by themselves: הרחמַּ
 nouns that have been mentioned before : הַּּוּין , כּוּכִּ, cf. above, § 351 .

With nouns that are known or necessary in connexion with the

 Qiddus, $\mathrm{Be}_{\mathrm{r}}$. viii. I ff. So also with nouns that are definite in the
 ninu in ib. vi. 1, \& c. This last usage is even more common in MH than in BH. ${ }^{1}$
(ii) The article is used with titles: הַטְּמֶּנֶּ the overseer, Yo.

 when definite, Yo. i. 1 ; cf. § 378 ).

[^60] Sifre Deut. iii. 23; cf. § 305.
(iv) With names of material : הֶֶָּר wool, Šab. i. 6 ; הֶֶׁץ wood,

(v) With collectives : הַלוֹף וְהַשּוּ lof and garlic, \&8c., Ter. ix. 6; Kil. i. if.
(vi) With abstracts: הַּוֹי וְהַכַֹּח וְהָעוֹשֶׁר וְהַכָּבוֹר beauty, strength, riches, glory, \&c., Ab. vi. 8 ; BM iv. 3 .
(vii) The article is used with participles in a somewhat demonstrative sense: he who . . ., she who . . ., \&c., especially in conditional sentences: הַקֹרֵא אֶת שְׁמַע he who reads the Semá,


 xiv. 46-7 ; xv. 6-10; xvi. 28. Contrast: . . . صַפַ Exod. xxi. 12 ; Prov. xv. 32 ; Qooh. x. 8, \&c.
(viii) The article is prefixed to adjectives or participles when used substantivally, i.e. when the nouns to which they refer are omitted. In such cases the article serves to emphasize the substantival character of the adjective. When two such adjectives are contrasted, the article has a demonstrative force : that which. Exx. : טוֹ אָּת אֶה אֶּ


 is joined (to the soil) . . nor from the new upon the old ...,
 afflicted with a faal illness, Ḥul. ii. 6; iv. 2, \&c.
375. The attribute of a determinate noun regularly takes the article in MH as in BH: ה הָיָם הגָּדוֹל the great sea, Ber. ix. 2 ;


 a permanent private path, Pea ii. r.

Where the noun has a preposition prefixed, the preposition may be pointed with patah with the article elided : בַַַּּשְָׁ bubbling spring, $\mathrm{BB} \times 8$; 8 ; לָּבָר הֶאָטר for a thing that is forbidden,
 Hẹul. iii. .

There are, however, importart and numerous exceptions to this rule.
376. (a) The attribute (an adjective, or a participle) is found with the article, while the noun is without the article.
(i) When one attribute of a substantive is to be distinguished from another attribute of the same substantive. The article is then equivalent to a qualifying relative clause. ${ }^{1}$
a bolt which is dragged to the ground by a string tied to the door, opposed to the following : וְהַּוּוּn one which lies loose,
 to הַשְחֶּבְּרִין those which are fixed to the ground, Ket. viii. 3 . property which is the possession of a special owner, opposed to הַּלְקוחוֹת מִבּית הָאוּטְּ fleece which has been taken from the mechanic, BM ii. I.
(ii) With one attribute only, where the chief emphasis is to be laid on the attribute, rather than on the substantive. Here, too, the article is virtually equivalent to a relative clause : covered bridges with an open passage; קָּ מבוֹי a covered

 a beast which has hard labour in giving birth, Hul. ii. $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2 , 4} 4$.

[^61](iii) With standing expressions which have acquired a technical


 condemned to stoning, Qid. ii. 2 (cf. Exod. xxi. 28); שַּוֹר a goring ox, the owner of which has been forewarned, as opposed

 Synagogue, as distinguished from an ordinary
(iv) In numerous other cases where no special emphasis can be


 many more, especially in later texts. Many of these are, indeed, due to the negligence of copyists, as shown by a comparison of


 however, a large number of genuine cases which exhibit a looseness in the observance of this rule. But this irregularity is also found in BH ; cf. Ges.-K., § $126 \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{x}$; Driver, Tenses, § 209. It was probably peculiar to popular speech even in the Biblical period.
377. (b) Conversely, cases are found where the substantive has the article, but not the attribute. In some of these cases tne attribute has the force of a circumstantial clause: הַַּּאִבִיך אֶת בָּנָּיו one who gives to eat his sons when small, and his slaves whether grown up or small, Ter. vii. 3 ;

 הַתַּנּר טָמא נִטְמָא . . . an oven which is unclean, he becomes

 but they are not believed in the case of cooked (vegetables), Pea vii. 4. ${ }^{1}$

Other exx. do not allow of such an explanation, e.g. הַּשְְׁרָהָה

 the foaming jar, L has חב' מר, while M reads 'הח' המר 'AZ iv. 10 ;



The article is sometimes omitted with a demonstrative attribute:


For such irregularities in BH, cf. Ges.-K., § 126 y , and especially Koenig, Syntax, § 334 m, s. ${ }^{2}$
378. The article is always omitted with a number of common expressions, especially compounds, which are considered definite



 good inclination, Ber. 5 a. Conversely, other nouns of the same character are only found with the article: : הַבַּ the house, Šab. i. 1; הַשִּשְׂשׁ house of study, Šab. xvi. 1 ;



${ }^{1} \mathrm{~L}$ actaally reads in the second clause
 ad loc. M., however, reads הַ.
${ }^{2}$ Cf. also in Moabite and Phoenician, G. A. Cooke, NSI., p. 26; Schroeder, op. cit., 8643,58 , and 6r. Note also in BH בהּית חֹרן תַּחְתחון 1 Kings ix. 17, contrasted with קרשה on coins of Simon, beside the more nsual Madden, Coins of the Yews, p. 67 f. Cf. also Nöldeke, Syr. Gir., $\$ 8$ 202, B. Rem., 203.

The article is always omitted with the genitive when introduced
 of the poor man, Šab. i. 1 ; cf. below, § $388 .{ }^{1}$

## 3. The Expression of the Genitive.

379. The genitive relationship between two nouns is expressed in MH, as in BH, by putting the first noun (regens) in the construct state, or by a circumlocution, in which the connexion between the two nouns is indicated by a special particle placed before the second noun (rectum). This latter method is much more frequent in MH than in BH. Nevertheless, the construct state still remains in MH the regular and prevailing method. Circumlocution is resorted to, at least in earlier MH, only when the grammatical form of the nouns, or the desire for lucidity makes the use of the construct impracticable. Thus, the use of the circumlocution in MH can be classified under certain logical and grammatical rules.

In Aram., on the other hand, the two constructions are used indiscriminately. Contrast Ezra v. 13 : מלכא רי בבל; with vi. 14 : מלך פרס with vi. 5 : מאניא די בית : 14 : מאני בית; Dan. ii. 19 with iv. 2, 6 ; ii. 49 with iii. 12 ; iii. 1 with iii. $5,7,8 \mathrm{c}$.; v. 5 with v. 24. This is especially the case in the Targumim; cf. Winer, op. cit., § 56. In the non-Jewish dialects of Aram. the construct state has been given up almost entirely, e.g. Syriac (Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 205 B), Christian Palestinian Aram. (Nöldeke, ZDMG., p. 507, § 36), and nearly so in Mandaic (Nöldeke, Mand. Gr., § 221 f.). ${ }^{2}$

[^62]
## (1) The Construct State.

380. The construct state is especially common in the following cases :


 הַטוּמְאָה , ְיריעוֹת cases of knowledge of uncleanness, Šebu. i. 1 ; בִּיטוּל בֵּית הַפְּרְרָשׁ
 receptacles of water, MQ i. 3; צְחְצוּח חְרָבוֹת the glistening of


 MŠ v. 15 .
 benedictions, Ber. ix. 3 ; עוֹלי בֶֶָל those that came up from Babylon,


 תiken those bound to bring sin-offerings, Šab. xi. 6. Further,
 black-headed, Ned. iii. 8.
(iii) Nouns of a common type; קֶשֶׁר מוּכְסִין a knot of taxgatherers, Šab. viii. 2 ; צָּרֵי הֵֵּת the requirements of the dead,

 betrothal, Pes. iii. 7 ; תַנְחָוּיֵי אֲבֵלִים consolations of mourners, M•g.
 a public place, $B Q$ iii. $1, \& c$.
381. The formation of the plur. of construct combinations follows the example of BH (cf. Ges.-K., § 124 p-r).
(i) The usual method is to attach the plur. termination to the


(ii) Sometimes both nouns receive the plur. terminations Thus with wynagogues and houses of study, Pes. iv. 4; הַבּת





 vii. 4 ; רָאשׁׁי שָׁנִים New Years, RH i. ı ; ר רָאשׁׁי רְבָרִים chief points, ib. ii. 6. Further, עֲרֶבֵ פְָָחִים eves of the Passover, Pes. iv. 1 ;


 offering, a guilt-offering, Ker. vi. 4 ; חַיִיבֵי טִיתוֹת men guilty of


(iii) The plur. termination is attached to the rectum alone, only



 folds and hidden parts (of the body), Miq. viii. 5 ; שֶּ a ploughed grave, Oh. xviii. 2 ; בַּית הַּשָּוָיקים market places, Makš. vi. 2.
382. A nomen rectum can itself become a regens to another rectum, but it can take only one regens. When one rectum has more than one regens, the second regens follows the rectum, and
takes the appropriate possessive suffix, as in BH (Ges.-K., § 128 a ): וְלִד תוֹרָה וּתְמוּרָתָה the child and the exchange of a thank-offering,
 the paramour of, the daughter of a priest, San. xi. i; מִבּלְאֵי מִכְנְיו
 priests, Suk. v. 3.
383. The construct is not found in MH before a relative clause, except in stereotyped conjunctional expressions like $\underset{\sim}{-}$
 which is very common in the constr. state before nouns (e.g.


 not given, BB i. 4 ; בְּחְקָת שְְְֶּׁדָה in the state of having been redeemed, Bek. viii. 6.

## (2) Simple Circumlocution of the Genitive.

384. In addition to the constr. state, BH also indicates the genitival connexion between two nouns by the preposition ?, prefixed to the rectum in apposition to the regens which remains in

 the subordinate relation of the rectum to the regens (e.g. הַצוֹא צִשֶׁר
 colloquial speech -当, cf. § 77 f.

In MH circumlocution of the genitive by means of $\zeta$ alone is extremely rare, and generally only when the $\zeta$ may also be construed as a $b$ of the dative or of reference. ${ }^{1}$ Exx. :
 the eve of the fourteenth, Pes. i. 1 ; so אוֹר לספ Ker. i. 6, but

[^63]אֵר the eve of its intercalation (the 30 th day of the month),

 best) of fine flour, Men. viii. 1 ; and a few more. ${ }^{1}$
335. Much more common is the use of the relative clause in its colloquial form - $\begin{gathered}\text { שֶׁ }\end{gathered}$. This particle is merely a prefix of the rectum, as in BH (Cant. iii. 7) and in older texts of MH. But it was gradually detached from its noun and given the appearance of an independent particle.

It follows from what has been said that the use of $\begin{array}{r}\text { in MH }\end{array}$ is a genuine Hebraic construction derived from the BH use of


 or דִיר (דְ דְיר ), must be ascribed to MH influence. ${ }^{2}$
388. The use of for the genitive may be classified as follows.
(i) To express the material. ${ }^{3}$

 of eruma, 'Ed. ii. 2 and often. But the constr. is also common:

(ii) When more than one regens govern one rectum: תְאֵנים
${ }^{1}$ Cf further, $\mathcal{F} Q R$., $\mathrm{xx}, \mathrm{pp}$. 725-6.
${ }^{2}$ In Phoenician the genitive is expressed by prefixing to the rectum $b$, then by שאשל $\boldsymbol{C}$, and finally by In late Phoenician, however, $ש$ takes the place of $\boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{E}$, evidently under Aram. influence. In MH we never find the ase of - שֶׁׁ for $B D B ., \mathrm{p} .83$, and Koenig, Syntax, $\{283$.
${ }^{5}$ This construction is not found in BH, except, perhaps, Lev. xiii. 48; Ezra i. 11. It is regular in the Targum ; cf. Gen. xxiv. 2I, 53 ; Exod. ii. 2, 4 (but contrast vers. 21, 22, \&c.), also Dan. v. 7 ; cf. Kautzsch, Gr. d. Bib. Aram., 8 8i c.

等 figs and grapes of untithed produce, Máa. i. 7 ;
 words 'remembering', 'king', and 'shofar', RH iv. 6; Ter. xi. 4; BM x. 1, \&c. So in BH: Gen. xl. 5 ; Exod. xiv. 28; 2 Kings xi. 10 ; cf. Ges.-K., § 129 h .
(iii) When the regens consists of a construct combination : תּרָּ



When the rectum is made up of such a compound expression:
 בְּני בְרִית property of sons of the Covenant, BQ i. 2; or both regens
 holding of hand (right of access) by the householder, 'Er. viii. 4 ; all the handles of the vessels of the Day of Atonement, Yo. iii. Io.

The constr. is also found in such cases, e. g. שְׁמַחת בֵּית הַּלוֹאבּה the joy of the (celebration of) drawing of water, beside הֶחָּיל שֶׁל בהּת הַּוֹאֵבָה the flute of . . . Suk. v. i. So in BH: Gen. xxv. 6; Num. xvi. 22 ; xxxi. 48, \&c; Ges.K., § 129 d, 2 ; cf. also Koenig, Syntax, § 28I 1-m; § 282 e, f.
(iv) When an adjective intervenes between the regens and the

 שֶֶבר שֶׁל שְֵֵׁי שׁוּתָּפִים ; a slave of two partners, Pes. viii. 1. So in BH: 1 Sam. xxii. 20 ; Ezek. xl. 40 b.
(v) Circumlocution is generally used with nouns borrowed from other languages, including Aram., which the language could not assimilate so thoroughly as to inflect them like native words:
 the $\pi a v \delta o \kappa \varepsilon i a$ of the heathen, ' AZ ii. .
(vi) Generally when for one reason or another the regens or the
rectum is to be emphasized, as when a noun is used in a secondary or derived sense :
 a mattock, ib. 2 ; or in enumerating different cases which either agree with or differ from one another ; טַבַּעת שֶׁל טַתֶּכֶת טַבַּעַת שֶׁל אֵלמשמוג . . ., a ring of metal . . a a ring of sandal wood, ib. 6;
 xiv. 8; xv. 2, \&c. Cf. in BH 2 Sam. iii. 2, 3 .

Circumlocution is found also in many other cases which cannot be well brought under the above rules, especially in later MH under Aram. influence.

## (3) Circumlocution of the Genitive with Anticipation.

387. The circumlocutory genitive is often anticipated in MH by a possessive suffix attached to the regens, e.g. יָדוֹ שֶׁל עָני the hand of the poor man, Šab. i. I. The construction is only employed when the regens is a flexible noun, which is elsewhere found in the constr. state, as otherwise it could not take the suffix.
388. The rectum is almost always determinate, either by a


 and cutting up of these, Yo. vii. 2); by having been mentioned before; or, finally, by being well known generally, or only in connexion with the subject treated in the context. Nevertheless, this determinate rectum never takes the article, except in such
 which are never found without the article (cf. § 378 ). Cf. the following passages in which the rectum is mentioned previously with the article, but the article is dropped when the rectum stands
 embryo, but חֶּקֹא שֶׁל עֶּבּר the share of the embryo, Yeb. vii. 3 ;


 xiv. I (שְ (טְצוֹר).

Evidently, the rectum is regarded as having been determinated by the suffix of the regens, and, therefore, can as little take the article, as if the suffix had been attached to itself. Hence in Ethiopic, which has no article, this construction is regularly used for rendering a noun definite. ${ }^{1}$

In Aram., however, the rectum when standing in this construction is always in the emphatic state ; cf. Dan. ii. 20, 44; iii. 26, and so in the Targumim.
389. This construction of anticipating the noun by a preceding pronominal suffix is widely used in MH to express the genitive. But it occurs also in other cases when the noun is introduced by a preposition.

With בְּ, only in the phrase בּוֹ בְּוֹם Ya. iv. r, \&c. Cf. in Aram.: Dan. iii. 6-8, 15; v. ir ; Ezra v. 3.

 xii. 4; ברדיָּ לְקוֹרָה sufficient for the beam, 'Er. i. 3; so also to express an object : אין שְקְרִבין לi לְאָד they do not draw a man near, Ab. ii. 3
 חהראשׁׂik everything.does not depend on him, even on the first, Git. viii. 8 ; cf. BQ x. 3 .


300. This construction is, of course, very common in Aram., but it is also extensively used in Assyrian (Delitzsch, Assyr. Gr., § 166), and in Ethiopic (Dillmann, Aeth. Gr., § 172). It is also ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Dillmann, Aeth. Gr., $\delta 172$ c.
found in BH. Thus, before the construct state: Jer. lii. 20






 תیֶ) ; 2 Kings xvi. 15 (אֶ . . . .

 v. 26; xxiii. 6 ; 2 Chron. xxv. 5, 10. Cf. further, Driver, Tenses, § 201 ; also Ges.-K., § 131 m, n.

It is, therefore, clear that the construction in MH is of native origin, but its somewhat extensive use, especially in late MH, may be due to Aram. influence.

## 4. Comparison of Adjectives.

301. The Comparative degree is expressed, as in BH, by


 more than the measure of a lentil, Neg. vi. 4.

 i. 8, 9 ; . . . יְחֵרוֹת על בְּנוֹת ג' more than 3 years old, Ket. i. 4. Cf. in BH . . . הֶעוֹרְפִים עֶ Num. iii. 46; also Gen. xlviii. 22. See further above, § 363 (iii).

רתne more, and less, are often used adverbially to emphasize
 richer than R. El'azer, Yo. 35 b ; פְחוּת מִכְַּּרוֹגֶרֶת less than a dried fig, Šab. ix. 7. So after a verb יותר is sometimes omitted:
 a peeruta, BQ ix. 7 ; רֶאֶה אִנִי אֶת דִּבְרֵי ראב״ע מִדִבְרֵיכֶם I approve the words of . . . more than your words, Ab. ii. 13, 14.
302. The Superlative degree is expressed when the adjective is followed by a determinate noun, or by a pronoun, with the partitive $\exists$ prefixed, and introduced by the relative ${ }^{\circ}$. The adjective may take the article or not : הַיָפָה שֶׁקָּהֶּ the fairest (best) of them, Pes. ix. 8 ; הַקָּדוֹל שֶׁבַּדָּיִּין the greatest of the judges,




 D
303. The superlative idea is also expressed by the repetition of the adjective or the noun in the construct state, or, rarely, in the
 hot, Ber. 16a; בַּלֵּ דַלּ the greatest poverty, Ker. 10b; so חתדְרי חְרָרים the innermost chamber, Šab. 64 b (cf. I Kings xx. 30 ;

 within, BM 16a; Zeb. 52 a ; 57 a (cf. 1 Kings vi. 17, 29). So


## 5. Numerals.

(i) Cardinals.

 place, Pea iii. 3 ; Dan. viii. 13). All the other numerals when used as cardinals always precede the noun. .
state to the noun. The other numerals are usually in the absolute state, and in apposition to the noun. Sometimes, however, they

 differ. The constr. is especially common in L: שְׁלשׁׁת , BM iii. 7 ; שְׁמוֹנַת iv. 3, \&c.

Some of the units take the pronominal suffixes. In addition to

 citations, San. 49 b ; Zeb. 95 b).

The number 2 is often expressed by the dual: : Pַo twabs, 'Ed. i. 2 (cf. § 293), but the dual is also found with the number, no
 Kel. vi. 3 .
305. With the numerals $2-10$, the object numbered takes the

 sc. $\boldsymbol{n i b n}$ Men. v. I ; xi. ı.
398. The numerals $11-19$ also take the object numbered in the

 that are in frequent use, remain in the sing. : י״ י״ מָּ

 Git. vii. 6.
397. Tens (20-90) and hundreds take the noun in the sing.:

 ע' פ׳ לָשׁוֹן Ter. iv. Ir. (In מאָה חחּלִּי Dam. vii. 7, the noun has no sing. in that special technical sense),

 rubbing; (with the fist), Men.

 ib. iv. 7 .

But when units are added, the plur. is used: עֶשְׁרִים וְאְרָבָּצְה


 102 halves, Ter. iv. 13.

## (2) Ordinals.






309. Ordinals above ten are expressed by the corresponding cardinals, but with a noun always before the numeral, to distinguish them from the cardinals : לְיוֹם שְׁלשׁׁים on the 30th day, Naz. iii. I;

 יוֹס מאָה וְאֶחָ ; the rorst, ib. 4. Contrast this with the position of nouns with cardinals and ordinals in BH, Ges.-K., § $134 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{o}$, in which the difference between the two is not clear.
400. In counting the days of the month Di is omitted: ane ist, 15 th, 25 th of Adar,

[^64]
 ${ }^{1} 5^{\text {th }}$ of $A b, T_{a}{ }^{\prime}$. iv. 6, 8; Šeq. iii. $\mathrm{I}, \& \mathrm{Ec}$. In giving the time of
 at 2, 3, 5 o'clock, San. v. 3; Pos.' i. 4.

## (3) Distributives.

401. The distributive is expressed by the repetiion of the







## (4) Fractions.



 ib. viii. 5 ; רִברִעְחַיִים a quarter, Kil. ino quarters,




 אֶחָד מֵרִּוֹא $1 /$ וֹ0,000, Pea iii. 8.
 Pea iii. $\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{2}$.
${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ receives dagesh after $\varphi$ to prevent the concurrence of two similar sounds; so in BH


403. (5) The following numerals are found in verbal forms:




 Ma'a. iv. 3.

## III. THE PRONOUN

## 1. The Personal Pronoun.

404. The personal pronoun is expressed with a finite verb, whenever it is desired to emphasize the subject: : נָנח הוֹא if he gored, BQ v. 3 ; אֲבָל הוּא לֹא יִקרָא but he himself must not read,


 xiii. 5. The emphasis is often strengthened by the addition



 shouldst thou be surprised, ib. vi. 2.

On the whole, MH uses the pronoun more sparingly than BH. It is omitted even with participles when the subject is understood: רוֹצָה הִיא . . . וברֹשָׁה מֵחֲתָנָהּ son-in-law, Dam. iii. 6.
405. The pronoun of the third person is regularly employed in noun clauses as the copula between subject and predicate:


 the mouth that declared it permitted, Dam. vi. i ; אֲנִי הוּא הַטָּמֵא I am the unclean, Naz. viii. r. The pronoun is sometimes placed at the end for emphasis : אָדָם חָלּוּל הוא a man is hollow, Oh. xi. 3 ;


 her rival is pregnant, Yeb. xvi. 1 ; עוֹמֵ הוּא הַטָּהוֹר the clean one may stand, Pa. x. 5 ; xii. 5 . Cf. in BH Cant. vi. 9, and Driver's Tenses, § 201.

## 2. The Possessive Pronoun.

406. Possession is expressed by the pronominal suffixes attached to the noun in exactly the same way as in BH. MH, however, also makes wide use of the relative $\underset{\sim}{\dot{v}}$ with the preposition ל (שֶׁ) as an independent possessive pronoun (cf. $\S \S 79,385$ ). It is used both in substantival and adjectival constructions.


 אֵּל שְֶׁדֶהּ these are theirs, BM i. 5.
407. (ii) Adjectival, taking the place of the pronominal suffix.

This construction is used, at least in earlier MH works, only in the following cases.
(a) On grounds of grammar:
(i) With compound expressions which have become standing

 (cf. Lev. iv. 28) Ker. i. 2.
(2) With a composite subject: כִּפֵא וּסְמוּמוֹת שֶֶּׁוֹ his seat and





 'Uq. i. 2.
408. (b) On grounds of style.
(i) With nouns used in a secondary or derived sense : הַמַחְחתוֹן שֶׁלָּ its lower part, Šab. i. 10 (to distinguish from ${ }^{\text {n }}$, adjective);

 calyx of a candlestick, 'Oh. iv. 8.

Sometimes to emphasize specially the noun, rather than the
 its teeth (of a key); ; חimp its seal (of a ring), Kel. xiii. 6, in antithetical cases.
409. This construction is also frequent in BH, cf. מְעָרת הַמַּכְֵּּלָה

 i. 33 ; iv. 2 ; Ruth ii. 21 ; בְּרֵע כְּאָח לִ Ps. xxxv. 14 ; cxx. 1; Then cropx cexii. 11, 12 ; Lam. i. 10, \&c.; cf. Koenig, Syntax, § 28 i , o .

So also in Phoenician (Punic), Schröder, § 69, and in modern Arabic, Spitta, §§ 77 c, $119 \mathrm{a}, 120 \mathrm{c}$.

## 3. The Demonstrative Pronoun.

410. The demonstratives and adjectivally : זֶה אוֹמֵר . . . this one says . . . and this one says, BM i. ı ; מַשְֶׁin me tithes of this one are in this one, Dam. vii. 6 ; אֵּי וָאֵלי מִחְעְרִבִין both these and these mingle, Yo. v. 6 ; or the noun follows as a predicate which is further defined
by a relative clause : אֵּל דְבָרִים שֶׁאֵין לָהֶם שֵׁיעּ these are the things which have no measure, Pea i. ı; Ber. vii. i.
411. When used adjectivally the pronoun follows the noun:
 this money, MŠ iii. 3. Sometimes, however, the pronoun precedes
 R. Elazar . . . expounded, Ket. iv. 6 ; bore this testimony, Naz. iii. 2; v. 4 (but cf. below, § 477); עֲ אֵּלּי טוּטְאוֹת over these cases of uncleanness, ib. vii. 2. Cf. in BH, Ges.-K., § 136 d , foot-note 1 .
412. Similarly, the stronger demonstratives הַּלָלו, הַלָּ, are used
路 in this entrance . . . in that entrance,
 those three things, Ab. v. ig. On the other hand: הוֹצִיא הַלָּ וְחֵצא הַלֵּזוֹ ; that one brought forth his head, Mak. ii.
 these sprout forth, these fade, 'Er. 54 a.
413. The shortened form הַאָּ is found only substantivally:

 one make a business, BM iii. 2 ; San. vi. 1 .
414. The pronoun of the third person is also used as a demon-
 have no other trade but that one, San. iii. 3 ; so especially in



 . . . וְהָּהּה עוֹשֶׁה this one eats . . . and that one does . . . , ib. 3. Cf.


415. The interrogative pronoun אֵיזֶה is used as a demonstrative to specify one out of a number of objects : מְבָרֶּ עֲל אֵיֶֶה מֵהֶ שֶׁירֶצֶה he pronounces the benediction on whichever he likes, Ber. vi. 4 ; Yeb. ii. 2; יָביא אֵיזוֹ שֶׁיְצֶּ he brings that one which he
 first, Ḥul. v. 3 .
416. MH also uses the particle תی as a demonstrative. The

 עעלָיו that one on which the golel (the stone cover of a grave) is supported, Oh. ii. 4 ; Git. ix. 5-7 ; Makš. i. 2; v. 2. So

 חַָיבִין both of those before the bier, and of those behind the bier: those of whom the bier has need are absolved, but those of whom the bier has no need are bound (to read the $\bar{S}^{e} m a a^{\prime}$ ), Ber. iii. r; 'Er. viii. 11 ; Šeq. viii. 7 ; BQ iv. 2, \&c. Sometimes the particle is omitted before the second case: Ned. x. 7; TY iii. $\mathbf{1}$; Ya. iii. I.
417. When introducing a noun, $\pi$ א takes the appropriate


 moment, Ber. v. 3 ; אוֹתָ הַחּמּ
 Ber. 18 b .

The origin of this demonstrative use of is to be found in the BH use of אחת before the nominative, in order to emphasize the noun, cf. Ges.-K., § 117 i ; Koenig, Syntax; § 279 f. ; BDB., p. 85, 3. An analogy to the MH use of את may be found in Ezek. xxxv. 10. Cf. also Barth, Pronominalbildung, p. $125 .{ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This use of $\times$ ) ) is not found in Jewish Aram., or in the other

## 4. The Interrogative Pronoun.

418. מִי who would uncover ?





 אַמוּרִים in what case does the statement apply, e.g. Šebi. iii. 7; Šab. xvii. 8; 'Er. vii. 9, 11; x. 1. Conversely, מָָ is found
 ii. 5. Cf. in BH מִי שְׁקֶך Judges xiii. 17 ; BDB., p. 566 a (a).

יִ is but rarely used to introduce an interrogative clause, e.g.

419. אֵּזי, can be used both substantivally and adjectivally,
 they are followed by the enclitic $\boldsymbol{V}$, היא, often spelt in one word, and without the final אֵיֶהּו דָבָר : which is a thing that...?
 בְּאּאֶה ; בְּאיאוֹ שָׁנָה ; what is a forgotten sheaf? Pea vii. 8, 4 שְכחה שׁׁבּy in which week, year, San. v. i, \&c.

So also the rare plur. form אֵי can be used in both ways:
 x. $\mathbf{I}$; cf. above, § 81.

Aram. dialects, except in Christian Palestinian Aram., and in Samaritan. Nöldeke's view that MH borrowed this usage from these two Aram. dialects ( $Z D M G$., xxii, p. 471) is improbable, in view of the great frequency of this usage in MH and its complete absence in Jewish Aram. It is more probable that Christian Palestinian Aram. and Samaritan borrowed this usage from MH. For other borrowings by the first-named dialect from MH, cf. Nöldeke, ib., pp. 513, 522.

## 5. The Relative Pronoun. The use of $\underset{\text { שֶ }}{ }$.

420. The particle $\ddot{\forall}$ is used to introduce a clause which serves to define a preceding noun or pronoun. It is then equivalent to the English relative ' who, which'. Thus, in the nominative:

 חַیָאת שֶׁקֵֵּּל דָּמָּ a sin-offering, the blood of which he received,

 plough has moved, Šebi. iii. 7. Usually, however, the retrospective pronominal suffix is omitted, as in BH (Ges.-K., § 138 b):


 had declared clean, Ber. 19 b .
421. Similarly, with nouns indicating place or time, when there is no risk of ambiguity, the retrospective preposition is omitted:

 לְמָקוֹם שֶׁפּיִּם אַחְרוֹנִים חוֹוְרִין the hands after a meal returns, Ber. 46 b . But more often the preposition is expressed: מָּ a place where


 ירצא בָהֶוֹ things with which one discharges one's duty, ib. ii. 4, 5 ;
 Šobu. vi. 5 ; מִי שֶׁהַפְּקִּוֹן אֶצְלוֹ he with whom is the deposited article,
 search out, Pes. ii. 2.
422. The original demonstrative significance of $\underset{\forall}{\tilde{v}}$ is still
apparent in cases where the indefinite טָּ מֶו stands as its ante-

 what he has done is done, BB viii. 5 . In BH wִּשְ can stand in such cases without an antecedent, cf. Num. xxii. 6, \&c. ; Ges.-K., § $13^{8} \mathrm{e}$. In MH ${ }^{-6}$ must always have an antecedent.


 also § 477.

Likewise, the particle את can stand as antecedent to ${ }^{*}$ ש, whether
 he tithes what he eats, sells, buys, Dam.
 be read (i.e. their signatures following immediately), Git. ix. 5-7 ; cf. above, §416.
 - שֶׁ also introduces a clause which serves as the object of a verb.
 didst thou not teach us that a bridegroom is absolved ...,
 ליִיָע פֹ to know that He is God, AB iv. 22.

चֶं often has as its antecedent a conjunction or a preposition:
 § 104 b .
424. The use of $\underset{\sim}{\text { g }}$ to introduce direct narration is rare and





cf. below, §§ 456,458 f. For similar use of in BH, cf. Ges.-K., § 157 c .
425. .
 בְּפָּנָיו : ְוְשֶׁאֹא בְבֵית דִּין at a court of law, and not at a court of law וְשֶׁלֹא בְבָּנָיו in his presence, and not in his presence, Yeb. xiii. I; שֶֶּׁא בִכְתוּבּה
 Suk. v. 4.
426. $\overbrace{\vartheta}^{*}$ is also often found before a verb introduced by in:


 and often.

427. | שֶ |
| :---: |
| is also attached to a verb to lend it special emphasis in |

 but it was really broken (injured); וְהוּא שֶׁחֵת it was really dead, Šebu. viii. 2, 3, 5,6 ; so in L L and they did really know, ib. iv. II.

## 6. Reflexive and Reciprocal.

428. The expression of the reflexive by the simple pronominal




 his own lost property and his father's lost property, \&c., BM ii. II.
429. Usually, a periphrasis is employed to express the reflexive idea. The most common noun used for this purpose is שֶׁ with the appropriate suffix.

 שְתַּהּ עַצְמְּך wonder thyself, Makš. i. 3.



 give evidence for himself, Kot. ii. 9 ; דְּםוּת עְצָּ their own garment, Tam. i. I ; בֵּינוֹ לְבֵין עְצְמוֹ privately, Bik. i. 4.

Accus., after transitive verbs, as a periphrasis of the reflexive

 Mak. i. 4 (L); אֵין אָדָם מֵשִׁים אֶת עֲצְמוֹ רָשָׁע a man cannot make himself guilty, Yeb. 25 b.
 itself, Šab. xix. 2 ; לָח to be guilty against thyself, Ber.

 they went forth of themselves, Šab. 143 b .
430. Sometimes, עֶצֶם is preceded by emphasis : צָּ צְּמוֹ צֵינוֹ כוֹחֵּ altogether he only writes, So. ii. 3 ;郎 they are altogether forbidden to preserve,

 Deut. i. 1 .
431. The use of yֶֶֶ is peculiar to MH. The similar use of ֶֶֶֶֶ in late Aram. must be an imitation of MH. ${ }^{1}$ In BH is found in an analogous sense, but only in the construct state,



[^65](Ges.-K., § 139 g ). But it is obvious that a word meaning 'bone' must have been applied first to animate beings, and then only figuratively to inanimate objects. It is, therefore, clear that the expression must have been more commonly used in colloquial speech than appears in the literary remains of BH.
 wonder thyself; מִתְחֵיב בְּנְשְׁשׁ becomes guilty against himself, Ab. iii. 4.
 his own person, Ab. iv. 8.

Finally, the compound preposition with the appropriate suffix sometimes expresses the reflexive idea: העוֹלֶה מֵאלָיו what springs up of itself, 'Or. i. 2 ; מָּאו מאִליחֶן they came of themselves, BM vii. 9 ; cf. § 301 .
433. Reciprocity is expressed by such circumlocutions as the
 each other, Yeb. xi. 5 ; רוֹאִין אֵּלּ אֶת אֵלּ they see one another,
 strike one another, Pea iv. 4. Note also the use of חָּ חֲבֶרֶת , with


 Kil. iii. 6 ; iv. 8 ; cf. § 139.

## 7. Indefinite.

434. Like BH, MH possesses no special indefinite pronouns. It employs certain nouns, and pronouns, and certain parts of the verb to express the indefinite subject, or object.
 may count, ib. 2 ; לא לא


heaven, Men. xiii. ri. אָּ אָּל אָּם : ָָּל is often strengthened by


 anyone who forgets, Šab. vii. 1,5 ; בָּל anyone who gazes,
 one which he made, Suk. i. 1 ; . . . דָּל שָׁיְָּּ tree be removed, ib. ii. 3 ; שָׁñה כָּ ; מָּ מָּל כָּל he ate any food מַשְׁקִין he drank any drink, Zab. ii. 2. So especially with the
 something, anything, Šab. i. 14 ; ix. 6 ; 'Er. ii. 2, \&c.

This use of is also very frequent in BH ; cf. $B D B$., p. 482 a (e).
436. (iii) מִי לְחָשׁׁך : מִי if anyone whispers to thee, Sifre, Deut. xi. 27 ; but usually with $\underset{\sim}{\text { שֶ }}$ and a relative clause, when $ִ$ pas a somewhat demonstrative force : بִי שֶׁאָּל שְשָׁכַּ if anyone ate and

 שֶֶּׁתְחָּשׁ בַּעְלָה anyone whose husband became deaf mute, So. iv. 5 .

So in BH: : : Expod. xxxii. 33 ; 2 Sam. xx. in ; cf. $B D B$., p. 567 a (g).

מה whoever has not
 viii. 8 ; מַאֲבִיל לְכָל מִי שֶׁירֶּ he gives to eat to whomever he wishes, BB viii. 7 .
 טוֹל מַה שֶׁהֵֵֵאתָ מַה שֵֵֶּׁתְ ; about what he has not heard, Ab. v. 7 על מַה שֶׁלֹא שָׁמַע חung whatever thou hearest, Ber. 18 b ; cf. § 422 . This usage is common in Qohelet, e.g. i. 9 ; iii. 15, 22, \&c.; cf. $B D B$., p. 553 a (e (b)) ; also col. b, 3 ; Ges.-K., § 137 c.


 he gave a little oil, Men. 60 a.
437. (iv) מְּלְּם anything, equivalent to BH מְאומָה (from which it seems to be derived with the addition of $\begin{aligned} & \text { כָּ, } \\ & \text {, like the other indefinite }\end{aligned}$ nouns described above $=$ מַאחּ
 nothing was left of it, Ḥul. iii. I; the negative is sometimes strengthened by the addition of לא עָשָׁה : וְלא וְלאז. כְלםם he did nothing at all, Pes. 89 b. So מאומה in BH is usually found with negatives, Gen. xxii. 12 ; xxx. 3 I , \&c.

 some of the leaves were, Kil. i. 9.

 marry so-and-so, Nad. ix. 3 ; שְבֵרָה שְּלוֹנִית a certain transgression,


438. (vii) An indefinite subject is also expressed by the cognate

 has to hide hides, that has to light lights, Šab. 35 b ; הַנִּבְנָם יִכָּנֵ וְהַיוֹצא אֵל יֵצא anyone may enter, but no one may go out, ib. 60 a ; cf. BH Isa. xvi. 10 ; xxviii. 4, 24, \&c.
439. (viii) More often the subject is omitted altogether, and the verb alone, in the third person, whether in the sing. or the plur., expresses the indefinite subject. Thus the participle שׂוֹאל . . . one asks... one answers, Ber. ii. 1 ; especially with the article, used with a demonstrative force: הַקוֹרא if one reads, ib. ii. 3 ;
${ }^{1}$ But it may, perhaps. be connected with the Arab. كلم, and equivalent to า

 particularly, when the subject is the Divine power: נִקְרָעִּן מִֶֶּקּ

 to purify himself, they assist him ; if one comes to defile himself, they open to him (an opportunity), Šab. 104 a .

So with the perfect : וִיֵּוֹ לְבָנִים וּמָצָא שְׁחוֹרִים if one prepared white ones, and found black ones, Be. i. 5 ; it לָוְג they poured out to him, Pes. x. 2; הֵבִיאוּ לְפָָניו they brought before him, ib. 3 ;
 one may put on it . . ., Šab. iii. I.
 may not put until one removes the coals, or until one puts on ashes, ib., \&c. Cf. in BH, Ges.-K., § 144 d, g, i.

## IV. SENTENCES AND CLAUSES

## 1. The Nominative Absolute.

440. The Nominative Absolute is very common in MH, as in BH and Aram. ${ }^{1}$ In this construction the noun is placed at the head of the sentence for emphasis, and its proper grammatical relation is expressed later in the sentence by a resumptive pronoun.
 עea ${ }^{2}$-they may not reap it with sickles,
 the bakers-the sages have not laid on them the obligation,


[^66] שֶin Dammai -it has not the law of the fifth, Dam. i. 2 ;
 from it, 'Er. viii. 7 ff.
442. (ii) With an indefinite subject (cf. §§ 435 f., 439). Such sentences are usually equivalent to a conditional sentence in

 binds sheaves by night, and the blind man-to them applies the
 גּוּלְמוֹס מַאֲבִילִין אוֹתi he whom faintness has seized-they make him eat, Yo. viii. 6, 7 ; מִ שֶׁאָּר חוֹתָםi מַמְתִינִּן לi if anyone has lost his
 he leaves-he must put it away, Pes. i. 3 ; . . . inic whatever came into hot water-they may soak it, Šab.
 himself . . . they remove from him, Ab. iii. 6.
443. To this construction belongs the frequent resumption of the subject by the graphic particle with the demonstrative
 to the childless widow of his deceased brother-lo, he is like one of the (other) brothers, Y•b. iv. 7. This happens, especially, when the subject is described by a more or less lengthy intervening
 נf anyone has 50 zuzim with which he trades-lo, this one must not take, Pea viii. 9. Contrast with:
 וֶ if he worked with his hands, but not with his feet:.. lo, this one may eat, BM vii. 3. Contrast with the following:


[^67]eat of grapes. 3 trees
 stones which the plough has moved, or if they were covered and became uncovered...-lo,
 חַייבִי בְּחַלָּה wheat, barley . . -lo, these are liable to the law of Halla (Num. xv. 20).
444. Such a nom. abs. often consists of a subject, qualified by a relative clause, which has been removed from a subordinate object clause, and placed before the principal sentence. -This happens usually in the case of an object clause dependent on the verb אמר, where two or more opposing views are expressed by different sages. The position of the nom. abs. serves to direct attention to it as the subject of the discussion that follows. Exx.:
 an egg born on a festival-the House of Šammai say, it may be eaten; but the House of Hillel say, it may not be eaten. This


 .. . . ר ר partners who tithed one after the other=R. Akiba says: the tithing of both is tithes; the sages say : the tithing


 יַחְבָּמִים אוֹמְרִים if anyone gathers pea, and says: lo, this shall be for a certain poor man-R. Eliezer says: he acquires it for him; but the sages say ..., Pea iv. 9; BM iii. 12; Y ${ }^{\text {® b }}$. iv. 3 (with Díc̣ים).
445. Frequently, a nom. abs. is left suspended, and is not resumed again at all, but the predicate is attached to another implicit subject, whether mentioned expressly before, but in a
dependent relation, or not mentioned expressly, but understood from the context. Here, again, the position of the nom. abs. is designed to give special emphasis to a particular circumstance in the case under discussion. This construction is very common in the Mishna and Tosefta, and is characteristic of their Halakic

 second tithe which have been scatered together-whatever he picks up, he picks it up for the second tithe, MŠ ii. 5 ; עוֹבֵ כּוֹבָבִים
 if a heathen has sent from the country across the sea his burntoffering, and has (also) sent with it libations,-then they (the libations) are offered of his own; so further: ... .
 (viz. הַמוּפָּ) if anyone covers his tabernacle with spits . . . it (the tabernacle) is fit, Suk. i. 8, 9, II (implicit object); ii. 2-4;
 if anyone says to his messenger : go out and tithe-then he (the messenger) tithes in accordance with the mind of the houseמִי שִֶּׁימוֹ אֶצְלוֹ אוֹרְחִים לא יוֹלִיכּ ; holder (the sender), Ter. iv.
 they (the guests) may not carry with them gifts, Be. v. 7, 6 ; Yeb. x. 4 ; K ${ }^{\text {ot. xiii. }} 2$.
446. The nom. abs. may consist even of a grammatically complete sentence (i. e. with a finite verb), which is yet dependent upon a following predicate, as is shown by the context : ָָיָה רוֹת
 (if) he rode upon an animal and saw a lost article and said to his neighbour: give it to me-he (the neighbour) took it and said ..., BM i. 3 .
447. Sometimes, the new subject is expressly referred to by

 finder, if a priest) should not defile himself for it, BM ii. 10 ;
 of a priest, whose child was mixed up with the child of her female slave-lo, these (the two children) eat of teruma (priestly tithes), Yeb. xi. 5, iii. 4, \&c.

## 2. Agreement between Subject and Predicate.

448. Collective nouns as subject sometimes take the predicate
 oxen may pass with their harness, Šebi. i. 5 ; נִטְמָא קָהָה the

 was not expert, San. vii. 2 ; but: הוֹרנ בֵּיח דִּין the court of law
 law saw . . . they ordained . . . , Yo. ii. 2. The two constructions may be found side by side, the sing. preceding the subject:
 Hasmonai prevailed, and conquered them, Šab. 21 b; מִשְׁקָחה אֵחֵת
 Jerusalem whose steps were big, ib. 63 b; further . . . מחחֶנֶה הַיצָצאת מוֹתָּין a troop that goes forth . . . are permitted, 'Er. 17 a.
 הֶעָלִין מְגוּלִּוֹ if a portion of the leaves were uncovered, Kil. i. 9 ;
 קִּבְּו רוֹב הִצִבּוּר the majority of the public have received, ib. v. 2 ; but נִטְמָא מִעוּט הַקָּהָ the minority of the congregation became unclean, Pes. vii. 6 ; אֵין כָּל אָרָם חַיָיִִיץ no man is guilty, Mak. ii. 7 ;




449. A compound subject, consisting of a masc. and a fem.
 the fine flour and the oil keep back each other, Men. iii. 5. The masc. is used even with fem. nouns : אין אֲבִילָה וְהַקְטָרָה מִצְטָרְפִּם eating and burning incense do not combine, Zeb. vi. 7 (end); טַחַט אוֹ טַבַּעח a needle or a ring . . . are seen but do not protrude, Kel. ix. I.
450. Sometimes the gender agrees strictly with the grammatical form of the noun, rather than with the sense: 'AZ v. 6 ;
 times the gender agrees with the sense, and not with the grammatical form; especially when removed from the noun: כָּל הָשְיָירוֹת
 spend the night . . . enter . . ., Bik. iii. 2 ; שְיָירוֹת חְּדוֹלוֹת קוֹרין
 . . . החתָיִיִין three handicrafts may work . . . tailors . . ., Pes. iv. 6; ane house of Parva, and it was in the holy

451. When the verb precedes the noun it may, sometimes, be used in an impersonal sense without reference to the gender and number of the following subject : המהתחקר מְצָת its portion was made permissible, Ned. ix. 6; הִֵּּע the time has reached, Ta.


 fell into it water, liquids, \&c.; Pa. ix. I.

## 3. Sentences expressing an Oath or a Vow.

453. Sentences expressing an oath are introduced by an imprecation followed by Q , which then assumes a negative force, and by אִם לאם with an affirmative force, as in BH (Ges.-K.,
§ 149 d ). The tense used is the perf. for the past, and the imperf. for the future.
 may poison have enjoyment of one of the children of that woman (the speaker's), if I have enjoyed anything of thy dinar, Git. $35 \mathrm{a}=$
 down my children if I have not seen Simeon, j. Yo. i. i (end)=
 evil) come upon me if the rafiers of my house have ever seen the hair of my head, j. Meg. i. $12=$ they have never seen, \&c. ;
 not intend this. So even after a prayer for a blessing אחם לח still has an affirmative force, though really the opposite is meant by the speaker : אֶרְאֶה בְנֶחֵטָה אִם לא הָרַגְתִי עֵּ זוֹמֵם may I behold the consolation (of Israel)! I have slain a false witness² ; אֶרֶאֶה בְנֶחָּה
 innocent blood, Mak. 5 b; San. 37 b; j. Mak. vii. 1 I.
 qonam, I have not eaten, I have not drunk; . .. קוֹנָם אִם לֹא רָאִיחִּ
 q., I will not wash, I will wash; I will not


${ }^{1}$ This particular oath was peculiar to R. Tarphon. Later generations disapproved of this form of oath, cf. BM $85^{\circ}$.
${ }_{2}$ This is probably a euphemism for a curse = לא אראה בנחמה; cf. above,
 Driver's notes, ad loc.
${ }^{3}$ The origin and exact meaning of this word are obscure; cf. the Lexicons and Cooke, $N$ Sem. Inscriptions, pp. 33-4. Perhaps it is a popular word for curse.

4 ${ }^{4}$ ip is to be understood; cf. the paraphrase in the Talmud babli, $N^{\circ} \mathrm{d} .79 \mathrm{~b}$.
${ }^{6}$ L has 'p Albrecht, 615 a, is unnecessary.
455. So with the formula שְׁבְּ expressed or understood:

 for me, ib. iv. 5 ff.
 preceded by various formulae, and construed regularly (like in BH, e. g. 1 Sam. xiv. 44).

 the Holy One, blessed be He, swore I will redeem you, So. 13 a; ne adjure thee . . . that thou shalt not change anything, Yo. i. 3 ; אֵָן שֶׁלֹא נִטְמֵאחִ אָמן שֶׁלֹא סָטִיתִי Amen, I have not been defiled; Amen, I have not gone
 children: this is a mutilated halaka, Oh. xvi. 1 ; Šab. 116 a ;
 shave thee for heaven, Ned. 9 b ; but more frequently is used as an exclamation in apposition, and without

 Rabbi, Yeb. 32 b .
457. So also are other formulae used in an asseveration as

 למשֶׁׁה בְּסינֵי by the Covenant! these are the very words which were
 Capitol of Rome! I will not leave thee, Mөn. 41 a; cf. § 305 no by thy life and by the life of thy head! not this hour alone . . . Ber. 3 a.
458. Likewise, שֶׁבּוּעָה may be followed by
 I will eat, I will not eat; I have eaten, I have not eaten, Šebu.


 I will not eat of thine, ib. Cf. the explanation of Abbaye, Ned. 16 a ; Šebu. 19 b, f. So . . . שֶ . . . that I will not sleep, that I will not speak, ib. 1 , in L. In edd. שֶׁאיִי is probably a correction, cf. the comment of R. Nissim on this passage, Ne . 14 b .

So with the formula $\overbrace{\text { שֶׁ }}$ שָׁקָּ a negative : קָרְבָּ שֶׁאוֹבַל לָּ what I will eat of thine be qorban= I will not eat . . ., Ned. i. 4.
459. In expressing a vow, a is equivalent to a negative. It is construed with a participial verb with the meaning of a future tense, and if the subject is a pronoun,
 ponam, I will not sleep, speak, walk, Ned. ii. I ; picin ? ${ }^{\text {ִ }}$., thou wilt not benefit of me, ib. viii. 7. The object is placed first, as usual in emphatic speech : קוֹנָם סוּנָּה שֶׁאֵנִ עוֹשֶׁׂה


 not enter thy house; that I will not buy thy field, v. 3. But
 q., that my mouth will not speak with thee, that my hand
 wife and children will not benefit of me, iii. 5 .

## 4. Interrogative Sentences.

460. A question is often expressed in MH, as in BH (Ges.-K., § 150 a), merely by the emphasis of the voice; and without any
${ }^{1}$ The reading in edd. ${ }^{\text {in }}$ is an erroneous correction, as shown by the
 pp. 29-40.
special introductory particle: : עֶ as far as those in Hebron? Yo. iii. ı; ; וֹת did you warn him? San. v. 1 ; is הָיָּתָ מַחֲוִיר would'st thou have returned to him? Ber. 32 b ; so with copulative !ְ: וְלא צֶבְּה shall I not weep? ib. 28 b. Especially in long argumentative questions: . . . אםם הֶעֶל חהּלִּים
 raise . . . ? Tor. v. 4 ; . . . . . . . . . . didst say it in case of the paschal offering . . . wilt thou say . . . ? Pes. vi. 5 .
461. More frequently the interrogative sentence is introduced by a particle. The BH interrogative i? is rare in MH, e.g. enchan whe make this more grave than the vine?
 however, common with $\leqslant$, which is further strengthened by the copulative ! used in an interrogative force (cf. last §; Ges.-K.,

 but do we not learn from the text of her marriage settlement ?
 Ber. 27 b . But frequently the interrogative particle is omitted:
 should he not go up and take it? Ber. 53 b; it לָ לָּרֶה is not this a field? 'Er. 53 b.
462. The particle '?!, which is rare in BH as an interrogative (Isa. xxxvi. 19; Job xxxix. 27) is very common in MH as introducing a lively question : וְבי יָדָיו שֶׁל משֶׁה עוֹשוֹת מִלְחָמָה but was it the hands

 כְּשְׁרִים but are all woods fit . . .? Ta. ii. 3. Frequently וְ stands even before another interrogative particle to give it greater
 exchange ? Pea v. 2; שוְבִ מִמְּני טָה אֵּן מְמִירִים but wherefore may
 what hour does the overseer come ? Tam. i. 2.
463. The interrogative adverb $\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ is often used as an introductory particle in long argumentative questions ( מָּ what if the Passover offering . . . is it not justice that he should be liable . . .?
 if a bone like a barley . . ., is it not justice that the Nazirite
 and what! if he had erred . . . has he done anything? ib. v. 3, \&c.
464. In later MH (not in the Mishna), a question can also be
 has Rabban Gamaliel ever known
 verts . . ? Ber. 27 b; ;
 effort? Šab. 153a; 89a; Pes. 66 a ; 118 b ; Ber. 10 a ; 'Ar. 15 a , \&c.



465. An indirect question is construed just like a direct
 the children read, Šab. i. 3 ; . . . בוֹאוּ וְהָפִיסוּ מִי מַשְֶֶׁה come and cast lots who should bring up ..., Tam. v. 2 ; שָׁמִין אֹתָהּ בַּטָּ הִיא רְאוּיה לְצשׁוֹת they value it how much is it capable of producing, BMix. 3
466. In a disjunctive question, the first is formed like an ordinary question, the second is introduced by וְ is the power of the owner improved because of the multitude of the sheaves, or is it worsened? Pea vi. 6; שְהֶֶָה רָאִיחָ אוֹ כֶָּה hast thou seen like this,
維 is evening prayer optional, or obligatory ? Ber. 27 b.

An indirect disjunctive question is introduced by
 not know whether I have restored to thee, or not, BQ x. 7 ; v. I
 it is not known whether it is a child of nine monihs of the first, or of seven months of the last, Yeb. xi. 6; נִיפַּר אִם תַּלְמִיד חָכָם it is recognized whether he is a scholar, or not, Ber. 50 a ; Pes. 6 ra ; 9 b. Rarely by
 where it fell, Ter. iv. it.

## 5. Desiderative Sentences.

467. A wish may be expressed by the simple imperfect (§ 319):



 pay you, Ket. 8 b.
468. More frequently the imperf. is preceded by the formula:

 may these not be in my house, Ber.ix. 3 ; or with the full formula:
 Singer, pp. 7, 14, \&c.
469. A wish can also be expressed by with the imperf.,

 מי מִי יִּן לִי חָרִץ וֶה Meg. 14 a. It may be noted that $\begin{aligned} \text { a } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { is used in its literal }\end{aligned}$
sense. It is never found in MH in the derived BH sense: oh, would! (Ges.-K., § I5I b).
470. In later Aggadic diction a wish is also expressed by הַלְוִאי

 ת
 ib. 89. הַלוְאֵ also stands at the end of the sentence as an
 Meg. 14 a.

## 6. Negative Sentences.

471. The uses of the negative adverbs אیל ,אֵץ, follow the same rules as in BH. ל ל is used to negative verbal clauses, while אין is used to negative noun clauses. Sometimes, however, participles are negatived by לס ; cf. above, §§339-40. אی is only used with the imperfect to express a negative wish, an exhortation, or a mild prohibition. With ordinary prohibitions, as well as in negative statements with the perfect and imperfect, לא is used:
 not thyself, ib. 8 ; לא יָּל אָרָם אֶת ראשׁוֹ one must not be lightheaded (i.e. guilty of levity), Bor. ix. 5.
472. The form לבּ is found for citations of Biblical
 'thou shalt not diminish'; הוֹא עוֹבֵר על בַּל הּוֹסִיף . . . 'thou shalt
 shalt not add', 'thou shalt not diminish' was not said, Zob.
 shalt not return' (Deut. xxiv. 19), Pea vi. 4 (L has בל מל for (ב),
 'it shall not be seen', and 'it shall not be found' (Exod. xiii. 7 ;

prohibited by (the command) 'thou . . .', ib. ix. 3. Contrast: הוּא מְלֹא יָבוֹא he is in (the category of) 'he shall not come'),
 in (the category of) 'he shall not profane his word' (Num. xxx. 3); Ned. ii. I (L has בלא for בל בל , בל is not a genuine grammatical form, but merely a scribal contraction for ב בלא
473. The negative is sometimes repeated to add emphasis:
 he has done nothing at all, Pes. 89 b; Ned. 7 b. Emphasis is also expressed by שָּ אֵינוֹ מְעְרֵב כָּל עִיְקָ he does not make an 'erub at all 'Er. iii. 6;

474. In a series of parallel verbs, the negative is sometimes expressed only with the first verb, but its force is extended also to the following verbs, as in BH (cf. Ges.-K., § 152 z ) : לא :


 may not give to drink nor slay . . . , Be. v. 7 .
475. a verb of fear or caution, expressed or implied, and is then

 be careful . . . that they should not learn . . . to lie, Ab. i. 9, in ;


 gullet, Ta'a. 5 b.
476. Note.-In colloquial speech, viz. in vows, לא was sometimes joined with the following noun almost like a preposition:

 the comment of R. Nissim on $N \cdot d$. i. 3. In ii. $1, L$ also has (לא חוּלִּין = לָחרִּין

## 7. Relative Clauses.

477. The construction of relative clauses has already been described in the treatment of ${ }^{-} \nLeftarrow \in(\$ 420 \mathrm{f}$.). Here we may add that sometimes a relative clause stands in apposition to the noun it
 is not the way that brings him out of the power of a transgression,
 a (wild) animal, Bik. ii. 8 ; אין לi מִי יַתִּירֶּ it has none that will make it permissible, Men. iv. 3 (cf. § 422 ). Perhaps here belong also cases with the demonstrative before the noun when followed
 above, §41r.

## 8. Circumstantial Clauses.

478. The circumstances attending an action, or the subject or object of an action, are usually expressed by a dependent clause. Such a clause may be a simple relative clause, or, more frequently, a relative or other clause under the government of

 may not hide . . . in grass when it is moist, Šab. iv. i; ; נוֹלִ כְּשֶׁn

 ת Ber. 23 a.

Sometimes a participle or adjective in apposition will express a circumslance : צוֹה חַלָּתָה עִרוּמָה ; קוֹרֵא עוֹמֵר, cf. § 357.
479. More frequently, however, the circumstanial clause is
co-ordinated with the principal clause either with the copulative !, or withoul.
 leaven . . . that fell . . . there not being sufficient to leaven,
 10 cubis distant . . . they would say to him, San. vi. 3 ; ָָּרְדָה עוֹדָּ בָּא לו . . בִּימִינוֹ he came . . . the deputy at his rıght, Yo. iii. 9; so Šab. i. ı; Pes. viii. i, \&c.

 ib. 6 ; שְׁזאנֶת וְהִיא קְטַנָּה she ciln repudiate while a minor, Yob.

 her while she is still in ler father's house, Ket. vi. 5 ; vii. 8; Nid. x. 1 ו נוֹטֵל אָדָם אֶת בְּנוֹ וְדָאֶבֶן בְּיָּר ו one may carry his son with
 ישְְרָאל רוֹאהּה milk which a heathen has milked without an Israelite watching him, 'AZ ii. 9, 10.
480. For the sake of emphasis, a circumstanial clause can precede its principal clause : עוֹדֵה הַסַּל עֲל בְּחֲפוֹ קוֹרֵא he reads while
 it falls off as he tilts it on its side, Š Sb. xxi. 2; iv. 2 ;
 away the dung, Kel. iii. 4. Cf. in BH, Ges.-K., §§ $116 \mathrm{v}, 142 \mathrm{e}$; Driver, Tenses, § 166 ff.

## 9. Causal Clauses.

481. A clause giving the reason of a preceding or following sentence can be introduced by a variety of conjunctions.

By the fossilized verb bexin, followed by the copula ( $\$ 302$, note):

shape of a vineyard, it is a vineyard, Kil. v. ı; הוֹאִיל וְלא הָיְתָה כוֹנָתָּ לְכָּ


 צִרְיִים לָהֶם not because they needed them, RH ii. 6.

 are for jaundice, ib. xiv. 3 ; מִּחֵני שֶׁלֹא שָׁוֹ because they are not equal, ib. vii. 4. Before a noun מְְִּנֵ stands as regens without

 the Torah, Bik. i. 3.
because it is not prepared, Šab. iii. 6 ; because it is not the food of healthy persons, ib. xiv. 3 .



 Canaanite has shown with his finger, So. 46 a.


 they were redeemed, they embittered, ib .


## 10. Conditional Sentences.

483. The construction of conditional sentences in MH follows in the main the principles of similar sentences in BH (Ges.-K., § 159 ).

The great variety of these sentences may be classified under two heads: those without an introductory particle, and those with an introductory particle.
484. (i) Without an introductory particle.

The relation between the protasis and the apodosis can be expressed by mere juxtaposition. This happens only when the condition is conceived as already fulfilled. The verb in the protasis is always the perfect; in the apodosis it is usually a participle, but sometimes a perfect. But when a command or prohibition is implied, the apodosis takes the imperfect (cf. above, § 310 ).
 if the thief has been found, he pajs a double payment; if he slew it, or sold it, he pays four- or five-fold, BM iii. 1 ; נִבְמַר הַדִּין מוֹצִיאִי inis when the case is finished, they lead him forth, San. vi. 1 ; וf he read, but was not careful in (the enunciation of) its letters . . . he has discharged his duty, Ber. ii. 3 ; לָרָא וְטָָּה יְחְוֹר if he read and made a mistake, he must
 he had an issue, he should not stop, ib. iii. 5 ; לא כִיפָּה מִבְבְוֹד יוֹם לא隹 if he did not cover it while it was day, he must not cover it after it has become dark, Šab. iv. 2.

Here may be mentioned the sentences with an indefinite subject,
 tive Absolute, which are equivalent to a conditional sentence in English; cf. §§ 436, 442.
485. (ii) With an introductory particle.
 the past or that is capable of fulfilment in the present or future. In the first case, the protasis always takes the perfect, since the condition has already been fulfilled. The apodosis takes the perfect, if its act lies in the past; the pariciple, if the act lies
in the present or the future, and the imperfect, if a command is implied.

 was healed (then it does not matter), Šab. xxii. 6 ; אִם מיאְאָה מֵיאְנָה if she has repudiated (her marriage), then it is a repudiation, Yob. xiii. 7; אִם בָּא לְהוֹסִיף מוִֹיף if he has come to add, he may
 risen, you are bound to ... Ber. i. r; with the apodosis first: חָתָּ פָּטוּר a bridegroom is absolved . . . if he has not performed the act, ib. ii. 5 ; אִם לא הִבִיא כְלִי מֵעֶרֶב שְַּׁת ou if he has not brought the instrument on the eve of the Sablath, he may bring it on the Sabbath, Šab. xix. 1 ; אם מֵ אִם רָאִיתָ הַּלְמִיר ; if dead, they must leave him, Yo. viii.
 commit a transgression . . . do not think . . ., Ber. 19 a.
486. When the condition has not been fulfilled, but is capable of fulfilment in the present or the future, the protasis takes the participle, or, less frequently, an imperfect, or even a perfect in the sense of a futurum exactum (cf. § 313). The apodosis takes a participle, or an imperfect when a command is implied, or even an imperative.

Exx. : אִם רָצָה לִקְרוֹת קוֹרֵא if he wishes to read . . ., he may

 if he cannot go down, then he should turn his face, ib. iv. 5 ; אם if thou wilt provoke me, I shall decree . . .,
 forth and slay for me, Pes. ix. 9.
437. Both protasis and apodosis can consist of a noun clause,


 does he not abolish it, 'AZ iv. 7 .
488. The subject of the apodosis is sometimes resumed by


 claims importance, lo, this one shall not wash, Šebi. viii. II.
489. An alternative condition in the negative is expressed by


 fluent in my mouth . . . but if not, I know . . . , ib. v. 5 .
490. אִילּוּ לֹא, אִיא, if the condition is represented as not fulfilled. The protasis takes the perfect, the apodosis takes the perfect if the consequence lies in the past, and the imperfect,
 נוֹרֵר if I had known . . . I would not have vowed, Ned. ix. 2, 4, 5 ;

 if burning had not been heavier, it would not have
 if I had fixed it for myself, then I would sit till my head has become white, Ket. xiii. 5 .
491. אִלְמָלִא (or אִלְמָלִל in Palestinian texts (cf. § 302). Like אִילּ, these conjunctions introduce a condition that has not been fulfilled. The verb is in the perfect, both in the


 לְאִרִן צְנִיעוּת if the Torah had not been given, we would have learnt

[^68] angry, there would not have remained..., Ber. 19a; אִלָלִלא לא if we had come up only to eat . . . it would have been sufficient for us, Pes. 8 b . When introducing a

 would have swallowed each other alive, Ab. ii. 2 ; אִלְלָלא אוֹחֲבִִי וֶה

 I would have decreed against thee, Ta'a. 19a; Pes. 53 a; אִלְלָא הוּא נִגְנו סֵפֶר יְחְְְזְאל if not for him, the book of Ezekiel would have been hidden away, Šab. 13 b; Yo. 20 b; Meg. 12b; 24 b;
 created. . ., Ned. iii. in (end); San. 49 a ; 63 a. ${ }^{1}$
492. Hypothetical sentences can also be introduced by other
 they have not to receive from him food, Ma'a. iii. i; ;
 עhen litigants stand before thee, let them be,

范even if his sludy was too hard for him, Ab. iii. 8 ; אֲפִּלֹ צ״ט אוֹחִרִים even if 99 say to divide, Pea iv. I.

## 11. Concessive Clauses.

493. A concessive clause can be introduced by the simple copula : ִִשְׁבֵּתִּ וּטְהוֹרָה אֲנִ I was taken captive, nevertheless I am


[^69]they maintain themselves, Qid. iv. 14 ; בַּפָּה חְהֵא הַסֶלע חְסֵרָה וְלִא ;יהא בָה אוֹנָאה may be no deceit in its use, BM iv. 5 .
404. More commonly, concessive clauses are introduced by special conjunctions. These consist of combinations with


 thy life, ib. ix. 5 ; אַף בְּשֶׁאָּמֶּ even when they said, Naz. ii. 1, 2 ;


 על pipes, though bent, though receiving, Kel. ii. 3;


 Ber. 28 b.

## 12. Comparative Clauses.

495. As in BH, comparison is expressed by $ᄁ$. 3 . From the nature of its substantival origin, $\cdots$ alone can stand only before a
 of a cow, Šab. vii. 4; ; חַיָה its blood requires covering like the blood of a beast, Bik. ii. 9 ; שוֹאֵל בְּעִנְיָ וּמֵשִׁיב כַּהַלְלָּה he asks according to the subject, and answers according to the law, Ab. v. io. To introduce a clause, ${ }^{-3}$ must combine with another word to form a conjunction. Thus, בְּאִילּ עְשָׁאָּ : בְּאִילּ
 as if it was straight, square, 'Er. i. 5 ;隹 likewise, if one lets a house to his
 went into exile, ib. x. 8 ; H. Hal. iii. 9.
496. A comparative clause is also introduced by שְּמוֹת שֶֶׁ דְּמוֹת as the shop-keeper buys, and not

 and similarly in the other years of the Seven, Šebi. iv. 8, 9.
497. A more complete agreement is expressed when the two members of the comparison are both introduced by correlative conjunctions. The following correlatives are found :
just like the thinning and trimming of the fifth, so of the sixth, Šebi. ii. 3 ; as their season for tithes, so their season for the seventh year, ib. iv. g. These correlatives are used with nouns only.
 make it heavy, so they said to make it light, Kil. ii. 2 ; כְּשִׁם שֶׁחּלְלְין just as they divide the produce, so do they
 thou hast brought me forth . . . so shalt thou bring me in, Ber. 48 b. Sonetimes Tnic as thou hast judged me . . may the Almighty judge thee ;

 just as he thins out among his own, so he thins out of the poor, Pea vii. 5. After a long protasis, the comparison is resumed by means of the copula :
 of her marriage settlement shall not be paid . . . so orphans shall not be paid . . . Š̌ebu. vii. 7 .
 just as one must not cause damage in a public thoroughiare, so must not one repair therein, Šebi. iii 10.
according as he pronounces the benediction, so they respond after him, Ber. vii. 3 .
498. The apodosis may come first for special emphasis, and then the protasis alone has the conjunction: חַיָּ אָדרם לְבָרֵך על חָרָעָ one is bound to bless (God) for evil, as one blesses Him for good, Ber. ix. 5 ; מוֹתֵג בִּתְרוּמָה בְּדֶרֶך שֶׁהוּא נוֹתֵּ one behaves towards teruma, just as one behaves towards common things, Ter. xi. 5 ; Śebi. iv. i.

49日. An argument to prove a similarity between two cases is introduced by the exclamatory מָה in the protasis, and in
 rises, also the impure shall rise $=$ just as $\ldots$ so $\ldots$, Ter. v. 4; what! the menstruous defiles by carrying, also the idol defiles by carrying, AZ iii. 6.


 מטפֵּא it is justice that the High Priest should not defile himself, Sifre Num. vi. 6. But more often the apodosis is put in a rhetorical question
 אֶn הַּשַּבָּת what ! if slaughtering . . . overrides the Sabbath, these . . ., should they not override the Sabbath? Pas. vi. 2; especially
 what! if the Passover sacrifice . . . he is liable, the sacrifices . . ., is it not justice that he should be liable? ib. 5 ; $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{o}}$ d. x. 6; Naz. vii. 4; So. vi. 3, \&c. The apodosis may also take the
 what 1 if one who commits one transgression ... , one who performs one command, how much more so should his life be given to him?
 what I if blood... robbery and incest .... how much more so should he secure merit ..., ib.; ib. i. 7 ; Ab. vi. 3, \&c.; or, finally in later diction, by the rhetorical negative question, placed at the end as a predicate, לא כָׁל שֶֶּׁ is not everything (in its
 כָל שֶֶּׁן if he blesses when he is full, when he is hungry all the more so, Ber. $48 \mathrm{~b}, \& \mathrm{c} .{ }^{1}$

## 13. Disjunctive Clauses.

501. A clause giving an alternative case is co-ordinated with the preceding clause with or without the copula, but only in the case
 peeled, or cracked, or perforated, or had something missing,
 thou didst lend me, or thou didst deposit with me, BQ x. 7;
 cooked meat, Pes. x. 4. But a longer clause is introduced by iא : two were riding ..., or one was riding and one leading, BMi. 2.
502. Two or more alternative cases may be introduced by correlative conjunctions, as follows:
 halịa, or she is married to her deceased husband's brother,
 'erub . . . or he does not make an 'erub, 'Er. iii. 9; אוֹ לחחי אֹ קוֹרָה either a board or a bean, ib. i. 2.
 eat, nor burn, Pes. i. 5 ; Yeb. xi. 2 ; cl. § 339 (ii).
 to-day or to-morrow, 'Er. iii. 9 .

[^70] ת whether they are silver or whether they are fruit, MŠ v. 7. This is especially common before nominal forms without שֶׁ: אֶחָר שׁוֹגֵּ וְאֶחָר מֵּוִיד whether in error or intentionally, Ab. iv. 4 ;
 anoints, Ter. vi. r. Sometimes אֶחָ is expressed before the first
 a ditch, or a cave, BQ v. 5.
whether it has in

 נִרָאָה בַעְלִיל whether it was seen clearly, or not, RH i. 5 ; with nominal forms without $\begin{gathered}\text { ש゙ֶ, except before a negative (cf. §425) : }\end{gathered}$
 בֵּין שֶׁאֵּנָן עוֹשִׁין

 אַתָּה מִחְעֵּם sometimes thou mayest hide thyself, and sometimes not, BM 30 a . Before nominal forms without שֶׁעִמים מְכוּרִים : שֶֶׁ sometimes they are sold, sometimes not, BB
 sometimes he comes with the crowing of the cock, sometimes near it, Tam. i. 2.

## 14. Adversative, Exceptive, and Restrictive Clauses.

503. A clause-expressing the antithesis to a preceding clause can be introduced by the simple copula : מחת וְהוא שֶׁ:שְׁבּב (he said) it had died, but really it was broken, Šebu. viii. 2 f., 6 ff. ; הָעוֹף

 permitted : . . , but he who slays . . . is liable, Pes. vi. 5 .

More frequently, an antithesis is introduced by אֲבְ or or or
אֲבָל introduces a co-ordinated sentence which contains a new
case in opposition to the foregoing : לא יִּתִּר אֶת סוּבָּתוֹ אִבָל מוֹרִיר הוֹא אֶת הַבֵלִים he must not undo his tabernacle, but he may take
 לְחְליֵּה בְּיוֹם טוֹב on the Sabbath, but one may put... on the Festival, Šab. xx. I; in i know that there is the state of the Nazirite, but I do not know that the Nazirite is forbidden the use of wine, Naz. ii. 4; MŠ iii. 1; Ber. iii. 5 ; 'Er. ii. 3, \&c.
 sentence : . . . that does not pay the shekel is a sinner; however, the priests
 as thou sayest, but the sages have said, Bek. iii. i; with a mild

 the old ones, Ket. viii. 1 ; BB ix. ro.
505. More often introduces an exceptive or restrictive clause: all sacrifices . . . are proper, except that they do not rise for the owner to the category of a duty (discharged, \&c.), Zeb. i. 1 ;
 from them (for the priests) is like them, except that what is lifted
 lo, thou art free to marry any man, except so and so, Git. ix. 1, 2.
506. In this exceptive or restrictive sense, אֶּא is very widely used after a negative (as in Aram. and $\mathbb{Q}$ חִּ in BH, Ges.-K., $\S 163 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}$.). ${ }^{1}$ The negative with way usually be rendered in English by an affirmative with 'only' : ללא הָיוּ אֶּלָא שְׁנִים they had

[^71]



יוֹעְצִִ אֶּאֹא they only cut...; they ouly kiss...; they only consult...., Ber. 8 b (§ $\mathbf{3}^{29}$ ).
507. בֵּלִבְבר placed at the end

 only blew (the shofar) at the Beth Din alone, RH iv. 2.
508. אֵּאֶּ introduces a complete antithesis in the idiomatic
 he struck against a stone, BQ iii. 11 ; Šeq. i. 4 ; Yeb. viii. 3, 4 ; Šebu. vi. 7 ; Men. iv. 3. Cf. § 298.


 for the sake of Israel, Ber. $3^{2}$ a.
509. Such a clause following אֶּא may have a conditional force:
 be soaked . . . Šab. i. $5^{-8}$, 10. If such a condition is introduced by $\mathbb{Q}$, the condtional particle is usually strengthened by $13:$ אינוֹ מַפְסִיק אֶּאלא אִם בֵּן חָרֹשׁ it does not separate, unless he
 one must not shut with them, unless they are high above the
 not take unless he shook it while it was still day, Be. i. 3, 5 ; ii. 5 ; iii. 2.
510. The same construction is found with clauses introduced by
 no evidence must be given, except after his soul is gone forth, Yob. xvi. 3. But, usually, אֵּאֶ is omitted in such a construction :
 become dependent on his fellow creatures $=7$ עא אֶא, Pea vii. 8;
 אינוֹ חַָָב עַר שׁׁיכְּתוֹב אֶאֶּא, Mak. ii. 7. Sometimes the negative also is omitted:

 Ḥul. ii. 6.
511. The negative is also omitted before wֶּא in answer to
 (not) except when it is the custom . . (לא נֶאֵמֵר אֶּלָא =), Ber. i. 3 ;
 as a Nazirite? (no), but he brings . . . Naz. viii. у ; מַה בֵּן שְּרֶה
 an inherited field and a purchased field ? (none) except that in a purchased field . . ( לִנְרָבוֹת אֶּאלא שֶׁקְְַּדִרים what is the difference between vows and free-will offerings? (none) except that, Qin. i. ı. Cf. אין בֵּ
 Sabbath and the Festival except in the matter of food alone, Meg. i. 5-I I.

Cf. the omission of the negative before xxvi. ro, \&c., BDB., p. 475 a.
512. Exceptive clauses are also introduced by ובִלְבַר : וּבִלְבַר שֶֶׁ שֶׁיוֹרִיעֶּ but only if he will make it known to him, Dam. iii. 3 ;

 put it against the base, $Z^{\circ} \mathrm{b}$. v. 8.


 except one of them, $Z^{\mathrm{e} b}$. viii. 8. Sometimes strengthened by
 Suk ii. 6 ; חוּץ ִִּוֹוֹ בִלְבַר except this alone, Yo. iii. 3 .

## 15. Temporal Clauses.

513. The relation of time between two verbs is expressed by various conjunctions followed by ${ }^{*}$ שֶׁ.

בְשֶׁ when: when the Israelites were in the wilderness, Men. iv. 3 ; דְּשְָָּׁ אָּ when he had gone forth, he said (pluperfect, § 309 ), 'AZ. iii. 4 ; it when I shall have reached it (fut. exactum, § 32 I ), Kil. v. 6. In
 Temple will be re-built, I will bring, Šab. 12 b . Cf. in BH, Ges.-K., § 164 d ; $B D B$., p. 455.

מִּשֶׁ he may sell after he has cut

 Mak. ii. 2. بִּשֶׁ with the perfect is usually found only as correlative to עֶר שֶׁ , see next section. In BH this temporal use of is only found with nouns: שְּמִשְלֹשׁ חְרָשִׁים Gen. xxxviii. 24; cf. $B D B ., \mathrm{p} .58 \mathrm{r}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$.

עuntil dawn will rise,


 he did not reach . . . before he became, Yo. vi. 6 (cf. Aram.:
 Cant. ii. 7 ,

עefore they had been chosen, Mak. ii. 4; so in BH עֲ שְׁשֶׁר לֹא יָּבֵּ before they will come, Qoh. xii. ı, 2, 6.
 pefore he had reached the season of vows . . . after he had
 had cast lots ... after he had cast lots ..., Yo. vi. r ; אַׁ before he had gored her . . . after he had gored her, $B Q$ v. I .

 will know of it, BM ii. 6. (But L has : ער שישמעו שכינים.)
 kings pass, 'AZ iv. 6; שָּ when (duration of time):
 Pes. ii. 1 .



בְְִּמן שֶׁקָּנה קַרְקַע : when, usually with a hypothetical force
 buy land, Ma'a. v. 5 ; cf. § 492.
 while men plough, Šebi. ii. 1 ; שָּ when when they have ripened, ib. ix. 4 .

 MŠ v. 2.

 water, Hal. iii. r.

קֶק ק before : phe was betrothed, So. ii. 6.

אַחַר שֶׁ after : אַחַר שֶׁכְּתָּוֹ לָּ 'Ed. iv. 7 ; or with ל : לִאַר שֶׁתּזוֹדוּ after they had agreed, Ter. v. 4.
 'Or. i. 2.

מִּד immediately: מִיֵר הָיָה עוֹמֵר וְעוֹסֵק בּתוֹרָה immediately he
 they would consult Ahitophel, $\mathrm{Be}_{\mathrm{r} .} 3$ b; מִּבָ כָּבְתָה immediately it is extinguished, Šab. $\mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ b.

## 16. Final Clauses.

514. The purpose or aim of an action may be expressed by
 שֶׁלּא לְהַבְעִית : Inclined to read, ib. 3. In the negative not to frighten, Yo. v. 2 ; שֶׁלֹא לְבַיֵּ not to shame, Ta'a. iv. 8.
 מִּלִשְׂרוֹף . . . מִלְּהַרִלִיק kindling, Pes. i. 6 ; cf. § 346 . The infin. can also be introduced


 order to repair, Šab. xiii. 2, 3.
515. Clauses expressing purpose are introduced by $\begin{gathered}\text { שֶׁל } \\ \text { ש゙ֶ: }\end{gathered}$ not that it may rise, but that it may not
 be disqualified, Yo. iii. 10.

 children forget, 'Er. vii. 9 .
in order that they may be cold, hot, Šab. xxii. 4 ; בִּשְׁבִיל שֶׁיְמִּתּ that he may last, ib. xxiii. 5 ; חֵּ

 gather, Pea v. 6.

## 17. Consecutive Clauses.

518. Clauses expressing the consequence of an action may be introduced by the simple copula, especially in questions:

יִבְנֶה ִִיֵהּא חַיָּב
 as to become prohibited? Ter. viii. 4 ; Šebi. iv. 10 ; Oh. xii. 8 ; when will it come to my hand that I may
 that he may not be a glutton, and so shut the door in his own face, BM vii. 5 .

More often, consecutive clauses are introduced by


范 they shall be divided, so that there be not in one place as much as an egg, Ter. v. I.
517. Consecutive sentences, as distınguished from consecutive


 man created, San. iv. 5 .
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This little book has been written with a twofold object: first, to provide students of early Rabbinic literature with a fairly complete grammar of the dialect of that literature; and, secondly, to demonstrate the organic connection of this Mishnaic dialect with Biblical Hebrew, and its relative independence of contemporary Aramaic at least in the field of grammar.

The present paperback edition replaces the hardback edition first published in 1927. The text is unchanged.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first period overlaps the second period, as BH continued to be used as a literary idiom long after the rise of MH. Cf. $\$ 17$.
     Num. R. I.

[^1]:    1 Deut. vi. 4 ; Singer, p. 40 f.

[^2]:    
    2 תַּנָאִים, a Hebraized Aram. form of the MH traditional law)-the Teachers of the Mis̀naic period, as contrasted with
     Talmudic period.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Mishna is found in two chief recensions, (i) a Palestinian text, as given in the Jerusalem Talmud, and in the text edited by Lowe (L.). To this recension belongs also the text found in codex Kauffmann (K); see Krauss,

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Even the Christian dialect of Palestinian Aram. borrowed freely from MH ; cf. Nöldeke, $Z D M G$., xxii, pp. 513, 522.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ We use the term 'vulgar' in its original sense of popular. The explanation that the usual prosaic baldness of MH is due to the technical character of the halaka leaves out the extensive haggada which, though not technical, is yet

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Driver, Introduction to Lit. of $07 I^{9}$, pp. 474 f., 484 f., 539 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Graetz, Geschichte d. Juden ${ }^{4}$, III, i, pp. 81-2; Klausner, Origin of Mishnaic Hb., Scripta Universit. Hierosol., Orientalia atque Judaica I, Art. IX.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ The evidence is collected by G. Dalman, The Words of Jesus, pp. 1-13.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Büchler, Die Priester u. d. Cultuis, pp. 60 ff. The account of the Bat Qol is found in So. 33 3; t. So. xiii. 4-6.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. Friedmann, Onkelos u. Akylas, pp. 86 ff. ; Dalman, op. cit., p. 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Ta'a. $17 \mathrm{~b}, 18 \mathrm{~b}$; $\mathrm{M}^{\circ} \mathrm{n} .65 \mathrm{a}$, and the special edition, Neubauer, Medieval Jewish Chronicles, ii, pp. 3 ff.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, Lehnwörter, i, 88 Iff., and, more briefly, Albrecht, §§ 5, 7-9, 10.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Siegfried, ZAW., iv, pp. 35 ff.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. also below in verbs, $\delta \S 122,126$; and in the plural of nouns, $\$ 282$. For isolated cases in BH, cf. Ges.-K., $\delta 90$. The full spelling is also usual in Medieval Hebrew ; cf. ספר חסירים, תתפ״ו § Güdemann, התורה והחיים, i, p. 153 .

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Or עr ; cf. Kohut, Aruck., i. 74 ; iv. 157 ff.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. also j. Br. ii. 4, viii. 6; j. 'Er. v. 1; j. 'AZ. i. 2; Gen. R. 26; Lam. R. ii. 2. See Dalman, Gram. d. jüd.-Pal. Aram., p. 57 f. ; Berliner, Beiträge z. heb. Gram. in Talmud, p. 15 ff. ; Frankel, 'טבוא הירחש, 49 a.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Barth, Etymol. Stud., p. 37.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, ii, p. 484.
    ${ }^{2}$ Also pַ, 'AZ. 40 b.
    8 These are doubtful.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Barth, op. cit., pp. 162-4 ; Bergsträsser, ZAW., xix, pp. 41 f.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Schröder, Phieniz. Sprache, § 65 and foot-note.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note such awkward and inconsistent expressions as
    

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, $M G W 7$., li, p. 56.
    ${ }^{2}$ This confusion is found even in modern scholars, e. g. Albrecht, § 30 a.
    
    

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ For further details about the derivation of these verbs, the reader is referred to Kohut's Aruch and to Jastrow's Dictionary.
    ${ }^{2}$ Of heathen sacrifices, probably an artificial change in a contemptuous sense as mere זֶֶ dung. Cf. Kohut, iii, p. 265, and reff. to Tosaphot on 'AZ. 18 b
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. further in the Phonology above, 8543,45 ff.
    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. above, 861.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Sirach xxxix. 16, 33 ; xlii. 17 ; xl. 29; 1. 9.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ges.-K., 5 56, i, p. 510f.; pp. 515-20.
    : Cf. Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 180 ; Dillmann, Ethiop. Gr.', p. 25 r.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. I Sam. xix. 10 in Qal.
    ${ }^{2}$ To sexual intercourse, by the woman. Perhaps, however, $y$ שע in this sense is equivalent to the Aram. שטע = שטׁש would, therefore, be passive to Pi'el
    ${ }^{3}$ So Sirach $\mathbf{~ x x x . ~ 1 9 , ~ s e c . ~}$

    * Of illicit intercourse, cf. שכב את in BH. See BDB., s.v., p. 1012 (3).

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Niph'al is used here loosely, in order to preserve the personal constraction with the subject which is characteristic of MH style. With these uses of the Niph'al in MH, cf. BH, Ges.-K., § $5^{1} \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{g}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Similarly the $\mathrm{Pu}{ }^{\prime}$ al is spelt with 1 after the short $u$, see below, 88126,129 , and above, 8 39. So regularly in Aram. Stein's suggestion (Das Verbum, p. 31) that this spelling signifies a lengthening of the vowel consequent upon the dissolution of the doubling, cannot be entertained at all.
    
    4 Contrast Qal $\overline{7}$ nin to cut, ${ }^{\text {'Er. x. }} 13$.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ L. has Hoph'al, ממתקן. So wherever this verb occurs in the passive, e.g. Para iii. 3, \&c.
    ${ }^{2}$ The finite parts of the Pu'al are not found at all in Joshua, i Samuel, Micah, Jonah, Habakkuk, Chronicles, Nehemiah, and Daniel, and only once or twice in Jndges, 2 Samuel, Amos, Lamentations, Canticles, and Ecclesiastes.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Ges.-K.., $5^{2}$ e, s. 53 u, and the reff. to Böttcher and Barth.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Delitzsch, Assyr. Gr., § 115 ; Dillmann, Ethiop. Gr., § 80 ; Spitta, Gram. d. Arab. Vulg. Dialect von Aeg., § goa (4); the various Aram. Graminars.
    ${ }^{2}$ Four times. L. has twice נתקבלתי. So in v. 1 L. has ניתקבלתי for edd. התקב. Contrast also t. Ket. ix. 4 with xi. I.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ The forms explained as Nithpa'els. Cf., however, Eitan, JQR. (N.S.), xii, p. 25, who holds that these two forms are survivals of a stem Nippaiel, reflexive of Pi'el corresponding to Niph'al in the Simple Stem.
    ${ }^{2}$ But also מחתְטֵמּא, Naz. iv. 3. Cf. especially, Kel. ii. i.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ This traditional pronunciation as $\check{u}$ is proved to be correct by the invariable spelling with 1 . So also in BH even in strong verbs, according to the Babylonian punctuation, cf. Kahle, Masoreten d. Ostens, p. 193.
    ${ }^{2}$ Also in Sirach iv. 25a; xli. 2, and, probably, also in the BH noun Dָּרְבִים.

[^25]:    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. j. Ta'a. 11.2.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Driver, Hebrew Tenses, § 133.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. also H. Baner, Die Tempora imh Semitischen, p. 37 f.

[^27]:    ' Cf. the writer's remarks, $J Q R$., xx, p. 686, footnote 3.

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ L has Qal in both passages : נוחזים , נותו.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the curious expression אהיה אובין, 'AZ iii. 5 (L) the form אובין seems to be treated as a participle Qal, and should be pointed a scribal expansion of הובירָה . הָּ , הבירה , from to be fallow, due to the influence of the following Aram. form אובביר. L has the correct reading in BM. In 'Ar. ix. I L is defective.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ From Deut. xix. 19.

[^31]:    1 The sing. is always מֵֵ, in Hiph'il ; cf. below, § 196.

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ These forms also occur sometimes in Aram.; cf. Dalman, 573 (p. 354); Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., $\delta 183$ (8), but they are more common in MH.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, i, §§ $\mathbf{3 2 6}$ f. ; Albrecht, § $8 \mathbf{2}$ g-h.

[^34]:    1. From פחח, by assonance with ניע, with which it is always combined.
[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ This full form is the only one found in MH, even when not in pause.
    2 בְּרֵיָּה 2 belly, cited by Albrecht, $\S 4 \mathrm{I}$, does not belong here. It is
    

[^36]:     הרָּבָּ

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Dalman, p. 158, 5 and foot-note.

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some texts point ${ }^{\text {פַּ }}$; cf. Krauss, MGWJ., li, p. 57.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. Stade, § 208 c; Ges.-K., § 84 A n.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the traditional pronunciation ; cf. BH. I Kings vi. 29.

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ So

[^41]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ibn Ezra, ad loc., and on Cant., l. c. In Neg. xi. 4 ; Sifra, xiii. 49, however, these terms are explained as intensives. Cf. also Brockelmann, ib., i, § 1 \% 2 .

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a similar change in BH, cf. Ges.-K., § 27 P ; Stade, § 81; also Sznejder, p. 231.

[^43]:    ' Cf. Barth, Nominalbildung, pp. 73, 90.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are probably diminutive forms, cf. Prätorius, $Z D M G .$, lvii, p. 530 ff . Brockelmann, ib., p. 402.

[^45]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. BH.
    ${ }^{2}$ So Rassi to Lev. xxvi. 31. But Rabad to the Sifra, l.c., explains our word as 'foundation', and this is adopted by Levy, s.v.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ For a full treatment of diminutive forms in BH and MH cf. M. H. Segal,
    

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Stade, § 323 a, where twenty-five examples are enumerated.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ So also with pronominal suffix ; cf. above, $\delta 39$ and foot-note.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. BH j. Targ. Gen. xxvii. 28 , \&cc.
    
    

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Krauss, $M G W J .$, li, p. 325, note 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ The ' in these forms, and also in the plur. of the nouns in the next section, serves to remove the hiatus between the final vowel of the sing. (without the fem. termination $\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{-}$) and the vowel at the beginning of the plur.
    

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e. the limbs on which the plumage,
    

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the traditional pronunciation and the usual spelling. L, however,
     cf. above, 858 . .
     § 295.
    ${ }^{3}$ Krauss's view (ZDMG., 1913, p. 737) that

[^52]:    
    
     Kin. i. 3, 4 .

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ So in BQ 4 I b. In Pos. 22 b ; $\mathrm{B}^{\circ} \mathrm{k} .6 \mathrm{~b}$, the apodosis has a participle .

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ The other examples cited by Albrecht, 8107 m , do not belong to this category.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ All the examples in BH are collected and classified by E. Sellin, Ueber die verbal-nominale Doppelnatur d. hebr. Participien u. Infinitive, p. 27, and by J. Kahan in his dissertation with the same title, p. 19. Cf. also Ges.-K., § 152 d .
     אנך, Cooke, op. cit., No. 3, 11. 2, 3, and the note p. 21 f.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ The infin. with $\beth$ is frequent in the Targumim, e. g. Gen. xii. 4 ; xxiv. 6 ; xlviii. $7, \& c$., which proves that the construction was permissible in Aram.
    
     op cit., p. 299.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cf. Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 295. In the Targumim the inf. absol. with

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ This accusative of time is found also with in the liturgical passage : תortify us on this day of the New Moon, ${ }^{\prime}$ Er. iii. 9.

[^58]:    

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ges.-K., § 122 , and H. Rosenberg, Das Geschlecht d. Haupiwörter in d. Mischna (Berlin, 1908!, especially pp. 73 ff.
     אתוֹ is not used in MH.

[^60]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ges.-K., § 126 q-t ; Driver, Notes on Samuel, i. 4 ; xix. 13.

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The art. is never used in MH as a relative with a finite verb, as sometimes in BH ; cf. Ges.-K., § $13^{8} \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}$.

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. further, $\mathcal{F} Q R$., xx, pp. 653 ff. ; Barth, Pronominalbildung, p. 137.
    ${ }^{2}$ But in the old Aram. of the Zinjirli Inscriptions the constr. state alone is used ; cf. Cooke NSI., Nos. 61-3. In the Aram. papyri of Assuan, however, the constr. is confined to 'the most common words where the relation is a close and natural one' (Cowley, Introd., p. 19).

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ So in Ethiopic ; cf. Dillmann, Aethiop. Gr., § 145, 6.

[^64]:     complete unanimity of the assembled elders; cf. $\mathbf{Z}^{\circ} \mathrm{b} .12 \mathrm{~b}$ with Rashi, ad loc. Some texts have also here the plur.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ So perhaps also in BH :

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Ges.-K., § 143 ; Nöldeke, Syr. Gr., § 317 ; Mand. Gr., § 275.
    ${ }^{2}$ The corn in the corner of a field which had to be left for the poor, Lev. xxiii. 22.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fruit about which there is a doubt whether it has been tithed or not.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. BH ואם לא Gen. xxiv. 39; 1 Sam. ii. 16; ואם א׳ן Gen. xxx. i; Exod. xxxii. 32.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Against Tossaphot, M ${ }^{\circ}$ g. 21 a, Kohut i, p. 98 f., who make a distinction
     ibid, xi, p. 151 f.; Ben Yehuda, Thesaurus, i, p. 250 f.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. Bacher, Aelteste Terminologie, p. 172 f.

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ This use of אֶּא after a negative is already found in BH with אֲם לא , the equivalent of Nֶּ (§ 302), Gen. xxiv. 37-8; Ps. cxxxi. 1-2. Cf. also the French ne . . que.

