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## Preface

This volume was written with two kinds of reader in mind: the advanced student of Modern (and, specifically, Israeli) Hebrew who knows next to no linguistics, and the general linguist who knows no Hebrew. No contradiction this: by use of notes and word-for-word glosses, and by infinite effort at avoiding needless abstraction, I hope to have made it possible for each to use this book without tripping over the other's feet.

This is a work of reference. It is tightly packed and arranged to be digested a little at a time rather than read through rapidly.

Anyone attempting an 'objective' and comprehensive description of contemporary Hebrew (and there have been too few) is liable to be accused of debasing the purity of the language and even of distorting reality. 'It is newly reborn', one is informed, 'It has not yet settled down'. But ten years' fieldwork and theorizing tell me that is just not so. Infinite sociolinguistic and personal variation there is in any language; but that still leaves a wealth of sharp features to describe and explain. And in my love for the Hebrew language as medium of a people's life-force, rooted in revered sources but never ceasing to change through sheer vitality and use, I have wished to see it set out in all its new glory - the only known case of a mother tongue reborn.

My thanks go to Professors Moshe Chayen, Robert Hetzron and Chaim Rabin for their painstaking comments and criticisms; to Penny Carter of Cambridge University Press for the wondrous gift of patience; to the School of Oriental and African Studies for the typing services of Denise Long and her colleagues; and to the irrepressible candour of my beloved informants: Avraham Beeri, Amram Ben-Sher, Dorit Ben-Dror, Yaakov Carmel, Eliezer Don-Yehiya, Avi Felber, Michael and Rachel Gruenzweig, Uri Karmazin, Batsheva Koren, Liora Koppelman, Meir and Rachel Lifshitz, Mordechai Omer, Shula Papkin, Orli Pen, Merav Raviv, Yosef Shilhav, Orli Taffel, Hila Yehieli and Esther Zucker.

But, above all, I thank my wife Joannie.

## Abbreviations and conventions

The following abbreviations are used:

| ADJ | adjective | N | noun |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADV | adverb | NEG | negator |
| C | casual usage | OBJ | object |
| CONJ | conjunction | OM | object marker |
| COP | copula | PART | participle |
| DEF | definite | pl. | plural |
| DET | determiner | PRED | predicate |
| DIR | direct | PREP | preposition |
| EMPH | emphatic particle | PRES | present |
| F | formal usage | Q | question |
| f. | feminine | QUANT | quantifier |
| FUT | future | REL | relative |
| IMP | imperative | s. | singular |
| IND | indirect | SUBJ | subject |
| INDEF | indefinite | SUBORD | subordinate |
| INF | infinitive, infinitival | SUFF | suffix |
| INTERROG | interrogative | V | verb |
| m. | masculine |  |  |

* before an example indicates 'unacceptable’
? before an example indicates 'questionable'
() within an example indicates an optional item, e.g.:
(ha-)baaya (ha-)zot
(ה)בעיה (ה)זאת
A hyphen in a transcription indicates that in the Hebrew the corresponding word is written as one word (as in the preceding example).
The format indicating optional alternatives is:

baaya | zo |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| zot | בעיה |

Certain conventions reduce the need for a separate translation and word-by-word gloss: $[=\ldots$ ] helps elucidate the real meaning of a word-by-word gloss, as in example (1) below; ( ) in a translation indicates words not present in the Hebrew that must be supplied in the English, as in (2); and bold face in a

Hebrew example and in its translation or gloss is frequently employed to draw attention to the point of the example，as in（3）．
（1）ha－baaya ha－zot．．
הבעיה הזאת．．．
the problem the this［＝this problem］．．．
（2）ha－baaya kasha
הבעיה קשה ＇The problem 〈is）difficult＇
（3）ha－baaya kasha
הבעיה קשה
＇The problem 〈is〉 difficult＇

## 1. About Israeli Hebrew

### 1.1 The status of Israeli Hebrew

This volume deals with Israeli Hebrew, commonly known today as 'Modern Hebrew'. Hebrew ('Ivrit') is the national spoken and written tongue of the Jewish majority in the State of Israel.

Of a total population of more than four million as of 1986, the Jewish population is some three-and-a-half million, of whom the two million Israeli-born Jews ('Sabras'), and probably many more, have Hebrew as their mother tongue Indeed, according to 1983 figures, $42 \%$ of Israeli Jews aged $15+$ know only Hebrew and $83 \%$ use it as their main language (such census figures, of course, report what people say that they do). Israel's Arab citizens use Hebrew for day-to-day contact with Hebrew speakers ( $32 \%$ of non-Jews aged $15+$ can speak it), but otherwise employ Arabic. Literary Arabic is itself an official language in Israel. In addition, at least 380,000 Israelis are conservatively estimated to be residing abroad. Although it is true that of some $10-11$ million other Jews around the world few could claim mastery of spoken or written Hebrew, whether actively or passively, it is studied widely, in either its modern or its older form.

Hebrew functions in all realms and levels of usage - from small talk to poetry, in science and in sport. Nearly all Israeli Jews can read and write Hebrew; indeed, in $197270 \%$ reported that the last book they read was in Hebrew, while $75 \%$ of conversations recorded in a Jerusalem street were in Hebrew. Several Israeli authors have been widely translated.

Equally important, since the 1890 s Hebrew has been, and remains, a main plank in Zionist and Israeli ideology and society - both symbol and agent of the Ingathering of the Exiles and 'normalization' of Jewish national life, echo of the Biblical past, substitute for the Yiddish, Judeo-Arabic, Judeo-Spanish and other Jewish and non-Jewish languages of the Diaspora, and vehicle of modernism.

## FURTHER READING

Bachi \& Schmelz 1974; Bentolila 1983; Cooper 1984; Hofman \& Fisherman 1972; Nadel \& Fishman 1975; Rabin 1983; Schmelz 1984; Schmelz 1987: 57-60; Statistical Abstracts of Israel 1984.

### 1.2 Classical, Modern, and Israeli Hebrew

Israeli Hebrew is the latest stage in Hebrew's 3000 and more years of attested evolution - through all its Biblical, post-Biblical and diaspora varie-
ties, though it ceased to be spoken by the second or third century. There ensued some 1700 years during which it was in many periods and places the educated Jew's main written language (but apparently never a mother tongue) - in poetry, religious and profane, in philosophy, in popular scriptural commentaries, business, law, medicine and science, evolving variously while forever harking back to ancient texts. All of this was largely in the framework of Judaism, but of course Judaism is bound up with the technicalities and language of everyday living.

Eastern Europe in the mid-nineteenth century witnessed the secularization of Hebrew and the emergence of such genres as newspapers, novels and educational non-fiction, with diametric trends in Hebrew semantics, grammar and style - towards a scriptural purism or towards Europeanization and mass coinage This, already, was Modern Hebrew, a new set of variations on the ancient tongue, rather than a different language as in Modern vs. Old English.

The end of the century saw the rapid eclipse of written Hebrew by European languages, yet by a supreme irony it re-emerged as a spoken native tongue in Ottoman Palestine - a form of popular written Hebrew used on schoolchildren as a chaotically experimental language of instruction, then picked up by their parents and siblings in the space of one generation, born of revolutionary nationalism and traditionalist love of Zion.

The Balfour Declaration (1917) and Britain's award of official status to Hebrew secured its place as a spoken vernacular and as an all-purpose written medium. By high-pressure innovation and historical restoration (here the lexicographer Ben Yehuda should be mentioned), by the prescriptive efforts of individuals and organizations such as the Israel Defence Forces and the Hebrew Language Academy, and above all by popular conformity and sheer intensive use, Hebrew has now evolved into a cohesive, standardized Israeli Hebrew, with its own distinctive pronunciation, grammar, syntax, and lexicon, at all levels of usage - but still recognizably a direct outgrowth of the previous stage of Hebrew. Moreover, with the destruction of Jewish traditional culture in Europe and Moslem lands, and Israel's new centrality to world Jewish life, Israeli Hebrew now stands alone as virtually the only actively written Hebrew and has outstripped traditional pronunciations in prayer and study (though in Israel some $10 \%$ of schools uphold these traditions).

FURTHER READING
Bar-Adon 1975; Fellman 1973; Fishman \& Fisherman 1975; Glinert 1983; Rabin 1974a; Rosén 1977.

### 1.3 Varieties

Given the multitude of mother tongues spoken by preceding generations, the Hebrew of native, and many non-native, Israelis is strikingly uniform within its dialects and other varieties of usage - and even across them.

### 1.3.1 Ethnolects and sociolects

Israeli Jews, even native-born, are commonly classed as being of Afro-Asian (dubbed 'Sephardi' or 'Oriental') and European-American ('Ashkenazi') background, the former probably in a slight and increasing majority though considerable intermarriage makes the picture unclear. Many Sephardim are distinct in two or three consonants (indeed, very prominently so), in intonation, vocabulary and in some casual constructions (eg. אבוא מחר avo maHar? vs. לבוא מחר? lavo maHar? 'Shall I come tomorrow?'); but how many Sephardim are involved is a matter for sorely needed research. 'Oriental' pronunciation, though widely considered historically more correct and used by many educated Sephardim, has in practice been generally stigmatized because it is typically spoken by the less-educated, lower-income Sephardim of Israel's 'development towns' and poor urban neighbourhoods; and where the two communities mix, this ethnolect has been publicly on the wane (though not necessarily so in private usage, despite the impression given by many Israeli linguists). However, in the development towns, which contain some 20\% of the Jewish population and which are predominantly ( $75 \%$ ) Sephardi, the picture is uncertain: their recent emergence as political power bases, coupled with general Sephardi self-assertion, could conceivably have significant consequences for the 'Oriental' ethnolect as a whole
Of any other sociolects or dialects that may exist, little has been established beyond the morphological differences in young children and lexical peculiarities in, for example, Jerusalem and Haifa; the substantial differences in, say, kibbutz or army vocabulary are largely a matter of 'register' (subject matter) - see 1.3.2.

## FURTHER READING

Ben Zadok \& Goldberg 1984; Bentolila 1983; Devens 1981.

### 1.3.2 Mediums, levels and registers

Israeli Hebrew as a whole has many (intersecting) varieties, involving pronunciation, lexis and grammar:

1. Speech and writing, the latter intrinsically more careful and explicit.
2. A scale of formality: elevated $\rightarrow$ formal ('cautious') $\rightarrow$ casual, in both speech and writing - though it is common to switch back and forth in the same discourse
3. A scale of education and status: university graduates $\rightarrow$ high school graduates $\rightarrow$ early school-leavers.
4. A multitude of 'registers' for various activities and roles, eg. law, religious study, sports commentaries, soldiering, bureaucracy, press reports, novels, children's poetry (in these, casual-level ephemeral jargon is to be termed 'slang'). Here a difference in grammar is often more one of stylistic preponderance than a simple presence or absence of a feature

### 1.4 Norms and normativism

In both written and spoken Hebrew there are in practice formal and casual educated 'norms', varieties that the broad public seeks to use For formal writing, this can be represented by business letters, newspaper features, technical articles; for formal speech, by media reports, lectures; for casual writing, by educated personal letters; for casual speech, by educated conversation on serious topics (the usage of humanities graduates may be particularly close to 'normative' Hebrew).

Examples: 'No one knows that you have this school here'

## Formal writing:

ish eno yodéa ki
איש אינו יודע כי כי
yesh laHem kan bet-séfer ze
יש לכם כאן בית-ספר זה

## Formal writing or speech:

ish eno yodéa she-yesh
laHem kan bet-séfer ze
Casual writing or speech:
af eHad lo yodéa she-yesh אף אחד לא יודע שיש
laHem kan et bet-ha-séfer ha-ze

Casual speech:
af eHad lo yodéa she-yesh אף אחד לא יודע שיש
laHem kan ta bet-séfer a-ze

לכם כאן את בית-הספר הזה
איש אינו יודע שיש
לכם כאן בית-ספר זה

לכם כאן ת' בית־ספר הזה

Be it noted that by 'educated norms' we mean the general usage of educated people, rather than what is designated 'educated' by educators.

Certain other varieties, eg. poetry and artistic prose, though admired, are beyond the active capability of the general public, and if used prosaically are deemed 'affected'. Others are considered uneducated ('substandard'), as in , השכונה הזאת ha-shHuna ha-zóti 'this neighbourhood’.

Neither the gap between written and spoken, nor that between formal and casual usage, is anything approaching a diglossia; indeed, the usages shade into one another. The public tends to be only dimly aware of the norms it is using (see ch.2), but uses them quite confidently and uniformly, though keenly conscious, from its schooldays, of there being a somewhat different, quasi-official 'correct Hebrew' - normativists' Hebrew.

The rulings of Israeli purists ('normativists') on pronunciation, spelling, grammar, syntax and lexis are of some significance Invoking ancient sources and certain medieval and modern authors (rather than the usage of a present-day elite), the legally authoritative, if self-effacing, Hebrew Language Academy - and a number of vocal private grammarians, lexicographers and coinage committees - seek a 'compromise' revision of present-day norms, a kind of 'damage limitation'. The method is largely one of unsystematic tinkering, with scant consensus or even debate and little explanation of goals and criteria: much on pronuncia-
tion and spelling, less on lexis and morphology, and precious little on syntax.
The linguistic effect is mixed: pervasive in school-teaching, broadcasting (particularly news and advertising), belles-lettres and bureaucracy, moderate in general public and journalistic writing habits, and negligible in casual speech although the educated tend to pay lip-service to normativism. But the net psychological effect is an insecure coyness about one's actual usage, felt notably among Hebrew teachers, and a dearth of hard research data, let alone any codification of actual norms - once the necessary resources are forthcoming.

FURTHER READING
Bahat \& Ron 1960; Ben-Asher 1969; Blanc 1957a, 1968; Donag-Kinnarot 1978; Goshen-Gottstein 1969: 189ff; Landau 1970; Nahir 1978; Nir 1981; Rabin 1958, 1977, 1978, 1983; Rosén 1977; Sivan 1974.

## 2. The data

This is primarily a description of educated Israeli Hebrew grammar, with the emphasis on syntax. It is intended both for linguists and for Hebrew students, and should not be taken as a normative statement of how Hebrew ought to remain.

The description is based on acceptability judgments by a team of half-a-dozen informants, varying somewhat over the ten years of research. All were nativeborn Israelis, aged 16 to 50 (largely in their twenties and thirties), mostly with Ashkenazi pronunciation, mostly university-educated, and with equal numbers of men and women. All had to undergo gradual attunement to the task of sincerely stating their personal norms. The emphasis in choice of informants and test material was on educated norms (see 1.4, 3.3).

Through interviews (not questionnaires), informants heard or read utterances and had to judge acceptability in casual and formal speech and writing. Among equally acceptable forms, scales of preference were sometimes established. Elevated or uneducated usage too was noted, if it occurred. The utterances tested were sometimes prefabricated, sometimes elicited, and sometimes - particularly with formal constructions - derived from actual writings and speech. The small amount of linguistic research already available was also generally tested.

While a large stratified sample is highly desirable, the remarkable uniformity of informant judgments (in a multitude of matters never rote-taught in schools) gives hope that this study is representative of educated Israeli Hebrew. At the very least, it may yield a framework for detailed trial and refutation - and the funding cum manpower that such work would require. In any event, the drawbacks of relying on a corpus have been shown by Svartvik: the million-word Brown University corpus of American Printed English yielded just 32 cases of need + not and eleven of dare+not. Nor can a linguistic's introspection as native speaker suffice: over-exposure and prejudice in favour of one's own hypotheses are the dangers here. Questionnaires too, as Greenbaum has argued, are primarily of use for resolving difficult cases.

## 3. The description

### 3.1 Introduction

This book is first and foremost a syntax: it covers as much of sentence structure as space allows (though, regretfully, little of paragraph structure). If the impression is given that 'minor' constructions are crowding out all else, it is because traditional Hebrew syntax has chosen to focus on a very few phenomena and make them appear 'major'. Note that a truly comprehensive syntax would be very much larger.

Morphology is presented in brief and for convenience sake Much fuller (normative) lists of inflections are available in most Hebrew grammars.

### 3.2 Theoretical basis

The collection of data for this book is predicated on a generative theory of linguistic 'competence'. The description itself is set in a conventional generative mould but with transformational assumptions kept to a minimum. Overall, though, considerations of space have prevented us spelling out the detailed theoretical workings by which we arrived at our analyses; their rightful place is in learned journals. On the other hand, where they are of direct relevance as data, relatively technical phenomena are supplied in notes to each chapter, which are collected at the end of the volume

### 3.3 Describing varieties of Hebrew

Of the many varieties of Hebrew distinguished in 1.3, this study addresses itself to the standard varieties in most general use, i.e the speech and writing, formal and casual, of educated speakers of the 'prestige' sociolect, namely those with a non-Oriental pronunciation.

Formal and casual will be labelled as such; what is unlabelled is 'neutral', i.e what is in all-round use However, speakers frequently mix formal and casual; further research about, for example, ' $\quad k i$ in the sense of $ש$ she 'that' (higher formal) and subdivisions.

Examples (from 'degree words'):
Formal:
ko 'so' קה kim'a 'a trifle' קמעא
nora 'very' נורא tip-tipa 'a bit' טיפ-טיפה

Neutral:
me'od 'very' מאד yoter 'more' ותר
We make little reference to poetry and other elevated writing, army or school usage, 'substandard' Hebrew and so on (elevated uses would include: interrogative - $h a$-, omission of $\boldsymbol{N}$ et, conversive - $v a$-).

### 3.4 Format

All examples are given in (a) unpointed Hebrew, as in standard Israeli usage, (b) transcription, (c) usually, an English gloss, and (d), where helpful, a morpheme-by-morpheme gloss. The transcription is adjacent to the Hebrew, thus:
(b) ha-yéled ha-ze
(a) הילד הזה
(d) the boy the this
(c) 'this boy'

Within the running text, examples are given in the order: Hebrew, transcription, gloss, as in "Hebrew repeats the determiner הזה ha-ze 'this'."

### 3.5 References

References with each chapter are a guide to further reading or alternative analyses. Full details of the title of each reference are given in the final bibliography. Though frequently in disagreement with the works referred to (details of where and how are beyond the scope of this book), we cite them in acknowledgement of the stimulus they have given us.

## 4. Pronunciation and spelling

### 4.1 Pronunciation

The transcription reflects Israeli Hebrew slow speech. This has the sounds set out in the following tables (for convenience, the transcription is a compromise between phonemic and phonetic transcription).

### 4.1.1 Consonants

| Letter | Usual transcription | Usual phonetic value ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| א | , | [ P] ${ }^{2}$ (catch in the throat), zero |
| ב | b,v | [b] or [v], depending on the word |
| 2 | g | [g] |
| ' | j | [¢̆] |
| 7 | d | [d] |
| ה | h | [ P ], [h], ${ }^{3}$ zero |
| 1 | v | [v] |
| $\stackrel{1}{ }$ | z | [z] |
| i | zh | [ ${ }^{\text {ž] }}$ |
| n | H | [ x ] (as in 'Bach'), [h] ${ }^{4}$ |
| 0 | t | [t] |
| , | y | [y] ${ }^{5}$ |
| 2 | k,H | [ k$]$ or [ x ], depending on the word |
| 7 | H | [x] |
| ל | 1 | [1] (clear, as in 'leaf') |
| D, | m | [m] |
| נו | n | [ n ] |
| 0 | s | [s] |
| $\nu$ | , | [ P ], zero, [ ¢ ] ${ }^{6}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{f}$ | [p] or [f], depending on the word |
| $\bigcirc$ | f | [f] |
| Y, צ | ts | [c] |
| צ'Y | tsh | [č] |
| $p$ | k | [k] |
| 7 | r | [ к ] (uvular, like German 'r'), [r] ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| ש | sh,s | [š], in some words [s] |
| $ת$ | t | [ t ] |

## Notes:

${ }^{1}$ Syllable-initial stops are moderately aspirated.
Often there is regressive voicing assimilation in consonant sequences, as in דפוס [tfus], משדר [miždar].
2 Most commonly [ २ ] before a stressed vowel, hence ארעי ara'i. Elsewhere, it is commonly zero and is then not transcribed.
${ }^{3}$ [ P ] and [h], or a mix of both, may be equally common pronunciations. [ P ] becomes zero as in note 2 .
4 Pharyngal [ h ] is a distinctive characteristic of many Sephardim, especially of Yemenite or Moroccan background. In mixed communities it is generally used intermittently or not at all.
5 [yi] is commonly pronounced [i].
6 The pharyngal glide [ C ] has the same social features as [h], but is further restricted: it generally only comes before a stressed vowel. It often has an $a$-like quality, so sounds much like [acc].
7 The tongue-tip [r] is common only among Israelis of Yemenite descent.

### 4.1.2 Vowels

| Letter | Usual transcription | Usual phonetic values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| , | i ('beat, bit') | $[\mathrm{i}]$, sometimes $[\mathrm{r}]$ if unstressed |
| - | e ('bet') | $[\varepsilon]$ |
| - | a (American 'car') | $[\mathrm{a}]^{1}$, sometimes $[\wedge]$ if unstressed |
| , | o (between British 'not' and |  |
|  | 'nought') | $[0]$, sometimes $[0]$ if unstressed |
| , | u ('pull') | $[\mathrm{u}]$ |
| - | e (between 'bet' and 'bit') | $[\varepsilon]^{2}$ |

In combination with [y] to form diphthongs:

| $\prime$ | ey ('bay') | $[\varepsilon y]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\prime$ | ay ('buy') | [ay] |
| $\prime \prime$ | oy ('boy') | $[o y]$ |
| $\prime \prime$ | uy | $[u y]$ |

## Notes:

1 Some speakers have [a] to replace an adjoining [ §], e.g. טעם [taám], מעיין [mayan].
2 Vowel length: vowels are shorter when unstressed or in closed syllables. [ ${ }^{\xi}$ ] is especially short. In homorganic consonant clusters or those beginning with a sonorant, $[\S]$ is generally audible, e.g. מלאה, שזיפים [š̌zifim], [mほlea]; in others it is barely audible, e.g. זוועה [zvapa], not [z£vapa].

### 4.1.3 Prosody

Word stress is on the last syllable, unless specifically transcribed with an acute accent. It is occasionally phonemic, e.g. טעט ט taám ~táam 'tasted ~ taste'.

Sentence stress and intonation are not generally indicated ('Ashkenazi' and 'Oriental' intonation differ somewhat).

### 4.1.4 Fast speech

Fast speech is not reflected in the transcription. It is characterized by shift and weakening of stress, and consequent weakening or loss of vowels, notably: (1) laxing and centralization of all vowels but [u], e.g. בשביל, עכשיו [bišvıl, nxšav]; sometimes leading to (2) neutralization as [ə], e.g. לעבוד עם עכ [laavodəm], אבל [วval]; or (3) its loss, e.g. של, ששים [šl, ššim]. The whole syllable may even drop, especially (4) in affixes, e.g. נותנת, הוא מלמד [notent, humlamed]; and (5) in unstressed words such as עכשיו [xšav]; and (6) with consecutive vowels, e.g. להם [la $m \rightarrow l \varepsilon m$ ], מישהו [míšu].

In fast speech, (7) the palatals [y, š] can raise [ $\varepsilon$ ] to [i], e.g. שהוא, יש (šiu, ıš); and (8) word stress may shift for rhythm, e.g. אמר לנו is [amárlanú] instead of slow [amár lánu].

### 4.1.5 Phonotactics

Hebrew has a $4 \times 4$ obstruent system:
pf ts čč kx
bv dz ǧž gb
and in addition: cmnlyh?

### 4.2 Spelling

This book uses official Israeli spelling, as authorized by the Hebrew Language Academy for unpointed texts. Note that many publishers, schools and members of the public vary in their use of the vowel letters ', ('Pointing', involving 14 signs for the six vowels, plus other signs, is not in general use.)

## FURTHER READING

Blanc 1957b, 1964; Bolozky 1977, 1982, forthcoming; Chayen 1973; Devens 1978, 1980, 1981; Enoch \& Kaplan 1969; Laufer 1974, 1976; Morag 1973; Ornan 1973; Rabin 1940; Schwarzwald 1972, 1981a; Semiloff-Zelasko 1973; Tẽné 1962.

## 5. Definite and indefinite

### 5.1 Introduction: 'definite article' ה ha- and 'definite object marker' את et

 The definite article is - $\boldsymbol{\pi} h a^{-1}$ 'the', written as a prefix to the following word (as are all one-letter words)², e.g. הפיצה ha-pitsa 'the pizza'.There is no indefinite article, thus:

| ha-pitsa |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| pitsa | הפיצ', <br> 'the pizza' |
| 'a pizza' |  |

But occasionally, with 'countable' nouns, the determiners איזה éze 'some' and אחד ehad 'a certain' may be used to underscore the indefiniteness, איזה éze in both singular and plural and אחחד eHad just in the singular (see further 9.2, under paragraphs (d) and (e)):
ani meHapes Haver
אני מחפש חבר
'I'm looking for a friend' (a friend in general or a specific friend)
ani meHapes éze Haver $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haver eHad }\end{aligned}$
איזה חבר
אני מחפש חבר אחד
'I'm looking for some friend'

- n ha- is sometimes optional and often impossible with intrinsically definite nouns (e.g. names), thus (ה)שבת) (ha-)shabat 'the Sabbath', דוד david 'David', חברי Haveri 'my friend'.

In association with - ha- one often finds את et, which introduces a 'definite direct object':

## Definite:

tavi li et ha-dag
תביא לי את הדג
bring me ом the fish
tavi li et david
תביא לי את דוד bring me ом David

Indefinite:
tavi li dag
תביא לי דג bring me fish

את et is usually meaningless. It does not in itself express definiteness and is even omitted occasionally. ${ }^{3}$ However, it sometimes serves as a superficial mark of grammatical definiteness where no other mark is evident (see further 5.3.4):

| tavi et dov/dov bring Dov/bear | תביא את דוב/דוב 'Bring Dov (a man's name)/a bear' |
| :---: | :---: |
| pitru nehagey éged | פיטרו נהגי אגד |
| they-fired drivers-of Eged | 'They fired Eged (a bus company) drivers' |
| pitru et nehagey éged | פיטרו את נהגי אגד |
| they-fired ом drivers-of Eged | 'They fired the Eged drivers' |
| ani oHel ha-kol | אני אוכל הכל |
| I eat the all | 'I eat anything' |
| ani oHel et ha-kol | אני אוכל את הכל |
| I eat om the all | 'I eat everything (that's there)' |

By making a noun 'definite' (by אה את $h a$ et or both), one informs the hearers that they know its identity. This usually means that it is a specific entity, e.g. 'the (specific) tiger'. But sometimes it means that it is instead 'generic', e.g. 'tigers in general', and in such cases the definite article is just one way of expressing it: הנמר ha-namer 'the tiger', נמרים nemerim 'tigers'. Section 5.2 discusses 'specific' - ה ha- 'the', 5.3 'specifics' with no - ה ha, and 5.4 'generic' -ה ha-.

### 5.2 Specific ה- ha- 'the'

### 5.2.1 Referring backwards or forwards

- ha- 'the' can hark back to a previous mention of (or allusion to) someone/something:
haya kontsert? eH haya ha-menatséaH? היה קונצרט! איך היה המנצח?
'There was a concert? How was the conductor?'
It can also anticipate the identification of someone/something by an adverbial, relative clause or other modifier that follows; compare 'non-identified idea' with 'identified idea':

| heelu raayon she-hitsáti |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| they-raised 〈an» idea |  |
| that I-suggested | העלו רעיון שהצעת |
| 'They raised an idea |  |
| that I suggested' |  |

### 5.2.2 Specific to the circumstances

- ה ha- 'the' can be referring out to something implicit in the broader circumstances, e.g. someone who is visible or something that is well-known. Some such cases tend to have set rules, as in the following examples.

Unique to the world: העולם ha-olam 'the world', השמש ha-shémesh 'the sun', האדמה ha-adama 'the ground', הכוכבים ha-koHavim 'the stars' etc. The same holds for times of day, as in:
> ba-páam she-avra azávti ba-bóker

בפעם שעברה עזבתי בבוקר
'Last time I left in the morning'
and similarly, ביום ba-yom 'by day', בצהריים ba-tsohoráyim 'at lunchtime', lifney ha-HasheHa 'before dark'...4

Unique to the locality: המשטרה ha-mishtara 'the (local) police', החוק ha-Hok 'the Law', העירייה ha-iriya 'the municipality', הממשלה ha-memshala 'the government', הארץ ha-árets 'Israel' (literally, 'the land'), הצפון ha-tsafon 'the north', בככיתה ba-kita 'in class', בגן ba-gan 'in kindergarten's etc.

Certain unique personal possessions, for example:
nikit et ha-báyit?
ניקית את הבית!
'Have you cleaned the [your, our] house?
ha-meHonit be-tikun
'The [my, our] car's being fixed'
המכונית בתיקון
Parts of the body and clothes being worn: casually, הר אש ha-rosh 'the head' and suchlike will denote 'my/your/his head' etc., referring back to a possessor in the sentence:

| hu melakek et ha-sfatáyim he's licking ом the lips | הוא מלקק את השפתיים 'He's licking his lips' |
| :---: | :---: |
| at oméret she-ha-rosh ko'ev | את אומרת שהראש כואב |
| you say that the head hurts | 'You say your head hurts' |
| lo orid et ha-kóva not I'll-take-off ом the hat | לא אוריד את הכובע 'I won't take off my hat' |

Casual Hebrew will even insert an anticipatory (pro)noun as part of a special dative ל le- phrase (right after the verb), rather than add 'my/your' etc.:
masháHti lo et ha-Hultsa
משכתי לו את החולצה
I-pulled to-him ом the shirt
'I pulled his shirt'
nagáti la ba-yad
נגעתי לה ביד
I-touched to-her on-the hand
'I touched her hand'
histakálti le-david yashar ba-eynáyim
הסתכלתי לדוד ישר בעיניים
I-looked to David straight in-the eyes 'I looked David straight in the eyes'
Formal usage prefers a possessive suffix rather than - ל- ha- 'the' and dative le- phrases:
lo asir et kova'i

לא אסיר את כובעי
'I will not remove my hat'
נגעתי בידה
'I touched her hand'

Kinship words: In casual Hebrew, ההורים ha-horim 'the parents', הבן ha-ben 'the son' etc. can in themselves indicate the parents, the son etc. of someone already mentioned in the sentence: ${ }^{6}$

| yóram asa et ze biglal ha-aH | יורם עשה את זה בגלל האח 'Yoram did it because of the [=his] brother' |
| :---: | :---: |
| od lo shamáti me-ha-horim | עוד לא שמעתי מההורים [- |
| at shomáat me-ha-yeladim? | 'I still haven't heard from the [=my] parents' את שומעת מהילדים? |
|  | 'Do you hear from the [=your] children?' |
| ma shlom ha-isha? | מה שלום האשה! |
|  | 'How's the wife?' |

### 5.3 Absence of - ha- 'the'

In many cases a noun is 'definite' without - ה ha, given the fact that it still requires the object marker את et (where appropriate); any accompanying adjectives will themselves take $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha (see 5.6). For example, note the name 'Moshe' (see further 5.3.2):
$\begin{aligned} & \text { xibáknu et moshe ha-Hamud } \\ & \text { we-hugged ом Moshe the little }\end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{r}\text { חיבקנו את משה החמוד }\end{array}, \quad$ 'We hugged little Moshe'
In a handful of other cases, a noun refers to something 'definite' and yet is grammatically altogether 'non-definite' - there is no את et and no -ה ha-; for example (and see 5.3.1):
daHinu siba zo
we-rejected reason this

דחינו סיבה זו
'We rejected this reason'

The main cases are set out in 5.3.1 and 5.3.2.

### 5.3.1 With 'common nouns'

In 'definite' construct phrases (see ch. 6), though both components are usually felt to be 'definite', $-\boldsymbol{\pi} h a$ - usually goes just with component b :
tsadim et nimrey ha-amakim they-hunt ом tigers-of the valleys

צדים את נמרי העמקים
'They hunt the tigers of the valleys'

Furthermore, when a noun takes a pronoun ('possessive') suffix, creating a type of definite construct phrase in which component в is a pronoun, no - $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha- at all is used:
tsadim et nimreyhem
they-hunt ом tigers-of-them
$A \quad B$

## In two types of apposition

In naming by number (see 36.4), using noun+numeral phrases such as דוגמה שש dugma shesh 'example six', עמודים ארבע-שבע amudim arba-
shéva＇pages 4－7＇with the noun in＇absolute＇（apposed）rather than construct form，there is no－$n h a$－＇the＇whatsoever－and yet these are＇definite＇，for they take the definite object marker את et：

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { hevánti et dugma shesh } & \text { הבנתי את דוגמה שש } \\
\text { I-understood om example six } & \text { 'I understood example six’ }
\end{array}
$$

Secondly，in apposition of titles（36．5），several titles are definite without requiring－ה－ha－：מר mar＇Mr＇，אדון adon＇Mr＇，גברת gvéret＇Ms＇，פרופסור profesor＇professor＇，ד＂dóktor＇Dr＇，סמל samal＇Sergeant＇and all military ranks，thus：

takir et adon levi<br>תכיר את אדון לוי<br>meet om Mr Levi<br>＇Meet Mr Levi＇

All but מר mar＇Mr＇（which is never used as an ordinary noun）can take－ה ha－ ＇the＇very formally：הגברת מאיר ha－gvéret me＇ir＇Mme Meir＇，האלוף הוד ha－aluf hod＇Brig．Hod＇．

However，most titles always have ה－ha－（when＇definite＇），e．g．המלך דוד
 ha－rav yosef＇Rabbi Yosef＇，ראש הממשלה בגין rosh ha－memshala bégin＇Prime Minister Begin＇．

## Semantically definite but grammatically indefinite

In many expressions and idioms one can choose to make a noun grammatically indefinite，giving it an abstract flavour，e．g．פת pataH rádyo＇turn on 〈the〉 radio＇，שמע חדשות shama Hadashot＇hear 〈the〉 news＇， הרים ידים herim yadáyim＇raise 〈one＇s〉 hands＇，שטום sam Hagora＇put on 〈one＇s〉 belt＇，מכף רגל עד ר אש mi－kaf régel ad rosh＇from head to foot＇，and， sometimes，פעם ראשונה páam rishona＇（for the＞first time＇．

With אותו oto＇that，the same＇and（formally in the main）with זe ze＇this＇， a self－evidently＇definite＇noun can optionally be treated as grammatically indef－ inite（see also 9．3）：

| hu lavash | et oto ha－svéder oto svéder | את אותו הסוודר אותו סוודר | הוא לבש |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| he wore | ом same the sweater same sweater | ＇He wore the same | sweater＇ |
| hu lavash | et ha－svéder ha－ze sveder ze | את הסוודר הזה סוודר זה | הוא לבש |
| he wore | ом the sweater the this sweater this | ＇He wore this | sweater＇ |

### 5.3.2 With 'proper nouns'

Strictly 'proper' nouns rarely have - $\boldsymbol{-} h a$ - 'the',' even when qualified by some other word:

| shaláHti et moshe sheli | שלחתי את משה שלי |
| :--- | :--- |
| I-sent ом Moshe my | 'I sent my Moshe' |

tsarfat shel vishi צ צרפת של וישי'
France of Vichy
'Vichy France'
sára ha-shniya שרה השנייה

Sara the other
'the other Sara'

The names for days of the week are proper nouns and do not take - ה ha'the', despite having the outward appearance of noun+adjective:
be-yom shlishi ha-aHaron
on day third the last

ביום שלישי האחרון
'last Tuesday'
Indeed, their internal structure is that of a construct phrase:
tikaH et yemey shlishi ha-notarim
take ом days-of third the remaining

תקח את ימי שלישי הנותרים 'Take the remaining Tuesdays'

Other such items are (ערבת, יום כפור) עשב (שerev (shabat, yom kipur..)' 'the day before (the Sabbath, Yom Kipur...)', ... ליל leyl... 'the night of...' and מוצאי ' motsa'ey 'the night after...'.

Names of artistic works, and writers' names transferred to these works, are generally proper nouns: ${ }^{8}$

| ra'íti et makbet | ראיתי את מקבת <br> 'I've seen Macbeth' |
| :---: | :---: |
| lamádeti et agnon | למדתי את עגנון <br> 'I was studying Agnon' |
| ani menagen et béthoven | אני מנגן את בייטהובן 'I'm playing Beethoven' |

A notable case of a word made into a name is the numeral, as in:
patárti et árba
I've-solved ом four
פתרתי את ארבע
'I've solved (number) four'
Certain 'uniques’ (see 5.2) can be used as proper nouns, notably אלו-הים elo-him 'God':

Subject:
elo-him soléaH 'God forgives' אלו-הים סולח
Object:
shibHu et ha-elo-him 'They praised God’ שיבחו את האלו-הים

On אבבא ába 'father', אמא ima 'mother', סבא sába 'grandfather', סבת אávta 'grandmother’, see note 6.

Conversely, names can often be used as common nouns, in which case the definite form takes - $\boldsymbol{n} h a$ - 'the' and the indefinite does not. For example, when referring to a whole family we might have:
ha-grínbergim ba'im
or, as a 'proper noun':

Referring to individuals called by a certain name:
shlosha grínberg(im) שלושה גרינברגוים)
pl. suff
sára aHéret שרה אחרת 'another Sara'
ha-sára ha-zot השרה הזאת the Sara the that

הגרינברגים באים
'The Greenbergs are coming'

```
grínberg ba'im
s.
Greenberg are-coming
grínberg ba`im
גרינברג באים
'The Greenbergs are coming'
```

shlosha grínberg(im)

pl. sUFF $\quad$| (three Greenbergs' |
| ---: |

| sára aHéret | שרה אחרת <br> 'another Sara' |
| :--- | ---: |
| ha-sára ha-zot |  |
| the Sara the that | השרה הזאת |
| 'that Sara' |  |

However, for definite names with adjectives the proper noun is preferred to the common noun:
sára ha-shniya שרה השנייה

Sara the other 'the other Sara'
*ha-sára ha-shniya השרה השנייה*
the Sara the other ('the other Sara')
Referring to a type of person, we have:
hayu fróydim ve-yiyu fróydim
היו פרוידים ויהיו פרוידים
'There have been Freuds and there will be Freuds'
Similarly, names of days of the week or festivals can be both proper and common nouns: ${ }^{9}$

[^0]The complication here is that these dates as proper nouns have two meanings: 'Passover' etc. in general or 'a particular Passover' etc. This stems from the nature of dates. Notice that et is the crucial sign of a 'proper' or 'definite' noun; - האש השנה ha-can be misleading: for example, the set expression rosh ha-shana 'the New Year' can be indefinite as well as definite (and similarly, days of the week, which have no - $\boldsymbol{n} h a$-):
biliti rosh ha-shana eHad sham ביליתי ראש השנה אחד שם 'I spent a New Year there'

### 5.3.3 With pronouns: זה ze 'it', מ mi 'who?' etc.

'Definite' pronouns, like 'proper nouns', do not have - ה ha- 'the'. ${ }^{10}$ But being definite, they take the definite object marker את et, and accompanying adjectives have - $\boldsymbol{-} h a$ - (see 5.6):
ani maadif et ze ha-aHaron
אני מעדיף את זה האחרון
I prefer ом it the latter
'I prefer the latter one'
אותי, et combined with the 'personal pronouns' for 'I, you, etc.' becomes את אותך... oti, otHa etc. 'me, you etc.' (see 42.2.2).

Special note should be taken of the (apparently) semantically indefinite pronouns מי mi 'who?', מישהו mishehu 'someone', אחו eHad 'one', כל אחד kol eHad 'each one' and מאף אחד af eHad 'no one'. The first is always definite grammatically:
et mi ata maashim?
את מי אתה מאשים!
ом who you blame?
'Whom do you blame?'
while the rest can optionally be definite by association, i.e. when referring implicitly to part of a 'definite' group: ${ }^{11}$
tsilámti (et) eHad mehem
I-photographed (ом) one of-them
lo sha'álti (et) af eHad not I-asked (ом) single one

צילמתי (את) אחד מהם 'I photographed one of them'

לא שאלתי (את) אף אחד
'I didn't ask anyone [i.e. of them]'

### 5.3.4 Names that are common nouns

Names of products are common nouns, and can thus be used definitely or indefinitely:
matsáta nóga/et ha-nóga ?

as against the proper noun:
matsáta et nóga? מצאת את נוגה?

Names of prayers are usually indefinite:
gamru minHa ve-matHilim shma
גמרו מנחה ומתחילים שמע 'They've finished Mincha and they're starting the Shema'

### 5.4 Generic: 'tigers'

The notion 'all tigers' leaves no doubt as to 'which tigers', so it is often treated as 'definite' and marked by - $n$ ha- 'the'. Thus ambiguity may arise:
ha-namer tsad ba-láyla
הנמר צד בלילה 'The tiger $\left[\begin{array}{l}=\text { all tigers } \\ =\text { that tiger }\end{array}\right]$ hunts by night'
In fact, there may be various ways of expressing 'generic', depending on the sort of noun.

The major distinction is between 'countable' and 'non-countable' nouns, as set out in the table:

| COUNTABLE NOUNS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meaning of noun | 'Generic' expressed by: | Examples |  |
| Species | s. DEF | ha-namer tsad | הנמר צ7 <br> 'The tiger hunts' |
|  | pl. indef | ahávti nemerim | אהבתי נמרים 'I liked tigers' |
| Social groups | s./pl. Def | ha-hódi(m) HaHam(im) | ההודי(ם) חכמ(ים) <br> 'The Indian(s) is/are clever' |
|  | pl. indef | ahávti hódim | אהבתי הודים <br> 'I liked Indians' |
| Institutions | S. INDEF $^{1}$ (sometimes) | hu sone bet-séfer | הוא שונא בית־ספר <br> 'He hates school' |
| Types of person | s./pl. DEF | taHshov al ha-ani(im) | תחשוב על העניוים) 'Think of the poor' |
| Times of day | s./pl. Def | ba'im ba-bóker/bkarim | באים בבוקר/בבקרים <br> 'They come in the morning |
| Sundry | pl. indef | térmosim asuyim zeHuHit <br> anashim mitlonenim | תרמוסים עשויים זכוכית <br> 'Thermoses are made of glass' <br> אנשים מתלוננים <br> 'People complain' |

NON-COUNTABLE NOUNS

| Meaning of noun | 'Generic' expressed by: | Exa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Substances | S. INDEF | basar hu mazon bari | בשר הוא מזון בריא 'Meat is a healthy food' |
|  |  | ani sone sukar | אני שונא סוכר 'I hate sugar' |
|  | S. DEF (sometimes) ${ }^{2}$ | hu gila et ha-Hankan | הוא גילה את החנקן <br> 'He discovered nitrogen' |
| Qualities | S. DEF | ha-gaava mesukénet | הגאווה מסוכנת <br> 'Pride is dangerous' |
|  | S. indef | gaava doma le-kfira | גאווה דומה לכפירה 'Pride is like heresy' |
| Diseases | S. DEF ${ }^{3}$ | ha-adémet nefotsa | האדמת נפוצה <br> 'Rubella is common' |
|  |  | mefaHadim me-ha-sartan | מפחדים מהסרטן <br> 'They fear cancer' |

## Notes:

1 Similarly, בית־חולים bet-Holim 'hospital', בית־סוהר bet-sóhar 'prison', בית־שימוש bet-shimush 'toilet'.
${ }^{2}$ Non-generically: הוא גילה חנקן hu gila Hankan 'he discovered some nitrogen'.
${ }^{3}$ Non-generically: קיבלתי אדמת kibälti adémet 'I got rubella'.

## 5.5 - ha- as 'this' and 'the chief'

With 'time nouns', ha-denotes 'this...', i.e. 'the current...' or the one belonging to 'today'. Examples (used mostly as adverbs): היום ha-yom 'today', העוקר ha-bóker 'this morning', הער ha-érev 'this evening', and similarly for
 'year', ערע לג réga 'moment', עעם ona ‘season', סונה onam 'instance', סמסט seméster 'term', קייץ káyits 'summer' and so on. ${ }^{12}$

Stressed - $\boldsymbol{\pi} h a$ - means 'the chief, the top...':
nirshámti le-ha-bet-séfer sham
נרשמתי להבית־ספר שם
'I registered for the top school there'
For extra emphasis we may have:
ha-bet-séfer be-hey ha-yedia הבית־ספר בה״א הידיעה
the school with the definite article
'The school'
These cases of - $\boldsymbol{-} h a$ - are noteworthy for their syntax - see 5.6.

## 5.6 - ha-: where and how often?

## The positioning of - $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha

Where the nucleus of a phrase is 'definite', any adjectives, ${ }^{13}$ nouns or determiners following as modifiers ${ }^{14}$ must themselves be preceded by $-\pi h a$-, but not preposition phrases and relative clauses ${ }^{15}$ (see further, ch. 12). We therefore have הילד הפרוע ha-yéled ha-parúa 'the wild child', דוע הפרוע david ha-parúa 'wild David'; החבר העורך־דין he-Haver ha-oreH-din 'the lawyer friend’; חיים העורד־דין Háyim ha-oreH-din ‘Chaim the lawyer'; הילד הזה ha-yéled ha-ze 'this child’; but we can not have: הילד הברחוב* *ha-yéled ha-ba-reHov 'the child in the street; הילד הראיתי * ha-yéled ha-ra'iti'the child I saw'.

## Deletion of - ה ha-

So tightly does - ה ha-cohere with its noun etc. that it cannot be omitted to save repetition; therefore we have:
lishtof et ha-tsalaHot ve-ha-kearot?
לשטוף את הצלחות והקערות! 'Shall I rinse the plates and bowls?'
knafav ha-dakot ve-ha-Halakot
כנמיו הדקות והחלקות his wings the thin and the smooth
'his thin, smooth wings' not:

> *lishtof et ha-tsalaHot ve-kearot? לשטוף את הצלחות וקערות?* (ל) (except as '...the plates and (any) bowls')
> *knafav ha-dakot ve-Halakot
> *כנפיו הדקות וחלקות

But note such set phrases as המשא ומתן ha-masa u-matan 'the negotiations', הדין וחשבון ha-din ve-Heshbon 'the report'.

## Conflation of - ha-

Where the prepositions -ל, ב- ב- $b e$-, $k e$-, le-precede the definite

ba-bots (*be-ha-bots)
בבוץ (בהבוץ)
in-the mud
Exceptions are as set out in (a) - (d):
(a) Common nouns acting as names, e.g. הארץ ha-árets (a newspaper), המשביר ha-mashbir (a store), הפועל ha-po'el (an organization):
ze haya be-ha-árets 'It was in Haaretz' זה היה בהארץ
(b) - ה ha- as 'the chief', hence:
hu lamad be-ha-bet séfer
הוא למד בהבית־ספר 'He studied in the top school'
(c) $\quad$ ha- as 'this'; here conflation is just occasionally possible:
gamárti le-ha-réga/le-ha-yom $\quad$ 'I've finished for the moment/for today'
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { gamárti le-ha-shavúa } \\ & \text { la-shavúa }\end{array}$
'I've finished for the week'
(d) ה- ה- ha- in haHi 'the most'. This is treated as a definite article in , e.g. ha-léHem ha-Hi tov (lit. the bread the most good) 'the best bread', ${ }^{16} \mathrm{in}$ the same way as it is in הללו halálu 'these', הלה hala 'the latter'; but there is no separate form כי ללו לו lálu, לה la), nor is the ה ha- in הכי haHi conflatable:
ani mitkaven le-haHi tovim אני מתכוון להכי טובים (*laHi tovim)
(*לכי טובים)
'I mean the best ones'
Similarly, very casual usage employs הכי haHi with an indefinite noun (where no specific entity is being referred to):

| at yeHola lehagid mishpatim haHi |
| :--- |
| ktsarim she-yesh |

'You can say 〈the〉 shortest sentences that
there are'

FURTHER READING
Agmon-Fruchtman 1981, 1982; Glinert 1978:40ff; Ornan 1978, 1979a: 14ff; Rosén 1977:155ff; Sadka 1981: 198ff.

## 6. Constructs and possessives

### 6.1 Introduction

Hebrew has a range of 'genitive' constructions, i.e constructions that are often called 'possessives' in a very loose sense (though only some of them are strictly possessive). There are three main types, as described below.
'Construct phrases' are a particular juxtaposition of nouns, and sometimes of other words:

| bigdey shabat |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| clothes Sabbath |  |
| bigdey ha-tinok |  |
| clothes the baby | בגדי שבת |

' של shel phrases' use the preposition to link two nouns as in:

| bgadim shel shabat | בגדים של שבת |
| :--- | ---: |
| clothes of Sabbath | 'Sabbath clothes' |
| ha-bgadim shel ha-tinok | 'the baby's clothes' |

In both constructions, where the second item is a personal pronoun, it is suffixed:

| bgadav <br> clothes-him <br> בגדדים שלו |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| ha-bgadim shelo <br> the clothes of-him | 'his clothes' |

The third type of genitive, 'construct + של shel phrases' ('double genitives'), is a particular blend of the two constructions:
bgadav shel ha-tinok

clothes-him of the baby $\quad$| בגדיו של התינוק |
| ---: |

Construct phrases are two units grammatically, though semantically often a single idiomatic unit, eg. בית-ספר bet-séfer 'school' (בית bet = 'house', ספר séfer = 'book'). של shel phrases are three units in every respect, and belong to a whole range of noun-preposition-noun phrases such as הזבוב על הקיר ha-zvuv al ha-kir 'the fly on the wall'. At the other extreme there are 'compounds', which are single units grammatically and only semi-productively coined, eg. כדורגל kadurégel 'football'; see 38.4.

In all these phrases the attribute follows the nucleus.

### 6.2 Constructs in general

Constructs can often be distinguished from appositions (see ch. 36, eg. ha-Haverim ha-rof'im ha-éle 'these doctor friends') and noun+adjective phrases (see ch. 10, eg. החברים הישנים ha-Haverim ha-yeshanim 'the old friends') by one or two features. (1) The first word may display a distinct 'construct form':

Havrey ha-rofim $\begin{aligned} & \text { חברי הרופאים } \\ & \text { CONsTRUCT } \\ & \text { friends the doctors }\end{aligned}$ 'the doctors' friends'
Our word-for-word gloss is 'friends' rather than 'friends-of' to emphasize that the distinct construct form is often not available
(2) To express 'the', just one - $ה$ h $h$ - is used, usually with the second component of the phrase as in the foregoing example (but see 6.8), thus ruling out:
*ha-Havrey (ha-)rofim החברי (ה)רופאים * the friends (the) doctors

The five types of construct
There are five types of construct phrase as illustrated in (a)-(e) below. For details of type (a), the most widespread and varied, see 6.7-6.17; for the others, see 6.18-6.20.
(a) Noun+noun (the second noun can be a full noun phrase):

Havrey ha-naara ha-zot חברי הנערה הזאת friends the girl the that 'that girl's friends'
(b) Quantifier+noun (both components can be a whole phrase): shivim ve-eHad ha-zkenim

שבעים ואחד הזקנים seventy and one the elders 'the seventy-one elders'
(c) Adjective+noun ('transferred adjectives'): ha-ish ktsar ha-sear the man short the hair

האיש קצר השיער
'the short-haired man' (cf. 'hard of hearing') i.e the man whose hair is short
(d) Adjective+noun (or noun phrase) ('adjective and application'): sfalim mele'ey máyim karim

ספלים מלאי מים קרים cups full water cold
'cups full of cold water'
(e) Verbal participle+noun (the noun can be a full noun phrase):
itonim rodfey sensátsyot
newspapers seeking sensations

## Construct phrase structure in general

Construct phrases have two components, which we call components
A and $\mathrm{B} .{ }^{1}$ Component B is always a noun or noun phrase
kéter zahav
crown gold
A B

Component A is generally a single word. ${ }^{2}$ It can, however, be a coordination of words, as in (1) below (condemned by purists), or a complex numeral, as in (2). These, together with the positioning of $-\pi h a$ - 'the', are the fundamental features of constructs. ${ }^{3}$
(1) morey ve-talmidey ha-miHlala

מורי ותלמידי המכללה teachers and students the college 'the teachers and students of the college'
(2) arbaim ve-tisha ha-shearim ארבעים ותשעה השערים forty and nine the gates 'the forty-nine gates'
No such restrictions apply to component B; thus it can itself be a construct phrase:


Anything qualifying component A , eg. adjectives, must be delayed till after the construct phrase (see further 6.5):
shurat konim aruka
line customers long $\quad$ 'a long line of customers'

### 6.3 Form of construct component $A$

### 6.3.1 Regularities and irregularities

There are certain regularities about the form of construct component A but many semi-regular and irregular features. These involve the construct endings and the internal shape of the words.

The construct endings stand in a regular relationship to the non-construct ('free') endings, ${ }^{4}$ as shown in the table:

|  | Construct form |  | Free form |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m.s. | No ending, eg. dod | דוד | No ending, eg. dod | דוד |
| f.s. | -at ת- | דודת dodat | -a ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | דודה doda |
| m.pl. | -ey | דודי dodey | -im ים- | dodim דודים |
| f.pl. | -ot ות- | dodot דודות | -ot ות- | דודות dodot |

Examples are: שיר ילדים shir yeladim ‘children's song', לטאה ארוכת-זנב leta'a arukat-zanav 'long-tailed lizard', שום shirey yeladim 'children's songs', לטאות ארוכות-זנב leta’ot arukot zanav 'long-tailed lizards'.

When the construct word takes a suffixed pronoun there is a slight difference, in that the masculine plural ending disappears phonetically, as in דודיו dodav 'his uncles' (i.e uncles-him) - though it shows up in the written shape of the word as the letter yud. For all these suffixed forms, see 6.4.

A wide variety of internal changes affect certain word patterns or individual words, varying according to particular endings. ${ }^{5}$ In many patterns (less so in some casual usage) $-a$ - or $-e$ - in an open penultimate syllable ${ }^{6}$ are dropped, as in:

| safa $\sim$ sfat, sfato <br> safot $\sim$ sfot, sfotav | 'bank' | שפות ~ ש שפת, שפתותו שפיו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shalom $\sim$ shlom, shlomo | 'peace' | שלום ~ שלום, שלות |
| zagag $\sim$ zagag, zagago | 'glazier' | 位 |

These and the distinctive construct forms of certain other noun and adjective patterns are mentioned in chapter 38, where some are shown to be productive and some less so, eg.:

| mishtara $\sim$ mishtéret, mishtarto | 'police' | משטרה ~ משטרת, משטרתו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| braHa $\sim$ birkat, birHato | 'blessing' | ברכה ~ ברכת, ברכתו |

Examples of individual peculiarities are:

| shisha $\sim$ shéshet | 'six' | שלשה ~ ששת |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mlaHa $\sim$ mléHet, mlaHto | 'craft' | מלאכה ~ מלאכת, מלאכתו |

In some patterns only the plural construct or suffixed construct changes form:
seret $\sim$ sirto, sirtey, sratav 'film’ סרט ~ סרטו, סרטי, סרטיו
Full details are provided in a traditional grammar or a dictionary.

### 6.3.2 Form of construct numerals

Unlike other quantifiers (see 6.18), numerals require a construct form in some instances and not in others. The numerals 'three' to 'ten' have the construct form if and only if followed by a 'definite' noun, whereas the numeral 'two' has it even with an indefinite noun: ${ }^{7}$

| shney dubim CONSTRUCT | 'two bears' | שני דובים |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shney ha-dubim | 'the two bears' | שני הדובים |
| CONSTRUCT |  |  |
| two the bears |  |  |
| shisha dubim | 'six bears' | ששה דובים |
| FREE |  |  |
| shéshet ha-dubim | 'the six bears' | ששת הדובים |
| CONSTRUCT <br> six the bears |  |  |

All the free and construct forms of numerals are given in 8.9, as are general syntactic details.

Where not qualifying a noun, numerals are by definition not engaged in a construct construction:

Compound numerals over 'ten' have no construct form, even where they end in a unit numeral that has one ${ }^{8}$ Thus:

| esrim |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| *u-shnáyim |  |
| twenty and two the players | עשרים ושניים השחקנים (the 22 players' |

The position of - ה ha- 'the' shows this to be a construct phrase, though this is not reflected in the form of the numeral.

Exceptionally, - $\boldsymbol{i} h a$ - 'the' in measurement phrases precedes the whole phrase in casual usage, eg.:

> éfo ha-árba lírot? $\quad$ 'Where are the four Liras?' where the four Liras?

A quite distinct construction, using the preposition -D me- 'of, from' instead of the construct, is employed for 'two of the bears' and so on:

```
shnáyim me-ha-dubim
two of the bears 'two of the bears'
```

אחד eHad 'one' is the exception here, allowing either the construct or me-. (In the sense of 'one bear' or 'the one bear', however, אחד eHad follows its noun.)
eHad me-ha-dubim אחד מהדובים $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { אחד הדוב }\end{array}\right)$ 'one of the bears’
aHad ha-dubim

### 6.3.3 Words not used as construct component $A$

Certain types of words are not found as construct component A.
Pronouns and names, even when acting as common nouns, cannot be used: ${ }^{9}$

> *éle david nignevu those David were-stolen
> *máshehu ets ('those of David were stolen')
> something wood
> *משהו עץ
> ('something of wood')
> *tsarfat yemey ha-mluHa * צרפת ימי המלוכה France days the monarchy
> ('France of the days of the monarchy')

Instead, של shel 'of' or (in the second example) - מ mi- must be interposed:
éle shel david nignevu
those of David were-stolen

אלה של דוד נגנבו
'David's were stolen'

Foreignisms entailing the plural construct suffix $1--e y$ are generally avoided to prevent unstressed ${ }^{-}-e y$, thus:
student Huts סטודנט חוץ 'overseas student (m.s.)’
studéntit Huts
סטודנטית חוץ 'overseas student (f.s.)'
studéntiot Huts
סטודנטיות חוץ
'overseas students (f.pl.)'
but not:
*studéntey Huts
*סטודנטי חוץ
'overseas students (m.pl.)'
By contrast, the unstressed free construct suffix $ת$ - -at (f.s.) is normal: אוניברסיטת תל-אביב univérsitat tel aviv 'Tel Aviv University', as are unstressed plural suffixes; and where $1--e y$ in a foreignism does take stress, it is acceptable, as in קורסי יסוד kurséy yesod 'foundation courses'.

An idiomatic construct phrase, being felt to be two words, cannot itself ordinarily serve as component A; hence של shel 'of' is interposed:

Heyl ha-avir shel shvédya
חיל האוויר של שבדיה
force the air of Sweden 'the airforce of Sweden'
Heyl ha-avir shelánu חיל האוויר שלנו force the air our
'our airforce'
There are exceptions, eg. בית-ספר-ערב bet-séfer-érev 'evening school', rav-samal-mishmáat 'disciplinary sergeant-major’.

### 6.4 Suffixes as construct component B ('possessive suffixes'): דודי dodi 'my uncle' <br> Where construct component $B$ is to be filled by a personal pronoun, ${ }^{11}$

 a suffix is generally used. Thus, corresponding to:sfat ha-nahar
bank the river
'the river bank'

A B

we find:

| sfato | שפתו |
| :---: | :---: |
| bank-it | 'its bank' |
| A B |  |
| kovsheyhem conquerors-they | מובשיהם <br> 'their conquerors' |
|  |  |

rather than שפת הוא**sfat hu or כובשי הם**kovshey hem with a separate pronoun.

Here the suffix amounts to 'my, your, his', etc. and is instrinsically definite, i.e דירת dirati is 'my apartment' ('the apartment of mine'). ${ }^{12}$ For 'an apartment of mine', של shel 'of' must be used rather than the construct: דלר שלי 'שי ' dira sheli. (For של shel 'of' in general, see 6.8.)

Suffixes are found with construct quantifiers too (with the exception of numerals over 'four'):
rov ha-skarim

most the polls $\quad$| רוב הסקרים |
| ---: |
| רוב |
| rubam |
| most-they |

and similarly, שנינו shnéynu 'we two, the two of us' (cf. שני המדענים shney ha-madanim 'the two scientists'), שלושתכם shloshteHem 'you three, the three of you'. But where the construction would ordinarily involve partitive -מ me- 'of' rather than the construct (see 8.6), there will be no construct suffix either: ${ }^{13}$

| shlosha me-ha-skarim | שלושה מהסקרים <br> 'three of the polls' <br> מהם <br> shlosha mehem <br> 'three of them' |
| :--- | ---: |

## Form of the suffixed pronoun

There are two sets of suffixes, one for singular and one for plural nouns, as illustrated in the table below. The latter set is written with a (sometimes silent) letter $y u d$, which originally represented the construct plural suffix י-ey and whose presence is arguably still felt - for nouns with construct plural -- ey drop this before adding the suffixed pronoun.

For the suffixation of possessive של shel 'of', see 6.8.


| -eyHem 'your' (m.pl.) | -יכם- | dodeyHem, dodoteyHem | דודיכם, דודותיכם |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -eyHen ' 'your' (f.pl.) | -יכן- | dodeyHen, dodoteyHen | דודיכן, דודותיכן |
| -eyhem 'their' (m.pl.) | -יהם - | dodeyhem, dodoteyhem | דודיהם, דודותיהם |
| -eyhen' 'their' (f.pl.) | -יהן | dodeyhen, dodoteyhen | דודיהן, דודותיהן |

Note: 'Casually, the masculine plural does service for both.

### 6.5 Qualifying a construct

yadit ha-délet ha-Huma ידית הדלת החומה 'the brown door handle'

Construct component A and B can both be qualified, but not always simultaneously. Examples (1) and (2) below are acceptable, but (3), with the two 'definite' adjectives relating to components $A$ and $B$ respectively, is felt to be confusing and can preferably be rephrased using של shel 'of', as in (4), instead of a construct phrase:
(1) megilot ha-kat ha-atika she-avdu

מגילות הכת העתיקה שאבדו scrolls the sect the ancient that perished $\begin{array}{rrccc}\text { pl. } & \text { f.s. } & \text { f.s. } & \text { pl. } & \text { perished’ } \\ \text { A } & \text { B } & \text { QUALIFYing B } & \text { QUALIFYing A } & \end{array}$
(2) be-masa hasata adatit akshani

במסע הסתה עדתית עקשני meshaHneim aHshav et kulam she.. משכנעים עכשיו את כולם ש...
in crusade incitement communal determined convince now ом everyone that...

| m.s. | f.s. | f.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| a | B.s. |  |
| QUALIFYing B |  |  |
| QUALIFYing A |  |  |

'In a determined crusade of communal incitement they are now convincing everyone that...'
(3) ?megilot ha-kat ha-atika ha-mafliot
?מגילות הכת העתיקה המפליאות scrolls the sect the ancient the amazing 'The amazing scrolls of the ancient pl. f.s. f.s. pl. sect'
(4) ha-megilot ha-mafliot shel ha-kat ha-atika המגילות המפליאות של הכת העתיקה the scrolls the amazing of the 'The amazing scrolls of the sect the ancient ancient sect'

One also tends to avoid such ambiguity as the following, by using של shel 'of:

mo'étset ha-medina ha-ara'it | מועצת המדינה הארעית |
| ---: |
| council the state the temporary |$\quad$ 'The temporary state council'

```
f.s. f.s. f.s.
A B QUALIFYING A OR B?
```


## Further restrictions

There are two cases where an adjective is constrained from 'referring across' a construct phrase to the first noun. (a) When the first noun is an 'action noun', its adjective will be as close to it as possible, thus:
> ha-hitpatHut ha-mehira shel ha-tsafon the development the rapid of the north

ההתפתחות המהירה של הצפון 'the rapid development of the north'
haHshara psiHológit shel morim training psychological of teachers

הכשרה פסיכולוגית של מורים 'psychological training of teachers'
and similarly התהתחתותו המהירה hitpatHuto ha-mehira 'its rapid development'; but not התפתחות הצפון המהירה * hitpatHut ha-tsafon ha-mehira (development the north the rapid), הכשרת מורים פסיכולוגית* *haHsharat morim psiHológit (training teachers psychological). (b) When the second noun is a name ${ }^{14}$, the phrase is generally felt to be too 'open' for an adjective to 'refer across' to the first noun, and so the construct phrase must be broken up:

but not מות *העות ספרד החדשות *hatsaot sfarad ha-Hadashot (lit. proposals Spain the new), מוצא ירדן היחיד" *motsa yarden ha-yaHid (lit. outlet Jordan the only). ${ }^{15}$

Similarly, a determiner following a construct phrase can only qualify the last component, ${ }^{16}$ except where idiomatic phrases are concerned. Thus instead of
 (the) villages (the) this), הצעתך הזאת *hatsaatHa ha-zot (lit. proposal-your the this), Hebrew requires:
(ha-)hashmada (ha-)zot shel kfarim ה(השמדה )האזאת של כפרים)
(the) destruction (the) this of villages
(ha-)hatsa'a (ha-)zot shelHa
(the) proposal (the) this of-you
(ה)הצעה (ה)זאת שלך
'this proposal of yours'17

### 6.6 Agreement with construct phrases

Where a construct phrase is a subject, the predicate generally agrees with component $A$, this being the nucleus of the phrase:


But in quantifier+noun phrases it is component $B$ that is the nucleus and determines agreement (including agreement of person):

```
rov ha-arayot raadu
רוב האריות רעדו
A B
majority the lions were-shivering 'The majority of the lions were shivering'
```

rubénu ra'ádnu . רובנו רעדנו
A B 1 st pl.
most-us were-shivering
'Most of us were shivering'
For details, see 8.8 and 18.4.

### 6.7 Noun+noun constructs and other genitives

Noun+noun genitives denote several types of semantic relation between nouns, with concomitant variations in syntax. The chief types, divided according to fairly rough criteria, are described in 6.8-17. They denote possession, action or state of affairs, performer of an action, measures or containers, purpose, identification, attribution and the superlative In addition, there are hosts of semantically idiomatic construct phrases.

These genitives may be in the form of a construct phrase or, in some instances, involve של shel 'of'.

## Grammatical limitations

One tends to avoid a chain of possessive construct nouns by judicious insertion of של shel 'of', even in formal usage, or of a 'double genitive'. Thus, instead of למרות תלונות חברי בעלהlamrot tlunot Havrey baala ‘despite her husband's friends' complaints', one tends to prefer:
lamrot ha-tlunot shel Havrey baala

despite the complaints of friends $\quad$| 'despite the complaints of her |
| :---: |
| שלרות חלונות |

husband-her
lamrot tlunoteyhem shel Havrey baala ${ }^{18}$ despite complaints-their of friends husband-her
'despite the complaints of her husband's friends'

למרות תלונותיהם של חברי בעלה 'despite the complaints of her husband's friends'

Even a chain of diverse constructs, involving, for example, action nouns or mere fixed expressions, entitles one to insert של shel 'of', though there is nothing gauche about such a chain provided it is not too 'heavy':

```
en hityaHasut le-fidyon (shel) אין (ש)
                                    ACTION GENITIVE
    kupot gemel
    FIXED PHRASE
there-is-no reference to redemption
        (of) funds pension
        'There is no reference to pension
        fund redemption'
parashat nishul arviyey he-harim פרשת נישול ערביי ההרים
IDENTIFICATION ACTION POSSESSION
episode dislodgement Arabs the mountains 'The episode of the mountain
                                    Arabs' dislodgement'
```

Conversely, a chain of של shel 'of' phrases is avoided in formal style In possessives, one tends to insert של shel 'of' before a name:
ha-motsa ha-yaHid shel yarden la-yam the outlet the sole of Jordan to-the sea

המוצא היחיד של ירדן לים 'Jordan's sole outlet to the sea'

> ba-midbar mats'a matslemato shel avraham במדבר מצאה מצלמתו של אברהם gvul mufshat shel yesodot גבול מופשט של יסודות
> 'In the desert Avraham's camera (lit. camera-his of Avraham) found an abstract frontier of elements'

In genitives as a whole של shel 'of' is inserted to show where an adjective belongs; rather than יעד ההסטוריון העיקרי yáad ha-historyon ha-ikari 'the main historian's aim', formal style will prefer:
yaado ha-ikari shel ha-historyon
aim-his the main of the historian $\begin{array}{r}\text {, עעו העיקרי של ההסטוריון, }\end{array}$
Pronoun suffixes are often avoided with uncommon words, as an added ו-o or $\mathrm{N}--a$ etc. would make them even harder to identify, hence:
ha-saman ha-smali shela
the marker the lefthand of-it

הסמן השמאלי שלה 'its lefthand marker'

The same happens regularly with words having a foreign stress pattern. Evidently, pronoun suffixes are felt to be even more typically 'native' than the construct suffixes ת--at etc. Thus, rather than סטודנטיותינו *studentiyotéynu, נובלתו novelato, one prefers:

| ha-studéntiyot shelánu | 'our students' | הנובטודנטיות שלו |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ha-novéla shelo | 'his novella' |  |

### 6.8 Possessive constructs and genitives

### 6.8.1 Four basic structures

Four basic structures are available for the possessive genitive: (1) construct phrases, (2) suffixed construct + של shel 'of' phrase ('double genitives'), (3) משל mel 'of' phrases, (4) mishel 'of' phrases:
(1) dirat moshe / dirato

דירת משה / דירתו
apartment Moshe / apartment-his
'Moshe's apartment / his apartment'
(2) dirato shel moshe apartment-his of Moshe

דירתו של משה
'Moshe's apartment'
(3) ha-dira shel moshe / ha-dira shelo the apartment of Moshe / the apartment of-him

הדירה של משה/הדירה שלו 'Moshe's apartment / his apartment'
(4) maamar mishel yung / maamar mishelo מאמר משל יונג/מאמר משלו article of Jung / article of-him 'an article of Jung's / an article of his own' These differ both in syntactic and in stylistic distribution. But first their form is described.

For (1) the form of the construct has been described in 6.3. For (2) the 'double genitive' involves a construct suffix referring to and agreeing with the following noun, thus:

| dirata shel Havera apartment-her of girl-friend | דירתה של חברה 'a girl-friend's apartment' |
| :---: | :---: |
| diratam shel Haverim apartment-their of friends | דירתם של חברים 'some friends' apartment' |

However, the use of a double pronoun, eg. דירתה שלה dirata shela (apartmenther of-her), is emphatic and more formal: 'her apartment'. ${ }^{19}$ As for structure (3), של shel inflects as follows:

| shelánu | 'our' | שלנו | sheli | 'my' | של |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shelaHem | 'your' (m.pl.) | שלכם | shelHa | 'your' (m.s.) | של |
| shelaHen ${ }^{20}$ | 'your' (f.pl.) | שלכן | shelah | 'your' (f.s.) | שלך |
| shelahem | 'their' (m.) | שלהם | shelo | 'his' | שלו |
| shelahen ${ }^{20}$ | 'their' (f.) | שלחן | shela | 'her' | שלה |

Structure (4) משל mishel inflects like של shel, i.e משלי, משלך misheli, mishelHa etc.

### 6.8.2 Syntax and style

Generally speaking, the construct possessive is freely coined only in formal usage (with some exceptions) ${ }^{21}$ :

With a name:
hatsa'at hódu / hatsaata הצעת הודו/הצעתה
proposal India / proposal-her 'India's proposal / her proposal'
With a definite noun:
meytrey ha-nével / meytarav מיתרי הנבל/מיתריו
strings the harp / strings-its 'The harp's strings / its strings'
With an indefinite noun:
anaf ets ענף עץ
branch tree 'a branch of a tree’
The double genitive is freely coined in rather formal usage:
With a name:
hatsaata shel hódu
הצעתה של הודו
proposal-her of India
'India's proposal'
With a definite noun:
meytarav shel ha-nével מיתריו של הנבל
strings-its of the harp 'the harp's strings'
With an indefinite noun:
anafo shel ets
ענמו של עץ
branch-its of tree
'a branch of a tree'
This last example is indefinite, even though ענפו anafo 'its branch' would by itself be definite

The של shel possessive is freely coined in all usage, but formal Hebrew is inclined to avoid it except in particular circumstances (outlined in 6.7):

## With a name:

hatsa'a shel hódu / shela
הצעה של הודו/שלה
proposal of India / of-her
'a proposal of India's / of hers'
ha-hatsa'a shel hódu / shela
the proposal of India / of-her
ההצעה של הודו/שלה
'India's proposal / her proposal'
With a definite noun:
meytarim shel ha-nével / shelo
strings of the harp / of-it
ha-meytarim shel ha-nével / shelo
the strings of the harp / of-it
With an indefinite noun:
anaf shel ets
branch of tree
מיתרים של הנבל/שלו
'some of the harp's strings / of its strings'
המיתרים של הנבל/שלו
'the harp's strings / its strings'
ha-anaf shel ets
the branch of tree
ענף של עץ
'a branch of a tree'
הענף של עץ
'the branch of a tree'
A general property of של shel phrases is that they can appear without a nucleus noun, where this has already been mentioned (and is definite) (see 11.3). Thus:

> tmunot? tare li et shelHa ve-shel dáni
> Pictures? Show me your and of Danny

תמונות! תראה לי את שלך
ושל דני
'Pictures? Show me yours and Danny's'

משל mishel is freely used in any register, with a reflexive pronoun suffix rather than a noun; it often qualifies a non-specific indefinite noun, corresponding roughly to English 'of my own'. Contrast:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { hu meHapes Haver shelo } \\
\text { he searches-for friend of-his }
\end{array} \quad \text { 'He's searching for a friend of his' } \begin{array}{c}
\text { שלוא מחפ }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

hu meHapes Haver mishelo he searches-for friend of-his

הוא מחפש חבר משלו 'He's searching for a friend of his own'
A more common use is as emphatic possessive - but generally only with indefinite nouns: ${ }^{22}$

'I've brought my own prayer book!'
Besides these stylistically limited possessives, there is a general use of the construct in a host of generic expressions, eg. צענר כבשים tsémer kvasim 'sheep wool', ענפםי תעשיה anfey taasiya 'branches of industry', אשת רופא éshet rofe ‘doctor's wife', תלמיד בית-ספר talmid bet-séfer ‘school pupil', סוף שבוע sof shavúa 'weekend', קרנות נאמנות karnot neemanut 'trust funds'. These are sometimes hyphenated, but are generally not felt to be so idiomatic as to pre-
clude expansion, eg. בגדי גברים, נשים וילדים בהנחה bigdey gvarim, nashim ve-yeladim be-hanaHa 'men's, women's and children's clothes on discount'. However, being generic, they do not exist as construct+pronoun suffix: stsimram 'their wool' is specific, not generic, and found just in formal usage

ל- le- occasionally introduces a possessive: (1) In expressions of the type ba-shana ha-shniya le-kiyum ha-medina 'in the second year of the State's existence'; (2) with (לארבע ילדים) אב /אם av/em (le-arbaa yeladim) 'a father/mother (of four children)'; (3) as an alternative to של shel 'of' in denoting authorship (of classics): שירה" לעגנון" shira le-agnon 'Agnon's "Shira"'. ${ }^{23}$

### 6.8.3 ha- 'the' and definiteness in constructs

Construct phrases have already been shown to inflect for gender and number as two words: ${ }^{24}$

| meytar nével | meytrey nevalim <br> string harp | marp string' |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| strings harps |  |  | | 'harp strings' |
| :---: |

.However, the word - $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha- 'the' is limited to just one appearance for the phrase (though the fact that it is generally positioned in front of component $\mathbf{B}$ does serve to distinguish the construct phrase from single-word compounds such as ha-ramzor 'the traffic light'):
meytar ha-nével

string the harp $\quad$| מיתר הנבל |
| ---: |
| 'the harp string' |

Casually, generic constructs and especially idiomatic expressions often put ה-ha- 'the' first: ${ }^{25}$

| bet ha-séfer / ha-bet séfer | בית הספר /הבית-ספר |
| :--- | ---: |
| house the book / the house book | 'the school' |
| meHabey ha-esh / ha-meHabey esh | מכמבי-אשי /המשי |
| extinguishers the fire / the extinguishers fire | 'the firemen' |

Some idioms actually require this, casually, in the singular; in the plural it is just optional (the plural suffix has the effect of 'splitting' the phrase):
ha-ben adam

the son man | הבן-אדם, |
| ---: |
| 'the person' |

and similarly בן-דוד ben-dod 'cousin', בגד-ים béged-yam 'swimsuit', עורך-דין oreH-din 'lawyer', etc.

The effect of $-\boldsymbol{\pi} h a$ - 'the' depends on whether the construct phrase is specific or generic: when specific, both of its components are felt to become definite; when generic, only component A becomes definite, and component B retains its generic force:

| Indefinite construct: | Specific meaning <br> tsemer kvasim | Generic meaning <br> 'some wool of <br> some sheep' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | | 'sheep wool' |
| :--- |
| (wool of sheep) |

Definite construct:
tsémer ha-kvasim צמר הכבשים 'the wool of the sheep' 'the sheep wool' (the wool of sheep)

Addition of adjectives makes this even clearer:

Specific meaning
Indefinite construct:
oreH iton yomi עורך עיתון יומי oreH iton kashish עורך עיתון קשיש 'an editor of a daily newspaper' 'an elderly newspaper editor'

Definite construct:
oreH ha-iton ha-yomi עורך העיתון היומי oreH ha-iton ha-kashish עורך העיתון הקשיש 'the editor of the daily newspaper' 'the elderly newspaper editor' (i.e. the elderly editor of newspapers)

An example with just a specific meaning:

| atsat shaHen | 'a neighbour's advice' <br> (advice of a neighbour) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| עצת שצת השכן |  |  |

Similarly, where component B is intrinsically definite, i.e. a name, both components are deemed definite: ארצות אפריקה artsot áfrika 'the countries of Africa'.

With 'double genitives' too, just one - ה ha- 'the' is added and it affects both components: עעצתו של שכן atsato shel shaHen ‘a neighbour’s advice’, עצתו ששל השכן atsato shel ha-shaHen 'the neighbour's advice'.

By contrast, with של shel 'of' phrases one or two ה- ha- 'the' can be used, yielding four possible senses, of which the second and third are not available in many constructs - hence their use even in formal Hebrew:

| etsa shel shaHen | 'advice of a neighbour' | עצה של שכן |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-etsa shel shaHen | 'the advice of a neighbour' | העצה של של שכן |
| etsa shel ha-shaHen | 'advice of the neighbour's' | עצה של השכן |
| ha-etsa shel ha-shaHen | 'the advice of the neighbour' | העצה של השכן |

Naturally, idiomatic construct phrases amounting to a single word, e.g. בית הספר/ :bet-séfer 'school', become definite as a single unit בית-ספר הבית-ספר het-ha-séfer or ha-bet-séfer 'the school'. Nevertheless, ה- הר ha- 'the' can usually be interposed between the two components.

These rules for the function of $-\boldsymbol{-} h a$ - 'the' require two qualifications:
(a) When the construct denotes 'membership', a 'definite' phrase such as חברי אל-על Havrey el-al can mean 'members of El Al' as well as 'the members of El $\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}$, i.e. component A can be indefinite even though component B is definite in which case the 'definite object marker' את et is not used: ${ }^{26}$

Similarly, יליד העיר yelis ha-ir 'a native of the city', רחל לוי, תלמידת התיכון המקומי raHel levi, talmidat ha-tiHon ha-mekomi ‘Rachel Levi, a pupil of the local highschool', נהג אגד nehag éged 'An Eged driver'.
(b) However, where the definite component B is a name with - $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha- 'the', component A can often be made indefinite by simply omitting this - $\boldsymbol{-}$ ha-, even when not expressing 'possession' or 'membership':

| yom ha-atsma'ut <br> day the independence | יום העצמאות <br> yom atsma'ut gashum <br> day independence rainy |
| :--- | ---: |
| 'Independence Day' |  |
| עצמאות גשום, |  |

Similarly, הכנסת ha-knéset'the Knesset' but חבר כנסת Haver knéset ‘a Knesset member'.

### 6.9 Action and state genitives

For most verbs and adjectives there exists a corresponding 'action noun' or 'state noun' ('nominalization'), usually of predictable form, as detailed in 38.2 (patterns 2 and 23):

| v : pina 'evacuate' | פינה | action N : pinuy | 'evacuation' | פינוי |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | state N : ayefut | 'tiredness' |  |

These often serve the same ends as a subordinate verb (these and other types of subordination are described in ch. 30):


Where a verb or adjective would have a subject or direct object, the corresponding noun will usually have a genitive construction, as indicated in this last example.

However, whereas a subject generally precedes its verb or adjective, the corresponding noun will always follow the verbal or adjectival noun: ${ }^{27}$


The three main types of genitive (6.1) are all available, but not in quite the same way as for possessives (cf. 6.8). As in example (1), construct phrases are freely used in both formal and fairly casual usage, except that with a pronoun suffix
they are formal. The 'double genitive' is rather formal, as in (2). The של shel 'of' possessive is casual, ${ }^{28}$ as in (3). In addition, hosts of generic expressions are couched in the construct phrase, as in (4).
(1) hu da'ag la-aliyat ha-bat shelo ve-la-klita shela

הוא דאג לעליית הבת שלו
ולקליטה שלה
he was-seeing to immigration the daughter of-his and to-the absorption of-her
(2) hu da'ag la-aliyata

הוא דאג לעלייתה shel bito ve-li-klitata של בתו ולקליטתה
he was-seeing to immigration-her of daughter-his and to absorption-her
(3) hu da'ag la-aliya shel ha-bat shelo ve-la-klita shela

הוא דאג לעלייה של הבת שלו ולקליטה שלה
he was-seeing to-the immigration of the daughter of-his and to-the absorption of-her
Translation for (1-3): 'He was seeing to his daughter's immigration and to her absorption'
(4) mitsmuts eynáyim 'wink of the eyes' מיצמוץ עיניים hatkafat lev 'heart attack' התקפת לב
'Result nouns' are akin to action nouns, eg. ציור tsiyur 'a drawing' (resulting from ציור tsiyur 'act of drawing'), תמונה tmuna 'picture', התנהגות ( hitnahagut 'behaviour'. They too can take an object or subject noun, eg. ha-tsilum shel ha-tinok 'the photo of the baby', but unlike other types of genitive they allow a double של shel 'of':
éfo ha-tsilum shelaH shel sába?
where the photo of-you of grandpa?
איפה הצילום שלך של סבאי
'Where's your photo of grandpa?'

### 6.10 Agent genitives: לובשי מדים lovshey madim 'wearers of uniform'

To express 'doer' ('agent') plus object, formal Hebrew can make use of the present tense form of a verb as a construct noun plus whatever noun phrase would be the object: ${ }^{29}$

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { notney ha-sherutim ha-éle u-mekableyhem } \\
\text { givers the services the these } & \begin{array}{c}
\text { נותני השירותים האלה ומקביהי } \\
\text { 'the givers of these services } \\
\text { and their recipients' }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

This is generally limited to verbs taking a direct object. ${ }^{30}$ Furthermore, some, perhaps many, such verbs are averse to this construction, thus:
noaley sandalim tseirim muzharim bimyuHad
wearers sandals young are-warned particularly
ha-séfer meyu'ad li-meviney ha-ivrit the book is-intended for understanders the Hebrew

נועלי סנדלים צעירים מוזהרים במיוחד
'Young sandal-wearers are particularly warned'

הספר מיועד למביני העברית
'The book is intended for those understanding Hebrew'
but not:

| *ha-madriH meyu'ad le-sharey shirey am the guide is-intended for singers songs folk | *המדריך מיועד לשרי שירי עם <br> 'The guide is intended for singers of folksongs' |
| :---: | :---: |
| *gam shom'ey leshon ha-ra ashemim | *גם שומעי לשון הרע אשמים |
| also hearers speech the evil are-guilty | 'Hearers of evil speech are guilty too' |

On the other hand, many set phrases of this type appear even in casual Hebrew. eg. (...) מוכר ro’e Hesh (...) '(..) seller’, רואה חשבונות ror keeper'.

של shel 'of' cannot be used, but only the construct; indeed these nouns ${ }^{31}$ require a construct, thus excluding:
*riyánu mekablim ('We interviewed recipients’) ראיינו מקבלים*

Other types of 'agent noun' do exist, eg. גנב ganav 'thief' (-a-a-pattern), סדרן sadran 'usher' ( $a$-an pattern), but they do not regularly participate in this construction, ${ }^{32}$ hence:
gonvey

*ganavey ha-monit 'the | stealers |
| :--- |
| thieves | of the taxi’ גונבי, המונית*

A kindred use of the present tense as a construct, qualifying a noun (but not in itself a noun), is described in 6.20 :

| Hayalim lovshey jins lo yurshu |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| lehikanes |  |
| soldiers wearers jeans not <br> will-be-let enter | 'Soldiers wearing jeans will not be |
| admitted' |  |

Two further phenomena can be distinguished. (1) Many present tense forms serve as ordinary nouns, and require no construct, eg. שופט shofet 'judge', מפקיד mafkid 'depositor', מחנך meHaneH 'educator'; thus:

| eye meHanéHet | 'I will be an educator' | אחנך של מחנכים |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| meHaneH shel yeladim | 'educator of children' |  |

(2) Real present-tense verbs can appear in a headless relative clause with - ה hameaning 'who/which'; the plural suffix or the position of - $h a$ - reveal that this is not a construct:
ha-mekablim tipul yeshalmu merosh
who receive treatment will-pay
in-advance

המקבלים טיפול ישלמו מראש
'Those receiving treatment will pay in advance'

### 6.11 'Made of' genitives: שיני זהב shiney zahav 'gold teeth'

'Made of' and 'composed of' are freely expressed by the construct in all registers:

| shiney zahav | 'gold teeth' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| tipat máyim | 'drop of water' | ציפת מיות רופים פרחים |

But casual usage avoids unfamiliar or impossible construct forms by interposing -מ mi- 'from' for 'made of', while של shel 'of' is common for 'composed of':
praHim mi-plástik 'plastic flowers' פרחים מפלסטיק
naaley-báyit mi-bad 'cloth slippers' נעלי-בית מבד
zer shel praHim
'bouquet of flowers' זר של פרחים

### 6.12 'Measure and container' genitives: שני בקבוקי ין shney bakbukey yáyin 'two bottles of wine'

Measure and container are often expressed by some form of the genitive (but see 8.5 for measurement constructions as a whole and 36.10 for measurement apposition in particular):


For containers, the construct is generally preferred to של shel 'of. ${ }^{33}$
Physical measures, whether using artificial or natural units, sometimes use the construct or של shel 'of':

| shney kilo (shel) agasim two kilo (of) pears | שני קילו (של) אגסים 'two kilos of pears' |
| :---: | :---: |
| kapit (shel) sukar spoon (of) sugar | כמית (של) סוכר 'a spoonful of sugar' |

They commonly also use apposition (36.10), but in most instances this is indistinguishable from the construct.

Abstract measures use either the construct (formal) or apposition (casual):


Alternatively, one commonly puts the measure word second and interposes של shel 'of':

שירות קבע של שנתיים 'permanent service of two years'

This is particularly frequent when one uses a certain dimension of measurement:
kir be-óvi shel méter
wall with thickness of metre
héroin be-shóvi shel milyard dólar heroin with value of billion dollar
shilmu be-iHur shel shishim yom they-paid with arrear of sixty day

קיר בעובי של מטר
'a wall one metre thick'
הרואין בשווי של מליארד דולר
'heroin with a value of a billion dollars'

שילמו באיחור של שישים יום
'They paid sixty days in arrears'

### 6.13 Purpose genitives

Purpose is preferably expressed by the construct in a large number of expressions, and otherwise generally by ל- le 'for':

| simlat Hatuna | 'wedding dress' | שמלת חתונה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kartisey ashray | 'credit cards' | כרטיסי אשרותי |
| misrad ha-datot | 'the Ministry of Religions' | משרד הדתות |
| bakbukey yáyin | 'wine bottles | בקבוקי יין |

as against:

| kolar le-kélev | 'dog collar' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vavim le-kova'im | 'hat pegs' |  |

### 6.14 Identification genitives: מד׳נת קנסס medinat kánsas 'the State of Kansas' <br> Genitives are involved in two kinds of 'naming': as in (1), attaching

 a 'proper name', i.e an identification; or, as in (2), attaching a brand name or any other 'class name', i.c. an attribute:(1) har fúji

הר פוגי>
(2) sirtey fúji

סרטי פוגי>
mountain Fuji 'Mt. Fuji' films Fuji 'Fuji films'
Section 6.14 deals with the former; the latter, and the other attributive genitives, are examined in 6.15 .
The construct is used generally for specifying names of places and times, including regions, mountains, rivers, lakes, deserts, airports, ${ }^{34}$ hotels, restaurants, universities, days, months, years, ${ }^{35}$ etc.:

| abl ha-yarkon | 'The River Yarkon'36 | נחל הירקון |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| idbar sahára | 'The Sahara Desert' | מדבר סרה |
| eshivat mir | 'The Mir Yeshiva' | ישיבת מיר |
| kibuts náHal-oz | 'Kibbutz Nahal-Oz' | קיבוץ נחלתוז |
| emey sheni ve-Hamishi | 'Monday and Thursday' | ימי שני וחי נישי |
| shnat tashma | 'The year 5745' | שנת תשמ"ה |

However, עיר ir 'town', כפר kfar 'village' and the like require apposition: העיר חברון ha-ir Hevron 'the town of Hebron'; אי $i$ אי 'island’ prefers it. Conversely, names of organizations use the construct: מפלגת הלייבור mifléget ha-léybor 'the Labour Party'. ${ }^{37}$

The whole phrase is definite by virtue of the proper name, and there is generally no - ha-'the'; ${ }^{38}$ if there is, it is affixed to the name, eg. הר האברסט har ha-éverest 'Mt Everest' and most mountains.

Apposition is required for naming books, pictures, words and non-places in general (36.4):

| ha-mila likud | 'the word "Likud"' | המ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-tarkiv ákamol | 'the preparation Akamol | התרכיב אקמול |
| dugma shesh | 'example six' | ש |

### 6.15 Attributive genitives: טילי הוק tiley hok 'Hawk missiles'

The construct genitive is used for brand names and other 'class names':

| metosey ha-mig | 'the Mig planes |
| :--- | :--- |
| shisha tsmigey alians | 'six Alliance tires' |
| shisha atsey brosh | 'six cypress trees'39 |

The name can itself be a 'proper' or a 'common' noun in origin. In either event, it can take - ה ha 'the' when the phrase is definite (see the first example). ${ }^{40}$

The construct is also employed with any noun to append (by hyphen) a small range of nouns, adverbs and miscellaneous words to it. These serve the same purpose as adjectives (and a synonymous adjective often exists), ${ }^{41}$ but do not constitute an obvious semantic family:

| hearot (ha-)shuláyim remarks (the) margin | הערות-(ה)שוליים <br> '(the) marginal remarks' |
| :---: | :---: |
| mahalumat (ha-)néged | מהלומת-(ה)גד |
| blow (the) against | '(the) counter blow |
| tsurat (ha-)yesod form (the) basis | צורת-(ה)יסוד '(the) basic form' |

Further ‘attributes‘ are: ביניים beynáyim 'intermediate', בכורה bHora 'maiden', גומלין gomlin 'reciprocal', חול Hol ‘secular', חינם Hinam 'free', יתר yéter 'greater', משנה mishne 'secondary', עצח nétsaH 'eternal', עולם olam ‘eternal', ענק anak 'giant', ער arav ‘Arab’. In addition, idiomatic constructs exist in which the second component is attributive, eg. חברת אם Hevrat em 'parent company', כלבי זאב kalbey ze'ev 'german shepherds', אירגון גג irgun gag 'umbrella organization’.

### 6.16 Superlative genitives: גדולי האומנים gdoley ha-omanim 'the greatest artists'

To express superlatives of the type 'the greatest artist(s)', one generally employs the 'degree words' ביותר beyoter or הכי haHi 'most' (20.2.2), but formal usage can instead nominalize the adjective and join it to the noun by שב- she-be- or by the construct. ${ }^{42}$ The construct is generally limited, as in 6.19, to simplex adjectives (rather than verb-based or noun-based adjectives such as מוצלח mutslaH 'successful'):

| ha-oman(im) ha-tov(im) beyoter the artist(s) the good most | האומנ(ים) הטוב(ים) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ha-oman(im) ha-Hi tov(im) the artist(s) the most good | האומנ(ם) הכי טוב(ים) |
| ha-tov(im) she-ba-omanim the good that in-the artists | הטוב(ים) שבאומנים |
| tov ha-omanim CONSTRUCT(s.) good the artists | טוב האומנים |
| tovey ha-omanim CONSTRUCT(pl.) good the artists | טובי האומנים |

'the best artist(s)'
This also includes the 'intrinsically superlative' adjectives such as rentishon 'first', אחרון aHaron 'last'.

### 6.17 Miscellaneous noun+noun genitives

The noun + noun construct phrase serves to convey many other recurrent semantic relations, in set expressions such as יתוש הקדחת yetush ha-kadáHat 'the malaria mosquito' (cause of), מכת חשמל makat Hashmal ‘electric shock’ (caused by), תולעת משי toláat méshi ‘silkworm’ (maker of), סיר לחץ sir láHats 'pressure cooker' (works by).
There are also hosts of more idiomatic phrases; the component words themselves may be idiomatic, as well as their semantic relations: אילן יוחסיןilan yoHasin 'family tree', חלק הארי Hélek ha-ari 'the lion's share'.43 Names involving a genitive are generally construct, eg. ראש העין ‘Rosh Haayin’ (a village), תוכנית אלון toHnit alon 'the Alon plan', מלחמת איראן-עיראק milHémet iran-irak 'the Iran-Iraq War'. Some idioms can have a construct pronoun, eg. לדעתי ledaati 'in my opinion', מיוחד במינו meyuHad be-mino ‘unique'. A few require של לאמיתו של דבר shel 'of, eg. לאר laamito shel davar 'in actual fact'.

Two types of construct components, illustrated below, are not otherwise available as nouns.
(1) Hyphenated prefixes as in:

| i-ha-havanot ha-éle | אי-ההבנות האלה |
| :---: | :---: |
| non the understandings the these | 'these misunderstandings' |
| COMPONENT COMPONENT |  |
| A B |  |
| du-(ha)-leshoniut | דו-(ה)לשוניות |
| bi-(the)-lingualism | '(the) bilingualism |

and similarly - חד Had- 'uni-', ר רב rav- 'multi-', - קדם tat- 'sub-', 'pre-', - בתר batar- 'post-'. The predicate or modifier of such phrases agrees with component B (the prefix, i.e component A , is unchanging and has no independent existence). ${ }^{44}$ Casually, $-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ha- 'the' precedes the prefix; formally, it usually follows it.
(2) A few adjectives act as a component B noun, as in כסאות-נוח kisot nóaH 'easy-chairs’ (כסאות נוחים kisot noHim 'comfortable chairs'), ימי שלישי, yemey shlishi ‘Tuesdays' (ימים שלישיים yamim shlishiim 'third days').

### 6.18 'Quantifier+noun' genitives

Quantifiers are discussed in full in Chapter 8, including several construct and של shel 'of' constructions (see 8.5). Briefly, while most quantity is expressed by simple apposition or by partitive - $m i$-, there are a few genitive usages.
(1) Numerals are often in construct relation to their nouns, particularly 'definite' numerals, eg. אלף השנים élef ha-shanim 'the thousand years'; and they sometimes have their own construct form, eg. ששׁת הזוכים shéshet ha-zoHim 'the six winners'. This is described in general in 6.3 and in detail in 8.9.
(2) Partitive quantifiers that are intrinsically definite must be construct, eg. מרבית השדרים marbit ha-shdarim 'most of the broadcasts'.
(3) So, too, must fractions of a unit, eg. חצי שעה Hatsi sha'a 'half an hour', שני שליש מייל shney shlish mayl '2/3 mile'.
(4) 'Loose numerals' too must be construct, eg. מאות נמלים me'ot nemalim 'hundreds of ants'.
(5) Certain regular nouns express quantity; these mostly take של shel 'of', eg. שטע של רבנים shéfa shel rabanim 'an abundance of rabbis'.

### 6.19 'Adjective+noun' genitives

There are three main types of adjective+noun genitive, all construct and all acting as adjective phrases (the adjective being the nucleus); they imply some kind of possession.
(1) 'Transferred adjective' (bahuvrihi) constructs:

| aHot adumat-eynáyim construct (f.s.) | אחות אדומת-עיניים 'a red-eyed nurse' |
| :---: | :---: |
| nurse red eyes | (i.e a nurse with red eyes) |

(2) 'Adjective and application' constructs: ${ }^{46}$

Hadarim mle'ey ashan
CONSTRUCT (m.pl.)
rooms full smoke
חדרים מלאי עשן
'smoke-filled rooms'
(i.e rooms filled with smoke)
(3) 'Quasi-adjectival' constructs:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { musagim baaley Hashivut } & \text { מששגים בעלי חשיבות } \begin{array}{c}
\text { CoNstruct (m.pl.) }
\end{array} \\
\text { ideas having importance } & \text { 'ideas of importance' }
\end{array}
$$

Types (1) and (2) are freely produced in formal Hebrew whereas casual registers use them just in certain expressions; type (3) is found in all usage but few adjectives are so employed. The adjective must be of the non-suffixed type, eg. גדול gadol 'large' or מוקף mukaf 'surrounded' and not ענקי anaki 'giant'. In all three types, definiteness of the adjective phrase is created by adding - ה ha 'the' to the component B noun: ${ }^{47}$

| ha-aHot adumat-ha-eynáyim | האחות אדומת-העיניים <br> the nurse red the eyes |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'the red-eyed nurse' |  |

ha-musagim baaley ha-Hashivut the ideas having the importance

המושגים בעלי החשיבות 'the ideas of importance'

In more detail: type (1) involves nouns denoting a kind of 'inalienable' possession (using this term loosely) - one's body, clothes, mental or spiritual state, traits and various intrinsic aspects of things (but not one's house, car, relatives, etc.). Examples are: רחב־כתפיים reHav-ktefáyim 'broad-shouldered', Hum-Hultsa 'brown-shirted', גס־רוח gas-rúaH 'vulgar', אaroH-shanim 'long-standing'. A hyphen is used. Among common

 $h a$ - 'the' in casual usage is sometimes placed in front. This construction is found both attributively and predicatively: ${ }^{48}$
od hayta adumat-eynáyim
Construct

still she-was red eyes $\quad$| עוד היתה דומת־עיניים |
| :---: |

still she-was red eyes
Type (2) freely combines stative verbs or adjectives (which otherwise often take 'applicative' - בbe-) with a noun or noun phrase This is thus a more flexible construction than (1), and runs parallel to the adjective+object construction (described in 15.8):
isha levushat me'il aroH construct
woman dressed coat long
ha-shtiHim meHusey ha-avak
construct
the carpets covered the dust
shney bakbukim mle'ey máyim construct
two bottles full water

אשה לבושת מעיל ארוך 'a woman dressed in a long coat'

השטיחים מכוסי האבק 'the dust-covered carpets'

שני בקבוקים מלאי מים 'two bottles full of water'

These further differ from (1) in being only attributive, i.e they qualify a noun. Predicatively, instead of השטיחים מכוסי־אבק** *ha-shtiHim meHusey avak 'the carpets are covered (construct) with dust', one uses the non-construct form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\text { FREE }}{\text { ha-shtiHim meHim avak }} \begin{array}{r}
\text { השטיחים מכוסים אבק } \\
\text { he carpets covered dust }
\end{array} \quad \text { 'The carpets are covered with dust' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Besides this freely coined use, there are many kindred idioms; being tantamount to simple adjectives, they are available predicatively as well as attributively. For some the genitive is just an option, ${ }^{49}$ eg. ... עשיר ashir... 'rich (in ...)':

| medina | ashirat-neft ashira be-neft | מדינה עשירה בנפטט |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| country | rich (construct) oil | oil-rich country |

 aHuzey (behala,...) '(panic,...)-gripped', זרועי־כוכבים zeru'ey-koHavim 'starspangled', (..., צמאי (תורה tsme'ey (tora,...) '(Torah,...)-thirsty’ etc. Others require the genitive:
yeladim ivrey-tsva'im ילדים עיוורי-צבעים
children blind colours
'colour-blind children'
and similarly (מוכי mukey (ra'av,...) '(hunger,...)-stricken', asirey-toda 'grateful'.

Type (3) involves a tiny group of construct adjectives or quasi-adjectives ${ }^{50}$ combining freely with a noun. They denote inalienable possession (i.e body, clothes, traits) or lack. All function as attribute or predicate ${ }^{51}$
hem baaley teavon atsum construct
they having appetite huge

הם בעלי תאבון עצום
'They have a huge appetite'
hi Hasrat éreH musari CONSTRUCT
she lacking value moral
ha-dira bat Hamisha Hadarim CONSTRUCT
the apartment consisting five rooms 'The apartment consists of five rooms'
A fourth 'adjectival' genitive is casual. It involves של shel 'of', but is restricted to a handful of indefinite 'adjectives' - see 9.2 , under ( n ).
hayu sham yófi shel rakdaniot
were there beauty of dancers
This actually employs two nouns, the first adjective-based (שגעון shiga'on 'lunacy', i.e 'amazing', מותק mótek 'sweet(ness)') and the second any noun. The second is the nucleus for predicates to agree with.

### 6.20 'Verbal participle+noun' genitives

itonim rodfey sensátsyot ‘sensation-seeking papers’ עיתונים רודפי־סנסציות Formally, many verbs taking a direct object allow their present tense to be construct in cases where the whole phrase is qualifying a noun:

ההורים של ילדים מקבלי טיפול מתבקשים להישאר ha-horim shel yeladim mekabley tipul mitbakshim lehisha'er

CONSTRUCT COMPONENT B
the parents of children receiving treatment are-asked to-remain
More often one uses a relative clause: ילדים המקבלים טיפול yeladim ha-mekablim tipul 'children who are receiving treatment'.

This parallels the 'agent construct' described in 6.10.52

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1977: 2.3-2.4, 1985; Berman 1978: chs. 7, 8, 10, 11; Givón 1979; Glinert 1977a, 1978; Levi 1976; Ornan 1979a: 4.6-4.7; Reif 1968; Rosén 1966a, 1977: 6.5; Seikevicz 1979.

## 7. Pronouns and other pro-words

### 7.1 Definitions

A 'pro-word' enables one to refer loosely to a noun, adverb or some other word without the need for detailed repetition. Hebrew has various kinds of pro-words: pronouns, pro-adverbs, pro-verbs, pro-adjectives and proquantifiers, for example:
ha-tinok hitorer, ve-hu boHe $\quad$ 'The baby's woken up, and he's crying'
nasánu le-tsfat, ki aHoti gára sháma
נסענו לצפת כי אחותי גרה שמה
'We went to Tsfat because my sister lives there'
'Ellipsis' goes one step further than a pro-word: it omits all mention of the word being referred to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hashávti lilbosh svéder, ve-lo laváshti חשבתי ללבוש סוודר, ולא לבשתי } \quad \text { 'I thought of wearing a sweater, and I didn't wear (one).' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ellipsis is dealt with in chapter 17.

## 7.2-7 DEFINITE PRO-WORDS

### 7.2 Introduction : definite pro-words

Definition: A 'definite' pro-word refers one to a person or thing whose identity one is thought to know.

Definite pro-words are of five types, described in 7.3-7: (1) personal pronouns, eg. אני ani 'I', זה ze 'it'; (2) demonstrative pronouns, as in רצו את ז ratsu et ze o et hahu? ‘Did they want this one or that one?'; (3) pro-clauses (i.e representing clauses), as in יהיו שינויים אך מכחישים תאז yiyu shinuyim aH makHishim zot 'There will be changes but they deny it'; (4) other types of pro-words, eg. שם sham 'there, כ כaH 'in this way'; (5) anticipatory pro-words ('antecedents'), as in מי שיאחר ייענש mi she-yeaHer yeanesh 'Those who are late will be punished'.

### 7.3 Personal pronouns : the forms

### 7.3.1 As subject or predicate

| ani | 'I' | אני |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ata | 'you' (m.s.) | אתה |
| at | 'you' (f.s.) | את |
| hu | 'he, it' | הוא |
| hi | 'she, it' | היא |
| ze | 'it' | זה |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { anáHnu } \\ \text { ánu (F) } \end{array}\right\}$ | 'we' | \{ אנוח |
| atem | 'you' (m.pl.) | אתם |
| aten (F) | 'you' (f.pl.) | אתן |
| hem | 'they' (m.) | הס |
| hen (F) | 'they' (f.) | הן |

Examples of such use are:

| ani ha-av | 'I am the father' | אני האב אני |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha-av ze ani | 'The father is me' |  |

The 3rd person pronouns הוא , היא, זה, הם, הן hu, hi, ze, hem, hen also act as present tense particles of 'being', eg. הסיפור הוא משל ha-sipur hu mashal 'The tale is a parable'; see 16.2 .

When referring back to someone or something just mentioned, Hebrew generally uses הוא, היא, הם, הן hu, hi, hem, hen according to gender and number:
matsu et ha-tabáat, aval hi sruta מצאו את הטבעת, אבל היא שרוטה
f.s. f.s. 'They found the ring, but it (is) scratched'

But with reference to a thing ('it') in a preceding sentence, casual usage can employ masculine $z e$, whatever the thing's gender:

| yesh kufsa? réga, eH f.s. | ze nira (m.s.) <br> hi niret (f.s.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

ז ze has a feminine form זאת i ז $z o t / z o / z u$ and a genderless plural אלה/אלו élelélu when used as a demonstrative pronoun 'this' (7.4), as a reciprocal pronoun 'one another' (7.13) or as a determiner 'this (man, mouse)' (9.2). ${ }^{1}$

Though usually referring backwards, these pronouns can refer forwards to something in a containing clause:
be-hityaHaso le-harHakat bérkovits בהתייחסו להרחקת ברקוביץ amar ha-meamen ki... אמר המאמן כי...
'In 〈his〉 referring to the sending off of Berkovitz, the trainer said that...'
(Where there is co-reference between 'his' and 'the trainer')

While the gender distinction between singular אתה ata and at at between הוא $h u$ and הת $h i$ is rigorously maintained, that between plural atem and אתן aten and between הם hem and הן hen is relaxed colloquially the masculine is preferred. The same holds for the plural suffixes in $1-, \square--m$, $-n$, illustrated in 7.3.2.

### 7.3.2 In other syntactic roles

Elsewhere, i.e as direct object, modifier or following a preposition, all personal pronouns (except i ze 'it') become suffixes. Examples are given below. For more details, see sections 15.5, 6.2 and 42.2, respectively; full tables are given in traditional grammars.

The direct object suffix is appended directly to the verb, but it is formal and rather uncommon and in all usage the 'direct object marker' et generally intervenes and itself takes the pronoun suffix, eg. להזהיר אתכם lehazhir etHem 'to warn you':

| lehazhiréni | 'to warn me' | להזהירני |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lehazhirHa | ...you (m.s.) | להזהירך |
| lehazhireH | ...you (f.s.) | להזהירך |
| lehazhiro | ...him, it | להזהירו |
| lehazhira | ...her, it | להזהירה |
| lehazhirénu | ...us | להזהירנו |
| lehazhirHem | ...you (m.pl.) | להזהירכם |
| lehazhirHen | ...you (f.pl.) | להזהירכן |
| lehazhiram | ...them (m.) | להזהירם |
| lehazhiran | ...them (f.) | להזהירן |

The modifier ('construct, possessive') suffix is appended directly to nouns, in much the same way as noun is juxtaposed to noun in construct phrases. But often, instead, the 'possessive' preposition של shel 'of' intervenes, especially in colloquial usage, eg. האיום שלי ha-iyum sheli 'my threat' (lit. the threat of-me).
iyumi
iyumHa
iyumeH
iyumo
iyuma
iyuménu
iyumHem
iyumHen
iyumam
iyuman

| 'my threat' | איומי |
| :---: | :---: |
| your (m.s.)... | איומך |
| your (f.s.)... | איומך |
| his, its... | איומו |
| her, its... | איומה |
| our... | איומנו |
| your (m.pl.)... | איומכם |
| your (f.pl.)... | איומכן |
| their (m.)... | איומם |
| their (f.)... | איומן |

The only method by which prepositions can take pronouns is by suffixation:

| biglali | 'because of me' | בגללי |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| biglalHa | ...you (m.s.) | בגללך |
| biglaleH | ...you (f.s.) | בגללך |
| biglalo | ...him, it | בגללו |
| biglala | ...her, it | בגללה |
| biglalénu | ...us | בגללנו |
| biglalHem | ...you (m.pl.) | בגללכם |
| biglalHen | ...you (f.pl.) | בגללכן |
| biglalam | ...them (m.) | בגללם |
| biglalan | ...them (f.) | בגללן |

The personal pronouns cannot themselves be qualified; instead, זה, זאת מה עם אלה zee, zot, éle, etc. are used, and in a limited way, eg אלה מוח ma im éle ba-tsloHit 'What about the ones in the bowl?'; see 7.7.

### 7.3.3 Omitting the subject pronoun

Aside from such general processes of omission described under coordination (ch.35) and ellipsis (ch. 17), subject pronouns can be omitted under various circumstances.
With verbs already bearing a 1 st or 2 nd person affix, ${ }^{2}$ i.e past and future tense verbs, the subject pronoun is omitted generally in formal usage (example 1), and often (depending partly on rhythm and balance) in casual usage (2), except with the 1st person singular future (3).
With verbs inflected for 3rd person ${ }^{3}$ and referring to people, the subject pronoun is omitted sometimes in formal usage (4) but not in casual usage

Present tense verbs, though they have no person inflection, do sometimes omit the 3rd person pronoun (5).
(1) asbir zot ba-hemsheH

אסביר זאת בהמשך 1st pers. will-explain this later
(2) ma (ata) asita sháma? 2nd pers.
what (you) did there?
'I will explain this latei'
מה (אתה) עשית שמה!
'What did you do there?'
(3) ani asbir et ze aHár-kaH

אני אסביר את זה אחר-כך
1st pers.
I will-explain ом this later
'r'll explain this later'
(4) yóav yatsa mukdam. le'et érev (hu)

Hazar, u-ve-yado tik
יואב יצא מוקדם. לעת ערב (הוא)
'Yoav left early. Towards evening he returned, with a bag in his hand'
(5) tsa'ákti lo ve-nofáfti lo be-dgalim lirot. (hu) lo yore

צעקתי לו ונופפתי לו בדגלים לירות. (הוא) לא יורה
'I shouted to him and waved to him with flags to shoot. He doesn't shoot'

A quite separate phenomenon, and not a real case of pronoun omission, is the 'impersonal 3rd person plural', eg. ירו שם yaru sham '(they) were shooting there' (see ch. 17).

The subject pronoun cannot be omitted when it undergoes emphasis, focus or coordination, hence:

| ata tasbir la | 'You will explain to her' | אתה תסביר לה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rak ani yadáti | 'Only I knew' | רק אני ידעתי |
| ani ve-yóni nivHárnu | 'Yoni and I were chosen' | אני ויוני נבחרנו |

### 7.4 Demonstrative pronouns

The demonstrative points to items in the physical context or constrasts items in the verbal context.

## Physical context

Pointing to things, without contrast, Hebrew uses neuter N ze 'that' and אלה éle 'those'. Even though the gender of an object may be evident, one does not use the masculine or feminine pronouns (הוא, היא, הם, הן hu, hi, hem, hen and זאת zot etc. are considered specifically masculine or feminine):

| kaH et ze miyad | 'Take that immediately' |
| :--- | :--- |
| (pointing to a lamp, מנורה menora (f.)): |  |
| eH ze oved | 'How does it work?' |

Pointing to people, one uses the gender-marked הוא, היא, הם, הן hu, hi, hem, hen, but also éle; to use זלה ze and ret can be disparaging (similarly, the very casual זאת zóti):

'What do those people want?'
Pointing to things contrastively: אז $z e$ is both 'this' and 'that', אלה éle is 'these, those'. Where a noun was mentioned, gender is expressed: זה, זאת, אלה ze, zot, éle 'this one (m.), this one (f.), those ones'. (Formal usage requires a noun with ההוא hahu etc., eg. הרכב ההוא ha-réHev hahu 'that vehicle'.)
toHal o et ze o et ze
hine smiHot, kaH et zot
hahem nora yafim

תאכל או את זה או את זה 'Eat either this or that' הנה שמיכות, קח את זאת
'Here are blankets, take this one'
ההם נורא יפים
'Those ones are awfully pretty'

Contrastive pointing to people is similar to the non-contrastive usage mentioned above, with the alternative of ההוא hahu 'that guy' and its inflections casually, and הלה hala 'that man' formally:


'Those people asked first'

## Verbal context

Referring back by a 'demonstrative', as against an ordinary 'definite prounoun' (see 7.3), is by definition a matter of contrast, but this may amount to no more than distinguishing 'the former' or 'the latter'. זה/זאת ze/zot and אלה האחרון hare are used (examples 2-4), or sometimes הארה haHaron 'the latter' and suchlike:
(1) yóav ve-ishto tsiltselu

יואב ואשתו צילצלו aval hem (*éle) lo hishíru hoda’a אבל הם (*אלה) לא השאירו הודעה 'Yoav and his wife rang but they didn't leave a message'
(2) ha-italkim hiskimu gam panínu el האיטלקים הסכימו. גם פנינו אל ha-shvitsárim aH éle hitnagdu השוויצרים אך אלה התנגדו demonstrative
'The Italians agreed. We also asked the Swiss but the latter objected'
(3) ba-hafsaka huzaku el ha-yatsía rof'a shel ha-po'el ve-ha-mease letapel be-ohed she-kibel hetkef lev. éle natnu la-ish tipul rishoni ve..

בהפסקה הוזעקו אל היציע
רופאה של הפועל והמעסה בסה
לטפל באוהד שקיבל התקרף-לב. אלה נתנו לאיש טיפול ראשוני ו...
'In the interval the Hapoel doctor and the masseur were called to the stand to treat a fan who had a heart attack.
The former gave the man preliminary treatment and...’
(4) ha-sar bikesh et zHut ha-dibur. aH zo lo nitna lo

השר ביקש את זכות הדיבור.
אך זו לא ניתנה לו
'The minister requested the right to speak. But that was not granted to him'

Correlatives involve two or more of זה /זאת /אלה ze/zot/éle:
shneyhem amru she-yavóu,
שניהם אמרו שיבואו, aval ze be-yavan ve-ze be-kafrisin
אבל זה ביוון וזה בקפריסין
'Both said they'd come, but one's in Greece and the other's in Cyprus'

Correlatives are also used in what is not strictly a 'definite' sense, akin to the use of הזה ha-ze as 'some' in הבחור הזה ha-baHur ha-ze 'this (= some) guy':
éle ohavim oto, éle son'im oto, אלה אוהבים אותו, אלה שונאים אותו, ve-éle adishim

ואלה אדישים
'Some like him, some hate him, and some don't care'
ani lo rotsa laasot haHlalot:
אני לא רוצה לעשות הכללות:
ha-tseirim kulam ganavim ve-ze ve-ze.. הצעירים כולם גנבים וזה וזה...
'I don't want to make generalizations: young people are all thieves and this and that...'

For reciprocal pronouns, related to correlatives, see 7.13. For 'the former... the latter’one uses ri ze ha-rishon... ze ha-aHaron:


### 7.5 Pro-clauses

To refer back to a whole clause, rather than a noun or a phrase, one uses not personal pronouns but 'pro-clauses':

Formal:
zot/ha-davar
זאת/הדבר

'There will be changes, but they deny it'

> Neutral:
> kaH/ken
> כך/כן
> shovtim? matay hodíu al kaH? שובתים? מתי הודיעו על כך?
> 'They're on strike? When did they announce it?'
> nifgáshnu kshe-tiyálnu o lifnéy-Hen?
> נפגשנו כשטיילנו או לפני-כן!
> 'Did we meet while we were touring or beforehand?'

## Casual:

ze
nidme li she-yamshíHu, aval ani néged ze נדמה לי שימשיכו, אבל אני נגד זה 'I think they'll go on, but I'm against it'

Thus, Hebrew disallows:
*yiyu shinuyim, aH makHishim oto $\quad$ 'There will be changes, but they deny it'
*yiyu shinuyim, im ki hu hukHash יהיו שינויים, אם כי הוא הוכחש" 'There will be changes, although it has been denied'

There are syntactic limitations on 'pro-clauses'; they function as set out in the following table





## Notes:

' אז zot as a 'pro-clause' does not normally accept et ze requires it. As personal pronouns, both זק ze zot require זאת zet.
שכן 2 כן ${ }^{2}$ כן and as subjects or objects behave almost identically. Instead of she-ken, one can use pre-verbal $\rceil \mathfrak{J a H}$, with the expected preposition omitted in both instances (but inserted when כ כ kaH follows the verb):
קיווה שכן kaH kiva or כך קיווה kiva le-HaH ‘he hoped so’ as against קיווה לכך kiva she-ken.
3 An entirely separate use of כן ken is as an 'emphatic particle', preceding the predicate: אני כן רופא ani ken rofe 'I am a doctor'.
4 With verbs implying fact ('factives') rather than conjecture, שכן she-ken just functions emphatically: אני זוכר שכן ani zoHer she-ken 'I remember that he did' (and similar).
Adjectives too take כך kaH, כן בן ken:
כך ברור לי, ברור לי שכן kaH barur li, barur li she-ken 'It's clearly so'.

### 7.6 Other pro-words: שם sham 'there', כך kaH 'like that' etc.

Besides pro-nouns and pro-clauses, there are other kinds of 'definite pro-word' (for pro-adverb vs. pronoun, see 15.3.7):
Pro-adverb


Of manner or means: $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kaH} \\ & \text { káHa }\end{aligned}$ 'in that (or 'this') way' כך
For example:

Pro-verb: עשה asa 'do’ is used for representing dynamic (but not stative) verbs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-madriH Havash pitom kasda, המדריך חבש פתאום קסדה, } \\
& \text { az gam ani asíti káHa } \\
& \text { אז גם אני עשיתי ככה } \\
& \text { 'The guide suddenly donned a helmet, so I also did so' }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Pro-adjective

kaze (m.s.)
כזה
kazot/kazo/kazu (f.s.)
ka'éle/ka’élu (pl.)

כזאת/כזו כאלה/כאלו

For example.
sára HesHonit meod,
שרה חסכונית מאד,
ve-gam bita hi kazot וגם בתה היא כזאת
'Sara is very frugal, and her daughter is also like that'
Pro-quantifier: כך kaH 'that number of':
kiblu méa mígim.
קיבלו מאה מיגים.
ve-od yoter mi-kaH tánkim
ועוד יותר מכך טנקים
'They received one hundred Migs, and even more than that number of tanks'

### 7.7 Anticipatory pro-words ('antecedents'): מי שיאחר mi she-yeaHer 'those who are late'

### 7.7.1 Types of anticipatory pro-word

Examples (1) and (2) illustrate the two kinds of anticipatory proword, i.e antecedents of relative clauses and antecedents of apposed clauses, respectively:
(1) ze she-eyno lomed shoHéah

זה שאינו לומד שוכח 'He who doesn't study forgets'
(2) ze she-eynHa lomed madhim oti

זה שאינך לומד מדהים אותי 'The fact you don't study astounds me'
In these examples the pronoun does not refer back but forwards - to an unsaid 'someone', subject of לומד lomed 'study' in (1), and to the whole apposed clause in (2). In (2) it serves to avoid creating a sentence that itself begins directly with a subordinate clause; introducing this clause with H ze gives it the compactness of a noun phrase

### 7.7.2 Pro-words as relative antecedents

We illustrate these pro-words in tables, first as pronouns, then as pro-adverbs 'incorporating' a pronoun. (see pages 61 and 62 )

For pronouns, instead of a whole relative clause, a simple adverbial phrase may appear; but then only ... זה, זאת, אלה ze, zot, éle.. (referring back to a certain noun) are used:

| kehilot shlemot, kegon | קהילות שלמות, כגון |
| :---: | :---: |
| éle mi-teyman, alu ártsa | אלה מתימן, עלו ארצה |
| 'Whole commu | Yemen came to Israe |

Whereas $\begin{aligned} & \\ & z e \text { as a personal pronoun normally denotes a thing ('it', see 7.3), as }\end{aligned}$ an antecedent it can denote a person.

For the pro-adverbs, we distinguish non-specific -איפה שéfo she- 'wherever...' from specific - איפה שéfo she- 'where', the latter casual. All these proadverbs can be seen as incorporating a noun, which takes a relative clause For example, איפה éfo, which interrogatively means 'where?' (i.e 'in which place?') here means 'in a place (in which...)' or (non-specific sense) 'in whichever place (in which...)'. Similarly, מתי ש matay she.. 'when/whenever' is 'at a time/at whatever time (at which...)', and so on. The list of such 'interrogatives' acting as 'relatives' also includes כמה káma 'how many/however many', איזה éze 'which/whichever' (see 33.4 .2 for further details).

### 7.7.3 Pro-words as antecedents of apposed clauses

Many verbs, adjectives, derived nouns and prepositions take a clause directly, without the need for $\begin{gathered}\text { z } \\ z e \\ k \\ k a H\end{gathered}$, which are then often deemed superfluous:

> hitsiu sh-tanúHi הציעו שתנוחי
> 'They suggested that you rest'
> hatsaatam she-tanúHi niret li
> הצעתם שתנוחי נראית לי
> 'Their suggestion that you rest makes sense'
biglal she-HósheH, nitstareH ligmor
בגלל שחושד, נצטרך לגמור
'Because it's dark, we'll have to stop'
Where the ... ש ze she.. construction is the subject of the whole sentence, as in the first example in the table, A ze can be omitted either if the predicate of the whole sentence comes first (example (1) below) or if a second i ז $z e$ separates the subject from the predicate (ex. 2); this second n ree, an instance of the verb 'to be' (16.3.8), is casual:
(1) tov she-bat

טוב שבאת
PRED SUBJ CLAUSE
'It's good that you came'
good that you-came

## Pronouns as relative antecedents

| PRONOUN | EXAMPLE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Persons }^{1} & \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{mi} \\ \mathrm{ze}, \text { zot, éle } \end{array} \end{array}$ | זה, זאת, אלה... | mi <br> she-dafak lo hizdaha <br> שדפק לא הזדהה |
|  |  | 'The person who knocked didn't identify himself' |
| Referring to a certain noun: ze, zot, éle... | זה, זאת, אלה... | באו הרבה מרים, וגם זה שפגשת báu harbe morim, ve-gam ze she-pagasht 'Many teachers came, even the one you met' |
| Things ma | מה |  |
| Referring to a certain noun: ze, zot, éle... | זה, זאת, אלה... | kaH nura, afilu zu she-ba-tik <br> קח נורה, אפילו זו שבתיק 'Take a bulb, even the one that's in the bag' |

Note: ${ }^{1}$ The personal pronouns (הוא hu 'he' etc.) can themselves be antecedents to a relative clause, but only when they are referring backwards, i.e. 'he, who...' rather than 'he who...' (see 33.4.1).

## Pro-adverbs as relative antecedents


(2) she-bat ze tov

SUBJ CLAUSE PRED
that you-came is good
Where, as in (2), the subject clause comes first, one has the choice between inserting A ze to show where the subject clause has ended or turning the subject clause into a (more 'manageable') noun phrase by beginning with $-ש$ re she- (as in (3) below). Indeed, both can be found together:
(3) ze she-bat (ze) tov
subj clause pred
that you-came is good

### 7.7.4 זe ze as 'dummy' subject ${ }^{\text {T }}$

A further use of antecedent pronoun $ז$ re is as a 'dummy' subject for sentences like (1) above, where the subject clause is delayed till after the predicate. There is, in fact, a whole range of such subject clauses (see 31.4). This ז ze is optional, and most common in casual Hebrew: ${ }^{5}$

| (ze) tov she-bat | (זה) טוב שבאת |
| :---: | :---: |
| dummy subj subj clause | 'It's good you came' |
| (it) good that you-came |  |
| (ze) tov lavo ktsat be-iHur | (זה) טוב לבוא קצת באיחור |
| infinitival Clause | 'It's good to come a bit late' |
| (it) good to-come a-bit late |  |
| (ze) barur mi hem? (זה) ברור מי הם? |  |
| Interrog. Clause | 'Is it clear who they are?' |
| (it) clear who they? |  |

This 'dummy $z$ re' is generally impossible where (a) the predicate is not of the sort that ever takes a subject: די day 'is sufficient', התחשק ל hitHashek le- 'fancy', אפשר efshar 'is possible', מוט mutav 'is better'; as they and many others do not take a subject noun (thus ruling out הדבר אפשר*ha-davar efshar 'the thing is possible'), $z e$ is not needed as a 'dummy' subject:

'It's $\begin{aligned} & \text { possible } \\ & \text { better }\end{aligned}$ to stand up'
Similarly, (b) with certain other predicates, e.g.
(*ze) $\underset{\text { asur }}{\text { mutar }}$ (atset
'It's $\begin{aligned} & \text { all right } \\ & \text { forbidden }\end{aligned}$ to leave'
Nor is it used (c) where a b be- 'for, to' phrase accompanies the predicate most commonly where this is an experiential adjective such as קשה (לי) kashe (li) 'hard (for me)', (לוח נוח (לóaH (li) 'convenient (for me)', which tend to have no subject in any event (see $15.6,17.3$ ):

Forms and examples of pro-words as antecedents of apposed clauses

|  | AS SUBJECT | DIRECT OBJECT | INDIREC ${ }^{-}$OBJECT | ADVERBIAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ze זה | זה שבאת (זה) טוב ze she-bat (ze) tov <br> 'The fact that you came is great' | הזכירו את זה שאין <br> hizkiru et ze she-en <br> 'They mentioned the fact that there's none' | מתנגדים לזה שאבוא mitnagdim le-ze she-avo 'They object to my coming' | אני בעד זה שתלך ani be'ad ze she-teleH 'I'm all for your going' |
| ha-davar הדבר <br> (F) | כבר נשכח הדבר, שגם הם זרים kvar nishkaH ha-davar, she-gam hem zarim <br> 'It has already been forgotten that they too are aliens' | not in use | not in use | not in use |
| kaH | not in use | not in use | מתנגדים לכך שאבוא mitnagdim le-HaH she-avo <br> 'They object to my coming' | not in use |

Note:
। כ kaH can sometimes be extraposed from the clause it introduces:

| be-HaH shona mila zo min ha-aHerot she-hi rak baalat tafkid shel kishur | בכך שונה מלה זו מן האחרות שהיא רק בעלת תפקיד של קישור |
| :---: | :---: |

'This word differs from the others in this respect: that it only has a
(*ze) nira li she-tsadku
(**) נראה לי שצדקו
(*ze) tov laH she-hiskimu
(it) good for-you that they-agreed
(זה) טוב לך שהסכימו
'It's good for you that they agreed'

### 7.8 Indefinite pro-words

'Indefinite' pro-words refer to someone/thing not yet identifiable by the addressee, e.g. מישחו mishehu 'someone' as against הוא hu 'he'.
The positive indefinites are as follows, with the inseparable particle שהו--shehu being added in many cases to the interrogative pro-word. ${ }^{6}$
Persons: מישהו mishehu 'someone (m.)', מישהי mishehi 'someone (f.)'. The masculine is used whenever 'someone' is not specifically feminine. The plural is ממה אנשים káma anashim 'some people' or similar. The correlative ... מים ומי mi...umi 'some... and some' can be used formally in apposed phrases (cf. - מי שי mi she- 'he who...', 7.7):

> et ze ro’im, mi be-mida raba u-mi את זה רואים, מי במידה רבה ומי be-mida pHuta, ke-éreH bifney atsmo במידה פחותה, כערך בפני עצמו
> 'They regard this, some to a greater and some to a lesser extent, as a value in itself

אחת eHad אחת aHat 'someone' (m. and f. respectively), followed by a relative clause, or meaning 'one' with a specific noun in mind:
balshan ze eHad she-mesabeH máshehu kal בלשן זה אחד שמסבך משהו קל
'A linguist is someone who complicates something easy'
báu shotrim, ve-eHad dafak
באו שוטרים, ואחד דפק 'Some policemen came, and one knocked'
俍 כאלה $k$ 'éle 'some (pl.)', with a specific noun in mind; it is not partitive:
drúzim? yesh ka'éle ba-tsafon דרוזים? יש כאלה בצפון
'Druze? There are some up North'
Things: משהו máshehu, דבר-מה dvar-ma (F) denote 'something'; אחד eHad, אחתת $a H a t$ ' are 'one' ( m . and f. respectively) for a specific noun:
yesh ugot, kaH aHat יש עוגות, קח אחת 'There are cakes, take one'
בזה/כזאת kaze/kazot 'one (m./f.)', כאלה ka'éle ‘some (pl.)' are for a specific noun; they are not partitive:
shtrúdel ze tov, tofi paam kaze
שטרודל זה טוב, תאפי פעם כזה 'Strudel's nice, bake one sometime'

Place: איפהשהו éfoshehu (c), אי-שם ey-sham (F) 'somewhere’ (commonly also באיזהשהו מקום be-ézeshehu makom); לאנשהו le'ánshehu (c) 'to somewhere'.

Time: פעם páam (c), מתישהו matáyshehu (c), אי-פעם ey-páam (F) 'some time'.
Manner: איכשהו éHshehu 'somehow'.
For negative indefinites, eg. אף אחד af eHad 'no one', כלום klum 'nothing', see 29.10.

### 7.9 Interrogative pro-words

For מי mi 'who?', כיצד keytsad 'how?', למה láma 'why?' etc., see 26.3.

### 7.10 Relative pro-words

For such words as הספר שדיברתי עליו ha-séfer she-dibárti alav'the book that I spoke about $\langle\mathbf{i t}\rangle$ ', see 33.3 .

### 7.11 Generic pro-words

A generic pro-word denotes persons or things in general, without explicitly saying כל kol 'all'; for example:
le'itim ata to'e 'Sometimes one is wrong' לעיתים אתה טועה
ze tov po 'It's good here' אה טוב פה

For persons: אתה/את/אתם/אתן ata/at/atem/aten 'you (m.s./f.s./m.pl./ f.pl.)'. When addressing a woman or a group, אתה ata may used regardless:
leitim ata yodéa she-taíta, sara לעיתים אתה יודע שטעית, שרה
'Sometimes you (m.s.) know (m.s.) that you were wrong (m.s.), Sara'
Also, האח ha-adam, (c) האדם ha-ben-adam 'a person':
leitim eHad ro'e she-hu ta'a
לעיתים אחד רואה שהוא טעה 'Sometimes a person sees he was wrong'
ha-mishmáat meadénet et ha-adam המשמעת מעדנת את האדם 'Discipline refines a person'

For the use of the generic 3rd person plural, eg. טועים to'im 'people are wrong', see 17.2.
For things (i.e the general environment or ambience): Many predicates are used generically, to describe the general ambience, eg. טוב פה tov po ‘(It’’) fine here’ (see 17.2). Casual usage can optionally add the generic subject A ז $z e$ ' it ', as in:

| (ze) kar | 'It's cold' | ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ze) tov po | 'It's fine here' | (זה) טוב פה |
| (ze) nóaH li | 'I'm comfortable' | (זה) נוח לי |
| (ze) magía leHa | 'It serves you right' | (זה) מגיע לך |

But with predicates that do not take a subject anyway, B ze is not usually added:

### 7.12 Reflexive pronouns

By reflexive pronouns one refers back to a noun mentioned in the same finite clause (examples 1,2 below); by contrast, in referring back to a noun in an 'outer' clause, i.e one containing the clause in question (3), or in a separate clause, one uses a personal rather than a reflexive pronoun (see 7.3):
(1) hu metaken et atsmo
(2) shaálti ota al atsma
(3) ani maadif she-metaknim oti (*atsmi)


OUTER CLAUSE
I prefer that [people] correct me (*myself)

The reflexive pronouns are:

| atsmi | 'myself' | עצמי |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| atsmeHa | 'yourself' (m.) | עצמך |
| atsmeH | 'yourself' (f.) | עצמך |
| atsmo | 'himself, itself | עצמו |
| atsma | 'herself, itself' | עצמה |
| atsménu | 'ourselves' | עצמנו |
| atsmeHem | 'yourselves' (m.) | עצמכם |
| atsmeHen | 'yourselves' (f.) (F) | עצמכן |
| atsmam | 'themselves' (m.) | עצמם |
| atsman | 'themselves' (f.) (F) | עצמן |

There are two limitations. (a) The verbal pattern התפעל hitpa'el is often used instead of the verb + reflexive pronoun construction, thus: התנער hitna'er, rather than נ'יער את עצמו ni'er et atsmo 'shake oneself off'. But there is no hard and fast rule; as a simple reflexive pattern based on the simple stem, התעורר , hitpa'el is generally limited to bodily actions (by contrast התפעל hitorer 'awake' is not equivalent to העיר עצמו he'ir atsmo 'wake oneself up', nor can התגלה hitgala 'be discovered’ mean גילה עצמו gila atsmo ‘discover oneself'), and even then התפעל hitpa'el does not cover all bodily activities, eg.

(b) In adverbials of time and space, personal pronouns are preferred to reflexives:

| raíti svivi (*sviv atsmi) dkalim | ראיתי סביבי (*סביב עצמי) דקלים <br> 'I saw palms around me' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hináHti oto lefanay (*lifney atsmi) | הנחתי אותו לפני (*לפני עצמי) 'I placed it in front of me' |
| Hazárnu etslénu (*étsel atsménu) | חזרנו אצלנו (*אצל עצמנו) 'We went back home' |

Another use of the reflexive pronoun is to emphasize a noun:


### 7.13 Reciprocal pronouns

The reciprocal pronouns, denoting 'one another', occur in pairs. In descending order of formality, they are:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ish... } \begin{array}{l} \text { Havero } \\ \text { re'ehu } \end{array} \text { (m.), isha.. } \begin{array}{l} \text { Haverta } \\ \text { reuta } \end{array} \text { (f.) } \end{aligned}$ | איש... רעחור אשה... רעותרה |
| :---: | :---: |
| eHad... mishnéhu (m.), aHat... mishnéha (f.) | אחד... משנה, אחת... משנה |
| ze.. ze (m.), zo... zo (f.) | הו... זה, זו... |
| eHad... ha-sheni (m.), aHat... ha-shniya (f.) | אחד... השני, אחת... השניה |
| Positioning |  |
| The second pronoun fills the normal slot for objects or adverbials, |  |
| ra'ínu ze et ze obJ | ראינו זה את זה <br> 'We saw one another' |
| hem lomdim ze mi-ze | הם לומדים זה מזה <br> 'They learn from each other' |

Directly preceding the second pronoun is the preposition, as required by the particular verb, adjective or derived noun; and directly preceding the preposition is the first reciprocal pronoun, which is strictly an 'extra' to the normal structure of a Hebrew clause:

```
ra'inu ze et ze
    FIRST
    PRONOUN
we-saw this ом this
neemanutam eHad la-sheni
                                    FIRST
                                    PRONOUN
their-loyalty one to-the other
```


## Gender and number

When referring to feminine nouns, the pronouns are feminine:
ha-aHayot medabrot aHat al-odot ha-shniya האחיות מדברות אחת על-אדזת השניה
'The nurses speak about one another'
But when referring to a combination of masculine and feminine, one commonly uses either two masculine pronouns (the 'unmarked' gender) or one masculine plus one feminine pronoun:
 'Miriam and Yaakov are afraid of one another'

For group activity, one uses two plural pronouns, אלה... אלה éle.. éle:
ha-toshavim san'u éle et éle התושבים שנאו אלה את אלה the inhabitants hated these ом these 'The inhabitants hated one another'
(i.e one group hated the other)
as against זה את זה .....ze et ze '...one another’ (i.e each person hated the other).

FURTHER READING
Berman 1979a, 1980, b; Bin-Nun 1979; Dahan 1980; Givón 1973; Glinert 1978; Levenston 1976; Rubinstein 1968, 1971:83ff., 2.4, 1973; Sadka 1978: 298ff., 1981:192 ff., 222 ff; Schwarzwald 1979b.

## 8. Quantifiers

### 8.1 Introduction

Quantifiers are a part of speech denoting quantity (and including numerals). Rather like nouns, they act as subject, object etc. or, most commonly, they qualify a noun:

| $\text { hayu } \begin{aligned} & \text { shesh } \\ & \text { káma } \end{aligned}$ | 'There were $\begin{aligned} & \text { six' } \\ & \text { a few' }\end{aligned}$ | היו כמה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| subj |  |  |
| harbe asiti maspik Hétsi et ha-rov obJ | a lot' <br> 'I did enough' half' most' | עשיתי מצי הרביק |
| od | 'more | עוד |
| ktsat balátot | 'a few tiles' | קצת בלטות |
| hamon | 'loads of | המון |
| she'ar | 'the rest of | שאר |
| kol ha-dibrot | 'all commandments' | כל הרת |
| aséret | 'the ten | עשרת |

For 'measure phrases', as in the following example, see 36.10.
knu esrim méter dikt
קנו
MEASURE PHRASE
buy twenty metres plywood
'Buy twenty metres of plywood’

### 8.2 Quantifiers: general form

Quantifiers have no special shape or inflection, except that (1) numerals inflect in a way somewhat reminiscent of nouns ${ }^{1}$ for gender, number and construct (see 8.9); and (2) fractions for 5th to 10 th and for 100th are derived from the feminine form of the ordinals - חמישית Hamishit ' $1 / 5$ th', מאית me'it '1/100th', etc.

The difference between quantifiers and degree words is essentially that the latter generally relate to a verb or adjective: קצת /מאד גאה $\}$ \{ktsat/me'od\} ge'e '\{slightly/very\} proud'. With abstract nouns, however, quantifiers will themselves denote 'degree': קצת/הרבה גאווה\} \{ktsat/harbe\} gaava ' $\{$ slight/much $\}$ pride'.

Quantity can also be expressed by certain (irregular) adjectives, e.g. מרובה merube, 'many, much', מעט me'at ‘a little, few', אחדים aHadim 'a few'. See 8.15 .

### 8.3 Quantifying what?

Quantifiers generally state amount or portion, i.e. they are 'amount quantifiers'" or 'partitive quantifiers' respectively (a distinction that helps explain their behaviour), either for an explicit noun or for one directly implied. Many quantifiers can act as both amount and partitive quantifiers; others are intrinsically partitive, e.g. רוב האנשים rov ha-anashim 'most of the people', or intrinsically express amount, e.g. יותר מדי אנשים yoter miday anashim 'too many people'.

| Amount quantifiers: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ratsíti Hamisha ${\underset{\text { EXPLICIT }}{\text { dapim }} \text { ve-hevet }}_{\text {shisha }}^{\text {NIMPLIED }}$ | רציתי חמשה דפים והבאת |
| I-wanted five sheets and you-brought six |  |
| Partitive quantifiers: |  |
| Hamisha me-ha-sfarim nimkeru ve-Hélek hushalu | חמשה מהספרים נמכרו וחלק |
| EXPLICIT N N IM |  |

five of the books were-sold and a-portion were-lent
Amount quantifiers can also be 'open-ended', i.e. relate to non-specific thing(s) (but not people): ${ }^{3}$

| harbe kara li | 'A lot happened to me’ | הרבה קרה מד יותר |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hevet yoter miday | 'You brought too much' |  |

This is not possible for 'precise' numerals ${ }^{4}$ (unless, of course, referring back to a noun just mentioned): מליון יתנגדו**milyon yitnagdu 'A million will object'. Here a word like אנשים anashim 'people’ is needed.

### 8.4 Definite and non-definite, singular and plural quantifiers <br> Quantifiers are more restricted than nouns.

## Amount quantifiers

Aside from numerals, which can be either definite or non-definite, e.g.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { kaH } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { shesh } \\
\text { et ha-shesh ha-èle }
\end{array} & \text { 'Take }
\end{array} \begin{aligned}
& \text { six' } \\
& \text { these six' }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { שת האשה }
\end{gathered}
$$

most amount quantifiers are indefinite, ${ }^{5}$ as in example (1) and ruling out example (2):


## Partitive quantifiers

Fractions are generally indefinite, eg. ....) ריססתי עשירית risásti asirit (mi...) 'I sprayed a tenth (of...)’, (...מ תן לי חצי ten li Hétsi (mi...) 'Give me half (of...)'. ${ }^{6}$ חלק Hélek 'part, some' is similar.

The following partitives are generally definite: הרוב ha-rov 'most', מרבית - ה- marbit ha- 'most of the' (only construct); הכל ha-kol 'all, everything, everyone'; השאר/היתר ha-she'ar/ha-yéter 'the rest'. With a noun -ה ha- 'the' will appear only on the noun, as is usual with construct constructions (8.5):


The noun can be intrinsically definite:

| aHyotay | éle | 'allmy sisters' <br> (hese' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| אחיותי |  |  |

As for the noun, it must be definite in its own right when introduced by a partitive quantifier:

| heHzárti | éser |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Hélek |

'I returned $\begin{aligned} & \text { ten } \\ & \text { some }\end{aligned}$ of the cups'
except where the fractions, especially with units of measurement, express amount rather than 'part of something' (forming construct phrases), eg. רע שעה réva shaa '1/4 hour' (a quarter-hour), חצי משרה Hatsi misra 'a half (i.e half-time) job', as against חצי מהמשרה hétsi me-ha-misra 'half of the job', etc. ${ }^{7}$

Only fractions go into the plural, eg. שתי עשיריות shtey asiriyot '2/10', כמה אחוזים káma aHuzim 'how many percent'. ${ }^{8}$

### 8.5 The join between quantifier and noun

### 8.5.1 Types of link

Quantifiers are linked to their nouns in three main ways:
By the preposition - $m i$-:

ששה מהנרות

By the construct construction:
shéshet ha-nerot
ששת הנרות
construct
six the candles 'the six candles'
By mere juxtaposition:

| shisha nerot | ששה נרת |
| :---: | :---: |
| six candles | 'six candles' |

In the 'construct' (6.2), - ה ha- 'the' leapfrogs to the last word, and the quantifier has a special construct form where this is available (see below and 8.9). Occasionally the preposition של shel 'of' is used instead.

The choice between these three types of join depends on the type of quantifier, as illustrated in the following sections.

### 8.5.2 Partitive quantifiers

Partitive quantifiers that are always definite (8.4) require the construct: ${ }^{9}$

| maHatsit |  | 'half of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| marbit |  | 'most of |  |  |
|  | ha-shdarim | 'most of | the messages' | השדרים |
| kol <br> she'ar |  | 'all of 'the rest of | the messages | - |
| yéter |  | 'the rest of |  |  |

Pronouns are suffixed (שאר she'ar disallows them), with phonetic adjustments in the following quantifiers:

| ruba, rubénu, rubHem... | 'most of it, etc.' | רובה, רובנו, רובכם... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kula, kuleH, kulánu.. | 'all of it, etc. |  |
| yitra, yitrénu, yitram... | 'the rest of it, etc.' | יתרה, יתרנו, יתרם.. |

Most other partitives require $-מ m i$ - when preceding a definite noun:
Fractions:

réva | ר |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

and similarly, חלק Hélek 'part, some', חצי Hétsi 'half', etc. ${ }^{10}$
Other quantifiers:

Hamisha \begin{tabular}{l}
me-ha-kartisim <br>
mehem

$\quad$ 'five of the cards' 

of them'
\end{tabular}

and similarly, מאות me'ot 'hundreds', כמה káma ‘a few', הר בה harbe 'lots' etc.
However, אחחד, אחת eHad, ahat 'one'll can take either mi- or the construct. ${ }^{12}$ Its construct form is אחת aHad (masculine) and (feminine):

| eHad me-ha-vradim אחד מהוורדיםם אחד ה |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| aHad ha-vradim |  |

### 8.5.3 Amount quantifiers

Within a 'definite' noun phrase (eg. 'the six boys'), numerals from 'two' upwards require the construct. Some even have a special construct form (8.9).


Similarly, מעט me'at 'little’ (no suffixes or construct form):
me'at ha-yedi'ot ha-magi'ot מעט הידיעות המגיעות
little the news that arrives
'the little news that arrives'
as against ... מעט מה me'at me-ha... ‘a little of the..’.
Fraction + unit of measurement is treated as 'amount', not 'partitive'; it requires the construct:

| Hatsi sha'a | ' $1 / 2$ hour' | חצי שליש מייל |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| shney shlish mayl | '2/3 mile' |  |

The 'imprecise' numerals (which are in several respects not strictly numerals) can use the construct, eg. עשרות asrot 'tens (of...)', מליוני milyoney 'millions (of...)', as does מספר mispar 'a number of..13

Other quantifiers, and numerals within indefinite noun phrases (eg. "six boys'), are simply juxtaposed to their noun. They usually precede it:

| harbe Hanuyot | 'many shops' | הרבה חנויות |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kaful Hanuyot | 'twice as many shops' |  |

be-minimum hotsa'ot 'with a minimum of expenses' במינימום הוצאות
However, שניים, שתיים shnáyim, shtáaim 'two (m.,f.)' becomes construct to its noun: שתתי גרביים shtey garbáyim 'two socks'; in formal usage, ד day 'sufficient' becomes dey: די dey maamatsim 'sufficient efforts'. ${ }^{14}$

A few juxtaposed quantifiers follow their noun, notably אין-ספור en-sfor 'countless', למכביר lemaHbir 'abundant', also:

| shéled eHad |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| skeleton one | hafta'ot la-rov <br> surprises abundant | 'abundant surprises' |

A few can either precede or follow, notably מספר mispar ‘a number of' (casually it precedes), בלי-סוף bli-sof ‘countless' and אין-סוף en-sof ‘countless'.'

Certain nouns whose pattern of agreement shows them to be quantifiers too can take the construct or שלל shel, eg.

| $\underset{\text { shéfa }}{\text { mabul }}$ (shel) omanim omdim larédet alénu | שפע (של) אומנים עומדים לרדת עלינו |
| :---: | :---: |
| a-torrent (of) artists are-due to-descend |  |

### 8.5.4 Measure expressions

Measure expressions are usually juxtaposed to their noun (see also 36.10). Example of physical measurements are: ${ }^{16}$

| shloshim méter bad thirty metre cloth | שלושים מטר בד 'thirty metres of cloth' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ha-kilo batsal the kilo onion | הקילו בצל <br> 'the kilo of onions' |

Time and other abstract measurements are often juxtaposed, or use של shel 'of' with inverse word order, expecially in casual usage:

| tiyul shel shisha yamim $=\underset{\text { tiyul }}{\text { shisha }}$ yamim | טיול |
| :---: | :---: |
| of six days = six days trip | 'a six-day trip' |
| sar shel esrim shana $=$ esrim <br> shana m | מאסר של עשרים שנה = עשרים שנה מאסר |
| of twenty year = twenty year jail | 'twenty years' jail' |
| tfut shel méa $\mathrm{aHuz}=$ | השתתפות של מאה אחוז = מאה אחוז השתתפות |
| 00\% = 100\% particip | 100\% participati |

Formal usage prefers the construct: ששה ימי טיול shisha yemey tiyul (six daysof trip).

### 8.6 Partitive - m mi- in general

### 8.6.1 Types of partitive construction

Partitives usually involve a quantifier + plural noun, eg. שניים מהחינילם shnáyim me-ha-Hayalim 'two of the soldiers'. They can however involve collective nouns, eg. שניים מהיחידה shnáyim me-ha-yeHida 'two of the platoon'; here שניים shnáyim 'two' represents 'two persons', not 'two platoons'. ${ }^{17}$

Not only quantifiers but also certain pronouns take a partitive - - mi-:
míshehu mehem hilshin someone of-them informed מישהו מהם הלשין
af eHad mehem lo notar no one of-them remained אף אחד מהם לא נותר ish
איש
mi
éze A distinctive trait of these pronouns is that they often become definite in anticipation of a following definite noun, witness the direct object marker את et. So too does אחד eHad 'one (of...)'. יmi 'who?' is intrinsically definite

> hitstarHu laatsor et míshehu mehem they-had to-arrest OM someone of-them $\quad$ 'They had to arrest one of them'

Neither nouns nor adjectives are followed by partitives with - D mi-:

> *af katsin mehem...
*אף קצין מהם...
no officer of-them...
*ha-ktsinim meitánu ( $\downarrow$ she-benénu) הקצינים מאתנו ( שביננו) (
the officers of-us (that are among-us)
*ha-svuHa me-ha-baayot ( $\checkmark$ ba-baayot) הסבוכה מהבעיות ( בבעיות) (
the thorniest of the problems (in-the problems)

### 8.6.2 Four (formal) partitive constructions

Partitive phrases can introduce an exceptional relative clause of 'being', lacking a relative conjunction and explicit word for 'be':
ha-ulam yipataH bifney élef tsofim,
האולם ייפתח בפני אלף צופים, rubam yisreelim bimkoram, she..

רובם ישראלים במקורם, ש...
PARTITIVE
PHR
the hall will-open to 1000 spectators, most-of-them Israelis by-origin, who...
They also introduce regular relative clauses (or even main clauses); the -n mi+ noun can be separated from the quantifier:

| ha-shana tipálnu be-He-élef olim, she-mehem nikletu ba-avoda me'al shésh-me'ot | השנה טיפלנו בכאלף עולים, שמהם נקלטו בעבודה מעל שש-מאות |
| :---: | :---: |
| year we-handled about 100 | em [=of whom] were- |
| bed in work | RTitive |
| PARTITIV |  |

Equivalent to 'appositional' כולל kolel 'including' (after a comma) is מהם a mehem 'of them', which introduces a quantifier (alternatively: בהם bahem + noun or ביניהם benehem + noun or quantifier):

> ha-shana nirshemu matáyim Hiburim, השנה נרשמו מאתיים חיבורים, mehem shloshim be-anglit
> מהם שלושים באנגלית
> this year were-registered 200 theses, of-which 30 [are] in English

Unlike the three foregoing constructions, the fourth does not necessarily refer back. The quantifier 'one' or 'some' (...of them, of the chairs - and particularly
＇superlatives＇：．．．of the best，of the shortest）can be left unsaid in certain contexts －at the head of a major phrase，but for perceptual reasons not ahead of the verb．Examples are set out below．
Superlatives following a verb（mostly as direct object）：
shaláHnu mi－meytav saHkanéynu שלחנו ממיטב שחקנינו we－sent［some］of the－best－of our－players
Predicate（casual usage too）：
im ata rotse she－ha－báyit yiye naki，אם אתה רוצה שהבית יהיה נקי， lo me－ha－nekiyim beyoter aval．．．

לא מהנקיים ביותר אבל．．．
＇If you want the house to be clean， not 〈one〉 of the cleanest but．．．＇


Subject：
haya bo mi－tmimut ha－yeladim was in－him of naivety the children

היה בו מתמימות הילדים ＇There was something of a child＇s naivety in him＇

## Apposition：

| alfey bney nóar she－shahu etslénu zman rav，mehem afilu shanim，．．． | אלפי בני－נוער ששהו אצלנו זמן רב，מהם אפילו שנים，．．． |
| :---: | :---: |

＇Thousands of young people that stayed with us a long while，（some）of them
even years，．．．＇
nimtsa be－yaday miHtav mi＊＊＊， mi－baaley ha－moadon

נמצא בידי מכתב מ＊＊， מבעלי המועדון
＇I have in my hands a letter from＊＊＊， （one〉 of the owners of the club＇

Parenthesis：
nimtse＇u sham tseirim nilhavim
（mehem hispíku le－hipared me－rik＇am）
＇There were present excited youngsters （（some）of whom had managed to break away from their background）＇
Attribute：

> yesh kan rúaH leHima kmo be-yeHida kravit me-ha-meulot
> יש כאן רוח לחימה כמו ביחידה קרבית מהמעולות
> 'There is here a fighting spirit like in the best combat units (lit. unit combat of the best)'

### 8.7 Types of quantified noun

Most quantifiers take both singular 'mass' and plural 'countable', and both human and non-human, nouns:


However, only the plural is used with non-interrogative כמה káma 'a few', eg. כמה מסיבות káma mesibot ‘a few parties', not כמה אוכל * *áma óHel ‘a few food’ (except כמה זמן 'káma zman ‘a little while’). Similarly, מספר mispar ‘a few', and the quantity adjectives (8.15) אחדים aHadim, ספורים sfurim 'a few’ use the plural.
A plural or an abstract mass singular noun is generally used with rav, מרובה merube 'much/many', מעט mutat 'a little/ a few', eg. מסיבות רבות/מעטות mesibot rabot/meatot 'many/ a few parties', סבלנות מרובה/מעטה not קריבה מעטה * *riba meata 'a little jam'. ${ }^{18}$

קצת ktsat is not commonly used with plural human nouns, hence קצת כמה אנשים káma anashim ‘a few people'.
עוד od 'more, another' takes both countable and mass nouns in the singular:


### 8.8 Quantifiers and their predicate: agreement

### 8.8.1 Quantifier without noun

Quantifiers without a noun are masculine when referring to a thing even where one has a specific feminine noun in mind:
káma bira nishpeHa ve-káma nish’ar (*nishara)? כמה בירה נשפכה וכמה נשאר (*נשארה)? f. f.
m . f.
how-much beer spilled and how-much is-left (*is-left)?
For plural things, quantifiers adopt the gender of what they refer to:

|  | Hêlek | חלק |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| káma pitriyot aHilot, ve- | ha-she'ar marilot ha-rov | כמה פטריות אכילות ו השאר מרעילות |
| f.pl. f.pl. | f.pl. |  |

some
some mushrooms [are] edible and the rest [are] poisonous the majority

For people, natural sex and number prevails: ${ }^{19}$
éfo ha-yeHida? ha-rov yeshenim (*yeshena) איפה היחידה! הרוב ישנים (*ישנה)
f.s. m. pl. f.s.

Where's the unit? The majority are-asleep (*is-asleep)

### 8.8.2 Quantifier + noun

With a construct or a juxtaposed quantifier (8.5), agreement of the predicate is generally determined by the noun (i.e in כל המלון kol ha-melon 'all the melon', the quantifier is modifier and the noun is nucleus). ${ }^{20}$ When the noun is a personal pronoun, i.e a suffix, agreement of person ensues:

| she'ar ha-dira meluHléhet construct f.s. f.s. rest the flat dirty | שאר הדירה מלוכלכת 'The rest of the flat is dirty' |
| :---: | :---: |
| me'at gvina nafla | מעט גבינה נפלה |
| CONSTRUCT f.s. f.s. a-little cheese dropped |  |
| yoter miday Hem'a nitsreHa | יותר מדי חמאה נצרכה |
| juxtaposed f.s. f.s. |  |
| too much butter was-consumed |  |
| kulánu / rubénu shárnu | כולנו/רובנו שרנו |
| 1st pl. 1st pl. 1st pl. |  |
| all-of-us/most-of-us sang |  |

With a partitive - mi-, either the noun or its quantifier determines agreement (the latter according to its inherent masculine or feminine shape) when referring to singular things:

| Hétsi me-ha-Humts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { neegar (m.s.) } \\ & \text { neegéret (f.s.) } \end{aligned}$ | חצי מהחומצה נאגרתר |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m.s. f.s. |  |  |
| half of the acid is- | red |  |

For people or plural things, the quantifier tends to adopt their natural sex and number, not their person: ${ }^{21}$

Hétsi me-ha-Hatsaiyot pgumot חצי מהחצאיות פגומות
f.pl. f.pl.
half of the skirts [are] faulty


### 8.8.3 Measure expression + noun

Physical measurements (8.5.4) tend to determine agreement:


With abstract measurements the noun tends to determine agreement, as in:
hayta lefaHot esrim aHuz hishtatfut
f.s. AGREEMEENT f.s.
there-was at-least $20 \%$ participation

היתה לפחות עשרים אחוז השתתפות
'There was at least a $20 \%$ participation'

## 8.9-11 NUMERALS

### 8.9 Cardinals (1, 2 etc.)

### 8.9.1 'Free' and 'construct' structures

The 'free' form of the numeral expresses amount with an indefinite noun, as in example (1) below, or with no noun, as in examples $(2,3)$. It is also used with partitive -מ mi- 'of', as in example (4):
(1) shisha rofim
(2) shaalu shisha
(3) shaalu et ha-shisha
(4) shisha me-ha-rofim

| 'six doctors' | ששה רופאים |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'They asked six' | שאלו ששה |
| 'They asked the six' | שאלו את הששה |
| 'six of the doctors' | \% |

The construct construction, in which some numerals have a special construct form, expresses amount for a definite noun. Where - $\boldsymbol{n}$ ha- 'the' is involved, it leapfrogs to the last noun, as is usual with constructs:
shéshet ha-rofim ve-shéshet aHeyhem
CONSTRUCT CONSTRUCT
six the doctors and six brothers-their
shéshet aHey ha-méleH
construct construct
six brothers the king

ששת הרופאים וששת אחיהם 'the six doctors and their six brothers'

ששת אחי המלך
'the six brothers of the king'

### 8.9.2 Masculine and feminine numerals

Masculine and feminine cardinal numerals usually go with masculine and feminine nouns, respectively. These nouns may be explicit or just implied:
bikshu Hamisha tikim ve-hevénu shisha ביקשו חמשה תיקים והבאנו ששה m m. m.
they-wanted five bags and we-brought six
However, some numerals have just one form for both genders. Moreover, casual (and above all, substandard) usage sometimes simply employs the feminine
form for 'two' to 'ten', particularly for 'two'; for ' 11 ' to ' 19 ' this practice is especially widespread:

רציתי ששׁעשרה בנים ושש־עשרה ratsiti shésh-esre banim ve-shésh-esre banot
f. form m. f. form f. בנות

I-wanted 16 boys and 16 girls
Feminines also serve as 'neutrals', to denote a number in the abstract: ${ }^{22}$

| aHat-shtáyim-shalosh! | 'One-two-three!’ <br> (eg. in races) | לסת-שתיים-שלושי עד עשר! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lispor ad éser! | 'Count to ten!’ |  |

Numeral forms under 100

| masculine |  |  |  | feminine |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free |  | Construct |  | Free |  | Construct |  |
| 1 | eHad | aHad ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | אחד | aHat | אחת | aHat | אחת |
| 2 | shnáyim שניים | shney | שני | shtáyim | שתיים | shtey | שתי |
| 3 | shlosha שלושה | shlóshet | שלושת | shalosh |  | shlosh ${ }^{1}$ | שלוש |
| 4 | arba'a ארבעה | arbáat | ארבעת | árba $^{2}$ | ארבע |  |  |
| 5 | Hamisha | Haméshet | חמשת | Hamesh | חמש | as |  |
| 6 | shisha ששה | shéshet | ששת | shesh | שש | in |  |
| 7 | shiv'a שבעה | shivat | שבעת | shéva | שבע | free |  |
| 8 | shmona שמונה | shmonat | שמונת | shmóne ${ }^{2}$ | שמונה | form |  |
|  | tish'a תשעה | tishat | תשעת | tésha/téysha | תשע |  |  |
| 10 | asara עשרה | aséret | עשרת | éser | עשר |  |  |
| MASCULINE |  |  |  | Feminine ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
|  | aHád-asar |  | אחד־שר | aHát-esre |  |  | אחת־עשרה |
|  | shném-asar |  | שנים־ערר | shtém-esre |  |  | שתים־עשרה |
|  | shloshá-asar |  | שלושה־עשר | shlósh-esre |  |  | שלוש־עשרה |
|  | arba'á-asar |  | ארבעה־עט | arbá-esre |  |  | ארבע־שרה |
|  | Hamishá-asar |  | חמשה־עשר | Hamésh-esre |  |  | חמש־עשרה |
|  | shishá-asar |  | ששה־ער | shésh-esre |  |  | שש־עשרה |
|  | shivá-asar |  | שבעה־עשר | shvá-esre |  |  | שבע־עשרה |
|  | shmoná-asar |  | שמונה־עש/ | shmóne-esre |  |  | שמונה־עשרה |
|  | tish'á-asar |  | תשעה־ער | tshá-esre |  |  | תשע־עשרה |
| 20 |  | esrim |  |  | עשרים |  |  |
|  | esrim ve-eHad |  | עשרים ואר | esrim ve-aH |  |  | עשרים ואחת |
|  | esrim ve-shnáyim ${ }^{5}$ | ויים | עשרים ושנים | esrim ve-sht | áyim |  | עשרים ושתיים |
| 30 |  | shloshim ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | שלושים |  |  |
| 40 |  | arba'im |  |  | ארבעים |  |  |
| 50 |  | Hamishim |  |  | חמשים |  |  |
| 60 |  | shishim |  |  | ששים |  |  |
| 70 |  | shiv'im |  |  | שבעים |  |  |
| 80 |  | shmonim |  |  | שמונים |  |  |
| 90 |  | tish'im |  |  | תשעים |  |  |

Notes:
חמשת shlóshet and similarly שלושת Haméshet, ששׁת shéshet (creating pre-final stress throughout the feminine construct).
${ }^{2}$ In puristic usage arbá, shmoné; also in the numerals 24, 28, 34, etc.
${ }^{3}$ Feminines are shaped differently than those for 1-9, but like 3-9 of 300-900.
Casual speech may drop the first $e$ in esre, giving aHat-sre, etc.
All of these are indivisible compounds - not phrases, thus not nמש או שש־עשרה*
*Hamesh o shésh-esre ' 15 or 16 '.
${ }^{4}$ Casually also shmoná-esre, in line with shvá-esre tshá-esre
5 Also, particularly in formal usage, ושניים......u-shnáyim, ושמונה... ... ו-shmone in ' 22,28 ' etc.
6 30-90 are based on the 3-9 masculine stem.

### 8.9.3 Syntax

' 1 ', alone among numerals, follows its noun, eg. גל אחד gal eHad 'one wave', except in the partitive sense of 'one of...': אחד מהגלים, eHad me-ha-galim, aHad ha-galim 'one of the waves'. As part of a larger number, too, it precedes its noun: שבעים ואחד זקנים shivim ve-eHad zkenim '71 elders'. Similarly, אחד עד שלושה שופטים eHad ad shlosha shoftim 'one to three judges'. Thus אחד eHad is only a quasi-adjective ${ }^{23}$
' 2 ', alone among numerals, uses its construct form with indefinite as well as definite nouns, when directly preceding them: שני דגים shney dagim 'two fish', but שניים או שלושה דגים shnáyim o shlosha dagim 'two or three fish' and גם לי יש שניים gam li yesh shnáyim 'I've also got two'. Nor is its construct form (or indeed any construct numeral form) used in compounds, i.e where it is part of a larger numeral:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { esrim ve-shtáyim otiyot עשרים ושתיים אותיות 'twenty-two letters' } &
\end{array}
$$

' 21,22 ' etc. and all additive combinations, eg. 670, 1245 , are made by stringing numerals together, with higher before lower. ${ }^{24}$ In casual usage $\boldsymbol{e}$ e- 'and' is usually inserted before the final numeral, if this is a numeral from 1 to $9,{ }^{25} \mathrm{eg}$. מאה ששים ושלוש méa shishim ve-shalosh '163' as against מאה ששים méa shishim '160'. Purist usage, however, makes no distinction: מאה וששים méa $v e$-shishim ' 160 '.

### 8.9.4 Numerals over 100

Numeral forms from 100 onwards

|  | méa ${ }^{1}$ | מאה | 600 | shésh-me'ot | שש-מאות |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | matáyim ${ }^{2}$ | מאתיים | 700 | shvá-me'ot | שבע-מאות |
| 300 | shlósh-me'ot ${ }^{3}$ | שלוש-מאות | 800 | shmóne-me'ot | שמונה-מאות |
| 400 | arbá-me'ot | ארבע-מאות | 900 | tshá-me'ot | תשע-מאות |
| 500 | Hamésh-me'ot | חמש-מאות |  |  |  |

1000 élef
2000 alpáyim $^{2}$
3000 shlóshet-alafim ${ }^{4}$
4000 arbáat-alafim $_{5000 \text { Haméshet-alafim }}{ }^{\text {Ha }}$

| אלף | 6000 shéshet-alafim | ששת-אלפ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| אלפיים | 7000 shivát-alafim | שבעת-אלפים |
| שלושת-אלפ | 8000 shmonát-alafim | שמונת-אלפים |
| ארבעת-אלפים | 9000 tishát-alafim | תשעת-אלפים |
| חמשת-אלפים | 10000 aséret-alafim | עשרת-אלפים |


| 11,000 | aHád-asar élef ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | אחד-עשר אלף |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| 23,000 | esrim-ve-shlosha élef | עשרים-ושלושה אלף |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| 1,000,000 | milyon ${ }^{6}$ | מליון |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| 3,000,000 | shlosha milyon ${ }^{7}$ | שלושה מליון |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |  | $\sim$ |
| 100 million | milyard ${ }^{8}$ | מליארד |

Notes:
1 Purists require me'á. There is an optional construct מאת me'at.
2 This involves the true dual suffix $\square י$ - áyim (8.11). Jerusalem dialect pronounces it ma'atáyim.
3 These are semi-compounds (see note 24); the definite form is not שש המאות* *shesh ha-me'ot (six the hundreds) as in open phrases. Whereas the second element is the regular word for 'hundreds', the first is an exceptional form of the numerals 3 to 9 akin to those in 13 to 19.
4 These are akin to open phrases, hence ששת האלפים shéshet ha-alafim (six the thousand) 'the six thousand'. The second element is the regular word for 'thousands' but the first is the construct form of 3 to 10 (a unique use of these constructs). However, as the $\Omega$-et suffix becomes $ת$ ' $-t$ in casual speech (shlóshtalafim '3000'), all these forms may be reanalysed as כמה יתלפים כמפים......talafim, giving rise to substandard káma talafim ‘a few thousand', מלאן 'תלפים malan talafim 'umpteen thousand'.
5 All these phrases involve the masculine numeral + singular אלף élef. (Its singularity is due to a 'depluralizing' rule, see 39.12)
6 As a whole, the numbering of millions etc. involves no construct forms or peculiarities. Thus one can add אחד eHad as with a noun: מליון (אחד) milyon (eHad) 'one/a million' but not אלף אחד * *élef eHad ‘one thousand', מאה מחת * מאת *méa aHat ‘one hundred'; similarly חצי מליון Hatsi milyon 'half a million' but not חצי אלף**Hatsi élef 'half a thousand' etc.
מליון milyon, מליארד milyard remain singular with any numeral.
8 This is the American 'billion'.

## 8．9．5 Other features of cardinals

א $o$＇or＇can be dropped when one means＇or maybe＇：26
 Hamisha shisha（yamim etc．）＇five or six（days etc．）＇（．．．．（ימשה ששה（
－ה ha＇the＇in casual usage tends not to leapfrog to the last noun when this is a unit of measurement（＇ 30 Shekels＇being not 30 individual Shekels but a mea－ surement），thus：
ha－shloshim shékel
ha－méa kílo
＇the 100 kilos＇

השלושים שקל
המאה קילו
＇ $11 / 2,21 / 4$＇etc．are usually of the form：

| aHat va－Hétsi | ＇one and 〈a〉 half＇ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| shtáyim va－réva | ＇two and 〈a〉quarter＇ | שחת וחיים ורבע |

Similarly，when the fraction comes with a noun，the usual order is：${ }^{27}$
shnáyim va－Hétsi Hadarim＇two and 〈a〉half rooms＇שניים וחצי חדרים
But when definite，the＇whole number＇in the fraction tends to adopt neutral， i．e feminine form（rather than construct or even＇free＇masculine form）：
shalosh va－Hétsi ha－amudim $\begin{aligned} & \text { m．} \\ & \text { f．} \\ & \text { three and half the pages }\end{aligned}$＇the three and a half pages＇
Cardinals are used in neutral form as serial numbers：קוו עשר kav éser＇route 10’（contrast with הקוו העשירי ha－kav ha－asiri＇the tenth route＇），דוגמה שבע dugma shéva＇example 7＇，etc．The noun is juxtaposed，not in construct form． They also denote the day of the month（masculine，like the word יום yom＇day＇）， the year（feminine，like שנה shana＇year＇），and hours and minutes（the latter masculine，although דקה daka＇minute＇is feminine）．Ordinals too can denote the day of the month：

| ad shiv＇a be－merts／ha－shvi＇i be－merts m | עד שבעה במרץ／השביעי במרץ |
| :---: | :---: |
| till seven in March／the seventh in March | ＇till March 7＇ |
| me＇az shloshim ve－shéva | מאז שלושים ושבע |
|  | ＇since 37 （ $=1937$ ）＇ |

aHshav Hamisha le－Hamesh
m ．f．

עכשיו חמשה לחמש ＇It＇s now five（m．）to five（f．）＇
Pronoun numerals are of three kinds．כ kaH refers back to a stated num－ ber：
méa narkisim ve－od yoter mi－kaH kalaniyot מאה נרקיסים ועוד יותר מכך כלניות ＇a hundred daffodils and even more than that number of anemones＇

For an indefinite number כך וכך kaH ve-kaH is used:
tlushim be-shóvi shel kaH ve-kaH shkalim תלושים בשווי של כך וכך שקלים 'coupons with a value of so many (lit. such and such) shekels'
As part of a larger number כמה káma is employed:

'Hundreds (of...)' etc., i.e the imprecise numbers, are expressed by the regular plurals of the numerals: ${ }^{28}$ עשרות asrot 'tens', מאות me'ot 'hundreds', אלפים נר alafim 'thousands', $ר$ רבות revavot 'tens of thousands', מליונים milyónim 'millions'. When followed by a noun they are construct.

| bulim? yesh li káma asrot <br> stamps? are to-me a-few tens |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| me'ot rabanim ba'im ${ }^{29}$ | בולים! יש לי כמה עשרות |
| 'Stamps? I have a few score' |  |

8.10 Ordinals (1st, 2nd, etc.)

Ordinals from 1st to 10th are regular adjectives derived from the feminine cardinal numerals (except 1), with an ...i...+ suffixed -i pattern from 3rd to 10th:

| gamárti rishon | 'I finished 1st' | גמרתי ראשון |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sheni | 2nd | שני |
| shlishi | 3rd | שלישי |
| revi'i | 4th | רביעי |
| Hamishi | 5th | חמישי |
| shishi | 6th | שישי |
| shvi'i | 7th | שביעי |
| shmini | 8th | שמיני |
| tshi'i | 9th | תשיעי |
| asiri | 10th | עשירי |

The feminine form is שנייה rishona '1st', שאשונה shniya '2nd'; otherwise add ת- $t$.
For '11th' onwards, one employs the cardinal numerals (8.9) directly as ordinals, agreeing with their noun, eg.:
$\begin{array}{lr}\begin{array}{c}\text { ha-ish ha-esrim u-shlosha }{ }^{30} \\ \mathrm{~m} \\ \mathrm{~m} . \\ \text { the man the twenty and three }\end{array} & \\ \text { האיש העשרים ושלושה } \\ \text { (the } 23 \text { rd man' }\end{array}$
Note also בפעם המי-יודע-כמה ba-páam ha-mi yodéa káma 'for the umpteenth (lit. who knows how many) times'. These occur only with definite nouns, which rules out:
$*$ kol ish esrim

every man twenty | איש עשרים* |
| ---: |
| ('every 20 th man') |

## Digital vs. non-digital

There is thus a major divide between the numerals 1-10 ('digitals') and $11+$. Digitals are simpler in basic shape, yet have special construct forms, ordinal adjectives and obligatory gender distinction.
Note, however, that construct syntax is possible with numerals over 10 too:

> shlósh-me'ot ha-shanim three hundreds the years $\quad$ 'the three-hundred years'

The numerals from 11 upwards also allow 'plural-loss' (39.12) in certain nouns, eg. שלושים שנה shloshim shana 'thirty year [i.e years]'.

### 8.11 Dual

With time units, Hebrew expresses 'two' by the dual suffix יים--áyim, not by שתיים shtáyim:32

| shaatáyim | 'two hours' | שעתיים |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| yomáyim | 'two days' | שבועיים |
| shvu'áyim | 'two weeks' | שנתיים |

Strictly speaking, י-áyim generally denotes 'two consecutive (days etc.)'; thus:
hitsáti shney yamim aHerim
הצעתי שני ימים אחרים 'I suggested two other days'
A consequence of ייומיים -áyim being a numeral is the construction שעה שעתיים yomáyim shlosha (days-two three) 'two or three days', שלושה sha'a shaatáyim (hour hours-two) 'an hour or two', which is parallel to שתיים shtáyim shalosh dakot 'two or three minutes' (8.9). Nor is a further numeral allowed: שני יומיים***shey yomáyim (two days-two)

However, $\quad$-י-áyim is often just a plural suffix, notably with nouns for things typically in pairs: (שתי/שש) רגליים) \{shtey/shesh\} ragláyim 'ftwo/six\} legs'. See 39.11.

### 8.12 Individual quantifiers: further details

אחד eHad 'one': As a partitive (using construct or - mi- ), it is optionally definite by association with its definite noun, bence את et (5.1) may be used (optionally):
sha'álti (et) eHad me-ha-morim
I-asked (ом) one of the teachers

The same holds for כל אחד מ- אל kol eHad mi- 'each of', אח אחד מaf eHad
mi- 'none of', and possibly even שניים מ- shnáyim mi- 'two of'. It is also a pronoun denoting 'someone' (see 7.8).

כol with the meaning 'every/any' is used with indefinite nouns, and belongs with 'determiners' (9.2):

| eyn kol sakana | 'There isn't any danger' | אין כל סוג סכנה |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yesh kol sug | 'There's every type' |  |

עוד od, unlike other quantifiers, qualifies pronouns, eg. מי עוד mi od 'who else', עוד מישהו od míshehu 'someone else', and even already quantified nouns: עוד נמלה אחת od nemala aHat 'one more ant'.
'The least' is expressed by הכי מעט ha-Hi me'at (the most little) or especially often הכי פחות ha-Hi paHot (the most less). However, Hebrew does not allow הכי ha-Hi yoter (the most more) for 'the most'; instead one uses *הכי יותר (the most much) - a combination of the superlative particle (see ch. 20 on 'degree words') and the quantifier הרבה harbe 'much', eg.:
mi aHal ha-Hi harbe dag? 'Who ate the most fish?’ מי אכל הכי הרבה דג!
' ${ }^{2} p i+$ numeral expresses '... times as (many, much) ...', followed by the comparative conjunction מ-mi- or מאשר measher. The numeral is usually in neutral, i.e feminine, form; purists require the masculine:
yesh pi shalosh anashim measher...
there-are times three people than... 'There are three times as many people as...'

In this example it is inherently comparative; but it can itself take יותר yoter ‘more’ (never פחות paHot 'less'):

> yesh pi méa yoter neft measher... there-is times a-hundred more oil than...'There is a hundred times more oil $\begin{aligned} & \text { מאט מאר } \\ & \text { מאר } \\ & \text { than...' }\end{aligned}$

כפול kifláyim 'twice as (many)...' (formal) and its casual synonym kaful are inherently comparative with nouns:
kataft kaful tmarim miména
קטפת כמול תמרים ממנה you've-picked double dates than-her 'You've picked twice as many dates as her'

### 8.13 Qualifying the quantifier: -כ ke-‘about', לפחחות lefaHot ‘at least’, etc.

 Certain quantifers accept qualification by degree words or other quantifiers.מעט me'at 'little, few', הרבה harbe 'a lot, many' accept the same degree words (מאד me'od 'very', כל-כך kol-kaH 'so' etc.) as the related adjectives מעט me'at 'few', קצט rav 'much, many', unlike their near-synonyms קלב ktsat and hamon, which are not adjective-related in this way:

| harbe me'od késef a-lot very money | הרבה מאד כסף 'very much money' |
| :---: | :---: |
| kol-kaH me'at mazal so little luck | כל-כך מעט מזל 'so little luck' |

These and most other quantifiers and numerals accept עוד od 'more', as in עוד קצת od ktsat 'a little more', עוד הרב od harbe 'much more'.

The comparative quantifers יותר yoter 'more', יותר מחות paHot 'less', יות yoter miday 'too much' themselves take quantifiers (as do the comparative degree words (20.6)), which precede them:
\{od/harbe\} yoter késef $\left\{\right.$ still/much\} more money $\quad$ עוד/הרבה $\begin{array}{l}\text { יותר כסף }\end{array}$
ktsat yoter miday of
a-little too much chicken

By contrast, the 'precision quantifers' (i.e numerals and fractions, as against (יותר yoter 'more', etc.) can be qualified by a range of prepositions, focus adverbs (see ch. 22) and other adverbs, with varying syntactic results, as set out below.

Directly preceding the precision quantifier: ${ }^{33}$

| od |  | another |  |  | עוד |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| éze/ke- |  | some |  |  | איזה/כ- |
| karov le- |  | nearly |  |  | קרוב ל- |
| yoter mi |  | more than | twenty | עשרים | יותר מ- |
| me'al le- |  | over |  |  | מעל ל- |
| paHot mi- |  | less than |  |  | פחות מ- |

Directly preceding the quantifier or following the whole noun phrase (cf. 22.4): ${ }^{34}$

| nifgeshu im | kim'at lefaHot | élef ish | אלף איש | כממעות | נפגשו עם |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| they-met with | almost <br> at-least | a-thou |  |  |  |

Preceding the quantifier and any prepositions, or following the noun phrase:

| nifgeshu | beéreH/bekeruvbidiyukrakmínimum | בערך/בקירוב |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | עם אלף איש | נפגשו רק בדיוק |
| they-met | roughly <br> exactly with 1000 people only minimum |  |  |

### 8.14 Quantifiers as predicates

Though operative at most points where nouns are used (recall 8.1), quantifiers do not normally occur as predicate - except for numerals and the group of 'quantity adjectives' (8.15):

| baayotéynu hen | rabot/merubot ${ }^{35}$ meatot | רבות/מרובות מעטות | בעיותינו הן |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| our-problems are | many few |  |  |

### 8.15 Adjectives of quantity

The adjectives of quantity are as set out below. They are irregular adjectives rather than quantifiers.

| rav, raba, rabim, rabot | 'much, many' | רב, רבה, רבים, רב, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meruba, merubim, merubot | 'much, many' | מרובה, מרובים, מרובות |
| mu'at, muata, muatim, muatot | 'a \{little/few) | מועט, מועטה, מועטים, מועטות |
| meata, meatim, meatot ${ }^{36}$ | 'a (little/few) | מעטה, מעטים, מעטות |
| aHadim, aHadot | 'a few' | אחדים, אחדות |
| sfurim, sfurot | 'a few' | ספורים, ספורות |

Qualifying a noun, they (a) follow it, and (b) agree with it, in definiteness too:
yesh ahada meata there's sympathy little
ha-hashpa'a ha-raba asher raHash the influence the much which he-acquired

יש אהדה מעטה
'There's little sympathy'
ההשפעה הרבה אשר רכש 'the great infuence which he acquired'

Moreover, they are used as predicate too (unlike some quantifiers; see again note 35):
baayotay hen (rabot/merubot)
בעיותי הן גרבות/מרובות) my-problems are many

They take degree words and comparatives, just like adjectives:

...baal ha-hashpa'a ha-raba beyoter
...with the effect the great most

בעל ההשפעה הרבה ביותר...
'...with the greatest effect'
metoséynu hayu meatim mehem
מטוסינו היו מעטים מהם our-planes were few than-them 'Our planes were fewer than theirs' They need no noun, if one is plain from the context:

> hayu nisyonot? ken, hayu aHadim
> היו נסיונות: כן, היו אחדים
> there-were attempts? Yes, there-were a-few
> ratsínu lehakir anashim, aH lo hispáknu רצינו להכיר אנשים, אך לא הספקנו lehakir rabim להכיר רבים
> we-wanted to-know people, but we-didn't manage to-get-to-know many

But this construction is not possible with mass nouns: ${ }^{38}$
*ratsu hitkadmut, aH husga meata me’od רצו התקדמות, אך הושגה מעטה מאד* they-wanted progress, but was-achieved little very [= very little was achieved]
or where no specific noun is understood:
*asinu (rav/mu'at)
*עשינו ורב/מועט|
we-did (much/a little)
Instead, one uses the quantifiers רבה harbe, מעט meat (the latter being an uninflected quantifier as well an adjective). ${ }^{39}$

רב Unlike adjectives, (1) they are not used with concrete mass nouns, except rav in the sense of 'considerable': כשק רב , כésef, néshek rav 'considerable money, weaponry' but not חלב רב* *Halav rav 'considerable milk', גבינה* מעטה *gvina meata 'a little cheese'; and (2) they lack certain forms, as set out above

FURTHER READING
Glinert 1976a, 1977a, 1978; Grosu 1969: 45ff; Ornan 1979a: 81 ff .

## 9. Determiners

### 9.1 Introduction

Determiners are a small class of words, accompanying the noun and determining its precise identity or its very degree of identity. Examples are:

| ze | 'this, that' | זה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| éze | 'which?' | איזה |
| kólshehu | 'any' | כלשהו |
| kaze | 'such' | כזה |
| af | 'no' | אף |
| kol miney | 'all kinds of | כל מיני |
| me'en | 'a sort of' | מעין |

Determiners are distinct syntactically from nouns. The verb agrees with their accompanying noun, even though they themselves may often look like construct nouns and would as such have been expected to determine agreement:

| min klala rovétset aléha | מין קללה רובצת עליה |
| :---: | :---: |
| DET $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f}) .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f}$. | 'A kind of curse rests on her' |
| a-kind-of curse rests on-her |  |
| ikar ha-baaya niftera | עיקר הבעיה נפתרה |
| DEt N (f.) v (f.) | 'The basic problem was solved' |
| basis the problem was-solved |  |

Many determiners are themselves required to agree with their noun:

| oto ish אותו איש | אותה אשה |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m. m. | 'that man' | ota isha | f. f. |

Determiners are distinct from quantifiers, eg. הרוב ha-rov 'most', and adjectives in that they rarely occur without their noun (see 9.5).

A few other items have the syntax of determiners, although in meaning they amount to adjectives, eg. (casual):
hayu sham yófi shel naarot!
היו שם יופי של נערות!
v (pl.) DET N (pl.) there-were there beauty of girls
'There were beautiful girls there!'

### 9.2 Form and meaning

Determiners have no special shape or inflection. Some inflect (each in their own way) for gender and number, and some do not. This section gives the main morphological details, according to the semantic classes of determiner. Syntax is discussed in subsequent sections.
(a) Demonstratives, i.e 'this, that':

הt ze 'this' (here, just mentioned)' or 'that (there)';' The feminine singular forms are זאת zot, ir zo (F)/zu²; plural forms are אלה éle or אלוlu
הללו halálu 'these (here, just mentioned)' or 'those (there)':3 occurs in the plural only.
ha-hu 'that (there, then)': the feminine form is ההוא ha-hi; masculine plural ההם ha-hem; feminine plural ההן ha-hen (F).

אותו oto 'that (there, then, aforesaid) as in 'that other idea', 'that (familiar)' as in 'just one of those things': the feminine singular form is אותה ota; masculine plural אותם otam; feminine plural אותן otan (F). The plural is also used in the context 'those.. who...'.
(b) 'the same'

אותו oto (inflected like אותו oto above), as in:
kaninu otam kelim
we-bought (the) same dishes
(c) 'such'

כayotse ba-éle 'other such' occurs only in plural form:
méshek Hay katan ve-kayotse ba-éle shaashu'im משק n קטן וכrואא באלה שציעעים 'a small animal farm and other such amusements'
(d) Indeterminate: 'some..(or other)'

איזה are איזה éze, אי-אלו ey-élu (F) as in:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { bishvil éze mesiba } \\ \text { yesh laH éze hatsa'a? } & \text { 'for some party' } \\ \text { 'Do you have some suggestion?, בשביל איזה מסיבה }\end{array}$
איזה שהוא éze shehu: ${ }^{7}$ feminine singular forms are איזה שהיא éze shehi
 plural איזה שהן éze shehen (F). These are sometimes written as one word, without the final alef, eg. איזהשהו, in the same way as מישהו mishehu 'someone', כלשהו kólshehu 'any'. Alternatively, שהוא shehu etc. can follow the noun, which it regularly does as part of the expressions שלוא כol...shehu 'any... whatsoever', אף אף.... shehu 'none..whatsoever' (see type (i) below):
ézeshehen shitot
éze shitot shehen
'some methods or other'

כלשהו $k$ כלשו masculine plural כלשהם kólshehem; feminine plural כלשהן kólshehen (F).
tsariH latet tshuva kólshehi
צריך לתת תשובה כלשהי
'You have to give some answer'
(e) 'a certain'

אחד eHad (this is unstressed; when stressed, it means 'one'): feminine singular form אחת aHat; there is no plural.
(eze) shnórer eHad (איזה) שנורר אחד 'a certain scrounger'
yesh laH roman eHad beshem...?
יש לך רומן אחד בשם...? 'Do you have a certain novel called...'

זe (inflected like ze in type (a) ):
nigéshet elay ha-isha ha-zot ניגשת אלי האשה הזאת 'This woman comes up to me..'
(f) Ill-defined: 'a sort of...'

מין min is only used with singular nouns: ${ }^{9}$
(éze) $\min$ klala rovétset aléha
(איזה) מין קללה רובצת עליה
DET DET $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f}) .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f}$.
(some) sort-of curse rests on-her
כ כזה כaze (C): inflection and agreement are as with כזה kaze 'such' (type (c.)). $_{\text {(c) }}$ It is unstressed:
hu lavash kfafot kaéle
הוא לבש כפמות כאלה
'He wore gloves of some sort'
éze angli meshune kaze ${ }^{10}$
איזה אנגלי משונה כזה
'some sort of weird English guy'
Three determiners are drawn from the ranks of prepositions (with related meaning): כמו kmo," מעין me'en (F) and כעין ke'en (F) 'like'. A further kindred determiner is כמין ke-min. Examples of these forms used as determiners are:
hirgáshti kmo zérem me-ha-Hayalim ba-ulam הרגשתי כמו זרם מהחיילים באולם I-felt like [= a sort of] a-current from the soldiers in-the hall
huHlat al me'en pagrat káyits ba-siHot הוחלט על מעין פגרת קייץ בשיחות they-decided on like [ $=$ a sort of] summer recess in-the talks
hayta ke'en brit histórit
there-was like [= a sort of] historical alliance

As prepositions they occur in, eg.:

|  | kmo | כמו |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be-Héder | me'en ze mutkan manóa | זה מותקן | בחדר מעין |
|  | ke'en |  | כעין |

יש ב... mishum 'something of...' ( F$)^{12}$ is limited to the construction '...there is [or any other verb of being] in... something of a...', i.e '... constitutes something of $a \ldots .$. . This and the next three items are the only cases of $\operatorname{dET}+\mathrm{N}$ being restricted as to their function in the sentence:

| hayta ba-Hidush mishum sakana $v\left(f_{\text {f }}\right)$ <br> N(f.) | היתה בחידוש משום סכנה <br> 'The idea was something of danger' |
| :---: | :---: |
| AGREEMENT |  |
| there-was in-the idea something- |  |

Similarly, בגגדר be-géder, בחינת bHinat, בבחינת bi-vHinat (F) 'in the nature of... ${ }^{13}$ are found only with a noun predicating a verb of being:
dvarav hem bHinat shvu'at shav
his-words are in-the-nature-of oath false
(g) 'the very...' (only with abstract nouns)

עצם étsem ${ }^{14}$ is used, as in:
étsem ha-maHshava margiza oti $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f}) \quad .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f}$.
very the thought angers me
(h) 'the main, the basic'
ikar ${ }^{15}$ is used, as in:
ikar he-arim
דבריו הם בחינת שבועת שווא 'His words are in the nature of a false oath'

עיקר הערים 'the main cities'
ikar ha-sheela sovévet sviv...

$$
\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f} .) \quad \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f} .)
$$

basis the question revolves around... 'The basic question revolves around...'
(i) Generalizing (see also 29.9 on 'negative words')

כל kol with indefinite nouns denotes (1) 'every, each', and (2), in negatives, questions and conditionals (i.e non-assertive clauses), 'any' (F):

| ra'íti kol shinuy | 'I saw every change' | ראיתי כל שינוי כל שינוי.. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| im ra'íti kol shinuy... | 'If I saw any change..' |  |

With definite nouns, by contrast, כלכ ha-kol, is best considered a quantifier (see 8.5) meaning 'all, the whole of', and in such cases it needs no noun, unlike most determiners:
ra'íti hakol 'I saw everything' ראיתי הכל
כלשהו kólshehu 'any' means 'absolutely any' in 'assertive' clauses and 'any' (= כלשהו kol) in negatives, questions and conditionals. It inflects like kólshehu of type (d):

יש שינוי כלשהו? 'Is there any change?'

- שהו -shehu 'whatsoever' and the next item below are optional 'tags' to nouns already qualified by איזה éze 'some', כל kol 'any' and (in the case of שהוא --shehu) או af or שום shum 'no'. The feminine singular form is שהיא shehi; masculine plural שהם shehem; feminine plural שהן shehen (F).

| éze hatsa'a shehi | 'so |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{af/shum\} hatsa'a shehi | 'no propos | \}אף/שום\{ הצעה שהיא |
| ol hatsa'a shehi | 'any proposal whatsoever | לרצעה שריא |

she-lo yiye 'whatsoever' (literally 'that there will not be') inflects יהיה yiye as in its normal role as future tense verb. The feminine singular form is תהיה tiye; the plural is יהיו yiyu:
kaH éze kaftor she-lo yiye
קח איזה כפתור שלא יהיה
take some switch that not will-be
'Take any switch whatsoever'
(i) 'all sorts of'

כל מיני kol miney ${ }^{17}$ is related to min 'a sort of' (type (f.)) and the noun מין min 'sort':
kol miney kushiyot olot tamid כל מיני קושיות עולות תמיד
$\mathrm{N}($ f.pl.) $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}$.) 'All sorts of problems always arise'
agreement
all sorts problems arise always
(k) ' $X$ sorts of'

Quantifiers + מיני/סוגי miney/sugey ‘sorts of’ combine to make a determiner, when the noun is plural. ${ }^{18}$ Note the agreement of the verb with the noun:
shney sugey ha-parot adáyin nimtsa'ot kan שני סוגי הפרות עדיין נמצאות כאן $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}) \quad .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}$.$) \quad 'The two sorts of cows still exist here'$
(1) Negatives (details are given in 29.9, 29.11)

שום shum 'no', אף af 'not a single..':
lo matsáti $\begin{aligned} & \text { shum iparon } \\ & \text { af }\end{aligned}$
'I didn't find $\underset{\text { a single }}{\text { any }}$ pencil'
(m) Interrogative and exclamatory

איזה éze ‘which? (i.e out of a number of known alternatives)', 'what?', 19 'what (a)...!' in casual usage is uninflected, but formal usage employs the feminine singular form איזו ézo, and plural אילו éylu (very formal):
éze teruts yesh lo? איזה תירוץ יש לו! 'What excuse does he have?'

איזה מין éze min 'what sort of...’ optionally has a feminine singular form איזו מין

איזו מין שנה תצמח פה? 'What sort of sleep will sprout here?'
( n ) Descriptive (non-indentificatory)
Casually, the abstract adjectival nouns יופי yófi "beauty', מותק mótek 'sweetness', חומד Hómed 'cuteness' and שגעון shiga'on 'lunacy' themselves act like adjectives meaning 'beautiful, sweet, cute, incredible'; but syntactically they are like determiners (particularly in exclamations): they precede a noun and this noun controls agreement. ${ }^{20}$ For example:

| yófiéze mótek shelbaHura hayta <br> Hómed baHurot hayu sháma! | שמה! | איזה מותק של בחורה היתה חומד בוּ בחורות היו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { beauty } \\ & \text { what } \begin{array}{l}\text { sweetness of } \\ \text { cuteness }\end{array} \text { girl was } \\ & \text { girls were }\end{aligned}$ there! | 'What (a) | beautiful $\begin{aligned} & \text { girl was } \\ & \text { sweet } \\ & \text { cute } \\ & \text { girls were }\end{aligned}$ there!' |

## (o) Superlative

מיטב ,מבחר meytav, ${ }^{21}$ mivHar ${ }^{22}$ 'the best of...' and מירב meyrav 'the maximum...' are used as in:
mivHar ha-megilot shmurot ba-martef
מבחר המגילות שמורות במרתף $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}) .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{pl}$.$) \quad 'The best scrolls are kept in the basement'$
best-of the scrolls are-kept in-the basement
kdey le-hafik et meyrav ha-to'élet כדי להפיק את מירב התועלת
so-as to derive ом maximum the use
'so as to derive the maximum use'

### 9.3 The accompanying noun

### 9.3.1 Definite or indefinite

Most determiners (unlike adjectives) are limited to either a definite or an indefinite noun, ${ }^{23}$ generally depending on their semantic type Following the examples, certain aspects are discussed in detail:

Examples of determiners with definite nouns only:
type a ha-adam hahu 'that guy' . האדם החוא
(in part): ha-anashim halálu ${ }^{24}$ 'these people' האנשים הללו
ha-talmid denan 'the foregoing student' התלמיד דנן
type g, h: \{étsem/ikar\} ha-baaya 'the \{very/main\} problem' ועצם/עיקרו הבעיה)
type o: meytav ha-sfarim 'the best books' מיטב הספרים

| Examples of deter type c: | ish kaze | nouns only: 'such a man' | איש כזה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| type d: | éze témbel | 'some idiot' | איזה טמבל |
| type e: | shaHen eHad | 'a certain neighbour' | שכן אחד |
| type $\mathrm{f}^{25}$ | min shastom | 'a sort of valve' | מין שסתום |
| type i: | kol sheela shehi | 'any question' | כל שאלה שהיא |
| type j : | kol miney barvazim | 'all sorts of ducks' | כל מיני ברווזים |
| type 1 : | shum safam | 'no moustache' | שום שפם ברו |
| type m: ${ }^{26}$ | éze katse | 'which end' | איזה קצה |
| type n : | yófi shel meil | 'a beautiful coat' | יופי של מעיל |

Examples of determiners used with either definite or indefinite nouns (only in type k does this affect the meaning):

| type a | ha-talmid ha-ze ${ }^{27}$ | 'this student' | התלמיד הזה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in | mi |  | תלמיד זה |
|  | otam (ha-)mikrim | 'those cases' | אותם (ה)מקר |
| type b: | am (ha-)shemot | 'the same names' | bert |
| type k : | shney miney (ha-)k | '(the) two sorts of spo | (ה) |

## When the determiner agrees in definiteness

When a determiner with a definite noun precedes this noun (see 9.4 for details), the definite article precedes the noun as usual (as when a quantifier precedes the noun):
otam ha-shemot אותם השמות
same the names 'the same names'
éle ha-dapim

these the pages $\quad$| אלה הדפים these pages |
| ---: |

But when following a definite noun, determiners must have a - ה ha- of their own: ${ }^{28}$
ha-daf ha-ze הדף הזה
the page the this
ha-dapim ha-hem הדפים ההם
the pages the-they 'those pages

## Semantic definiteness with no et

The definite object marker את et does not occur before phrases of the type שיא זה size 'this record', שיאים אלה si'im éle 'these records', even though they are semantically definite:
leaHar she-kaváta (*et) si’im éle.. לאחר שקבעת (את) שיאים אלה after you-set (*Ом) records these.. 'After you set these records...'
Conversely, את et tends to be used (except by purists) before אותו oto 'that, same' even with no - ha-:29


## Restrictions on demonstratives

With demonstratives, the definite noun can be a proper name (אותו איינשטיין oto áynshtayn 'that Einstein') but not, as in example (1) below, noun + possessive, nor, as in example (2), any other 'open construct
phrase' (see 6.5). An open construct phrase is one equivalent to 'the..of the..' as against a mere idiomatic compound:

*oto rishumi
that drawing-my
(2) *haftsatsat ha-kfarim ha-zot
bombing the villages the this
*bney ha-kibuts ha-éle ${ }^{31}$
members the kibbutz the these

* אותו רישומי 'that drawing of mine'
*הפצצת הכפרים הזאת 'this bombing of the villages'
*בני הקיבוץ האלה
'these members of the kibbutz'

Instead, של shel 'of' must be interposed between the nouns, and the determiner follows the first noun, eg.:
ha-rishum ha-ze sheli
the drawing the this of-me
הרישום הזה שלי
'this drawing of mine'

### 9.3.2 Singular or plural noun

Most determiners allow either a singular or a plural noun, but a few are idiosyncratically restricted.

The following require a singular noun: משום mishum 'something of', כמין kemin 'a sort of', מין min 'a sort of' (with plural nouns one uses מיני miney 'sorts of'), עעצם étsem 'the very', כל kol 'each, every' (... כל kol ha-... 'all the..' is used with plurals), כל kol 'no' (usually), אףaf'no' (it requires countable singulars).
The following require a plural: הללו halálu 'these', כיוצא באלה kayotse ba-éle 'other such', כל מיני kol miney 'all sorts of'.

### 9.4 Positioning the determiner

Vis-à-vis the noun. Most determiners precede their noun (as do most quantifiers). The exceptions are somewhat arbitrary: Those which follow the noun are: זה ze 'this', הללו halálu 'these', ההוא hahu 'that' (but אותו oto 'that' precedes), אחד eHad 'a certain', כלשהו kólshehu 'any', שהוא shehu 'some ...or other', שלא יהיה she-lo yiye 'whatsoever' (איזהשהו ézeshehu 'some..or other' can follow or precede), שכזה shekaze 'such' (כזה kaze 'such' can follow or, in casual usage, even precede).

Vis-à-vis other words. Determiners and other modifiers of the noun generally observe the 'degree of closeness'33 to the noun indicated in the diagram, whether preceding or following it:


Examples are:
rov otan menorot
PART. DET.
most those lamps
kol otam milyon ha-boHarim she..
PART. DET. AMOUNT
all those million the voters that...
efsharut noséfet aHat kazot אפשרות נוספת אחת כזאת
ADJ. AMOUNT DET.
possibility added one such

רוב אותן מנורות
'most of those lamps'

כל אותם מליון הבוחרים ש... 'all those million voters who...'
'one such added possibility’

### 9.5 Determiners with no noun: ההוא hahu 'that one', כל מיני kol miney 'all sorts'

A characteristic of most determiners is that they cannot omit their noun, unlike quantifiers or adjectives, for example:
éle ha-rishumim shelaH? *gam li yesh éze אלה הרישומים שלך? *גם לי יש איזה DET.
(.......()
'Are these your sketches? I also have some sketches' as against:
...gam li yesh káma
QUANT.
also to-me is a-few
...li yesh katan ve-gadol
ADJ. ADJ.
...to-me is small and big
Further examples of the need for a noun with the determiner are:

'They have so much oil and we do not have any oil'
laH yesh teyp? *li yesh oto ( $(\ldots$...oto teyp) לך יש טיימ! *לי יש אותו (....אותו טייפ) 'You have a tape-recorder? I have the same tape-recorder'
Nor does Hebrew have the equivalent of the pronoun 'one' (as in 'the same one, this one'); the noun must be repeated. ${ }^{34}$

There are a few idiosyncratic exceptions that do omit their noun: ההוא hahu 'that', כזכ kaze 'such' (but not meaning 'a sort of'), כלשהו kólshehu 'any', איזה שלא יהיה éze she-lo yiye ‘any', איזה éze ‘which?’ (but not exclamatory 'what a...!'), כל מיני kol miney 'all sorts of, eg.:

hahi lo ovédet<br>ha-taba'ot tovot? az ten li ka'éle the washers good? then give me such

mikserim? yesh kol miney

ההיא לא עובדת 'That (woman) doesn't work'

הטבעות טובות: אז תן לי כאלה 'Are the washers good? Then give me some like that'

מיקסרים! יש כל מיני
'Mixers? There are all sorts'

## True pronouns

Certain determiners have a second function as true pronouns. For example, A ze 'this' and its inflections can be pronouns:
ten li kasda aHéret, ani sone et zot תן לי קסדה אחרת, אני שונא את זאת
'Give me another helmet, I hate this (one)'
ha-baaya hi zot
הבעיה היא זאת
'The problem is this'
There are several indications that this is a pronoun. (1) Unlike the determiner הקסדה הזאת ha-: H ה- ze and unlike noun-less adjectives, it cannot take ha-kasda ha-zot (the helmet the this, with the determiner zot) 'this helmet' as against אני שונא את ani sone et (*ha-)zot (I hate (*the) this). (2) In the first example above, the pronoun ret zot is introduced by the 'definite object' marker אסת et; were it a determiner, as in קסה זאת kasda zot 'this helmet', it would be considered indefinite and would not allow את et (see 9.3.1). ${ }^{35}$ Additionally, הזה ha-ze and its inflections are used very casually as a regular noun meaning 'that/those thing(s)':
ten li et ha-ze 'Give me that thing' . תן לי את הזה

Plural כאלה ka'éle is like a pronoun in being able to act as an antecedent to a relative clause, i.e 'some who..., some which...' (in the same way as - שr ze she- 'the one who', אלה ש éle she- 'those who'):

> balátot? yesh ka'éle she-nidbakot maher בלטות! יש כאלה שנדבקות מהר tiles? there-are such [= some] that stick quickly

By contrast, as a determiner it would mean 'such': בלטות כאלה balátot ka'éle 'such tiles'.
Plural אותם otam 'those' (F) is used without a noun, as an antecedent to a relative clause: 'those who...'; this is a pronoun, not a determiner implying a particular noun previously mentioned:
hatsaga zo mumlétset le-otam she-eynam הצגה זו מומלצת לאותם שאינם ohavim sratim אוהבים סרטים
'This show is recommended for those who do not like films'

### 9.6 Clauses complementing a determiner: ...............kmo..'the same...as...'

Many determiner+noun expressions are followed by a relative clause, eg. .... ha-adam ha-ze she.. 'that man who...'. Of course, the relative clause depends on there being a noun, not on the determiner. However, as illustrated below, אותו oto 'the same' and כזו kaze 'such' are two determiners that can be followed by a clause specifically complementing them.

אותו oto 'the same' is complemented by a clause beginning with כמו kmo 'like' + the conjunction $-ש$ she- 'that', rather as in a comparative clause (see 20.4): ${ }^{36}$

is to-me same car like that is to-you $\begin{aligned} & \text { that is to Nissim }\end{aligned}$ 'I have the same car as you have',
כזה kaze 'such' is complemented by a clause beginning with - שhe-, the conjunction that introduces most subordinate clauses. This is not a relative clause but a result clause, just as in .... כל־כך טוב kol kaH tov she... 'so good that....' (see 20.5). Note that araze 'such' has two meanings, one amounting to 'so' (the counterpart of exclamatory איזה éze 'what a...!') and the other amounting to 'of such a type' (counterpart of איזה éze 'which?'):
hu idyot kaze she-enéni medaber elav he idiot such that I-not speak to-him

הוא אידיוט כזה שאינני מדבר אליו
'He is such an idiot that I don't speak to him'
yesh sidur kaze she-ani ken yaHol linsóa יש סידור כזה שאני כן יכול לנסוע there's arrangement such that I indeed can go
'There's an arrangement such that I can indeed go'

Glinert 1977a, b, 1982a; Kaddari 1982; Ornan 1968, 1979a: 53, 82; Rosén 1966a: 51f, 283, 1977: 117ff, 160ff; Sadka 1981: 103ff.

## 10. Adjectives as modifiers

### 10.1 Introduction

Adjectives are used both attributively, i.e qualifying a noun (the noun + adjective together just form a phrase), and predicatively (the adjective is predicate and completes the sentence): ${ }^{1}$

Attributive:<br>ha-yaréaH ha-atsuv the moon the sad<br>הירח העצוב<br>'the sad moon'<br>\section*{Predicative:}<br>ha-yaréaH atsuv<br>הירח עצוב<br>the moon sad<br>'The moon is sad'

This chapter describes adjective phrases in general and the syntax of attributive adjectives in particular. For predicative adjectives, see chapters 16 and 17. For the form of all adjectives and their inflection, see chapter 41.

The distinction between adjective, noun and verb is described in 41.2. Adjectives are fairly distinct from verbs in not having past and future tense inflection, for example:
eye gadol
ADJ
egdal
v

Admittedly, they can easily have the same form as nouns; but (example (1) below) they do not take possessive suffixes; as predicates they can be used impersonally with no subject as in (2); and they do not need (but can have) a copula הוא, היא, הם hu, hi, hem to express 'is, are', as in example (3):
(1) aniyéynu 'our poor' עניינו N ! SUFF
*aHeréynu vha-aHerim shelánu ADJ
others-our
zkenéynu ‘our old' זקנינו
N! SUFF
*אחרינו צהאחרים שלנו 'our other ones'
(2) ani ro'e she-kvar ayefim

I see that already tired and not:
*ani ro'e she-kvar horim

I see that already parents
(3) avazim ksherim All adjectives can be used attributively but few nouns. ${ }^{2}$

### 10.2 Positioning of adjectives

In general, adjectives directly follow the noun they are qualifying except when the noun is part of a construct noun phrase, in which case the adjective must follow the whole noun phrase:
maase mevadéaH

incident amusing \begin{tabular}{r}

| $n$ |
| ---: |
| מעשה מבד | <br>


| sipur yeladim mevadéaH |
| :--- |
| CONSTRUCT PHR m.s. |
| story children amusing | <br>

\end{tabular}

As an adjective can qualify either the final noun in such construct phrases or a preceding noun, ambiguity sometimes arises when adjective agreement offers no clue:


However, where a של shel 'of' phrase is used instead of a construct phrase (ch. 6 ), the adjective must follow the noun it qualifies:
ha-memshala ha-ara'it shel ha-medina הממשלה הארעית של המדינה the government the provisional of the state 'the provisional government of the state'

If there is a determiner or quantifier after the noun, it generally follows the adjective (if any):

## Determiner:

תוכנית חדשה זו/
/ha-toHnit ha-Hadasha ha-zot /התוכנית החדשה הזאת/
program new this/the program the new the this
'this new program'
alim metim ka'éle

leaves dead such $\quad$| 'such dead leaves' |
| ---: |
| מתים |

## Quantifier:

aHbar iver eHad
mouse blind one
עכבר עיוור אחד
'one blind mouse'

However, any words for 'this, that' involving - ha- 'the', and the word אחד eHad 'one', can instead precede the adjective:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-toHnit ha-zot ha-Hadasha } \\
& \text { the program the this the new } \\
& \text { התוכנית הזאת החדשה", } \\
& \text { aHbar eHad iver } \\
& \text { mouse one blind }
\end{aligned}
$$

Casual Hebrew uses מותק של mótek shel ‘sweetness of’, חומד של Hómed shel 'niceness of', יופי של yófi shel 'beauty of', שיגעון של shiga'on shel 'madness of (and a few other nouns) as uninflected adjectives preceding the noun:
hayu li yófi shel naaláyim
pl. m.s. f.pl
היו לי יופי של נעליים
there-were to-me beauty of shoes

### 10.3 Adjective agreement ${ }^{3}$

Attributive adjectives agree in gender, number and definiteness with their noun: ${ }^{4}$

| sir Hum m.s. m.s. pot brown | סיר חום <br> 'a brown pot' | sira Huma <br> f.s. f.s. boat brown | סירה חומה <br> 'a brown boat' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-sir ha-Hum m.s. m.s. the pot the brown | הסיר החום <br> 'the brown pot' | ha-sirot ha-Humot <br> f.pl f.pl the boats the brow | הסירות החומות brown boats' |

By contrast, predicative adjectives agree in gender and number but not in definiteness - this indeed is the commonest way of distinguishing a phrase from a full sentence:
ha-sir ha-Hum ha-sir Hum הסיר החום bo nor the pot the brown 'the brown pot' vs. the pot brown 'the pot is brown'

### 10.4 Multiple adjectives: stacking

Two (occasionally more) attributive adjectives can be combined by 'stacking' or by coordination. Adjectives of disparate semantic type are combined by stacking, i.e one simply follows the other without pause, comma or link-word, like 'layers' of adjectives: ${ }^{5}$
betoH mita ktana smuHa le-mitati
in bed small close to my-bed
ha-tnu'a ha-avirit ha-pnimit the traffic the aerial the internal

בתוך מיטה קטנה סמוכה למיטתי
'in a small bed close to my bed' 'the internal air traffic'

This can be analysed as:
[ [ [ Noun] ADJ] ADJ]

Most such combinations simply cannot use coordination as an alternative, owing to the very disparateness of the adjectives. ${ }^{6}$ Stacking is required:

| ha-balash ha-prati ha-yaHid | הבלש הפרטי היחיד 'the only private detective' |
| :---: | :---: |
| mumiya mitsrit atika | מומיה מצרית עתיקה ancient Egyptian mummy' |

The first stacked adjective is often a noun-based adjective such as that in הפעלה bHira parlamentárit 'parliamentary election', החעירה פרלמנטרית הצעה מצרית hafala yadanit 'manual operation', הנית לדת hatsa'a mitsrit 'Egyptian proposal'. This is easily paraphrased using a noun:

| bHirat parlament <br> election [of] parliament |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| בחירת פרלמנט <br> hafalat yad <br> operation [of] hand |  |
| הצעת מצריים <br> hatsa'at mitsráyim <br> proposal [of] Egypt |  |

and so almost always occurs attributively rather than predicatively (see 10.6):
*ha-hatsa’a mitsrit ההצעה מצרית*
'The proposal (is) Egyptian'
It will thus be stacked closest to the noun; indeed, adjectives corresponding to a subject or object noun will precede adjectives corresponding to an adverb:

> ha-bHira ha-parlamentárit ha-yardénit הבחירה הפרלמנטרית הירדנית 'the election of Parliament in the election the parliamentary the Jordanian Jordan'

Adjectives particularly associated with the noun will also take precedence; superlatives (including האחרון ha-aHaron 'the last', היחיד ha-yaHid 'the sole') and ordinals (eg. השישי ha-shishi 'the 6th') are last of all.

For contrast's sake, however, the order of adjectives can change (example (1) below) and even adjectives of similar semantic type will be stacked rather than coordinated, as seen in examples $(2,3)$ :
(1) ha-hatsa'a ha-Hadasha ha-mitsrit the proposal the new the Egyptian
(2) ha-kélev ha-gadol ha-lavan the dog the large the white
(3) ha-kélev ha-lavan ha-gadol the dog the white the large

ההצעה החדשה המצרית 'the new Egyptian proposal'

הכלב הגדול הלבן
'the large white dog'
הכלב הלבן הגדול
'the large white dog'

### 10.5 Multiple adjectives: coordination

Adjectives of like semantic type are generally combined by coordination. ו ve- 'and' can be omitted as in general coordination (35.2); to cut the final ו וe- is typically literary (final example):

| kélev gadol ve-lavan dog big and white | כלב גדול ולבן 'a big white dog' |
| :---: | :---: |
| anashim rogzim va-alutim people angry and dark | אנשים רוגזים ועלוטים 'dark angry people’ |
| panav ha-gasim, he-avim ve-ha-metumtamim kim'a face-his the crude, the thick and the stupid slightly | פניו הגסים, העבים והמטומטמים קמעה 'his crude, thick, slightly stupid face' |
| aviv raH, aroH me'od, nifla bimyuHad spring soft, long very, wonderful particularly | אביב רך, ארוך מאד, נפלא במיוחד a soft, very long, particularly wonderful Spring' |

However, the definition of what counts here as 'like semantic type' is complex: for example, that a dress is 'lovely' is not as newsworthy a quality as its being 'green', hence שמלה יפה ירוקה simla yafa yeruka ‘a lovely green dress' without תוכנית יפה ואנושית toHnit yafa ve-enoshit 'a lovely and humane programme'. Similarly, colours tend to follow other adjectives but 'newsworthiness' can affect this.

## 10.6 'Attributive only’ adjectives

Certain types of adjective are generally only attributive - however, they otherwise behave no differently from others. Five types can be distinguished.

Types 1 and 2 below can be explained as 'transferred epithets': the adjective is semantically equivalent to an adverbial or noun, thus it does not characterize its noun and cannot act predicatively.
(1) Adverbial adjectives

| ha-yoshev-rosh ha-noHeHi (*hu noHehi) | 'the current chairman' 'He is current' | היושב-ראש הנוכחי (*הוא נוכחי) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be-hizdamnut kodémet | 'on a previous occasion' | בהזדמנות קודמת |
| yoresh efshari | 'a possible successor' | יורש אפשרי |
| ha-nasi ha-manóaH | 'the late president' | השיא המנוח |

as against:
hi rakdanit tova
ha-rakdanit ha-zot tova

(2) Noun-like adjectives

Most noun-based adjectives have a suffix $\quad--i$. Qualifying a noun, they are often
equivalent to a construct genitive (recall 10.4):

| kénes miflaga $\sim$ kénes miflagti | כנס מפלגה ~ כנס מפלגתי <br> 'a party congress' |
| :---: | :---: |
| siHat télefon $\sim$ siHa telefónit | שיחת טלפון ~ שיחה טלפונית |
| AD | 'a pho |

Only occasionally are they predicative, mostly in technical usage:
ha-kénes hu miflagti
הכנס הוא מפלגתי
'The congress is a party congress'
ha-imunim hayu tsvaiim
'The training was military'
Alternatively, the adjective may have another commonly predicative sense:

| sheela Hukit | 'a legal question' (= 'pertaining to or allowed by law') |
| :--- | ---: |
| na-sheela Hukit |  |
| השאלה חוקית |  |

One type of noun-based adjective (condemned by purists) is often equivalent to the subject of a sentence:

| ha-hatkafa ha-romit | ההתקפה הרומית <br> 'the Roman attack' |
| :---: | :---: |
| i.e |  |
| ha-hatkafa bidey ha-romaim | ההתקפה בידי הרומאים 'the attack by the Romans' |
| ha-siHot ha-luviyot-marokáiot | השיחות הלוביות-מרוקאיות 'the Lybian-Moroccan talks' |

## i.e

ha-siHot beyn...
השיחות בין...
'the talks between...'
No predicative use is possible:
*ha-hatkafa hayta romit 'the attack was Roman’ ההתקפה היתה רומית**
atsmi 'self' is equivalent to a sentence subject or object:
limud atsmi 'self-learning' לימוד עצמי
sherut atsmi 'self-service' שירות עצמי
(3) 'Degree word'-like adjectives (cf. ch. 20):

| ta'ut gmura | 'a complete mistake' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Haver tov | (a good friend' |  |
|  | (= very much a friend) |  |

(4) Determiner-like adjectives (cf. ch. 9)

| yéled mesuyam | 'a certain boy' | ילד מסויים |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-siba ha-ikarit | 'the main cause' | הסיבה העיקרית |
| séret aHer | 'another film' | סרט אחר |

(5) Miscellaneous

| ha-délet ha-(lo) neHona | 'the (in)correct door' | הדלת ה) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be-shlav rishon | 'at the first stage' |  |

### 10.7 Restrictive and non-restrictive

Like relative clauses (ch. 33), most attributive adjectives can be restrictive or non-restrictive (meaning and context permitting), as in English: 'colourful Brazilians' may be referring to some Brazilians, i.e restrictive, or to all Brazilians, i.e non-restrictive The ambiguity of the following Hebrew examples is often avoided by stressing the restrictive adjective, but this is not essential; and written Hebrew does not generally take any evasive action:
Restrictive אשתו האנגליה ha-angliya
ishto ha
his-wife the English (not his other) wife'
'his English
me'ot zkenim adukim מאות זקנים אדוקים 'hundreds of pious (as against other) elders'

Non-restrictive
ishto ha-angliya אשתו האנגליה his-wife the English
'his wife, who is English'
me'ot zkenim adukim מאות זקנים אדוקים 'hundreds of pious (naturally!) elders'

### 10.8 Semi-compounds: השנות-טובות ha-shanót-tovot'the New Year cards'

Casual Hebrew treats some 'noun + adjective' combinations as semicompounds, as if they were felt to express a single indivisible concept.
Graphically, they are hyphenated; grammatically, they take just one - ha'the', before the first word while, however, still inflecting each compound element with gender-number suffixes:
ha-shaná-tova $\sim$ ha-shanót-tovot
f.s. f.s.
the year good
the years good

This particular example and a few other semi-compounds are even distinct phonetically from noun+adjective phrases, by stressing just the first word (the noun $)^{7}$ and thus creating a contrast with, eg.:

Further examples (those marked on the first word are commonly stressed there) may very often be a semi-compound:

| mazál-tov | 'congratulations' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| kipá-sruga | 'knitted skullcap'8 | מגל-טון-דוד-אדום |
| magen-david-adom | 'ambulance service' |  |
| yéled-tov | 'goody-goody' |  |

or sometimes a semi-compound:

| et-novéa | 'fountain pen' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| or-yarok | 'green light' (go-ahead) |  |

Literary/technical usage sometimes hyphenates a noun+adjective (as an alternative to quotation marks) to give the impression of a distinct concept, as in example (1) below. But where there is $-\pi h a$ - 'the', it must be on both parts, and we no longer have a semi-compound, as in (2):
(1) teurim shel Hayatiut-enoshit
descriptions of bestiality-human
(2) ha-Hayatiut ha-enoshit the bestiality the human

תיאורים של חייתיות-אנושית
'descriptions of human bestiality'

החייתיות האנושית
'the human bestiality'

### 10.9 The overall adjective phrase

Which types of words accompany adjectives, to form adjective phrases, depends on whether the adjective is predicate of the clause or just attribute of a noun. When predicate, it can take a genitive, a degree word, an object, and a large range of adverbials:
hu me'od ge'e ba-méshek aHshav הוא מאד גאה במשק עכשיו
DEGREE Obj ADV word
he very proud of-the farm now
'He's very proud of the farm now'
When attribute, the adjective phrase is kept fairly simple: it can involve genitives and degree words (these being tightest to the adjective structurally, see ch.6), but few types of objects and few adverbials, except where the adjective is a past participle Thus:
with construct genitives:
dardakim shzufey-shémesh u-kHuley-eynáyim
CONSTRUCT
Construct
ADJ
with degree words: ${ }^{9}$
hu-tinok me'od atsbani

# ramat miskal gvoha min ha-memutsa 

רמת משכל גבוהה מן הממוצע 'a higher than average level of intelligence'
Most objects are ruled out: ${ }^{10}$

> *mats'u me'il shayaH laH
> *מצאו מעיל שייך לך 'They found a coat belonging to you'
> *tish'al míshehu nasuy le-yisraelit
> *תשאל מישהו נשוי לישראלית 'Ask someone married to an Israeli'

Most adverbials are ruled out: ${ }^{11}$
*yesh la tinok tamid atsbani $\quad$ 'She has an always irritable baby


However, adjectives acting as true past participles of verbs may take objects and adverbials: ${ }^{12}$

horénu lahem linHot be-téHnika
mekubélet ba-avir PART ADVERBIAL
'We instructed them to land by a technique accepted in the air'

### 10.10 Noun-less ('headless') adjectives

Adjectives can optionally forgo a nucleus noun when this has just been mentioned - and they agree with whatever their nucleus noun would have been, as in (1) below. They can be definite or indefinite (2): ${ }^{13}$
(1) ra'íti shtey toHniyot be-emtsa ha-láyla. ראיתי שתי תוכניות באמצע הלילה. f.pl.
ha-rishona aska be..
הראשונה עסקה ב...
f.s.
'I saw two programmes in the middle of the night.
The first dealt with...'
(2) ha-tmarim nora yekarim. im eyn yoter zolim... ..... התמרים נורא יקרים. אם אין יותר זולים m.pl. m.pl.

A case of anticipation of the noun is the (formal) superlative construction:

| -svuHa ba-baayot hi ha-aliya | הסבוכה בבעיות היא העליה |
| :---: | :---: |
| f.s. f.pl. | (= הבעיה הסבוכה ביותר...) |
| (= ha-baaya ha-svuHa beyoter...) |  |
| the thorny among-the problems is immigration | 'The most thorny problem is immigration' |

ha-yedu'a she-bahen hayta neHáma láybovits
f.s. f.pl.
the well-known that among-them was
Nechama Leibowitz
הידעעה שבהן היתה
נחמה לייבוביץ
'The best known of them was Nechama Leibowitz'

A kindred construction, restricted to a few adjectives, is the following:


## Non-contextualized omission

As subject or predicate in certain constructions, a masculine singular adjective can denote an abstract 'something -, the - thing', with no foregoing noun implied:

| ha-muzar ve-ha-m hu she-hiskímu | המוזר והמעניין |
| :---: | :---: |
| the odd and the interesting (in-the thing) is that they-agreed | 'The odd and interesting thing is that they agreed' |
| - ha-ratson leharshim 'The similar/different 〈thing) in the | הדומה/השונה בשני המקרים הרצון להרשים <br> (is) the desire to impress' |
| lo haya be-oto shavúa patéti yoter mi-tguva meumétset be-miktsat la-dádaizm | לא היה באותו שבוע פתטי יותר מתגובה מאומצת במקצת לדאדאיזם |

'There was not that week (anything) more pathetic than a slightly strained reaction to Dadaism'

## Adjective-based nouns

Unlike the foregoing, certain human-related adjectives such as זקן zaken ‘old’, צעיר tsa'ir ‘young', ותיק vatik ‘old', עשיר ashir 'rich', עני ani 'poor', משוגע meshuga 'crazy' can act as nouns. As such, they cannot take degree words - see (1) below ${ }^{14}$ - indeed, they take possessive suffixes like any noun (2):
(1) *pagáshti zaken me'od ve-sipárti lo
*פגשתי זקן מאד וסיפרתי לו"
I-met old-man very and I-told him ('I met a very old man and I told him')

112 Adjectives as modifiers
(2) ani ge'e be-vatikéynu

אני גאה בוותיקינו 'I am proud of our oldtimers'

FURTHER READING
Barri 1978; Sadka 1981.

## 11. Preposition phrases and adverbs as modifiers

### 11.1 Introduction

Like adjectives (ch.10) and nouns (ch.36), one may use preposition phrases or simple adverbs in two closely related roles: as predicates and as modifiers ('attributively');' for example:

Predicative:

| ha-shi'ur hu al iyov <br> PREP. PHR | השיעור הוא על איוב <br> 'The lesson is on Job' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ha-shi'ur hu lemáta |  |
| ADV. |  |

Attributive:
ha-shi’ur $\begin{aligned} & \text { al iyov butal } \\ & \text { lemáta }\end{aligned}$
'The lesson $\begin{aligned} & \text { on Job } \\ & \text { downstairs }\end{aligned}$ was cancelled'
For preposition phrases in general, see chapter 19; for predicates, see chapter 16.

Predicates and attributes are closely related in that an attribute is usually equivalent to 'is+predicate':
ha-shi'ur al iyov butal
= ha-shi'ur she-hu al iyov butal

השיעור על איוב בוטל = השיעור שהוא על איוב בוטל 'The lesson on Job was cancelled $=$ The lesson that is on Job was cancelled'

## 11.2 'Objects' of verbal nouns

| tikva la-mashíaH | 'hope for the Messiah’ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bogdim ba-medina | 'traitors to the state' |  |

'Action or product nouns' correspond closely to verbs, and 'state nouns' to adjectives (see 30.6):

| tikva $\sim$ kiva | 'hope $\sim$ to hope’ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| truma $\sim$ taram | 'contribution $\sim$ to contribute’ | תקרומה $\sim$ תרם |

$$
\text { ayefut } \sim \text { ayef } \quad \text { 'tiredness } \sim \text { tired } \quad \text { עייפות } \sim \text { עייף }
$$

So too do their 'objects' ('complements'). With the exception of objects with the object marker את et, all objects are the same for the verbal or adjectival noun as for the corresponding verb or adjective (א et is replaced by של shel 'of' or by the construct genitive): ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \{taram } \sim \text { truma }\} \text { le-tsáhal } \\
& \{\text { ayef } \sim \text { ayefut }\} \text { mi-ktiva } \\
& \text { '\{donate } \sim \text { donation\} to the Army' } \\
& \text { \}עייף ~ עייפות\{ מכתיבה } \\
& \text { ' }\{\text { tired } \sim \text { tiredness }\} \text { from writing' }
\end{aligned}
$$

'Agent nouns' correspond less closely; ${ }^{3}$ in particular, it is often cumbersome to add an object ('complement') introduced by the usual verbal 'case preposition':

> ?nifgáshti sham be-Háma tomHim $\underset{\text { CASE PREP }}{\text { ba-nasi }}$ בנפגשתי שם בכמה תומכים I met some supporters [of] the president'
> 'There I
?ha-mishtara menasa laatsor
moHrey samim li-tseirim
'Ther
'The police try to detain sellers of drugs to young people'
yesh ${ }^{4}$ lehilaHem néged maaminim $\quad$ לשילחם נגד מאמינים be-datot shuliot

בדתות שוליות
'One must fight against believers in fringe religions'
Adding - ה ha- helps. $h a$ - is felt to mean 'who...', creating a ('headless') relative clause: '(Those) who support the president':
ha-tomHim ba-nasi 'the supporters of the president' התומכים בנשיא
Many such nouns can be used with a construct genitive complement (but not without a complement), even where the corresponding verb takes a preposition, eg.:

| tamaH be.. PREP | 'to support....' ~ tomHey ha-nasi construct | תמך ב... ~ תומכי הנשיא 'the supporters of the president' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { PREP }}{\text { ba } \text { el... }}$ | me to...' ~ ba'ey ha-kénes 'the pa construct | בא אל... ~ באי הכנס pants at the congress' |
| yashav be.. PREP | 'dwell in...' ~ yoshvey mearo construct | ישב ב... ~ יושבי מערות 'cave dwellers' |

### 11.3 Modifiers using של shel 'of'

As detailed in chapter 6, של shel 'of' covers many things, including:
(1) Possession:
ha-mitriya ha-aHéret shelánu המטריה האחרת שלנו
'our other umbrella'
(2) Composition:
dira shel shney Hadarim
דירה של שני חדרים
'a flat of two rooms'
(3) Implied object of a thing:
tmuna shelánu
תמונה שלנו
'a picture of us'
(4) Subject/object of action:
ha-kavana shelánu le-terorístim הכוונה שלנו לטרוריסטים 'our reference to terrorists'

The של shel 'of' phrase will directly follow any adjectives, as in (1) above, and precede any complements (4). ${ }^{5}$

A nucleus noun, when definite, can be omitted rather than repeated:

| ha-meHkar shel pnína Harif, aval shel náama shitHi lemaday | המחקר של פנינה חריף, אבל של נעמה שטחי למדי |
| :---: | :---: |
| the research of Pnina shrewd, but of Naama superficial quite | 'Pnina's research is shrewd, Naama's is fairly superficial' |
| munot? ten li lir'ot et shelHa ictures? Let me see ом of-you | תמונות! תן לי לראות את שלך ctures? Let me see the ones of you' |

(or 'yours')
haftsatsa shel eyzorey taasiya mutsdéket, aval shel arim u-kfarim asura beheHlet

הפצצה של איזורי תעשיה מוצדקתת, אבל של ערים וכפרים אסורה

בהחלט
'Bombing of industrial areas is justified, but 〈that> of towns and villages is absolutely unwarranted'

### 11.4 Adverbials as modifiers

martse im jins 'a lecturer in jeans’ מרצה עם גינס

Adverbials as modifiers ('attributive') follow any adjective or של shel phrase:
ha-miHtav ha-aroH shelHa la-menahel המכתב הארוך שלך למנהל
the letter the long of-you to-the manager 'your long letter to the manager'
There can be a sequence of several disparate kinds of adverbial, as in (1), while similar kinds of adverbial can be coordinated or, as in (2), stacked:
(1) ha-miHtav miméni la-mol

המכתב ממני למו"ל
(2) me-ha-taHana be-talbíye, bi-reHov márkus... מהתחנה בטלבייה, ברחוב מרכוס ADV ADV 'from the stop in Talbiye, on Marcus St...' Most types of adverbials - adverb, preposition+noun, preposition+clause - can be used with nouns. They are the equivalent of 'which/who is....': ${ }^{6}$
ha-lulav sham pasul
af élu ba-tsloHit ksherim
ha-martse im jins HatiH
ha-shir lifney she-motsi'im et ha-tora yafe me'od

הלולב שם פסול
'The palm-branch there is not kosher'
אף אלו בצלוחית כשרים
'Even those in the bowl are kosher'
המרצה עם ג׳ינס חתיך
'The lecturer in jeans is cute'
'The song before they take out the Tora is very beautiful'
Where the adverbial denotes נמצא nimtsa 'is located', the particle -ש she'which/who' tends to be inserted to introduce it in formal usage; even for past events, just - ש she- and no haya 'was' is needed: ${ }^{7}$

| me'al-gabey ha-miznon she-meaHorav, hita elav et oznav ha-kvedot | מעל-גבי המזנון שמאחוריו, הלטה אליו את אוזניו הכבדות |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'From | oard which (was) behind him, |

hityatsávti be-taHanat ha-mishtara
התייצבתי בתחנת המשטרה
bi-reHov jabotínski she-be-rámat-gan
ברחוב זיבוטינסקי שברמת-גן
'I reported to the police station in Jabotinski St. which (is) in Ramat Gan'
'Location' does not include cases like הבעיות בהולנד ha-baayot be-hóland 'the problems in Holland', hence no - ש she-. For adverbs of 'location in time', של shel 'of' is possible:
bar-ha-samHa shel az, yadin
ha-tsarot shel ha-yom
הצרות של היום 'the troubles of today'

Some adverbials cannot act attributively, notably בסדר beséder 'all right', לבד levad 'alone', ביחד beyáHad 'together', and 'affective' ל- (cf.21.10):

[^1]
## 12. Agreement in the noun phrase

### 12.1 Definition of 'agreement'

A word borrowing some intrinsic grammatical property of another word, with which it is in context, is said to 'agree' with it. Thus, the words determining agreement in the following examples are הקופסה ha-kufsa and הארגז ha-argaz, and the other words agree with them in gender, number and (except the word (ריק) rek(a)) definiteness:
ha-kufsa ha-Huma ha-zot reka
f.s. f.s. f.s. f.s.

הקופסה החומה הזאת ריקה
f.s. f.s. f.s. f.s. 'This brown box is empty'
the box the brown the this empty
ha-argaz ha-Hum ha-ze rek הארגז החום הזה ריק
m.s. m.s. m.s. m.s.
'This brown trunk is empty'
the trunk the brown the this empty
Although agreement does not affect 'lexical' meaning, it sometimes indicates syntactic structure Thus the - ה ha- of (החומוה) ha-Hum(a) above tells one that (ה) חומוה) Hum(a) is part of the same noun phrase and not a predicate ('the box is brown'). See further 18.7.
This chapter discusses the modes of agreement within the noun phrase and their syntactic expression. Agreement between subject and predicate is set out in chapter 18 ('agreement in the clause'). For the forms of agreement prefixes and suffixes in general, see chapter 8 (quantifiers), chapter 9 (determiners), chapter 40 (verbs) and chapter 41 (adjectives). Chapter 39 describes gender and number inherent in nouns; chapter 5 describes inherent definiteness.

### 12.2 Nucleus and modifiers

Noun phrases usually consist of a 'nucleus noun', sometimes accompanied by one or more 'modifiers'. The full range of possible modifiers (in their likely order) is:

Partitive quantifier + determiner + amount quantifier + nucleus + adjective

+ determiner + adverb or preposition phrase + relative clause
For instance:


This order has a bearing on agreement. The 'outer' modifiers - adverb or preposition phrase and relative clause - do not undergo agreement; the others usually do.

The modes of agreement are gender (masculine or feminine), number (singular or plural) and definiteness (indefinite or definite).

### 12.3 Quantifier agreement

Of the quantifiers, whether partitive or amount quantifiers (see ch. 8), only numerals undergo agreement - and in gender alone ${ }^{1}$ The numerals for 'one' to 'ten' and those ending in these (eg. 1099, 110 but not 111, 120) agree with their noun in all registers except (sometimes) in substandard or very casual usage, ${ }^{2}$ thus:

| shisha rabanim m. m.pl | ששה רבנים ‘six rabbis' | shesh rabaniyot <br> f. f.pl. | שש רבניות 'six rabbis' wives' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ve-shisha iyim | מאה וששה איים | méa ve-shesh Havot | מאה ושש חוות |
| m. m.pl. | 106 islands' | f.pl | 106 farms' |

The numerals for 11-19 tend to agree only in somewhat formal Hebrew. Otherwise the 'feminine' form is preferred, eg.:

| shvá-esre yeladim (casual) | '17 boys' | שבע־עשרה ילדים |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

The numerals for the tens, hundreds, thousands etc. do not agree
See 8.9 for the form of these inflections.

### 12.4 Determiner agreement

A majority of determiners precede the noun and a substantial minority can follow it (a few precede or follow), as elaborated in 9.4. This has some effect on agreement.

## Determiners preceding the noun

Among the determiners that can precede the noun are אותו oto 'that', אכיזהשהו kaze ‘such’ (c), אזה ézhehu 'some.. or other', איזה éze 'some.. or other', איזה éze 'which?', מין min 'a sort of', שע עם étsem 'the very...', כל kol 'any, every', שום shum 'any', אף af 'not a single', כל מיני kol miney 'all sorts of', מיני ... ...miney '...sorts of'.

The first three of these determiners must agree for gender, in both the singular and the plural; while איזה éze, in both its senses, does so in the singular in formal Hebrew (see 9.2 for the formal inflections). The remainder do not agree.

The same three determiners must agree for number; so too must איזה éze 'which?' in formal usage, but not usually איזה éze 'some'. The remainder do not agree.

There is no agreement for definiteness. Nor is there for other modifiers preceding the noun.

## Determiners following the noun

The notable determiners that can follow the noun are A ze 'this', הללו halálu 'these', ההוא hahu 'that', כזה kaze 'such', כלשהו kólshehu 'any', אחד eHad 'a certain', שהוא shehu 'whatsoever', שלא יהיה she-lo yiye 'whatsoever', איזזהשהו ézeshehu 'some.. or other', כזה kaze 'a sort of'.

All agree for gender, except the plural forms of הל כ ze, halálu and כזלו kaze All agree for number (except that הללו halálu is only used with plural nouns and אחד eHad with singular).

For definiteness, הללו halálu and ההוא hahu are inherently definite, and כזה kaze, כלשה kólshehu and most others inherently indefinite Only ze 'this' has the option of accompanying a definite or an indefinite noun, and agrees by taking - ה ha- 'the' when its noun does - though the only difference thereby is stylistic, namely that the indefinite variety is more formal:

| ha-Hag ha-ze the festival the this | החג הזה <br> 'this festival' | Hag ze festival this | 'this festival' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-Hagim ha-éle the festivals the these | החגים האלה 'these festivals' | Hagim éle festivals these | חגים אלה 'ese festivals' |

### 12.5 Adjective agreement

Nearly all adjectives agree with the noun they qualify, in gender, number and definiteness, eg.:

| kala yafa f.s. f.s. bride lovely | כלה יפה | kalot yafot | כלות יפות |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'a lovely bride’ | f.pl. f.pl. | 'lovely brides' |
|  |  | brides lovely |  |
| ha-kala ha-yafathe bride the lovely | הכלה היפה | ha-kalot ha-yafot | הכלות היפות |
|  | the lovely bride' | the brides the lovely | 'the lovely brides' |
| or yafe | אור יפה | orot yafim | אורות יפים |
| m.s.m.s. light lovely | 'a lovely light' | m.pl.m.pl. | 'lovely lights' |
|  |  | lights lovely |  |

Agreement is not a matter of adjectives agreeing in suffix shape with their noun. In the foregoing example, אורות orot is masculine despite its ות-ot suffix, hence the adjective displays the masculine plural suffix of all adjectives, ים--im. (For adjectival inflectional forms, see 41.6-9.) Similarly, names are definite in function but not usually in shape:

| sara ha-yafa | שרה היפה <br> Sara the lovely <br> 'lovely Sara' |
| :--- | ---: |

The only significant group of adjectives that do not agree in gender is ordinal adjectives (see 8.10 ) for ' 11 th, 12 th' onwards. Those for numbers ending in a
zero have just one form for both genders; the others have separate masculine and feminine forms in formal usage, but in casual usage the feminine tends to do service for both: ${ }^{3}$

| ha-shana ha-shtém-esre |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| f.s. | השנה השתים־עשרה |
| f.s. |  |
| 'the 12 th year' |  |

Compounds of adjectives usually mark definiteness on the first component only, although both components inflect for gender and number. ${ }^{4}$ There are three major types, exemplified by
ha-siHot ha-yisreeliyot-mitsriyot ${ }^{5}$
f.pl. f.pl. f.pl.
the talks the Israeli-Egyptian
ha-sfina ha-shHora-levana
f.s. f.s. f.s.
the ship the black-white
ha-meHonit ha-yeruka behira
f.s. f.s. f.s.
the car the green bright

השיחות הישראליות-מצריות 'the Israeli-Egyptian talks'

הספינה השחורה־לבנה 'the black and white ship'

המכונית הירוקה בהירה
'the bright green car'

### 12.6 Agreement with coordinate phrases

A coordination of masculine and feminine nouns requires masculine plural agreement in its modifiers. This amounts to 'neuter' gender:

| $\begin{array}{lll} \text { otam ish } & \text { ve- } & \text { isha } \\ \text { m.pl. m.s. } & \text { f.s. } \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\text { those man } \begin{aligned} & \text { and } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned} \text { woman }$ |  |
| ha-yéled ve-ha-yalda hahem <br> m.s. f.s. m.pl. the boy and the girl those | הילד והילדה ההם 'that boy and girl' |
| ha-yéled ve-ha-yalda ha-tovim beyoter m.s. <br> f.s. m.pl. <br> the boy and the girl the good most | הילד והילדה הטובים ביותר 'the best boy and girl' |

## 13. Tense, modality and aspect

### 13.1 Introduction

This chapter deals primarily with the choice of grammatical tense, using the forms known as 'past, present, future' and 'compound past' tense. The shape of these forms is given in chapter 40 .

Tenses denote in fact a whole range of semantic time relationships, as well as certain semantic modalities and aspects. For example, in (1) the present tense denotes future time; in (2), the past tense denotes a hypothetical present time 'hypothetical' being a different modality, i.e. a different 'kind of reality', than a 'declaration' or a 'request'; in (3) compound past tense denotes habitual past time - 'habitual' being a particular aspect, i.e. a particular structuring or conception of the event:
(1) maHar ani ba

PRES
(2) lu zaHárti aHshav,... PAST
(3) ba-Hufshot hayíti mishtolel COMPOUND PAST

מחר אני בא
'I'm coming tomorrow'
לו זכרתי עכשיו,... 'If I remembered now,...

בחופשות הייתי משתולל 'In the holidays I used to run wild'

The terms 'present, past, future tense' (or 'form') will be retained for simplicity's sake.
This chapter also indicates some other, more widespread, ways of expressing modality and aspect - by lexical rather than grammatical means.

## 13.2-7 TENSE IN MAIN CLAUSES

### 13.2 Tense in main clauses: introduction

Main and subordinate clauses are similar for tense: there are no 'sequence of tense' rules to complicate subordinate clauses as in English. The basic 'tense and time rule' is 'The time of a situation is expressed from the vantage point of the person most directly contemplating it.'

## Examples

(1) dov yada she-nisha'er

FUT
דוב ידע שנישאר
'Dov knew that we'd stay'
The 'staying' is in future time as seen by the person doing the 'knowing', Dov, hence the future tense נישאר nisha'er. Despite there being a further vantage
point here - the speaker's (as in every sentence) - from which the 'staying' could be seen as being in the past, one cannot say נשארנו nishárnu (past tense), for it is Dov who is most directly contemplating the 'staying'.
(2) nishma
'We'll hear'
נשמע FUT

Here the 'hearing' is in future time as seen by the speaker. No other vantage point is involved, hence the future tense

איך נדע אם ניצחנו?
'How will we know if we've won?'
Even if the 'winning' here is in the future of the speaker, the 'tense and time rule' relates to the most direct vantage point, i.e the knower's. The 'winning' is in the past of the 'knower'.

### 13.3 Present form

The Hebrew present form denotes a time coinciding with or including the vantage point of the contemplator.

There are six notable uses, some involving particular 'aspects' and 'modalities':
(1) Here-and-now present
ani maklit otaH 'I am recording you’ אני מקליט אותך
(2) Up-to-now present for an event embracing the past that extends to include the present. This is found particularly with מאז me'az 'since' and מזה/זה/כבר mize/ze/kvar 'for':'
paamáyim Hazárti me'az she-ani gar po פעמיים חזרתי מאז שאני גר פה PAST PRES
'I've been back twice since I've been living here'

```
ani menase kvar shana
        PRES
```

káma zman at melamédet?
PRES

כמה זמן את מלמדת:
'How long have you been teaching?'2
(3) Habitual present for an event that recurs:
ani shote ba-tsohoráyim

PRES $\quad$| $\square$ |
| ---: |
| 'I drink at lunchtime' |

(4) Present of intent for an event that is presently intended (by whomsoever) to happen in the future, i.e a special modality of viewing future reality, distinct from the central uses of the present tense:

shovtim maHar | PRES |
| :--- | '(They> are striking tomorrow’ $\quad$ שובתים מחר

By contrast, ישבתו מחר yishbetu maHar ‘They will strike tomorrow' is a prediction. Often the distinction between prediction and plan is not so apparent, especially in the 1st person.
(5) Present of ultimatum is a modality akin to 'intent':

| ata mitnatsel ad maHar! | אתה מתנצל עד מחר! |
| :---: | :---: |
| PRES | 'You're apologizing by tomorrow!' |

o she-kulam yoshvim o she-ani ozev either that everyone sits or that I leave

או שכולם יושבים או שאני עוזב 'Either everyone sits down or I leave'
(6) Narrative present is a modality of past time for vivid personally involved description:
az hi tsoHéket ve-oméret day
PRES
PRES

אז היא צוחקת ואומרת "די!"
'Then she laughs and says, "Stop it!""

### 13.4 Future form

The future form mostly denotes a time in the future of the person contemplating the event. There are two main uses (see 13.10 and 13.13 for other uses of this tense):
Future of prediction:
tafsik kenir'e be-shesh FUT

תפסיק כנראה בשש
'You will stop at six apparently'

Future of request denotes future time with a modality of request (as against declaration):

| tafsik be-shesh | תפסיק בשש |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fut | 'Stop at six' |

There are two restrictions on the 'future of request', discussed more fully in chapter 28. Firstly, 2nd person requests in formal usage tend to employ the 'imperative form' rather than the 'future form', eg. הפסק hafsek 'Stop!', and certain verbs prefer it even in casual usage, eg. עס sa ‘Go!'. However, negative requests in all usage use future and not imperative form, as in:

| al tafsik | אל תפסיק | lo tafsik | לא תפסיק |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| neg fut | 'Don't stop' | neg fut | 'You won't stop' |

The distinction here between request and prediction is conveyed by the choice of negator. In the positive, however, one must resort to intonation and context:

$$
\text { tafsik } \quad \text { תפסיק }
$$

Secondly, 1st and 3rd person requests (singular or plural) occasionally use the bare future form, but more usually add a prefix - formal usage adds noninflected háva for the 1st person, casual usage adds the inflecting verb בוא $b o$ for 1 st and the conjunction - $\operatorname{el}$ she- for 3rd (and sometimes 1st) person:
naHazor le-nos'im politiyim
1st fut
aH yiten bevakasha et daato al ze she.. אך יתן בבקשה את דעתו על זה ש... 3rd FUT 'But let him please consider the fact that...'

13.5 Another 'aspect' of future time: -עמד ל amad le-, - הלך ל halaH leThe verbs עמד amad and הלך halaH ${ }^{3}$ in their various tenses, with an infinitive, denote a particular 'modality' or 'aspect' of futurity - as viewed either by the person contemplating the event or from some other vantage point in the past or future
עמד amad denotes futurity with more certainty than the simple future form:

ha-négev omed liyot gan éden yom eHad
הנגב עומד להיות גן עדן יום אחד
'The Negev is going to be a Paradise one day'
halaH, by contrast, is casual; it denotes imminence or intent:
ha-rakévet mamash holéHet linsóa
ata holeH lehagid lo ba-sof?

$$
10
$$

הרכבת ממש הולכת לנסוע
'The train really is about to go'

אתה הולך להגיד לו בסוף!
'Are you going to tell him in the end?'

### 13.6 Simple past form

The simple past form mostly denotes the contemplator's past. (For another use, in hypothetical conditionals, see 13.12.) This time relationship can be 'basic' or 'complex':
(1) Basic: ${ }^{4}$


EVENT CONTEMPLATOR
(2) Complex (a series):


EVENT IN QUESTION FURTHER EVENT CONTEMPLATOR
(3) Complex (event within event): FURTHER EVENT


## Examples

(1) gamárti be-shesh 'I finished at six' גמרתי בשש
(2) Usually with an added adverbial, eg. כבר kvar 'already, יום קודם yom kódem 'a day earlier' (English might here use the pluperfect):
kshe-amart ligmor, hu kvar gamar ve-araz כשאמרת לגמור ,הוא כבר גמר וארז PAST PAST PAST 'When you said he should finish, he had finished and packed already'
(3) Usually with an added adverbial, notably בדיוק bidiyuk ‘just’ (English uses the past continuous): ${ }^{5}$
kshe-tsiltsalt, ani bidiyuk difdáfti bo כשצילצלת, אני בדיוק דיפדפתי בו PAST PAST 'When you rang, I was just leafing through it'

### 13.7 Compound past form

'Compound past' involves the past form of the verb 'to be', i.e היה haya, ${ }^{6}$ plus the present form of the appropriate verb, both inflected in regular fashion: From הלך halaH 'go’ $\rightarrow$ היה הולך haya holeH, היינו הולכים hayinu holHim etc.

For the verb היה haya 'be' itself, which has a 'zero' present tense form, the compound past form is simply היה haya etc., identical to the simple past. Thus היו hayu can mean 'were' and 'would be'.

The 'compound past' has three general uses and a further substandard (dialectal) use: (1) ordinary past time, for a few verbs; (2) habitual past time and (3) durative past time (substandard), these both being aspectual; (4) hypothetical past, present and future time, this being a modality (described in 13.12).

## Ordinary past time

A few verbs can or must express past time by using the compound past, each in its own way. These verbs would otherwise have been mostly ambiguous between present and past tense; however, many other verbs of the selfsame verbal patterns tolerate such ambiguity and do not use the compound past.

גר gar 'lived (=resided)': the 3rd person singular גר gar (m.), גרה gára (f.) acts as both present and past form, ${ }^{7}$ but compound past היה גר haya gar, היתה hayta gára are often used when ambiguity might arise 1 st and 2 nd person and 3rd plural have no such ambiguity, hence the final example:

| $\substack{\text { napólyon gar po } \\ \text { PAST }}$ | 'Napoleon lived here' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yóske haya gar be-Hevron <br> COMPOUND PAST | 'Yoske lived in Hebron' |  |


| yóske ve-dina gáru | 'Yoske and Dina lived <br> in Yamit' | PAST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be-yamit |  |  |

n Hay 'lived’: the 3rd person singular חי Hay (m.), חיה Háya (f.) acts as present and past form even when ambiguous; however, the compound past היה חי haya Hay, היתה חיה hayta Háya, and even 3rd plural היו חיים hayu Hayim, are used in addition.
שחששב neHshav 'was considered': the 3rd masculine singular נחב can act as present and past form even when ambiguous, though some would then prefer היה נחשב haya neHshav as past.
היה נקרא neHshav, except that נחשב nikra 'was called’ behaves like נקרא haya nikra, היתה נקראת hayta nikret (f.s.) היו נקראים hayu nikra'im (pl.) are also common.

יכול yaHol 'can': the 3rd masculine singular past is היה יכול haya yaHol or יכול היה yaHal is substandard and haya. (יכל yaHol as past form is puristic.) Even היתה יכולה hayta yeHola (f.s.) and היו יכולים hayu yeHolim (pl.) are possible, despite the availability of יכלה, יכלו yaHla, yaHlu

## Habitual past time

Habitual past time can be conveyed by (1) the simple past form, using תמיד tamid 'always' or some other suitable context; (2) the verb nahag 'be wont to' plus infinitive (rather formal); or (3) היה haya plus present form, i.e the compound past. Semantically, the main difference is in emphasis: ${ }^{9}$

| rti | תמיד ביקרתי שטר |
| :---: | :---: |
| tamid nahágti levaker sham | נהגתי לבקר שם |
| tamid hayiti mevaker sham | תור הייתי מבקר שם |

'I always used to visit there'
Syntactically, היה haya plus present form is limited to past time, unlike נהג nahag, thus ruling out:
*yavo ha-yom ve-niye mevakrim ba-yaréaH יבוא היום ונהיה מבקרים בירח* ('The day will come when we'll be visiting the Moon')

## Durative past time

In some Oriental casual Hebrew, the compound past also denotes a durative past action, against the background of a momentary action:
hayiti yashen kshe-partsu
הייתי ישן כשפרצו
'I was sleeping when they broke in'

## 13.8-10 TENSE IN ADVERBIAL CLAUSES

### 13.8 Tense in time adverbials

Time adverbials mostly observe the basic 'tense and time rule' stated
in 13.2. The exceptions are clauses introduced by כש $k$ she- 'while' and its synonyms.

### 13.8.1 'Before, after'

The basic 'tense and time rule' yields the following:
Past time

| yarad shéleg lifney she-yarad géshem | ירד שלג לפני שירד גשס |
| :---: | :---: |
| fell snow before that fell rain | 'It snowed before it rained' |
| yarad géshem aHarey she-yarad shéleg | ירד גשס אחרי שירד שלג |
| fell rain after that fell snow | rained after it snowed' |

The fact that the 'rain' is in the future of the 'snow' is immaterial; only the contemplator (here the speaker) is relevant. ${ }^{10}$
Present time In habitual present time, both events are taken as embracing the vantage point of the contemplator rather than being in his future or past, i.e this is an 'extended present':


Using present of intent (see 13.3), both the main and the subordinate verb are present tense:


Future time
ze yered lifney she-ze yaale זה ירד לפני שזה יעלה

FUT FUT
'It will drop before it rises'
$\underset{\text { FUT }}{\text { ze yaale aHarey she-ze yered }} \underset{\text { FUT }}{\text { fut }}$
זה יעלה אחרי שזה ירד 'It will rise after it has dropped'
As with past time, only the contemplator is relevant. However, some 'before' clauses infringe the 'tense and time rule' when the event they describe is a 'nonevent' - see 13.10.

### 13.8.2 'Until, since'

The basic 'tense and time rule' yields cases such as the following. ע ad 'until':

| avádeti ad she-gamárti | עבדתי עד שגמרתי |
| :---: | :---: |
| PAST PAST | 'I worked until I finished' |
| tamid ovdim ad she-gomrim | תמיד עובדים עד שגומרים |
| PRES PRES | 'One always works till one has finished' |
| amshiH ad she-egmor | אמשיך עד שאגמור |
| FUT FUT | 'I'll continue till I've finished' |

In the last two examples, the second verb is in the 'extended present' and future (respectively) of the speaker. ${ }^{11}$ מאז me'az 'since':
rómi hitnavna me'az hitatsma
PAST $\quad$ 'Rome declined since becoming mighty'
ani ohev et tsfat me'az gárti sham
PRES $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { PAST }\end{array}$
$\underset{\text { PRES }}{\text { ani ohev et tsfat me'az ani gar sham }} \underset{\text { PRES }}{\text { and }}$

אני אוהב את צפת מאז אני גר שם 'I've liked Tsefat since I've been living there'

In the second case the 'living' is in one's past but the 'liking' is continuing, hence its present tense; in the third, the 'living' too is continuing, hence the two present tenses. However, מאז me'az 'since' plus future form is impossible, as מאז $m e ' a z$ intrinsically means 'something beginning in one's past'. Instead, one uses -ש me-ha-réga she-.
tafsiki me-ha-réga she-eshrok
FUT

תפסיקי מהרגע שאשרוק
'Stop as soon as I whistle'

### 13.8.3 'When, while'

 bizman she- all have two senses:
(1) 'when', ${ }^{12}$ as in:

adaber ito kshe-yaHzor

אדבר אתו כשיחזור
'I'll speak to him when he returns'
(2) 'at the same time as it is a fact that', as in:


With sense (1) the 'when' clause either observes the 'tense and time rule' or in formal usage (see the third example below) it can use the vantage point of the action in the main clause, in which case the simultaneity of the events is emphasized: ${ }^{13}$


Fig. 1
yarádeti kshe-hu ala
PAST (Fig. 1)
ered kshe-hu yaale fut (Fig. 2)
yarádeti kshe-hu ole pres (Fig. 1)

Fig. 2
ירדתי כשהוא עלה
'I got off when he got on'
ארד כשהוא יעלה
'I'll get off when he gets on'
ירדתי כשהוא עולה
'I got off as he got on'

With sense (2) the 'when' clause uses the vantage point of the action in the main clause:

| ma yiye im mishehu yaale kshe-anilo yarádeti? |  | מיש |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ל |
| PAST | 'What will happen if someone gets on when I <still> |  |
|  |  |  |

hu yashen kshe-horav yagiu be'od sha'a! הוא ישן כשהוריו יגיעו בעוד בשעה! Fut 'He's asleep when his parents will be here in an hour!'
'Time expressions' are involved in two other adverbial constructions that do not strictly denote time: - בעעה שi (ב) (be-)sha'a she-, שמן -ש bizman she- and formal (שe'od (she-) can mean 'whereas'. The tense observes the 'tense and time rule', as in:


Second, כשש $k s h e-$, כאשר kaasher, - תוך שר toH she- and ve-introduce 'circumstantial clauses' (see 21.6, 32.4), denoting 'while at the same time', though not for the purpose of locating an event in time. Present tense is required:


### 13.9 Tense in other adverbial clauses

Conditional: 'if, unless'
The real-world conditional (as against the 'hypothetical' conditional - see 13.12) follows the 'tense and time rule' (13.2): the contemplator's vantage point is crucial.
im gamárta, Hake!
אם גמרת, חכה!
PAST
'If you have finished, wait!'
im ata gomer kvar, Hake!
אס אתה גומר כבר, חכה!
'If you are already finishing, wait!'
אם אתה בא, תן צילצול 'If you are coming [=mean to come], give a ring'
im tavo, tir'e
FUT
lo navo éla-im-ken yeshalmu
FUT

אם תבוא, תראה
'If you come, you will see'
לא נבוא אלא־אם־כן ישלמו
'We won't come unless they pay'

Purpose: 'in order that, so that; in case'
Future tense is obligatory in adverbial clauses of purpose (unless they are infinitival), whatever the vantage point of the contemplator. This is a 'subjunctive' use, 'in order that' seeming to imply an intent on someone's part: ${ }^{14}$

| avádeti kemo meturaf, kdey she-ze | עבדתי כמו מטורף, כדי שזה |
| :---: | :---: |
| yetse kvar etmol | יצא כבר אתמול |
| work | at it would go yesterday |



The same is true when כדי, בשביל kdey, bishvil '(in order) that' appear following מספיק maspik 'enough', מדי miday 'too', יותר מדי yoter miday 'too much' (see 20.5) with no suggestion of 'purpose':


Cause, concession and result
Clauses introduced by כי ki ‘because, על אף al af 'although', - כך שך $k a H$ she- 'with the result that' and their synonyms observe the 'tense and time rule':
báti ki haya riv
PAST PAST
באתי כי היה ריב an argument'

### 13.10 Tense in 'quasi-negative' adverbials

Clauses introduced by בלי, מבלי bli, mibli 'without', במקום bimkom 'instead of', sometimes בטרם betérem 'before', and those of the type ma she-lo yiye 'whatever happens' are felt to be quasi-negative

They allow or require the future tense, as a kind of subjunctive 'modality', whatever the vantage point of the contemplator.

In 'without' clauses there is usually a choice between the 'tense and time rule' and a fixed future tense (sometimes more forma): ${ }^{15}$


| láma hu medaber bli she-\{shom'im/yishme'u\} oto? | למה הוא מדבר בלי ש\}שומעים/ישמעו\{ אותו! |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pres fut | 'Why does he talk without anyone being able to hear him?' |

bimkom 'instead' requires future tense (however, the simple infinitive is altogether more common):


The words for 'before', בטרם betérem (F) and לפני lifney, require especial care The former can convey a clear negative implication that something has not transpired at all, by using a fixed future tense (Past or present tense leave this unsaid.)

| dira beterem | fut <br> חופה וקידושין |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hupa ve-kidushin 'The | 'They are renting an apartment together before getting married' |
| hu nilkaH meitánu betérem \{hishlim/yashlim\} et mesimato | הוא נלקח מאתנו בטרם \}השלים/ישלים\{ את משימתו |
| PAST FUT | 'He was taken from us before completing |

Conversely, in casual usage לפני lifney clauses depicting a future event that is not due to transpire at all generally use past (or present) tense: ${ }^{16}$

| PRES | סתככל, הוא לוקח לי את שגמרתי |
| :---: | :---: |
| -gamárti | 'Look, he's taking my plate away |
| PAST | before I've finished |

The negative מה ש-, איך שma she-, eH she- 'whatever, however' construction (cf. 32.11.4) mostly involves the 'tense and time rule' (vantage point of the contemplator):

[^2]eH she-lo avashel lehába,...
FUT
ma she-ani lo osa,...
PRES

איך שלא אבשל להבא,...
'However I cook henceforth,...'

מה שאני לא עושה,... 'Whatever I do,...'

But with 'present habitual' time (i.e the previous example), the future form is also used:
ma she-lo eese,...
מה שלא אעשה,.... 'Whatever I do,...'
A type of 'result clause' following a negative or interrogative (i.e 'nonassertive') main clause requires future tense:


### 13.11 Tense in other subordinate clauses

As in adverbial clauses (13.8-9), so in other subordinate clauses it is mostly the vantage point of the most direct contemplator that determines tense (the 'tense and time rule'). ${ }^{17}$

Object clauses (see 31.2) follow the 'tense and time rule', ${ }^{18}$ thus:

$$
06.00 \xrightarrow{\text { TIME }} 09.00
$$

| $\gamma x$ | X | Hashávti she-hi nafla | חשבתי שהיא נפלה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FALLING THINKING | SPEAKER | 'I thought she had fallen' |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| FALLING |  | Hashávti she-hi nofélet | חשבתי שהיא נופלת |
| X | X | PRES |  |
| THINKING | SPEAKER | 'I thought she was falling' |  |



Indirect questions (see 26.) count as object clauses, thus (as in the preceding example):

Subject clauses (see 31.4) may observe the 'tense and time rule', depending on the predicate of the main clause. With words such as ברור barur 'clear' or בטוח batúaH 'certain' as predicates they do so (the implication being 'it is clear to so-and-so' etc.), thus:

| haya barur be-arba'im ve-shalosh she-ánu \{mitgabrim/nitgaber\} al ha-oyev |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| \{mitgabrim/nitgaber\} al ha-oyev | \}מתגברים/נתגבר\{ על האויב |

'It was clear in 1943 that we \{were defeating/would defeat\} the enemy'
But adjectives of 'attitude', e.g. מוזר muzar ‘odd', טבעי tiv'i ‘natural', מפתיע maftia 'surprising', tend not to be treated as words of 'contemplation', so the 'contemplator' in examples like the following is likely to be the speaker (i.e. the 'invasion' is seen from the present):

|  | she-napolyon palash le-rúsya ba-stav | זה היה מזר שנטוליון |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | past | לרוסיה בסתיו |

'It was odd that Napoleon was invading Russia in the autumn'
Apposition clauses (see ch. 34) follow the 'tense and time rule', except that the 'contemplator' is often just understood from context, as with subject clauses (as if ידיעה yedi'a, אפשרות efsharut etc. below were verbs):

ha-efsharut she-hem yeaHru garma la linhog maher האפשרות שהם יאחרו גרמה (CONTEMPLATOR) FUT שut לה לנהוג מהר 'The possibility that they would be late made her drive fast'
Relative clauses (see ch. 33) usually observe the 'tense and time rule'. Thus in the next example the 'contemplator' is the speakers themselves: הודעה hoda'a 'message' plus relative clause, unlike ידיעה yedi'a 'news' plus apposition clause in the foregoing examples, does not act like a verb of contemplation.

'Two days later we received a message, in which they admitted guilt'
But formal usage permits one, unusually, to treat the antecedent noun itself as the vantage point for tense in the relative clause: ${ }^{19}$


After a 'non-specific' antecedent, present tense can be used instead of future tense:

```
ershom kol mila she-ani \{eshma/shoméa\} ארשום כל מלה שאני \}אשמע/שומע NON-SPECIFIC FUT PRES
```

'I'll jot down every word that I hear'

'Of course he's going to cry the first time he falls over'

### 13.12 Hypothetical tense

'Hypothetical' is a modality denoting the unreal - as against the 'declarative' modality. It is often expressed by choice of tense

### 13.12.1 Hypothetical conditionals

Hypothetical conditionals imply that the supposition being made is unreal:
lu hayíta shotek, hayíti nish’ar *ו היית שותק, הייתי נשאר CONDITIONAL CLAUSE CONSEQUENT CLAUSE (HYPOTHETICAL)
'If you kept quiet, I would stay'
Both the 'conditional' clause and the 'consequent' clause require a past tense of some kind. What such 'hypotheticality' and past time have in common is in conveying something that is neither actual nor potential. ${ }^{20}$

## The conditional clause

'Hypothetical' and 'real' conditionals are usually distinguishable by tense: the former generally require conpound past tense, whereas the latter rarely have it (and then only as specified by 13.7): ${ }^{21}$
Hypothetical:
im hayita ba, hayíti kam

cOmPOUND PAST $\quad$| אם היית בא, הייתי קם |
| :--- |
| 'If you came, I'd get up' |

Real:
im báta, láma shatákta?
אם באת, למה שתקת! PAST 'If you came, why did you keep quiet?'
However, conditional clauses introduced by the rather formal לו, אילו lu, ilu 'if' and formal לולא, אלולי, אלמלא lule, ilule, ilmale 'if...not' can opt for simple past tense; these words are intrinsically hypothetical, so no confusion with 'real conditionals' occurs:

> lu $\{$ yadáta/hayíta yodéa $\}$, hayíta shav PAST COMPOUND PAST

לו \}ידעת/היית יודע\{, היית שב 'If you knew, you would return'
lule \{báta/hayíta ba\}, hayíti mevatélet לולא \}באת/היית בא\{, הייתי מבטלת PAST COMPOUND PAST 'If you had not come, I would have cancelled'

## The consequent clause

The consequent clause of a hypothetical conditional requires compound past tense, even where the conditional makes do with simple past tense: ${ }^{22}$
lu Halit, hayiti tsam
COMPOUND PAST

לו חלית, הייתי צם
'If you fell sick, I would fast'

## Time in hypotheticals

The simple or compound past tense is all that is available to convey past, present and future time in this hypothetical modality. Thus context is critical. The following sentence theoretically has three (or even more) meanings:
im hayit tása, hayíti nivhal
COMPOUND COMPOUND PAST
PAST

אם היית טסה, הייתי נבהל
'If you had flown, I would have panicked'
'If you were flying, I would be panicking'
'If you were to fly, I would panic'

### 13.12.2 'Wishing' clauses

'Wishing' clauses with an assumption of 'unreality', introduced by אילו רק, אם רק halvay she-/ve- ‘if only’ (C) or its synonyms הלוואי ש- / וilu rak, im rak, require simple or compound past tense - whatever 'time' is intended (as in 13.12.1):


But a wish need not involve the unreal, thus:
halvay she-nelamed

FUT $\quad$| הלוואי שנלמד |
| ---: |
| 'I hope we teach’ |

### 13.12.3 'As if' clauses

כאילו ke'ílu'as if' is hypothetical in meaning but not in syntax, particularly not in the tense it takes - neither hypothetical past nor compound past tense, but a tense in keeping with the 'tense and time rule' (13.2), i.e from the contemplator's vantage-point, or alternatively (final example, present tense) a tense as seen from the event closest to the 'as if' clause, as though an on-the-spot viewer were enunciating the 'as if: ${ }^{23}$

| ata mitnaheg ke'ilu (she-)ata lo yodéa aHshav | אתה מתנהג כאילו (ש)אתה לא יודע עכשיו |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pres | 'You're behaving as if you didn't know now' |
| at nir'et ke'ilu od lo ikalt | את נראית כאילו עוד לא עיכלת |
| PAST | You look as if you have not yet digested' |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { פחדה/מפחדת } \\
& \text { 'Jezebel acted as if she were still afraid' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.13 Modality and aspect in general

As already indicated, tense can express particular modalities: the desired, the occasional, the hypothetical in conditionals and wishes, the negative in quasi-negatives and in certain other constructions. But many other notions of modality are expressed not by such grammatical means but lexically.

Drawing a basic line between 'epistemic' and 'deontic' modality, i.e degree of certainty about things vs. an objective ability or need to do or be, one might point to such expressions as (inter alia):
Epistemic
Possibility: - יכול להיות yaHol liyot she- 'maybe', -יתכן שי yitaHen she- 'it may be that...'

Probability: -עשוי ל-.....asuy le- ‘...is likely to’, -עלול ל... ...alul le- ‘...is likely to', (-ש) כנראה kenir'e (she-) 'apparently', (-ש) לטח bétaH (she-) 'probably'.

Certainty: - בטוח שריך ל- batúaH she- 'certain that'...tsariH le- '...must be'.

## Deontic

Ability: -יכול ל... ...yaHol le- ‘...can', -אפשר ל efshar le- ‘one can'.
Permissibility: יכול ל-... ...yaHol le- '...may', -אפשר ל efshar le- 'one may', - אסור ל-asur le- 'one may not'.

Necessity: -צריך ל......tsariH le- ‘...must', צעריך ל tsariH le- ‘one must'.
There is no clear grammatical difference between epistemic and deontic; thus 'impersonal' (i.e subject-less) and 'personal' expressions are found among both. Lexically, although words for 'possibility' vs. 'ability' are generaly kept distinct, 'certainty' and 'necessity' share many words, eg. צריך tsariH (both with a subject and without), מוכרח HuHraH. Whether the past/future marker היה haya precedes or follows these words ${ }^{24}$ has nothing to do with their meaning, thus היה צריך haya tsariH and צריך היה tsariH haya both mean היה he was bound to' and 'he had to' - as well as 'he should have';25 postposed haya is usually simply more formal (except in the negative). As for 3 rd person yaHol haya 'was able, (conditional) could', it too is formal; but in the negative לא יכול היה lo yaHol haya is generally preferred ${ }^{26}$ to לא היה יכול lo haya yaHol.


### 13.14 Other grammatical modalities

## Modality of 'modest assertion'

To tone down a wish or statement, the verb of 'wishing or stating' may be put into the compound past tense (in whatever person is required):
hayíti maadif le.
הייתי מעדיף ל...
'I'd prefer to...'
hi hayta rotsa od eHad
היא היתה רוצה עוד אחד 'She would like another one'
(The verb רוצה rotsa ordinarily signifies 'want'.)
hayiti omer she-shneyhem to'im
הייתי אומר ששניהם טועים
'I'd say both are wrong'
The verb ביקש bikesh 'ask' in the 1st person future tense can express a more lofty request:
avakesh lehitkadem!
אבקש להתקדם!
'Could I ask you to move along'
Other verbs, such as הזהיר hizhir 'warn', הזכיר hizkir 'mention', do not have this facility.

## Modality of the occasional

Future tense is sometimes used in casual Hebrew to express the 'occasional':
anáHnu lo Haverim tovim - lifamim
ani agid lo shalom aval ze ha-kol
FUT
'We aren't good friends - sometimes I'll say 'hello' to him but that's all'
kore lifamim she-noséa yekalel nehag
קורה לפעמים שנוסע יקלל נהג
FUT
'It happens sometimes that a passenger will curse a driver'
shamáta páam she-shoter yaatsor katsin?
שמעת פעם ששוטר יעצור קצין! FUT
'Have you ever heard of a policeman stopping an officer?'
This future modality is not possible in a past tense framework (eg. 'It happened sometimes that...'), unlike other uses of the future tense in 13.4.

Aspect in general, eg. perfective, inchoative, repetitive, is largely expressed by the binyanim (verb patterns) and aspectual verbs. See 40.3.2. and 31.3.

## 14. Active and passive

### 14.1 Introduction

The passive is an alternative grammatical means of expressing the subject-verb-object relationship (the active construction). Occasionally, however, it is not available The passive has up to three possible features, set out below.

## Verb conversion

The verb always converts to a different binyan (pattern), often a special passive pattern:
surtat 'was drawn' סורטט סירטט 'drew' sirtet

## Subject-object switching

Usually, the object of the active becomes the subject of the passive (thus controlling agreement of the verb):

| teru | דולר histir dolárim | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | were hidden' | e hid dollars' |

Concomitantly, the subject of the active may become the complement of the passive, i.e introduced by על־-ידי al-yedey or בידי bidey 'by' and occupying object position. Alternatively, it is simply omitted:
ráfi histir et ha-dolárim רפי הסתיר את הדולרים
$\Rightarrow$ ha-dolárim husteru al-yedey ráfi 'Rafi hid the dollars' $\Rightarrow$
'The dollars were hidden by Rafi'
$\times$ aHal et ha-ugiyot $\Rightarrow$ ha-ugiyot neeHlu אכל את העוגיות $\Leftarrow$ העוגיות נאכלו $\times$ $\times$ has-eaten the cookies $\Rightarrow$ the cookies have-been-eaten

The passive is a secondary construction: there will always be an active sentence but not always a passive equivalent. ${ }^{1}$

### 14.2 Functions of the passive

### 14.2.1 To 'play down' an object

In active sentences, the subject is commonly first noun phrase and thus commonly 'known' information, whereas the object is commonly 'new' information, i.e it is salient:
sára tsav’a kise 'Sara painted 〈a〉 chair’ שרה צבעה כיסא KNOWN NEW

But where the object is to be 'known' information, eg. הכיסא הזה ha-kise ha-ze 'this chair', Hebrew can either simply put the object first, by (a) topicalization or (b) dislocation ('copying'); or else it can (c) change the object to subject (subject still coming first) while 'passivizing' the verb to indicate that this has been done:
(a) Topicalization:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { et ha-kise ha-ze tsav’a sára } & \text { את הכסא הזה צבעה שרה } \\
\text { ом the chair the this painted Sara } & \text { 'This chair Sara painted’ }
\end{array}
$$

(b) Dislocation:
ha-kise ha-ze sára tsav'a oto the chair the this Sara painted it
(c) Passive:
ha-kise ha-ze nitsba bidey sára the chair the this was-painted by Sara

הכסא הזה שרה צבעה אותו 'This chair, Sara painted it'

הכסא הזה נצבע בידי שרה 'This chair was painted by Sara'

### 14.2.2 To gloss over a subject

To be vague about a subject, Hebrew again has various ploys. (1) When 'human', the subject can simply be left blank, and the verb (or adjective), still 'active', is put into 3rd person plural. (2) Alternatively, the subject is 'generic' אתתה ata 'you'. (3) Using the passive: for any type of subject, one may promote object to subject, passivize the verb, and simply drop the 'underlying' subject: ${ }^{2}$
(1) hiziku et ha-mishtara
(2) ata hizákta et ha-mishtara
(3) ha-mishtara huzaka

המשטרה הוזעקה
'The police were called'

### 14.2.3 Other constructions functioning as passives

Other, minor constructions functioning like passives are the following:

## Locative subject

By a kind of 'metaphorical transfer', verbs like המה hama 'hum', זלג zalag 'flow' (i.e tears) can switch locative noun to subject, while subject becomes an apparent object (see further 15.8); the verb does not change pattern. The meaning now becomes 'The city swarmed all over' in our example:
nemalim shartsu ba-ir
נמלים שרצו בעיר
ants swarmed in-the city
ha-ir shartsa nemalim
העיר שרצה נמלים locative
subj
the city swarmed [with] ants

## Using another binyan

Certain words allow one to switch to another, non-passive binyan ('pattern'). Object becomes subject, and subject becomes indirect object:


Using 'helper verbs' + action noun
ניתח nitaH 'operate', בדק badak 'test' and many other verbs can be turned into an 'action noun', introduced by עבר avar 'undergo', קיבל kibel 'get' or other 'helper verbs':
avárti \{nitúaH/bdika\}
kibálti $\{$ ezra/maka $\}$
עברתי \}ניתוח/בדיקה\{
'I underwent an \{operation/test \}'
(= 'I was operated on', etc.)
קבלתי \}עזרה/מכה\{
'I received \{help/a blow\}'

### 14.3 How much are passives used?

Passives are fairly common formally (particularly in technical usage), but litttle used casually, despite the near-automatic availability of pu'al and hufal verbs and the common use of the nif al pattern for other functions at least. Topicalization and 3rd person impersonal verbs (14.2) are much preferred. ${ }^{3}$

### 14.4 Passive binyanim (verb patterns)

### 14.4.1 Passivization

The passive verb is formed near-automatically, using fairly distinctive patterns (see ch. 40 for binyanim in general):


Examples are:


Active verbs in the nif'al and hitpa'el patterns have no passive, e.g. נהנה nehena 'enjoy', התנגד hitnaged 'oppose'.

### 14.4.2 General exceptions to passivization

Nearly all verbs taking a direct object can be passivized, but very many taking an indirect object cannot, e.g. חיכה ל- Hika le- 'wait for'. For details see 14.6.

Pre-emption. Several passives are inadmissible, having been pre-empted for a non-passive or simply another sense of the word, e.g. נפגש nifgash 'met' (*was met'), נאחז neeHaz ‘seized' (*‘was seized'), נחצה neHtsa 'was divided' (*‘was traversed’), אוחר uHar ‘was delayed’ (*‘was missed’), חופש Hupas ‘was dressed up' (*‘was sought'). But Hebrew frequently tolerates such ambiguity, e.g. נענה naana 'responded, was answered', נעצר neetsar 'halted, was stopped', הושלם hushlam 'was completed, was perfected'.

Several state verbs ('non-ergatives') have no passive, e.g. (physical) ארך araH 'last', שקו shakal 'weigh', עלה ala 'cost', מנה mana 'number (= amount to)', הכיל heHil 'contain', היווה hiva 'constitute', עבל מבל gaval 'border'; (psychological) עיניין inyen ‘interest', הרגיז hirgiz 'anger', העריך heeriH ‘admire', שנא sana 'hate', אהד ahad 'sympathize with'.

Some state verbs express the passive by the statal passive adjective (passive participle) instead:
shéleg kisa et ha-ir
ha-ir hayta meHusa (*kusta) shéleg

שלג כיסה את העיר 'Snow covered the town'

העיר היתה מכוסה (*כוסתה) שלג 'The town was covered (in) snow'

### 14.4.3 Exceptional use of individual binyanim

pa'al $\rightarrow$ nifal
Pa'al $\rightarrow$ nif'al passivization is lacking in certain instances: (a) where there is no passive, e.g. עבר avar 'pass', נהג nahag 'drive', ערק yarak 'spit', טעם ta'am 'taste', העה ra'a 'graze', הרה hara 'conceive' and (b) where a different binyan is used, as in העיל hitsil 'save' ~ניצל nitsal, שר shar 'sing' ~ הושר hushar.

Passive nif'al must be distinguished from the following uses of nifal (see further ch.40):
(a) Occasional statal passives, i.e past participles (adjective or noun) akin to the pa'ul pattern (14.5): נרצח nirtsaH 'murdered' (no רצוח *atsúaH), נבחר nivHar 'chosen' (no בחור * baHur), נפגע nifga 'injured'.
(b) Widespread middle voice, i.e autonomous action corresponding to a transitive action in pa'al/pi'el/hifil: אנאלץ neelats 'had to' (אולץ ulats = 'was forced by someone'), נמתח niftaH ‘opened', נמנע nimna 'refrained’ (also 'was prevented'), נשמע nishma 'sounded' (also 'was heard'), נרטב nirtav 'got wet' (הורטב hurtav 'was wetted').
(c) Frequent aspectual variations on pa'al, eg. שכב shaHav 'lay down/was lying' ~ נשכב nishkav 'lay down’, pagash 'met' (unplanned) ~ נפגש nifgash 'met' (planned). ${ }^{4}$

## hifil $\rightarrow$ hufal, pi'el $\rightarrow$ pu'al

Among verbs with a direct object but with no passive are הרוויח hirviaH 'earn', הפסיד hifsid 'lose', הושיע hoshia 'save', סיים, כילה siyem, kila 'finish', חיבב Hibev 'like', סייר siyer 'patrol'. Among verbs using hitpa'el (see also below) as their passive are הוסיף hosif'add', העיר he'ir 'wake', קיבל kibel 'receive', ביקש bikesh 'request', מילא mile 'fill'.
Neither hifil nor pi'el have any other general functions.

## pi'el $\rightarrow$ hitpa'el

Occasionally, hitpa'el acts as the passive instead of pu'al, and sometimes in addition:

| ביצע bitsa | $\sim$ | בוצע/התבצע | 'perform' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| גילה | $\sim$ | תולה/התגלה | 'discover, uncover' |
| קיבל kibel | $\sim$ | התקבל | 'receive' |
| bikesh | $\sim$ | התבקש hitbakesh | 'request' |

This passive use of hitpa'el may be spreading - not surprisingly, as the commonest function of hitpa'el is as 'middle voice', denoting autonomous action (see 40.9), eg. פיתח pitaH ‘develop (something)' ~ התפתח hitpatéaH ‘develop by itself. ${ }^{5}$

### 14.5 Pa'ul and other past participles: statal passives

To indicate 'in a state of having been done' (statal passive) as against 'being done', certain verb-based adjectives exist.
The pa'al supplies a special semi-automatic adjective, pa'ul, for verbs denoting physical action but not, for example, for שמע shama 'listen to':6
hem niftaHim hem nifteHu but: hem ptuHim

| 'They are opened' | הם נפתחים |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'They were opened' | הם נפתחו |
| 'They are open' | הם פתוחים |


| nishbarim |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| nishberu | 'are being broken' | נשברו |
| but: shvurim | 'were broken' | 'are broken' (state) |

The huf'al and pu'al present tense doubles automatically as statal passive adjectives:

| hem Hulku hem meHulakim | 'They were distributed' | ה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'They are being distributed' | הם מחולקים |
|  | most commonly: |  |
|  | 'They are distributed (already)' |  |

For their non-passive meaning (eg. ממושקף memushkaf 'bespectacled'), see 41.3.1.

These forms, though derivable from passives, are not fully passive: no על על-די/ בידי 6 , example (2)); the following is therefore ruled out:
*ha-vitrina shvura al-yedey ganavim הבוויטרינה שבורה על-ידי גנבים* ('The showcase is broken by thieves')

Indeed, strictly speaking, the participle does not imply a past action: פתוח patúaH 'open' does not imply 'was opened (and was beforehand closed)'.

### 14.6 Structural obstacles to the passive

Just as nearly all direct objects of the active can become subjects of the passive, losing their את et case-preposition completely, so too do many indirect objects with על ב- ל be-, al and occasionally be-, eg. טיפל ב tipel be$\rightarrow$ טופל tupal: ${ }^{7}$

 niv'at 'kicked', נדון nadon ‘discussed', נורה nura 'shot', נבה neHshad 'suspected', נפגע nifga ‘hit', נתמך nitmaH ‘supported’.
Examples of ‘על al’ verbs are: דווח duvaH 'reported’, הוח לוט huHlat 'decided', הומלץ humlats ‘commended', הושפ huHraz ‘proclaimed', הוכרז hushpa 'influenced', תחתם neHtam 'signed', נאסר neesar 'forbidden'.
Examples of ‘- לע le-’ verbs are: הורשה hursha ‘permitted', נעזר neezar ‘helped', נענה nikra 'called’.

## Constraints

Case prepositions have a hierarchy. את et is 'weakest', in that (1) it occurs only with definite nouns; (2) even as such it is sometimes omitted in literature; (3) it can drop in relativized nouns (see 33.3.2) eg. האיש שראיתי (אותו) ha-ish she-ra'iti (oto) 'the man that I saw (him)', and must drop for
action nouns，eg．הארכת השביתה haaraHat ha－shvita ‘（the〉 prolongation 〈of〉 the strike＇．
The prepositions עם，אל，על，על，ל－，al，le－are intermediate in rank；and －מ－im，el，mi－are＇strongest＇－they usually stand farther from the verb than all the others（especially as clitics with pronoun suffixes－see 15．7）．
This hierarchy has five notable consequences．First，when a verb can take a double object－which almost invariably involves a＇direct＇object with et plus an＇indirect object＇－the direct object can be made subject of passive but the indirect object cannot（example（1）below），not even where the direct object is unspecified，as in example（2）：${ }^{8}$
（1）katvu lo shney miHtavim $\Rightarrow \quad \Leftarrow$ כתבו לו שני מכתבים shney miHtavim niHtevu lo שני מכתבים נכתבו לו
they－wrote to－him two letters $\Rightarrow$ two letters were－written to－him
but
＊hu niHtav shney miHtavim he was－written two letters
（2）katvu lo kol shavúa $\nRightarrow$
כתבו לו כל שבוע
＊hu niHtav kol shavúa
＊הוא נכתב כל שבוע
they－wrote to－him every week $\nRightarrow$ he was－written every week

Secondly，many if not most indirect objects do not undergo passivization in any event，thus ruling out such forms as：זול＊＊zulzal（＇was looked down on＇）， נעסק＊＊neesak（＇was dealt with＇），אויים＊＊uyam（＇was threatened＇），הוגב＊ ＊hugav（＇was reacted to＇），הוקשב＊$h u k s h a v ~(' w a s ~ l i s t e n e d ~ t o '), ~ צ ו פ ה ~ * ~ * s u p a ~$ （＇was expected＇）．

Thirdly，no indirect object with מ－，עם，אל mi－，im，el can undergo passivization．

Fourthly，even in the rare event of a double object with et，a hierarchy is at work：only the first object can become subject of passive：

| shoalim harbe anashim sheelot $\Downarrow$ OBJECTA OBJ B | שואלים הרבה אנשים שאלות |
| :---: | :---: |
| they－ask many people questions |  |
| harbe anashim nishalim sheelot SUBJECT | הרבה אנשים נשאלים שאלות |
| many people are－asked questions |  |

and：
harbe sheelot nishalot
הרבה שאלות נשאלות many questions are－asked
but:
*sheelot nishalot harbe anashim
*שאלות נשאלות הרבה אנשים questions are-asked many people

Fifthly, and rather similarly, verbs whose meanings change according to whether they have a direct or an indirect object generally passivize only in the former case, as in example (1) below:
(1) Direct object
radaf oto $\quad \Rightarrow$ hu nirdaf $\quad$ רוא נרדף אותו
'persecuted him $\Rightarrow$ he was persecuted'
(2) Indirect object
radaf aHarav $\Rightarrow$ no passive
רדף אחריו
'chased after him'
Further examples: הואץ hu'ats ‘was hurried', הוכר 'was known' (the active verbs with an indirect object mean 'urge' and 'give recognition to', respectively).

### 14.7 Complements of the passive, eg. ביד bidey 'by'

The active subject is usually represented in the passive by using ביד bidey or על-ידו al-yedey 'by'. בידי bidey is formal and appears mostly with verbs implying physical action:
ha-tsiyurim tsuyeru bidey yéled iver
הציורים צויירו בידי ילד עיוור
'The drawings were drawn by a blind boy'
ha-dvarim she-hushme'u al-yedey ha-sar... הדברים שהושמעו על־ידי השר... 'the words uttered by the minister...'
al-yedey is used otherwise, but there are many exceptions for psychological 'non-ergatives', i.e. verbs denoting non-deliberate actions as set out under (a) and (b) below:
(a) Psychological effect on someone:


(b) Awareness of someone/something: ${ }^{11}$

| ... | 'It will be understood by you if...' | ז |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| li | 'It is remembered by me' | זה זכור לי |
| a 1 | 't is known to me' | זה ידוע לי |
| u mukar li | 'He is known to me' | הוא מוכר |

Verbal 'action nouns' (see 30.6) correspond to the active verb patterns and are strictly active, thus allowing example (1) below but not (2):
(1) ha-aHot tipla ba-inyan האחות טיפלה בעניין active
the nurse dealt with-the matter
$\Rightarrow$
tipul ha-aHot ba-inyan טיפול האחות בעניין
[the] dealing [of] the nurse with-the matter
(2) ha-inyan tupal

העניין טופל PASSIVE
the matter was-dealt [with]
$\neq$
*tipul ha-inyan טיפול העניין* [the] dealing [of] the matter
But though active, action nouns can express their 'subject' by a על-ידי al-yedey phrase or the equivalent: ${ }^{12}$
ha-hitnagdut le-yidish al-yedey...
ההתנגדות לידיד עליידי... 'the opposition to Yiddish by...'
In contrast, adjectival state nouns never allow such semi-passive 'by' phrases:
*ha-shayaHut le-mitsráyim al-yedey... (vshel...) (vשל.......... (vייכות למצרים עליפדי"* the relevance to Egypt by... (of...)

### 14.8 Non-inverted ('impersonal') passives

In some cases where a passive (as so far described) is impossible, a non-inverted, i.e 'impersonal', passive is available: for verbs of saying or knowing being used with an object clause or with an indirect object noun:
yarshu lo leashen
[they] will-permit to-him to-smoke $\quad$ 'They will permit him to smoke',

| sikmu she-neHalek <br> [they] decided that we'll-share | סיכמו שנחלק 'They decided we'd share' |
| :---: | :---: |
| dibru al hafuga | דיברו על הפוגה |
| [they] talked of [a] truce | 'They talked of a truce' |

When the subject is understood as an impersonal 'we', 'you' or 'they', the verb can be passivized in form (always 3rd masculine singular, the unmarked form), without subject and object being inverted; instead, the object remains as it is and the subject, being impersonal, is omitted (rather than being able to show up as a .... על־יד al-yedey... 'by...' phrase). Thus the functional effect is roughly the same as for the 3rd person plural impersonal (see 14.2.2.), viz. subject removal without 'object promotion'.

[^3]dubar al hafuga
דובר על הפוגה
was-talked of [a] truce 'A truce was talked about'
Another verb allowing this construction is החל ב heHel be- 'begin on':
huHal be-hakamat kur
הוחל בהקמת כור
was-begun on building [a] reactor 'The building of a reactor was begun'
The very fact that nouns do not invert here means that indirect object verbs like diber al 'talk of', ordinarily not passivizable, are free to become passive

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1977:41f; Ben-Asher 1972:ch.2; Berman 1979b, 1980b, 1982a; Rosén 1977:190ff; Rubinstein 1971:2.5; Sadka 1978:5.1; Stern 1979, 1981.

## 15. Object phrases

### 15.1 Introduction

Verbs and adjectives may 'govern' one or two objects - or none:

| ten smartut le-ába <br> OBJ <br> OBJ | 'Give a rag to Daddy' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| אן סמיטוט לאבא אשמה בעבירה |  |  |

The object can be (1) a noun phrase, ${ }^{1}$ (2) an infinitive verb phrase, or (3) a finite clause - depending on the verb or adjective governing it. ${ }^{2}$ This chapter describes (1); for ( 2,3 ), see chapters 30 and 31 . Examples of the three forms are:
(1) ratsiti havana

רציתי הבנה
I-wanted understanding
(2) ratsíti lehavin רציתי להבין

I-wanted to-understand
(3) ratsíti she-tavíni רציתי שתביני

I-wanted that you'd-understand [you to understand]

### 15.2 Object or subject?

Object and subject are ordinarily quite distinct:
(1) The object follows the verb/adjective (save for emphasis); the subject precedes.
(2) The object can be introduced by case prepositions, eg. .ב את et, be-; the subject cannot.
(3) The subject, not the object, determines verb agreement.
ha-nemerim son'im et ha-aklim הנמרים שונאים את האקלים subj (m.pl.) v(m.pl.) ом овы
the tigers hate ом the climate

## Exceptions

The subject-object distinction is blurred in the cases of yesh/ haya + noun 'there is...' and יש / היה yesh/haya + ל- le + noun + noun '...has...' (see ch.16). For example:
yesh baayot
yesh le-yigal baayot
'There are problems'
'Yigal has problems'
יש ליגאל בעיות
In formal Hebrew, the noun that 'exists' or 'is possessed' (here: בעיות baayot) generally follows this verb (like an object); but it has no case preposition (like a subject) and it determines the agreement of the verb (like a subject):
gam kan hayu ha-baayot ha-éle גם כאן היו הבעיות האלה
also here were the problems the these 'Here too there were these problems'
hayu le-yigal baayot היו ליגאל בעיות
were to Yigal problems 'Yigal had problems'
In casual Hebrew, this noun is more decidedly an object: ${ }^{3}$ it generally follows the verb and it has the direct object preposition את et (when definite, as is the rule for את et); but it has one subject-like characteristic: it does tend to determine verb agreement - less so when there is את et present:
gam kan haya et ha-baayot ha-éle גם כאן היה את הבעיות האלה also here was ом the problems the these 'Here too there were these problems'


The same blurring of the subject-object distinction is found in casual usage with certain verbs of possession or acquisition where the 'possessor' is marked by ל- מגיע magia 'be entitled to', eg. חסר Haser 'lack' and, very casually, even נולד nishar 'be left' and נשאר nolad 'be born':
magia li botnim?
m.s. m.pl.
comes to-me peanuts?

| nolad lo bat |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| m.s. f.s. |  |
| was-born to-him girl | 'He's had a girl' |

### 15.3 Object or adverbial?

### 15.3.1 General semantics

Objects express participants in an event. The noun is the main feature of an object; prepositions, if any, contribute much less to its meaning.
Adverbials, and specifically adjunct adverbials, describe an event or convey its circumstances. They fall into semantic groups, and the choice of preposition determines the exact meaning, eg.:

| Means: | be.. | 'with...' | ... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time: | be.. | 'at...' | ב... |
|  | ad... | 'until...' | עד... |
| Place where: | me'al... | 'above..' | מעל. |
|  | sviv.. | 'around...' | סבי |

This is a rough distinction. There are several intermediate types, which for less evident reasons have been grouped in this chapter or with adjuncts in ch.21:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | תן אותו לדני |
|  | 'Give it to Danny' |
| Specification: be- (15.8) ze male be-aley tey |  |
|  | זה מלא בעלי-תה |
|  | 'It's full of tea-leaves' |
| ADVERBIALS (ADJUNCTS) |  |
| Affectee: $\quad$ le- ל- (21.10) |  |
| tidroH lo al ha-déshe | תדרוך לו על הדשא |
|  | 'Step on his grass' |
|  | בישלתי לו |
|  | 'I was cooking for him' |
| Possessor:tiga lo ba-af |  |
|  | 'Touch him on the nose' |
| Autonomous |  |
| agent: $\quad$ le- (21.10) |  |
| yashavt laH sham | ישבת לך שם |
|  | 'You were sitting there' |
| origin: $\quad$ le- (21.8) |  |
|  | רוץ למורה <br> 'Run to the teacher' |

### 15.3.2 Object and adverbial propositions

Objects and adverbials may look alike as both are commonly introduced by the following prepositions:

| ב- | ל- | על | עם | - | אל | - | מפני | אחרי |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be- | le- | al | im | mi- | el | ke- | mipney | aHarey |

There is a further preposition, used exclusively with direct objects: אet.
Objects and adverbials are often apparently easy to distinguish:
Object:
histakálti ba-shamáyim 'I was looking at the sky’ הסתכלתי בשמיים
Adverbial:
áfti ba-shamáyim 'I was flying in the sky' עפתי בשמיים
However, the criteria are not always clear-cut; the remainder of this section describes various phenomena for which objects and adverbials differ to various extents.

### 15.3.3 Meaningful and meaningless prepositions

Quite generally, object prepositions are intrinsicaly meaningless whereas adverbial prepositions are intrinsically meaningful:

| Object |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| re'u et ha-anak | 'See the giant' | ראו את הענק |
| taHlit al máshehu | 'Decide on something' | תחליט על משהו |
|  | adverbial |  |
| leH im dáni | 'Go with Danny' | לך עם דני |
| shev al ze | 'Sit on this' | שב על זה |

But these are just the two extremes of a whole spectrum of meaningfulness in prepositions, which we discuss below.

## Grammatical 'case' - the least 'meaningful'

Many verbs and adjectives 'govern' a preposition which has no obvious relevance to their meaning, eg. -הקשיב לה hikshiv le- ‘listen to', החליט על heHlit al 'decide on'. There may even be a meaningless choice of prepositions: מינה כ-/ל-mina ke/le 'appoint as', חיפש את /אחר Hipes et/aHarey 'search for', החזיק את /ב heHzik et/be 'hold', התחשב ב-/עם hitHashev be/im 'take into consideration'.
Many verbs etc. can vary their meanings by choice of preposition while the preposition itself still has no intrinsic meaning: הצביע ל hitsbia le- 'vote for' ~ אצביע על hitsbia al 'point to'; - אחראי ל aHra'i le- 'responsible for' ~
 be- 'recognize'; -קינא ל kine le- 'jealous for' ~ קינא ב- kine be- 'jealous of'.

## Semi-grammatical 'case'

Various prepositions are indeed likely with certain semantic classes of verb etc., though the preposition will not have this particular meaning elsewhere Thus, adjectives denoting 'emotionally affected by' (eg. מרוצה, מבסוט, מופתע מ- merutse, mabsut, mufta mi- 'glad, pleased, surprised at/with') tend to govern -מ mi-, and verbs denoting 'transfer of objects or information' (eg. נתן, אמר natan, amar 'give, say') tend to govern ל- ל- $l e$ - for the recipient, whereas זה מדני, המלח לדני ze mi-dáni, ha-mélaH le-dáni could only mean 'it's from Danny' and (unlikely) 'the salt's to Danny'. See further 15.6.

For both types, particular verbs may be 'weakly' or 'strongly' transitive, i.e they allow or require an object. Examples of weakly transitive verbs are (....) איחר (ל) hiker (le..) 'be late (for...)'; and of strongly transitive verbs החזיק ב-/את heHzik be-/et 'hold', השתמש ב- hishtamesh be- 'use'.
If strongly transitive, the verb may be regarded as having a 'built-in' preposition, though in fact it can easily be separated from it:

## Semantic 'case'

Some verbs etc. govern a preposition with its normal adverbial meaning - but only one particular preposition, even when there are others, similar in meaning, that might have served a similar purpose:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { amad bifney (*lifney) עמד בפני (*לפני) } \\
& \text { 'stand up to, i.e facing (*in front of)' } \\
& \text { hoda al (*legabey) } \\
& \text { nifgash, rav im (*beyáHad im) } \\
& \text { חודה על (*לגבי) } \\
& \text { 'thank for (*concerning)' } \\
& \text { gifgash, rav im ('beyaflad im) } \\
& \text { נפגש, רב עם (*ביחד עם) } \\
& \text { 'meet, fight with (*together with)' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sometimes this follows from the intrinsic meaning of the verb:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hitgaagéa el (*letoH, *meaHorey) התגעגע אל (*לתוך, *מאחורי) } \\
& \text { 'yearn for (to)' (*into, *behind) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, some verbs allow additional prepositions not available in adverbials:

| akav aHarey/aHar | 'follow (= comprehend)' | בקב אחרי/אחר מ/מפני... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| baraH mi-/mipney | 'flee from' |  |

## Free semantic selection - the most 'meaningful'

Some verbs etc. can take any preposition with an appropriate meaning - which would make this a clear case of an adverbial except that it coheres tightly to the verb (see 15.3.5):

| gar be-/meaHorey/al | 'live in/behind/on...' |
| :--- | :--- |
| diber al/odot/legabey | 'speak about...' .../מאחורי/ער על/אודות/לגבי... |

Sometimes, indeed, one may choose either a clearly 'grammatical' or a semantic preposition, thus (respectively):

| neHshávti le-ga'on נחשבתי כגאון |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| neHshávti ke-ga'on נחאון | נחבתי |

### 15.3.4 How many objects?

A double object is possible but not a triple, in all obvious cases of objects. In the case of the types listed at the start of 15.3 , verbs taking 'recipient' ל- le- or 'specification' ב- be- take just one other object, thus:

| masar et...le.. | 'hand...to...' | מסר את...ל... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hoda le..al... | 'thank...for...' | הודה ל...על... |
| kisa et...be.. | 'cover...with...' | כיסה את...ב-... |
| hikif et...be.. | 'surround...with...' | הקיף את...ב-... |

However, 'affective', 'benefactee', 'possessor' ל ble- and 'destination/origin' adverbials can clearly be added in addition to a verb's single or double object:
láma irbavt li et ha-sukar im ha-kémaH? למה עירבבת לי את הסוכר עם הקמח! AFFECTEE OBJ 1 OBJ 2
why did-you-mix to-me ом the sugar with the flour?
'Why did you go and mix the sugar with the flour?'
tafárti laH et ha-kfafot la-me'il
תפרתי לך את הכפפות למעיל
POSSESSOR OBJ 1 OBJ2
I've-sewed to-you oм the gloves to-the coat
'I've sewed your gloves to your coat'
tishlaH li et ze mi-óksford
תשלח לי את זה מאוקספורד OBJ1 OBJ2 ORIGIN
send me ом it from Oxford
'Send it to me from Oxford'

### 15.3.5 Cohesion to the verb: tight objects and loose adverbials

Many types of adverbial can be 'set off' by pause from the rest of the sentence, or preposed without any special emphasis:
be-shéva, ha-yeladim od lo yeshenim
בשבע, הילדים עוד לא ישנים 'At seven, the kids still aren't asleep'

בגלל הרעם, הילדים עוד לא ישנים בiglal ha-ráam, ha-yeladim od lo yeshenim 'Because of the thunder, the kids still aren't asleep'
However, objects ('participants in the action') cannot be set off, nor preposed except for emphasis or de-emphasis:
et ha-yeladim od lo hilbáshti
EMPH OBJ
אגת הצלדצם עוד לא הלבשתי
'I still haven't dressed the kids'
Thus Hebrew can (though it often does not) distinguish between object prepositions and adverbial prepositions:
ba-minhara lo histakálti
EMPH OBJ
ba-minhara, lo histakálti
adverbial

במנהרה לא הסתכלתי
'I didn't look at the tunnel'

במנהרה, לא הסתכלתי
'In the tunnel, I didn't look'

Neither, however, can so-called 'adverbials' of (1) means, (2) manner and (3) extent be set off or routinely preposed (see ch. 21); nor can (4) 'adverbials' of destination or origin (these, after all, do not express 'circumstance'); nor can (5)
'adverbials' of place, time, topic serving verbs like גר gar 'live', התקיים hitkayem 'take place', דיבר diber 'talk', whose very sense involves place, time and topic, respectively; nor can (6) affectee or possessor adverbials with - ble-: $4^{4}$
(1) pitsHu et ha-egozim be-patish
(2) nigáshti le'at el ha-monit
(3) ani kore tanaH hamon
(4) rátsnu min he-Hatser el ha-masa'it
(5) gárnu be-efrat
(6) Hafáfnu la-tinok et ha-rosh

פיצחו את האגוזים בפטיש 'They cracked the nuts with a hammer'

ניגשתי לאט אל המונית
'I slowly approached the cab'
אני קורא תנ״ך המון
'I read the Bible an awful lot'
רצנו מן החצר אל המשאית 'We ran from the yard to the truck'

גרנו באפרת
'We lived in Efrat'
חפפנו לתינוק את הראש
'We washed the baby's hair'

### 15.3.6 Word order

The order of objects and adverbials partly reflects the 'degrees of cohesion' in 15.3.5, in the following ways.

Objects and means/manner/extent adverbials are all likely to follow the verb closely:

| dibárti | tov sinit sinit tov | טוב סינית דיברתי סינית טוב |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I spoke | well Chinese Chinese well | 'I spoke Chinese well' |

Place, time, cause and other adverbials are frequently less close to the verb. However, affectee and possessor ל le-must precede even the direct object (conceivably because they almost always involve people, not things):
kilkálti la-shaHen et ha-déshe קילקלתי לשכן את הדשא
I-ruined to-the-neighbour ом the lawn 'I went and ruined the neighbour's lawn'
Adverbials of destination or origin, save where required by a verb (eg. גar 'live'), behave like other adverbials of place or time:
higánu el ha-gésher be-sha'a aHat
אל הגשר בשעה אחת בשעה אחת אל הגשר

הגעני
we-got to the bridge at one o'clock
lo gárti sham az
לא גרתי שם אז
'I didn't live there then'

Among the various objects themselves there are further ordering restrictions; see 15.7.

### 15.3.7 Object and adverb pronouns

Special one-word pronouns are a feature of some adverbials, whereas objects always need to display their preposition (במי? be-mi? ‘whom?', etc).

Place and time: איפה, לאן éfo, le'an 'where, to where', מתי matay 'when', and שם sham 'there', למה לaz 'then'. Purpose/cause: לáma 'why'. Means/ manner: איך eH 'how'. Extent: כמה káma 'how much' and others. All these refer to things, places etc., not to people; thus לאן le'an 'where to' would not expect the answer לדני le-dáni 'to Danny'. ${ }^{5}$ For fuller lists, see chapters 25 and 26.

### 15.3.8 Object preposition deletion

Object prepositions usually drop before an object clause, a further example of their weakness (see 19.5):

| Hashash mi- |
| :--- |
| be-afraid of |

Hasháshti she-tipol
obs CLAUSE

### 15.3.9 Other phenomena

Gerunds. Gerunds occur in adverbials but not in objects (for details, see 30.5):
be-kablo et ha-igéret...
בקבלו את האיגרת... 'on his receiving the letter...'
'Internal objects'. These are in fact adverbials of manner (see 21.4.2):

| amádnu amida eytana |
| :--- |
| we-stood a-stand firm |$\quad$| עמדנו עמידה איתנה 'We stood firm' |
| :---: |,

'Middle objects'. קרן karan ‘shine with', נטף nataf'drip with', and several other verbs take a 'middle object', an apparent object which is strictly non-definite and always has a freer, near-synonymous equivalent involving a subject + the same verb (see further, 15.8):

| ha-kvish zorem máyim middLe obj | הכביש זורם מיים <br> 'The road is flowing (with) water' |
| :---: | :---: |
| máyim zormim ba-kvish | מיים זורמים בכביש |
| SUBJ | 'Water is flowing on the road' |

### 15.4 Transitive and intransitive

### 15.4.1 Introduction

There are three degrees of transitivity, each involving numerous verbs and adjectives, though not on any recognized semantic basis:

| Intransitives: no object, eg. | gasas | 'be dying' | shamen | שמן 'fat' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weakly transitive: optional object, eg. | aHal | 'eat', | merutse | מרוצה 'satisfied (with...)' |
| Strongly transitive: obligatory object, eg. | hekim | הקים <br> 'construct' | asuy | עשוי <br> 'likely (to...)' |

A subject-less verb or adjective too may have no object: אמרתי שנוח amárti she-nóaH 'I said that 〈it's〉 comfortable'. Action/state nouns corresponding to strongly transitive verbs, eg. הגנה hagana 'defence’ (corresponding to הגן hegen 'defend'), often need no 'object'; however, הקמה hakama 'construction', לבישה levisha 'wearing' and many others generally require a further noun (eg. הקמת בתים hakamat batim 'construction of houses').
Similarly for agent nouns: מגינים meginim 'defenders' and זוכים zoHim 'winners' need no further noun, but לובשים lovshim 'wearers' does.

## More examples of transitive verbs

Weakly transitive: קנה kana 'buy', כתב katav 'write', שאל sha'al 'ask', הציץ hetsits 'peep', הודה hoda 'confess', דילג dileg ‘skip', חזר Hazar 'return', הועיל ho'il 'be of use', המתין himtin 'wait', נפגש nifgash 'meet together', התקשר hitkasher 'phone up', רב rav 'quarrel'.
Strongly transitive: לבש lavash 'wear, put on’, קיים kiyem 'keep', עשה asa
 hegen ‘defend’, הסתמך histameH 'rely', עלר er 'aware', האזין heezin ‘listen in', התמסר נחלץ heHlats 'escape', סלץ salad 'dislike', נפטר niftar ‘get rid of?

### 15.4.2 Transitives and object deletion

Absence of an object can arise in two ways: example (1) below features a weakly transitive verb; examples (2-4) feature indefinite object deletion, where a particular indefinite object, even of a strongly transitive verb, is left to be deduced from context. Ambiguity sometimes arises: ${ }^{6}$
(1) aHálti
(2) aHalt anavim? ken, aHálti

אכלת ענבים! כן, אכלתי
'Have you eaten any grapes? Yes, I've eaten (some grapes)'
(3) ratsiti laasot skandal, ve-asíti רציתי לעשות סקנדל, ועשיתי
'I wanted to make a scandal, and I made (one)'
(4) im dalya lovéshet Hagora, gam ani elbash אם דליה לובשת חגורה, גם אני אלבש 'If Dalya is wearing a belt, I'll also wear (one)'
15.4.3 Obligatory adverbials and transitive verbs: ג gar 'live’

Certain verbs require an adverbial; they too can be termed 'strongly transitive': ${ }^{7}$
gur/hitgorer ba-négev
גור/התגורר בנגב
'Live in the Negev'
yom kipur Hal be-yom he
יום כיפור חל ביום ה'
'Yom Kipur falls on Thursday'
nahagu bi be-Humra
נהגו בי בחומרה
'They treated me severely'

### 15.5 Direct objects and their preposition $\boldsymbol{x}$ et

Direct objects differ somewhat in form and syntax from indirect objects.

### 15.5.1 Form

Direct objects are introduced (a) by the preposition et when they are definite, and (b) by no preposition otherwise Thus they often directly follow their verb:

| baláti zvuv | בלעתי זבוב |
| :---: | :---: |
| I-swallowed fly | 'I swallowed a fly' |
| baláti et ha-zvuv | בלעתי את הזבוב |
| I-swallowed ом the fly | 'I swallowed the fly' |

Indirect objects, by contrast, nearly always must (and always can) be introduced by a preposition: ${ }^{8}$

| hitsbáti al zvuv | 'I pointed to a fly’ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hitsbáti al ha-zvuv | 'I pointed to the fly' |  |

## Dropping et: further details

את et is more restricted than other object prepositions: it has no 'free' meaning of its own; it requires a definite noun; and it is the most easily omissible preposition, in five respects as set out in (a)-(e) below:
(a) Verbs taking את et are much more likely to have a passive equivalent (by
which את et drops); see further, 15.6: הזבוב נבלע ha-zvuv nivla 'The fly was swallowed'.
(b) In 'telegraphic' usage, eg. headlines, את et is often omitted with definite nouns, especially when not directly followed by $-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ha- 'the': 9

$$
\text { ha-tsinor Hadal lemale yiudo } \quad \text { 'The pipe ceased to fulfil its purpose' }
$$

ha-shovtim alulim lehashbit ha-tnu'a
השובתים עלולים להשבית התנועה 'The strikers are liable to stop the traffic'
ha-matara hi lehavtíaH merav ha-sherut המטרה היא להבטיח מירב השירות la-tsibur
'The aim is to ensure the maximum service to the public'
(c) Instead of אותי, אותך oti, otHa 'me, you' etc., very formal Hebrew occasionally opts for verbal suffixes:
bikshu leyatser otam ~ bikshu leyatsram ביקשו לייצר אותם ~ ביקשו לייצרם 'They sought to manufacture them'

Full lists are found in traditional grammars (many forms are learnéd or nonexistent). ${ }^{10}$ These suffixes are also found, idiomatically in the main, with a few indirect object verbs, eg.:
avakesh lehodiaHa... 'I wish to make known 〈to〉 you..' ....
harshúni lomar... 'Allow me to say...’ הרשוני לומר...
אותו, אותה oto, ota etc, are usually omitted. Contrast אותו oto with, eg., the pronoun בו bo:
éfo ha-et she-katávti bo?
éfo ha-et she-kaniti (?oto)?

איפה העט שכתבתי בו! 'Where's the pen that I wrote with [it]?'

איפה העט שקניתי (!אותו)?
'Where's the pen that I bought [it]?'
(e) 'Action nouns’ (30.6) corresponding to a verb that takes אתת et, as in example (1), introduce their 'object' with של shel 'of' (2) or with the construct (3), not with את et:
(1) sagru et ha-namel

סגרו את הנמל
they-closed ом the port
(2) ha-sgira shel ha-namel הסגירה של הנמל the closure of the port
(3) sgirat ha-namel

סגירת הנמל
closure-of the port

### 15.5.2 Syntax and semantics of the direct object

The direct object commonly precedes the indirect object (see 15.7).
Many more verbs take direct than take indirect objects, and the sizeable minority of verbs with a double object nearly always have a direct object as one of these But very few adjectives take a direct object; the most common are חייב hayav 'owe', מלא male 'full', שווה shave 'worth', טעון ta'un 'in need of':
ze lo shave et ha-maamats זה לא שווה את המאמץ it's not worth ом the effort

## Which verbs take direct objects?

There are no recognized semantic criteria as to which verbs take direct objects - except negative ones, eg. 'recipients' normally have ל- לle-rather than את al etc; 'topics of discussion' normally have especially, one object in a double object is usually direct. ${ }^{11}$ See 15.6 .
Several verbs allow את ב- be- with the same meaning, eg. בעת baHar ‘choose', חקר Hakar ‘research', בעט ba'at ‘kick', החזיק heHzik ‘hold'. Howהשמיד את / ב- ever, be- with some verbs of damage denotes 'part of hishmid et/be- 'destroy', כירסם kirsem 'gnaw', קיצץ kitsets 'cut'. On the other hand, the sense may differ totally: פתח את pataH et ‘open’ vs. פתח ב ב pataH be- 'begin'.

### 15.6 Indirect objects and their prepositions

Indirect objects commonly have the prepositions: ${ }^{12}$
be-, le-, al, el, im, mi- ב-, ל-, על, אל, עם, מ-
and less often:
ke-, beyn, mipney, aHarey, bifney
כ-, בין, מפני, אחרי, בפני
These also double as adverbial prepositions - see 15.3.3. For the form of these prepositions and their suffixes (בו, עליך bo, aléHa etc.), see chapter 42.
There are certain syntactic differences among these prepositions. (a) Objects with le-, notably when denoting 'recipient' (suffixed form לי, לך li, leHa, etc.), are more likely to precede the direct object than are objects with ע-, עם $b e$-, im etc. (15.5.2). (b) Objects with ב-, ל-, על be-, le-, al are the only ones to have a corresponding passive (and even then not for all verbs), eg. בגד בנבגד bagad be- ~ nivgad 'betrayed $\sim$ was betrayed' (see ch. 14). ${ }^{13}$

## Examples and individual semantic/syntactic properties

With ב-
Miscellaneous: גאה ge'e 'proud', התגאה hitga'a 'take a pride in', האשים heeshim 'accuse of, בחר baHar 'choose', דן dan ‘discuss', תמך tamaH ‘support', זיכה zika ‘award' etc.

Many verbs of visual/physical contact: הבחין hivHin 'notice', עיין iyen 'study', הביט hibit 'look at', הסתכל histakel 'look at', הציץ hetsits 'peep at', צפה tsafa 'view', נגע naga 'touch', אחזaHaz 'seize', משח mashaH 'pull', בעט ba'at 'kick' etc.

For be- of 'specification', eg. מילא ב- mile be- 'fill with', see 15.8.
With ל-le-; suffixed form ... לי, לך li, leHa etc.
Miscellaneous: אחראי aHara'i 'responsible for', מודע muda 'aware of', שיץ ליך shayaH 'belong to', הרביץ hirbits 'hit', חנע naga 'pertain to', חיכה Hika 'wait for', דמה dama 'resemble' etc.
For certain adjectives, the 'experiencer' is an object with ble-, not a subject, eg. קששה לי kashe li 'it's hard for me', קר לי kar li 'I'm cold', נוח לי nóaH li 'I'm comfortable', משעמם לי meshaamem li 'I'm bored', טוב לי tov li 'I'm OK', עצוב לי atsuv li 'I'm sad'. Unlike other ל- be- objects, these can precede the adjective, as in (1), with no special emphasis - except when suffixed ('clitic'), as in (2) - and even clitics tend to precede the adjective where there is an 'auxiliary' היה/ haya/yihye for them to follow (3):14
(1) la-tinok kar kar la-tinok
(2) kar lo cold to-him
to-the baby cold cold to-the baby

לתינוק קר
קר לתינוק
'The baby's cold'

> 'He's cold'

היה לו קר
'He was cold'

Subject-less adjectives/verbs in general express the 'human agent' (in such cases usually a 'thinker' or 'feeler' rather than a 'doer' - or at least suggestive of a lesser degree of 'human agency') by an object with ל- le-. But this generally follows the adjective/verb:

> im lo haya mistader le-dáni...
> if not were sort-itself-out to Danny...
> אם לא היה מסתדר לדני... 'If Danny weren't managing...'

Similarly, -נראה ל nir'a le- 'seem right to', - התחשק ל hitHashek le- 'fancy', מובן ל-muvan le- 'make sense to', and others.
'Recipients' (of things, information) usually have ל- לle- (alternating ל/ אלle-/ el in one-object verbs of communication - see below): מסר masar 'hand to', החזיר heHzir 'restore to', הביא hevi 'bring to', אמר amar 'tell'. They are usu-
 Similarly, 'something being attached to': הצמיד hitsmid 'attach to', קירף tseref 'join to', קשר kashar 'tie to' etc.

With על al
Miscellaneous: שנוא sanu ‘hateful to’, החליט heHlit ‘decide on', הקפיד hikpid 'insist on', הצביע hitsbia 'point to', דילג dileg 'skip', הגן hegen 'defend', חזר Hazar 'repeat', חתם Hatam 'sign up for' etc.

Many verbs of ‘domination': ציווה tsiva 'order', אסר asar 'forbid', צעק tsa'ak ‘shout at', צחק tsaHak ‘laugh at', התלבש hitlabesh 'take advantage of', השפיע hishpia 'influence' etc.

Verbs of 'referring' favour על al or other words for 'concerning': דיבר diber 'speak of', קרא kara 'read about', שעל shar 'sing of', העיר he'ir 'comment on', לימד limed 'teach about' etc.

With - le- (formally also el); suffixed form only .... אלי, אליך elay, eléHa etc. Verbs of 'address', taking single objects: דיבר diber 'talk to', כתב katav 'write to', פנה pana 'apply, turn to', התקשר hitkasher 'phone' etc. These amount to verbs of 'motion', as in:

התקשר מאשדוד הביתה
'Phone home from Ashdod'
Verbs of ‘joining', taking single objects: הצטרף hitstaref ‘join’, התלווה hitlava 'accompany' etc.
Verbs of 'referring': התכוון hitkaven 'mean', התייחס hityaHes 'relate to, treat' etc.

With עם im
Most verbs of 'reciprocal action', as in:
יורם נאבק עם גד ~ יורם וגד נאבקים
yoram neevak im gad $\sim$ yoram ve-gad neevakim
Yoram is-wrestling with Gad $\sim$ Yoram and Gad are-wrestling
and other such verbs: נפגש nifgash 'meet', התקוטט hitkotet 'quarrel', השלים hishlim 'make peace with', השתווה hishtava 'equal', התייעק hitya'ets 'consult', דיבר diber 'speak with' etc.
Many verbs of 'association': הזדהה hizdaha 'identify with', התקשר hitkasher 'contact', השווה hishva 'compare with', הפגיש hifgish 'have someone meet...' etc. ${ }^{15}$

With - mi-
Denoting most 'sources of an experience': פח paHad 'fear’, סלד salad 'dislike', התנה nehena 'enjoy', התפעל hitpa'el 'be impressed with', מבסוט mabsut 'glad at', התייאש hitya'esh 'despair with' etc.
With most verbs of 'distancing': נזהר nizhar 'beware of', neHelats 'escape', נפטר niftar 'be rid of', הסתייג histayeg 'have reservations about', ' raHok 'distant' etc.
Denoting most ‘sources of things or information' (mirroring 'recipient’ ל ble(above)): קיבל kibel 'receive', למד lamad 'learn', שמע shama 'hear' etc. ${ }^{16}$

With -כ ke-17
נחשב Only with verbs of 'status': These may denote 'having status', as in neHshav 'be regarded as', שימש shimesh 'serve as', תיפקד tifked 'function as',號 tafas 'regard as', הכריז mina 'appoint as', מינה ra'a ראה baHar 'choose as', החר
hiHriz＇proclaim as＇．The preposition can be be－with מינה mina＇appoint as＇，התחזה hitHaza＇masquerade as＇，and משר baHar＇choose as＇，נחר מר has neHeshav＇be regarded as＇；and may even be omitted for indefinite nouns with מינה mina＇appoint as＇and שימש shimesh＇serve as＇．The exceptional omission of the preposition reflects the fact that $-כ k e-=$＇．．．is something＇，＇serve as＇$=$ ＇．．．as being＇，＇choose as＇＝＇．．．as being＇．Indeed，a noun following－כ ke－generally agrees with the foregoing noun，unlike other object nouns：
tafásti otam ke－bogdim
pl．pl．

Moreover，some＇status verbs＇also take an adjectival or even a verbal phrase， and are best classed among the complements of verbs of＇being＇，which behave in most respects like objects．

## Complements of verbs of＇being＇

The verb haya＇be＇，discussed in chapter 16，and several verbs denoting ＇being，becoming，remaining＇and the like take a complement noun phrase， adjective phrase or occasionally even a verb phrase that is in most respects a kind of＇object＇．${ }^{18}$ At the same time，these complements agree with the subject （or，if there is a direct object，with this）in the same way as any predicate of a verb of＇being＇（see 18．2．1）：${ }^{19}$

| ital neesta baalat－tshuva | אביטל נעשתה בעלת－תשוב |
| :---: | :---: |
| f．s． N （f．s．） | 〈a）newly－ |

In detail，חששב HeHshav＇be consid－ ered＇usually take ל לle－，as in（1）below，or（more formal）－כ ke－，and occasion－ ally no preposition；whereas תפס tafas＇consider＇requires כ כe－and ראה ra＇a ＇view＇usually requires it．Of the verbs of＇becoming＇，נעשיה naasa and נהיה niya have no preposition（2），while הפך hafaH usually takes לע le－and literary放 haya＇be＇by the very presence of it is distinguished from היה ל haya ל－le－（3）．Of the verbs of＇making＇，i．e＇causing to become＇，עששה asa always נות hafaH usually does so．ל－הפך he－，as in（4），and נשאר nish＇ar and notar＇remain＇and נמצא nimtsa＇turn out to be＇have no prepositon：
（1）hem neHshavim le－ánglo－sáksim
（2）hi niyeta sávta
（3）ha－shamáyim hayu le－kodrim
（4）ze asa oto le－（adam）meyu＇ash

> 'They are considred Anglo-Saxons'

היא נהיתה סבתא
＇She＇s become a grandmother＇
השמיים היו לקודרים
＇The heavens became dark＇
זה עשה אותו לואדם）מיואש ＇It made him 〈a〉 desperate（man）＇

With בין beyn
Only for verbs of＇separation＇／＇joining＇．Either one plural object or a sequence of：

| uveyn... | ובין... |
| :---: | :---: |
| beyn... leveyn... | בין... לבין... |
| ve.. | ...) |

eg. הבדיל hivdil ‘discriminate’, תיווך tiveH 'mediate’.
With מפני mipney
Alternative (formally) to -מ mi- with verbs of 'fear, dislike, protection', eg. ירא yare 'fear', הבטיח hivtiaH 'protect'.

## With אחרי aHarey

Only for a few verbs etc. of 'pursuit' or 'interest', eg. מרד radaf 'pursue', עקב akav 'follow' (= understand), מצוד matsod 'pursuit', משוגע meshuga 'crazy (about)'. A more formal alternative is אחר aHar.

## With בפני bifney

With עמד amad 'withstand' and עמיד amid 'resistant'. Most terms of 'protection' take מפ mi- or מפני mipney (see above).

### 15.7 Double objects

### 15.7.1 Types of double object

Many verbs allow two objects - one of them usually with et (thus no adjectives take two objects) and the other not. ${ }^{20}$ The only common
 and העביר heevir 'take..across (the road etc.)'.

Examples are:

| hitna kibed et...be.. | 'make..conditional on...' 'honour...with...' | התנד את....... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hisgir } \\ & \text { her'a } \end{aligned}$ | 'hand over...to...' 'show...to...' | הראגיר ל...את... |
| $\underset{\text { hirgil }}{\text { hit'im }}$ et...le. | 'adapt...to...' 'get...used to...' | הרגאיל את...ל... |
| hifkid | 'put...in charge of...' | הפקיד |
| kafa et...al... | 'foist...on...' | כפה את...لעל... |
| asar | 'forbid...to...' | אסר |
| mana et im | 'count...among...' | מנה את עם |
| hishva et...im... | 'compare..with...' | שווה את...עם... |
| $\underset{\text { mana }}{\text { ga'al }} \text { et...mi... }$ | 'redeem...from...' 'deny...to...' | גנעל את...מ... |
| siveg et...ke.. | 'classify...as...' | סיווג את...... |
| hoda le..al... | 'thank...for...' | הודה ל...על... |

### 15.7.2 Word order

The order of direct vs. indirect object and even vs. adverbial is largely a matter of information and emphasis - notably, 'known information' (in particular, a definite noun) generally precedes 'new information' (an indefinite noun), as in $(1,2)$; plus a secondary factor: the short tends to precede the long. Where both objects are definite, there is no clear-cut preference (3):
(1) lamádeti me-ha-aH sheli káma dvarim

למדתי מהאח שלי כמה דברים I-learned from the brother my a-few things 'I learned a few things from my brother'
(2) HataHt ba-sakin ha-ze máshehu bsari?

חתכת בסכין הזה משהו בשרי! you-cut with-the knife the this something meaty? 'Did you cut something meaty with this knife?
(3) tuHal latet
 'Can you give [to] this boy this prayer-shawl this prayer-shawl to this boy
But where both objects are indefinite ('new information'), direct objects precede most types of indirect objects, as listed in 15.7 .1 above ${ }^{21}$

Object pronouns have their own word order, being 'clitics', i.e unstressed words. This even extends to adverbial use of pronouns, eg. בו bo meaning 'with it'; thus this is a 'lexical rule'.

Vis-à-vis a noun we have:


Examples are:
emor lo káma milim
ten otam le-ári
hu yazik bo le-míshehu

אמור לו כמה מלים
'Say some words to him'

תן אותם לארי
'Give them to Ari'
הוא יזיק בו למישהו 'He'll injure someone with it'
itHa et ha-menahel
et ha-menahel itHa

ניסיתי להפגיש את המנהל את אתהך
את המנהל אתף
'I tried to have you meet with the manager'

Vis- $\grave{a}$-vis a second pronoun, the order hierarchy is more complex and variable It is approximately:

| (1) lo | לו |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Downarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ |
| (2) bo | בו |
| $\Downarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ |
| (3) oto | אותו |
| $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| (4) alav, miménu | עליו, ממנו |
| $\downarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ |
| (5) ito | אתו |
| $\downarrow$ | $\Downarrow$ |
| (6) elav | אליו |

Sometimes $(2,3)$ may rank equal in terms of order, and similarly $(3,4)$. Examples are:

| takir lánu oto | תכיר לנו אותו 'Introduce him to us' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ha-miktsóa ha-ze, mi inyen \{bo otam/otam bo\} | המקצוע הזה, מי עיניין בו אותם/אותם בו <br> 'This subject, who interested them in it?' |
| timtsa niyar atifa ve-taatof \{bo otam/otam bo\} | תמצא נייר עטיפה ותעטוף <br> בו אותם/אותם בו <br> ind wrapping paper and wrap them in it' |

ha-ishun ze she-him'is oto alay
nisiti lehafgish oto ita
ze asuy lehargil otaH elav

העישון זה שהמאיס אותו עלי 'Smoking is what put me off him'

ניסיתי להפגיש אותו אתה
'I tried to have him meet her'
זה עשוי להרגיל אותך אליו
'It's likely to get you used to him'
 pronouns that they form ( $\ldots$ לי, לי li, leHa etc.) are shorter than those formed
 $l e-$, $b e$-, et objects also shows up in the fact that they are sometimes (and את et usually) able to become subjects by means of passivization, the preposition dropping in the process (see 14.6); so too do על al objects.
If the object is a clause or infinitive, it must follow any other object:

### 15.8 Specification objects: נוטף מים notef máyim ‘dripping with water’

 There are two types of 'quasi-object', denoting not the object of a physical or mental activity but rather specifying the activity: 'direct objects of specification', as in example (1), and ' ב-be- objects of specification', as in (2, 3):(1) ha-nahar shorets neHashim the river is-swarming snakes
(2) ha-kfar mukaf (be-)ginot the village is-ringed (with) gardens
(3) kisit otánu (be-)avak!
you've-covered us (with) dust!

הנהר שורץ נחשים
'The river is swarming with snakes'
הכפר מוקף (ב)גינות
'The village is ringed with gardens'
כיסית אותנו (ב)אבק!
'You've covered us with dust!'

These objects are unlike other objects: (1) The quasi-‘direct object' cannot be made definite nor passivized. This is a 'middle object'. (2) The quasi-' ב beobject' is unlike all other indirect objects: it has the option of dropping ב- be-, provided the noun is indefinite and the word order is verb-object. It involves a stative verb or adjective, commonly a passive participle as in (2), or one implying a state, as in (3).
These quasi-object constructions can be explained in terms of their semantics: they are semantically akin to two constructions which have a subject instead of a quasi-object, and a locative adverbial (example 1 below) or an object $(2,3)$ instead of the subject seen in the examples above ${ }^{23}$
(1) neHashim shortsim ba-nahar snakes are-swarming in-the river
(2) ginot makifot et ha-kfar
gardens ring ом the village
(3) avak meHase otánu
dust is-covering us

נחשים שורצים בנהר
'Snakes are swarming in the river'

גינות מקיפות את הכפר
'Gardens ring the village'
אבק מכסה אותנו
'Dust is covering us'

Note, however, that the quasi-object construction suggests a 'superlative' state of affairs; the verbs in the first set of examples above can take 'superlative stress', denoting 'absolutely swarming with' and so on. It furthermore suggests an unmethodical or non-deliberate situation. Where a deliberate action is intended, ב- be- tends to be retained:
ha-kos mele'a be-máyim
ha-mita mele'a máyim
kisiti oto be-smiHot

הכום מלאה במים
'The glass is full of [= lit. filled with] water'

Further examples of quasi-object constructions of type (1) are (מים...) nataf (máyim....) ‘drip with (water,...), (....) שפע (שאט־נפק) shafa (sheatnéfesh....) 'brim with (revulsion,...), קרן (אושר) karan (ósher) 'beam with (happiness)'; of type (2): גדוש ב- gadush be- 'brimming with', אחוז בaHuz be'gripped with'.
Quite distinct from such 'open' verb/adjective + object constructions are 'construct' phrases (described in 6.19), as in :

```
hem ashirey-neft
    הם עשירי־נפט
    CONSTRUCT (m.pl.)
they rich oil
```

These are of three general types. (a) Sometimes they have no 'open' equivalent, eg. עיוורי־צבעים ivrey-tsva'im ‘colour blind', מלאי־תקווה mele'ey-tikva 'hope-filled', הרי־אסון harey-ason 'pregnant with danger'. (b) Often they are idiomatic derivatives of 'quasi-object' ב- עשירי־נפט ashe, eg. עמשי arey-neft 'oilrich', מוכי־פחד mukey-páHad 'fear-struck', ספוגת־דמעות sfugat-dma'ot 'tear-soaked', אפופי־עשן afufey-ashan 'smoke-wreathed'. (c) Rarely, they derive from another open equivalent חסרי־בית ~ חסרים בית Hasrey báyit ~ Haserim báyit 'lacking a home', צמאי־תורה ~ צמאים לתורה tsme'eytora $\sim$ tsme'im le-tora 'Torah-thirsty'.

FURTHER READING
Azar 1972, 1977: 2.6; Ben-Asher 1972: ch. 4; Berman 1982a,b; Cole 1976a; Gil 1982; Rabin 1974b; Rosén 1966b; Rubinstein 1971: 2.1, 5.5, 6; Sadka 1981: ch.15; Stern 1977, 1979, 1981; Ziv 1976.

## 16. 'Be' and 'have' constructions

### 16.1 Introduction

Clauses expressing 'be', 'exist' and 'have' have much in common. In past, future, infinitive and imperative clauses, they generally involve a form of the verb היה haya:

| 'be': | ha-méleH haya sémel | המלך היה ס |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | the king was symbol | 'The king was a symbol' |

'exist': haya méleH היה מלך,
was king
'There was a king'
היה לירדן מלך
'Jordan had a king'
In present tense clauses they occasionally employ no verb or particle whatsoever, but more commonly 'be' employs 'present tense copulas' (henceforth termed 'copulas') such as הוא hu 'is' and the negative אין en 'is not'; and 'exist' and 'have' employ the verb יש yesh 'there is' and the negative אין en 'there is not' (though in slightly different fashion):

| 'be': | ha-méleH hu sémel <br> the king is symbol |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 'exist': | yesh méleH <br> there-is king |
| 'The king is a symbol' |  |

Alternatively, 'be', 'exist' and 'have' may be expressed by words such as היווה hiva 'constitute', קיים kayam 'exist', בעל báal 'possessor' respectively, but these are not major constructions in themselves.

This chapter deals with the major 'be/have' constructions, focusing first on the 'copula' (16.2-8) and then on 'existential' (16.9) and 'have' (16.10) constructions.

### 16.2 The copula in general

The copulas הוא hu, $z e$ and formal - הנ hin- appear (if at all) between subject and predicate, where English would use the present tense of the verb 'to be'. אין en denotes the negative of 'be' in formal usage
hu and $z e$ inflect as follows:

| m.s. | hu | הוא | ze | ז\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f.s. | hi | היא | zot, zo, zu (c) | זאת, זו |
| m.pl. | hem | הם | éle élu | אלו |
| f.pl. | hen (F) | הן | êe, el | אלה, אלו |

The inflections of הנת hin- and אין en are listed in 18.2.4, together with an overall account of the way in which copulas agree with their subject or predicate
הוא $h u$, $z e$ and their inflections are weak particles quite unlike verbs or other parts of speech, including the verb היה haya. ${ }^{1}$ They can only occur between the subject and predicate, ${ }^{2}$ unlike היה haya or other verbs:
láma haya ha-shatiaH baaya למה *הוא השטיח בעיה?
'Why $\underset{\text { *is }}{\text { was }}$ the carpet $\langle a\rangle$ problem?'


By contrast, formal -הנ hin- and negative אין en have a somewhat broader function: they can appear between subject and verb, as in example (1), unlike הוא $h u$, ze and English 'be' - and in fact are a 'halfway house' between a copula and a true verb, being able to do without a subject pronoun $(2,3)$ just like a verb (4): ${ }^{3}$
(1) ha-méleH eno zoHer
the king neg + SUFF remembers
(2) (ani) enéni zoHer
(I) NEG + SUFF remember
(3) (ata) hinHa mitHayev leshalem (you) COP + SUFF undertake to-pay
(4) (ani) zaHárti
(I) $\mathrm{v}+1$ st s . SUFF

המלך אינו זוכר
'The king does not remember'
(אני) אינני זוכר
'I do not remember'
(אתה) הנך מתחייב לשלם 'You undertake to pay'
(אני) זכרתי
'I remembered'

אין en is discussed in detail with other negators in chapter 29. Thus this chapter focuses on הוא hu and ze (-נ hin- is ordinarily possible wherever hu is possible) ${ }^{4}$ For their agreement, see 18.2.4 and 18.3.1.

## Copula vs. pronoun

隹 $h u$, $z e$ and their inflections act both as copulas and as pronouns meaning 'he, it' etc. (see ch. 7). A distinction must therefore be drawn between example (1), with its copula, and examples (2,3), with the noun set off earlier in the sentence and taken up again by what is a subject pronoun: ${ }^{5}$
(1) ha-méleH hu general COP

המלך הוא גנרל 'The King is a general'
(2) ha-méleH - hu general PRONOUN
(3) gam ha-méleH safek im hu yofia PRONOUN

המלך - הוא גנרל
'The King - he is a general'
גם המלך ספק אם הוא יופיע
'It is doubtful if the King will appear either'

## 16.3 'Be' in noun+noun clauses

### 16.3.1 Introduction

Noun+noun clauses are those where both subject and predicate are a noun (or a noun with modifiers), eg.:
ha-malka hi sémel amami המלכה היא סמל עממי

| N | N |
| :---: | :--- |
| NOUN PHR | NOUN PHR | 'The queen is a popular symbol'

Noun+noun clauses are of seven types, as shown in the following table:

| subject | $+$ | predicate |  | example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pronoun | + | Noun | at ktsina | את קצינה 'You are an officer' |
| Possessive definite noun |  | Noun | aHoti ktsina | אחותי קצינה 'My sister is an officer' |
| Proper noun | + | Noun | orit ktsina | אורית קצינה 'Orit is an officer' |
| Definite noun with - ה- ha- 'the' | + | Noun | ha-pamot hu matana | הפמוט הוא מתנה 'The candlestick is a gift' |
| Indefinite noun | + | Noun | betsim hen mazon nóaH | ביצים הן מזון נוח 'Eggs are a convenient food' |
| Non-specific phrase | + | Noun | ma she-maftía ze kolo | מה שמפתיע זה קולו 'What's surprising is his voice' |
| Subordinate clause | + | Noun | lehizdaken ze busha? | להזדקן זה בושה! 'Is getting old a disgrace'? |

These seven types of subject all differ in the behaviour of their copula. Following details of this, an explanation will be offered in the form of a 'scale of definiteness' in 16.3.9.

### 16.3.2 'Be' with a pronoun as subject

Neither definite pronouns, eg. אני ani ‘I' and ז $z e$ 'it', nor indefinite pronouns, eg. מישהו mishehu 'someone', generally use a copula:

| aHshav ani ha-sho'er now I the goalkeeper | עכשיו אני השוער 'Now I'm the goalkeeper' |
| :---: | :---: |
| zot ha-siba that the reason | זאת הסיבה <br> 'That is the reason' |
| míshehu shakran someone liar | מישהו שקרן 'Someone's a liar' |

There are three important exceptions, all involving copular הוא hu rather than ז $z e$ :

Demonstrative subject pronouns, i.e A r ze 'this' and its inflections, optionally allow the copula הוא hu (and its inflections) in most instances: ${ }^{6}$

'We were at the Tanur. This is a huge waterfall in the Galilee'
éle hen ha-breHot ha-rashiyot
אלה הן הבריכות הראשיות
'These are the main pools'
In the other two exceptions a copula is obligatory because the predicate is more definite than the subject: the subject may be an indefinite pronoun whereas the predicate is a definite noun (1), or the predicate may simply be not only definite but the 'given' (as against the 'new') information in the clause (2,3):
(1) míshehu hu ha-ganav DEF N
(2) az at hi ha-gvéret she-meHapéset bébisiter? 'So you are the lady who's looking for a baby-sitter?'
(3) ani hu ze she-pihákti

אני הוא זה שפיהקתי
'I am the one who yawned'

### 16.3.3 'Be' with a possessive definite noun as subject

Possessive definite nouns involve a suffixed pronoun, and are thus akin to pronouns themselves (see 16.3.2). The use of the copula depends largely on the predicate: with an indefinite predicate, the copula is uncommon, otherwise it is usual.

This copula can be F ze and its feminine forms (for agreement see 18.2.4) in casual usage when the predicate noun denotes someone/something already identifiable in advance, i.e 'given': ${ }^{7}$

| mi ze baali? baali ze ha-baHur she-nivHar la-tafkid she-ratsí | מי זה בעלי! בעלי זה הבחור שנבחר לתפקיד שרצית |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ? My husband is the guy |

as against a case where the predicate is not a person already known (here הוא $h u$ is required):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *baali ze aHshav ha-menahel shel ha-snif בעלי זה עכשיו המנהל של הסניף", } \quad \text { 'My husband is now the manager of the branch' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 16.3.4 'Be' with a proper noun as subject

Whether a proper noun uses a copula depends on the predicate With an indefinite predicate, the copula is uncommon except where the predicate is 'heavier' (in length or complexity) than its subject, as in (3) below: ${ }^{8}$
(1) orit zaméret
(2) gam raHel íma tova
(3) orit (hi) shminístit tipusit COP

אורית זמרת
'Orit (is) a singer'
גם רחל אמא טובה 'Even Rachel (is) a good mother'

אורית (היא) שמיניסטית טיפוסית
'Orit is a typical eighth-grader'

But with a definite predicate, the copula is obligatory: ${ }^{9}$

| orit hi ha-rishona |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DEF | אורית היא הראשונה |
| 'Orit is the first' |  |

The use of re ze as copula is as in 16.3.3.

### 16.3.5 'Be' with a definite noun as subject (with ה ה ha- 'the')

Here the copula is common, particularly when the subject is 'heavy', i.e long or, as in example (3), complex by virtue of containing a relative clause or the like; the copula helps keep in mind that a subject noun has been mentioned (see $16.3 .9,16.5$ ). The copula is obligatory when the predicate too is definite, as in (4):
(1) ha-mezuza (hi) matana DEF INDEF
(2) ha-míkser (hu) lo matana DEF INDEF

המזוזה (היא) מתנה
'The mezuza is gift'
המיקסר (הוא) לא מתנה
'The mixer isn't a gift'
(3) ha-tsaatsu'im she-arázti hem matana DEF REL CLAUSE INDEF
(4) ha-mezuza hi ha-matana sheli DEF DEF

הצעצועים שארזתי הם מתנה 'The toys that I packed are a gift'

המזוזה היא המתנה שלי
'The mezuza is my gift'

Casual $\operatorname{nize}$ and its feminine forms are more common as copulas than in 16.3.3-4: they are found even with indefinite predicates, provided these are inanimate:
ha-méHes ze sipur aHer
המכס זה סיפור אחר
INANIMATE PRED
'The customs is another story'

### 16.3.6 'Be' with an indefinite noun as subject

Here the copula is obligatory in most usage הוא hu is the most flexible:
shisha biskvitim hem lo aruHa
m.pl. m.pl.
betsim hen mazon nóaH
f.pl. f.pl.
sukar hu klala
m.s. m.s.

ששה ביסקוויטים הם לא ארוחה
'Six biscuits are not a meal'
ביצים הן מזון נוח
'Eggs are a convenient food'
סוכר הוא קללה
'Sugar is a curse'
it $z e$ and its feminine forms are also common, casually, either with a 'given' predicate (see 16.3.3) or with an inanimate predicate (particularly where the subject too is inanimate):

| dapim | עי |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

sufot reamim \{ze/zu\} tofa'a muzara סופות רעמים \}הת/ה תופעה מוזרה f.pl. m.s.f.f. 'Thunderstorms are a strange phenomenon'
rof'im ze mikre meyuHad
m.pl. m.s. INANIMATE PRED

רופאים זה מקרה מיוחד
'Doctors are a special case'
as against:
rof'im hem anashim metuHim
animate pred

רופאים הם אנשים מתוחים
'Doctors are tense people'

### 16.3.7 'Be' in clauses of specification, i.e. a non-specific subject

Clauses of specification involve a non-specific subject such as מה בה ...ש ma-she-... 'what...', הראשון ha-rishon 'the first one', הטוב ביותר ha-tov beyoter 'the best'. A copula is necessary - הוא hu in formal usage, בת ב ze otherwise (For agreement, see 18.2.4 and 18.3.1): ${ }^{10}$
ma she-matrid oti ze ha-dam what that bothers me is the blood

מה שמטריד אותי זה הדם 'What bothers me is the blood'

### 16.3.8 ' Be ' with a subordinate clause as subject

By ‘subordinate clause’ we mean infinitive clauses (eg. להגיד לו lehagid lo 'to tell him') as well as finite clauses (eg. שיגידו לו she-yagidu lo 'that they will tell him'). The copula $z e$ is required here, even in formal usage: ${ }^{11}$
$\underbrace{\text { (ze) she-en la raHamim }}_{\text {FINITE CLAUSE }} \mathbf{z e}$ busha
lehizdaken káHa ze davar meHubad
(זה) שאין לה רחמים זה בושה
'That she has no pity is a disgrace'
להזדקן ככה זה דבר מכובד
INF CLAUSE
'To age that way is an honourable thing'

### 16.3.9 The copula in noun+noun clauses: summary

The role of the copula is akin to that of 'agreement suffixes': rather than having inherent meaning (in most cases), it makes it clearer where subject ends and predicate begins. But this is at best an approximation - consider examples $(1,2)$ :

| (1) ha-Hom (hu) baaya | 'The heat is a problem' | החום (הוא) בעיה |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) azbest mesukan | 'Asbestos is dangerous' <br> or 'dangerous asbestos' |  |

(1) is clearly a whole sentence even without a copula, while (2) is allowed to be ambiguous (either subject+predicate or a simple 'noun+modifier' phrase) with no insistence on use of the copula.

## The definiteness hierarchy

The role of the copula is rather to 'reinforce' a subject that is relatively 'indefinite' (and apparently in need of special marking, inasmuch as subjects are typically definite).
(1) When the subject is a pronoun - the peak of definiteness - the copula is generally not used.
Otherwise:
(2) Where the predicate is itself definite, it detracts from the 'weight' of the subject and necessitates a copula in most instances.
But where the predicate is indefinite:
(3) The copula is fairly uncommon with a suffixed possessive noun or proper noun as subject.
(4) The copula is likely with a somewhat less definite subject, i.e a noun with - $\boldsymbol{n}$ ha- 'the'.
（5）The copula is obligatory with an indefinite subject．The least definite are the non－specific subject（definite only by virtue of the predicate specifying them） and especially the subject clause；${ }^{12}$ and it is no coincidence that the copula ה $z e$ is so common with the former and obligatory with the latter（rather than הוא hu），for it is related to the pronoun $z e$ which is more neuter and impersonal than the pronoun הוא hu（see ch．7）．

## 16.4 ＇Be＇in noun＋clause clauses

Subordinate clauses are akin to nouns，both as subjects（see 16．3．8） and as predicates．As predicates，where the subject is a non－specific noun（see 16．3．7），they make the copula very likely：

> ha-kavana hi she-ha-ti’ul meyushan הכוונה היא שהתיעול מיושן
> 'The meaning is that the sewerage is antiquated'
ha－matara hi liklóa kol páam，
המטרה היא לקלוע כל פעם ＇The aim is to score a hit every time＇

Where the subject is a suffixed noun－more＇definite＇－the copula is common （save where the predicate clause is＇subjunctive＇rather than＇factive＇，see 30．2．2）：

kavanaténu she－yeHonan shalom be－ezorénu כוונתנו שיכונן שלום באיזורנו
modal clause
＇Our intention 〈is〉 that peace be established in our region＇

## 16．5＇ $\mathbf{B e}$＇in noun＋adjective clauses

Here the copula depends on the nature of the noun phrase and adjec－ tive phrase In any event，it is usually הוא hu（and its inflections）．${ }^{13}$

Ambiguity is a factor．Since indefinite noun＋adjective can in principle be either a mere phrase or a whole clause，it tends to have a copula when it is a clause－in casual usage：

Hatsilim hem teimim＇Eggplants are tasty’ חצילים הם טעימים
Conversely，definite noun＋adjective can only be a whole clause anyway，so a copula is unnecessary：${ }^{14}$
ha－Hatsilim teimim＇The eggplants 〈are〉 tasty＇החצילים טעימים
However，even more crucial is the relative＇weight＇of the subject and predicate， in all usage With a heavier predicate，the copula is rendered less likely，as in examples（ 1,2 ）；with heavier subjects the copula is favoured，as a＇boundary marker＇recalling that a subject noun has been mentioned（3）：${ }^{15}$
（1）Hatsilim teimim yoter
חצילים טעימים יותר
eggplants tasty more
＇Eggplants are tastier＇

| （2） | biskvítim mele＇im be－sukar biscuits full of sugar | ביסקוויטים מלאים בסוכר ＇Biscuits are full of sugar＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （3） | ha－biskvitim she－natat li etmol hem ayumim subj <br> ＇The biscuits you | הביסקוויטים שנתת לי אתמול הם איומים ve me yesterday are awful |

## 16.6 ＇$B e^{\prime}$ in noun＋adverbial clauses

The copula here depends on definiteness and the type of adverbial． It cannot be re ze

With a highly definite subject（pronoun，proper noun，suffixed noun）copulas are unlikely：

| moshe be－paris | ＇Moshe 〈is $\rangle$ in Paris＇ | משה בפריס |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ani lo ba－inyanim | ＇I（＇m $\rangle$ not in the swing of things＇ |  |
| míshehu ba－Huts | ＇Someone（＇s〉 outside＇ |  |

With a definite noun with - ה ha－＇the＇，the copula is commonly used to＇rein－ force＇the subject（as in 16.3 ．9 for noun＋noun clauses）－but less often with lit－ eral adverbials of place：
ha－sha＇on hu le－kishut
השעון הוא לקישוט
＇The watch is for decoration＇
ha－magafáyim hem shel yáakov
המגפיים הם של יעקב
＇The boots are Yaakov＇s＇
ha－matlim ba－aron
המתלים בארון
＇The hangers 〈are〉 in the closet＇
The question does not arise with indefinite subjects：with or without a copula， they are impossible：${ }^{16}$
＊kélev（hu）ba－salon
dog is in－the lounge
＊atifa na’a（hi）la－séfer
cover nice is to－the book
＊כלב（הוא）בסלון （＇A dog is in the lounge＇）
＊עטיפה נאה（היא）לספר （＇The book has a nice cover＇）

## 16.7 ＇Be＇with＇question words＇

＇Question words＇，eg．מי mi＇who＇，can be subject or predicate of＇be＇． The distinction is a delicate one，as they come first in the clause in either event． This distinction is best seen as＇given＇vs．＇new＇information，rather than＇sub－ ject＇vs．＇predicate＇．In example（1）below מ מ mi＇who＇may be＇given＇and thus mean＇who of certain people already under discussion？＇；in example（2）מי mi is definitely＇new＇，i．e an open＇who？＇：
(1) mi ha-bos

מי הבוס! $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { GIVEN } & \text { new } \\ \text { NEW } & \text { given }\end{array}\right\}$ 'Who (is) the boss?'
(2) mi hu ha-bos?

מי הוא הבוס! New GIVEN

Only in type (2) is the copula used.

## With a proper noun ${ }^{17}$ as 'given'

Here a copula is usually required, formally הוא hu and casually זה $z e$ (for agreement see 18.2.4): ${ }^{18}$

| $\mathrm{mi}\{\mathrm{hu} / \mathrm{ze}\}$ éli? | 'Who is Eli?' | מי (הוא/זה) עלי! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma \{ hi/ze/zot $\}$ Herut? | 'What is liberty?' | מה \}היא/זה/זאת\{ חרות! |
| ma \{hem/ze $\}$ Hukim? | 'What are laws?' | מה \}הם/זה חוקים? |
| efo $\{$ hi/ze $\}$ arad? | 'Where is Arad?' | איפה \}היא/ זה, ערד! |
| matay $\{\mathrm{hu} / \mathrm{ze}\}$ purim? | 'When is Purim?' | מתי \}הוא/זה, פורים? |

## With a common noun as 'given'

Here the copula is mostly optional, though with mi 'who' it can affect the meaning. It is usually הוא hu, rarely $z e$ :
 (expecting a proper noun in reply, eg. מר לוי mar levi 'Mr Levi’) ${ }^{19}$ ma (hi) ha-siba?

מה (היא) הסיבה!
'What is the reason?'
éze hu ha-mivne ha-tov beyoter?
איזה הוא המבנה הטוב ביותר? 'What is the best structure?'
(copula obligatory)
efo (hu) ha-sakin?
איפה (הוא) הסכין! 'Where is the knife?'

For places (as against people, things) the copula is uncommon:
éfo beyto shel ha-rav? 'Where's the Rabbi’s house?' איפה ביתו של הרב!
matay ha-kontsert? 'When is the concert?' מתי הקונצרט?
bat káma (hi) aHotHa? ‘How old’s your sister?’ בת כמה (היא) אחותך?

### 16.8 The copula in relative clauses, and 'hanging copulas'

Relative clauses frequently omit the relative pronoun referring back to the antecedent noun (see ch.33), thus:

ata ha-ish she-ani rotse | antecedent rel clause |
| :--- |
| אתה האיש שאני רוצה |
| you the man that I want | 'You're the man that I want'

This includes (in casual usage) relative pronouns that would be understood as predicate of 'be':

| shakranim ze ma she-ha-politikáim ha-éle | שקרנים זה מה שהפוליטיקאים |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'Liars is what these politicians <are)' |

taamod éfo she-ha-paH zével stand where that the garbage can

תעמוד איפה שהפח-זבל
'Stand where the garbage can (is)'

However, the process of indefinite object deletion (see 15.4), which even deletes the predicate of the verb היה haya 'be' (1), is incapable of deleting the predicate of the present tense copula (2):
(1) enéni kohen, aval ani Hoshev אינני כהן, אבל אני חושב she-savi haya

שסבי היה
'I am not a priest, but I think that my grandfather was'
(2) *...aval ani Hoshev she-savi אבל אני חושב שסבי...*
'...but I think that my grandfather 〈is)'
In either event, the copula itself cannot be left 'hanging' at the end of the clause (see 16.2):
*tafran ze ma she-ha-shaHen hu
*תפרן זה מה שהשכן הוא hard-up is what that the neighbour is
16.9 Existential clauses: שמלים yesh nemalim 'There are ants'

Existential clauses denote 'there is...' or 'there exists...' or 'the.. is found in... $:^{20}$
(1) yesh nemalim!
'There are ants!'
יש נמלים!
(2) yesh (et) ha-nemalim ha-éle ba-árets exist (ом) the ants the these in Israel

יש (את) הנמלים האלה בארץ 'These ants are found in Israel'

They are a verb+noun phrase construction. ${ }^{21}$ The verb is usually $ש$ י yesh (not a copula) for the present tense and a form of היה haya 'be' for other tenses. The verb precedes the noun, except for contrast. This in itself does not make the noun the object of the verb, but in fact casual Hebrew treats it as such (see further 15.2) by inserting the 'object marker' את et when the noun is definite, as in example (2) above Formal Hebrew tends not to, ${ }^{22}$ which reflects the fact that formally the existential verb must agree openly with the noun in all tenses
but the present, as in examples (1,3), and even there it can agree sometimes (see 18.2.2 for details) - and all verbs agree with their subject:
(1) hayu nemalim
'There were ants'
היו נמלים pl. pl.
(2) תברר באיזה אבקת ניקוי ישנם (ה)תמונות של השחקנים tevarer be-éze avkat nikuy yesh yeshnam (pl.) (ha-)tmunot shel ha-saHkanim
'Check up in which washing powder there are (the) pictures of the players'
In casual usage, however, the verb היה haya sometimes fails to agree, i.e it is frozen as 3 rd masculine singular, especially when there is a definite noun introduced by את et (18.2.2, examples 3,4).
The negative of יש לא יש yesh is never לא היה...lo yesh (as against לא לא haya... 'was not...', ... הוא לא hu lo... 'is not...'), but rather אין en:
en nemalim 'There aren't 〈any〉 ants' אין נמלים
Where there is a noun accompanied by an adverbial of place, no verb is needed in formal Hebrew, provided the adverbial comes first and the noun is 'quantified' ${ }^{23}$
> be-shida zot shesh megerot
> בשידה זאת שש מגירות
> in chest-of-drawers this six drawers 'In this chest-of-drawers are six drawers'

A quite distinct construction is 'noun+agreeing היה hesh, היה haya' denoting 'is in, is present'. This is a subject+verb construction in every sense, with standard word order, though clearly related to existentials (for agreement, see 18.2.2):

> hi hayta aval hi enéna aHshav she was but she is-not now

היא היתה אבל היא איננה עכשיו 'She was in but she isn't in now'

Another distinct construction is the 'situational generic' (described in 17.3). This can use certain nouns or adjectives as clauses in their own right, a kind of predicate without a subject. Existential יש yesh is not used here, nor אין en in the negative, but rather לא haya is used, as a tense marker:
kenire she-HósheH kvar
apparent that darkness already

כנראה שחושך כבר
'Apparently it's dark already'

### 16.10 'Have' clauses

'Have' clauses are structurally akin to existential clauses: they are generally as illustrated below. The verb is יש אין yesh (or negative) in the present tense and a form of haya in other tenses:

| le-míri yesh | priHa | למירי יש פריחה |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| POSSESSOR 'HAVE' | POSSESSED | Miri has a rash' |
| PREP PHR | N PHR |  |
| to Miri exists rash |  |  |

or:

| yesh | le-míri | priHa | ' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 'HAVE' | POSSESSOR | POSSESSED | 'Miri has a rash' |
| v | PREP PHR | N PHR |  |
| exists to Miri rash |  |  |  |

Thus, as in existentials, the verb generally precedes the noun phrase; the added element in 'have' clauses is the ל-le- preposition phrase, which may either precede or follow the verb but must precede the noun phrase ${ }^{24}$ (i.e 'possessor' precedes 'possessed', as in English 'have'). However, where the 'possessor' is a suffixed pronoun (a 'clitic', see 15.7), the usual non-contrastive order is verb+'possessor'+'possessed':

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { yesh la priHa } \\
\text { exists to-her rash } & \text { 'She has a rash' }
\end{array}
$$

As in existentials, the 'possessed' noun is treated as the object in casual Hebrew by insertion of the 'definite object marker' את et (examples (1,2)); and even formal usage tacitly concedes this: it avoids using את et but it also tends to avoid not using it, especially with a pronoun (examples (3,4)), by having recourse to some different construction (such as example (5)): ${ }^{25}$
(1) yiye lánu et ha-néshek bekarov

יהיה לנו את הנשק בקרוב
will-exist to-us ом the weaponry shortly 'We will have the weaponry shortly'
(2) yiye lánu oto bekarov
will-exist to-us it (OBJ) shortly
יהיה לנו אותו בקרוב
'We will have it shortly'
(3) yiye lánu ha-néshek bekarov
will-exist to-us the weaponry shortly
יהיה לנו הנשק בקרוב 'We will have the weaponry shortly'
(4) *yiye lánu hu bekarov
will-exist to-us it (SUBJ) shortly
*יהיה לנו הוא בקרוב ('We will have it shortly')
(5) yiye lánu bekarov
will-exist to-us shortly
יהיה לנו בקרוב
'We will have it shortly'
As for agreement, in formal and some casual usage the 'have' verb agrees with its 'possessed' noun (which ordinarily follows it, see above), as in (1) below, but casually the verb may be frozen into 3rd masculine singular, especially where there is $\kappa \pi t$, as in (2). ${ }^{26}$ This is as in existentials; the difference is that 'have' clauses do not allow $\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}$ yesh to take suffixes (3), these being reserved for 'existentials' in the narrow sense of the word:
(1) hayta li brera?

היתה לי ברירה!
f.s. f.s.
'Did I have a choice?'
(2) haya li gam et ha-kosot ha-éle m.s. f.pl.
(3) *yeshnam lánu harbe sugim m.pl m.pl.

היה לי גם את הכוסות האלה 'I also had these glasses' *ישנם לנו הרבה סוגים ('We have many types')

The same 'adverbial' inversion is available as in existentials, and without a verb:
le-yisra'el milyon nesi'im to Israel million presidents

לישראל מליון נשיאים
'Israel has a million presidents'

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1976, 1977: ch.4, 1978; Berman 1978: ch.6, 1980b; Hayon 1971, 1972, 1973; Rubinstein 1968; Sadka 1981; Schwarzwald 1982a; Turkel 1976; Ziv 1976.

## 17. Ellipsis and subject-less clauses

### 17.1 Introduction

The lack of a subject, verb or object is sometimes due to general processes avoiding repetition: 'conjunction reduction' and 'gapping', as in examples $(1,2)$ below, are described in chapter $35 .{ }^{1}$
(1) ata lokéaH oto o mash'ir oto?
(2) ha-gvarim Hovshim kova'im ve-ha-nashim mitpaHot

'Are you taking it or leaving it?'
הגברים חובשים כובעים והנשים - מטפחות
'The men wear hats and the women kerchiefs'
But sometimes more restricted processes of ellipsis are at work; and sometimes the lack of a subject is not a matter of ellipsis but of a fundamentally subject-less verb or predicate

### 17.2 Ellipsis of the definite and the indefinite

The omission of subject pronouns is described in 7.3. They are already explicitly marked in the past and future tense affixes, and here the separate subject pronouns are often omitted - the 1 st and 2 nd person pronouns meticulously so in formal usage, the 3rd person pronoun less often and only when referring to people:

> ha-aHot hevía me’il. hayta nekia ve-na’a haya naki ve-na’e האחות הביאה מעיל. ההיתה נקי ונאה ונאה
the nurse brought coat. was (f.) clean and nice
*was (m.) clean and nice
'The nurse brought a coat. She (*it) was clean and nice'
The object may be omitted if just mentioned, provided it is non-specific and the previous mention was indefinite: ${ }^{2}$
im at hizmant tayar(im) habáyta, INDEF gam li mutar lehazmin
aHarey she-éytan matsa késef, kulam matsu

אחרי שאיתן מצא כסף, כולם מצאו 'After Eytan found money, they all found (some)'

### 17.3 Subject-less generics

In place of a generic subject denoting 'things in general' or 'people in general', Hebrew often can, and sometimes must, do without a subject. This is not ellipsis: no repeated material is being omitted and indeed the subject-less construction is often the basic construction.

## Generic things: situational and experiential

Verbs, adjectives and nouns describing the situation or its effect on feelings ('experience') need no subject if a generic 'it' (i.e no reference to any particular noun) is intended (cf. 7.11):
yadáti she-tov po 'I knew that 〈it's〉fine here' ידעתי שטוב פה
Subject re ze 'it' is sometimes added colloquially:
yadáti she-ze tov po 'I knew that it’s fine here’ ידעתי שזה טוב פה Further examples of predicates needing no subject: ${ }^{3}$ ח Ham 'it's hot', נקי נaki 'it's clean', צפוף tsafuf'it's crowded', נחמד neHmad 'it's nice', קשה kashe 'it's hard', מזל mazal 'it's lucky', חבל Haval 'it's a pity', אכפת iHpat 'it matters', .... Hazaka she.. 'it can be assumed that...', כזקה kef'it's fun', מפריע לי mafria li 'it bothers me'.
By contrast, certain verbs and other predicates never have a subject. Most express a feeling or experience, using $\boldsymbol{l} l$ - (the dative marker) rather than the 'direct object marker' את et for the person involved - thus suggesting a less deliberate action by an impersonal 'agent', namely a non-specific 'situation', while at the same time the person involved is a passive experiencer: ${ }^{4}$

| tov lo aHshav | טוב לו עכשיו |
| :---: | :---: |
| fine to-him now | 'He's fine now' |
| meshaamem le-miryam bores to Miriam | משעמם למרים <br> 'Miriam's bored' |

but:
ze meshaamem et miryam it bores ом Miriam
Further examples (when used without ל-le-, some of these do take a subject): רע לי ra li ‘I feel bad', קר לי kar li ‘I'm cold', קשה עלי kashe alay 'it's hard for me', בא לי ba li 'I fancy', מסתדר לי mistader li 'I'm managing', מת mitbalbel li' 'I’m getting confused', ...ש מוטב mutav she.. 'it is better that...', ... ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (day im... 'it is sufficient if...', אפשר efshar 'it's possible'. One verb that takes את st is צריך tsariH: צריך את אלה tsariH et éle 'There is a need for these'.
Other predicates never introduced by a subject ir ze 'it' but usually 'anchored' by an adverbial of time or place are terms for telling the time or date and certain other 'environmentals':
oy - aHshav éser!
אוי - עכשיו עשר!
oh - now ten!
ata yodéa she-(ha-sha'a) éser va-réva?
you know that (the hour) ten and quarter?
kenir'e she-HosheH ba-Huts
apparent that darkness outside

אתה יודע ש(השעה) עשר ורבע! 'Do you know that it's 10.15?'

כנראה שחושך בחוץ 'It's apparently dark outside'

A further class of 'non-activity' verb, expressing 'having' and 'being', is generally treated as subject-less in casual usage, for the item 'possessed' or 'existing' acts as object in certain respects - see 15.2 and 16.9-10:
yesh baayot
obj
en li et ha-baaya ha-zot
there-isn't to-me ом the problem the this

יש בעיות
'There are problems'

אין לי את הבעיה הזאת 'I haven't got this problem'

Similarly, חסר Haser 'is lacking', מגיע לי magía li 'I'm entitled to'.

## Generic people

A 3 rd person masculine plural verb or adjective with no subject is a sign of a generic subject. What 'generic' means varies with context; it can be much less general than English 'one' or 'you': ${ }^{5}$
ba-yamim ha-hem, kshe-hitatslu, neenshu בימים ההם, כשהתעצלו, נענשו 'In those days, when one was idle, one was punished'
kshe-atsbaniyim, kashe lilmod
כשעצבניצם, קשה ללמוד
'When you're upset, it's hard studying'
yod'im? 'Does anyone (here) know?' יודעים?
But a predicate noun requires a subject, thus ruling out the following:
*im atslanim, kashe lilmod

* אם עצלנים, קשה ללמוד
('If you're a lazybones, it's hard studying')
An infinitive not preceded by a noun that could be its subject is generally credited with a generic subject. ${ }^{6}$ As with the 3rd person plural, 'generic' varies with context:



## 18. Agreement in the clause

### 18.1 Introduction

Agreement operates (1) betwen the nucleus noun of a noun phrase and its adjectives, quantifiers etc., and (2) between the subject (occasionally the predicate) of a clause and its verb, adjective etc. The former are described in chapter 12; this chapter deals with the latter.

### 18.2 Agreement with the subject

The subject is the controlling element in most agreement. The predicate very occasionally determines agreement for copulas and 'neuter' subject pronouns (see 18.3).

### 18.2.1 Verb and adjective agreement

Verbs and adjectives always agree with their subject, if any. ${ }^{1}$ Past and future tense verbs agree for gender, number and person; present tense verbs, and adjectives, agree for gender and number. There is no agreement for definiteness. For example:
at teasi mefunéket את תיעשי מפונקת
f.s. FUT(2nd f.s.) $\operatorname{ADJ}(f . s$.$) \quad 'You will become spoilt'$
you will-become spoilt
hem yeasu mefunakim הם ייעשו מפונקים
m.pl. Fut(3rd m.pl.)AdJ(m.pl.) 'They'll become spoilt'
they will-become spoilt
Details of the inflectional forms of verbs and adjectives are set out in chapters 40 and 41 , respectively.

A subject-less (impersonal) verb or adjective uses the 'unmarked,' i.e 3rd person masculine singular, form - or the 3rd masculine plural form where there is an animate impersonal subject:

| lo hitHashek li |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3rd m.s. | לא התחשק לי |
| not fancied to-me | 'I didn't fancy' |

## Subject or object?

To state that verbs or adjectives agree with their subject is to beg the question of what is the subject. For instance, the verb חסר Haser 'lack' agrees with the noun ordinarily following it, in formal but not in casual usage:

| Formal: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Haser lo séHel | חסר לו שכל |
| m.s. m.s. | 'He lacks sense' |
| lacks to-him sense |  |
| Hasera lo em | חסרה לו אם |
| f.s. f.s. | 'He lacks a mother' |
| lacks to-him mother |  |
| Casual: |  |
| Haser lo séHel | שכל |
| Haser lo em |  |
|  | 'He lacks sense' |

It might at first appear that this verb does not agree with its subject in casual usage However, the fact that many verbs and adjectives do not need or permit a subject (eg. התחשק hitHashek 'fancy', illustrated above) raises the possibility that casual חסר Haser 'lack' takes just an object, hence its non-agreement. And indeed it requires the object marker את et when the noun is definite:

## Casual:

Haser lo et ha-késef lacks to-him ом the money

חסר לו את הכסף
'He lacks the money'
Thus verbs and adjectives may be said to agree with their subject in all usage
Further examples are discussed more fully in 15.2 , including the tricky case of יש/היה yesh/haya 'there is/was' (whose agreement is discussed in the next subsection).
18.2.2 Agreement of the existential verbs שי yesh, אין en 'there are/aren't' י $\operatorname{ש}$ י $e n$ serve as present tense existential verbs, meaning 'there is/are' (or '...is/are present') and their negative, respectively; in the other tenses one uses the regular forms of היה haya 'be' and its negative לא היה haya. ${ }^{2}$ For existentials in general, see 16.9 .
There are two existential uses, involving different types of agreement. In the sense 'is present, is not present', in the present tense, יש yesh and אין are used freely with a 3rd person (human) subject. They follow it and agree with it in gender and number, inflecting as follows:

| m.s. | yeshno | ישנו | enénu ${ }^{3}$ | איננו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f.s. | yeshna | ישנה | enéna | איננה |
| m.pl. | yeshnam | ישנם | enam | אינם |
| f.pl. | yeshnan (F) | ישנן | enan (F) | אינן |


| sávta yeshna $\quad$ סבתא ישנה (f.s.) | hem enam |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grandma is-present |  |
| 'Grandma's in' | they not-present (m.pl.) |
|  | 'They aren't in' |

But after a 1 st or 2 nd person pronoun only casual usage employs $w$ yesh and אינני , yeshni ישני en, often still with their 3rd person suffix rather than enéni etc.:
im ani enénu, az ani metalfen If I am-not-present, then I ring

אם אני איננו, אז אני מטלפן 'If I'm not there, I ring'

For the other tenses, similarly following the subject, היה haya is used in its regular gender, number and person inflections:

> ata hayita lifney réga?
> 2nd m.s.

אתה היית לפני רגע?
'Were you in a moment ago?'
In the looser sense 'there is, there exists' and its negative, one again uses $\boldsymbol{m}$, yesh, אין en and היה haya, but preceding the noun (except for contrast):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { haya minyan? - minyan lo haya } & \text { 'Was there a quorum? - } \\
\text { was prayer-quorum? - prayer-quorum not was } & \text { There wasn't' }
\end{array}
$$

אין en 'there is not' does not inflect. Nor does יש yesh in the sense 'there is/are', but in the stronger sense 'there exist(s)' it can optionally inflect as in the paradigm above: ישנו yeshno, ישנה yeshna etc.:

yesh | אין מזכירות |
| :--- |
| en | mazkirot

> 'There are 'There aren't <any יש(נם) קשיים או אין!
yesh(nam) kshayim o en? 'Are there difficulties or aren't there?'

解 haya agrees and inflects fully, except that in casual usage - with the strong tendency to treat existential nouns as object, by inserting the object marker את et for 'definite' nouns, as in examples $(1,2)$ below - היה haya sometimes does not agree, especially where את et is present $(3,4)$. See also 15.2 .
(1) yesh sham gam et sávta
there-is there also ом Grandma
(2) ba-árets en et ha-baaya ha-zot in Israel there-isn't ом the problem the this
(3) haya (m.s.)
hayta (f.s.) sham simHa gdola
f.s.
was there revelry great
(4) gam kan haya et ha-baaya ha-zot
m.s. ом f.s.
also here was the problem the this

יש שם גם את סבתא 'There's also Grandma there'

בארץ אין את הבעיה הזאת
'Israel doesn't have this problem'

היתה שם שמחה גדולה
'There was great revelry there'

גם כאן היה את הבעיה הזאת 'Here too there was such a problem'

### 18.2.3 Predicate noun agreement

## Gender

When a noun is predicate in a noun+noun clause (see 16.4), it sometimes agrees with its subject in gender, if the noun concerned is ordinarily capable of an intrinsic change in natural gender:

Hevrat bóing hi ha-yatsranit ha-biladit חברת בואינג היא היצרנית הבלעדית f.s. f.s. The Boeing Company is the sole manufacturer' mif'al nésher hu ha-yatsran ha-biladi מפעל נשר הוא היצרן הבלעדי m.s. m.s. 'The Nesher Works is the sole manufacturer' as against

Hevrat bóing hi taagid anaki
f.s. m.s. 'The Boeing Company is a giant corporation'

While תאגיד taagid 'corporation' is solely masculine, צרן yatsran 'manufacturer' has a feminine counterpart יצרנית yatsranit. So predicate noun agreement is favoured, where possible, even for the sake of purely 'grammatical' rather than 'natural' gender.

Another noun capable of a change in natural gender is חבר Haver 'member'; hence it agrees with its subject even when strictly inanimate and non-natural:

| ha-i ha-katan hu Haver ba-um m.s. m.s. | האי הקטן הוא nבר באו״ם 'The tiny island is a member of the UN' |
| :---: | :---: |
| mauritsius hi Havera ba-um | מאוריציוס היא חברה באו״ם |
| f.s. f.s. | Mauritius is a member of the UN' |

But no agreement takes place when the predicate noun itself has a natural gender that has to be expressed:
ha-tsipor ha-zot hi avaz
f.s. m.s.

הציפור הזאת היא אווו
'That bird is a goose'
Which nouns allow intrinsic change in gender is a complex matter (see ch. 39). Thus, for example, Israeli military rank has feminine forms in casual usage, but not officially:
aHoti hi \{rabat/rabátit\}
f.s. m.s. f.s.

אחותי היא \}רב״ט/רב״טית\{ 'My sister is a corporal'

## Number

Predicate nouns do not 'agree' in number. Thus in:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ha-tsiporim ha-éle hen avazim } & \text { m.pl. } \\
\text { f.pl. } & \text { הציפורים האלה הן אווזים }
\end{array}
$$

plurality is an intrinsic semantic choice for the predicate, unaffected by the grammar of the subject noun.

### 18.2.4 Copula agreement

By ‘copula’ are meant the particles -הוא, זה, אין, הנ hu, ze, en, hin- (and their inflections), meaning 'is/are (not)' and introducing a noun or adjective (see 16.3), as in:

| ha-sha'on hu matana | 'The clock is a present', השעון הוא מתנה ביתון |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| èyfo ze bayyit-vegan? | 'Where is Bayit Vegan? |

## הוא hu

The copula הוא hu and its inflections היא hi (f.s.), הם hem (m.pl.), hen (f.pl. f)) generally take their gender and number from the subject:
ha-sha'on hu matana השעון הוא מתנה m.s. m.s. 'The clock is a present'
ma hi Herut? מה היא חירות! W W
f.s. f.s. 'What is freedom?'
ma hem nimusim? מה הס נימוסים?
m.pl. m.pl.
éyfo hen ha-bahurot?
f.pl. f.pl.

איפה הן הבחורות
'Where are the girls?'
Sometimes agreement is controlled by the predicate noun instead (see 18.3.1.).
rize
By contrast, copula A ze allows its feminine and plural forms (את élelélu respectively) to be used for agreement on a limited scale only, as described below:
In declarative clauses (except specificationals, see below), copula $\mathrm{A} z e$ is strictly casual. It agrees for gender, not number ${ }^{4}$ - but usually with its predicate, not its subject (examples (1,2)); and where the subject is generic, be uninflected (examples (3,4)): ${ }^{5}$
(1) ha-báyit shelHa zot dugma tova m.s. SUBJ f.s. f.s. PRED
(2) ha-kapiyot zu matana f.pl subj f.s. f.s. PRED
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (3) } & \text { herayon } & \mathrm{ze} & \text { lo maHala } \\ & \mathrm{zu} & \text { lo } \\ \text { m.s. subj } & \text { m.s./f.s. } & \text { neg f.s. PRED }\end{array}$
(4) diburim ze lo maasim m.pl. subj m.s. neg m.pl. PRED

הבית שלך זאת דוגמה טובה
'Your house is a good example'
הכפיות זו מתנה
'The spoons are a present'

> היריון זה לא מחלה
'Pregnancy is not a disease'
דיבורים זה לא מעשים
'Words aren't deeds'

In specificational clauses, i.e sentences of the kind ' x is y ' where it is the very identity of ' $x$ ' that is being established, $n$ ז $z e$ is not necessarily casual. It agrees for number as well as gender with its predicate, or more casually is uninflected: ${ }^{6}$


| ma she-ani sone m.s. |  | המסיבות של ליל שבת | אלה אלה | מה שאני שונא |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | éle <br> ze | ha-mesibot shel lel shabat |  |  |
|  | \{pl./m.s.\} |  |  |  |
|  |  | 'What I hate is | Frida | night parties' |

In clauses beginning with an interrogative word, the copula in casual Hebrew is commonly uninflected $\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { z } e}$ - in cases where the noun is the subject, i.e where the clause is defining or identifying a given thing or person or locating a place: ${ }^{7}$

| ma ze yófi? <br> m.s. | 'What is beauty?' | מה זה יופי! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma ze Herut? f.s. | 'What is freedom?' | מה זה חירות! |
| ma ze halaHot? f.pl. | 'What are laws?' | מה זה הלכות! |
| mi ze ha-banim sham? m.pl. | 'Who are the boys over there?' | מי זה הבנים שם? |
| éfo ze zámbia? f.s. | 'Where is Zambia?' | איפה זה זמביה! |

In formal as well as casual ....mi/ma... 'who/what...' questions, copula ir $z e$ can be used in gender agreement with a singular subject, as in examples $(1,2)$. Formal Hebrew also uses ... מי אלה mi éle.. 'who are..', as in (3). In all usage, the copula הוא hu and its inflections can be used instead:
(1) ma zot Herut
'What is freedom?'
מה זאת חירות!
(2) mi zo ha-tabaHit ha-Hadasha?

מי זו הטבחית החדשה?
f.s. f.s.
'Who's the new cook?'
(3) mi êle ha-baHurim ha-shezufim?
pl. m.pl.

## אין en, hin-

Formal Hebrew can use אין en as a negative copula meaning 'not', ${ }^{8}$ and suffixed -נה hin- occasionally as a positive copula. ${ }^{9}$ Following their subject, they agree with it in gender, number and person. (As with inflected verbs, the agreement inflections enable one to omit the subject pronoun.) After the inflection table, we give examples:

| $1 s t s$. | eni/enéni | איני/אינני | hineni | הנני |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd m.s. | enHa | אינך | hinHa | הנך |
| 2nd f.s. | eneH | אינך | hinaH | הנך |
| 3rd m.s. | eno/enénu | אינו/איננו | hino | הנו |
| 3rd f.s. | ena/enéna | אינה/איננה | hina | הנה |
| 1 st pl . | enénu | איננו | hinenu | הננו |
| 2nd m.pl. | enHem | אינכם | hinHem | הנכם |
| 2nd f.pl. | enHen | אינכן | hinHen | הנכן |
| 3 rd m.pl. | enam | אינם | hinam | הנם |
| 3rd f.pl. | enan | אינן | hinan | הנן |

ha-malka hina merutsa 'The queen is satisfied’ המלכה הנה מרוצה f.s. 3rd f.s.
ani enéni merutse 'I am not satisfied’ אני אינני מרוצה 1 st s .

Unlike the other copulas, which simply signify 'be', the negative en can (immediately) precede the subject. In this case there is no agreement:

| en ha-malka merutsa | 'The queen is not satisfied' אין המלכה מרוצה אין מרוצה |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| en ani merutse | 'I am not satisfied' |  |

### 18.2.5 Reflexive and reciprocal pronoun agreement

See 7.12 and 7.13.

### 18.3 Agreement with the predicate

הוא $h u$ and $z e$ and their respective inflections are both pronouns (see 7.3) and copulas. In 18.2 .4 copular $ה$ re was seen to agree sometimes with its predicate; the same holds for copular הוא hu and for $\begin{gathered}\text { ה } \\ \text { ze } \\ \text { הוא } h u \text { and }\end{gathered}$ subject pronouns. This section deals with predicate agreement as a whole

### 18.3.1 Agreement by the copula

ז ze often agrees with the predicate (1) Casual $z e$ in most declaratives agrees for gender with a singular predicate (examples $(1,2)$ in 18.2.4), except that after a generic subject it tends to be uninflected (examples $(3,4)$ there). (2) Specificational N ze in formal or casual usage either agrees for gen-
der and number with its predicate or (more casually) remains uninflected; see the examples in 18.2.4.
הוא hu in most declaratives can optionally agree for gender with its predicate, in casual usage, ${ }^{10}$ if both subject and predicate are singular. (Otherwise it agrees with its subject.)

| ha-shóHad | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { hu } \\ \text { hi } & \text { baaya } \end{array}$ | השוחד היא בעיה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m.s. | \{m.s./f.s.\} f.s. | 'Bribery is a problem' |

hu in specificationals tends to agree with the predicate:
ma she-mad'ig hi sheelat ha-máyim מה שמדאיג היא שאלת המים m.s. f.s. f.s. 'What is worrying is the question of water'

### 18.3.2 Agreement by the subject

The pronoun A ze, when subject of a noun+noun clause, usually agrees with the predicate noun, in gender and number. This holds both for the 'empty' ז זe that means no more than the verb 'to be', as in (1), and for $z e$ that refers to a foregoing noun, as in (2):
(1) taamin li,

תאמין לי, זאת zot sheela meanyénet, matay hu yevater שאלה מענינת, מתי הוא יותר f.s. subu f.s. PRED

AGREEMENT
'Believe me, it (is) an interesting question when he's going to give up'
(2)


However, with appropriately adjusted intonation, pronoun $\mathrm{i} z e$, be it subject, object or whatever, can agree with whatever it is referring back to:
ze ken sheela kasha
m.s. f.s. PRED

זה כן שאלה קשה
'That is a difficult question'

## Agreement

הוא hu too, as subject of a noun+noun clause, will on occasion agree with the predicate:"
uvda hi, she-ha-hanaka adifa עובדה היא, שההנקה עדיפה
f.s. f.s.
pred subj
fact it that the breast-feeding preferable 'It is a fact [=the fact is] that breast-feeding is preferable'

### 18.4 The nucleus in control of agreement

The noun phrase controlling agreement may contain more than one noun. If the nouns are coordinated, e.g. by וve- 'and', they are often counted together for purposes of agreement - see 18.6.3. If, conversely, they qualify one another (as in the following example), it is usually the first noun that is the 'nucleus' and thus controls agreement; here it is 'the attorney', not 'the accused', that is angry:


Occasionally in genitive constructions, i.e. construct and של shel 'of' phrases (see ch.6), the first word is not functioning as a noun but as a quantifier, determiner or even adjective:
me'ot horim ba'im
QUANT m.pl. m.pl.
hundreds parents come
ikar ha-sakana hurHeka עיקר הסכנה הורחקה DET f.s. f.s. 'The basic danger has been removed'
basis the danger has-been-removed
yófi shel tsmidim hayu la יופי של צמידים היו לה
aDJ m.pl. pl. 'She had beautiful bracelets'
beauty of bracelets were to-her
In such cases, the first word is not the nucleus; the first true noun controls agreement. Details are given in 8.8. (quantifiers), 9.2 (determiners) and 12.2 (adjectives).

### 18.5 Agreement of peripherals

Having described agreement of the central elements in the clause subject, predicate, copula, object pronouns (reflexive and reciprocal) - we discuss the agreement of structurally peripheral elements with the subject or the object.

### 18.5.1 Complements of 'impression verbs'

Certain verbs denoting 'impression' may take an adjective. When the adjective describes the impression itself, it employs a non-agreeing masculine singular form, i.e. it is a kind of 'manner adverb' (see 21.4):

| at nir'et nehedar |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| f.s. v(f.s.) | aDJ(m.s.) |$\quad$ 'You look gorgeous'

hi nishmáat muzar
היא נשמעת מוזר
f.s. $v(f . s) \quad .\mathrm{ADJ}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$.)
'She sounds odd'

Compare מראה נהדר mar'e nehedar ‘a gorgeous look’, קול מוזר kol muzar 'an odd voice', הרגשה טובה hargasha tova 'a good feeling'.
When describing the subject, however, the adjective agrees with it, as if it were simply predicate to the subject:

| at nir'et nehedéret | את נראית נהד |
| :---: | :---: |
| f.s. v (f.s.) $\operatorname{ADJ}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{s}$. | 'You look a gorgeous sort' |
| hi nishmáat muzara | היא נשמעת מוזרה |
| f.s. v (f.s.) ADJ (f.s.) | 'She sounds an odd person' |

### 18.5.2 Complements of 'state verbs'

Certain verbs incorporating a notion of 'being' may take an adjective or noun agreeing with the subject (or, for some verbs, the object). Such verbs include היה haya 'be', הפד hafaH 'become', נעשה נעה na'asa 'become', עשה asa 'make', נשאר nish'ar 'remain', נחש neHshav 'be considered'. Thus:


A kindred construction is the 'circumstance' predicate (see 21.6), notably in formal Hebrew, linked by comma or pause to a preceding clause:

The predicate relates to and agrees with the main subject, or even, where context assists, with the main object (as in the second example).

### 18.5.3 Complements of 'perceptional verbs'

ראה ra'a 'see', שמע shama 'hear', תפע tafas 'catch', מצא matsa 'find' are among verbs expressing perception. Their object can be followed by a participle phrase, a phrase relating to and agreeing with it (see 21.6, 30.3):

| tafásti otam | mitpartsim la-dira | תפסתי אותם מתפרצים לדירה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v OBJ(m.pl.) | v(m.pl.) 'I cau | 'I caught them breaking into the apartment' |
| agreem |  |  |

When such verbs are in the passive, this predicate will naturally agree with the subject:
hem nir'u mitpartsim la-dira
הם נראו מתפרצים לדירה
m.pl. $\mathrm{v} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$.) 'They were seen breaking into the apartment'

### 18.6 Some complications in agreement

### 18.6.1 Agreement with 'neutral' pronouns

אני אנח ani 'I', אנחו anáHnu 'we' are masculine or feminine, with the suffix of the predicate often deciding the issue, e.g. אני קם ani kam (m.s.) vs. אני קמה ani káma (f.s.) 'I get up'. In casual Hebrew this is often true of the other plural personal pronouns, i.e. אתם atem 'you', הם hem 'they', and any other words incorporating these pronouns (e.g. אותם otam, להם lahem); thus: ${ }^{12}$

| atem holHot? f.pl. | אתם הולכות! <br> ‘Are you going?' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hem maskimot f.pl. | הם מסכימות 'They agree’ |

### 18.6.2 Agreement with 'de-pluralized' nouns

Number agreement is geared to the form of a noun, not to its meaning. Thus שמיים shamáyim 'sky', צוהרים tsohoráyim 'lunchtime' are plural and קבוצה kvutsa 'team', ועד váad 'committee' singular. But several plural nouns, when preceded by certain numerals, actually tend to be singular in form, although plural for purposes of agreement (see 39.12). For example:

| esrim yom $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { avru } \\ & \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl})\end{aligned}$ | עברו |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | עשרים יום |
|  | * |
| $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}) .\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. |  |
| twenty day passed | 'Twenty days passed' |
| soarot | סוערות |
| shmonim shana | שמונים שנה |
|  | *סוערת* |
| N (f.s.) ADJ (f.s.) |  |
| eighty year stormy | 'Eighty stormy years' |

### 18.6.3 Agreement with coordinated phrases

## Conjunction

A conjunction of masculine and feminine nouns requires masculine agreement. This amounts to 'neuter' gender. Thus:

> ha-kir ve-ha-tikra meluHlaHim $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}) .\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{f} . \mathrm{s})$

הקיר והתקרה מלוכלכים
'The wall and the ceiling are dirty'

Regarding the conjunction of two different 'persons': agreement requires the lowest person present; thus with 2 nd and 1 st person, agreement requires 1 st person (plural), while 2 nd and 3 rd person require 2 nd person, and so on:

| ani ve-ataata ve-anineshane et ha-kol <br> 1st pl. | אתי ואתני נשנה את הכל |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'I and you will change it all' |
|  |  |
| at ve-ha-baHur ha-kereaH asitem et ze | את והבחור הקרח עשיתם את זה |
| f.s. m.s. 2nd m.pl. | 'You and the bald guy did it' |
| ani ve-hem yarádnu | אני והם ירדנו |
| 1st pl. | 'I and they got out' |

## Disjunction

A disjunction of nouns ('A or B ', 'not A but B ') complicates agreement.
$A$ or $B$. In questions, two uses of או o 'or' are found. Thus in:
ha'im yisrael o mitsráyim be-makom sheni? האם ישראל או מצרים במקום שנ?
'Are Israel or Egypt in second place?'
או o ‘or'may be strictly ‘either / or’ (the reply being ישראל yisrael 'Israel’ or מצרים mitsráyim 'Egypt') or not (the reply: כן לא ken /lo 'yes / no'). In both events there is apparently no clear-cut rule for agreement: when $o$ is 'either / or', the last noun tends to control agreement:
o nóami o sára titstareH lavo

או נעמי או שרה תצטרך לבוא 'Either Naomi or Sara will have to come'
ha'im yisrael o mitsráyim nitsHa?

$$
\mathrm{v} \text { (f.s.) }
$$

האם ישראל או מצרים ניצחה?
'Did Israel or Egypt win?'

When או o takes the second sense, the tendency is to do as with conjunction:

| kos te o uga yeraanenu oti v (pl.) | כוס תה או עוגה ירעננו אותי 'A cup of tea or a cake will perk me up' |
| :---: | :---: |
| rael o mitsráyim nitsHu? v (pl.) | האם ישראל או מצרים ניצחו? 'Did Israel or Egypt win?' |

Not $A$ but $B$. Here again there is no clear-cut rule The second element tends to determine agreement. Where there is a clash in person, 3rd person is likely:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lo ani éla ata } \\ & \text { 1st s. } \quad 2 \mathrm{nd} \mathrm{m.s.} \end{aligned}$ | haya | היה |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3rd m.s. | ashem | אשם | לא אני אלא אתה |
|  |  | היית |  |  |
|  | 2nd m.s. |  | 'Not I | were to blame' |

A favoured alternative is to hold back the 'éla phrase' to the end:
lo ani hayiti ashem éla ata
1st s.

לא אני הייתי אשם אלא אתה
'Not I was to blame but you'

### 18.6.4 1st and 2nd person nouns

Following subject pronoun + 'non-specific' predicate, such as אני אתה האדם השני ,'.. היחידי ש- ani ha-yeHidi she- 'I am the only one that - ש ata ha-adam ha-sheni she-...' you are the second person who ...', the verb in the relative clause has the option of agreeing with the subject of the main clause (instead of with the antecedent):



AGREEMENT
'You are the second person who has checked him'
It is as if the subject pronoun had transferred its 'person' to the non-specific predicate, creating 1 st and 2 nd person nouns. (Ordinarily, 1 st and 2 nd person is only in personal pronouns.)

A kindred construction is the 'cleft construction': ze + pronoun + clause (see 37.9), as in:
זה אתה (ש)מסכן אותנו
'It's you that endanger us'

The personal pronoun can be considered as antecedent to a relative clause, which thereby agrees with it: ${ }^{13}$

| ze ata | (she-)sikánta otánu | זה אתה (ש)סיכנת אותנו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pronoun | 2nd m.s. | 'It's you that endangered us' |
| AGREEMENT |  |  |

### 18.7 The function of agreement

While agreement usually adds nothing to meaning, it sometimes serves to mark out the structure of a sentence and occasionally even intrinsically affects gender and definiteness.
(1) Agreement is sometimes crucial to the major distinction between a complete clause and a mere phrase:

| ha-léHem raH the bread soft | חלחם רך <br> 'The bread is soft' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ha-léHem ha-raH... | חלחם חרז-... |
| AGREEMENT | 'The soft bread...' |

(2) Gender and number agreement sometimes shows which modifier belongs to which noun:

| baalat ha-kélev ha-tokpanit | בעלת הכלב התוקפנית |
| :---: | :---: |
| f.s. m.s. f.s. owner the dog the aggressive | 'The aggressive owner of the dog' |
| baalat ha-kélev ha-tokpani | בעלת הכלב התוקפני |
| f.s. m.s. m.s. | 'The owner of the aggressive dog' |

(3) Definiteness agreement allows one to distinguish 'definite' and 'indefinite' use of proper nouns (see 5.3.2):
lemáan yisrael yafa
indef
for Israel beautiful
lemáan yisrael ha-yafa למעו ישראל היפה
DEF
for Israel the beautiful
'For beautiful Israel'
(4) A similar distinction can be made between masculine and feminine nouns that look the same: ${ }^{14}$

| yóna lo nimtsa m.s. | יונה לא נמצא 'Yona [boy's name] isn't here' |
| :---: | :---: |
| yóna lo nimtset | יונה לא נמצאת |
| f.s. | 'Yona [girl's name] isn't here' |
| ma \{amar / amra \} rosh ha-memshala? | מה \}אמר/אמרה\{ ראש המשלה! |
| m.s. f.s. | t did the Prime Minister say?' |

## 19. Preposition phrases

### 19.1 Introduction

Nouns or subordinate clauses are sometimes introduced by a preposition. This expresses their relationship to the verb, adjective or other sentence part which they complement (or qualify). What a preposition introduces is termed its 'complement' (which can be regarded as its 'object'). For example:
nikfots be-shabat
PREP COMP $\quad$ 'We'll come by on Shabat'
ha-gézer me-ha-marak bishvileH
PREP COMP

הגזר מהמרק בשבילך PREP COMP
'The carrot from the soup is for you'
titsak kdey she-yinbaH
PREP COMP

תצעק כדי שינבח
'Shout so that he barks'

Prepositions have no distinctive form, and often resemble construct nouns (as explained in 42.1). ${ }^{1}$ Much of the detail that follows, and much more, is examined from a different angle in the chapters on object phrases (ch. 15), adjunct adverbials (ch. 21) and adverbial clauses (ch. 32).

### 19.2 Semantic relationships expressed by prepositions

The semantic relationships expressed by prepositions are diverse: time and space relationships of various kinds, cause, purpose, and so on. There are also 'non-semantic' relationships, helping to indicate the meaning of some other word, as in examples ( 1,2 ), or just indicating the structure of the clause, as in (3):
(1) tishmor et ha-késef
v PREP N
(2) tishmor al ha-késef
$v$ PREP $N$

תשמור את הכסף
'Keep the money'
תשמור על הכסף
'Guard the money'
(3) ha-shir mazkir le- yítsHak et ha-shir השיר מזכיר ליצחק את השיר SUBJ $v$ PREP IND OBJ PREP DIR OBJ ha-aHer האחר 'The song reminds Yitshak of the other song'
Many such relationships can or must be expressed by prepositions. Among semantic and non-semantic relationships almost always expressed by prepositions are: ${ }^{2}$

| Location： <br> Hake be－malon | ＇Wait in 〈a hotel＇ | חכה במלון |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination： sa le－malon | ＇Go to 〈a〉 hotel＇ | סע למלון |
| Cause： <br> eleH mishum she－tsariH | ＇I＇ll go because I must＇ | אלך משום שצריך |
| Indirect object： tistakel be－ze | ＇Look at this＇ | תסתכל בזה |

Among relationships sometimes expressed by prepositions are：
Duration：
Hake（lemésheH）shavúa＇Wait（for）a week’ חכה ）שמשד）שבוע
Purpose：
Hake（kdey）she－teda＇Wait so you＇ll know＇חכה（כדי）שתדע
Possession：
ale（shel）péraH＇a petal of a flower’ עלה（של）פרח
The chief relationships not involving prepositions are：
Subject：
HósheH ba＇Darkness came＇חושך בא
Indefinite direct object：
kaH kosit
Complement of＇be＇：
hayiti sayar＇I was 〈a〉 scout’ הייתי סייר
See 15.3 for detailed discussion of the various degrees to which prepositions are meaningful or meaningless．The present chapter begins with the internal struc－ ture of preposition phrases，followed by their role as objects and adverbials．

## 19．3 Internal structure of the preposition phrase

## 19．3．1 Preposition phrases vs．verb and noun phrases

The preposition is verb－like in one respect and noun－like in certain others．Like verbs，it requires the clauses it introduces to begin with a conjunc－ tion（see 19．3．3 for exceptions），usually $-\boldsymbol{\text { e s she－：}}$

Preposition：

| aHarey she－ |
| ---: |
| conj | yafsiku

CLAUSE

Like nouns，a preposition directly taking a personal pronoun will suffix it（see 42．2）．Verbs do so occasionally（see 15．5），and nouns very often（see 6．4），but verbs usually prefer instead to insert את et before the pronoun or noun that they introduce，while nouns often insert של shel：

## Preposition:

| bishvilHem | 'for you' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Verb: | מינוכילפם אתכם | מינו |
| minuHem | 'appointed you' |  |

Noun:

| beytHem | ביתכם שלכם |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ha-báyit shelaHem |  |

and not מינו אתם *minu atem, בשביל אתם *bishvil atem, בית אתם * *beyt atem with the 'free' pronoun אתם atem 'you'. The actual suffixes too are usually the same as for nouns (see 42.2).

### 19.3.2 Noun or clause as complement

Many prepositions require a noun as their complement, many a clause, and many take either ${ }^{4}$ - somewhat arbitrarily. The only major restriction is that where a preposition introduces an object, rather than an adverbial, this will generally be an object noun; with an object clause, the expected preposition is usually omitted (see 19.5). For the conjunction - ש she-introducing the clause, see 19.3.3.
In the following table are listed some prepositions used with particular complements.

| Only with nouns |  |  | Only with clauses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| étsel | "'chez"' | אצל | af-al-pi | 'although' | אף־על־פי |
| bemésheH | 'during' | במשך | baasher | 'insofar as' | באשר |
| be- | 'in, with' | ב- | biHdey | 'in order' | בכדי |
| be'ad | 'for' | בעד | ho'il | 'since' | הואיל |
| Huts mi- | 'except' | חוץ מ- | heyot | 'seeing as' | היות |
| le- | 'to, for' | - | ke-1 | 'when' | - |
| le'or | 'in view of' | לאור | $\mathrm{kdey}^{2}$ | 'in order' | כד |
| legabey | 'concerning' | לגבי | keshem | 'just as' | כשם |
| lelo | 'without' | ללא | (mi)kevan | 'since' | (מ)כיוון |
| leumat | 'as against' | לעומת | mishum | 'because' | משום |
| milvad | 'besides' | מלבד | mipney ${ }^{3}$ | 'because' | מפני |
| avur | 'for' | עבור | al-menat | 'in order' | על־מנת |
| al-pi | 'according to' | על־מי | $a f^{4}$ | 'although' | אף |
| im | 'with' etc. | עם | uvilvad | 'provided' etc. | ובלבד |
| With nouns and clauses |  |  |  |  |  |
| lifney | 'before' | לפני | aHarey | 'after' | אחרי |
| mi- | 'than' | - | biglal ${ }^{5}$ | 'because' | בגלל |
| me'az | 'since' (time) | מאז | (mi)bli | 'without' | (מ)בלי |
| ad | 'until' | עד | bimkom | 'instead of' | במקום |
| al | 'on, about' | על | bishvil | 'for' | בשביל |
| al-af | 'despite' | על־אף | kmo | 'like' | כמו |
| toH-kdey |  | תוך־כדי | lamrot | 'despite' | למרות |
| ilmaley ${ }^{6}$ | 'were it not for' etc. | אלמלא |  |  |  |

## Notes:

1 -כ ke-takes a noun in the separate (though kindred) senses כשלג ke-shéleg 'like snow', כר כב
2 כדכ 2 כדי עשר מעלות kdey takes a noun in the senséser maalot'as much as ten degrees'.
3 mipney takes a noun formally.
 are perhaps not prepositions at all.
5 בגלל bigial takes a clause in casual usage
6 אלמלא ilmaley takes the full rather than suffixed pronoun: אלמלא אתה ilmaley ata 'were it not for you', as if a quasi-conjunction. Other 'if' words are conjunctions.

Thus the following are ungrammatical:

| *bemésheH she-aHlu <br> cons | במשך שאכלו" <br> *mishum shvita |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | ('during [=while] they ate') |
| ('because of a strike') |  |

## Alternatives to a complement clause

Prepositions taking nouns but no clauses can frequently take a verbal noun or a gerund (see 30.5-6) instead, particularly in formal Hebrew:

| le'or hitpatruto | 'in view of his resignation' | לאור התפטותרותו פהו |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| le'or heyoto po | 'in view of his being here' |  |

Another common way of avoiding -שלאור **le'or she- 'in view of + clause' and other such ungrammaticalities is to use - ש ז ze she- and (with 'governed
 ha-uvda she- 'the fact that':
le'or ha-uvda she-avart לאור העובדה שעברת in-view-of the fact that you-passed

Huts mi-ze she-hu po
חוץ מזה שהוא פה
except for it that he here
'except for the fact that he's here'
ani mitnaged le-HaH she-teshalem maHar אני מתנגד לכך שתשלם מחר
I object to it that you'll-pay tomorrow 'I object to you paying tomorrow'

### 19.3.3 Complement clauses in detail

## Finite and infinitival clauses

A few prepositions can take an infinitival clause, as do many verbs (see 30.4 on infinitivals as against 30.2 on finite clauses): (1) purpose prepositions, i.e כדי kdey, בכדי biHdey, על־מנת al-menat, בשביל bishvil 'in order (to)'; and (2) 'quasi-negative' prepositions, i.e במקום bimkom 'instead of' and (מ) (mi)bli 'without' (see 32.8 and 13.10 respectively). Examples are:

| kámti kdey likro | 'I got up in order to read' | קמתי כדי לקרוא להילחם |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| barHu bimkom lehilaHem | 'They fled instead of fighting' |  |
| mezog bli livHosh | 'Pour without stirring' |  |

## The conjunction in complement clauses

In finite clauses. - ש she- (joined to the next word) normally introduces the complement clause:

| kfi she-tsipiti | 'as I expected' | בפי שידעתיתי |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bli she-yadat | 'without you(r) knowing' |  |

-ש she- or וve- (distinct from ve- 'and’) are found with the following:

 af she- 'although' and formal עעד כי ad ki or עד אשר ad asher, as well as neutral ע עד שׁ ad she- 'until'. Formal כאשר כשר כaasher 'when' is idiomatically related to


No conjunction need be used with a few prepositions: (-ש) me'az (she-) 'since' (in time), בעוד (ש) be'od (she-) 'while', (-ש) בטרם betérem (she-) 'before', (-ש) כאילו ke'ilu (she-) 'as if'.

The following never use a conjunction, and are probably best considered conjunctions in their own right, for they take clauses but never nouns: אם, אילו, לו im, ilu, lu 'if', אלמלא ilmale and its synonyms 'if...not', אלא־אם כן éla-im-ken 'unless', אאם כי im ki 'even though',' כי ki 'because', שכן, שהר sheken, she-harey 'for [= because]', שמא shéma 'lest'. However, למען lemáan 'so that, for the sake of' takes clauses and nouns.

For a general discussion of conjunctions in subordinate clauses, see 30.2.1.
In infinitival clauses. No conjunction is used with infinitivals, save in certain object clauses (which do not belong here).

### 19.3.4 Where there is no complement

Like verbs (15.4), prepositions are absolutely/weakly transitive (require or do not require a complement). The few prepositions not requiring a complement are acting casually. The missing complement is understood as a specific noun (contrast אכלתתי aHálti 'I’ve eaten (something)'):
hizmant kafe im Halav o bli?
you-ordered coffee with milk or without?
ata no'em lifney o aHarey?
you speak before or after?
(more common: לפני-כן, לפניו lifnéy-Hen, lefanav etc. 'beforehand')
but not, for example:
*yesh leHa máshehu liHtov al?
exists to-you something to-write on?
*יש לך משהו לכתוב על!
('You have something to write on?')
*ze ha-tik she-báti im
**ה התיק שבאתי עם this the bag that I-came with
('This is the bag I came with')

### 19.3.5 Pro-clauses as complements: כן כen, כך kaH, זְ ze

Pro-words representing clauses, as described in 7.5, can never take the form of a suffix. Thus:
heviu et gad u-viglal ze hiskámnu הביאו את גד ובגלל זה הסכמנו CLAUSE PRO-CLAUSE 'They brought Gad and because of it we consented'
not: .......* *u-viglalo... ‘...and because-of-it...'
Certain prepositions require כך kaH as their pro-clause, others כן ken, others even זה זe, zot - with no apparent reason, except an historical one; the table on page 000 gives the pattern. In בכך be-HaH 'thus', לכן laHen 'therefore', עלל־כן al-ken 'therefore', עם-זאת im zot 'notwithstanding', the preposition has an idiomatic sense.

## 19.4 'Governed' vs. 'free' prepositions

The complex distinction between 'preposition in object' and 'preposition in adverbial' ('governed' vs. 'free' prepositions) is made in detail in 15.3. (Many verbs can take a double object - see 15.7.) The syntactic and semantic choice among governed prepositions is discussed in $15.5-6$, while $32.3-13$ set out the variety of free prepositions.

Briefly, verbs and adjectives (and the action/state nouns derived from them) generally require a particular preposition in front of their object or complement:

| ra'u et david <br> obj | ראו את דוד <br> 'They saw David |
| :---: | :---: |
| amádnu al ha-kshayim | , لا |
|  | 'We comprehended the difficulties' |

Only a few prepositions function in this way; sometimes they are meaningful, sometimes not:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { et, be-, le-, al, im, mi-, el, ke-, את, ב-, ל-, על, עם, מ-, אל, כ-, } \quad \text { mipney, aHarey }
\end{aligned}
$$

But these (except אתת et) and the numerous other prepositions are used with a well-defined meaning in adverbials; for example, the particular ones just listed can mean 'in, to, on, with, from, to, as, because, after'.

### 19.5 Omitting the governed prepositions

Governed prepositions drop in certain environments, for they are often meaningless and are in any event determined by the verb or adjective This section describes two constructions where they must drop, and mentions two prepositions particularly prone to omission.

## Before an object clause

Governed prepositions are always omitted before a finite clause, and usually before an infinitive ${ }^{8}$ (except in very casual speech). The same applies with the pro-clause $k a H / k a \dot{H} H a$ if it precedes its verb, as in (6) below:
(1) ani ge'e be- hatslaHata

PREP N
(2) ani ge'e \{she- / *be- she- $\}$ hitsliHa CONJ PREP CONJ Clause
(3) paHádti mi- nehiga

PREP N
(4) paHádti linhog

ClaUSE
(very casually: mi-linhog
PREP+CLAUSE
(2) anige'e conj PREP CONJ hitslina
(5) ani asuk be- tsvia אני עסוק בצביעה

PREP
(very casually: ani asuk be-litsbóa
אני גאה בהצלחתה
'I am proud of her success'
אני גאה \}ש/*בש\{הצליחה
'I am proud that she succeeded'
פחדתי מנהיגה
'I was afraid of driving'
פחדתי לנהוג
'I was afraid to drive' (מלנהוג
'm busy painting' (אני עסוק בלצבוע

תכף נדע, או כך אני מצפה
'Soon we'll know, or so I expect'
A few verbs governing -מ mi-retain it before an infinitive, notably נizhar 'beware of', מנע mana 'prevent', נמנע nimna 'be prevented', and (-מ mioptional) נמנע nimna 'refrain'.

Governed prepositions do not take gerunds even though gerund phrases are functionally like noun phrases rather than clauses (see 30.5).

## Active and passive

Many 'active' verbs have a 'passive' counterpart in another binyan (verb pattern). Thus:
x shamar y $\sim$ y nishmar al-yedey $x \quad x$ נשמר על־ידי y $\sim$ y שמר x ' $x$ keep $y \sim y$ be kept by $x$ '
x kibel $\mathrm{y} \sim \mathrm{y}$ hitkabel al-yedey x

Choice of prepositions for pro-clause

| Only כך kaH | Only כן ken | Only n ze | זאו zot ה ze | $\begin{aligned} & \text { כ kaH } \\ & \text { ה ze } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aHar-kaH ${ }^{1} \quad$אחר־כ <br> 'afterwards' | af-al-pi-Hen 'nevertheless' | biglal zeבגלל זה  <br>  'because of $i t$ ' | לעומת זאת/זה leumat zot/ze 'as against this' | be-HaH/ze בכך, בזה |
| leshem kaH <br> 'for that purpose' | aHarey-Hen ${ }^{2}$ <br> 'afterwards' |  |  | $\text { betoH kaH/ze } \begin{aligned} & \text { בתוך כך/זה } \\ & \text { 'within this' } \end{aligned}$ |
| משום כך <br> mishum kaH <br> 'because of this' | kmo-Henכמ־־כן <br> 'likewise’ | and other prepositions |  | על כך/זה <br> al $\mathrm{kaH} / \mathrm{ze}$ 'about this' |
| תוך־כדי־כך <br> toH-kdey-kaH <br> 'in the course of this' |  |  |  | al-yedey $\mathrm{kaH} / \mathrm{ze}$ <br> 'by this' |
|  | לפני־כן <br> lifney-Hen <br> 'beforehand' |  |  |  |
|  | mipney-Hen 'because of it' |  |  |  |
|  | קודם לכן <br> kódem la-Hen <br> 'beforehand' |  |  |  |

[^4]The 'active' object usually becomes subject in the passive, and the governed preposition must drop from any such object that becomes subject. For details, see 14.6 and 14.8 .

## Two commonly omitted prepositions: א et, - $\boldsymbol{z}$ be-

את et is more restricted than other governed prepositions: it requires a 'definite' object, and has no 'free' meaning of its own. ${ }^{9}$ Also, not only is et the most easily omissible preposition when switching active to passive but it alone can - or must - be omitted in telegraphic usage (eg. headlines), with object suffixes, in relative clauses and after action nouns. For details, see 15.5.
ב- כe- 'with, in' can specify the nature of an action: כיסה בשלג kisa be-shéleg 'cover with snow', חבוש בכובע Havush be-kova 'dressed in a hat', עטף כיסה באת :ataf be-náylon 'wrap in plastic' etc. - as against the means בניילון kisa be-et 'cover with a spade', עטף בחבלataf be-Hével 'wrap with string'. This 'be- of specification' is sometimes omitted formally; see 15.8.

### 19.6 Order within the preposition phrase

Prepositions must precede their complement. Nothing can normally intervene between preposition and complement (as aginst verb and object), thus not:
*amlits al afilu dégem ze
*אמליץ על אמילו דגם זה
rather:

> amlits afilu $\underset{\text { PREP }}{\text { al }}$ dégem ze N

Only occasionally can the complement be dropped, leaving a 'hanging' preposition (see 19.3.4), and never can the complement actually be moved away from the preposition (unlike English). Therefore, not:

```
*mi rávta im?
who you-argued with?
who you-argued with?
```

*מי רבת עם?
'Who were you arguing with?'
but rather:
im mi rávta?
עם מי רבת!
with who you-argued?

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1972; Ben-Asher 1974; Cole 1976b; Glinert 1982b; Ornan 1979a: 116f; Rosén 1955: 115 ff ., 1966a: 184ff; Sadka 1981: 78.

## 20. Degree words and comparatives

### 20.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the behaviour and effect of a small, semiproductive class of words expressing 'degree', eg. מאד me'od 'very', קצת ktsat ‘a little', יותר yoter 'more'. Though traditionally classed with adverbs of time, manner etc., they are distinct semantically and syntactically.

Semantically, degree words supply the information 'to what extent', with respect to properties, emotions and the like:

| hu kol-kaH dabran | 'He's so talkative' הוא כל-כך דברן |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| hem kol-kaH heelívu oto 'They so offended him' הס כל-כך העליבו אותו |  |

By contrast, with a verb such as דיבר diber 'talk' a degree word would be inappropriate - there is no 'property' to measure; instead one might measure 'how long' or 'how often':

| *hem kol-kaH dibru ito | ('They so spoke to him') הס |
| :--- | :--- |
| hem dibru harbe | 'They spoke a lot' |

Syntactically, it must be emphasized that 'degree' is not restricted to adjectives. Some Hebrew verbs and some, but not all, adjectives denote or imply a property and thus take a degree word; the same is true for some adverbials and quantifiers, but nouns generally do not take a degree word: ${ }^{1}$

Verb:
me'od ahávti otam
מאד אהבתי אותם 'I very much liked them'

Adverb:
ze mudbak yoter miday lemáala זה מודבק יותר מדי למעלה
'It's stuck too high'

## Quantifier:

en harbe me'od
אין הרבה מאד
'There isn't very much'
As against:

[^5]*ו מאד חוצפה
('It's very a cheek')
*zu hayta dey hatslaHa
*gmishut kol-kaH
*tsaar me'od
*ז היתה די הצלחה
('It was quite a success')
*גמישות כל-כך ('so flexibility')
*צ* מאד
('very regret')

Nouns can instead be graded by, say, an adjective, as in חוצפה גדולה Hutspa gdola 'a great cheek', by an adverbial phrase such as במידה רבה be-mida raba 'to a great extent', במידה מסויימת be-mida mesuyémet 'to a certain extent', or by determiner as in גמישות כזאת מסת gmishut kazot 'such flexibility'. ${ }^{2}$
Degree words are further restricted positionally. Generally they directly precede their adjective or verb, ${ }^{3}$ or follow it at any distance (not all do both - see 2.2.2), whereas a comparable adverbial has no such restrictions (see 21.2.1); thus:

| ani me'od maariH nashim ka'éle SUbJ degree $v$ <br> OBJ PHR | אני מאד מעריך נשים כאלה 'I very much admire women like that' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ani maariH me'od nashim ka'éle DEGREE | אני מעריך מאד נשים כאלה 'I very much admire women like that' |
| ani maariH nashim ka'éle me'od DEGREE | אני מעריך נשים כאלה מאד 'I very much admire women like that' |
| be-mida raba ani maariH nashim ka'é adverbial subjv obj phr | a'éle במידה רבה אני מעריך נשים כאלה <br> great extent I admire women like that |
| ani be-mida raba maariH nashim ka'él adverbial <br> 'To a g | אני במידה רבה מעריך נשים כאלה great extent I admire women like that' |
| ani maariH be-mida raba nashim ka'él adverbial <br> 'To a g | אני מעריך במידה רבה נשים כאלה great extent I admire women like that |
| i maariH nashim ka'éle be-mida rab adverbial | אני מעריך נשים כאלה במידה רבה 'To a great extent I admire women like that' |

Degree words are easily confused with quantifiers (see ch.8). Indeed, the same word is often employed for both, eg. יותר yoter 'more', קצת ktsat 'a little', טיפ-טיפה tip-tipa 'a tiny bit'. Quantifiers denote quantity for nouns or extent in time for verbs (see ch. 21 'Adjunct adverbials'); quantifiers that are not degree words include הרבה harbe 'a lot', עוד od 'more':
*hu gavóa harbe ('He's tall a lot') הוא גבוה הרבה*
Quantifiers must normally follow their verb, whereas most degree words can directly precede it:

## Degree:



Quantity (of time):

| hu Hole yoter miméni | 'He's ill more than me' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| hu Hole harbe | 'He's ill a lot' |  |

### 20.2 Degree words with verbs and adjectives

### 20.2.1 Positioning

The following is a list of notable degree words, by meaning type and position vis- $\grave{a}$-vis their adjective or verb.

Most degree words treat adjectives and verbs alike:

| i-efshar lehanot miménu beyoter v | אי-אפשר ליהנות ממנו ביותר |
| :---: | :---: |
| impossible to-enjoy it extremely | 'It is impossible to enjoy it very much' |
| kashe beyoter | קשה ביותר |
| ADJ hard extremely | 'It is extremely hard' |
| ze dey matsHik oti <br> v | זה די מצחיק אותי |
| it quite amuses me | 'It quite amuses me' |
| ze yiye dey matsHik | זה יהיה די מצחיק |
| ADJ |  |
| it will-be quite amusing | 'It will be quite amusing' |

But miday 'too' is one degree word that tends to follow verbs but not necessarily adjectives. When such words as יותר yoter 'more' and מספיק maspik 'enough' act as 'time quantifiers', they usually follow; see the end of 20.1. Where the degree word can either precede or follow its verb or adjective, to follow is often somewhat more formal. Otherwise the table on page 00 shows that neither the register of usage nor the meaning type has a marked effect on the positioning of degree words.


| Directly preceding |  | Following |  | Both |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ad) káma | (עד) כמה <br> 'how?' |  |  |  |  |
| Comparativekáma ...she-efshar $\quad$ שמהשר'as ... as possible' (c) |  | ha-Hétsi | $\begin{array}{r} \text { החצי } \\ \text { 'half' (c) } \end{array}$ | yoter | $\begin{gathered} \text { 'more' } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | leen aroH 'incom | לאין ערד <br> arably' (F) | paHot ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { פ} \begin{array}{l} \text { 'less’ } \end{array}, ~ \end{aligned}$ |
| Superlative haHi | 'most' (c) | beyoter | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ביותר }{ }^{\text {cmost' }} \text { } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Boosters ko | ‘so' (F) | bimyuHad | במיוחד ticularly' | kol-kaH | כל-כד 'so |
| kaze | ‘so’ (c) | beyoter | ביותר tremely’ | ad-kdey-kaH | עד-כדי-כך |
| méa aHuz | $\begin{array}{r} \text { מאה אחוז } \text { 'totally' (c) } \end{array}$ | laHalutin | לחלוטין 'totally' | legámrey | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 'totally' } \\ & \text { לגמר } \end{aligned}$ |
| yotse min ha-klal 'ex | $\text { הוצא מן } \begin{gathered} \text { הכלל } \\ \text { ceptionally' (c) } \end{gathered}$ | máshehu lo normáli 'in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { משהו לאי } \\ & \text { גורמל } \text { edibly (c) } \end{aligned}$ | me'od | $\begin{gathered} \text { 'very' } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | nora | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 'very' (c) } \end{array}$ |
| Downtoners tip-tipa | $\begin{array}{r} \text { טיפ-טיפה } \begin{array}{r} \text { 'a tiny bit' (c) } \end{array} \text { } \end{array}$ | kólshehu | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ghtly' }(F)^{2} \end{array}$ | ktsat | ‘slightly’ |
|  |  | kim'a | $\begin{array}{r} \text { קמעה } \\ \text { lightly' (F) } \end{array}$ | me'at | מעט <br> 'slightly' (F) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others dey/day | $\begin{gathered} \text { 'quite' } \end{gathered}$ | lemaday | 'quite' (F) | yaHasit | יחסית <br> 'relatively' |
| maspik | $\begin{gathered} \text { מספיק } \\ \hline \text { 'enough } \end{gathered}$ | dayo 'su | $\begin{gathered} \text { ciently } \\ \hline 1 \mathrm{~F})^{2} \end{gathered}$ | paHot o yoter | ט ט א א ש 'more or less' |


| Directly preceding | Following | Both |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | miday | 'מדי' |
| 'as … little.. as possible' |  |  |
| yoter miday $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 'too' (c) }\end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| miday $\quad \begin{array}{r}\text { 'too' (c) }\end{array}$ |  |  |

## Notes:

1 פחמות paHot is particularly formal when following its verb or adjective
2 This agrees with the same noun as its adjective, eg. היא מוזרה כזאת hi muzara kazot 'She's sort of strange' and הסמים חזקים די'ם ha-samim (n pl.) Hazakim dayam (DEG pl.) 'The drugs are sufficiently powerful'.

### 20.2.2 Details of individual types of degree word

## Interrogative and exclamatory

מה ma 'how' (F) is chiefly exclamatory, eg. מה יפה ma yafe! 'How beautiful!'4 But כמה káma has three roles: exclamatory as in example (1); the construction כמה שיותר /שפחות káma she-yoter (or paHot), as in example (2); and denoting 'as’ in the construction כמה... שאפשר káma...she-efshar, as in example (3): ${ }^{5}$
(1) káma tov lashir!

כמה טוב לשיר!
'How good to sing!'
(2) hem medabrim káma she-yoter amami they talk how-much that more colloquial

הם מדברים כמה שיותר עממי 'They talk as colloquial as possible'
(3) hem medabrim káma amami she-efshar they talk how-much colloquial that possible
yeHol she-yiye, in which yiye agrees with the same noun as its adjective, amounts to an idiomatic relative clause Its very meaning (as seen from this example) is appropriate only to adjectives:
ha-mutsarim, meshuHlalim keHol she-yiyu, המוצרים, משוכללים ככל שיהיו, hem naHalat ha-avar הם נחלת העבר כלל
the products, sophisticated as-all that they-will-be, are legacy the past 'The products, as sophisticated as they may be, are a legacy of the past'

## Comparatives

See 20.4.

## Superlatives

The commonest superlatives are beyoter (F) and הכיותר haHi (C):

| ha-pe'a ha-kveda beyoter | 'the heaviest wig' הפאה הכבדה ביותר |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ha-pe'a haHi kveda | 'the heaviest wig' |  |

ביותר beyoter means 'the most, -est' with definite nouns but 'extremely' with indefinite: פאה כבדה ביותר pe'a kveda beyoter 'an extremely heavy wig'.
הכי haHi merits particular attention. It is not composed of ha- 'the' plus כי Hi Hi is not a distinct word, and furthermore very casual usage can employ הכי haHi with an indefinite noun too:
rak nehagim haHi tovim osim káHa only drivers most good do that

רק נהגים הכי טובים עושים ככה 'Only the best drivers do that'

However, the ה- ha- of agreement cannot be prefixed to הכי haHi, as if it were itself $3 \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{h a + H i : ~}{ }^{6}$
ha-ets ha-gavóa the tree the tall
ha-ets (*ha-)haHi gavóa the tree (*the) most tall

העץ הגבוה 'the tall tree'

העץ (*ה)הכי גבוה 'the tallest tree'

הכי haHi qualifies adverbs too (unlike its synonym ha-...beyoter): ${ }^{7}$
mi rats haHi maher? מי רץ הכי מהר!
who runs most quickly? 'Who runs the fastest?'
*mi rats ha-mahir beyoter? מי רץ המהיר ביותר?*
who runs the quick most?
To express 'the most' as a quantifier, Hebrew employs not the construction:
*mi matsa haHi shgi'ot? מי מצא הכי שגיאות?* who found most mistakes?
but rather:
mi matsa haHi harbe shgi'ot?
מי מצא הכי הרבה שגיאות: who found most many mistakes? 'Who found the most mistakes?'
But to express 'the least', casual Hebrew uses הכי פחות haHi paHot (most less), ${ }^{8}$ as a degree word and as a quantifier (formal usage: המעט ביותר ha-me'at beyoter 'the most little', or similar):
shvédya savla haHi paHot
ze lakaH haHi paHot zman

שבדיה סבלה הכי פחות 'Sweden suffered the least'

זה לקח הכי פחות זמן 'This took the least time'

Formal usage also occasionally employs יותר yoter and paHot which ordinarily mean 'more' and 'less':


Other formal superlative constructions are exemplified by the following (in (1) and (2) the adjective can be singular or plural, and in (3) the noun):
(1) tovey ha-manHim

טובי המנחים
construct
good the presenters
'the best presenters'
ha-aruka ba-sfinot הארוכה בספינות
f.s. f.pl.
'the longest ship'
the long in-the ships
(see 6.16.)
(2) ze ha-kaved mi-kulam

זה הכבד מכולם
this the heavy of all-of-them
'This is the heaviest of all'
(ha-)sridim (ha-)zaaruriyim she-ba-zaaruriyim הארקידים (ה)הערוריים שבזערוריםם) m.pl. m.pl. m.pl.
(the) remnants (the) minuscule which in-the minuscule
'The most minuscule of remnants'

(3) Partitive:
mitvaH Hadish min ha-meshuHlalim מטווח חדיש מן המשוכללים (ba-olam) (בעולם)
range modern of the sophisticated (in-the world)
'One of the most sophisticated ranges (in the world)'
When the precise scope of the superlative is thus delimited (by בe-, ב- mi-, ש- שיותר she-), there is no need for the degree word ${ }^{\text {ביו }}{ }^{9}$

## Boosters

máshehu, literally 'something', combines with certain 'superlative' adjectives to form 'degree phrases' of the type:
ha-tmunot yafot máshehu yotse-min-ha-klal התמונות יפות משהו יוצא-מן-הכלל DEGREE PHR 'The pictures are exceptionally lovely' the pictures lovely something exceptional
ad-kdey-kaH 'so' requires a 'complement clause' (see 20.5) except when negated:

| hu tov ad-kdey-kaH she... | 'He's so good that...' | הוא טוב עד-כדי-כך ש... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hu lo ad-kdey-kaH tov | 'He's not so good' | הוא לא עד-כדי-כך טוב |

כזה kaze, casually 'so' or 'sort of', is homophonous with the determiner כזה kaze 'such a' or 'a sort of which precedes or follows nouns:

| kaze muzar | כזה מוזר |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEGREE ADJ | 'so odd | kaze tof | DET $N$$\quad$ 'such a drum' |

As a degree word, כזכ kaze means 'so, such' when preceding, and 'sort of'when following, its adjective (it is not found with verbs):

| tof kaze muzar | 'such an odd drum' | תוף כזה מו |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ze muzar kaze | 'It's sort of odd' | זה מוזר כזה |

But grammatically כivaze seems more like a determiner than a degree word: (1) It is not found with verbs, (2) it agrees with its adjective, (3) its adjective must be relating to a noun:

| (1) *hu kaze itsben oti | ('He so annoyed me’) | ('הוא כזה עיצבן אותה כזו מוזרה |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (2) kursa kazu muzara | 'such an odd armchair’ |  |
| (3) *haya kaze kar | (‘It) was so cold’) |  |

To express 'very' without a degree word, casual or fictional style may repeat an adjective:
ze katan katan זה קטן קטן
it little little
'It's very little'
aHyotáyiH tevashálna hetev hetev אחיותיך תבשלנה היטב היטב 'Your sisters will cook very well'

### 20.3 Degree words with adverbials

Degree words occur with one-word adverbs (e.g. תמיד tamid 'always') and with adverbials of the form בס בס be+abstract noun (e.g. בסנות be-savlanut 'with patience, patiently'), but with several limitations.

First, whereas manner adverbs of one word, e.g. לאט le'at slowly', take any degree word just like adjectives:

$$
\text { sa le’at me’od סע לאט מאד } \quad \text { סע }
$$

adverbs of place, e.g. למעלה lemáala ‘up', בפנים bifnim 'inside', אחורה aHóra 'behind', מערבה maaráva 'westwards', take just certain degree words and only in casual usage, as in: tends to follow its adjective, generally precedes its adverb; as for מאד me'od 'very', it is scarcely used with adverbs.

Second, adverbial phrases of the type בסבלנות be-savlanut 'with patience' accept all degree words, in casual usage, but some of these generally must precede the adverbial, e.g.:

| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { nahagu bi-zhirut } & \begin{array}{l}\text { yoter } \\ \text { kol-kaH }\end{array}\end{array}$ | נהגו בזהירות כל-כך |
| :---: | :---: |
| they-drove with care $\begin{aligned} & \text { more } \\ & \text { so }\end{aligned}$ | 'They drove ${ }_{\text {more }}^{\text {so }}$ carefully' |
| me'od bi-zhirut nora go very with care | סע מארא בזהירות 'Go very carefully' |

But where adverbials have an explicit adjective, the norm is:
sa be-ófen me'od zahir
go in way very careful

סע באופן מאד זהיר
'Go very carefully'
rather than מאד באופן זהיר...* *...me’od be-ófen zahir (...very in way careful).

### 20.4 Comparatives

The comparative structures which follow are employed with comparative quantifiers as well as with degree words; in addition there are intrinsically comparative verbs and adjectives such as העדיף heedif'prefer', עדיף 'preferable' and שונה shone 'different'.

## 'More, less'

'yoter 'more' and פחות paHot 'less' are the basic comparative terms. (Other terms such as כפליים kifláyim 'double' are discussed in 20.6.) They can introduce parts of clauses or simple phrases.

Comparative clauses are introduced by the conjunctions measher 'than' or its synonyms -מש mi-she (F) מכפי ש-, mi-kfi she- (E) or - ממה שר mi-ma she- (sometimes c): ${ }^{10}$
ani tsame yoter measher hayíti kódem I thirsty more than I-was before
ani mevashélet yoter ugiyot mi-ma she-ani yeHola leeHol

אני צמא יותר מאשר הייתי קודם 'I'm more thirsty than I was before'

אני מבשלת יותר עוגיות ממה שאני יכולה לאכול
'I cook more cookies than I can eat'

Comparative phrases are of various degrees of 'heaviness', affecting the choice of introductory word. The 'lightest', consisting just of a personal subject pronoun, are preferably introduced by the preposition - $\quad$ mi- 'from, than'; the subject pronoun becomes a suffix to it:
hu atsma'i yoter miméni
הוא עצמאי יותר ממני
PREP+PRONOUN 'He's more independent than me'
he independent more than-I
Those consisting of a subject or object noun (without modifiers) can be introduced by -מ mi- or by the 'heavier' conjunction מאשר measher (also used with clauses - see above):
ani yoter ohev tey Ham mi-shóko Ham אני יותר אוהב תה חם משוקו חם I more like tea hot than cocoa hot 'I like hot tea more than hot cocoa'

Slightly 'heavier' are those with an object ('case') marker + object pronoun or noun, eg. אות oti 'direct obs me',,1" לו lo 'dative him', את התה et ha-tey 'direct obs the tea'. They are mainly introduced by מאשר measher:
ze yoter meanyen et eyran measher oti זה יותר מעניין את עירן מאשר אותי it more interests ом Eyran than ом-me' 'It interests Eyran more than me'
Other words or phrases (notably adverbials) are generally introduced by מאשר measher or also by formal mi-she- as in (1) below. Single-word adverbs, however, are 'light' enough to allow simple - $מ m i$ - too, as in (2):
(1) kan yoter kar measher be-odésa כאן יותר קר מאשר באודסה here more cold than in Odessa
'Here it is colder than in Odessa'
(2) yoter kar measher kódem יותר קר מ- מאשר קודם
‘(It's> colder than before’
Omission of the degree word $\quad$ יותר yoter 'more' is possible, in formal usage with non-derived adjectives, ${ }^{12}$ if the conjunction is -D mi - followed by a noun phrase, i.e in the 'lighter' type of comparative:
hu yiye gavóa mi-kol eHav
הוא יהיה גבוה מכל אחיו
he will-be tall from all brothers-his 'He will be taller than all his brothers'
whereas the following are impossible: גבוה מאשר* *gavóa measher... ('tall than...') or מרגיז מ** *margiz mi... ('annoying than...').

Truncation of comparative clauses is usual when they contain a verb of 'thinking' or 'saying'. ${ }^{13}$ The conjunction is - מש mi-she- or - ממה ש mi-ma she- rather than מאשר measher:
ze kehe yoter mi-ma she-tsipiti
it dark more from what that I-expected

זה כהה יותר ממה שציפיתי 'It is darker than I expected'

The fuller form would be
'As...as...'
To denote 'as...as...', Hebrew does not use a degree word except (optionally) in negative:

| hu gavóa kamoH he tall like-you | הוא גבוה כמוך <br> 'He's as tall as you' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hu lo (kol-kaH) gavóa kmo tal he not (so) tall like Tal | הוא לא (כל־כך) גבוה כמו טל <br> 'He's not as tall as Tal' |

These examples could also mean, with an intonational break, 'He is (not) tall, like you', etc.
However, 'as many as, as much as' is not הרבה כמו harbe kmo... 'many/much like..'; not only the degree word for 'as' but even the quantifier for 'many, much' is omitted in casual usage:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yesh po anashim kmo etmol } \begin{array}{l}
\text { there-are here people like yesterday } \\
\text { 'There are as many people } \\
\text { as yesterday here' } \\
\text { as } \\
\text { as y }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the negative, כל־כך הרבה kol-kaH harbe is possible:

| en po (kol-kaH harbe) anashim kmo kódem | אי |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Equally commonly, .........כמו מותו מסר של oto mispar shel...kmo... 'the same number of...as...' or ...........כמות כמות של ota kamut shel...kmo... 'the same amount of...as...' are used.

## 'Detached' comparatives

Besides expressing 'degree' with respect to a trait or property, comparative clauses can express the degree to which something is true They are then treated as separate ('disjunctive' - see ch. 23) from the main body of the clause: ${ }^{14}$
ani Hayat, kmo she-avi haya
I tailor, like that father-my was

אני חייט, כמו שאבי היה 'I am a tailor, like my father was'

יותר yoter ‘more (i.e rather)', לא כל־כך paHot ‘less' and kol-kaH ‘not so much' are similarly employed, though not necessarily 'disjunctively':
ze yoter tamlilan measher maHshev זה יותר תמלילן מאשר מחשב it more word-processor than computer 'It is more a word-processor than a computer'
20.5 כל־כך קל ש... קל מדי ל... kol-kaH kal she.. 'so easy that...' kal miday le.. 'too easy to...'
The ensuing 'complement clauses', like those for comparatives in
20.4, are used whether these words are degree words or quantifiers.

With כל־כך kol-kaH 'so' and its synonyms the complement clause, if any, is

kol-kaH kar she-kashe lishon so cold that hard to-sleep

כל־כך קר שקשה לישון 'It's so cold that it's hard to sleep'
 complement clause is introduced indiscriminately by מכד miHdey,15 מכיל ${ }^{15}$ מכי bishvil ${ }^{16}$ (c) or zero, and is either a finite or an infinitival ${ }^{17}$ clause כד Jdey too is occasionally used:

| haya zaken miday (miHdey) lishmóa | היה זקן מדי (מכדי) לשמוע |
| :--- | :--- |
| he-was old too (than-for) to-hear | 'He was too old to hear' |

yesh li yoter miday avoda (bishvil) laazor יש לי יותר מדי עבודה (בשביל) לעזור there's to-me too much work (for) to-help 'I have too much work to help'
ha-shéleg amok miday (miHdey) she-nisa השלג עמוק מדי (מכדי) שנסע the snow deep too (than-for) that we'll-go 'The snow is too deep for us to go'
With מכדי miHdey especially, the degree word for 'too' is often omitted in formal usage, leaving just an adjective: ${ }^{18}$
ha-davar murkav harbe miHdey she-nenatHo הדבר מורכב הרבה מכדי שננתחו the matter complex much than-for that 'The matter is much too complex we-shall-analyse-it to analyse'
ze ktsat raHok bishvil lir'ot it a-bit far for to-see

זה קצת רחוק בשביל לראות
'It's a bit too far to see'

A common alternative to these 'too'+clause constructions is כל־כך kol-kaH'so' +negative:
haya zaken kol-kaH she-lo shama he-was old so that not he-heard

היה זקן כל־כך שלא שמע
'He was so old that he did not hear'

With מספיק maspik 'enough’ and its synonyms, the complement clause begins indiscriminately with כדי kdey 'so (that)' or בשביל bishvil (c) or zero, and is either finite or infinitival: ${ }^{19}$
hu maspik Hazak (kdey) laruts he enough strong (so-as) to-run
ani lo maspik batúaH be-atsmi
(bishvil) she-uHal lehotsi et ze haHútsa

הוא מספיק חזק (כדי) לרוץ 'He is strong enough to run'

## אני לא מספיק בטוח בעצמי

(בשביל) שאוכל להוציא
את זה החוצה
'I am not sure enough of myself for me to be able to get it out in the open'

### 20.6 Specifiers of comparison

Comparison phrases can themselves be qualified, particularly by quantifiers such as הרבה harbe 'much', קצת ktsat 'a bit', עוד od 'more (i.e still)', directly preceding the comparative words יותר yoter 'more' and פחות paHot 'less'. ${ }^{20}$ The whole phrase thus formed can precede or follow the adjective or verb:

| hu Hamud harbe paHot he cute much less | חוא חמוד הרבה פחות 'He is much less cute' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ze od yoter madhim oti it still more appalls me | זה עוד יותר מדהים אותי 'It appalls me still more' |

## Precise specifiers

"(Two, three,...) times more..' is expressed by the particle י pi + numeral (usually feminine but masculine in puristic usage). 'Double as...' or ‘double as many’ can alternatively be expressed by כפליים kifláyim (F) or כפול kaful (c). These are in fact degree words and quantifiers:
ha-oféret Hazaka pi shalosh
the lead tough pi three $\begin{gathered}\text { העופרת חזקה פי שלוש }\end{gathered}$
kaH me-ha-bulim sheli, li yesh pi éser take from the stamps my, to-me are pi ten

קח מהבולים שלי, לי יש פי עשר 'Take from my stamps, I've got ten times as many'

יש פה כפליים אנשים מאשר... 'There are double as many people here
 ing a noun these specifiers precede the noun; as 'degree words' they can precede or follow, and can even follow the whole comparative phrase as a kind of adverbial:
ha-oféret kveda me-ha-avats pi shalosh העופרת כבדה מהאבץ מי שלוש the lead heavy than the zinc pi three 'Lead is three times heavier than zinc'
'Measure phrases' specifying a comparative are introduced by the preposition ב $b e$ - 'in, with'. Like above, they can be positioned like degree words, or at some distance like adverbials; they need no יותר yoter 'more', provided a - מ mi- 'than' phrase is explicitly mentioned:
ze be-shiva méter (yoter) aroH mi... it by seven metres (more) long than...
ze (paHot) yakar mi... be-esrim aHuz it (less) expensive than... by $20 \%$

זה בשבעה מטר (יותר) ארוך מ... 'It's seven metres longer than...' 'It's $20 \%$ less expensive than...'
but:
hu lo ha-Hétsi HaHam mimHa
הוא לא החצי חכם ממך
he not the half clever from-you
'He's not half as clever as you'
Such measure phrases go mainly with comparatives. Hebrew lacks the construction:
*ze (be-)shiva méter aroH it (by) seven metres long
and instead employs an abstract noun, as in: ${ }^{22}$
orko shiva méter אורכו שבעה מטר
length-its seven metres
*זה (ב)שבעה מטר ארוך ('It is seven metres long')
'It is seven metres long'

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1977: 5.6.5; Ben-Asher 1972: 91-101, 1977; Glinert 1988a; Rubinstein 1975; Sadka 1981:262-8.

## 21. Adjunct adverbials

### 21.1 Introduction

A fundamental syntactic and semantic distinction must be drawn between five kinds of adverbial: degree adverbs (ch. 20), adjunct adverbials (this chapter), focus adverbs (ch. 22), disjunct adverbials (ch. 23) and link adverbials (ch. 24). By 'adverb' we mean a one-word 'adverbial'.
(1) Degree adverbs denote 'degree' for verbs or adjectives expressing a property, eg. מאד me'od 'very', כל־כך kol-kaH 'so'.
(2) Adjunct adverbials ${ }^{1}$ elaborate on the event/situation and its circumstances how, where, when etc., eg. יפה yafe 'nicely', בעיר ba-ir 'in town', בגלל זה biglal ze 'because of this'.
(3) Focus adverbs are logical particles that focus on one word or phrase, eg. גם gam 'also', ק rak 'only'.
(4) Disjunct adverbials comment on the statement itself, eg. בוודאי bevaday 'certainly', אישית ishit 'personally speaking'.
(5) Link adverbials express a logical connection between sentences, eg. הרי harey 'after all', אמנם omnam 'indeed'.

In terms of sentence structure, the first three are 'inner adverbials', being an integrated part of the clause, ${ }^{2}$ and the last two are 'outer adverbials', often being set off by pause, commas or the like Of the first three, degree and focus adverbs are tightly associated with their verb, noun etc. and are syntactically distinctive in several other ways (see the relevant chapter) from adjuncts, the topic of this chapter.

A further distinction must be made between adverbials and objects, as illustrated (see 15.3 for details):
áfu ba-shamáyim 'They flew in the sky' עפו בשמיים
adverbial
hibitu ba-shamáyim 'They looked at the sky' הביטו בשמיים OBJ

Adjuncts have a wealth of diverse semantic, syntactic and morphological properties. Indeed, they are not amenable to watertight subdivision. We can ony survey their form and syntax according to the main rough semantic types: extent, manner, means, circumstance, time, place, status; and various semi-adverbial datives using ל-le-, namely affectee, benefactee, possessor and autonomous agent.

This chapter deals with adjunct phrases．（Adjunct clauses，including further semantic types such as＇cause＇and＇result＇，are described in ch．32）．Adjunct phrases generally involve a single word（an＇adverb＇），a preposition＋noun phrase，or occasionally a noun phrase with no preposition：

| maHar | ＇tomorrow＇ | מחותו |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| be－oto yom <br> oto yom | ＇on that day＇ | ＇that day＇ |

## 21．2 The general syntax of adjuncts

## 21．2．1 Syntactic function

Adjuncts qualify the predicate or verb，as in（1）below，or a noun，as in（2），${ }^{3}$ or else themselves function as predicate，as in（3）：
（1）ze ba mi－yapan
זה בא מיםן ＇It comes from Japan＇
（2）ha－séret mi－yapan lo ra
הסרט מצפ לא רע
＇The film from Japan 〈is〉 not bad＇
（3）ha－séret mi－yapan
הסרט מיפן
＇The film 〈is〉 from Japan＇
Adjuncts vary in their cohesion to a verb．Extent，manner and quantifier adjuncts and those complementing verbs of time，place and status are＇tight＇： there is no pause，and they usually follow the verb．Other adjuncts，eg．most time and place adjuncts，are＇loose＇：they can precede the verb and be set off by a pause or comma．Thus：

| gárnu be－arad <br> TIGHT | ＇We lived in Arad＇ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| גרנו בערד |  |  |
| be－arad，kibálti maanak |  |  |
| LOOSE |  |  |$\quad$＇In Arad，I got a grant＇$\quad$ בערד，

Adverbials do not usually go with a verb，adjective or adverbial that is itself qualifying something．${ }^{4}$ Contrast：
ha－tinok tamid \｛atsbani／boHe\}
ha－juk aHshav táHat ha－tanur

התינוק תמיד（עצבני／בוכה\} ＇The baby 〈is〉 always \｛tetchy／crying\}'

הג׳וק עכשיו תחת התנור
＇The roach 〈is〉 now under the oven＇
with the unacceptable：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { *yesh la tinok tamid }\{\text { atsbani/boHe\} } \\
\text { is to-her baby always }\{\text { tetchy/crying }\}
\end{array} \\
& \\
& \text { *ha-juk aHshav táHat ha-tanur megared } \\
& \text { the roach now under the oven is-scratching }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 21.2.2 Qualifying an adverbial

A 'measure phrase' or 'degree word' (see ch. 20) can be placed in front of ${ }^{\text {s }}$ a 'measurable' adverbial of time, place or comparison, thus qualifying the adverbial:

| káma dakot leaHar ha-pitsuts... <br> measure <br> PHR <br> TIME ADV | כמה דקות לאחר הפיצוץ... 'Some minutes after the bombing...' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hi nimtset méa méter raHok mi-kan MEASURE PHR <br> PLACE ADV | היא נמצאת מאה מטר רחוק מכאן 'It is situated $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ metres distant from here' |
| ze nora lemáala <br> degree place <br> WORD ADV | זה נורא למעלה 'It's awfully high up' |
| ze ktsat kmo jez <br> degree comparison word ADV | זה קצת כמו ג'ז 'It's a bit like jazz' |

### 21.2.3 Adverbial 'reference'

The adjunct usually 'refers' to the event. (Manner adverbials may refer to the subject of the clause - see 21.4.1.)


Occasionally too, adjuncts of time or place (also circumstance and status, see $21.6,21.9)$ can refer to the object - with verbs of perception, expecting, arranging, having and suchlike (context etc. permitting):
metaHnenim tfilot maHar ba-meara
מתכננים תפילות מחר במערה
'(They) are planning prayers tomorrow in the cave' (The prayers are in the cave tomorrow or the planners are)
hu ahav aruHat-érev be-shesh ba-salon
הוא אהב ארוחת־ערב בשש בסלון 'He liked supper at 6 in the lounge'
ra'iti naHash ba-nahar
ראיתי נחש בנהר
'I saw a snake in the river'
Shifting and pausing is possible with both subject and object 'reference':
be-toH ha-máyim, ra’íti zug tamnunim בתוך המים, ראיתי זוג תמנונים
'In the water, I saw a pair of octopuses'

### 21.2.4 'Adverbial’ verbs: מיהר לקום miher lakum ‘was quick to get up’

 Several verbs related by root to adverbs can do some - but by no means all - of these adverbs' work ${ }^{6}$ in formal usage They mostly take an infinitive Some notable examples are listed here Time verbs: ${ }^{7}$| miher ledaber ve-diber | מיהר ודיבר | diber mehera | דיבר מהרה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| was-quick <br> to-speak and spoke | ‘quickly spoke’ | spoke quickly | ‘quickly spoke’ (i.e 'soon spoke') |
| hikdim lehagia was-early to-arrive | הקדים להגיע 'arrived early' | higia mukdam arrived early (i.e ea | הגיע מוקדם 'arrived early' than expected) |


| shav ve-hidgish |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| returned and stressed | הב והדגיש שוב <br> 'stressed <br> again' |$=$| hidgish shuv |
| :--- |
| stressed again |$\quad$| 'stressed again' |
| :---: |

Manner verbs:

| hetiv lenagen did-well to-play | היטיב לנגן 'played well' | nigen hetev played well | ניגן היטב 'played well' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| went and was-built | הלך ונבנה was being built gradually' | $=$ nivna be-hadraga <br> was-built <br> gradually | נבנה בהדרגה was being buil gradually |

By contrast, the verbs in the following example are not synonymous with the corresponding manner adverbials בשמחה be-simHa 'happily', בקפדנות be-kafdanut 'carefully' and בעקשנות be-akshanut 'determinedly', and so are not strictly adverbial verbs:


I was happy
' I was careful to be there' I was determined
Extent verbs: ${ }^{8}$

| hirba ledaber |
| :--- |
| did-a-lot to-speak |$\quad$| 'spoke a lot' |
| :--- |$=$| diber harbe |
| :--- |
| spoke a-lot |$\quad$| 'spoke a lot' |
| :---: |

Most 'adverbial verbs' can instead take an object phrase, involving the preposition ב- בe-tan abstract noun, ${ }^{9}$ as in:
hirba be-dibur
הרבה בדיבור
did-a-lot in speaking 'spoke a lot'

### 21.3 Extent adverbials

To express the extent to which an action accumulates, certain quantifiers (see ch.8) can be employed as 'extent adverbs' with the verb - for example, קצת ktsat 'a bit', עוד harbe 'a lot', 'more', and also the feminine plural רבות rabot 'much':10
et ha-olam ra'íti ad az dey harbe
ha-ivrit hushpe'a mimena rabot Hebrew was-influenced by-it much
nase od

את העולם ראיתי עד אז די הרבה 'I'd seen the world by then quite a lot'

העברית הושפעה ממנה רבות
'Hebrew was much influenced by it'

נסה עוד
'Try (some) more'
They occasionally express degree of a quality or state, but this is usually done by 'degree words' (ch.20):

| zehishtana <br> ka'av | me'od <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> DEGREE <br> WORD |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| מאבנה |  |  |  |

it $\begin{aligned} & \text { changed } \\ & \text { hurt }\end{aligned}$ very 'It $\begin{gathered}\text { changed } \\ \text { hurt }\end{gathered}$ a lot'
The position of extent adverbials is after the verb or its object (like manner and means adverbials), i.e they are 'tight' adjuncts.

### 21.4 Manner adverbials

Manner adverbials express the manner in which something is done, eg. 'behave nicely', 'behave like me'.

### 21.4.1-4 FORMS OF MANNER ADVERBIALS

### 21.4.1 Preposition phrases

Manner adverbials are regularly formed as phrases, built around either an adjective or an abstract noun (usually adjective-based or verbbased):


Examples are:
(1) hu po'el be-ófen Hofshi

הוא פועל באופן חופשי
he acts in way free
'He acts freely'
(2) hu po'el be-Hofshiyut

הוא פועל בחופשיות
he acts with freedom
'He acts freely'
(3) hitkarvu be-hisus
they-approached with hesitation
התקרבו בהיסוס
'They approached hesitantly'
A negative adverbial can regularly take various forms (see ch.29):

| be-ófen lo Hofshi | 'in an unfree way' | באוסר לא חופשיות |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| be-Hóser Hofshiyut | 'with lack of freedom' | ללא היסוס |
| lelo hisus | 'without hesitation' |  |

and a less common, formal practice is to insert שלא she-lo (in which -ש shehas no clear function) in front of the whole adverbial phrase:
she-lo beHofshiyut 'not freely’ שלא בחופשיות
באורח be-óraH 'in a...way’ generally go with adjectives applicable to things:

| ze hishtana |  | maftia | מפתיע |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | be-ófen | maH' is | מכעיס | באופן |
| hitnahagt |  | meaHzev | מאכזב |  |


| it changed | in way | surprising <br> annoying <br> disappointing | 'It changed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you-acted |  | surprisingly' <br> annoyingly' |  |
| 'You acted |  | disappointingly' |  |

and not: ${ }^{11}$

| *hegávnu be-ófen | mufta | מופתע |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ko'és | *הגבנו באופן כועס |
|  | meuHzav | מאוכזב |
| we-reacted in way | surprised | surprisedly' |
|  | angry | ('We reacted angrily' |
|  | disappointed | disappointedly' |

By contrast, ב- be+abstract noun tends to be used of persons ${ }^{12}$ (though such qualities or actions as 'freedom, speed, hesitation' will obviously relate simultaneously to the act too: 'quick at..., free with...'):

hitHabku be- | hisus |
| :--- |
| shtika |
| התחבקו |
| התוסה |

| saper be- | Hofshiyut mehirut kafdanut tamtsitiyut |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ספר ב קמדירות } \\ & \text { תמציתיות } \\ & \text { תופיות } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'Tell 〈it) | freely' quickly' scrupulously' concisely' | and not:


| *ha-máyim zarmu be-Hofshiyut | *המים זרמו בחופשיות ('The water flowed freely') |
| :---: | :---: |
| *ha-zérem mitnatek be-otomátiyut | *הזרם מתנתק באוטומטיות ('The current shuts off automatically') |
| *ha-shana heHéla be-idud | -השנה החלה בעידוד <br> ('The year began encouragingly') |

(rather: באוטן חופשי/אוטומטי/מעודT be-ófen Hofshi/otomáti/meoded)
Casual usage sometimes employs a further construction with adjectives expressing an extreme:

hitnahagt máshehu meyuHad | mormáli |
| :--- |
| lo norm מנהגת משהו לא נורמלי |

you-behaved something | special |
| :--- |
| extraordinary | 'You behaved extraordinarily'

### 21.4.2 'Echo' manner phrases (traditionally: 'internal objects')

As an alternative to the foregoing, formal Hebrew sometimes inserts an 'echo noun' - a noun, mostly abstract, corresponding regularly to the verb or adjective in hand - and adds to it an adjective (or even a relative clause or other phrase), usually to specify manner. The adjective agrees with the echo noun: ${ }^{13}$
(1) nitsHu otam nitsaHon muHlat

ECHO N MANNER ADJ
they-defeated them defeat decisive
(2) neenáHti shalosh anaHot arukot I -sighed three sighs long
(3) ha-gufot nikberu sham kvura zmanit the bodies were-buried there burial temporary
'The bodies were buried there temporarily'
(4) gam im nenatséaH et ha-nitsaHon ha-muHlat beyoter...
even if we-defeat om the defeat the decisive most...
'Even if we inflict the most decisive defeat...'
(5) giborat ha-séret yafa yófi she-kashe

גיבורת הסרט יפה יופי שקשה letaaro

לתארו
heroine-of the film beautiful beauty that hard to-describe-it
'The film's heroine is indescribably beautiful'

### 21.4.3 Adjectives as adverbs

There are much less productive adjective-based patterns for manner adverbials. First, several 'passive participle' adjectives, and certain others, can be introduced by the preposition ב be- to form a manner adverb, eg. בגלוי בג be-galuy 'openly', במודגש be-mudgash 'emphatically'. These 'words' are not usually coined freely; and though the ב- be is equivalent to .... באופן be-ófen... (see 21.4.1), the adjective involved cannot in most cases be qualified: not באופן מדוייק במדוייק מאד מאד be-ófen meduyak me'od 'in a very accurate way', etc.

Second, some adjectives are in themselves also manner adverbs. They do not agree with the subject. They are of the types listed in (a)-(d).
(a) Several, and in casual usage very many, masculine singular adjectives (but none ending in a derivative suffix such as $>--i$ ), eg. ${ }^{14}$ טוב tov 'well', יפה yafe 'nicely', ברור barur ‘clearly', and more casually דחוף daHuf'urgently', מצוי״ן metsuyan 'excellently'.
(b) Several, and in formal usage many, feminine singular inanimate adjectives (i.e pertaining to actions, not persons) ending in $י--i t$, i.e based on a masculine singular in ו--i, eg. אוטומטית otomátit ‘automatically’, אלכסונית alaHsonit 'diagonally'.
(c) Feminine plural adjectives (inanimate again) partly complement the foregoing, by providing many manner adverbs in ות--ot (and not iyot, the plural of adjectives in $>--i$ ), mostly in formal usage, eg. ארוכות arukot 'at length', בטוחות btuHot 'confidently'.
(d) A few infinitive verbs denoting 'extreme' qualities are best considered degree adverbs (ch.20): להפליא lehafli ‘wonderfully’, להפת יע lehaftia ‘surprisingly', להדהים lehadhim 'frighteningly', as in:
hu armumi lehadhim he cunning to-frighten

הוא ערמומי להדהים
'He's frighteningly cunning'

### 21.4.4 Specialized manner adverbs and miscellaneous

A few manner adverbs are special words or phrases of no general pattern, eg. מהר maher 'quickly', היטב hetev 'well', הישר haysher 'directly', פה אחד pe eHad 'unanimously'.

Unrelated to any adjectives or abstract nouns are three fairly productive 'double noun' patterns, listed in (a)-(c) below.
(a) A repeated plural noun denoting 'in lots of...', as in:
bad meshubats ribu'im ribu'im
cloth chequered squares squares

בד משובץ ריבועים ריבועים 'cloth chequered in squares'
(b) A repeated singular noun denoting '...by...', as in:
bedakuha se'if-se'if
בדקוה סעיף-סעיף
they-checked-it section section (i.e 'section by section')
(c) אחר aharey 'after' can be inserted between the singular nouns:
tsáad aHarey tsáad 'step by step' צעד אחרי צעד
Finally, 'manner' can be expressed by a 'comparative construction' using כמו kmo 'like' (see ch.20), as in:
titnaheg kmo limor 'Behave like Limor’ תתנהג כמו לימור

### 21.4.5-8 SYNTAX OF MANNER ADVERBIALS

### 21.4.5 Function

Manner adverbials generally qualify a verb (or adjective directly related to it, i.e a present or past participle): ${ }^{15}$
titlabesh yafe 'Dress nicely' תתלבש יפה
hayínu levushim yafe 'We were dressed nicely’ היינו לבושים יפה PAST PART ADV

The whole adjective+adverbial can itself be used to qualify a noun:


Manner adverbials are not used as predicates themselves, nor where the predicate is merely a noun: ${ }^{16}$

| $\begin{array}{ll} * \text { hi } \end{array} \begin{aligned} & \text { tov } \\ & \text { be-savlanut } \end{aligned}$ | *היא בסבלנות |
| :---: | :---: |
| she good (m.s.) with patience |  |
| *hu rofe be-savlanut be-ófen simpáti | *הוא רופא בסבלנות באופן סימפטי |
| he doctor $\begin{aligned} & \text { with patience } \\ & \text { in way friendly }\end{aligned}$ |  |

A few verbs (נראה nir'e 'seem', נשמע nishma 'sound', הרגיש hirgish 'feel' etc.) that otherwise take a noun or adjective as their predicate, can take a non-agreeing adjective - describing the appearance, feel etc. (rather than the person) but not strictly a manner adverb:
hem nir'im \{muzar/tov $\}$ they look \{odd/good\}

הם נראים \}מוזר/טוב\{
'They look \{odd/good\}'
hem nir'im (anashim) \{muzarim/tovim\} הם נראים (אנשים) they look (people) $\{$ odd/good $\} \quad$ 'They look like $\{$ odd/good $\}$ people'

### 21.4.6 Word order and adverbial combination

Manner (and extent and means) adverbials are 'tightest' to the verb, in the sense that they generally follow the verb or its object (see (1) below). The general order of object vis-à-vis adverbial tends to involve putting the shortest element first, (compare (2)). Time, place and other adverbials denote more 'extrinsic' circumstances, and are thus 'looser' and unlikely to come between verb and manner adverbial (3):
(1) hadaft
metsuyan et ha-kadur
et ha-kadur metsuyan
מת הכדורין את הכדור
הדפת
you-stopped
excellent ом the ball ом the ball excellent
(2) hu hadaf metsuyan et kadur ha-késhet he stopped excellent ом ball the curve
(3) be-Hatsot he'árti oto ba-adinut

את הכדור מצויין
'You stopped the ball excellently'
הוא הדף מצויין את כדור הקשת
'He stopped the curved ball excellently'
בחצות הערתי אותו בעדינות at midnight I-woke him gently or:
he'árti oto ba-adinut be-Hatsot
הערתי אותו בעדינות בחצות I-woke him gently at midnight

Manner adverbials jar with two other 'tight' adverbials, 'degree words' and quantifiers of extent or time (though logically compatible), as if competing for the same 'slot': ${ }^{17}$
*me'od hishtanet maher
*מאד השתנית מהר (')
very you've-changed quickly
('You've very much changed quickly')
?enéni oved kashe kol-kaH harbe
NEG-I work hard so much
? אינני עובד קשה כל-כך הרבה
('I don't work hard such a lot')
Nor do two manner adverbials generally co-occur, except joined by $\boldsymbol{-}$ ve'and': ${ }^{18}$
*sámti oto maher bi-zhirut ba-makom
*שמתי אותו מהר בזהירות במקום ( ('I put it quickly carefully away')

### 21.4.7 Qualifying manner adverbials

Manner adverbials comprising adjectives and nouns can mostly be qualified in ways usual for adjectives and nouns: ${ }^{19}$
adjective + degree word
avad be-tsura yoter ye'ila
עבד בצורה יותר יעילה
worked in way more efficient

```
noun + adjective
```

badak be-yeilut \{maflia/raba\} בדק ביעילות
checked with efficiency \{amazing/great\} 'checked \{amazingly/very\}
efficiently'

Note also the formal particle יתר yéter 'more' (related to the degree word יותר yoter 'more'), used in adverbial constructions of the type ביתר be-yéter + abstract noun:

```
be-yéter yeilut
with more efficiency [=more efficiently]
```

ביתר יעילות

Furthermore, casual Hebrew can use most degree words in front of adverbials of the ביעילות be-yeilut 'with efficiency' type, and a few (but not, eg., מאד me'od 'very' and יותר yoter 'more') after them (see 20.3):
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { me'od be-yeilut } \\ \text { very with efficiency } & \begin{array}{r}\text { מאד ביעילות } \\ \text { 'very efficiently' }\end{array}\end{array}$
A general constraint is that adjectives and nouns in manner adverbials do not usually take their customary objects (but see 21.4.8). Contrast:
at Hofshit mi-leHatsim 'you're free from pressure' את חופשית מלחצים Hofshiyut mi-leHatsim... 'freedom from pressures...' .... with the unacceptable:
*at mesaHéket

mi-leHatsim \begin{tabular}{l}
be-ófen Hofshi <br>
be-Hofshiyut

$\quad$ באת משחקת חופשיות 

מלחצים
\end{tabular}

you play in way free from pressures

### 21.4.8 Manner vs. circumstance

Circumstance adverbials $(21.6,32.4)$ can overlap with manner adverbials:

| míshehi tilfena elay nirgéshet me'od f. <br> CIRCUMSTANCE <br> someone phoned me excited (f.) very | מישהי טילפנה אלי נרגשת מאד 'Someone phoned me very excited' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ...be-hitragshut raba | ב... |
| MANNER |  |
| ...with excitement great | '...very excitedly' |

Briefly, they involve any adjective or participle, expressly describing the subject (or object) and agreeing with it. These adjectives or participles readily take their customary objects:

[^6]
### 21.5 Means ('instrumental') adverbials

The means by which something is done is usually expressed by the preposition ב- be-, or in casual usage by עם im (condemned by purists). Sometimes בעזרת/באמצעות be-ezrat/be-emtsa'ut are used, but not for bodily actions:


But note ב- be- (not עם im) for travel by vehicle:
eH nasat, be-meHonit? 'How did you go, by car? איך נסעת, במכונית? Among the few one-word 'means adverbials' is טלפונית telefónit 'by phone'.

## Word order

Means adverbials generally follow the verb or object closely:


I-opened it $\begin{aligned} & \text { quickly with my-hands } \\ & \text { with my-hands quickly }\end{aligned}$ while speaking
But they can come first for contrast:

| \{be-/im\} maftéaH kaze, efshar liftóaH |
| :--- |
| ha-kol |
| with a-key like-this, you-can open anything | הכם מפתח כזה, אפשר לפתוח

## Means adverbial vs. specification object

Contrast the 'means phrase' in example (1) below with the 'specification object phrase' in (2). ב- be- regularly introduces such object phrases for verbs of the 'fill/cover/surround/swarm with' type (see 15.8). This ב- be- can be omitted in formal usage; the appropriate interrogative word is במה be-ma 'with what', as with all objects, rather than איך eH 'how' as with means adverbials:
(1) ataf be-Hével 'wrap with [=by means of] string’ עטף בחבל
(2) ataf (be-)niyar 'wrap with [=in] paper’ עטף (ב)יייר

### 21.6 Circumstance adverbials

Circumstance adverbials convey all kinds of accompanying circumstances - pertaining to the 'actors' themselves (their place, mode of action, etc.) or their possessions or even someone/something extraneous.

### 21.6.1 Preposition phrases

ב be- can signal information about bodily circumstances - when followed by a noun phrase (indefinite) involving an adjective: ${ }^{20}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dibárnu be-yadáyim meshulavot } \begin{array}{r}
\text { N (f.pl.) ADJ (f.pl.) } \\
\text { דיברנו בידיים משולבות }
\end{array} \\
& \text { we-spoke with arms folded }
\end{aligned}
$$

An alternative and more flexible construction employs the preposition עם im. This signals information about one's person or even one's possessions, particularly in casual usage Instead of just an indefinite noun phrase, as in (1) below, it can involve a quasi-clause (2), i.e subject+present tense predicate (which may be adjective, noun, verb or adverbial): ${ }^{21}$
(1) dibárnu im yadáyim meshulavot

דיברנו עם ידיים משולבות
we-spoke with arms folded
we-spoke with arms folded


> not combed nicely
> a-disgrace
> covers om the face
> in-the eyes

A non-circumstantial use of עם im 'with' + noun phrase, expressing 'I have.. with me' rather than 'my... is...', is as follows. Here - be- is uncommon and the opposite of עם im is בלי bli 'without':

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { yatsáti im mitriya yeshana } & \quad \text { יצאתי עם מיטריה ישנה } \\
\text { I-went-out with umbrella old } & \text { 'I went out with an old umbrella' }
\end{array}
$$

For circumstantial clauses, introduced by כאשר vaasher, see 32.4.

### 21.6.2 Circumstance predicates

To a sentence one may append a clause minus its subject, i.e a predicate, relating to the subject or object (direct or indirect) of that sentence The 'clause without subject' usually features a verb or adjective, occasionally a noun (or adverbial) - with any attendant object etc. - which naturally agree with the subject or object they relate to. ${ }^{22}$
Relating to the subject:


Hazárnu ayefim legámrey
m．pl．m．pl．
we－returned tired completely
hu shav habáyta loHem menuse
he returned home fighter experienced

חזרנו עייפים לגמרי
＇We returned completely tired out＇

הוא שב הביתה לוחס מנוסה ＇He returned home an experienced fighter＇

Relating to the object：
החזקנו בה חזק ，רועדת מקור，והזזנו אותה
heHzáknu ba Hazak，ro＇édet mi－kor，ve－hezáznu ota
f．s．f．s．
we－held her tight，shivers［＝shivering］with cold，and moved her
They occasionally precede the sentence（unlike ו ve or כש orshe－clauses）； but whatever their position，agreement plus context enable one to relate them to subject or object（where doubtful，they usually relate to what is nearest）：
ro’édet mi－kor，heHzáknu ba Hazak ve．．רועדת מקור，החזקנו בה חזק ו．．． obj－Related
shivers［＝shivering］with cold，we－held her tight and．．．．
One－or two－word circumstance predicates have no preceding comma or gap．${ }^{23}$ They are common in any usage（whereas more complex predicates are more for－ mal）：
hu met milyoner＇He died 〈à millionaire’ הוא מת מליונר
hem tamid yod＇im（ha－）rishonim הם תמיד יודעים（ה）ראשונים they always know（the）first
．．．pitot？oHlim otan Hamot！פיתות！אוכלים אותן חמות！．．． f．pl．f．pl．
．．．pittas？〈One〉 eats them warm

## 21．7 Time adverbials

## 21．7．1 Form

Time adverbials can have the forms as set out in the following table （For 6,7 see chs．30，32．）

| 1 Special 1－word adverb | yashánti kódem I－slept first |  | ישנתי קודם |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Quantifier | harbe | ．．a lot | －．．． |
| 3 Noun phrase | shtey dakot | ．．．two minutes |  |
| 4 Preposition＋noun phrase | e ad ha－érev | ．．．till the evening | ．．．．עד הערב |
| 5 Preposition＋adverbial ad | ad aHarey ha－ne＇um | ．．．till after the speech | ．．．．עד אחרי ה． |
| 6 Preposition＋clause | ad she－bat | ．．．till that you－came ［ $=$ till you came］ |  |
| 7 Preposition＋gerund | ad bo＇eH | ．．．till coming－of－you | －．．．עד בואך |

Special one－word adverbs have no distinctive form，here as elsewhere，eg． תמיד tamid＇always＇，אמש émesh＇last night＇，עתה ata＇now＇．${ }^{24}$

Quantifiers（see ch．8），such as קצת ktsat ‘a bit’ and יותר מדי yoter miday ＇too much＇，may express frequency．As they can also express＇extent＇with many verbs，ambiguity may arise：

> ra'iti et mitsráyim dey harbe
> I've-seen om Egypt quite a-lot
> [= 'often' or 'a fair amount']

## Noun phrases

A few types of time phrase can consist just of a noun phrase－though the preposition ב－be－＇at＇is often prefixed（and must be with most other time phrases－see below）．

Of point of time Phrases with the determiners אחד eHad＇one＇and（formal） אותו be－oto）；＇25 also formal שאותו bethat＇（more neutrally（be－） sha＇a she－＇when＇and literary עת et＇when＇：
bóker eHad yatsánu．．בוקר אחד＇One morning we went out．．．＇

| rádyo peking shider（be－）ota sha＇a shirey am siniim |  | רדיו פקינג שידר（ב）אותה שעה שירי עם סיניים |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＇Peking Radio was transmitting＜at＞that moment |  |  |
|  |  | Chinese folksongs＇ |

et palash ha－oyev．．．
time invaded the enemy．．．
עת פלש האויב．．．
＇When the enemy invaded．．．＇
Of frequency．In phrases with כל kol＇every＇or רוב rov＇most＇，the preposition ב－be－can drop，as in $(1,2)$ below；it must drop for כל כל kol meaning＇any＇requires ב－（4）：
（1）（be－）kol yom sheni yesh maHaze
（2）（be－）rov ha－yamim hayta menamnémet
（3）kol Hamesh dakot yesh monit
（4）hu tsariH lavo be－Hol réga
（ב）כל יום שני יש מחזה
＇On every Monday there＇s 〈a〉 play＇
（ב）רוב הימים היתה מנמנמת
＇On most 〈of）the days she＇d doze＇
כל חמש דקות יש מונית
＇Every five minutes there＇s $\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ cab＇
הוא צריך לבוא בכל רגע
＇He＇s due to come at any moment＇

With páam＇time＇，בעם be－is impossible（save for point－of－time，eg．בפעם השניה השיה ba－páam ha－shniya＇for the second time＇）：26
she＇al káma peamim
שאל כמה פעמים
＇Ask several times＇
af páam en uga
no time there-isn't cake

אף פעם אין עוגה
'There's never any cake'

Of duration. For duration, extending either forwards or backwards, the prepositions במשך bemésheH and mize are just optional, provided a time, such as יומיים yomáyim 'two days', is specified:
yashánti (bemésheH) shavuot
I-slept (for) weeks
kama zman at po? - (mize) Hódesh how-much time you here? -
(since) month
dibárta bemésheH kol ha-kontsert you-spoke during all the concert
ישנתי (במשך) שבועות
'I slept for weeks'
כמה זמן את פה? - (מזה) חודש
'How long have you been here? -
A month'
דיברת במשך כל הקונצרט

Of time relationship. Phrases of the type פעם ראשונה páam rishona ‘(for the) first time' take no preposition. An alternative is בפעם הראשונה ba-páam ha-rishona (on-the time the first), with a preposition and definite but identical in meaning. A separate (casual) construction is דבר ראשון davar rishon 'first thing' etc:
ani mitbayesh lomar zot ve-lo páam אני מתבייש לומר זאת ולא פעם rishona
I'm ashamed to-say this and not time first '...and not for the first time'
davar rishon badku oti ve-az...
דבר ראשון בדקו אותי ואז...
thing first they-checked me and then... 'First they checked me and then...'

Preposition + noun phrase
Point of time 'Co-temporal' is usually expressed by ב- be-, e.g. ביום שני הזה/שעבר day the this/that passed), בימי שני bi-ymey sheni 'on Mondays', בעוה) שש be-(sha'a) shesh 'at six (o'clock)'.

### 21.7.2 Syntax

Function
Time adjuncts generally qualify a predicate:
hem tamid \{ravim/kenim\}
הם תמיד $\}$ רבים/כנים\{ 'They're always \{arguing/honest\}'
Adjuncts of specific time (not e.g. תמיד tamid 'always') can also be predicate themselves, as in (1) below, and can by the same token qualify nouns (2):
(1) matay ha-Hazarot, \{ha-yom/kol sha'a\}?

מתי החזרות, \}היום/כל שעה\{? when [are] the rehearsals, \{today/every hour\}?
(2) saper al ha-mesiba etmol

ספר על המסיבה אתמול
tell about the party yesterday [= the party which was yesterday]

## Frequency quantifiers

הר בה harbe 'a lot', כמה káma 'how much' and suchlike can generally be used like הר בה פעמים harbe peamim 'often' etc., though rarely with adjectives (see example (3)) or their derivatives (4): ${ }^{27}$
(1) aHshav ze kore yoter
(2) hu yoter miday étsel horav

עכשיו זה קורה יותר 'Now it happens more'

הוא יותר מדי אצל הוריו 'He's too much at his parents'
(3)

hi ayefa | *harbe |
| :--- |
| harbe peamim |

(4) *ze mishtane harbe

## *הרבה <br> היא עייפה הרבה פעמים

'She's tired \{*a lot/often\}'
*
'It changes a lot'

## Adverbial + - ש she-

'Duration since' adjuncts can take a 'dummy' conjunction -ש she-, when preceding the clause:

| \{mi-ze/kvar\} káma Hodashim (she-)hem shovtim | \}מזה/כבר\{ כמה חודשים (ש)הם שובתים |
| :---: | :---: |
| \{for/already \} several months (that) they're striking | several months that they've been striking' |

## Positioning

Most time adjuncts can appear virtually anywhere except between subject and verb/adjective, and there too for emphasis - but the exceptions are important. (Naturally, the subtle forces of balance, context and emphasis also intervene) בשבת be-shabat 'on Saturday' is typical; ' $\wedge$ ' in the example marks where it will appear (with no special emphasis):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { láma ata ko'es? } \\
& \text { למה אתה כועס? } \\
& \text { ki } \wedge \text { bni kibel } \wedge \text { doH-Hanaya^ למי } \wedge \text { בני קיבל ^ דו״ח חנייה } \\
& \text { 'Why are you angry? Because } \wedge \text { my son got } \wedge \text { a parking ticket } \wedge \text { ' }
\end{aligned}
$$

This holds for place adjuncts too (21.8). As usual, longish adjuncts generally gravitate to either end of the clause Exceptions, listed under (a)-(e) below, mostly involve one-word adverbs.
(a) Between subject and verb (or anywhere else): páam 'once (upon a time)', עעכשיו az 'then [= at that time]', תמיד tamid 'always' and many others.
(b) Somewhere before the verb: תכף téHef 'soon' (with present or future tense). ${ }^{28}$
(c) Somewhere after the verb: מוקדם mukdam 'early', מוחר meuHar 'late', מחדש meHadash 'anew', עוד, שוב, יותר od, shuv, yoter 'ever again', and the
quantifiers such as הרבה harbe 'a lot' (so too quantifiers of extent; see 21.3). (d) Initial: $\mathrm{N} a z$ 'then [= after that]'.
(e) Directly before the verb: אן, רק aH, rak 'only just', טרם térem 'not yet', עוד od 'still' (c).
All of these are unamenable to focusing, eg. negation.
If the adverbial comes first, the verb can optionally come next (balance etc. permitting):

kvar ata | ha-Hevra mekabélet... |
| :---: |
| mekabélet ha-Hevra... |
| the company receives... |
| receives the company... |

already now

כבר עתה מקבברת החקרהת....
'Now already the company receives...’

## Positioning vis-à-vis one another

There is no general priority between various time adjuncts, eg. (all else being equal):
yesh kénes Hashuv be-Hol Hódesh ha-shana ha-shana be-Hol Hódesh יש כנס חשוב השכל חודש חודשנה
'There's an important conference \{every month this year/this year every month $\}^{\prime}$

Similarly when they are initial; but when one is initial and the other(s) elsewhere, the initial must be the most general (except for emphasis):
kol yom yesh tsofar be-shesh
כל יום יש צופר בשש
general specific 'Every day there's a siren at six'
every day there's siren at six

## 'Tight' time adjuncts

Some verbs of occurence or duration take time adjuncts 'tightly', without pause, often because they require such an adjunct:


### 21.8 Place adverbials

### 21.8.1 Form

Place adverbials can have the following forms: ${ }^{29}$
Special one-word adverb: tásnu lemáala טסנו למעלה we-flew upwards

Adjective: ... gavóa ba-shamáyim גבוה בשמיים ... ... high in the sky

| Noun phrase of distance: | ... élef kilométer <br> ... 1000 km | ..... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preposition + noun phrase: | $\begin{aligned} & \text {... le-sin } \\ & \text {... to China } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Preposition + place adverbial: | ... le-sham <br> ... to there | .לשם... |

Special one-word adverbs. These have no distinctive form, eg. איפה éfo 'where', ספ מה pa saviv 'here', around'. Several idiomatically attach the prepositions מ bmi-, be- or the unstressed suffix - - $a$ to nouns, other prepositions or non-independent bases, eg. מצפון mi-tsafon 'in/from the North', ממול mi-mul 'opposite', מאחור meaHor 'behind'.

Adjectives. Among the few adjectives used as place adverbs (and not agreeing with the subject) are רחוק raHok 'far away' and נמוך namoH 'low down':

tásnu namoH min ha-ragil<br>pl. m.s.<br>we-flew low than the usual<br>טסנו נמוך מן הרגיל<br>'We flew lower than usual'

Noun phrases of distance Like duration adverbials (חכה שעה Hake sha'a 'wait an hour', 21.7.1), there are distance adverbials, usually a noun phrase of measure Here there is no optional preposition like במשך bemésheH 'during', just את et where definite (just as in 'echo adverbials', 21.4.2):

| sa Hamishim kilométer |  |  | סע חמשים קילומטר 'Go 50 kilometers' |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nasáti et | ha-méa mayl kol ha-déreH | le-elat | לאילת | המאה מייל כל הדרך | נסעתי את |
| I-went om the 100 miles all the way to Elat |  |  |  |  |  |
| ha-kli'im betoH the bullets | hayu neutsim $\mathbf{H}$ a-Homa were lodged ha | atsi méter <br> (a) metr |  | נעוצים חצי מה | הקליעים <br> בתוך הם הת |

Preposition + noun phrase All place adjuncts denoting location, 'to' or 'from' require a preposition (rarely, the suffix $n-a$ ) with any noun phrase The most general are ב- be- 'at, in', - ble- 'to'30 and -מ mi- 'from'. ${ }^{31}$

Preposition + place adverbial. Permitted combinations are a rather arbitrary matter, eg. מכאן mi-kan 'from here' but not בתוך כאן**betoH kan ('inside here'), אל מאחורי... el meaHorey... 'to behind...' but not ..... למאחור ** *le-meaHorey... ('to behind...').

## 21．8．2 Syntax

## Function

Place adverbials can qualify a predicate，be predicates themselves，or modify nouns：${ }^{32}$

| hu mesaHek ba－Huts | ＇He plays outside＇ | הוא משחקוץ בחוץ נחוץ נרטב |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| hu ba－Huts | הכוץ | ＇He＇s outside＇ |

Motion adverbials require a motion verb．${ }^{33}$

## Positioning

Place adjuncts（like time，21．7．2）can appear－with or without pause －before the subject or after the verb etc．，except for tight adjuncts（below）；and there are no exceptions among the one－word adverbs．
Relative positioning（of specific vis－$\dot{-}$－vis general，place vs．time，verb when preceded by adjunct etc．）is as in 21．7．2

## Tight place adjuncts

Many verbs of motion or location take place adjuncts＇tightly＇，with－ out pause，often because they require such an adjunct，eg．שההה gar＇live＇，שר shaha＇stay＇，מצוי matsuy ‘situtated＇，התגעגע hitgaagéa＇yearn＇：
bi－tHuma metsuyim rov ha－mekarke＇in בתחומה מצויים רוב המקרקעין＇ ＇In its area 〈are〉 situated most 〈of〉 the lands＇
Apparently indistinguishable in sentence structure from＇objects’（ch．15）， these are adverbials semantically；thus the appropriate interrogative word is איפה éfo＇where＇or לאן le＇an＇to where＇．${ }^{34}$

## 21．9 Status（＇as＇）adverbials

The prepositions כ－בתור ke－，betor＇as＇introduce at least three related adjunct constructions：
（1）ke－morim，ánu mitnagdim
כמורים，אנו מתנגדים
as teachers we object
（＇as＇＝qua）
liglegu alay，ke－more ליגלגו עלי，כמורה
they－mocked at－me as［a］teacher
（2）liglegu alay，ke－yéled
ליגלגו עלי，כילד
they－mocked at－me，as［a］child
（3）avádnu bishvilo ke－morim עבדנו בשבילו כמורים we－worked for－him as teachers
liglegu alay $\{$ ke－／ke－al\} baHyan ליגלגו עלי \}/כעל\{ בכיין they－mocked at－me \｛as／as at ［a］crybaby

This כ- כמו ke-is distinct fromo 'like' (whose formal alternative is also - כ ke-) - and the appropriate 'question word' is not eH 'how'. As in circumstance adverbials (21.6) the noun identified in bold type here relates to and (where feasible) agrees with the object or the subject.

Whereas constructions (1) and (2) are 'loose adverbials', capable of shifting around with pauses, construction (3) generally follows the verb 'tightly', without pause, like 'tight' time and place adverbials - it is tied to verbs of status. These may be (a) verbs of 'having status', eg. עעבד avad 'work', תיפקד tifked 'function', התחזה hitHaza 'masquerade' - here it is subject-related; or (b) verbs of ‘creating status', eg. התייחס hityaHes 'treat', השתמש hishtamesh 'use', הגד ראר higdir 'define', הכרריז hiHriz 'proclaim', רת ra'a 'view', בחר baHar 'choose'; and of 'attitude', eg. הת לונן hitlonen 'criticize', שיב shibaH 'praise' - here it is object-related (and when passivized it becomes subject-related) and can be expanded to a whole verb phrase: ${ }^{35}$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Some verbs let כ כe- interchange with ל- ל le- (notably התחזה hitHaza 'masquerade as', מינה mina 'appoint as', בחר baHar 'choose as', חשר Hashav 'regard as') or even drop (שימש shimesh 'serve as')."

An alternative to construction (3) with some verbs, if the object has prepositions other than את et, is an object+object clause, as in:

> liglegu alav she-hu yéled péle they-mocked at-him that he's child wonder ליגלגו עליו שהוא ילד פלא mocked him as a wonder child'

Many verbs (including some taking את et) take object clauses without there being a preceding object noun such as עליו alav: examples are הת לונן hitlonen 'complain', זכר zaHar 'remember', ער ra'a 'see'. But this will not always do the same job as 'as' phrases - contrast:

| ra'u she-ani ga'on | 'They saw that I'm a genius' ראו שותי כגאון גאון |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ra'u oti ke-ga'on | 'They saw me as a genius' |

And some 'as' verbs require an object before a clause, eg. ליגלג ligleg 'mock', riHem 'pity'.

### 21.10 Semi-adverbial datives

Four types of dative, i.e ל- le-phrases, are to be regarded as on the border between object and adverbial: they denote affectee, benefactee, possessor and 'autonomous agent'.

Affectee To denote the person (occasionally, the thing) tangentially affected by an action, ${ }^{37}$ the dative can be added between verb and object (if any). This
commonly serves to achieve a mention of an animate participant before the inanimate participant. It is a casual construction:
liHláHta le-ishti et ha-mitbaH! you've-dirtied to my-wife ом the kitchen!
hu pataH li tik
'He opened a file against me'
hi nirdema lo

ליכלכת לאשתי את המטבח!
'You've dirtied the kitchen for my wife!'

הוא פתח לי תיק

היא נרדמה לו
'She fell asleep on him' (i.e in his presence)

Benefactee To denote the person benefitting from an action, the dative can be added after the verb (sometimes after the object), particularly typically benefactive verbs such as רקד rakad 'dance', ניגן nigen 'play’, בנה bana 'build’, בישל bishel ‘cook':38

| hishkéti | la-shaHen et ha-praHim et ha-praHim la-shaHen | לשכן את הפרחים את הפרחים לשכן |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-watered | for-the neighbour ом the flowers ом the flowers for-the neighbour |  |

hu pataH li tik
הוא פתח לי תיק
'He opened a file for me'
There may be different degrees of benefaction:

| hi mevashélet li | היא מבשלת לי |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'She cooks for me (i.e to eat)' |
| hi mevashélet bishvili | היא מבשלת |
|  | 'She cooks for me (i.e as a job)' |

A pair of datives tends to be avoided:
ulay tar'e li et ze le-édna אולי תראה לי את זה לעדנה
perhaps you'll-show to-me ом this to Edna
'Could you show it to Edna for me'
Possessor. When reference is made to part of someone's body (whether as subject, object or adverbial), casual usage tends to specify whose body by the dative, occasionally by the possessive (שלי sheli 'my', שלו shelo 'his' etc.). Formal usage requires possessive suffixes, as in בטנו bitno 'his stomach'. The dative comes between verb and object (if any), thus again mentioning the animate participant ahead of the inanimate: ${ }^{39}$
yaakov sirek le-aHoto et ha-se'ar
Yaakov combed to his-sister ом the hair
histakálti le-Hána ba-enáyim
I-looked to Hana in-the eyes

יעקב סירק לאחותו את השיער
'Yaakov combed his sister's hair'
הסתכלתי לחנה בעיניים
'I looked Hanna in the eyes'

Autonomous agent. Particularly, but not exclusively, in formal Hebrew, the reflexive dative serves to emphasize the autonomy of an action, especially with verbs ${ }^{40}$ of movement and stationary or engrossed activity. The reflexive uses the ordinary, non-reflexive pronoun, unlike objects; but unlike adverbials, including the other datives in this section, the 'autonomy dative' cannot be focused or emphasized. It is thus like a suffix, indeed a 'subject suffix' highlighting that the subject is his 'own free agent':

| ha-tsofe nimnem lo <br> the scout was-dozing to-him <br> הצופה נימנם לו <br> she-teleH la! <br> that she-go to-her | 'The scout was dozing away' |
| :--- | ---: |
| שתלך לה with her!' |  |
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## 22. Focus adverbs

### 22.1 Introduction

Focus adverbs are a small class of words expressing such 'logical' relationships as 'also, even, especially, either, only, at least', and focusing on (i.e stressing) individual words, phrases or clauses - or occasionally even on the whole sentence ${ }^{1}$ Examples are:
ra'inu sham gam atsmot pilim we-saw there also bones elephants
laHen, aH tiv'i ha-davar she.. therefore, only natural the fact that...
bikshu rak she-naHrish
clause
they-asked only that we-keep-quiet
nirshámti, rak she-lo shilámti
I-registered, only that not I-paid

ראינו שם גם עצמות פילים
'We also saw elephant bones there'
לכן, אך טבעי הדבר ש...
'Therefore it is only natural that...'
ביקשו רק שנחריש
'They only asked that we keep quiet'
נרשמתי, רק שלא שילמתי
'I registered, only I didn't pay'

They tend to stand close to the word etc. on which they focus - even in speech, where intonation already shows what is being stressed. Indeed, Hebrew quite generally indicates stress by special word order on top of special intonation (see ch. 37):
atsmot pilim ra'ita?
עצמות פילים ראית!
bones elephants you-saw?
'Did you see elephant bones?'

### 22.2 Form and meaning

Focus adverbs have no distinctive shape, and are not productively coined. Notable examples, grouped by semantic type, are given below ( $\mathrm{F}=$ formal, $\mathrm{c}=$ casual).

## Additive:

 $h u / h i$ etc., af $h u / h i$ etc. (F) 'also, even'.
וכן ve-Hen ‘and also’.
אפילו ve-lu (F) 'even’ (see 22.5) ולו valu,

Restrictive ('only'):
א א אך aH (F), לק ורק aH ve-rak (F), בלב bilvad (F), לבד levad (F), ${ }^{3}$
 combination of any negator with אלא éla 'but' (F):
lo matsáti éla shnáyim
לא מצאתי אלא שניים not I-found but two 'I only found two'
Specific:
במיוחד beyimud ${ }^{4}$ 'especially'.
דווקא dávkas 'of all things/people, precisely', e.g. דווקא שמיר dávka shamir 'Shamir of all people'.
'(ב)עתמי (be-)atsmi6 'myself' (emphatic), 'as for myself', כשלעצמי ke-she-leatsmi 'as for myself' (F), (both agree with focused noun, thus עצממה atsma 'herself' etc.).
...-ani/-ata etc.’ (F) 'own’, as in:
artsénu-ánu ארצנו-אנו
land-our we 'our own land'

## Essential:

גופא גufa (F), גופו gufo etc. (F) (agrees with focused noun) 'proper':
ha-maamar gufo 'the article proper’ המאמר גופו
lefaHot 'at least'.
לא לא 'not'. ${ }^{8}$
A fortiori ('all the more so'):
lo kol she-ken, al aHat kama ve-Hama (f), as in:
be-ásya... u-ve-áfrika lo kol she-ken באסיה... ובאפריקה לא כל שכן 'In Asia... and how much more so in Africa'
Correlatives:

ben... (u-)ven..., im... ve-im... 'whether... or...' (f);
o... o... 'either... or...'; ........... לאו. או.... ולא ve-lo... 'neither... nor..'.

## 22.3-6 FOCUS ON PART OF A SENTENCE

### 22.3 Focus on what?

Most focus adverbs can focus on any part of speech occupying any position, e.g.:
rak mimHatot levanot mutarot
FOCUS ${ }_{\text {ADJ }}$
only hankies white are-allowed

'Only white hankies are allowed'


קח גם את הכסף הקטן בקופםה FOCUS
'Also take the small change in the box'
and even on a pronoun suffixed to a preposition: ${ }^{9}$

```
yaru alav {bilvad/levado/af hu} ירו עליו } ילבד/לבדו/אף הוא,
    PRONOUN
they-shot at-him {alone/also}
```

Clauses too can undergo focus:


More than one per clause is conceivable:

hu eyno aHra’i éla le-aHzaka bilvad הוא אינו אחראי אלא לאחזקה בלבד 'He is only responsible for maintenance alone'

## Restrictions

There are a few restrictions on focus (and many on positioning the focus adverb - see 22.4).

The only focus adverbs to focus on possessive suffixes are the hyphenated personal pronouns, and this is their only use as focus adverbs: ${ }^{10}$


The following focus only on definite nouns: focus adverbs incorporating a pronoun agreeing with a preceding noun, e.g. אף הוא af hu 'also', לבדו levado 'alone', עצמו atsmo ‘oneself', גופו gufo 'proper', and הוא- -hu 'one's own'; thus:
yisrael levada nishala Israel alone was-asked ישראל לבדה נשאלה but not:

```
*horim levadam (\checkmarkbilvad) nishalu
*הורים לבדם (\בלבד) נשאלו
    IndEF
parents alone were-asked
```

לא כל שכן, Items focusing only on noun/preposition/adverb phrases are lo kol she-ken, al aHat káma ve-Háma 'all the more so'.
An item focusing only on verbs or adjectives is אך $a H$ 'only', thus:
isuk ze $\mathbf{a H}$ mevazbez et zmana
עיסוק זה אך מבזבז את זמנה 'This activity only wastes her time' and not:
*isuk ze hino aH masve
*עיסוק זה הינו אך מסווה ('This activity is only 〈a〉 cover')
בק $a H$ etc.) can go with numerals to denote 'no more than', eg. מאה מטר בלבד méa méter bilvad '100m. only'. See 22.4 .3 for positioning, and 8.13 for other words (non-focusing) that qualify numerals, denoting 'roughly, more than, barely' etc.

### 22.4 Positioning

### 22.4.1 Outline: focusing from close up

Focus adverbs can, and most tend to, appear alongside the word(s) in focus:
gam mifalénu orez teenim also our-factory packs figs
mifalénu gam orez teenim Our-factory also packs figs
mifalénu orez gam teenim our-factory packs also figs

גם מפעלנו אורז תאנים ‘Our factory too packs figs’

מפעלנו גם אורז תאנים
‘Our factory also packs figs'
מפעלנו אורז גם תאנים
‘Our factory packs figs too’

However, in negative sentences focus adverbs readily go with the 'negative' word rather than just with the word being focused:

| hu \{gam/afilu\} lo ohev et makártni he even not likes ом makártni | הוא \}גם/אפילו\{ לא אוהב את מקרטני 'He doesn't even like Macartney' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hu lo ohev \{gam/afilu\} et makártni he not likes even ом makártni | הוא לא אוהב \}גם/אפילו\{ את מקרטני 'He doesn't even like Macartney' |
| $\text { ze } \begin{gathered} \text { eyno rak osek } \\ \text { eyno osek rak } \end{gathered} \text { be-yahalomim }$ | אינו עוסק עוסק ביהלומים |
| it $\begin{aligned} & \text { not only deals } \\ & \text { not deals only }\end{aligned}$ with diamonds | 'It doesn't only deal with diamonds' |

Furthermore, focus adverbs incorporating a pronoun occur anywhere after the focused word (by virtue of the referring pronoun) - except לבד/לבדו לבו levad/levado 'alone', which thus (example (3)) avoids confusion with לבדו levado 'unaided' (example (4)):
(1) ha-méleH (be-)atsmo tsaHak
(2) ha-méleH tsaHak be-atsmo
(3) hu levado noheg
(4) hu noheg levado

המלך (ב)עצמו צחק
המלך צחק בעצמו
'The king himself laughed'
הוא לבדו נוהג
'He alone drives'

הוא נוהג לבדו
'He drives by himself'

Moreover, in casual usage (especially) other focus adverbs too can operate 'at a distance': 11
ha-mifal \{rak/gam\} orez tapuHim המפעל רק/גם\{ אורז תפוחים 'The factory \{only/also\} packs apples'
yibáshti et ha-kosiyot gam ken
י"בשתי את הכוסיות גם כן
'I dried the glasses also'
In general, where ambiguity of focus is a danger, the adverb is presumed to focus on the nearest appropriate word.

### 22.4.2 Before or after the focused item?

Here as so often, there is no semantic basis for the positioning of individual words: the table below illustrates the pattern.

| Examples |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Only preceding the focused word: |  |
| af 'also' |  |
| רק, אך, אך ורק | המשק מבוסס אך ורק על... |
| rak, $\mathrm{aH}, \mathrm{aH}$ ve-rak 'only' | ha-méshek mevusas aH ve-rak al... |
|  | 'The economy is based only on...' |
| lo 'no' לא |  |
| All correlatives |  |

Only following the focused word:
gam ken 'also' גם כן
bilvad, levad ‘only’ בלבד, לבד avur naHim bilvad 'For invalids only’ עבור נכים בלבד
All pronoun-incorporating adverbs: levado לבדו, af hu אף הוא

Preceding or following the focused word:
 'Are you coming too?'

| Examples |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | zéhu, ze gam!/zéhu, gam ze! | זהו, זה גם!/זהו, גם זה! 'This is it, that too!' |
| afilu | 'even' | 1/אפילו | lo ratsiti litsHok afilu not I-wanted to-laugh even lo ratsiti afilu litsHok Transl. for both : | לא רציתי לצחוק אפילו <br> לא רציתי אפילו לצחוק even want to laugh' |
| dávka | 'precisely' | דווקא | láma baHar dávka be-varod? why he-chose precisely pink? láma baHar be-varod dávka? Transl. for both : | למה בחר דווקא בוורוד! <br> למה בחר בוורוד דווקא? did he choose pink?' |
| beyiHudbimyuHad 'especially' במייחוחד ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| lefaHot | 'at least' | לפחות | lefaHot be-inyan ze efshar le.. at-least in matter this one ma be-inyan ze, lefaHot, efshar le Transl. for both: <br> 'In this | לפחות בעניין זה אפשר <br> בעניין זה, לפחות, אפשר ter at least one may... |
| lo kol she-ken לא כל שכן על אחת כמה וכמה al aHat kama ve-Hama 'all the more so' |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

1 This follows only in casual usage
2 In initial position, במיוחד bimyuHad means 'just': באתי במיוחד בשבילך báti bimyuHad bishvileH 'I came just for you'.

### 22.4.3 Restrictions on positioning

לא $l o$ 'not' must precede the verb, if any, as in (1) below - unless the focus explicitly involves contrast, i.e 'not mice but rats', in which case, as in $(2,3)$, it may instead precede the focused words:
(1) hu lo ko'es aláyiH

הוא לא כועס עליך
he not is-angry at-you
'He's not angry at you'
(2) hu lo ko'es aláyiH éla alay
he not is-angry at-you but at-me
הוא לא כועס עליך אלא עלי 'He's not angry at you but at me'

הוא כועס לא עליך אלא עלי 'He's not angry at you but at me'

Similarly, לא lo must precede the verb when part of the לא לא......éla 'only’ construction - here אלא éla (ordinarily = 'but rather') is not contrastive:
hem lo hisigu éla heskem Helki they not reached but agreement partial

הם לא השיגו אלא הסכם חלקי 'They only reached a partial agreement'

This construction, strictly speaking, involves any negator (ch.29), even בלי bli 'without'; and there must be some item intervening between its two components (as if to give the negator something to negate), even just a suffix:
hu eyno éla mashle atsmo
he is-not-suffix but deluding himself
*hu lo éla hishla atsmo
he not but deluded himself

הוא אינו אלא משלה עצמו
'He is only deluding himself'
*הוא לא אלא השלה עצמו
('He was only deluding himself')

## Crossing into noun phrases etc.

Focus adverbs can be conceived of as issuing from some central point to the various parts of the sentence, and they encounter obstacles - they cannot usually 'cross' into noun phrases or preposition phrases:
baHanu gam yeladim du-leshoniyim N PHR

בחנו גם ילדים דו־לשוניים
'They also tested bilingual children'
they-tested also children bilingual
and not:

> *baHanu yeladim gam du-leshoniyim
> they-tested children also bilingual

Similarly:
daber afílu al ze דבר אמילו על זה
speak even about that
*daber al afilu ze דבר על אפילו זה*
speak about even that
A result of this is the distinction between אף af as a focus adverb (= 'even') and as a negative determiner (= 'no'; see ch. 9):

| af be-iton | 'even in 〈a〉 newspaper’ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be-af iton | אף באף עתון |

### 22.5 Syntactic restrictions

Three (formal) focus adverbs introduce apposed words or clauses, the first two always and the third usually:


ולו velu (related to ו וע ve- ‘and' plus hypothetical לו lu 'if'; cf. 32.11.2) is strictly hypothetical and may be rendered 'even if it be..', unlike the other word for ‘even' אפילו afilu: 'Is there a chance, even the slightest,...?'
keHol she-ha-mitsva heHraHit yoter, \{ben/im\} mitsad ha-adam, \{ben/im/o\} mitsad ha-makom \{u-ven/ve-im/o\} mitsad ha-zman,...

ככל שהמצווה הכרחית יותר,
\}בין/אם\{ מצד האדם,
\}בין/אם/אואוא מצד המקום \}ובין/ואם/או\{ מצד הזמן,...
'The more the precept is essential, whether in terms of man or in terms of place or in terms of time,...
By contrast, .......... 'either...or...' and other correlatives (see 22.6) are not limited to apposition:
hishtamesh o (*im) be-neft o be-peHam השתמש או (*אם) בנפט או בפחם 'Use either oil or coal'

A more general restriction is that when the focused noun is unsaid, focus is impossible - thus ruling out the following relative clause: ${ }^{13}$
*dov, she-gam (vshe-gam hu) ahav dikduk... ...... דב, שגם (Jשגם הוא) אהב דיקדוק"
Dov, that also (vthat also he) liked grammar ('Dov, who also liked grammar’)
Conversely, there is nothing to stop one focusing on a focus adverb itself, eg. negating, questioning or otherwise emphasizing רק רק , בל rak, bilvad 'only', (ב)עצמו (be-)atsmo 'oneself'. לווקא dávka 'precisely', and לא lo 'not' too is questioned and emphasized. Thus:
lo rak yisra'el éla... לא רק ישראל אלא... לס 'not only Israel but...'
22.6 Correlatives: ...!....סג gam...ve.. 'both...and...', .....וג o...o... 'either...or...' etc.
'Correlative focus adverbs' focus in series, usually in pairs, on (conjoined) words or phrases; some examples are listed below.
.........ve-lo... 'neither...nor...' (lit. 'not...and not...'), when following the verb, comes in addition to the usual לא לא lo 'not' which precedes the verb (see also ch. 29):

| ani lo eynéni rotse lo ezra ve-lo raHamim |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| not want not help and not pity | nt neither help nor pity' |

lo rómi lo yavan ve-lo kartágo hitsliHu.. לא רומי לא יוון ולא קרתגו הצליחו not Rome not Greece and not Carthage succeeded...
........ve-)gam... 'both...and...' is literally 'also...and also' - but here the two גם gam relate to one another and not to the foregoing; - ve 'and' can drop formally:
...להילחם גם ככוח מוצנח, גם בנחיתה מהים, (ו)גם כחיל רגלים .lehilaHem gam ke-kóaH mutsnaH, gam bi-neHita me-ha-yam, (ve-)gam ke-Heyl raglim
'...to fight both as a paratroop force, and by seaborne landing, and as infantry'
hen...ve-hen... 'both...and...' is used only correlatively. As for א או o...o... 'either...or...', although single conjunction 'or' and not a focus adverb (thus: הוא גם מצייר hu gam metsayer 'he also paints', and not הוא או מצייר**hu o metsayer 'he or paints'), correlative .............. is strictly a focus adverb: ${ }^{14}$
shvi 0 im dálya 0 im lióra שבי או עם דליה או עם ליאורה sit either with Dalya or with Liora
In all the above, the second of the pair can be tagged to the end of the sentence (as is common with conjoined phrases, see ch. 35):
lo ha-mishtara huzaka ve-lo ha-tsava not the police was-called and not the army

לא המשטרה הוזעקה ולא הצבא
'Neither the police were called nor the army'
 or...' are used only correlatively, the latter only in apposition (see 22.5).
22.7 Focus on whole sentences: רק rak 'only', -שא שא lo she- 'not that...' Certain types of focus adverb, initial in a sentence, can focus on the whole sentence rather than on individual words - setting it against the adjoining discourse They are thus equivalent to 'link adverbs' (ch. 24), whose only role is to signal the logical ties between sentences, eg. כמו־כן kmo-Hen 'likewise'. 'Also':

'My husband (is) abroad and also
[= moreover] my sister 〈is) ill'
'Only': רק שק rak she- ‘only',15- לא רק ש- לא זו בלבד ש lo rak she, ${ }^{16}$ lo zo bilvad she- ${ }^{17}$ (F) 'not only':
eten lo laléHet be-ratson, rak she-hu אתן לו ללכת ברצון, רק שהוא aHshav Hole..

עכשיו חולה...
'I will allow him to go willingly, only [= however] he is now ill...'
\{lo rak/lo zo bilvad\} she-kayom yesh harbe לא רק/לא ז בלבד\{ שכיום יש הרבה\} zugot tseirim éla... זוגות צעירים אלא...
not only that nowadays there-are many 'Not only are there nowadays many couples young but... young couples but...'
'Not': לא ש ש lo she- 'not that' (c):
lo she-ani rotse leayem aval...
לא שאני רוצה לאיים אבל...
Not that I want to-threaten but...

The two foregoing types are the only focus adverbs to allow (and require) - ש she-; there is no -גם ש**gam she- ('also that') or - לפחות ש* *lefaHot she- ('at least that'): ${ }^{18}$
'At least' (not only initial) :
bo neleH. ha-géshem nifsak lefaHot
בוא נלך .הגשם נפסק לפחות
'Let's go. The rain's stopped at least'
'Either...or...':
o she-hu rav o she-hu tsoréaH
or that he quarrels or that he yells
אוּ שהוא רב או שהוא צורח 'Either he quarrels or he yells'
The double $-\boldsymbol{v}$ she- is obligatory (see note 15 ). ${ }^{19}$ The second clause can be reduced to a mere tagged phrase:
o she-hu yada o she-at
either that he knew or that you

או שהוא ידע או שאת
'Either he knew or you did'
22.8 Focus on a camouflaged subordinate clause: אפילו afilu 'even if' etc. Four focus adverbs are also used like prepositions introducing a clause; they are אפילו afilu 'even', אף af'even', במיוחד bimyuHad 'especially' and בייחוד beyiHud 'particularly'. Examples and forms are listed here אפילו afilu 'even if:
afilu kol ha-olam yits'ak,...
אפילו כל העולם יצעק, ... 'Even if all the world screams,...'

- אפילו שafilu she- 'even though' (i.e ‘even with the fact that'):

- אף שaf she- ‘even though’.

אף כר af ki 'even though'.

- במיוחד שר bimyuHad she- 'particularly as':

> ma im yiyu sufot reamim, bimyuHad
> מה אם יהיו סופות רעמים, במיוחד she-ba ha-Hóref?
> שבא החורף!
> 'What if there are thunderstorms, particularly as winter's coming?'

- בייחוד ש beyiHud she- 'particularly as'.

These four focus adverbs are focusing on a camouflaged adverbial clause ('if, despite, as'). אפילו afilu here is to be contrasted with אפילו afilu 'even' which focuses on a noun, as in example (2); the former tends to be followed directly by the verb, as in (1): ${ }^{20}$
(1) afilu yitsaku Haveray,...

אפילו יצעקו חברי, ... 'Even if my friends scream, ...'
(2) afilu Haveray yitsaku

אפילו חברי יצעקו
'Even my friends will scream'

## 23. Disjunct adverbials

### 23.1 Introduction

Disjuncts are 'fringe adverbials'. In terms of sentence structure, they are typically 'parenthetical'. Semantically, their main role is to evaluate a sentence or one's intentions in producing it - from three angles:

> Truth disjunct: אולי זה מדבק
> ulay ze medabek
> Comment disjunct :
> 'Maybe it's catching'
> לצערי, זה קרוע
> le-tsaari, ze karúa
> 'Unfortunately it's torn'
> Manner of speaking disjunct :
> אישית, אני בעד
> ishit, ani be'ad
> 'Personally, I'm in favour'

However, a few disjuncts have a somewhat different role semantically, eg. .... למרות lamrot... 'despite..’; see 23.5.

As with all adverbial types, 'disjunct' denotes a specific role in sentence meaning and structure - filled by (1) a single word, (2) a phrase, or (3) a clause:
(1) ishit, ani be'ad
(2) be-ófen ishi, ani be'ad
(3) lomar laH be-Henut, ani be'ad

אישית, אני בעד
'Personally, I'm in favour'
באופן אישי, אני בעד
'Personally, I'm in favour'
לומר לך בכנות, אני בעד
'To put it candidly, I'm in favour'

This chapter deals with words ('adverbs') and phrases. For disjuncts as clauses, see chapter 32 (especially 32.1 and 32.12 ). For an overview of adverbials other than disjuncts, see 21.1. Contrast particularly with 'link adverbials' (ch. 24).

## 23.2-5 TYPES OF DISJUNCT

Most disjuncts are found in any position vis- $\grave{a}$-vis subject, verb and object (balance and emphasis permitting); exceptions will be noted. Many disjuncts can potentially be marked off by pause or comma, i.e they are phonetically as well as semantically 'on the sidelines'.

### 23.2 Truth disjuncts

Truth disjuncts evaluate the likelihood of a sentence being true, in terms of (1) how definite? (ranging from 'undoubtedly' to 'in no way') and (2) in whose opinion? Since an exhaustive list and a precise translation of the vari-
ous truth, comment and 'manner of speaking' disjuncts is beyond the scope of this book, examples will be given in context.

## Examples of truth disjuncts



| hu bétaH putar | הוא בטח פוטר <br> 'Sure he's been sacked' |
| :---: | :---: |
| hu bétaH putar | הוא בטח פוטר <br> 'He's probably been sacked' |
| he beemet yotser araHim | הוא באמת יוצר ערכים 'He really creates values' |
| beHayay she-ani mefaHed | בחי שאני מפחד ‘Am I scared! |
| uvda, ze po'el | עובדה, זה פועל |

הגדרת תנועה אמנם תיתכן, אך...
'Defining a vowel is admittedly possible, but...'

| ve-aHen, tsadákti | ואכן, צדקתי |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'And indeed, I was right' |
| halo ani makir otHa | הלא אני מכיר אותך 'I know you after all' |
| hu met ke'ilu | הוא מת כאילו <br> 'He is dead, so as to speak' |
| gam ba-maHatsit ha-shniya kmo nirata ba-migrash rak kvutsa aHat 'Even in the second half only one te | גם במחצית השניה כמו נראתה במגרש רק קבוצה אחת |
| ha'im barHu o shéma neHtefu | האם ברחו או שמא נחטפו? <br> flee or else were they seized?' |

ledaatam shel ha-shnáyim, tsriHot
ha-rashuyot lehitarev

לדעתם של השניים, צריכות
הרשויות להתערב
'According to both, the authorities must intervene'

## Form of truth disjuncts

Truth disjuncts have no distinctive form. Many are also used for other purposes, eg. כמו kmo also means 'like' and בחוי beHayay 'good heav-
ens!', but Hebrew has no regular means of creating new disjuncts. ${ }^{1}$ בטוח batual 'certain(ly)' is one of the few adjectives also used as disjunct (see also פשוט pashut ‘simply' in 23.4) and can be qualified: ${ }^{2}$

| hi kim'at batúaH be-Hufsha |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| f.s. m.s. |  |
| she almost certain on holiday | 'She's almost certainly on holiday' |

## Syntax of truth disjuncts

Position. A few truth disjuncts are restricted in position, for reasons apparently unconnected with their meaning. These particular disjuncts are mostly 'integrated' into the sentence, with no pause or comma.

Clause-initial truth disjuncts include: בחיי beHayay 'really', הלא halo 'after all', שמא shéma 'perhaps', אפשר efshar 'perhaps'; initial or within the clause: כן bétaH, batúaH 'certainly/probably’; directly preceding the verb בטח, בטוח ken 'certainly', ממש mamash 'really', כמו kmo 'as it were'; and within the clause or final: כאילו ke'ilu 'as it were'. עובדה uvda 'the fact is' and אמת (נכון) emet (naHon) 'admittedly', both clause-initial, are followed by either a colon or a comma.

## The - בוודאי bevaday she- construction

For emphatic (ב)וודאי)(be)vaday 'certainly' and כמובן kamuvan 'of course' to occur in initial position, one generally appends what is apparently the conjunction $-\boldsymbol{ש}$ she-, although this has little in common with that conjunction (see below):

## bevaday she-Hankan hu yesod

בוודאי שחנקן הוא יסוד
'Of course nitrogen is an element'
בהכרח bétaH, batúaH ‘certainly/probably’, בטח, בטוח The same holds for beheHraH 'inevitably', באמת, בחיי beemet, beHayay 'really', מכל שכן mikol sheken 'all the more so'. Two non-emphatic disjuncts, which allow an optional שנראה ke-nir'e 'apparently' and כידוע ka-yadúa 'as is wellknown':
ke-nir'e she-ha-nura nisrefa
כנראה שהנורה נשרפה
'Apparently the bulb has gone'
Though superficially resembling the widespread 'predicate + subordinate clause' construction, as in (1), the truth disjunct+-ש she- is a law unto itself - it can be inserted (casually) in mid-sentence, directly preceding the predicate: ${ }^{5}$
(1) barur she-ha-nura nisrefa

ברור שהנורה נשרפה '(It is > clear that the bulb has gone'

אבא שלו בוודאי (ש)רוצה לעזוב
(2) ába shelo bevaday (she-)rotse laazov father his certainly (that) wants to-quit 'His father certainly wants to quit'
(3) ani beemet (she-)lo mevin ota

I really (that) not understand her

אני באמת (ש)לא מבין אותה
'I really don't understand her'

## Free-standing truth disjuncts

Truth disjuncts denoting certainty, probability, 'apparently' as well as אולי ulay 'perhaps' and ברצינות birtsinut 'seriously' (virtually a truth disjunct) can stand alone, often as answers or questions:

| smeHim? - betaH | 'Happy? - Sure!' | שמחים! - בטח |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| smeHim? - kenir'e | 'Happy? - Apparently.' | שמחים! - כנראה |
| Ham! - be-emet? | 'It's hot! - Really?' | חם: - באמת! |
| Ham! - birtsinut? | 'It's hot! - Seriously?' | - ברצינות! |

## Double verb constructions

Literary Hebrew can convey future certainty by 'double verb’ constructions: (a) indeclinable gerund + future tense verb, eg. בוא יבוא bo yavo 'come it will’; (b) future + gam + future; eg. יבוא גם יבוא yavo gam yavo ‘come it will'.

### 23.3 Comment disjuncts

Comment disjuncts embroider a statement with a comment on its impact, desirability, or the way in which it is known - often taking its 'truth' for granted, unlike 'truth disjuncts' in 23.2.

## Examples of comment disjuncts

be-oto yom pagash le-tadhemato
et kraus
ha-metsi'ut, le-tsaari, hayta shona
le-marbe ha-pli'a he'ira bat-tsHok et panav

באותו יום פגש לתדהמתו את קראוס 'That day he met Kraus to his horror'

המציאות, לצערי, היתה שונה
'Reality, regrettably, was otherwise'
למרבה הפליאה האירה בת-צחוק
את פניו
'Amazingly, a smile lit up his face'
eni tovéa Halila she-yiye adiv
איני תובע חלילה שיהיה אדיב
'I'm not demanding, perish the thought, that he be polite'
hu mistapek be-manganon הוא מסתפק במנגנון metsumtsam, ka-amur
'He makes do with a reduced apparatus, as stated'
Semantically, most comment disjuncts are equivalent to nouns or adjectives (predicating the clause):
le-haftaati, hi HiyHa = ze she-hi להפתעתי, היא חייכה = זה שהיא
HiyHa garam li hafta’a חייכה גרם לי הפתעה
'To my surprise, she smiled' = 'That she smiled caused me surprise'
ha-menayot yatsivot, be-ófen lo tsafuy = = = = ze she-ha-menayot yatsivot lo tsafuy זה שהמניות יציבות לא צפוי 'Shares are unexpectedly steady' = 'That shares are steady is unexpected'

Two other, more productive alternatives to comment disjuncts are:
ha-maftia (ba-davar) hu she..
המפתיע (בדבר) הוא ש...
the surprising (in-the thing) is that...
ma she-maftia hu she..
מה שמפתיע הוא ש...
what that surprising is that...
Both :
'What's surprising (about it) is that...' (= surprisingly,...')
Another, common equivalent to most comment disjuncts of the type כאמור ka-amur 'as stated' is a whole clause (see 32.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 'As is expected, he..' }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Form of comment disjuncts

To express 'impact', Hebrew has three constructions, limited to a small set of nouns or adjectives ${ }^{6}$ (except in 'creative' styles):
ל le + abstract construct noun:

| le-aHzavata | 'to her disappointment' | לאכזת אביו |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| le-simHat aviv | לשמחת | לo his father's pleasure' |

le-marbe or למרבית le-marbit + abstract (definite) noun: ${ }^{7}$

| le-marbe ha-tsáar | 'regrettably' | למרבה הצער |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| le-marbit ha-mazal | 'luckily' | למרבית המזל |

be-óraH (f) + adjective:8
be-ófen paradoksáli 'paradoxically' באופן פרדוכסלי
be-óraH lo tsafuy 'unexpectedly' באורח לא צפוי
However, to express 'the way in which something is known', Hebrew employs the construction $k a$ 'as' + passive participle on a fairly productive scale: ${ }^{9}$

| ka-mudgam | 'as illustrated' | כמוגדם |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ka-nizkar | 'as mentioned' | כמזצע |
| ka-mutsa | 'as proposed' |  |

In addition, a motley of other expressions act as comment disjuncts, eg. 'baruH hashem 'thank Heaven', ברוך המת be-maftia 'surprisingly'.

## Syntax of comment disjuncts

Most comment disjuncts can occur in any position, above all initially, and can be marked off by pause or comma. However, חלילה Halila 'Heaven forbid' and its synonyms do not occur initially.
Comment disjuncts can generally be expanded where appropriate:

| le-tsaari ha-rav | 'to my great regret' | לצערי הרב |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be-óraH paradoksáli me'od | 'very paradoxically' | באורח פרדוכסלי מאד |
| ka-yadúa be-artsot arav | 'as known in Arab countries' | כידוע בארצות ערב |

But most $-כ$ ke- type disjuncts will prefer to be expanded into a full clause:

```
kfi she-nizkar (not: ka-nizkar)
כפי שנזכר (*כנזכר)
kvar ba-pérek ha-kodem,...
כבר בפרק הקודם,...
'as was mentioned already in the previous chapter'
```

Negation is by של she-lo, notably:

| she-lo le-hafta'at... | שלא להא בהתעת..not surprisingly (for...)' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| she-lo be-tsédek | שלא כצפוי | 'unjustly' |
| she-lo ka-tsafuy | 'unexpectedly' |  |

## 23.4 'Manner of speaking' disjuncts

'Manner of speaking' disjuncts convey the way a sentence is intended, usually by describing the 'manner of speaking' (typically one's own).

## Examples of 'manner of speaking' disjuncts

ishit, ani sone hódu
אישית, אני שונא הודו
'Personally, I hate turkey'
ktsat savlanut, be-emet! קצת סבלנות, באמת!
'A little patience, really!'
ani, pashut, lo yadáti al ze
kan, birshutHa, ani mafsik

אני, פשוט, לא ידעתי על זה 'I simply didn't know about it'

כאן, ברשותך, אני מפסיק
'Here, with your indulgence, I shall stop'
be-ófen klali turkav ha-maskóret me-ha-tosafot ha-ba'ot

באופן כללי תורכב המשכורת מהתוספות הבאות
'Generally speaking the salary will be composed of the following increments'
Semantically, these often have an equivalent in a disjunct conditional clause: im ledaber be-ófen ekroni...

אם לדבר באופן עקרוני... 'If one may speak in a principled way...'
Distinguish also between the following. Example (2) is not a disjunct:
(1) be-ófen teoréti hu mad'an tov in way theoretical he scientist good
(2) mi-bHina teorétit hu mad'an tov from aspect theoretical he scientist good

באופן תיאורטי הוא מדען טוב 'Theoretically, he's a good scientist'

מבחינה תיאורטית הוא מדען טוב 'In theoretical matters, he's a good scientist'

## Form of 'manner of speaking' disjuncts

Three distinctive constructions are used as 'manner of speaking' disjuncts: ${ }^{10}$
(1) באופן be-ófen + adjective:
be-ófen rishmi 'officially speaking' באופן רשמי
(2) Adjectives with a feminine ending in $-\boldsymbol{r}$ it-:
rishmit 'officially speaking' רשמית
(3) ב be+ abstract noun, eg.
be-Henut 'honestly speaking' בכנות
Construction (1) is productive: any appropriate adjective may appear. But construction (2), and especially (3), are used in just a few cases (except in creative styles), thus example (1) below is possible but not example (2):
(1) im ledaber ba-adivut,...

אם לדבר באדיבות... 'If one may put it politely,...'
(2) *ba-adivut,...

* באדיבות,... 'Politely speaking,...'
Various other expressions are used, eg. דרך אגב déreH agav 'incidentally', בשוט benénu 'confidentially', בינינו bashut 'simply', בבקש bevakasha 'please', להלכה laHalaHa 'theoretically'.


## Syntax of 'manner of speaking' disjuncts

Most such disjuncts occur in any position, above all initially, and can be marked off by pause or comma. However, אנג ána 'please' (F) is only initial, and na 'please' (F) only follows the verb, without pause, often hyphenated to it. ${ }^{11}$ Both, unlike בבקשה bevakasha (the commonest word for 'please'), generally require a verb in a command form, i.e imperative or future:
mesor na et shmam
give please $о м$ their-name
ani rotse shlosha kartisim, bevakasha I want three tickets, please

מסור נא את שמם
'Please give their name'

אני רוצה שלושה כרטיסים, בבקשה
 a remote, lofty tone):
bevakasha lehamtin בבקשה להמתין
please to-wait
'Wait please'
Most 'manner of speaking' disjuncts do not allow 'expansion' (or negation):

| *be-ófen ishi me'od | 'very personally' באופן אישי מאד" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| *birtsinut raba | 'very seriously' |

### 23.5 Other disjuncts

Several other types of word, phrase and clause are disjuncts in terms of sentence structure, though not serving semantically to 'evaluate a sentence or one's intentions in producing it.' (For clauses, see ch.32.) Notable examples are set out here.
Concessive ('although..., despite...'):
lamrot ha-kor, natan lo viter למרות הקור, נתן לא ויתר
'Despite the cold, Natan did not give in'
Additives and subtractives ('besides, including, except...'):
(be-)nosaf le/al... .....
milvad...(F) 'besides, in addition to’ מלבד ל...
Huts mi-... ${ }^{12}$ חוץ מ...
Huts mi-... חוץ מ...
milvad (F)... 'except for’’3 מלבד...
lehotsi... להוציא... לרבו
prat le-... פרט ל...
kolel 'including' כולל
lerabot (F) לרבות

## Syntax

מולל milvad 'except' and מלבד kolel 'including' can act like coordinators or like prepositions; as coordinators:

בדקתי בכל החדרים, מלבד בסלון badákti be-Hol ha-Hadarim, milvad ba-salon I-checked in all the rooms, except in-the lounge
hizmánti et kulam, kolel et dan
הזמנתי את כולם, כולל את דן
I-invited ом all-of-them, including ом Dan 'I invited them all, including Dan'
Compare the same repetition of prepositions after the coordinator $\boldsymbol{-}$ ve'and':

| ve-lo ba-salon | '...and not in the lounge' | .ו..ולא בסלון |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ...ve-gam et dan | '...and also Dan' |  |

As prepositions, and with exactly the same meaning, they are directly followed by the noun (and can even occur in initial position); no preposition can intervene:

בדקתי בכל החדרים, מלבד הסלון badákti be-Hol ha-Hadarim, milvad ha-salon I-checked in all the rooms, except the lounge

- חוץ Huts mi- 'except' can act either as a simple preposition or as a kind of comparative particle (meaning 'other than'), in which case - $n m i$ - can be replaced by the 'than' conjunction מאשר measher (see 20.4):
...Huts me-ha-salon
חוץ מהסלון
'...except the lounge'


### 23.6 Shared syntactic traits of disjuncts

## Sentence processes

Whether phonetically detached or not, disjuncts are structurally detached in being outside the focus of the 'sentence processes' - negation, questioning, contrastive emphasis. This rules out: ${ }^{14}$


Rather:
ze lo batúaH
זה לא בטוח
'It isn't certain'
at meuHzévet she..?
את מאוכזבת ש...?
'Are you disappointed that...?'
Disjuncts do allow negation etc. - they are simply outside it:
ani bétaH lo ozev!
אני בטח לא עוזב!
'Of course I am not leaving!'
Comment disjuncts, implying a fact, do not mix with questions or commands in general:
*le-tsaari, al titnadev

* לצערי, אל תתנדב
'Unfortunately, don't volunteer'


## Word order after disjuncts

Disjuncts can but need not affect word order. In initial position, with or without pause, they will allow, but not compel, subject-predicate inversion (compare ch. 21 - they are just like other adverbials): ${ }^{15}$

| a'amito shel davar yaHol ha-adam... <br> לאמיתו של דבר יכול האדם... ha-adam yaHol... <br> האדם יכול... |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

As initial disjuncts can stand 'detached', further adverbials can directly follow without making the sentence top-heavy:

'Apparently, at the Cabinet meeting this question was raised'
Disjuncts readily occur in subordinate clauses:
omrim she-kenir'e lo yiyu hozalot
אומרים שכנראה לא יהיו הוזלות
'They say that apparently there will not be reductions'

## 24. Link adverbials

### 24.1 Introduction

Link adverbials express a logical connection between sentences: ${ }^{1}$ az hi lo báa? 'So she isn't coming?' היא לא באח? hu omnam bari, aH... 'He is indeed healthy, but...' ....' חוא אמנם בריא, אן any harey ben-adam 'I am a person, after all' אני הרי בן-אדם בר
Thus link adverbials differ in function from other types of adverbial, set out in general in 21.1. But in common with disjunct adverbials (ch. 23), they are 'fringe adverbials', parenthetical in a semantic and syntactic sense: they can usually be set off by pause or comma, and most can be positioned anywhere vis-à-vis subject, verb and object - though most commonly at the front of their clause:
im ken, láma leakev et ze 'If so, why hold it up?' אסם כן, למה לעכב את זה: láma, im ken, leakev et ze 'Why, if so, hold it up?’ למה, אם כן, לעכב את זה? láma leakev et ze, im ken 'Why hold it up, if so?' למה לעכב את זה, אם כך
A handful are less obviously 'fringe elements' - they resist any pause or punctuation; and most require front position:
yesh laH? az tamshiHi 'Got it? Then go on’ יש לך: אז תמשיכי
Link adverbials are mostly one-word adverbs. They have no distinctive form, and often have other diverse functions. Though not productively coined, they are too numerous to list here exhaustively, so we give examples of the main types.

### 24.2 Main types of link adverbial

Enumeration ${ }^{2}$

kódem-kol lesiyum

Addition ${ }^{3}$
yéter-al-ken/gam zot gám ken/ken ${ }^{4} / \mathrm{od}$ beétsem
omnam
'first of all'
'to conclude'

קודם-כל
לסיום

| 'moreover' | גם זאת/יתם-ערל-כן |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'likewise' | עוד/כן/גם-כן |
| 'in actual fact' | בעצם |
| 'admittedly' | אמנם |

'moreover'
'likewise'
'admittedly'

Transition ${ }^{5}$
aHshav éfo hayit etmol?
legabey.../(ba)asher le.. 'as regards...' .ב(בשר ל.../לגבי.

Summing up ${ }^{7}$

| lesikum | 'to sum up' | לסיצור |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bekitsur | 'in a nutshell' |  |

Reformulation ${ }^{8}$

| bifrat | 'specifically' | בפרטו(ד) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (de)háynu | 'namely' | מוטינו |
| mutav | 'or rather' |  |

For example ${ }^{9}$
ledugma/déreH mashal 'for example' דרך משל/לדוגמה Result ${ }^{10}$

| az | 'so' (clause-initial) | א אפוא |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| אם אם כם כך |  |  |

Inference ${ }^{11}$
im ken/im kaH
az
'if so' (any position) אם כן/אם כך
'so' (clause-initial)

Alternatives ${ }^{7}$
miHad...meidaH... 'on the one hand...on the other...' ...........
Antithesis ${ }^{7}$
lehéfeH 'quite the opposite’ (clause-initial) להפך
leumat ze 'by contrast' לעומת זה

Reservation ${ }^{7}$
beHol zot 'nevertheless' בכל זאת
kaH o kaH
'anyway’
כך או כך

## Explanation

hen (clause-initial)/harey (any position) 'after all’ הרי/הן

### 24.3 Link adverbials and their clause

Sentence focusing
Link adverbials are 'signposts' showing the logical links between sentences - and they are felt to be on the sidelines, transcending sentence focusing, eg. one does not negate them, ask about them, or otherwise single them out for contrastive attention. Thus Hebrew does not say:

```
*lo laHen (vbiglal ze) sha'álti
*לא לכן (אבגלל זה) שאלתי not therefore (vbecause-of that) I-asked
'That's not why I asked.'
```

However, some such items accept emphasis but not negation or questioning, thus (1) below is good but not (2):12
(1) laHen sha'álti
therefore I-asked
לכן שאלתי
'That's why I asked'
(2) *ani efo sha'álti

I therefore asked
*אני אפוא שאלתי
('That's why I asked')
Similarly, בכל זאת beHol zot and למרות זאת lamrot zot 'nevertheless' and all terms denoting 'anyway’ allow emphasis, but not עם זאו עe-ulam or עולם ' im zot 'however'.
Several link adverbials also act as adjunct adverbials (see ch.21), as in:
bekitsur, daber bekitsur בקיצור, דבר בקיצור
LINK ADV ADJUNCT 'In brief, speak in brief'
lehéfeH, hu mitnaheg lehéfeH
להפך, הוא מתנהג להפך
link adv adjunct 'Quite the opposite, he acts the opposite'
Indeed, link adverbials are sometimes best seen as part of an unexpressed 'I'm telling you (briefly, first of all,...) that...'

## Free-standing link adverbials

Certain such items, by virtue of their meaning, can be free-standing, notably:
hem enam Hofshiyim? - lehéfeH
asur lehisha'en? - ádraba

הם אינם חופשיים? - להפך
'They aren't free? - Quite the opposite'

אסור להישען? - אדרבה
'Can't one lean? - Far from it'

## Word order

Most link adverbials are 'parenthetical', i.e potentially set off by pause or comma, while a few are compulsorily integrated into the sentence, notably כן ken 'likewise', עשיו aHshav 'now', הן az 'so', הן hen 'after all'. The latter are almost invariably clause-initial, no doubt affording easier comprehension, while the former often trigger inversion of subject and verb (just like adjunct adverbials); contrast examples (1) and (2): ${ }^{13}$
(1) i-leHaH, tehe af ha-hityaHasut eléha אי-לכך, תהא אף ההתייחסות אליה hityaHasut ambivaléntit התייחסות אמביוולנטית
as-a-result, will-be too the relation to-her relation ambivalent
'As a result, the relation to her too will be ambivalent'
(2) az kulam tsriHim lishkav

אז כולם צריכים לשכב so everyone has to-lie-down

The＇closely integrated＇type of link adverbial has an extra－close relationship to the foregoing clause：not even－ו ve－＇and＇can come between them．It is thus somewhat akin to a coordinator（ $-1 v e$－＇and＇，$a H$＇but＇etc．）$)^{14}$ or conjunction （כ שי ki＇for＇，שמא shéma＇lest’ etc．）．Compare the two types of link adverbial： Parenthetical
．．．．ve－yéter－al－ken．．．＇and moreover ．．．’’ ．．．．．．
．．．．aH af－al－pi－Hen．．．
＇but nevertheless．．．＇
．．．．אך אף－על－פי－כן ．．．
ve－tsariH，efo，．．．
＇and one must thus．．．＇
．．．וצריך，אפוא，．．．

## Integrated ${ }^{15}$

kar hayom，（＊ve－）az tsariH kóva
קר היום，（＊ו）אז צריך כובע cold today，（＊and）so need hat ＇It＇s cold today，so you need a hat＇
hayta teuna，（＊ve－）ma od she－ha－nehagim היתה תאונה，（＊）מה עוד שהנהגים shavtu

שבתו
＇（There〉 was 〈an〉 accident，〈and〉 what＇s more，the drivers were striking＇
However，the two clauses can be separated by a semi－colon or full stop（see 24．4）：
kar hayom．az tsariH kóva
קר היום．אז צריך כובע ＇It＇s cold today．So you need a hat＇
hayta teuna．ma od she．．
היתה תאונה．מה עוד ש．．． ＇There was an accident．What＇s more，．．．＇

Several link adverbials，mostly of enumeration，summing up and reformula－ tion（a distinct group in itself），are always detached from their clause，and tend to precede it．No subject－verb inversion occurs．Thus：
davar rishon，ha－mimshal asuy levater דבר ראשון，הממשל עשוי לוותר ＇Firstly，the regime（is）likely to yield＇
be－mikre ze yukdam ha－póal．kelomar： ha－póal yikdam le－nos＇o

במקרה זה יוקדם הפועל．כלומר： הפועל יקדם לנושאו ＇In this case the verb will be brought forward，i．e， the verb will precede its subject＇

## 24．4 Link adverbials and the preceding clause

Most such items are linked to the preceding clause by comma，semi－ colon，full stop（or their spoken equivalent），with or without ve－＇and＇or ובל aval＇but＇etc．，as meaning may require：${ }^{16}$
．．．；ve－yoter mi－ze，ani．．．
＂．．．；ויותר מזה，אני．．．
＇．．．；and furthermore，I．．．＇
The tie between link adverbial and preceding clause results in the fact that， by and large，the clause with the link adverbial directly follows that clause Thus ${ }^{17}$（where the link to the previous clause is indicated by arrows）：

> u-Hemo-Hen en ha-tsilumim moHiHim $\quad$ וכמוּכן אין הצילומים מוכיחים... davar kshe-en bakara maspéket דבר כשאין בקרה מספקת
> '... and similarly the photos prove nothing when there is no adequate control'
but not:

> *...u-kshe-en bakara maspéket, kmo-Hen וכשאין בקרה מספקת, כמו-כן* en ha-tsilumim moHiHim davar אין הצילומים מוכיחים דבר
> ('... and when there is no adequate control, similarly the photos prove nothing')

Beyond this, there are few special restrictions. The preceding clause can be subordinate as in (1) below, as can the link adverbial clause (2) or both (3):
(1) af im yeshanu et ha-Hok, yitstarHu אף אם ישנו את החוק, יצטרכו belav-haHi leshalem בלאו-הכי לשלם
'Even if they change the law, they'll have to pay anyway'
(2) ani oved kashe, ki laHen báti le-Han אני עובד קשה, כי לכן באתי לכאן 'I'm working hard, because that's why I came here'
(3) mitbarer she-hayta takala, az hu hitakev מתברר שהיתה תקלה, אז הוא התעכב 'It turns out that there was a mishap so he was held up'

## Link adverbials as 'echoes'

Semantically, many link adverbials do the same job as a preposition:



And Hebrew occasionally uses both link adverbial and preposition together, one echoing the other: ${ }^{18}$

| lamrot she-te'ur ze mekubal mize shanim rabot, be-Hol zot en hu te'ur tov | למרות שתיאור זה מקובל מזה שנים רבות, בכל זאת אין הוא תיאור טוב |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'Although this des | en accepted for many years, is not a good description' |



## FURTHER READING

Chayen \& Dror 1976: ch.7; Glinert 1987; Landau 1985: 309ff; Ornan 1979a: 92; Sadka 1981: 186 f.

## 25. Pro-adverbs

Pro-adverbs, words representing adjuncts of extent, manner, means, place, time, purpose and cause, are described together with pronouns in chapter 7: section 7.6 deals with 'definite pro-adverbs' such as $a z$ 'then', $\boldsymbol{~}$ sham 'there', kaH 'in that way'; section 7.7 describes 'anticipatory pro-adverbs' as in (1) below; and 7.8 discusses 'indefinite pro-adverbs' such as איפהשהו éfoshehu 'somewhere'. On interrogative pro-adverbs, eg. those for 'where, why', see 26.3; on negative pro-adverbs, eg. for 'nowhere, never', see 29.11; and on relative proadverbs as in (2) below, see chapter 33.
(1) sa le’an she-nóaH 'Go wherever easy’ סע לאן שנוח
(2) ha-ir sham noládeti... 'the city where I was born...' ....

Not all semantic classes of adjunct have special pro-adverbs: for example, 'purpose' and 'cause' have a special interrogative למה láma 'why?' whereas 'for that purpose' must be expressed by phrases such as לשם כך leshem kaH or משביל זה as משום כך mishum kaH.

Furthermore, pro-adverbs such as לאן le'an 'where to' and שם sham 'there' refer to places, whereas 'people' require למי le-mi 'to whom':
le-mi nasáta? 'Who were you going to?' למי נסעת!
As for the general distinction between pro-adverbs and pronouns, see 15.2.5:

| éfo nilHámta | 'Where were you fighting?' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ba-me nilHámta | 'What were you fighting?' |

## 26. Questions

### 26.1 Definition of 'question’

One must distinguish between 'question' as a syntactic construction and as an act. The act of questioning (as against stating, warning, etc.), in Hebrew as in English, uses various constructions, e.g. declarative 'I want to know the time', imperative 'Tell me the time', and interrogative 'What is the time?'. The interrogative is the 'question construction' par excellence, and the subject of this chapter.
Note, however, that the interrogative may fail to 'act' as a question:
ani yodéa ma ha-sha'a
I know what the time

אני יודע מה השעה 'I know what the time is'

Such interrogatives too are described here (26.7).

### 26.2 Yes /no questions in main clauses

There are two types of interrogatives: those expecting a 'yes /no' reply, i.e. 'yes /no' questions, as in example (1) below, and those asking 'who, what, where, how' etc., i.e. 'wh-' questions, as illustrated here in examples (2,3):
(1) at ofa máshehu?
you bake something?
(2) ma at ofa?
what you bake?
(3) matay at ofa?
when you bake?

את אופה משהו? 'Are you baking something?'

מה את אופה!
'What are you baking?'
מתי את אופה!
'When do you bake?'

First we describe yes /no questions; for 'wh-' questions see 26.3-6. For their subordinate and infinitival varieties, see 26.7 etc.

## In casual usage

Casual speech usually distinguishes yes /no questions from statements by intonation:
yesh makom
there-is room $\quad$ 'Is there room?'
yesh makòm there-is room

יש מקוֹם 'There's room'
Casual writing uses a question mark, ordinarily with no extra 'question particle' or word order change (though the question particle האם ha'im is occasionally used):
yesh makom?
יש מקום!
there-is room?
'Is there room?'

## In formal usage

In formal speech and writing, yes/no questions usually begin with the question particle האם ha'im:1
ha'im yesh makom?
האם יש מקום!
PARTICLE there-is room?
'Is there room?'
Instead of האסם ha'im, literature also uses the particles כלום klum, וכי ve-Hi, and - $\boldsymbol{n}$ ha- (in main clauses):
ve-Hi hiskámnu? 'Did we agree?’ וכי הסכמנו?

- ha- also figures in a few less literary idioms, e.g. היתכן ha-yitaHen? 'can that be?'.... התוכל ל ha-tuHal le... 'can you...?'


## Negative yes/no questions: 'Isn't he?'

Negative yes/no questions allow two meanings: either simply asking for confirmation (with stressed לא lo 'not'): ${ }^{2}$
(ha'im) lo yashnu? 'They weren't asleep?' האם לא ישנו? or, more commonly, expressing a fond hope for the answer 'yes':
(ha'im) lo yashnu? 'Weren't they asleep?' האם לא ישנו?

## 26.3-6 'WH-' QUESTIONS IN MAIN CLAUSES

### 26.3 The various 'wh-' words

'Wh-' words are the interrogative pronouns (and pro-adverbs, proadjectives, etc.) for the various parts of speech, listed below.
Nouns: מי mi 'who', מה ma 'what'.
Adjectives: איך eH:
eH hu, neHmad? 'What's he like, nice?' איך הוא, נחמד!
Adverbs of manner and means: כיצד , איך keytsad (F) 'how':
eH shárti, beséder? איך שרתי, בסדר!
eH shárti, be-mikrofon?
איך שרתי, במקרופון?
'How did I sing, with a microphone?'

Adverbs of extent: כמה káma 'how much (eg. did you sleep?)'.
Adverbs of place: איפה éfo, היכן heHan (F) 'where'; לאן le'an, לאיפה/איפה le-éfoléfo (very casual), להיכן le-heHan (F) 'where ...to’; מאיפה me-éfo (c), מאין me’áyin (F), מהיכן me-heHan (F) 'where...from'.
Adverbs of time: מתי matay 'when'.
Adverbs of purpose and cause: למה láma, מה ma (c), מדוע madúa (F) 'why'. Quantifiers: כמה káma 'how many, how much'.
Determiners: איזה éze 'which, what':4
éze brerot? 'What alternatives?' איזה ברירות:
Degree words: עע כמה ad káma, מה ma (literary) 'how':
ad káma hu meshuHna? ‘How convinced is he?’ עד כמה הוא משוכנע?

## Special properties

מי mi 'who' can be feminine but not plural (even when a group of people is implied):

| banot, mi gamra? f.s. | 'Who's finished, girls?' | בנות, מי גמרה! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *banot, mi gamru? | ('Who have finished, girls?') | *בנות, מי גמרו |

Acting as direct object, מי mi 'who' requires the 'direct object particle' et, ordinarily only found with 'definite' pronouns (eg. את זe et ze 'this', see 15.5). By contrast, מה ma 'what' takes את et only in 'echo questions', as in (2):
(1) et mi naashim?

את מי נאשים!
ом who we-will-blame?
'Whom shall we blame?'
(2) ratsiti et ze - et ma?

I-wanted ом this - ом what?
רציתי את זה - את מה!
'I wanted this - You wanted what?'
איך eH representing an adjective can be predicate as in (1) below, but not modifier (2); instead, something like (3) is employed:
(1) eH hu , hu simpáti?

איך הוא, הוא סימפטי!
PRED PRED
how he, he nice? 'What's he like, is he nice?'
(2) *eH baHura Hipásta, baHura shketa? איך בחורה חיפשת, בחורה שקטה! how girl you-looked-for, girl quiet?
('What sort of girl did you look for, a quiet girl?')
(3) éze sug shel baHura 'What sort of girl?’ איזה סוג של בחורה! מה $m a$ is used for 'why' rhetorically, conveying 'you mustn't' or 'I'm not!':

| ma at boHa! | 'What are you crying for!' | מה אנ את בשטם! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ma ani ashem! | 'Why am I to blame!' |  |

ע עד כמה ad káma 'how' is not strictly a degree word, in respect of its positioning. Unlike degree words (example (1)), it cannot directly adjoin its adjective (2): ${ }^{5}$
(1) dey Hazak
(2) ad káma hu Hazak?
how he strong?

די
Quite strong'
עד כמה הוא חזק?
'How strong is he?'

### 26.4 Positioning of 'wh-' words

'Wh-' words are usually put as close as possible (with restrictions as listed below) to the front of the question clause, thus:

| ma Hashavt? <br> ObJ | 'What did you think?' | מה חשבת! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma ha-liHluH ha-ze? | 'What is this filth?' | מה הליכלוך הזה! |
| PRED |  |  |

## Restrictions

Where a 'wh-' word forms part of a larger noun phrase (eg. בעיית מי baayat mi problem who $=$ 'whose problem') or preposition phrase (eg. עם מי im mi 'with whom') it cannot by itself be lifted out to the front of the clause Instead, the whole phrase is shifted en bloc to the front of the question clause: ${ }^{6}$

| baayat mi zot? | בעיית מי זאת! |
| :---: | :---: |
| N PHR problem who this? | 'Whose problem is this?' |
| $\underset{\mathrm{im} \mathrm{mi}}{\text { mi }}$ at nosáat? | עם מי את נוסעת! |
| PREP PHR with whom you are-going? | 'Who are you going with?' |
| baala shel mi ashem? | בעלה של מי אשם! |
| N PHR <br> her-husband of whom at-fault? | 'Whose husband is at fault?' |

But Hebrew can begin questions with 'wh-' words that logically belong not to the main clause but to a subordinate clause embedded within it. ${ }^{7}$ (Roughly speaking, what works for English works for Hebrew). Thus:
éfo Hashavt she-eshev, al ha-gag?
איפה חשבת שאשב, על הגג!
where you-thought that I'd-sit, on the roof?
SUBORD CLAUSE
'Where did you think that I'd sit, on the roof?'
(='You thought that I'd sit where?')

### 26.5 More on word order: subject-verb inversion

Following the 'wh-' word, the verb often leapfrogs over the subject (unlike in statements):
ha-bos halaH 'The boss went' הבוס הלך
but:
le'an halaH ha-bos?
where went the boss?
לאן הלך הבוסי
'Where did the boss go?'
There are three restrictions. First, subject pronouns do not usually permit inversion, hence:
le'an hu halaH?
where he went?
לאן הוא הלך
'Where did he go?'
Second, phonetic and semantic balance in the sentence play a subtle part in whether one inverts. Third, prescriptive grammarians bar inversion for present tense verbs, preferring:
ma ha-ayalot osot?
what the deer are-doing?

מה האיילות עושות! 'What are the deer doing?'

Such subject - verb inversion is part of a wider tendency for verbs to cross in front of subjects, once some other word has taken over clause-initial position (see 37.4, 37.13):
gam etmol ba ha-ganan
גם אתמול בא הגנן
also yesterday came the gardener
'The gardener came yesterday also'

## 26.6 'Wh-' words in non-questions

Most 'wh-' words have five further functions that have little to do with questions. Rather than being basically 'interrogative', 'wh-' words are more like multi-purpose pronouns:
(1) As 'whatever, wherever' (the first example is a concessive conditional clause):
et mi she-lo tishal, lo tekabel tshuva
ом who that not you'll ask, not you'll-get reply
kaH ma she-tirtse
take what that you'll-want

את מי שלא תשאל, לא תקבל תשובה 'Whomever you ask, you'll get no reply'

קח מה שתרצה
'Take whatever you want'
(2) As 'the thing (that), the place (where)' etc. (Both (1) and (2) involve - ש she+ clause):
ze ayom, ma she-amart
it frightful, what that you-said
be-mi she-ani ge'a ze be-yóni
of who that I proud is of Yoni

זה איום, מה שאמרת 'It's frightful, what you said'

במי שאני גאה זה ביוני
'The person I'm proud of is Yoni'
(3) As 'something, somewhere' etc, with the suffix שהו -shehu: máshehu nafal 'Something fell’ משהו נפל
(4) As relative pronouns 'which, where' etc. (notice the infinitive that follows them):
yesh harbe ma lehosif יש הרבה מה להוסיף
there-is a-lot what to-add
'There is a lot to add'
(5) In exclamations:
eH rakádnu! 'How we danced!’ איך רקדנ!

For details, see (1) $32.11,33.4 .2$, (2) $33.4 .2,37.9$, (3) 7.8 , (4) 37.9 , and (5) 27.2 .
Three ' $w h$ '' words have yet other functions that even create possible ambiguity; these are איזה éze (see 9.2), כמה káma and מה ma:

| éze núdnik tilfen | 'Some pest phoned' | איזה נודניק ט |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| éze núdnik tilfen? | 'Which pest phoned?' | איזה נודניק טילפ! |
| káma izim niHnesu | 'A few goats got in'8 | כמה עזים נכנסו |
| káma izim niHnesu? | 'How many goats got in?' | כמה עזים נכנסו? |

מה ma ('what') also means 'some' in some idioms (F), when it is hyphenated to an abstract noun in 'construct state':

| zman-ma | במן-מה |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| time some |  |$\quad$ 'a while' $\quad$| be-midat-ma |
| :--- |
| in extent some |

### 26.7 Subordinate questions

### 26.7.1 Function

Subordinate questions are subordinate clauses having question form (they begin with 'wh-' words or 'question particles'), though they do not necessarily have the effect of reported questions. Thus the subordinate question in example (1) reports on a question; in example (2) it expresses a mere noncertainty, and in example (3) a failure to specify:
(1) sha'alt éfo hayiti
you-asked where I-was
(2) lo barur mi brógez
not clear who angry
(3) ani yodéa mi hitatesh

I know who sneezed

שאלת
'You asked where I was'
לא ברור מי ברוגז
'It isn't clear who's angry'
אני יודע מי התעטש
'I know who sneezed'

### 26.7.2 Form

Subordinate yes/no questions. These usually begin with the question particle אם im 'whether', ${ }^{9}$ rarely with formal האם ha'im. No special question intonation is used. (By contrast, main yes/no questions (26.2) use האם ha'im or simply special intonation, not אם im.)

## Main question:

(ha'im) ze met? (האם) זה מת!
(Q PARTICLE) it dead? 'Is it dead?'
Subordinate 'wh-' questions. These are usually as in main clauses. Word order too is the same:

Subordinate question:
sha'álti matay ze met שאלתי מתי זה מת
I-asked when it died
'I asked when it died'
sha'álti matay méta ha-para
I-asked when died the cow
שאלתי מתי מתה הפרה

Main question:
matay ze met? מתי זה מת:
when it died?
matay méta ha-para?
when died the cow?
'I asked when the cow died'
'When did it die?'
מתי מתה הפרה?
'When did the cow die?'

But in casual usage the conjunction - $\boldsymbol{e}$ she- can be inserted (an optional extra with no meaning) after the ' $w h$-' word:
lo sha'alt éfo she-ani gar
not you-asked where that I live
sha'alt im mi she-ani meshatéfet you-asked with who that I share

לא שאלת איפה שאני גר
'You haven't asked where I live'
שאלת עם מי שאני משתפת
'You asked who I'm sharing with'

## Tense

Tense in all subordinate questions is as in subordinate clauses as a whole: by the 'tense and time rule' the vantage point of the person directly contemplating the action - not necessarily the speaker - determines its tense (see ch.13):

| gad sha'al matay yósef yagía |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VANTAGE | FUT |  |
| POINT (PAST) | TENSE | 'Gad asked when Yosef would arrive' |

### 26.7.3 Role of subordinate questions within main clauses

Like subordinate 'statements' and 'requests', questions can be subordinated in a number of ways (for details see ch. 31 and 34 ).
As subject of the main clause:
mi hifsid od lo barur
מי הפסיד עוד לא ברור
subj
who lost still not clear
'Who lost is still not clear'
lo barur mi hifsid
SUBJ
not clear who lost
As dislocated subject of the main clause:
aHshav ze barur mi yashar עכשיו זה ברור מי ישר
DISLOCATED SUBJ
now it clear who honest
As object of the main clause:
ata batúaH ma beséder
you certain what OK
In apposition to a noun: ${ }^{10}$
ha-sheela eH ze kara lo huvhara השאלה איך זה קרה לא הובהרה N apposed clause
the question how it happened not has-been-clarified
'The question of how it happened has not been clarified'
Note that the noun cannot be in the construct relationship (שאלת sheelat) to the apposition clause

### 26.8 Infinitival questions

Besides the 'finite' questions (questions with an inflected verb) described so far, Hebrew has a range of 'infinitival' questions (with an infinitive). They convey 'should (I, one)?' generally:
eH lehagiv?
how to-react?
(ha'im) leHabot?
(Q PARTICLE) to-switch-off?

איך להגיב!
'How should I (or: one) react?'
(האם) לכבות!
'Shall I (or: ought one) switch off?'

As the infinitive in 'statements' basically conveys a request (לכבות leHabot! 'switch off!'; see 28.4), the infinitive in 'questions' is a question about a request, i.e 'should (I, one)?'

## Subordinate infinitival questions

Infinitival questions turn up as subordinate clauses in the same way as do finite questions:
lo barur ma lemalot not clear what to-fill-in
taHlit kvar im laHtom decide already whether to-sign

לא ברור מה למלאות
'It is not clear what to fill in'
תחליט כבר אם לחתום
'Decide, will you, whether to sign'

How the subject of the infinitive verb is understood depends on the verb in the main clause (infinitives lack a subject). Following אמר amar 'tell', לימד limed 'teach' and other verbs of 'instruction', the infinitive will relate to the object of these verbs, i.e to the person being instructed (as in English):

| amárti lo ma laasot | אמרתי לו מה לעשות 'I told him what to do' (= what he should do) |
| :---: | :---: |
| hisbárti laH ma laasot | הסברתי לך מה לעשות 'I explained to you what to do!' (= what you should do) |

With any other verbs, adjectives, etc., the infinitive will relate to the subject of the verb, adjective, etc.: ${ }^{11}$
shaalu oti matay lavo שאלו אותי מתי לבוא 'They asked me when to come'
(= when they should come)
enéni batúaH matay lavo
אינני בטוח מתי לבוא
'I'm not sure when to come' (= when I should come)

## An alternative to the infinitive: future tense

'Questions about requests' can be conveyed instead by future tense questions:
ma ani eese?
מה אני אעשה
'What should I do?'
ani alamed gam et ze?
אני אלמד גם את זה?
'Shall I teach this as well?'
In principle, future tense here can be taken, as usual, as 'will I' (a question about a statement) besides 'shall I' (a question about a request).

### 26.9 Truncated 'wh-' questions

Questions can be spared needless repetition by 'truncation':12
az kanu tanur... aval éze kanu? אז קנו תנור... אבל איזה קנו?
so they-bought a-stove.. But which 'So they bought a stove.. But which did they-bought
they buy?'

$$
\| \begin{gathered}
\text { MAIN CLAUSE } \\
\text { TRUNCATION } \\
\text { yields: }
\end{gathered}
$$

az kanu tanur... aval éze?
אז קנו תנור... אבל איזה!
so they-bought a-stove.. But which?
yiye tov, aH mi yodéa matay yiye tov? יהיה טוב, אך מי יודע מתי יהיה טוב? it-will-be good, but who knows when it-will-be good?

yiye tov, aH mi yodéa matay?
יהיה טוב, אך מי יודע מתי!
it-will-be good, but who knows when?

### 26.10 יש משהו? yesh máshehu? 'Is there anything?'

In questions, wherever English uses special 'non-specific' pronouns and pro-words, i.e 'anyone, anything, any, at all, ever', Hebrew tends simply to use משהו máshehu 'something', מישהו mishehu 'someone', כלשהו kólshehu
 ey-paam 'once' and ey-sham 'somewhere') - words not limited to questions:
ha'im tafsu míshehu?
Q PARTICLE they-caught someone?
todia li im máshehu Haser
tell me if something is-missing
lo yadúa heHan hitgala givun kólshehu לא ידוע היכן התגלה גיוון כלשהו not known where was-detected variation some

Halamt paam? you-dreamed once?

האם תפסו מישהו!
'Did they catch anyone?'
תודיע לי אם משהו חסר
'Tell me if anything is missing' 'It is not known where any variation was detected'

חלמת פעם!
'Did you ever dream?'

However, formal Hebrew has an optional alternative: 'non-specific' pronouns etc. which are indeed special to questions (and to conditionals, negative statements and anything else that is not a positive assertion): ${ }^{13}$

| 'Non-specific' word |  |  | Example (in questions) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ish | 'anyone |  | ha'im sipru le-ish? | האם סיפרו לאיש? 'Did they tell anyone?' |
| davar | 'anythi |  | ha'im nish'ar davar? | האם נשאר דבר? 'Did anything remain?' |
| kol | 'any' | כל | ha'im gila kol havana? | האם גילה כל הבנה! 'Did he show any understanding?' |
| klal | 'at all' | כלל | safek im vitru klal | ספק אם ויתרו כלל <br> 'It is doubtful whether they yielded at all' |

## FURTHER READING

Ben-Horin 1976; Chayen \& Dror 1976: ch.5; Cole et al. 1977; Glinert 1982a; Laufer 1974; Malisdorf 1979; Rosén 1977: 8.5.3; Sadka 1981.

## 27. Exclamations

### 27.1 Introduction

This chapter describes three exclamatory constructions: question-like exclamations, 'relative clause' exclamations (negative and positive), and infinitival exclamations.
First, however, mention should be made of the host of idiomatic expressions of exclamation like those in (1) below, of such exclamatory degree words as מאד me'od 'very', and of the regular conversion of statements into exclamations by exclamation marks or by exclamatory intonation, as in examples $(2,3)$ - although no intonation pattern is exclamatory per se:
(1) shtūYO्T

שטוֹיות!
nēeDAR
נהדר!
'gorgeous!'
(2) ze lo yitaHÈN

זה ,לא יתכן
it not is-possible 'It can't be!'
(3) ata ma'mash neHMAD אתה מ'מש נחמד you really nice 'You're really nice!'

### 27.2 Question-like exclamations

Question-like exclamations convey extremes of degree or quantity. They are introduced by:

| éze | 'what' | איאה |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Káma | 'what a lot of, how' | אמה |
| eH | 'how' |  |

Qualifying a noun
Degree: ${ }^{1}$
éze krav mishtolel sham! איזה קרב משתולל שם! a what battle rages there!
'What a battle is raging there!'
Quantity:
káma shgiot hu asa! כמח שגיאות הוא עשה! how-many mistakes he made!

Qualifying an adjective ${ }^{2}$
káma tov lanúaH! כמה טוב לנוח! how good to-rest!

Adverb to the verb phrase

## Degree:

káma hu nire muzar! כמה הוא נראה מוזר! how he looks odd!
'How odd he looks!'

## Quantity:

káma hu mefatpet! כמה הוא מפטפט! how-much he chatters!

Degree (of unsaid adverb): eH hi rakda!

איך היא רקדה! how she danced!

An optional - $ש$ she-, identical to the subordinating conjunction $-ש$ she- but here devoid of meaning, is often inserted after the opening phrase (as in questions; see 26.7): ${ }^{3}$
éze terutsim she-hu mamtsi! איאה תירוצים שהוא ממציא!
what excuses that he invents! 'What excuses he invents!'
éze ga'on she-hu!
what genius that he!
איזה גאון שהוא!
'What a genius he is!'
káma she-hu nire muzar!
how that he looks odd!
כמה שהוא נראה מוזר!
'How odd he looks!'
eH she-hi rakda!
how that she danced!

איך שהיא רקדה!
'How she danced!'

Casual usage, rather than using כמה káma 'how many, how' with nouns and adjectives, tends to prefer quite different constructions. Instead of:
káma origináli!
káma shgiot...!
'How original!' כמה אוריגינל!
'How many mistakes...!' כמה שגיאות...'
it prefers:
éze origináliut!
hu kol-kaH origináli!
hu asa hamon shgiot!
איזה אוריגינליות:
'What originality!'
חוא כל-כך אוריגינלי!
'He 〈is〉 so original!'
חוא עשה המון שגיאות! 'He made loads of mistakes!'
The 'question-like' exclamation is impossible with other 'wh-' words or with yes/no questions: ${ }^{4}$
*éfo hi hayta! איפה היא היתה! *
where she has-been!

Exclamation clauses can be cut back to simple phrases: ${ }^{5}$

| éze kravot! | 'what battles!' | איזה קרבות |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| káma shgi'ot! | 'what a lot of mistakes!' | כמה שגיאות! |
| káma matsHik! | 'how funny!' | כמה מצחיק! |
| ve-od eH! | 'and how!' | ועוד איך |

## 27.3 'Relative clause' exclamations - negative

One particular type of relative clause has no overt antecedent noun, and an 'interrogative-like' relative pronoun (described in 33.8); the ' $\wedge$ ' symbol indicates here that the antecedent noun 'anyone' is understood:
lo haya li el mi lifnot
not was to-me to who to-turn $\quad$ לא היה לי אל מי לפנות $\quad$ 'I did not have anyone to whom to turn'

This construction is also used in exclamations - but with the conjunction $-\boldsymbol{w}$ she- plus finite verb rather than with an infinitive, and strictly in the negative: ${ }^{6}$


These exclamations convey not extremes of degree/quantity (as in 'questionshaped' exclamations earlier) but rather that 'everyone/everything' etc. is involved - thus contrast the following:
eH she-hu lo nisa!
how that he not tried!
eH she-hu nisa!
how that he tried!

איך שהוא לא ניסה!
'How he only tried!'
(= he tried everything)
איך שהוא ניסה!
'How (hard) he tried!'

Less colloquially, the conjunction $-ש$ she-can drop, but still with the negative - a 'blend' between a relative clause and the 'question-shaped' exclamations of 27.2:
ma hi lo yodáat!
what she not knows!

מה היא לא יודעת!
'What she only knows!'

## 27.4 'Relative clause' exclamations - positive

Noun + relative clause - with a stated antecedent and strictly using the positive - can convey an exclamation equivalent to .... איזה éze.. 'what a ...!':
ha-balagan she-hu ose po!
הבלגן שהוא עושה פה! the mess that he makes here!
ha-dvarim she-hi oméret!
הדברים שהיא אומרת! the things that she says!

### 27.5 Infinitival exclamations

Verbs of perception are used in the infinitive (with no subject noun, as usual) to express 'to see..!, to hear...!' and the like:
lishmóa eH hu maaliv ota! to-hear how he insults her!
kshe-hitHálnu, kol méshek gidel levad, ve-lir'ot eH ze mitpatéaH, ba'im zugot Hadashim, mishpaHot...

לשמוע איך הוא מעליב אותה!
'To hear how he insults her!'
כשהתחלנו, כל משק גידל לבד, ולראות איך זה מתפתח, באים זוגות חדשים, משפחות... 'When we started, each farm farmed by itself, and to see how it's developing, new couples, new families are coming...'

## FURTHER READING

Laufer 1974.

## 28. Requests

### 28.1 Definition of 'request'

This chapter deals with constructions that typically convey a request (or 'command' - the term is meant loosely). Of chief concern are particular uses of the future tense, the imperative form and the infinitive: ${ }^{1}$

| Future tense: | taatsor! | 'Stop!' | תעצור! |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Imperative form: | atsor! | 'Stop!' | לעצור! |
| Infinitive: | laatsor! | 'Stop!' |  |

Such future tense and infinitive requests occur in subordinate clauses too ('reported requests'):

| amárti she-yaatsor FUT | אמרתי שיעצור |
| :---: | :---: |
| I-said that he-stop | 'I said that he should stop' |
| amárti laatsor | אמרתי לעצור |
| INF |  |
| I-said to-stop | 'I said to stop' |

The chapter is organized in the main according to function and level of diction; 28.10 sets out the facts again, tense by tense.

## 28.2-8 MAIN CLAUSE REQUESTS

Requests may be made in the 1st, 2nd or 3rd person, though of course requests to the addressee (2nd person) are most common. Direct 2nd person requests chiefly involve the imperative form (mainly in formal usage), the future tense (mainly casual), and the infinitive (neutral), as described below. ${ }^{2}$

### 28.2 Formal 2nd person requests

Formal usage, e.g. literature, officialese, the press, printed instructions, and much careful educated usage, generally employs the imperative form in 2 nd person requests (except in the negative):

| habet | 'Look' |
| :--- | :--- |
| knu kóka-kóla | קנו קוקה-קולה |

In the negative, not the imperative but the future tense is used, and the negator is אל al, not לא lo:3

| al tabit | 'Do not look' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| al tiknu | 'Do not buy' |  |

In these and all other requests in this chapter, other words can be brought to the front of the sentence, as in:
et ze ten le-ába
oм this give to Daddy

את זה תן לאבא | ת |
| :---: |,

'Give this to Daddy'

אתה ata 'you' and other terms for 'you' cannot be added as subject to an imperative, except as a vocative, meaning 'hey you...'. For אתה ata 'you' in other 2nd person requests, see 28.5 .

### 28.3 Casual 2nd person requests

The general rule for positive requests: future tense
For positive 2nd person requests, casual Hebrew mostly uses the future ${ }^{4}$ form, e.g. תזכור tizkor 'remember', with no subject אתה ata 'you' except for emphasis (see 28.5).

What distinguishes future tense requests (in the positive) from future tense predictions is (1) an exclamation mark, or (2) suitable intonation and context, or (3) just context:

| (1) tizkor! | תקכור |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (2) 'tizKOR | 'Remember!' | תזכור בבקשה |
| (3) tizkor bevakasha | 'Remember' | 'Please remember' |

vS.
téHef tizkor
'Soon you'll remember'
תכף תזכור

The exception: use of the imperative form
For a request expecting an immediate result, e.g. 'Tidy up' as against 'Tidy up tomorrow morning', casual Hebrew offers the option of using either the future or the imperative form, if one is using a verb that can form the imperative by the simple method of lopping off its future tense prefix $-\Omega t i-/ t a-/$ te-. Only two verb patterns meet this criterion, the kal (also called pa'al) and the pi'el patterns: ${ }^{5}$

| Imperativ | Future form |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meshoH | 'Pull' | משוך | timshoH | תמשוך |
| sa | 'Proceed' | סע | tisa | תסע |
| kum | 'Stand up' | קום | takum | תקום |
| sader | 'Tidy' | סדר | tesader | תסדר |

By contrast, verbs of the nif'al, hif'il and hitpa'el patterns do not employ an imperative of the normative type (as listed in traditional grammars) in casual usage, for it cannot be formed by simply omitting the future tense prefix:

| Normative Imperative |  | Future |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hikanes | 'Enter' | היכנס | tikanes | תיכנס |
| hakshev | 'Listen' | הקשב | takshiv | תקשיב |
| hitlabesh | 'Dress' | התלבש | titlabesh | תתלבש |

The distinction is seen in the following example:
tafsik livkot ve-ptaH et ha-délet תפסיק לבכות ופתח את הדלת

HIFIL
KAL
FUT IMP
'Stop crying and open the door'
While most kal and pi'el imperatives in casual usage are fairly elegant in style (though not formal or pedantic) and immediate, even slightly brusque in meaning, a minority are often more or less identical in style and meaning with the future tense request - particularly kal imperatives of the form consonant + vowel ( $\pm$ consonant), such as: בוא bo ‘come’, גע ga ‘touch',
 down', רוץ ruts 'run', שכ לים shev 'sit', שבו sim 'put', תן ten 'give'; and among pi'el forms: חכה Hake 'wait', כבה kabe 'turn off'.
leH maHar, mótek 'Go tomorrow, sweetheart' לך מחר, מותק

## A new imperative form

Casual usage has created a new imperative כנס kanes ‘Come in' (and its inflections) alongside the future form תיכנס tikanes.

Very casually, hitpa'el verbs too have a new imperative by dropping the prefix - ת $t i$-:

| titlabesh $\rightarrow$ tlabesh | 'Get dressed' | תתלבש--תילבש |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tistakli $\rightarrow$ stakli | 'Look' | תסתכלי-סתכלי |

## Negative requests

In the negative, casual usage has the negator אל al + future tense throughout (even with kal and pi'el verbs, for the imperative form is not negatable):

| al tisa | 'Don't go' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| al takum | אל תסםם |

There is no confusion with future tense predictions, as their negator is לא lo, not אל al:
lo tisa
'You won't go'
לא תסע

- ש she- + future tense: a forceful request

Casually, $-ש$ she- +2 nd person future (and indeed with 1 st person, see 28.7) conveys a more forceful tone:
she-terdi be-atsmeH
that you-get-down by yourself
she-lo tishkaH
that not you-forget

שתרדי בעצמך
'Get down by yourself, will you'
שלא תשכח
'Don't you forget'

The conjunction $ש$ she and the use of negator לא lo rather than אל al make this construction akin to a subordinate request: 'I insist that you etc.'.

### 28.4 2nd person requests: the 'remote' infinitive

An infinitive has no person, number or gender; nor has it a subject. Aptly, a main clause infinitive expresses a request more remote in tone than the future tense or imperative - and appropriate to persons of authority or written instructions:
(1) Museum attendant:
laavor bevakasha
to-move-along please
(2) Teacher to pupils:
kulam lakum כולם לקום
everyone to-stand-up 'All stand'
(3) Mother to child (distantly):
lo lingóa לא לנגוע
not to-touch 'No touching'

Negation uses לא lo. By contrast, the use of negative אשא en ('there is not') + infinitive, as in אין לעשן en leashen (there-is-not to-smoke), does not create a specifically $2 n d$ person request but rather a general request: 'Smoking is forbidden'.

נא $n a$ or בבקשה bevakasha 'please' can be placed in front of the infinitive to render it more polite and less remote (בבקשה bevakasha following it has no such effect, see example (1) above): ${ }^{6}$
na laavor
please to-move-along
bevakasha lakum
please to-stand-up

נא לעבור
'Would you please move along'
בבקשה לקום
‘Could you please stand'

For the infinitive of request in questions, see 1st person requests (28.7): ${ }^{7}$
lehagid la?
to-tell her?


$\qquad$

### 28.5 Use of the subject in 2nd person requests

Though the average request (future tense, imperative, infinitive) tends not to use אתה /את /אתם ata/at/atem 'you', they can sometimes appear - with future tense and imperative. ${ }^{8}$ Following the verb, they do not affect meaning or tone substantially: ${ }^{9}$

| \{ $\mathrm{eH} /$ teleH $\}$ ata letalfen | \}לך/תלך\{ אתה לטלפן |
| :---: | :---: |
| IMP FUT |  |
| \{go/go\} you to-phone | 'Go and phone' |
| teleH letalfen ata | תלך לטלפן אתה |
| go to-phone you | 'Go and phone' |

Preceding the future tense verb, the 2nd person pronoun sounds emphatic, even brusque (preceding imperative requests, it is felt to be set off by a comma, i.e. 'Hey you,...'):

| ata tatir oto <br> FUT | 'You undo it' |
| :--- | :--- |
| אתה תתה, זוז טיפה אותו |  |

### 28.6 3rd person requests

## Formal

Official or literary usage sometimes employs the bare 3rd person future, as in (1) below, with the verb often initial, as in (2). The negative uses אל al, as in all 'bare future' requests, or (particularly in legalese) לא na 'please' or similar is often added, making it look more clearly a request. However, formal Hebrew generally prefers a paraphrase, such as (3):
(1) yizkor (na) zot

יזכור (נא) זאת
he-will-remember (please) this
'Let him remember this'
(2) yishtamesh sabal letsóreH isko be-agala
will-use porter for his-trade trolley

ישתמש סבל לצורך עסקו בעגלה 'A porter shall use a trolley for his trade'
(3) alav lizkor zot
on-him to-remember this

עליו לזכור זאת
'He must remember this'

## Casual

Casual usage has - - she- +3 rd person future, the conjunction $-ש$ she- representing roughly אני מבקש שani mevakesh she 'I request that':10
she-hem yizkeru aval
that they will-remember though
she-aviv lo yagid klum
that his-father not will-tell anything

שהם יזכרו אבל
'They'd better remember, though'
שאביו לא יגיד כלום
'His father had better not say
anything'

### 28.7 1st person requests

1st person requests denote a request/insistence for oneself ('Let me warn you') or oneself-plus-addressees ('Let's go').

## Formal

Formal usage employs the 1st person future, usually followed by נא $n a$ 'please' or preceded by the special particle הבה háva:
urshe na lefaret
I-will-be-allowed please to-specify
nizkor na zot
we-will-remember please this
háva neleH
particle we-will go

אורשה נא לפרט
'Might I be allowed to specify'

נזכור נא זאת
'Please let us bear this in mind'

הבה נלך
'Let us go'

Negation involves אל al (with optional נא na) + 1st person future:

| (na) nishkaH zot | אל (נא) נשכח זאת |
| :---: | :---: |
| not (please) we-will-forget this | Let us not forget this' |

## Casual: בוא נלך bo neleH 'Let's go'

The future tense by itself cannot express 'let's' in casual Hebrew; ${ }^{11}$ thus:

nisa ba-rakévet | נסע ברכבת |
| :--- |
| FUT |$\quad$ 'We'll (not: 'let's') go by train'

Casual usage can employ $-\boldsymbol{v}$ she- +1 st person future (as with 3rd person requests):
she-ani eten laH
that $I$ will-give you
she-nizkor et ze
that we-will-remember ом it

שאני אתן לך
'Let $m e$ give you'
שנזכור את זה
'Let's bear it in mind'

Additionally, the casual 1st person request has its own specialized - and less insistent - construction: the inflected imperative בוא bo (otherwise meaning 'come!') plus 1st person future - with the specific implication that the addressee participates somehow in the action (rather than just 'allowing' the action). Thus:
bo eten leHa
come I-will-give to-you
bo naHshov réga
come we-will-think moment

בוא אתן לך
'Let me give you'
בוא נחשוב רגע
'Let's think for a moment' ('us' includes the addressee)
and not the following (the addressee is 'on the sidelines'):

```
*bo eten lo
come I-will-give to-him
```

* בוא אתן לו
('Let me give him')

אנחנו ani ‘I’, but not אני and word order is as follows. The subject pronoun anáHnu 'we', can optionally be used - between בוא bo and the verb. Nothing else can come between them except ל לא lo 'not' (see below). As for inflection, bo inflects in accordance with the addressee and the future tense verb in accordance with the speaker:

| to a male: m.s. | bo | בואי |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to a female: f.s. | bói | בואו |



| bo |  | to a male |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bói nafsik | בואי נפסיק |  |
| bóu |  |  |

The negative requires לא lo, following בוא bo:

| bo lo nariv |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| come not we-will-quarrel | בוא לא נריב |

Neutral: the infinitive and -ש $\boldsymbol{v}$ she- + future in questions
For the quite distinct notion of being requested, the bare infinitive can be used - in questions: ${ }^{12}$
leHayeg aHshav? לחייג עכשיו!
to-dial now? 'Should I/we dial now?
laanot?
to-answer? $\quad$ 'Should I/we answer?'

Hebrew also uses $-v$ she- + future (not particularly casual in questions), which enables one to put stress on the subject

> she-ani aHayeg?

שאני אחייג!
that $I$ will-dial?
'Should I dial?'

### 28.8 Present tense requests

Sharp requests or instructions can be conveyed, casually, by present tense (as well as by the infinitive) - and in the 3 rd person too. The subject is used and negation is with לא en, as in ordinary present tense clauses:
atem mesadrim et kol ha-balagan you are-cleaning-up ом all the mess
aHshav kol eHad lokéaH et now every one takes pen

אתם מסדרים את כל הבלגן 'You're cleaning up all the mess'

עכשיו כל אחד לוקח עט
'Now everyone take a pen'

### 28.9 Subordinate requests

Subordinate requests tend to be of the form:
darshu she-yazuz 'They asked that he move' דרשו שיזוז
Subordinate requests are just part of a whole spectrum of subordinate wishes and requests ('modal clauses'), introduced by verbs etc., such as:

| tsiva (she/le...) | 'order (that/to...)' | ציווה (ש/ל)...) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| darash (she/le...) | 'request (that/to...)' | דרש (ש/ל) |
| kiva (she/le...) | 'hope (that/to...)' | קיווה (ש/ל)...) |
| adif (she/le...) | 'preferable (that/to...)' | עדיף (ש/ל...) |
| hamlatsa (she/le...) | 'recommendation (that/to...)' | המלצה (ש/ל)...) |

In fact, despite the title of this section, there is no clear-cut 'request construction' among subordinate clauses, nor an obvious semantic division between wishing and requesting; nonetheless, notice that two of the three general 'request' forms (future tense and infinitive, but not imperative) are used in subordinate clauses and in much the same way - in formal as well as casual Hebrew.

## Subordinate future tense requests

With some verbs, e.g. צעיווה tsiva 'order', -ש she- + future tense automatically conveys a request:
tsiviti she-yisog
I-ordered that he-will-retreat

ציוויתי שייסוג
'I ordered him to retreat'

Some others allow either predictions or requests, context deciding. Thus:

'I told you
'I informed you that you would laugh'
'I warned you

As with main clause requests introduced by - שא she- (28.6-7), negation is by lo, not אל al.

The infinitive, e.g. לבדוק livdok'to check', can generally substitute for ש she + future in subordinate clauses like these, as is now shown.

Subordinate infinitive requests
Subordinate (as opposed to main clause) infinitives are in no way 'remote'. Many verbs can govern infinitive as well as future tense requests - with the same meaning:

| amárti laH | litsHok |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hizhárti otaH |  |
| inf |  |

Some verbs prefer an infinitive to $-\boldsymbol{ש}$ she- + future, and some vice versa: napolyon kara le-ángliya lehikana נפוליון קרא לאנגליה להיכנע
inf
'Napoleon called on England to surrender' (rather than שתיכנע * *she-tikana ('that it surrender'))
hodáati le-róni she-yitslol הודעתי לרוני שיצלול
FUT
'I told Roni that he should dive'
(rather than לצלול**litslol ('to dive'))
There is a limitation on infinitive requests: their subject is not stated, and must be taken to refer back to the object (if any) of the preceding, i.e. 'governing', verb. Thus in example (1) below it must be the 'lady' who should not scream, whereas in example (2) the future tense verb permits mention of some other word as subject: ${ }^{13}$
(1) avakesh me-ha-gvéret lo litsróaH
inf
(2) avakesh me-ha-gvéret she-bita lo tidHof subj Fut 'Might I ask the lady that her daughter should not push'

### 28.10 Structure-by-structure summary

| Type of structure | In main clause | In subordinate clause |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bare future tense (תלך teleH) | 2nd person request (casual in the positive); negative uses אל al | - |
| e she + future tense | 1st/2nd person firm request (casual); <br> 3rd person request (casual); 1 st/3rd person request in questions | With certain verbs of wishing and requesting |
| בוא bo + future tense | 1st person request, with addressee's participation (casual) | - |
| Imperative <br> ( לך leH) | 2nd person request (formal, save certain kal and pi'el verbs) | - |
| New imperative | 2nd person request for certain nif al and hitpa'el verbs (casual) | - |
| Infinitive | 1st person request in questions; 2nd person request of 'remoteness | With certain verbs of wishing and requesting |
| Present tense | Any person. Sharp request. | With verbs of stating |

## FURTHER READING

Bar-Adon 1966; Bolozky 1979, 1980: 13-15; Rabin 1958; Rosén 1955:217ff, 1977:198ff; Sadka 1981:60.

## 29. Negation

### 29.1 Definitions

The 'negator' is the word expressing negation ('not, non-' etc.) - very roughly as described in the following table.


## Further concepts used in this chapter

'Complex negators' (29.12) express negation as a component of their meaning, e.g. בלי bli 'without' (= not with).
'Quasi-negators' (29.12) appear negative in meaning, but do not behave so syntactically, e.g. סירב serev 'refuse' (= not accept).
'Negative words' often accompany the negator. They reinforce or just extend the application of the negation (29.9-10):

| shum kavana | 'no intention' |
| :--- | :--- |
| lo haya klum | 'There wasn't anything' |

Sometimes the negator is left unsaid and these words themselves do the negating, e.g. מה חסכתי - כלום ma HasáHti - klum 'What did I save - nothing' (29.11).

Negation is of two basic kinds: 'sentence negation', as in example (1) (see 29.2-7), and 'word or phrase negation' as in example (2) (see 29.8):

| (1) lo hiskamt | 'You did not agree' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) i-haskama | לא הסכמת | 'non-agreement' |

## 29.2-7 SENTENCE NEGATION

## 29.2-3 'NOT': לא LO, אל AL, אין EN

## 29.2 'Not' in statements and questions

With past, future and infinitive, only לא lo is used:1

> נוטים לא לזוז
> '(They) tend not to move'

With the present tense, 'not' is אין en (F) and לא lo (c):2
hu eno mevashel
he not + SUFF cooks
hu lo mevashel
he not cooks

הוא אינו מבשל 'He does not cook'

הוא לא מבשל
'He doesn't cook'

## אין en

Ordinarily אין en directly precedes the verb or other predicate, as in example (1). Very formally, it may directly precede the subject, as in (2):
(1) ha-tsav eno $\{z a z / r a ’ e v\}$

הצב אינו \}זז/רעב
the tortoise not + suFf \{move/hungry\} 'The tortoise is not \{moving/hungry\}'
(2) en ha-tsav $\{z a z / r a ' e v\}$
not the tortoise \{move/hungry\} 'The tortoise is not \{moving/hungry\}'

אין en takes suffixes agreeing with its subject (except when it precedes its subject), as follows:

| Subject |  | Form of אין en |  | Subject | Form of אין en |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ani 'I' | אני | eni enéni | אינני | anáHnu 'we' אנחנו | enénu | איננו |
| ata 'you (m.)' | אתה | enHa | אינך | atem 'you אתם (m.pl.)' את | enHem | אינכם |
| at 'you (f.)' | את | eneH | אינך | aten 'you (f.pl.)' | enHen | אינכן |
| 3rd person m.s. |  | eno enénu | איננו | 3rd m.pl. | enam | אינם |
| 3rd person f.s. |  | ena enéna | איננה | 3rd f.pl. | enan | אינן |

Sections 29.5-7 give fuller details of the general behaviour of אין en as 'not' and as the negative of 'be, exist, be present'.

## לא לא

לא lo directly precedes the verb or other predicate ${ }^{3}$ - except where contrastive ('not this but that'; see 29.8). It never inflects.
ha-tsav lo $\{\mathrm{zaz} / \mathrm{ra}$ 'ev $\}$
הצב לא \}ז<ז/רעב
the tortoise not \{moving/hungry\} 'The tortoise isn't \{moving/hungry\}'

## 29.3 'Not' in requests

Request constructions employ the future tense, imperative, particle + future tense, infinitive and present tense (see ch.28).

For the simple future tense, the negator is אל al, directly preceding the verb. The imperative (the basic form in formal usage) cannot be negated - it converts instead to the simple future tense, taking אל al, as shown in the table below.

|  | Positive |  | Negative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd <br> Casual person <br> Formal | takshiv <br> SIMPLE <br> FUT <br> hakshev <br> IMP | תקשיב 'Listen' <br> הקשב 'Listen' | al takshiv | אל תקשיב 'Don't listen' |
| 1st/3rd Formal person | navo <br> SIMPLE <br> FUT <br> yavóu <br> SIMPLE <br> FUT | נבוא <br> 'Let us come' <br> יבואו <br> 'Let them come' | al navo <br> al yavóu | אל נבוא <br> 'Let us not come' <br> אל יבואו <br> 'Let them not come' |

Elsewhere, the request negator is לא lo; and with present tense requests, formal usage prefers (as always) אין en:

| Particle + future: bo lo naniaH she-ken come not we-will-assume that so | בוא לא נניח שכן <br> 'Let's not assume so' |
| :---: | :---: |
| she-lo yafríu that not they-will-bother | שלא יפריעו <br> 'They'd better not bother me' |
| Infinitive: lo legared! not to-scratch! | לא לגרד! <br> 'No scratching!' |
| Present: lo doHafim! not they-push! | לא דוחפים! 'No pushing!' |

## 29.4 'No!' לא Io

'No' as a one-word statement or request is לא lo. ${ }^{4}$ Alternatively, the request 'Don't' can be conveyed by :אסור! asur! (= forbidden).

אין en on its own means 'There isn't any' (29.7). אל al is not used on its own.
29.5-7 'IS/HAS NOT, IS NOT PRESENT, THERE IS NOT': אין
29.5 אין en: an overview

אין en is a negator with five functions, all related:
(1) A simple negator, somewhat like לא lo (though strictly speaking a 'semiverb'), as described in 29.2; see further, 29.6.
(2-5) Semantically, a combination of negator and verb
(2) A semi-verb meaning 'be not' in formal usage ${ }^{5}$
(3) A verb meaning 'there tis/are) not' (the negative of יש yesh 'there is/are')
(4) A verb meaning 'have not', used with the preposition ל- (the negative of יש לe... yesh 'have')
(5) A verb meaning 'be not present' (the negative of ישנו yeshno etc. 'be present')
Examples of these functions are:
(1) hu eno bo'et

הוא אינו בועט
he not + suff kicks
'He does not kick'
(2) dov eno av

דוב אינו אב
Dov not + suff father 'Dov is not a father'
（3）en brera
there－is－not choice
（4）le－úri en musag to Uri there－is－not idea
（5）dána enéna
Dana is－not－present

אין ברירה
＇There is no choice＇
לאורי אין מושג
＇Uri has no idea＇
דנה איננה
＇Dana is not present＇

## 29.6 אאין en as the semi－verb＇not，be not＇

As illustrated in 29．2，אין en is the present tense negator in formal usage．Further，it serves as the negative of the present tense particle＇be＇，${ }^{6}$ cover－ ing those situations where a positive sentence would need an explicit word for ＇be＇，e．g．（ch．16）where subject and predicate are both indefinite nouns，thus：
matsberim hem baaya
matsberim enam baaya

מצברים הם בעיה
＇Batteries are 〈a〉problem＇
＇Batteries are not 〈a〉 problem＇
These two אין en have much in common，and often act syntactically rather like verbs，as is now described．

Ordinarily אין en precedes the verb or other predicate，as is example（1） below；very formally，it can directly precede its subject，as in（2）．In the first case it must take suffixes；in the second it must not．${ }^{7}$（For inflections，see 29．2）．
（1）dov eno tas
דב אינו טס
Dov not＋suFf flies
＇Dov does not fly＇
（2）en dov tas
אין דב טס
not Dov flies
＇Dov does not fly＇
When inflected，אין en，like an inflected past or future tense verb，needs no pronoun subject：
$\begin{array}{ll}\text {（ani）enéni toféret } & \text {＇I do not sew＇} \\ \text {（hi）ena tipusit } & \text {＇She is not typical＇}\end{array}$
Where the subject is unstated and understood as non－specific $z e$＇it＇，one rarely uses אין en（see examples $(1,2)$ below）；לא lo is preferred or where possi－ ble $z e$ is added，giving en ze（examples $(3,4)$ ）．Casual Hebrew always uses לא לה לא ze lo lo．But some predicates，e．g．חם Ham＇it is hot＇，do not usually occur with $z e$ as a non－specific＇it＇（see ch．17），nor consequently with אין זה en ze in the negative；they prefer לא lo，as in（5）：8
（1）en kal linhog
אין קל לנהוג
＇（It is）not easy to drive＇
（2）ha＇im en Haval？
Q PARTICLE not pity？
(4) en ze kal linhog

אין זה קל לנהוג not it easy to-drive 'It is not easy to drive'
(5) lo Ham kan

לא חם כאן not hot here 'It is not hot here'

אין en as such a semi-verb needs something to follow it, ${ }^{9}$ thus ruling out:
*ha-kiyorim nekiyim, aH ha-aronot enam הכיורים נקיים, אך הארונות אינם *
'The sinks 〈are〉 clean, but the cupboards are not'

## 29.7 אין en as the verb 'there is not, not have, not be present'

'There is not, not have'
The difference between 'There is not' and 'not have', as in the following examples, is often just the possessor phrase ... ל le... (see further, ch.16).
en of
אין עוף
there-is-not chicken
la-samal en of
to-the sergeant there-is-not chicken

לסמל אין עוף
'The sergeant has no chicken'

אין en is the negative form of yesh, so לא יש lo yesh and אין יש en yesh are impossible. But in other tenses אין en gives way to לא היה lo haya 'was not' and the like:
la-samal lo yiye of
לסמל לא יהיה עוף
to-the sergeant not will-be chicken 'The sergeant will have no chicken'
The positioning of אין en is as for yesh (described in 16.9-10): it generally precedes the noun that 'exists/is possessed', as in examples $(1,2)$ below, save for emphasis (3) or de-emphasis (4). By 'precedes' is not meant 'directly precedes' - the 'possessor' noun can intervene, as in (5):
(1) en mits
there-isn't juice
(2) le-tal en mits
to-Tal there-isn't juice
(3) mits en, aval yesh bíra juice there-isn't, but there's beer



(5) en le-tal mits there-isn't to Tal juice

אין מיץ
'There's no juice’
לטל אין מיץ
'Tal has no juice'
מיץ אין, אבל יש בירה
'Juice there isn't, but there's beer'

אין לטל מיץ
'Tal has no juice'

As with יש yesh (16.9-10), this word order suggests that mits is not subject but object of אין en (there are several verbs with no subject, see ch. 17), and indeed casual Hebrew inserts the 'object particle' את et (provided this noun is 'definite'): ${ }^{10}$
en et ze be-hódu
there-is-not ом this in India
le-dov en et ha-maftéaH?
to Dov there-is-not om the key?

אין את זה בהודו
'There isn't such a thing in India'
לדב אין את המפתח!
'Dov hasn't got the key?'

This אין en never inflects, unlike אין en in 29.6."

## 'Not be present'

אין en is also the negative of the present tense verb $\boldsymbol{\text { י y y }}$ ent, be there' (see 16.9 ). Both must follow their subject, usually 3rd person (other verbs need not), and must inflect. ${ }^{12}$ The endings are:

| enénu איננו אינה | enéna | enam אינםה | אינם |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3rd m.s. | 3rd f.s. | 3rd m.pl. | 3rd f.pl. |

An example:
nóa enéna karéga
נועה איננה כרגע
Noa is-not-here right-now

### 29.8 Word or phrase negation

Word or phrase negation focuses on a particular word or phrase, whether for contrast or emphasis or other reasons. This is sometimes effected by intonation, but we concentrate here on the use made of grammar and syntax.

Contrastive negation: 'not this but that'
Contrastive negation employs three devices:
(1) Stress or underlining or suchlike on the negated word(s):
lo hirbátsti leHa
לא הרבצתי לך 'I didn't hit you (but rather...)'
(2) Appending a contrastive phrase with אלא éla 'but':
lo hirbátsti éla daHáfti
לא הרבצתי אלא דחפתי
'I didn't hit but shoved'
(3) Shift in word order: לא bot' (but not אל al or אלן en ) can optionally be repositioned directly ahead of the word(s) to be negated:
nikiti lo be-spónja éla be-smartut
I-cleaned not with mop but with rag

ניקיתי לא בספונזה אלא בסמרטוט
'I cleaned not with a mop but with a rag'

לא אני בעד
'I'm not for it'

Conversely, where negative stress is non-contrastive, לא lo stays directly in front of its verb:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { enéni yodéa ma hem ratsu אבנני יודע מה הם בע רצו לא } \\
\text { aval ani lo be'ad } & \text { אבל }
\end{array}
$$

'I don't know what they wanted but I'm not for it'

## Strings of 'nots': 'neither....nor'

For emphasis in a string of two or more negated words or phrases, one may insert gam...gam...ve-gam 'also...also...and also' (or an
 This is like English 'neither...nor', except that the Hebrew particles can be repeated any number of times:
gam adémet ve-gam shaHéfet lo Huslu גם אדמת וגם שחפת לא חוסלו also rubella and not TB not were-eradicated
'Neither rubella nor TB were eradicated'
lo adémet ve-lo shaHéfet Huslu
לא אדמת ולא שחפת חוסלו not rubella and not TB were-eradicated
'Neither rubella nor TB were eradicated'
As the foregoing example shows, the main sentence negator (לא לא en or or ) drops after לא...ולאlo...ve-lo; but it can be kept when preceding לא...ולאlo... ve-lo :

> efshar she-(lo) yivHaru lo be-ze ve-lo be-ze אפשר שולא) יבחרו לא בזה ולא בזה (not) they-will-vote possible that not for this and not for this
'Maybe they will not vote for either'

## Negation (non-emphatic) of particular parts of speech

This mostly involves repositioning לא lo (never אין en); but note the special particles בלתי bilti and אי i. With adjectives, לא lo is used with all styles, whereas בלתי bilti is formal and used particularly with adjectives formed from verbal participles (see ch.41):

> bilti-mekubal 'unacceptable' בלתי-מקובל

Many other verb-based and noun-based adjectives, of two syllables or more, take חוקי bilti-kavis/lo kavis ‘unwashable', פגיע bilti-Huki/lo Huki 'illegal'. But some take only בלת lo, e.g באי-חוקי/לא לא לא דתי lo pagia 'invulnerable', לא $l o$ dati 'non-religious', לא לא בלתי-נראה . bilti, e.g בלתי lo yisreeli 'non-Israeli’. A few take only בלאלי bilti-nir'e 'invisible', בלתי-נודע bilti-noda 'unknown'. אל al is rare, e.g. אל-אנושי al-enoshi 'non-human'.

| Negated word or phrase | Negator | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjective | lo לא | haya dey lo nóaH $\quad$ 'It was quite unpleasant' |
|  |  | haya lo simpáti <br> היה לא סימפטי 'He was unlikeable' |
|  | bilti בלתי | hu bilti-yatsiv הוא בלתי-יציב 'He's unstable' |
| Some quantifiers | 10 לא | yesh lo me'at batlanim there-are not few layabouts <br> 'There are a good few layabouts' |
| Some degree words | lo לא | $h u$ haya lo paHot kene $\begin{array}{r}\quad \text { הוא היה לא פחות כנה } \\ \text { 'He was no less sincere' }\end{array}$ |
| Adverbial ${ }^{1}$ | (she-)low(b) | lo mizman bikru לא מזמן ביקרו not long-ago they-visited <br> 'They visited not long ago' |
|  |  | hegávti she-lo <br> הגבתי שלא <br> be'emtsa'ut ha-váad <br> באמצעות הוועד <br> I-responded that not through the committee <br> 'I responded without going through the committee' <br> she-lo kmo yerivay, hitHálti... .... that not like my-foes, I-began... <br> 'Unlike my foes, I began...' |
| Action and state nouns ${ }^{2}$ (see 30.6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hóser- - חוסר-i } \\ & \text {-i } \end{aligned}$ | \{Hoser-/i-\} te'um $\quad$ 'flack of/non-l coordination' $\begin{array}{r}\text { תיאוסרי- }\}\end{array}$ |
|  |  | i-mesirat Havilot $\quad$ 'non-delivery of parcels' |
|  |  | \{Hóser-/i-\} sviut ratson $\quad$ nוסר-/אי-s שביעות-רצון $\begin{array}{r}\text { dissatisfaction' }\end{array}$, |

## Notes:

1 Formal usage tends to add $-ש$ she- (no obvious connection with the conjunction - ש she- 'that') to the לא lo, when negating adverbials of more than one word and when negating infinitives:
heedáfti (she-)lo lehitarev
I-preferred (CONJ) not to-interfere

העדפתי (ש)לא להתערב
'I preferred not to interfere'

2 Nouns denoting a person or 'agent' do not regularly have a negative, but לא boes sometimes do the job: קא-יהודי lo-yehudi 'non-Jew', קאינים לא-מאים lo-maaminim 'non-believers', קרון ללא-מעשנים karon le-lo-meashnim 'carriage for non-smokers'. אל al is limited to a handful of compounds, e.g. אלמוות almávet 'immortality'.

Nouns denoting a real action take - אוס $i$-, not $\boldsymbol{n}$ Hóser:
i-tipul (be...) 'non-treatment (of...)' (...ב) אי-טיפול
vS.
Hóser-tipul 'lack of treatment’ חוסר-טיפול

Otherwise, חוס $i$ או אי Hóser are often interchangeable. חוסר Hóser often suggests a human quality, though this is a very relative matter:

| i-aHarayut | אי-אחריות |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'non-responsibility' |
| Hóser-aHarayut | חוסר-אחריות |
|  | 'lack of responsibility' |
| i-havana | אי-הבנה |
|  | 'a misunderstanding' |
| Hóser-havana | חוסר-הבנה |
|  | 'lack of understanding' |
| i-neimut | אי-נעימות |
|  | 'unpleasantness' (notably, of a situation) |
| Hóser-neimut | חוסר-נעימות |
|  | 'unpleasantness' (notably, of a person) |
| Hóser-savlanut | חוסר-סבלנות |
|  | 'impatience' |
| (There is no *i-savlanut אי-סבלנות*) |  |

29.9-13 'NEGATIVE WORDS': 'NOTHING, NEVER, NONE, NO' ETC.

## 

אף af, שום shum, כל kol and כלשהו kólshehu (see also ch.9) can be added to an indefinite noun, thus extending or just reinforcing the negation corresponding to English 'no, any'; strictly speaking, א af means '(not) a single':
lo ra'iti (af) shoter
not I-saw (any) policeman
(shum) shedim lo nir'u (any) ghosts not were-seen
en (kol) tsóreH
there-is-not (any) need
lo haya sikun (kólshehu)
not was risk (any)

לא ראיתי (אף) שוטר 'I did not see a (single) policeman'
(שום) שדים לא נראו
'No ghosts were seen'
אין (כל) צורך
'There is no need'
לא היה סיכון (כלשהו)
'There was not any risk'

אף af, שום shum, כל kol only precede their noun, with the option of an added particle שהום -shehu 'whatsoever'. כלשהו kólshehu as a single word only follows its noun: ${ }^{13}$

| lo heeléti | af hatsa'a shehi hatsa'a kólshehi | אף הצעה שהיא הצעה כלשהי | לא העליתי |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not I-raised | any proposal whatsoever proposal any | 'I didn't raise a | proposals tsoever' |

Both שלשהו soblshehu agree with the noun, like the pronoun הוא hu; they have the following endings:

| m.s. | -hu | n- | f.s. | -hi | -ה. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m.pl. | -hem | f.pl. | -hen | הם- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## How negative are these 'negative words'?

אום af and שום shum, typically found where there is already a negator (e.g. לא lo in the same clause, are equivalent to 'any' - save in clause fragments (discussed in 29.11):

## hayu neumim aH shum havtaHot

היו נאומים אך שום הבטחות 'There were speeches but no promises'

By contrast, כל כלשהו kol and כלו כלו כל כל kol is also 'all, every', כלשהו kólshehu is also 'some... or other', and both denote 'any' in questions as well as negations (see 26.10 and 9.2):

ha’im yesh | kol tsóreH? |
| :--- | :--- |
| tsóreH kólshehu? |
| כורך צורך? |
| כלשהו? |

'Is there any need?'
The negative determiners differ as to which type of noun they introduce:


### 29.10 Terms for 'no one, nothing, never, nowhere'

These, like the terms for 'no' (29.9), are in fact usually equivalent to 'anyone, anything, ever, anywhere', as they typically occur where there is already a negator in the clause, as in $(1,2)$ below - though as fragments they look negative in themselves (3), as described in 29.11:
(1) lo tafásti klum not I-caught anything

לא תפסתי כלום
'I did not catch anything'
איש אינו קורא
'No one calls'
ומה קרה! כלום
'And what happened? Nothing'
'No one' is אף אחד af eHad ${ }^{16}$ and איש ish ( F ). ${ }^{17}$ 'Nothing' is כלום klum, ${ }^{18}$ מאומה me'úma (F), דבר davar (fairly formal), שום דבר shum davar (c).
 formal מימי, מימיך mi-yamay, mi-yaméHa etc. and מעודי, מעודך meodi, meodHa etc.

All 'never' words but אף פעם af páam are restricted. לעולם leolam is not used for past time in formal usage, and is not used for present time in casual usage. The rest denote only past time:

Future: af páam lo ashuv אף פעם לא אשוב leolam lo ashuv לעולם לא אשוב
'I shall never return'

Present: | af páam lo onim |
| :--- |
| leolam en onim ( F ) |

אף פעם לא עונים
לעולם אין עונים
'They never answer'
Past: $\begin{aligned} & \text { af páam lo rávnu } \\ & \text { meolam lo rávnu }\end{aligned}$
'We (have) never argued'
'Nowhere' is בשום מקום be-shum makom (lit. 'in no place').

## General features

The two-word negatives, i.e. אף אחד af eHad, שום דבר shum davar, שום - be-shum makom, are fixed phrases בשום מקום af páam and אף פעם אחד אחד shum eHad, אף דבר af davar and the like are rare.

One can add adjectives to שום דבר shum davar, דבר davar and אבר אחד af eHad, but not to כלום klum, מאומה me'úma and איש ish:
shum davar meanyen 'nothing interesting' שום דבר מעניין af eHad aHer 'no one else' אף אחד אחר
More than one negative word can figure in a sentence:
meolam lo heelávnu ish
ever not we-offended anyone

מעולם לא העלבנו איש
'We never offended anyone'

### 29.11 'Negative words' as free-standing negatives

Casually, many of the negative words of 29.9-10 occur without a negator לאין en, as 'free-standing negatives, ${ }^{22}$ as shown in the table:

| Negative word |  | Examples |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| af | אף | hayu hamon shvarim aval af para היו המון שוורים אבל אף פרה 'There were many bulls but $\langle$ not $\rangle$ a single cow' |  |
|  |  | shum hitkadmut. | שום התקדמות! 'No progress?' |
| af eHad | אף אחד | mi ba? - af eHad | מי בא! - אף אחד <br> 'Who came? - No one' |
| klum/ shum davar | כלום/ <br> שום דבר | ma nafal? - klum | מה נפל! - כלום <br> 'What fell? - Nothing' |

The same holds for אף פעם af páam 'never' and בשום מקום be-shum makom 'nowhere'.

The negator can be added to most such free-standing negative words (before


ma haya sham? - lo klum מה היה שם? - לא כלום what was there? - not anything 'What was there? - Nothing'
'Negative' כל kol, כלשהו kólshehu 'any', מאומה/דבר me'úma/davar 'anything', לעולם/מעולם leolam/meolam 'ever', איש ish 'anyone', כלו klal 'at all', בשום אופן be-shum ófen 'under any circumstances' require a negator.

### 29.12 Complex and quasi-negators

## Complex negators

Besides לא אין en al אל al 'not', several other words are negators or incorporate a negator ('complex negators'). As a result, one can even use the 'negative words', e.g. שום shum 'no' and כלום klum 'nothing' (see 29.9-10), in such a context. Thus:

| gamru bli |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| COMPLEX |  |
| NEG | NeG |
| NORD |  |
| whe |  |

Other 'complex negators' are: ${ }^{23}$

| mibli, lelo, belo | 'without' |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| leval | 'lest' | אבלי, ללא, בלא איא אין |
| asur, en | 'it is forbidden (to...)' | אין |
| i-efshar | 'it is impossible (to...)' |  |
| en | 'there is not' |  |

## Quasi-negators

Many more words, e.g. סיר serav 'refuse', are quasi-negative - they are akin to, but subtly different from, negators, and so do not allow strictly 'negative words’ like כלום klum 'anything' and אף af 'any'; instead, one uses positive pronouns such as משהו máshehu 'someone' or words that are not limited to negation, e.g. כל kol 'any' and איש ish 'anyone':
máshehu

servu laasot | davar |
| :--- |
| *klum |

סירבו לעשות דברם
they-refused to-do anything
QUASI-NEG

Other quasi-negators are: אססר asar 'to forbid', חסר Haser 'to lack', במקום וחום bimkom 'instead of', טרם térem 'not yet', הכחיש hiHHish 'to deny' and בלתי bilti 'non-, un-'.

### 29.13 Direction and reach of negation

## Direction of negation

When negative words, e.g. אף אחד af eHad 'anyone' and כלום klum 'anything', come first in sentences, the negator must still be put in: 'nothing remained’ is כלום לא נשאר klum lo nishar, not כלום נשאר* *klum nishar. כלום klum in fact amounts to English 'anything', as is clear from turning it around:
lo nishar klum
לא נשאר כלוס
not remained anything '(There did) not remain anything'
The difference between them is that English 'anything, anyone' etc. must follow the negator ('not'), whereas their Hebrew counterparts can precede (technically, Hebrew negates backwards or forwards). And Hebrew has no exact counterparts for 'no one, nothing', words which carry the negator in them, as in 'nothing remained'. ${ }^{24}$ More examples are:
ish lo ra'inu
איש לא ראינו
anyone not we-saw 'We did not see anyone'
kol shinuy lo nirsham
any change not was-recorded
כל שינוי לא נרשם
'No change was recorded'
Quantifiers, and some time adverbs, are also negated backwards:
shinuyim rabim lo Hálu ba-matsav שינויים רבים לא חלו במצב
changes many not occurred in-the situation
BACKWARDS 'Not many changes occurred in the situation'
\{yoter/shuv\} lo nipagesh
again not we'll-meet
BACKWARDS
\}יותר/שוב\{ לא ניפגש
'We shall never meet again'

## Reach of negation

Negators can act on the infinitive clauses they introduce, as in (1) below, and with 'mental verbs' such as חשב Hashav 'think' and ציפה tsipa 'expect' they act on a 'finite clause' too, as in (2): ${ }^{26}$
enéni rotse lashir klum
NEG
INF $\quad$ 'I don't want to sing anything'
enéni maamin she-shum davar mutsdak

אינני מאמין ששום דבר מוצדק 'I do not believe that anything [ $=$ a single thing] is justified'

## FURTHER READING

Altbauer 1964; Ben-Asher 1972: 72-90; Berman 1978: ch.6; Chayen \& Dror 1976: ch. 5; Givón 1978; Glinert 1982a; Horn 1978; Levenston 1970: 7.5; Malisdorf 1979; Mirkin 1962; Rabin 1958; Rosén 1977: 8.3; Zilkha 1970.

## 30．Types of subordination

## 30．1 Introduction

To express a sentence within a sentence，a＇subordinate（＝embedded） clause＇，Hebrew has five regular methods at its disposal，depending partly on the function of the subordination and its meaning：these are set out in a table．

| Method | Example |
| :---: | :---: |
| Finite clause： <br> Involving a verb with tense，as in an independent sentence | Hake ad she－ha－shearim yaalu חכה עד שהשערים יעלו ＇Wait till［that］the rates rise＇ |
| Participle phrase： <br> Involving a tenseless verb（identi－ cal to present tense）with gender and number but no subject of its own <br> Infinitive phrase | ha－shearim heHélu olim $\begin{array}{r}\text { השערים החלו עולים } \\ \text {＇The rates began rising＇}\end{array}$ |
| Involving a special tenseless form of verb，with no subject of its own | ha－shearim heHélu laalot $\begin{gathered}\text { השערים החלו לעלות } \\ \text {＇The rates began to rise＇}\end{gathered}$ |
| Gerund clause： <br> Involving a special tenseless form of verb，with its own subject | Hake ad alot ha－shearim חכה עד עלות השערים ＇Wait until 〈the〉 rising 〈of〉 the rates＇ |
| Action／state．noun phrase： <br> Involving a noun，but accompa－ nied by many of the items that accompany verbs | Hake ad aliyat ha－shearim חכה עד עליית השערים ＇Wait until 〈the〉 rising $\langle o f\rangle$ the rates＇ |

After discussion of these subordination types in this chapter，subsequent chap－ ters describe specific uses made of them：as object clauses，relative clauses and so on．

## 30．2 Finite clauses

## 30．2．1 Subordinating conjunctions（＇complementizers＇）

＇Finite＇signifies＇having a tense＇．Most＇main＇（i．e．＇non－embedded＇） clauses have a tense；so do many embedded clauses，but these nearly always begin with a subordinating conjunction（＇complementizer＇），whose prime role is

Choice of subordinating conjunction

| Conjunction | Function of clause | Example |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ש she(the 'unmarked', all-purpose conjunction) | Complement <br> Apposition <br> Relative <br> Adverbial (mostly after prepositions) | hodáati she-báta ha-hoda'a she-báta ha-hoda'a she-báa ad she-báta | הודעתי שבאת <br> 'I announced that you came' <br> ההודעה שבאת <br> 'the announcement that you came' <br> ההודעה שבאה <br> 'the announcement that came' <br> עד שבאת <br> 'till [that] you came' |
| אשר asher <br> (F) | Relative <br> Adverbial (after certain prepositions) | ha-hoda'a asher báa ad asher báta | ההודעה אשר באה 'the announcement that came' עד אשר באת 'till [that] you came' |
| כ $k i$ | Complement (F) <br> Apposition (F) <br> Adverbial (= 'because', otherwise rare) | hodáati ki báta ha-hoda’a ki báta nish'árti ki báta | הודעתי כי באת <br> 'I announced that you came' ההודעה כי באת <br> 'the announcement that you came' <br> נשארתי כי באת <br> 'I stayed because you came' |
| - ha- | Relative | hoda'a ha-magi'a | הודעה המגיעה <br> 'an announcement that arrives' |
| ו- ve- | A few complements and adverbials | meaHar ve-higáta | מאחר והגעת <br> 'as [that] you came' |

to 'signpost' embedded clauses. ${ }^{1}$ The table on page 309 gives a rough outline of which conjunction is used for which type of clause.

In addition to those in the table, there are conjunctions with more specific meaning, e.g. to signal questions (האם, אם ha'im, im 'est-ce que, whether'), conditionals (אם, אילו im, ilu 'if') and apprehension (שמא shéma 'lest'). Some also introduce main clauses: - ש she-for casual requests with future tense, and האם ha'im or (literary) - ה ha- for questions:

| she-yeHaku! | 'Let them wait!' | האם יחכו! |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| ha'im yeHaku? | 'Will they wait?' |  |

They are discussed in the relevant chapter.
The only cases where no conjunction is needed for subordination are (1) clauses already introduced by question words, (2) some relative clauses (see 33.7), and (3) a few adverbial clauses:

| (1) tevarer matay ze haya | 'Check when it was' | תברת מתי זו ישנו הבנו |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (2) | ha-báyit bo yashánu | 'the house in which we slept' |
| (3) me'az rávnu | 'since we quarrelled' |  |

### 30.2.2 Finite clauses: function

Finite clauses can appear in any sentence 'slots', as illustrated in 30.2 .1 , i.e. complement (subject, object or predicate), apposition, relative and adverbial ${ }^{2}$ - but subject to their intrinsic 'truth status' and to the particular class of verb (or even the individual verb) to which they are subordinated. Contrast them with infinitives (set out in 30.4): (a) 'Factive' clauses (i.e. 'presupposing' that something is a fact) and 'assertions' can usually be finite, never infinitival:

| muzar she-matsat kétem factive | מוזר שמצאת כתם <br> 'It's odd that you found a stain' |
| :---: | :---: |
| amart she-matsat kétem | אמרת שמצאת כתם |
| assertive | 'You said that you found a stain' |

(b) 'Subjunctive' clauses (i.e. expressing an intention or the like) tend to be finite or infinitival:

| bikáshti <br> she-teshev lashévet | ביקשתי לשבתב |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-asked that you'll-sit } \\ & \text { to-sit } \end{aligned}$ | 'I asked you to sit' |
| me'il she-yilbash lilbosh | מעיל ללבוש |
| that he'll-wear | 'a coat to wear' |


| kdeyshe-eda <br> ladáat <br> כדאעע <br> in-order <br> that I'll-know <br> to-know | (for me to know/ <br> in order to know' |
| :--- | ---: |

Examples of the many individual words unexpectedly not taking finite clauses: עקב ékev ‘as a result of', הקשיב hikshiv 'listen', דיבר diber 'speak'.

### 30.3 Participle phrases

## Structure

Participle phrases consist of a present tense verb ('participle'), with no overt subject but with attendant objects, adverbials etc. The participle must agree with the noun that is understood as its subject; this noun is the subject or object of the main verb. ${ }^{3}$


## Function

Participle phrases can be object or adverbial. As adverbials they are not specifically participles but rather predicates of various kinds, described in 21.6 as 'circumstantial predicates'. Here they are described qua objects: (a) objects to a small group of verbs of 'noticing' and 'finding', notably שמע shama 'hear',, מצא matsa 'find', ראה ra'a 'see'; (b) objects to two verbs of 'beginning' (formally): התחיל, החל hitHil, heHel,'5 as in:
heHélu yorim 'They began firing' החלו יורים
Those in the former group usually have an object noun somewhere to refer back to, even at a distance:
gam otam shamáti sharim
OBJ PARTICIPLE

גם גותּ שמעתי שרים 'Even them I heard singing'

Being objects (not adverbials) of the wider sentence, these participle phrases cannot be set off by commas, nor indeed preposed or parted from the object noun being referred back to (save for emphasis) - unlike circumstantial predicates (21.6) such as: ${ }^{6}$

> heHzáknu ba Hazak, roédet mi-kor f.s.
> we-held her tight, shivering with cold

### 30.4 Infinitive phrases

### 30.4.1 Form of the infinitive

The infinitive (traditional term: 'infinitive construct') is a totally non-finite verb form, i.e. it has no mark of tense, nor number and gender, unlike participles (30.3). Contrast:

> Participle:
> hi hitHila melamédet

## Infinitive:

hi hitHíla lelamed

היא התחילה מלמדת 'She began teaching'

היא התחילה ללמד 'She began to teach'

The infinitive always has a-ble-prefix, inseparable from it (and thus unlike the conjunction -ש she-), hence לא ללמד lo lelamed 'not to teach' rather than ללא למד * le lo lamed. ${ }^{7}$ The only possible suffixes to the infinitive are 'object suffixes' - formal and optional, e.g. ללמדו lelamdo 'to teach him'. (See further, 15.5.1).

Infinitives are regularly available from almost any verb (see 40.10, 40.16).

### 30.4.2 Structure of the infinitive phrase

The infinitive (like the participle phrase, 30.3) has no overt subject but has attendant objects, adverbials, etc., like any verb. ${ }^{8}$ Thus:

ratsiti \begin{tabular}{l}
levaker oto bekarov <br>

| levakro |
| :--- |
| INF OBJ | <br>

לבקרו אותו בקרוב
\end{tabular}

means 'I wanted to visit him soon', not 'I wanted him to visit soon'.
The infinitive need not be first word in the infinitive phrase. Thus question words come to the front, as with any verb (1), so too can contrasted words (2), and the negator לא lo 'not' precedes its infinitive as it would precede any verb $^{9}$ (3):
(1) tish'al eH linhog
'Ask how to act'
תשאל איך לנהוג
(2) az at rotsa kan lashévet?
so you want here to-sit?
אז את רוצה כאן לשבת! 'So you want to sit here?'
(3) tenase lo legalot 'Try not to tell' תנסה לא לגלות

The understood subject of the infinitive is normally the preceding noun of the main clause (assuming neutral word order): ${ }^{10}$
dov mesarev aHshav lazuz 'Dov refuses now to move' דב מסרב עכשיו לזוז
sára biksha mi-dov lazuz 'Sara asked of Dov to move' שרה ביקשה מדב לזוז
kashe li lehaamin '(It's) hard for me to believe’ קשה לי להאמין mesarvim aHshav lazuz '(They're) refusing now to move’ מסרבים עכשיו לאוֹ
(The last of the group above is an impersonal construction, i.e. there is no subject.)

However, this preceding noun must itself sometimes be inferred from context. Firstly, certain verbs can drop their object, e.g. ציווה tsiva 'order', דרש darash 'require', אמר amar 'tell', הציע hitsia 'suggest':
bikáshti lishtok ביקשתי לשתוק
'I asked (you, him, them...) to be quiet'
Furthermore, with an infinitive as subject of the main clause, the noun is often vague - but always 'human':
kashe laakov
קשה לעקוב
inf SUBJ
'It's hard (for me, you, one...) to follow'
lamut ze davar tiv'i
למות זה דבר טבעי
INF SUBJ
'To die is natural'
Conversely, the understood subject can be the noun before the preceding noun, context permitting:
ani bikáshti me-ha-more latset li-shniya
אני ביקשתי מהמורה לצאת לשניה
I asked of the teacher to-go-out (= if \{I could/he could \} go out) for a second
sára hivtíHa li laazor
Sara promised me to-help

שרה הבטיחה לי לעזור
'Sara promised me that she'd help'

### 30.4.3 Function of the infinitive phrase

Infinitive phrases can appear in any 'sentence slot', though less freely than finite clauses (30.2), because of their 'truth status': infinitives express not a fact but rather (a) an intention or ability, ${ }^{11}$ (b) the mere notion of some state of affairs, or (c) they accompany verbs/nouns of aspect (starting, continuing, habit...), modality (possibility, certainty) ${ }^{12}$ or manner - especially when the subordinate subject has something to refer back to in the main clause.

The various functions of the infinitival phrase are set out below.

Subject of the clause:

## Notion :

meanyen ladáat
(Contrast:
meanyen she-yod'im
lishmóa ze baaya

מעניין לדעת
'It's interesting to know'
מעניין שיודעים
'It's interesting that they know')
לשמוע זה בעיה
'To hear is a problem'

Predicate of the clause:
Notion:
ha-baaya hi lehagia הבעיה היא להגיע
'The problem is to get there'

Intention:<br>ha-kavana hi lehikana

הכוונה היא להיכנע
'The intention is to surrender'
Object of the clause ${ }^{13}$ :
Intention:
kiviti/tsiviti laatsor
קיוויתי/ציוויתי לעצור 'I hoped/gave an order to stop'
Aspect:
nahágti laatsor
נהגתי לעצור
'I was wont to stop'
Modality:
hu asuy laatsor
Manner:
hu marbe laatsor הוא מרבה לעצור
'He stops a lot'
Within adverbials (only non-factive, hence):
Intention:
yatsátsi \{lirot/kdey lirot\} יצאתי
'I went to see'
'Without':
bli lirot בלי לראות
'without seeing'
'Instead':
bimkom lirot
במקום לראות 'instead of seeing'

Apposition (as with objects):
ha-tikva laatsor 'the hope of stopping' התקווה לעצור
Relative clause:
Intention/possibility:
sratim lirot סרטים לראות 'films to see'
(Contrast factive or non-factive finite relative clauses:
sratim she-er'e

However, some verbs idiosyncratically do not take an infinitive:

| *yitaHen lehaskim | * יתכן להסכים <br> ('It is possible to agree') |
| :---: | :---: |
| *hem yitaHnu lahaskim | *הם יתכנו להסכים <br> ('They may agree') |

*tsafuy ladáat behekdem
*צפוי לדעת בהקדם
it-is-anticipated to-know soon

### 30.5 Gerund clauses

Gerunds (traditionally called 'infinitive construct', like infinitives see 30.4.1) differ slightly from infinitives in form, and greatly in structure and function. The handful of 'noun-like gerunds' are discussed separately in 30.5.3.14 Stylistically, gerunds are particularly formal.

### 30.5.1 Form of the gerund

Gerunds, like infinitives, are a totally non-finite verb form: they have no inherent mark of tense, nor person, number or gender:
im hagia ha-noamim la-duHan...
with reaching the speakers to-the rostrum

עם הגיע הנואמים לדוכן...
‘On the speakers' reaching the rostrum...'

They may have a suffix, but this is the actual subject of the gerund, not an agreement ending:

| im hagi'am... | עם הגיעם |
| :---: | :---: |
| with reaching-their | 'on their reaching...' |

They are mostly identical to infinitives (and akin to the future stem). ${ }^{15}$ They are formed regularly, as illustrated in the table below, and with regular meaning. The suffixed gerund is the most common.

| Verb pattern | Simple gerunds |  |  | Suffixed gerunds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pa'al | pkod | 'enumeration' | פקוד | pokdo etc. | פוקדו |
| Nifal | hipaked | 'absence' | היפקד | hipakdo etc. | היפקדו |
| Hifil | hafkid | 'deposit' | הפקיד | hakfido etc. | הפקידו |
| Pi'el | paked | 'command' | פקד | pakdo etc. | פקדו |
| Hitpa'el | hitpaked | 'enumeration' | התפקד | hitpakdo | התפקדו |

Although the gerund's suffix gives it the appearance of a noun, the structure of the whole gerund clause (below) will show it to be a verb.

### 30.5.2 Structure of the gerund clause

What accompanies the gerund gives it the general appearance of a verb within a clause. Firstly, it requires a subject, ${ }^{16}$ thus ruling out:
*bi-shmóa et ha-yedia...
*בשמוע את הידיעה... on hearing ом the news...
but:
bi-shmóa miryam et ha-yedia...
בשמוע מרים את הידיעה...
SUBJ
on hearing Miriam ом the news...
'On Miriam hearing the news...'
Secondly, it can take objects and adverbials in the same manner as the corresponding verb (1), and unlike nouns it cannot take adjectives (2):
(1) be-haariHénu me'od gam itsur ze...

ADV ADV OBJ
on prolonging-our very also consonant this...
(2) *im aloto ha-pitomi (vpit'om) la-shilton... ADJ
*on rising-his the sudden (vsuddenly) to power...
'On his sudden rise to power...'
Thirdly, the word order is as flexible as in any clause, except that the gerund must come first ${ }^{17}$ - and so the subject (in ordinary clauses usually, but not inevitably, first) leapfrogs:
(a) If a pronoun, it will have to be suffixed:

leaHar hagi'o | לאחר הגיעו |
| :--- |
| after arrival-his |$\quad$ 'After his arrival'

(b) If a noun, it will simply follow the gerund, though (unlike genitives, e.g. constructs) not always directly:
be-hilakaH mehem rabam... בהילקח מהם רבם...
GERUND SUBJ
on being-taken from-them their-rabbi... 'on their rabbi's being taken from them'

However, negation is impossible - perhaps because the negator in clauses must always precede the verb, and in 'gerund clauses' nothing can: ${ }^{18}$
*be lo hadgisho et ha-tnaim $\begin{aligned} & \text { i- } \\ & \text { איא הדגישו את התנאים... } \\ & \text { א }\end{aligned}$
('In not stressing the conditions...')

### 30.5.3 Function of the gerund clause

Gerund clauses are only used adverbially, most commonly with time prepositions, especially ב- be- 'in, on'. (The only cases of infinitives used adverbially are for 'in order to, without, instead of...'; see 30.4.3). Thus:
be-yoshveHa mul méir nizkar ata she... ביושבך מול מאיר נזכר אתה ש....
'On sitting facing Meir you remember that...'
biglal/lamrot hibaHaro le-tafkid ze...
בגלל/למרות היבחרו לתפקיד זה... 'Because of/despite his being elected to this post...'
and not:
*kiblu et hibaHaro be-simHa
obj
*hitnagdu le-dabro be-yídish dative
obj
*קיבלו את היבחרו בשמחה
('They greeted his being elected joyously')
*התנגדו לדברו ביידיש
('They objected to his speaking in Yiddish')

Further, only noun-governing prepositions (see 19.3.2) take gerunds, ${ }^{19}$ ruling out:
*ki/mikevan/af-al-pi hibaHaro la-tafkid,... .....כי/מכיוון/אף-על-פי היבחרו לתפקיד" ('because/since/although his being elected to the post,...')
Note that some noun-governing prepositions do not take finite clauses at all: עם היכנסו im hikanso 'on his entering' has no finite counterpart, for עם (be-. Nor are gerunds quite the same as action/state nouns; thus the following two uses of ב $b e$ - are special to gerunds:
meHakim, amárti, be-hitkavni le-vólvo מחכים, אמרתי, בהתכווני לוולוו adom she...

אדום ש...
they're waiting, I-said, in referring-my to volvo red that...
'They're waiting, I said, referring to a red volvo that...'
bi-yoto aHad ha-mitstaynim ba-yeHida, בהיותו אחד המצטיינים ביחידה omdim lishlóaH oto le-Hul עומדים לשלוח אותו לחו״ל
in being-his one the best in-the unit, they-are-due to-send him abroad 'As he is one of the best in the unit, they are due to send him abroad'

### 30.5.4 Noun-like gerunds

shuvo shel natan
שובו של נתן
return-his of Natan
'Natan's return'
A few gerunds are in some ways like nouns, forming a scale of 'noun-ness', notably:

| More | (a) bo | 'coming' | בוא |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (b) shuv | 'returning' | שוב |
|  | (c) tset | 'leaving' | צאת |
|  | (d) header | 'absence' | היעדר |
|  | (e) healem | 'disappearing' | היעלם |
|  | (f) himatse | 'presence' | הימצא |
| Less | (g) heyot | 'being' | היות |

They all function as subject, object, modifier, as well as adverbial, just like nouns - (g) less readily perhaps:
hudgash she-headro shel ha-méleH yigrom beayot
הודגש שהיעדרו של המלך יגרום בעיות
it-was-stressed that [the] absence of the King will-cause problems
ze yasim kets li-yotam mi'ut
it will-put [an] end to their-being [a] minority
uvdat heyoteH sefaradit...
עובדת היותך ספרדית...
MODIFIER
[the] fact-of your-being Sephardi...
The 'double genitive' is used, notably with (a)-(c) but not with (g). (With 'true' gerunds, the 'genitive' is not one at all - cf. the end of 30.5.2.) The 'double genitive' involves an anticipatory possessive suffix plus a further possessive phrase - see 6.8:
headro shel ha-méleH
absence-his of the king
היעדרו של המלך
'the king's absence'
Gerunds (a) and (b) take adjectives, as in (1) below. The negator - $i$ - is sometimes used, as with action nouns, described in 30.6.2. (2). None of these noun-like gerunds occur without a genitive suffix, nor in the plural (3):
(1) im bo'o/shuvo ha-pitomi
on arrival-his/return-his the sudden
(2) i-heyota ba-inyanim
non-being-her in the matters
(3) *ha-bo/bo'im shelo

עם בואו/שובו הפתאומי 'On his sudden arrival/return'

אי-היותה בעניינים
'her not being involved'
*הבוא/בואים שלו (s)
('his arrival(s)')

In fact, (a), (b) and (e) make up for the lack of a common action noun.

### 30.6 Action/state noun phrases

| bikuro ba-kótel | ביקורו בכותל |
| :---: | :---: |
| visit-his to-the Wall | 'his visit to the Wall' |

### 30.6.1 Range of action/state nouns

Action/state nouns have all the hallmarks of nouns, and several of verbs. Like infinitives and gerunds, they express action or state, based on both verbs and adjectives:

| yitsur | 'production' | ייצור | (yitser | 'to produce' | (ייצר |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| metikut | 'sweetness' | מתיקות | (matok | 'sweet' | (מתוק |

In actual fact there is a complex range of adjective-based and verb-based nouns, expressing various lesser degrees of abstraction: an individual act (event) and its result, abstract or concrete. Often one word covers it all: ${ }^{20}$


This chapter deals with abstract action/state nouns only.

### 30.6.2 Form of the action/state noun

Action nouns have five shapes - parallel to five binyanim (verb patterns); see 38.2 for details.

| Action nouns | Verb |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pkida | 'enumeration' | פקידה | pakad | 'enumerate' | פקד |
| hipakdut | 'absence' | היפקדות | nifkad | 'be absent' | נפקד |
| hafkada | 'deposit' | הפקדה | hifkid | 'deposit | הפקיד |
| pikud | 'command' | פיקוד | piked | 'command' | פיקד |
| hitpakdut | 'enumeration' | התפקדות | hitpaked | 'be enumerated' | התפקד |

Like gerunds and infinitives, action nouns do not exist for hufal and pu'al these have only finite forms. But where these binyanim express a 'state of mind', e.g. מופתע מ-, מאוכזב מ-mufta mi-, meuHzav mi- 'surprised, disappointed at...', hifil or pi'el nouns often step in: הפתעה hafta'a 'being surprised', אכזבה aHzava 'being disappointed'.
State nouns are usually formed from adjectives. Those ending in $->-i$ add -ות כביד, כבוד CaCiC, CaCuC pattern (adjusting to CCiCut) and many others. There are many less common derivations; see further, 38.2.

| shlili $\sim$ shliliut | 'negative $\sim$ negativity | שלילי~שליליות |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| matun $\sim$ metinut | 'moderate $\sim$ moderation' | מתון מתינות |
| bahir~bhirut | 'bright $\sim$ brightness' | בהיר |
| tipesh $\sim$ tipshut | 'stupid~stupidity' | טיפש~טיפשות |

Like any noun, action/state nouns mark gender, number and definiteness, and have no tense:

### 30.6.3 Structure of the action/state phrase

Internally, the action/state phrase has all the features of a noun phrase, plus a few of a verb phrase, illustrated by the following sentences:
(1) giluy ha-ikar ha-yashish etmol גילוי האיכר הישיש אתמול ACTION N 'SUBJ' 'ADV' 'The old peasant's discovery yesterday' discovery the peasant the old yesterday
giluyo hayom גילויו היום
discovery-his today 'his discovery today'
(2) ha-giluy he-Hadash shel ha-ikar ha-yashish

ACTION N 'subj'
the discovery the new of the peasant the old
ha-giluy he-Hadash shelo
the discovery the new of-him
(3) ha-giluy al-yedey ha-ikar ha-yashish 'subj'
(4) giluy ha-mamot (al-yedey ha-ikar)
'OBJ' 'subj
discovery the mammoth (by the peasant)
giluyo (al-yedey ha-ikar)
discovery-its (by the peasant)
(5) ha-giluy shel ha-mamot (al-yedey ha-ikar) הגילוי של הממות (על־ידי האיכר)
‘OBJ' 'SUBJ'
the discovery of the mammoth (by the peasant)
ha-giluy shelo (al-yedey ha-ikar) הגילוי שלו (על־ידי האיכר) the discovery of-it (by the peasant)
(6) giluy ha-ikar ha-yashish et ha-mamot גילוי האיכר הישיש את הממות ACTION 'subj' 'ObJ' הקפוא ha-kafu
discovery the peasant the old 'the old peasant's discovery of ом the mammoth the frozen

גילויו החדש של האיכר הישיש
'the new discovery of the old peasant'

הגילוי החדש שלו 'his new discovery'

הגילוי על־ידי האיכר הישיש 'the discovery by the old peasant'

גילוי הממות (על־ידי האיכר) 'the discovery of the mammoth (by the peasant)'

גילויו (על־ידי האיכר)
'its discovery (by the peasant)'
of the frozen mammoth'

There can be a (notional, underlying) 'subject', 'object' or 'adverbial', as with verbs or adjectives, but with the following stipulations.
The 'subject' always follows the action/state noun, and mostly in genitive relation to it, i.e. the order is nucleus + modifier, as is typical of noun phrases (and as with gerunds, see 30.5 .2 ). Anything else too must follow the action/state noun, e.g. the adverbs in example (1) above. ${ }^{21}$ This genitive relation can involve the 'construct', as in (1), or the preposition של shel 'of', as in (2), or indeed the 'double genitive', as normal for genitives in general (see 6.8): ${ }^{22}$

Double genitive: giluyo shel ha-ikar discovering-his of the peasant

גילויו של האיכר
'the peasant's discovery'

With action (but not state ${ }^{23}$ ) nouns an agent phrase using על-ידי al-yedey 'by' is sometimes employed as 'subject', as in (3). Such agent phrases are typically associated with passive verbs (see 14.7) but with action nouns they are used even where the action has no passive equivalent:

> lamrot ha-hitnagdut ha-aza al-yedey ha-oyev למרות ההתנגדות העזה על־ידי האויב 'despite the strong resistance by the enemy'

The 'object' too is generally in a genitive relation if the corresponding verb takes the direct object: the 'construct', as in (4), or של shel, as in (5), or the double genitive may be used. Thus ambiguity can arise between 'subject' and 'object' genitives:
ahavat nashim
אהבת נשים
'love for women/love by women'
When the corresponding verb or adjective governs some other preposition, this preposition must appear, rather than a genitive:
hityaHasut la-tora
shayaHut
reference
relevance to the Torah

To express subject and object together, either the subject is genitive (preferably a censtruct genitive) and the object is introduced by a preposition, even by את et, as in (6), ${ }^{24}$ or else the subject is a 'by' phrase and it is the object that uses a genitive, as in (4).

Subjects are unnecessary; so are objects, even where the corresponding verb/ adjective does require one. Action nouns thus differ markedly from gerunds (which are verbs, not nouns - see 30.5 .1 ); and the implied subject need not be 'human'. All this is illustrated by:

```
gidul ze Hashuv
גידול זה חשוב
growth is important (of people, plants etc.)
```

One-word 'degree' and 'manner' adverbs are generally not used, but rather the corresponding adjective. ${ }^{25}$ (Other adverbials are permitted.)

```
*ahavato me'od (Jha-raba) le-rótev
*love-his very (\checkmarkthe great) for gravy
*ktivato hetev (vha-tova)
*writing-his well (vthe good)
*leaHar ne'imut kol-kaH (`kazot)
*after niceness so (vsuch)
```

```
******)
    'his great love of gravy'
        **כיבתו היטב (vהטובה)
            'his good writing'
*לאחר נעימות כל־כך (کכזאת)
    'after such niceness'
```

Adjectives regularly qualify action/state nouns, as in example (2) above. Alone among modifiers of action/state nouns, -א $i$ - 'non-' precedes them. לא lo 'not' is impossible:

| i－yatsivut | ＇non－stability’ |
| :--- | :--- |
| i－mesirat Havilot | ＇non－delivery of parcels＇ |

## 30．6．4 Function of the action／state phrase

Action／state nouns occur in the same sentence＇slots＇as other nouns （and see also 21．4．2＇echo nouns＇）．

Subject：<br>ha－shimush be－samim holeH ve－gadel

Object：
badku et ha－shimush besamim
Within adverbial：
im knisato kámu kulam
Construct：
hashlamat ha－tipul

השימוש בסמים הולך וגדל
＇The use of drugs is growing＇
בדקו את השימוש בסמים ＇They checked the use of drugs＇

עם כניסתו קמו כולם
＇On his entry they all rose＇
השלמת הטיפול
＇the completion of the treatment＇

Finite clauses and infinitives are restricted to particular verbs（see 30．3．4）and have a rather different distribution from action／state nouns and nouns in gen－ eral：
kidmu et gidul ha－moshav
קידמו את גידול המושב ＇They promoted 〈the〉 growth 〈of〉 the Moshav＇ but：
＊kidmu she－ha－moshav yigdal קידמו שהמושב יגדל＊ they－promoted that the Moshav grow
amru she－ha－matsav Hamur
אמרו שהמצב חמור
＇They said that the situation（is）serious＇ but：
＊amru et Humrat ha－matsav אמרו את חומרת המצב＊＊
they－said the seriousness－of the situation
they－said the seriousness－of the situation
aléHa lishtok
עליך לשתוק
［it is］on－you to－be－quiet
＇You should be quiet＇
but：
＊aleHa ha－shtika
［it is］on－you quietness
hitkavánti laalot
התכוונתי לעלות
＇I meant to immigrate＇
but：
hitkavánti la－aliya
התכוונתי לעלייה
＇I meant immigration＇
（not：＇I meant（＝intended）to immigrate＇）

## The truth-status of action/state noun phrases

Depending in part on the governing verb and the context, such noun phrases can express (a) 'the fact that...', (b) 'the notion that...' (both senses especially with the construct genitive - examples ( 1,2 )), ${ }^{26}$ though this is not always the right paraphrase - see example (3). They can even express (c) 'the way in which' (4):
(1) azivateH retsinuteH tatrid oto

עזיבתך תטריד אותו רצינותך תטריד אותו 'The idea/fact that you're $\begin{aligned} & \text { leaving } \\ & \text { serious }\end{aligned}$ will bother him'
(2) ani mitnaged le- $\begin{aligned} & \text { azivate } \mathrm{H} \\ & \text { retsinute } \mathrm{H}\end{aligned}$
(3) leaHar knisat napolyon
(4) ha-nehiga shelo madhima oti the driving of-him appalls me

עזיבתך רצינותך 'I object to the fact that you're leaving',

לאחר כניסת נפוליון 'after the entry of Napoleon'

הנהיגה שלו מדהימה אותי 'The way he drives appalls me'

FURTHER READING
Ben Asher 1972, 1976; Bendavid 1956; Berman 1976, 1978; Blau 1977a; Gordon 1982; Ornan 1979a; Reif 1968; Rubinstein 1971; Sadka 1981.

## 31. Complement clauses

### 31.1 Introduction

### 31.1.1 Types of complement clause

Clauses can be used as 'complements' to a verb or other predicate, i.e. as subject or object; or as 'specificational' predicates complementing verbs or particles of 'being'. Thus, they parallel nouns.
Subject clause:
she-yikashel barur la-kol
שייכשל ברור לכל
'That he will fail is plain to all'
Object clause:
heedáfti she-hu yishtok
העדפתי שהוא ישתוק
'I preferred that he keep quiet'

Predicate clause:
efsharut noséfet hi she-yitpater
אפשרות נוספת היא שיתמטר 'A further possibility is that he'll resign'
For the general features of subordinate clauses, see chapter 30 .

## Finite, infinitival and participial clauses

Complement clauses can be finite, infinitival or participial ${ }^{1}$ :
Finite:
bikáshti she-teshev 'I asked that you sit down' ביקשתי שתשב
Infinitival:
bikáshti lashévet 'I asked (i.e. you) to sit down' ביקשתי לשבת
Participial:
heHélu yorim 'They began shooting' החלו יורים
A general description, set against the broader context of adverbial, relative and other clauses, is provided in 30.1-4.

Declarative, subjunctive and interrogative clauses
Subject, object and predicate clauses can be declarative, subjunctive or interrogative - depending on the nature of the main verb (or, in the case of
predicates, of the main subject). The very difference between declarative and subjunctive in subordinate clauses is not one of form: subjunctives require the same 'future form' as used for the declarative future tense. ${ }^{2}$ Subject clauses:

Declarative:
she-tiye kaduraglan ze lo matrid oti שתהיה כדורגלן זה לא מטריד אותי 'That you'll be a footballer doesn't bother me'

Subjunctive:
adif she-tiye kaduraglan
עדיף שתהיה כדורגלן 'It's preferable that you be a footballer'

## Interrogative:

ma hu asa lo barur li
מה הוא עשה לא ברור לי
'What he did (is) not clear to me'
Object clauses:

Declarative:
amárti she-ha-géshem yafsik

Subjunctive:
amárti she-tafsik

Interrogative: amárti laH ma lehagid

Predicate clauses:
Declarative:
ha-kavana hi she-ze yafsik

Subjunctive:
ha-kavana hi she-ze yafsik

Interrogative:
ha-baaya hi káma leshalem

הכוונה היא שזה יפסיק 'The meaning is that it will cease'

הכוונה היא שזה יפסיק 'The intention is that it should cease'

הבעיה היא כמה לשלם
'The problem is how much to pay'

### 31.1.2 Clause vs. noun phrase

Complement clauses (and indeed adverbial and other clauses) differ markedly from noun phrases, both in external function and in internal structure:

## External function

Many verbs can take object clauses without being able to take object noun phrases:

natáti lo lehikanes נתתי לו להיכנס

'I allowed him to enter'
shiHne'u oto laalot
שיכנעו אותו לעלות
'They persuaded him to go up'
מוטב שלא ידע
'It's better that he does not know'
Unlike noun phrases, clauses cannot ordinarily be referred to by the pronoun הוא $h u$ 'it' or by suffixed forms of this pronoun as found in עליו alav 'about it', אינו eno 'is not', עצמו atsmo 'itself' and so on. However, זה ze 'it' does stand for a clause, ${ }^{3}$ and so do on occasion the 'sentence pronouns' כך kaH 'it' and זאת zot 'it' (see 7.5): ${ }^{4}$

כדאי שתתלבש יפה, כי זהא 'It's good that you should dress well, because it will make an impression'
 'Why she said such a thing is not clear'
Complement clauses, including those introduced by .... שז ze she... 'the fact/ notion that...', cannot readily coordinate with nouns (except when both are introduced by את et or another preposition):

However, like any subject or object noun, complement clauses can be responses to question words: ${ }^{5}$
ma at rotsa, she-ekana?
מה את רוצה, שאכנע?
'What do you want, that I surrender?'

## Internal differences

-ש she- versus - זה ze she-. Many factive verbs and adjectives, e.g. מופת mufta 'surprised', may choose to introduce their object clause and their subject clause with $-ש$ r ze she- as in (1) below; and some must, to contrast with their use as verbs of assertion, as in examples (2-5):
(1) ani mufta (mi-ze) she-diyakt

אני מופתע (מזה) שדייקת
I surprised (at it) that you-were-punctual 'I'm surprised you were punctual'
(2) ani maariH she...
(3) ani maariH et ze she...
(4) ani mevin she...
(5) ani mevin et ze she...

אני מעריך ש... 'I imagine that...'

אני מעריך את זה ש... 'I admire the fact that...'

אני מבין ש....
'I understand that [=I gather that...]'
אני מבין את זה ש...
'I understand the fact that...'

## Extraction

An interrogative or relative pronoun can be brought to the front, even where this involves 'extracting' it out of an object clause, ${ }^{6}$ as in $(1,2)$ below. But - ש ze she- (illustrated above) introducing the object clause acts like a noun introducing an apposed clause: the whole structure is a kind of noun phrase, and 'extraction' is rendered impossible - see example (3) below:'
(1) ma metsapim she-eese?

PRONOUN OBJ CLAUSE
what they-expect that I'll-do? 'What do they expect that I should do?'
EXTRACTION
(2) ha-délet she-miména Hashvu she-etse הדלת שממנה חשבו שאצא

PRONOUN OBJ CLAUSE
the door that from-it they-thought that I'd-leave
EXTRACTION
'the door they thought I'd leave by'
(3) *ma metsapim le-ze she-eese?
*מה מצפים לזה שאעשה!
what they-expect for it that I'll-do? ('What do they expect I should do?')
EXTRACTION

### 31.2 Object clauses

### 31.2.1 Object of what?

Object clauses generally complement a verb or adjective, or occasionally an idiomatic phrase such as:
mazal yesh la she-lo badku et ha-tik
מזל יש לה שלא בדקו את התיק
luck there-is to-her that they-didn't check ом the bag
'She's lucky they didn't check her bag'
ma daateH she-neleH $_{\text {OBJ CLAUSE }}^{\text {she }}$ ?
מה דעתך שנלך?
what's your-view that we-go?
'What do you say that we go?'

Most but not all verbs or adjectives governing a semantic proposition use an object clause to express it. Some cannot, and may instead use ...ש $\begin{gathered}\text { r ze she... }\end{gathered}$ or ...ש $k$ כaH she... (pronoun + apposed clause), as long as the meaning is 'the fact that....' or 'the notion that....':

> dibárti al kaH she-alíti ártsa דיברתי על כך שעליתי ארצה

I-spoke about it that I-went to-Israel 'I spoke about my going to Israel'
ánu tomHim be-ze she-yipaslu
אנו תומכים בזה שייפסלו we support it that they'll-be-disqualified 'We support their disqualification' Others take neither object clause nor $\ldots$ re/kaH she-..., but just an object action noun (30.6); but they do not form a definable semantic group:

```
siyámti et ha-shvita (*...lishbot, סיימתי את השביתה (...... סבות,
    *...et ze she-shaváteti) את זה ששבתתי)...*
I've-ended the strike (*...to strike, *...it that I-was-striking)
```

Parallel, but somewhat different, is the structure 'action/state noun + apposed clause', described in ch.34:
ha-tvi’a she-nafsik...
התביעה שנפסיק... 'the demand that we stop...'
paralleling:

> tav'u she-nafsik v OBJ CLAUSE $\quad$ 'They demanded that we stop' $\begin{array}{r}\text { תבעוקיק }\end{array}$

No more than one object clause is possible. Two object nouns are allowed (see 15.3), but there too, only one of them represents an 'abstract proposition'.

## Non-subordinate 'object clauses'

Two types of request use what appears to be an object clause with an unsaid 'I request...' (see further 28.3-4): (1) lofty 2 nd person requests using an infinitive: לעבור laavor! ‘pass along’!; (2) (casual) use of ש she + finite clause: she-lo tishkaH! ‘Don’t you forget!'


The differences between object and adverbial are set out in 15.3. Object and adverbial clauses are even more dissimilar: most adverbial clauses begin with a
preposition，whereas object clauses－such as those highlighted above－do not． They omit the governed prepositions so often required by verbs or adjectives（see further 19．5）．
A further characteristic of object clauses is that，unlike adverbial clauses，they allow＇extraction＇as described at the end of 31．1．2．

## 31．2．3 Word order

Where there is an object noun and an object clause，the latter comes second（even though the corresponding action noun might otherwise come first）， so affording balance and unambiguity：
asru al yehudim lilmod ivrit
אסרו על יהודים ללמוד עברית obj clause＇They have forbidden Jews to study Hebrew＇
asru limud ivrit al yehudim
אסרו לימוד עברית על יהודים ＇They have forbidden the study of Hebrew to Jews＇ Object clauses can even come after adverbials，less commonly after an adverbial clause：
maniHim beHól－zot ki ha－tmuna mezuyéfet מניחים בכל－זאת כי התמונה מזוייפת
aDv
＇They assume nonetheless that the picture 〈is〉 forged＇

## 31．2．4 Direct and indirect speech

As object（rarely as subject），Hebrew may use a direct quotation，i．e． something actually said or thought，generally preceded by a colon；this is not a subordinate clause in any formal sense：

> bóaz omer: ani gam nehene lir'ot eH בועז אומר: אני גם נהנה לראות איך mamtinim li-fgisha imi'Boaz says: "I also enjoy seeing how they wait for 〈a) meeting with me",

When following the quotation（or interposed），the subject＋verb inverts（see also 37．11）；this is formal usage：


An indirect quotation too can be made the main clause（style indirecte libre） －note the הוא hu＇he＇rather than אני ani＇I＇－with the＇main＇subject＋verb now following，it or interposed，as in the following examples：
（1）hu gam nehene lir＇ot，omer bóaz，eH mamtinim li－fgisha imo he also enjoys seeing，says Boaz，how they－wait for［a］meeting with him （Boaz speaking of himself）
（2）rak aHi，kaH yesh lesha’er，yirtse leherashem רק אחי，כך יש לשער，ירצה להירשם only my－brother，so one－must assume，will－want to－register

In (2), the inserted clause begins with כ kaH , a pronoun for the 'object clause', and is thus complete in itself: 'One must assume so'. ${ }^{8}$ er זe or zot can be used instead of כ kaH :


### 31.2.5 'How' object clauses

איצ eH איצד keytsad (ordinarily meaning 'how') can act as conjunctions equivalent to $-ש$ she- 'that', to introduce object clauses of certain verbs of 'fact', notably of 'seeing' and 'describing' - as if to underline that the description is indeed fact and not an assertion (other conjunctions are given in 30.2):

| hanehagim Hazu peurey pe keytsad mitmotétet tikrat ha-beton | הנהגים חזו פעורי פה כיצד מתמוטטת תקרת הבטון <br> 'The drivers watched open-mouthed [how] the concrete ceiling collapsed' |
| :---: | :---: |
| sipárti lo eH aHad ha-anashim diber aléha be-ahada <br> 'I told him | סיפרתי לו איך אחד האנשים דיבר עליה באהדה m how one of the men spoke about her sympathetically' |

### 31.2.6 Distinguishing object clause from subject clause

Many verbs and adjectives cannot take a subject. Any clause they take is thus an object clause, e.g. ...') (i-)efshar le... 'it is (im) possible to...', ...ל בא לי ba li le... 'I fancy...', ... לו לי לתשק לי mitHashek li le... 'I fancy...', ... טוב לי tov li le... 'I'm OK (doing that etc...)', ... מוטב שא mutav she... 'It's better that...', ... די day le... 'It's enough to...', לא אכפת לי ל ל ל
 decided to...', .... נמסר ש nimsar she-... 'it was reported that...'.
By contrast, ... כדאי ל keday le... 'it's worthwhile...', ... שית שכ yitaHen she... 'it's possible that...' and many other verbs and adjectives take a subject clause. See further 31.4.3.

## 31.3 'Raising' in object clauses

Three semantic classes of verb or adjective take object clauses of a special kind: the object clause is semantically not subordinate to the verb or adjective governing it, and it is the subject that is subordinate. ${ }^{9}$ Thus the example
yosef alul lenatséaH
aDJ OBJ CLAUSE
Yosef is-likely to-win
is semantically as follows:
alul she-yosef yenatséaH
עלול שיוסף ינצח [It is] likely that Yosef will-win

The three semantic types are:
Type 1. Aspectuals, e.g. עמד amad 'due to', הלך halaH 'going to', התחיל hitHil 'begin', המשיך himshiH 'continue', הפסיק hifsik 'stop', גמר gamar 'finish', נהג nahag 'be wont to’, nata 'tend', $H$ נטה hazar '...again'.

Type 2. Modals, e.g. צריד tsariH 'must', חייב Hayav 'must', יכול yaHol 'might', עששוי, אמור asuy 'likely', אמור amur 'supposed to...'.

Type 3. Adverbial types, e.g. (see further 21.2.4) מיהר miher 'be quick to', הקפיד hikpid 'be careful to', שמח samaH 'be pleased to', היטיב hetiv '...well', חלק ...ו halaH ve... '...increasingly', הרבה hirba 'do a lot of...', מיעט mi'et '... little'.

The object clause is mostly infinitive, its implied subject being identical with the actual subject:"

| mihárti latset | 'I quickly left' | מיהרתי לצאת |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hitHálti litsHok | 'I began to laugh' | התחלתי לצחוק |

Aspectuals and modals, and the adverbial-type verbs הרבה hirba 'do a lot of...', מיעט mi'et '...little’ and ... הלך halaH ve... '...increasingly', are particularly distinct from usual object clause structures; the whole structure is best regarded as having the following abstract syntax:


Here, what seems to be the subject of these verbs or adjectives is not in fact such their subject is a whole clause, i.e. they are the result of 'subject raising'. This explains how these verbs ${ }^{12}$ contrive to admit inanimate subject nouns:

maHshirey video marbim lehiganev | nideo sets do-a-lot-of being-stolen |
| :--- |
| [= get stolen a lot] |
| ha-shikun holeH ve-nivne |
| the estate goes and is-built |$\quad$ 'The estate is gradually being built'

A further peculiarity is that most such clauses cannot be represented by pronouns such as rize 'it', מה ma 'what': ${ }^{13}$
*gamart leeHol? ani lo gamárti et ze גמרת לאכול! אני לא גמרתי את זה* ('Finished eating? I haven't finished it' [i.e. eating])

Those verbs that take a 'raised' object clause, as in (2) below, do not usually allow ‘object deletion' (see 15.3.9). Contrast:
（1）dror tsariH liyot toran maHar דרור צריך להיות תורן מחר
TRUE OBJ CLAUSE
וגם אשתו צריכה
ve－gam ishto tsriHa
Dror has to－be on－duty tomorrow and his－wife too has－to
（2）

| $\text { ?*dror tsariH } \underset{\text { RAISED OBJ CLAUSE }}{\text { liyot adam meanyen }}$ | ？ וגם אשתו צריכה |
| :---: | :---: |
| ve－gam ishto tsriHa |  |
| ＊Dror must be［＝is surely］an inter | nd his－wife too must |

Furthermore，none of these verbs etc．can be replaced by an equivalent action noun，${ }^{14}$ thus：
gamárti lehitgaléaH＇I have finished shaving＇גמרתי להתגלח
but：
＊gmira lehitgaléaH（＇finishing shaving．．．＇）גמירה להתגלח＊ also：
yaHol liyot she－shikru

יכול להיות ששיקרו
＇It may be that they lied＇
but：
＊ha－yeHólet liyot she－shikru the ability to－be that they－lied．．．
＊היכולת להיות ששיקרו．．．
（＇The possibility that they lied．．．＂）

## היה haya＋participle

By contrast，the verb היה haya＇be＇－as habitual＇was wont to＇or conditional＇would＇－is merely an＇auxiliary＇：it only occurs in past tense，and never takes an infinitive but only a participle，which is best considered its main verb rather than its object clause（see further 13．7）：${ }^{15}$

| az hayiti meashen |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| then I－used－to smoke |  |
| לז הייתי מעשן |  |
| lu hayiti meashen．．． |  |
| if I－were－to／I－had smoked．．． |  |

## 31．4 Subject clauses

## 31．4．1 Structure

Subject clauses act as subject for any verb（or predicate）for which ＇the act of．．．＇，＇the fact that．．．＇，＇the notion that．．．＇is an appropriate subject．Thus， unlike object clauses，they are not dependent on the whim of the individual verb．Examples－using（1）finite and（2）infinitive verbs，${ }^{16}$ both（a）declaratively and（b）interrogatively：
（1）a．tir＇e，she－zo ta＇ut barur li
תראה，שזו טעות ברור לי
＇Look，that this 〈is a〉 mistake 〈is〉 plain to me＇
she-lo hivHant be-ze kódem
me'od maftia oti
שלא הבחנת בזה קודם
מאד מפתיע אותי
'That you didn't notice it before very much surprises me'
b. aval eH higáta la-maskana lo barur li אבל איך הגעת למסקנה לא ברור לי 'But how you reached the conclusion isn't clear to me'
(2) a. laazov be-shesh ba-bóker lo yaHbid aléha לעזוב בשש בבוקר לא יכביד עליה 'To leave at six in the morning won't be hard on her'
lehavin et ze kashe
להבין את זה קשה
'To understand this (is) difficult'
b. ma lilbosh biHlal lo barur li

מה ללבוש בכלל לא ברור לי
'What to wear (is) not at all clear to me'

These subject clauses, in typical 'subject' position, have a particular 'focus' or emphasis expressed by intonation, pause or the like.

### 31.4.2 Position

Subject clauses more usually follow their predicate: ${ }^{17}$
barur li she-zo ta'ut
ברור לי שזו טעות ‘(It's) plain to me that this $\langle\mathbf{i s}$ a) mistake'
lo yaHbid aléha laazov be-shesh ba-bóker לא יכביד עליה לעזוב בשש בבוקר '(It) won't be hard on her to leave at six in the morning'

A further constraint is that subject clauses cannot occupy subject position in subordinate clauses (an especial perceptual difficulty):
*ha-shofet amar she-she-hu Hole ze השופט אמר ששהוא חולה זה $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { זה } \\ \text { lo relevánti }\end{array}\right]$
the judge said that that he is-ill is not relevant
*tsariH od Hakirot, ki madúa ha-sHum צריך עוד חקירות, כי מדוע הסכוס* hufkad be-Hul térem hitbarer הופקד בחו"ל טרם התברר
it-needs more investigation, as why the sum
was-deposited abroad has-not-yet become-apparent

### 31.4.3 The distinction between subject and object clauses

Although subject clauses like those in 31.4.2 look much like object clauses, they are evidently subject when complementing the many verbs or adjectives that require a subject, e.g. in the (a) example in each of the following pairs:
a. margiz ota she-haláHta
b. ani Hoshev she-ze margiz ota

REQUIRED
SUBJ

אני חושב שזה מרגיז אותה
'I think that it annoys her'

כדאי למחר

אני חושב שזה כדאי

ברור שיהיו סקנדלים
אני חושב שזה ברור
b. ani Hoshev she-ze barur

I think that it [is] obvious
Further examples of words requiring a subject (be it a noun or a clause) are: משיעמם maftia 'surprising', מפתיע matsHik 'funny', מעצבן meatsben ‘annoying', עדן עדו adif 'preferable', חשו Hashuv 'important', מוזר muzar 'odd’, יפה yafe 'lovely', טוב tov 'good', מותר mutar 'permissible', יתכן yitaHen 'is possible'.

אפשר אפ by contrast, a .... clause occuring with words such as .... or efshar 'it-is-possible', טוב לי tov li 'I'm OK' - not capable of subjects (see 31.2 .6 ) - is evidently an object clause.

### 31.5 Empty rize 'it' with subject clauses

Besides the structures in 31.4, Hebrew - particularly casual Hebrew - often uses the 'empty' pronoun ז $z e$ 'it' in creating its subject clauses:

| ze margiz ota she-haláHta |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| it annoys her that you-went |  |
|  |  |
| זה מרגיז אותה שהלכת |  |
| ze keday laléHet |  |
| it's worthwhile going |  |
| ze barur eH latset mi-sham <br> it's obvious how to-get-out of there |  |
| זה ברור איך לצאת משם |  |

This $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } z e \text { fills the normal subject slot, the subject clause preferring to follow }\end{array}$ the predicate. ${ }^{18}$

Curiously, verbs are averse to having both a 'postposed' subject clause and an object noun - although an object pronoun (i.e. a clitic), such as אות oti or לו $l o$, is acceptable. Even adding 'empty' A re 'it' makes no improvement - see (1) below; instead, Hebrew prefers (2). By contrast, adjectives cause no trouble (3):
(1) ?*(ze) margiz et yedidéha shel yisra'el she-en tiHnun
?
?*(it) annoys ом friends of Israel that there-is-no planning
(2) ze she-en tiHnun margiz et yedidéha זה שאין תיכנון מרגיז את ידידיה shel yisra'el
it that there-is-no planning annoys ом friends of Israel
(3) (ze) barur li-ydidéha shel yisra'el
(זה) ברור לידידיה של ישראל she-en tiHnun שאין תיכנון
(it) clear to friends of Israel that there-is-no planning
Formal Hebrew too can use 'empty' ז ze 'it', but only to create an elevated inversion of order, using the tense particle היה haya or negator אין en:
haya ze meanyen ladáat would-be it interesting to-know
en ze barur matay niftar not it clear when he-died

היה זה מעניין לדעת 'It would be interesting to know'

אין זה ברור מתי נפטר 'It is not clear when he died'

Three further uses of nize
This same 'empty', ze occasionally serves to anticipate an object clause:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { obj Clause 'Have you thought about [it] } \\
& \text { how much they'll laugh?' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Inseparable .... זe ze she... can signify 'the fact that...'/'the notion that...', introducing both subject and object clauses (details in 7.7):
ze she-zo ta'ut barur li
it that it mistake plain to-me

זה שזו טעות ברור לי 'That it's a mistake is plain to me'
mi hitsia et ze she-tilmad be-yeshiva? מי הציע את זה שתלמד בישיבה! who suggested it [= the idea] that you-should-learn in Yeshiva?
It is quite unrelated to 'empty' $\begin{aligned} & \text { r } \\ & z e \text { (though neither can take a resumptive }\end{aligned}$ pronoun) for it does not go with subject infinitives or interrogatives. So examples $(1,3)$ cannot be rephrased as $(2,4)$ :
(1) ze Hashuv ladáat it important to-know
(2) *ze ladáat Hashuv it to-know important
(3) en ze barur keytsad hitsliaH not it clear now he-managed
(4) *ze kaytsad hitsliaH eno barur
it how he-managed not clear

זה חשוב לדעת
'It is important to know'
*זה לדעת חשוב

אין זה ברור כיצד הצליח 'It is not clear how he managed'

Following an initial subject clause (even beginning with .... $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \text { ze } \\ \text { she... 'the }\end{array}$
fact that...'), casual and even formal usage tends to insert $z e$ to mark the end of the subject clause: ${ }^{19}$
she-hu meayem aléHa ze lo madig oti שהוא מאייםّ עליך זה לא מדאיג אותי
'That he's threatening you [it] doesn't worry me'
étsem ze she-HósheH ba-láyla ze mar’e laH עצם זה שחושך בלילה זה מראה לך ad kama ha-olam meshuHlal
'The very fact that it's dark at night [it] shows you how sophisticated the world is'

### 31.6 Predicate clauses

As predicate specifying an (abstract) subject noun (e.g. 'what the problem is is that...'), one may use a clause. ${ }^{20}$ The subject is commonly an action/state noun:

| retsoni (hu) leHashev et maslul ha-til |  | רצוני (הוא) לחשב את מסלול הטיל |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INF CLAUSE | 'My intention is to calculate the trajectory of the missile' |
| sheela noséfet hayta | ama ola vila | שאלה נוספת היתה כיmer |
|  | SE | A further question was how much |
|  |  |  |

uvda (hi) she-ha-toHna Hasera máshehu
עובדה (היא) שהתוכנה חסרה משהו
'The fact is that the software lacks something'
Sometimes the noun is not directly verb-based, e.g. מגמה megama 'trend', תכונה $t e H u n a$ 'characteristic', בעיה baaya 'problem'. There is usually a parallel with a noun + apposed clause (34.4):
tsiyánti retsoni lehinase
ציינתי רצוני להינשא
'I mentioned my wish to marry'
A few one-word expressions create what looks like a predicate clause but is in fact not: ${ }^{21}$

Apposed clause:<br>hi oHélet? - siman she-hi beséder היא אוכלת! - סימן שהיא בסדר<br>she's eating? - [sure] sign that she's OK<br>Subject clause:<br>mazal she-ze nidHa מזל שזה נדחה<br>luck [= lucky] that it's been-postponed<br>Object clause:<br>im ata merugaz, zHutHa laazov אם אתה מרוגז, זכותך לעזוב<br>if you're angry, your-right [= you have the right] to-quit<br>Berman 1980b; Kopelovich 1982; Landau 1975; Malisdorf 1979; Rubinstein 1971; Schwarzwald

FURTHER READING 1976b.

## 32. Adverbial clauses

### 32.1 External function of adverbial clauses

Adverbials can involve (1) single words, (2) phrases or (3) clauses. This chapter describes clauses (for single words or phrases see chs 20-25).
leH miyad 'Go immediately' לך מיד

| miyad | 'Go immediately | ガ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| leH ad ha-gan | 'Go as far as the park' | לך עד הגן |
| leH kshe-tirtse | 'Go when you want' | לך כשתרצ |

Unlike subjects and objects, adverbials are not 'central' to the sentence - see the overall introduction in 21.1. Of the various types of adverbial described there, two can involve a clause, either adjunct or disjunct.

Adjunct clauses ${ }^{1}$ elaborate an event or situation: how, where, why, etc. These are 'core adverbials', in terms of not needing a comma or pause and of coming within the scope of 'sentence activities' such as negation, questioning and other forms of focus, by means of רק rak 'only', אפילו afilu 'even' and emphasis (cf. ch. 21 'Adjunct adverbials'): ${ }^{2}$

את מדליקה נרות משום שזאת מסורת או מסיבה אחרתו at madlika nerot mishum she-zot masóret o mi-siba aHéret

| CLAUSE |
| :---: |

ADJUNCT ADVERBIAL
'Do you light candles because it is a tradition or for another reason?'
Adjuncts can also qualify a noun:
ma daateH al ha-ráash kshe-ani martse? מה דעתך על הרעש כשאני מרצה? $\mathrm{N} \quad$ ADJUNCT ADVERBIAL
'What do you think of the noise when I lecture?'
Disjunct clauses generally relate to the very act of uttering or writing the sentence - justifying it, drawing conclusions from it, indicating how it was intended etc.:

# הזיהום הולך ופוחת, שכן מבעירים פחות פחם ha-zihum holeH u-foHet, she-ken mavirim paHot peHam <br> 'Pollution is steadily decreasing, for people are burning less coal' 

im ledaber be-Henut, ze madhim אם לדבר בכנות, זה מדהים DISJUNCT CLAUSE
'To speak candidly, it's appalling'
These are 'fringe adverbials', typically set off by comma or pause, and not subject to negation, questioning etc. (cf. ch. 23 'Disjunct adverbials'). Hence one cannot say: ${ }^{3}$


Both adjuncts and disjuncts readily precede or follow the main clause, or even interrupt it (exceptions will be noted) - subject to the 'information lay-out' of the paragraph (see ch. 37):
aHarey she-titHatni, mi yaazor li?
mi yaazor li, aHarey she-titHatni?

אחרי שתתחתני, מי יעזור לי! 'After you get married, who'll help me?'

מי יעזור לי, אחרי שתתחתני! 'Who'll help me after you get married?'

### 32.2 Internal structure of adverbial clauses

### 32.2.1 With and without a preposition

Adverbial clauses involve two kinds of internal structure: most commonly, a preposition ${ }^{4}$ introduces the adverbial clause:
me'az she- báti 'since I came' מאז שבאתי PREP CONJ CLAUSE
bimkom le-barber
'instead of jabbering'
במקום לברבר
PREP INF
CLAUSE
The adverbial clause itself, like other subordinate clauses, ordinarily begins with a subordinating conjunction ('complementizer'): -ש she-, אשר $k i$, כי, ו-asher. (For conjunctions in general, see 30.2.)

Occasionally there is no preposition and instead the form of the verb is crucial:
ha-yeladim rátsu la-migrash lesaHek
INF
et ha-mapa atáfti, she-lo yir'u ota FUT
'I wrapped the tablecloth $\langle\mathrm{so}\rangle$ that they shouldn't see it'

Circumstantial clauses (see further 21.6) actually involve any type of present tense predicate, even a mere noun phrase:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Hazárti ha-báyta loHem menuse } \\ \text { I-returned home fighter experienced } & \text { 'I returned home an experienced fighter' }\end{array}$

## Finite and infinitive

Both with and without a preposition, adverbial clauses can involve a finite or an infinitive clause. The infinitive has (a) no subject and (b) an uninflected verb prefixed by ble-, depending on the type of adverbial and preposition. In a general sense, finite clauses express either a fact/assertion or an intention/possibility/mere notion etc., whereas infinitives do not express the former (for finite vs. infinitive in general, see ch. 30). ${ }^{5}$ Examples are:

## Finite

yariti bli she-yadáti
yariti ki yadáti

Infinitive
yariti bli ladáat
yatsáti leeHol

יריתי בלי שידעתי
'I fired without knowing' (lit. without that I-knew)

יריתי כי ידעתי
'I fired because I knew'

יריתי בלי לדעת
'I fired without knowing'
יצאתי לאכול
'I went out to eat'

For the order of subject-verb-object within adverbial clauses, see 37.13. For tense in adverbial clauses, see 13.8-10.

### 32.2.2 Shortened adverbial clauses

Many adverbial clauses can be represented by a pronoun ${ }^{6}$ having several forms, e.g. לאחר מכן leaHar mi-ken 'afterwards', משום כך mishum kaH 'because of that', אם כן im ken 'if so', but not, e.g., בעוד כן* *be'od ken ('while that'), היות כן* *heyot ken ('as that'). ${ }^{7}$ See 19.3.5 for details of which preposition takes which pronoun.

Conditional clauses introduced by אם im 'if’ and its synonyms, - במקרה שא bemikre she- or במידה ש bemida she- (or ו- ו-) can be reduced to לא lo 'not' or its opposite, stressed כן ken. ${ }^{8}$ The conjunction -ש she- or -ו ve- is retained;
tsaltsel. im lo, az tiHtov
צלצל. אם לא, אז תכתוב
'Phone. If not, write'

Two kinds of adverbial clause，concessive conditionals and comparative clauses，allow＇flexible＇ellipsis，of virtually anything in the clause that happens to be repeated material．Both types serve to juxtapose facts in one way or another，and are thus akin to coordination（see ch．35），which allows unre－ stricted ellipsis of repeated material．

Concessive conditionals（see 32．11）expressing＇if not．．．，then at least．．．＇（quite distinct semantically from ordinary＇if not＇and concessive＇although＇），and introduced by אם im＇if＇or אם כי im ki＇though＇，are reduced to a noun or preposition phrase：${ }^{9}$

> im lo ata, az aHotHa tavo, naHon? אם לא אתת, אז אחותך תבוא, נכון
> 'If not you, then [= at least] your sister will come, no?'
> shaálti et rov ha-teHna'im, שאלתי את רוב הטכנאים
> im ki lo et kulam
im li lo，az le－mi titen？

אם לי לא，אז למי תתן
＇If not to me，who will you give to？＇
Comparative clauses with－כמו שו kmo she－．．＇like．．．＇，．．．יותר מאשר yoter measher．．．＇more than．．．＇contract even more freely，as do comparative－like rela－ tive clauses．（See further 32．5．）

## 32．2．3 Alternatives to an adverbial clause

There are many alternatives to an adverbial clause，using a noun + relative／appositional clause such as：

＇From the moment that the matter got out，they began admiring him＇ Purpose： hitsávnu maHsomim be－matara letofso הצבנו מחסומים במטרה לתופסו
＇We set up road－blocks with the aim of catching him＇
Result：
tásti namoH be－ófen she－lo hivHinu bi טסתי נמוך באופן שלא הבחינו בי
＇I flew low in 〈such〉 a way that they didn＇t notice me＇
In fact there is a spectrum of such expressions，from syntactically regular nouns through semi－exceptional nouns to specialized prepositions．For example， （be－matara＇with the aim of＇is regular；כדרך ke－déreH＇in＜the same〉 way as＇involves the noun דרך déreH＇way＇but an idiomatic preposition－כ ke－ and a clause which，unlike relative clauses，does not allow a relative pronoun
al-menat 'in order that' involves the preposition al plus a word that is not recognizably a noun or anything else, so it is a specialized preposition.

A notable series of 'quasi-relative' adverbial clauses involves regular combinations of 'pro-adverb' + - ש she- clause, e.g. - מתי matay she- 'when(ever)', - איפה שéfo she- 'where(ver)':
matay she-hishta'álti,... when that I-coughed,...

מתי שהשתעלתי.....
'Whenever/when I coughed,...'

These may be analysed syntactically as 'at the time that, at the place that...' etc. and are discussed with relative clauses in 33.3.3.

## 32.3-13 ADVERBIAL CLAUSES BY SEMANTIC TYPE

Adverbial clauses are described by semantic type, as this involves many syntactic distinctions.

### 32.3 Time clauses

Time clauses involve preposition ${ }^{10}+$ finite clause, notably: , כש
 she- ‘just as'; בעוד (ש-), שעה ש be’od (she-), sha'a she- ‘while’; כל עוד kol

 קודם ש-, בטרם lifney she-, kódem she-, betérem 'before'.

Several are introduced by an expression on the border between noun and preposition (the clause rarely or never contains a relative pronoun): בזמן שי,
 while)' or - מזמן ש mi-zman she- etc. 'from the time that', and - של זמן kol zman she- 'as long as'." These are thus quasi-relative clauses.

The conjunction can be omitted where in parentheses in the above list, thus:

> meaz (she-)neHtam ha-heskem, doéHet מאז (ש)נחתם ההסכם דועכת ha-merida
'Since the agreement was signed, the revolt has been dying out'
 another time adverbial:
lifamim, she-ani metsayer, ani matHil לפעמים, שאני מצייר, אני מתחיל laHlom
lame
sometimes, that [= when] I paint, I begin dreaming

בעוד $b e$ 'od 'while' followed by a subject pronoun can suffix it in formal usage (as if it were בע לוד be- + separate adverb 'still'), compare:

A few of these clauses are disjuncts, and so not negated, emphasized etc. (see 32.1), notably those introduced by: איך שeH she 'just as', (ש) בעוד be’od (she) 'while’, (ש) עת et (she) 'when', מש mi-she ‘since', כל זמן ש / כל עוד kol zman she/kol od 'as long as'.

Structurally idiomatic, but conveying the same notion as a time adverbial clause, are:
rak báti ve-hitHilu le...
lo yatsáti u-Hvar hitHilu le...
רק באתי והתחילו ל...
'I'd only arrived and they began to...'
לא יצאתי וכבר התחילו ל...
'I hadn't left and they'd already begun to...'

### 32.4 Circumstantial clauses

Circumstantial clauses (formal usage) often convey 'while at the same time...', though not for the purpose of placing an event in time. They involve (1) כש-, כאשר, תוך, ו- kshe-, kaasher, toH or ve-plus finite clause, 'frozen' into the present tense, or (2) a mere predicate (i.e. a clause minus its subject):
(1) ha-shi'ur yinaten kol yom, kshe-páam

השיעור "ינתן כל יום, כשפעם ha-martse hu ha-rav ve-páam Hatano המרצה הוא הרב ופעם חתנו
'The lesson will be given daily, now the lecturer being (lit. while one time the lecturer is) the Rabbi and now his son-in-law'
(2) zéhu, hi amra, koráat et ha-toHnit זהו, היא אמרה, קורעת את התוכנית v ом овJ
"'That's it", she said, tearing up the programme'
Both types are disjuncts. The latter is described in 21.6 with circumstance phrases; here we describe the former. It always comes at the end of the main clause. When introduced by the conjunction $\boldsymbol{v e -}$ - ${ }^{12}$ it must refer back by the first word in the clause to a person/thing mentioned in the main clause or to something of theirs, as in (1) below; the other conjunctions impose no such restriction (2).
(1) sára raHva al ha-ofanáyim ve-hi maHzika be-yada agasim

שרה רכבה על האופניים והיא
מחזיקה בידה אגסים
Sara rode on the bicycle and [= while] she holds [= held] in her-hand pears
(2) ha-roma'im palshu la-heHal,
kshe-ha-kohanim mamshiHim ba-avoda ke'ilu lo kara klum

הרומאים פלשו להיכל, כשהכוהנים ממשיכים בעבודה

כאילו לא קרה כלום
'The Romans invaded the temple, while the priests continued the service as if nothing happened'

### 32.5 Manner clauses: ‘like..., as if...’

Manner clauses are of three types, as described in 32.5.1-3.

### 32.5.1 'Like, as...'

'Real world' manner equivalence, i.e. 'like, as...', employs the prepositions כמו kffi, keshem (rather and very formal, respectively):
ha-malka levusha

levusha etmol! | she-hi hayta |
| :---: |
| cons |$\quad$ לבושה לבושמול)

the queen is-dressed like [that] she was dressed yesterday
Such clauses can supply the manner adverbial required by 'manner verbs' such as התנהג hitnaheg 'behave':

Like manner phrases (see 21.4), they cohere more 'tightly' to the verb than time and cause adverbials etc., and rarely occur ahead of the main verb or with a separating pause or comma.

כמו kmo 'like' clauses (not כפי, כשם kfi, keshem) are commonly reduced to a noun phrase, preposition phrase or adverb ${ }^{13}$ - but the conjunction $-\boldsymbol{w}$ sheoptionally remains on occasions (casually):
ani lo mityaHes le-Haveray kmo (she-)ishti אני לא מתייחס לחברי כמו (שׁתי 'I don't relate to my friends like my wife does' (lit. like that my wife)
...kmo le-ishti
...like to my-wife
...kmo (she-)lifney shana
..... כמו לאשתי.
'Like I do to my wife’
.....במו (ש)לפני שנה. '... like [that] a year ago'

כמו kmo 'like' requires that immediate personal pronouns be suffixed, as in (1) below, and any prepositions preceding the personal pronoun must remain, as in (2):
(1) ani lo mityaHes le-Haveray kamoH

אני לא מתייחם לחברי כמוך (*kmo at)
(כמו את)
I don't relate to my-friends like-you (*like you)
(2) ...kmo eláyiH
.....
...like to-you

### 32.5.2 'As if'

'Hypothetical' manner equivalence, i.e. 'as if', employs (-ש) כאילו $k e^{\prime} i l u\left(\right.$ she-) ${ }^{14}+$ finite clause:

Exactly like other כמו kmo clauses, כאילו ke'ilu clauses have another equivalence meaning, unrelated to manner - see 32.6 . But note the present tense, representing the standpoint of the events themselves: unlike כמו kmo, or indeed most prepositions, כאילו ke'ilu can take not only speaker-oriented ('deictic') but also narrative-oriented ('oblique') tense:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { hi rakda ke'ilu hi yeshena/yashna } & \text { obliQue deictic } \\
\text { she danced as-if she is-asleep/was-asleep } &
\end{array}
$$

This is apparently because of kinship to a 'mental act' verb such as 'it seems that' (which takes oblique tense). Another 'mental act' preposition is כדי kdey 'in order that'.

### 32.5.3 'In such a way that...'

Manner + result, i.e. 'in such a way that...', can be expressed by
 'manner' and כך kaH 'thus'. ${ }^{15}$

> ha-ktsinim hitnahagu be-ófen she-kulánu הקצינים התנהגו באומן שכולנו bikáshnu Hufshat shabat ביקשנו חופשת שבת 'The officers behaved in such a way that we all requested Sabbath leave'
> rov bney-ha-adam mitkonenim kaH, רוב בני-האדם מתכוננים כך,
> she-si peulatam hu be-reshit ha-yom ששיא פעולתם הוא בראשית היום 'Most people prepare themselves in such a way that their peak of activity is at the start of the day'

## 32.6 'General equivalence’ clauses

The same prepositions that express manner equivalence, כמו, כפי (and others), can express general equivalence, for example:
li yesh ben, kmo she-lo yesh
to-me is son, like that to-him is

לי יש בן, כמו שלו יש
'I have a son, just as he has'

### 32.6.1 'Real world' equivalence ${ }^{16}$

To express 'real world' general equivalence, Hebrew uses כמו, כמי, כשם kmo, kfi, keshem, the same prepositions used in manner equivalence (32.5):
ani mudéget, kfi she-kulHem mudagim אני מודאגת, כמי שכולכם מודאגים I'm worried, like that all-of-you worried
'I'm worried just like all of you are'
But these particular clauses are 'loose' adverbials - they can be preposed, and set off by pause/comma. When preposed, the ensuing main clause often begins with כ kaH formally:

However, they do take negative and other focus:

'We don't like routine like our fathers liked it'
Like other such adjunct adverbials (see note 2) this allows structural ambiguity - when the 'like' clause is contracted into a phrase:
enénu ohavim shigra kmo avotéynu איננו אוהבים שיגרה כמו אבותינו 'We don't like routine like our fathers' (i.e. our fathers like routine)
enénu ohavim shigra, kmo avotéynu
איננו אוהבים שיגרה, כמו אבותינו 'We don't like routine, like our fathers' (i.e. our fathers don't like routine)

Contraction of the כמו kmo clause into a suffix is possible, as in manner clauses (32.5) (but without a halfway stage using a - e she-):
ani mitnaged la-kituv kamoH (*kmo she-at) אני מתנגד לקיטוב כמוך (*כמ שאת)
I oppose polarization like-you (*like that you)

### 32.6.2 'Hypothetical' equivalence

Another parallel between manner and general equivalence clauses involves כאילו ke'ilu 'as if'; ${ }^{17}$ the hypothetical conditional:

Manner:
ata ro'ed ke'ilu yesh leHa shapáat אתה רועד כאילו יש לך שפעת
'You're shivering as if [= in a way that suggests] you have flu'
General :
ata ro'ed. ke’illu yesh leHa shapáat אתה רועד, כאילו יש לך שפעת
'You're shivering, as if [= and the fact suggests] you have flu'

As in 32.6.1, this is a 'loose' adverbial (but not negatable); it generally follows the main clause, and with the same tense as כאילו ke'ilu in 32.5.18

Formal usage also has $-\boldsymbol{~} k e$-, followed by a present tense verb phrase rather than a clause:
hi hibita bi, ke-lo mevina et kavanati היא הביטה בי , כלא מבינה את כוונתי she looked at-me, as [= as if] not grasping ом my-meaning

But כאאילו ke'ilu does not allow such reduction, ruling out:
*hi tsaHaka ke'ilu lo mudéget
*היא צחקה כאילו לא מודאגת ('She laughed as if not worried')

Hypothetical purpose, meaning 'as if to', i.e. 'as one would do if one wished to...', involves - כאילו ל ke'ilu le- or - כמו ל kmo le-:

| u-He’ilu lehashlim et miHsat ha-kshayim, וכאילו להשלים את מכסת הקשיים bnuyot ba-déreH Homot éven בנויות בדרך חומות אבן 'And as if to complete the quota of difficulties, |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

hu shuv tafaH al rakotav kmo lehaanish הוא שוב טפח על רקותיו כמו להעניש et atsmo al ha-ta'ut she-ta'a את עצמו על הטעות שטעה
'He again smote his temples as if to punish himself for the error he'd made'

### 32.6.3 Degree equivalence

For 'the more (she does $x$ ), the more (I do $y$ )', i.e. conditional equivalence in degree, Hebrew uses a .... ככל שe-Hol she-... clause (literally 'like all that...') or formally also .... כל כמה של kol káma she... ('all as much that...'). ${ }^{19}$ When followed by the main clause (which occasionally precedes), it is often introduced by a correlative adverb: formally כן ken or כך kah, casually א az. Both clauses commonly have יותר yoter 'more'; formal usage need not, particularly in a main clause introduced by כן ken, כך kaH:

| keHol she-ata tiga yoter | ככל שאתה תגע יותר בתינוק, (אז) |
| :---: | :---: |
| hu yivke yoter |  |

like-all that you will-touch more the baby, (then) he will-cry more
'The more you touch the baby, the more he'll cry'
kol káma she-hu mitmaméa - ( $\mathbf{k a H}$ ) yaale lo ha-davar beyóker

> כל כמה שו הדוא מתמהמה - (כך)
all as-much that he delays - (so) will-cost him the thing dearly
'The more he delays, the more dearly it will cost him'
hakara zo needéret hagshama keHol she-go'im הכרה ז נעדרת הגשמה ככל שגואים svivo ha-máyim ha-meayemim lehatbi'o סביבו המים המאיימים להטביעו
insight this lacks implementation, like-all that rise
around-him the waters that threaten to-drow-him
'The more the waters rising around him threaten to drown him, the more this insight lacks implementation'

A further possibility is ........., בן be-mida she ..., \{ken/ba be-mida...\} All are disjunct adverbials.

### 32.6.4 Pseudo-equivalences

Here we are concerned with sentences such as:

> hem notsrim, kfi she-amárti

הם נוצרים, כפי שאמרתי 'They are Christians, as I said'
Section 7.5 describes how numerous verbs or adjectives that ordinarily take a subject or object clause (ch. 31) can instead take the 'pro-sentence' כ kaH :
kaH amárti lo:...
כך אמרתי לו:...
thus I-said to-him...
'I said to him like this...'
kaH kara midey páam $\quad$ 'It happened like this each time'
thus happened each time
'It happened like this each time'

It seems that the subject/object above is regarded as denoting some sort of 'equivalence', for $\rceil \mathrm{JaH}$ is also used as an adverb of manner equivalence: תלך כך teleH kaH 'walk like that'. Moreover, איך eH 'how' too can be used in a non-manner sense to introduce some object clauses:

| ra'it/sipárti lo/hizkárti lo eH haláHti ba-reHov ve... | ראית/סיפרתי לו/הזכרתי לו איך הלכתי ברחוב ו... |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'You saw/I | ioned to him how I was going down the street and..., |

So it is no surprise that the 'equivalence' prepositions כמו, כפי kmo, kfi, etc. can be extended for use in a similar way ${ }^{20}$ - as if subject:

> kfi she-ole mi-meHkarénu, dibru ivrit כפי שעולה ממחקרנו, דיברו עברית ba-tfutsot [= kmo ma she-ole...] בתפוצות = כמו מה שעולה...
> 'As [that] emerges from our research, Hebrew was spoken in the Diaspora [= 'like what emerges...']
or as if object:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kulam erim, kmo she-ata yodéa }{ }^{21} \text { כולם ערים, כמו שאתה יודע } \\
& \text { [ }=\text {...kmo ma she-ata yodéa] } \\
& \text { כולם עמו מה שאתה יודע } \\
& \text { 'They're all awake, as [that] you know' [= 'like what you know'] }
\end{aligned}
$$

These clauses are disjunctive, and freely preposed.
An 'adjectival' passive participle allows a special contraction (cf.23.3):
כידוע לך מאתמול. ka-yadúa leHa me-etmol... 'as known by you from yesterday...', כזכור ka-zaHur 'as recalled', כמקווה ka-mekuve 'as hoped'.

A different use of the 'equivalence' prepositions is shown by the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { ha-ets, kfi she-bara oto ha-shem, העץ, כמי שברא אותו ה', הוא גדול מהאם } \\
\text { hu gadol me-ha-adam }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

'The tree, as God created it, is larger than man'

Though outwardly similar to the foregoing adverbial clauses, this is actually a predicate or appositional clause - equivalent to an adjective: just as adjectival 'pronouns', איך eH 'how' and ככה käHa 'thus', outwardly resemble manner pronouns, so too there are adjective clauses that resemble manner clauses: ${ }^{22}$


## Pro-adjectives

ze-karúa? kibálti et ze káHa
זה קרוע! קיבלתי את זה ככה 'It is torn? I got it like that'
eH hu, simpáti?
איך הוא, סימפטי?
'What's he like, nice?'
Appositional clause
mul gzar ha-din omédet ha-hitsamdut מול גזר-הדין עומדת ההיצמדות la-Hayim, kfi she-hi mubáat be-olamam לחיים, כמי שהיא מבעת בעולמם 'Opposing the verdict stands the attachment to life, as it is expressed in their world'

### 32.7 Contrastive clauses: .... בזמן bi-zman she... 'whereas'

 (she-) express contrast as well as similarity (see 32.3 ). These are disjunctive, i.e. they denote 'at the same time it is the case that....' They are preposable.

| shimshon haya shofet, sha'a she-eliyáhu haya navi | שמשון היה שופט, שעה שאליהו היה נביא |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

'Samson was a judge, while Elijah was a prophet'
be'od ha-musa mesamen gorem ze, asuya בעח המששא מסמן גורם זה, עשיה tsurat ha-póal lemamesh gorem aHer צורת הפועל לממש גורם אחר
'Whereas the object signifies one element, the form of the verb can realize another element'

### 32.8 Purpose clauses

Purpose clauses are usually introduced by the prepositions כדי kdey, בכדי biHdey (mostly official use), בשביל bishvil (mostly casual or literary) or על-מנת al-menat (F).

As 'purpose' expresses an attitude rather than a fact, it can involve an infinitive - or else a finite clause with future ('modal') tense (just as with a verb of intention, see 30.2): ${ }^{23}$

מה אתה צריך בשביל לחיות!
'What do you need so as to live?'

## al-menat she-yiHyu be-shalom, tsariH learev et ha-horim <br> על-מנת שיחיו בשלום, צריך לערב את החורים

 'In order that they should live in peace, one needs to involve the parents'One occasionally employs the very formal prepositions למען lemáan 'so as', leval 'lest'24 (= in order that not...) or שמל shéma 'in case'. These take only finite clauses, with no conjunction $-ש$ she-; the first two require future tense:
vater la, lemáan yiye shlom báyit $\quad$ 'Give in to her sore,
'Give in to her, so there will be domestic peace'
hu lo hizdaha, leval yifselu oto merosh הוא לא הזדהה, לבל יפסלו אותו מראש 'He didn't identify himself, lest they disqualify him from the outset'

שמא shéma does not always strictly denote 'purpose'. It very often denotes 'for fear that...', relating to past, present or future time and taking any tense appropriate, as in $(1,2)$ below. Sometimes it denotes 'in case', without negative implications, as in (3): ${ }^{25}$
(1) ani nigash ve-kore et lúaH ha-moda'ot shéma nitvasef more Hole

אני ניגש וקורא את לוח המודעות
שמא נתווסף מורה חולה
'I approach and read the notice board, in case a sick teacher has been added'
(2) kol ha-et haya daruH kmo kfits, shéma lo avin éze shinuy hitHolel

כל העת היה דרוך כמו קפיץ, שמא לא אבין איזה שינוי התחולל
'All the time he was as tense as a spring, lest I would not comprehend what change had taken place'
(3) yesh lirshom kol Hidush, shéma yavo yomo ve-yishtager ba-shimush

יש לרשום כל חידוש, שמא יבוא יומו וישתגר בשימוש
'One must record every coinage, in case its day comes and it comes to be regularly used'

Occasionally, no preposition is used: a bare infinitive can be used optionally after motion verbs, ${ }^{26}$ occasionally elsewhere, as in (1.2) below. The negative is שלא ל... לא ל... לא lo le..., as in (3):
(1) ha-yeladim rátsu la-migrash lesaHek

הילדים רצו למגרש לשחק
'The kids ran to the lot to play'
(2) shamáteti yadi me'al ktefo ve-histakálti svivi, lir'ot im lo ba míshehu litpos otánu

שמטתי ידי מעל כתפו והסתכלתי סביבי, לראות אם לא בא מישחו לתפוס אותנו 'I dropped my hand from his shoulder and looked around, to see if someone hadn't come to seize us'
(3) hayiti daHuk be-véten ha-sfina,
she-lo leorer Hashad
הייתי דחוק בבטן הספינה,
שלא לעורר חשד
'I was packed in the bowels of the ship, so as not (lit. that not) to arouse suspicion'

A bare finite clause with future tense can be used in very casual or in formal usage (it is disjunctive):

> et ha-mapa lo atáfti, she-lo yaHshevu את המפה לא עטפתי, שלא יחשבו she-zot matana
> שזאת מתנה
> 'I didn't wrap the tablecloth so that (lit. that) they won't think that it's a gift'

Result clauses also, of various kinds, are introduced by כדק kdey etc. (see 32.9). For the infinitive conveying 'illocutionary' purpose, e.g. אם להיות ...כנה im liyot kene... '['if'] to be honest,...' see 32.11.5.

### 32.9 Result clauses

Result clauses are of two main types. They are usually introduced by ... כ כ $k$ kaH she..., ${ }^{27}$ which is often preceded by a comma or pause:


This is disjunctive, and indeed must follow the main clause; even a full stop or new paragraph may intervene, so that this amounts to a semi-subordinate clause.

Following questions or negative clauses ('non-assertives', cf. 29.9), -ש sheclauses can convey a questioned or negated result: ${ }^{28}$

> mi ani, im kvar medabrim al ze, she-lo מי אני, אם כבר מדברים על זה, שלא uHal lehikanes be-bigdey ténis? אוכל להיכנס בבגדי טניס?
> 'Who am I, if we're already talking about this, that I should not (lit. will not) be able to enter in tennis clothes?'

| ha'im azávta et ha-histadrut she-ata me'iz lehafer haHletotéha <br> 'Have you left the Histadr | האם עזבת את ההסתדרות שאתתה מעיז להפר החלטותיה? you dare to flout its decisions?' |
| :---: | :---: |
| kan lo bet-séfer yesodi she-teleH lehitonen lifney ha-more | כאן לא בית-ספר יסודי שתלך להתאונן לפני המורה |

Occasionally, כדי kdey and other 'purpose prepositions' (cf. 32.8) express 'result', preceding the main clause and expressing a particularly good or bad result, as in $(1,2)$ below, or using the idiomatic construction אין בו/ .... יש בו כדי en bo/yesh bo kdey le... 'it is/is not sufficient to...' (3), or as complement clauses for degree words or quantifiers, as in (4); (see further 20.5):
(1) kdey laasot et ha-Hayim kalim od yoter, כדי לעשות את החיים קלים עוד יותר, zaHíti hayom be-mifal ha-páyis זכיתי היום במפעל הפייס 'To make life even easier, I won the lottery today'
(2) kdey lesabeH od yoter et ha-inyanim, toanim ki be-gufénu kayamim káma sheonim

כדי לסבך עוד יותר את העניינים, טוענים כי בגופנו קיימים כמה שעונים
'To complicate things even more, they claim that in our bodies there are several clocks'
(3) en bo kdey leshaHnéa otam is-not in-it so-as to-convince them
(4) ata maspik bari kdey laruts you enough healthy so-as to-run

אין בו כדי לשכנע אותם
'It cannot convince them'
אתה מספיק בריא כדי לרוץ 'You are healthy enough to run'

### 32.10 Cause clauses: -משום ש mishum she- 'because', כ ki 'for'

Cause clauses are of two chief types. Type (1) are introduced by various prepositions: בגלל biglal (non-puristic), משום nishum, מפני mipney, (mi)keyvan, all followed by the conjunction - ש she-. Type (2) are introduced by the conjunction כי $k i$, or by the (formal) prepositions היות heyot, מאחר ho'il, taking the conjunctions הואיל she-/ve-.
(1) madúa shaált? - ki ani tsriHa ladáat מדזע שאלת! - כי אני צריכה לדעת 'Why did you ask? - Because I need to know'
(2) ho’il ve-ha-Hérem husar, en táam lifol הואיל והחרם הוסר, אין טעם לפעול 'Seeing as the boycott has been lifted, there's no reason to act'

All of type (2) are restricted syntactically: (a) כ ki only follows its main clause, as if particularly detached from it (like ... שכ כ כ כaH she... 'so that', 32.9), and indeed has the facility for expressing the 'reason why one is saying something' ('illocutionary' reason) as well as the actual cause for a situation:

Illocutionary reason:
hu mitHaten, ki hu siper li
Cause:
hu mitHaten ki yesh la dira
'He's getting married because she has an apartment'
Paradoxically, כי ki does undergo negation, questioning and other focusing like adjunct clauses:

> lo bánu ki Huyávnu lavo éla ki ánu yedidéha shel yisrael
> לא באנו כי חוייבנו לבוא אלא כי אנו ידידיה של ישראל
> 'We didn't come because we were made to but [we came] because we are friends of Israel'
(b) היות, מאחר, הואיל heyot, meaHar, ho'il do not readily undergo negation etc. Yet they precede or follow the main clause, i.e. they are ordinary disjuncts.

Occasionally 'cause' is expressed by the conjunction $-\boldsymbol{v}$ she-, usually in the fixed combinations שככ she-ken, שהרי she-harey, שאמנם she-omnam, conveying illocutionary reason:
metsamtsemim et ha-shimush be-antibiótika, מצמצמים את השימוש באנטיביוטיקה, she-ken ha-davar pogéa be-tahaliHim שכן הדבר פוגע בתהליכים tiviyim

טבעיים
'They are reducing the use of antibiotics, for it affects natural processes'

It is doubly restricted: it follows the main clause, and is beyond the scope of negation etc. In fact, it can begin a new sentence or paragraph - it is thus only semi-subordinate. ${ }^{29}$

### 32.11 Conditional clauses: 'if..., unless..., whoever comes...'

### 32.11.1 Overview

Hebrew conditionals can express: (a) conditions, in the narrow sense of 'in the event that...':
im titatesh, ani ets'ak
אם תתעטש, אני אצעק
'If you sneeze, I'll scream'
(b) Concessive ('one-way) conditionals, i.e. 'even if' or 'even though' or 'whoever, whatever, etc.' - and the quite distinct use of אם לא im lo 'if not' to mean 'or even':
asig medályat késef im ki lo אשיג מדליית כסף אם כי לא medályat zahav

מדליית זהב
'I'll get a silver medal even though not a gold medal'
al kol ma she-lo tishal, ha-maHshev על כל מה שלא תשאל, המחשב yaazor leHa

יעזור לך
'Whatever you ask about, the computer will help you'
אשיג מדליית כסף אם לא מדליית זהב asig medályat késef im lo medályat zahav 'I'll get a silver medal if not a gold medal'
(c) Suppositions, i.e. 'if it is the case that...' or 'given that...':
im hitpatHu ha-mata'im, ze hodot la-mazkal

אם התפתחו המטעים, זה הודות למזכ"ל 'If the plantations have developed, it is thanks to the General Secretary'
(d) Illocutionary conditionals, i.e. 'if..., then I may say...':
im ata sho’el ma daati, harey lo barur li אם אתה שואל מה דעתי, הרי לא ברור לי mi ha-anashim ha-éle

לי מי האנשים האלה
'If you're asking my opinion, [then] I'm not clear who these people are'

### 32.11.2 Conditions: 'if' words

The 'if' word is usually אטם im 'if'; also באם be-im (officialese),
 real-world and (casually) hypothetical conditionals. ${ }^{30}$

Certain other 'if' words introduce only hypothetical conditionals: לו, אילו lu, ilu (F) and negative לולא, אלולא, אלמלא lule, ilule, ilmale (literary):
lu hikárti oto, hayiti matsia shiduH לו הכרתי אותו, הייתי מציע שידוך if I-knew him, I'd suggest [a] match

אם לא הייתם עשירים, הייתם מאושרים im lo hayitem ashirim, hayitem meusharim if not you-were [= if you weren't] rich, you'd-be happy

| ilule heyitem ashirim, ki-az heyitem meusharim | אלולא הייתם עשירים, כי-אז הייתם מאושרים |
| :---: | :---: |
| if-not you-were [= were you not] rich, then you'd-be happy |  |

Other 'if' words are: - ובלבד שuvilvad she- 'provided that', as in (1) below; אלא-אם-כן éla-im-ken ‘unless' (= 'if not'), ${ }^{31}$ which follows a positive or a negative main clause (2), as does the related אלא אם éla im 'except if' (which is less common, see 35.16); and - כל עוד, כל זמן שol od, kol zman she- ‘as long as' (see 32.3):
(1) ánu muHanim lekableH aHshav, u-vilvad she-teshalmi lemafréa

> אנו משלמי למפרעלך לקכשיו, ובלבד
'We are prepared to accept you now, provided that you pay retroactively'
(2) ani avo lishmóa éla-im-ken yered géshem

אני אבוא לשמעו אלא-אם-כן ירד גשם 'r'll come to hear unless it rains'

### 32.11.3 Other aspects of conditions

The tense of 'real-world' conditions reflects 'natural' time (as explained in 13.12) - see example (1) below. Hypotheticals generally take compound past tense in both conditional and main clauses (it is the whole sentence that is 'real' or 'unreal'). With לו lu and the other specialized hypothetical 'if' words, the simple past is sufficient (as if they were intrinsically hypothetical); but their 'main clause' always uses compound past - see (2):
(1) 'Real world'

| im ra'it, la | amart? | אם ראית, למה לא אמרת! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SImple | SImple |  |
| PAST | PAST | 'If you saw, why didn't you say?' |

(2) Hypothetical
lu ra'it, hayit mizdazáat לו ראית, היית מזדעזעת
SIMPLE COMPOUND
PAST PAST 'If you saw, you'd be shocked'
Word order is flexible: conditions precede, follow or even interrupt the 'main clause', except that 'unless' clauses (see 32.11.2) always follow.

## Conditions with no 'if' word ('asyndetics')

In certain registers (notably official instructions, adverts, and very casual speech), real-world conditions need no 'if' word. Subject noun (if any) and verb are inverted (except, usually, for subject pronouns ):

| siyem talmid et ha-targil ha-rishon, yaHol hu laavor la-sheni has-finished pupil ом the drill the first, can he pass to-the next |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| kaníta video - hirváHta you've-bought video - you've-gained |  |
| 'If you've bought a video, you've gained' |  |
| ha-rofe yekabel tashlum. lo yimtsa Hen be-enéHa - tuhal lehaHlif rofe midey shana | הרופא יקבל תשלום. לא ימצא חן בעיניך - תוכל להחליף רופא מדי שנה |
| the doctor will-receive payment. not will appeal to-you, you-can change doctor every year. |  |
| '...If he does not appeal to you, you can change doctor once a year' |  |
| ake ba-yad shelo - nofel kir shalem rikes with his hand - falls wall whole | מכה ביד שלו - נופל קיר שלם |
| 'If he stri |  |

'If he strikes with his hand, a whole wall falls'
A particular type is the 'whether ...or...' clause (actually a concessive, see details in 32.11.4), found without the 'whether' and 'or' words.

## Reduction

The main clause is sometimes reduced, notably as ...מה אם ma im... 'what if...':

> ma im eradem?
> What if I-will-fall-asleep

The 'if' clause' can be reduced when 'concessive' - see details in 32.2.2. 'If' clauses are sometimes even omitted, leaving a hypothetical main clause; the 'if' clause is implicit from the context:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { al tegale - ze haya hores ota אל תגלה - זה היה הורס אותה } \\
& \text { MAIN CLAUSE } \\
& \text { 'Don't let on - it would destroy her' }
\end{aligned}
$$

What appears at first sight to be 'if-clause omission' is not a hypothetical at all but the use of 'hypothetical-type' tense to express mood of 'modest assertion' (see further 13.12.3):
hayíti rotsa lehagid leHa máshehu

הייתי רוצה להגיד לך משהו 'I'd like to tell you something'

## Conditions without a condition clause

Alternatives to a condition clause often exist, such as:
(kol) mi she-lokéaH antibiótika mear'er et מי שלוקח אנטיביוטיקה מערער את) Hasinuto (= im mishehu lokéaH...) ( 'Whoever takes antibiotics impairs his immunity' (= 'If someones takes...')
and, in officialese, the 'topic dislocation' construction ("יחוד", see ch. 37):
martse ha-oved be-Hatsi misra, rosh ha-Hug מרצה העובד בחצי משרה, ראש החג yaHlit im leHadesh et minuyo יחליט אם לחדש את מינויו
[a] lecturer who works in [a] half-time post, [the] Head of Department shall-decide whether to-renew ом his-appointment

### 32.11.4 Concessive conditionals

אפילו אם The basic construction for concessive conditionals uses afilu im, גם אם gam im, or אפילו afilu (F) 'even if':
afilu yiye hesder, tiye metiHut even will-be settlement, will-be tension

אפילו יהיה הסדר, תהיה מתיחות 'Even if there's a settlement, there'll be tension'

Of the concessive conditionals illustrated in 32.11 .1 , the 'whatever, whoever...' constructions ('open' conditionals) require elaboration. There are three types, all disjunctive and able to precede or follow the main clause:
(a) Open 'whether' clauses, with single or double im or בין beyn im: ${ }^{32}$

'Whether it's a millionaire or (whether
it's) a prisoner, the main thing is
that it should be an exciting person'
(b) Open 'wh-word' relative clauses: Formal usage employs a 'tautologous' relative clause referring to future or generic time. The verb must be future tense and initial (a 'modal', see 13.9), and the clause begins with ordinary - mi $m i$ she- 'who that', איפה ש éfo she- 'where that' and other 'interrogative expressions' or more formal אששר asher, ככל keHol (for 'extent' or 'degree') and באשר baasher 'wherever':
yavo mi she-yavo, ha-mesiba tiye
meshaamémet
will-come who that will-come, the party
will-be boring
yikre asher yikre, ánu nisha'er beyáHad will-happen that will-happen, we will-stay together

## יבוא מי שיבוא, המסיבה תהיה משעממת

'Whoever comes, the party will be boring'

יקרה אשר יקרה, אנו נישאר ביחד
'Whatever happens, we will stay together'
yaavod keHol she-yaavod, lo yuHal laHsoH יעבוד ככל שיעבוד, לא יוכל לחסוך let-him-work as-much that he'll-work, 'However much he works, he will not will-be-able to-save not be able to save'

```
yehudi she-Hay be-yisra'el hu yisreeli,
        ve-yikba mekom moshavo aHar-kaH
        baasher yikba
                יחודי שחי בישראל הוא ישראלי,
                                ויקבע מקום מושבו אחר־כך
                            באשר יקבע
a Jew who lives in Israel is Israeli, and he-will-fix place-of
    his-residence afterwards in-that he-will-fix
    [= wherever he afterwards fixes his place of residence]
```

yiyu ha-matanot yekarot keHol she-yiyu,... יהיו המתנות יקרות ככל שיהיו,... will-be the presents expensive 'However expensive the presents,...' as-much that will-be,...
All usage allows a negative relative clause with no nucleus (see further 33.4):

| mi she-lo yavo, ha-mesiba tiye meshaamémet | מי שלא יבוא, המסיבה תהיה משעממת |
| :---: | :---: |
| who that not will-come [= whoever comes] the party will-be boring |  |
| ra'iti she-eH she-lo dibárti u-ma she-lo amárti, ze galash la-tHum ha-ishi | ראיתי שאיך שלא דיברתי ומה שלא אמרתי, זה גלש לתחום האישי |
| I-saw that how that not I-spoke [= however I spoke] and what that not I-said [= whatever I said], it spilled into the personal sphere |  |

### 32.11.5 Other conditionals

Supposition conditionals always require the 'if' word im.
Illocutionary conditionals generally precede the main clause. They usually involve אם im 'if' + infinitive with no subject, if the subject would be referring to the speaker, i.e. 'if I may...', as in examples (1,2). Otherwise, אם im + finite clause is used, as in (3):
(1) im lishpot lefi ha-matsav be-Heyfa, yesh mashber

אם לשפוט לפי המצב בחיפה,
if to-judge [= if I may judge] by the situation in Haifa, there-is [a] crisis
(2) im liyot dúgri,...
if to-be frank,...
אם להיות דוגרי,...
'To be frank,...'
(3) im tirtsu, étsem bikuro shel shamir mehave אם תרצו, עצם ביקורו של שמיר מהווה haba'at i-emun

הבעת אי־אמון
'If you wish, the very visit by Shamir constitutes an expression of no confidence'
אלא־אם éla-im-ken 'unless' too can be used with illocutionary force:
דבר לא נזכר על כך בכתבה, אלא־אם־כן לדוקטור יש מקורות משלו davar lo nizkar al kaH ba-katava,éla-im-ken la-dóktor yesh mekorot mishelo
'Nothing was said about it in the report, unless the doctor has sources of his own'

### 32.11.6 Miscellaneous details

Wherever a conditional (of any type) precedes the main clause, the latter may optionally open with a ‘link adverb’ - notably (-ש) הרי harey (she-),

אם im 'if' clauses are adjuncts, thus allowing focus adverbs: .... רק אם rak im... 'only if', ...גם גם gam im... 'even if'. But the hypotheticals אילו, לו ilu,
 $\{\mathrm{rak} / \mathrm{gam}\}$ lu... ('I would come $\{$ only/even $\}$ if...'); so too are the other three types of conditional. Moreover, אם im, unlike the other adverbial conjunctions כי שמא shéma, allows coordination: ... אם im....ve-im... 'if...and if...'.

### 32.12 Concessive clauses: .... למרות lamrot she... 'although'

Here we describe factive concessives, i.e. 'although, even though' clauses (for 'even if' see 32.11.4). There are two levels of concessive, ${ }^{33}$ both of which can precede or follow the main clause:
(a) Denoting 'even though', i.e. 'despite the fact that...' (going against an expected 'cause and effect'), involves - למרות lamrot she- and more formally אף-על־פי ש-, על אף ש- , אף ש-זכי af-al-pi she-, al af she-, af she-/ki. Occasionally - אפילו שafilu she- is also used: ${ }^{34}$
kulam maHrimim et ha-misHakim, כולם מחרימים את המשחקים, lamrot she-taywan eyna mishtatéfet למרות שטייוואן אינה משתתפת
'They're all boycotting the games, despite the fact that Taiwan is not participating'
ve-afilu she-yesh to’élet raba ba-shimush, ואפילו שיש תועלת רבה בשימוש, shokélet ha-memshala le-osro שוקלת הממשלה לאוסרו בשו
'And even though there is great benefit in its use, the government is considering banning it'
(b) Illocutionary 'although I should point out that...' (no cause and effect implied) involves אם כי im ki (an idiomatic word with no synchronic relation to כ $k i$ 'that'):

'They're all boycotting the games, though (i.e. be it noted that)
Taiwan is not participating'
כולם מחרימים את המשחקים, אם כי kulam maHrimim et ha-misHakim, im ki eynéni savur she-zéhu mahalaH navon אינני סבור שזהו מהלך נבון
'They're all boycotting the games, though I do not believe that this is a judicious step'

### 32.13 Quasi-negative clauses

Two types of adverbial clause imply a negative: ...במקום bimkom... 'instead of...' and .... בלי/מבלי bli (or mibli)... 'without...':35

| taazor li bimkom | lehar'ish she-eese hakol levad | תעזור לי במקום שאהרעיש הכל לבד |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| help me instead | to-make-noise [ $=$ of making noise] that I'll do [= of me doing] everything myself |  |

ozrim li bli | leyalel |
| :--- |
| she-avakesh kol páam |
| עוזרים לי בלי שאבקש כל פעם to-wail [=wailing] |

they help me without
that I'll ask [= me asking] each time

They have much in common. Both involve an infinitive (usually harking back to the main clause subject) or finite clause. The finite verb is usually future. Since these are 'negatives' expressing a non-fact, this is like a 'modal' use of future tense; see 13.4. On other 'negative' aspects of these clauses, see 29.12.

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1977: ch. 5; Ben-Asher 1972: ch. 7; Glinert 1974, 1982a, b, 1988a, b; Sadka 1981.

## 33. Relative clauses

### 33.1 Introduction

Relative clauses 'relate' to nouns, adding information about them in the same way as adjectives and other modifiers, and always tied to the noun phrase. Relative clauses follow other modifiers:

```
tsémaH yafe mi-sin she-higia ata צמח יפה מסין שהגיע עתה 
N REL CLAUSE
plant lovely from China that 'A lovely plant from China that
    arrived justNone
```

> 'A lovely plant from China that just arrived'

Not all clauses qualifying a noun are relative clauses: certain nouns, particularly 'action nouns', can take 'apposed clauses' (see ch.34), generally introduced by the same conjunction ( $-\boldsymbol{w}$ she-) that introduces relative clauses. Ambiguity sometimes arises:
ha-uvda she-shaHaHt
the fact that you-forgot

העובדה ששכחת
'the fact which you forgot' (REL) or 'the fact of your forgetting' (APPOSED)

The 'antecedent' is the noun (or noun phrase) being described by the relative clause; it (and its modifiers) is generally directly followed by the clause, and thus cannot be shifted around without the latter. ${ }^{1}$
The relative clause must contain something referring back to the antecedent. Thus in example (1) the 'relative pronoun' הוא hu 'he' refers back to מועמד mиатаd 'candidate'. But one sometimes leaves the 'relative pronoun' unsaid, as in (2). Whatever the case, it is useful to regard all relative clauses as having an 'abstract relative noun' referring back to the antecedent.
(1) muamad she-hu mashak
candidate that he NCO
(2) muamad she-ra'iti [ha-muamad]

ANTECEDENT $\underbrace{\text { UNSAID REL } N}_{\text {REL CLAUSE }}$
a candidate that I-saw [the candidate]

מועמד שהוא מש״ק
'a candidate who is an NCO'
מועמד שראיתי [המועמד]
'a candidate that I saw'

The 'relative noun' varies its pronoun form according to whether it is subject, adverbial etc. of the relative clause, as will be shown, for it is none other than the 'definite pronoun' described in 7.3. See further, 33.3.4.

### 33.2 General distinctions

Two major semantic distinctions must be drawn, (1) between 'factive' and 'modal' relative clauses and (2) between 'restrictive' and 'non-restrictive' relative clauses. These distinctions have grammatical consequences.

Factive relatives assert or imply a fact; modal relatives convey a possibility or a desire. Contrast:
natáti la máshehu she-hi tishte נתתי לה משהו שהיא תשתה
FACTIVE REL
I-gave her something that she will-drink 'I gave her something that she'll drink'
natáti la máshehu lishtot
נתתי לה משהו לשתות
MODAL REL
I-gave her something to-drink 'I gave her something to drink' [= for her to drink, i.e. that she might/should drink]
Factive relatives are discussed in 33.3-7, and modals in 33.8.
Most of the constructions handled in this chapter allow a fundamental ambiguity, between restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses. For example, the relative clause in bold type below is ambiguous on paper:
ha-menuyim, asher mi-siba kólshehi iHru המנויםו, אשר מסיבה כלשהי איחרו ba-tashlum, shilmu knas בתשלום, שילמו קנס
'The subscribers who for some reason were late in paying paid a fine'
Even with the commas, in the Hebrew it is unclear whether some subscribers paid a penalty ('restrictive' relative) or all ('non-restrictive' relative). In speech, by contrast, Hebrew like English distinguishes by intonation: the antecedent to a non-restrictive relative has a 'tone-group' to itself (typically המֹנֹיריֹ hä-ménūyim) and is thus separated from its relative clause; with restrictive relatives there is no such separation.

Admittedly, in writing too a relative clause with commas is usually nonrestrictive, but long clauses tend to have commas even when restrictive - witness this quotation:

| séder ze meshane et ha-yáHas ha-pnimi, she-beyn ha-tseruf ha-shemani le-kinuy ha-guf | סדר זה משנה את היחס הפנימי, שבבין הצירוף השימני לכינוי הגוף |
| :---: | :---: |
| trictive rel |  |
| order alters the internal relatio | tween the noun |

Sometimes, indeed, a comma appears after and not before a relative clause:

> ha-yisreelim ve-toshavey Hul she-yitgoreru הישראלים ותושבי חו״ל שיתגוררו kan, yehanu mi-eHut Hayim meyuHédet כאן, ייהנו מאיכות חיים מיוחדת 'The Israelis and foreign residents who will be living here will enjoy a special quality of life'

A grammatical distinction of stylistic, but of no semantic, consequence is the choice between -ש she-, אשר asher, ה ha-, ו ve- and 'zero' as the conjunction introducing the factive relative clause. ${ }^{2}-ש$ she- (prefixed to the next word) is the commonest, and can figure in any syntactic context and register. אשר asher is particularly formal and slightly constrained syntactically. Such relatives are discussed in 33.3-4. - $h a$-, fairly formal, is syntactically limited as to the function of the relative noun in its clause, as are formal - ve- and 'zero' (i.e. absence of a conjunction). These are discussed in 33.5 and 33.7.

## 33.3-4 RELATIVE CLAUSES WITH - ש SHE-OR אשר ASHER

### 33.3 The relative noun or pronoun

33.3.1 The relative pronoun as subject Compare the following:
(1) éfo ha-bragim she-yatsu? where the screws that came-out?
(2) éfo ha-bragim she-ába hotsi? where the screws that Dad took-out?

איפה הברגים שיצאו? 'Where are the screws that came out?'

איפה הברגים שאבא הוציאו
'Where are the screws that Dad took out?'

In (1) above, the subject of the relative clause is unexpressed: it is the 'relative noun' הברגים ha-bragim 'the screws'. Hebrew does not usually express the relative noun when subject of the relative clause; thus, the following is ungrammatical whether restrictive (i.e. there is more than one wall) or non-restrictive: ${ }^{3}$


Now it might seen that (1) below indeed contains a 'relative pronoun as subject', but in fact הן hen is merely the copula 'be', which is generally obligatory where the predicate is a noun (cf.16.3) as it is below. Replace the second noun by an adjective, and the need for הן hen disappears, as in example (2):
(1) éfo ha-kfafot she-hen matana?

REL CLAUSE
'Where are the gloves that are a present?'
(2) studéntim she-(hem) adáyin lo pturim
students that (are) still not exempt 'students that are still not exempt'

### 33.3.2 The relative pronoun as direct object

'Relative nouns as direct object' are sometimes left unsaid and sometimes expressed as pronouns, depending on register and sentence structure. For-
mal Hebrew, especially officialese, may use a pronoun, particularly by placing it at the head of relative clauses (even when there is no conjunction, see 33.7):
lehalan ha-subsídyot she-otan kitsátsnu
להלן הסובסידיות שגותן קיצצנו below [are] the subsidies that them we-axed [= that we axed]
Other registers tend to omit the relative pronoun:

| ma im ha-subsidyot she-kitsátsnu? what about the subsidies that we-axed? |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | at about the subsidies that |
|  |  |

For all registers, relative clauses that are long or complex tend to require the pronoun. Formally, as usual, the pronoun can be a suffix (cf.7.3.2):
 SUFF
is not this one the books that you-asked if necessary to-read-them?
'Isn't this one of the books that you asked whether one should read?'
For omission of the whole verb phrase in relative clauses, see 33.3.4.

### 33.3.3 Relative pronouns in other slots

## As indirect object

Such relative pronouns, unlike those acting as direct object, are rarely omitted - this would leave a 'dangling' preposition, as in example (3) below, almost unheard of in Hebrew (see 19.6). Nor can the preposition usually be omitted (4). ${ }^{4}$ Thus:
(1) éle ha-rishumim she-histakalt bahem

IND OBJ
these [are] the prints that you-looked at-them
(2) ze ha-nose she-eemod alav

IND OBJ
this [is] the subject that I'll-go-into it
(3) *éle ha-rishumim she-histakalt be אלה הרישומים שהסתככת ב* these the prints that you-looked at
(4) *éle ha-rishumim she-histakalt

זה הנושא שאעמוד עליו
*אלה הרישומים שהסתכלת
אלה הרישומים שהסתכלת בהם

## As adverbial

Relative pronouns as adverbials mostly look and act exactly like those that are indirect objects:
éle ha-tsrifim she-garnu bahem ADVERBIAL
these the huts that we-lived in-them 'These are the huts that we lived in'
ha-bóker she-bo higáti הבוקר שבו הגעתי
adverbial
the morning that on-it l-arrived

אלה הצריפים שגרנו בהס

הבוקר שבו הגעתי
'the morning on which I arrived'
and not:
*ha-tsrifim she-gárnu (be)
*הצריפים שגרנו (ב)
the huts that we-lived (in)
But the 'pro-adverbs', שם sham 'there', זא az 'then', כך kaH 'thus', can act as relative pronouns (the last two just in non-restrictive relatives):
éfo ha-Hof she-saHinu sham?
where the beach that we-swam there? 'Where is the beach where we swam?'

| neHake ad she'ot ha-érev, she-rak az hem neorim li-f'ula | נחכה עד שעות הערב שרק אז הם ניעורים לפעולה |
| :---: | :---: |
| Let us wait till evening time, | hen (lit. that only then) they |
|  | into actio |

However, the whole adverb (containing the relative noun) is often omitted in restrictive relatives' where the antecedent is an 'imprecise' word such as מקום makom 'place', שעה sha'a, זקן zman, תופה tkufa 'time', רגן réga 'moment', פעם páam 'time', דרך déreH 'manner', מצם matsav ‘situation', סיבה siba 'reason', or even a more specific 'time word' such as יום' yom 'day', ערב érev 'evening': ${ }^{6}$

| bánu le-makom she-(bo) lo hayu máyim we-came to place that (in-it) not were water | באנו למקום ש(בו) לא היו מים 'We came to a place in which there was no water' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ze kara ba-yamim she-(bahem) saHiti it happened on-the days that (on-them) I-swam | וֹה קרה בימים ש(בהם) שחיתי 'It happened on the days swam' |
| ha-siba she-lo kámti ze she-Haliti the reason that not I-got-up is that I-was-ill | הסיבה שלא קמתי זה שחליתי 'The reason I didn't get up is that I was ill' |

Indeed, such omission is a 'must' when one of these 'imprecise' antecedents involves כרגע ש- kol 'every', or when they form part of the 'set phrases' be-réga she- 'the moment when...', - במקרה שe-mikre she- 'in the event that...', - במידה שe-mida she-... 'to the extent that, in the event that...', בזמן שi-zman she- 'when'. See also 33.3.4.

### 33.3.4 General features of the relative pronoun

Relative pronouns and pro-adverbs invariably have the same form as any 'definite pronouns’ (see 7.3). However, i ze (ordinarily 'it') and its inflections are used as relative pronouns in casual usage only, and then only where the antecedent is not appropriately referred to as הוא hu etc. anyway. Thus example (2) is ungrammatical:
(1) hofánu be-tésha, she-zot ha-sha'a

הופענו בתשע, שזאת השעה she-ovdim magi'im ba-Hóref שעובדים מגיעים בחורף
'We arrived at nine, which (lit. that it) is the hour that workers arrive in winter'
(2) *ha-tsiyur she-nagat be-ze yakar me'od
*הציור שנגעת בזה יקר מאד
the picture that you-touched it [is] very expensive

## Embedded in a larger structure

The relative pronoun may be deeply embedded in the subject, object etc. of the relative clause - Hebrew imposes no special limit. But if embedded, it cannot be omitted. ${ }^{7}$ It is particularly common as an embedded 'genitive':

| ha-pluga she-ha-mefaked shela ze mótke REL | הפלוגה שהמפקד שלה זה מוטקיה |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pronoun |  |
| the batallion that the commander of-it is Motke | 'the batallion whose commander is Motke' |
| ha-sofer she-hizkíru et shmo | הסופר שהזירו את שמו |
| the author that they-mentioned ом | 'the author whose name they |

## Larger-scale 'relative' omission

Even larger-scale omission from the relative clause is possible where the whole verb phrase is identical with that of the main clause. Then either the identical preposition + relative noun $(1,2)$ or the whole verb phrase embracing it $(3,4)$ can drop, often leaving just a noun as indicator of a relative clause:
(1) tishtamesh be-ma she-ani mishtamesh make-use of what that I make-use
(2) haláHti étsel mi she-haláHti

I-went to who that I-went
(3) yeshnam ke'éle she-efshar lehishtamesh bahem ve-yesh ka'éle she-i-efshar

תשתמש במה שאני משתמש 'Use what I'm using'

הלכתי אצל מי שהלכתי
'I went to whomever I went to'
ישנם כאלה שאפשר להשתמש
בהם ויש כאלה שאי-אפשר
'There are some that it's possible to use and there are some that it's impossible (to use)'
(4) èle she-nisharu, lamrot she-hem margishim אלה שנשארו, למרות שהם מרגישים ma she-anáHnu, Hayavim lehamshiH מה שאנחנו, חייבים להמשיך
'Those that remain, although they feel what we 〈feel), have to continue'

### 33.3.5 Positioning the verb and relative pronoun

The positioning of relative pronouns is frequently a matter of register. As subject or object (direct or indirect), the relative pronoun can, in any register, occupy the normal position of a subject or object, thus:
dirot she-keday lir'ot otan
apartments that worth to-see them
ha-báyit she-hityaHásta elav the house that you-referred to-it

דירות שכדאי לראות אותן 'apartments that it is worth seeing'

הבית שהתייחסת אליו 'the house that you referred to'

But more formally, the relative pronoun can be preposed:
ha-báyit she-elav hityaHásta
הבית שאליו התייחסת the house that to-it you referred
'the house to which you referred'
As adverbial, the relative pronoun may or may not be preposed, in any register: ${ }^{8}$
éfo ha-ratsif she-Hikinu bo?
where the platform that we-waited on-it

איפה הרציף שחיכינו בו?
'Where's the platform that we were waiting on?'
éfo ha-ratsif she-bo Hikínu?
איפה הרציף שבו חיכינו? 'Where's the platform on which (lit. that on-it) we were waiting?'
But following the 'imprecise antecedents' mentioned in 33.3.3 (as adverbials), any relative pronoun is preposed if it is not omitted:
ba-yom she-bo higánu le-Hevron... on-the day that on-it we-reached Hebron...
ha-déreH she-ba bits'u zot... the way that in-it they-did it...

ביום שבו הגענו לחברון...
'On the day that we reached Hebron...'

הדרך שבה ביצעו זאת... 'The way that they did it...'

The verb in relative clauses commonly precedes its subject in formal usage: ${ }^{9}$
ba-Hagiga she-arHa mifléget shas...
בחגיגה שערכה מפלגת ש״ס...
at-the function that held party shas... 'At the function that the Shas party held...'

### 33.4 The relative antecedent

The antecedent can be a pronoun rather than a noun. Four types of pronoun are involved: (a) 'personal' pronouns, (b) other 'definite' pronouns, e.g. ה ze 'this, it', (c) 'interrogative' words used non-interrogatively, e.g. מה ma 'what', איפה éfo 'where', (d) 'indefinite' pronouns, e.g. מישהו mishehu 'someone' (see ch.7).

### 33.4.1 Personal pronouns

All personal pronouns, both free-standing and suffixed, can take a relative clause; such cases conform to the rules for relative pronouns set out above: ${ }^{10}$

| ze muzar she-ani she-en li nisayon, kibálti maanak |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| antecedent rel pronoun |  |
| 'It's strange that I, who don't have (lit. that there-isn't to-me) experience, received a grant' |  |
|  |  |

למה רוצים אותק, שלא יודע ולא ראית כלום! láma rotsim otHa, she-lo yodéa ve-lo ra'íta klum? SUFF $\quad \mathrm{v}$ (m.s.) v (2nd m.s.)
'Why do they want you, who don't know and didn't see anything?'

## Other definite pronouns

Distinguish example (1) below, where the antecedent הוא hu 'he' is already identified, from examples (2) and (3), in which the antecedent is identified through the 'restrictive' relative clause (see 33.2). This affects the choice of antecedent. With the non-restrictive clause, one uses the personal pronouns: הוא $h u$ and its inflections for people, $\boldsymbol{N}$ ז $z$ for things. With the restrictive relative, one uses re ze (and its inflections) or mi for people, and $\begin{array}{r}\text { מ } \\ \text { r } \\ z e^{11} \text { or }\end{array}$ מה ma for things - in any register ${ }^{12}$ (and see 33.4.3):
(1) hu, she-himtsi et ha-shita, lo kibel pruta הוא, שהמציא את השיטה, לא קיבל פרוטה 'He, who (lit. that) invented the system, did not receive a penny'
(2) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ze} \\ & \mathrm{mi}\end{aligned}$ she-Hikíti lo hitakev
'The person that I was waiting for was delayed'
(3) $\begin{aligned} & \text { ze } \\ & \text { ma } \\ & \text { זה שקניתי הוא משומש }\end{aligned}$
'The one I bought is second-hand'

### 33.4.2 Pseudo-interrogative pronouns

The words מי, מה, מתי, איפה mi, ma, matay, éfo etc., described in 26.3 as 'interrogatives' meaning 'who, what, when, where' etc., are in essence just pronouns, pro-adverbs etc. with a meaning ranging over 'someone, whoever, who', 'something, whatever, what' etc. respectively. When antecedent to a relative clause, such a word is non-interrogative, sometimes with a choice of 'specific' or 'non-specific' function:

| kaH rak ma |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| SPECIFIC |  |
| take only what that fits | קח רק מה שמתאים |

kaH ma she-mat'im
קח מה שמתאים
NON-SPECIFIC
take what that fits
'Take what/whatever fits'
Which words have both functions depends on the register, as described below.

## Specifics

Only מי mi 'who' and מה mat' are 'specific' in all registers: ${ }^{13}$
mi she-tsiltsel lo masar shem
מי שצילצל לא מסר שם
'The person that rang didn't leave a name'
nifgáshnu im mi she-haya ha-menahel
נפגשנו עם מי שהיה המנהל
'We met with the person who was the director'
ma she-ani ken osek bo ze hége מה שאני כן עוסק בו זה הגה EMPH
what that I work on-it is phonology
'What I do work on is phonology'
By contrast, איפה éfo 'where', לאן le'an 'where (to)' are 'specific' in casual speech only: ${ }^{14}$
yashávti éfo she-hayinu etmol
I-sat where that we-were yesterday
lo nasánu le'an she-nasánu be-pésaH
not we-went to-where that we-went
on Passover 'We didn't go where we went on Passover'
מתי matay 'when', איך eH 'how', כמה káma 'how much' are 'specific' in substandard usage only: ${ }^{15}$

| matay she-higáta, yatsáti when that you-arrived, I-left | מתי שהגעת, יצאתי 'When you arrived, I left' |
| :---: | :---: |
| shárti eH she-sháru ba-séret | שרתי איך ששרו בסרט |
| I-sang how that they-sang in-the film | 'I sang the way they did in the film' |
| natáti káma she-haya li | נתתי כמה שהיה לי |
| I-gave how-much that was to-me | 'I gave as much as I had' |

## Non-specifics

Any of the 'interrogative words' can be a 'non-specific' - in any register:
nalun heHan she-nirtse
we-shall-stay where that we-shall-wish
agid (kol) ma she-mitHashek li
I'll-say (all) what that appeals to-me
ruts be-éze mehirut she-ata rotse run at which speed that you want

ישבתי איפה שהיינו אתמול
'I sat where we were yesterday'
לא נסענו לאן שנסענו בפסח

נלון היכן שנרצה
'We shall stay over wherever we wish'

> אגיד (כל) מה שמתחשק לי
> 'I'll say whatever I fancy'
> רוץ באיזה מהירות שאתה רוצה
> 'Run at whatever speed you want'

Two apparent uses of the non-specific relative are as concessive conditionals, as in $(1,2)$ below, and as 'clefts', as in (3):
(1) le-(kol) mi she-ani lo efne,

ל(כל) מי שאני לא אפנה, ani mekabel ota tshuva

אני מקבל אותה תשובה
to (any) who that I not will-apply, I receive same answer
'Whoever I apply to, I receive the same answer'
(2) be-Hol shHuna she-lo taavod, yikaH sha'a lehagia habáyta

בכל שכונה שלא תעבוד, יקח שעה להגיע הביתה
in any area that not you'll-work, it-will-take
hour to-get home
'Whatever area you work in, it will take an hour to get home'
(3) le-mi she-ani pone ze la-mazkal atsmo למי שאני פונה זה למזכ"ל עצמו to who that I am-applying is to-the Sec. General himself
'It's the Sec. General himself that I'm applying to'
In types $(1,2)$ the non-specific relative is a noun phrase set off at the front of the sentence ('disjunctive'), functioning adverbially as a kind of 'concessive conditional' expressing 'whoever..., whatever...' etc. (see 32.11 .4 for concessive adverbials). The antecedent is either a so-called 'interrogative pronoun' (or איזה $k$ אל $k$ éze 'which' + noun) ory' + noun, i.e. something semantically 'open'. The relative clause is usually negative in casual usage, except for purists. ${ }^{16}$ No relative pronoun (i.e. אליו elav 'to him', בה ba 'in-it' for examples $(1,2))$ is ordinarily inserted. Curiously, in cases where one would expect the verb in the relative clause to be followed by a preposition + object or adverbial, it is in front of the antecedent that one finds the preposition - one might say that a simple clause has been shuffled and a meaningless ש $\boldsymbol{m}$ she- and inserted: ${ }^{17}$

```
taavod be-Hol shHuna \(\Rightarrow\)
    תעבוד בכל שכונה״
    be-Hol shHuna she-lo taavod
    בכל שכונה שלא תעבוד
you'll-work in any area \(\Rightarrow\) in any area that not you'll-work
```

Further examples are:
ידענו מלוח השנה - למי שהיה לוח - את התאריך של החג
yadánu mi-lúaH ha-shana - le-mi she-haya lúaH - et ha-taariH shel ha-Hag
we-knew from the calendar - to who that was calendar - ом the date
of the festival
'We knew from the calendar - whoever had a calendar- the date
of the festival'
איך שלא דיברתי, זה הסתבך
how that not I-spoke, it got-complicated
'However I spoke, it got complicated'

Type (3), the cleft, is a way of specifying a noun (see 37.9 for specificationals in general). Here the antecedent is always a so-called 'interrogative pronoun'. It is introduced by whatever preposition (if any) appears following rize 'is' with the noun (the 'focused noun'). Thus, a simple sentence can be expanded into a 'cleft' to focus on a particular noun: ${ }^{18}$

| ába ge'e ba-ktav shelaH $\Rightarrow$ be-ma she-ába ge'e ze ba-ktav shelaH | אבא גאה בכתב שלך במה שאבא גאה זה בכתב שלך |
| :---: | :---: |
| Father proud of writing your $\Rightarrow$ of what that Father proud is of writing your |  |
| 'Father is proud of your | $\Rightarrow$ 'What Father is prou |

## General features of 'interrogative' antecedents

Following מה ma and מי mhat/who(ever)', the relative pronoun conforms to the pattern of $33.3:{ }^{19}$
kol mi she-dati mekabel yáyin any who that religious gets wine
kol mi she-ani pogesh maskim any who that I meet agrees
ma she-dibárnu alav ze ha-namel
what that we-spoke about-it is the harbour

כל מי שדתי מקבל יין 'Whoever is religious gets wine'

כל מי שאני פוגש מסכים
'Whoever I meet agrees'
מה שדיברנו עליו זה הנמל 'What we spoke about was the harbour'

However, following איפה éfo and לאן le'an (most typically) the relative proadverb must be omitted:

| yashávti éfo she-yashávta (*sham) | (ישבתי איפה שישבת (*שם) |
| :--- | ---: |
| I-sat where that you-sat (*there) |  |
| 'I sat where you sat' |  |,

Furthermore, the relative pronoun cannot be preposed. ${ }^{20}$ This holds for definite and indefinite pronoun antecedents too, thus:
*ma she-alav dibárnu...
what that about-it we-spoke...
*מה שעליו דיברנו
('What we spoke about...')

### 33.4.3 Indefinite pronouns

The rules spelt out for nouns and their relative pronouns (33.3) hold also for indefinite pronouns, both positive: מישהו mishehu 'someone', משהו máshehu 'something'; and negative: אף אחד af eHad 'no one', כלום /שום דבר klum/shum davar 'nothing'. Casually, there is also - כאלה שka'éle she- 'such people as...' or (with a noun understood) 'some of the type that....'
mishehu she-hu stam rabat lo mat'im someone that is just corporal not

מישהו שהוא סתם רב״ט לא מתאים suitable
'Someone who's just a corporal isn't suitable'
hevéti máshehu she-bo tipálti kódem I've-brought something that with-it I-dealt before

הבאתי משהו שבו טיפלתי קודם
'I've brought something I dealt with before'

### 33.4.4 A clause as antecedent

Given that Hebrew 'nominalized' phrases, such as פטירתו הפתאומית ptirato ha-pitomit 'his sudden death', can take a relative clause, as in :

> ptirato ha-pitomit, she-ziaz'a et kulánu פטירתו הפתאומית, שזיעזעה את כולנו 'his sudden death, which shocked us all'
and given that nominalizations are equivalent to a whole clause, Hebrew clauses might have been expected to take a relative clause themselves; but that would be ungrammatical: ${ }^{21}$
*hu niftar pitom, she-ziaza et kulánu הוא נפטר פתאום, שזיעזע את כולנו"
CLAUSE
('He died suddenly, which shocked us all')
Instead, formal Hebrew adds a noun like $\boldsymbol{\text { ד }}$ davar 'thing' or מה ma 'what' in apposition, while casual Hebrew might insert ve-ze 'and this' or suchlike:

|  | ma she- |  | מה ש- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hu niftar, | davar she- ziaza otam ve-ze | זיעזע אותם | דבר ש | הוא נפטר, |

'He died, $\{$ which/and this $\}$ shocked them'

### 33.5 Relative clauses with - ha- and -l ve-

The conjunction - ה $h a$ 'that' is sometimes an alternative to $-ש$ shein fairly formal usage:

```
manpikim neyarot ha-nos'im ribit
מנפיקים ניירות הנושאים ריבית
they-issue bonds that bear interest
```

But ה אשר asher and - ש $h a$-, unlike she-, is found only where the relative noun is understood as subject of its clause. Thus contrast:



Furthermore, - ה ha must directly introduce a present tense verb or adjective. Even the negators לא lo, אין en- and the present tense 'be' הוא , הם hu, hem, etc. are not considered verbs for this purpose. ${ }^{22}$ Thus:

| nashim ha-tsamot | נשים הצמות |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 'women that fast' |
| nashim \{*ha-/vshe-\} tsámu | נשים \}*ה-/נש-\{ צמו 'women that fasted' |
| nashim ha-ge'ot be-HaH | נשים הגאות בכך 'women that are proud of it' |
| nashim \{*ha-/vshe-\} enan tsamot women \{that\} not fast | נשים \}*ה-/תש-/\{ אינן צמות 'women that do not fast' |

This conjunction $\boldsymbol{-} h a$ is distinct from the definite article $-\pi h a$ - (though semantically related). The conjunction occurs even after indefinite antedecents - as in the foregoing examples - and introduces verbs, not nouns: צמות tsamot 'fast' is not found as a noun.

- $v e$ - is used as an alternative conjunction, in formal Hebrew, for restrictive clauses with a relative adverbial pronoun placed first. No verb is possible: ${ }^{23}$
hikiru la alman zaken ve-lo arba banot הכירו לה אלמן זקן ולו ארבע בנות
'They introduced her to an old widower who had (lit. and to him)
four daughters'


### 33.6 Omitting the antecedent

Intermediate between - $h a$ - as conjunction and as definite article is ה $h a$ as relative conjunction with no overt antecedent:
> ha-bodek et ha-ktiv yimtsa tauyot that checks ом the spelling will-find errors

tiskor et ha-naase ba-shétaH survey ом that is-being-done on-the ground

הבודק את הכתיב ימצא טעויות
'Anyone that checks the spelling will find errors'

תסקור את הנעשה בשטח
'Survey what is being done on the ground'

These examples amount to ....כל מי שבודק kol mi she-bodek... 'whoever checks...', ממה שנעשה......ma she-naase... '...what is being done...'. ${ }^{24}$ The choice between 'human' and 'non-human' interpretation depends on context; but the former is 'non-specific' (33.4.2) and cannot mean, e.g., 'The person who is checking the spelling will find errors' - and it can be plural as well as singular.

The construction requires a verb, not an adjective. Thus, כל מי שעייף *העייף kol mi she-ayef me-ha-tiyul... cannot be transmuted to מהטיול ....ha-ayef me-ha-tiyul... ('anyone tired from the trip...'). Nonetheless, numerous adjectives have a separate existence as fully fledged nouns, e.g. זקנים zkenim ‘old people', ענניום aniyim 'poor people' (but not, e.g., יפים *yafim for 'good-looking people'). ${ }^{25}$

In formal Hebrew, שאשר asher too can occur without antecedents, in a few constructions, notably after the 'direct object marker' את et, or when complementing יש/היה yesh/haya 'there is/was' or present tense 'be' (cf. ch. 16), or as $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { a } \\ \text { kol } \\ \text { kol } \\ \text { \{she-/asher }\}\end{array}\right.$ 'anything that..., all that...’:26

yesh $\{$ she-/asher $\}$ mesarvim exist that refuse

יש \}ש/אשר\{ מסרבים
'There are those that refuse'
hu \{she-/asher\} hilshin he that informed

הוא \}ש/אשר\{ הלשין
'He is the person that informed'

### 33.7 Relative clauses with no conjunction

In formal Hebrew one may forgo the relative conjunction (restrictive or non-restrictive), and instead prepose the relative pronoun to mark off the relative clause: ${ }^{27}$

| kol eHad yilmad miktso'ot yesod, otam yikba ha-váad | כל אחד ילמד מקצועות יסוד, אותם יקבע הוועד |
| :---: | :---: |
| EL | Everyone will study core subjects, which |
| N | the boa |

maHar yaazov et bon, sham shaha ke-Hódesh

מחר יעזוב את בון, שם שהה כחודש
'Tomorrow he leaves Bonn, where (lit. there) he has stayed about a month'
A subject relative pronoun will not serve the same purpose, as no departure from normal word order will have come about:


### 33.8 Modal relative clauses

The foregoing relative clauses were 'factive': they asserted or implied a fact. Now we describe 'modal' relatives, which convey a possibility or desire. There is a rich array of modal relatives, in two basic groups: (1) with a noun as antecedent, (2) with no such antecedent, but with a 'pseudo-interrogative' relative pronoun. Both involve an infinitive, not a finite verb. In elevated usage only (2) is generally found:
(1) hayu yeladim lesaHek itam antecedent modal rel were children to-play with-them
(2) hayu im mi lesaHek

REL
PRONOUN
MODAL REL
were with who to-play

היו ילדים לשחק אתם 'There were children to play with'

היו עם מי לשחק
'There were people to play with'

## Modals type 1: noun antecedent

The relative pronoun behaves slightly differently than in 'factive relatives': when a direct object, as in (1) below, it is left unsaid (as always); when an indirect object or adverbial $(2,3)$, it may be expressed but need not be, unlike 'factives' (see 33.3.3); when a subject (4), it renders the very use of an infinitive ungrammatical - instead, Hebrew uses a finite verb (5):
(1)
hine máshehu lilbosh $\wedge$
me'il
(DIR OBJ of lilbosh unsaid)
(2) hine tmuna lehistakel (ba)

IND OBJ
here's picture to-look (at-it)
(3) hine kise lashévet (alav)
adverbial
here's chair to-sit (on-it)
הנה כיסא לשבת (עליו)
'Here's a chair to sit on'
and not:
(4) *hine káma tmunot $\wedge$ leanyen otaH
(subs of leanyen unsaid)
here-are some pictures $\wedge$ to-interest you
but rather:
(5) hine káma tmunot she-yeanyenu otaH FINITE v
here-are some pictures that will-interest you

הנה כמה תמונות שיעניינו אותך 'Here are some pictures that might interest you'

There are two alternatives to the simple infinitive: (a) כד kdey (ordinarily 'in order to') + infinitive:
ani tsariH iton kdey likro
I need newspaper in-order to-read

אני צריך עיתון כדי לקרוא
'I need a paper to read'
yiye li shulHan ktiva kdey lashévet leyado? יהיה לי שולחן כתיבה כדי לשבת לידו 'Will I have a desk to sit at (lit. in order to sit at it)?'
(b) In substandard Hebrew, - ש she- + future verb:

| eten leHa máshehu she-taHshov alav | אתן לך משהו שתחשוב עליו |
| :--- | :---: |
| I'll-give you something that you-FUT-think | 'I'll give you something to |
| about-it | think about' |

Type 1 modals may imply 'necessity' as well as 'possibility': ${ }^{28}$
yesh li avoda laasot $\quad$ 'I have work to do [= that I can do/must do]'
yesh lánu tmunot lehistakel bahen
יש לנו תמונות להסתכל בהן
'We have pictures to look at
[= that we can/should look at]'

## Modals type 2: an 'interrogative' relative pronoun

Type 2 is more complex and restricted than type 1. Firstly, the clause containing the relative modal clause must have $\boldsymbol{ש}$ י yesh 'there is', אין en 'there is not' (and their tenses, e.g. היה haya 'there was') and similar verbs of existence, e.g. נשאר nish'ar 'remain'; thus: ${ }^{29}$

yiye laH éfo lagur
Rel
PRONOUN

will-be to-you where to-stay $\quad$| 'You'll have where to stay' |
| :---: |

The relative noun (labelled rel pronoun) in the foregoing examples is adverbial; it can be an object too, but as in type 1 modals, cannot be the understood subject of the relative clause. Concerning the antecendent, standard Hebrew rules out an antecedent noun, preferring a type 1 modal:

But very casual Hebrew allows at least מקום makom 'place' as an antecedent noun:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yesh laH makom (éfo) lagur? } \\
& \text { is to-you place (where) to-stay? }
\end{aligned} \quad \text { 'Do you have a place to stay?' לך מקום (איפה) }
$$

Furthermore, in casual registers a quantifier can act as visible antecedent, as in (1) below; but once there is an antecedent, the 'interrogative' pronoun can be dropped altogether (2), making a type 1 modal:
(1) en harbe ma ledaber אין הרבה מה לדבר ANTECEDENT REL 'There isn't much to say’
isn't much what to-say
(2) en harbe ledaber isn't much to-say

אין הרבה לדבר 'There isn't much to say'

## FURTHER READING

Berman 1982b; Cole 1976b; Eytan 1953; Givón 1975; Glinert 1976b: 259f; Hayon 1973; Landau 1975; Ornan 1978; Rosén 1977: 8.5.3.; Rubinstein 1971: 2.6; Sadka 1981: ch. 13, 20.3 etc.

## 34. Apposed clauses

### 34.1 Introduction

Many' nouns express a verbal action or an adjectival state, or the product thereof (see further 30.6):

| Verb, Adjective | Noun |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| isher | 'he confirmed' | ishur | 'confirmation' |  |  |
| batúaH | 'certain' | bitaHon | 'certainty' | בטוחון |  |

The main function of apposed clauses is to qualify such verbal or adjectival nouns - by adding the equivalent of an object clause or subject clause:

| kibálti ishur | she-ani noséa | קיבלתי אישור |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| verbal | apposed obj Clause |  |
| I-received confirmation that I am-going |  |  |
|  |  | mation that I was going |

Compare this with an actual object clause:

> ishru she-ani noséa v OBJECT CLAUSE $\quad$ 'They confirmed that I was going'

Verbal or adjectival nouns can also be qualified by a further verbal/adjectival noun, as in (1) below, rather than by an apposed clause (2); and in that case the first noun will be genitive, whereas with an apposed clause the introductory noun is not linked in any formal way (construct suffix, של shel 'of' or suchlike) to the clause (3):
(1) hakHashat meoravutam ba-hafiHa

הכחשת מעורבותם בהפיכה genitiven adjectival n
denial involvement-their in-the coup
'the denial of their involvement in the coup'
(2) ha-hakHasha she-hayu meoravim ba-hafiHa ההכחשה שהיו מעורבים בהפיכה introduct n apposed clause
the denial that they-were involved in-the coup
(3) ha-sheela ha-Hashuva matay lehashkia

השאלה החשובה מתי להשקיע lo hoalta
INF APPOSED CLAUSE
'The major question (of) when to invest was not raised'

### 34.2 General properties of apposed clauses

Contrast apposed clauses with relative clauses. The former convey 'to the effect that...' while the latter say something about the introductory noun:


With both apposed and relative clauses, the introductory ('antecedent') noun or noun phrase is treated as nucleus and the clause as modifier; thus the former determines agreement of the main verb. Both types of clause can begin with the conjunction - $\boldsymbol{ש}$ she-, and indeed there is occasionally ambiguity between them; but relative clauses can instead use the conjunction $\operatorname{אשר} \operatorname{asher}(\mathrm{F})$, and apposed clauses can use כ $\boldsymbol{J}$ (F) like object clauses (cf.30.2):
ha-shmu'ot ki neherágti hayu li le-ezra השמועות כי נהרגתי היו לי לעזרה (pl.)
nucleus (pposed clause pl.
'The rumours that I was killed were of help to me'
Several abstract nouns not directly equivalent to a verb or adjective can nevertheless take an apposed clause, e.g. ... העובדה שa-uvda she... 'the fact that...', אין סיכוי שeyn sikuy she... 'there is no chance of...'.

Apposed clauses occasionally amount to a subject clause (for subject clauses see 31.4):
ha-adifut levatsea toHnit zo al-pney
העדיפות לבצע תוכנית זו על-פני toHnit aHéret...
the preferability to-execute plan this over plan another...
'the preferability of executing this plan rather than another...'
Compare with a real subject clause:
adif levatséa toHnit zo...
עדיף לבצע תוכנית זו...
'(It is) preferable to execute this plan...'
Further nouns taking 'subject' apposed clauses are .... הכדאיות ha-kdaiyut she... 'the usefulness of...', ...ש ההסת ברות ha-histabrut she... 'the probability that...' However, most adjectives or verbs taking subject clauses simply have no noun equivalent. ${ }^{1}$

Noun + apposed clause is possible in any of the 'slots' in the sentence where one finds nouns, e.g.:

As subject :
ha-shmu'ot she-hu putar lo hukHashu
SUBJ OF WHOLE CLAUSE

As object :
lo hikHishu et ha-shmu'ot she-hu putar
OBJ OF WHOLE CLAUSE

השמועות שהוא פוטר לא הוכחשו 'The rumours that he was sacked had not been denied'

לא הכחישו את השמועות שהוא פוטר 'They had not denied the rumours that he was sacked'

### 34.3 Types of apposed clause

Like object clauses - to which they closely correspond - apposed clauses can be of various types, depending largely on the meaning of the introductory noun (some verbal nouns, like some verbs, take just interrogative clauses or just infinitives or suchlike), as follows:
(a) Finite apposed clauses, i.e. clauses with a tense, can take the following forms:
(1) Declarative, introduced by - $\boldsymbol{\text { vי she }}$ she or (optionally) by literary-officialese $k i$ :
ha-shmu'ot she-avo השמועות שאבוא
factual 'the rumours that I'll come'
ha-bakasha she-avo הבקשה שאבוא
SUBJUNCTIVE 'the request that I come'
(2) Interrogative:
ha-haHlata \{matay/im\} avo ההחלטה \}מתי/אם\{ אבוא 'the decision \{when/whether\} I'm coming'
(3) Dubitative (mostly journalistic), i.e. the speaker casts doubt on an idea, using כאילו ke'ilu:
hofiu yedi'ot ke'ilu neesru anashim הופיעו ידיעות כאילו נאסרו אנשים 'Reports appeared that (i.e. alleging that) people had been detained'
(b) Infinitive apposed clauses express intention, possibility, the mere notion of some situation - rather than facts. (For infinitives in general see 30.4.) These clauses are:
(1) Declarative:
en efsharut laHanot
אין אפשרות לחנות
'There is no way of parking'
(2) Interrogative:
ha-haHlata \{matay/im\} lehinase ההחלטה \}מתי/אם\{ להינשא 'the decision $\{$ when/if $\}$ to marry'

## 34.4-6 TYPES OF INTRODUCTORY NOUN

### 34.4 Abstract nouns

If a verb (or adjective) that takes an object clause has a corresponding 'action or state noun', the latter will generally take an apposed clause. Examples:

Verb + object clause :

| kiva she... | 'hope that' | קיווה ש... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heHlit she... | 'decide that' | החליט ש... |
| batúaH she... | 'certain that' | בטוח ש... |
| ratsa le... | 'want to' | רצה ל... |
| zaHa le... | 'be privileged to' | זכה ל. |

Noun + apposed clause :
tikva she...
haHlata she...
bitaHon she...
ratson le...
zHut le...

| '(a) hope that...' | תקווה ש... |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'decision that...' | החלטה ש... |
| 'certainty that...' | בטחון ש... |
| 'wish to...' | רצון ל... |
| 'privilege of...' | זכות ל... |

Such verbs and nouns denote mental acts (statements, intentions, etc.) or ability, permission and suchlike. This generally rules out those nouns based on (1) aspectual verbs, e.g. ‘stating, continuing, habit’: there is no התחלה להילחם** *hatHala lehilaHem ('a beginning to fight'), המשכה להילחם* *hamshaHa lehilaHem ('a continuation of fighting'); and on (2) adverbial verbs, e.g. היטיב ל........ hetiv le... 'do... well'. ${ }^{3}$ Various other verbs such as ....למד ל lamad le... 'learn to’ have no apposed equivalent, e.g. ...לימוד ל**limud le... ('learning to...').

Apposed clauses are also found with most 'product nouns' (denoting the product of a mental action):

| ha-sipur she... | 'the story that...' | הסיפור ש... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-de'a she... | 'the view that...' | הדעה ש... |
| ha-heter le... | 'the permit to...' | ההיתר ל... |

Many are not directly related to any verb, e.g. .... הרעיון ha-raayon she... 'the idea that...', העובדה של ha-uvda she... 'the fact that...', ...... הבעיה ha-baaya she... 'the problem that...', as in example (1) below. However, 'concrete' nouns (insofar as one can distinguish concrete from abstract) tend not to take apposed clauses, as shown in example (2):
(1) ze naHon be-muvan ze she-eyn tauyot
(2) *ha-séfer she-ha-nasi haya soHen Hasha'i zaHa le-haaratsa raba

זה נכון במובן זה שאין טעויות 'It's correct in the sense that there are no errors'
*הספר שהנשיא היה סוכן חשאי זכה להערצה רבה
('The book to the effect that (lit. that) the President was a secret agent won great acclaim')

The introductory noun can be definite or indefinite, singular or plural, as meaning may dictate:
(ha)-tikva (ha-)meHudéshet ki...
yeshnan de'ot ki...

A related construction is the 'specificational clause of being' (cf.16.3.7):
(ה)תקווה (ה)מחודשת כי... '(the) renewed hope that...'

ישנן דעות כי... 'There are opinions that...'
ha-tvi'a hi she-nenatsaH
ha-tsara (hi) she-hu keréaH

But often no such construction is available:
*ha-hakHasha hi she...

התביעה היא שננצח 'The demand is that we triumph'

הצרה (היא) שהוא קרח
'The trouble is that he's bald'

Many idiomatic phrases involve what looks like a noun + apposed clause but which is best regarded as a simple verb:

כבר לפני זמן הבעתי את דעתי, בפומבי, שאין לי נחת מהממשלה
kvar lifney zman hibáti et daati, be-fumbey, she-eyn li náHat me-ha-memshala idiomatic
'Some time ago I expressed the opinion in public that I have no joy from the government'

### 34.5 Apposed pronouns

 below (and discussed in detail with other pronouns in 7.7) do not correspond to any verb; they are most akin to .... העובדה ha-uvda she- 'the fact that...', though in fact they do not expressly denote 'the fact that'. The choice between כ כך jaH and a third pronoun הדבד ha-davar depends partly on their position in the clause, the choice of verb etc. (see 7.7). All three need a finite clause, which cannot be interrogative.
ze she-hu meshaker ze lo Hashuv
it [ $=$ the fact] that he lies isn't important
hitsiu et ze she-nitpasher
they-proposed ом it that [= that] we-compromise
dibru al kaH she-nitHalef
they-talked about it that we'll-switch
[= about our switching]

זה שהוא משקר זה לא חשוב

הציעו את זה שנתפשר

דיברו על כך שנתחלף

### 34.6 Positioning and pausing

Apposed clauses must generally follow their introductory noun without pause, although even then a comma is not uncommon:

But the pronouns rize, zot can introduce a specificational apposed clause with a clear pause:
azkir rak zot, she-gam lánu hasagot אזכיר רק זאת, שגם לנו השגות 'I would mention just this, that we too have reservations'
ha-baaya hi zot, ha'im ha-taktsiv yipaga הבעיה היא זאת, האם התקציב ייפגע 'The problem is this, whether the budget will be affected'
Apposed clauses, though not set off by pause, can occasionally be separated from their introductory noun by intervening words, particularly following , yesh 'there is', היה haya 'there was' and their various inflections (and 'presentative' verbs in general): ${ }^{4}$
hayta tikva bekérev ha-maamad ha-benoni, היתה תקווה בקרב המעמד הבינוני introd n
she-ha-misuy yekutsats
שהמיסוי יקוצץ
apposed Clause
'There was hope among the middle classes that taxation would be cut'

FURTHER READING
Dahan 1981; Kogut 1984; Landau 1975; Rubinstein 1968: 101-107; Sadka 1981: 13.2, 37.

## 35. Coordination

### 35.1 Introduction

Coordination is a way of stringing together words, phrases or clauses of the same grammatical type, using the following coordinators (or conjunctions as they are sometimes known) or occasionally no coordinator: - ו ve- 'and', או o 'or', אבבל aval 'but', אלא éla 'but instead' (as in the third example below), and various synonyms of these.

Examples of clause, phrase and word coordination are:
ani hifsákti, ve-hu hitHil אני הפסקתי והוא התחיל
'I stopped and he started'
amárti she-ha délet tipataH $\underset{\text { OBJ CLAUSE }}{\text { אמרתי שהדלת תיפתח אך האור יכבה }}$
'I said that the door would open but the light would go out'
Phrase coordination
lo noládeti be-shabat éla be-yom shishi לא נולדתי בשבת אלא ביום ששי PREP PHRASE PREP PHRASE
'I wasn't born on Shabbat but on Friday'
hem golelim et ha-tora o meHasim ota הם גוללים את התורה או מכסים אותה
'They roll the Torah or cover it'
Word coordination ${ }^{2}$
ra'inu harbe $\underset{\text { QUANTIFIED NOUNS }}{\text { y }}$
'We saw many Jews, Moslems, Christians'
Coordinated words can be expanded to phrases or whole clauses: ${ }^{2}$
kibásti et ha-garbáyim ve-ha-Hultsot כיבסתי את הגרביים והחולצות
I-washed ом the socks and the shirts
...et ha... ve-et ha...
.......... ואת ה...
...ом the ... and ом the...
...ve-kibásti et ha-Hultsot
....וכיבסתי את החולצות
... and I washed om the shirts

Two other types of structure, 'modification' and 'complementation', are like coordination in that they add one word (or phrase or clause) to another. Modification does so optionally, complementation generally does so obligatorily:

## Modification

פנינו להרבה יהודים תושבי עיירות פיתוח paninu le-harbe yehudim, toshavey ayarot pitúaH

NUCLEUS APPOSED MODIFIER
'We asked many Jews, inhabitants of development towns'

| ani hifsákti lifney she-hu hitHil |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NUCLEUS CLAUSE ADVERBIAL MODIFIER | 'I stopped before he began' |

Complementation
amárta she-gamárta אמרת שגמרת
governing complement 'You said that you finished'
But, unlike coordinations, these are not strings of the same grammatical type: nucleus and modifier are not the same, nor are governing verb and complement. This distinction should be borne in mind since וe וe- does occasionally introduce a modifier or a complement (35.7). ${ }^{3}$

## 35.2 'And' in general

### 35.2.1 Types of ו ve- ‘and’

'And' is usually expressed by the coordinator ו- ve-. Like other oneletter words, ו $v e$ - is written as a prefix. ${ }^{4}$ ו ve-covers several shades of meaning, from simple 'and furthermore' to more complex notions; the following example allows five interpretations of ועe- 'and':

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ani shatáfti kelim ve-baali nigev } \quad \text { אני שטפתי כלים ובעלי ניגב } \quad \text { 'I washed the dishes and my husband dried' }
\end{gathered}
$$

More specific paraphrase:
(1) ...ve-yéter-al-ken... 'And furthermore’ ויתר-על-כן.....
(2) ...u-vo ba-zman... 'And at the same time' ובו בזמן....
(3) ...ve-az... 'And then' ואז....
(4) ...az... 'And so’ (result) ...א...
(5) ...u-ve-Hol zot... 'And yet' ובכל זאת.....

Which use of ere- is intended is entirely a matter of circumstances, and may not even be clear. By contrast, the paraphrases make one's intentions unambiguous.
A widespread case of 'and then' is ve- used following a request. This amounts to 'if..., then...':

A promise :
hakped lidrosh *** ve-hanaatHa tiye shlema! הקפד לדרוש *** והנאתך תהיה שלמה!
'Make sure to ask for *** and your enjoyment will be complete!'

A threat:
'Touch him and I'll punch your face in'
The following example allows four interpretations of ועe- 'and':
ani ve-ishti rávnu
אני ואשתי רבנו
'My wife and I were arguing'
More specific paraphrase:

| (6) | ...rávnu ze im ze (reciprocal) | 'with one another' | *..רבנו זה עם זה |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (7) | ...yáHad im... (joint participants) | 'together with' | , יחד עם.... |
| (8) | ...ve-yéter-al-ken... | 'and furthermore' | ...ויתר-על-כן... |
| (9) | ...u-vo ba-zman... | 'and at the same time' | ו-...ובו בזמן... |

Thus, 'and then', 'and so', 'and yet' always involve coordinated clauses or predicates, as in the example at the beginning of this subsection, never just nouns. Conversely, 'with one another, together with' always involve coordinated nouns (the 'My wife and I were arguing' example above) - אני רבתי ואשתי רבה ani rávti ve-ishti ráva 'I was arguing and my wife was arguing' would imply separate events, be they simultaneous or otherwise. For details see 35.3-4. Only the meanings 'and furthermore', 'and at the same time' afford a choice between clause, predicate and noun phrase coordination. ${ }^{5}$

### 35.2.2 The place of $\boldsymbol{-}$ וe- and its punctuation

There can be any number of 'and’s: ו ve- can be inserted repeatedly, or (commonly) replaced by a comma or its intonational equivalent, though the last וe- usually remains:
saHinu ve-rátsnu ve-galáshnu
saHínu, rátsnu ve-galáshnu

שחינו ורצנו וגלשנו 'We swam and ran and skied'

שחינו, רצנו וגלשנו 'We swam, ran and skied'

To give the effect of an open-ended list, just commas (or separate intonation units) can be used: ${ }^{6}$
katfu tapuzim, shezifim, agasim...
קטפו תפוזים, שזיפים, אגסים... 'They picked oranges, plums, pears...'
For spacing or emphasis, ו ve- itself can be preceded by a comma or even a full-stop or pause:
leaHar zman hu shatak ve-Hika.
ve-shuv diber.
לאחר זמן הוא שתק וחיכה.
ושוב דיבר.
'After a while he fell silent and waited. And again started talking'

## Tagged - ve- phrases

One or more coordinated phrases (not a verb in mid-sentence) can be delayed to the end of the sentence. ו ve- will be necessary, and usually a support word such as גם gam 'too':

'The banks do well out of it, and the manufacturers too'
et ha-béduim hu hikir mi-neurav,
את הבדוים הוא הכיר מנעוריו, ve-af heerits

ואף העריץ
'The beduin he knew from his youth, and indeed admired'
But reciprocal and joint coordinations (35.2.1, types 6,7) can never be split up:
*heHan réygan nifgash ve-gromíko?
*היכן רייגן נפגש וגרומיקו?
('Where is Reagan meeting and Gromyko?')

### 35.2.3 Associated phenomena

## Repeated words in coordinations

Coordination cuts down on repetition, e.g. rather than be repeated as in example (1), the two identical verbs are ordinarily reduced to one ${ }^{7}$ - and the parallel nouns coordinated:
(1) miryam hismika ve-léa hismika מרים הסמיקה ולאה הסמיקה f.s. f.s. 'Miriam blushed and Lea blushed'
(2) míryam ve-léa hismíku מרים ולאה הסמיקו pl. 'Miriam and Lea blushed' To avoid repeated nouns, Hebrew may use a pronoun or coordinate:
 ve-(hu) lo (haya) ose klum ו(הוא) לא (היה) עושה כלום (d) 'All day Ido would lie in bed and (he would) not do anything' Prepositions and case prepositions are often repeated, particularly in formal usage:
ten le-shálva u-le-tsivya
תן לשלוה ולצביה
‘Give (some) to Shalva and to Tsivia’

## What can be coordinated with - 1 ve-

There are some restrictions on coordinating disparate types of word or phrase. In particular, noun+clause, or noun+infinitive or their reverse are awkward:
:תבעתי לסלק אותו וכן את פירוק הצוות?
?taváti lesalek oto ve-Hen $\underbrace{\text { et peruk ha-tsévet }}_{\text {INF }}$
I-demanded to-sack him and also ом [the] dismemberment [of] the team

But noun, adjective and verb all coordinate with one another, e.g. verb phrase + noun phrase: ${ }^{8}$

> im hi yodáat lashir ve-nagénet tova,... אם היא יודעת לשיר ונגנת טובה,......' 'If she knows how to sing and (is) a good player,..'

## Gapping

'Gapping' omits identical material, ${ }^{9}$ leaving a gap in the middle of the coordinated clause:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ha-eHad haya sar ve-ha-sheni - aluf האחד היה שר והשני - אלוף and the other a general' } \\
\text { 'One was a minister and }
\end{array}
$$

A dash often marks the 'gap'. Intonation often uses a marked 'rise-fall' before the gap.

### 35.3 Joint participants and joint predicates

For actions/events involving joint participants, the coordinated subjects or objects take plural agreement, like any other coordinated noun phrases as in (1-3) below. They cannot be moved apart into separate clauses (4), nor moved to the end of the clause (5). Prepositions can be repeated, even though the two objects are conceived as a 'joint' phenomenon (3): ${ }^{10}$
(1) biálik ve-ravnítski arHu et séfer ha-agada ביאליק ורבנצקי ערכו את ספר האגדה pl.
'Bialik and Ravnitzki edited the Sefer Ha-Agada'
(2)
eliézer ve-éster hem zug neHmad
אליעזר ואסתר הם זוג נחמד 'Eliezer and Esther are a nice couple'
(3) térner tsiyer et ha-yam ve-(et) ha-shémesh טרנר צייר את הים ו(את) השמש Turner painted ом the sea and (ом) the sun
(4)

('Bialik edited and Ravnitski edited the Sefer Ha-Agada’)
(5) *biálik araH...ve-gam ravnítski
*ביאליק ערך...וגם רבניצקי
('Bialik edited...and so did Ravnitski')

Where a coordinated noun phrase is just an epithet for a single person, e.g. rosh ha-memshala ve-sar ha-Huts, mar shamir 'The Prime Minister and Foreign Minister, Mr Shamir', it is treated as singular (unlike the joint subjects above), and hence there is no preposition repetition (e.g. ...........ve-le..):
hu kara le-rosh ha-memshala ve-sar
הוא קרא לראש הממשלה ושר ha-Huts, mar shamir...

החוץ, מר שמיר...
'He called on the PM and Foreign Minister, Mr. Shamir...'
Coordinated predicates or modifiers (adjectives, verbs and even nouns) of a plural noun can often be understood either 'respectively' or 'jointly' (circumstances permitting):
kafriyim tseirim u-zkenim כפריים צעירים וזקנים 'young and old villagers'
is equivalent to:
kafriyim tseirim ve-kafriyim zkenim
כפריים צעירים וכפריים זקנים 'young villagers and old villagers' as against:
aHayot yafot u-neimot
אחיות יפות ונעימות 'pleasant and attractive nurses' = 'pleasant-and-attractive nurses'

For details on 'adjective stacking', e.g. ספריה איזורית חדשה sifriya ezorit Hadasha 'a new regional library', see chapter 10.4. A notable case where adjectives of the same type are not coordinated is colours, e.g. מכונית אדומה-לבנה meHonit aduma-levana 'a red and white car'.

Doubled verbs, e.g. הוא ניסה וניסה hu nisa ve-nisa 'He tried and tried', convey 'for a long time' (this applies to 'durative verbs', not to אהב ahav 'like' and suchlike).

### 35.4 Reciprocal 'and'

hitnashek 'kiss' is an inherently reciprocal verb: used with no object, as below, it denotes that the subjects embraced one another. ו ve- here is thus reciprocal: it signifies not two separate actions but a joint, indeed reciprocal, action.

hitnashek 'kiss' is even found with an explicitly reciprocal object, using ע im 'with':


Alternatively, instead of coordinating two or more nouns, it takes one noun as subject and the other(s) as object - this too has reciprocal meaning:

Numerous other verbs, adjectives, nouns and other expressions are inherently reciprocal. Their subject (occasionally their object) is either a plural noun or a set of coordinated nouns; ${ }^{11}$ they are also sometimes found with an explicit reciprocal object (usually with עם im 'with'), except for nouns; they also supply a subject+object ${ }^{12}$ construction as in the foregoing example (but this is not always reciprocal, see below). Examples are:
(a) Verbs: התחבק hitHabek 'embrace', התר אה hitra'a 'see one another',
 'confer', העגיש את hifgish et 'introduce'
(b) Adjectives: זהה zehe 'identical’, שווה shave ‘equal’, חופף Hofef 'overlapping', מנוגד menugad 'contrasting'
(c) Nouns: מיקי ואלי הם) אחים) (miki ve-éli hem) aHim '(Miki and Eli are) brothers', קדידים yedidim 'friends', קרובים krovim 'relatives', אויבים oyvim 'enemies', שכנים shHenim 'neighbours'

Unlike 'joint participant' verbs (35.3), reciprocals do not tolerate the adverb יחד yáHad 'together', thus:
éli ve-éster tiyelu yáHad
אלי ואסתר טיילו יחד 'Eli and Esther were walking together'
but not:
*éli ve-éster nifgeshu yáHad
*אלי ואסתר נפגשו יחד
('Eli and Esther met together')
Many verbs etc. used with reciprocal objects are, unpredictably, not inherently reciprocal:
éfo nitkáltem eHad ba-sheni?
איפה נתקלתם אחד בשני!
'Where did you come across one another?'
and not:
*éfo nitkáltem?
*איפה נתקלתם?
('Where did you come across?')
Conversely, some words that allow reciprocal coordination are not reciprocal in a subject + object/possessive construction, e.g. יואב הוא אויב של ניר yóav hu oyev shel nir 'Yoav is an enemy of Nir', יואב הכיר את שלום yóav hikir et shálom 'Yoav knew Shalom', יואב התאהב בחמדה yóav hitahev be-Hémda 'Yoav fell in love with Hemda' do not imply the reverse action.

Indeed, where one of the participants is a 'thing', a coordination is normally impossible:

| yóram | hitnakesh be hikir | kama psalim |  | כמה פסלים | יורם התיר בנקש |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 'Yoram | lided with | several statues' |

but not:

| *yóram ve-kama psalim | hitnakshu hikiru | התנקשו הכירו | *יורם וכמה פסלים* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'Yoram | statues | collided' <br> knew each other' |

Further, some reciprocal verbs and adjectives are semi-transitive, i.e. omit their object if it is non-specific:
hitHatánti (= ...im mishehu)

התחתנתי (= ...עם מישהו)
'I've got married (= with someone)'
And so plural hitHatnu התחתנו can be ambiguous:

> אלי ואסתר התחתנו (= זה עם זה/עם מישהו) éli ve-éster hitHatnu (= ze im ze/im míshehu)
> 'Eli and Esther got married (= to one another/to someone)'

More semi-transitives are: נאבק neevak 'struggle', נלחם nilHam 'fight', התאהב hitahev 'fall in love', התארס hasuy 'married'.

### 35.5 Illocutionary 'and'

Statements, questions and commands may be prefaced by אני אומר/שואל/מבקש ani omer/sho'el/mevakesh 'I say/ask/request', performing rather than describing what is being said etc., i.e. on a 'higher' ('illocutionary') level of discourse.

Similarly, $-1 v e$ - is sometimes illocutionary, meaning 'and I say (or: ask, request)', notably in three constructions. A word with apparently nothing to coordinate with, as in:

> | yesh lehaatik et ha-toHna u-miyad |
| :---: |
| 'You must copy the software and immediately' |
| ומעתיק את התוכי |

can be explained as representing ve-ani madgish: miyad ‘and I stress: immediately'. This is the 'emphatic ו ve-'. Similarly,
ani, ve-ani levadi, mukar le-Hulam אני, ואני לבדי, מוכר לכולם
'I, and I alone, am known to everyone'
Joining a statement with a question or command, as in the following, is equivalent to אני אומר ש.... ואני שואל ani omer she... va-ani sho'el... 'I say that... and I ask...' and the like:

[^7]- ve- also introduces a future tense 'whatever'-type construction (see 32.11.4) such as:

| yehudi she-Hay be-yisra'el hu yisre'eli, ve-yikba mekom moshavo aHar-kaH ba-asher yikba | יהודי שחי בישראל הוא ישראלי, ויקבע מקום מושבו אחר-כך באשר יקבע |
| :---: | :---: |

'A Jew living in Israel is an Israeli, and wherever he subsequently fixes his place of residence (lit. let him fix his place of residence subsequently where he will fix)...'

### 35.6 Coordinated compounds, e.g. משא ומתן masa u-matan 'negotiations'

Many constructions can become 'compounds', being felt to refer to a single concept, and thus become more rigid syntactically. For example, construct בן-אדם ~ בני-אדם ben-adam ~ (pl.) bney-adam 'person(s)' is a compound in casual usage in the way it becomes definite: הבן-אדם ha-ben-adam 'the person', rather than בן-האדם ben ha-adam.
Coordinated numerals and a few coordinated nouns are compounds in all usage. (1) They cannot be split up. (2) - $h a$ - 'the' is only placed before the whole phrase ( - ה ha- is elsewhere never omitted: הבנים והבנות ha-banim ve-ha banot 'the boys and the girls', not הנים ובנות* *ha-banim ve-banot ('the boys and girls'). (3) These coordinated nouns are singular for agreement, whereas all other coordinations of nouns are plural; moreover, the former have no plural:
(1) esrim ve-shéva shanim . עשרים ושבע שנים twenty and seven [=27] years
*esrim shana ve-shéva shanim ${ }^{13}$ עשרים שנה ושבע שנים* twenty years and seven years
(2) ha-masa u-matan al ha-din ve-Heshbon

המשא-ומתן על הדיןוחשבבון 'the negotiation (originally: the taking and giving) about the report (originally: the judgement and account)'
(3)

התוהו-ובוהו האנגלי במקח-וממכר הממושך
ha-tóhu va-vóhu ha-angli ba-mékaH u-mimkar ha-memushaH
m.s. m.s.
'the English confusion in the prolonged haggling'
The compounds דין-וחשבון din ve-Heshbon, משא-מתן masa u-matan have even been abbreviated to single words: דו"ח (commonly pronounced dúaH or doH), מו"מ.
The verbs חזר /שב hazar/shav (ordinarily 'return') and הלך halaH (ordinarily 'go') form coordinated compounds with many other verbs - with an idiomatic meaning equivalent to the adverbs 'again' and 'gradually', respectively: ${ }^{14}$

| be-shuvo ártsa, hu Hazar ve-kara le-milHama ba-shHitut | בשובו ארצה, הוא חזר וקרא למלחמה בשחיתות |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'On returning to Israel, he again called for a war on corruption' |  |
| ha-medina holéHet ve-neheféHet | המדינה הולכת ונהמכת |
| Hevra Hamusha | מרדה חמושת |

In these compounds, (1) the coordinated verbs cannot be separated into two clauses (...הוא חזר והוא קרא* *hu Hazar ve-hu kara...); (2) nor can they be separated by any other word, e.g. עכשיו aHshav 'now', לא lo 'not'; (3) additional ועe- 'and’ phrases are impossible: .... הוא חזר וקרא והטיף" *hu Hazar ve-kara ve-hetif... ('he again called and preached...').

## 

 - ve- is occasionally not a coordinator but a subordinator ('complementizer') introducing a subordinate clause, ${ }^{15}$ (see note 3 on coordinators vs. subordinators). This involves three types of context, described below under (a-c).(a) After three of the prepositions meaning 'since' ('because'), particularly in technical or journalistic usage, namely הואיל ו- ho'il ve-, היות ו- heyot ve-, meaHar ve-. However, - $w$ she- is actually more common than $v e$ ו $v e$; and is always used with other ‘since' words (בגלל biglal, מכיוון mikevan etc.).
(b) After certain verbs and expressions of 'limitation'. Notice that these can often be paraphrased with 'may' (in most instances, $-\boldsymbol{w}$ she- is more common):
(1) Meaning 'sometimes':16
yesh ve-ata megale tauyot
there-is that you find errors
יש ואתה מגלה טעויות 'Sometimes you find errors'
kore u-mi-sibot shonot hem niHshalim happens that for reasons different they fail
(2) Meaning 'possible, it seems':
yitaHen ve-nivne et ha-toHnit
יתכן ונבנה את התוכנית
it-is-possible that we'll-build ом the programme
mi meitánu lo Haza ba-toHnit? מי מאתנו לא חזה בתוכנית! dome ve-Hulánu דומה וכולנו
who of-us has-not seen the programme? it-would-seem that all-of-us [have]
במידה וייבחר... /במקרה וייבחר.... be-mida ve-yibaHer..../be-mikre ve-yibaHer.. in event that he-will-be-elected 'In the event that he is elected...'
(3) Meaning 'almost': éfi kim'at ve-nafal
(4) Meaning 'soon' ( F ) (as - ש she- is impossible here, this is perhaps a case of 'and'):
od me'at/od réga ve-tofia עוד מעט/עוד רגע ותופיע
'A little longer/another moment and she will appear'
(5) Meaning 'if only':
mi yiten
halvay הלוואי
'If only [that] he'd agree'
(c) Introducing certain clauses that refer back to an item in a previous clause (formal usage), namely (1) circumstantial clauses (see 32.4), and (2) restrictive relative clauses (see 33.2):
(1) sára raHva al ha-ofanáyim ve-hi maHzika be-yada panas

> שרה רכבה בידל האופניים והיא

Sara rode on the bicycle and [= while] she holds in her-hand [a] flashlight
(2) hikiru la alman zaken ve-lo shmona banim הכירו לה אלמן זקן ולו שמונה בנים 'They introduced her to an old widower who had (lit. and to him)
eight sons'
35.8 Semantic 'addition' vs. syntactic coordination: 'including, besides' etc. Coordination expresses the semantic notion 'addition', but there is another syntactic structure expressing this same notion in various ways - the adverbial, including 'preposition + noun phrase': ${ }^{17}$
kibálti braHot mi-kol ha-morim,
קיבלתי ברכות מכל המורים, (be-)nosaf le-Haveray u-krovay (ב)נוסף לחברי וקרובי PREP N PHR
I-had greetings from all the teachers, in addition to my-friends and my-relations
katávti le-káma dayarim, כתבתי לכמה דיירים, כ kolel (et) ha-rav she-mimul כולל (את) הרב שממול PREP N PHR
I-wrote to several tenants, including (ом) the rabbi who [is] opposite
More such prepositions are: (1) 'besides': חוץ מ מוץ Huts mi,, מלבד milvad (F); (2) 'including': על al (F), לר בות את lerabot et (F), (3) 'excluding', i.e. 'and not...':


Such preposition + noun adverbials differ from coordination. They contain just a noun phrase and cannot be 'filled out' with repeated prepositions, verbs or whole clauses, thus ruling out example (1) below; and like many adverbials they can come first, as in (2):
(1) *katávti le-káma dayarim, \{kolel le-éle/kolel katávti le-éle\}
\}
I-wrote to several tenants, \{including to these/including I-wrote to these\}
(2) be-nosaf le-Haveray u-krovay,
kibálti braHot mi-kol ha-morim
בנוסף לחברי וקרובי,
קיבלתי ברכות מכל המורים
besides my-friends and my-relations, I-had greetings from all the teachers

## 35.9 'Or' in general

### 35.9.1 Meanings of $\boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{o}$ 'or'

'Or' is usually expressed by the coordinator או $o$. Though it does not have the variety of meanings of $\boldsymbol{-}$ ve- 'and' (35.2), או or' is sometimes ambiguous between an inclusive ('and/or') and an exclusive ('either/or') meaning, notably: (a) in questions, such as those in $(1,2)$ below, where the reply could be simply 'yes'.(where the 'or' has been understood as inclusive, i.e. 'and/or') or specifically 'Israel' or 'Egypt' (where the 'or' has been understood as exclusive); (b) in contexts where an 'as the case may be' ('distributive') meaning is possible, as in (3). In speech, the 'exclusive' sense would be marked by a fall in intonation after או o 'or', or more commonly by the use of a 'tagged' phrase as described in 35.9.2.
(1) ha'im yisra'el o mitsráyim ba-Hatsi ha-gmar?
(2) lo barur im yisra'el o mitsráyim ba-Hatsi ha-gmar
'It isn't clear whether Israel or Egypt are in the semi-final'
(3) anashim rabim sholHim et bnehem o et bnotehem le-kaytana

האם ישראל או מצרים
בחצי-הגמר!
'Are Israel or Egypt in the semi-final?'
לא ברור אם ישראל או מצרים בחצי-הגמר

אנשים רבים שולחים את בניהם או את בנותיהם לקייטנה
'Many people send their sons or their daughters to summer camp' Usual meaning: 'their sons and their daughters' (as the case may be) Possible meaning. 'either their sons or their daughters'

Elsewhere, או o may simply be a less pointed version of 'either... or...', i.e. 'or perhaps', as in (4), or 'or at least', as in (5):
(4) ten lo lehikanes, ve-atsía lo kafe o tey תן לו להיכנס, ואציע לו קפח או תה 'Let him in and I'll offer him coffee or tea'
(5) ze séret aroH - shalosh va-Hétsi sha’ot, זה סרט ארוך - שלוש וחצי שעות, o shalosh va-réva או שלוש ורבע 'It's a long film - three and a half hours, or three and a quarter' Exclusive או o ‘or’ can be made clearer by doubling: ............ ‘either.. .or...' (see 35.12). In legal Hebrew (in particular) inclusive או o may be expressed as velo 'and/or' with a slash.

When linking numerals, 'or perhaps' needs no 'or' word: ${ }^{20}$

Like ו וve- ‘and’ (see 35.2.1), או ofollowing a request can be conditional - a negative conditional, i.e. a threat:
sa mi-kan o she-ani roshem leHa doH
סע מכאן או שאני רושם לך דו"ח 'Move off or else I'm writing you a ticket'
bo miyad o al tavo biHlal
בוא מיד או אל תבוא בכלל
'Come right away or don't come at all'
או $o$ can also be 'illocutionary', conveying 'or putting it another way...' (see further 35.13).

### 35.9.2 Shared features of $\boldsymbol{O}$ and -1 ve-

או $o$ and $v e$ - structures coincide in many ways. Below are brief examples; for a fuller explanation, refer to 35.2 . Only differences are noted below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The place of או o and its punctuation } \\
& \text { Exclusive or inclusive: }{ }^{21} \\
& \text { efshar lisHot o lashut o letayel } \quad \text { 'One can swim or sail or walk' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Exclusive only:
efshar lisHot, lashut o letayel
אפשר לשחות, לשוט או לטייל
'One can swim, sail or walk'
If there is no או o at all, and just commas, it means inclusive 'or' or 'and' (not 'either...or'):
efshar lisHot, lashut, letayel
אפשר לשחות, לשוט, לטייל 'One can swim, sail, walk'

But negative 'or' (see below), amounting to 'and', needs no או $o$ :
lo nitan limHot, lehitasef, lehafgin...
לא ניתן למחות, להתאסף, להפגין...
'One cannot protest, assemble, demonstrate...'

## Tagged או o phrases

míki yodía laH, o éytan
מיקי יודיע לך, או איתן
'Miki will tell you, or Eytan <will)'

## Repeated words

hu kol ha-yom haya kore o lo ose klum הוא כל היום היה קורא או לא עושה כלום 'All day he would read or not do anything'
im míryam hismika o at hismakt
more often:
im míryam o at hismáktem

אם מרים הסמיקה או את הסמקת 'If Miriam blushed or you blushed'

> אם מרים או את הסמקתם Miriam or you blushed’ 'If Mir

Negative 'or', i.e. an 'or' phrase following a negative (such as לא lo 'not', אסור ל...asur le... 'one must not...'), cannot be expanded to become a whole clause. Instead, it would need to be turned into a וע וע e- 'and' clause:
lo kibálnu miHtav o tsiltsul לא קיבלנו מכתב או צילצול 'We haven't had a letter or a phone call'


For what can be coordinated with או $o$, and 'gapping', see ו ve-in 35.2.3.

### 35.10 Agreement with an $\boldsymbol{N}$ o phrase

For subjects with או $o$, agreement is failry complex. With inclusive או $o$, they tend to be treated as plural, as if to emphasize that this is not a case of 'either... or':
ha'im ánglia o skótland nitsHu? האם אנגליה או סקוטלנד ניצחו? ken o lo? pl. כן או לא? 'Did England or Scotland win, yes or no?'
kos tey o uga yeraanenu oti כוס תה או עוגה ירעננו אותי pl.
'A cup of tea or a cake will refresh me'
ve-ata nifne el ha-maazin o ha-maazina ועתה נפנה אל המאזין או המאזינה ha-baim הבאים pl.
'And now we turn to the next [male] listener or [female] listener'
But if one pauses before או o, with the meaning 'or at least...', the last noun tends to decide agreement:
im nátan, o sgano, yisa la-halvaya... ..... אם נתן, או סגנו ,יסע להלוויה m.s.
'If Natan, or his deputy, goes to the funeral...'
So too with exclusive 'or', meaning 'either...or':
ha'im ángliya o skótland nitsHa? האם אנגליה או סקוטלנד ניצחה?
'Did England or Scotland win?'

### 35.11 'Or’ clauses

'Or' introducing a whole clause can be - או שo she- or simply או o, the former more usual for exclusive 'or' and casual usage, particularly where the clause begins with a noun. This $-ש$ she- is related to the $-ש$ she that introduces subordinate clauses, but is treated as inseparable from או $o$.

## In questions

Exclusive:
hu shela o (she-)kanit oto be-Hul?
הוא שלה או (ש)קנית אותו בחו״ל! it hers or (that) you-bought it abroad? 'Is it hers or you bought it abroad?'
Inclusive:
ata holeH le-kontsértim o (she-)yesh lefaHot אתה הולך לקונצרטים או (ש)ש לפחות efsharut lishmóa taklitim? אפשרות לשמעע תקליטים? אוש לא
'Do you go to concerts or ([that]) is there at least a chance to listen to records?'

## In statements

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-tayasim ta'u bi-vHirat ha-yáad, הטייסים טעו בבחירת היעד, או } \\
& \text { o (she-)divHu al dvarim she-lo buts'u } \\
& \text { (ש)דיווחו על דברים שלא ברצעו } \\
& \text { 'The pilots erred in selecting the objective, or ([that]) they reported } \\
& \text { things that were not executed' }
\end{aligned}
$$

im yered géshem o (she-)yiye kar miday,... ....... אם ירד גשם או (ש)יהיה קר מד 'If it rains or ([that]) it's too cold,...'
האם shéma or שמא $o$ in questions, formal usage allows the particle או $o$ או ha'im rather than - ש she-: $:^{22}$

> ha’im ekashel o shéma yikre nes? האם אכשל או שמא יקרה נס! 'Will I fail or will a miracle happen?'
> Q Particle I'll-fail or shema will-happen miracle?
35.12 'Either...or...’: ...(ש) או או (ש) (she).... ... (she)...
'Either...or’ (i.e. exclusive 'or') can be expressed (1) by putting או o in front of the first coordinate too (or indeed every coordinate), ${ }^{23}$ or (2) by putting - או o she- where this coordinate is a clause. Where - או o she- is used, it is used with both clauses:
(1) ashamesh $\mathbf{0}$ ke-rav $\mathbf{o}$ ke-Hazan $\mathbf{o}$ ke-more

אשמש אוּ כרב אוּ כחזן אוּ כמורה I-shall-serve either as rabbi or as cantor or as teacher
(2) o she-ata potéaH o she-ani
kofets déreH ha-Halon!
או שאתה פותח או שאני קופץ דרך החלון!
or that [= either] you open or [that]
I jump through the window

### 35.13 Illocutionary 'or'

Like ואe- (35.5), או o is sometimes 'illocutionary', but with the special meaning of 'or putting it another way': ${ }^{24}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-rashlanut } 0 \text { (naHon yoter) הרשלנות או (נכון יותר) } \\
& \text { ha-i-hitHashvut ba-zulat... האי-התחשבות בזולת... הוה } \\
& \text { 'Negligence or (more correctly) non-consideration of others...' } \\
& \text { ve-ézo efsharut tiye ekivivaléntit? } 0 \text { : ואיז אפשרות תהיה אקוויוולנטית! או: } \\
& \text { mahu ha-késher beyn shney ha-dvarim? } \\
& \text { מהו הקשר בין שני הדברים? } \\
& \text { 'And which possibility will be equivalent? } \mathrm{Or} \text { : } \\
& \text { what is the link between the two things' }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 35.14 Various types of 'but'

The following coordinators signify 'but': אבר aval, אולם aH(ו) (ve-)ulam, (-ש) אלא éla (she-), ואילו ve'ilu, i.e. they serve to cancel an expectation (be it the other speaker's or a matter of convention etc.). However, there are five kinds of 'but' (detailed in (a)-(e) below), and these words are not always interchangeable.
(a) 'Nevertheless' (i.e. contrary to objective expectations of cause and effect): א אבל aval and (F) link anything from whole paragraphs down to phrases; ${ }^{25}$ אולם ulam does not link phrases (for phrasal 'but' see further 35.15):

Linking two sentences:
maHaneH hu tóar amum. ulam ánu מחנך הוא תואר עמום. אולם אנו maaminim be-yeshut zo

מאמינים בישות זו
'Educator is a vague title. But we believe in this entity'
Linking two main clauses:
hu Hole me'od aval hu lo mitlonen הוא חולה מאד אבל הוא לא מתלונן 'He's very sick but he doesn't complain'

Linking two phrases:
harbe Hilonim, aH lo kulam, הרבה חילונים, אך לא כולם, yaHHishu zot

## יכחישו זאת

'Many secularists, but not all, will deny this'
(b) 'Except that...' (i.e. speaker departs from what $\mathrm{s} /$ he expected, or is expected,
 paragraphs, sentences and clauses:

Linking two paragraphs:
etmol hu azav - éla (she-)ani makdim אתמול הוא עזב - אלא (ש)אני מקדים et ha-meuHar, ve-alénu lashuv la-shavúa ha-kodem את המאוחר, ועלינו לשוב לשבוע הקחדם
'Yesterday he quit - but I am getting things in the wrong order and we must return to the previous week'

> Linking two clauses: ze yafe me'od, èla (she-)yesh le-ze minus gadol:...     $\quad$ 'It's very nice, except that it has a big drawback:...',
(c) 'By contrast, whereas’ (i.e. contrary to expectation of similarity): א אבל aval, ואילו ve'ilu (F). ${ }^{28}$ They link sentences or clauses. After (F) and אן ve'ilu the contrasting word is usually brought forward:
hi gvoha aval ani namuH $\begin{array}{r}\text { היא גבוהה אבל אני נמוך } \\ \text { 'She's tall but I'm short' }\end{array}$
hu menagen hetev ve'ilu lashir hu lo yodéa הוא מנגן היטב ואילו לשיר הוא לא יודע he plays well but to-sing he doesn't know
[ $=$ he doesn't know how to sing]
(d) 'I object' (i.e. denying a statement or its assumptions): אבל aval. This can link two speakers:
tosif mélaH - aval ze kvar malúaH! תוסיף מלח - אבל זה כבר מלוח! 'Add some salt - But it's already salty!'
(e) 'Anyway' (i.e. dismissing the assumption that one is continuing with the same topic): אבל aval, between speakers, paragraphs, sentences:

| nora yafe ba-Huts... aval ma amart | בחוץ... אבל מה |
| :---: | :---: |
| legabey tinokot? | לגבי תינוקות? |
| 'It's really beautiful outsid | u sa |

### 35.15 'But' in general

'But' words link statements or commands (אבל aval meaning ‘anyway', above, even introduces questions):
shev aval al tishan
שב אבל אל תישן
'Sit down but don't sleep'
Repeated material is avoided, as with ו ve- 'and', או o 'or'.
'But' words do not ordinarily link noun phrases (as against clauses) except to convey 'x but not y', i.e. a preposed contrastive לא lo 'not':29
dúdu aval lo áharon lovesh jins דודו אבל לא אהרן לובש ג'ינס
m.s.

Dudu but not Aaron wears jeans
dúdu lovesh jins aval lo áharon
דודו לובש גינס אבל לא אהרן
Dudu wears jeans but not Aaron
The 'but' word can be omitted in such cases (meaning 'whereas', i.e. contrast):

An alternative is the more general 'reduced' clause with hanging כן לן ken (not limited to coordinations). Gapping too is possible (cf. 35.2):
> dúdu lovesh jins aval áharon lo
> דודו לובש גינס אבל אהרן לא Dudu wears jeans but Aaron not [= doesn't]

hem nas’u le-shilo aval anáHnu - le-Hevron הס נסעו לשילה אבל אנחנו - לחברון they went to Shilo but we - to Hevron
A series of 'buts' may be possible, especially of different types. As 'but' operates at various levels in sentences, 'stacking' is possible too:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dror hu neHmad aval atsbani, aval gádi דרחר הוא נחמד אבל עצבני, אבל גדי } \\
& \text { neHmad mi-kol ha-bHinot נחמד מכל הבחינות עצבי, גבל } \\
& \text { 'Dror is nice but irritable, but Gadi's nice in all respects' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Punctuation: a full-stop, a comma or no punctuation is possible before the 'but' word.

### 35.16 'But instead': אלא éla, כי אם ki im

אלא tion (or a command or question) to follow on the heels of negative information etc. ${ }^{30}$ Like most other coordinators, they link words, phrases or whole clauses repetition being avoided usually. ${ }^{31}$ Agreement is determined by the positive phrase, so here it is feminine singular:

'Not the cherub but rather the stork brings them'
$\underbrace{\text { hu lo moHer agalot }}_{\text {NEG PHR }}$ éla $\begin{gathered}\text { entak metaken otan? } \\ \left.\begin{array}{c}\text { POSITIVE PHR } \\ \text { 'He doesn't sell prams but only mends them?' }\end{array}\right]\end{gathered}$
אין לימודים היום אלא כולם נוסעים לים
$\underbrace{\text { en limudim ha-yom éela }}_{\text {NEG CLAUSE }} \underset{\text { POSITIVE CLAUSE }}{\text { kulam nos'im la-yam }}$
'There are no lessons today but rather everyone's going to the sea'
There are two other uses of אלא éla, neither of them coordinations; both are akin to (-ש) אלא éla (she-) 'except that' (see 35.14). Firstly, .............. לאל lo.. éla... 'only' (F) (cf. English 'nothing but...'):
lo hevéti éla perot
לא הבאתי אלא פרות
'I only brought fruit' (i.e. 'I didn't bring anything but fruit')
This אלא éla does not link two parallel phrases like אלא éla above; also, it cannot follow לא, אין lo, en 'not' directly and thus will not introduce a finite verb:
*hu lo éla tsoHek
*הוא לא אלא צוחק
('He only laughs')
Secondly, we find אלא-אם-כן éla-im-ken, and (less usual form) אלא אם éla im 'unless' (cf. English 'except if'):
avo lishmóa éla im (ken) yered géshem
אבוא לשמוע אלא אם (כן) ירד גשם 'I'll come to listen unless it rains'

These expressions introduce conditional clauses. The preceding clause need not be negative (unlike the 'but instead' constructions above).

## FURTHER READING

Azar 1977, 1981; Chayen \& Dror 1976; Dascal \& Katriel 1977; Ring 1975; Sadka 1981: ch.17; Schwarzwald 1979a.

## 36. Apposition

### 36.1 Introduction

Apposition means placing two (sometimes more) words or groups of words side by side (1) usually without such visible links as ועe- 'and'l or 'possessive' suffixes, sometimes with a comma/pause and sometimes without; and (2) usually signifying either that (a) two phrases are 'referring' to the same thing (i.e. 'co-referent' in that context) or that (b) one of them includes the other. Examples are:
metúla, kfar bi-gvul levanon
maHshirim kegon makdeHot

מטולה, כפר בגבול לבנון 'Metulla, a village on the Lebanese border'

מכשירים כגון מקדחות
'tools such as drills'

Apposition is one type of 'modification': one word or phrase is being optionally added to another, and the two are not equal in function - one (usually the second) being modifier and the other being nucleus. The nucleus determines agreement:

יישוב זה, מושבה קטנה של בני-תימן, עומד על פרשת הדרכים yishuv ze, moshava ktana shel bney teyman, omed al parashat ha-draHim NUCLEUS(m.s.) MODIFIER(f.s.) v(m.s.)
'This settlement, a small village of Yemenites, stands on the crossroads'
Apposition usually involves noun phrases or clauses, or a combination, occasionally adverbials (36.9) and other phrases (36.6-7) and even pairs of single nouns, verbs etc. (36.13):

חובשים בכל עת כיפה, מנהג שהפך עכשיו לדין
Hovshim be-Hol et kipa, minhag she-hafaH aHshav le-din
CLAUSE $\quad$ N PHR IN APPOSITION
'They wear a skullcap at all times, a custom that has now become a law'
etmol be-shesh אתמול בשש

ADJ ADJ
headscarf blue-red

As so often, the syntactic and semantic definitions above do not coincide exactly: (1) a link adverb is sometimes possible or necessary, e.g. בייחוד beyiHud 'especially'; (2) the side-by-side construction can also signify 'measurement', e.g. שני מטר סרט shney méter séret 'two metres (of) ribbon'.

Furthermore, apposition is not the only construction to express 'co-reference' or 'inclusion': 'naming' often involves the construct or של shel 'of', e.g. מדינת אורגון medinat óregon 'the State of Oregon', and some appositional link adverbs often come with a ו ee- 'and', notably ובטרט u-vifrat 'and specifically', ובעיקר u-ve'ikar 'and especially'. Conversely, 'coordinative apposition' such as שחקן-מאמן saHkan-meamen 'player-coach', where ו ve- 'and' might indeed have been expected, uses the apposition so as to signify a blend of properties or persons (as against, e.g., a separate 'player' and 'coach')'

## 36.2-3 PREDICATIVE APPOSITION

Predicative apposition says of a noun or clause that it is such-and-such, i.e. it adds what amounts to a predicate:
leH le-seadya ha-nagar לך לסעדיה הנגר
APPOSITION
'Go to Seadya the carpenter' [ $=$ '...who is the carpenter']
There are two types (with several major limitations): apposition of identity and descriptive apposition.

### 36.2 Apposition of identity

Apposition of identity adds a definite noun to another noun, supplying a specific identity or reinforcing one:
sha’ul, ha-méleH ha-rishon שאול, המלך הראשון

APPOSITION
'Saul, the first king'
kashish im migbáat, nesi ha-Hevra
קשיש עם מגבעת, נשיא החברה
APPOSITION
'an old man in a hat, the company president'
yatsáti gam im máya, ha-mora ${ }^{3}$ יצאתי גם עם מאיה, המורה
APPOSITION
'I also went out with Maya, the teacher'
A comma or pause is necessary, except for (1) name plus occupation ${ }^{4}$ and (2) contrastive ('restrictive') apposition:

| (1) moshe(,) ha-Hazan | 'Moshe(,) the cantor' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2) Huseyn ha-méleH | 'King Hussein' |  |

### 36.3 Descriptive apposition

Descriptive ('attributive') apposition is of two kinds, described in 36.3.1-2.

### 36.3.1 Two-phrase apposition

Two-phrase apposition adds an indefinite noun phrase, of quite some length and mostly in formal usage: ${ }^{5}$

> asher lánu, martsim tseirim bli kvi'ut... ...... אשר לנו, מרצים צעירים בלי קביעות
> 'As for us, young lecturers without tenure...'

hu ba im ishto, yif’at, הוא בא עם אשתו, יפעת, studéntit le-mishpatim סטודנטית למשפטים
'He came with his wife, Yifat, a law student'
To add to a very short phrase, one generally uses a separate clause (but compare 36.3.2):

hu ba im ishto, yifat. hi studéntit הוא בא עם אשתו, יפעת. היא סטדנטית 'He came with his wife, Yifat. She's a student'

A definite noun phrase is used (although semantically this is really indefinite, like the preceding examples) in cases such as:
im zélda ha-meshoréret עם זלדה המשוררת Ze, 'with Zelda the poetess'
blums, ha-misada ha-yehudit
בלומס, המסעדה היהודית 'Bloom's, the Jewish restaurant'
where 'the well-known (rather than the one and only!) poetess/Jewish restaurant' is meant. Reverse order is more common, e.g. עעם המשוררת זלדה im ha-meshoréret zélda 'with the poetess Zelda' (see 36.5), except where contrastive: מנדלסון הפילוסוף méndelson ha-filosof 'Mendelssohn the philosopher'.

### 36.3.2 One-phrase apposition

One-phrase apposition adds one phrase to another without comma or pause, typically specifying occupation or status, as in $(1,2)$ below, or adding an 'agent noun+object' (3) - as described further in 6.20, 10.9 and 15.8:
(1) yesh li Haver tov oreH-din I have friend good lawyer
(2) éyfo ha-talmida akéret-ha-báyit she...

יש לי חבר טוב עורך-דין 'I have a good lawyer friend'
 'Where is the housewife student who...'
(3) shlosha asirim dovrey-ivrit three prisoners speakers Hebrew

שלושה אסירים דוברי-עברית
'three Hebrew-speaking prisoners'

A few such noun phrases are construct, e.g. תושב toshav 'inhabitant (of)', תוצרת $t o t s e ́ r e t ~ ' p r o d u c t ~(o f) ', ~ ב ן ~ / ב ת ~ b e n / b a t ~ ' a g e d ' 6 ~(b u t ~ s e e ~ 36.12): ~$
kol ha-Hayalim toshavey ha-kibutsim
hibíu hitnagdut

כל החיילים תושבי הקיבוצים הביעו התנגדות
'All the soldiers resident in kibbutzim expressed opposition'
teror totséret-báyit
kol ha-yeladim bney ha-Hamesh mekablim zrika 'All the children aged five (lit. consisters-of the five) get an injection'

## 36.4-9 SPECIFICATIONAL APPOSITION

Specificational apposition is rather like predicative apposition (36.2-3) in reverse - and with a shift in emphasis. Instead of 'someone named $x$ is the $y$ ' or '...is a $y$ ', it serves to specify: 'the y , namely x ', or 'a y , namely x '.
There are various types. Apposition of naming and titles (36.4-5) is one-phrase apposition, with no comma or pause: in הרב פיינשטיין ha-rav fáynshtayn 'Rabbi Feinstein', הרב ha-rav is not an independent specific entity. Conversely, apposition of detail etc. ( $36.6-9$ ) is two-phrase apposition, even requiring all prepositions to be repeated. ${ }^{7}$

### 36.4 Apposition of naming: 'המלה 'ליכוד ha-mila likud 'the word Likud'

 Most naming uses the construct, not apposition (see 6.14): חודש מאי Hódesh may 'the month of May', שנת אלף shnat élef 'the year 1000', מסעדת פאגודה , univérsitat kahir ‘Cairo University’, מוניברסיטת קהיר misadat pagóda 'the Pagoda Restaurant', מדבר סהרה midbar sahára 'the Sahara desert', הר מרון har meron 'Mt Meron'.But with names of books, pictures and all manner of 'artificial entities', and for referring to words themselves, one uses apposition: המחזה 'מקבת ' ha-maHaze makbet 'the play Macbeth', התרכיב 'אקאמול ha-tarkiv akamol 'the preparation Akamol', 'הצוללת 'דקר ha-tsolélet dakar 'the submarine Dakar', 'המונח 'תוכנה ha-munaH toHna 'the term 'toHna".

Similarly, apposition is used for names of persons: הפילוסוף מנדלסון ha-filosof méndelson 'the philosopher Mendelssohn'; also for עיר ir 'city' and sometimes even אי $i$ 'island’, נחל náHal 'wadi’.

In naming, the name is usually second, taking the stress. There is no comma, The first noun or phrase (the 'nucleus') is definite, in anticipation of being specified - yet occasionally definite without - ה ha- 'the', i.e. when the naming (or more precisely, the identification) is by number: ${ }^{8}$

Hipásti et dugma shesh 'I was looking for example six' חיפשתי את דוגמה 6 (= example number 6)
...et shura yud-gímel '...row 13' את שורה '...
...et dira esrim
'...apartment 20 '
....את דירה 20

Moreover, in the plural, - $\boldsymbol{n}$ ha- 'the' must appear in all these cases; indeed, the construct too is replaced by apposition with ha- (last example below): ${ }^{9}$

bemésheH ha-shanim 1982 ve-1983
במשך השנים 1982 ו-1983
'during the years 1982 and 1983'

### 36.5 Apposition of titles: הרב פיינשטי״ ha-rav fáynshtayn 'Rabbi Feinstein'

Titles are always in apposition. They precede the name, ${ }^{10}$ with no comma, and are usually definite ${ }^{11}$ (as with descriptive nouns like 'ha-maHaze makbet 'the play Macbeth', 36.4); they often occur in direct address ('vocatively'):

| ha-shofet Háyim kats | 'Judge Hayim Katz' | השופט חיים כ"ץ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ha-aluf david elazar | 'Brigadier David Elazar’ | האלוף דוד אלעזר |
| ha-gvéret tátsher | 'Mrs Thatcher' | הגברת תאצר |
| Haver ha-knéset ába éven | 'MP Abba Eban' | חבר-הכנסת אבג |

For titles with no ה- ha- 'the', e.g. סרן משה séren moshe 'Captain Moshe' see 5.3.1.

### 36.6 Apposition of detailing: .... כלומר kelomar... ‘i.e...’

A list of details is often introduced by a colon, or a comma plus "link adverb' (notably היינו háynu 'namely' (f)):
bo musbarim ha-Hagim: pésaH, shavu’ot, בו מוסברים החגים: פסח, שבועות, rosh ha-shana, yom kipur, ve-sukot ראש השנה, יום כיפור, וסוכות
'In it are explained the festivals: Pesach, Shavuot, Rosh Hashana, Yom Kipur and Sukot'
A single phrase of specification or paraphrase is introduced by a colon or comma, or a comma plus such diverse link adverbs as כלומר kelomar 'i.e.', (הלא) הוא oot oméret 'that is to say', אות אומרת o 'or', (halo) hu 'none other than' (literary): ${ }^{12}$



## Clause apposition

For apposition of partial or full details to the foregoing clause, formal usage can allow a 'gapped' clause (i.e. with verb omitted) introduced by a comma: ${ }^{13}$

כל הצופים קיבלו ציוד, הגדולים מכשירי קשר והקטנים כלי בישול kol ha-tsofim kiblu tsiyud, ha-gdolim maHshirey késher

GAPPED CLAUSE
ve-ha-ktanim kley bishul
GAPPED CLAUSE
'All the scouts received equipment, the older ones walkie-talkies and the younger ones cooking utensils'

The apposed gapped clause can have מהם mehem 'of them' (= כמה מהם káma mehem 'some of them') as its subject (see also 8.6.2):
alfey bney-nóar she-shahu etslénu zman rav, אלפי בני-נוער ששהו אצלנו זמן רב, mehem afilu shanim, hem reaya she... מהם אפילו שנים, הם ראיה ש...
'Thousands of young people who have stayed with us a long while, some of them (lit. of them) even years, are evidence that...'
But מהם mehem 'of them' in apposition, or ביניהם beynehem, can also introduce a phrase (in the same way as the preposition כולל kolel 'including'):
eshtakad nirshemu matáyim Hiburim, אשתקד נרשמו מאתיים חיבורים, א mehem shloshim be-anglit

מהם שלושים באנגלית
last-year were-registered 200 theses, of-them [= including] 30 in English

### 36.7 Apposition of selection: בעיקר be-ikar 'particularly'

'Such as' can be expressed (1) by a colon, parenthesis or suchlike, introducing a list ending in open-ended dots or their equivalent, or (2) by the prepositions כגון kegon, כמו kmo, -כ ke- (F) introducing a noun, or by a link adverb such as למשל lemashal 'e.g.’ introducing even adverbs or clauses:

| ba-medinot ha-metunot, | כe-saudiya u-levanon כסעחדיה ולבנון lemashal be-saudiya למשל בסעודיה adverbial | במדינות המתונות, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'in | moderate states, like Saudi Arab e.g. in Saudi A | and Lebanon' ba |

'Particularly’ is expressed by a link adverb such as בייחוד be-yiHud, בעיקר be-ikar:
ha-shipurim be-shivuk, be-ikar be-pirsómet השיפורים בשיווק, בעיקר בפרסומת 'the improvements in marketing, especially in advertising'

Addition and exclusion are expressed by focus adverbs such as אפילו afilu 'even', גם gam ‘even', בין... ובין beyn...u-veyn 'both...and...', לא lo 'not'. These often introduce an apposed clause: ${ }^{14}$

```
kavanati le-baH, lo le-mótsart
    כוונתי לבאך, לא למוצארט
    'I refer to Bach, not to Mozart'
    איגודי בריטניה, השמאלניים ואף
                            הימניים,...
igudey británya, ha-smolaniyim ve-af
        ha-yemaniyim,...
                            'The British unions, the leftist and even the rightist ones,...'
kam ha-katsin ve-azav et ha-emda, קס הקצין ועזב את העמדה,
    hu u-fkudav
                                    הוא ופקודיו
                    'The officer arose and quit the position and so did his men
                            (lit. he and his men)'
```


### 36.8 Partitive apposition

Several 'partitive' words, denoting 'all of them/it etc.', 'each of...', 'some...others...', 'the one...the other...' and the like, can be added in apposition to the subject or the object:

| ha-miflaga kula nidhama the party all-it was-aghast | המפלגה כולה נדהמה <br> 'The whole party was aghast' |
| :---: | :---: |
| ze ha-kol birburim it the-lot nonsense (c) | הה הכל ברבורים 'It's all nonsense |
| atem shneyHem meshuga'im you both-you crazy | אתם שניכם משוגעים 'You're both crazy' |
| móti ve-yáron hitsiu kol eHad et pitrono מוטי וירון |  |
| Moti and Yaron suggested each one ом his-solution |  |
| 'Moti and Yaron each suggested his solution' |  |
| natáti et ha-tmunot Helkan le-aHi נתתי את התמונות ללקו לאחי |  |
| I-gave ом the pictures part-of-them to my-brother <br> וחלקן לאמי <br> ve-Helkan le-imi <br> and part-of-them to my-mother <br> 'I gave some of the pictures to my brother and some to my mother' |  |
|  |  |
| ha-arpadim yashvu éle mi-smol ve-éle the vampires sat these on left and these mi-yamin on right | הערפדים ישבו אלה משמאל ואלה מימין |

'Some of the vampires sat on the left and some on the right'
The last three examples have 'distributive' partitives (i.e. sharing something out 'respectively'); these must follow the verb, as close as possible to the 'distributee'.
36.9 Apposition of time/place adverbials: אאת etmol be-shesh 'yesterday at six'
Time adverbials can be strung together in multiple apposition, each narrowing down ('specifying') the one before. Commas are sometimes used.

```
ba-shavúa ha-ba, be-yom sheni, be-sha'a shesh בשבוע הבא, ביום שני, בשעה שש
SPECIFYING SPECIFYING
'next week, on Monday, at six o'clock'
```

Parts of the day, e.g. בבוקר ba-bóker 'in the morning', אחה aHarey ha-tsohoráyim 'p.m.', come last even if they thereby 'broaden' rather than 'narrow down'. See (2) below (this is called 'predicative order'):
(1) maHar ba-bóker מחר בבוקר SPECIFYING
tomorrow in-the morning 'tomorrow morning'
(2) be-shesh ba-bóker

בשש בבוקר PREDICATIVE
at six in-the morning
Predicative order is also possible with broader time adverbials, relating to weeks, years etc:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { be-yom sheni ba-shavúa ha-ba } & \text { ביום שני בשבוע הבא } \\
\text { on Monday in-the week the next } & \text { 'on Monday next week' }
\end{array}
$$

Adverbials of place too allow both orders. 'Narrowing' order requires commas: ${ }^{15}$

I lived in Bney-Brak, in Estate 5, on Tsirelson St'
In 'predicative order', formal Hebrew often inserts a relative conjunction $-\boldsymbol{ש}$ she- (see further 11.4); casual Hebrew tends not to:
gárti be-shikun he she-bi-bnéy-brak
גרתי בשיכון ה' שבבני-ברק
I-lived in Estate 5 which [is] in Bney-Brak
ze haya ba-kiyor ba-ambátya זה היה בכיור באמבטיה
it was in-the sink in-the bathroom
36.10 Quantity apposition: שני מטר סר shney méter séret'two metres of ribbon'
Apposition, or the construct genitive, or של shel 'of' are used variously in expressing amount and measurement (see also chs 6 and 8).

## Measurement by units and containers

של shel 'of' is common, but can equally well be omitted:
shney kilo (shel) agasim 'two kilos of pears'

| kapit (shel) sukar | 'a spoon of sugar' | (ש) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| esrim shana maasar | '20 years' imprisonment' | ערים שנה מארת |

There is no obvious difference, for most units (being foreignisms and in the singular), between construct and apposition. ${ }^{16}$ As for agreement by the verb, it is usually the measurement phrase that determines it:
yardu shney méter shéleg
v (pl.) MEASUREMENTN(s.)
fell two metre snow

## Abstract amount

Abstract amount involves apposition or construct, rather than של shel 'of' ${ }^{17}$ Notice that in apposition the agreement depends not on the first phrase of the apposition but on the second; ${ }^{18}$ the second is the nucleus:

| lo yiye laH mi-ze pruta aHat révaH | f.s. | m.s. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m.s. | לא יהיה לך מזה פרוטה אחת רווח |  |

'You won't have from this one penny (lit. penny one) profit'
haya shalosh shanim hefresh
m.s. $\quad$ f.pl. m.s.
there-was three years difference

hayta esrim aHuz hishtatfut
f.s. m.pl. f.s.
there-was $20 \%$ participation

### 36.11 Tagged apposition

Specificational apposition of two distinct phrases (36.6-9) often 'tags' the second phrase to the end of the clause (as long as it is the focus of the clause and no other focus intervenes):
tsayar mefursam haya itam sham, zaritski צייר מפרוסם היה אתם שם , זריצקי 'A famous painter was with them there, Zaritski' tions:
mi amar zot, péres o shamir?
מי אמר זאת, פרס או שמיר!
'Who said it, Peres or Shamir?'
not:

### 36.12 Pseudo-apposition

In casual usage the noun phrases involving construct תושב toshav 'inhabitant' and בן/ בת ben/bat 'aged', ${ }^{19}$ and possibly some others, can (but need not) omit the $-\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ha- characteristic of 'definite apposition' (as described in 36.3.2). Standing thus between two nouns, these 'nouns' seem to be akin to prepositions, as indicated in the translations below: ${ }^{20}$

כל הסטודנטים תושבי (ה)קיבוצים הם כאלה kol ha-studéntim toshavey (ha-)kibutsim hem ka'éle

$$
\frac{\text { CONSTRUCT }}{\text { APPOSED PHR }}
$$

all the students inhabitants (the) kibbutzim are like-that
'All the students inhabiting [= of] kibbutzim are like that'
ze le-kol ha-banim bney (ha-)shesh
it's for all the boys aged (the) six
kvar maHru et kol ha-dirot bnot shney (ha-)Hadarim
construct
APPOSED PHR
already they-sold ом all the apartments consisting-of two (the) rooms ${ }^{21}$
'They've already sold all the apartments of two rooms'

### 36.13 Coordinative apposition

Hebrew makes much use of a construction midway between a coordination, an apposition and a compound: a compound phrase.
hà-saHkan-bamay-mefik 'the actor-director-producer' השחקר-במאי-מפיק
There are three main features: (1) It joins words of the same grammatical type (like coordination), but (2) with no link word, just as in apposition; instead it uses a hyphen. (3) Only one - ה ha- 'the' is allowed, in both noun and adjective phrases (rather as in compounds, e.g. הרמזור ha-ramzor 'the traffic light', see 38.4); and yet any plural, feminine or possessive suffixes present must be placed on each word in the phrase, even on verbs. Rather as with the prefix - $n a-$ 'the', only one infinitive prefix ל-le- 'to’ per verbal phrase is allowed; but as $l e$ - is inseparable from the verb, the very possibility of an infinitive in coordinative apposition is ruled out.
In sum, this construction is akin to such semi-compounds as construct ben-adam ~ ha-bney-adam 'person ~ the persons' (38.4).

Nouns in coordinative apposition
These are semi-freely formed in literary/journalistic use, but in general use only in set expressions. ${ }^{22}$ Examples are:
ha-tsayéret-zaméret bráHa tsfira $\quad$ 'the $\quad$ הציירת-זמרת ברכה צפירה, 'the painter-singer Bracha Tsfira'
shliHehem-soHnehem radfu aHarav שליחיהם-סוכניהם רדפו אחריו messengers-their agents-their pursued him
'Their messenger-agents pursued him'
hu aviha-molida shel ha-toHnit
הוא אביה-מולידה של התוכנית
he father-its begetter-its of the plan
'He is the father-begetter of the plan'

## Adjectives

There are two common and freely formed uses: (1) colour combinations, e.g. כחול-לבן kaHol-lavan 'blue and white' (not 'blue-white'), and (2) combinations of adjectives (usually noun-based) taking an -i suffix, in technical/ jounalistic usage:
(1) baaya politit-kalkalit

בעיה פוליטית-כלכלית
f.s. f.s. f.s.
problem political-economic
'a politico-economic problem'
(2) ha-siHot ha-siniyot-rusiyot השיחות הסיניות-רוסיות
f.pl. f.pl. f.pl.
the talks the Chinese-Russian 'the Sino-Soviet talks'
Though פוליטית וכלכלית politit ve-kalkalit 'political and economic' would have meant the same, the hyphenated construction will often make it plain that the two adjectives are the property of one person/thing. ${ }^{23}$

## Verbs

There are few common combinations, e.g. $ץ \uparrow$ א ats-rats 'rushdash'. Literary/journalistic usage is somewhat freer:


## Intensification apposition

Adjectives, adverbs and degree words can be reinforced, where appropriate, by repetition, often with a hyphen, in all usage:

| Apposition |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| sanen dak-dak | סנן דק-דק <br> 'Filter very finely (lit. fine-fine)' |
| bedok hetev-hetev | בדוק היטב-היטב <br> 'Check really well (well-well)' |
| tsariH me'od-me'od le... | צריך מאד מאד ל... <br> '(It's) very very necessary to...' |

[^8]
## 37. Topic, focus and word order

### 37.1 Introduction

This chapter presents two phenomena: prominence, and order of sentence components.
(1) Prominence of information. Information can be 'known', e.g. השמן ha-shémen 'the oil' has a known identity and may, as such, be given the special prominence of being grammatical topic of the sentence:

or it can be 'new' information, which is often given the prominence of being grammatical focus of the sentence, as in:

```
gam ha-shémen yakar
    FOCUS
(2) Order of the main sentence components, i.e. subject, verb/adjective, object, predicate noun phrase, adverbials.
Information lay-out is not always conveyed by word order, nor vice versa. For example. stress can create focus:
ha-shemen hityaker 'Oil's gone up' השמן התייקר
and subject-verb inversion can convey eloquence:
zoHer ani et yom moto 'I remember his dying day’ זוכר אני את יום מותו However, information and order have enough in common to be presented in one chapter.

\section*{Basic word order}

Basic order in all registers is:
Subject + Verb/Adjective + Object
Subject + Predicate Noun Phrase
Most adverbials (if any) precede or follow the object (if any) - see further chapters 21, 22, 24.

\section*{37.2-5 THE 'TOPIC'}

\subsection*{37.2 Types of topic}

A clause often contains some item(s) of information known to speaker and hearer, i.e. something 'known' (37.1). One such item is likely to be marked as the topic of discussion. This will be called the 'topic' and the rest of the clause the 'comment', as in:

My dog ate four bagels
TOPIC COMMENT
the four bagels - my dog ate them
TOPIC COMMENT
Definition. The 'topic' of a clause is a word(s) marked out grammatically as topic of discussion.

The 'topic' is most often a definite noun or pronoun. (Their identity is 'known' to speaker and hearer).
hu lo marshe li 'He isn’t letting me' הוא לא מרשה לי
káma olim ha-Hatsilim? ‘How much are the egg-plants?’ ? כמה עולים החצילים
Even where there is more than one definite noun, just one will be marked as topic. In the following example \({ }^{1}\) the topic is the first noun, מר רבין mar rabin 'Mr Rabin', uncharacteristically brought forward from normal object position to imply that Mr Rabin is none other than the 'Defence Minister' under discussion; הרמטכ ha-ramatkal 'the Chief of Staff', though a known entity, is here part of the 'comment':


An indefinite noun can be topic, as can a verb, an adverb etc.:

bói lirkod! - lirkod ani lo rotsa בואי לרקוד! - לרקוד אני לא רוצה ‘Come and dance! - I don't want to dance’
bói be-shesh! - be-shesh yesh li tor
בואי בשש! - בשש יש לי תור
'Come at six! - At six I have an appointment'
Things being enumerated, compared or contrasted are paradoxically also frequently the topic - for they must have something in common to be thus compared, e.g. ( \({ }^{*}\) indicates rise-fall intonation):
```

ugiyôt ani ohev, krâkerim ani ohev,
vâflim ani lo ohev
עוגיוֹת אני אוהב, קגקרים אני אוהב,
וֹלים אני לא אוהב
‘Cookies I like, crackers I like, wafers I don't like’

```

\section*{How is the topic marked?}

Hebrew tends to put 'topic' first. This is usually as subject, \({ }^{2}\) and so subject topic has no special stress - one's assumption is that the subject is the topic:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline éfo ha-tsalaHot? -ba-kiyor! & -tsalaHot sháma & איפה הצלחות! - הצלחות שמה בכיור! \\
\hline & TOPIC & \\
\hline & 'Where are the pla & lates are there in the sink!' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

However, object, verb or adverbial can be 'topicalized' - mostly by coming to the front of the clause, and notably by topic preposing or by topic dislocation ("ייחוד"), described in 37.3-5 below. As a result, the subject becomes down-graded in topicality.

\subsection*{37.3 Topic preposing}

In all usage, objects or adverbials can be 'topic-preposed' to the front of the clause. \({ }^{3}\) Casual Hebrew commonly marks such a preposed object by risefall intonation (symbol: ^). This constitutes secondary stress, the main stress being somewhere in the 'comment'. Adverbials are less often so marked; since they are more mobile anyway (see further, ch. 21), they do not have such a great effect in initial position:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline vêlshit ani lo lamádeti OBJ & ו̂לשית אני לא למדתי Welsh I didn't study' \\
\hline be-shabât ani lo lamádeti & בשב̇ אני לא למדתי \\
\hline ADVERBIAL MAIN & \\
\hline TOPIC COMMENT & urday I didn't study' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The object can be an infinitive phrase:
leefot ugâ ani lo maskim לאפות עואה אני לא מסכים
TOPIC
'To bake a cake I don't agree'
Casually, predicate nouns or adjectives, as in \((1,2)\) below, and the nucleus noun or verb within such objects \((3,4)\) can be preposed; but finite verbs or verb phrases cannot: \({ }^{4}\)
(1) hi bébisiter, aval ozêret hi lo!

היא בייביסיטר, אבל עוזרת היא לא! 'She's a babysitter, but a cleaning lady she isn't!'
(2) HaHamâ hi lo, aval neima hi ken
(3) ugiôt, moshe ohev rak agulot cookies, Moshe likes only round
(4)
leefôt, ani muHana rak ugiot to bake, I'm willing only cookies

לאמוֹת, אני מוכנה רק עוגיות 'I'm only willing to bake cookies'
More formal usage preposes the topic in several circumstances, with no need for rise-fall tone; even finite verbs are preposed. Examples are given below.

\section*{Continuation:}

> medubar afilu al bitúaH Hayim le-Hélek מדובר אפילו על ביטוח חיים לחלק min ha-meHablim.
> מן המחבלים.
> mufalim gam batey-Holim... מופעלים גם בתי-חולים...
'It is even a case of life assurance for some of the terrorists. Hospitals too are utilized. (lit. are-utilized also hospitals)'
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ha-Hazit ha-amamit konena be-1967. החזית העממית כוננה ב-1967 } \\ \text { hishtatfu ba shlosha irguney } & \text { השתוניר }\end{array}\) meHablim...

מחבלים..
'The Popular Front was set up in 1967. (There) participated in it three terrorist organizations...'

Comparison (this implies a continuation):
Hamur yoter ha-matsav ba-négev
חמור יותר המצב בנגב
'More serious (is) the situation in the Negev'
meyuHédet be-mina hi parashat מיוחדת במינה היא פרשת ha-hadlafot la-itonut ההדלפות לעתונות
'In a class of its own is the affair of the leaks to the press'
To create a coherent sense of sequence (in literary prose): \({ }^{5}\)
bánu ve-yashávnu étsel avi.
sáma ishti et ha-praHim be-agartal ve-nashmu bi-rvaHa. sámnu lánu kis'ot leyad ha-mita ve-heHel avi lesaper al...

באנו וישבנו אצל אבי. שמה אשתי את הפרחים באוּ בארטל ונשמו ברווחה. שמנו לנו כיסאות ליד המיטה והחל אבי לספר על...
'We came and sat with my father. My wife put (lit. put my wife) the blooms in a vase and people breathed freely. We put some chairs by the bed and my father began (lit. began my father) to tell of...'

A related use is preposing of past tense verbs' (as an alternative to אם im 'if') in official directives, to create expectation of continuity:
lo silek sabal et eglato leaHar she-nitstava al kaH, rashay ha-shoter lesalek ota agala

לא סילק סבל את עגלתו לאחר
שנצטווה על כך,
רשאי השוטר לסלק אותה עגלה
'If a porter hs not removed (lit. not has-removed porter) his cart after being ordered to do so, the policeman may remove said cart'

\subsection*{37.4 Subject-verb inversion}

When a topic other than a subject is preposed, formal (and sometimes casual) usage is wont to delay the subject still further by putting the verb (and even verb + object, or verb + adverbial \()^{7}\) ahead of the subject. This 'smoothes out' the information contours so that they are not 'top-heavy', by
reducing still further the 'topicality' of the subject now that something else has been topicalized:
```

vmo-Hen meshamesh et rosh ha-memshala כמו-כן משמש את ראש הממשלה
ha-yo'ets ha-tsva'i she...
היועץ הצבאי ש...
similarly serves ом the Prime Minister $\underbrace{\text { the adviser the military, who... }}_{\text {OBJ }}$
'Similarly the Prime Minister is served by the military adviser who...'

```
aHshav novHim ha-klavim be-kétsev עכשיו נובחים הכלבים בקצב
now bark the dogs [= the dogs bark] in rhythm
or:
aHshav ha-klavim novHim be-kétsev עכשיו הכלבים נובחים בקצב now the dogs bark in rhythm
Inversion is particularly common for an indefinite subject, as in (1) below, and conversely, uncommon for a definite pronoun, this being intrinsically highly 'topical' (2), except in formal usage (3):
(1) et ha-mafteHot mats’u shney yeladim את המפתחות מצאו שני ילדים ом the keys found two children 'The keys were found by two children'
(2) *az etmol ba hu le-Han אז אתמול בא הוא לכאן
so yesterday came he here
(3) miyad Hásha hi laHazor elav מיד חשה היא לחזור אליו immediately hastened she [= she hastened] to-return to-him

\subsection*{37.5 Topic dislocation ("חוד")}
ha-monit ha-zot, mi hizmin ota? המונית הזאת, מי הזמין אותה?
'That cab, who ordered it?'
A different way of topicalizing a noun phrase (though not an adverbial, infinitive phrase, verb or anything else) is to mention it at the front of the clause, \({ }^{8}\) while still leaving it undisturbed in the clause - as a resumptive pronoun. In casual, and occasionally formal, Hebrew such a noun phrase may be detached by pause or comma. This structure is traditionally termed 1 ייחוד yiHud; we call it 'topic dislocation'. This functions as a recall topic, i.e. not continuing something just mentioned or contrasting with it, but recalling a topic mentioned some time ago or just present in the background. Hence there is no rise-fall tone:
\[
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { az ha-kablan ha-ze, ani ro'e } \\
\text { she-hu sider otHa } & \text { שהוא סקלן הזה, אותךי רואה }
\end{array}
\]
'So this builder, I see that he ripped you off'
There is no subject-verb inversion (unlike in topic preposing, 37.4), as topic dislocation builds on, rather than upsets, the sentence and its information lay-out. And any noun, however deeply embedded within the sentence, can be 'dislocated', as it retains an easily recognizable pronoun of itself in the sentence:
ha-baHur ha-ze, ata yodéa ma ha-maskóret shelo?

הבחור הזה, אתה יודע מה
המשכורת שלו
'This guy, you know what his salary is?'

Occasionally, officialese begins with a detached topic that it fails to 'pick up' by a pronoun:

> ploni ha-roHesh matbéa Huts u-moHer פלוני הרוכש מטבע חוץ ומוכר oto le-bank, ma din ha-hefresh?
> אותו לבנק, מה דין ההפרש?
> 'Someone who acquires foreign currency and sells it to a bank, what is the status of the margin?'

\section*{'Dislocation' in reverse}

Casual Hebrew also occasionally allows dislocation in reverse: a noun is first mentioned only in a pronoun and not spelt out in full until the end of the whole sentence:
ma hi osa, efráti shelaH?
מה היא עושה, אפרתי שלך!
'What's she doing, your Efrati?'
This shows that the noun named is particularly 'known' from immediate context, or even that the exact word has escaped one's memory, without too much ado. \({ }^{9}\) But, unlike topic dislocation above, any preposition that preceded the pronoun must be repeated: \({ }^{10}\)
ani ge'a bo aHshav, ba-yéled sheli אני גאה בו עכשיו, בילד שלי
PREP
'I'm proud of him now, of my little boy'
By contrast, formal Hebrew occasionally uses an 'anticipatory' ל ble-as a mark of elegance (with or without comma):
lo iHpat lahem la-pkidim halálu לא אכפת להם לפקידים הללו im ata ezraH o tayar

אם אתה אזרח או תייר
'It matters not to these officials (lit. to them to officials these) if one is a citizen or a tourist'

\section*{Verb 'dislocation'}

Both casual and formal usage occasionally anticipate a contrastively topical verb by using an infinitive - and leave the verb in its full form, for Hebrew has pronouns but no pro-verbs: \({ }^{11}\)
hu hivtíaH she-lishtôt hu yishte ha-kol הוא הבטיח שלשתות הוא ישתה הכל he promised that he'd
he promised that to-drink he
would-drink everything

\subsection*{37.6 Types of focus}

The focus of a clause is some item of newsworthy information marked by a strong stress. \({ }^{12}\) In 'my dog ate the bagels', the topic under discussion is 'the eating of the bagels' and the new information is that it was 'my dog' that ate them. This need not be particularly emphatic.

A Hebrew clause often has such a focus. This is commonly the last word, particularly an adverbial or object (or in their absence, the verb):
ishti metsayéret reHovot
FOCUS
ishti metsayéret FOCUS

אשתי מציירת רחובות
'My wife paints streets'

אשתי מצירתת 'My wife paints'

Sometimes, however, there is a particularly newsworthy word(s) being emphasized: \({ }^{13}\)
taaru laHem, shóHad hu natan lahem! תארו לכם, שוחד הוא נתן להם! 'Imagine, a bribe he gave them!'
váflim ani ohev 'Wafers I like’ ופלים אני אוהב
This often involves the focus adverbs (see ch. 22) גם gam 'also', אפילו afilu 'even', רק rak 'only’ etc.:
hu natan lahem afilu shóHad

הוא נתן להם אפילו שוחד 'He even gave them bribes'

Although the subject is frequently taken as topic (37.2), it can - by virtue of focus adverbs or just main stress - be taken as focus: \({ }^{14}\)
mi natan laH? - ha-néHed shèli natan li 'Who gave you? - My grandson gave me'
Indeed, Hebrew does not make a point of delaying a focused subject (except in presentatives, see 37.8 , or where there is a topic too):
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
david diber & דוד דיבר דוד \\
*diber david & דיבר"
\end{tabular}

Focus can be denoted in various ways other than strong stress: either by (1) preposing to the front of the clause; or conversely, by (2) delaying; or (3) clefting; or (4) anticipation of the verb - all described in 37.7-10 below.
37.7 Focus preposing: וולוו את רוצה vólvo at rotsa? ‘A Volvo you want?'
Provided there is no topicalized word(s) the focused words can be preposed, in all usage. Everything that follows the focus is of equally low informational significance and thus cannot be especially marked as topic:

Focus preposing applies to the same kinds of words as topic preposing (37.3), except finite verbs or adjectives: \({ }^{15}\)
afilu lishtot témpo hi lo hiskíma אפילו לשתות טמםו היא לא הסכימה
even to-drink Tempo she didn't agree

> (Casual) rak garbáyim ani kibásti levanot רק גרביםם אני כיבסתי לבנות only socks I washed white 'The only white thing I washed was socks'
but not:
*mitpashet ha-óvesh ha-ze
is-spreading the mould the this
*מתפשט העובש הזה ('This mould is spreading')
However, many adverbials can casually be focused (and topicalized) by preceding the verb (see further 21.7.2):
ani be-shesh yatsáti 'I left at six’ אני בשש יצאתי
Focus preposing may be used in exclamations:
nevelot kol ha-kablanim ha-éle!
scum all the builders the these!
נבלות כל הקבלנים האלה!
yafe ha-nof ha-ze!
יפה הנוף הזה!
beautiful the view the this! 'What a beautiful view this is!'
Subject-verb inversion is as for topic-preposing (37.4) - delaying the subject downgrades its inherent 'topicality', and reinforces the focus:

only just brought Aharon ом the washing
'Aharon only just brought the washing'

\subsection*{37.8 Focus delay}

The focus tends naturally to be object or adverbial. But even when subject, it can optionally hold back till after the verb (or become passivized) in four particular situations, as described below.
(1) The sentence already starts with a previous topic:
ha-trufa ha-zot, hitsía ota dávka bni the medicine the this, suggested it actually my-son

התרופה הזאת, הציע אותה דווקא בני 'This medicine, it was actually my son who suggested it'
(2) There is a particularly strong focus, involving focus adverbs or numerals or מישהו míshehu 'someone' or suchlike:
ha-rav amar she-amdu al kaH shney poskim, deháynu... the rabbi said that dealt with it 'The rabbi said that two authorities dealt two authorities, namely...

הרב אמר שעמדו על כך שני פוסקים, דהיינו... with it, namely...'
(3) The focus is introduced (indeed, created) by a 'presentative' or 'existential' expression (an extreme case of topic + focus):

Presentative

stood there
came-up to-me some fellow and... 'Some fellow \begin{tabular}{l} 
stood there \\
came up to me
\end{tabular} and...'
mistamnot shalosh megamot Hiyuviot:... .מסתמנות שלוש מגמות חיוביות:... are-emerging three positive trends:... 'Three positive trends are emerging'
kotev shimon, menahel ha-maHon:...
כותב שמעון, מנהל המכון:...
'Writes Shimon, director of the institute:...'

\section*{Existential}
kayamim mispar zanim, asher...
קיימים מספר זנים, אשר... '(There〉 exist a number of species, that...'
(4) A subject is specifying \({ }^{16}\) a predicate:

אירגון מחבלים פעיל הוא גם צאעיקה, מאחוריו עומדת סוריה irgun meHablim pa'il hu gam tsáika, meaHorav omédet súrya

FOCUS (SUBJ)
guerilla organization active is also Tsaika, behind-which stands Syria
'Another active guerilla organization is Tsaika, behind which stands Syria'

\subsection*{37.9 Focus clefting: אני הוא שפיהק ani hu she-pihákti 'I yawned'}

A noun phrase can be made more clearly focus (serving to exclude) and the verb made topic, by using a variety of 'cleft' constructions - constructions that split the clause into (apparently) two clauses, one highlighting the focus noun:

\subsection*{37.9.1 With a copula, and a pseudo-relative clause for topic (formal usage)}
המובטלים הם אשׁר יסבלו = המובטלים יסבלו
ha-muvtalim hem \(\begin{aligned} & \text { she- } \\ & \text { asher } \\ & \text { 'REL' CLAUSE }\end{aligned}\) yisbelu = ha-muvtalim yisbelu
the unemployed are who will-suffer = 'The unemployed will suffer'
ani hu she-pihákti = ani pihákti אני הוא שפיהקתי = אני פיהקתי
\(I\) am who I-yawned = 'I yawned'

Only a subject noun can be 'focused' in this way. The subject is being more strongly focused by having the topic verb 'demoted' into what is at first sight a subordinate, relative clause: שיסבלו she-yisbelu 'who will suffer'. The main clause now takes on a new 'copula' verb: הוא, היא hu, hi etc. 'is, are'. \({ }^{17}\)

\subsection*{37.9.2 זה זה/אלה ze/éle introducing the focus}
zeléle can introduce the focus, sometimes with -שה/אלה before the topic:
éle ha-muvtalim she-yisbelu אלה המובטלים שיסבלו N PHR
those the unemployed who will-suffer
'It is the unemployed who will suffer'

> ze ani she-pihákti
> it \(I\) who yawned (1st s.) \(\quad\) 'It is \(I\) who yawned'

זה היה למען השלום שפתחנו במלחמה
ze haya lemáan ha-shalom she-patáHnu be-milHama adverbial
it was for-the-sake-of peace that we-launched a war
In fairly casual or formal (rather than intermediate) usage, any noun phrase or 'loose' adverbial (of time, place, cause etc., see ch. 21) can be focused by introductory ז \(z e\) 'it' (agreeing with the noun phrase in question, as it does on many occasions, e.g. זאת בעיה zot baaya 'it's a problem', - see 18.3.2).

There are in fact two constructions. In the first, N r \(z e\) ' it' acts to introduce a 'be' clause (any tense is possible, and negation) and the topic becomes a relative clause, except perhaps after an adverbial. 'Be' is not expressed by הוא hu etc. in present tense: \({ }^{18}\)

זו לא היתה אני \}שהשאירה את הדלת פתוחה/שראית בתחנה\} zu lo hayta ani \{she-hish'ira et ha-délet ptuHa/she-ra'it ba-taHana\} f.s. 3rd f.s.
'be' Clause rel clause... it not was I \{who left ом the door open/who you-saw at-the stop\} 'It wasn't me \{that left the door open/that you saw at the stop\}'
Formally, the verb 'to be' often comes first:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hayta zo ha-avoda ba-sadot } \begin{array}{l}
\text { שיתה זו העבודה בשדוחות במיות } \\
\text { she-ahávti bimyuHad } \\
\text { was it the work in-the fields that I-loved most } \\
\text { 'It was the work in the fields that I loved most' }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
\]

In the second, \(\mathrm{A} z e\) is simply added to the initial focus, if subject or object. The clause remains a simple clause, usually with no \(-ש\) she- 'who, that'. This is casual.

\footnotetext{
ze bney-adam tovim o bney-adam ra'im זה בני-אדם טובים או בני-אדם רעים rotsim et ze?

רוצים את זה!
it people good or people bad want ом it?
}
'Is it good or bad people that want it?'
ze oti ra'it ba-shuk
זה אותי ראית בשוק
OBJ
it me you-saw in-the market
'It's me you saw in the market'

\subsection*{37.9.3 Topic precedes focus}

In this construction He ze introduces a delayed focus, and topic is introduced by .... מי mi she-... 'who that...' etc. (c). as in the preceding examples; it could, however, be taken as a copula 'is':
```

be-mi she-ani ge'e ze be-yóram במי שאני גאה זה ביורם
TOPIC FOCUS
of who that I'm proud it of Yoram
ma she-lo mutsdak ze linzof bo be-galuy
TOPIC FOCUS
what that not right it to-reprimand him openly
'What is not right it to reprimand him openly'

```

The topic is akin to a blend of an interrogative and a relative clause. (For similar constructions, see 33.4.2.)

\subsection*{37.10 Focus dislocation}

The only kind of 'focus dislocation' - and one that does not create a detached phrase - is the 'anticipated verb', also employed as a topic device (details as in 37.5):
gam lishkav shaHávti גם לשכב שכבתי
also to-lie-down I've-lain-down 'I’ve also lain down!'

\subsection*{37.11-14 REORDERING WITH NO MEANING}

Some special word orders have nothing to do with information structure but with purely grammatical considerations. Among them are the positioning of interrogative words and relative pronouns at the head of the sentence; see chapters 26 and 33 respectively. Here we deal with phenomena not discussed in other chapters.

\subsection*{37.11 'Direct quotation' preposing}

Hebrew literary narrative may prepose a direct quotation (in main clauses) from the normal object position to the front of the clause. Verb then precedes subject (except for pronouns): \({ }^{19}\)
medabrim aléynu, kovéa sason
מדברים עלינו, קובע ששון
they're-talking about-us, states Sason

\subsection*{37.12 Subject restoration}

In any usage, a noun phrase may be 'anticipated' at the front of its clause without pause or comma, with the aim of creating a kind of subject for the clause where there is none - a common, though not an essential, ploy. \({ }^{20}\) Notable cases are described here:

For a subject-less verb, the oblique noun can be 'copied' forward, as in examples (1)-(5). Alternatively, the whole oblique phrase can often be lifted out and preposed (6):
(1) tirkóvet zo yesh la shalosh tHunot

תרכובת זו יש לה שלוש תכונות CREATED
subj
compound this are to-it three properties
'This compound has three properties'
(2) gam Hole ze kashe lo linshom

גם חולה זה קשה לו לנשום CREATED
SUBJ
even patient this hard for-him to-breathe
'Even this patient finds it hard to breathe'
(3) ha-nitba le-din Hova alav lehishava הנתבע לדין חובה עליו להישבע created subj the defendant duty on-him to-swear
'The defendant is duty-bound to swear'
(4) tofa’a taHbirit zo nitan lenasHa kaH:... תופעה תחבירית זו ניתן לנסחה כך:... phenomenon syntactic this it-is-possible to-formulate-it thus:...
'This syntactic phenomenon can be formulated thus:'
(5) he-pitgam yesh she-hu menusaH milulit ve-yesh she...

הפתגם יש שהוא מנוסח מילולית ויש ש...
the proverb there-are-cases where
it-is formulated literally and there-are-cases where...
'The proverb can in some cases be formulated literally and in some cases...'
(6) le-tirkóvet zo yesh shalosh tHunot לתרכובת זו יש שלוש תכונות to compound this there-are three properties
'This compound has three properties'
A related construction is the following:
(7) kol targum o she-hu ekvivalénti o she-hu lo ekvivalénti

כל תרגום או שהוא אקוויוולנטי או שהוא every translation either that it is [= is either] equivalent or that it is [= or] non-equivalent
For questions ( F ):
dikduk generatívi keytsad yesh lenatslo?
דקדוק גנרטיבי כיצד יש לנצלו!
grammar generative how can-one use-it?
'How can one use generative grammar?'
Rather different is the need sometimes felt to highlight the construct modifier (this is not strictly subject restoring):
mekor shem ze bi-shnat \(1883 \Rightarrow\)
shem ze mekoro bi-shnat 1883

מקור שם זה בשנת 1883 ¢
שם זה מקורו בשנת 1883
source name this in year \(1883 \Rightarrow\) name-this its-source in year 1883
'The source of this name is in the year 1883'
af kri’a zo perusha pshara אף קריאה זו פירושה פשרה
even call this its-meaning compromise
'Even this call means a compromise'
All such constructions, unlike 'topic dislocation' (37.5), are ordinarily brought just to the front of their own clause (i.e. they are 'bounded'), not to the front of the whole sentence - they serve to promote structural intelligibility, not topicalization. \({ }^{21}\)

\subsection*{37.13 Subject-verb inversion in subordinate clauses}

Subject-verb inversion is common in formal usage for relative clauses and those adverbial clauses that can be likened to them, namely time clauses (and not cause or concession clauses): \({ }^{22}\)
ha-shétaH she-bo Hanu ha-tsoanim השטח שבו חנו הצוענים the area that in-it camped the gypsies 'the area in which the gypsies camped'
ha-shétaH she-azvu ha-tsoanim השטח שעזבו הצוענים

\section*{s. pl. pl.}
the area that left the gypsies

kaasher
until CONJ 'until
after cons left the gypsies when
'the area the gypsies left'
'after the gypsies left' 'when

Similarly in comparative clauses:
מעולם לא רבו אנשים כמו שש רבו הכנענים
me'olam lo rávu anashim \(\begin{aligned} & \text { kmo she- } \\ & \text { yoter mi-she- }\end{aligned}\) rávu ha-knaanim
never not quarrelled people like CONJ more than CONJ quarrelled the Canaanites
'Never did people quarrel like more than the Canaanites quarrelled'
Conditional clauses allow inversion even casually, to give an effect of continuity, i.e. that a main clause is coming: 'if..., then...' (see 37.3):
im yelHu ha-tsoanim,...
if will-go the gypsies,...

\subsection*{37.14 Reordering for register's sake: גדולה שמחתי gdola simHati 'great is} my pleasure'
Multifarious word order requirements are described elsewhere for particular structures, e.g. officialese .... לא ילבש סבל lo yilbash sabal... ‘a porter should not wear...' with the negative 3rd person command using an initial verb. But there are also cases of major reordering for elegance' sake, for example:
Subject pronoun-verb inversion:
\[
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { zoHer ani adáyin et ha-milim ha-éle זוכר אני עדיין את המלים האלה } & \text { 'I still recall those words' } \\
\text { recall I still om the words the those } &
\end{array}
\]

Subject-adjective inversion :
גבירותי ורבותי, גדולה שמחתי הערב gvirotay ve-rabotay, gdola simHati ha-érev be-vo'i lehartsot bifneyHem בבואי להרצות בפניכם
'Ladies and Gentlemen, great (is) my pleasure this evening in coming to lecture to you'
Subject dislocation with inversion:
ezraHéynu tseirim hem אזרחינו צעירים חם
our-citizens young they 'Our citizens are young'
Formal, rather than elegant, is the inversion of the verb היה haya 'be' + adjective where a complement clause follows: \({ }^{23}\)
kashe haya lo lehavHin
hard was not to-notice
dome haya ki...
apparent was that...

קשה היה לא להבחין 'It was hard not to notice'
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\section*{38. Noun types}

\subsection*{38.1 Introduction}

This chapter deals with noun types. For gender and number inflection, see chapter 39 ; for construct inflection, see chapter 6.

Nouns (and adjectives) are built in one of four ways, described in (1)-(4) below.
(1) With distinctive vowel-patterns and/or affixes, which have meaning; the noun often has distinctive inflectional characteristics too. These are meaningful noun patterns. Examples are as set out in the table:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Noun & Pattern & Inflectional characteristics & Meaning of pattern \\
\hline sapar ספר 'hairdresser' & -a-a- & \begin{tabular}{l}
stem unchanged in plural and construct: saparim \\
ספרים \\
saparey \\
ספרי
\end{tabular} & Mostly 'someone in a certain job; technical device \\
\hline shatkan שתקן ‘silent type’ & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{-an} \\
\text { SUFF }
\end{array}
\] & Stem unchanged in plural and construct: shatkanim שתקנים shatkaney שתקני & Mostly 'personality-type' \\
\hline jóbnik ג'גבניק \(\begin{array}{r}\text { גhirker' }\end{array}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-nik } \\
& \text { suFF }
\end{aligned}
\] & Stem unchanged in plural and construct: jóbnikim ג'ובניקים & 'Someone who belongs to.../ engaged in...' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(2) With other common vowel-patterns and/or affixes, which have no meaning. These are purely grammatical noun patterns. An example is:
\begin{tabular}{ll|l|l|l}
\hline \hline Noun & & Pattern & Characteristics & Meaning of pattern \\
\hline sadin \begin{tabular}{ll} 
'sheet'
\end{tabular} & -a-i- & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Stem in plural and construct: --i- \\
sdinim
\end{tabular} & None \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}
(3) Without a particularly common pattern: כפר kfar 'village', וילון vilon 'curtain', שולחן shulHan 'table'.
(4) By compounding two words (sometimes changing their shape): אופנוע ofnóa 'motor-bike' (= אופניים ofnáyim 'bicycle' + נוע nóa 'movement')

Affixes can be prefixes or suffixes. The traditional term for a noun's or adjective's vowel pattern (plus any additional affixes) is משקל mishkal. A verb's pattern is termed a בניין binyan.

\subsection*{38.1.1 Roots and words}

To make words, vowel patterns are mounted on a 'skeleton' of consonants, the root, as demonstrated in the table below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Root & Vowel+affix pattern & & Word & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ר.מ.r } \\
& \text { z.m.r }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & -a-a- & \(\rightarrow\) & zamar & 'singer' & זמר \\
\hline & -é-e- & \(\rightarrow\) & zémer & 'singing' & זמר \\
\hline & -i-a & \(\rightarrow\) & zmira & 'song' & זמירה \\
\hline & ti--ó-et & \(\rightarrow\) & tizmóret & 'orchestra' & תזמורת \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
ש.מ.ש \\
sh.m.sh
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & -a-a- & \(\rightarrow\) & shamash & 'beadle' & שמש \\
\hline & -é-e- & \(\rightarrow\) & shémesh & 'sun' & שמש \\
\hline & -i--iya & \(\rightarrow\) & shimshiya & 'parasol' & שמשיה \\
\hline & --i-ut & \(\rightarrow\) & shmishut & 'usefulness' & שמישות \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Certain roots have a sharply defined meaning; usually, however, root meanings are shadowy or non-existent (e.g. one can hardly explain שמש shémesh 'sun' and שימש shimesh 'to function' synchronically as the sun being a heavenly 'functionary'!). Rather, the root exists to give grammatical form to the word.

The meaningful building blocks in word formation are words, not roots though fairly unpredictably even then:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Word & & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Derived word with own vowel pattern} \\
\hline sukar & 'sugar' & סוכר \(\rightarrow\) & sakéret & 'diabetes' & סכרת \\
\hline batsal & 'onion' & ד בצל & betsaltsal & 'little onion' & בצלצל \\
\hline siper & 'to cut' & - & sapar & 'hairdresser' & ספר \\
\hline saval & 'to bear' & סבל & sabal & 'porter' & סבל \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In addition, many suffixes are simply added to a whole word without imposing a characteristic vowel pattern:


\subsection*{38.1.2 Formulations}

We symbolize roots thus: ר.מ.r z.m.r. We symbolize vowel+affix patterns by using a 'model root': \(7 . ב . כ\) k.b.d.; thus -a-a-is called the כבד kabad pattern (traditionally, the unsatisfactory 9 .ע.ע.ל were used). More technically, it is also called the CaCaC pattern \((\mathrm{C}=\) any consonant, boldface \(\boldsymbol{C}=\mathrm{a}\) stop consonant).

\subsection*{38.1.3 When pattern affects root and vice-versa}

The choice of pattern determines the pronunciation of three root letters \(\boldsymbol{D}, \overline{3}\). Whereas they are usually \(b, k, p\) ('hard', i.e. 'stops') when initial, and \(v, H, f\) ('soft', i.e. 'spirants') when word-final, their pronunciation inside the word depends on the word pattern. For example, the root gives מכבסה כ.ב.ס maHbesa 'laundry' in the pattern maCCeCa but כביסה kvisa 'laundering' in the pattern CCiCa: \(H \sim k\) and \(b \sim v\).

A few root consonants 'interfere' regularly with the vowels of the patterns. For example, \(n\) and \(ע\) usually have to have \(-a\) - as the preceding vowel, in final or stressed syllables. Thus from the CoCeC pattern we have c rokéaH, from CéCeC we have נחל náHal. We shall not regularly list these interferences, but some examples will often be slipped in.

\subsection*{38.2 Meaningful noun patterns, e.g. סטר sapar 'hairdresser'}

\subsection*{38.2.1 Patterns (1)-(4) Involving internal vowels but no affixes}

\section*{Patterns (1,2)}

These patterns are an abundant source of nouns, and ongoingly productive. Indeed, pattern (2) is available almost automatically.

Pattern (1) \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{lrll} 
(a) & מובד & מכדד & moved \\
(b) & meHabed & meCaCeC \\
(c) & maHbid & maCCiC
\end{tabular}

Meaning: (1) usually 'human agent' (especially 'in a profession')
(2) occasionally 'device'.

Derivation: Mostly borrowed from active present tense verbs, though many present tense verbs do not yield such nouns.
Examples: (1) רופא rofe 'doctor', מחנך meHaneH 'educator';
(2) מוצץ motsets 'pacifier', מחש meHashev 'computer’.

Pattern (2)
Meaning: Mostly 'action' or 'result (concrete or abstract) of action'.
(a) כבידה kvida CCiCa

Derivation: Mostly from pa'al-type verbs.
Example: בחינה bHina 'examination, exam' (בחן baHan 'examine').
(b) היכבדות hikavdut hiCaCCut

Derivation: Mostly from nif'al-type verbs of the non-passive kind (e.g. נעדר needar 'be absent').
Example: הודידרות hidabrut 'dialogue' (נדבר nidbar 'hold dialogue').
(c) כיבוד kibud CiCuC

Derivation: Mostly from pi'el-type verbs.
Example: טיפול tipul 'treatment' (טיפל tipel 'treat').
(d) התכבדות hitkabdut hitCaCCut

Derivation: Mostly from hitpa'el-type verbs.
Example: התבגרות hitbagrut 'maturation' (הת בגר hitbager 'to mature').
(e) haHbada haCCaCa

Derivation: Mostly from hifil-type verbs.
Example: הגרלה hagrala 'raffle' (הגריל higril 'to raffle').
Some minor patterns: For (a) כבודה kvuda CCuCa, e.g. קבורה kvura ‘burial'. For (c) כבדה kabada CaCaCa, e.g. קבלה kabala 'receipt'.

Some exceptions: ריקוד rikud 'dancing, a dance' (רקד rakad 'dance'); הר אה her'a 'to show' has no noun.

Patterns \((3,4)\)
These involve internal vowels but no affixes. They are abundantly used and productively coined - but only from verbs (noun-based derivation today mostly involves affixes; see patterns \((5-27)\) ) Note that \((3,4)\) are graphically indistinguishable (with no vowel pointing) - most common patterns today are distinguishable, thanks to affixes.

Pattern (3). כבד kéved or כובד kóved (CéCeC or CóCeC). Two-syllable nouns, with penultimate stress and the plural pattern כבדים kvadim. \({ }^{2}\)
(a) כבד kéved (CéCeC). Suffixed singular is mostly kivd-(CiCC-), sometimes kavd- ( CaCC -).
Meaning: (a) Unpredictable, but today (b) occasional verb-based coinages denoting 'action/result of action'.
Examples: (a) סגן ségen 'lieutenant', כבן kéves 'sheep'.
(b) שדרר shéder 'broadcast' (שידר shider 'to broadcast'), כנס kénes 'conference’ (כינס kines 'convene').
(b) כובד kóved (CóCeC). Suffixed s. kovd- (CoCC-).

Meaning and derivation: Unpredictable.
Examples: גודל gódel 'size', חופש Hófesh 'freedom'.

Pattern (4). כבד kabad (CaCaC) ~ plural כבדים kabadim (CaCaCim) (sometimes CaCCaC ).
Meaning: Mostly, and productively, (a) 'someone in a certain job' or (b) 'technical device'.
Derivation: Mostly verb-based; sometimes acronym, e.g. מג״ד magad 'company commander' (abbreviation of מפקד גדוד mefaked gdud).
Examples: (a) כתב katav 'reporter' (כת katav 'write'), כבאי kabay, kaba'i ‘firefighter' (כיבה kiba 'extinguish');
(b) וסת vasat 'regulator' (ויסת viset 'regulate’).

\subsection*{38.2.2 Patterns (5)-(11) Prefix plus vowel pattern}

These involve prefix + vowel pattern ( \(\pm\) suffix); they are abundant and ongoingly productive.

Pattern (5). תכביד taHbid (taCCic).
Meaning: Mostly 'result of action' - increasingly, 'of completed ('perfective') action'.
Derivation: Often nowadays from hifil-type verbs (rather as patterns \((1,2)\) are geared to particular verb types).
Examples: תקקיט taklit ‘disc’ (הקליט hiklit 'to record'), תסביך tasbiH ‘complex’ (סיבץ sibeH 'complicate').
Variant: (From ל"ה (-a) verbs): תגלית taglit 'discovery’ (גילה gila ‘discover').

Patterns \((6,7)\)
These feminine patterns, with their feminine suffix, generally denote something more complex (as does feminine מכבדה maHbeda (pattern 11) vis-à-vis masculine מכבד maHbed (pattern 10)).

Pattern (6). תכבודת tiHbódet (tiCCóCet); suffixed singular stem: תכודת tiHbodt-.
Meaning: Mostly 'result of action' - increasingly 'something complex'.
Derivation: Verb-based.
Examples: תסרוקת tisróket 'hair-do' (סירק sirek 'to comb'), תסמונת tismónet 'syndrome’ ( סימן simen 'signify').

Pattern (7). מכבד miHbad (miCCaC) ~suffixed plural stem as in (ככבדי) miHbedey (Hem).
Meaning: various - productively (a) 'action or its result', (b) 'location'.
Derivation: Productively verb-based.
Examples: (a) מצעד mits'ad 'parade' (עעד tsa'ad 'to march'), משדר mishdar 'broadcast' (שידר shider 'to broadcast'). Much semantic variation arises, e.g. מבחן mivHan 'test' (academic or otherwise) vs. בחינה bHina 'exam' or 'act of examining anything'.
(b) משרד misrad 'office', מעגן maagan 'anchorage'.

Pattern (8). מכבדה miHbada (miCCaCa) ~ sometimes a separate stem in the construct: מכבדת miHbédet/- מכבדת miHbadt-.
Meaning: Various - productively (a) 'location', (b) 'organization'.
Derivation: Mostly verb-based.
Examples: (a) מדרכה midraHa 'pavement' (דרך daraH'to step').
(b) מפקדה mifkada 'Headquarters' (פיקד piked 'to command').

Pattern (9). מכבד maHbed (maCCeC) (often interchanges, especially colloquially, with (1b) מכבד meHabed (meCaCeC))
Meaning: Mostly 'device that ...s'.
Derivation: Verb-based.
Example: מפתח maftéaH 'key' (פתח pataH 'to open').
Pattern (10). מכבדה maHbeda (maCCeCa).
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'machine’, (b) (non-puristic) 'location'.
Derivation: Mostly verb-based.
Examples: (a) מדגרה madgera 'incubator' (דגר dagar 'to hatch')
(b) מחצבה maHtseva 'quarry' (חצב Hatsav 'to quarry').

Pattern (11). הכבד heHbed (heCCeC).
Meaning: 'Result of action'.
Derivation: Mostly from hifil-type verb.
Examples: החזר heHzer 'refund' (החזיר heHzir 'give back') (contrast החזרה haHzara 'act of returning').

\subsection*{38.2.3 Patterns (12)-(15) Vowel pattern plus suffix}

These involve vowel pattern + suffix; they are numerous and productive.

Pattern (12). כבדת kabédet (CaCéCet) ~ plural כבדות kabadot ~ suffixed singular stem -כבדת kabadt-.
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'illness' or (b) 'group, system'.
Derivation: Verb- /adjective-/noun-based.
Examples: (a) דלקת daléket 'inflammation' (דלק dalak 'to burn'), צהבת tsahévet 'jaundice’ (צהוב tsahov 'yellow'), סכרת sakéret ‘diabetes’ (סוכר sukar ‘sugar');
(b) טייסת tayéset 'squadron' (ניידת nayédet 'patrol' (נייד nayad 'mobile'), ניירת nayéret 'paperwork' ( ) niyar 'paper').

Pattern (13). כבודת kvódet (CCóCet); the (suffixed singular) stem is כבודת kvodt-
Meaning: Mostly 'unwanted mass of something'.

Derivation: Mostly from pa'al-type verbs.
Examples: פסולת psólet 'garbage’ (פסל pasal 'reject').

Pattern (14). כבדן kavdan (CaCCan)()--an can also be suffixed without affecting the vowel pattern; see pattern (16)). Sometimes CaCCCan.
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'personality-type', productively with negative overtones (in literature, very productive);
(b) 'someone engaged in a (voluntary) activity';
(c) 'device';
(d) 'someone in a profession'.

Derivation: Often verb-based, sometimes noun/adjective-based.
Examples: (a) יקרן yakran 'overcharger’ (יקר yakar 'expensive'), גנדרן gandran 'dandy' (התגנדר hitgander 'to dress up');
(b) שחיין saHa 'to swim'), קחה saHyan 'swimmer' (קחן kalfan 'card-player' (קלף klaf 'card');
(c) לוויין lavyan 'satellite' (ליווה liva 'accompany'), רעשן raashan 'rattle' ( (רעש ráash 'noise');
(d) צנחן tsanHan 'paratroop' (notably when pattern (4) is already 'occupied').
Variant: The \(-\pi-t\) - of the hitpa'el prefix can be recast as a root consonant: (השתלט (התלטן hishtalet 'dominate').

Pattern (15). Reduplicative suffixes: כבדבד kvadvad (CCaCCaC), etc. The last syllable in the source noun is reduplicated, while itself (untypically) changing its vowel to -a-, e.g. n . Hazir \(\rightarrow\) חזרזיר Hazarzir (pattern (3) above changes \(e-e\) to \(a\)-a before reduplicating, e.g. גברבר \(\rightarrow\) גבר gévarvar).
Meaning: Diminutive (sometimes sarcastically).
Derivation: Based on masculine nouns; there are 10-15 common examples, fairly productive.
Examples: זקנקן zkankan 'little beard’ (זקן zakan ‘beard’), חתלתול Hataltul 'kitten' (חתול Hatul 'cat').

\subsection*{38.2.4 Patterns (16)-(29) Suffix, but no special vowel pattern}

Here a suffix is added without affecting the word's shape - except for some standard adjustments. They are numerous, and very productively coined or borrowed.
Patterns (16)-(22) Stressed suffixes
Adjustments to the base word:
(a) Base adjectives mostly use their all-purpose suffixed form, i.e. their plural base: thus גמיש + ות gamish + ut \(\rightarrow\) גמישות gmishut (pl: גמישים gmishim), חדישות Hadishut (pl: חדישים Hadishim).
(b) Base nouns mostly use their suffixed singular base: 1 דוב dov + on \(\rightarrow\)
 כלב + כלו kélev + \(a \rightarrow\) כלבה kalba (כלבו kalbo).

But (c) they sometimes drop their feminine genitive ending \(\Omega\) - -at: regularly when the suffix is 1 --an ( תעשיה + ון taasiya +an \(\rightarrow\) תעשיין taasiyan), אי
 ónet \(\rightarrow\) טיפונת tipónet), , - -it (מפה + ית mapa + it מפית mapit-), ית \(\rightarrow\) -

 sfaton).

 קופאית kupait).

Pattern (16). 1- -an.
Meaning: Mostly, and very productively, 'someone with (a) some job/role; (b) 'belief'; (c) 'personality'; or (d) 'an object related to the base noun', often scientific.
Derivation: Mostly noun-based; type (c) in particular is often based on pa'al/hifil present tense verbs. Final base \(-a\) - of noun usually drops.
Examples: (a) כלכלן kalklan 'economist' (כלכלה kalkala 'economics'), ירקן yarkan 'greengrocer' (ירן yérek 'vegetables'), ירואן' yevu'an 'importer' (יבוא yevu 'imports');
(b) מלוכן meluHan 'monarchist' ( מלוכה meluHa 'monarchy');
(c) חוצפן Hutspan 'impudent person' (חוצפה Hutspa 'impudence');
(d) סידן sidan ‘calcium’ (סיד sid 'lime'), יומן yoman 'diary' (פום yom ‘day'), פותחן potHan 'can-opener' (פותח potéaH 'opens').

Pattern (17). . אי - -ay/-a'i. Tends to be two syllables -a'i (especially on a base noun already ending in --a, e.g. קופאי kupa'i); purists require one syllable - ל"a verbs is not a suffix - see pattern (4)).
Meaning: 'Someone in a job'.
Derivation: Mostly from nouns, often those ending in a vowel ( \(n--e\) and feminine \(n-a\) ) or in \(1-n\), thus generally complementing the suffix \(\quad\) \(a n\).
Examples: ימאי yama'i ‘sailor' ( י yam 'sea'), במאי bama'i ‘director' (במה bama 'stage').

Pattern (18). יה-iya.
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'object for...' (notably: clothing, device, place), (b) 'object generally related to...' (notably: ensemble, flora, (stress: íya) place-name).
Derivation: Mostly from nouns or numerals.
Examples: (a) כתפיה ktefiya ‘cape’ (כתף katef ‘shoulder’), חנוכיה Hanukiya 'Hanuka lamp’ (חנוכה Hanuka), סטייקיה steykiya ‘steak-house' (סטייק steyk 'steak');
(b) צמחיה tsimHiya 'vegetation' (צמח tsémaH 'plant'), שקדיה Hamesh '5'), שמש ( Hamishiya 'quintet' חמישיה shkediya 'almond-tree' (שקד shaked 'almond'), דגניה dganiya 'cornflower' (דגן dagan 'grain'), נהריה 'Naharía' (נהר nahar ‘river').

Pattern (19). ו- -on.
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'device for, place for, publication for...', (b) 'publication at....', (c) 'something consisting of...', occasionally (d) 'diminutive/ condescending (often pejorative)'.
Derivation: Mostly based on nouns (masculine for (d)).
Examples: (a) שפתון sfaton 'lipstick’ (שפה safa 'lip'), פעוטון paoton 'creche' (פעוט pa'ot 'infant'), מחירון meHiron 'price-list' ( מחיר meHir 'price');
(b) עתון iton ‘newspaper’ (ע et ‘time'), שנתון shnaton 'yearbook' (שנה shana 'year');
(c) אזקבסטט (azbeston 'asbestos hut' azbest 'asbestos');
(d) סרטון sirton ‘short film’ (סרט séret ‘film’), פקידון pkidon 'petty official' (פקיד pakid 'official').

Pattern (20). \(n-a\) (Details are given in chapter 39 'Gender and number in the noun'.)
Meaning: 'Female of...'
Derivation: Near-automatically from nouns denoting humans, and from many animal nouns. But certain noun patterns require the suffix \(\Omega-t\) instead (see ch. 39).
Examples: בחורה baHura 'girl' (בחור baHur 'boy'), פסלת pasélet ‘sculptress' (פסל pasal 'sculptor').

Pattern (21). יסטי-ist.
Meaning: (a) 'Adherent to an ideology'; (b) casually and semi-productively, 'someone belonging to/engaged in...'.
Derivation: (a) Foreignisms; (b) based on nouns ending (usually) in vowels or ניק \(n\) (the counterpart for nouns ending in other consonants is -nik (pattern (25)).

Examples:. (a) אנרכיסט anarHist 'anarchist', פשיסט fashist 'fascist';
(b) שמיניסט shminist '8th grader' (שמיני shmini '8th'), בלגניסט balaganist 'mess-maker' (בלגט balagan 'mess').

Pattern (22). ©ir-izm.
Meaning: 'Ideology'.
Derivation: Foreignisms.
Examples: אנרכיזם anarHizm 'anarchism', פשיזם fashizm 'fascism'.
Patterns (23-25) Stressed-unstressed suffixes
These suffixes are stressed, unless the word-base itself bears pre-final stress (which is, by its very nature, usually fixed). Examples are: שגרירות shagrirut (שגריר + ות shagrir + ut) vs. ליברליות liberáliut (iberáli \(+u t\) ).

Pattern (23). ו- -ut.
Meaning: Mostly (a) 'abstract quality'; sometimes (b) 'someone's office', or (c) 'political institution'.

Derivation: Based on most adjectives (not אדום adom CaCoC type) and on masculine human nouns, even suffixed nouns, e.g. מזרח + נ + mizraH + an + ut \(\rightarrow\) מזרחנות mizreHanut.
Examples: (a) נעימות neimut 'pleasantness' (נעים na'im 'pleasant'), מציאותיות metsiutiut 'realism' (מציאותי metsiuti 'realistic'), אזרחות ezraHut 'citizenship' (אזרת ezraH 'citizen');
(b) גזברות gizbarut 'treasurer's office' (גזבר gizbar 'treasurer');
(c) קיסרות keysarut ‘empire’ (קיסר keysar ‘Emperor’).

Pattern (24). י- \(-i\). (For details see adjectives in \(\quad--i\) in 41.3.4, pattern 11.)
Meaning: 'Someone (usually male) hailing from a certain place'
Derivation: Based on names of most countries and towns.
Examples: ספרדי sfaradi 'Spaniard' (ספרד sfarad 'Spain'), אמריקאי amerikái ‘American’ (אמריקה amérika ‘America’).

Pattern (25). תי- it.
Meaning: (a) 'Female of humans', e.g. סורי ~ súri ~ súrit 'Syrian \(\sim\) Syrian woman'. It is semi-automatic (details in 39.5.4). Otherwise, mostly and quite productively, (b) 'diminutive/endearingly', (c) 'quasi-diminutive', i.e. smaller but different, (d) 'device for...', notably clothes or vehicles, (e) 'object related to...', notably fauna, vehicles, commercial products.
Derivation: (a) Based on masculine human nouns; (b) mostly based on feminine nouns; (c-e) noun-based.
Examples: (a) See chapter 39 ;
(b) טיפית tipit 'droplet' (טיפה tipa ‘drop');
(c) מחסנית maHsanit 'gun magazine' (מחסן maHsan 'storeroom');
(d) קרסולית karsulit ‘garter’ (קרסול karsol 'ankle'), טיולית tiyulit 'makeshift coach' (טיול tiyul 'trip');
(e) מיכלית vardit 'rose-finch' (ורדית véred 'rose'), מיר malit 'tanker' ( מיכל meHal 'tank'), פזית pazit (brand of cookie, cf. io paz 'gold').

Patterns (26)-(30) Unstressed suffixes
These cause no adjustment in the shape of the base-word.
Pattern (26). ניק--nik.
Meaning: 'Someone belonging to/engaged in...' (mostly in Jewish/Israeli life, notably kibbutz, army, politics, school). It is used in casual speech and is quite productive.
Derivation: Based on nouns ending in a consonant (not \(-n\) ); complements pattern (21).
Examples: קיבוצניק kibútsnik ‘kibbutz-inhabitant', ג'ובניק jóbnik ‘shirker' ( ג'וב job 'job').

Pattern (27). י--i.
Meaning: 'Term of endearment/familiarity'.
Derivation: Based on nouns, notably (and highly productively) on first names - sometimes with shortening of the name.

Examples: חתולי Hatûli 'pussycat' (חתול Hatul 'cat'), חמודי Hamúdi ‘darling' (חמוד Hamud 'darling'), אסתי ésti (אסתר éster ‘Esther').

Pattern (28). ללה--le.
Meaning: 'Term of endearment'.
Derivation: From first names and intimate nouns, ending in a vowel. It is very productive.
Examples: רבקה'לה rivkale (רבקה rívka), אבא'לה ábale ‘Daddy’ (אבא ába 'father').

Pattern (29). טור- -tor.
Meaning: Mostly 'device’.
Derivation: Foreignism.
Examples: vibrátor 'vibrátor', טרנספורמטור transformátor 'transformer'.

Pattern (30).
Meaning: 'Imparting of someone's or something's influence'.
Derivation: From proper names; it is very productive.
Examples: בגיניזציה beginizátsya 'beginization' (בגין Begin: Israeli Premier).

\subsection*{38.3 Purely grammatical noun patterns (some are also adjective patterns)}

These non-meaningful patterns are common enough to be classed as 'distinctive' - although the borderline between them and 'non-distinctive' patterns is fairly arbitrary. Though many are abundantly employed, they are generally no longer productively coined, as Hebrew tends to favour 'meaningful' patterns.

The main reason for distinguishing these patterns is that each has its own inflectional habits - there is little relationship to underlying 'roots', for they have scant semantic identity. A great many other patterns, involving handfuls of nouns and in unpredictable ways (sometimes even overlapping with the patterns here), will be disregarded. Note too that 'constructs' (e.g. תנורי, תנורו tanurey, tanuro 'ovens-of, his-oven') are mostly restricted to elevated or technical style - and to idiomatic compounds, where they sometimes lose their special form colloquially, e.g. מרק פרות marak perot 'fruit soup', rather than merak perot.

Patterns will be called after a representative noun (some of these patterns are adjectival too). Inflections are specified only where they involve actual phonetic adjustments (rather than vowel-point adjustments on paper). Any inflections are given in the order singular construct, singular suffixed, plural free ('absolute') and plural construct, but note that one or more of these may not be specified below. Plural is often ות- -ot rather than י--im.

Patterns (1-4). With fixed vowels.
(1) CaCuC תפוז tapuz.

Examples: כדור kadur, תנור tanur, עמוד amud, תפוח tapúaH.
(2) CaCiC סכין sakin.

Examples: פטיש patish, לפיש lapid, צדיק tsadik, שליט shalit.
(3) CiCoC גיבור gibor.

Examples: צינור tsinor, רימור rimon, קיפוד kipod, כיור kiyor.
(4) קול CoC kol (contrast with pattern (13)).

Examples: נוף nof, דוף dor, חול Hol, עוף of.
Patterns (5-8). - \(a\) - drops.
(5) CaCaC דבר ~ דבר... ~ דברו ~ דברים ~ דברי davar ~dvar.. ~ dvaro \(\sim\) dvarim \(\sim\) divrey...
Examples: משל mashal, מרק marak, זקן zakan, צבא tsava.
(6) \(\quad\) (CaCa נדבה ~ nidvat... \(\sim\) nidvato \(\sim\) nedavot \(\sim\) nidvot...

Examples: קללה klala, פצצה ptsatsa, נשדקה tsdaka, נשמה neshama.
(7) CaCiC.... סדין ~ סדין... סדינו ~ סדינים ~ סדיני sadin~sdin... \(\sim\) sdino \(\sim\) sdinim \(\sim\) sdiney...
Examples: שכיר saHir, גביע gavia, רציר rahit, בהיט batsir.
(8) CiCaCon zikaron ~ziHron... \(\sim\) ziHrono \(\sim\) ziHronot.
Examples: נקיון nikayon, בטחון bitaHon, שטפון shitafon, כשלון kishalon.

Patterns (9-10). \(-a\) - in plural.
(9) CiCCa שכבה ~ שכבת.... \(\sim\) שכבות ~ שכבות shiHva ~shiHvat...~ shHavot ~ shiHvot...
Examples: ספרה sifra, כבשה kivsa, שפחה shifHa, שמלה simla.
(10) miCCeCet משמרת ~ משמרת... ~ משמרתו ~ משמרות ~ משמרות mishméret \(\sim\) mishméret... \(\sim\) mishmarto \(\sim\) mishmarot \(\sim\) mishmerot...
Examples: מסגרת misgéret, משלחת mishlâHat, מחברת maHbéret.
Pattern (11). \(-e\) - drops.
(11) CiCoCet kidómet ~ kidomto ~ kidomot.
Examples: סיפורת sipóret, טינופת tinófet, גיורת giyóret.
Patterns (12-14). Vowel 'raised'/consonant 'hardened' ('despirantized').
(12) \(\mathrm{CeC/CaC}\) חך ~ חיכו ~ חיכים HeH ~Hiko ~Hikim, מס ~ מיסו ~ מיסים mas ~miso ~ misim.

(13) CoC to dov~dubo~dubim.

Examples: חוק Hok, עול ol, תוף tof, חוף Hod.

Examples: גן gan, סל sal, אף af, גב gav.
Patterns (15-17). Suffixes with fixed stress, generally no \({ }^{\prime}-\)-ey construct suffix - foreignisms, productively borrowed.

Examples: ארגומנט argument, פרלמנט parlament, פטנט patent.
(16) ט- -at: דמוקרט ~ דמוקרטים demokrat ~ demokrátim.

Examples: מורמט format, קנדידט kandidat, קונגלומרט konglomerat.
(17) איה - אמביציה ~ אמביציות :tsya (unstressed) ambitsya ~ambitsyot. Examples: אינפלציה reáktsya, איאקציה inflátsya, אמוציה emótsya.

\subsection*{38.4 Compound nouns, e.g. קולנוע kolnóa 'cinema'}

Compounds are fairly numerous and are semi-productively coined. They are loosely based on two existing words, joined (and sometimes adapted) to form one word, grammatically and graphically. \({ }^{3}\)

\subsection*{38.4.1 Shape}

Most compounds are nouns built either of a construct phrase - often with some shortening - or of verb + noun, the verb radically adjusted so that its vowels fit a typical 'compound pattern'. Such construct phrases generally express the same range of semantic relationships as construct phrases in general (ch.6); verb + noun compounds express 'verb + object'. Examples are given in the table on page 441.

Exceptions to these constructions are: חידק Haydak 'microbe’ (noun + adjective: חי + Hay + dak 'life + thin), אלפבית alefbet 'alphabet' (coordinated nouns); חמשיר Hamshir 'limerick’ (numeral + noun: חמש + שיר + Hamesh + shir '5 + poem').

Trade names are often compounds, e.g. תנועוף tnu’of (רוף + תנובה tnuva
 בנקומט names, compound nouns (unlike suffixed nouns) favour 'native' words. A minor exception are compounds involving a handful of meaningful prefixes that are not independent words: - דו du- 'bi-', פרוטו próto-, etc. Many such prefixes are not strictly 'native'.

Compounds are kept brief - no more than two base-words, no more than five consonants, plus drastic shortenings, giving the appearance of a 'native' root. They also undergo the same derivational processes as native roots: שמרטפת shmartéfet '(female) babysitter', מרומזר merumzar 'with traffic lights'.

\subsection*{38.4.2 Overall grammar}

The plural is stressed (unlike foreignisms) and regular, e.g. קול ~ קולות reshamkolim (contrast ~ kolot).
Like any noun, compounds serve as a basis for other words: - + + ofnóa + an \(\rightarrow\) אופנוען ofnoan 'cyclist'.

\subsection*{38.5 Acronyms, e.g. ר בב rabat 'corporal'}

Acronyms (abbreviations read as words) are fairly numerous and productive, especially in army usage. Most are two-syllabled, with (a) the (canonical) \(a-a\) vowel pattern and regular final stress or (b) occasionally, the chief

\section*{Examples of compound nouns}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Construct phrase & & Verb + noun \({ }^{4}\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
כדורמים ' \\
(kadur + máyim 'ball + water' כדור + מים)
\end{tabular} & SIDE BY SIDE & \begin{tabular}{l}
madHom 'thermometer' מדחום \\
(madad + Hom 'measure + heat' מדד + חום)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{ramkol ‘loudspeaker' רמקול (ram + kol 'loud (ADJ) (of) sound (N)' רם + קול} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
kadurégel 'football' כדורגל \\
(kadur + régel 'ball + foot' כדור + רגל)
\end{tabular} & CONFLATED CONSONANTS & daHpor ‘bulldozer' דחפור (daHaf (v) + Hafar (v) 'push + dig' דחף + חפר) \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
maHazémer 'musical' מחזמר \\
(maHaze + zémer 'play + music' מחזה + זמר)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
migdalor 'lighthouse' מגדלור \\
(migdal + 'or 'tower + light' מגדל + אור)
\end{tabular} & DROPPED CONSONANTS & \begin{tabular}{l}
zarkor 'spotlight' זרקור \\
(זרק + אור (zarak + 'or 'throw + light')
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
קרנף karnaf 'rhinoceros' \\
(keren + 'af 'horn + nose’ קרן + אף)
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
ramzor 'traffic light' רמזור \\
(ramaz + 'or 'wink + light' רמז + אור)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
רכבל rakével'scablecar \\
(rakévet + kével 'train + cable’ רכבת + כבל)
\end{tabular} & DROPPED SYLLABLES & \begin{tabular}{l}
madHan 'parking meter' מדחן \\
(madad + Hanaya 'measure + parking' מדד + חניה)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
midraHov 'pedestrian precinct' מדרחוב \\
(midraHa + reHov 'pavement + street' מדרכה + רחוב)
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'segolate' pattern \(\dot{e}-e\) (or \(a ́-a\) ), or (c) an \(o\) or \(u\) vowel when the letter \(v a v\) is being represented. A double apostrophe precedes the final letter.

Examples are (a) תנ״ tanaH 'Bible' (תורה-נביאים-כתובים tora-nevi'imktuvim 'Torah-prophets-writings'), (נב רב rabat 'corporal' (טוראי ravturay); (b) צה״ל tsáhal 'Israel Defence Forces’ (רבא הגנה לישר tsva hagana leyisra'el), אש״ éshel 'board and lodging expenses' (aHila-shtiya-lina); (c) חו"ל אכילה-שתיה-לינה Hul ‘outside of Israel’ ( מור ( לארץ Huts la-árets ), מו"ל mol 'publisher' (מוציא לאור motsi la-or ).

Many abbreviations, however, are read only as full words, e.g. ארה"ב = א אך הכר = סה" sartsot ha-brit 'USA', hakol 'total'.

\subsection*{38.6 Which syllable is stressed?}

Most nouns take stress on their final syllable - and this shifts onto any added suffix:
\[
\text { טפיל + טם tapil + im tapilim 'parasites' } \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { טפילים }
\]

However, a large class of nouns, of the כבד CéCeC, כובד CóCeC type (and their variants of the נעל náal, נוה nóraHal types) and nouns ending in \(ת\)--et (e.g. the כתובת któvet, משמרת mishméret, סכרת sakéret types, i.e. segolates) take stress on the pre-final syllable, \({ }^{5}\) though stress does shift onto any added suffix, e.g. כתובתו ktovtó.

Notwithstanding, many nouns of כובד CoCeC and כבד CaCaC vowel patterns are final-stressed, i.e. patterns (1a), (4) - שומר shomer, טביס tayas, etc.

Foreignisms mostly have fixed stress, which does not shift to an added suffix.
Where it falls depends on the particular suffix, but usually roughly as set out in (1)-(3) below.
(1) Foreignisms ending in a double consonant have final stress, e.g. -ent: סטודנט student; -izm: מרקסיזם marksizm; -ekt: פריוקט proyekt.
(2) Others have final or, preponderantly, non-final stress, e.g. -op: טלסקופ teleskop; -us: פרימוס primus; -tor: רפרקטור refléktor; -a: ויז ועה viza.
(3) Some exceptional types are: -ika: מוליטיקה politika; -on: מיקופ mikrofón vs. טלפון télefon.

Similarly, some emotive words (e.g. some first names/children's words), and
 gúla \(\sim\) gúlot 'marbles', סולות גבת \(\sim\) sávta \(\sim\) sávtot 'grandmas', מ"ם mem-kaf ~ mem-káfim 'platoon commanders'.

FURTHER READING
Ben-Hayyim 1971; Bolozky 1972, 1980; Di-nur 1979; Masson 1976; Netzer 1976; Nir 1979; Rabin 1985; Rosén 1955, 1977, 1979.

\section*{39. Gender and number in the noun}

\subsection*{39.1 What is gender?}

All Hebrew nouns have a gender, either masculine or feminine (the conventional terms). There are two types - intrinsic gender and inflectional gender.

\section*{Intrinsic gender}

For most nouns, gender has nothing to do with male or female or even masculinity and femininity - not even to the extent of causing any sexual loading on asexual words - but rather with two purely grammatical phenomena: agreement and the noun's own form.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Primary phenomenon: Agreement (details in chs 12, 18)} & Gender Masculine noun & Characteristic Adjectives qualifying such a noun add no suffix (are 'unmarked') in the singular. \\
\hline & Feminine noun & Adjectives qualifying such a noun add ת-/ה- \(-a /-t\) in the singular. \\
\hline Secondary phenomenon: The noun's own form & Masculine noun & Usually these are 'unmarked', i.e. have no special suffix in the singular. \\
\hline & Feminine noun & Usually these end in ת/ה-a/-t in the singular. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples are: השקית ha-sak nishbar ‘The sack has broken’ (m.); השק נשבר (fa-sakit nishbera 'The bag has broken’ (f.).
Such nouns do not offer a 'choice' of gender - it is intrinsic (and is the same for singular as for plural); thus תקלה takala 'mishap' (f.) has no תקל* *takal as a masculine counterpart. See further in 39.2-3.

\section*{Inflectional gender}

Most nouns denoting humans (and often animals) have two versions: feminine for females, masculine for males, the feminine usually adding a predictable suffix to the masculine base - this is inflectional gender; see further in 39.4-5. ('Number', too, is inflectional; see 39.6).

\section*{39.2-3 INTRINSIC GENDER AND ITS FORM}

\subsection*{39.2 Meaning-based gender}

Several semantic domains might appear to have a preferred gender, thanks to the meaningfulness of particular noun patterns, e.g. ailments, being largely of the כבדת kabédet pattern, are mostly feminine as a result. Such things aside, only a handful of domains have a clearly preferred gender - mostly feminine:

\subsection*{39.2.1 Names}

Countries and towns are feminine singular (note feminine מדינה medina 'country', ארץ érets 'country', עיר ir 'town'), though they need not be feminine singular in form: ארצות הברית artsot habrit 'the United States', ישר אל עisra'el 'Israel', לבנון levanon 'Lebanon', הונגריה hungárya 'Hungary', דניה dénya ‘Denmark’, תל אביב tel aviv, חדרה Hadéra, רחו reHóvot, חיפה Háyfa / Heyfá 'Haifa'.

Villages are often of both genders (note masculine מקום makom 'place', כפר kfar 'village'):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
shilo dey & meruHak (m.) \\
meruHéket (f.)
\end{tabular}

Regions, islands, mountains, rivers are generally masculine (איז אור ezor 'region', אי \(i\) 'island', הר har 'mountain', נהר nahar 'river' are masculine.) However, feminine-looking cases are often treated as feminine:
ha-témza dey \begin{tabular}{l} 
amok \\
amuka
\end{tabular} po \(\quad\) פתמזה די עמוקה \begin{tabular}{c} 
פה
\end{tabular} 'The Thames is quite deep here'
First ('given') names follow the sex of the bearer. Many names for females end in \(ת-\pi--a,-t\); most names for males have no suffix. \({ }^{1}\) This holds particularly for newer names. Examples are: females: גילה gila, מרית sarit, מיר merav; males: גיל gil, אביב aviv.

Companies are 'personified' according to the form of the name (even though אסם מייצר... Hevrat ósem 'The Osem Company’ is feminine); ósem meyatser... 'Osem produces...', ... תנובה/ויטה מיצר tnúva/vita meyatséret... ‘Tnuva / Vita produces...’. Exceptions include אל-על el-al (f.). Names of products are masculine: אמה התיוקר áma hityaker 'Ama has gone up in price'.

Festivals are masculine ( A מתי מתחיל : Hag 'festival' is masculine): חנוכה/סוכות? matay matHil Hanuka/sukot? 'When does Hanuka/Sukot begin?’, פורים שמח purim saméaH 'Happy Purim'. So too are books and newspapers (ספר séfer 'book', עיתון iton 'newspaper' are masculine).

Letters of the alphabet are feminine ( אות ot 'letter' is feminine) and numbers masculine (as is מספר mispar ‘number'): אלר גדולה álef gdola ‘a big alef',
 ' \(x\) ' are concepts in themselves and are masculine.

\subsection*{39.2.2 Other nouns}

Words for paired or multiple limbs are mostly feminine - but mostly unsuffixed: אוזן ózen 'ear', שן shen 'tooth, קרן kéren 'horn', שפה safa 'lip',
 ger', כעצם étsem 'bone', כנף kanaf 'wing'.

Words for intrinsically paired objects, \({ }^{2}\) used in the 'dual plural' (the suffix is יי--áyim, see 39.11) and not in the singular, tend to allow either gender, with a preference for feminine, influenced perhaps by paired-limb words (above). Purists, however, regard them as masculine: מכנסיים miHnasáyim 'pants', מספריים ofnáyim ‘bicycle’, אופניים mishkafáyim 'glasses', משפיים misparáyim 'scissors', מאזניים moznáyim 'scales'.
Words for paired objects \({ }^{3}\) other than limbs, used in both the singular and the dual, do not act as a group as regards gender. Thus: יום ~ יומיים yom ~ yomáyim 'day', חודש~ חודשיים Hódesh ~ Hodsháyim 'month', מ קום ~ מגו מגפיים magaf ~ magafáyim 'boot', קב ~ קביים kav ~ kabáyim 'stilt, crutch' are all masculine, but שנה ~ שנתיים shana ~ shnatáyim 'year', נעל ~ נעליים náal ~ naaláyim 'shoe' are feminine.

\subsection*{39.3 Non-meaning-based gender}

Nouns with the suffixes \(ת-\pi-a,{ }^{4}-t^{5}\) are virtually all feminine, e.g. מלה mila 'word', וילה vila 'villa’, גלות galut 'exile', תווית tavit 'label', מחתרת maHtéret 'underground'.
Other nouns are mostly masculine, e.g. בורג bóreg ‘screw', תכשיט taHshit 'jewel', שגעון shiga'on 'lunacy'.
There are a few feminine words (besides those in 39.2) among unsuffixed nouns, including many segolates (éee, á-a nouns), but not in a productive way. Notable examples are: ארץ érets 'land', קרקע karka 'ground', תבל tevel 'World’; תרוח rúaH 'wind, spirit’, אש esh ‘fire', תמש shémesh ‘sun', תמש tehom 'abyss'; אבן éven ‘stone', באר be'er ‘well', גדר gader ‘fence', חצר Hatser 'yard', גורן góren ‘barn', דרך déreH 'way, road', כיכר kikar ‘square, loaf', עיר ir 'town’; ציפור tsipor ‘bird', עפש néfesh ‘soul'; בטן béten ‘stomach', לשון lashon 'tongue’; גפן géfen 'vine'; נעל náal 'shoe’, מחט máHat 'needle', כוס kos ‘glass', (casually) עט et 'pen', חרב Hérev ‘sword'; אעם páam ‘time'; אמת ' emét 'truth'; קשת késhet 'bow' and most segolates with ת- \(t\).
A handful possess both genders, notably:סכין סכין גילוח sakin gilúaH 'razor' is masculine), דיו dyo 'ink', פניו yated 'peg', פנים panim 'face'.

\section*{39.4-5 INFLECTIONAL (MALE ~ FEMALE) GENDER AND ITS FORM}

\subsection*{39.4 When is there inflection?}

\subsection*{39.4.1 Denoting people}

Virtually all nouns that ordinarily denote people have a masculine and a feminine form: \({ }^{6}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { tabaH } \sim \text { tabaHit טבח ~ טבחית } \\
& \text { 'male cook } \sim \text { female cook' } \\
& \text { more ~ mora מורה ~ מורה } \\
& \text { 'male teacher } \sim \text { woman teacher' } \\
& \text { sgan menahel } \sim \text { sganit menahel סגן מנהל } \sim \\
& \text { 'male deputy manager } \sim \text { female deputy manager' }
\end{aligned}
\]

The feminine form is even used, as predicate, for countries (which are themselves feminine: 39.2.1), as in:
brit ha-moatsot doréshet, ke-sapakit neft,... ברה״״ דורשת, כספקית נפט,... f.

UNDERLYING PRED
'The USSR insists, as an oil supplier,...'

\subsection*{39.4.2 Exceptions to 39.4.1}

A few nouns denoting people do not inflect but are nevertheless both masculine and feminine in terms of agreement; they include:
(a) The titles פרופסור profesor, דוקטור dóktor, some (puristically, all) military ranks, e.g. סגן ségen 'Lieutenant', סרן séren 'Captain'.
(b) ר rosh 'head' in such expressions as:

Denoting a woman
rosh ha-memshala ha- \(\begin{aligned} & \text { noHeHi (m.) } \\ & \text { noHeHit (f.) }\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}\text { maamina... } \\ \text { no..... }\end{gathered}\)

Denoting a man
...noHeHi...maamin... ראש הממשלה הנוכחי מאמין...
m . m.
Both: 'The present Prime Minister believes...'
(c) The feminine of שר sar 'minister' can be either שר sar or שרה sara.
(d) A few miscellaneous items, e.g. מותק mótek 'darling', קולגה koléga ‘colleague':
ata kaze mótek! m . m.
at kazot mótek!
f. f.

אתה כזה מותק!
'You're such a darling!' (to male)
את כזאת מותק!
'You're such a darling!' (to female)

\subsection*{39.4.3 No gender distinction}

אן ben-adam 'person' lack a feminine form, but can be used of females as well as males when acting as predicate. However, as such they are clearly masculine nouns in their own right, (unlike מותק mótek - see 39.4.2), as is shown by their agreement: \({ }^{7}\)


\subsection*{39.4.4 Animals}

Animal terms in which 'male vs. female' has some significance usually have a masculine and feminine form:
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
avaz \(\sim\) avaza & 'goose' & אווז \\
táyish ~ iza & 'goat' & תווזה \\
kélev \(\sim\) kalba & 'dog' & כלבה
\end{tabular}

However, one of the two forms is used as a generic term, usually the masculine, e.g. כלב kélev ‘dog', אווז avaz ‘duck', but occasionally the feminine, \({ }^{8}\) e.g. פרה para 'cow', כבשה kivsa 'sheep'. \({ }^{9}\)

Where only one form exists, 'male vs. female’ can be achieved by נקבת הנשר nekevat ha-nésher 'female of the eagle' etc., but usually the noun is simply used in whatever gender it has with no respect for sex:

> m.: שועל shu'al 'fox', נחור naHash 'snake', ציפור arnévet 'hare', ערנבו tsipor 'bird', עורת orev 'crow'
> femala 'ant'

\subsection*{39.5 The form of the inflection}

\subsection*{39.5.1 Suffixes}

The form of the feminine depends near-automatically on the form of the masculine (mostly morphophonologically but in 39.5 .2 sometimes phonologically) - as set out in 39.5.2-4. We are concerned here with the suffixes, not with internal adjustments such as those in דוב ~ דובה dov ~duba 'bear ~ she-bear'.
Sections 39.5.2-3 mainly involve native, unsuffixed nouns ('core nouns'), while 39.5 .4 involves mainly suffixed nouns, or foreignisms. For a general outline of noun patterns, suffixes and internal adjustments, see chapter 38.

\section*{The inflection of nouns vs. verbs and adjectives}

The rules in 39.5.2-4 also apply largely to adjectives and verbs (see chs. 40,41 ), where these exist in the relevant patterns. For example, the inflection of \(-e\) - words (39.5.3) creates שופט~ שופטת shofet \(\sim\) shofétet both as a noun (meaning 'judge') and as a verb ('is judging'). Similarly, the feminine of loan words involves ית - -it both for nouns (39.5.4), e.g. ברבר ברברית
barbar~barbárit 'barbarian', and for adjectives, e.g. זיפת zift~ziftit 'rotten'.
The plural suffix, by contrast, often differs for nouns and adjectives (see 39.8), e.g. שולחנות עגולים shulHanot agulim 'round tables'. Furthermore, the internal adjustments caused by feminine suffixes on nouns and adjectives are often quite unlike those found in verbs:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
N: & gamal \(\sim\) gmala & 'camel~she-camel' & d.
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{39.5.2 Taking the stressed suffix - -á}

The following take the suffix \(n--a\) (stressed): \({ }^{10}\)
(a) One-syllable nouns, e.g. אל el ela 'god~goddess'. Similarly, של אלה sar 'minister', צבי tsvi 'deer', and others.
(b) Nouns whose last stem-vowel is \(-i\) - or \(-u\) - ('high vowels') or -0 -; e.g. (1) \(-i-\) מלמיד~תלמידה talmid~talmida 'student'; similarly מנהיג manhig ‘leader', ani 'pauper' etc. (2)-u- בני baHur~baHura 'boy~girl'; simi-
 yatom~yetoma 'orphan'; similarly לקוח lakóaH 'customer', חמור Hamor 'donkey' etc.
(c) Nouns whose last stem-vowel is \(-e\) - or \(-a\)-, provided they belong to a pattern with a 'fluctuating' first vowel (for those with a 'fixed' first vowel, see 39.5.3), e.g. כבד saved pattern: שכן שככנה shaHen~shHena 'neighbour'; (2) כבד kavad pattern: גמל gamal~gmala 'camel'; (3) כבד גמלה kéved pattern (and variants, see ch. 38): כבש~ yé yéled~yalda 'boy~girl', כדשה kéves \(\sim k i v s a\) 'sheep'.
(d) Nouns ending in --e, e.g. מורה~מורה more~mora 'teacher'. Similarly, martse 'lecturer', שוטה shote 'lunatic', and others
(e) Ethnicity nouns ('gentilics') ending in the stressed suffix \(\quad--i\) ( 38.2 pattern (24)), most of which are apparently those European/Middle Eastern groups perceived as prominent around 1900, e.g. צרפתי~צרפתיה tsarfati~tsarfatia ‘Frenchman~Frenchwoman';11 and similarly, אנגלי angli ‘Englishman', גרמני germani ‘German', הונגרי hungarí ‘Hungarian', תורכי turki ‘Turk', ער בי araví ‘Arab', אשכנזי ashkenazi ‘Ashkenazi', יהודי yehudi 'Jew', נוצרי notsri 'Christian', נכרי noHri 'foreigner', צועני tsoani ‘Gypsy, כפרי kafri 'peasant', דתי datí 'religious person'.

Examples of gentilics with unstressed \(י--i\) plus feminine suffix \(ת--t\) are הלגי ~ בלגית hólgi~bélgit 'Belgian', הודי~הודית hódi~hódit 'Indian' etc.

\subsection*{39.5.3 Taking the unstressed suffix \(\boldsymbol{ת}\) - -et (or its variant ת--at)}

This involves nouns whose last stem-vowel is \(-e\) - or \(-a\) - (cf. 39.5.2) but with a 'fixed' first vowel:12 (1)-e- גיבן גיבנת giben \(\sim\) gibénet 'hunchback'; similarly מודד moded 'surveyor', מחנך meHaneH 'educator'. (2) -aמוכב ~כוכבת koHav~koHévet 'star (performer)'; similarly גנן ganan 'nursery
teacher', חייל Hayal 'soldier', סרטט sartat 'draughtsman', שמרטף shmartaf 'babysitter'. Verb-shaped nouns with \(-a\)-: neesham 'defendant', משומש meshumad 'apostate', מועמד muamad 'candidate'.
It also involves the few nouns with diminutive \(ו\) - -on, e.g. טיפשון טיפשונת tipshon~tipshónet 'little fool'.

\subsection*{39.5.4 Taking the suffix \(\boldsymbol{\pi}\) - -it (commonest of the three suffixes)}
(a) Nouns with a suffix 1 --an (see 38.2): (1) כבד \(k\) kavd+an pattern' מרדן~מרדנית mardan~mardanit ‘rebel': also בכיין baHyan ‘crybaby', ליצן~ליצנית dakdekan 'pedant' etc. (2) 1- an pattern: דקדקן letsan~letsanit 'clown'; also מדען mad'an 'scientist', סולן solan 'soloist' etc. (b) Nouns with suffix - - \(a y,-a ' i\) : חקלאי~חקלאית Haklay~Hakla'it 'farmer'; also כבאי kabay 'fireman', עתונאי itona'i 'journalist' (-y, -i drop before -it is added - also before plural -im, see note 17).
(c) Ethnicity nouns ending in unstressed י-i: יפני \(\quad\) יפנית yapáni yapánit 'Japanese' (-i drops before -it is added).
(d) Some כבד (kabad)-type nouns, notably (1) those with 1--an, appearing as if ) \(--a n\) were a suffix rather than part of the stem; (2) uncommon words, treated as foreignisms, like those below; (3) sundry (for various reasons):
(1) זבן zaban~zabanit 'salesperson'; also נגן גבנית nagan 'musician', ganan~gananit 'gardener' (but גננ ganénet 'nursery teacher', 39.5.3).
(2) דווד~ דוודית davad~davadit 'kettle-maker', קשט kashat 'decorator' etc.
 tabaH 'cook' etc.
(e) The few nouns with four-consonant stems, e.g. אזרח~אזרחית ezraH~ezraHit 'citizen'; also קזו גזר gizbar 'treasurer', אפרוח efróaH 'chick' etc. (except כבדד CaCCaC type, e.g. סרטט, see 39.5.3).
(f) 'Marginal' words - unstressed \(\quad\) - -it, e.g. (1) foreignisms: shvitser~shvitserit 'show-off'; also סוויצר~שוויצרית
 sagam~sagámit '2nd Lieutenant', רב rabat 'Corporal' etc.

\section*{39.6-13 NUMBER IN THE NOUN}

\subsection*{39.6 What is number?}

Every occurrence of a Hebrew noun has 'number', singular or plural; many but by no means all nouns can occur with either. 'Number' is a matter of meaning (for most nouns) and of grammar.

\subsection*{39.6.1 Meaning}

Note first that nouns are either 'countable' or 'non-countable' (some are both, depending on their meaning):

\section*{Singular}

COUNTABLE:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & kéves & 'a sheep' & כבש & shlosha kvasim & \begin{tabular}{l}
שלושה כבשים \\
'three sheep (pl.)'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{NON-COUNTAPLE:} \\
\hline Collective: & tson & 'sheep' & צאן & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{but not:}} \\
\hline Stuff: & kéraH & 'ice' & קרח & & \\
\hline & & & & \{tson/tsonim\} & *שלושה (צאן/צאנים| \\
\hline Abstract: & kor & 'cold' & קור & ('three & sheep (s.)/(pl.)') etc. \\
\hline Proper name: & yaakov & & יעקב & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For nearly all 'countable' nouns: Singular denotes one: אוניה oniya 'a ship'; Plural denotes more than one: אוניות oniyot 'ships'. 'Non-countable' nouns lack number contrast - nearly all are only singular, and a few only plural (thus, singular is 'unmarked'); see further 39.7.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Only singular: & óHel & 'food' & אוכלת \\
only plural: & Hadashot & 'news' &
\end{tabular}
39.6.2 Grammar

The noun's own form: singular has no special ending: plural usually ends in ים--im or ות - ot.
Agreement: words agreeing with a singular noun add no special ending for number; words agreeing with a plural noun add ים --im (m.) or ות- ot (f.). Examples are:
\begin{tabular}{lclr} 
Hatulim Humim & net. \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
nl. \\
pl. \\
cats brown
\end{tabular} & 'brown cats' & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Hatul Hum \\
s. \\
cat brown
\end{tabular} & 'brown cat'
\end{tabular}

\section*{Dual}

Though traditional grammars talk of three-way number, i.e. singular, plural and (for a few nouns ending in \(0 י\) - -áyim) dual, dual is mostly none other than a particular form of the plural used with certain words, as in:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
shloshim shináyim & 'thirty teeth' & אלושים שרינליים \\
arba ragláyim & 'four legs' &
\end{tabular}

This is discussed under the heading 'Pseudo-dual' in 39.11. By contrast, a few nouns have a real dual suffix, equivalent to adding the numeral ' 2 ' (see 39.11 ), e.g. יומיים yomáyim 'two days'.

\subsection*{39.7 Countable and non-countable: בגד béged 'garment' vs. ביגוד bigud 'clothing'}

\subsection*{39.7.1 Countability}

Commonly, nouns for individual objects or events are countable ( מכונית meHonit 'car', תאונה teuna 'accident') while those denoting stuff (אש esh 'fire', זהב zahav ‘gold’), quality (יופ yófi ‘beauty', חום Hom 'heat'), proper
names (יעקב yaakov 'Jacob’) and many abstract nouns (סיוע siyúa 'help’, שכר saHar 'pay', שלום shalom 'peace') are non-countable.

Often, however, something can be viewed as both countable and noncountable, in four ways:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Countable & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Non-countable} \\
\hline (1) Using the units) & me word for both & specially & if a 'stu & comn & d in \\
\hline zHuHit & 'a pane of glass' & זכוכית & zHuHit & 'glass' & זכוכית \\
\hline léHem & 'a loaf & לחם & lêHem & 'bread' & לחם \\
\hline dag & 'a fish' & דג & dag & 'fish' & דג \\
\hline sukar & 'a piece of sugar' & סוכר & sukar & 'sugar' & סוכר \\
\hline gir & 'a piece of chalk' & גיר & gir & 'chalk' & גיר \\
\hline ets & 'a piece of wood' & עץ & ets & 'wood' & עץ \\
\hline niyar & 'a sheet of paper' (C) & נייר & niyar & 'paper' & נייר \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This is the general rule for vegetables, but not for fruit:
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
sheu'it & 'a bean' & אעועועית & אפונה & sheu'it & afuna
\end{tabular}
and ססה Hása 'lettuce', רזג gézer 'carrot’, סלק sélek 'beetroot', בצל batsal 'onion', חיטה Hita 'wheat(-ear)' etc. (but עדשה adasha 'lentil' is only countable) - as against תפוח tapúaH ‘an apple’, עינב enav ‘a grape', עגבניה agvaniya ‘a tomato’ etc.
(2) But often, one noun can hereby have two distinct meanings (a 'doublet'):

(3) Occasionally the basic meaning distinction between countable and non-countable can be achieved by using two different nouns:

(4) But sometimes, surprisingly, a concrete object is non-countable - usually an inherent plural or dual, e.g. מגורים megurim 'residence'. See further in 39.7.2.

\section*{Further countable non-countables}

Proper names can regularly be used as countable common nouns - in two ways (details in 39.10.):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
'100 people called Einstein' & mea áynshtayn(im) & mea áynshtaynim \\
'100 Einsteins' & & \\
(Einstein-like people) & &
\end{tabular}

But 'three kinds of sugar, bread', etc. is usually expressed as שלושה מיני סוכר
 ינות shlosha sukárim, leHamim ('three sugars, breads'); an exception is לחמים yenot 'wines'.

\subsection*{39.7.2 The plural with non-countables \({ }^{13}\)}

A good few non-countables require the plural: דברים dvarim 'words' ( ח חבר davar 'a thing'), כמרים Hayim 'life', כבסים kvasim 'laundry', כולות klulot 'wedding', מגורים megurim 'residence', מיים máyim 'water', עשרות asarot 'tens (of...)', אירוסים erusim 'engagement'. Dualia tantum: אופניים ofanáyim 'bicycle', מכנסיים miHnasáyim 'pants', משקפיים mishkafáyim 'glasses'. \({ }^{14}\) This is a productive phenomenon.

\subsection*{39.8 Singular and plural}

\subsection*{39.8.1 When is there singular or plural?}

Virtually all countable nouns allow the distinction: singular 'one' vs. plural 'more than one'. Even when singular nouns are collective, denoting people or things viewed as one unit (ועד váad 'commitee', סט set 'set' etc.), they are singular in form and agreement: \({ }^{15}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ha-váad hitpater (*hitpatru) } \\
& \text { the committee has- (*have-)resigned }
\end{aligned}
\]

הוועד התפטר (*התפטרו)

Occasionally, a plural noun is employed as a name - and then has the number (as well as the gender, see 39.2.1.) of the person/thing named: a person: חיים Háyim, רחמים ráHamim (contrast Hayím (final stress) meaning 'life'); a place: ארצות הברית artsot ha-brit ‘USA', רחובות reHóvot, עלומים alumim.

\section*{No plural}

A few countables rely on paraphrase ('suppletion') for their plural, e.g. מכולת ~ makólet ~Hanuyot makólet'grocery store ~s’, א אדם ~ בני-אדם

The pronouns מי mi 'who?', מישהו mishehu 'someone' have no plural (though they have gender):
mi ba (*ba’im) מישהו בא (באים) \(\quad\) míshehu
who
someone (*are) coming
Several countables with no plural suffix can in fact be plural ('zero plural'),
e.g. שני יעקב shney yáakov 'two Yaakovs', שני אבוקדו shney avokádo 'two avocados'; see further 39.10.

\section*{No singular}

A few countables rely on paraphrase for their singular:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline shlosha Hévre shlosha anashim & \(\sim\) adam eHad & שלושה אנשים ח ~ אדם אחד \\
\hline & & 'three people \(\sim\) one person' \\
\hline shlosha bney-nóa & \(\sim\) náar eHad & שלושה בני-נוער ~ נער אחד 'three youths \(\sim\) one youth' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Most plurals with no singular are in fact non-countables (see 39.7.2).
A handful of non-countable plural nouns with no singular are re-employed (casually) as countables (as if like חבר'ה Hévre 'people'), e.g. פנים~שני פנים panim ~ shney panim 'face ~ two faces', מאזניים ~ שני מאזניים' moznáyim ~ shney moznáyim 'scales ~ two scales' (but often זוג zug 'pair' is used: מספריים ~ שני זוגות מספריים misparáyim ~ shney zugot misparáyim 'scissors ~ two pairs of scissors'; see 39.7.2).

\subsection*{39.8.2 The placement of the plural suffix}

The plural 'morpheme' always comes at the end of the noun. But how to define this 'end' is a matter of some complexity.

There are four degrees of noun combination (see 38.4) as set out in the table here; notice the position of \(-\pi h a\) - 'the'. The plural suffix is in fact the ultimate key to 'surface' word-division. \({ }^{16}\)

Placement of plural suffix in the four types of noun combination
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|l}
\hline \hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Combination \\
type
\end{tabular} & Example
\end{tabular}

\section*{39.9-10 FORM OF THE PLURAL}

Plural is virtually always expressed by a suffix, occasionally by 'nothing' ('zero'). The suffix often entails internal adjustments to the word - briefly described in 38.2 together with other suffix adjustments.

\subsection*{39.9 Plural suffixes}

\subsection*{39.9.1 General outline}

The masculine plural suffix is י--im in the 'absolute (free) state' and \({ }^{---e y}\) in the 'construct state', e.g. the plural of ארגז argaz 'crate' is:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Absolute plural: & argazim & 'crates' & \\
Construct plural: & argazey (tapuzim) & 'crates-of (oranges)' & ארגזים (תפוזים)
\end{tabular}

There are numerous exceptions, described in 39.9.2.
The feminine plural suffix is ו- ot in both absolute and construct state, e.g. the plural of תקרה tikra 'ceiling' is:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Absolute plural: & tikrot & 'ceilings' & \begin{tabular}{l} 
תקרות (y) \\
Construct plural:
\end{tabular} \\
(ikrot (ets) & 'ceilings-of (wood)' &
\end{tabular}

There are occasional exceptions. \({ }^{17}\)

\subsection*{39.9.2 Masculine nouns with exceptional plurals}

\section*{Plural ו- ot (non-productive)}

At least eighty masculine nouns take ו- - ot. Of these over half have \(-o\) - as their last stem-vowel. The main types are as follows:
(a) Most nouns with ון-on (whether clearly a suffix or not), \({ }^{18}\) e.g. ארמון armon 'palace', וילון vilon 'curtain',
(b) Most other nouns with \(-o\) - (or \(-\delta a\)-) as last vowel, \({ }^{19}\) especially: one-syllable: אור or 'light', כוח kóaH 'force', בור bor 'pit'; two-syllable: חלום Halom ‘dream', יסוד yesod 'basis', לקוח lakóaH ‘customer'; m- prefix: מבוח mavo 'preface', מחול maHol 'dance', מקצוע miktsóa 'profession'.
(c) Most nouns in \(\mathrm{F}_{\boldsymbol{F}}-e\) e. e.g. מחזה maHaze 'play', מחנה maHane 'camp', מקווה mikve 'ritual bath' (~מקוואות mikva'ot), משקה mashke 'drink' ( משקאות mashka'ot), משק sade 'field' (some exceptions: מבנה mivne 'structure', מקרה mikre 'instance').
(d) Sundry, e.g. זוג zug 'pair', לוג lúaH ‘blackboard', אב av 'father', אוצר otsar 'treasury', אילן ilan 'tree', מפתח maftéaH 'key', מזלג mazleg 'fork', יער yáar 'forest', נהר nahar 'river', לילה láyla (~lelot) 'night'.

\subsection*{39.9.3 Feminine nouns with exceptional plurals}

Most ית, --it, -ut nouns drop - -r - \(t\) and then add (not
 kamut \(\sim\) kamuyot 'quantity'.

\section*{Plural ©י im (non-productive)}

Some thirty feminine nouns take -im, including about half of the feminine nouns lacking a feminine suffix, e.g. אעבן אבנים éven~avanim 'stone', עיר עים עים ir~arim 'town'. The group includes some dozen plants, fruits etc., e.g. אפונה ~אפונים afuna~afunim 'pea', בוטנה botna 'peanut', שושנה shoshana 'lily'; some fauna, e.g. יונה yona 'pigeon', כלמה dvora 'bee', כבורה kina 'louse', נמלה nemala 'ant'. It also includes sundry items, e.g. ביצה betsa
 neshey or neshot) 'woman', שנה שנים shana~shanim (construct שנות shnot) 'year'.

\subsection*{39.10 'Zero plural', e.g. שני אגוקדו shney avokádo 'two avocados'}

Proper names in the plural and a small phonological class of nouns can express plural without using a suffix, i.e. 'zero plural'.

Proper names have three supplementary roles, in which they can be plural: These are described in (a)-(c) below.
(a) Family names can be used to denote all the family - in the plural: either with - - ...n ha (DEF)-im (pl.) (i.e. in all respects a common noun) or with neither (i.e. a kind of proper noun):
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
ha-grínbergim ba'im \\
grinberg
\end{tabular} \\
& 'The Greenbergs are coming' \\
גרינברינברגים
\end{tabular}
aHron ha-kénedim
אחרון הקנדים
'the last of the Kennedys'
(b) Family names 'commonized' to denote 'people called...' can take י-im or zero:

> góldberg eHad u-shlosha grínberg(im) גולדברג אחד ושלושה גרינברגוים) 'one Goldberg and three Greenbergs'
Other names take 'zero': \({ }^{21}\)
shney yáakov ve-shalosh miHal
שני יעקב ושלוש מיכל
'two Yaakovs and three Michals'
(c) A name denoting a 'type' is generally suffixed, like any plural common noun:
hayu fróydim ve-yiyu fróydim
היו פרוידים ויהיו פרוידים
'There have been Freuds and there will be Freuds'
A phonological class are nouns ending in the vowels ;--o, \(-\boldsymbol{u}\). They tend to take zero plural. (To avoid the same problem of vowel + suffix, \(>,-,-,-e,-i\) as final vowels, and often \(-a\), drop before a suffix.) Examples are:

\subsection*{39.11 Dual (יומיים' yomáyim 'two days') and pseudo-dual (רגליים ragláyim 'legs')}

There are two types of 'duality'.

\section*{Real dual - an ‘allomorph' of שת שיים shtáyim (see further 8.11)}

With time units, the number 'two' is expressed not by שתיים shtáyim but by the dual suffix \(\quad\)--áyim, usurping the plural suffix:22
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
shaatáyim & 'two hours' & שעתיים \\
shvu'áyim & 'two weeks' &
\end{tabular} (not necessarily 'two consecutive weeks' etc.)

\section*{Pseudo-dual: a type of plural}

At least thirty nouns form their plural in \(\quad\)-- -áyim instead of in ים, --im, -ot. Like other plural (and singular) suffixes, this has a construct form, י-éy, and agreeing verbs etc. have the usual plural form:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
ragley ha-yeladim \\
construct
\end{tabular} & 'the legs of the children' & \\
רגלי הילדים haty
\end{tabular}

There are four types of pseudo dual, set out in (a)-(d) below.
(a) Most paired external limbs (they may in practice be more than two - hence the heading 'pseudo-dual': רגליים ragláyim is 'two legs' in pragmatic usage):23

(b) A few other typically-paired objects, e.g. שתי /שלוש נעליים, גרביים, קביים shtey/shalosh naaláyim, garbáyim, kabáyim 'two/three shoes, socks, stilts'.
(c) A few intrinsically-paired objects (mostly non-countable), e.g. מלקחיים melkaHáyim 'pliers', משקפיים mishkafáyim 'glasses', ריחיים reHáyim 'millstone'.
(d) A few non-paired objects, e.g. מיים máyim 'water', צהריים tsohoráyim 'lunchtime’, כיריים kiráyim 'stove’.
However, a few such nouns also have a non-paired sense and a non-dual plural, e.g. שפפה \(\operatorname{mafa\sim safot~'language'.~}\)
39.12-13 'PLURAL-LOSS' (שלושים יום SHLOSHIM YOM 'THIRTY DAYS')

Certain plural nouns - plural in meaning and agreement - are superficially singular in form when combined with certain numerals:
shloshim yom avru (*...yamim...) שלושים יום עברו (...........) 30 day passed (*...days...)
Two alternative processes are at work: 'plural-loss' and 'super-plural-loss'.

\subsection*{39.12 'Plural-loss'}

Time units \({ }^{24}\) with \({ }^{\text {י- -im may revert to their singular form when }}\) combined with numerals over 10 ('non-digitals') \({ }^{25}\) :
(1) aHad-asar yom/yamim

אחד-עשר יום/ימים 11 day/days
(2) esrim réga

עשרים רגע 20 minute
(3) esrim u-shlosha Hódesh/Hodashim

עשרים ושלושה חודש/חודשים 23 month/months
(4) shva-me'ot shana

שבע-מאות שנה 700 year
Plural-loss is obligatory when the numeral itself ends in a plural suffix, as in examples \((2,4)\) - thus avoiding two consecutive plural suffixes - and optional elsewhere. \({ }^{26}\)

Some other, disparate nouns, notably חבר Haver 'member', ילד yéled 'child',
 tion', עמוד amud 'page', also undergo plural loss - optionally.

\subsection*{39.13 'Super-plural-loss'}

This process goes further: amount terms, and certain 'group terms', with י--im revert to singular form when combined with any numeral \({ }^{27}\) (except puristically):
hayu shmonim dólar/ish
היו שמונים דולר/איש there-were eighty dollar/person
Amount terms are of four types: (1) currencies: מארק mark, גרוש grush, פונט funt etc.; (2) measurements: מטר méter 'metre', קילו kilo, ואט vat 'watt', קשר \(k e ́ s h e r ~ ' k n o t ' ~ e t c . ; ~(3) ~ n u m e r a l s: ~ מ ל י ו ן ~ m i l y o n, ~ מ ל י א ר ד ~ m i l y a r d ~ ' b i l l i o n ', ~\)
 Hayal 'soldier', ר ראש rosh 'head (of livestock)', איש ish 'person'.

\section*{40. Verb types and their inflections}

\subsection*{40.1 Introduction: what is a verb?}

צדק :Verbs usually denote an action, but this is only a rough guide tsadak 'be right', טעה ta'a 'be wrong', אהב ahav 'love' and many others arguably denote state, just like adjectives.

Verbs are distinct in shape from adjectives or nouns, in that they alone have past and future tense inflections, and imperative and infinitive forms: \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Past : & daráHti & 'I stepped' & דרכרוך \\
Future : & edroH & 'I'll step & דרוך \\
Imperative \(:\) & droH & 'Step!' & \\
Infinitive: & lidroH & 'to step' &
\end{tabular}

However, the present tense form (and inflection) of verbs is not exclusive to verbs; it is used for many nouns and adjectives too, especially 'present participle' adjectives and nouns (derived from many verbs):

Present verb: noded 'migrate' נודד mealef 'train' מאלף
Noun: noded 'wanderer' נודד mealef 'trainer' מאלף
Adjective: noded 'migratory' נודד mealef 'instructive' מאלף
Yet all verbs, even present tense, are distinct syntactically from adjectives and nouns. (1) They always directly complement their subject, with no intervening copula (הוא hu, היא hi, etc., see 16.2):

> Hatulim (*hem) megargerim 'Cats (*are) purr' חתולים (*הם) מגרגרים gam nemerim (*hem) yeHolim 'Even tigers (*are) can’ גם נמרים (*הם) יכולים
(2) For several other negative distinctions, see 41.2.

\section*{40.2-9 VERB TYPES}

In 40.2-9 are described the various types of root and verb pattern. The rest of the chapter deals with inflection of such patterns, for tense, gender, number and person.

All Hebrew verbs \({ }^{2}\) consist of (a) some vowel pattern or prefix + vowel pattern (traditional term: binyan, pl. binyanim, i.e. 'structure'), slotted into (b) a skeleton of consonants (the 'root'). \({ }^{3}\) The pattern and root do not occur independently but only in combination. For a given root, up to seven patterns may be available:
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
Root & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Vowel \\
pattern \\
-a-a-
\end{tabular} & \(\Rightarrow \quad\) masar & 'hand'
\end{tabular}
m.s.r.
```

Prefix + vowel
pattern
ni--a- $\quad \Rightarrow \quad$ nimsar 'be handed' נמסר

```

\subsection*{40.2 Roots}

Roots will be represented as letters and dots, e.g. m.s.r.

\subsection*{40.2.1 Meaning}

Many roots have a well-defined meaning, elaborated in certain welldefined ways by way of the various binyanim :
\begin{tabular}{lllr} 
ה.ר.ר & nirtav & 'get wet' & הרטיב \\
התרטב & hirtiv & 'make wet' & \\
& hurtav & 'be made wet' & hitratev
\end{tabular}

Indeed, an awareness of a 'root meaning' is apparent from the way that roots are currently coined or extended across the binyanim (see 40.3).

On the other hand, in many roots there is nothing approaching a general root meaning. One meaning may obtain in one or two of the binyanim, while in the others a quite different meaning (or meanings) may obtain, as in (1) below; or else some related but unpredictable meaning, as in (2). The root here is a grammatical, not a semantic, entity (so too the relationship between the binyanim on the different lines below), rather as the English verbal prefixes \(d e\)-, ex-, subetc. are grammatical, but scarcely meaningful, entities: \({ }^{4}\)
(1) .מ.מ. z.m.r.
zamar 'prune' זמר zimer 'sing' זימר
(2) ב.ש.ל.s.sh.l.
 התבשל hitbashel 'become cooked' בישל bushal 'be cooked' בושל bishel 'cook'

\subsection*{40.2.2 Form}

Most roots have three or four, a few two or even five consonants, capable of slotting into a prefix + vowel pattern to make a one- or two-syllable verb: \({ }^{5}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Root & & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Verb (for example)} \\
\hline 2 consonants & g.r. & ג.ר. & gar & 'reside' & ג \\
\hline 3 & k.l.1. & כ.ל.ל. & hiHlil & 'generalize' & הכליל \\
\hline 4 & m.H.sh.v & מ.ח.ש.ב. & miHshev & 'computerize' & מיחשב \\
\hline 5 & f.l.r.t.t. & פ.ל.ר.ט.ט. & flirtet & 'flirt' & פלירטט \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Out of a noun built from a verb or verbal root by adding a prefix (shown in bold type in this example) or suffix, Hebrew in turn frequently creates a further, secondary root. Example:


Another method is to reduplicate the final root consonant of a verb or noun, \({ }^{6}\) e.g.:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline kadur & 'ball' & כדור & \(\rightarrow\) & kidrer & 'to dribble' & כידר \\
\hline erev & 'to involve' & עירב & \(\rightarrow\) & irbev & 'to mix' & עירבב \\
\hline toHnit & 'programme' & תוכנית & \(\rightarrow\) & tiHnen & 'to plan' & תיכנן \\
\hline af & 'to fly' & ע & \(\rightarrow\) & ofef & 'to fly \({ }^{7}\) & עופף \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Noun-based roots as a whole are productively coined today.

\subsection*{40.3 Verb patterns (binyanim) and their general meaning}

\subsection*{40.3.1 Form}

There are seven binyanim. On the left we list their 'basic' prefix + vowel pattern (i.e. the 3rd masculine singular past tense form traditionally considered the 'basic' unaffixed reference form). The dashes are for root consonants to be inserted. On the right are their traditional names, which make use of a 'model root' \({ }^{\text {a p.'.l. }}{ }^{8}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & -a-a- & פעל & pa'al (also called kal \()^{9}\) \\
\hline 2 & ni--a- & נפעל & nifal \\
\hline 3 & hi--i- & הפעיל & hifil \\
\hline 4 & hu--a- & הופעל & hufal \\
\hline 5 & -i-e- & פיעל & pi'el \\
\hline 6 & -u-a- & פועל & pu'al \\
\hline 7 & hit-a-e- & התפעל & hitpa'el \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

These basic forms can vary according to tense, person etc. (see 40.11-15) or because of the shape of the root (40.17-23).

In patterns 5-7, most roots with identical 2 nd and 3rd consonant have -o-e-, \(-o-a\) - and hit-o-e-. These are treated not as separate patterns but as an effect of the root; see 40.23 .

A few verbs are formed in patterns \(5-7\) by prefixing \(-\boldsymbol{w}\) sh- (often creating or reinforcing some sense of a 'repeated action'), - ת \(t\) - and arguably even \(-\mathcal{N}\) '(neither has a distinct meaning) to certain roots. These processes are too unproductive and too indistinct in meaning to be rated as further binyanim. These are all simply individual new roots, for example:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline katav & 'write' & כ & \(\sim\) & shiHtev & 'rewrite' & שיכתב \\
\hline kafal & 'double' & כפל & \(\sim\) & hiHpel & 'duplicate' & שיכפל \\
\hline Hazar & 'return' & חזר & \(\sim\) & hiHzer & 'reconstruct' & שיחזר \\
\hline délek & 'fuel' & דלק & \(\sim\) & dek & 'refuel' & \\
\hline aHam & 'cleve & חכם & \(\sim\) & tuHkam & 'sophisticated' & - \\
\hline maHsan & 'storehouse' & מחסן & \(\sim\) & iHsen & 'to store' & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Similarly, new roots formed by reduplication (see 40.2.2) do not represent an additional binyan.

\section*{Root insertion}

Pa'al and nifal use only one consonant per consonant slot, e.g.,קלט נקלט kalat, niklat, i.e. three-consonant roots.

Of binyanim 3-7, hifil and hufal usually have one consonant per slot, e.g. hiklit, huklat; whereas pi'el, pu'al, hitpa'el often use two consonants in their middle slot (one per syllable, as they all have an open syllable to exploit, in all tenses), e.g. עידן, עידכן iden, idken; התעדן, התעדכן hitaden, hitadken. \({ }^{10}\)

\subsection*{40.3.2 Meaning}

Binyanim are meaningful in a restricted way. They are used with a considerable number of verbs to express a limited number of general 'grammatical' notions, or more strictly 'relationships' between verbs. The root is used in more than one binyan to create various systems, notably those set out in the following table: \({ }^{11}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline System & Example & & \\
\hline 1. Active vs. passive & lakaH vs. nilkaH & \begin{tabular}{l}
'take' \\
'be taken'
\end{tabular} & נלקח \\
\hline 2. Intransitive vs. transitive & hityabesh vs. yibesh & 'become dry' 'to dry' & התייבש \\
\hline 3. Transitive vs.causative of transitive & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lavash } \\
& \text { vs. } \\
& \text { hilbish }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
'wear' \\
'dress (someone)'
\end{tabular} & לבש \\
\hline 4. Non-reflexive vs. reflexive & hilbish vs. hitlabesh & \begin{tabular}{l}
'dress (someone)' \\
'dress oneself'
\end{tabular} & הלביש \\
\hline 5. Non-reciprocal vs. reciprocal & \begin{tabular}{l}
nishek \\
vs. hitnashek
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
'kiss' \\
'kiss one another'
\end{tabular} & נישק \\
\hline 6. Stative vs. inchoative ('becoming') & \begin{tabular}{l}
yashav \\
vs. \\
hityashev
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
'sit' \\
‘sit down’
\end{tabular} & התיישב \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Sometimes they relate synchronically to an adjective or noun; noun-based coinages are very productive:

Inchoative: shamen 'fat' \(\quad\) ששמין hishmin 'become fatter'
Do something typical of something:
klipa 'a peel' קיליפה kilef 'to peel' קירף
Rarely, they express other notions such as diminutive, intensive, repeated, repetitive, prolonged.
However, not all binyanim are strictly associated with a particular meaning. For example, whereas pu'al and hufal act only as passive (and only of pi'el and pu'al respectively), hifil verbs are only sometimes causative, sometimes for pa'al, e.g. אכל aHal 'eat' ~ האכיל heeHil 'feed', sometimes for nifal, e.g. נזהר nizhar 'be careful' ~ הזהיר hizhir 'warn', and sometimes for no current word at all, in which case they will not be deemed causative, e.g. השמיד hishmid 'destroy'.

Conversely, none of these 'grammatical notions' has one specific binyan: for example, reciprocal (system 5 above) is sometimes hitpa'el (e.g. חיבר Hiber 'attach’ vs. התחבר hitHaber 'get attached') and sometimes nifal (e.g. פגש
pagash 'meet (someone)' vs. נפגש nifgash 'meet one another'). \({ }^{12}\) Similarly, intransitive (system 2) is sometimes pa'al (e.g. קטן katan 'become smaller' vs. התמעט hiktin 'reduce') and sometimes hitpa'el (e.g.et 'become less' vs. מיעט mi'et 'lessen').

A general rule is that use of the binyanim with prefixes (nifal, \({ }^{13}\) hifil, hitpa'el) often adds something to the meaning of verbs in the bare, unprefixed binyanim pa'al and pi'el: an extra participant in the action, i.e. reciprocity, reflexiveness, causativeness, or an extra aspect of the action: 'begin to...' By contrast, pi'el rarely adds anything to pa'al (except occasionally causative); nor can pu'al and hufal, the passive counterparts of pi'el and hifil, from which they differ by a mere change of vowel, be said to add anything to these.

However, binyanim frequently add no such general meaning: they may signal (1) a mere synonym, e.g. כפל kafal and הכפיל hiHpil both mean 'double'; or (2) a particular twist of meaning, e.g. ברח baraH 'flee' vs. הבריח hivriaH 'smuggle', \({ }^{14}\) סרק sarak 'comb' (= search) vs. סירק sirek 'comb (hair)'; or (3) an apparently unrelated idea, e.g. לווה lava 'borrow' vs. ליווה liva 'accompany', בצר batsar 'harvest' vs. ביצר bitser 'fortify', ספר safar 'count' vs. סיפר siper 'cut (hair)', \({ }^{15}\) or (4) they may simply be unavailable for a given root: דיבר diber 'speak' but no הת ****davar, התאפק hitapek 'restrain oneself' but nothing


There are two contributory factors, one phonological and one semantic. First, when coining verbs from nouns with initial consonant clusters, Hebrew favours the hifil pattern, which alone can preserve such clusters (vowels may be altered, e.g. טלפון telefon 'phone' \(\rightarrow\) טילפן tilfen 'to phone', but not consonants): שוויץ hishvits 'brag'.

Second, Hebrew chooses another binyan if the expected binyan is occupied: מתון matun 'moderate' (ADJ) - מיתן miten 'to moderate' (המתין himtin = 'wait'), פשוט pashut 'simple' \(\rightarrow\) פישט pishet 'simplify' (הפשיט hifshit = 'undress').
Statistics on just how meaningful a binyan is depend, inter alia, on whether one counts all verbs or the commonest, or indeed gives weight to frequency. Thus, taking 100-200 dictionary verbs at random, only one in five pa'al verbs have a hifil causative or a pi'el causative or intensive; and indeed, of pa'al and pi'el verbs, only about half have a hitpa'el at all (Ornan 1979b). But in frequent verbs, binyanim are much more meaningful; and coinages and word-coining tests suggest that binyanim are felt to have meaning (Bolozky 1978a; Schwarzwald 1981b).

Binyanim are thus partly meaningful. Unlike inflection patterns (tense, gender, etc), which are consistent in meaning and almost automatically available, binyan patterns are unpredictable in meaning and frequently unavailable in a particular root. But they have frequent enough meaning, especially in common roots, and are currently productive enough to be deemed 'partly meaningful', rather like noun patterns (see ch. 38).

\subsection*{40.4 Uses of each binyan: an overview}

The binyanim with regular meaning are:
(1) Hufal: Passive of hifil
(2) Pu'al: Passive of pi'el

The binyanim with statistically preponderant meaning are: \({ }^{16}\)
(3) Nifal: Passive of pa'al
(4) Hifil: Causative of pa'al, nifal, adjectives
(5) Pi'el: Action using or involving a noun
or
No distinctive meaning
(6) Hitpa'el: Intransitive of transitive pi'el;

Inchoative of verbs etc. of state; reflexive
No distinctive meaning can be ascribed to:
(7) Pa'al

Examples are:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1. huzkar & 'be reminded' & הוזכר & (hizkir & 'remind' & (הזכיר) \\
\hline 2. gubash & 'be crystallized' & גובש & (gibesh & 'crystallize' & (גיבש) \\
\hline 3. nizkar & 'be remembered' & נזכר & (zaHar & 'remember' & (כ) \\
\hline 4. hizkir & 'remind & הזכיר & (zaHar & 'remember' & (ז) \\
\hline 5. gibesh & 'crystallize' & גיבש & (gavish & 'crystal' & (גביש) \\
\hline 6. hitgabesh & 'become crystallized' & התגבש & (see 2.) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
7. zaHar 'remember' זכר

\subsection*{40.5 Pa'al (i.e. kal)}

Pa'al has no overall meaning. Nor is it productively coined today, partly because it cannot accommodate four-letter roots and is already 'occupied' in the case of many three-letter roots.
Pa'al provides a broad range of intransitive and transitive verbs, e.g., intransitive ישב yashav 'sit', עצר atsar 'stop', רזה raza 'become slimmer'; transitive
 are hardly ever causatives of existing intransitive verbs or adjectives, thus נתן natan 'give' is not based on any existing verb meaning 'receive'. Nor does pa'al generally provide inchoatives, perfectives, reciprocals, reflexives or passives for other verbs. \({ }^{17}\) It is morphosemantically basic.

\subsection*{40.6 Nif'al}

Nifal mostly serves to build verbs from verbs of other binyanim. It is thus 'derivative'. Uses \(1-3\) involve transitivity, and use (4) involves aspect. (1) The passive for many transitive pa'al \({ }^{18}\) verbs (and still semi-productively coined):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
nir'e & 'be seen' & נראת \\
niHtav & 'be written' & \\
nisgar & 'be closed'19 &
\end{tabular}
(2) The 'middle' (i.e. expressing an autonomous action not caused by anyone) for quite a few transitive pa'al verbs, and still semi-productively coined:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ra'a & 'see' & - nir'e & 'appear' & נראה \\
\hline mana & 'prevent' & - nimna & 'refrain' & נמנע \\
\hline sagar & 'close' & - \(\rightarrow\) nisgar & 'close (intrans.)' & נסגר \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(3) The reciprocal for a few pa'al verbs:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline pagash & 'meet (someone)' & - nifgeshu 'meet one another' & נפגשו \\
\hline nasa & 'wed (someone)' & - \(\rightarrow\) nis'u 'be wed' & נישאו \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(4) The inchoative (i.e. 'enter a state of...') for a few pa'al verbs:
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
haya & 'be' & niya & 'become' & נהיה \(\rightarrow\) נeemad \\
amad & 'stand' & 'come to a stop' & נשמד \(\rightarrow\) nishkav & 'lie down'
\end{tabular}
(5) The nif'al also supplies many 'basic' verbs', though not productively:
niHna 'surrender' נכנע niHnas 'enter' נכנס nilHam 'fight'
These may be transitive, but never take a direct object (... את et...)

\subsection*{40.7 Hifil and Hufal}

\section*{Hifil}

Hif il too is largely derivative - but, unlike nifal, it is mainly transitive, taking a direct object (... את et...). It commonly and semi-productively supplies:
(1) Causatives for (a) pa'al and (b) sometimes nifal: (a) הלביש hilbish 'dress', האכיל heeHil 'feed', החתים heHtim ‘sign (someone) up', התרים hitrim 'raise contributions', העזיב heeziv 'make (someone) quit'; (b) הרדים hirdim 'put to sleep', הכניע hiHnia ‘subdue', השאיר hish'ir 'leave over', הכניס hiHnis 'bring in'.
(2) Causative inchoatives for adjectives, e.g. החליש heHlish ‘weaken’, המתיק himtik 'sweeten', הכעיר hiHir 'uglify', התפיל hitpil 'desalinate'.
(3) Intransitive inchoatives for colour and other physical properties (other inchoatives use hitpa'el), e.g. הלבין hilbin 'become whiter', הבריא hivri 'recover', הבשיל hivshil 'ripen', החמיץ heHmits 'become sour'.
(4) Hif'il also supplies some 'basic' verbs, though not productively, e.g. החליט heHlit 'decide', הגזים higzim 'exaggerate'.

\section*{Synthetic vs. analytic causatives}

Instead of a synthetic form such as the hifil, Hebrew often uses 'analytic' phrases, of such kinds as:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline am li lehikanes & 'cause me to enter' & גרם לי להיכנס \\
\hline asa et ze kal yoter & 'make it easier' & עשה את זה קל יות \\
\hline naasa/niya/hafaH adom & 'become red' & אדו \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
but with frequent idiosyncratic differences, such as those between the following pairs:
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
hevi matana & 'bring a gift' \\
garam le-matana lavo & 'cause a gift to come' & הבם למתנה לבוא מתנה מאן מאד \\
מעד
\end{tabular}

\section*{Huf'al}

Huf'al is the near-automatic passive for the transitive hifilil e.g. הולבש hulbash 'be dressed', הוקל hukal 'be made easier'.

The present tense form is also used automatically as a 'perfective' adjective (denoting a completed action), e.g. מורכב murkav 'composite', מופנם mufnam 'internalized'.

\subsection*{40.8 Pi'el and pu'al}

\section*{Pi'el}

Pi'el verbs, like hifil verbs, are mostly transitive and tend to take a direct object (i.e. ... את et...). But unlike hifil, pi'el are frequently (1) 'basic' or (2) based on nouns (or occasionally (3) on adjectives). Examples are given below.
(1) Basic: היגר higer 'migrate', טיפל tipel 'treat', קיבל kibel 'receive', בישל bishel 'cook'.
(2) Noun-based (productive): \({ }^{22}\)
(a) 'Put something in...', e.g. ביים biyem 'to stage', תייק tiyek 'to file', שיווק שיק shivek 'to market', מיקד miked 'to focus', מיקם mikem 'to place'.
(b) 'Use...’, e.g. גישר gisher 'to bridge', מיכן miken 'to mechanize', ויכן viset 'to regulate', מימן mimen 'to finance', מיקש mikesh 'to mine', טילפן tilfen 'to phone'.
(c) Sundry: ניווט nivet 'to navigate', שרש sheresh 'to uproot', קילף kilef 'to peel',23 תייבא yibe 'to import', סימל simel 'to symbolize', כיכ kiHev 'to star', תיפקד tifked 'to function'.
(3) Inchoative causative ('cause to become') for adjectives: חימם Himem 'warm up', קיצר kitser 'shorten', חיזק Hizek 'strengthen', וידא vide 'verify'.
(4) Pi'el is also sometimes casuative for pa'al verbs, e.g. טיבע tiba 'drown', שימח simaH 'make happy', לימד limed 'teach'.

\section*{Pu'al}

Pu'al is rather like huf'al (see 40.7): (1) it is a near-automatic passive of pi'el, e.g. חוסל Husal 'be liquidated', בויים buyam 'be staged'; (2) the participle acts automatically as a perfective adjective, e.g. מחוסל meHusal 'liquidated', משוכנע meshuHna 'convinced'. Moreover, many such adjectives are formed directly from nouns - no pi'el verb exists (see 41.3.1): משופם mesufam 'moustached', משומש meshumash 'used', ממושקף memushkaf 'bespectacled', etc.

\subsection*{40.9 Hitpa'el}

Hitpa'el, like nif'al and the passive binyanim, is often based on another binyan. It is typically 'dynamic' (i.e. denoting action rather than state) but this action is often intransitive and where it does involve an object this is invariably an indirect object. \({ }^{24}\) Hitpa'el has three main roles, described below. Most productively and commonly, hitpa'el provides:
(1) 'Middles' (i.e. actions with no implied agent) for dynamic transitive pi'el:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Pi'el } & \text { sovávti oto ‘I revolved it' הוב הסתי אובו Hitpa'el } \\
\text { hu histovev 'it revolved }
\end{array}
\]

Further examples are התפרק hitparek 'fall apart', התקרר hitkarer 'become cool’, הת בשל hitbashel ‘cook’ (i.e. get cooked).
(2) Inchoatives (transitive or intransitive) for verbs of state, adjectives and nouns, except those of colour or of a physical nature: \({ }^{25}\)
(a) shatak 'be silent' hishtatek 'fall silent' \(\rightarrow\) השתתק
ahav 'love' hitahev 'fall in love' התאהב
(b) ayef 'tired' hitayef 'get tired' התעייף

התעצב 'hitatsev 'be saddened - עצוב atsuv ‘sad'
(c) yadid 'friend' ידיד \(\rightarrow\) hityaded 'become friendly' התידד התאזרח hitazréaH ‘be naturalized \(\rightarrow\) אזרח 'citizen'

Semi-productively, hitpa'el provides:
(3) Reflexives: \({ }^{26}\)

hilbish 'dress' hitlabesh 'get dressed' התלבש

Most of these denote bodily action. \({ }^{27}\)
Occasional uses of hitpa'el:
(4) Passive of pi’el, e.g. התקקבל hitkabel 'be accepted', הת בקש hitbakesh 'be asked’, התגלה hitgala ‘be discovered’ (ch. 19).
(5) Reciprocity:

```

הת
tsiltsel 'ring' הצטל hitstaltsel 'ring one another' צ צילצל )

```
(6) Pretence (negative connotation):

HaHam 'clever' התחכם hitHakem 'act clever' \(\rightarrow\) nכם
Hala 'be ill' hitHala 'act ill' התחלה
(7) Repetition:

Sometimes it is used as:
(8) Basic: הת בונן hitbonen 'stare', התחר hitHaret 'regret', התפלל hitpalel 'pray’, השתרע histaréa 'extend'.

Summary of currently productive coinage in binyanim (after Bolozky 1978a)
Transitive:
\begin{tabular}{llllr} 
Causative: & hif'il & hitpil & 'desalinate' & התמפיש \\
Otherwise: & ni'el & himHish & 'concretize' & mikem \\
& & gisher & 'position' & 'bridge'
\end{tabular}

Intransitive:
Colour, physical inchoative:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & hifil & \begin{tabular}{l}
hivrid \\
hirza
\end{tabular} & 'become pink' 'slim' & הווריד הרזה \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Other inchoatives, reciprocal, reflexive, middle (of pi'el):} \\
\hline & hitpa'el & hitparek & 'fall apart' & התפרק \\
\hline & & hitnashek & 'kiss one another' & התנשק \\
\hline Otherwise: & pi'el & kiHev & 'star' & כיכב \\
\hline & & bilef & 'bluff & בילף \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.10-24 VERB INFLECTION}

Rules for regular and semi-regular inflection are productive for all verbs (including foreignisms), save those beginning with,,--3 - and \(y\)-(40.22-23). As a result, the verb has more such rules than the noun - and most of these are specific to the verb.

\subsection*{40.10 Verb inflection: general rules}

\section*{Basic forms}

A verb has five sets of forms: past tense, present tense (historically also called participle), future tense, and (except in the passive binyanim, i.e. huf al and \(p u^{\prime} a l\) ) imperative and infinitive forms.

The imperative is formal except in a handful of verbs - see chapter 28. A further form, the so-called infinitive absolute, is restricted to literature and some idioms.

The past tense has just suffixes - for person, gender and number.
The present tense has suffixes for gender and number, and a prefix to mark present tense in binyanim 3-7.

The future tense has prefixes for person and 3rd person gender; and suffixes for gender and number.

The imperative has suffixes for gender and number, identical to future suffixes.

The infinitive has the prefix ל-l-, or occasionally (formally) an adverbial preposition such as - ב ע be-or introducing it (in which case it is called a gerund: see 30.5.1.).

The inflectional affixes are summarized in the table on page 470.

\section*{Feminine present suffixes}

The present tense has two alternative feminine singular suffixes, depending mostly on the shape of the verb and partly on its grammatical function. The suffix \(\Omega\) - et is used with verbs whose last vowel is \(e\) or \(a\), i.e. present tense of all binyanim save hifil.

The suffix \(n--a\) is used with verbs whose last vowel is \(i\) (i.e. hif \(i l\) ) or which end in -e (e.g. קורה kore 'happen', מחכה meHake 'wait'); it is also used with one-syllable verbs (e.g. קם kam 'arise'), and with a handful of others, e.g. יכול ' yaHol 'can', מקל mekel 'make easy'. \({ }^{28}\)

\section*{Vowel loss}

Vowel loss, and stress (see below), is different for present tense than for other tenses - and altogether different from that in nouns, adjectives, etc.

In the present tense, \(e\) in the last syllable drops when a stressed suffix is added
 מדבר medaber~medabrim 'speak', קונה מד ברים kone~kona 'buy’. This contrasts with forms with \(a\) or \(i\) (i.e. hif'il and some nif'al, huf'al and pu'al forms) which have, e.g. נבגד nivgad~nivgadot 'betrayed', מדביר~מדביר \(\quad\) מפונק מפונקים 'control', madbir~madbirim mefunak~mefunakim 'pampered'.

In other tenses, any vowel in the last syllable drops, except in hif'il and onesyllable verbs: these keep the stress on the stem (e.g. הלגנה hidbíru, הדבור hegéna, קמה káma, קומי kúmi) and hence הלך הלכו halaH~halHu 'went',
 order'.

\section*{Verb stress}

For present tense the stress is on the last syllable (except ת--et): מפסיקה mafsiká 'stop', כות בים kotvim 'write', קמות kamót 'arise'.

For other tenses stress is on the last syllable before the suffix, when (a) the former has a high vowel (i or u), e.g. תריבי tarivi ‘argue', הפסיקה hifsika 'stopped', תפססיקו tafsiku 'stop', הקימה hekima 'set up', יקומו yakúmu 'will arise'; or (b) the latter begins with a consonant (i.e. they are 1 st or 2 nd person past suffixes): קיברת dibárti 'I spoke', קנינו kaninu 'we bought', הפסקתם hifsáktem 'you stopped'.

\section*{Inflectional affixes (using a pi’el verb: קיפל kipel 'fold’)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PRESENT & & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{PAST} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{FUTURE} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{imperative} \\
\hline 1st, 2nd, 3rd m. mekapel \({ }^{\text {a }}\) 1st, 2nd, 3rd f. mekapélet \({ }^{\text {b }}\) & |מקפל & Singular 1st 2nd m. \(f\). 3rd \(m\). \(f\). & \begin{tabular}{l}
kipálti \\
kipálta \\
kipalt \\
kipèl \\
kipla
\end{tabular} & קיפלתי קיפלת קיפלת קיפל קיפלה & akapele tekapel tekapli yekapel tekapel & ת תקקפלל תקלי & \begin{tabular}{l}
kapel \\
kapli
\end{tabular} & קפלי \\
\hline 1st, 2nd, 3rd m. mekaplim 1st, 2nd, 3rd f. mekaplot & \begin{tabular}{l}
מקפלים \\
מקפלות
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Plural \\
1st \\
2nd m. \\
\(f\). \\
\(3 r d m\). \\
\(f\).
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
kipálnu \\
kipáltem \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
kipalten \({ }^{\text {d }}\) \\
kiplu \\
kiplu
\end{tabular} & קיפלנו קיפלתם קיפלתן קיפלו קיפלו & \begin{tabular}{l}
nekapel \\
tekaplu \\
(tekapélna) \({ }^{f}\) \\
yekaplu \\
(tekapélna) \({ }^{f}\)
\end{tabular} & (תקקפלול) נקפלו (תפלוה) & kaplu (kapélna) \({ }^{f}\) & (קפלנה) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Explanations: (a)There is no -מ m- prefix in pa'al or nifal. (b) On feminine present suffixes, see relevant paragraph in the text. (c) Formally, the biconsonantal suffixes \(\boldsymbol{j}\) - - - -tem -ten are stressed. (d) Formal. (e) While the consonants in the present and future prefixes are standard for every verb, the vowel varies according to binyan and root type: see 40.11-15. (f) Formal and optional.

Otherwise, \({ }^{29}\) i.e. in future, imperative and most 3rd person usage, the stress is on the last syllable: כתב katáv ‘wrote', כתבה katvá ‘wrote’, תקפלי tekaplí 'fold', נסגרו nisgerú 'were shut'.

\subsection*{40.11-15 REGULAR INFLECTIONS, BINYAN BY BINYAN}

Besides the general affixes and vowel changes described in 40.10 , there are vowel changes distinguishing the tenses (some or all), in the stem of each binyan.
40.11 Pa'al

Most pa'al verbs inflect their vowels for tense. Arguably, no one tense is 'basic'. The prefix vowel is \(-i\) - (1st singular future has \(e\)-, and casually sometimes \(i-\)-): \({ }^{30}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & gadar & 'enclose' & גדר \\
\hline Pres & goder & & גודר \\
\hline FUT & yigdor & & יגדור \\
\hline IMP & gdor & & גדור \\
\hline INF & ligdor \({ }^{31}\) & & לגדור \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.12 Nifal}

Nifal has two stems: --a-for past and present, \(-a-e\) - for the rest. There is a 'binyan marker': -3 - for past and present, \(-\boldsymbol{-} h\) - for imperative and infinitive. The prefix vowel is \(-i\) ( 1 st singular future has \(e\)-, and casually even \(i\)-), but note that in the infinitive it is the second prefix vowel which is \(-i\)-:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline \hline PAST & nigdar \\
נגדר \\
PRES & נגדר & ניגדר \\
FUT & 'be enclosed' & \\
IMP & yigader & \\
INF & higader & lehigader \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.13-15 HIF'IL, HUF'AL, PI'EL, PU'AL AND HITPA'EL: COMMON CHARACTERISTICS}

These five binyanim have much in common. Firstly, they have just one basic stem though \(i\) or \(e\) vowels in the stem become \(a\) in certain well-defined circumstances where preceded or followed by a person, tense or infinitive affix (i.e. by exclusively \(v e r b a l\) affixes, as against the gender or number affixes \(-a,-t,-i m,-o t,-i,-u) .{ }^{32}\) Examples are:
hifsik~hifsáknu 'He/we stopped’ הפסיק~הפסקנו
pihek~yefahek 'He yawned/will yawn’ פיהק~יפהק

The binyan prefix ( \(h\)-, and \(h\) in hit-) is not used where there is already a tense prefix (future or present prefix, thus מתגדר mitgader) but infinitive and binyan prefixes do co-exist: להתגדר lehitgader.

\subsection*{40.13 Hifil and huf'al}

The basic stem is \(i--i\)-, to which is prefixed the binyan marker \(-\pi h-\) in past, imperative and infinitive. The pattern is set out in the table below, for the verb הגדיר higdir 'define'.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & \begin{tabular}{l}
higdir \\
(higdárti...higdíra \({ }^{33}\)...higdíru)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
הגדיר \\
(הגדרתי...הגדירה...הגדירו)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline PRES & \begin{tabular}{l}
magdir \\
(magdira, magdirim, magdirot)
\end{tabular} & (מגדירה, מגדירים, מגדירות) \\
\hline FUT & \begin{tabular}{l}
yagdir \\
(agdir...tagdíri...yagdíru)
\end{tabular} & (אגדיר...תגדירי...יגדירו) \\
\hline IMP & \begin{tabular}{l}
hagder \({ }^{34}\) \\
(hagdíri, hagdíru)
\end{tabular} & (הגדירי, הגדירו) \\
\hline INF & lehagdir & להגדיר \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Huf'al}

The stem is \(u-a\) - throughout (akin to \(-u-a\) - of the other passive binyan, pu'al), to which is prefixed the binyan marker \(-\pi h\) - in past tense.

There is no imperative or infinitive (nor in pu'al), save by way of the helper verb היה haya: היה מוגדר, להיות מוגדר heye mugdar, liyot mugdar.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline \hline PAST & hugdar & 'be defined' \\
PRES & mugdar & & מוגדרר \\
FUT & yugdar & & \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.14 Pi'el and pu'al}

These have no binyan marker. If the middle consonant is it is often \(b, k, p\) (see 40.17). The prefix vowel is short \(e\) (for 1 st s . future it is \(a\) ).

\section*{Pi'el}

The basic stem is \(-i-e\)-, with \(i\) and \(e\) becoming \(a\) by the rule in the preamble to 40.13 . The pattern is set out in the table below, using the verb גידר gider 'fence in'.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & \begin{tabular}{l}
gider \\
(gidárti...gidra...gidru)
\end{tabular} & גידרתי...גידרה...גידרו) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PRES} & megader & מגדר \\
\hline & (megadéret, megadrim, megadrot) & (מגדרת, מגדרים, מגדרות) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{FUT} & yegader & יגדר \\
\hline & (agader...tegadri...yegadru) & (אגדר...תגדרי...יגדרו) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{IMP} & gader & גדר \\
\hline & (gadri, gadru) & (גדרי, גדרו) \\
\hline INF & legader & לגדר \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pu'al}

The stem is \(-u\) - \(a\) - throughout. There is no imperative or infinitive, save by way of היה מגודר, להיות מגודר heye megudar, liyot megudar.
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\hline \hline PAST & gudar & 'be fenced in' \\
PRES & megudar & & \\
FUT & מגודרר & yegudar & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.15 Hitpa'el}

The basic stem is \(-a-e-{ }^{35}\) with \(e\) becoming \(a\) by the rule in the preamble to 15.13 . The binyan marker is \(\boldsymbol{\pi} h t\), the \(h\) dropping when present or future prefixes are added. Formally, the binyan marker can be \(n t\) (נתפעל nitpa'el) in the past tense. The prefix vowel is \(i\) (for the 1st person future it is \(e\), casually sometimes \(i\) ). The pattern is set out in the table below, using the verb התגדר hitgader 'excel'.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & \begin{tabular}{l}
hitgader \\
(hitgadárti...hitgadru)
\end{tabular} & התגדר (התגדרתי...התגדרו) \\
\hline PRES & \begin{tabular}{l}
mitgader \\
(mitgadéret...mitgadrot)
\end{tabular} & מתגדר (מתגדרת...מתגדרות) \\
\hline FUT & \begin{tabular}{l}
yitgader \\
(etgader...titgadri...)
\end{tabular} & (אתגדרר...תתגדרי...) \\
\hline IMP & hitgader (hitgadri, hitgadru) & התגדר (התגדרי, התגדרו) \\
\hline INF & lehitgader & להתגדר \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{40.16 Verbs with missing inflections ('inflection suppletion')}

At least twenty verbs are 'defective': they lack the regular form for some tense or tenses, or occasionally for the 3rd person of one tense. Sometimes this gap is covered by an existing verb from another binyan or even another root, and sometimes by forming a compound of היה haya 'be' + present. Where this other verb exists solely as a 'filler-in', e.g. שי yesh 'there is' as present tense of היה haya 'there was', this is called 'suppletion'. \({ }^{36}\) Usually there is no phonological necessity for the deficiency.

Perhaps half of all defective verbs lack an infinitive of the expected kind, and very often a future tense too (these are closely related). For example יכול yaHol 'can': covered in some uses by לההיות מסוגל liyot mesugal 'to be capable'; \({ }^{37}\)

 pa'al; נהיה niya 'become': covered by להיות /יהיה liyot/yiye; נשה נהם nidham 'be shocked'.

Many others lack present tense. Some lack present alone, e.g. הצטרך
 (see ch. 16), הוא, היא hu, hi etc. or zero. Others lack present and another tense, e.g. חיה Haya 'live': suppleted by Hay in present and in past 3rd person masculine singular (casually in 3rd person feminine singular too) \({ }^{39}\) and 3rd person plural; הגיד higid 'say': covered by אמר amar in present and past; החר heHel 'commence': covered by התחיל hitHil in present and infinitive.

Past is occasionally the only tense lacking, e.g. the past of פיחד piHed 'be afraid' is covered in formal usage by פח paHad. Past 3rd person masculine singular lacks for יכול yaHol 'can', suppleted by היה יכול haya yaHol or very היה neHshav 'be considered', suppleted by נחשב yaHal, and for 'כל casual נחשב haya neHshav when ambiguous between past and present. Past 3rd masculine singular and 3rd plural lack in one verb: חיה Haya.

Often verbs have just one tense, e.g. past: הרה hara 'conceive',40 יעץ yaats 'advise';41 future: יתכן yitaHen 'be possible', יפרי' yipale 'be amazing'; present: צריך tsariH 'need/it is necessary', \({ }^{42}\) ישו(נו) yesh(no) 'there is', ישנו yeshno 'is present', \({ }^{43}\)

\subsection*{40.17 Roots with spirantization (ב, כ, ב)}

While the consonants of a root are generally constant, whatever binyan or inflection is being used, this is not usually the case when the root contains any of the three variable letters ב, כ, פ. These have either a 'hard' (plosive) or a 'soft' (spirant) pronunciation, depending partly on their whereabouts in the word and partly on the type of word: \(b, k, p\) vs. \(v, H, f\) respectively. Thus compare initial and final ב, ב, כin these two pairs of words:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline bar & 'pure' & בר & rav & 'rabbi' & רב \\
\hline kar & 'pillow' & כר & raH & 'soft' & רך \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

This 'spirantization' affects all types of words and is still broadly productive. As it is particularly complex for verbs, it is dealt with in this chapter.

Note first that three of these sounds \((k, v, H)\) also represent other letters
 to many coinages bending these rules, for the sake of semantic clarity, e.g. מככ mekaHev 'starring' from כוכב koHav 'a star'; and indeed to other colloquial over-extensions of ‘spirantization', e.g. כיבה Hiba ‘extinguish'. Moreover, hosts of foreignisms ignore this alternation, e.g. פופ pop 'pop'.

\section*{General rules}
(1) Word-initially, ב, כ, פ are usually hard, and word-finally soft:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
patar & 'exempt' & אטר \\
kizev & 'mislead' & \(\sim\) & asaf & 'gather' & בסף & \(\sim\)
\end{tabular}
(2) Within the word, the tendency for verbs is that ב, כ, are soft after a vowel and otherwise hard: \({ }^{44}\)


\section*{Bending the rules}

In most usage, rule (2) above is flouted by verbs echoing their source noun, notably in pi'el, pu'al, hitpa'el:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Hard: & \begin{tabular}{l}
mekaHev \\
sibsed \\
tekafter
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
'starring' \\
'subsidize' \\
'button up!'
\end{tabular} & סיבככר & (koHav (subsidya (kaftor & 'a star' 'subsidy' 'a button' & (כובסורידיה \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Soft:} & makaHev & 'starring' & מככב & (koHav & 'a star' & (כוכב) \\
\hline & yive & 'imported' & מבא & (yevu & 'an import' & (יבוא \\
\hline & mesufam & 'moustachoed' & משופם & (safam & 'moustache' & שטם \\
\hline & hishtavets & 'have a stroke' & השתבץ & (shavats & 'stroke' & שבץ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Casually, in reduplicating roots, the hard 3rd root consonant influences the 1st: הבזק tebazbez 'waste', מבולבל mebulbal 'confused'.

Casually, within verb inflections, the more common stem form often replaces the less common, if this means extending, not reducing, spirantization - notably (i) in pi’el מככבס, יכבס, לכבס meHabes, yeHabes, leHabes'washes, will wash, to wash' triggers כיבס Hibes 'washed' (whereas פבותח, פתח potéaH, pataH ‘opens, opened’ does not trigger יפתח* *yiptah (for ‘will open'); (ii) in pa’al, nif'al תופס, תפס tofes, tafas, ‘catch, caught’ triggers יתפוס, נתפס yitfos, nitfas 'will catch, is caught'.

Foreignisms too (mostly casual) generally flout the rules of (1), e.g. פילוסוף filosof 'philosopher’, ג'יפ jip 'jeep', ג'וב job ‘job’ (exceptions include מפוסטר mefustar 'pasteurized').

\subsection*{40.18-23 ANOMALOUS ROOT TYPES}

A number of consonants, when found in a certain position in the root - or indeed roots with just two consonants - create upsets in the basic inflection rules outlined in 40.10-16, usually in a predictable, sometimes even in a productive, way. Any given root is quite likely to have one such consonant. In nouns and adjectives, by contrast, many such root types have no productive effect - and so are not discussed there.

As these root types are fully set out in tables (of formal or literary usage) by most Hebrew grammars, we shall merely sketch out the underlying rules, noting any colloquial or productive features.

\subsection*{40.18 Two-consonant roots (ע) : קט ון kam 'arise’}

Two-consonant roots have a stem of one syllable. Although met ‘die’ is associated with a three-consonant noun מוות mávet ‘death’, חב Hav 'owe' with חייב Hayav 'owe', and בול bul 'stamp' with the new verb בייל biyel 'to stamp' and so on, these are too sporadic for two-consonant verbs to be considered as basically having an extra \(v\) or \(y\) as middle consonant.

They exist in a special binyan with similarities to pa'al, and in the three binyanim with outwardly one-syllable stems: nif 'al (rarely), hif 'il, huf 'al; examples are given here:
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline \hline Pa'al & zan & 'feed' \\
Nif'al & \begin{tabular}{l} 
nizon \\
nazon
\end{tabular} \\
Hif'il & hezin & \\
Huf'al & huzan & הוזן \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}

The stem vowels are:
Pa'al: Past, present \(a\); otherwise \(u\) (with some exceptions in \(i, o\) ), e.g. זנו zánu 'fed', זנים zanim 'feed', יזוי yazúnu 'will feed' לזון lazun 'to feed'.
Nif'al: o, e.g. נזון nazon 'is fed', נזונה nazóna 'is fed'.
Hif'il, huf'al: As with regular roots, e.g. יוזי yazin 'will nourish', yuzan 'will be nourished'.

The prefix vowel throughout is \(a\), except that hif ' \(i l\) past and present use \(e\) (a unique vowel alternation) and huf'al is regular, e.g. הזין yazun (future), הזין hezin (past), מזין mezin (present), יוזן yuzan (future). \({ }^{46}\)

\subsection*{40.19 Roots with an 'underlying guttural'}

ח, א, א, were all once guttural ( P, £, h, h) and are still pronounced so by some Israelis. But a majority pronounce \(ע\) (and often ה) as א, or simply omit all three; and \(n\) as \(כ(H)\), a non-guttural. Thus for them א, א, א, potentially guttural-sounding, but not \(n\). However, all four are 'underlying gutturals', for they still cause 'lowering' of adjacent vowels (i.e. a shift away from
\(i\) towards \(e\) or \(a\), making gutturals easier to pronounce), though \(n, \kappa\) less so (ר too occasionally acts as if guttural). Some such changes apply to all words (i.e. they are phonological), others just to verbs.
\(n, ~\) are generally no problem when a full vowel follows (enabling them to be clearly sounded), e.g. חידש Hidesh, עיקם ikem. \({ }^{47}\) But (a)-(c) below set out what happens where this is not the case.
(a) Where \(n, \boldsymbol{n}\), עend a word, the foregoing vowel must be \(a\). This usually means inserting an \(a\) (without adding a letter), e.g. יודע yodéa 'know'; מתמיה matmia 'puzzling'; משכנע, ישכנע, לשכנע meshaHnéa, yeshaHnéa, leshaHnéa 'convince'; התגלח, מתגלח, יתגלח, להתגלח hitgaléaH, mitgaléaH, yitgaléaH, lehitgaléaH ‘shave'; and nouns etc., e.g. שליח shaliaH ‘messenger'.
(b) Sometimes \(a\) will replace the vowel, notably (i) in pa'al future, imperative, e.g. ישלח yishlaH 'will send' (even for יקרא: yikra 'will call' etc.); (ii) in most nif'al future, imperative, infinitive, e.g. יישמע yishama 'will be heard', להיפתח lehipataH 'to be opened'; (iii) formally, in pi'el past, and future with n, e.g. שיכנע nitsaH 'won', שיכע shiHna 'convinced', ינצח yenatsaH 'will win'. (Final \(א\) is never pronounced, so does not have this effect.)
(c) Where \(n\), א, ע, N are directly followed by a further consonant (or just a short vowel), there are three possibilities:
(1) Following a prefix: the vowel preceding the 'guttural' changes to \(a\) or \(e\) (depending partly on which guttural), and for further support the selfsame vowel is sometimes inserted after the guttural, e.g. אחשוב eHshov 'I'll think', אעבוד eevod 'r'll work', אארוז eeroz 'T'll pack', תחשוב taHshov 'think', תעבוד taavod 'work', תארוז neeroz 'pack', נחשב netshav 'is thought', החליט heHlit 'decide' (and nouns, e.g. מערב maarav 'west'). \({ }^{48}\)
(2) Where \(n, y\) precede a suffix: with the feminine singular suffix \(ת\) - et , the preceding vowel and that in et become a- as et has a short vowel: יודעת yodá'at 'know', מגלחת megaläHat 'shave' (and in nouns). Preceding the consonant suffixes, ת- תי -ti, -ta etc., the vowel is \(a\) anyway: טיפחתי tipáHti 'I nurtured'. \({ }^{49}\)
(3) Elsewere, \(a\) is inserted between the guttural and the next consonant (casually, \(n H\) in mid-word needs no such support): עבור avor 'pass', גואלים goalim 'redeem', בוחרים boH(a)rim 'elect', שיחקו siH(a)ku 'played' (and in nouns).
40.20 Roots beginning with a sibilant: metathesis, e.g. הסתדר histader 'manage'
In hitpa'el, when the first root consonant is a sibilant ( \(s, s h, t s, z\), i.e. , it automatically leapfrogs in front of the \(ת\) - \(-t\) - of the prefix in all tenses:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hit + sader } \rightarrow \text { histader 'manage' הת + סדר - הסתדר + שפר - השתפר hit + shaper } \rightarrow \text { hishtaper 'improve' } \\
& \text { hit }
\end{aligned}
\]

With \(\searrow t s\), the \(t\) of the prefix will be written as \(\cup\). With \(\upharpoonright z\), this \(t\) actually changes (regressive voice assimilation, widespread in Hebrew as a semi-assimilation of voice) to \(\boldsymbol{T} d\) :
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hit + tsamtsem } \rightarrow \text { hitstamtsem 'diminish' הת+ צמצם - הצטמצם } \\
& \text { hit + zaken } \rightarrow \text { hizdaken 'grow old' הת+ זקן }
\end{aligned}
\]

This also occurs in hitpa'el-based nouns (e.g. הסתדרות histadrut 'organization'). It does not occur elsewhere in the verb, thus יתסוס yitsos 'will effervesce', התשיש hitshish 'weaken'.

Further, \(d\) and \(t\) as 1 st root consonants swallow the \(t\) of hit, sometimes in spelling too (note substitute י): הידרדר hidarder 'decline', הידפק hidapek 'keep knocking'.

\subsection*{40.21 Roots ending in a zero consonant (ק" roots): קנה kana 'bought'}

Many roots have no third consonant - but behave as if they did by maintaining a second vowel, i.e. they have a notional (i.e. 'zero') 3rd consonant (symbol: ø):
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Root: k-n-ø ø.נ. ק } \\
& \text { Example: In binyan pa'al: kana 'bought' קנה }
\end{aligned}
\]

The second vowel alternates in a special way: (1) unsuffixed, it is usually \(-a\) in past, \(-e\) in present, future, imperative; and in infinitive -o- but with added \(\boldsymbol{r}-\boldsymbol{t}\). Taking hif 'il as an example:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & hikna & 'impart' & הקנה \\
\hline Pres & makne & & מקנה \\
\hline FUT & yakne & & יקנה \\
\hline IMP & hakne & & הקנה \\
\hline INF & lehaknot & & להקנות \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

But casually, past and present can vary: many speakers prefer - \(a\) for the present nif 'al, e.g. נבנה nivna 'is built'; and some form their past hitpa'el with -e (e.g. hitpane 'was cleared'), for complex reasons.
(2) With 1st and 2nd person (consonant-initial) suffixes, this \(a\) becomes \(i\) in pa'al and pi'el but otherwise \(a \rightarrow e\) :


The past 3rd feminine singular suffix is unusually קנתה kanta 'bought'.

\subsection*{40.22 Roots beginning with - n-: מ. מ. n.f.l.}

When -3 - as first root consonant would directly precede another consonant, i.e. in future, imperative, infinitive of pa'al and in past and present of nif'al, it often drops : 50
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Pa'al} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Nifal} \\
\hline PAST & nasa & 'travel' נסע & nitsal (*nintsal) & 'be saved' & ניצל (*ננצל) \\
\hline Pres & noséa & נוסע & nitsal (*nintsal) & & ניצל (*ננצל) \\
\hline fut & yisa (*yinsa) & יסע (*) & yinatsel & & יינצל \\
\hline IMP & sa (*nesa) & סע (נסע) & hinatsel & & הינצל \\
\hline InF & li(n)sóa & לונ(סוע & lehinatsel & & להינצל \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

However, not all such tenses or verbs drop - \(n\) - equally. Pa'al imperatives and infinitives may do so optionally: פול) (ne)fol ‘fall', צור) (ne)tsor 'guard', li(n)tol 'to take', לונ(נול li(n)zol 'to flow'. לול 5 לול

In pa'al future, \(n\) - drops for \(a\) - vowel verbs (e.g. יסע yisa 'travel', יגע yiga 'touch') and for יפול yipol 'fall'; sometimes for יטול yitol 'take', זול yizol 'flow'; and formally for יצור yitzor 'guard', יקום yikom 'avenge', שור yishor 'drop out', ישוך yishoH 'bite’. But it remains in most verbs, e.g. ינבוט yinbot 'sprout', ינגוס yingos 'bite', ינשוק yinshok 'kiss', and particularly before 'gutturals' e.g. ינחל yinHal 'inherit'.

Nif 'al loses \(n\) - for, e.g. ניבט nibat 'gaze', ניתן nitan 'be given', but only sometimes for ניקם nikam 'be avenged', ניטש nitash 'be abandoned' and most others.

Moreover, \(n\) - clearly drops in a few hif'il verbs, notably הפיל hipil ‘drop' (נפ ל nafal 'fall'), הסיע hisia 'drive (someone)'; but it does not drop in most, e.g. הנמיך hinmiH 'lower', הנציח hintsiaH 'perpetuate', הנביט hinbit 'germinate' etc. Meanwhile, הביט hibit 'look', הכיר hikir 'know', התיר hitir 'permit' etc. with no related verb such as נבט navat are best considered two-consonantal hif 'il verbs (cf. 40.18), rather than \(n\) - verbs.

\subsection*{40.23 Maverick verbs: נתן natan, yaHol, חנן Hanan etc.}

A few pa'al verbs are irregular, each in its own way, notably the verbs listed below.
לקח lakaH 'take': In the future, imperative and infinitive there is no \(l\), e.g. לקח yikaH, קח kaH, לקחת lakáHat.
נתת natáti, לת latet.
יכול yaHol 'can': In the future, אוכל, תוכל uHal, tuHal etc. In the past, yaHólti, yaHólta etc. (and see 40.16).
אaHal, amar, avad, ahav 'eat, say, perish, love’: In the future tense, אוכל, תאכל oHal, toHal etc.

Among \(y\)-roots is a small, unproductive but much-used group that changes vowels and drops \(y\) - (or converts it to \(v\)-, \(o\) ) in certain forms: \({ }^{52}\)
 than \(\ldots\) אי......... א ת tiy... etc., in pa'al future, imperative and infinitive, and convert their other vowels to \(e\) :
\begin{tabular}{llllr}
\hline \hline FUT & ye-e- & yered & 'descend' \\
IMP & -e- & red & רד7 \\
INF & la-é-et & larédet & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For nif 'al, hif'il and huf'al the \(y\) - again drops, in these and most other \(y\) roots \({ }^{53}\) (including those that have no actualized existence with \(y\) - in pa'al, e.g. הושיט hoshit 'extend (hand)'). In nif'al future, imperative and infinitive \(y\) becomes \(v\) before vowels; in other nif'al forms and in hif' \(i l\) it becomes \(o\) and in huf'al \(u\) :


\subsection*{40.24 Roots with an identical second and third consonant}

Most roots with an identical second and third consonant ('doubled' roots) are regular. In formal usage, however, a few of them drop one such consonant in some inflections of pa'al and/or nif'al, with unusual effects on adjacent sounds and stress. (Some of these forms cause doubt; learned usage allows even
 are three such roots. Thus:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Pa'al & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fUT } \\
& \text { INF }
\end{aligned}
\] & aHon...yaHónu 'pardon' laHon & אחוןון.. יחונו \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{Nif' \({ }^{\text {al }}\)} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{PAST} & naHon 'was pardoned'/nasav & נחון/נסב/ \\
\hline & & 'went round'/ & \\
\hline & & names 'melted'/neyHan & נמס/ניחן... \\
\hline & & 'was blessed' & \\
\hline & & ... naHónu/nasábu etc. & נחונ/נסבו \\
\hline & PRES & naHon/nasav/names/neyHan... & נחון/נסב/נמס/ניחן... \\
\hline & fut & yimas \({ }^{54}\) & ימס \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For pi'ei, pu'al and hitpa'el most 'doubled' roots have an -o- as first stem vowel, i.e. -o-e, -o-a-, hit-o-e- respectively, e.g. \({ }^{55}\) דוב dovev 'chat up', כונן konen 'set up’ ~ כונן konan 'be set up' ~ התכונן hitkonen 'get ready'.

Hif' 'il verbs traditionally associated with such 'identical consonant' roots, e.g. הצר hetser 'grieve', הסב hesev 'recline', are best considered unrelated. See note 46.

\footnotetext{
FURTHER READING
Ariel 1972; Barkaï 1975, 1978; Ben-Asher 1972; Berman 1975a,b, 1978, 1979a; Blanc 1965; Bolozky 1978a, b, 1980; Donag-Kinnarot 1978; Fischler 1975, 1976; Ornan 1979b; Rabin 1985; Rosén 1955, 1976; Schwarzwald 1975, 1977b, 1980, 1981a,b, 1982b, 1984; Yannai 1974.
}

\section*{41. Adjective types and their inflection}

\subsection*{41.1 Adjective types: introduction}

Adjectives, somewhat like nouns (see ch. 38), are built in one of four ways.
(1) Using distinctive vowel patterns and/or suffixes, to create grammatical-semantic adjective types like those in the following table (for details see 41.3).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Example & & Pattern & Grammatical type & Meaning type \\
\hline shavir 'breakable' & שביר & -a-i- & Suffixed form: --ie.g. shvirim שבירים & 'able' as in 'breakable, washable' etc. \\
\hline salHani 'forgiving' & סלחני & \[
\begin{gathered}
-\mathrm{a}--+ \text { ani } \\
\text { SUFF }
\end{gathered}
\] & Suffixed form: unchanged & Mostly 'which does (something), who tends to do (something)' \\
\hline sifruti 'literary' & ספרותי & \[
\begin{aligned}
& +\mathrm{i} \\
& \text { SUFF }
\end{aligned}
\] & Suffixed form: unchanged & Mostly 'pertaining to a...' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main such adjective types are listed below, with examples:
1. 'Present tense' ('participle') patterns, e.g.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline meratek & 'gripping' & מרתק \\
\hline mag'il & 'disgusting' & מגעיל \\
\hline shavur & 'broken' & שבור \\
\hline kavis & 'washable' & כביס \\
\hline varod & 'pink' & ורוד \\
\hline giben & 'hunchbacked' & גיבן \\
\hline baHyan & 'crybabyish' & בכיין \\
\hline savlani & 'patient' & סבלני \\
\hline ktantan & - 'tiny' & קטנטן \\
\hline kolani & 'vociferous' & קולני \\
\hline yami & 'marine' & ימי \\
\hline parisa'i & 'Parisian' & פריסאי \\
\hline froydiáni & 'Freudian' & פרוידיאני \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(2) Using distinctive vowel patterns or suffixes, to create purely grammatical adjective types, with no general meaning (for details see 41.4):
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\hline \hline Example & Pattern & Grammatical type \\
\hline kabir 'mighty' כביר כבירים & \(-a-i-\) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Suffixed form: unchanged \\
e.g. kabirim
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The main such types are exemplified by:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline yatsiv & 'stable' & יציב \\
\hline katsar & 'short' & קצר \\
\hline zaken & 'old' & זקן \\
\hline gadol & 'big' & גדול \\
\hline raH & 'soft' & רך \\
\hline na'ívi & 'naive' & נאיבי \\
\hline liberáli & 'liberal' & ליברלי \\
\hline prákti & 'practical' & פרקטי \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(3) With no particularly distinctive vowel pattern or suffix (as with nouns, 'distinctive' is purely a matter of degree). Examples: נוקשה nukshe 'rigid', חום Hum, 'brown', אייר fer 'fair', אומלל umlal 'wretched', עליון elyon 'upper', שכול shakul ‘bereaved’.
(4) By adjoining two words (usually a special prefix + noun) and adding \(>-i\), to create a composite ('phrase-based') adjective, e.g. דו-פרצופי du-partsufi 'two-faced' (for details see 41.5).

\section*{Roots and words}

As with nouns (38.1.1), these vowel patterns (if any) are mounted on a root; alternatively, many suffixes are simply added to a whole word without inducing a characteristic vowel pattern of their own, e.g. ספרות+י ספרותי ב- ב sifrut \(+i \rightarrow\) sifruti 'literary'. The description uses the 'model root' ד.ב.כ. k.b.d., and the linguistic formula ccc, as with nouns (see 38.1.3).

\subsection*{41.2 What is an adjective: syntax vs. shape}

The shape of a Hebrew word is a good indication of whether it is noun, verb or adjective. In particular, adjectives do not have past and future tense inflections (unlike verbs), nor possessive suffixes (unlike nouns, e.g. בחורינו baHurénu 'our boys'). But they do frequently coincide with present tense verbs, and with nouns in general - all three share comparable plural and feminine inflections. Examples are: \({ }^{1}\)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Verb: & nodedim & 'are wandering' (m.pl.) & נודדים \\
Noun: & נודים & nodedim & 'wanderers' \\
Adjective: & nodedim & 'migratory' (m.pl.) &
\end{tabular}

And ultimately, it is syntax - interaction with other words - that gives Hebrew users the sense of what is adjective, as against verb or noun.

Some syntactic traits of Hebrew adjectives (adJ \(=\operatorname{adjective}(s), v=\operatorname{verb}(s), \mathrm{N}=\) noun(s)):
(1) Involving 'be...' (ch.16)
(a) 4DJ (and N , but not v ) are used with all forms of the verb 'to be', thus:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline haya & & \\
\hline yiye & \(\mathrm{muHraH} / \mathrm{mesugal}\) ADJ & מוכרח/מסוגל \\
\hline liyot & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
was
will-be forced/able
to-be
'had to.../was able to...' 'will have to.../will be able to...' 'to have to.../to be able to..'
as against:

(b) ADJ (and N , but not v ) as predicates are often introduced by הוא hu 'is' and its various forms:
avazim hem ksherim אווזים הם כשרים ducks are kosher
*Hatulim hem megargerim nתולים הם מגרגרים * cats [are] purr
(c) Predicative adj (unlike N ) can always do without this הוא hu 'is' etc. \({ }^{2}\) :
avazim ksherim אווזים כשרים
ducks kosher
'Ducks are kosher'
Hatulim megargerim
חתולים מגרגרים
cats purr
*avazim tsiporim אווזים ציפורים* (' ducks birds
('Ducks are birds')
(2) ADJ are not found unqualified as subject or object (nor is v) - save when some noun is implied:
éfo ha-Hadashim?
איפה החדשים?
where the new (m.pl.)? 'Where are the new (ones)?'
Even then, adJ do not take a possessive suffix: זקנינו zkenéynu 'our old' is a noun.
(3) adj (and v) as predicates can have an 'impersonal' subject (see 17.3), i.e. no subject:
ani ro'e she-\{ayefim/mitayefim\}
I see that \{tired/tiring \}
אני רואה ש\}עייפים/מתעייפים\{
'I see that people are \{tired/tiring\}'
(4) adJ are less amenable than \(v\) to expressing the basic subject-object relation. Thus:
(a) They normally take indirect, not direct, objects \({ }^{3}\) (for this distinction see ch. 15); v take either.
(b) They rarely take על-ידי al-yedey... 'by....; \({ }^{4} \mathrm{v}\) can:
*ze shavur al-yedey ha-yéled
\begin{tabular}{l} 
ADJ \\
it's [in a state of] broken by the boy \\
\\
ze nishbar al-yedey ha-yéled \\
v \\
it was-broken by the boy
\end{tabular}
(5) adJ can qualify a noun. Few N do, and v do only in restricted contexts (see ch.10):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline sha'álti & Haverim adukim Haverim rabanim *Haverim yod'im & & חברים אדוקים שאלתי חברים רבנים * חברים יודעים \\
\hline I-asked & friends religious friends rabbis friends knowing & 'I asked & religious friends' some rabbi friends (knowing friends) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(6) Many ADJ (and v) can take degree words (ch.20); n do so in a very limited way.
ani atsbani me'od
I'm very uptight
hitatsbánti me'od
I-got-uptight very

\section*{Some implications of these criteria}

Most words of the מכובד meCuCaC pattern will be counted as verbs, e.g. מפונק mefunak 'spoilt'; all of them as adjectives too; and some even as nouns, e.g. מקובל mekubal 'kabbalist'.
Similarly, חכם HaHam 'wise' is both an adjective and a fully-fledged noun ('sage'), whereas בשל bashel 'mature' is just an adjective.
Conversely, היה צריך haya tsariH 'had to' - is not an adjective but a verb, for there is no להיות צריך * *liyot tsariH 'to have to'. Thus this verb is one of many with defective inflection (see 40.16):


\subsection*{41.3 Grammatical-semantic adjective patterns}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
41.3.1 & Pattern (1) & Verb-shaped adjectives ('participles') \\
& Shape: & These share the same patterns as present tense verbs (ch.40) \\
& Derivation: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
- with slight variations in inflection (see 41.7). \({ }^{5}\) \\
Mostly based on present tense verbs, except (f) below, and \\
much of (d).
\end{tabular} \\
& Meaning: & Often closely related to the matching verb. \({ }^{6}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Active verb
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Patterns & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Present tense verb} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Adjective} \\
\hline Meaning: & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{state/ongoing action} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{In a state/capable of action (often intransitively) - fairly productively} \\
\hline (1a) Pa'al & to'em & 'is compatible with' & תואם & to'em \({ }^{7}\) & 'compatible' & תואם \\
\hline (1b) Pi'el & meratek & 'grips' & מרתק & meratek & 'gripping' & מרתק \\
\hline (1c) Hif 'il & mafli & 'amazes' & מפליא & mafli & 'amazing' & מפליא \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Passive verb \\
patterns
\end{tabular} & Present tense verb & & Adjective
\end{tabular}
(1f) Nif'al usually supplies a special adjective pattern (see (2) below), rather than using its verb pattern adjectivally: thus נפתח niftaH 'is opened' has a semi-automatic adjective פתוח patúaH ‘open’. However, the hitpa'el pattern is rarely adjectival. \({ }^{9}\) There is no להיות מתווכח, מתבייש, מתפלא* *liyot mitvakéaH, mitbayesh, mitpale for 'to be argumentative, in a state of shame, surprised', etc. Instead, other adjectives or verb forms (in these last three examples להיות וכחן, להיות מבוייש, להתפלא liyot vakHan, liyot mevuyash, lehitpale etc.) typically fill the gap.

Further examples \({ }^{10}\) - and additional meanings of this pattern:
(1a) כובד koved CoCeC .
Meaning: . (i) as in the foregoing table; or occasionally (ii) varied (not directly geared to a verb).
Examples: (i) צודק tsodek 'correct', נוכח noHéaH 'present', תוס toses 'effervescent'.
(ii) בודד boded 'lonely', קונה shone 'different', קופא kofe 'freezing' (e.g. day).
(1b) מכבּ meHabed meCaCeC.
Meaning: as in table.
Examples: מדכא medake 'depressing', משגע 'meshagéa 'maddening', מסנוור mesanver 'blinding'.
(1c) מכביד maHbid maCCiC.
Meaning: as in table.
Examples: מקפיד makpid 'fussy', מסריח masriaH 'stinking', מגעיל mag'il 'disgusting', מביך meviH 'embarrassing'.
(1d) מכובד meHubad meCuCaC. \({ }^{11}\)
Meaning: Mostly (i) as in table; (ii) 'having an abstract quality'; (iii) 'wearing/ featuring' (often 'full of') a garment/physical feature - human or non-human; (iv) 'having...(a disease)'; occasionally (v) miscellaneous. Ambiguity is often possible: מסופק mesupak 'supplied, doubtful' (meaning i or ii).

Derivation: (i) are from pu'al verbs, near-automatically; (ii-iv) are mostly based on a noun or a hitpa'el verb (even with four consonants), and are numerous and productive; (v) have no regular source, and are not numerous.

Examples: (i) מפותח mefutaH 'developed', מקולקל mekulkal 'ruined', מדוכא meduke 'depressed'.
(ii) מטופש metupash 'foolish' (טיפש tipesh 'fool'), ממושך memushaH 'prolonged' (התמשך hitmasheH 'go on'), מתורבת מתר tarbut 'culture'), מצוברח metsuvraH 'in a mood' (מצב-רוח matsav-rúaH 'mood').
(iii) מסונדל sandal), מנדל) mesundal 'in sandles' ממושקף memushkaf 'bespectacled' (משקפיים mishkafáyim 'spectacles'), משופם mesufam 'moustached' (שפם safam 'moustache'), מתולת metultal 'curly' ( תלת taltal 'curl'), מכוכב mekuHav \({ }^{12}\) 'starry' (כוכב koHav 'star'), משונן meshunan 'toothed' (שן shen 'tooth'), מחומש meHumash 'five-sided' ( חמש Hamesh ‘five').
(iv) מקורר mekorar 'with a chill' (התקרר hitkarer 'to catch a chill'), משופע meshupa 'flu-stricken' (שפעת shapáat ‘flu').
(v) מיותר meyutar 'unnecessary', משונה meshune 'odd'.
(1e) מוכבד muHbad muCCaC.
Meaning: (i) as in table; (ii) occasionally, varied - no regular source.
Examples: (i) מושלם mushlam 'perfect', מוגזם mugzam 'exaggerated', מותר mutar 'permitted';
(ii) מובחר muvHar 'choice', מופלא mufla 'wondrous'13
(1f) נכבד niHbad niCCaC.
Meaning: Occasionally (i) 'in a state of completed action'; usually (ii) varied.
Derivation: (i) nif 'al-based; (ii) no regular source.
Examples: (i) נפרד nifrad 'separate', נוסף nosaf 'added’, בלתי-נראה bilti-nir'e 'invisible';
(ii) נמרץ neHmad 'nice', נחמד nimats 'vigorous', נית nen 'possible'. \({ }^{14}\)

\subsection*{41.3.2 Patterns (2-5) Involving internal vowels but no affixes \({ }^{15}\)}
(2) כבוד kavud CaCuC ~suffixed form (כבוד)

Meaning: (a) 'in a state of completed action' (i.e. 'past participle'); (b) occasionally 'in ongoing state'; (c) various.
Derivation: (a) from nif'al verb, semi-automatic; (b) from pa'al; (c) no regular source.
Examples: (a) שבור shavur 'broken', ידוע yadúa 'known', 'desired, desirable';
(b) לבוש..lavush... 'wearing...', רכון raHun 'leaning', רכוב raHuv 'riding (on...)';
(c) ברור barur 'clear’, דגול dagul 'outstanding', רטוב ratuvi6 'wet'.
(3) כביד kavid CaCiC ~suffixed form (כביד) kvid(im) CCiC(im).

Meaning: (a) 'capable of being (broken, etc.)'; \({ }^{17}\) occasionally, (b) 'capable of, tending to (deviate, etc.)’; (c) various.
Derivation: (a) mostly from pa'al verbs (not ע'u- verbs); occasionally from pi'el, hif 'il; moderately productive; (b) mostly from pa'al verbs; (c) no regular source.
Examples: (a) קריא kari 'legible', (קרא kara), חדיר Hadir 'permeable' (קדר Hadar), קביס kibes), קיבס (washable’ קביל kavil 'acceptable’ (קיבל kibel),'8 אמין amin 'credible'

(b) סבביל savil 'passive' (סבל saval), חריג Harig ‘deviant' (חרג Harag);
(c) ישיש yashish 'elderly', סדיר sadir 'regular', טרי tari 'fresh'.
(4) כבוד kavod CaCoC ~suffixed form (כבודוים kvud(im) CCuC(im).19

Of all the affix-less patterns among adjectives and nouns, patterns (4) and (5) alone are semantically distinct yet not verb-based.
Meaning: (a) most colours; \({ }^{20}\) (b) various (mostly beginning with 'gutturals').
Derivation: (ai) no regular source; (aii) based on nouns; (b) no regular source.
Examples: (ai) אדום adom 'red', ירוק yarok 'green', צהוב tsahov ‘yellow';
(aii) ורוד varod 'pink', זהוב zahov 'golden';
(b) איום ayom 'awful', ארוך aroH 'long', עגול agol 'round', matok ‘sweet'.
(5) כיבד kibed CiCeC ~suffixed form (כיבד) kibd(im) CiCC(im) (often as a noun too).
Meaning: mostly 'having a (human) defect'. \({ }^{21}\)
Derivation: no regular source; neither numerous nor productively coined.
Examples: עיוור iver ‘blind', גיבן giben 'hunchbacked', חרש Heresh 'deaf', איטר iter 'lefthanded'.

\subsection*{41.3.3 Patterns (6-8) Vowel pattern plus suffix}

Unlike nouns and verbs, adjectives do not take characteristic prefixes (41.5 describes quasi-prefixes \({ }^{22}\) such as דוי du-, -אנטי ánti-).
(6) כבדן kavdan CaCCan. \({ }^{23}\)

Meaning: ‘having personality-type’ - used of persons, as in ללד עקשן yéled akshan \({ }^{24}\) 'stubborn child'.
Derivation: Mostly verb-based; very productive.
Examples: בכיין baHyan ‘crybabyish' (בכה baHa), קטפטן patpetan 'talkative' (קיטפט pitpet), קפדן kapdan 'fussy' (הקפיד hikpid), סתגלן staglan 'opportunistic' (הסתגל histagel).
(7) (7avdani CaCCani. \({ }^{25}\)

Meaning: (a) 'having a certain personality or emotion' (mostly mirroring the related verb, like pattern (1)); (b) 'having a certain effect' (with emotive connotations).
Derivation: (a) mostly verb-based (i.e. no CaCCan to act as base); not numerous; (b) verb-based; fairly productive in literature.
Examples: (a) סבלני savlani 'patient' (סבל saval), עצבני atsbani 'uptight';
(b) לטפני latfani 'caressing' (ליטף litef), פלשני palshani 'intrusive’ (פלש palash).
(8) Reduplicative suffix כבדבד kvadvad CCaCCaC

The last syllable of the base word is repeated, changing its vowel to \(-a\) - (unlike reduplicative nouns, cf. 38.2, pattern (15)).

Meaning: mostly (a) ‘diminutive'; (b) 'very ...' (occasionally).
Derivation: mostly from unsuffixed adjectives (C-C-C); not numerous but fairly productive.
Examples: (a) עגלגל agalgal 'roundish', ורדר vradrad 'pinkish', לבנבן levanvan 'whitish', חמצמץ Hamatsmats 'sourish';
(b) חלקלק Halaklak 'slippery' (חלקט ktantan 'tiny', Halak ‘smooth'), הפכפ hafaHfaH 'fickle' (הפך hafaH 'to change').

\subsection*{41.3.4 Patterns (9-12) Suffix, but no special vowel pattern}

Here a suffix is added but no special vowel pattern (the base-word keeps its own), except for a few standard adjustments. Adjustments to the base word (akin to 38.2 (16-22)) are as set out below.
Most often, base nouns (i) use their suffixed genitive ('construct') base, giving e.g. ביתי beyti 'domestic' (cf. ביתו beyto), כספי kaspi 'monetary' (cf. כספי kaspo), חורפי Horpi ‘wintry’ (cf. חורפו Horpo), קר ברוני pirHoni ‘flowery' (cf. פרחו pirHo), קדחתני kadaHtani ‘feverish' (cf. קדחתו kadaHto).

However, (ii) a few nouns ending in \(--a\) maintain their 'free' ('absolute') base, e.g. אוניברסיטאי universita'i 'university' (אוניברסיטה univérsita), אמריקאי agúda) אגודה aguda'i 'pertaining to the Aguda Party' אגודאי amerikái 'American' (אמריקה amérika).

Also (iii) some nouns drop ('apocopate') their feminine ending ת-at, e.g. רפואי refuato), יומואתו refu'i 'medical' (cf. יומרנ yomrani 'pretentious' (יומרתו yomrato). \({ }^{26}\) Some nouns drop the -iyal-ya of names of countries, e.g. אוסטרליח (אנגליה (anggliya), ostráli 'Australian' 'English' אנגלי ostrálya). \({ }^{27}\)

\section*{Stressed suffix}
(9) 3 נ-ani. \({ }^{28}\)

Meaning: mostly 'having certain human (a) external features, (b) personality/ emotions, (c) outlook'; (d) 'having a certain effect' (often with emotive connotations), like (7b) and often literary.
Derivation: from nouns or present tense pa'al verbs; fairly numerous and productive.
Examples: (a) קולני kolani 'vociferous' (קול kol 'voice'), לסתני listani ‘big-jawed’ (לסת léset ‘jaw');
(b) יומרני yomrani 'pretentious' (יומרה yomra 'pretence'), סולמני sovel ‘suffers'), סובל ( sovlani 'tolerant' סובלני Holmani ‘dreamy' ( חולם Holem 'dream (v)');
(c) שמאלני smol 'left'), למאל smolani 'leftist' לאומני leumani ‘nationalistic' (לאום le'om 'nation'), רוחני ruHani 'spiritual' (רוח rúaH 'spirit');
(d) 'זוחלני zoHlani 'creeping' (זוחל zoHel 'creeps'), דוקרני dokrani 'spiky' (דוקר doker 'pricks').
(10) - אי a'i.

Meaning: 'pertaining to/hailing from a certain place'
Derivation: mostly from names of cities; \({ }^{29}\) neither numerous nor productive.
Examples: פריסאי parisa'i 'Parisian', ברלינאי berlina'i 'of Berlin', חלמאי Helma'i 'of Chelm'.

\section*{Stressed or unstressed suffix}
(11) \(--i .^{30}\)

This suffix is by far the commonest way of converting nouns into adjectives. These denote 'pertaining to...' in various ways. This is a 'semantic' pattern in the loosest sense.
\(1-i i\) is stressed when added:
(a) to native words, e.g. ימי yami 'marine', מיידי miyadi 'immediate';
(b) to names of most countries in the 'Jewish realm' around 1900, e.g. רוסר rusi 'Russian', תורכי turki ‘Turkish', תימני teymani 'Yemenite', פרסי parsi 'Persian', צרפתי tsarfati ‘French', אנגלי angli ‘English' - vs. 'דני déni 'Danish', פורטוגזי, portugézi ‘Portuguese', צ'כ tshéHi ‘Czech', לבנוני levanóni 'Lebanese', חודי hódi \({ }^{31}\) 'Indian'.
It is unstressed when added:
(c) to most foreign nouns, \({ }^{32}\) e.g. . . דמוקרטי אנרכיסטי, anarHisti 'anarchistic', דני déni 'Danish', יוריורי nyu yórki 'of New York';
(d) to most names of towns (in the region of Israe): תל-אביבי tel avivi 'of Tel Aviv', ירושלמי reHovóti 'of Rehovot', יחובותי yerushalmi 'of Jerusalem', 'Haderáti 'of Hadera', בגדרי bagdádi 'of Baghdad'. \({ }^{33}\)
Derivation: mostly from nouns; very numerous and productive (highly so in technical usage); almost automatic with names of countries.
Examples: כלכלי kalkali 'economic’ (כלכלה kalkala 'economics'), תזונתי tzunati 'nutritional' (תזונה tzuna 'nutrition'), נשי nashi 'feminine’ (נשים nashim 'women'), אישי ishi 'personal' (איש ish 'person’), אנושם enoshi ‘human' ( אנוש enosh 'Man'), אידיוטי idyóti 'idiotic’ (אידיוט idyot 'idiot').

\section*{Unstressed suffix}
(12) יאני-iáni.

Meaning : 'pertaining to...
Derivation: from names of famous persons; fairly common and productive.
Examples: 'פרוידיאני froydiáni ‘Freudian’, ג'ויססיאני joysiáni ‘Joycean’. \({ }^{34}\)

\subsection*{41.4 Purely grammatical adjective patterns}

Below are given the relatively distinctive grammatical patterns. They are no longer productive, though ( \(15,18-20\) ) are numerous. The introductory remarks in 38.3 apply here too.

\section*{Firm vowels}
(13) \(\mathrm{CaClC}^{36}\) יציב ~ יציבים ~ יצים yatsiv ~yatsivim ~ yatsivey.

Examples: צדיק tsadik ‘righteous', יקיר yakir 'darling', קליל kalil 'very light', כביר kabir ‘mighty', אמיץ amits 'brave'.
(14-16) -a-drops
(14) \(\mathrm{CaCaC}^{37}\) קצר ~ קצרים ~ קצרי katsar ~ ktsarim ~ kitsrey. Examples: לבן lavan 'white’, ישן yashan 'old’.

Examples: טפל tafel 'secondary', שלם shalem 'whole’, יבש yavesh ‘dry’.
(16) CaCoC. גדול ~ גדולים ~ גדולי gadol ~ gdolim ~gdoley.

Examples: טהור tahor 'pure', קרוב karov 'near', נכוב naHon 'correct', נפוץ nafots 'widespread', מנוח manóaH 'deceased'.

\section*{Consonant 'hardened'}
(17) CaC raH ~rakim ~rakey.

Examples: חד Had 'sharp', דק dak 'thin', קל kal 'light', ז zaH 'pure', מר mar 'bitter'.
(18-20) Suffixes with pre-final stress
These are foreign adjectives. The ending is borrowed intact with the word.
(18) יבי--ivi.

Examples: נאיבי na’ivi ‘naive’, פסיבי pasivi ‘passive’, פרימיטיבי primitivi 'primitive' (see also note 34 ).
(19) - - -áli.

Examples: ריאלי reáli ‘real’, ליברלי liberáli ‘liberal’, קלריקלי klerikáli 'clerical'.
(20) י- -i.

Examples: פרקטי prákti ‘practical', דבילי debili ‘foolish’, אנונימי anonimi 'anonymous', דוגרי dúgri 'frank'.

\subsection*{41.5 Phrasal adjectives}

\subsection*{41.5.1 Phrase-based adjectives: ravgoni ‘multi-coloured’}

Like ימי yami-type adjectives (11), these are formed by adding -i, but to a compound noun phrase, not to a single word. There are two basic types (the (a) set are not numerous, the (b) set are very numerous).
(a) Noun phrase base

Example of adjective with -i
An already existing compound phrase, e.g.
תת תת-הכרתי tat-hakarati ‘sub-conscious' (38.4)
(b) A compound phrase with no function except as basis for an adjective, e.g.
*du-partsuf 'two-face' דו-פרצוף* du-partsufi 'two-faced' דו-פרצופי QUANT + N

The suffix \(>--i\) requires the same adjustments to the 'second word' as it does in (9-11), listed in section 41.3 - e.g. חרץ réHem 'uterus', חוץ-רחמי HutsraHmi 'extra-uterine'. Often, this resulting 'second word' never otherwise exists: there is no פרצופי * partsufi (though there exists רחמי raHmi ‘uterine'). This underscores the fact that the phrase in toto is an adjective, not the second word in it.

The noun phrase base usually involves a meaningful, though semi-fixed prefix. \({ }^{39}\) Close on twenty prepositions and quantifiers can be prefixed to nouns for use particularly in type (b) phrase-based adjectives. These are all one-syllable prefixes (except - אנטטיanti-), thanks to the use of specially adapted or foreign prepositions and quantifiers - which are also more technical-sounding. They are mostly hyphenated (see note 39). The noun itself is generally 'native'; foreign nouns come with their own prefix.
Type (a). There are two sub-types, both very limited:
(i) [Prefix + noun \(]+i\) tat-hakarati almoti 'subconscious'
'immortal'

תת-הכרתי אלמותי
(ii) [Point-of-compass drom-afrikái noun + noun) \(+i\); (cf. drom-áfrika productive \({ }^{41}\) merkaz-eropéi tsfon-maaravi 'North Western'
Type (b). Very productive use of nouns (semi-automatic in technical Hebrew):
\[
[\text { Prefix }+ \text { noun }]+i
\]

(ii) [Quantifier + Had-/du-/tlat-tsedadi 'uni-/bi-/tri-lateral' חד-/דז-/תלת-צדדי/ד noun] \(+i \quad\) rav-erki (i.e. 'endocentric') kol-/klal-afrikái 'Pan-African' כל-/כלל-אפריקאי

\section*{Overall grammar}

Though phrase-based, these expressions act as single words - hence - ha- 'the' can only be placed at the beginning and the plural suffix just at the end: \({ }^{42}\)
ha-revadim ha-tat-hakaratiyim the layers the sub conscious

הרבדים התת-הכרתיים 'the subconscious layers'

Like any adjective, these serve as bases for other words:
du-partsufiut 'two-facedness' דו-פרצופיות

\subsection*{41.5.2 Compound adjectives, e.g. א׳נפרא-אדום infra-adom 'infra-red'}

Several meaningful prefixes (and occasionally some of those above) can be attached to pre-existing adjectives (of any sort, i.e. not only to -i type adjectives), making compound adjectives. These act as one word:
ha-karnáyim ha-infra-adumot the rays the infra red

\section*{הקרניים האינפרא-אדומות} 'the infra-red rays'

There is generally a semi-productive choice of nouns to go with these prefixes. Examples of the prefixes are: \({ }^{43}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline me'en-otomáti & 'quasi-automatic' & מעין-אוטומטי \\
\hline pséudo-klási & 'pseudo-Classical' & פסבדו-קלאסי \\
\hline próto-shémi & 'proto-Semitic' & פרוטו-שמי \\
\hline néo-fashisti & 'neo-fascist' & ניאו-פאשיסטי \\
\hline infra-adom & 'infra-red' & אינפרא-אדום \\
\hline últra-moderni & 'ultra-modern' & אולטרא-מודרני \\
\hline bilti-muvan \({ }^{44}\) & 'incomprehensible' & בלתי-מובן \\
\hline al-enoshi \({ }^{45}\) & 'non-human' & אל-אנושי \\
\hline tat-muda \({ }^{46}\) & 'subconscious' & תת-מודע \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{41.5.3 Apposed adjectives}
ha-siHot ha-siniot-sovyétiot
השיחות הסיניות-סובייטיות the talks the Chinese-Soviet 'the Sino-Soviet talks'

These 'compound phrases' (they are not single words) are discussed in chapter 36 with other apposition phrases like הצירך-זמר ha-tsayar-zamar 'the paintersinger', אצו-רצו átsu-rátsu 'they rushed-dashed'.

\footnotetext{
41.6-10 ADJECTIVE INFLECTION: A BRIEF SURVEY

Here are described the inflectional endings - for the feminine, plural and construct. In certain adjective patterns, the body of the word changes too when inflected; see individual patterns (41.3-4).
}

\subsection*{41.6 Overview: feminine and plural}

For general syntactic questions (e.g. where can there be adjective agreement) see chapters 12 and 18.
All adjectives can take the following endings, \({ }^{47}\) except a handful that do not inflect at all.


Some \(31 \%\) of dictionary-listed adjectives take -a, 38\%-t, 3\%-it, 27\% -et/-at (Schwarzwald 1982b).

\subsection*{41.7 Verb-shaped adjectives (pattern (1) in 41.3)}

Inflection is mostly as in the matching verb (ch. 40), even where the particular adjective is not based on one: \({ }^{49}\)
(a) tsodek, tsodéket, tsodkim, tsodkot

צודק, צודקת, צודקים, צודקות 'correct'
(b) meratek, meratéket, meratkim, meratkot
(c) makpid, makpida, makpidim, makpidot
(d) mekuHav, mekuHévet, mekuHavim, mekuHavot

מרתק, מרתקת, מרתקים, מרתקות 'gripping'

מקפיד, מקפידה, מקפדים, מקפידות 'fussy'

מכוכב, מכוכבת, מכוכבים, מכוכבות 'starry'
(e) muvHar, muvHéret, muvHarim, muvHarot
(f) nimrats, nimrétset, nimratsim, nimratsot
41.8 Unsuffixed adjectives (notably patterns (2)-(5), (8), (13)-(17)) \({ }^{50}\)
 Examples are:

giben, gibénet, gibnim, gibnot גיבן, גיבנת, גיבנים, גיבנות 'hunched'
(b) It is among these unsuffixed adjectives that the few uninflecting adjectives are found:
\[
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { ha-simla ha-bezh u-shney svéderim bórdo } & \text { השמלה הבצ' ושני סודרים בורדו } \\
\text { the dress the beige and two sweaters maroon } & \text { 'the beige dress and two maroon } \\
\text { sweaters' }
\end{array}
\]

Similarly: תורקיז turkiz 'turquoise', הנ" ha-nal 'the above-mentioned', \({ }^{52}\) פרווה párve 'parev' (religious term: neither meaty nor dairy); generally with masculine singular and feminine singular nouns respectively: אלף-בית alef-bet ‘superb’ (army slang), ר רתי rabati 'almighty'.
41.9 Suffixed adjectives (notably patterns (6)-(7), (9)-(11), (18)-(20))

Inflection by steps :
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Add -i to word (where there is none) & \(\Rightarrow\) & & \(=\quad,+\) \\
\hline Then add - \(t\), -im, -ot \(\quad \Rightarrow\) & & \(\Leftarrow\) & + ת, ים, \\
\hline Result: -it, -i(y)im, -i(y)ot & & & ת, יים, יות \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For example:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline kapdan + & & קפדנ+ \\
\hline kapdan \(+i+t\), kapdan \(+i+m \Rightarrow\) & \(\Leftarrow\) &  \\
\hline kapdanit, kapdaniyim, kapdaniyot & & דת, קפדניים, קפדניות \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

More examples ('irritable', 'idiotic', 'Syrian'):
atsbani, atsbanit, atsbaniyim, atsbaniyot
debili, debilit, debíliyim, debiliyot
súri, súrit, súriyim, súriyot

עצבני, עצבנית, עצבניים, עצבניות
דבילי, דבילית, דביליים, עוּים, דביליות עציות סורי, סורית, סוריים, סוריות

However, those nationality adjectives ('gentilics') with stressed י--i (pattern (11)) can take \(n-a\) for feminine - in reference to persons. \({ }^{53}\) They then look like the corresponding noun:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
zaméret anglit/angliya & 'an English chanteuse' & עמרת אנגלית/אנגליה אנגלית
\end{tabular}

But in the masculine plural the adjectives and nouns are generally distinct:

\section*{Nouns}
anglim, germanim 'Englishmen, Germans’ אנגלים, גרמנים
Adjectives
angliyim, germaniyim 'English, German' אנגליים, גרמניים
The few diminutive adjectives with ון- on take ים, -ות, -ת - -et, -im, -ot: טיפשונת tipshónet ‘soppy'.
 ductive and probably not perceived as suffixed, e.g. תחתון taHton 'bottom', ראשון rishon 'first'.

The few in אי- - - אי -ay act like nouns of the (בנאי banay 'builder'): \(-y\) becomes \(-i\); then add \(-t\), (surprisingly) \(-m\), \(-o t\) :
rashay, rasha'it, rasha'im, rasha'iot 'entitled’ רשאי, רשאית, רשאים, רשאיות

\subsection*{41.10 'Construct' adjectives}

For the general syntax of where construct adjectives occur, see 6.19. All but suffixed adjectives (41.9) can occur in construct structures: \({ }^{54}\)
```

gvina dalat-shuman
גבינה דלת-שומן

```
construct
cheese low fat 'low-fat cheese'
ets me’ukam-géza עץ מעוקם-גזע
construct
tree gnarled trunk 'a tree with a gnarled trunk'
not:
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
*géver anaki-koma \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
SUFF \\
ADJ \\
man giant size
\end{tabular} & \\
גברי-קומה
\end{tabular}

The endings are invariably as follows (using דל dal 'low, poor' as an example):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline f.s. & dalat & דלת & (free form & dala & 5 (דלה \\
\hline m.pl. & daley & דלי & & & \\
\hline f.pl. & dalot & דלות & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For certain patterns, the word changes internally too - see 41.3-4 for common changes.

\section*{FURTHER READING}

Ben-Hayyim 1971; Berman 1980a; Blanc 1957a; Blau 1952; Bolozky 1972, 1980; Di-nur 1979; Kaddari 1965; Masson 1976; Mirkin 1968; Netzer 1976; Podolski 1981; Rosén 1955, 1956, 1966b, 1977; Schwarzwald 1982b; Werner 1981.

\section*{42. Prepositions: form and inflection}

\subsection*{42.1 Form of the preposition}

Hebrew prepositions are a distinct word class syntactically (see ch.19), but, unlike verbs and nouns, have no characteristic patterns or affixes to render them distinct. Rather, for historical reasons, a few prepositions look like verbs (e.g. הואיל ho'il 'since', כעבור kaavor 'after') and many look like nouns (e.g. בשביל bishvil 'for', מפני mipney 'because'); and most take a suffixed pronoun of the same kind as nouns (see ch.6) - while not at all 'noun-like' syntactically.

Four prepositions are written as a single letter, and so, like other such words (e.g. ה ה ha- 'the'), are prefixed to the next word: ב be- 'in',' \({ }^{1}\) - כe- 'as', - ל le'to', -מ mi- 'from', e.g. בישראל be-yisra'el 'in Israel'.

\subsection*{42.2 Inflection of the preposition}

\subsection*{42.2.1 Suffixation}

A preposition governing a personal pronoun must suffix it, \({ }^{2}\) e.g.
\[
\text { bishvil + hu } \rightarrow \text { bishvilo } \quad \text { בשביל + הוא - בשבילו }
\]

But several prepositions taking nouns do not, idiosyncratically, take personal pronouns at all, notably: \({ }^{3} a g a v\) 'in the course of', במשך bemésheH ‘during',
 לאור le'or ‘in view of', לאחר leaHar 'after', ללא lelo 'without', למרות lamrot 'despite', עלל-אף al-af 'despite', מאז me'az 'since', מחמת maHmat 'owing to', משום mishum 'because of', תוך-כד toH-kdey 'in the course of'.

Most prepositions (a) take the same suffix as singular nouns, and (b) undergo the same internal adjustment, if any, as nouns of their shape; but some of the commonest display some irregularities.

Several prepositions take the same suffix as plural nouns, particularly if they already have the 'plural-like' ending י--ey or ות -ot. A handful take a mixture of 'singular' and 'plural' suffixes.

\subsection*{42.2.2 The most common suffixes}

Most prepositions take the following suffixes:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline i & 'me' & , \\
\hline Ha & 'you' (m.s.) & 7 \\
\hline eH & 'you' (f.s.) & T \\
\hline 0 & 'him' & 1 \\
\hline a & 'her' & ה \\
\hline énu & 'us' & נו \\
\hline Hem & 'you' (m.pl.) & כם כס \\
\hline Hen & 'you' (f.pl.) (F) & כן \\
\hline am & 'them' (m.) & \(\square\) \\
\hline an & 'them' (f.) (F) & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples are: \({ }^{4}\)
bishvil: bishvili, bishvilHa, bishvileH, \({ }^{5}\) bishvilo, bishvila, bisvilénu, bishvilHem, bishvilam

בשביל: בשבילי, בשבילך, בשבילך
בשבילו, בשבילה, בשבילנו בשבילכם, בשבילם 'for: for me, for you...'
déreH: darki, darkeHa, darkeH, darko, darka, darkénu, darkeHem, darkam

דרך: דרכי, דרכך, דרכך, דרכו
דרכה, דרכנו, דרככם, דרכם
'through: through me, through you...'
Further examples of prepositions that change their vowel(s) when inflected (rather like 'segolate' nouns, cf. 38.2, pattern (3)):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline bekérev: bekirbi etc. & 'among' & בקרב: בקרבי... \\
\hline le'óreH: le'orki etc. & 'along' & לאורך: לאורכי... \\
\hline néged: negdi etc. & 'against' & נגד: נגדי... \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Exceptions are:
(a)
(i) with -aHem, -ahem in 2 nd , 3 rd pl .
be 'in'
בי, בך, בך, בו, בה, בנו, בכם, בהם bi, beHa, baH, bo, ba, bánu, baHem, bahem²
le 'to' -ל
li, leHa, laH, lo, la, lánu, laHem, lahem
```

לי, לך, לך, לו, לה, לנו, לכם, להם

```
im 'with' (F) עם
imi, imHa, imaH, imo, ima, imánu, עמי, עמך, עמך, עמו, עמה, עמנו, imaHem, imahem
(ii) With -Hem, -am in 2nd, 3rd pl.
im \({ }^{7}\) 'with' עם
iti, itHa, itaH, ito, ita, itánu, itHem, אתי, אתך, אתך, אתו, אתה, אתנו, אתכם, itam
```

et (OM) את
oti, otHa, otaH,8 oto, ota, otánu, אותי, אותך, אותך, אותו, אות, אות, או, או, %
etHem,9}\mp@subsup{}{}{9}\mathrm{ otam

```
```

    אתכם, אותם
    ```
```

    אתכם, אותם
    ```
(b)
kmo 'like' כמו
kamóni, kamóHa, kamoH, kamóhu, kamóha, kamónu, kmoHem, \({ }^{10}\) kmohem \({ }^{11}\)

כמוני, כמוך, כמוך, כמוהו, כמוה, כמונו, כמוכם, כמוהם
(c)
min \({ }^{15}\) 'from' מן
miméni, mimHa, mimeH, miménu, \({ }^{12}\) ממני, ממך, ממך, ממנו miména, miménu, \({ }^{13}\) mikem, \({ }^{14}\) mehem ממנה, ממנו, מכם, מהם
(d) Composite מעל ל- me’al le- ‘over’, מתחת ל- mi-taHat le- ‘under’,

מעלינו, , mi-saviv le- ‘around’ lose when inflected, e.g. מסביב למתחתינו, מסביבנו mealénu, mitaHténu, misvivénu etc.

For - me'éver le- and other prepositions involving ל- le-, see 42.2.3 (exceptions) below.

\subsection*{42.2.3 Other common suffixes}

Several prepositions take the following suffixes:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline -ay & 'me' & - \\
\hline -éHa & 'you' (m.s.) & - \\
\hline -áyiH & 'you' (f.s.) & - \\
\hline -av & 'him' & יוי \\
\hline -éha & 'her' & -יה- \\
\hline -énu (or -éynu) & 'us' & -ינו- \\
\hline -eHem & 'you' (m.pl.) & -יכם- \\
\hline -eHen & 'you' (f.pl.) (F) & -יכן \\
\hline -ehem & 'them' (m.pl.) & -יהם \\
\hline -ehen & 'them' (f.pl.) (F) & -יהן \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Examples are: \({ }^{4}\)
אחרי aHarey 'after'
aHaray, aHaréHa, aHaráyiH, aHarav, אחרי, אחריך, אחריך, אחריו, aHaréha, aHarénu, aHareHem, aHarehem

אחריה, אחרינו, אחריכם,
אחריהם
odot 'concernig' אודות
odotay, odotéHa, odotáyiH, odotav, odotéha, odoténu, odoteHem, odotehem

אודותי, אודותיך, אודותיך, אודותיו, אודותיה, אודותינו, אודותיכם, אודותיהם

The same applies to the following:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline lifney: lefanay,..., lifnehem & 'before' & לפני: לפני..., לפני \\
\hline mipney: mipanay,..., mipnehem & 'of \({ }^{16}\) & מפני: מפני..., מפניהם \\
\hline bidey: beyaday,..., bidehem & 'by' & בידי: בידי..., בידיהם \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
and similarly to:


Exceptions are:
בלי 'a) bli 'without'
The suffixes are regular, but the stem becomes - בלעד bilad-:

(b) ל- le- expressing 'movement' is replaced by el- when inflected:
elay, elèHa etc. 'to me, to you etc.' אלי, אליך...
This also affects - ble-used with words of 'communicating' and 'referring', e.g.
 'turn (to)', and with words denoting 'concerning', e.g., בנוגע ל- לקו benogéa le-, בקשר ל-bekésher le-, באשר ל-baasher le- (cf. 15.6).

\subsection*{42.2.4 Mixing plural and singular suffixes}

These prepositions mix singular and plural-type endings in various ways:
(a) ביו ben 'between' has plural-type suffixes in the plural:18
beni, benHa, beneH, beno, bena,
benéynu/benénu, beneHem, benehem
ביני, בינך, בינך, בינו, בינה, בינינו/ביננו, ביניכם, ביניהם
(b) (מחת (mi-)taHat 'under' has plural-type suffixes, or alternatively in 3rd person, (מותחת) (mi-)taHto and especially (mi-)taHtam.
(c) עלל-יד al-yedey 'by (passive)' generally takes singular-type suffixes despite its -ey, but some speakers prefer על-ידיו, על-ידיהal-yadav, al-yadéha.
 leyado, leyada is sometimes preferred in 3rd person).

FURTHER READING
Blau 1967: vol. 2, 97 ff; Peretz 1972: 227; Rosén 1955: 210, 1966a: ch. 3.

\section*{Notes to chapters}

\section*{Chapter 5}
1. Purists pronounce - ה as ha-before certain types of word beginning with \(h a-, H a-, a\) -
2. An entirely different ha-denotes 'who, which' in some present tense relative clauses, e.g. נכים הנוהגים naHim ha-nohagim 'invalids who drive'.
3. את et is discussed more fully in 15.5 ; it is a 'governed' preposition introducing the direct object, e.g. שמעו את דוד sham'u et david 'they heard David' vs. indirect object שמעו לדוד sham'u le-david 'they listened to David'.
4. 'One morning' is בוקר אחד bóker eHad (morning one), not בבוקר * *be-boker (in a morning). 'In the morning (i.e. in general)' too is בבוקר ba-boker 'in the morning'; see 5.4. Words for days of the week do not have - H ha- 'the'; they are usually 'proper names', thus ביום שני be-yom sheni (lit. on second day) is used for both 'on a Monday' and 'on (this) Monday'; see 5.3.2 and 5.5. Similarly, the names for festivals are usually proper names, e.g. פסט pésaH 'Passover'.
Re. ב \(b a\) - meaning 'in the': see 5.6 , under 'conflation of \(h a-\) '.
5. Contrast בבית-ספר be-bet séfer 'in school', which involves an indefinite, quasiproper noun. The same holds for certain other institutions - see 5.4.
6. There are exceptions. Instead of האב, האם ha-av, ha-em 'the father, the mother', possessive suffixes are employed, as in אביו, אמך aviv, imeH 'his-father, your-mother', or (casually) ába shelo, íma shelaH etc. אבא שלו, אמא שלך ába, íma are intrinsically definite in such cases, hence, in most usage, we do not have האבא שלו* *ha-ába shelo 'the father his'. Indeed, one intimately employs aba, ima as proper nouns, e.g. איפה אמא! éfo ima? 'Where's Mom? [= my/our/your Mom]'.
'Grandfather, grandmother' too require possessive sufixes (and are not always intrinsically definite): (ה) (הסבא שלו, (ה)סבתא שלך) (ha-) sába shelo, (ha-) sávta shelaH ‘his grandfather, your grandmother'. They too have a separate use as proper nouns: איפה סבת אז éfo sávta? ‘Where's Grandma?’
7. There are exceptions. Among proper nouns requiring - \(h a\) - are (a) names of rivers, e.g. הדנובה ha-danúba 'the Danube', התמזה ha-témza 'the Thames'; (b) certain other places, e.g. החרמון ha-Hermon 'Mt Hermon', הכרמל ha-karmel 'Mt Carmel', הגולן ha-golan 'the Golan', הנגב ha-négev 'the Negev'; (c) 'proper nouns' deriving from a ‘common noun’ with - קה ha-, e.g. קראתי את הארץ karáti et ha-árets'I read 〈the paper) Haarets’, נכנסתי להזורע niHnásti le-ha-zoréa ‘I went into 〈the shop) Hazorea’; (d) most abbreviations of Rabbis' names, e.g. הריטב ha-ritva 'Ritva', המהר ha-maharal 'Maharal' (a few optionally take - ה ha-, e.g. (ה) (ה) (ha)radak 'Radak'; and רשר máshi 'Rashi' disallows it). Mountains, lakes, seas etc. are usually introduced by ... הר har... 'Mt...', אגם agam... 'Lake...' etc; see 6.14.
8. However, ra'íti tsiyur shel pikáso 'I saw a painting of Picasso', not רראיתי פיקאסו* *ra'íti pikáso 'I saw a Picasso'.
9. An exception is שבת shabat 'The Sabbath, Saturday', which is generally a common noun except that with ב- be- 'on' it is a proper noun too:

Common noun:
matay niHnéset ha-shabat?
מתי נכנסת השבת!
'When does the Sabbath begin?'
heevárti sham et ha-shabat
העברתי שם את השבת
'I spent the Sabbath there' (general or particular)
heevárti sham shabat
העברתי שס שבת
'I spent a Sabbath there'
Proper noun:
hayiti sham be-shabat
הייתי שם בשבת
'I was there on (the) Sabbath'
10. In ההוא, ההיאhahu, hahi etc. 'that' and הללו halálu 'these', -ה ha-is an inseparable part of the word.
11. It is as if מי מי מי.. \(m i\) 'who?' were underlyingly intrinsically partitive, denoting mi mi... 'who of (people in general)'. It is not coincidental that the inanimate ma 'what?' and משהו máshehu 'something' are indefinite and strictly incapable of taking a partitive: מה מהם* *ma mehem 'which of them'; see further, 8.6.
12. However, with days of the week and special days 'this...' (i.e. 'the coming...') is usually ביום שני הזה : ha-ze-yom sheni ha-ze 'this Monday'.
13. Where an intensifier precedes the adjective, \(-\pi\) ha-will precede the whole phrase: ha-yéled ha-me'od parúa 'the very wild child'.
14. Exceptionally, noun + adjective can be a semi-compound (see 10.8), thus הבן-יחיד ha-ben yaHid 'the only child'.
15. Where - \(\boldsymbol{\pi}\) ha-seems to introduce a verb, it is not the 'definite article' but a (formal) variant of \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she- 'that'; thus the preceding noun need not even be 'definite' (see 33.5):
martsim ha-mevakshim haala'a מרצים המבקשים העלאה meaymim be-shvita מאיימים בשביתה
'Lecturers that are seeking a rise are threatening a strike'
16. Thus one cannot add a further - \(-\mathrm{h} h\) - as definite article:
*ha-léHem ha-haHi tov
**לחם ההכי טוב
the bread the most good
'The best bread'

\section*{Chapter 6}
1. The traditional terms are נסמך and or 'construct' and 'construent'.
2. Thus endocentric phrases are ruled out.
3. Construct phrases are \(\stackrel{N}{( }(\mathrm{X} N \mathrm{~N}), \mathrm{X}\) being a single word and \(\hat{N}\) a category that ranks between N and NP , such that a whole construct phrase usually ranks too large to function as X itself.
4. Exceptions are few, e.g. שנים~ שנות shanim ~shnot 'years'.
5. These are morphological rather than phonological rules. Indeed, many speakers lacking a command of formal Hebrew may lack many of these rules and just make these variations lexically for particular set expressions.
6. Penultimate in the underlying 'free' form, e.g. מוצר, מוצרים mutsar, mutsarim. But vowels are retained for most suffixes of the plural noun, thus מוצרי~ מוצריו mutsrey \(\sim\) mutsarav.
7. Although with indefinite nouns there is no direct syntactic evidence that these are construct phrases at all (rather than appositions), the use of the construct form with אניים shnáyim is indirect evidence. The numeral follows its noun and is akin to an adjective.
8. Thus only words that constitute the whole component A can have construct form.
9. This is not a morphological constraint, as no construct ending need be involved in many instances; and names used as common nouns can indeed take regular plural endings (39.10): כולם איינשטיינים kulam áynshtaynim 'they're all Einsteins'. Possibly, just as the most highly 'definite' nouns (pronouns, names) are most typically 'possessors', so too they may be least typically 'possessed' or amenable to this most direct possessive construction.
10. If חיל אוויר שבדיה Heyl avir shvédya were possible as a simple chain of constructs, it would have to mean 'the force of Sweden's air'; and חיל אווירנו Heyl avirénu would mean 'the force of our air'. There are, however, exceptions, e.g. שיוויון נפשנו shivyon nafshénu 'our equanimity', בא כוחו ba koHo 'his proxy'.
11. הז \(z e\) ' it', itself a personal pronoun (7.3), is never suffixed; indeed, for 'its' one tends to prefer שלו shelo (i.e. של + הוא shel + hu 'of it' m.s.):
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { al titen li et ze, ki ze ratuv ve-ani } \\
\text { lo ohev et ha-tséva shelo } & \text { אל תתן לי את זה, כי זה רט רטוב ואני }
\end{array}
\]
'Don't give me this, because it's wet and I don't like its colour' 12. As elaborated in 6.8.3, a 'definite' component \(B\) usually entails a 'definite' component A.
13. An exception: חלק מ- Hélek mi- 'some of', yet חלקם Helkam 'some of them' etc. Construct suffixes are impossible with adjectives, hence הארצות עשירות האארצות עשירותיו ha-aratsot ashirot ha-neft 'the oil-rich countries' but not הנפט *ha-aratsot ashirotav 'the its-rich countries'.
14. This creates the most 'open' of genitive phrases. At the other extreme are set phrases, to all intents and purposes a single word, where an adjective will not qualify the second word.
15. Sometimes the noun + name phrase is nevertheless felt to be a set phrase: סיפורי פרוורי תל-אביב sipurey agnon ha-aHerim 'the other Agnon stories', עגנון האחרים החדשים parverey tel aviv ha-Hadashim 'the new Tel Aviv suburbs'.
16. Determiners are structurally tighter to the noun than are adjectives; indeed they are not commonly free-standing; see chapter 9.
17. Very formally also הצעתך זאת hatsaatHa zot (proposal-your this).
18. חברי בעלה Havrey baala 'her husband's friends' is itself not a chain of construct nouns, for the second construct involves just a pronoun suffix \(n-a\).
19. A similar (formal) emphatic construction forgoes דירתו הוא : dirato hu (apartment-his he) 'his apartment', מולדתנו אנו moladeténu ánu (homeland-our we) 'our homeland'.
20. Casually, the masculine plural is used instead.
21. The general grammatical exceptions have been outlined in 6.7. There are also lexical exceptions of various kinds, listed here in (1)-(5).
(1) Certain nouns must be construct to another noun, i.e. they do not take של shel, nor are they ever free-standing. They include several nouns denoting a space or time relationship: מני pney 'surface', מר rosh 'top', רחבי raHavey 'length and breadth', גמר gmar 'end', משך mésheH 'duration'; also some nouns of membership, e.g. (הכפר, אותו מעמד, ben (ha-kfar, oto maamad,...) 'one of (the village, the same class,...)', (הליכוד, האופוזיציה) איש ish (ha-likud, ha-opozitsya,...) 'a member of (the Likud, the Opposition,...)'. However, not all relational nouns are like this, thus .... התחתית של ha-taHtit shel... 'the bottom of...', דרומה של יוון droma shel yavan 'the South of Greece'.
(2) Certain relational nouns require the construct if possessive, e.g. ימין (התמונה) yemin (ha-tmuna) 'the right of (the picture)', קצה (המגש ktse (ha-magash) 'the edge of (the tray)', and סוף sof 'end', מועד mo'ed 'date', יליד yelid 'native'.
(3) A feiw nouns require single or double construct, e.g. תעד báal 'owner', תולדות toldot 'history', and a few require double construct, e.g. בעלה של baala shel 'the husband of', אשתו של ishto shel 'the wife of', דעתו של daato shel 'the opinion of' (very casually also הבעל של ha-báal shel, האשה של ha-isha shel).
(4) Certain nouns are similarly restricted when followed by a particular type of noun, i.e. they are semi-fixed expressions. Those requiring a construct include (הששים, השבעים,...) shnot (ha-shishim, ha-shivim,...) 'the sixties, seventies,...', (..., גיל (ששים ,שבעים gil shishim, shivim,...) 'the age of (sixty, seventy,...)', (..., כאב (בטן, גרון ke'ev (béten, garon,...) '(stomach, throat,...) ache'; those requiring a single or double construct include שלום shlom 'welfare'.
(5) The pronoun suffix, otherwise usually a mark of formality, is always possible or even required with kinship terms. It is generally preferred to של shel 'of' for בעל isha 'wife' (אשתי, אשת ishti, ishteHa 'my wife, your wife' etc.), בעה báal 'husband', אב av 'father', אם em 'mother', חם Ham 'father-in-law', חמות Hamot 'mother-in-law', דוד dod 'uncle', דודה dóda 'aunt'; however, casual usage has (ה)אבא שלי) (ha-)ába sheli, 'my dad', (המא שלי) (ha-)ima sheli 'my mum', דוד שלי dod sheli 'my uncle', דודה שלי dóda sheli ‘my auntie' (and שלד של של 'of' for הורים horim 'parents', בן ben 'son', בת bat 'daughter', אח aH 'brother', אחות aHot 'sister' and the terms for 'brother/sister-in-law, cousin, nephew, niece'. סבא sába 'grandfather' and סבת ס sávta 'grandmother' take של shel and not the pronoun suffixes.
22. Exceptionally, there is a definite משל mishel phrase when 'my own...' denotes 'my own respective...', as in יש לי את הצרות משלי yesh li et ha-tsarot misheli 'I have my own troubles.' משל mishel is used (formally) with nouns or non-reflexively in partitives : היום קראתי שני מאמרים \}של/משל\{ ויטגנשטיין ואחד \}שלך/משלך hayom karáti shney maamarim \{shel / mishel\} vitgenshtayn ve-eHad \{shelHa / mishelHa\} 'Today I've read two articles of Wittgenstein and one of yours'.
23. There is also a formal idiom לא לו lo lo 'not his', לא להם lo lahem 'not theirs' etc.: במלים לא לה be-milim lo la 'in words that were not hers'.
24. By contrast, noun compounds inflect as single words: רמזור

25. So too adjective + noun constructs, e.g. התותח ארוך הטווח, התותח ha-totaH aroH ha-tvaH, ha-totaH ha-aroH tvaH (the cannon long the range, the cannon the long range) 'the long-range cannon'.
26. But then the pronoun suffix (חבר'ו Haverav 'its members') is impossible.
27. Where both the subject and the direct object are to be mentioned, the object tends to be expressed through the genitive (this position, directly following the 'action word', being normal for an object), whereas the subject is couched in an על-ידו al-yedey or בידי pinuy ha-yeladim bidey Hayalim 'the evacuation of the children by troops'.
28. Among the few exceptions are מתן matan 'giving' (only construct, and the following noun must be an 'object') as in מתן אוטונומיה matan otonómya 'giving of autonomy', מלאת mulot 'passing (of years)'; מוות mávet 'death', 'birth', הות 'departure', בוא bo 'arrival’ (construct or double genitive).
29. Casual Hebrew prefers such all-purpose constructions as אלה שנותנים éle shenotnim or מי שנותן mi she-noten 'someone who gives'.
30. Whereas the verb or adjective taking an indirect object (with the prepositions le-, im etc.) simply requires the same preposition for its corresponding 'action or state noun', e.g. השפעה על ילדים hashpa'a al yeladim 'influence on children' (ch. 30), the agent noun cannot take a preposition - it is a case of either the genitive or nothing: משפיעים על ילדים * *mashpi'im al yeladim 'influencers of children'. Indeed, action or state nouns need no object noun at all: ההשפעה מורגשת ha-hashpa'a murgéshet 'the influence is felt'. Exceptions include idiomatic uses of certain verbs taking adverbials,
 (הבקעעה,... (..., (פולין) yots'ey (polin,...) 'emigrants from (Poland,...)', and a few set phrases such as הולכי רגל holHey régel 'pedestrians', מיטיבי לכת meytivey léHet 'good walkers'.
31. The adjective proves that these are nouns: נועלי סנדלים צעירים noaley sandalim tseirim 'young wearers of sandals'.
32. Except in certain set phrases.
33. However, with brand names the construct with ha- 'the' is avoided: הכוס של hat טמפו ha-kos shel témpo 'the glass of Tempo' rather than כוס הטמפו* *kos ha-tempo. Moreover, as the construct component B is in general not intrinsically definite, it will not generally be a pronoun: בקבוק היין bakbuk ha-yáyin 'the bottle of wine' but not的**akbuko 'its bottle'. The same is true for purpose and identification genitives.
34. With נמל-תעופה nemal teufa 'airport' and similar construct nouns, formal Hebrew prefers apposition of the name: נמל-התעופה קנדי nemal ha-teufa kénedi ‘Kennedy airport'. Casually the construct is used, but without changing the form of תעופה teufa etc.: בית-חולים הדסה נמל-תעופה קנדי nemal teufa (COMPONEnta) kénedi (COMPONENt b) בית bet Holim hadása 'The Hadasa Hospital' etc.
35. In coordination, dates require apposition, e.g. החודשים יוני ויולי ha-Hodashim yúni ve-yúli 'the months June and July'.
36. Or (more technical) by apposition: הנחל ירקון ha-náHal yarkon.
37. And for this reason, perhaps, קבוצת kibuts degánya, קיבוץ דגניה kvutsat degánya 'Kibbutz Deganya'.
38. In apposition (36.4), העיר ha- 'the' is prefixed to the whole phrase: קע חיפה ha-ir Heyfa 'the City of Haifa'.
39. עע ets 'tree' is thus used as a 'classifier', but not פרח péraH 'flower', צמח tsémaH 'plant', ציפור tsipor 'bird' and other such terms.
40. This applies only when the name is itself used as a 'common noun', e.g. יש לי
 shel can always be used): הריבה של אוסם ha-riba shel ósem 'The Osem jam'. Apposition is impossible.
41. Unlike adjectives, they always require this head noun. As with the foregoing construct types, no pronoun suffixes are possible.
42. שלוביהם (טובי shel is not used, nor a pronoun toveyhem 'their best'), even when not generic.
43. Much varied use is made of (..., בעל (חי, בית, שמחה báal (Hay, báyit, simHa,...) ‘animal, landlord, host,...', (..., בן (אדם, זוג, תורה) (adam, zug, tora,...) 'person, spouse, learned Jew,...', (ספר, קיבול, שימוש,... בית לעו bet (séfer, kibul, shimush,...) 'school, receptacle, toilet,...'. The regular meaning of בעל báal is 'possessing'; בן ben is 'son, member, comprising' and בית bet is 'house'.
44. Adjective phrases such as דו-לשוניdu-leshoni ‘bilingual', tilateral' are not construct, for in all constructs component B is a noun. Hence - -ha 'the' can only precede the whole phrase, as in הילד הדו-לשוני ha-yéled ha-du-leshoni 'the bilingual child' (see 41.5). Unlike -אי \(i\) - 'non-', חוסר Hóser 'non-' is a true noun.
45. In addition, certain determiners are genitive in form, e.g. כל מיני少 עיקר הפתרון ikar ha-pitaron 'the basic solution'. See chapter 9 .
46. Type (1) is exocentric and type (2) endocentric.
47. This definiteness is not 'inherent' in the noun; whereas המבצרים ha-mivtsarim mukafey ha-oyvim 'the forts surrounded by enemies' is acceptable, a change to אויבינו oyvéynu 'our enemies' (inherently definite) makes it unacceptable.
48. A degree word may be added, e.g. כבד-גוף כלשהו kvad-guf kólshehu 'somewhat heavy of build'.
49. Thus they are simultaneously free and fixed expressions.
50. As adjectives, בעל báal and ben occur only in such contexts. They are thus akin to the derivational suffix \(---i\) 'ous'.
51. In addition, בעל báal is a noun meaning 'owner' and a noun in certain idioms, e.g. adal emtsa'im 'man of means', but otherwise it is an adjective (i.e. it usually requires a head noun). חסר Haser 'lacking' is also a regular adjective, in two senses which are really synonymous:

Haser la éreH musari חסר לה ערך מוסרי lacks to-her value moral
hi Hasera éreH musari she lacks value moral

היא חסרה ערך מוסרי
'She lacks moral value'

בית בן חדרים bat require a numeral, ruling out בת ben and its feminine בן אדירים *áyit ben Hadarim adirim 'house consisting of huge rooms'. By contrast, המוסד מקבל בני ארבע ben/bat denoting 'aged...' are nouns, witness בן/בת ha-mosad mekabel bney arba 'The institution accepts four-year-olds'. Like certain other nouns, these are commonly in apposition: ילדים בני ארבע yeladim bney arba 'four-year-old children' (see 36.12).
52. The similarity extends to the type of verb involved: ילדים שר, שירי* עם *yeladim sharey shirey am 'children singing folksongs'. This is a 'generic' construction, i.e. the noun of component B is generally indefinite, thus contrast acceptable מקבלי הטיפול הזה mekabley ha-tipul ha-ze 'recipients of this treatment' (agent genitive) with החולים מקבלי הטיפול הזה * ha-Holim mekabley ha-tipul ha-ze 'patients receiving this treatment' (verbal participle genitive). The only definite noun possible is one really belonging to the whole phrase: העיתונים רודפי-הסנסציות ha-itonim rodfey-ha-sensátsyot 'the sensation-seeking papers'.

\section*{Chapter 7}
1. Pronoun prefixes and suffixes are just 'agreement formatives', even though the pronoun they agree with is often omitted. Unlike possessive suffixes, they cannot be contrastively stressed (and they are grammatically obligatory):
séfer~sifrénu 'book~our book' ספר~ספרנו
as opposed to:
ra'a~*ra'ínu 'he saw (3rd pers.)~we saw (1st pers.)' ראה Further, a suffix such as נוחנו --nu'1st pl.' sometimes reflects not a subject pronoun anáHnu 'we' but rather a combination of nouns: אני והשוער ראינו ani ve-ha-sho'er ra'inu 'The doorman and I saw'. However, in a few formal idioms the subject pronoun itself is suffixed, e.g. זכורני zHuráni/zaHúrni 'I recall’, כמדומני kimedumáni 'methinks'.
2. Significantly, 3rd person inflection only marks gender and number. Person is zeromarked.
3. All mention of אז zot and éle henceforth is meant to include their variants (ir zu,zo and אלו élu respectively). Formal הללו halálu is only a definite pronoun 'these ones' and a determiner 'these (people, things)'.
4. This 'dummy' \(z e\) is not related to the antecedent - rize she-above (by means of extraposition) as the infinitive creates לזה קשה לדעת ze kashe ladáat (it is hard to know) but not זקה לדעת קשה *ze ladáat kashe (it to-know [is] hard). Neither 'dummy \(z\) זה \(z\) ' nor 'antecedent \(z e\) ' are ordinary nouns, for they do not allow one to refer back to them using הוא, אותו hu, oto etc.; nor, interestingly, do clauses themselves:
*ze lo matsdik et atsmo lehashkia sham
**ה לא מצדיק את עצמו להשקיע שם ('It does not justify itself to invest there')
*ze she-hu mefursam hu lo mashpía alay it that he's famous it not influences me
*זה שהוא מפורסם הזא לא משפעע עלי
('The fact he's famous doesn't influence me')
5. Formal usage does have an option of using H ze in past or future tense inversions: haya (ze) barur she... היה (זה) ברור ש... was (it) plain that...
'It was plain that...'
6. איזה éze 'which' supplies איזהשהו ézeshehu ‘some or other', and כל kol 'any’ supplies מלשהו mólshehu ‘any’ (9.2). Although 'who?’ is strictly masculine, מישהו \(m\) מי mishehu 'someone' has a feminine form מישהי mishehi, and .... מי mi she... 'the one who...' can act as feminine with feminine agreement.
7. Thus .... אחד שeHad she... and .... שז ze she... are both restricted to people ('someone who..., the person who...'), except when referring back to a particular noun ('one which..., the one which...').

\section*{Chapter 8}
1. A few quantifiers are also ordinary nouns (with normal plurals), notably Hétsi 'half', רות maHatsit 'half’, רוב rov 'majority', מיעוט mi'ut 'minority', טיפה tipa ‘drop', חלק Hélek 'part', מספר mispar 'number', המון hamon 'mass', מבול mabul ‘flood’, אחוזaHuz 'percentage'. Some are also adjectives: מעט me'at 'few', כפול kaful 'double'; degree words: מעט me'at 'a bit', קצת ktsat 'a bit', טיפה tipa 'a tiny bit',

 מספיק maspik 'enough', די day (with adjective) 'quite', (as a quantifier) 'enough', כמה káma 'how'.
2. Theoretically, 'amount' too amounts to 'portion' (i.e. of the indefinite): five sheets \(=\) five of the totality of sheets.
3. However, הרבה harbe as subject (i.e. with a clearly plural verb or suchlike) = 'many people' as well as 'a lot (of things)'.

Further exceptions are: (1) open-ended הכל ha-kol 'everything' (as against 'specific' הר harbe 'a lot' or similar, i.e. it does not take את \(e t\); (2) הכל ha-kol is also 'everyone', particularly as subject, in formal usage; casual usage, however, prefers כולם kulam (also = 'all of them'), but not when qualified: 'everyone who came' is not כולם שבאו* *kulam she-báu but כל מי שבא kol mi she-ba (all who that came), and similarly 'like everyone else' is כמו כלאחד אחר kmo kol eHad aHer (like each one else) or similar.
4. 'Imprecise’ numerals are indeed open-ended (see 8.5.3), e.g. מליונים יתנגדו milyónim yitnagdu 'millions will object'.
5. An exception is (שנשאר) המעט ha-me'at (she-nish'ar) 'the little (that remains)'.
6. However, מחצית maHatsit 'half' (formal) is usually construct to a definite noun and thus syntactically definite, e.g. מחצית היום maHatsit ha-yom 'half the day'. Occasionally one also finds החצי ha-Hétsi, e.g. ריססתי את החצי risásti et ha-Hétsi 'I sprayed half'. Moreover, all fractions can be definite when qualified: העשירית ha-asirit ha-aHarona 'the last tenth', etc.
7. However, the fractions ending in \(\quad\) מחצית maHatsit 'half' and those for ' \(1 / 5\), \(1 / 6\) ' onwards, require a definite noun, e.g. השירית (השנייה, (... הליטר asirit (ha-shniya, ha-liter,...) 'a tenth of (a second, a litre,...)'.

One cannot generally form a partitive at all with amount quantifiers that express a more complex notion than simple amount, e.g. יותר' yoter 'more', מספיק maspik 'enough' (as against שש שhesh ‘six’, הרבה harbe ‘much'): מספיק מהם** *maspik mehem ('enough of them'), עוד מהלחם *od me-ha-leHem ('more of the bread'). A rather different use is היה לי מספיק מהם haya li maspik mehem 'I've had enough of them'.
8. Casual usage has irregular singular שני שליש shney shlish '2/3' (formal: שני שלושת shney shlishim ); and שלושים shet rivey ' \(3 / 4\) ' using the construct form even when the fraction is used in isolation (formal: שלושה רבעים shlosha reva'im).
9. Exceptions are the following. (1) Two constructions with רוב rov 'most' require the ‘double genitive’ (sec 6.1): ........, רוב רובם המכריע של rov rubam shel..., rubam ha-maHria shel... 'the vast majority of '; (2) עמר, שאר yéter, she'ar 'the rest' + noun are sometimes indefinite (formal): מחשבים ויתר מוצרים בני-קיימא meHashvim ve-yéter mutsarim bney-kyama 'computers and other durables'.
10. In formal usage the following can take the various construct ('possessive') suffixes instead: חצי Hétsi ‘half' (e.g. חציכם HetsyeHem ‘half of you'), חלק Hélek ‘some', קצת ktsat 'a few', מקצת miktsat ‘a few'. Moreover, מחצית maHatsit 'half' tends to require the construct: מחצית המועמדים maHatsit ha-muamadim 'half the candidates'. When it means 'a large part of' rather than precisely 'half', חצי Hétsi too uses the construct: חצי העיר נפגעה Hatsi ha-ir nifge'a 'half the city was affected', חצי זמנו Hatsi zmano 'half his time'.
11. Similarly, formal מקצת miktsat 'some'.
12. Curiously, when the following noun lacks - החד ha- 'the', מ- mi- is required for eHad or אחת aHat :
eHad mi-Hadrey ha-shena אחד מחדרי השינה
one of rooms-of the sleep 'one of the bedrooms'
eHad mi-doday
one of uncles-my
אחד מדודי
'one of my uncles'
13. When qualified, they require של shel 'of', e.g. מאות רבות של me'ot rabot shel 'many hundreds of', מספר גדול של mispar gadol shel 'a large number of'.
14. Numeral + fraction is treated as a single numeral: casually, שש וחצי שעות shesh va-Hétsi sha'ot 'six and a half hours'; formally, ששׁ שעות וחצי shesh sha'ot va-Hétsi 'six hours and a half'.
15. עוד od 'more' is exceptional in following the 'question words' which it qualifies, e.g. מי עוד mi od 'who else?', איפה עוד éfo od 'where else?', כמה עוד חולצות káma od Hultsot or כמה חולצות עוד káma Hultsot od 'how many more shirts?' (Similarly איזה עוד éze od or עוד אוד איזה od éze 'which other...?'). In this role, עוד od is akin to a quantifier qualifying another quantifier, as in עוד קצת od ktsat 'a little more' (8.13).
16. The construct is occasionally found, e.g. (witness the position of \(-\boldsymbol{\pi} h a-\) 'the'):
kilo ha-batsal קילו הבצל
kilo the onions
'the kilo of onions'
However, plural units that are singular in shape, e.g. ששה מטר shisha méter 'six metres', are never construct.
17. The partitive + collective is not felt to be so 'cohesive' a construction, hence the impossibility of construct אחד eHad ‘one of’: אחד *אחד מ-) הכיתה aHad (veHad me-) ha-kita 'one of the class' and even of אחחד ממנה * *eHad mimena 'one of it'. Equally impossible is the common 'definitization' of אחד eHad 'one' by contamination with the following definite noun: את אחד מהכיתה...* *...et eHad me-ha kita ('def om one of the class').
18. מעט me'at is not used in the masculine singular except as a quantifier preceding its noun: מעט סבלנות me'at savlanut 'a little patience'.
19. The following quantifiers can also act as nouns, with their own inherent gender: חלק Hélek 'part, some', הרוב ha-rov 'the majority', אחוז אחד aHuz eHad (etc.) 'one per cent (etc.)', e.g. חלק ישן Hélek yashen 'A portion is [i.e. are] asleep'.
20. Occasionally this is true of quantifier + של shel 'of', e.g.
miutan shel ha-reshatot meshamshot מיעוטן של הרשתות משמשות m.s. f.pl. f.pl.
atuda reduma
תעודה רדומה
'A minority of the networks constitute a dormant reserve'
21. חציים Hetsyam 'half-of-them', חלקם Helkam 'part-of-them', חלק מהם Hélek
mehem 'part of-them' also count as ordinary nouns: חתציים נרדם Hetsyam nirdam 'half of them dozed off (m.s.)'

Adjectives qualifying the quantifier agree with it as with an ordinary noun:
.... הרוב המכר'ע ha-rov ha-maHria mi... 'the overwhelming majority of...'.
22. In casual usage, masculine אחד eHad 'one' often supplants feminine אחת aHat 'one' in page, telephone, bus numbers, etc.
23. The construct of אחד eHad 'one' means 'one of...', not 'the one...'. For the latter one uses הגל האחד ha-gal ha-eHad (the wave the one, i.e. 'the one wave'), with אחד eHad agreeing in definiteness just like an adjective. The plural adjective aHadim /aHadot means ‘a few' or 'singles', not 'ones'.

An indication that a singular noun is in itself felt to have an implicit אחד eHad 'an, one’ is the coordinated construction of the type שאלה או שתיים sheela o shtáyim 'a question or two'.
24. These are semi-compounds. On the one hand, like other semi-compounds, they have no construct form: עשרים ושניים (ושני) שחקנים esrim u-shnáyim (*u-shney) saHkanim '22 players', עשרים ושלושה (ושלושת) השחקנים esrim u-shlosha (*u-shlóshet) ha-saHkanim 'the 23 players'. Nor is ordinary coordination-reduction possible: עששרים ושש או שבע" *esrim ve-shesh o sheva '26 or 〈twenty->seven'. On the other hand, asyndetic coordination is possible: עשרים ושש-שבע esrim ve-shesh-shéva '26-7 (players)'.
25. However, for numbering years one has מאה וששים שנה mea ve-shishim shana'160 years'.
26. אחניים eHad 'one', coming after the noun, does not itself coordinate with shnáyim 'two' - it is the noun that does so, but without אחד or' dropping (and eHad 'one' itself can be omitted):

Haki daka (aHat) o shtáyim nכי דקה (אחת) או שתיים
wait minute (one) or two
'Wait a minute or two'
27. Purists prefer שני חדרים וחצי shney Hadarim va-Hétsi 'two rooms and a half'. Generally אחד eHad 'one' is not expressed, e.g. שעה וחצי sha'a va-Hétsi' ‘an) hour and (a) half' and:
gàrti be-Héder va-Hétsi
'I lived in \(\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\) room and \(\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\) half'
גרתי בחדר וחצי
28. Unlike numerals, they do not yield ordinals ('for the 100 's-th time') or multiples ('tens of times more accurate'); they are in fact 'quasi-numerals'.
29. These numerals have no inherent gender.
30. Substandardly we sometimes find העשרים ושלישי ha-esrim u-shlishi (the twenty and third). Standard Hebrew is altogether averse to thus adding an adjectival suffix to a phrase.
31. Even an implied definite noun (as in 'I failed the 19th time but passed the 20th') is not sufficient.
32. 'Two minutes / moments' is שתי דקות /שני רגעים shtey dakot/ shney rega'im. But 'twice' is שעמיים paamáyim or שתי פעמים shtey peamim.
33. However, these qualifiers precede בן ben 'aged' in the construction הוא כבן אר בעים hu ke-ven arba'im 'He's about [aged] 40.'
34. However, where preceding כל kol 'all, every', אותו oto 'the same' or any partitive quantifier. כמעט kim'at 'almost' must be kept ahead of any preposition: כמעט בכל תחום kim'at be-Hol tHum 'almost in every area'.
35. Thus הרבה harbe 'many', מעט me'at 'a few'. קצת ktsat 'a few', not being adjectival, are impossible here.
36. me'at has a separate function as a quantifier, e.g. מעט גשט me'at géshem 'a little rain', מועט muat has another feminine form מועטת muétet.
37. יותר הרבה**yoter harbe 'more much' and יותר מעט* *yoter me'at 'more few’ are impossible.
38. Adjectives too require a 'head noun' to be explicit when it is a mass noun:
*ha-óHel kar, tavi yoter Ham beHayéHa!
*האוכל קר, תביא יותר חם בחייך! ('The food's cold, bring warmer, will you!')
39. Another adjectival trait is the ability to coordinate with adjectives, e.g.
be-irgunim rabim ve-shonim
באירגונים רבים ושונים
'In many [and] different organizations'

\section*{Chapter 9}
1. See also type (d).
2. Substandard usage also employs זאת zóti.
3. hala is occasionally used as 'this (here, just mentioned)' of a person (singular). denan denotes 'foregoing' thing(s) or person(s). Both are formal.
4. Although historically equivalent to \(i r+כ k e+z e\) 'like + this', they do not act as such: whereas modern כמו זה kmo ze 'like this' allows זe ze to agree with whatever it is referring to, e.g. מיטה כמו אלה mita kmo éle 'a bed like these', the determiner כזה כיה kaze 'such' must agree with the noun it qualifies: מיטה כזאת mita kazot (both words f.s.) 'such a bed'. See also (f).
5. איזה éze also acts as a qualifier of numerals (see 8.13): e.g. איזה מאה פועלים éze méa poalim 'some 100 workers'.
6. The formal forms assume איזה éze to be a compound of \(+\boldsymbol{N} e+z e\).
7. שההוא shehu, שהיא shehi etc. can be related historically to a relative clause: הוא + + she \(+h u\) 'that it 〈may be)'.
8. This has a further meaning: 'any...whatsoever', see (i). The 'split construction' kol...shehu - see (i) - has only the latter meaning.
9. סיו min and its synonym סוג sug are both nouns, meaning 'sort', and determiners. As determiners they both occur in plural expressions of the kind 'all sorts of, three sorts of' - see types ( \(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}\) ).
10. כזה kaze can co-occur with both איז éze and מיזה min.
11. כמו \(k m o\) is also an adverb: עזרא כמו התנער לפתע ézra kmo hitna'er leféta ‘Ezra as it were shook himself suddenly'.
12. משום mishum has no connection with משום mishum 'because' or with any word denoting 'something'.
13. As nouns, בחינה gader and בדר bHina denote 'definition, limit' and 'aspect' respectively.
14. This is also a noun ('bone') and a pronoun ('myself, yourself' etc.).
15. This is also a masculine noun, e.g.: עיקרי הדתikrey ha-dat 'the fundamentals of the religion'.
16. For איזהה..שהוא éze...shehu, the best gloss is 'some... or other'. See also type (d). 17. The expression is syntactically idiomatic, in that כל kol 'all' would ordinarily require a definite plural noun - and of course agreement is not governed by כל מיני kol miney but by the next noun.
18. When the noun is singular, as in שני סוגי כתיבה shney sugey ktiva 'two kinds of writing', סוגי sugey 'kinds of' will be taken as the nucleus rather than as the determiner - and this determines agreement. Note that such a singular noun is generally a mass noun; for count nouns, one requires שני סוגי פרות shney sugey parot 'two sort of cows', not פרה....* *...para ‘...cow'.
19. Literary usage also employs מה ma for 'what...?'
20. Furthermore, יופי yófi etc. admit no qualification themselves:
*yófi lo ragil shel... 'incredible beauty of...’ יופי לא רגיל של...
*kaze yófi shel... 'such beauty of...' .מזה יופי של...
21. Contrast מיטב הגברים meytav ha-gvarim 'the best of the men' (= the best men) with מיטב האומה meytav ha-uma 'the best of the nation' ( \(\neq\) 'the best nation'), hence: meytav ha-uma aHuz yir'a

מיטב האומה אחוז יראה
m.s. f.s. m.s. 'The best of the nation is gripped by fear'
22. מבחר mivHAr can be a noun, e.g. מבחר גדול של madol shel'a large choice of '.
23. However, as can be seen from i ze 'this, that' and some other determiners, the noun can sometimes be indefinite syntactically even though its referent and determiner suggest that it is definite semantically.
24. Though הללו halálu and ההוא hahu (and their inflections) feature the definite article -ה האיש ההוא ha- (thus ha-ish ha-hu 'that man' (the man the he), not איש ההוא * *ish ha-hu (man the he)), it otherwise acts as an integral part of the determiner - thus not obeying the \(ב-\pi+ב b e+h a \rightarrow b a\) rule:

be-halálu (*ba-lálu) 'in these' בהללו (בללו) בא
25. משום mishum 'something of' can take a definite noun phrase as long as the first word does not begin with - ה ha- 'the'.
26. איזה éze can take a definite noun, using מ-זיזה מהעטים : mize mi-ha-etim 'which of the pens'. But such a partitive phrase, usually associated with quantifiers and pronouns, is possible only because איזה éze can behave like a free-standing pronoun, as in איזזה רצית éze ratsit 'Which did you want?' (see 9.5).
27. The indefinite form is mostly confined to formal usage. This does not affect the range of meanings of i ze.
28. denan 'foregoing' is an exception. See also note 24.
29. This might seem to be because את et here is directly followed by an intrinsically definite determiner. However, paradoxically, את et occurs directly with such nondefinites as מי mi מישהו mishehu 'someone', ...who?', אחד מה eHad me-ha... 'one of the...' (see 5.3.3):
shaláHnu et míshehu 'We’ve sent someone' שלחנו את מישהו
30. However, formal usage allows rishumi ze (drawing-my this). Unlike the common construction rishum ze (drawing this) 'this drawing', the former accepts the 'definite object maker' את et:
kabel et rishumi ze
'Accept my drawing'
קבל את רישומי זה
Here \(z e\) seems very much like a regular adjective, except that one would then expect

הזה ha-ze; compare רישומי האחרון rishumi ha-aHaron 'my last drawing'.
31. This phrase is normal as the 'idiomatic construct' in the sense 'these kibbutzmembers'. As a whole, this constraint on noun + possessive reflects the special definiteness of possessive pronouns vis-à-vis common or proper nouns.
32. Literary usage allows (..., זע ze ha-(náar,...) 'this (lad,...)'.
33. This reflects greater 'noun-ness': adjectives and quantifiers can occur by themselves, like nouns, whereas determiners, and even more peripheral items such as preposition phrases and relative clauses, rarely can.
34. Determiners do not ordinarily act as pronouns in their own right. Even without a noun, a determiner like איזה éze 'which?' is felt to be qualifying a particular noun, inferred from context. Thus where a quantifier accompanies a determiner, the former acts as 'nucleus' - a pronoun - whereas the latter is a mere qualifier: שزיםים כאלה shnáyim ka'éle 'two such', not שני כאלה * *shney ka'éle 'two (CONSTRUCT) such', and השניים ההם ha-shnáyim hahem 'those two', not שני ההם * *shney hahem.
35. Furthermore, unlike determiners (see note 34), pronoun i ze can act as nucleus of a phrase, hence:
shney éle \(\quad\) שני אלה

CONSTRLCT NUCLEUS
two these
'these two' nucleus
the two the these 'these two'
36. Such clauses are less likely to be conflated than other comparative clauses, which rules out:
*al timraH riba be-oto sakin kmo (she-)Hem'a

\author{
*אל תמרח ריבה באותו סכין כמו (ש)
}
don't spread jam with [the] same knife like (CONJ) butter

\section*{Chapter 10}
1. Predicative adjectives (and nouns) are sometimes apposed to a noun - generally with a comma or pause (details in ch. 36):
ve-ha-yam meraHok, afluli kólshehu, והים מרחוק, אפלולי כלשהו, mezuham, nohem, nasog min ha-ir מזוהם, נוהם, נסוג מן העיר 'And the sea far away, somewhat darkish, polluted, rumbling, retreated from the city'
2. Notable exceptions are a few 'profession terms', e.g. חבר עורך-דין Haver oreH-din 'a lawyer friend', and some technical usages. Even quasi-adjectives such as those in examples \((1,2)\) below do not occur attributively (examples \((3,4)\) ):
(1) hu me'od géver he['s] very man [= masculine]
(2) hi kol-kaH idishemáme

היא כל-כך אידישעמאמע she['s] so Jewish-mother
(3) *dóda idishemáme *דודה אידישעמאמע [an] aunt Jewish-mother
(4) *martse ben-adam מרצה בן-אדם [a] lecturer nice-guy
We say 'quasi' because the degree word is unwontedly restricted in its positioning, thus contrast:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
hu rashlan me'od \\
adj degree
\end{tabular} & 'He's very negligent' & הוא רשלן \\
\hline *hu géver me'od QUASI-ADJ DEGREE & ('He's very masculine (lit. man')) & *הוא גבר מאד \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
3. For general agreement within the noun phrase, see chapter 12.
4. There are a few exceptions. A few foreign adjectives take no suffix but do take \(h a-\), as in:
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
aruHa párve & 'a pareve (neither meat \\
nor dairy) meal'
\end{tabular}

Apposed adjective phrases, as in (1) below, and semi-compounds, as in (2), involve inflectional suffixes on each word but only a single - \(\boldsymbol{n} h a\) - 'the' prefix (see further, 36.13 and 10.8 respectively):
(1) ha-mitpáHat ha-yeruka-levana the kerchief the green-white
(2) ha-shanot-tovot the years good Casual (מותק של ) mótek shel (yéled) ‘darling (boy)’ etc. (10.2 above) do not inflect.
5. It is as if each adjective were a relative clause qualifying the preceding noun phrase; stacking is therefore impossible for predicative adjectives - they have no noun phrase to qualify:
*ha-mita ktana smuHa le-mitati המיטה קטנה סמוכה למיטתי the bed [is] small close to my-bed
6. Coordination would create a different meaning:
tnu'a avirit u-pnimit traffic aerial and internal

המטפחת הירוקה-לבנה
'the green-and-white kerchief,
השנות-טובות
'the New Year 〈carđis)'
c. (10.2 above) do not

תנועה אווירית ופנימית 'air traffic and internal trafic'
7. Quite generally, words 're-used' by casual speech for its own ends are distinguished by penult stress, e.g. רחל ráHel 'Rachel' (first name), גולות gúlot 'marbles' (children's game). Some noun+noun combinations too are semi-compounds casually: for example, בנאדם ben-adam 'person' (casually also בנאדם benadam) has the casual definite form בן-האדם ha-bney-adam rather than הבני-אדם ha-ben-adam and plural הבן-אדם ben-ha-adam etc (see 38.4 for details).
8. Denoting members of the National Religious movement.
9. The second example involves a comparative phrase.
10. These examples are acceptable once a relative conjunction is added, turning the adjective phrase into a full relative clause:
...she-nasuy le... '...who (is> married to...' שנשוי ל....
A notable exception are objects of דומה dome 'similar', שונה shone 'different', זה לה zehe 'identical' - these being akin to comparatives (see note 9); for example:
hayta aliya shel mea aHuz, shi'ur
kim'at zehe la-aliyat ha-madad la-tsarHan 'There was a rise of \(100 \%\), a rate almost identical to the rise of the Consumer Price Index'
11. Notable exceptions, especially in speech, are disjunct adverbials (ch.23), e.g.:
ze matsav beétsem dey tov
it situation actually quite good
sham matsáti nitúaH zol, ledaati, mipiv shel...

זה מצב בעצם די טוב
'It's actually quite a good situation'
there I-found analysis cheap, to-my-mind, given by...
'There I found a cheap analysis, to my mind, given by...'
12. 'Specificational' past participles and adjectives (15.8), when attributive to a noun, prefer the tighter construct rather than the looser object:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline atsru shney nearim & neuley magafáyim & עצרו שני נערים \}נעולי מגפיים/ \\
\hline N & CONSTRUCT PHR & *נעולים מגפיים| \\
\hline & *neulim magafáyim & \\
\hline & OBJ & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
shney bakbukim mele'ey spirt
שני בקבוקים מלאי ספירט
\(\mathrm{N} \quad\) CONSTRUCT PHR
'two bottles full of spirit'
13. The adjective can, as in (2), be accompanied by a degree word; this, after all, belongs to the adjective phrase. But an added שלך shelHa 'your', which belongs to the noun phrase as a whole, would be awkward (similarly an added numeral אחר eHad 'one'), except with ordinals and superlatives:
*al tilbash et ha-Hadasha shelHa
*אל תלבש את החדשה שלך
*don't wear ом the new your
('Don't wear your new 〈one〉')
ze ha-shlishi shela!
this the third her
זה השלישי שלה!
'This is her third!'
14. Nor can they coordinate with reference to a single person: example (1) with its explicit noun is normal, but (2) is not (except in a case of bigamy):
(1) hi nesu'a le-adam kashish ve-ashir she married to man elderly and wealthy
(2) hi nesu'a le-kashish ve-ashir she married to elderly and wealthy

היא נשואה לאדם קשיש ועשיר
'She's married to an elderly and wealthy man'
היא נשואה לקשיש ועשיר
'She's married to an elderly man and a wealthy man'

\section*{Chapter 11}
1. This is quite apart from their use as adverbs (ch.21) or objects (ch.15):

Adverb: limádeti lemáta
לימדתי למטה
'I taught downstairs'
Object: limádeti al iyov
לימדתי על איוב
'I taught about Job'
2. \(e t\) is not an independent meaningful preposition and is in many further respects a 'weak' preposition.
3. This despite the fact that agent nouns are usually identical in form with the present tense of the verb.
4. Unlike ordinary instances of preposition phrases modifying a noun, examples like (1) below cannot be paraphrased by means of a predicative preposition phrase as in (2):
(1) maaminim be-datot

מאמינים בדתות 'believers in religions'
(2) *ha-maaminim she-hem be-datot
*המאמינים שהם בדתות
('the believers that are in religions')
5. In all but example (4), של shel can be a predicate, as in:
ha-mitriya hi shelánu 'The umbrella's ours' המטריה היא שלנו
6. Thus the following is ambiguous:
malka kmo elízabet 'a queen like Elizabeth' כלכה כמו אליזבט (= .... שגון kegon... 'such as...' or ... שהיא כמו she-hi kmo... 'who is like...')

An entirely different construction is the＇partitive＇（see 8.5 ），for example：
Hélek me－ha－tsiporim＇some of the birds＇חלק מהציפורים
כ כזה kaze＇such＇is a determiner（see ch．9），although its form might suggest that in（1）it is an adverbial meaning＇like that＇．As it must agree with its nucleus noun（2），it is quite distinct from כמו זה kmo ze＇like that＇，illustrated in（3）：
\begin{tabular}{llr}
（1）adam kaze & ＇such 〈a〉 guy＇ & \begin{tabular}{c} 
מדם כזות כאלה \\
（2）mitot ka＇éle \\
f．pl．pl．
\end{tabular} \\
（3）mitot kmo zot & ＇such beds＇ & \\
（3יטות כמו זאת & ＇beds like this＇ &
\end{tabular} f．pl．f．s．
7．Where the adverbial involves a pronoun，\(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she－is common and even obligatory：
af ki ha－taviyot she－alav dey Hazakot．．．אף כי התוויות שעליו די חזקות．．．
＇Although the labels which 〈are〉 on it are quite strong．．．＇
－ש she－is also common with בין beyn＇between＇：
ha－siHsuH she－beynéynu leveynam
הסכסוך שבינינו לבינם
＇the dispute between us and them＇

\section*{Chapter 12}

1．There are a number of adjectives that express quantity and behave like other adjec－ tives（see 8．15）for gender－number－definiteness agreement，e．g．הכוהנים הרבים ha－kohanim ha－rabim＇the many priests＇，התיקיה האחת ha－tikiya ha－aHat＇the one filing－cabinet＇．
2．שתתיים shtáyim＇two＇is particularly likely to be used as both masculine and femi－ nine．
3．Ordinals for \(11,12, \ldots\) have the further peculiarity of only occurring with＇definite＇ nouns．

Other exceptional adjectives include：uninflectible foreign colour terms such as בורדו bórdo＇wine＇，＇השמלה הבורדו bezh＇beige＇ha－simla ha－bórdo＇the wine dress＇）， although most foreignisms do inflect；פרווה párve＇neither meaty nor dairy＇，which not only does not inflect but also tends not to agree in definiteness（הסיר） ha－sir（im）párve＇the＂parve＂pan（s）＇，or even（in the singular）סיר הפרווה sir ha－párve ＇the＂parve＂pan＇；and the uninflectible idiom שחור－לבן shaHor－lavan＇black and white＇ in טלביזיה שחור－לבן televizya shaHor－lavan ‘black and white television’（the TV，set itself may be red）．
4．This use of just one－ה ha－＇the＇is reminiscent of construct phrases；see 6．2．
5．Formal usage occasionally has הישראליות－המצריות．．．ha－yisreeliyot－ha－mitsriyot etc．

\section*{Chapter 13}

1．In the negative，the past tense is generally used，as the event in itself is not conceived as durative．
2．Ambiguity may arise at times，thus this example could mean＇How long do you （habitually）teach？＇，＇How long are you teaching for？＇．
3．These，and several other＇aspectual＇，＇modal＇and＇adverbial＇verbs such as המשיך himshiH＇continue＇，מיהר miher＇be quick to＇，are in many respects not verbs governing an object clause but rather take a subject clause；see 31.3 for details．
4. An occasional casual use is as a rhetorical question of encouragement, e.g. . záznu? 'Shall we get moving?'
5. By contrast, the future form (13.4) cannot express 'future-in-the-past' or 'future continuous' time, i.e. 'I will have finished' and '(When you come) we will be eating'. In this and in certain other ways, the past and future tense are asymmetric.
6. Unlike other aspectual markers, e.g. נעשה naasa 'become', נהג nahag 'be accustomed', היה haya is best considered a special 'auxiliary' verb rather than a syntactically regular verb; see 31.3.
7. Purists require גרה gará in the present and גרה gára in the past.
8. In the negative, the order לא יכול היה lo yaHol haya is particularly common; see further 13.13.
9. However, the compound past requires actions that are repeatable, i.e. true habituals, thus ruling out statives: הייתי מאמין* *hayiti maamin ('I used to believe’) etc.
10. Even where the main clause has present or future tense, the adverbial clause will have past tense wherever it refers to past time, as in:

> ha-shana timasheH Hasifat ha-Homa, leaHar she-ad ko neHsefu shishim méter miména
> השנה תימשך חשיפת החומה,
> לאחר שעד כה נחשםו ששים מטר ממנה

\section*{'This year the uncovering of the wall will proceed, after sixty metres of it have so far been uncovered'}
11. However, עע ad is occasionally treated as if it were a verb of 'waiting' with its own 'contemplator' distinct from the speaker. The tense is then as in object clauses (13.11):
rak ha-télefon notar ba-dira ha-reka, ad she-yavóu ha-teHna'im lehasir gam oto FUT

רק הטלפון נותר בדירה הריקה,
עד שיבואו הטכנאים בור להסיר גם אותו
'Only the telephone remained in the empty flat, until the technicians could come to remove it too'
12. - כאששר kaasher can also denote 'after', as in this example, strictly speaking. In addition, the casual - איך שeH she- 'just when' occurs just in sense (1). 'As long as' is expressed by כל עוד kol od or - כל זמן kol zman she-, using the 'tense and time rule'.
13. An alternative in formal usage, denoting a momentary event while another event is happening, employs a present tense clause introduced by עוד od 'still' plus a clause in 'natural' tense introduced by coordinative והנה ve-hine:

> odéni mashve et yaldey ha-dor ha-yashan עודצי משmוה את ילדי הדור הששן le-sára ha-ktana, ve-hine tsiltsel ha-paamon...
> לשרה הקטנה, והנה צילצל
> הפעמון...
'While I was comparing the children of old times to little Sara, the bell rang...'
14. Naturally, any clause withhin the purpose clause will gear its tense to this vantage point:
kdey she-yir'u kshe-yavóu
FUT FUT
כדי שיראו כשיבואו
'in order that they might see when they came' שמא shéma 'in case' often has a meaning akin to 'so that...not' and often implies a 'fear' on someone's part, hence the future tense:
heHlátnu lo leHakot, shéma yegalu et ha-minhara

החלטנו לא לחכות, שמא יגלו את המנהרה
'We decided not to wait, in case they discovered the tunnel'
15. Future tense tends to be favoured where the main clause is negative: af páam lo natáti la sukariya
bli she-hem yavHínu
אף פעם לא נתתי לה סוכריה בלי שהזם יבחינו
'I've never given her a sweet without them seeing'
16. With a future tense in the main clause, לפני lifney 'before' sometimes takes future tense:
bétaH she-hu yikaH oto lifney she-egmor
בטח שהוא יקח אותו לפני שאגמור
'Sure he'll take it before I finish'
But statives (except היה haya 'be') require the present tense:
hu kibel rishyon lifney she-hu
הוא קיבל רשיון לפני שהוא yodéa linhog! יודץע לנהוג! PRES
'He's received a licence before he knows how to drive!'
17. Furthermore, any adverbial or other clause within a subordinate clause will follow that same contemplator's vantage point, thus:

לא הסכמת שאלך לביקור אלא-אם-כן יתלוו אלי lo hiskamt she-eleH levikur éla-im-ken yitlavu elay
FUT
OBJ CLAUSE
'You didn't agree that I should go on a visit unless
they accompanied me'
18. An apparent exception are the 'present tense' clauses governed by verbs of perception as in:
ra'íti ota tsoHéket 'I saw her laughing' ראיתי אותה צוחקת PRES
These are in fact non-finite clauses with a fixed 'participle verb' and no subject, akin to infinitival clauses (See 30.3):
ilátsti ota litsHok 'I forced her to laugh' אילצתי אותה לצחוק
19. Present tense is required in relative clauses (formal usage) expressing 'who/which has (in it, under it, etc.)', introduced by a relative conjunction plus anaphoric adverbial, with the verb 'to be' implied:

Hilku le-Hol eHad tarmil,
she-bo
u-vo

> 'They gave everyone a rucksack, in which (was) everything needed for the journey'
20. However, future tense does express the quasi-negative (see 13.10).
21. The verb היה haya 'be' lacks a compound past form and instead uses its simple past form, with possible ambiguity:
im hayit kan, hayit shikora אם היית כאן, היית שיכורה PAST PAST 'If you were here, you'd be drunk' (Hypothetical ), or
'If you were here, you were drunk' (Real)
22. An exception is יכול yaHol 'can', possible formally in the simple past.
23. כאילו ke'ilu has a separate rhetorical sense, using the 'tense and time rule':
ke'ílu iHpat li ma hu shar!
כאילו איכפת לי מה הוא שר! PRES
'As if I cared what he sings!'
ke'ílu hevánti!
כאילו הבנתי!
PAST
'As if I understood!'
24. With צריך tsariH, the future tense tends to be יצטרך yitstareH etc. (except when subject-less).
25. חריי tsariH, חריך Hayav and מוכרח muHraH all denote factive necessity ('is having to') and non-factive necessity (subjective 'ought to' and objective 'has to'); also in the past, factive 'had to' and non-factive 'should have' - but not past-from-the-present epistemic 'must have'.
26. So too, אאי-(i) (i-)efshar haya 'it was (im)possible', אמפשר היה היה amur haya 'was meant to', אסור היה asur haya 'one shouldn't have/couldn't'. With 'mental' adjectives in general (e.g. ברור barur 'obvious', חשוב Hashuv 'important') inversion of conditional or past tense haya is quite common, but not with other adjectives or with verbs.

\section*{Chapter 14}
1. An argument against 'deriving' passives from actives is their use in the 3rd person impersonal, illustrated below. The impersonal has an unsaid subject, which has no overt manifestation in any construction in Hebrew: nothing can even refer back to it. As there is no construction with an impersonal object, there is no active from which this passive example can be derived:
nitpasim kol páam bidey shotrim
נתפסים כל פעם בידי שוטרים impersonal
'(One〉 is caught each time by police'
2. 'Middle' verbs, e.g. הת בשל hitbashel 'cooked', התבייש hitbayesh 'was ashamed', niftaH 'opened (by itself)', imply that there is no agent at all (מעצת me-atsmo 'by itself '), or else a non-human one, amounting to the same. They are fairly distinctive by their binyan ('pattern').
3. Hebrew evidently does not seek to maintain an initial subject at any cost, if it means disturbing the basic grammatical relations. Thus it is almost devoid of subject-subject and object-subject raising or 'there-insertion'. However, dummy A זe 'it' is productive, in casual speech especially - probably because this does not upset grammatical relations.
4. Several nif 'al verbs are not even derivable from another verb, e.g. נשבע nishba 'swore'.
5. Nitpa'el is a less common and very formal past tense alternative to hitpa'el for passive and occasionally for non-passive use:
aHiv nitmana le-shalit yehuda
אחיו נתמנה לשליט יהודה
'His brother was appointed as ruler of Judea'
6. Exceptions are: (1) random gaps, e.g. אסוף* *asuf ('gathered'), בחור* *baHur ('elected'), לווי* *lavuy ('borrowed'); (2) pa'ul denoting 'currently being done', e.g.
 (by...)', (...לוע (לוע yadúa (le...) 'known (to...)', (...ל לור zaHur (le...) 'remembered (by...)' (some are derived from statal verbs); (3) non-passive meanings of pa'ul, e.g. שעון על sha'un al 'leaning on' - see 41.3.
7. The case preposition cannot 'dangle' without a following noun, though certain adverbial prepositions can 'dangle' casually, e.g. אסם או בלי im o bli? 'with or without?'.
8. However, certain verbs with a clause instead of a noun as direct object do allow their indirect object to be promoted to subject of passive:
ani neesárti
ani nidráshti

\section*{I-was-forbidden \\ I-was-required \\ to-shave}
9. על-ידי......al-yedey ‘deliberately influenced by’.
10. עלל-ידי......al-yedey 'surprised by (thieves etc.)'.
11. Another instance is the stative as against the dynamic use of כלל kalal 'include' in (1) and (2) respectively:
ásya kolélet et yisra'el \(\Rightarrow\) yisra'el niHlélet be-ásya
stative
Asia includes ом Israel \(\Rightarrow\) Israel is-included in Asia

> הם כוללים את ישראל ¢ ישראל נכללת על-ידיהם
hem kolelim et yisra'el \(\Rightarrow\) yisra'el niHlélet al-yedeyhem
they are-including ом Israel \(\Rightarrow\) Israel is-being-included by-them
12. This unique combination of active object and passive complement may tie in with the difficulty of having clause-like 'subject first' structure in what is after all a noun phrase - instead of coming forward to subject position, the active object stays as it is.
13. That the subordinate clauses are not subjects is shown by the questionableness of dummy - זi ze sukam she... 'it was decided that...' etc. The subject cannot even be easily expressed by an על-ידי al-yedey 'by' phrase.

\section*{Chapter 15}
1. The object noun is often introduced by a preposition (a 'case marker'). Semantically speaking, this belongs to the verb; but in terms of syntactic movement and prosody it belongs with the object noun phrase, so the whole construction will sometimes be referred to as the 'object'.
2. Verbal and adjectival nouns ('action/state nouns') themselves govern something amounting (semantically) to an object:
ha-ashma ba-avera 'the guilt for the offence' האשמה בעבירה
ha-ratson lehavin 'the wish to understand' הרצון להבין But these objects are best regarded, in 'surface terms', as modifiers of the noun and as apposed clauses respectively; see chapter 30.
3. Besides these three 'coding properties', there are two 'behaviour properties' by which casual and formal Hebrew treat such nouns as object: indefinite object deletion and use of \(\operatorname{sha}\) - as relative marker (this requiring a subject as relative noun):
li yesh taanot ve-gam laH yesh
לי יש טענות וגם לך יש
'I have complaints and you too have'

> *ha-anashim ha-yesh (vshe-yesh) kan
*האנשים היש (׳שיש) כאן 'the people that there are here' Thus formal Hebrew treats these nouns as object, but not to the extent of 'coding' them unambiguously as such. (See also 'gerunds', in section 30.5, which require a subject noun.)
4. Many adverbials which could be 'set off' are not, for complex reasons.
5. Casually, איפה, מתי éfo, matay can be object pronouns, i.e. 'which place, time’ as against 'at which place, time':
le-éfo (even le'an) hitkavant?
\}לאיפה/לאן\{ התכוונת?
'Where were you referring to?'
6. Definite objects cannot be thus deleted: hivtáHti laasot et ha-avoda, ve-asíti ota

הבטחיתי אעשות את העבודה,
'I promised to do the job, and I did it' Even 'obligatory adverbials' (see below) can be deleted:
hivtáHti she-nitgorer be-pénthaus, ve-hitgorárnu

הבטחתי שנתגורר בפנטהאוס,
והתגוררנו
'I promised that we'd live in a penthouse, and we lived (in one)'
7. Motion verbs, being in close cohesion with motion adverbials (15.3.5.), can quite generally be considered transitive (weakly):
báti (le-paris) 'I came (to Paris)' באתי (לפריס)
8. For exceptions, see 15.6.7. and 15.8 .
9. האכל ha-kol 'everything' when used in its broadest sense, as in (1), and optionally before reflexive pronouns in formal usage, as in (2):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
(1) hu mevin \\
ha-kol et ha-kol
\end{tabular} & & הוא מבין את הכל \\
\hline he understands the all ом the all & 'He understands & everything '(general) the lot' (specific) \\
\hline (2) hu hit'a atsmo & 'He deceived himself' & הוא הטעה עצמו \\
\hline A few examples must suffice: & & \\
\hline kiblam be-simHa & & קיבלם בשמחה eceived them joyfully' \\
\hline ha-séfer melamdéni al... & & הספר מלמדני על... \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
mi yed'éna
מי ידענה?
'Who would know it?'
11. The hitpa'el pattern rarely, and the nif'al never, take את et. As transitive verbs, these are often 'non-ergative', i.e. the action is semantically passive - which may have affected their general behaviour.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline liva et 'accompany' & ליווה את & but & hitlava el & התלווה אל 'accompany' \\
\hline ahav et 'love' & אהב את & & hitahev be & התאהב ב- \\
\hline pagash et & פגש את & & nifgash im & נפגש עם \\
\hline 'meet' (by chance) & & & & e a meeting with' \\
\hline zaHar et 'remember' & זכר את & & nizkar be & נזכר ב- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
12. The preposition governed by each verb is listed in Even-Shoshan's Hebrew-Hebrew dictionary but not in most Hebrew-English-Hebrew dictionaries.
13. By contrast, relativization, dislocation (ייחוד), topicalization and other processes act identically on all the various indirect objects.
14. ל le-of .... יש yesh le... 'have' displays the same word order although it is not an 'experiencer' (see ch. 16). The same is true casually of ברור לי barur li 'it's clear to me', כדא keday li 'it's worth my while' and several others.
15. And with some miscellaneous verbs: הפסיק hifsik 'stop', היטיב hetiv 'be good to', החמיר heHmir 'be severe on' etc.
16. And with some miscellaneous verbs, e.g. ביקש bikesh 'ask', דרש darash 'require (of someone)'.
17. This is distinct from כמו kmo, which means 'like’ and very occasionally adopts the form - \(כ k e\) - in formal usage.
- כ ke- phrases are on the borderline of adverbials. See 21.9 ('as' adjuncts), especially the הכריז על... כעל hiHriz al...ke-al construction.
18. In word order, in undergoing indefinite object deletion (see 15.4), and in sometimes taking a case preposition.
19. These complements can be considered reduced object clauses or whole predicates, rather like the participial predicates following verbs of perception in 30.3:
tishma otam mezamzemim 'Hear them humming' תשמע אותם מזמזמים
20. Where a verb governs ב-be-, the addition of a-ble-of movement compels one to change ב- be- to את et:
baat ba-kadur
'kick the ball'
בעט בכדור baat et ha-kadur le...
'kick the ball to...'
בעט את הכדור ל...
Many two-object verbs are causatives based approximately on verbs taking et, e.g. ( \(\mathrm{ra} a \sim\) her'a 'see \(\sim\) show (cause x to see y )'. The first object ('x') usually has -ble-.
21. Exceptions: ל-le-objects precede את et objects when denoting 'recipient' or 'person being caused to...', e.g. הסגיר, הראה hisgir, her'a, ‘show, hand over' above - perhaps because these two indirect object types are the most typically 'human' and thus on a par with the direct object. Similarly, for שאל, לימד sha'al, limed 'ask, teach', the 'human' את et object precedes the 'non-human' (all else being equal):
limádeti banot shira 'I taught girls poetry' לימדתי בנות שירה ל ל ל
22. Verbs taking ......... le..., illustrated above, where ל- לת le- does not denote
 (לו אותו/...*...lo oto /*oto lo 'they got him used to it' - although such verbs otherwise do not accept אל el. This serves to keep this type of ל- le- object after the את et object.
 are (unlike מוקף mukaf 'ringed' in (2)) active adjectives, corresponding to לובש lovesh 'wearing', סופג sofeg 'soaking up'. Thus their subject is not a 'quasi-subject', though their object is an irregular object.

\section*{Chapter 16}
1. היה haya supplies the past, future, infinitive and imperative forms for 'be'. הוא hu and \(z\) ז \(z e\), unlike היה haya, will not undergo 'focusing processes' such as negation and emphasis:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline dan lo \({ }_{\text {* }}{ }^{\text {h }}\) & haya \({ }_{\text {ha }}\) & kan & & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{דן לא *הוא כאן} \\
\hline Dan not & was
\(*\) & here & & 'Dan & & no & not here' \\
\hline dan ken & haya
*hu & rav & & & & *הוא & דן \\
\hline Dan emph & WORD & \({ }_{\text {* }}{ }_{\text {is }}\) was & rabbi & 'Dan & was
*is & as & a rabbi' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In this, they resemble agreement affixes such as past tense endings; but unlike affixes, they are not bound to some other word.
2. However, material can intervene between subject and copula or between copula and predicate:
ha-gil, agav, hu markiv Hashuv ba-matsav
הגיל, אגב, הוא מרכיב חשוב במצב
'The age, incidentally, is a major element in the situation'
ha-gil hu beheHlet Hashuv
הגיל הוא בהחלט חשוב
'The age is certainly important'
3. Furthermore, אין en in very formal usage can immediately precede the subject; see 29.6.
4. The exception is that - הנ hin-, and negative אין en, do not tolerate inversion of subject and predicate:
ma \begin{tabular}{ll} 
hi \\
*hina & ha-alternatíva? \\
\end{tabular}
5. Unlike 'leftward copying' (see 37.5), the copula construction has no 'topicalizing' effect. Moreover, it can occur within any other 'copying' or 'movement' context, such as questions, and can co-occur with 'leftward copying' itself.
6. זהו zéhu and זוה zóhi are written as one word, unlike זאת zot hi and אלא zor הם éle hem. This reflects the fact that this particular use of the copula is different from its normal function of helping towards the 'balance' of clauses whose predicate is as definite or more definite than their subject. See further, 16.3.9.
7. The predicate already identifiable in advance is akin to the 'specificational' construction (16.3.7), in which the copula is obligatory - suggesting a further scale of 'copula likelihood'.
8. Here even the negator לא lo can count as a sufficient 'link word':
orit lo shminístit tipusit
אורית לא שמיניסטית טיפוסית
Orit not eighth-grader typical 'Orit isn't a typical eighth-grader'
9. With a pronoun subject, by contrast (see 16.3.2), a definite predicate does not of itself make a copula necessary. Pronouns are more 'definite' than proper nouns.
10. הi \(z e\) can arguably be regarded as a special 'clefting pronoun' rather than a copula, as in clefts such as זה זe ze ani (she-)tsaákti 'It's me (that) shouted' (see 37.3). The reason is that זק ze co-occurs with היה haya 'be':
mi she-haya aHara'i po ze haya eHad me-ha-moshav sheli
who that was in-charge here it was someone from the moshav my

מי שהיה אחראי פה זה היה אחד מהמושב שלי
'The person who was in charge here was someone from my moshav'
11. Even where the predicate is an adjective rather than a noun (see 16.5), the copula \(\mathrm{N} z e\) is obligatory. It is also optional, casually, where the predicate is a verb (this is not really a copula - see 31.5 ):
linsóa káHa ze lo bari
לנסוע ככה זה לא בריא
'To travel in such a way is not healthy'
laléHet lisHot (ze) lo yazik
ללכת לשחות (זה) לא יזיק
'To go swimming won't hurt'
12. Subordinate clauses are so indefinite that one cannot refer back to them by a definite or reflexive pronoun; see 7.5.
13. \(z e\) (uninflected) sometimes occurs casually even with adjectival or verbal predicates, when the subject is generic or expresses 'the notion of...':
hoda'a shel yom ze meHubad me'od
הודעה של יום זה מכובד מאד
'A day's notice is very respectable'
14. Similarly, pronoun + adjective constructions never allow a copula (except - הנ hin-, negative אין en and their inflections, which are even used in front of verbs - see 16.2):

15. The same factor is at work in noun + noun clauses; recall 16.3.5.
16. Instead, Hebrew either uses the special verb of 'existence' and 'having', שי yesh (and its opposite, uninflected אין en ), as described in 16.9-10; or, in formal usage, it can invert the subject+adverbial order - while still not using a copula (see the last two examples):
yesh kélev ba-salon
there-is dog in-the lounge
la-séfer yesh atifa na’a
to-the book there-is cover nice
ba-salon kélev aHzari
in-the lounge dog fierce
la-séfer atifa na'a to-the book cover nice

יש כלב בסלון
'There's a dog in the lounge'
לספר יש עטיפה נאה
'The book has a nice cover'
בסלון כלב אכזרי
'There's a fierce dog in the lounge'
לספר עטיפה נאה
'The book has a nice cover'
17. Where an idea is being defined, it is treated as a 'proper noun'.
18. מיהו, מהו mihu, máhu, etc. can be written as one word.
19. In this type of example, הוא hu and its inflections are rather formal, casual usage favouring (uninflected) \(\begin{gathered}\text { i } z e .\end{gathered}\)
20. This contrasts with the corresponding 'be' clause:
ha-nemalim ha-éle hen ba-árets
הנמלים האלה הן בארץ
the ants the these are in Israel
'These ants are in Israel'
The existential implies that only some such ants are found in Israel, i.e. it is a partitive.
21. This is the main construction. There are other existential terms, e.g. the adjective קיים kayam 'existing'.
22. However, in a less demonstrative way even formal usage treats it as object, by allowing 'indefinite object or predicate deletion' to apply to it. Just as object and predicate are omitted in examples ( 1,2 ), so is the existential noun in example (3) (subjects cannot be):
(1) im ata lokéaH sal, gam ani lokéaH

אם אתה לוקח סל, גם אני לוקח
'If you're taking a basket, I'm also taking'
(2) im at tiyi kena, gam ani eye
(3) \(\mathrm{kaH} \mathrm{et}, \mathrm{im}\) yesh

אם את תהיי כנה, גם אני אהיה 'If you'll be honest, r'll also be'

קח עט, אם יש
'Take a pen, if there is (one)'
23. Circumstantial and relative clauses provide for special existential clauses of this type (see 13.8.3 and ch. 13, n.19): place adverbial+noun, with no verb even where past or future היה haya 'be' might have been expected:
ha-merkaz kalal migdal ben esrim komot u-vo dirot ve-pénthauzim

REL CLAUSE

\section*{המרכז כלל מגדל בן עשרים קומת ובו דירות ופנטהאוזים}
'The centre included a 20 storey tower in which were (lit. and in-it) flats and penthouses'
24. Except for contrast, as in:
etsa yesh li
עצה יש לי
idea exists to-me
'I do have an idea'
The 'possessor' cannot be omitted to save repetition; this distinguishes adverbials from possessor phrases:
le-arad yesh sherut aval rakévet en
to Arad exists cab but train not-exists
*le-dov yesh shvédi aval patish en
to Dov exists spanner but
hammer not-exists

לערד יש שירות אבל רכבת אין
'There's a cab to Arad but there isn't a train'
*לדוב יש שבדי אבל פטיש אין
('Dov has a spanner but has no hammer')
25. What was said in note 3 applies here too.
26. Even agreement with the noun does not make the latter the 'subject' - copulas and subject pronouns agree with their predicate (see 18.2.3), and the 'have' verb may simply be agreeing with the 'object' as the only noun available.

\section*{Chapter 17}
1. Repetition of a qualified noun can also be avoided, leaving a residual adjective: גדול gadol 'a big one'; see 10.10.
2. One can alternatively use an indefinite pronoun (7.8), e.g אחד eHad, כאלה ka'éle. No 'indefinite ellipsis' is possible for subject nouns.
3. Many adjectives, referring only to persons, not situations, cannot function in this way: אני עייף ani ayef'I'm tired', not עייף לי * *ayef li (lit. 'it is tired to me'), similarly for רעב ra'ev 'hungry'.
4. Most verbs and adjectives, even of feeling and reaction, do require a subject, be it a noun (including A ז \(z e\) 'it') or a clause (see also 31.4-5), thus example (3) is unacceptable:
(1) ani omer laH she-ze maftia oti
(2) maftia (oti) she-hitgarasht surprises (me) that you-got-divorced

SUBJ CLAUSE
(3) *ani omer laH she-maftía (oti)

I tell you that surprises (me)

אני אומר לך שזה מפתיע אותי 'I tell you that it surprises me'

מפתעע (אותי) שהתגרשת 'It surprises me is surprising
that you got divorced'
('I tell you that it surprises me') is surprising')
More examples: מטרריד matrid 'bothers, bothersome', מצחיק matsHik 'amuses, amusing', מעצבן meatsben 'annoys, annoying', מוזר muzar 'strange', טוב tov 'good', עדיף adif 'better', חשוב Hashuv 'important', ברור barur 'plain'.
5. This unsaid subject is neither אנשים anashim 'people' nor hem 'they', nor any actual word - for it is unique in not allowing any pronoun to refer back to it, thus ruling out:
\[
\begin{array}{lc}
\text { *rotsim leherashem, aval enéni } \\
\text { makir otam } & \text { מכיר אותם }
\end{array}
\]
＊barur she mashlim et atsmam
ロョyy ברור שמשלים את

Instead，Hebrew prefers：
anashim rotsim leherashem，aval enéni makir otam

However，implied reference is possible：
．．．aH enéni marshe le－af eHad
（＇It＇s plain 〈they〉 are deluding themselves＇）

> אנשים רוצים להירשם, אבל

אינני מכיר אותם
＇People want to enrol，but I don＇t know them＇

אךך אינני מרשה לאף אחד ＇．．．but I do not permit anyone＇
6．By contrast，a few verbs allow a generic or a non－generic interpretation of their infin－ itive object：
ani mevakesh lishon
אני מבקש לישון
＇I＇m attempting to sleep＇
＇I ask（you／people）to sleep＇

\section*{Chapter 18}

1．A few minor exceptions are mentioned in note 3 to chapter 12 ．
Although verbs，especially past and future forms，are frequently used without an overt subject pronoun，e．g．תפלי tipli＇you（f．s．）will fall＇，they should still be regarded as agree－ ing with an implied subject－for one has to account for the agreement of plural inflec－ tions with combinations of subject pronouns，e．g．אני והוא נפול ani ve－hu nipol＇I and he will fall（1st pl．）＇；see 18．6．3．
2．The quite distinct use of אין en as a negative copula，as in הוא אינו בקיא hu eno baki ‘He is not an expert＇，or as a negator as in הוא אינו נוהג hu eno noheg＇He doesn＇t drive＇，is discussed in chapter 29.
3．By contrast，אין en as a negator or copula（note 2）has an alternative form אינו eno（m．s．），אינה ena（f．s．）．
4．When the predicate is plural， B ze remains uninflected．
5．Sometimes A ז \(z e\)（uninflected）occurs even with an adjectival or verbal predicate， when the subject is generic or expresses＇the notion of．．．＇：
hoda＇a shel yom ze meHubad me＇od
הודעה של יום זה מכובד מאד
＇A day＇s notice is very respectable＇
6．Where the predicate is a plural pronoun，agreement is unlikely：
ha－baaya ze anáHnu
הבעיה זה אנחנו
f．s．m．s．1st pl．
Formal usage prefers היא hi，agreeing with the subject．
7．Similarly，for asking the price of something： káma ze ha－tapuHim？
＇The problem is us＇

כמה זה התפוחים！
＇How much are the apples？＇
8．אין en is also the existential verb＇there is／are not＇－see 18．2．2．לא lo too means ＇not＇，but differs syntactically．
9．הנה hine＇here is＇．Introducing a noun or adjective，it can be rendered＇be＇；before a verb，it has no English equivalent．
10．Similarly in formal usage，if the subject is bulky or in cases where the more usual order＇given element＋stressed new element＇is reversed so that main stress falls on the first noun：


Where the stressed 'new element' is a personal pronoun, it controls agreement in the singular only (which suggests that it is the 'predicate'):
\(a t a\) hu ha-baaya
m.s.m.s. f.s.
anáHnu hi ha-baaya
pl. f.s. f.s.

אתה הוא הבעיה
'You are the problem'
אנחנו היא הבעיה
'We are the problem'
11. This is not a copula, as the other forms of the verb היה haya 'be' are unacceptable here.
12. The copula hem too is used with masculine or feminine subjects in casual usage:
ha-oniyot hem oniyot krav
f.pl. f.pl.

האוניות הם אוניות קרב
Similarly, מי mi as a non-interrogative pronoun can be masculine (which is also neurral) or specifically feminine:
kóva ose dvarim le-mi she-Hovéshet oto כובע עושה דברים למי f.s. f.s. שחובשת אותו
'A hat does things to whoever wears it'
13. But very casually the pronoun seems to be 'contaminated' by the subject A re, and resumes 3rd person:
ze ata she-siken otánu
3rd m.s.
ze lo ani she-amar káHa
3rd m.s.
14. Hebrew ordinarily strives to make morphological distinction female nouns, e.g. פסל pasal 'sculptor' ~ פסלת pasélet 'sculptress'.

\section*{Chapter 19}
1. Here it is appropriate to mention subtle but clear syntactic differences between preposition and noun. They are unlike construct nouns in the following ways: (a) Prepositions introduce clauses, e.g. ... על מנת al menat le.. 'in order to...', ... על-ידי כal yedey
 e.g. לאחר-מכן 'leaHár-miken 'after this', as against the unacceptable אישור ken 'confirmation of this' (which one might have actually expected, given the acceptability of the corresponding אישרו כן ishru ken 'They confirmed this'); (c) They can govern reflexive pronouns, e.g. ra'íti miflétset betoH atsmi ‘I saw a monster within myself'. (d) When the 'focusing adverbs' - עבד - atsm-'-self' and levad- 'alone' are appended to a 'preposition + suffix', the former agree with the suffix as if it were a noun in its own right, e.g. בשבילנו עצמנו bishvilénu atsménu 'for us ourselves', whereas after 'noun + suffix' agreement is with the noun rather than the suffix: דרכנו עעמה darkénu atsma 'our way itself' rather than דרכנו עצמנו * *arkénu atsménu 'our way ourselves (i.e. our own way)', מינויה לבדו minuya levado 'her appointment alone' rather than מינויה לבדה**minuya levada ('the appointment of her alone'). Phonologically too, certain prepositions differ from corresponding construct nouns (in casual usage at any rate), e.g. בשביל bishvil 'for' vs. בשביל be-shvil 'in the path of '.
2. A few verbs of location, movement etc. take a direct object, i.e. they need no preposition to express such a semantic relationship. Contrast ... מצא yatsa mi... and עזע azav 'leave'.
3. Synonymous with ble-but suffixed to its noun is the unstressed ' \(\boldsymbol{\pi}-\boldsymbol{-}\) a of destination', found with a handful of nouns, e.g. העירה ha-íra 'to town'. (See 21.8.).
4. The same holds for verbs and adjectives.
5. However, ב- be-is used with the gerund היות heyot 'being'.
6. This is distinct from אם im 'if'.
7. A few adverbs are identical to prepositions, notably קודם kódem 'before/ beforehand', אגב agav 'while/by the way', מאז me'az 'since/since then'.
8. But some verbs take an object clause but no object noun, so in אילץ לנהוג ilets linhog 'compel to drive', שיכנע לצאת shiHnéa latset 'persuade to leave', for example, no preposition can be said to be 'missing'; see 31.1.
9. A minor exception are business names, where it means 'and': כהן את לוי kohen et levi ‘Cohen \& Levi’.

\section*{Chapter 20}
1. Some 'nouns' act as adjectives casually, thus היא כל-כך תינוקת hi kol-kaH tinóket ‘She's so [= such] a baby', הוא נורא גבר hu nora géver 'He’s very (much) a man'. A few noun phrases too behave this way, e.g. זה מאד עניין של מזל ze me’od inyan shel mazal 'It's very (much) a matter of luck'.
2. An apparent exception is the use of the comparatives, e.g. יותר yoter 'more' and 20.4.
3. However, some degree words, notably מאד me'od 'very', form a phrase with לא lo 'not', e.g. הוא מאד לא מוצא חן בעיני hu me'od lo motse Hen be-eynay 'He very much doesn't appeal to me', זה היה מאד לא ברור ze haya me'od lo barur 'It was very unclear'. See also note 2.
4. There is also the common idiom מה טוב ma tov 'how much the better', as in 'If you can come, how much the better'.
5. To express interrogative 'how', one often uses כמה káma, and particularly עד כמה ad káma, as an adverbial, i.e. unlike degree words it can usually stand some way ahead of the adjective or verb:
ad káma ha-ramatkal gamish?
till how the Chief-of-Staff flexible?
ad káma ata mud'ag?
till how you worried?

עד כמה הרמטכ״ל גמיש!
'How flexible is the Chief of Staff?'

But casual usage often prefers a different strategy altogether:
ata me'od mud'ag? 'Are you very worried?'
עד כמה אתה מודאג!
'How worried are you?'

אתה מאד מודאג!
Not being a degree word, interrogative כמה káma cannot provide an attributive construction:
*toHnit kama gmisha drusha?
*תוכנית כמה גמישה דרושה! plan how flexible required?
('How flexible a plan is required?')
6. This is presumably a quasi-morphological rule to avoid a repeated - \(\boldsymbol{\pi} h a\)-. The same holds for הללו halálu 'these' and ההם hahem 'those'; see 9.3.1. Nor can this ה ה ha-in
 the most beautiful (house, etc.)'.
7. This is because הכי מהר haHi maher is felt to be degree word+adverb, whereas ha-mahir beyoter is definite article+adjective+degree word.
8. הכי paHot itself means 'less', yet החות haHi paHot is peculiar in that הכי פחות
 counterpart \(y\) יותר yoter 'more' does not supply הכי יותר *haHi yoter for 'the most';
instead one uses הכי הרבה haHi harbe (i.e. the most much) for quantification, or just הכי haHi for 'degree':
tsarfat savla haHi harbe 'France suffered the most' צרפת סבלה הכי הרבה
ze lakaH haHi harbe zman 'This took the most time' זה לקח הכי הרבה זמן
ze haHi kasher
'This is the most kosher'
זה הכי כשר
9. Similarly יותר yoter 'more' may be omitted in formal usage when the other term in the comparison is mentioned: יפה מכל אחיו yafe mi-kol eHav '(more) handsome than all his brothers'.
10. These conjunctions all incorporate the preposition -מ mi- 'from' which is the basic 'case marker' of all comparatives. - מכפי mi-kfi she-idiomatically relates to כפ kfi 'like', while - ממה ש mi-ma she- is literally 'than what that'.
11. The direct object here requires את ממני ( \(e t\), hence the simplest form of comparative miméni 'than me') is not usual here. In relative clauses, however, direct object pronouns are treated just like subject pronouns; see 33.3.
12. Derived, i.e. verb-based or noun-based, adjectives such as mekupaH 'deprived' and דתי dati 'religious' are also unable to act as construct adjectives.
13. Adjectives and participles in such a construction can be converted into a kind of noun with - ה ha- 'the':
kar (yoter) min ha-tsafuy \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { ha-ragil } & \text { קר (יותר) מן הצגיל }\end{array}\)
cold (more) than the expected \(\quad\) 'It is colder than expected'
14. A particular case of this is 'sarcastic כמו kmo':
hu mevin yídish kmo she-ani méleH románya

הוא מבין יידיש כמו שאני מלך רומניה
'He understands Yiddish like I'm the king of Roumania' (i.e. he doesn't understand Yiddish)
15. Historically -מ mi 'from, than' plus כד kdey 'for, so that, sufficiently that'.
16. Otherwise meaning 'for, in order (to)'.
17. The finite clause is usually only found when the subject is different from the main clause subject.
18. This construction somewhat resembles the comparative גבוה מיעקב gavóa mi-yáakov 'tall〈er〉 than Yaakov' (20.4).
19. The unsaid subject of such infinitivals need not be identified with the subject of the main clause; it can be impersonal 'one':
ha-ktav maspik barur kdey lehavin oto
the writing enough clear so-as
to-understand it
הכתב מספיק ברור כדי להבין אותו
'The writing's clear enough for one to understand it'
20. יותר yoter 'more', when qualified, cannot be omitted in the way described in 20.4, ruling out:
*hu ktsat gavóa mi-david
he slightly tall than David
*הוא קצת גבוה מדוד
'He is slightly taller than David'
מעט me'at can precede the adjective even when יותר yotsat and its synonym קצת follows it.
21. It can be treated either as the normal יותר yoter 'more' or added following the whole phrase, e.g. .... pi éser anashim yoter measher... (ten times people more than...). The latter position is required with כמה káma 'how many':
káma anashim yesh yoter
כמה אנשים יש יותר mi-she-ba-paam ha-kodémet?

משבפעם הקודמת!
how-many people are-there more
[ \(=\) how many more people...] than last time?
22. The exception is adjectives being used adverbially:
hem nimtsa'im shiva mayl raHok mi-po
הם נמצאים שבעה מייל רחוק מפה they are-situated seven miles distant from here
and where the measure phrase is a fraction, e.g. חצי גמור Hétsi gamur 'half complete'.

\section*{Chapter 21}
1. Also known as 'adjuncts' or 'VP adverbials'. Like subject, verb and object, they are a 'core component' of the sentence.
2. Furthermore, they can be 'focused on', i.e. negated, questioned and contrastively emphasized:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline i biglal ha-Hag (éla...) & לא באתי בגלל החג (אלא...) \\
\hline adjunct & \(n\) 't come because of the festival (but rather. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ze po'el yeshirot o ba-akifin?
ADJUNCT ADJUNCT
lo, lo be-Elat ani gar!
ADJUNCT
'I didn't come because of the festival (but rather...)'
זה פועל ישירות או בעקיפין?
'Does it act directly or indirectly?'
לא לא, באילת אני גר!
'No no, I live in Elat!'
3. Examples like (2) themselves are often equivalent to a predicate adjunct:
ha-séret she-mi-yapan lo ra הסרט שמיפן לא רע
'The film that 〈is〉 from Japan isn't bad'
Adjuncts of manner and extent (21.3-4) can qualify a verb but not a noun. Instead, the corresponding adjective or quantifier, respectively, is used, e.g. the uninflected adjunct הקקשה kashe 'hard' vs. the inflected adjective ha-kasha 'hard':
avádti kashe ha-shana imahem עבדתי קשה השנה עמהם
adJunct adjunct adjunct 'I worked hard this year with them...'
avodati ha-kasha ha-shana imahem...
adjective adjunct adjunct
my-work the hard this year with-them...

עבודתי הקשה השנה עמהם...
'my hard work this year with them...'
4. Degree words do however go with such qualifiers, and all adverbials go with a passive participle qualifying something (as if it were a full relative clause in its own right):
tinok atsbani me'od
baby tetchy very
batsal mekulaf hetev בצל מקולף היטב
onion peeled well
5. By contrast, a measure phrase qualifying a non-adverbial follows it. In this example, רחוק raHok 'distant' is the predicate:

\section*{hi reHoka méa méter}

PRED MEASURE PHR ways unlike an object clause subordinate to the verb (for the distinction between 'raised
subject clauses' and 'object clauses' in general, see 31.3); for instance, these verbs permit (and הלך ו- halaH ve-requires) a 'non-intentional' subject, and thus allow the passive, as in:
matslemot marbot lehitkalkel u-lehiganev
cameras do-a-lot to-go-wrong and to-be-stolen

\section*{מצלמות מרבות להתקלקל ולהיגנב \\ 'Cameras go wrong and get stolen a lot'}

Other adverbial verbs, conversely, cannot express a non-intentional subject as in 'I quickly understood, the drug quickly combines, it combines well, he was seized again'.
7. Further examples are .... איחר iHer le... '..late' and ...ו חזר Hazar ve... '... again'.
8. Similarly מיעט לדבר mi'et ledaber 'spoke little', although the adverb מעט me'at means both 'little' and ' \(a\) little'.
9. An 'absolute infinitive' is possible in a few idioms, e.g. הרחיק לכת hirHik léHet 'went far', השכים קום hishkim kum 'rose early', הדגיש חזור והדגש hidgish Hazor \(v e-h a d g e s h ~ ' s t r e s s e d ~ r e p e a t e d l y ' . ~\)
10. Distinguish extent from frequency (21.7.1), which also employs quantifiers, e.g. הר harbe 'often'; and from simple nouns:
ra'íti harbe
'I saw a lot'
ראיתי הרבה
11. Adjectives of the מכובד meHubad and מוכבד muHbad patterns tend to be exclusively animate. Instead, one can use an abstract noun, for example, 'surprisedly' could be rendered by בהפתעה be-hafta'a 'with surprise'.
12. And sometimes, unpredictably, these structures may express other things:
be-ófen rishmi, sagur
in way official, closed
באופן רשמי, סגור
'Officially, it's closed'
13. The echo phrases usually count positionally etc. as manner adverbials. Though they require the (unmarked) 'object marker' את et when definite, no preposition is possible with adjectives; nor can such pseudo-objects suffer passivization, pronominalization or the presence of a real direct object. Indeed, the verb can be intransitive as in examples \((2,5)\) or passive, as in example (3). The noun is formed by the usual rules for nominalization (38.2).
Some verbs take echo nouns as true objects. Here no manner adjective is needed and one can pronominalize and usually passivize:
she'al oti sheela
'Ask me a question'
שאל אותי שאלה
hitsáti hatsa'a
'I proposed [ \(=\) made] a proposal' הצעתי הצעה
14. Also (very casually) certain nouns, for example:
hi shára \{yófi/shiga'on/zva'a \}
she sang \{beauty/craze/horror\}
היא שרה \}
Related to this are casual clauses (using any suitable noun) of the type:
hu \{mitnaheg/meHo'ar\} she-ze zva'a
he \{behaves/ugly\} that it's a horror
הוא \}מתנהג/מכוער\{ שזה זוועה
'He behaves horribly'
'He's horribly ugly'
These are not result clauses but indeed manner clauses, being suited to verbs that require manner adverbials (e.g. התנהג hitnaheg 'behave').
15. For qualifying all other adjectives or adverbials, manner adverbials are mostly eschewed - save (1) the באופן/צורה be-ófen/tsura type (21.4.1), (2) the very casual noun-centred constructions of the משהו לא-רגיל máshehu lo-ragil and זוועה zva'a type (21.4.1 and n. 14), and (3) the להפליא lehafli type (21.4.3), which is more like a degree word:
séfer gizani \｛lehadhim／be－tsura tsínit\}
book racist \｛to－frighten／in way cynical\}
hi raza \｛máshehu meyuHad／lehafli\}
she thin \｛something special／to－amaze\}
ani néged ze be－ófen yesodi
I＇m against it in way fundamental

ספר גזעני \}להדהים/בצורה צינית\{ ＇a frighteningly racist book＇ cynically

היא רזה \}משהו מיוחד/להפליא\{
＇She is amazingly thin＇

אני נגד זה באופן יסודי
＇I＇m fundamentally against it＇
and not：
＊séfer gizani be－tsiniyut book racist with cynicism ספר גזעני בציניות＊＊
＊hi raza nifla she thin amazing כמיא רזה נפלא ה כהא ה
16．An apparent exception is ．．．． bial，see 21．4．4）：
hi kmo sus＇She 〈is〉like 〈a〉horse＇היא כמו סוס
An idiomatic exception is בסדר beséder＇OK＇，commonly treated as one word［pséder］ rather than as \(\mathcal{\square}+\beth\) be＋séder．
17．Manner，degree and extent correspond to adjectives，which Hebrew tends to coordi－ nate，not stack．But even coordination is impossible for diverse adverbs．
18．An apparent combination of manner adverbials is probably a case of＇manner＋ means＇：
otomáti אוטומטי
adam lo mitnaheg yafe be－ófen spontáni
tiv＇i טבעי

אדם לא מתנהג יפה באוטן ספונטני automatically＇
＇A person doesn＇t behave nicely spontaneously＇
naturally＇

19．One－word adverbs too often take degree words，e．g．מאה מאד maher me＇od＇very quickly＇；an exception is היט ב hetev＇well＇．
20．Contrast with a means adverbial（involving a definite noun）：
dibárnu ba－yadáyim
דיברנו בידיים
we－spoke with－the hands
＇We spoke with our hands＇

21．This is not a normal clause，in that（1）the copula，e．g．הוא hu＇is＇and אינו eno＇is not＇，is impossible；（2）the subject can be indefinite；and（3）subject cannot be a personal pronoun such as הוא hu＇it＇as it would have to be affixed to עם im＇with＇as אתו ito ＇with it＇．Hence the term＇quasi－clause＇：
（1）＊yats＇u im ha－se＇ar eno mesurak yafe יצאו עם השיער אינו מסורק יפה＂ they－went－out with the hair is－not combed nicely
（2）hu yashen im ragláyim ba－avir
הוא ישן עם רגליים באוויר he sleeps with feet in－the air
（3）bat im ha－me＇il patúaH？＊lo， báti ito sagur

באת עם המעיל פתוח？＊לא， באתי אתו סגור you－came with the coat open？No，I－came with－it closed
22．חאיים Hayim＇alive＇tends to be used without agreement in idioms like אכרת םיח \(n\) aHal／tafas Hayim＇eat／catch alive＇．
23．With or without comma，this is an adjunct and can be negated etc．
In casual usage such predicates can be more akin to＇because＇than to circumstantial ＇while＇（and are then in fact disjuncts，not adjuncts）：
ani mefaHed bishvila, omédet sham káHa
I am-afraid for-her, stands [= standing] there like-that Another, widespread, use of predicates is as object clauses for verbs of 'noticing, finding' etc; see 30.3.
24. The adverb עוד od 'still' can behave in formal usage like a curious combination of adverb + positive copula הנני, הנך hineni, hinHa etc. (see 16.2): in the present tense it can take suffixes agreeing with its subject (but not in the negative):

25. But partitive אחר eHad'one' needs באחד מימי האביב be-e-eHad mi-ymey ha-aviv 'on one spring day'. So too determiner ברגע זה מר be 'this'réga ze 'at this moment'.
26. So too with phrases of the type ערב-ערב érev-érev 'every evening'.
27. With certain actions, the quantifiers express duration, not frequency, for both the verb and its related action noun:
káma yashant, sha'a?
כמה ישנת, שעה!
'How much did you sleep, 1 hour?'
leaHar harbe shena...
לאחר הרבה שינה... 'after much sleep...'
but not:
*káma Hikit/shahit po?
*כמה חיכית/שהית פה!
how much did you wait/stay here?
*leaHar harbe hamtana/shehut
after much waiting/stay...
28. תכף téHef meaning 'right away' (with past tense) can follow the verb too.
29. These may be further qualified by degree or measure phrases, e.g. יותר למעלה yoter lemáala 'further up'. With certain adjuncts a clause can be incorporated - this is a relative clause (details in 33.4.2); for example:
atsárnu éfo she-hitpalelu
we-halted where that they-were-praying 'We halted where they were praying'
30. Formal usage also employs אל el, except with place names. The suffixed forms are אלי, אליך elay, eléHa etc., whereas an 'object preposition' usually has the suffixed forms לי, לך li, leHa etc.
31. Formal usage also employs מן min, before - ha- 'the'.
32. There are exceptions. (1) The adjectives קרוב/רחוק karov/raHok 'near/far' must agree (are not adverbs) when predicates. (2) (ב) (be-)Hazara 'back' needs qualifying when predicate: הוא hu (be-)Hazara ba-tsaméret 'He's back at the top'. 33. Exceptions are verbs of intent, as in example (1) below, commands implying verbs of command as in (2) and motion adverbials qualified by a measure phrase, as in examples \((3,4)\) :
(1) le'an ata rotse? ani tsariH le-áza
to-where you want? I need to Gaza

לאן אתה רוצה! אני צריך לעזה
'Where do you want to go? I need Gaza'
(2) hála
ha-Hútsa
'Further!'
'Out!'

הלאה!
‘Out!'
החוצה!
(3) hi nimtset me'ot kilométrim mi-kan

MEASURE PHR MOTION ADV
she is hundreds [of] kilometres from here
(4) éser dakot la-siyum heHmátsnu od hizdamnut

עשר דקזת לסיום החמצנו עוד הזדמנות
ten minutes to-the end we-missed another chance
34. A 'loose' locative adverbial, or several tiers of them, is always possible too:
ba-árets, garim be-dirot gam ba-parvarim
בארץ, גרים בדירות גם בפרברים
'In Israel, 〈they) live in apartments even in the suburbs'
35. ב- בתור betor, allows the insertion of a second אל el ol or על al (rarely ב- \(b\) ב בל אל ol or any other preposition), echoing the foregoing object - a curious construction as these \(-כ k e\) - phrases are not abbreviated versions of a full clause with אל el or al:
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { hityaHasu la-mifrats ke-el shétaH riboni } & \text { התייחסו לממרץ כאל שטח ריבוני } \\ \text { they-referred to-the gulf as to } \\ \text { territory sovereign }\end{array}\)
36. This interchange of prepositions betokens an object rather than an adverbial, as does the ability to 'extract' material out of a -Jke - 'as' verb phrase (adverbial clauses do not permit 'extraction'):
éze maHalot ha-glulot zuhu ke-mon'ot?
איזה מחלות הגלולות זוהו כמונעות?
'Which diseases (were) the pills identified as preventing?'
However, the .... כאל ke-el..., כעל ke-al... phrases suggest the opposite.
37. This can include a 'malefactee', as against a 'benefactee' for whom there is a particular construction.

Unlike object datives (e.g. -הקשיב ל- hikshiv le-... 'listen to...'), affectee datives commonly express the reflexive by לי, לי לך li, leHa etc. rather than by the reflexive pronouns le-atsmi, le-atsmeHa etc.:

> oy, shavárti li od zug mishkafáyim!
> oh, I've-broken to-me another pair glasses!
> אוי, שברתי לי עוד זוג משקפים!
> ‘Oh, I've broken another pair
> of glasses!'
38. For reflexive, both the reflexive and the ordinary 'adverbial-type' pronoun are employed:
hayíta yaHol lakáHat leHa levad
היית יכול לקחת לך לבד
you-were able to-take for-you alone 'You could have taken for yourself alone' 39. Indeed, in constructions such as example (1) below, the verb + dative tend to come before the subject to give this effect. The reflexive is the general reflexive pronoun, as for objects (2):
(1) ko'ev li ha-rosh hurts to-me the head

כואב לי הראש
'My head hurts'
(2) hu nisa lehorid le-atsmo ózen he tried to-remove to himself ear

הוא ניסה להוריד לעצמו אוזן 'He tried to remove an ear'
40. Not with verbal nouns, however.

\section*{Chapter 22}
1. Another set of adverbs, 'link adverbs', expresses this kind of relationship just between clauses - and is generally clause-initial (ch.24):
kmo-Hen, ra'ínu atsmot pilim
כמו-כן, ראינו עצמות פילים
'Similarly, we saw elephant bones'
Focus on individual words can be added by routine intonation or reordering.
2. בק בלבד bilvad etc.) is also 'no earlier than' - preceding the verb:
ani rak noséa be-purim
אני רק נוסע בפורים
'I'm only going on Purim' (= 'at the earliest' or 'just')
ani noséa rak be-purim
אני נוסע רק בפורים
'I'm going just on Purim'
3. This is also a manner adverb 'by oneself' (= unaided) - generally anywhere after the verb:
patárti oto \{levad/levadi\}
פתרתי אותו (לבד/לבדי\{
I-solved it by-myself
4. בייחוד beyiHud, has two other roles, (1) as a manner adverb, and (2) as a degree word:
(1) bánu bimyuHad

באנו במיוחד
'We came especially'
(2) nekuda regisha bimyuHad

נקודה רגישה במיוחד
'An especially sensitive point'
5. This is also (1) a link adverb and (2) a manner adverb, לאו דווקא lav dávka 'not necessarily' is a disjunct (3):
(1) hu dávka mash'ir et ha-óHel
(2) hu mash'ir et ha-óHel dávka

הוא דווקא משאיר את האוכל
'On the contrary, he leaves his food'
'He leaves his food \{out of spite/in spite of everything\}'
(3) ze lav dávka ason

זה לאו דווקא אסון
'It's not necessarily a disaster'
6. This is also a manner adverb 'by oneself' (= unaided), as in note 3 above.
7. These are the personal pronouns, attached by hyphen to a noun with the corresponding possessive suffix (but ‘our own’ is אנו-ánu rather than אנחנו-anáHnu).
8. This is a focus adverb in just a few respects (see 22.4.3.). It is described fully in chapter 29.
9. The focus on prepositional suffixes reflects the adverbial status of focus adverbs modifiers of the noun, e.g. determiners, cannot modify a suffix.
10. Other focus adverbs do not focus on such suffixes, thus ruling out:
*mishpaHti \{levadi/atsmi\} yodáat למשטחתי לבדי/עצמי\{ יודעת
my-family \{alone-me/self-me\} [= alone/myself] knows
‘Own' can instead be expressed by a שלי, שלך sheli, shelHa 'my, your' etc. phrase, added to an already existing possessive suffix:
mishpaHto shelo משפחתו שלו
family-his of-him 'his own family'
11. But focus adverbs cannot operate from in front of the subject noun - except to focus on the latter:
rak miHal kibla kaved
רק מיכל קיבלה כבד
only Michal got liver
*rak miHal kibla kaved
*only Michal got liver

This 'regulative' effect of the subject noun ties in with its 'unextractability' from its clause (ch.37).
12. An exception is sometimes made for 'noun + possessive phrase', e.g.
hu mevi shemot rak shel balshanim
הוא מביא שמות רק של בלשנים
he mentions names only of linguists
Only apparently exceptional are 'nominalized' noun phrases; being akin to self-contained sentences, they can have their own focus adverbs:
toH hitbasesut gam al peilut polítit תוך התבססות גם על פעילות פוליטית with reliance also on political activity
13. גם gam 'also', רק rak ‘only’, עצמוatsmo ‘oneself' cannot focus on wh-words (גם (ג) מה במיוחד mam ma 'what too?' as against מה mamuHad 'what especially?') but do appear in questions.
14. Evidence of this is its impossibility inside noun or preposition phrases (recall 22.4.3):
*shvi im o...o... שבי עם או... או... sit with either ...or...
15. These \(ש\) she do not signal a subordinate clause (as if it were 'only it is a fact that...'), witness their inseparability: ...............'). Similarly או ש... \(o\) she... 'either’ (contrast .......ושרור שarur she...ve-she... ‘(It is) clear that... and that...') Hence the analyses must be s[FOCUS ADV +s\(]\).
16. לא רק ש, לא זו בלבד ש lo rak she, lo zo bilvad she can turn up between subject and negated verb - to focus on parts of sentences (a curious use of \(ש\) she also found where לא lo 'not' lacks a finite verb; see 23.2):
hu lo rak she-lo makir ota éla...
SUBJ NEG v
הוא לא רק שלא מכיר אותה אלא...
'He not only doesn't know her but...'
17. Idiomatically composed of לא lo 'not' plus zo 'it' plus בלבד bilvad 'only'.
18. א \(a H\), a formal word for 'only', does introduce sentences without needing \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she-, but it is a coordinator (like אבל aval 'but'; see ch. 35) and thus allows 'coordination reduction':
ani memaher \(\mathbf{a H}\) meaHer אני ממהר אך מאחר
I hurry but come-late
19. או orequires - ש she- even when as a mere coordinator it begins clause \(B\) of a coordination:
hu lo mistakel o she-hu shikor
he not looks or that he drunk
הוא לא מסתכל או שהוא שיכור
'He's not looking or he's drunk'
20. - ש she- here is separable and repeatable (................eve-shenen though...and though...') as in object or complement clauses.

\section*{Chapter 23}
1. כמובן kamuvan 'of course', כנר kanir'e 'apparently' have a meaning that cannot 'exactly' be analysed as מובן + כ + + \(k\) ja + muvan 'as is understood', 'as appears'. However, comment disjuncts are regularly formed in this way (see 23.3), e.g. מובן ש... muvan she... and ... nir'e she... do denote 'it is self-evident that..., it appears that...', respectively.
2. Distinguish the adjective ודאי vada'i' 'definite'from the disjunct vaday 'definitely, probably'.
3. שמא shéma generally introduces the second part of an 'either...or' question, as in the last example in the list of examples of truth disjuncts, so is best rendered 'or else'.
4. לן ben parallels the negator לא (see ch.29); indeed the two cannot co-occur: הם כו 'יודעים hem ken yod'im 'They do know'.
5. Mid-sentence - ש she- otherwise occurs, unrelatedly, in - לא זו בלבד lo zo bilvad she- 'not only' and לא רק שלא lo rak she-lo 'not only...not', as in (1) below, and optionally before negated adverbials and negated infinitives, as in \((2,3)\) :
(1) ata lo rak she-lo ozer éla... you not only that not help but...

אתה לא רק שלא עוזר אלא...
'You not only don't help but...'
(2) hi neetsra she-lo be-tsédek she was-detained that not justly

היא נעצרה שלא בצדק 'She was detained unjustly'

העדפתי שלא לריב
(3) heedáfti she-lo lariv I-preferred that not to-quarrel

'I preferred not to quarrel'
- ש she- in - \(\begin{gathered}\text { kamuvan she- 'of course' etc. stems at first sight from a blend }\end{gathered}\) with the 'predicate + clause' construction ...ש מובן muvan she... '(it is) self-evident that...' (see 31.5). But closer analysis reveals: (a) several such disjuncts with no 'predicate + clause' equivalent; (b) a number of genuine 'predicate + clause' traits in these disjuncts, e.g. the \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she- is separable (example (1)) and no subject-verb inversion of the type found after adverbials is possible (example (2)); and conversely (c) the construction is restricted to main clauses, unlike the 'predicate + clause' construction:
(1) kenir'e gam she...
(2) *kenir'e she-maskimim kulam
apparently that agree all
6. Thus these, for example, are unlikely:
כנראה גם ש.... 'apparently also [that]...'
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ?le-Haasi & 'to my annoyance' & !לכעסי \\
\hline ?le-marbe ha-daavon & 'regrettably' & ? ?למרבה הדאבון \\
\hline ?be-ófen maftia & 'surprisingly' & ?באופן מפתיע! \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
7. מרבה marbe and מרבית marbit are idiomatic nouns.
8. אופן ófen and אורח óraH both denote 'manner' in manner adverbials (21.4), e.g. 'in an unexpected manner'. The other manner noun, צורה tsura, is not used.
9. Some adjectives too are used, e.g. כרגיל ka-ragil 'as usual'.
10. All these three patterns can be adverbials of manner too:
yisra'el to tif 'al \{tsava'it/be-ófen tsva'i\}
, ישראל לא תפעל \}באית/באופן צבאי\{
'Israel will not act militarily'
dibárti birtsinut
דיברתי ברצינות
'I was talking seriously'
11. With a negator, na follows this negator: נא אל נא נהססal na nehases 'Let us not hesitate'.
12. Condemned by purists.
13. Despite the common confusion between 'except' and 'besides', little real ambiguity arises.
14. בטוח batúaH 'definitely' can be negated, questioned etc: ata nish'ar? - lo batúaH

אתה נשאר! - לא בטוח
'You staying? - Not definitely'
sha'álti im at batúaH titremi
שאלתתי אם את בטוח תתרמי
'I asked if you're definitely giving'
15. An exception: פשוט pashut ‘simply’ resists inversion.

\section*{Chapter 24}
1. Rather than by an adverb linking two independent sentences, a logical connection can often be expressed by a preposition creating a subordinate clause, which can even precede the other clause with which it is connecting. Thus, instead of y x \(x\), ve-ulam \(y\) ' \(x\), and nevertheless \(y\) ' one can use \(x, y-y\) - למרות lamrot she- \(y, x\) ‘Although \(\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x}\) '.

As for the differences between link adverbials and coordinators, such as - ve- 'and' or אך \(a H\) 'but', see chapter 35. A clause containing a link adverbial may in any case begin with such a coordinator.
2. These are strictly clause-initial, except קודם-כל kódem-kol'first of all'.
3. Many of these are clause-initial, but casual עוד od 'what's more' is not found initially:
aval ha-ben-adam dati od!
אבל הבן-אדם דתי עוד!
'But the fellow's religious, what's more!' and formal עוד od 'likewise' is not found finally and typically accompanies verbs of information:
od moser katavénu ki...
עוד מוסר כתבנו כי...
'Our correspondent further reports that...'
Those not restricted positionally are חוץ מזה Huts mi-ze, בוס (be-)nosaf le-HaH, מלבד זה milvad ze 'furthermore', גם כן gam ken 'likewise', בעצם beétsem 'in actual fact', למעשה lemaase 'as a matter of fact', אכן aHen, אמנם omnam 'indeed', and אמנם omnam 'admittedly'.
4. After כן ken, the predicate usually precedes the subject:
ken hizkir ha-meHaber... 'The author also mentioned...' ..... וכ הזכיר המחבר
5. These are only initial, except (דרך) אגב uvHen 'well' and ובכן (déreH) ágav 'incidentally', which also occur within the clause.
6. .... אשר ל... asher le..., can also introduce an adjunct, i.e. an adverbial that can be stressed and generally focused (see 21.1):
ha-sheela kayémet baasher la-aHaronim
השאלה קיימת באשר לאחרונים
'The question exists with regard to the latter'
7. These mostly take any position.
8. These are clause-initial or phrase-initial.
9. Except for clause-initial כך למשל kaH lemashal, these are not restricted positionally.
10. These differ greatly in their positioning.
11. These are mostly clause-initial.
12. Nor can א אם כן az or ken 'so' be so used.
13. An exception is that inversion is required after כן ken 'likewise':
ken hizkir ha-rav, ki...
כן הזכיר הרב, כי...
likewise mentioned the rabbi that... 'The rabbi likewise mentioned that...'
14. Unlike coordinators (e.g. ועe- 'and', ואילו veilu 'whereas'), conjuncts do not entertain clause reduction.
15. ve-aHshav, ve-az do occur in the more 'temporal' sense 'and now, and then'.
16. There are exceptions. Link adverbials meaning 'namely/in other words' do not allow - ו ve- 'and':
..., (*ve-)kelomar,... '..., (*and) in other words’ .... כלומר (*),...
..., (*ve-)háynu... '..., (*and) namely’ ... היינו (ו*),...
A few require more than a comma, notably:
...; zot va-od:... '...; furthermore...' .... ז; ;-..
Conversely, a few do not allow וע וע e- 'and' because they are felt to 'run on' from the preceding (see 24.3):
...; az... ‘...; so...’ ;...
17. However, a clause of 'saying' and suchlike can intervene (arrows show link to previous clause):
...; ata svurim ki le-yarden, nosaf le-Hah, tafkid estratégi Hashuv \(\longleftarrow\)
-..- עתה סבורים כי לירדן, נוסף לכך, תפקיד אסטרטגי חשוב
'...; now it is thought that Jordan, additionally, has an important strategic role'
18. But not: ....היות ו., לכן *heyot ve..., laHen... ('Since..., therefore...').

\section*{Chapter 26}
1. האם ha'im is historically the question particle אם \(h a+\) אם im 'if, whether'.
2. Formal halo is a clause-initial adverb meaning 'surely', not a question particle n ha + negator לא \(l o\) :
\[
\text { halo yashnu } \quad \text { הלא ישנו }
\]
3. More literary terms are אנה ána 'whither', מנין mináyin 'whence', and אימתי eymatay 'when'.
4. In formal usage the feminine is איזו ézo and the plural optionally אילו éylu.
5. Hebrew often prefers a construction like example (1) below, or, with measurements, a construct noun as in example (2):
(1) hu me'od Hazak?

הוא מאד חזקי
'Is he very strong?'
(2) ma haya óreH ha-kéresh? what was length the plank?
6. Thus the following is impossible:
*mi at nosáat im?
who you are-going with?
7. Such 'extraction (Who are you going with?') material' also happens in 'relative pronoun movement' (ch. 33) and 'topic and focus movement' (ch.37). Roughly, they all permit 'extraction' from subordinate questions, object clauses and relative clauses (even involving 'wh-word crossing', and 'double dislocation' of two interrogative words out of a single clause). But extraction is not possible out of a factive clause or one headed by a complex noun phrase; nor may priority relations between subjects, the various objects and adverbials be infringed.
8. 'Some' with a singular noun is קצת ktsat or the like, or is even unexpressed: tavi li (ktsat) dvash 'Give me some honey’ תביא לי (קצת) דבש כat
An exceptional use of כמה káma with a singular noun is כמה זמן káma zman 'some time': לפני כמה זמן lifney káma zman 'some time ago'.
9. As אם im also means 'if' (= 'in the event that'), ambiguity may arise: todía li im taazov

תודיע לי אם תעזוב
'Tell me whether you're leaving'
or:
'Tell me in the event that you leave'
10. This is akin to .... העובדה שa-uvda she... 'the fact that...'
11. The same distinction exists in non-question infinitives (see 28.9). Following most verbs, the infinitive denotes an 'action' by the subject of the verb (i.e. by the subject of the main clause), but with some verbs of request, it relates automatically to their object :
tsivíti laatsor, az atsru
I-ordered to-stop, so they-stopped
ציוויתי לעצור, אז עצרו
'I ordered 〈them> to stop, so they did'
12. Truncation deletes what follows 'wh-' words; thus prepositions preceding them are not deleted. Compare Hebrew with English:
rávnu im míshehu, aH enéni yodéa im mi

רבנו עם מישהו, אך
אינני יודע עם מי
we-quarrelled with someone, but I-don't know with who
'We quarrelled with someone, but I don't know who
(we quarrelled with)'
13. Certain other pronouns are used strictly in negatives, e.g. אף אחד af eHad 'no one, anyone' (see 29.11).

\section*{Chapter 27}
1. The noun may be singular or plural, mass or countable.
2. מה ma is a literary alternative to כמה káma.
3. That these are indeed simple 'question-shaped' clauses, not 'noun + relative clause', emerges from a comparison with actual 'relative clause' exclamations in 27.3; there the 'question word' איזה éze 'what' is impossible, significantly (see note 6).
4. Instead one can employ the negative (see 27.3):
éfo hi lo hayta!
where she not has-been!
5. They cannot be cut back to a single word:
*eze! ("what!') (איזה * כמה: *how!')
6. This construction is not a form of question clause but a headless relative clause, for איזה who..., the place where...' but not '*the man which man...'. See a similar 'concessive conditional' construction in 33.4.2.
*éze terutsim she-hu lo mamtsi!
*what excuses that he not invents!
*איזה תירוצים שהוא לא ממציא!
('What excuses he only invents!')

\section*{Chapter 28}
1. Note that the imperative form is just one of several ways of conveying a command. For the shape of verb forms, see chapter 40.
2. The present tense can occasionally convey a sharp request, in any person; see 28.8 .
3. Formal styles of request, in all persons, may add the particle נא na 'please' to soften the request. It follows the verb, optionally with a hyphen; but in the negative it directly follows אל al:
al na tit'u
not please you-will-err
4. The terms 'past, present, future' used of tense are a considerable oversimplification anyway; see chapter 13 .
5. In the feminine and plural, such 'prefix omission' commonly yields סגרי, סגרו sgeri, sgeru 'Close' and the like, rather than the normative imperative forms סגרי, סגרו sigri, sigru. Similarly, with the ('spirantizing') ב, letters, one commonly finds, e.g. תשפוך - tishpoH \(\rightarrow\) shpoH 'Pour out' rather than normative \(\operatorname{s}\) שפוך \(\operatorname{shfoH}\). A further indication that casual Hebrew is 'shortening' its future tense form rather than simply using the traditional imperative form is the common use of half-shortened forms such as תלמד tlamed 'Teach'.
6. The reason may be that the 'please' word preceding an infinitive is a different construction, like a verb+infinitive meaning 'I ask you to stand up' etc.
7. A further, occasional use of the infinitive in all persons, in formal usage, is the negator אל al + ...לle... + infinitive:
al \(\begin{aligned} & \text { א } \\ & \text { leHa } \\ & \text { le-morim }\end{aligned}\) lismoH al nisim \(\begin{gathered}\text { לךורים לסמוך על נסים }\end{gathered}\)
not \begin{tabular}{l} 
for-you \\
for teachers
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) to-rely on miracles \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
Do not \\
Let teachers not
\end{tabular} rely on miracles'
8. With the infinitive they are impossible:
*leharim ata et ha-sfarim
*להרים אתה את הספרים
*to-pick-up you ом the books
('Pick up the books')
9. If the understood subject is 'you' coordinated with another noun, 'you' must be mentioned overtly:
tavóu ata ve-dov תבואו אתה ודב
come you and Dov 'You and Dov come'
10. Alternatively, there are such paraphrases as .... הוא צריך ל hu tsariH le... 'He must...'
11. 'Future time' sometimes borders on a request:
neleH ve-day 'We'll go and that's it' נלך ודי : לעו
12. Infinitives in non-questions convey a remote request by the speaker (28.4): לענות
laanot 'Answer'. But as a questioner (in unmarked situations) would ask about another's request, לענות! laanot? naturally means 'Do you request that I answer?'
13. Further, the 'governing' verb itself need not have a stated object; the object can be deduced from context:
amárti lo lekashkesh I-said not to-scribble אמרתי לא לקשקש (= that you/they/one shouldn't scribble)
With a few verbs, too, the infinitive can be understood either as a request or as an attempt on one's own behalf:


\section*{Chapter 29}
1. The gerund (30.5), in many ways more verbal than nominal, cannot however be negated. The negator לא lo is basically pre-verbal, and no pre-verbal elements are found with gerunds:
*al-af lo sayemam kurs ze...
*על-אף לא סיימם קורס זה...
despite not finishing-their course this... 'Despite their not finishing this course...'
2. This is not a hard and fast distinction. It may sometimes simply serve to create variety:
hi ena zkuka li-ksamim, hi lo zkuka
le-rakdaniyot mefazezot, ve-hi enéna tsriHa tafura

היא איבנה קקוקה לקסמים, היא לא זקוקה לרקדניות מפזיאת, והיא
איצנה צריכה תפאורה
'She does not need charms, she does not need prancing dancers, and she does not require decor'
3. But the particles for present tense 'be' (הוא hu, \(\boldsymbol{N}\), \(z e\) etc; ch.16) do not count as verbs, so the negator directly precedes the noun, adjective etc. that follow them:
gad hu lo ga'on
גד הוא לא גאון
'Gad is not \(\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle\) genius'
ha-meltaHa ze lo po?
המלתחה זה לא פה?
'The cloakroom is not over here?'
4. There is some parallel between לא lo and כן ken 'yes': the latter doubles, casually, as a pre-verbal positive particle of affirmation, as in (1) below. But, unlike לא lo, it is only a 'sentence-operator', not a 'constituent-operator' (2):
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { (1) hu ken mevashel } & \text { 'He does cook' } & \text { הוא כן מבשל }\end{array}\)
(2) *ken Dan mevashel ('Dan cooks’) כן דן מבשל
5. Casual usage prefers simple לא לא o, or a combination of a 'be' particle (e.g. הוא hu) plus לא lo:
dov hu lo av 'Dov is not 〈a〉 father’ דב הוא לא אב
6. Formal Hebrew also has a positive particle הנה hine, almost the exact counterpart of this en. It means 'be' or simply precedes the verb as a 'dummy verb' (with no emphasis). Like אין en it inflects; but it cannot precede the subject:
dov hino maskim
דב הנו מסכים
Dov dummy v agrees
7. These constraints are so severe that inflected אין en cannot be placed ahead of its subject, even by virtue of the ordinary process of subject-verb inversion (cf. ch.37):
*be-áko eno yored shéleg
*בעכו אינו יורד שלג
in Ako not + SuFf falls snow
('In Acre snow does not fall')
8. By contrast, אין en is normal within an unstated impersonal 'they' (ch.17):
yodim o en yodim?
יודעים או אין יודעים?
m.pl. m.pl.
know or not know?
'Do they or don't they know?'
9. In this respect אין en behaves not like a verb but like 'be' particles (see example (1) below) by contrast with verbs (2). Even לא lo 'not' and כן ken (affirmative particle) do not need anything following them in casual usage:
 '...but the cupboards were not'
10. For more indications that אין en in this role is transitive and has no subject, see 15.2.
11. Its counterpart \(\boldsymbol{ש}\) י yesh 'there is/are' can inflect, however; see 16.9 .
12. The 3rd singular forms אינו eno and אינה ena are possible only where אין en means 'not, is not' (29.6).
13. Like most determiners (see 9.5.), these all need a noun or some other word to modify, ruling out:

> *Hipásnu et, aH lo matsánu af ( \(\checkmark\) lo matsánu)
we-looked-for pen but not we-found a-single
( \(\checkmark\) not we-found)
14. א א af can co-occur with אחף eHad 'one': en af méteg eHad there-isn't any switch one

אין אף מתג אחד
'There isn't one single switch'
שום shum always means 'not at all', rather than 'not a single':
en li shum isha
אין לי שום אשה
'I haven't a wife at all'
(not : 'I haven't a single wife')
15. With 'concrete' mass nouns, e.g. חלב Halav 'milk', Hebrew tends to use no word at all for 'any' (just as, in the positive, 'some milk' is usually just חלב Halav).
16. Specifically feminine 'no one' is אף אחת af aHat. The word also serves to refer to nouns already mentioned, i.e. 'none':
hayu Halukim, aval af eHad lo hitim היו חלוקים, אבל אף אחד לא התאים
'There were bathrobes, but not one fitted'
17. איש ish, besides meaning 'person, man', also means 'anyone' in questions and conditionals:
im tesaper le-ish... 'If you tell anyone...' ....
18. There is also a formal expression ולא כלום velo Hlum, only following the verb directly:
lo kara velo Hlum 'Nothing happened' לא קרה ולא כלום משו
19. דבר davar, besides meaning 'thing' ('something' is generally משהו máshehu), also means 'anything' in questions and conditionals (cf. note 17).
20. af páam comprises אף + \(a f+\) páam 'no + time'.
21. לעולם leolam also means 'forever' in formal usage. לתמיד le-tamid or לנצח la-nétsaH are commoner terms for 'forever'.
22. The 'negative words' are not, it seems, a case of intrinsically negative items co-occurring with a negator as 'double negation', for (a) the negator never drops in full sentences, unlike French; (b) even the one clear case of double negation, 'strings of 'nots' " (29.8), is impossible where the 'neither..nor' comes first; (c) some (elevated) 'negative words' do not stand free.
23. The complex negators all amount to 'have/be/must not' or 'so that not'.
24. The range of indefinite שהו --shehu words (משהו máshehu 'something', כלשהו kólshehu 'some... or other' etc.), though they can have a 'non-specific' sense ('anything, any' etc.) under negation and quasi-negation, are not negated backwards. Nor can they be stressed when 'non-specific'.
25. By contrast, עוד לא od lo means 'still not', not 'not again' as in:
lo shaalu od 'They did not ask again'
לא שאלו עוד
26. Negators do not reach into adverbial clauses, but can 'scope' them - with the notable exception of those introduced by כי כ \(k i\) 'because' and \(\begin{gathered}\text { כ } k a H \text { she- 'with the result }\end{gathered}\) that'.

\section*{Chapter 30}
 dination.

They are not themselves head nouns, denoting 'the notion that, the fact that...', for they are used with relative clauses.

For coordination, either the whole - \(v\) she- (or other complementizer) plus clause, or just the clause, can be repeated. (Prepositions are usually inseparable from their \(-\boldsymbol{e}\) she-, thus ruling out: ...שלפני או אחרי ש* *lifney o aHarey she... 'before or after...'; -ש shemust be repeated with each preposition.)
2. Finite and other subordinate clauses and phrases in this chapter are not (with the exception of 'action/state' phrases) functionally noun phrases - their ultimate node is \(\mathbf{S}\). Thus, for example, they do not undergo 'pied-piping' (as defined in Ross 1967) en bloc.
3. Participle phrases are surface VP, embracing the negator לא lo but not the 'copular' negative אינו eno, nor preposed matter (this being 'daughter of S') except when this is preposed into the matrix \(S\). (The same holds for infinitives - see 30.4.) Thus:
tafásti oto lo makshiv
I-caught him not listening and not:
*tafásti oto alay medaber
I-caught him about-me speaking
4. A quite unrelated structure is .... שמע שצא ש...,... shama she... 'he heard that matsa she... 'he found that...'
5. With התחיל, החל hitHil, heHel 'begin', the participle implies 'deliberate action', i.e. does not allow 'raising' (rather as with 'adverbial verbs' like היטיב hetiv 'do well' see 21.2.4); thus ruling out:
*yisrael hitHíla nitpeset ke... ..... לשראל התחילה נתפסת כ* ( \(\checkmark\) lihitapes ke...) (....) להיתפס v)
Israel began being-regarded as... ( \(\checkmark\) to-be-regarded as...)
6. Like finite and infinitival object clauses, participle phrases can undergo 'extraction', of an interrogative word for example, to the front of the sentence:
ma tafast otam osim?
מה תפסת אותם עושים?
'What did you catch them doing?'
Another difference from circumstantials is that these can even involve adjectives or nouns, whereas object participles must be verbs, and specifically 'dynamic' verbs; 'statal' verbs such as נמצא nimtsa 'be', ידע yada 'know' are excluded. Thus:

Object participle phrase
ra'íti oto omed al ha-sulam

Circumstantial participle phrase
zéhu, hi amra, yodáat she-ani...
זהו, היא אמרה, יודעת שאני... 'That's it, she said, knowing that I...'
7. An outwardly similar verb form, the gerund, has no such fixed prefix and very different functions; see 30.5 .
8. Infinitive phrases are 'surface VP' for the same reason as participle phrases (note 3).
9. Formal Hebrew occasionally adds a meaningless - \(\boldsymbol{e}\) she-, apparently identical with the conjunction \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she-, in front of a negated infinitive. This is possible even following verbs for which - \(ש\) she-plus finite clause is ordinarily inappropriate:
yaHólnu (she-)lo leheanot יכולנו (ש)לא להיענות
'We were able not to respond'
10. Neutral word order can be changed without affecting the underlying rule:
levater ani lo muHan
לוותר אני לא מוכן
'To give up I'm not willing'
11. Intention that someone else do something is mostly expressed by a finite clause; but some verbs, e.g אמר amar 'tell', אסר asar 'forbid', do it via the infinitive too.
12. Aspectual and modal infinitives can be regarded 'underlyingly' as subject clauses whose subject has been 'raised'; see 31.3
13. The prepositions ('object markers'), save -מ mi-, are omitted - except very casually:
hu asuk be-leeHol
הוא עסוק בלאכול
'He's busy with eating'
14. A slightly different form, the 'absolute infinitive', is limited to a few idioms involving adverbials and not subordination; see 21 , note 9 .
15. The second root consonant is 'soft'. In the infinitive, it is hard: לכבוש liHbosh, לספור lispor (vs. כבוש kvosh, ספור sfor).
Pa'al verbs with a weak first consonant tend to adopt the regular gerund shape, as against their irregular infinitive, when suffixed:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline yashav & ת & lashévet ~ be-yoshvo & לשבת ~ ביושם \\
\hline natan & נתן & latet \(\sim\) be-notno & לתת ~ בנותנו \\
\hline but yatsa & יצא & latset \(\sim\) be-tseto & לצאת ~ בצאתו \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Huf'al and pu'al patterns have neither infinitive nor gerund. Instead we find liyot/bi-yot mefune 'to be evacuated, on being evacuated', with an auxiliary verb היה haya 'be'.
16. Finite verbs need no subject in the impersonal 3rd person plural; but gerunds (and action nouns) have no such option. Note, however, the idiomatic .... בהתחשב be-hitHashev be... 'taking...into consideration'.
17. The reason is that the whole 'clause' functions externally rather like a noun phrase, which generally has the order nucleus + modifier. See action/state phrases (30.6) too.
18. Action nouns, by contrast, do put their negator first:
i-hadgasha
'non-emphasis'
אגּהדגה
19. Though gerunds thus seem to be dominated by NP, they are like finite \(S\) (and unlike action NP) in not becoming pronominalized as pro-nouns:

> *לפני התרסק המטוס וגם לאחרצו (אלאחר מכן)
> *lifney hitrasek ha-matos ve-gam leaHarav (VleaHar mi-Ken)
> pron pros
> 'Before the crashing of the plane and even after it (•afterwards)'

Gerunds are not inherently factive, e.g. לשם היבחרו leshem hibaHaro 'in order to be elected'.
20. Three signs of true action nouns are: an ...ידל-ידעal-yedey 'by...' phrase, an et 'accusative marker' phrase (if directly transitive), אי \(i\) אי 'non-' (the latter also with state nouns).
21. By contrast, in clauses the adverb may precede its verb:
etmol gila ha-ikar...
אתמול גילה האיכר...
'Yesterday the peasant discovered...'
22. Unlike genitives with ordinary nouns, these genitives can be shifted away from the nucleus, just as subjects or objects can move away from their verb:
ha-zrima el ha-miflaga shel hamoney olim הזרימה אל מהפלגה של המוני עולים NUCLEUS N 'SUBJ' GENITIVE
'the inflow to the party of masses 〈of〉immigrants'
23. Nor with 'static' action nouns, e.g. רצון ratson 'wish'.
24. The 'object' cannot also be in construct relationship once the action noun + 'subject' have already created a construct phrase. Nor is של shel 'of' possible. This is a general constraint on 'possessive' genitives (see 6.8).
Construction (6) with את et is an occasional formal usage. Note also the occasional use of את et with no 'subject' after such nouns as ידיעה, תפיסה yedi'a, tfisa 'knowledge of, conception of ':
mitoH yedi'a yesodit et ha-naase sham...
מתוך ידיעה יסודית את הנעשה שם...
from knowledge thorough OM
the goings-on there
'...a thorough knowledge of...'
25. Degree and manner adverbs are structurally intimate to the verb; the 'outer' adverbials are possible with verbs and nouns, as in example (1). Action/state nouns also allow a (formal) 'echo manner phrase' ('internal object' - see 21.4.2).
haHsharat ha-karka haHshara yesodit הכשרת הקרקע הכשרה יסודית
CONSTRUCT N ECHO PHR
preparation the ground preparation thorough
'thorough preparation of the ground'
26. Examples \((1,2)\) have a parallel using ... \(\boldsymbol{v}\) ש ze she... 'it that..., the fact that...' (see 7.7.3).

\section*{Chapter 31}
1. Participial clauses are only occasionally encountered.
2. Subjunctives (also called 'modals') are dealt with fully as part of the system of 'requests' and 'commands' in chapter 28. For interrogatives, see chapter 26.
3. This is because \(\begin{gathered}\text { z } e \text { is altogether a less 'specific' pronoun - thus it is less common }\end{gathered}\) as a relative pronoun too: הוא hu etc. is generally preferred (cf. 33.3). זe, and not הוא \(h u\), also acts as a 'boundary marker' marking the end of subject clauses; see 31.5.
4. But none of these are possible unless the verb can take a noun phrase anyway, thus these 'pro-sentences' themselves behave like nouns; so there is no 'pro-form' for referring back to object clauses like those illustrated at the start of this subsection (except occasionally preposed כ \(\mathrm{j} k \mathrm{H}\) - see 7.5):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{*natáti lo} & kaH & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{כ} \\
\hline & et ze & ('I allowed him this') & את זה & *נתתי לו \\
\hline & zot & & את & [= הרשיתי] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5. Complement clauses undergo focus, negation and other sentence processes:

\begin{abstract}
margiz oti gam she-hu lo yodéa
\end{abstract}

מרגיז אותי גם שהוא לא יודע
להסתרק גם לוּ lehistarek להסתרק
annoys me also that he doesn't know
to-comb-his-hair
6. This is provided the pronoun is not subject of this clause.
7. Factive verbs in general, e.g. הצטער hitsta'er 'regret', prevent extraction, but this is no proof that 'underlying' - ש זe she- 'it that' is at the head of their object clause. For -ש זe ze she- also denotes 'the notion that...', as in הציע (את זה) שתבוא hitsia (et ze) she-tavo 'suggest that you come', and yet ... הציע שitsia she... 'suggest that...' does not prevent extraction.
8. This use of \(\rceil\) כaH (which is also a manner adverb: 'like this') is akin to the use of - kfi she-, kmo she 'as...' clauses, which are themselves also manner clauses (32.5), except that 'as' clauses imply a fact:
efshar leaHer, kfi she-amart אפשר לאחר, כפי שאמרת
'One can be late, as you said'
9. The whole structure is traditionally called an 'extended predicator'; a current technical term is 'subject raising'.
10. Subject-less .... צריך ל tsariH le... 'it is necessary to...' is not 'raised'.
11. Exceptions: verbs of 'beginning' and נשאר nish'ar 'remain' also allow a participle clause, and verbs of 'repetition' and 'continuation' also allow a (pseudo) coordinated clause using - ve- 'and':

\footnotetext{
hitHálti tsoHéket התחלתי צוחקת
I-began laughing
}
bi-zmano, hu mosif u-mesaper,
בזמנו, הוא מוסיף ומספר, haya shalom

היה שלום
in his-time, he continues and relates [ \(=\) to relate], there-was peace.
12. This does not extend, however, to other 'adverbial' verbs such as miher 'be quick' and היטיב hetiv '...well'. See further 21.2.4. This analysis also explains the use of 'raising' verbs with subject-less structures such as:
haya li kar
was to-me cold
haya et kol ze
was ом all this

היה לי קר
'I was cold'
היה את כל זה
'There was all this'

After 'raising':
matHil liyot li kar
starts to-be to-me cold
מתחיל להיות לי קר
alul liyot et kol ze sham
likely to-be ом all this there
עלול להיות את כל זה שם
13. A few such verbs evidently also take a true object clause, witness the permissibility of a pro-sentence: התחיל בכך hitHil be-HaH 'begin it', הרבה בכך hirba be-HaH 'do a lot of it'. צריך tsariH is more complicated: meaning 'I need to...', it allows a prosentence (אני צריך את זה ani tsariH et ze), but not meaning 'I'm bound to'.
14. The action noun is thus formed with reference to 'abstract structure'.
15. היה haya, too, never omits its participle in this role.
16. Subject (unlike object) clauses cannot be participial, as the object participial clause (see 30.3) cannot be made into the subject by passivization.
17. Hebrew is here finding perceptual difficulty in having a clause that itself begins with a subordinate clause - except where the subordinate clause is detached from the main body of the clause by intonation or pause (as in adverbial clauses). Indeed, a declarative finite subject clause can come first only if it is factive or modal (as in 31.4.1), hence:
yitaHen she-hu yire
SUBJ CLAUSE
but not:
*she-hu yire yitaHen

יתכן שהוא יראה
'(It) is possible that he will see'
*שהוא יראה יתכן*
('That he will see is possible')

Other examples are ... נדמה לי שלול nidme li she... 'it seems to me that...' and עלול לקרות ש...alul likrot she... 'it is liable to happen that...'.

Moreover, 'extraction' (see 31.1.2) is also impossible for 'subject clauses'.
18. In 'surface' terms, this subject clause fills the 'object' clause slot: once 'postposed', the subject clause cannot co-occur with a 'real' object clause - thus the sentence:
she-hu noshem moHiaH she-hu Hay
שהוא נושם מוכיח שהוא חי
'That he is breathing proves that he is alive' cannot be transformed to:
*(ze) moHíaH she-hu Hay she-hu noshem
** *ה) מוכיח שהוא חי שהוא נושם
('(it) proves that he is alive that he is breathing')
ה ze blocks 'extraction'. Given that extraction from subject clauses is also blocked (see n.17), it may be that F ze is felt to be a nucleus of a subject clause.

> *ma ze naHuts she-eese?
what it necessary that I'll-do?
*מה זה נחוץ שאעשה?
ה הוחל huHlat le... 'was decided to...',... \(z e\) is dubious with passive ....
neemar she... 'was said that...' etc., as the complement clause is apparently object (see 14.8). Some predicates are averse to הr ze, suggesting that they too are taking object rather than subject clauses, e.g. (possibly a semantic class) ........ שכון naHon she... / le... 'correct that.../to...', מותר mutar 'permissible', אסור asur 'forbidden'.
19. Unlike copular \(n\) ז \(z e\) 'is' (see ch.16), this is also used with a verb.
20. The clause cannot begin with ...ש ir ze she..., even when factive. Unlike specificational nouns, these clauses cannot be preposed, even using n ze as copula rather than הוא hu:
*she-hu yafe zo ha-baaya שהוא יפה זו הבעיה*
('that he's handsome is the problem')
21. Firstly, unlike predicate clauses, these subordinate clauses cannot generally be introduced by a copula (סימן הוא ש..ס סימ haman he... 'a sign is that...'), nor by היה haya
 (היה ) מזל ze (haya) mazal she... 'it (was) lucky that...', just as happens with many subject clauses (31.5). Third, זכותי, זכותך zHuti, zHutHa 'my right, your right' etc. can casually stand alone, as a complete sentence, like אפשר efshar 'it is possible', חבל Haval 'it's a pity':
im at lo merutsa, zHuteH אם את לא מרוצה, זכותך
if you not satisfied, your-right
[= it's your right]
So its complement clause is arguably an object rather than a subject clause.

\section*{Chapter 32}
1. Strictly speaking, where the adverbial involves a preposition or conjunction introducing a clause, as in the two examples following, the clause is just one part of the adverbial. We shall, however, refer to the whole adverbial as an 'adverbial, adjunct or disjunct clause'.
2. Like adjuncts in general, many of these clauses can be left outside the 'scope' of such focusing - sometimes with markedly different meaning:
hu lo make ba, biglal she-hu ohev ota
הוא לא מכה בה, בגלל שהוא אוהב אותה 'He doesn't hit her, because he loves her'
lo dibru aHarey she-yatsáti
לא דיברו אחרי שיצאתי
'They didn't talk [i.e. they were silent] after I left' When set off by comma or pause, or ordinarily when preceding the main clause, such adjuncts will clearly be outside the scope of negation etc. However, when manner and time clauses complement a verb of manner or time, they constitute a 'tight' (rather than a 'loose') adjunct, akin to an object (cf. 21.4, 21.7):
al titnaheg kmo she-kulam mitnahagim
אל תתנהג כמו שכולם מתנהגים
'Don't behave like they're all behaving'
3. Instead, one might employ the adjunct .... לא משום... אלא משום lo mishum...éla mishum... 'not because...but because...'.
4. Traditionally - מאז שe'az she- 'since', - במקום שimkom she- 'instead of' and the like are classed as 'subordinating conjunctions', despite the substantial use of the same 'prepositions' for introducing both nouns and clauses, and despite certain specific properties of these so-called 'subordinating conjunctions':
(a) Many prepositions take either a clause or a noun phrase, e.g. בשביל bishvil 'for', בגלל biglal 'because', על-אף al-af'despite'; many take just the latter, e.g. עבור avur 'for', עקב בע ékev 'as a result of', חרף Héref 'despite'; a few take just the former, e.g. הקל-מנת heyot 'because', היות hagam 'although'. There is no overall semantic reason; see chapter 19.
(b) Although one cannot shift the clause away from its preposition, as is possible with verb + clause, it appears that following most prepositions (and verbs) the conjunction - \(ש\) she- is treated as a separate word. So in coordination one can optionally have a repeated -ש she-:
ad she-yiye HósheH ve-she-kulam yishnu
till that will-be dark and that everyone will-sleep
But words meaning 'because' and אף שר af she-, af ki 'although' treat their conjunction as inseparable:
mishum she-yiye HósheH ve(mishum she-) kulam yishnu
because that it'll-be dark and (optional : because that) everyone
will-be-asleep
5. Although, on the surface, infinitive 'clauses' are just verb phrases with no subject, both infinitive and finite clauses are best held to belong to a sentence node - see 30.4 for reasons. Gerund clauses too (found in formal usage) can be regarded as adverbial clauses in function; however, by internal structure they are like noun phrases and are only found with prepositions that can take a noun. Since they are a subordinate clausetype all of their own, gerunds are described in 30.5 . An example:
be- hilakaH mehem rabam,...
בהילקח מהם רבם,...
prep gerund
with being-taken from-them their rabbi,...

עד שיהיה חושך ושכולם יתשנו
'till it's dark and everyone's
\(k i\) 'although' treat their con-
משום שיהיה חושך ו ו(משום ש) כולם יישנו
(

This is strictly a 'sentence pronoun' ('pro-clause'). See 7.5.
7. Exception: כיוון שכך keyvan she-kaH 'because of that' retains the conjunction \(-ש\) she-.
8. Unstressed אם כן im ken means 'in that case' or 'thus'. The same structures occur in object clauses and very casually in relative clauses and main clauses:
mi amar she-lo?
מי אמר שלא!
who said that not?
'Who said to the contrary?'
rubam lo yodim, éle she-ken, shotkim
חובם לא יחעים. אלה שכן, שותקים 'Most don't know. Those that do, keep quiet'
at lo báa? - ani ken!
את לא באה! - אני כן!
'You aren't coming? - I am !'
9. Apposition reduction behaves similarly (cf. ch. 36):
shaálti aHadim, lo et kulam
שאלתי אחדים, לא את כולם
'I asked some, not all'
10. By 'preposition' we also mean words introducing a clause rather than a noun, e.g.
 junctions of time in their own right rather than preposition + conjunction, as their \(-\boldsymbol{ש}\) she- does not act independently in any sense.
11. כל זמן kol zman she-, kol od 'as long as' are a blend of time and conditional (32.11): 'if and for as long as it continues to be the case':
kol od (tiye) kayémet ha-shita ha-zot, ze yimasheH
'As long as this system exists, it'll go on' 12. This is distinct from -ו ve-meaning 'and' or the - ו ve-equivalent to a restrictive or non-restrictive 'who/which' (see 33.5). It is immune to the 'coordinate structure constraint' of Ross (1967).
13. Even a double constituent with 'gapping' is possible (as in: כמו אתה לחבריך kmo ata le-HaveréHa like you to your-friends), clear evidence of a reduction.

By contrast, comparative מנסה יותר ממך mi- 'than' phrases (see 20.4) such as menase yoter mimeH 'tries more than you' are underlyingly both clause and phrase.
14. - ש she-here is casual.
(ke'ilu 'as if' can usefully be understood as its component parts: - כ ke- 'like’ + אילו ilu 'if', e.g. 'He's acting like 〈he would act) if he had lice in his hair'. But it is in most respects a frozen expression : regular אילו ilu 'if' (a) does not take - ש she- whereas כe'ilu can, just like a preposition; (b) requires hypothetical (past) tense, whereas (כe'ilu takes 'real-world' tense (see 13.12.3). Further, (c) - כke-does not combine with other hypothetical 'if' words, nor can כמו kmo 'like' be used instead of -כ ke-.

כמו \(k m o\) can itself mean 'as if' in literary usage, without - שhe-, i.e. as a conjunction itself. (See note 18 for another כמו \(k m o\).)
15. For simple result: ... ש \(\boldsymbol{ש}\)......kaH she... '...so that...':
hayiti sham kol ha-káyits, kaH (*be-ófen)
she-higáti le-shney ha-knasim
'I was there all the summer, so (*in such a way) that
I got to both congresses'
16. This can express 'sardonic equivalence':
yesh leHa tóar dóktor kmo she-yesh li יש לך תואר דוקטור כמו ששש לי rishyon tisa! רשיון טיסה!
'You have a doctoral degree like I have a flying licence!'
אותו kmo clauses and phrases are also used as complements of the determiner כמו oto 'same' (see 9.6):
li yesh oto et kmo she-yesh leHa
I have same pen as that have you

לי יש אותו עט כמש שיש לך 'I have the same pen as you have'
17. Formal and casual usage also employ כמו kmo and - כאילו ke'ílu she- respectively. This construction also expresses sarcasm, even with no main clause, as in example (2):

'He's sitting up front (as if he were (lit. is) interested!)'
(2) ke'ílu iHpat li 'As if I cared (lit. care)’ כאילו איכפת לי!
18. כא כאילו, כמו ke'ílu, kmo also act as disjunct adverbs (like כביכול kivyaHol'as it were'), cf. 23.2; כמו kmo directly precedes the verb phrase:
yatsárti et ha-pésel yesh me-áyin, ke'ílu
יצרתי את הפסל יש מאין, כאילו
'I created the statue ex nihilo, as it were'
ba-maHatsit ha-shniya kmo nirata ba-migrash rak kvutsa aHat

במחצית השנייה כמו נראתה במגרש רק קבוצה אחת
'In the second half we saw as it were (lit. as it were was seen) only one team on the field'
19. ככל keHol is also used as a 'pronoun' of degree in '-ever' clauses (cf. 32.11). כמה káma too is a pronoun (cf. ch.25).
20. In fact, in כפי שעולה kefi she-ole 'as emerges', the equivalence is being stated in reverse vis-à-vis כך עולה kaH ole 'emerges like this'.
21. Although כידע yada 'know' does not allow כך ידעת *kaH yadáta ('thus you knew'), it does allow כמו שידעת kmo she-yadáta ‘as you knew'.
 nouns and complementizers, in introducing comparative clauses:
hu lomed yoter mi-kfi she-Hashávti
he studies more than like (or perhaps:
'what') that I-thought
23. The only other adverbial clauses with infinitives or 'modal' tense are 'instead' and 'without' clauses, i.e. quasi-negative adverbials (32.13).
24. לבל leval is intrinsically negative: it does not allow לא lo 'not'. It strictly denotes 'in order that...not'.
25. For שמא פח paHad shéma as a conjunction in 'be afraid in case...', see 30.2.
26. Where the motion verb has no motion adverb (רצתי לקנות פיצה rátsti liknot pitsa 'I ran to buy a pizza'), the infinitive is an object clause, not an adverbial, witness 'extraction':
ma ratst liknot?
מה רצת לקנות?
'What did you run to buy?'
27. \(\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathrm{kaH}\) is also the manner pro-adverb 'thus, so'. By contrast, clauses like the following are handled in 20.5 as complements of the degree words כל-כ kol-kaH or כה ko 'so':
haya kol-kaH muzar she-lo yashánti
היה כל-כך מוזר שלא ישנתי
'It was so weird that I didn't sleep'
28. These are adjunct clauses - the negation or question reaches into the result clause (challenging the causality and not necessarily the fact, cf. the second example).
29. Both this and type (2) clauses defy coordination, i.e. only one is used per sentence, as an 'umbrella' structure: ................ki ...ve-ki... '...because ...and because...', .......**...lo ki ...éla ki... 'not because... but because...'
A further, literary, cause construction (semi-subordinate) is illustrated by:
Hashávti ki od me'at taazov et ha-Héder, חשבתי כי עחד מעט תעזוב את החדר, kol-kaH hayu panéha mabi'ot tsáar u-He'ev כל-כך היו פניה מביעות צער וכאב 'I thought that soon she would leave the room, so much did her face express her anguish and pain'
30. אם im 'if' can interchange with - כשם kshe- 'when' (or its synonyms) when the meaning is, e.g. 'if on some particular occasion it's raining in London':
\(\mathrm{im} / \mathrm{kshe}\)-yored géshem be-lóndon, rov
ha-anashim nos'im mitriya
\}אם/כש\{ יורד גשם בלונדון, רוב
האנשים נושאים מטריה
'If it rains in London, most people carry umbrellas'
אם im (which is also the interrogative complementizer 'whether') and the hypothetical 'if' words rarely take the complementizer - ש she-.
31. It is not found in hypotheticals.
32. The 'whether' words can be omitted: ...,ריונר או אסיר milyoner o asir,... 'Millionaire or prisoner,...'.
33. They differ in that the first allows a double clause: .... למרות ש... ולמרות lamrot she... ve-lamrot she..., whereas the second, being illocutionary, allows only one אם כמ im \(k i\) 'although' per sentence (as with the illocutionary 'because' word כי \(k i\) in note 29). Further, the second type can be contracted to a phrase; see 32.2.2.
34. אפילו אם afilu im denotes 'even if'; see 32.11.4.
35. . בלא elo too denotes 'without'; it only takes finite clauses.

\section*{Chapter 33}
1. Similarly, where there is both an object noun and an object clause, the latter follows. Existential nouns with a numeral can sometimes be detached from their relative clause:
shney gormim yesh she...
שני גורמים יש ש...
two factors there-are that...
'There are two factors that...'
2. Substandard Hebrew can also use - מה ma she- as a conjunction; despite apparently being related to inanimate מה ma 'what?' and to the construction .... \(m a\) she-... 'that which, whatever...' (see 33.4.3), it occurs with both inanimate and animate antecedents:

\author{
ve-ézra ha-zaken, ma she-ohev et ha-ben ועזרא הזקן, מה שאוהב את shelo, shotek \\ הבן שלו, שותק
}
'And old Ezra, who loves his son, keeps quiet'
kol láyla mistovev sham ha-shed ma כל לילה מסתובב שם השד מה she-yesh lo shalosh ragláyim שיש לו שלוש רגליים
'Going around there every night is the demon with (lit. what has got) three legs'

A construction akin to a relative clause is the following, in which the כמו kmo 'like' clause acts as complement to the determiner אותו oto 'same', on which it depends (see 9.6 for details):
tikne oto séfer kmo she-hu kana
buy same book like cons he bought
תקנה אותו ספר כמו שחוא קנה
'Buy the same book as he bought'
Similarly, a - ש she- clause complementing the determiner כזה kaze ‘such’ can be considered an adverbial result clause, for no relative pronoun is necessary (1) and indeed even the determiner can be omitted, creating a blend between a relative and a result clause (2):
(1) ze bet-séfer kaze she-kol ha-kitot hen kita aHat gdola

זה בית-ספר כזה שכל הכיתות הן כיתה אחת גדולה
'It is a school such that all the classes are one large class'
(2) yesh patifónim she-lo tsariH lahafoH et ha-taklit

יש פטיפונים שלא צריך להפוך את התקליט
'There are record players such that (lit. that) you don't need to turn the record over'
3. The 'relative pronoun as subject' is actually obligatory when 'coordinated' with some other word. (In English, however, the very construction is impossible.) Thus:
ole she-hu ve-horav mitgorerim beyáHad...
עולה שהוא והוריו מתגוררים ביחד...
[an] immigrant that he and his-parents
live together...
The conjunctions - ש she-, and אשר asher, - ha- etc., are clearly not relative pronouns themselves: - \(\boldsymbol{e}\) she- and \(h u\), hi etc. are often in the same relative clause. So the closest English equivalent to \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she- is 'that' ('which, who' are themselves relative pronouns).

An apparent relative clause is the 'superlative' .... שב she-be... (see 20.2.2); only present tense is possible, and no other conjunction can replace \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she-. Another deceptive construction is the determiner שהוא shehu 'whatsoever' (historically 'that it be'), cf. 9.2:
ha-gdolim she-ba-malHinim
the great that among-the composers
kol maHshev she-hu
הגדולים שבמלחינים
'the greatest composers'
כל מחשב שהוא 'any computer whatsoever'
4. For omission of the whole preposition + relative noun, or even the whole verb phrase containing them, see 33.3.4.
5. Non-restrictives are different, thus: ad ha-daka ha-shishim, she-ba huvka ha-sháar ha-rishon,...
6. These 'imprecise' antecedents need not themselves be part of an adverbial, hence: yavo zman she-ha-adam...

יבוא זמן שהאדם...
will-come time that the man...
'A time will come when Man...'
However, the relative adverbial itself must be the 'unmarked' one, involving - be- 'at' (and בגלל biglal with סיבה siba 'reason').
7. An exception is the 'imprecise antecedent'; see 33.3.3.
8. When preposing the adverb שם sham 'there, where', one tends to avoid the combination ששם she-sham; instead (in formal Hebrew):
higánu le-arad, sham huHlat lanúaH me'at
הגענ לערד, שם הוחלט לנוח מעט
'We reached Arad, where (lit. there) a decision was taken
to rest a while'
9. For an explanation, see 37.13 on subject-verb inversions in general.
10. To express 'I, who am a/the (dean)', one cannot say, on the model of אני (הוא) הדיקן ani (hu) ha-dikan 'I am the dean':
*ani, she-dikan,... אני, שדיקן,... I, who dean,...
*ani, she-hu ha-dikan,...
*אני, שהוא הדיקן,...
I, who copula the dean,...

Instead, one may (casually) repeat the antecedent as a relative pronoun, or use another construction:
ani, she-ani (ha-)dikan,...
אני, שאני (ה)דיקן....
I, that I (the) dean,...
'I, who am a/the dean,...'
ani ke-dikan...
אני כדיקן...
'I as 〈a) dean...'
11. ה ze e referring to a thing implies that it has been named, i.e. 'the one that...'
12. The relative pronoun harking back to such pronouns cannot be preposed, thus: zot she-panit eléha

זאת שפנית אליה 'the person that you applied to [her]'
ma she-iyánti bo
מה שעיינתי בו
'what I looked at [it]'
13. This construction is distinct from casual indirect questions of the type (see 26.7): ata batúaH ma she-tsariH lakáHat? you sure what that necessary to-take? אתה בטוח מה שצריך לקחת?

The \(-ש\) she- conjunction here is optional and pleonastic.
14. Formal usage prefers - במקום שa-makom she- 'in the place that', -למקום ש la-makom she- 'to the place that'. A rather formal form condemned by purists is - היכן שק heHan she- 'where' (היכן heHan? = 'where?').
15. Casual - איך שeH she- also denotes ‘just when’, as does - כמו שkmo she- (ordinarily 'just like').
16. See 13.10 for the tense here.
17. This would be 'nominalization of a clause', but for the preposition introducing it in examples \((1,2)\). Where there is Jol 'any', a second version of this construction is possi-
ble, with no preposition at the beginning and with a relative pronoun; this is just an ordinary noun phrase set apart:
kol mi she-ani lo efne elav, ani mekabel ota tshuva

> כל מי שאני לא אפנה אשה אליו, אני
any who that I not will-apply to-him, I receive same answer
'Whoever I apply to, I receive the same answer' 18. Were it not for the non-'interrogative' nouns used in the foregoing construction, one might have taken both constructions as blends of an indirect question and a relative clause.
19. However, where a noun is predicate (see 33.3.1) ... מי mi she-... 'someone who is...' needs no copula, unlike .... מה ma she-... 'the thing that is...'.
20. This is only to prevent it closely following the antecedent pronoun, not to rule out: ke-mi she-al ktefav...

כמי שעל כתפיו...
'As someone on whose (lit. that on his) shoulders...'
21. Even a clause introduced by - ש \(z e\) she- 'the fact that' is considered a bare clause rather than a noun phrase, so cannot take a relative clause.
22. Another restriction: following the pseudo-interrogative pronouns or \(z e\) (and its inflections) 'he who...' (cf. 33.4.1), only - ש she- is used as a conjunction:
ze/mi she-mevin ne'elav זה/מי שמבין נעלב
he/who that understands takes-offence 'Whoever understands takes offence'
23. ו ve- also introduces another type of clause, circumstantial adverbials, provided that they too have an anaphor (see 35.7). Both are immune to the 'coordinate structure constraint'. Hence the \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) e-clause under discussion is indeed a relative clause (cf. ch.35, note 3 ).
24. A particular use of this is with \(k a\) - to introduce adverbial clauses of the type (see 23.3):
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
ka-mudgam le'el & \begin{tabular}{l} 
'as (lit. like that) is \\
exemplified above'
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}
25. Although this construction is a relative clause - in our examples בודק bodek takes an object and is thus not a noun, and naase has no independent existence as a
 etc.:
tityaHes la-naase sham
refer to-that is-being-done there
26. Another construction is the positive noun + contrasting negative relative:
makpidim shotim mits ve-she-enam makpidim shotim máyim
'Refer to what is being done there'
מקפידים שותים מיץ ושאינם

תתייחס לנעשה שם

מקפידים שותים מים
'Sticklers drink juice and non-sticklers (lit. that are not sticklers) drink water'
27. Rather similarly, 'pseudo-interrogative' pronouns act as relative pronouns, with no conjunction (see 33.8):
en ma \begin{tabular}{l} 
REL PRONOUN \\
(s-not what to-say
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) 'There isn't anything to say'
28. In the 'necessity' sense the relative pronoun for indirect objects or adverbials is obligatory, and כדי kdey + infinitive is impossible. Thus the following example denotes just 'possibility':
yesh lánu tmunot lehistakel
יש לנו תמונות להסתכל
'We have pictures we can look at' 29. Evidence that these are indeed relative clauses rather than indirect questions is the inadmissibility of עוד מי od mi 'who else', עוד איפה od éfo 'where else' etc.

\section*{Chapter 34}
1. Apposed clauses do not fulfil the same function towards their nucleus noun as do apposed phrases (ch.36). They cannot always be said to specify the noun as kóhen specifies הרב כהן havav 'rabbi’ in kóhen 'Rabbi Cohen' ('a rabbi, namely Cohen'). Nor are they otherwise 'predicative': although .... העובדה, הסיכויים ha-uvda, ha-sikuyim she... 'the fact, the chances that...' can be paraphrased as predicatives (העובדה היא ש... ha-uvda hi she... 'the fact is that...' etc.), this is not so for ... שה ההכחשה שe ze she... 'the fact/ notion that...'.
2. כאילו ke'ilu is otherwise the adverb 'as it were' or the conjunction 'as if' (ch.32.5.2).
3. Some such exceptions can be explained as cases of 'subject-to-subject raising' (see 31.3).
4. The same is true of relative clauses. In general, however, modifiers cannot be 'set adrift' from their introductory noun.

\section*{Chapter 35}
1. This is an example where no coordinator is used.
2. With the exception of reciprocal or joint actions such as: ani ve-at nifgáshnu sham páam

אני ואת נפגשנו שם פעם
'You and I met there once'
3. A basic characteristic of coordination is that a simple coordinated constituent or its parts will not undergo 'extraction', e.g. WH-fronting, relative deletion, focus/topic preposing:
haláHta ve-asáfta et mi? הלכת ואספת את מי!
you-went and you-collected ом whom?
does not yield:
*et mi haláHta ve-asáfta?
ом whom you-went and you-collected?
*את מי הלכת ואספת!
Absence of such a constraint is evidence that 1 ve is tion instead (see 35.7).
4. Puristically, and in certain set phrases, it becomes i \(u\)-before \(b, m, f, v\) (i.e. labials) or any consonant pointed with 'shva':
\begin{tabular}{llr} 
u-moshe & 'and Moshe' & ומשידה \\
u-glida & 'and ice-cream' & זלפי
\end{tabular}
5. In such cases, coordinated NPs are often analysed as transforms of coordinated clauses.
6. With the focus adverbs אaf 'even' and ......... גם gam... gam... 'both... and...', ו \(v e\) - can be omitted in formal usage:
aH lo yisra'el af lo áshaf lo naanu אך לא ישראל אף לא אש״ף לא נענו be-Hiyuv
'But neither Israel nor (lit. even not) the PLO responded positively'
gam mi-bHina polítit gam mi-bHina musarit,... גם מבחינה פוליטית גם מבחינה מוסרית,...
'Both politically and (lit. both) morally,...' The same happens commonly and obligatorily with .......... 'either... or...' as focus adverbs; see 35.12 .
7. Only with semantically complex uses of e ve-, 'and then, and so, and yet', is such repetition of the verb phrase essential - unless one resorts to a 'tag' (cf. 35.2.2):
míryam hismíka ve-az léa
מרים הסמיקה ואז לאה
'Miriam blushed and then Lea'
8. Complement clauses coordinate well, e.g. .........שמר שamar she...ve-she... 'he said that...and that...', ברור כי....וכי barur ki...ve-Hi... 'it is plain that...and that...'; but in adverbials, משום ש...וש mishum she...ve-she... 'because [that] ...and [that]...' and the like are unacceptable, as the - ש she- is felt to be an inseparable part of - משום ש mishum she- etc.
9. The missing material need not be a constituent, but it must be a 'daughter' of \(S\) or VP: ani natáti lahem matana ve-le-aHoti késef

אני נתתי להם מתנה ולאחותי כסף 'I gave them a present and my sister money'
10. This repetition of prepositions in what is not the residue of a separate full clause arises by a 'copying rule' that is also found with coordinated relative antecedents. Note that the verb in the relative clause is plural, hence this is true noun coordination:

Hashávti al ha-géshem ve-al ha-shéleg
חשבתי על הגשם ועל השלג she-yordim sham

שיורדים שם
I-thought about the rain and about the snow that are-falling there
11. A collective noun is not used (cf. 39.8):
*ha-tsévet hitHabka (zo im zo)
*הצוות התחבקה (זו עם זו) ('The team embraced (one another)')
12. With nouns, this must be a subject + possessive:
míki hu aHiv shel éli
מיקי הוא אחיו של אלי
'Miki is the brother of Eli'
13. This is of course acceptable for, say, 'They last twenty years and seven years respectively'.
14. With הלך halaH, the reverse order is possible in formal usage, as in (1) below except where an object follows, showing that הלך הל. halaH is not functionally just an adverb:
(1) ha-hafsakot nitkatsru ve-halHu the breaks shortened and went
'The breaks gradually shortened'
(2) *ha-ananim meshanim ve-holHim et tsuratam
*העננים משנים והולכים
את צורתם
the clouds change and go [= gradually change] their-form
15. In all cases \(\boldsymbol{-}\) ו \(v e\) - is more limited than \(-\boldsymbol{v}\) she-in its inability to combine with a true
 that...and that...'.
16. By contrast, in איך קורה שנכשליםe eH kore she-niHshalim? 'how does it happen that they fail?', קורה ש kore she- does not imply 'it may happen that' (it is factive), hence no - ו ve-.
17. Such clauses are not constrained by the coordinate structure constraint against 'extraction' (cf. note 3):
ma asit be-nosaf le-ze? מה עשית בנוסף לזה?
'What did you do besides that?'
18. חוץ מ- Huts mi- can be expanded into a full comparative construction (cf. English 'other than'):
hayu be-Hol Héder, Huts measher היו בכל חדר, חוץ מאשר ba-ambátya באמבטיה
'They were in every room, except [than] in the bathroom'
19. כולל kolel 'including' need not have the object marker et and is thus felt to be akin to both preposition and verb.
20. This is also possible with a 'true dual' noun, e.g. פעמיים שלוש paamáyim shalosh 'two or three times', יומיים שלושה yomáyim shlosha 'two or three days'.
21. The fact that each inclusive 'or' needs repetition of (או o reflects its affinity to illocutionary 'or', which itself requires או o for each 'or' (see 35.13).
22. שמא shéma is a complementizer (cf. ... paHad shéma... 'be afraid lest...'), acting here as a question word and akin to the S-initial adverb שמא shéma meaning 'perhaps'.
23. \(\quad \therefore . . . o\) cannot directly precede the noun when prepositions are present as בין...ובין (1), which suggests that it is a (correlative) focus adverb, like beyn... uveyn (see 22.6), replacing the coordinator (cf. note 6 ) - as if one should have expected.......ve-o... 'either... and or...'.
24. Neither - \(\boldsymbol{-}\) או \(o\) she- nor \(\ldots\) או......... are used in illocutionaries.
25. אבל aval etc. cannot link clauses introduced by -ש she- (see examples \((1,2)\) below); the second - ש she- must be omitted. But the combination - \(a H\) ha- 'but who/which' is possible linking relative clauses. There is no such limitation on ve- 'and' or או o 'or':
(1) amárti she-ani ayef aval (*she-)avo beHol-zot

אמרתי שאני עייף אבל (ש)אבוא בכל-זאת
'I said that I was tired but (that) I'd come anyway'
(2) anashim she-yod'im tov anglit aH (*she-)kor'im rak ivrit

אנשים שיודעים טוב אנגלית אך (ש)
'People who know English well but (who) read only Hebrew'
26. The other, and more basic, use of אלא éla is as 'but instead', following a negation, e.g. לא זה אלא זה lo ze éla ze 'not this but that' (discussed fully in 35.16). In the present case, there need not have been a negation but there is an implied cancellation of an expectation, i.e. 'things are not as you would expect but instead...'. In the example in the text: 'You might have thought things were in this order, but instead I'm getting things in the wrong order...' Compare also אלא-אם-כן éla-im-ken 'unless, except if' in 35.16.
27. אולם ulam can be followed by a comma, and is thus also a link adverb meaning 'however' (ch.24).
28. ve'ilu is not a combination of ואילו ve- 'and' plus אילו ilu 'if' synchronically.
29. Ordinarily, לא lo would precede the verb.
30. By contrast, אבבל aval and the other 'but' words serve to negate an implied expectation (see 35.14).
31. As always, the second coordinate (כי אט החסידה ki im ha-Hasida) can be tagged to the end.

\section*{Chapter 36}
1. Unlike coordination (ch.35), apposition allows extraction, e.g. WH-fronting, relative pronoun deletion and focus fronting, as in:
gam et dáfna zaHárti, kfar katan be-toH ha-émek...

גם את דפנה זכרתי, כפר קטן בתוך העמק...
'Dafna too I remembered, a tiny village in the valley...'
2. For constructs and coordination, see chapters 6 and 35 respectively.
3. There may be more than one teacher, so המורה ha-mora signifies 'the teacher we both know about'.
4. Titles like מלך méleH 'king', נשיא nasi 'president' are not usually treated as 'occu-
pations': they either take a comma or (more often) actually come first: המלך חוסיין ha-méleH Huseyn 'King Hussein'; see further, 36.5.
5. Multiple ('stacked') apposition is possible for both predicatives and (36.4-9) specificationals; see the third example.
6. By contrast, בן בת ben / bat meaning 'consisting of' is not a noun but an adjective, for it requires a 'head noun', e.g. בתים batim 'houses' in example (2) here; see further, 6.19 .
(1) bney shesh

בני שש
‘six-year-olds' (lit. having six)
(2) batim bney shesh komot

בתים בני שש קומות
houses consisting-of six storeys
7. Two-phrase apposition is derivable from an underlying apposed clause. There are no underlying apposed phrases.
8. By contrast, 6 הדוגמה ha-dugma shesh would signify that ' 6 ' itself is a דוגמה dugma 'example'. Of course numerals do themselves tend to become 'proper names' תגיד לשש לבוא tagid le-shesh lavo 'tell 6 to come') but are essentially more like adjectives: דוגמה dugma shesh 'example 6' is equivalent to הדוגמה השישית ha-dugma ha-shishit 'the sixth example'. A different kind of definite apposition without ha- 'the' is in apposition of titles: פרופסור בובר profésor búber 'Professor Buber' (36.5).
9. Names used as common nouns use the construct and not apposition: מטוסי מיג metosey mig 'Mig planes', עצי אקליפטוס atsey ekaliptus ‘Eucalyptus trees'.
10. Compare this with 36.2 .
11. Some 'titles' are intrinsically definite if there is only one holder of them, e.g. ראש העיר rosh ha-ir 'the Mayor', הנשיא ha-nasi 'the President', and thus can also permit identity apposition (note the comma): ראש העיר, מר קולק rosh ha-ir, mar kólek 'the Mayor, Mr Kollek'.
12. Most such link adverbs also introduce verbs or any other phrases or clauses (see ch.24). Another method of clause apposition is:
ha-baaya hi zo: ma yiye im...
הבעיה היא זו: מה יהיה אם...
'The problem is this: what will be if...'
13. This resembles a coordinated clause (see 35.2.2), except that the apposed comma cannot have ו ו ve- 'and' and the verb must be omitted.
14. - נוסף ל nosaf le- 'in addition to’, כולל (את) kolel (et) 'including', and their synonyms, are prepositions. The structures they introduce are adverbials and capable of manoeuvering around the sentence, not appositions.
15. The 'widest' adverbial(s) is often placed at the front of the sentence, with the meaning 'when in...':
bi-bnéy-brak, gárti be-shikun he
בבני-ברק, גרתי בשיכון ה'
[when I was] in Bney-Brak, I-lived in Estate 5
16. However, the very use of the 'de-pluralized' singular form שנה shana 'year' is a sign that this is apposition. For the construct requires the plural form: עשרים שנות (שנת) מאסר esrim shnot (not: *shnat) maasar '20 years-of (not: year-of) gaol'. Conversely the expected appositional structures קילו אחד אגסים kilo eHad agasim ‘one kilo of (lit. kilo one) pears', הקילו אגסים ha-kilo agasim 'the kilo pears' are awkward in the singular.
17. But when the amount comes after the noun, של shel is required (see 8.5.4): hefresh shel shalosh shanim הפרש של שלוש שנים difference of three years
'three years' difference'
18. It is as if שלוש שנים הפרש shalosh shanim hefresh were underlyingly הפרש של (cf. note 17).
19. This also applies to the construct adjective בן/בת ben / bat 'consisting of' (cf.note 6). בן ben otherwise means 'son, member of'.
20. When not between two nouns, 'the six-year-olds' is בני השש bney ha-shesh, not בני שש bney shesh.
21. More common everyday usage: של שני חדרים shel shney Hadarim 'of two rooms'.
22. ו ve- 'and' is sometimes preferred:
rosh ha-memshala ve-sar ha-bitaHon, mar ben-guryon

ראש הממשלה ושר הבטחון, מר בן-גוריון
'the Prime Minister and Defence Minister Mr Ben-Gurion'
23. Examples like (2) amount to combining two nouns (סין ורוסיה sin ve-rüsya 'China and Russia'), indeed these adjectives cannot be used predicatively of these nouns:
*ha-siHot hen síniyot
*השיחות הן סיניות
('The talks are Chinese')

\section*{Chapter 37}
1. The example is based on Rosén 1982.
2. Indeed, a 'topical' object can be converted into subject by passivization; cf. 14.2.
3. But the (intra-clausal) need to keep subject and object distinct prevails over the (supra-clausal) need to topicalize: כלבים אוהבים ילדים klavim ohavim yeladim generally means 'dogs love children' and not the reverse.
4. Adverbs and noun phrases, but not verbs or verb phrases, have pronoun forms probably no coincidence.

Non-constituents can be preposed:
lirkôd im ha-talmidim ani muHan afilu hóra

לרקוֹד עם התלמידים אני מוכן אפילו הורה
to-dance with the students I'm willing even a hora
A word within a subordinate clause can be preposed to the front of this clause or the whole sentence ('unboundedness'):
leefôt íma amra she-hi muHana
לאפות אמא אמרה שהיא מוכנה rak ugiyot

רק עוגיות
to-bake Mom said that she's willing only cookies.
5. This example is from Amihai, cited by Rosén 1982.
6. לא lo yesalek (future tense) generally denotes, in officialese, 'he shall not remove', i.e. an order; see 28.6.
7. However, predicative adjectives and nouns do not precede their subject (save in formal usage, for other reasons; see 37.14).
8. Unlike 'topic preposing', this generally brings the topic to the front of the whole sentence, disqualifying:
*ani ro’e she-ha-monit ha-zot, אני רואה שהמונית הזאת, ata hizmánta ota

אתה הזמנת אותה
('I see that this cab, you ordered it')
9. Two idiomatic constructions are:
(1) eyn davar kaze, levater al molédet אין דבר כזה, לוותר על מולדת 'There isn't such a thing 〈as〉 to forgo a homeland'
(2) Where rimet oméret 'this means' is equivalent to copular ze re 'is' (see 16.7):
ma zot oméret láyla?
what this means night?
מה זאת אומרת לילה!
'What does night mean?'
ma ze láyla?
מה זה לילה?
what is night?
10. Similarly, once a preposition joins a suffixed pronoun, any conjoined noun must repeat the preposition:
alav ve-al yaakov 'about him and about Yaakov' עליו ועל יעקב
11. Simultaneously, the object of the verb can be preposed without leaving a pronoun 'trace':
lirkod hora ani ken erkod ita לרקוד הורה אני כן ארקוד אתה
\(\stackrel{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{v}} \stackrel{\mathrm{v}}{ } \mathrm{v}\) (0-dance hora I will dance with-her \(\quad\) 'I will dance a hora with her'
12. The 'topic' has, at best, secondary stress. Particularly strong stress is put on an 'emphatic' and on a 'contrastive' focus; see below.
13. This emphasis is exclusive, whereas 'topic' in أפלים אני אוהב vâflim ani ohev 'wafers, I like' has contrastive but not all-exclusive force ('I also like cookies...').
14. Where a 'topic' has already been mentioned, a 'focus' can ensue:
banânot rak Shálva ohévet
באנות רק שלוה אוהבת
topic FOCus
'Bananas only Shalva likes!'
But following a 'focus', everything else is of equally low informational significance, so there will be no special 'topic'; instead of example (1), example (2) is preferred:
(1) *móshe shata et ha-bîra aval Yéntl shateta et ha-víski
*משה שתה את הבירה אבל
ינטל שתתה את הוויסקי FOCUS TOPIC
('Moshe drank the beer but Yentl drank the whiskey')
(2) ...aval et ha-víski shateta Yéntl אבל את הוויסקי שתתה ינטל... TOPIC FOCUS '...but the whiskey Yentl drank'
15. But a non-constituent or an 'unbounded' preposing of focus is rare.
16. For 'specificational clauses' in general see 16.3.7.
17. This is not a true copula, nor a relative clause. (1) No other form is possible in this 'copula': neither ....האם יהיו * * אני אינני (they will be who...) nor she... (ther ...ש *ani enéni she... (I am-not who...); rather: ...ש לא אני הוא lo ani hu she... (not I am who...). (2) The verb must agree with the main subject, hence אני הוא שׂר * *ani hu she-diber I am who spoke-3rd s., אני הוא שדיברת אלי *ani hu she-dibárta elay I am who you-spoke to-me. So both the copula and the conjunction \(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{N}\) - \(\operatorname{m}\) she-/asher are just pleonastic. Nor is an adjective possible. (3) Alternatively, Hebrew allows a 'pseudo-copula' but a true relative clause:
ani hu ze she-diber
אני הוא זה שדיבר
'I am the one who spoke'
ani hu ze she-dibárta elav
I am he that you-spoke to-him אני הוא זה שדיברת אליו
18. As for the agreement of the verb in these 'relative clauses', as in שהשאירה she-hish'íra (3rd person) vs. שהשארת she-hish'árti (1st person), see 18.6.4.
19. A related use is inversion in television credits and the like: katav yoel armon, ibda shúli aHituv

כתב יואל ערמון, עיבדה שולי אחיטוב wrote yoel armon, adapted shuli achituv
'written by Yoel Armon, adapted by Shuli Achituv'
20. This is not topic dislocation - the noun concerned can readily have a focus adverb, i.e. it can be focus, or simply neutral.
21. The copula (see 16.2), being הוא, היא hu, hi etc. (identical with the personal pronouns 'he, she, they'), looks like a case of topic dislocation:
hértsl hu sémel
Herzl copula symbol

הרצל הוא סמל
'Herzl is a symbol'

But such copulas between two nouns are usually obligatory and thus quite unlike the constructions described.
22. What these have in common is the 'underlying' existence of a preposed noun or comparative word - triggering an inversion. For the position of the relative pronoun, see 33.3.3.

Purists may decry inversion of present tense verbs. For other reasons, the verb in the main clause too inverts - the whole of the preceding subordinate clause constitutes an adverbial and topic for this main clause.
23. A different reordering, serving the same end of register distinction, is:
haya ze kashe le...
was it difficult to...
היה זה קשה ל..
'It was difficult to...'

\section*{Chapter 38}
1. Some exceptions are שוטר shoter 'policeman' (no corresponding verb) and מורה more 'teacher' (corresponding verb means 'give instructions').

There are many variants, just as in the present tense (see ch.40), and notably with two middle consonants in patterns (lb, c), e.g. מערבל mearbel 'concrete mixer'. Patterns (2), (4), (14) too have many variants, e.g. מערול nitrul 'neutralization'.
2. These, and three-syllable nouns similar in stress and plural pattern, are traditionally called 'segolates'. See 38.6 on stress. (3b), though a non-meaningful pattern, is grouped with (3a). Generally, eé-e- and -é-a-patterns take penultimate stress; -o-e- can be -ó-e(3b) or \(-o-\dot{e}-\) (1a), and \(-a-a\) - is generally \(-\dot{a}-a\) - (3a) or \(-a-a \dot{-}\) - (4).
3. Some items are on the borderline, e.g. בן-אדם ben-adam 'person' is grammatically like two words (witness בני-אדם bney-adam 'persons'), but also rather like one word (witness הבן-אדם ha-ben-adam 'the person'), and graphically one word in some casual usage: בנאדם benadam.
4. The verbs in compounds are compressed to one syllable with an \(-a\) - vowel (the 'canonic' vowel also favoured in acronyms, e.g. מנכ mankal - see 38.5), save where this would create three successive consonants (hence רשמקול reshamkol, not都**rashmikol).
5. An abstract analysis of segolates as \(\mathrm{CiCC}, \mathrm{CCoCt}\), etc - a shape they often have with construct suffixes - means that they do have (abstract) final stress, but it has the difficulty that this construct form is literary and unproductive, except when used as a basis for derivational suffixes.

\section*{Chapter 39}
1. Endearment terms use -i or -le for either sex, e.g. יהודה'לה yehúdale, רקהילה rivkale. Occasionally, females are named after a masculine noun, e.g. תמר tamar 'palm'; and, rarely, males use a feminine noun: יונה yona, שמחה simHa ('dove, joy').
2. Exceptions include נחתר neHir 'nostril', שדו shad 'breast', קרסול karsol 'ankle', מותן móten "hip'.
3. Some ציים- -áyim words are not paired objects, e.g. צהריים tsohoráyim 'lunchtime', שמיים shamáyim 'sky' (both are masculine).
4. The few ending in unstressed \(א--a\) go according to their meaning as human nouns (39.1): סבא סába 'father', סבא sába 'grandfather', אמא ima 'mother', סבא sávta 'grandmother'.
5. Where \(ת--t\) is clearly part of the root, nouns are mostly masculine, e.g. שרות sherut ‘service' (שרת sheret 'to serve'), זית záyit'olive' (pl. קית zetim), קית kashat 'archer'
(pl. לילה . kashatim). But most segolates with \(ת\) - \(-t\) are feminine - see 39.3.2. láyla 'night' is masculine.
6. A few notable cases of feminine forms quite unrelated ('suppletive') to the masculine
 woman', אדזון/מר ~ גברת adon/mar ~ gvéret 'Mr ~ Mrs', חתן ~ כלה Hatan ~ kala 'bridegroom/son-in-law ~ bride/daugher-in-law', par/shor ~ para 'bull ~ cow', חמור ~ אתון/חמורה Hamor ~aton/Hamora 'jackass ~ she-ass', אריה ~ א arye ~ levia 'lion ~ lioness'.
7. But in all other functions, e.g. as subject or object (i.e. involving a 'presupposition' rather than an 'assertion' of their femininity), they cannot denote females at all - in the following example, for instance, with 'person' referring to 'Sara':

> hine sára - *ata makir et ha-ben-adam haze?
> הנה שרה - *אתה מכיר את
> הבן-אדם הזה
'Here's Sara - do you know this person?'
8. An entirely different word is occasionally used, e.g. זעez 'goat' (generic), which happens to be feminine.
9. The generic plural for 'sheep' is masculine: כבשים kvasim.
 ‘uncle ~ aunt’ (casually, the stem can be stressed); (b) טירון ~ טירונית tiron ~ tironit 'recruit', את efróaH ~ efroHit 'chick' (39.5.4.); (c) מומחה ~ מומחית mumHe ~mumHit 'expert'; (d) stressed - \(i\) but feminine suffix - \(t\) : ישראלי yisreeli ‘Israeli', מוסלמי muslemi ‘Moslem', ליטאי lita'í 'Lithuanian'.
11. The suffix יה--ia keeps nouns distinct from adjectives (רת (תרפת tsarfatit 'French' etc.), as does the masculine plural suffix (צרפתים tsarfatim 'Frenchmen' vs. צרפתיים 'תרם tsarfatiim 'French', see 39.9.1). No such distinction is made when the feminine gentilic has \(י-\)-it, thus súrit is both 'Syrian woman' and the adjective 'Syrian (f.)'.
12. Some exceptions: (1) Some 20 per cent of כבד kabad-type 'occupation nouns' take י--it for various reasons, see 39.5.4; (2) The few nouns with four-consonant stems take -- it (except CaCCaC), e.g. מלתר meltsar 'waiter’ - see 39.5.4; (3) Sundry, e.g. אופ rofe ~rofa 'doctor', שוכא ~ שובבה shovav ~ shoveva 'naughty child'.
13. Simply by virtue of generally being just singular, non-countables in a few cases use their plural to express something akin to 'a lot of...':


Some non-countables are really a separate category, 'non-numerable': they do take non-exact counting, e.g. מאות me'ot 'hundreds of', כמה káma 'some': מאות הכנות me'ot haHanot 'hundreds of preparations' (and not מאות מיים"* *me'ot mayim 'hundreds of waters').
14. Countably: שני זוגות אופניים shney zugot ofanáyim = 'two pairs of bicycles' etc, but also simply הרבה אופניים harbe ofanáyim 'lots of bicycles' etc.
15. Exception: the few nouns used partitively with plurals or collectives can have plural agreement, though singular in form: חלק מה\}מפגינים/יחידה\{ מתפזרים Hélek me-ha-\{mafginim / yeHida\} mitpazrim 'a portion of the \{protestors/unit\} are dispersing'. See 8.5.
16. Exception: בן-דוד ben-dod 'cousin' ('son-of uncle'), though not בן-אח ben-aH 'nephew' ('son-of brother'), is casually a semi-compound with double number-gender
markings (agreeing with each other): בני-דודים bney-dodim 'male cousins', בת-דודה bat-dóda 'female cousin', בנות-דודות bnot-dodot'female cousins'.
17. These suffixes are stressed, except in foreignisms (see 38.2).

Any existing suffix \(>-i, n_{-}-e, \Omega-(e) t\) or \(n ;-a\) is first dropped and then the plural suffix is added, e.g.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { yehudi } \sim \text { yehudim } \sim \text { yehudey 'Jew' } \\
& \text { mivne } \sim \text { mivnim } \sim \text { mivney 'structure' } \\
& \text { Hayétet } \sim \text { Hayatot } \sim \text { Hayatot } \\
& \text { 'tailor' } \\
& \text { יהודי ~ יהחדים ~ יהודי } \\
& \text { מבנה ~ מבנים ~ מבני } \\
& \text { חייטת ~ חייטות ~ חייטות }
\end{aligned}
\]
18. Among exceptions: diminutive ון-on, e.g. ילדונים ילון ~ yaldon ~yaldonim ‘little child’; recent coinages, e.g. אווירון aviron ‘aeroplane', ביטאון bita’on ‘organ', חניון Henyon 'parking lot'.
19. Among exceptions: recent coinages, e.g. מטוס matos 'aeroplane', מסוס masof'terminal', מסוק masok 'helicopter' - but דו"ח ~ דו"חות doH / dúaH ~ doHot 'report'. A handful of plural-only nouns, with legal associations, take 1 --in as a free variant of ארוסין/ארוסים erusin/erusim 'engagement'; also קידושין kidushin 'marriage', גירושין gerushin ‘divorce', נזין nezikin 'tort', ליקין מיטורין piturin ‘dismissal' etc. (but תפמילין tefilin 'phylacteries', not תפילים* tefilim).

Aberrant plurals include: אישישאנשים ish~anashim 'person', אישים אישים ishiyut \(\sim\) ishim 'celebrity'.
20. Some exceptions: (i) טליתות talitot 'prayer shawls', חניתות Hanitot 'spears'; (ii) מדיניות mediniut 'policy', אישיות ishiut 'personality') allows no such plural.

Occasionally, \(\pi-\), \(ת--t\), \(-a\) are treated as part of the stem, so do not drop, e.g. דלת délet~dlatot 'door', ששת réshet 'net', שבת res shabat 'sabbath'; דוגמה~דוגמאות dugma~dugma'ot 'example’ (אות-!), pitka 'note', אוניברסיטה univérsita 'university', סיסמה sisma ‘slogan'.

Aberrant internal changes include אם em~imahot 'mother', אשמה אשמות isha~nashim 'woman', בת ~ בנות bat~banot 'daughter'.
21. Substandard usage even has:
shney yáakovim ve-shalosh miHáliot
שני יעקבים ושלוש מיכליות
22. Dual is a numeral, not a part of a singular-dual-plural system, thus: (1) agreeing verbs and adjectives have the usual plural suffix (יומיים חולפים yomáyim Holfim 'two days pass'); (2) שלושה ימים shlosha yamim 'three days' but not שני יומיים *shney yomáyim 'two days-two' (simply יומיים yomáyim ); (3) unlike singular and plural, dual has no construct form.
23. Some exceptions: גבות gabot 'eyebrows', מרפקים marpekim 'elbows', בוהנים bohanim 'thumbs'.
24. The numeral/noun אלף élef ' 1000 ' too obeys the rule, e.g. עשרת אלפים aséret alafim '10,000' vs. אחד-עשר אלף aHad-asar élef '11,000'.
25. 'Non-digitals' are further special by having no genitive ('construct') or ordinal form (both are 'marked' categories, like '+ plural' itself).
26. Modified nouns prefer the plural: עשרים ימי מאסר esrim yemey maasar 'twenty days of detention', עשרים ימים סוערים esrim yamim soarim 'twenty stormy days', esrim ha-yamim 'the twenty days'.
27. And when combined with the 'pro-numeral' כמה káma 'how many?'.

\section*{Chapter 40}
1. A few verbs lack some or all of these inflections, and often fill in with other roots or patterns (see suppletives, 40.16). Some may even make use of the verb for 'be' (היה haya) as a 'helper' verb in its past or future tense for constructing their past or future
tense, just like adjectives. But as long as they cannot use this 'helper' verb in the infinitive too (להיות liyot), they must still be regarded as verbs rather than adjectives:


See also further on in this subsection.
2. By contrast, many nouns and adjectives (chs 38,41 ) have no pattern or root, e.g. בנק bank 'bank', פורמלי formáli 'formal'.
3. Certain roots have a 'zero' consonant in certain forms or patterns; see 40.21 and subsequent sections.
4. Strictly speaking, root 'meaningfulness' is a matter of degree, but hard to quantify; it depends on how one defines polysemy, and on the number of binyanim in which a meaning appears.
5. Where exactly consonant clusters (as in flirtet) occur in such patterns is explained in 40.3.1.

There are several families of defective roots, which occasionally omit a consonant; details are given in 40.21-23.
6. These roots are used for verbs and derived nouns of patterns 5-7 (see 40.3).
7. Several two-consonant roots (see 40.18) are of this type.
8. \(D\) is sometimes 'hard' \(p\) and sometimes 'soft' \(f ; \nu\) is represented by', though often silent.
9. As the vowel pattern of this binyan is occasionally -a-e- or rarely \(-a-o\)-, the term ' \(k a l\) ' has its advantages.
10. Some foreignisms go one better: they can have a consonant+consonant cluster in the middle slot in pi'el, pu'al, hitpa'el as in (1) below, and two consonants in the middle slot of hif 'il and huf 'al (2); rarely, the first slot has a cluster (3):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (1) -i-e- & Hintresh & 'talk rubbish' & חינטרש \\
\hline (2) hi--i- & hishprits & 'spray' & השפריץ \\
\hline (3) -i-e- & flirtet & 'flirt' & פלירטט \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
11. Even as such, there are often differences in meaning and use between the one-word binyan and the analytical alternatives: המית hemit 'put to death' signifies direct causation, unlike התרם למות garam lamut 'cause to die'; nor can it apply to, say, trees. התר אה hitra'a signifies a particular kind of 'seeing one another' - not, for example, 'see one another in the newspaper'.
12. Also 'have a meeting (with someone)'.
13. In the case of nif 'al, less frequently.
14. Also 'cause to flee'.
15. Also 'tell, recount'.
16. These binyanim have other, less common meanings, e.g. hif'il can denote 'becoming': הווריד hivrid 'become pink'. Some binyanim overlap, e.g. pi'el can be causative like hif 'il.
17. Exceptions include עצר atsar 'stop' (transitive and intransitive), inchoative רזה raza 'to slim' (cf, the adjective ער raze 'slim') and the perfectives שי yashav 'sit down'
(cf. ישב yashav 'be sitting'), שכב shaHav 'lie down' (also 'be lying down') and לבש lavash 'put on' (also 'wear').
18. Occasionally passive for other binyanim, e.g. האשים heeshim 'blame' \(\rightarrow\) נאשם neesham 'be blamed'; אילץ ilets 'compel' \(\rightarrow\) נאלץ neelats 'be compelled'.
19. See also use (2).
20. לחם laHam too means 'fight'.
21. Casual usage is sparing in its use of passives in general (see ch. 14). Thus its main use of huf'al, pu'al and passive nif 'al is as adjectival participles (see immediately below), so that מורכב murkav will mean 'composite' more often than 'is being put together' (its meaning as a present tense verb).
22. The exact semantics of each verb is largely determined by the noun involved.
23. This is one of a subgroup of verbs of 'removal'.
24. Even השתכר histaker 'earn', which does exceptionally take a direct object, takes an indefinite one so that no et shows up.
25. Though type (a) involves a change in transitivity and type (b) a change in aspect, both yield a 'dynamic' verb and both emphasize autonomy of action. Among stative exceptions: גאה ge'e 'proud' \(\rightarrow\) התגאה hitga'e 'take pride in', בייש biyesh 'shame' \(\rightarrow\) התבייש hitbayesh 'be ashamed'.
26. The verbs on which these are based may be of various binyanim; they all take direct objects.
27. Exceptions include: התבט hitbate 'express oneself', התכונ hitkonen 'ready oneself', הצטדק hitstadek 'justify oneself', התמסר hitmaser 'devote oneself'. Occasionally, reflexives are causative-reflexive, e.g. הצטלם hitstalem 'have oneself photographed'.
28. Exceptions: \(-e\) verbs of nif'al and muf'al (CVCCe) take \(-t\). (These and pu'al and hitpa'el, e.g. מושווה mushve 'compared', מפונה mefune 'cleared', משתנה mishtane 'change', can vacillate casually between \(-a\) and \(-t\).)
29. However, two-consonant verbs (see 40.18) stress the syllable preceding the suffix in the past tense and, in casual usage, in present tense feminine singular, e.g. קמה káma 'arose', קמו kámu ‘arose (pl.)', and קמה káma 'arises’(c) vs. קמה kamá ‘arises' (F).
30. Several verbs, of no particular form or semantic type, have \(a\) instead of \(o\) : in (3)-(5), e.g. שככ shaHav 'lie'; or in (2)-(4), e.g. גדל gadal 'grow', חסר Hasar 'lack'; or in (3)-(4), e.g. למד lamad 'study'.
31. With suffix: (גודר) \(\operatorname{godr}(o)\) etc.
32. Exception: imperatives usually have the same vowels as future tense, even though they have no prefix.
33. For stress, see 40.10 .
34. This vowel is exceptional.
35. Or perhaps -i-e-, like pi'el, with \(i \rightarrow a\) through proximity to the \(h t\) prefix.
36. Often a 'missing' tense does exist in some literary or substandard usage, not dealt with here.

We do not consider missing imperatives, as these are quite generally specialized (ch.28).
37. יכול yaHol arguably draws its future tense too from a different binyan, namely huf'al. The usual paradigm of יכול yaHol is:
```

Past YaHólti, yaHólta, yaHolt, (usually)
haya yaHol, yaHla, yaHólnu,
yaHóltem, yaHlu
pres yaHol, yeHola, yeHolim, yeHolot
FuT uHal, tuHal etc

```
```

        יכולתי, יכולת, יכולת,
    היה יכול, יכלה, יכולתולו, יכו,
        יכולתם, יכלו
    , יכול, יכולה, יכוכ, יכולים, יכולות
אוכל, תוכל...

```
38. The combined paradigm is generally as follows (note that הצטרך hitstareH is not used in any tense for 'it is necessary to'):
```

PAST hitstareH הצטרך or haya tsariH היה (and (and their inflections)
Pres tsariH... צרי.... צ
Fut yitstareH... .....
inF lehitstareH להצטרך

```
39. The usual paradigm is thus:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline PAST & \begin{tabular}{l}
Hayiti, Hayita, Hayit, Hay, Hayta/Háya, \\
Hayinu, Hayítem, Háyu
\end{tabular} & חייתי, חיית, חיית, חי, חיתה/חיה, חיינו, חייתם, חיו \\
\hline Pres & Hay, Háya, Hayim, Hayot & חי, חיה, חיים, חיות \\
\hline FUT & eHye etc. & אחיה... \\
\hline Inf & liHyot & לחיות \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
40. Except in literary usage.
41. Suppleted by the pi'el verb
42. For the meaning 'need', see note 38 . For the meaning 'it is necessary', the helper verb haya is added in other tenses.
43. Suppleted by the verb היה haya.
44. There are three major types of exception for certain patterns and inflections:
(1) In pi'el, pu'al and hitpa'el as the middle root consonant are usually hard, e.g. חיבר Hiber ‘join', חובר Hubar 'be joined'.
(2) In one particular binyan, nif'al, ב, ב, כ, as first root consonant are hard in future, imperative and infinitive (these three forms are altogether very similar in nif 'al, cf. 40.12), e.g. ייבחן yibaHen 'will be tested', להיבחן lehibaHen 'to be tested'. Additionally, in hif'il and huf'al (ב, כ, פ, as first root consonant are hard throughout a few two-consonant verbs (cf. 40.18), e.g. הכיר hikir 'know', הביע hibia 'express', as against הפיג hefig 'relieve'.
(3) In any binyan, ב, כ, פ as last root consonant are soft in almost every case, e.g. נושבים noshvim 'blow', מנשבים menashvim 'blow'.
Many nouns and adjectives are exceptional in this way, and only some for evident morphological reasons, e.g. חיבור Hibur 'joint'. In particular, after an initial consonant, 'soft' is the rule: כפר kfar 'village', שבורים shvurim 'broken'.
45. Thus contrast התחבר hitHaber 'be joined' with התחבר hitHaver 'befriend' (cf. חבר Haver 'friend').
46. Certain hif'il verbs, e.g. הכיר hikir 'know', הגיע higia 'arrive', הגיד higid 'tell', though traditionally classed as \(n\) - initial roots because of their \(i\) prefix vowel (and 'hard' , ב, כ, פ), are today best considered as deriving from exceptional two-consonant roots (casually, they often have the \(-e\) - prefix vowel typical of two-consonant hif'il verbs, e.g. מכיר, מגיע mekir, megia etc.) So too some twenty hif'il verbs, e.g. הגן hegen 'defend', המס hemes 'melt', הקל מekel 'lighten', traditionally classed as 'doubled consonant' roots (.ן.נ.ג g.n.n, . ס.ס.מ m.s.s. etc.). Few have a clearly related verb etc. with such a doubled consonant. Actually, a few verbs that are indeed traditionally classed as having a twoconsonant root do have a related verb (in pi'el and /or hitpa'el) with a doubled consonant: קט kam ‘arise' - קומם komem 'arouse', עע af ‘fly' > עופף ofef ‘fly', while a few yield a pi'el or hitpa'el that has an added \(-y\) - or \(-v\) - for middle root consonant, e.g. קיים kiyem 'maintain', התדיין hitdayen 'litigate'.

As regards inflection, the second vowel is \(e\), not \(i\) - except that before present, and 1st, 2nd person past suffixes, the vowel becomes regular: הגנתי...הגנו, מגן...מגינות, יגן hegánti...hegénu, megen...meginot, yagen 'defend'.
47. In two particular verb types, even gutturals followed by a full vowel cause upsets:
(1) For many, especially in formal usage: before \(\urcorner, \mathcal{N}\) as a middle root letter in pi'el or in \(p u\) 'al, the high vowels \(i, u\) become \(e\), o respectively, e.g. צירף seref 'combine', מפואר mefo'ar 'luxurious' (and always in related nouns: צירוף tseruf 'combination'). Similarly, \(i \rightarrow e\) in FUT, Imp, INF of nif'al, before להיחתם yeradem 'will fall asleep', לירדם tealets 'will be forced', leheHatem 'to be signed'.
(2) After gutturals as middle root letters in fut of pa'al, \(o \rightarrow a\), e.g. ינאם yin'am 'will speak', יבחן yivHan 'will test' (optional casually for \(n\) ).
48. Casually (1) there is no vowel-copying after \(n H\); (2) there need be no \(i \rightarrow e\) in hif' \(i l\) before \(n H\), e.g. החליט hiHlit.
49. \(\kappa\),which has 'guttural' effects within the word and occasionally (cf. above) at the end, has exceptional effects before suffixes beginning with a consonant or short vowel: (a) In 1st, 2nd past tense forms, \(a \rightarrow e\) : נקראתי nikréti ‘I was called’, רופאת rupéta 'you were cured' etc. Exception: pa'al קר \(k\) קaráti 'I called' etc.; (b) Present feminine singular suffix \(e t \rightarrow t\) :קוראת koret 'call', נקראת nikret 'am called' etc.
50. In other phonologically identical (but morphologically different) cases, \(n\) - cannot

51. An exception is ליפול lipol 'to fall'.
52. A few other \(y\)-roots do not change their vowels to \(e\), and they maintain the \(y\) - on paper at least - though it is not pronounced in the forms in question. Hence, e.g.:


However, יצק yatsak 'pour' and ירש yarash 'inherit' are maverick in the infinitive: לצקת latêeket, לרשת laréshet.
53. This process is no longer productive, hence the nif'al of ri yazam 'initiate' is ניזם nizam.
54. Casually יינמס yinames, infinitive even more generally להינמס lehinames (as if n.m.s.).
55. A few relate to two-consonant verbs, e.g. התגורר ~ gar 'live' hitgorer 'stay' (see 40.18).

\section*{Chapter 41}
1. Adjectives can sometimes function like nouns while not intrinsically nouns themselves: גבוה gavóa 'tall' can mean 'a tall one' provided a specific noun is implied; otherwise it has none of the qualities of a noun as listed below, and is quite different from words such as מבוגר mevugar 'adult, an adult', קדוש kadosh 'sacred, a saint' which are adjectives and nouns.
2. The circumstances in which nouns too do without הוא hu 'is' are complex; see 16.3.
3. There are a handful of exceptions, e.g. מלא male 'full (of )', שווה shave 'worth'; see 15.5.2.
4. An exception is תפוס tafus 'occupied'.

Thus examples like the following involve a present tense pu'al verb, not a past participle (which would indeed be adjectival):
anáHnu muzmanim al-yedey ha-iriya
אנחנו מוזמנים על-ידי העירייה
we are-invited by the municipality
5. Apparent exceptions: מפואר mefo'ar 'luxurious', מפורט meforat 'detailed' and a few others (with middle \(א\) or \(\urcorner\) ) are often distinct from מפואר mefo'ar 'embellished' (c: mefu'ar) and מפורט meforat 'specified' (c: mefurat) etc. The latter are truly verbbased, the former no longer.
6. Like many adjectives, these can relate both to persons and to 'looks, behaviour' and the like: מבט כועס mabat ko'es 'an angry look'.
7. The past tense of all these adjectives is (תואם) היה haya (to'em) 'was (compatible)' etc.
8. Unless context suggests otherwise, (d), (e) are taken as adjectives. For 'ongoing action', not the passive but the active verb (including hitpa'el) is preferred.
9. Exceptions include מיטלטל mitaltel 'portable' and מידבק midabek 'contagious'.
10. These examples also illustrate the variations in the vowels or consonants caused by 'anomalous root types' involving gutturals etc. (see 40.18-23).
11. This has soft or hard \(\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Z}\), depending on whether they are soft or hard in the noun 'base'.
12. Also: 'asterisked'.
13. The active hifil verb here happens to mean 'cause wonder'.
14. These are indirectly related to nif al verbs meaning 'be desired' and 'it is possible (+ infinitive)'.
15. Patterns (1) and (2), where verb-based, are close enough to verbs to take adjectival adverbs (other verb-based patterns do not): shatuf/menugav yafe 'washed/dried nicely' שטוף/מנוגב יפה
16. Formal pronunciation: ratov (pattern (4)).
17. Occasional use is also made of phrases with the particle בר bar (f.s. בת bat, m.pl. בני bney) + action noun, e.g. בר-ביצוע bar-bitsua 'achievable', בר-הוכחה barhoHaHa 'provable'. By contrast, בר-אכילה bar-aHila and בר-חילוף bar-Hiluf are not commonly used for 'edible, exchangeable'.
18. קביל kaval 'complain'.
19. However, (אחור) שמור) shHor (im) 'black', (im) 'grey'. This pattern often overlaps with (2). For כבוד ~ כבודים CaCoC \(\sim \operatorname{CCoCim}\), see (16).
20. Some exceptions: לבן lavan 'white', חום Hum 'brown', ' בקו bezh 'beige'.
21. Arguably exceptions: חיוור Hiver 'pale', עיקש ikesh 'stubborn'.
22. Syntactic reasons will be given for not regarding these as prefixes in the full sense of the word.
23. These are also used as 'personality' nouns; see 38.2 , pattern (14).
24. By contrast, parallel forms with \(>-i\) are used of 'things' (see pattern (11)): nisayon akshani 'a stubborn attempt' ניסיון עקשני be-ófen akshani 'in stubborn fashion' באופן עקשני
25. This often looks identical to pattern (11) of the CaCCan \(+i\) kind, e.g. עקשני akshani 'stubborn'.
26. Contrast תעשייתי taasiyati 'industrial’ (תעשייתו taasiyato), קדחתני kadaHtani 'feverish' (קדחתו kadaHto), חדרתי Haderáti 'from Hadera' (חדרה Hadéra).
 עתונאי itona'i 'journalistic' (עתונאות itona'ut 'journalism'), בנקאי banka'i 'bank...' ( ( - - \(-a i\) endings belong to (ii) above, and some to the אי -a'i suffix in (10) below.
Some exceptions to the whole adjustment rule: עממי amami 'popular’ (ע am), איטליה (שמיים shamáyim), איטים shmeymi 'heavenly' שמימי 'Italki 'Italian' itálya).
28. This sometimes looks identical to the לטפני latfani or עקשני akshani patterns \((7,11)\).
29. From countries: תוניסאי tunisái ‘Tunisian’ (תוניס tunis ‘Tunisia'), מרוקאי marokái 'Moroccan’ (מרוקו maróko). Note the stress.
30. Do not confuse with יבי-ivi, ל--áli and other one-piece suffixes (18-19).
31. However, even אמריקאי amerikái ‘American', הולנדי holándi ‘Dutch', מרוקאי marokái 'Moroccan' and a few others in this 'Jewish realm' fail to stress their,\(-i\) (sometimes shifting the stress within the stem).
32. י-i on all foreignisms might best be seen as integral to the word. Thus דמוקרטי demokráti is stressed as an aati adjective, without reference to דמוקרט demokrat - and could be listed in 41.4 alongside פרקטי prákti 'practical' etc. (18).
33. An exception is חיפאי Heyfa'i 'of Haifa' (חיפה Heyfa).
34. But, e.g. שקספירי shekspiri' 'Shakespearian'. In addition, certain other 'relational' suffixes are used with some foreignisms (and not just borrowed along with them), e.g.
-ivi יבי -:
sportívi
'sporting'
ספורטיבי
-iáli יאלי:- studentiáli 'of students' סטודנטיאלי
36. Contrast pattern (3).
37. Belonging to this pattern but with variations due to gutturals: raHav 'wide'.
38. This is occasionally a present tense verb pattern (15).

Belonging to this pattern but with variations due to gutturals is חסר Haser 'missing'.
39. Unlike other derivational prefixes and suffixes, such as in תחפושת taHpóset 'fancy dress' and עצבני atsbani 'uptight', these can be detached from the 'base' in coordination: reHov Had- o du-sitri

רחוב חד- או דו-סטרי
'a one- or two-way street'
They are thus semi- (or 'quasi-') prefixes. This is even more evident when they are prefixed to nouns, e.g. דו-המשמעות du-ha-mashma'ut 'the ambiguity'. (See note 42).
40. Or eropi.
41. Exceptionally, a point-of-compass noun + adjective is used as a base:


Similarly, ים-תיכוני yam-tiHoni 'mediterranean'.
42. By contrast, \(-\boldsymbol{-} h a\) - in the underlying noun phrase can come between prefix and noun (see 38.4):
ha-tat-hakara/tat-ha-hakara
the sub conscious/sub the conscious
התת-הכרה/תת-ההכרה
43. A similar suffix is לחמצה lemeHtsa:
mevudad lemeHtsa 'semi-insulated’ מבודד למחצה
44. See also negatives (29.8).
45. -אל al- (as opposed to - על al- 'super-') is rare.
46. ת \(\boldsymbol{\text { tat }}\) is far more prevalent with phrase-based adjectives (41.5.1).
47. By contrast, many nouns - though masculine - have -ot in the plural; and some feminine nouns have -im (see ch.39). This does not affect adjectives: they respond to the gender of their noun, not to its endings, hence אבות חדשים avot Hadashim 'new fathers'.
48. The choice of ending depends on the adjective pattern.
49. The feminine is exceptional in a few arbitrary adjectives, not directly verb-based, notably:

Sub-type
(a): בודדה bodeda 'lonely', שוממה/שוממת shomema / shomémet 'waste';
(b): ממתמדת matmédet 'steady (increase...)', ממארת mam'éret 'malignant', מספקת maspéket 'sufficient';
(e): מופלאה mufla'a ‘wondrous', מוכרחה muHraHa 'must', מוזרה muzara 'odd', מוכנה muHana 'ready', מוצקה mutsaka ‘solid';
(f): נכבדה niHbada 'respectable', נפלאה nifla'a 'wonderful', נחמדה neHmada 'nice', נאמנה neemana 'loyal', נוראה nora'a 'awful' (also casually נוראי ~ nora'i ~ nora'it ).
50. This includes reduplicative pattern (8): its suffix, having fixed vowels, need not be considered a suffix.
51. Minor exceptions: (i) foreignisms take ית, -ים, --it, -im, -ot, e.g. מבסוט mabsut ‘happy', זיפת zift ‘bad', פיור fer ‘fair’; (ii) מת ~ מתה, חי ~ חיה met méta 'dead', Hay ~ Háya 'alive', where stress is as in matching verb; (iii) sundry: אכזר ~ אכזרית muat ~ mu'ata/sometimes mu'étet 'little', מועט ~ מועטה/מועטת
 טיפשה pikéaH ~ pikHit 'clever', pattern (8) (with human associations) קטנטונת ktantónet 'tiny', שמנמונת shmanmónet 'plump', שמחרחורת shHarHóret 'swarthy'.
52. Abbreviation of הנאמר למעלה ha-neemar lemáala.
53. יהודי yehudi 'Jewish' obligatorily takes - - \(a\) for feminine.
54. Suffixed adjectives do not act as adverbs either: דבר איטי**daber iti ('speak slow'). Apparently, being 'derived' adjectives (usually), they are 'protected' against these 'marginal' adjectival roles.
55. Where the feminine singular adjective already ends in \(\Omega--t\), its ending is unchanged in the 'construct': שורצת shorétset 'swarming' (free or construct).

\section*{Chapter 42}
1. These and certain other prepositions have a wide range of meanings and functions, so the gloss is selective.
2. By contrast, verbs governing a direct object usually have an intervening preposition את et when the direct object is a suffix, e.g. ר רו את ra'u etHem 'they saw you' (occasionally ראוכם reuHem, but never an unsuffixed object pronoun: או או אתם *ra’u atem ); and most nouns have the choice (register etc. permitting) between direct suffix and intervening של shel + suffix: חברכם/החבר שלכם HaverHem / he-Haver shelaHem 'your friend' (but never unsuffixed ללם שבר אתם *Haver atem).
3. לולא/אלמלא lule / ilmale 'were it not for' falls in between being a preposition and a conjunction. Like the former it introduces nouns; like the latter (e.g. אם im 'if') it introduces clauses without an introductory \(-ש\) she-; but unlike both, it introduces pronouns without converting them to suffixes, e.g.:
lule hu 'were it not for him' לולא הוא
4. The feminine plural forms have been omitted.
5. Casually also bishvilaH, bishvilánu, bishvilaHem, bishvilahem by analogy with blebelow.
6. Literary usage also allows בם bam.
7. Thus עם im 'with' has two paradigms, one strictly formal and the other for any usage.
8. Substandard alternative: oteH .
9. Casual alternative: אות otHem. The feminine plural, being inherently formal, is אתכן etHen.
10. Thus the 2 nd plural ending is exceptional in taking the stress. The same is true of 2nd plural 0 - -tem in verbs, in formal usage.
11. Casual alternative: kamóhem.
12. Casual alternative: miméno, making it distinct from miménu 'from us'.
13. Formally also מאתנ meitánu (cf. literary מאת me'et 'from, by') as distinct from ממנו miménu 'from him'.
14. Casual alternative: ממכם mimHem.
15. Formally either מן min (before - ha- 'the') or mi-, otherwise only mi-. Inflection turns מן min to ממן mim-.
16. Used with verbs of 'fear (of)' and the like.
17. The 2nd and 3rd plural is pronounced aleHem, alehem puristically (making it identical to עליכם, עליהם aleHem, alehem ), and otherwise eleHem, elehem.
18. בינם benam is used for 3rd plural in the idiom בינם לבין עצמם benam leven atsmam 'among themselves'.
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\hline אותו & 9.2, 9.5-6, 12.4 & 777 & 26.10, 29.10, 29.13 \\
\hline \% & 24.3 & & \\
\hline אחד & \(7.8,8.9 .3,8.12,9.2\) & \(\pi\) & 5, 6.2, 6.8.3, 8.9.5, 9.3.1, \\
\hline אחד את השני & 7.13 & & 12, 33.5, 36.4-5 \\
\hline אחרי ארנ & 13.8.1, 32.3 & האם & 26.2, 26.7.2 \\
\hline - \(\times\) & 29.8 & ההוא & \(9.2,12.4\) \\
\hline איזה & 8.6.1, 9.2, 12.4, 26.6, 27.2 & הוא & 7.3.1, 16, 18.2.4, 18.3, \\
\hline איך & 26.3, 27.2, 31.2.5 & & 33.4.1, 37.9 \\
\hline K & 16.2, 16.9-10, 18.2, 29.2, & היה & 13.7, 16, 31.3 \\
\hline & 29.5-7 & הכי & 8.12, 20.2.2 \\
\hline איצה ש & 33.4.2 & הלוואי & 13.12 .2 \\
\hline איש & 8.6.1, 26.10 & תרך ל & 13.5 \\
\hline אך & 22.3, 35.14 & הללו & \(9.2,9.5,12.4\) \\
\hline אֵַ & 29.3 & תן...והן & 22.6 \\
\hline אֵ\% & 14.6, 15 & הגו & 16.2 \\
\hline אלא & 22.4.3, \(35.14,35.16\) & הםך & 18.5 .2 \\
\hline אלא-אם-כן & 32.11.2, 32.11.5 & & \\
\hline אם & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13.9, \quad 13.12 .1,22.5, \quad 26.7 .2 \\
& 32.2 .2, \quad 32.11
\end{aligned}
\] & 1 & 13.8.3, 32.4, 33.7, 35.2-7 \\
\hline אם בי & 32.12 & צאת & 7.5 \\
\hline א| & 9.2, 22.4, 29.9 & ता & 7.3-4, 7.7, 7.11, 9.2, 9.5, \\
\hline אף אחרד & 8.6.1, 29.10-11, 29.13 & & 12.4, 16, 17.3, 18.2.4, 18.3.2, \\
\hline אף פעם & 29.10-11 & & 18.6.4, 19.3.5, 31.5, 37.9.2 \\
\hline אםילו & 22 & זה את זה & 7.13 \\
\hline אשר & \[
30.1-2,32.11 .4,33.3-4
\] & ש & \(7.7,31.1 .2,34.5\) \\
\hline אูู & \[
5.1,8.5 .2,9.3,14.6,15,19.5
\] & & \\
\hline אַתה & 7.3.1, 7.11, 28.5 & חוסר & 29.8 \\
\hline & & חוץ ם & 23.5 \\
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\hline ב בוטן & \(13.8 .3,32.3,32.7\) & & \\
\hline בטา & \(13.10,32.3\) & \(コ\) & 15, 21.9, 32.6.2 \\
\hline ביךִ & 14.7 & כאילו & 13.12.3, 32.5.2, 32.6.2 \\
\hline ביותר & 20.2.2 & כאשר & 13.8.3, 32.3-4 \\
\hline בין...ובין & 22.5 & כרי & 13.9, 32.8-9 \\
\hline בלי & \(13.10,29.12,32.13\) & כולל & 23.5 \\
\hline בלתי & 29.8 & כזה & 7.6, 7.8, 9.2, 9.5-6, \\
\hline בטקום & \(13.10,32.13\) & & 12.4, 20.2.2 \\
\hline בעוד & 13.8.3, 32.3, 32.7 & כי & 13.9, 30.1-2, 32.10 \\
\hline בעל & 6.19 & בי אם & 35.16 \\
\hline בצורה & 21.4.1 & כך & 7.5-6, 8.9.5, 19.3.5, \\
\hline בשביל & 13.9, 32.8 & & 32.5.3, 32.6.4, 34.5 \\
\hline בשעה ש & 13.8.3, 32.3, 32.7 & צך & 32.9 \\
\hline בתור & 21.9 & ככל ש & 20.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline כל & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8.5 .2,8.8 .2,8.12, \\
& 9.2,26.10,29.9,36.8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline כל－כך & 20.5 \\
\hline כל כטה ש & 32.6 .3 \\
\hline כלום & 29．10， 29.13 \\
\hline כלשהו & 9．2，12．4，26．10， 29.9 \\
\hline כטח & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8.7,8.9 .5,20.2 .2, \\
& 26.6,27.2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline コロ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 9.2,9.6,20.4,32.5 .1 \text {, } \\
& 32.6 .1,32.6 .4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline コ & \(7.5,19.3 .5,24.3,32.2\) \\
\hline בם & 32．5．1，32．6．1， 32.6 .4 \\
\hline コขู & 13．8．3，32．3－4 \\
\hline ל & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5.2 .2,14.6-7,15 \\
& 17.3,19.3 .3,19.5 \\
& 21.10,30.4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline לא & 22．4．3，22．6－7， 29 \\
\hline לו & 13．12．1 \\
\hline לעולם & 29.10 \\
\hline לפני & 13．8．1，13．10， 32.3 \\
\hline ロ & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8.5-6,14.6-7,15, \\
& 19.5,20.4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline in & 13．8．2， 32.3 \\
\hline ถูา & 20.4 \\
\hline טִֶ & 20.5 \\
\hline ถ & 20．2．2，26．3， 33.8 \\
\hline ט שท & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 7.7 .3,13.10,27.3, \\
& 32.11 .4,33.4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline ท & 8．6．1，26．3， 33.8 \\
\hline טי & 7．7．3，27．3， \\
\hline & 32．11．4，33．4．2 \\
\hline טן & \(9.2,9.5\) \\
\hline טישהו & 7．8，8．6．1， 26.10 \\
\hline טלב7 & 23.5 \\
\hline טספיק & 20.5 \\
\hline טעולם & 29.10 \\
\hline טעט & 8．7，8．8．2， 8.15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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[^0]:    Common noun
    Non-definite:
    bilita pésaH be-yisrael?
    בילית פסח ישראל!
    ‘Have you spent 〈a) Passover in Israel?’
    Definite:
    bilíti et ha-pésaH ha-aHaron sham
    ביליתי את הפסח האחרון שם
    'I spent the last Passover there'
    Proper noun
    Definite:
    bilita et pésaH be-yisrael?
    בילית את פסח בישראל!
    'Did you spend Passover in Israel?'

[^1]:    *lamrot ha-driHa la-shHena al ha-déshe.. despite the treading to-the neighbour on the grass...
    *למרות הדריכה לשכנה על הדשא...
    ('Despite treading on the neighbour's grass...')

[^2]:    ma she-lo hitsáti, tamid hiskimu מה שלא הצעתי, תמיד הסכימו
    what that not I-suggested, always they-agreed
    'Whatever I suggested, they always agreed'

[^3]:    yurshe lo leashen
    [it] will-be-permitted to-him to-smoke
    sukam she-neHalek ${ }^{13}$
    [it] was-decided that we'll-share
    יורשה לו לעשן
    'He'll be permitted to smoke'
    'It was decided we'd share'

[^4]:    Notes:
    א אחר־כן aHar-kaH and אחרי־כ 1 אחן aHey-Hen mean both 'after what happened' and 'in a short while' (i.e. 'after now').
    אחרי זה 2

[^5]:    Nouns:
    *zu me'od Hutspa

[^6]:    at mesaHéket Hofshit mi-leHatsim
    את משחקת חופשית מלחצים
    f.s.f.s. f.s.
    you play free from pressures

[^7]:    ha-tinok ratuv -
    ve-láma hu lovesh svéder? ולמה הוא לובש סוודר!
    ve-al tagid she-ani menadnédet! התינוק רטוב - ואל תגיד שאני מנדנדת לור
    'The baby's wet - and why is he wearing a sweater?' and don't say I'm nagging!'

[^8]:    FURTHER READING
    Dahan 1981; Glinert 1978; Ornan 1979a: 4.10; Sadka 1981: ch. 14.

