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| HV | Hōbyot Vocabulary, Nakano 2013 (see Bibliography) |
| H\# | Hearsusi text \# (as published in Stroomer 2004) |
| HL | Harsūsi Lexicon, Johnstone 1977 (see Bibliography) |
| imperf. | imperfect |
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intrans. intransitive
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m masculine
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p plural
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s singular
sg. singular
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SM $\quad$ Saeed al-Mahri (see § 1.8)
SS Salim al-Shahri (see § 1.8)
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subj. subjunctive
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V vowel
$\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{s}) . \quad$ variant(s)
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*
An asterisk marks a reconstructed or underlying form.

## TEXT CITATION

Over $95 \%$ of the approximately 2800 Jibbali examples cited in this book are taken from the texts published in this volume, most of which were collected by T.M. Johnstone. Passages are cited by text number and "line" number, so $35: 11$ refers to Text 35, "line" number 11. I put the word "line" in quotation marks, because the numbered "lines" often run more than one line on the page. The text number is sometimes preceded by a letter or letters, referring to the source of the texts. No letter before a text number indicates that the text is from Ali Musallam, Johnstone's main informant. See $\S 15$ for additional details. An example followed by a set of initials with no number (e.g., SM or AK) refers to an informant (see § 1.8).

## A NOTE ON TRANSCRIPTION

Johnstone's transcription of Jibbali could be quite inconsistent, in both his unpublished manuscripts and in his published works. In this grammar and in my edition of his texts, I have tried to be as consistent as possible. Though Johnstone's system forms the basis of my own transcriptions, I have altered his transcription very frequently, especially his transcriptions of vowels. My own transcription of the consonants is phonemic, though I allow for some allophonic variation in the transcription of vowels, including epenthetic (non-phonemic) a.

As for the system of transcription used for individual consonants, I have followed Johnstone except in three cases. The differences are:

| Johnstone | This Book |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{d}$ | $\partial$ |
| $\underset{d}{d}$ | $\partial$ |
| $\underline{z}$ | $\dot{z}$ |

In the transcription of the texts, a consonant that appears in parentheses, unless otherwise noted, indicates that it is not present in the Arabic-letter manuscript-if such a manuscript exists-and is not pronounced. I have included these letters in parentheses for easier recognition of morphemes and lexemes. An acute accent indicates word stress.

PART ONE

GRAMMAR

## CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

Jibbali is a Semitic language spoken in the coastal towns (e.g., S.alalah, Mirbaț, Țaqah, Sadḥ, Ḥasik, Dalqut) and adjacent mountainous areas of the southwest of Oman (Dhofar Governorate), as well as on the island of Al-Ḥallaniya, the only inhabited island of the Khuriya Muriya (or, AlHallaniyat) group. ${ }^{1}$ The total number of Jibbali speakers is probably between thirty and fifty thousand.

Jibbali is one of six languages known collectively as the Modern South Arabian (MSA) languages, which in turn are part of the Semitic language family. In addition to Jibbali, the MSA languages are Mehri, Soqoṭri, Ḥarsusi, Hobyot, and Bațḥari. ${ }^{2}$ Jibbali, Soqoṭri, and Mehri in turn have a number of dialects, and, in fact, Hearsusi and Baṭhari can be considered dialects of Mehri. ${ }^{3}$ The established term 'Modern South Arabian' can be misleading, since these languages are not to be closely connected with Arabic, or the set of languages collectively called Old South Arabian (OSA). The linguistic domain of the MSA languages is restricted to eastern Yemen, western Oman, and the island groups of Soqoṭra and Khuriya Muriya. None of the MSA languages has a tradition of writing, and they have been known to Europeans only since the 19th century.

### 1.1 Previous Scholarship on Jibbali

The existence of Jibbali was first brought to the attention of Europeans by Fulgence Fresnel (1795-1855), the French consul in Jeddah. ${ }^{4}$ In a series of articles in 1838, Fresnel described numerous phonetic and grammatical

[^0]features, including some verb paradigms, and even gave a translation of part of a biblical verse (Genesis 37:2) in Jibbali. ${ }^{5}$ Though Soqoṭri data had been published by Wellsted a few years earlier, ${ }^{6}$ it was Fresnel who first recognized the existence of a new branch of the Semitic language family, ${ }^{7}$ and so Fresnel's work really marks the beginning of Modern South Arabian studies. Fresnel's data have been the subject of several subsequent studies, including Rödiger (1840), Gesenius (1841), ${ }^{8}$ Halévy (1869), and Yushmanov (1930). Lonnet (1991) provides an excellent annotated version of all of Fresnel's relevant works.

As noted above, Jibbali has no tradition of writing, so there is almost no evidence of the language prior to its description by Fresnel. Serjeant and Wagner (1959) discovered a couple of Jibbali phrases and a handful of individual words in a 16th-century Arabic text that constitutes the oldest known Jibbali material. Even in the 175 years since Fresnel's publications, published material on Jibbali has been rather scarce.
J.G. Hulton (died 1836), an assistant surgeon in the British Indian Navy, collected a list of about a hundred words in the Jibbali dialect of the island of Al-Hallaniya in 1836; this list was published posthumously in 1840, along with an important description of the island (and neighboring islands) and its inhabitants. ${ }^{9}$ Hulton's data actually pre-date those of Fresnel, though they were published later. His data, then, constitute the earliest Jibbali recorded by a European, and they also remain the only published data on the dialect of Al-Ḥallaniya.

Heinrich von Maltzan (1826-1874), who is known for his travels in the Arab world, and who produced some lengthy studies of Mehri, reproduced some of Hulton's vocabulary (1873: 227-230), and also added some words

[^1]not in Hulton's list. Another list of about a hundred words and forty phrases, along with a translation of Genesis 24:1-7, was published in 1846 by a missionary named (Johann) Ludwig Krapf (1810-1881). ${ }^{10}$ A short text of about sixty-five words, with Mehri and Soqoṭi parallels, was published by Fritz Hommel (1896). Unfortunately, with the exception of the scant material published by Hommel, which was collected by Eduard Glaser (1855-1908), none of the 19th-century data on Jibbali or any other Modern South Arabian language was collected by a language specialist, and so the value and scope of these publications is often limited. ${ }^{11}$

A major turning point in the field of Modern South Arabian studies came in 1898, when several scholarly expeditions to Southern Arabia were launched by the Kaiserliche Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, now called the Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften. ${ }^{12}$ During this expedition (known as the Südarabische Expedition), fieldwork on MSA was carried out by the Austrian scholars David Heinrich Müller (1846-1912), Alfred Jahn (1875-1940?), and, a couple of years later, by Wilhelm Hein (18611903). The team even brought informants to Vienna, including a Jibbalispeaker named Muḥammad bin Selim al-Kathīri, who was in Vienna from May to September, 1904. The result of this team's efforts was a wealth of textual material in Mehri, Jibbali, and Soqoṭri, published between 1902 and 1909, which greatly advanced the field of MSA studies. For Jibbali, the most relevant publication is Müller (1907). From this material collected and published by Müller came the important grammatical studies of Bittner (1913a; 1916a; 1916b; 1917a; 1917b; 1918). Bittner's works remain the most comprehensive published grammatical studies of Jibbali to date. It must be pointed out that the Jibbali material published by Müller and analyzed by Bittner is the least reliable of the data collected by the Viennese team. This is probably in no small part a result of the abilities of Müller's informant, ${ }^{13}$ though there are

[^2]numerous errors in Bittner's analysis that can only be his own. One is also immediately struck in the introduction to Müller's edition of Jibbali texts by the claim that Jibbali is spoken "in the mountains of Dhofar on the Persian Gulf". Of course, Dhofar is on the Indian Ocean, quite a distance from the Persian Gulf. (On the erroneous name of the language given by the informant, see below, § 1.3.)

In 1937, Bertram Thomas (1892-1950) published a sketch of four MSA languages, one of which was Jibbali. ${ }^{14}$ This included the first new data on MSA collected in nearly thirty years. Thomas had had no previous knowledge of the material collected by the Austrian expedition, and so he provides an important independent witness to the languages. Unfortunately, Thomas was not a trained linguist, as he himself readily admits. Like most of his 19thcentury predecessors, he was simply an adventurous traveler with a keen interest in language. ${ }^{15}$ Thomas does have one significant distinction as an amateur linguist, in that he was the first to collect and publish data on Harsusi and Baṭ̣ari, two MSA languages that were previously unknown to the scholarly world. In fact, Thomas's work remains to this day almost the only published work on the nearly-extinct Baț̣̣ari.

In 1953, Ewald Wagner published his highly regarded study of MSA syntax, which, after Bittner's combined work, is the most detailed grammatical study of the MSA languages from the 20th century. Wagner's data all came from the publications of the Austrian expedition (Müller, Jahn, and Hein) and from Thomas's sketch. His work remains valuable for the study of the MSA languages, especially for Mehri, which was the main focus of his study.

Charles D. Matthews (1901-1986), an Arabist by training, worked from 1948 to 1961 in Saudi Arabia with the Arabian-American Oil Company (Aramco, now called Saudi Aramco). For part of this time he was involved in surveying areas of the Empty Quarter in the southeastern part of the country, and there he made the acquaintance of MSA speakers and endeavored to learn something of their languages. He published several articles between 1959 and 1970, though only his 1969 article received wide attention. Matthews was the first 2oth-century scholar to recognize the existence of a definite article in Mehri and Jibbali—a fact that completely eluded Müller

[^3]and Bittner—and to properly understand the elision of labials in Jibbali (see $\S 2.1 .2$ and $\S 2.1 .3) .{ }^{16}$ It is unfortunate that Matthews did not publish more, especially since most of his knowledge was gained in the field.

In the late 1960 and early 1970s, Thomas Muir Johnstone of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, carried out fieldwork on the MSA languages. The results of his efforts were dictionaries of three MSA languages (Ḥarsusi, Jibbali, and Mehri), a number of important articles, and two posthumously published text collections, on Mehri and Harsusi (see Bibliography). Much of Johnstone's material was collected in 1969-1970 in Dubai. Afterward, he was able to bring his most productive informant, Ali Musallam, to London for a year, and he continued to work with Ali in Oman on subsequent visits in the mid-1970s. Ali Musallam, with whom I later corresponded, was a native speaker of Mehri, but learned Jibbali as a child (around age ten). Johnstone also worked closely with a native speaker of Jibbali named Salim Bakhit, ${ }^{17}$ as well as other occasional informants in Oman.

Sadly, Johnstone died in 1983, just one week shy of his fifty-ninth birthday. He managed to see the publication of his Ḥarsusi and Jibbali lexicons, which appeared in 1977 and 1981, respectively, but his Mehri Lexicon was published (riddled with typos) only afterhis death, in 1987. His collections of Mehri and Harsusi texts were published by Harry Stroomer in 1999 and 2004, respectively. Johnstone also collected about sixty-five to seventy Jibbali texts, none of which have been published until now. I obtained copies of Johnstone's Jibbali texts in manuscript form, and it is on these texts, published herein, that this grammar is largely based (see below, § 1.9). Johnstone's Jibbāli Lexicon is today the most important published resource on the language. An English-Jibbali index to that work, compiled by G. Rex Smith, was published as an appendix to the Mehri Lexicon.

Around the same time that Johnstone was active in the field, a Japanese researcher named Aki'o Nakano (1937-2008) was also pursuing field research. The outcome of visits to Yemen in 1971 and 1974 and a stay in Oman in 1974 was a comparative lexicon of Yemeni Mehri, Jibbali, and Soqoṭri, published in 1986. The lexicon has definite value, but must be used with

[^4]some caution. A Hobyot lexicon was published posthumously in 2013, which has the distinction of being the first significant publication ever on the language. ${ }^{18}$

Beginning in 1983, two French scholars, Antoine Lonnet and MarieClaude Simeone-Senelle, made several trips to Yemen to do fieldwork on Mehri, Soqotri, and Hobyot. The result has been a number of important investigative articles and surveys, published both as a team and individually, which have added much to the field of MSA studies. They collected no new data on Jibbali, but each has published studies dealing with comparative issues within MSA that have made good use of earlier Jibbali data. Of particular relevance to Jibbali are Lonnet (1991; 1994a; 1994b).

In 1998, the Dutch scholar Anda (Antje) Hofstede completed a PhD thesis at the University of Manchester, entitled "A Syntax of Jibbāli". Based on Johnstone's Jibbali texts, as well as on fieldwork conducted in Oman and with Omani informants in Great Britain, her work is in many ways the most thorough study of Jibbali to date. She also included three of Johnstone's texts (16, 28, and 35) as an appendix. Unfortunately, the work has never been published, and Dr. Hofstede has retired from academia. ${ }^{19}$

There have also been several works devoted to the flora of Oman that have included a fair number of terms in Jibbali, namely, Miller and Morris (1988), Morris (2002), and Ghazanfar (1992; 2003; 2007). ${ }^{20}$ Miller and Morris include not only terms for flora, but also some terms for cultural items. The lexical items in these works are a nice supplement to Johnstone's Jibbāli Lexicon, though it must be noted that the transcription of Jibbali in Ghazanfar (1992) is very loose (e.g., tik for tek 'wild fig tree [ficus vasta]'). Janzen (1986) also includes a small number of words related to culture and the economy, though these are scattered throughout his book.

There has been at least one work on Jibbali published by a native speaker, Ali Ahmed Al-Shahri (2000), which is written partly in Arabic, partly in English. The work is not academic, and contains some controversial information on the ancient history of the region, but it does include some wordlists in Jibbali (which he calls Šaḥri), as well as over two hundred Jibbali

[^5]proverbs. The Jibbali transcriptions, both in Arabic and Roman characters, are not always easy to follow (for a non-native), but the English translations are helpful. The same author published an earlier book on the Dhofar region (1994), focusing mainly on rock art, epigraphy, and archeological material, but which includes several pages of Jibbali word-lists, some of which include comparison with other Semitic languages (1994: 333-337). ${ }^{21}$ Despite the limitations of both books, they contain a wealth of cultural information and numerous color photographs and illustrations, and the author is to be commended for his promotion of the Jibbali language.

There have also been three unpublished theses on Jibbali by Omanis. Mohammed Al-Mashani, a Jibbali speaker, wrote a dissertation on the lexicon in comparison with Arabic (1999), including some lexical items not found in $J L$. Another native speaker, Salim Al-Shahri, wrote a thesis a bit broader in scope (2007), though entirely in Arabic. Of particular note are the two dozen or so maps indicating isoglosses (mainly lexical) among the dialects. Finally, Khalsa Al Aghbari, an Omani, but not a Jibbali speaker, wrote a dissertation on Jibbali (2012). Her study is limited to an analysis of noun plurals, for which she takes a synchronic, theoretical approach. ${ }^{22}$

### 1.2 The Name "ibbali"

The language nowadays usually called Jibbali has been known to scholars by a variety of names. Fresnel, who first brought the language to the attention of Europeans (see above, §1.1) called it Ehbili. This is based on the word aḥklí (pl. aḥkló), the native name used to designate tribal speakers of this language. The term ạhklí contrasts with śherí (pl. śḥeró), which refers to non-tribal speakers, who in former times were relegated to a subservient social status. ${ }^{23}$ The low status of the śheró can be seen in the comment of Fresnel, who says that ahklí is the name of "la race noble qui parle ... cet idiome", but that śḥrrí is the "nom générique des vilains qui parlent la même langue". ${ }^{24}$ Thomas (1937:7-8) recounts the local tradition (still widely

[^6]known) that the śheró were the original inhabitants of the area, who later came under the subjugation of the a h $k$ ló. ${ }^{25}$

Thomas used the name Shahari (= Šahri), which is an Arabized form of native Śḥeri. The variant Šibri has also been used by some scholars. Johnstone also used the name Śḥgri in his earlier publications. The name Śḥeri and its Arabized variants are legitimate and appropriate designations, as many native speakers use or have used the term śharēt for their language, ${ }^{26}$ and one of the local Arabic names is aš-šahriyyah. ${ }^{27}$ In fact, most of my informants still prefer this designation. However, since śherí is an ethnonym referring to only some speakers, and since the term has connotations pertaining to a lowly social status, one could argue that Śḥrri is not an ideal choice as a name for the language.

The publications that came out of the Viennese South Arabian Expedition used the name Šhauri, which is a peculiar name that was possibly a creation of Müller's lone informant, Muḥammad bin Selim (see § 1.1). Some have taken Šhauri to be an erroneous form of şherí. ${ }^{28}$ In fact, the name probably reflects the root šxr, which can have the sense of 'weak, poor' (cf. šáxar 'old man'). ${ }^{29}$ The Arabic cognate root $s x r$ is connected to the idea of subjugation (e.g., Arabic saxxara 'subjugate, make subservient', suxrat- 'forced labor'). Müller (1907: vii) explains that his informant defined Šhauri as 'poor, weak', in opposition to kabili 'tribal' (since the tribes subjugated the nontribal Dhofaris). ${ }^{30}$ Perhaps Muḥammad was providing a folk etymology for śherrí, conflating its root with the somewhat similar root šxr. See below on the actual etymology.

The Arabic equivalent of the ethnonym ahklí is qarāwi (pl. qarā), and in 19th-century publications one occasionally finds the language called Qarawi (also Grauwi, Ḳarawi). Fresnel's Eḥkili was also used by some later scholars, sometimes in the variant form Ḥakili. However, the terms Eḷkili and Qarawi, like Śḥeri, are too restrictive.

The term Jibbali, based on Arabic jibāl 'mountains', is today used by most scholars. This term was introduced and popularized in its English form

[^7]by T.M. Johnstone, though the Arabic term al-jabbāliyyah existed in local Arabic dialects already. ${ }^{31}$ Its nativized Jibbali equivalent, gabl $\bar{\varepsilon} t$, is also the term used today by many Jibbali speakers; cf. also Jibbali gablí 'mountain man'. It is true that the word śherí can also be translated 'mountain man', as it is historically based on the word śhekr 'fertile area of the mountains', but śherí has the above-mentioned negative connotations that gablí does not have.

Still today, native speakers and locals disagree, sometimes passionately, on whether Jibbali or Šaḥri (or Śḥcri) is the better name for the language. Those who are śh̨cró (often with the family name Al-Šaḥri) tend to prefer Šaḥri/śḥərēt, not surprisingly. My aḥklí informants preferred Jibbali/gabl̄̄t. The former group were the more sensitive about the name.

### 1.3 Dialects of Jibbali

There are three principal Jibbali dialect groups, which Johnstone (1981: xii), called Western (WJ), Central (CJ), and Eastern Jibbali (EJ). This tripartite division, based on the geography of the region, ${ }^{32}$ is one that is also recognized by native speakers themselves. Natives, of course, have their own notions of the other dialects, rightly or wrongly. For example, Johnstone was told that WJ made "excessive" use of diminutives. One informant of mine (a self-designated speaker of CJ) thought that EJ used "very old words and phrases". One EJ informant said that Western Jibbali is "not good", and that I should not depend on it. Two other informants of mine that I interviewed together, one a CJ speaker and one a WJ speaker, bickered frequently about correct forms, and one would occasionally produce a form that the other found either incomprehensible or ridiculous. The dialects, however, are all mutually intelligible to a high degree, and exhibit only minor variances.

The differences between the dialects are as in most any other language. That is, there are some differences in pronunciation, in lexicon, and in morphology. The most recognizable difference in pronunciation is probably that of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{g} / . \mathrm{WJ}$ speakers pronounce this as $j$ (that is, [dz]), while CJ and EJ speakers pronounce this as $g$ or $g$ '. So 'man' is $\dot{g} e y j$ in WJ, but $\dot{g} e y g$ in the other dialects. At least some WJ speakers also have $w$ - for the conjunction 'and', rather than $b$ - (see $\S$ 12.1.1).

[^8]An example of a lexical difference is the word for 'today', which is most often (a)šhér, but (a)šḥór among some CJ speakers. As another example, one CJ informant used kbér ba-xtór for 'he went up (to the mountains) and went down', while his WJ-speaking friend preferred téla‘ wa-kéb.

Dialectal differences in morphology are probably most evident in the conjugation of verbs. For example, for the imperfect of the verb ša 'e 'he ran', my CJ and EJ informants used yaš̌́c, while my WJ informant used yašǒc (as also in $J L$ ).

It is not always clear what differences to attribute to dialectal differences. For example, my WJ informant recognized the word gazéet 'girl', but a CJ informant did not. And while my CJ informants recognized the word tet 'above', my WJ informant did not. These differences would seem to be dialectal, but it is hard to be certain based on a limited number of informants. Different speakers also show greater or lesser influence from Arabic or Mehri, and while we can say generally that younger speakers show much greater Arabic influence in their speech, every speaker's idiolect is different. ${ }^{33}$

Johnstone (1981: xii) reported that mainland speakers refer to the Jibbali dialect used on the island of Al-Ḥallaniya as "baby Gəbl̄̄t [Jibbali]", because they pronounce the lateral fricatives $s$ and $z$ as $\underline{t}$ and $\partial$, respectively. Data on this dialect from Hulton (1840) argue against this claim (see Rubin 2014), and so more research is needed.

The majority of Johnstone's texts (those obtained from Ali Musallam) are in EJ, while those of Salim Bakhit and of my own informants (other than Ali) are in CJ. Johnstone's Jibbāli Lexicon is based on CJ, though EJ forms are often noted. However, I found that my CJ informants sometimes, but not always, used forms that aligned with what Johnstone called EJ. For example, my CJ informants preferred flét 'he fled' over eflét, though JL calls the former an EJ form, and the latter a CJ form. And recall the example of the verb 'run', above, where $J L$ includes the verb form used by my WJ informant, but not my CJ ones. Clearly the boundaries distinguish the dialects are not so straightforward, nor are the three dialects immune from internal variation. The data are complicated by the fact that the language itself,

[^9]and no doubt the dialectal situation, has changed in the forty years since Johnstone collected his material.

In addition to the synchronic, geographical differences, there are also diachronic differences in the Jibbali recorded in various periods: the early 19th century, the turn of the 20th century, the 1970s, and today. For example, the future tense particle (§7.1.4) has the form dhar or dhar in Müller (1907) and earlier material, but the shorter form $d h a$-, $h a$-, or even $a$ - in the material from the later 2oth century to the present. ${ }^{34}$ In Müller's texts, the external feminine plural morpheme is normally -éta,-éti, or -tz (or similar variants), as in Johnstone's texts, but occasionally forms are met with a more archaic final -tan. ${ }^{35}$ For many differences between older and newer material (most importantly that of Müller and Johnstone), such as the numerous differences in the conjugation of prepositions, it is not always clear if these are due to dialect or language change. This book includes numerous comments on developments in the speech of younger informants, such as the shortened and lengthened forms of demonstrative pronouns ( $\$ 3 \cdot 4$ ), leveling in the forms of possessive pronominal suffixes (§3.2.2), and changes in the conjugation of some weak verb types (e.g., § 7-4.14).

Older data also contain many words that are no longer used today, or that have shifted in meaning. For example, Müller's texts commonly use the word bélag 'come to, reach', while according to Johnstone (JL, s.v. blğ it means only 'reach puberty'. ${ }^{36}$ As another example, both Hulton (1840: 196) and Müller (e.g., 1907:42, line 37) record the word $m a$ s ṣōt 'turban' (def. ás ṣōt), which derives from the verb 'aş̣́b 'tie (around the head)'. This may be an old-fashioned or outdated word, since, in Johnstone's newly-made Jibbali version of Müller's text 11 (Johnstone's text 97), Johnstone's informant used the word masér 'turban'. JL includes the verb 'aş̣́b, but not the noun ma $\mathfrak{s} \bar{o} t$.

### 1.4 The Position ofJibbali within MSA

At present, there exists no modern comprehensive grammatical study, synchronic or diachronic, of any MSA language other than Mehri. ${ }^{37}$ The grammars of Batḥari and Hobyot are especially poorly known. Therefore, the

[^10]internal subgrouping of the MSA languages cannot yet be determined with certainty. As noted above, Harsusi and Baṭhari-each of which have speakers numbering only in the hundreds-should probably be considered dialects of Mehri, as they are intelligible by Mehris and share some innovative grammatical and lexical features. For example, in these three languages the active participle has developed into a future tense, while Jibbali and Hobyot have developed other means of expressing the future, and Soqoṭri has no such development. ${ }^{38}$

There are several morphological isoglosses between Jibbali and Soqoṭi that suggest that these two form a group. For example, they share the conditioned loss of prefixed $t$ - in certain verbal forms, as well as remnants of certain productive feminine forms ending in -i. ${ }^{39}$ They also share lexical innovations, such as the development of the Semitic words 'father' and 'mother' into the masculine and feminine adjectives for 'big' (see §5.2), and shift of the root 'gb 'love' to 'want' (see §7.5).

Hobyot was discovered by scholars only about thirty-five years ago. ${ }^{40}$ Its exact classification has long been uncertain, due to the almost total lack of published data on this language. Recently published data suggest that Hobyot is closely connected with Mehri, though it shows independent developments, such as the future tense, mentioned above. The languages may be tentatively classified as in the figure below:


The family tree model does not represent the fact that Jibbali has been in close contact with the other mainland MSA languages, especially Mehri, for centuries, while Soqoṭri has remained relatively isolated.

[^11]
### 1.5 The Position of MSA within Semitic

The Semitic family of languages is one of the longest attested, most widely studied, and, thanks to Arabic, most widely spoken in the world. Yet with regards to the proper subgrouping of the Semitic family, a consensus has not been reached among scholars, and probably never will be. The following figure illustrates the subgrouping of the Semitic language family as it is best understood given the facts available to date. ${ }^{41}$


The exact position of MSA within West Semitic is unclear. It is probable that, like the Ethiopian branch, MSA is distinct from Central Semitic, since it did not participate in the innovation of the indicative yaqtulu form. ${ }^{42}$ But do MSA and Ethiopian stem from a single node? Many have argued just this. They share many features-most of which are also shared by Arabic and/or Old South Arabian-but these features are mainly shared retentions from Proto-Semitic or are the result of areal phenomena (e.g., the leveling of $k$-suffixes in the perfect). The most important morphological feature shared by MSA and Ethiopian to the exclusion of Arabic and OSA is the presence of the imperfective form yaqattal; this, however, is also a retention from Proto-Semitic (as attested by its presence in Akkadian), and is therefore of no use in classification. At present, MSA should be considered an independent branch of West Semitic.

[^12]From the discovery of the MSA languages in the 19th century until quite recently, it was assumed by most scholars that the Old South Arabian languages (Sabaic, Minaic, Qatabanic, Haḍramitic) must represent the ancestors of the Modern South Arabian languages. ${ }^{43}$ Both groups are attested in Southern Arabia; both groups preserve the three Proto-Semitic "sibilants" ( $s$, $\check{s}, s)$, in contrast with almost all other Semitic languages; both make broad use of internal (broken) plurals; and the languages share a number of lexical items. But N. Nebes has shown, based on the orthography of forms made from weak verbal roots, that the OSA languages, like Arabic, possessed an imperfective of the Central Semitic type (yaqtulu). ${ }^{44}$ J. Huehnergard has since given further evidence in favor of the classification of the OSA languages as Central Semitic. ${ }^{45}$

The recognition that the OSA languages should be classified as Central Semitic has made it clear that the MSA languages cannot be derived from the OSA languages. However, even without this fact, the innovations present in OSA and lacking in MSA should have made this clear, for example the presence of a suffixed definite article -(h)n in all OSA languages.

It has been suggested that Haḍramitic-in some respects the most divergent of the OSA languages-may still in fact be connected with the Modern South Arabian languages. Haḍramitic is the most easterly of the OSA languages, its homeland (the Headramawt, in central Yemen) approaches the Mahra, the westernmost area of present-day Modern South Arabian territory, and the influence of the Hadramites extended into the Dhofar. More importantly, Haḍramitic exhibits some curious isoglosses with MSA, namely the contrasting initial consonants of the third person pronouns, the preposition $h$ - 'to',46 and some possible lexical items. ${ }^{47}$ Despite these connections between Haḍramitic and MSA, there are a number of features of Ḥadramitic that preclude it from being the ancestor of the modern languages, most importantly the merger of $s$ and $\underline{t}$, and possibly also $z$ and $\partial$, each of which are

[^13]distinct in the modern languages, ${ }^{48}$ and the presence of the suffixed definite article in Haḍramitic, versus the prefixed article (or complete lack of article) in Modern South Arabian. Therefore, it seems safest to say only that the similarities between Haḍramitic and MSA may be due to language contact. ${ }^{49}$

### 1.6 Johnstone's Jibbali Texts

Johnstone's Jibbali texts were only in rough drafts at the time of his death in 1983. With the permission of his widow Mrs. Bernice Johnstone, I obtained copies of the manuscripts in 2010.50 The majority of the texts were written by Ali Musallam. In Box 5 B, I found 55 of these, all written by Ali Musallam, numbered (non-consecutively) from 1 to 97 (see the introduction to the texts in $\S 15$, for more details); an additional set of poems (which I am not counting towards the total of 55 texts) was given the number 150 . Each of these 55 texts (with one or two exceptions) is preserved in two versions, an Arabic-letter version, written by Ali, and a Roman-letter version, written (transcribed) by Johnstone. For a few texts there are multiple Roman-letter versions. Only two have rough English translations. Johnstone also recorded Ali reading most of these texts, and I obtained recordings of 43 texts from the British National Sound Archive (see § 1.7), again with the permission of Mrs. Johnstone.

In a second file (Box 5 A ), I found another set of Jibbali texts. There are two that are clearly marked as written by Salim Bakhit (to whom Johnstone dedicated his Jibbäli Lexicon), and several others whose author is uncertain (all but one probably not Ali). I also found recordings of the two texts by Salim Bakhit (from the same collection in the British National Sound Archive), as well as Salim's original Arabic-letter versions (in Box 13, file A2). A third file (Box ${ }_{5} \mathrm{D}$ ) contained a few additional texts made by other informants, some quite long, and some also with corresponding audio recordings. A few scattered texts (usually pieces of the texts from Box ${ }_{5}$ B) can be found elsewhere in Johnstone's papers; see $\S 15$ for more details.

None of Johnstone's texts have ever been published, though many of the examples in $J L$ are taken from the texts. Hofstede included three of

[^14]these texts $(13,28$, and 35$)$ as an appendix to her unpublished thesis, and cites passages from many others throughout her thesis, though many of her suggested readings and translations differ from my own.

The texts include folk tales (often quite humorous), explanations of cultural practices, biographical and autobiographical stories, and conversations. Two of the texts (text 6 and TJ 1 ) are new (or updated) versions of Müller's Jibbali texts, while several others are translations from Ali's own Mehri texts (a couple of which were themselves translations of Yemeni Mehri texts from the Austrian expedition). Other texts have a parallel Mehri version made by Ali, but are not direct translations. Overall, the texts are immensely interesting, both as windows into Omani/Jibbali culture and as entertaining stories. See further in the Introduction to the texts in Part 2 of this volume (§15).

### 1.7 Johnstone's Audio Material

Audio recordings exist for about 49 of Johnstone's Jibbali texts, which were made in the 1970s and early 198os. The original recordings are located in the Durham University Library, to which Johnstone willed all of his papers. Copies of these recordings are held in the British Library Sound Archives (reference $\mathrm{C}_{733}$ ), which has transferred the tapes onto compact discs. Mrs. Bernice Johnstone kindly gave me permission to receive copies of her late husband's material, and I obtained CD copies of tapes 16-20, 22-23, 35-36, and $112-113$. Of the 61 texts from Johnstone that are included in this volume, I was able to consult the audio for 49 texts, 44 of which were recorded by Ali Musallam (one in conjunction with another speaker), two by Salim Bakhit, and three by other speakers. The texts for which I did not find audio recordings are $40-43,45-50,86$, and TJ1. On the tapes are also recordings of a handful of poems.

Almost all of the texts recorded by Ali Musallam are not recordings of natural speech, but rather of Ali reading from a transcript. Since Jibbali is not normally written, the reading can be very unnatural. As Ali reads his own text, he stumbles, pauses, and corrects himself often. A few of the recordings include stories told at natural speed, and these differ noticeably from the stories that are read. The audio is still very valuable for hearing the sounds of Jibbali, and for checking some of the more suspect transcriptions made by Johnstone in his rough drafts. The few recordings by Salim Bakhit and the other informants are all of natural speech.

### 1.8 New Material

After editing Johnstone's texts and writing a sketch of the grammar, I embarked on some fieldwork of my own. I recognized that my grammar of Mehri (2010) was limited by my lack of informants, and I wanted to go beyond those limits with this grammar of Jibbali. To that end, I first found an informant with the help of Prof. Janet Watson. This was Saeed al-Mahri (SM), a native speaker of both Mehri and Jibbali, who had worked with Watson extensively on Mehri. Saeed was kind enough to provide me with some audio recordings (including both translations and original material) and answer questions by telephone and by e-mail on numerous occasions over a period of more than two years. Prof. Watson also put me in contact with Johnstone's old informant Ali Musallam. He and I, with the help of Saeed al-Mahri, corresponded by letter (sometimes also recorded onto audio) for a period of about three years. Ali also provided me with some original Jibbali material, including one story that is published in this volume. Sadly, Ali passed away in February, 2013.

Via Facebook and other internet sources, I was able to find a number of other Jibbali speakers, who helped me with some questions concerning words or minor points of grammar. These include Salim al-Shahri (SS), Ahmed 'Amer Jid (AJ), Bu Ya'reb al-Shahri (BY), Ali Ġafrem (AG), and Muna al-Shahri (MnS), among others. Muna al-Shahri-the only female Jibbali informant that I was able to work with-was especially helpful, even though our contact was limited to e-mail and telephone.

The Arab Spring of 2012 and responsibilities at home kept me from going to Oman as I had hoped. Still determined to do some fieldwork face to face, I contacted the Omani Embassy in Washington, DC. Thanks to the help of Dr. Asya Al-Lamki and Moayed Al-Hawazi, both in the Embassy's Cultural Division, I was able to find two Jibbali students living in the United States, Ahmed Kashoob (AK) and Fahad Baawain (FB) in Columbia, South Carolina. I met with them in October, 2012. I met with Ahmed again in June, 2013, along with Musallam Qatan (MQ), in Melbourne, Florida. From these three informants, with whom I was able to work in person, I collected a wealth of new data, including a number of recorded texts. Most of these texts are included in this volume. In 2012, Ahmed put me in contact with Musallam al-Shahri (MmS) in Omaha, Nebraska, who I later discovered is the first cousin of the abovementioned Muna al-Shahri. Musallam and I spoke a number of times, and each time he patiently answered many questions for me. Finally, also in June, 2013, Julien Dufour was generous enough to pose a handful of questions for me to his own Jibbali informant
in Paris, Adnan al-Mahri (AdM), a 21-year old CJ speaker from Rabkut. ${ }^{51}$ The responses to these questions mostly just confirmed some grammatical points, but he is cited in a couple of places in this book.

My main informants were thus the following:
Ali Musallam, aged mid-6os (but in his 2os when he recorded texts for Johnstone in the early 1970s). ${ }^{52}$ Lived in Şalalah, but raised in the mountains near Jibjāt (northeast of Țaqah). EJ dialect.
Saeed al-Mahri (SM), aged 28. Lives in Ṣalalah, but born in the mountains near Jibjāt. EJ dialect.
Ahmed Kashoob (AK), aged 20. From Zayk, near Ṣalalah. CJ dialect.
Fahad Baawain (FB), aged 19. From D.alqut. WJ dialect.
Musallam Qatan (MQ), aged 20. From Wadi Naḥiz, near Salalah. CJ dialect.
Muna al-Shahri (MnS), aged 25. From the mountains near Ṣalalah. CJ dialect.
Musallam al-Shahri (MmS), aged 19. From the mountains near Salalah CJ dialect.

Phrases and sentences used as examples that came from these informants, but that are not part of a published text, are indicated with their respective initials (SM, AK, etc.).

### 1.9 This Grammar

The grammar described in this book is based largely, but not solely, on textual material, and follows very closely the arrangement of my grammar of Mehri (2010). Johnstone's material comprises the bulk of the texts, but these are supplemented by my own texts, as well as by other data obtained from native speakers. Topics in phonology, morphology, and syntax are covered, though the coverage is disproportionate compared to what is found in typical grammars. Because of my own interests, and because I had only limited access to native speakers, phonology is treated here only relatively briefly. Those features of phonology that most affect the morphology are discussed. With regard to morphology, all of the basic topics are covered, though treatment can never really be considered complete, especially in a case such as

[^15]Jibbali, with so much diachronic and dialectal variety. Jibbali verbal morphology is quite complex, due to the large number of "weak" root consonants that have resulted in various phonetic changes, and there simply are not enough verbal forms attested in Johnstone's texts to provide complete paradigms for most verb types. This topic is complicated by the fact that there are dialectal differences that show up in the conjugation of verbs. In my treatment of the verbal system, I have devoted a lot of space to discussing the derived stems and the use of the tenses, and I discuss the most salient features of the various weak verb types. Although my treatment is extensive, and includes new data, it is necessarily incomplete. A complete list of paradigms, for all stems and root types, would run to hundreds of pages.

A large part of this grammar is devoted to the syntax of Jibbali. There are certainly areas in which more could have been said, but I have chosen to focus on those features which are most remarkable or most practical for reading the texts. Each feature described is well illustrated with examples from the texts. An index of these textual examples is included at the end of this volume.

Though I began this book focusing the Jibbali of Johnstone's texts (especially those from Ali Musallam and Salim Bakhit), I have supplemented his data with texts and other information gathered from numerous informants, as well as with other published material. The texts included in this volume come from at least eight different speakers, and an additional eight or so speakers provided data in other ways.

I have not made thorough use of the texts collected by Müller, nor other older material. This was done for a number of reasons. First, Johnstone's texts seem to reflect a different dialect than the corpus of material collected by the Austrian expedition. Second, the material collected by the Austrians, and the work based on their material (e.g., that of Bittner 1916a-1918) is not always accurate. In fact, the Jibbali material is the least reliable of that collected by the Austrians. Third, other published material on Jibbali (e.g., Thomas 1937) is, though very interesting and important, not very sound in terms of its linguistic method. Finally, there have clearly been changes in the language since Müller and his predecessors made their studies (see above, §1.1), and it was challenging enough to deal with dialectal and diachronic differences between Johnstone's informants and my own. Still I have made reference to earlier Jibbali data, as well as to Johnstone's own unpublished papers, in many places throughout this grammar and in the notes to the texts.

My philosophy in compiling this grammar was essentially to deduce as much as possible directly from the texts, without the interference of
previous descriptions of Jibbali. Of course, previous works were valuable to me, but, as much as possible, I consulted these only after forming my own initial theories. To this end, Johnstone made the following remark, in an unpublished manuscript:

I have not been preoccupied in the course of my own field work to run down errors in the work of my predecessors, since I have found it on the whole easier not to study their publications too closely. Certain of the wrong ideas I did acquire from them did mislead me seriously, and these of course stick in my mind. ${ }^{53}$

Johnstone was referring to the works of the Austrian expedition. Of course, I have more predecessors than Johnstone did, authors of the far more reliable material of the last three decades (including that of Johnstone himself). Still, in compiling this grammar, I was wary of having too many presuppositions based on earlier publications, preferring to reach my own conclusions. Despite this philosophy, and despite the restricted scope of this grammar, reference has occasionally been made to other corpora, where useful and appropriate. I also sometimes have made reference to Mehri, Soqotri, or Ḥarsusi, to point out a noteworthy difference or similarity, or to illuminate a difficult lexical item. For the most part I refer to the dialect of Mehri described in Rubin (2010). Comparison with the other Mehri dialects described in Watson (2012) is also very interesting, but since this volume is not intended as a comparative grammar, I do not often refer to the other dialects.

The Jibbali language (like Mehri), has changed considerably over the last forty years, along with the entire country of Oman. Arabic influence on the language has been extensive, and younger speakers can hardly speak a sentence without including numerous Arabic words and phrases. Younger speakers recognize very well that their language is not the same as that of their parents and grandparents. Knowing full well that the language as it appears in Johnstone's forty-year-old texts-and that most of these texts were carefully written out, and not just spoken spontaneously-does not fully reflect the language as spoken today, and knowing that there is quite a bit of variation among today's many speakers, I still have chosen to use these as the basis for my grammar. When writing a grammar of any language, one cannot take into account all variations; that would be an impossible task. I started primarily with the language of a single informant (Ali Musallam),

[^16]formed the rules of this language, and found that these rules work very well for the language of other informants. Where there are differences, I have noted them where appropriate.

Describing the grammar of an unwritten, unstandardized language presents its own challenges. In this situation, there is usually greater variation among speakers, and, moreover, it is not always clear which forms to single out. For example, if I were writing a grammar of English, I would not list ana as a marker of the future tense. Nevertheless, I use this form regularly for some persons, as in I'm əna go home or he's ana call me later (ana < gonna < going to). I might include the form gonna in my grammar, but certainly not ana, even though this is a legitimate realization of the underlying going to. In a language without a standardized writing tradition, like Jibbali, it is not always clear where to draw the line for such lexemes or morphemes. For example, in Jibbali the future tense particle can be realized $d h a-$, $h a-$-, or $a$ (see §7.1.4), while the masculine singular demonstrative adjective can be realized đモ́nu, ðモ̃n, or đ $\tilde{\varepsilon}(\S 3 \cdot 4)$. Of course, the more reduced forms are typical of fast speech, while the more conservative forms are more typical of very careful speech or writing. It is precisely because the language of most of Johnstone's texts reflects more careful speech or writing that I feel justified in choosing to use these as the basis for the grammar. It seems more reasonable to start the description with more conservative forms, and add to these observations on the changes that take place in fast speech. The same point applies to the fact that Johnstone's texts reflect a slightly older stage of the language-conservative grammatically, as well as phonetically.

It also seems to me that many of the readers of this volume will be most interested in Jibbali for its importance to comparative Semitic studies, and so for this reason as well it makes good sense to base the grammar on Johnstone's texts. Those who are interested only in contemporary Jibbali, or in modern Arabic interference in Jibbali, will still find much of use in this book.

Throughout the grammar, I have made reference to dialectal differences, both geographic and diachronic. These sometimes come from Müller's older material, but are most often based on the data I myself collected. No doubt there are many more dialectal differences that have yet to be noticed.

Ideally, a grammar of Jibbali would be constructed on the basis of my having lived for six months or more in some Jibbali-speaking town, hearing and speaking the language on a daily basis, and interacting with male and female speakers of all ages. None of my efforts described above can totally compensate for not having studied the language abroad. However, I believe that this grammar has succeeded in describing the essential structures of
the language. Still, I have no doubt that there is more out there to describe, or that some of the suggestions in the book may be subject to revision.

## CHAPTER TWO

## PHONOLOGY

### 2.1 Jibbali Consonants

The following table illustrates the phonemic inventory of Jibbali, with nonphonemic consonants in parentheses:

|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| unvoiced |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  | $k$ |  | (') |
| voiced | $b$ |  |  | $d$ |  |  | $g$ |  |  |
| glottalic |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  | k |  |  |
| Fricatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| unvoiced |  | $f$ | $\underline{t}$ | $s$ | $s$ | $\check{s}, \tilde{s}$ | $x$ | $h$ | $h$ |
| voiced |  |  | ð | $z$ | $l,\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ | ( $\tilde{z})$ | $\dot{g}$ | ' |  |
| glottalic |  |  | ¢ | $\stackrel{S}{ }$ | z | $\underline{s}$ |  |  |  |
| Nasal | $m$ |  |  | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trill |  |  |  | $r$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approximant | w |  |  |  |  | $y$ |  |  |  |

Notes:

- It is doubtful that 'should be considered a phoneme. It is heard only word-finally, and even there does not seem to be phonemic. Discussion of what happens to ' as a root consonant within the verbal system can be found in §7.4.1, §7.4.8, and §7.4.12.
- Unlike in Omani Mehri, ' has been preserved in Jibbali. However, Mehri speakers of Jibbali (like Johnstone's main text informant, Ali Musallam) sometimes do not pronounce this consonant.
- $k, g$, and $k$ are velar, while $x$ and $\dot{g}$ are uvular.
- The phoneme /g/ can be pronounced as $g$ or a palatalized $g^{y}$ in CJ and EJ, while in WJ it is pronounced as $j$ (that is, IPA [d3]).
- The phoneme $\check{s}$ represents IPA [J].
- According to Johnstone ( $J L$, p. xiv), the phoneme $\tilde{s}$ is "pronounced with approximately the same tongue position as $\check{s}$, but there is no contact between the top of the tongue and the alveolum. The air is pushed out over the tongue and the lips are simultaneously rounded and pouted".
- The phonemes $\check{s}$ and $\tilde{s}$ are distinguished only among some speakers of CJ. Otherwise, both are pronounced as š. Johnstone's main text informant (Ali Musallam) and my own informants do not distinguish s̃. Still, throughout this book, I have kept the transcription $\tilde{s}$ wherever it is etymological. Historically, $s$ derives from Proto-Semitic *s (corresponding to $h$ in the other MSA languages), while $\tilde{s}$ usually derives from *$k$ or *st.
- The very rare phoneme $\tilde{s}$, which historically is an allophone of $k$, is a glottalic version of $\tilde{s}$. It is pronounced $\stackrel{s}{c}$ by most speakers. It is found in just five different words in all of the texts: ṣ̂irét 'town', ḥayṣ̂ 'shore', fúṣḥi 'halves', súụṣi 'he drank' (and conjugated forms), and $i s ̣ ̃ ̄ ̄ n(t)$ 'scorpions'. A small number of additional words are included in $J L$.
- The consonant $s$ is a voiceless lateral fricative (IPA [d]).
- The consonant $w$ is found mainly in loans, since inherited $w$ has either been lost or has shifted to $b$ (see $\S 2.1 .5$ ).
- $\quad z($ IPA [3] $)$ is not a phoneme, but only an allophone of $l$. It is the voiced equivalent of $\dot{s}$ (IPA [ []]). ${ }^{1}$ It never occurs word-initially.
- $\quad \dot{z}$ (IPA [ $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right]$ ) derives from the same consonant as Arabic $\underset{d}{d}$ (Proto-Semitic * $\psi^{\prime}$ ), and is the glottalic counterpart of the lateral fricative $s^{2}{ }^{2}$ Johnstone ( $J L$, p. xiv) suggests that it is pronounced with some affrication (i.e., ${ }^{d} \underset{\sim}{z}$ or $\left.{ }^{g} \underset{\sim}{z}\right)$, which is also the case in my experience.
- $\tilde{z}$ is not a phoneme, but rather an allophone of $/ \mathrm{g} /$. It is variously pronounced [3], [j], or [ f$]$. It is found in just four different words in all of the texts: túz̃ur 'rich' (cf. pl. togór), (i)žirrét 'servant-girl' (pl. iz̃órtว; cf. aggór 'servant'), finzzún 'cup', and $\tilde{z} \varepsilon b$ 'vagina'.
- According to Johnstone ( $J L$, p. xiv), $z^{\prime}$ and $\not \approx$ are both realized as $\underset{z}{z}$ by EJ speakers, but I have not verified this.

[^17]
### 2.1.1 The Glottalics

The consonants $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{q}}, \underline{k}, \underline{s}, \underline{t}, \underset{\sim}{s}$, and $\underset{\sim}{z}$ are glottalic. Another term used for such consonants is "ejective". Semitists often refer to these consonants as "emphatic", a term which is rather vague, but provides a convenient cover term for these consonants across the Semitic languages. In Arabic, these "emphatic" consonants are pharyngealized, while in the Ethiopian Semitic languages they are glottalic. Although Fresnel had recognized that Jibbali possessed the same type of consonants as Amharic (i.e., glottalics), ${ }^{3}$ Johnstone was the first scholar to make it widely known that these consonants were in fact glottalics in Jibbali (and in the other MSA languages), and his first announcement of this fact in 1970 (published as Johnstone 1975b) was very significant within the field of Semitics.

The above having been said, it seems that the glottalic articulation of the "glottalic" consonants is not always present. Their articulation as glottalics is not quite as evident as in, say, Amharic. Johnstone ( $A A L$, p. 6) makes two important points:

1. Aspiration of most of the voiceless non-glottalic consonants constitutes an important element in the distinction of glottalic/non-glottalic pairs.
2. The degree of glottalization can vary in strength, depending on a number of factors, such as whether a consonant is initial, medial, or final, and the effect of contiguous consonants.

As Johnstone also notes, it is not completely clear how the glottalic consonants fit into the categories of voiced and voiceless. Johnstone ( $A A L, \mathrm{p} .7$ ) wrote that they are "perhaps best defined as partially voiced". What is certain is that the glottalics pair with voiced consonants when it comes to certain morphological features, for example the appearance of the definite article $(\S 4.4)$, the prefix of the D/L-Stem (§6.2), and the shape of the Ga-Stem perfect (§6.1.1).

A recent study by J. Watson and A. Bellem (2011), and an as yet unpublished study by Rachid Ridouane, have resulted in some very interesting data on the glottalic consonants in Mehri, and some of their conclusions perhaps have relevance for Jibbali.

[^18]
### 2.1.2 The Loss of b

The consonant $b$ (like $m$, see $\S$ 2.1.3) is lost intervocalically. The result of the loss is usually a long vowel. The rule can be represented as follows:

$$
V b V>\bar{V}
$$

Occasionally, however, the loss results in a diphthong:

$$
V b V>i \bar{V}
$$

This loss is not merely historical, but rather remains operative in the language. Thus we see the effects of this rule not just on base lexical forms, but also on derived forms, such as nouns with the definite article, nouns with possessive suffixes, feminine forms of nouns and adjectives, and throughout verbal paradigms. Below are some examples, categorized by word class, and including $b$ in various positions within the word.

Nouns:

```
ūt 'the house' < *\varepsilonbút (cf. indef. but 'house')
\imath 'father; my father' < *abí
kōt'female dog' < *ksbV't < *kalbVt
si\varepsilońb 'reason; because of' < *sab\varepsilońb < *sabab (note also: si\overline{\varepsilon}k 'because of
    you' < *si\varepsilońbək < *sababak!)
```

Adjectives:
'arī 'Arab' < *'arabí
lūn 'white' < *labún

Verbs:
$y \bar{\jmath} k$ 'he weeps' (Ga 3ms imperf.) < "yabók (cf. perf. béké)
ōśar 'he gave good news' (D/L 3ms perf.) < *ebóśar
yōśaran 'he gives good news' (D/L 3ms imperf.) < *yebóś(a)ran
ḳ̄r 'he buried' (Ga 3ms perf.) < *kobór
tēer 'it broke' (Gb 3ms perf.) < *tébar
$\dot{g} \supset \bar{\jmath} t$ 'she refused' (Ga 3fs perf.) < *${ }^{*} \dot{\jmath}$ lobót
yarkin 'he would have ridden' (Gb 3ms condit.) < *yarkíbon
Numbers:
šō" 'seven (f.)' < *šóba'
ríc 'four (days)' < ${ }^{*}$ ríba'

As can be seen from the examples above, the quality of the resulting long vowel is often determined by the placement of stress. If the vowel preceding the $b$ is stressed, then the resulting long vowel will have the quality of that vowel (e.g., šō" ‘seven' < *šóba'; tēer 'it broke' < *tébar). If the vowel following the $b$ is stressed, then the resulting long vowel will have the quality of that vowel (e.g., $\bar{\imath}$ 'father; my father' < *'abí; 'arī 'Arab' < *'arabí). In most cases, the unstressed vowel is the reduced $a$, which would not be dominant over a full vowel anyway. In cases where the vowels on either side of the $b$ are both unstressed, the vowel following the $b$ dictates the quality of the long vowel, unless that vowel is a (e.g., ālét 'mistress' < *eba lét; but $\bar{\varepsilon} h a l e ́ t ~ ' t h e ~ w o r d ' ~<~$ * $\varepsilon b a h l e ́ t)$. For more examples of the elision following the definite article $\varepsilon$-, see §4.4.

In some cases, the $b$ is elided but the vowels remain distinct. This happens with the sequences *́́bi, *óbi, and *úbi-that is, back rounded vowels followed by $i$. Examples are gunōi 'daggers' < *gunóbi, and $k \bar{\jmath} i{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{my}$ dog' < ${ }^{*} k j ́ b i .^{4}$ Note also that the sequence * $\varepsilon b u$ can sometimes be realized $\varepsilon \bar{u}$, rather than $\bar{u}$ (e.g., $\bar{u} t$ or $\varepsilon \bar{u} t$ 'the house').

There are words that appear to retain intervocalic $b$, but we must distinguish the surface realization of words from their underlying pattern. For example, $t ə k \partial b \bar{\varepsilon} n(30: 3)$ is a surface realization of $t ə k b \bar{\varepsilon} n$ (< *təkbébən); similarly, šḥabél 'he understood' (34:11) is the surface realization of an underlying *̃̃aḥbél (< *s̃ahwél), and $\varepsilon k \notin \varepsilon ́ b a l$ 'the truce' (60:9) is the surface realization of $\varepsilon k \notin \varepsilon b l\left(<{ }^{*} \varepsilon k a ́ w l\right)$. In each of these examples, the $b$ is not intervocalic in the underlying form.

Occasionally, we find elision across a word boundary, but mainly when the second word is a particle with a pronominal suffix. Three examples with a first person direct object pronoun (§3.3) are $d h a-(t)$ sa $\bar{\jmath}-t o$ 'you will wait for me’ (3:10, < (t)slób to), ol takarē-to lo ‘don’t come near me!’ (25:12, < takréb to), and sal̄-to 'wait for me!' (AM1:6). An example with a preposition is al-s̃efkēěs 'I cover myself with it' (46:15). As described in the comment to that line in the texts, this is not a verb with an object suffix, as it might appear, but rather a contraction of al-s̃éf $k \varepsilon$ beš. The same contraction is also found in the form rdiéš 'they threw it' (48:3) < rdé beš. We also find elision across a word boundary where the noun once had a dual suffix (§4.2), e.g., 'arkee trut 'two mice' (TJ3:26) < *‘arkébi trut.

[^19]There are environments in which intervocalic $b$ is not lost, such as between two stressed vowels of different quality (neither of which is a), most notably at the end of a plural noun with ultimate stress followed by a possessive suffix, e.g., $\varepsilon s l o ́ b e ́ s ̌ ~ ‘ h i s ~ a r m s ' ~(36: 26), ~ k o l o ́ b e ́ s ̌ ~ ‘ h i s ~ d o g s ' ~(F B) . ~ 5 ~ T h e ~ c o n s o-~$ nant $b$ is also retained if it is preceded by a long vowel, e.g., $\varepsilon g \bar{\jmath} b s ̌$ 'his answer' (10:4), $\bar{u} b s ̌$ 'his heart' ( $15: 13$ ). ${ }^{6}$ If $b$ is geminate, then it is also not subject to intervocalic loss, e.g., hibbót 'songs, singing' (7:8), ðabbót 'fly'.

Finally, it should be noted that intervocalic $b$ is sometimes preserved unexpectedly, due to paradigm pressure or other analogy. For example, the 3 fp subjunctive form of the G-Stem verb has the pattern təCCéCən. If the third root consonant is $b$, we expect it to be lost in this form, but sometimes it is preserved. So from the verb garób 'know', we might find either 3fp subjunctive təg่grēn or tวg่grébən. Likewise, we expect a word-final $b$ to be lost before the possessive suffix, but its loss can be blocked. From the noun $k \mathrm{ob}$ 'dog, wolf', some speakers preferred $k \bar{j} i$ 'my dog', $k \bar{j} k$ 'your dog', while others preferred kóbi and $k \supset b(\partial) k$; preservation of $b$ (and $m$ ) before possessive suffixes seems to be the norm for some younger speakers.

After the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$-, the initial $b$ of the auxiliary verb ber is sometimes lost; see the discussion in §7.2. §13.5.3.2, and §13.5.3.6. A similar loss is attested before the construct noun bet; see §4.6, n. 24 .

### 2.1.3 The Loss ofm

Like $b$ ( $\S 2.1 .2$ ), the consonant $m$ is lost intervocalically. As with $b$, the result of the loss is usually a long vowel, but occasionally a diphthong. Unlike with $b$, the resulting vowel is nasalized. The rule can be represented as follows:

$$
V m V>\tilde{V}(\text { or rarely } i \tilde{V})
$$

This rule is not merely historical, but rather remains operative in the language. Thus we see the effects of this rule not just on base lexical forms, but also on derived forms, such as nouns with the definite article, nouns with possessive suffixes, feminine forms of nouns and adjectives, and throughout verb paradigms. Below are some examples, categorized by word class, and including $m$ in various positions within the word.

[^20]Nouns:

> indi'k 'the rifle' > "Emandik (cf. indef. mandik)
> in 'the sons' < "Eminn (cf. indef. min < *bín)
> $\tilde{a h f e ́ r}$ 'basket' < "Emahfér (cf. indef. mahfér 'basket')
> ün 'Oman' < *amún

Adjectives:
$\tilde{\varepsilon} h r i '$ 'the Mehri' < "Emzhrí (cf. indef. mehrí)
rahît 'pretty (fs)' < *rahímat (cf. ms rahím)
Verbs:

> õr 'he said' (Ga 3ms perf.) < *amór
> $\check{s} \tilde{l}^{\prime}$ 'he heard' ( Gb 3 ms perf.) < *símac (also šãa < *šamác)
> öhal 'he eased, lightened' (D/L-Stem 3ms perf.) < "Emóhal
> yülak 'he owns' (Ga 3ms imperf.) < "yamúlak (cf. perf. mólók)
> zũt 'she gave' (Ga 3fs perf.) < "zumút

Numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { xõ̌s 'five (m.)' < "xamóš } \\
& \text { xĩ̈s 'five (f.)' < "xamís } \\
& \text { tinnit 'eight (m.)' > *taminit } \\
& \text { tõni 'eight (f.)' < "tamóni } \\
& \text { tĩnín 'eighty' < *taminín }
\end{aligned}
$$

Other:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fnẽnhínam 'night before last' < *fne + manhínam (cf. manhínam 'last } \\
& \text { night') }
\end{aligned}
$$

The rules that determine the quality of the long vowel resulting from the elision of $m$ are the same as those outlined for the elision of $b$ in the previous section (§ 2.1.2). If the vowel preceding the $m$ is stressed, then the resulting
 If the vowel following the $m$ is stressed, then the resulting long vowel will have the quality of that vowel (e.g., $\check{0} r$ 'he said' < "camór). In most cases the unstressed vowel is the reduced $a$, which would not be dominant over a full vowel anyway. In cases where the vowels on either side of the $m$ are both unstressed, the vowel following the $m$ dictates the quality of the long vowel, unless that vowel is a (e.g., tĩnín 'eighty' < "taminin; but ĩndik' 'the rifle'
< *Eməndík). ${ }^{7}$ As noted above, the only difference is that the elision of $m$ usually also results in nasalization. However, if the resulting long vowel is preceded or followed (including later in the word) by the nasal consonant $n$, then nasalization is sometimes blocked, e.g., in 'the sons' < *Emín; nīzín 'ant' (root $n m l$ ); and rēz̧un 'Ramadan' (root rmź).

As with $b$, sometimes $m$ is elided but the vowels remain distinct. This happens with the sequences *ómi, *ómi, and *úmi-that is, back rounded vowels followed by $i$. Examples are šũi 'my name' < *̌̌úmi, and xõi 'umbrella' < *xómi (see the comment to text 31:2).

There are words that appear to retain intervocalic $m$, but we must distinguish the surface realization of words from their underlying pattern. For example, yózaməš 'they give him' (46:8) is the surface realization of an underlying yózamš; the object suffix on an imperfect has no underlying vowel (see §3.2.3). Similarly, həmərún (60:3) is a surface realization of həmrún. In both of the underlying forms, the $m$ is not intervocalic.

Occasionally we find elision across a word boundary, but mainly when the second word is a particle with a pronominal suffix. Three examples with a first person direct object pronoun (§3.3) are ha-(t)zĩ-to 'you will give me' (13:7), zaḥõ-to 'come to me!' (28:7), and zz̃-tũn 'give us!' (53:9). We also find contraction of the preposition man following an indefinite or interrogative pronoun. Two examples with an indefinite pronoun are dē-ənkẽn 'someone from you' (15:7, < dé mankén) and śē-ən s̃óhum 'some among them' (AM1:11, < śé mən s̃óhum); see further in §3.5.1, §3.5.2, and §8.18. On the contraction ín $\bar{\varepsilon} n<$ íné man 'which? what kind of?', see § 11.3. We also find elision across a word boundary where the noun once had a dual suffix (§4.2), e.g., yũ trut 'two days' (15:2) < *yúmi trut.

There are environments in which intervocalic $m$ is not lost, such as between two stressed vowels of different quality (neither of which is $\partial$ ), most notably at the end of a plural noun with ultimate stress followed by a possessive suffix (e.g., axṣóméś 'his enemies' [60:24]). ${ }^{8}$ If $m$ is geminate, then it is also not subject to intervocalic loss (e.g., tammút 'it is finished' [1:14]). This rule also may to apply to historically geminate $m$ in nouns, e.g., émés 'his mother' (< *imm- 'mother'). In some forms of I-m verbs where we expect gemination, we find instead intervocalic loss of $m$; this probably indicates a lack of underlying gemination (see the comment to text 60:26 and § 7.4.14).

[^21]2.1.4 The Shift of $b>m$

There is an assimilatory sound change in Jibbali (as in Omani Mehri) *bVn $>m V n$. This is seen in the following words, most of which occur in the texts:

```
mandík 'rifle' < Arabic bunduq
mastún 'plantation' < Arabic bustān
(mən) mun 'between' < *(mən) ben
markác 'veil' < Arabic burqu`
mín 'sons' < *bín
mandér 'seaport' < Arabic bandar
məndér\varepsilon 'flag' < Arabic bandēra
```

Another example is the CJ verb kũn 'hide' (ms imperative kmén), which in EJ is $k \bar{u} n$ ( ms imperative $k b$ ह́n), the form met in Johnstone's texts (30:2; cf. also Mehri kəbūn).

There is also a separate assimilatory shift of $b$ to $m$ that affects both the conjunction $b$ - (§12.1.1) and the preposition $b$ - (§8.6) before the function words man 'from', mun 'who', mit 'when', and the noun míh 'water'. So we find:
(a)m-mún '( with) whom?' < *b-mún (45:13)
(a)m-mit 'and when' < *b-mit (97:24)
(a)m-man đ̛́rš 'and afterwards' < *b-man đ̛́rš̌ (SB2:6)
(a)m-míh 'with water' < *b-míh (39:2; 60:37) ${ }^{9}$

This change is not universal, as can be seen from phrases like ba-mandík 'and a rifle' (32:14), bə-mahfér 'and a basket' (54:16), bə-mśé 'for how much?' (52:8), and ba-mékən 'for a lot' (TJ2:42).

### 2.1. 5 The Loss of $w$, and the Shift of $w>b$

The consonant * $w$ is normally lost word-initially, for example:

```
\varepsilong(a)h 'face' (root wgh; cf. Mehri wagh, Arabic wajh)
\varepsilonk(a)t 'time' (root wkt; cf. Mehri and Arabic wakt)
\varepsilonsff 'description' (root wssf; cf. Mehri and Arabic wasff)
él\varepsilońd 'children' (root wld; cf. Mehri walēd)
srx 'month' (root wrx; cf. Mehri warx)
ódín 'new' (root wdn; cf. Mehri yadīn)'10
```

[^22]aġád 'he went' < *wag̉ád (G-Stem 3ms perf. of root wg்d)
éṣal 'he arrived' < *wéṣal (Gb-Stem 3ms perf. of root wṣl)
Exceptions to this rule are $b \bar{e}$ 'very (much)', cognate with Mehri wiyzn, ${ }^{11} b a h s^{\prime}-$ 'alone, by oneself' (§3.6; cf. Mehri wahs'-), and the conjunction $b$ - 'and' (see below). Also exceptions are more recent Arabic loans and Arabisms (that is, Arabic words used by Jibbali speakers), including wégəb 'ought to’ (§ 12.5.20) and wasáx 'dirt' (TJ2:121). See also the comments to texts 4:1 and 35:2.

Between vowels, * ${ }^{*}$ is also lost, for example:

$$
\bar{o} s ̣ i ~ ‘ h e ~ a d v i s e d ’ ~<~ " \varepsilon w o ́ s ̣ i ~(D / L-S t e m ~ 3 m s ~ p e r f . ~ o f ~ w s ̣ ' / w s ̣ y) ~
$$


s̃erēg 'he consulted' < *S̃aréwag (Š2-Stem 3ms perf. of $r w g$ )
In contact with most consonants, however, ${ }^{*} w$ becomes $b$, as in:

```
gabgót 'girl' < *ġawgót
ksab\varepsilońt 'clothes' < *kasw\varepsilońt (cf. Mehri kaswēt)
śsbr 'advice; plan' < *śawr (cf. Mehri śawr)
lébḳat 'bottle' < *láwḳat (cf. Mehri láwkat)
tabkižót 'possession in marriage' < *təwkillót (cf. Mehri tawkalēt, Arabic
    tawkil)
tabṣif 'description' < *tawṣíf (cf. \varepsilonṣf 'description', Arabic waşf)
yabğód 'he goes' < 'yawğód (G-Stem 3ms imperf. of root w\dot{g}d; cf. 3ms
    perf. agáad)
\varepsilonbḳác 'he put' < *\varepsilonwkác' (H-Stem 3ms perf. of wk`'; cf. Mehri hawkā)
```

This rule explains the inserted $b$ of many internal plurals ( $\S 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ ), such as:

```
makébṭar 'caravans' < *makáwţar (sg. məkt!ér)
mal\varepsilońbta\dot{g} 'killed ones' < *maláwtว\dot{g} (sg. maltéġ)
manébdəḳ 'rifles' < *manáwdak (sg. mandík)
mar\varepsilońbḳa` 'veils' < *məráwkac` (sg. mərḳá")
```

This rule also explains the conjunction $b$ - 'and' (< Semitic * $w$-; see $\S$ 12.1.1). A phrase like *(a)w-g̈éyg 'and a man' became $b-\dot{g} e ́ y g$. The original * $w$-would not have shifted to $b$-before a word that had an initial vowel, so the complete shift of * $w$ - 'and' to $b$ - is due to leveling/analogy.

[^23]Some nouns with a prefixed $m$-, such as $m u$ 'ũd 'meeting place, appointment' (cf. Arabic maw'id 'appointment (time and place)'), moẓáa 'familyhouse' (cf. Arabic mawdic 'place'), murd 'watering place' (cf. Arabic mawrid 'watering place') would seem to violate the above rules, but these words are probably recent borrowings, in which the diphthong $a w$ was probably reduced to $u$ or $o$ before borrowing.

A characteristic feature of WJ is the use of the conjunction $w$-, rather than $b$-, though WJ employs the sound change elsewhere (e.g., w- $\tilde{\varepsilon} n \varepsilon ́ b z a l$ 'and the places' < **-عmanéwzal, FB).

### 2.1. 6 The Loss ofl

The consonant $l$ is sometimes lost in the environment $C V \_C$. If the final $C$ is not part of the same syllable, then the $l$ is lost only if the preceding vowel does not bear the primary stress of the word. We can formulate two general processes, which apply to words other than verbs:

```
1. #CalC# > CoC (usually)
2.CVl.CV́}>CoCV́ or CoCV́ (if V V = *a);'12 CuCV́ (if V V = *u)
```

Examples of \#1 are:

```
of 'thousand' (<**alf)
dof 'rock' (< *dalf)
god 'skin' (< *gald)
kJb 'wolf, dog' (< *kalb)'13
kot 'talk' (< **alt)
tof 'hunger' (< *talf)
xok 'appearance' (< *xalk.)
```

\#1 seems to apply only to monosyllabic nouns of the shape *\#CaCC\#, and not to other cases of $C V ́ C C$. We can say with more certainty that, excluding verbs, the rule does not normally operate across a morpheme boundary, hence fáhal-š ‘his penis', $\varepsilon$ nzzél-š ‘his place’, $k \varepsilon l-$ š' 'all $^{\prime}$ of it (m.)', $k \varepsilon l-s$ 'all of it (f.)', $\varepsilon$-lhúti 'the cows', etc. There are also exceptions, like $x \varepsilon l f$ 'next' (perhaps < *xilf, but cf. Arabic xalf 'back (adj.)'), 'alk 'helpfulness'. Borrowed nouns and Arabisms (that is, Arabic words used by Jibbali speakers) do not undergo this rule, e.g., $\underline{t} \varepsilon l g$ 'ice, snow', $\varepsilon l f$ 'thousand', $k \varepsilon l b$ 'heart'.

[^24]Examples of \#2 are:
tofún 'hungry' (root tlf)
xofét 'window' (root xlf)
suṭún 'sultan' (root slṭ)
mosé 'rain' (root lsw)
sotéét 'three (m.)' (root śltu)
hofét 'alliance' (root hlf)
There are, however, many more words that do not follow change \#2 than words that do, e.g.: felhún 'contented', felsún 'split', feltún '(perpetual) escapee', galsét 'session', galṭún 'mistaken', ḥalfún 'one who swears a pact; sharp', ḥalkét 'circle, ring', ḥalmún '(perpetual) dreamer', keltót 'story' (pl. kélț), keltún 'story-teller', kalbún 'overturned', kalfún 'bare', ḳalhún '(perpetual) vomiter', melhún 'salty', melkún 'owning', melsún '(perpetually) slipping away', $m \varepsilon l t$ ún 'hairless', selbún 'armed', selmún 'survivor; peace-maker', sslsélt 'chain', ṣelfún ‘shining; glamorous', ṣelfót 'dazzling object', telkún 'tied by the leg', țelbún 'beggar', telfún ‘skimmed', țllhím 'spleen', țદxún ‘smeared', xalkét 'nature', xalkún 'well-made (person)', xalsún 'loser', xalwét 'loneliness', zel'ún 'one who pushes and shoves', žal'ún 'outsider'.

It is clear from the above list that nouns ending in the common agentive suffix -ún (< $\left.{ }^{*}-\bar{a} n\right)$ do not normally show loss of $l$; the exceptions are tofún and suṭún. ${ }^{14}$ But for other patterns it is not clear why $l$ is lost only sometimes. For example, from ḥlf we find hofét and halfún, but from $x l k$ we find xalkét and xalkún. We could suggest that the glottalic $k$ blocks the loss of $l$, but then the $l$ is lost before the glottalic in sutún.

Within the verbal system, a root-final $l$ is often lost before a consonantal suffix. Examples are:

```
shek 'you finished' < *shelk (2:5)
hõk 'I picked up' < *hõlk < *homólk (13:11)
fa'á\tilde{ 'you hurt' < *fa'ál\tilde{s} (17:27)}
\varepsilonbšǰkum 'you cooked' < *Ebšólkum (48:6)
dha-l-hĩ~``` I will carry you' < *dha-l-híls̃ < *dha-l-hémals̃ (48:13)
akós `I thought you...' < *akóls̃ (6o:8)
```

[^25]Sometimes a root-final $l$ is written in one of Johnstone's Arabic-letter manuscripts, but the $l$ is not pronounced (e.g., šḥabólk 'you understood', 34:11). Where $l$ is not written, I have usually put it in parentheses in the transcription of the texts.

The verb létə $\dot{g}$ 'kill’ normally loses its $l$ in the subjunctive (e.g., 3ms yótə $\dot{g}$ < *yóltəğ, 17:10) and in the imperative (e.g., ms ta $\dot{g}, 36: 23 ; 86: 6$ ). This is a peculiarity of this verb.

The $l$ is also sometimes lost in the phrase ko-ṭát 'everyone' (< kol ṭát ; see $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3)$, and, in fast speech, $l$ is often dropped from the negative particle $\Omega$ (see §13.2.1).

Finally, we can also note here that the liquid $r$ shows irregular loss in the word kũhn 'horn;hilt (of a dagger); peak' (e.g., 6:25 < k.kn [see § 2.1.8] < *karn), as it does across all of MSA in this word.

### 2.1.7 The Loss ofn

The nasal $n$ is not regularly lost in any environment. At the end of a word, however, it is sometimes lost, or partially lost, resulting in a nasalized syllable (see further in $\S 2.1 .8$ ). There are also a few places in which $n$ has been lost irregularly, namely in the 2 s and 3 s forms of the declined forms of the prepositions 'ar 'from; about; than' (§8.4), kin 'from (someone)' (§8.14), and man 'from' (§8.18). Where $n$ has been lost, the neighboring vowel has been nasalized. See $\S 8.30$ for a complete list of the forms of these prepositions.

### 2.1.8 Word-Final Liquids and Nasals

When at the end of a word and preceded by a full vowel (not $\partial$ ), the nasals $m$ and $n$ are often devoiced or lost, with a resulting nasalization of the final vowel, followed by a slight aspiration or nasal expiration. This affects numerous nouns, as well as common pronouns like šum 'they' and ðókun 'that'. In this volume, I usually indicate where there is such nasalization in the audio version of the texts, e.g., šũm in $28: 5$. I only indicate the added $h$ sound where it is especially audible on the audio, e.g., s $\tilde{\varepsilon} h m$ 'poison' (6:5) and $k$ ũhn 'horn; hilt (of a dagger); peak' (6:25). Nasalization of a final vowel before a nasal consonant affects verbs too, though we do not normally see the complete loss of the nasal and aspiration. So while sem 'poison' is usually pronounced something like $s \tilde{\varepsilon}^{\hbar}$ (as indicated by the spelling $s \tilde{\varepsilon} h m$ mentioned above), a verb like zaḥám 'he came' might be pronounced zaḥãm.

A similar phenomenon affects the liquids $r$ and $l$, though we do not get a true devoicing of $l$, but rather a sound approaching $h$. Examples are much fewer than examples with a final nasal, and all examples in the texts are
nouns. I transcribe such words, following Johnstone, with a final $h r$ (e.g., $x \supset h r, 30: 24$ ) and $\operatorname{lh}$ (e.g., gamilh, 30:24).

### 2.1.9 The Loss oft-

The prefix $t$-, used for all second person and feminine third person forms of most imperfect and subjunctive verbs (see § 7.1.2 and §7.1.3) is sometimes lost in pronunciation when it occurs before the consonants $s, \dot{s}, \check{s}, \tilde{s}, t, t, z$, and z. It is probable that it is also sometimes lost before $s$ (as in Mehri), though this never happens in the texts. Examples are:
$d h a-(t) s a l \bar{\jmath}-t o$ 'you will wait for me' (3:10)
$a(t)$ śún to $l a$ 'she wouldn't see me' (51:3)
ha-(t)štikén 'they will drink' (20:3)
(t)s̃a ásór 'she loved' (97:4)
( $t) t \bar{\varepsilon} n$ 'they eat' (51:22)
( $t$ )zhõnn ð-télf 'they come' (47:6)
( $t$ )ẓhok 'she was laughing' (49:9)
In none of the above examples was the initial $t$ written in the Arabic-letter versions of the texts that were written by the speaker.

There are also some counterexamples in the texts, though none in which the prefix $t$ - is preserved bofore another $t$ :

```
tasókf 'she would sit' (17:13)
dha-taśné 'you will see' (33:7)
taštəfóran 'they were going down' (40:4)
taškélot t 'she is conversing' (18:11)
tazhóm 'she comes' (23:10)
trą́bót 'she gets' (49:31)
```

Further investigation in the field is needed to see how regular the loss of $t z-$ really is. It should be added that the forms of the numeral 'nine', masculine saét and feminine so (see § 9.1.1), from the Semitic root ${ }^{*} t s$ 's seem to exhibit this same loss of historical $t$ - before $s .^{15}$

A second, unrelated loss of the prefix $t$ - is found in the imperfect and subjunctive of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-, \mathrm{H}-$, and Q -Stems, as well as with internal passives. Here the $t$ - is either lost (in the imperfect) or replaced by $l$ - (in the subjunctive),

[^26]at least among some speakers. This phenomenon, which has parallels in Soqotrii, ${ }^{16}$ is discussed further in the discussion of the relevant verbal stems in Chapter 6, as well as in § 7.1.2 and § 7.1.3. The loss of $t$ - in these stems is probably connected to the quality of the historical prefix-vowel. ${ }^{17}$

### 2.1.1 The Non-Occurrence of $d-/ \partial$ -

Following the discussion in §2.1.9 of the prefix $t$-, which is subject to loss in some verbal stems, a few words should be said about the verbal particle $d$ / --(§ 7.1.10). This particle normally does not occur before the prefix $t$-, so, for example, we find the present progressive $t \bar{\jmath} k$ 'you are crying' (49:28) where we expect $d-t \bar{\jmath} k$ or $\partial-t \bar{\jmath} k$ ( $d$-/ $\partial$-plus the 2 ms imperfect). In those places where $t$ - does not occur, however, then $d$ - $/ \partial$ - is used. So in the D/L-Stem, for example, we find 2 ms imperfect agódalan 'you tie', and progressive $d$-agódalan. If, however, the prefix $t$ - is used in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem imperfect (as many speakers today do; see § 7.1.2), then the particle $d$-/ $\partial$ - may be suppressed.

The particle $d$-/ $\delta$ - seems not to be suppressed before $t$ - when the $t$ is part of the verbal root, though evidence for this is not abundant. Cf. дə-tzlik 'I was sorry' (31:5, from talé 'be sorry, regret'), дə-télafk ‘I was hungry' (48:9, from telf 'be hungry'), and ðə-thúmk 'I think' (60:44, from thumk 'I think'). There is evidence, however, for the suppression of the particle before the glottalics $t$ (e.g., thín 'ground', 97:29) and ṣ (e.g., ṣor 'standing', 48:20).

For further on the particle $d-/ \delta$-, see $\S 7.1 .10$ and its subsections.

### 2.1.11 Gemination

In some Semitic languages, we find morphologically significant gemination. For example, compare the Arabic verb xadama 'he served, worked' with xaddama 'he employed', where the gemination is part of a transitivizing pattern. Likewise consider the Arabic agentive pattern CaCCāC (e.g., najjār 'carpenter'), in which the second root consonant is morphologically geminate. In Jibbali, though geminate consonants exist, gemination plays no productive role in derivational or inflectional morphology. Gemination is simply lexical, either through borrowing (e.g., ámma 'as for' < Arabic 'ammā [§ 12.5.1]), or because two identical consonants have come together (e.g., ðabbót 'fly (insect)' < root $\partial b b$; and lóttəg 'kill o.a.', Ti-Stem 3 ms perfect of the root lt $\dot{g}$ ).

[^27]Gemination does not normally occur at the end of a syllable or word, and so a final geminate cluster is simplified. This rule affects verbs whose second and third root consonants are identical (so-called geminate verbs; see § 7.4.14). So we find, for example, G-Stem fer 'he flew' (< *ferr), but farrót 'she flew'; hez ‘he slaughtered’ (< *̣ezz) and haizk ‘I slaughtered', but ḥizzót 'she slaughtered'.

There is a rule ${ }^{*} C_{2} \partial C_{2} \dot{V}>C_{2} C_{2} \dot{V}$, which affects the forms of some verbal stems. So we find, for example, H-Stem eglél 'he boiled', but $\varepsilon$ gallót 'she boiled' (< *eglalót); See also § 7.4.14. In this example, as in the G-Stem examples above, the consonant is not morphologically geminated; rather, the geminate cluster is the result of two identical consonants coming together.

In a number of verb forms, in various stems, we find a change ${ }^{*} C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ $>C_{l} C_{l} \partial C_{2}$, i.e., the transfer of gemination onto another root consonant. An example is the G-Stem 3ms subjunctive yóffar < *yófrar. See §7.4.14 for additional examples.

With some T-Stem verbs, gemination results from assimilation, e.g., mússi 'melt (intrans.)' (< *mútsi), though this assimilation is not present in all dialects of Jibbali. See further in $\S$ 6.5.1.

We sometimes see the effects of a historical gemination that is no longer present. For example, as discussed in $\S 2.1 .3$, the $m$ of the word $\varepsilon$ ह́m ' 'mother' ( $\varepsilon$ mí 'my mother', etc.) is not lost, even though it is intervocalic, because the $m$ is historically geminate.

For further on gemination in Jibbali, see the study of Johnstone (1980a).

### 2.2 Jibbali Vowels

In the system of transcription used in this book (following the system that Johnstone outlined in $J L$ ), there are eight basic vowels, not all of which are distinct phonemes:

| Front |  |  |  |  |  | Back |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High |  |  |  |  |  | $u$ |
|  | $e$ |  | a |  | $o$ |  |
|  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| Low |  |  | $a$ |  |  |  |

The vowels $i$ and $e$ are distinct phonemes, but in some contexts may be interchangeable (e.g., difar ~ défar 'bad'). The vowel $e$ is also raised to $i$ in the presence of a nasal consonant (§2.2.2), and sometimes $r$. The vowels $a$ and $\varepsilon$ reflect a single phoneme: $\varepsilon$ is the usual form, while $a$ is usually found in conjunction with a guttural consonant. The status of the back vowels
$\rho, o$, and $u$ as phonemes is a bit difficult to determine. In many contexts $\rho$ and $o$ seem to be interchangeable (with $s$ being much more common). In addition, $s$ and $o$ are raised to $u$ in the environment of a nasal ( $\S 2.2 .2$ ), and sometimes also the labials $b$ and $f$ (see $\S 6.2$ ). The great majority of the time, the presence of $u$ in a word is the result of such raising. Still, $u$ may be considered a distinct phoneme in some words (e.g., túz̃ər 'rich', ḥus̃ 'enclosure, pen'). It is not clear if $o$ and $\rho$ are distinct phonemes. The common vowel $\partial$ is a phoneme, if marginally, though in many places is used also as an epenthetic vowel.

The vowels, with the exception of a, also have long counterparts $(\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\imath}, \bar{\jmath}$, etc.), all of which are the result of elision due to the loss of $b$ or $m$ (see $\S 2.12$ and $\S 2.1 .3$ ), or of ', $w$, or $y$ (see the relevant sub-sections of $\S 7.4$ ). Occasionally the sequence ' $a$ or $a^{\text {c }}$ is realized $\bar{a}$. Still, vowel length is only marginally phonemic, and minimal pairs are very few. Three such pairs are $h e ~ ' I ' ~ h \bar{e} ~ ' h e ~ f e l l ' ~(r o o t ~ h w y), ~ h e k ~ ' f o r ~ y o u ' ~ ~ h e ̄ k ~ ' I ~ f e l l ', ~ a n d ~ b e r ~ ' a l r e a d y ' ~ ~ b e ̄ r ~$ 'con-man, liar'. Stressed short vowels are pronounced longer than unstressed vowels. The long vowels that result from elision may be pronounced only as long as a regular stressed vowel, though they can also be pronounced longer. There is some variation, as is clear from the variation in transcription in $J L$ (see also §7.4.8). Word-final short vowels are sometimes pronounced with a final glottal stop.

The full vowels also have nasalized variants ( $\tilde{l}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{o}, \tilde{u}$, and rarely $\tilde{e}$ and $\tilde{0}$.$) , which are the result either of elision of intervocalic m(\S 2.1 .3)$, the loss of word-final $m$ or $n$ (§ 2.1.7 and § 2.1.8), or, in a handful of words, the irregular loss of $n(\S 2.1 .7)$. At least some of these nasalized vowels are phonemic, though minimal pairs are again very few. One example is $k \bar{\jmath} r$ 'he buried' and $k \tilde{o} r$ 'he won, beat (in a game)'. With the exception of a few lexemes, namely, $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$ 'yes', and the particles $h \tilde{\varepsilon}(\S 11.11)$ and $h u \tilde{k}(\S 12.5 \cdot 10)$, nasalized vowels in Jibbali are all the result of the loss of a nasal consonant.

After the guttural consonants ' and $\dot{g}$, the vowel $a$ is pronounced with a slight diphthongization by some speakers. So, for example, 'ágab 'he wants' can be pronounced closer to 'áygab, and gabgót 'girl' can be pronounced as $\dot{g} a y b g o ́ t$. This diphthong $a y$ is just an allophone of $a / \varepsilon$. Although Johnstone occasionally transcribed this $y$ in Roman characters, his native informants did not write $y$ in their Arabic-letter transcriptions.

The vowel $\partial$ is nearly always unstressed, though it can bear stress in some environments; see further in §2.3. Stressed a can also be realized as [r] (transcribed $i$ ), e.g., kisk ~kask'I found'. Before or after a guttural consonant, the reduced vowel $\partial$ is sometimes realized $a$.

### 2.2.1 The Effects of Guttural Consonants on Vowels

Guttural consonants (the uvular fricatives $x$ and $\dot{g}$, the pharyngeal fricatives $h$ and ', the glottal fricative $h$, and the historical glottal stop ') can all be considered 'weak' root consonants with respect to the verbal system, in that they all cause phonetic changes in the verbal paradigm. Synchronically, some of these changes can be considered sound rules, while in other cases verbs with guttural consonants simply follow different patterns from strong verbs.

Often we find the shift of $\varepsilon$ or $a$ to $a$ when preceding or following a guttural. This seems to be a tendency with most of the gutturals, and not a regular change. The shift is most common, regular even, with the guttural . Examples are:

```
yálaḳ 'he lights' (< H-Stem 3ms subjunctive pattern yéCCəC)
yógma'he gathers' (< Ga-Stem 3ms subjunctive pattern yóCCəC)
şin'át 'skill, trade' (< șinc`- + feminine suffix -\varepsilońt)
```

On additional effects of guttural consonants pertaining to the verbal system, see $\S 7.4 .2, \S 7.4 \cdot 7$, and $\S 7.4 .11$. The most significant change is the shift of $C V C V ́ G$ to $C V ́ C ə G$ ( or $C V ́ C a G$ ), discussed in § 7.4.1. Hayward et al. (1988) also discuss a number of sound changes relevant to guttural consonants in the verbal system.

### 2.2.2 The Effects of Nasals on Vowels

The nasals $m$ and $n$ affect vowel quality in two ways. First, as described above, elision of intervocalic $m$ (§2.1.3), and loss of word-final $m$ or $n(\S 2.1 .8)$ normally result in a nasalized vowel.

Nasal consonants also regularly have a raising effect on a neighboring $e$ or $\jmath$, whether or not they are subject to loss. The vowel $e$ is normally raised to $i$, and $o$ is raised to $u$. Some examples are:
mid 'he stretched out' and nit 'he shivered' (Ga geminate 3 ms perfect pattern CeC )
rṣanút 'she tied' (< Ga 3fs perfect pattern CCaCót)
míraž' 'he fell ill' and níkab 'he fell' (< Gb 3ms perfect pattern CéCəC)
ยnúđ̛̣ə 'he cleaned' (< D/L 3ms perfect pattern $\varepsilon$ CóCəC)
عðmír 'he showed' and tmím 'he finished' (< H 3ms perfect pattern ( $\varepsilon$ )ССе́C)
s̃axtín 'he got circumcised' (< Ši 3 ms perfect pattern s̃aCCéC)
nútgaḥ 'he hurried up' (< $\mathrm{T}_{1} 3 \mathrm{~ms}$ perfect pattern CótCəC)

Vowel quality can be affected even if the nasal is lost，for example：

```
zũt 'she gave' (< *zumút < Ga I-w 3fs perfect pattern CoCót)
yũkər ‘he stores milk’ (< *yzmúkar < Ga 3ms imperfect pattern
    yaCóCaC)
yũl 'he fills' (< *yamúl < Ga III-' 3ms imperfect pattern yaCóC)
əštĩ ‘he listened' (< *aštémí < T2 3ms perfect pattern aCtéCéC)
```

In the Ga－Stem perfect，there is also vowel harmony for verbs whose final root consonant is a nasal．So for example，we find 3 ms perfect guzúm＇he swore＇and šukúm＇he went out（at night）＇（pattern СっСว́C）；and 3 fs guzũt ＇she swore＇and šukũt＇she went out（at night）＇（pattern CっCっCót）．

Other examples from the verbal system can be found in the relevant subsections of §7．4．

## 2．3 Word Stress

In $J L$ and elsewhere，Johnstone often indicated multiple stressed syllables in his transcriptions．It is not rare to find two，or even three syllables marked with an acute accent in $J L$ or in Johnstone＇s manuscripts．As he wrote in $J L$（ $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xv}$ ），＂［Jibbali］words can have more than one prominent syllable，as， e．g．，gólód．Stressed vowels are slightly longer than unstressed vowels in open syllables and final－CVC syllables＂．Recent fieldwork by myself and others has confirmed this．We can say，however，that a word may have a single primary stressed syllable，nearly always the ultimate or penultimate syllable．Words with penultimate stress combined with an unstressed suffix result in a form with antepenultimate stress，e．g．，šótorhum＇their kid＇（49：5，＜šóţr＋－hum）， látġakum＇you killed＇（15：11，＜létog＋－kum），and šibbədəs＇detach yourself from her！＇（ $60: 43,<$ šibbad $+-s)$ ．The primary stress normally falls on the final full vowel，which is to say，the final vowel other than $\partial$ ．However，the vowel a can bear stress if it is the only vowel in the word（e．g．，yat＇camel＇， man＇from＇）；if there is no other vowel in the word（including unstressed affixes）that can bear stress（e．g．，cyáti＇my camel＇，with the unstressed affixes $\varepsilon$－and $-i$ ）；and when it is being used as a variant of another vowel，such as $[\mathrm{I}]</ \mathrm{i} /(\mathrm{e} . \mathrm{g} .$, ag̉áti～aǵiti＇my sister＇；cf．ġit＇sister＇），［ $\varepsilon]$（e．g．，ha－l－zámk ～ha－l－zémk ‘I will give you’；cf．ḥa－l－zém＇I will give’），or［e］（e．g．，látġakum ～létġakum＇you killed＇；cf．létəg＇he killed＇）．It is also stressed in the set of object suffixes used with 3 ms perfect verbs（§3．2．3）and a few prepositions （§8．30）．

Certain affixes attract the primary stress．Specifically，the object suffixes that are used with the 3 ms perfect（ $(3.2 .3$ ）and certain prepositions，like＇$a k$.
and $t e l(\S 8.30)$, bear the primary stress, as do the possessive suffixes that are used with plural nouns (§3.2.2).

There are places in which the presence of two stressed syllables may explain certain phenomena. For example, as discussed in $\S$ 2.1.2 and $\S$ 2.1.3, intervocalic $b$ and $m$ are normally elided. However, this rule is often blocked if $b$ or $m$ appears between two stressed vowels of different quality (neither of


In the transcriptions of the texts, I have generally marked the primary and secondary stressed syllable with an acute accent, unless the word is monosyllabic. There are very few words that are distinguished only by stress. One pair may be 'ónut 'year' and 'onút 'drought', but this is not certain (see the comment to text 20:1).

[^28]
## CHAPTER THREE

## PRONOUNS

### 3.1 Independent Personal Pronouns

Following are the independent forms of the Jibbali personal pronouns:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | he | (a)s̃i | nhạ $(n)$ |
| 2 m | het | (ə)ti | tum |
| 2 f | hit |  | ten |
| 3 m | še | ši | šum |
| 3 f | $s \varepsilon$ |  | sen |

## Notes:

- Jibbali distinguishes gender in the 2 s forms, unlike Omani Mehri. ${ }^{1}$
- The 1cd and 3cd forms (if they are used at all) are identical in Jibbali dialects that do not distinguish $\tilde{s}$ and $\check{s}$.
- According to Johnstone (JL, s.v. nḥn), 1cp nḥan is CJ, while nḥa is EJ and sometimes CJ. ${ }^{2}$ WJ seems to use $n h a$.
- The 2 p and 3 p forms are often pronounced with nasal vowels: $t \tilde{u}(m), t \tilde{\varepsilon}(n)$, $s \check{u}(m)$, and $s \tilde{\varepsilon}(n)$.

The dual pronouns are obsolete, or nearly so. Younger (teenaged) informants do recognize the dual pronouns when pressed (at least the second and third persons), but most do not normally use them. The plural pronouns have taken their place, though one can also say, for example, tum troh 'you two' to specify that two people are being addressed.

[^29]The independent personal pronouns have several functions. Most commonly, they are used as the subject or predicate of a non-verbal sentence ( $\$ 13.1$ ) or as the subject of a verbal sentence. Examples of such non-verbal sentences are:
he axér 'ankúm 'I am better than you' (20:8)
het ol gabgót lo 'you are not a girl' (17:8)
ko hit bũn 'why are you here' ( $54: 15$ )
šz mis̃érd 'he is crazy' ( $1: 8$ )
se súdkat 'she (was) a friend' (60:46)
nha śstét ġag 'we are three men' (54:20)
tum bet mũn 'what house [or: clan] are you?' (54:5)
šum 'ak manzél raḥím 'they are in a beautiful place' (28:5)
ižénu šum 'these are they' (45:11)
And examples of verbal sentences are:
he alōd lo 'I don't lie' (22:17)
$\mathfrak{l}$ śédən he ba-sć $l$ ' 'she and I did not agree' (10:1)
$k_{0} h \varepsilon t$ tj $k$ 'why are you crying?' (49:28)
sśtbot š̌ bo-šúm 'he and they fought' (53:1)
'ágəb $b$-agaabgót ba-sć 'agiót beš 'he fell in love with the girl, and she fell in love with him' (17:16)
nḥa al há-nzḥmél lo 'we will not move' (15:5)
her sẽn férr’̣ bek 'if they are happy with you' (7:2)
inć toôr tüm her ekahwét 'what (word) do you say for (drinking) coffee?' (34:11)
hes tum ənt̄̄ḥkum, he cródk Éruní 'when [or: while] you fought, I brought my goats to the water' (20:8)

In verbal sentences, however, pronouns are often omitted. When the pronoun appears, it sometimes gives contrastive emphasis, as in the last two examples above, but in most cases there is no obvious reason for its appearance. Passages in which the pronoun is omitted are abundant in the texts, but a few representative examples are:
ôk hes, "her "ágisis $b i$, ha-tġid s̃i"" I said to her, "If you love me, you will go with me"' (13:18)
šffok ba-tété 'he married a woman' (97:3)
šerókkum tวš 'you stole it' (6:37)
dha-yzzémk alhín 'agk 'he will give you whatever you want' (18:8)

An independent personal pronoun can also be fronted, to emphasize a subject (usually second person), including the understood subject of an imperative, or the object of a preposition or particle. This is rather common with imperatives (§7.1.6) and 'have' constructions (§ 13.3):
het ol het axér 'ánén lo 'you are not better than us' (20:4)
ba-hét ba-rīk 'you (do) as you wish!' (28:17)
het s̃iṣ́s lóhum 'you listen to them!' (33:6)
tũm s̃ork beš alhín 'ákum 'you all do with him whatever you want!' (17:20)
he s̃i $\varepsilon$ émí šxarét 'I have an old mother' (18:7)
bə-nḥá 3 s s̃en dé lo 'we have no one' (13:2)
An independent pronoun can also be used to clarify or emphasize a direct object suffix, as in:
ikežót īs yéšfaķəs, b-īs ikélàk het l-émlək ag̉éyg ðénu. 'she gave authority to her father to marry her off, and her father gave authority to you to give possession to this man' $(45: 18)$

An independent pronoun can be used to give contrastive emphasis to a possessive suffix or the pronominal suffix of a preposition. Examples are:
tōlàk het náṣanu 'it's your turn now' (lit. 'it's with you now') (36:30)
 'if he doesn't have a penis, his head should be cut off. But if he has a penis, your heads should be cut off' (17:39)
axér 'áni hé 'better than me?' (54:4)
'ak bi hé 'do you want me?' (TJ4:35)
The independent pronouns can also follow the genitive exponent $\varepsilon$-/ $\delta$ (§12.4), in which case they function as possessive pronouns ('mine, yours, ours, etc.'). This construction, unknown in classical Semitic, is known from elsewhere in Modern South Arabian and Ethiopian Semitic. The attested examples from the texts are:
troh $\varepsilon$-nḥá ba-ṭát $\varepsilon$-dídi 'two of ours and one of my uncle's' (49:4)
foḳ̣ ôli e-hét 'half of my livestock is yours' (5:16)
The independent pronouns are also used with the pseudo-preposition (əl-)hés 'like’; see § 8.12 for examples. An independent pronoun is also required after a complementizer; see $\S$ 13.5.1.1.

### 3.2 Suffixed Pronouns

To express pronominal possession, a special suffixed form of the pronoun is attached to the noun. There are two closely related sets of suffixes used with nouns, one used with singular nouns and one with plural nouns. A noun with a possessive suffix must also have the definite article (see § 4.4). There are also two closely related sets of suffixes used to indicate the direct object of a verb. The pronominal object of a preposition is also expressed with a suffix, from the sets of suffixes used for nouns; see further in $\S 8.30$.

### 3.2.1 Suffixes on Singular Nouns

The suffixes that attach to singular nouns are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | -i | -(ว)s̃i | -(ə)n |
| 2 m | -(a)k | -(ə)s̃i | -(a)kum |
| 2 f | -(a) $\tilde{s}$ |  | -(a)kan |
| 3 m | -(a) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -(ə)ši | -(a)hum |
| 3 f | -(a)s |  | -(a)san |

Notes:

- A few nouns ending in a vowel drop the final vowel before the 1cs suffix, in which case the suffix is stressed. Cf. $a \dot{g} i ́ ~ ' m y ~ b r o t h e r ' ~(~ \leftarrow \dot{g} a$ 'brother'), $\varepsilon b r i ́$ 'my son’ $(\leftarrow(\varepsilon) b r \varepsilon ́ ~ ‘ s o n ’)$; but not $a^{\text {'iśśi 'my dinner' ( } \leftarrow \text { 'iś } \varepsilon \text { 'dinner'), agarói }}$ 'my language' ( $\leftarrow \dot{g}$ aró 'language'). The vowel $a$ of the remaining suffixes is lost with all words ending in a vowel.
- The vowel a, indicated in parentheses in the table above, is not always present, especially if the word ends in a voiceless, non-glottalic consonant.
- When preceded by a vowel, word-final $b$ and $m$ are usually lost before these suffixes (e.g., $\varepsilon r k i ̄ s$ 'my riding-camel' < * $\varepsilon r k i ́ b-a s ̌, ~ 46: 7 ; ~ k a m k \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} ~ ' y o u r ~$ head-cloth' < *kamkém-ə̃̃, 60:42), which is due to the fact that historically a vowel (a case ending) once preceded the suffix. However, some speakers preserve these consonants (e.g., kobk 'your dog'). ${ }^{3}$

[^30]- The 2 fs and 3 ms suffixes are identical in Jibbali dialects that do not distinguish $\tilde{s}$ and $\check{s}$.
- The 1cd and 2 cd suffixes are identical. The 3 cd suffix is also identical in Jibbali dialects that do not distinguish $\tilde{s}$ and $\check{s}$. The dual forms are rarely used, in any case.
- The 3 mp suffixed pronoun has unexpected $h\left(\right.$ from Semitic $\left.{ }^{*} s\right)$, while the independent pronoun (šum) has $\check{s}$ (also from Semitic *ss). This peculiarity was observed also by Bittner (1916b: 45).

To illustrate the forms of these suffixes, we can use the nouns but (def. $\bar{u} t$ ) 'house', ga 'brother' (def. agáá), and sékən 'settlement':
but: $\quad \bar{u} t i, u ̄ t(\partial) k, \bar{u} t \tilde{s}, u ̄ t s ̌, ~ \bar{u} t s, ~ \bar{u} t s ̃ i, ~ u ̄ t s ̃ i, ~ u ̄ t s ̌ i, ~ u ̄ t ว n, ~ \bar{u} t k u m, ~ u ̄ t k a n, ~$ ūthum, ūtsən
$\dot{g} a: \quad$ agí, $a \dot{g} a ́ k, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ s ̃, ~ a g ̉ a ́ s ̌, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ s, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ s ̃ i, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ s i, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ s ̌ i, ~ a g ̉ a ́ n, ~ a \dot{g} a ́ k u m, ~$ ağákan, ağáhum, ag̉ásən
 sékənši, sékənən, sékənkum, sékənkən, sékənhum, sékənsan

### 3.2.2 Suffixes on Plural Nouns

The suffixes that attach to plural nouns are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | -í | - $\varepsilon$ s̃i | -ćn |
| 2 m | - ¢ $k$ | - $\varepsilon$ s̃i | -òkum |
| 2f | - $¢$ s̃ |  | - ̇́kən |
| 3 m | - $\varepsilon$ š | - $\varepsilon$ ši | -óhum |
| 3 f | - $\varepsilon$ s |  | -ésən |

## Notes:

- All suffixes except 1cs, 2 mp , and 3 mp are characterized by a vowel $\dot{\varepsilon}$ preceding the suffix.

[^31]- There is a tendency among some younger speakers to extend the vowel $\varepsilon$ ́ to the 2 mp and 3 mp forms.
- The 1 cs suffix is stressed, unlike the 1 cs suffix used with singular nouns.
- The 2 fs and 3 ms suffixes are identical in Jibbali dialects that do not distinguish $\tilde{s}$ and $\check{s}$.
- The 1 cd and 2 cd suffixes are identical. The 3 cd suffix is also identical in Jibbali dialects that do not distinguish $\tilde{s}$ and $\check{s}$. The dual forms are rarely used, in any case.

To illustrate the forms of these suffixes, we can use the nouns bet 'houses' (def. $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ ), ygl 'camels' (def. iy $\varepsilon$ l), and ínét 'women':

| $b s t$ : |  ह̄tóhum, $\bar{\varepsilon} t \varepsilon ́ s a n$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| iyél: |  iy $\varepsilon$ l’’kum, iyélékan, iy |
| inćt: |  inetókum, ínetékan, ínctóhum, ínetésan |

The final -a of external feminine plurals (see $\S 4.3 .2$ ) is dropped before adding a pronominal suffix. For example, from ġatétz 'sisters' (def. ag̉atétz) and 'ánta 'eyes' (def. acántz), we find:
 aġatétésis, aġatétéši, aġatétén, aġatétókum, aġatétékon, aġatétóhum, aġatétészn
 a ántéši, a'ántén, áántókum, a'ántékon, a ántóhum, a'ántéson

### 3.2.3 Suffixes on Verbs

A pronominal direct object is most often indicated with a suffix attached to the verb. With perfect tense verbs, pronominal object suffixes are attached only to third person forms. With imperfect, subjunctive (including future), conditional, and imperative forms, the suffixes can be attached to any form. No first person suffixes are used with any verb. When an object suffix cannot be used (i.e., if the object is first person, or if the verb is a first or second person perfect), then an independent direct object pronoun (see § 3.3) must be used. A verb can take only one object suffix. Therefore, if a (doubly transitive) verb has two pronominal direct objects, only one can be suffixed; a second pronominal object is indicated by an independent direct object pronoun (§3.3).

There is one set of suffixes attached only to the 3 ms forms of perfect tense verbs-they are not used with the 3 mp or 3 fp perfect, even though these verb forms are otherwise identical to the 3 ms perfect. These suffixes are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | not used | not used | not used |
| 2 m | -ák | -ás̃i | -ókum |
|  | 2f |  |  |
| 3 m | -ékan |  |
| -ás | -áši | -óhum |  |
|  |  |  | -ésan |

## Notes:

- These suffixes carry stress.
- After - $n$ the stressed á tends to be pronounced í (e.g., roṣínís 'he tied her up', $17: 26$ ), following the tendency described in § 2.2.2.
- If a verb ends in a stressed vowel (e.g., ksé 'he found', tē 'he ate', s̃a çée 'he worried about'), then the suffixes used are those discussed below, rather than the set given above.

When a suffix is added, the 3 ms perfect often undergoes a vowel change. In the Ga-Stem, the basic form $C(V) C o ́ C$ has the base $C(\partial) C i ́ C$ - before a suffix, e.g., $t f \jmath l$ 'he spit (out)', but $t f i l$ 'ás 'he spit it out' (35:5). For a small number of verbs (e.g., koṣáf 'snap, break', golób 'refuse', teród 'expel, drive away'), the base is $C i ́ C C$ - or $C e ́ C C$-. Some weak verb types in the G-Stem are affected by this change (e.g., II-guttural, II-b/m, III-guttural), while others are not (e.g., geminate, III-w/y). The details vary for derived stems; for example, geminate verbs show a stem change in the Š1-Stem, but not in the G-Stem. Some further examples of 3 ms perfects ( G -Stem unless noted otherwise) with an object suffix are:
raṣinís 'he tied her up' (17:26) (cf. raṣún 'he tied up')
lhíkóhum 'he caught up to them' (6:37) (cf. lhák 'he caught up')
līdáš 'he shot him' (46:17) (< *labídáš; cf. lōd 'he shot')
ḥĩlóhum 'he took them' (97:40) (< *ḥamílóhum; cf. ḥõl 'he took')
zaḥǐs ‘he came to him' (12:10) (< *zaḥímáš; cf. zaḥám 'he came')
eșéláš ‘he reached him' (25:13) (cf. éṣal 'he reached')
ksés 'he found her' (17:9) (cf. ksé 'he found')
hezzésan 'he slaughtered them' (22:6) (cf. hez 'he slaughtered')
$\varepsilon b k a$ ás 'he put it (f.)' (15:16) (H-Stem; cf. $\varepsilon b k a^{\prime \prime}$ 'he put')
s̃habélás 'he understood her' (34:4) (Šı-Stem; cf. šḥabél'he understood')
s̃middàs 'he took it (f.)' (21:6) (Šı-Stem; cf. s̃amdéd 'he took') ${ }^{4}$
There is another set of suffixes that are attached to 3 fs , $3 \mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 fp perfect tense verbs, as well as all imperfects, subjunctives, imperatives, and conditionals:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | not used | not used | not used |
| 2 m | -k | -s̃i | -kum |
| $2 f$ | $-\tilde{S}$ |  | -kən |
| 3 m | $-s$ | -ši | -hum |
| 3 f | -s |  | -san |

Notes:

- These suffixes are the same as those used with 3 ms perfect verbs, minus the initial stressed vowel.
- Sometimes we find an epenthetic a before these suffixes, as needed to aid pronunciation, especially after voiced and glottalic consonants. A preceding $b$ or $m$ is elided before these suffixes only in the $3 \mathrm{mp} / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ perfect (not the other tenses), indicating that these forms originally ended in a vowel, and that the vowel is not an underlying part of the suffix itself. Cf. zũš 'they (f.) gave him' ( $23: 6$, < *zúmə-š < *zúma-š), but yózaməš 'they give $\operatorname{him}^{\prime 5}(46: 8$, < *yózam-š); zəḥãǎs ‘they (m.) came to him' (30:25, < *zaḥámə-š < "zahámu-š), but yazhímš ‘they come to him' (4:5).

Some examples of 3 fs perfects with object suffixes are:
śinútš 'she saw him' (SB2:2) hãlótš 'she picked it (m.) up' (6:20)
tēts 'she ate it (f.)' (6:31) rṣanútš 'she tied him up' (17:27)
zũthum 'she gave them' (36:29) $\quad$ ebgaḥótš 'she put him in' (TJ4:6o)

[^32]Some examples of 3 mp and 3 fp perfects with object suffixes are:
kéláš 'they put it (m.)' (12:4) (cf. kél'zs 'he put it (m.)', 6:29)
$z u ̃{ }^{\prime}$ 'they gave her' (97:11) (< "zúmas; cf. zĩs 'he gave her', 6:31)
zəḥãš 'they came to him' (30:25) (< "zəháməš; cf. zəḥiš he came to him', 12:10)
し̄̄dš 'they shot at him' (2:15) (< "labว́daš; cf. lüd́áš 'he shot at him', 25:14)


$k s e ́ s$ 'they found him' (17:42) (cf. kséśs 'he found him', 97:49)
hãolhum 'they took them' (22:20) (cf. hîl'shum 'he took them', 97:40)
$a$ šéśs 'they roused her' (18:10) (cf. $a$ 'sás 'he roused her', SM)
s̃xabírš 'they asked him' (22:10) (cf. s̃xabíráš 'he asked him', $30: 18$ )
fúskši 'they separated them' (20:5) (cf. fiskáši 'he separated them', SM)
Some examples of imperfects with object suffixes are:
yzs̃a ásśrs ‘he loves her' (17:9)
akóla 'kum 'I let you' (15:4)
tağərbhum 'you know them' (30:15)
yazhímš 'they come to him' (4:5)
nağórbas 'we know it (f.)' (23:5)
ézmak 'I give you' (86:8)

Some examples of subjunctives (all futures or negative commands, since they are easier to translate out of context) with object suffixes are:
dha-l-zhómk 'T'll come to you' (3:6)
dha-nzémk 'we'll give you' (23:2)
dha-l-salóbs 'T'll wait for her' (60:14)
dha-tzksís 'you'll find her' ( $60: 15$ )
dha-l-ó(l)tġək'Tll kill you' (35:8)
ha-nkzlá'k 'we'll leave you' (SB1:6)
ol tiš $l^{\prime}$ don't eat it (m.)!' (6:5)
al tóftzhaš $\imath_{0}$ 'don't open it ( m .)! ( $5: 4$ )
Some examples of conditionals with object suffixes, all of which have a before the suffix, are: ${ }^{6}$

[^33]l-as̃írkanəš 'I would have done it (m.)' (42:2)
al-(l)téǵanaš ‘I would have killed him' (42:5)
alyaš̌īdanak lo 'he wouldn't have made an appointment with you' (42b:
8)
naltéġənəš ‘we would have killed him’ (83:7)
Finally, some examples of imperatives with object suffixes are:

```
tiš 'eat it (m.)!' (97:35) tag̈š 'kill him!' (36:23)
sboṭs 'hit her!' (18:11) țahíns 'grind it (f.)!' (97:6)
z\varepsilońmhum 'give them!' (30:14) k.alá'san 'leave them!' (30:3)
```

These pronominal object suffixes only indicate direct objects. They cannot serve as indirect objects, as they can in some Semitic languages. This may not be clear from the above examples, since a number of Jibbali verbs (e.g., ezúm 'give', zəḥám 'come') take direct objects where English has an indirect object. In one passage in Johnstone's texts, we do find what appears to be an indirect object suffix: al-s̃efḳēš ‘I cover myself with it' (46:15). As described in the comment to that line in the texts, and as discussed already in § 2.1.2, this is not a verb with an object suffix, but rather a contraction of al-s̃éfke beš. The same contraction is also found in the form rdiēš (<rdé beš, 48:3).

### 3.3 Direct Object Pronouns ( $t$-)

In addition to object suffixes (§3.2.3), there also exists a set of independent direct object pronouns, which are built on a direct object marker $t(0)$ plus pronominal suffixes. This particle $t$ - is used only with suffixes, never independently. The full set of forms is as follows:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | to | tós̃i | tun |
| 2m | tok | tós̃i | tókum |
| 2 f | $t o s$ |  | tókan |
| 3 m | tos | tóši | tóhum |
| 3 f | tos |  | tósan |

Notes:

- With all prepositions and nouns, the 1cs suffix is $-i$. Only the particle $t$ lacks - $i$ for the 1cs. This unusual 1cs suffix is found also in Soqotri. ${ }^{7}$
- $J L$ (p. xxvi) lists the $2 f \mathrm{f}$ form tékən and 3 fp form tésən, but the two occurrences of a fp form in Johnstone's texts are 3 fp tósan (25:4; TJ2:104), confirmed by both the manuscripts and the audio. Informants also used tósan (AK1:4) and 2fp tókan. Johnstone was either mistaken (presumably basing his paradigm on fp forms of other prepositions, like bésan, hésən, sésən, etc.), or there is variation in this paradigm, as there is among Mehri dialects. ${ }^{8}$

Like in Omani Mehri (but not all Mehri dialects), ${ }^{9}$ the direct object pronouns are used in complimentary distribution with the verbal object suffixes, though the distribution is rather different in Jibbali. The two basic rules are as follows:

1. For a first person singular or plural object, the direct object pronouns to and tun are always used. Verbal object suffixes are not used for a singular or plural first person object. (Data are insufficient to comment on the obsolete ic dual form.)
2. The second and third person direct object pronouns must be usedand are only used—after first and second person perfects.

Rule \#2 has two important exceptions, namely, that a second or third person direct object pronoun can be used following a verb other than a first or second perfect, if (and only if) the verb already has an object suffix, or if it follows another (first person) direct object pronoun. These exceptions both require that the verb be doubly transitive, i.e., that it be able to take two direct objects.

Examples of first person direct object pronouns (Rule \#1) in context are:

[^34]```
fksk to 'release me!' (6:29)
še ol giarób to \(l_{0}\) 'he didn't recognize me' (13:12)
íné yọ̣̄alan to tōlás ‘what will lead me to her?' (30:14)
ba-fló talótaǵ to ba-fló tózam to téti 'either you'll kill me or give me my
wife' (30:23)
ol shhabólk to lo 'you didn't understand me' (34:11)
kla'to bun 'leave me here!' (83:2)
tabét to dúgur 'she fed me beans' (97:51)
ksé tũn 'they found us' (13:14)
tōk tókala‘ tun 'you should allow us' (15:4)
zahám tun bz-fandél 'he brought us sweet potatoes' (49:11)
```

Examples of second and third person direct object pronouns (Rule \#2) in context are:

he fhsmk tok 'I understood you' (41:6)
ðд-ltúmk tos̃ 'I have struck you' (55:6)
šerכ̇łkum tวš 'you stole it' (6:37)
$n h a$ s̃a čén toš 'we are worried about him' (8:7)
hun śink toš 'where did you see it?' (39:7)
ol šerókan tos lo 'we didn't steal it' (12:9)
al xórbis̃ tos $l$ ' 'you didn't prevent her' (60:12)
śink tókum 'I saw you' (54:6)
yo $\varepsilon$-śinén tóhum mənhínəm 'the people that we saw last night' (16:5)
kisk tóhum ‘I found them’ (22:19)
zumk tos tos 'I gave her it' (97:42)
Finally, examples of exceptions to Rule \#2 are:
firkak 'ar cršót yagכ̄h to toš 'I am afraid the boys will take it from me' (30:22)

In the second-to-last example (30:22), $t \supset s{ }^{2}$ is used because it follows $t$, which must be used (following rule $\#_{1}$ ). In the last example ( $97: 48$ ), toš is used because the verb already has an object suffix. To this last example, we can compare zumk $t \supset s t \supset s s^{\prime}$ I gave it to her' (97:42), where we find two direct object pronouns, since the verb is a first person perfect.

Note that a first person direct object pronoun often causes elision of a preceding $b$ or $m$ in some forms ( $\$$ 2.1.2; § 2.1.3), at least in the subjunctive and imperative, for example:

```
íné ḥa-( \(t\) )zĩ-to 'what will you give me?' (13:7)
\(z \tilde{\varepsilon}-t o t e\) ' 'give me food!' (53:4)
sl dha-(t)sal̄̄-to zeyd lo 'you won't wait for me any longer' (3:10)
sl takarā-tun lo ‘don’t come near us!' (13:11)
\(z \tilde{\varepsilon}\)-tũn x \(\varepsilon\) rín té 'give us a little food!' (53:9)
səl̄̄-to ‘wait for me!' (AMı:6)
```


### 3.4 Demonstratives

Jibbali has three sets of demonstratives, each of which distinguishes gender in the singular only. The near demonstratives are quite stable, but there is some variation in the far demonstratives, as also with the far demonstrative adverbs (see §10.2). The forms of the near demonstratives are:

Near demonstratives ('this, these'):

Most young speakers of Jibbali use the shorter forms in all contexts (usually pronounced $\partial \tilde{\varepsilon}, \partial \tilde{\imath}$, and $i z \tilde{z} \tilde{\varepsilon}$, though some will use $\partial \varepsilon ́ n u$, etc., in careful speech. A longer plural form iźénun is attested in just one passage (used three times) in all of the texts (TJ4:73).

There were originally two sets of far demonstratives:
Far demonstratives ('that, those'):
ms. ðóhun fs. ðíhun/ðúhun cp.ižóhun

Remote demonstratives ('that, those'): ${ }^{10}$
ms. ðókun/ðək fs. ðíkun/ðúkun(u)/ðik cp.ižókun/ižók
The far demonstratives show some variation, even more so than is indicated above. The far demonstrative forms listed above are those found in the texts and in JL. I also heard them used by my younger informants, often reduced in fast speech to ðэ̃ũ, д亢̃ũ, and $i z \tilde{z} \tilde{u}$. However, my younger informants also used new lengthened forms ðóhuпи, ðúhunu, and iźóhunu, each with a final $-u$ that has perhaps been added by analogy with the near demonstratives. These longer forms probably exist for the remote demonstratives as well (at least for the singular), though I only heard fs ðúkunu. As for the feminine forms of the far and remote demonstratives, Jihun and Jikun are the forms

[^35]used in Johnstone's texts. $J L$ has, and most of my informants preferred, the forms with the initial ðú-. Interestingly, Müller's material has ms ðéku, fs ðiku, and cp ilyéku for the remote forms, with all front vowels in the first syllable (Bittner 1916b: 48). The shorter forms of the singular remote demonstratives ( $\partial \partial k$ and $\partial i k$ ) are not well attested.

The demonstratives can be used independently as pronouns, for example:
he s̃erókzk ð́́nu 'I did this' (1:1)
mũn ðغ́nu 'who is this?' (13:12)
ižénu axṣúm 'these are enemies' (25:10)
ižén iy éli 'these are my camels' (AK4:8)
'agk ginítroh bz-ðj́hũn 'I want two guineas for that' (52:9)
ižjhunn sabrś 'those were ghosts' (16:5)
śé besít al-hés đókũn ‘something simple like that' (52:10)
yalhôm đókũn 'that (man) should jump' (54:40)
ð̇́kũn mən iżók วð-šén 'that was one of our friends [lit. those with us]' (60:45)
$\bar{u} t$ š đíkun $s \varepsilon$ 'his house is that one' (46:11)
iž́s antōh 'those (men) were fighting' (20:6)
More often, the demonstratives function as attributive adjectives, in which case they traditionally follow their head noun, which is definite. Examples are:

```
hérúm đénu 'this tree' (22:11)
\varepsilondúnhum đह́nu 'this debt of theirs' (9:8)
śáb đínu 'this valley' (54:16)
\varepsilonkวssét ð'nú 'this story' (34:14)
syát đinu 'this camel' (AK2:4) (but đin \varepsilonyát in AK2:5)
xátojk ižénu 'these clothes' (17:6)
\varepsiloǹm ižén 'these days' (AK4:12)
míh izźn 'this water' (AK)
sékən ðóhũn 'that community' (15:12)
xafðэ́hün 'that foot' (52:6)
\varepsiloñnzél đóhunu 'that place' (Anon1:5)
hallét Jihũn 'that town' (17:15)
yum ðúhũn 'that day' (AK1:2)
\varepsilonkfj́r ižjhũn 'those foreigners' (10:1)
x`hr ðǰkũn 'that mountain' (30:24)
\varepsiloñnzél ðókũn 'that place' (3:2)
```

```
agéyg ðókũn 'that man' (54:39)
xatarét ðikũn 'that time' (20:9)
hallét Jíkun 'that town' (46:9)
ūt trut ižóhun 'those two houses' (AK)
agág ižśhunu 'those men' (AK2:3)
\(\bar{\varepsilon} t\) iżjkũn 'those houses' (18:9)
```

There are a small number of passages in which an attributive demonstrative precedes the noun (likewise definite), presumably under the influence of Arabic. Examples are:

```
ð\varepsilońnu səndik`'this box' (5:4)
ð'núagababót 'this girl' (17:53)
ðinúu çhalét 'this word' (34:4)
ðin \varepsilonyát 'this camel' (AK2:5)
ðjhun agéyg 'that man' (SB1:5)
```

Sometimes this is clearly stylistic, as in 17:6, where the preceding demonstrative seems to be used for contrast:
đxənt iżénu xátjojk, bə-fkí xáṭ’̣̂ iźénu 'take off those [lit. these] clothes, and put on these' (17:6)

For other possible examples, see the comments to texts 22:12 and 38:10. Most of my informants regularly placed the demonstrative before the noun when I elicited examples, but in natural speech the demonstrative often followed the noun.

The shortened form of the near demonstrative is attested just four times in Johnstone's texts. Three times it is used as a demonstrative pronoun with an independent pronoun in the predicate, and once it is used in a pair to indicate contrast:
ðən he, agák 'it's me, your brother' (13:12)
ð$n$ š š 'it's him' (30:22)

tit 'ak s śdlkaš đẽn, ba-t-tit' 'ak sśdkaš ðẽn ‘one on this side (of his mouth) and one on that [lit. this] side (of his mouth)' (54:24)

The shortened far demonstrative $\partial i k$ also is attested twice as a subject with an independent pronoun in the predicate: $\partial i k s \varepsilon$ 'that's it' (AK2:10; Anon 1:6). As already noted above, the shortened forms are often used in fast speech, in all positions. Cf. the example of $i z ̌$ én from text AK4:12, given above.

### 3.5 Indefinite Pronouns

3.5.1 dé 'someone, anyone'

For 'someone' or 'anyone', Jibbali uses the pronoun dé. This is most likely related to Mehri ' $3 h \underline{a} d,{ }^{11}$ though the form must have been influenced by the word śé 'something, anything' (see §3.5.2). In combination with the negative sl... lo (§13.2.1), it has the meaning 'no one, nobody, (not) anyone'. Examples of its use are:
dé zaḥikum 'has anyone come to you?' (25:9)
her dé yȧǵrrab śé 'if anyone knows anything' (18:7)
akós dé yamzéz 'I'll find someone who smokes' (60:25)
šã̌k dé 'õr hacys̃éxant 'did you hear somebody say he will [or: would] leave?' (8:9)
ol dé yaḳólb alhín ber xáróg ṣahí lo 'no one (can) bring back alive whatever has already died' (23:14)
эl yaḳ́la‘ to l-as̃éšfak dé lo 'he won't let me marry anyone’ (17:18)
ol dé níśaz mes śé lo 'no one had drunk anything from it' (34:10)
sl s̃îši dé lo 'they had no one' (54:1)
っl dé al-hés še lo 'no one was like him' (54:2)
sl dé yabğód lo 'no one is traveling' (60:37)
sl ksotó dé lo 'they didn't find anyone' (97:31)
Normally a phrase with dé is negated as any phrase, as the above examples show. However, in one place in the texts, we find the idea of 'no one' expressed as $っ l-d e ́-l o$, where dé is negated rather than the entire phrase. This is done for emphasis, in response to the question 'who told you?':
sl-dé-lo ôr híni 'no one told me’ (1:5)
On another unusual negation, which is probably a mistake, see the comment to text 54:32.

The pronoun dé also appears in combination with the partitive man (§8.18), giving the meaning '(any) one of'. In some cases, the two words fuse, resulting in the elision of the $m$ (see §2.1.3); this change is common, but not universal, in fast speech. ${ }^{12}$ Some examples are:

[^36]her dē-ənkẽn taǵǵrəb śé 'if any one of you knows anything' (15:7) (dēank $n$ < dé mankén)
śefdè-ənsẽn sáhart 'it so happened that one of them was a witch' (15:8) (dè-ənsẽn < dé mənsén)
her dé manhúm ðә-õr hek tẹ́nu 'if one of them who said this to you' (24:2)
ya-rét al-śné dé mənhũm 'would that I could see one of them!' (60:32)
The idea of 'everyone' is normally expressed by ko(l) tat (see below, § $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ ), but kol dé can be used if the sense is indefinite. There is just one example in Johnstone's texts:
axér ar kol dé 'better than everyone [or: anyone]' (54:4)
3.5.2 śé 'something, any(thing)'; séé lo 'nothing, not any (thing)'

The idea of 'something' or 'anything' is expressed with the word śé, as in:
ḥósəlak śé ‘did you earn anything?’ (8:3)
her dé yaġórab śé 'if anyone knows anything' (18:7)
he kisk śé ‘I found something' (30:22)
giní ṭaṭ al-śé besít al-hés ðókũn 'one guinea for something simple like that' (52:10)
ba-fló yézmak s sé 'and perhaps he'll give you something' (60:30)
a iśśrék faló śé '(are they) your friends or something?' (60:32)
her śé géré lek 'if anything happens to you' (86:12)
Used in a negative sentence, sé has the meaning 'nothing' or '(not) anything'. The combination sé $l \boldsymbol{l}$ can also be used alone to mean 'nothing'. Examples are:

```
\jmath s̃eš sé lo 'he didn't have anything' (5:6)
ol õtzl śé lo 'he hasn't sent anything' (8:8)
\jmathl \varepsilonṣbáh đ̛er hérúm śé lo 'nothing had appeared on the tree' (22:14)
aġéyg ol ḥa-yz\varepsilońmk śé lo 'the man won't give you anything' (28:13)
sl dé niśsz mes śélo 'no one had drunk anything from it' (34:10)
ol sé zohám beš lo 'nothing came of it' (SB1:4)
õr, "sé lo" 'he said, "(It's) nothing"' (60:32)
```

The combination śé ba-fló śé (in a positive sentence) or śé $b$-วl śé (in a negative sentence) gives some emphasis to the pronoun, meaning something like 'anything at all', for example:
'od ya'õr his̃ śé ba-fló śé ‘does he do [lit. say] anything at all to you?’ (TJ4:50)

っl 'ak $\varepsilon k l \bar{\varepsilon} s ə n$ s̃eš śé $b$-วl śé 'they didn't think [lit. in their hearts] he had anything at all' (TJ4:33)
 all to [lit. with] her until morning' (TJ4:44)

The pronoun śé can also have the meaning 'any' or 'some', when used in a partitive construction with $\operatorname{man}(\S 8.18)$, as in:
ber $\operatorname{ag}(y)$ ég śé man érunókum 'have any of your goats given birth already?' (32:3)
'ak taśtém śé mən õśétan 'you want to buy some of our animals' (41:3) śé-ən s̃óhum 'some among them' (AM1:11)

As the last example shows, the $m$ is sometimes elided in the phrase sé man, just as in the phrase dé man (§3.5.1).

It can also be used as an adjective 'any', in combination with a preceding or following noun:
s̃uk śé aġóhékfaló aġatéték ‘do you have any brothers or sisters?' (SM) ol s̃ek díréham śé lo 'you don’t have any money' (86:8)
het ol bek sé té lo 'you don't have any meat on you' (SB1:6)
ol na̛õl śé alhúti, w-ol śé yél, w-วl śé Érún 'we don't raise any cows, or any camels, or any sheep' (FB1:1)

Finally, the word sé can also be used to indicate an existential in a negative sentence, for example:

```
ol sé mosé lo 'isn't there any rain?' (32:7)
ol sé moḥnét lo 'it's [or: there's] no trouble' (28:20)
l sé míh kéríb lo 'there was no water nearby' (35:1)
ol sé gannílo đ̛er emíh 'there was no jinn by the water-hole' (39:12)
ol sé míh al-fanókum lo 'there is no water ahead of you' (60:37)
```

On the use of mit-iń to mean 'something', see §5.5.7.

### 3.5.3 ko(l) ṭat 'everyone, each one'

The phrase kol țt (var. kol ṭad), often reduced to ko-ṭát (see § 2.1.6), means 'everyone'. If the phrase kol ṭat precedes the verb, it is a true subject, and is treated as grammatically singular, as in English. If it follows the verb, the verb will be in the dual or plural, and kol tat can be considered appositional to the subject of the verb. Examples are:
kol țat yafórah 'everyone is happy' (4:5)
kol tat yézam taxtór ba-xadméts' 'everyone would give the doctor (something) for his work' (52:1)
ko-tát yåagว́b yaġréb éságarr 'everyone wants to know the other guy’ (21:12)

Since $k \mathcal{l}$ can also mean 'each' ( $\$ 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ ), the phrase kJl tat (or ko-tát $)$ is often best translated 'each one', for example:
battadó kJ-tát $b$ - $\mathbf{r} r m ə s ̌$ 'each one went [lit. separated] on his way' (:14) yázmaš kJ-tát śfet ‘each one gave him a hair’ (30:20)
ágan kJ-ṭát yazhỗm ba-kélțót 'letts each one (of us) offer [lit. bring] a story' (36:29)
kol tat man agág rīád 'each one of the men (got) a quarter' ( $54: 8$ )
Note in the last example that the phrase kol tat is used in combination with a partitive man (§8.18).

When 'everyone' is the antecedent of a relative clause, $k J l$ alone is used, without tat, in combination with the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$-/ $\not \partial$ - (see further in §3.8.2). Examples are:
kol ð-Jl s̃es ṣágart lo 'everyone who doesn't have jewelry' (22:5)
kol $\varepsilon$-zhám 'everyone who came’ ( $54: 37$ )
On the combination kol dé, see above (§3.5.1). For more on kol itself, see §5.5.3.

### 3.5.4 kol sée 'everything'

As an indefinite pronoun, 'everything' is expressed in Jibbali by the transparent phrase kol séé. On 'everything' as a relative pronoun, see $\S 3.8 .3$ and §3.8.4. Examples are:

ع̧̧̣̃r axér man kol sée 'patience is better than everything' (20:9)
õr šxxarét taǵgrrab kol séé 'they say [lit. said] there is an old woman who knows everything' (38:7)
kolót heš ba-kól s sé 'he told him everything' (30:18)
The phrase can be strengthened by the addition of $k \varepsilon s^{\prime}$ 'all of it', as in:
taǵórab kal s’é kelš her ĩréž 'she knows absolutely everything about illness' (18:7)

Rarely, $k \supset l$ s sé is better translated as 'anything', for example:
al ya‘ágáb yazém śé lo her kol śé he didn’t like to give anything for anything' (52:2)

For more on $k o l$, see $\S 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$.

### 3.5.5 ع $^{\text {đí-ilín 'so-and-so' }}$

The word $\varepsilon$ ð'́-ilín, which appears thirty times in the texts, is used like English 'so-and-so', to represent an unnamed person. It is used in stories where a name is unknown or where the storyteller wishes to keep a character anonymous, and its use is more frequent than in English. JL (s.v. 'Jy-'/n) defines this word as 'someone, somebody; anyone', which is not accurate. The word does not decline for gender. Examples are:

> he bar eðí-ilín 'I am the son of so-and-so' (5:12)
> s̃óhum عðí-ilín 'so-and-so is with them' (25:10)

> عðı́-ilín, he ékill l-દ́śfakas̃ eðí-ilín 'so-and-so, am I authorized to marry so-and-so to you? (45:9)

With nouns not referring to people, the Arabic word al-faláni (f. al-falaníyya) can be used adjectivally to mean 'such-and-such', as in:
he man hallét al-falaníyya 'I am from such-and-such a town' (5:7)
nxín fúdún al-falaníyya 'under such-and-such a rock' (15:14)

### 3.6 Reflexives

Reflexivity in Jibbali can be indicated by means of a verbal stem, or can be inherent in the meaning of the verb itself, as in:
s̃akəré 'he hid himself' (33:8) (Ši-Stem)
s̃ibbadas 'detach yourself from her!' (60:43) (Šı-Stem)
šxank 'you warmed yourself [by a fire]' (86:4) (G-Stem)
See further in §6.4.2. However, such examples are rather rare in the texts. More often, Jibbali employs a reflexive pronoun based on the historical root * $n f s$ ssoul'. Unlike Mehri (and many other Semitic languages), the reflexive pronoun is not inflected for person or gender, at least among most speakers (see below). The reflexive pronoun has just two basic forms, singular $\varepsilon n u ́ f$ 'myself, yourself, his/her/itself' and plural $\varepsilon n f f f f$ 'ourselves, yourselves, themselves'. There is also a special dative form ḥánúf, plural hánfóf, equivalent to the preposition her 'to; for' $+\varepsilon n u ́ f / \varepsilon n f \partial ́ f .{ }^{13}$ As elsewhere in Modern South

[^37]Arabian, the historical root *nfs has undergone irregular mutation in Jibbali. It first became ${ }^{*} n f$, whence singular nuf, definite $\varepsilon n u ́ f$. The plural $\varepsilon n f \jmath \not f$ is based on a reanalysis of the singular as having the root "nff. Following are some examples of the reflexive pronoun in context:
ha-l-zémk हnúf 'I will give myself to you' (2:3)
kš̌f $\varepsilon n u ́ f$ ' expose yourself!' (17:40)
s̃zrk hánúf kol hallźt bes masgid 'make for yourself a mosque in every town' (5:1)
s̃érék snúf mišérd 'he pretended he was [lit. made himself] crazy' (46:7)
s̃rkót Enúf geyg 'she pretended she was [lit. made herself] a man' (36:27)
bə-tũm ófalət $b$ - $\varepsilon n f f f f$ 'and you, save yourselves!' (54:17)
súlmək \&núf 'I surrender myself' (83:4)
Note the use of the reflexive pronoun in the idioms s̃érék snúf 'pretend' (lit. 'make oneself') and agád $k$-हnúf 'go to the bathroom' (lit. 'go with oneself'; e.g., 97:37), both of which are found also in Mehri. ${ }^{14}$ Another idiom is yol kisk Enúf 'how do you feel [lit. find yourself]?' (40:15). The phrase $b$-हnúf '(save) yourself!' is used as a command in 83:2, where an imperative verb like éflot 'save' (cf. 54:17) or ahtéð́ð́r 'watch out for' (cf. 25:10) must be implied.

In text TJ4, we find over a dozen inflected forms of $\varepsilon$ nuúf and hánúf (cf. also TJ3:9 and AK2:7). This text exhibits a number of dialectal peculiarities, and this is one of them. Examples are:


'she went and spread out for herself that which was placed for her
there ... and she turned down the lamp over herself' (TJ4:53)
kolót hes $b$ - $\varepsilon n u ́ f s f^{\prime}$ he told her about himself' (TJ4:57)
$\bar{o} s a m$ हnúfš 'he identified himself' (TJ4:85)
he bek húlkk enúf 'T've tormented myself' (TJ4:91)
However, twice in text TJ4 we also find an uninflected reflexive, as in Johnstone's other texts:

[^38]ḥaḳt ērót se $b$ - $\varepsilon$ z̃iréts $k$-عnfóf 'when she and her servant-girl were by themselves' (TJ4:49)
kofolót l-عnúf 'she locked herself in' (TJ4:61)
Also in TJ4, we find once a contracted form man-núfi (< mən $\varepsilon n u ́ f i) . ~ S i n c e ~$ there are no other examples of man plus a reflexive pronoun in the texts, it is unclear if this is a regular contraction in this or other dialects. It may simply be that the speaker ran the words together in fast speech. The passage is:
bass man-núfi '(it's) just from myself' (TJ4:5)
English -self in the sense of 'by oneself, alone' is indicated with the word baḥś(é)- (root wḥ́s) plus a pronominal suffix. Examples are:
héteť ol as̃énús baḥsílo ‘I am (just) a woman who does not dare (to be) alone' (25:17)
ko tũm ḳélákum toš yag̉ád baḥśéš ams̃ín ‘why did you all let him go by himself yesterday?' (49:34)
śini títš baḥsés 'he saw his wife by herself' (30:21)
xaṭarét geyg b-éméš $k$-iýśl baḥśóhum 'once a man and his mother were by themselves with the camels' (54:1)
kisk $\bar{\varepsilon} m i ́ b$-ag̉átiad-s̃éf baḥsésan 'I found my mother and my sister asleep by themselves' (13:5)

However, in the example from TJ4:49, given above, we see $k$ - 'with' plus the reflexive pronoun used with this meaning ( $k$-Enfjf 'by themselves').

### 3.7 Reciprocals

Reciprocals in Jibbali are most often expressed by means of a T-Stem verb, for example:

```
ants̄hoo' 'the two fought (with each other)' (20:5)
gótlas aġág 'the men argued (with each other)' (22:18)
tót'an ba-gunōi 'they stabbed each other with daggers' (22:19)
sótbaṭ š\varepsilon bz-šúm 'he and they fought (with each other)' (53:1)
dha-n\partialġtēr 'we'll meet (each other)' (60:43)
```

See further in $\S 6.4 .4, \S 6.5 .2$, and $\S 6.5 .4$. Jibbali also sometimes employs a special reciprocal pronoun, formed on the base tatt- (or țadt-, which is more correct historically) for masculine forms, and țiṭt- for feminine forms. This pronoun is really just the numeral 'one' (tat//tad for masculine, țit for feminine) combined with a form of the direct object pronoun $t$ - (§3.3).

|  | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 m | taṭtós̃i | țattún |
| If | ṭittós̃i | tittún |
| 2 m | țaṭtós̃i | țattókum |
| 2 f | țittós̃i | țittókan |
| 3 m | tatatoǒsi | tattóhum |
| 3 f | țittóši | ṭittósan |

Some examples from the texts are:
ya‘őzəm ṭaṭtóhum ba-yógah al-ṭattóhum 'they invite each other and go to visit each other' (4:3)
'ágan narṣ́n ṭaṭtũn 'let's tie each other up' (17:25)
fúskši man țattóši 'they separated them from each other' (20:5)
ba-ǵśtbər ðд-yđ̣̄̄r ba-ṭaṭóhum 'they came together apologizing to one another' (20:7)
'õr her țattóhum 'they said to each other' (22:18)
fhem ṭattóhum 'they understood each other' (34:14)
yдġózi her ṭattóhum 'they were raiding each other' (83:1)
The reciprocal pronoun can be used in place of a T-Stem verb, even when one exists. For example, in 22:19 we find both létə $\dot{g}$ țaṭtóhum and lóttə $\dot{g}$ meaning 'they killed each other'. The first of these examples uses a G-Stem plus a reciprocal pronoun, while the second uses a T1-Stem of the same root. ${ }^{15}$

The reciprocal pronoun is not used when the verb itself indicates reciprocity. There is a seeming example of this in Johnstone's texts ( $\dot{g}$ ótrab țṭtóhum 'they recognized each other', 6:39), but as explained in the comment to that passage, this should be corrected either to garób ṭattóhum or to g ǵótrab.

[^39]
### 3.8 Relative Pronouns

### 3.8.1 Relative $\varepsilon$-/ठ-

The relative pronoun in Jibbali is either $\varepsilon$ - or $\partial$ - (often pronounced $\partial д$ - or дд-) 'who, that, which'. In Johnstone’s texts these are used interchangeably. ${ }^{16}$ One informant considered $\varepsilon$ - to be the proper Jibbali relative pronoun, while he considered $\partial$ - a Mehrism. However, this probably does not hold, since the latter is still used by many Jibbali speakers. The relative pronoun does not normally inflect for gender or number, and it does not matter if the antecedent is human or non-human. A plural relative $i z^{\prime}-\left(<{ }^{*} i l-\right)$ exists, though its use is not obligatory. ${ }^{17}$ The relative pronoun can be followed by a verbal or non-verbal clause. Some examples are:
zĩs ag̉éyg e-šfọk 'aśírét ḳrós̃ 'the man who got married gave him ten dollars' (7:9)
ksé aǵág əð-šérók $\varepsilon$ lé" 'he found the men who had stolen the cow' (12:8) šxarét ðд-xargót 'an old woman who had died' (18:2)
'õr aǵéyg ðд- $k$ - $\varepsilon$ lhúti 'the man who was with the cows said' (20:3)
zũš ōkrút ða-tِ̄̄rót hagalétš 'they gave him the young camel that had broken his calf' (23:14)
bélé sis̃ agéyg $\varepsilon$-žưṭás̃ 'ónut 'even if you have the man who took you for a year' (30:22)
šfj́ḳək b-ərx e-téróf 'I got married last month [lit. the month that led in]' (32:10)
ižénu śéra‘ $b$-eggór ðə-šérék bi $\varepsilon$ ḳassét ðínu 'these are the judge and the slave who made this story for me' (36:31)
yo ðว-létว ġ īs ol $b$ - $\varepsilon$ ź̛ đókũn lo 'the people who had killed her father were not in that land' (46:3)
hógúm al-sékan ðénu $\varepsilon$-sérén 'it attacked this settlement that's behind us' (47:5)
šóṭərhum $\varepsilon$-ḥézizz 'their kid that was slaughtered' (49:5)

[^40]In one passage in the texts, a resumptive subject pronoun follows the relative. This may be a Mehrism, since this passage comes from a text that is a close translation of a Mehri text:
ber látġak śotét ðд-šũũm xayór 'you already killed three who were the best' (83:6)

If the antecedent is the direct object of the relative clause, then a resumptive object pronoun-either a verbal object suffix (§3.2.3) or a direct object pronoun ( $\$ 3.3$ ) —must be used, for example:
s̃i kob ð́́nu ðд-látġakum toš ‘I owned the wolf that you killed’ (15:11)
yo $\varepsilon$-sínén tóhum manhínam 'the people that we saw last night' (16:5)
dḥa-l-g்ásare tel yo taǵórbhum 'you'll spend the night with people you know' (30:15)
ag̉éyg $\varepsilon$ šfék ag̉atetés śhalét ġ ğag д-эlyaġórbhum lo 'the man had married off his three sisters to men that he didn't know' (30:16)
عġéyg ðə-béks̃əð'วdktoš ‘the man I've already arranged the meeting with’ (28:12)

A resumptive pronoun must also be used if the relative is in a prepositional relationship with the antecedent, as in:
zaḥám ḥallét ðə-bés aǵitš 'he came to the town that his sister was in [or: in which his sister was]' (17:33)
eșáḥan ðд- 'amkás húṭ 'the dish that had the food on it [or: on which the food was]' (17:47)
éṣal óram ðə-yazhím đ̛́rs $\tilde{\varepsilon} k$ ébṭar 'he got to the road that the caravans came on [or: on which the caravans came]' (22:8)

And a resumptive possessive suffix is used in contexts where English requires 'whose' (i.e., where the antecedent is in a possessive relationship with a noun in the relative clause), as in:
aġéyg $\varepsilon$-śínk ūtš 'the man whose house I saw [lit. that I saw his house]' (MmS)

The relative pronoun can optionally be omitted when the antecedent is indefinite. Some examples of this are:
faló akós dé yamzéz 'perhaps I'll find someone who smokes' (60:25)
s̃eš mahfér 'amkáš té' ba-kít 'he had a basket, in which was meat and (other) food' (36:3)
he ġeyg zaḥámk man sfer 'I am a man who has come back from a journey' (13:7)
ġeyg s̃eš króza ‘a man who had a Cruiser’ (AKı:3)
The relative $\varepsilon$-/ $\partial$ - can also be used with no antecedent, with the meaning '(the) one who' or 'that/those which'. A few examples are:
ðд-k-દ́rún 'those (who are) with the goats' (28:6)
źbot $\varepsilon$ - $\check{a} k$ bes 'take the one that you like' (30:4)
he kunk kə-ð-ól s̃óhum xõil lo 'I was with those that did not have umbrellas' (31:3)
ð-aǵǵd yaxalóf ǵr rš 'something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone' (97:27)
$\varepsilon$-xaróg ġasré ikiór k-ḥáṣaf 'the one who dies in the evening is buried in the morning' $(\operatorname{Pr} 16)$
$\varepsilon$ - $k$ - $\varepsilon$ dífar $y$ aṣ̣̄̄h diffar 'the one who is with the bad becomes bad' ( $\operatorname{Pr} 101$ )
Keeping with the above use, the relative $\partial$ - can also be used following an independent pronoun or the interrogative pronoun mun 'who?', with the meaning 'the one that, the one who', as in:
tum ðə-šerókkum عlín ‘you are the ones who stole our cow' (12:9)
he ðə-látġวk 'I am the one who killed (him)' (54:37)
het $\varepsilon$-ǵgébk 'you're the one who defecated' (22:18)
mun $\varepsilon$-s̃érék ténu? mun $\varepsilon$-létag g eganní 'who did this? Who killed the jinn?' (54:34)

The relative is actually required after mun if it is the subject of a verb; for additional examples, see § 11.1.

If the antecedent of the relative pronoun is itself the predicate in a nonverbal clause with a pronominal subject, then the verb in the relative clause agrees with that pronominal subject, and not its grammatical antecedent. ${ }^{18}$ Several examples were already seen above (e.g., 12:9; 54:37; 22:18), but a few more examples will make this clear:
he geyg zaḥámk man sfer 'I am a man who has come back from a journey’ (13:7) (note zaḥámk 'I came back')
he tet ol as̃énús bahśí lo 'I am (just) a woman who does not dare be alone' (25:17) (as̃énús ‘I dare')

[^41]he $\dot{g} e y g ~ \partial-э l ~ b i ~ h i e ̃ t ~ b e ̄ ~ l o ~ ' I ~ a m ~ a ~ m a n ~ w h o ~ d o e s n ' t ~ h a v e ~ v e r y ~ m u c h ~$ strength in him' (38:2) (bi ‘[have] in me')
he ġeyg ðә-xtórk kin sékəni ‘I am a man who has come down from his [lit. my] settlement' (41:2) (xtork 'I came down')
 this land' (60:4) (ol əḳj́dər l-óskəflo 'I cannot stay')
he ġeyg amzéz 'I am a man who smokes' (60:29) (amzéz 'I smoke')
het ġeyg tamzéz 'you are a man who smokes' (FB)
Finally, we should also include here the idioms used for the word 'friend(s)', meaning literally 'the men/those who are with me/you/etc.':
aġág дð-s̃ék 'your friends [lit. the men who are with you]' (24:1)
ag̉ág วð-šéš ‘his friends’ (54:33)
aġegés̃i 'my friend' (10:2, < aġéyg $\varepsilon$ - ${ }^{\text {síl }}$ )

ižók วð-šÉn ‘our friends [or: fellow tribesmen]’ (6o:45)
The shift of stress in aġegés̃i 'my friend' shows that this phrase is essentially lexicalized.

On the use of $\varepsilon$-/ $/ \partial$ - as a genitive exponent, see $\S 12.4$; in conjunction with some numerals, see $\S 9.1 .4$ and $\S$ 9.1.5; to form possessive pronouns, see $\S$ 3.1; and on $d-/ \partial$ - as a verbal prefix, see $\S 7.1 .10$. On the elision of the $b$ in ber following the relative pronoun, see $\S 7.2$.

### 3.8.2 kol $\varepsilon$-/ $/-$ 'whoever, everyone who'

As the antecedent of a relative clause, $k o l$ is used on its own to mean 'whoever' or 'everyone who', as in:
kol ðə-tēs yaktélób kéraḥ 'whoever eats it will turn into a donkey' (6:25)
$k o l$-šérók $\varepsilon$ clè "whoever stole the cow' (12:7)
kol ð-วl s̃es ṣág̉at lo 'everyone who doesn't have jewelry’ (22:5)
$k o l \varepsilon$-létə $\dot{g}$ eganní 'whoever killed the jinn' (54:36)
kol $\varepsilon$-zhám 'everyone who came' (54:37)
$k o l \varepsilon$-s̃éš díréham 'whoever has money' (60:28)
The phrase $k \supset l$ man- $\varepsilon$-/ $\partial$-means 'whoever/whichever (one) of', for example:
$k \supset l$ minén $\varepsilon$-bédé 'whichever of us has lied' (1:8)
kol manhũm $\varepsilon$-dólóf 'whichever of them jumps' (30:15)
kol mankũm ðə-ḳérab to 'any of you who [or: whichever of you] comes near me' (25:20)
3.8.3 alhín 'whatever; all that'

Jibbali has a special relative pronoun alhín (cf. Hobyot alhīn, Mehri alhān, Harsusi hən), meaning 'all that', 'everything (that)', or 'whatever'. It can be followed by a verbal or non-verbal clause. Examples of its use are:

ḥa-l-zámk alhín 'ak 'I'll give you whatever you want' (13:8)
tũm s̃ork bešalhín 'ákum 'you all do with him whatever you want' (17:20)
dḥa-nzémk yirz̃̄̄̄n b-alhín đ̣írsan 'we will give you our camels and everything on them' (22:12)
$z u ̃ s ̌$ ckáhf b-alhín 'amkáš 'they gave him the pot and everything inside it' (23:6)
al dé yaḳólb alhín ber xáróg ṣahílo 'no one (can) bring back alive whatever has already died' (23:14)
alhín 'ak man tōlí dḥa-l-zémk 'whatever you want from me I will give you' (41:4)
dahéfk toš alhín s̃i man ḥus 'I slapped him (on the back) with all the strength I had [lit. all that I had from strength]' (51:7)
ikolót heryo b-alhín séerókak'my father told the people everything I had done' (51:13)
ba-xanít alhín 'ak šófals 'and they took out everything that was in her stomach' (97:36)

### 3.8.4 in 'all that'

Similar to alhín, though less common in Johnstone's texts, is the relative in, which can be used by itself or in combination with kol 'all'. Examples are:
esféróthum ta'lúm kol in xézík bo-díní 'their bird knew everything that happened [lit. was created] in the world' (6:4)
kolóther aǵáš ekęllén kol in kun leš bo-díní 'he told his little brother all that had happened to him in the world' (6:39)
xáṭəs̃ erḥĩm éṣal b-in (ba-kól in) 'õs̃ hééśof 'your nice letter reached me, and all that you said is good' (SB2:6)

As noted in the comment to text SB2:6, one manuscript of that text has kol in, while another (like the audio version) has just in.

With my own informants, I found that in was perhaps more common than the texts suggest. Additional examples I received were:
sáxbar in 'ak 'ask whatever you want' (BY)
sáxbor kol in 'ak 'ask everything you want' (BY)

### 3.8.5 Relative man tél 'where'

The phrase mən tél (corresponding to Omani Mehri man hāl) has two meanings. It can function as a compound preposition 'from (the presence of )', on which see $\S 8.26$. It can also function as a relative-locative 'where', used with or without an antecedent. Examples with an antecedent are:
éṣ̊ ह̃nzél man tél 'õrót cšxarét 'he got to the place where the old woman said' (15:15)
ṭit mənsén (t)səkf đ̣er dəf mən tél l-əḳōźan li ‘one of them would sit on a rock where they could watch me' (49:3)
hárənút man tél yəḳōźən al-sékən 'a hill where they could keep an eye on the settlement' (60:41)

When used without an antecedent, man tél can be translated as 'where', '(in/to) the place where', or 'wherever'. Examples are:
ba-ksé ġarórt ð-díréham man tél s̃éf embére' 'he found a bag of money where the boy had slept' (6:15)
 spending the day' (23:7)
ed man tél kéṭa‘ lek ãḥléb 'until wherever the camel gets tired on you' (30:15)
man tél aġsaré, yaḩziz hóhum yo yat 'wherever they spent the night, people would slaughter a camel for them' (54:13)

## CHAPTER FOUR

## NOUNS

### 4.1 Gender

Jibbali has two grammatical genders, masculine and feminine. Masculine nouns have no formal marker. Feminine nouns are often recognizable by the presence of a suffix -(ə)t or -Vt (-ćt, -át, -ét, -ít, -ót, -út). Following are some examples of marked and unmarked feminine nouns.

Marked feminine: 'ónut 'year', 'czzũt 'mark, sign', brit 'daughter', gizírt 'island', gंabgót 'girl', ġarórt 'bag', h̄̄t 'snake', ḥogúlt 'bracelet', hallét 'town', héṭít 'berry', kéltót 'story', kassét 'story', ṣág̉at 'jewelry', śfet 'hair', sunút 'sleep', yat (pl. (i)yél) 'she-camel'
Unmarked feminine: ह́m 'mother', órəm 'road', $\partial z$ (pl. ह́rún) '(she-)goat', but 'house', dəf 'rock', fa'm 'foot; leg', fúdún 'rock, stone', hãr 'moun-
 (pl. yirs̃ób) 'riding-camel', śa'b 'valley', tet (pl. ínét) 'woman', yum 'day; sun'

Nearly all singular nouns ending in $-t$ have feminine gender, even if the $-t$ is part of the root. For example, both but 'house' and kit 'food' are grammatically feminine, even though the $t$ is part of the historical root; a counterexample is masculine $\varepsilon k$ (a)t 'time' (e.g., 38:1), though this word is an Arabic loan. There are at least two masculine words with a suffixed - $t$ (not part of the root), namely, məõrt 'guest' (34:9) and bahĩt 'pauper' (e.g., 54:38). Plural nouns are a different matter, since most plural nouns ending in $-t$ are masculine; see $\S 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ for examples.

Some masculine nouns referring to animate beings have a recognizable feminine counterpart, while others do not. Some examples are:

| Masculine | Feminine |
| :--- | :--- |
| ba ' 'master; owner', | ba 'lét 'mistress; owner' |
| aggór 'servant' | (i)ž̌rrét 'servant-girl' $\left(\tilde{z}<{ }^{*} g\right)$ |
| ( $\varepsilon$ )bré 'son' | brit 'daughter' |
| did '(paternal) uncle' | dit '(maternal) aunt' |
| $\dot{g} a$ 'brother' | $\dot{g}$ it 'sister' |
| him 'brother-in-law' | hĩt 'sister-in-law' |


| kob 'dog; wolf' | kōt 'bitch; she-wolf' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kérah 'male donkey' | kérhét 'female donkey' |
| śára 'old man' | šxarét 'old woman' |
| xiž '(maternal) uncle' | xolót '(paternal) aunt' |

But:

| i 'father' | Ém 'mother' |
| :---: | :---: |
| geyg 'man' | tet 'woman' |
| ambére' 'boy' | gabgót 'girl' |
| 'male go | $z^{\prime}$ 'female goa |

Curiously, some nouns denoting female animals are grammatically masculine, like derhés 'female kid (6-18 months old)' (cf. 23:7), šstọr 'female kid ( $2-3$ months old)' (cf. 49:4), and alhúti 'cows' (cf. 9:6).

It should also be pointed out that feminine nouns that are marked for gender in the singular need not be, and usually are not, marked in the plural. For example, the plurals of 'ónut 'year', hall'́t't 'town', and śfet 'hair' are 'ayún, ḥalél, and śóf, all of which lack a feminine-marking morpheme. And as a corollary, nouns that lack a feminine marker in the singular can exhibit a feminine morpheme in the plural, as with óram 'road', pl. irúmtz. See further in § 4.3.

### 4.2 Duals

Nouns in Jibbali once possessed a morphologically distinct dual form, as in the other MSA languages, and as Jibbali pronouns and verbs still do (though even these are obsolescent). The dual noun was once marked by a suffixed $-i$ (as we know from the other languages), attached to the singular form of the noun. However, the dual suffix has generally been lost, with the result that dual forms (with few exceptions) look identical to singular ones. Dual nouns are nearly always used in conjunction with the numeral 'two', so, there is no real confusion of meaning between singulars and duals. Examples of dual nouns from the texts are:

```
Masculine
srxtroh '2 months' (8:7)
g}eyg troh '2 men' (12:1)
'áṣartroh '2 nights' (13:16)
aggór troh '2 slaves' (18:10)
Feminine
'ónut trut '2 years' (13:1)
oz trut '2 goats' (13:14)
gunét trrut '2 sacks' (97:21)
žirét trut '2 servant-girls' (97:31)
```

Although the dual suffix $-i$ is no longer present, its historical presence caused elision in nouns ending in -Vb or -Vm (see § 2.1.2 and § 2.1.3), for example:
'arkē trut 'two mice' (TJ3:26) < *'arkébi trut
yũ trut 'two days' (15:2) < yúmi trut
Johnstone (1970b: 511, n. 95; AAL, p. 21) cites two nouns that exhibit a unique dual form, namely $\dot{g} e y g$ 'man' (dual ğóz̃i) and gazzét 'girl' (dual $\dot{g} a z z a ́ t i) .{ }^{1}$ However, neither of these dual forms occurs in his texts. We can also cite the unusual case of fokh 'half', whose dual form fúṣḥi serves as its plural, a use which is quite logical semantically. The dual/plural fúṣhic can occur without an accompanying numeral (e.g., 51:13; 65:12). We can also cite 'áśzri '20' as a dual form (of 'óśar '10'). Note that ǵózzi, ġazzóti, fúṣṣhi, and 'áśari all retain the final $-i$.

If ever the numeral 'two' precedes the noun (an uncommon stylistic variant), then the noun is in the plural, as in:
troh erśót '2 boys' (6:1) troh ǵóhe '2 brothers' (6:12)
Adjectives do not have a dual form, and so a dual noun is modified by a plural adjective, as in
tet trut arḥ̃et 'two beautiful women' (cf. tet arḥ̂it 'a beautiful woman' and ínét arḥ̂̃t 'beautiful women') (SS)
$\dot{g} e y g$ troh arhẹt 'two handsome men' (cf. geyg raḥím 'a handsome man' and gag arḥz̃t 'handsome men') (SS)

The dual form also does not occur with possessive suffixes. When the numeral ' 2 ' follows a noun with a possessive suffix, that noun will be in the plural, as in:
in $\varepsilon$ t́tít trut arḥ̂ét 'my two beautiful wives' (cf. tet tr trut 'two women') (MnS) kolóbí troh 'my two dogs' (cf. kob troh 'two dogs') (MnS)

In terms of subject-verb agreement, we find both dual verbs with plural noun subjects and plural verbs with dual noun subjects. However, dual noun subjects and dual verbs do not usually co-occur, presumably to avoid redundancy. Among the examples in the texts of a dual noun subject with a plural verb are:

[^42]xótlak bes kũhn troh 'two ibex horns appeared on her' (6:32) $\dot{g} e y g$ troh ðə-yabgंéd 'two men were walking' (12:1) a'rér aggór troh yazhím bes 'he sent two slaves to bring her' (18:10)
This is not connected with word order, as shown by the above examples, and even more clearly by the following examples, both with dual verbs:
agéyg ba-tititš nafs'ś 'the man and his wife went (in the early evening)' (60:48)
aġadó aǵéyg ba-tít ť 'the man and his wife went' (60:49)
There are also examples in the texts of dual verbs with plural nouns:
edírś sgarét 'the slaves came back' (18:13)
dortś iz̃órta 'ak hallét 'the (two) servant-girls went around in the town' (97:31)
ag̉ád iz̃órta yol ālsan ba-õrtó 'the servant-girls went to their master and said' (97:33)
This last example is interesting, in that the first verb (agád ) is plural, as is the noun iz̃órta (even though it refers to just two servant-girls), but then the second verb is dual 'õrt́́. This is typical. Even when duals are used in a story, the narrator frequently reverts to plural. As noted elsewhere, dual forms of verbs (and pronouns) are largely obsolete.

In Mehri, when the number 'two' is used independently, without a noun, it does require a dual verb; for examples, see Rubin (2010: 62-63). We would expect the same in Jibbali, at least historically. However, no evidence is found in the texts. Among some of my younger informants, dual verbs have become totally obsolete (though they recognize them), and so it is not surprising that they normally use plural verbs in this situation, as in:

## troh zaḥám 'two came' (AK)

It should also be mentioned that, generally, dual pronouns and verbs usually do not occur where we expect them in the texts. And what was stated above about the obsolescence of dual verbs applies also to dual pronouns. And so for most Jibbali speakers today, the only remnant of the dual in modern speech is the use of what looks like the singular with the numeral two. The dual verbs and pronouns found in Johnstone's texts, inconsistent as they are, are by now considered old-fashioned by many speakers.

### 4.3 Plurals

Jibbali, like the other MSA languages and Arabic, exhibits two types of plural marking on nouns. There are external plurals, meaning that an explicit
plural-marking suffix is used, and there are internal plurals, meaning that plurality is indicated by means of internal vowel changes, with or without the addition of a suffix. Internal plurals are far more common in Jibbali than external plurals, for both masculine and feminine nouns.

A few nouns have a suppletive plural, meaning that they form their plural from a different base or root. Examples are:

```
\(⿰ z\) 'goat', pl. ह́rún
gabgót 'girl', pl. g̈igeníti
ambére' 'boy', pl. \(\varepsilon\) šśt
mózáa' 'family-house', pl. maxábla'
```

Some plurals look suppletive from a synchronic perspective, because of obfuscating sound changes, though are not so from a historical perspective. Such are:

```
yzt 'camel', pl. (i)y\varepsilońl (historical root **bl)
tetृ 'woman', pl. inćt (historical root *'nt
(\varepsilon)br\varepsiloń 'son', pl. minn (historical root *bn)2
brit 'daughter', pl. bóntz (historical root *bn)
mut 'hundred', pl. min (historical root * 'm')
```

It seems likely that zifét 'time' and its plural mizfór (possibly $\varepsilon n z ə f o ́ r$; see the comment to text 40:13) also derive from the same root somehow.

A few nouns occur only in the plural, e.g., $y$ ' 'people'. The word mih 'water' can be considered singular, since it has a plural form (Emhút), although it often takes plural concord, as in míh iźén 'this water' (AK; but cf. 39:2). Some nouns denoting collectives can be grammatically singular, e.g., mośśt 'livestock' (cf. 13:3; 15:3). And a very few nouns have identical singular and plural forms, such as did 'uncle(s)' (see the comment to text 46:2).

### 4.3.1 Masculine External Plurals

The external masculine plural morpheme in Jibbali is -in. Nouns with true masculine external plurals are quite rare in the language. There are just five noun examples in the texts, all of which have the pattern CəCCín (vars. GaCCín, CaGCín):

[^43]```
fúdún 'stone', pl. fadnín (e.g., 39:4)
ḥaṣún or haaṣnín 'horse', pl. ḥaṣnín \({ }^{3}\)
ktob 'book', pl. katbín (e.g., 52:2)
ḳ̄r 'grave', pl. kabrín (12:12)
nəḥõr or náḥar 'wadi', pl. naḥrín (6o:35) \({ }^{4}\)
```

There is also one numeral with a masculine external plural, namely, mut 'hundred', pl. min (e.g., 32:2). Some other examples of masculine external plurals can be found in JL, e.g., ðunúb 'tail', pl. ðәпbín.

Some nouns seem to have an optional-perhaps dialectal-external masculine plural. For example, for mukún 'place', $J L$ (s.v. $k w n$ ) lists the plural $\varepsilon m k i n t$, but elsewhere Johnstone recorded the plural maknín. ${ }^{5}$ For fo'ór 'young bull', JL (s.v. f'r) lists the plural fa yór (cf. also TJ2:59), but elsewhere ( $A A L$, p. 21) Johnstone recorded the plural fa'rin. ${ }^{6}$ According to $J L$ (s.v. dll), the CJ plural of délíl 'guide' is délélt, while the EJ has singular délól, plural dalélín.

A few masculine nouns form their plurals with the feminine external plural marker; see below, $\S$ 4.3.2. Also, many masculine nouns with an internal plural pattern include a suffixed $-(V) t$; see below, $\S 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$.

Note that the masculine external plural marker is retained before possessive suffixes, e.g., $\varepsilon k t a b i n \varepsilon ́ k ~ ' y o u r ~ b o o k s ' ~(52: 8) . ~$

### 4.3.2 Feminine External Plurals

The external feminine plural morpheme is -éta, or $-t a .{ }^{7}$ The final -ə is sometimes realized - $\varepsilon$, and the suffix -étə is realized -íti after a nasal. This feminine plural morpheme is much more common than masculine -ín (§ 4.3.1). Some examples of nouns that take this suffix are:

```
ið\varepsilońn 'ear', pl. iðúntz (Pr157)
ižírét 'servant-girl', pl. iz̃órta (e.g., 17:45)
\varepsilońm 'mother', pl. \varepsilońmétz (13:15) (pl. also 'female relatives')
```

[^44]```
j́ram 'road', pl. irúmta (6:12) \({ }^{8}\)
'ihn 'eye', pl. cántz (e.g., 54:23)
```



```
bokrút 'young camel', pl. bas̃śrta (48:4)
dit 'medicine', pl. diyétz (17:51)
git 'sister', pl. gatétz (e.g., FB1:2)
ǵabgót 'girl', pl. ğigeníti (e.g., 30:3)
hérúm 'tree', pl. hermíti (e.g., 6:23) \({ }^{10}\)
hiit 'sister-in-law', pl. hîte (JL, s.v. ham)
s̃abdét 'liver', pl. šiódta (JL, s.v. kbd)
šum 'name', pl. šímtz (15:1)
šxarét 'old woman', pl. šxj́rtz (AMı:5)
ìd 'hand', pl. ह́déte (17:28)
```

As with masculine external plurals, some nouns with feminine external plurals also have a variant internal plural, often dialectally determined. Thus
 have fa ǐhm (e.g., 17:28). ${ }^{11}$ The texts have meróhte 'sores', but $J L$ (s.v. $m r h$ ) lists only méróh as the plural of múraḥ (see further in the comment to text 6:28). $J L$ lists both śzéb and śáētz as the plurals of śa'b 'valley.'.12

A small number of masculine nouns form their plural with the external feminine morpheme, including:

```
\imath 'father', pl. ètz
\varepsilonrz̛` 'land, country', pl. cržéta
'om 'grandfather', pl. ITtz
```

For 'om 'grandfather', JL (s.v. 'wm) actually lists two plurals, 'ĩtz and an internal plural 'ím. ${ }^{13}$ We can probably also consider alhúti 'cows', which is

[^45]usually grammatically masculine even when clearly referring to female cows (cf. 9:6), to have an external feminine plural morpheme. ${ }^{14}$

A large number of external feminine plural forms (including many masculine nouns) are listed in Bittner (1916a: 42-47), most of which do not match the plural forms listed in $J L$. It is unclear why this is the case. Perhaps some of these are plural diminutives. It seems, based on $J L$ and Johnstone (1973), that many diminutives of the pattern (Сə)CéCéC have an external feminine plural, for example:

```
xádér 'little cave', pl. x\varepsilondirétz (TJ2:95)
đér\varepsilońb 'little piece of wood', pl. đ̣érēta (JL, s.v. đ̛rb)
kéléb 'little dogs', pl. kélēta (JL, s.v. klb)
magédél 'little bracelets', pl. magdéléta (JL, s.v. gdl)
```

Finally, it should be noted that before possessive suffixes (§3.2.2), the final vowel of the feminine plural morpheme is lost, replaced by the initial vowel of the suffix, as in a'ánték 'your eyes' (54:23) < 'ánta + غ́k, a'ántóhum 'their eyes' (TJ4:64) < 'ánta + óhum, and šimtésan 'their names' (15:11) < šímta + \&́sən. See §3.2.2 for a full paradigm.

### 4.3.3 Internal Plurals

As already noted, by far the most common method of indicating the plurality of a noun is changing the vocalic pattern. Certain noun patterns have a predictable internal plural, though many, if not most, nouns are unpredictable. That is to say, singular nouns of the same pattern do not always have the same pattern in the plural (e.g., šáxar 'old man', pl. šxar; but 'áṣər 'night', pl. 'íṣór). In general, internal plurals themselves can be loosely classified according to several types:

Type 1: Internal plurals indicated with the change of a single vowel or diphthong.

This type includes many nouns of the pattern CəCCéC (vars. CaCCéC and $C \varepsilon C C e ́ C ;$ pl. CəССóC): ${ }^{15}$ 'adbéb 'sand-dune' (pl. 'adbób), deḥlél (pl. dẹhlól) 'cave, hole', derhés (pl. derhós) 'female (goat) kid (6-18 months old)', kafrér (pl. kafrór) 'lip', katféf (pl. katfyf ) 'wing', maḥléb (pl. maḥlób) 'young she-camel', magrér (pl. magrór) 'beehive’, saṭrér (pl. śzṭrór) 'rag, strip of cloth'.

[^46]It also includes some nouns of the pattern CVC: but (pl. bst) 'house', kud (pl. $k e d$ ) 'rope', nid (pl. nud) 'water-skin'.

Type 2: Internal plurals with total pattern replacement. (These are among the least predictable.)

Examples include: 'áśor (pl. Ǐssór) 'friend; husband', ġeyg (pl.gag) 'man', kahf (pl. kahéf) 'pot', kers̃ (pl. k. $\quad$ rośśs) 'money (pl.); Maria Theresa dollar', kob (pl. kśl'́b) 'dog, wolf', melík (pl. milké) 'king; angel', múxbut (pl. moxōṭ) 'cartridge', $r a b k$ (pl. $\varepsilon r b j$ k'k or rîké) 'companion, neighbor', sékan (pl. sskún) 'community', sáxar (pl. šxar) 'old man', šstọər (pl. šittár) '(goat) kid', s̃erk (pl. š̌ř̌̌k) 'thief', túz̃ar (pl. tógór) 'merchant, rich man', $r$ rx ( pl . írxx) 'month', xaṣm (pl. axsúm) 'enemy'.

Some of these (mainly masculine) plural patterns have a suffixed -(V)t, as in: aggór (pl. عgarét) 'servant, slave', istto' (pl. عs̃tét) 'sword', zir (pl. azbírt) 'bucket'.

Type 3: Internal plurals with pattern replacement and an infixed $b$ (< ${ }^{*} w$; see § 2.1.5).

This type includes nouns of the pattern məCCV́C (pl. məCह́bCəC or məGábCəC): mərkéb (pl. mərébkab) 'boat, ship', maktéŕr (pl. məkébtəər) 'caravan,', ${ }^{16}$ mosé (pl. malźbsi) 'rain','7 maltéǵ (pl. malébtaǵ) 'killed one', məndîk (pl. mənébdəḳ) 'rifle', mənzél (pl. mənébzal) 'place', mərká́c (pl. marと́bka') 'veils', mastún (pl. masébtən) 'plantation, orchard', maxtár (pl. maxábṭar) 'time'.

It also includes some others of the pattern CəCCV́C: daftór 'notebook' (pl. defébtar), derzén ‘dozen’ (pl. derغ́bzzn), finz̃ún 'coffee-cup’ (pl. finébgən), kanséd ‘shoulder' (pl. kinébsad), səndik' 'box' (pl. sinébdəß.k).

Type 4: Marked feminine singulars with internal, unmarked feminine plurals (some with a suffixed- $i$ ). (Like type \#2, these are quite unpredictable.)

[^47]Examples include: 'ónut (pl. 'ayún) 'year', bahlét (pl. béhal) 'word', dagirét (pl. dúgur) 'bean', gizírt (pl. gézér) ‘island', garórt (pl. ġarér) 'bag', hōt (pl. hōi) 'snake', ḥallét (pl. ḥalél) 'town', genbít (pl. gunōi) 'dagger', kéltót (pl. kélț) ‘story’, ḳīlt (pl. kēl) 'tribe', nibbót (pl. nabéb) 'bee', rīát (pl. rīa`) 'quarter', sáhart (pl. sáḥar) 'witch', ṣaférít (pl. ṣofóri) 'pot', śfet (pl. śzf) ‘hair', xofét (pl. xaléf ) 'window’.

Certain nouns can be classed as irregular, either because their plural is formed from a different base, or at least appears synchronically to do so; see $\S 4.3$ for examples. Others may look irregular because of phonological rules (such as the loss of $b$ or $l$ ) that effect only the singular or only the plural. Examples fitting this latter category include $k \supset b$ (< *kalb; pl. kólób) ‘dog’ and genbít (pl. gunōi < *gunóbi) ‘dagger’.

### 4.4 Definite Article

Even though Fresnel (1838b: 82) reported a definite article in Jibbali, the existence of a definite article in Jibbali (and Mehri) remained largely unknown to scholars until the second half of the twentieth century. Matthews (1962) was aware of the definite article, but his work was not widely known. Only with the work of Johnstone (1970a) did scholars really become well aware of the existence of the definite articles of Jibbali and Mehri. ${ }^{18}$

The form of the definite article is normally a prefixed $\varepsilon$-. Before the guttural consonants ' and $\dot{g}$, the article often is realized as $a$-. There are also a very few irregular definite forms, like emíh ( $\leftarrow$ míh 'water') The article is normally used only with words beginning with a voiced or glottalic consonant. Words beginning with a voiceless, non-glottalic consonant do not take the definite article (or, one could say it has the form $\emptyset$ ), unless the word begins with a cluster of two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants. Examples of words beginning with a voiced consonant, glottalic consonant, or cluster of two voiceless, non-glottalic consonants are:

```
'áśar 'husband; friend' \(\rightarrow\) def. ááśar
\(d \supset f\) 'rock' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon d o ́ f\)
ðэhr 'blood' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) ðóhr
ðа́a'n 'family' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) đ̣án
gizírt 'island' \(\rightarrow\) def. egizírt
ġeyg 'man' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) ġéyg or aġéyg
```

[^48]```
\(k j ̄ r\) 'grave' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon k \grave{\jmath} r\)
lé' 'cow' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) lé'
núśab 'milk' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ a b ~\)
\(r \varepsilon s ̌\) 'head' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon r \varepsilon ́ s ̌\)
\(s ̣ a h ̣ ~ ' v o i c e ’ \rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon s ̦ a ́ h ̣\)
śfet 'hair' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) śfét
šxarét 'old woman' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) š̌xarét
عṣ̃irét 'town' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\varepsilon\) ș̃ir \(\varepsilon\) źt
\(t e k\) 'wild fig tree' \(\rightarrow\) def. stéék
ziód 'supplies' \(\rightarrow\) def. zziód
```



Following the rules outlined in $\S 2.1 .2$ and $\S 2.1 .3$, nouns whose first consonant is $b$ or $m$ undergo elision in combination with the definite article, for example:

```
but 'house' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\bar{u} t(<\) * \(\varepsilon\) bút)
behlét 'word' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\overline{\text { ēhalét (< *ebshlét) }}\)
mandík 'rifle' \(\rightarrow\) def. indík (< *Emandikk)
masgíd 'mosque' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\tilde{\varepsilon} s\) gíd ( \(^{\text {* *emasgíd }) ~}\)
```

A notable exception is mih 'water' (def. emih). This exception may be the result of a historically geminate consonant, or, more likely, the elision was blocked simply to preserve something of the original word; that is to say, perhaps the resulting $\tilde{\imath}$ was deemed too reduced.

As mentioned in § 2.1.2, the sequence * $\varepsilon b u ́$ can sometimes be realized $\varepsilon \bar{u}$, rather than $\bar{u}$. So, for example, usually we hear $\bar{u} t$ 'the house', but sometimes we hear something closer to $\varepsilon \bar{u} t$.

Nouns that are vowel initial (historically with an initial 'or $w$ ) may or may not have a distinct definite form with a lengthened initial vowel. $J L$ includes forms like:

```
iz̃órta ‘servant girls’ \(\rightarrow\) def. izzórta
万́rəm 'road' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\bar{r}\) дт
ह́rún 'goats' \(\rightarrow\) def. \(\bar{\varepsilon} r u ́ n\)
```

However, from the audio of the texts, there is not strong evidence to support these distinct definite forms. Only in some places is a long vowel heard on the audio where expected. Informants made no distinction between these forms, using, for example, óram for both indefinite and definite. ${ }^{19}$

[^49]Nouns with initial $y$ - in Jibbali are quite few in number, though they include the common words yo 'people', yat 'camel', and yum 'day; sun'. The definite article is not usually found with yo 'people' or yum 'day; sun' in most of Johnstone's texts, but there are exceptions (e.g., syó in SB1:4 and SB2:4; عyúm in TJ4:78). The definite form cyát 'the camel' (also sometimes iyát) is well attested (e.g., 2:12; 33:6; and used seven times in TJ2, and nine times in text AK 2$)$. With a couple of nouns the article regularly has the shape $i$-, e.g., $y \varepsilon l$ 'camels' (def. ìý́l), yen 'truth' (def. iyźn). ${ }^{20}$

As already discussed elsewhere (§3.2.1), the definite form of the noun is the form to which possessive suffixes must be attached, for example:

```
but 'house' }->\overline{u}ti 'my house
\partiala'n 'family' }->\varepsilon\mathrm{ वִán`k 'your family'
brit 'daughter' }->\mathrm{ हbríts 'her daughter'
moś\varepsilońt 'livestock' }->\mathrm{ õśźton 'our livestock'
kit 'food' }->\mathrm{ &kíthum 'their food'
g}atétz 'sisters' ->aġatétí 'my sisters'
reš->&réšóhum 'their heads'
```


### 4.5 Diminutives

In the texts, only a few diminutive forms are attested. The two singular diminutives are both feminine forms with a suffixed $n$ before the feminine ending. I heard no diminutives from my informants. The forms found in the texts are:

ḥaranút 'little hill' (60:41), dimin. of hãar (root ḥmr)
kérṣéta 'little bugs' (TJ2:117), dimin. of kéróṣ
xodũnt 'a little work' (8:4), dimin. of xadmét (root $x d m$ )
xedirétz 'little caves' (TJ2:95), pl. of xádér, dimin. of xádər
This meager attestation is, however, somewhat misleading, since there are various productive means for forming diminutives, not only of nouns, but also for other parts of speech. Johnstone (1973) provides a nice overview of various diminutive patterns in Jibbali and the other MSA languages. A

[^50]number of diminutive forms can also be found in $J L$. The two main patterns for diminutive nouns, according to Johnstone, are CéCéC and CéCəCén.

Though not directly relevant to Jibbali, Watson (2012) includes multiple sections on diminutives in Mehri; no doubt Jibbali data would be similar. Watson (p. 62) notes that, "Since the diminutive tends to be used more by women and children and when used by men may be restricted to particular contexts, it is not surprising that diminutives are considerably more common in both dialects than can be inferred from Johnstone's [Mehri] texts." More research on Jibbali diminutives is needed.

### 4.6 Construct State

The construct state, such a characteristic feature of the classical Semitic languages, has all but disappeared from Jibbali, as elsewhere in MSA. Jibbali makes use of the particle $\varepsilon$ - $/ \partial$ - to express a genitive relationship (see $\S 12.4$ ). However, remnants of the older construction, or at least something like it, survive in a handful of words, each with a restricted semantic function. In some cases a unique construct form of the noun is preserved. These are:
bar (or ber; def. $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ ) 'son of'; biš (def. eš) 'daughter of': The use of the words bar and biš as nouns in the construct state is limited mainly to names, as in he bar edí-ilín 'I am the son of so-and-so' (5:12) and bar a'arit 'Ber A‘arit' (12:9). ${ }^{21}$ This same usage is found in the question het bar mũn 'whose son are you?' (e.g., 5:11). One's age can also be expressed using these construct nouns, as in he bar 'áśari 'ayún 'I am twenty years old [lit. son of twenty years]' and se biš 'áśri xiš 'ayún 'she is twenty-five years old [lit. daughter of twenty-five years]'.

The definite forms are used in the compound kinship terms $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ díd 'cousin' (lit. 'son of an uncle'), ${ }^{22} \bar{\varepsilon} r$ - g̀í or $\bar{\varepsilon} r-\dot{g}$ áti 'my nephew' (lit. 'son of my brother/sister'), and their feminine equivalents $e s$ šdít (or $e s ̌-d i ́ d)$ 'cousin' and $e s$ s-ğ́ or eš-ǵáti 'my niece'. In these compounds, the definite forms $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ and $e s$ should probably not be considered constructs. ${ }^{23}$ In all other cases 'son' and 'daughter' have, respectively, the forms ( $\varepsilon$ )bré (def. $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ;$ pl. mín, def. pl. in $)$ and brit (def. $\varepsilon b r i t ;$ pl. bóntz, def. pl. ōntz), and a genitive relationship is expressed with the

[^51] ḥókum 'the son of the ruler' (97:13), and $\varepsilon$ brit ðə-suṭún 'the daughter of the Sultan' (6:19). Possessive suffixes are also attached to these forms, rather than to the constructs, e.g., $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ s ̌ ~ ' h i s ~ s o n ', ~ i n e ́ s ~ ' h e r ~$ sons', $\varepsilon b r i ́ t i ~ ' m y ~ d a u g h t e r ', ~ o ̄ n t e ́ k ~ ' y o u r ~ d a u g h t e r s ' . ~$
bet 'house of': ${ }^{24}$ This is restricted to the sense of 'clan, familial line', as in bet bu zíd al-haláli 'the house [or: clan] of Bu Zid al-Hilali' (54:4) and tum bet mũn 'what house [or: clan] are you?' (54:5). For an actual house, the word but (def. $(\varepsilon) \bar{u} t)$ is used, with no special construct
 and ūt Әว-bāl $\varepsilon$ ṣód 'the fisherman's house' (97:32).
 construct forms. It is often used in phrases involving occupations, e.g., ba'l érún 'goat-herder’ (pl. bél érún), ba‘l iyźl 'camel-herder', ba'l alhúti 'cow-herder', bāl ṣod 'fisherman', and ba'l $\tilde{\varepsilon} s{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'the head of the mosque'. The construct can also be used in the sense of 'owner of', as in bél ūt 'the owners of the house' (10:1), bél عlé' 'the owners of the cow' (12:7), ba ‘ét kob 'the owner of the wolf' (15:10), bāl kahwét 'coffee-shop owner' (36:27); and with the meaning 'people of, inhabitants of', as in bél hallét 'townspeople' (54:37), bél $\varepsilon g \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'the mountain folk' (4:10), and bél $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ šér 'partygoers' (97:28). Note also the idiom balét $\varepsilon$ kóma 'flintlock? (rifle)'. ${ }^{25}$ The noun bal (and its feminine and plural forms) can also be used as a regular noun, capable of taking a definite article and possessive suffixes, e.g., ālsən 'their master' (97:33) and $\bar{a}$ 'alits 'her mistress' (36:4).

There are also two passages in the texts $(15: 6 ; 32: 2)$ in which it looks like sékan 'community; settlement' should be considered a noun in the construct. But there are other passages (30:20; 60:1; 60:24) in which it is followed by a genitive exponent.

[^52]
## CHAPTER FIVE

## ADJECTIVES

### 5.1 Agreement

Jibbali adjectives can be used attributively (as in 'the good boy') or predicatively (as in 'the boy is good'). In either case, a Jibbali adjective will always agree in gender and number with the noun it modifies (with the exception of dual nouns; see below). When used attributively, an adjective will also agree with the noun in definiteness. Adjectives follow the same rules as nouns when it comes to the appearance of the definite article (§4.4). Attributive adjectives follow the noun. Following are some examples:

Attributive adjectives:
'ónut difírat 'a bad year' (9:7)
naḥõr níṣán 'a small wadi' (22:6)
sandík eb ‘a big box' (52:2)
garó dífar 'bad language' (57:15)
geyg raḥím 'a handsome man' (54:27)
ġabgót țit roḥĩt 'a certain pretty girl' (97:19)
xaṭókésən wudún 'their new clothes' (4:1)
aǵáš $\varepsilon k \varepsilon l l$ én ‘his little brother' (6:11)
$a \dot{g}$ ás éb 'his big brother' (6:36)
Predicate adjectives:
Eṛ̛́ ráḥək 'the place [lit. land] is far' (3:4)
kin mirǐ̌zt ... he miriž̛́t '(pretend to) be sick! ... I am sick' (6:7)
ãḥ̣ól ol hessóf lo 'the pay was not good' (8:4)
aġabgót berót ũm 'the girl was already big' (17:5)
a'améléš dífór 'his activities are bad' (25:10)
erz̛o ol ráhak lo 'the place was not far' (31:1)
õśśton difírot 'our animals are bad' (13:3)
šum kéríb len 'they were close to us' (49:27)
éśḥál kéríb 'the chameleon is nearby' (53:7)
عmbére' háréd bo-xfif 'the boy was strong and fast' (54:2)
he $d$-`̋ anṣenút ‘I am still young' (60:2)
ī aġabgót túz̃ur, $b$-عmbére' fekír 'the father of the girl was rich, and the boy was poor' (SB1:2)

The major exception to the agreement rule is a noun in the dual. Adjectives have only singular and plural forms, and so a dual noun is modified by a plural adjective, for example:
ġeyg troh arḥ̂̃t 'two handsome men' (cf. geyg raḥím 'a handsome man' and $\dot{g} a g$ arhét 'handsome men')
tet trut rĩti 'two tall women' (cf. tet rĩt 'a tall woman' and ínét rĩti 'tall women')

An adjective modifying the first member of a genitive phrase (§12.4) follows the entire phrase. Whether an adjective in such a position modifies the first or second member of the phrase, if not clear from gender/number agreement, must be gleaned from the context. Examples are:
 (97:33)
عbré $\varepsilon$-ḥókum eniṣán 'the younger [lit. small] son of the ruler' (97:46)

 'small' is masculine, and so it could modify either noun. In the appropriate context, it could mean 'the son of the young(er) ruler'.

### 5.2 Declension

Nearly all adjectives decline for gender and number, though some adjectives are indeclinable. Of those that decline, all distinguish singular and plural, and all distinguish masculine and feminine in the singular. Plural adjectives do not always distinguish gender. There is no dual form of the adjective, as discussed in $\S$ 5.1. We can, therefore, group adjectives into three broad types:

Type 1: All genders and numbers distinct (ms, fs, mp, fp).
Type 2: Gender distinction in the singular, with a common plural form (ms, fs, cp).
Type 3: Indeclinable (one form for all genders and numbers).
There is quite a bit of variation in the declension of adjectives, some dialectal, and some perhaps idiolectal. For example, for the adjective fekír 'poor', JL (s.v. $f k r$ ) gives the masculine plural form fékért, noting also that the feminine plural fikórta can serve as a common plural. One of my own informants gave the masculine plural as fikór, while another offered fokór.

And both of those informants are CJ speakers, as is the informant Johnstone used to check JL!

The feminine singular of adjectives is normally marked by a feminine suffix -(a)t or $-V t(-e ́ t$, $-\delta t$, or $-u$ út), but there is also a small class of adjectives that mark the feminine singular by ablaut (see below), probably reflecting an earlier suffixed ${ }^{*}-i . i^{1}$ When it comes to plural marking, adjectives behave like nouns, in the sense that one finds both internal and external (suffixed) plurals. When there is a distinct fp form, it will always have the external plural marker -tz or - $t i$, though its base may derive from either the ms , fs, or mp form. Following are some examples of each type:

> Type 1: All genders and numbers distinct ( $\mathrm{ms}, \mathrm{fs}, \mathrm{mp}, \mathrm{fp}$ ).
> Examples: $\varepsilon n f i ́$ 'first', fs $\varepsilon n f \varepsilon t, \mathrm{mp} \varepsilon n f$ ', fp $\varepsilon n f o ́ t i$
> nị̣án ‘small', fs ənṣenút, mp níṣún, fp anṣeníti
> tofún 'hungry', fs tofúnt, mp tefínín, fp tofininta
> dífor 'bad', fs difírrt, mp dífjr, fp difórta
> šažrór 'green’, fs šažrér, mp šažarrrún, fp šažərrúnta

This type includes adjectives with the suffix - $i$ ( many of which refer to a cultural group). These regularly take fs $-\bar{\varepsilon} t, \mathrm{mp}-\dot{\rho}$ (or -ó), and fp -óti: enfí 'first; ancient', $\varepsilon n s i ́ ~ ' h u m a n ', ~ a x a r i ' ~ ' l a s t ', ~ ' a ́ r i ̄ ~ ' A r a b ', ~ f a g ́ s ́ s ~ ' w e l l-~$ stocked, prosperous', gablí Jibbali', hindì 'Indian', mehrí 'Mehri', śherí 'Shahri', žafalı 'Dhofari'

Adjectives with the suffix -ún: fot tún 'ragged (appearance), destitute', gabhún 'blunt (edge)', habssún 'dirty', ta 'bún 'tired; in trouble', tofún 'hungry'

Quadriliteral adjectives of the pattern CəCCóC: haṣbób 'smart’, safrór 'yellow', ṣahbób light brown', şhamúm ‘dark (skin)', šaz̧rór 'green'

Adjectives of the pattern maCCéC, which are mainly passive participles (see § 7.1.8). Others (which decline like passive participles) are: mankél 'energetic, hard-working; heroic', maskín 'poor guy, pitiable'2

Some adjectives with the pattern CíCéC/CíCáC: fétáa 'naked; destitute', hizzéf 'sharp', níṣán ‘small', sínés' 'deaf'

[^53]Others: dífar 'bad', kófur 'foreign', ódín (or wudín) 'new'
It should be pointed out that adjectives of the same ms pattern do not necessarily have the same feminine and plural forms. For example adjectives with the pattern $C i ́ C e ́ C / C i ́ C a ́ C ~ d o ~ n o t ~ e x h i b i t ~ u n i f o r m ~ f e m i n i n e ~ o r ~ p l u r a l ~$ patterns. Some types are consistent, such as those ending with the suffixes -í and -ún.

Type 2: Gender distinction in the singular, with a common plural form.
Examples: raḥím 'pretty; nice; good', fs raḥĩt, cp raḥzt
'ófar 'red', fs 'afirót, cp 'afiréta
This type includes most adjectives of the pattern CCíC or CeCíC (var. $C a C i ́ C)$, which normally have fs $C(e) C i ́ C t$ and $\mathrm{cp} C(e) C \varepsilon ́ C t):^{3}$ beșír 'clever', besít ‘simple', bețín 'big-bellied', Jehín 'intelligent', fhím 'intelligent', ġažiz' 'unimportant; low-quality', hasis 'sensitive, emotional', nđ̛̀f 'clean’, ngís ‘unclean’, nhif 'thin, lean', nhís ‘envious', nkíd 'depressed', rḥim 'pretty; nice; good', rxíṣ 'cheap (low-cost)', șahí 'alive', śedíd 'stubborn', ṭhír 'pure', xfíf 'light; swift-footed', xsís 'cheap (with money)' (An exception is fekír, discussed above; no doubt there are others.)

Some basic color terms: 'ófar 'red', ḥor 'black', lūn 'white'
Some adjectives with the pattern CVCéC: 'igém 'dumb, mute’, háréd 'strong', 'áyér 'blind'

Others: rĩhm 'tall, long', hósad 'envious'
Type 3: Indeclinable (one form for all genders and numbers).
 row' (see the comment to AMı:2)

[^54]Also in this category is the adjective hes̃óf 'good, ${ }^{4}$ which is mainly used as a predicate adjective or as an exclamation. Because this adjective originates in a verbal phrase, ${ }^{5}$ it can also occur with pronominal suffixes, e.g., hes̃ófs̃ 'he is good'; another adjective that behaves this way is la'ál' 'exhausted' (see the comment to text AK2:5).

Finally, note that some adjectives are used only with one gender. At least one of these, dinit ( pl . diniti) 'pregnant' is only used in the feminine for obvious reasons. The adjective hádét (pl. ḥádéta) 'old' is used only in the feminine for less obvious reasons, namely that it is only used to refer to a graveyard, the word for which (makbért) is feminine. More interesting are the adjectives for 'big': $e b$ ( $\mathrm{pl} . \bar{e} t z$ ) is used only with masculine nouns only, and $\tilde{u} m$ (pl. emíti or $\tilde{i t i})^{6}$ only with feminine nouns. These two adjectives derive from the Semitic words for 'father' and 'mother' respectively, as was recognized already by Müller (1909). ${ }^{7}$

### 5.3 Substantivization

An adjective can also be used on its own, functioning as a noun. A substantivized adjective can refer to a person, a thing, or an abstract concept. Some examples are:

```
yoṣożén éb b-\varepsilonniṣan 'ak.k ẽsgíd 'they pray, old and young [lit. the big and
    the small], in the mosque' (4:8)
kJ-ṭáṭ yว'ágób yaġr\varepsilońb \tilde{\varepsiloñsaġ\partialr 'everyone wants to know the other guy'}
    (21:12)
zuett crhuint 'he took the pretty one' (30:6)
dólófa'ófor 'the red [or: brown] one jumped' (30:16)
xáṭj̀k \partialд-feḳir 'the clothes of a poor man' (54:38)
```

[^55]Just as adjectives can behave as nouns, so too can nouns look like adjectives. A noun can be used in apposition to another noun (or noun phrase), with the result that a noun looks almost like an attributive adjective. For example:
he ġeyg mə'õrt 'I am a guest [lit. a man, a guest]' (34:9)
 ers [lit. seven boys, my brothers] and I also have seven sisters [lit. seven girls, my sisters]' (FB1:2)

### 5.4 Comparatives

There is no morphological comparative form in Jibbali. Instead, comparison is normally indicated by syntax only. Only two adjectives have special comparative forms, namely, axér 'better; more’ (a comparative form of xar 'well-being; good') and xass 'worse'. Both are Arabic borrowings. The preposition of comparison (equivalent to English 'than') is normally (')ar 'from'. There are numerous examples of axér 'better' in the texts, including:
het ol het axér 'ánén lo 'you are not better than us' (20:4)
he axér 'ankúm 'I am better than you' (20:8)
ḳaḥf wudín, axér ar sḳáhfk 'a new pot, better than your pot' (23:8)
axér ar iýzéźn 'better than our camels' (33:13)
áli axér ar erśót kel 'Ali is better than all the (other) boys' (49:20)
kalít híni her dé al-hés he ba-fló axér 'áni'tell me if anyone is like me or better than me' (54:3)
bet bu zíd al-haláli axér ar yo kel ... b-axér 'áni hé ... axér ar kol dé 'the house of Bu Zid al-Hilali is better than all people ... Better than $m e$ ?
... Better than everyone [or: anyone]' (54:4)
a'áśar $\varepsilon$ rhím axér 'ar ag̉á edífar 'the good friend is better than the bad brother' (Pr87)

The comparative xass 'worse', unlike other comparatives, is normally followed by mon rather than (') ar. It does not appear in any of the texts, but an example is:
še xass mek 'ak dərésa 'he is worse than you in school' (AK)
In one passage in the texts (20:9) we find axér mən instead of axér (')ar, but this is likely a Mehrism (see the comment to text 20:9).

The word axér can also mean 'more', not just as a comparative adjective, but also an adverb or noun 'more', as in:
yafj́roh axér ar aíd $\varepsilon$-réżũn 'they celebrate more than (on) Ramadan' (4:10)
šum s̃óhum mol axér 'anén 'they have more wealth than us' (AJ) 'ak karśs̃ axér 'I want more money' (AK)

On the difference between axér 'more' and $d$-' $5 d$ 'more', see $\S 7.3$.
With most other adjectives, Jibbali indicates the comparative simply with the adjective plus axér (')ar 'more than'. For example:
 stupid, you wouldn't pretend to be smarter than me' (1:7)
hit hardét axér 'ánén 'you are stronger than us' (AMi:1)
efa'yór raxáṣt axér 'ar šiṭár 'young bulls are cheaper than kids' (JL, s.v. $f^{\prime} r$ )
kunk kš̌š axér 'ar násanu 'you were fatter than now' (JL, s.v. xs̃l)
he rîhm axér ar i 'I am taller than my father' (SS)
š̌ g gáni axér 'ánén 'he is richer than us' (SS)
se rohiit axér 'ãs 'she is prettier than her' (AK)
ūti ráhak axér ar ūtzk 'my house is further away than your house' (AK) míh ižén ň̄z̄l axér ar míh izzóhũ' this water is colder than that water' (AK)

However, with the adjectives eb/ũm 'big' and níṣán ‘small', the element axér is often omitted, and just (')ar 'than' is used. Younger speakers tend not to omit axér, but usage varies. Examples are:
he eb 'ãk bə-senn 'I am older [lit. big(ger) in age] than you' (1:7)
šum ētz 'áni 'they were older [lit. bigger] than me' (53:8)
se üm 'ansén 'she is bigger than them' (SS)
š̌ niṣán 'anhúm 'he is smaller than them' (SS)
Attributive adjectives do not have a comparative form. Instead, a simple adjective is used. So, where English has, e.g., 'the bigger house', Jibbali simply has 'the big house'. Three examples from the texts are:
smbérs' $k k \varepsilon l l$ ńn tē $u$ ü, $b$-éb tē $\varepsilon$ rés 'the younger [lit. small] boy ate the heart, and the older one ate the head' (6:11)
sbrít 向-bāl $\varepsilon$ ṣód ũm 'the older [lit. big] daughter of the fisherman' (97:33)
šfokesbré --hókum eniṣán bes 'the ruler's younger [lit. small] son married her' (97:46)
$J L$ (s.v. $x y r$ ) also lists a word xérín 'better' (distinct from xérín 'a little', discussed in $\S_{5 \cdot 5 \cdot 1}$ ), but this is probably based on a misinterpretation of a single passage in the texts. See the comment to text SB1:1.

### 5.5 Quantifiers

### 5.5.1 xérín 'a little'

The word xérín means 'a little (bit of)', 'a small amount of', or 'a few'. It can be used on its own or as a quantifier before another noun. A following noun can be singular (collective) or plural, as the context warrants.

```
\tilde{esš xérín tũr 'he had a small amount of dates' (17:21)}
hõol meš x\varepsilońrín ba-kél'åš 'ak xoš 'he took a little of it and put it in his
    mouth' (35:5)
axar\varepsilońt zũtš x\varepsilońrín tũr 'she gave him a few dates' (46:12)
ssót\varepsilońm xérín katabín 'he bought a few books' (52:5)
z\tilde{\varepsilon}-tũn x\varepsilońrín té' 'give us a little food!' (53:9)
mit ber ðд-`ॅr x\varepsilońrín 'when he had got a little ways ahead' (83:3)
```

As noted above in $\S 5 \cdot 4$, the entry in $J L$ (s.v. $x y r$ ) says that xérín can mean 'better', but this is probably incorrect; see further in the comment to text SB1:1.

### 5.5.2 man- 'some’

To indicate 'some', Jibbali uses the preposition man with a pronominal suffix. This can be used alone or modifying a preceding noun. Sometimes man- is clearly being used as a partitive 'some of', referring back to a noun mentioned earlier, as in:
manhúm ð-ol s̃eš xõi lo flét 'ar õsé ‘some (of them) who did not have umbrellas fled from the rain' (31:2)
 ağ $(y)$ ég lo 'have any of your goats given birth already? ... Some of them have already given birth ... Some of them have not yet given birth' (32:3-4)
manhúm ineféx, manhúm yagórén man dún nəfxát 'some (cows) are blown, and some give milk without blowing' (TJ2:41)
عyó ba-ṣelólt kel yahórg 'árīt, lékan manhúm yahórg gablēt 'all the people in Ṣalalah speak Arabic, but some (of them) speak Jibbali' (SM)

But other times man- is really just a quantifying adjective, as in:
zĩs xáṭók mənhũm ‘he gave her some clothes' (30:6)
'ak halél mansén 'in some towns' (52:1)
zaḥám tun ġag mənhúm 'some men came to us' (AK2:2)

### 5.5.3 kol 'each, every'

The words $k o l$ and $k \varepsilon l(§ 5.5 \cdot 4)$ are derived from the same source, *kal-, and have closely related meanings. The word $k o l$ means 'each, every', and precedes an indefinite singular noun. Examples are:

```
ba-kól ḥallét 'in every town' (5:13)
kol yum 'every day' (6:33)
kol gam'át 'every week' (22:11)
kol 'áṣar 'each night' (30:15)
yas̃erék man kol kít 'they make every (kind of) food' (4:5)
```

$K \jmath l$ is also used in several pronominal compounds. On koltaṭ 'everyone; each one', see § $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$; on $k o l$ śé 'everything', see $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$; on $k o l ~ \varepsilon-/ \partial-$ 'whoever', see $\S 3.8 .2$; and on $k o l$ in 'all that', see $\S 3.8 .4$.

### 5.5.4 kel 'all (of the)'

As noted in the previous section, the words $k J l$ and $k \varepsilon l$ are derived from the same source, *kal-, and have closely related meanings. The word $k \varepsilon l$ can be used either independently or with a pronominal suffix, and has the basic meaning 'all (of the)'. In this basic meaning, it follows a definite plural or collective noun. If the noun has a possessive suffix, then $k \varepsilon l$ sometimes also has a pronominal suffix (referring to the noun); otherwise it does not. Some examples are:

```
yo k\varepsilonl 'all the people' (4:1)
\overline{\varepsilon}}tk\varepsilonl 'all of the houses' (4:4
halél k\varepsilonl 'all the towns' (5:2)
\varepsilondír\varepsilońh\tilde{\varepsiloňs k\varepsilonl 'all of his money' (5:3)}
kéré \varepsilonkítš kels 'he hid all of his food' (21:3)
agág kel 'all the men' (46:1)
hitt kel 'all the grain' (51:20)
\varepsilonšxórtén kel 'all of our old women' (AM1:5)
in\varepsilońt \varepsilon-ḥallét kel 'all the women of the town' (30:11)
aġigeníti ðд-ḥallét k\varepsilonl 'all the girls of the town' (54:17)
bél hallét kel 'all the inhabitants of the town' (97:5)
```

The last three examples show that if $k \varepsilon l$ modifies a noun that is the first member of a genitive phrase (whether the genitive exponent $\varepsilon$-/ $\partial$ - or a construct phrase is used), $k \varepsilon l$ must follow the entire phrase, like any other adjective (see §5.1).

Following a definite singular noun, the base $k \varepsilon l$ - plus a resumptive pronominal suffix gives the meaning 'the whole', as in:

$\varepsilon r$ ékslš 'the whole country' (31:1)
kéltót ðínu kels 'this whole story' (36:31)
egunét kels 'the whole sack' (51:19)
The uses of $k \varepsilon l$ can be summarized as follows:

1. Definite Plural Noun (no suffix) $+k \varepsilon l=$ 'all (of the) $x^{\prime}$ (e.g., $\bar{\varepsilon} t k \varepsilon l$ 'all of the houses')
2. Definite Plural Noun + possessive $+k \varepsilon l(+$ suffix $)=$ 'all of (his) $x^{\prime}$ (e.g., $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ és kélsan 'all of his houses')
3. Definite Singular Noun $+k \varepsilon l+$ suffix $=$ 'the whole $x^{\prime}$ (e.g., $u t k \varepsilon l s$ 'the whole house')

The adjective $k \varepsilon l$ can also be used pronominally, without a preceding noun. In its bare form it means 'all', and in its suffixed form it means 'all of' or 'each of'. Without a suffix, this use of $k \varepsilon$ l is found just once in Johnstone's texts (46:15), where it can be translated 'everyone'; more often 'everyone' is expressed with kal tat 'everyone' ( $\$ 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ ). Examples are:
$k \varepsilon L_{s ̌} s i \bar{\varepsilon} k$ 'it is all [lit. all of it] because of you' (28:15)
béké kélsan 'each of them was crying' (13:9)
yażhók kel mzš 'they all laughed at him' (46:15)
tēr $k \varepsilon l s$ 'all of him was broken' (48:20)
$k s e ́ s ̌ k \varepsilon s{ }^{\text {s }}$ 'he found all of it [lit. he found it all of it]' (97:49)
In the first example above, $k \varepsilon l \check{s}$ is used independently. In the next three, it is used in apposition to the unexpressed subject of the verb. In the last example, $k \varepsilon l_{s ̌}$ is appositional to the object suffix ( $3 \mathrm{~ms}-s^{\breve{s}}$ ) on the verb. Note also this use of $k \varepsilon s ̌ s$ in the idiom $k \varepsilon s s ̌$ tat 't 't's all the same [lit. all of it (is) one]' (28:20; TJ2:4).

We also sometimes find the 3 ms suffixed form $k \varepsilon l s ̌$ used along with $k \nu l$ śé 'everything' ( $\$ 3.5 \cdot 4$ ) to add emphasis, as in:
taġórab kzl śé ksľ̌ her ĩ řźz 'she knows absolutely everything [lit. everything all of it] about illness' (18:7)

### 5.5.5 (l-)'ádsd $\varepsilon$ - 'each, every'

The construction (l-)'ádzd $\varepsilon$ - seems to be synonymous with $k \rho l$ in its meaning of 'each, every'. It is followed by an indefinite plural noun. It is attested just once in Johnstone's texts (actually, twice, but it is the same phrase repeated in two consecutive lines):
lézam tókəla‘xaf đóhũn ‘ak míh gelb́l l-áded ع- liṣ́śr 'you have to put that foot in hot water every night' (52:6)

According to $J L$ (s.v. ' $d d$ ), the initial l- can be omitted. On the audio for this passage (and for the repeated l-ádsd íṣór in $52: 7$ ), the initial $l$ - is inaudible, though it is written in the manuscripts.

### 5.5.6 mékzn 'a lot, many'

The word mékan 'a lot (of), many' can be used either as an adjective or as a noun. When used as an adjective, it normally follows an indefinite noun. In one passage (a question) in Johnstone's texts (32:1), mékən precedes its head noun. Examples of mékən used as an attributive adjective are:
tōlén b-eśḥ́hr mośśt mékan 'we have in the mountains a lot of livestock' (9:1)
śíni yo mékən ðд-yógah ‘ak but ð-túz̃ər 'he saw many people going into a rich man's house' (18:6)
s̃ökum mékan érún ... söhum érún mékan ‘do you have many goats? ... they have many goats' (32:1-2)
ba-kabalét mosé mékan 'in the west there is a lot of rain' (32:8)
beš thírt mékan 'he had many wounds' (53:1)
her s̃ek karớs mékan 'if you have a lot of money' (86:7)
śxafk halób mékan 'I drank a lot of buttermilk' (TJ2:126)
Some examples of mékən used independently are:
emíh ol mékan lo 'the water was not a lot' (20:1)
yaśímš ba-mékan ‘do they sell it for a lot?’ (TJ2:42)
ams̃in t $\bar{\varepsilon} k$ mékan ‘I ate a lot yesterday' (AG)

### 5.5.7 mit--íné 'some kind of'

The phrase mit-ińé, when following a noun, normally means 'some kind of' or 'some $x$ or other'. It occurs just once with this usage in the texts. Examples are:
$z \tilde{u}-t \supset$ s $\check{h m}$ mit--iné 'they gave me some kind of poison' (35:6)
$k t \jmath b$ mit--iné 'some book or other' (JL, s.v. $m t+$ )
In one other passage in the texts, it is used independently to mean 'something':
dha-l-zémk miti-iné 'Tll give you something' (50:10)

The phrase mit-ińs is a combination of the conjunction miṭ 'or', which implies a measure of uncertainty (see $\S$ 12.1.6), and the interrogative 'what?' (§11.2).

## CHAPTER SIX

VERBS: STEMS

Like other Semitic languages, Jibbali verbal roots are mainly triliteral (that is, they have three root consonants), and appear in a variety of derived verbal stems, each characterized by particular vowel patterns and, in some cases, the addition of certain prefixed or infixed elements. The basic and most common stem is designated the G-Stem (for German Grundstamm 'basic stem'), according to the conventions of Semitic linguistics. Just as in Mehri, there are six derived verbal stems: the D/L-Stem, the H-Stem, two Š-Stems (which I call Ši and Š2), and two T-Stems (which I call T1 and T2). In addition, there are also quadriliteral verbs, though these are relatively few in number. For quadriliteral stems there is also a derived N-Stem. Each verbal stem will be treated in turn below, with regard to both its form and its function.

For the verbal paradigms given in this chapter, I have, wherever possible, taken data from the texts and from my own informants. Still, I have had to rely partly on the paradigms found in Johnstone's $J L$ and in his manuscript papers. As for the sample verbs listed in the sections devoted to meaning, these come primarily from the texts themselves, though some have been taken from $J L$. In several places, the forms I have given differ from those presented in $J L$.

It should be mentioned that not all of the derived verbal stems occur with equal frequency. In Ali Musallam's texts, for example, there are about 37 different D/L-Stems, 78 different H-Stems, 32 T1-Stems, 26 T2-Stems, 39 Š1-Stems, and just 9 Š2-Stems.

### 6.1 G-Stem

In Jibbali, the G-Stem is divided into two basic types, an A type (Ga) and a B type $(\mathrm{Gb})$. There is also an internal passive of the Ga-Stem. The distribution of Ga and Gb verbs is often simply lexical, though in some cases the two types can be seen to have a different function. The meanings of Ga vs. Gb verbs are taken up below (§6.1.4).

### 6.1.1 Ga-Stem

The Ga-Stem strong verb is characterized by a basic stem shape CoCóC in the 3 ms perfect (e.g., kolót 'tell', kolób 'return', dolóf 'jump'). If the first two root consonants are both voiceless and non-glottalic, or if the first root consonant is $n$, then the 3 ms perfect has the shape $C C_{\supset} C$ rather than $C_{\lrcorner} C^{\prime} C$ (e.g., $k t o b$ 'write’, šffk ‘get married', skof 'sit', $n k o d$ 'bounce', $n t ̣ f f$ ‘drip'). For those verbs with initial $n, C C_{\partial} C$ may be realized as $\partial C_{\supset} C$.

If the first root consonant is $r$ (and the second or third root consonant is not a guttural or a glide, and the third root consonant is not $l$ ), then the 3 ms perfect has the shape $C C \jmath C$, usually realized as $a C C \jmath ́ C$ or $\varepsilon C C o ́ C$ (e.g., arfoṣ 'step on', ark'́d 'dance'). (On the effect of $r$ as the second root consonant, see §7.4.10.)

If the first root consonant is $l$ (and the second or third root consonant is not a guttural, and the third root consonant is not $m$ ), then 3 ms perfect
 especially if the second root consonant is a dental (e.g., lkod 'patch leather', lk.jf 'pick off', aldóf 'bang', alṭúm 'slap').'

Various other changes connected to the presence of a weak consonant (including gutturals, labials, and glides) will be discussed in Chapter 7. The rules above concerning the appearance of a vowel following the first root consonant also apply to weak verbs whose patterns differ from the strong verb. For example, for verbs whose final root consonant is $w$ or $y$ (§7.4.12), the basic patterns of the 3 ms perfect are CéCé and $C \subset$ é, the latter for verbs whose first two root consonants are both voiceless and non-glottalic, or whose first root consonant is $n, r$, or $l$.

Following are the full conjugations of the Ga-Stem strong verbs kodór 'be able' and sfor 'travel':

[^56]|  | Perfect | Imperfect ${ }^{2}$ | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1CS | kodórk | aķódar | l-ókdar ${ }^{3}$ | l-akdíran |
| 2 ms | kodórk | taķódar | tókdar | takdíran |
| 2fs | kodórs | takídər | tíkdir | takdíran |
| 3 ms | kodór | yakódar | yóḳdar | yaḳdíran |
| 3 fs | kodorót ${ }^{4}$ | takódar | tókdar | takdíran |
| 1cd | kodórs̃i | ( $n$ ) a ķaderó | l-aķódró | nakdórón ${ }^{5}$ |
| 2cd | kodórs̃i | takaderó | taḳdóró | tokdórón |
| 3 md | kodoró | yaḳódoró | yakdóró | yaḳdórón |
| 3 fd | ḳodortó | taḳódoró | taḳdóró | toḳdórón |
| 1cp | kodóran | naḳj́dar | naḳdér | nəḳdérən |
| 2mp | kodórkum | taķódar | takdór | takdóran |
| 2 fp | kodórkan | taḳódaran | taḳdéran | taḳdéran |
| 3 mp | kodór | yakódar | yakdór | yakdóran |
| 3 fp | kodór | takódaran | taḳdéran | takdéran |

Imperative: ms ḳadér, fs ḳadír, cd ḳadró, mp ḳadór, fp ḳədérən
Perfect Imperfect $^{6}$ Subjunctive Conditional

| 1cs | sfork | asófar | l-ósfar | l-asfíran |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 ms | sfork | tasófar | tósfar | tasfíran |
| 2 fs | sfors̃ | təsíar | tísfir | tasfíran |
| 3 ms | sfor | yasófar | yósfar | yasfíran |
| 3 fs | sforót | tasófar | tósfar | tasfíran |

[^57]| 1cd | sfars̃i | (n)asfaró | l-asfaró | nasfarón |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2cd | sfors̃i | tasfaró | tasfaró | tasfarón |
| 3md | sfaró | yasfará | yasfará | yasfarón |
| 3fd | sfartó | tasfaró | tasfaró | təsfarón |
| 1cp | sfóran | nasófar | nasfér | nasféran |
| 2mp | sfórkum | tasófar | tasfór | tasfóran |
| 2fp | sfórkan | tasófaran | tasféran | tasféran |
| 3mp | sfor | yasófar | yasfór | yasfóran |
| 3fp | sfor | tasófaran | tasféran | tasféran |

Imperative: ms $s f \varepsilon r$, fs sfir, cd sfəró, mp sfor, fp sférən
The following table summarizes the principle parts of the Ga-Stem of the different types of strong verbs (i.e., verbs without a guttural, glide, or labial, and whose second and third root consonants are not identical):

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3fs perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic | kodór | kodorót | yaḳódar | yóķdər |
| II/III-voiceless, non-glottalic | kolót | koltıót | yakólt | yóklat |
| I/II-voiceless, non-glottalic | sfor | sforót | yasófar | yósfar |
| I/II/III-vceless, non-glottalic | skəf | skəfót | yasókf | yóskaf |
| I-n | (a)nkoś | (a)nkaśót | yanúkś | yúnkaś |
| I-l/r | arkód | arkadót | yarákad ${ }^{9}$ | yórkad |

As was noted in $\S$ 2.2.2, the presence of a nasal ( $m$ or $n$ ) can have a raising effect on the surrounding vowels. This can be seen in the 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive forms of I-n verbs in the above table. Examples of nasals in other positions are:

[^58]|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II-n | fonúk | yafúnək | yófnək |
| III-n | dufún | yadófan | yódfən |
| I-m | məlók | yũlək ${ }^{10}$ | yúmlak |
| III-m | guzúm | yagózam | yógzam |

For additional details on the effects of the root consonant $m$, see $\S 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$, § 7.4.9, and § 7.4.13.

To illustrate some of the differences in the conjugation of weak verbs, following are partial conjugations (omitting the duals and some conditional forms) of the II-r verb šerók 'steal', the III-w/y verb kéré 'hide; kiss', and the geminate verb (see $\S 7 \cdot 4.14$ ) del 'guide, lead; know':

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | šerók(a)k | ašśrk | l-óšrak | l-əšríkzn |
| 2 ms | šerók(ə)k | tašórk | tóšrak | tašríkan |
| 2 fs | šeróḳ(a)s | taširç | tišrik | tašríkan |
| 3 ms | šerók | yašórk | yóšrak | yašríkən |
| 3 fs | sererkót | tašórk | tóšrak | tašríkan |
| 1cp | šeróķan | našórk | našrék | nวšréķan |
| 2mp | šerókkum | tašórç | tašrók | tašrókon |
| 2fp | sererókkan | tašórkan | tašrék̇an | tašréḳan |
| 3 mp | šerók | yašórk | yašrók | yašrókon |
| 3 fp | šerók. | tašórkan | tašréḳan | tašréḳan |


Perfect Imperfect Subjunctive

| 1cs | kúr (a)k | akór | l-ékar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2ms | kúr $(\partial) k$ | takór | tékar |
| 2fs | kúr (a) $\tilde{s}$ | takóri | tíkar |
| 3 ms | kéré | yakór | yékar |
| 3fs | kérét | takór | tékar |

[^59]| 1cp | kúrən | nokór | nakaré |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2mp | kúrkum | taḳóri | takarí |
| 2 fp | kúrkan | takórēn |  |
| 3 mp | kéré | yaḳóri | yakarí |
| 3 fp | kéré | takórēn | taķr |

Imperative: ms ḳəré, fs korí, mp korí, fp karēn

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive ${ }^{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1cs | delk | adlél | l-óddal |
| 2 ms | delk | tadlél | tóddal |
| 2 fs | dels̃ | tadlíl | túddal |
| 3 ms | del | yadlél | yóddal |
| 3 fs | dallót | tadlél | tóddal |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 cp | dél(l)an | nadlél | nadlél |
| 2 mp | délkum | tadlél | tadlól |
| 2 fp | délkan | tadlélan | tadlélan |
| 3 mp | del | yadlél | yadlól |
| 3 fp | del | tadlélan | tadlélan |

Imperative: $\operatorname{ms} d(\partial) l \varepsilon ́ l$, fs $d(\partial) l i ́ l, \operatorname{mp} d(\partial) l \grave{l}$, fp $d(\partial) l \varepsilon ́ l ə n$

### 6.1.2 Ga Internal Passive

The Ga-Stem is the only stem for which there is substantial evidence of an internal passive in the texts, though Ga passives are still relatively rare. There are only about twenty examples in the texts. (See §6.2.2 and §6.3.2 on the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ - and H-Stem passives.) The Ga passive is characterized in the 3 ms perfect by a shape CeCiC or CiCiC (corresponding to an active verb of the shape $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{\jmath CóC}$ ), or $\mathcal{C}(ə) \mathrm{CíC}$ (corresponding to an active verb of the shape $\mathrm{CCっ} C$ ). We also find the shape $a C C i ́ C$ or $\varepsilon C C i C$, if the first root consonant is a liquid. The shape of the imperfect is also determined by the consonant types. For verbs whose first two consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic, the imperfect passive has the basic shape iCCóC, while for most other verbs the basic

[^60]shape is iCeCóC. For verbs with an initial liquid, both imperfect types are attested. Following is a complete paradigm of the verb arfiṣ 'be trampled':12

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | $\operatorname{arfics(a)k}$ | arefos | l-Erfós | l-arfísan |
| 2 ms | arfiss (a)k | irefós | $l$-arfós | l-arfísan |
| 2 fs | arfiş̣ (a) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | irefiss | $l$-arfís | l-arfíspn |
| 3 ms | arfiṣ | irefós | $l$-arfós ${ }^{13}$ | l-arfísan |
| 3 fs | arfíşót | irefóṣ | $l$-arfós | l-arfíson |
| 1cd | arfíşsi | irefeṣó | $l-a r f e ́ s ̣ a ́ ~$ | $l$-arfísún |
| 2cd | arfị̧ssi | irefeṣó | l-arfésó | l-arfiṣún |
| 3 md | arfị̧̧́ | irefeṣó | l-arféṣó | $l$-arfísún |
| 3 fd | arfiş̣tó | irefeṣ́ | $l$-arféṣó | $l$-arfísún |
| 1cp | arfịsan | nerefós | $l$-arfés | l-arféṣan |
| 2mp | arfiṣkum | irefés | $l$-arfés | $l$-arféṣan |
| 2fp | arfiṣkan | irefóṣan | l-arfóṣan | l-arfóṣan |
| 3 mp | arfís | irefés | $l$-arfés | $l$-arfésen |
| 3fp | arfís | irefóṣən | $l$-arfóṣan | $l$-arfóṣan |

Imperative: none
One of the most striking features of the passive is the almost total lack of pronominal prefixes in the three prefix-conjugations (imperfect, subjunctive, conditional), even more so than in the D/L- and H-Stems. This phenomenon is treated in Johnstone (1980b).

For further discussion on the use of the internal passive, see § 7.1.7.

### 6.1.3 Gb-Stem

The Gb-Stem has two main characteristics that distinguish it from the GaStem. These include a 3 ms perfect shape $C e ́ C ə C$, and a full vowel (rather than a or no vowel) between the second and third root consonants throughout the imperfect and subjunctive. The 3 ms perfect has the basic shape CeCC if

[^61]the second and third root consonants are both voiceless and non-glottalic, if the second root consonant is $l$ or $r$, or, in some cases, if the second root consonant is $n$. The vowel $e$ of the perfect is raised to $i$ when the first or second root consonant is a nasal (see §2.2.2). Following is the full conjugation of the Gb verb fékar 'be(come) poor':

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 cs | fékər(a)k | afékór | l-дfḷór | l-afkíran |
| 2 ms | fékar(a)k | tafékór | tafḳór | tafkíran |
| 2 fs | fékar (a)s | tafikír | tafkír | tafkíran |
| 3 ms | fékar | yafékór | yafḳór | yafkíran |
| 3 fs | fekerót | təféḳór | tafkór | tafḳíran |
| 1 cd | fékarsi | (n)afakéró | l-fakaró | l-afḳórón ${ }^{14}$ |
| 2 cd | fékarsi | tafakérś | tafkaró | tafkórón |
| 3 md | fékeró | yafakéró | yafkaró | yafḳórón |
| 3 fd | fékertó | tafa ${ }^{\text {cér }}$ ' | tafkaró | tafḳórón |
| 1cp | fékaran | nəfékór | nəfḳ́r | nafkéran |
| 2mp | fékərkum | təfékér | tafkér | tafkérən |
| 2 fp | fékarkan | tafékóron | tafḳóran | tafkórən |
| 3 mp | fékar | yafékér | yafkér | yafkérran |
| 3 fp | fékar | tafékóran | tafḳ́ran | tafḳóran |

Imperative: ms $f(\partial) k \npreceq r$, fs $f(\partial)$ kir, cd $f(\partial)$ karó, mp $f(\partial) k e ́ r, f \mathrm{f} f(\partial)$ kárən

### 6.1.4 Ga vs. Gb Meaning

Previous scholars have suggested that the Gb pattern is used for 'middle' or 'stative' verbs, but such a blanket statement is inaccurate. It is true that a good number of Gb verbs are statives, medio-passives, or intransitives, such as:

```
`er 'go blind'
dini 'conceive, get pregnant'
féðдr 'shiver with fear'
fékar 'be(come) poor'
férah' be(come) happy'
férok. 'be(come) afraid'
gézí (or gél\varepsilon) 'be sick'
```

[^62]```
ġerk 'drown, sink (intrans.)'
géżzn 'feel compassion for'
hēr 'become cold'
kerb 'be near, approach'
kéśa` 'be(come) dry'
miźi 'be(come) full'
míraž'be(come) ill'
níkab 'fall'
selm 'be safe'
śe}\mp@subsup{\overline{e}}{}{`}\mathrm{ 'be sated'
seer 'know how (to do something)' (see the comment to text 1:7)
tégar 'be(come) rich'
telf 'be(come) hungry'
tēr 'be broken, break (intrans.)'
xèt 'be(come) thirsty'
xerf 'produce fruit'
xéźi 'be empty; be unmarried'
xézi 'be(come) embarrassed'
```

However, the Gb class also contains a number of verbs that have an active meaning, though some do require a preposition before an object (that is, they are not transitive in the strict sense). These include some that are among the most frequently used verbs in the language. Some of the more common Gb active/transitive verbs are:

```
étal 'catch up to; chase'
fétan_ 'remember'
helm 'dream'
kēl'accept'
kéṣad 'chop; seek out' (see below)
nika' 'come'
nísoz 'drink, sip (s.t. hot)'
rékab 'ride'
selb 'wait for'
sind 'do without'
śnini 'see'
s\check{c}``hear' (but also šă';}\mathrm{ ; see the comment to text 13:13)
(éda' 'know')}\mp@subsup{}{}{5
```

[^63](égah 'enter’) ${ }^{16}$
éṣal 'arrive at, reach'
xelf 'take the place of'
There are also Ga verbs that are intransitive or stative, such as $\dot{g} o ̃ s$ 'disappear' and ekóf 'be(come) silent'. So, we can only make a generalization and say that most intransitive or stative verbs fall into the Gb class, and perhaps the majority of-but by no means all—Gb verbs are intransitive or stative.

Some roots appear in both the Ga- and Gb-Stems. Often in such cases, the Gb functions as the medio-passive of the Ga , as in:

Gb bézag 'be squeezed, squish (intrans.)' vs. Ga bozóg ‘squeeze, squash'
Gb béžar 'tear (intrans.), be torn’ vs. Ga boz̧ór 'tear (trans.)'
Gb fékośs 'crack (intrans.), be cracked' vs. Ga f〕ḳóśs 'crack open (trans.)'
Gb miźi 'be(come) full, fill (intrans.)' vs. Ga mélé 'fill (trans.)'
Gb níkəb 'break, snap (intrans.)' vs. Ga nḳob 'break, snap (trans.)'
$\mathrm{Gb} \underline{t} \mathrm{e} r$ 'break (intrans.), be broken' vs. Ga $\underline{t} \overline{\mathrm{~J}}$ 'break (trans.) ${ }^{17}$
The Gb-Stem is not a productive passive of the Ga-Stem, however. For the passive, there is the internal passive (see $\S 7.1 .7$ ) and the T- and Š-Stems (see $\S 6.4$ and $\S 6.5)$.

In a few cases, the Ga and Gb are similar or identical in meaning, for example:

Ga ḳoṣód and Gb kéṣəd 'chop; seek out' (see the comment to text 41:4)
Note also that verbs whose third root consonant is a guttural (III-G verbs) have the pattern $C e ́ C a C$, even in the Ga-Stem. However, the two stems do not completely fall together with verbs of this type, since the subjunctive patterns remain distinct in the Ga- and Gb-Stems. See further in §7.4.11.

### 6.2 D/L-Stem

The $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem is characterized, in addition to its vocalic patterns, by a suffix -ən on all imperfect forms. The base pattern of the strong verb in the perfect is $(\varepsilon) C o ́ C ə C$ or $(\varepsilon) C o ́ C C$. The prefix $\varepsilon$ - appears only before a voiced or

[^64]glottalic consonant, like the distribution of the definite article (see §4.4). ${ }^{18}$ The pattern $(\varepsilon) C o ́ C C$ is used when the second and third root consonants are both voiceless and non-glottalic, and often when the second root consonant is $l$ or $r$. For example, we find 3 ms perfect $\varepsilon g o ́ d a l$ 'tie, chain' and $\varepsilon$ rótz $b$ 'arrange, set in order', but hulf 'sharpen' and fusk 'separate (people from fighting)'. In the presence of a nasal or labial (usually $f$ ), $o$ is usually raised to $u$, especially in the pattern $(\varepsilon)$ CócC.

There is some variation pertaining to the personal prefixes in the conjugation of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Stem}$. Traditionally, the second person and third feminine prefix $t$-is suppressed in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem imperfect, and replaced with $l$ - in the subjunctive and conditional, as also in the H -Stem. However, speakers vary on this point; specifically, younger speakers tend to use the same prefixes as the G-Stem. See further in §7.1.2 and §7.1.3. Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in this stem (egódal 'tie, chain'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | egódalk | a gódalan | l-gádal | l-gúdəlan ${ }^{19}$ |
| 2 ms | egódalk | (t)agódalan ${ }^{20}$ | l-gódal ${ }^{21}$ | l-gúdalan |
| 2 fs | egádals̃ | (t)agídalan | l-gídal ${ }^{22}$ | l-gúdalan |
| 3 ms | egódal | yagódalan | yagádal | yagúdalan |
| 3 fs | egídalót | (t)agódalan | l-gádal | l-gúdalan |
| 1cd | egódals̃i | (n) agidalún | l-gódóló | l-gódalón |
| 2 cd | egódals̃i | (t)agidalún | l-gódóló | l-gódalón |
| 3md | egídaló | yagidalún | l-gedéló | yagádalón |
| 3 fd | egidaltó | (t)agidalún | l-gódśló | l-gódalón |

[^65]| 1 c | egádalan | ngódalan | ngádal | ngádalan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 mp | عgódalkum | (t)agádalan | l-gádal | l-gódalan |
| fp | egádalkan | (t)agádalan | l-gádalan | l-gádalan |
| mp | egódal | yagádalan | yagádal | yagádalan |
| 3fp | egódal | (t)agádalan | l-gódalan | l-gádalan |

Imperative: ms $\varepsilon$ gódal, fs $\varepsilon$ gídəl, cd $\varepsilon$ gódəló, mp $\varepsilon$ gódal, fp $\varepsilon$ gódalan
It should be noted that with geminate verbs and verbs whose second root consonant is $y$, there is some confusion between the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem and H -Stems. It is therefore not always clear how to classify a verb. See further in §7.4.8 and $\S 7$-4.14.

### 6.2.1 D/L-Stem Meaning

It is not possible to assign a productive or consistent meaning to the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ Stem. Johnstone called it the intensive-conative stem ( $A A L, \mathrm{p} .12 ; J L$, p. xvi), but this designation is not justified. The two most common, meaningful types of D/L-Stem verbs are denominatives and causatives of intransitive verbs. A great many must simply be considered lexical. It is also important to recognize that a significant percentage of Jibbali D/L-Stems have counterparts in the Arabic D-Stem (Form II, fa"ala) or L-Stem (Form III, fä́ala), and many or most of these are likely Arabic borrowings or calques.

Among the verbs that are causatives of intransitives (usually Gb-Stems), we find:
 'disperse (intrans.)', D baddada 'disperse (trans.)')
furh 'make happy' (cf. Gb férah 'be(come) happy')
furk 'frighten; divide' (cf. Gb férak. 'be frightened, afraid'; Arabic G faraqa 'be afraid; divide (trans.)', D farraqa 'frighten; divide (trans.)')
ḥóðər 'warn s.o.' (cf. Ga ḥóðór 'be on one’s guard', and the more common T2 aḥtéðér 'be careful'; Arabic G ḥaðira 'be on one's guard', D ḥaððara 'warn')
ḥulf 'sharpen' (cf. Gb helf 'be sharp')
عkóṣam 'cool (trans.)' (cf. Gb kéṣam 'be(come) cold')
عnúð̣əf ‘clean’ (cf. Gb nị̣́əf 'be(come) clean'; Arabic G nazufa 'be clean', D nazzafa 'clean')
عnúgi ‘save, extricate from difficulty' (cf. Gb nígi ‘survive, come through a difficulty', H $\varepsilon n g e ́ ~ ' r e s c u e ' ; ~ A r a b i c ~ G ~ n a j a ̄ ~ ' b e ~ r e s c u e d ', ~ D ~ n a j j a ̄ ~$ 'rescue', C 'anjā 'rescue')
sa éd 'help' (cf. Gb séəd 'be(come) happy'; Arabic G sa'ida 'be happy', L sā́ada 'help') (see also § 7.4.7)
sulm 'save, rescue; have left; surrender; spare' (cf. Gb selm 'be safe'; Arabic G salima 'be safe', D sallama 'save; surrender')
$\bar{o} k \not \partial f$ 'bring to a stop' (cf. Ga ekóf 'fall silent'; Arabic G waqafa 'come to a stop', D waqqafa 'bring to a stop')
ōṣal 'bring, lead' (cf. Gb éṣal 'arrive at, reach'; Arabic G waṣala 'reach', D wasṣala 'take, bring s.o.')
xoźi ‘divorce’ (cf. Ga xálé and Gb xéźi 'be unmarried’)
xorb 'spoil, damage' (cf. Gb xerb 'be spoilt'; Arabic G xariba 'be destroyed', D xarraba 'destroy')

As for denominatives (including denominatives borrowed from Arabic), we find:

```
ōðәn 'call to prayer’ (cf. iðén ‘ear'; Arabic D ’aððana 'call to prayer')
ع'óśi 'give dinner' (cf. 'isś ‘dinner'; Arabic D 'aššā 'give dinner')
hork 'collect leaves of the chrík tree' (= H hrék) \({ }^{23}\)
ḥóni 'dye with henna' (cf. ḥiné' 'henna'; Arabic D ḥanna'a 'dye with
    henna')
عkófi 'turn one's back on, turn away' (cf. kéfé 'back')
عșyéh 'shout' (cf. ṣaḥ ‘voice, noise'; Arabic D șayyaha 'shout, cry out')
عṭórəf 'put aside' (cf. téréf ‘side')
```

A great many D/L-Stems must simply be considered lexical, such as the following:

```
óśar 'point out, beckon'
\varepsilon'úlm 'teach; brand' (cf. Arabic D 'allama 'teach')
\varepsilon'úzar 'annoy' (see the comment to text 46:9)
ōrak 'bless' (cf. Arabic L bāraka 'bless')
ōśzr 'give good news' (cf. Arabic D baššara 'bring news')
\varepsilond\overline{o}r (or \varepsilond\overline{u}r) 'return, go back' (cf. G d\overline{\varepsilon}r 'wander'; Arabic D dawwara
    'turn around')
\varepsilondōi 'give s.o. medicine, treat' (cf. Arabic L d\overline{a}w\overline{a} 'give s.o. medicine,
    treat')
fusk 'separate (people from fighting)'
```

[^66]$\varepsilon g e ̄ h ~ ' t a k e ~ b y ~ f o r c e ' ~^{24}$
عghéz 'prepare' (this could be an H-Stem, but cf. Arabic D jahhaza; see the comment to text 52:5)
egórab 'try, test' (cf. Arabic D jarraba 'test, try')
$a \dot{g} o ̄ r$ 'overeat, get indigestion' (see the comment to text 40:5)
عhbéb ‘sing'
hódi ‘divide up, share out’ (cf. Arabic L hādā 'exchange gifts')
ḥõl 'load' (cf. G ḥõl 'carry; move')
hork 'nod, shake, move (trans.)'
ḥóṣal 'get, obtain' (cf. G ḥoṣól 'get'; Arabic G ḥaṣala and D ḥaṣṣala 'get, obtain')
$\varepsilon k \neq \bar{o} z$ 'look at, watch, keep an eye on' (cf. Arabic L qābala 'stand opposite, face')
õtal 'send'
snúdi 'shout' (see the comment to text 6:21)
$\varepsilon r o ́ t z b$ 'arrange, set in order' (cf. Arabic D rattaba 'arrange, order')
sōx 'divert s.o.'s attention' (see the comment to text 28:13)
eṣóźi 'pray' (cf. Arabic D ṣallā 'pray') ${ }^{25}$
$\bar{o} d a^{\text {c 'see s.o. off' (cf. Arabic D wadda'a 'see s.o. off') }}$
$\bar{o} f i$ 'pay a debt' (cf. Arabic G wafā 'pay a debt')
$\bar{o} k a l$ 'entrust, give authority to' (cf. Arabic D wakkala 'authorize, empower')

xols 'finish, be finished' (cf. dialectal Arabic D xallaṣ 'finish')
$x \bar{t}{ }^{\text {'cock (a gun), load (a gun)' }}$

### 6.2.2 D/L Internal Passive

There are at least four $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem internal passives listed in $J L$, including 3ms perfect egídil from the verb conjugated in §6.2. ${ }^{26}$ In the texts, the form ifíléton ( $\mathrm{TJ} 2: 58$ ) is a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ passive, and the form héli ( $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 57$ ) is most likely a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ passive, as is yaḥáréṣan (TJ2:62; 3ms perf. híríṣ). The D/L-Stem internal passive seems to be a very marginal form. See further in § 7.1.7.

[^67]
### 6.3 H-Stem

The H-Stem is characterized in Mehri (and in some other Semitic languages) by a prefixed $h$ throughout the paradigm, hence my use of this term in my grammar of Mehri (2010). For ease of comparison, I have kept the term in this book, even though there is no prefixed $h$ in Jibbali. ${ }^{27}$ The base pattern of the H -Stem in the perfect has the shape ( $\varepsilon$ )CCéC. In EJ (and often in CJ), the initial $\varepsilon$ - of the H -Stem perfect is normally dropped when the first root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, though sometimes it appears if the second root consonant is also voiceless and non-glottalic. ${ }^{28}$ For example, we find $\varepsilon b k e ́ ~ ' m a k e ~ s . o . ~ c r y ' ~ a n d ~ \varepsilon z ̌ ̧ h e ́ r ~ ' s h o w, ~ r e v e a l ', ~ b u t ~ f k e e ~ ' c o v e r ; ~ d r e s s ' ~ a n d ~$ tmím 'finish, complete'. For those same verbs with an initial voiceless, nonglottalic consonant, the first root consonant is geminated in the imperfect.

There is some variation pertaining to the personal prefixes in the conjugation of the H-Stem. Traditionally, the second person and third feminine prefix $t$ - is suppressed in the H-Stem imperfect, and replaced with $l$ - in the subjunctive and conditional, as also in the D/L-Stem. However, speakers vary on this point; specifically, younger speakers tend to use the same prefixes as the G-Stem. See further in § 7.1.2 and § 7.1.3.

As can be seen from the figures quoted in the introduction to this chapter, the H -Stem is by far the most frequently met derived verbal stem. Following is the full paradigm of the verb flét 'escape, run away':

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | flótk | affélót | $l$-Éflat ${ }^{29}$ | l-íllatan |
| 2 ms | flótk | (t)iffélót ${ }^{30}$ | l-éflat ${ }^{31}$ | l-íflatan |
| 2 fs | flóts̃ | (t)iffilit | l-íflat | l-íllatan |

[^68]| 3 ms | flét | yaffélót ${ }^{32}$ | yéflat | yáflatan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 fs | faltót (<flatót) | (t)iffélśt | l-éflat | l-íflatan |
| 1 cd | flóts̃i | affeltó | $l-\partial f a l t o ́ ~$ | l-aflatún |
| 2cd | flóts̃i | (t)iffeltó | l-afaltú | l-aflatún |
| 3 md | faltó | (t)íffiltó | yafaltó | yaflatún |
| 3 fd | faltató | efféltó | l-afaltó | l-aflatún |
| 1cp | flótan | anfélśt | néflat | nóflatan |
| 2mp | flótkum | (t)iffélét | l-óflat | l-óflatan |
| 2 fp | flótkan | (t)iffélótən | l-éflatan | l-óflatan |
| 3 mp | flét | yaffélét | yóflat | yóflatan |
| 3 fp | flét | (t)iffélótan | l-乏́flatan | l-j́flatan |

Imperative: ms éflat, fs íflat, mp óflat, fp éflətən

### 6.3.1 H-Stem Meaning

The primary function of the Jibbali H -Stem is as a causative (cf. Hebrew hiph'il, Arabic 'af'ala). The causative meaning is often derived from a G-Stem verb. Some examples are:

```
\(a\) śés 'rouse, wake up (trans.)' (cf. G 'es' 'rise, get up')
a'bér 'make blind' (cf. G 'ēr 'be blind')
```



```
\(\varepsilon b k e ́\) 'put aside, save' (cf. G béké 'be left over, remain')
عblág 'deliver, bring to s.o.' (cf. G bélag 'arrive'; see the comment to text
    21:10)
عbrék 'make (camels) kneel' (cf. G berók 'kneel (of camels)')
\(\varepsilon\) bšél 'cook, prepare’ (cf. G béšal 'be cooked, ready')
```




```
flé 'cover; dress' (cf. G féké 'wear')
\(\varepsilon g^{\prime} e ́ r\) 'bring down, make fall' (cf. G \(g(a)\) 'ár 'fall')
eglél 'boil, cook (trans.)' (cf. G gel 'be warm; boil (intrans.)')
egzím 'make s.o. swear' (cf. G guzúm ‘swear')
```



```
aḥbé 'cure' (cf. G ḥē 'be cured')
kbéb 'unload, take down' (cf. G keb 'go down')
```

[^69]$\varepsilon k$ cé 'let out (animals) from a pen' (cf. G $k$ caéé 'escape, get out of a pen') endér 'sever' (cf. G ndor 'be severed')
عrbé 'give a drink, let drink' (cf. Grē 'have had enough to drink')
erfá ' lift up, pull up, draw (water)' (cf. G réfa' 'climb; raise, lift')
sadéd 'get people to agree’ (cf. G sed 'agree')
śní ‘show; visit a medicine man’ (cf. G śíni ‘see’)
tmím 'finish (trans.), complete' (cf. G tim 'be finished, finish (intrans.)')
tabé 'feed' (cf. G tē 'eat')
$\varepsilon b g a ́ h ̣$ 'put in' (cf. G égaḥ 'enter')
xlé 'make empty' (cf. G xalé 'be empty')
$\varepsilon z e ́ d ~ ' g i v e ~ m o r e ; ~ i n c r e a s e ~(t r a n s) ' ~.(c f . ~ G ~ z z \bar{\varepsilon} d ~ ' i n c r e a s e ~(i n t r a n s.) ; ~ b e-~$ (come) more than')
\&žhér ‘show, reveal' (cf. G z̛əhér ‘appear')
Other H-Stem causatives have extended or narrowed in meaning, but the derivation can still be seen, for example:
fdé 'sacrifice an animal in a ritual manner and walk it around a sick person' (cf. G fédé 'ransom')
emlék 'give s.o. legal possession of a woman in marriage' (cf. G molók 'own, possess', Šı s̃amlék 'be given legal possession of a woman in marriage')
endáx 'fumigate' (cf. G nídəx ‘smoke')
$\varepsilon r$ z̛é 'reconcile with s.o. (usually a wife)' (cf. G $\varepsilon$ créé 'be agreeable')
$\varepsilon s ฺ b e ́ b$ 'wound, hit (with a bullet)' (cf. G ṣab 'be aimed straight')
śbéh $b$ - 'think s.o. looks like (l-) someone else' (cf. G śōh 'be like')
cšfék 'marry (a man to one’s daughter)' (cf. G šfok 'marry, get married' and Šı šašfék 'marry; get married')
škéṭ '(camels) give birth; lose, leave behind' (cf. G šokọṭ 'be lost; drop off')

Some H-Stem verbs do not have a corresponding G-Stem verb, but might still be seen as causative in meaning. Sometimes there is a corresponding verb in the T1- or Š1-Stem for which the H-Stem can be seen as the causative. Such are:

```
alék 'light (a fire)'
\varepsilonbl\mp@code{á'put (down), place' (cf. Mehri G wīka(`) 'stay; be')}
\varepsilonðmír ‘show, guide'
aġyéḍ 'anger` (cf. T1 g̉ótćƠ` 'be(come) angry')
aġyég 'bear young (of animals)' (cf. ġeyg 'man')
k'ér 'roll down (trans.), throw down'
```

enké 'hurt (trans.)' (cf. Tı nútki 'be hurt')
šmí 'call, name' (cf. šum 'name')
عrbá‘ ‘lift/pull/take up' (cf. Š šarbā 'climb’) ${ }^{33}$
erxé 'loosen, release, let go' (cf. Tı rútxi 'come loose, be released')

xnit 'take out; take off' (cf. Š1 s̃xəniṭ 'go out of, exit, leave')
However, many H-Stems do not seem to function as causatives, and must simply be considered lexical. Some have roots that appear in other stems, while others do not. Some of these verbs that do not function as causatives (and many of those that do, for that matter) have simply been borrowed from an Arabic verb, most often a C-Stem (Form IV, 'af ${ }^{\prime} a l a$ ). Such are:

```
a'ní 'mean' (cf. Arabic G 'anā 'mean')
sbní 'build'
\(\varepsilon b s ̣ e ́ r ~ ' s e e ~ w e l l ' ~(c f . ~ A r a b i c ~ C ~ ' a b s ̣ a r a ~ ' s e e ') ~(~) ~\)
```



```
flét 'escape, flee, run away' (cf. G fólót 'manage to escape'; Arabic C
    'aflata 'escape')
fté 'advise; decide’ (cf. D/L fúti 'give a piece of advice'; Arabic C 'aftā
    'give a legal opinion')
egdéb 'be hungry (animals), not find pasture’ (cf. G godób '(soil) be
    without grass')
egnín 'stoop'
ağlét 'be mistaken, make a mistake' (cf. G ġelt 'be rude'; Arabic C 'ag̀laṭa
    'make a mistake')
aġmíd 'be(come)/happen in the evening'
agrég 'be late’ (cf. Ši s̃əgrrég 'think s.o. is late’)
hek 'call' (cf. Š2 s̃ehēk 'answer a call')
aḥsé 'stuff into s.t.' (= G haćé; cf. Arabic G ḥašā 'stuff')
\(k b e ́ r ~ ' g o ~ u p ~ t o ~ t h e ~ m o u n t a i n s ~(f r o m ~ t o w n) ' ~\)
\(\varepsilon k \nprec b e ́ l\) 'arrive, draw near' (cf. Arabic C 'aqbala 'draw near')
عngím 'consult (an astrologer)' (cf. G ngum 'recover from an illness')
erhín 'pawn; leave s.t. as a deposit/guarantee' (cf. Arabic C 'arhana
        'pawn; leave s.t. as a pledge')
```

[^70]erxés ‘give permission, allow' (cf. Šı šərxés 'take/want leave'; Arabic D raxxasa 'permit')
sbáx 'spread sand or dried dung in a cave' (see the comment to text 51:15)
eṣbáḥ 'be/happen in the morning; become; appear' (cf. Arabic C 'aṣbaha 'be/happen in the morning; become')
eşréb 'be(come) autumn'
šfáh 'leave s.t. after eating enough'
tlé 'be sorry, regret' (see the comment to text 31:5)
$\varepsilon t{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime}$ 'look up’
$\varepsilon b h ̣ e ́ ~ ' c o m e ~ t o ~ h e l p ' ~(c f . ~ G ~ a h a ́ a ~ ' r u n ~ t o ~ h e l p ') ~(~) ~$
$\varepsilon b l e ́$ 'direct oneself, head to, turn towards' (cf. Arabic C 'awlā 'turn back/ towards')
xléf 'move, change place; leave behind; transfer (property)' (cf. G xelf 'take s.o.'s place; relieve s.o. of a burden/task')
$\varepsilon z b e ́ r$ 'take pleasure in s.o.'s misfortune'
Finally, a few H-Stems actually seem to have the opposite meaning from a causative, e.g.:
a'rér 'send s.o.; send for s.o.' (cf. G 'er 'stop from going')
$f$ sáḥ 'stop doing, leave off' (cf. G fésah 'permit')
smréż' 'nurse, look after' (cf. G míraž' 'be ill')

### 6.3.2 H Internal Passive

As discussed in $\S 6.1 .2$, the Ga-Stem is the only stem for which there is substantial evidence of an internal passive in the texts, though they are still relatively uncommon. The H passive is characterized in the 3 ms perfect by a shape $(\varepsilon) C C i C$ (corresponding to an active verb of the shape $(\varepsilon) C C e ́ C) .{ }^{34}$ The 3 ms imperfect has the shape éCCóC (or éCCáG for III-G verbs), while the 3 ms subjunctive (which is probably the same for all persons in the singular) has the shape $l-ə C C o ́ C$ or $l-\varepsilon C C o ́ C ~(a g a i n ~ w i t h ~ a ́ ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f i n a l ~ s y l l a b l e ~$ if the verb is III-G). Just a handful of H-Stem passives are attested in the texts.

[^71]See § 7.1.7 for examples of H-Stem internal passives in context. Additional evidence for the conjugation of the H passive comes from just a couple of forms given in $J L$ (s.v. şflk and $w g^{\prime}$ ).

## 6.4 Š-Stems

Jibbali possesses two stems that are characterized by a prefixed $\tilde{s}$. The one which we will call $\check{S r}_{1}$ is by far the more common of the two $\check{S}$-Stems. It has the basic pattern s̃ə $C$ Cé $C$ ( or $\tilde{S} C \partial C e ́ C$ ) in the 3 ms perfect, and its conjugation (in all tenses) is parallel to that of the H-Stem (§6.3). The other Š-Stem, which we will call $\check{S ̌}_{2}$, has the basic pattern $\tilde{s}_{\partial} C e ́ C ə C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The $\check{S ̌}_{2}$, like the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ and T 2 , is characterized by a suffixed $-\partial n$ on all imperfect forms. Johnstone refers to both of the Š-Stems as causative-reflexive verbs ( $J L$, p. xvii; $A A L$, p. 13), but this designation is inaccurate, as will be seen below. As noted in the introduction to this chapter, $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems are relatively common in the texts, while $\breve{S}_{2}$-Stems are rather rare.

The Jibbali (and other MSA) Š-Stems do not derive from the Proto-Semitic C-Stem, which is reconstructed with a prefixed ${ }^{*} s$-, and which is the source of the Š-Stem in Akkadian, Ugaritic, and some OSA languages (e.g., Qatabanic). The Semitic C-Stem is the source of the MSA H-Stem, which exhibits the shift of the prefix ${ }^{*}{ }_{s}->h-\rho^{-}-/ \varnothing$ - that we see in most West Semitic languages. The MSA $\check{s}$ - prefix ( $\tilde{s}-\mathrm{in}$ Jibbali) comes from an earlier *st-, that is, from the Semitic Ct-Stem, corresponding to the Arabic istaf'ala (Form X). The MSA Ct-Stem split into two types, an Ši-type and an Š2-type, mirroring the two types of T-Stems ( $\$ 6.5$ ). The developments in both the forms and meanings of the MSA Š-Stems have some limited similarities with developments of the Ct-Stem in Arabic dialects of the region, but these connections remain to be explored in detail. ${ }^{35}$

### 6.4.1 Š-Stem Form

As noted in $\S 6.4$, the basic pattern in the perfect is $\tilde{s} \prec C C e ́ C$ or $\tilde{s} C ə C e ́ C$. The pattern $\tilde{s} C ə C e ́ C ~(r e a l l y ~ j u s t ~ a ~ d i f f e r e n t ~ s u r f a c e ~ r e a l i z a t i o n ~ o f ~ \tilde{s} \partial C C e ́ C) ~ i s ~$ common when the first root consonant is $f, h, h, k$, or $x$, but occurs with some other consonants in weak verb patterns. The underlying pattern must be $\tilde{s} a C C e ́ C$, since $b$ or $m$ does not elide when it is the second root consonant. If, for example s̃xabir 'he asked' were the original form, then the $b$ would

[^72]elide (see § 2.1.2); therefore the underlying form must be *s̃oxbir. In the imperfect, the basic pattern is $3 \mathrm{~ms} y \partial \tilde{S} C e ́ C \dot{C} C$, but if the first root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then it is yas̃CaCóC, e.g., yašstaḩ́r 'he gets hurt' and $y a \check{s} \check{s} \partial f j$ jh 'he gets married'. Following is the full paradigm of the verb s̃akséér 'run out of s.t.':

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional ${ }^{36}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1CS | sazkşórk | as̃késẹór | al-s̃ ̌̇kşar | l-as̃ikṣaran |
| 2 ms | sazkṣórk | taskésẹsor | taš kşar |  |
| 2fs | s̃akṣórs̃ | tas̃kéșír | tašikşar | tašíkṣaran |
| 3 ms | s̃akṣér | yas̃kéş̣́r | yas̃ $k$ kṣar | yasíkṣaran |
| 3 fs | s̃aḳ̣arót | taškéṣór | taš | tas̃íkṣaran |
| 1cd | s̃aķฺ̣̣rs̃i | asskesẹéró | l-as̃koṣró | $l$-əs̃àḳşrún |
| 2cd | s̃aḳฺ̣rs̃̃i | tas̃keṣéró | tas̃koṣró | tas̃åkṣarún |
| 3 md | s̃akṣaró | yas̃keṣéró | yas̃koṣró | yas̃ákṣarún |
| 3 fd | s̃aķฺ̣rtó | taŝkoṣóró | tas̃koṣró | tas̃áḳ̣arún |
| 1cp | s̃akş̣́ran | nas̃kéş̣́r | nวs̃ékṣar | nəs̃óķฺ̣ran |
| 2mp | sazkṣórkum | taškésér | tas̃óḳsar | tas̃ókṣaran |
| 2 fp | s̃aḳşr ${ }^{\text {razan }}$ | taškéṣóran | tas̃ékṣaran ${ }^{37}$ | tas̃óḳ̣aran |
| 3 mp | sazkṣér | yas̃kéșér | yas̃óksar | yวs̃óķsaran |
| 3 fp | sazḳ̦ér | taškéṣáran | tašékṣaran | tas̃ókṣarən |

Imperative: ms s̃́kṣar, fs s̃ikssar, mp s̃ơkṣar, fp s̃ékşarən
The underlying or historical base of the imperfect is -s̃a CéCう́C, with a vowel between the element $\tilde{s}$ and the first root consonant (i.e., 3 ms *yaz̃akéṣ́s > $y \partial s \tilde{k}$ és ${ }^{\prime} r$ r). This is supported by the fact that verbs whose first root consonant is $b$ or $m$ show intervocalic loss in the imperfect (e.g., yas̃er $\bar{r} k$ 'he is made to kneel' < "yas̃abérj́k; see §7.4.5), and by the appearance of a full vowel in this position among $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{r}$ verbs (§7.4.6).

There is one $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem internal passive listed in $J L$ (s.v. 'sr), namely $\tilde{s} a$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'r passive of $\tilde{a} a$ 'sér 'love'. The internal passive seems to be a very marginal form in stems other than the G-Stem. See further in § 7.1.7.

[^73]
### 6.4.2 Š7-Stem Meaning

As mentioned in §6.4, Johnstone refers to the Š-Stems as causative-reflexive verbs. This designation really only applies to a minority of Šı-Stems. Among the examples in the texts of reflexive Šı-Stem verbs with a corresponding H -Stem or D/L-Stem causative are:

```
s̃abdéd 'separate oneself from' (cf. D/L~H \varepsilonbdéd 'separate (trans.)')
s̃fokee 'cover o.s. up' (cf. H fḳé 'cover')
shhalé 'catch (an illness)' (cf. H hlé 'communicate an illness')
\tilde{zrbác 'climb (EJ); cross (CJ)' (cf. H crbác `lift, pull up (EJ); guide, drive}
    (CJ)'; see the comment to text 4:9)
sxxanitt 'go out of, exit, leave' (cf. H xnít 'take out; take off')
```

There are some verbs that might be called causative-passive, since they can be seen as the passive of a corresponding causative (H-Stem) verb. Such are:
s̃amlék 'be given legal possession of a woman in marriage' (cf. H smlék 'give s.o. legal possession of a woman in marriage'; Arabic Ct istamlaka 'take possession')
s̃anfá ‘be cured' (cf. H $\varepsilon n f a a^{c}$ 'cure’)
s̃orhín 'take a deposit/guarantee' (cf. H erhín 'pawn; leave s.t. as a deposit/guarantee'; Arabic Gt irtahana and Ct istarhana 'take as a deposit/guarantee')
s̃așfé 'find out news' (cf. H essfé 'give news')
sathéér 'get hurt, be wounded' (cf. H thér 'hurt, wound')
sabté 'be hit, wounded' (cf. H $\varepsilon b t e ́ ~ ' h i t, ~ w o u n d ') ~$
saxtín 'be/get circumcised' (cf. G xtun 'circumcise', H xtín 'have a child circumcised'; Arabic Gt ixtatana 'be circumcised')
s̃azhé 'be elated' (cf. H $z z h e ́ ~ ' m a k e ~ s . o . ~ f e e l ~ e x c i t e d, ~ h a p p y ', ~ G ~ z e ́ h e ́ ~$ 'be(come) excited, happy')

Some others are reflexives or passives of a corresponding G-Stem, including:
s̃adhéf 'be slapped' (cf. G dahef 'slap'; also H $\varepsilon$ dhéf 'slap')
šḥagé 'make one's stand; be surrounded with no way out' (cf. G hágé 'surround')
s̃kəní 'be raised, brought up' (cf. G kéní 'raise, bring up (a child)')
s̃kəré 'hide oneself' (cf. G kéré 'hide (trans.); hide oneself')
škažé 'be compensated' (cf. G kézéé 'compensate; pay blood-money')

A few Šı-Stems have an estimative meaning ('think s.o./s.t. is $X^{\prime}$ ), for example:
s̃a‘̌éé 'think s.o. is late, worry about' (cf. G 'ázéé and D/L $\varepsilon$ 'óźi 'come late')
s̃abdé 'think s.o. is lying' (cf. G bédé 'lie, tell a lie')
$\tilde{s k} k$ sél 'think s.o. is lazy' (cf. G ksol 'be too tired, be lazy')
s̃kotér 'think s.t. is a lot' (cf. Gb kétar 'be abundant', H ktér 'say/give more'; Arabic Ct istaktara 'think s.t. is too much')

However, many or most Ši-Stems can only be categorized as lexical, or at least have no predictable or regular derivational relationship with another verbal stem. Examples are:
séhél 'deserve' (cf. Arabic Ct ista'hala 'deserve')
s̃̃n 'believe, trust; obey, listen to’ (cf. D/L ũn 'trust in, believe in'; Arabic Gt i'tamana 'trust') ${ }^{38}$
s̃énís 'dare’
$\tilde{s} a$ 'Zér 'excuse o.s.; refuse a favor' (cf. G 'að́ór 'excuse, excuse o.s.'; Arabic Gt i'taðara 'excuse o.s.')
$\tilde{s} a$ sér 'love’ (cf. Tı óssar 'love o.a.'; Dhofari Arabic Ct ista'sar 'love')
s̃adhék 'look in on; look down on'
s̃haké 'make s.o. do s.t. in one's stead; get tired' (see the comment to text 97:14)
šḥabél 'understand (words, language)' (probably cf. Arabic C 'aḥāla, which can have the secondary meaning 'convert, translate')
škalél 'catch (in one's hands)' (cf. H klél 'catch (s.t. dropping)')
šaktéb 'have s.o. write (a charm)' (cf. Arabic Ct istaktaba 'have s.o. write s.t.')
s̃aḳ̦̣ér 'run out of' (cf. G ḳoṣór 'fall short; give short measure')
s̃aźké (or s̃alké) ‘lie down'
s̃amdéd 'take s.t. from s.o.' (cf. Mehri H hamdūd 'give'; Arabic Ct istamadda 'take')
s̃əmréž 'fall ill, be ill' (cf. G míraž' 'be ill', but H $\varepsilon m r e ́ z ̌$ 'nurse, look after') ${ }^{39}$
s̃anðér ‘make a vow' (= H enðér ‘vow'?)
s̃anhér 'complain'
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```
    (a drink)')
```



```
    woman's hand in marriage')
```



```
    man to one's daughter) \()^{40}\)
s̃éf 'sleep' (see §7.4.16)
šxabír (or šxabír) 'ask' (cf. Arabic tD taxabbara and Ct istaxbara 'in-
    quire')
```

It should be noted that while a large number of $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stems and H -Stems have clear Arabic counterparts, most Šı-Stems do not. And when there is an Arabic cognate, the Šistem does not regularly correspond to any one Arabic verbal stem. A Ct-Stem (Form X, istaf'ala) is the most common Arabic counterpart, but correspondence with other stems is also possible. For example, from the above lists, s̃in, s̃aðér, and s̃axtín correspond to Arabic Gt-Stems (Form VIII, ifta'ala); s̃əktéb, s̃əmdéd, and s̃əmlék correspond to Arabic Ct-Stems; and $\tilde{s} x a b i ́ r ~ c o r r e s p o n d s ~ t o ~ b o t h ~ a ~ t D-S t e m ~(F o r m ~ V, ~$ tafa"ala) and a Ct-Stem.

### 6.4.3 Š2-Stem Form

As noted in $\S 6.4$, the basic pattern of the Sr $_{2}$-Stem in the 3 ms perfect is $\tilde{s} ə C e ́ C ə C$, and, like the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ - and T 2 -Stems, is characterized by a suffixed -ən on all imperfect forms. Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the Š2-Stem (s̃akéṣar 'run out of s.t.'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional ${ }^{41}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | sazkéşark | as̃kéṣaran | $l$-as̃késşar | l-asskiç̣aran |
| 2 ms | sazkéṣark | tas̃kéṣaran | taškéṣar | taškíṣaran |
| 2 fs | s̃akéṣars̃ | tas̃kíşaran | taškíṣar | tas̃kíṣaran |
| 3 ms | s̃akéşr | yaskéṣaran | yaškéṣar | yaškịṣaran |
| 3 fs | s̃okisisirót | tas̃kéṣaran | taškéṣar | tas̃kíṣaran |

[^75]| 1cd | sakééşarsi | aŝḳéṣeró ${ }^{42}$ | l-askḳiṣiró | l-askẹiṣarún |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2cd | sakéẹars̃i | tas̃kéṣeró | taşḳịsiró | tasskiṣarún |
| 3 md | sąkóṣaró | yaŝkéṣeró | yašḳíşiró | yoškíşrún |
| 3 fd | sakọọşrtó | tas̃ḳéseró | tašķíṣiró | taşkiṣərún |
| 1cp | s̃akéṣaran | nas̃ḳóṣaran | nas̃ḳćṣar | nas̃ḳóṣarวn |
| 2mp | s̃akéşrkum | tašḳóṣaran | tas̃ḳóṣar | tas̃ḳ́ṣaran |
| 2 fp | sakéṣarkan | taş̧kóşaran | tas̃ķ́ṣaran | tas̃ḳ́ṣaran |
| 3 mp | sakéṣar | yasṣ̂́ṣarən | yaşḳóṣar | yaşḳóṣaran |
| 3 fp | sakéṣar | tasḳóṣaran | tas̃kéṣarวn | taşkóṣaran |

Imperative: ms s̃əḳ́sẹər, fs s̃əḳíṣər, mp s̃əkóoṣər, fp s̃akẹṣarən
Similar to the Ši imperfect (see §6.4.1), the underlying or historical base of the Š2 imperfect is - s̃ə $^{2} C e ́ C ə C ə n$, while for the subjunctive it is -s̃ə $С \dot{\varepsilon} C ə C$, in both cases with a vowel between the element $\tilde{s}$ and the first root consonant (i.e., 3 ms imperfect *yas̃akéṣaran > yas̃kéṣaran, and 3ms subjunctive *yas̃akéṣar > yas̃kéṣar). This is supported by the fact that verbs whose first root consonant is $b$ or $m$ show intervocalic loss in these forms (e.g., imperfect yas̃ēśaran and subjunctive yas̃éśar 'he is rewarded for good news' < *yəs̃əbéśarən and *yas̃əbéśar; see §7.4.5), and by the appearance of a full vowel in this position among I-n/l/r verbs (§7.4.6).

### 6.4.4 Š2-Stem Meaning

Verbs of the Š2 pattern often have an implication of reciprocity. That is not to say that these are always reciprocal verbs. For example, s̃enịh 'fight' and s̃erēg 'consult' can have a singular subject and a direct object. However, the actions referred to (fighting and consulting) are reciprocal in nature, as they involve two parties. Likewise, selēd means 'shoot back at' and takes a singular subject, but the implication is that shots are being fired in both directions. If these were true reciprocals, they would mean 'fight with one another', 'shoot at one another', etc. Some Š2-Stems are true reciprocals (and thus are not used in the singular), but this kind of explicit reciprocity is more often indicated by a T-Stem (see below, §6.5), like the T2-Stems ant̄̄h 'fight o.a.' and artēg 'consult with o.a.'. Attested Š2 verbs with true or implied reciprocity are:

[^76]```
s̃əhéros 'argue with s.o.; tell s.o. off' (see the comment to text 53:4)
\(\tilde{s} e h e \bar{e}\) ' 'answer a call' (cf. hek 'call')
s̃elēd 'shoot back at' (cf. G l̄̄d 'shoot')
s̃enīh 'fight with' (cf. T2 ant̄̄ḥ 'fight o.a.') \({ }^{43}\)
\(\tilde{s e r e ̄ g ~ ' c o n s u l t, ~ a s k ~ f o r ~ a p p r o v a l ’ ~(c f . ~ H ~ a r b e ́ g ~ ' c o n s u l t ’, ~ T i ~ r o ́ t e ́ g ~ ' c o n s u l t ~}\)
```



```
\(\tilde{s}{ }^{\circ} \overline{e ́}^{\prime}\) 'arrange a meeting; promise' (cf. mo'õd 'appointment')
s̄ēd 'divide up tasks among o.a.' (cf. D/L ~ H \(\varepsilon b d e ́ d ~ ' a s s i g n ~ t a s k s ') ~\)
s̃xétar 'bet o.a.' (cf. H axtér 'dare s.o. to do s.t.')
```

Other Š2 verbs have no implication of reciprocity, and must simply be considered lexical. Such are probably:

```
s̃ēgar 'rent, hire' (cf. Arabic Ct ista'jara 'rent, hire')
s̃oseēd 'fish' (cf. T2 astēd 'fish', sod 'fish (noun)')
\tilde{seṣēt `listen carefully' (cf. H \varepsilonșbét 'listen carefully', ṣabt 'voice')}
```

As already mentioned, and as is clear from the above lists of Š1- and Š2Stems, $\breve{S}_{2}$ verbs are far less common than Š1 verbs. Just about nine different Š2-Stems appear in Johnstone's texts, and none are common verbs.

### 6.5 T-Stems

Jibbali has two derived verbal stems that are characterized by an infixed $t$, which is inserted between the first and second root consonants. Both T-Stems occur fairly frequently. The one which we will call $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ has the basic pattern $C o ́ t C a C$ in the 3 ms perfect. The other stem, which we will call T 2 , has the basic pattern $\partial C t \_C e ́ C$ or $\partial C t e C e ́ C$ in the $3 m s$ perfect. The T2-Stem, like the D/L- and Š2-Stems, is characterized by a suffixed -ən on all imperfect forms. Johnstone refers to both of the T-Stems as reflexives ( JL, p. xvii; AAL, p.13), but this designation is not very accurate, as will be seen below. T-Stems can be reflexives, reciprocals, or passives, and a number of T-Stem verbs are without a clear derivational meaning.

### 6.5.1 T1-Stem Form

The T1-Stem is characterized by an infixed $t$, which is inserted between the first and second root consonants. It is distinguished from the T2-Stem by its

[^77]vocalic patterns, and by the lack of the suffix - $\partial n$ in the imperfect forms (save the 2 fp and 3 fp ). The base pattern of the strong verb in the perfect is CótCəC. In the imperfect, the base is normally yaCtéCó $C$, but if the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then it is yaCtaCóC (e.g., yaftékór 'he becomes poor', but yamtaxók 'it is pulled out' and yaštafór 'it is pricked'). Following is the full paradigm of a strong verb in the Tr-Stem (fótkar 'become poor'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional ${ }^{45}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | fótkark | aftékór | l-aftékar | l-aftikaran |
| 2 ms | fótkark | taftékór | taftékar | taftikaran |
| 2 fs | fótkars | taftiḳir | taftikar | taftikaran |
| 3 ms | fótkar | yaftékór | yaftéker | yaftikaran |
| 3 fs | ftaḳorót/ <br> fotkarót | taftékór | taftékar | taftikaran |
| 1 cd | fótkars̃i | aftıkeró | l-aftakersó | l-aftikaran |
| 2 cd | fótkors̃i | taftakeró | taftakeró | taftiḳaran |
| 3 md | ftaḳiró | yaftaḳeró | yaftaḳeró | yaftikaran |
| 3 fd | ftaḳirtó | taftaḳeró | taftakeró | taftikaran |
| 1cp | fótçaran | naftékór | naftéḳar | naftikaran |
| 2 mp | fótkorkum | taftékér | taftékar | taftikaran |
| 2fp | fótkorkan | taftékóron | taftéḳaran | taftikaran |
| 3 mp | fótkar | yaftékér | yaftéḳar | yaftikaran |
| 3 fp | fótkar | taftékóran | taftékaran | taftikaran |

Imperative: ms aftéḳar, fs aftíkar, mp aftéḳər, fp aftéḳəran
In Omani Mehri, when the second root consonant is $s, s, s, t, d, \partial, \not \partial, z$, or $\dot{z}$, then the $t$ of the T1-Stem assimilates to this consonant in the perfect, for example natṭab 'drop' < *natṭab, fazzźah 'be embarrassed' < *fatźaḥ. The only two such verbs in Johnstone's Jibbali texts, kótṭa' 'be cut' (13:20) and mússi 'melt' (35:9), also exhibit this assimilation, though these verbs are listed in $J L$ as kótṭa‘ and mútsi, respectively. The assimilation in Jibbali seems

[^78]to take place only in EJJ ${ }^{46}$ One common verb shows an irregular assimilation, namely, šúṣisi 'drink' < "̌̌útki (see further in §6.5.2).

It is not rare to find mixing of T1- and T2-Stem forms, especially for weak verbs. For example, as can be seen in the comments to $3: 13$ and $57: 15$, there are a number of verbs with $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stem perfects and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem imperfects. See further in §6.5.4.

### 6.5.2 T1-Stem Meaning

A number of T1-Stem verbs are reciprocals, and as such they are conjugated only for the dual and plural. Such are:

```
bóttzd 'part from o.a.' (cf. G bed 'separate (intrans.)', D/L ~ H \(\varepsilon\) bdéd
    'separate (trans.)')
gótlas ‘argue with o.a.' (cf. G golós 'argue, nag')
\(\dot{g} \dot{t} t b a r\) 'meet o.a., come together' (cf. G g \(\dot{g} r\) 'meet s.o.')
ǵstrab 'know/recognize o.a.' (cf. G garób 'know')
ḥ́trab 'fight o.a.' (= T2 ahtéréb; cf. D/L ḥorb 'fight with'; Arabic tL
    tahāraba and Gt ihtaraba 'be at war with one another')
kśtlat 'chat with o.a., talk to o.a.' (cf. G kolobot 'tell')
l'́ttzg' 'kill o.a.' (cf. G létzg ' kill') \({ }^{47}\)
nútbah 'bark at o.a.' (cf. G nịh 'bark')
sśtbot \(\underline{\text { 'fight, hit o.a.' (cf. G s } \bar{t} t \underline{~ ' h i t, ~ b e a t ') ~}}\)
ț't'an 'stab o.a.' (cf. G t \(t\) (a) án 'stab')
```

Others can be loosely classified as passives, intransitives, or reflexives, most often with a corresponding G-Stem:
'sttar 'back down, back off' (< lit. 'be repelled'; cf. G 'er 'stop from going; dam')
fótkah 'be halved, break in half (intrans.)' (cf. G fékah and D/L fókah 'cut in half', H fkáh 'break in half (trans.)')

h’́traf 'move, move away' (cf. G ḥaróf 'move, remove')
kj́tlab 'turn into (intrans.), change form; be overturned' (cf. G koolób 'turn, return; overturn (trans.)')

[^79]```
kótṭa` ( or ḳ́țṭac) 'be cut, be cut off; (a contract) be breached’ (cf. G kéṭac
    'cut, cut off; breach (a contract)'; Arabic tD taqatṭa'a 'be cut off')
múthan 'be in trouble, be disturbed' (cf. G m(a)hán 'give s.o. bad news;
    disturb s.o.')
mútsi (or mússi) 'melt, dissolve (intrans.)'
nútbah 'watch out, pay attention' (cf. H \(\varepsilon n b a ́ h ~ ' w a r n ') ~\)
nútgaḥ̣ 'hurry up’ (cf. D/L عnúgaḥ 'do quickly')
šótffr 'come over one (like goose-bumps); be pricked’ (cf. G šfor 'punc-
    ture'; see the comment to text 40:4)
śtkəợ ‘wake up (intrans.), awaken’ (cf. D/L ōkəợ ‘wake up (trans.)’)
xótlok 'take shape, appear; assume a shape’ (cf. G xalók 'create')
xóttal 'be sick (in the mind)' (< lit. 'be penetrated'; cf. G xel 'penetrate;
    be penetrated')
```

Still others, including some transitive verbs, are probably best considered lexical:

```
bśttar 'look down, look out'
ḥótég 'need' (cf. ḥógət 'thing; need'; Arabic Gt iḥtāja 'need')
mútraḳ 'pull out, take out (e.g., a dagger from its sheath)'
mót \(\varepsilon\) ' 'have free time, not be busy'
mútxak 'pull out hard (a sword, dagger)' (see the comment to text 25:13)
rútki 'read'
śótém 'buy’ (cf. G śz̄m ‘sell’)
śóték ‘miss, long for’ (= T2 วśtēḳ; cf. Arabic tD tašawwaqa and Gt ištāqa
    'long for'; see the comment to text 60:19)
šúṣī ‘drink’ (cf. G šéké 'give a drink; irrigate')
```

One Ti verb from the above list requires special attention. This is the verb šúṣ̂i ‘drink’, which is anomalous. The form šúụ̃̃i must derive from *šútḳi, but the sound change is irregular; interestingly, $J L$ lists another T1-Stem šútkii 'be irrigated' with a regular perfect. So šúṣçi is the older T1-Stem, but once it became irregular, it allowed for the creation of a new T1-Stem to be a passive of the G-Stem šéké 'irrigate'.

Finally, note that when there is an Arabic counterpart to a T1-Stem, it is usually a tD-Stem (Form V, tafa"ala) or Gt-Stem (Form VIII, ifta'ala).

### 6.5.3 T2-Stem Form

Like the T1-Stem, the T2-Stem is characterized by an infixed $t$ following the first radical consonant. However, whereas the infix is adjacent to the second radical in the T1-Stem perfect, and is subject to regressive assimilation
among some speakers, it is adjacent to the first radical in the T2-Stem perfect, and is never subject to assimilation. For example, while the infixed $t$ can (for some speakers) assimilate to the following $s$ in the T 1 perfect mússi 'it melted, dissolved' (< mútsi), it does not assimilate to the preceding $s$ in the T2 perfect astzhél 'he had good luck'.

There are two basic conjugations of the strong verb; as in the G-Stem perfect and Ti-Stem imperfect, this is connected to the quality of the root consonants. If the second root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic, then the 3 ms perfect has the pattern ${ }^{2} C t z C e ́ C$. If not, then the pattern is əCtéCéC. This distinction also affects the imperfect and subjunctive forms, and so we find 3 ms imperfect $y a C t a C e ́ C ə n$ or $y a C t e ́ C e ́ C ə n$, and 3 ms subjunctive $y_{2} C t z C \dot{C}$ or $y_{2} C t e ́ C \check{C}$. Following are the full paradigms of two strong verbs in the T2-Stem (aftakér 'wonder about, consider' and aftérég 'watch'):

|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | aftakórk | aftıkéron | l-aftakór | l-aftzkíron |
| 2 ms | aftakórk | taftakéran | taftakór | taftakíran |
| 2 fs | aftakórs̃ | taftakíran | taftakér | taftakíran |
| 3 ms | aftakér | yaftakérən | yaftakór | yaftakíron |
| 3 fs | aftakirót/ <br> aftakorót | taftakérən | taftakór | taftakíran |
| 1 cd | aftakórs̃i | aftıkeró | l-aftakerś | l-aftəkráyan ${ }^{48}$ |
| 2 cd | aftakórs̃i | taftakeró | taftakeró | taftakráyan |
| 3 md | aftókró | yaftakerś | yaftakeró | yaftakráyan |
| 3 fd | aftókrətó | taftakeró | taftakeró | taftakráyan |
| 1cp | aftakóron | naftakórən | naftakór | naftakíran |
| 2 mp | aftakórkum | taftakórən ${ }^{49}$ | taftakér | taftakíran |
| 2 fp | aftakórkan | taftakóran | taftakóran | taftakíran |
| 3 mp | aftakér | yaftakórən | yaftakér | yaftakíran |
| 3 fp | aftakér | taftakóran | taftakóran | taftakíran |

Imperative: ms aftakór, fs aftəkír, mp aftzkér, fp aftzkóron

[^80]|  | Perfect | Imperfect | Subjunctive | Conditional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Cs | aftéróg(a)k | aftérégan | l-aftéróg | l-aftérígan |
| 2 ms | aftéróg(a)k | taftérégan | taftéróg | taftérígan |
| 2fs | aftéróg(ə) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | taftírígan | taftiríg | taftérígan |
| 3 ms | aftérég | yaftérégan | yaftéróg | yaftérígan |
| 3 fs | aftergót/ <br> aftorgót | taftérégan | taftéróg | taftérígan |
| 1cd | aftérógs̃i | aftéregó | $l$-aftéregó | $l$-aftérgáyan ${ }^{50}$ |
| 2cd | aftérógs̃i | taftéregó | taftéregó | taftérgáyan |
| 3 md | aftórgó | yaftéregó | yaftéregó | yaftérgáyon |
| 3 fd | aftórgató | taftéregó | taftéregó | taftérgáyan |
| 1cp | aftérógan | naftérógan | naftéróg | nəftérígan |
| 2mp | aftérógkum | taftérógan | taftérég | taftérígan |
| 2fp | aftérógkan | taftérógan | taftérógan | taftérígan |
| 3 mp | aftérég | yaftérógan | yaftérég | yaftérígan |
| 3 fp | aftérég | taftérógan | taftérógan | taftérígan |

Imperative: ms aftéróg, fs aftíríg, mp aftérég, fp aftérógan

### 6.5.4 T2-Stem Meaning

Roots found in the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem are most often also attested in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem, though the derivational relationship between the two is not always obvious. If there is a clear relationship, the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ is usually a passive of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$, less often a reflexive. Many T2-Stems are borrowings from the Arabic tD-Stem (Form V, tafa"ala) or tL-Stem (Form VI, tafā́ala), which have a similar relationship with the Arabic D- and L-Stems. Examples of T2 verbs that are passives, statives, or reflexives of a D/L-Stem are:

```
a'télím ‘learn, study' (cf. D/L \(\varepsilon\) 'úlm 'teach'; Arabic D 'allama 'teach', tD
    ta'allama 'learn')
a'tóśs 'have dinner' (cf. D/L ع'ósi 'give dinner'; Arabic D 'aššā ‘give
    dinner', tD ta'aššā 'have dinner')
abtérék 'be blessed’ (cf. D/L ōrək 'bless'; Arabic tD tabarraka and tL
    tabāraka 'be blessed')
aftéréż ‘be very happy, be excited’ (cf. D/L fóraž' 'please, make happy')
aftaxér 'be proud' (cf. D/L fóxar 'make proud')
```

[^81]aḥtéðér ‘be careful, be wary’ (cf. D/L ḥóðər 'warn’; Arabic D ḥaððara 'warn' and tD taḥaððara 'be wary')
aktéléb ‘be worried, be upset’ (cf. D/L $\varepsilon k$ ólb 'upset s.o.')
astahél 'have good luck, find s.t. easy' (cf. D/L sóhal 'facilitate'; Arabic D sahhala 'facilitate', tD tasahhala 'be easy')
abtélím 'ready oneself for action, prepare oneself' (cf. D/L ōlam 'prepare')
axtéséẹ́ 'get one’s comeuppance, get one's due' (cf. D/L axṣéṣ) ${ }^{51}$
aztahéb 'make oneself up' (cf. D/L $\varepsilon z o ́ h a b ~ a n d ~ H ~ \varepsilon z h e ́ b ~ ' m a k e ~ u p, ~ d r e s s ~$ up a woman')

Some T2-Stems are reciprocals, again often with a corresponding D/L verb, for example:
> ahtóde ‘divide up amongst one another’ (cf. D/L hódi ‘divide, share out’) aḥtéréb 'fight with o.a.' (= T1 ḥ́trab; cf. D/L ḥorb 'fight with'; Arabic tL taḥāraba and Gt iḥtaraba 'be at war with one another')
> antラ̣̄ 'fight with o.a.' (cf. Š2 s̃enịh 'fight with') ${ }^{52}$
> artēg 'consult with o.a., conspire with o.a., make a plan (as a group)'

Many other T2-Stems must be considered lexical, or at least the derivational relationship with another stem (or stems) is unclear. Such are:
 'inspect, examine', tD ta'ayyana 'see')
a tékéd 'believe’ (cf. Arabic Gt itaqada 'believe firmly')
abtēr 'fish; hunt'
abtóža' 'make purchases, buy goods' (cf. Arabic tD tabaḍda'a 'shop, purchase')
adtēl 'protect'
aftakér 'wonder about, consider' (cf. D/L fókar 'think', Tı fótkar 'think, remember'; Arabic tD tafakkara 'wonder about, consider')
aftérég 'watch, be a spectator' (cf. Arabic tD tafarraja 'watch')
aftétín 'try to remember' ( $=$ Tı fótṭan; cf. G féṭən 'remember', D/L fóton and H fṭín 'remind')
ag̀tóś 'faint, pass out' (see the comment to text 39:5)

[^82]amtósạ̣ 'perform ritual ablutions’ (cf. G mésaḥ ‘wipe’; Arabic G masaḥa and D massaḥa 'wipe, rub, anoint')
artīn 'wait, stay'
astĩ (or astũi) 'shout one's tribal war-cry' (see the comment to text 25:4)
astēd 'be blackened; be disgraced' (cf. D/L sōd 'blacken; curse')
aṣtēd 'fish, hunt' (cf. Š2 s̃oṣēd 'fish', ṣod 'fish (noun)'; Arabic tD taṣayyada 'hunt, catch') ${ }^{53}$
aštič ‘listen to' (cf. G š̌̌ 'hear'; Arabic tD tasamma'a and Gt istama'a 'listen to')
abtəkél 'rely on, trust' (cf. D/L ōkal 'entrust, give authority to'; Arabic D wakkala 'entrust' and tD tawakkala 'rely on, trust')
axtéléf ‘disappoint, let s.o. down’ (T1 xótlaf can also have this meaning)
As can be seen in the lists of T1- and T2-Stems, a number of roots occur in both stems, with apparently the same or very similar meanings. Such are:

T1 fótṭan ~ T2 aftétécin 'try to remember'
T1 ḥótrab ~ T2 ạhtéréb 'fight with o.a.' (cf. Arabic tL and Gt)
T1 sóthal~T2 astahél 'have good luck, find s.t. easy' (cf. Arabic tD)
T1 xóttoṣ ~ T2 axtéṣéṣ 'get one’s comeuppance, get one’s due'
T 1 xótlaf $\sim \mathrm{T} 2$ axtéléf 'disappoint s.o., let s.o. down'
Of course, it is possible that we are misled by the brief definitions given in $J L$ or by the limited contexts in which these verbs occur, and that on closer inspection the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ verbs have different nuances. But assuming that the data are accurate, we can explain the identical meanings of the two stems in one of two ways, with each explanation probably holding true for some verbs. The first explanation has to do with the fact that many T-Stem verbs are borrowed from Arabic, where the source verb is either a tD or a Gt. There is no rigid patterning for the borrowing of Arabic T-Stem verbs; we find some Arabic tD-, tL-, and Gt-Stems that correspond to Jibbali Ti-Stems, and some that correspond to Jibbali T 2 -Stems. In some cases, a verb was probably borrowed into both stems. In the case of ḥ́trab ~ aḥtéréb, we see

[^83]that already in Arabic this verb appears either in the tL- or Gt-Stems with identical meaning. The second explanation is that many of the forms for T1- and T2-Stem verbs look very similar, especially for the subjunctives of weak verbs. Given this similarity, and the already easily confused forms, the result has been heavy overlap in the semantic function of the two T-Stems. As mentioned already in $\S 6.5 \cdot 2$, it is not rare to find mixing of the two stems, e.g., a T1-Stem perfect with a T2-Stem imperfect.

### 6.6 Quadriliterals

Quadriliteral verbs are relatively rare in Jibbali, at least in the texts, with the exception of the verb agsaré 'spend the night'. There are three basic kinds of quadriliterals, distinguished by their root type, which I will collectively call Q-Stems. There is also a derived N-Stem for quadriliteral roots. These will be discussed in turn below.

### 6.6.1 Basic Quadriliterals (Q-Stems)

I refer to quadriliteral verbs collectively as Q -Stems. There are two primary characteristic patterns for the 3 ms perfect of the strong verb: $(\varepsilon) C_{1} \partial C_{2} C_{3} e^{\prime} C_{4}$ (true quadriliterals) and $(\varepsilon) C_{1} \partial C_{2} C_{1} e^{\prime} C_{2}$ (reduplicated biliterals). A third type will be discussed below. The prefixed $\varepsilon$ - of these Q -Stems is the same prefix that is found in the H-Stem, and is present in the same environments, namely when the initial root consonant is voiced or glottalic. The conjugation of the Q-Stem is very similar to that of the H-Stem. Compare the following forms:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-Stem | erkéd | yarékód | yérḳad |
| Q-Stem (true) | eḳとrféd | yakérfód | yaḱrrfad |
| H-Stem (gemin.) | eglél | yaglél | yéggal |
| Q-Stem (redup.) | egaśgéś | yagaśgéś | yagéśgaś |

The Q-Stem verbs found in the texts are:

```
a'aškér 'gather 'áškér' (see the comment to text TJ2:71)
\varepsilongaśgés's 'summon (a spirit or animal) by sorcery'
\varepsilonġalfét 'surround'
a\dot{g}saré 'spend the night' (cf. \dot{g}asré 'at night')
```

ekemním 'collect fodder'54
$\tilde{\varepsilon} r h e ́ b ~(<~ * \varepsilon m e r h e ́ b) ~ ' w e l c o m e ’ ~(c f . ~ A r a b i c ~ Q ~ m a r h ̣ a b a ~ ' w e l c o m e ', ~ d e-~$ nominative from marhaba 'welcome!')
żrkáh (< *emerḳáḥ) 'tidy up'55
Some others included in $J L$ are:

```
\varepsilonb\varepsilonrbér 'babble' (cf. Arabic Q barbara 'babble')
\varepsilondəmdím 'grope in the dark'
habhéb 'sing to animals' (cf. D/L \varepsilonhbéb `sing')
hazhéz 'shake (trans.)' (= G hez)
haðnín 'stare at'
haṭmím 'chew hard on s.t.'
k\varepsilonrbél 'crawl on one's knees'
k\varepsilonrkím 'dye yellow' (cf. k\varepsilonrkúm 'yellow dye'; Arabic kurkum 'turmeric')
kabréd 'drive crazy, madden'
\varepsilonkaléd 'roll (trans.)'
\varepsilonk\varepsilonlbét 'curl hair; twist; turn a corner'
\varepsilonk\varepsilonrbétet 'tie tightly'
\varepsilonk\varepsilonrféd 'turn over (trans.)'
š\varepsilonrxéf 'slip s.t. secretly to s.o.'
šažrér ‘dye/make yellow or green' (cf. G šoz̧ór `become green', šažrór
    'yellow')
t\varepsilonrðim 'mumble, talk nonsense'
axamdín 'put a riddle to s.o.'
```

All of the true quadriliterals have a liquid $(r, l)$ or nasal $(m, n)$ as the second or (less often) third root consonant. The verb $\varepsilon$ k.ka'éd 'roll (trans.)' is listed in $J L$ under the root $k l^{\prime} d ;$ Johnstone must have assumed that the attested $\varepsilon k a^{\prime} l e ́ d$ is a metathesized form (also attested as such in Mehri).

The third type of quadriliteral is characterized by reduplication of the final root consonant (i.e., a partially reduplicated triliteral root), and has the

[^84]perfect pattern $C_{1} \partial C_{2} e ́ C_{3} e ́ C_{3}$. Following are some examples, only two of which (źəġérér and anḥiéb) are used in the texts:

```
anḥiéb 'screech, grunt (used of a camel)' (< *naḥébéb)
anḥérér 'purr'
 anxérér 'snore' (cf. G n(a)xár 'snort', naxrér 'nostril')
ż\partialġérér 'scream, shriek'
```

Quadriliterals of this type mainly have to do with making sounds, and all seem to contain a guttural as the second root consonant. It is unclear if these should be considered Q-Stems, whose pattern is different because they have a guttural as the second root consonant (though compare regular $\varepsilon$ kacéd, above). Not all Q-Stems with final reduplicated root consonants fit this pattern, as shown by the verbs ḥaðnín and šaźrér. That is to say, some verbs with reduplication of the third root consonant are treated as true quadriliterals. In my grammar of Mehri, I treated verbs with a reduplicated final root consonant as a separate type of quadriliteral that I termed "pseudoquadriliterals". ${ }^{56}$ In Jibbali, however, at least some verbs of this type pattern with Q-Stems, while others (with a guttural as a second root consonant) may or may not pattern with Q-Stems. Evidence that some verbs with a reduplicated final root consonant may be considered a separate type of Q-Stem comes from the fact that NQ-Stem verbs of this type are distinct from other NQ-Stems.

It is also noteworthy that the Mehri "pseudo-quadriliterals" include a number of verbs related to colors, while in Jibbali such verbs are mainly NQ-Stems (see below, § 6.6.2).

### 6.6.2 $N$-Stem Quadriliterals (NQ-Stems)

A less common type of quadriliteral verb is characterized by an $n$ - that precedes the root in all tenses. As in some Ethiopian Semitic languages (e.g., Ge'ez), the Semitic N-Stem is not productive as a derivational stem in Jibbali, but is found almost exclusively with quadriliteral roots. NQ-Stems can be of two types. The first type is the NQ-Stem found with true quadriliteral roots ( $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}$ ), reduplicated roots of the type $C_{1} C_{2} C_{1} C_{2}$, and some reduplicated roots of the type $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{3}$. This NQ-Stem has the basic perfect pattern әпСәССе́C. The second type is discussed below. The NQ-Stem is rather rare (only one NQ-Stem, ankabréd 'be crazy', occurs in Johnstone's texts [30:10]), and it often functions as a passive of the Q-Stem.

[^85]Some examples of NQ-Stems of this first type, mainly taken from $J L$, are:
anbelgím 'get too fat'
anfadféd 'have scabies, swellings' (cf. fadféd 'scabies')
onḥaṭmím 'get broken' (cf. Q ḥaṭmím 'chew hard on s.t.')
ankerbél 'become a cripple' (cf. Q kerbél 'crawl on one's knees')
ankabréd 'be crazy, go crazy' (cf. Q kabréd 'drive crazy, madden')
ankafrér 'pout, sulk' (cf. kafrér 'lip')


anšerxéf 'slip away, sneak away (intrans.)' (cf. Q šerxéf 'slip s.t. secretly to s.o.')
anterðím 'grumble' (cf. Q terðím 'mumble, talk nonsense')
anṣafrér 'become yellow' (see below)
anṣahbéb 'become light brown; be plump'
anšḥamím 'become dark (in complexion)' (cf. šhamúm 'brown, dark (complexion)')
antab'ér 'be full of clay'
anțabráh 'fall down on the ground; have swollen testicles'
anxamlél '(tears) run down silently, well up'
Similar to Q-Stems, the non-reduplicated quadriliteral roots of NQ-Stems all have a liquid $(r, l)$, nasal $(m, n)$, or semi-vowel $(w, y)$ as the second or third root consonant.

The second type of NQ-Stem is found only with roots with a reduplicated third radical (always a liquid or nasal), and has the basic pattern ${ }_{\partial n} C_{1} \partial C_{2} i C_{3} e^{\prime} C_{3}$. There are just a few of these attested, almost all of which have to do with colors. None are attested in the texts, but included in $J L$ are:

```
an'ifírér 'blush, become red' (cf. 'ófar 'red')
anḥirér 'become black' (cf. ḥor 'black', root ḥwr).
anlīnín 'become white' (cf. lūn 'white', H \(\varepsilon\) lbín 'whiten')
anṣafirér 'have flowers, blossom' (but also NQ anṣafrér 'become yellow')
anšažírér 'become green/yellow’ (cf. Q šažrér ‘dye/make yellow or
    green')
antérér 'flow/pour slowly' (root probably tyr)
```

The fact that anšaźirér 'become green/yellow' has a corresponding causative Q-Stem šaz̧rér 'make green/yellow' makes us wonder if some of these other NQ-Stems related to colors have corresponding Q-Stems as well, even though $J L$ does not list any. The root $s f r(r)$ is also curious, in that there are two NQ-Stems of this root, one in the pattern employed for color terms
(anṣafírér) that apparently is used of plants, and another in the more general NQ-Stem type (anṣəfrér) that is used for the color. Again, one wonders if some of the other verbs related to colors appear in both stems.

Finally, there is some very scant evidence for what we can call a basic N -Stem, that is a stem with an n-prefix used with a triliteral root. The basic N-Stem is exceedingly rare, and such verbs must be considered lexical anomalies, rather than as N -Stems. The one such N -Stem attested in the texts is anḥérk 'move' (33:5). This verb would be easy to account for (as an Arabism) if the root occurred in the Arabic N-Stem; it does not, however, as far as I have found.

## CHAPTER SEVEN

VERBS: TENSES AND FORMS

### 7.1 Verbal Tenses and Moods

### 7.1.1 Perfect

All perfects are formed by attaching the following suffixes to the appropriate verbal base:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | $-(\partial) k$ | $-(\partial) \tilde{s} i$ | $-\partial n$ |
| 2 m | $-(\partial) k$ | $-(\partial) s \tilde{s} i$ | $-(\partial) k u m$ |
|  | $-(\partial) k \partial n$ |  |  |
| 2 f | $-(\partial) \tilde{s}$ |  | - |
| 3 m | - | $-\partial ́$ | - |
| 3 f | $-\partial ́ t$ | $-t \partial ́$ | - |

## Notes:

- The 1 cs and 2 ms perfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The $3 \mathrm{~ms}, 3 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 fp perfects are identical for every verb in the language. However, the 3 ms is often distinct when object suffixes are added; see §3.2.3.
- The 1cd and 2cd perfects are identical for every verb in the language, just as with the pronominal suffixes on nouns (§3.2.1, $\S 3.2 .2$ ).
- The optional $\partial$ of the 1 cs and all second person suffixes is not underlying, since it does not normally cause elision of a preceding $b$ or $m$ (e.g., ġarábk 'I knew', zaḥámk 'you (m.) came', zums̃ 'you (f.) gave'). The a of the 1cp suffix is underlying (e.g., zaḥān 'we came' < *zaḥáman; zũn 'we gave' < zúmən), but elision is sometimes blocked by analogy with other forms, at least with III-b verbs (e.g., ġaróbən 'we knew'). That is to say, elision seems to be variable in the 1cp perfect.
- Unlike in Mehri, the 3fs and 3d suffixes do not have variant forms with a front vowel. However, after a nasal consonant, the suffix - $\partial t$ is realized -út (e.g., kunút 'she was', guzũt 'she swore' < *guzumút).
- For certain weak verb types whose 3 ms form of the perfect ends in a stressed vowel (e.g., ksé 'he found', tē 'he ate', kéré 'he hid'), the 3 fs perfect suffix is simply -t (e.g., ksét, tēt, kérét). This is not true for verbs whose 3 ms form ends in an unstressed vowel (e.g., śini 'he saw', sínút 'she saw').

The basic and most common use of the perfect is as a past tense, for example:
xaṭarét sfork kin sékəni tع éṣəlak dabéy, ba-žímk 'ak ‘askérít. bə-skófk 'ónut trut 'I traveled from my settlement until I reached Dubai, and I enlisted in the police. And I stayed two years' (13:1)
'õr heš, "hst ž́zhákak len" 'they said to him, "you tricked us"' (22:15)
ol garób to 0 o 'he didn't recognize me' (13:12)
agád ba-ksé 'amkáš xõš aḥróf ba-ḥilóhum 'he went and he found in it five (gold) coins, and he took them' (97:40)
zum $\varepsilon s$ férót ižirrét bo-ṭ̄xóts 'he gave the bird to the servant-girl and she cooked it' (6:9)
tum ðд-šerókkkum elín ... ol šeróḳan tos lo 'you are the ones who stole our cow ... we didn't steal it' (12:9)
śed l-irs̃ōhum b-ağád 'they loaded their camels and they went' (54:12)
$a$ šéśs, $b-\jmath l$ 'aśśśt lo 'they roused her, and she didn't wake up' (18:10)
 girl ghosts came and went down into the well. And they took off their clothes' (30:4)

We also find the perfect-at least with the verb agád 'go'—used as an immediate future, for example:
he ag̉ádək 'I'm off!' (1:14; 3:16)
The perfect also appears regularly after a variety of particles, including the conditional particles her, (ә)ðə, and (ə)ðә kun (see §13.4); the temporal conjunctions mit, her, $\varepsilon d$, hes and haṣ $\varepsilon$ - (see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ ); and $d$-'od $\jmath$ l'before' (see $\S 7 \cdot 3$ ). On the perfect following maskín 'I hope', see $\S 12.5 \cdot 15$.

### 7.1.2 Imperfect

The imperfect is formed by attaching a set of prefixes and suffixes to the appropriate verbal base. As discussed in Chapter 6, the D/L-, Š2-, and T2Stems are categorized by the addition of a suffix -zn on all imperfect forms (with, possibly, the exception of Š2- and T2-Stem duals; see below). For this reason, it is convenient to divide the set of imperfect affixes into two types. Note that the prefixes are identical for both sets. The imperfect is compli-
cated in Jibbali by the fact that the prefixes are sometimes suppressed in some verbal stems, on which more will be said below. Following are the prefixes and suffixes used for all G active, Ši-, and $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{Stems}$, and by some speakers for the H - and Q -Stems:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | ว- | a-...-'́ | $n-$ |
| 2 m | $t$ - | $t-. . .-\dot{0}$ | $t$-(V) |
| 2 f | $t$-(V) |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y$ - | y-...-ó | $y$-(V) |
| 3 f | $t$ - | $t$-...-' | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

Notes:

- The prefixes are often followed by an epenthetic vowel $a$.
- The 3 ms prefix $y$ - is sometimes realized $i$-, especially following the verbal prefix $d-/ \partial$ - (7.1.10.1). This also seems to happen in the H-Stem, in which the prefix vowel may bear some secondary (or tertiary) stress.
- The 2 ms and 3 fs imperfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The 2 fp and 3 fp imperfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The prefix $t$ - is sometimes lost in pronunciation before certain consonants (see § 2.1.9).
- The 2fs normally has some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base, in all stems. A final -i appears only with III-w/y and III/' verbs (see § 6.1.1 and § 7.4).
- The 2 mp and 3 mp normally have some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base in the Gb-, $\mathrm{H}_{-}$, $\mathrm{T}_{1}-$, and Š1-Stems. In the Ga-Stem, strong verbs do not have a change in the stem in the mp forms, but some weak verb types do (e.g., I-G, I-w, II-G, II-'/y, and III-w/y verbs; see the relevant subsections of § 7.4).
- Many younger Jibbali speakers have imported the $l$ - prefix of the 1cs subjunctive into the 1cs imperfect, in all stems.
- In Johnstone's data, the 1cd is sometimes recorded with the prefix $n$ - of the 1cp. Because this form is obsolete, no doubt some speakers are uncertain of the proper form.

Following are the prefixes and suffixes used for all the Š2- and T2-Stems, and by some speakers for the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | ə-...-ən | д-...-ó/-ún | $n-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 2 m | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ | t-...-ó/-ún | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| $2 f$ | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y-\ldots-\partial n$ | y-...-ó/-ún | $y-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 f | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ | $t$-...-j/-ún | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

Notes:

- The prefixes are often followed by an epenthetic vowel $\partial$.
- The 2 ms and 3 fs imperfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The $2 \mathrm{mp}, 2 \mathrm{fp}$, and 3 fp imperfects are identical for every verb in the language.
- The prefix $t$ - is sometimes lost in pronunciation before certain consonants (see § 2.1.9).
- The 2 fs normally has some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base, in all stems, as do the 2 mp and 3 mp forms.
- Many younger Jibbali speakers have imported the $l$ - prefix of the subjunctive into the 1 cs imperfect, in all stems.
- The dual suffixes are uncertain. We would expect the suffix -ún (<-ó + $n$ ), with the same final $-n$ found with all singular and plural imperfects in these stems. According to $J L$, however, the $-n$ is present in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem duals, but lacking in the $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ - and T 2 -Stem duals. It is possible that because duals are rarely used, Johnstone's informants had trouble with the forms.

According to the material that Johnstone collected, the G passive, D/LStem, H-Stem, H passive, and Q-Stems exhibit a peculiar feature in the imperfect, namely, the lack of a prefixed $t$-. He outlined these forms in $J L$, as well as in Johnstone (198ob). However, in my own fieldwork, I have found that informants regularly use the prefix $t$ - with these stems. Even Johnstone reported some fluctuation with regard to this feature (1980b: 468). See further in the discussion of the relevant stems in Chapter 6.

The imperfect can, in various contexts, indicate almost any tense or aspect. It can be used as a general, habitual, or immediate present; a habitual past; a future; a present or past progressive; or a circumstantial complement. This suggests that the imperfect is basically an imperfective, indicating incomplete action. However, the imperfect can also sometimes function
as a narrative past tense, with a clear perfective meaning. Following are examples of the imperfect used as a general or habitual present:
bírdém ð-ol yōd lo 'a person who doesn't lie’ (8:12)
tag̉órab kol śé kelš her ĩréżz 'she knows absolutely everything about illness' (18:7)
kol gam‘át taṣ̣̄̄h đ̛́rš ṣág̉at 'every week jewelry appears on it’ (22:11)
het sóbar tagélb 'you always refuse' (24:1)
sl akódar al-ḥí(l)k lo 'I can’t carry you’ (49:15)
yasōt $\varepsilon r s \prime o ́ t ~ b a-y s \bar{t} t ~ \varepsilon \dot{g} \partial ́ t s ̌ ~ ' h e ~ h i t s ~ t h e ~ b o y s ~ a n d ~ h e ~ h i t s ~ h i s ~ s i s t e r ~(h a b i t u-~$ ally)' (49:33)
he a'ágób ba-títí, ba-títíi ta'ágób bi 'I love my wife and she loves me’ (60:4)
Following are examples of the imperfect used as a past habitual, past continuous, or imperfective:
aġás yas̃a'ásórs 'her brother loved her' (17:9)
ag̉éyg ol-’ód yagósar yaxétar hallét lo 'the man didn't yet dare go down to town' (25:7)
her hē háší đ̣er embére', tənúgaf 'ãš eg̉átš háši ‘whenever dirt fell on the boy, his sister would brush the dirt off of him' (36:17)
her ínćt folọk šíṭár, ašórk ũs e-ı̄ b-ahzeéz šíṭár 'whenever the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids' (49:3)
 'he could fend for himself, and he thought there was no one like him. He would throw his stick and run to catch it' (54:2)
aǵéyg yamzéz 'the man smoked (habitually)' (60:24)
ðín $\varepsilon y a ́ t, ~ a l-s ̃ a ́ s o ́ r s ~ b e ̄ ~ . . . ~ b-o l ~ a k ̣ o ́ d a r ~ l-\varepsilon ́ f s a ̣ ̣ ~ b e s ~ l o ~ ' t h i s ~ c a m e l ~ I ~ l o v e d ~ v e r y ~$ much ... and I couldn't give it up' (AK2:5)

As the examples above show, an imperfect can correspond to English 'would', not as a conditional, but as a past habitual (e.g., 49:3). It can also correspond to 'would' as a relative future in a past tense context, though more often a future tense has this function (see §7.1.4). Examples are:
al éd'ak lo yaḥóṣal śé man lo 'I didn’t know (if) he would get something or not' (10:3)
guzúmk sl-’’d aḥzéz šíṭár zeyd 'I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore' (49:10)
sbḳá ‘réš ‘ak rékab e-ūt man tél dé olyaḳódar yalhóm lo 'he put the head onto a ledge of the house, where no one would be able to jump up to' (54:32)

A future tense is most often indicated with the future form (see §7.1.4), but following are examples of the imperfect used as either a simple or habitual future:

ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-shé(l)k ðદ́nu, ézmək ẽs̃áġər 'when you have finished with this, I will give you the other' (2:5)
her ol kisk tok lo, ol aǵórab j̄ram lo 'if I don’t find you, I won't know the road (you took)' (3:12)
 I die, if you don't come back to us this evening' (28:17)
her kél'ak toš 'ak ṣahálét, yomtéss' bo-yakín míh 'if you leave it in a bowl, it will melt, and it will be water' (35:7)
yaktélét bi $\varepsilon$ ḳēl her kélák tok ba-flótk 'the tribes will talk (badly) about me if I leave you and run away' (83:2)

Several of the examples cited throughout this section show the imperfect used in the apodosis of a conditional sentence. The imperfect is, in fact, very often met in this context, about equally as often as a future in the texts; see $\S 13.4$ for discussion and additional examples.

In narrative contexts, the imperfect can also be used as a simple past (perfective) tense. This is quite common, though with some speakers more than others. Some examples are:
yafrér đ̛́rš $\varepsilon$ kéżzr 'the leopard jumped on it' (15:9)
zoḥám mosé bə-thí dof'ak xo edsḥlél 'rain came, and a rock fell onto the mouth of the cave' (22:2)
yazḥóm țaṭ man ag̉ág ba-yadóram țit man iyél 'one of the men came and slaughtered one of the camels' (25:5)
mit ber ðд-ऽ̄̄r xérín, yas̃elēdan man đ̣́ér īs ed yazhómš 'when he had got a little ways ahead, he shot over his father so he could get to it [the peak]' (83:3)
áxərši ag̉ág yaõr, "ya!l!a, təm" "Then the men said, "Yalla, ok"' (AK2:4)
hes țénu yośún to ī, ad-ḳofólk l-enúfi 'aḳ hagrét 'when my father saw me like this, that I had shut myself in (my) room' (AK2:7)
 put on torn clothes ... and he ground charcoal and painted himself with the charcoal' (TJ4:23)
yabğód mḥammád ba-geróś $k$ kéraḥ, ba-yérd beš 'Muhammad went and dragged the donkey away, and he threw it' (Anon1:5)

In Jibbali, a past or present progressive, as well as a circumstantial, is usually indicated by the imperfect in combination with the verbal prefix
$d$-/ $/$-, as discussed separately below (§ 7.1.10.1). However, because the verbal prefix $d$-/ $\delta$-does not usually occur before the prefix $t$-(i.e., the prefix of most second person and third feminine imperfects), what looks like a bare second person or third feminine imperfect can also serve to indicate a progressive or circumstantial. In reality, however, these are underlyingly imperfects with the prefix $d-/ \partial$-. An example is:
ko het $t \bar{\jmath} k$ 'why are you crying?' (23:8) ( $t \bar{\jmath} k<* \nearrow-t \bar{\jmath} k)$
See §7.1.10.1 for more examples.

### 7.1.3 Subjunctive

The subjunctive is constructed with prefixes and suffixes similar to those used for the imperfect, though these attach to a verbal base that is, for almost all verbs, different from the base of the imperfect. Like the imperfect, different stems exhibit different sets of affixes. The full set of affixes for the G active, $\check{S}_{1}-, \check{S ̌}_{2}-, T_{1}-$, and $T_{2}$-Stems is:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | l- | l-...-̇ | $n$ - |
| 2 m | $t$ - | t-...-ó | $t$-(V) |
| 2 f | $t$-(V) |  | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |
| 3 m | $y$ - | y-...-́ | $y-(V)$ |
| 3 f | $t$ - | $t$-...-' | $t-\ldots-\partial n$ |

## Notes:

- The characteristic $l$ - of the 1 cs (and 1 cd ) is different from the prefix of the imperfect. Many younger Jibbali speakers have actually imported the $l-$ prefix of the subjunctive into the ics imperfect, in all stems.
- The 2 ms and 3 fs subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- The $2 f p$ and 3 fp subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- The prefix $t$ - is sometimes lost in pronunciation before certain consonants (see § 2.1.9).
- The 2fs normally has some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base, in all stems.
- The 2 mp and 3 mp forms normally have some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base, as do sometimes the other plural forms.
- The characteristic - $\partial n$ of the Š $_{2}$ - and T2-Stems imperfects is absent in the subjunctive.

As in the imperfect, the G passive, D/L-Stem, H-Stem, H passive, and QStems historically exhibit the loss of the prefix $t$ - in the subjunctive. In the subjunctive, however, the prefix $l$ - has taken its place. However, in my own fieldwork, I have found that informants often use the prefix $t$ - with these stems. Even Johnstone reported some fluctuation with regard to this feature (198ob: 468). The traditional affixes of the subjunctive for these stems are:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | l- | l-...-̇ | $n$ - |
| 2 m | l- | l-...-う | $l-(V)$ |
| $2 f$ | $l-(V)$ |  | $l-.$. -on |
| 3 m | $y$ - | y-...-ó | $y$-(V) |
| 3 f | l- | l-...-う | $l-.$. -an |

Notes:

- The $2 m s$ and $3 f s$ subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- The 2 fp and 3 fp subjunctives are identical for every verb in the language.
- The 2 fs normally has some sort of vowel change (ablaut) in the base, in all stems.
- The 2 mp and 3 mp forms of the H -Stem have a vowel change (ablaut) in the base.
- The characteristic -ən of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem is absent in the subjunctive.
- The prefix $l$ - has spread to all persons except the $3 \mathrm{~ms}, 3 \mathrm{md}$, and 1cp, i.e., everywhere the prefix $t$ - has been lost. In the G passive only, the $l$ - has spread to these forms as well (see §6.1.2).
- Some younger speakers today use the prefixes of the G-Stem for these stems (i.e., $t$ - for the second persons and third feminine forms). ${ }^{1}$

The subjunctive form can be used either independently or dependently, though the latter is far more common. When used independently in the

[^86]third persons, it can express a number of things, including: 1 . suggestion or obligation, equivalent to English 'should'; 2. a third person imperative, best translated with English 'let'; 3. a wish, like English 'may'; 4. uncertainty, like English 'might'. Examples are:

```
yafórḥək jzz ba-xár 'may God make you happy with good things' (8:13)
aġéyg yóks̃zf \varepsilonnúf 'the man should expose himself' (17:38)
her dé yaġórab sé, yéśn\varepsilon her \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon' 'if anyone knows anything, he
    should see to the boy' (18:7)
yas\̄xk 'ar õś\varepsilońtk 'he may divert you from your livestock' (28:13)
'วd yékən gól\varepsilon' angdərát 'it might be a supernatural illness' (38:6)
taṣxób šófalak mġór\varepsilon' \tilde{sinn 'your stomach may be sore for a little while}
    after' (40:15)
taxalóf ṣahát 'be well [lit. may health come]!' (52:11)
yōrak bek oz' 'may God bless you' (AK3:11)
Oorrót hōt, "yotġ to ĩnkél, bo-yókbbr to \varepsilondífar." 'the snake said, "The heroic
one should kill me, but the bad one should bury me"' (Pr161)
```

A first or (rarely) a second person independent subjunctive likewise expresses suggestion, obligation, wishing, or uncertainty, as in:
he bar cð́cilín l-ógrafxélét ‘I, the son of so-and-so, should clean toilets!?’ (5:10)
íné al-šérk hes ‘what should I do for her?' (6:8)
l-óklot hek ‘should I tell you?' (43:17)
h-ínél-azémš ‘why should I give him (a camel)?’ (49:32)
$h s t(t) s \tilde{s} d h \partial f$ 'you should be slapped' (51:8)
iné ans̃érk beš ‘what should we do with him?' (51:21)
sléd'ak al-hún l-źble lo 'I don't know where I should direct myself' (57:2)
al-fráh b-cbrí 'let me rejoice in my son!' (57:13)
koh togíd s̃i ' why should you go with me?' (60:46)
As a simple statement-that is, not in a direct or indirect question or an exclamation-a first person cohortative ('let me' or 'let's') is normally expressed with the verb 'ágab 'want' plus a subjunctive verb (see $\S 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$ ). For cohortative 'let's go!', there is the particle gadú (§12.5.9). Suggestion or obligation in the second person ('you should') is more often expressed with the particle $t \bar{o}-(\S 12.5 .19)$. See also $\S 12.5 \cdot 3$ on the combination of a subjunctive form of kun 'be' with the particle 'od.

A subjunctive verb can also be used dependently, as the complement of another verb. By far the most commonly occurring verb that takes a verbal complement is 'ágab 'want', which is treated separately below (§7.5).

In translation, a subjunctive verb used as a verbal complement often corresponds to an English infinitive. The subjunctive can share a subject with the preceding verb, as in:

Kiriót yũm tağĩd 'the sun was close to setting' (16:3)
ol-'ód ḳód'́r yas̃éxant lo 'he was not able to get out' (22:2)
s̃ənðér her عraḥmũn yéðhab naḥõr ðৈhr 'he vowed to God to flood (one) wadi with blood' (22:3)
ag̉éyg ol-'ód yagósar yaxétər ḥallét lo 'the man didn't yet dare go down to town' $25: 7$ )
aġéyg 'azúm yaǵád hagg 'the man decided to go on the Hajj' (36:20)
he dha-l-ǵád l-éķər b-aġóhí ‘I will go to visit my brothers' (50:2)
agád yósbat to 'he went to beat me' (51:9)
gzím ol $(t)$ dír man manúhum 'swear that you will not come between them!' (6o:6)
sl dé ġarób yadēš lo 'no one knew how to cure him' (SB1:4)
Alternatively, and very often, the dependent subjunctive can have its own subject, which is the object of the preceding verb, as in:
he 'ak tétit tağád $\tilde{s} i$ 'I want my wife to go with me' (7:10)
'ar dé yazhóm bes 'send someone to bring her' (18:9)
kaló to l-ğád k-غǵéyg 'let me go with the man' (28:12)
ikežót ìs yéšfakas 'she gave authority to her father to marry her off' (45:18)
ko tũm ḳélákum toš yagád baḥśés ‘why did you all let him go by himself?' (49:34)
ol 'ak émí (t)dané lo 'I didn't want my mother to get pregnant' (51:13)
In the six examples immediately above, the subject of the subjunctive is the direct object of the preceding verb, but it can also be the indirect object, as the following examples show:
mun $\varepsilon$ - $\check{o ̃ r}$ hek ts̃érk ténu 'who told you to do it like this?' (1:4)
siṇ heš yóšfak ‘he permitted him to marry' (7:9)
'ágən bek ț̃éxənṭ émtan 'we want you to come back to us' (13:2)
def'ót her žirít téblka‘ sẽhm 'ak aciś $\varepsilon$-agáás 'she paid a slave-girl to put poison in her brother's food' (17:46)
aṭólab mes̃ l-írxaṣ tet túnḥag 'I am asking you to let the woman dance’ (30:11)
he dha-l-héz̧ər lis̃ təġíd 'I will (pretend to) persuade you to go' (60:6) dha-l-'ámer hes tótba'k'I'll tell her to follow you' (60:21)

A similar construction is used with férok ('ar) 'be afraid', examples of which can be found $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$. Some verbs also require the negative particle $\rho l$ before
 (13:18); see further in $\S$ 13.2.2. See also $\S 7.5$ for numerous examples of the subjunctive following a form of the verb 'ágab 'want'.

A dependent subordinate verb can also occur after a non-verbal phrase, and in such cases is also equivalent to an English infinitive. Two non-verbal phrases that can be followed by a subjunctive are axér her 'it's better for' and ol xer her ... 10 'its not good for',' Examples are:
axér hókum l-óxlaf 'it's better for you to move' (28:6)
axér hek al-dór 'it's better for you to go back' (30:18)
axér hókum l-óflat 'it's better for you to run away' (54:18)
ol xer hek tag̈ád lo 'it's not good for you to go' ( $60: 25$ )
Compare the similar use of the subjunctive in other non-verbal phrases:
al kayóskum ( $t$ )tog tetéfal man 'ak fidét $l_{0}$ 'it is not right for you to kill an infant in the cradle' (25:16)
he ékíl l-Éšfə ${ }^{2} \partial s \tilde{s}$ عðı́-ilín 'I am authorized to marry so-and-so to you' (45:9)

A dependent subjunctive can also indicate purpose (§13.5.2.1). Sometimes these purpose clauses are best translated with English 'so (that)', while other times they are best translated with an infinitive, making them identical to those cases where the subjunctive functions as a simple verbal complement. Some examples are:
$\dot{g} a d y o l ~ u ̄ t ~ \varepsilon-k \bar{r}, y a z \varepsilon ́ m k ~ i ́ s i e ́ ~ b a-f l o ́ y o ́(l) t g ̇ a k ' g o ~ t o ~ t h e ~ h o u s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s h e i k h, ~$ so he can give you (food) or kill you' (46:9)
$z \tilde{\varepsilon}-t \jmath \tilde{\varepsilon} s \varepsilon ́ r k l$-д $\dot{g} b \varepsilon ́ b$ 'amkás's' 'give me your turban so I can defecate in it [or: to defecate in]' (97:37)

More often, Jibbali indicates a purpose clause with a particle, usually her (§13.5.2.2).

The subjunctive is also used after a number of particles, including dek (§ 12.5.6), lézam (§12.5.13), ndóh (§ 12.5.16), tō (§ 12.5.17), and wégəb (§ 12.5.20), and with the temporal conjunction $\varepsilon d$ 'until' ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ ).

[^87]The subjunctive can also be found in a few idiomatic expressions, such as following the particle ya rét 'if only; would that!' (e.g., 60:32).

The subjunctive form is also used as the base for the future tense (see § 7.1.4), as well as for the negative imperative (see § 7.1.6).

### 7.1.4 Future (dha, ha-, $a$-)

The future tense in Johnstone's texts is formed with an auxiliary particle $d h a-$-, or the shorter form $h a-$ - plus a subjunctive verb. In current usage (spoken or written), this particle most often has the reduced form $a$-, as in the AK texts (§ 16.1), and as found twice in Johnstone's texts (both in 12:9). In 19th-century and very early 2oth-century sources (like Müller's texts), the particle has the form dhar or dhar. ${ }^{3}$ Following are some examples of the future in the texts:

```
mit ha-l-sśnćk 'when will I see you?' (7:3)
ha-l-ógzzm 'I will swear' (14:1)
dha-l-gád karére 'T'll go tomorrow' (28:2)
mg̈óre' dha-l-jóklatِ heš bz-xáfi 'then I will tell him about my foot' (52:4)
dha-tzśné yaġréb troh 'you'll see two ravens' (33:7)
dha-tzrháżż 'ak \(\varepsilon \dot{g} \partial \bar{r}\) 'they'll wash in the well' (30:3)
dha-nzémk erba'ơt iž́f 'we will give you four thousand' (22:12)
dha-nšérk hek fśo' 'we'll make lunch for you' (60:35)
dha-yz(l)tóǵk áélí 'my family will kill you' (30:21)
dha---éflat mon đ̛́riri 'she will run away from me' (60:22)
iné un eyó ha-yámór 'what then [or: indeed] will people say?' (SB2:7)
\(a\)-ngád tel iy \(\varepsilon\) l ' 'we'll go to the camels' (AKı:2)
```

If there are two future tenses used in sequence, then the auxiliary $d h a$ - is used only with the first verb, unless another phrase intervenes (as in SB1:6), for example:
mũn manhum dhac-yit bz-yj́kzla‘ agág ðə-šéš '(to see) which [lit. who] of them would eat and let down his friends' (21:3)
he dha-l-gád ba-l-shbés' 'I will go and push him off [lit. make him fall]' (25:15)
 leave you ten days, we'll feed you and give you drink, and afterwards we will slaughter you' (SBi:6)

[^88]The future tense can also be used in a past tense context to indicate a relative future, usually corresponding to English 'would', as in:
šãck dé õor ḥa-ys̃éxant 'did you hear somebody say he will [or: would] leave?' (8:9)
thúmk toš ar ḥa-yḥóṣal 'I thought he'd surely get (something)' (10:4)
guzúm ar há-yzhézzos 'he swore he would kill her' (17:9)
sérék enúf dha-yzð̣hól 'he pretended that he was going to urinate' (21:9)
ol nəkó(l)š dḥa-yğád lo 'we didn't think he would go' (49:35)
 me?' (60:20)

The future tense is also often used in the apodosis of real conditional sentences (usually with the particles her [§ 13.4.1] or (ə)ðə [§13.4.2] in the protasis). In the texts, a future tense in the apodosis is just slightly more common than an imperfect (see further in §13.4.1). Some examples are:
íné ha-(t)zĩ-to her kolótِk his̃ b-cbréśs 'what will you give me if I tell you about your son?' (13:7)
her 'ágis̃ bi, ḥa-tġíd s̃i. b-ol 'ágis̃ bi lo, ha-tískif 'if you love me, you will go with me. And (if) you don't love me, you'll stay' (13:18)
her aġadót bə-zhám عbrí b-эl ksés lo, dḥa-yafót 'if she goes away and my son comes back and doesn't find her, he will die' (30:10)
her ol xaróg lo, dḥa-yékan bun karére 'if he didn't die, he will be here tomorrow' (42:6)
her ol kólótst̃ híni lo, ar dḥa-l-ó(l)tġis̃ 'if you don't tell me, I will kill you' (46:5)
 anything' (49:13)
her śínén šes dé, dha-ng̉ád 'if we see anyone with her, we'll go' (60:40)
There are also a handful of places in the texts where we find a future tense in the protasis of a conditional, with no apparent special function. Examples are given in § 13.4.1.

The combination of the auxiliary $\operatorname{ber}(\S 7.2)$ plus the future tense means 'be about to' (proximative) or 'nearly' (avertative), as in: ${ }^{4}$
sétor híni $\varepsilon k ̣ ̄ ̄ r$, her bek dḥa-l-ógraf xélét 'better for me the grave, if I am about to clean toilets!' (5:10)

[^89]iyélí ber dha-tfótan man x $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ ' $m y$ camels are about to die of thirst' ( $25: 2$ ) عd yum əð-bér dḥa-yğód, zaḥám yo tel a'áśars 'then on the day that they were about to go, people came to her husband' (36:21) iyátk berót dhal-l-éškat ' your camel is about to give birth' (47:3)

On the use of the future tense dha-yékan to indicate approximation or uncertainty, see §9.6.

### 7.1.5 Conditional

The verbal form that Johnstone called the conditional takes the same set of prefixes as the subjunctive. It is characterized by the presence of the suffix -an on all forms; in addition, the verbal base of the conditional is generally different from those of the imperfect and subjunctive. Therefore, the conditional often looks distinct from those imperfects and subjunctives that otherwise have a suffixed -ən (namely, the characteristic -ən of the D/L-, Š2-, and T2-Stem imperfect, or the $-\partial n$ of the 2 fp and 3 fp imperfect or subjunctive in all stems).

Conditional forms are rare. They appear almost exclusively in the apodosis of unreal (counterfactual) conditional sentences introduced by (ə) ðә $k u n($ see $\S 13.4 .2$ ). Some examples from the texts are:
het ð-эl kunk mis̃érd lo, ol (t)s̃írkan enúf ókal axér 'áni lo 'if you weren’t stupid, you would not pretend to be smarter than me' (1:7)
 not have made fun of me' (1:11)
да kũn ol mis̃érd lo, al yahzizizan yitš 10 'if he wasn't crazy, he would not have slaughtered his camel' (2:7)
het ðə kunk kólótk híni, təğ́dəən s̃ek titk 'if you had told me, your wife would have gone with you' (13:20)
ðə kun эl létəg gr erbə'ót minén lo, ol naltéġənəš lo 'if only he had not killed four of us, we would not have killed him' (83:7)

See also Johnstone's texts 42 and 42 b , for another twenty examples.
There is just one passage in the texts which appears to have an independent conditional:
l-íśnēn ḥánúf 'you should go see a medicine man' (38:7)
It is not clear why we find a conditional here, rather than a subjunctive, and I suspect an error.

The verb 'ágab 'want' is also used independently in the conditional; see further in §7.5.4. On the frozen conditional ta'míran, see $\S 8.25$.

### 7.1. 6 Imperative

The imperative is conjugated for person and number. No dual imperatives are attested in the texts, and the plural is used where we expect a dual (cf. 18:11; 97:31). ${ }^{5}$ As a general tendency, the imperative is made by taking away the personal prefixes of the second person subjunctive forms. However, this is not a rule, at least not synchronically. Compare the following second person subjunctive and imperative forms of the Ga-Stem strong verb sfor 'travel' and the G-Stem geminate verb fer 'fly' (root frr):

|  | 2 ms | 2 fs | 2 mp | 2 fp |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjunctive | tósfar | tísfar | tasfór | tasférən |
| Imperative | sfér | sfír | sfór | sférən |
| Subjunctive $^{6}$ | tóffar | túffər | tafrór | tafrérən |
| Imperative | frér | frír | frór | frérən |

As is clear in the above tables, the singular imperatives show different stem-vowels than the corresponding subjunctives. This may be due to the fact that in the singular subjunctive forms, the stress falls on the vowel of the prefix, rather than on the base (although this is not a problem for H-Stem imperatives; see §6.3). Other such examples are ms ken 'be!' (2ms subjunctive tékan; root $k w n$ ) and ardé 'throw!' (2ms subjunctive térd; root $r d w)$. For the most part, however, imperatives and subjunctives share the same base. Some examples of imperatives from the texts are:
aḥtéðór b-Enúf 'watch out for yourself!' (25:10)
ğad ... ba-kbén 'ak enáxal 'go ... and hide among the date-palms!' (30:2)
ḥmel xaṭókésəən 'pick up their clothes!' (30:3)
gmo‘ li réga‘ да-kahwét ba-təmbéko, ba-ðrórs nxín héṣən ‘collect coffeegrounds and tobacco remains for me, and spread it (all) around under the castle!' (36:13)
ša‘ba-śné 'run and see!' (39:11)
ken li l-hés i ‘be to me like my father!' (47:13)
naká‘al-yóh 'come here!' (49:26)

[^90]```
ftaḥxok ba-ġmáźáánték 'open your mouth and close your eyes!' (54:23)
éfsaḥan b-ẽšér 'stop the party!' (97:28)
```



```
kəlá'hum 'ak. maḥəðórót 'leave them in a pen!' (30:14)
gíd mon seróhum ba-n'iffhum 'go after them and chase them!' (AM1:11)
```

As in Mehri, Arabic, and most other Semitic languages, the imperative form is not used in a negative phrase. Instead, a negative command is expressed using a negated subjunctive verb. Some examples are:

```
sl taķtélśb lo `don`t worry!' (3:19)
al tóftzḥaš lo 'don't open it!' (5:4)
ol tiš lo `don't eat it!' (6:5)
ol tebk lo 'don't cry!' (23:8)
sl tíklat\underline{ her īlo 'don't tell father!' (49:18)}
ol takarō-to lo 'don't come near me!' (53:10)
ol tafrík lo 'don't be afraid!'(54:19)
sl tékan daḥís lo 'don't be stubborn!' (57:3)
sl tahérg ġaró dífar lo `don`t use [lit. speak] bad language!' (57:15)
```


### 7.1.7 Internal Passive

Jibbali internal passives occur mainly in the G-Stem, though they also exist in the H-Stem, and marginally in other stems. Even for the G-Stem, examples of the internal passive are not abundant in the texts. There are only about thirty G-Stem passive forms in the texts, just a handful of H-Stem passives, and maybe three D/L-Stem passives. ${ }^{7}$ An Šıs 1 Stem internal passive is listed in $J L$ (s.v. 'sr). Internal passives occur in the perfect, imperfect, subjunctive, and conditional, and both the perfect and imperfect forms can be preceded by the verbal particle $d-/ \partial-(\S 7 \cdot 1.10)$. See $\S 6.1 .2$ for the full paradigm of a G-Stem passive, §6.2.2 for discussion of the D/L-Stem passive, and §6.3.2 for discussion of the H-Stem passive. Following are some of the attested passages with G-Stem internal passives in the texts:
ksé iyát ðə-ḥizzót 'they found the camel slaughtered' (2:12)

 'if he has no penis, his head should be cut off. And if he has a penis, your heads should be cut off' (17:39)

[^91]ol ta‘aśés lo ar hér sittót ba-xaṭarók troh 'she won’t wake up unless she is hit twice with a stick [or: with two sticks]' (18:11)
‘ẽr hẽn ba-kəวbalét mosé mékan 'it was told to us that in the west there is a lot of rain' (32:8)
is ðz-ltíg ‘her father had been killed’ (46:1)
dha-l-əhzizz 'you will be killed' (48:11)
émí zũthum šóṭor bo-šótorhum $\varepsilon$-héziz 'my mother gave them a kid for their kid that was slaughtered' (49:5)
عmbérє' дд-ykénє' 'the boy who was being breast-fed' (51:2)
ksé ġabgót ðд-rșínút 'they found a girl who had been tied up' (54:14)
'akl-altág 'do you want to be killed?' (60:25)
ǵélíb ol yóšfə 2 b bes 'he was refused (permission) to marry her' (SB1:2)
het ol 'idĩk lo 'you weren't executed?' (TJ4:87)
$\varepsilon$-xaróg g̀asré ikiór k-ḥáṣaf 'the one who dies in the evening is buried in the morning' $(\operatorname{Pr} 16)$

Note the specialized meanings of the passive xéźík 'be born' (57:8) or 'happen' (6:4; cf. active xolók 'create').

Examples of H-Stem internal passives are:
ksét egunét ber ṭahínút ṭkik d-Ebḳi"'she found the sack (of grain) already ground fine lying there [lit. having been placed]' (97:16)
ešxórtén kel ber šfík 'all of our old women have already been married off' (AMı:5)
her kunút $\varepsilon$ lé ð-iṭérób b-igéfún 'if the cow has been stimulated and has accepted a dummy-calf (tulchan)' (TJ2:53)

For examples of D/L-Stem internal passives, see §6.2.2.
As noted in $\S 7.1 .2$ and $\S 7.1 .3$, the G passive, and probably also other internal passives, exhibit the unusual prefix markers (or lack thereof) in the imperfect and subjunctive shared (mostly) by the D/L-Stem and H-Stem.
$J L$ includes at least nine H-Stem passives, four D/L-Stem passives (3ms perfect ( $\varepsilon$ )íCíC), and one Ši-Stem passive (3ms perfect sazCCíC). ${ }^{8}$ More research is needed to determine the productivity of the internal passives of derived stems.

[^92]
### 7.1.8 Passive Participles

Passive participles are not very productive or common in Jibbali, and should probably be considered a marginal category within the verbal system. Johnstone includes a small number of passive participles within some lexical entries, but has no discussion of them in his introductory material on the verbal system. Simeone-Senelle (1997; 2011) also makes no mention of a passive participle in her sketches. There is some discussion of passive participles in Bittner (1916b: 19).

The basic forms of the passive participle have the following patterns for strong verbs:

```
ms: məССéC or maCCéC fs: məCCaCót
mp: məCCóC or məC\varepsilońbCəC fp: maCCəCét\varepsilon (var. -éta)
```

Passive participles are used either as attributive, predicative, or nominalized adjectives. The passive participles in the texts are:

```
\(\bar{\varepsilon} t ~ k \varepsilon l\) məftahéte ‘all the houses are open’ (4:4) (cf. G fétaḥ ‘open’)
```

fúdún maktéb 'amkás 'a stone, on which was written' (6:12) (cf. G ktob 'write')
agág ber maġrób man segós't 'the men were already famous [lit. known] for bravery' (21:1) (cf. G ġarób 'know')
kun magréb 'he became famous [lit. known]' (55:7) (cf. G ġarób 'know')
śধf $\varepsilon g \varepsilon n b i ́ t ~ m ə \dot{g} \partial z z o ́ t ~ ' i t ~ t u r n e d ~ o u t ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ d a g g e r ~ w a s ~ l o o s e ' ~(25: 13) ~(c f . ~$ G $\dot{g} e z$ 'loosen')
 dead [lit. killed] like this' (25:17) (cf. G létəg่ 'kill')
her kun mothím bo-lótəg่ 'if he is accused of murder' (14:2) (cf. H thím 'accuse')

Other examples can be found in $J L$, though not a large number.
There are also numerous nouns that have this same pattern məCCéC (pl. məCCóC), but which cannot be classified as derived past participles, even though some clearly relate to a verbal root. Consider:

```
mahfér 'basket' (cf. G h.for 'dig'; so lit. 'dug out'?)
maḥléb 'young she-camel, camel calf' (30:14; 33:17) (cf. G ḥalób 'milk
    (an animal)')
```

Sometimes it is not so clear whether to classify a word as a passive participle or as a lexicalized adjective. Consider a word like maśhér 'famous'. This is clearly a passive participle in terms of its pattern, but the only related
verbs from this root are the T1-Stem śśthar and T2-Stem aśtahér 'be famous'. Moreover, maśhér is almost certainly an adaptation of the Arabic passive participle mašhūr. So maśhér should probably not be considered a passive participle in the productive sense.

Some nouns look like passive participles only coincidentally, such as mədfá 'cannon' (< Arabic midfa'), məðkér 'young male (goat) kid' (32:4), maḥlél 'marrow', and masgíd 'mosque' (< Arabic masjid).

### 7.1.9 Compound Tenses

Compound tenses, in which a form of the verb kun 'be' is used as an auxiliary, are rather rare in the texts. Only a handful of examples occur, and these are potentially calques of Arabic compound tenses. The compound tense most frequently met in Johnstone's texts, though with just three examples, is an imperfect of kun followed by a verb in the perfect (optionally preceded by ber). This compound tense indicates a future perfect:
herolzaḥámk tókum lo, akín ag̉ádk s̃eš 'if I don’t come back to you, I will have gone with him' (28:16)
herol zahámk tok náṣanu lo, วl (t)s̃á‘žz to lo. วkín edúrk yol a'élí 'if I don't come back now, don't think I'm late. I will have gone back to my family' (60:17)
 back, you should have already ground the sack (of grain) and already filled the buckets with water' (97:7)

Notice in the last example (97:7) that the auxiliary ber (§7.2) comes between the two verbs.

Another seeming example of an imperfect of kun plus a verb in the perfect has a past progressive meaning:
 filth' (TJ4:24)

Perhaps here the imperfect of kun is being used with a narrative past tense function, and so the meaning is equivalent to a perfect of kun plus a verb in the perfect (see below).

Once we find a subjunctive of kun plus a verb in the perfect (preceded by a form of the auxiliary ber), indicating a future perfect within a past tense context. The subjunctive seems to be used to indicate uncertainty:
ag̉éyg hégós ba-tét tékən berót ag̉adót man đ̣ér emíh 'the man figured the woman would have already gone from by the water' $(60: 20)$

Once in the texts we find an imperfect of kun plus a verb in the imperfect (probably preceded by an underlying $d-/ \partial-$ ). The reason for the compound is not clear.
takín təs̃kélót egənú(s)és 'she is conversing with her jinns' (18:11)
In the texts from Ahmed Kashoob, we find twice a perfect of kun followed by the particle $d$ - (§7.1.10.2) and a verb in the perfect:
nhán kúnən da-skúnan ba-gerbéb 'we were living (at that time) on the plain (between the sea and the mountains)' (AKı:2)
bə-d-ġótéḍk, bə-kúnk də-mútḥaḳək 'I was angry, and I was annoyed' (AK2:8)

The first of these examples is clearly a past progressive. The second passage is curious, since the first verb, with a similar meaning, is not used in a compound tense.

More research is needed on the use of compound tenses among younger speakers. No doubt there is influence from Arabic in some of these constructions.

On a different use of $k u n+d-+$ perfect, see $\S 7$ 7.1.10.2. On the combination of a perfect of kun plus an imperfect, see the comment to text TJ2:106. On the construction with ' $\partial d$ plus a subjunctive of kun, followed by a verb in the perfect, which I do not consider a compound tense, see § 12.5.3.

### 7.1.10 The Verbal Prefix d-/ठ-

Both perfect and imperfect verbs can be preceded by the particle $d$-. In Johnstone's texts the particle is nearly always recorded as $\partial$-; this is possibly a Mehrism in the speech of Johnstone's main informant, Ali Musallam, though this usage is not unique to that speaker. We find $\partial$ - also in text TJ3, and some of my informants readily recognize and accept both variants. The particle $d$ is distinct in form synchronically from the relative pronoun $\partial$ - (§3.8.1) and the genitive exponent $\partial-(\S 12.4)$, though these are all historically the same. ${ }^{9}$

### 7.1.10.1 d-/ठ- + Imperfect

Let us first examine the use of $d$-/ $\delta$ - with the imperfect. Johnstone ( $A A L$, p. 27) claims that $d$-/ $\partial$-is prefixed to the imperfect to give a present continuous, in contrast to a general present. This only covers part of the usage of this

[^93]particle. In fact, the combination of $d$-/ $\partial$ - and the imperfect can indicate a past or present progressive, or a circumstantial clause.

The verbal prefix $d-/ \delta$ - must have its origins in the relative pronoun $\partial$ - (§3.8.1). In fact, there are numerous passages in which it is difficult to determine whether or not $\partial$-plus an imperfect verb is actually functioning as a relative clause or a circumstantial progressive. Consider the following examples (all of which I prefer translating with a circumstantial):
ksé geyg ðә-yabġód 'they found a man who was walking' or 'they found a man walking' (12:5)
 were fighting' or 'they heard the leopard and the wolf fighting' (15:9)
$k s e ́ ~ y o ~ \partial д-y k ̣ \jmath ̄ r ~ s ̌ x a r e ́ t ~ ð д-x a r g o ́ t ~ ' h e ~ f o u n d ~ p e o p l e ~ w h o ~ w e r e ~ b u r y i n g ~$ an old woman who had died' or 'he found people burying an old woman who had died' (18:2)
śnini yo mékən ðə-yógaḥ 'ak but ð-túz̃ər 'he saw many people who were going into a rich man's house' or 'he saw many people going into a rich man's house' (18:6)
skəfk s̃ĩn ð-əštǐan al-yó də-yzhórg ‘I stayed a little while listening to the
people who were speaking' or 'I stayed a little while listening to the people speaking' (31:2)
śink $\bar{\iota} \partial \partial-y \bar{j} k$ ‘I saw my father who was crying' or 'I saw my father crying' (51:7)

From such contexts, relative $\partial$ - plus an imperfect must have been reinterpreted as simply a circumstantial, referring to either the subject or object of the main verb. And indeed, we find many cases of this construction used as a circumstantial, where a relative clause does not work. Thus, indicating a circumstantial clause is one common function of the verbal prefix $d-/ \delta-$. Some examples are:

عdirró egarét ðд-yūki 'the slaves came back crying' (18:13)
ร̃̃̃‘ak tóhum manhínam дว-y'õr dháa-yóxlaf'ar ẽnzílhum 'I heard them last night saying that they will move from their place' (28:4)
skof ðə-yวḳōżən l-iyél 'he sat watching the camels' (33:3)
ksétš ðд-yдrútbən ka éb 'she found him cleaning things' (34:6)
skวf дə-yวftakérən bə-tét 'he sat thinking about the woman' (34:9)
zəḥám tel sékənəš ðว-yšı‘ ‘he came to his community running' (35:6)
zəḥámk ð-э̄k‘I came crying’ (49:28)
Now consider the following example, in which $\partial$ - plus imperfect can be considered a relative clause, a circumstantial, or simply a main verb:
xaṭarét ġeyg ðə-yabġód 'once there was a man who was going', or 'once there was a man going', or 'once a man was going' (22:1)

Most likely from contexts like this one (22:1), the prefix $\partial-(>d-)$ plus an imperfect came to indicate simply a progressive action, whether past or present. Alternatively, the extension of a circumstantial to a general progressive could have come from contexts like the following, where the distinction between a circumstantial or relative clause and an independent progressive is made only by the addition of the conjunction $b$-:
he ġeyg ensí, bə-ð-əġélk her yat 'I'm a human man, and I'm looking for a camel' (33:4) (cf. he ġeyg ensí ð-əġélk ‘I am a human man (who is) looking...')
hõok ērik bə-ð-əftéréžən ba-fandél 'I carried the jug, and was excited about the sweet potatoes' (49:21) (cf. ḥõk ēriḳ ð-əftéréżzn 'I carried the jug, excited about...')

Some further examples of $d-/ \partial$ - plus the imperfect indicating a present progressive are:
zəḥám ġeyg túz̃ar bə-ðə-yhódén ṣág̉at kəl ð-ァl s̃es ṣág̉ət lo ‘a rich man has come and he is giving out jewelry to everyone who doesn't have any' (22:5)

‘áli ḥõl ag̉áš eniṣán đ̣er xádər ... ba-ðə-yวḳ’ór len ba-delóf 'Ali took his younger brother on top of the cave ... and is throwing rocks at us' (50:8)
$o b, \partial-\mathrm{\partial g}$ élk her yot 'no, I am looking for a camel' (60:35)
More common in the texts are examples of $d$-/ $\delta$ - plus the imperfect indicating a past progressive, some of which are:
aġéyg ðə-yдs̃ṣétən leš 'the man was listening to him' (5:11)
d-’́dən Ә-ənktélót, ślnén ġeyg zahám ‘while we were chatting, we saw a man coming' (13:11)
ðд-yažh’̣̂k mənhüm 'he was laughing at them' (20:8)

$\bar{\iota}$ ðд-y $\bar{d} d$ bek 'Father was lying to you' (49:23)
عmbére' ðд-yōk ber dḥa-yfót 'the boy was crying and about to die' (50:6)
agééyg ðд-ṭē ðд-yдštĩan lóhum 'the man who had come was listening to them' (55:5)
agéyg дд-yzhérg $k$-ínét b-aġaróhum 'the man was speaking with the women in their language' (60:28)
d-yzráá $\varepsilon$ rún $k$-aġóhéš 'he was herding the goats with his brothers' (Fr1) ōkaf tun geyg ... ba-dz-ys̃x̄̄r tun ar õóśt 'a man stopped us ... and was asking us about (our) animals' (AKı:3)

In general, then, the bare imperfect indicates a general present, imperfective, or habitual past or present, while $d$-/ס- plus the imperfect indicates progressive or continuous action in the past or present. As mentioned briefly above ( $\$ 7.1 .2$ ), the entire situation is complicated by the fact that the verbal particle $d$-/ð- usually does not occur before the prefix $t$-, i.e., before most second person and third feminine forms of the imperfect (see further in § 2.1.10). This means that sometimes what looks like a bare imperfect indicates a circumstantial, or a present or past progressive. Really these are cases of $\varnothing$ - plus the imperfect, in which the prefix $\partial$ - is suppressed. Examples are:
> 'aśśót ba-sé tōk man faróž 'she got up, and she was crying from happiness' (13:8) (t̄̄k < " $\bar{\gamma}-t \bar{\jmath} k)$
> ko het $t \bar{\jmath} k$ 'why are you crying?' (49:28) ( $t \bar{\jmath} k$ < * $\partial-t \bar{\jmath} k$ )
> ksét yo дд-yafterégən b-ínét tínhagan 'she found the men watching and the women dancing' (97:13) (tínhagən < * $\partial$-tínhagən)

### 7.1.10.2 d-/ठ- + Perfect

A single sentence can describe nearly all attested cases of the imperfect with prefixed $d-/ \not \partial$. Unfortunately, no such easy description can be given for the perfect with prefixed $d-/ \delta$ - In fact, it is not always clear why a perfect has prefixed $d-/ \not \partial$. One use of the perfect with $d-/ \not /$ - is to indicate a circumstantial. While an imperfect with $d$-/ $\delta$-can indicate a circumstantial referring to simultaneous action, the perfect with $d$ - $/ \not \subset$ - indicates either a circumstantial referring to an action that has taken place, or a circumstantial stative. Sometimes such a circumstantial can be translated with an English perfect participle ('having done $X$...' or 'having become $X$...'), even if this is somewhat awkward. This is illustrated in the following examples:
ksé iyát ðə-hizzót 'they found the camel slaughtered [lit. having been slaughtered]' (2:12)
$k i s k ~ \bar{\varepsilon} m i ́ ~ b-a g a ̀ t i ~ a d-s ̌ e ́ f ~ ' I ~ f o u n d ~ m y ~ m o t h e r ~ a n d ~ m y ~ s i s t e r ~ a s l e e p ~[l i t . ~$ having fallen asleep]' (13:5)
(t)zhôn ð-télf 'they come back hungry' (47:6)
zəḩám bu zíd ðə-féké xátọ́k ðə-fekír 'Bu Zid came, having put on the clothes of a poor man' (54:38)
 become happy]' (60:23)
 the sacks already ground [lit. having been ground], and she found the buckets already filled [lit. having become full] with water, and the women sitting [lit. having sat down]' (97:29)
ag̉ádək yum ðúhũn də-ġótẹ̛́łk 'I went away that day angry [lit. having become angry]' (AK2:6)

As with $d$-/ $\partial$ - plus the imperfect, these circumstantial constructions overlap with relative clauses in their meaning. It is not always clear which is intended. For example, the passage from $2: 12$ works equally well if we assume a relative clause, and translate they found the camel that was slaughtered'. However, when the circumstantial refers to the subject of the verb, rather than the object, as in 47:6 and 60:23, it is clearly not a relative clause.

In an independent clause, $d-/ \partial$ - plus the perfect is used to indicate something similar to the English present perfect, which is to say a past action that has continued into the present. Following are some examples, contrasted with simple perfects:
ðə-ntəfót દžz̄s 'she has plucked her privates (and they are still plucked)' (2:13) (vs. ntaf't't'she plucked')
aġabgót ðд-fékét lebs ð-əmbérє' 'the girl was dressed in [or: had put on, and is still wearing] boys' clothes' (17:6) (vs. fékét 'she put on; she wore')
agéyg ber ð-erșín 'the man has already been tied up' (17:30) (vs. erṣín 'he was tied up')
he ðə-ḥágórk hérúm ðénu 'I have been guarding this tree’ (22:11) (vs. ḥágórk ‘I guarded’)
ðə-xalọt len sékən śḩaró 'a community of Shaḥri has joined up with us (and is still with us)' (32:2) (vs. xalót len 'they joined up with us')
 (vs. ōkalak 'I gave authority’)

This construction is used often with stative or intransitive verbs to indicate a simple present. For example, phrases like 'I am/was hungry', 'I am/was cold', and 'I am/was angry' are expressed literally as 'I have/had become hungry/cold/angry'. Cf. telf 'he was (and no longer is) hungry' vs. do-télf 'he is (has become) hungry'. This construction can also be used as a relative present, so da-télf could also mean 'he was (had become and still was) hungry' within a past tense context. Examples are:

عbré $\varepsilon$-túz̃ər Әว-géle 'the rich man's son is sick' (18:7)

```
\(y д\) ðд-t'áb 'the people were weary [or: suffering]' (20:1)
axarét ber ðд-xēt 'then he became thirsty' (35:1)
šะ ðд-s̃éfbว-zḥámk toš ... 'õr, "he ðə-s̃ófk" 'he was sleeping, and I came to
    him ... He said, "I am sleeping"' (53:4)
ðə-fírkak tos ol l-éflət mən đ̣íri 'I am afraid she has run away from me’
    (6o:16)
عbré ðд-ḥókum ð-əbtélím đ̣er ḥaṣnín 'the ruler's son was ready [or: had
    readied himself] on a horse' (97:25)
ket do-gótéव̣k áḥmad 'why are you angry, Ahmed?' (AK2:7)
he də-‘̌̌śk 'ak źáalkét ‘I live in Dalqut' (FB1:1)
də- ‘áśk hũn? ad--áśsk bə-'ũn, bə-ṣalólt 'where do you live? I live in Oman,
    in Ṣalalah' (SM) \({ }^{10}\)
```



```
    up')
```

 so we also find $d-/ \delta-\dot{g} a r o ́ b ~ u s e d ~ a s ~ a n ~ i m m e d i a t e ~ p r e s e n t ~ o r ~ r e l a t i v e ~ p r e s e n t, ~$ rather than $d$-/ $\delta$-plus the imperfect. (The bare imperfect is used for a general present; see $\S 7.1 .2$ for examples.) A few examples are:
he ðə-g்aróbk tok đ̣er xádər 'I know you are on top of the cave' (25:18)
het дə-ġaróbk tun эl ansénúd ‘ãklo 'you know that we wouldn't manage without you' (28:15)
ðə-g்aróbk toš ðə-yaftéréżən ba-fəndél 'I knew he was excited about the sweet potatoes' (49:35)

Some verbs have slightly more idiomatic meanings when used in the perfect with $d$-/ठ-. For example, the verb a'ní 'mean' (cf. 5:3) has the meaning 'intend' when used in the perfect with prefixed $d-/ \delta-$, as in:
ð-a'níyas̃éxanṭ 'he intends to leave' (8:8)
The use of $d$-/ $\partial$ - plus the perfect to indicate a stative can substitute for an adjective, as in $s \varepsilon$ ðд-kiriót 'she is nearby [lit. has gotten close]', in place of $s \varepsilon$ kéribt 'she is nearby'. When such a use is intended as an imperative, or when a simple perfect is required (e.g., after the conditional particle her), kun is used as the main verb. Examples are:
her kunút ðд-kiriót 'if it is nearby' (4:9)

[^94]ken ðə-férḥak $\varepsilon$ d bélé ðə-mútḥank 'be (like) you're happy, even if you're sad [or: in trouble]' (57:15)

For examples of kun 'be' used as an auxiliary verb with $d$ - plus the perfect, creating a compound tense, see §7.1.9.

### 7.2 The Auxiliary Verb ber

The auxiliary verb ber is extremely common, appearing roughly a hundred and fifty times in the texts. It occurs on its own, as well as with pronominal suffixes. Its Mehri equivalent, $b a r$, is a particle, and takes the same pronominal suffixes as nouns and other particles. In Jibbali, however, as in Soqoṭri, it is morphologically a verb. The verb only occurs in the perfect, and its conjugation is slightly irregular:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | bek | bérs̃i | bérən |
| 2 m | bek | bérs̃i | bérkum |
|  | bérkan |  |  |
| 3 f | bis̃ |  | ber |
| beró | ber |  |  |
| 3 f | berót | bertó | ber |

Notes:

- Its irregularity is in the 1 cs and 2 ms forms, where the $r$ is lost.
- 2 ms bek and 2fs bis̃ do not seem to be distinguished from the 2 ms and 2 fs suffixed form of the preposition $b$ - (see § 8.6, and the example from 60:15 below).
- The only two appearances of a 2 mp in Johnstone's texts has the form bókum ( $60: 3 ; \mathrm{TJ} 4: 74$ ), the form used also by some of my informants. This is the 2 mp form of the preposition $b$-. Because the $2 s$ forms of the two particles are the same ( $b e k, b i \tilde{s}$ ), there is an obvious analogy here. (See also the comment to text 60:3.)
- $J L$ (s.v. $b r$ ) notes that the $b$ of ber is subject to elision after the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$-. This is not attested in any of Johnstone's texts, except in TJ4, in which there are a number of examples of ḥakt ēr (< *hakt $\varepsilon$-bér) and one of д-ēr (< *дд-bér, TJ4:68). The form ēr also occurs in text Frı. In Johnstone's other texts, the relative is usually suppressed before ber, as discussed in §13.5.3.2.

Ber has several uses, though its most common function is to convey the sense of 'already'. In this usage, it can appear in conjunction with a verb in the perfect or in a non-verbal sentence, and ber is (usually) fully conjugated. If a verbal sentence is in a past context, the sense is often best captured with an English pluperfect; in a future context, a future perfect is usually intended. Examples with the meaning 'already' are:
śsfaġéyg ber ġeb nxinúš 'it so happened that he had already defecated under it' (22:13)
kisk tóhum ber lóttog g I found them already killed’ (22:19)
cśhódí ber bun 'my witnesses are already here' (45:7)
ber śḥãn ĩndíkes ‘he had already loaded his rifle’ (83:4)
ol bek õk hek lo ðə-hét mis̃érd 'didn’t I already tell you that you were stupid?' (1:9)
he bek herógak s̃es 'I already spoke with her' (45:3)
het bek śeb ná ${ }^{\text {ṣanu, }}$-วl aḳódər al-hĩ(l)k lo 'you're big now already, and I can't carry you' (49:15)
ba-ḥáṣ $\varepsilon$-hegósk bis̃ bis̃ ag̉ádas̃ mən đ̛̣́r emíh 'and when I think you have already left the water...' (60:15)
aġabgót berót 'ak ūti, bo-ğád 'the girl is already in my house, so go!' (36:9)
aġéyg hégós ba-tét tékan berót aġadót man đ̛ér emíh 'the man figured the woman would have already gone from the water' (60:20)
bókum tēkum 'have you eaten already?' (AK)
The next-to-last example (60:20) shows that in a compound tense (as mentioned already above in § 7.1.9), ber comes between the two verbs; another example appears in 97:7.

In a few passages, the word 'already' is superfluous in English, and the Jibbali phrase containing ber is best translated with a simple perfect or pluperfect, as in:

ağád be nawás yol sékanəš ber túz̃zr ‘Ba Newas went back to his settlement having become rich [or: already rich]' (18:15)
$\bar{\varepsilon} r$ - ġóti ber nğam émti, dḥa-l-híz heš 'my nephew has come to me angry, (so) I will slaughter for him' (49:31)

A second common use of ber, and one that seems to be an extension of the previous meaning, is its use in temporal clauses (verbal or non-verbal), following hes (most often), haṣ ( $\varepsilon$-), or mit (for details on the uses and meanings of these particles, see $\S 13.5 \cdot 3$ and its subsections). If the subject is
a noun phrase, then it comes between the temporal conjunction and $\operatorname{ber}$ (cf. the example from $52: 5$, below). The combination of a temporal conjunction and ber literally means 'when already', but 'after' is often an equally good, if not better, translation. Some examples are:
hes ber hálób ह̄rún, ḥezzésən 'after he milked the goats, he slaughtered them' (22:6)
hes ber eggór s̃éf, hãólót cslóbéš 'after the slave had fallen asleep, she took his weapons' (36:26)
hes ag̉éyg ðд-yəśũm ber eghízóhum, kolót heš bə-xáfs̃ ‘after the salesman [lit. the man who was selling] had prepared them, he told him about his foot' (52:5)
hes berót arṣonút $\varepsilon$ ع́déte, 'õrót 'after she had tied the hands, she said...' (17:28)
 prayer, the men sing' (4:6)
 prayer' (55:3)
 a little ways ahead, he shot over his father' (83:3)

If a temporal clause is non-verbal and has a pronominal subject, then ber is required as a placeholder for the subject; that is, it is the form of ber that indicates the subject. This includes the temporal conjunction $\varepsilon d$ ( $\$ 13.5 .3 .4$ ), which was not mentioned above. In such cases, ber does not necessarily have any semantic function (as in the example from $22: 5$ below). Examples are:
hes ber 'ak emíh, zahám aġéyg 'after they were in the water, the man came' (30:5)
hes ber ēto, xargót ह́mehum 'after they were grown [lit. already big], their mother died' (36:1)
 their clothes' (30:3)
 woman with goats' (22:5)
mit bek kéríb al-ḥéṣan, ardé $b$-عśfét sérék ‘when you are near the castle, throw the hair behind you' (86:9)

Further examples of ber in temporal clauses, along with additional discussion, can be found in $\S 13.5 .3$ and its subsections. Note also that in combination with hes, at least, ber is sometimes not conjugated; see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ for a couple of examples.

A third use of ber is in conjunction with the future tense (see §7.1.4), together with which it means 'be about to' (proximative) or 'nearly' (avertative). Ber is found used this way only about a half dozen times in the texts. Some of these attestations are:
sétar híni $\varepsilon k \not ̄ \jmath ̄$, her bek dḥa-l-ógrəf xélét 'better for me the grave, if I am about to clean toilets!' (5:10)
iyélí ber dha-tfótan man x $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ ' $m y$ camels are about to die of thirst' (25:2)
ed yum əð-bér dḥa-yġód, zaḥám yo tel a'áśars 'then on the day that they were about to go, people came to her husband' (36:21)
iyátk berót dha-l-éškoṭ 'your camel is about to give birth' (47:3)
ksé $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$ ' ber dḥa-yfót тәп s̃um bə-x̄̄t 'he found the boy about to die from the hot sun and thirst' (50:9)

This construction in a temporal clause beginning with her (i.e., her ber dha-) can also have the meaning 'before'; see § 13.5.3.3 for examples.

Ber has another idiomatic function, which is to indicate time that has elapsed. When followed by the preposition her with a pronominal suffix (which is attached to the base $h$-; see § 8.11), it can be translated '(already) for (time)'. In this idiom, ber remains in the 3 ms form. The suffix on her refers to the person for whom the time has elapsed. Examples are:
bér hek sá‘ate ba-hét b-\&ġarbét? bér híni ḥa-yékan xĩš ‘ayún 'were you abroad for a long time? For about five years' (8:1-2)
bér heš xǐš 'ayún bo-šé míríz' 'already for five years he was sick' (15:12)
bér híni 'áṣar troh man gér kít 'I have already been two nights without food' (33:4)

Similarly, hes bér h- or hakte ēr h- (< hakt $\varepsilon$-bér h-) can mean 'after (time)'. The unit of time need not be expressed, as in the last example below (TJ4:3). Examples are:
hes bér hes 'ónut, kt̄̄t leš xaṭ 'after a year, she wrote him a letter' (SB2:3) hakt ēr heš yum mit yũ trut, 'õr hes 'after a day or two, he said to her' (TJ4:12)
hakt ēr heš, s̃aṣfé man ġabgót ṭit 'after a while, he heard about a certain girl' (TJ4:3)

Finally, one speaker used ber plus an imperfect to give the sense of 'sometimes':
ber yózməš, ber al yózmaš ‘sometimes they give him, sometimes they don't give him' (TJ2:19)
ber yȧgórén al-ád 'sometimes the give milk by (eating) sardines' (TJ2:37)
berót takín bə-śhelót, berót takín bə- áśzri 'sometimes it is thirty, sometimes it is twenty' (TJ2:83)

### 7.3 The Auxiliary Verb $d$-'od

Like ber, 'od is an irregular verb occurring only in the perfect tense that functions almost like a particle. It nearly always has a prefixed $d$-. It is certainly to be connected with the particle ' $\partial$ ( $(\$ 12.5 \cdot 3)$, which is relatively uncommon in the texts. As a verb, $d$-' $\supset d$ is conjugated, while the particle 'od has just a single frozen form. The two also have different functions, though there is some overlap, particularly with the negatives $d$ - $\varsigma d ~ s l$ and ol' $\supset d(\S 13.2 .4)$. The conjugation of $d-{ }^{-} \partial d$ is as follows:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | $d$-¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $d$ - - ${ }^{\text {ani }}$ | d-'̌ódən |
| 2 m | $d$-¢ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $d$-'ós̃i | d-'ókum |
| $2 f$ | $d-¢ 5{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | d-'̌kan |
| 3 m | $d-¢ 0 d$ | $d$-`dó | $d-5 d$ |
| 3 f | $d$-'st | $d$-'odtó | $d-\bigcirc d$ |

Note:

- The irregularity is in the loss of $d$ before the $1 \mathrm{cs}, 1 \mathrm{~cd}, 3 \mathrm{fs}$, and all second person suffixes.

The basic meaning of $d$-'od is 'still', and it can be used in several ways. Following are some examples with the simple meaning 'still'. Note that $d$-'od can function as the main verb ('be still'), as in the first two examples below, or as an auxiliary to another verb ('still'), as in the final two examples below:
bo-d-'ód ṣahét $\varepsilon d$ ná ṣanu 'and they are still alive until now' (46:18)
he $d$-ऽk anṣenút 'I am still young' (6o:2)
$d$-'ok 'ak ba-ḳahwét 'do you still want coffee?' (34:10)
$d$-`ok tahẹésab her sélũt 'you're still counting on peace?' (83:6)
This basic use of $d-' s d$ 'still' is also found in combination with the various ways of expressing 'have' ( $k$-, $b$-, $l-$; see $\S 13.3$ and its subsections), for example:
nḥa ar d-'od ben $\varepsilon s ฺ \bar{\jmath} r$ 'we still have patience' (21:4)
$d$-'od lek śélot $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ aġdét 'you still have three days' walk' (30:15)
$d$-'od lésan orx tat 'they still have one month (to go)' (32:4)
d-'วds̃iašhér man $\varepsilon$ ḳébal'I still have (just) today (left) of the truce' (60:9) $d$-'od s̃eš ġabgót țit 'he still has one (more) daughter' (97:44)

However, $d$ - $\supset d$ can also be used without one of these 'have' expressions and likewise carry the meaning 'still have (an obligation)', as in:
d-'skfaĩhm 'I still have the feet (to tie up)' (17:28)

In numerous passages in the texts, we find $d$ - $\mathfrak{\text { cod }}$ used in a subordinate clause (again, on its own or with a following verb), where it has the meaning 'while (still)'. Such a subordinate clause can either precede or follow the main clause. A verb following $d$-' $5 d$ in this use will usually have the circumstantial $d$-/ठ- (§7.1.10). Some examples are:
$d$-’̌dən ð-ənktélót, śinén ġeyg zaḥám ‘while we were chatting, we saw a man coming' (13:11)
$d$-ऽod leṭóhũn, zวḥám $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h ̣ o ́ k u m ~ ' w h i l e ~ t h e y ~ w e r e ~ l i k e ~ t h i s, ~ t h e ~ s o n ~ o f ~$ the ruler came' (17:30)
$d$-ऽod skof'ak edzḥlél, zaḥám mosé 'while he was still sitting in the cave, rain came' (22:2)
$d$-'ot tíțš tahérg $k$-a'áśaras, $\varepsilon k$ bél ag̉ág 'while his wife was still speaking with him [lit. her husband], the men approached' (25:12)
$d$-ऽd ðə-yaftakérən, zaḥõt tet 'while he was thinking, the woman came’ (34:9)
d-'od loṭókũn, śíni səyéra 'while he was still this way, he saw a car' (35:2)
'ak al-ġád d-'od sí $\varepsilon \frac{1}{c} \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'I want to go while it's still cool [lit. I still have cool (weather)]' (60:35)
£́ðmar to b-iyźl d-׳k ṣahí 'direct me to the camels, while you're still alive!' (83:6)

In one passage in the texts, we find $d$-'od 'while still' used in combination with the temporal subordinator hes ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ ):
züš sandík hes d-`d ṣaḥí 'he had given him a box, while he was still alive' (5:4)

We also find once in the texts the combination man $d$-'od meaning 'since (the time when)':
man d-`k nị̣án ber $\varepsilon k$ élbi bes 'already since I was little, my heart was hers' (32:12)

For further on $d$ - $\supset d$ in combination with hes or man hés, see § 13.5•3.5.
In addition to its basic uses as 'still' or 'still have' in a main clause and 'while (still)' in a subordinate clause, $d$ - $\supset d$ can also be used in a subordinate clause with the meaning 'before'. In this case it is followed by the negative particle $\rho l$ and a verb in the perfect, but there is usually no following $l o$ (see §13.2.1; §13.2.2); we can see the semantic development of 'while still not' $\rightarrow$ 'before'. There are just a handful of examples in the texts, one of which (52:7) has $d$-'כd in combination with al-féné 'before' (§ 8.9):
> $d$-`d ol éṣal bar a‘arít lo, s̃éf 'before they reached the Ber ‘Arīt (tomb), they fell asleep' (12:10)
> axér hek al-dór, d-'od ol dé sínik 'it's better for you to go back, before anyone has seen you' (30:21)
> her s̃anśóbk xohr ðókũn d-‘od ol angahót 'if you drink up that lagoon before dawn comes' (30:24)
> s̃kalél to $d$-'sk al itk $b$-egdarét 'they caught me before I fell onto the ground' (51:12)
> kaláš đ̣er xafk al-féné d-‘k al s̃ófk 'put it on your foot before you go to sleep' (52:7)

This use of $d-{ }^{-} \partial d \supset l$ 'before' is distinct from $d-' o d$ in a true negative sentence with $d-{ }^{-} o d \rho l . . . l$. The latter has the meaning 'not yet' or 'still not' and overlaps in meaning with $\varsigma-\varsigma d . .$. lo (see §13.2.4). The examples from the texts are:
mansẽn $d$-‘od $\supset l a \dot{g}(y)$ ég lo 'some of them have not yet given birth' (32:4)
 Mehri' (34:1)
ksé xérín $d$-ऽod ol mússi lo 'he found a little that had not yet melted' (35:9)
nḥa $\varepsilon k$ éżźún d-’ódən ol nəg̉órb fandél lo 'we children didn’t yet know sweet potato' (49:11)
d-`k ol aśúnsan lo 'I still had not seen them’ (49:21)
ba-ðə 'od ol ḥ̂́tég les 10 'if he doesn't need her yet' (TJ2:11)
In combination with $\mathrm{ar}^{\prime}$ 'only' (§12.5.4), $d$ - 'כd $\lrcorner l$ means something like 'so far only'. I found just one example of this, in which bare 'od is used (without $d$-):
 donkey so far' $(\operatorname{Pr} 157)$

In one example from the texts, $d-\supset d$ is used independently to mean 'not yet', in response to a question:
bek šfjs.ke? ob, $d$ - $\varsigma k$ 'have you gotten married? No, not yet' ( $\mathrm{AK}_{3}: 9-10$ )
Finally, $d$ - ' $J d$ can be used to mean 'more', in the sense of 'still more', for example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z \tilde{u}-t \supset d-\varsigma k x \supset b z \text { 'give me more bread!' (AK) } \\
& \text { 'akd-'sdxכbz'I want more bread' (AK) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When $d$-' $\partial d$ means 'more', it has a different sense than axér 'more' (§5.4). For example, the sentence 'ak $d$-'sd karrós 'I want more money’ would be used when speaking to someone who has just given you some money, but not as much as you would like. On the other hand, the sentence 'ak karós axér 'I want more money' is not referring to any present transaction, but rather is a general statement, perhaps by someone who is poor and wishes he had more money.

### 7.4 Weak Verbs

By the term "weak verb", I mean any verb whose conjugation differs from that of the basic paradigm (see Chapter 6) because of the presence of one or more particular root consonants that cause or have caused phonetic changes. Jibbali, like all the MSA languages, is particularly rich in weak verb types. In fact, the great majority of Jibbali verbs can be considered "weak" in some way. In this section, I will provide an overview of the major weak verb types and their characteristic features.

Following the conventions of Semitic linguistics, I use Roman numerals to refer to the number of the root consonant. So, for example, I-' refers to roots in which ' is the first root consonant, while III-m refers to roots in which $m$ is the third root consonant.

Because of the limited amount of data in the texts and in $J L$, the limited time I had with informants (who normally find eliciting paradigms quite boring and unnatural), the rarity of the conditional tense, and the rarity of the (largely obsolete) dual forms in all tenses, some of the information below may need to be revised as more data become available. There are also some clear differences between dialects in the conjugation of some verb types, and I suspect there are more differences that I did not uncover, in particular differences between younger and older speakers.

### 7.4.1 I-' Verbs

There are very few I-' verbs in Jibbali, only five or six of which are encountered in the texts. The consonant ' does not exist as a phoneme in Jibbali, and so to speak of 'as a root consonant is essentially an etymological statement. In the Gb-Stem, I-' verbs behave like I-w verbs (§7.4.3), which is to say, like strong verbs minus the first root consonant. In the Ga-Stem, they are very close to I-w verbs, at least in the perfect and imperfect. Only two Ga-Stem I-' verbs are known (neither of which appears in the texts), and both, according to $J L$, have subjunctive bases different from Ga-Stem I-w verbs, and from each other: esj́r 'hobble (an animal)' has a subjunctive following the pattern of Gb-Stem I-w/I-' verbs, while ekorr '(cattle) come home' has a subjunctive following some II-w and III-w/y verbs. Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | sfor | yasófar | yósfar |
| Ga I-w | (e) $\operatorname{ciff}^{11}$ | yékjof | yzkéf |
| Ga ${ }^{\prime} \times$ | eķór | yékór | yékar |
| Ga ${ }^{\text {s }}$ r | esór | yésír | yasór |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafkór |
| Gb I-w | éşal | yésól | yaşál |
| Gb I- | étal | yétól | yatôl |

In the D/L-Stem, I-' verbs behave like strong verbs, except that the ' is lost. I-w verbs follow the same pattern. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódəlan | yagódal |
| D/L I-w | ōkal | yōkəəən | yókal |
| D/L I-’ | ōśər | yōśrrən | yáśar |

[^95]The only certain H-Stem of a I-' root is the verb $\varepsilon$ モ́ín 'warn. ${ }^{12}$ The H-Stem follows the Ga-Stem, in that the forms look essentially like the strong verb minus the first root consonant, but the perfect begins with an initial $\varepsilon$ - $/ e$-. $J L$ gives the subjunctive as yéðдən, but I suspect that the gemination is not actually present (as it is not in the Sts-Stem). ${ }^{13}$ The Sti-Stem behaves in the same way, mainly following the strong verb, and with é in the first syllable of the perfect. Compare the following forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | $\varepsilon$ ðléf | yadélóf | yézlaf |
| H I- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | ع́ðín | yéðún |  |
| Š1 strong | sałkṣér | yaskéesṣor | yas̃ $k$ ¢̣ar |
| Ši I-', II-n | s̃énís | yas̃énús | ys̃̌̌nวs |

The Š2-Stem likewise follows the strong verb, minus the initial root consonant. The forms are like those of I-w verbs. Note that where intervocalic, the loss of ' has resulted in a long vowel. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | s̃akéṣər | yas̃kéṣəran | yas̃kéṣar |
| Š2 I-w | $\tilde{s} e ̄ k a l ~$ | yas̃ēkalan | yas̃ékal |
| Š2 I-' | $\tilde{s} e ̄ g \partial r^{14}$ | yas̃ēgarən | yas̃égər |

 as yдs̃óðәпәn, which, if accurate, is unexpected; cf. s̃ēgar 'rent' in the table above and the entry for $\tilde{s} \bar{e} x a r$ 'come late; be delayed' in $J L$ (s.v. ' $x r$ ), which has the expected imperfect yasééxaran.

Not much can be said about the T-Stems of I-' roots. The only T1-Stem attested is $\grave{\jmath t x a r}$ 'be late' (root ' $x r$ ), which is apparently used only in the

[^96]perfect, ${ }^{15}$ and looks like a I-w verb. No T2-Stem I-' verbs have been recorded.

## 7•4.2 I-Guttural Verbs (except I-' Verbs)

Verbs whose initial root consonant is a guttural other than' (i.e., $\mathfrak{i} \dot{g}, h, \underline{h}$, or $x$ ) form a special verb class only in the Ga-Stem. In other stems, they behave as strong verbs, with the difference that sometimes the guttural consonant (especially when it is ') has an effect on the quality of an adjacent vowel (e.g., $e>a$, or $\varepsilon>a$ ). Following are some examples of stems other than the Ga-Stem:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafkór |
| Gb I-G | xérof | yaxárj́f | yaxrj́f |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalon | yagádal |
| D/L I-G | hóðər | yдh̆́ðərən | yzḩ̧ðər |
| H strong | عðléf | yдðélóf | yézlaf |
| H I-G | alék | yǎálók | yálak |
| T1 strong | fotkor | yaftékér (3mp) | yaftékar |
| T1 I-G | g'átrab | yagtéréb (3mp) ${ }^{16}$ | yaġtérab |
| T2 strong | aftérég | yaftérégan | yaftéróg |
| T2 I-G | axtéléf | yaxtéléfon | yoxtélisf |
| Šis strong | s̃aksér | yaškéṣór | yašékşar |
| Šis I-G | sáasér | $y z s$ áásír | ysãásar |
| Š2 strong | s̃ł¢̣́şar | yasşkéṣaran | yaškéşar |
| Š2 I-G | sxxétor | yašxétaran | yas̃xźtar |

[^97]In the Ga-Stem, I-G verbs form a distinct class. In the perfect, they can follow the strong verb, showing the patterns $\mathrm{CoCs}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{CC〕} \mathrm{C}$ (the latter only when the first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic). I-G verbs (except I-h) that are also II-r have the patterns $\mathrm{CaCóC}$ or C CóC
 verbs have $C e C o ́ C$. There is also some dialectal variation in the form of the perfect. With I-‘ and I-h verbs, at least EJ speakers regularly use the pattern CaCó $\operatorname{C}$ in place of $C\lrcorner C \grave{C}$. For all I-G verbs, the 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive
 for 3 mp imperfect and $y \partial C C \check{C} C$ for subjunctive. Note also that unlike strong verbs, I-G verbs have distinct 3 ms and 3 mp imperfects. Following are some sample forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect 3 mp imperfect | 3ms subjunct. 3 mp subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | sfor | yasófar <br> yasófar | yósfar <br> yasfór |
| Ga I-G | hfor | yahéfar yahófar | yahéfar yoḥór |
| Ga strong | kodór | yəkódər yakódər | yókdor yaḳdór |
| Ga I-G | ġolọk | yagélk yağólk. | yagélk yag̀lók |
| Ga I-G (I-ḥ) | halób or ḥolób | yahélab yaḥólab | yạhélab yaḥlób |
| Ga I-G (I-`) | 'akór or 'sḳór | yzékor yă’̣ar | yzéḳar yackór |
| Ga I-G, II-r | xaróg | yaxérg yaxórg | yaxérg yaxróg |
| Ga I-h, II-r | heróg | yahérg yahórg | yahérg yahróg |
| Ga I-G, II-m | haõl | yahuil <br> yahõol | yahịl yaḥmól |

At least three I-G verbs, two of which are very common, do not follow the above patterns: g̀arób 'know', 'õr 'say', and © õl 'do, make'. For garób 'know', the 3 ms imperfect in the texts is yagórb (following the strong verb; 3 mp $y \partial \dot{g} \partial ́ r b)$. This form was also used by my own informants, but according to $J L$ the 3 ms imperfect is yȧgérb (following other I-G verbs; 3 mp yaǵǵrb). Its 3 ms subjunctive, in both the texts and in $J L$ is the unexpected yagréb (3mp yagrrób). As for 'õr 'say' (< *‘amór), it has 3ms (and 3mp) imperfect ya cõr (< *ya'ómar, following the pattern of the strong verb) and 3ms subjunctive ya'mér (3mp ya'mór). The verb 'õl 'do, make’ (< *‘amól) occurs just once in the texts (FB1:1), but seems to behave like $\tilde{o} r(\mathrm{cf} . ~ J L$, s.v. ' $m l$ ). So if we ignore the imperfect form of garób listed $J L$, then the verbs $\dot{g} a r o ́ b$, 'õr, and $\check{o} l$ all follow the same patterns. However, this is not the general pattern for I- $/ \dot{\mathrm{g}}$ verbs (cf. 'aḷór in the table above), nor do all I-G, II-m verbs behave like $\tilde{o} r$, as shown by the verb hãl in the table above. See also the comment to text 60:20 on the verb hegós/hogós 'he thought'.

I-G, geminate roots in the G-Stem do not all behave in the same way. Those roots with initial $h, h$, or $x$ follow one pattern, while those with initial ' or $\dot{g}$ follow another. See further in § 7.4.14.

### 7.4.3 I-w Verbs

Verbs whose first root consonant is $w$ show peculiarities in all stems. In many places, the $w$ is lost, while in others we see the result of the shift $w$ $>b$ that was discussed in $\S 2.1 .5$. Like ', to speak of $w$ as a root consonant is an etymological statement, since never does it surface as such.

In the G-Stem perfect, the initial $w$ is lost in both the Ga- and Gb-Stems. Examples of Ga-Stems are ezúm 'he gave', eḳ̂f 'fall silent, keep quiet', eród 'go down to water', elóf 'beget children', erót 'inherit', and ezún 'weigh'. The initial $e$ - is often lost (both in the texts and in the speech of my informants), so one can hear ezum or zum for 'he gave', ezumk or zumk for 'I gave', etc. Examples of Gb-Stems are éṣal 'arrive, reach' and égas 'give resin'. I-w verbs with a guttural as the third root consonant, though they look similar to Gb-Stems in the perfect, have distinct forms in the other tenses. In the imperfect, Ga- and Gb-Stem I-w verbs without a guttural or final $w / y$ both appear to have a Gb-type imperfect ( $y$ awé $C o ́ C>y e ́ C o ́ C$ with loss of the initial $w$ ), while III-G verbs have a Ga-type imperfect (also with loss of the initial $w$ ). In the subjunctive, the I-w Ga- and Gb-Stems are distinct. As noted already above, the conjugation of I-w verbs and I-' verbs are the same in the G-Stem, as in several other stems (see below, and §7-4.1). Following are some sample forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | sfor | yasófor | yósfar |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafkór |
| Ga I-w | (e)kíf | yékisf | yakêf |
| Gb I-w | éşal | yésíl | yzşı́l |
| G I-w, II-G | axáf | yéxj́f | yaxáf ${ }^{17}$ |
| G I-w, III-G | égah | yógah | yagáh |
| $\mathrm{G} w \dot{g} d$ | agád | yzböód | yagád |

The two attested verbs with a guttural as the second root consonant (not counting those with a final $w / y$ ) are agád 'go, walk', and axáf 'camp', which each have a different imperfect pattern; axáf looks a lot like other Ga-Stem I-w verbs, so we might consider the imperfect of aǵád to be irregular. Given how frequently it is used, it is not surprising that agád shows some irregularity. The verb (e)zúm 'give', the other most commonly met I-w verb, also appears to show some irregularity. The 3 ms imperfect is given in $J L$ (s.v. wzm) as yézúm, following the pattern of other I-w Ga-Stems. However, in the texts, the form is nearly always yézzm, the form which my informants also used. ${ }^{18}$ It is unclear if the form yézam reflects a peculiarity of this verb, a dialectal difference unique to this verb, or a dialectal difference common to all (or at least some other) I-w verbs.

In the D/L-Stem, I-w verbs seem to be identical to I-' verbs. Compare the forms of $\bar{o} k a l$ ' $e n t r u s t '$ with a strong verb and the I-' verb ōśrr 'point (out)':

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódəl | yagódələn | yagódəl |
| D/L I-' | ōśər | yōśrrən | yáśr |
| D/L I-w | ōkal | yōkzlən | yókal |

[^98]Like the D/L-Stem, the Ti-Stem of I-w verbs shows the loss of $w$ in all forms, but is otherwise very similar to the strong verb. It seems also to be identical to I-' verbs, though evidence of I-' verbs in this stem is almost non-existent; just one such verb is attested (ótxar 'be late'), and it seems to be used only in the perfect.

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tis strong | fotkar | yaftékj́r | yaftékar |
| Ti I- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | stxar | (not used) | (not used?) |
| T1 I-w | stkgọ | yatékjọ̧ | yztékəว̧̣ |

In the Š2-Stem, the $w$ is also lost in all forms, with a resulting long vowel in the perfect and imperfect. The forms again look like those of I-' verbs, as well as I-b verbs (§7.4.5).

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | sarkéṣar | yaškéṣaran | yas̃ķçşar |
| Š2 I-' | saegar | yas̃ēgaran | yas̃żgar |
| Š2 I-b | sāésr | yas̃ēśaran | yas̃éśer |
| Š2 I-w | s̃ēkal | yasēekalan | yas̃̌̌kal |

An exception is the verb s̃ə ‘ēd 'arrange a meeting; promise' (root $w^{\text {' } d), ~ w h i c h ~}$ looks an Š2-Stem of the root ' $w d$; that is, instead of the expected ${ }^{* *}{ }^{\text {se}} \tilde{e}^{-} \partial d$ (<
 of a I-w, II-` root, but the metathesis seen in this form has one parallel in a D/L-Stem verb. According to $J L$ (s.v. [ ]wź and $w \not{ }^{\prime} \underset{z}{\prime}$ ), the root $w^{\prime} \underset{z}{z}$, attested in the H-Stem and T1-Stem has a D/L-Stem $\varepsilon^{c} 0$ root ' $w \underset{z}{\prime}$, instead of the expected $\left.{ }^{* * \bar{o} \partial z ~(<~}{ }^{*} \varepsilon w o ́ \partial z\right)$. As for $\tilde{s} \partial\ulcorner\bar{e} d$, the first and second person perfect forms exhibit the vowel shift typical of the Šs-Stem,
 but the 3 ms subjunctive $y \partial \tilde{s}^{c} \varepsilon d(\mathrm{TJ} 2: 76)$ is the expected ${ }^{\text {Sn}} 2$-Stem form for the II-w root ' $w d$.

In the H-Stem perfect, which has the underlying pattern ( $\varepsilon$ )CCéC, the $w$ has shifted to $b$ according to the rules outlined in $\S 2.1 .5$; likewise in the subjunctive. In the imperfect, where the initial consonant is intervocalic, the $w$ has been lost, with a resulting long vowel. The resulting forms
make I-w verbs look just like I-b verbs (§7.4.5). Compare the following forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | عðléf | yдટélóf | yédlaf |
| H I-b | sbrék | yērj'k | yébrak |
| H I-w | sbték | yêtot ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | yébtak |
| H III-G | sgdáh | yagódah | yégdah |
| H I-b, III-G | sblág | $y$ ȳlag | yéblag |
| H I-w, III-G | Ebgáh | yэ̄gah | yźbgah |

One exceptional H-Stem verb is $\varepsilon r \dot{d} d$ (érj́d, according to $J L$, s.v. wrd) 'take (animals) down to water' (3ms imperfect yér'́d), a causative of the G-Stem eród 'go down to water'. We know from cognate languages that the root of this verb is historically wrd. ${ }^{9}$ However, in Jibbali it seems to behave as if it were from a root ' $r d$. For the H -Stem perfect, we expect $\varepsilon$ bréd, but instead get $\varepsilon r \dot{\partial} d$, which may, in fact be simply the G-Stem form; that is, perhaps the G-Stem has the meaning of both the G-Stem and the H-Stem. The H-Stem subjunctive form is $y$ ćrd according to $J L$ (like a I-' H-Stem), but the texts suggest yéréd (25:2), similar to a I-w G-Stem. The H-Stem imperfect yérj́d could be considered a G- or H-Stem, from either wrd or 'rd. JL (s.v. wrd) does, in fact, list an H-Stem $\varepsilon$ bréd 'defeat' and Ši-Stem s̃abréd 'be defeated', neither of which seems to be connected with the meaning 'go down to water'. Perhaps the existence of two distinct meanings for the historical root $w r d$ has caused one to shift to $' r d$, a shift that could happen easily given the similarities of I-w and I-' verbs in the G-Stem. ${ }^{20}$

The Š1-Stem of I-w verbs behaves, as expected, much like the H-Stem, with $w>b$ in the perfect and subjunctive, and loss of $w$ in the imperfect. The resulting forms again look like those of I-b verbs:

[^99]|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Šistrong | sąkssér | yaškéṣór | yas̃éksar |
| Ši I-b | sazbrék | yasē̄rők | yašźbrək |
| ŠI I-w | sarbték | yasētojok | yašébtak. |
| Š1 III-G | sagdáh | yas̃ǵdah | yašzgdah |
| ŠI I-b, III-G | s̃ablág | yas̃̄̄lag | yašéblag |
| Š I-w, III-G | s̃abgáh | yzs̃̄̄gah | yašzbgah |

The T2-Stem shows the shift of $w>b$ in all forms:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | aftakér | yaftakérən | yaftəkór |
| T2 I-w | abtəkéd | yabtəkédən | yabtəkód |

### 7.4.4 I-y Verbs

Verbs whose first root consonant is historically $y$ are exceedingly rare. I-y roots seem to have been rather rare already in Proto-Semitic. No I-y verbs occur in the texts, and just one I-y verbal root is listed in $J L$, namely, ytm. From this root, $J L$ lists Gb étəm 'become an orphan', D/L ōtzm '(wife) abandon one's husband and children', Š1 sétím 'become an orphan', and Š2 s̃ētzm 'become an orphan'. The forms of all of these stems look like those of I-' verbs; the G-, D/L-, and Š2-Stem forms also look like those of I-w verbs. The GbStem étəm has, according to $J L$, a Ga-type subjunctive. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb I-w | éṣal | yéṣál | yaṣál |
| Ga I-w | (e)kóf | yékóf | yakéf |
| Gb I-y, III-m | étəm | yétúm | yatém |
| D/L I-’ | ōśar | yōśarən | yáśar |
| D/L I-y, III-m | ōtəm | yōtaman | yótəm |


| Ši I-', II-n | s̃énís | yasénús | ys̃̌์nวs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Šis I-y, III-m | s̃étím | yas̃étúm | yašย̇tam |

### 7.4.5 I-b and I-m Verbs

Verbs that have $b$ or $m$ as one of their root consonants perhaps do not generally form a separate class of weak verbs, but rather form a subgroup of other conjugation types (strong, II-G, II-w, etc.); only in the T-Stems are $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{m}$ verbs regular. However, since the consonants $b$ and $m$ are subject to phonetic changes (namely, intervocalic loss) that can obscure the verbal pattern, these two labials can be considered weak consonants. The changes associated with $b$ and $m$ in verb forms have already been discussed in $\S$ 2.1.2 and § 2.1.3, where some examples can be found. The consonant $m$ can also have a raising effect on an adjacent vowel, as discussed in § 2.2.2 (cf. Gb míroź 'be(come) ill' vs. fékar 'be(come) poor'). Following are some sample forms of I-b and I-m verbs, in comparison with other verbs:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | fékor | yaféḳór | yafḳór |
| Gb I-m | míraź | yẽróż | yamróż |
| G II-G, I-voiced | $\begin{gathered} \partial(\partial)^{\text {ćár }} \text { or } \\ \text { дa'ár } \end{gathered}$ | yдð'̆́r or yдð๐’ór | yдð'ór |
| G I-b, II-G | $b(\partial) \dot{g} a z ́$ or bag̉áz | $y \bar{g} \dot{g}$ óz | yabġóz |
| Ga III-w/y | kéré | yakór | yékar |
| Ga I-b, III-w/y | bédé | $y \bar{o} d$ | $y \bar{e} d$ |
| Ga I-m, III- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | mélé | yũl | yũl |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagádal |
| D/L I-m | õtal | yõtzlan | yõtal |
| H strong | عðléf | yaðélóf | yéðlaf |
| H I-b | cbrék | yērók | yźbrak |


| H III-G | cgdáh | yagódaḥ | yégdah |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H I-b, III-G | cblág | $y \bar{\square} l a \dot{g}$ | yćblağ |
| Ši strong | sazḳsér | yas̃ḳéṣว́r | yas̃ $k$ ¢̣̦̣ar |
| Ši I-b | sabrék | $y a s \bar{e} r o ́ k{ }^{21}$ | yašźbrak |
| Š1 III-G | s̃agdáh | yas̃gódah | yas̃ ${ }^{\text {g }}$ dah |
| Šis I-b, III-G | s̃ablág | $y \partial s ̃ จ ̄ l a g ~$ | yzs̃źblaġ |

In some cases the I-b feature takes precedence over another weak verb type. For example, geminate roots in the H -Stem and Šı-Stem have a unique imperfect pattern. However, geminate roots that are also I-b behave in the imperfect like other I-b verbs, rather than like geminate verbs, as the following table shows:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | عðléf | yдðélı́f | yédlaf |
| H gemin. | sdlél | yadlél | yéddal |
| H I-b | cbrék | yērók | yžbrak |
| H gemin., I-b | cbrér | $y$ ērór | yébbar |

On G-Stem I-m, geminate verbs, and on Ši-Stem I-b, geminate verbs, see § 7.4.14.

Because historical $b$ and $w$ are both lost between vowels, the forms of I-b verbs often look like those of I-w verbs, including the D/L-, H-, Ši-, and Š2-Stems; see § 7.4.3 for some examples.

### 7.4.6 I-n, I-l, and I-r Verbs

Verbs whose first root consonant is $n$ are unique in the H - and Ši-Stem imperfect and in the $\check{S}_{2}$-Stem perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive. In the H - and $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stem imperfect, whereas strong verbs have a vowel following the first root consonant, I-n verbs have a preceding full vowel and no following vowel. If the third root consonant is a guttural or $w / y$, then I-n verbs behave

[^100]as other III-G or III-w/y verbs in the H-Stem, while in the Ši-Stem, the first root consonant of I-n verbs will have both a preceding and following vowel. In the Š2-Stem perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive, the first root consonant of I-n verbs will also have both a preceding and following full vowel. I-n geminate verbs behave as other geminate verbs, at least in the Ši-Stem. Compare the following forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | عðléf | yдટélóf |  |
| H I-n | enðér | yínðór | yénðәr |
| H III-G | cțká ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yotọóka' | yéţka' |
| H I-n, III-G | zndáx | yәпи́dəx | yéndox |
| Šı strong | sakṣér | yaškéṣór | yas̃ $k$ ḳar |
| Ši I-n | s̃anðér | yasénðór | yas̃̇́nðวr |
| Ši III-G | s̃adfác | yas̃dófa' | yas̃ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ dfa' |
| Ši I-n, III-G | s̃anfá | yasénúfa | yašżnfa' |
| Š2 strong | sãkéṣər | yaškéṣaran | yaškéṣar |
| Š2 I-n | sénitaf | yวs̃énítəfan | $y \partial$ sénétaf |

The appearance of the full vowel before the first root consonant in the $\check{S ̌}_{1}$-Stem imperfect and Š2-Stem imperfect and subjunctive is evidence for a vowel in this position in the underlying historical form, as discussed in §6.4.1 and § 6.4.3.

I-l and I-r verbs pattern with I-n verbs in the Š1-Stem. For the H-Stem, the data in $J L$ seem to suggest that they do not.

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | عðléf | yдđélóf | yédlaf |
| H I-n | हnðér | yínđór | yénðər |
| H I-I | sltím | yalétúm | yéltam |
| H I-r | crkéb | yarékíb | yérkab |


| Š1 strong | s̃aksér | yas̃kéş̣́r | yaš |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ši I-n | s̃anð́r | yas̃énðór | yวs̃̌̌nðวr |
| Ši I-l | salhék | yas̃élḥók | yašélhak |
| $\check{S c}_{1}$ I-r | s̃arkéb | yasérkób | yašźrkab |
| Šis I-r, III-G | sar ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | yaséróka' | yašźrka ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

In the Š2-Stem, I-l verbs seem also to pattern with I-n verbs, though the transcription in $J L$ sometimes obscures this for the perfect forms. For example, JL lists s̃eléḳəm 'feed o.a.' (s.v. lḳm), but s̃aléțəm 'slap o.a.' (s.v. $l t ̣ m)$. Only three I-l Š2-Stem verbs in $J L$ are listed with their imperfect and subjunctive forms, namely s̃elēd 'shoot back' (s.v. lbd), s̃alétəg̈ 'fight' (s.v. ltġ), and the aforementioned s̃alétom. The recorded imperfect and subjunctive forms of selēd in $J L$ are those of the Šı-Stem, though the texts attest an Š2-Imperfect (3ms yas̃elēdən, 83:3); the verb s̃alétə $\dot{g}$ behaves as a strong verb (as other III-G verbs); and s̃aléṭəm has an imperfect and subjunctive that look like the I-n type (3mp imperfect yas̃éloṭaman, 3mp subjunctive yasélótoman). For I-r verbs, the perfect looks like those of I-n verbs; cf. s̃eréṣad 'keep putting an ambush in one place', serééxaṣ 'try to buy cheaply', and s̃eréżəf 'punch at o.a.'. The imperfect and subjunctive forms of I-r verbs in the Š2-Stem are given in JL only for the II-w verb s̃erēg 'consult, get approval from' (s.v. $r w g$ ), whose imperfect, at least, looks like the I-n type (yasérēgan). When the second root consonant is $w$ or $y$, the Š2-Stems of all I-n/l/r verbs show the full vowel in the first syllable (see §7.4.8).

There are also a handful of unusual I-n verbs in the D/L-Stem, including $\varepsilon n i k a d ~ ' b o u n c e ', ~ \varepsilon n i k a h ~ ' p u f f ~ a n d ~ p a n t ', ~ a n d ~ \varepsilon n i s ̌ z k . ~ ' w h i s t l e ' . ~ T h e ~ f i r s t ~$ and last of these also have regular D/L-Stems, namely, $\varepsilon n u ́ k a d ~ ' m a k e ~ s a d ; ~$ make jump' and $\varepsilon n u ́ s ̌ \partial{ }^{\prime}$ ' 'whistle'. These unusual verbs behave like other D/LStems, except for the different quality of the stressed vowel in the three major tenses. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagódal |
| D/L strong I-n | Enúšaḳ | yanúšaķən | yanóšak |
| D/L weak I-n | eníšaḳ | yəníšaḳan | yanéšak |

There are also some $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{r}$ verbs in the G－Stem that are conjugated differently，all of which are also II－Guttural verbs；see further in § 7．4．7．

In all positions，the consonant $n$（as $m$ ）can have a raising effect on an adjacent vowel（cf．$\varepsilon n u ́ s ̌ z \nprec$ vs．$\varepsilon g o ́ d \partial l)$ ．See further in § 2．2．2．

## 7．4．7 II－Guttural Verbs（except II－＇Verbs）

All G－Stem verbs whose second root consonant is＇$\dot{g}, \underline{h}$ ，or $x$ have the pattern CCaC or CaCáC in the perfect．The distribution of these two patterns seems to differ by dialect，however．The pattern CCaC is always found with those verbs whose first root consonant is voiceless and non－glottalic，and whose second root consonant is the voiceless guttural $h$ or $x$ ．However， both patterns are attested for other II－G verbs．The CCaC pattern（usually realized $C_{ə} C a ́ C$ ）is found in Johnstone＇s texts from Ali Musallam（cf．the very common verb zzhám），while the CaCáC type is found in $J L$ ．The two perfect patterns also have distinct imperfect patterns，and the dialectal variation in the imperfect mirrors that of the perfect．Following are some sample forms：

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3ms subj． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafkór |
| G II－ḥ／x， I／II－voiceless， non－glottalic | shab | yashób | yashób |
| G II－G， I－voiceless， non－glottalic | $\begin{gathered} t(\partial)^{\prime} a b \text { or } \\ \text { ta'áb } \end{gathered}$ | yot＇šb or yətวゝ̆b | yat＇sb |
| G II－G，I－voiced or I－glottalic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 犭(a)'ár or } \\ & \text { дa'ár } \end{aligned}$ | yдð＇̧́r or удд๐ゝ̆ | $y a \partial$ ¢́r |
| G II－G，I－voiced or I－glottalic | ź（a）ḥák or źahạák | yažhว̌̌k or yažว ḥỏk | yaç̧hók |
| G II－G， <br> I－sonorant | （ə）lğaz or laǵáz | yalğ́z or yaloğz | yalğóz |
| G II－G，I－b／m | $b(a) \dot{g} a \underset{\text { ź or }}{ }$ bag̉áź | yōgóż | yabgóż |

It can be seen from the examples above that the imperfect forms of II-G verbs are similar to those of strong Gb-Stems (and likewise have distinct 3 ms and 3 mp forms; e.g., 3 mp yashéb), while the subjunctives are identical to strong Gb-Stems (e.g., 3mp yashééb). The difference in the imperfect forms is that the II-G verbs show either vowel deletion after the first root consonant (e.g., yasḥób vs. yaféḳór) or vowel harmony (e.g., yatơób vs. yafékór). This same vowel harmony shows up also in the H - and Sti-Stems (see below). Also note that even while the imperfect and subjunctive fall together in most verbs of the $C C a C$-type perfect, they remain distinct for I-b/m verbs in all dialects.

While most II-G verbs follow the patterns listed above, there are two additional patterns for II-G verbs. The first is that of verbs whose second root consonant is $h$. These pattern essentially with the verbs above, with the difference that the perfect has the shape $C(\partial) C \varepsilon ́ C$ (in place of $C(\partial) C a ́ C$ ) or $C \varepsilon C \varepsilon ́ C$ (in place of $C a C a ́ C$ ). Examples are:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-h, <br> I/II-vceless | shél | yashól | yashól |
| G II-h, <br> I/II-voiced | $z ̌(\partial) h e ́ r ~ o r ~$ <br> $z ̌ \varepsilon h e ́ r ~$ | yažhór or <br> yaz̛ohór | yažhór |

There is also a whole set of II-G verbs that show a completely different type of conjugation in the G-Stem. The 3 ms perfect is the same as for the II-G verbs described above, but the imperfect has the 3 ms pattern yéCCaC (or yéCGaC; зmp yóCCəC or yóCGaC), and the subjunctive has the 3 ms pattern yóCCəC ( or yóCGaC; 3 mp yдCCóC). Compare the following forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-Gutt., var. type | rḥaź or raḥáz | yérhaz̧ | yórḥaź |
| G II-Gutt., var. type | nḥaġ or naḥág | yínhag | yúnḥag |
| G II-Gutt., var. type | $g(\partial)^{\prime} a r$ or ga'ár | yég'ar | yóg'ar |

Almost all of the verbs of this variant type have a nasal or liquid as the first
 'fall', above; likewise khal 'apply kohl to the eyes', $n(a)$ gám 'come in anger', and $r(a) h a ́ l$ 'bring water from a distance'. The verb ǩhéb 'spend the day' which has either yékhab or yakophว́b for the imperfect is an exception. Also, this is not a rule for verbs with a nasal or liquid root consonant; the great majority of II-G verbs that also have a nasal or liquid as a root consonant pattern with other II-G verbs (as ð(a)'ár 'pour', l(a)g்az 'tickle', shel 'finish (food)', and $\underset{\sim}{c}(\varepsilon) h \varepsilon ́ r$ 'appear', listed above, as well as $f(a)$ 'ál 'hurt', $r(a) \dot{g} a ́ m$ 'criticize', $r$ (a)xás 'be(come) cheap', and others). ${ }^{22}$

In the D/L-Stem, verbs with II-G roots normally behave as strong verbs. Alternatively, they can have a perfect base that looks identical to the H -Stem (CCéC), which leads to mixing of the two stems.

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagódal |
| D/L II-G, I-m | öhal | yõhələn | yõhal |
| D/L II-G | fxér or fóxar | yafóxərən | yafóxər |

The verb saéd 'help' (3ms imperfect yasaédən, 3ms subjunctive yas'éd) seems to be an anomalous II-G verb, whose imperfect and subjunctive patterns look similar to those of geminate verbs (§7.4.14). A few other verbs apparently have a similar imperfect (cf. $J L$, s.v. shl and $n x l$, both with seemingly H-Stem perfects and subjunctives, and s.v. 'bl).

In the H - and $\check{S}_{1}$-Stems, II- G verbs behave mostly like strong verbs, with the exception of the vowels of the imperfect. Just as in the G-Stem, where II-

 strong $y \partial C_{l}\left(C_{l}\right)$ éC $\left.\grave{C} C\right)$. As with strong H -Stem verbs, the first root consonant is usually geminate if voiceless. Some examples are:

[^101]|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong, I-voiced or I-glottalic | $\varepsilon ð l e ́ f ~$ | yaðélóf | yéðləf |
| H II-G, I-voiced or I-glottalic | edxél | yad(0)xól | $y \varepsilon ́ d x a l$ |
| H strong, I-voiceless | flét | yaffélśt | yéflat |
| H II-h/h /x, I-voiceless | fhés | $y \partial f(f) h o ́ s ́$ | yéfhəś |

And in the Ši-Stem, II-G verbs show the same vowel harmony in the imperfect, as in:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Šis strong | sarksér | yaškéṣór | yaš $k$ ksar |
| Ši II-G | saghéd | yas̃gohód | yas̃żghad |

Compare the forms of II-G verbs in the remaining stems:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti strong | šótfor | yaštafór | yaštéfər |
| Ti II-G | mútxak. | yamtaxók | yamtéḩa |
| T2 strong | aftakér | yaftakéran | yaftakór |
| T2 II-G | astahél | yastahélan | yastahól |
| Š2 strong | sazkéşar | yaškéṣaran | yašķéşar |
| Š2 II-G | sadéxal | yas̃déxalan | yas̃dáxal |

The only real difference in the forms above is in the Š2 subjunctive, where the realization of the vowel before the second root consonant is $a$ with II-G verbs, rather than its allophone $\varepsilon$. Looking in $J L$ (p. xxiv), one might get the impression that T1-Stems of II-G roots usually have T2-type imperfects. In fact, while a number of such verbs do, most do not. One also finds T2-Stems with T1-type subjunctives, but again this is the exception rather than the
rule. And, as noted in $\S 6.5 .1$ and $\S 6.5 \cdot 4$, such mixing is common among all T-Stems, not just with II-G verbs.

### 7.4.8 II- ${ }^{-}$, II-w, and II-y Verbs

There are only a few II-' roots in Jibbali. The G-Stem verbs are $f \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'prophecy', ś $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'sell', $s ̌ \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'seek/ask for payment of a debt', and $s \bar{\varepsilon} b$ 'draw water'. ${ }^{23}$ The imperfect and subjunctive forms of $f \bar{\varepsilon} l$ are not included in $J L$. The others have the following forms:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-' | śēm | yaśúm | yaśúm |
| G II-' | š̄$l$ | yašōl (yašól) | yašól |
| G II-' | $s ̌ \bar{\varepsilon} b$ | yašōb $b$ (yašób) | yašób |

Note that the vowels of the imperfect may or may not be longer than in the subjunctive; $J L$ is inconsistent on this detail. Except for the possible difference in the vowel length in some forms, the imperfect and subjunctive are otherwise conjugated the same (as with many other II-G verbs; see $\S 7 \cdot 4.7$ ). Also like other II-G verbs (and several other weak verb types), but unlike strong verbs, the 3 ms and 3 mp imperfect are distinct; cf. 3 ms yaśúm vs. 3mp yaśím (from the pattern yaCéC). Verbs with II-' roots in other stems are even fewer in number, and will be taken up below where appropriate.

Verbs with $w$ or $y$ as the second root consonant are much more frequent than II-' verbs, but they also show more variation. The forms of II-y verbs seem to be relatively consistent in the G-Stem, ${ }^{24}$ although other weak consonants have their own effects. The basic forms of II-y verbs are identical to those of II-' verbs (and the length of the vowel in the imperfect form is equally uncertain). Compare the following forms:

[^102]|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-' | šēl | yašōl | yašől |
| G II-y | $k \bar{\varepsilon} l$ | yakōl | yakól |
| G II-y | $f \stackrel{z}{z}$ | yวfǒz | yวfóż |
| G II-y | $f \bar{\varepsilon} t^{25}$ | yafft | yafót |
| G II-y, III-G | źe ${ }^{-1}$ | yażée | yéża' |

II- $y$ verbs with an initial $n$ or $l$ also seem to be unique: the imperfects of l $\bar{\varepsilon} n$ 'become soft; come into view suddenly' and $n \bar{\varepsilon} k$ 'sleep with (a woman)' are recorded in $J L$ as ilín and inik. However, the subjunctives of these two verbs (3ms yalén and yínk) have different patterns. The subjunctive form yalén patterns with some II-w verbs, but also with the II-y, III-nasal verb $b \bar{\varepsilon} n$ (3ms subj. yabén; not used in the imperfect), while yínk patterns with most III-w/y verbs (§7.4.12) and some other II-w verbs.

II-w verbs show much more variation than II-y verbs. First, there is at least one clear $\mathrm{Gb}-\mathrm{Stem}$ listed in $J L$ ( (ēr 'go blind', s.v. 'wr), ${ }^{26}$ which mirrors strong verb patterns in most forms. It shows loss of $w$ in the perfect, $w>y$ in the imperfect, and $w>b$ in the subjunctive:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gb strong | fékar | yaféḱḱr | yafkór |
| Gb II-w | éér | yzéyór | yábór |

Other G-Stem II-w verbs appear in two patterns in the perfect, $C \bar{\varepsilon} C$ (like II' ${ }^{\prime}$ and II-y verbs) or $\mathrm{C〕}$. ${ }^{27}$ Both II-w types normally show a 3 ms imperfect

[^103]$y_{\partial} C e ́ C$, and either $y a C \varepsilon ́ C$ or $y e ́ C a C$ for the 3 ms subjunctive. The subjunctive pattern yéCə $C$ is most common with, but is not confined to (cf. yéśaṣ), verbs with a liquid or nasal root consonant. The subjunctive pattern yaCéC is most common with, but is not confined to (cf. yaṣ́bb), verbs with an initial guttural. At least one II-w verb ( $d \bar{\varepsilon} s$ 'trample on') has the II-y subjunctive pattern yaCóC. Some examples are:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-y | $k \bar{\varepsilon} l$ | yakэ̄l | yakól |
| G II-w | $d \bar{\varepsilon} r$ | yadér | yédar |
| G II-w, III-n | $k u n$ | yakín | yékən |
| G II-w, III-n | $x \bar{\varepsilon} n$ | yaxín | yaxén |

A few II-w verbs behave as if they were II-b verbs (§7•4.9). Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | yaḳódər | yókdar |
| Ga II-b | $\underline{̣} \bar{r}$ | yoḳı̄r | yókbar |
| G II-w | sōk | yas亏̄k | yósbak |

II-w G-Stem verbs are further complicated by the fact that there seems to be some general and dialectical variation. For example, $J L$ (s.v. $d w s$ ) records that the verb $d \bar{\varepsilon} s$ 'trample on' has the EJ form dos. $J L$ (s.v. $g w z$ ) lists both $g \bar{z} z$ 'be acceptable, legal; pass away' and $g o z$ 'be finished', but with identical imperfect and subjunctive forms. No doubt there is variation (dialectal or otherwise) among other forms and other verbs, including those presented above.
$J L$ ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{xx}$ ) is rather misleading on the occurrence of II-w/y verbs in the D/L-Stem. Some, if not most, II-w verbs look very similar to strong verbs in the D/L-Stem, but with the elision of the $w$, and are identical to II-b verbs. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagódəl |
| D/L II-b | $x o ̄ t$ | yaxōṭan | yaxót |
| D/L II-w | sōr | yasōran | yasór |
| D/L II-w, III-r | cdōrrer | yadōran | yadór |

There are about eighteen II-w verbs with this pattern in $J L .{ }^{29}$ The one II-' verb that is known to occur in the D/L-Stem (šōl 'be in debt', root šl) seems also to follow this pattern.

In Mehri, II-w/y verbs, like geminate verbs, have the pattern $a C w \bar{l} C$ or $a C y \bar{i} C$ in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ perfect. In Jibbali, the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem pattern for the II-y 3 ms perfect is $(\varepsilon) C e ́ C$. The H-Stem pattern for II-y 3 ms perfects is $(\varepsilon) C y e ́ C$, which is often realized as $(\varepsilon) C e ́ C$ (cf. H-Stem aġyég pronounced aġég in $32: 3$ ); as a result there is a lot of mixing between the two stems. For example, several verbs with the perfect pattern ( $\varepsilon$ )CyéC have a D/L-Stem imperfect, but an H-Stem subjunctive. It is not clear if we should consider these D/Lor H-Stems. As in Mehri, Jibbali geminate D/L-Stems also look like H-Stems (see §7.4.14), and as a result of further mixing, some II-w/y verbs have D/L-Stem imperfects, but subjunctives that follow the pattern for H-Stem geminate roots. Sometimes verbs also alternate between II-w and II-y in different stems. And several verbs show biforms ( $\varepsilon$ )CbéC and $(\varepsilon) C y e ́ C$ in the perfect; no doubt other biforms exist that were not recorded by Johnstone. There is, therefore, a lot of variation in the conjugation of II-w/y verbs in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ - and H -Stems. Because of all the mixing, very few such roots have both a D/L-Stem or an H-Stem. When a root has both stems, II-w roots have the pattern $(\varepsilon) C \bar{o} C$ in the D/L-Stem (as in the table above) and $(\varepsilon) C b e ́ C$ in the H-Stem, while II-y roots have ( $\varepsilon$ )CéC in the D/L-Stem and ( $\varepsilon$ )CyéC in the H-Stem. Following are some sample forms of D/L- and H-Stems of II-w/y roots:

[^104]|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódəl | yagódalan | yagádal |
| H strong | $\varepsilon ð l e ́ f ~$ | yadélóf | yéðlวf |
| D/L II-y ( $y$ elided) | cdéb | $\begin{gathered} \text { yadēn } \\ \text { (< *yadéban) } \end{gathered}$ | $y \partial d^{\prime} b$ |
| D/L II-y | cdyín | yadyínan | yadén |
| H II-y | عðyéb | yaðyśb | yźðyab |
| H II-y ( $y$ elided) | hék | yahók | yéhaḳ |
| H ~ D/L II-w/y | ag̀yéd or ağbéd | yȧ่bédon | yéġbəọ |
| H II-y ~ gem. | czéd | yazéd | yézzod |
| H II-w | aġbés | $y \partial \dot{g}(i) \bar{\jmath}$ ṣ | yźğboṣ |

In the Ši-Stem, II-w/y verbs are rather rare. II-w verbs more or less follow strong verbs in the perfect; $w$ shifts to $b$, and so they end up looking a lot like, if not identical too, II-b verbs. Data on II-y verbs in this stem are too scarce to form any conclusions. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š1 strong | s̃aḳsér | yas̃ḳéṣór | yas̃ékṣar |
| Š1 II-w | s̃habél | yas̃ḥ̂(i)ál | yašźḥbal |

II-w and II-y verbs are found more often in the Š2-Stem, in which there is no distinction between the two types. The 3 ms perfect has the pattern $\tilde{s} ə C \bar{e} C$ ( or s̃ə $C e ́ C$; see below). If the first root consonant is a sonorant, then the pattern is normally $\tilde{s} e C \bar{e} C$ (or s̃eCéC); the same is sometimes true if the first root consonant is a voiced or glottalic coronal. Though these verbs look like II-b verbs in the perfect, there seems to be a difference in the subjunctive. Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | sazkésər | yaskéṣaran | yaskkéşr |
| Š2 II-b | s̃eśȩo | yašséẹən | yašsēd |
| Š2 II-w | sadèr | yas̃déran | $y \partial s ̃ d e ́ r ~$ |
| Š2 II-w | s̃eṣēt | yaseeṣétzn ${ }^{30}$ | yașṣćt |
| Š2 II-y | s̃ahēf | yashhéfan | yas̃héf |
| Š2 II-w, I-n | s̃enı̧̣̄ | yas̃eníṣan | yวs̃enés |

Given the unpredictable nature of the pattern s̃a $C \bar{e} C$ vs. sééCē $C$ in $J L$, except when the initial consonant is a sonorant, one wonders how accurate the transcriptions are. The same is true of the patterns $\tilde{s} V C \bar{e} C$ vs. $\tilde{s} V C e ́ C$. Johnstone wrote (JL, p. xxv, n. 39) that the occurrence of the long vowel $\bar{e}$ is usually unpredictable. Perhaps this is the case; perhaps there are two acceptable pronunciations; or perhaps some of his transcriptions are just inaccurate. It should also be noted that of the five II-w, I-sonorant Š2-Stems whose imperfect and subjunctive forms are listed in $J L$ (like s̃éníṣ, above), only one other (s̃éníh) behaves like s̃éníṣ (except that it is also III-G). One (s̃érēg) has an Šı-type subjunctive, while two (s̃élím and šéraḥ) have Šı-type imperfects and subjunctives.

Similar to the Š-Stems, but to an even larger degree, II-w/y verbs are more common in the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem than in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{Stem}$. This fact is interesting, since, in general, $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ - and $\check{S r}_{1}$-Stems are more common than $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ - and Š2-Stems; see the statistics cited in $\S 6$.

In the T2-Stem, II-w/y verbs seem to share a common set of forms: 3 ms perfect aCtēC (or aCtéC; < *aCtəCéC), ${ }^{31} 3 \mathrm{~ms}$ imperfect yaCtéCən, and 3 ms subjunctive $y a C t o ́ C$. The base of the first and second persons in the perfect is $\partial C t \bar{C} C-($ or $\partial C t \jmath ́ C$; < *aCtaCóC-). II-w/y, III-G verbs (of which there are not many that occur in the T2-Stem) have the shape aCtōC (< $\left.{ }^{*} a C t o ́ C ə C\right)$ in the perfect, e.g., antōḥ 'fight'. A couple of other verbs without a final guttural also have the pattern ${ }^{2} C t \overline{ }$ $C$ in the perfect; see $\S 6.5 \cdot 4, \mathrm{n}$. 53 , for a possible explanation. At least one II-w/y verb has the subjunctive pattern

[^105]$y_{2} C t \varepsilon ́ C$, and it is unclear if this is because the root is II-y, ${ }^{32}$ or if it is perhaps due to the final nasal.

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | aftzkér | yaftakéran | yaftakj́r |
| T2 II-w | əðtēl | yəðtélən | yaztól |
| T2 II-w, III-G | ant̄̄¢ | yantJ̇han | yzntşh |
| T2 II-y, III-n | altin | yaltínan | yaltén |

In the Ti-Stem, I-y verbs and the one attested II-' verb (śst́t́m 'buy') have the perfect shape $C j ́ C \dot{\varepsilon} \subset$. The imperfect and subjunctive forms of the II-y verbs normally follow the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem. II-w verbs (quite rare in the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stem) normally behave as II-b verbs ( with the shift of $w>b$ ). At least two II-w verbs behave as II-y verbs in the Tı-Stem (h’́tég 'need' and śśték 'miss, long for'):33

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3ms subjunct. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti strong | fótkər | yaftékór | yaftékar |
| Ti II-' | sótém | yaśtúm | yzśtém |
| T1 II-y | ğttȩ̛ | yaġtéḍən | yag̀tó̧ |

Some of the above data show that there is some mixing of II-y and II-w roots, such that for some roots we can posit biforms. For example, the verb $x \bar{s} s$ 'spoil; stink' is listed in $J L$ under the root $x y s,{ }^{34}$ and this is supported by the D/L- ~ H-Stem axyés 'spoil; stink', as well as by cognates. However, we might posit a biform $x w s$, since the G-Stem $x \bar{s}$ s stink' behaves like a II-w verb (cf. 3 ms imperfect yaxés, 46:15), and based on the adjective xobsún 'rotten'

[^106](< *xawsún). Another example is the root $\dot{g} y \nsupseteq$, which has the clear II-y T1-Stem ǵótẹ̛́ 'get angry', but in the D/L-~ H-Stem has the biforms agyyéd (II-y) and aǵbéḍ (II-w).

Finally, on the anomalous s̃éf, which $J L$ lists incorrectly under the root $\tilde{\sim} w f$, see § 7.4.16.

### 7.4.9 II-b and II-m Verbs

As discussed already in $\S 7.4 \cdot 5$, verbs that have $b$ or $m$ as one of their root consonants can be considered a subgroup of other conjugation types (strong, I-G, III-G, etc.) in all stems, since the consonants $b$ and $m$ are subject to phonetic changes (namely, intervocalic loss) that can obscure the verbal pattern. The changes associated with $b$ and $m$ in verb forms have already been discussed in § 2.1.2 and § 2.1.3, where some examples can be found. The consonant $m$ can also have a raising effect on an adjacent vowel, as discussed in §2.2.2 (cf. H šmí 'name, call' vs. fké 'cover'). Following are some sample forms of I-b and I-m verbs, in comparison with other verbs:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | yaḳódar | yókdar |
| Ga II-b | $k \grave{r} r$ | yakōr | yókbar |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafḳór |
| Gb II-b | $h \bar{e} r$ | $y д \underline{(i)} \overline{\text { ō }}$ | yaḥbór |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagádal |
| D/L II-b | $x o ̄ t$ | yaxōtan | yaxót |
| H III-G | egdáh | yagódah | yégdah |
| H II-b, III-G | cṣbáh | yassoh | $y \varepsilon$ şbaḥ |
| H III-w/y | fké | yวffọk | yéflç |
| H II-m, III-w/y | šmí | yวššũ | yéšme |
| Ši I-G | sa a sér | yas̃áásor | yas̃ásar |
| Ši II-b, I-G | sacbér | $y \partial \tilde{s}(\bigcirc) ¢ \square$ | yas̃ábar |


| Š2 strong | s̃akéṣar | yas̃kéṣaran | yas̃ḳéṣar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 II-b | s̃eśēð | yวs̃šêơan | yas̃šēd |
| T1 strong | fótkar | yaftékér (3mp) | yaftéḳar (3mp) |
| T1 II-b | g'ótbar | yaġtiēr (3mp) | yaġtēr (3mp) |
| T2 strong | aftakér | yaftakéran | yaftakór |
| T2 II-b | aktēr | yaktēran | yakt̄̄r |

Note especially the difference between the Ti-Stem imperfect and subjunctive, where the original $V b V$ sequences (one $V$ $b V$, and one $\breve{V} b \breve{V}$ ) have been resolved in different ways. ${ }^{35}$

In some cases the II-b/m verb takes precedence over another weak verb type. For example, in the T2-Stem, III-G verbs normally show the shift of the sequence $C V C e ́ G$ to CóCə $G$ (or $C o ́ C a G$ ) in the perfect (see §7-4.11). However, with II-b/m, III-G roots, the perfect patterns with the strong verb, rather than with III-G verbs, as can be seen from the verb aštč 'listen to' in the following table:

|  | 3ms perf. | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subj. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | aftérég | yəftérégan | yaftéróg |
| T2 III-G | aftósaḥ | yaftésaḥən | yaftósaḥ |
| T2 III-G, II-m | aštĩc (< *aštémí) | yaštĩan | yaštũ |

II-b verbs fall together with II-w verbs in the D/L-Stem, H-Stem, and T1-Stem. There are also some II-w verbs that behave like II-b verbs in the G-Stem, as discussed in §7.4.8. It was mentioned also in $\S 7 \cdot 4.8$ that the $\check{S ̌}_{2}$-Stem of II-b verbs looks a lot like II-w verbs, though, if $J L$ is accurate concerning the forms of $\tilde{s} e s$ éẹ 'step', the subjunctives are slightly different (cf. II-b yašśēd vs. II-w yas̃ṣ́st). It seems likely that II-b and II-w Š2-Stems are nevertheless confused.

[^107]
### 7.4.10 II-r Verbs

Verbs whose middle root consonant is $r$ often, but not always, have a unique stem in the Ga-Stem perfect. In the Ga-Stem, many II-r verbs have the shape CeróC in the 3 ms perfect (e.g., heróg 'speak', šerók ‘steal', teróf 'go forward, pass'), or CaCj́C if the first root consonant is a guttural other than $h$ (e.g., $\dot{g} a r o ́ b ~ ' k n o w ', ~ x a r o ́ g ~ ‘ d i e ’) . ~ H o w e v e r, ~ m a n y ~ I I-r ~ v e r b s, ~ i n c l u d i n g ~ s o m e ~ I-G ~$ verbs, have instead the strong pattern CoróC (e.g., ķoróf 'sweep out').

There is also variation among some verbs, perhaps dialectal. For example, $J L$ has dorós 'he studied', but two informants (one WJ and one CJ speaker) used derós; likewise with ṭoróf/ṭeróf 'he folded'. JL has durúm 'he slaughtered', but the texts have derúm (see the comment to text 25:5). JL has ṭéród 'send away' and 3 fs perfect téérdót, but in one of Johnstone's manuscripts (Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ ) there is an entire paradigm of țóród, and the texts have 3 fs perfect $t \supset r d o ́ t$ (6:17). ${ }^{36}$ There are also verbs usually of the CeróC type that sometimes have the shape CoróC in Johnstone’s texts (e.g., ġoróbk, 5:12; see also the comments to texts 51:13 and 86:5). This could be due to analogy with strong verbs, or may simply reflect free variation within the conjugation of II-r verbs. In fact, no verb that has the pattern $C\lrcorner C o ́ C$ according to $J L$ actually appears in the texts at all (at least not in the 3ms), which makes Johnstone's data harder to corroborate. Sometimes $J L$ indicates dialectal variation. For example, it lists kerós 'nip, pinch' (s.v. krṣ), but adds the EJ form ķorọs (cf. 3 fs ḳorṣót in 33:10); it lists šerók ‘steal' (as we find in 12:10), but, against the evidence of the texts, adds the EJ form šorók.

If we try, based on the forms given in $J L$, to classify which II-r verbs have the pattern $C$ eró $C$, and which have $C o r o ́ C$, we can only make some general observations; the distribution seems to be lexical, and not determined by phonology. Following only the data given in $J L$, those II-r verbs whose final root consonant is a labial ( $b$ or $m$ ) normally fall into the CoróC type (with the
 root consonant is $m$ all fall into the CeróC type. Those II-r verbs whose first root consonant is $s$ almost all (by a margin of seven to one) fall into the CeróC type. Those II-r verbs whose third root consonant is a velar or dental stop

[^108]usually (by a margin of almost five to one) fall into the CeróC type. Those II-r verbs whose first root consonant is voiceless and non-glottalic usually (by a margin of more than two to one) fall into the CeróC type. Those II-r verbs whose first root consonant is voiced usually (by a margin of almost two to one) fall into the Coró $C$ type. It is possible that whether or not a verb is recently borrowed could play a part in the assignment of a II-r verb to the type CeróC or CoróC. Some sample forms are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | koodorót | yaḳódar | yókdər |
| II-r strong | ḳoróf | korfót | yaḳórf | yókraf |
| II-r weak | šerọk | šerkót | yašórk | yóšrak |

$J L$ lists the very common verb s̃érék 'do' under the root s̃rk. Nevertheless, it is not a II-r verb. The 3 ms perfect sérék appears to be an Š2-Stem of a root $r w k / r y k$, while its imperfect and subjunctive forms look like Ši-Stems of the same root. The fact that there is a vowel change in the first and second person forms of the perfect (e.g., 1cs s̃erókzk) is reminiscent of an $\check{S ̌}_{1}$-Stem, rather than an Š2-Stem, though the first and second person forms wind up looking like those of G-Stem II-r verbs (hence Johnstone's analysis). The vowel difference in the 3 ms imperfect yas̃érók and 3 mp yas̃érék also clearly suggests an Sti-Stem; in the G-Stem the 3 ms and 3 mp imperfects should be the same. 3ms subjunctive yas̃érk (3mp yas̃órk) also must be an Ši-Stem.

For the complete conjugation of the G-Stem II-r verb šerók 'steal', see $\S$ 6.1.1. II-r verbs do not have unique conjugations in the derived stems.

### 7.4.11 III-Guttural Verbs (except III-' Verbs)

Verbs whose third root consonant is one of the five gutturals ' $, \dot{g}, h, \underline{h}$, or $x$ are subject to a variety of sound changes. It is worth noting here that $h$ patterns with the other guttural consonants only some of the time (similar to what we saw with II-G verbs in §7.4.7), while some forms of III-h verbs look like those of strong verbs. In fact, there are very few III-h verbs, making it hard to generalize about their conjugation. ${ }^{38}$ On III-' verbs, see § 7-4.12.

[^109]The most characteristic feature of this verb type is the shift of the sequence $C V C V ́ G$ to $C V ́ C_{ə} G$ or $C V ́ C a G$ (where $G=i, \dot{g}, h$, , or $x$ ), which affects GaStem perfects, Gb-Stem imperfects, H -Stem imperfects, Ši-Stem imperfects, and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem perfects, imperfects, and subjunctives, among some other forms. In the Ga-Stem, the perfect base CéCaC looks just like the Gb-Stem perfect. This same shift also makes the Gb-Stem imperfect look like the Ga-Stem imperfect. The forms of the subjunctive, however, remain distinct between the Ga- and Gb-type. In the sequence $C C V V^{\prime}$ (where $\mathrm{G}=\dot{ }, \dot{g}, h$, , or $x$ ), the guttural attracts the vowel $a$. Because of this, the imperatives of the Ga - and Gb -Stems also look alike. Examples are:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . | ms imprtv. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | yakj́dər | yókdar | kdér |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafkór | fkór |
| Ga III-G | féta̧ | yafótah | yóftzh | ftáh |
| Gb III-G | férọh | yafórrạ | yafráh | frạh |

Among the Gb-Stems are the two common verbs nika‘ 'come' and $\check{s} \imath^{c}$ 'hear' (dialectal $\tilde{a} \tilde{a}$; see the comment to text 13:13).

In the H-Stem, as a result of the two shifts explained above, the base of the imperfect looks like the G-Stem imperfect. In the perfect, as noted above, the sequence CCV́C attracts the vowel $a$. Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-G | réfa ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yдrófa' | yórfa ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H strong | عðléf | yдðélı́f | yédlaf |
| H III-G | vrfá ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yərófa' | yérfa ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

Note that while strong verbs have a different base for the first and second person forms of the perfect (e.g., flét 'he ran away', but flítk 'I ran away'), III-G verbs (including III-h verbs) have the same base throughout the perfect (e.g., $\varepsilon b k a^{\prime}$ 'he put' and $\varepsilon b k a^{\prime} k$ 'I put').

[^110]The changes pertaining to III-G Verbs in the Ši-Stem, as expected, mirror those of the H-Stem. The stressed vowel of the perfect shifts to $a$ (and remains $a$ in the first and second persons), and the base of the imperfect


|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ši strong | sakşér | yaskéṣsor | yas̃ $k$ ¢̣şr |
| Š1 III-G | s̃adfác | yas̃dófa' | yašźdfa' |
| Šis I-n | s̃anðér | yasénðór | yวs̃ย́nðər |
| Ši I-n, III-G ${ }^{39}$ | s̃anfá ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yasénúfar | yaš̌́nfa' |

In the T2-Stem, the shift of $C V C V{ }^{\prime} G$ to $C V ́ C ə G$ or $C V ́ C a G$ affects the perfect, imperfect, and subjunctive. If the second root consonant is $b$ or $m$, then the loss of that root consonant occurs first (see §7.4.9), thus blocking the vowel shift, i.e., 3 ms perfect *aCtébé $G>\partial C t e ̄ G$ or *aCtémé $G>\partial C t i ̃ G$. The same ordering of rules must apply to the imperfect and subjunctive, although the results are the same either way; e.g., both *yaCtabóG (following the strong verb) or *yaCtóbaG (with the III-G vowel shift) should become yaCt̄̄G for the 3 ms subjunctive. Compare the following forms:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | aftakér | yaftakéran | yaftakór |
| T2 III-G | aftósş̣ | yaftésaḥən | yaftósah |
| T2 III-G, II-m | aštic | yaštĩ́an | yaštư |

One III-G T2-Stem in $J L$ is listed with a different imperfect form: aftólaḥ, 3 ms imperfect yaftólaḩan. We expect yaftélaḥan, so either this is a mistake, this is the 3 mp imperfect, or the difference is due to the fact that the second root consonant is voiced. Recall from $\S 6.5 .3$ that whether or not the second root consonant is voiceless or voiced/glottalic has an effect on other forms of the

[^111]T2-Stem, e.g., aftakér 'he wondered', but aftégér 'he was proved a liar'. Most likely it is just a 3 mp form.

In the Tr-Stem, III-G verbs show quite a bit of variation, in part because some of the relevant verbs-like some $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems of other root types-use T2-Stem forms for the imperfect and/or subjunctive. The true T1-Stem III-G patterns seem to be very close to those of strong verbs, with the tendency of the vowel preceding the guttural to shift to $a$, for example:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 strong | fótḳar | yaftékór | yaftéḳar |
| T1 III-G | fóttaḥ | yaftétah | yaftétəh |
| T1 III-G | ḱ̛tṭac $^{c \mid}$ | yaktétẹac | yaktétẹac |

The same vowel shift of $C V C \bar{V} G$ to $C V^{C} \subset \ni G$ or $C$ V́ $C a G$ that was met in some of the different stems above also can be seen in the imperfect of the Q-Stem. Compare:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q-Stem (true) | ckerrféd | yakérfód | yakérfad |
| Q-Stem I-m, IV-G | z̃rkáh | y řókah | y $\check{\text { r }}$ kah |

III-G verbs present no peculiarities in the D/L-Stem or Š2-Stem, except that the vowel $\partial$ adjacent to a guttural may be realized closer to $a$, especially if the guttural is ${ }^{\text {. }}$

### 7.4.12 III- ${ }^{-}$, III-w, and III-y Verbs

Verbs whose final root consonant is historically $w$ or $y$ are characterized by the loss of the final root consonant in all forms. These have, to a large degree, fallen together with III-' verbs, as will be demonstrated below. In the Ga- and Gb-Stems, III-w/y verbs show quite a bit of variation both within and across dialects. The shape of the Ga-Stem perfect is quite stable, however. The basic patterns are CéCé and CCé, the distribution of which follows that of the strong verb patterns $C \jmath C o ́ C$ and $C C \jmath C$, respectively (see $\S 6.1 .1$ ). That is to say, CCé is used if the first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (though such verbs are very few in this class). The pattern CCé (usually realized əCCé or $\varepsilon C C e ́)$ is also normally used if the first root consonant is $l, n$, or $r$. The base of the first and second person perfects is regularly CúC- (e.g.,
ardé 'he threw', rúd(a)k ‘I threw'; béké 'he cried', búkak ‘I cried'). The Ga-Stem 3 ms imperfect is most often $y \partial C o ́ C(3 \mathrm{mp}$ yaCóCi), while the 3 ms subjunctive is most often yéC(ə)C (3mp yaCCí). ${ }^{40}$ III-w/y verbs (and III-') are unusual, in that the $2 \mathrm{fs}, 2 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 mp imperfect forms end in a vowel, as do the 2 mp and 3 mp subjunctive forms. See $\S 6$ 6.1.1 for the full conjugation of the Ga-Stem kéré ‘hide; kiss'.

In the Gb-Stem, the basic patterns are 3 ms perfect $\mathrm{CéCi}$ (1cs CiCk ), 3 ms imperfect yaCóCe (3mp yaCóCi), and 3 ms subjunctive yaCCé (3mp yaCCí). Some sample forms are:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | yaḳódər | yóķdar |
| Ga III-w/y | kéré | yakór | yékar |
| Ga III-w/y | fsé | yafós | yefs' (or yafs') |
| Ga III-w/y | ardé | yaród | yerd |
| Gb strong | fékar | yafékór | yafḳ́r |
| Gb III-w/y | ġéżi | $y$ ğóle | $y \partial \dot{g} l \varepsilon ́$ |

However, there is a fair amount of mixing between the two G-Stems. So we find, for example, Ga-Stem perfects with Gb-Stem subjunctives (e.g., aržéé 'accept'), ${ }^{41}$ and Gb-Stem perfects with Ga-Stem imperfects (e.g., śíni 'see'). Sample forms are:

[^112]|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-w/y | cre̛é | yaróż | yorẓ̛ |
| Gb III-w/y | śini | yaśún | yaśné |

The data are limited, but it seems that no matter what the subjunctive type, the ms imperative of G-Stem, III-w/y verbs has the pattern $C(\partial) C \varepsilon$ ( or aCCé), e.g. ardé 'throw!' (3ms subjunctive yérd) and śné 'see!' (3ms subjunctive yaśné).

There are also some variant forms for those III-w/y verbs that are doubly or triply weak. Two doubly-weak verb types are worth mentioning here. First are those whose first root consonant is $b$ or $m$, some examples of which are:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-w/y, I-b | béké | $y \overline{\bar{z} k}$ | $y e b k$ |
| Ga III-w/y, I-b | bédé | $y \bar{\partial} d$ | $y \bar{d} d$ (<"yébad) |

Also worth noting are those III-w/y verbs whose middle root consonant is a guttural, as in:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II-G, I-voiced | ð(a) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'r | удд(ァ)'ı́r | удð¢or |
| G III-w/y, II-G | daé | $y \partial d(a)$ 'á | yad'á |
| G III-w/y, II-G | šaé | yašoc/yašíc | yašác |

Like da'é 'curse' are kaée 'escape (from a pen)', naee 'elegize', raé 'herd', and rahée 'lick'. These are reminiscent of other II-G verbs in the imperfect and subjunctive, though with different vowels. The verb šaé 'run' has unexpected imperfect and subjunctive forms. The 3 ms imperfect is either yzšó ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (following other III-w/y verbs without a guttural root consonant) or yaš̌́, while the 3 ms subjunctive is yéšac (again following other III-w/y verbs with- $^{\text {a }}$ out a guttural root consonant). ${ }^{42}$ In the perfect, these verbs, including šáé

[^113]show the typical base of III-w/y verbs in the first and second persons, e.g., dóak ‘I cursed' and šóóak ‘I ran’ (cf. rúdək 'I threw').

The few G-Stem III-' verbs that are used seem to behave mostly like III-w/y verbs, with the same occasional variation among Ga- and Gb-Stem forms that we saw above. Note, however that III-’ ksé has a 1cs perfect kisk (like a III-w/y Gb-Stem), while, as noted above, III-w/y ardé has a ics rúdək. This may to be due to the fact that ksé is historically III-..$^{43}$ The 3 ms subjunctive


|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga III-', I-m | mélé | yũl (<*yamúl) | yũl (<*yímal) |
| Ga III-' | ksé | yakós | yaksé |
| Gb III-' | kéni | yakún | yékan |

If we considered a III-' verb to be a III-G verb (as it was historically, and remains in some derived stems), then we would actually expect subjunctive $y \partial C C \dot{\varepsilon}\left({ }^{( }\right)$, which is the same as the Gb-type for III-w/y verbs. This overlap is probably why we find some Ga-Stem III-w/y verbs that have what look like Gb-Stem subjunctives.

In some cases, the forms found in $J L$ for III-w/y verbs do not match what is written in some of Johnstone's manuscript notes, nor what I heard from informants. This verb type clearly is somewhat unstable in the Ga- and Gb-Stems.

In the derived stems, III-w/y verbs are usually much more uniform, at least compared to the G-Stem. In the H- and Ši-Stems, III-w/y verbs have fallen together with III-' verbs, which in turn are reminiscent of III-G verbs in the imperfect and subjunctive. The perfect has retained the final -é of III-w/y verbs, as opposed to the final vowel $a$ found in III-G verbs. Examples are:

[^114]|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | flét | yaffélót | yéflıt |
| H III-G | $f s$ ah | yaffóṣah | yéfș̣ah |
| H III-' | tré( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) | yattóre(') | yétre( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) |
| H III-w/y | fké | yaffọke | yéfkg |
| Ši strong | sałḳsér | yaškéṣór | yaš ¢̧̣̣ar |
| Ši III-G | sadfác | yas̃dófa' | yวšźdfac |
| Š1 III-w/y | s̃afté | yasfóte | yas̃éfte |

In the D/L-Stem, III-w/y verbs look a lot like strong verbs, except with the loss of the final consonant. The biggest difference is in the imperfect, where the primary stress falls on the final syllable (which may or may not be long). Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódalan | yagódal |
| D/L III-w/y | ع'óśi | ya'ośén | ya'óśs |

Data on the Š2-Stem are extremely sparse for III-w/y verbs, and no such verbs occur in the texts. They seem to resemble D/L-Stems, with the loss of the final root consonant, and stress on the final syllable of the imperfect. Not enough information is known about III-' verbs in this stem. Sample forms are:

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š2 strong | sazkéşr | yaskkéşran | yaškéṣar |
| Š2 III-w/y | sagéśi | yдs̃gəṡśn | yas̃géś |

In the T1-Stem, III-w/y verbs follow the patterns of III-G verbs, except with the complete loss of the final syllable in the subjunctive. Not enough data are available for III-' verbs to know whether or not their subjunctives look like III-w/y verbs; their perfects and imperfects should be the same.

|  | 3 ms perfect | 3 ms imperfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 strong | fótkor | yaftékór | yaftékar |
| T1 III-G | fóttah | yaftétah | yaftétzh |
| T1 III-w/y | rótki | yartéki | yorték |
| T1 III-w/y | šúṣịi (< *s̃útki $)^{44}$ | yaštéki | yašték |

Data on the T2-Stem are very scarce. III-' verbs follow the pattern of III-G verbs, except with the loss of the final root consonant in the imperfect. The III-w/y verbs attested in the texts (a'tóśs 'have dinner', aǵtós's 'faint', and ahtóde 'divide out') all follow the pattern for III-G verbs in the perfect and subjunctive. ${ }^{45}$ The imperfect patterns with the strong verb, except with the loss of the final root consonant and shift of primary stress to the final syllable (like D/L- and Š2-Stems). The one additional verb whose forms are listed in $J L$ is a'tédé 'attack', whose perfect patterns with the strong verb (as we would expect for III-w/y verbs, based on the D/L- and Š2-Stems). Clearly there has been mixing of III-' and III-w/y verbs in the T2-Stem, as in the G-Stem.

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T2 strong | aftakér | yaftakéran | yaftakór |
| T2 III-G | aftóssh | yaftésaḥan | yaftósah |
| T2 III-' | abtóre(') | yabtérén | yabtóre(') |
| T2 III-'/w/y | a'tóśs | ya'taśén | ya'tóse |
| T2 III-w/y | $a^{\prime}$ tédé | ya'tédín | ya'tóds |

[^115]
### 7.4.13 III-b and III-m Verbs

As discussed already in $\S 7.4 .5$ and $\S 7.4 .9$, verbs that have $b$ or $m$ as one of their root consonants can be considered a subgroup of other conjugation types (strong, I-G, II-w/y, etc.) in all stems, since the consonants $b$ and $m$ are subject to phonetic changes (namely, intervocalic loss) that can obscure the verbal pattern. The changes associated with $b$ and $m$ in verb forms have already been discussed in $\S 2.1 .2$ and $\S 2.1 .3$, where some examples can be found. With III-b/m verbs, the forms most affected are the 3 fs perfect and the fp forms of the imperfect and subjunctive. The consonant $m$ can also have a raising effect on an adjacent vowel, as discussed in §2.2.2 (cf. Gb 3 fs perfect ḥal(i)ũt'she dreamed', from *ḥalamút, vs. feḳərót 'she became poor'). Following are some sample forms of I-b and I-m verbs, in comparison with other verbs:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 3 fs perf. | 3 fp subj. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | kodórót | takdérən |
| Ga III-b | ġarób | $\dot{g} a r(i) \bar{s} t$ | təg̈rēn or tağr $\varepsilon$ ह́bวn |
| Gb strong | fékar | feḳarót | tafḳóran |
| Gb III-m | hélam | ḥal(i)ũt | taḥlũn |
| D/L strong | egódəl | cgídalót | l-gádalan |
| D/L III-b | cgórəb | عgiriót | l-górēn or l-gáraban |
| H strong | $\varepsilon ð l e ́ f ~$ | عðalf't | $l$-ह́ðalfan |
| H III-b | عðhéb | $\varepsilon ð h \bar{\partial} t$ | $l-\varepsilon ̇ \partial h \bar{\varepsilon} n$ |
| T1 II-'/y | hótég | ḥtēgót | tahtógən |
| Ti III-m, II- ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | sótém | śtũt | (t)śtēn |
| T2 strong | aftérég | aftérgót | taftérógan |
| T2 III-b | aktéléb | aḳtéliót | taktélōn |
| Ši strong | s̃aḳsér | s̃aḳşrót | tašékṣaran |
| Š1 III-b | s̃onśéb | s̃ənś⿹̄t | tวs̃énśs̄n or tวšย́nśabวn |

Due to paradigm pressure, the consonants $b$ and $m$ are sometimes preserved where they should be lost, as can be seen from the table above. For example, the suffix -ən of the D/L-Stem, T2-Stem, and Š2-Stem imperfect may or may not cause elision of the final root consonant. Informants allowed either akórēn or aḳórban as the 1cs imperfect of the D/L-Stem $\varepsilon$ ckórab 'bring near'; likewise the suffix -ən of the $2 / 3 \mathrm{fp}$ imperfect and subjunctive in various stems. Speakers vary on this point. ${ }^{46}$

### 7.4.14 Geminate Verbs

Geminate verbs, i.e., verbs whose second and third root consonants are identical, are very common in Jibbali; $J L$ lists 190 different geminate verbal roots. The geminate consonant is never a guttural (', ', $\dot{g}, h, h, x$ ), nor is it ever $\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}, w$, or $y$. Their conjugations have peculiarities in all stems, sometimes overlapping in form with other weak verb types.

In the G-Stem, there is only one geminate conjugation, without the distinction between Ga - and Gb -Stems found with most root types. It is characterized by a monosyllabic 3 ms perfect of the pattern CeC , and a 3 ms (and 3 mp ) imperfect of the pattern $y_{\partial} C C_{2} e^{e} C_{2}$. The 3 ms subjunctive normally has the pattern yó $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C$ (with shift of gemination onto the first root consonant, i.e., ${ }^{*} C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ to $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$ ), although this seems to have shifted to y $\varepsilon C_{l} C_{1} \partial C$ among some younger speakers. ${ }^{47}$ See $\S 6.1 .1$ for the full conjugation of the geminate verb del 'guide, lead; know'. With I-G geminate roots, except I-‘ and I- $\dot{g}$ verbs (that is, only I-h, I-h, and I-x verbs), the 3 ms subjunctive is normally $y \partial C i ́ C$. For I-‘ and I-ğ geminate verbs the subjunctive pattern is yaCCéC (var. yaCCéc). ${ }^{48}$ Following are some sample forms:

[^116]|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ga strong | kodór | yakódər | yókdər |
| G gemin. | fer | yafrér | $\begin{gathered} \text { y’́ffar } \\ \text { (or yéffar?) } \end{gathered}$ |
| G gemin., I-G | hez | yaḥzéz | yaḥiz |
| G gemin., I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'es' | ya šéś | yaşéś |
| G gemin., I-g | $\dot{g} e b$ | $y \partial g ̆ b e ́ b ~$ | $y \partial \dot{g} b \varepsilon ́ b$ |
| G gemin., I-m | mid | yamdéd | yúmmad or yũd |

Note that for at least some I-m verbs, the subjunctive has the variant forms given in the above table (see further in the comment to text 60:26). There is no evidence of such variation with I-b verbs. Also, according to $J L$, there are at least two verbs ( $k e s ̌$ and $x i n$ ) that have the subjunctive pattern $y_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (following the Gb-Stem strong verb), but this has not been verified.

In the underlying form of the 3 ms perfect of all geminate verbs, and of the subjunctive of the I-G verbs (like $y z h i z$ ), the final consonant is geminate, though it is only realized as such when not word final (i.e., when suffixes are attached). For example, from hez 'he slaughtered', we find haazzót 'she slaughtered' (e.g., 13:16), and from ha-yzhíz 'he will slaughter', we find ha-yzhizzzs 'he will slaughter it' (e.g., 17:9).

In the $G$ passive, geminate verbs behave like strong verbs, for example:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3 ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G passive II-n | śinîk | iśenúk | $l$-aśnúk $k^{49}$ |
| G passive gem. | $k$ kesíṣs | ikesesós | $l$-дkṣọs |

In the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Stem}$, geminate verbs have the pattern $(\varepsilon)$ CCéC for the 3 ms perfect (like some II-y verbs; see §7.4.8), which is identical to the H-Stem. The 3 ms imperfect has the pattern yzCCéCən, and the subjunctive, yzCCéC. Because the D/L-Stem and H-Stem perfects look alike for geminate verbs, there is some confusion of the two stems. A number of verbs have D/L-Stem

[^117]imperfects, but H-Stem subjunctives. It is not clear whether these should be classified as $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stems or H -Stems. It seems that many fewer verbs show both a D/L-Stem imperfect and subjunctive. And a few verbs show variant forms, with either both types of imperfect attested, or both types of subjunctive attested.

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/L strong | egódal | yagódəlan | yagódəl |
| D/L gemin. | axsés | yaxsésan | yaxsés |
| D/L~H gemin. | ebdéd | yabdédən | yabdéd or <br> yébbad |


In the H -Stem, the 3 ms perfect patterns with the strong verb, while the imperfect has a unique form, and the subjunctives show the shift of ${ }^{*} C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ to $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$ seen in the G subjunctive. Some sample forms are:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperfect | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H strong | flét | yaffélót | yéflət |
| H gemin. | kbéb | yakbéb | yékkab |

As with strong verbs, the first and second persons of the perfect show the shift in the base from CCéC to CCóC-, as in kbéb 'he unloaded', but kbóban 'we unloaded'. The 3 fs perfect of geminate verbs (and presumably also the 3d forms) shows the shift of ${ }^{*} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ ' $>C_{2} C_{2} V$, as in kabbót 'she unloaded' < *kbabót. Of the nineteen H-Stem geminates whose imperfect and subjunctive forms are listed in $J L$ ( not counting any mixed $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L} \sim \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Stems}$ ), seventeen follow the pattern of $k b e ́ b$, but two have, according to $J L$, an imperfect that patterns with strong verbs; one of these can be explained by the fact that it is a I-b verb ( $\varepsilon$ brér 'see from far away'; see $\S 7.4 .5$ or $\S 7 \cdot 4.15$ for the forms), but the other (a'nín 'need oil (in hair)') is unexpected. Recall, however, that another I-G, III-n verb, G-Stem xin, also behaves like a strong verb.

In the Š1-Stem, geminate perfects are like those of H-Stems, which is to say that they pattern with strong verbs, except for in the 3 fs and 3 d forms. Their imperfects mirror the unique H -Stem forms, while the subjunctives again show the shift of ${ }^{*} C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ to $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$ seen in the $G$ and $H$ subjunctives. Some sample forms are:

|  | 3 ms perfect | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{subjunct}$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Š1 strong | sakṣér | yaškéş́r | yas̃ $k$ ¢̧̣ar |
| Š1 gemin. | sadlkék | yas̃adkék | yašzddak |
| Š1 gemin., I-m | s̃amdéd | yas̃amdéd | yas̃źmmad |
| Šı gemin., I-b | sabdéd | yas̃ēdód | yašźbbad |

According to $J L$, at least a couple of Šı-Stems have an imperfect following the pattern of strong verbs: s̃abdéd 'separate oneself from' (3ms imperfect yas̃ēdód) and s̃azlél 'be insulted' (3ms imperfect yas̃zélól). One verb (s̃alzéz 'accept unwillingly') has a geminate type imperfect (3ms yas̃alzéz), ${ }^{50}$ but a subjunctive following the strong pattern (3ms yas̃zlzaz). No doubt s̃abdéd
 imperfect form yas̃zélól listed in $J L$ is accurate—and s̃alzéz are unexpected; interestingly, both have the root consonants $l$ and $z$. It is very curious that the I-m verb s̃əmdéd behaves like other geminate verbs (and likewise s̃əmrér 'be emboldened'; $J L$, s.v. $m r r$ ) while the I-b verb s̃abdéd behaves like a I-b (non-geminate) verb. I-b and I-m verbs normally behave the same way; see § 7.4.5. Recall, however, that there is evidence for variation in the G-Stem subjunctive of I-m verbs, but not I-b verbs.

Š2-Stems of geminate roots are not well attested, and Johnstone does not list any imperfect or subjunctive forms in $J L$. In the $3 m s$ perfect they have the basic pattern $\tilde{s} \partial C_{l} e ́ C_{2}$ or $\tilde{s} e C_{l} e ́ C_{2}$, the latter with some roots that have an initial voiced or glottalic consonant. This can be derived from the strong pattern by a shift of ${ }^{*} V C_{2} \partial C_{2}>\tilde{V} C_{2} C_{2}$, e.g., s̃əkéz 'push o.a.' < ${ }^{*} \tilde{\partial} \partial k e ́ z z<$ * $\mathfrak{s} a k e ́ z a z$. The resulting perfect thus looks like that of a II-w/y verb in this stem. The 3 ms imperfect and subjunctive have the patterns $\tilde{s} \partial C_{1} e ́ C_{2} \partial n$ and $\tilde{s} \partial C_{1} \varepsilon C_{2}$, respectively, also very similar to the forms of II-w/y verbs.

In the T1-Stem, we find the same shift of ${ }^{*} C_{1} C_{2} \partial C_{2}$ to $C_{1} C_{1} \partial C_{2}$ seen in the G-, H-, and Ši-Stem subjunctives, e.g., 3 ms perfect bóttar 'he looked down' < *bótrar (cf. strong fótkar). The imperfect of Tı geminates seem to behave as strong verbs, with the 3 ms pattern $y \partial C t(e ́) C e ́ C$, while the 3 ms subjunctive is unique, with the pattern yaCtáC or yaCtéC (seemingly free variants). ${ }^{51}$

[^118]T2-Stems of geminate roots sometimes behave as strong verbs, though some (e.g., aftérér 'yawn') have subjunctives of the Ti type. Compare:

|  | 3ms perfect | 3ms imperf. | 3ms subjunct. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 strong | fátkar | yaftékór | yaftékar |
| T1 gemin. | bóttar | yabtérér | yabtár or yabtér |
| T2 strong | aftérég | yaftérégan | yaftéróg |
| T2 gemin. | aśtélél | yaśtélélan | yaśtélól |
| T2 gemin. | aftérér | yaftéréran | yaftér |

### 7.4.15 Doubly and Triply Weak Verbs

A significant percentage of Jibbali verbs have more than one weak root consonant, as should already be apparent from the preceding sections. Sometimes such verbs show features of both weak verb types; sometimes the combination results in a unique verb type (e.g., II-w, III-y verbs; IG , geminate G -Stems); and sometimes one weak verb type takes precedence (e.g., I-b, geminate H -Stem imperfects). In the following table, representative forms of only some of the many possible combinations are presented:

|  | Stem | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-G, gemin. | G | hez | yahzéz | yahiz |
| I-G, II-m | G | hãl | yahuil (3mpyaḥõl) | yahuil (3mp yạ̣mól) |
| I-w, III-G | G | égah | yógah | yagáh |
| I-n, II-b, III-G | G | nịh | yanōh | yúnbah |

[^119]| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-w, II-G, } \\ & \text { III-w/y } \end{aligned}$ | G | aḥá | yahé | yúbḥi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I-w, III-y | D/L | $\bar{\rho} f$ | $y \bar{f} f \bar{\varepsilon} n$ |  |
| II-w, III-y | D/L | $\varepsilon d \bar{o} i$ | $y \partial d \bar{\varepsilon} n$ | $y \partial d \varepsilon ́$ |
| I-G, II-y, III-b | $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L} \sim \mathrm{H}^{52}$ | aġyéb | yag̀yēn | yéğyab |
| I-b, gemin. | H | cbrér | yērór | yébbar |
| I-w, III-G | H | cbká ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yōka‘ | yébkáa |
| I-', II-m, III-n | Š1 | $\tilde{s i n}^{53}$ | $y \partial \tilde{s}(i) \tilde{u} n$ | yas̃̃n |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-n, II-G, } \\ & \text { III-w/y } \end{aligned}$ | Š1 | s̃onhé | yas̃enúḥa | ys̃énḥa |
| I-r, II-b, III-G | Š1 | s̃arbá ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $y a s$ érō ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yas̃ $r$ rba' |
| I-w, gemin. | Š2 | s̄ēd | yas̃édan | yašzd |
| I-n, II-w, III-G | Š2 | s̃énị̣ | yaséníḥan | yas̃énáh |
| I-G, gemin. | T1 | 'óttar | ya'térér | ya'tér |
| II-m, III-G | T2 | aštič | yaštičan | $y \partial s$ sucu |
| I-m, IV-G | Q | żrkáh | yẽrókah | yẽrkah |

One difficult class of doubly-weak verbs that is especially interesting contains verbs whose second root consonant is $w$ or $y$, and whose third root consonant is $w, y$, or '. In the G-Stem 3 ms perfect, these have the pattern $C \bar{e}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$, as in $t \bar{e}$ 'he ate', $h \bar{e}$ ‘he fell', $\partial \bar{e} \bar{e}$ 'he smelled', and $k \bar{e} ~ ‘ h e ~ v o m i t e d ' . ~ H o w e v e r, ~$ these verbs exhibit some variation in their conjugations. For example, in the 1cs perfect, we find $t \bar{\varepsilon} k$ 'I ate' and $\partial \bar{c} \bar{\varepsilon} k$ 'I smelled', but hēk 'I fell' and $k \underset{e}{ } k$ 'I vomited'. Some verbs seem to allow both $C \bar{e} C$ - and $C \bar{\varepsilon} C$ - as the base for the first and second person forms of the perfect. The subjunctive patterns also

[^120]vary; for example, the 3 ms subjunctive of $t$ eè 'come (at night)' is yétc (following the pattern yé $C^{\prime} C$, with final ' [realized or underlying]), while for $t \bar{e}$ 'eat' it is yít (< "yéty?).). ${ }^{54}$ Following are some sample forms:

|  | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{perf}$. | 1cs perf. | $3 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{imperf}$. | 3 ms subj . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G II/III-w/y | $\partial \bar{e}$ |  | yaว̣é | yéọ $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ |
| G II-w/y, III-' | kē | kēk | yaké | yéke ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| G II/III-w/y | $t \bar{e}$ | $t \bar{\varepsilon} k$ | yaté | yít |
| G II/III-w/y | $t e \bar{e}$ | tēk | yotéé | yéte |
| G II/III-w/y, I- ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 'ē | ¢ēk | уд¢ | $y a^{c} b \varepsilon$ é |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { G II/III-w/y, } \\ & \text { I-h } \end{aligned}$ | $h \bar{e}$ | $h e ̄ k$ | yahí (3mp yahúi or yahí) | $\begin{gathered} \text { yahí } \\ (3 \mathrm{mp} y a h b i ́) \end{gathered}$ |
| Gb II/III-w/y | $r e \bar{~}$ | rīk or $r \bar{\varepsilon} k$ | (not used) | yarbé |

Some of these differences no doubt connect with the differences in the underlying roots, e.g., III-y vs. III-'. However, there has been confusion and mixing of the different root types, with the result that the conjugation of a verb is not always reflective of its etymological root.

### 7.4.16 Anomalous Verbs

A number of verbs can only be called anomalous, as they exhibit features that make their conjugation unique and unpredictable. Three such irregular verbs are treated elsewhere: ber (§7.2), 'od (§7.3), and 'ágab (§7.5). The forms of verb šérék are anomalous in its mix of $\check{S}_{1}-$ and ${ }_{5} 2$-Stem forms (and certainly if we consider it a G-Stem, as Johnstone seems to have done); see §7.4.10. On the anomalous verb saéd 'help', see §7.4.7. Some other seemingly anomalous forms have been mentioned in previous sections (e.g., the imperfect of agád 'go'; see § 7-4.3).

Another example of an anomalous verb is séef 'sleep; go to sleep'. In $J L$, Johnstone includes it under the root s̃wf. Its pattern, however, is unlike any

[^121]II-w verb. The verb is surely cognate with Mehri šəwkūf, an Š1-Stem of the
 one’s shoulder'. In Jibbali, it seems that the Ši * s̃owkéf became *s̃zkéf (with loss of $w$, instead of the expected shift to s̃abkéf), and then *izas̃éf (with the shift of $k$ to $\tilde{s})>{ }^{*} \tilde{s} \tilde{s} e ́ f>\tilde{s e} e ́ f$. That it is an Ši-Stem can also be seen by the vowel shift in the first and second person perfect forms (e.g., s̃ofk 'I slept') and $3_{3} \mathrm{fs}$ s̃ว̄fót.

The verb yakól (3mp yakél) is anomalous in that it is used only in the imperfect, but with a past tense meaning. When an object suffix is added, the $l$ is normally lost. (In my transcription of the texts, an $l$ in parentheses means that it was not written in the Arabic-letter original.) Examples are:
yakél kunút hagmét 'ak sékən 'they thought there was an attack on [or: fight in] the settlement' (13:13)
śíni egóf̌̌s 'ak emíh. yakól ganní 'he saw his shadow in the water. He thought (it was) a jinn' (39:3)
ol nakó(l)š dha-yğád lo 'we didn't think he would go' (49:35)
yakólol dé al-hés š̌ lo 'he thought there was no one like him' (54:2)
akj́(l)s̃ man yéns̃ ḥažórs̃ li ‘I thought you were persuading me truthfully' (60:8)
takó(l)s̃ edúrs̃yólhum 'she thought you had gone back to them' (60:23)
The imperfect form yakól looks like the G-Stem of a II-', II-y, or, less likely, II-w verb; Johnstone included it in $J L$ under the root $k w l$. There are two possible historical sources for this imperfect verb. The first possibility is that it comes from the verb $k \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'measure' (root $k y l()^{55}$ which has the same imperfect forms. A semantic development from 'measure' to 'think' does not seem implausible. ${ }^{56}$ The second possibility is that it derives from yakhól (3mp yakhél), the imperfect of khel 'be able, manage'. A change of yakhól > yakól would be irregular, but such a change is found in Soqoṭi and elsewhere in Semitic. ${ }^{57}$

[^122]The verb (a)thúmk is anomalous, in that its ics perfect form has a specialized meaning. In Mehri, there is a regular H-Stem verb hathūm, meaning 'think, imagine', but in Jibbali only this form (a)thúmk is used with this meaning, in the sense of 'I think that...' or 'maybe'. Otherwise, the Jibbali H-Stem thím means 'accuse'. Examples are:
> athúmk tok ol ṣhabólk to lo 'I think maybe you didn't understand me’ (34:11)
> thúmk to al-ğád náṣanu 'I think I might go now' (38:2)
> athúmk iss mélík 'I think maybe her father is an angel' (97:44)

See further on the use of (ə)thumk in §12.5.18. Other frozen verbal forms are dunk (§ 12.5.7), ketk (12.5.12), and ndóh (§ 12.5.16).

### 7.4.17 A Note on So-Called "Weak-f" Verbs

In my Mehri grammar, I included a category of verbs called "weak-f" (Rubin 2010: 161-163). I hesitated to call this class of verbs "weak- $f$ ", but did so for lack of a better term. I ended that section with a note that "this is an area in which more research needs to be done." Happily, two French researchers, Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral (2014), took up this call and have offered a convincing explanation for these verbs, and one with important implications in other areas. The common element among verbs of this class is not the consonant $f$ (though it remains the most common root consonant among such verbs), but rather the fact that the second and third root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic. Interestingly, I learned of this research just as I was working on Jibbali verbs, for which the same constraint has numerous effects. For example, as discussed in $\S 6.1 .1$, the Ga -Stem perfect of strong verbs has the pattern $\mathrm{CCっ} \mathrm{C}$ or CoCo C , the former only if the first two consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic. In Jibbali, this constraint is obvious, since it is regular. In Mehri, I did not make this realization, since there are many exceptions to the rule, and since I limited my analysis (mostly) to forms attested in Johnstone's texts, rather than to all verbs listed in $M L$, which is fraught with countless errors.

### 7.5 The Irregular Verb 'ágab 'want; love’

The verb 'ágab 'want; love' is extremely common, appearing approximately 230 times in the texts. Its conjugation is completely anomalous in the perfect tense. The 3 ms looks similar to a Gb-Stem, but, while other Gb-Stems with I-' roots have an initial 'é-, this verb does not. Its forms are as follows:

|  | sing. | dual | plural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c | 'ak | ‘ágs̃i | 'ágən |
| 2 m | 'agak / 'ak | 'ágs̃i | 'ákum / 'ókum |
| ${ }_{2} \mathrm{f}$ | 'ágis̃ / 'ás̃ |  | 'ákan |
| 3 m | 'ágab | 'ágió | 'ágab |
| 3 f | 'agiót | 'ágitó | 'ágəb |

Notes:

- The 1 cs, 2 ms , and 2 p all show a shift of 'ag $(\partial) k>{ }^{\prime} a k$. In writing Jibbali, speakers will sometimes write the etymological $g$ (e.g., جُك 'agk), even when the $g$ is not heard in speech. In the texts, I have kept these spellings with 'agk where they appear in the Arabic-letter manuscripts, even though they are pronounced ' $a k$.
- The 1cs form 'ágbak occurs once in SB2:3. It is unclear if this is an archaic form, or a new, analogical form. An informant reports that this form can only mean 'I (fell in) love', and not 'I want'.
- 2 mp 'ókum occurs twice in the texts ( $12: 9 ; \mathrm{TJ} 4: 1$ ), while 'ákum occurs twice also (17:20; $\mathrm{TJ}_{5}: 2$ ); each form is attested once by the same speaker. My own informants preferred 'ákum, which is the expected form.
- The verb 'ágab does not take pronominal suffixes in the perfect.

The verb is most often used in the perfect, even when a present tense meaning is intended. The imperfect, subjunctive, and conditional forms are treated separately in $\S 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ and $\S 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$.

The original meaning of this verb is 'love'. ${ }^{58}$ It retains this meaning (as well as 'fall in love') in Jibbali, in which case an object is always followed by the preposition $b$-. Some examples are:
her 'ágis̃ bi, ḥa-tġíd s̃i. b-эl 'ágis̃ bi lo, ḥa-tískəf ... 'ak bek' if you love me, you'll go with me. And (if) you don't love me, you'll stay ... I love you' (13:18)

[^123]axarét 'ágab b-aġabgót ba-sé 'agiót beš 'then he fell in love with the girl, and she fell in love with him' (17:16)
śnín țit mansẽn ... ba-ágab bes 'he saw one of them ... and he fell in love with her' (30:6)
axarét 'ágab bes ba-šfók bes 'then he fell in love with her and married her' (36:19)
'ágab bes aǵág kel'all the men loved her' (46:1)
ambére’ 'ágab ba-g்abgót arhĩt bē ‘a boy loved a very beautiful girl' (SB1:1) he ġabgót ərḥĩt bo- 'ágbək bek 'I am a beautiful girl and I love you' (SB2:3)

However, the verb has come to also mean 'want'-a lexical development shared with Soqoṭri-and it is with this meaning that the verb is encountered most often. When it means 'want', 'ágab can either be followed by a direct object or by the preposition $b$-. Some examples of the latter are:

```
al 'ágan bóhum lo 'we don't want them' (16:4)
'ágab beš her ag̉átš he wanted it for his sister' (17:22)
'ak beš hek 'I want it (to be) for you' (21:5)
'agk b-عdərhísi ‘I want my kid’ (23:11) (cf. 23:5, below)
'ak bo-həgaléti 'I want my calf' (23:13)
ag̉í, \(d\)-'ok 'ak ba-kahwét ‘brother, do you still want coffee?’ (34:10)
'ak ba-ḥõlt дд-'ád ... 'ak kíni ba-réhən, ba-rīk'I want a load of sardines ...
    If you want a guarantee (of payment) from me, as you wish' (41:2)
sl 'ak bésan lo 'I don’t want them' (49:27)
her 'ak b-a‘iśśk, mded ċgóték 'if you want your dinner, stretch out your
        neck' (54:30)
```

Some examples of 'ágab followed by a direct object are:
'agk óram acálét man 'ak óram elxét 'do you want the upper road or the lower road' (6:13)
'agk edgaríti 'I want my bean’ (23:5) (cf. 23:11, above)
mũn тәnkúm ðд- ‘ágab $\partial z$ 'which [lit. who] of you wants a goat' (39:2)
inć 'ak 'what do you want?' (54:7)
he 'agk ginítroh ba-ðóhũn 'I want two guineas for that' (52:9)
 possession' (45:12)
tũm s̃ork bešalhín 'ákum 'you all do with him whatever you want' (17:20)
he 'ak ह́nfêt a'áṣər 'I want the first part of the night' (54:21)
If the verb is third person and the object is pronominal, then $b$ - must be used. The alternative would be the indepdendent direct object pronoun $t$-,
but this is not used after third person perfects, following the rules outlined in §3.3.

Very often ‘ágab is followed by a dependent verb, which appears in the subjunctive, as in:
'ágis̃ $(t) \tilde{s}$ íšfə $\mathfrak{k}$ to ‘do you want to marry me?' (17:17)
'ágab yakṣọs créšs 'they wanted to cut off her head' (17:43)
'ágab yaxērhum 'he wanted to test them' (21:3)
'agiót tวśnés̃ ‘she wants to see you' (36:7)
'ágab yó(l)tag tũn 'they wanted to kill us' (50:8)
ol 'agiót tóskaf lo. 'agiót tótbačs ‘she didn’t want to stay. She wanted to follow you' (60:22)
'ágan naġád 'we want to go' (60:38)
In all of the above examples, the subject of 'ágab is the same as that of the following verb. But in Jibbali, as in English, the verb 'ágab can be followed by a nominal or pronominal object (either direct or preceded by $b$-), which in turn can be the subject of a following subjunctive verb. Consider the following examples:


If this kind of 'ágab construction occurs in the protasis of a conditional sentence, and the dependent verb should be repeated in the apodosis, there is normally verbal ellipsis. Examples of this are:
her 'ak, ġadú 'if you want (to go), let's go!' (12:2)
her 'agk yol $\varepsilon m \varepsilon ́ k, ~ g ̆ a d u ́ ~ ' i f ~ y o u ~ w a n t ~(t o ~ g o) ~ t o ~ y o u r ~ m o t h e r, ~ l e t ' s ~ g o!' ~$ (16:3)
her 'ak, kalét híni 'if you want (to tell me), tell me!' (MmS)
Used independently, without a following object or dependent verb, 'ágab (in any form) can be used as the equivalent of English expressions like 'I
want to', 'I will', or 'I do', all of which can occur by themselves. This use in Jibbali (as in English) is most common in response to another question or statement containing 'ágəb. An example is:

```
mũn mənkúm ðд-ág&b эz ... he 'ak'who among you wants a goat? ... I
    do [or: I want (one)]' (39:2-3)
```

A dependent verb can also be understood in other contexts, as in:

```
'ak s̃ókum 'I want (to go) with you' (54:10) \({ }^{59}\)
yol 'as̃ \(t 0\) ' what do you want me (to do)?' (TJ4:36) \({ }^{60}\)
```


### 7.5.1 Cohortative ágab

The first person forms of 'ágəb can have a cohortative meaning 'let's' or 'I/we should'. The following dependent verb, most always also first person, is subjunctive, as expected. 1cp cohortatives are by far the most common:

```
'ágan naḳé 'let's throw up' (6:20)
'ágən nag̉ád yol sékəni ‘let's go to my settlement’ (13:17)
'ágan narṣ́́n ṭaṭtũn 'let's tie each other up' (17:25)
‘ágan naġád sérés ‘let's go after him' (22:15)
‘ágən nəg்ád ba-naśnéśs ‘let's go and see it’ (39:8)
'ágan naskéf s̃ĩn let's stay a little while' (60:23)
```

The use of the 1 cs form of 'ágab verb plus a 1cp object can have a meaning very close, if not identical to, a 1cp cohortative:
'ak tun našrék ‘let's steal [lit. I want us to steal]’ (12:1)
'ak tun nəġád émtas 'should we [lit. do you want us to] go to her?' (38:8) 'ak tun nag̀ád tel iy $\varepsilon$ él 'let's go [lit. I want us to go] to the camels' (AKı:1)

No 1cd forms are attested in the texts, ${ }^{61}$ and there is just one 1cs cohortative:
'ak al-ǵád đ̛̣er emíh 'I should go to the water' (60:19)
A first person cohortative can also be followed by a third person subjunctive, as in:

[^124]‘ágan agák y $y$ šffak bes 'we should have your brother marry her' (97:44) 'ágən kJ-ṭ̂́t yazhôm ba-kélț́t 'let's each one (of us) offer [lit. bring] a story' (36:29)

### 7.5.2 Motion Verb 'ágab

The verb 'ágab can also be used as a sort of pseudo-motion verb, best translated into English as 'be heading to'. In this meaning, it is usually preceded by a verb of motion (most often aǵád 'go'). Sometimes it is followed by a preposition (yal 'to, towards' or, rarely, her 'to'), other times by a direct object, with no difference in meaning. The form of ' $a ́ g a b$ is often separated from the preceding motion verb by an adverbial phrase (or phrases). Consider the following examples:
xaṭarét ġeyg ag̉ád mən fégar, 'ágəb cśhéhr 'once a man went from the Najd, heading for the mountains' (7:1)
 moved from their land, heading for a land that they heard had rain' (15:1)
zahám kob, 'ágab yol $\bar{r} u$ ún 'the wolf came, heading for the goats' (15:9)
xaṭarét he b-agí ag̉ádən mən tél iyél mən fégər, 'ágən yol érún b-eśhéhr
'once my brother and I went from the camels from Najd, heading to the goats in the mountains' (16:1)
 from the region of Dhofar, heading towards the land of the Mehri' (34:1)
 a man went from his land heading to a certain land in which he got married' (60:1)

This use of 'ágab (found also with the equivalent Mehri verb hōm 'want') is probably an extension of its use in the following type of sentence, where 'ágab is preceded by a verb of motion and followed by a dependent verb:
ağád man tél sékanəš 'ágeb yaxétar $\varepsilon s ̣ ̃ i r e ́ t ~ ' h e ~ w e n t ~ f r o m ~ h i s ~ s e t t l e m e n t, ~$ intending [lit. wanting] to go down to the town' (18:1)
'áśśən 'ágən nəg்ádyol xádər 'we got up intending to go to the cave' (51:5)

### 7.5.3 Imperfect and Subjunctive Uses

As mentioned in $\S 7.5$, the perfect forms of 'ágab normally serve for the present tense meaning, as well as the past. So 'ágəb can mean both 'he wanted' or 'he wants'. This is unusual, as normally the imperfect is used to indicate the present tense of a verb. Some examples are:

```
her 'ak, ġadú 'if you want (to go), let's go!' (12:2)
'ak bek'I love you' (13:18)
‘ágis̃ \((t)\) šišsfzk to ‘do you want to marry me?' (17:17)
'agiót tวśnés ‘she wants to see you' (36:7)
```

Other examples can be found above, in §7.5. However, 'ágab does have imperfect and subjunctive forms, which pattern with other Gb-Stem I-G verbs, e.g., 3 ms imperfect yáágób (3mp ya̛ágéb) and 3ms subjunctive yágób (3mp ya'géb). The imperfect seems to be used only when a habitual (past or present) sense is required, and usually has the meaning 'like, love', rather than 'want'. Examples are:
ko-ṭát yว'ágób yวġréb $\tilde{\varepsilon} s$ áġgr 'everyone wants to know the other guy' (21:12)
he a ágób tel ínét ‘I like (it) among the women’ (46:12)
he a ágób ar bə-núśab ‘I only liked [or: wanted] milk' (51:2)
xaṭarét ġeygyaśũm kətabín, b-১lya'ágóbyazém śélo her kol śé ‘once there was a man who sold books, and he didn't like to give anything for anything' (52:2)
her aǵádak yol ūthum, áágób bə-giní troh 'if I go to their house, I want two guineas' (52:11)
he a‘ágób ba-títí, ba-títit ta'ágób bi ‘I love my wife, and my wife loves me’ (60:4)

The difference between perfect 'ak bis̃ ‘I love you’ and imperfect áágóbs̃ ‘I love you' is not so clear. It seems that the former is more appropriate before marriage (i.e., expressing desire), while the latter may be more appropriate after marriage (i.e., expressing continuing love). See further in § 7.5.5.

No subjunctive forms of the verb 'ágab are attested in the texts. They seem only to be used when the meaning 'love' is required. Following are some elicited examples: ${ }^{62}$

[^125]se ḥa-ta'gób bi ‘she will love me’ (AK)
‘ak bis̃ ta'gób bi ‘I want you to love me’ (AK)
In one passage in Johnstone's texts, where the verb 'ágab 'want' is used in a dependent context (requiring a subjunctive), we find a compound tense, with the auxiliary kun used in the subjunctive:
ðд-firkəই 'ãs ol tékən 'agiót ta'tún len 'I am afraid that she might want to inform on us' (60:39)

However, this passage (like all of text 6o) is probably translated directly from Mehri, so it is unclear how natural such a phrase would be in Jibbali.

### 7.5.4 Conditional Forms

The conditional forms of 'ágab (e.g., 3ms yágīn, зmp yágūn, 1cp na'gūn or na'gin) can be used independently. This is highly unusual, since conditional verbs are otherwise used almost exclusively in the apodosis of unreal (counterfactual) conditional sentences introduced by (ə)ðə kun (see § 7.1.5). There is only one conditional form of 'ágab in all of the texts, where a 1cp form has a cohortative meaning:
na'gūn nakén 'iśśr 'let’s be friends' (TJ1:1)
I did not hear na'gūn used spontaneously by any of my informants, but they understood it and claimed to use it. Still, it was difficult to ascertain how the meaning of this conditional form was different from the simple perfect used as a cohortative; cf. the numerous examples of cohortative 'ágən in § 7.5.1. My informants saw the phrases nágūn nəǵád and 'ágən nəǵád as essentially synonymous as cohortatives, though the latter can also be used to mean simple 'we want to go'.

The conditional can also be used with a slightly different meaning when a following verb is in the perfect tense, as in:
na'gūnkum ol ag̉ádkum lo 'we hope [or: wish] that you didn't go' (AK)

### 7.5.5 'ágab vs. s̃a sér 'love’

In all of the examples from the preceding sections in which 'ágab means 'love', it bears a sense of romantic desire. On the other hand, the verb sa'sér 'love' is used with a sense of affection that is not romantic. It is the normal verb used to express love towards a family member (children, siblings, parents), as well as towards one's country. It is not used for 'love' with regard to things like food or hobbies, however. In $\S 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 3$ there was some discussion
of the verb 'ágab used with regard to one's spouse, and to that should be added the fact that $\tilde{s} a$ sér $r$ is also appropriate for a spouse. Some examples are:
ag̉ás yas̃a'ásórs 'her brother loved her' (17:9)
( $t$ ) s̃a‘ásór $\varepsilon$ ebríts 'she loved her daughter' (97:4)
a(l)-s̃a‘ásórs̃ ‘I love you [said to a wife]’ (MQ)
( $t$ )s̃a‘ásór innés ‘she loves her children' (MQ)

## CHAPTER EIGHT

## PREPOSITIONS

The prepositions of Jibbali, including compound prepositions, are:

| (a)d 'to' | $l-$ 'for; to' |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\varepsilon d$ 'up to, till, until' | lébor 'like, the same as' |
| smt 'towards' | man 'from' |
| 'ak'in(to), inside; on(to); among' | man kédé 'regarding, about' |
| (')ar 'from; about; than' | man mún 'between' |
| 'iyór 'in front of' | man đ̣ér 'after' |
| $b$ - 'in, at; with; for; on' | man ġér 'without' |
| ba'd 'after' | man sér 'after' |
| Ø$e r$ 'on, onto; over' | man tél 'from (someone)' |
| (al-)ff́né 'before; in front of; ago' | nxin (or lxin) 'under' |
| $\dot{g} e r$ 'except' | siéb 'because of' |
| her 'to; for' | ser 'behind' |
| $k$ - 'with' | tel 'at, by, beside' |
| kin 'from (someone)' | tet 'above' |
| kéfé 'in back of' | yol 'to, towards' |

There are also three particles that cannot strictly be called prepositions, but that either behave as such or are translated as such. Therefore, I include them in this chapter. These are:

```
mən dún 'except; without'
(al)-hés 'like, as'
ta'míran 'like'
```

The particle $\operatorname{ar}$ (distinct from the preposition listed above) is also sometimes translated with an English preposition 'except, but', but this is discussed in §12.5-4.

Prepositions are of two types. The first type, those consisting of a single consonant, are prefixed to the noun. There are only three of these in Jibbali: $b-, k$-, and $l-$. When a noun begins with a consonant, an epenthetic $a$ is usually inserted; with $b$ - and $k$ - the epenthetic vowel follows, while with $l$ - it often precedes. Examples are:

| - 2 ž 'in the land' (30:17) | bo-ḩallét 'in a town' (36:1) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k$-agág 'with the men' (54:41) | ka-tét 'with the woman' (45:2) |
| l-irs̃ob 'for the camels' (47:6) | al-śé 'for something' (52:10) |

We can say that the $a$ that sometimes accompanies these three prepositions is epenthetic and not underlying, since it does not cause elision of a following $b$ or $m$ (e.g., la-bāl $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ d ~ ' f o r ~ t h e ~ f i s h e r m a n ' ; ~ k z-b o k r u ́ t ~ ' w i t h ~ a ~ y o u n g ~$ camel'). Also note that if a word begins with $b$, then the preposition $b$ - is either suppressed, or is realized as an initial $a-($ or $\partial b-$-). Before a word that begins with $m, b$ - is sometimes also realized simply as $\partial$ - (or $\partial m$-); see also $\S$ 2.1.4 and the comment to text 39:2.

Pronominal objects of prepositions are indicated by suffixes. These suffixes are sometimes attached to a base that is different from the bare form of the preposition. A complete list of prepositions with pronominal suffixes is given at the end of this chapter, in $\S 8.30$.

## 8.1 عd 'up to, till, until'; (a)d- 'to'

The preposition $\varepsilon d$ has the basic meaning 'up to, until', used both with regards to time and space. Before a suffix it has the base $d$-. With regards to time, the object of $\varepsilon d$ is normally a temporal adverb or an adverbial phrase. Some examples referring to time are:
he dḥa-l-salóbk ed man đ̣ér eđ̧̣óhor 'I will wait for you until after noon' (28:7)
bə-d-'ód ṣaḥ́t $\varepsilon d$ ná ṣanu 'and they are still alive until now' (46:18)
rṣanút to al-gandét ed kol'éni 'she tied me to a tree-trunk until the evening' (49:9)
bass man məšér $\varepsilon$ d ḳarére ġasré 'enough partying until tomorrow night' (97:15)

In Johnstone's texts there are only about fifteen passages in which $\varepsilon d$ is used with regard to space, indicating motion or direction. Most of the relevant passages are in text $\mathrm{TJ}_{3}$, and in all of the examples from text $\mathrm{TJ}_{3}$, $\varepsilon d$ is followed by the name of a city or country. The English equivalent is usually just a simple 'to'. Some of the attested passages are:
agád bes ed mukún ṭad 'they brought [lit. went with] it to a certain place' (12:2)
aǵádək ed manzél t tat ‘I went to a house' (31:3)
ṭólób yo man but $\varepsilon d$ but 'he begged people from house to house' (46:8)

yadóləf man fúdún ðínu $\varepsilon$-ðik 'they would jump from this rock to that one' (48:15)
haṣ $\varepsilon t!k a{ }^{\text {c d }}$ deš egənní, gehér 'when he looked up towards him, he was blinded [or: dazzled]' (54:29)
aġádk $\varepsilon d$ koṭár ... b-aǵádk $\varepsilon d$ dəbéy 'I went to Qatar ... and I went to Dubai' (TJ3:3)
sfork 'ak langš $\varepsilon d$ maskét 'I traveled in his boat to Muscat' (TJ3:5)
Note in the example from 48:15 that the $d$ of $\varepsilon d$ is lost, because of the initial $\partial$ - of the word $\partial i k$.

In $J L$ (s.v. '), Johnstone reported that $\varepsilon d$ can be reduced to $\varepsilon$ - even before other (non-dental) consonants, and he gives the examples $\varepsilon$ mskét 'to Muscat.. ${ }^{1}$ Besides the example in $48: 15$, there are no other places in the texts where $\varepsilon$-functions as a preposition, though there are a couple of examples of $\varepsilon d>\varepsilon$ - where it is functioning as a conjunction ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ ); see texts $28: 17$ and $30: 5$, and the comments to these lines.

The preposition $\varepsilon d$ can also be reduced to $\partial d$; in $J L$ (s.v. ' $d$ ), Johnstone included the example ad maskét 'to Muscat'. In fact, among younger speakers of Jibbali, this reduced form, now re-interpreted as $d$-, has become the preposition most commonly used to indicate motion to a place (rather than to a person or animal). It is very common in the speech of all of my younger informants. Some examples are:

```
'ak al-sgódkan d-\varepsilonrž` 'I want to take you to (my) country' (TJ3:19)
tas̃\partialnžéż bว-yúm dḥa-ts̃\varepsilońxanṭən d-\tilde{stún 'she asked discreetly about}
    when they would go out to the plantation' (TJ4:21)
kolób \varepsilong\overline{\jmath}b d-\varepsilonṣ̃ir\varepsilońt 'he gave [lit. returned] the answer to the town'
    (TJ4:92)
hõlวn tósən d-ín\varepsiloń šũš ... də-səy\varepsilońra ũm 'we carried them to whatchama-
    callit ... to the big car' (AK1:4)
a-nḥõls d-\varepsilonrz'% 'we'll bring it to (our) land' (AK2:4)
\varepsilondúrk d-ūt 'I went back to the house' (AK2:6)
o(l) yaḳódar yagád d-üthum lo 'they cannot go to their house' (SM)
```

As for verbal idioms, we once find šfok $d$ - 'marry into s.o.'s family' (7:1), though twice in the texts we find šfjk tel with the same meaning (see §8.26).

[^126]Based on the limited evidence from the texts, it seems that s̃anté $d$ - means 'ask (a father) for a woman's hand in marriage' (TJ4:93), while s̃anṭé $b$ - means 'ask (a woman) for her hand in marriage’ (e.g., 46:2). We find hogúm d'attack' in text TJ4 (e.g., TJ4:76), but hogúm l- elsewhere. We also find once ḥaré d- 'beg from' (46:9) and haðnnín d- 'stare at' (TJ4:26).

In expressions of time, $\varepsilon d$ can mean 'until', as shown above, but can also simply mean 'then'. For example, $\varepsilon d k$-háṣaf can mean both 'until morning' and '(then) in the morning' (e.g., in a narrative), and $\varepsilon d \dot{g}$ asré can mean both 'until night' and '(then) at night'. See further in § 13.5.3.4.

On the compound $\varepsilon d^{\prime} a k$, see $\S 8.3$, and on the compound $\varepsilon d$ tél, see §8.26. On the use of $\varepsilon d$ as a conjunction 'until', 'then when', or 'and', see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$, and on its use in the texts to indicate a purpose clause, see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 4$.

## 8.2 عmt 'towards'

The preposition $\varepsilon m t$ 'towards' occurs just five times in Johnstone's texts, nearly always with a pronominal suffix:
> yabğód $\varepsilon m t$ a'él $\varepsilon$-tét 'he goes to the woman's parents' (TJ2:2)
> 'ágən bek ts̃éxənṭ émtan 'we want you to come back to us' (13:2)
> 'ak tun naġád émtas 'should we [lit. do you want us to] go to her?' (38:8)
> he ng்amk émtkum 'I've come to you angry' (49:28)
> $\bar{\varepsilon} r$-ġáti ber nġam émti 'my nephew has come to me angry' (49:31)

The object of $\varepsilon m t$ seems to always be a person, similar to tel (§8.26). This preposition remains rare in current speech.

## 8.3 'ak 'in(side), into; on(to); among'

The preposition ' $a k$ is used to indicate location inside or motion into, and is usually best translated with English 'in', 'inside', or 'into'. It can also have the meanings 'on', 'onto', or 'among', as will be shown below. It has the base 'amkbefore pronominal suffixes, reflecting its source lexeme 'amk 'middle' (cf. 2:1; 13:4), from which this preposition was grammaticalized. Its most common usage is to indicate location inside, corresponding to English 'in, inside', for example:

```
s̃xənúṭk 'aklang 'I set out in a boat' (13:3)
ksé geyg 'ak sa'b ðikũn 'they found a man in that valley' (21:2)
skof'ak ẽnzél ðókũn 'they stayed in that place' (31:2)
śmini \varepsilongóféš 'ak. emíh 'he saw his shadow in the water' (39:3)
zũts 'ak. saférít dúgur 'she gave her beans in a pot' (97:35)
```

ksé garórt, ba-'amkás eṣrj́f 'he found a bag, and in it was the hair' (15:15) $\tilde{s} \tilde{\jmath} f$ 't ' 'ak $\bar{u} t$ 'she went to sleep in the house' (TJ4:38)

Examples indicating motion into include:
rdét beš ‘ak xas ‘she threw it into her mouth' (6:20)
hilláš 'ak ūtš ba-kel’aš 'ak ūtš 'he carried it into his house and left it in his house' (6:29)
agád 'ak eșiriét 'he went into the town' (6:30)
kéláš̌ 'ak skáhf 'they put it in the pot' (12:4)
keb ' $a$ ak śa'b 'he went down into a valley' (33:2)
taxtór zahám 'ak edakkún 'the doctor came into the shop' (52:5)
This preposition can also have the meaning 'on' or 'onto', when the sense is 'on(to) the face or surface of', as in:
smbérs' béké 'ak egizizrt 'the boy remained on the island' (6:25)
zaḥõt sinórt ba-tĥil eṣáhan ðz-'amkás hít ba-tgófš ‘ak g̉jr 'a cat came, took the dish that had the food on it, and tossed it into a well' (17:47)
 onto a ledge of the house ... (and) he left a mark on the middle of the (wall of the) house' (54:32)
farrót bóhum 'ak gizírt b-áámk ðд-rémram 'it flew with them onto an island in the middle of the sea' ( $6: 22$ )

In a few places, ' $a k$ has the meaning 'among', i.e., 'inside a group', for example:

roṣún $\varepsilon d \varepsilon r h e ́ s s ̌$ ' $a k$ k. Elhúti 'he tied up his kid among the cows' (23:10)
aǵádək 'ak stéb 'I went among [or: into] the fig trees' (53:5)
kúnon 'ak iyśl 'we were among the camels' (AK2:1)
We also find 'ak used in the combinations $\varepsilon d$ ' $a k$ ' 'into' and man ' $a k$ ' from inside, out of; from on (the face of)', with the latter occurring more frequently. The combination $\varepsilon d^{\prime} a k$ does not seem to have any special meaning, since we find both agád 'ak and aǵád $\varepsilon d$ ' $a k$. meaning 'go into' (see examples above and below). Examples of $\varepsilon d$ ' $a k$ and man 'ak from the texts are:
ənkj́śl-sšxarét man 'ak $k k \bar{\jmath} r$ 'he dug up the old woman from (inside) the grave' (18:4)
mxikás man 'ak egúf cgééyg 'he pulled it [the dagger] from the man's chest' (25:13)
'aśéś man 'ak हैhasí 'get up from the well!' (TJ5:8)
ağád ckézézr $\varepsilon$ d 'ak xádar 'the leopard went into a cave' (15:9)
$a \dot{g} a ́ d ~ \varepsilon d ~ ' a k ̣ ~ s ́ a ' b ~ ' h e ~ w e n t ~ i n t o ~ a ~ v a l l e y ' ~(48: 3) ~$
yadólaf mən bũn $\varepsilon d$ 'ak egaḥrér 'they used to jump from here into the valley' (48:18)

The preposition ' $a k$ is also used in some expressions of time, such as ' $a k$
 idioms (verbal and non-verbal) with ' $a k$ are:

```
'ak kerféfi 'to/in my face' (as in, 'say it to my face!', 24:2; cf. al-karféf 'on
    the face')
'ak xadmét 'employed [lit. in work]'
ž́im 'ak 'enlist in'
```

 'ak kélbak 'in your heart'), ${ }^{3}$ which can be used like English 'in one's mind' or 'in one's opinion', and by extension something like 'I think', 'you think', etc., as in:
'ak kélbək, mit dha-(t)zhóm to 'when do you think you will [lit. in your heart when will you] come back to me?' (3:5)
‘õk 'ak kélbi, "dé ðд-xáróg yum ðíkũn" ‘I thought [lit. said in my heart], "Somebody has died this day"' (31:5)
'ak kélbi tékan ġalṭún 'I think [lit. in my heart] you might be mistaken' (43:10)
yakín 'áfé mən 'ak kélbak yoh 'is it healthier [lit. healthy] or what [lit. how], in your opinion' (TJ2:114)

## 8.4 (')ar 'from; about; than'

The preposition 'ar is perhaps the most difficult of all the prepositions to assign a basic meaning to. The situation is complicated by the fact that there is also a particle ar that has a variety of meanings and uses, one of which is as a pseudo-preposition 'except' (see $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$ ). The initial ${ }^{\text {s }}$ - is often not realized in its unsuffixed form (even though speakers might write it), perhaps because of confusion with the particle ar. With pronominal suffixes, the base is 'an-, which is reduced to ' $\tilde{a}$ - before 2 s and 3 s suffixes.

[^127]One prepositional meaning of 'ar is 'from', though there are relatively few examples of this in the texts. The preposition $\operatorname{man}(\S 8.18)$ is much more frequently used for the meaning 'from'. We find 'ar in the texts used with this meaning in combination with only the following verbs:

```
'er 'ar 'keep s.t. (d.o.) back from'
\varepsilonblág 'ar 'deliver, take from' (yol 'to s.o.')
\varepsilon\partialtēl 'ar 'protect (her) s.t. from'
flét 'ar 'flee from s.t.' (cf. flét man đ̣ér 'flee from s.o.')
hótraf 'ar 'move away from'
kéré 'ar 'hide s.t. (d.o.) from s.o.'
ngフf'ar 'brush off of/from'
sōx 'ar 'make s.o. (d.o.) divert attention from'
xléf 'ar 'move from (a place)'
```

Some illustrative sentences with these verbs are:
her dē-ənkẽn tog̉órəb śé, ta'ér 'ánén kob ðénu 'if any one of you knows anything, you should keep this wolf back from us' (15:7)
dé yéblảg ‘ánén ġeyg yol éméš ‘someone to take a man from us to his mother' (54:44)
ðtol heš 'ar $\varepsilon$ rśśt 'protect it from the boys!' (30:22)
flét 'ar õsé 'they fled from the rain' (31:2)
ahtíraf 'ãs 'move away from her!' (60:8)
axarét kéré 'áni ũs $\varepsilon$ - $\iota$ 'then they hid my father's razor from me' (49:6)

yass̄xk 'ar ôśśtk 'he may divert you from your livestock' (28:13)
koh šũm yóxlaf 'ar $\tilde{\varepsilon} n z i l l h u m ~ ' w h y ~ s h o u l d ~ t h e y ~ m o v e ~ f r o m ~ t h e i r ~ p l a c e ? ' ~ ' ~$ (28:5)

The preposition can also be used to mean 'about', as in:
s̃asfét zšxarét 'ãs 'the old woman found out about her' (30:12) ${ }^{4}$
hérógan ar egablēt 'we talked about Jibbali' (MnS)
$k t o b k$ daftór ar 'ũn 'I wrote a book about Oman' (MnS)
It can also have the meaning 'in place of, instead of', though there is just one example in the texts:

[^128]šє ‘ágab al-hazóz 'ar ag̉abgót ... b-aġabgót 'agiót al-hazóz 'ar عmbére' 'he wanted to be killed instead of the girl ... and the girl wanted to be killed instead of the boy' (TJ4:69-70)

In combination with some other verbs, 'ar can only be considered idiomatic, and it has a variety of meanings. Such verbal idioms are:
férak 'ar 'be afraid s.o. will do s.t.' (often $s l$ before a dependent verb; see §13.2.2)
aḥtéðér 'ar 'watch out for, be careful of' (cf. aḥtéðér b- 'watch out for, protect')
sind 'ar 'manage without s.o.; do without s.o.'s help'
sérék 'ar 'do s.t. (d.o.) in place of s.o.'
Some examples of these verbal idioms in context are:
firkàk 'ãs al tóffar man đ̣́ran 'I am afraid that she'll run away from us' (30:10)
aḥtéðór ar kob 'watch out for a wolf' (47:5)
her sind 'áni, dḥa-l-ğád ḳrére 'if they can manage without me, I'll go tomorrow' (28:2)
(t)ŝḥ̂ke ag̉ág əð-s̃ék yas̃órk ‘ãk ḥógtk ‘you make your friends do your work for [or: instead of] you' (24:1)

The preposition 'ar is also used for comparison, equivalent to English 'than', as in:
het d-al kunk mis̃érd lo, al (t)šírkan enúf ógal axér 'áni lo 'if you weren’t stupid, you wouldn't pretend to be smarter than me' (1:7)
he axér 'ankúm 'I am better than you' (20:8)
áli axér ar $\varepsilon$ rśót kel 'Ali is better than all the (other) boys' (49:20)
šum ēta 'áni 'they were older [lit. bigger] than me' (53:8)
On comparatives, see further in §5.4.

## 8.5 'iyór 'in front of'

The rare preposition 'iyór 'in front of' (with pronominal suffixes 'īró-) is not listed in $J L$, and occurs just once in the texts. Examples are:
tasféfón īróš, ba-təz̧ḥókon leš 'they stood around in front of him and made fun of him' (TJ4:26)
'iyór ūt 'in front of the house' (AK)
'iróhum 'in front of them' (SM)

In the Roman ms of text TJ 4 , Johnstone added the phrase ciyór mąhámmad 'in front of Muhammad' in the margin. An informant thought that 'iyór could be replaced by féné with no difference in meaning.

## 8.6 b- 'in, at; with; for; on'

The preposition $b$ - is identical in shape to the conjunction $b$ - (< * $w$-; see $\S$ 12.1.1), but they are historically distinct. The preposition has a variety of functions. It can indicate location 'in', 'at', or, less often, 'on'. It can also be used to indicate instrumental 'with'; 'with' in its comitative sense, following some verbs of motion; and 'for' in the sense of 'in exchange for'.

The basic locative meaning 'in, at' is found most often in the texts paired with words denoting large areas, like śḩchr 'mountains', $\varepsilon r$ ̛̣ 'land, country', ḥallét 'town', fégar 'Najd', žว́fól 'Dhofar', díní 'world', and names of cities or countries. With smaller, more defined areas (like things the size of a house or smaller), we usually find the preposition 'ak 'in(side)' (§ 8.3). Some nouns, like śa'b 'valley', gizírt 'island', and xádər 'cave', can be preceded by either $b$ or ' $a k$, with no apparent difference in meaning. This locative usage of $b$ - is illustrated in the following examples from the texts:
ag̉ádən ... 'ágan yol ह́rún b-\&śhéhr, ba-zḥān dəkkún ba-fégar 'we went ...
heading to the goats in the mountains, and we came to a store in Najd' (16:1)
zaḥám ḥallét ðд-bés aġítš 'he came to the town that his sister was in' (17:33)
kun məš'ér ba-ḥallét 'there was a dance-party in the town' (30:9)
sl ậ̣́dar l-óskaf b-cṛ̛̌ đénu lo 'I cannot stay in this land’ (60:4)
xaṭarét ð-axáfan bə-xádər b-عśḥ́hr 'once we were staying in a cave in the mountains' (51:1) (cf. 'ak xádar, 15:2)
ad-áśk bo- 'ũn, ba-ṣalólt ‘I live in Oman, in Ṣalalah' (SM)
The instrumental meaning of $b$ - is shown in the following examples:
sōtas ba-xaṭarók troh 'they hit her two times with a stick [or: with two sticks]' (18:12)
ṭót'an ba-gunōi 'they stabbed each other with daggers' (22:19)
ḥõl skín ba-kéṭa' beš ekúd 'they got a knife and cut the rope with it' (36:4)
ōśar b-yídaš 'he pointed with his hand' (35:3)
éf̣̂e li ba-xarkétk 'cover me with your robe' (51:6)
With verbs of motion, $b$ - has a comitative meaning 'with'. This use is found with a dozen different verbs of motion in the texts. English 'bring' or
'take away' (<'come/go with') is often an appropriate translation, especially with the verbs zzhám 'come' and nika' 'come'.5 Some examples are:
agád bes ed mukún ṭad 'they brought [lit. went with] it to a certain place' (12:2)
っl $\partial$ dūr $^{2} n$ lo ar bis̃ I I won't go back without [lit. except with] you' (30:21) hillás ed éṣal bes tel a'éléśs 'he took her and [lit. until] he brought her to his family' (36:19)
réfa‘hãr ba-ha(l)h 'he climbed the mountain with the oil' (30:26)
ənká‘tün b-عnúśab ağák 'bring us your brother's milk!' (51:3)
zəḥámk tóhum bo-xátójk bz-kit 'I brought them clothes and food' (13:16)
dha---zḥómkum ba-ḳasmét 'I will bring you a gift' (47:7)
The common phrase zzhám $b$ - 'bring' merits some further comment. Its meaning 'bring', as discussed above, comes from the use of $b$ - as a comitative 'with' in conjunction with verbs of motion. A sentence like 'I came to them with food' comes simply to mean 'I brought food to them'. So what in English is the direct object of 'bring' (e.g., 'food' in the previous example) must be preceded by $b$ - in Jibbali. Moreover, the verb zahám as a motion verb normally takes a direct object, and so the English indirect object (whether person or place) is normally the direct object in Jibbali (cf. the examples from 13:16 and 47:7, above).

The phrase zzhám $b$ - and the parallel nika` $b$ - also have the idiomatic meaning 'bear (a child)' (e.g., 6:1; 97:1), which is obviously a more specific meaning derived from the broader 'bring (forth)'. In one place in the texts, zzhám $b$ - means 'come of' in the sense of 'have a result from':
ol sé zzhám beš 10 'nothing came of it' (SB1:3)
The preposition $b$ - can also have the meaning 'for, in exchange for', as in:
> yaśĩmš bə-'ád her alhúti 'they sell it for sardines for the cows' (9:6)
> émí zũthum šọtar ba-šọtərhum $\varepsilon$-hézíz, ba-šúm gúzúm, "دl nəẓ̛iot beš késitt" 'my mother gave them a kid for their kid that was slaughtered, and they swore, "We won't take compensation for it"" (49:5)
> kol tat yézam taxtór ba-xədmétš 'everyone would give the doctor (something) for his work' (52:1)
> ba-mśé ... ba-ginít troh 'how much (money)? ... Two guineas' (52:8) yaśímš ba-mékan ‘do they sell it for a lot?' (TJ2:42)

[^129]Twice in the texts we even find $b$ - used as a conjunction with this meaning 'for'; see further in § 13.5.2.5.

And, finally, $b$ - can have the meaning 'on', most often with reference to the body, but also with certain words like j́ram 'road' and gadrét 'ground':
kun bes merכ̣hte 'she got sores on her' (6:28)
xj́tlak bes kũhn troh 'two ibex horns appeared on her' (6:32)
geyg troh ðд-yabġéd $b$-j́ram 'two men were walking on a road' (12:1)
kisk śotarér $b$-عgdarét 'I found a rag on the ground' (33:9)
beš thírət mékən 'he had many wounds' (53:1)
The last example shows how this meaning of $b$ - can be used to indicate a certain kind of possession; for discussion and more examples of this, see further in § 13.3.2.

The preposition $b$-is required before the object of a large number of verbs, and it is perhaps these idiomatic uses of $b$ - that are encountered most often. Such verbs are:
'ágab b- ‘like, love; want' (but 'want'less often also with d.o.; see §7.5)
' $a t \grave{0} f b$ - 'stampede; round up'
étél $b$ - 'catch (up to) s.o./ s.t.'
عúzer $b$-'annoy'
bédé $b$ - 'lie to s.o.' (cf. bédé l- lie about s.o.')
bahér b- 'ask s.o. for help'
del $b$ - 'guide s.o.'
عðhé $b$ - 'notice'
عðmír $b$ - 'show s.t.' (to s.o.: d.o.)
đ̄̄̄ $b$ - 'nag s.o.'
fáál b- 'hurt s.o.'
férah $b$ - 'be happy with s.o./s.t.'
fótrož $b$ - 'be excited about s.t.'
$f$ sáh $b$ - 'stop doing s.t.; give s.t. up'
aftakér $b$ - 'think about s.o./s.t.'
egaśgéś $b$ - 'summon (a spirit or animal) by sorcery' (l-'for')
gel $b$ - 'trick s.o.; delay s.o.'
golók $b$ - 'examine, look at' (cf. ġolók her 'look for')
shbé $b$ - 'let s.t. down, make s.t. fall' (l- 'to s.o.')
heróg $b$ - 'speak in (a language)' (cf. heróg $k$ - 'speak with')
ahtéðér $b$ - 'watch out for, protect' (cf. ahtéeđér 'ar 'watch out for, be careful of')
hes $b$ - 'feel, sense s.t.'
ekér $b$ - 'throw/roll s.t. down' (l'to/at s.o.')
kbéb $b$ - 'unload, take down s.t.'
kolót $b$ - 'tell s.t., tell about s.t.' (her 'to')
kj́tlat $b$ - 'talk to one another about'
kez $b$ - 'shoot s.o./s.t.'
kérab b- 'help s.o.' (see the comment to text 13:15)
kéré b- 'kiss; visit s.o.' (cf. kéré 'ar 'hide s.t. (d.o.) from s.o.')
aktašéf b- 'climb s.t.'
skazcé $b$ - 'be compensated for s.o./s.t.' (d.o.: 'with')
lgaz b- 'give s.t. secretly' (d.o.: to s.o.)
žrhéb b- 'greet, welcome s.o.'
nútbah $b$ - 'watch out for'
s̃anðér $b$ - 'vow s.t. (in exchange)'
enhé $b$ - 'burn s.t.'
nika‘ $b$ - 'bear/beget a child' (man
'with') (also 'bring'; see above)
s̃anté $b$ - 'ask (a woman) for her
hand in marriage' (cf. s̃anté $d$ -
'ask (a father) for a woman's
hand in marriage')
ardé $b$ - 'throw s.t.' (l- or d.o. 'at'; 'ak. 'into'; yol 'towards s.o.')
res $b$ - 'press s.t. down'
sel $b$ - 'snatch s.t. by force' (l'from s.o.')
śbéh b- 'think s.o. looks like (l-) someone else'
$\check{s} \check{c} / / s \tilde{a}^{c} r b$ - 'hear about'
šffok- $b$ - 'get married to s.o.' (cf.šfok.
tel/d-'marry into the family of s.o.')
sérék $b$ - 'do with/to s.o.'
tek $b$ - 'get fed up with s.o.'
ṭolób b- 'avenge' (cf. tọlób 'invite;
ask for'; ṭoláb mən 'request/
ask s.o. (to do s.t.)')
$\bar{o} s \underline{i} i b$ - 'advise ( $k$-) s.o. on s.t.'
عbxét $b$ - 'make up for the absence of s.o.'
axtéléf $b$ - 'let s.o. down'
$x$ léf $b$ - 'let s.o. (d.o.) have s.t.'
xalót $b$ - 'join up with, stay with' (also xalọ́t l-)
$x a l e ́ b$ - 'be alone with s.o.'
$x \bar{\varepsilon} n b$ - 'betray'
zafór b- 'push'
zạám $b$ - 'bear/beget a child' (mən 'with') (also 'bring'; see above)

The preposition $b$ - is also found in some non-verbal idioms, including:
$b$ - $\varepsilon \dot{g} a r b e ́ t ~ ' a b r o a d ' ~$
bə-rīk'as you wish!' (fs bə-rīs)
ba-xiżk (or ba-xilk) 'as you wish!' (lit. 'by your uncle!')
ba-xár 'well'
dunk b- 'take!' (see § 12.5.7)
kun alhéľ́ $b$ - 'be mindful of'
mathím ba- 'accused of'
( $y$ a) hay $b$ - 'welcome!' (followed by a noun or pronominal suffix)
We also find idiomatic use of $b$ - in oath taking and swearing:
$b-\bar{\varepsilon} g h i$ ar hac-l-źmk $n n u ́ f$ 'by my honor [lit. face], I will really give myself to you' (2:3)
$a-n g z \tilde{m} m$ bə-xõš mən nšarék slikum 'we will swear times five that we didn't steal your cow' (12:9)

Note also the expressions íné bek 'what's the matter with you?' (e.g., 6:8; 36:5) and $b$-हnúf 'watch/save yourself!' (83:2). In the latter expression, an
imperative verb like éflat ‘save’ (cf. 54:17) or aḥtéðór ‘watch out for’ (cf. 25:10) must be implied.

Finally, to illustrate again how varied the use of $b$ - is, consider the following passage from the texts:
 'once a ruler in town married a woman, and had with her a boy and a girl' (36:1)

In this passage we find $b$ - used in four different ways: as a preposition 'in' (ba-ḥallét 'in a town'); in two different verbal idioms (šffk b- 'marry' and zəḥám $b$ - 'beget, have a child'); and twice as a conjunction (bə-zhám 'and he had' and ba-ǵabgót 'and a girl').

As noted already in $\S 8$, if a word begins with $b$, then the preposition $b$ - is either suppressed, or is realized as an initial $a-($ or $\partial b$-). Before a word that begins with $m, b$ - is sometimes also realized simply as $\partial$ - (or $\partial m$-); see further in § 2.1.4 and the comment to text 39:2.

## 8.7 ba'd 'after'

The use of the preposition $b a^{\prime} d$ 'after' in Jibbali should probably be considered an Arabism, though the phrase ba'd karére 'day after tomorrow' can be considered standard Jibbali. Outside of this phrase, which appears twice in the texts (3:3, 3:9), ba'd is used just once as a preposition in the texts:
ba'd 'ع́śzr $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'after ten days' (SB1:7)
The standard Jibbali word for 'after' is man đ̣ér (§8.8), but some speakers do use $b a^{\prime} d$ more frequently, under the influence of Arabic.

## 8.8 Øِer 'on, onto; over'; man đ̣ér 'after'

The preposition đِer has the basic meanings of 'on(to), upon; over'. Some illustrative examples with the meaning 'on' are:
skíf đ̣ırs 'sit on it!' (6:22)
he s̃i gũl, ba-đ̣írš tũr bo-ḥitt 'I had a camel, and on it were dates and grain' (13:6)
ḥõl aǵitš đ̣er ḥaṣnín 'he put his sister on a horse' (17:11)

hē háší đ̛er عmbére’ 'dirt fell on the boy' (36:17)
ḥõk toš đ̛er šōi 'I put him on my back' (50:6)
¿ egnín đ̣er embérs' 'my father stooped over the child' (51:7)
kéla'sandík eb yahē đ̣er xafš 'he let a big box fall on his foot' (52:2)
$k t \jmath b$ Ớrš̌ 'he wrote on it' (52:7)
der ðِer egénaḥ ð̌́nu 'move onto this wing' (TJı:6)
And some examples with the meaning 'over' are:
ḥõl $k k a ́ h f$ đ̣er śōt 'put the pot on [lit. over] the fire' (12:4)
 old woman, they roused her' (18:10)

It can also be used more metaphorically, as in the first use of $\not \partial e r$ in the following example:
dḥa-nzémk $\varepsilon$ crbə'ót iźíf đ̣er yirs̃ēn b-alhín đ̣írsan 'we will give you four thousand (dollars), on top of [i.e., in addition to] our camels and everything on them' (22:12)

One also finds the combinations mən đِér 'from upon, off of' and $\varepsilon d$ đ̣ér 'up on to', for example:
hēk man đ̣ér kérah 'I fell off a donkey' (36:5)
rafickamkẽs̃ man đ̣ér $\varepsilon$ عréšš ‘lift your head-cloth up off your head' (60:42)
s̃elēd smbérs' man đ̣ér $\varepsilon k$ ũhn 'the boy fired (his gun) from on the peak' (83:3)
agádó aġéyg ba-tít š $\varepsilon d$ đ̣ér hááranút 'the man and his wife went up onto a hill' (60:41)

In a few cases, usually in conjunction with a verb of running away (like flét 'run away, escape' or nġam 'run away in anger, storm off'), mən đ̣ér means 'from the presence of', for example:
firkak 'ãs ol tóffar man đ̣́rən 'I am afraid that she'll run away from us' (30:10)
bə-nğámk mən đ̣érhum 'I ran away in anger from them' (49:26)
dha-l-غ́flat man đ̣iri 'she will run away from me' (6o:20)
Far more often, however, the compound man đ̣ér has the non-literal meaning 'after'. Sometimes, in expressions involving units of time, this is better translated 'in' (i.e., 'in the course of time to come'). Examples are:
dhá-l-zḥómk man đ̛ér rī‘ $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ 'I'll come to you in [lit. after] four days' (3:6)
man đ̣ér xaṭarét ðikũn, kũn 'ísór 'after that time, they were friends' (20:9) mən đ̣ér $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ l o ́ t ~ \varepsilon-đ ̣ o ́ h o r ~ d h ̣ a-l-z e ́ m k ~ \varepsilon n u ́ f ~ ' a f t e r ~ t h e ~ n o o n ~ p r a y e r s, ~ I ~ w i l l ~$ give myself to you' (36:10)
am-man đ̛́r'š ktob les xaṭ 'and afterwards [lit. after it] he wrote her a letter' (SB2:6)
ed mən đ̣́ér $\varepsilon$ ह́̉ət, xtor ī ḥallét 'then after a while, my father went down to town' (49:11)
he dḥa-l-saĺbk ed man đ̣ér $\varepsilon$ đ̛̣́hor 'I will wait for you until after noon’ (28:7)

Note the combination $\varepsilon d$ man đ̣ér 'until after' in the last example (28:7), with the preposition $\varepsilon d$ followed by the compound preposition man ðér. In the previous example (49:11), the phrase $\varepsilon d$ man đ̣́ér is not a compound preposition, but rather the temporal conjunction $\varepsilon d$ ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ ) plus man đ̣́r.

With certain words, $\not \subset e r$ is the normal preposition used to indicate motion towards ('to') or location ('at'). These words all indicate some sort of hole in the ground or reservoir, and so this use is really just an extension of the meaning 'over'. We find, for example:

```
Øִer \(k \underset{\rho}{ } r\) 'at/to a grave' (but 'ak k \(\bar{\partial} r\) 'in(to) a grave')
đِer míh 'at/to water' (but 'ak míh 'in(to) water', tel míh 'beside/by
    water') \({ }^{6}\)
đِer śa‘b 'at/to (the edge of) a valley’ (but 'ak śa'b 'in(to) a valley')
Əِer \(\dot{g} \bar{\jmath} r\) 'at/to (the edge of) a well' (but ' \(a k \cdot \underline{g} \bar{\jmath} r\) 'in(to) a well')
```

Some of the attested passages with these idioms are:
ag̉ád $\varepsilon$ rśót đ̣er $\varepsilon k ̣ ̄ ̄ r$ émehum 'the boys went to their mother's grave' (6:1) eród iýzléš đ̣er emíh. hes éṣal, ksé gag đ̣er emíh 'he brought his camels down to the water. When he arrived, he found men at the water' (25:1)
zaḥám đ̣er míh ... ba-skóf đ̣er emíh 'they came to (some) water ... and they stayed by the water' (17:12-13)
gíd đ̛er emi'h 'go to the water!' (60:14)
agád bes đ̣er ḡ̄̄r 'they brought her to a well' (97:10)
The compounds mən đ̛́ér and $\varepsilon d$ đِér can also occur in these idioms, for example:
bis̃ ag̉ádəs̃ mən đ̣ér emíh 'you have already gone from by the water' (60:15)
he 'ak eg̉áti tḥĩl to $\varepsilon d$ đ̣́r emíh 'I wanted my sister to carry me up to the water' (49:14)

[^130]Note also the verbal idioms guzúm đ̣er 'swear on' (e.g., 12:10; cf. guzúm l'swear to s.o.') and fté' $\underset{\text { fer 'decide on s.t.' (57:1). }}{\text { ' }}$

## 8.9 (al-)féné 'before; in front of; ago'

The preposition féné, in the texts most often used in the compound al-féné, can have a spatial meaning 'in front of, ahead of', as well as a temporal meaning 'before'. ${ }^{7}$ It takes the suffixes used for plural nouns, which are attached to the base (al-)fén-. There has clearly been a misanalysis of the final element $-\dot{\varepsilon}$, which is part of the base, as the element $\varepsilon$ that occurs along with many of the pronominal suffixes attached to plural nouns (see §3.2.2). ${ }^{8}$ Examples are:
$\varepsilon d$ kérab al-yó śniniš al-fénéš 'then when he got near the people, he saw it in front of him' (39:5)
$\varepsilon d k$-ḥáṣaf 'aśśśt al-féní 'then in the morning, she got up before me' (97:43)
al sé míh al-fanókum lo 'there is no water ahead of you' (60:37)
kséš berfinísən 'they found him already (there) before them' (TJ4:41)
عltíg al-f́́ní 'he was killed in front of me' (JL, s.v. ḥśm)
For the temporal conjunction 'before', Jibbali usually uses $d$-'od $s l$ (see $\S 7 \cdot 3$ ), but once in the texts we find (al-)féné used along with $d$ - $\supset d$, and once (followed by a subjunctive) used in place of $d-5 d$ (but with $d-5 d$ used as 'while' earlier in the sentence):
 sleep' (52:7)
 in the early morning, while people were still sleeping, before they (the women) went' (TJ4:22)
8.10 ġer 'except'; man g̀ér 'without'

The basic meaning of $\dot{g} e r$ is 'except' or 'besides'. It occurs with this meaning only once in the texts, in a positive phrase. In a negative phrase, $a r$ is normally used for 'except' (see § 12.5•4).

[^131]
## ð-aǵád yaxalóf ǵirš 'something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone' (97:27)

Much more commonly used is the compound preposition man gér, which means 'without'. Examples are:
śélot $\underline{\varepsilon} m$ man gér ḳít 'three days without food' (30:14)
al aḳ́lá'hum man gér 'ad lo 'I won't leave them (the cows) without sardines' (41:3)
dḥa-l-غ́šfaḳaš ebríti man ġér śé 'I will marry him to my daughter for nothing [lit. without anything]' (54:36)
taġ to man gér siéb 'kill me without a reason!' (83:6)
A compound with ba- instead of man appears once in Johnstone's Mehri texts (M13:1), and seems to be possible in Jibbali as well. There is one example in $J L$ :
kobś ba-ġayr kérún 'a weakling; an idiot (lit. a lamb without horns)' (JL, s.v. krn) ${ }^{9}$

### 8.11 her 'to; for'

The preposition her ( $h$ - before suffixes) has the basic meanings 'to', though not with respect to motion, and 'for'. It is not interchangeable with l- 'for; to', even though they both have the same usual translations in English. Comparison of her and $l$ - will be taken up below, in §8.16. In Johnstone's texts, probably the most frequent use of her is marking the indirect object of the verbs $\tilde{o} r$ 'say' and kolót 'tell'. Multiple examples can be found in nearly every text. A few are:

```
mun \(\varepsilon\) - ©õr hek ts̃érk ténu 'who told you to do it like this?' (1:4)
'õr ag̈ág her inctóóhum 'the men said to their wives...' (15:7)
'õr hóhum í 'my father said to them...' (AK2:4)
kalét híni 'tell me!' (24:3)
ol tíklat her ī 10 'don’t tell father!' (49:18)
kolót heš bo-xáfš 'he told him about his foot' (52:5)
```

[^132]The idiom 'õr her can also be used impersonally to mean 'be called, be named', as in:
xaṭarét ġeyg yacõr heš be nəwás 'once there was a man called [lit. they say to him] Ba Newas' (18:1)
naḥá yãõr hen bet bu zíd al-haláli 'we are called [lit. they say to us] the house of Bu Zid al-Hilali' (54:6)

The preposition her can also mean 'for', in a variety of contexts. Most frequently, it means 'for' as a benefactive, 'for the benefit of', as in:
títi gíżót. íné al-s̃érk hes 'my wife is sick. What should I do for her?' (6:8) yдśĩmš ba-ád her elhúti 'they sell it for sardines for the cows' (9:6)
ḥazzót híni 'she slaughtered (an animal) for me’ (13:16)
'ágab beš her ag̉átš 'he wanted it for his sister' (17:22)
ḥalób heš yat 'he milked a camel for him' (33:11)
s̃orkót heš kahwét 'she made coffee for him' (34:2)
engím heš a éléš b-ižók iź-šéš ‘his parents and his friends [lit. those who were with him] consulted (an astrologer) for him' (SBı:3)
al-ḳóṣam hek 'should I cool (it) for you?' (JL, s.v. ḳ̦m)
It can also mean 'for' in the sense of 'for the purpose of', as in:
het dḥa-tġád her ḥógtk man dḥa-tġád túnḥag 'will you go for necessity [lit. your need] or will you go to have fun?' (3:7)
inćt ťõr tũm her $\varepsilon$ ḳahwét 'what (word) do you say for (drinking) coffee?' (34:11)
zaḥámk tok her xar 'I came to you for good' (41:2)
a'anés her ḥáši 'ófar 'her intention was for red sand' (51:16)
maḥáđ̛ət her $\varepsilon k r o ́ s{ }^{\prime}$ 'a wallet for money' (JL, s.v. hbn)
With this meaning 'for (the purpose of)', it combines, in its shortened form $h$-, ${ }^{10}$ with the interrogative íné to make $h$-íné 'for what (purpose)? why?'; see $\S 11.4$ for examples. Based on the meaning 'for (the purpose of)', her can also be used sometimes as a conjunction indicating a purpose clause; see further in § 13.5.2.2.

The preposition her can also have the meaning 'for' in expressions of time, in the sense of 'for (a length of time)'. It is usually combined with the auxiliary $\operatorname{ber}(\S 7.2)$ when it has this meaning. Some examples are:

[^133]bér hek sá‘ate ba-hét b-\&ġarbét? bér híni hã-yékan xĩš ‘ayún 'were you abroad for a long time? For about five years' (8:1-2)
bér híni sá'ate ol śink toš 10 'I have not seen him for a long time’ (8:6)
bér heš xĩš 'ayún bo-šé míríz 'already for five years he was sick' (15:12)
bér hóhum 'áṣar troh man gér kít 'they were already without food for two nights' (21:2)
híni śotét xarfu', ba-hé ol a'aséés lo man ẽnzél 'for three monsoon months I didn't get up from (my) place' (38:4)

This same usage in combination with a temporal conjunction hes (§ 13.5.3.5) or hakt $\varepsilon$ - (§13.5•3.6) gives the meaning 'after', as in:
hes bér hes 'ónut, kətラ̄t lešxaṭ'after a year [lit. when it was already a year for her], she wrote him a letter' (SB2:3)
hakt ēr heš yum mit yũ trut, 'õr hes 'after a day or two, he said to her...' (TJ4:12)

Sometimes in these expressions involving time, her is rather idiomatic. It can refer to 'having time', or can be translated with 'ago':
heš 'áṣar troh 'he had two (more) nights' (30:19)
heš orx bo-fóḳh 'he had a month and a half (journey)' (46:7)
b-īs дә-ltíg, bér heš dḥa-yékən xĩs 'ayún 'her father had been killed, already about five years ago' (46:1)

Another idiomatic use of her, deriving from its basic meaning 'for', corresponds to English 'deserve' or 'get' (see also § 13.3.4), as in:
 you will get [lit. for you (are)] from me fifty riding-camels' (6:38)
sadéd yo skof heš ba-xamsín ižíf 'the people present agreed that he would get [lit. for him (was)] fifty thousand (dollars)' (18:15)
het šáxar b-ol hek haśmét lo 'you are an old man, and you get [or: deserve] no respect' (53:6)

In a few passages her can be translated with an implication of motion towards, but these should be understood as meaning 'for', at least underlyingly.
$y$ ḥil her ag̈itš ahfól 'he would take the (ripe) wild figs to [or: for] his sister' (17:14)
$\dot{g} a d h e r$ ẽsérk' 'go get [lit. for] your turban!' (97:43)
ġeyg ag̉ád mən érz̛oš ‘ágəb her $\varepsilon r z ̌ t ̣ a t ̣$ 'a man went from his land heading to [or: for] a certain land' (60:1)

In the last example (60:1), the preposition is unexpected, since 'ágab in its use as a motion verb 'heading to' (§7.5.2) normally takes $y o l$ or no preposition.

We also find her used idiomatically with a number of verbs, including:

```
défa`her 'pay s.o.'
\partial\partialtēl her 'protect s.o.' ('ar 'from')
fté her 'advise s.o.'
g`lók her 'look for s.t.' (cf. ġolók b-
        'examine, look at')
garób her 'know s.t. for (e.g., an
    illness)'
ġõź her 'wink at'
gazé her 'raid'
heróg her 'speak (up) for' (vs.
    heróg k- `speak with`)
htaf her 'call to s.o. for help'
hek her 'call s.o., call out to s.o.'
hsob her 'count on'
hasob her 'count on'
```

niza'her 'stray to' (used for animals)
s̃anðér her 'make a vow to s.o.'
süh/sĩh her 'permit, allow' (cf. sũh/sĭh l- or d.o. 'forgive, excuse s.o.')
$s ̣ \bar{o} r ~ h e r ~ ' h a v e ~ p a t i e n c e ~ w i t h ~ s . o . ' ~$ (cf. ṣārl- 'wait for')
śní her 'see to, treat (a sick person)'
czbér her 'take pleasure in s.o.'s misfortune'
źzher her 'appear to s.o.'

Two more common non-verbal idioms are axér her (+ subjunctive) 'it's better for' and $s$ lxer her ... $ا$ ( + subjunctive) 'it's not good for' (see also § 7.1.3, n. 2), as in:
axér hókum l-óxlaf 'it's better for you to move' (28:6)
axér hek al-dór 'it's better for you to go back' (30:18)
ol xer hek lo ... axér hek tartún tel émék 'it's better you don't [lit. it's not good for you] ... it's better for you to stay with your mother' (54:10) sl xer hek tağád lo 'it's not good for you to go' ( $60: 25$ )

A similar expression is sétar her (+ subjunctive) 'it's better for', attested only once in the texts $(5: 8)$.

On the conditional particle her, see $\S 13.4 .1$, and on her as a conjunction indicating a purpose clause, see $\S 13.5 .2 .2$.

### 8.12 (al-)hés 'like, as’

The preposition hes ‘like, as', usually used in the compound al-hés ‘like, as’ is not a true preposition in Jibbali, since a pronominal "object" is indicated by an independent pronoun, rather than a suffixed pronoun. Otherwise, it behaves much like a preposition, and is translated as such. Examples from the texts are:

dha-nzémk hagalét al-hés hagalétk 'we will give you a calf like your calf' (23:13)
giní ṭaṭ al-śé besít al-hés ðókũn ‘one guinea for something simple like that' (52:10)
éghaš ol arḥĩm al-hés عð́́hənš lo 'his face was not good like his mind' (SB2:1)
sl dé al-hés še lo 'there is no one like him' (54:2)
dé al-hés he 'is anyone like me?' (54:3)
arḥ̃̃t al-hés he, ba-ðعhént ab-beṣért al-hés het 'beautiful like me, and clever and intelligent like you' (SB2:4)
ḳólób heš fáhalš ed al-hés énff̄t 'they returned his penis to him until (it was) as before' (17:32)
éghaš hes kéff́š ‘his face is like his back ${ }^{11}$ (MnS)
As the example from 17:32 shows, (al-)hés can also be followed by an adverb.
In one passage from Johnstone's texts (28:17), the simple form hes is written in the manuscripts, though the audio of the text has al-hés:
ken li (al-)hés $\bar{\imath}$ ‘be like my father to me’ (28:17)
The preposition (al-)hés is normally followed by a noun, pronoun, or adverb, as in the examples above, but in a few cases it is followed by a verbal phrase. No such examples can be found in the texts, but example from informants are:
s̃erókək al-hés ‘õk híni ‘I did as you told me’ (MnS) s̃erk hes het kéźúm ts̃érók 'do as you used to do’ (AdM)

On the temporal conjunction hes 'when, after', see §13.5•3.5. And on the rare particle axá 'like', see the comments to texts TJ2:65 and TJ4:95.
$8.13 k$ - ( $\tilde{s}^{-}$) 'with'
The basic meaning of the preposition $k$ - is 'with' in a comitative sense. ${ }^{12}$ Before pronominal suffixes, it has the base $\tilde{s}$-. Some examples are:
skəf ses ḥa-yékən orx 'he stayed with her about a month' (7:9)
'ak téți taġád s̃i 'I want my wife to go with me' (7:10)

[^134]
$n ə k a ́, t \varepsilon$ ' $\tilde{s} \varepsilon n$ 'come, eat with us!' (23:4)
っl akj́dar al-ǵád s̃ek lo 'I cannot go with you' (28:19)
ह́míkunút $k$-ह́rún, b-īkun k-iýǵl'my mother was with the goats, my father was with the camels' (51:15)
'akal-xéṭar s̃ókum 'I want to travel with you' (54:7)
her śinén šes dé, dha-ngád 'if we see anyone with her, we'll go' (60:40)
agadót sésən 'she went with them' (97:22)
Based on the meaning 'with', $k$ - has come to be used in the possessive construction corresponding to English 'have'. This construction is discussed further in § 13.3.1, but a few examples are:
$n h a \operatorname{sizn}$ ' $3 d \partial t$ 'we have a custom' (7:4)
he alsii kit lo 'I have no food' (21:4)
šũm ol s̃óhum míh lo. sóhum tslg 'they did not have water. They had ice' (35:3)
s̃isis inć 'ak ērik'k 'what do you have in the pitcher?' (60:45)
šáxar 1 s s̃eš mandik $l 0, b$ - $\varepsilon$ mbére' seš mandik 'the old man didn't have a rifle, and the boy had a rifle' (83:1)

The preposition $k$-, in its suffixed forms, is also used in a variety of expressions relating to physical or environmental conditions. A number of examples, along with discussion, can be found in §13.3.1.2, but one example is:
'akal-ğád d-'od ssic $\varepsilon \underset{\varepsilon}{\text { ç }}$ l'I want to go while it's still cool [lit. I still have the cold (weather)]' (60:35)

A common idiom is heróg $k$ - 'speak with'. Examples from the texts are:
he bek herógək sees 'I already spoke with her' (45:3)
təhérg kə-mũn $\bar{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon$ 'who were you speaking with before?' (28:9)
dha-nhérg ka-tét 'we will speak with the woman' (45:8)
Another interesting idiom is the expression of 'friend' with a phrase meaning 'the man/men with' or 'those with', for example:
 friends [lit. the men with you] complained about you ... and you make your friends [lit. the men with you] do your work for you' (24:1)
edūr yol agág əð-šeš 'he went back to his friends' (54:33)
engím heš a $\varepsilon$ eleš $b$-ižǰk iž-séš 'his parents and his friends [lit. those who were with him] consulted (an astrologer) for him' (SB1:3)

As for other idioms, we find:

```
aġád k- 'sleep with (sexually)' (lit. 'go with')
agád k-\varepsilonnúf 'go to the bathroom' (lit. 'go with oneself')
kun roḥím k- 'be nice to'
oṣ̣i k- 'advise s.o.'
kz-Ơohor 'at noon; in the afternoon'13
```

The preposition $k$ - has also been incorporated into two expressions of time, namely, kol'éni 'in the evening' and $k$-háṣaf 'in the morning'. With kol'éni 'in the evening', a form without the initial $k$ - exists; al-éni means 'tonight'. With $k$-háṣaf 'in the morning', however, the $k$ - is really inseparable, despite the hyphenated transcription. Moreover, $k$-ḥáṣaf is often best translated simply as 'morning'. Compare the following two sentences:
$\varepsilon d k$-háṣaf 'eś 'then in the morning, he got up' $(6: 15)(\varepsilon d=$ 'then')
$s ̣ b o r l i ~$
$d$
$k$-ḥáṣaf 'wait for me until the morning' $(30: 24)(\varepsilon d=$ 'until')

In the second sentence, we could consider $\varepsilon d k$ - a compound preposition (cf. $\varepsilon d$ đ̛ér, §8.8, and $\varepsilon d$ tél, §8.26), but a better analysis is simply to take $k$-háṣaf is the nominal object of the preposition $\varepsilon d$. Both kol'éni '(in the) evening' and $k$-háṣaf '(in the) morning' can be considered single lexemes, not prepositional phrases, with no preposition needed to indicate 'in the'; cf. gasré 'at night'.

### 8.14 kin 'from (someone)'

The preposition kin is attested only a half dozen times in the texts. It has the meaning 'away from (the presence of); from one's person', and its object is always a person, an animal, or a noun referring to a group of people, like sékon 'settlement, community; family' or ' $\varepsilon$ l 'family'.
sfork kin sékani ‘I traveled from my settlement’ (13:1)
slabg̈ód lo kin a 'élí ‘I won’t go from my family' (13:17)
ag̉éyg ह́nfi ðə-s̃amdéd tũr kin aġéyg bāl sékən 'the first man, who had
taken the date from the owner of the camp' (21:9)
aǵád ag̈ág kin aġéyg 'the men went away from the man' (21:12)
he ġeyg ðд-xtórk kin sékəni ‘I am a man who has come down from my settlement'

[^135]'ak kíni bo-réhən 'you want a guarantee (of payment) from me' (41:3)
her z̛ēṭ kin $\varepsilon$-šfik kéléb 'if he took the bride-price from the one who got married' (TJ2:25)

The preposition kin seems to be interchangeable with man tél (see the examples in §8.26), which is more common in the texts.

### 8.15 kéfé 'in back of'

The word kéfé means literally 'back (part)', as in kéfé $\varepsilon$-səyérə 'the back of the car' (MmS); see also text Pr54. It has a more general meaning than šō 'back' (as in the body part that covers the spine; cf. 6:7 and 50:6), and can sometimes be used prepositionally, as English 'in back of' or 'behind'. There are no examples in the texts, though $J L$ (s.v. $k f y$ ) has the example šum kéfén 'they are behind us'. Informants all recognized this word. Another example of its use is:
$\tilde{\varepsilon} n z e ́ l ~ \varepsilon-x a ̄ l i ~ k e ́ f e ́ ~ e ́ n z e ́ l a n ~ ' m y ~ u n c l e ' s ~ h o u s e ~[l i t . ~ p l a c e] ~ i s ~ i n ~ b a c k ~ o f ~ o u r ~$ house' $(\mathrm{MnS})^{14}$

The usual word for 'behind' is ser (§8.24).

### 8.16 l- 'for; to'

The preposition $l$ - has the basic meanings 'for' and 'to', just like the preposition her. However, as already noted above (§8.11), the two are not interchangeable. Moreover, the usages of $l$ - are much more idiomatic than those of her.

Like her, $l$ - can be used to mean 'for' as a benefactive, 'for the benefit of'. This is the clearest overlap in the uses of the two prepositions, though her is much more common than $l$ - with this meaning. The choice of preposition seems to be determined lexically by the verb used. Some examples are:
$k \bar{l} l$ leš ag̉áš $\varepsilon$ ḳellén ḥ̂t 'his little brother measured out food for him' (6:36)
xniṭ lóhum 'issé 'he took out food for them' (21:11)
iné kéla‘ lek kéléb ... kéla` li mut trut ba-xamsín ḳarós̃ ‘what did the bride-price leave you? ... It left me two hundred and fifty dollars' (32:13-14)

[^136]chbét leš bo-kúd 'she let down a rope for him' (36:3)
gmo‘ li réga‘ ðд-ḳahwét 'collect coffee-grounds for me' (36:13)
erśót yakómnam l-irs̃ób 'the boys should collect fodder for the ridingcamels' (47:6)
mélét li bérík míh 'she filled a jug with water for me' (49:19)
Just as often in the texts, $l$ - is used to indicate not benefactive 'for', but rather 'for' in the sense of 'detriment to', as in:

ḳóṣóflóhum xĩ̌ Érún 'it [a leopard] broke the necks of five goats (to their detriment)' (15:2)
ġad... $\varepsilon d$ man tél kéṭa‘lek ãḥléb 'go ... until wherever the camel gets tired on you [or: for you]' (30:15)
yaxés len aksbétan 'he'll stink up our clothes (for us)' (46:15)
عkébal tim li 'the truce is over for me (to my detriment)' (60:9)
lis̃ étam 'it's a sin for you' (60:11)
sl še ḥarúm lóhum lo 'it's not forbidden for them' (60:28)
sélũt วšhér ġožźt lek 'peace today (will be) expensive for you' (83:6)
Very rarely does $l$ - mean 'to' in the sense of motion towards. It does have this sense with some verbs, including $\varepsilon d \bar{o} r$ 'return, go back', $n f \supset s$ ' go (in the early evening)', $k t \supset b$ 'write' (if we consider writing to someone as having a sense of motion), as well as in the expression man manzél al-manzél 'from place to place'. However, most of these verbs can also be, and often are, paired with other prepositions to indicate motion (e.g., yol, §8.28). Some examples are:
her ol $\varepsilon d u ́ r k l$ len al-éni lo 'if you don't come back to us this evening' (28:17)
عyát عdirót len 'the camel came back to us' (AK2:10)
kol 'áṣar yanufs' al-ḥiš 'each night he came to a brother-in-law of his' (30:20)
ktob les xat 'he wrote a letter to her' (SB2:6)
Although $l$ - is relatively uncommon as a general preposition meaning 'to' or 'for', it is very common as an object marker following certain verbs. Some of these can be considered dative objects (and can be translated with English 'to' or 'for'), but many, if not most, are simply idiomatic. Attested in the texts are:
a'rér l- 'send for s.o.'
a'tin l- 'inform on s.o., spy on s.o.'
bédé l- 'lie about s.o.' (cf. bédé $b$ 'lie to s.o.')
bóttar l-'look down to'
s̃adhék l- 'look in on s.o. (who is sick)' (see the comment to text 18:7)
fké l- 'cover s.o.' (b- 'with s.t')
géré $l$ - 'happen to'
agtēl l- 'gather around'
guzúm l-‘swear to s.o.' (cf.guzúm ðer 'swear on')
ġolób l- 'refuse s.o.'
ğótéç l- 'be angry at'
eǵbér l- 'raid; get in s.o.'s way'
hogúm l-'attack' (also hogúm d-)
hkum l-' 'force s.o.; nag s.o.'
ḥótég l-'need'
hazás l- 'persuade s.o.'
kér $l$ - 'throw/roll ( $b-$ ) s.t. down to/at s.o.'
ks̃ofl-'uncover, examine s.o.'
ankabréd l- 'be crazy about s.o.'
kun l-'happen to'
عkbéll- 'approach'
عkōźl- 'watch, observe'
kodór $l$ - 'overpower; manage, handle, control'
kofál l- 'shut/lock in s.o.'
kolb́b l- 'return s.t. (d.o.) to s.o.' (note also kolób l-X selúm 'give (return) a greeting to $X^{\prime}$ )
kérzb l- 'get near to’ (cf. kéríb l'near, close to')
عkés l-'try s.t. (d.o.) on s.o. (to see if it fits)'
lhaf l- 'come close to, press up against'
lhak l- 'help s.o.' (see the comment to text $36: 4$ )
nkośl- 'exhume, dig up'
nkod l- 'get annoyed with, criticize s.o.'
ntorl-'untie'
ardé $l-$ 'throw (b-) s.t. at' (ardé $y z / / a k$ 'into' 'throw (b-) s.t. towards s.o./into s.t.')
rékzb l- 'ride (an animal)' (also rékəb đ̣er 'ride on')
arṣún l- 'tie (d.o.) to s.t.'
erxé $l$ - 'release, let s.o. tied up go'
sel $l$ - 'snatch ( $b$-) s.t. by force from s.o.'
süh/sǐh l- 'forgive, excuse s.o.' (same meaning also with d.o.; cf. also süh/sīh her 'permit, allow')
ș̄̄r l-' 'wait for' (cf. ş̄̄r her 'have patience with s.o.')
sésēt $l$ - 'listen carefully to'
śed $l$ - 'saddle' (also with d.o.)
śśték l-'miss, long for'
śśm l- 'sell to'
šed l- 'block s.o. in'
astič $l$ - 'listen to'
terófl- 'pass by s.o.'
égah l- 'go in to see s.o.' (cf. égah 'enter (a place)')
xalót l-' 'join up with, stay with' (also xalọt b-)
xelf $l$ - 'relieve, replace s.o.'
z̛ohák l- 'make fun of' (cf. źghák man 'laugh at')

In the texts, we sometimes find $l-$ with a verb unexpectedly. For example, in 60:14, we find s̃xabir l-'ask about s.o./s.t.', though the normal idiom is s̃xabír man; this is probably due to the use of $l$ - in the Mehri text from which text

60 was translated. ${ }^{15}$ Once in the texts (97:39) we find hekl- 'call (out to) s.o.', while elsewhere in the texts (five times) we find hek her 'call s.o., call out to s.o'; this is also probably due to the use of $l$ - in the Mehri text from which text 97 was translated. Also in one place in the texts (60:42) we find kol $\underline{\underline{t}} l$ 'tell to', which must be another Mehrism; the normal expression is kolott her, which occurs over thirty-five times in the texts.

Sometimes when there is competing evidence, it is not clear which idiom represents the more common one. For example, xal'ṭ l- 'join up with, stay with' occurs twice in the texts ( $32: 2 ; 49: 1$ ), while xalót $b$ - occurs once with the same meaning (49:32). It is possible that such expressions are simply not fixed.

The preposition $l$ - also occurs in a number of non-verbal idioms, including several connected with parts of the body. Such are:

ḥógat l- 'a need for'
ḥarúm l- 'forbidden to s.o.'
kun taw l- 'fit s.o. well'
kéríb l- 'close to, near' (see § 8.18 on kéríb man)
l-õ̃õdk 'at your promised time'
al-éni 'tonight'
al-亏̄b 'by the door'
$l$-órxér 'slowly'
$l-\bar{\varepsilon} l \dot{g} \bar{i} d \check{c}$ 'the reach of his arm' (i.e., 'as far as he could throw'; see the comment to text 21:10)
al-fám 'on the foot'
$l$-agére $\partial$ - 'for the sake of' (see § 8.29 )
l-egisít- 'beside, next to' (see § 8.29)
al-hún 'to where?'
la-háðérr ‘on guard' (as in ken la-ḥáðér 'be on guard!')
al-kanbá" 'on the heel'
al-karféf 'on the face' (cf. 'ak.kerféfi 'to/in my face')
(agad) al-xáf '(go) by foot'
l-eréš- 'on (one's) head' (e.g., 'fall on one's head')
al-yóh 'to here' (see § 10.1)
In one passage in the texts, $l$ - is used to express obligation, corresponding to English 'owe':

[^137]herol zahãam am-míh lo, ber leš oz 'if he doesn't bring water, he owes [lit. to him is] a goat' (39:2)

On $l$ - used in certain idioms corresponding to English 'have', see $\S$ 13.3.3.
8.17 lébar 'like, the same as'
$J L$ (s.v. $l w r$ ) defines the preposition lébor 'like, the same as', and includes the example š́ lébri 'he is the same as I'. There is only one occurrence of this preposition in the texts:
g̈igeníti ðə-lébrəs man sens 'girls similar to her in age' (TJ4:15)
My informants recognized this word, though the only additional example I heard was šum lébrən 'they are like us' (MnS). Two informants felt lébər to be identical in meaning to al-hés 'like’ (in contrast with ta'míran, §8.25), but one (MnS) felt that al-hés meant 'exactly like', while lébar meant 'like (but not exactly)'.

### 8.18 man 'from'

The preposition man has the basic meaning 'from', with reference to location, time, and cause or reason. By extension, it is used in partitive constructions ('of'). It also has a few less common uses. The following examples illustrate its basic meaning 'from' with respect to location:
śnútš aġabgót mən xofét 'the girl saw him from the window' (54:39)
s̃xanịt man šófalaš xótum 'a ring came out of his belly' (6:20)
ḥamól man xádəran 'move [lit. pick up] from our cave!' (15:3)
fúskši man țattóši 'they separated them from each other' (20:5)
$\dot{g} e y g$ aǵád man $\varepsilon r \underline{z}$ ć-žófól 'a man went from the region of Dhofar' (34:1)
ol dé níśaz mes śé $l 0$ 'no one had drunk anything from it' (34:10)
ṭólób yo man but $\varepsilon d$ but 'he begged people from house to house' (46:8)
 enemies' (60:33)
s̃xanit $\operatorname{man}$ õz̛a' 'he left [or: went out from] the house' (60:36)

Examples in which mən indicates cause or reason are:
iyélí ber dha-tfótan mən x $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ 'my camels are about to die of [or: from] thirst' (25:2)
$s \varepsilon$ t̄̄k man faróž ‘she was crying from happiness' (13:8)
aġtóśs man farkét 'he fainted from fear' (39:5)
he aġmódək ðə-kéṭa'k man ḥĩlín $\varepsilon$-tũr 'I've become tired from carrying the dates' (48:6)

And two examples in which man is used with reference to time are:

```
mən k-háṣaf\varepsilond tวġĩd yũm 'from morning until the sun goes down' (4:5)
mən gam'át \varepsilond gam'át 'from Friday to (the next) Friday' (TJ4:62)
```

By extension of the simple temporal use of man 'from', we also find man used with a slightly different temporal meaning 'since' or 'for' (in the sense of 'since ... ago'), as in:
man orx troh ol-’’d õtal sé lo 'he hasn't sent anything at all for two months' (8:7)
man mit gélək? man $\bar{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon$ bass 'since [lit. from] when have you been sick? Since only a little while (ago)' (40:3-4)

Following are examples of the partitive use of man, in which cases mən is normally preceded (or, rarely, followed) by some sort of quantifier or indefinite pronoun:
 yazhóm ṭaṭ mən ağág bə-yadórəm ṭit mən iyél 'one of the men came and slaughtered one of the camels' (25:5)
hãol meš xérín 'he took a little of it' (35:5)
тũn mənkúт ðә-‘ágءb $\supset z$ 'which [lit. who] of you wants a goat?' (39:2) 'ak təśtém śé mən õśštən 'you want to buy some of our animals' (41:2) ${ }^{16}$ ko šum olyaśím man $\varepsilon$ lhútóhum ba-díréham 'why don't they sell some of their cows for money?' (TJ2:80)
dè-ənsẽn sáḥart 'one [lit. someone] of them was a witch' (15:8)
śē-ən s̃óhum 'some among them' (AMı:11)
Note in the last two examples that the initial $m$ of $\operatorname{man}$ is elided after the indefinite pronouns dé and sé; a similar form is found in 15:7 (dē-ənk $\tilde{n}$ ). This elision is optional, as shown by dé mənhúm in $24: 2$ and 60:32, śé тən in 32:3 and $41: 3$, and as confirmed by informants. (On dé, see $\S 3 \cdot 5.1$; on śé, see $\S 3.5 .2$; on elision of $m$ elsewhere, see § 2.1.3.) A similar elision is found with the interrogative phrase íné man 'which?; what kind of?', which is usually realized ín $\bar{\varepsilon} n$ in fast speech (see §11.3). On man meaning 'some', used with or without a preceding noun, see $\S 5 \cdot 5.2$.

[^138]The preposition man is also used idiomatically with a number of verbs, including:

```
\̣éla` man 'be lame/crippled in' (e.g., 'in the foot')
féraḳ man 'be afraid of'
ġéžzn mən 'feel compassion towards s.o.'
šhaké man 'have enough of s.t.'
sanhér man 'complain about'
níka` man 'bear (b-) a child with' (see § 8.6)
ṣ̄̄rman 'do without s.t.'
sasfé man 'find out about s.t.'
šll man 'be indebted to [lit. be asked for a debt from]'
tolób man 'request/ask s.o. (to do s.t.)' (cf. tolób 'invite'; tolób b- 'avenge')
s̃xabír man 'ask about s.o./s.t.'
zahám mən 'bear (b-) a child with' (see § 8.6)
žēṭ man 'grab by' (e.g., 'by the hair', 'by the arm')
žahák man 'laugh at' (cf. źəhák l- 'make fun of')
```

In several places we find mən used with a verb where it is not expected. For example, we find once ġolók mən 'look for' (60:45), but this is probably a Mehrism, since elsewhere in the texts the Jibbali idiom is gंolókher. We also find once nțor man 'untie' (17:29), instead of the expected ntor l- (e.g., 17:26). We find once sind man 'manage without' (13:17), instead of the expected 'ar. ${ }^{17}$ Finally, once we find once aḥtéðér man 'watch out, be careful' (22:13). This seems to mean the same thing as aḥtéðér 'ar ( $30: 8 ; 47: 5$ ), but it is possible that ahtéðér man is used with a following verbal phrase (i.e., 'be careful that (s.t. does not happen)'), while aḥtéðér 'ar is used with a simple nominal or pronominal object (i.e., 'be careful of').

The preposition $\operatorname{man}$ is also found in a number of other idiomatic expressions. Such are:
am-blís 'from the devil' (<man blís)
‘éðәr man 'an excuse for'
bass man X 'enough of $X$ !'
hamrún man 'afraid of'
$k$-ḥáṣaf man k-ḥáṣaf 'every morning, morning after morning'
man méṣa' 'to the south; downstream'
mən đ̣é' 'up above' (see the comment to text 51:5)

[^139]man tééréf $\varepsilon$-/ð- 'from among' (see § 8.29)
ráhak man 'far from'
xunt man 'outside of'
yakj́l X man yénš 'he thought $X$ was telling the truth [lit. he thought $X$ from his truth]' (cf. 60:8, 60:18)

In addition to the reduction of man to am- in the expression am-blis, note also am-bóh and am-bún 'from here' (§10.1). On am-mún < man mún 'between', see $\S 8.20$. Besides the idioms listed above, we also find kéríb man 'close to' once in Johnstone's texts (15:9), though normally the idiom is kéríb $l$-. The use of kéríb man instead of kéríb l- in $15: 9$ may have to do with which element is stationary relative to the other.

It should be mentioned that, unlike in Mehri, man is not normally used as the preposition of comparison. Instead, Jibbali uses (')ar for this purpose (see $\S 5.4$ ). There is one occurrence of $\operatorname{man}$ in the texts in a comparative phrase (20:9), but this is probably a Mehrism.

On the interrogative íné mən, see §11.3; on the contracted form mənné 'from what?' < man iń́, see §11.2; on the particle mkun < man kun, see $\S 12.5 .14$; on the conjunction man 'or', see §12.1.4; and on man used as a marker of negation, see $\S 13.2 .7$.

### 8.19 man dún 'except; without'

The compound preposition man dún 'except, but, with the exception of' appears only about a half dozen times in Johnstone's texts (mainly in texts TJ2 and TJ4). Examples are:
> ol dé ġarób yadēš lo, man dún ġeyg tat 'no one knew how to cure him, except one man' (SB1:4)
> sl yagáḥs sé lo man dún sع 'no one entered it except her' (TJ4:17)
> ol s̃oshum dé man dúni he 'they have no one but me' (TJ4:58)
> ol s̃óhum man dún 'ad lo 'they only have sardines [lit. they don't have except sardines]' (TJ2:51)

The last example (Tj2:51), with man dún used in a negative sentence to mean 'only', parallels the use of ar that was discussed in § 12.5-4. In fact, in the text, after the speaker says man dún ' $a d b$, he repeats himself with the synonomous phrase ar 'ad 'only sardines'.

Twice in the texts mən dún has the meaning 'without':
manhúm yagórén man dún nəfxát 'some of them give milk without blowing' (TJ2:41)
'od yagogón man dún 'ad 'do they still give milk without sardines?' (TJ2:36)

Note, however, that in answer to this question from TJ2:36, the other speaker re-phrases and says ol yagórén man dún al-‘ad $l 0$ 'they don't give milk except by (eating) sardines' (TJ2:39), using man dún to mean 'except', rather than 'without'.

Jibbali man dún is obviously a borrowing of Arabic min dūni 'except; without', and it is not clear if this should be considered an Arabism used in Jibbali, or a true borrowing. It is also not clear if we should consider this a preposition or a conjunction that can look like a preposition. Since man dún also functions as a conjunction 'but' (see § 12.1.3), we could consider man dún to be a conjunction followed by an ellipsis. For example, the sentence from SB1:4 above could be underlyingly 'no one knew how to cure him, except one man (knew how)'. The example from TJ4:17, man dún s ' 'except her', in which mən dún is followed by an independent pronoun, clearly argues for ellipsis, and against it being a true preposition. In $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 58$, there may be an example of a suffixed pronoun (man dúni 'except for me'). However man dúni could also just be a variant of man dún, reflecting a higher Arabic form; the following $h e$ could either be emphatic (reenforcing the suffix $-i$ ) or it could be the sole object of man dúni. Either way, this use of man dún is parallel to the use of English 'but' to mean 'except', as in 'everyone but me'.
8.20 mən mún (am-mún) 'between'

The preposition man mún derives from an earlier man bén, itself from *mən bayn. The Jibbali texts published by Müller (1907) have either bén or am-bén. We also find beyn, bīn, or bān in Yemeni Mehri (but also man or mēn in Omani Mehri), ${ }^{18}$ eēn in Hearsusi, $b \bar{n}$ in Hobyot, and (am-)bín in Soqotri. ${ }^{19}$ The shift of $b>m$ in the modern form is due not to the preceding $m$, but rather to the following $n$. On the sound change $b V n>m V n$, see $\S$ 2.1.4. Before suffixes, the base is man munú-; we can connect the final $u$ to the element $w$ found in the Omani Mehri base manw-, which itself is perhaps analogical to the etymological $w$ of the base $f ə n w(i)$ - used for the preposition fənōhan (root $f n w$ ). The Jibbali base *baynú- became benú, which should have become

[^140]bənú- > mənú. Instead, we got munú-, probably as a result of vowel harmony, and then the $u$ was transferred to the unsuffixed form: (man-)mén $\rightarrow$ mən mún.

Examples of this preposition from the texts are:
kun mən munúkum 'ágáb ‘was there love between you?' (32:11)
taktéta‘ man munún a'aśiŕt 'the friendship will be cut off between us' (41:4)

đénu دl yakín lo, l-zbdéd man mún agééyg ba-tititš 'this will not do [lit. be], that you would separate a man and his wife' (60:5)
gzím ol $(t)$ dér mən munúhum 'swear that you will not come between them' (60:6)
axarét kérétš mən munús am-mún xátiks she hid him between her and her dress' (30:21)
ġadú mən munúi am-mún xatikiki 'come on (in) between me and my dress' (TJ4:59)

In the last two examples ( $30: 21 ; \mathrm{TJ} 4: 59$ ), 'between $X$ and $Y$ ' is expressed $m ə n$ mun X әm-mún Y , literally 'between $X$ and between $Y$ '. The element əm-mún derives either from a reduced form of man mun (cf. am-bén in Müller's Jibbali texts, Omani Mehri am-mán, and Soqoṭri $\partial m$-bín) or, rather, from * $b$-mún, where $b$ - is the conjunction 'and'. (On the shift *b-mún > am-mún elsewhere, see the comment to text $45: 13$ and the discussion in $\S 2$ 2.1.4.) The repetition of the preposition, as in 30:21 and TJ4:59, seems to be used when one object is a pronoun. It is not clear if it is ever used when both objects are nouns, but it is clearly not obligatory, as shown by the example from 60:5, above, and by the following example recorded by Nakano:
he skofk munmũ [= mən mũn] M. b-'Amina 'I sat between M. and Amina' (Nakano 1986: 133)

In one passage in Johnstone's texts we find the form bén:
ksé bén irúmtz fúdún 'they found between the roads [at a fork in the road] a stone' (6:12)

This is either an Arabism, or an archaism, since text 6 is based on a text found in Müller's 1907 collection. ${ }^{20}$

[^141]
### 8.21 man kédé 'regarding, about'

The compound preposition man kédé is listed in $J L$ (s.v. $k \underset{d v}{ }$, with the transcription $k \not \partial d \varepsilon$ ) with the rather vague definition 'about'. $M L$ (s.v. $k d v$ ) gives the same definition, while $H L$ (s.v. $k . d y$ ) glosses Harsusi man kade with 'as regards', which also works well for the Jibbali compound. One informant explained that man kédé might be used upon receiving a letter, when one might ask man kédé inǵ 'what is it regarding?'. It occurs four or five times in texts TJ2 and TJ4. Some examples are:
> kolót hes man kédé aġabgót 'he told her about the girl' (TJ4:13)
> man kédé hięšs, axsórt təkín her īs man her aġóhés 'regarding his in-laws, is the bride-price money for her father or her brothers?' (TJ2:24)

In the example from TJ4:13, the phrase kolót man kédé seems to be equivalent to kolót b- 'tell about'. In the example from TJ2:24 (as in TJ2:70 and in the written version of TJ2:110), the phrase man kédé serves to introduce a topic, similar to ámma (§ 12.5.1).

### 8.22 nxín (or lxín) 'under'

In Johnstone's Jibbali texts, the preposition 'under' is nxín (before suffixes nxínú-). However, he notes in various places that the CJ dialect has Lxín. Nakano also recorded $l x i n$ (which he transcribed $l x i ̃$ ). ${ }^{21}$ Müller, on the other hand, recorded nxal. ${ }^{22}$ My own informants mainly preferred lxín (cf. FBi:2). There is obviously some variation in the form of this preposition, as there is also in Mehri dialects. ${ }^{23}$ For further on these forms and on the etymology of this preposition, see Rubin (2012a). Its usage is straightforward, and some examples are:

ḳol'ótš nxín fúdún 'she left it under a rock' (15:13)
bə-śéf ag̉éyg ber ġeb nxinúš 'and it so happened that he had already defecated under it [the tree]' (22:13)
he dḥa-l-ék.karak nxín fídét 'I will hide you under the cradle' (33:5)
nxín $\varepsilon$ țék ðókũn 'under that wild fig tree' (60:15)
ksé nxín eréšs śotét aḥróf 'he found under her head three (gold) coins' (97:41)

[^142]The preposition $n x$ ín can also be used figuratively with the sense of 'under the authority of', as in:
kéla‘nxinús iz̃órta 'he put slave-girls under her' (17:45)
We also find nxín in the combinations $\varepsilon d$ nxín '(up to) under' and man nxín 'from under', for example:
agád $\varepsilon d$ nxin hérúm 'he went under a tree' (35:4)
ba-hék man nxín héṣən 'he called out from down below the castle' (36:3)
In one passage in the texts, man nxín means 'in front of; in the presence of':
 the judge' (45:20)

In another passage, ma-lxin (the equivalent of man nxin in this speaker's dialect, at least in fast speech) had the figurative meaning 'under, below' with regards to birth order:
mə-lxúní ... órba‘ gigeníti 'under me ... are four girls' (FB1:2)

### 8.23 siźb 'because of'

The preposition siźb 'because of' appears just four times in Johnstone's Jibbali texts, twice independently, and twice in the compound al-siéb. ${ }^{24}$ Three of the occurrences are with pronominal suffixes, in which case the final $b$ is also elided (see §8.30). The word siźb (< *sabéb) is originally a noun meaning 'reason, cause', and it is found once in the texts used in this way (86:6). Both the noun and its use as a preposition 'because of' are borrowings from Arabic sabab- 'reason, cause'. The fact that siéb shows elision of the medial $b$ is a good indication that the word is a real borrowing, and not simply an Arabism.

عgéfún siźb iné 'the dummy-calf is because of what?' (TJ2:64)
$k \varepsilon l s ̌ ~ s i \bar{\varepsilon} k$ 'it is all because of you' (28:15)
ol dé 'ágab yaxélt ben lo al-sī̄š (séréś) 'no one wants to stay with us because of him' (49:32)
bek antakólk al-sī̄s 'I've had a rough time because of her' (TJ4:91)

[^143]As explained in the comment to text 49:32, the phrase $a l$-s $i \bar{\varepsilon} s ̌$ is used in the Arabic-letter version of that text, while in the Roman-letter version sérés is used instead, with al-síčš in the margin in parentheses.

### 8.24 ser 'behind'

The preposition ser has the basic meaning 'behind'. It can also be used in the compound man sér, with no difference in meaning. When a pronominal suffix is used, it nearly always is used with the compound man sér, except in a relative clause. Some examples are:

śíni عgóféš man séréš 'he saw his shadow behind him' (39:11)
hógúm al-sékan ðénu $\varepsilon$-sérén 'it attacked this settlement that's behind us' (47:5)

After verbs of motion and verbs of following or chasing, it is sometimes better translated into English with 'after', as in:
'ágan naġád séréš 'let’s go after him!' (22:15)
ša 'é sérés 'he ran after her' (97:15)
عtlék sérés haṣnín 'he set the horse after her' (97:27)
gíd mən seróhum bə-n'ffhum 'go after them and chase them!' (AM1:11)
h-íné zaḥámk tũn? ... ser tétí 'why did you come to us? ... [I came] after my wife' (30:23)

In the last example above (30:23), notice that the verb of motion (or of following) is only implied.

In just one passage from Johnstone's texts, man sér has a temporal meaning 'after':
man sér s̃ĩn 'in [lit. after] a little while' (17:29)
Normally, man đ̣ér (§8.8) or the Arabism ba'd (§8.7) is used for 'after' in a temporal sense. ${ }^{25}$

It seems that the preposition ser may also mean 'because of', at least in certain idioms. For example, we find in the texts the idioms béké ser 'cry over/because of' and ankabréd ser 'become crazy about':
skJfk d-ī̄k xĩ̌ $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ ser $\varepsilon$ kéraḥən 'I sat crying for five days over our donkey' (TJ3:10)

[^144]aġabgót, ankəbréd sérés 'the girl, he became crazy about her' (TJ4:4)
For another possible example, see the comment to text 49:32.

### 8.25 ta'míran 'like'

The word ta'mírzn appears to be a frozen 2 ms conditional (§7.1.5) of the verb 'õr 'say', and it functions (semantically) as a preposition 'like'. Its literal meaning is something like 'you would say that....' It usually takes a pronominal suffix, though this suffix is not the object of the preposition, but rather is a verbal object suffix, referring to a preceding noun or pronoun (see § 8.30). Examples of its use are:
ssini ṭit mənsẽn, ta'mírans srét 'he saw one of them, she was (pretty) like the moon' (30:6)
zũ-to sé lūn ta'míran sker 'they gave me something white like sugar' (35:6)
š̌ geyg roḥím, ta'míranš srét 'he was a handsome man, like the moon' (54:27)
aǵéyg ta'míranš đirí' 'the man (seemed) like a stranger' (60:42)
عmbére', 'õr, ta'mírənš ṣa'r 'the boy, they said, was like a gazelle' (83:3)
šum yzhórg kz-ṭattóhum ta'mírənšum ğóhe 'they talked to each other like brothers' (SM)
he ta'míran to hókum ‘I am like a king’ (SM)
The usage of ta'míran overlaps with al-hés 'like' (§ 8.12); compare the examples from 30:6 and 54:37 with rohitt al-hés $\bar{r}$ ét 'beautiful like the moon' (17:9). Despite this clear overlap in usage, there may be a slight difference in the meaning, at least in theory: one informant insisted that al-hés (and lébar) meant 'exactly like', while ta'míron meant 'like, but not identical to'. ${ }^{26}$

### 8.26 tel 'at, by, beside'; man tél 'from (someone)'

The basic meaning of $t e l$, like Mehri $h \bar{a} l,{ }^{27}$ is roughly that of French chez or German bei. An appropriate English translation can be 'at', 'by', 'beside,' 'with', 'among', or 'at the place of'. The object of tel is most often a person, an animal,

[^145]or a noun referring to a group of people, like sékan 'settlement, community; family'. Before pronominal suffixes, the base is $t \bar{\jmath} l-$. Examples from the texts are:
inés tel $\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\text {c }}$ alm 'his sons were with the teacher [or: at the teacher's]' (6:9)
tōlén yo yaférék man ekabrín 'among us, people are afraid of graves' (12:12)
skofk tı̄lohum 'áṣar troh 'I stayed with them two nights' (13:16)
siftel a'áśars̃ 'sleep by your husband!' (13:19)
s̃a’ódk toš karére tel sékənəš 'I arranged a meeting with him tomorrow by his settlement' (28:10)
kūn 'ak enáxal tel emíh 'he hid among the date-palms by the water' (30:4)
xațókí tel xalóti 'my clothes are with my mother-in-law' (30:9)
dha-təksé ḳálo ðə-núśab tə̄lòk ‘you'll find a bucket of milk by you' (33:6)
'ak al-xédam tōlák 'I want to work for you [or: with you]' (36:27)
skeftōlí s̃iñ 'stay with me a little while!' (6o:19)
Jibbali tel can also be used after verbs of motion (most often agad 'go', éṣal 'arrive at, get to, reach', and zaḥám 'come') meaning 'to', 'up to'. As described above, the object of $t e l$ is most often a person, an animal, or a noun referring to a group of people, like sékan 'settlement, community; family'. Most of the few exceptions to this tendency involve nouns referring to holes in the ground ( míh 'water', maḳbért 'graveyard', gār 'well'). Examples from the texts are:
 and their step-mother went to the medicine woman' $(6: 6)$
ag̉ádó $\varepsilon d$ éṣal tel ¿ ð-عmbére' 'they went until they got to the father of the boy' (18:8)
íné yōṣalan to tōlás 'what will lead me to her?' (30:14)
$\varepsilon d$ kol'éni zahám tel عð̣ánəš 'then in the evening, he came to his family' (25:9)
ag̉ádk tel sékəni ‘I went to my community’ (13:20)
zaḥám tel sékanaš 'he came to his settlement' (35:6)
zahọõt tel $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ šér 'she came to the party' (97:25)
It seems that tel is sometimes optional when the object is inanimate. So we find, for example, zahám tel sékan 'he came to a settlement' (30:18), but also zaḥám sékan 'he came to the settlement' (7:7); ṭēt tel sékan 'she came (at night) to a settlement' (25:21), but also ṭē sékan 'he came (at night) to
a settlement' (55:1). The preposition $y \partial l$ is also used with motion verbs, and the two are interchangeable in some contexts (see § 8.28). In the texts, when the object is inanimate, tel is much more common with zzhám 'come' and ésal 'arrive at, get to, reach', while $y \partial l$ is much more common with aǵád 'go' and $\varepsilon d \bar{o} r$ 'return'.

There exists also a compound preposition man tél 'from (the presence of), from one's person', which is not to be confused with the locative-relative mən tél 'where(ever)' (§ 3.8.5). Examples of the compound preposition mən tél are:
 place]' (6:5)
っl abğód lo man tél a állí 'I will not go from my family' (7:10)
zzhám to xat man tél $\bar{\varepsilon} m i ́ ~ ‘ a ~ l e t t e r ~ c a m e ~ t o ~ m e ~ f r o m ~ m y ~ m o t h e r ' ~(13: 2) ~(~) ~$
s̃eš xérín tũr man tél geyg 'he had a small amount of dates (that he got) from a man' (17:21)
agád man tél sékznəš 'he went from his settlement' (18:1)
alhín 'ak man tj̄lí dha-l-zémk 'whatever you want from me I'll give you' (41:4)

Three times in the texts we also find the combination $\varepsilon d$ tél 'up to (by)', which has essentially the same meaning as simple $\varepsilon d$ 'up to, until' or tel 'to (a person)':
hamíl to $\varepsilon d$ tél harośś đikun 'carry me up to that acacia tree' (48:13) (cf.

yaz̧ét bz-yédé $\varepsilon d$ tōl’ُhum 'they seized (them) and took (them) away to them' (TJ4:72)
zahám đóhũn ḥazzézí ed tél crśót 'that killer came to the children' (TJ4:82)
One verbal idiom using tel is šfjk tel 'marry into s.o.'s family' (with $b$ before the person one is marrying), as in: ${ }^{28}$
õr, "her dha-l-ésffak to, 'ak l-ššffk tj̄lák b-\&ð'-ilín" 'he said [to the girl's father], "If you will let me marry, I want to marry into your family with so-and-so"' (45:1)
'agk l-óšfak tı̄lók ... b-عbrítk ũm 'I want to marry into your family ... by your older daughter' (97:34)

[^146]We can also note the idiom žēṭ tabkižót mən tél tet 'he took possession of the woman in marriage' ( $7: 6$ ).

As mentioned above, the base before pronominal suffixes is $t \bar{\jmath} l-. J L$ (s.v. $t l)$ also lists another preposition tol 'around, round about'. However, this word does not occur in any of the texts, and my informants did not recognize it. See also the discussion of $t o l$ in the comment to text Anon 1:1.

### 8.27 tst 'above'

The preposition $t \varepsilon t$ 'above' appears just once in the texts, though it is listed in $J L$ and was used by some informants. Before suffixes it has the base tét-. ${ }^{29}$ A couple of examples of its use are:

```
d-aśún śé man téti` 'I see something above me' (TJ1:5)
t\varepsilont hallét 'above the town' (JL, s.v. tt)
sckf tet \varepsilonréši 'the roof is above my head' (MnS)
sckf tét'íš\varepsilon 'the roof is above me'(MnS)
```

My WJ informant claimed not to know this word, and used đִer in the phrase 'above my head'.

### 8.28 yol 'to, towards'

The preposition yol 'to, towards' is used to indicate motion towards, most often (about two-thirds of all occurrences in the texts) with the verb agád 'go'. When the object is a person, yol is often interchangeable with tel. When the object is a place, $y_{0} l$ does not have the restrictions that $t e l$ has on the type of object it can govern (see §8.26). When we look at the distribution of verbs used with these two prepositions, zahám 'come' and éṣal 'arrive at, reach' most often take tel, while $a \dot{g} a d ~ ' g o ', ~ \varepsilon d \bar{o} r ~ ' r e t u r n, ~ g o ~ b a c k ', ~ ' a ́ g a b ~ ' b e ~ h e a d i n g ', ~$ and hãl 'carry' most often take yol. Only rarely does yol not follow a verb of motion, and even then motion is still involved in some way (e.g., with $k t o b$ 'write' in 36:14, where motion is implied in the delivery of the letter). Some examples of $y o l$ from the texts are:
ağád aġéyg yol żśnút 'the man went to the medicine woman' (6:8) (cf.
aġadót tel éśnút 'she went to the medicine woman's', 6:6)
še ktob yol ḥókum 'he wrote to the ruler' (36:14)
عdūryal aġág əみ-s̃éš 'he went back to his friends' (54:33)
dḥa-l-غ́flot mən đ̛́ri yólkum 'she will run away from me to you' ( $60: 22$ )

[^147]hõls yol ūt 'they took it home [lit. to the house]' (6:2)
zaḥõt $\varepsilon$ šxarét ... yol sékan 'the old woman came ... to the settlement' (15:10)
ġeyg ag̉ád man $\varepsilon r$ ź $\varepsilon$-žófól, 'ágab yol $\varepsilon r$ ź d-ẽharó 'a man went from the region of Dhofar, heading towards the land of the Mehri' (34:1)
aġadótyol ūts, ba-šé aǵád yol ūtš 'she went to her house, and he went to his house' (36:11-12)

### 8.29 Additional Prepositional Phrases

There are a handful of prepositional phrases which, though not really prepositions, are worth including in this chapter. From the texts, such are mantéréf
 the sake of' (see also § 13.5.2.3), and l-egisít- 'beside, next to' (cf. gisít 'side'). The attested contexts are:
 pay half of this debt of theirs from the livestock that is with us in the mountains' ( $9: 8$ )
zaḥám kol'éni ba-ṭéréf $\varepsilon$-sékan $\varepsilon$-tét 'he came in the evening to the edge of the woman's settlement' (30:20)
‘ak (t)śum a'úmrak l-zgére ð-təmbéko 'you want to trade [lit. sell] your life for the sake of tobacco?' (60:36)
lġaz beš ȧgéyg ðә-l-sgiśitš 'he slipped it to the man next to him' (21:6)
$J L$ also includes the compound man śun 'for the sake of', but I found no examples of this other than the one listed there:
ð-эl kun man śunš lo, a yagídən s̃eš lo 'if it were not for his sake, he wouldn't have gone with him' ( $J L$, s.v. s'n $)$

### 8.30 The Suffixed Forms of Prepositions

The prepositions can be divided into groups on the basis of their suffixed forms. The prepositions $b-, \varepsilon d$ - (also $d-$ ), $k$-, and $l$-, and her (base $h$-), all have a monoconsonantal base before pronominal suffixes. These five are declined as follows:

|  | $b-$ | $\varepsilon d / d-$ | $k-$ | $l-$ | her |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 cs | $b i$ | $d i$ | $\tilde{s} i$ | $l i$ | híni |
| 2 ms | $b e k$ | $d e k$ | $\tilde{s} e k$ | lek | hek |


| 2fs | $b i s{ }^{\text {a }}$ | dis | s̃is | lis | his |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 ms | $b e s ̌$ | deš | s̃eš | leš | heš |
| 3 fs | bes | des | ses | les | hes |
| 1cd | bis̃i | dis̃i | šis̃i | lis̃i | hiŝi |
| 2cd | $b i s i ~ i$ | dis̃i | šis̃í | lísi | his̃i |
| 3 cd | biši | diši | šiší | lissi | hiši |
| 1cp | $b \varepsilon n$ | $d \varepsilon n$ | s̃ะn | $1 \varepsilon n$ | hen |
| 2 mp | bókum | dókum | s̃ókum | lókum | hókum |
| 2 fp | békan | dékan | s̃ékan | lékan | hékan |
| 3 mp | bóhum | dóhum | s̃óhum | lóhum | hóhum |
| 3 fp | bésan | désan | s̃ésan | lésan | hésan |

Note:

- The 1 cs form of her is híni, which is anomalous and does not conform with the rest of this group.

The prepositions $\varepsilon m t, \not \partial e r$ (base đ̛́r-), $\dot{g} e r$ (base $\dot{g} i ́ r-$ ), lébar (base lébr-), and $y z l$ all retain stress on the base, and add suffixes with no intervening vowel. Their declensions are:

|  | $\varepsilon m t$ | Əִer | $\dot{g} e r$ | lébar | yol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | ćmti | Ớri | ġíri | lébri | yóli |
| 2 ms | Émtak | đoirk | girk | lébrak | yolk |
| 2fs | ย́mtas | $\not \partial i r s$ | $\dot{g} i r s{ }^{\text {a }}$ | lébrəs̃ | yols |
| 3 ms | ¢́mtaš | Oִirš | $\dot{g} i r s ̌$ | lébrəš | yolš |
| 3 fs | ćmtas | Oִirs | $\dot{g}$ irs | lébras | yols |
| 1cd | ह́mts̃i | đִırs̃i | gírs̃i | lébars̃i | yóls̃i |
| 2cd | Émts̃i | đִırs̃i | gírs̃i | lébars̃i | yóls̃i |
| 3 cd | Émtši | đ̛́rši | ġírši | lébarši | yólši |


| 1cp | غ́mtan | Ớran | ġı́ran | lébrən | yólan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2mp | émtkum | đ̛́rkum | ġı́rkum | léborkum | yólkum |
| 2 fp | Émtkan | ḍírkən | ġırkan | lébarkan | yólkan |
| 3 mp | émthum | đ̧́rhum | gírhum | lébarhum | yólhum |
| 3 fp | ćmtsan | đ̣írsan | gírsən | lébarsan | yólsan |

The prepositions ' $a k$. (base ' $a m k-$-), ser, tel (base $t \bar{\jmath} l-$ ), and $t \varepsilon t$ (base tét-) use what looks like the set of object suffixes attached to 3 ms perfect verbs (see §3.2.3). The suffixes themselves are stressed. The forms are:

|  | 'ak | ser | tel | $t \varepsilon t$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | 'amkí | sérí | tōlí | tétí |
| 2 ms | 'amkák | sérék | tōlàk | tétàk |
| 2 fs | 'amkís | sérés | tōlis | tétísis |
| 3 ms | 'amkáš | séréš | tōláš | tétás |
| 3 fs | 'amkás | sérés | tōlás | tétás |
| 1 cd | 'amkás̃i | sérés̃i | tōlás̃i | tétás̃i |
| 2cd | 'amkás̃i | sérés̃i | tōlás̃i | tétás̃i |
| 3 cd | 'amkóši | séréši | tōláši | tétši |
| 1cp | 'amkén | sérén | tōlén/tōlún | tétén |
| 2mp | 'amkjókum | sérókum | tōlókum | tétókum |
| 2fp | 'amkékən | sérćkan | tı̄lćkan | tétékan |
| 3 mp | 'amķóhum | séróhum | tōlóhum | tétśhum |
| 3 fp | 'amkésən | sérésan | tōlćsan | tétéson |

Notes:

- The 1cp forms tōlén and tōlún seem to be used in free variation. ${ }^{30}$

[^148]- With ser and $t \varepsilon t$, there is some variation between the vowels á and é before the $2 s$ and 3 s suffixes (e.g., séréš or séráš ‘behind him'). Perhaps á shifts to $e ́$ due to the vowel é that is found in the base of the preposition (i.e., by vowel harmony).

The prepositions (')ar (base 'an-), kin, and man all show loss of the $n$ and nasalization in the $2 s$ and 3 s forms (§2.1.7), as well as stress on the plural suffixes. The forms are:

|  | (') ar | kin | man |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | 'áni | kíni | míni/míní |
| 2 ms | 'ãk | $k \tilde{k} k$ | $m \tilde{\varepsilon} k$ |
| 2 fs | 'ãs | $k \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{S}$ | $m \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{S}$ |
| 3 ms | 'ãš | $k \tilde{\varepsilon} \check{s}$ | $m \tilde{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 3 fs | 'ãs | $k \tilde{\varepsilon} s$ | $m e{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1cd | 'áns̃i | kins̃ı | mans̃ı |
| 2cd | 'áns̃i | kins̃í | mans̃ı |
| 3 cd | 'ánši | kinší | manší |
| 1cp | 'ánén | kinén | minén |
| 2mp | 'ankúm | kənkúm | mənkúm |
| 2 fp | 'ankén | kankén | mənkén |
| 3 mp | 'anhúm | kanhúm | manhúm |
| 3 fp | 'ansén | kวnsén | mənsén |

Notes:

- The nasalization in the $2 s$ and 3 forms of $m \partial n$ is sometimes not present, or at least is very difficult to hear (cf. $3 \mathrm{fs} m \varepsilon s$ in text 6:1).
 my informants did not recognize these forms; all used mansén and kansén. This is what we expect based on the 2 fp forms, and also what Johnstone recorded in one of his manuscript papers (Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ ), as well as in the margin of the Roman ms of text TJ2. Johnstone's texts also have mənsén in numerous places (e.g., 30:6). If Johnstone heard mésan and kẽsan from
an informant, then these forms are either dialectal or have fallen out of use.
- For the 3mp forms of kin, $J L$ (p. xxvii) has kunóhum, but my informants did not recognize this form, and I did not find it in any of his manuscript papers. If correct, it is either dialectal or has fallen out of use.
- The dual forms of kin are missing in $J L$, and those of man are suspect, particularly 2 cd mankí (vs. 1cd mans̃í). If correct, mankí would be an unusual preservation of the suffix $-k i$, which normally shifted to -s̃i. A few of the other prepositions in $J L$ are listed with the 2 cd suffix $-k i .^{31}$

The prepositions nxín (var. Lxín) 'under' and man mun 'between' both have the vowel -ú before suffixes. Their suffixed forms are:

|  | nxín | man mun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | nxíní/nxinúi | man munúi |
| 2 ms | nxínúk | man munúk |
| 2fs | nxínús̃ | man munús̃ |
| 3 ms | nxínúš | man munúš |
| 3 fs | nxinús | mən munús |
| 1cd | nxínús̃i | mən munúsí |
| 2cd | nxínús̃i | mən munúsi |
| 3 cd | nxínúši | man munúši |
| 1cp | nxínún | man munún |
| 2mp | nxinúkum | man munúkum |
| 2 fp | nxínúkan | man munúkan |
| 3 mp | nxínúhum | mən munúhum |
| 3 fp | nxínúsan | mən munúsən |

[^149]
## Notes:

- Just as the independent form nxín has the common variant lxín, the suffixed forms have the common variant base lxin-.
- JL (p. xxviii) lists the 1cs form Lxiní (cf. FB1:2), but some of my informants preferred lxinúi. The difference may be dialectal. Note nxelí in Müller (1907:14, line 5), but lxinúi in Johnstone's newer version of the same text (TJ1:5).
- The dual forms of nxín given above are those found in one of Johnstone's manuscript papers (Box 15 E ). $J L$ (p. xxviii) lists instead lxínéśi and lxínéśi, but it is not clear why the dual forms of this preposition should contain a different vowel before suffixes than the other forms. Conversely, the same set of paradigms from Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ gives the dual forms of man mún with the base man məní- (as well as 2fs man mənî́s), while $J L$ (p. xxvii) has man типú- throughout the paradigm. The variant vowel élı́ before the dual and 2 fs forms may be attributable to the palatal $\tilde{s}$. It is unknown if these variant forms are still in use.
- Johnstone claimed ( $J L$, p. xxvii) that the singular forms of man mun were not used, but the 3 fs form appears in text 30:21 and the 1cs form appears in text TJ4:59. The singular forms are indeed rare, and informants preferred phrases like 'between them', rather than 'between him and her'. Some informants did not accept the 1cs form.

The prepositions (al-)féné, kéfé, and man kédé, because of their final vowels, take the suffixes used for plural nouns (§3.2.2). That is, a form like al-
 3 ms suffix - $\varepsilon$ š used with plural nouns), and therefore we find the plural-type suffixes extended to the $1 \mathrm{cs}, 2 \mathrm{mp}$, and 3 mp . The forms are:

|  | féné | kéfé | man kédé |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | (วl-)féní | kéféi | man kédéi |
| 2 ms | (วl-)fénék | kéfék | man kédék |
| $2 f \mathrm{fs}$ | (al-)fénés̃ | kéféśs | man kédés̃ |
| 3 ms | (วl-)fénéš | kéféšs | man kédéš |
| 3fs | (al-)fénés | kéfés | man kédés |


| 1cd | (al-)fénés̃i | kéfés̃i | man kédésisi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2cd | (al-)fénés̃i | kéfés̃i | man kédés̃i |
| 3 cd | (al-)fénéši | kéféši | man kédéši |
| 1cp | (al-)fénén | kéfén | man kédén |
| 2 mp | (al-)fı́nókum | kéfókum | man kédókum |
| 2 fp |  | kéf́̇kan | mən kédékən |
| 3 mp | (al-)fı́nóhum | kéfóhum | man kédóhum |
| 3fp | (al-)fénésan | kéfésan | man kédésan |

Notes:

- As explained above, these prepositions were reinterpreted as having the suffixes used for plural nouns, hence 2 mp (al-)fénókum, 3 mp (al-)f́́nóhum, 2mp man ḳədókum, etc. However, several speakers that were consulted preferred -غ́kum and -غ́hum for the 2 mp and 3 mp , perhaps reflecting the vowel of the bases féné-, kéf'́-, and kédé-, or perhaps reflecting also the tendency towards leveling the suffix vowels as described in §3.2.
- The base féné- has the variant finí-, which seems to be dialectal; it is found only in texts $\mathrm{TJ}_{4}$ and TJ 5 (e.g., $\mathrm{T} 4: 22, \mathrm{TJ} 4: 25$ ). The variant is presumably due to vowel raising because of the nasal (§ 2.2.2).

The preposition siéb, which comes from the noun siéb 'reason, cause', loses its final $-b$ before suffixes, following the rule outlined in $\S$ 2.1.2 and §3.2.1:

|  | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} b$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 cs | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} i$ |
| 2 ms | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} k$ |
| 2 fs | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} \tilde{s}$ |
| 3 ms | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} \check{s}$ |
| 3 fs | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} s$ |


| 1 cd | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} s ̃ i$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 cd | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} s \bar{s} i$ |
| 3 cd | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} s ̌ i$ |
| 1 cp | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} n$ |
| 2 mp | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} k u m$ |
| 2 fp | sī̄$k \partial n$ |
| 3 mp | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} h u m$ |
| 3 fp | $s i \bar{\varepsilon} s z n$ |

Finally, because the word ta'miran is historically a conditional verb (§7.1.5), not a true preposition, it follows the pattern of a verb with object suffixes (§3.2.3). This means that there are no first person suffixes.

|  | ta'míron |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1cs | ta'míran to |
| 2 ms | ta'mírank |
| 2fs | ta'mírons |
| 3 ms | ta'míranš |
| 3 fs | ta'mírons |
| 1cd | ta'mírns̃i |
| 2cd | ta'mírns̃i |
| 3cd | ta'mírnši |
| 1cp | ta'míron tun |
| 2 mp | ta'mírnkum |
| 2 fp | ta'mírnkan |
| 3 mp | ta'mírnhum |
| 3 fp | ta'mírnsan |

It must be noted that in this section, while most singular and plural forms either occur in the texts or were checked with informants, the dual forms come mostly from $J L$. The only dual forms that occur in the texts are 3 ms s̃iši (54:1) and líši (83:1). As noted above, the second person dual forms are listed in $J L$ with the suffix -ki for a few prepositions ( $k$-, mən, mən mún, and Lxin) rather than the expected -s̃i (see the suffixes listed in §3.2.1 and $\S 3.2 .2$ ). It seems unlikely that these forms with $-k i$ are correct. In two places among Johnstone's manuscript papers, I found paradigms of $k$ - with suffixes (Boxes 13 A and ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ ); both list s̃iši as the dual form for all persons (against s̃iki in $J L$ ). The paradigms in Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ also list the dual suffix -ši for all persons for man mún and nxín.

## CHAPTER NINE

## NUMERALS

### 9.1 Cardinals

### 9.1.1 Numerals 1-10

Following are the Jibbali numerals $1-10$ :

| 1 | Masculine <br> t tat (or t tad) | Feminine tit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | troh | trut |
| 3 | śotét | śhalét |
| 4 | crbo'ót | órba' |
| 5 | xõš | xiš |
| 6 | štet | šst |
| 7 | šab'ét | šō ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 8 | tinnit | tõni |
| 9 | saéét ${ }^{1}$ | so ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 10 | 'assirét | 'óśrs |

The masculine numeral 'one' is etymologically tad (ultimately from the Semitic root *whd ), but is most often realized in the form tat.. ${ }^{2}$ The numeral țat/țit 'one' (which can also have the sense of 'a certain') normally follows the noun. The numeral 'one' precedes a noun just once in the texts (SB2:1), while it follows a noun in dozens of other passages.

The numeral troh/trut 'two' should historically follow a dual form of the noun (see §4.2), but since the dual ending has been lost in Jibbali (with the result that the dual form looks identical to the singular), it follows a singular noun. It can also precede a noun, in which case that noun is a plural.

[^150]Unlike 'one' and 'two', the numerals from three to ten regularly precede the noun.

Numerals can accompany a definite or indefinite noun, as the meaning requires; the latter are far more frequent. However, if the noun has a possessive suffix ( $\$ 3.2$ ), then it will have the required definite article. Following are some examples of numerals in context (the numerals 'eight', and 'nine', are not attested in the texts, nor is the feminine form of 'six'):

1 m 'áşr tat 'one night' (21:1); giní tat 'one guinea' (52:10); rrx tad 'one month' (15:4); tat man agág 'one of the men' (25:5)
If ónut ṭit 'one year' (20:1); hallét țit 'a certain town' (5:6); țit man ¿y $\varepsilon$ l 'one of the camels' (25:5)
2 m geyg troh 'two men' (12:1); गrx troh 'two months' (8:7); troh ers'śt 'two boys' (6:1)
$2 \mathrm{Cónut}$ trut 'two years' (13:1); zifét trut 'two times, twice' (51:8); yũ trut 'two days' (15:2); ūt trut ižóhun 'those two houses' (AK)
3 m śotét erśst 'three boys' (36:19); śtotét šiṭár 'three kids' (49:4)
$3^{f}$ śhalét 'ayún 'three years' (30:14); ag̀atetés śshalét 'his three sisters' (30:16)

4 f órba' inét 'four women' (15:11); órba' $k u r j$ ' four score' (32:14)
5 m xõs karás̃ 'five dollars' (5:9); xõš ahróf 'five (gold) coins' (97:40)
5 f xiš ‘ayún 'five years' (8:2); xiš Érún 'five goats' ( $15: 2$ )
6 m štet karós̃ 'six dollars' (TJ2:43); štet gag 'six men' (SM)
6 f šst ínét 'six women' (SM)
7 m šab'ét azbirt 'seven buckets' (97:7); šabét crśśt 'seven boys' (FB1:2)
${ }_{7} \mathrm{f}$ šō‘ 'inćt 'seven women' (97:8); šabét g gigeníti 'seven girls' (FB1:2)
8m tĩnit írax 'eight months' (SM)
8 f tõ̃i 'ayún 'eight years' (SM)
9 m saét $\mathrm{\varepsilon r}$ rśt ' nine boys' (SM)
of $\quad s \delta^{\text {c } y \varepsilon l}$ ' nine camels' (SM)
10m 'asírét karjos 'ten dollars' (7:9)
$10 f$ 'šśar Érún 'ten goats' (32:14)
Interestingly, the numeral tat/ttit comes between a noun and its attributive adjective. In such cases, țat $/$ țit is usually best translated 'a(n)' or 'a certain', as in:
gabgót tit roh hĩt 'a certain pretty girl' (97:19)
Numerals higher than 'one' can occur with pronominal suffixes (those used for plural nouns), though no such forms are attested in the texts. Exam-
ples are śotetén 'the three of us', śstetókum 'the three of you', and śotétóhum 'the three of them'. At least one informant preferred an appositional pronoun, as in nha śstéét 'we three'. We also find a suffix (dual, of course) on the noun kólóh 'both': kolóhéśsi 'both of you' ( $J L$, s.v. $k l$ '). In a partitive expression, the numeral is followed by man, as in $\varepsilon$ erbə'ót minén 'four of us' (83:7). ${ }^{3}$

Numbers can also be substantivized and used independently, as in:
'õr țad, "ak tun našrék" 'one (man) said, "I want us to steal"' (12:1)
$y t \bar{\jmath} \partial s{ }^{2}$ sa'ét 'nine (men) follow him' (14:5)
$\varepsilon g$ ér troh 'he brought down two (men)' (83:3)
látġak śoțét 'you killed three (men)' (83:6)

### 9.1.2 Numerals 11-19

The numerals 11 to 19 are expressed simply by 'ten' followed by the digit, with both elements agreeing in gender with the accompanying noun. Attested in the texts are:

14 'aśirét crba'ót azbirt 'fourteen jars' (97:21)

16 yum a'éśzr šzt 'the sixteenth day' (97:32) (on the form 'éśzr, see §9.2)
17 'ว̌śar šō" ‘ayún ‘seventeen years' (Frı)
A noun following a number 11 to 19 is normally plural, unless the number has an ordinal function (see below, § 9.3). In the third example above (97:32) the number is being used as an ordinal, which is why the noun is singular.

### 9.1.3 Tens

With the exception of 'áśzri 'twenty', which is historically a dual form of 'ten’ (see §4.2), and śhelót 'thirty', the forms of the tens in Jibbali have been borrowed from Arabic. All of the tens are:

| 20 | 'áśari |
| :--- | :--- |
| 30 | śhelót |
| 40 | arbacín |
| 50 | xamsín |
| 60 | stín |

[^151]| 70 | sabacín |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8o tĩnín (or tīnín) |  |
| 90 | tsacín |

Only 'áśari 'twenty', śhelót 'thirty', xamsín 'fifty', and stín 'sixty' are attested in the texts. As with the teens, nouns following numerals twenty and above are normally plural. Some examples are:

```
20 'áśari yirs̃ób 'twenty camels' (22:9)
30 śhelót karós̃ 'thirty dollars' (12:7)
50 xamsín ķarós̃ 'fifty dollars' (32:14)
6o stín 'sixty (goats)' (32:2)
```

For the numbers $21,22,23$, etc., the digit immediately follows the ten, e.g., 'áśari tat ' 21 '. But for such numbers above thirty ( $31,42,53$, etc.), the digit is preceded by the conjunction $b-{ }^{4}$

### 9.1.4 Hundreds

The numeral 'one hundred' is mut, and 'two hundred' is mut trut. For higher multiples of a hundred, Jibbali uses the plural min 'hundreds', preceded by a feminine numeral. Accompanying nouns are usually in the plural, and are optionally preceded by the genitive exponent $\partial$ - (§12.4). Examples are:

100 mut gúni ‘a hundred bags' (AKı:4)
200 mut trut 'two hundred' (25:11)
250 mut trut ba-xamsín 'two hundred and fifty' (32:14)
300 śhalét mīn 'three hundred' (32:2)
302 śhalét min ba-trút 'three hundred and two' (AK4:16)
500 xĩ̌ min 'five hundred' (32:2)
600 šst mīn ( $\partial \partial-) \dot{g}$ ág ‘six hundred men’ (SM)
750 šō $\min$ bə-xamsín (дд-)g̈ág 'seven hundred and fifty men' (SM)
Note in the example from $\mathrm{AK}_{4}: 16$, that a digit following a hundred is preceded by the conjunction $b$-. As mentioned in § 9.1.3, this conjunction is used before a digit in numbers higher than thirty. Likewise, in the last example, a ten following a hundred is also preceded by the conjunction $b$-.

[^152]
### 9.1.5 Thousands

The numeral 'one thousand' is $\rho f$ (< *'alf; see § 2.1.6), and 'two thousand' is ff troh. Higher multiples of a thousand are made with a masculine numeral plus the plural ižíf 'thousands' (dialectal izzóf). Accompanying nouns are usually in the plural, and are optionally preceded by the genitive exponent ð- (§ 12.4). Examples, including all of the attestations from the texts, are:

```
2000 Эf troh 'two thousand' (AK2:3); Эf troh (Әд-) bét 'two thousand
    houses' (SM)
4000 عrbəót ižíf 'four thousand' (22:12)
10,000 'asírét ižíf ( \(\partial \mathrm{o}-)\) gág 'then thousand men' (SM)
50,000 xamsīn ižíf 'fifty thousand' (18:15)
```

Once in the texts we find $\varepsilon l f$ 'a thousand' (6:33), but this is clearly an Arabic form, as the context suggests.

### 9.2 Special Forms Used with 'Days'

An interesting feature of the numeral system of Jibbali (found also in Mehri and Ḥarsusi, and known from some other Semitic languages) is the existence of a special set of numerals used for counting three or more days. These are as follows:

```
1 day: yum țit (15:1)
2 days: yũ trut (15:2)
3 days: śélot ह}m\mathrm{ (30:14)
4 days: ri}`\overline{\varepsilon}m (3:6
5 days: xĩ̌ }\overline{\varepsilon}m(13:4
days: š&t \overline{\varepsilon}m
7 days: še``}\overline{\varepsilon}
8 days:tĩn \overline{\varepsilon}m
9 days: tésa` }\overline{\varepsilon}
10 days: '\varepsilońśzr \overline{\varepsilon}m (SB1:6)
```

The forms of the numeral used for 'five days' and 'six days' look identical to the simple feminine numeral. Perhaps as a result of this, some speakers also use the feminine numerals for higher numbers of days: Johnstone cites $\check{s} \bar{o} \bar{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon} m$ as an option for 'seven days' ( $J L$, s.v. šb'), ț̃̃ni $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ as an option for 'eight days' ( $A A L, \mathrm{p} .24$ ), and $s s^{`} \bar{\varepsilon} m$ as an option for 'nine days' ( $J L$, s.v. $t s^{`}$ ). Informants confirm this.

If 'day' is preceded by a number between ' 11 ' and ' 19 ', the number is formed as described in $\S 9.1 .2$ (i.e., 'ten' plus digit), but the forms are the special
ones used for 'days' (or the feminine cardinals in the case of ' 11 ' and ' 12 '), e.g.:

```
12 days: '̌śzr trut \overline{\varepsilon}m (SS)
14 days: `́śsrar ri` c̄m (SS)
15 days: `̌̌śar xĩs` हैm (97:31)
17 days: `ćśar šē` ¢
```

Cf. also yum a'éśzr šst 'the sixteenth day' (97:32), where the number here is used as an ordinal (see § 9.3).

### 9.3 Ordinals

There are special ordinal forms only for numbers 'one' to 'three', namely:

|  | masculine | feminine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st | Énfi | ह́nfèt |
| 2nd | məs̃áġar (def. ${ }^{\text {čs̃áġar) }}$ | mas̃ġarót (def. z̃ṡğarót) $^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3 rd | śólot | śhzlét (= cardinal) |

The adjective énfí can also mean 'former, previous', and mas̃ágar can mean 'next' or 'other' (see below). For numbers 'four' and above, the cardinal is used to express the ordinal, with the difference that the number follows the noun and can take the definite article (as a normal adjective). Some examples of the ordinals in context are:

```
1 l aġéyg énfi' 'the first man' (21:9); ẽnzél ह́nfi' 'the first place' (31:5)
If \(\varepsilon\) nff̄t 'the first (coffee)' \((34: 10)^{5}\)
2 m létəg \(\mathfrak{g}\) s̃áġar 'he killed the second (man)' (25:6)
\(2 \mathrm{f} \quad z ə f \varepsilon ́ t ~ \tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} \dot{g} a r o ́ t ~ ' t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ t i m e ' ~(24: 4) ; ~ h a l l e ́ t ~ \tilde{\varepsilon ̃} \tilde{g} a r o ́ t ~ ' a n o t h e r ~ t o w n ' ~\)
    (42:7)
\(3 \mathrm{~m} \quad\) dha-l-ó(l)tg ǵsolat 'I will kill the third (boy)' (36:25)
4 m a'áṣar \(\varepsilon r b ə\) 'ót 'the fourth night' (30:20)
```

If the noun being modified by the ordinal is 'day', then the ordinal, if 'third' or higher, will be one of the special numbers described in § 9.2. Some examples are:

[^153]1 yum énf̄̌t 'the first day' (TJ4:37)
2 yum $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} \dot{g} a r \partial t_{t}$ 'the next day' (60:4)
$3 y u m$ sélot 'the third day'
$4 y u m \varepsilon r i ́ c$ 'the fourth day' (30:15)
16 yum a'éśzr šzt 'the sixteenth day' (97:32)
The idea of 'next' (i.e., 'the following') is expressed with $x \varepsilon l f$ (f. xiźfét or xilfét), ${ }^{6}$ as in 'áṣar $x \varepsilon l f$ 'the next night' (39:8), yum xilfét 'the next day' (17:12; or yum xiźfét, 49:31), and mastéhal xelf 'the end of next month' (41:9; < mastéhal 'end of the month'). In a past tense context, mas̃ágar can also mean 'next' (i.e., 'the second'), as in yum $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} \dot{g} a r o ́ t ~ ' t h e ~ s e c o n d / n e x t ~ d a y ' ~(60: 4) . ~ I n ~ a ~ p a s t ~$ tense narrative ḳarére 'tomorrow' can also be used for 'the next day' (e.g., 12:7). In a future context, 'next' (i.e., 'the coming') is more often expressed with the future of the verb nikac 'come', as in orx ha-yanḳá 'next month' (lit. 'the month [that] will come').7 The idea of 'last' (i.e., 'the preceding'), is expressed by the verb téróf 'lead in' in a relative clause, as in $o r x$ e-téróf 'last month [lit. the month that led in]' (32:10). There are also several special adverbs referring to both past and future periods of time, e.g., manhínam 'last night' (e.g., 16:5) and berhón 'last year'; see further in § 10.3.

### 9.4 Fractions

The following fractions are attested in the texts:
½ foḳh (or fókah; dual/plural fúṣhici), as in foḳ̣h ð-эrx 'half a month' (46:9); orx ba-f'́ḳ ‘a month and half' (46:7); 'ónut trut ba-fj’ḳ̣ 'two and a half years' (TJ3:18); foḳh ôli 'half of my livestock' (5:16); dhanzémk foḳ̣h ‘we’ll give you half’ (23:6); f’’ḳhəš $\varepsilon$ - $̄ k$ ‘half of it [lit. its half] is your father's' ( $5: 12$ )
$1 / 3$ sééltét, as in śéltét a'áṣar 'a third of the night' (54:26)
 into quarters. Each one of the men (got) a quarter' (54:8)

The word foḳh is also used in the phrase foḳh $\partial$-a'aśar the middle of the night' (46:16), and it seems that this is distinct from áámk $\varepsilon$-áṣər 'the middle part of the night' (54:20). We also find foḳh used in telling time (§ 9.7), e.g.,

[^154]sáa xĩ̌ ba-fóḳ̣ 'at 5:30' (31:5). JL (s.v. šb‘) also includes the fractions xmus 'a fifth' (< Arabic xums) and šl $_{\imath}$ ‘a seventh'.

### 9.5 Days of the Week

The Jibbali days of the week have been borrowed from Arabic:

l-ahád 'Sunday'<br>(l)-Etrnín 'Monday’<br>(al-)tulútِ ‘Tuesday’<br>(ar-)rubúc 'Wednesday'<br>(al-)xĩs ‘Thursday’<br>(əl-)gam'át ‘Friday'<br>(os-)sábt 'Saturday'

The use of the Arabic definite article (al- and its variants) appears to be optional with all but 'Sunday'. To indicate 'on', no preposition is necessary, though the day of the week is usually preceded by yum 'day' or another word indicating a part of the day (like 'áṣar 'night'), for example:
ġad a áṣər $\varepsilon$-gəm'át 'go on Friday night' (30:2)
$\varepsilon d$ yum $\varepsilon$-gəm'át zahám śérac 'then on Friday, the judge came' $(36: 3)$
dhá-l-azhoms̃ yum $\varepsilon$-gam 'át 'I'll come to you on Friday' (36:7)
$a-l-\partial n k a ́ ‘ y u m ~ l-\varepsilon t n i ́ n ~ ' I ~ w i l l ~ c o m e ~ o n ~ M o n d a y ' ~(B Y ; ~ A K) ~$
ахе́dəт yum l-Eṫnín 'I work on Mondays' (BY)
Also note the idiom man gam'át $\varepsilon d$ gam'át 'every Friday [lit. from Friday to Friday]' (e.g., TJ4:14).

It is not uncommon to hear Jibbali speakers use the Arabic names for the days of the week, as one informant did in the following sentence:
axédam yum al-ətrnén, man dún yum al-t-talātā’วl axédəm lo 'I work Monday, but I don't work Tuesday' (SM)

### 9.6 Approximation

The future tense of kun 'be(come)' (e.g., 3ms ḥa-yékən) can be used to indicate approximation or uncertainty. It corresponds to the use of wakōna (the future of wïka 'be(come)') in Mehri. ${ }^{8}$ However, while Mehri wakōna is a frozen form in this usage, Jibbali sometimes shows gender and number agreement. Examples are:

[^155]skof ḥa-yékən sáa 'he stayed about an hour' (7:7)
bér hek sá'ate ba-hét b-\&ġarbét? bér híni ḥa-yékən xĩš 'ayún 'were you abroad for a long time? For about five years' (8:1-2)
skof ḥa-yékan orx 'they stayed about a month' (17:15)
dḥa-tkénan 'áśari yirs̃ə̋b ‘about twenty camels' (22:9)
skof bo-ḥallét ðikun dḥa-yékən foḳ̣ ð-ərx 'he stayed in that town about half a month' (46:9)
s̃i dḥa-tékən ḥõlt ðə-rkíb ‘I have maybe one camel-load’ (41:3)
żēṭən a-yékən mut gúni ðд- álaf 'we took about a hundred bags of feed' (AKı:4)

In the example from 41:3, the 3fs form dha-tékan is used in agreement with the following feminine noun hãlt (cf. also 22:9). However, in the example from 8:2, the 3ms dha-yékan is used, even though xĩ̌ 'ayún is feminine (likewise in 46:1).

### 9.7 Telling Time

To indicate the time, Jibbali uses the word sá'a 'hour' plus a feminine numeral. There are no direct equivalents of 'a.m.' or 'p.m.', but certain adverbs can be added to specify the time of day, such as fégar 'dawn', $k$-háṣaf 'morning', and ġasré 'evening'.

> عdūrak sá‘a xĩš ba-fýkh ūt ‘I returned to the house at $5: 30$ ' (31:5)
> sáa h ḥa-tékən šō fégar 'it was about 7:00 in the morning' (AK)

Note also the question sáa mśé 'what time is it?' (AK).

## CHAPTER TEN

## ADVERBS

As in many other Semitic languages, there is no productive means for deriving adverbs in Jibbali. In some places where we would find an adverb in English, Jibbali employs a prepositional phrase, for example:
arṣán to bo-hús 'tie me up tightly [lit. with force]' (17:28)
akó(l)s̃ man yéns̃ ḥaz̛órs̃ li ‘I thought you were persuading me truthfully [lit. from your truth]' (6o:8)

Although there is no means for deriving adverbs in Jibbali, there are a significant number of lexical adverbs. Below, adverbs are organized according to type, including both lexical adverbs and other prepositional phrases functioning as adverbs.

### 10.1 Demonstrative Adverbs

Following are the forms of the near demonstrative adverb 'here':
Here: bun / boh
To here: al-yóh
From here: man bún / am-bóh / am-bún
Some illustrative examples with 'here' from the texts are:
nḥa zaḥān bun ar hér õsśtən 'we came here only for our livestock' (15:3)
っl téréd iyélék bun 10 ‘do not bring your camels down here!’ (25:2)
$k o ~ h e t ~ b u n ~ ' w h y ~ a r e ~ y o u ~ h e r e ? ' ~(30: 21) ~$
sl aḳódər l-óskaf bun lo 'I cannot stay here’ (60:3)
śink ġeyg $\bar{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon$ bun 'I saw a man here earlier' (60:42)
a'iš́k boh 'your dinner is here' (54:23)
nko ‘al-yóh 'come here!' (17:30)
kbéb al-yóh 'come down here!' (25:18)
yadólafman bũn 'they used to jump from here' (48:18)
zaḥámk am-bóh 'I came from here’ (42b: 10)
o(l) l-əs̃xxanút lo am-bún 'I am not coming out from here’ (AMı:3)
he boh, sal̄̄-to 'I am here, wait for me!' (AMı:6)

According to $J L$ (s.v. bw), bun means 'exactly here', while boh means 'hereabouts'. However, there are examples of boh in the texts in which 'exactly here' makes more sense (e.g., 54:23; AM1:6; Pr171), so the distinction made in $J L$ is suspect. At least one younger informant claimed not to recognize the shorter form.

The demonstrative adverb bun can occasionally be used in an existential way, as in: ${ }^{1}$
bun õrršxarét təġ́grab kol śé 'here they said there is old woman [or: there
is, they said, an old woman here] who knows everything' (38:7)
bũn gənní 'ak śa'b ðínu 'there is a jinn here in this valley' (54:16)
The numerous words for the far demonstrative adverb 'there' are rather complicated, as there seems to be dialectal factors governing the use of at least some of these words. The texts have only lóhun (or alóhun) and lókun, the former about nine times, and the latter about five times. Some examples are:
éṣalak lókũn 'I arrived there' (31:1)
ağád bo-kél'ว’s lókũn 'he went away and left her there' (36:18)
agád man lókũn 'they went from there' (TJ4:87)
skəfót lóhun 'she stayed there' (AMı:3)
alóhun țit s̃sn 'we had one there' (AK2:1)
ağád man lóhũn 'he went from there' (TJ4:9)
The words lon, lóhun, elóhun, lókun, elókun, alhóhun, and alhák are all in JL. ${ }^{2}$ It seems doubtful that any one speaker makes free use of seven different words for 'there'. Among my own informants I heard alóhun (cf. AK2:1), alḥóhun, and alhák. As far as any special forms used when indicating motion to or from, there is man lák 'from there' ( $J L$, s.v. $l k$ ), but combinations with one of the above words are also possible, and perhaps even more usual (e.g., man alhák). It is worth pointing out that the forms (e)lóhun and (e)lókun correspond to the two sets of far demonstratives (ms ðóhun and ðókun; see §3.4).

[^156]10.2 Adverbs of Place
$b$ - $\dot{g}$ arbét 'abroad'
$d$-ḥákél 'inside' (on the meaning 'north', see the comment to text 33:3)
háḍé 'uphill; upwards, above, upstairs' (also (ó) đ̣é; see the comments to texts $51: 5$ and TJ4:85)
(man) méṣá ‘downstream; to the south’
xunt (man) 'outside (of)' (directional or locational)
$a \dot{g} a ́(l)$ 'downwards, downhill (directional)'; b-aǵá(l) 'downstairs; below' (see the comment to text 40:4)
kol mukún (or kol manzél) 'everywhere'
10.3 Adverbs of Time
axarét 'then'
$\bar{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon$ 'earlier, a little while ago, a few minutes ago'
berhón 'last year'
$d$-'onút 'next year'
fóna 'earlier, previously (remote)'
fnērhón 'year before last' (< fné + berhón) ${ }^{3}$
fnefnērhón' 3 years ago'4
fnẽnhínam 'night before last' (< fné + manhínam)
fne-fnẽnhinam 'three nights ago'
fənéms̃in 'the day before yesterday' (<fné + วms̃in)

$k$-háṣaf 'in the morning'
kol'éni 'in the evening' (usually before sunset)
kéríb 'soon'
karére 'tomorrow'
al-éni 'tonight'
$m \dot{g} o ́ r \varepsilon$ ' 'then, later'
man đ̛̣írš 'afterwards'
manhínam 'last night'
ams̃ín 'yesterday'
nhére' 'at midday; in the afternoon'

[^157]náṣanu (or náṣanu) 'now's
sóbar 'always'
sikun 'at that time' (see the comment to Anon1:4)
(a)šhér/(a)šḥór 'today'6
xaṭarét 'once’
10.4 Adverbs of Manner
fisá' ‘quickly'
fáxra 'together'
l-órxér 'slowly'
ṭóhun, laṭóhun, leṭóhun 'thus, like that'
tókun, loṭókun, leṭókun 'thus, like that'
țénu 'thus, like this'
The forms ṭ́nu, ṭóhun, and ṭókun are obviously parallel in form to the demonstrative pronouns ðદ́nu, ðóhun, and ðókun (§3.4). The following examples make it clear that these three adverbs can be used in the same way:
s̃erk ténnu 'do it this way' (1:6)
herol s̃erókakṭóhũn lo, dḥa-nə(l)táğak'if you don’t do thus, we'll kill you' (30:24)
s̃érék ṭókũn عrśśt 'the boys did thus' (36:14)
On the word taw 'well', see the comment to text 97:31.

### 10.5 Other Adverbs

The adverb 'very (much)' is bē. It can be used in conjunction with adjectives, as in:

šะ rĩhm be 'he is very tall' (SM)
Or it can have a meaning 'very much', used in conjunction with a verb or noun:

[^158]slyafórah bee $l o$ 'they don't celebrate very much' (4:10)
al-s̃a‘sórs bē ‘I loved it very much' (AK2:5)
he gंeyg ð-ol bi hiẽt bē lo 'I am a man who doesn't have very much strength in him' (38:2)

The word $b \bar{e}$ is cognate with Mehri wīyan. JL (s.v. wyy) lists a word bíyya 'enough', but, as discussed in the comments to texts 4:10 and SB1:1, this is almost certainly the same word as $b \bar{e}$.

The word 'also, too' is zétz('), as in:
nḥa s̃en zétว’ alhúti 'we too have cows' (41:3)
kunút ġabgót ərhĩ̃t zétə' 'there was also a beautiful girl' (SB2:2)
عlhúti al-hés iyél zétə 'are the cows like camels too?' (TJ2:63)

## CHAPTER ELEVEN

## INTERROGATIVES

The Jibbali interrogatives are as follows:

| mun | who? |
| :--- | :--- |
| íné | what? |
| íné man, ín $\bar{n} n$ | which? what kind of? |
| h-íné | why? for what (purpose)? |
| ko(h) | why? |
| yol, yoh | how? (in some contexts: what?) |
| hun, húṭun | where? |
| mit | when? |
| mśé | how many? how much? |

Each of these interrogatives will be treated in turn below.

## 11.1 mun 'who?'

The interrogative 'who?' in Jibbali is mun (often pronounced mũ(n); see $\S 2.1 .8)$. When used as the subject of a verb, mun is followed by the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$-/ $\partial-(\S 3.8 .1)$. However, if the verb is in the future tense (§7.1.4), then the relative pronoun is suppressed. Some examples of its use are:
het bar mũn 'whose son are you?' (5:11)
mũn dḥa-yśtह́m ségódat 'who will buy a carpet?' (6:21)
ти̃n ðย́nu 'who is this?' (13:12)
mun $\varepsilon$ - ©õr hek ts̃érk ténu 'who told you to do it that way?' (1:4)
mũn ðд-ágəb yośtém héṭtít ðд-dinú 'who wants to buy a pregnancy berry?' (6:27)
mun $\varepsilon$-šérék ténu? mun $\varepsilon$-létag g eganní 'who did this? Who killed the jinn?' (54:34)
mun $\varepsilon$ - ‘ág்abý́(l)tġaš ‘who wants to kill him?' (83:4)
tahérg ka-mũn $\bar{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon$ 'who were you speaking with earlier?' (28:9)
mũn mənkúm ðə-‘ágとb $\partial z$ 'who among you wants a goat?' (39:2)
In the next-to-last example above (28:9), we see that mun can be combined with a preposition. Also note from the last example above (and the last
example of the next set below) that it can be followed by the preposition man plus a pronominal suffix to indicate a partitive.

The interrogative mun can also be used in indirect questions, for example:
sl ḥtumk lo mũn ع-õr híni ‘I'm not sure who told me’ (8:10)
'ágab yaxērhum, mũn manhúm dḥa-yít 'he wanted to test them, (to see) which [lit. who] of them would eat' (21:3)

## 11.2 íné 'what?'

The interrogative iń 'what?' can be used as the subject in a verbal or non-verbal sentence, as the direct object in a verbal sentence, or as the object of a preposition. Examples are:
iné kun lek ‘what happened to you?' (5:12)
inć bis̃ 'what's (the matter) with you?' (6:8)
iné al-šérk hes ‘what should I do for her?’ (6:8)
iné ḥa-( $t$ )zĩ-to 'what will you give me?' (13:7)
iné yōṣlan to tōlás 'what will lead me to her?' (30:14)
iné ḥógtak bun 'what's your business here?' (30:18)
íné tวơr tũm her $\varepsilon k \not a h w e ́ t ~ ' w h a t ~(w o r d) ~ d o ~ y o u ~ s a y ~ f o r ~(d r i n k i n g) ~ c o f f e e ? ' ~ ' ~$ (34:11)
inć 'ak (t)s̃́rk 'what do you want to do?' (57:7)
sisis íné 'ak ērík $b$-عṣaférít 'what do you have in the pitcher and the pot?' (60:45)
íné un eyó ḥa-ya'mór ‘what then [or: indeed] will people say?' (SB2:7)
talótmas b-íné 'with what do you strike it out?' (55:2)
عgéfún siéb iń ' 'the dummy-calf is because of what?' (TJ2:64)
The last two examples show that the interrogative íné can be combined with prepositions, though on the combination $h$-íné, see $\S 11.4$. When combined with the preposition $\operatorname{man}(\S 8.18)$ or the conjunction $\operatorname{man}(\S$ 12.1.4), the result is the contracted form manné, as in:
manné iž́nu yakín 'what do these come from?' (TJ2:120)
təķ̣̄̄́ manné 'are you herding (them), or what?' (AK4:9)
Interrogative íné can also be used in indirect questions, as in:
sl éda' íné yos̃érk lo 'he didn’t know what to do' (30:1)
sl éd'ak inć kun lo 'I didn't know what had happened' (31:4)
he kolótk hek inǵ ( $t$ )s̃érk her xafk 'I told you what to do for your foot' (52:9)
11.3 íné man, ínēn 'which? what kind of?'

The interrogative íné 'what' (§11.2) is combined with the preposition mən 'from' (§8.18) to express 'which?' or 'what kind of?', a meaning also often carried by English 'what?'. As in Mehri (Rubin 2010: 228), the element man can be separated from íné, though this is rare. Examples are:
iné man náhag 'what kind of game?' (17:24)
iné man ġeyg a 'áśars̃ 'what kind of man is your husband?' (30:17)
het íné man gंeyg 'what kind of man are you?' (33:4)
iné man gólع’ bek 'what kind of illness do you have?' (38:5)
$b$-íné het s̃ek man ḥ̂́gat tag̉ád $k$ - $\varepsilon \dot{g}$ éyg 'what need do you have to go with the man?' (28:13)

In contemporary fast speech, íné mən is usually contracted to ín $\bar{n}$, as in:
tahérg ínēn lag̀wát 'which languages do you speak?' (SM)
Hofstede (1997: 95) gives the sentence tsókan b-íné hallét 'in which town do you live?' (she also gives the variant word order b-íné hallét tsókən), but almost certainly she heard $b$-ínēn and not $b$-íné.

## 11.4 h-íné 'why? for what?'

For the interrogative 'why?' in the restricted sense of 'for what purpose?', Jibbali uses the phrase $h$-iń $\varepsilon$, literally 'for what?'. The element $h$ - is a reduced form of the preposition her (§8.11), the same reduced form that is used in conjunction with pronominal suffixes.
h-íné zaḥámk tũn ‘why have you come to us?' (30:23)
 "... show it to me." He said, "For what?" His son said, "I want to drink (it)"' (35:7-8)
h-ínél-azémš ‘why should I give him (a camel)?’ (49:32)
 him, "Give me your turban." He said, "For what?" She said, "So I can defecate in it"' (97:38)

In Mehri, the interrogative hēśon 'what?' appears to sometimes have the meaning 'why?'. No doubt, this is underlyingly $h$ - $h \bar{\varepsilon} s ́ z n-a ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~$ exactly parallel to Jibbali $h$-íné-which is realized as hēśzn due to a regular sound rule in Mehri. ${ }^{1}$

[^159]Once in the texts we also find the compound l-íné, which means 'why?' in the sense of 'because of what?':
ta'mór l-íné 'why, do you think [lit. say]?' (TJ2:112)
See further on l-iné 'because' in §13.5•4.
$11.5 \mathrm{ko}(\mathrm{h})$ 'why?'
Although the phrase $h$-íné can be used to mean 'why?' in some contexts (§11.4), the general and more common interrogative 'why?' is $k o(h)$. The word is normally pronounced $k o h$ in isolation or before a vowel, but usually $k o$ otherwise. It is often found used simply as a question by itself, for example in 25:9, 46:4, and 52:9. Of course, it can also be used in a verbal or non-verbal interrogative sentence, as in:
koh he mis̃érd 'why am I stupid?' (1:10)
koh ol dhá-(t)salō-to zeyd lo 'why won't you wait for me any longer?' (3:10)
ko het tōk 'why are you crying?' (49:28)
ko hit bũn 'why are you here?' (54:15)
ko het ol šfj́k.jak lo 'why haven't you gotten married?' (97:2)
And it can also be used in conjunction with another question:
koh, yol 'õr hek 'why, what did they say to you?' (28:3)
$k o h$, ol śé mosé $l 0$ 'why, isn't there any rain?' (32:7)
koh, mit šfókak ‘why, when did you get married?' (32:9)
koh, šã̌k tos hergót śé 'why, did you hear her say something?' (60:17)
The interrogative $k o(h)$ is also used in conjunction with the second person pronouns in contracted form. We find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ket < ko het } \\
& \text { kóit or kit < ko hit } \\
& \text { kótum or kotũm < ko tum } \\
& \text { kótən or kotẽn < ko ten }
\end{aligned}
$$

These contracted compound forms are very common in contemporary Jibbali. Examples are:

[^160]ket dz-ğótéḍk ... ket śsmk $\varepsilon y$ д́t 'why are you angry? ... Why did you sell the camel?' (AK2:7)

The third person pronouns are also used in combination with $k o(h)$, when the following verb has a nominal subject, but the forms are not contracted. Examples with a third person pronoun are:
$k \supset s ̌ \varepsilon \varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$ ’ Әд-yј̄k ‘why is the boy crying?' (33:10)
$k o$ šum agág iźénu lóttag 'why did they, these men, kill each other?' (22:19)

Finally, $k o$ can also sometimes be used idiomatically with just an independent pronoun, meaning something like 'what's (the matter) with $X$ ?':
ko het 'what's with you?' (35:6; 39:6)
$k o$ tum 'why are you (here) [or: what's the matter with you]?' (38:10)
11.6 yol, yoh 'how? what?'

The basic meaning of the interrogative $y z l$, and its common reduced form $y o h$, is 'how?'. The shift of the final $-l$ to $-h$ is based on the tendency described in §2.1.8. The word is cognate with Mehri hībō and Soqoṭri ífu(l); for the sound correspondences, we can compare Jibbali yo 'people' with Mehri $h a \bar{a} b \bar{u}$ and Soqoṭi éefo (var. éfo). Examples of its use are:

```
ar yoh al-s̃\varepsilońrk 'how should I do it then?' (1:3)
yol kisk \varepsilonnúf 'how do you feel [lit. find yourself]?' (40:15)
yoh titkk 'how is your wife?' (97:50)
```

In conjunction with certain verbs, notably 'õr 'say', śíni ‘see', and sometimes s̃érék 'do', yol/yoh is best translated into English as 'what?', for example:
yol 'õr hek 'what did they say to you?' (28:3)
ta'õryoh 'what do you say?' (55:3)
yoh tśun 'what do you think [lit. see]?' (SB2:3)
yol ans̃érk ‘what should we do?’ (SB2:6)
Examples of yol/yoh in an indirect question are:
ol éd'ak yoh al-s̃érk lo 'I don’t know what to do' (41:2)
sl éda'n yoh ans̃érk lo 'we don't know what to do' (AMı:4)
11.7 hun, hútun 'where?'

The interrogative 'where' has both the shorter form hun and longer form hútun. The shorter form, at least, can be used in either direct or indirect
questions and both can be combined with the preposition man to express 'from where, whence?' and with $l a$ - to express 'to where? whither?'. Examples of the use of these two interrogatives are:
hun $s \varepsilon$ 'where is she?' (18:9)
hun śink toš 'where did you see it?' (39:7)
hun a 'isśźi 'where is my dinner?' (54:22)
ar tété húṭũn 'so where is my wife?' (30:12)
koh, het húṭũn 'why, where have you been?' (32:10)

húṭun $\bar{u} t s ̌$ 'where is his house?' (46:11)
عðı́-ilín húṭũn 'where is so-and-so?' (60:16)
het man hũn 'where are you from?' ( $5: 7$ )
al-hũn tağád 'where would you go?' (TJ4:6)
skof ðд-yวkōz̄ən l-ǐýl lə-hũn dḥa-l-દ́blēn 'he sat watching where the camels would head to' (33:3)

The longer form húţun derives from a combination of hun and țóhun 'thus, like that' (§10.4). We can compare the parallel form hóh-ṭoh 'where?' in Hobyot (HV, p. 276), where the second element clearly derives from țōh 'thus, like that' (HV, p. 273). ${ }^{2}$ In $J L$ (s.v. htn), the word is transcribed hútun, but the Arabic-letter texts all have the glottalic $t$. Al-Shahri (2007:79) records the WJ form ḥaṭun, corresponding to CJ and EJ húṭun.

```
11.8 mit 'when?'
```

The Jibbali interrogative 'when?' is mit, which can be used in both direct and indirect questions. Examples are:

```
mit dḥa-(t)zhõm to 'when will you come back to me?' (3:5)
mit ḥa-l-\partialśn\varepsilońk 'when will I see you?' (7:3)
koh, mit šfókak ‘why, when did you get married?' (32:9)
man mit gélak 'since when have you been sick?' (40:3)
\varepsilond mit yas̃'\varepsilońd b-\varepsilondún yóf'š 'by [lit. until] when should he promise [or:
    arrange] to pay the debt' (TJ2:76)
mit ḥa-tġád \varepsilon- 'ũn 'when will you go to Oman?' (JL, s.v. myt)
ol éd'ak mit dha-l-gád lo 'I don't know when I'll go' (SM)
```

[^161]Note the phrases man mít 'since when?' and $\varepsilon d$ mit 'by when?' in the examples from 40:3 and TJ2:76, respectively. On the use of mit to introduce a temporal subordinate clause, see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.1$. On the use of $y u m$ 'when' in indirect questions, see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.7$.

## 11.9 mśé 'how many? how much?'

Jibbali mśé is used for the question 'how many?' or 'how much?'. It can be used on its own or combined with a plural noun. The question '(for) how much?', when used with regard to money or some other exchange, is bə-mśé. Examples are:

```
"bə-mśé?" 'õr taxtór. ©õr, "bə-giní tróh" ""how much?" said the doctor. He
    said, "Two guineas" ' (52:8)
mśé \(\bar{\varepsilon} m\) 'how many days?' (TJ2:44)
hõolt ðд-'ád, bə-mśé təkín se 'a load of sardines, how much does it cost?'
    (TJ2:82)
\(a-t\)-śíms bə-mśé 'how much will you sell it for?' (AK2:3)
mśé inćt 'how many women?' (JL, s.v. mśy)
s̃ek mśé karós̃ ‘how much money do you have?' (SM)
s̃ek mśé ag̉óhék 'how many brothers do you have?' (SM)
ع'ómrak mśé 'how old are you?' (lit. 'your age is how much?') (AK)
mśé \(\varepsilon\) 'ómar agák 'how old is your brother?' (AK)
sáa mśé 'what time is it?' (AK)
```

Younger Jibbali speakers sometimes prefer kam (from Arabic or Mehri) over mśé, though the latter is still widely used.

```
11.10 \varepsilonhún 'which one?'
```

The interrogative عhún means 'which?' only in the limited sense of 'which one?'. It is usually followed by a partitive man 'of'. Examples are rare in the texts, and none are in direct questions. The attested passages are:

ยhũn mənší ðд-ykín axér ‘which of them (two) is better off?’ (TJ2:100)
 which one (they believed), (if) the girl was telling the truth or the boy was telling the truth' (TJ4:71)

Two of my informants felt that $\varepsilon$ chún is related to the interrogative hun 'where?', and both used (or at least accepted) the form shúṭun in place of عhún, obviously reminiscent of the variants hun and húṭun 'where?' (§ 11.7). A third informant did not feel the two words to be related, and also did not
accept $\varepsilon h u ́ t ̣ u n$. It is possible that $\varepsilon h u$ un is connected to hun 'where' only by folk etymology among some speakers, hence the longer variant chúṭun. An example of its use in a direct question is:
chún mənhúm 'ak 'which one of them do you want?' (AK)
11.11 ol hẽ lo 'isn't that so?'

In a few passages, we find the interrogative phrase $\supset h \tilde{\varepsilon} l \supset$, which acts as a tag question, equivalent to English 'isn't that so?' or 'no?' (French n'est-ce pas). Examples are:
het dḥa-tg̉ád ḳərére, ol hẽ lo 'you'll go tomorrow, won't you?' (3:1)
ðə kun 'ak วs'édhum, het taḳírən (t)s̃érk tóhũn, al hẽ lo if you had wanted to help them, you would have been able to do so, no?' (42:10)
he zaḥámk bũn, sl hẽ $l$ Э 'I came here, didn't I?' (52:11)

## CHAPTER TWELVE

## PARTICLES

### 12.1 Coordinating Conjunctions

Following is a list of the basic Jibbali coordinating conjunctions:

```
b- 'and'
falćkan (vars. walćkan, lékan) 'but'
(man) dun 'but'
man 'or'
(ba-)faló 'or; or else'
mit' 'or'
```

Each of these will be discussed in turn below. For subordinating conjunctions, see $\S 13.4$ and $\S 13.5$. The temporal conjunction $\varepsilon d$ 'until' sometimes corresponds in usage to the English coordinating conjunction 'and'; see $\S 13.5 \cdot 3.4$ for discussion and examples. On the use of the particle $a r$ as a conjunction, see $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$.

### 12.1.1 b- 'and'

The most common conjunction in Jibbali is the coordinating particle $b$-, which derives from an earlier * $w$ - (see $\S$ 2.1.5 on the sound change * $w>b$ ). It is identical in shape, though not in origin, to the preposition $b$ - (§ 8.6). Like the preposition, the conjunction is often followed by the epenthetic vowel a. In WJ , the conjunction is in fact still $w$-; this is one of the dialect's most characteristic features (see text FBı for examples).

The conjunction $b$-is used to join two elements within a clause, or to join two clauses. Examples of the former are:

> geyg ba-tét 'a man and a woman' (2:1)
> éb b-Eniṣan 'old and young [lit. the big and the small]' (4:8)

> śśtémən ḥ̂ṭ bo-tũr bə-skér 'we bought food, dates, and sugar' (16:2)
> ambére' ba-g்abgót 'a boy and a girl' (36:1)
> zũthum 'iśś ba-kahwét 'she gave them food and coffee' (36:29)
> عmbére' háréd bo-xfíf 'the boy was strong and fast' (54:2)

And some examples of $b$ - used to join two clauses are:
$a$ śéśs, $b-o l$ 'aśśśt lo 'they roused her, but she didn't wake up' (18:10)
he bek śē־ak ba-šfáḥk ð́́nu 'I am already full, and I have this leftover' (21:7)
zũtš $\varepsilon s ̣ a ́ g$ g̀ts $b$-aġadót 'then she gave him her jewelry and she went' (22:5) $n t e ̄ h ~ b-\varepsilon n u ́ f, b-\supset l ~ t a g ̇ e ́ f a l ~ l o ~ ' w a t c h ~ o u t ~ f o r ~ y o u r s e l f ~ a n d ~ d o n ' t ~ b e ~ c a r e l e s s ' ~$ (24:3)
búkək b-aġádk 'I cried and I went' (53:12)
bə-žēṭ $\varepsilon r h ̣ i ̃ t ~ b ə-z ̌ i ̄ t ̣ a ́ s ~ \varepsilon u ̄ t ~ b ə-k ̣ e ́ r e ́ ~ x a t ̣ o ̣ k e ́ s . ~ b ə-z i ̃ s ~ x a ́ t ̣ o ́ k ~ m ə n h u ̃ m ~ b ə-s ̌ f o ̣ k ~ b e s ~$ 'and he took the pretty one, and he took her to the house and hid her clothes. And he gave her some (other) clothes and married her' (30:6)

As the last example illustrates, the conjunction $b$ - is used more frequently within a narrative context than 'and' is used in English, even at the beginning of a sentence. Anyone reading the texts will quickly notice that $b$ - is often used where it would be superfluous in English.

As with the preposition $b$-, if a word begins with $b$, then the conjunction $b$ - is either suppressed, or is realized as an initial $\partial$ - (or $\partial b$-). The conjunction $b$ - can occur, however, before an initial $m$. The fact that an initial $m$ - is not elided indicates that the underlying form of the conjunction is a vowelless $b$-, rather than ba-. With the function words mun 'who?', mit 'when?', and man 'from', the conjunction is realized $\partial$ - or $\partial m$ - (see also § 2.1.4 and the comment to text $45: 13$ ); this is also found occasionally with nouns that have an initial ma- (e.g., 32:14).

On the sequence $\mathfrak{o l}$... $b$-ol 'neither ... nor', see §13.2.1.

### 12.1.2 falékวn, walékวn, lékวn 'but'

The particle falékən and its variants (walékən, lékən), which are clearly borrowed from Arabic, correspond to English 'but'. In the texts, falékan is the most frequent of these forms, but this seems to vary from speaker to speaker. Examples of its use are:
xudúmk xodũnt, walékan ãḥ̣ól ol ḥes̃óf lo ‘I worked a little job, but the pay [lit. yield] was not good' (8:4)
mor, falékan ṣbor li $\varepsilon$ d k-ḥaṣaf 'ok, but wait for me until the morning' (30:24)
šє mehrí, falékən s̃əḳəní bo-z̧ว์fว̆l 'he was Mehri, but he was brought up in Dhofar' (34:1)
altéġanəš, falćkən al 'ak l-ó(l)tġašlo ‘I would have killed him, but I didn't want to kill him' (42:8)
ह́mínk bis̃, falékan 'ágan naġád 'I trust you, but we want to go' (60:38)
étal bisi ... ob, falékan ḥogúlti hēt bo-ḥillás 'did he catch up to you? ... No, but my bracelet fell, and he picked it up' (97:30)

As with the conjunction $b$-, we sometimes find falćkan used in the texts at the beginning of a sentence where it seems superfluous in English (e.g., 2:10)

### 12.1.3 (mən) dun 'but'

The phrase man dún is attested about a half dozen times in Johnstone's texts, where it is equivalent to the English preposition 'except' (see §8.19). However, man dún can also be used as a conjunction. Among some of my informants this was the preferred way to express 'but'. An informant gave the following sentence:
axédəm yum al-atnén, man dún yum al-t-talāt̄ā’ วl axédəm l 'I work Monday, but I don't work Tuesday' (SM)

Hofstede also heard mən dún used this way during her fieldwork (1998: 127).
In text TJ4, there are a few of examples of simple dun used as a conjunction:
yahérg l., dun bass ya‘õr ț̣́nu b-ídéš ‘he didn’t speak, but he just said this with his hands' (TJ4:29)
ténúgah k-ḥáṣaf ba-d-’’d 'ak ẽnzélš. dun ḥaḳt $\varepsilon$-zhámk, akósš ber heg egdərét 'morning came and he was still in his place. But when I came out, I found him already wandering the land' (TJ4:50)

### 12.1.4 man 'or'

As a simple coordinating conjunction, man means 'or'. It can join single words (like nouns or adjectives) or whole phrases. Johnstone implies in $J L$ (s.v. $m n$ ) that man is only used in this way in questions, but this seems to be an overstatement. The fact is that 'or', by nature of its meaning, is used most often in questions, but the passage from 10:3 shows that mon can be used in a statement. Examples are:
het dḥa-tġád her ḥógtk mən dḥa-tg̉ád túnḥag 'will you go for necessity or will you go to have fun?' (3:7)
'aktz' $u \bar{b}$, mən 'akts' $\varepsilon r \varepsilon ́ s ̌$ 'do you want to eat the heart, or do you want to eat the head?' (6:11)
'agk óram a'álét man 'ak óram elxét 'do you want the upper road or the lower road?' (6:13)
ol éd'ak lo yaḥóṣal śé man lo 'I didn’t know if he would get something or not' (10:3)
yénhum man bédé lek 'are they telling the truth or did they lie about you?' (24:1)
dha-(t)zé-to man lo ‘will you give me (some), or not?' (53:5)
'ak núśab man 'ak míh ‘do you want milk or water?’ (AK)
het 'améni man yeməní 'are you Omani or Yemeni?’ (MmS)
On the preposition man 'from', which is no doubt the source of the conjunction, see $\S 8.18$. It is not always clear whether man should be analyzed as 'from' or 'or', for example:
he dha-l-غ́z̧h̆r iyén man $\bar{\varepsilon} d \varepsilon^{\prime}$ 'I will show him the truth or the lie' (24:2) (or perhaps: 'the truth from the lie')
On man used as a quantifier, see $\S 5 \cdot 5.2$, and as a negative marker, see $\S$ 13.2.7.

### 12.1.5 (ba-)falo' 'or; or else'

The conjunction ba-fló, is also used, like man, to express 'or'. While man is more common in questions, ba-fló is more common in statements. The shorter form foló, without the conjunction $b$-, is also attested with the meaning 'or', mainly in questions. ${ }^{1}$ Examples are:
 "tidy up the place"' (34:4)
iss ba-fló aǵás 'her father or her brother' (45:17)
$\dot{g} a d y o l u ̄ t \varepsilon-k \bar{\varepsilon} r, y a z \varepsilon ́ m k$ 'iśś ba-flóyó(l)tġak'go to the house of the sheikh, so he can give you (food) or kill you' (46:9)
kalít híni her dé al-hés he ba-fló axér 'áni'tell me if anyone is like me or better than me' (54:3)

a iśórék faló śé '(are they) your friends or something?' (60:32)
s̃uk śé ag̉óhék faló aġatéték ‘do you have any brothers or sisters?' (SM)
kalét híni her da-yxédam ba- 'ũn faló b-il-yémen 'tell me if he works in Oman or Yemen' (AK) ${ }^{2}$

[^162]More often, ba-fló has the meaning 'or else', in which case it typically follows an imperative statement, and precedes some sort of threat or warning. Examples are:
lézam taḥmól, ba-fló ḥa-l-éṭəfb-Érunókum 'you must move, or else I will stampede your goats' (15:4)
kalít híni b-iyẽn, ba-fló dḥa-l-ó(l)tġ $\varepsilon n u ́ f$ 'tell me the truth, or else I will kill myself!' (30:13)
عdór, ba-fló dḥa-nə(l)tág̉ak 'go back, or else we'll kill you’ (30:23)
malés ba-rṣéṣ bes, ba-fló $\tilde{\text { źh́hál kéríb 'fill it and press it down, or else the }}$ chameleon is nearby' (53:7)
shol iyénk, ba-fló ol tş̣laḥ s̃en lo 'finish your portion, or else you are not fit [to go] with us' (54:9)
kol 'áṣər yo'aśín ġabgót bə-maḥfér ðə-xóbz, bə-fló yahéśar ḥallét 'every night they give [him] a girl and a basket of bread for dinner, or else he will destroy the town' (54:16)

We also find ba-fló ... ba-fló used like English 'either ... or', as in:
ba-fló talótag to ba-fló tózam to tétí ‘either you'll kill me or give me my wife' (30:23)
ba-fló dḥa-tũz təmbéko ba-fló ba-gilílt 'you'll smoke either tobacco or bullets' (60:26)

The particle foló can also have the sense of 'perhaps', used in both interrogative and declarative phrases. See $\S 12.5 .8$ for examples of this usage.

### 12.1.6 mit and ya 'or'

The word mit has the meaning 'or', but it is not used in the same way as man or ba-fló 'or'. Rather, it is used only to convey uncertainty. Consider the following example, the only such example from the texts:
hakt ēr heš yum mit yũ trut, 'õr hes 'after a day or two, he said to her...' (TJ4:12)

In the above passage, the narrator of the story is unsure whether one or two days has passed. Consider another example, heard from an informant:
amsélm miṭ ạḥmad 'õr híni, al fátnak lo 'Musallam or Ahmed told me, I don't remember' (MQ)

On the phrase mit-iń́, 'some kind of' or 'some(thing) or other', see § 5.5.7.
Used in the same way as mit is $y a$, which can probably be considered an Arabism. There is just one example in the texts:
yérd beš 'ak gahrér ya g ḡō 'he threw it in a valley or in a pit' (Anon1:5)
In this passage, the narrator is unsure how properly to identify the place where the object was thrown, and so he uses $y a$.

### 12.2 Exclamations

There are numerous exclamatory particles used in Jibbali. Examples of these are:

| bélée 'even so!' (see § 13.4.3) | mor 'ok!' (see § 12.2.1) |
| :--- | :--- |
| bass 'enough! that's all!' | (ya) hay b- 'welcome!' (followed |
| bass man X 'enough of X!' | by suffix or noun) |
| gadú 'let's go!' (see § 12.5.9) | ya rét (+ subj.) 'would that! I |
| hes-tó 'ok!' |  |
| hiškík (f. hiškišs, pl. hiškj́kum) | yax 'ugh!' |
| 'don't be scared!; it's ok!' |  |

Some of these can probably be considered Arabisms, like bass, ya hay $b$-, and ya rét. No doubt other such Arabic words are used by Jibbali speakers. Other exclamations are hard to translate, as they are rather culture specific. For example, upon seeing an adorable child, a speaker might say $y \bar{j}-y \rho^{\prime}$.

Note also the exclamatory particle $y a$, as in:

```
y-\overline{zmí, y-\overline{\varepsilonmi' 'Oh my mother, my mother!' (18:13)}}\mathbf{(})
y-\overline{\varepsilon}kahf;, y-\overline{\varepsilon}kahfi 'Oh my pot, my pot!' (23:8)
ya xēt bz-ya fażháat 'what a loss and what a disaster!' (SB2:7)
```


### 12.2.1 'Yes' and 'No'

The normal word for 'yes' in Jibbali is $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$. The word mor (or its longer form mógór means something like 'fine, ok', and often substitutes for a simple 'yes'.

For 'no', there are several attested words. One is $o b$, which is used about a dozen times in the texts as a simple 'no' (e.g., $3: 8 ; 60: 34 ; 97: 30$ ). Once ( $39: 8$ ) it is used to correct a negative sentence (like French $s i$ ). The word $l o b$ is found in the texts only once ( $32: 11$ ), where it is correcting a negative sentence. No doubt $o b$ and lob are etymologically related. Four times in the texts (8:7; $25: 9 ; 25: 10$; AMı:3) we find the compound ob-lób, including once to correct a negative (8:7). JL (s.v. $l w b)$ also gives the compound lob-lób. It is not clear if lob or lob-lób can be used in response to a positive question. By far the most common negative interjection in the texts is ábdan 'no, by no means, never!,' which is borrowed from Arabic abadan. Also commonly used in Jibbali is the

Arabic form $l \varepsilon^{\prime}$; the Jibbali cognate $l o$ is not used as an interjection. We also find once in the texts bo-hó' 'no way, by no means' (8:12).

### 12.3 Vocatives

The vocative particle in Jibbali is $\varepsilon$, for example:
$\varepsilon \bar{\imath}, s ̌ f \varepsilon k$ ‘Father, get married!’ (6:3)
$\varepsilon b \varepsilon$, Øókũn $t \varepsilon l g$ 'Father, that is ice' (35:7)
$\varepsilon$ derwíš, 'ak talhõm k-aǵág 'hey dervish, do you want to jump up with the (other) men?' (54:41)
$\varepsilon$ ð́́nu, $\varepsilon$ den $\varepsilon$ ġéyg, yoh tśun 'O this one, O this man [i.e., so-and-so], what do you think [lit. how do you see]?' (SB2:3)
ع'úzarək ben, îs̃érd 'you have annoyed us, crazy man' (46:9)
Note the special form $b \varepsilon$ 'father, Dad' that is sometimes used with the vocative (e.g., 35:7), and whose meaning is equivalent to $\bar{\imath}$ when used as a form of address. In the last example (46:9), it looks like the vocative $\varepsilon$ has triggered loss of the initial $m\left({ }^{*} \varepsilon\right.$ mis̃érd $>\tilde{i} \tilde{s}$ érd $)$, though it is possible that ĩsérd is just the noun with the definite article ( ${ }^{*}$ हmis̃érd > ĩsérd); see also the comment to TJ4:34. The second-to-last example (SB2:3) makes clear that the vocative $\varepsilon$ is not simply the definite article, since the demonstrative ð́́nu cannot take the article. ${ }^{3}$

The Arabic vocative particle $y a$ is found once in the texts, and this can be considered an Arabism:
ya ségódat, frír bi yol $\varepsilon u ̄ t ~ \varepsilon-\bar{\imath} ~ ' O ~ C a r p e t, ~ f l y ~ m e ~ t o ~ m y ~ f a t h e r ' s ~ h o u s e!' ~$ $(6: 24)^{4}$

On ya used as an exclamatory particle, see § 12.2.
In several places, when used with a kinship term, which naturally has a possessive suffix (§13.3.1.1), it appears that the vocative particle is missing. In fact, it has been assimilated to a following definite article. This can be likened to the suppression of the genitive exponent $\varepsilon$ - before a following definite article (see §12.4).
aǵí, smah li 'brother, forgive me!' (34:13)
ag̉áti, antír míní ‘sister, untie me!' (17:29)
ćbrí, he bek ‘õk hek 'my son, I already told you' (17:53)

[^163]12.4 Genitive Exponent $\varepsilon$-/ $\partial$ - (' $o f$ ')

The genitive exponent in Jibbali, corresponding to English 'of', has the form $\varepsilon$ - or $\partial$-. Both are prefixed to the noun, and $\partial$ - often has the helping vowel ә. The genitive exponent $\varepsilon$-/ $\varnothing$ - is, with few exceptions (see $\S 4.6$ ), the only way to express a genitive relationship between two nouns. (On pronominal possession, see $\S 3.2 .1$.) The nouns preceding and following the genitive exponent can be either definite or indefinite. Examples are:

```
\varepsilonbr\varepsiloń \partialд-dísós 'the son of a snake' (25:17)
\varepsilonbrít ðว-suṭún 'the Sultan's daughter' (6:22)
\varepsilon}sf|\partial-tét' 'a [or: the] description of the woman' (2:13
\imath ð\partial-tét 'the father of the woman' (7:5)
k\varepsilon\overline{r}\partial-\varepsilonkillt 'the sheikh of the tribe' (46:10)
a'ámḳ ð-\varepsilonrémram 'the middle of the sea' (6:22)
inćt \varepsilon-ḥallét k\varepsilonl 'all the women of the town' (30:11)
u}t\varepsilon-k\overline{\varepsilon}r\mathrm{ 'the house of the sheikh' (46:9)
\varepsilonžǵárér \varepsilon-šơtor 'the squealing of the (goat) kid' (49:8)
musá`adət \varepsilon-taxtór '(the) help of the [or: a] doctor' (52:1)
s\varepsilońkan \varepsilon-tíťš 'his wife's community' (60:1)
\varepsilonbr\varepsiloń \varepsilon-hókum \varepsilon-hallét ðíhũn 'the son of the ruler of that town' (17:15)
```

The forms $\varepsilon$ - and $\delta$-seem to be totally interchangeable. For example, we find in a single text both $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \partial ৈ-h ̣ o ́ k u m ~(97: 13) ~ a n d ~ \varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h ̣ o ́ k u m ~(97: 15) ~ f o r ~ ' t h e ~$ ruler's son'; likewise $s \varepsilon r f \varepsilon-\bar{u} t$ and $s \varepsilon r f$ б-ūt for 'side of the house' (both in 6:32). And as evident from the last example above (17:15), a string of multiple genitives is possible.

When $\varepsilon$ - comes before a noun with the definite article, it is usually suppressed. The result is that the genitive phrase looks deceptively like a classical Semitic construct phrase (§4.6), especially when the first noun has no definite article:
a 'ámk $\overline{\text { onram 'the middle of the journey' (2:1) }}$
aǵá aǵéyg 'the brother of the man' $(15: 15)$

eréš eganní 'the jinn's head' (54:31)
s̃egó't $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$ ' 'the bravery of the boy' (83:7)
¿ aġabgót 'the father of the girl' (SB1:2)
Examples where $\varepsilon$ - appears before the article can be found in 4:2 and 17:46. In both cases the word begins with a guttural, which may be relevant.

Like English 'of' and similar particles in other languages, the Jibbali genitive exponent can also be used to indicate the materials from which some-
thing is made, to describe the contents of something, or to specify the particular type of something. Examples are:

```
məktér ð-irs̃ว̆b 'a caravan of camels' (22:9)
finzuún ðд-hálh 'a cup of oil' (30:24)
kálo ðд-núš\partialb 'a bucket of milk' (33:6)
\varepsilongun\varepsilońt ðə-hitit 'the sack of grain' (51:19)
gun\varepsilońt ð\partial-bóhr 'a sack of grain' (97:6)
garórt dz-díčhzm ba-ġarórt d-işĩn 'a bag of money and a bag of scorpi-
    ons' (97:11)
mut gúni ðд-álaf 'a hundred bags of feed' (AKı:4)
```

As discussed in $\S 5$.1, an adjective modifying the first member of a genitive phrase will follow the entire phrase. Whether an adjective in such a position modifies the first or second member of the phrase, if not clear from gender/number agreement, must be gleaned from context. Examples are:
sbrít ðə-bāl $\varepsilon$ ṣód ũm 'the old(er) [lit. big] daughter of the fisherman' (97:33)
عbré -ḩókum zniṣán 'the young(er) [lit. small] son of the ruler' (97:46)
 so must modify $\varepsilon$ brít 'the daughter'. In the second example (97:46), हníṣán 'small' is masculine, and so it could modify either noun. In the appropriate context, the phrase could mean 'the son of the young(er) ruler'

On the use of the genitive exponent $\varnothing$ - following certain numbers, see § 9.1.4 and § 9.1.5.

### 12.5 Miscellaneous Particles

### 12.5.1 ámma

The particle ámma has the meaning '(but) as for', and is used to stress a contrasting or new subject. It occurs only about a half dozen times in Johnstone's Jibbali texts. ${ }^{5}$ Examples are:
ámma ìýl b-érún, ol yózamsan 'ad $l^{\circ}$ 'As for the camels and the goats, they don't give them sardines' (9:7)

[^164]ámma ag̉abgót tasókf đ̣er emíh, $b$ - $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$ ' yabg̉ód yag̉élk her $\varepsilon s$ 'ć̣yhr 'as for the girl, she would stay by the water, and the boy would go out to look for gazelles' (17:13)
w-ámma bāl દ́rún, hes ižók ənt̄̄ḥ, cród érunéš ed erbé 'as for the goatherder, when [or: while] those guys fought, he brought his goats to the water and let (them) drink' (20:6)

Note that ámma can also be used two (or more) times in sequence, to contrast two (or more) subjects, as in:
ámma érún b-iyél, 'aḳxorfol takínən bésən nús̊əb l. ámma elhúti təkínən bésən núśəb 'as for the goats and the camels, in the rainy season they don't have milk. As for the cows, they do have milk' (9:2)

### 12.5.2 un

In several passages in the texts, we find the particle un. Two of these passages are in Ali Musallam's texts, and the word is spelled and pronounced differently in the two passages. In the Arabic manuscript of the first passage (8:13), this word is spelled dig (unh); the consonant $n$ is not heard on the audio, but the vowel is nasalized. In the second passage (38:9), the word is spelled $و ن$ (un), and on the audio the vowel is elided (and not nasalized). The passages are:
aftéṭũn $\tilde{u}(n)$ 'please remember!' (8:13)
ǵadú un 'let's go then!' (38:9) (pronounced [ġadún'])
The particle seems to give some sense of urgency to the imperatives in these passages. The corresponding Mehri version of 8:13 (M57:13) has the rare particle $m \bar{o}$, which seems to have the same function. A third example following an imperative—attested in the manuscript (spelled وهن), but absent from the audio-is in TJ5:1.

Another attested context is:
inć un cyó ḥa-ya'mór 'what then [or: indeed] will the people say?' (SB2:7)

Here the particle again adds very little, but likely has the sense of 'then' or 'indeed', a meaning which is also attested for Mehri mō. In the Arabic manuscript of this text (written by Salim Bakhit), the spelling is ('un), and the $n$ is clearly heard on the audio. The particle also occurs several times in text TJ4:
héróg s̃eš al-hálsan đókun un 'they spoke with him at that same time' (TJ4:45)
 people, the inhabitants of the town where the boy was, where the girl's father was, heard the news' (TJ4:76)

In TJ4:45, Ali Musallam (who transcribed this text into Arabic letters), spelled the particle ون (un), while in TJ4:76, he spelled it (twice) وون (uun). In both passages, the particle seems to have little semantic function, if any.

In $M L$ (s.v. $m^{\prime}$ ), it is suggested that the Jibbali cognates of Mehri $m \bar{o}$ are mor and mog่or (§12.2.1), but this seems very unlikely. It seems much more likely that $\tilde{u}(n)$ is the cognate of $m \bar{o}$.
$12.5 \cdot 3$ 'od
The particle ' $s d$ is not to be confused with the compound $d-{ }^{-} x d$. The latter has a different meaning than ' $5 d$, and also behaves differently morphologically; therefore, it is treated elsewhere (§7.3). The negative $\Omega$ l-ód is more complicated, as it can be used as the negative of bare ' $\partial d$ or $d$-' $\supset d$, and so this is discussed mainly within the section on negation (§ 13.2.4).

There seem to be two basic uses of ' $\partial d$. First, it can have the meaning 'again'. This is encountered most frequently in negative sentences, but there are a few examples from positive sentences in the texts. Examples are:
he ber li masalũt her'od'śink tok'I already have a sacrificial animal for if I saw you again' (13:10)
ol-'ód ḳ́dór yas̃éxant lo 'he was not able to get out again' (22:2)
her 'od bédé lek, kalét híni 'if they lie about you again, tell me' (24:3)
her 'sd bédé li zafét $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} \dot{g} a r o ́ t, ~ d h ̣ a-l-s ̃ z n a ́ h ̣ h u m ~ ' i f ~ t h e y ~ l i e ~ a b o u t ~ m e ~ a ~$ second time, I will fight them' (24:4)

Note that the example from $24: 4$ includes the redundant zafét $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{g} \dot{g} a r o ́ t ~ ' a$ second time [or: for the second time]'. Another adverbial phrase meaning 'again' (referring to a future context only) is xaṭarét $\varepsilon$-tənká‘ (lit. 'a/the time that will come'), as in mit a-tézar 'ũn xaṭarét $\varepsilon$-tənká 'when will you visit Oman again?' (SM). See §13.2.4 for examples of 'od meaning 'again' in negative contexts, along with further discussion.

In its second basic use, ' $\mathfrak{J d}$, in conjunction with a subjunctive form of kun 'be' plus another verb, has the meaning 'perhaps', 'might be', or 'could it be that. ${ }^{6}$ There are about a half dozen examples from the texts, mainly introducing a question. Some are:

[^165]'วd yékən ar ðə-ȳ̄d 'perhaps it was someone who was lying [or: lies]' (8:11)
'od təkún látġəkum émí 'have you perhaps killed my mother?' (18:13)
'od takún ǵébkum nxinúš ‘have you perhaps defecated under it?' (22:16)
'od yékan gólع' angdarát 'it might be a supernatural illness' (38:6)
'od tékən śink $\varepsilon g$ ว́f́ḱk 'could it be you saw your shadow?' (39:8)
ag̉óhés, 'כd yakín hóhum śé 'her brothers, is there anything for them?' (TJ2:28)

This construction serves as a way of getting into a past tense context the meaning of uncertainty that comes with a subjunctive (see § 7.1.3).

Another occurrence of ' $5 d$ in a question may also have the meaning 'perhaps':
'od 'éðәr 'is there any excuse?' (41:7) ${ }^{7}$
It may be, however, that the meaning here is 'any at all' (i.e., the positive counterpart to negative 'sl-ód 'not at all'; see § 13.2.4). Note that in response to this question in 41:7, we find negative $\mathfrak{s - c o d}$ in $41: 8$. Another occurrence of ' $\partial d$ meaning 'at all' is:
'od yacõr his̃ śé ba-fló sé 'does he do [lit. say] anything at all to you?' (TJ4:50)

Sometimes the meaning of ' $\partial d$ is not totally clear, as in:
her 'od taḳódər híni bo-ḥilt 'if you can perhaps [or: again] (give) me credit' (41:2)

In this passage, 'od can make sense as 'perhaps', 'again', or '(any) at all'.
12.5.4 ar

The particle $a r$ is quite common, and it has a variety of functions. The meanings listed in $J L$ (s.v. 'r) are 'only, just; about; unless, except; instead of'. This set of meanings is complicated by the fact that there is a preposition (')ar 'from; than' (see §8.4), which should be kept distinct from the particle, at least synchronically. For the particle $a r$, I would assign four basic meanings: 'only, just', 'except, but', 'really, indeed', and 'so'. There are also cases in which

[^166]ar seems to have little or no meaning at all. Each of the various uses of the particle $a r$ will be dealt with in turn.

One common meaning of $a r$ is 'only, just', in which case the clause is usually negated with $\rho$, but without a following $l o$ (cf. French ne ... que). Examples are:
> he ol ši ar ð́́nu 'I only have this' (21:5)
> l -'s'd $\varepsilon b$ ké ar tuš 'he left only (one) male goat' (22:7)
> ol s̃eš ar múxbut tat 'he only had one cartridge' (25:8)
> sẽn al tabğ́dən ar ba-xaṭj̣késən 'they won't go without [lit. will only go with] their clothes' (30:4)
> he olaššfjł.k ar agág ‘I only marry men’ (46:4)
> nḥa ol súlman ar đénu 'we have only this one left' (51:10)
> al s̃orókan țً̄l 'ar her nanhág 'we made music only in order to dance' (Pr114)

It is also possible, though less common, to find ar meaning 'only, just' in a positive phrase, for example:
nha zəhān bun ar hér ơśśton 'we came here only for our livestock' (15:3)
he ar kallén 'I am just a child' (36:30)
he áágób ar ba-núśab 'I only liked milk' (51:2)
ar śékal'(it was) only a twin' (49:9)
'ak ar ba-ðín 'I only want this one' (TJ4:35)
There is no difference in meaning between this use of $a r$ in positive or a negative sentence. Compare the following two passages from the same text:
athúmk to śink ar egófí tmanhínam ‘I think maybe I only saw my shadow last night' (39:10)
he al śink ar egófí manhínam 'I only saw my shadow last night' (39:12)
Related to the use of $a r$ meaning 'only' in a negative phrase, $a r$ can also function as a pseudo-preposition meaning 'except' or 'but' in a negative sentence. However, unlike when $a r$ means 'only, just' in a negative sentence, when it means 'except, but', we find the double negators $\Omega \ldots l$, instead of just $\rho$. Examples are:

دl s̃en ġag lo ar anhá ińńt 'we have no one but us women' (13:3)
áélíal soóhum délo ar he 'my family has no one but me' (13:18)
ol adūran lo ar bis̃ 'I will not go back without [lit. except with] you' (30:21)
ə xaléf éléd lo ar aga abgót đikun 'he had left behind no children except that girl' (46:1)
ol dé yanúfa'k lo ar õśśtk 'no one will be of use to you except your livestock' (57:7)

There is clearly semantic overlap between ar meaning 'only, just' and ar meaning 'except, but'. For example, the above sentence from 21:5, he ol s̃i ar ðénu, translates as 'I have only this', but this is semantically the same as 'I don’t have anything except this'. Similarly, sẽn ol tabg̈ódən ar bə-xaṭóḳ́san could be either 'they will only go with their clothes' or 'they won't go except
 'we have no one but us women' or 'we have no men, only us women'. So while English makes this distinction between the adverbs 'only, just' and the prepositions 'except, but', the distinction in Jibbali is blurred. What is clear is that $a r$ (with these meanings) is grammatically not a preposition in Jibbali. This is proven by the fact that it can be followed by an independent pronoun (e.g., 13:3) or by another preposition (e.g., 30:21).

The particle ar can also have a sort of emphatic meaning 'really, indeed', usually best left untranslated. This is found almost always in conjunction with a future tense, in contexts related to swearing or killing, for example:
dəxíl ar ḥa-(t)zím to snúf ... ar ḥa-l-zémk हnúf 'swear you will really give yourself to me! ... I will really give myself to you' (2:3)
guzúm ar ḥa-yạ̣ézzəs 'he swore he would kill her' (17:9)
kol manküm дə-kérəb to, ar dḥa-l-ว́(l)tġə̌̌ 'whoever of you that comes near me, I will kill him!' (25:20)
her aǵádk yol sẽhm ðókũn, ar dḥa-l-ó(l)tġวk 'if you go to that poison, I will kill you' (35:8)
her ol kólóts̃ híni lo, ar dha-l-ó(l)tġis̃ 'if you don’t tell me, I will kill you' (46:5)

In combination with an interrogative, and used in clause-initial position, ar means something like English 'so...' or 'well...'. Examples are:

```
ar yoh al-s̃\varepsilońrk 'so how should I do it?' (1:3)
ar téṫi húṭũn 'so where is my wife?' (30:12)
ar \varepsilonbríts̃ húṭun 'so where is your daughter?' (36:8)
ar inné 'ak (t)\tilde{s}\varepsilonrk 'so what do you want to do?' (57:7)
ar ko het ṭ\varepsilońnu 'so why are you like this?' (TJ4:5)
aryoh 'how so?' (TJ3:27)
```

The particle $a r$ is also used in conjunction with some other words. The most common combination is ar her, which functions as a subordinator 'unless'. Of course, this combination is literally 'except if' (on her 'if', see
§13.4.1), and we saw above that 'except' is one of the basic meanings of ar. Some examples are:
ol ta‘aśéśs lo ar hér siţ̣́t bə-xətarj̣k troh 'she won't wake up unless she is hit twice with a stick [or: with two sticks]' (18:11)
al dé yas̃énús yašőb meš gasré lo, ar hér kun g̀ag mékan 'no one dared get water from it at night, unless there were a lot of people' (39:1)
دl nakénúm lo, ar hér dha-(t)zhõ-tun ba-kasmét 'we won't collect fodder, unless you'll bring us a gift' (47:7)
ol yabğód lo ar hér 'ágis̃ (t)zĩmš yat 'he won't go unless you want to give him a camel' (49:32)
ıl akódar al-gád lo ar hér mizk 'I can't go on unless I smoke' (60:26)
Another combination is tob ar, which means something like 'truly, indeed', as in:

> tob ar fúrhək tun 'you have truly made us happy' (8:13)
> tob ar fítnək toš 'I do indeed remember him' (8:14)
> tob ar ġaró đモ́nu 'what words indeed!' (28:11)
> tob ar yénhum yo 'indeed the people told the truth' (46:12)
> tob ar málézt embére' đénu 'this boy is truly sickening' (57:7)
> tob ar s̃eš ganshét 'he truly has coins!' (TJ4:34)

The combination kalá $a r$ is attested twice, once (38:1) with the meaning 'especially since' or 'given that', and once (46:10) with an unclear function:
śa 'b al takín man gér dé lo, kalá‘ar ākat đénu bes srhamét 'the valley will not be without anyone, especially (since) at this time there is rain' (38:1)
 'he can't kill you? He raided two months and killed the sheikh of the tribe, so what about you?' (46:10)

In a couple of passages, $a r$ seems to function as a conjunction 'only, but':
iżőhũn sabró, ar al 'akl-s’klaţ hek lo 'those were ghosts, only I didn't want to tell you' (16:5)
he $b$ - $\varepsilon r$ ż~, ar ol dé kolót híni ba-šfj́ktakla 'T've been in the country, only no one told me about your marriage' (32:11)

Finally, there are a few passages in the texts in which the function of $a r$ is unclear.

### 12.5.5 ebúbne

The particle $\varepsilon b u$ unne occurs just twice in the texts ( $57: 11 ; \mathrm{TJ} 5: 8$ ), and one of the passages is in a story that was translated from Mehri. ${ }^{8}$ Informants confirm, however, that this word is used in Jibbali, and so is not just a Mehrism in these texts. It means something like 'please!' or 'I beg you!', not used for a normal request (like 'please pass the salt'), but for special encouragement, especially by a parent or other family member. It is usually followed by a form of address, like a proper name (preceded by $b$-) or a kinship term. Examples are:

> sbúbne غ́brí, s̃ẽn to 'please, my son, obey me!' (57:11)
> عbúbne bə-msélm 'please, Musallam!' (MmS)

### 12.5.6 dek

The particle dek means 'be sure (not to)' or 'be careful (not to).' It is used in negative contexts only, and is followed by $a /$ plus a subjunctive verb. There is no following $l o$ used in these negative constructions (cf. § 13.2.2). Examples are:
$\operatorname{dek} \rho(l)$ l-́ǵgrag 'be careful not to be long' (3:13)
dek ol tagád ba-tókala‘to 'be sure not to go and leave me' (3:18)
dek ol tóklat her dé 'be sure not to tell anyone' (12:6)
dek $a l(t)$ š̌f 'be careful not to fall asleep' ( $30: 2$ )
dek ol tósbat eg̈àtk 'be sure not to hit your sister' (49:13)
dek $o(l) l-a ́ a s ́ s ̌$ 'be careful not to wake him' (50:2)
This particle $d e k$ is presumably the 2 ms suffixed form of the preposition $\varepsilon d$ 'to, until' (§8.1), used, for example, in 7 :1. So in its use as a particle meaning 'be sure/careful (not to)', we can also find the forms des̃ (fs), dókum (mp), and dékzn (fp), for example des̃ ol tafrík 'be careful not to be frightened'. The texts only contain examples of the masculine singular dek.

### 12.5.7 dunk

The particle dunk can be translated either as an imperative 'take!' or as a slightly more polite 'you may have'. Historically it probably means 'I have

[^167]given', and is a frozen ics perfect. ${ }^{9}$ The preposition $b$ - is required before the object. Just one example is found in the texts:
dunk b-ahkiitti 'take [or: you may have] my kingdom' (54:43)
This can be used as a response to ndóh 'give me' (§12.5.16). In fact, the two are probably etymologically related, both deriving from the Semitic root $n t n / n d n$ 'give'.

### 12.5.8 faló

The particle (ba-)faló meaning 'or; or else' was described in § 12.1.5. The shorter form $f a l \prime$ can also be used with a different function, giving the sense of 'perhaps'. Examples from the texts are:
falb 'agk taxédəm tōlén 'do you perhaps want to work for us?' ( $5: 8$ )
folśs sink $\varepsilon b r i ́$ 'did you perhaps see my son?' (8:5)
faló akós dé yomzéz 'perhaps I'll find someone who smokes' (60:25)
dha-təksé šáxar yamzéz, bə-fló yézmək sé 'you'll find an old man who
smokes, and perhaps he'll give you something' (60:30)
falb́ akós šxarét 'perhaps I'll find an old woman' (AMı:5)

In a question (like the first two examples above), the translation 'perhaps' might be considered superfluous, in which case the particle falś could conceivably be considered more like an interrogative marker (like Arabic hal).

### 12.5.9 ġadú

The particle gadú has the meaning 'let's go!' or 'come on!'. It can be used by itself or with a following prepositional phrase. It can also be followed by a 1cp subjunctive, in which case it can be translated 'let's go and...!'. Some examples of its use are:

```
mor, gadúyolyo 'ok, let's go to the people!' (1:9)
her 'ak, gadú 'if you want, let's go!' (12:2)
mor, ğadúu, dha---&śn\varepsilońk i \imathmbér\varepsilon' 'ok, come on, I'll show you the boy's
    father!' (18:8)
gadúu nzśné let's go and see!' (22:16)
gadú ontbás 'let's go follow her!' (60:22)
gadúyyolš 'let's go to him!' (60:47)
```

[^168]The particle ǵadú is obviously connected with the verb aǵád 'go' (root wǵd). A particle $\dot{g} a d \bar{u}$ is used in Mehri (Watson 2012: 135), even though there is no corresponding verb root meaning 'go'.10

### 12.5.10 hũk

The particle hũk means 'here is/are!', more or less equivalent to French voici. To a woman, one would say hũs̃, and to a group hũkum (m.) or hũkzn (f.). Examples from the texts are:

```
hũk &şág\partialtt 'here is the jewelry' (22:5)
hük đ\varepsilońnu 'here is this (for you)' (38:13)
hũk egznbit 'here is the dagger' (41:10)
hũk &ktzbinćk 'here are your books' (52:8)
dha---z\varepsilońmk z̃ghúdi. hũk 'I will give you my best effort. Here you are!'
    (83:6)
```

The Mehri equivalent of this particle is $h a \bar{a} k$, which occurs just one time in Johnstone's texts (M83:6 $=\mathrm{J} 83: 6$ ), as an independent exclamation 'here you are!?" It is uncertain if Mehri $h a \bar{a} k$ can be followed by a noun, as Jibbali hük can. Unfortunately, the four examples of $h \tilde{u} k$ followed by a noun above have no Mehri parallels among Johnstone's texts. ${ }^{12}$ It is also uncertain whether or not Jibbali hũk and Mehri hāk are etymologically related, though it seems reasonable to suggest that they may be; cf. also Arabic häka (mp hākum) 'here you are!'.

### 12.5.11 kézúm

The particle kéżúm, which can be used in either verbal or non-verbal clauses, gives the sense of 'used to'. In $J L$ (s.v. $k l m$ ), Johnstone suggested that it derives from kol yum 'every day' (cf. also HV, pp. 258, 286). There is only one example from the texts. In 48:18, which was written by Ali Musallam,

[^169]Ali gave the sentence: ī b-īk énfžt yadólaf man bũn $\varepsilon d$ 'ak egaḥrér 'my father and your father formerly would jump from here into the valley'. The Roman manuscript of this text includes many corrections based on an informant who spoke a CJ dialect. Among the corrections, we find that énfz̄t yadólaf in the aforementioned passages was corrected to kéźúm yaðólaf 'used to jump. ${ }^{13}$

Other examples of kézúm are:
šะ kéźúm ḥáréd 'he used to be strong' (JL, s.v. klm)
kézúm d-эə niṣán, al-racá érún 'when I was young, I used to herd the goats' (AK)
ag̉éyg ðદn kéźúm fekír, dun náṣanu ber túz̃ur 'this man used to be poor, but now he is rich' (Hofstede 1998: 153)
s̃erk hes het kéźúm ts̃érók 'do as you used to do’ (AdM)
het kéźúm ts̃éf mékan, lékan náṣanu bek txédəm mékan 'you used to sleep a lot, but now you work a lot' (Hofstede 1998: 153) ${ }^{14}$
kézúm l-дmzéz, bass náṣanu ol l-əmzéz lo 'I used to smoke, only now I don't smoke' (MQ)

We can compare the meaning of kézúm plus the imperfect, as in the last three examples above, with an imperfect in a sentence like ba-sáa xĩ̌ dz-lamzéz 'at 5:00, I was smoking' (MQ) or hes kunk də-l-əmzéz, śíni to 'when I was smoking, he saw me' (MQ). The particle kéźúm is not absolutely necessary, however, for an imperfect to have a past habitual sense like English 'used to', as discussed in §7.1.2 (see the examples from texts 49:3 and 54:2).

### 12.5.12 ketk

The word $k \varepsilon t k$ means something like 'I think' or 'maybe'. Its meaning is very close to (a)thumk (§12.5.18), and likewise seems to be a frozen 1cs perfect. The particle-which we can really call a verb-is normally followed by a direct object pronoun $t$ - (§3.3), which serves as the subject of the following complement clause, whether verbal or non-verbal. A verb in the complement clause can be perfect, future, or subjunctive. The word appears just once in the texts, but informants claim it is commonly used. Examples are:

[^170]ketk taš kéríb 'od les 'I think he was still close to her' (TJ4:65)
ketk toš yaġórbaš 'maybe he knows him' (SM)
ketk toš aǵád amrika ‘I think he went to America’ (SM)
$k \varepsilon t k$ toš $a$-yənká ‘'I think maybe he'll come' (SM)
ketk toš tē nūzún 'maybe he has eaten ants' (JL, s.v. kt)
kitk toš ' $\check{g} \mathrm{gaz}$ 'maybe he is lazy' (Hofstede 1998: 109)

### 12.5.13 lézaт

The indeclinable particle lézzom, which many native speakers consider an Arabism (cf. Arabic lāzim) has the meaning 'must', 'have to', or 'it is necessary that'. It is either followed by a subjunctive or, to indicate an explicit future or hypothetical, a future. Examples are:
lézam tạ̣mól 'you must move' (15:4)
lézam al-śnék ‘I must see you’ (17:8)
lézam dé dḥa-ys̃ahékəzk 'there must be someone who will answer you' (38:1)
her aǵádək l-วśnéš, lézam dḥa-l-zémš 'if I go to see him, I will have to give him (something)' (52:4)
lézam tóķala‘xaf ðóhũn ‘ak míh gelól ... mg̉óre’ lézam tókala‘ śé ḍirrš ‘you will have to put that foot in hot water ... then you will have to put something on it' ( $52: 6-7$ )

### 12.5.14 mkun

The particle mkun occurs just a half dozen times in Johnstone's texts, twice in conjunction with xaṭarét 'once' at the beginning of a story. It does not really add any special meaning, though we can translate mkun xaṭarét as 'now once'. When followed by a noun or pronoun, it means something like 'as for'. The particle mkun is optionally followed by man, at least when there is a following noun. Some of the attested passages are:
mkun hé, 'ak k-iyél 'as for me, I want (to be) with the camels' (47:2)
mkun mon $k \jmath b$, aġád $\varepsilon d^{\prime} a k$ śa'b 'as for the wolf, he went into a valley' (48:3)
mkun xaṭarét ṭit ð-axáfən bə-xádər ðókũn 'now once we were camped in that cave' (49:1)
mkun xaṭarét $\varepsilon$ dídi ag̉ád ðə-yxéṭər 'now once my uncle went traveling' (53:1)

In one Roman manuscript of text 48, based on a later informant who spoke a different dialect (CJ), Johnstone changed mkun to mənkún. In $J L$ (s.v. $m n)$, Johnstone also presents the particle as man kun, and this longer form occurs once in one of his CJ texts:
> mən kun mən ižj́k, tenúz'an xaṭj́késən 'as for them, they took off their clothes' (TJ4:27)

In one passage that has a Mehri parallel (49:1 = M89:1), mkun corresponds to Mehri mākənnáy. Text 48 (which uses mkun three times) also has a parallel Mehri version (albeit not an identical one), but there we find no equivalent of mkun.

### 12.5.15 maskín

The word maskín (< Arabic miskīn 'poor, miserable') can be an adjective or noun meaning 'poor (fellow)'. It can also be used idiomatically to mean 'I wish' or 'I hope' (usually implying an unlikely scenario), in which case it is followed by the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$ - $/ \partial$-. The word does not occur in the texts, in either meaning, but there is an example in $J L$, and the word came up with multiple informants. The construction of the idiom is quite interesting. To say 'I hope to $X$ ', the relative is followed by a 3 ms verb in the perfect. To say 'I hope $X$ (does something)', then the relative is followed by a noun or pronoun, which is in turn followed by a verb in the perfect. Examples are:

```
maskín \varepsilon-śinik kéríb 'I hope to see you soon` (MmS)
maskin e-šfj`\mp@code{gabgót đúhun 'T hope to marry that girl' (AK)}
maskín \varepsilon-kšéš 'I hope to find him' (AK)
maskín \varepsilon-š\varepsilońzzhám to 'I hope he comes to me' (AK)
maskín \varepsilon-agád barís 'I wish I could go to Paris' (SM)
maskín ð-\supsetõi\varepsilondōrli 'I wish my grandfather would come back to me` (JL,
    s.v. dwr)
```


### 12.5.16 ndóh

The particle ndóh seems to be a frozen imperative form. Unlike a regular imperative, it does not decline for gender or number. When followed by a noun, it has the meaning 'give here!' or 'give me!', but when followed by a subjunctive verb, it has the meaning 'let me!'. While the Mehri equivalent ndōh occurs a half dozen times in Johnstone's Mehri texts, ndóh occurs just once in his Jibbali texts. This fact probably means nothing about the frequency of its use, since four of the Mehri occurrences are in stories
that do not have parallel Jibbali versions. It is worth noting, however, that in one Mehri passage with this particle that does have a parallel Jibbali version (Mehri 3:5 = Jibbali 22:5), the Jibbali version has zĩ-to 'give me' (a true imperative form). ${ }^{15}$ Examples of its use are:
‘õrót, "ndóh" 'she said, "give (them) here!"' (17:7)
ndóh l-əśné ‘let me see!" (AK)
Unlike its Mehri equivalent (Rubin 2010: 254), this particle does not seem to be able to take pronominal suffixes. Instead a pronominal object is indicated with the preposition $b$-, as in ndóh beš 'give it to me!' (MmS).

### 12.5.17 ś $f$

The particle ś $\varepsilon f$, which occurs about twenty-five times in the texts, has the meaning 'it happened/turned out that' or 'as it happened/turned out'. It can be followed by a nominal subject, or the subject can be understood from context. Following are some examples from the texts:
bə-śéfag̉éyg a'áśar $\varepsilon$--iš 'and it so happened that the man was his father's friend' (5:11)
bə-śéfaġág ber ðə-kūn đ̣er xádər 'and it so happened that the men had already hidden on top of the cave' (25:12)
 (2:12)

śff hiiš ag̉ád ed éṣal tel axṣúm ðə-ka'dét 'it so happened that his brother-in-law had gone until he reached the enemies of Ka'det' (25:11)
agéyg عšfék a gatetés śhalét g̀ gag ð-эl yaġórbhum lo. ba-śéf ginnú 'the man had married off his three sisters to men that he didn't know. And it so happened that they were jinns' $(30: 16)^{16}$
falékən embére’ $\varepsilon k ̣ \varepsilon ́ l b \partial s ̌ ~ s ́ \varepsilon f ~ ̣ ̣ o ́ s i ~ ' b u t ~ t h e ~ b o y, ~ i t ~ t u r n s ~ o u t ~ h i s ~ h e a r t ~ i s ~ h a r d ’ ~$ (49:35)
ba-gélak. śef s̃halék gódərí 'I got sick. It turns out I caught smallpox' (53:12)

[^171]The particle śsf is normally used in its bare form, as in all of the above examples, but can optionally take a pronominal suffix, at least if the subject is otherwise unexpressed in a non-verbal clause, for example:

عd zəhám, śéfhum bet bu zíd al-haláli 'then when they came, it turns out they were the house [or: clan] of Bu Zid al-Hilali' (54:5)

In one place in the texts ś $\varepsilon f$ seems to have the meaning 'really', in the sense of 'it turned out really to be the case that':
tob ar yénhum yo, śfk mis̃érd 'indeed the people tell the truth, you really are crazy!' (46:12)

This particle śsf is to be distinguished from the noun ś $\varepsilon f$ (pl. $\varepsilon s f f f f$ ) 'track, footprint' (e.g., 25:8).
12.5.18 (a)thúmk

In Mehri, there is a regular H-Stem verb hathūm 'think, imagine', but in Jibbali only the frozen form (a)thúmk is used with this meaning, in the sense of 'I think that...' or 'maybe.' ${ }^{7}$ Otherwise, the Jibbali H-Stem thím means 'accuse'. The verb (a)thúmk is nearly always followed by a direct object pronoun $t$ - (§3.3), which serves as the subject of the following complement clause, whether verbal or non-verbal. A verb in the complement clause can be perfect, future, or subjunctive; the subjunctive seems to add an additional sense of doubt (cf. the last two examples below). Examples are:
athúmk to dha-l-ğád ñek 'I think I'll go with you' (28:1)
athúmk tok al ṣhabj́lk to $l$ o 'I think maybe you didn’t understand me’ (34:11)
thúmk tos ərgəfét 'I think it's malaria' (38:6)
athúmk to śink ar egófí manhínam 'I think maybe I only saw my shadow last night' (39:10)
thúmk to al-ǵád náṣanu ‘I think I might go now' (38:2)
thúmk toš yékan man axṣómén, falékən athúmk tıš ber ağád 'I think he might be from our enemies, but I think he already left' (60:42)

Alternatively, the complement clause can have a nominal subject, as in:
athúmk iss mélik 'I think maybe her father is an angel' (97:44)

[^172]No matter what kind of complement clause follows (a)thúmk, it is not attested with a complementizer (§ 13.5.1.1).

In one passage with (a)thúmk, there is ellipsis of the complement clause:
athúmk to 'I think I (did)' (40:6)
12.5.19 tō-

The particle tō- occurs only with pronominal suffixes (usually second person), and is followed by a verb in the subjunctive. It has the meaning of 'must', 'ought to', or 'have to', or 'it's necessary that'. Examples are:
tōk tókəla` tun 'ak xádər ðénu orx ṭad 'you should allow us in this cave for one month' (15:4)
tōkum tasmóḥ to 'you must excuse me' (17:41)
tōkum takalol' to l-éréd iyélí 'you should allow me to bring down my camels' (25:2)
tōk tókala'to tel acélí ónut dínu 'you should leave me with my family this year' (60:2)
tōk al-sólam to 'you should spare me' (83:5)
In one passage $t \bar{o}$ - is used independently, with the verb implied:
‘õrót tiţ̦̌, "дə-firkək ‘ãs al tékən 'agiót ta'tún len." ôr ag̉éyg, "วl tōs lo" ‘his wife said, "I am afraid she may want to inform on us." The man said, "She shouldn't." (60:39-40)

The compound hes-tó can be used as an exclamation 'good!', and one informant used this as an equivalent of $t \bar{o}-$ :
hes-tó yékan s̃ek ḳarós̃ ‘you should have money [if you travel]' (SM)
The particle $t \bar{o}$-, along with its Mehri cognate taww-, ${ }^{18}$ is almost certainly to be connected with the adverb taw 'well', on which see the comment to text 97:31. Some have also suggested a connection with the Arabic particle taww-, which has the meaning 'just, just now' in Omani and many other Arabic dialects (cf. standard Arabic tawwan 'just now; right away'), as well as in Harsusi. ${ }^{19}$

[^173]12.5.20 wégab

The particle wégəb (< Arabic wājib) ${ }^{20}$ is followed by a subjunctive verb and has a meaning something like 'it is proper that', 'ought to', 'should', or 'it is necessary’. The Mehri equivalent of wégab (namely, wōgab) occurs six times in Johnstone's Mehri texts, but wégab appears only twice in his Jibbali texts. Four of the occurrences in Mehri are in stories that have no Jibbali parallels, and of the remaining two, only one uses wégab in the Jibbali version. The two occurrences in the Jibbali texts are:

っl wégab lo 'it is not necessary [or: appropriate]' (TJ4:89)
 dead like this' (25:17) (cf. Mehri al awágabkam (t)sīram wa-tḳalām amaláwtəg waṭōmah lā 'you ought not go and leave the dead like this', M64:26)

Presumably wégab can be used in a positive sentence, as in Mehri. However, as the example from 25:17 illustrates, its use does not seem to be identical with Mehri $w \bar{o} g a b$, which usually requires a pronominal suffix in a negative context. Jibbali wégab (like Mehri) can also be followed by the preposition $l-$, as in wégab len naǵád 'we ought to go'.

In the other extant parallel passage, Mehri has al awagabkam lā tawtēgam makanayw man tōdi 'you ought not kill a child at the breast' (M64:25), while the Jibbali text has ol ḳəyóskum (t)tog ṭéfal man 'aḳfídét lo 'it is not right for you to kill an infant in the cradle' (25:16). ${ }^{21}$ The word kayós on its own means something like 'a good fit' (cf. 97:32). ${ }^{22}$

[^174]
## CHAPTER THIRTEEN

## SOME SYNTACTIC FEATURES

### 13.1 Copular (Non-Verbal) Sentences

Jibbali, like most other Semitic languages, does not make use of a verb 'be' in the present tense. It is also often absent in the past tense. In a copular sentence, subject and predicate are simply juxtaposed. This is true for both positive and negative sentences. Following are some examples with various types of subjects and predicates:
he a'áśar $\varepsilon$-īk 'I am your father's friend' (5:12)
het 'ógaz 'you are lazy' (24:1)
het ol geyg lo 'you are not a man' (46:4)
še ġeyg raḥím 'he was a handsome man' (54:27)
se sl gabgót lo 'she is not a girl' (45:2)

šum 'ak. mənzél roḥím 'they are in a beautiful place' (28:5)
ðénu tabṣíf $\varepsilon$-taġbir 'this is the description of heartburn' (40:16)
ð́́nu งl a 'iśśi lo 'this is not my dinner' (54:26)
Әлкũn тәп ižók วд-s̃én 'that was one of our friends [lit. those with us]' (60:45)
ižénu axṣúm 'these are enemies' (25:10)
agंéyg mis̃érd 'the man is crazy' (2:7)
agabgót đ̣írs 'the girl was on it' (6:24)
ãhṣól ol ḥes̃óf lo 'the pay was not good' (8:4)
¿ aġabgót túz̃ur b-عmbérع' fekír 'the father of the girl was rich, and the boy was poor' (SB1:2)
ơõds̃ nxín etéék ðókũn 'your meeting place is under that wild fig tree’ (60:15)
mũn ð́́nu 'who is this?' (13:12)
íné ḥógtzk bun 'what's your business here?' (30:18)
hun a 'iśéi 'where is my dinner?' (54:22)
húțun $\bar{u} t s ̌$ 'where is his house?' (46:11)
In copular sentences, an interrogative can come in either the subject position, as in the last four examples above, or in the predicate, as in the following four examples:

```
het bar mũn 'whose son are you?' (5:11)
s̃isis inć 'what do you have?' (60:45)
het man hũn 'where are you from?' (5:7)
ar tété hútưn 'so where is my wife?' (30:12)
```

Sometimes we find an independent personal pronoun used as a dummy copula, as in:
$\bar{u} t$ š đikun $s \varepsilon$ 'his house is that one' (46:11)
ðعn šz tabsiff $\varepsilon$-šfj́kot 'this is a description of marriage' ( $45: 20$ ) (cf. the example from 40:16, cited above)
ðóhũn šz ekéléb 'that is the bride-price' (AMı:9)
iné šs estikzadək 'what is your plan?' (TJ4:56)
sekf tétí š 's 'the roof is above me' (MnS)
When the subject is a noun and the predicate is a demonstrative (or vice versa), this dummy copula prevents ambiguity with the phrase containing an attributive demonstrative, e.g., ūtš Jikun 'that house of his'. This dummy copula is not required when there is a demonstrative subject and a simple noun predicate; cf. ðj́kũn egófék' that is your shadow' (39:10). In other cases, as in the last two examples above, the use of the independent pronoun has no real necessary function.

For examples of the lack of a present or past copula in 'have' sentences, see below, § 13.3.

### 13.1.1 The Verb kun

The verb kun (G-Stem, root kwn) can mean 'be', however it is not usually used as a copula. In a main clause, it much more often means 'become', 'happen' (l- 'to'), or 'stay', for example:
iné kun lek 'what happened to you?' (5:12)
kun tógór 'they became rich' (5:16)
kolót her ag̉áš ekellén kol in kun leš the told his little brother all that had
happened to him' (6:39)
kunút len $\varepsilon$ ǩát 'a storm befell us' (13:4)
عmbére' kun bə-xár 'the boy became well' (SB1:7)
he kunk ka-ð-ól s̃óhum xõi lo 'I was [or: stayed] with those that did not have umbrellas' (31:3)
ol éd'ak ínékun 10 'I didn't know what had happened' (31:4)
kunút t̄̄̄ゝhum fargét' 'it became a joke among them' (34:14)
he dhạ-l-ékən $k$ - $\varepsilon$ rún 'I will stay with the goats' (22:5)
yum ṭit émí kunút $k$-Érún, $b$-īkun $k$-iý̇l 'one day my mother was with the goats, my father was with the camels' (51:15)

In a past existential phrase ('there was/were'), the presence or absence of the verb kun is semantically predictable. For a true existential, when the phrase 'there was/were' can be replaced with 'there existed', no verb is needed:
yakól 'ak sandík diréham 'he thought that there was money in the box' (5:5)
xaṭarét ḥókum ba-ḥallét 'once there was a ruler in a town' (17:1)
śini sayérə, ba-'amkás akfór 'he saw a car, and there were foreigners in it' (35:2)
xaṭarét ġabgót raḥ̂it 'once there was a beautiful girl' (46:1)
When an existential 'there was/were' can be replaced with 'there happened/ took place', then kun is used:
'ónut țit kunút 'onút 'one year there was a drought' (20:1)
kun məšér bo-hallét 'there was a dance-party in the town' (30:9)
yəkél kunút hagmét 'ak sékan 'they thought there was an attack on [or:
fight in] the settlement' (13:13)
There are exceptions, however, such as:
kunút ġabgót ərḥĩt zétə' 'there was also a beautiful girl' (SB2:2)
The verb kun is normally only used as a past- or present-tense copula in two environments. The first is when a subjunctive is required because of meaning (i.e., 'should be' or 'might be', as in the first two examples below) or syntax (i.e., functioning as a dependent verb, as in the last example below):
'〕dyékan ar ðə-ȳ̄d 'could it be someone who was lying?' (8:11)
'od yékan góle' angdarát 'it might be a supernatural illness' (38:6)
ðə-thúmk toš yékan mən axṣómén 'I think he might be from our enemies' (6o:44)

For further details on the use of subjunctive kun with the particle 'sd, see §12.5.3.

The second environment in which kun is used as a copula is in a conditional sentence. It is normally used in the protasis, following a conditional particle like her (§ 13.4.1), as in:
her kunk geyg 'if you are a man' (46:6)
her kun ba-xár 'if he is well' (13:8)
her kunút ġabgót 'if she is a girl' (45:20)
herol kun 'ógaz lo 'if he is not lazy' (42:13)
We also find kun (usually imperfect or future) in the apodosis of a conditional sentence, but usually only when it is a true copula (rather than an existential), as in:
bว-ðə šะ วl ḥez yitš lo, he əkín ḳaḥbét 'and if he didn’t slaughter his camel, I am a whore' (2:9)
nakín arḥãt k-ḥĩyén, her het ha-tékan arḥim s̃en 'we will be fine with [or: nice to] our son-in-law, if you will be fine with [or: nice to] us' (7:4)
her ol kískum sé lo, akín he bédét 'if you don't find anything, then I am a liar' (15:14)

When the apodosis has an existential 'be', we find only a non-verbal phrase, for example:
xzét, her axtélófk beš 'it would be an embarrassment if I let him down' (28:14)
her ī 'ágab, ol míni śé lo 'if my father wants, I have no objection [lit. there is nothing from me]' (45:3)
lis̃ étam her xórbis̃ tet $\underline{\text { g ġéyg ol tag̉ád sešš 'it's a sin for you if you prevent }}$ [lit. spoil] the man's wife so she won't go with him' (60:11)
her s̃erókak toš, al hek śé 10 'if you do it, there is nothing for you [or: you will get nothing]' (86:5)

On the conditional (ə)дə kun, see further in $\S 13.4 .2$; on the use of $k u n$ in compound verb tenses, see $\S 7.1 .9$; and on the use of the future tense of kun to indicate approximation or uncertainty, see $\S$ 9.6.

### 13.2 Negation

This section will cover a variety of negative particles in Jibbali. The negative indefinite pronouns 'no one' (based on dé 'someone') and 'nothing' (based on śé 'something') have been treated elsewhere ( $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$ and $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$, respectively).

### 13.2.1 ol... 1 o

Verbal and non-verbal sentences are negated by the elements ol... lo. Most often, both elements are used in tandem (cf. French ne ... pas), though there is some inconsistency with the exact placement of these elements within the sentence. In fast speech, the element $\rho l$ is very often realized as just $\jmath$,
or even omitted altogether. Sometimes we also find this reduction to 3 in Johnstone's texts, especially before a following $l$ or $r$.

In non-verbal sentences with either a pronominal or nominal subject, the element $s l$ follows the subject (if expressed), while the element $l o$ follows the entire predicate. Exceptions to the norm are the indefinite pronominal elements dé and śé, which normally follow the negative element $\lrcorner l$ when used as a subject (see further in $\S 3.5 .1$ and $\S 3.5 .2$ ). Examples of negated non-verbal sentences are:
het ol geyg lo 'you are not a man' (46:4)
se ol ġabgót lo 'she is not a girl' (45:2)
sl tōlún 10 '(it is) not with us' (6:38)
sl xer hek $l o$ 'it is not good for you' (12:10)
ð́́nu эl a'iśśi $l_{0}$ 'this is not my dinner' (54:26)
 right for you to kill an infant in the cradle. This is not the way of the tribes' (25:16)
ãḥ̣ól ol ḥes̃óf $\mathrm{o}^{\text {'the pay was not good' (8:4) }}$
yo ðд-létə g̀ $\bar{\iota} s っ l b-\varepsilon r \underline{́}$ đókũn lo 'the people who had killed her father were not in that land' (46:3)
halóts o(l) raḥĩt lo 'its condition [lit. description] was not good' (83:1)
éghaš al arḥĩm al-hés $\varepsilon$ ðéhanš lo 'his face was not good like his mind' (SB2:1)
šũm ol s̃óhum míh lo 'they did not have water' (35:3)
sl s̃iší dé $l o$ 'they had no one' (54:1)
ol sé míh kéríb lo 'there was no water nearby' (35:1)
ol dé al-hés še lo 'no one was like him' (54:2)
ol bóhum $\varepsilon$-lhám man tél bu zíd alhám lo 'there was no one among them who jumped up to where Bu Zid jumped' (54:37)

Unlike in Omani Mehri (Rubin 2010: 26o), Jibbali does not require a personal pronoun as a dummy copula in negative copular sentences with nominal or demonstrative subjects. The above examples make this clear. We do get a few examples of this phenomenon in Johnstone's Jibbali texts, but all are direct translations of a Mehri text, and therefore can be considered Mehrisms. Certain examples are:
het ol het axér 'ánén lo 'you are not better than us' (20:4) (based on Mehri hēt al hēt axáyr manīn lā, M61:4)
đénu ol š̌ śعbr raḥím lo 'that is not good advice' (57:6) (based on Mehri ðōmah al hē śawr gīd lā, M90:6)
enemies' (60:33) (based on Mehri tētal sē mən aḳabáylat ðə-xəṣámhe
(ā, M94:33)

In verbal sentences $o l$ usually comes after the subject (if there is an expressed subject) and directly before the verb (including the auxiliary ber, the future prefix ( $d$ ) $h a-$, and the verbal prefix $d-/ \delta-$ ), while $l o$ still usually follows the entire clause or sentence. One exception to this rule is that, as already mentioned above, $\varsigma l$ precedes the subject if it is an indefinite pronoun dé 'someone' or śé 'something' (see further in $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$ and $\S 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 2$ ). Examples of negated verbal sentences are:

```
\(\supset l(t)\) širkan enúf 'óķal axér 'áni lo 'you would not pretend to be smarter
    than me' (1:7)
ol bek ơk hek lo ðд-hét mis̃érd 'didn’t I already tell you that you were
    stupid?' (1:9)
še ol hezez yitš 1 כ 'he didn't slaughter his camel' (2:9)
sl dha-l-ğád lo 'I won't go' (3:11)
ol 'ágan bóhum lo 'we don't want them' (16:4)
ol ksé sé 10 'he didn't find anything' (17:14)
sl dé yaḳálb alhín ber xáróg ṣaḥí lo 'no one (can) bring back alive what-
    ever has already died' (23:14)
っl aḳódər al-ġád s̃ek lo 'I cannot go with you’ (28:19)
tet ol dḥa-tóffar lo 'the woman will not run away' (30:10)
agéyg ol ŝḥabélás lo 'the man didn't understand her' (34:4)
ol dé niśszaz mes śé lo 'no one had drunk anything from it' (34:10)
šum ol ġorōs lo 'they didn't recognize her' (36:28)
sl tiklot her ī 10 'don’t tell father!' (49:18)
al 'agiót tóskaf lo 'she didn't want to stay' (60:22)
he ol da-s̃ófk lo ‘I am not sleeping’ (SM)
```


### 13.2.2 ol

In certain environments, $s l$ is used without a following $l o$. We find this most commonly in combination with the particle $\operatorname{ar}$ (§ 12.5.4), giving the sense of 'only', as in:
he ol s̃i ar ð́́nu 'I only have this' (21:5)
งl s̃eš ar múxbut ṭat 'he only had one cartridge' (25:8)
sẽn วl tabg̈ódən ar ba-xaṭókésan 'they won’t go without [lit. will only go with] their clothes' (30:4)
he sl aššfj’k ar ag̉ág ‘I only marry men' (46:4)
nḥa ol súlman ar ðénu 'we have only this one left' (51:10)
After certain verbs of fear, embarrassment, refusal, swearing, warning, and prevention, ${ }^{1}$ negative $\Omega$ is used before a following dependent (subjunctive) verb, though its function is not always one of negation. Examples are:
$\dot{g} 0 l \bar{\jmath} t{ }^{2} l$ tog ǵád $\tilde{s} i$ 'she refused to go with me' (13:18)
xizót ol tóklat heš 'she was embarrassed to tell him' (SB2:2)
fírkak tos ol l-દ́flat man đ̣́ri ‘I am afraid she'll run away from me’ (6o:16)
With some verbs that use this construction-verbs of warning, swearing, prevention-the $\rho l$ does indicate negation, as in:
aḥtéðír دl ( $t$ )zim títi xaṭókés ábdan be sure never to give my wife her clothes' (30:8)
gzímol ( $t$ )dér man munúhum 'swear you will not come between them!' (60:6)
ešxarét berót daxíltol tahérg s̃is̃ telyo 'the old woman is already forsworn that she won't speak with you in front of the people' (6o:8)
lis̃ étam her xórbis̃ tet qgééyg ol tag̉ád s̃eš 'it's a sin for you if you prevent [lit. spoil] the man's wife so she won't go with him' (60:11)

We even find this construction ( $\Omega+$ subjunctive) used in indirect reports of swearing, as in:
téti guzũt li, "गl tabg̈ód" 'my wife swore to me, "You won't go"' (28:19)
guzũt tet, "วl tabġéd $\varepsilon d$ ber tafs'ín" 'the woman swore, "You won't go until after you've had lunch"' (6o:38)

On the particle of warning $\operatorname{dek}$ plus $\varsigma l$, see $\S 12.5 .6$. We also once find $\varsigma l$ after the particle bélé 'even if' in a negative context. ${ }^{2}$ The passage is:
tum sóbar bass məthaniíti, bélé ol məthaníti ‘you are always having trouble, even if you aren't having trouble' (28:12)

On the idiom $d$-'sd $s$ ' 'before', see $\S 7.3$. This idiom is distinct from $d$ - $s d \supset l \ldots$ lo (§7.3) and $\varsigma l-\supset d . . . l o(\S 13.2 .4)$, both meaning 'not yet; still not'.

Finally, the sequence $s l \ldots b$-ol can also be used as the equivalent of English 'neither ... nor', 'not any ... or', or 'not $X$ and not $Y$ ' as in:

[^175]
ol na‘õl sé alhúti, w-ol sé yćl, w-ol śé érún 'we don't raise any cows, or any camels, or any sheep' (FB1:1)
ol kisk hésan śé álaf b-эl śáar 'I haven't found for them any fodder or grass' (AK4:10)
عðí-ilín ol $\varepsilon$ ǵgah b-ol kéféf' 'so-and-so has [lit. is] neither face nor back' (Pr54)
عðí-ilín ol éda‘ol íné ebḥér b-ol íné วśhér ‘so-and-so doesn’t know what is the sea and what is the mountains' $(\operatorname{Pr} 57)$

As noted in $\S$ 12.2.1, the element $\lrcorner l$ is often realized as $\rho$ in fast speech.
13.2.3 lo

It is possible, though not very common in the texts, to find the element $\omega$ used without the preceding $\boldsymbol{l}$ (cf. French pas). Just a couple of examples occur in the texts, and I heard a number of examples in the fast speech of informants:
dha-l-siúms lo 'I won't sell it' (41:3)
'ak tóḳhab lo 'you don't want to spend the day?' (60:35)
( $t$ )s̃áxbar to lo ‘don’t ask me!’ (FB)
éda‘klo 'I don't know' (AK)
It seems that the omission of $\rho l$ is more common in certain kinds of verbal constructions, but more data are needed.

The particle $l o$ also can be used independently, substituting for an entire phrase, as in:
al éd'ak lo yaḥóṣal śé man lo 'I didn’t know (if) he would get something or not' (10:3)
$d h a-(t) z \tilde{\varepsilon}-t o$ man 10 'will you give me (some), or not' (53:5)
Note that $l o$ is not used as an interjection 'no!'. Instead Jibbali speakers use ábdan, $o b$, or the Arabism $l \varepsilon^{\prime}$ (e.g., $52: 3$ ); see further in § 12.2.1.

### 13.2.4 ol-ǒd

The form $\lrcorner l$-' $o d$ is simply a combination of the negative $s l$ plus the particle 'od, which was treated in §12.5.3. It can also occasionally serve as the negative of the auxiliary $d$ - $\supset d$, which was treated in $\S 7 \cdot 3$. The combination ol-'ód has three basic uses.

The basic and most common use of $o l$-' $j$ d ... $l o$ is to mean 'not anymore', 'no longer', or 'not again'. This is the negative counterpart of one use of
the indeclinable particle ' $\delta d$, which can mean 'again' in a positive context. Examples are:

> 3 l-'̌d 'ágan nagzém 10 'we don’t want to swear anymore' (12:11)
> ol-'Jd síndən mes̃ $l 0$ 'we can't do without you any longer' (13:17)
> ol-ód kj́dj́r yašéxant $l o$ 'he was not able to get out again' (22:2)
> ol-'Jdd yašéřjk ṣágàt lo 'it will not make jewelry anymore' (22:13)
> nha ol-'sd naṣ̣̄h lo bũn 'we will no longer be here in the morning' (33:6)
> inćtol-ơd kéla' to al-gád yol šitáár lo 'the women didn't let me go by the kids anymore' (49:6)

> guzúmk ol-'’d ahzéz šittár zeyd 'I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore' (49:10)

In the last example (49:10), the sense of 'not anymore' is strengthened by the addition of the word zeyd ( $\S 13.2 .5$ ). This last example also does not have $l$; this is because of the verb guzúm 'swear', which, as explained in $\S 13.2 .2$, is followed by ol only.

Interestingly, when this usage of $\lrcorner l-5 d . . . l$ is combined with a future tense (an actual future tense form, not an imperfect with a future meaning), then the element $\varsigma d$ is in fact conjugated (like $d-\Im d$ ). In addition, when $s-$ - $s d$... $b$ is combined with a future tense, the particle zeyd ( $\$ 13.2 .5$ ) is always used, at least in the texts.

```
sl-``k dha---sśnék zeyd \\ 'I won't show you anymore' (1:12)
ol-`ok dha-l-s\tilde{snk zeyd lo I won't trust you anymore' (3:14)}
ol-``k dhala-l-solóbs zeyd lo 'I won't wait for her any longer' (60:20)
```

In its second use, $⿰ l-$-' $\ddagger$ d... $l o$ has the meaning 'still not' or 'not yet'. This seems to have the same meaning as $d$ - $\supset d \rho l \ldots l$, which is the negative of the auxiliary $d$-' $\partial d$ 'still' (see $\S 7 \cdot 3$ ). Here the ' $s d$ is conjugated. There are just a few examples of this in the texts:

0 -'sod shel 10 'he still had not had enough' ( $2: 6$ )
agéyg ol-'jod yagósar yaxétar hallét lo 'the man didn't yet dare to go down to the town' $25: 7$ )
ha-náxant $t-\varepsilon y$ ós śé $\partial-ว-$-'sd śslšlo 'we will bring to the people something that is like nothing else [lit. something that there isn't yet like it]' (SB2:4)
he ol-’̌k kisk šxarét lo 'I have not yet found an old woman' (AMı:5)
In its third use, $a l-' j d . . . l$ seems to mean 'not at all', i.e., a slightly stronger negative than simple $a \ldots l$... In this use the ' $x d$ is also conjugated. Some examples of this are:

```
man orx troh ol-'ód õtal şé \(l\), \(b\) - ol-’’d nika‘ meš gāb lo he hasn't sent
        anything at all for two months, and a letter hasn't come from him
        at all' (8:7)
っl-'̌ód ḥógət l-ag̉aró lo násanau '(there’s) no need at all for (such) speech
    now' (24:3)
agág \(\boldsymbol{\imath}\)-'s'd 'sttar \(l^{\circ}\) 'then the men did not back off at all' ( \(25: 13\) )
\(o l-\) 'osd ksé kít \(l o\) 'they did not find food at all' (28:6)
ol-כ̌k kódórk l-£́flat lo ‘I couldn’t get away’ (39:7)
ol-s'd 'éðər lo 'there is no excuse at all' (41:8)
```

Sometimes it is not totally clear what function $\varsigma l-\check{s} d$ has. For example, in the example above from $28: 6$, $o l$-'sod could conceivably mean 'not yet', as opposed to 'not at all'. And in any of the examples above illustrating the
 all'. It is also worth noting that the sentence in the last example above (41:8) comes in response to a question using ' 9 d.

There are a few examples of $\lrcorner l-\jmath \boldsymbol{d}$ in the texts that do not fit into the above categories, but each can be explained. Consider the following passage:

> férak ‘ániol-sók l-afrókbo-l-ébk 'he was afraid that I might get scared and cry' (16:4)

In this passage, the $l$ (without $l o$ ) is required due to the verb férək (see $\S$ 13.2.2), and is not negating the phrase. The function of 'sd here is not obvious, and could conceivably mean 'perhaps', 'again', or 'yet'. Another unusual example is:

> 'agiót toǵll $b$-aǵéyg ol-'sd yótba‘ tet t 'she wanted to keep the man occupied so that he did not follow the woman' $(60: 18)$

Here the $a-$-'sd gives the meaning 'so that not', the equivalent of Mehri $m-\bar{a} d$ (Rubin 2010: 271; Watson 2012: 394). Perhaps here $\dot{g} e l$ is another verb that is normally followed by $o l$.

In one passage, $a l-j d$ is combined with ar (§ 12.5.4), which serves, it seems, just to give a slightly more emphatic negative than simple $o l$... ar (§ 12.5.4):

A final unique example is:
'ágən nagáhš smbérع' ol -'j́d yafft ' 'let's take the boy from him by force before he dies' (50:5)

In this passage, 'ol-'ód is being used in place of $d$ - $\supset d \supset l$ 'before' (see $\S 7 \cdot 3$ ). One can see how the two can be easily confused. We saw already above that the negatives $s$-'ód ... $l o$ and $d$-'od $s l . . l o$ can overlap in some other meanings.

### 13.2.5 zeyd

In the texts, zeyd is found only in negative verbal phrases, where it has the meaning '(not) anymore' or '(not) any longer'. It often occurs together with the negative $s$ l-'od ( $\$ 13.2 .4$ ), though this can apparently be omitted without a change in meaning (compare the examples from 3:9 and 3:10, below). Examples of its use are:
> ol-'o’k dha-l-eśnék zeyd lo 'I won't show you anymore' (1:12) sl-'ók dḥa-l-salóbk zeyd lo 'I won’t wait for you any longer' (3:9)
> koh ol dha-(t)solō-to zeyd lo 'why won't you wait for me any longer?' (3:10)
> งl-'ว้k dḥa-l-s̃z̃nk zeyd lo 'I won't trust you anymore' (3:14)
> sl s̃óhum kít zeyd lo 'they didn't have any more food' (25:7)
> guzúmk sl-’od aḥzéz šittár zeyd 'I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore' (49:10)
> ol sii zeyd lo man tof 'I am starving (lit. I don't have any more from hunger)' (SM)

As noted already in § 13.2.4, to express 'not anymore' or 'not any longer' with a future tense, zeyd is always used-in the texts, at least-with or without an accompanying 'od.

The particle zeyd is originally a noun meaning 'surplus' or 'extra', as
 verbal root zyd, used in several verbal stems, including G-Stem $z \bar{\varepsilon} d$ 'increase (intrans.)' and H-Stem $\varepsilon z e ́ d ~ ' g i v e ~ m o r e ' ~(e . g ., ~ 86: 7) . ~$

### 13.2.6 abdan

The word ábdan (< Arabic 'abadan) is met about thirty times in the texts as an exclamation, meaning 'not at all!' or 'never!', e.g., 54:3; TJ2:57. In fact, it is the most common way to say 'no!' in the texts, met much more often than $o b(\S$ 12.2.1). In one passage, it is used in conjunction with a verb, meaning 'never' (as it can be in Mehri and Arabic).
aḥtéðír al ( $t$ )zim títi xaṭókés ábdan 'be sure never to give my wife her clothes' (30:8)

This use of abdan does not seem to be common in Jibbali. In fact, the concept of 'never' is not often expressed.
13.2.7 man

In the context of swearing or oath-taking, man can be used as a negative particle. It is followed by a subjunctive verb, even though the meaning is past tense. for example:
$a-n g z \tilde{m}$ bə-xõš mən nšarék عlíkum 'we will swear times five [or: on five] that we didn't steal your cow' (12:9)
al-ðદ́nu $\tilde{s}$ gíd $b$-alhín 'amkáš man xtẽm, $\varepsilon$ ðí-ilín bar $\varepsilon$ ðí-ilín man yó(l)təg่ aġéyg ðદ́nu, әm-тәп yadá‘ mun $\varepsilon$-ltaġáš 'by this mosque and all the Qurans in it, so-and-so son of so-and-so didn't kill this man, and he doesn't know who killed him' (14:6)
 al-dá‘mun $\varepsilon$-šírkós 'by this mosque and all the Qurans in it, I didn't steal your camel ... and I don't know who stole it' (14:7)

Hofstede (1998: 168) suggests that mon is used to negate indirect quotes, but the only example she gives is the passage above from 12:9. The examples from text 14 make clear that it is the context of oaths or swearing in which $m a n$ is used as a negative. There are, however, several examples in the texts of the usual negative $\boldsymbol{s}$ following a verb of swearing (see above, § 13.2.2), but in those cases the following verb refers to a future event. In the examples above, the swearing refers to an event in the past.

We might also consider man to be a negative marker in the following passage:
sérék bes ṭóhũn, man yag̉ád ses lo 'he did with her as before [lit. like that], without sleeping [lit. going] with her' (TJ4:43)

However, in this example, man could possibly be parsed as a preposition with a dependent clause as its object. But the fact that the following verb is subjunctive connects it with the use of negative mon that we saw used in oaths.
13.2.8 ma

My younger informants used the phrase ma l-dác 'I don't know', which is made up of a negative particle $m a$, plus the 1cs subjunctive form of the verb édac 'know'. The same construction is attested in Mehri (Watson 2012: 337). This rare negative seems to be a shortened form of the negative mən
( $\$ 13.2 .7$ ), which is also followed by a subjunctive, and not a borrowing of the Arabic negative particle $m a$. This is supported by the fact the the Mehri equivalent is attested both as $m a l-d \bar{a}$ and $m a ̈ n ~ l-d \bar{a} \cdot{ }^{\cdot}$ I did not find evidence for negative $m a$ in Jibbali outside of the expression $m a l$-dác, nor does this phrase seem to be used in persons other than the 1cs.

### 13.3 Expressing 'have'

As in most other Semitic languages, there is no verb 'have' in Jibbali. Instead, the concept is expressed with a periphrastic construction. Most often the preposition $k$ - 'with' is used, but the preposition $b$ - 'in' is also used for certain kinds of possession. Even $l$ - 'for; to' and her 'to; for' can also be translated 'have' in some idiomatic contexts. ${ }^{4}$ Each of these will be discussed in turn.

### 13.3.1 The Preposition $k$ -

The basic meaning of the preposition $k$ - is 'with', as described in $\S 8.13$. With pronominal suffixes (using the base $\tilde{s}$-; see $\S 8.30$ for a complete list of forms), it can also express the concept 'have'. If the possessor is a noun, a resumptive pronominal suffix is used with the preposition. Some examples are:
s̃eš yzt bo-hezzźs 'he had a camel and he slaughtered it' (2:9)
$n h ̣ a$ s̃en 'ódət 'we have a custom' (7:4)
her s̃ökũm kít, zum to 'if you have food, give me!' (12:5)
he al s̃i kit lo 'I have no food' (21:4)
he ol s̃i ar ðénu 'I have only this’ (21:5)
s̃ôkum mékan Érún ... he s̃i mut trut, b-\&bríti s̃es xamsín, bz-titit sães stín, $b$ - $\varepsilon$ r-dídi seseš śhalét min ‘do you have many goats? ... I have two hundred, my daughter has fifty, my wife has sixty, and my cousin has three hundred' (32:1-2)
šũm ols̃óhum míh lo. sóhum ťelg 'they did not have water. They had ice' (35:3)
っt ŝi ‘ad lo. s̃i dḥa-tékən ḥõlt ðz-rkíb ... nḥa s̃en zétz alhúti ‘I don't have sardines. I have maybe one camel-load ... we too have cows' (41:3) al šišsí dé lo 'they had no one' (54:1)

[^176]```
kol \(\varepsilon\)-šéš díř́ham yakj́dar yahûl séléb 'whoever has money can carry arms' (60:28)
```

šis̃ íné 'ak ērik 'what do you have in the pitcher?' (60:45)
šáxar ol s̃eš mandikk lo, $b$ - $\varepsilon$-mbére' seš mandik 'the old man didn't have a rifle, and the boy had a rifle' (83:1)
As some of the above examples demonstrate (e.g., 7:4; 21:4), an independent pronoun can be used in this construction, with no apparent change in meaning. Several of the examples above (e.g., $54: 1 ; 83: 1$ ) also show that an explicit past tense marker is normally absent from this construction, and must be gleaned from context. This fits with what was said about copular sentences above ( $\$_{13.1}$ ).

Where a subjunctive verb or explicit future tense is required, the verb kun is used, as in:

> hes-tó yékan s̃ek karós̃ ‘you should have money [if you travel]’ (SM) ha-yékan sekek karós ‘you will have money' (SM)

For another example with 'have' in a future context, using the prepositions $b$ - and her, see § 13.3.2 and § 13.3.4, respectively.

In one passage in the texts, this construction with $k$ - is best translated with the English verb 'own':
he sáhart bə-šíkəb đénu ðə-lótgəkum tsš 'I am a witch, and I owned the wolf that you killed' (15:11)

### 13.3.1.1 Familial Possession

As in Omani Mehri, when the object of possession in a 'have' construction is a noun indicating a close family member (father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, wife, brother-in-law), that noun must carry a redundant possessive suffix. For example:

he ši émí šxarét ‘I have an old mother' (18:7)
犭énũ eġéyg s̃eš Émés šxarét 'this man has an old mother' (18:9)
ber s̃i iní 'I already have children’ (30:17)

s̃eš īnćš 'he had children' (SB2:5)
 siblings? I have (for) siblings five boys and four girls' (AK3:5-6)
s̃ek şé aġóhékfaló agatét́t́k ‘do you have any brothers or sisters?' (SM)
séš $r$ rbə'Ot īnéš 'he has four sons' (AK)

Note also the phrase zahám mes b-īéśs he had children [or: sons] with her' (lit. 'he brought from her his sons') (46:18). The special treatment of familial terms in connection with possession is well known from other language families, for example, from Italian, where one typically says, e.g., la mia faccia 'my face', la mia vicina 'my neighbor', but mia madre 'my mother'. The difference in the cases of Jibbali and Mehri is that we are dealing not with a possessive phrase of the type 'my mother', 'your brother', but rather with a pseudo-verbal 'have' construction.

Because of the rule described above, these kinship terms are not often used without a possessive suffix.

### 13.3.1.2 Physical and Environmental Conditions

The suffixed forms of the preposition $k$ - are also used in a variety of expressions relating to physical or environmental conditions. For example:
> 'ak al-ġád d-`od s̃i $\varepsilon \underset{z}{\text { zēl }}$ 'I want to go while it's still cool [lit. while I still have the cold (weather)]' (60:35)
> s̃i ḥōr 'it's cold [lit. I have cold]' (AK)

These idioms with $k$ - refer to weather conditions, and not really to one's personal condition. For example, if the air is cold, one could say s̃i hērr 'it's cold' (or s̃en ḥōr, or $\tilde{s} e s ̌ ~ h ̣ o ̄ r, ~ e t c ., ~ d e p e n d i n g ~ o n ~ w h o ~ i s ~ b e i n g ~ a f f e c t e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~$ weather), but to say 'I am cold', one would more likely say do-hērək, lit. 'I have become cold'. Some other expressions using $k$ - are:

```
s̃\varepsilonn õsé 'it's raining (lit. we have the rain)' (AK)5
s̃\varepsilonn gizžót 'it's misty' (AK)
s̃\varepsilonn go 'we have clear weather' (AK)
s̃\varepsilonn \varepsilonk<át 'it's windy' (AK)
s̃n crét 'the moon is out' (AK)
\tilde{\varepsilonn}yum 'it's sunny, the sun is out' (AK)
s̃\varepsilonn ḥar 'it's hot' (AK)
s̃\varepsilonn berk bo-híd 'it's thundering and lightning' (SM)
s̃\varepsilonntzlg 'it's snowing' (SM)
```

As with 'I am cold', there are sometimes other ways to describe the environmental conditions. For example, one can say ad-tél's 'it is raining', using the 3 fs imperfect of the G-Stem verb from the root $l s w$ 'rain', the same root of

[^177]the word mosé 'rain'; likewise, for the past, alsét 'it rained'. Other such verbs also appear in the 3 fs , including borókót 'it was lightning' (present ad-tōrak.), haddót 'it thundered' (present ad-thədéd), and angəhót 'it became dawn'.

These expressions of environmental condition using $k$-, like the 'have' construction discussed above ( $\S 13.3 .1$ ), lack any explicit reference to tense, which must be gathered from the context. So, just as s̃eš kit can mean he has food' or 'he had food', likewise s̃eš har can mean 'it's hot' or 'it was hot'.

### 13.3.2 The Preposition b-

The preposition $b$ - can be used to express certain kinds of inalienable possession, in particular those involving parts of the body or bodily conditions (e.g., 'have hair', 'have milk', 'have an illness'), states of mind (e.g., 'have patience'), or parts of plants (e.g., 'have leaves'). Literally, $b$ - is being used in these cases like English 'on' or 'in' (see §8.6), but in translation the verb 'have' usually works best. Following are some examples:
al bi ḳəṭəfófl $\mathfrak{\prime}$ 'I don't have wings' (3:8)
bóhum enúśab 'they [the cows] have milk' (9:6)
agéyg ðénú ol beš fáhal lo 'this man has no penis' (17:36)
nḥa ar d-'od ben $\varepsilon s ̣ \bar{\partial} r$ 'we still have patience' (21:4)
man d-`k níṣán ber $\varepsilon k e ́ l b i ~ b e s ~ ‘ a l r e a d y ~ s i n c e ~ I ~ w a s ~ l i t t l e, ~ m y ~ h e a r t ~ w a s ~$
hers [or: she already had my heart]' (32:12)
íné man góle' bek 'what kind of illness to you have?' (38:5)
beš thírt mékan 'he had many wounds' (53:1)
eġí beš gódarí 'my brother had smallpox' (53:2)
het ol bek śé té' lo 'you don't have any meat on you [on your body]' (SBı:6)
her tēs ērdém, yəkín beš kũhn ðə-'áyól 'if a person eats it, he will have the horns of an ibex' (6:25)
'ak xorf ol takínan bésan núśab lo 'in the rainy season they don't have milk' $(9: 2)^{6}$

As the last two examples show, an imperfect of the verb kun can be used to make explicit a future (6:25) or a habitual (9:2).

In this same category, we can place the idioms ol bi has lo 'I was unconscious' (53:12), literally 'in me (was) not consciousness', and íné bek/bis̃ 'what's (the matter) with you?' (e.g., 6:8; 40:1).

[^178]The difference in usage between $k$ - and $b$ - is shown clearly in the following passage:
érún megéte, $b$-эl s̃en núśab lo ... érún ol bésən núśab lo 'the goats were very pregnant, and we didn't have milk ... the goats didn't have any milk' (51:2)

In the first part of this example, $k$ - is used referring to the people having (possessing) no goat's milk, while in the second, $b$ - is used referring to the goats themselves having (containing) no milk. Cf. also 9:2 and 9:5.

### 13.3.3 The Preposition l-

In conjunction with $d$-od 'still' (§7.3), the preposition l- (§8.16) can be used to express 'have', with regard to an amount of time remaining. The two attested examples of this in Johnstone's texts are:
$d$-'od lek śélot $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ aġdét 'you still have three days' walk' (30:15)
$d$-ऽd lésan orx ṭat 'they still have one month (to go)' (32:4)
A couple of other idiomatic constructions with $l$ - can also be translated using 'have':
he ber li masalũt, her 'od śink tok'I already have a sacrificial animal for if I saw you again' (13:10)
he ber liyat masalũt her śink tókum 'I already have a sacrificial camel for if I saw you' (54:6)
ṭad kótub sl-’ód leš manyét lo 'there was a writer who had no equal [lit. likeness]' (SB2:1)

### 13.3.4 The Preposition her

The preposition her 'to; for' ( $h$ - before suffixes; see $\S 8.11$ and $\S 8.30$ ) is sometimes used to mean 'have' in the sense of 'deserve'. In such cases, her really means 'for', but 'get' or 'have' makes for a smoother translation. The relevant passages are:
ðа kun še əl tōlっkum lo, hókum man tōlí xamsín yirs̃ób 'if it is not with you, you will get from me fifty riding-camels' (6:38)
sadéd yo skəfheš ba-xamsín ižíf 'the people present got (them) to agree that he would get [lit. for him (was)] fifty thousand (dollars)' (18:15)
het šáxar b-ol hek haśmét lo 'you are an old man, and you get [or: deserve] no respect' (53:6)
her s̃erókak toš, al hek śé lo 'if you do it, there is nothing for you [or: you will get nothing]' (86:5)


### 13.4 Conditionals

The two basic conditional particles in Jibbali are her and (ə)ðә. The first of these is the more common particle, indicating real conditionals, while (ə)ðว is used to express two contrasting conditionals or, in combination with kun, to express unreal conditionals. Each of these will be discussed in detail below. Also included below is the particle bélé 'even if'.
13.4.1 her

Her is the particle normally used to introduce a real conditional. ${ }^{7}$ It is by far the most common Jibbali word for 'if', occurring roughly 185 times in the texts. If her introduces a verbal clause, the verb (or verbs) in this clause are most often in the perfect; there are about a dozen exceptions in the texts, which are discussed below. If the apodosis of a conditional her-clause is a verbal clause (which it is in all but about a dozen cases in the texts), then the verb (or verbs) in the apodosis can be in the imperfect, the future, the imperative, or the subjunctive, as the context warrants. The her-clause can precede or follow the main clause, or be embedded within it.

An imperfect in the apodosis usually indicates a general present, an imperfective (durative/habitual) future, or a habitual past, rather than a perfective, one-time event. Some examples are:
her ol kisk tok lo, ol aggórab j̄ram lo 'if I don't find you, I won't know the road (you took)' (3:12)
عlhúti, her ol tē ‘ad lo, yafét. ba-hér tē ‘ad, yakín ba-xár ab-bóhum $\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ a b$ 'the cows, if they don't eat sardines, they die. But if they eat sardines, they are well and they have milk' (9:6)
 wild figs' (17:14)

[^179]her dé ġeb nxínúǔs, ol-’ód yas̃érók șágat lo 'if someone defecates under it, it will not make jewelry anymore' (22:13)
っl akín hek tet $\varepsilon$ l-fót, her oledúrk len al-éni lo 'I won’t be a wife for you till I die, if you don't come back to us this evening' (28:17)
her kél'ak toš 'ak ṣahálét, yomtése' bo-yakín míh 'if you leave it in a bowl, it will melt, and it will be water' (35:7)
her dé géle, yabg̉ód yóṭlab musáadat $\varepsilon$-taxtór 'if someone is sick, he goes to ask the help of the doctor' (52:1)
her śēe, yadófan ōkét 'if he was full, he would bury the leftovers' (54:13)
yaktélét bi $\varepsilon k ̣ e ̄ l$, her kélá'k tok ba-flótk 'the tribes will talk (badly) about me if I leave you and run away' (83:2)
yдõr her घý́, her s̃xabírš, "he le’"" 'he would say to the people, if they asked him, "I am a cow"' (SB1:4)

In past or present habitual contexts (like the examples from 17:14, 52:1, 54:13, and SB1:4), her can also usually be translated 'when' or 'whenever' and be considered a temporal conjunction. The distinction between her as a conditional particle and temporal conjunction is thus not so clear in Jibbali. See further below in $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$.

Once in the texts we find a compound imperfect (future perfect) in the apodosis:
her olzaḥámk tókum lo, akín ag̉ádk s̃eš ‘if I don’t come back to you, I will have gone with him' (28:17)

A future tense in the apodosis normally indicates a perfective future. Some examples are:
iń about your son?' (13:7)
her 'ágis̃ bi, ha-tġíd s̃i 'if you love me, you'll go with me’ (13:18)
dha-nzémk mut trut her delk bẽnyol ka'dét ba-létġan toš 'we will give you two hundred if you lead us to Ka'det and we kill him' (25:11)
her aġadót bə-zḥám ebrí b-ol ksés lo, dḥa-yafót 'if she goes away and my son comes back and doesn't find her, he will die' (30:10)
her ol kun 'ógaz lo, dḥa-yazḥóm xadmétš ašhér 'if he is not lazy, he will come to work [lit. his work] today' (42:13)
her 'agiót bek, he dḥa-l-éšfakak 'if she wants you, I will let you marry' (45:2)
her ol kólótِs̃ híni lo, ar dḥa-l-ó(l)tġis̃ 'if you don’t tell me, I will kill you’ (46:5)
herkisk tos, dḥa-l-ámer hes tótba'k'if I find her, I'll tell her to follow you' (6o:21)

Sometimes an imperfect is used in the apodosis with a clear perfective meaning (perhaps connected to the semantics of the choice of verb), so the above statements are general tendencies, not strict rules. For an example of when we find an imperfect where we would expect a future, consider:
her aǵádən fáxra, nəxérg 'if we go together, we will die' (6:13)
Examples of conditional sentences with an imperative or negative imperative in the apodosis are:
her fékərək, ftah sandík ðénu 'if you become poor, open this box' (5:13)
her 'ágis̃ l-ófkas̃, ḳalíb xótam ba-zĩ-to ségódat 'if you want me to release you, return the ring and give me the carpet' (6:34)
her éṣalk đ̣er śa‘b b-コl śink dé lo, éhaḳ 'if you enter the valley and don't see anyone, call out' (38:1)
her kunk geyg, ġad țaĺ́b b-\&dídk'if you are a man, go avenge your uncle' (46:6)
her fékarak, al thír lo 'if you become poor, do not beg' (5:16)
her ol zaḥámk tok ná’̣̣anu lo, ol (t)sááž to lo 'if I don't come back now, don't think I'm late' (60:17)

The apodosis of a conditional sentence can also be a non-verbal phrase, for example:
herol zaḥãm am-míh lo, ber leš $0 z$ 'if he doesn't bring water, he owes [lit. to him is] a goat' (39:2)
her ī'ágab, al míni śé lo 'if my father wants, I have no objection [lit. there is nothing from me]' (45:3)
xzét, her axtélófk beš 'it would be an embarrassment if I let him down' (28:14)
lis̃ étam her xórbis̃ tet cġéyg ol tog̉ád s̃eš 'it's a sin for you if you prevent [lit. spoil] the man's wife so she won't go with him' (60:11)
her s̃erókak toš, ol hek śé $l a$ 'if you do it, there is nothing for you [or: you will get nothing]' (86:5)

Although her in the protasis is normally followed by a verb in the perfect, as in all of the examples given above, we sometimes find her followed by a non-verbal phrase (about a dozen times in the texts), a future tense (about six times), or even an imperfect (about six times). Examples of a non-verbal phrase following her are:
her šum b-عgiēl, yas̃érō‘ $\varepsilon d h e ́ k ~ b a-y h a b o ̄ n ~ b a-y s ̃ e ́ r e ́ k ~ m u n u ́ t ̣ a b ~ ' i f ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~$ in the mountains, they climb cliffs and sing and make bows' (4:9)
her s̃óküm kít, zum to 'if you have food, give me!' (12:5)
kalít híni her dé al-hés he ba-fló axér 'áni 'tell me if anyone is like me or better than me' (54:3)
her dé beš axét-hés, ya'arír leš 'if someone has axét-hes, they send for him' (55:7)
her s̃ek karós̃ mékən, tōk l-દ́zzวd to 'if you have a lot of money, you ought to give me more' (86:7)

A future tense in the protasis following her does not really have any different meaning than a perfect tense. It is about as different as English 'if you won't tell me, I will go' versus 'if you don't tell me, I will go'. Examples are:
her al dḥa- $(t)$ salō-to lo, sl dḥa-l-ġád $b$ 'if you won't wait for me, I won't go' (3:11)
'ak l-óšfak dek, her ḥa-l-ésffak to 'I want to marry into your family, if you will let me' (7:1)
ḥa-l-Éšfakaz her ḥa-tzz̃-to indíkək'I will let you marry if you give me your rifle' (7:2)
nakín ərḥãt k-ḥĩy $n$, her het ḥa-tékan arḥĩm s̃̃̃n 'we will be fine with [or: nice to] our son-in-law, if you will be fine with [or: nice to] us' (7:4)
her ol ḥa-tzg̈ád s̃i lo, ḥa-l-xóle' 'if she won't go with me, I will get divorced' (7:12)
her dḥa-tgád, 'amér híni 'if you're going to go, tell me' (28:1)
sétar híni $\varepsilon k ฺ ̄ \supset r$, her bek dḥa-l-ógraf xélét 'better for me the grave, if I am about to clean toilets!' (5:10)

The last example (5:10) contains the special proximative construction ber + future that was discussed in § 7.1.4 and §7.2.

An imperfect in the protasis following her seems to indicate an immediate present, for example:
her dè-ənkẽn taǵórəb śé, tacér 'ánén kob đénu 'if any one of you knows anything, you should keep this wolf back from us' (15:7)
her dé yaġórab śé, yéśne her embérs' 'if anyone knows anything, he should see to the boy' (18:7)
her tas̃kélóthum, ol ta'aśéśs lo 'if she is conversing with them, she won't wake up' (18:11)
her 'od taḳódər híni bə-ḥílt, 'ak bə-ḥõlt ðə-'ád 'if you can perhaps [or: again] (give) me credit, I want a load of sardines' (41:2)

Note in the examples from $15: 7$ and 18:7 that the apodosis contains an independent subjunctive. There are only two other such passages in the texts (30:12; TJ4:6). A subjunctive is used in the apodosis of a conditional
sentence to indicate suggestion or obligation, equivalent to English 'should', and uncertainty, like English 'might', as described in $\S 7$ 7.1.3.

As already noted in $\S 7.5$, if 'ágab occurs in the protasis of a conditional sentence, and the dependent verb should be repeated in the apodosis, there is normally verbal ellipsis. Examples of this are:
her 'ak, ġadú 'if you want (to go), let's go!' (12:2)
her 'agk yol ह́mék, gadú 'if you want (to go) to your mother, let's go!' (16:3)
her 'ak, kalét híni 'if you want (to tell me), tell me!' (MmS)
In 86:1, 86:2, and 86:6, each of which contains the verb 'ágab 'want' in the protasis, the expected particle her is missing:
'ak xsórət, dha-l-axsór 'if you want a bride-price, I will pay a bride-price' (86:1)
'akxədmét, dha-l-s̃zrk hek'if you want work, I will make it for you' (86:2) (her) 'ak tó(l)təġg tว, taġ to man ǵér siéb 'if you want to kill me, kill me without reason!' (86:6)

As noted in the comment to text 86:6, Johnstone added her in parentheses in the Roman-letter manuscript only. There are many examples of her before the verb 'ágab elsewhere in the texts, so it is unclear why it would be missing in these sentences. Since these sentences from text 86 are all unrelated sentences taken out of context (i.e., they are not part of a larger story), it is possible that they were originally part of contrasting conditionals, in which case we would not expect a conditional particle before 'ágab (see §13.4.2).

Finally, as discussed in $\S 12.5 \cdot 4$, the combination $a r$ her, literally 'except if', ${ }^{8}$ can also be translated 'unless', for example:
al yózamsən 'ad lo, ar hér kunút 'ónut difírət, yózamsən 'ad 'they don’t give them sardines, except if it is a bad year, they give them sardines' (9:7)
ol to‘aśéśs lo ar hér sīt ọót ba-xaţrók țroh 'she won't wake up unless she is hit twice with a stick [or: with two sticks]' (18:11)
sl dé yas̃énús yašób meš ġasré l, ar hér kun ġag mékan 'no one dared get water from it at night, unless there were a lot of people' (39:1)
งl naḳénúm lo, ar hér dḥa-(t)zhõ-tun ba-kasmét 'we won't collect fodder, unless you'll bring us a gift' (47:7)

[^180]ol yabġód lo ar hér 'ágis̃ ( $t$ )zĩmšyat'he won't go unless you want to give him a camel' (49:32)
sl aḳ́́dar al-ǵád lo ar hér mizk ‘I can’t go on unless I smoke’ (60:26)
In sum, the protasis of a real conditional sentence has the particle her, followed by a verbal or non-verbal phrase. If a verbal phrase, then the verb is usually a perfect, but can occasionally be a future or imperfect. The apodosis may contain any verbal tense (except the conditional), as the sense requires, as well as a non-verbal phrase.

### 13.4.2 (ә) да, (ә)ðә кип

The conditional particle ðә (vars. əðә or əð) is mainly used in two ways in the texts, one way by itself, and the other in conjunction with kun. In its first common use, independent (ə) ðə can be used (like its Mehri cognate) to indicate two contrasting conditionals. As is normally the case with her, if (ə) ðə precedes a verbal clause, the verb will be in the perfect. Examples from the texts are:
 slaughtered his camel, the man is crazy. And if he didn't slaughter his camel, I am a whore' (2:9)
 slept with me, he will have seen it, and if he lied, he will not be able to give [lit. tell] its description' (2:10)
 'if he has no penis, his head will be cut off. And if he has a penis, you, your heads will be cut off' (17:39)
 have any cash, he takes a debt. And if he has cash, he gives cash' (TJ2:75)

A few passages show a slight variation from the above examples, in that one of the two conditionals has $\partial \partial$, while the other has her. Examples are:
 hókum man tōlı́ xamsín yirs̃ób 'if I find the bowl with you, I will take your food. And if it is not with you, you will have from me fifty riding-camels' (6:38)
ðə se kJltِót lis̃, rafǐ kamkẽs̃ man đ̣ér $\varepsilon r \varepsilon ́ s ̌ s ̃, ~ b a-h e ́ ~ d h ̣ a-l-a z h o ́ d . ~ b a-d h a-l-~$ ğád, bə-hít s̃íbbadas bə-dḥa-nəġtēr đ̣er ḥãr ðikũn. bə-hér gaḥádotis̃, $s(l) l$-ḥirk kamk $\tilde{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} l o$ 'and if she tells you, lift your head-cloth up from
your head, and I will understand. And I'll go, and you detach yourself from her and we'll meet on that mountain. And if she denies (it) to you, don't move your head-cloth' (60:42-43)
 he needs her, he takes her home. And if he doesn't need her yet, he leaves her for her family' (TJ2:11)

Each of these examples can be explained. In the passage from 6:38, the second conditional is, in fact, an unreal one, as we know from the context of the story. And so this is why дә kun is used (see below), even though the addressee in the story does not yet know this. In the passage from 60:42-43, her is perhaps used because the distance between the first and second conditionals is so great. In TJ2:11, it is possible that the speaker first used her, not thinking ahead to the second, contrasting conditional sentence. Or perhaps her ... (ə) Әə (attested also in TJ2:2 and TJ2:9) is a free variant of (ə) Әə ... (ә)ðә for expressing two contrasting conditionals.

Interestingly, when there are two contrasting conditionals, both of which have the verb 'ágab 'want' in the protasis, then the conditional particle is omitted. There are three sets of examples of this in the texts: ${ }^{9}$
'ak tóskəf, ba-rīk. bə-ák al-xóle', ḥa-nzémk ĩndíkək 'if you want to stay, please do. And if you want to get divorced, we will give you your rifle' (7:12)
 a guarantee (of payment) from me, as you wish. Or if you want to buy some of our animals, as you wish' (41:2)
'ak ba-réhan, dḥa-l-érhank, ba-d 'ák taśtém man õšźti, dḥa-l-śúm lek 'if you want a guarantee (of payment), I will leave you a guarantee, or if you want to buy (some) of my animals, I will sell (them) to you' $(41: 4)^{10}$

As mentioned at the end of the last section (§13.4.1), it is possible that the conditionals in 86:1, 86:2, and 86:6 are contrasting conditionals taken out of context, since we find 'ágab in the protasis of these phrases, with no preceding conditional particle.

[^181]The second way in which (ə)ðə is used is in combination with the verb kun to indicate an unreal (counterfactual) conditional. As with simple (a) дә and her, if the phrase (ə)ðə kun precedes a verbal clause, the verb will be in the perfect. The verb kun is conjugated if it is the only verb in the clause, but only optionally so if another verb follows (cf. 13:20 and 20:7). A verb in the apodosis of this type of conditional sentence will be in the conditional tense (§7.1.5). This is, in fact, the only environment (excepting the conditional forms of 'ágab [§7.5.4] and frozen conditional forms like ta'míran [§ 8.25]) in which the conditional form occurs. Some examples found in the texts are:
het д-эl kunk mis̃érd lo, ol (t)s̃írkan enúf oókal axér ‘áni lo if you weren't stupid, you would not pretend to be smarter than me' (1:7)
 not have made fun of me' (1:11)
ðа kũn ol mis̃érd lo, al yaḥzízan yitš 10 'if he wasn't crazy, he would not have slaughtered his camel' (2:7)
het ðə kunk kólótk híni, təğídən s̃ek titِk 'if you had told me, your wife would have gone with you' (13:20)
əдว kun ṣコ̄rən, axér hen 'if we had been patient, it would have been better for us' (20:7)
 four of us, we would not have killed him' (83:7)

See also Johnstone's texts 42 and 42 b , for another twenty examples.
The phrase бә kun can also be used to conjoin a set of two or more hypothetical items, corresponding to English 'whether it be $X$ or $Y$ '. This usage is attested only in text TJ2:
 žízd 'whether it be two long date-baskets or three long date-baskets, or maybe small round date-baskets, four or five small round datebaskets' (TJ2:6)
ðә kun ağás, bə-ð kun īs, ba-ð kun edíds 'whether it be her father, her brother, or her uncle' (TJ2:25)

### 13.4.3 bélé

The word bélé, which occurs only seven times in the texts, means 'even if'. Some of the attested examples are:
tum sóbar bass mətḥaníti, bélé ol mathaníti 'you are always having trouble, even if you aren't having trouble' (28:12)
aḥtéðír دl ( $t$ )zim títi i xaṭókés ábdan, bélé conrót his̃ 'ol dha-l-ğád lo' 'be sure never to give my wife her clothes, even if she says to you, "I won't go"' (30:8)
 have the man who took you for a year' (30:22)
ken ðə-férhàk ed bélé ðд-mútḥank 'be (like) you're happy, even if you're sad [or: in trouble]' (57:15)
bélé đว-s̃an'ím ba-s̃éš karós̃, ol yaṣ̄̄r ar õśźt lo 'even if he has prospered and has money, he doesn't make do [or: have patience] without livestock' (TJ2:109)

In one passage (49:33), bélé is used on its own as an exclamation 'even so!'.
The word bélé corresponds in meaning and use to Mehri $t \bar{\varepsilon} w a-l \bar{u}$ and Hobyot ta wa-lí, and is no doubt cognate with the elements wa-lū and wa-lí. Mehri $t \bar{\varepsilon} w a-l \bar{u}$ and Hobyot ta wa-lí are also clearly connected with the conditional particles used for unreal (counterfactual) conditionals, Mehri $l \bar{u}$ and Hobyot wa-lí; cf. also Soqoṭri la. ${ }^{11}$ In Jibbali, bélé has no such internal connection. The use of $\varepsilon d$ in $57: 15$ is likely a Mehrism, since text 57 was translated from Mehri.

### 13.5 Subordination

### 13.5.1 Complement Clauses

The term 'complement clause' is fairly broad and covers a variety of sentence types. For the present purposes, we will distinguish three types of complement clauses, illustrated by the following English sentences:
(a1) I want to speak Jibbali.
(a2) I want you to speak Jibbali.
(b) I know (that) you speak Jibbali.

Types (a1) and (a2), containing an infinitive in English, correspond in Jibbali to constructions involving a dependent subjunctive verb, as in the following examples:
'agiót taśnés̃ ‘she wants to see you' (36:7)
aġéyg 'azúm yȧgád hagg 'the man decided to go on the Hajj' (36:20)
っl-'ód ḳódór yas̃éxanṭ lo 'he was not able to get out' (22:2)

[^182]っl dé garób yadēš lo 'no one knew how to cure him' (SB1:4)
'ak bek taǵád s̃i ' $I$ want you to go with me' (28:10)
sĭh heš yossfffk 'he permitted him to marry' ( $7: 9$ )
aṭólab mes̃ l-írxas tet túnhag 'I am asking you to let the woman dance' (30:11)

These types of sentences have been treated already in $\S 7.1 .3$ and $\S 7.5$, where a number of additional examples can be found. Sometimes these subjunctive constructions can be translated with an English type (b) complement clause, instead of with an infinitive. For example, the last sentence above could also be translated 'I am asking that you let the woman dance'. And, in fact, there are a few places where a subjunctive construction is best translated, or can only be translated, with an English type (b) complement clause, such as with the verb férok 'be afraid' (followed usually by the preposition (')ar, but in one passage by a direct object) and the frozen verbal form (a)thúmk 'I think (perhaps)' (§12.5.18). Some examples are:
firkak ãs al tófffor man đ̛́rən 'I am afraid that she'll run away from us' (30:10)
firkak tos al l-éflat man đ̛́ri ‘I am afraid she'll run away from me' (60:16) thúmk to al-gád násanu ‘I think I'll go now' (38:2)
thúmk tıš yékən mən axṣómén 'I think he might be from our enemies' (60:42)

### 13.5.1.1 Complementizer $\partial$ -

Complement clause type (b) involves, in English, an optional complementizer 'that'. In Jibbali, the particle $\partial$ - serves as an optional complementizer, but it is not clear if there are rigid rules governing its use. Only the following verbs are attested with the complementizer ð-in the texts: 'õr 'say', kolót 'tell', garว́b 'know', s̃asfé 'find out', šhed 'bear witness; testify', and zzhéd 'understand'. Some examples are:
ol bek õk hek lo ðд-hét mišérd 'didn’t I already tell you that you were stupid?' (1:9)
 woman, gave authority to her father to marry her off' (45:18)

sbréš garı́b ðっ-š̌ t telg his son knew that it was ice' (35:7)
tet agééyg ğarióts ðz-sésúdkət 'the man's wife knew that she was a friend' (60:46)
ba-zhéd aǵéyg ðح-šž 'amkáš góyór 'and the man understood that it had something bad in it' (17:48)
zahed'́t attét ðz-šé aǵéyg ol şhabél agarós lo 'the woman realized that the man didn't understand her language' (34:11)
 (35:3)
 عréšš garórt ð-dír'́ham 'the old woman told the Sultan's son that every day a bag of money appeared under this boy's head' (6:18)
 l-Émlak عðti-lín bar عðt́-ilín ‘do you testify by your responsibilities [or: guarantees] that he married so-and-so, and that her father or her brother has given me authority to give legal possession to so-and-so, son of so-and-so?' (45:17)
 that such and such happened to his son' ( $\mathrm{T} 44: 75$ )

Note that sometimes the main verb can take an anticipatory direct object (e.g., 60:46; 35:3), but other times does not (e.g., $35: 7 ; 34: 11$ ). There does not seem to be any rule that can predict which construction is used.

Some verbs after which we might expect a complementizer, such as guzúm 'swear', yzkól 'think', and hegós 'think', are never found with it (see further on verbs of thinking below). And surely there are other verbs that can be followed by a complementizer, but for which the texts provide no evidence. Moreover, some verbs-like $\tilde{o} r$ 'say' and gar'́b 'know'-are attested both with and without the complementizer. For the verb 'õr 'say', the lack of a complementizer can be considered a report of direct speech, for example:
‘ẽr hẽn ba-kabalét mosé mékan 'it was told to us that in the west there is a lot of rain [or: it was told to us, "In the west there is a lot of rain"]' (32:8)
'õr yo yakín đ̛̣irš ganní ġasré 'people said that there was a jinn by it at night [or: people said, "There is a jinn by it at night"]' (39:1)

For the verb $\dot{g} a r \dot{b} b$, we can observe in the texts that the complementizer is usually used when the subject of the complement clause (whether verbal or non-verbal) is third person, but not if it is first or second person. Compare the examples with $\dot{g} a r o ́ b$ above with the following examples that are missing the complementizer:
het ðə-ġaróbk tun dḥa-nḥəmél ḳərére 'you know that we are moving tomorrow' (28:11)
he ðə-ġar'́bk tık đِer xádər 'I know you are on top of the cave' (25:18)
het də-ğarว́bk tun ol ansénúd 'ãklo 'you know that we wouldn't manage without you' (28:15)

It can be seen from the examples at the beginning of this section that the complementizer $\partial$ - is always followed by an independent pronoun. In the examples in which no complementizer is used, there is never an independent pronoun beginning the complement clause. Presumably, it is the case that the pronoun is required because of the complementizer $\partial$-, and not that the complementizer $\partial$ - is required because of the third person pronoun. Sometimes it may appear that there are exceptions to this situation, for example:
õr hen yo ð-ǐž́t $\varepsilon s k u ́ n ~ ' p e o p l e ~ t o l d ~ u s ~ t h a t ~ i t ~ i s ~ f u l l ~ o f ~ s e t t l e m e n t s ' ~(38: 1) ~$
ðə-ğaróbk toš đə-yวftéréżəə ba-fəndél 'I knew he was excited about the sweet potatoes' (49:35)

Both of these examples would seem at first glance to violate the rule that the complementizer is always followed by an independent pronoun. In fact, neither of these passages contains a complementizer. Rather, in $38: 1$, the perfect च̌žót is preceded by the verbal prefix $ð$ - (§ 7.1.10.2), and so đ-ǐzót means literally 'has become full'. In 49:35, the imperfect yaftéréżzn is likewise preceded by the particle $\chi$-, indicating something like a past progressive (§7.1.10.1). However, if 49:35 does not contain a complementizer, then it would seem to contradict the observation that $\dot{g} a r \dot{b} b$ is used with a complementizer if the subject of the complement clause is a third person. The fact that the particle $\partial$ - is already present here has possibly suppressed the appearance of the complementizer.

As noted above, verbs of thinking are never followed by a complementizer in the texts. The most common such verb in the texts is the anomalous verb yzkól 'think' (§7.4.16), anomalous because it is used only in the imperfect and has a past tense meaning. A complement clause following yzkól can contain a non-verbal phrase, a perfect, or, for a relative future, a future. Based on the limited data available, it seems that a direct object is used on the form of $y$ zkj́l mainly when the subject of the complement clause is not third person. Examples are:
smbérs' yakj́l 'ak sandik dír'́ham 'the boy had thought that there was money in the box' (5:5)
yakél kunút hagmét 'ak sékən 'they thought there was an attack on [or: fight in] the settlement' (13:13)
yakól kít 'he thought (it was) food' (35:4)
yakj́l eganní mína‘ egenbit 'he thought the jinn had taken hold of the dagger' (39:5)
yakj́lol dé al-hés še lo 'he thought there was no one like him’ (54:2)
əkj́(l)s̃ man yéns̃ haž̄́rrs̃li'I thought you were persuading me truthfully' (6o:8)
tək'́(l)s̃ edúrs̃ yálhum ‘she thought you had gone back to them' (60:23) sl nəkj́(l)š dha-ygád lo 'we didn't think he would go' (49:35)

Note especially the sentences of the type yakj́l kít 'he thought (it was) food' (35:4), in which not only is there no complementizer, but there is also no expressed subject in the complement clause.

The verb hegós (or hogós) 'think' is attested just twice in the texts, ${ }^{12}$ but in neither case is it followed by a complementizer:
hạṣ $\varepsilon$-hegว́sk bis̃ bis̃ agádas̃ man đ̛̣́r emíh 'when I think you have already gone from by the water' (60:15)
agéyg hégás ba-tét tékan berót ag̉adót mən đér remíh 'the man figured the woman would have already gone from by the water' ( $60: 20$ )

Another verb that can be translated 'think' is the irregular frozen form (a)thúmk; see § 12.5 .18 and $\S 13.5 .1$ on its use with what appear to be complement clauses, but never with a complementizer.

### 13.5.2 Purpose Clauses

Purpose clauses in Jibbali can be either marked or unmarked. The most common particle used to indicate a purpose clause is her, though l-agére, $\varepsilon d$, and $b$ - are also used. These particles function primarily as prepositions, and their use in the marking of purpose clauses is secondary.

### 13.5.2.1 Unmarked Purpose Clauses

An unmarked purpose clause simply includes a subjunctive verb. Some examples from the texts are:
dha---zémk bérik tamtósah 'T'll give you a pitcher to perform [or: so you can perform] ablutions' (36:10)
$\dot{g} a d y \partial \ u ̄ t \varepsilon-k \bar{\varepsilon} r, y a z \varepsilon ́ m k ~ ' i s ̌ \varepsilon ́ ~ b a-f l o ́ y o ́(l) t g ̇ \partial k ' g o ~ t o ~ t h e ~ h o u s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ s h e i k h, ~$ so he can give you food or kill you' (46:9)

[^183]yabgód yótlab musáadat $\varepsilon$-taxtór 'he goes to ask the help of a doctor' (52:1)
zẽ-to $\mathfrak{\varepsilon ̃ s ́ r k ~ l - \partial g ̆ b e ́ b ~ ‘ a m k a ́ s ́ s ~ ' g i v e ~ m e ~ y o u r ~ t u r b a n ~ s o ~ I ~ c a n ~ d e f e c a t e ~ i n ~ i t ~}$ [or: to defecate in]' (97:37)
xtóran da-hallét anśtźm hésan 'álaf 'we went down to town to buy feed for them' (AKI:3)
zzhámk al-śtém kénúm ôśźt 'I came to buy some animal fodder' (SM)
More often, Jibbali indicates a purpose clause with a particle, usually her.

### 13.5.2.2 her

The particle her, used most often as a preposition 'to; for' (§ 8.11), as a conditional particle (see §13.4.1), or as a temporal conjunction (§13.5•3.3), can also mark a purpose clause. As a preposition 'for', it often indicates purpose, hence its use to mark a purpose clause. It has this function about a dozen times in the texts. The examples from the texts are:
al bi katafóflo her l-jffar ‘I don't have wings to fly' (3:8)
issol a'níheryã̌̌́rk masgíd lo 'his father didn't mean that he should make a mosque' (5:3)
bél ह́rún b-iyél yazhímhum her yaśxéf "The goat- and camel-herders come to them (the cow-herders) in order to drink milk' (9:4)
hit 'amíl '́ram her nażbót embére' 'you find [lit. make] a way for us to capture the boy' (17:19)
 (with which) to buy supplies for his family' (18:1)
héróg s̃es her yaǵád s̃es 'he spoke to her in order to sleep [lit. go] with her' (36:10)
zəḥám tun bə-fəndél her nafnék fandél 'he brought us back sweet potatoes so that we could taste sweet potato' (49:11)
zahôot ह́mí her tząbót to 'my mother came to get me' (49:31)
‘ágən nahẹf́fr h háší ‘ơfar her nésbax ba-xádər 'let's dig up red sand so we can spread (sand) in the cave' (51:16)
śink tıš aǵád her yoḍḥ̆́l 'I saw him go to urinate' (53:11)
zaḥámk bun her l-́́dras 'I came here in order to study' (FBi:1)
ol s̃rrókan ț̄̄l 'ar her nanhág 'we made music only in order to dance' (Pr114)

It is interesting to note that of the six passages above with her (indicating purpose) that have parallel Mehri versions, the Mehri text has l-agarē in three cases, $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ in two places, and once has an unmarked purpose clause.

### 13.5.2.3 l-agére

The particle l-agére (or l-ageré; cf. Mehril-agarē), which before a noun means 'for the sake of, on behalf of' (see §8.29), can also introduce a purpose clause. As expected, the verb of the purpose clause appears in the subjunctive. Examples from the texts are:
thúmk to al-ġád náṣanu, l-agére ð-al-ġád l-órxér ‘I think I'll go now, so that I can go slowly' (38:2)
he 'ak beš yafót l-agére l-aśxว́f $\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ b \partial s ̌ ~ ‘ I ~ w a n t e d ~ h i m ~ t o ~ d i e, ~ s o ~ I ~ c o u l d ~$ drink his milk' (51:4)
¿ kolót her yo b-alhín s̃erókak l-a gére yo yaźḥék 'my father told the people everything I had done so that they would laugh' (51:13)
yag $\dot{e}$ ẹəənk l-ageré txéls 'they will anger you so that you will go astray' (57:15)
ḳōrót kebś l-agére her $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ s ~ z a h a ́ m, ~ t a ' m e ́ r ~ h e s ̌, ~ " t e t ~ x a r g o ́ t " ~ ‘ s h e ~ b u r i e d ~$ a lamb, so that if [or: whenever] her son came, she might tell him, "Your wife died"' (30:12)

There is no clear difference between l-agére and her or $\varepsilon d$ in this function. ${ }^{13}$ In the last example (30:12), l-agére is probably used because of the following conditional particle her. Note also that in the example from 38:2 we find д- following l-agére, as we do (at least sometimes) when l-agére is used prepositionally (cf. 60:36). Of the five examples of l-agére marking a purpose clause in Johnstone's texts, just one (57:15) has a Mehri parallel (M90:15), in which l-agarē is also used. ${ }^{14}$ As noted in §13.5.2.2., in several places where Mehri uses l-agarē in a passage parallel to a Jibbali text, the Jibbali text has her.

### 13.5.2.4 $\varepsilon d$

The particle $\varepsilon d$, normally a preposition meaning 'up to, until; to', can also be used to introduce a purpose clause, in which case it is followed by a subjunctive verb. The only examples from the texts are:
íné al-s̃érk her erśót ed l-ó(l)tġahum 'what should I do to the boys in order to kill them?' (6:6)

[^184] to catch it' (54:2)
yas̃elēdən man đ̣ér ǐš ed yazḥómš 'he shot over his father so he could get to it [the peak]' (83:3)

In Johnstone's Mehri texts, $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'until' (the equivalent of Jibbali $\varepsilon d$ 'until') is the most common particle used to indicate a purpose clause (Rubin 2010: 293). Given that there are so few examples of $\varepsilon d$ used this way in Johnstone's texts, and that there are other more common ways of indicating a purpose clause, one wonders if this use of $\varepsilon d$ in Jibbali is a Mehrism, or perhaps an Arabism (cf. Arabic ḥattā). See also the comment to text 6:6.

There is one other possible example, in which $\varepsilon d$ is followed by a per-
 Stroomer's edition of Johnstone's Mehri texts as:
hawrōd hazhe té harwū '[he took] his goats to the water to give them a drink' (M61:6)

I followed this translation in my Mehri grammar (Rubin 2010: 293), and from this passage suggested that $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ indicating a purpose clause could be followed by a perfect, rather than a subjunctive, in a past tense context. I now think that this reading and subsequent analysis is almost certainly incorrect, and instead prefer to read Mehri $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ and Jibbali $\varepsilon d$ here as 'until', and translate both passages literally 'he brought his goats to the water until he had let (them) drink [or better: and let (them) drink]'. For further discussion on $\varepsilon d$ as a temporal subordinator, with similar passages that back up this translation of text 20:6, see $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$.

### 13.5.2.5 $b-$

As discussed in $\S 8.6$, the preposition $b$ - can have the meaning 'for, in exchange for'. Based on this meaning, it can, in appropriate contexts, be used as a subordinator to indicate purpose. There are just two examples in the texts:
dḥa-l-zéms̃ xamsín ḳərós̃ bə-tazḥĩ-to b-عbrít ðə-suṭún ‘I will give you fifty dollars for you to bring me the Sultan's daughter' (36:6)
zũt to yot ba-l-ǵád s̃es 'she gave me a camel for me to go with her [or: so that I would go with her]' (49:34)

### 13.5.3 Temporal Clauses

There are four main particles used for temporal subordination in Jibbali: mit, has $\varepsilon$-, $\varepsilon d$, and hes. A fifth, hakt $\varepsilon$-, seems to be rarer. ${ }^{15}$ The conditional particle $\operatorname{her}$ ( $\$ 13.4 .1$ ) can also sometimes be used as a temporal subordinating particle. All of these can be translated by English 'when', though each has its own special functions. That is, even when they are syntactically interchangeable, which they are not always, each usually has its own nuance of meaning. The data also suggests that there are some dialectal differences in the use of the temporal subordinators, but this needs to be investigated further. The five particles listed above will be treated in turn. On the use of the auxiliary verb $d$ - $\supset d$ to mean 'while' or 'before' in a subordinate clause, see § 7.3 .

### 13.5.3.1 mit 'when'

The particle mit, in addition to being an interrogative adverb 'when?' (\$11.7), can also function as a marker of temporal subordination. It is used almost exclusively to refer to an event that has not yet happened (i.e., a future or relative future). As a temporal subordinator, mit is followed by either a verb in the perfect tense or by a non-verbal clause. Some examples are:
ba-thúmk toš ar ḥa-yḩ̣́ṣal ba-ha-nəśné egว̄bš mit zaḥám ‘I thought he’d surely get (something), and we would see his answer when he came' (10:4)
mit aáśšris̃ héróg ŝis̃, galíb, ba-hé dha-l-héżar lis̃ taġíd 'when your husband speaks with you, refuse, and I will (pretend to) persuade you to go' (60:6)
 leave, pour the bag of money onto the dance-floor' (97:24)
mit zzhám a‘áśoris̃ bz-ágis̃ tzġid $k$-Enúf, 'amír her a'áśzris̃ 'when your husband comes and you want to go to the bathroom, say to your husband...' (97:37)

As also discussed in §7.2, if a temporal clause is non-verbal and has a pronominal subject, then the auxiliary verb ber, which carries no meaning, is required to hold the subject. An example is:

[^185]mit bek kéríb al-ḥéṣan, ardé b-cśfét sérék 'when you are near the castle, throw the hair behind you' (86:9)

In one passage, we find ber in the main clause, indicating a time prior to the temporal mit-clause, i.e., a future perfect (on the compound future perfect tense, see $\S 7$ 7.1.9):
mit zaḥãn, təkín ber ṭaḥáns̃ egunét ว-bér mols̃ ezbírt míh ‘when we come back, you should have already ground the sack (of grain) and already filled the buckets with water' (97:7)

In addition to the basic use of mit to refer to an event that has not yet happened (relative to the main verb), there is one passage in the texts in which we find mit used in the context of a past narrative:
mit ber ðə-`̄r xérín, yas̃elēdan man đ̣́ér īs $\varepsilon d$ yazhómš ‘when he had got a little ways ahead, he shot over his father so he could get to it [the peak]' (83:3)

Unlike Mehri mat (Rubin 2010: 295-296), Jibbali mit is not used to indicate past habitual action, in the sense of 'when' or 'whenever'. For this meaning, Jibbali uses her (see below, § 13.5•3.3).

The poorly attested temporal particles mad (cf. text Fri; JL, s.v. $m d$ ) and mið (cf. JL, s.v. mð, myt; Hofstede 1998: 116), which are not used in any of Johnstone's texts or my own, probably derive from mit $+d-/ \partial-.^{16}$

## 13.5•3.2 haṣ $\varepsilon$ - 'when'

The compound particle haṣ $\varepsilon$ - has the meaning 'when' in the sense of 'as soon as'. That is, it implies some sense of immediacy after the temporal clause. It can be used either in past or future contexts, as well as in general statements. If followed by a verb, as it nearly always is, the verb will be in the perfect. If followed by a non-verbal clause (as happens just once in the texts, in 30:3), has $\varepsilon$ - is followed by the auxiliary $\operatorname{ber}(\S 7.2)$. The element $\varepsilon$ - is suppressed if the following word begins with a vowel, as well as usually when followed by the auxiliary ber. ${ }^{17}$

In the texts, has $\varepsilon$ - is found most often (fifteen of twenty-eight occurrences) in a future context-that is, with reference to a future event-in

[^186]which case the verb in the main clause can be a future, an imperative, or (least often) an imperfect. Some examples are:

ḥaṣ e-yó zạ̣ám, dḥa-yaṭlóg $\varepsilon y a ́ t k ~ ' w h e n ~ t h e ~ p e o p l e ~ c o m e, ~ t h e y ~ w i l l ~$ mention your camel' (33:6)
ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-zḥám a'aśzris̃, 'amír heš 'when your husband comes, say to him...' (6:7)
has $\varepsilon$-bér 'ak $\varepsilon \dot{g} \bar{\partial} r$, ḥmél xaṭókésan 'when they are in the well, pick up their clothes' (30:3)
has $\varepsilon$-shék ðénu, nézmak a'iśśk 'when you finish this, we will give you your dinner' (54:25)
nḥa dḥa-nzémkḥaṣ $\varepsilon b s ̌ o s l a n ~ ' w e ~ w i l l ~ g i v e ~ y o u ~(s o m e) ~ w h e n ~ w e ' v e ~ c o o k e d ~$ (it)' (23:2)
dha-l-zémkum fəndél ḥaṣ e-zhámkum 'I'll give you sweet potatoes when you come back' (49:12)

As the last two examples show (23:2; 49:12), the subordinate clause with has $\varepsilon$ - can follow the main clause, though more often it precedes.

In a past context, the verb in the main clause will be a perfect, as in:
has $\varepsilon$-tét $\operatorname{agadót,~ḥõl~kacéb~b-\varepsilon ritióhum~'when~the~woman~went~out,~he~}$ picked up things and cleaned them up' (34:5)
ḥaṣ aġadót tet yol $\varepsilon$ ह̃tbax, ḥõl $\varepsilon$ ḳahwét bo-kel'ว่s xunṭ man ag̉ərfét 'when she went to the kitchen, he picked up the coffee and put it outside of the room' (34:9)
ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-bóttar, śíni $\varepsilon g$ f́fžs 'ak emíh 'when he looked down, he saw his shadow in the water' (39:3)
ḥaṣ ع-šõóst $\varepsilon$ šxarét aġaró dénu, ftorẓ́ว́t 'when the old woman heard these words, she became very happy' (60:23)
haṣ bek kébbak, sfork 'after I came down, I traveled' (TJ3:5)
It can be seen in the first example above (34:5) that a noun subject can come between haṣ $\varepsilon$ - and the verb, though this is not usual; cf. the very similar example from 34:9, in which the verb immediately follows has $\varepsilon$ -

In a general statement, the verb in the main clause will be an imperfect, or the main clause can be a non-verbal clause, for example:

ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-s̃xənít mən $\tilde{\varepsilon} s g i ́ d, ~ y a l o ̄ d ~ b a-y ว h ə b \bar{n}$ '[on this holiday] when they go out from the mosque, they shoot (guns) and sing' (4:2)
has ber $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ z ́ i ~ i l-‘ a ́ s ̣ r, ~ y a h a b ̄ ̄ n ~ a g a ́ g ~ '[o n ~ t h i s ~ h o l i d a y] ~ w h e n ~ t h e y ' v e ~$ prayed the afternoon prayer, the men sing' (4:6)

ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-śhéd $\varepsilon$ śhód, yẽlók śźra‘ ag̉éyg $\varepsilon$-šfók 'when the witnesses have testified, the judge gives possession to the man who got married' (45:18)
ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-gunūt, xalás 'when she refuses (the calf), it is finished' (TJ2:54)
In just one passage in the texts, has is preceded by man 'from', giving the sense of 'after' or 'from then on':
man ḥaṣ emlék śśra', takín tíťš 'after [or: from when] the judge has given possession, she becomes his wife' (45:19)

In all of the examples in this section, wherever has $\varepsilon$ - has been translated as 'when', one could easily substitute 'as soon as' or '(just) after'. In a future tense context, has $\varepsilon$ - is usually interchangeable with mit (see § 13.5.3.1), with just a slight nuance of difference. Compare the following two passages, which are nearly identical:
 as] you have had enough and want to leave, pour the money onto the dance-floor' (97:12)
 leave, pour the bag of money onto the dance-floor' (97:24)

Another very simlar set to compare is:
ḥaṣ ع-zḥám a'aśzris̃, 'amír heš 'when your husband comes, say to him...' (6:7)
mit zaḥám a'áśaris̃ bə-ágisis tzġíd $k$-Enúf, 'amír her a áśzris̃ ‘when your husband comes and you want to go to the bathroom, say to your husband...' (97:37)

### 13.5.3.3 her 'when, whenever'

As mentioned already in §13.4.1, the conditional particle her 'if' can also be used as a temporal subordinator, in which case it indicates habitual or repeated action in the past or present. In such sentences, a verb following her is in the perfect tense, while a verb in the main clause is in the imperfect. As such, it can be translated as 'when' or 'whenever' (and often also 'if'). Examples are:
her zaḥám ḥallét, yas̃érók bes masgíd 'whenever he came to a town, he built [lit. made] a mosque in it' (5:2)
 boy, his sister would brush it off of him' (36:17)
her keriót yum, agóle 'whenever the sun goes down, I get sick' (38:6)
her ḥótraf mukún yaśúnš yotō̌̌ ‘whenever he changed places, he would see it following him' (39:4)
her zəḥám man ẽxṭér, (t)zḥ̃̃n ð-télf 'when they come back from a trip, they come back hungry' (47:6)
her ínét folók šíṭár, ašórk u us e-ī b-ahzéz šíṭár ... ba-hér 'ágab taḳán šittár, ṭit mansén ( $t$ )sokf đ̣er daf mən tél l-əḳōżən li ‘when the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids ... and when they wanted to let out the kids, one of them would sit on a rock where they could watch me' (49:3)

One informant used her in this way in conjunction with an imperfect:
э nas̃ḥió(l)klo her tahérg físá‘ ‘we don’t understand you when you speak fast' $(\mathrm{SM})^{18}$

In just one place in the texts (54:27), temporal her is used in a non-verbal clause. This clause contains the phrase her $\tilde{s} \tilde{n}$ 'after a little while':
her $\tilde{s}(n)$ yaxánút marḳá‘ ‘after a little while, he would take off a veil' (54:27)

The above example still seems to reflect a repeated action; the character in the story is wearing multiple veils, and takes them off one at a time, at intervals. ${ }^{19}$ It remains to be determined if Jibbali her $\tilde{\text { siñ }}$ can be used in a past narrative context to refer to a one-time event, as its Mehri equivalent mot səwānōt appears to be able to do (e.g., M104:19).

The combination of her with the auxiliary ber and a future tense (i.e., her ber dha-) gives the sense of 'before'. There are only two examples of this in the texts:
her ber dḥa-txarófen taṣ̣̄̄̂วn ðə-xérít 'before (the trees) gave fruit, one morning they were stripped' (30:1)
her ber dḥa-yztóğak $\varepsilon k f o ́ r$, 'ak hé l-ótġak 'before the foreigners kill you, I want to kill you' (35:8)

[^187]It is also possible to translate the example from $30: 1$ as 'when (the trees) were about to give fruit...'. For more on the proximative use of ber dha- in other contexts, see §7.2.

Sometimes it is not easy to decide if her is functioning as a conditional or temporal particle. Consider the following example:

> ḳōrót kebś l-əgére, her モbrés zəḥám, ta'mér heš, "tet xargót" ‘she buried a lamb, so that if [or: whenever] her son came, she might tell him, "Your wife died"' (30:12)

In this sentence, 'when' makes good sense, since the woman expects her son to come back. However, we would expect mit or has $\varepsilon$ - to be used for 'when' in this context, which describes an event that has not yet taken place. There is no main clause associated with the her-clause, since the following subjunctive ta'mér is connected with the earlier l-agére.

As mentioned in §13.4.1, n. 7, the conditional particle her has the variant hel in the EJ dialect of the town of Sadh. This variant form is also attested with a temporal use in the dialect of one speaker, though all referring to single events within a narrative (cf. TJ4:26; TJ4:27; TJ4:28; TJ4:30; TJ4:32). That is, hel occurs in this text in environments where we might expect hes (§13.5.3.5), not her.

On her as a purpose-marking subordinating conjunction 'so that', see §13.5.2.2.

### 13.5.3.4 ed 'then when; until'

The common particle $\varepsilon d$ can be used as a preposition 'until' (see §8.1) or, rarely, to mark a purpose clause (§13.5.2.4), but it is most frequently encountered as a marker of temporal subordination. It is used as such in narration of past events, and carries a nuance of sequential action. That is, it has the meaning of 'then when', though a translation 'when' is normally sufficient, and sometimes even preferable. Because it has this nuance of 'then', a temporal 'when'-clause with $\varepsilon d$ always precedes the main clause. In addition, $\varepsilon d$ cannot be preceded by the conjunction $b$ - 'and', while the other temporal subordinators can be. Like other temporal subordinators, the temporal conjunction $\varepsilon d$ is normally followed by a verb in the perfect. Examples from the texts are abundant. Some are:
$\varepsilon d$ melét sandík, ṭordótš 'then when she filled a box, she threw him out' (6:17)
$\varepsilon d$ zaḥámk bun, kisk aġegés̃i 'then when I came here, I found my friend' (10:2)
sd zzḥám, zĩs tũr 'when he came, he gave her the dates' (17:22)
$\varepsilon d$ śnin titiťs baḥśés, agád $\varepsilon d$ zzḥ̂is 'then when he saw his wife by herself, he went up to her' (30:21)
$\varepsilon d$ kun bz-xár, ağád yol šxarét 'then when he was well, he went to an old woman' (36:6)
ed kséš $\partial$-aġtว́š̌', hõľ̌s 'then when they found him passed out, they picked him up' (39:6)
ed nika' lxín ūt, yaśún manzél də-šxarét 'then when he came to a house, he saw an old woman's place' (TJ4:10)

If a non-verbal phrase is used in the temporal clause, then a pronominal subject is expressed by the auxiliary verb $\operatorname{ber}(\$ 7.2)$. There is just one example of this with $\varepsilon d$ in Johnstone's texts:
ed ber $b$-́́ram, ksé tet $k$ - $\varepsilon$ rún 'when he was on the road, he found a woman with goats' (22:5)
In many passages, $\varepsilon d$ is simply followed by an adverb or adverbial phrase. Such adverbs or adverbial phrases are nearly always temporal in nature, like $k$-hásaf 'in the morning', kol'éni 'in the evening', gasré 'at night', ðélé' 'late morning', ásar tat 'one night', yum țit 'one day' (or other phrases involving yum 'day'), fokh ð-a'aśsr 'in the middle of the night', mən đ̛̣́r $\varepsilon$ ह́ $k \partial t ~ ' a f t e r ~ a ~$ while', etc. In this case, it is not clear if we should parse $\varepsilon d$ as a temporal conjunction preceding a non-verbal clause, or parse $\varepsilon d$ as a simple adverb 'then'. For example, the clause $\varepsilon d$ gasré in the first example below (15:9) might be translated 'then when (it was) evening' or simply 'then in the evening'. I prefer the latter analysis. Examples are:
$\varepsilon d$ ġasré, zzhám kob 'then in the evening, the wolf came' (15:9)
ed yum xilfét zzhạám đ̣er míh 'then the next day, they came to some water' (17:12)
 dance-party in the town' (30:9)
$\varepsilon d$ koléni keb 'ak śa'b 'then in the evening, he went down into a valley' (33:2)
ed k-hásaf 'aśśj́t al-fénés 'then in the morning, she got up before him' (97:41)
عd manzél hēt hogúlts 'then at (one) place, her bracelet fell' (97:27)
As the last example shows, sometimes the adverbial phrase can be locative, rather than temporal.

In addition to having the meaning '(then) when', the conjunction $\varepsilon d$ is also often found with the meaning 'until', a meaning it also has as a
preposition (see §8.1). In this case, the subordinate clause follows the main clause, and the verb following $\varepsilon d$ is normally a perfect (or ber + a future for a proximative; see §7.2) if the reference is to the past, but a subjunctive if the reference is to the future. ${ }^{20}$ Examples are:
śxafed śé‘ 'he drank until he was satisfied' (33:16)
koltót ba-kéltót d'nu kels ed tammut 'she told them this whole story until it was done' ( $36: 31$ )
hergót ed źaḩ̧́t 'she spoke until she got fed up' (TJ4:37)
kunút len \&k'át $\varepsilon$ d bérən ha-nġorj’k'a storm befell us until we were about to sink' (13:4)
he dha-l-gád l-ékar b-ag̈jhí ed al-zhómkum 'I will go visit my brothers until I come back to you' (50:2)
s̃irk snúf( $t$ )šririk' śé $\varepsilon d$ nağád 'pretend you are doing something until we go' (60:8)
nahíg ed (t)síhki 'dance until you've had enough' (97:24)
o tagórb her a‘ásark ed l-Éxlaf ãă 'you don’t know (the value of) your friend until you move away from him' (Pr8)

See the comments to texts 28:17, $30: 5$, and $\mathrm{T} 4: 66$ for some discussion of $\varepsilon d$ contracting with the following verb when used in this way.

There are some passages in which it is somewhat ambiguous whether $\varepsilon d$ is functioning as 'until' or 'then when'. For example, in 97:14, we find the
 on punctuation (in writing) or natural pauses and stress (in speech), this could be translated either 'and she danced. Then when she had enough, she poured the bag of money onto the dance-floor' or 'and she danced until, when she had enough, she poured the bag of money onto the dance-floor'. Another example is aǵádan ed éṣalan đ̣er emíh mélét li bérík míh (49:19). Depending on punctuation or sentence stress, this could be translated either 'we went until we reached the water. She filled a jug with water for me' or 'We went. Then when we reached the water, she filled a jug with water for me'. One can see how these two uses of $\varepsilon d$ overlap syntactically.

Sometimes Jibbali uses $\varepsilon d$ 'until' where English would use a simple conjunction 'and', for example:

[^188]sród érunśś $\varepsilon d$ erbé 'he brought his goats to the water and let (them) drink [lit. until he had let (them) drink]' (20:6)
agád agééyg ed kūn 'ak enáxal 'the man went and [lit. until] he hid among the date-palms' (30:4)
hillás ed éṣal bes tel áélés' 'he took her and [lit. until] he brought her to his family' (36:19)
tēr $k \varepsilon$ ľs $\varepsilon d$ kun haśśs' 'all of him was broken and [lit. until] he was all smashed (in his bones)' (48:20)
agádk man séréš $\varepsilon d$ dahéfk toš 'I went behind him and [lit. until] I slapped him (on the back)' (51:7)

In a handful of places in Johnstone's texts ( $12: 5 ; 13: 1 / 4 / 5 ; 15: 1$ ), we find the particle $t \varepsilon$, rather than $\varepsilon d$; this is perhaps a Mehrism (cf. Mehri $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ ), though it could also reflect a variant form (cf. the Mehri variant at-té, and see the comment to text TJ4:69). Finally it should be noted that unlike Mehri $t \bar{\varepsilon}$, which combines with his in various environments, Jibbali $\varepsilon d$ does not seem to combine with hes. ${ }^{21}$

### 13.5.3.5 hes 'when; after; since'

The particle hes can function as a preposition meaning like', in which case it is usually found in the compound al-hés (see § 8.12). It is encountered most often, however, as a marker of temporal subordination 'when' or 'after'. For what it is worth, hes is used less frequently in Johnstone's Jibbali texts than its counterpart $h \bar{s}$ is used in his Mehri texts. Like $\varepsilon d$ (§ 13.5.3.4), hes is used in the context of a past narrative, and a following verb appears in the perfect. But while the $\varepsilon d$-clause (when it means 'when') must precede the main clause, the hes-clause can precede, follow, or be embedded within the main clause. And while $\varepsilon d$ carries a sequential nuance 'then when', hes is simply 'when'. This is not to say that hes cannot be used in a context where 'then when' is appropriate. Hes can indicate either simultaneous action ('when, while') or subsequent action ('(then) when'); it also has other nuances not found with $\varepsilon d$, as will be outlined below. Following are some examples of hes from the texts:
hes éṣal Eśhćhr, ksé geyg 'when he reached the mountains, he found a man' (7:1)
hes ş́hakk, garōt to 'when I laughed, she knew me' (13:8)

[^189]hes iżók ant̄̄h, cród $\varepsilon$ と́runéš 'when [or: while] those guys were fighting, he brought his goats to the water' (20:6)
hes śini aġág zkbél leš, kéré $k k i t s ̌ k \varepsilon l s$ 'when he saw the men approaching him, he hid all of his food' (21:3)
hes śéak, rúdək bz-té' $a k$. $\varepsilon g \jmath \bar{t}$ ' when I was full, I threw the food away in the hole' (53:10)
hes zzḥõt to, ol 'agiót tóskaflo 'when she came to me, she didn't want to stay' (60:22)
agéyg ba-titţ̌ šínés hes s̃xantôt mən sékən baḩ̧́és 'the man and his wife saw her when she left the settlement alone' (60:41)
As with the other temporal conjunctions, a pronoun subject in a nonverbal hes-clause is nearly always expressed with the auxiliary verb ber (§7.2), as in:
hes ber b-aámk $\bar{r} r \partial m$, cõr agéég 'when they were in the middle of the journey, the man said...' (2:1)
hes ber 'ak emíh, zəḥám ağéyg ba-hõl xațj̣készn 'when they were in the water, the man came and picked up their clothes' (30:5)
hes ber ētz, xargót Émehum 'when they were grown [lit. already big], their mother died' (36:1)

In many, if not most, passages in which hes means 'when', it can also be translated as 'after'. But to make the sense of 'after' more explicit-that is, to make clear that one action is completed before the other action takes place-the auxiliary ber ( $\$ 7.2$ ) can be used. Some examples are:
hes ber kéśa 'té', kes té makóṣ 'after the meat was dry, they chopped the meat into chops' (12:4)
 (17:28)
hes bera'iśénxínúšzzhôotsinórt' 'after the food was in front of [lit. under] him, a cat came' (17:47)
hes ber hálj́b ērún, hezzésan 'after he milked the goats, he slaughtered them' (22:6)
hes ber eggór séf́, hôlót sslóbés ba-kasbétš ba-háṣũnš 'after the slave had fallen asleep, she took his weapons, his clothes, and his horse' (36:26)
hes ag̀éyg ðд-yzśũm ber eghízjohum, kolot́theš bo-xáfš 'after the salesman [lit. the man who was selling] had prepared them, he told him about his foot' (52:5)
hes bér hes 'ónut, kat̄̄̄tleš xat 'after a year, she wrote him a letter' (SB2:3)

Note in the second-to-last example (52:5) that ber can be separated from hes by a noun subject. On the use of ber $h$-in the last example (the second hes is a 3 fs suffixed form of the preposition her), see also § 7.2 and § 8.11. Also note that ber is not conjugated in the last example (SB2:3); this is perhaps because of the impersonal construction used (though 'ónut is still the grammatical subject), but there are other examples of unconjugated ber after hes (see below).

The combination man hés has the meaning 'since', in the sense of 'from the time when', and is usually used in conjunction with the auxiliary $d-{ }^{-} \partial d$ (§7.3) or negative っl-'ód (§13.2.4). Examples are:

っl-ǒk śink tos lo man hés aġadk ‘ũn 'I haven’t seen her since I went to Oman' (MnS)
man hés d-ók níṣán, s̃a‘sórk tos ‘since I was young, I loved her' (MnS) ${ }^{22}$
In a few places, hes has the meaning 'since' (causal) or 'because':
athúmk, hes ol õtal śé $l \bigcirc$, ð-a`níyas̃éxant ‘I think maybe since he has not sent anything, he means to leave' (8:8)
tōkum (t)sníd 'áni, hes bek s̃o‘ódk ag̉éyg 'you have to manage without me, since I have already arranged the meeting with the man' (28:14)
hes ber bahérs̃ bi, dha-l-s̃ẽns̃ 'since you have asked me, I will obey you' (57:12)

Note in the last example that ber is not conjugated; as mentioned above, this happens sometimes when it is used in conjunction with hes.

In one passage in the texts, we find hes used, without a clear reason, in combination with the auxiliary $d$ - $\supset d$ 'while still', which itself can introduce a subordinate temporal clause (see $\S 7 \cdot 3$ ):
$z i \check{s}$ sandík hes d-‘d ṣaḥ̂i 'he had given him a box, while he was still alive' (5:4)

Finally, note also that the preposition (al-)hés ‘like, as’ (see §8.12) can also function as a subordinator, for example:
s̃erókak al-hés ôk híni ‘I did as you told me’ (MnS)
s̃erk hes het kéżúm ts̃érók 'do as you used to do' (AdM)

[^190]13.5.3.6 hakt $\varepsilon$ - 'when'

The particle hakt is clearly connected with the noun $\varepsilon$ ék(ə)t 'time' (e.g., 30:9; 38:1), itself probably borrowed from Arabic waqt 'time'. In combination with the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$ - (rarely $\partial$-), it is used as a temporal conjunction 'when'. Among Johnstone's textual material, it is used only by the woman who recorded texts TJ4 and TJ5. It occurs about twenty-five times in these texts (not counting the unpublished portion of TJ5), in both past and future tense contexts. A few other examples can be found in $J L$, but these may come from the same informant. A clause with hakt $\varepsilon$ - can be either verbal or non-verbal; if verbal, the verb is most often perfect, but can also be imperfect (for a narrative past tense, or for repeated or habitual action) or future (for a proximative 'be about to'). Some examples of its use are:

ḥakt $\varepsilon$-zháámk, ftéh li ‘when I come back, open up for me' (TJ4:51)
ḥaḳt $\varepsilon$-k-háṣaf, yaghúm aggór 'ígém ðə-suṭún 'when it was morning, a mute slave of the sultan came' ( $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 65$ )
ḥakt ع-zhám, ōsam عnúfś 'when he came, he identified himself' (TJ4:85)
©̃r heš īs ḥakt Әд-yzhómš $\check{s}$ ‘his father said to him, when his father would come to him...' (TJ4:4)
ḥakt $\varepsilon$-sén (t)zḥõn, yว̌õr hésan aġéyg ðд-hágór $\bar{\partial} b$ 'when they came, the man who was guarding the door said...' (TJ4:25)
ḥaḳt dḥa-tanféṡan, təõrən 'when they (were about to) go home, they said...' (TJ4:31)
ḥakt ع-šézaḥám ḥa-néggal ḥit ' 'when he comes, we'll boil the food' ( JL, s.v. wktt)
$e k k o ́ b ~ s ̃ z h ̣ e ̄ f h e n ~ h ̣ a k t ~ a g ́ a ́ d ə n ~ ' t h e ~ w o l f ~ c o n f r o n t e d ~ u s ~ w h e n ~ w e ~ s e t ~ o f f ' ~$ ( $J L$, s.v. hyf)

As with other temporal conjunctions, the auxiliary $\operatorname{ber}(\S 7.2)$ is required if the subject of a non-verbal clause is pronominal, and can also be used in a verbal clause to give the sense of 'after'. In the texts (TJ4 and TJ5), whenever the phrase hakt $\varepsilon$ - is followed by ber, the initial $b$ is elided, ${ }^{23}$ as in:

ḥaḳt ēr heš yum mit yũ trut, 'õr hes 'after a day or two, he said to her...' (TJ4:12) ${ }^{24}$

[^191]ḥaḳt ēr rḥaź, aġad ba-fs'é inćtr 'after they had bathed, the women went and had lunch' (TJ4:42)
ḥakt ērót se b-\&z̃iréts $k$-عnfóf, 'õrót 'when she and her servant-girl were by [lit. with] themselves, she said...' (TJ4:49)
ḥakt ēr do-yšók iyél, $\varepsilon$ ðִ̣é $b$-axṣúm $\varepsilon k$ bél 'when they were watering the camels, they noticed enemies approaching' (TJ5:7)

Interestingly, in Ali Musallam's transcription of text TJ4 that he made for Johnstone, he transcribed haṣ in eighteen out of twenty-two places where the speaker said hakt (e.g., TJ4:4), suggesting that hakt was not acceptable for Ali. Ali also usually transcribed haṣ $\varepsilon$-bér instead of ḥaḳt ēr (e.g., TJ4:3).

I did not hear hakt used by any of my informants, though they recognized it. Hofstede's comment (1998: 117) that "the particle has been found only in the $J L$ " suggests that she did not come across hakt in her fieldwork either. Its use may very well be confined to certain dialects.

## 13.5-3.7 yum 'when'

Müller's texts attest a temporal conjunction yam (e.g., Müller 1907: 14, line 7; 53, line 7), which is obviously derived from the word yum 'day'. It is likely that yam is an Arabism, since yam/yom is used in the Dhofari Arabic recorded by Müller (e.g., 1907: 14, line 7); see further in Rhodokanakis (1911: 123) and Davey (2013: 245-246).

There seems to be an attestation of the conjunction yum in TJ4:17, though the audio is not totally clear here (see the comment to that line). The passage is:
yum $\varepsilon$-nk'ót se b-aġigeníti ðə-s̃és, yafóth les 'when she came, she and the girls who were with her, he would open it for her' (TJ4:17)
In text TJ4, we also find yum used in indirect questions:
s̃̈xbər híni mən aġabgót bə-yúm $\varepsilon$-s̃xəənṭót 'ask for me about the girl and when she goes out' (TJ4:14)
təs̃anźéż ba-yúm dḥa-ts̃éxanṭan d- s̃tún 'she asked discreetly about when they would go out to the plantation' (TJ4:21)
13.5.4 l-íné 'because'

The subordinator l-íné corresponds to English 'because'. I found few examples, but its meaning is clear:
yakín heš $\varepsilon k e ́ l \bar{\varepsilon} s ̌$, l-íné se ġolōt ol təg̉ád s̃eš 'he will get his bride-price, because she refused to go with him' (TJ2:22)
l-íné he ol ð-élafk lo 'because I was not accustomed (to it)' (TJ2:128) っl yaḳ́dar yagóód d-ūthum l-íné se berót hediũt 'they can't go to their house because it has been destroyed' (SM)

As an interrogative, l-íné means 'because of what? why?', for example: ta'mór l-íné 'why, do you think [lit. say]?' (TJ2:112)

It is not clear if the use of l-íné as a subordinator 'because' is derived from its use as an interrogative (cf. Italian perché), or whether it is a borrowing of Arabic l-inna (< Standard Arabic li-'anna). Perhaps one fact has reinforced the other.

In $J L$ (s.v. l), the word for 'because' is given as l-ín, but I found no other evidence for this shorter form.

## CHAPTER FOURTEEN

## GREETINGS AND BASIC PHRASES

It is important to remember that Jibbali is a living, functioning language, used for all aspects of daily life (other than formal education, government, and mass media). All Jibbali speakers are bilingual in Arabic, and probably that is the language in which they would most naturally choose to speak to a foreigner. Still, here are some basic greetings and other phrases that may be useful when talking to Jibbalis.

### 14.1 Some Greetings

Arabic greetings are very common, and the normal first greeting is simply the Arabic salám 'alékum (reply: ‘alékum salám). Following are some additional greetings and related phrases:
taghũk 'rfét 'good morning' (lit. 'may peace go to you') ${ }^{1}$
[to a woman: taghũ̃̌ $\mathfrak{\supset f e ́ t ]}$
Reply: bz-tók taghúm (lit. 'and to you may it go')
[to a woman, replace $t \supset k$ with $t \neg \tilde{s}$ ]
takḩ́b lek ‘fét 'good day, good afternoon'
Reply: ba-lék
[to a woman, replace lek with lis̃]
tágamd lek 'ऽfét 'good evening'
Reply: ba-lék (al-ágomd)
[to a woman, replace lek with liš]
bə-xár het? or bə-xár het śé? 'how are you?' (lit. 'are you well?')
[to a woman, replace $h \varepsilon t$ with hit]

[^192]Reply: bə-xár (usually followed by al-ḥámdu li-lláh or maḥmúd al-karím 'praise God')
yol $\varepsilon s ̣ b a ́ h ̣ k$ ? 'how are you?' (used in the morning)
yol kahébk? 'how are you?' (used in the afternoon until sunset)
yol aǵmódək? 'how are you?' (used in the evening)
[to a woman, replace the final $-k$ with $-\tilde{s}$ in each phrase]
Reply: same as above
sékənək kel ba-xár? or $\varepsilon s k u n o ́ k u m ~ k \varepsilon l ~ b ə-x a ́ r ? ~ ‘ h o w ~ i s ~ y o u r ~ f a m i l y ? ’ ~(l i t . ~ ' i s ~ y o u r ~$ family well?')
Possible reply: yo kel ba-xár. b-ol dé źóttar leš séélo 'the people are fine. Nothing bad has happened to anyone!'
xbor? 'what's the news? what's up?'
taxalóf ṣahát 'be well!' (lit. 'may health come’)
astahól 'goodbye; good luck!'
14.2 Some Basic Phrases for Conversation
íné yacõr ... ba-gablēt (ba-śḩarēt)? ‘how do you [lit. they] say ... in Jibbali (in Shaḥri)?'
ínź šũk? 'what is your name?'
[to a woman, replace šũk with šũs̃]
Alternatively: ínéya‘õr hek? 'what is your name?’ (lit. 'what do they call you?’) [ to a woman, replace hek with his̃]
bek šf́şak, man d-‘̌k xalí? ‘are you (m.) married [lit. have you already gotten married] or are you still single?'
man hũn het? (or: het man hũn?) 'where are you from?'
[to a woman, replace het with hit]
Reply: he man... 'I am from...'
hun ad-skúnk? 'where do you reside?'
Alternatively: hun ad-'áśk? 'where do you live?'
Reply: ad-'áśk bə- 'ũn, bo-ṣalólt ‘I live in Oman, in Ṣalalah'
ins̄n lag̀ (w)át tahérg/tzğ́grb? 'what languages do you speak/know?' mśé $l a \dot{g}(w)$ át tahérg? 'how many languages do you speak?'
tzhérg ‘arit? 'do you speak Arabic?'
ahérg xérín gabl̄̄t (ş̣hrrēt) 'I speak a little Jibbali (Shaḥri)'
s̃ek mśé aġóhźk b-ag̉ateték? how many brothers and sisters do you have?'
taxédəm iné? 'what do you do?' (lit. 'you work [at] what?')
Alternatively: inś xadmétk? 'what do you do?' (lit. 'what is your work?')
taxédəm man ətdórs? ‘do you work or study?'
he tálab 'I am a student'
iné tšéř̀k 'what are you doing (at the moment)?'
ع'ómrək mśé? 'how old are you?' (lit. 'your age is how much?')
Alternatively: íné senk? 'how old are you?' (lit. 'what is your age?')
Reply: bér híni đásori xĩ̈s 'ayún 'I am 25 years old'
Alternatively: he bar śhslót 'ayún 'I am 30 years old' (a woman would replace bar [lit. 'son of'] with biš [lit. 'daughter of'])
gazék xar (mékən) 'thank you (very much)'
[to a woman: gazés̃; to a group: gazékum]
Reply: het tšshól 'you're welcome'

## CHAPTER FIFTEEN

## JOHNSTONE'S JIBBALI TEXTS

As described already in § 1.6, T.M. Johnstone began collecting Jibbali texts in about 1969 . He made recordings of at least four different speakers, but his main source for texts was Ali Musallam, who was also his primary informant for Mehri. Ali Musallam was a native speaker of Mehri, but learned (Eastern) Jibbali around the of age ten, and later married a Jibbali woman. Younger Jibbalis who have heard Ali's recorded texts deemed his language native. Among Johnstone's papers housed in the Durham University Library, nearly all the Jibbali texts are in Box 5 .

In Box 5 , file B, I found 55 texts that came from Ali, plus a number of poems. All of these texts were first written in Arabic characters by Ali. Most of them were then recorded on tape (read from the manuscripts), and then transcribed by Johnstone into Roman characters. Johnstone's transcriptions were all very rough. For about twenty of the texts Johnstone also made wordlists, and for two he made English translations. Johnstone gave each text a number, but, for whatever reason, the numbering of the extant texts is non-consecutive. In a few cases (texts 83, 86, and 97) the text number corresponds to that of an original Mehri text. For the rest, there may originally have been other texts that were discarded or lost. Of these 55 texts in Box ${ }_{5}$ B, 53 are included in this volume. Text 11 has been omitted because it contains just a few unconnected sentences, and text 19 (a Jibbali version of Mehri text 68 and a text from Müller [1907: 59ff. = Bittner 1917a: 17 ff.]) has been omitted because Johnstone noted that Ali was "not pleased" with the Jibbali version of this story that he made. The poems, also omitted, are labeled number 150 . Of these 53 texts published here, 28 have counterparts among Johnstone's Mehri texts (all but one published in Stroomer 1999, with corrections in Rubin 2010 and in Appendix D to this volume; one text in Appendix E). A few of the Jibbali texts were directly translated from Mehri, while others are different versions of one of Ali's Mehri texts (sometimes shorter or longer). One (text 6 ) is an updated version of one of the Jibbali texts published in Müller (1907). Another (text 97) was based on Ali's Mehri version of one of Müller's texts. In Box 15, file E, I found a list of conditional sentences (in both Arabic and Roman letters) very similar to text 42 ; I have included it here (as a 54 th text) and given it the label 42 b .

In Box 5 , file A, I found a handful of additional Jibbali texts. Two of these are from Salim Bakhit, a Central Jibbali speaker who became Johnstone's main informant for his Jibbāli Lexicon. Text 1, which I call SB1, survives in a handwritten and two typed Roman-letter versions, along with a handwritten English translation, and is dated October, 1977. (One of the typed versions was used as part of a B.A. examination at the University of London in 1981.) Text 2, which I call SB2, survives in one handwritten and one typed Roman-letter version, and is dated 1982. Arabic-letter versions of Salim's two stories can be found in Box 13, file A. However, the Arabic-letter versions are not identical to the Roman-letter versions, and the audio recordings of the two stories-which are at natural speed, not read-follow the Roman-letter versions.

In Box 5, file A, there are also about a half dozen short texts of uncertain authorship and without any numbering. One has an Arabic-letter version (the handwriting of which belong neither to Ali Musallam nor to Salim Bakhit), while the rest exist only in Johnstone's Roman-letter transcriptions. One text is dated 1969. A couple of these record conversations, while one ( TJ 1 ) is an updated version of a text from Müller (1907). Only one of these texts (TJ1) is published herein.

In Box 5, file D, which contains material in Ḥarsusi, Jibbali, and Mehri, I found several more Jibbali texts, some quite long, and most only with an Arabic-letter version. All of the Arabic handwriting belongs to Ali Musallam. Only two of the texts ( TJ 2 and TJ 4 ) and part of a third ( TJ 5 ) have accompanying Roman-letter versions, and I found audio for each of these three, as well as for two texts that have only Arabic-letter versions (TJ3 and a short poem by the speaker who recorded TJ4 and TJ5). Interestingly, though the Arabic-letter transcriptions were made (for Johnstone) by Ali, most of the original recordings were not. Text TJ2 records a conversation in which Ali played only a minor part; the majority of the text contains the speech of a man named Aḥmad, about whom no information was found. Judging by the sound of speaker's voice, text TJ 3 , which is an autobiographical narrative, was made by this same Aḥmad. TJ4 and TJ5 are long stories told (at high speed) by an unknown female speaker. That the speaker is a woman is obvious (to native speakers, at least) from her voice, and confirmed by the use of a feminine imperative form at the beginning of text TJ5, where Ali Musallam is heard saying, "Tell us a story." Of these texts in Box 5D, I have included herein $\mathrm{TJ} 2, \mathrm{TJ} 4$, about two-thirds of TJ 3 , and a portion of TJ5, basing my own transcriptions on the audio recordings. Ali's transcriptions of these texts are extremely helpful, but not without errors, a few of which may be based on dialect differences. There are dozens, maybe hun-
dreds, of discrepancies between Ali's transcriptions and the audio versions of these texts.

In this volume, texts from Ali Musallam are labeled without any prefix (e.g., text 1 ), and the numbering follows that of Johnstone. The texts from Salim Bakhit texts have the prefix SB (e.g., text SB1), with numbers added by me. The additional texts of unknown authorship from Box $5_{5}$ and ${ }_{5} \mathrm{D}$ also had no numbering, and so I have assigned numbers, along with the prefix TJ (e.g., text $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ). There are a total of 61 texts from the Johnstone material published in this volume. The total number of known texts that he collected is about 70 . I have not included any of the poems Johnstone recorded, because Jibbali poetry is simply too difficult (even native speakers have a difficult time understanding poetry), and any analysis of poetry is not reflective of the Jibbali language overall. I should mention that for those texts that have corresponding Mehri versions, I have usually kept the same division of line numbers, for easier comparison of the versions. In all other cases, the division of the texts into numbered lines is my own.

The following table shows kinds of manuscripts that are extant for each text (not including some of the unpublished texts), as well as which texts have Mehri parallels, which texts have audio versions, and which texts have word-lists and translations. English translations exist only where noted. All of the manuscripts are in the collection of Johnstone's papers housed at the Durham University Library; unless otherwise noted, they can be found in Box 5 , file B.

| J text | M text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Other information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | English translation |
| 2 | 55 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 3 | 56 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 4 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 5 | none | yes | yes | yes $(2)$ | yes |  |
| 6 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 7 | $56 A$ | yes | yes | yes | yes | see Appendix E for Mehri text |
| 8 | 57 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 9 | 58 | yes | yes | yes | yes | Arabic ms from Box 6B |
| 10 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 11 | none | no | no | yes | yes |  |
| 12 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |


| J text | M text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Other information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 14 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 15 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 16 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 17 | 24 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 18 | 65 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 19 | 68 | no | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 20 | 61 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 21 | 73 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 22 | 3 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 23 | 1 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 24 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 25 | 64 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 28 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list (includes a few words from texts 31,35 , and 38 ) |
| 30 | 37 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list (from Box 15E) |
| 31 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 32 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 33 | 63 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 34 | 59 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list; translation from Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ |
| 35 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 36 | 48 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 38 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 39 | 95 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 40 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list |
| 41 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list |
| 42 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list; Arabic ms from Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ |
| 42b | none | yes | no | yes | yes | both mss from Box 15 E |
| 43 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list (appended to list for 42) |
| 45 | none | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list |
| 46 | none | yes | no | yes | yes |  |


| J text | M text | Included | Audio | Roman ms | Arabic ms | Other information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 47 | 102 | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list (labeled 46); a <br> second word-list (labeled 47) <br> goes with an unknown text |
| 48 | 99 | yes | no | yes | yes |  |
| 49 | 89 | yes | no | yes | yes | word-list |
| 50 | none | yes | no | yes | yes |  |
| 51 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 52 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 53 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 54 | 42 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 55 | 93 | yes | yes | yes | yes |  |
| 57 | 90 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 60 | 94 | yes | yes | yes | yes | word-list |
| 83 | 83 | yes | yes | no | yes |  |
| 86 | 86 | yes | no | yes | yes |  |
| 97 | 97 | yes | yes | no | yes |  |
| SB1 | none | yes | yes | yes (2) | yes | Roman ms and English trans. <br> from Box 5A; Arabic ms from <br> Box 13A |
| T31 | none | yes | no | yes | no | ms from Box 5A |
| TJ2 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | mss from Box 5D |
| TJ3 | none | partial | yes | no | yes | ms from Box 5D |
| TJ4 | none | yes | yes | yes | yes | mss from Box 5D |
| T55 | none | partial | yes | partial | yes | mss from Box 5D |
|  |  | yoman ms from Box 5A; |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | yrabic ms from Box 13A |  |  |  |  |

In all of the texts below, the readings and transcriptions are my own, though naturally I used Johnstone's transcriptions (where extant) as a starting point. Johnstone's transcriptions were only rough drafts, however. The translations are all my own, as are most of the titles. In the transcription below, a consonant in parentheses (e.g., ( $t$ )sírkan in 1:2), unless otherwise noted, indicates that it is not present in the Arabic-letter manuscript and is not pronounced; I include the consonant as an aid to comprehension. I have also aimed for consistency in transcription, but allow for variation where there exists variation in pronunciation.
15.1 Texts from Ali Musallam

Text 1 (no M): An Argument
1 A: "he s̃erókək ðદ́nu b-عnké to."

3 A: "aryohal-s̃̌́rk?"
4 B: "mun $\varepsilon$-õr hek ts̃érk ṭ́nnu?"
5 A: "ol-dé-lo ôr híni. man $\varepsilon r \varepsilon ́ s ̌ i$ i."
6 B: "mor, $\varepsilon$ réšk mis̃érd. dḥa-l-zśnék. s̃ $r k$ țénu."
7 A: "mor, het ol śērk lo. het ð-ol kunk mis̃érd l , ol ( $t$ )s̃írkan enúf óḳal axér ‘áni lo. bə-hé eb ãk bə-sẽn."
8 B: "mor, dha-néśnc yo. ba-kól minén $\varepsilon$-bédé, še mis̃érd."
9 A: "mor, ġadú yol yo... っl bek $\mathfrak{o ̃ k ~ h e k ~ l o ~ \partial \partial - h e ́ t ~ m i s ̃ e ́ r d ? " ~}$
1о B: "koh he mis̃érd?"
11 A: "het mis̃érd. ð-ol kunk mis̃érd lっ, ol (t)źəhékan míni lo."
12 B: "mor, ol-ők dha-l-cśnék zeyd lo."

14 B: "mor, he ag̉ádak." battadó ko-ṭáṭ b-ōrmaš. bว-tวmmút.

Text 2 (= M55): A Lecherous Man

```
1 xaṭarét ġeyg ba-tét ðə-yabġéd, bo-hés ber b-a`ámk ōram, õr ag̉éyg her tet., "ak al-ǵád s̃is̃."
2 'õrót tet., "her 'ak tag̉ád s̃i, hazzéz yitk, mg̉óre' tabğód s̃i."
```



Text 1
2 mis̃érd: Johnstone consistently transcribed mins̃érd in the Roman manuscript (likewise in other texts), and gives manšérd as the EJ form in $J L$ (s.v. $k w r d$ ). But the audio has mis̃érd (with $\tilde{s}$ pronounced $s$ š, as always in Ali's dialect), the CJ form listed in $J L$, as does the Arabic ms.
6 mor: This is a reduced form of móǵǵr (used in SBi:6). See $J L$ (s.v. $m \dot{g} r$ ).
7 ol śērk lo: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'didn't know how to do it', and next to it he gives the forms śér/ð-iśiór/yśbór. This is a Gb-Stem of the root śbr (cf. heer in $J L$, s.v. ḥbr), but is missing from $J L$. I have heard this verb from informants.

## Translation of Text 1

1 A: "I did this and it hurt me."
2 B: "If you weren't stupid, you would not have done it like this."
3 A: "So how should I do it?"
4 B: "Who told you to do it like this?"
5 A: "No one told me. (It was) from my head."
6 B: "Ok, your head is stupid. I will show you. Do it this way."
A: "Ok, you don't know how. If you weren't stupid, you would not pretend to be smarter than me. I am older [lit. big(ger) in age] than you."
8 B: "Ok, we'll show people, and whichever of us has lied, he is stupid."
9 A: "Ok, let's go to the people... Didn't I already tell you that you were stupid?"
1о B: "Why am I stupid?"
11 A: "You are stupid. If you weren't stupid, you would not have made fun of me."
12 B: "Ok, I won't show you anymore."
13 A: "Ok, I didn't need your help, don't show me. Go on your way."
14 B: "Ok, I'm off!" Each one went separately on his way. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 2

1 Once a man and woman were walking, and when they were in the middle of the journey, the man said to the woman, "I want to sleep [lit. go] with you."
2 The woman said, "If you want to sleep with me, slaughter your camel, then you will sleep with me."
3 The man said, "Swear you will really give yourself to me!" She said, "By my honor [lit. face], I will really give myself to you."

[^193]```
    b-ag̉ád aġéyg bo-héz yitš. bə-hés ber hez, õr, "zĩ-to enúf."
    zũtš aġatkéts. õrót, "ḥaṣ \(\varepsilon\)-shé(l)k ðદ́nu, ézmək \(\tilde{\varepsilon} s a ́ g ̇ g r . " ~\)
    kəheb aġéyg đ̣er aġatkét ðə-tét. axarét ol-’ód shel lo. õr aġéyg, "her ol 'as̃
    tzĩ-to \(\varepsilon \tilde{z} \bar{\varepsilon} \tilde{s} l\) l, ḥa-l-óklat heryo."
    ‘õrót tetِ, "her kolótk her yo, ḥa-l-a'mér 'aġéyg mis̃érd, ba-ðə kũn ol
    mis̃érd lo, ol yahzizan yitš lo.'"
```



```
    ‘õrót teț, "aġéyg mis̃érd. s̃eš yat ba-hezzás. mġóre’ 'ágab yēd li. walékan
```



```
    he akín ḳaḥbét.
    walékən he bi śé 'ak. fitáti. ðə šะ ag̉ád s̃i, šะ (d)ḥa-yวśnéš, bว-ðə šะ bédé, al
    ha-yóklat b- \(\bar{s} s f \partial s ̌ l o\).
```



```
    ag̉ád yo ba-ksé iyát ðว-ḥizzót. b-aġadót tetِ bว-śnít ínét, bว-śéf tet s̃es
    cráhaź.
```



```
    b-ínét ksé tet bes ẽnsób bo-s̃és \(\varepsilon\) cráhaź.
    ag̉éyg bédé al-tét, ba-lōdš ag̉óhe ðว-tét \(b\) - \(\varepsilon\) rbə‘ót fíṭax (fítóx?).
    \(b\)-ağád ð-əxtoșés, ol tet s̃eš b-ol yitš s̃eš.
    ðénú man ḥilt ínét. ba-tammút keltót.
```

    6 'as̃: On the audio this is pronounced 'áis̃, not with a diphthong /ai/, but with two distinct vowels, essentially the same as the longer form 'ágis̃, minus the \(g\). This may reflect a variant pronunciation of 'you (fs) want', but it also may reflect a mistaken reading; Ali does stumble slightly when reading this word. The Arabic ms has عاش. (s.v. gbb). In the Arabic ms, it is transcribed here اشاش, while in line 13, \(\varepsilon \tilde{z} \bar{\varepsilon} s\) (with the 3 fs suffix, rather than the \(2 f s\) ) is transcribed \(ا\) اساس.
    8 عðí-ilín: It is not made clear in $J L$ (s.v. 'みy-'In), which has the misleading glosses 'someone, somebody; anyone', that $\varepsilon$ ðí-ilín (§3.5.5) is used as the equivalent of Mehri falān (a) 'so-and-so'.
10 (d)ḥa-yzśnés: The $d$ is missing from both mss, but Ali said dḥa- on the audio (twice, actually, since he stumbled on this word). This just demonstrates how dha- and ha- are free variants (§7.1.4).

4 And the man went and slaughtered his camel. And after he had slaughtered (it), he said, "Give yourself to me!"
5 She gave him the back of her knee. She said, "When you have finished with this, I will give you the other."
6 The man spent the day on the back of the woman's knee. Then he still had not had enough. The man said, "If you don't give me your privates, I will tell the people."
7 The woman said, "If you tell the people, I will say, 'the man is crazy, and if he wasn't crazy, he would not have slaughtered his camel.'"
8 And the two went until they reached the people. Then the man told (them), he said, "I slept [lit. went] with so-and-so."
9 The woman said, "The man is crazy. He had a camel and he slaughtered it. Then he wanted to lie about me. But go to our road and see! If he slaughtered his camel, the man is crazy. And if he didn't slaughter his camel, I am a whore.
10 And I have something in my privates. If he slept with me, he will have seen it, and if he lied, he will not be able to give [lit. tell] its description.
11 And I will show the women, but first go to our road and see his camel." The people went and found the camel slaughtered. And the woman went and showed the women; it so happened that the woman had her period.
13 Then they asked the man for a description of the woman. He said, "She has plucked her privates, and she is clean [i.e., not menstruating]."
14 And the women found that the woman had pubic hair and had her period.
15 The man lied about the woman, and the woman's brothers hit him four times (on the head).
16 And the man went away having gotten his due; he had neither the woman nor his camel.
17 This is about [lit. from] the cunning of women. And the story is finished.

[^194]Text 3 (= M56): A Conversation
1 A: "het dha-tğád korére, ol hẽ lo?"
2 B: "he dḥa-l-ğád, bə-thúmk to dha-l-éġrag."
3 A: "koh l-દ́ġrag? ġad kərére ba-dór ba'd karére."
4 B: "Jl aḳ́dar lo. $\varepsilon$ rư ráhok.."
5 A: "mor. 'ak kélbək, mit dha-(t)zhóm to?"
6 B: "dha-l-zḥómk man đ̣ér rī $\bar{\varepsilon} m$."
7 A: "het dha-tġád her ḥ̂́gtk man dḥa-tg̉ád túnḥag?"
8 B: "ob, dḥa-l-ğád her ḥógti, walékan ol bi koṭafóflo her l-óffar."
9 A: "mor, ba-rīk. her ol zaḥámk to ba'd ḳarére lo, ol-’òk dha-l-salóbk zeyd l.".

1о B: "koh ol dha-(t)salō-to zeyd lo?
11 mor, her ol dhá-(t)salō-to lo, sl dha-l-g̀ád lo.
12 mǵóre' her ol kisk tok lo, al aǵórəb j̄rəm l.."
13 A: "mor, dha l-l-salóbk. ġad bə-ntégah. dek o(l) l-éġrag."
 zeyd lo."
15 A: "he õk hek dḥa-l-salóbk her zaḥámk l-õ̃õdk."
16 B: "mor, təxalว́f ṣaḥát. he ag̉ádk."
17 A: "astahól. عḳ́re-selúm man tél zahámk."
18 B: "dek ol tağád ba-tókəəla` to."
19 A: "Jl taḳtélób lo. sl dḥa-l-axtélóf bek lo." ba-tammút.

[^195]
## Translation of Text 3

A: "You will go tomorrow, won't you?"
B: "I will go, and I think I will be a while."
A: "Why will you be a while? Go tomorrow and return the day after tomorrow."
B: "I can't. The place [lit. land] is far."
A: "Ok. When do you think you will [lit. in your heart when will you] come back to me?"
B: "I'll come back to you in four days."
A: "Will you go for necessity [lit. your need] or will you go to have fun?"
B: "No, I will go for necessity [lit. my need], but I don't have wings to fly with."
A: "Ok, as you wish. If you don't come back to me the day after tomorrow, I won't wait for you any longer."
B: "Why won't you wait for me any longer?
Ok, if you won't wait for me, I won't go.
(Because) then if I don't find you, I won't know the road (you took)."
A: "Ok, I'll wait for you. Go and hurry back. Be careful not to be long." B: "Ok, I'll go. And if I return and I don't find you, I won't trust you anymore."
A: "I said to you that I'll wait for you if you come at your promised time."
16 B: "Ok, be well [lit. may health come]! I'm off."
17 A: "Goodbye. Send greetings to wherever you get to."
18 B : "Be sure not to go and leave me!"
19 A: "Don't worry. I won't let you down." And it is finished.
$13 d e k$ : On this particle, which is probably the 2 ms suffixed form of $\varepsilon d$ 'to', see $\S 12.5 .6$. The Mehri version of this text has had $\partial \mathrm{\partial}$ ōr man.
$13 \rho(l) l-\varepsilon ́ g r r a g:$ The Roman ms has ol ag̈rig, but Johnstone's transcription was inaccurate. The proper 2 ms subjunctive form (which is needed following dek here; cf. line 18) is l- $\varepsilon \dot{g} r a g$. See the comment to $1: 13$. عḳóre: This is the ms imperative of the D/L-Stem $\varepsilon k \notin o ́ r a b$ 'bring near'. The loss of $b$ is unexpected, but is normal in this expression. Both mss and the audio lack the $b$ in this word.

Text 4 (no M): Ramadan
1 k-aíd ðə-réżún yakín zhe. bว-yวftəxórən yo kel, b-ínét təl̄̄sən xaṭókésən wudún b-aġág yafúki i xaṭóḳóhum wudún, ba-yวḥõl sélכ̄hum.
 ह̃sgíd, yaŋ̄̀d ba-yahabэ̄n.
3 عd ðélé’ yabġéd ko-ṭát yol ūtš bə-yə‘ózam ṭattóhum bə-yógaḥalṭṭtóhum.
4 bə-yũm $\varepsilon$-a'id, $\bar{t}$ kel məftahéte. ol dé yaḳófəl ūtš lo. ba-hér 'agk tagáh, al dé yagélbaklo.
ba-yasérék man kol kít ba-kál tat yafóraḥ ba-yó yazhímš, ba-hér yít man t̄̄láš mən $k$-ḥáṣaf $\varepsilon d$ təġĩd yũm.
 taztahōn man kol fxarét.
7 ba-'iṣór mənhúm ínćt ts̃érókən s̃áraḥ ba-tínḥagən, b-ag̉ág yaftérógan s̃áraḥ عd yotmúm.
8 acid $\varepsilon$-réžũn sélot $\bar{\varepsilon} m$, ba-yó yakín ba-féraḥ b-acid дə-réżũn ba-fló

réžún: The root of this word is rmź. Note the lack of expected nasalization (from loss of $m$ ) in the first syllable, probably because of the final -n (see § 2.1.3).
1 zhe: This word is not in $J L$, but glossed in Johnstone's Roman ms as 'festival'. Cf. Arabic zahwa 'Feiertag' in Landberg (1920-1942:3.1871) and zehwe 'idem' in Reinhardt (1894:42). The root $z h w$ is in $J L$, with verbal meanings like 'be happy, excited'.
wudún: JL (s.v. wdn) gives the plural form ódún, with the expected loss of word-initial $w$. In the Roman ms for this text, Johnstone transcribed wudún, and the initial $w$ is definitely present on the audio. In $M L$ (s.v. $y d n$ ), Johnstone actually listed the EJ form as (singular) wdin. Cf. also 23:8.
sél̄̄hum: This comes from plural séléb 'arms', plus the 3 mp possessive suffix -óhum. On the related word salób, see the comment to 36:26.
ðélé: $J L$ (s.v. ðlb) lists this word in the form ðéléb, though $M L$ (s.v. ðwb) gives EJ ðélé’ and CJ ðélé, and $H L$ (s.v. đ̣wbl) lists J ðélé'. The meaning given in $J L, M L$, and $H L$ is 'early morning, about 6-9AM', though one of my informants suggested instead 9-11AM. The Hobyot cognate ðáwlab apparently refers to 8-10 AM (HV, p. 256).

## Translation of Text 4

1 On the holiday of Ramadan is a festival. All the people are proud, and the women wear their new clothes, and the men don their new clothes and carry their weapons.
2 On the day of the holiday, they go out from the morning to the mosque, and when they go out from the mosque, they shoot (guns) and sing.
3 Then in the later morning, they all go, each one to his house, and they invite each other and go to visit each other.
4 On the day of the holiday, all the houses are open. No one closes his house. And if you want to enter, no one will refuse you.
5 And they make every kind of food, and everyone is happy with the people that come to them [lit. him], even if they might eat with them from morning until the sun goes down.
6 And when they've prayed the afternoon prayer, the men sing until the sun goes down, and they shoot. And the women make themselves up with every fine thing.
7 Some nights the women make a party and dance, and the men watch the party until it is done.
8 The holiday of Ramadan is three days, and the people enjoy the holiday of Ramadan and [lit. or] of Adha, and they pray, old and young [lit. the big and the small], in the mosque. This is the description of the customs by us.

[^196]9 - $\varepsilon$ kéżũn her šum $b-\varepsilon g i \bar{\varepsilon}$, yas̃érō‘ $\varepsilon d h e ́ k ~ b a-y h a b o ̄ n ~ b a-y s ̃ e ́ r e ́ k ~ m u n u ́ t ̣ a b, ~$ b-ag̉ág yaxótér $\varepsilon$ ṣiř̇́t her kunút ðว-kiriót. b-ínét təkínən $k$-õšat b-egiēl.
 bə-yдḥzíz bə-yafóraḥ axér ar acid ع-réżũn. bə-təтmút.

Text 5 (no M): Misunderstood Advice
1 xaṭarét ġeyg ġání, bə-zḥám b-əmbére’. axarét ōṣi $k$ - $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ s ̌, ~ ‘ o ̃ r, ~ " \varepsilon b r i ́, ~ h e r ~$ fètak, s̃erk hánúf kol hallét bes masgíd."
2 axarét xaróg aġéyg. b-ag̉ád embére' halél kel. ba-hér zaḥám ḥallét, yasérók bes masgíd.
3 axarét tamím edíréhẽš kel, ab-béké fekír. b-ǐš כl a ní her yanšrk masgíd lo. a'anéš ar kol ḥallét yas̃érk bes 'áśor.
4 b-embére’ al fhem lo. ba-zĩš sandịk hes d-‘od ṣaḥí, ba-ỡr, "ðénu səndỉk, al tóftaḥaš lo $\varepsilon d$ yum $\varepsilon$-fékarak."

Text 4
9 yzáérō: This is the Š1-Stem 3mp imperfect of $r b^{c}$ (3ms perfect s̃arbác). The form follows the normal pattern for I-r, III-G verbs in the Š1-Stem (cf. § 7.4.6), but this verb is also II-b (cf. §7.4.9). The meaning 'climb’ is not given in $J L$, but it has this meaning in Mehri and in EJ, according to $M L$ (s.v. rb' and p. 504). In $C J$, it means 'cross'. $J L$ does list the EJ meaning 'lift, pull up' for the H-Stem $\varepsilon$ erbác (vs. CJ 'guide across').
9/10 (b-) $\varepsilon g i \bar{\varepsilon} l$ : For the three occurrences of this word, Johnstone's transcriptions in the Roman ms are, respectively, bigy $\varepsilon^{c}, b$-igy $\varepsilon^{\prime}$, and $\varepsilon g i \varepsilon^{\prime}$. The
 $-l$ on the audio. For the first occurrence, Johnstone added the gloss "mts" in the Roman ms. This gloss and the context make clear that the word is $g i \bar{\varepsilon} l$ 'mountain(s)' (JL, s.v. $g b l$ ). The same word occurs numerous times in texts TJ 2 and TJ 3 .
10 'ak acid: Note that line 1 had $k$-acid for 'on the holiday'. The two expressions do not seem to have any difference in meaning.

9 And the children, if they are in the mountains, they climb cliffs and sing and make bows, and the men go down to town if it is nearby. And the women are with the animals in the mountains.
10 On the holiday of Ramadan, they don't celebrate very much. And on the holiday of Adha, the mountain folk celebrate and slaughter and celebrate more than (on) Ramadan.

## Translation of Text 5

1 Once there was a rich man, and he had a son. Then he advised his son, saying, "My son, if I die, make for yourself a mosque in every town."
2 Then the man died. And the boy went to all the towns. And whenever he came to a town, he built [lit. made] a mosque in it.
3 Then all his money ran out, and he was left poor. But his father didn't mean that he should make a mosque; his meaning was only that he should make a friend in every town.
4 But the boy didn't understand. And he had given him a box, while he was still alive. And he said, "This box, don't open it until the day that you have become poor."

10 $b \bar{e}$ : In the Roman ms, Johnstone transcribed biyya, though the audio has just $b \bar{e}$. The Arabic ms has this and the following $b$ as a single word بيلو bēlo. JL (s.v. wyy) lists both bíyya 'enough' and bē" 'very', but these are almost certainly the same word. Informants recognized only a single adverb $b \bar{e}^{\text {'very ( }}$ (much)', used in conjunction with verbs, nouns, or adjectives (cf. also 38:2 and SB1:1). The gloss 'enough' in $J L$ seems to be based on the sentence ðın xorf háréd bíyya lo hér yá"aś erog̉ód lo (JL, s.v. $x r f$ ), which Johnstone translated as 'these monsoon rains were not strong enough to bring up pasture'. I would translate rather 'this monsoon was not so strong as to bring up the pasturage', where 'so strong' is here a loose translation of literal háréd báyya 'very strong'. See also §10.5 and the comment to SBi:1.
Text 5
3 béké: JL (s.v. bky) lists only a Gb-Stem bíki. The Ga-Stem béké is heard clearly on the audio here (as also in $6: 25$ ). Mehri has both a Ga- and GbStem with the same meaning. The Ga-Stem béké used here is perhaps dialectal or a Mehrism.

5 axarét embérs' fékər. féth sandịk. ksé mənzáḥt, bə-ḥakít, bə-híb. b-embérs'yakól 'ak sandịk díréham.
 lo. bə-xézi ol yahír.
7 axarét zaḥǐs šáxar túz̃ər. õr embére’, "het man hũn?" ‘õr, "he man ḥallét al-falaníyya."
8 ‘õr, "fəlo’ 'agk təxédam tōlén?" 'õr, "ẽhẽ." ag̉ádó $\varepsilon d$ éṣal ūt.
9 'õr, "dhạ-l-zémk xõš ḳarós̃ b-эrx, b-eḳitk, ba-ksabétk tōlén, ba-tógraf xélét." cõr, "mor."
10 égaḥ 'ak xélét. axarét ḳē. axarét s̃xaniṭ, ba-ơr, "he bar عðí-ilín l-ógrəf xélét!? sétar híni $\varepsilon k ̣ ̄ ̄ r$, her bek dḥa-l-ógraf xélét."
 axarét hek heš aġéyg. õr, "het bar mũn?"

 íné kun lek?"
13 'õr, "ī ōṣi s̃i al-s̃érk ba-kól ḥallét masgíd. ba-zũ-tə sandík, ba-õrr, 'her fékarək, ftah sandík đénu.'
14 ba-hé s̃erókək ba-kól ḥallét masgíd. axarét fékarək. fétḥək sandỉk ba-kísk mənzáḥt, bo-ḥakít, bว-híb. b-Énfz̄t akól 'ak sandík díréham." axarét ‘õr heš ag̉éyg, "šmać, ébrí. īk, a‘anéš, s̃erk ba-kól hallét ‘áśər. ol áanéš mašgíd lo.
16 bə-səndík, a‘anéš, her féḳərək, ol thír lo. xdem! náṣanu, foḳh õli e-hét. ba-dḥa-l-éšfəḳək ebríti." b-\&šfikáš ba-skóf ba-kún tógór. ba-təmmút.

5 manzáḥt:Johnstone glossed this in the Roman ms as 'hoe'. I did not find this word in $J L$.
5 not find this word in $J L$.
7 al-falaníyya: This is obviously an Arabic word, which is used also in 15:14. See §3.5.5.
8 faló: In $J L$ (s.v. $w$-), we find only the compound bé-faló (better: ba-fló) 'or, or else', which also occurs over twenty times in the texts. corresponding to Mehri walā or wal $\bar{\varepsilon}$. Mehri wal̄̄ can also mean 'perhaps'; see Rubin (2010: 256-258). On bare faló 'perhaps', see § 12.5.8. Both ba-fló and fal' correspond to Mehri walā or wal̄̄; see Rubin (2010: 256-258).
10 sétər: In one of the Roman mss, Johnstone glossed this word as 'better'. I did not find this word in $J L$.

5 Then the boy became poor. He opened the box. He found a hoe, a porter's rope, and a crow-bar. The boy had thought that there was money in the box.
6 Then the boy went until he reached a certain town. He entered his mosque and he sat down, and he didn't have anything. And he was embarrassed to beg.
7 Then a rich old man came to him. The boy said, "Where are you from?" He said, "I am from such-and-such a town."
8 He [the man] said, "Do you perhaps want to work for us?" He said, "Yes." They went until they got to the house.
9 He said, "I will give you five dollars a month, and your food, and your clothes, and you should clean the toilet." He said, "Ok."
ıо He entered the toilet. Then he threw up. Then he came out and said, "I, the son of so-and-so, should clean toilets!? Better for me the grave, if I am about to clean toilets!"
11 And the man was listening to him, and it so happened that the man was his father's friend. And half of the livestock that he had was his father's. Then the man called him over. He said, "Whose son are you?" He said, "I am the son of so-and-so." Then the man wept and kissed the boy, and he said, "Forgive me. I didn't know you. I am your father's friend, and the livestock that I have, half of it is your father's. But tell me, what happened to you?"
He said, "My father advised me to make a mosque in every town. And he gave me a box, and he said, 'If you become poor, open this box.'
14 And I made a mosque in every town. Then I became poor. I opened the box and I found a hoe, a porter's rope, and a crow-bar. First I had thought that there was money in the box."
15 Then the man said to him, "Listen, my son. You father, his meaning was that you should make a friend in every town. He didn't mean a mosque.
16 And the box, its meaning is, if you become poor, do not beg. Work! Now, half of my livestock is yours. And I will marry you to my daughter." And he married him off and they stayed and became rich. And it is finished.
$15 \tilde{s} \varepsilon r k$ : This could be either an imperative $\tilde{s} \varepsilon r k$ (as in $5: 1$ ) or a 2 ms subjunctive $t s ̃ \varepsilon r k>\tilde{s} \varepsilon r k$ (as in 57:7). Before $\tilde{s}$ the prefix $t$ - is usually, but not always, lost. I have translated below as if it were a subjunctive (following a similar passage in line 3). I found two Roman mss of this text; in one, Johnstone wrote $\tilde{s} \varepsilon r k$, and in the other he wrote ${ }^{t} \tilde{s} \varepsilon r k$.

Text 6 (no M; = Müller 1907: 52 ff. = Bittner 1917a: 6 ff.): The Step-Mother and the Bird
ġeyg šfok ba-tét ba-zhám mes ba-tróh erśót. ba-xargót Émehum. ag̉ád عrśót đ̣er $\varepsilon k ว ̄ r$ ह́m
そ̛วherót hóhum esférót man $\varepsilon$ ḳōr, ba-ḥõls yol ūt.
‘õr $\varepsilon$ rs’ót her īhum, " $\varepsilon$ ī, šf $\varepsilon$ !!" ba-šfók īhum, ba-zhám bo-xolóthum.
$b$ - $\varepsilon$ rśót ðд-ya télīn tel $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ álm, ba-xolóthum 'agiót tó(l)tġahum. besféróthum tacálúm kol in xéźík bo-díní.
 "xolótkum s̃orkót hókum sẽhm 'ak $\varepsilon$ fśó', b-ol tīš lo!"
6 axarét fsé tũr b-ag̉ád tel ẽ̌álm. ba-xolóthum aġadót tel ẽśnút, bə-õrrót hes, "iné al-s̃érk her $\varepsilon$ rs̊ót $\varepsilon d l$-ó(l)tġวhum?"
 ع-zhám a'áśaris̃, 'amír heš, 'he miriž̛t.'"
8 axarét $\varepsilon$ dīrót yol ūt bə-gizzót. bə-zhám a áśarəs, õr hes, "iné bis̃?" 'õrót,
 gizzót. íné al-s̃́rk hes?" ba-õrót heš, "ḥazéz eṣférót ð-īnék."

[^197]Translation of Text 6
1 (Once) a man married a woman and had two boys with her. And their mother died. The boys went to their mother's grave crying.
2 A bird appeared to them from the grave, and they took it home.
3 The boys said to their father, "Father, get married!" And their father got married, and brought their step-mother (home).
4 The boys were learning with the teacher, and their step-mother wanted to kill them. And their bird knew everything that happened [lit. was created] in the world.
And the boys came from the teacher, and went in to (see) their bird. It said to the boys, "Your step-mother made poison for you in your lunch, so don't eat it!"
6 Then they ate dates and went to the teacher. And their step-mother went to the medicine woman's, and said to her, "What should I do to the boys in order to kill them?"
7 And the medicine woman said to her, "Make bread, and put it under your back, and (pretend to) be sick. And when your husband comes, say to him, 'I am sick.'"
8 Then she returned to the house and got sick. And her husband came and said to her, "What's (the matter) with you?" She said, "I am sick. Go to the medicine woman." Then the man went to the medicine woman and said, "My wife is sick. What should I do for her?" And she said to him, "Slaughter your sons' bird."

[^198]```
    ba-héz \varepsilonsférót ð-īnéš, b-īnéš tel \varepsiloñ álm. ba-zúm \varepsilonsférót ižzirét ba-ṭōxóts.
    ba-zhám \varepsilonrśót b-égaḥ tel iz̃irét. ba-ksé tōlós \varepsilonr\varepsiloňš b-ūb \partial-\varepsilonsférót.
    bว-õr éb her agáš \varepsilonk\varepsilonllén, "ak t\varepsilon' ūb, mən 'ak t\varepsilon' crés?" smbér\varepsilon' \varepsilonk\varepsilonllén
    tē ūb, b-éb te créš. agád \varepsilonrśót \varepsilond éşal óram trut.
    ba-ksé bén irúmta fúdún maktéb `amkás, "troh ġóhe دl yabġéd fáxra l.."
    `õr éb her aǵáš \varepsilonkecllén, "her agádən fáxra, naxérg. 'agk órom a`álét man
    'ak órom \varepsilonlxét?"
```



```
    'amkáš.
```



```
    ðд-yagórf, ba-ksé garórt ð-dir\varepsilońhวm mən tél séf \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon'.
    16 bว-zĩs \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon'. b-ağád \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon' &d éṣal tel šxarét. 'õr hes, "agkl-óskaf
    tōlîs." "õrót heš, "mor."
1 7 \text { ba-skóf tōlás. kวl yũm man k-ḥásaf, tahụl aġarórt ð-dírह́ham man nxín}
    \varepsilonr\varepsilońšš. \varepsilond melét sandík, ṭordótš.
```

9 form. It is not listed in $J L$. CJ does have a G-Stem $t \bar{e} x$ from this root, but with a more specialized meaning 'bake béð̣ah (a type of corm)'. Interestingly, in earlier drafts of $J L$ (found in Boxes ${ }_{7} \mathrm{D}, 12 \mathrm{~B}$, and ${ }_{15 \mathrm{C}}$ of Johnstone's papers), Johnstone did include $t \bar{\jmath} x$ 'cook', but in one draft (Box 16C), he crossed out the entry.
11 'ak tz' $\bar{u}$, man 'ak te' $\varepsilon r \varepsilon ́ s ̌$ : I take $t \varepsilon$ ' ( < * $t t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ) here as the 2 ms subjunctive of $t \bar{e}$ 'eat' (back-formed from the imperative $t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ), even though the correct 2 ms subjunctive is tít (e.g., 12:6; cf. also 3ms yít in 21:3). We could take $t \varepsilon$ ' here as an imperative, and translate 'if you want, eat the heart, or if you want, eat the head', as Müller (1907:54) and Bittner (1917a: 9) did. In $23: 5$, however, the form $t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ can only be a 2 ms subjunctive. Assuming that $t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ is a subjunctive also means that this is a question, and man 'or' is found most often in questions ( $\S$ 12.1.3).
12 bén: The modern Jibbali word for 'between' is man mún (§8.20). The SAE text has here am-bén, which has probably influenced the choice of words in Johnstone's text. Either this is a deliberate archaism here, or an Arabism.
'agk / 'ak: These transcriptions reflect two different spellings by Ali of the same verb form ( 2 ms of 'ágab 'want'). Their pronunciation is identical.

9 And he slaughtered his sons' bird, while his sons were with the teacher. And he gave the bird to the servant-girl and she cooked it.
10 And the boys came and went in to the servant-girl. They found by her the head and the heart of the bird.
11 The bigger [lit. big] one said to his little brother, "Do you want to eat the heart, or do you want to eat the head?" The younger [lit. small] boy ate the heart, and the older one ate the head. The boys went until they reached two roads.
12 And they found between the roads a stone, on which was written 'two brothers will not go together'.
13 The big one said to the little one, "If we go together, we will die. Do you want the upper road or the lower road?"
14 The smaller boy went on the lower road until he reached a town. He entered the mosque and fell asleep in it.
15 Then in the morning, he got up from sleep and sat by the door. And the teacher, the head of the mosque, came sweeping, and he found a bag of money where the boy had slept.
16 And he gave it to the boy. The boy went until he reached an old woman. He said to her, "I want to stay with you." She said to him, "Ok."
17 And he stayed with her. Every day, in the morning, she took the bag of money [that appears every night] out from under his head. Then when she filled a box, she threw him out.

13 acálét: This must be a fs adjective ('upper') from the root 'ly, though this root is not listed in $J L$. In ML (s.v. ' $\mathcal{V}$ ), we find the Mehri form 'ālēw' at the top' (cf. Mehri text 42:28) and the CJ form a'alé 'the highest one'. An earlier draft of $J L$ (Johnstone papers Box 16C, s.v. ' $w$ w) did include the word a'alé 'the highest one'. It is used also in TJ3:19. Bittner (1916a: 58; 1917b: 16) includes this word, as well as its opposite alxé (see next comment).
$13 \varepsilon$ lxét: This is presumably a fs adjective ('lower') from a root $l x y$. I did not find this root in $M L$ or $J L$, but a cognate form occurs in Johnstone's Mehri text 42:28, namely awxáyw 'bottom' (this is the same story as Jibbali text 54, but the relevant passage is not in the Jibbali version). That Mehri awxáyw means 'bottom' is clear from its use as the opposite of 'ālēw 'top' (see previous note). Bittner (1916a: 58; 1917b: 48) does include (e)lxe, fs elxét 'lower'.

25 b-embére’ béké ‘aḳ vgizírt. bว-ksé ‘ak heremíti śhวlét hít. țit, kol əð-tēs yaḳtélób ḳéraḥ. b- $\tilde{\varepsilon} s ̃ g$ arót, her tēs ērdém, yakín beš ḳũhn ðд- ‘áyól. ba-śhalét, kol að-tēs, yaḳtélób bírdém.
26 عmbérє’ gĩ‘ đ̛ァrób bə-ḥtélóhum bə-rdé bóhum 'aḳ عrémrəm, bə-rékəb đ̣írhum. b-aġád beš عd éṣal 'aḳ hayṣ̃ ð-عrz̛ ðә-suṭún.

21 yənúdén: This is the 3 ms imperfect of the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem of $n d w$ (3ms perfect snúdi), meaning 'call out'. The verb is not listed in $J L, M L$ (s.v. $n d w$ ) includes the CJ form enúdi. In Müller's version, we find here instead a D/L-Stem of the root ṣwt 'shout' (cf. Arabic ṣawwata), a verb which is also not listed in $J L$.
$24 y a$ : The use of the Arabic vocative particle $y a$ instead of $\varepsilon$ (see § 12.3) is probably due to the use of this particle in the version of this story published by Müller. In that version, $y a$ is also used in line 3 (where this version has $\varepsilon$ ).
25 béké: See the comment to 5:3.
25 hitt: According to $J L$ (s.v. ḥṭt), plural hít can be used as the plural of héṭít (cf. line 27), meaning 'ear of rice; single piece; pip; pill', and the collective híṭ can mean 'food; beans; staple food; any cereal'. Perhaps 'beans' is what is intended here. Müller translated as 'berries' (Beeren), which works nicely in the context; I have kept his choice in my translation.

18 And the boy went, and the old woman told the Sultan's daughter that every day a bag of money appeared under this boy's head.
19 And the boy went, and the Sultan's daughter called (him) and said to him, "Come!" And he went to (see) her.
And she said to him, "Let's throw up." And the boy threw up. A ring came out of his belly, and the Sultan's daughter picked it up and threw it into her mouth.
21 And the boy went into the market and bought a flying carpet. And he returned to the town shouting and saying, "Who will buy a carpet?"
22 And the Sultan's daughter called him, and he went to (see) her. She said to him, "I want to buy." And he said to her, "Sit on it!" And he said to the carpet, "Fly with us!" And it flew with them onto an island in the middle of the sea. And they stayed.
23 The boy would go into the trees on that island, and he would take the carpet with him. Then one day he forgot it with the Sultan's daughter.
24 The girl said to the carpet, "O Carpet, fly me [or: with me] to my father's house." And it flew with her, while the girl was on it. And she reached her father's house.
25 But the boy remained on the island. He found in the trees three berries [or: beans]. One, whoever eats it will turn into a donkey. The second, if a person eats it, he will have horns of an ibex. And the third, whoever eats it will turn into a human (again).
26 The boy gathered logs, tied them together, threw them into the sea, and he rode on them. And he went with it (the raft) until he reached the shore of the Sultan's country.

25
did not find this word in or ML. In the SAE version, Müller translates this as 'Steinbock' ('ibex'). The context makes it obvious that it is some kind of horned animal. This word is perhaps from the root $w^{\prime} l$, from which we find Mehri $w \bar{\varepsilon} l$ (pl. wa'y $\bar{o} l$ ) 'Arabian tahr', however Johnstone ( $J L$, s.v. $w^{c} l$ ) gives quite different forms for Jibbali (cf. also 25:7). the H-Stem ahtél 'chop', even though Johnstone transcribed baḥtélóhum in the Roman ms. JL (s.v. htl) gives only the meaning 'wrap s.t. up' for $h t o l$, but in the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'tied together'; this is also the meaning given in Müller's version (band ... aneinander).
 ðә-dinú?" bə- õrót šxarét, "he 'agk al-śtém." bə-śtũts.
28 bə-tēts ba-ḳtéliót kérḥét. ba-ḥõl les fadnín, $\varepsilon$ d $\varepsilon$ हní mən đ̣írs but. bə-kún bes merọḥts.
 'ak ūtš ba-kél'ว́s' 'ak ūtš.
 õrót $\varepsilon$ brít ðว-suṭún, "he 'agk al-śtém héțít ðว-dinú."
ba- õr hes, "her 'ágis̃ taśtíms, ǐžher eréšs̃ man xofét." ba-zĩs héṭitt, ba-tēts. ba-xótlวk bes ḳũhn troh ðд- áyól, ṭaṭ serf ع-ūt əm-bóh, ba-ṭáṭ serf ð-ūt am-bóh.
 wa-l-yóm baláš!"
ba-ta'õr heš, "fkek to!" 'õr hes, "her 'ágis̃ l-ófkas̃, kalíb xótam ba-zĩ-to ségódat." ḳēt xótam ba-ḥõl xótamaš ba-ségódat.
ba-zĩs héṭít ba-kotéliót bírdém. b-ag̉ád yol ūtš.
b-éṣal 'ak ūtš. bə-zḥám ag̉áš éb, bə-s̃éš xamsín yirs̃õb. kēl leš agáǎš عkellén hịt, ḥõl xamsín yirs̃ób.
ba-kéré ãg̉aréf 'ak ḥõlt b-ağád man tōlàs. ba-tī’áš $\varepsilon d$ lhikikóhum. ba-õr hóhum, "s̃ókum ãġaréfi. šerọkkum toš."

27 yənúdén: We expect here yəśúm 'he was selling', based on the context and on Müller's version. In fact, in the Arabic ms, yaśúm was written and crossed out, with yanúdén 'he was calling out' then written above it (cf. line 21).
28 meróḥte: This is the plural of múraḥ 'wound, sore (on an animal)'. In $J L$, the plural is given as just méróh. In fact, on the audio, Ali first said méróh, but corrected himself to merọhte, which is what the Arabic ms has (and what Müller's text has). There seem to be many nouns that have multiple plural forms (see $\S 4.3 .1$ and $\S 4.3 .2$ ).
$\varepsilon l f:$ This is an Arabic form (cf. next comment). The Jibbali equivalent is $\partial f(\mathrm{cf}. \S 9.1 .5$, as well as $J L$ and $M L$, s.v. ' $/ f$ ).
33 wa-l-yóm baláš: This whole phrase is Arabic. The phrase baláš 'for nothing, for free' is also attested in Johnstone's Mehri texts.

27 And he was calling out, "Berries!" [Or: He was selling the berries], and said, "Who wants to buy a pregnancy berry?" And (the) old woman said, "I want to buy." And she bought it.
28 And she ate it and turned into a donkey. And he loaded rocks on her, until he had built a house with her. And she got sores on her.
29 And the old woman said, "Release me, and I will give you your box." And she gave him the box and he carried it into his house and left it in his house.
30 And he went into the town, calling out, "Who wants to buy a pregnancy berry?" The Sultan's daughter said, "I want to buy a pregnancy berry." And he said to her, "If you want to buy it, show your head from the window." And he gave her the berry and she ate it.
32 Two ibex horns appeared on her, one on this side of the house, and one on that side of the house.
33 And she said to him, "Release me!" And he was calling out in the town, saying, "Every day for a thousand, and today for nothing!"
34 And she said to him, "Release me!" He said to her, "If you want me to release you, return the ring and give me the carpet." She vomited up the ring, and he put his ring on the carpet.
35 And he gave her the berry, and she turned back into a (normal) human. And he went to his house.
36 And he went into his house. His big brother came, and he had fifty riding-camels. His little brother measured out food for him, a load of fifty riding-camels.
37 And he hid the measuring-bowl in the load and he left him. And he followed him until he caught up to them. And he said to him, "You have my measuring-bowl. You stole it."

36 hãl:This must be a noun 'load', from the root hml . No such form is listed in $J L$, but compare Mehri hāamal ( $M L$, s.v. $h m l$ ).
37 hõlt: This also must be a noun 'load' from the root $h \mathrm{hml}$. No such form is listed in $J L$, but the word is used also in several other texts by Ali (e.g., 18:15; 41:2; TJ2:82). See also the comment to TJ2:82. Cf. also Mehri ḥamáwlat (ML, s.v. ḥml).

38 ‘õr, "วl t̄̄lún lo." bə-s̃xéṭər. bə-y‘õr, "her kisk ãg̉aréf tōlっkum, ḥa-l-hãl عkịtkum. bə-дə kun šะ っl tōlวkum lo, hókum mən tōlí xamsín yirs̃ób."
 ağáš عkellén kol in kun leš ba-díní. bə-skóf. bə-təmmút.

Text 7 (no M): A Betrothal and Marriage
1 xaṭarét ġeyg ag̉ád man fégar, 'ágab cśhéhr. hes éṣal cśḥ́hr, ksé ġeyg. õr, "akl-óšfok dek, her haa-l-éśfok to."
 ġad sérég ínét. her sẽn férəḥ bek, ḥa-l-éšfəkวək."
3 '̃̃r, "mor. mit ḥa-l-əśnék?" 'õr, "korére." ag̉ád ag̉éyg yol ínét bo-héróg s̃ésən.
4 õrót $\varepsilon s ̌ x x a r e ́ t$, emé วttét, "nḥa s̃ẽn ’odat. nakín arḥãt k-ḥ̂yén, her het ḥa-tékən arḥĩm s̃ $\tilde{n} n$. ḥa-néšfaķวk." bə-séd šع b-ínét.
 sćkวn.

Text 6
38 s̃xéṭər: According to $J L$ (s.v. $x t r$ ), this verb means 'dare o.a.; bet o.a.' (cf. 17:37), as does the corresponding Mehri verb (ML, s.v. xṭr). Müller translates this verb with streiten 'quarrel, argue', which also fits the context well.
39 ǵǵtrab: The Arabic ms originally had garób țaṭtóhum, but the G-Stem $\dot{g} a r o ́ b$ was crossed out and replaced with the Ti-Stem ǵśtrab. Ali stumbled on the audio, but ultimately read ǵótrab taṭtóhum, which is what the Roman ms has. However, the phrase $\dot{g} \partial t_{t r a b ~ t ̣ a t t o ́ h u m ~ i s ~ n o t ~ c o r r e c t ~}^{t}$ Jibbali, as confirmed by several informants.
Text 7
2 sérég: This is the Š2-Stem ms imperative of the root rwg, meaning 'consult; get approval from'.

38 He said, "(It is) not with us." And they made a bet [or: argued]. And he said, "If I find the bowl with you, I will take your food. And if it is not with you, you will get from me fifty riding-camels."
39 And he found the bowl with them, and he took his brother. When they got to the house, they recognized each other. And he told his little brother all that had happened to him in the world. And they stayed. It is finished.

## Translation of Text 7

1 Once a man went from the Najd, heading for the mountains. When he reached the mountains, he found a man. He said, "I want to marry into your family, if you will let me."
2 He said, "I will let you marry if you give me your rifle." He said, "I will give you my rifle." He said, "Ok, go consult the women. If they are happy with you, I will let you marry."
3 He said, "Ok. When will I see you?" He said, "Tomorrow." The man went to the women and spoke with them.
4 The old woman, the mother of the woman (he wanted), said, "We have a custom. We will be fine with [or: nice to] our son-in-law, if you will be fine with [or: nice to] us. We will let you marry." And he and the women made an agreement.
5 And he went to the man, the father of the woman, and told him. And he gave him the rifle. And they [lit. he and he] went to the settlement.

[^199]6 ba-žēṭ tabkižót man tél teť. ba-xtór še $b$ - $\varepsilon$ śhódéš ḥallét yol śźrac, ba-s̃amlék. ba-śótém kelínt tũr ba-réfá.
7 عd k-ḥáṣaf, zaḥám sékan ba-lōd, ba-féród érún man sékan. b-ebrék yirs̃ób, bə-skóf ḥa-yékan sáa.


 ðóhũn. ba-skóf s̃es ḥa-yékan งrx.
 man tél a élí." axarét ©õr her īs, "he ‘ak téti tog̉ád s̃i." ‘õr heš, "mor, ġod."
 ha-l-ǵád lo."
 ḥa-nzémk ĩndỉkək." õr, "her دl ḥa-təġád s̃i lo, ḥa-l-xэ́lع’." axarét xóźi aġéyg, ba-žēṭ ĩndíkš. bo-təmmút.

7 ḥa-yékən:In Mehri, waḳōna, the participle (future) of 'be' also can mean 'about, approximately'. Here, the Jibbali future of 'be', ḥa-yékan, is used to mean 'about'. JL does not list this meaning. See further in § 9.6.
8 дд-yaxóle: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'who accompanies her'. If this is correct, it is not clear what verb this is from. The form must be a Gb-stem 3 ms imperfect of $x / w$. Johnstone gives only the meaning 'be empty' for the Gb-Stem xéźi, but the Ga-Stem xálé, which also means 'be empty', has the secondary meaning 'be unmarried'. GbStem forms of this root are attested also in 60:2 and SB2:2.
8 yóšfak: The audio has yašófḳ (G-Stem imperfect), while the Arabic and Roman ms have yóšfak (G-Stem subjunctive), with a marginal note in the latter that says "or šfj’k". (The Arabic ms could also presumably represent the Šı-Stem imperfect yóššfak.) Johnstone glossed first 'no one marries his f. cousin', but crossed this out and wrote 'no one can marry off his cousin but him'. However, for 'marry off' we expect an H-Stem. The 1cs possessive suffix on eš-dídi makes it clear that the man is speaking here.

6 And he took possession of the woman in marriage. And he went down with his witnesses to town, to the judge, and he got legal possession. And he bought dates for the wedding feast, and he went back.
7 Then in the morning, they came to the settlement and they fired shots, and the goats ran away frightened from the settlement. They made the riding-camels kneel, and they stayed about an hour.
8 Then people came contributing to the wedding-feast. And they came (with) songs. And the woman's unmarried cousin came, (saying), "No one should marry my cousin."
9 Then the man who got married gave him ten dollars, and he permitted him to marry. And he consummated [lit. entered] that night. And he stayed with her about a month.
10 Then he said to her, "Let's head off to my family." She said to him, "I will not go from my family." Then he said to her father, "I want my wife to go with me." He said to him, "Ok, go."
11 He said, "The woman has refused to go." Then her father came and swore to her. Then the woman said, "I won't go."
12 Then her father said, "The woman has refused to go. If you want to stay, please do. And if you want to get divorced, we will give you your rifle." He said, "If she won't go with me, I will get divorced." Then the man got divorced, and he took his rifle. And it is finished.

[^200]Text 8 ( = M57): A Conversation
1 A: "bér hek sáate bo-hét b-\&ġarbét?"
2 B: "bér híni ḥa-yékan xĩš ‘ayún."
3 A: "mor, ḥósalak śé?"
 walékan âḥ̣ól ol ḥes̃ófl."
5 A: "foló śink عbrí?"
6 B: "bér híni sáate al śink toš lo, walékan šã k yo ôrr še 'ak xadmét. koh, ol õtal lókum śé lo 'ónut đínu?"
7 A: "ob-lób. õtal, walékan man эrx troh ol-'ód õtal śé lo, $b$-วl-’ód níka' meš gコ̄b lo. bə-nhá s̃a‘̛̣ín toš."
 śé lo, ð-áníyas̃éxant.".
9 A: "koh, šã̌k dé õr hạ-ys̃éxənṭ?"
1 B B: "šã̌k ġaró, walékan ol ḥtumk lo mũn e- ơr híni."
11 A: "эdyékan ar ðə-yว̄d?"
12 B: "ba-hớ. kólót híni bírdém ð-эl yōd lo, walékวn ol fítnak toš lo."
13 A: "aftétũn ũn! tob ar fúrḥək tun. yafórḥək j̄ź bo-xár."
14 B: "ho, hē, tob ar fíṭnək toš. $\varepsilon$ ðí-ilín ðд-õr híni ð-эlyōd lo."

[^201]
## Translation of Text 8

1 A: "Were you abroad for a long time?"
B: "For about five years."
A: "Ok, did you earn anything?"
4 B: "I earned my lot. First I didn't work at all, and then I worked a little job, but the pay [lit. yield] was not good."
5 A: "Did you perhaps see my son?"
6 B: "I have not seen him for a long time, but I heard people say that he was employed. Why, didn't he send you anything this year?"
A: "Nope. He has sent, but he hasn't sent anything at all for two months, and a letter hasn't come from him at all. We are worried about him."
8 B: "Don't worry about him. He is fine, and working, but I think maybe since he has not sent anything, he intends to leave."
A: "Why, did you hear somebody say he will [or: would] leave?"
1 B: "I heard some talk, but I'm not sure who told me."
11 A: "Perhaps it was someone who was lying [or: lies]?"
12 B: "No way. A person who doesn't lie told me, but I don't remember him."
13 A: "Please remember! You have truly made us happy. May God make you happy with good things!"
14 B: "Oh, yes, I do indeed remember him. So-and-so who told me does not lie."
$13 \tilde{u} n$ : This word is transcribed just $\tilde{u}$ in the Roman ms, but written in the Arabic ms. It is glossed in the Roman ms as 'now! please!'. See $\S 12.5 \cdot 2$. The corresponding word in the Mehri version of this text is the rare particle $m \bar{o}$, attested just two or three times in Johnstone's Mehri texts (Rubin 2010: 254). In $M L$ (s.v. $m^{\prime}$ ), it is suggested that the Jibbali cognates of $m \bar{o}$ are mor and $m \ni \dot{g} \partial r$, but this seems unlikely. It seems possible that $\tilde{u}$ could be the cognate of $m \bar{o}$.

Text 9 (= M58): About Animals
t̄̄lén b-\&śḥ́hr mośśt mékən, દ́rún, ba-lhúti, ba-yél.
ámma érún b-iyél, 'ak xorfol takínan bésən núśab lo. ámma elhúti təkínan bésan núśab.
3 bə-yũkər ba-ygũ mašḥ.
4 b'él érún b-iyél yazhímhum her yaśxéf.
 ba-yazhímhum bél عlhúti her yaśxéf.
 sl tē ‘ad lo, yafét. ba-hér tē ‘ad, yakín ba-xár ab-bóhum $\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ a b . ~$
7 ámma iyél b-ērún, эl yózamsan 'ad lo, ar hér kunút 'ónut difírat, yózəmsən 'ad. ab-b'él $\varepsilon l h u ́ t i ~ y a k i ́ n ~ s o ́ b a r ~ b a-s ̌ u ̃ m ~ y a s ̌ e ̄ l ~ m ə n ~ h ̣ a l l e ́ t . ~$
 ( $\partial$-)tōlén b-єśḥ̌̌hr.
9 -عlhúti alyaṣ̄̄r lo man emíh. təmmút.

[^202]Translation of Text 9
1 We have in the mountains a lot of livestock: goats, cows, and camels.
2 As for the goats and the camels, in the rainy season they don't have milk. As for the cows, they do have milk.
3 And they (people) store milk and collect clarified butter.
4 The goat- and camel-herders come to them (the cow-herders) in order to drink milk.
5 Then when it becomes autumn, the cows dry up, and the goat- and camel-herders have milk. And the cow-herders come to them in order to drink milk.
6 The cow-herders bring the clarified butter down to town, and they sell it for sardines for the cows. The cows, if they don't eat sardines, they die. But if they eat sardines, they are well and they have milk.
7 As for the camels and the goats, they don't give them sardines, except if it is a bad year, they give them sardines. And the cow-herders, they are always in debt to the town.
8 But when autumn has come, they pay half of this debt of theirs from the livestock that is with us in the mountains.
9 And the cows will not do without water. It is finished.

8 ðદ́nu: In the Roman ms, it is unclear if this should be read ðદ́nu (f.) or ðínu (f.). Either an $\varepsilon$ is written over an í or vice versa. Unfortunately, the audio and Arabic ms for this text end immediately before this word. The word dun 'debt' is masculine (cf. TJ2:76), and so the feminine form was perhaps a translation of the Mehri version, which has ðәmmēt ðіта 'this (f.) debt'.
8 ( $\partial$-) $t \jmath ̄ l \varepsilon ́ n:$ We expect a relative here, either $\varepsilon$-tōlén or $\partial$-tōlén, the latter of which could be realized simply as t̄̄lén, which is what the Roman ms has. As mentioned in the previous comment, there is no audio or Arabic manuscript to check for this part of the text.

Text 10 (no M): A Disagreement.
1 he fónə ag̉ádkyol $\varepsilon k f$ for ižóhũn bél ūt. axarét kisk kúfurt, ð-ol sédən he ba-sćlo.

3 b-ag̉ád še yaśnóhum w-ol éda‘k lo yaḥóṣal śé man lo. še od ar ġeyg fárá.
4 bə-thúmk toš ar ḥa-yḥoṣal bə-ḥa-nəśné egכ̄bš mit zạ̣ám. təmmút.

Text 12 (no M): Cow Theft
1 xaṭarét ġeyg troh ðə-yabġéd b-óram. axarét õr ṭad, "ak tun nəšréḳ."
2 'õr, "bə-xižk. her ‘ak, ġadú!" ag̉ád ġasré $\varepsilon$ d éṣal sékən, bo-ksé alhúti. ba-žēt ṭit mən $\varepsilon l h u ́ t i, ~ b ə-s \varepsilon ́ ~ ' i s i ́ t . ~ b-a g ̉ a ́ d ~ b e s ~ \varepsilon d ~ m u k u ́ n ~ t ̦ a d . ~$
3 ḥez ba-śéré egód, ba-xəniṭ egdólés, ba-fúrk té mukún, b-a'ažóż mukún. mğórع' ked té ba-kéla ‘ $\varepsilon$ kdód đ̛̣er $\varepsilon k u ́ d$.
4 mg̉óre' hes ber kéśsa ' té’, keṣ té makós. mg̉óre' hãl sáabah ba-kéláš 'ak.


Text 10
2 aġegés̃i: This means 'my friend', but derives from agééyg $\varepsilon$ - $\tilde{s} \grave{i}$ 'the man who is with me'. This expression (discussed in $\S 3.8 .1$ ) is not in $J L$, but is glossed in the Roman ms.
Text 12
2 ba-xiźk: This means literally 'by your uncle!', and is used in the sense of 'as you please!' (JL, s.v. $x w l$ ). The Roman ms has ba-xilk, which has been corrected to ba-xiźk, while the Arabic ms has بخيشك, representing bə-xiźk. $J L$ also has bə-xilk. Since ź is an allophone of $l$ (see $\S 2.1$ ), these variants are not problematic.
$2 \varepsilon d$ : Both occurrences of $\varepsilon d$ in this line are corrections that were added to the manuscripts. The story originally had Mehri $t \varepsilon$ both times. We still find the Mehrism $t \varepsilon$ in lines 5 and 8, and elsewhere in a few of Ali Musallam's Jibbali texts.
2 'isít: Johnstone added the gloss 'fat' in the Roman ms. JL (s.v. 'zy) has 'až' 'fat', but the audio and both manuscripts clearly have ś.

1 Earlier I went to those foreigners, the owners of the house. Then I found the foreign woman, and she and I did not agree.
2 I returned, and I was angry at her. Then when I came here, I found my friend and told him.
3 And he went to see them, but I didn't know (if) he would get something or not. He is a brave man.
4 And I thought he'd surely get (something), and we would see his answer when he came. It is finished.

## Translation of Text 12

1 Once two men were walking on a road. Then one said, "Let's steal!"
2 He [the other] said, "As you wish. If you want, let's go!" They went in the evening until they arrived at a settlement, and they found cows. They took one of the cows, and it was fat. And they brought [lit. went with] it to a certain place.
3 They slaughtered (it), skinned the skin, took off its feet, and separated the meat in one place and the bones in one place. Then they cut the meat into strips and left the strips on the rope.
4 Then after the meat was dry, they chopped the meat into chops. Then they took the fat and put it in the pot, and put the pot on [or: over] the fire. And they took meat and put it in the pot.

3 furk: The meaning here is clearly something like 'divide, separate’, though this exact meaning is not found in $J L . J L$ (s.v. frk) lists, in addition to the meaning 'frighten', the meanings 'make a parting' and 'distribute a camel's load in counterpoised bags'. Earlier drafts of $J L$ included for this verb the meanings 'distribute in a camel load' (Johnstone papers Boxes 12B and 16C) or 'divide' (Boxes ${ }_{7}$ D and 19B). The meaning 'divide, separate’ likely comes from Arabic; cf. Arabic D farraqa 'divide, separate’. Cf. also Mehri G farōk ‘distribute guests over various houses', with which Johnstone compares EJ furk (ML, s.v. frk.k.
$3 \tilde{\varepsilon} k d o ́ d$ : This is the definite form of makdód, which, though not in $J L$, must be the plural of makadét 'long strip of beef for drying'; see $J L$ (s.v. $k d d)$. The verb $k$ ked 'cut into strips', earlier in this line, is from the same root.

5 te k-háṣaf'agũn, b-ag̉ád. axarét ksé ġeyg ðə-yabġód. 'õr hóhum, "he g̀eyg tofún, ba-hér s̃ókũm kít, zum to."
6 ©̃r heš, "nḥa s̃s̃̃ té" ḥarúm. her 'agk tít, ḥa-nzémk." õr hóhum, "he ol oté ḥarúm lo." ôr, "mor. dek al tóklot her dé." õr, "mor."
7 ed ḳərérє zaḥám b‘él عlé’ ðə-ys̃xīr, ba-ðว-yadófa‘ śhelót ḳərós̃ her dé kolót hóhum bo-kól ع-šérók clé.
8 axarét kolót hóhum aġéyg $\varepsilon$-ksé ag̉ág, ba-hõo s śhelót korós̃. b-ag̉ád ag̉ág tء ksé ag̉ág дд-šérók $\varepsilon$ lé.
9 õr hóhum, "tum дə-šeróḳkum elín." ôr, "ábdan. ol šeróḳən tos lo." ‘õr, "’’kum tagzúm?" ‘õr, "a-ngzẽm bə-xõš man nšərék elỉkum." õor, "a-nદ́gzəmkum đ̣er bər a‘arīt."
 að-šerók ḥilm. zaḥĩs bar a‘arīt ba-õr heš, "ol xer hek lo tógzam đ̣íri."
11 bə- éś ag̉éyg mən s̃onút(š), bว-õr, "วl-’ód 'ágən nagzém lo. $\varepsilon$ líkum tōlén, bə-dḥa-nəkžย̇kum b-عlínkum." bə-təmmút.
12 t̄̄lén yo yaférék man ekabrín. yacõr, kabrín ði-ء̃lké. tammút.

5 te: The Roman mss have hes here, but the Arabic ms and the audio have the Mehrism $t \varepsilon$.
9 a-ngz $\tilde{\varepsilon} m$ : The future particle is usually $d h ̣ a$ - or $h a$ - in Johnstone's texts, but here we have the reduced form $a$-, which is common in colloquial speech. See further in §7.1.4.
9 ba-xõš: See text 14:3, where this idiom is referred to.
9 bar a'arit: Johnstone glossed this in one Roman ms as 'a wali's tomb'. It is a well-known tomb in Raysut, a port town just a few miles west of Salalah. The full name of the man buried there is Salem bin Aḥmad bin Arab; bar a'aritt ('son of the Arab woman') is the Jibbali translation of the last part of his name. Such a venerated tomb is a place where one would not tell a lie.

5 Then in the morning they mixed (the fat and meat), and they went off. Then they found a man walking. He said to them, "I am a hungry man, and if you have food, give me!"
6 They said to him, "We have forbidden (haram) meat. If you want to eat, we will give you." He said to them, "I will not eat forbidden meat." They said, "Ok. Be sure not to tell anyone." He said, "Ok."
7 Then the next day the owners of the cow came asking, and they were paying thirty dollars to anyone who told them about whoever stole the cow.
8 Then the man who found the men told them, and he got thirty dollars. And the men went until they found the men who had stolen the cow.
9 They said to them, "You are the ones who stole our cow." They said, "No way. We didn't steal it." They said, "Do you want to swear?" They said, "We will swear times five [or: on five] that we didn't steal your cow." They said, "We'll make you swear at the Ber 'Arīt (tomb)."
1o The men went. Before they reached the Ber 'Arīt (tomb), they fell asleep. Then one of the men who had stolen dreamed a dream. The Ber 'Arīt came to him and said to him, "It is not good for you to swear on me."
11 And the man got up from (his) sleep and said, "We don't want to swear anymore. The cow is with us, and we will compensate you for your cow." And it is finished.
Among us, people are afraid of graves. They call them 'graves of the angels'. It is finished.

[^203]Text 13 (no M): Home from Dubai
1 xaṭarét sfork kin sékani te éṣalak dabéy, ba-z̧ímk 'ak. ‘askérít. ba-skófk ónut trut.
2 axarét zaḥám to xaṭ mən tél ह̄mí. õrót, "ik xáróg, ba-nḥá ol s̃en dé lo. ba-ágan bek ts̃éxənt émtan.
3 s̃en 'onút difírət, b-ə s̃en ġag lo ar วnḥá ínétַ. b-õśśtวn difírot." axarét s̃xənúṭk 'ak lang.
 ba-gizírt. axarét țērót ek'át.
5 bə-sfóran te éṣalan ḥallét. axarét réfa 'k. te zaḥámk sékani ġasré, kisk ह̄mí b-ag̉áti ad-s̃éf baḥ́ésən.
 ‘õrót, "mũn ðદ́nu?"
7 'õk, "he ġeyg zəḥámk mon sfer." axarét 'aśśót bə- õrót, "faló śink ebrí $b$-esfér?" 'õk hes, "íné ḥa-(t)zĩ-to her kolótk his̃ b-ebrés̃?"
8 õrót, "ha-l-zámk alhín 'ak her kólótk híni b-ebrí, her kun ba-xár ba-fló zəḥám." axarét žaḥakk, bə-hés źวḥakk, ġarōt tว, ba-‘aśśót bə-sé (ð-)t̄̄k manfaróż.
 s̃unút bə-sé ( (д-)tōk man faróẓ. béké kélsən.
10 axarét oõrót $\bar{\varepsilon} m i ́, ~ " h e ~ b e r ~ l i ~ m ə s a l u ̃ t ~ h e r ~ ' o d ~ s ́ i n k ~ t o k . ~ n a ́ s ̣ a n u ~ ' a ́ g ə n ~$ naḥzzz."
'askérít: This word is not in $J L$, but $M L$ (s.v. 'skr) lists it as an EJ form.
'onút: See the comment to 20:1.
$\varepsilon k^{c}$ át: This word is glossed in $J L$ (s.v. $k^{\prime} w$ ) as 'strong, cold, rainless winter wind'. In the Roman ms, however, Johnstone glossed it as 'storm'. I have kept 'storm' in my translation only because it is simpler.
4 ḥt亏̄ran: I did not find this verb in any dictionary, but Johnstone glossed it as 'waited' in the Roman ms. This is presumably a T2-Stem of the root $h \underset{w}{ }$. Johnstone actually transcribed it as ḥtóran (which could be a G-Stem of an otherwise unknown root hetr) in the Roman ms, but the audio has a long $\bar{j}$.
$8(\partial-) t \bar{\jmath} k$ : Here and in line 9 , the prefix $\partial$ - is not in the mss or on the audio. It should be there, but it is suppressed because of the initial $t$-. See § 7.1.10.1.

## Translation of Text 13

1 Once I traveled from my settlement until I reached Dubai, and I enlisted in the police. And I stayed two years.
2 Then a letter came to me from my mother. She said, "Your father has died, and we have no one. We want you to come back to us.
3 We have a bad drought, and we have no one but us women. And our animals are bad." Then I set out in a boat.
4 Then when we reached the middle (of the journey), a storm befell us until we were about to sink. And we waited five days on an island. Then the storm broke.
5 And we went until we reached the town. Then I got out. When I came to my settlement in the evening, I found my mother and my sister asleep by themselves.
6 They had no one (with them). I had a camel, and on it were dates and grain. I made the camel kneel. I woke my mother. She said, "Who is that?"
7 I said, "I am a man who has come back from a journey." Then she got up and said, "Perhaps you have seen my son on the journey?" I said to her, "What will you give me if I tell you about your son?"
8 She said, "I will give you whatever you want, if you tell me about my son, if he is well or (if) he has come." Then I laughed, and when I laughed, she recognized me. She got up, and she was crying from happiness.
9 And her daughter got up from sleep, and she said to her, "Your brother has come." And she got up from sleep and was crying from happiness. Each of them was crying.
10 Then my mother said, "I already have a sacrificial animal for if I saw you again. Now let's slaughter (it)."

8 faróž: This word clearly means 'happiness', and though related words appear in $J L$ (s.v. frź), this noun does not.
9 béké $k \varepsilon ́ l s ə n$ : The phrase békékélsan is in the Roman ms only. It is missing from the Arabic ms and the audio. The phrase before it, ba-'aśśśt man

bə-zḥámk tóhum bə-xáṭóḳ bə-ḳít, bə-skófk tōlshum 'áṣar troh.
mġóre’ ‘õk her téti, "ágan nag̉ád yol sćkani. эl-’’d síndan mes̃ lo." 'õrót,
"he ol abġód lo kin a ćlí."
18 ‘õk hes, "her 'ágis̃ bi, ḥa-tġíd s̃i. b-эl 'ágis̃ bi lo, ha-tískəf." 'õrót, "ak bek,
walćkən a'élí эl s̃óhum dé lo ar he." axarét ġolōt sl təg̉ád s̃i.

11 takarj̄(b): The final $b$ appears in both mss, but is not pronounced on the audio. Cf. the similar phrases in 25:12 and 53:10, where the $b$ is absent from the mss as well.
$\varepsilon \dot{g} i ́$ : This word is missing from the Arabic ms and the audio, but this is just a mistake.
$\check{s} \tilde{a}^{c}$ : This is the G-Stem perfect of $\check{s} m^{\prime}$ 'hear', though $J L$ (s.v. $s ̌ m$ ') and $M L$ (s.v. $h m^{c}$ ) list only $\Sigma^{\kappa} c^{c}$. These two forms are variants, just as in Mehri we find either hūma or hìma. We also find this variation with other, similar (II-m, III-G) roots. Some of my informants also used $\check{s} \tilde{a}^{c}$.
13 cźiód: Undoubtedly this means 'shots; shooting', from the root $l b d$, though the noun is missing from $J L$. The Roman ms has either išiõt or $i z ́ i o ̃ t$; either $\check{s}$ is written over $z ́ z$ or vice versa. The Arabic ms has اشود Johnstone added the gloss 'our neighbors heard' under the phrase عrébkən išiõt, but it is obviously šáa' that means 'heard'. The indefinite form of $\varepsilon z z i o ́ d ~ i s ~ p r o b a b l y ~ l i o ́ d, ~ s i n c e ~ w o r d-i n i t i a l ~ z ́ ~ i s ~ n o t ~ f o u n d ~ e l s e-~$ where.

11 While we were chatting, we saw a man coming. I picked up my rifle and said to him, "Don't come near us!" He said, "Friend!" Then we recognized the man, and it turned out the man was my brother, and he didn't recognize me. When he came, he said, "Who is this?" I said, "It's me, your brother." Then he cocked his rifle and fired (in the air). And I fired.
13 Then our neighbor(s) heard the shots from inside the settlement. And people came to help. They thought there was an attack on [or: fight in] the settlement.
14 When the people came, they found us. Then we slaughtered two goats. We spent the night until morning. Me, my brother, my mother, and my sister, we spent the night until morning.
15 Then I said to my brother, "You stay by the goats with my family, and I will go to my wife. And I will bring her to help us with the animals."
16 He said, "Ok, go." And I went until I came to my wife. She slaughtered for me, and we spent the night. I brought them clothes and food, and I stayed with them two nights.
17 Then I said to my wife, "Let's go to my settlement. We can't do without you any longer." She said, "I won't leave my family."
18 I said to her, "If you love me, you'll go with me. And (if) you don't love me, you'll stay." She said, "I love you, but my family has no one but me." Then she refused to go with me.

[^204] "aśís, s̃if tel acásars̃."
20 'õrót, "aǵéyg ber xóźí." békét \&šxarét bə-đ̣̄̄rót bi. bə- õrót, "het ðə kunk kólótk híni, təğídən s̃ek titk." õk hes, "kótṭa‘ ektób mun munún." b-ag̉ádk tel sćkani.

Text 14 (no M): Oath-taking
1 her ṭad mothím bo-śé, her kun bírí, yaõr hešyo, "agk tógzəm?" yaõr, "ḥa-l-ógzam. walékan zũ-to $\varepsilon$ śrót ð-عgzamét."
 s̃ómrat."
 ba-xõš."

 "al-ðદ́nu ẽsgíd b-alhín 'amkáš man xtém, man l-oे(l)təg่ ag̉éyg ðénu ðว-hé mathím beš."
 عðí-ilín man yó(l)təg a agéyg ð́́nu, am-mən yadá mun $\varepsilon$-ltəg̉àš."
 xtẽm, mən l-óšrวḳ eyítkum aw elíkum aw eṣáğatkum aw $\bar{z} k u m, ~ a m-m ə n ~$ al-dá mun $\varepsilon$-širḳás."
8 her b‘él õšźt дə-ḥtúm ba-s̃óhum takrír, yagózam séréš, "ar búdək b-ar


## Text 13

2o ḱțṭa‘ $\varepsilon k t o ́ b:$ Johnstone added the gloss 'will of God/covenant' in the Roman ms. It means literally something like 'the decree was cut', or possibly 'the contract was breached'. The mss have just kótac', but the geminate $t!t$ is heard on the audio, and it is not clear what form kótac would be. In $J L$ the verb is transcribed $k{ }^{\prime} t t a^{c}$, without the assimilation of the $t$ of the T1-Stem.
Text 14
${ }_{1} \varepsilon g z a m \varepsilon ́ t$ : This noun is not in $J L$, though its meaning is clear.
2 s̃ómrat: This is the mp imperative, which seems to be a mistake for ms s̃émrat. In the Roman ms, Johnstone transcribed the preceeding imper-

19 Then I divorced her (that) evening, and she got up and went to sleep by her mother. Then her mother said to her, "Get up and sleep by your husband."
20 She said, "The man has already divorced (me)." The old woman cried and nagged me. And she said, "If you had told me, your wife would have gone with you." I said to her, "It is the will of God between us." And I went to my settlement.

## Translation of Text 14

1 If someone is accused [or: suspected] of something, and he is innocent, the people say to him, "Do you want to swear?" He says, "I will swear. But give me the conditions of the swearing."
2 If he is accused of murder, they say to him first, "Swear by ten [or: ten times]! Then be tried by ordeal by iron."
3 If it is a light accusation of theft of a cow or theft of a camel, they say to him, "Swear by five [or: five times]!"
4 And if the accusation is something simple, he swears by himself over the Quran.
5 First, if the accusation is of murder, he swears and nine (men) follow him. And he says, "By this mosque and all the Qurans in it, I didn't kill this man that I am accused of (killing)."
6 And nine follow him, they say, "By this mosque and all the Qurans in it, so-and-so son of so-and-so didn't kill this man, and he doesn't know who killed him."
7 And if it is an accusation of theft, he says, "By this mosque and all the Qurans in it, I didn't steal your camel, or your cow, or your jewelry, or your goat. I don't know who stole it."
8 If the property owners are sure, and they have total certainty, they swear after him, "You have surely lied. You have given a false oath, and you are the one who stole, or you are the one who killed."
ative 'swear!' first as mp gzum, but then corrected to ms $g z \varepsilon m$. We expect two ms imperatives in this context.
ethamét: This word is not in $J L$, though numerous related words are listed (s.v. thm); cf. mathím in line 1.
8 takrír: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this word as 'certainty'. In $J L$ (s.v. krr), it is defined as '(God's) decree'.

9 ba-hér kunút egzamét man al-gānabín, ol-’ód yafuténhum ar s̃amartót.
 tōlén.
11 walékวn yo yaférék ar axtém b-ع̃sébgad, b-эl təkós dé lo ðə-yḥánút gér her dé kun ġas̃ím ba-fló fekír b-al s̃eš mośśt lo her yékaẓ.

Text 15 (no M): A Land Dispute
 manzél ṭad aġsaré.
2 عd ġasré hógúm lóhum kéżər, ba-ḳ́ṣóf lóhum xĩš ह́rún. $\varepsilon d$ k-háṣaf ḥõl b-ag̉ád ed éṣəl $\varepsilon r a h ̣ m e ́ t . ~ b-a x a ́ f ~ ' a k ~ x a ́ d ə r . ~ b ə-s k o ́ f ~ b e s ̌ ~ y u ̃ ~ t r u t . ~$
3 axarét zahĩhum ġeyg. 'õr hóhum, "ḥamól mən xádərən b-edór $\varepsilon$ ह́ṛ̌́kum." ©õr heš, "Érz̧əə 'onút, bə-nḥá zəḥān bun ar hér õs’śtan tit rog̉ód. mğóre' ḥa-naḥmél ba-ndór érẓ̌an."
4 ©̃̃r hóhum, "lézam taḥmól, ba-fló ḥa-l-éṭafb-érunókum." axarét õr heš,

5 axarét õr heš, "nḥa ol ḥa-naḥmél lo. 'agk tə'éṭəf b-Érún ba-ðə 'agk tókəla?"" axarét ag̉ád yol tet ba lét $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ ř, ba- õr hes, "egiśgiś lóhum ba-kób yahégam lóhum."
6 axarét aġadót bə-hõolót tũr bo-hitt, b-aġadót 'ak śa'b bə-htəfót. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré hógúm kob al-sékan bél érún.
7 axarét ġarób yo ðə-sé, tete, egəśgisót lóhum kวb. 'õr ag̉ág her ínețóhum, "her dè-ənkẽn tag̉órab śé, ta‘ér 'ánén kıb ðénu."

## Text 14

9 al-gānabín: This is from Arabic jānibayn 'two sides', with the Arabic article al-.
9 s̃amərtśt:This noun is not in $J L$, though it is clearly from the verb s̃amrét 'be tried by ordeal by iron'.
10 a'órš: This is from 'or 'shame', which is borrowed from Arabic 'ār. JL (s.v. ${ }^{\prime} y r$ ) lists only the form ' $\varepsilon r$, while $M L$ (s.v. ' $y r$ ) lists CJ ' $\supset r$.

9 And if the swearing is from the two sides [i.e., if both parties swear], they don't give a ruling on them except by trial by ordeal by iron.
1 Anyone who gives a false oath, he fails (the trial), and his shame appears. This the way of swearing among us.
11 But people are afraid of Qurans and mosques, and you won't find anyone who gives a false oath, unless [lit. except if] he is ignorant or poor, and he has no property to make compensation with.

## Translation of Text 15

1 One day a community moved from their land, heading for a land that they heard had rain. Then when they reached a certain place, they spent the night.
2 Then in the night, a leopard attacked them and broke the necks of five goats. Then in the morning they picked up and went until they reached [the place that had had] the rain. They set down in a cave, and they stayed in it two days.
3 Then a man came to them. He said to them, "Move [lit. pick up] from our cave and go back to your land." They said to him, "Our land is barren [lit. a drought], and we came here only for our livestock to eat some pasturage. Then we will pick up and go back to our land."
4 He said to them, "You must move, or else I will stampede your goats." Then they said to him, "You should allow us in this cave for one month." He said, "I will not allow you."
5 Then they said to him, "We will not move. Do you want to stampede (our) goats or allow (us)?" Then he went to the woman, the owner of the land, and said to her, "Summon for them a wolf to attack them."
6 Then the woman went, and she carried dates and food, and she went into a valley and cried out. Then in the night, a wolf attacked the settlement of the goat-herders.
7 Then the people knew that the woman had summoned a wolf for them. The men said to their wives, "If any one of you knows anything, you should keep this wolf back from us."

## Text 15

3 'onút: See the comment to 20:1.
7 dē-ənkẽn: From dé mənkén 'one of you (fp)'. Cf. also dē-ənsẽn in line 8.

8 ©õr ínćt，＂yzkín ar xar．＂śefdē－ənsẽn sáḥart，ba－s̃és kéżər．bə－õrót her عkéżərs，＂kabén ‘ak $\bar{\varepsilon} r u ́ n$ ．her zaḥĩk kıb，hagém leš．＂
9 b－ag̉ád $\varepsilon k e ́ z ̇ ə r ~ \varepsilon d ~ ' a k ~ x a ́ d ə r ~ k e ́ r i ́ b ~ m ə n ~ \varepsilon ̄ r u ́ n . ~ k u ̄ n . ~ \varepsilon d ~ g ̇ a s r e ́, ~ z a h a ́ m ~ k o b, ~$
 عkééżər ba－kób да－yants̄ḥan．
 zahõot cšxarét ba lét kob yol sékon．
11 ＇õrót，＂he sáhart bə－ší kob ðénu ðə－látġakum toš．walékan＇agk l－óklat b－ínctókum．ह́nf̄̄t órba＇ínét ‘ak sékวn ðénu sáḥər．＂ba－kวlțót ba－šimtésən． ba－ġéyg ‘ak sékon ðóhũn míríz．ber heš xĩš ‘ayún ba－šé míriž．śef źarrótš eš－dídš．kunút $\varepsilon$ ह́nf̄̄t＇agiót bešyóšfak bes． axarét šfok ba－tét Jı́rét．mg̉วlót ūbš ba－kol＇ótš nxín fúdún b－érźhum． b－aġéyg ber ag̉ád tel yo kel ðə－yaġórəb，b－э nífačš lo．ab－bér $\varepsilon ð r e ́ ~ b-э l$ s̃anfálo．
14 axarét ešxarét õrót hóhum，＂ūbak［ūbš］nxín fúdún al－falaníyya． ba－ḥa－taksé nxín fúdún eṣróféš．ba－hér al kiskum śé lo，akín he bédét． ba－hér kiskum eṣróf，akín he iyéni．＂
 fúdún＇afírót．ba－hîlós ba－ksé ġ arórt，ba－‘amkás $\varepsilon s ฺ r o ̛ f$.
16 hĩlós $\varepsilon d$ eblạa’ás＇ak emíh，bə－kún bə－xár ag̉éyg mən egoléš．bə－təmmút keltót ðínu．

[^205]8 The women said, "It will be well." It so happened that one of them was a witch, and she had a leopard. And she said to her leopard, "Hide among the goats. If a wolf comes to you, attack it."
9 The leopard went into a cave close to the goats. He hid. Then in the night, the wolf came, heading for the goats. The leopard jumped on it, and they fought. The people got up from (their) sleep, and they heard the leopard and the wolf fighting.
10 Then the leopard overpowered the wolf and killed it. And the leopard left, and the people slept. In the morning, the old woman, the owner of the wolf, came to the settlement.
11 She said, "I am a witch, and I owned this wolf that you killed. But I want to tell (you) about your women. Previously there were four women in this settlement who are witches." And she said their names.
And a man in that community was sick. Already for five years he was sick. It so happened that his cousin had bewitched him. She had wanted previously for him to marry her.
Then he married an outside woman. She took his heart (symbolically) and left it under a rock in their country. And the man had already gone to all the people who were knowledgeable, but they didn't cure him. And they had already poured blood over him, but he was not cured. Then the old woman said to them, "Your [or: His] heart is under such-and-such a rock. And you will find under that rock his hairs [used for the spell]. If you don't find anything, then I am a liar. And if you find the hair, I am being truthful."
15 Then the brother of the man who was sick went until he reached the place where the old woman said, and he found a red rock. He picked it up and found a bag, and in it was the hair.
16 He took it and put it in the water, and the man recovered from his illness. And this story is finished.

[^206]Text 16 (no M): Seeing Ghosts
1 xaṭarét he b-aġí ag̉ádən man tél iyél man fégar, 'ágan yal ह́rún b-\&śḥ́hr, ba-zḥān dakkún ba-fégar.
2 bə-s’ótémən hít bə-tũr ba-skér. b-ag̉ádən te éṣalan 'aḳ śa‘b kol'éni. axarét he két t'ak ba-õk her ag̀í, "ágan naskéf."
3 ‘õr, "her 'agk yol દ́mék, ġadú!" axarét śńnén érún. ənkólyo, śef sabró. ‘õr híni aği, "ġolọkũn yo." ba-skófan ed ḳiriót yũm təġ̇̃d.
4 axarét ag̉ádən yol ह́rún bo-ġolókən ed éžḥan. ol kesén dé lo. axarét õr

5 b-ag̉ádən te aġsərén 'ak śa'b ðihũn. $\varepsilon d$ k-háṣaf, ag̉ádən te zəḥān érunén. mg̉óre’ kolót híni ag̉í, õ̃r, "yo e-śínén tóhum manhínam, ižóhũn sabró, ar ol ‘ak l-óklat hek lo." ba-təmmút.

Text 17 (= M24; the Mehri version was translated from Jibbali, but not exactly): The Unfaithful Sister

```
1 xaṭar\varepsilońt ḥókum bo-ḥallét b-\varepsilonśní hánúf,
2 ðд-š\varepsiloń ḥa-yazḥóm bo-ġabgót bo-ḥa-tx\varepsilońn beš. axar\varepsilońt her zoḥõt títš
    bว-ġabgót, yahzizzəs.
```

Text 16
1 iyćl: Hofstede (1998: 174) translated this as 'family', taking it as a variant of ' $\varepsilon$ l ( $J L$, s.v. $y l$ ), but context and form make it clear that it means 'camels' ( $J L$, s.v. ' $b l$ ). The spelling in the Arabic ms also matches the spelling of iyél in text 35:1 (which Hofstede [p. 189] correctly translated 'camels').
1 fégar: Both Johnstone in the Roman ms and Hofstede (1998:174) in her version of the text translated this (both occurrences) as 'dawn', but this can also refer to Najd (the region in the Dhofar in which the mountains meet the desert). This seems to fit the context much better (cf. also 7:1).

Translation of Text 16
1 Once my brother and I went from the camels from Najd, heading to the goats in the mountains, and we came to a store in Najd.
2 And we bought grain [or: food], dates, and sugar. We went until we arrived at a valley in the evening. Then I got tired, and I said to my brother, "Let's sit!"
3 He said, "If you want (to go) to your mother, let's go!" Then we saw the goats. We thought (there were) people, but it turned out (they were) ghosts. My brother said, "Look there, people." And we stayed until the sun was close to setting.
4 Then we went to the goats and looked for the people until we got tired. We didn't find anybody. Then my brother said to me, "Let's go, we don't want them." He was afraid that I would get scared and cry.
5 And we went and spent the night in that valley. In the morning, we came to our goats. Then he told me, he said, "The people that we saw last night, those were ghosts, only I didn't want to tell you." And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 17

Once there was a ruler in a town, and he had his fortune read, that he would beget a girl and she would betray him. So if his wife had a girl, he would kill her.

[^207]

```
    ba-ġabgót, hazózas!"
    b-aġád aġéyg. axar\varepsilońt zohõt títš bo-ġabgót, bz-õrót, "zəḥámk b-əmbér\varepsilon'."
    bə-skóf \varepsilond aġabgót berót ũm. zəḥám ìs, axarét õr, "agk l-əśn\varepsiloń \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon'."
    b-aġabgót ðд-fékét l\varepsilonbs ð-әтbér\varepsilon'. zahôt tel īs, b>- õr hes, "s̃i xáṭilk.
    b-íxanṭ iž\varepsilońnu xáṭọk, ba-fḳí xáṭọk ižénu."
    `õrót, "ndóh, ḥa-l-éfk d-ḥákćl."
    \check{õr, "het ol ġabgót lo taxzí. lézam al-śnék."}
    axar\varepsilońt ks̃of les ba-ksés ġabgót. axar\varepsilońt guzúm ar ḥa-yzhézzos. b-aġabgót,
    ol (t)śun dé al-hés se lo: rahĩt al-hés हैrét. b-aġás yas̃a'ásórs.
    \varepsilond g̀asré õrrót her agáa, "i õr ḥa-yó(l)tġ to ḳarére."
    \varepsilond ġasré 'eś \varepsilonmbér\varepsilon' ba-xanitt haṣnín trut, ba-séd lésan, ba-ḥõl \varepsilonziódhum.
    ba-hõol aġitš đ̣er ḥaṣnín ba-š\varepsiloń rékeb đ̛er ḥaṣnín ṭit.
```

ba-kéla ctit́š dinít: This clause is missing from the Arabic ms and the audio, but is included in the Roman ms.
ḥazózas: This form ḥazózas 'kill her' is the mp imperative hazzóz (cf. SB1:4) plus the 3 fs object suffix. It does not fit the context, which would seem to require the fs imperative hazizas.
6 íxanṭ: On the audio, Ali stumbled a bit and seemed to read ms éxanț, which fits the context. I have kept fs íxənt, since this is what the Arabic ms has (يخنط) next comment).
6 fki: This is a fs imperative. We expect a ms imperative here, since the speaker (the girl's father) believes he is speaking to a boy. See also the comment to line 8.
$7 d$-hákéle: Johnstone glossed this as 'inside' in the Roman ms, though in $J L$ (s.v. $h \underset{l}{ } l$ ) it is listed only with the meaning 'north'. See further in the comment to 33:3.
8 taxzí: Despite the speaker's insistence that he is not speaking to a girl, he uses the 2 fs subjunctive form here, just as he used fs imperatives in line 6.
9 (t)śun: The mss and audio have just śun, but this must be from *tśun, the 2 ms or 3 fs imperfect of śny. Cf. 51:3, where we have the same form; in that text, Johnstone has taśún in the Roman manuscript, but the $t$ is lacking on the audio.

3 Then he traveled to another land and left his wife pregnant. And he said to his wife, "If you have a girl, kill her!"
4 And the man went. Then his wife had a girl, but she said, "I had a boy."
5 They remained until the girl was already big. Her father came back, then he said, "I want to see the boy."
6 And the girl was dressed in boys' clothes. She came to her father, and he said to her, "I have some clothes. Take off those clothes, and put on these."
7 She said, "Give (them) here, I'll get dressed inside."
8 He said, "You are not a girl that you should be embarrassed. I must see you."
9 Then he undressed [or: examined] her and found her to be a girl. Then he swore he would kill her. And the girl, there was no one [lit. she/you saw no one] like her: beautiful like the moon. And her brother loved her.
10 Then at night, she said to her brother, "My father said he will kill me tomorrow."
11 Then at night, the boy got up and took out two horses, saddled them, and loaded on their supplies. And he put his sister on a horse, and he rode on one (other) horse.
ı $y$ ý(l)t $\dot{g}$ : The Arabic ms has yotk, but this just reflects the common tendency to spell the sound $\dot{g}$ with the Arabic letter $ق$ ( $q$ ); see more examples in the comment to 60:25. (We also sometimes find $k$ spelled with the Arabic letter $\dot{\mathcal{q}}(\dot{g})$.) However, the audio (read from the Arabic ms ) also has $k$ here, which is not typical. This is not a mistake, since we find the same thing in 60:25. The pronunciation with $k$ may be due to the consonant cluster t $\dot{g}$. Hayward et al. (1988: 240, n. 4) do note that [ $k$ ] is a possible reflex of $/ \dot{g} /$. It is also possible that the $k$ here reflects an archaism, since the root ltg is probably connected etymologically with the common Semitic root $k t l$, with metathesis and the shift of $k$ to $\dot{g}$. It is worth noting that I heard $k$ in this exact form also from another informant (SM).
ḥaṣnín: We expect singular haṣún rather than plural ḥaṣnín in all three occurrences, but the audio and mss have haṣnín. Singular ḥaṣún is used in $36: 16$ and $36: 26$, while haṣnín is used as a singular again in $97: 25$, 97:27, and 97:30. In one of Johnstone's notebooks (Box 7D), he notes that haṣnín can be either singular or plural.

12
13 . beš.
axarét đõr hes, "ágis̃ (t)šišfak to?"
‘õrót, "he s̃i $\varepsilon \dot{g} i ́ b-э l y a k o ́ l a ‘ ~ t o ~ l-a s ̃ e ́ s ̌ f a k ~ d e ́ ~ l o . " ~$
‘õr, "hit 'amíl óram her nažbóṭ $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ’ . ~ m g ̇ o ́ r \varepsilon ’ ~ t a b g ̇ i ́ d ~ s ̃ \tilde{n} n ~ b-\partial s ̌ o ́ f k ̣ ~ b i s ̃ . " ~$

mg̉óre’ tũm s̃ork beš alhín ‘ákum." ‘õr, "mor."
aġád aġág, $b$-embér ${ }^{\prime}$ zaḥám tel ag̉átš. s̃eš xérín tũr man tél ġeyg.
$b-⿰ l$ tēš lo, 'ágab beš her ag̉átš. ba-šé tofún. $\varepsilon d$ zaḥám, zĩs tũr.
axarét ag̉átš đõrót, "ag̉í, nḥa žékən bว-‘ágən nənḥág."
‘õr, "iné man náḥag."
‘õrót, "ágən nərṣ́n ṭaṭtũn." axarét ‘õr, "mor, arṣín to. mġóre’ he ha-l-órșəns̃." sérék ṭóhũn $\varepsilon d z a h a ́ m ~ a ̃ o ̃ d ~ \varepsilon-y o ́ . ~$
‘õrót, "arṣán to, mġóre’ he ḥa-l-órṣank." raṣínís ba-ntór les.
 l-órxér."
28 'õrót, "mġórs' het arṣán to bə-ḥús." hes berót arṣonút ع́détع, ‘õrót, "d-ऽk facihm."
29 zĩs fa ĩhm bə-rṣənút bə-ḥús. axarét ‘õr, "ag̉áti, antír míní." oõrót, "mən sér sĩn."
 aġéyg ber ð-erṣín."
31 axarét zaḥám bə-ḳéṣ fáḥal ð-\&mbérs’. bว-ḥõl aġabgót b-عmbérє’ skof. [lit. people].
26 She said, "Tie me up, then I will tie you up." He tied her up, and he untied her.
And they set out. Then the next day, they came to (some) water.
And the water, a certain ruler ruled it. And they stayed by the water. Then their food ran out. As for the girl, she would stay by the water, and the boy would go out to look for gazelles.
And if he didn't find anything, he would collect wild figs. He would eat unripe fruit and take the (ripe) wild figs to [or: for] his sister.
They stayed about a month. Then the son of the ruler of that town came.
He came to the water, and he saw the girl. Then he fell in love with the girl, and she fell in love with him.
Then he said to her, "Do you want to marry me?"
She said, "I have a brother, and he won't let me marry anyone."
He said, "You find [lit. make] a way for us to capture the boy. Then you'll go with us and I will marry you."
She said, "In four days, come at midday, and you'll find that I have already tied up the boy. Then you all do with him whatever you want." He said, "Ok."
The men went, and the boy came back to his sister. He had a small amount of dates (that he got) from a man.
And he hadn't eaten it, he wanted it for his sister. And he was hungry. When he came, he gave her the dates.
Then his sister said, "Brother, we have become bored, let's play."
He said, "What kind of game?"
She said, "Let's tie each other up." Then he said, "Ok, tie me up. Then I will tie you up." They did thus until the appointed time of the group

And he said, "Tie me up." She tied him up tightly [lit. with force]. Then he said, "Sister, you've hurt me. Tie me up lightly."
She said, "Later you tie me up tightly." After she had tied up the hands, she said, "I still have the feet (to tie up)."
He gave her (his) feet, and she tied tightly. Then he said, "Sister, untie me." She said, "In [lit. after] a little while."
While they were like this, the son of the ruler came, and with him were soldiers. And she said, "Come here, the man has already been tied up."
${ }_{31}$ Then they came and cut off the boy's penis. They took the girl, and the boy remained.
ġeyg troh milké, ba-ḳólób hešfáhalš $\varepsilon d$ al-hés $\varepsilon$ ह́nfêt.
b-ağád $\varepsilon d$ zaḥám ḥallét ðə-bés ag̀itš. axarét xúdúm telyo tógór.
axarét 'agiót beš gabgót bว-šદ́ 'ágab bes.
axarét s̃anṭé $b$ - $\varepsilon$ šfik ${ }^{2} s$ š.
axarét zaḥám ağág əð-kéṣ fáḥalš, ‘õr, "ag̉éyg ðénú ol bešfáḥal lo."
'õr hóhum, "dḥa-nas̃xátar."
b-ag̉ád tel śér'át, bo-õr, "karére ġod 'ak mídén b-aġéyg yóks̃əf $\varepsilon n u ́ f$.
 عréšókum." õr, "rǐż́sn."
$\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaf, ağád 'ak mídén ba-zḥám yo $\varepsilon d$ miźi i emídén. õor her ag̉éyg,
"kš̌fenúf!"
‘õr, "tōkum tasmóh to." õr, "ábdan. ol ḥa-nasmáḥk lo."
ḥõl xaṭ〕ḳ́š bə-ṣór feṭá. axarét zaḥám cśhód bo-kséš al-hés aġág.
kéṣíṣ $\varepsilon r e ́ s ̌ o ́ h u m ~ b a-z h ̣ a ́ m ~ b a-t e ́ t ~ a g i t t s ̌ . ~ ' a ́ g a b ~ y a k s ̣ o ̣ s ~ c r e ́ s ̌ s . ~$
axarét sũḥ ag̉átš. b-a áśaras, ber kéṣiṣ $\varepsilon$ réšs. ba-ṭérdás ḥókum.
axarét ḥĩlós ag̉ás, bə-xediũt t̄̄láš, bə-kéla‘nxinús iz̃órtə.

 'ak $\dot{g} \bar{r} r$.
48 bว-zhéd aġéyg ðə-šé ‘amkášs g̀iyór.

50 b-edūr aġéyg ḥallétš ba-skóf 'ak aḥkĩtš. ba-ksé īš ber ‘ēr.

38 śér 'át:The more common word for ‘judge’ is śéra‘ or śźra' (e.g., 7:6;36:2), a borrowing of Arabic šāric 'lawgiver'. The form śér'át, which is not in $J L$, is from Arabic šir'at- 'law'.
43 ba-tét aǵítš: The Arabic ms has beš aǵitš, which is a mistake on the writer's part. The Roman ms has the correct ba-tét aǵitš. On the audio, Ali first read beš aǵitš, but then he corrected himself to ba-tét aǵitš. Also correct would be $b$-aǵitš, which is the equivalent of what the Mehri text has here ( $b$-ag̉átzh, text 24:43).
47 tagófš: The mss have tgófš (< géfé 'turn over, knock over'), but on the audio, Ali stumbled and read ngəfótš (<ngəf 'throw away').

32 Then a big snake came and licked the boy's penis until it was healed. Then two men, angels, came and reattached [lit. returned] his penis to him until (it was) as before.
33 And he went until he came to the town that his sister was in. Then he got a job with some merchants.
34 Then a girl fell in love with him, and he fell in love with her.
35 He asked for her hand in marriage, and he [the father] let him marry.
36 Then the men who had cut off his penis came and said, "This man has no penis."
37 He said to them, "We'll make a bet."
38 And they went to the judge, and he said, "Tomorrow, go to the square, and the man should expose himself.
39 If he has no penis, his head should be cut off. But if he has a penis, your heads should be cut off." They said, "We accept."
40 Then in the morning, he went to the square, and people came until the square was full. They said to the man, "Expose yourself!"
He said, "You must excuse me." They said, "Never! We will not excuse you."
He lifted his robe and (his) privates stood (exposed). Then the witnesses came and they found him to be like (other) men.
Their heads were cut off, and they brought the woman, his sister. They wanted to cut off her head.
44 Then he pardoned his sister. But her husband, his head was already cut off. And the ruler expelled her.
45 Then her brother took her, and she worked with him, and he put slave-girls under her.
46 Then she paid a slave-girl to put poison in her brother's food.
47 After the food was in front of [lit. under] him, a cat came, took the dish that had the food on it, and knocked it into a well.
48 And the man understood that it had something bad in it.
49 In the morning, he said to his sister, "You stay in the house, and I will go."
50 And the man returned to his town, and stayed in his region. And he found his father had gone blind.

50 ahkiẽtš: This is from the word heikt 'government', but perhaps also can mean 'place where the ruler (hókum) lives'. Although the mss have aḥkĩtš, Ali read ḥallétš 'his town' on the audio.
ba-zhĩš ba-diyéta ba-kún bo-xár.
ba-kólót heš ba-s̃úg̉al eg̉átš alhín s̃orkót beš. ba-šé ṣōr hes b-erḥamún
عnígéš mən xánút $\varepsilon \dot{g} i t s$ š.

Text 18 (= M65): Ba Newas and the Old Lady
1 xaṭarét ġeyg yǎõr heš be nawás. ag̉ád man tél sékanəš 'ágab yaxétar

2 b-ağád $\varepsilon d$ éṣal tel məḳbért, ksé yo ðд-yḳ̄̄r šxarét ðə-xargót. skəf ðว-yftəkéran íné yas̃źrk.
 šźrk hilt."
 ed éṣal kéríb al-ḥallét.
5 kélac ešxarét bo-šé ag̉ád ed égah hallét.
6 śíniyo mékən ðд-yógaḥ 'aḳ but ð-túz̃ər. axarét s̃xabír ġeyg, ‘õr, "iné mən yo iźókũn ðд-yógaḥ ‘ak ūt ðikũn?"
 ‘her dé yaġórab śé, yéśne her embére"." 'õr be nวwás, "he s̃i $\bar{\varepsilon} m i ́ s ~ s ̌ x a r e ́ t ~$ ba-taǵórab kol śé kelš her ĩréž."

## Text 17

51 diyéto: JL does not have this form, which must be the plural of dit 'medicine' (JL, s.v. dwy). Mehri also has a feminine external plural for this word (dawyōtzn, sg. dìwē; ML, s.v. dwy), as does Hobyot (diwyōtz, sg. $d \bar{w} w \bar{e} ; H V, ~ p . ~ 90) . ~$ xánút: JL (s.v. xwn) gives only the form xónút (as the verbal noun of the verb $x \bar{\varepsilon} n$ 'betray'), but $M L$ (s.v. $x w n$ ) lists both EJ xánút and CJ xónút.

## 51

52
He brought him some medicines, and he became well.
And he told him about the deeds of his sister, all that she had done to him, and (how) he had shown patience with her, and (how) God had saved him from the betrayal of his sister.
53
His father said, "My son, I already told you, no good (can come) from this girl." And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 18

1 Once there was a man called Ba Newas. He went from his settlement, intending to go down to the town. And he didn't have anything (with which) to buy supplies for his family. woman who had died. He sat down, thinking what he should do.
3 Then he said, "When the people leave, I will dig up the old woman from the grave, and I will play [lit. do] a trick."
He stayed until the people left. He dug up the old woman from the grave, put her into his robe, and went until he got close to the town.
5 He left the old woman, and he went until he entered the town.
He saw many people going into a rich man's house. Then he asked a man, "What's with these people going into that house?"
7 The man said to him, "The rich man's son is sick, and people are visiting him. And his family is asking, 'If anyone knows anything, he should see to the boy'" Ba Newas said, "I have an old mother, and she knows absolutely everything about illness."

Text 18
7 ys̃adhék: The meaning 'visit (sick person)' is given in the Roman ms, but is not in $J L$, which only has the meaning look down from a cliff'. In Mehri and Hearsusi it has a more general meaning 'look down', so perhaps its use in this context is something akin to English 'look in on s.o.'.

7 yéśne: This must be a 3 ms subjunctive of the H-Stem śní. JL (s.v. śny) defines this verb as 'show; be a medicine man'. The second definition is obviously what is intended here, but a better translation is perhaps simply 'see to' or 'treat'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'cure', which is what the corresponding Mehri version has in this line.

8 õr ag̉éyg, "mor, g̀adú, dha-l-zśnék ī $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ’ . ~ b a-d h a-y a z \varepsilon ́ m k ~ a l h i ́ n ~ ' a g k . " ~$ agádó ed ésal tel ¿ ð-smbérs".
 ©õr túz̃ar, "hun se?" 'õr be nawás, "ser $\bar{\varepsilon} t ~ i z ́ j ̂ k u ̃ n, ~ b a-h e ́ r ~ ' a g k ~ b e s, ~ ' a r ~ d e ́ ~$ yazhóm bes."
10 axarét a'rér aggór troh yazhím bes. ag̉ád sgarét, ed zəḥám đ̣er $\varepsilon s ̌ x a r e ́ t, ~$ a šéśs, $b$-ol 'aśśśt lo. axarét edūr telyo. 'õr, "ešxarét ol 'aśśót lo." 11 'õr be nawás, "təkín tas̃kélót eganú(s)és, ba-hér tas̃kélóthum, al ta‘aśéś lo ar hér sīṭót ba-xaṭarók troh. god sboṭs ba-xaṭarók troh, ba-htéðér ol toğs!" ‘õr egarét, "ol taḳtélób lo." b-ağád. $\varepsilon$ d éṣal tel ešxarét, sōtas ba-xaṭarọk troh. axarét ftzkaḥ̂ót fúṣḥi.
13 عdíró egarét ðə-yūki. 'õr be nawás, "íné géré? 'od takún látġəkum émí?" õr $\varepsilon g a r e ́ t$, " $s$ šxarét xargót!" béké be nawás ba- ©̃̃r, " $y$ - $\overline{m i ́}, y$ - $\bar{\varepsilon} m i!$ !"
14 axarét ©õr heš túz̃ər, "ḳəlá‘ $̄ k \varepsilon$ ! dha-nzámk... dḥa-nķ̣źk egarét." 'õr be


9 yazḥóm: The Roman ms has д-yazhóm, which is a mistake. We should not find $\partial$-here before a subjunctive. The Arabic $m s$ and the audio have the correct yazhóm.
10 aggór: JL (s.v. 'gr) has 's'gór as the indefinite singular form of 'slave'. The mss of this text have just gor (جور), but the audio has aggór or iggór. The gemination of the initial consonant (and the epenthetic vowel) is probably the same phenomenon that is sometimes found with the word tet 'woman' (historical root ' $n \underline{t}$ ), as in 30:13. Johnstone wrote gor in one of his manuscript word-lists (Johnstone papers Box 1C). My informants also produced aggór.

8 The man said, "Ok, come on, I'll show you the boy's father. He will give you whatever you want." They went until they got to the father of the boy.
9 The man said, "This man has an old mother and he said she knows absolutely everything about illness." The rich man said, "Where is she?" Ba Newas said, "Behind those houses. If you want her, send someone to bring her."
1o Then he sent two slaves to bring her. The slaves went. When they came to [lit. over] the old woman, they roused her, but she didn't wake up. Then they returned to the people. They said, "The old woman didn't wake up."
11 Ba Newas said, "She is conversing with her jinns, and if she is conversing with them, she won't wake up unless she is hit twice with a stick [or: with two sticks]. Go, hit her two times with a stick [or: with two sticks], and be careful you don't kill her!"
12 The slaves said, "Don't worry." And they went. When they reached the old woman, they hit her twice with a stick. Then she broke in half.
13 The slaves came back crying. Ba Newas said, "What happened? Have you perhaps killed my mother?" The slaves said, "The old woman died!" Ba Newas cried, and said, "Oh my mother, my mother!"
14 Then the rich man said to him, "Stop the crying! We will give you... we will compensate you with the slaves." Ba Newas said, "I will not accept the slaves as compensation (blood-payment) for my mother."

[^208]
## 15

axarét sadéd yo skof heš bo-xamsín ižíf bə-ḩôlt ðว-xiš yirs̃ob kít. b-agád be nawás yวl sékənaš ber túz̃ər. ba-təmmut kélıót ð-ĭgram ðə-be nəwás.

Text 20 (= M61): An Argument over Water

```
`ónut ṭit kunút 'onút ba-yó ðд-t`áb. b-emíh эl mékan lo.
\varepsilond yum țit \varepsilonród bél \varepsilońrún, ab-b'él iyćl, ab-bél alhúti đ̛er emíh.
axar\varepsilońt õr aġéyg ðд-k-\varepsilonlhúti, "\varepsilońnf\varepsilon̂t \varepsilonlhútह́n ḥa-(t)štiḳ\varepsilońn, mġór\varepsiloň' tũm."
`õr aġéyg bāl iy\varepsilońl, "kef, het ol het axér 'ánén lo."
axar\varepsilońt ant亏̄ḥó ba-zhám yo, ba-fúskši man ṭattóši, walćkan bāl iyél lōd
mandǐk 'ak. \varepsilonlhúti ba-féród.
```


## Text 18

15 sadéd: This is the 3mp perfect of the H-Stem sadéd (rootsdd), parallel to the form sadìd used in the Mehri version. The meaning of the H-Stem here must be something like 'agree' or 'make agree'. Both $J L$ and $M L$ (s.v. $s d d$ ) define the H -Stem as 'bring together estranged people'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'compens[ate]'. In the Roman manuscript of the Mehri version, Johnstone added the gloss 'stuck up for BN [Ba Newas] asking'.
15 yo skof: This has to be a relative clause 'people (who were) present'. Johnstone's Roman ms has under yo skof heš the gloss 'people present with him', but heš certainly means 'for him', not 'with him'.
15 ižíf: JL (s.v. 'lf) gives the plural of of 'thousand' only as ižóf. This may be an EJ form, but at least one of my CJ informants used iźíf. In one of Johnstone's handwritten word-lists (Box ${ }_{7} \mathrm{D}$ ), he gives ižíf as the plural.
15 igram: This is the definite form of a noun with an $m$ - prefix. $J L$ (s.v. grm) includes the verb egrím 'commit a crime' and the noun gérĩt 'crime' (pl. gérém), but no form with an $m$ - prefix. The audio and mss (Roman and Arabic) definitely do not have gérém. The Roman ms has the gloss 'crime'. The genitive exponent $\partial$ - is absent, before be nəwás in the Arabic ms and on the audio, so one wonders if it is a word meaning 'criminal' or the like (cf. Arabic mujrim), used in apposition to 'Ba Newas'. Note, though, that the Mehri version of this story has garáymat 'crime'. See also the comment to TJ4:72.

15 Then the people present got (them) to agree that he would get [lit. for him (was)] fifty thousand (dollars) and five camel-loads of food. And Ba Newas went back to his settlement having become rich. The story of Ba Newas's crime [or: of the criminal Ba Newas] is finished.

Translation of Text 20
${ }_{1}$ One year there was a drought, and the people were weary [or: suffering]. The water was not a lot.
2 Then one day goat-herders, camel-herders, and cow-herders brought (their animals) down to the water.
${ }_{3}$ Then the man who was with the cows said, "First our cows will drink, then you."
The camel-herder said, "Be quiet, you are not better than us."
5 Then the two fought, and people came and separated them from each other. But the camel-herder shot his rifle into the cows, and they panicked.

Text 20
1 'onút: This word, meaning ‘drought, dry season’, is not in $J L$, though it is recorded in $M L$ (s.v. $h w r$, and p. 519) as an EJ form. It is used also in $13: 3,15: 3$, and several times in text TJ2. On the audio, the word for 'year' seems to have heavier stress on the first syllable (ónut; JL, s.v. ' yn.), while 'drought' seems to have heavier stress on the second syllable. Johnstone records both as 'onút in the Roman ms of this text (and both as 'ónút in the lexicons), and so the apparent stress difference on the audio is possibly due to the prosody of the narrative. However, occurrences of these words elsewhere seem to confirm the slight stress difference, as do my own informants' testimony. Even if there is a stress difference, the meaning 'drought' probably derives from the word for 'year', with the narrowed meaning 'non-monsoon time of the year', used in contrast to the word xorf 'monsoon' (cf. TJ2:51).

6 w-ámma bāl érún, hes ižók antōh, rród érunéš ed crbé.
 bə-yõr, "əðə kun ṣ̄rəən, axér hen."
8 w-ámma bāl ह́rún дд-yวź̧họk mənhũm, bə-õr, "he axér 'ankúm. hes tum ant̄̄ḥkum, he عródk Éruní."
9 man đ̛ér xaṭarét ðikũn, kũn ǐśrr. ba- õr, "eş̣̄r axér man kol sée." batəmmút kéltóthum.

Text 21 ( $=$ M73): Four Hungry Men and a Date
1 xaṭarét $\varepsilon$ rba'ót ġag ðə-yabġéd xoṭór. b-ağág ber maġrób mən s̃egót bว-ṣōr. b-ag̉ád.
 kol'éni, keb 'ak śa'b, ba-ksé ġeyg 'aḳ śa'b ðikũn. b-aġéyg s̃eš kít.
ba-hés síni agág cḳbél leš, kéré kরítš kels. 'ágab yaxērhum, mũn manhúm dḥa-yít bo-yókola‘ ag̉ág ðə-s̃éš.
4 عd éṣal ag̉ág tel ag̉éyg, õr hóhum, "he sl s̃i ḳít lo." õr ag̉ág, "nḥa ar d-’d ben $\varepsilon s ̣ \bar{r} r . " b$-aġsaré.

Text 20
6 ant̄̄ḥ: Johnstone's Roman ms has the 3md perfect antōḥó, as in the previous line. On the audio, Ali first read ant̄̄ḥj́, then corrected himself to the 3 mp form ant亏̄h, presumably more correct after the mp demonstrative iźzk. Based on the Mehri version of this text, which has the circumstantial imperfect $\partial$-yantawḥən, we might expect the Jibbali text to have the corresponding $\partial$-yantóhan. The Arabic ms had نتاوح (the 3 mp perfect $\partial n t \bar{\jmath} h)$, but was corrected to نتاوحن (ant亏̄han), which has the suffix -an of the imperfect, but lacks the prefix ( $\partial$-)yz- of the imperfect.
$7 y$ व̣̄̄r: The meaning 'apologize' is not given for the verb $\not \partial \bar{\jmath} r$ in $J L$ (s.v. $\partial ִ b r$ ), but it is attested for the Mehri cognate $\not \partial a b o ̄ r$ (cf. $M L$, s.v. $\not \partial b r$ ). Its appearance here either reflects an EJ usage or a Mehrism (based on the use of this verb in Mehri text 61).

6 As for the goat-herder, when [or: while] those guys fought, he brought his goats to the water and let (them) drink [lit. until he had let (them) drink].
7 They stayed half a month, and (then) the rain came. They came together apologizing to one another, and they said, "If we had been patient, it would have been better for us."
8 As for the goat-herder, he was laughing at them, and he said, "I am better than you. When [or: while] you fought, I brought my goats to the water."
9 After that time, they were friends. They said, "Patience is better than everything." And their story is finished.

## Translation of Text 21

1 Once there were four men going, traveling. The men were already famous for bravery and patience [or: endurance]. And they went.
2 Then the provisions ran out. And they were already without food for two nights. Then one night, in the evening, they went down into a valley, and they found a man in that valley. And the man had food.
3 And when he saw the men approaching him, he hid all of his food. He wanted to test them, (to see) which [lit. who] of them would eat and let down his friends [lit. the men who were with him].
4 Then when the men reached the man, he said to them, "I have no food." The men said, "We still have patience." And they passed the evening.

9 axér mən: In Jibbali, a comparative is normally followed by (') ar rather than man (see §5.4). The use of man here is probably a Mehrism, based on Mehri text 61.
Text 21
1 xoṭór: According to the word-list appended to this text, this is the plural of xótor, which Johnstone glossed as 'going to town' (a meaning usually associated with the root $x t r$, not $x t r)$. The form xótar is possibly the same noun transcribed as xúṭur in $J L$ (s.v. $x t ̣ r$ ), where it is glossed as 'traveler, tourist'. However, the form may be a G-Stem 3 mp perfect xoṭór 'travel'; the corresponding Mehri text has a 3mp perfect (xatawr).

5 عd ġasré, ber Әə-s̃éf, 'eś aġéyg ba-xnitt xérín tũr. b-ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ alháf al-ṭát man ag̉ág, ba-lğizáš beš, bว-õr "he sl s̃i ar ðદ́nu. ba-'ák beš hek. te' ba-ḳəlá eṣáḥ."
6 s̃middáš man tōláš ba-lğgáz beš aġéyg дə-l-sgisítš, bə-õr, "he bek śēéak

s̃middáš mən t̄̄láš ba-lġáz beš ag̉éyg ðə-l-عgišitš, bə-õr, "he bek śéak bo-šfáhk ðénu. 'ak beš hek. te' bo-kəlá‘ eṣáh."
8 s̃middáš man tōláš ba-lġáz beš aġéyg дว-l-egiśítš. ba- õr al-hés ag̉óhéš.

 aġóhéš.

11 axarét 'eś ag̉éyg bāl sékən bə-z̧hák, bə-xniṭ lóhum 'iśé. õr heš ag̉ág, "(t)s̃óhól xázé. het 'agk taxēr tũn."
 $\varepsilon d k$-háṣaf, ag̉ád ağág kin aġéyg. bə-təmmút.
l $\dot{g} ı ́ z a ́ s ̌: J L$ (s.v. $\lg z)$ gives only 'tickle’ for the meaning of the verb $l(a) \dot{g} a ́ z$. However, Johnstone notes in $M L$ (s.v. $\lg z$ ) that the verb means 'slip s.t. to s.o.' in Mehri and in EJ, but 'tickle' in CJ. In an early, handwritten draft of $J L$ (Box 15C), Johnstone had included the meaning 'slip to', but crossed it out. The $H L$ entry (s.v. $\lg z$ ) seems to indicate that Jibbali $l(a) \dot{g} a ́ z ~ h a s ~ t h e ~ s a m e ~ m e a n i n g ~ a s ~ H a r s u s i ~ l ə ~ \dot{g} \bar{a} z$ 'indicate privily, give s.o. s.t. privily'.

10 $l-\bar{\varepsilon} l \dot{g}$ : This word is not in $J L$ or $M L$, but it must mean something like 'length' or 'reach', based both on context and on the Mehri version, which has tawl 'length'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'as far as he could'. He also added the form bílog in brackets below this word, which is probably meant to indicate the related verb. $J L$ (s.v. $b l \dot{g})$ defines béla $\dot{g}$ only as 'reach puberty'. The verb has a broader meaning in Müller's Jibbali texts, however; Bittner (1917b: 20) gives the definitions 'gelangen, erreichen, anlangen' ('reach, attain, arrive'). It also means 'reach, arrive' in TJ1:4/5, though this text was based on one of Müller's. We can also compare the Jibbali H-Stem $\varepsilon$ bláǵg 'bring, deliver' (used in 54:44), the Hִarsusi G-Stem balōg 'reach' ( $H L$, s.v. $b l \dot{g}$ ), and the Arabic G-Stem balaga 'reach, attain' and its various derived nouns.

5 Then at night, when they had fallen asleep, the man got up and took out a little bit of date. He went until he pressed up against one of the men. He slipped it [the date] to him, and said to him, "I have only this. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet [lit. leave (your) voice]."
6 He took it from him and slipped it to the man next to him. And he said, "I am already full, and I saved this still. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet."
7 He took it from him and slipped it to the man next to him. And he said, "I am already full, and I have this leftover. I want it (to be) for you. Eat and keep quiet."
8 He took it from him and slipped it to the man next to him. And he said what [lit. as] his brothers (had said).
9 He took it from him and got up. He pretended that he was going to urinate, and he pressed up to the first man, who had taken the date from the owner [or: resident] of the camp. And he slipped it to him and said what [lit. as] his brothers (had said).
1o Then he took it from him, and he knew that no one had eaten it. And he threw it as far as he could [lit. the reach of his arm].
11 Then the owner of the camp got up and laughed, and he took out food for them. The men said to him, "You deserve shame [or: punishment]. You wanted to test us."
12 The man said, "Forgive me. Everyone wants to know the other guy." And the men had dinner and spent the night. In the morning, the men went away from the man. And it is finished.
$11(t) \tilde{s}$ şhól xázé: Johnstone glossed this in the Roman ms as 'you deserve punishment'. This verb is mentioned in $M L$ (s.v. ${ }^{〔} h l$ ) as an EJ word, though it is not in $J L$. In Johnstone's word-list for this text, he also glossed the word šóhól as 'deserve' (cf. also 86:4). I assume here that it is a 2 ms imperfect, and this is the expected form for an $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem whose second root consonant is $h$ (cf. the forms of H-Stem II-G verbs in §7.4.7). The word xázé is perhaps related to the noun xzét 'embarrassment', which occurs in text 28:14 (see also JL and Appendix C, s.v. $x z y)$. The one informant that I asked about this phrase recognized the verb, but not xázé. Another informant used the phrase het ts̃óhól 'you deserve' as the equivalent of 'you're welcome', in response to 'thank you'.

Text 22 ( $=\mathrm{M}_{3}$, but a variant version): The Jewelry Tree
1 xaṭarét g̀eyg ðə-yabg̉ód. axarét xēt ba-kséfóḳa' ‘ak deḥlél. b-égah 'amkáš. šúṣíi $\varepsilon d$ rē.
2 d-'od skof 'ak edsḥlél, zaḥám mosé ba-thí dof'ak xo edeḥlél ba-šeddót l-eġéyg. b-ol-’od ḳódór yas̃éxanṭ lo.
3 bə-s̃ənðér her $\varepsilon$ raḥmũn yéðhab nəḥõr ðวhr ba-naḥõr núśab, "her s̃xənúṭk man 'ak edehlél ðénu."
4 d-‘d leț̣̂kũn, hēt edóf man 'ak xo edeḥlél, bə-s̃xanít aġéyg.


 dha-l-g̉ád." õr ag̉éyg, "mor." axarét zũtš ₹ṣág̀ts b-aġadót.
6 ba-hés ġõsót, hálób ag̉éyg ērún 'ak naḥõr níṣán $\varepsilon$ g ðəhéb. ba-hés ber

7 sl-’ód عbké ar tuš. b-ag̉ád.
8 ed éṣal óram ðə-yazhím đ̣irs $\tilde{k} k$ ह́bṭar, ḳéla‘ eṣág̉at đ̣er hérúm ba-skóf.
9 axarét zəḥiss məktér ð-irs̃őb, dḥa-tkénan 'áśari yirs̃ōb, đ̣írsan kịt ba-ksabét.
10 axarét s̃xəbírš ag̉ág, 'õr heš, "ko het skofk bũn? b-íné man ṣág̉วt đínú?"


[^209]1 Once a man was traveling. He got thirsty, and he found a pool of water in a cave. He entered it, and he drank until he was satisfied.
While he was still sitting in the cave, rain came, and a rock fell onto the mouth of the cave, and it blocked the man in. He was not able to get out again.
3 And he vowed to God to flood (one) wadi with blood and (one) wadi with milk, "if I get out of this cave."
4 While he was still like this, the rock fell away from the mouth of the cave, and the man got out.
5 And he went on. Then when he was on the road, he found a woman with the goats. He said to her, "Hurry [or: run]! A rich man has come and he is giving out jewelry to everyone who doesn't have any. Give me your jewelry and I will stay with the goats. And you, go!" The woman said, "Thank you. Here is the jewelry, and I will go." The man said, "Ok." Then she gave him her jewelry and she went.
6 And when she disappeared, the man milked the goats in a small wadi until it flooded. And after he milked the goats, he slaughtered them in (another) wadi until the small wadi flooded.
7 He left only (one) male goat.
8 Then when he got to the road that the caravans came on, he put the jewelry on a tree and sat down.
9 Then a caravan of camels came, about twenty camels, on which were food and clothing.
10 Then the men asked him, they said to him, "Why have you sat down here? And what's with this jewelry [lit. what kind of jewelry is this]?" He said, "I have been guarding this tree. Every week jewelry appears on it."
$8 \tilde{\varepsilon} k \notin \varepsilon$ ह́tor: In $J L$ (s.v. $k t r$ ), the plural of maḳ(a)țér 'caravan' (cf. line 9) is given as makoṭór. Both the Roman and Arabic mss have $\tilde{\varepsilon} k \dot{\varepsilon} b t ̣ a r ~(<~$ emakébṭər < *emakáwṭər). The audio confirms this, though the form sounds more like $\tilde{\varepsilon} k \dot{\varepsilon} b t ̣ r$, with a difficult to pronounce final consonant cluster. For the plural form makébtọər, we can compare the Mehri plural makawṭər, cited by Jahn (1902: 207). dé yaḳ́dər la-tiénəš l.". ©̃r ag̉ág, "dha-nzémk yirs̃ēn b-əlhín đ̣írsan man kít ba-ksabét." © õr, "ábdan." axarét ‘õr ag̉ág, "dḥa-nzémk erbə‘ót iźíf ḍer yirs̃ēn b-alhín đ̣írsan."
axarét šēm aġéyg hérúm, bə-õr hóhum, "Šmo'! ahtéðér mən dé yaġbéb nxínúš. her dé ġeb nxinuúš, ol-’ód yas̃érók ṣáġət lo." ba-śéf aġéyg ber ġeb nxínúš.
zũš yirs̃ób b-edíréhวm. b-ag̉ád yol sékanəš. b-ag̉ág skวf gam‘át. $\varepsilon d$ yum عgam'át k-háṣaf, ol $\varepsilon s ̣ b a ́ h ̣ ~ đ ̣ e r ~ h e ́ r u ́ m ~ s e ́ ~ l o . ~$
 õr heš, "het žahákək len, ba-hérúm ol $\varepsilon$ șbáh đ̛́rrš śé lo."
‘õr ag̉éyg, "koh ol eṣbáh đ̛́rš śé lo? 'od təkún ġébkum nxínúš?" ór ag̉ág, "ábdan." ‘õr, "ğadú nəśné." ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ éṣal tel hérúm. ġolọk ag̉éyg ed ksé $\varepsilon \dot{g} \bar{s} s{ }^{\text {s. }}$
‘õr her ağág, "iné đénú? he っ七̄̄d lo."
 $\varepsilon-\dot{g} e ́ b k!"$
19 axarét ṭót'an ba-gunōi $\varepsilon$ d létog ṭaṭtóhum. htəfag̉éyg her yo, $b$ - $\varepsilon$ bḥé yo.
 lóttog."."
го ḥõlhum yo bə-ḳэ̄rhum. b-aġéyg ag̉ád yol sékənəš. bə-təmmút.
đénu hérúm: I take đénu as a subject pronoun and hérúm as a nominal predicate that is followed by a relative clause. It is possible, however, that one could take đénu hérúm as a single phrase 'this tree'. It is less common to find a demonstrative adjective preceding its head noun, but certainly not unknown (see §3.4). If đénu is indeed a demonstrative adjective here, then the translation of the sentence would be 'this tree, no one can put a value on it'.
$i z ̌ i ́ f:$ See the comment to $18: 15$.
țJt'an: The Roman ms has tơt'an tattóhum, but the Arabic ms and audio have just tọt'an. To say tọt'an tattóhum is actually incorrect, since țót'an is already reflexive.

The men said to him, "Do you want to sell us this tree?" He said, "Never. This is a tree that no one can put a value on [or: whose value no one can manage]." The men, "We will give you our camels and everything on them, food and clothes." He said, "Never." Then the men said, "We will give you four thousand (dollars), on top of our camels and everything on them."
13 Then the man sold the tree, and he said to them, "Listen! Be careful not to defecate under it. If someone defecates under it, it will not make jewelry anymore." And it so happened that he had already defecated under it.
14 They gave him the camels and the money. And he went to his settlement. The men sat for a week. A week later, in the morning, nothing had appeared on the tree.
15 The men said, "The man tricked [lit. made fun of] us. Let's go after him!" They went until they came to the man. They said to him, "You tricked us. Nothing appeared on the tree."
16 The man said, "Why, did nothing appear on it? Have you perhaps defecated under it?" The men said, "Never." He said, "Let's go and see!" They went until they reached the tree. The man looked and found his stool.
17 He said to the men, "What is this? I don't lie."
18 Then the men argued, and said to each other, "You're the one [or: it was you] who defecated!" And the other said, "You're the one who defecated!"
19 Then they stabbed each other with daggers and [lit. until] they killed each other. The man called people for help, and people came to help. The people said, "Why did they, these men, kill each other." He said, "I don't know. I found them already killed."
${ }_{20}$ The people took them and buried them. And the man went to his settlement. It is finished.

19 bə-gunōi: Ali read gunún on the audio, but the Arabic ms has gunōi, which is the plural form listed in $J L$ (s.v. gnb). The phrase ba-gunōi is missing from the Roman ms.

Text 23 (= M1, but a longer variant): Ba Newas and the Bean
1 xaṭarét be nawás ðə-yabg̉ód, bə-s̃éš dagirét. $\varepsilon$ d éṣal mənzél taṭ,
2 ksé gigeníti k-ãhalób ð-iglélan dúgur 'ak kahf. ©õr hésan, "agk al-xélt عdgaríti s̃ékən." 'õr ag̈igeníti, "edgarítk ol tanúfa‘ tun lo, falékan nḥa dḥa-nzémk has ebbšólan."
3 'õr be nawás, "ábdan, he 'agk al-xélṭ békan." 'õr, "mógórr." $\varepsilon b k ̣ a ́ ’ ~ \varepsilon d g a r i ́ t s ̌ ~$ ba-skóf.

 her al 'ak te' s̃en lo, dha-nzémk alhín 'ak." 'õr, "ábdan, 'ak (ar) edgaríti!"
6 õr aġigeníti, "dha-nzémk foḳh." ơr, "ábdan, 'ak (ar) edgaríti!" béké be nəwás. axarét zūš $\varepsilon k a ́ h ̣ ̂ f b$-əlhín 'amkáš. $b$-aġád be nəwás.
7 عd éṣa man tél ह̄rún téḳhaban, kéla‘ eḳáhf`aḳ ērún. yazhõom derhés yat̄ōr عkáh hf.
 ‘õr, "ederhéskum t̄ōr $\varepsilon k a ́ h f i$." õr heš yo, "mor, ol tebk lo. dha-nzémk k.kahf

 عkáhf.
1о b-ag̉ád be nəwás. $\varepsilon$ d éṣal man tél عlhúti yọkhab, raṣún ederhésš ‘ak. عlhúti. tazḥóm hagalét taț̄̄r $\varepsilon d \varepsilon r h e ́ s ~ ð ə-b \varepsilon ~ n a w a ́ s . ~$
11 béké be nawás bə-õr, " $y$ - $\bar{\varepsilon} d \partial r h i ́ s i, y$ - $\varepsilon$ dərhísi!" axarét zaḥám yo, ‘õr heš, "ko het t̄̄k?" ôr be nawás, "hagalétkum t̄̄̄rót edarhísi." 'õr hešyo,

$5 t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ : This can only be a 2 ms subjunctive (< * $t t \varepsilon^{\prime}$ ). However, according to $J L$ (s.v. twy) and informants, the subjunctive should be tit (3ms yit, e.g., 21:3; cf. also 2 ms tit in 12:6). See also the comment to 6:11.
5/6/8 $a r$ : In these three lines, the $a r$ is written in brackets in the Roman ms; it is not on the audio or in the Arabic ms.
8 wudin: The Roman ms has wudin and the audio has the same, though $J L$ (s.v. wdn) gives the form ódin. See also the comment to 4:1.

Translation of Text 23
1 Once Ba Newas was walking, and he had a bean. When he got to a certain place,
2 he found girls with the she-camels, boiling beans in a pot. He said to them, "I want to mix my bean with yours [lit. with you]." The girls said, "Your bean isn't of use to us, but we will give you (some) when we've cooked (it)."
3 Ba Newas said, "No, I want to mix with you." They said, "Ok." He put in his bean, and sat down.
4 Then when they had finished cooking [lit. when they cooked], the girls said to him, "Come, eat with us!"
5 He said, "I want my bean." The girls said, "We won't recognize your bean among the (other) beans. If you don't want to eat with us, we'll give you all that you want." He said, "No, I want (only) my bean."
6 The girls said, "We'll give you half." He said, "No, I (only) want my bean!" Ba Newas cried. Then they gave him the pot and everything inside it. And Ba Newas went.
7 When he got to where the goats were spending the day, he left the pot among the goats. A kid came and broke the pot.
8 Ba Newas cried. He said, "Oh my pot, my pot!" People came. They said to him, "Why are you crying?" He said, "Your kid broke my pot." The people said to him, "Ok, don't cry. We will give you a new pot, better than your pot." Ba Newas said, "No, I (only) want my pot."
9 The people said to him, "Your pot is broken." Then Ba Newas cried until they gave him the kid that broke his pot.
And Ba Newas went. When he got to where the cows were spending the day, he tied up his kid among the cows. A calf came and attacked [lit. broke] Ba Newas's kid.
11 Ba Newas cried and said, "Oh my kid, my kid!" Then people came. They said to him, "Why are you crying?" Ba Newas said, "Your calf attacked [lit. broke] my kid." The people said to him, "We will give you a kid, stop the crying!" He said, "No, I want my kid."

10 hagalét: Johnstone glossed this word as 'calf' in the Roman ms. I did not find the word in $J L$, though it is presumably related to the Semitic root 'gl.

12 axarét țek bešyo, ba-züš hagalét. b-ag̉ád be nawás. $\varepsilon d$ éṣal man tél iy él téḳhabən, arṣún hagalétš ak iy iýl. tazhóm bakarút ba-tət̄̄̄r hagalét.
13 béké be nawás. axarét õr hešyo, "ko het tiòk?" ‘õr, "ōkrútkum t̄ōrót hagaléti." õr hešyo, "mor. ḳalá j̄ke ba-dḥa-nzémk hagalét al-hés hagalétk." ' õr, "ábdan, 'ak ba-hagaléti."
14 'õr heš yo, "hagalétk berót fētót, b-っl dé yaḳ́lb alhín ber xáróg ṣahí lo." ’õr, "ábdan, 'ak ba-hagaléti." axarét tek bešyo, ba-zũš ōkrút ðд-t̄ōrót hagalétš.


## Text 24 (no M): A Complaint

 ağág əð-s̃ék yas̃órk ‘ãk ḥógtk. y ́nhum man bédé lek?"
2 B: "bédé li. falékan her dé manhúm дa-õr hek ténu... ḳaláš yahérg 'ak. kerféfi, ba-hé dḥa-l-éżhar iyén man $\bar{\varepsilon} d \varepsilon$ '."
 ba-hér 'כd bédé lek, kalét híni."
4 B: "mor, falékan her 'od bédé li zafét $\tilde{\varepsilon} s ̃ g ̇ g r o ́ t, ~ d h ̣ a-l-s ̃ a n a ́ h ̣ h u m . " ~$
5 A: "ol (t)s̃anáḥhum lo. kalét híni bass."
6 B: "mor." təmmút.

Text 25 (= M64, but a variant version): Ka'det
1 xaṭarét g̉eyg s̃ogá‘ yacõr heš ka‘dét, ba-šéšysl. ed yum ṭit cród iyślés đ̣er emíh. hes éṣal, ksé ġag ḍer emíh.
2 'õr heš, "Јl téréd iyćlék bun lo." õr hóhum, "tōkum taḳalő' to l-éréd iyćlí. iyślí ber dha-tfótən man $x \bar{\varepsilon} t$."

Text 23
13 tiók: Audio has tiók here, but tōk in 8 and 11. Both mss have tiók in all 3 lines.

12 Then the people got fed up with him, and they gave him the calf. Ba Newas went. When he got to where the camels were spending the day, he tied up his calf among the camels. A young camel came and attacked [lit. broke] his calf.
13 Ba Newas cried. Then people said to him, "Why are you crying?" He said, "Your young camel broke my calf." The people said to him, "Ok. Stop the crying, and we will give you a calf like your calf." He said, "No, I want my calf."
14 The people said to him, "Your calf is already dead, and no one (can) bring back alive whatever has already died." He said, "No, I want my calf." Then they got fed up with him, and gave him the young camel that had broken his calf. And the story of Ba Newas is finished.

## Translation of Text 24

1 A: "You always refuse (to work), and your friends complained about you. They said you are lazy and you make your friends do your work for [or: instead of] you. Are they telling the truth or did they lie about you?"
2 B: "They lied about me. But if one of them who said this to you... Let him say it [lit. speak] to my face, and I will show him the truth or the lie."
3 A: "(There's) no need at all for (such) speech now. But watch out for yourself and don't be careless. And if they lie about you again, tell me."
4 B: "Ok, but if they lie about me a second time, I will fight them."
5 A: "Don't fight them. Just tell me."
6 B: "Ok." It is finished.

## Translation of Text 25

1 Once there was a brave man named $\mathrm{Ka}^{`}$ det, and he had camels. One day he brought his camels down to the water. When he arrived, he found men at the water.
2 They said to him, "Do not bring your camels down here!" He said to them, "You should allow me to bring down my camels. My camels are about to die of thirst."

5 yazhóm țat man ağág ba-yadóram ṭit man iyǵl. ba-xōt ka'dét ba-lōd agééyg əð-dérúm yiť̌ ba-ltgáš.
 عđ̛ánəš̌, tiţ̦̌s b-ǐs šáxar. ba-titits takún əmbérs'.
ba-kวlót hóhum, ba-a atójf ed ésal ráhak ba-fégar. skof. ba-kádét yal̄̄d
 yagósar yaxétar hallét lo.

 s̃eš ar múxbut tat.
乞õr, "heśk śsfみə-ǵág, bə-šóhum ağáśs."

4 عstũ(i): This may be a Jibbalized version of Mehri astōmi 'shout one's tribal war-cry', the T2-Stem of smy. The EJ form astĩ is listed in ML (s.v. smy). The Roman ms has $\varepsilon s t u ̈ n$, as does the audio, but this form cannot be correct. Probably $\varepsilon s t u ̈$ was intended. The Arabic ms has ستوي, which seems to reflect estũi. Since III-w/y verbs in the T2-Stem (which are quite rare) have either the pattern aCtéCé or ${ }^{2} C t j ́ C \varepsilon$ (see $\S 7.4 .12$ ), both $\varepsilon s t u \tilde{u}$ and $\varepsilon s t i ̃$ seem plausible. This is perhaps the only T2-Stem of a root II-m, III-w/y.
tósan: This is the correct 3 fp form of the direct object pronoun $t$-, despite what is listed in $J L$ (p. xxvi). See further in § 3.3.
dérúm: $J L$ and $M L$ (s.v. drm) only list Jibbali durúm 'slaughter', but the mss of this text and the accompanying word-list, as well as the audio, all have dérúm. Perhaps this is the EJ form. See also the comment to 60:20.
7 yagósar: This is from the G-Stem verb gasór 'dare', which Johnstone included in the word-list to this text. It is not included in $J L$ or $M L$. Cf. Arabic jasara 'have courage'.

3 The men said, "Never. You will not bring them down unless you want to attack." The man said, "Not at all. I don't want to attack." The men said, "Ok. If you don't want to attack, then hold your camels back."
4 Then Ka'det held back his camels. When he held them back, the camels grunted. Ka'det shouted his tribal war-cry. He said, "They get annoyed with me if I hold them back from the water. Then he brought (them) down."
5 One of the men came and slaughtered one of the camels. Ka'det cocked (his rifle) and shot the man who slaughtered his camel, and he killed him.
6 And he killed the second one with a dagger, and wounded one. And he went off with his camels until he reached his family, his wife and his old father. And his wife was nursing a boy.
7 And he told them (what happened), and they went off until they reached far away in the Najd. They stayed (there). Ka'det would shoot tahrs (mountain goats), and their food was from the tahrs. They didn't have any more food, and the man didn't yet dare go down to town.
8 Then one day he was stalking tahrs and he noticed men's tracks. With them was his brother-in-law, his wife's brother. And he had a flintlock, but he didn't have ammunition. He would grind (gunpowder) from saltpeter. And that night he only had one cartridge.
9 Then in the evening he came to his family. He said, "Has anyone come to you?" His wife said, "Nope. Why?" He said, "I noticed men's tracks, and your brother is with them."

8 héś: Both mss have heés here, as does the audio (cf. also line 9). The word-list accompanying the text gives the meaning 'find'. However, in $J L$ and $M L$ (s.v. ḥśś), this verb is listed only with the meaning 'collect one's baggage'. One wonders if this is a biform of hés 'feel; notice', or simply a mistake.
8 ba lét عkóma: Johnstone ( $J L$ and $M L$, s.v. $k m^{\prime}$ ) translates this as 'flintlock', but this may not be the correct term, since kóma(') means 'percussion cap', a feature lacking on a flintlock. Still, it clearly refers to an older type of rifle. عð̛́-ilín." õrót teț, "he ağórab aği. yadélúm, b-a‘amélés dífór!" falékan ka‘détol sĩn tititšl.
śef hiič agád ed éṣal tel axsúm ðə-ka'dét, ba-õr heš, "dha-nzémk mut trut her delk bẽn yol ka'dét ba-létġan toš." ôr, "mor, dha-l-óddal bókum."
 ‘ôr ka'dét, "atéð am-blis' गl takarē-to l?!"
 ṭat ba-ṭinnáš ba-genbít. fəlékən śsfegenbít məgəəzzót, ba-ḥáṣ $\varepsilon$-mxikás mən ‘ak sgúf $\varepsilon g$ géyg, mútxak s̃eš $\varepsilon k u ́ h n . ~$ ba-flét bə-līdáš tat mən ağág ba-s̃abté al-fám. bə-flét 'ak taf̧̣ máər. axarét síni znúf ta‘bún, b-agád ed ga'ár đ̛er kiş̧̧́t. sérék znúf xaróg. ba-zhám agág ba-śnísís. ba-ôr ṭat mənhúm, "he dha-l-gád ba-l-shbéš." agád. $\varepsilon d$ éṣal t t̄láš, eś ka'dét ba-zafór beš mən ekiṣş́t. ba-š̌́ hē $\tilde{s} e s ̌$, bə-xargó kólóh.



 axarét tdūr les ba-skj́f. 'ašśśt tet ba-hekót ağás. ôrót, "kbéb al-yóh! he ðə-ğaróbk tık đ̣er xádar!"

1o difj́r: This is the correct mp form of diffr 'bad'. JL (s.v. dfr) lists dif́s $\begin{gathered}\text { rta, }\end{gathered}$ but this is the fp form.
atéð əm-blís: This is an idiom (cf. JL, s.v. 'wð), used only in the first person singular. The phrase $2 m$-blís is a reduced form of mon blís. In JL, the verb (1cs imperfect) is given as a'tjð, which seems to be a CJ form. This CJ form $a^{\prime} \not t \partial ð$ is also given in $M L$ (s.v. ' $w ð$ ), while $+1 L$ (s.v. ' $w ð$ ) gives the Jibbali form as 'atéd. The form $a^{\prime}$ 'j ð looks like a Ti-Stem imperfect or T2-Stem subjunctive, while 'atéð looks like a T2-Stem imperfect minus the suffixed -ən. (see §7.4.8).
13 maġazzót:This root ( $\dot{g} z z$ ) is not in JL, but an EJ verb $\dot{g} e z$ 'loosen' is listed in $M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g z z}$ ). The same word occurs in the Mehri version of this story (line 19), though the two versions are not identical.
13 mxikłás: This is from the G-Stem $m(a) x a ́ k$, which seems in this line to be equivalent in meaning to the T1-Stem mútxal. JL, however, lists only the meaning 'scratch' for the G-Stem. In Mehri, the G-Stem means 'pull out', while the T1-Stem is the passive. him off [lit. make him fall]." He went. Then when he got to him, Ka'det got up and pushed him from the ledge. He fell with him, and they both died.
16 And the men returned to the camp and killed the old man and Ka'det's son in the cradle. The woman said, "It is not right for you to kill an infant in the cradle. This is not the way of the tribes." leave. Then the woman said, "You shouldn't go and leave the dead like this. And I am (just) a woman who does not dare (to be) alone."
18 Then they came back to her and stayed. The woman got up and called her brother. She said, "Come down here! I know you are on top of the cave!"

[^210]19 axarét keb. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, ber ağág ðə-s̃éf, 'aśśśt tet bə-šerḳ’́t məndík, ba-latg̉ót agás.
20 'eś aġág ‘ágəb yamnó tet. guzũt, "kol mənkũm дд-kérəb to, ar dḥa-lj(l)tġaš."
21 bว-šukũt se b-ĩndík. $\varepsilon$ d ṭēt tel sékən ráḥək, kolțót hóhum. b-\&bhé yo đ̣er ह̃lébtəg่ ba-k̄ōrhum. ba-təmmút.

Text 28 (no M): A Man's Dilemma (Conversation)
1 A: "her dḥa-tg̉ád, 'amér híni, athúmk to dḥa-l-g̉ád s̃ek."
2 B: "d-‘k dḥa-l-s̃érég sékəni. her sind 'áni, dḥa-l-g̉ád ḳərére."
3 A: "koh, yol 'õr hek? ol dha-yasníd 'ãk lo, 'ak kélbak?"
4 B: "Јl éd'ak lo, falékən šǐ̃̌ak tóhum manhínam дд-yõor dḥa-yóxlaf'ar ह̃nzílhum. ba-hér xaléf ol yaséníd 'áni lo."
5 A: "koh šũm yóxlaf'ar ẽnzílhum, ba-šúm 'ak. mənzél roḥím."
6 B: "s̃ãak ðə-k-ह̄rún õor Érún egdéb. ol-’ỏd ksé kít lo. ba-zhĩhum manhínəm g̀eyg ba-õr hóhum, "ak śa'b ðínú man méṣá" śéfe', b-axér hókum l-óxlaf.'"
 sékani. ba-hé dḥa-l-salóbk ed man đ̣ér $\varepsilon$ đ̛́hor. ba-hér ol zaḥámk to lo, yakín sékənək ol sind 'ãk lo."
8 B: "mor, astahól."
9 C: "tahérg ka-mũn $\bar{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon$ ?"
1о B: "д-əhérg k-عðı́-ilín, ba-šé karére dḥa-yag̉ád ṭóhũn. s̃eš ḥógat, ba-õr híni, "ak bek təġád s̃i." ba-s̃a‘’́dk toš karére tel sékənəš."
11 C: "ḥes̃óf! ba-nḥá, térd ben? tob ar ġaró ðénu! het ða-ġaróbk tun dḥa-nḥəmél korére, $b$-эl ənsénúd 'ãk lo, ar hér dḥa-l-éflat."

Text 28
4 ší ${ }^{\circ} a k$ : In the Arabic ms, Ali originally wrote šã $a k$ (as in line 6), which is what is on the audio, but crossed it out and wrote $\begin{gathered} \\ \imath \\ \\ a\end{gathered} k$. See further in the comment to $13: 13$.
1о ṭóhũn: In one of the Roman mss, Johnstone glossed this as 'such and such a place'.

19 Then he came down. At night, when the men were sleeping, the woman got up, stole a rifle, and killed her brother.
The men got up, intending to grab the woman. She swore, "Whoever of you comes near me, I will kill him!"
And she left with the rifle. When she came to a far-away settlement, she told them (what happened). The people went to help the dead, and they buried them. And it is finished.

Translation of Text 28
1 A: "If you're going to go, tell me. I think I'll go with you."
2 B: "I still have to [lit. will] consult my community. If they can manage without me, I'll go tomorrow."
3 A:"Why, what did they say to you? Do you think [lit. in your heart] they won't manage without you?"
4 B: "I don't know. But I heard them last night saying that they will move from their place. And if they move, they won't manage without me."
5 A: "Why should they move from their place, when [lit. and] they are in a beautiful place?"
6 B: "I heard those with the goats say that the goats were hungry. They did not find food at all. And a man came to them last night and said to them, 'In this valley to the south is untouched grass, and it's better for you to move.'"
7 A: "Ok, you consult your family tonight. And if they can manage without you, come to me tomorrow in my settlement. I will wait for you until after noon. If you do not come to me, it's that your community couldn't manage without you."
8 B: "Ok, goodbye."
9 C: "Who were you speaking with before?"
10 B: "I was speaking with so-and-so, and tomorrow he will go as such. He has something (to do), and he said to me, 'I want you to go with me.' And I arranged a meeting with him tomorrow by his settlement."
11 C: "Well done! And us, you would throw us away? What words indeed! You know that we are moving tomorrow, and we won't manage without you, unless you're going to run away."

12 B: "tum sóbar bass matḥaníti, bélé ol matḥaníti. ḳalỏ' to al-g̉ád k-\&ġéyg ðд-bék s̃a’ódk toš."
 õśśtk z̛a‘ơt, ag̉éyg ol ha-yzémk s sé lo."
14 B: "tōkum (t)sníd 'áni, hes bek ša’’dk ag̉éyg. bə-xzét, her axtélófk beš."
15 C: "mor, kelš siēk. ba-hét ðə-ġaróbk tun ol ansénúd ‘ãk lo. ġad yol aġéyg bo-kəléb leš egób. ‘amér, ‘sékəni al sind 'áni lo.'"
16 B: "mor, dḥa-l-ġád yolš. ba-hér al zaḥámk tókum lo, akín ag̉ádk s̃eš."
17 'õrót títš, (C:) "mor, ken li (al-)hés ī. al akín hek tet $\varepsilon$ el-fót (man díní), her ol edúrk len al-éni lo. ba-hét ba-rīk."
18 B: "mor, dha-l-dór koléni."
19 ag̉ád ag̉éyg ed zaḥám tel ag̉éyg ðə-s̃z'íd́šs. õr heš, (B:) "he, sékəni эl sind ‘áni lo, bə-téti guzũt li, 'วl tabğód.' bə-smáh li, ol aḳódər al-g̉ád s̃ek lo."
20 ‘õr aġéyg, (A:) "kslš ṭaṭ. ol śé mə̣̣nét lo. ağásəre. $\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaf, $\varepsilon$ dóryol sékanak."
21 B: "Јl akódar lo al-g̉ásare. tétِi berót guzũt, 'her دl zahámk al-'éni lo, al akín hek tet $\varepsilon$ el-fót.'"
22 A: "mor, astəhól." bə-təmmút.

12 motḥaníti: This is the common plural of the adjective matéḥan (f. mathanút) 'in trouble; unable to cope'. This word is not in $J L$, but it appears in Johnstone's word-list for this text. JL (s.v. mhn) does include the related verb mútḥan 'be in trouble, be sad', which is also found in texts 54:7 and 57:15.
$13 y \partial \bar{\jmath} x k$ : This is a D/L-Stem 3ms subjunctive of the root $s b x$, plus a 2 ms object suffix. This verb is glossed in $J L$ as 'waste money, property on useless things' and in the word-list that accompanies this text as 'spend time unprofitably'. Since the verb in this passage has an object suffix, I assume a more transitive meaning like 'make s.o. divert attention/time from'.
$15 s i \bar{\varepsilon} k$ : The Roman ms and audio have $s i \bar{\varepsilon} k$, but the Arabic ms seems to have $m \tilde{\varepsilon} k$ 'from you'.
17 (al-)hés: The Roman mss have l-hés, while the Arabic ms has just hés. The audio is unclear, since Ali stumbled here. He seems to want to say al-hés, but instead follows the Arabic ms and says hés.

## from your livestock. If tomorrow your livestock gets lost, the man won't

 give you anything."14 B: "You have to manage without me, since I have already arranged the meeting with the man. It would be an embarrassment if I let him down."
15 C: "Ok, it's all because of you. You know that we wouldn't manage without you. Go to the man and give [lit. return] him (this) answer. Say, 'My community cannot do without my help.'"
16 B: "Ok, I will go to him. And if I don't come back to you, I will have gone with him."
17 The woman said, (C:) "Ok, be to me like my father! I won't be a wife for you till I die, if you don't come back to us this evening. But (do) as you wish!"
18 B: "Ok, I'll come back this evening."
19 The man went until he came to the man with whom he had arranged the meeting. He said to him, (B:) "My community cannot manage without me, and my wife swore to me, 'You won't go.' Forgive me, (but) I cannot go with you."
${ }_{20}$ The man said, (A:) "It's all the same [lit. all one]. It's no trouble. Spend the night, and in the morning go back to your community." B: "I can't spend the night. My wife swore, 'If you don't come this evening, I will not be a wife for you until I die.'"
A: "Ok, goodbye." And it is finished.

## 17

$\varepsilon \jmath 1$. This is a contraction of $\varepsilon d$ l-fət until die. This is clear from the context. Johnstone notes this contraction in some of his drafts of this text. See also the comment to $30: 5$.
17 man díní: The Roman mss have man díní 'from the world', but it is missing from the Arabic ms and the audio.
21 lo al-ǵásare: We expect al-gंásare lo (cf. the end of line 19). This is probably just the speaker's error.

Text 30 (= M37, but variant): A Man and His Jinn Wife
1 xaṭarét ġeyg s̃eš məstún bo-ḥallét. bə-hér ber dḥa-txarófen, toṣ̣̣̄วən ðə-xérít. bə-skóf b-ol éda‘ íné yas̃érk lo. axarét kérəb enáxal toxarófan.
 a'áṣar $\varepsilon$ - gam'át ba-kbén 'ak enáxal tel emíh. bə-dék ol (t) s śf.
3 bə-dék ol tafrók. áxar ááṣar dḥa-(t)zhõnk śhalét g gigeníti sibrúti,
 ‘ak $\varepsilon \dot{g} \bar{\jmath} r, ~ h ̣ m e l ~ x a t ̣ o ́ k ̣ ́ s ə n . ~$



5 hes ber 'ak emíh, zəḥám ag̉éyg bo-hõl xaṭókésən. zəḥám ag̈igeníti bə-tõrən, "bə-sétər, bə-sétər!" ôr, "ábdan." ôr ag̉igeníti, "dha-nəðtól her enáxalék. ol naxérṭsan e-nfót." õr ag̉éyg, "ábdan."
6 axarét śnini ṭit mənsẽn, ta'mírəns $\varepsilon$ عét, ba-‘ágab bes. bə-zũm trut
 mənhũm ba-šfj̣ bes.
7 bə-skóf ónut. axarét sfor ḥallét ṭit bə-kéla' attíțš tel éméš.
8 bə-õr her éméš, "əḥtéð'́rol (t)zim títi xaṭókés ábdan, bélé õrót hisis 'ol dha-l-g̉ád lo. ahtéðír 'ãs." c̃rrót heš éméš, "ol taktélób lo." ag̉ád agéyg. ba-kéla‘ attíťs tel ع́méš.

عnáxal: The plural form náxal is not listed in $J L$, though the singular naxlét is. Compare the plurals listed in $M L$ and $H L$ (s.v. $n x l$ ).
$3 \varepsilon$-bér: In Ali's dialect, the particle $\varepsilon$ - is normally suppressed before ber (see § 7.2; §13.5.3.2). For other speakers, the sequence $\varepsilon$-bér becomes $\bar{e} r$ (cf. TJ4:3; Frı). On the audio, there is definitely a vowel before ber, but in the Arabic ms, Ali wrote only ber.
4 tagalélan: Johnstone glossed this as 'cheat' in the Roman ms. JL does not list this meaning for the verb $\dot{g} e l$ (s.v. $\dot{g} l l$ ), though, interestingly, this meaning is given for the verb $\dot{g} e r$ (s.v. $\dot{g} r r$ ). The Jibbali verb $\dot{g} e l$ 'outwit' is, however, listed in $H L$ (s.v. hyl), and is used again in 60:24. See also the comment to 60:14.

1 Once a man had a plantation in a town. And before (the trees) bore fruit, one morning they were stripped. And he sat and didn't know what to do. The date-palms were at that time close to giving fruit.
2 He went until he reached a medicine man. He told him the story of the date-palms. The medicine man said to him, "Go on Friday night and hide among the date-palms by the water. Be careful not to fall asleep.
3 And be careful not to be frightened. In the late night, three girl ghosts will come to you, and they'll wash in the well. Leave them be until they go down into the well. And when they are in the well, pick up their clothes.
4 They won't go without [lit. will only go with] their clothes. And be careful they don't trick you. And take the one that you like." Then the man went and [lit. until] he hid among the date-palms by the water. In the late night, three girl ghosts came and went down into the well. And they took off their clothes.
5 When they were in the water, the man came and picked up their clothes. The girls came and said, "With a cover, with a cover!" He said, "No way." The girls said, "We will protect your date-palms. We will not strip them as long as we live [lit. until we die]." The man said, "No way."
6 Then he saw one of them, she was (pretty) like the moon, and he fell in love with her. And he gave two their clothes, and they went away. And he took the pretty one, and he took her to the house and hid her clothes. And he gave her some (other) clothes and married her.
7 They remained for a year. Then he traveled to a certain town and left his wife with his mother.
8 And he said to his mother, "Be sure never to give my wife her (own) clothes, even if she says to you, 'I won't go'. Be watchful of her." His mother said to him, "Don't worry." The man went, and left his wife with his mother.

[^211]9 ed man đ̣́r $\varepsilon$ ह́kət kun məšér ba-ḥallét. axarét zaḥám yo tel sabrét. ôr hes, "ágan bis̃ tínḥag k-ínét." "õrót, "ol ínḥag ar ba-xaṭókí, bo-xaṭókí tel xolóti. bə-həróg s̃es. ba-hér ar zũt to xaṭókí, dḥa-l-únḥag." axarét ag̉ád yo tel عšxarét, ba-heróg s̃es.
‘õrót, "ábdan. fírḳวk 'ãs ol tóffar man đ̣érən. b-ebríyankéród les. ba-hér ag̉adót ba-zḥám ebríb-ol ksés lo, dḥa-yafót." 'õr hes yo, "tet ol dḥa-tóffar lo." 'õrót をšxarét, "ábdan!" axarét zahuis ḥókum e-ḥallét ba-õr hes, "əṭ́lab mes̃ l-írxaṣ tet túnḥag."
 kel. ba-farrót.
 zəḥám, ta'mér heš, "tet xargót." $\varepsilon d$ mən đ̣́r $\varepsilon$ çkət, zəḥám aġéyg. ‘õr, "ar téti húṭũn?"

 dha-l-ó(l)tġ $\varepsilon n u ́ f!"$
©̃rót, "ع́brí, tet farrót." ba-kóltót heš b-عkassét kels. skəf ag̉éyg ðə-ḥzín. axarét ağád yol ĩśni. ba-kolót heš, bว- õr, "iné yōṣalan to tōlás?" ôr heš ĩšni, "kəné śotét maḥlób, ṭaṭ lūn, ba-ṭát ’ófar, ba-ṭát hōrr, śhalét 'ayún. bə-kəláhum 'ak maḩəðórót, bə-zémhum káżวb. ba-háṣ ag̉bér śhวlét 'ayún, kalá'hum sélatِ $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ man ġér ḳít.

9 mašér: Johnstone glossed this word as 'dance' in the Roman ms. The Mehri version has šarḥ here, a word which also exists in Jibbali (see $M L$ and $J L$, s.v. $r w h$ ). The same word also occurs in 97:5. I did not find mašér in JL. See Landberg (1920-1942: 3.2058) for various related Arabic words from the root $\check{s}$ 'r, including Omani Arabic $\bar{s}^{\bar{a}}{ }^{`} \partial r$ 'poet, singer' (also found in other Arabic dialects).
9 xolóti: In $J L$ (s.v. $x w l$ ), the word xolót is defined only as 'father's sister; father's wife, stepmother', but here the meaning is unquestionably 'mother-in-law', as also in 60:9 and 6o:16. $J L$ (s.v. $d w d$ ) does list 'father-in-law' as a secondary meaning of did 'father's brother'.

9 Then after a while, there was a dance-party in the town. Then the people came to the ghost-girl and said to her, "We want you to dance with the women." She said, "I will not dance without [lit. will only dance with] my clothes, and my clothes are with my mother-in-law. Speak with her. If she gives me my clothes, I will dance." Then the people went to the old woman and spoke with her.
She said, "No way. I am afraid that she'll run away from us. My son is crazy about her, and if she goes away and my son comes back and doesn't find her, he will die." The people said to her, "The woman will not run away." The woman said, "No way!"
11 Then the ruler of the town came to her and said to her, "I am asking you to let her dance." Then she gave her permission and gave her her clothes. She danced two times, and she surpassed all the women of the town. And then she ran away.
12 The old woman found out about her. Then she dug a grave and buried a lamb, so that if [or: whenever] her son came, she might tell him, "Your wife died." Then after a while, the man came. He said, "So where is my wife?"
13 His mother said, "The woman died, and we buried her." The man sat down and wept. Then he dug up the grave and found the lamb. And he went to his mother and said, "Tell me the truth, or else I will kill myself!"
She said, "My son, the woman ran away." And she told him the whole story. The man remained sad. Then he went to the medicine man. He told him (what happened) and said, "What will lead me to her?" The medicine man said to him, "Rear three young she-camels, one white, one red [or: brown], and one black, for three years. Leave them in a pen, and give them alfalfa (lucerne). And when three years have elapsed, leave them three days without food.

[^212]15




## 

 ớrš.

 ağád. sd zzhạ́m tel sékan koléni, ksé ḥĩš skof. ह̃rhéb beš, b-aġsaré. axarét s̃xabíráš eginní, õr, "iné hógtək bun?" kolót heš ba-kól séé. ôr heš hiš, "axér hek al-dór. dha-ya(l)tóğk a él l-tét.".
19 'õr, "ábdan. dha-l-aṣjll." ôr, "mor. ol s̃i hek hilt lo ar séfét 才ínu. her
 cáşar troh.
 ba-téréfé $\varepsilon$-sékan $\varepsilon$-tét. $k u ̄ n$.

 axarét térétš mən munús am-mún xátiks. $b$-ağadj́t ed zahõõt tel īs.

${ }_{2}$ 'õr heš eginní, "h-íné zzhámk tũn?" ôr, "ser tétí"" õr heš eginní, "edór,
 tétit."

16 ginnú: The Roman ms has ginnún here and in lines 17 and 25, but the Arabic ms and the audio have ginnú. JL (s.v. gnn) gives the plural ginnó (cf. also 18:11). The Mehri plural form does have a final -n (gannawni).

21 Then when he saw his wife by herself, he went up to her. She said to him, "Why are you here? My family will kill you. It's better for you to go back, before anyone has seen you." He said, "No way. I won't go back without [lit. except with] you." Then she hid him between her and her dress. And she went until she came to her father.
She said, "Father, I found something, and I am afraid the boys will take it from me. Protect it from the boys!" He said, "Ok, I will be its protector, even if you have the man who took you for a year." She said, "Ok, it's him!"
23 The jinn said to him, "Why have you come to us?" He said, "For [lit. after] my wife." The jinn said to him, "Go back, or else we'll kill you." He said, "No way. Either you'll kill me or give me my wife."

24 axarét eginní õr, "dha-nzémk śsrṭ: her s̃anśóbk xohr ðókũn d-’od ol
 ba-hér shek sotét gamílh, dha-nzémk tétk. ba-hér ol s̃erókak țóhũn lo, dha-na(l)tág̀ $k$." 'õr ag̉éyg, "mor, falékan ṣbor li $\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaf."
 yas̃ ̇nśab xohr, bo-ṭát yórfa‘ ḥãr bo-ḥálh, bə-ṭát yəshól egmílh.
26 عd k-ḥáṣaf égaḥ ‘aḳ aġéyg bə-s̃ənśéb xohr, bə-réfa‘ḥãr ba-ḥálh, ba-shél egmílh. bə-zũš teťš $b-\varepsilon d \bar{u} r$ š $\varepsilon$ bə-sé ḥallétš. bว-təmmút.

Text 31 (no M): A Rainy Day in England
${ }_{1}$ yum ṭit ag̉ádək manzél t taț, b-ag̉ádək al-xáfi. b-عrứ ol ráḥak lo. $\varepsilon$ d éṣalak lókũn, kiskyo mékan man $\varepsilon r$ ź kelš.
skofk s̃ĩn ð-əštĩ'an al-yó ðə-yahórg. axarét zaḥõt õsé. manhúm ð-эl s̃eš xõi loflét 'ar õsé, bə-ðд-s̃éš xõi skวf'ak z̃nzél ðókũn.
he kunk kə-ð-ól s̃óhum xõi l.. b-ag̉ádək ed mənzél taṭ ðə-yftérégan yo.
axarét śinkyo mékan bə-ḥúshum ešxár дə-yabġéd fáxrə. s̃óhum 'áskər. sléd'ak íné kun lo.

## Text 30

24 halh: The word halh 'oil' is transcribed in JL (s.v. ḥll) as hahl, in the Roman ms of this text as $h a^{l h}$, and in the Arabic ms as ad. In one of Johnstone's vocab lists (Box 15 E ), he transcribed hal. On the audio, here and in the following lines, it sounds like hah, with an audible final $h$ and no audible $l$. This is an extreme case of devoicing of a final liquid or nasal. In the word gamílh, however, which occurs in this same line, the $-l$ is slightly audible.
Text 31
1 lókũn: The Arabic ms has الوكوهن, suggesting elókũn, and the word-list accompanying this text includes $\varepsilon$ عlókũu 'there'. The audio, however, has just lókũn. JL includes both elókun (s.v. 'lkn) and lókun (s.v. lkn). See $\S 10.1$ on the variety of forms for 'there'. The same discrepancy between the Arabic ms and the audio occurs with this word in 36:18.

24 Then the jinn said, "We'll give you a deal: If you drink up that lagoon before dawn comes, and if you run up that mountain with a cup of oil on you and it doesn't spill, and if you eat up [lit. finish] three camels, we'll give you the woman. But if you don't do thus, we'll kill you." The man said, "Ok, but wait for me until the morning."
25 He said, "Ok." In the night, he put the hairs in the fire, and the jinns, his brothers-in-law, came to him and divided the tasks. One would drink up the lagoon, one would climb the mountain with the oil, and one would eat up the camels.
26 Then in the morning, they went inside the man, and he drank up the lagoon, climbed the mountain with the oil, and ate up the camels. And they gave him his wife, and he and she returned to his town. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 31

${ }_{1}$ One day I went to a house, and I went by foot. The place was not far. When I arrived there, I found many people from the whole country.
2 I stayed a little while listening to the people speaking. Then the rain came, and some who did not have umbrellas fled from the rain, and those that had umbrellas stayed in that place.
3 I was with those that did not have umbrellas. And I went to a house watching people.
4 Then I saw many people, and most of them were old men going together. They had policemen [or: soldiers] with them and I didn't know what had happened.

2 xõi: JL (s.v. xym) gives the singular xũyét 'umbrella', plural xõm or $x \bar{\varepsilon} m$. The audio clearly has xõi, as does the Arabic ms. In an unpublished word-list (Box 15C), Johnstone gives the EJ form xõt, plural xõi. The Roman ms has the singular form xũyét for all three occurrences in this story.

5 folékan õk ‘ak ḳélbi, "dé дə-xáróg yum дíkũn." b-عdūrək sáa xĩ̌̌ ba-fóḳh ūt bo-d-’’k ðə-təlik $\tilde{\varepsilon} n z e ́ l ~ \varepsilon ́ n f i ́ . ~$

Text 32 (no M): A Conversation
1 A: "s̃ókum mékan érún?"
2 B: "ह̃hẽ. he s̃i mut trut, $b$-عbríti s̃es xamsín, ba-títit s̃es stín, $b$ - $\varepsilon$ r-dídi s̃eš
 s̃óhum xĩs min, šum b-aćlóhum."
3 A: "ber aġ(y)ég śé man érunókum?"
 l. $d$-'od lésən эrx ṭat. dḥa-yékən aġégsən b-ərx akṣiyćr."

5 A: "dha-l-órfa‘ sékankum ḥáđ̣é?"
6 B: "ẽhẽ. ol késén arğód lo bũn."
7 A: "koh, ol śé mosé lo?"
8 B: "mosé antéf. ba- 'ẽr hẽn ba-kabalét mosé mékan, ba-thúmk tun dḥa-nənśé, nḥa b-aciśorén, her títi i kunút bə-xár."
9 A: "koh, mit šfj́kak?"
10 B: "šfókəək b-эrx $\varepsilon$-téróf. koh, het húṭũn? ol b-\&rẓ́ het lo?"

Text 31
5 talik: This is the 1cs perfect of the H-Stem verb ( $\varepsilon$ )tlé. This verb is not listed in $J L$, but Johnstone included it in the word-list attached to the manuscript of this text, with the principal parts talé/ठ-itóle/yétla’, and the gloss 'be sorry'. In two other word-lists that go with this text (one attached to text 28 , and one from Box 5 A ), he gives the principle parts etalé, itóle, ystle'. In the Roman ms, he also added the gloss 'I was sorry'. ML (s.v. tlt [sic!]) includes the EJ form etlé (but ctlé on p. 581), and indicates that the verb is absent in CJ. The Mehri cognate $(t l \bar{u})$ is glossed 'regret, be sorry, repent'. Jibbali $\varepsilon$ etlé is also listed in $H L$ (s.v. $t l w)$. Text 32

4 akssiyćr: Johnstone added a note in the Roman ms, "month before Ramadan". He transcribed it agșiyér in the Roman ms, but the Arabic ms has aksiyźr (اقصير). My informants confirm that this is indeed the Jibbali word for Arabic Šábān, the month before Ramaḍān in the Muslim calendar. The Jibbali month name, which is not listed

5 But I thought [lit. said in my heart], "Somebody has died this day." I returned to the house at 5:30, and I was sorry about (leaving) the first place.

## Translation of Text 32

1 A: "Do you have many goats?"
2 B: "Yes. I have two hundred, my daughter has fifty, my wife has sixty, and my cousin has three hundred. And a community of Shaḥri has joined up with us. They have many goats, perhaps five hundred, them and their families."
3 A: "Have any of your goats given birth already?"
4 B: "Yes. Some of them have already given birth, and they have young (male) kids. Some of them have not yet given birth. They still have one month (to go). Their birthing will be in the month before Ramadan."
5 A: "Will you move your community up?"
6 B: "Yes. We haven't found any pasturage here."
7 A: "Why, isn't there any rain?"
8 B: "The rain has dripped. It was told to us that in the west there is a lot of rain, and I think we will move (there), us and our companions, if my wife is ok."
9 A: "Why, when did you get married?"
1о B: "I got married last month [lit. the month that led in]. Why where have you been? Haven't you been in the country?"
in $J L$ (but cf. $M L$, s.v. $k s ̣ r$, and $H V$, p. 263), is not much used today, except by older speakers. Some other Jibbali month names are faṭarí ह́nfí (Arabic Šawwāl), faṭəríáxərí (Arabic Đu l-Qacida), and žahér (Arabic Đu l-Hijija). Al-Shahri (2000: 148, ケ $\Sigma \varepsilon$ ) includes a list of the month names, though with Western (Georgian) and Levantine Arab, rather than Islamic, counterparts. So, for example, he says that aksiyyér corresponds to January/Kānūn at-t-tāni. Watson (2012:56) includes the Mehri month names, which are very close to the Jibbali ones.
8 anțéf: This looks to be an H-Stem perfect, in which case it should have a transitive meaning, something like '(the rain) has dropped a little water (on the ground)'. The form could also be a G passive, though since the G-Stem is intransitive, this seems unlikely.
 'ágób, het bz-sé?"
12 B: "mən d-ऽk níṣán ber عkélbi bes."
13 A: "iné kéla‘ lek kéléb?"
14 B: "kéla' li mut trut ba-xamsín karós̃, ba-"óśar crún, ba-genbít, am-
 b-órba‘kurj ðд-kémkəm. kéla‘ li şhวlét kurj ðд-fét." "tammút.

Text 33 (= M63): A Visit with Some Jinn
xatarét ġeyg ðд-yagélk her yítš ð-anzoơt. b-agád. bér heš a ạsar troh man gér kít.
ed kı léni keb 'ak śáb, bə-šíni yel. yəkว́l yo. śffiyǵl də-sabró.
 śab.
4 b-ağád sd zzḥám tel teet takún. õrŕt, "ya háy bek, fol ह́kən nəḥá yo sabró. ba-hét íné man geyg?"" õr, "he ġeyg snsí, ba-ð-agélk her yat ð-ənzo’’t her srự đénu. bér híni áạar troh man gér kít."
5 'õrót teț, "mor, het al tafrờk lo. ba-hé dha---ékark nxín fídét. ba-dék al tanhárk.

## Text 32

14 am-mandik: Although Ali wrote ba-mandik, on the audio he read ammandik.
14 kurj: Johnstone glossed this in the Roman ms as 'score'. I did not find the word in JL. My informants did not know this word either, but one did know a word kurz (also attested Arabic), which can be used today to mean 'case', as in 'a case of cigarettes'. This is likely the word intended here (though the Arabic ms and audio clearly have kurj).
14 kémkəm: JL and $M L$ (s.v. $k m k m$ ) list only the plural form kəmkúm (sg. kamkém). This same plural form kémkam is also used (by a different speaker) in TJ2:33.
Text 33
3 d-háḳ́ḱl: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'inside', which is the meaning informants give for this word (see also 17:7). In $J L$ (s.v. $h(\cdot l)$, there is only the meaning 'north'. The word for 'north' according to

11 A: "Yes, I've been in the country, only no one told me about your marriage. Why, was there love between you, you and her?"
B: "Already since I was little, my heart was hers [or: she already had my heart]."
13 A: "What did the bride-price leave you?"
14 B: "It left me two hundred and fifty dollars, ten goats, a dagger, and a . 22 rifle. It left me four dozen bottles of perfume, four score indigo-dyed cloths, and four score head-cloths. And it left me three score waistcloths." It is finished.

## Translation of Text 33

1 Once a man was looking for his camel that had strayed. And he went. He was already without food for two nights.
2 Then in the evening, he went down into a valley, and he saw some camels. He thought (they were) people, but it so happened that (they were) the camels of ghosts.
He sat watching where the camels would head to. Then he saw them head north [or: inside] into a valley.
4 And he went until he came to a woman who was nursing. She said, "Welcome to you, but we are ghosts. What kind of man are you?" He said, "I'm a human man, and I'm looking for a camel that has strayed into this land. I have already been without food for two nights."
5 The woman said, "Ok, don't be afraid. I will hide you under the cradle, but be sure not to move.

Nakano (1986:138) and Al-Shahri (2000:160) is fégrr, which stems from the fact that this is also used for the name of the Najd region; 'south' is rémnam, which literally means 'sea'. It seems that $d$-hákél is not the usual word for 'north', but can have this meaning (cf. also Hobyot hákla 'north'; HV, p. 253). There are multiple words for each of the cardinal directions, some of which are due to dialectal variation.
$4 y z t$ :The Roman ms and audio have yat 'a camel', but the Arabic ms has syáti 'my camel'.
5 tanhárk: This appears to be an N-Stem of hark; see JL (s.v. ḥrk). It is extremely unusual to find an N -Stem of a triliteral root. This root does occur in Arabic with a similar meaning, but not in the N-Stem (Form VII). See the end of §6.6.2.

6 bə-ḥáṣ ع-yó zəḥám, dḥa-yวṭlóg gyátk. bə-hét s̃iṣ̂́t lóhum. bə-nḥá ol-’od naṣ̣̄̄h ७ bũn, falékan ḳarére k-ḥáṣaf dḥa-taksé ḳálo ðə-núśab tōlòk.
 bə-ǵád."
8 'õr aġéyg, "mor." skəf. ed zəḥám yo, s̃aḳəré nxín fídét. ba-skófyo. axarét ‘õryo, "ว̣é ð-вnsí!"
‘õrót tet, "he kol'éni kisk śaṭarér b-egdarét, ba-thúmk toš ð-ensí."
 haléb heš."
ḥalób heš yot, bə-zũm tet. bə-tét zũtš aġéyg ðə-nxin fídét.
axarét kótlot yo. õo ṭaṭ manhúm, "kol'éni ssink yat ensēt 'ak śa‘b ðínu man mésác."
13 ôrót tet, "mor, ba-xár? axér ar iyćlén?"
14 `õr, "ẽhẽ, berót dha-l-éškoṭ." axarét tet korṣót ag̉éyg. ‘õrót, "šãáak?" cõr aġéyg, "s̃ãak."
 núśab.
16 śxafed śē. síni yaġréb troh, bə-rdé lóhum bə-kálo.
17 b-ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ ksé yítš ðə-šəktót. bə-s̃éš maḥléb. $b$-ag̉ád yol sékənəš. bə-təmmút.

Text 34 (= M59, with some variation and additions): A Miscommunication



## Text 33

6 kálo: JL (s.v. $k^{c} / w$ ) gives the form of this word as kacló. There is no ${ }^{\text {c }}$ transcribed in either the Roman or Arabic ms of this text, nor is an ' heard on the audio. This loss of ' may be a Mehrism.
7 yд்̇réb: According to $J L$ (s.v. 'g'grb) and ML (s.v. y $\dot{g} r b$ ) the EJ and CJ word for 'raven' is aggaréb, while the Mehri form is yagréb. This would thus be a Mehri form. In one of the mss to text 48 (= M99), Johnstone corrected $y \partial \dot{g} r e ́ b ~ t o ~ a \dot{g} r e ́ b . ~ T h e ~ f o r m ~ a \dot{g r e ́ b ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ t e x t ~ T J ı . ~}$

6 And when the people come, they will mention your camel. And you, listen to them. We will no longer be here in the morning, but tomorrow morning you'll find a bucket of milk by you.
7 Drink, and when you finish [lit. empty] the bucket, you'll see two ravens. Throw the bucket at them and go."
8 The man said, "Ok." He sat. When the people came, he hid himself under the cradle. And the people sat down. Then the people said, "Scent of a human!"
9 The woman said, "This evening I found a rag on the ground, and I think it was a human's."
10 Then she pinched her son until he cried. His father said, "Why is the boy crying?" The woman said, "He's hungry, milk for him."
11 He milked a camel for him, and he gave (it) to the woman. And the woman gave it to the man who was under the cradle.
12 Then the people chatted. One of them said, "This evening I saw a human camel in this valley to the south."
13 The woman said, "Ok, is it well? Better than our camels?"
14 He said, "Yes, it is about to give birth." Then the woman pinched the man. She said, "Did you hear?" The man said, "I heard."
15 And they spent the night. Then in the morning, the man got up, and he didn't see anyone by him anymore. And there was milk by him.
16 He drank until he was satisfied. He saw two ravens, and he threw the bucket at them.
17 And he went until he found his camel that had given birth. He had a camel calf. And he went to his settlement. It is finished.

Translation of Text 34
1 Once a man went from the region of Dhofar, heading towards the land of the Mehri. He was Mehri, but he was brought up in Dhofar and had not yet gone to the land of the Mehri.

[^213]xunṭ mən aġarfét. ba-skóf дə-yวftakérən ba-tétِ. ba-yวõo ' 'ak xáṭərəš, "he


õr ag̉éyg, "ẽhẽ. bek ḥõk tos xunt.." axarét 'aśśót tet ba-ksét $\varepsilon$ ع̣ahwét. ol

õrót, "ko het śink Énf̄̄t?" 'õr aġéyg, "hit 'õrs̃ híni, ‘ẽrkah’’, bə-hé ẽrḳáhk,
b-ol níszzak lo."
axarét zahedót attét ðə-šé ag̉éyg ol șḥabél ag̉arós lo. ba-õrót tet her
عġéyg, "het əthúmk tok ol ṣhabólk to lo. íné to õr tũm her ckahwét?"
‘õr ag̉éyg, "nḥa tōlén b-aġaró $\varepsilon$ hrí 'nśoz,', b-aġaró eśḥrí 'nśoz'."
axarét tet õorót her agéyg, "agí, smaḥ li. nḥa tōlén b-aġaró êhrí
'amárkaḥ."
axarét fhém ṭaṭtóhum ba-kəhéb ag̉éyg tel yo. ba-s̃aṣfé b él ḥallét man
عḳวssét ðínú, bə-kunút t̄̄lっhum fargét. bə-təmmút.

10 śink: This is the 2 ms perfect of śini 'see'. In his notes to this text, Johnstone gives the additional meaning 'refuse a thing and then want it', a meaning not listed in $J L$. nśoz: Jibbali niśaz 'drink/sip something hot' has a cognate in Mehri nüsoz. Strangely, this is not the word used in the Mehri version of this story (see Mehri text 59:14).

2 And he went until he reached a certain town of the Mehri. He entered a house and found a woman. She welcomed him. Then she got up and made coffee for him, and gave (it) to him.
3 And she said to him, "Now drink up ( $\tilde{\varepsilon} r \underline{̣ a h}$ ), and I'll make lunch."
The man said, "Ok." But the man didn't understand her. This word among the Mehri of Dhofar, its meaning is 'clean things' or 'tidy up the place'.
5 When the woman went out, he picked things up and cleaned them up.
6 Then the woman came and found him cleaning things. The woman said, "Brother, why are you (doing) thus?"
7 The man said, "You said to me, 'clean up' ( $\tilde{\varepsilon} r k a h$ ), so I cleaned up."
8 The woman said, "I didn't mean the things. I meant drink up ( $\tilde{\varepsilon} r$ $\underset{a}{ } a h \not)$ the coffee." The man said, "Ok."
9
And the woman went to the kitchen. And when she went to the kitchen, he picked up the coffee and put it outside of the room. And he sat thinking about the woman. He said to himself, "I am a guest, and she left me to work on her things for her." While he was thinking, the woman came and said, "Brother, have you drunk up ( $\tilde{r} k \underset{a}{2} h \not \partial k)$ the coffee already?"
10 The man said, "Yes. I already put it outside." Then she got up and found the coffee. No one had drunk anything from it. The woman said, "Brother, do you still want coffee?" The man said, "Yes." She said, "Why did you refuse the first one [or: refuse first]?" The man said, "You told me 'clean up' ( $\tilde{r} r k a h$ ), and (so) I didn't drink."
11 Then the woman realized that the man didn't understand her language. And the woman told the man, "You, I think maybe you didn't understand me. What (word) do you say for (drinking) coffee?"
The man said, "Us, in our Mehri language, nśoz ('drink up [s.t. hot]'). And in the Shaḥri language, nśoz."
Then the woman said to the man, "Brother, forgive me. Us, in our Mehri language, (we say) amárkah."
Then they understood each other, and the man spent the day with the people. And the townsfolk found out about this story, and it became a joke among them. And it is finished.

Text 35 (no M): Ice
 axarét ber дð-xēt.
2 d-‘od laṭókũn, śíni sayérə, ba-‘amkás akfór. axarét wōḳəf səyérə baṭilióhum míh.
3 ōśar b-yídəš. axarét zahédš ðว-šદ́ ágab míh, ba-šũm ol s̃óhum míh lo. s̃óhum telg.
4 zũš alhín dḥa-yasenúdš, bo-šé ol yag̉órəb țelg lo. yakól kít. ḥĩláš ‘ak. $x a r k ̣ \varepsilon ́ t s ̌ ~ b-a g a ́ d ~ \varepsilon d ~ n x i ́ n ~ h e ́ r u ́ m . ~ s k o f . ~$
 ba-rdé ba-țélg ba-flét.
6 عd zaḥám tel sékanaš ðə-yšić, 'õr hešyว, "ko het?" 'õr, "he kisk kfor, bə-zũ-to sẽhm miṭ-íné. bə-hé ṭlóbk tóhum míh bə-šũm zũ-to sé lūn ta'míran sker. ba-žél-żēl ağád yéxant šnúní."
 'ak ṣahálét, yamtése' ba-yakín míh. ह́ðmar to beš."
 ağádk yol sẽhm ðókũn, ar dḥa-l-ó(l)tġək. her ber dḥa-ya(l)tóg̉k عkfór, 'ak hél-ó(l)tġək."

1 a ámk: Hofstede (1998: 189) parses this as $\varepsilon$ - ${ }^{\text {'am }}$. , with the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$-. I see instead a definite article (here realized closer to $a$ because of the following ' $a$ ). It is uncertain what Johnstone believed, though in the Roman ms he transcribed $\varepsilon^{\prime} a m k$, with no hyphen or space after the $\varepsilon$.
$w \bar{k}$.af: We expect $\bar{o} k \partial f$ here, as in $J L$ (s.v. wkf), with loss of the initial $w$ (see $\S 2.1 .5$ and $\S 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 3$ ). Perhaps the $w$ is pronounced here under the influence of Arabic waqqafa. Or perhaps it is simply a variant pronunciation (cf. also the comment on wudún in 4:1).
6 yšı́: This is the 3 ms imperfect of the verb ša'é 'run'. JL (s.v. š'y) gives the imperfect as yšóc. My WJ informant (FB) also used yšóc, while the CJ informant I asked (AK) used yš̌ı' (much to the former's surprise). So the form in the text (used also in 54:2) is clearly one possible imperfect, while that in $J L$ is another. This is one of several cases where one of my CJ informants produced a form that matches Ali Musallam (an EJ speaker), rather than the CJ form cited in $J L$.

1 Once a middle-aged man [lit. a man in the middle of his age] was with the camels in our land. And there was not any water nearby. Then he became thirsty.
2 While he was still this way, he saw a car, and there were foreigners in it. Then he stopped the car and asked them for water.
3 He pointed with his hand. Then they understood him that he wanted water, but they did not have water. They had ice.
4 They gave him what would be enough for him, but he did not know ice. He thought (it was) food. He put it in his robe and went under a tree. He sat down.
5 And he took a little of it and put it in his mouth. Then he felt the cold in his teeth. He spat it out, threw away the ice and ran away.
6 When he came to his community running, people said to him, "What's with you?" He said, "I found some foreigners, and they gave me some kind of poison. I asked them for water and they gave me something white like sugar. And the coooold coldness almost took out my teeth!"
7 Then his son knew that it was ice. He said to him, "Father, that is ice and if you leave it in a bowl, it will melt, and it will be water. Show it to me."
8 He said, "For what?" His son said, "I want to drink (it)." And the boy went. The old man swore, "If you go to that poison, I will kill you. Before the foreigners will kill you, I want to kill you."
$6 \underset{\sim}{z} \bar{\varepsilon} l-\frac{z}{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon} l$ : This is read on the audio with exaggerated length on the first word, and with an intonation matching the description of the feeling. ह́ðmar: This is the H-Stem imperative, which appears in both mss. On the audio, Ali mistakenly read the G-Stem imperative ðmer, which can have the same meaning.
 lว, ba-šúṣ̂i. bə-təmmút.

Text 36 (= M48 = Jahn 1902, pp. 7-14): The Ruler's Daughter
1 xaṭarét ḥókum bo-ḥallét šfok bə-tétِ, bə-zhám mes b-əmbére’ bə-ġabgót. hes ber ētz, xargót émehum.
2 axarét ḥókum b-ebréš ag̉ád hagg. ba-õr her aġabgót, "ol (t)s̃íxənṭ lo. ba-hé dḥa-l-ómrạ̛ śéra' kol gam'át yazḥómkan ba-ḳít, hit b-ižíríts̃." ‘õrót ag̉abgót, "mor." meróḍ ḥókum śźrac ba-šદ́ b-६bréš sfor ḥagg.
 nxín hẹésan. batterót leš ižírét. ‘õr, "ehbíli ba-kúd," b-عhbét leš ba-kúd. ba-ḥtól a ạhfér bə-šé skəf‘amkáš.
4 axarét ižírét ksét ckúd tékíl. heḳót her āalíts, 'õrót, "alhịk li, ol aḳódar l-عkúd lo." axarét bóttor ba-síni sérra‘ ‘ak ãhfér. axarét hão skin bo-kéṭa' beš ckúd.
 "hēk man đ̛ér kérah."
6 s̃amréż. $\varepsilon d$ kun bə-xár, ag̉ád yol šxarét. 'õr, "dha-l-zéms̃ xamsín ḳarós̃ bə-tazhĩ-to b-عbrít ðə-suṭún." õrót, "mor, yum ع-gam‘át dḥa-l-azḥóm bes."

## Text 35

9 gélbáš: In the Arabic ms this is written as two words, غيل بشش, as if it were a verb $\dot{g} e l$ plus an indirect object beš. However, in his notes to this text, Johnstone gives the meaning 'talk s.o. over' for the verb $\dot{g} \jmath l \mathfrak{b} b$. The audio, with clear stress on -báš, also suggests ǵélbáš. In $J L$, this verb is only given the meaning 'refuse'. For an example of $\dot{g} e l ~ b-k e e p ~ s . o . ~$ occupied', see 60:14 and the comment to that line.
9 mussi: JL has mutsi (s.v. msy), but the mss have mussi, as does the audio. This is the T1-Stem of the root $m s y$. See further in § 6.5.3.
Text 36
4 alhík: The Mehri cognate of the Jibbali verb l(a)hak (Mehri lahāk l-) can mean either 'catch up with, overtake' or 'help', according to ML (s.v. $l h k)$. The meaning 'help' is not listed for this verb in $J L$ (s.v. lh. $k$ ), and, in fact, the entry for this verb in $M L$ explicitly says that this verb does

9 Then his son talked him round until he let him (go). And he went. When he came, he found a little that had not yet melted, and he drank it. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 36

1 Once a ruler in town married a woman, and had with her a boy and a girl. When they were grown [lit. already big], their mother died.
2 Then the ruler and his son went on the Hajj. And he said to the girl, "Do not go out. I will instruct the judge to come to you every Friday with food, you and your maid." The girl said, "Ok." The ruler instructed the judge, and he and his son went on the Hajj.
3 Then on Friday, the judge came. He had a basket, in which was meat and (other) food. And he called out from down below the castle. The maid looked down at him. He said, "Let down a rope for me," and she let down a rope for him. And he tied on the basket, and he was sitting in it.
4 Then the maid found the rope heavy. She called to her mistress, she said, "Help me, I can't manage the rope." Then they looked down and saw the judge in the basket. Then they got a knife and cut the rope with it.
5 And the judge fell and got a head-wound. And he went until he got to his house. People said to him, "What's (the matter) with you?" He said, "I fell off a donkey."
6 He fell ill. Then when he was well, he went to an old woman. He said, "I will give you fifty dollars for you to bring me the Sultan's daughter." She said, "Ok, on Friday I'll bring her."
not mean 'help' in CJ. So its use here to mean 'help' reflects either an EJ usage or a Mehrism (cf. also Hobyot lhāk. l- 'help'; HV, p. 147). The same verb is used in both Mehri versions of the story (Johnstone text 48:3; Jahn 1902: 8, line 10). The meaning 'help' is found also in Jibbali text 50:9. In $22: 5$, the meaning seems to be 'hurry' or 'run'.
6 ba-tazhĩ-to: I understand $b$ д- here as the preposition $b$-, in its meaning 'in exchange for', here being used to indicate a purpose clause ( $\S 13.5 \cdot 2.5$ ). It is possible, however, that this is simply the conjunction $b$ - 'and', in which case the sentence can be translated 'I will give you fifty dollars, and you should bring me...'.



```
    dḥa-l-azḥoms̃ yum ع-gam'át."
    8 عdyum ع-gam'át, zəḥõt ağabgót tel عšxxarét. ©õrót hes, "ar ebríts̃ húṭun?"
    'õrót, "a'rórk tos suk. hit skif bun, bə-hé dḥa-l-ğád l-azhóm bes."
    axarét aġadót cšxarét, ba-zhõot tel šérać. 'õrót, "aġabgót berót 'ak ūti,
    bə-ğád." ag̉ád śźra‘ \(\varepsilon d\) zəḥám tel \(\varepsilon b r i ́ t ~ ð ə-s u t ̣ u ́ n . ~ b ə-s k o ́ f ~ t o ̄ l o ́ s . ~\)
    axarét héróg s̃es her yagád s̃es. õrót, "mən đ̛̣ér モṣólót \(\varepsilon\) - đ̣́hวr dḥa-l-zémk
    enúf. ba-ná ’̣anu dḥa-l-zémk bérík tamtósaḥ."
    hoollót bérík ba-sfo’’̀tš beš al-karféf \(\varepsilon d\) s̃athér foṭx. b-ag̉adót yol ūts.
    ba-šé ag̉ád yol ūtš. s̃xabírš yo, ‘õr, "iné bek?" ‘õr, "hēk man đ̣ér gũl."
    axarét õr her erśót, "gmo‘ li réga‘ ðə-kahwét ba-təmbéko, ba-ðrórs nxín
    héṣan."
    s̃érék ṭókũn \(\varepsilon\) rśśt, ba-šé ktob yol ḥókum ba-ḥágg bo-õr, " \(\varepsilon b r i ́ t k ~ k a h ̣ b e ́ t, ~\)
    bə-ūtk kahwét."
    axarét ḥókum a'rér \(\varepsilon b r\) ćš. õr, "ġad ba-ltág g ġátk!" ag̉ád smbére'.
```



```
    \(k \mathfrak{j} r\).
    ba-hér hē ḥáši đ̣er embére’, tənúgəf 'ãš sg̉átš háši. axarét ġéżən mes.
    ba-lōd ṣa'r ba-ḥõl عð̣’óraš 'ak lébkat. b-ağád ba-kél’ás lókũn. ba-skafót
    sélat \(\bar{\varepsilon} m\).
```



```
    bes bə-šfj̣k bes ba-zḥám mes bə-śotét \(\varepsilon\) rśót.
    axarét aġéyg ‘azúm yag̉ád ḥagg. õrót, "he s̃ek."
    ed yum əð-bér dḥa-yğód, zaḥám yo tel aćśars. ba-õr her aáskar
    \(b\) - \(\varepsilon\) Ø̛á naš, "təróf híni, bə-hé dḥa-l-lḥ́ḳkum."
```

    13 régá: This word is missing from \(J L\), but it is given in \(M L\) (s.v. \(r g^{c}\) )
        as an EJ form, meaning 'sediment, leavings'. It also appears in the
        vocabulary list that accompanies Johnstone's manuscript, with the
        meaning 'dregs'.
    14 bə-ūtk: On the audio, this is pronounced bo-wūtk.
    18 lókũn: See the comment to 31:1.
    19 a'éléš: Both mss have a'ćlés ‘his family’ here, but the audio has hallétš
        'his town'.
    7 Then the old woman went to the Sultan's daughter. The old woman said, "You are the daughter of my sister, and you don't ever come to me. I have a daughter, (and) she wants to see you." The girl said, "Ok, I'll come to you on Friday."
8 On Friday, the girl came to the old woman. She said to her, "So where is your daughter?" She said, "I sent her to the market. You sit here, and I'll go get her."
9 Then the old woman went, and came to the judge. She said, "The girl is already in my house, so go!" He went until he came to the Sultan's daughter. And he sat down by her.
10 Then he spoke to her in order to sleep [lit. go] with her. She said, "After the noon prayers, I will give myself to you. For now, I'll give you a pitcher to perform ablutions."
11 She picked up the pitcher and smacked him in the face with it, and [lit. until] he got a head-wound. And she went to her house.
12 And he went to his house. People asked him, "What's (the matter) with you?" He said, "I fell off a camel."
13 Then he said to some boys, "Collect coffee-grounds and tobacco remains for me, and spread it (all) around under the castle."
14 The boys did thus, and he wrote to the ruler on the Hajj and said, "Your daughter is a whore, and your house is a coffee-shop."
15 Then the ruler sent for his son. He said, "Go and kill your sister!" The boy went.
16 When he arrived, he put his sister on a horse, and he went away with her [or: took her away]. When they got out far away from the town, he dug a grave.
17 And whenever dirt fell on the boy, his sister would brush the dirt off of him. Then he felt compassion for her.
18 And he shot a gazelle and put its blood in a bottle. And he went away and left her there. And she stayed for three days.
19 Then the son of a certain (other) ruler came, and he took her and [lit. until] he brought her to his family. Then he fell in love with her, and he married her, and had three boys with her.
20 Then the man decided to go on the Hajj. She said, "I'm (going) with you."
21 Then on the day that they were about to go, people came to her husband. And he said to the soldiers and his family, "Lead the way for me, and I'll catch up to you."

iž́nu." 'õr, "mor."
'azũthum. ba-zũthum 'iśé ba-kahwét, b-aġsaré. $\varepsilon d$ ġ gasré, 'õrót hóhum,
"ágan ko-ṭát yazhõom ba-kéltót."
axarét kolót šũũ ed tamím. ba-õr hes, "tэ̄làk het náṣanu." 'õrót, "he ar
kallén..."
ba-koltót ba-kéltót ðínu kels ed tammut. õrót, "ðénu ī, ba-ðénu eg̀í,

 $b$-ag̉ád $\varepsilon$ d éṣal mənzél t taṭ. ġõdót yum b-aġsaré. $\varepsilon d$ ġ asré, 'õr zggór her tet., "zĩ-to عnúfba-fló dḥa-l-ó(l)tġ ṭaṭ mən īnés." õ̃rót tete, "tağš." axarét altag̉áš ba-ḳ̄ráš.
عd k-ḥáṣaf ag̉ád sd éṣal mənzél ṭaṭ. aġsəré. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, ‘õr $\varepsilon g g o ́ r, ~ " d h ̣ a-~$



$\varepsilon d$ k-háṣaf ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ éșal mənzél ṭat. aġsaré. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, õr eggór, "dha-

 ba-kasbétš ba-ḥáṣũnš.
bə-šukũut ed zaḥõt ḥagg. egaḥ่́t tel ġeyg bāl ḳahwét, bə-s̃orkót Enúf ġeyg. ‘õrót, "ak al-xédəm t̄̄lák." "õr, "mor." ba-xudũt tōlóš.
$\varepsilon d$ 'áṣər ṭaṭ, zəhám īs, b-agáás, b-a‘áśərs, b-eggór, bə-śérac. bə-ġərióthum, bว-šúm ol ġorōs l. axarét 'õrót her ba'l ð- $\varepsilon$ kahwét, "ak al-'ézam ag̉ág izźńn." ©̃r, "mor."
'azũthum. ba-zũthum 'iś ba-kahwét, b-aġsəré. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, 'õrót hóhum, "ágan ko-ṭát yazhõom ba-kéltót."
axarét kolót šũum ed tamím. bo-õr hes, "tэ̄lák het náṣanu." 'õrót, "he ar kallén..."
ba-koltót ba-kéltót ðínu kels ed tammut. õrót, "ðénu ī, ba-ðénu eg̀í,

aggór:The mss have just gor (جور), but the audio has aggór or iggór. See the comment to 18:10.
 EJ form. It is ultimately a borrowing of Arabic wazīr.
$\varepsilon s l o ́ b \varepsilon ́ s ̌:$ The form $\varepsilon s l o ́ b$ is not listed in $J L$. In $M L$ (s.v. slb), we find both salēb 'arms, guns' (= Jibbali séléb, listed in $J L$ and attested in 4:1) and salōb 'arms other than guns'; the latter entry includes the EJ form slób. The Mehri form haslōb is attested several times as grammatically plural in Johnstone's Mehri texts (see my discussion of this word in Rubin 2010: 72), and $H L$ (s.v. $s l b$ ) lists Harsusi (ha-)slōb as the plural of salēb. Jibbali séléb seems also to be plural, however (cf. 4:1). Note also here that the $b$ is not elided before the plural possessive suffixes, as we might expect. This is probably because of the preceding and following stressed vowels; see further in $\S$ 2.1.2. and they spent the night. In the night, the slave said to the woman, "Give yourself to me, or else I will kill one of your sons." The woman said, "Kill him." Then he killed him and they buried him.
24 Then in the morning, they went until they reached a certain place. They spent the night. In the night, the slave said, "You will give yourself to me, or else I will kill the second one." She said, "Kill him." And he killed him.
25 Then in the morning, they went until they reached a certain place. They spent the night. In the night, the slave said, "You will give yourself to me, or else I will kill the third." She said, "Kill him." And he killed him.

## 26

 They spent the night. In the night, the slave said, "You will give yourself to me, or else I will kill you." She said, "Wait until people go to sleep, and I will give myself to you." The slave left and went to sleep, and she stayed. And after the slave had fallen asleep, she took his weapons, his clothes, and his horse.27 And she went until she came to the Hajj. She went to a man, a coffee-
shop owner, and pretended she was a man. She said, "I want to work for you." He said, "Ok." And she worked for him.
28 Then one night, her father, her husband, her brother, the slave, and the judge came in. She recognized them, but they didn't recognize her. Then she said to the coffee-shop owner, "I want to invite these men." He said, "Ok."
29 She invited them. And she gave them food and coffee, and they passed the evening. In the night, she said to them, "Let's each one (of us) offer [lit. bring] a story." to her, "Now it's your turn [lit. at you]." She said, "I am just a child..." 31 And she told them this whole story until it was done. She said, "This is my father, and this is my brother, and this is my husband, and these is my father, and this is my brother, and this is my husband, and these
are the judge and the slave who made this story [or: problem] for me."

28 ba` $\partial$ - $\varepsilon k a h w e ́ t$ : The genitive exponent $\partial$ - is unexpected here. Cf. $b \bar{a} l$ kahwét in line 27. The Mehri version of the line (48:28) also has a construct phrase (bāl məkaəōyдt).

## 28

And he had a slave, his vizier, and he said to him, "Go with my family and the soldiers." He said, "Ok."
And they went until they reached a certain place. The sun went down, Then in the morning, they went until they reached a certain place. lothes, and his horse.

32

$$
33
$$

axarét létaġ eggór ba-śśra.



Text 38 (no M): A Conversation and a Visit to the Medicine Woman
1 A: "her agádk man đ̣́r esślót $\varepsilon$-đ̛́hor, dha-túnfás lóhum, her ol-’ok skofk mukún lo. ba-hér éşalk đ̛er śa'b b-ol śink dé lo, ह́hวk. lézam dé
 ba-ôr hen yo d-iž̄́t tskún."
2 B: "mor, thúmk to al-gád náṣanu, l-agére ð-al-gád l-órxér. he geyg d-ol bi his̃t bē la man đ̛̣ér gólé."
3 A: "kวh, gélak $\bar{\varepsilon}$.ą đ đ́nu?"

5 A: "iné man góle’ bek?"
6 B: "thúmk tos argafét. ba-hér keriót yum, agóle. 'əd yékan góle' angdarét, s léd'ak lo."
7 A: "mor, l-íśnēn ḥánúf. bun ôr šxarét taġórab kol śé."
8 B: "mor, 'ak tun nağád ह́mtas?"
9 A: "ba-rīk. ġadú un."

Text 38
1 J-ז̌zót: Note that the $m$ of the verb mizót (3fs perf. of miźi) is elided here after ðд-, but elsewhere $m$ is not elided after the relative ðд- (e.g., бд-mosé, TJ2:123) or verbal prefix ðә- (e.g., дд-múthank, 57:15). The same elision occurs with this verb in 97:16 and 97:29.
2 hiz̃t: Johnstone glossed this as 'strength' in the Roman ms. In the wordlist attached to text 28, he glossed it as 'bodily strength' (but then later crossed out the word 'bodily') and added 'also: willpower'. The word is not in $J L$, but it is presumably from the root hmm (cf. the verbs him 'be able to'; šhamím 'have courage').
$2 b \bar{e}$ : In the Arabic ms, this word is spelled $ب$, while the word $b i$ earlier in the line is spelled $\mathbf{\text { une }}$. The two words are distinct on the audio. On the word $b \bar{e}$, see $\S 10.5$ and the comments to 4:10 and SB1:1.

32 Then they killed the slave and the judge.
And the woman went with her father and her brother, she and her husband. And they stayed with them one year. Then she went with her husband to his town. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 38

1 A: "If you go after the afternoon prayer, you will reach [lit. go to] them, if you don't stop [lit. stay] anywhere. And if you enter the valley and don't see anyone, call out. There must be someone who will answer you. The valley will not be without anyone, especially (since) at this time there is rain. And people told us that it is full of settlements."
2 B: "Ok, I think I might go now, so that I can go slowly. I am a man who doesn't have very much strength in him after an illness."
3 A: "Why, have you been sick recently?"
4 B: "Yes, for three monsoon months I didn't get up from the house."
5 A: "What kind of illness do you have?"
6 B: "I think it's malaria. Whenever the sun goes down, I get sick. It might be a supernatural illness, I don't know."
7 A: "Ok, you should go see a medicine man. Here they say [lit. said] there is an old woman who knows everything."
8 B: "Ok, should we [lit. do you want us to] go to her?"
9 A: "As you wish. Let's go then."

[^214]10 axarét zzḥám tel عšxarét. õrśt, "ko tum?" õr aġéyg, "đénu aġéyg beš gólع', ba-thúmk tos góle' angadarét." ôrŕt sšxarét, "zzhî-to man đ̣ér ( ssólót) \&̛̣j̆hวr."
 angadərét."
12 B: "mor, íné edītst?"
13 Woman: "hazéz le’" Cáfiř́t đ̣er makabért hádét ba-l-sfdēn lek śhalét inét, bə-dha-tékən ba-xár. bə-hũk đย́nu. غ́ndəx beš k-hásafafmən k-hạṣaf." bə-təmmút.

Text 39 (= M95, but variant): A Man and His Shadow
1 xatarét sékən ð-axáf bə-śacb. ba-kéríb lóhum míh farkét tel makabért. ba-õr yo yakín đ̛́rš̌ ganní giasré. b-ol dé yas̃énús yašób meš ġasré lo, ar hér kun g̀ag mékan.
 ðénu gasré ba-yazḥ̂̃-tun am-mîh? ba-hér ol zaḥãm am-míh lo, ber leš गz."
 emíh. yzkól ganní.
 ba-yวśúnš man séréš.

Text 38
10 đ́́nu aġéyg: The mss have đと́nu agéyg, though on the audio, Ali stumbled and said agéyg đénu, which is the more common word order.
10 $\varepsilon$ ṣjlĺt:This word is in the Roman ms, but is missing from the Arabic ms and audio.
${ }_{13} l-\varepsilon f d \bar{n} n$ : This is the 3 fp subjunctive of the H-Stem fdé (root $f d y$ ). The verb can mean 'sacrifice in a ritual manner'. More specifically, the animal is slaughtered after walking it several times around a sick person.

1o Then they went to the old woman. She said, "Why are you (here) [or: what's the matter with you]?" The man said, "This man has an illness, and I think it is a supernatural illness." The old woman said, "Come to me in the afternoon."
11 The men came back in the afternoon. The old woman said, "I have seen for the man. He has a supernatural illness."
12 B: "Ok, what is its treatment?"
13 Woman: "Slaughter a red cow over an old graveyard and three women should sacrifice for you (in a ritual manner), and you will be fine. And here is this (for you). Fumigate with it every morning." And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 39

${ }_{1}$ Once a community was camped in a valley. Close to them was a scary water-hole by a graveyard. People said that there was a jinn by it at night. And no one dared get water from it at night, unless there were a lot of people.
2 Then one night a man said, "Which [lit. who] of you wants a goat, and will get water from this water-hole at night and bring us water? And if he doesn't bring water, he owes [lit. to him is] a goat."
3 One guy said, "I want." He went until he got to the water. When he looked down, he saw his shadow in the water. He thought (it was) a jinn.
4 Then he threw rocks at it. And whenever he changed places, he would see it following him. Then he ran away and he saw it behind him.

## Text 39

1 yosénús: $J L$ (s.v. 'ns) does not give the meaning 'dare’ for this Šı-Stem. Perhaps this is a Mehrism (cf. ML, s.v. 'ns) or a meaning only found in EJ. Cf. also 46:3.
2 am-míh: We expect $b$-míh, in both cases, but the $b$ - has assimilated to the following $m$. See also 45:13, 60:37, and the discussion of this change, with further examples, in $\S$ 2.1.4. (35:2 contains another possible example.)

5 ed kérab al－yó śíniš al－fénéš．axarét mútrak egenbít ba－ṭán egóféš bə－tġakót egenbít ‘ak háši．yakól eganní mína‘ egenbít b－ag̉tóśs mən farkét．
6 axarét s̃a ̌̌éšyo，b－ag̉ád，ġolơk heš．$\varepsilon d$ kséš ð－ag̉tóśs，ḥõlš $\varepsilon d z a h a ́ m ~ b e s ̌ ~$ tel sékan．axarét ḳólób ḥas．s̃xabírš yo，‘õr，＂ko het？＂
7 ＇õr，＂nə＇áf to ganní，ba－ṭa ánk toš．mína‘egenbít b－ol－’ók ḳódórk l－દ́flat lo．＂ ‘õryo，＂hun śink toš？＂＇õr，＂ak emíh．＂
 lっ？ob，śink gənní！bə－nə＇áftっ．＂$\varepsilon d$＇áṣər xelf，õr ag̉ág，＂ágən nəğád bə－nəśnéš．＂
9 ag̉ád ag̉ág．$\varepsilon d$ zə九̣ám，‘õr heš，＂hun še？＂axarét bóttor＇ak emíh．＇õr， ＂ğalíš，ġalíś！＂
 ＂ḥátəm．＂õr aġéyg，＂mor，athúmk to śink ar egófí mənhínəm．＂
11 ‘õr heš ag̉ág，＂ša‘bə－śné．＂šacé．axarét śnni عgóféš mən séréš．
12 axarét õr，＂he ol śink ar egófí manhínam．＂ba－ġarób yo дว－šé al śé ganní lo đ̣er emíh，bə－š̄̄b mešyo．bə－təmmút．

5 t $\dot{g} a k \neq j$ ：This is listed in $J L$ under the root $t \dot{g} k$ ，since the CJ form has the glottalic $t$ ．The EJ form，like Mehri，has $t$ ．In the Arabic ms，Ali transcribed the first root consonant with Arabic $d$ ．
5 aǵtóśs：This Jibbali verb meaning＇faint，pass out＇can be found in the English－Mehri word－list in $M L$（p．524），but I did not find it in $J L$ ． Johnstone also lists it in his vocabulary notes to this text．It must be a T2－Stem of a root $\dot{g} s^{\prime}$ or $\dot{g} s y$ ．
$8 x \varepsilon l f$ ：The EJ forms of this adjective meaning＇next＇，ms $x \varepsilon l f$ and fs xiźfét （cf．49：31），are not listed in $J L$ ，but are given in $M L$（s．v．$x l f$ ，under the entry for xáylaf ）．JL only gives the CJ forms，which are xalfí and xalfét； see also § 9．3，n． 6.

5 Then when he got near the people, he saw it in front of him. He pulled out his dagger and stabbed his shadow, and the dagger got stuck in the dirt. He thought the jinn had taken hold of the dagger, and he fainted from fear.
6 Then the people got worried about him, and they went (and) looked for him. Then when they found him passed out, they picked him up and brought him to the settlement. Then he regained consciousness. The people asked him, "What's with you [lit. why (did) you]?"
7 He said, "A jinn chased me, and I stabbed him. It took hold of my dagger and I couldn't get away." The people said, "Where did you see it?" He said, "In the water."
8 One guy said, "Could it be you saw your shadow?" The man said, "How? I wouldn't know my (own) shadow? No! I saw a jinn, and he chased me." Then the next night, the men said, "Let's go and see it."
9 The men went. When they came, they said to him, "Where is it?" Then he looked down into the water. He said, "Look at it! Look at it!"
1o The men looked down and saw his shadow. They said to him, "That is your shadow." He said, "Really?" The men said, "Really." The man said, "Ok, I think maybe I only saw my shadow last night."
11 The men said to him, "Run and see!" He ran. Then he saw his shadow behind him.
Then he said, "I only saw my shadow last night." And the people knew that there was no jinn by the water-hole, and the people got water from it. And it is finished.

[^215]Text 40 (no M): Discussing an Illness

```
A: "íné bék?"
B: "gélak."
A: "man mit gélak?"
B: "mən ह̄l\varepsilon bass. akós śa`ór taštafóran mən \varepsilonr\varepsilońši agáa."
A: "\jmathd tékən aġōrək?"
B: "thúmk to."
A: "ín\varepsiloń t\overline{k}k ašhér?"
```



```
A: "mor, aġōrok. 'ak to l-aṣḥórak?"
10 B: "koh, taġórab heš śé?"
A: "\tilde{\varepsilon}h\tilde{\varepsilon}."
B: "in\varepsiloń?"
A: "aṣáḥrəš al-kanbá` ba-'iṭ̄t śhalét enzafór."
14 B: "mor, ṣḩor to."
A: "falékan taṣxób šófalak mġór\varepsilon` s̃ĩn, falćkan takín ba-xár." sahíráš. "yol
kisk \varepsilonnúf?"
16 B: "kisk \varepsilonnúf ba-xár. tob ar \varepsilondítš kéríb." Jénu tabṣif \varepsilon-taġbír. ba-tammút.
```

[^216]Translation of Text 40
A: "What's (the matter) with you?"
B: "I'm sick."
A: "Since when have you been sick?"
B: "Since only a little while (ago). I feel [lit. find] goose-bumps going from my head down."
A: "Perhaps you overate [or: have indigestion]?"
B: "I think I did."
A: "What did you eat today?"
B: "I ate meat and I drank icy-cold water."
9 A: "Ok, you overate. Do you want me to brand you?"
10 B : "Why, do you know something for it?"
11 A: "Yes."
12 B: "What?"
13 A: "Its brand [to cure it] is on the heel with a smoldering rag three times."
14 B: "Ok, brand me."
15 A: "Your stomach may be sore for a little while after, but you'll be fine." He branded him. "How do you feel [lit. find yourself]?"
16 B: "I feel good. Indeed its cure is easy [lit. near]." This is the description of heartburn [or: indigestion]. It is finished.

[^217]Text 41 (no M): Seeking Sardines
1 A: "h-ínézaḥámk to?"
2 B: "zaḥámk tok her xar. he ġeyg ðə-xtórk kin sékəni. shélən a'ád, b-эl éd'ak yoh al-š̌rrk lo. ba-fátnak tok, ba-hér 'od takódar híni ba-hílt, 'ak bə-hõolt ðə- 'ád her $\varepsilon$ lhútén. 'ak kíni bə-réhən, bə-rīk. bə-'ák təśtém śé mən õśśtən, ba-rīk."
3 A: "ol s̃i ‘ad lo. s̃i dha-tékən ḥõlt ðə-rkíb. dḥa-l-s̃úms lo. nḥa s̃en zétə’ alhúti, $b$-ol aḳólá'hum man gér 'ad lo. b-o(l) l-əḥkék to lo."
4 B: "ábdan, эl aḳ́la火 lo, ar hér dḥa-(t)s̃áđər to, ba-təktéṭa' mən munún a'aśírt. ba-hé bek kéṣadk tok, $b$-alhín 'ak man tōlí dḥa-l-zémk. 'ak

5 A: "he ol man ténu lo. het ol fhémk to lo."
6 B: "he fhemk tok, falékan ol 'éðər lo man ḥõlt ðд-'ád."
7 A: "'sd ‘́əər?"
8 B: "ábdan, эl-‘’́d ‘éðər lo."
9 A: "mor, dha-l-š́érhan egenbítk ba-śhelót karós̃ ed mastéhal xelf. ba-hér ol zaḥámk b-\&ḳarós̃ mastéhal xelflo, egenbítk méžét."
1о B: "mor, hũk egenbít, ba-hé dha-l-zhóm b-ekarós̃ mastéhal xelf."

2 hilt: Elsewhere this word means 'trick' or 'cunning' (cf. 2:17; 18:3; 30:19), and this is the only definition given in $J L$ (s.v. hyl). While hilt 'trick' is clearly a borrowing of Arabic hailat-, the meaning 'credit' here can be compared with Arabic ḥawālat-' 'promissory note; bill of exchange; money order'.
4 kéṣadk: JL (s.v. kṣd) lists the meanings 'seek out' and 'chop' for the Ga-Stem ķóṣód, but only ‘chop, lop’ for the Gb-Stem kéṣad.
4 bo-d'ák: Both the Arabic and Roman mss support this transcription (no audio was found), but we expect $b$ д-ð 'ák, with the conditional particle ðә (§13.4.2). This is perhaps a hypercorrection, since Ali usually uses the pre-verbal particle $\delta$ - in place of the more common $d$ - of Jibbali (§7.1.10). Or it could reflect a more general shift of the particle $\partial$ - (as a verbal prefix, relative pronoun, or genitive exponent) to $d$-.
9 mastéhal: This word is glossed as 'month's end' in the Roman ms, though it does not appear in $J L$ or $M L$. It is also attested in Johnstone's Mehri texts in the phrase mastīhal awarx 'the end of the month' (M28:18). It is obviously related to the verb shel 'finish'; cf. also the

## Translation of Text 41

1 A: "What did you come to us for?"
2 B: "I came to you for good. I am a man who has come down from his [lit. my] settlement. We used up the sardines, and I didn't know what to do. And I remembered you, and if you can perhaps [or: again] (give) me credit, I want a load of sardines for our cows. If you want a guarantee (of payment) from me, as you wish. Or if you want to buy some of our animals, as you wish."
3 A: "I don't have sardines. I have maybe one camel-load. I won't sell it. We too have cows, and I won't leave them without sardines. And don't press me."
4 B: "No, I won't leave you alone, unless you refuse me, and the friendship will be cut off between us. I have already sought you out, and whatever you want from me I'll give you. If you want a guarantee (of payment), I will leave you a guarantee, or if you want to buy (some) of my animals, I will sell (them) to you."
5 A: "I didn't mean that. You didn't understand me."
6 B: "I understood you, but there is no excuse (for refusing) a load of sardines."
7 A: "Is there any excuse at all?"
8 B: "No, there is no excuse at all."
9 A: "Ok, I will take your dagger as a guarantee, and thirty dollars at [lit. until] the end of next month. And if you don't bring the money at the end of next month, the dagger has expired (as a guarantee)."
10 B : "Ok, here is the dagger, and I will bring the money at the end of next month."

Mehri Tı-Stem sáthal 'be finished', of which mastīhal can be considered the active participle. $M L$ (s.v. shl) seems to suggest that the Jibbali T1Stem sóthal can also mean 'be finished' in EJ, though $J L$ lists only the meanings 'have good luck; find s.t. easy' (from which we get the imperative astahól 'goodbye!; farewell!', e.g., 3:17).
9 mézéét: Johnstone glossed this as 'will have gone' in the Roman ms. This verb (3ms mézéé) is not in $J L$, but it is clearly related to or, more likely, borrowed from Arabic maḍā 'go away; pass, expire'. Nowhere else in the texts do we find a perfect in the apodosis of a conditional sentence, but this is not a normal conditional sentence.

Text 42 (no M): Conditionals
1 her ol 'ak l-óklat hek b-iyén lo, koh he kolótk hek ṭénu?
2 да kunk 'ógaz, o(l) l-as̃írkanaš lo.
3 б-эl kun 'ak l-únṣaḥk lo, o(l) l-aherígan s̃ek ténu lo, falékan 'ak l-únṣaḥk.
4 ðə kun 'akl-əhérg béde', o(l) l-əklítən hek b-iyén lo.
5 ðә kun 'akl-ó(l)tġaš, al-(l)téġənəš.
6 her ol xaróg lo, dha-yékan bun ḳrére.
7 ð-ol kun altəg̉áš lo, ol yíflətan ḥallét ẽs̃ġarót lo.
8 дә kun 'ak l-ó(l)tġaš manhínam, altéġanaš, falékan ol 'akl-ó(l)tġəš lo.
9 ðə kun iżénu 'ágab yabdíli, yakdíran yas̃órk tọhũn.
1о ðə kun 'ak al-s'édhum, het takdírən (t)s̃erk ṭóhũn, эl hẽ lo?
11 ð-al kun s̃arókak ṭókũn lo, al yazḥin bek bun lo.

13 her al kun 'ógaz lo, dha a-yazhóm xadmétš ašhér.

Text 42 b (no M): More Conditionals
1 д-ol kun mis̃érd lo, al yazhīn yo bo-šúm əд-šéf $b$.
2 д-эl kun 'ógaz lo, ol yaskífan ba-yḳaláan xadmétš lo.
3 д-ol kun šerḳ lo, al yakbínan 'ak edsḥlél lo.
4 ð-ol kun xáṣam lo, ol yahrígan ṭ́nu lo.
5 д-วl kun 'ágab yaġád s̃ek lo, ol yaḥgíranak lo (yahrígan s̃ek).
6 ð-ol kun 'ágab yas'édak lo, sl yahrígan hek lo.

## Text 42

10 al-sédhum: This verb behaves like a D/L-Stem, though the forms are unusual (see § 7.4.7 and $J L$, s.v. $s^{〔} d$ ). The Roman ms has əsəédkum, with a 2 mp object suffix, which must be a mistake.
12 galṭún: This word does not appear in JL, but Johnstone glossed it as 'mistaken' in his word-list for this text, as well as in his Jibbali notecards (Index Cards Box 10). The word is also used in 43:10, where it clearly means 'mistaken'. Cf. the other forms of this root listed in $J L$ (s.v. glt), such as the H-Stem verb aǵlét 'be mistaken' (used in 60:34).

1 If I didn't want to tell you the truth, why did I tell you thus?
2 If I were lazy, I would not have done [or: wouldn't do] it.
3 If I didn't want to advise you, I would not have spoken with you thus, but I wanted to advise you.
4 If I wanted to tell a lie, I would not have told you the truth.
5 If I wanted to kill him, I would have killed him.
6 If he didn't die, he will be here tomorrow.
7 If he hadn't killed him, he wouldn't have fled to another town.
8 If I had wanted to kill him last night, I would have killed him, but I didn't want to kill him.
9 If those (guys) want [or: wanted] to lie about me, they could do [or: could have done] thus.
${ }_{10}$ If you had wanted to help them, you would have been able to do so, no?
11 If you hadn't done thus, they would not have brought you here.
12 If you had not been mistaken, he would not have taken the number of your car.
13 If he is not lazy, he will come to work [lit. his work] today.

## Translation of Text 42b

1 If he wasn't crazy, he wouldn't have come to people who were asleep.
2 If he wasn't lazy, he wouldn't have stayed and left his work.
3 If he wasn't a thief, he wouldn't have hidden in the cave.
4 If he wasn't an enemy, he wouldn't have spoken like this.
5 If he didn't want to go with you, he wouldn't have waited for you (he would have spoken with you).
6 If he didn't want to help you, he wouldn't have spoken up for you.

[^218]7 d-ol kun aáśs̊rk lo, ol yazhĩnk ba-hédít đínu lo.

9 ð-эl kun bídi l , ol yámíran ténu $l$.
1o mən hun (hútun) zəhámk? zəhámk əm-bóh.
11 ba-š̌̌áak sookum ṭélह́b. bə-hér s̃ókum téléb, tōkum al-sa éd to ba-xadmét.

fahmún. ð-ol kunk gas̃ím lo, ol tag līn xadmét. ba-hét ol tağórb sé lo.

Text 43 (no M): A Riddle
1 A: "her b-iyénkum tadlăl śé rihm, falćkan ol yī ọtab oz lo?"
2 B: "dé yatis̄?"
3 A: "ob."
4 B: "dé yaśtumš?"
5 A: "ob."
6 B: "her aġadk xunt aķ́dar l-aśnés?"
7 A: "غ̌hẽ."
8 B: "akj́dar al-hĩ(l)šman manzél al-manzél?"
9 A: "ob."
10 B: "ak kélbi tékan galtún."

Text 42b
1o hútun: This word (a variant of hun; see §11.7) is in parentheses in the Roman ms, but is not in the Arabic ms.
12 fahmún: The Roman ms has fhum, which is not a Jibbali word. The Arabic ms has fahmún.
12 tag̀līn: In both mss, it appears that an original taklīn was corrected to trg $\dot{g} l i n$, the 2 ms conditional of the G-Stem g golób 'refuse'.
Text 43
1 her: The exact function of her here is unclear, but the expression her $b$-iyénkum is a fixed expression used to begin a riddle. The following subjunctive verb ( 2 mp tədlól in this case) is probably also part of the idiom.

7 If he wasn't your friend, he wouldn't have brought you this present.
8 If he didn't want to see you, he wouldn't have made an appointment with you.
9 If he wasn't a liar, he wouldn't have said that [lit. thus].
1o Where did you come from? I came from here.
11 I heard you have a request. And if you have a request, you must help me with a task.
12 Do you have a diploma or do you know some trade? I don't have any diploma, but I am a smart man. If you weren't ignorant, you would not refuse [or: would not have refused] work. And you don't know anything.

## Translation of Text 43

A: "Do you know something long/tall, but it doesn't reach the udder of a goat?"
B: "Does anyone eat it?"
A: "No."
B: "Does anyone buy it?"
A: "No."
B: "If I go outside, can I see it?"
A: "Yes."
8 B: "Can I carry it from place to place?"
A: "No."
10 B: "I think [lit. in my heart] you might be mistaken."

[^219]11 A: "koh?"
12 B: "het oôk ol yı̄ ọtab oz lo, b-эl dé yaḳódar yaḥĩš lo."
13 A: "he sl ġaltún lo, ba-hér sl delk lo, dha-l-óklat hek, ba-hét dha-(t)śné."
14 B: "mor, ol delk lo."
15 A: "hátวm?"
16 B: "hátəm."
17 A: "l-óklot hek?"
18 B: "ع̃hẽ."
19 A: "mor, óram. yen śink náṣanu?"

Text 45 (no M): A Marriage
1 ġeyg s̃anṭé ba-tét b-ag̉ád tel īs. 'õr, "her dha-l-éšfak to, 'ak l-óšfak tōlák b-をðí-ilín."
2 'õr ī e-ttét, "mor, hay bek. harég ka-tét, ba-hér 'agiòt bek, he dha-lع́šfəḳak. se ol ġabgót lo, ba-hərég s̃es."
3 Groom: "he bek herógak s̃es, ba-õrót, 'her ī 'ágab, ol míni sé lo.'"
4 Father: "mor. íné dha-tóklab?"
5 Groom: "əlhín 'ak, he dḥa-l-zémk."
6 Father: "mor. zaḥóm b-єśhódék karére kol'éni."
7 Groom: "eśhódí ber bun."
8 Father: "mor. kol'éni dḥa-nhérg kə-tét, ba-dḥa-nzémk tabkižót." (In the evening.)
9 Father: "šmo‘ $\varepsilon$ śhód! $\varepsilon \partial i ́-i l i ́ n, ~ h e ~ e ́ k i ́ l ~ l-\varepsilon ́ s ̌ f a k ̣ a s ̃ ~ \varepsilon ð i ́-i l i ́ n ? " ~ " ~$
10 Bride: "hst ékíl." ta õr śhalét enzafór.
11 ìs yaõor her cśhód, "д-ōkalak śśra‘ eðí-ilín yémlak ag̉éyg ðénu ebríti."
 $\varepsilon$-šfj́k, "he šfj́kak bo-ák tabkiźót."

## Text 43

13 dha-(t)śné: The Roman ms has dha- $(t)$ śné 'you will see', but the Arabic ms has dha-( $t$ )šźn 'you will believe'.
Text 45
1o enzafór: See the comment to 40:13.

11 A: "Why?"
12 B: "You said it doesn't reach the udder of a goat, but no one can carry it."
13 A: "I am not mistaken. And if you don't know, I will tell you, and you will see."
14 B: "Ok, I don't know."
15 A: "Sure?"
16 B: "Sure."
17 A: "Should I tell you?"
18 B: "Yes."
19 A: "Ok, (it's) a road. Do you truly see now?"

## Translation of Text 45

1 A man asked for a woman's hand in marriage, and he went to her father. He said, "If you will let me marry, I want to marry into your family with so-and-so [your daughter]."
2 The woman's father said, "Ok, welcome! Speak with the woman, and if she wants you, I will let you marry. She is not a girl, so speak with her."
3 Groom: "I already spoke with her, and she said, 'If my father wants, I have no objection [lit. there is nothing from me]."
4 Father: "Ok. What will you offer?"
5 Groom: "Whatever you want, I will give you."
6 Father: "Ok. Come with your witnesses tomorrow evening."
7 Groom: "My witnesses are already here."
8 Father: "Ok. In the evening we will speak with the woman, and we will give you marital possession."
(In the evening.)
9 Father: "Listen, witnesses! [To the girl:] So-and-so, am I authorized to marry so-and-so to you?"
1о Bride: "You are authorized." She says this three times.
11 Her father says to the witnesses, "I have given authority to the judge, so-and-so, to give this man legal possession of my daughter."
12 And the man who got married went, he and his witnesses, to the judge. When they got to the judge, the man who got married said, "I have gotten married, and I want marital possession."

13 Judge: "šfj’̣̊ək am-mún?"
14 Groom: "šfj’̣ak b-عðí-ilín."
15 Judge: "hun cśhódék?"
16 Groom: "iž́ñu šum."
 ba-fló ag̉ás, ōkวl to l-émlak عðí-ilín bar عðí-ilín?"
 ðénu." ba-háṣ $\varepsilon$-śhéd cśhód, yẽlók śśra‘ aġéyg $\varepsilon$-šfộk.
19 mən ḥaṣ emlék śérac, takín tíť̌̌. yaśtúm kélínt ba-yabg̉ód yol sékən. b-a'áṣar ðókun yógaḥ la-tét, b-a‘áṣar ðókun yakín egáḥgáh. ba-hér kunút ġabgót, ìs yasénúd ba-yōkalan man nxín $\varepsilon s ́ h o ́ d ~ s ̌ \varepsilon ́ r a ‘ ~ y e ́ m l a k ~$


13 am-mún: Though Johnstone's Roman ms only has ${ }^{2} m u^{n}$, and I found no audio for this text, I assume that the $m$ is doubled, as in 97:34. This form is the realization of an underlying *b-mun. The preposition $b$-, like the homophonous conjunction, does not always assimilate to a following initial $m$-, but it seems to do so with mun 'who?'. See also 39:2, 60:37, and the discussion in $\S$ 2.1.4.
ðiõkum: Under this word in the Roman ms, Johnstone added the Arabic glosses شهادة/ذَّة ðimam) plus the 2 mp possessive suffix -ókum. In $J L$ (s.v. ðmm), only the meaning 'debt' is listed, but in this context it means something like 'guarantee; responsibility'.
19 emlék: The Roman ms has yémlak (3ms subjunctive), and the Arabic ms has میاك . The form here has to be the 3 ms perfect emlék. For the spelling of emlék with an initial ي in the Arabic ms, we can compare emíh 'the water', often spelled يميه (e.g., 15:16, 49:12).
19 kélínt: In $J L$ (s.v. kln), Johnstone translated this as 'wedding-feast'. In the Roman ms of this text, Johnstone added 'food, clothes, etc.'.

13 Judge: "Whom did you marry?"
14 Groom: "So-and-so."
15 Judge: "Where are your witnesses?"
16 Groom: "These are they."
17 The judge says to the witnesses, "Do you testify by your responsibilities [or: guarantees] that he married so-and-so, and that her father or her brother has given me authority to give legal possession to so-and-so, son of so-and-so?"
18 The witnesses say that she, the woman, gave authority to her father to marry her off, "and her father gave authority to you to give possession to this man." And when the witnesses have testified, the judge gives possession to the man who got married.
19 After the judge has given possession, she becomes his wife. He buys for the wedding party and goes to the community. And that night he consummates with [lit. enters] the woman, and that night is the wedding-night.
20 And if she is [only] a girl, then her father is sufficient, and he gives authority in front of witnesses to the judge to give possession to the man who got married, even if she has no knowledge [lit. news] (about it). This is a description of marriage. And it is finished.

[^220]
## Text 46 (no M): A True Story about Revenge

xaṭarét ġabgót raḥĩt, ba- 'ágab bes ag̉ág kel. b-ı̄s ðд-ltíg̣, bér heš dḥa-yékan xĩš ‘ayún, $b$-ol xaléf éléd lo ar ag̉abgót ðikun. ba-s̃anṭé bes $\bar{\varepsilon} r$-dódés ba-sé guzũt, "ol as̃̌̌fók dé ar kol $\varepsilon$-ṭ́lób b-ī."
 yəs̃énús yag̉áh ḥalléthum lo.
ed yum țit s̃anṭé bes $\bar{\varepsilon} r$-díds. õrót ag̉abgót, "he ol aš̌̌fók ar ag̉ág. het ol ġeyg l.". 'õr, "koh?" õrót, "s̃áxbar enúf."
5 axarét mútrâk egenbít ba-žíṭás man śof ba-gúzúm, "her ol kólóts híni lo, ar dha-l-ó(l)tg̈is̃."
'õrót, "mor. her kunk ġeyg, ġad taléb b-عdídk. mġórs', he dḥa-l-s̃éšfakak." ‘õr aġéyg, "bass?" 'õrót, "bass."
aġsaré. $\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaf, śed Erkīs b-ag̉ád. heš orx ba-fj́ḳh, b-จl ḥõl séléb lo. $\varepsilon d$ kérəb al-ḥallét, kéla‘ $\varepsilon r k i s ̌ ~ b-e ́ g a h ̣ ~ h ̣ a l l e ́ t . ~ s ̃ e ́ r e ́ k ~ \varepsilon n u ́ f m i s ̃ e ́ r d . ~$
8 ba-ṭólób yo mən but $\varepsilon$ d but. yózaməš tũr, ba-yḥil tũr 'ak. aġarzótš. yaẓhék mešyo.
skof ba-ḥallét ðíkun dḥa-yékən foḳ̣̣ ð-ァrx. $\varepsilon$ d áṣar ṭat zəḥám tel šxarét.
 ba-fló yó(l)tġak."

1 father, or if it is being used impersonally.
$x a l e ́ f: J L$ (s.v. xlf) gives axléf for the H-Stem, but notes the EJ form xléf (here realized xaléf).
$\bar{\varepsilon} r$-dódés: $J L$ (s.v. $d w d$ ) and my own informants agree that the plural of did 'uncle' is did, identical to the singular form. The two are distinguished in context by the suffixes used (e.g., $\varepsilon$ dídi 'uncle', $\varepsilon$ didí 'my uncles'). Interestingly, however, the compound $\bar{\varepsilon} r$-did 'cousin' has the plural $\bar{\varepsilon} r$-dód, as shown by this form in the text, and confirmed by my informants. We would actually expect plural of did to be dod, if we consider Mehri $d \bar{d} d / d \bar{o} d$, and similarly patterned Jibbali nouns, like nid/nud 'water-skin'. Similar to did, we find dit 'aunt' (pl. dit), eš-dit 'cousin (f.)' (pl. eš-dodt).
2 aššfĵk: The Roman ms has just šfók here (and line 4), which does not make sense. This can only be a mistake for an Ši-Stem imperfect as̃šfj́k. Cf. also the certain appearance of the Sti-Stem in line 6.
3 yas̃énús: See the comment to 39:1.

## Translation of Text 46

1 Once there was a beautiful girl, and all the men loved her. And her father had been killed, already about five years ago, and had left behind no children except this girl.
2 And her cousins asked for her hand in marriage, but she swore, "I won't marry anyone except whoever avenges my father."
3 And the people who had killed her father were not in that land. It was a journey of a month and a half on a camel. And no one dared enter their land.
4 Then one day her cousin asked for her hand in marriage. The girl said, "I only marry men. You are not a man." He said, "Why?" She said, "Ask yourself."
5 Then he drew his dagger and grabbed her by the hair and swore, "If you don't tell me, I will kill you!"
6 She said, "Ok. If you are a man, go avenge your uncle. Then I will marry you." The man said, "That's it?" She said, "That's it."
7 They passed the night. In the morning, he loaded his camel and went. He had a month and a half, and he didn't carry any weapons. Then when he got near the town, he left his camel and entered the town. He pretended he was crazy.
8 And he begged people from house to house. They gave him dates, and he put the dates in his robe-pouch. The people laughed at him.
9 He stayed in that town about half a month. Then one night he came to an old woman. He begged from her. Then she said, "You have annoyed us, crazy man, go to the house of the sheikh, so he can give you food or kill you."

4 but in this context, it must be an imperfect aššfj’k.
8 agarzótš: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this as 'inside thōb at waist'. I did not find the word in JL. One informant translated the word as 'pouch' (with reference to a what a kangaroo has), but explained that the pouch is made with the cloth garment that one is wearing.
$9 \varepsilon^{\prime} u ́ z a r a k$ : This is glossed in the Roman ms as 'annoyed us'. This is a 2 ms perfect, D/L-Stem of a root ${ }^{`} z r$. No such root is in $J L$, but this verb is listed in $M L$ (s.v. 'zr) as an EJ form.
9 ĩsérd: It is unclear if we have here the vocative particle $\varepsilon$ or the definite article $\varepsilon$ attached to this noun (see $\S 12.3$ ).


11 'õr aġéyg, "kēr $\varepsilon$-mun?" ‘õrót, "kēr $\varepsilon$-kīlt bet $\varepsilon$ ð́-ilín." axarét $o ̃ r, ~ " h u ́ t ̣ u n ~$ ūtš?" "õrót, "ūtš ðikun se. bə-ys̃éf tel hérúm ðókun, š $b$-ag̉ág $\varepsilon$-hallét.

õr aġéyg, "he a ágób tel ínét." õorót $\varepsilon s ̌ x a r e ́ t, ~ " t o b ~ a r y e ́ n h u m ~ y o, ~ s ́ \varepsilon f k ~$ mis̃érd." axarét zũtš xérín tũr.
kél’’’š ‘ak aġarzótš b-ag̉ád. ‘õr, "akl-azém tũr ðénu kēr." õrót zšxarét, "ol xer hek lo. dha-yó(l)tġak."
14 ag̉ád aġéyg $\varepsilon d$ zahám tel kēr, b-ag̉ág skof. axarét žวḥak meš ag̉ág
 $y \bar{\jmath} k b \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$.
15 yaźḥók kel meš. axarét õor ag̉éyg her kēr, "z $\tilde{\varepsilon}-t \supset$ féḳo’ al-s̃efḳēs." õr k $\bar{\varepsilon} r$, "ax! yaxés len $\varepsilon k s b$ ह́tən!"
16 bə-ṭérdáš. b-agád $\varepsilon d$ kéríb lóhum. skəf $\varepsilon d y o$ s̃éf. śíni kēr s̃éf ḳéríb al-hérúm. $\varepsilon d f \supset$ ̣h д-acásər zaḥám aġéyg.
17 ḥõl ĩndíkš b-egenbítš. xōṭ ĩndík bo-l̄̄dáš ba-šókúm. ba-n'áfš ag̉ág, b-al étal bešlo.

10 kəlác ar: The exact function of kəlá‘ ar in the phrase kalác ar het is not clear, though this is clearly idiomatic. Johnstone added the gloss 'let alone you?' in the Roman ms. My informants found it difficult to translate, but agreed on something like 'how about you?'. Perhaps compare the use of kalác ar in 38:1, where it means something like 'especially'. The point in the story is that the man (the target) is clearly quite brave and strong, so how does the other man (pretending to be an idiot) think he has a chance?
yénhum: yénhum means literally 'their truth' (root 'mn) and is not a verb. Cf. the similar usage in 24:1, where the phrase is parallel with the verb bédé 'they lied' (also 48:19; TJ4:71).
عbż́zm 'date-stones': This word is not in $J L$, but we find the singular bźiuzt in $M L$ (s.v. gl' and on p. 512; the form bźlūt printed in ML under the root $g l^{c}$ is obviously a typo for bźiũt), as well as in $H L$ (s.v. $g l^{c}$ ). Johnstone transcribed the first occurrence of $b$ - $\varepsilon b z ̇ \varepsilon ́ m ~ i n c o r r e c t l y ~ a s ~$ bz-bż́́m, though both occurrences are written the same in the Arabic ms. Miller and Morris (1988: 6) mention the word bízāam, referring to the large seeds of a certain type of prickly cactus fruit (Blepharis dhofarensis). This is presumably a related word, if not the same.

1 н He said, "He cannot kill me." The old woman said, "He can't kill you? He raided two months and killed the sheikh of the tribe, so what about you?"
11 The man said, "The sheikh of whom?" She said, "The sheikh of such-and-such tribe." Then he said, "Where is his house?" She said, "His house is that one. And he sleeps under that tree, he and the men of the town. Go, spend the night among the men. Leave the women be." 12 The man said, "I like (it) among the women." The old woman said, "Indeed the people told the truth, you really are crazy!" Then she gave him a few dates.
13 He put it in his robe and went. He said, "I want to give this date to the sheikh." The old woman said, "You'd better not [lit. it's not good for you]. He will kill you."
14 The man went until he came to the sheikh, and the men were sitting. Then the men and the sheikh laughed at him, and the sheikh said to the boys, "Pelt him with the date-stones." They pelted him with the date-stones and he fake-cried [lit. cried on pretense].
15 They all laughed at him. Then the man said to the sheikh, "Give me blankets to cover myself with." The sheikh said, "Ugh! He'll stink up our clothes!"
16 And he drove him away. And (later) he went until he got close to them. He sat until the people fell asleep. He saw the sheikh sleeping near a tree. Then in the middle of the night, the man came.
${ }_{17}$ He took his rifle and his dagger. He cocked the rifle and shot him, and (then) he went. The men chased him, but they didn't catch him.

[^221]18 b-ag̉ád эrx ba-fókh ed zəไ̣ám tel sékənəš. õrót ag̉abgót, "náṣanu ġeyg." bə-šfọ’k bes bə-zḥám mes b-īnéš. bə-d-’ód ṣaḥ̂́t ed náṣ̂anu. bə-təmmút.

Text 47 (= M102, but variant): Conversations
1 A: "ágan nas̃źd, ṭaṭ k-Érún, ba-ṭáṭ k-iyél, ba-ṭát yaxétər. ba-túm nḳəl."
2 B: "mkun he, 'ak k-iyél."
 b-iyátk berót dḥa-l-éškot."
4 B: "ol taktélób lo."
5 A: "ba-hét dḥa-tékan k-દ́rún. aḥtéðór ar kıb. õr manhínam hógúm al-sćkan ðદ́nu $\varepsilon$-sérén." C: "mor."
6 A: "ba-hé dḥa-l-xétər đ̣eryirs̃ōb ba-dha-l-zhómkum ḳarére kol'éni. b-ínét tagréfan axdér. b-erśót yaḳómnam l-irs̃j̄b. her zaḥám man ẽxṭér, (t)zḥ̂on д-télf."
7 axarét crśót ġolób. 'õr, "כl nakénúm lo, ar hér dḥa-(t)zḥõ-tun ba-ḳasmét." A: "dha-l-zhómkum ba-ḳasmét."
8 Wife: "mor, astahól. ba-dék al tarkób l-acizóg. yafórad." A: "le", dha-l-arkób leš."
1о Wife: "Jl xer hek lo."
11 A: "dḥa-l-arkób leš. dḥa-l-ḥĩraš." axarét rékab l-acizóg ba-féród beš, bə-níkəb. axarét z̛aḥkót meš tíț̌̌. ‘õrót, "tob ar ḥõrək!"
12 '̃̃r, "ezbórs̃ híni. hes nîkbak, matíl to!" õrót, "ko het dóak to?"
13 ‘õr, "bass." õorót tet̨, "mor, ken li l-hés ī, ar b-arz̛abé!"

Text 47
1 nas̃éd: This is the 1 cp subjunctive of the Š2-Stem $\tilde{s} \bar{e} d$ (root $w d d ; 3 \mathrm{mp}$ imperfect yas̃ódən, 3mp subjunctive yas̃ód). It corresponds in meaning to the Mehri T2-Stem of the same root, used in the corresponding passage of the Mehri text (102:1). In addition to the $J L$ entry for this verb under the root $w d d, J L$ also lists a verb $\tilde{s} s ̌ e ̄ d$ under the root $\tilde{s} d y$; this is surely a ghost form (and ghost root). First, an Š2-Stem of such a root would not have this form, though $\tilde{s} s \bar{e} d$ could theoretically be an Š2-Stem of the root šwd (compare the forms in §7.4.8). Second, Johnstone compared $\tilde{s} \check{e} e ̄ d$ with Mehri T2 ahtōdi, but the Mehri root hdy is cognate with the Jibbali root $h d y$. Mehri ahtōdi corresponds to Jibbali T2 ahtóde. Moreover, two Š-Stems from the root hdy are listed in $J L$.

18 And he went for a month and a half, until he got to his community. The girl said, "Now (you are) a man." And he married her and had children [or: sons] with her. And they are still alive until now. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 47

1 A: "Let's divide up work among one another, one with the goats, one with the camels, and one will go down into town. You choose."
2 B: "As for me, I want (to be) with the camels."
3 A: "Ok, you (will be) with the camels. Herd in this valley, and watch out that the camels don't wander off. And your camel is about to give birth."
4 B: "Don't worry."
5 A: "And you will be with the goats. Watch out for a wolf. They said yesterday that it attacked this settlement that's behind us." C: "Ok."
6 A: "And I'll go down into town on the riding-camels, and I'll come back to you tomorrow evening. The women should sweep the caves, and the boys should collect fodder for the riding-camels. When they come back from a trip, they come back hungry."
7 Then the boys refused. They said, "We won't collect fodder, unless you'll bring us a gift." A: "I will bring you a gift."
8 Wife: "Ok, goodbye. And be sure not to ride the young camel. It bolts."
9 A: "No, I will ride it."
1о Wife: "You'd better not [lit. it's not good for you]."
11 A: "I will ride it. I'll tame it." Then he rode the young camel, and it bolted with him on, and he fell. Then his wife laughed at him. She said, "Indeed you tamed (it)!"
12 He said, "You're enjoying my pain. When I fall, be like me!" She said, "Why do you curse me?"
13 He said, "(I) just (did)." The woman said, "Ok, be to me like my father, unless (you offer) a reconciliation."

[^222] ba-xtór ag̈éyg, ba-kbér yol sékanaš. ba-tammút.

Text 48 (= M99 and H 2 , but a shorter variant): Fox and Friends
1 xatarét b-eziün énfí, kob ba-kéżzar ba-țirín b-itél b-erxõt ba-yaġréb xațór. $b$-agád sd ésal manzél ṭat ber ðə-télf.
2 axarét ôr hóhum ekéżər, "ġod ba-zhî-tun ba-iş̨́, ba-hé dha-l-óskəf bũn." (šum) ağád.
3 mkun (mən) tīrín, agadót bə-ksét god maḥmíṣ ðə-rdiēš bə-hôlótš. b-ağadót đ̛̣r ḥãr, ksét madfunút ba-nəkśsts. ba-ksét gazalét ðə-gírśb
 bə-derhés.


5 ba-daháš ózhum ba-séré ökrút ba-द̌ē téhum. $b$-วhtóds tũrhum.

## Text 47

14 ( $\partial$-)tzḥ̂il: The prefix $\partial$ - is not in the mss. It should be there, but it is suppressed because of the initial $t$ - (see § 2.1.10).

## Text 48

1 yaǵréb: See the comment to 33:7.
1 xaṭór: This is the EJ form of the verb. The CJ form (given in $J L$ ) is xoṭór, which Johnstone added in the margin of both Roman mss. In 21:1, Ali seems to use $x o t ̣ o ́ r, ~ t h o u g h ~ t h e ~ v o w e l ~ o f ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ s y l l a b l e ~ o n ~ t h e ~ a u d i o ~$ is perhaps somewhere between $\rho$ and $a$.
2 šum: This word was added in the margin of one Roman ms. It is not necessary, but it does make clear the subject of the verb agád, which can be 3 ms , 3 mp , or 3 fp .
3 mkún (man): The preposition mən is apparently optional after the particle mkun (see §12.5.14). The man is not in the Arabic ms after the first occurrence of mkun, but Johnstone added it in parentheses in the margins of both Roman mss. The mən is present in all mss in the following two occurrences of mkun.

The woman left, carrying her things to her family. Then he offered her a half a camel as reconciliation, and they agreed. And the man went down into town, and (then) came back up to his settlement. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 48

1 Once, in former times, a wolf, a leopard, a hyena, a fox, a vulture, and a raven were traveling. They went until they got to a certain place, already hungry.
Then the leopard said to them, "Go and bring us food, and I will stay here." They went.
3 As for the hyena, she went and found an animal skin that someone threw away, and picked it up. And she went onto a mountain and found a cache of goods and opened it. She found a half a jarab-measure of dates and took it. As for the wolf, he went into a valley. He found a woman with goats, and he snatched a calf from her.
4 As for the leopard, young camels passed by him, and he jumped on the back of one of them and broke its neck. Then in the evening, everyone met someplace. They lit a fire and laid heating stones.
5 And they skinned their goat, skinned the young camel, and roasted their meat. And they divided up the dates. contraction is found in 46:15. It is also worth noting that the Mehri and Ḥarsusi versions of this text have a passive participle here, a form that seems to be less productive in Jibbali.
hõlóts: The Arabic ms and one Roman ms (the more careful one!) have hôl'tsts, with a 3 ms object suffix. This is a mistake, since the suffix must agree with the feminine gazal'́t.
$k \tilde{s}$ : The Arabic ms and original transcription in the Roman ms has sél les 'he snatched from her'. Here the use of $l$ - is idiomatic with the verb sel, just as it is idiomatic with the verb dōlax in the Mehri version (99:3) and nazōg in the Ḥarsusi version (2:3). Johnstone later replaced les in his ms with $k \tilde{\varepsilon} s$ 'from her', which was apparently the preference of a later informant.
5 séré ókrút: This is what the Arabic ms has. The Roman mss have just $b$-ōkrút'and the young camel', taking this as a second object of the verb dahášs.
 $\varepsilon b s ̌ ̌ j(l) k u m, ~ a ’$ ’ós to." õr hes, "mor."
7 s̃ōfót ba-šúm ebšél téhum b-əhtóde. õr itِél, "zũ-to iyén $\varepsilon$-tīrín, ba-hé dḥa-l-acáśs." zũš iyéns.
 bд-s̃éf.
9 ed k-ḥáṣaf, õrót tīrín, "iyéni húṭũn? he ol tēk śé lo manhínam, b-eṣbáḥk дд-télafk."


 ẽkarérts̃ lo, akín he bédé. ba-hér xnúts̃ tóhum man s̃its̃ ( ẹkarérts), takín hit ol ótkəð̛əs̃ lo, ba-dḥa-l-дḥzíz."
 "śńnkum?"


6 ḥilin: This noun, the meaning of which is clear, is not in JL. It is a verbal noun of the G-Stem heõl 'carry' (root hml ).
عbżz̃m: See the comment to 46:14.
11 šbiṭ: The verb šōt (root šbṭ) is not listed in JL, though its meaning is clear. In the Roman ms for this text, Johnstone glossed it as 'shit'. It is used again in the next line, as well as in 97:40.
11 s̃́ts̃: In the Arabic ms, Ali added in the margin 'or $\tilde{\varepsilon} k \not a r \varepsilon ́ r t s$ '. These two mean roughly the same thing; s̃ét ( $J L$, s.v. $\tilde{s}$ ) refers to the private area in general, while makarért (JL, s.v. ḳrr) means 'anus'. Earlier in the line, Ali had crossed out s̃its̃ and written $a w$ ('or') $\tilde{\varepsilon} k ̣ a r \varepsilon ́ r t s$. In the Roman ms Johnstone included both variants for the second occurrence.
13 hazzín: The Roman ms has ḥazéz here, which is probably a mistake. The form hazzín in the Arabic ms matches the verbal noun given in $J L$ (s.v. $h(z z)$; cf. also the verbal noun hailín in line 6 (not listed in $J L$ ).

6 The hyena said, "I've become tired [lit. I've gotten to the evening having become tired] from carrying the dates, and I want to go to sleep. When you've cooked, wake me." They said to her, "Ok."
7 She went to sleep, and they cooked their meat and divided (it) up. The fox said, "Give me the hyena's portion, and I'll wake her up." They give him her portion.
8 He ate from the good meat and the good dates, and he stuffed the bones and the date-stones in her backside. And he went to sleep.
9 Then in the morning, the hyena said, "Where is my portion? I didn't eat anything last night, and I woke up hungry."
1o They said to her, "Your portion is with the fox." The fox said, "You ate your portion last night. Why, didn't you wake up?" She said, "I didn't eat anything!"
The fox said, "Ok, go defecate in the valley. If you don't expel the bones and the date-stones from your backside, I am a liar. But if you expel them from your backside, you didn't wake up, and you will be killed." The hyena went and defecated, and she expelled the bones and datestones from her backside. The fox said, "Did you see?!"
Then he excused her from being killed, and they went. Then the fox said to the hyena, "Carry me up to that acacia tree, and then I will carry you."
14 She carried him until they reached the acacia tree. The fox said, "You still have until the next acacia."
haróź: In the Roman ms, which Johnstone revised with the help of a CJ speaker (a unique feature of this text), he added the form a'érź in the margin; he did likewise in line 14 (note that haróź is feminine, while $a^{\prime} \varepsilon \varepsilon^{r} z$ 亿 is masculine). Neither form is in $J L$. In $M L$ (s.v. $h r z$ ź), following the Mehri form ḥarōź, Johnstone notes EJ ḥaróź and the absence of a CJ form. In $H L$ (s.v. ḥrź), Johnstone just listed Jibbali ḥaróź, with no indication of dialect. Johnstone believes this tree is the Acacia mellifera, also known as a blackthorn tree, though in $H L$ he indicated his uncertainty with a question mark. Miller and Morris (1988: 177) maintain that this is Acacia tortilis, also known as the umbrella thorn acacia. Miller and Morris also list only ḥaróź as the Jibbali term (likewise Morris 2002:57).

5 ḥõlótš b-ag̉ád. $\varepsilon$ d éṣal delóf, cõr itِél, "a'élí b-a‘élókum 'ak. (l-) extiníthum yadólaf man fúdún ðínu $\varepsilon$-ðik."
 b-sbré.

 yadólaf mən bũn $\varepsilon$ d 'ak egaḥrér."

 kelš $\varepsilon d$ kun haśśś.
21 'õr her itِ él, "kərób li bo-té mən śsbḥ a‘ántí. takín sóga‘""
22 'õr iťél "ol dḥa-l-ḳaróbk lo. he dḥa-l-ġád. taxalóf ṣahát!" b-agád it tél, $b$ - $\varepsilon k$ ézéar fžt. ba-təmmút fóḳaḥ $\varepsilon$-kéltót.

Text 49 (= M89): A Naughty Boy and Sweet Potatoes
1 mkun xaṭarét ṭit ð-axáfən bə-xádər ðókũn mən đ̛ér xargún ag̀i. bə-hé maḳtiži. b-érún д-aġyég, bə-yó дə-xalọt lẽn.

Text 48
${ }_{15}$ 'ak (l-)extinithum: The Arabic ms has 'ak axtinithum, as does Johnstone's original transcription. Johnstone later corrected this to l-axtiníthum (with $l$ - in place of ' $a k$. ), which must have been the preference of his later informant.
$15 \varepsilon$-ðik: This is the realization of an underlying $\varepsilon d$ ðik.
16 délóf: In this text, we find both délóf and dólóf for 'he jumped'. The variants seem to be confirmed by the Arabic ms, which has ديلوف for délóf, but دولوف for dólóf. Below délóf in this line of the Roman ms, Johnstone writes "or dólóf". Johnstone also added later corrections elsewhere, based on his later informant, to indicate the CJ form ðólóf. See also $J L$ (s.v. $d l f$ and $\partial l f$ ).
$16 \varepsilon b r e ́ ’:$ Johnstone listed this verb in $J L$ under the root $b r w$. I wonder if it should instead be under $b r^{\prime}$, a root which elsewhere in Semitic has

15 She carried him and they went. Then when they reach some rocks, the fox said, "My forefather and your forefathers, at their circumcision, they would jump from this rock to that one."
16 The leopard said, "You lied, but if you are telling the truth, you jump first." The fox jumped and was fine.
17 And the leopard jumped and was fine. And the wolf jumped and his feet broke. And they went back to him and ate him.
18 And they went until they reached a precipice [lit. big hole] in the mountain. The fox said to the leopard, "My father and your father used to jump from here into the valley."
19 The leopard said, "You lied, but if you are telling the truth, you jump first."
20 The fox jumped and landed standing in the valley. And nothing was hurt. And the leopard jumped and all of him was broken and [lit. until] he was all smashed (in his bones).
21 He said to the fox, "Come up to me and eat the fat of my eyes. Be brave!" The fox said, "I won't come near you. I'll leave. Be well [lit. may health come]!" And the fox left, and the leopard died. And half of the story is finished.

## Translation of Text 49

1 Once we were camped in that cave, after the death of my brother. And I was naughty. The goats had given birth, and people had joined with us.
meanings connected with health or well-being. Other forms from the root $b r^{\prime}$ in Jibbali have meaning associated with freedom or innocence, similar to Arabic (though the root is also connected with health in Arabic).
ह́nf̄̄t (kéźúm): The Arabic ms has $\varepsilon$ ह́nfèt 'formerly', as did the original transcription in the Roman ms. With his later corrector, Johnstone replaced this with the particle kéźúm 'used to' (see § 12.5.11). them both to énfí based on his later informant.
haśóśs: This word is not in $J L$, but it is listed in $M L$ (s.v. ḥśś). The Mehri word is given the meaning 'having all the bones smashed'.
ba-s̃ẽn šíṭár mékan, b-ı̄ yakín k-õšźt.
ba-hér ínét folọk šíṭár, ašórḳ ũs e-ī b-aḥzéz šíṭár. axarét ínét śíni to, ba-hér 'ágab taḳ'án šíṭár, ṭit mansén (t)sokf ḍer dof man tél l-əḳōżan li. bek ḥizk śotét šítár, țroh e-nḥá ba-ṭát edídi.
falékan émízũthum šọṭr ba-šọtorhum $\varepsilon$-ḥéziz, bo-šúm gúzúm, "Јl noźiọt beš kéźīt."
axarét kéré ‘áni ũs $\varepsilon$-ī, bo-ínćtِ ol-’od kéla‘ to al-ġád yol šitár lo.
ed yum ṭit égaḥk 'ak xádar, akós šọṭar troh, cśkél edídi. ba-xonúḳək ṭat manhúm.
 ba-kó het fyítk taṭ?"
bə-sé (t)žhว้k. õo, "édíti, a s śékal." axarét sōtót to émí, ba-rṣənút to al-gandét ed kol'éni.
bo-guzúmk ol-ǒd ahzéz šitc̣ár zeyd, b-عrxét li.
 nafnék fandél. ba-nḥá ekéźún d-’’dən эl naġórb fandél lo.
bə- õr híni $̄$, "her 'ak het b-\&ġàtk tag̉ód đ̛̣er emíh, dḥa-l-zémkum fondél ḥaṣ ع-zhámkum.
falékan dek ol tósbaṭ $\varepsilon$ g̉átk. her sōṭak $\varepsilon$ g̉àtk, ol dḥa-l-zémk śé lo." õk, "mor."
ag̉ádən. axarét he 'ak eg̉áti tḥill to $\varepsilon d$ đ̣ér emíh. ©ôk, "eg̉áti, ḥamíl to!" cõrót, "het bek śeb náṣanu, b-эl aḳódar al-ḥĩ(l)k lo." axarét ag̉ádk l-ósabṭas. ©õrót híni, "fọtnak śé?" õk, "iné?" ‘õrót, "f̣tun!" õok he, "fandél." cõrót, "õr hek ī, 'her sōṭak عġátk ol dha-lzémk śé lo.'"
axarét ôk, "Jl dha-l-a'mér his̃ śé lo. b-ol tíklot her ī lo." 'õrót, "mor." agádən. $\varepsilon$ d éṣalan đ̣er emíh, mélét li bériḳ míh.
taḳán: This is the 3 fp subjunctive of the G-Stem $k a^{\text {ée. In }} J L$ (s.v. $k^{\prime} w$ ), the G-Stem is given the meaning '(kids) get out of the pen', while the H-Stem $\varepsilon k$ 'é has the meaning 'let (kids) out of the pen'. It is the latter meaning that fits the context here. However, in the word-list that accompanies this text, Johnstone listed the 3ms perfect ko $\check{e}$ (with the G-stem 3ms imperfect/subjunctive form yok 'á) with the meaning 'let kids out'. ML (s.v. flk) records both EJ $k$ cé (presumably a G-Stem) and CJ ek'é (H-Stem), corresponding in meaning to the Mehri G-Stem falūk. 'let kids out of the pen' (the 3ms perfect form falēk in $M L$ is a typo).

2 And we had a lot of (goat) kids, and my father was with the livestock.
And whenever the women let out the kids, I would steal my father's razor and slaughter the kids. Then the women saw me, and when they wanted to let out the kids, one of them would sit on a rock where they could watch me.
4 I had already killed three kids, two of ours and one of my uncle's.
5 But my mother gave them a kid for their kid that was slaughtered, and they swore, "We won't take compensation for it."
6 Then they hid my father's razor from me, and the women didn't let me go by the kids anymore.
7 Then one day, I went into the cave and found two kids, twins of my uncle. And I strangled one of them.
8 And the women heard the squealing of the kid and came. My aunt said, "My son, I only have two kids, so why did you kill one?"
9 And she was laughing. I said, "Aunt, (it was) only a twin." Then my mother hit me, and tied me to a tree-trunk until the evening.
10 And I swore I would not slaughter kids anymore, and she let me go.
11 And we remained. Then after a while, my father went down to town and brought us back sweet potatoes so that we could taste sweet potato. We children didn't yet know sweet potato.
And my father said to me, "If you want to go with your sister to the water, I'll give you sweet potatoes when you come back.
13 But be sure not to hit your sister. If you hit your sister, I won't give you anything." I said, "Ok."
We went. Then I wanted my sister to carry me up to the water. I said, "Sister, carry me!"
She said, "You're big now already, and I can't carry you."
Then I went to hit her. She said to me, "Do you remember something?" I said, "What?"
She said, "Remember!" I said, "Sweet potatoes." She said, "Father said to you, 'If you hit your sister, I won't give you anything." "
Then I said, "I won't do [lit. say] anything to you. And don't tell father." She said, "Ok."
We went. Then when we reached the water, she filled a jug with water for me.
dha-l-a'mér: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the comment "say, meaning do". Cf. the same usage in $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 36$ and $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 50$.


parallel to the phrase $\partial w$-səbēbəh used in the Mehri version of this text. The Roman ms has siréš (which I have altered to séréś), from the preposition sér, with al-síezš in the margin in parentheses. It is possible that ser (which has the basic meaning 'behind, after') may be the more native idiom, while $a l$-siz̄š is a more Arabized expression. $J L$ (s.v. sr) does list 'because of' as a possible meaning of ser (see § 8.24 for some does list because of as a possible meaning of $\operatorname{ser}$ (see § 8.24 for some
examples). It is also quite possible that sérés in the Roman ms is simply an error, since the Arabic ms has only al-siz̄š.
bə-õrót híni, "ḥəmél bə-dha-l-a'mér her ī 'áli axér ar erśót kel."" ḥõk ērik ba-ð-aftéréžan ba-fandél. d-‘ok ol aśúnsan lo.
 ‘õrót, "¿ дว-ȳ̄d bék. ‘ágab bek tag̉ád đ̛̣er emíh."
hes šã'k tos hergót ṭókũn, rúdak b-ērík ð-ámkáš emíh, ba-ḥõk dof. ağádk l-érd ع ġáti. axarét z̧oġarrót b-\&bḥé yo.
 dha-l-zémk fandél."
‘õk, "ol 'ak bésan lo. dḥa-l-gád yol xéli." b-agáádk yol xélí. šum kéríb len. $\varepsilon d$ zaḥámk д-э̄k, ‘õr xéźi, "ēr-gáti, ko het t̄̄k?" $o ̃ k$, "sōṭót to émí, ba-hé ng்amk ह́mtkum."
axarét õr xéźi, "mor, $\bar{\varepsilon} r-g$ g̀ $\partial t i, ~ n a k a ́ c " . ~ s k ə f k ~ t e l ~ x e ́ z ́ i . ~$ ed kol'éni, kélé érún ba-'éś xéźi ba-héz oz.
 tažbót to.
‘õr xéźi, "ol yabg̉ód lo ar hér ‘ágis̃ ( $t$ )zĩmš yat." õrrót, " $h$-íné l-azémš? embére' maktízí, $b$-วl dé 'ágab yaxélt ben lo al-siēš (séréš).

axarét zũt to yot ba-l-ğád s̃es. õr xéźi, "ko tũm kélá'kum toš yag̉ád
xiźfét: See the comment to 39:8.
$b a-l-g$ ǵd: The preposition $b$ - is used here as a subordinator to indi- cate purpose ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 \cdot 5$ ). Normally we expect her in such a context ( $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 2.2$ ), but here $b$ - is used since there is the sense of 'in exchange for'. Both the Roman and Arabic mss confirm this form, and Johnstone himself glossed the phrase as 'for me to go' in the Roman ms.

20 And she said to me, "Carry (this) and I will say to father, 'Ali is better than all the (other) boys.'"
${ }_{21}$ I carried the jug and was excited about the sweet potatoes. I still had not seen them.
Then when we got close to the settlement, I said to my sister, "Do you remember something?" She said, "No." I said, "Sweet potatoes." She said, "Father was lying to you. He wanted you to go to the water." When I heard her talk like this, I threw the jug that had the water in it, and I picked up a rock.
${ }_{25}$ I went to pelt my sister. Then she shrieked and people came to help.
26 And my mother came and hit me, and I went away angry from them. My father said to me, "Come here, I'll give you sweet potatoes."
27 I said, "I don't want them. I am going to my uncles." And I went to my uncles. They were close to us.
Then when I came crying, my uncle said, "Nephew, why are you crying?" I said, "My mother hit me, and I've come to you angry."
Then my uncle said, "Ok, nephew, come." I stayed with my uncle.
Then in the evening, the goats came home, and my uncle got up and slaughtered a goat.
He said, "My nephew has come to me angry, (so) I will slaughter for him." Then the next day, my mother came to get me.
My uncle said, "He won't go unless you want to give him a camel." She said, "Why should I give him (a camel)? The boy is naughty, and no one wants to stay with us because of him.
He hits the boys and he hits his sister." My uncle said, "Even so!" Then she gave me a camel for me to go with her. My uncle said, "Why did you all let him go by himself yesterday?" My mother said, "We didn't think he would go. I knew he was excited about the sweet potatoes, but the boy, it turns out his heart is hard." And I went with my mother. It is finished.
kósi: This word is not in $J L$, but $M L$ (s.v. $k s v$ ) lists this as an EJ form. It is perhaps just a Mehri word. It is ultimately an Arabic loanword, as proven by the fact that the form has $s$ as a reflex of the Proto-Semitic ${ }^{*} s($ root $q s w / y)$, instead of the expected Jibbali $\check{s}$ or Mehri $h$ (cf. Hebrew $q a \bar{s} s$, Arabic qasīy-).

Text 50 (no M): Taking Care of a Baby Brother
1 xaṭarét ð-axáfən bə-xádər, b-émí təkún əmbérs'. $\varepsilon d y u m$ ṭit 'õrót híni, "hst skeftel ag̉ak,
2 ba-hé dḥa-l-ğád l-ékar b-ag̉óhí \&d al-zḥóm kum. b-embérs' náṣanu бд-sééf, bə-dék $\partial(l) l-a$ áśśs." õk, "mor."
 'akfídét $\varepsilon d$ ḍér dof eb 'ak s̃ũm.
4 axarét zaḥám crśs̊t bo- õr híni, "het dḥa-tó(l)təg่ ag̉ák! s̃um yalótəg่ عkézéũn." õk, "дદ́nu ag̀í, bə-lókum śé míni lo."
5 axarét artēg eršót, ba-ǒr, "'ágən nagáhš embérє’ ol-’ód yafót." ba-zhám 'ágab yaḥmól عmbére'.
 ba-fədnín. b-عrśót égah 'ak xádər ba-htóf, b-\&mbére' Әə-ȳ̄k ber dḥa-yfót.
 "ko tũm?"
 ba-delóf. 'ágab yó(l)təġ tũn, b-aǵáš dḥa-yfót mən xēt! bə-g்ád ḥmel embérs' $\varepsilon n i ́ s ̣ a ́ n . " ~$
9 axarét $\varepsilon k b$ él li aġéyg, b-ag̉ádk l-érdəš bə-fədnín. axarét õr ag̉éyg, "dḥa-l-lḥók lek, nardé $\varepsilon r s ̌ j ̄ t . " ~ b a-z h ̣ a ́ m ~ t o . ~ k s e ́ ~ e m b e ́ r e ' ~ b e r ~ d h ̣ a-y f o ́ t ~ m a n ~$ sum bo-x $\bar{\varepsilon}$ t.
1о axarét hõol عmbérs" ba-ôr híni, "g̉adú, dha-l-zémk mit-íné." b-ag̉ádən $\varepsilon$ d éṣalan xádar. šéké $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ' ~ m i ́ h ~ b-\varepsilon s ́ x a f a ̀ s ̌ ~ n u ́ s ́ a b . ~$
11 ba-zḥõt ह́mí. ksét embérs' ber ta‘bún. ba-skófən yũ trut, ba-xaróg عmbérs'. bə-təтmút.

2 Әд-s̃éf: The prefix ðә- is crossed out in the Roman ms for some reason.
$3 \bar{\varepsilon} r$-dódí: See the comment to $46: 2$.
4 yalótə $\dot{g}$ : The Arabic ms has only yalótəġ, while the Roman ms has бд-yalótəg. I found no audio for this text. We would not expect the particle $\partial$-here, if it is a general present tense (see § 7.1.10.1).
9 dha-l-lḥ́k: See the comment to 36:4.

Translation of Text 50
1 Once we were staying in a cave, and my mother was nursing a boy. Then one day, my mother said to me, "You stay with your brother,
2 and I will go to visit my brothers until I come back to you. And the boy is sleeping now, so be careful not to wake him." I said, "Ok."
3 My mother went, and I stayed. And boys were with me, my cousins. Then I took the boy out of the cradle, and (put him) onto a big rock in the hot sun.
4 Then the boys came and said to me, "You will kill your brother! The hot sun kills little children." I said, "This is my brother, and you have nothing to do with it [lit. nothing from me]."
5 Then the boys conspired with each other, and they said, "Let's take the boy from him by force before he dies." And they came, intending to take the boy.
6 Then I put him on my back, and I went up onto the ledge of the cave, and I threw [or: rolled] down stones at them. And the boys entered into the cave and yelled for help, and the boy was crying and about to die.
7 The man who was going on his way heard the shouting of the boys, and he came to help. When he came, he said, "Why are you (shouting)?"
8 The boys said, "Ali took his younger brother on top of the cave in the hot sun, and is throwing rocks at us. He wants to kill us, and his brother will die of thirst. Go get the little boy!"
9 Then the man approached me, and I went to throw rocks at him. Then the man said to me, "I'll help you, let's pelt the boys." And he came to me. He found the boy about to die from the hot sun and thirst.
1o Then he took the boy and said to me, "Let's go, I'll give you something." And we went until we got to the cave. He gave the boy water and gave him milk.
11 And my mother came. She found the boy already ill. We stayed two days, and the boy died. It is finished.

Text 51 (no M): A Sick Child and a Naughty Child
 embérs' géle.
2 b-érún megéte, b-ol s̃en núśab lo. s̃en keít, ba-hé áágób ar ba-núśab. falékan érún ol bésan núsab lo, ar her embére’ ðə-ykénє’.
3 ba-hér émí 'õrót híni ‘ənká‘ tũn b-єnúśab ag̉ák', abğód b-əśxóf meš mən tél ع́míal ( $t$ )śún to lo, $b$-ənúśh.
4 axarét tว‘áb embére’, ba-hé 'ak beš yafót l-agére l-əśxóf $\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ b ว s ̌$.
5 عd yum ṭit ķəhébən đ̣er xádər mən đ̣é nxín sg̉ót. $\varepsilon d$ ḳiriót yũm təğĩd, 'äśśan 'ágan naġád yol xádar, he b-aćlí.
 bə-xarkétk."
7 axarét i e egnín đ̣er embérs’ a-béké. īyag̉áżún man عkéézún. axarét śink ī ðə-yว̄k. ag̉ádk mən séréš $\varepsilon d$ dəhéfk toš alhín s̃i mən ḥ̣us.
8 'õk, "ebrék xaróg bə-hét (t)šźdhəf." axarét 'eś bว-séfa‘ to zifét trut $\varepsilon$ d ítk l-éréś.
 ba-žēṭót ī.
10 ‘õrót, "nḥa ゝl súlman ar ðદ́nu. 'ak tó(l)tġəš?" b-əðtēlót híni. b-ag̉ádən, ī ḥõl $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ’ ~ \partial ə-x a r o ́ g ~ b-\varepsilon ́ m i ́ h ̣ o ̃ l o ́ t ~ t o, ~ \varepsilon d ~ k ̣ e ́ r b a n ~ a l-x a ́ d ə r . ~$
$b$ - $\varepsilon n u ́ s ́ z b ~ a \dot{g} a ́ k$ : The Roman ms has $b$ - $\varepsilon n u$ úśab her $a \dot{g} a k$ '(bring us) milk for your brother', while the Arabic ms and audio have just $b$ - $\varepsilon n u ́ s \not \partial b$ ag̉ák '(bring us) your brother's milk'.
3 ənúśh: This is an H-Stem of the root nśh. In JL, the imperfect is given as yanúśhan, a D/L-Stem form. The imperfect form in this text (ənúśḥ) is clearly an H-Stem (see § 7.4.11), and Johnstone listed the 3ms imperfect form yanúśh in the word-list attached to this text, also clearly an H -Stem. It is possible that the form printed in $J L$ is a mistake. Note that this verb also has a corresponding H-Stem in Mehri and Harsusi ( see $M L$ and $H ̣ L$, s.v. nśh ).
5 man đ̣é: Below the words xádar man đ̣é in the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'above the cave'. The phrase mən đ̣é, used here and in line 11 (both times in combination with the preposition Ø̣er'on, above'), seems to have an adverbial meaning 'above'. The origin of the second element of the phrase is unclear, but it must be connected with the

## Translation of Text 51

1 Once we were staying in a cave in the mountains, and my mother was nursing a boy. Then the boy got sick.
2 And the goats were very pregnant, and we didn't have milk. We had food, but I only liked [or: wanted] milk. But the goats didn't have any milk, (there was) only (milk) for the boy who was being nursed.
3 And whenever my mother said to me 'bring us your brother's milk', I would go and drink some of it where my mother wouldn't see me, and I would wipe my mouth.
4 Then the boy got sick, and I wanted him to die, so I could drink his milk.
5 One day we spent the day up above the cave, under a buttontree. Then when the sun was about to set, we-me and my family-got up intending to go to the cave.
6 Then the boy died, and my mother sat down. She said to my father, "The boy has died, cover me with your robe."
7 Then my father stooped over the child and wept. My father had compassion towards children. Then I saw my father crying. I went behind him and [lit. until] I slapped him (on the back) with all the strength I had.
8 I said, "Your son died and you should be slapped." Then he got up and slapped me (on the face) twice, and [lit. until] I fell on my head.
9 And he picked up a stick and went to beat me. Then my mother put down the boy that had died and grabbed my father.
1o She said, "We have only this one left. Do you want to kill him?" And she protected me. And we went-my father carried the boy that had died and my mother carried me-until we got near the cave.
word hááḍé 'up; above’ that appears in $J L$ (s.v. ḥ̛̣’). The word đ̣é also occurs in Müller's texts (Bittner 1916b: 56). See also the comment to TJ4:85.
5 sǵót: This word is not in $J L$, and in the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'common kind of tree'. According to Miller and Morris (1988: 102), this is Anogeissus dhofarica, though they transcribe the word ṣgót; other sources have confirmed Johnstone's transcription with $s$. The English name for this tree is 'Dhofari buttontree'.

11
s̃e lo.
axarét xtor ī ḥallét. bə-zḥám tun bo-gunét žəfəlēt, híț, bə-ḥit 'afiréte. ed yum țit émí kunút k-Érún, b-ī kun k-iyćl, ba-hé b-غg̉áti ḳəhébən bə-xádər. bə- ôrót hẽn émí, "kol'éni ósbox her érún ba-‘afirót."
16 áanés her hááši ’ófar. $\varepsilon d$ kol'éni ‘õrót eg̉áti, "'ágan nahéffar hášsi ’ófar her nésbax ba-xádar."
'õk he, "Émí 'õrót, 'ósbax ba-‘afirót', ba-dḥa-nésbax ba-hịt a’̆far." 'õrót عġáti, "غġí, al dé yass̄x ba-ḥit lo!"
18 'õk, "le’, dha-nésbəx bə-hiṭ!" axarét aġadót təžbót to. ḥõk fudún, bə- õk hes, "her žēṭas̃ to, dha-l-érdis̃!"

13 s̃erókək: Both mss have s̃orókək, but the audio has s̃erókək (twice, actually, since Ali stumbled and repeated the word). We find s̃orókək also in 42:11 (with no audio to confirm), but s̃erókək elsewhere in the texts (e.g., 1:1). See also the comment to 86:5.
$13 a \dot{g} \bar{o} r$ : This clearly means something like 'prevented' or 'got in the way of'. It must be a D/L-Stem (3ms perfect) of the root $\dot{g} w r$. Though the form is not in $J L, M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} w r$ ) does list a Mehri D/L-Stem of this root, meaning 'distract’.
14 źafal $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ : Johnstone translated this word in $J L$ (s.v. żfl) as 'Dhofari (red) dhurah'. This seems to be a kind of sorghum. Note that word literally means just ‘Dhofari' (cf. žófól 'Dhofar’). The following word hiṭ must be appositional here; if źzfal̄̄t were modifying hít then it would follow. ósbax: There are a few problems with Johnstone's transcription of the various forms of this verb in this text. First, Johnstone consistently uses the emphatic $\underset{\substack{\text { instead of } s \\ s \\ \text { in the Roman } m s ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ a c c o m p a n y i n g ~}}{ }$ word-list. The simple $s$ used in the Arabic ms is correct, as shown by the Arabic cognate (sabbaxa 'fertilize, spread manure') and the forms listed in $J L$ and $M L$. Second, in the lexical list, Johnstone only gives what look like G-Stem forms (ș̄̄x, iṣ̄̄x, yoṣbax), though in this text

And we saw men sitting, and goats, on a ledge up above. A goat knocked down a rock, and it came in our direction, me and my mother.
Then my mother grabbed me by the arm and threw me to the men. And they caught me before I fell onto the ground.
And the rock fell on my mother's dress-train, and it tore it in half. Then my father told the people everything I had done so that they would laugh, and (how) if [or: whenever] my father slept by my mother I would prevent him. I didn't want my mother to get pregnant.
14 Then my father went down to the town. He brought us a sack of Dhofari durra grain, and the grains were red.
Then one day my mother was with the goats, my father was with the camels, and my sister and I were spending the day in the cave. And my mother said to us, "This evening spread (sand) for the goats with red." Her intention was for red sand. Then in the evening, my sister said, "Let's dig up red sand so we can spread (sand) in the cave." I said, "Mother said, 'spread with red', and we'll spread with the red grain." My sister said, "Brother, no one spreads (in the cave) with grain!" I said, "No, we will spread with grain!" Then she went to grab me. I picked up a stone, and I said to her, "If you grab me, I will pelt you!"
all forms are H -Stems; the perfect and imperfect could be either G or $H$, so perhaps he assumed $G$ and provided a presumed subjunctive $y o s ̣ b a x ~ h i m s e l f . ~ S e e ~ t h e ~ c o m m e n t ~ t o ~ l i n e ~ 16 ~ f o r ~ a n o t h e r ~ e r r o n e o u s ~$ transcription of a subjuncive form. As for the meaning of this verb, Johnstone gives 'spread sand for sheep to sleep' in the lexical list. In the Roman ms, he added 'bring red sand and sprinkle and sweep here and there for goats to lie on dry'. In $J L$ (s.v. $s b x$ ), the definition is 'sprinkle dried cow dung in a cave for animals'. 18. However, the H-Stem 1cp subjunctive must be nésbox. The audio and Arabic ms confirm this correction. $y \partial s \bar{x} x$ : In $J L$ (s.v. $s b x$ ), Johnstone gives the imperfect as $y s o \bar{o} x \partial n$, as if it were a D/L-Stem, though the perfect and subjunctive forms are clearly H -Stems. This may be a printing error. The form in this text, yas $\bar{x}$, is the expected H -Stem imperfect (cf. the forms of $\varepsilon s ̣ b a ́ h ~ i n ~ § ~ 7.4 .9) . ~$

19 bə-hé ḥõk mən egunét ðə-ḥiṭ 'ak ṣaférít, bə-sbáxk bə-xádər egunét kels. ed kol'éni kélé érún, õrót émí, "iní, sbáxkum?"
20 ©õk he, "Ẽhẽ, sbáxan ba-‘afirót." ôrrót qg̉áti, "áli ḥkum li. sbax ba-hít kel." ‘õrót ह́mí, "ko het sbáxk ba-ḥiṭ?"
21 'õk, "hit õs̃ hẽn, 'ósbox bə- 'afirót', bə-hé sbáxk." 'õrót $\varepsilon$ émí, "íné əns̃źrk beš?"
22 kéla‘ $\varepsilon$ ŕún ( $t$ )tēn ḥiṭ b-aġsarén. $\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaf, ¿ xtor bo-zhám tũn ba-ḥiṭ. bə-təтти́t.

Text 52 (no M): A Doctor's Visit
1 'ak ḥalél mansén, her dé géle, yabg̉ód yóṭlab musáadat $\varepsilon$-taxtór, b-コl yézam šé lo. falékan 'ak $\varepsilon y \bar{m} m ~ \varepsilon ́ n f o ́ t i, ~ k o l ~ t ̣ a t ~ y ~ y e ́ z a m ~ t a x t o ́ r ~ b a-x ว d m e ́ t s ̌ . ~$.
2 xaṭarét ġeyg yaśũm katbín, b-Јlya'ágób yazém śé lo her kol śé. yum ṭit kéla‘ sandík eb yahē đ̣er xafš.
 عd toxtór yazhũ̃m 'ak vdakkún.
4 mğóre' dḥa-l-óklot heš bə-xáfi. her ag̉ádək l-əśnéš, lézəm dḥa-l-zémš."
5 yum xiźfét taxtór zaḥám 'ak edəkkún, bə-śótém xérín kotbín. hes aġéyg ðə-yวśũm ber eghízóhum, kolót heš bə-xáfš.

## Text 51

2o h kum: This is presumably a G-Stem, but the meaning does not fit with any of the definitions listed in $J L$ (s.v. $\not \mathrm{km}$ ). $J L$ does list a D/L-Stem (o)ḥókum 'make others do s.t.' and a T1-Stem ḥ́tkam 'be made to do s.t.', so it is not unreasonable to think that the G-Stem could have this meaning. Hobyot has a G-Stem ḥkūm 'force' (HV, p. 148), while Mehri has an H-Stem ḥkūm 'nag' (ML, s.v. $h \mathrm{~km}$ ).
20 sbax: The Roman ms has the 1cs perfect sbaxk 'I spread', while the Arabic ms and the audio has sbax. Both forms work, but the 3 ms fits the context better. Johnstone had some difficulties with this verb elsewhere (see the comments to lines 15,16 , and 17, above).

And I took from the sack of grain with a plate, and I spread in the cave the whole sack. Then when the goats came home in the evening, my mother said, "My children, have you spread (sand)?"
I said, "Yes, we spread with red." My sister said, "Ali forced me. He spread with all the grain." My mother said, "Why did you spread with grain?"
I said, "You said to us 'spread with red', and so I spread." My mother said, "What should we do with him?!"
We let the goats eat the grain, and we spent the night. Then in the morning, my father brought us grain. It is finished.

## Translation of Text 52

1 In some towns, if someone is sick, he goes to ask the help of the doctor, and he doesn't give anything (in return). But in the old days, everyone would give the doctor (something) for his work.
2 Once there was a man who sold books, and he didn't like to give anything for anything. One day he (accidentally) let a big box fall on his foot.
3 Then his wife said, "Go to the doctor and show him your foot." The man said, "No. I will wait until the doctor comes into the shop.
4 Then I will tell him about my foot. If I go to see him, I will have to give him (something)."
5 The next day, the doctor came into the shop, and he bought a few books. After the salesman [lit. the man who was selling] had prepared them, he told him about his foot.

## Text 52

1 musáadət: This is Arabic musā'adat-'help, aid'.
1 yézam: In $J L$, the 3ms imperfect of ezúm 'give’ is listed as yézúm, but in Ali's texts it is always yézam. Informants also used this form. See also § 7.4.3 and the comment to TJ2:31.
5 xiźfét: See the comment to 39:8.
5 eghízóhum: This verb, which Johnstone glossed in the Roman ms as 'put ready', is not in $J L$. It must be either a D/L-Stem or H-Stem of the root $g h z$ (see §7.4.7 and JL, p. xxi, note 35, on the variation in forms of II-h verbs). Cf. Arabic D jahhaza 'make ready, prepare'.

6 taxtór ġolọk beš．©õr，＂ẽhẽ．lézam tóḳala‘xaf ðóhũn＇ak míh gelól l－áded $\varepsilon$－＇íṣór．


8 〔õr aġéyg ðə－yวśúm，＂gəzék xár！bə－náṣanu hũk $\varepsilon k t ə b i ́ n e ́ k . " ~ " b ə-m s ́ e ́ ? " ~ o ̃ r ~$ taxtór．＇õr，＂ba－giní troh．＂
9 ＇õr taxtór，＂arḥãt．bว－hé ol dḥa－l－zémk s sé lo．＂õr aġéyg дə－yวśúm，＂koh？＂ õr toxtór，＂he kolótk hek íné（t）s̃érk her xafk．ba－hé＇agk giní troh bə－ðóhũn．
10 heryo zaḥám yol ūti，aṭólab manhũm yazúm giní ṭaṭal－śé besít al－hés ðう́kũn．
11 falćkən her ağádəkyol ūthum，a＇ágáb ba－giní troh．bə－hé zəhámk bũn， っl hẽ lo？taxalŏf ṣaḥát！＂bə－təmmút．

Text 53 （no M）：A Wounded Uncle＇s Visit and a Bout with Smallpox
mkun xaṭarét edídi ag̉ád ðə－yxéțวr．axarét hógúm leš ġag axṣómén， ba－sótbot še š ba－šúm．ab－béš thírt mékวn．
2 bə－zḥám tun．nḥa ð－axáfən＇ak śa＇b．axarét i hez oz tِrut bo－＇aginésən her ag̉áš．$b-\varepsilon \dot{g} i ́ ~ b e s ̌ ~ g o ́ d ə r i ́ ~ b ə-ð ว-h ̣ a z o ́ l ว n ~ t ə s ̌ ~ b a h ̣ s ́ e ́ s ̌ . ~$
3 ba－yó ēta yakín k－õšźt．ba－hé b－\＆ġáti b－̄̄r－dídi nékhab ba－xádər．ba－hé azhóm edídi，acorr heš，＂zz̃－to té＂．＂ba－yézam to．
 dha－l－zémk śé lo náṣanu．＂bə－s̃ahéras to．õ̃k，＂mor．＂

## Text 52

$6 / 7 \varepsilon$－＇íṣór：Johnstone omits the relative $\varepsilon$－in his Roman ms，though it is indicated in the Arabic ms．On the audio，it is clearly audible in 52：6． Based on the Mehri equivalent $l-\bar{a} d \bar{e} d ~ \partial$－and the Arabic transcription used by Ali Musallam，it seems secure to transcribe $\varepsilon$－in both passages． Johnstone also gives the example $a^{\text {＇déd }}$ ع＇íṣór in $J L$（s．v．＇dd）．For more on l－＇ádsd $\varepsilon$－，see $\S 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 5$ ．
7 xafk：The Arabic ms and the audio have just xaf＇the foot＇，while the Roman ms has xafk＇your foot＇．Either fits the context．
8 giní：This word（＜Arabic ginīh or ginēh＜English guinea）is not listed in $J L$ ．The plural occurs in TJ4：7．

6 The doctor looked at it. He said, "Yes. You have to put that foot in hot water every night.
7 Then you have to put something on it." And he took out a piece of paper and wrote on it. "Buy this, and put it on your foot before you go to sleep every night."
8 The salesman said, "Thank you! And now here are your books." "How much?" said the doctor. He said, "Two guineas."
9 The doctor said, "Fine. And so I will give you nothing." The salesman said, "Why?" The doctor said, "I told you what to do for your foot. And I want two guineas for that.
1o If people come to my house, I ask them to give one guinea for something simple like that.
11 But if I go to their house, I want two guineas. And I came here, didn't I? Be well [lit. may health come]!" And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 53

1 Now once my uncle went traveling. Then some men, our enemies, attacked him, and he and they fought. He had many wounds.
2 And he came to us. We were camped in a valley. Then my father slaughtered two goats, and made stew with them for his brother. And my brother had smallpox, and we had secluded him by himself.
3 And the adults [lit. big people] would be with the livestock. And me, my sister, and my cousin would spend the day in the cave. And I would come to my uncle, and say to him, "Give me food." And he would give me (some).
4 Then one day he was sleeping, and I came to him. I said to him, "Give me food." He said, "I am sleeping. I won't give you anything now." And he told me off. I said, "Ok."

## Text 53

4 s̃ahéras: Johnstone glosseed this verb as 'argued with; told off' in the Roman ms. I did not find this verb in $J L$ or $M L$, but it is used also in TJ4:49. In the Roman ms for text TJ 4 , Johnstone added the gloss 'nagged (told off)'.

5 ag̉ádək 'ak. ttéb. $\varepsilon k$ ós məśhál bo-ḥõk toš. $b$-ag̉ádəkyol عdídi. õk heš,

6 hãl xarkét man đ̣ér karfífš b-ethká. śíni s̃šhál 'ak ī īi. ‘õr, "ardé beš
 hek haśmét lo."
 axarét mélés ba-rés bes. bə-õr híni, "ebrí, ardé b-ẽśḥál ná ${ }^{\text {ṣanu." }}$


©õró, "عġí, zẽ-tũn xérín té"." ©õk, "ob." axarét ‘õró, "ágən nagáḥš!" axarét hõk žṣhál ba-n‘áfk tóši. ba-faltó.
 ba-ðə-hézíl. ba-rdé to ba-fodnín. ‘õr, "ol takəərō-to lo!" axarét skofk. hes śink toš ag̉ád her yoว̣ḥ́l, ag̉ádk ed 'ak ẽnzélš. s̃aźkék ed zạhám. axarét sōṭ to. õr, "het dḥa-(t)s̃éhle."
búkək b-aġádk. bə-s̃ófk 'ak ẽnzélan. bə-gélak. śsf s̃halék gódərí. $\varepsilon$ d zaḥõt ह́mí kol'éni, ba-hé al bi ḥas lo. b-emarz̛ơt to émí, ba-s̃halét émí. bə-s̃amrơżən $\varepsilon d$ kúnən bə-xár. bə-təmmút.

5 عtée $b: J L$ (s.v. 'tِ $b$ ) defines this only as 'name of a kind of bush'. According to Miller and Morris (1988: 204), this is Ficus salicifolia, known in English as the willow-leaf ficus or wonderboom fig.
$5 \dot{g} \tilde{o} s ̣:$ Johnstone glossed $\dot{g} \tilde{o} s$ as 'cover face' in the Roman ms, but the verb is not in $J L$. This is possibly a mistake for $\dot{g} \tilde{o} s$ 'disappear behind' ( JL, s.v. $\dot{g} m s$; used in $60: 14$ ) or kọ̃s 'curl up (from cold)' ( JL, s.v. kms ), both of which could fit the context, though both mss and the audio attest to the final $s$, and the audio confirms the initial $\dot{g}$. The Arabic ms actually has قوص kõs though, in fact, the consonant $\dot{g}$ is often written with $ق$ in the Arabic mss (see the comments to $17: 10$ and 60:25). A third possibility is that this should be $\dot{g} \tilde{o} z z^{\prime}$ 'wink; close (the eyes)' (note the meaning of the Arabic cognate $\dot{g} a m a d a$ 'hide'), but Ali spelled this verb correctly in 54:24 (though he did confuse its conjugation a bit elsewhere; see the comment to $60: 34$ ). In sum, $\dot{g} \tilde{o} s ̣$ is either an otherwise unknown verb or an error.

5 I went among the fig trees. I found a chameleon and picked it up. And I went to my uncle. I said to him, "Will you give me (some), or not?" And he was covering his face.
6 He took the clothes off his face and looked up. He saw the chameleon in my hand. He said, "Throw it away, and I'll give you food." I said, "I won't throw it away unless the food is already in my hand. You are an old man, and you get [or: deserve] no respect."
7 Then he put food in my bowl. I said, "Fill it and press it down, or else the chameleon is nearby." Then he filled it and pressed it down. And he said to me, "My son, throw away the chameleon now."
8 I said, "No." And I went into our family-house. I tied up the chameleon with string, and I sat and ate my food. The children came, my sister and my cousin. They were older [lit. bigger] than me.
9 They said, "Brother, give us a little food!" I said, "No." Then they said, "We should [or: let's] take it by force!" Then I picked up the chameleon and chased after them. And they ran away.
1o And I went back and ate. When I was full, I threw the food away in the hole, and went to my brother. My brother was sick and had been put in seclusion. And he threw stones at me. He said, "Don't come near me!" Then I waited. When I saw him go to urinate, I went into his place. I lay down until he came. Then he hit me. He said, "You'll get infected." I cried and I left. And I went to sleep in our place. I got sick. It turns out I caught smallpox. Then when my mother came in the evening, I was unconscious. My mother nursed me, and my mother got infected. We were ill and [lit. until] we got better. And it is finished.
$6 b$ - $\varepsilon$ thá': The Roman ms has $\varepsilon t k a^{\prime}$ here, and the Arabic ms has بادقاء (b$\varepsilon d k a^{\prime}$ ). The root has final ' (see $J L$, s.v. $t k^{\prime}$ ), but ' is often weakened to ' in the speech of Ali Musallam. It is unclear if such weakening is typical of EJ, or is the result of Mehri interference. As for the initial root consonant, despite the erroneous and differing transcription of the $t$ in both mss, the audio clearly has $t$. . The correct spelling with $t \underline{\text { is found }}$ in TJ4:68. See also the comment to 54:29.
11 s̃aźkék: According to $J L$ (s.v. lky) the Šı-Stem is s̃elké. The form here with $z$ is just a variant.

Text 54 （＝M42，but variant；see also Johnstone 1978）：Bu Zid al－Hilali

2 b－embére＇ḥáréd ba－xfíf．yวélf enúf，ba－yakól ol dé al－hés še lo．yaród

 falékan．．．＂＇õr $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$＇，＂falékan yoh？kalít híni her dé al－hés he ba－fló axér ＇áni．＂
4 ｀õrót éméš，＂õr yo bet bu zíd al－haláli axér ar yo kel．＂＇õr，＂b－axér＇áni hé？＂＇õrót Éméš，＂axér ar kol dé．＂õr モmbére＇，＂s̃anð́́rk bo－yát masalũt her zəḥám tũn．＂
5 bə－skóf．$\varepsilon d$＇áṣər ṭaț，śnini yo $\varepsilon k$ bél lóhum．$\varepsilon d$ zəḥám，śéfhum bet bu zíd al－haláli．＇õr hóhum embére＇，＂tum bet mũn？＂
6 ＇õr，＂naḥá yǎõr hen bet bu zíd al－haláli．＂õr embére＇，＂he ber li yat masalũt her śink tókum．＂
7 〔õr heš，＂koh？＂‘õr，＂s̃ǎak bókum ol dé al－hés tum lo．ba－hé эlakól dé al－hés he lo．＂õr heš ag̉ág，＂mor．íné＇ak？mútḥank man śé？＂＇õr，＂ábdan，falékan ‘ak al－xéṭar s̃ókum．＂
8 đõr ag̉ág，＂mor，k－ḥáṣafyakín xar．＂aġsəré ba－héz yat ba－hódés rīả．kol ṭat mən ag̉ág rīát，bə－šé b－éméš rīáat．
9 axarét oorr heš ag̉ág，＂her＇ak taxétor s̃ẽn，shol iyśnk，ba－fló ol tṣólaḥ s̃en

$10 \varepsilon d$ k－ḥáṣaf，agád ag̉ág bo－õr ag̉éyg，＂ak s̃ókum．＂＇õr heš ag̉ág，＂ıl xer hek し．．naḥá yo śédédət，b－axér hek tartún tel ह́mék．＂
11

2 yš̌́：This is the form in both mss and on the audio．The Roman ms has yšóc in the margin，which is also the form of the imperfect found in $J L$（s．v．šy）．Both of these forms were used by different informants of mine．See further in §7．4．12 and the comment to 35：6．
8 hódés：The mss have this form，which is the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stem of $h d y$ ．The Mehri version also has the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$－Stem of this root（M42：8）．The audio，however， has the T2－Stem htódés；Johnstone corrected the Roman ms to reflect the audio．Either form would seem to work here，as both can mean ＇share out，divide up＇．
1o tartún tel：The Arabic ms and audio have tartún tel．The Roman ms has tertũn her，along with the gloss＇wait＇．JL（s．v．ryn）defines this T2－Stem only as＇wait（her）for s．o．．The phrase with tel instead of her must mean ＇wait by，with＇，which fits this context well．

1 Once a man and his mother were by themselves with the camels. They had no one, (they were) herding alone.
2 And the boy was strong and fast. He could fend for himself, and he thought there was no one like him. He would throw his stick and run to catch it.
3 Then one day he said to his mother, "Mother, is there anyone like me?" His mother said, "No, but..." The boy said, "But what? Tell me if anyone is like me or better than me."
4 His mother said, "People have said that the house [or: clan] of Bu Zid al-Hilali is better than all people." He said, "And better than me?" His mother said, "Better than everyone [or: anyone]." The boy said, "I vow a sacrificial camel if he comes to us."
5 And they remained. Then one night, they saw people coming towards them. Then when they came, it turns out they were the house of Bu Zid al-Hilali. He said to them, "What house are you?"
6 They said, "We are called the house of Bu Zid al-Hilali." The boy said, "I already have a sacrificial camel for if I saw you."
7 They said to him, "Why?" He said, "I have heard about you, that no one is like you. And me, I don't think anyone is like me." The men said to him, "Ok. What do you want? Are you having trouble with [or: or] something?" He said, "Not at all, but I want to travel with you."
8 The men said, "Ok, in the morning it will be fine." They spent the night, and they slaughtered a camel and divided it into quarters. Each one of the men (got) a quarter, and the boy and his mother (got) a quarter.
9 Then the men said to him, "If you want to travel with us, finish your portion, or else you are not fit (to go) with us." The man said, "I can't. This portion is enough for us for a month."
10 Then in the morning, the men went, and the man said, "I want (to go) with you." The men said to him, "It's better you don't [lit. it's not good for you]. We are tough people, and it's better for you to stay with your mother."
11 He said, "No way, I want (to go) with you." Then they let him go with them.

2 śed l-irs̃ōhum b-ag̉ád. 'õr heš bu zíd al-haláli, "šmac! her ol shek iyźnk lo, dḥa-l-ó(l)tġak." 'õr, "mor."
man tél aġsaré, yahzzíz hóhum yo yat. b-agéyg, her śē, yadófan ōkét. $\varepsilon d$ 'áṣar ṭat, keb ('ak) sa‘b kol'éni ba-ksé gabgót дə-rṣínút, ba-tэ̄lás maḥfér Әə-xóbz.
axarét s̃xabírs ag̉ág, ‘õr, "ks hit bũn?"
õrót, "bũn gənní 'ak śa'b ðínu, ba-kól 'áṣar ya'aśín ġabgót bo-mahfér ðд-xóbz, ba-fló yạ̣éśar hallét.
 b-enfóf."
axarét ag̉ág notِór l-aġabgót ba-tē xobz. ‘õrót aġabgót, "axér hókum l-óflat. eganní dḥa-yazḥõm."
©õr ag̉ág, "כl tafrík lo." axarét niṭ $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-t e ́ t, ~ b a-f k ̣ e ́ ~ l e s ̌, ~ b a-s ̃ a z ́ k e ́ . ~$ axarét oorr hóhum bu zíd, "nḥa śotét gag. ṭat énfžt a‘áṣar, ba-ṭát a ámk a‘áṣar, ba-ṭát áxar acáṣar."
 zíd áxar ááṣar.
axarét ṭe eganní ba- õr, "hun a íśśi?"
õr ṭaṭ mən ag̉ág, "a iśśk boh. ftah xok bə-ġméżz a'ánték!"
 ‘ak śśdkaš ðz̃n.
$25 b$ - $\varepsilon$ bḳá $\varepsilon g$ ūš man munúsan ba-skóf. ba-õr heš, "ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-shék đénu, nézmək aciśśk."

14 ' $a k$ : This preposition is on the audio only; it is not in either ms.
17 ófalat: This is an H-Stem mp imperative, clearly meaning 'save' in this context, though $J L$ (s.v. $f l t$ ) does not list 'save' as a meaning for the HStem. The parallel Mehri passage (M42:19) also has an H-Stem, though the entry in $M L$ also does not list 'save' as a possible meaning. Both $J L$ and $M L$ list 'save' only as a meaning for the D/L-Stem, and 'escape, run away' as a meaning for the H -Stem. The H-Stem probably only can be translated 'save' when the object is oneself, making the literal meaning 'escape with oneself'.
19 s̃aźké: See the comment to 53:11.

16 She said, "There is a jinn here in this valley, and every night they give (him) a girl and a basket of bread for dinner, or else he will destroy the town.
${ }_{17}$ He has already used up all the girls of the town, and I am left for his dinner tonight. And you, save yourselves!"
18 Then the men untied the girl and ate the bread. The girl said, "It's better for you to run away. The jinn will come."
19 The men said, "Don't be afraid." Then the (earlier) woman's son was trembling, and they covered him, and he laid down.
Then Bu Zid said to them, "We are three men. One (will take) the first (part) of the night, one the middle (part) of the night, and one the last part of the night."
One of them said, "I want the first part of the night." And one said, "I want the middle of the night." Bu Zid (got) the last part of the night. Then the jinn came and said, "Where is my dinner?" One of the men said, "Your dinner is here. Open your mouth and close your eyes!"
24 He opened his mouth and closed his eyes. And he put in two branches, one on this side (of his mouth) and one on that [lit. this] side.
25 And he put his shield in between them, and he sat down. And he said to it, "When you finish this, we will give you your dinner."

24 ganzafót: This word is not in $J L$, but is in $M L$ (s.v. gnzf), where it is glossed as 'large branch, tree trunk'. According to $M L$, the Jibbali equivalent is gandét. The word gandēt also exists in Mehri (meaning 'tree trunk'; see $M L$, s.v. gnd), and, in fact, is the word used in the Mehri version of this story (M42:28). So it is unclear if ganzafót in this story is a Mehrism or native Jibbali word.
 a isiśi!"
ba-xélfleš ṭaț, bə-šé ġeyg rahím, ta'mírənš erét. ba-féké marébḳac b-عhbéb. ba-hér s̃̃̈(n) yaxánút marḳ̆á.
 a isśćl!"




 kéla' ‘iźũt 'aḳ a'ámk $\varepsilon$-ḥ́ṣan.
 eréš đِer ḥ́ṣan. bว-śa‘b təðhว́b ðァhr.
 ağabgót.

axarét õr ḥókum, "kol $\varepsilon$-létəg่ egənní, dḥa-l-éšfaḳaš $\varepsilon b r i ́ t i ~ m ə n ~ g ̇ e ́ r ~ s ́ e ́ . ~$. bə-dḥa-l-દ́xalfəš b-aḥkitt."
izziš: This is glossed as 'his right' in the Roman ms. Although no nasalization is heard on the audio, this is presumably a possessed form of émlí 'right' (root $y m l$ ), or a related word.
tim: This word is absent from both mss and the audio, which is probably an error. Cf. line 28 .
$z a \dot{g}$ : This is glossed as 'relaxed' in the Roman ms. I did not find this verb in $J L$. It is probably derived from Arabic $z \bar{a} \tilde{g} a$ 'turn aside, deviate' (root $z w \dot{g}$ ), meanings which also fit this context. It is also worth noting that II-w/y, III-Guttural verbs are extremely rare in Jibbali. However, we expect the 3 ms perfect shape $C \supset C$, used for many II-w verbs (§7.4.8), to have the shape $C a C$ when the third root consonant is a guttural.
$\varepsilon t \underline{k} a^{\prime}$ : This word is spelled with a $d$, rather than $t$ in both mss. See the comment to 53:6. Unlike in 53:6, the Roman ms has a final 'in 54:29. rékab: This is glossed in the Roman ms as 'ledge'. The word is not in $J L$, but is attested in Müller's Jibbali texts (Müller 1907: 133, text 40:9). In $M L$, s.v. rkb, and in the printed version of Mehri text 38:6, the word is attested with non-glottalic $k$. However, the Arabic ms and audio confirm that the Mehri text 38:6 has rékab. The glottalic $k$ is attested

26 He spent the night gnawing on his right (side). Then when a third of the night (was finished), he said, "This is not my dinner. Give me my dinner!"

## 27

 men daughter for nothing [lit. without anything], and I will let him have the kingdom."also in Soqoṭri; cf. Leslau (1938: 405), who compared this word with the Arabic verb raqaba 'observe, look out'. Bittner (1916a: 25) compared the word to Arabic raqabat- 'neck' (note that rokbét 'neck' does appear in $J L)$. The plural $\varepsilon r k e e^{b}$ is used in TJ2:94.
32 dé $\mathfrak{l}$ yakódar yalhóm: This word order (following the mss ) is unusual and probably incorrect; we would expect al dé yakódər yalhóm 'no one could (jump up and) touch'. Ali stumbled on the audio here, first reading just alyakódar yalhóm lo, and then reading al yaḳódər dé yalhóm 10.

37 kol e-zhám yãõr, "he ðə-látg̉ak." yaõor heš, "mor, alḥõm." zahám bél ḥallét $k \varepsilon l, b$-эl bóhum $\varepsilon$-lhám man tél bu zíd alhám lo.
 axarét śm yalhão."
‘õr, "yax! घśbób o(l) lạ̣ám lo. yalhõm ðókũn?" oõrót, "amér heš!" axarét õr heš, " $\varepsilon$ derwiś, 'ak talhọõm k-aġág?"
axarét laḥám zəf̌́t ṭit bə-g'ár béde’. ba-žḥák meš yo. bə-t-rút alhám bə-zhám b-ér $\varepsilon$ š.
heḳ heš ḥókum ba-õr, "dunk b-aḥkĩti, bo-dha-l-éšfaḳak عbríti."
‘õr, "ábdan. he э วsśúm s̃egóti l. folékən 'ak mẽk (t)zẽ-tun déyźblag่ ‘ánén ġeygyol éméš."
45 õr ḥókum, "bass?" õr, "bass." ba-zĩš alhín 'ágab. ba-təmmút.

Text 55 (= M93, from which it was translated): Healing a Sick Man
1 xaṭarét ġeyg ðə-yabġód. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, ṭē sékən, bə-ák sékən ðókũn ġeyg ðə-béš axét-hés. $\varepsilon d$ zahám tel yo, ksé tōlohum ġeyg.
2 'õr, "he alọtam axét-hés." axarét s̃xabíràš aġéyg ðə-nfóś, ‘õr, "talọṭmas b-íné?" 'õr, "alótmas ba- 'árfét ba-fló ba-rōt."
 a iśś."

Text 54
42 béde': On this form, see the comment to 46:14.
Text 55
1 axét-hés: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this as 'wry-mouth'. In $J L($ s.v. $h s)$, it is glossed as 'possession by jinn', while elsewhere in $J L$ (s.v. ' $x y$ ) it is glossed as 'St. Vitus dance (?) [= Sydenham's chorea]; possession by a spirit when s.o. babbles nonsense'. The corresponding word in the Mehri version (matawmət) is translated in $M L$ (s.v. $l t m$ ) as

Everyone who came said, "I am the one who killed (him)." And he would say, "Ok, jump up!" All the inhabitants of the town came, and there was no one among them who jumped up to where Bu Zid jumped.

## Translation of Text 55

1 Once a man was traveling. In the night, he came to a community, and in that community there was a man who had axét-hés. When he came to the people, he found with them a man.
2 He said, "I can strike out axét-hés." Then the man who had come said to him, "With what do you strike it out?" He said, "I strike it with a palm-leaf or a lung."
3 He said, "What do you say?" He said, "I strike it three times. You, listen to me after we have made the evening prayer."
'stroke; wry-mouth'. In one of the Roman manuscripts for Mehri text 93, Johnstone added the heading 'facial paralysis', while in another he glossed mot!awmat as 'wry face'. I have chosen to leave the word untranslated, but it is clearly some sort of medical condition involving paralysis or twitching of the face or mouth.
 ìdš 'árfét.
 дд-ṭé дд-yдštĩ an lóhum.
6 ya'õr ag̉éyg ðə-yalótวт, "ðə-lṭúmk tos̃, axét-hés. s̃i bis̃ ser. hit ġeyg, he g̀eyg. ba-hít tet, he tet. hit angíst, he angís. hit tahírt, he ṭahir."
7 bə-skóf gam 'át aġéyg ðə-béš axét-hés, bə-kún bə-xár. axarét yo s̃in ag̉éyg дókũn ðว-õr, "əlọtəm axét-hés." ba-hér dé beš axét-hés, yo'arír leš. ba-kún mag̈réb yạhá’ axét-hés. ba-təmmút.

Text 57 (= M90, from which it was translated): A Mother's Advice
1 Mother: "ḳəlá‘áazúm iźénu. éfts đ̣er śzbr ṭaṭ."
2 Boy: "כl éd'ak al-hún l-źble lo."
3 M: "əntégah. ol tékən dəḥís lo."
4 B: "mor, éfti híni."
5 M: "her 'ak to l-éfte hek, ken alhélé b-õśśtk."
6 B: "ðénu ol š\& śعbr rohím lo."
7 M: "tob ar málézt embére’’ Jénu. mor, ar íné 'ak (t)s̃srk? 'ak taśtélól? っı dé
 عbré ét عðí-ilín?’"
8 B: "lóhum íné míní? waló her ta'mérnhum xizzik.".

## Text 55

7 yaḥá: For the D/L-Stem perfect $h ̣ \bar{o} i($ root $h \not w y)$, JL lists an H-Stem 3ms subjunctive yáḥbe, reflecting a mixing of the two stems (see §7.4.8). The form yahá' appears to be the true D/L-Stem subjunctive. Also note that $J L$ (s.v. ḥwy) also lists an H-stem perfect aḥbé, but $M L$ (s.v. ḥwy) lists CJ aḥbé' and EJ hyé, while $H L$ (s.v. ḥwy), without specifying dialects, lists Jibbali habé and hyé.
Text 57
1 a'azúm: $J L$ (s.v. 'zm) lists only the singular form 'azm, though Johnstone gives both the singular and the plural in his word-list to this text. The form corresponds to the Mehri plural $\bar{a} z a ́ w m ~(M L, ~ s . v . ~ ' z m) . ~$

4 They passed the evening. Then after the evening prayer, the man who strikes out axét-hés came, and in his hand was a palm-leaf.
5 He snuck up on the man who had axét-hés, and he was not paying attention. Then he struck him with the palm-leaf. And the man who had come was listening to them.
6 The man who strikes said, "I have struck you, axét-hés. I have a secret about you. You are man, I am a man. You are a woman, I am a woman. You are unclean, I am unclean. You are clean, I am clean."
7 And the man who had axét-hés rested a week, and he was well. Then people believed that man who said, "I strike out axét-hés." And if someone has axét-hés, they send for him. And he was famous for curing axét-hés. It is finished.

## Translation of Text 57

Mother: "Leave these plans aside. Decide on one piece of advice."
2 Boy: "I don't know where I should direct myself."
M: "Hurry up. Don't be stubborn."
B: "Ok, advise me."
M : "If you want me to advise you, be mindful of your livestock."
B: "That is not good advice."
M: "This boy is truly sickening. Ok, so what do you want to do? Do you want to drift around (aimlessly)? No one will be of use to you except your livestock. They will laugh with you to your face, then they will criticize you. They'll say, 'Have you seen the son of such-and-such house [or: clan]?'"
8 B: "What are they to me? It's as if they were not born."

[^223]9 M: "xalák lek ts̃ẽn to."
1 в B: "mor, bahír bi."
$11 \mathrm{M}:$ "mor, $\varepsilon b u ́ b n \varepsilon$ ébrí, s̃ẽn to."
12 B: "hes ber bahérs̃ bi, dḥa-l-s̃ $\tilde{\varepsilon} n \tilde{s} . "$
13 M: "al-fráḥ b-ebrí!"
14 B: "íné áágis̃ to al-s̃̌rrk?"
15 M : "Énfèt, ken alhélé b-ãhggék. b-axarét ol tahérg ġaró dífar lo 'ak hágér.

 ižénu."

Text 60 (= M94, from which it was translated): A Wife and a Mother-in-Law in Enemy Territory
 ðókũn beš axsọoméš. b-ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ nfóś tel sékan $\varepsilon$-tíț̌̌. aġsaré.

Text 57
9 xalák: Johnstone glossed this word as 'I hope' in the Roman ms, and in his vocabulary notes he listed the principal parts xalá/yxólع/yaxla'. I did not find this verb in $J L$, though it looks similar in form to the H -Stem of $x l w / y$ or $x l^{\prime}$. One informant gave 3 ms perf. xalác, 3 ms imperfect yaxólac, 3mp imperf. yaxélac, suggesting an H-Stem of the root $x l^{c}$. Another of my CJ informants, whose English was weak, glossed xalák as 'I swear'. Another explained that this word is used (or was used; the word is old-fashioned), usually by a parent or family member, with the sense of 'you must obey!. The corresponding Mehri passage (M90:9) has the form hal $\bar{\varepsilon} k$, which is also enigmatic.
9 t $\tilde{s} \tilde{\varepsilon} n$ : This is an Ši-Stem 2 ms subjunctive from the root ' $m n$. The meaning here is 'fall in with someone's wishes, listen to, obey', a meaning attested for the Mehri, Hearsusi, and Hobyot cognates (ML and HL, s.v. 'mn; $H V$, p. 147), but which is absent from $J L$, which lists only the meaning 'believe in'. We also find this verb with this meaning in text 6o:8. I did find the meaning 'fall in with someone's wishes, listen to, obey' listed in one of Johnstone's many Jibbali word-lists that I found among his papers (Box 19A).

M: "I swear you will obey me!"
B: "Ok, ask me for help."
M: "Ok, please, my son, obey me!"
B: "Since you have asked me, I will obey you."
M: "Let me rejoice in my son!"
14 B: "What do you want me to do?"
15 M : "First, be mindful of your family. And then don't use [lit. speak] bad language in public. Then, be (like) you're happy, even if you're sad [or: in trouble]. People will steal from you your right if you get angry. They will anger you so that you will go astray and put yourself in the wrong. I advise you on these points."

## Translation of Text 60

1 Once a man went from his land, heading to a certain land in which he got married. In that land were his enemies. And he went to his wife's community. He spent the night.
$15 \dot{g}$ ótéḍ $k$ : This Tı-Stem (root $\dot{g} y \not \partial ̣)$ is another example of a verb whose imperfect and subjunctive forms behave like $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stems according to $J L$. Cf. the comment to $3: 13$.
$15 y \partial \dot{g} e \neq \partial \partial n k$ : This is the D/L-Stem imperfect of the root $\dot{g} y \partial ̣$. In $J L$, Johnstone gives both aǵyéd and the variant aǵbéḍ (as if a II-w H-Stem) for the 3 ms perfect, but only yagbéđ̣ən for the 3 ms imperfect. In the lexical list that accompanies this text, however, Johnstone gives only $\varepsilon \dot{g} y e ́ \partial ̣$ for the perfect and iǵgédəən (= yägyéḍən) for the imperfect. The form in this text, $y \partial \dot{g} \bar{e} \not \partial \partial n$, is a variant pronunciation of $y \partial \dot{g} y e ́ \not \partial ə n$.
15 txéls: The meaning 'go astray' is perhaps a Mehrism, since this meaning is not given for this G-Stem verb in $J L$ (s.v. $x / s$ ). Cf. $M L$ (s.v. $x / s$ ).
15 tarkóbk $\overline{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{r}$ m: On this idiom, meaning 'put yourself in the wrong', see $M L$ (s.v. rkb). It means literally something like 'the road rides you'.
15 عnkét: This plural form (sg.nəkṭót) seems to be an EJ form or a Mehrism; cf. Mehri nakāt (def. ankāṭ). According to $J L$ and $M L$ (s.v. nktt), the Jibbali plural is nkott.
Text 60
$1 b$ - $\varepsilon r \underline{z}$ đókũn: This phrase is used twice in the Arabic ms and on the audio (as in the text printed here), but not in the Roman ms.
 al-ğád yolyo عдré. ba-tōk tóḳala' to tel a élí ónut ðínu."
 axarét õrót émés, "כl ansénúd ‘ãs lo." õr ag̉éyg, "tum bókum $\varepsilon s ̌ f j ̣ ̂ k k u m, ~$ $b$-ol s̃ókum ar ( $t$ )snid."

 a ágób ba-tititi, ba-títi ta‘ágób bi. b-\&šxarét 'agiót l-əbdéd tun."
axarét ag̉ád yo yol عšxarét. 'õr hes, "ðénu ol yakín lo, l-əbdéd mən mún ag̉éyg ba-tiť̌̌̌." axarét cõrót cšxarét, "he 'ak bes təg̉ád k-a'áśars, falékan se g̀ól̄̄t."
6 axarét õor hes yo, "mor, gzím ol (t)dír man munúhum her tet 'agiót təg̉ád." guzũt \&šxarét ol ( $t$ dér mən munúhum. b-ag̉adót yol عbríts. õrót, "he دl aḳódar al-hérg s̃is̃ lo, falékan mit a'áśaris̃ héróg sisis, ġalíb, ba-hé dha-l-ḥéžar lis̃ tag̀íd." õrót, "mor."
7 aġsəré. $\varepsilon d$ ġasré, tet koltót her a'áśars b-aġaró émés. õr hes a áśars, "mor. hit galíb ḳərére ol togíd, ba-ḥáṣ e-ḥaz̛órót lis̃ émís̃, 'amír, 'mor, dha-l-ġád'.
xizk: This is the ics perfect of the Gb-Stem xézi. $J L$ (s.v. $x z y$ ) lists only a Ga-Stem xázé, which can have the same meaning 'be embarrassed'. Johnstone does list the Gb-Stem xézi in the word-list that accompanies this text. A clear Gb-Stem form is found also in SB2:2. 2 mp suffixed form of the preposition $b$-. However, we clearly expect a form of the auxiliary ber here ( 2 mp bérkum), not the preposition $b$-. The corresponding Mehri passage (60:3) has bor here, and in the Roman ms, Johnstone added the Arabic gloss قد (qad). Because the 2 ms and 2 fs suffixed forms of ber and $b$ - are identical (bek and bis̃, respectively), the 2 mp bókum is used for ber by analogy. The same analogical form is attested from another speaker (TJ4:74), so this is not simply an error.
$3(t)$ snid:This is almost certainly a 2 mp subjunctive snid < tasníd, though it could also be a mp imperative snid. The form in the Mehri version ( $94: 3$, sədēdəm) is equally ambiguous.

2 Then in the morning, he said to his wife, "Let's go!" She said, "I am still young [lit. little], and I am nervous [or: embarrassed] to go to strange people. You should leave me with my family this year."
3 The man said, "I am a man afraid of this land, and I cannot stay here." Then her mother said, "We won't manage without her." The man said, "You've already given (her) in marriage, and you have (no choice but) to manage (without her)."
Her mother said, "No way." Then the next day, the man went to the people. He told them, he said, "I am a man who cannot stay in this land, and the old woman refused her daughter. I love my wife, and my wife loves me. And the old woman wants to separate us."
5 Then the people went to the old woman. They said to her, "This will not do [lit. be], that you would separate a man and his wife." Then the old woman said, "I want her to go with her husband, but she refused."
6 Then the people said to her, "Ok, swear that you will not come between them if the woman wants to go." The old woman swore she would not come between them. And she went to her daughter. She said, "I cannot speak with you, but when your husband speaks with you, refuse, and I will (pretend to) persuade you to go." She said, "Ok."
7 They passed the evening. In the night, the woman told her husband what her mother had said [lit. the words of her mother]. Her husband said to her, "Ok. You refuse to go tomorrow, and when your mother persuades you, say ‘Ok, I'll go’.
$l$-abdéd tun: Both mss have this, but the audio has l-abdéd man munún. The corresponding Mehri passage has a direct object suffix on the verb. Cf. the use of man mún in the next line. As for the form l-abdéd, this is a D/L-Stem 3 fs subjunctive. $J L$ (s.v. $b d d$ ) lists a 3 ms subjunctive yébbəd, which is an H-Stem form. The verb should historically be a D/L-Stem (as the imperfect in $J L$ supports, along with the Mehri cognate and Arabic D baddada), but because the 3ms perfect of geminate roots in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem and H -Stem look the same, there is confusion of geminate verbs in these two stems. See further in § 7.4.14.
6 guzũt \&šxarét ol ( $t$ )dér: I have parsed this as indirect speech, with the verb in the 3 fs imperfect (G-Stem, root $d w r$ ). However, since the $t$ is not written or pronounced, it may also be parsed as a 1cs imperfect (ə)dér, as in the published Mehri version.

8 ba－hér ©õrót his̃ ह́mís̃，‘ko hit s̃ũnəs̃？’，‘amír，＇วkó（l）s̃ man yéns̃ ḥažórs̃ li’． b－\＆šxarét berót daxílt ol tahérg s̃is̃ tel yo．ba－hér síns̃ $\varepsilon$ šxxarét＇agiót taxil bis̃，aḥtírof＇ãs．s̃irk عnúf $(t)$ siririk śé $\varepsilon d$ naġád．＂
9 õrót，＂mor．＂aġsaré．$\varepsilon d$ k－ḥáṣaf héróg aġéyg k－xolőtš，õr hes，＂haríg
 man $\varepsilon$ ع̣と́bal．＂
10 ©õrót をšxxarét，＂he al kódórk les lo，falékan het harég s̃es．＂＂õr ag̉éyg，＂he herógk s̃es manhínam，bə－õrőt，＇šعbr k－Émí．＂＂
axarét ešxarét šõ＇̃́t aġaró eġéyg ba－s̃azhét．ba－oõr hes yo，＂lis̃ étzm her xórbis̃ tet $\varepsilon$ qgéyg ol taġád s̃eš．＂
‘õrót ešxxarét，＂he sl xórbak tos lo．＂＇õryo，＂mor，her ol xórbis̃ tos lo，haríg s̃es．＂＇õrót をšxarét，＂عðí－ilín，g̀id k－aćśaris̃．＂
 lo náṣanu．＂ba－sé leṭókũn ed ağád ag̉éyg ba－tititš．
14 hes ġõs，śnini \＆šxarét man seróhum．õr aġéyg，＂g̉olókũn єšxarét．hit gíd đ̣er emíh ba－hé dḥa－l－salóbs ba－dḥa－l－ğ́l（－ağlél）bes．ba－hér s̃xəborót lis̃， dha－l－＇ámer，＇agadót hen her míh．＇

8 s̃ũnas̃：This is the Šı－Stem， 2 fs perfect，of the root＇$m n$（3ms perfect $\tilde{s} \tilde{n})$ ．Johnstone gives the meaning＇agree，be obedient＇in the lexical list accompanying this text．This meaning is not given in $J L$ ，but it can also be found in $M L$（s．v．＇mn）．See also the comment to $57: 9$ ，and line 26 of this text，where the verb is also used with this meaning．
xolótš：See the comment to 30：9．
ašhér：See the comment to 40：7．
ġolókũn：See the comment to 16：3．
dha－l－ǵgll（ $\partial \dot{g} l \varepsilon ́ l)$ ：This form is not totally clear．The Roman ms has $d h a-l-\dot{g} a l e ́ l$, probably a variant transcription of $d h a-l-\dot{g} l \varepsilon ́ l$ ，which is what the Arabic ms has．On the audio，Ali stumbled and read first dha－l－gil， then $\partial \dot{g} l \varepsilon ́ l$ ．The intention here is for the 1cs future of the root $\dot{g} l l$ ， meaning＇delay，detain，keep occupied；trick，outwit＇，though in $J L$ this verb does not have these meanings listed（on the meaning＇trick＇，cf． 30：4）．Johnstone does include this verb in the lexical list that follows this text，giving the forms gél $b$－，iğlél，yaǵll（cf．ḥel／yaḥlél／yḥil in $J L$ ），

8 And if your mother says to you, 'Why did you agree?', say 'I thought you were persuading me truthfully'. The old woman is already forsworn that she won't speak with you in front of the people. And if you see the old woman wants to be alone with you, move away from her. Pretend you are doing something until we go."
9 She said, "Ok." They passed the night. Then in the morning, the man spoke with his mother-in-law, he said to her, "Tell your daughter to go with me. I can't stay here. The truce is over for me. I still have (just) today (left) of the truce."
10 The old woman said, "I have no control over her, but you speak with her." The man said, "I spoke with her last night, and she said, "The decision [lit. opinion] (rests) with my mother.'"
11 Then the old woman heard the man's words and was elated. And the people said to her, "It's a sin for you if you prevent [lit. spoil] the man's wife so she won't go with him."
12 The old woman said, "I didn't prevent her." The people said, "Ok, if you didn't prevent her, speak with her." The old woman said, "So-and-so, go with your husband."
13 The woman said, "Ok." The old woman said, "Come here, I need you!" The woman said, "I don't have time now." And she (did) thus until the man left with his wife.
When they departed [lit. disappeared], they saw the old woman behind them. The man said, "Look, there is the old woman! You go to the water, and I will wait for her and keep her occupied. And if she asks for you, I will say, 'She went for water for us.'
with the meaning 'keep occupied'. In addition to Johnstone's notes, the forms in lines 15,18 , and 19 confirm the G-Stem subjunctive base $-\dot{g} \dot{l}$. We actually expect the subjunctive base $-\dot{g} l \dot{\varepsilon} l$, based on other I- $\dot{g}$ geminate verbs (see §7.4.14). Either this is a mistake, or, more likely, there is some variation in the conjugation of I-G geminate G-Stems. In this case, perhaps there is also some interference from the Mehri D/L-Stem hyyül 'trick', 3ms subj. yah(y) $\bar{l} l$ ( see the comment to line 24).
bə-hé dḥa-l-ġl bes bə-ḥáṣ $\varepsilon$-hegósk bis̃ bis̃ ag̉ádəs̃ mən đ̣ér emíh, dha-l-ámer hes, ‘her 'ágis̃ l-ōda‘ عðí-ilín dha-təksís đ̣er emíh', ba-hít antígaḥ, ol (t)salíbs đ̛er emíh b. b-õõds̃ nxín $\varepsilon t$ ék đókũn."
õrót tet, "mor." aġadót tet̨, b-aġéyg selb xolótš ed zaḥõt. 'õrót, "£ðt́-ilín húṭũn?" 'õr aġéyg, "aġadót đ̣er emíh ba-dḥa-(t)zhọõm bũn. falékan ðә-fírkak tos ol l-éflat mən đ̣íri yol xádər."

 axarét ftorz̛ót ešxarét. təkól ag̉éyg mən yénš. axarét skəfót \&šxarét. 'agiót toġil b-aġéyg sl-’ód yótba'tet.
‘õr ag̉éyg, "ak al-ġád đ̣er emíh." õrót cšxarét, "skef tōlí s̃ĩn. śśtékəək lek." $s \varepsilon$ 'agiót taġil b-agéyy, b-aġéyg 'ágab yaġil b-\&šxarét.
ba-skəfว́. axarét agéyg hégós ba-tét tékan berót ag̉adót mən đ̣ér emíh.
 dha-l-salóbs zeyd lo."
 hes tótback." ag̉ád ag̉éyg ed ksé títš berót skafót nxín eṭék.
乞õrót, "ko het aġarógk?" ‘õr aġéyg, "he skofk tel émís ba-hés zahôõt to, al 'agiót tóskəf lo. 'agiót tótba s̃. axarét ©õk hes, ‘とðí-ilín thũmk tos dḥa-l-éflot mən đ̣íri yólkum. ba-ġadú antbás.'
 se 'agiót tag̀íl bi, ba-hé 'ak al-ğ́l bes. ba-sé ná ṣanu ag̉adót ḍer emíh
 auxiliary ber. In $J L$, Johnstone gives the 2 fs form as bis̃ for the former and bes̃ for the latter, but on the audio, these sound identical. In fact, $i$ and $e$ are often interchangeable.
tek: JL (s.v. tyk) glosses this only as 'wild fig tree', but according to Miller and Morris (1988: 208) this is Ficus vasta. mən yénš: In the Arabic ms, the expected man is missing, though it is present in the audio. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added man in parentheses between the lines of text.
śótékəzk: This is a Tı-Stem of the root śwk (cf. Mehri śātūk). This stem is missing from $J L$, which does list a $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem aśték with the same meaning. In Johnstone's word-list for this text, he gives the forms of T1 śóték as śóték/iśtók/istok/yaśtíkon (perf./imperf./subj./condit.). See further on this verb type in $\S 7$-4.8.

15 And I will keep her occupied. And when I think you have already gone from by the water, I will say to her, 'If you want to see so-and-so off, you'll find her at the water'. And you hurry up, don't wait for her at the water. Your meeting-place is under that fig tree." The woman said, "Ok." The woman went, and the man waited for his mother-in-law until she came. She said, "Where is so-and-so?" The man said, "She went to the water and will come back here. But I am afraid she'll run away from me to the house."
The old woman said, "Why, did you hear her say something?" The man said, "I heard her say, 'If I don't come back now, don't think I'm late. I will have gone back to my family.'"
Then the woman was very happy. She thought the man was being truthful. Then the old woman stayed. She wanted to keep the man occupied so that he did not follow the woman.
The man said, "I should go to the water." The old woman said, "Stay with me a little while. I've missed you." She wanted to keep him occupied, and the man wanted to keep the old woman occupied.
And they remained. Then the man figured the woman would have already gone from by the water. He said, "So-and-so is late. Didn't I tell you she would run away from me? I won't wait for her any longer."
The old woman said, "Ok. I'll go to the water, and if I find her, I'll tell her to follow you." The man went until he found the woman already sitting under the fig tree.
She said, "Why are you late?" The man said, "I was sitting with your mother. When she came to me, she didn't want to stay. She wanted to follow you. Then I said to her, 'So-and-so, I think she will run away from me to you. Let's go follow her!'
When the old woman heard these words, she became very happy, and she said, 'Let's stay a little while'. She wanted to keep me occupied, and I wanted to keep her occupied. And now she went to the water very happy. She thought you had gone back to them."
hégós: $J L$ only gives the form hógós 'he thought' (s.v. hgs; likewise ML and $H L$ ). In the word-list for this text, Johnstone gives hégós, with two variant imperfects (yhégs and yhógas) and subjunctives (yhégs and yahgós). So the perfect hégós must just be a variant perfect. See also the comment to $25: 5$.

24 axarét žaḩ̣̣ót tét bə-õrót her acáśars, "g̉elk b-Émí" axarét ag̉ádó. b-aġéyg yamzéz. $\varepsilon d$ kérab al-sékən ð-axṣóméš, oõr her títš,
25 "hit skíf bũn ba-hé dha-l-ğád yol sékən ðénu. faló əkós dé yamzéz." 'õrót tet., "akl-altág? s s xer hek tağád lo."
26 'õr, "əl aḳódar al-ġád lo ar hér mizk." "õrót teţ, "het dḥa-tũz ašhér, ba-fló dha-tũz təmbéko bə-fló bə-gilílt." axarét aġéyg ol s̃in tíť̌̌ lo, b-agád.
27 falékan aġéyg yag̉órəb ag̉aróhum. xōt ĩdíḳš b-ag̉ád. $\varepsilon d$ zaḥám, ksé ínét ðд-ḳhéb. axarét ôr heš inćv, "hst bar mũn?"
28 ‘õr, "he s̃ax." 'õr ínét, "isãáxt ol yaḥõl séléb lo." õr ag̉éyg, "kol $\varepsilon$-s̃éš díréham yวḳódər yaḥīl séléb. ol še ḥarúm lóhum lo." agéyg ðə-yวhérg k-ínét $b$-aġaróhum.
29 'õr ínét, "íné ‘ak?" 'õr ag̉éyg, "he ġeyg əmzéz, bə-shék tambéko. bə-zhámk tókum, faló akós dé yamzéz."
30 ‘õrót tete, "g̉ad õža‘ ðíkũn. dha-təksé šáxər yamzéz, ba-fló yézmak śé." ag̉ád aġéyg. $\varepsilon d$ zaḥám ksé šáxar skəf.
31 ḳolób leš selúm ba-skóf. 'õr šáxar, "het bar mũn?" õrr, "he s̃ax." ôrr šáxar, "búdak. عðórk al (š̌) s̃ax lo." õr ag̉éyg, "วl búdək lo."
$24 \dot{g}$ elk: See the comment to line 14 . Also note that the Mehri version here has hayēk 'you tricked' (root hyl), and normally this story follows the Mehri version quite faithfully.
$25 l$-altá $\dot{g}:$ Both the Arabic ms and audio have l-alták. The spelling of $\dot{g}$ with $ق$ ق) is normal for Ali (especially for this verb) and many other Jibbali speakers. For example, the word tagad is also written takad in this line; б-aǵélk is spelled $\partial$-əkélk in line 35; gabírs is spelled kabírs in line 42;
 unusual. See further in the comment to 17:10.
25 faló: Both mss have just faló here, though Ali read ba-fló on the audio (cf. line 26). In either case, the intention is for folo' 'perhaps' (§ 12.5.8). See also the comment to $5: 8$.
26 dha-t $\tilde{u} z$ : This is the 3 ms future (subjunctive) of the verb miz 'smoke' (root $m z z$ ). JL lists the 3 ms subjunctive only as yúmmuz, but yũz is a variant form. Cf. the entry in $J L$ (s.v. modd) for midd, which lists the subjunctive as either yúmmud or yũd. One informant recognized only $y u \tilde{z}$ as the correct subjunctive. The form yũz must be from *yúmuz, with non-geminate $m$. It is also worth noting that while both mss have $d h a-t u \tilde{z}$ twice in this line, on the audio Ali mistakenly read dḥa-tวmzéz (i.e., $d h a-+$ imperfect) for the first of these. today. You'll smoke either tobacco or bullets." Then the man didn't listen to his wife, and he went.
27 But the man spoke their language. He cocked his rifle and went. When he came, he found the women passing the day. Then the women said to him, "Who [lit. son of who] are you?"
28 He said, "I am a Mashaykhi." The women said, "The Mashāyix do not carry arms." The man said, "Whoever has money can carry arms. It is not forbidden for them." The man was speaking with the women in their language.
29 The women said, "What do you want?" The man said, "I am a man who smokes, and I have used up (my) tobacco. I came to you (thinking) perhaps I would find someone who smokes."
30 A woman said, "Go to that house. You'll find an old man who smokes, and perhaps he'll give you something." The man went. When he came, he found an old man sitting. are you?" He said, "I am a Mashaykhi." The old man said, "You lied. Your blood is not Mashaykhi." The man said, "I did not lie."

## 27

 Bakhit (1982: 55).$31 \varepsilon \not \partial o r k o l(\check{s} \varepsilon) \tilde{s}$ ax lo: Ali added the šz on the audio, though it is missing in both mss. His addition is probably a Mehrism, since Ali's Mehri dialect requires a pronoun in this position, while Jibbali does not. zhọomkum nxín hérúm ðókun, ba-dḥa-l-zhõom s̃i m-míh her ह́mínk bi."
axarét ‘õr šáxər, "tวġórəb bet عðí-ilín?" õr aġéyg, "əg̉órbhum, kəh?" 'õr, "sé lo." õr aġéyg, "a issórék faló sé?"" õr šáxar, "ol a íšórí lo. axṣúmí. y a rét al-śné dé manhũm!"
‘õr aġéyg, "ol yazhĩm bũn lo." axarét ' eś šáxar ba-zĩš xérín tambéko. $d$-’od
 ð-axṣóméš lo. falékən šfวḳót ṭaṭ mənhúm.
axarét ‘õrót, "عðí-ilín, ko het bũn?" axarét ġeź (ġõž) hes ba-kófót. ‘õr šáxər her teț, "təġórbaš?" cõrót, "ob, śbéhk beš al-ġéyg, b-ag̉lọtak." axarét 'eś aġéyg b-ag̉ád. ‘õr heš šáxər, "ak tọḳhab lo? dḥa-ns̃érk hek fs’o""
 d-'วd s̃i $\varepsilon \underset{c}{\text { čl.." }}$
 tśum a úmrək l-agére ð-tambéko?" axarét kólót hes ag̉éyg.
'õrót teṫ, "náṣanu hegérót yũm, b-ol dé yabġód lo. b-ol śé míh al-fenókum lo, folékən het ġad yol títık bo-hé dḥa-l-દ́ggal hókum fśo’. bə-dha-l-
ya rét: This is an Arabism (see § 12.2).
$\dot{g} e z \dot{z}(\dot{g} o \tilde{o} \tilde{z})$ : The Roman ms has here $\dot{g} \tilde{o} \tilde{z}$ (root $\dot{g} m z ́)$ with $\dot{g} c z ́ z$ in parentheses. The Arabic ms and the audio have the geminate perfect $\dot{g} e z ̇$. In $J L$, only $\dot{g} \tilde{o} z$ i is given the meaning 'wink' (s.v. $\dot{g} m z '$ ), while the geminate $\dot{g} e z ́(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{v} . \dot{g} \not \subset z ̌)$ means 'slow down; grow dim'. In Mehri and Harsusi, however, both $\dot{g} e m o ̄ z ́ ~ a n d ~ \dot{g} a z ́ ~ c a n ~ m e a n ~ ' w i n k ', ~ a n d ~ H ̣ L ~(s . v . ~ \dot{g} z(z)) ~ s u g g e s t s ~$
 meaning 'close (the eyes)'.
'ak tókhab lo: The loss of the negative $s l$ here is perhaps due to interference from the Mehri version, from which this story was translated, although such a loss is also possible in Jibbali (see $\S 13.2 .3$ ).
tśum: The $t$ is not written in the Arabic ms, but is pronounced on the audio and transcribed in the Roman ms.
hegérót: This Gb-Stem (here a 3 fs perfect) is not listed in $J L$, though other related forms of the root hgr are listed, including hógər 'midday'. The corresponding Mehri verb is given in $M L$ (s.v. $h g r$ ), where a different Jibbali equivalent is given (3fs hãt, from the root $h m m$ ), and so its use here may be a Mehrism. The verb seems to only be used in the third person feminine, with yum 'sun' (feminine in Mehri and Jibbali) as its subject. at her, and she shut up. The old man said to the woman, "Do you know him?" She said, "No, I thought he was (another) man, but I was mistaken."
35 Then the man got up and went (to leave). The old man said to him, "You don't want to spend the day? We'll make lunch for you." The man said, "No, I am looking for a camel, and I heard it is in these wadis, and I want to go while it's still cool [lit. while I still have the cold (weather)]."
36 And he left the house and the woman who knew him caught up with him. She said to him, "Are you crazy? You want to trade [lit. sell] your life for the sake of tobacco?" Then he told the woman (what happened).
The woman said, "The sun is at midday now, and no one is traveling. And there is no water ahead of you, so you go to your wife, and I'll boil you lunch. I'll bring (it) to you under that tree, and I'll bring some water with me, if you trust me."

## 37

m-míh: Here m-míh derives via assimilation from * $b$-míh. See also 39:2, and the discussion, with further examples of this sound change, in § 2.1.4.
émínk: This must be an H-Stem émín (root 'mn). JL only lists a D/LStem ün (< *óman). Mehri has an H-Stem of this root, and Johnstone does list this H-Stem verb in one of his many Jibbali word-lists that I found among his papers (Box 19A). That the intervocalic $m$ could be preserved here is likely due either to the underlying structure *'min, or to the stressed vowels on either side (see § 2.1.3). More likely, this is a Jibbalized form of a Mehri word. The latter idea is bolstered by the fact that the H-Stem ics perfect should have the vowel $\dot{u}(<\dot{\jmath})$ after the second root consonant, not í.
tafśl: In the Roman ms (also in line 39), Johnstone transcribed tafśin, while the Arabic ms and audio have just tafśl. A final $-n$ would be ungrammatical.
ol $\overline{t o s} l a$ :The published Mehri version of the story has here al tawwaš $l \bar{a}$, translated in Stroomer's edition as 'you (fs) shouldn't (be afraid)', while Jibbali has a 3 fs suffix, giving the meaning 'she shouldn't (betray us)'. In fact, the printed Mehri version is incorrect. The original Arabic-letter manuscript of Mehri text 94 has tawwas, corresponding to Jibbali tōs. In the Roman transcription of Mehri text 94, Johnstone has tawwaš (possibly corrected to tawwas).
l-hírk: This is a D/L-Stem 2fs subjunctive (negative imperative) of the root $h r k$. According to the paradigm in $J L$, the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem 2 fs subjunctive has the form $l-\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{CuC}$ ( or presumably $l-\mathrm{CúC} C$ ), but my own informants also preferred the vowel $\bar{c}$ instead of $u$ in the 2fs subjunctive. Perhaps this form differs in some dialects.

38 The man said, "I trust you, but we want to go." Then the woman swore, "You won't go until after you've had lunch." The man said, "Ok, we'll wait for you."
39 The man went to his wife and told her about the woman who swore "you won't go until after you've had lunch." Then his wife said, "I am afraid that she might want to inform on us." her meeting-place. We'll move and keep an eye on her, and if we see anyone with her, we'll go."
Then the man and his wife went up onto a hill where they could keep an eye on the settlement. They waited, and the woman boiled and made tea in a pitcher. Then when it was ready, she went, and the man and his wife saw her when she left the settlement alone.
Then the man said to his wife, "(Go) meet her, and say to her, 'I am the daughter of so-and-so', from my enemies, 'and I saw a man here earlier. And the man (seemed) like a stranger, and I think he might be from our enemies, but I think he already left'. And if she tells you, lift your head-cloth up off your head, and I will understand.
And I'll go, and you detach yourself from her and we'll meet on that mountain. And if she denies (it) to you, don't move your head-cloth." She said, "Ok." The woman went. Then when she met her, the woman carrying the lunch said, "Who [lit. daughter of who] are you?" She said, "I am the daughter of so-and-so. I saw a strange man here earlier, and I think he might be from our enemies." those with us] who was looking for a camel. He already left." The man's wife said, "What do you have in the pitcher and the pot?" She said, "I have water. I want to wash up."
al-sááǵś : This alternative to l-órhaź is in both mss: in the Roman ms, in parentheses (with the note "better"), and in the Arabic ms, in the margin. Ali said both on the audio. I did not find this verb ( $\tilde{s} \partial \dot{g} s e^{e}$, an $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem of the root $\dot{g} s y^{\prime}$ ) in $J L$, though its forms are in the word-list following the mss of this text. The word is used also in TJ4:28. See also the next comment.
 təğíd s̃i?" axarét tet aggéyg g̀arióts ðə-sé súdkət, ba-koltót hes.
 ba-fsé. $\varepsilon d$ koléni bóttad.
48
 aġá aġéyg bə-héz her ḥitš̌, $b$-aġsəré.
49 $\varepsilon d k$-ḥáṣaf ag̉ádó ag̉éyg bə-tíţ š $\varepsilon d$ zəḥám tel sékənhum. bə-təmmút.

## Text 83 (= M83): A Brave Boy

 ṭattóhum. $\varepsilon$ d yum śínó $\varepsilon k$ ũhm ck.kbél lissi. ba-šáxər ol s̃eš məndịk lo,

bə-šáxar ðд-yдव̣ว́la‘ mən fáməš. axarét ‘õr šáxar her $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ s ̌, ~ " b-\varepsilon n u ́ f, ~$ ba-klá to bun." 'õr $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ", ~ " y a k t e ́ l e ́ t ~ b i ~ \varepsilon k e ̄ l, ~ h e r ~ k e ́ l a ́ k ~ t o k ~ b o-f l o ́ t k . ~$ falékən 'ágan néflat $\varepsilon d$ đِér $\varepsilon k ̣ u ̃ h n ~ ð o ́ h u ̃ n ~ b ə-n ə s ̃ a ́ h ̣ g \varepsilon ~ l o ́ k u n . " ~ f a l t o ́ . ~$


 s̃eš ṭat bass.
4 axarét 'õr hóhum, "he sl-’ód s̃i gĩlat lo. tmumk $\mathfrak{\varepsilon ̃ x o ̄ t ̣ i ́ , ~ b a - s u ́ l m a k ~ e n u ́ f . " ~ b e r ~}$
 mənhúm, "he. ağı ðə-ltíġ, bว-'ák l-ọṭlab beš."

Text 60
46 al-s̃áǵśs: In this line, the Roman ms has only al-s̃áǵśs, while the Arabic ms has l-órhaź with al-šáǵś in the margin. On the audio, Ali said al-sááğś $\varepsilon$.

## Text 83

2 nas̃áḥge: According to $J L$ (s.v. ḥgv) and $M L$ (s.v. ḥgw), the Šı-Stem s̃hagé in Jibbali means 'be surrounded with no way out'. The cognate in Mehri and Harsusi means 'stand firm', which is what the verb must mean in this story. It is unclear if the Jibbali verb can also mean this, or if its use here is a Mehrism.

46 The man's wife said, "I want to go with you." The woman said, "I want to wash up. Why should you go with me?" Then the man's wife knew that she was a friend, and she told her.
47 She said, "I am the wife of so-and-so. Let's go to him!" They went until they got to the man. And they sat and had lunch. Then in the evening, they parted ways.
48 The woman went back to her settlement, and the man and his wife went until they got to the man's brother's settlement. The man's brother got up and slaughtered for his sister-in-law, and they spent the evening.
49 Then in the morning, the man and his wife went until they came to their settlement. And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 83

1 Once a man and his son were with the camels in the Najd. And at that time the raiding-parties were raiding each other. One day they saw the raiding-party approaching them. The old man didn't have a rifle, and the boy had a rifle, but its condition [lit. description] was not good, and the cartridges were few.
2 And the old man was lame in his foot [or:leg]. Then the old man said to his son, "(Save) yourself, and leave me here!" The boy said, "The tribes will talk (badly) about me if I leave you and run away. But let's run away up onto that peak and make our stand there." They fled.
3 The boy, they said, was like a gazelle. And he fled. When he had gotten a little ways ahead, he fired over his father so he could get to it [the peak]. Then they reached the peak, and the boy fired from on the peak. He had already brought down two (men). Then his father was wounded, and the boy brought down a third. And his cartridges ran out. He had only one left.
4 Then he said to them, "I don't have any more strength. I've finished my cartridges, and I surrender myself." He had already loaded his rifle. Then the raiding-party said, "Who wants to kill him?" Then one of them said, "I do. My brother has been killed, and I want to avenge him."
 heš embére', "tōk al-sólam to."

 ‘éðər?" 'õr aġéyg, "ábdan!" 'õr zmbérє', "he s̃i yel ar xēt, falékən dḥa-l-zémk $\tilde{\varepsilon} g h u ́ d i . ~ h u ̃ k!" ~ ' ~$

 erba’ót minén lo, al naltéġanaš lo. ol dé yōxót beš lo, falékan ber $\varepsilon g$ ér عrbə'ว́t $\bar{\varepsilon}$-dódí." bə-təmmút.

Text 86 (= M86): More Conditionals
1 'ak xsórot, dḥa-l-axsór.
2 'ak xadmét, dha-l-s̃źrk hek.
3 her ol zəḥámk bóhum lo, dha-l-ókkaṣ eréšk.
4 her šxank leš, al ( $t$ )s̃óhól śé lo.
her s̃erókak toš, al hek śé lo.
6 (her) 'ak tó(l)tag to, tag to man gér siźb.
7 her s̃ek ḳarós̃ mékan, tōk l-ध́zzad to.

## Text 83

6 ašhér: See the comment to 40:7.
6 xəyór: This word is not in $J L$, but we can compare Mehri xəyōr 'best'. The word here may actually be a Mehri form. In $M L$ (s.v. $x y r$ ). Johnstone suggests in $M L$ that it is may be a plural of $x a y r$ (cf. Arabic xayr, pl. $x \dot{y} \bar{a} r$ ).
$7 y \bar{\jmath} x o ́ t$ : This is the imperfect of $\varepsilon b x x^{t}$, an H-Stem of a root $b x t$ or $w x t$. I did not find this verb in $J L$, but it is listed in the English-Mehri section of $M L$ ( p .555 ) as the equivalent of Mehri hagzū 'make up for the absence of s.o.' (the verb used in the Mehri version of this story).
$7 \bar{\varepsilon} r$-dódí: See the comment to 46:2.

5 They said to him, "Ok, go to him. He has already finished his cartridges." And he went until he got near the boy. The boy said to him, "You should spare me."
6 The man said, "Peace today (will be) expensive for you. You already killed three who were the best, and you're still counting on peace? First direct me to the camels, while you're still alive!" The boy said, "Is there still (a chance for) a pardon?" The man said, "Never!" The boy said, "I have only a few camels, but I will give you my best effort. Here you are!"
7 And he shot him and killed him. And the raiding-party came and killed the boy, and they left. And one of the raiding-party told people about the bravery of the boy, and he said, "If only he had not killed four of us, we would not have killed him. No one can make up for his absence, but he had already brought down my four [or: four of my] cousins." And it is finished.

## Translation of Text 86

1 If you want a bride-price, I will pay a bride-price.
2 If you want work, I will make it for you.
3 If you don't bring them, I will cut off your head.
4 If you warm yourself by it, you don't deserve anything.
5 If you do it, there is nothing for you [or: you will get nothing].
6 If you want to kill me, kill me without reason!
7 If you have a lot of money, you ought to give me more.

Text 86
4 ( $t$ )s̃óhól: This verb is missing from $J L$, but an EJ form is listed in $M L$ (s.v. $' h l)$. See further in the comment to 21:11.
5 s̃erókak: The Roman ms has s̃arókak (probably better: s̃erókak), while the Arabic ms has s̃orókək. See the comment to 51:13.
6 her: her is in parentheses in the Roman ms, but missing in the Arabic ms . On the lack of a conditional particle before the verb 'ágab, see the discussion in §13.4.1 and §13.4.2.

8 her ol s̃ek díréham śélo, ézamak (dḥa-l-zémk).
9 mit bek kéríb al-héṣən, ardé $b$-єśfét sérék.
1o her al héróg lo, dḥa-nókkaṣ $\varepsilon r$ ŕšš.
11 her olzahámk bes lo, dḥa-l-ḥizk.
12 her śé géré lek, enḥá ba-śṭrér.
Text 97 (= M97 [on which it was based]= H9 = Müller 1907: 34 ff . = Bittner 1917a: 92 ff.): A Cinderella Tale

 yabġód yaṣtód.
ed yum ṭit ‘õrót heš ebrítš, " $\varepsilon$ be, ko het ol šfj’kak lo?" ‘õr hes, "he ol 'ak l-óšfak lo."
3 'õrót heš, "koh?" ©õr hes, "mġóre’ tahésfas̃." õrót heš, "ob, šf£k." šfวḳ ba-tét bə-níka‘bes b-ūt tel $\varepsilon$ brítš. bo-skəfót s̃eš.
bə-zhám mes ba-ġabgót ba-keníts ed 'akorót. bə-skóf bə-(t)s̃a'ásór $\varepsilon b r i ́ t s$

ed yum $\varepsilon$ bré $\varepsilon$-ḥókum 'ágab yas̃éxtan ba-s̃érék heš mašéér. ba-ṭolób b'él hallét kel, ba-zḥám.
6 bว-tét bāl $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ d ~ \varepsilon z i ̄ g o ́ t ~ \varepsilon b r i ́ t s . ~ b-\varepsilon b r i ́ t ~ ð-\varepsilon z ̌ e ́ r e ́ t ~ z u ̃ t s ~ g u n e ́ t ~ ð ว-b o ́ h r, ~ ' o ̃ r o ́ t ~$ hes, "ṭahins!"
7 ba-zũts šab'ét azbírt ba-õrót hes, "malíhum míh! mit zahãn, takín ber ṭaḥáns̃ egunét a-bér muls̃ ezbírt míh."

Text 86
8 ézamək: In the Roman ms, there is only the imperfect ézamək, with the added translation 'I'll give you (always)'. In the Arabic ms, however, there is both ézamək and dḥa-l-zémk. After ézamək is added the Arabic word dāiman ('forever'; presumably, a habitual future), and after dha-l-zémk is added the word mustaqbal ('future'; presumably, a onetime event).
Text 97
1 yaṣtód: The Arabic ms has the expected subjunctive yaṣtód ('he would go to fish'). On the audio, Ali first read yaṣtód, but quickly corrected himself to the imperfect yaṣtédan ('he would go, he would fish').
4 bə-亏̄ $\dot{g} o z z:$ Every other Mehri and Jibbali version of this text has a 3 fs imperfect here, so we expect $b a-t \bar{\jmath} \dot{g} \dot{o} z ́$ (from the root $b \dot{g} z$ ). The form $b \partial-\bar{\jmath} \dot{g} o ̛ z$, which looks like a 1cs imperfect, is in the ms and on the audio. It seems to be an error.

8 If you don't have any money, I will give you (some).
9 When you are near the castle, throw the hair behind you.
1o If he doesn't speak, we will cut off his head.
11 If you don't bring her, I will kill you.
12 If anything happens to you, burn the rag.

## Translation of Text 97

1 A man was always catching fish, and he married a woman and had a girl with her. Her mother died, and he raised the girl until she grew up. He would leave her in the house and go fishing.
2 Then one day his daughter said to him, "Father, why haven't you gotten married?" He said to her, "I don't want to get married."
3 She said to him, "Why?" He said to her, "Then she would mistreat you." She said to him, "No, get married!" He married a woman and brought her into the house with his daughter. And she remained with him.
4 And he had a girl with her, and she raised her until she grew up. They remained, and she loved her daughter and hated the daughter of the other wife.
5 Then one day the ruler's son wanted to get circumcised, and they made a party for him. And they invited all the inhabitants of the town, and they came.
6 And the fisherman's wife prettied up her daughter. And the daughter of the other wife, she gave her a sack of grain, she said, "Grind it!"
7 And she gave her seven buckets and said to her, "Fill them with water! When we come back, you should have already ground the sack (of grain) and already filled the buckets with water."

[^224] ‘õr hes, "aśís!"" ba-‘aśśst. "mor."
 ðд-ḥókum ( (д-)ṣór tel yo. ba-sé gaḥót 'ak ẽdórt.

 đِer tet $\begin{aligned} & \text { б-īs } b \text { - } \varepsilon b r i ́ t s, ~ b a-s ̃ x a n t ̣ o ́ t . ~\end{aligned}$ bo-šáé sérés $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h o ́ k u m ~ b-o l ~ e ́ t a l ~ b e s ~ l o . ~ b-\varepsilon d u ̄ r y o l ~ y o . ~ o ̃ r ~ h e r ~ i ́ n e ́ t, ~$ "bass mən məšér ed k઼rérs ġasré." ba-šukúm yo.
 д-ǐzi i míh ba-šōc ínét də-skóf. ‘õr hes, "naḥágas̃?" 'õrót hésan, "naḥágək, bə-šaé sérí $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h ̣ o ́ k u m . " ~$ ‘õr hes, "étal bis̃?" cõrót, "ob." ôr hes, "mor." ba-ḥõl mes eṣág̉at ba-xáṭók b-ag̉ád, bə-sé s̃̄̄fót.
 "ber muls̃ $\varepsilon z b i ́ r t ~ m i ́ h ? " ~ ' o ̃ r o ́ t, ~ " \varepsilon ̃ h e ̃ . " ~ s k o f . ~$

1o fkés: The audio has G-Stem fékés here, but this seems to be a simple error. The context requires an H-Stem (as other versions of the story have), and the Arabic ms has بفقايس, which seems to suggest the HStem. The same Arabic letters are correctly read as H-Stem fkés in line 23.
11 iṣ̃ın: The form iṣ̃̃n is used in line 11 (in both the ms and the audio), while in the rest of the story (lines $12,14,24,26$ ) we find $i s ̣ ̃ \imath n t$, with a final $-t$. $J L$ (s.v. $y<̣ b n)$ lists singular iṣ̃̄n, plural iṣ̃ūntว. Either there exist variant forms of this word, or Ali's $i \tilde{s} \imath ̄ n t$ is an incorrect form.
12 kínás̃ (kéṭás̃): The Arabic ms has kínás̃ ‘you’ve had enough' (using the same verb as the Mehri versions), but Ali said kéṭá ${ }_{s}$ 'you are tired'. Both verbs fit the context.
14 sablkét: This is an Šı-Stem of the root ḥky. This verb is used in Müller's Jibbali version of this story both in this line, line 24, and line 26 (also in line 12, where this version has kínás $\tilde{s})$, and is listed in Bittner

8 And she went out, she and her daughter, to the party, and the fisherman's daughter was in the house. And seven women came to her.
9 They said to her, "Why didn't you go out to the party?" She said to them, "I don't have time. I have work." They said to her, "Get up!" And she got up.
10 And they brought her to a well and drew water, and they washed her and dressed her in clothes and jewelry.
11 And they said to her, "Go out!" And they gave her a bag of money and a bag of scorpions.
12 And they said to her, "Go to the party and dance until, when you have had enough [or: get tired] and want to leave, pour the money onto the dance-floor, and pour the scorpions over your father's wife and her daughter." She said, "Ok."
13 She went. Then when she came, she found the men watching and the women dancing. And the ruler's son was standing with the people. And she went onto the dance-floor.
14 And she danced. Then when she had enough, she poured the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and poured the scorpions over her father's wife and her daughter, and she left.
15 And the ruler's son ran after her, but he didn't catch up to her. And he returned to the people. He said to the women, "Enough partying until tomorrow night." And the people left.
16 And she went. Then when she got home, she found the sack (of grain) already ground fine lying there [lit. having been placed], the buckets filled with water, and the seven women sitting.
17 They said to her, "Did you dance?" She said to them, "I danced, and the ruler's son ran after me." They said to her, "Did he catch up to you?" She said, "No." They said to her, "Ok." And they took the jewelry and clothes from her, and went. And she went to sleep.
18 And her father's wife came back with her daughter and woke her up. She said to her, "Did you already do the grinding?" She said, "Yes." "And did you already fill the buckets with water?" She said, "Yes." They stayed.
(1917b: 36) with the meaning 'genug haben' ('have enough'). JL (s.v. h. $k v$ ) gives only the meaning 'want s.o. to do s.t. in one's stead' for this verb (as in 24:1).
16 ð-ǐži: See the comment to 38:1.

19 `õrót hes aġits, "ol éda s̃ lo ba-ġabgót țit rohĩt țēt $\tilde{\text { š̌̌ér ba-nḥagót }}$
 ع-ḥókum b-эl étal bes lo?" skof.





 zaḥám tel $\varepsilon \dot{g} \bar{\jmath} r$.


 $\varepsilon$-iṣinnt đ̣er tet $\varepsilon$-īs $b$ - $\varepsilon b r i ́ t s . " ~$



 $b$ - $\varepsilon$ țlék sérés ḥaṣnín $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h o ́ k u m . ~ \varepsilon d ~ m ə n z e ́ l ~ h e ̄ t ~ h ̣ o g u ́ l t s . ~ ‘ o ̃ r ~ h e s, ~$ "ḥogúlts̃!"" c̃rót heš, "д-ağád yaxalóf gírš."
28 b-عdūr $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon$-ḥókum bo-ḥogúlt s̃eš. ag̉ád $\varepsilon d$ zaḥám tel bél $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ šér. 'õr, "bass! éfsaḥan b-ěšér." ba-šukúm yo ko-ṭát ūtš.
 b-ínét ðə-skóf. ḥõl mes eṣág̉at bə-xáṭók.
‘õrót hésan, "eṭlék sérí $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h ̣ o ́ k u m ~ h ̣ a s ̣ n i ́ n . " ~ ‘ o ̃ r ~ h e s, ~ " e ́ t a l ~ b i s ̃ ? " ~ ‘ o ̃ r o ́ t, ~$
 yaxalóf ġírš."
${ }_{22} \dot{g} a(d) \tilde{s}$ : The $d$ is not written in the Arabic ms, but it is heard on the audio.
24 am-mit: This seems to reflect an assimilation $\partial m$-mit < * $b$-mit. See the discussion of this change, with further examples, in § 2.1.4.
25 haṣnín: See the comment to 17:11. pour the bag of money onto the dance-floor, and pour the scorpions over your father's wife and her daughter." ing and the women dancing She entered the party And the ruler's son was ready on a horse.
26 And she danced. Then when she had enough of the dancing, she poured the bag of money on to the dance-floor, and she poured the bag of scorpions over her father's wife and her daughter, and she left.
${ }_{27}$ And the ruler's son set the horse after her. Then at (one) place, her bracelet fell. He said, "Your bracelet!" She said to him, "Something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone."
28 And the ruler's son returned, the bracelet with him. He went until he got to the people at the party. He said, "Enough! Stop the party!" And the people went home, each to his own house.
29 And she went. When she got to the house, she found the sacks already ground, and she found the buckets already filled with water, and the women sitting. They took the jewelry and clothes from her. her, "Did he catch up to you?" She said, "No, but my bracelet fell, and he picked it up. And he said to me, 'Your bracelet!', and I said to him, 'Something else [lit. besides it] will take the place of that which has gone'."
ná
b-ebré $\varepsilon$-ḥókum žēt ḥogúlt ba-zĩs z̃irét trut. õr hésən, "dérən ba-ḥogúlt ðínú b-عkésəns l-ínét b-ag̉igeníti. ba-kól ع-kunút les taw, klétan híni."

 $l$ - $\varepsilon$ riíts $b$-эl kun lo. b-عkés l-єbrít ðə-bāl $\varepsilon$ ṣód ba-kún ḳəyós. ağád iz̃órtə yol ālsən ba-õrtó heš, "Ebrít ðə-bāl $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ d ~ u ̃ m . " ~ b-a ' r e ́ r ~ l a-b a ̄ l ~$ $\varepsilon$ ṣód, bว-zḥám bāl $\varepsilon s ̣ o ́ d$.
©õr heš $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon-h o ́ k u m, ~ " a g k ~ l-o ́ s ̌ f ว k ̣ ~ t o ̄ l a ́ k . " ~ o ̃ r ~ h e s ̌, ~ " m-m u ́ n ? " ~ o ̃ r ~ h e s ̌ ~ \varepsilon b r e ́ ~$ ع-ḥókum, "b-єbrítk ũm." © õr, "mor." ba-séd yóšfaḳ, ba-šfọk.
عdyum egáhgáh tet $\varepsilon$-īs zũts 'ak ṣaférít dúgur. õórót hes, "tiş!" ba-tētš. ba-skəfót, ba-zhãas šō‘ inćt ba-xanít alhín 'ak šǒfals. ba-s̃érék hes aḥrơf 'ak šófals.
©õr hes, "mit zahám acáśris̃ bə- ágis̃ təġíd k-Enúf, 'amír her a'áśzris̃,

 ‘amkáš." zĩs b-aġadót $k$-Enúf.
ba-ksét tet $\varepsilon$-īs ðд-ṣerót 'aḳ ḥəmmám. ‘õrót hes, "ko hit bún? ğíd ba-fló ná ṣanu dḥa-l-غ́hวḳ l-عbré e-ḥókum."
taw: This word is missing on the audio, seemingly by accident. In the Arabic manuscript, we find $\operatorname{H}$ here. This is possibly taw 'well', which also appears here in the Harsusi version of the story. The adverb taw 'well' is not in any of Johnstone's lexicons, though it does occur once in his Mehri texts (M80:19; see also Rubin 2010: 222), and was recorded by Watson (2012: 120); the root almost certainly is the same as that of the particle $t \bar{o}$ (see §12.5•19). Both Johnstone's and Müller's Mehri versions have sawē here, meaning something like 'a good fit', and Müller's Soqoṭri version also has the cognate suwá. Müller's Jibbali version has a totally different word here, kédér. If we ignore the diacritic above the first letter in the Arabic ms, we could probably read تو as sawē, since in Ali's handwriting the initial تـ (minus the diacritic above) and سـ can look the same; if we read taw, then the final 'alif is unexpected. ačśsr šet: Ali mistakenly read the masculine form $a^{\prime}$ sśirét štet on the audio, with some stumbling, but the ms has the correct feminine form ačés̊ šzt.

31 And the ruler's son took the bracelet and gave it to two servant-girls. He said to them, "Go around with the bracelet and try it on the women and girls. And whoever it fits well, tell me." The (two) servant-girls went around in the town for fifteen days and they found no one.
Then on the sixteenth day they came to the fisherman's house. They tried it on his wife, and it didn't fit. They tried it on her daughter, and it didn't fit. And they tried it on the fisherman's daughter, and it fit exactly.
33 The servant-girls went to their master and said to him, "The fisherman's older [lit. big] daughter." He sent for the fisherman, and the fisherman came.
34 The ruler's son said to him, "I want to marry into your family." He said to him, "Who?" The ruler's son said to him, "Your older daughter." He said, "Ok." And they agreed he could marry, and he married.
35 Then on the wedding day, her father's wife gave her beans in a pot. She said to her, "Eat it!" And she ate it.
36 She waited, and seven women came to her and took out everything that was in her stomach. And they put [lit. made] for her (gold) coins in her stomach.
37 They said to her, "When your husband comes and you want to go to the bathroom, say to your husband, 'Give me your turban so I can defecate in it.'" And she waited.
38 Then when her husband came, she said to him, "Give me your turban." He said, "For what?" She said, "So I can defecate in it." He gave (it) to her, and she went to the bathroom.
And she found her father's wife standing in the bathroom. She said to her, "Why are you here? Go, or else now I'll call the ruler's son."

34 m-mún: This is the underlyingly * $b$-mun. The ms gives no indication of the initial doubled $m$, but audio clearly has it. And the $b$-is required before the object of the G-Stem verb šfok, as evidenced by the reply $b$ - $\varepsilon b r i t k$. See also the comment to $45: 13$.
egáhgáḥ: See the comment to 45:19.
$l$-cbré: Elsewhere, the verb hek 'call' takes the preposition her. Perhaps the use of $l$ - here is influenced by the corresponding $l$ - in Johnstone's (Ali's) Omani Mehri version. bo-tētš.
48 عd ġasré zaḥám $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ \varepsilon$-ḥókum ba-skóf tōlás. ‘õrót heš, "z $\tilde{\varepsilon}$-to $\tilde{\varepsilon} s \varepsilon ́ r k . " ~ b a-z i ̃ s ~$ toš, $b$-aġadót beš yol ḥəmmám. melítš ġob bə-zhõǒš.
49 b-aġád $\varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon$ ', 'ágəb $\tilde{\varepsilon} s \varepsilon ́ r s ̌, ~ b ə-k s e ́ s ̌ ~ k \varepsilon l s ̌ ~ g ่ o b . ~ b ə-s ̌ u k u ́ m ~ b ə-s ̃ e ́ f t e l ~ \varepsilon ́ m e ́ s ̌ . ~$
50 ed k-ḥáṣaf zəḥám tel īš. ‘õr heš, "yoh títk?" 'õr heš, "tənúfa' lo. ġวśśót xaṭókí."
51 aġád yol aġabgót. ‘õr hes, "kэh s̃eróks̃ ténu?" ‘õrót, "ð́ńnu mən ع́mí. təbét to dúgur."
 bə-təmти́t.

40 šōtót: On this verb, see the comment to 48:11.
$5^{\circ} \dot{g} 2 s^{s} s ́ t$ : The meaning 'dirty, befoul’ is not in $J L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} s s^{s}$ ), which lists only the meanings 'cheat; poison', but it has this meaning in Mehri (cf. ML, s.v. $\left.\dot{g} s s^{s}\right)$. Its use here could reflect an EJ usage or a Mehrism.
bə-kéṣ: This is the active G-Stem, which is what the ms has. On the audio, Ali read the passive, ba-kéṣiṣ ('and [her head] was cut off'). wife?" He said to him, "She is no use. She dirtied my clothes." 51 They went to the girl. They said to her, "Why did you do that?" She said, "It is [lit. this is from] my mother. She fed me beans."
And she left, and she sat down. She defecated in the turban, and she came back. And she said to him, "Go and bring back your turban." He went and he found in it five (gold) coins, and he took them.
And they went to sleep. Then in the morning, she got up before him. And he got up and found under her head three (gold) coins. And he went (and) he told his father. He said, "Father, I... the woman, I went to her in the evening, and she said to me, 'Give me your turban', and I gave her it.
And she took it to the water, and she came back and said to me, 'Go get [lit. for] your turban', and I went for it and I found five gold coins in it. And I came back and we went to sleep. Then in the morning, she got up before me, and I got up and found three gold coins under her head." His father said, "I think maybe her father is an angel." He said to him, "He still has one more daughter." He said, "We should have your brother marry her." to marry your daughter." He said to them, "The decision [lit. her plan] (rests) with her mother."
They went to her mother, and she said to them, "Ok." And the ruler's younger [lit. small] son married her.
Then on the wedding day, her mother cooked beans for her in pot, and she said to her, "Eat it!" And she ate it.
48 Then at night, the ruler's son came and stayed by his wife. She said to him, "Give me your turban." And he gave it to her, and she went with it to the bathroom. She filled it with excrement and came back to him.
49 And the boy went, heading for his turban, and he found all of it (full of) excrement. And he went home and slept at his mother's.
50 They sent for her and she came. The ruler said, "Cut off her head!" And they cut off her head, and they remained. And it is finished.
15.2 Texts from Salim Bakhit (SB)

Text SB1 (Oct., 1977): The Cow Boy
1 ह̄nfj́ yakólt hen ba-kélt. 'õr maxṭár ṭad ambére’ 'ágab ba-g̉abgót arhĩit bē, $b$-ol xérín lo.
2 b-ī ag̉abgót túz̃ur, b-غmbérモ’ fekír. ba-ġélíb ol yóšfok bes.
 zaḥám beš lo.
4 عmbére' ya‘õr her syó, her s̃xabírš, "he lè’. ḥazóz to!" ol dé ġarób yadēš lo, man dún ġeyg ṭad.
5 hes zaḥĩs đóhun aġéyg, ‘õr heš, "ḥazéz to, he lé?""
6 õr heš, "móġór, ḥa-l-ḥizzək. het lé". lékan śné enúf. náṣanu het ol
bek śé té" lo. ḥa-nkalák ‘éśar ēm, ḥa-netbék ba-naškék, am-man đ̣irš ha-naḩzézak."
7 ba'd ‘éśər $\bar{\varepsilon} m, ~ \varepsilon m b e ́ r \varepsilon ’ ~ k u n ~ b ə-x a ́ r ~ m ə n ~ \varepsilon d i ́ t ~ a g ̉ e ́ y g . ~$

[^225]
## Translation of Text SBı

1 The ancients tell us stories. They said once there was a boy who loved a very-and not (just) a little bit-beautiful girl.
2 The father of the girl was rich, and the boy was poor. And he was refused (permission) to marry her.
3 Then his mind got sick, poor fellow. His parents and his friends [lit. those who were with him] consulted (an astrologer) for him, but nothing came of it.
4 The boy would say to the people, if they asked him, "I am a cow. Slaughter me!" No one knew how to cure him, except for one man.
5 When that man came to him, he said to him, "Slaughter me, I am a cow!"
6 He said to him, "Ok, I will slaughter you. You are a cow. But look at yourself now. You don't have any meat on you. We'll leave you ten days, we'll feed you and give you drink, and afterwards we will slaughter you."
7 After ten days, they boy became well because of the man's treatment. ms , which records a slightly different version of the story than the Roman ms and audio, has bes. All the Roman mss also have bes, though one has $b$-aġabgót (actually $b$-ağáybjót) in parentheses.
3
 can be found in $J L$ (s.v. $x l l$ ). $M L$ (s.v. $m^{\prime} d$ and p. 544) and $H_{L}$ (s.v. $m^{\prime} d$ ) do include $\partial \varepsilon h n$ as the equivalent of Mehri mēd and Ḥarsusi $m y \bar{a} d$ 'intelligence'.

3 engím: According to $J L$ (s.v. ngm), this verb is passive, but the context here suggests an active. The shape suggests an H -Stem. If it were an internal passive, it could be either a G-Stem or H-Stem.
5 hazéz: All the Roman mss have hazóz, but the audio clearly has the expected masculine singular hazéz. In the Arabic ms, the two forms do not seem to be distinguished; we find $\quad$ in both line 4 (for hazáz) and line 5 (for haazéz).

## Text SB2: A Good Match

1 ṭad kótub ol-’̌od leš manyét lo, beṣír ba-ðehín, lékan éghaš ol arḥĩm al-hés عðéhวnš lo.
bə-kunút ġabgót ərḥĩt zétə’. bə-śínútš maxṭár bə-‘agiót beš ba-xízót эl tóklot heš.
3 hes bér hes 'ónut, ktōt leš xaṭ, bว-õrót, " $\varepsilon$ ð́́nu, $\varepsilon$ ðvn $\varepsilon$ ġéyg, yoh tśun? he

4 her s̃ašfékan, ḥa-náxant l-عyó śé ð-จl-’ód śslš lo! īnén, ḥa-yanká xóḳhum arḥ̂̃t al-hés he, ba-ðعhént วb-beṣért al-hés het."
5 lékan ag̉éyg ber šáxar bo-šéš īnéš, $b$-ol-'ód kódór yóšfaḳ bes l.
6 am-man đ̛̣r rš ktob les xaṭ ba-õr hes, "xáṭəs̃ erḥĩm éṣal b-in (ba-kól in) õ õs héśs̃ff, lékan yol ans̃érk?
7 ya xēt bə-ya fažḥát, her zaḥám īnén ðعhént al-hés hit ba-xóḳhum dífar al-hés he?! íné un syó ḥa-ya'mór?"

1 ðehín/عðદ́hənš: Neither the adjective ðehín nor the noun ð́́hən is in $J L$, though other forms with this root are (s.v. ðhn). The noun ð́́hən occurs also in SB1:3.
xizót: This is clearly a 3 fs perfect of the Gb-Stem xézi, though the Gb-Stem is not listed in $J L$ (s.v. $x z y$ ). See further in the comment to 60:2.
śelš: The noun śel means 'likeness' ( $J L$, s.v. śsl), but with a suffix can be translated 'like'. A very literal translation of the phrase śé ð-ol-'od śelš $l 0$ here is 'something that its likeness is not yet'. In one ms, Johnstone glossed this phrase as 'something the likes of which doesn't yet exist', which captures the sense well. My own translation is somewhat looser. xókhum: This is presumably from *xalkhum or *xulkhum. There is no word $x \supset k$ in $J L$ or $M L$, but we can compare Arabic xalk 'creation' and xulk 'temperment, character'. The word xok must be singular here, since it takes the suffix -hum and not -óhum. The following plural adjectives arḥ̃̃t, ðعhént, and beṣért are not in strict agreement with the noun $x 0 k$ as they should be (cf. the ms adjective difar in line 7), but rather agree with īnén. In one typed Roman ms, Johnstone added the ms adjective $\varepsilon$ rhím above the mp form $\partial r h \tilde{\varepsilon} \varepsilon$. The word $x \supset k$ is used also in AK2:2.
6 әт-mən: This derives from * $b$-man. See also $14: 6,48: 8, \mathrm{SB}: 6$, and the discussion of this sound change, with further examples, in $\S$ 2.1.4.

Translation of Text SB2
1 There was a writer who had no equal [lit. likeness], clever and intelligent, but his face was not good like his mind.
2 And there was also a beautiful girl. And she saw him once and fell in love with him, but she was embarrassed to tell him.
3 After a year, she wrote him aletter and said, "O this one, $O$ this man [i.e., so-and-so], what do you think [lit. how do you see]? I am a beautiful girl and I love you, and I want to marry you. What is your opinion?
4 If we get married, we will bring to the people something that is like nothing else. Our children, their appearances will be beautiful like me, and (they will be) clever and intelligent like you."
5 But the man was already old and had children. And he couldn't marry her.
6 And afterwards, he wrote a letter to her and said, "Your nice letter reached me, and all that you said is good. But what should we do?
7 What a loss and what a disaster, if our children came out intelligent like you, and their appearance was bad like me?! What then [or: indeed] will people say?"

### 15.3 Other Johnstone Texts (TJ)

I thought it important to include texts $\mathrm{TJ} 2, \mathrm{TJ} 3, \mathrm{TJ} 4$, and T 55 in this collection, since these are long texts and contain the speech of two new speakers, one of whom is female. Text $\mathrm{TJ}_{2}$ is a conversation between Ali Musallam and a man identified only by the name Aḥmad. The speaker in text TJ3 is unidentified in the text itself, but the voice seems to be that of the same Aḥmad. The audio for each of these texts is quite hard to follow, even for native speakers, due to a combination of very fast speech (mumbling at many times), Aḥmad's soft voice (combined with poor microphone placement in TJ2), and some difficult vocabulary. Aḥmad is clearly much older than Ali. Ali Musallam's Arabic-letter transcriptions for these texts are very helpful, but include many gaps and errors.

[^226]As discussed in the introduction to $\S 15$, the speaker in text TJ 4 and $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{J}_{5}$ is unknown, but can be identified as female. The woman is likely Ali's first wife Tamā’, but this is just conjecture. Ali's transcription of these two texts is more accurate than that of TJ2 or TJ3 (but far from exact), and some of the discrepancies appear to be due to differences in Ali's and the speaker's dialects (cf. the comments to $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 3, \mathrm{TJ} 4: 10$, and $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 27$ ). The most curious feature of this speaker's dialect is the use of the particle hel 'if' instead of her (§13.4.1), which, according to $J L$ (s.v. $h l$ ), is a feature of the EJ dialect of Sadh (or Sidh).

TJ1 is included, even though it is attested only in Johnstone's rough, Roman-letter version, because it contains some unusual vocabulary. The author of text TJ1, a revised version of a text from Müller (1907), is unknown.

Text TJ1 (= Müller 1907: 13 ff .): The Raven and the Fox
 ag̉réb, " $\varepsilon$ fśók t̄̄li." ba-s̃érék heš mírék. fs'é (fśó) fáxra $\varepsilon d$ shel $\varepsilon f$ s'ō".

3 'õr it 'él, "ágan naśné ócż." ağréb õr, "ḥóṣól."
4 fer beš $\varepsilon d$ béləġ mukún. ‘õr, "tśun $\varepsilon g d ə r e ́ t ? "$ ' õr, " $d$-‘k $d$-əśúns."
 śé man tétí ba-śé alxínúi."
6 'õr aġréb, "he kéṭack. der đِer モgénaḥ ðénu."
 man mag'érśt ‘ak habẓ!’"
na'gūn: Müller's text has na'gín. Both of these forms are variant 1cp conditional forms; my own informants preferred na'gūn, while the singular forms have $\bar{\imath}$ (e.g., 2 ms ta'gīn). Conditionals are very rare outside of unreal conditional sentences (see §7.1.5), but this seems to be a frozen usage, peculiar to this verb. See further in § 7.5.4.
hes-tó: In the ms, hes-tó is in parentheses after ḥóṣ́l. In the margin, Johnstone glossed the latter as 'fine'. The two words are synonymous.
1 fśś: After the 3 mp perfect $f^{\prime}$ śé, the 3 md form f'ś is added in the margin. maklét: This is glossed in the ms as 'roast dhurah' (dhurah, or durra, is a kind of sorghum). The word is not in $J L$, but we can compare the verb kélé 'roast; fry'.

## Translation of Text TJı

1 The raven said to the fox, "Let's be friends." The fox said, "Fine." The fox said to the raven, "Your lunch is at my place." And he made soup for him. They ate together until they finished lunch.
2 The raven said to the fox, "Your dinner is at my place." He made him roast dhurah. They dined together.
3 The fox said, "We should (go) see God." The raven said, "Fine."
4 He (the fox) flew on him until they reached someplace. He (the raven) said, "Do you see the ground?" He said, "I still see it."
5 He (the fox) flew on him until they reached someplace. He (the raven) said, "Do you see the ground?" He said, "I don't see it anymore. I see something above me and something below me."
6 The raven said, "I'm tired. Move onto this wing."
7 When he moved, he threw him off, and the fox fell from the raven's wing, saying, "God! Oh for a fall in a pool!"

[^227]8 ga'ár (hē) 'aḳ ḥabž. ambérs' mahé leš bə-rdéš bo-fúdún. ižirét mahét leš ba-rdétš ba-fúdún.


Text TJ2: Ali and Aḥmad Discuss Marriage Customs, Cows, and More
1 Ali: áḥmad, kalét híni ba-sənnétkum her ṭad šfok tōlókum. énfēt íné yas̃érók?

 ešfík ba-xúls, yabġód ba-yakbéb suk. yabġód tel káð̣i ba-ys̃ĩlók.
3 Ali: tel káḍi mən tel śćra?
4 Aḥmad: dé ya‘õr káại bə-dé ya'õr śźra. keľ̌ ṭad. mg̉ว́re’, her xuls kin

5 ba-ksabét $\varepsilon$-tét $b$ - $\tilde{n}$ daxét ba- éṭar bo-karkúm ba-kóḥl b-ag̉ráḍ að-tét, bว-yวź̛ióthum.
6 ba-yaž́iót kélíntš. ðə kun gériún troh bə-ðə kun śotét egirēt ba-ðə kun

7 Ali: $\varepsilon$ ṛ̛̌ man sékan?

Text TJı
$8 h \bar{e}:$ In the ms , this word is added in parentheses after ga'ár. Both mean 'he fell'.
8 mahé: This word is glossed in the ms as 'passed'. I did not find it in $J L$, but we can compare Hobyot mīhi 'pass, cross' (HV, p. 123).
Text TJ2
1 sannétkum: This is Arabic sunnat- 'custom'.
2 हmt: Interestingly, Ali transcribed yol instead of the rare $\varepsilon m t$ in his Arabic-letter transcription.
2 awwal: This is Arabic 'awwal 'first'.

8 He fell into a pool. A boy passed by him and threw a rock at him. A slave-girl passed by him and threw a rock at him.
9 Then he emerged from the pool and left. The story is finished.

Translation of Text TJ2
1 Ali: Aḥmad, tell me about your custom when someone gets married among you. What does he do first?
2 Aḥmad: First thing, he goes to the parents of the woman and asks for her hand. If they let him marry, they let him marry for money, then he hands over the money. And if there is no money, and there are animals, he sees. If they let him marry and it is concluded, they go down to the market. They go to the judge ( $k a ́ \not \partial i$ ) and he gives him legal possession.
3 Ali: To the káḍi or to the śźra'?
4 Aḥmad: Some say káḍi and some say śérac. It's all the same [lit. all one]. Then, if it is concluded with the judge, they go and he takes legal possession, and he takes his things and his belongings,
5 and the woman's clothes, incense, perfume, kərkúm (a yellow dye), kohl, and the woman's things, and he takes them.
6 And he takes the wedding-feast, whether it be two long date-baskets or three long date-baskets, or maybe small round date-baskets, four or five small round date-baskets, and he goes up on camels until he reaches the land. And when he reaches the land...
7 Ali: The land or the settlement?

2 káḍi: This is Arabic qāḍi ‘judge’.
ag்ráđ̣́śs: This is Arabic ag̉rạ̣̣̄ 'things, articles of everyday use', the plural of $\dot{g} a \overline{r a d}$ 'object'.
 źmd) lists only the singular form.

8 Aḥmad: sékan. her éṣal sékan, yagózar (yahódén) kélínt. mg̉óř'yasókf. hes ber gízór ba-xúls kélínt, yakóż tet. yặzéz lé'.
 kunút tet ðə-sidi, al yasdéd lo.
1o Ali: nzēn, man đ̣ér kélínt bass yafórẓ̛as? bass man đ̣ér yũ trut śélat? man yaḳóla's her a $\varepsilon$ ع́lés?
11 Aḥmad: lع’, her šะ d-ḥótég les la-hógətš, her šs d-ḥótég les, yakóls. bə-ðə 'odol hạtég les lo, yaḳóla's her a élés.
12 Ali: ba-hér tet ġolōt ol tağád s̃eš?
13 Aḥmad: mən dém ber šffọ bes, lézəm təğád s̃es.
14 Ali: mansén, ‘õr, tağólban. tåõrən, "nว'ágób ar tel a'élén ed man đ̣ér ‘ónut," ba-fló ṭ́nu.
15 Aḥmad: ðénu 'sd śé yardéd l-ag̉éyg, l-a‘áśars.
16 Ali: 'od yaõ̃r heš yo, "kalác titِk ba-lhélé ba-hiezk."

8 yahódén: In the Roman ms, after yagózar, Johnstone added in the margin "better yhódén". The verb yahódén means 'he shares out', while yagózar means 'he slaughters (food)'.
8 gizór: The form here is very difficult to make out on the audio, which sounds like gezór. Johnstone transcribed gízór. In the Roman ms, Johnstone gave the principal parts gízór/ygózar/yógzar, with Ga-Stem imperfect and subjunctive forms. However, gízór is not a Ga-Stem perfect; the correct Ga-Stem perfect is gozór. Perhaps the form here can be parsed as a G passive imperfect igezór.
8 yokj́ź: This is a 3 ms imperfect of the verb kélé. $J L$ (s.v. $k l w$ ) gives the form as yakól, which is also how Ali transcribed it. Also in $J L$, the definition of this verb is given as '(animals) come home (us. goats)'. In $M L$, however, the cognate G-Stem kalū is glossed as 'come, bring home (animals, a wife)'.
9 sídi: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed sidi as 'devil', but notes that the meaning here is 'no good, naughty'. Another informant confirmed this information.

8 Aḥmad: The settlement. When he reaches the settlement, he slaughters for (divides up) the wedding-feast. Then he stays. After they finish the wedding-feast, he takes his wife home. He slaughters a cow.
9 After he slaughters a cow... He slaughters a cow. Then, if the woman is good, he stays with her. If she is no good, they don't agree.
10 Ali: After the wedding, he just takes her home? After just two or three days? Or does he leave her with her family?
11 Aḥmad: No, if he needs her for something [lit. for his need], if he needs her, he takes her home. And if he doesn't need her yet, he leaves her for her family.
12 Ali: And if the woman refuses to go with him?
13 Aḥmad: As long as he has already married her, she must go with him. Ali: Some (women), they say, refuse. They say, "We want only (to be) with our families for a year," or the like.
15 Aḥmad: This is something that is up to [lit. goes back to] the man, to her husband.
16 Ali: The people may say to him, "Leave your wife and take care of your in-laws."

[^228]17 Aḥmad: yalmún beš yo. əð šะ bes m'ámni, ḳél’’́s. bə-ð っl beš m‘ámni lo, yaxózéns.
18 Ali: yaxóźદ́n... 'ऽd yózməš śé man $\varepsilon k e ́ l \overline{l ̌ s ̌ ? ~}$
19 Aḥmad: ber yózmaš, ber ol yózmaš.
20 Ali: lદ́kən her se ġol̄̄t ol tağád s̃eš?
21 Aḥmad: yakín heš $\varepsilon k e ́ l \overline{s ̌ s ̌ . ~}$

23 Aḥmad: k $\tilde{s} s \varepsilon$.
24 Ali: man kédé ḥiẽš, axsórt takín her īs man her ag̉óhés?
25 Aḥmad: takín axér her kol $\varepsilon$-žéṭ ckéléb. her ṭēṭ kin $\varepsilon$-šffik kéléb, še, ðə kun ag̀ás, bə-ð kun īs, bə-ð kun عdíds.
26 Ali: walékan ह́nfžt yaḥkék her mũn?
27 Aḥmad: yaḥkék her īs.
28 Ali: ag̉óhés, 'od yakín hóhum śé?
29 Aḥmad: man dém ìs ṣahí, al yakín hóhum śé lo.
зо Ali: $b$-ह́més, 'ऽd yahọõl hes śé, émés?

[^229]17 Ahmad:The people nag him [or: complain about him]. If he has honor, he left her. If he doesn't have honor, he divorces her. price?

[^230]31 Aḥmad: ह́més yakín hes. yaḥõl hes śélat عkéléb. ðə kunút mośét, yózmas lé ba-fló lé trut. ba-ð kunút karós̃, yézúm ṭóhun.
32 Ali: bə-xižs $b$-edíds, yokín hóhum śé?
Aḥmad: yakín hóhum ksabét, xižs b-edíds, man xarḳ̂́t, b-edétés, xoṭọk ba-kémkam.
34 Ali: ba-hás... ba-hér kunút al-yó ‘onút, ba-tét tel a‘élés, 'od tabg̉ód k-a̛áśars, her aġéyg дə-mútḥan, b-Јl s̃eš dé lo tel sékən?
 mən dém ber šfoḳ bes, ber ḳolób bes, lézam tag̉ád s̃eš. ar hér ol ag̉adót s̃eš lo, yardíds l-a élés yankơ bes acélés, ba-fló yas̃enḥir bes tel ekáḍơi... śśra.
36 Ali: náṣanu عlhúti al-s̃áxbərək. 'od yaġórén man dún 'ad? her al tē ‘ad lo, yag̉órén?
37
Aḥmad: ber yag̉órén al-‘‘ád. əð s̃óhum 'ad, yaġórén. ba-ð งl kun 'ad lo, bə-ð kun ḥal ðə-xórf, yagórén l-erg̈ód.
38 Ali: lع', her kun ḥal дд-'опи́t.
39 Aḥmad: her kun ḥal ðə-‘onút, эl yȧgórén man dún al-‘ad lo. ar al-'ád.
40 Ali: 'ऽd bóhum śé... mənhúm... dé yanúfxhum?
41 Aḥmad: manhúm ineféx, mənhúm yagórén mən dún nafxát.
42 Ali: $b$-ह̃šh, yaśímš ba-mékan?

31 yézúm: In $J L$ (s.v. wzm) the 3ms imperfect of ezúm 'give' is listed as yézúm, which follows the pattern of other I-w verbs. In all of Ali's texts, however, the form is yézam (cf. 52:1), as also in TJ2:75. Multiple informants confirm the form yézam. There may be two variant imperfects (see further in §7.4.3). We might suggest here a G passive 3fs imperfect, but that cannot be possible in TJ2:64 (because of the 3 fs object $t o s)$. We might also suggest a 3 mp subjunctive yazúm, but a subjunctive is unexpected, and the audio clearly has yézúm (as also in TJ2:64). In the Roman ms, at line 31, Johnstone transcribed ézúm, and added the gloss 'they give'. sardin es, and if it monsoon season, they give milk by (eating) pasturage.
38 Ali: No, if it is a time of drought.
39 Aḥmad: If it is a time of drought, they don't give milk except by (eating) sardines. Only by sardines.
40 Ali: Do some of them ever... Do some... Does someone blow (into the cows' vaginas to stimulate milk production)?
41 Aḥmad: Some (cows) are blown, and some give milk without blowing. 42 Ali: And the butter, do they sell it for a lot?

41 nəfxát: This noun is not listed in $J L$ (s.v. $n f x$ ), though based on the context and the related verb nifx 'blow' (used in line 40), it is clearly a noun 'blowing'. The verb has both the general sense 'blow' and the more narrow sense 'blow into a cow's vagina to stimulate milk production' (a well-documented local practice). Here the noun has the more narrow sense, but presumably can also be used for 'blowing' more generally.

## 43

## 44

 bว-yúm țit.48 Ali: $b$-ãḥlób $\varepsilon n i ́ s ̣ u ́ n ? ~$
49 Aḥmad: yézím śé sćhel.
50 Ali: ‘od yakéním lóhum man mukún?
51 Aḥmad: yəkéním lóhum her kun ḥal ðд-‘onút. sl s̃óhum man dún 'ad lo. ar 'ad. bд-ð kun ḥal ðә-xórf, yotí b-enfóf mən ḥãr.
Ali: al-s̃áxbarək náṣanu, عlé, her zahõt ba-f'ór, yahzéz mən nxínús?
Aḥmad: her kunút lé ð-iṭérób b-igéfún, ihaóz hes, bə-ð kunút lé ð-ifúltıə đ̣er šóṭər bə-ð kun đ̣er f'or. bə-ð kunút lé ol tarbéb sé lo, iḳelác hes $\varepsilon b r$ śs.
54 Ali: bə-hér gunūt عlé b-эl rōt lo đ̛er tfalít, 'วd (t)s̃erók núśəb?

## 55

56

Ali: watekan szn hus $\varepsilon$-sé
58 Ali: walékən sẽn, ḥus $\varepsilon$-śé elhúti ar ifíléṫən, iḥzóz mansẽn.

43
t

45
ð-itérób: The mss have térób, and in the Roman ms Johnstone added the gloss 'gives plenty milk'. No such verb is listed in $J L$, and other verbal stems from the root trb have very different meanings. Perhaps this was meant to be iṭerób (H passive 3 fs imperfect), from $\varepsilon t ̣$ réb which $J L$ (s.v. trb) defines as 'stimulate'. This fits the context, since the idea is that the mother's milk needs to be stimulated by the calf. The fact that Ali transcribed $t$ in the Arabic ms is not problematic, as such spelling mistakes are not uncommon; for example, the following verb géfún is transcribed incorrectly with $k$ in place of $g$.

48 Ali: And the small cows?
Aḥmad: They are given a little.
Ali: Do they get fodder for them from somewhere?
Ahmad: They get fodder for them if it is the dry season. They only have sardines [lit. they don't have except sardines]. Only sardines. But if it is monsoon season, they eat by themselves from the mountain. a male calf. But if there is a cow that doesn't accept a dummy-calf, her calf [lit. son] is left for her.
Ali: And if she refuses the calf and doesn't accept a substitute, does she still make milk?

54 tfalít: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'substitute'. The word is not in $J L$, though several related verbs appear. The $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem is used in line 53 .
58 mənsẽn: The audio here has mənsẽn. The Roman ms has "hésən (better hóhum)" and the Arabic ms has just hóhum 'for them'.
 ba－śé her s̃érókək hes mas̃aḍeliũt，yáni al－hés $\varepsilon$ brés，taḥéś bes śár， mansẽn tarbēn les，mansẽn ifúltıan đ̣er šiṭár faló fá yór ṣaḥ́t．falékan al taḳóla＇s（t）śnéš lo．
6o Ali：tšórkəəš man ráhatạ？
61 Aḥmad：$\tilde{\text { encen，ifélótrš mən ráḥək．} b-o l ~ i s ́ u n e ́ s ~ t o s ̌ ~ l o . ~}$
62 Ali：al－s̃áxbarak her＇ágab yafólt hes，＇od yaháréṣan bes ba－ys̃érékhum ta＇mírnhum ðóhun kob dha－yít šóṭar ba－sé tahégam？
 al－hés iyćl？Aḥmad：al－hés iyćl．
 tarháš．
65 Aḥmad：ðénu，axá，al－hés sbrés．šum ber ð－a＇télím al－ðóhun śé．
66 Ali：ðóhun egód yakín ð－єbrés，mən ar god ðə－ms̃ág்ər？
 عgéfún tagórbaš．
68 Ali：ta＇mór（t）đ̣éš，عđ̣é $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ s ̌ ?$

 ðд－ヶ亏̄t l－єbrés．

59 la－țiā́：This is presumably from Arabic tibác，the plural of tab＇＇charac－ teristic，nature，manner＇．The singular $t \supset b^{\text {c is }}$ isted in $J L$（s．v．$\left.t b^{c}\right)$ ．In the Roman ms，Johnstone glossed this phrase as＇acc．to the nature of＇．
62 yậáréṣan：This seems to be a D／L－Stem passive of the root ḥrṣ．JL（s．v． ḥrṣ）defines the D／L－Stem haórs as＇insist，be insistent＇．ML（s．v．ḥrṣ） defines the Mehri D／L－Stem ḥōraṣ as＇make envious；come to want s．t． because s．t．else wants it［i．e．，be envious］＇．In the Roman ms，Johnstone added the gloss＇give her a fright by dressing as a wolf so that she will accept a subst．\＆begin to like it；make jealous＇．He also adds the princi－ pal parts húrrṣ／yḥúrṣan／yḥ́rṣ for the active，and híríṣ／yḥáréṣən／l－ḥarọs for the passive．The use of the passive here seems to be lexical．
62 ys̃érékhum：The audio and the mss differ considerably for much of this line．Where the audio has ys̃érékhum ta＇mírnhum ðóhun kob dha－yit šótor ba－sé tahégəm（with much stumbling and several false starts），the mss have s̃érék enfóf kolób＇ágab yatí 犭óhũn šóṭar $\varepsilon d$ se tahégam＇they pretend to be［lit．make themselves］wolves that want to eat that calf， so that she will attack＇．

Some, if you slaughter (the calf), it accepts the substitute. And some, if you make a stuffed dummy-calf for it, that is, like its child, you stuff it with straw, some accept it, (and) some accept (only) live male or female calves. But you don't let it see it (its own calf). Ali: Do you hide [lit. steal] it far away? Aḥmad: Yes, you take it far away. And you don't show it to her. Ali: Let me ask you, if they want to make her accept a dummy-calf, do they make her jealous [or: scare her] and make themselves like that wolf who is going to eat the calf, and she will attack?
63 Aḥmad: Yes. Ali: Are the cows like camels too? Aḥmad: Like camels. Ali: The cows are like camels? Aḥmad: Like camels.
64 Ali: And in your opinion [lit. in your heart], the dummy-calf is because of what? He leaves it on (some) sticks and he gives (it) to her to lick it. 65 Aḥmad: This is like her child. They have already learned this thing.
66 Ali: That skin, is it her child's, or the skin of another (calf)?
67 Aḥmad: No, the skin of her child. She knows the skin of her child from the skin of the other. It is the skin that she knows.
68 Ali: Would you say she smells it, the smell of her child?
69 Aḥmad: Yes, she smells it. The smell of her child and the smell of that (other) one differ. If you bring her two dummy-calves, and one is not her child, she'll refuse it, but if it is her child, she will lick it. She has accepted her child.

64 yézúm: See the comment to TJ2:31.
65 axá: As noted in the comment to TJ4:95, this word is not listed in $J L$, but is no doubt equivalent to the rather rare Mehri word $x \bar{a}$ 'like'. It it not clear in this line if axá and al-hés are exactly synonymous, that is, if al-hés can always replace axá.
ta'mór: This form, which looks like the 2 mp subjunctive, is used idiomatically when asking one's opinion on something. In this use, corresponding to English 'do you think?' the form ta'mór does not change for gender or number. For other examples, see lines 112 and 120 in this text, and TJ4:39. Some of my own informants also used this form in this way.

70 Ali: mən kédé egmílh ðə-yวḥ̂õl lóhum ‘ad, bə-ðд-yวxótər đ̛́rhum bə-yḥõl 'ad, 'od yas̃érék hóhum yózamhum sé kénúm?
 tazhómš ba-aškér ba-flo ba-zágar, her nika' ta'bún b-ol yakódar yağád mukún lo.
72 Ali: mun ð-ikénúm heš her aǵéyg xtor đ̣er gũl?
73 Aḥmad: tet ikénúm her irš̃b ba-fló yo ba-sékən.
74 Ali: sgũl š̌ ðд-yḥil aád bə-ðд-yt’’b... násạanu, yaśtím aád bə-dún mən yamdéd hoóżar?



78 Ali: lékən náṣanu eżhúti, 'ak kélbək yakín dáyman... yakín síbar đ̛̣řhum dun, bāl $\varepsilon$ lé?
79 Aḥmad: bāl عlé’ dáyman, her sl kun ṭad ðə-xétí.
 عdún?
81 Aḥmad: dha-yxj́ls slhúti. her ṭad s̃eš əsśŕrét alhúti ba-š́m mənhúm ba-ónut štét ba-fló šabéét, dha-yxóls.

$8_{3}$ Aḥmad: berכ́t tzkín ba-śhelót, berót tzkín ba-äśzri.

71 ta ̛̌̌kór/'aškér: The noun 'áškér, which I did not find in $J L$, refers either to Blepharis dhofarensis or Blepharis linariafolia (Miller and Morris 1988: 6, 8). The form tašk'́r seems to be 2 ms subjunctive of a denominative quadriliteral verb a ááskér. In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed the verb with the note 'you take from such and such a tree'.
${ }_{71}$ zágar: $J L$ (s.v. zgrr) defines this as a kind of bitter, peppery cactus which in an emergency can be chopped up for camel fodder'. Miller and Morris (1988: 142), who record the Jibbali name as zúgər, define this as Euphorbia cactus, and note that it is used as camel fodder. Etmír 'be fruitful; prosper' and tamrún 'fruitful', as well as Arabic tamarat- 'yield, profit, benefit'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'produce.'
77 yzks ${ }^{t}$ ta : In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'pay'. Since the verb normally means 'cut' or 'breach', it must be used here in the sense of 'cut/settle the debt'.

Ali: Regarding the camels that carry sardines for them, that go down to town on and carry sardines (on), do they do (anything) for them (or) give them any fodder?
71 Aḥmad: The camels? Yes. If you go down to town on a camel for sardines, you go get 'aškér for it. You bring it 'aškér or zág̉ar, if it comes back hungry and can't go anywhere. Ali: Who collects fodder for it if the man goes down to town on a camel?
73 Ahmad: The woman collects fodder for the riding-camels, or else people in the settlement.
74 Ali: The camel, the one who carries the sardines and gets hungry [or: tired]... Now, do they buy the sardines on credit or lay out cash?
75 Aḥmad: If he doesn't have any cash, he takes a debt. And if he has cash, he gives cash.
76 Ali: By [lit. until] when should he promise [or: arrange] to pay the debt?
77 Ahmad: By autumn. If they get something from the production of the animals, from the butter, they pay.
78 Ali: But now the cows [i.e., cow-herders], do you think he is always... is he always in debt, the cow-herder?
79 Ahmad: The cow-herder, always, if there is not someone that has slipped my mind.
8o Ali: And why don't they sell some of their cows for money, and buy (with cash), and avoid [lit. leave] the debt?
81 Ahmad: The cows would be finished. If someone had ten cows, and sold six or seven each year, they would be finished.
82 Ali: How about if they take in a week a load of sardines? A load of sardines, how much does it cost [lit. how much is it]?
83 Aḥmad: Sometimes it is thirty, sometimes it is twenty.

78 corrected himself with the Jibbali equivalent síbar.
79 xétit: Johnstone added the gloss 'doesn't stick in the mind'. This could be a Gb perfect of the root $x t^{\prime}$ ' or could be a noun or adjective derived from Arabic xāṭi' 'incorrect, mistaken' or the like.
$k e \bar{f}:$ This is Arabic kēe 'how?'.
82 hoolt: In the Arabic ms, Ali twice wrote hîlt, and Johnstone did likewise in the Roman ms. But the audio has hõlt both times. On this word, see further in the comment to 6:37.

84 Ali: nzēn, ḥəséb het náṣanu عkự̛̣ kelš ðə-yózəm 'ád. Aḥmad: mékən. Ali: mékan. ḥaṣ $\varepsilon$-ṣiriót, amśé yakín đ̣́rhum?
 s̃ek alhúti xérín, yakín đ̣́rk dun xérín.
86 Ali: 'od ižók bél a'ád yaḥkék lóhum?
87 Aḥmad: her эl níka'k tóhum al-śśrṭhum lo, yas̃enḥirk.
88 Ali: ba-hér zumk tóhum foḳ̣, b-ōxarak foḳ̣?
89 Aḥmad: dé yaṣōr, ba-dé ol yaṣ̄̄r lo.
90 Ali: mor, ba-yóh 'ak ḳélbək... b‘ál elé’ ta‘bún mən dun ðénu?
91 Aḥmad: ta'bún dáyman, ba-šé ta'bún.
92 Ali: ‘ak ḳélbək, bāl $\varepsilon$ lé’ axér mən bāl syát axér?
93 Aḥmad: bāl syát axér.
94 Ali: walékan bāl cyát yoh 'ak kélbak z̃skénhum?
 عlé axér, aftakéran. bāl $\varepsilon l e ́ ’ ~ a x e ́ r, ~ b-\varepsilon ̃ n z e ́ l ~ a x e ́ r . ~$
96 Ali: mun takín s̃óhum ksabét axér?
97 Aḥmad: bāl $\varepsilon$ lé təkín s̃óhum ksabét axér.
98 Ali: ab-bāl iyél atkínən s̃óhum țahób, ṭahétə ð-iyél.
99 Aḥmad: yakín ta‘bánín ab-baxélt. ol yasím śé lo mansẽn. bāl iyćl, bāl Érún yēxél mékan, 'ak manzél dífar.
100 Ali: lékən 'ak kélbə kyoh bāl $\varepsilon y \partial ́ t ~ \partial b-b a ̄ l ~ \supset z ? ~ \varepsilon h u ̃ n ~ s ̌ \varepsilon ~ \partial д-y k i ́ n ~ a x e ́ r ? ~$

85 عkédr: In the Arabic ms, Ali transcribed here لاجد. In the Roman ms, Johnstone transcribed l-egéd, and added the gloss 'according to the number of'. Perhaps this was intended to reflect Arabic qayd 'amount'. Johnstone also added the Arabic word قدر (qadr) in parentheses after the gloss. On the audio, the speaker says $\varepsilon k \underset{\varepsilon}{\text { d }} d r$, reflecting Arabic qadr 'amount'.
94 ह̃skénhum: This must be a reflection of Arabic maskan or maskin 'dwelling, habitation'.
95 xedirétz: This is a plural of xadér, the diminutive of xádər 'cave'. JL (s.v. $x d r$ ) does not list the diminutive forms, but they are given in Johnstone (1973: 103).
95 stórtz: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'booths made of branches with dried grass on top, waterproof'. The word is no doubt related to the verb stor 'cover'.
95 عrkéb: This is the plural of rékab, on which see the comment to $54: 32$. Johnstone incorrectly transcribed $\varepsilon$ rkéb in the Roman ms.

84 Ali: Ok, add up now the whole spring that they give (them) sardines. Aḥmad: (It's) a lot! Ali: A lot. When autumn comes, how much (debt) will they have [lit. how much will be on them]?
85 Aḥmad: It depends on the number of cows. If you have a lot of cows, you will have a lot of debt. And if you have (just) a little amount of cows, you will have a little debt.
86 Ali: Do those sardine-men press them (to pay)?
87 Aḥmad: If they don't bring them (payment) as per their agreement, they lodge a complaint against you.
88 Ali: And if you give half and you postpone half?
89 Aḥmad: Some are patient, and some are not patient.
9o Ali: And how, in your opinion... Are the cow-herders weary [or: in trouble] besides this [or: from this debt]?
91 Aḥmad: He is always weary [or: in trouble].
92 Ali: In your opinion, are the cow-herders more (weary/in trouble) or the camel-herders?
93 Aḥmad: The camel-herders more.
94 Ali: But the camel-herders, how, in your opinion are their dwellings?
95 Aḥmad: The camel-herders stay in small caves, and the cow-herders in covered huts or on ledges. The cow-herders are better (off), I think. The cow-herders are better, and their places are better.
96 Ali: Who has better clothes?
97 Ahmad: The cow-herders have better clothes.
98 Ali: The camel-herders have a herd, herds of camels.
99 Aḥmad: They are weary [or: hungry] and mean [or: stingy]. They don't sell any of them. The camel-herders, the goat-herders, they are very mean, in a bad place.
100 Ali: But in your opinion, how are the camel-herders and goat-herders? Which one is better (off)?

1 оо $\varepsilon h u ̃ n:$ In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this word as 'which of 2'. The same word is used in TJ4:71. See § 11.10.
100 še: In the Roman ms, Johnstone transcribed man šum, but this is ungrammatical, since an independent pronoun cannot follow the preposition man here. In the Arabic ms, Ali transcribed منشوهوم, which could be read either man šũm or mən šóhum 'from among them'. On the audio, Ali said še.

101 Aḥmad: aftakérən he bāl cyát.
102 Ali: bāl cyát yakín axér man bāl $\lrcorner z$, bāl ćrún. bāl ćrún yakín 'ak. manébzal ðว-bóhum ḥáši ba-...
103 Aḥmad: háši ba-ák xáṭ́r ba-'ák đ̣eríb ba-cák mənébzal móər.
 mékən, ba-śźmk tósən ba-skófk ba-s̃erókək ba‘ ba-śére’, ba-fló śsmk śé b-ol axér lo ba-skófk b-sṣóżak?
105 Aḥmad: axér her s̃ek દ́rún ba-sémk tósən, axér, lékən her xúlsək, mġóre’ edírshẽsan yoh dha-ts̃̌̌rk?

107 Aḥmad: s̃erókək śé tegórt ba-fló s̃erókək sé dakkún, temém, axér. ba-ð bek ar tóskaf bass ba-títhum, dḥa-l-xóls.
108 Ali: 'ak kélbak náṣanu egablíدl dáyman ya'ágób... sóbar ya'ágób bass ar bun lo?
 し. ðว-s̃akฺəní les õśćt.
110 Ali: egablí náṣanu, bāl egī̄l, ya'ágว́b s̃unútš... yas̃elðéð húṭun, yas̃alðéð b-egiēl mə удs̃elðéð ba-ḥallét?
111 Aḥmad: yas̃elðéð b-egiz̄l.
112 Ali: ta'mór l-íné?
113 Aḥmad: ð-élaf ērdém man tél ērdém xézíl. Ali: man tél xézík ērdém? Aḥmad: $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}$.

103 đِerib: This word is not in $J L$. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'big cliffs', noting also the singular đִerēt and the Mehri cognate
 to Jibbali đِarbét. It is possible that this is the word intended here.
104 mótalan: This is Arabic matalan 'for example'.
104 ba 'ba-śérs': These are from Arabic bay' ‘selling' and širā ‘ 'buying'.
106 her kunk...: Though the general sense is clear, the parsing of this line is difficult. The audio has what is printed here. The function of the compound tense (the only example from the texts of a perfect of kun plus an imperfect) is not clear, and the final verb (which I have transcribed as a 3 fp G-Stem imperfect) is not certain. Ali transcribed this line very differently: $\varepsilon$ díréham ( $t$ )s̃érk bóhum śé ð-iṭól'an, which perhaps means 'the money, you should do with it something so that it increases'. Johnstone transcribed the last verb as yṭól'án, while Ali wrote ذيطولعن; either must be D/L-Stem imperfect.

101 Aḥmad: I think the camel-herders.
102 Ali: The camel-herders are better (off) than the goat-herders. The goat-herders are in places that have sand and...
103 Aḥmad: Sand, and in danger(ous places), and on cliffs, and in rough places.
104 Ali: And in your opinion now, for example, if you have goats and you find much value in them, and you sell them and stay and do buying and selling, or you sell some, isn't it better than staying and praying?
105 Aḥmad: It's better if you have goats and you sell them, it's better, but if you use (them) up, then what will you do with the money?
106 Ali: No, if something with them so that they increase... they increase.
107 Aḥmad: (If) you did some trading or you did some selling, fine, it's better. But if you just stay and eat them, you'll use (them) up.
108 Ali: In your opinon now, the Jibbali always likes... always only likes it here?
109 Aḥmad: Yes. He doesn't make do [or: have patience] without livestock. Even if he has prospered and has money, he doesn't make do [or: have patience] without livestock. He's been brought up for it, the livestock.
110 Ali: The Jibbali now, the mountain-dweller, he likes his sleep... Where is he comfortable, is he comfortable in the mountains or is he comfortable in the city?
111 Aḥmad: He is comfortable in the mountains.
112 Ali: Why, do you think [lit. say]?
113 Aḥmad: A person is accustomed to where a person is born. Ali: Where a person is born? Aḥmad: Yes.

107 tعmém: This is Arabic tamām 'fine, good, ok'.
110 yáágób s̃unútš: The mss have man kédé s̃unútš 'regarding his sleep', but the audio has ya'ágób s̃unútš.
113 д-éla $f$ : The form élaf is the Gb-Stem perfect of the root 'lf. The verb is not listed in $J L$, but is clearly borrowed from Arabic G 'alifa 'be(come) accustomed to, used to'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone lists the forms élf/yélóf/ylóf, which are the expected forms of a Gb-Stem I-’ verb (identical also to those of a I-w verb); see § 7.4.1.

114 Ali: yakín 'áfé mən 'ak kélbək yoh?
115 Aḥmad: $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \tilde{\varepsilon}, y \partial k i ́ n ~ ' a ́ f \varepsilon ́ ~ a x e ́ r ~ a r ~ h ̣ a l l e ́ t . ~$
116 Ali: ḥallét, íné yakín bes?
117 Aḥmad: yakín bes kérṣéta.
118 Ali: kéróṣ ba-ṣēx. 'od yakín t̄̄lっkum ktun?
119 Aḥmad: ह̃hẽ, ktun beryakín b-egiēlab-béryakín ba-ḥallét. ktun ba-ðerðér.
120 Ali: ta'mór manné ižénu yakín?
121 Aḥmad: mən wasáx, her kun sé wasáx ba-ṭ́́nu. mən wasáx crư ba-fló her
 yakín ktun.
 kélbak yoh, her bek d-hákél, her bek 'ak erśét, ol-’’́k tə'ágób ts̃éxənt lo?
123 Aḥmad: ṭ̂́kun her kun ḥal ðə-mosé, al taḳ́dər 'ok ts̃éxənt lo. man ẽlébsi $b$-ersét.
124 Ali: lékan yakín šum sélóhum.
125 Aḥmad: šum ber ð-élafal-ðz̃n śé.
126 Ali: áḥmad, xaṭarét aġsarék tel yo, sékən, ba-xórf ba-mosé, ba-śxáfk halób mékən. mġóre’ áágób he al-s̃éxanṭ-əð-g்oróbk het, ḥalób yakín ar đِahyól—ba-hér s̃xənúṭək s̃ĩn bass adḥóż.
127 Aḥmad: mun, het?

114 'áfé: This adjective is not in $J L$, though related words are included (s.v. 'fw). In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'healthy'. We can probably compare Arabic 'afiy 'robust, healthy'.
117 kérṣéto: This word is not in $J L$, though it is clearly related to kéróṣ 'bugs' ( JL, s.v. $k r s ̣$ ), used in the next line. It is probably a diminutive.
118 șēx: $J L$ (s.v. ṣbx) defines this as 'kind of stinging bug', but in the Roman ms he added the gloss 'centipedes \&c.'.
118 ktun: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'flying insect'. I did not find it in $J L$.
119 Əعrðér: JL (s.v. ðrðr) glosses this word as ‘flea'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'tiny red fly'.
121 wasáx: Though this noun is listed in $J L$, Johnstone rightly marks it as an Arabism (< wasax 'dirt'), as proven by the retention of the initial $w$ (see § 2.1.5).
122 عrśét: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'wood cow-pen'. It is probably connected to the verb $\varepsilon r$ sé $^{\prime}$ 'tie, tether' ( $J L$, s.v. rśy).

114 Ali: Is it healthier [lit. healthy] or what [lit. how], in your opinion?
115 Aḥmad: Yes, it's healthier than the city.
116 Ali: The city, what's in it?
117 Aḥmad: It has bugs.
118 Ali: Bugs and stinging bugs. Do you have ktun?
119 Aḥmad: Yes, ktun sometimes are in the mountains and sometimes in the city-ktun and fleas.
120 Ali: What do these come from, do you think [lit. say]?
121 Aḥmad: From filth, if something is filthy and the like. From the filth of the ground or if a person doesn't wash or doesn't wash his clothes, or his house is not clean, there are ktun.
Ali: Ahmad, in monsoon season, in the cold and the mist, the cowherder has [from the cows] soft [or:liquid] excrement. In your opinion why [lit. how], if you are inside, if you are in the cow-pen, don't you like to go out?
123 Aḥmad: This is if it is the time of rain, you can't want to go out, because of the rains and the liquid excrement.
124 Ali: But they don't care.
125 Ahmad: They are accustomed to this thing.
126 Ali: Aḥmad, once I spent the night with some people, a settlement, in the monsoon and rain, and I drank a lot of buttermilk. Then I wanted to go out-you know that buttermilk makes you go [lit. is all urine]—and whenever I went out for a little, I would slip.
127 Aḥmad: Who, you?
$\tilde{\varepsilon} l \varepsilon ́ b s i$ : This is the definite form of malébsi (< *maláwsi) the plural of mośé. The plural form is absent from $J L$ (s.v. $l s w$ ), but we can compare Mehri and Hobyot maláwsi (ML, s.v. lsw; HV, p. 194). The $w$ in the plural form is part of the plural pattern, and does not reflect any metathesis of the root. sélóhum: The word $s \varepsilon l$ - is used only with possessive suffixes to mean something like 'doesn't care'. Cf. also séli bóhum 'I don't care about them', sélak 'you don't care'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the (strange) gloss 'you should worry', and added the Mehri equivalent swallïham with the gloss 'all the same'. In line 130, he glossed sélóhum as 'it's all one to them'.

129 Aḥmad: っl $\partial$-éləfk egiēl lı?

131 Aḥmad: šum ber ðə-ġarób ðóhũn śé. ð-élaf ðóhũn śé.

## Text TJ3: An Autobiographical Story

sfırk man žofว́l her al-xédəm. mġóre' éṣalak dabéy. am-mən dabéy ag̉ádk ḳaṭár. ḥēk her xadmét. ol kisk xadmét lo.
2 man đ̣́rš sfork al-kəwét. kisk xadmét feřéś. man al-kəwét xudúmk bes 'ónut trut. mg̉óre' sfork ed as-sa'udīt. xudúmk xõš írax ba-fúnšk.
3 mğóre' hes bek fúnšk, ag̉ádk ed ḳəṭár bə-xudúmk bes 'ónut trut. mən đ̣́r 'ónut trut, fúnšk b-ag̉ádk $\varepsilon d$ dabéy.
4 sfork $\varepsilon d$ dabéy. am-man dabéy xudúmk bes 'ónut. mg̉óre' sfork crž. man عṛ̛̌ ḥēk her xadmét ba-xudúmk 'ak géš. mən géš funšk.
5 zəḥã-to x $\bar{\varepsilon} r$. óda‘ ī xaróg, ba-fúnšk, ba-réfa k egiēl. mən egiēl, kébbək. ḥaṣ bek kébbək, sfork. níka'k, sfork 'ak langš ed məskét.
$6 \mathrm{mg} \partial r^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$ kéṣṣak giwéz ba-níka'k dabéy. man dabéy hēek her xadmét, b-コl kisk xadmét sé ba-dəbéy lo.
7 ag̉ádk $\varepsilon$ d ras al-xĩh. man ras al-xĩh xudúmk 'ak šárṭah šab'ét írax. mğóre' man đ̣́ér šab'ét írax a'télúmk dréwal.

## Text TJ2

130 عdáḥaź: The noun dáḥaź, which Johnstone glossed in the Roman ms as 'slipperiness', is not in $J L$, though the related verb $d(a) h a a ́ z ̇$ 'slip' (used in line 126) is included (s.v. dḥ́z).
Text TJ3
2 fer'éś: The meaning of this word is uncertain. Several of my informants did not recognize it, and assumed (as did I) that xədmét fer'éśs must mean something like 'little/odd jobs' or 'part-time work'. One informant, however, revealed that the verb fere'és' (which does have the pattern of a Q-Stem verb) means 'lay something on the ground', and so the phrase $x \partial d m e ́ t f \varepsilon r$ 'éś refers to selling wares on the street.
2 fúnšk: The D/L-Stem funš, borrowed from English 'finish' (via Arabic), does not appear in $J L$, but Mehri fōnaš is listed in $M L$ (s.v. fnš). It means both 'resign, quit' and 'fire, dismiss'. A D-Stem fannaš, with both meanings, is known from various Arabic dialects in the region.

128 Ali: Yes, me. Because I was not accustomed (to it).
129 Aḥmad: You were not accustomed to the mountains?
130 Ali:No, I wasn't accustomed to the rain, the slipperiness. But they don't care. They run.
131 Aḥmad: They already know this thing. They are accustomed to it.
Translation of Text TJ3
1 I traveled from Dhofar in order to work. Then I arrived in Dubai. And from Dubai I went to Qatar. I searched for work. I didn't find work.
2 Afterwards, I traveled to Kuwait. I found some work. I worked from Kuwait for two years. Then I went to Saudi Arabia. I worked five months and I quit.
3 Then after I quit, I went to Qatar and I worked there two years. After two years, I quit and I went to Dubai.
4 I traveled to Dubai. And I worked from Dubai for a year. Then I traveled home. From home I looked for work and I worked in the army. I quit the army.
5 News came to me. I found out that my father died, and I quit and went up to the mountains. I came down from the mountains. After I came down, I traveled. I came, I traveled in his boat to Muscat.
6 Then I got a permit and came to Dubai. I looked for work from Dubai, and I didn't find any work in Dubai.
7 I went to Ras al-Khaimah, and I worked from Ras al-Khaimah in the police for seven months. Then after seven months I learned driving.

[^231] xudúmk dréwal 'aḳ šsrék. híni 'ónut ba-fọkh.
9 hes bér híni ónut ba-fókḥ, da‘ámk. hes bek da‘ámk, sfork. sfork her ṣur. man ṣur śótźmk ḥánúfi hóri, bə-s̃xənúṭk beš $\varepsilon$ rź.


mg̉órs’ réfa'k egiēl al-śné $\varepsilon k e ́ r a h ̣ . ~ k i s k ~ \varepsilon k e ́ r a h ̣ ~ b e r ~ x a r o ́ g . ~ a g ̉ a ́ d k ~ b a-n h ̣ a ́ r k ~$ leš lé trut. man đ̣ér lé trut šfj́kak.
hes bek šfj́ḳak kébbak ḥallét. ḥ̄̄k her xədmét bə-xudúmk naggór. hes bek xudúmk naggór híni śhalét ‘ayún he ð-ənúgar.
mg̉óre' funšk mən niggórt bə-ṭéḳ̂əək fədnín ḳaṣ. mən fədnín ḳaṣ... funšk man fadnín ḳaṣ, ba-xudúmk hóri.
man hóri, funšk mes. ol śink xadmét tinúfa‘ sé l. ã áš đə-ng̉ólk xérín. funšk ba-réfa'k egiēl. skəfk 'ak mośét, alhúti b-érún b-iyél.
skofk híni śhalét 'ayún. mən đ̣ér śhalét 'ayún, kébbak. kébbak ḥallét. hēek her xəadmét. ol kisk xəadmét lo. mğóre' níka'k bə-sfórk. kéṣṣak l-\&núf giwéz ba-sfórk mən lóhun saktéréra. hes itk saḳtéra, šfókak ba-trút. hes bek šfókak ba-trút, ‘õk hésən, "ak al-sgódkan d-crž."" ġólób. hes g̉ólób, abg்ód man sakṭéra $\varepsilon d$ عġǵźว $\partial$.
 man nātūur skofk. skofk elóhun 'ak ‘ónut trut ba-fóḳh.
 hes bek xtork, skэfk, aġádk, žēṭək عlóhun réšan man suḳ ba-kbórk.
šsrék: This is probably from Arabic širāk 'partnership' (cf. also Arabic šarikat- and širkat- 'company').
da'ámk: This verb meaning 'have an accident; crash (into)' is not in $J L$, but was known to informants. The related word da'im is used in line 10. da'im: This word is not in $J L$, but it is obviously connected with the verb da'ám, discussed in the previous comment. naggór: This is Arabic najjār 'carpenter'.
ð-ənúgar: This is a 1cs imperfect of the verb ngor. JL (s.v. ngr) defines this verb only as 'push, knock down (a wall, etc.)', but here it seems to be a reflection of Arabic najara 'hew, carve, plane (wood)'.
kaṣ: The meaning of this word is unknown, but it must be connected with the verb kes 'cut, chop'. My informants did not recognize it.

8 When I succeeded, I left for home. From home, when I got home, I worked as a driver. I worked as a driver in a company. It was a year and a half.
9 After a year and a half, I had an accident. After I had an accident, I traveled. I traveled to Ṣur. From Ṣur I bought a canoe for myself, and I left for home with it.
$\tilde{a} c a ̆ s$ : This is the definite form of macač, which is simply Arabic ma‘āš 'salary; income; livelihood' (root 'yš).
18 nāṭūr: This is Arabic nātūur 'guard, watchman'.
19 réšan: This is presumably from English 'ration'.

20 śink sfer da-yanúfa‘ śé lo. hes bek žēṭak eréšan kbork. kunk k-Elhúti a élí,

hes ber țēr len fơór dḥášan toš ba-žẽn ba-hódén her bél sékən abbə'ว́(l)ta ăḥlób. mg̉óre’ 'õr híni še, "a-l-ġád." õ̃r híni, "a-l-xétər." he bek õk heš, "hatxétar?" õr híni, "a-l-xétar."
23 õk heš, "tعmém. xter. ba-žbót len ḳit man suḳ ba-ksabét. bə-nká’ tun... het ba-fló tóskaf'ak õśśt ba-fló he. kวl e-śínén toš... kวl ع-ágab mínén yósfar bə-ṭad yóskaf ‘aḳ õśźt."
 giwéz ba-sfór $\varepsilon d$ dabéy.
25 hes íti ba-dəbéy da'ím. da ĩš kéraḥ ēer ba-țēr mešfa‘m b-īd. mg̉óre’ yankác to $x \bar{\varepsilon} r$, 'õr híni, "ekéraḥ da'ím."
26 mğóre' sfork he ed l-ənkác. hes níka'k ed dabéy, s̃anḥórk. hes s̃anhọrk, kižík sinórt ba-'arkē-trut, țit nṣénút ț́nu.
27 'õr híni... ‘õk, "ð̌n ol kézéētš lo." ‘õr, "aryoh?" ‘õk heš, "ðóhun ġeyg lézam

28 'õr híni, "วl śé kézēt ar ðгn. 'ak bes ba-ð 'ak ts̃éxənṭ?" 'õk, "ob." b-ag̉ádək bə-s̃anḥórk, b-éṣalak máḥkama. b-ol kisk sé lo. ol bakic híni sé lo ar sinórt b-'arḳ̄e-trut.

[^232]I found [lit. saw] the journey not useful. After I got rations, I went back up. I was with the cows, after the donkey. Then we brought the cows to water, and a bull of ours was broken. After a bull of ours was broken, we skinned it and roasted (it), and divided (it) up for the members of the settlement and those (women) with the calves.
22 Then he said to me, "I'll go." He said to me, "I'll go to town." I had said to him, "Will you go to down?" He said to me, "I'll go to town." I said to him, "Fine. Go to town. And get us food from the market, and clothes. And bring us... You either should stay with the animals or I (should). Whoever we see... Whichever one of us wants should go, and one should stay with the animals."
Then he went. Then he got himself a permit and traveled. When he came to Muscat, he got himself a permit and traveled to Dubai.
After he came to Dubai, he got injured. A blind donkey crash into him and broke his leg and arm. Then news came to me, it said, "The donkey is injured."
Then I went until I came (there). When I came to Dubai, I filed a complaint. After I filed a complaint, I was compensated with a cat and two mice, one small like this.
He said to me... I said, "This is not its (proper) compensation." He said to me, "How so?" I said to him, "That man must be compensated well. I want ten thousand or twenty thousand. This compensation, I don't want it."
He said to me, "There is no (other) compensation but this. Do you want it or do you want to leave?" I said, "No." I went and filed a complaint, and I went to court. But I didn't get [lit. find] anything. There didn't remain for me anything but the cat and two mice.

Text TJ4: The Sultan's Son and the Sultan's Daughter (1974)

```
`ókum l-ũtal lókum ba-kelťót?
xaṭar\varepsilońt ṭit bar suṭún, ǐ эl s̃eš lo ansalét ar š\varepsilon.b-эl yas̃xánúṭ lo man 'ak ekéṣaraš lo. yakín ar 'ak kéṣaraš.
hakt ēr heš, s̃asfé man ġabgót ṭit \varepsilonbrít ðว-suṭún b-\varepsilonrź
ðд-ykólt heš bes.
aġabgót, ankəbréd sérés. b-acéléš ol s̃óhum ənsalét lo ar š\varepsilon. ənhabsés
\varepsilonmbér\varepsilon' man žááat. 'õr heš iš ḥaḳt ð\partial-yzhómš ǐš, õr, "\varepsilońbrí, gélak, faló bek
śé,faló șaxábk séé?"
```



```
her īs, ôr, "\varepsilon bé, he dha-l-ğád, hāyim taḥt dāyim."
`õr, "\varepsilonbrí, təġád bo-térd ben bo-l-\varepsilońfsaḥ ben, acélék? `l s̃\varepsilonn nsalźt ar het.
al-hũn toġád hel 'ak təġád?"
`õr, "\varepsilońzam dhha-l-ġád!" aġád. hõl šánṭวh 'ámkás gən\varepsilonh\overline{\varepsilon}t \tilde{\varepsilonl\varepsilońs. bo-hõl}
ksabćtš \varepsilonrḥĩt, b-aġád. aġád, aġád, aġád, aġád.
ber šḥél\varepsilon man ūt \varepsilon-īs, ber šhél\varepsilon man tél tékhab, man tél tabgóód, man tél
ts̃xánúṭ. ber do-ğíriás k\varepsilonls ta'míran \partialд-hél s̃es.
```

2 ansalét: $J L$ (s.v. nsl) does not include this word, but does include the
related nésal. Perhaps nsəlét is the singular of nésal.
ḥakt ēr: The speaker said ḥaktēer, but Ali transcribed haṣ $\varepsilon$-bér. Likewise
in lines $12,19,36$, and 54 . Similarly, in line 49, Ali transcribed the 3 fs hakt

hakt $\bar{e} r$. It may be that Ali's transcription here is because of a dialect
difference between him and the speaker. See also the comments to
lines 4 and 42 , as well as $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.6$.
anhabsés: This word is glossed in $J L$ (s.v. hwss) as 'shrink in mind'. In the
Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'became weaker and weaker',
matching the definition given in $M L$ (s.v. ḥwss) for the Mehri cognate.
źáat: This word is missing from $J L$ (s.v. źy'), though the corresponding
verb $\underset{\text { źe }}{ }{ }^{-\quad}$ 'become thin, waste away; go to waste’ is listed (cf. 28:13). In
the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed źááat as 'weakness'. It is unclear how
best to translate it in this context, so I have opted for a somewhat loose
translation.
4 hakt: As in line 3, while the speaker said hakt, Ali transcribed haṣ. He
did likewise in lines $25,31,51,55,67,68,85,86$, and 88 . Only four times
did Ali faithfully transcribe hakt (lines 5, 50, 65 and 73).

Translation of Text TJ4
1 You want me to tell you a story?
2 Once (there was) the son of a sultan. His father had no offspring but him. And he didn't go out from his palace. He was only in his palace.
3 After a while, he heard about a certain girl, the daughter of a sultan in a certain land, from the talk of the people who told him about her.
4 The girl, he became crazy about her. And his family had no offspring but him. The boy got weaker and weaker. His father said to him, when his father would come to him, he said, "My son, are you sick? Do you have something, or do you have any pain?"
5 He said, "No." He said, "So why are you like this?" He said, "(It's) just from myself!" After a while, he said to his father, "Father, I will go, wandering as I will."
6 He son, "My son, you would go, throw us aside, and leave us, your family? We have no offspring but you. Where would you go, if you want to go?"
7 He said, "I must go!" He went. He took a bag, which was full of coins [lit. in which was coins its fullness]. And he took his nice clothes, and he went. He went, he went, he went, he went.
8 He had already heard a description of the house of her father, and heard a description of where she spent the day, where she went, and where she went out. He already knew her totally, as if he had lived with her.

[^233]9 ag̉ád. ag̉ád man lóhũn, $\varepsilon$ d níka‘ eș̃irét ag̉abgót. hes zahám $\varepsilon$ șir ag̉abgót, síni héṣan. ǵiriás la-thalol’’ e-yó ðə-húli heš tos. g̀iriás, b-ag̉ád mən lókũn. $\varepsilon$ d níka‘ lxín ūt, yaśún manzél ðə-šxarét. zəḥam, égaḥ tōlás, sšxarét.

 ‘õrót heš, "hes-tó. ya'õr śé lo." skof tōlás. skəftōlás. ḥakt ēr heš yum mit yũ trut, õr hes, " $\varepsilon$ a ĩti, hé..." kolót hes ba-garé ma garé kelš, ḥógtaš kels, kal in géré leš.
kolót hes mən kédé aġabgót. kolót hes mən kédé ağabgót b-aġadítš $b$ - $\varepsilon s t i ́ k d \partial s ̌ k \varepsilon l s$.
"bə-hít, s̃íxbar híni man ag̉abgót bə-yúm e-s̃xənṭót." bə-sع́ ts̃xánút mən gam'át $\varepsilon d$ gam'át, aġabgót.
man gəm'át $\varepsilon$ d gəm'át a'rér l-ižókũn ínét əみ-təbg̉ódən s̃es. ġigeníti ðа-lébras man sens, árér lésan. b-īs s̃eš masébtan. s̃eš masébtan, ba-kól yũm tékhab 'ak mastún ṭad. b-ẽsébtวn ðд-hégír ðirhum ṭad yaḥégərhum ðə-yźbḳa` ðírhum ḥers 'ak z̃s $\varepsilon$ btan.
kol t tad 'amkáš ṭad yahégarəš. ol yagáḥš dé lo man dún se. yum $\varepsilon$-nk'ót se b-ag̉igeníti ðə-s̃és, yəfóth les.
18 ba-d-s̃irík hes kol mastún 'amkáš but. kol mastún 'amḳáš but, tékhēn 'amkésan. térōḥan 'ak félég, 'ak habẓ́, ṭénu téróḥan 'amkáš.
la-thaló': This word (cf. its bare form taḥló' in line 58 ) is not in $J L$. It is glossed in the Roman ms as 'description', and is clearly related to the verbs s̃ḥعlé 'be given a description' (root $h \nmid w$ ) and húlli 'describe', both used in this text.
10 Lxín: Ali transcribed nxín, but the audio has Lxín. He did likewise in line 24. Like his typical replacement of haṣ with hakt, this transcription reflects a difference in Ali's dialect from that of the speaker. ba-garé ma garé: Though not in JL (s.v. gry) garé is a noun derived from the verb géré 'happen'. The element $m a$ here is Arabic. In a number of Arabic dialects, the construction $\mathrm{X}(w-) m a \mathrm{X}$ can mean something like 'the whole $X^{\prime}$ ' or 'all about $X$ '. Johnstone incorrectly transcribed this phrase as ba-garé magré, but correctly glossed it as 'what had happened'.

9 He went. He went from there until he came to the girl's town. When he came to the girl's town, he saw a castle.
1о He recognized it from the description of the people who described it to him. He recognized it, and he went away from there. Then when he came to a house, he saw an old woman's place. He came, and went in to her, the old woman.
11 He stayed with her. He said, "Auntie, I will stay with you, and make me my food! I will be with you while I am in this land."
She said to him, "Ok. No problem [lit. it says/does nothing]." He stayed with her. He stayed with her. After a day or two, he said to her, "Granny, I..." And he told her everything that had happened, all of his needs, and all that had happened to him.
13 He told her about the girl. He told her about the girl and his journey and all about his plan.
"And you, ask for me about the girl and when she goes out." And she went out every Friday, the girl.
15 Every Friday, they sent for those women who would go with her. Girls similar to her in age, they sent for them.
16 And her father had plantations. He had plantations, and every day she would spend the day at one plantation. The plantations were guarded over by one man who guarded them, who they put over them as a guard in the plantations.
17 Each one had in it one who would guard it, so no one entered it except her. When she came, she and the girls who were with her, he would open it for her.
18 And each plantation had in it a house made for her. Each plantation had a house in it, and they would spend the day in them. They would swim in the stream, in the pool. They would swim in it like this.

13 prepare'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'subject, affair'. hers: This word, which is missing from the Roman ms, is not in JL. It appears again in line 65, where Johnstone added the gloss 'a guard' in the Roman ms. The related verb ḥ́rós is listed in $J L$ (s.v. ḥrs).
yum: It is not certain that yum is present here, as the word is not clearly pronounced. Ali transcribed mit, which is possible. If yum is correct, then it is the only attestation of this word used as a temporal subordinator in the texts. See further in $\S$ 13.5.3.7.

19 bə-ḥákt ēr rīh, a-bér raḥáż, tabg̉ódən ba-tfós̄ñ. tékhēn 'ak ūt. tékhēn yum đihun عlóhun. ba-kol'éni ténúfšan, mən gam'át $\varepsilon$ d gam'át.
20 bo-sé s̃es žírít hayśīt ta'mírons bass 'arīt, axér 'ansén, $\varepsilon$ zzírét.
21 ôr her єšxárét, "mit dḥa-ts̃́̇́xənṭən, s̃íxbar mən ẽstún ḥa-tọḳhəb 'amkáš."
 dha-takhēn 'amḱśš.
22 takólt heš ẽstún dḥa-tวḳhēn 'amkáš, sẽn. yabg̉ód šะ 'ak fégar eṣbวḥ̂́, $d$-'od yo da-šéf,f finísan sen ol təğádan.
 ba-ḥim. ba-yabġód yanbél'átan tel emíh.
 bo-ykín tẹélé $\varepsilon n u ́ f s ̌ ~ b ว-h ̣ a ́ s ̌ i ~ b a-s ́ x a l e ́ t ~ b a-x a ́ t ̣ o ́ k ~ ‘ a đ ̣ o ́ l . ~$
 finíkan ġéyg maxlék lóhũn, mis̃érd, ð-égaḥ ágab yaštík."
26 təbg̈ódən. hel zaḥám təsókfən tōláš. təṣféfon ‘īróš, bə-təź̧hókən leš. tažḥ̣́kan leš, tadxóṣənaš am-bóh b-əm-bóh, ba-šé s̃érék $\varepsilon n u ́ f s ̃ ~ ' i g e ́ m ~$ leṭókũn, $b$-эl yahérg s̃ésan śé lo. yaḥaðnín désan, $b$-эl yahérg śé lo.

21 her $\varepsilon$ šxárét: Ali transcribed here he-šxárét, as did Johnstone. The final $r$ definitely is hard to hear on the audio, since the word her is spoken very quickly. In line 92, the phrase her suṭún is likewise pronounced something like he-suṭún, and neither Ali nor Johnstone transcribed the $r$. In both cases, I am inclined to interpret this just as a rapid pronunciation, and not suggest a real preposition $h$ - in this dialect (as we find in Mehri, for example). Still, it is possible, and a reduced form $h$ - is used in certain idioms (e.g., $h$-íné) and with pronominal suffixes. Another example is found in $\mathrm{TJ}_{5}: 10$.
21 tas̃xiór: The expected 3fs imperfect of $\tilde{s} x a b e ́ r ~ i s ~ t a \tilde{s i x i o ́ r ~(<~ * t a s ̃ x e ́ b o ́ r), ~}$ which is what both mss have here. On the audio, it sounds closer to $t a \tilde{s} x i ̄ r$. The sequence iór is heard more clearly in line 81. (The 2 mp is normally tas̃xir < *tas̃xébér; cf. 3mp yas̃xīr in $12: 7$ and 18:7.)
22 fégar eṣbaḥí: The adjective eṣbaḥí 'morning' is not listed in $J L$, but the phrase appears in $M L$ (s.v. ṣbḥ), where the Mehri equivalent is translated 'at the end of the night'.
23 'atalól: This word is glossed in the Roman ms as 'torn clothes'. Informants recognized it.

19 And when they had swum, and had bathed, they would go and have lunch. The would spend the afternoon in the house. They would spend that day there. And in the evening, they would go home, every Friday. And she, she had an Ethiopian servant-girl, who was just like an Arab, (but) the servant-girl was better than them.
21 He said to the old woman, "When they go out, ask about the plantation she will spend the day in." The old woman asked for him. She asked discreetly. She asked discreetly about when they would go out to the plantation they would spend the day in.
She told him the plantation they would spend the day in. He went in the early morning, while people were still sleeping, before they (the women) went. ground charcoal and painted himself with the charcoal. And he went and rolled around by the water. the soft soil, that sand. And he was painting himself with sand and filth, and (also) old clothes.
When they came, the man who was guarding the door, the one who was over the door, said to them, "Already before you (came) a poor fellow there, a crazy man, who entered wanting to drink."
26 They went. When they came in, they stayed by him. They stood around in front of him and made fun of him. They made fun of him, they poked him here and there, and he pretended he was mute, and didn't speak with them. He stared at them and didn't say anything.

ḥim. JL (s.v. ḥmm) lists only ḥũm charcoal, but ML lists both ḥamïm and ḥamūm for Mehri 'charcoal'. So Jibbali hìm must be a variant of ḥũm, just as in Mehri.
23 yanbél'átan: $J L$ (s.v. $b l \underline{t}$ ) lists the imperfect as yanbél'ót. NQ-Stems do not normally have a final -ən in the imperfect (at least according to various entries for NQ-Stems in $J L$ ), so the final -ən here is unexpected. tasféfan: $J L$ (s.v. sff) glosses the verb ṣef only as 'line up; stitch', but in the Roman ms of this text, Johnstone added the gloss 'stand around s.o.'. An informant also preferred ciróš. This is the lone attestation of the preposition ‘iyór (§8.5).

27
$x$ bっ-sé tafวk xátékəs.
hel ber s̃aġśé, ber arḥáź, ber aḳtēź, ta‘õrən heš, "ġadú! 'ak téfaś? ġadú! s̃um náṣanu, bo-ġadú, fśs tэ̄lén 'ak ūt."
yåõr ṭ́nnu b-ídéš, yaḥóżēn b-ídéš. yãõr, "le"". yahérg lo, dun bass yacõr țénu b-ídéš.
tabg̉ódən. hel kol'éni zaḥám, tagórēn leš. təõrən, "ag̉atétí, 'ágan nəśné ĩsérd, te naẓḥók leš." tagórēn tōláš.
ḥaḳt dḥa-tanféśan, tacõrən, "náṣanu, anḳél! 'ak mun minén al-g̉ásare tōlák?" yékóf. yahérg s̃ésən śe lo.
 b-ídéš. hel íti tel عbrít ðд-suṭún... tel عžírét Әд-suṭún... Әд-ġabgót, yวõr ṭ̂́nu b-eréš.
yåõr, "ak bes, cz̃irét." təõrวn, "íné dha-(t)zéms?" taẓḥว́kən leš. al 'ak. $\varepsilon k l \bar{\varepsilon} s \partial n$ s̃eš śé $b$-วl śé.
 les. taõrən, "heeee! yabxósk oź, ĩs̃érd, s̃eš dírćham! tob ar s̃eš ganehét, ĩsérd!"
taõran, "ak bi hé? 'ak bi hé?", ð̨n iżók. ya‘õr, "le’, 'ak ar ba-ðín." lékanol yahérg śé l. yaõor bass, "ak ar ba-ðín."
36 ‘õrót her āaléts, "yol 'as̃ to? ‘as̃ to l-g̉ásare tōlóš?"" oõrót, "ag̀isri tōláš! mis̃érd, inć dḥa-yá mer his̃? aġísri tōláš, kalá š, ba-háḳt ēr do-s̃éf, gịh 'ak ūt bo-šif, b-iffsaḥ beš. $\varepsilon d$ k-ḥáṣaftazhĩm."

27 hel: Ali transcribed here her, as also in line $28,32,59,62$, and 72 . This is yet another example of Ali transcribing according to his own dialect, whether intentional or not. Ali correctly transcribed hel in lines 6, 26, 30, 32, 59, and 62.
28 s̃əğśé: The Roman ms has s̃əġźé here, but I transcribe s̃əġśé based on 60:45/46. See further in the comment to 60:45.
28 aktēž: I did not find this verb in any dictionary. Johnstone glossed it in the Roman ms as 'prepare o.s., were ready'. I assume it is a T2-Stem of a root $k b \not z^{\prime}$ (perhaps cf. H $\varepsilon k \overline{0} \bar{z}$ ' 'finish') or $k w z$.
tagórēn: This is the 3fp imperfect of the verb géré. JL (s.v. grv) does not list 'pass' as a possible meaning, but compare the Mehri cognate garō 'go in front of, pass'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'passing by'. One of the definitions in $J L$ is 'follow', which may be the meaning intended here. me to spend the night with him?" She said, "Spend the night with him! (He is) crazy, what will he do [lit. say] to you? Spend the night with him, allow him, and after he falls asleep, enter the house and go to sleep, and leave him. Then in the morning you'll come back."
ĩsérd: It is not clear whether ĩsérd is a vocative (and goes with the previous yabxósk oź), or simply a definite noun (and goes with the following s̃eš díréham). Cf. the comment to 46:9.
36 yol: The Arabic ms has يوه ولعش yoh ol 'as̃ (with ol 'as̃ written as one word), but clearly this is a mistake for yol 'as̃.

37 ag̉ád yum énfžt, b-aġsərét $\varepsilon$ zzirirét, b-ižók ag̉ád. 'õrót heš, "g̉adú, ġadú ‘ak ūt." õr ṭ̂́nu b-ídéš. tahérg s̃eš b-عlitót beš ba-hergót ed žaḥ̂́t. ol dē b yašśślo.
 eṣbáh les šv. ag̉ád. gəhiũt āaléts.
39
‘õrót, "āalíti, mis̃érd, bə-ġวlób っl ya šéśs sí. bə-‘ágən bass nəðbél
 dha-nəksćś!" tabġód cšxárét bo- $(t)$ s̃ənżéż heš.
 źaḥak leš. skəf tōláš $\tilde{s i n}(n)$, am-man ðírš, ôrrót hésan, "g̉adú. ġadú narḥáź. Éfsaḥan beš."
42 rḥaź̛ ba-hákt ēr rḥaž, aġad ba-fśé ínét. kol'éni, al-hálsən ðókũn agtēl leš. təõr ðik, "ágak bi l-ğásəre t̄̄lák?" təõr ðik, "ak bi?" yacõr t ténu b-ídéš, " $1 \varepsilon$ '." man yag̉ád s̃es lo. $k$-háṣaf. ba-sé gahiũt ā‘aléts.

46 'õrót, "g̉adú!" s̃orkót heš ġéḍ. ©õrót, "ġadú!" ðદ́nu ar bēr, ð $\varepsilon n$ yaḳóder heš dé lo. "ġadú!" ba- õrót hésan, "aśźśan!" ba-ḥəfs’ótsan fisá", ínét. and verb are not in $J L$. In the Roman ms, Johnstone gives the forms عlúti/islútẽ̃/yclóta, with the gloss 'press s.o., urge'. $d \bar{e}$ : This verb is not is $J L$, but in the Roman ms, Johnstone gives the forms dé/ydé/ydé, with the gloss 'agree'. The forms agree with those of other II/III-w/y verbs (see §7•4.15).
38 39 ta'mór: See the comment to TJ2:68.

They went back the first day. The servant-girl spent the night, and those (others) went back. She said to him, "Let's go, let's go into the house." He answered [lit. said] thus with his hands. She spoke with him and urged him, and spoke until she got fed up. He didn't agree to "get up".
in his dirt like that. At dawn he disappeared. He left. She went to her mistress.
She said, "My lady, (he is) crazy, and he refused to "get up" for [lit. with] me. Let's just take his coins! Do you think [lit. say] maybe we can find him again?" She said, "Come on, we'll find him again!"
They went. Then that next week, they went into a certain plantation. And he asked discreetly about her. The old woman went and asked discreetly for him.
They found him already (there) before them in the dirt. They came in . They said, "Ohhh, he's here! The man is here!" They made fun of him. They stayed by him a little while, and afterwards, she said to them, "Let's go. Let's go bathe. Leave him."
They bathed, and after they had bathed, the women went and had lunch. In the evening, at that same time, they gathered around him. This one said, "Do you want me to spend the night with you?" And that one said, "Do you want me?" He just said with his hands, "No."
It fell to the servant-girl. He said, "Yes." She spent the night with him, the second night. He did with her as before [lit. like that], without sleeping [lit. going] with her.
And he didn't say [lit. speak] anything at all to [lit. with] her until morning. In the morning the old woman came. They left in the morning. And she went to her mistress.
Then (on) the third one, that third Friday [or: week], they came. They spoke with him at that same time, that is, they did the same. He chose the daughter of the sultan. He chose the daughter of the sultan.
She said, "Let's go!" She feigned [lit. made] anger at him. She said, "Let's go!" This guy was indeed a con-man, no one could get the better of him. "Let's go!" And she said to them, "Get up!" And she quickly collected them, the women.

46 yakóder heš: We expect leš here, since the normal idiom is ķodór l- (e.g., $15: 10 ; 60: 10)$. The audio is impossible to make out for certain here, but Ali transcribed heš.
 śef õrót, "iné dḥa-(t)zẽ-to?" ḥfun les b-aḥfunéš ganehét man 'ak. hanítéš... mən 'ak šánțวš.
‘õrót hészn, "Énf̄̄t, ġadú!" ba-s̃zhirsótš. b-aġadót. ḥaḳt ērót se b-ez̃iréts $k$-Enfóf, 'õrót, "he dḥa-l-órrod leš."
‘õrót, "'0d yǎõr his̃ śé ba-fló śé?" ©õrót, "barr. ténúgah k-ḥáṣaf ba-d-’ód ‘ak. ẽnzélš. dun ḥakt e-zhámk, akósš ber heg egdarét. ol akósš elóhũn l." ‘õrót, "hes-tó!" cõrót, "hit tíkən d-ótkəð̣əs̃. ba-ḥáḳt $\varepsilon$-zḥámk, ftéh li." õrót hes, "zzhíl!"
ag̉adót. aġadót mən lóhũn $\varepsilon d$ tənkáš. ksotš d-‘od b- $\varepsilon$ nzélš. 'õrót heš, "g̉adú! ġadú ‘ak ūt." õr hes b-ídš ṭ́nu. ol dē ya šźś s̃es lo.


ś $f$ š̌ keb 'ak emíh ba-rḥáź. ba-háḳt ēr əntéđ̛éf, ber rḥáż, féké xaṭókéš bə-zhám. zəḥam mən lóhũn.
 aġadót taźğír. ‘õr, "hiškiŝ! ol ( $t$ )źğír b-ol thírg sé!"
$\bar{u} t \partial s:$ Ali transcribed $\bar{u} t \varepsilon ́ s$, which is incorrect. On the audio, the speaker did put some stress on the second syllable, but this was just simply part of the cadence of the story. hanítéš: The word hanít is used as a place-holder, like English "what's it called" or "whatchamacallit" (JL, s.v. ḥnv).
s̃ahirsótš: I did not find this verb ( $\left.{ }^{2} \partial h e ́ r a s, ~ t h e ~ S ̌ 2-S t e m ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r o o t ~ h r s\right) ~$ in $J L$ or $M L$, but Johnstone added the gloss 'nagged him (told him off)' (along with the Mehri equivalent galūs) in the Roman ms. This verb is used also in 53:4.
hakt érót: See the comment to line 3.
heg: This verb, meaning 'wander around aimlessly' is not in $J L$, but informants recognized it. It occurs again in line 58, where Johnstone added the gloss 'I was distracted' in the Roman ms.

kirféye: I have translated this word as 'bed' (as Johnstone glossed in the Roman ms ), since it is more recognizable than the more accurate translation 'charpoy'. Both 'charpoy' and kirféye ultimately derive from a Persian or Hindi-Urdu word meaning 'four-legged'. The word is known in a variety of forms throughout Omani and Gulf Arabic dialects.

As it happened, he went down to the water and bathed. And when he had gotten clean, had bathed, he put on his (good) clothes and came back. He came back from there. He put up a lantern. The woman noticed the lantern. When the man put it up, she looked up, she noticed it. She went to scream. He said, "Don't be afraid! Don't scream and don't say anything."

[^234]56 'õrót, "het mũn?"" õr, "he aġéyg ðóhũn ĩs̃érd $\varepsilon$-taźḩ́kวə leš." 'õrót, "mən hũn zəḥámk b-ínéšs estikkdək?" kolót hes. kolót hes.
 $b$-estíkdəš śnfí. ©̃r, "s̃əðmúrk mes̃ ba-ḥéli híni tos̃, $b$-ənkabródk l-aġaró e-yó.
58 bass kélít híni bis̃ taḥlơ’, ba-héggak. fsáḥk b-ačlí. ol s̃óhum dé man dúni he, ìb-émí."
©̃rót, "he náṣanu... yol fékar? het, hel śínik ı ba-faló éda" bek bun, dḥa-yó(l)tġak." õr, "ba-rī̃. 'כd al-hés ‘ágis̃ s̃írk." 'õrót, "g̀adú mən munúi am-mún xaṭíki."
6o ebgaḥótš 'ak xáṭiks mən ḥákél, b-ag̉adót beš. bo-ṭaḳ̣̣ót. se, hes kéṣər $k$-हnúfs se. ̄̄b tazḥóm meš ar k-Enúfs se. tafóth les $\varepsilon \tilde{z} i r e ́ t$. dakkót ba-ftaḥót les عz̃irét. ftaḥót les عz̃irét, ba-rfa’ỏt b-ebgaḥótš ‘ak kéṣar, ba-ḳfolót l-\&núf.
 ع-gam'át, ḥa-yankér to syó." taḳófal leš ekéṣar, ba-ts̃xánúṭ k-ínét al-ḥáls bass. mən gəm'át ed gəm'át tabg̉ód $k$-ínét.
63 b-ol ckaṣarót al-cstíkdas lo ed yaksór hababl, 'aśírét tِroh írx, še ba-sé. b-ol
 'aśírét troh írx, ba-šé tōlás.

57 ḥólti: Ali's transcription has ḥóli, but the audio has ḥ̂́lti. Both are probably acceptable. The word ḥol 'condition' ( $J L$, s.v. $̣$ hwl) is borrowed from the Arabic hāl. Arabic also has a synonym hāalat-, and this should be the source of ḩolt. The word holt is not listed in $J L$, but it is used also in AKı:3. Similarly, Mehri ḥōlat, used in M24:15, is not in ML, which lists only $h \underset{o}{l} l$ (s.v. ḥwl).
57 héli: This is probably an internal passive of the D/L-Stem húli 'give a description', though the fact that it has a direct object $t o s$ is a bit odd. It could also possibly be a Gb-Stem. $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem internal passives are exceedingly rare in the texts. See further in §6.2.
63 al-estíkdas: Ali transcribed lo estíkdəs, in which case the lo would be superfluous (reflecting an anticipation of the lo following estíkdas), and the verb $\varepsilon k$ kaṣarót would take a direct object. The audio favors al-estikldas.

56 She said, "Who are you?" He said, "I am that man, the crazy man that you all were making fun of." She said, "Where have you come from, and what is your plan?" He told her. He told her.
57 He said, "I am so-and-so, and my condition is thus." And he told her about himself, the land he came from, and his first plan. He said, "I heard a description of you, and it was described to me about you, and I went crazy from the people's words.
58 Just a description of you was told to me, and I wandered off. I left my parents. They have no one but me, my father and my mother."
59 She said, "Now I... What is the plan [lit. idea]? You, if my father sees you or finds out about you here, he will kill you." He said, "As you wish. Do as you want." She said, "Come on (in) between me and my dress."
6o She put him in her dress, inside, and went with him. And she knocked (on the door). She had a palace for [lit. with] herself. The door she came in from was only for herself. The servant-girl opened up for her.
61 She banged and the servant-girl opened up for her. The servant-girl opened up for her, and she went up and put him in the palace. And she locked herself in.
62 They stayed, he and she, from Friday to (the next) Friday. She said, "Now I, if I fall short (of my routine) on Friday, the people will catch on to me." She locked him in the palace, and she went out with the women at her same time. Every Friday she went out with the women.
63 And she didn't fall short of her plans until they had spent a year, twelve months, he and she. And they didn't touch, except he on his lying-place and she on her lying-place, (with) talking and chatting about love, he and she, for a year, twelve months, and he was with her.

63 yaksór: This is the 3mp subjunctive of the G-Stem ksor (cf. line 64). In $J L$ (s.v. $k s r$ ), this verb is defined only as 'overcome, get the upper hand of', but here (and in line 63) it must mean 'pass (time)'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone seems to have suggested a connection with Mehri kaḍawr (cognate with Jibbali kođ̣́r r), which can mean 'pass (time)', and indeed the two roots are suspiciously close.
63 otkiyzš: This word, which is not in $J L$, is clearly derived from the verb tké 'lie down' (used in line 64). In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed the phrase as 'his leaning-place'. The exact meaning of the word is not clear, but the sense certainly is.
63 tamtíl: Though not in JL, this word is clearly connected to the verb ũtal 'tell'. It probably derives from Arabic tamtīl 'performance; description'.
 də-tké. bə-śéf gérhum a'ántóhum leṭókũn. bə-hunúd bə-s̃éf.
65 ḥakt $\varepsilon$-k-ḥáṣaf, yaghúm əggór 'igém ðə-suṭún. ḥers 'od les áạṣər ðóhun.
 leṭóhũn.
 tōlás." ôrr, "búdak!" "õr, "məḥazzót crḳabéti her ol tōlás ġeyg."
 bə-sع́. a'aśéśhum.
 ižók skof ba-ṭád $\varepsilon$ dūr, ‘õr, "késén t̄̄lás ġeyg."
69 ‘õr, "ənká bes, se bə-šé." zahám bóhum $\varepsilon d$ 'ak ērzét. s̃xabírás. s̃xəbíráš. ‘õr, "hst man hun zaḥámk?" še ‘ágab al-ḥzzóz ‘ar ag̉abgót, yékən ag̉álét ar meššs.
70 b-aġabgót ‘agiót al-ḥazóz 'ar embére', tékən ar se. aġadót heš bə-sé ðعbgaḥ̂tš. s̃agéḥidó. єmbére’ ' õr, "he réfa'k les ba-zḥámk tos. b-ənkabródk sérés b-égaḥk les."
71 təõr se, "bédé. he hérótk, ba-ğว̄rək toš, ba-žēṭək toš, b-£bgáḥk toš
 iy

64 iźé: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'night; occasion of the night', a meaning confirmed by an informant. This is a borrowing, or perhaps a cognate, of Arabic 'iš $\bar{a}$ ' 'evening; nighttime prayer', though the consonant correspondence is a bit irregular; we would expect retention of the ' and voiceless $s$ in place of $z$.
64 tké: This verb, meaning lie down' is not in $J L$, but informants recognized it. Informants used the 1cs perfect tkék (or tkék) and 1cs future $a-l-\varepsilon ́ t k \varepsilon$, suggesting that this is an H-Stem.
65 ketk: Ali transcribed here athúmk in place of $k \varepsilon t k$. In his own texts, Ali never used $k \varepsilon t k$ (on which see $\S 12.5 .12$ ), but used $\partial$ thúmk a number of times.
$66 \varepsilon$-yaṣól: This is from $\varepsilon d$ yaṣól, and the $d$ is simply lost is fast speech.
$69 \varepsilon d$ :The audio here actually sounds like $\varepsilon d t \varepsilon$, and Ali's Arabic transcription has أتاء. We might compare the preposition at-t $\bar{\varepsilon}$ used in the Mehri spoken in the southeastern corner of Yemen (Watson 2012: 114; see also Rubin 2011: 77). The Roman ms has ta 'ak.

64 Then the night that they had passed a year, it happened that they each fell asleep on his pillow, each one lying on his pillow. It happened that their eyes had pulled them like this, and they got drowsy and fell asleep. 65 When it was morning, a mute slave of the sultan came. She still had a guard that night. I think that night he was still close to her. And the door opened. He noticed that man lying and sleeping thus.
66 He dashed off, he ran until he reached the sultan in his majlis. He said, "Your daughter, there is a man by her, sleeping by her." He said, "You lied!" He said, "May my neck be an offering, if a man is not by her."
67 He sent two (men) with him. He said, "Go!" They went. When they came, they found him, and they were still sleeping, he and she. They woke them.
68 When they looked up, they noticed the men already standing over them. The men went back, they said, "We found them..." [changing the story] They stayed, and one went back, he said, "We found a man by her."
69 He said, "Bring her, her and him." They brought them into the majlis. He questioned her. He questioned him. He said, "Where did you come from?" He wanted to be killed instead of the girl, (wanted) the fault to be only with him.
70 And the girl wanted to be killed instead of the boy, (wanted) it to be only her. She went to him and she was the one who brought him in. They contradicted one another. The boy said, "I went up to her and came to her. I was crazy about her and went to her."
71 She said, "He lied. I went down and met him, and took him, and brought him in by me by force." They contradicted one another. And he didn't know which one (he believed), (if) the girl was telling the truth or the boy was telling the truth.

[^235]72 $g r m$ ), but more likely it is a reflection of Arabic jarāim 'crimes' or another form of this root.
72 yédé: In the Roman ms, Johnstone defines this as 'take'. He also lists the forms ídí/yédé/yźdé', as well as the Mehri (semantic) equivalent howṣawl 'take s.o. somewhere'. This must be a G-Stem of the root $w d y$. Though the root $w d y$ is not listed in $J L$, we can compare Mehri D/L awōdi and Harsusi awēd 'take away'. Both $M L$ and $H L$ (s.v. wdy) also cite a Jibbali cognate $\bar{o} d i(M L$ specifies EJ). (twice). We expect béran ḥézzan. Likewise in line 81.
ket ma ket: This is Dhofari Arabic kēt ma kēt, meaning 'such-and-such', corresponding to the expression $k \bar{e} t ~ w a-k e ̄ t ~ u s e d ~ i n ~ o t h e r ~ A r a b i c ~ d i a-~$ lects (e.g., Gulf, Yemeni, and Iraqi). ‘execute’ (also in lines 77 and 89). JL (s.v. 'dm) lists only a G-Stem 'ódúm (which would be EJ 'ádúm) and G passive 'ídím. The G passive is found in TJ4:87. Arabic has a C-Stem 'a'dama, so the use of the root in the H -Stem in Jibbali is not surprising. The form that I have transcribed $a^{\prime} d i \check{s}$ in this line could be either an H-Stem active ádǐs, or a G-Stem active 'adïš.

72 He said, "Kill them both [lit. all]. Take them and execute them. Kill them!" If there is someone who has committed a wrongdoing, a crime or something, they kill them in... they have a certain other house. They seized (them) and took (them) away to them (the other criminals).
73 And when they came to them, they, sons of the sultans, and they were good men [lit. kings], they said, "Should we kill them?" They hid them. They didn't kill them. And they put them in a room that no one could find [lit. see]. They locked it.
74 They locked them in there and went to the sultan. They said, "We've already killed them." He said, "Have you already killed them?" They said, "We've already killed them. They were left like that."
75 It so happened that word came out from there, and [lit. until] it reached the boy's father, the boy's country. The boy's father found out that such and such happened to his son. It happened thus and he was executed. The sultan of that place such-and-such had executed him, in that country.
76 He declared... He sent... He said his army... all his armies, all his subjects should attack the town of that sultan. The people, the inhabitants of the town where the boy was, where the girl's father was, heard the news.
77 They said, "It turns out that the boy who was executed the day before last was the son of a sultan, and his father has heard about it. And a raiding-party will come and destroy the town. They will attack the town." possible that he understood the verb only from context. It is not in $J L$. 76 egéšs: See the comment to TJ3:4.
$76 \varepsilon r^{\prime} i t s s^{\prime}$ In $J L$ (s.v. $r^{\prime} w$ ), the word $r^{\prime}$ 'it is given only the meaning 'female herd', but the Arabic source (ra'iyat- 'herd') can also mean 'subjects, citizens'.
77 yahétar: Johnstone transcribed yahéṭar in the Roman ms, while Ali transcribed yahéțr in the Arabic ms. I did not find this verb in $J L$, but in the Roman ms Johnstone added the gloss 'destroy', along with the 3 ms perfect ḥṭ̣́r. Given forms like Iraqi Arabic hiṭar 'beat, thrash', I assume that the Jibbali verb has $h$.
 də-bũn. egés dḥa-yวhgúm d-eșirét." agád.
79 ag̉ád tel ižókũn ag̉ág. ‘õr hóhum, "ġad tel suṭún bo-‘amér heš, 'he ol ḥizk erśót lo. erśót ṣaḥćt'"
8о ‘õr, "dha-yzhíz to." õr, "эl dha-yhízk b. ġad ba-‘amér heš." ag̉ád. śsfar suṭún a'rér her ižóhũn ðд-yдḥzíz, ḥəzzézún. a'rér hóhum. 'õr, "bókum hézkum tóhum, عrśj́t?"
81 'õr, "ber ḥézzan tóhum. het ‘õk hẽn, ‘ḥazózhum!’’ ab-bér hézzan tóhum." ya'rér leš bo-yos̃xiórš. ol-’od éda‘ yoh yos̃érk lo.
82 zaḥám ðóhũn ḥazzézí $\varepsilon d$ tél $\varepsilon$ rśj́t. 'õr, "he ag̉éyg shúlk to, $b$-aġéyg ya'árér híni ezfór kel. bo-yól 'ak to l-ámer heš?"
 ba- õr, "Erśót ṣaḥét." 'õr, "ṣahḉt?" cõr, "ẽhẽ."
84 ‘õr, "ndoh, anká bóhum. anká’ to bóhum." õr, "náṣanu hit giḥ, giḥ 'ak عkéṣar $\varepsilon$-īs̃, bə-hé dha-l-g̀ád al-ġēr ī."
 $b$-عmbérs' agád. ḥakt $\varepsilon$-zhám, ōsam عnúfš.
 egéš. zaḥám, ōsəm عnúfš.
87 'õr, "he bar عðí-ilín, $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ عðí-ilín." ‘õr, "het ol 'idĩk lo? ṣahí?"" õr, "he ṣahí.

88 zaḥám, ba-ḥákt e-zhám tel ǐs, kolót b-enúfš. õr, "he ṣaḥí." ‘õr, "ber ṭélíg hek acidém?" ‘õr, "ber ṭélíg híni acidém."

79 hóhum: Though the line begins 'they went to those men' and 'they said to them', the story requires that the addressee be one person. The following imperative forms $\dot{g} a d$ and 'amér are singular, and the speakers asked the addressee to say 'I didn't kill'. And the response in line 80 comes from one person. This line and the next few lines are a bit confused.
82 عhúlk: JL (s.v. hlk) defines this D/L-Stem verb only as 'annihilate'. In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'torment, \&c..' The meaning here (as also in line 91) clearly implies some sort of pressure or distress.
$85 b$-ód̛é: According to informants, this is equivalent to ḥađ̣é 'above, upstairs' ( JL, s.v. $\left.h \not \partial^{\prime}\right)$. See also the comment to 51:5.
$86 d$ - $\dot{g} \dot{g} a l f e ́ t:$ : In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'had surrounded'. The verb (the prefix $d$ - and the context make it clear that this is a verb) is not in $J L$.

78 They heard people passing in the streets (saying), "The next day in the morning the raiding-party will attack here. The army will attack the town." They went.
79 They went to those men. They said them [or: to him], "Go to the sultan (the girl's father) and say to him, 'I didn't kill the children. The children are alive.'"
8o He said, "He will kill me!" He said, "He won't kill you. Go, tell him." He went. It so happened that the sultan sent for those men who do the killing [lit. who kill], the killers. He sent for them. He said, "Have you already killed the children?"
81 They said, "We already killed them. You said to us, 'Kill them!', and we already killed them." He sent for him and asked him. He didn't know at all what to do.
82 That killer came to the children. He said, "I am the man he has distressed, and the man sends for me always. What do you want me to tell him?"
83 He said, "Tell him, 'They are still alive. I didn't kill them.'" He went and gave him the reply. And he said, "The children are alive." He said, "Alive?" He said, "Yes."
84 He said, "Come on and bring them. Bring them to me." He (the boy) said (to the girl), "Now you go in, go into your father's castle, and I will go meet my father."
85 The boy went out to meet the army. And the girl went up to her father in the castle upstairs. And the boy went. When he came, he identified himself.
86 When he came, they all had surrounded the town. but they had not yet fired. While they were like that, he reached the army. He came and identified himself.
87 He said, "I am the son of so-and-so, the son of so-and-so." They said, "You weren't executed? (You're) alive?" He said, "I am alive. Where is my father?" They went from there. They said, "Your father is here. In this (group), the army."
88 He came, and when he came to his father, he told (him) about himself. He said, "I am alive." He said, "Was the execution mentioned to you?" He said, "The execution was mentioned to me."

88 acidém: This word is not in $J L$, though it is obviously connected with the verbs 'adúm and a'dím 'execute' (see the comment to TJ4:75). It undoubtedly a borrowing of Arabic i'dām 'execution'.
 $b$-эl bi śé. $b$-ol wégab lo." sinniśšis. ©̃̃r, "yol 'ak?" 'õr, " $\varepsilon$ ī, 'ak bass l-óšfak b- $\varepsilon \dot{g} a b g o ́ t . " ~$
90 ‘õr, "ak bass tóšfək $b-\varepsilon \dot{g} a b g o ́ t . " ~ ‘ o ̃ r, ~ " a k ~ b a s s ~ l-o ́ s ̌ f a k ~ b-\varepsilon \dot{g} a b g o ́ t . " ~ c o r r, ~$ "ba-hét ber s̃érék bek ṭ̂́nu ab-bés ṭ̂́nu, ba-d-’ódən nitnēzil bass nağád tel īs nəs̃źnṭe bes?"
91 'õr, "Ẽhẽ, $\varepsilon$ ī, 'ak bes. ba-hé bek húlkk $\varepsilon n u ́ f i . ~ b e k ~ t ə a ́ b k, ~ b e k ~ a n t ə k o ́ l k ~$ al-siēs, ba-‘ák l-óšfak bes." 'õr, "hes-tó!"
 bə-zḥám ī ġgabgót, suṭún, bə-zhám s̃eš $\varepsilon r^{\prime}$ ítš. bə-ġótbər ag̉ág, $\varepsilon k ̣ m u ́ m, ~$ g̀ $\begin{array}{r}\text { tbar fáxra. }\end{array}$
93 ba-xnịt 'od lóhum, kol in 'ágab hóhum man šúğal ba-ḥaśmét. ba-s̃anṭé i عmbére' d-ī qġabgót. $\varepsilon s ̌ f i ́ k a ́ s ̌ . ~ \varepsilon s ̌ f i ́ k a ́ s ̌, ~ b ว-s e ́ d ~ l-\varepsilon s ̌ f u ́ k o t . ~$
 ‘دśírét troh írx 'ak $\varepsilon$ kéṣar, ḥabl, ba-hé tōlás b-ol ógah les lo.
95 ar ber śhed bes šō inćt̨ วð-sع́ axá... al-hés kúnut, ðว-kunút ġaz̃ét, $b$-əl-hés
 troh írx ðว-bék skəfk tōlás."
96 śhed bes. ag̉ád ínét bว-ks̃ôf les. śhed bes šō‘ ínét. ar ġaz̃ét al-hés takín


[^236]89 He said, "You have already been executed [i.e., it is as if you had been executed]. Open fire on it (the town)!" He protected them and requested of his father, "I am alive and have nothing (no injuries). It is not necessary [or: appropriate]." His father obeyed him. He said, "What do you want?" He said, "Father, I only want to marry the girl."
9o He said, "You only want to marry the girl?" He said, "I only want to marry the girl." He said, "And you, they did thus to you, and thus to her, and we should still lower ourselves to go to her father to ask for her hand?"
91 He said, "Yes father, I love [or: want] her. I've tormented myself, I've become weary, and I've had a rough time because of her, and I want to marry her." He said, "Ok!"
92 The boy returned from there, and he gave [lit. returned] the answer to the town, and they told the sultan. And the girl's father came, the sultan, and his subjects came with him. And the men met. The parties met together.
93 And they brought them all they wanted in terms of things and respect. And the boy's father asked the girl's father for her hand in marriage (for his son). He gave him his daughter's hand. He gave him his daughter's hand, and they agreed (on terms) for the marriage.
94 He , this boy said, "I want one more (thing)." He said, "What?" He said, "I stayed with her for twelve months in the castle, a year. I was with her, but I didn't sleep with [lit. go into] her.
95 Seven women have borne witness that she is like she was, that she was a virgin, and like she was. They bear witness to her in the army of my own country and in the army of the country of her father. After twelve months that I have stayed with her."
96 They bore witness to her. The women went and examined her. The seven women bore witness to her. (She was) indeed a virgin like a virgin should be. He married and moved on. And he married and took his wife. It is finished. This is the story.

Text TJ5: Meḥaysen (no M)
1 Ali: ĩtal len (ũn) ba-kélt a‘ayún ižók. kalíctun nağfél s̃iñ.
2 Woman: 'ákum to l-óklot hókum ba-mḥáysən?
3 Ali: $\tilde{\text { en }}$, kalít hen ba-kéltót $\varepsilon$-mháysan.
4 Woman: đénu mḥáysən, s̃eš ag̉óhéš troh, b-દ́méš. man kun man troh ižók, šfok.
5 ba-š̌̌ ©̃r, "כl ašófḳ lo man d-'ot Émí ṣahét."
6 kun k-Éméš. b-ižók troh šfok, ba-ko-ṭát 'ak śa'b ‘ak iyélóhum. ed xaṭarét ṭit, raddó še $b$-émés đ̣er $\tilde{\varepsilon} h \not \partial s i ́$.
7 ḥaḳt ēr də-yšők iyćl, $\varepsilon$ ðḥé b-axṣúm eḳbél, axṣóméš. yašōl lótəġ. $\varepsilon$ ðḥ̣ét bóhum tet.
8 'õrót, "šink ġag d-ekbbél, ba-hũrak bóhum. búbne ébrí, ken la-háðér! 'aśéś man 'ak $\varepsilon$ ह̣hasi!"'
9 ol-’od yakólb les gāb lo. ihabhéb bass, yašók iyźl. b-ag̉ág ḳerb da-rédəf, kol troh da-rédaf đ̣er yat țit.
 っl-’od yahérg s̃es lo. ihabhéb bass h-iyél ba-yšọk. b-ag̉ág kerb.

[^237]
## Translation of Text TJ5

1 Ali: Tell us a story of those years. Let us relax a while.
Woman: Do you want me to tell you about Mehaysen?
Ali: Yes, tell us the story of Mehaysen.
4 Woman: This Meḥaysen, he had two brothers, and his mother. As for those two, they got married.
5 And he said, "I won't get married while [or: since] my mother is still alive."
6 He stayed with his mother. And those two got married, and each one was in the valley among their camels. Then one time, he and his mother went back to the well.
7 When they were watering the camels, they noticed enemies approaching, his enemies. They were demanding payment of a murder. The woman noticed them.
8 She said, "I saw men approaching, and I am afraid of them (that they will attack). Please, my son, be on guard! Get up from the well!"
9 He didn't give her any reply at all. He just sang to his camels and gave them drink. And the men got near, riding in file, each two (men) riding on one camel.
10 And he had a matchlock rifle. He had already loaded it and placed it by him. She called for help, and he hadn't spoken to her at all. He just sang to his camels and gave them drink. And the men got near.

## CHAPTER SIXTEEN

## ADDITIONAL TEXTS

The first four sections in this chapter contain texts collected by me in 2012-2013, while the last two include short extracts from older published material.

### 16.1 Texts from Ahmed Kashoob (AK)

Texts AKı and AK2 were recorded in October, 2012, in Columbia, South Carolina. Ahmed, a speaker of Central Jibbali, was, at the time, a 19-year-old university student. Texts AK3 and AK4 were recorded in June, 2013, in Melbourne, Florida. $\mathrm{AK}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{AK}_{4}$ are conversations made up between Ahmed and Musallam Qatan, a 20-year-old speaker of Central Jibbali. Material from other short texts provided by Ahmed (but not published here), as well as elicited material from both informants, are also cited in the grammar sections of this volume.


Ahmed Kashoob, June, 2013

Text AKı: Buying Camel-feed
1 šher a-l-óklat hókum ba-kassét gérét li, he b-i, gabl 'ónut ('ónut $\varepsilon$-térfót). yum man èm ı̄ héróg s̃i k-ḥáṣaf, ba-õr híni, "áḥmad, 'ak tun nəğád tel iyćl."
2 ‘õk heš, "Ẽhẽ. a-nğád tel iyél." mg̉órs" hes béran s̃ĩn ț́nu, ag̉ádən enkófon ḥáđ̣ór ع-iyél. bə-nḥán kúnən də-skúnən ba-gerbéb. b-iyél, yum ðúhũn kéṣá, b-al s̃ésan kít lo.
3 mğóre’ ag̉ádən he b-ī bass b-غnkófən... ba-xtórən da-ḥallét ənśtém hésən 'álaf. hes bérən 'ak órəm ț́́nu, ōḳəf tun ġeyg s̃eš krózə bə-də-ys̃x̄̄r tun ar õśśt bə-də-ys̃x̄̄r tun ar ḥ̣̆ltวn, ba-də-ys̃x̄̄r ar iy alhúti... b-iyél, l-ámer hé.
 ع-yวśúm 'álaf. žēṭən a-yékan mut gúni ðə- álaf, bə-ḥõlan tósən d-íné šũš... do-sayérə ũm. s̃ēgərən sayérว.
5 zũn toš ḥa-yékan xardét her yaḥõl tun d-eśḥér. ṭóhun s̃en sayéra anṣénút, b-ol ankódar naḥmél 'amkás $\downarrow$ o.
6 hes bérən hen ṭ̨n s̃ĩn, ag̉ádən. hes bérən b-\&śḥér kbóbən b-íné šũš... ba-álaf. zũn toš iyćl... her iỳ́l.

[^238]
## Translation of Text AKı

1 Today I will tell you a story that happened to me, me and my father, a year ago. One day my father spoke with me in the morning, and he said to me, "Ahmed, let's go to the camels."
2 I said to him, "Yes, we'll go to the camels." Then, after a little while, we opened up the camels' pen. And we were living (at that time) on the plain (between the sea and the mountains). And the camels, that day it was dry, and they had no food.
3 Then we went, my father and I, and we opened... and went down to town to buy feed for them. When we were thus on the road, a man who had a Cruiser stopped us and was asking us about (our) animals, and was asking about our well-being, and was asking about the camels and stuff, and how the cows were... the camels, I should say.
4 Then we finished our journey [lit. road/way] to town. And we went to that store that sells feed. We took about a hundred bags of feed, and we carried them to whatchamacallit... to the big car. We rented a car.
5 We gave him maybe a little money to transport us to the mountains. We had a small car, and we couldn't carry (the feed) in it.
6 After a little while like this, we went. When we were in the mountains, we unloaded whatchamacallit... the feed. We gave it to the camels... for the camels.
íné šũš: The speaker often uses íné šũš, lit. 'what's its name?', when thinking of a word, similar to English 'whatchamacallit'. The equivalent Arabic phrase is used the same way in some Omani and Yemeni Arabic dialects (e.g., Dhofari $\bar{e} s ̌ i s m \bar{u})$; a number of examples can be found in Davey (2013: 264-265).
$\tilde{s} \tilde{e} g \partial r a n$ : This is the Š2-Stem (1cp perfect) of 'gr, meaning 'rent, hire'. $J L$ lists only the corresponding noun $\varepsilon$ égér 'rent'. We can compare the Arabic verb ista'jara 'rent, hire'.
5 xardét: This word for 'money' is not in JL. Informants say it refers to only a small amount of money. We can compare dialectal (e.g., Gulf, Iraqi) Arabic xurda, xarda, or xirda 'small change', which derives from standard Arabic xurdat- 'worthless item, scrap'.

Text AK2: My Favorite Camel
1 yum man èm 'ónut ðin $\varepsilon$-térfót kúnan 'ak iy iýl. alóhun ṭit s̃en yot s̃ũs magnúna, yat arḥĩt arhịt.
2 mğóre' yum man $\bar{\varepsilon} m$ zəḥãm tun g̉ag manhúm. śíni yat xok ba-‘ákar ba-šẽn, ba-yát arḥĩt bes núśab mékan.
3 hes śínis ağág iżóhunu, õor hen... íné šũš... ôr her ī, "a-t-śíms ba-mśé?" ‘õ $\overline{1}, ~ " a-l$-śúms b-of troh."
áxərši ag̉ág yวõr, "ya!l!a, təm. a-nśźm عyát ðínu, bə-kərére a-nḥõls 'ak mistibíši b-a-nḥõls d-erž." õr hóhum ī, "yall la, érsal li sḳərós̃ b-a-nśúm lókum syát her 'ákum."
5 bo-hé bek la‘álí ṭóhun. đin عyát, al-s̃a‘sórs bē... b-al-s̃a‘sórs bē, b-ol aḳ́dar



 áḥmad?" ổk heš, "ket śsmk syát?"
 l. ba-d-ġótéð̣k, ba-kúnk da-múthakak.

9 'õr híni ī bass, "ak tokol toġətơợ lo. íné šũš... a-l-hérg k-ag̉ág náṣan,
 1о mənyum ðúhũn bass edírót li, ba-ztōgak. b-cyát $\varepsilon d i r o ́ t ~ l \varepsilon n . ~ ð i k ~ s \varepsilon!~$
magnúnə: This is an Arabic word meaning 'crazy'.
arḥit arḥĩt: Here the speaker pronounced the first arḥĩt with an elongated vowel (arhiumiuniut), mimicking Salim Bakhit (in SBi:1), whose story he found highly amusing and enjoyable.
$x \supset k$ : This word is not in $J L$, but appears also in $\mathrm{SB} 2: 4$ (see the comment to that line).
axərši ... yal! $a$, təm: These three words are Arabic.
mistibiši: This word, from Mitsubishi, seems to be a generic word for a pick-up truck.
$\varepsilon r z ̌:$ See the comment to TJ3:4.
érsal: This is a ms imperative of the H-Stem $\varepsilon$ rsél. JL lists only the meaning 'untie, unleash' for this verb. The meaning here is obviously borrowed from the Arabic C-Stem 'arsala 'send'.

1 One day this past year, we were with the camels. We had one there, a camel named Majnun, a very beautiful camel.
2 Then, one day, some men came to us. They saw the camel, (its) appearance, and size, and fatness, and it was a beautiful camel that had a lot of milk. to my father, "How much will you sell it for?" My father said, "I will sell it for two thousand." load it in a truck and bring it to (our) land" My father said to them, "Yalla, send me the money and we'll sell you the camel if you want."
5 And I was already exhausted by this. This camel I loved very much... and I loved it very much, and I couldn't give it up.
6 I went away that day angry. I went back to the house. I was fed up from anger that my father sold the camel that I loved. I was always [lit. only] with it every day, that camel.
7 When my father saw me like this, that I had shut myself in (my) room, he said to me, "Why are you angry, Ahmed?" I said to him, "Why did you sell the camel?"
8 He said, "I needed to, and I wanted to sell the camel." Then I just kept silent, and I didn't speak with him anymore. I was angry, and I was annoyed.
9 He just said to me, "I want you not to be angry (whatchamacallit...) I will speak with the men now, and I will tell them, 'Return the camel to our pen (whatchamacallit...) to the camels' pen.'"
10 From that day the camel came back to me, and I was happy. And the camel came back to us. That's it!

5 la'álí: This word, not in $J L$, behaves like a plural noun with possessive suffixes. Hence la'álí 'I am exhausted', la'álés 'she is exhausted', lacálén 'we are exhausted', etc.
6 ol-ód s̃i țit trut lo: This idiom means literally 'I didn’t have one-two anymore', but here it means something like 'I was fed up'.
7 ket : This is a contraction of $k 0 ~ h e t$. See the discussion in § 11.5 .
9 ḥus̃: JL (s.v. ḥws̃) translates this only as 'wall, enclosed space', but 'pen' fits the context here.

## Text AK3: A Conversation

MQ: íné šũk het?
AK: šũi áḥmad máḥad kašób
MQ: man hún zaḥámk?
AK: zaḥámk man zek.
MQ: s̃uk ag̉óhék mékən?
6 AK: s̃i ag̉óhí xõš crśót b-órba‘g̉igeníti.
7 MQ: hun (t)dórs?
8 AK: kunk al-dórs b-íné šũǔš... bə-zék.
9 MQ: śbrí, bék šfj́kəək?
10 AK:ob, $d$-' $\mathfrak{c}$.
11 MQ: yōrak bek oź.

## Text AK4: A Conversation

MQ: salám 'alékum.
AK: ‘alékum salám.
MQ: aġmódkum bə-xár?
AK: al-hámdu li-llá.
MQ: yol aġmódək?
6 AK: al-hámdu li-llá.
7 MQ: ह́brí, ižóhun iyźlék?
8 AK: ẽhẽ, ižén iyćlí.
9 MQ: tak̄̄̄⿱㇒́幺 mənné?
10 AK: ižén iyślí ber... íné šũš... ol kisk hésan śé álafb-ol śa'r.
11 MQ: géźzi?

 'álaf her iyćlén lo.
13 MQ: hun taḳ̄̄z?
14 AK: ba-śá $b$... íné suũš... ba-śá'b enhíz.
15 MQ: ba-s̃ókum yel mékan?

## Text AK3

9 ह́brí: The speaker here is addressing the other as if he were an old man talking to a young man.

## Text AK4

$12 b^{\prime} e ́ r$ : The speaker said $b$ 'ér in place of $b$ cél.

## Translation of Text AK3

MQ: What's your name?
AK: My name is Ahmed Mahad Kashoob.
MQ: Where do you come from?
AK: I come from Zeyk.
MQ: Do you have many siblings?
AK: I have (for) siblings five boys and four girls.
MQ: Where do you study?
AK: I was studying in whatchamacallit, in Zeyk.
MQ: My son, have you gotten married?
10 AK: No, not yet.
11 MQ: May God bless you.
Translation of Text AK4
MQ: Salam aleikum.
AK: Aleikum salam.
MQ: Are you [lit. have you passed the evening] well?
AK: Praise God.
MQ: How are you [lit. how have you passed the evening]?
6 AK: Praise God.
MQ: My son, are those your camels?
8 AK: Yes, these are my camels.
9 MQ: Are you herding (them), or what?
1o AK: These camels... whatchamacallit... I haven't found for them any fodder or grass.
MQ: Is it [lit. has it become] expensive?
AK: Yes, fodder has gotten expensive these days, I haven't found for them grass or fodder. And you know that in the country, these companies, the ones in Salalah, they've made fodder expensive for us, and I haven't found fodder for our camels.
13 MQ: Where are you herding?
14 AK: In Wadi... whatchamacallit... in Wadi Naḥiz.
15 MQ: And do you have many camels?

17 MQ: tartíb.
16.2 A Text from Fahad Baawain (FB)

This short text was recorded in October, 2012, in Columbia, South Carolina. Fahad was, at the time, an 18-year-old university student. Though Fahad was reluctant to record texts, he provided a substantial amount of elicited material. Fahad, from Dalqut, near the Yemeni border, is a speaker of the Western Jibbali dialect.

Text FB1: Introduction
1 he šũi fáhad. he do- čśk 'ak žalkét. ol nacõl śé alhúti, w-эl śé yél, w-ol śé દ́rún. zahámk bun her l-દ́dras.
2 s̃i šab‘ét $\varepsilon$ ršót ag̉óhí, wa-ší d-ऽod šab'ét ġigeníti aġatétí. mə-lxúní arbáat... órba`g̀igeníti.

## Text AK4

16 śḥlót... śhalét.: The speaker did not make a mistake here. Instead, he simply decided to change the number (which was fictional, in any case) for the benefit of the story.
16 izún: This is the definite form of mizún. The word literally means 'balance, scale' (cf. $J L$, s.v. $w z n$ ), but also refers to the place in which camels are bought and sold.
17 tartíb: This is Arabic tartïb 'order', which can be used in Dhofari Arabic as an exclamation 'good, ok!'.
Text FB1
1 l-édras: For the 1cs subjunctive of the G-Stem verb dérós 'study', we expect $l$-ódras. The replacement of the voweló with $\varepsilon$ in the subjunctive seems to be a colloquial feature among younger speakers. I did not hear this when eliciting forms of strong verbs from informants, though I did when eliciting forms of geminate verbs (§7.4.14). Although l-édras looks like an H-Stem subjunctive, this verb does not mean 'study' in the H-Stem.

16 AK: I have about thirty... three hundred and two. And one they sold yesterday in the market.
17 MQ: Good.

Translation of Text FBı
1 Me , my name is Fahad. I live in Ḍalqut. We don't raise any cows, or any camels, or any sheep. I came here in order to study.
2 I have seven brothers [lit. seven boys, my brothers] and I also have seven sisters [lit. seven girls, my sisters]. Below me are four... four girls.

2 ma-lxúní: This is from man lxúni.
2 arbáat... órbac: Fahad first used the Arabic number arbácat (which came more naturally to him), but then corrected himself with Jibbali órbá.
16.3 A New Text from Ali Musallam (AM)

This text, written out in Arabic chararacters (see p. 645), was sent to me by Ali Musallam in January, 2013, just a few weeks before he passed away. He did not make an audio recording.

## Text AMı: Ba Newas and the Difficult Old Woman

xaṭarét sékan ḥõl 'ágab yol śa‘b ṭit, bว-s̃óhum šxarét man'alót. hes ber ðд-yrófa‘ hãr, ‘õrót єšxarét, "ḥmol to!" õr sbríts, "koh ənḥ̂̃(l)s̃, bo-hít ḥardét axér "ánén?"
axarét śinút deḥlél. gaḥót 'amkáš d-ḥáḳ́l. b-edzḥlél žoš bว-đ̣éliũt, yógah ar bírdém ṭaṭ beš.
 l-as̃xanút lo am-bún." ol-'ód éda‘ íné yas̃órk lo.

 っl (t)sáxanṭ. b-ol éda'n yoh ans̃źrk lo."
5 'õr, "he dḥa-l-xánṭ. tũm s̃őxbar to, 'mən hũn zaḥámk, b-íné 'agk man $\varepsilon$ rź
 walékan he ol-ơk kisk šxarét lo. b-ag̉ádək érẓ̛kum, faló akós šxarét. nḥa, عšxórtén kel ber šfík.'"
 zétว ð-əġélk her ġeyg." 'õr hes, "mor, antígah li!"
 ba-zḥáms̃ ḥãr ba-kébs̃ śa‘b, dha-l-óšfəર̣ bisi."
ənhĩ(l)s̃: See the comment to dha-nhiul(l)s̃ in line 3.
2 źoš: The Arabic ms has z̛oš, but JL has źoṣ. One of the two must be an error.
beš: The Arabic ms has bes, which must be an error.
lóhun: The Arabic ms has الوهن, but I have transcribed lóhun based on the audio of $31: 1$ and $36: 18$. See further in the comment to 31:1.
3 dha-nḥ̂̃(l)s̃: The expected 1cp future of ḥõl is dha-naḥmél (cf. 15:3), and so we expect here dḥa-naḥméls̃. The 1cp imperfect is naḥĩl (< *naḥémal). The form anḥí(l)s̃ in line 1 could be read as an imperfect, in which case it may have influenced the form in line 3. However, the context in line 1 suits a subjunctive, in which case both forms are analogical with the imperfect and/or with singular future/subjunctive forms like 3 ms (dha-)yḥil.

## Translation of Text AMı

1 Once a community moved, heading for a certain valley, and with them was a naughty old woman. When they were climbing a mountain, the old lady said, "Carry me!" Her daughter said, "Why should we carry you, (since) you are stronger than us?"
2 Then she saw a hole, and she went inside it. And the hole was narrow and dark [lit. darkness], only one person (could) enter.
3 And she stayed there. The people said to her, "Come out and we'll carry you." She said, "No way! I am not coming out from here." And they didn't know at all what to do.
4 While they were like this, Ba Newas came. He said, "Why are you standing in the opening [lit. mouth] of this hole? What happened?" They said, "We have with us an old woman, and she entered this hole and has refused to come out. And we don't know what to do."
5 He said, "I will get her out. Ask me, 'Where have you come from, and what do you want in this land?', and I will say, 'In our land, (in) our first marriage [or: formerly, (for) our marrying] we marry old women, but I have not yet found an old woman. I came to your land, (and) perhaps I'll find an old woman. All of our old women have already been married off.'"
6 Then the old woman in the hole heard him. She said, "I am here, wait for me, I'll come to you. I also am looking for a husband." He said to her, "Ok, hurry to me!"
7 The old woman came. She said, "What are your terms [lit. term]?" He said, "My terms are, if you carry this load and come (up) the mountain and come down to the valley, I will marry you."

8 ḥõlót ãg̉dél عšxarét, $b$-ağád $\varepsilon d$ عdré ḥãr ba-kéb śa'b. ©õr be nawás, "kēlk tet. íné $\varepsilon k e ́ l e ́ b ? " ~$
9 ©̃r ag̉ás, "her zəḥámkum to bə-ṣáər ṣəhí, ðóhũn šะ $\varepsilon k e ́ l e ́ b . " ~ o ̃ r ~ b e ~ n ə w a ́ s, ~$ "bélé tabrún?" 'õr, "bélé tabrún. walékan ol térd beš lo."
10 ‘õr be nawás her teţ, "ġadú naǵélk her eṣ‘éhr." ag̉ád be nəwás. ðə-g்ərób عṣ้̣hr, her ðд-yafórd, yadólf man عdahék. ba- 'ágab عšxxarét tódlaf s̃óhum her tafót.
11 'õr hes, "boh eṣ̌éhr. gíd man seróhum ba-n'ifhum. ba-hér kũz man śé dehk, kamíz man seróhum. śē-ən s̃óhum dḥa-yot̄bór, ba-žbiṭ."
12 'õrót, "mor." $\varepsilon$ ṣéhr šaéé, bว-sย́ mən seróhum. axarét kũz eṣ‘éhr bə-kũzút seróhum. ámma $\varepsilon$ ṣéhr, íti ( ( $)$ )ṣór. ámma se, ítót l-éréšs bə-xargót. ba-təmmút keltót be nəwás $b$-ešxarét.
16.4 An Anonymous Text

I recorded this text from a young CJ speaker in 2013. The speaker chose to remain anonymous.

## Text Anon1: The Donkey Carcass

1 a-l-óklot hek ba-kassét. xaṭarét b-egōt ag̉á man tól ansúkən, kérah əð-xaróg 'ak gaḥrér.
2 axarét sékan ol yaḳj́dər yéskan lo man عđ̣é ékérah. yahék her hindís̃ũš mhammád, hindí.
3 - $\varepsilon$ đ̛̣é $\varepsilon$-fétat mélé $\tilde{~ n z e ́ l ~ ð o ́ h u n u ~ k e ́ m a l ~(k \varepsilon l s ̌) . ~ o l ~ y a k ̣ o ́ d a r ~ d e ́ y a n k a ́ h u m, ~}$ $b$-эl dé yaxétaf man t̄̄lıhum.

## Text AM1

12 'õrót: The ms has 'õr 'he said', but this is likely an error.

## Text Anon 1

1 عgōt aġá: Though this phrase means literally something like 'the hole below', here it is the name of a specific place. As discussed in the comment to 40:4, the word $a \dot{g} a ́$ derives from $a \dot{g} a ́ l$. When asked about this word, the speaker did say aǵgál (actually, closer to aǵálh), but in fast speech said $a \dot{g} a ́$ ( or perhaps aǵáh).
man tól: This speaker tended to say man tól instead of man tél. This is certainly an analogical leveling based on the suffixed forms of tel (§8.30), and may be reflective of the speaker's idiolect more than anything else.

8 The old woman carried the load, they went until they climbed up the mountain and went down to the valley. Ba Newas said, "I accept the woman. What is the bride-price?"
9 Her brother said, "If you bring me a gazelle alive, that is the brideprice." Ba Newas said, "Even if (it is) broken?" He said, "Even if (it is) broken. But don't throw it away."
1о Ba Newas said to the woman, "Let's go look for gazelles." Ba Newas went. He knew that gazelles, if they panic, they jump from the ledges. And he wanted the old woman to jump with them, so she would die. He said to her, "Here are gazelles. Go after them and chase them. And when they jump from any ledge, jump after them. Some among them will break (their legs), and grab (one)."
12 She said, "Ok." The gazelles ran, and she [ran] after them. Then the gazelles jumped and she jumped after them. As for the gazelles, they landed standing up. As for her, she fell on her head and died. And the story of Ba Newas and the old lady is finished.

## Translation of Text Anonı

1 I will tell you a story. Once in $\varepsilon g \bar{\jmath} t a \dot{g} a ́$, where we were living, a donkey died in a valley.
2 Then the community couldn't stay because of the smell of the donkey. They called an Indian whose name was Muhammad, an Indian.
3 The smell of the carcass filled that whole place. No one could come to them and no one could pass by them.

[^239]4 axarét heḳ her mhammád. síkun sékən sóhum Land Rover. heḳ acoõ her mḥammád, bə-õr heš, "gəréś $\varepsilon k e ́ r a h ̣ ~ \varepsilon ð \varepsilon ́ n u ~ b-ə r d e ́ s ̌ ~ ‘ a ́ n e ́ n ~ r a ́ h ̣ ว ર ̣ . " ~ " ~$
5 ıl dé yasék ar mhammád, yasék sayérə. axarét yabġód mhammád bə-geróś عkéraḥ, bə-yérd beš ‘ak. gaḥrér ya g̀j̄r 'od, mənzél ráḥəḳ mən tól yarúdifyst.
6 yabğód mḥammád yasḥób skérah, yérd... yasḥób fétat, yérd bes. yahó $b$ - $k$ kérạ̣ bə-sayéra 'ak h hafrét. b-عdūr sékən da-ȳ̄k. ðik se.

### 16.5 A Text from Fresnel (Fr)

This short translation of a biblical passage comes from Fresnel (1838b: 8283). Fresnel transcribed this passage using Arabic characters, which I have interpreted in order to conform with the system used throughout this book.

Text Fr 1: Part of Genesis 37:2, translated from Arabic
 $k$-īn bilha b-īn zilfa ínét iž-iš.

## Text Anon 1

4 síkun: This word is not in $J L$, but, according to the speaker, it means 'at that time' and is synonymous with expressions like ékot ðóhun (cf. 83:1) and $\varepsilon z i u ̃ n ~ \partial o ́ h u n . ~$
5 yasék: This is the 3ms imperfect of the G-Stem sok 'drive', which is not in $J L$. It is clearly a borrowing of Arabic s $\bar{a} q a$ 'drive'.
5 ya: On ya 'or', see §12.1.6.
5 'od: This word has no semantic or grammatical function here. Upon reviewing the audio, the speaker was surprised that he had used it.
5 fyct : This is the plural of fétat 'carcass' (used in line 3 and 6).

## Text Frı

$m a d$ : This particle is listed in $J L$ (s.v. $m d$ ), but is not found in Johnstone's texts or my own. It seems to be roughly equivalent to hes. See also §13.5.3.1.
$\bar{e} r$ : This is from $\varepsilon$-bér, with elision of $b$. Based on $J L$ (s.v. $b r$ ), it would seem that this change is regular, though in all of Johnstone's texts (except texts TJ4 and TJ5), the relative is instead suppressed before ber. See further in $\S 7.2$.

4 Then they called Muhammad. At that time the community had a Land Rover. My grandfather called Muhammad, he said to him, "Drag away the donkey and throw it far away from us."
5 No one (could) drive except Muhammad, drive a car. Then Muhammad went and dragged the donkey away, and he threw it in a valley or in a pit, a place far away where they would throw away carcasses.
6 Muhammad went and dragged the donkey, he threw... he dragged the carcass, he threw it. He made both the donkey and (unintentionally) the car fall into the pit. And he came back to the community crying. That's it.

## Translation of Text Frı

When Joseph was seventeen years old [lit. son of seventeen years], he was herding the goats with his brothers. And he was brought up with the sons of Bilhah and the sons of Zilpah, the wives of his father.
s̃akoní: Fresnel transcribes the first letter of this verb with Arabic $s$, perhaps suggesting that it was really pronounced $\tilde{s}$, and not $\check{s}$, as in some dialects.
$i z-$ : This is the plural form of the relative pronoun, attested in Johnstone's texts only once (SB1:3). See further in $\S$ 3.8.1.
16.6 Proverbs (Pr)

The following proverbs and sayings come from Al-Shahri (2000), possibly the only work on Jibbali ever published in Oman. The book, written in both Arabic and English, contains a lot of historical material and a hodge-podge of Jibbali material. There are number of words-lists, including body-parts (containing 227 items!), color terms, adjectives pertaining to taste, seasons, month names, names for the deity, stars, cardinal directions, measurements, names of games, pronouns, kinship terms, names for the five fingers, and a list of personal names. Also included is a list of 210 proverbs or sayings. Each proverb is given in Jibbali (in Arabic script), with an Arabic translation and a very loose English translation, as well as a long explanation in Arabic and, sometimes, a brief explanation in English. In general, the proverbs are highly idiomatic, and the translations he gives are not literal.

Al-Shahri devised his own writing system for Jibbali, using color-coded Arabic letters. For example, while the print is normally black, $k$ is written with a red $ق(q)$, ś is written with a red $(\check{s}), \tilde{s}$ is written with a light blue $ش$, and $z$ is written with an orange $ش$. Nasalization is indicated with a red $\dot{\varepsilon}(\dot{g})$. His system is helpful for distinguishing consonants, though vowels are still usually absent or ambiguous. Of course, color-coded writing would not be practical for most publications or for handwriting. (In his 1994 book, the Jibbali words are printed all in black.)

Al-Shahri made a recording of all 210 proverbs, which can be found online at the Semitisches Tonarchiv (http://www.semarch.uni-hd.de/).

Below I have given a selection of eighteen of the proverbs, numbered as they appear in Al-Shahri's book. I have transcribed each one based on my own knowledge of the language (checked against the audio recording) and have made my own translation. Where the meaning of a proverb is not clear, I have added a note based on Al-Shahri's explanations.

Texts, Translations, and Commentary:
Pr8. o tag̉órb her a'áśark ed l-éxlaf 'ãš.
'You don't know (the value of) your friend until you move away from him.'

## Pr16. $\varepsilon$-xaróg g̀asré ikiór k-ḥáṣaf.

'The one who dies in the evening is buried in the morning.'
(This is used to warn against impatience, since everything has its proper time.)
Comment: ikiór is a G passive 3 ms imperfect of $k \underset{b r}{ }$.

'So-and-so has [lit. is] neither face nor back.'
(This is used to describe someone with no moral conscience or manners.)
Pr57. عðí-ilín ol éda‘ol íné ebḥér b-ol íné aśḥér.
'So-and-so doesn't know what is the sea and what is the mountains.'
(This is said of someone who is oblivious to what is happening around him.)
Comment: The word bher is an Arabism (< Arabic baḥr), used here for the rhyme with aśḥér.

Pr87. a'áśzr crḥím axér 'ar ag̉á edífor.
'The good friend is better than the bad brother.'

Pr96. affúdún o tț̄̄ras 'ar $\varepsilon$ ǵits.
'A stone, only its sister breaks it.'
(That is, a stone can only be broken by another stone.)
Pr 101. $\varepsilon$ - $k$ - $\varepsilon$ dífar yaṣ̄̄h dífar.
'The one who is with the bad becomes bad.'

Pr 102. ol $\varepsilon b k e ́ ~ t o ~ ' a r ~ s u ́ d k i, ~ b-э l ~ \varepsilon z ̌ ́ h e ́ k ~ t o ~ ' a r ~ x a ́ s ̣ m i . ~$
'Only my friend makes [lit. made] me cry, and only my enemy makes [lit. made] me laugh.'
(That is, a true friend will tell you the truth, even if it hurts, but an enemy will tell you a blatant lie.)

Prı14. ol s̃arókan țēl' 'ar her nanḥág.
'We made music only in order to dance.'
(This is used when someone, taking part in some activity, questions the reason for it.)
Comment: $t \bar{\varepsilon} l$ is from the root $t b l$.
Pr157. 'ok ol śínk man عḳéraḥ 'ar iðúntéš. 'You have only seen the ears of the donkey so far.' (That is, don't judge a book by its cover.)

Pr16o. $\varepsilon$-s̃a'gélyaté nu’.
'The one who hurried eats raw (food).'
(This is used to chastise one who has rushed, or to encourage one to go slower.)
Comment: This proverb is also found in $J L$ (s.v. ' $g l$ ).

Prı61. 'õrót hōt, "yotġ to ĩnkél, ba-yóḳbar to edífar."
'The snake said, "The heroic one should kill me, but the bad one should bury me."'
(This is used when a person suffers the consequences of an irresponsible action, similar to English 'as you make your bed, so you must lie in it'. The reference to the snake comes from a belief that a bad person will not bury a dead snake properly, thus allowing its bones to get up and take revenge.)
Comment: mankél is defined in $J L$ (s.v. $n k \not l$ ) as 'active, energetic, heroic, brave'.

## Pr171. õr, "hun iđð́nk?" 'õr, "boh."

'He said, "Where is your ear?" He said, "Here."'
(The respondent reaches around his head to point to his opposite ear. The point is that instead of making it simple by pointing to his closest ear, he makes things complicated by pointing to the ear on the other side of his head.)

Pr185. k-əź anḥán ab-b'él ũkún.
'We are with God and the owners of the land.'
(This is used by goat-herders to explain why they are not moving to another place.)

Pr187. ko hé her śē‘ak aõor śé?
'Why, when I am full, do I say anything?'
(This is to suggest that sometimes it is necessary to continue to say something, in order to get something done. Al-Shahri gives the English equivalent, 'Constant dripping wears away the stone'.)

Pr188. al-hés $\varepsilon$-d-yaḍhól 'ak $\bar{t}$ ṭวh.
'Like the one who is urinating in the sand.'
(This is used to describe an action that goes unnoticed or unappreciated.)

Pr 193. mağr̄̄t aín acáśer.
'The eye of the lover is known.'
(That is, a person may try to deny or hide his love, but his feelings are obvious.)

Pr207. her hว̄t 'ozũt tj̀ks̃əf, yah é bes эź rémnam.
'When the snake decided to be cruel, God threw it in the sea.'
(This is said when a person's bad intentions are thwarted by divine intervenion.)
Comment: yah ́ must be an H-Stem 3 ms imperfect of $h w y$, though the form found in $J L$ (and also in Anon 1:6) is yzhó.


Manuscript of text AM ı, lines 1-7

## APPENDIX A

## TEXT 18 WITH MORPHEME GLOSSING

For the benefit of general linguists and other non-specialists, I have included below one text with full morpheme glossing. Such glossing would no doubt be useful for all of the texts, but would make the entire volume far too long and unwieldy. In the presentation below, I have retained my punctuation of the text, but have slightly modified the use of hyphens in the transcription to reflect certain morpheme boundaries. The following abbreviations are used for the glossing:

| 1 | first person | IMPV | imperative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | second person | M | masculine |
| 3 | third person | NEG | negator |
| C | common gender | OBJ | object |
| CIRC | circumstantial particle | P | plural |
| CONJ | conjunction | PASS | passive |
| D | dual | PERF | perfect |
| DEF | definite (article or form) | PN | proper name |
| DEM | demonstrative | POSS | possessive |
| EXCL | exclamatory particle | PREP | preposition (idiomatic) |
| F | feminine | PROG | progressive |
| FUT | future particle | REL | relative pronoun |
| GEN | genitive exponent | S | singular |
| IMPF | imperfect | SUBJ | subjunctive |

Note that I only use the gloss Prep when the preposition is idiomatic and has no translation value; otherwise, I gloss the preposition with its English equivalent. I gloss the particle $\partial$-(§ 7.1.10) with its different functions, though I am not suggesting that each use necessarily reflects a separate Jibbali morpheme. Finally, if the particle $\varepsilon$ - (whether used as the definite article, the relative pronoun, or the genitive exponent) is underlying, but suppressed in the surface realization, then I have not indicated it in the glossing. For example, the word hallét in line 5 is clearly definite, but the definite article $\varepsilon$ - is not used before words beginning with the voiceless consonant $h(\S 4.4)$; since there is no definite morpheme present, none is glossed.

Text 18 (= M65) with morpheme glossing: Abu Newas and the Old Lady

1. xaṭarét ġeyg yocorr h-eš be nəwás.
once man say.IMPF.3MP to-3MS.OBJ PN
agád man tél sékan-əš 'ágab
go.PERF.3MS from by settlement-3MS.POSS want.PERF.3MS
yaxétar $\quad \varepsilon$-ṣirćt. $b-⿰ ㇇ \quad \tilde{s}$-eš šé
go.down.SUBJ.3MS DEF-town CONJ-NEG with-3MS.OBJ something
lo heryaśtém her $\varepsilon$ - đ̣ān-əšs maṣaréf.
NEG for buy.SUBJ.3Ms for DEF-family-3MS.POss supplies
2. b-ag̉ád ed ésal tel maḳbért,

CONJ-go.PERF.3MS until arrive.PERF.3MS by graveyard
ksé yo дд-yḳ̄r šxarét
find.PERF.3MS people CIRC-bury.IMPF.3MP old.woman
ðə-xargót. skof ðə-yftəkérən íné yas̃érk.
REL-die.PERF.3FS sit.PERF.3MS CIRC-think.IMPF.3MS what do.SUBJ.3MS
3. axarét õor, "ḥaṣ e-yó anfóś,
then say.PERF.3MS when REL-people go.in.evening.PERF.3CP
dha-lúnkś l-e-šxarét man 'ak $\varepsilon$-ḳ̄̄r.
FUT-dig.up.SUBJ.1CS PREP-DEF-old.woman from in DEF-grave
bə-dha-ls̃źrk hailt."
conj-FUT-do.SUBJ.1CS trick
4. skof $\varepsilon d$ yo anfóśs ankóś
sit.PERF.3MS until people go.in.evening.PERF.3CP dig.up.PERF.3MS
$l$ - $\varepsilon$-šxarét man 'ak. $\varepsilon$-ḳ̄r ba-ḥil-ás
PREP-DEF-old.woman from in DEF-grave CONJ-carry.PERF.3MS-3FS.OBJ
'ak xarḳ́t-š, b-agád ed ésal
in robe-3MS.POSS CONJ-go.PERF.3MS until arrive.PERF.3MS
kéríb al-ḥallét.
near to-town
5. kélac $\varepsilon$-šxarét bə-šé agád
leave.PERF.3MS DEF-old.woman CONJ-he go.PERF.3MS
$\varepsilon d$ égaḥ ḥallét.
until enter.PERF.3MS town
6. śíni yo mékən ðə-yógaḥ 'ak but
see.PERF.3MS people many CIRC-enter.IMPF.3MP in house
ð-túz̃ər. axarét s̃xabír j̇eyg, ̌õr, "íné
GEN-rich.Ms then ask.PERF.3MS man say.PERF.3MS what
mən yo iźókũn ðд-yógaḥ 'ak
from people DEM.REMOTE.CP REL-enter.IMPF.3MP in
ūt Jikũn?"
house.DEF DEM.REMOTE.FS
7. $\begin{gathered}\text { õr } \quad h \text {-eš } \quad a-g ̇ e ́ y g, ~ " ~ \\ \text {--bré } \quad \varepsilon \text {-túz̃ər }\end{gathered}$
say.PERF.3MS to-3MS.OBJ DEF-man DEF-Son GEN-rich.MS
ðə-gélє bə-yó ðə-ys̃ədhék
CIRC-be.sick.PERF.3MS CONJ-people PROG-visit.IMPF.3MP
l-eš. b-a'と́l-éš ðд-ys̃xīr 'her dé
PREP-3MS.OBJ CONJ-family-3MS.POSS PROG-ask.IMPF.3MP if someone
yagórab śé, yéśne her e-mbére"."
know.IMPF.3MS something see.to.SUBJ.3MS to DEF-boy
õr be nawás, "he $\tilde{s}-i \quad \bar{\varepsilon} m-i ́ c s$ šxarét
say.PERF.3MS PN I with-1CS.OBJ mother-1CS.POSS old.FS
ba-taġórab kol śé kelš her ĩréż."
CONJ-know.IMPF.3FS every thing all-3MS.OBJ for illness.DEF
8. `õr $\quad a-g$ ééyg, "mor, ġadú, dha-lcśné-k
say.PERF.3MS DEF-man ok EXCL FUT-show.SUBJ.1CS-2MS.OBJ
$\bar{\imath} \quad \varepsilon$-mbére'. bə-dha-yazém-k alhín 'agk."
father DEF-boy CONJ-FUT-give.SUBJ.3MS-2MS.OBJ REL want.PERF.2MS
agádó $\varepsilon d$ éṣal tel $\imath \quad \partial$ - $\varepsilon$-mbérs'.
go.PERF.3MD until arrive.PERF.3CP by father GEN-DEF-boy
9. 欠õr $\varepsilon$-ġéyg, "ðદ́nu $\varepsilon$ ġéyg $\tilde{s}$-eš
say.PERF.3MS DEF-man DEM.NEAR.MS DEF-man with-3MS.OBJ
$\bar{\varepsilon} m \varepsilon ́-s ̌$ šxarét bo-õr tagórrab
mother-3MS.POss old.woman CONJ-say.PERF.3MS know.IMPF.3FS
kol śé kelš her ĩréż." õr túz̃ar
every thing all-3MS.OBJ for illness.DEF say.PERF.3MS rich.Ms
"hun $s \varepsilon$ ?" 'õr be nəwás, "ser $\bar{\varepsilon} t$
where she say.PERF.3MS PN behind houses.DEF
ižókũn, ba-hér 'agk b-es,
DEM.REMOTE.CP CONJ-if want.PERF.2MS PREP-3FS.OBJ
'ar dé yazhóm b-es."
send.IMPV.MS someone come.SUBJ.3MS with-3FS.OBJ
10. axarét a'rér aggór trohyazhím b-es.
then send.PERF.3MS slave two come.SUBJ.3MP PREP-3FS.OBJ
ag̉ád $\varepsilon$-gərét, $\varepsilon d$ zəḥám đِer $\varepsilon$-šxarét, go.PERF.3CP DEF-slaves until come.PERF.3CP over DEF-old.woman
ašéś-s, b-コl 'aśśj́t lo axarét
rouse.PERF.3CP-3FS.OBJ CONJ-NEG wake.PERF.3FS NEG then
edūr tel yo. 'õr, " $\varepsilon$-šxarét ol
return.PERF.3CP to people say.PERF.3CP DEF-old.woman NEG
'aśśśt lo."
wake.PERF.3FS NEG
11. õr be nawás, "təkín tas̃kélót
say.PERF.3MS PN be.IMPF.3FS converse.IMPF.3FS
ع-gənú(s)és, bə-hér taškélót-hum, ol
DEF-jinns-3FS.POSS CONJ-if converse.IMPF.3FS-3MP.OBJ NEG
ta'aśéś lo ar her sītót ba-xaṭarók
get.up.IMPF.3FS NEG except if hit.PERF.PASS.3FS with-stick
troh. $\dot{g}$ od, sboṭ-s bo-xaṭarók troh,
two go.IMPV.MP hit-IMPV.MP-3FS.OBJ with-stick two
ba-ḥtéðér ol toğ-s!"
CONJ-be.careful.IMPV.MP NEG kill.sUBJ.2MP-3FS.OBJ
12. 'õr $\varepsilon$-garét, "ol taktélób lo." b-ag̉ád,
say.PERF.3CP DEF-slaves NEG worry.SUBJ.2MS NEG CONJ-go.PERF.3CP
ed éṣal tel $\varepsilon$-šxarét, sōt-as
when arrive.PERF.3CP by DEF-old.woman hit.PERF.3CP-3FS.OBJ
ba-xaṭərók troh. axarét ftakaḥót fúṣḥi.
with-stick two then split.PERF.3Fs halves
13. edíró $\varepsilon$-garét ðว-yūki.
return.PERF.3MD DEF-slaves CIRC-cry.IMPF.3MP
õr be nawás, "iné géré? 'od
say.PERF.3MS PN what happen.PERF.3MS perhaps
təkún látġakum ém-í?" ‘õr
be.SUBJ.2MP kill.PERF.2MP mother-1CS.POSS say.PERF.3CP
$\varepsilon$-garét, " $\varepsilon$-šxarét xargót!" béké benəwás
DEF-slaves DEF-old.woman die.PERF.3FS cry.PERF.3MS PN
bд- $\check{o ̃ r}, \quad$ " $y$ - $\bar{m} m-i ́, \quad y$ - $\bar{\varepsilon} m$-i!"
CONJ-say.PERF.3MS EXCL-mother-1CS.POSS EXCL-mother-1CS.POSS
14. axarét $\check{\text { õr }} \quad h$-eš túz̃ar, "kaəác ${ }^{c} k \varepsilon$ !
then say.PERF.3MS to-3MS.OBJ rich.Ms leave.IMPV.Ms crying.DEF
dha-nzám-k...
dha-nkżź-k
$\varepsilon$-garét."
FUT-give.SUBJ.1CP-2MS.OBJ FUT-compensate.SUBJ.1CP-2MS.OBJ DEF-slaves

say.PERF.3MS PN NEG be.compensated.with.IMPF.1CS

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b \text {-ém-í } & \varepsilon \text {-garét } & \text { l.". } \\
\text { for-mother-1cs.poss } & \text { DEF-slaves } & \text { NEG }
\end{array}
$$

15. axarét sadéd yo skəf h-eš
then make.agree.PERF.3CP people sit.PERF.3CP for-3MS.OBJ
bə-xamsín ižíf bə-ḥõlt дə-xĩ̌s yirs̃őb kít. PREP-fifty thousand CONJ-load GEN-five.F riding.camels food
b-ag̉ád be nəwás yal sékən-əš ber
CONJ-go.PERF.3MS PN to settlement-3MS.POSS already.3MS
túz̃ər. bə-təmmut kéltót ð-ĩgrəm ðə-be nawás.
rich.MS CONJ-finish.PERF.3FS story GEN-crime.DEF GEN-PN

## APPENDIX B

## TEXT 18 IN ARABIC SCRIPT

When native speakers write Jibbali, they naturally do so using Arabic letters, since Arabic is their primary means of written communication. Given this fact, and the fact that most of the texts from Ali Musallam were made as written texts before recording, it seems important to include a sample of Jibbali in Arabic characters. The text below reflects the text exactly as written by its author, Ali Musallam. Ali did not use punctuation, so I have not added any. I have added only the line breaks and numbering.

Note that Ali's spelling (like that of other speakers) can be variable, so, for example, we find xaṭarét spelled خطرات, خطرت, or even خطرته in different texts. Between different speakers, transcriptions of Jibbali into Arabic letters can also vary quite a bit, especially with regard to the vowels and to those consonants that do not occur in Arabic. For example, the consonant $s$ is transcribed by Ali with the Arabic letter $\underset{s}{ }$ (often with the diacritic upside down, i.e., " in place of $\left.{ }^{\wedge}\right)$, while Salim Bakhit used the letter $b t$, and many other speakers use the Arabic letter $ث t$. As noted in several of the comments to the texts (e.g., 17:10), the consonant $\dot{g}$ is sometimes transcribed with Arabic $q$, even though Arabic has a letter for this sound (غ).

Like any other literate human being would do, Ali occasionally made spelling errors when writing Jibbali. For example, in line 12 of this text, Ali wrote سوطوس swṭws> for sōtas. The second $w$ in his spelling is clearly a simple mistake. It is errors like this, along with the ambiguities inherent in using Arabic letters to write Jibbali, that make the audio recordings and Johnstone's Roman-letter transcriptions so invaluable in understanding these texts. It is also for such reasons that I have not published in this volume those few texts from Johnstone's collection that are preserved only in Arabic-letter versions, without audio.

Text 18 (= M65) in Arabic letters: Abu Newas and the Old Lady

2. باغد اد يصل تيل مقبارت كساء يوا ذيقور شخريت ذخارجوت سكوف ذينتكيرن ينه يشرك 3. اخرات عور حص ايوا نوش دحا لونكش الشخريت من عق أقور بدها لشرك حيلت 4. سكوف اد يوا نوش نكوش الشخريت من عق اقور بيلس عق خرقتش باغد اد يصل قيريب اللـت

> 5. قيلا اشخريت بشه اغاد اد يمح حلت
6. شيني يوا مكن ذيوح عق بوت ذنوچر اخرات شخبير غيج عور ينه من يوا يشوكهن ذيوح عق وت ذيكوهن
7. عور هش اغيج ابرا التوجر ذجيلا بيوا ذشدهق لش باعيلاش ذيشخير هر دا يغورب شاء يشنا هر امبيرا عور بانواس ها شي اي شخريت بتغورب كل شاكشلش هر ايراض
8. عور اغيج موره غدوا دحالشناك اي امبيرا بدها يزمك الهين جكك اغدوه اد يصل تيل اي ذمبيرا 9. عور اغيج ذنوه اغيج شيش اميش شخريت بعور تنورب كل شا كش هر ير يراض عور توجر هون ساه عور بانواس سير ات يشوكوهن بهر جكك بيس أعر دا يزحوم بيس
10. اخرات اعرير جور ثروه يزحيم بيس اغاد اجريت اد زهام ضير اشخريت اعشيشس باولعشوت
لوا اخرات ادور تيل يوا عور اشخريت اولعشوت لوا
11. عور بنواس تكين تشكيلوث اجنواس بر تشكيلووثو وم ولنعشيش لوا ار هر سيطوت بخطروق ثروه غود سبوطس بخطروق ثروه بتنذير والتوقس
12.عور اجريت ولتقتيلوب لوا باغد اد يصل تيل اشخريت سوطوس بخطروق ثروه اخرات فتتحوت فوشي
13. اديروه اجريت ذيوكي عور بنواس ينه جرا عود تكون ليتقكوم اي عور اجريت اشخريت خارجوت بك بانواس بعور ياي ياي يا يو
14. اخرات عور هش توجر قلا وكا دحا نتشك اجريت عور ولشقوشا باي جريت لوا 15. اخرات سديد يوا سكوف هش بخمسين يشيف بولت ذهيش يرشوب قيت باغد بنواس يول سكنش بر توچر

## APPENDIX C

## SUPPLEMENT TO JOHNSTONE'S JIBBĀLI LEXICON

The following are words, or meanings of words, that are not included in Johnstone's Jibbāli Lexicon. I have, for the most part, not included variant forms of words listed in $J L$, though I sometimes mention such forms in the comments to the texts. A reference to the texts or the grammar is given where appropriate, but the list of text references is not necessarily exhaustive for each entry. I follow Johnstone's practice of using the letter $v$ to stand for either $w$ or $y$.

## 'b:

$b \varepsilon$ : a vocative form of 'father', equivalent to $\bar{\iota}$ 'my father' ( $35: 7 ; 97: 2$ )

## 'みy-'ln:

عðí-ilín: add the meaning 'so-and-so’ (see §3.5•5)

## 'gr:

'o'gór: the form aggór 'slave' is used in the texts and among my informants, while I found no evidence for 'o'gór (see the comment to 18:10)
$\tilde{s} \bar{e} g a r(\mathrm{Š} 2)$ 'rent, hire' (AKı:4)

## g g :

aǵáhl: add the variant form aǵá (see the comment to 40:4)

## 'hl:

s̃éhél (Ši) 'deserve’ (3ms imperf. yas̃óhól) (see the comment to 21:11) (cf. Arabic ista'hala 'deserve')

## 'hn:

عhún 'which one' (also عhúṭun; see §11.10)

## 'lf:


élaf (Gb) ‘be(come) accustomed to, used to’ (3ms imperf. yélóf, 3ms subj. yalóf) (TJ2:113) (cf. Arabic 'alifa 'be(come) accustomed to, used to')

## 'm:

'ह́m: add that the plural of 'mother' (better transcribed $\varepsilon$ émíti) can be used to refer to all close female relatives (aunts, grandmothers, etc.) (13:15)

## 'mn:

$\tilde{s i n}\left(\right.$ Š $\left._{2}\right)$ : add the meaning 'obey, fall in with s.o.'s wishes' (see the comments to $57: 9$; 6o:8)
émín (H) 'believe’ (Perhaps a Mehrism. See the comment to 6o:37)
'n?:
un 'indeed; please' (see § 12.5.2)
'ns:
sínís (Š1): add the meaning 'dare' (39:1; 46:3)
${ }^{2} t b:$
عtéb: add the meaning 'willow-leaf ficus, wonderboom fig (Ficus salicifolia)' (53:5)

```
't \(t\) :
étal (Gb): add the meaning 'catch (b-) up to' (46:17; 97:15)
```

'wb:
ob-lób 'no!' (see §12.2.1) (cf. also JL, s.v. lwb)
fw:
‘áfé 'healthy' (TJ2:114) (< Arabic 'afiy)
'gl:
see $h g l$
'lf:
'álaf 'animal feed, fodder' (AKı:3) (< Arabic 'alaf)
'lm:
'élam (Gb) 'know' (6:4) (cf. Mehri aylam, Arabic 'alima 'know')
'lw:
'álé (fs 'álét) 'upper' (6:13)

```
`z:
málézt 'sickening thing' (see the comment to 57:7)
'mr:
'õr (Ga): add the idiom ta`mór 'do you think?', which does not conjugate for
    gender or number (see the comment to TJ}2:68
skr:
'askérít 'police' (13:1)
s
\varepsilonsférýt 'bird' (see the comment to 6:2)
škr:
'áškér 'Blepharis dhofarensis' or 'Blepharis linariafolia' (Miller and Morris
    1988:6,8)(TJ2:71)
a`aškér (Q) 'gather 'áškér' (TJ2:71)
tl(l):
`atalál (pl.) 'torn, old clothes' (TJ4:23)
'wd:
'ódat: add the plural form 'adót (4:8)
yn:
'onút 'dry (non-monsoon) season, drought' (see the comment to 20:1)
yr:
'rr 'shame' (see the comment to 14:10)
`zm:
`azm: add the plural 'azúm (57:1) (cf. Mehri āzm, pl. āzáwm)
zr:
\varepsilon'úzar (D/L) 'annoy' (46:9) (cf. Mehri özzr)
zy ('sy):
iž\varepsiloń 'night, occasion of night' (see the comment to TJ4:64)
```

```
bky:
béḳé (Ga) 'remain, be left' (5:3; 6:25) (cf. Mehri baḳō)
```

$b l \dot{g}:$
bélog (Ga): add the meaning 'reach, arrive' (see the comment to 21:10; TJ:4)
$\bar{\varepsilon} l \dot{g}($ def.; indef. form probably $b \varepsilon l \dot{g})$ 'reach (noun), length' (see the comment to 21:10)

## blm: <br> bažiũt (pl. sbżém) 'date-stone’ (46:14)

brw:
$b \varepsilon r$ : the form $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́$ should be considered the absolute, while $b \varepsilon r$ (or bar) should be considered the construct, used only before proper names and the interrogative mun (see $\S 4.6$ and the comment to $60: 27$ )
bxt (wxt?):
عbxét (H) 'make up for the absence of s.o.' (see the comment to 83:7)
$d^{\prime} m$ :
$d(a)^{\prime}$ ám (G) 'have an accident; crash (into)' (TJ3:9)
da'im 'injured' (TJ3:10)
$d f r:$
défor: add the mp form difjor (25:10; TJ4:23)
dha:
sadhék (Šis 'visit, look in on s.o. (e.g., a sick person)' (see the comment to 18:7)
dház:
dáhazź̛ 'slipperiness' (TJ2:13o)
dwm:
man dém 'as long as' (TJ2:13) (seems to be equivalent to mən dúm; cf. Arabic mā dāma 'as long as')
$d w y:$
dē (G) 'agree' (3ms imperf. yadé, 3ms subj. yadé) (TJ4:37)
dít: add the plural form diyétz (17:51) (cf. Mehri pl. dawyötzn)

## дbl:

ð亏َl (Ga): add the meaning 'take (bit by bit)' (TJ4:39)

## дhn:

ðદ́hən 'mind' (note the idiom xว́ttal عðદ́hənš 'he lost his mind; his mind got sick') (SB1:3; SB2:1)
ðehín (mp ð̌hént) 'intelligent' (SB2:4)

## дmт:

ðəmmét: add the meaning 'responsibility, guarantee' (see the comment to 45:17)

## ${ }^{\prime}$ :

(j) $\not \subset e^{e}$ 'above' (root uncertain; see the comments to $51: 5$ and $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 85$, and $J L$, s.v. $\left.h \not ̣^{\nless}\right)$
$\not \partial b r:$
$\not{\partial} \bar{\jmath} r(\mathrm{Ga})$ : add the meaning 'apologize' (see the comment to 20:7)

## $\not \partial r b:$

$\nsupseteq \supset r \dot{b}$ ( Ga ) 'declare'? (see the comment to TJ4:76)
đِerēt (pl. đِeríb) 'big cliffs'? (see the comment to TJ2:103)

## $f-l$ :

See the root $w$

## flt:

(e)flét (H): add the idiom flét b-Énúf 'save oneself' (54:17)
flt:
tfalit 'a substitute' (TJ2:54)
fns:
fénús 'lantern' (see the comment to TJ4:55)
fnš:
funš (D/L) 'leave (a job), quit' (see the comment to TJ3:2) (cf. Mehri fōnaš)

## frk:

furk ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ ): add the meaning 'divide, separate' (see the comment to 12:3)
fre:
ferjús (Ga) 'go home, take home'? (see the comment to TJ2:10) far’̌̌̌' 'happiness, joy' (13:8)
fwt:
(correct to fyt)
fétét: correct to fétzt (Anon 1:3) and add the plural fyst (Anon 1:5)
fxr:
fxarét 'fine thing, finery' (4:6) (cf. Mehri fxarēt)

```
gr:
mag 'érót 'fall (noun)' (TJ1:7)
```

ghz:
Eghiz (D/L or H) 'prepare, make ready' (see the comment to $52: 5$ )
gnyh:
giní (pl. ganzhēt) 'guinea (unit of currency)' (52:8; TJ4:7) (< Arabic ginīh or ginēh, pl. ginēhät < English guinea)
$g n z f:$
gənzəfft' 'branch (of a tree)' (see the comment to 54:24)
grm:
magrém ‘crime'?; 'criminal'? (see the comment to 18:15)
giráym 'crime' (see the comment to TJ4:72)
gry:
géré (Ga): add the meaning 'pass by' (TJ4:30) (cf. Mehri garō)
gsr:
gosór (Ga) 'dare’ (< Arabic jasara 'have courage')

## gwy:

go 'clear weather'
gyš:
geš 'army' (TJ3:4; TJ4:76) (< Arabic jayš)

## gzm:

gazmét (def. $\varepsilon$ gzamét) 'swearing' (14:1)
$g z y:$
gazé 'reward', used in the phrase gazék xar 'thank you!' (22:6) (< Arabic jazä' 'repayment, recompense')
$\dot{g} b r:$
ag̀bér (H) 'elapse, (time) pass' (see the comment to 30:14)
$\dot{g} l l:$
$\dot{g} e l(\mathrm{G})$ 'trick, outwit; delay, detain, keep occupied' (see the comments to 30:4 and 60:14)

## $\dot{g} l b:$

$\dot{g} o l o ́ b(G a): ~ p e r h a p s ~ a d d ~ t h e ~ m e a n i n g ~ ' t a l k ~ s . o . ~ o v e r, ~ c h a n g e ~ s . o . ' s ~ m i n d ' ~(s e e ~$ the comment to $35: 9$ )
$\dot{g} l f t:$
عġalfét ( Q ) ‘surround’ ( $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 86$ )

## $\dot{g} l k:$

ġolókũn 'look there!' (see the comment to 16:3)

```
g}lt
galttún 'mistaken' (42:12; 43:10)
```

$\dot{g} m s:$
$\dot{g} \tilde{o} s ̣$ ? 'cover the face' ( see the comment to $53: 5$ )
$\dot{g} r b:$
mağréb: add the fs form mağr̄̄t $(\operatorname{Pr} 193)$
$\dot{g} r g:$
aġrég $(\mathrm{H})$ : add the meaning ‘stay’ ( $\mathrm{TJ} 2: 44$ )
$\dot{g} r y:$
ağóri ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ ): add the meaning '(animals) produce milk' (TJ2:36)

## $\dot{\boldsymbol{g}} r z:$

$\dot{g}$ arzót 'pouch' (see the comment to 46:8)

## $\dot{g} s ̣ b:$

ǵạṣab 'force' (see the comment to TJ4:71) (< Arabic ġaṣb)

## $\dot{g} s ́^{s}:$

$\dot{g} e s ́(G):$ add the meaning 'dirty, befoul' (97:50)
$\dot{g} s^{\prime}$ or $\dot{g} s s^{\prime}:$
aǵtóśs (T2) 'faint, pass out' (39:5; 39:6)
$\tilde{s} a \dot{g} s e^{e ́}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ 'wash o.s., bathe o.s.' (60:45; TJ4:28)

## $\dot{g} w r:$

$a \dot{g} \bar{o} r(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L})$ 'overeat; get indigestion; drink cold water or milk after meat' (40:5); 'distract; prevent, get in the way of' (51:13) (cf. Mehri $a \dot{g} w \bar{w} r$ )

## $\dot{g} y \underset{\partial}{\text { : }}$

ağyéḍ (D/L): add the variant 3 ms imperfect yaǵg)éḍən (57:15)

## $\dot{g} z z:$

$\dot{g} e z$ (G) 'loosen', fs passive participle maġazzót 'loosened, loose’ (25:13) (cf. Mehri g̀zz)
$\dot{g} z z_{z}^{z}:$
$\dot{g} e z ́(\mathrm{G})$ : add the meaning 'wink' (see the comment to $60: 34$ )

```
hgg:
heg(G) 'wander aimlessly' (TJ4:50; TJ4:58)
```


## hgl:

hagalét 'calf' (23:10) (probably < Semitic root *'gl)

```
hgr:
(hégar), 3fs hegerót (Gb) '(sun) be hot at midday' (6o:37) (cf. Mehri hagarūt)
```


## hlk:

عhúlk (D/L): add the meanings 'torment, press, distress' (TJ4:82)

```
hmm:
hi\varepsiloñt 'strength' (38:2)
hrs:
s̃ahér\partials (Š2) 'tell s.o. off' (53:4; TJ4:49)
hšk:
hiškikk (fs hiškis̃, mp hiškókum) 'it's ok! don't be scared!' (TJ4:55)
htr:
hóṭór (Ga) 'destroy` (see the comment to TJ4:77)
h\notO`:
see also đ̛'
hgv:
šḥ\partialgé (Š}1): add the meaning 'stand firm' (83:2) (cf. Mehri šahggū)
ḥk:
aḥkék (H): add the meaning 'press, put pressure on s.o.' (41:3; TJ2:86)
hkm:
hlkum (Ga) 'make s.o. do s.t.' (see the comment to 51:20)
hlvv:
suhoké (Šr1): add the meaning 'have one's fill, have enough' (97:14)
hkk:
hek (G) 'be right; belong rightfully' (see the comment to TJ2:26)
hlkl:
d-hákél 'inside' (see the comment to 33:3)
hky:
hakít 'rope' (5:5)
hlv:
shhéle (Š2) 'be given a description' (TJ4:8) (cf. Mehri šahāali)
taḥló' 'description' (TJ4:10)
```


## hm:

him: add the meaning 'son-in-law' (see the comment to 7:4)

## hmm:

hìm 'charcoal' (variant of hũm; cf. Mehri hamīm and ḥamūm) (TJ4:23)

```
hml:
hâl (noun) 'load' (6:26) (cf. Mehri hāmal)
hãlt (noun) 'load' (6:27; 18:15) (cf. Mehri hamáwlat)
ḥilin' 'carrying' (verbal noun of G ḥõl) (48:6)
```

hrs:
hers ‘a guard' (TJ4:16) (cf. Arabic hāris 'guard')
hrs :
hars (D/L), passive híríṣ: see the comment to TJ $2: 62$
hr 2 :
harośz 'Acacia tortilis' (perhaps also 'Acacia mellifera') (see the comment to 48:13)
hasl:
maḥsool (def. âhṣól) 'gain, yield’ (8:4) (cf. Mehri maḥṣáwl; both < Arabic mahsūūl)

## $h \stackrel{n}{n}$ :

háṣ̂́n: add that the plural form hasnín can also be used for the singular among some speakers (see the comment to 17:11)
hass:
hes' (G): add the meaning 'notice, find'? (or a mistake for hes, root hss? See the comment to $25: 8$ )
haśśś 'having all the bones smashed' (48:18) (cf. Mehri ḥaśyōś)
htt:
hatol (Ga): add the meaning 'tie up/together' (6:26)
haw:
halt 'condition' (see the comment to TJ4:57; AKı:3)

## harr?:

ahtēr ( $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ ) (the root could also be $h b r$; or perhaps the verb is Ga-Stem $h t \not t r$, root hatr) 'wait'? (see the comment to 13:4)

## hws:

ḥus̃: add the meaning 'animal pen, enclosure' (AK2:9)

```
hyl:
hélt: add the meaning 'credit' (see the comment to 41:2)
```


## $k l w$ :

kélé (Ga): add the 3ms imperfect variant yakj́z, and add the meaning 'bring home (a wife, animals)' (TJ2:8; TJ2:11)

## kmkm:

kamkém: add the variant plural form kémkam (32:14; TJ2:33)

```
\(k r f y\) :
kirféye 'bed' (see the comment to TJ4:53)
```

$k r j(k r z ?):$
kurj (kurz?) 'score; case' (see the comment to 32:14)
$k t b$ :
$k$ tob (noun): add the plural form katabin (52:8)
maktéb 'written’ (6:12)
$k t n$ :
ktun 'a type of flying insect' (TJ2:118)
$k b \underset{y}{c}(k w \underset{?}{2})$ ):
əktē̌̌ ( T 2 ) 'finish, prepare o.s.'? (TJ4:28)

## kdd:

məkadét: add the plural form makdj́d (def. $\tilde{\varepsilon} k d j ́ d) ~(12: 3)$
$k l v:$
maklét 'roast dhurah' (dhurah, or durra, is a kind of sorghum; see s.v. ðrw) (TJ1:2)

```
krr:
takrír: add the meaning 'certainty' (14:8)
```

```
krs:
kérọṣ: add the diminutive kérrsétz (TJ2:117)
ksw:
kósi 'hard' (see the comment to 49:35)
ksd:
kéṣəd (Gb): add the meaning 'seek out' (41:3)
ksr:
akṣiyśr 'the month corresponding to Arabic Ša'bān' (see the comment to
    32:4)
ktr:
makatér: add the variant plural form makébtar (see the comment to 22:8)
l"?:
la'ál- (plus possessive suffixes used with plural nouns) 'exhausted, tired'
    (AK2:5)
```


## lbd:

```
\varepsilonźiód (indef. liód?) 'shots (of a gun), shooting' (13:13)
lgz:
l(a)g\dot{gaz (G): add the meaning 'slip s.t. to s.o.' (see the comment to 21:5)}
```


## lhk:

```
\(l(a) h ̣ a ́ k ~(G): ~ a d d ~ t h e ~ m e a n i n g s ~ ' h e l p ~(l-s . o) ' ~ a n d ~ ' h u r r y,. ~ r u n ' ~(s e e ~ t h e ~ c o m-~\) ment to \(36: 4 ; 22: 5 ; 50: 9\) )
lky:
šılké (Šı): add the (EJ?) variant s̃aźké (53:11)
lsv:
mosé: add the plural malébsi (TJ2:123)
```

```
lty:
\varepsilonlúti (D/L) 'press s.o., urge' (TJ4:37)
lxy:
alxé, fs alxét 'lower' (6:13)
mhn:
matéḥən (fs matḥanút, cp matḥaníti) 'in trouble; unable to cope' (28:12)
mhqv:
maḥé (Ga) 'pass' (TJı:8)
mnv:
min\varepsiloń 'a unit of weight measurement (approximately 4 kilograms)' (TJ2:43)
mrh:
múraḥ: add the variant plural form meróḥt\varepsilon (see the comment to 6:28)
mrt:
s̃amartót 'trial by ordeal by iron' (14:9)
mtl:
ũtzl (D/L): add the meaning 'tell' (TJ4:1; TJ5:1)
tamtill 'telling, recounting' (TJ4:63) (< Arabic tamtīll)
mxk:
m(a)xák (G): add the meaning 'pull out (dagger)' (see the comment to 25:13)
mźy:
méz̧é (Ga) 'go away, expire' (41:11) (cf. Arabic maḍā)
ndw:
\varepsilonnúdi (D/L) 'call out' (6:21) (cf. Mehri anōdi)
nfx:
nəfxát 'blowing' (TJ2:41)
ngr:
ngor (Ga): add the meaning 'do carpentry' (TJ3:12)
naggór 'carpenter' (TJ3:12)
```

```
nhr:
naḥõr 'wadi' (see the comment to 22:3)
nkt!
nakțót: add the variant plural \varepsilonnkét (57:15) (this is either an EJ form or a
    Mehrism; cf. Mehri anḳāt.)
nsl:
ansal\varepsilońt 'offspring' (perhaps the singular of nésal) (TJ4:2)
nxl:
naxlét: add the plural náxal (30:1) (cf. Mehri naxlīt, pl. nēxal)
nzh:
mənzáht 'hoe' (5:5)
r'w:
r\varepsilon't': add the meaning 'subjects, citizens' (TJ4:76)
rb
s̃rbác (Š1): add the meaning 'climb' (see the comment to 4:9)
rg}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime
r\varepsilońgac 'dregs, remains, sediment' (36:13) (cf. Mehri rag\overline{\varepsilon})
rkb:
r\varepsilońkab (pl. \varepsilonrkéb) 'ledge' (see the comment to 54:32; TJ2:95)
rkm:
r\varepsilońkam 'number' (42:12) (< Arabic raqm)
rsl:
\varepsilonrsél (H): add the meaning 'send' (AK2:4) (< Arabic 'arsala)
rśy:
arśét 'wood cow-pen' (TJ2:122)
ršn:
r\varepsilońšan 'supplies' (< English 'ration'?) (TJ3:19)
```

sdy:
sídi 'devil', used in the idiom ðə-sídi 'no good' (see the comment to TJ2:9)

```
sg\dot{g}:
sğót 'Dhofari buttontree (Anogeissus dhofarica)' (51:5)
shl:
mastéhal 'end of the month' (see the comment to 41:9)
skn:
sikun 'at that time' (Anon1:4)
skd:
astíkəd 'plan; subject, affair' (TJ4:13)
sll (swl?):
s\varepsilońl- 'don't/doesn't care' (see the comment to TJ2:124)
```

$s l b:$

smy:
$\varepsilon s t u ̈(i)\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ 'shout one's tribal war-cry' (see the comment to 25:4)
str:
sétər: add the meanings 'cover, covering' (30:5)
stórta 'booths made of branches with dried grass on top' (pl. of sétar?)
(TJ2:95)
sétar 'better'? (5:10)
swk:
soḳ (G) 'drive (a car)’ (3ms imperf. yasék, 3ms subj. yésək.) (Anon 1:5) (<
Arabic sāqa)
$s, b \underset{\sim}{\text { : }}$
Eṣbaḥi 'morning (adj.)', used in the phrase fégar eṣbaḥí 'very early morning;
the very end of the night' (TJ4:22)
$s, b x:$
$s, \bar{e} x$ : add the meaning 'centipedes, etc.' (TJ2:118)

```
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sff:
sef (G): add the meaning 'stand around' (TJ4:26)
sbr:
śér (Gb) know how (to do something)' (1:7)
śny:
śíni (Gb): add the meaning 'refuse a thing and then want it' (see the com-
    ment to 34:10)
śní (H): add the meaning 'see to (a sick person), treat, cure' (see the com-
    ment to 18:7)
sr':
sér`át 'law; judge' (17:38) (< Arabic šir'at- 'law')
swk:
šśték (T1) 'miss, long for' (60:19) (cf. Mehri saātūk.)
šr:
mašér 'dance-party' (30:9; 97:5)
šy:
šáé(G): add the 3ms imperfect dialectal variant yš̌c' (see the comment to
    35:6)
šbt:
šōt (Ga) 'defecate' (48:11; 97:40)
```


## šfk:

```
On the possible difference between G šffk and Šı s̃ašfék, see § 6.4.2, n. 40 .
šfr:
š2́tfor ( T 1 ): probably add the meaning 'be pricked' (see the comment to 40:4)
šhr:
šhór: add the common variant form šhẹ́r (see the comment to 40:7; 42:13; 60:9; AKı:1)
šm
ščc (Gb): add the variant 3 ms perfect š \(\tilde{a}^{c}\) (see the comment to \(13: 13\) )
```

šnṭ:
šánṭəh (or šánṭa) 'bag' (TJ4:7) (< Arabic šanṭah)
s̃dy:
Delete this root along with the verb ššēd, which seems to be an error for s̃ēd (s.v. wdd) (see the comment to 47:1).

## thm:

thamét 'accusation' (14:3)
$t k v(t k$ '?):
( $\varepsilon$ )tké (H) lie down' (3ms future yétks) (TJ4:64)
atkíyz lying place'? (TJ4:63)
thw:
( $\varepsilon$ )tlé (H) 'be sorry, regret' (see the comment to 31:5)

## $t w w:$

taw 'well'? (see the comment to 97:31)
$t b$ :

$t b x:$
$t \bar{\jmath} x$ (Ga) 'cook' (see the comment to 6:9) (cf. Arabic țabaxa 'cook')

## tmr:

tamrét 'produce, production' (TJ2:77) (cf. Arabic tamarat- 'yield, profit, benefit')
$w:$
bé-fĺ: correct the transcription to ba-fĺ
fals' 'perhaps' (see § 12.5 .8 and the comment to $5: 8$ )
wt:
'áyál ' 'ibex'? (see the comment to 6:25)
$w d y:$
idi (Gb) 'take away' (see the comment to TJ4:72)
$\bar{o} d i(\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L})$ 'take away' (see the comment to $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 72$ )
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wgh:
عgáhgáḥ 'wedding night' (see the comment to 45:19)
$w s f:$
tabṣíf 'description' (4:8; 40:16; 45:20)
$w t b:$
śţb (pl. yoṭób) 'udder, teat' (43:1) (cf. Arabic waṭb 'skin into which milk is put')
wyy:
On $b \bar{e}$ and bíyya, which probably reflect the same word, see the comments to 4:10 and SB1:1.
wzn:
mizún: add the definite form $\check{z u ́ n}$, and the secondary meaning 'animal market'
wzr:
عzír 'vizier' (36:22) (< Arabic wazīr)
$x^{\text {' }}$
axá 'like' (the extent of its use in Jibbali is unclear; see the comments to TJ2:65 and TJ4:95) (cf. Mehri $x \bar{a}$ )
$x d m$ :
xodũnt (dimin.) 'work' (8:4)
$x d r$ :
xádər: add the diminutive xadér (pl. xedirétə) (TJ2:95)
$x l^{\prime}\left(x l^{\prime} ?\right)$ :
xalác (H) 'swear'? (used mainly in the 1cs perf. xalák) (see the comment to 57:9)
$x l f:$
$x \varepsilon l f$ (fs xilfét or xiźfét) 'next, following' (dialectal variants of xalfí and xalfēt) (17:12; 39:8; 41:9; 49:31)
xlk:
$x x^{\prime}$ 'appearance' (see the comment to SB2:4; AK2:2)
$x l s$ :
xólós (Ga): add the meaning 'go astray' (57:15)
$x / w$ :
xéźi (Gb): add the meaning 'be unmarried' (see the comment to $7: 8$ )
xrd:
xardét 'small change, small amount of money' (see the comment to AKı:5)
$x t t^{\prime}$ :
xéṭi (Gb) 'not stick in one's mind, escape one's memory'? (see the comment to TJ2:79)
$x w l:$
xalót: add the meaning 'mother-in-law' (30:9; 60:9)
xyr:
xəyór 'best' (see the comment to 83:7) (cf. Arabic xiyār)
xérin: probably delete the meaning 'better' and replace with 'a little' (see the comment to SB1:1)
$x z y$ :
xézi $(\mathrm{Gb})$ ‘be embarrassed’ (see the comment to 60:2; SB2:2)
$x a ́ z \varepsilon ́ ~ ' s h a m e ' ~(o r ~ ' p u n i s h m e n t ' ?) ~(s e e ~ t h e ~ c o m m e n t ~ t o ~ 21: 11) ~(~) ~$
$y k b n$ :
iṣ̃̄n: on the variant form iṣ̃̄nt, see the comment to $97: 11$
$z f r$ :
mizfór: add the variant form enzəfór (see the comment to 40:13)
$z h w:$
$z h \varepsilon$ 'festival' (see the comment to 4:1)
$z k t$ :
zikt 'oysters' (WJ) (cf. Mehri zukt, Geva-Kleinberger 2010: 59)

```
6 7 4 ~ A P P E N D I X ~ C ~
zw\dot{g}:
za\dot{g} 'relax'? (54:28) (< Arabic zäg̈a 'turn aside, deviate')
zyg:
\varepsilonzōg (D/L): add the meaning 'pretty up, dress up' (97:6)
aztēg (T2): add the meaning 'be happy' (AK2:9)
žhy:
(aíd ð-)\varepsilonžáḥa 'Eid al-Adha, Feast of the Sacrifice' (4:8)
źmd:
žĩdat: add the plural form द̛qu\tilde{\varepsilon}d (TJ2:6)
źrr:
zeérét: add the more general meaning 'other wife' (see the comment to 97:4)
zy:
záatat 'wasting away, weakening' (TJ4:4)
```


## APPENDIX D

## ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS TO <br> THE MEHRI LANGUAGE OF OMAN

My 2010 grammar of Mehri was based on Johnstone's Mehri texts as published by H. Stroomer (1999). An appendix of my book (pp. 311-330) included a long list of corrections to Stroomer's edition of the texts. Some of these mistakes were simple typos, while others were misreadings of the manuscripts or misunderstandings (by Johnstone) of the texts-understandable, since a comprehensive study of the language had not yet been undertaken. In 2012, after a visit to the Durham University Library to examine the Johnstone collection, I obtained copies of the manuscripts of all of his Mehri texts, including the Arabic- and Roman-letter versions. ${ }^{1}$ It quickly became clear that Stroomer had based his edition mainly on Johnstone's Romanletter transcriptions, and that little attention, if any, had been paid to the Arabic-letter originals of these texts. In comparing the manuscripts to my suggested corrections, I found that they were nearly all proven correct by the Arabic manuscripts; many had already been proven correct by the audio recordings, as noted in Rubin (2010). ${ }^{2}$ From my own continued reading of the Mehri texts, I also found a number of additional corrections, which I have included below. In several cases, it was only the parallel Jibbali text that led me to notice a mistake in the Mehri version. There are still many small differences between the Arabic-letter, Roman-letter, and audio versions of some texts, but these usually reflect simple variations in phrasing; I have not yet made a complete study. Some additional corrections to the Mehri texts are:

[^240]| Text \# | Printed | Correct Reading | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6:13 | thēr $\partial s$ | yhērəs | Arabic ms confirms. |
| 20:40 | tāxōfi | taháx ${ }^{\text {anfi }}$ | Arabic ms and audio confirm. |
| 24:23 | nahāg | nənhāg | Arabic ms and audio confirm. |
| 26:9 | abōban'วtēm | abōbna tēm | Arabic ms and audio confirm. |
| 38:6 | rékab | rékab | Arabic ms and audio confirm. Cf. J54:32. |
| 57:8 | $x a f$ | $x a ̄ f$ | Audio confirms. See also the note below (p. 68o) to p. 258. |
| 65:13 | $a l$ | $\stackrel{\square}{a} d$ | Audio confirms. Cf. also ' $\mathfrak{J d}$ in J18:13. |
| 82:5 | $b \overline{a ̄ d d ə d ə n ~}$ | báttadən | Mss and audio confirm. ${ }^{3}$ |
| 83:6 | bar | $b \bar{\varepsilon} r$ | Audio confirms. Cf. also $y \varepsilon l$ in J83:6. |
| 89:35 | yaftarḥan | ðә-yəftarḥən | Audio and Arabic ms confirm. Cf. also J49:35. |
| 90:15 | amḥagēg | amḥagēk | Audio and Arabic ms confirm. Cf. also ãhgék in J57:15. ${ }^{4}$ |
| 94:40 | tawwás | tawwás | See the comment to J60:40. |
| 97:48 | maṣárrak | amṣárrak | Audio and Arabic ms confirm. |
| 101:15 | yáwdəg | ð-yáwdəg | Arabic ms confirms. ${ }^{5}$ |
| 103:1 | bérham | t̄̄ bárham | Audio and Arabic ms confirm. |
| 103:5 | ġalákák | ġalákıı | Audio confirms. |

I am certainly not immune to typographical errors myself, and have found many in my own book since its publication, including:
p. 20, footnote 7: "Testen (1988)" should read "Testen (1998)".
p. 23, line 5: tēti should read tēti.
p. 91: The 1cd imperfect of the Gb-Stem should read "atbarō", not "yatbarō".
p. 102, fifth to last line: šaCēČ̌am should read šaCē Cam.
p. 105, middle: "H šağ $b \bar{u} r$ " should read "H həg่gū $r$ ".

[^241]p. 106, middle: Arabic istaxabara should read istaxbara.
p. 118, first line: akalad should read akalad.
p. 123, first table: The indicated affixes for the 2 ms and 2 fs are transposed. The 2 ms box should have "tz-" and the 2 fs box should have "tə-(...-i)".
p. 126, middle: In the example from 64:30, "təhūrək" should read "təhūrok".
p. 148, fifth line from bottom: The translation "in the pen" for $\partial \bar{a} r$ aźayga (taken from Stroomer's edition) should be corrected to "above the cave" (cf. Jibbali text 25:18).
p. 153, middle: The verb saḥáṭk should be translated "I slaughtered", not "I danced".
p. 181, last line: " $48: 28$ " should read " $48: 29$ ".
p. 194, middle: "rēḥak l-" should read "rēḥak l-". On the next line, "rēḥak man" should read "rēḩək mən".
p. 196, fourth and fifth lines from the bottom: "rēḥak" should read "rēhak".
p. 201, next to last line: "hoynit̀" should read "haynite".
p. 214: In the list of the forms of the numbers used with 'days', xamhēt $y \bar{u} m$ 'five days' is incorrect. The correct phrase is xáymah yūm. The word xamhēt is the fs cardinal 'fifth' (see § 9.3). In both Mehri and Jibbali, the form of 'five' used with 'days' is the same as the regular feminine number 'five'.
p. 214: In the list of ordinal numbers, the masculine form of ' 4 th' should be rōba' / rōba'. The forms given (arōba' / arōba') are the definite forms.
p. 248 , footnote 3 , line 5: " $90: 8$ " should read " $90: 9$ ".
p. 259, second to last line: The example from $93: 3$ should be removed from this section, since it is not a copular sentence (though it is an example of a sentence where an interrogative follows the verb).
p. 261, near bottom: In the example from 61:1, "draught" should read "drought".
p. 263, fifth line from bottom: " $k$-sawēhar" should read " $k$-səwēḥər".
p. 264, third line: "дәдdsūs" should read "Әд-dsūs".
p. 288, fourth to last line: taftarḥən should read ðд-yəftarḥən.
p. 334, line 35: "dévouverte" should read "découverte".
p. 335, lines 15-16: "Fait de Langues 27 " should read "Fait de Langues 2 ".

The following additional data and comments can be added to my grammar of Mehri:
p. 19: Though there are several examples on this page and the next of the loss of the prefix $t$-before an initial $t$-, I failed to include $t$ in the list of consonants given in the first sentence of § 2.1.5.
p. 21: Three more examples of the shift of $b>m$ that appear in the texts are mastōn 'plantation' < *bustān (37:1); zabōn 'time' < *zamān (104:1); and kabūn 'hide' (37:4; cf. EJ kūn < *kabún, but CJ kũn < *kamún, and Arabic kamana).
p. 29: The derivation maláwtə $\dot{g}$ < ${ }^{*} m a l \bar{u} t \partial \dot{g}<{ }^{*} m a l t u \bar{g} \dot{~ i s ~ c e r t a i n l y ~ p l a u s i-~}$ ble, since the shift $C C \bar{u} G>C \bar{u} C ə G$ is regular (see $\S$ 2.2.2), and the shift $\bar{u}>a w$ following $l$ is not unknown. However, given Jibbali malébtə $\dot{g}$, the Mehri form maláwta $\dot{g}$ is probably better considered a plural of the pattern məCáwCəC, with an infixed $w$.
p. 50: My translation of śxōfhənūk as 'milk for yourself!' was incorrect. The word śxōf is not a ms imperative 'milk!', as I suggested, but rather a noun 'milk'. The correct reading is '(you'll find ...) milk by you'. This example does not belong in the section on reflexives.
p. 54: In my discussion of the relative pronoun $\partial$ - (§3.8.1), I neglected to mention the unusual verbal agreement in sentences like hō gayg ð-alakáwdərl-əśxáwwal b-aḳā ðōməh lā 'I am a man who cannot stay in this land' (94:4), hō gayg əmzūz 'I am a man who smokes' (94:29), and hō ġayg д-əl šay kawṭ lā 'I am a man who has no food’ (92:2). In these sentences, the verb (or prepositional phrase, in the case of 92:2) in the relative clause agrees not with its antecedent, but rather with the pronominal subject of the main clause, in which the predicate is the antecedent of the relative clause. Such agreement is also found in Jibbali; see further in $\S$ 3.8.1 of this volume.
p. 108: No Š2-Stem imperatives were found in Johnstone's published texts, as noted here. I have since found the ms imperative šarēwag 'consult' in an unpublished text equivalent to Jibbali text 7 (see Appendix E). As expected, the imperative is formed from the base of the subjunctive.
p. 118: It may be largely true that the N-Stem is not productive as a derivational stem, but there are examples of the NQ-Stem used as a passive or intransitive of the Q-Stem, as discussed for Jibbali in $\S 6.6 .2$ of this volume. In addition to the example anšarxawf given on this page, see $M L$ (s.v. $k r b t$ and $k r f d$ ).
p.127: In the table at the beginning of § 7.1.3, the dual forms should indicate that the suffix $-\bar{e}$ alternates with $-\bar{o}$. The second note below the table should be replaced with the following: Where the imperfect has the dual suffix -ō or -áyan, the subjunctive of most verb types has
$-\bar{e}$. Only with G-Stem (Ga and Gb ) active verbs is the suffix -ō used in the dual subjunctive. Also, the table suggests that 2 mp and 3 mp subjunctive forms always have the suffix -əm. In fact, for Gb-Stems (including all II-Guttural G-Stems) and T2-Stems, the 2 mp and 3 mp subjunctives have ablaut instead of the suffix. So in the table, the suffix -am should be in parentheses, like the 2 fs suffix.
p. 161: Regarding the so-called weak- $f$ verbs, see the discussion in §7.4.17 in this volume, as well as Bendjaballah and Ségéral (2014).
p. 193: The idiom $h ̣ a ̄ t u ̄ g l-$ 'need s.o.' appeared just once in the texts, but Ali Musallam used it again in a letter to me (hām hāātūgak lī 'if you need me').
p. 203: The idiom l-adəfēt $\partial$ - 'at the side of' is used only once in the texts (73:6, though repeated in 73:7), but Ali used the phrase hōl-adfētzk 'I am at your side' in a letter to me.
p. 227: The use of $h \bar{\varepsilon} s$ śn to mean 'why?' is undoubtedly the result of an underlying *h-héśzn (cf. Jibbali $h$-íné).
p. 230: I analyzed the particle $\partial \partial-$ in the phrase $k \bar{o} \not \partial д-\dot{g} \partial r \partial b k(27: 4)$ as a relative pronoun (as also in Rubin 2008b: 82). The particle here is instead probably the verbal prefix $\partial$ - (§7.1.10.2), which is commonly used with the perfect of $\dot{g} \partial r \bar{u} b$ 'know' to give a present tense meaning.
p. 239: On the Mehri word $h \bar{a} k$, see $\S 12.5 .10$ in this volume.
p. 243: In the sentence from 101:16, instead of 'ād meaning 'again', I would now suggest that it is being used in conjunction with the subjunctive of wïka to indicate 'maybe, might'. See the comment to p. 270 below, and further in $\S 12.5 \cdot 3$ of this volume.
p. 251: The analysis of dawnak as a particle dawn- plus a second person suffixed pronoun may be incorrect. It may be a frozen 1cs perfect. See $\S 12.5 .7$ in this volume.
p. 258: Concerning the particle watō- (§ 12.5 .18 ), I was led completely astray by Johnstone's own analysis of the form watōkam in text 64:2. Johnstone analyzed this as a particle watō-, included in his ML under the root $w t^{\prime}$. This must be instead the conjunction wa- plus the particle taww- (§12.5.15; ML, s.v. tww). In fact, a re-examination of the audio shows that the forms transcribed (wa-)tōkəm in 64:2 and táwwakam in 24:41 are pronounced identically as táwkam. I realized that my analysis of watōkzm was wrong when I saw the parallel tōkum used in Jibbali text 25:2. Morris (2012:486) also suggested that watōkam was from taww-. Therefore, the entry for the root $w t$ 'should be removed from $M L$, and section $\S 12.5 .18$ should be removed from my grammar (and the example therein moved to $\S 12 \cdot 5 \cdot 15$ ).
p. 258: I chose not to include the particle $x \bar{a} f$ 'maybe' in Chapter 12, since at the time of writing I had only the attestation in text $57: 8$. The Jibbali parallel to this passage (athúmk, J8:8) makes it clear that $x \bar{a} f$ does indeed mean 'maybe'. Watson (2012: 375) also treated this particle, and it is also attested in Hobyot (HV, pp. 145, 177, 283).
p. 270: I mentioned on this page that the function of ' $\bar{a} d$ in $57: 11$ was uncertain. This use of ' $\bar{a} d$ plus a subjunctive of $w \bar{k} k a$ is being used to indicate 'maybe'; cf. the parallel text in J8:11. See also the comment above to p. 243, and further in $\S 12.5 .3$ of this volume.
p. 293: On a new reading of the cited passage from Mehri text 61:6, see the end of § 13.5.2.4 in this volume.
p. 296: On a new reading of the cited passage from Mehri text 42:32, see the end of $\S 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3, \mathrm{n} .19$, in this volume.
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## APPENDIX E

## A MEHRI VERSION OF TEXT 7 (M56A)

Among Johnstone's Mehri audio recordings, on tape 120 between the recordings of texts 56 and 57 , is a recording of a story not included in the collection published by Stroomer (1999). I also found an Arabic-letter manuscript version of this story among Johnstone's papers (Box 6A), which has the text number M56 written at the top of the page. After working with Johnstone's Jibbali material, it became clear to me that this story was a Mehri version of Jibbali text 7 . The published Mehri text 56 is totally different, and is actually equivalent to Jibbali text 3 . Still, the manuscript heading, the fact that the recording appears before text 57 , and the fact that Jibbali text 8 is equivalent to Mehri text 57, make it clear that this unknown text once was also assigned the number 56 . Interestingly, in the Roman-letter manuscript for text 7 , the header has a note " $=\mathrm{M}_{5} 6$ ", with the text crossed out. We can refer to it as Mehri text 56A. Text 56 in Stroomer's edition bears the title "A Marriage Journey", which does not fit that text. The title, taken from a list of texts included among Johnstone's papers, no doubt refers to text 56 A .

On the recording, Ali Musallam is clearly reading from a manuscript, as on nearly all of the recordings Johnstone made of Ali. The text follows.

Mehri Text 56A (= J7): A Betrothal and Marriage

1. xəṭərāt ġayg səyūr mən anágd, yəḥōm aśḥayr. hīs wīṣal aśháyr, kūsa

2. āmōr, "hō məháffak tīk, hām wazmōna tī aməndáwḳək." āmōr, "wazmōna tīk amandáwḳi." āmór, "xáyban, sēr šarēwag ḥaynīt. hām sēn fíraḥ būk, maháffak tīk."
3. āmōr, "xáyban. mayt al-śnēk?" āmōr, "gēhəmah." sayūr ag̉áyg tawōli haynīt wə-ğátri šīsən.
āmərūt āgáwz, ḥāmē ðə-tēt, "nəḥā šı̄n ōdət. nəkūn rīhōom k-ḥ́myən, hām hēt wakōna raháym šīn. mahəfkáwtan tīk." wə-sád hē wa-ḥaynīt.
4. wa-sayūr təwōli ag̉áyg, hayb ðə-tēt, wa-kalūt lah. wa-wazmīh amandáwkah. wa-sīrō tawōli sēkən.
5. wa-žāt tawkalēt man hāl tētِ. wa-kəfáwd hē w-aśháwd arḥabēt, hē w-aśhádhe arḥəbēt, təwōli śéra', wa-šəmlūk. wə-śītəm aźəyáft tōmər, wa-ráfam.
6. t̄̄ ka-ṣōbaḥ, nákam sēkan wa-wbáwd, wa-farūd ḥārūn man sēkən. w-habrīk arīkōb, wa-śxəwlīl wakōna sāa .
7. məğōrən nákam ḥābū ðə-yġábrəm az̛əyáft. wə-nákam rəgzēt. wə-nūka bor ḥədīd ðə-tēt ðд-yxōlว'. วl-’วḥād yahārūs bərt ḥədáydəh lā.
8. tōli wazmīh ag̉áyg ðə-hārūs āśarīt kəráwš wa-sūməḥ hah yahērəs. wə-wkūb a'āṣar ðákaməh. wa-śxawatūl šīs wakōna warx.
9. tōli āmōr hīs, "naḥōm nafrēź tawōli hábys." āmarūt hah, "al asyūr lā man hāl ḥábyc." tōli āmōr ḥáybas, "hō ḥōm attēti tafrēź šay." āmōr hah, "xáyban, sēram."
10. šarēwag: As noted in Appendix D, this form provides the only example of an Š2-Stem imperative from Johnstone's Mehri texts.
11. hámyan: This appears to be the plural 'our sons-in-law', though a singular would fit the context a bit better. The Jibbali parallel (7:4) also seems to have the suffix used with plural nouns, though the noun itself does not have the expected plural form.
12. yǵábram: According to $M L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} b r$ ), the G-Stem $\dot{g} a b u \bar{u} r$ only has the meaning 'meet, come to meet', but here it must mean something like 'contribute, give help', which is the meaning associated with the H Stem $h \partial \dot{g} b \bar{u} r$. The verb in the parallel Jibbali version ( $7: 8$ ) is an H-Stem. It is conceivable that Ali confused the Mehri G- and H-Stems.

Translation of Mehri Text 56A:

1. Once a man went from the Najd, heading for the mountains. When he reached the mountains, he found a man. He said, "I want to marry into your family, if you will let me."
2. He said, "I will let you marry if you give me your rifle." He said, "I will give you my rifle." He said, "Ok, go consult the women. If they are happy with you, I will let you marry."
3. He said, "Ok. When might I see you?" He said, "Tomorrow." The man went to the women and spoke with them.
4. The old woman, the mother of the woman (he wanted), said, "We have a custom. We will be fine with [or: nice to] our sons-in-law, if you will be fine with [or: nice to] us. We will let you marry." And he and the women made an agreement.
5. And he went to the man, the father of the woman, and told him. And he gave him the rifle. And they (the two of them) went to the settlement.
6. And he took possession of the woman in marriage. And he and the witnesses went down to town, he and his witnesses (went down) to the town, to the judge, and he got legal possession. And he bought dates for the wedding feast, and they went back.
7. Then in the morning, they came to the settlement and they fired shots, and the goats ran away frightened from the settlement. They made the riding-camels kneel, and they stayed about an hour.
8. Then people came contributing to the wedding-feast. And they came (with) songs. And the woman's unmarried cousin came. No one (can) marry his cousin.
9. Then the man who got married gave him ten dollars, and he permitted him to marry. And he consummated [lit. entered] that night. And he stayed with her about a month.
10. Then he said to her, "Let's go home to my parents." She said to him, "I will not go from my parents." Then he said to her father, "I want my wife to go home with me." He said to him, "Ok, go."
11. āmōr, "attēt xazūt man tafrēz̧." toli nūka ḥáybas, wa-gzūm līs. tōli tēt āmarūt, "al hō sīrīta lā."
12. tōli āmōr hááybas, "tēt xazūt man ( $t$ )syēr. taḥōm (t)śxáwwal, ba-ráyk. wa-tahōm taxōli, wazyēma tīk aməndáwkək." āmōr, "hām al sē sīrīta šay lā, maxōli." tōli xōli ag̉áyg, wə-žāṭ aməndáwḳəh. wə-təmmūt.
13. He said, "The woman has refused to go." Then her father came and swore to her. Then the woman said, "I won't go."
14. Then her father said, "The woman has refused to go. If you want to stay, please do. And if you want to get divorced, we will give you your rifle." He said, "If she won't go with me, I will get divorced." Then the man got divorced, and he took his rifle. And it is finished.
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## INDEX OF PASSAGES

The texts below are listed alphabetically by heading. First are Johnstone's texts from Ali Musallam (those labeled with a number only), followed by those texts whose numbers are preceded by two or more letters: AK, AM, Anon, FB, Fr, Pr, SB, and TJ.

## Text 1

$1 \quad 3.4$
3 11.6; 12.5.4
4 7.1.3; 8.11; 11.1
$5 \quad 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 1$
$\begin{array}{ll}6 & 10.4\end{array}$
7 5.4 (2×);7.1.5; 8.4; 13.2.1; 13.4.2
8 3.1; 3.8.2; 8.18
9 7.2; 12.5.9; 13.2.1; 13.5.1.1
$10 \quad 11.5$
11 7.1.5; 13.4.2
12 13.2.4; 13.2.5
14 2.1.3; 3.5.3; 7.1.1

## Text 2

1 8.3; 12.1.1; 12.4; 13.5.3.5
3 3.6; 8.6; 12.5.4
5 2.1.6; 7.1.2
$6 \quad 13.2 .4$
7 7.1.5; 13.1; 13.4.2
9 13.1.1; 13.2.1; 13.3.1; 13.4.2
$10 \quad$ 12.1.2; 13.4.2
12 7.1.7; 7.1.10.2 ( $2 \times$ ); 12.5.17
13 7.1.10.2; 12.4
$15 \quad 3.2 .3$
$16 \quad 13.2 .2$

## Text 3

111.11
$4 \quad 5.1$

5 8.3; 11.8
6 3.2.3; 8.8; 9.2
7 8.11; 12.1.4
8 12.2.1; 13.3.2; 13.5.2.2
$9 \quad 13.2 .5$
10 2.1.2; 2.1.9; 3.3; 11.5; 13.2.5
11 13.2.1; 13.4.1
12 7.1.2; 13.4.1
$13 \quad 12.5 \cdot 6$
14 13.2.4;13.2.5
16 7.1.1
$18 \quad 12.5 .6$
19 6.5.2, n. 47; 7.1. 6

## Text 4

1 2.1.2, n. 5; 5.1; 5.5.4
2 12.4; 13.5.3.2
$\begin{array}{ll}3 & 3.7\end{array}$
4 5.5.4; 7.1.8
$5 \quad 3.2 .3(2 \times) ; 3.5 \cdot 3 ; 5 \cdot 5.3 ; 8.18$
$6 \quad 7.2 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.2$
8 5.3;12.1.1
9 7.1.10.2; 13.4.1
10 4.6; 5.4; 10.5

## Text 5

13.6

2 5.5.4; 13.5.3.3
3 5.5.4; 7.1.10.2; 13.5.2.2
4 3.2.3; 3.4;7.1.6;7.3;13.5.3.5

Text 5 (cont.)
5 13.1.1; 13.5.1.1
6 3.5.2; 9.1.1
7 3.5.5; 11.7; 13.1
8 8.11; 12.5.8
9 9.1.1
10 7.1.3; 7.1.4; 7.2; 13.4.1
11 4.6; 7.1.10.1; 7.4.8, n. 30; 11.1; 12.5.17; 13.1

12 3.3; 3.5.5; 4.6; 7.4.10; 9.4; 11.2; 13.1; 13.1.1

13 5.5.3; 13.4.1
16 3.1; 9.4; 13.1.1; 13.4.1

## Text 6

1 4.2; 6.4.2, n. 40; 8.6; 8.8; 8.30;
9.1.1
$2 \quad 8.28$
$3 \quad 12.3$
4 3.8.4; 7.1.7
5 2.1.8; 3.2.3; 7.1.6; 8.26
6 8.26; 8.28; 13.5.2.4
7 5.1; 8.14; 13.5.3.2 ( $2 \times$ )
8 7.1.3; 8.6; 8.11; 8.28; 11.2 (2×);
13.3.2

9 7.1.1; 8.26
10 12.1.1
11 5.1; 5.4; 12.1.4; 13.4.2, n. 9
12 4.2; 4.3.2; 7.1.8; 8.20
13 7.5; 12.1.4; 13.4.1
15 3.8.5; 8.13
17 7.4.10; 13.5•3.4
18 13.5.1.1
194.6

20 3.2.3; 7.5.1; 8.3; 8.18
$21 \quad 11.1$
22 8.3; 8.8; $12.4(2 \times)$
23 4.3.2
24 4.6; 12.3; 13.1
25 2.1.6; 2.1.8; 3.8.2; 4.3.2, n. 10; 8.3;
13.3.2 ( $2 \times$ )
$27 \quad 11.1$
28 4.3.2; 8.6
29 3.2.3; 3.3; 8.3
308.3
$31 \quad 3.2 .3(2 \times)$
32 4.2; 8.6; 12.4
33 5.5.3; 9.1.5
34 13.4.1
36 5.1; 8.16
37 3.1; 3.2.3; $3 \cdot 3$
38 8.11; 13.2.1; 13.3.4; 13.4.2 (2×)
39 3.7; 3.8.4; 13.1.1

## Text 7

1 7.5.2; 8.1; 8.26, n. 28; 12.5.6; 13.4.1; 13.5.3.5

2 3.1; 6.4.4, n. 44; 13.4.1
3 7.1.4; 11.8
4 8.13; 13.1.1; $13.3 .1(2 \times)$
$\begin{array}{ll}5 & 12.4\end{array}$
$6 \quad 8.26$
7 8.26; 9.6
$8 \quad$ 2.1.2
9 3.8.1; 7.1.3; 8.13; 9.1.1; 13.5.1
10 7.1.3; 7.5; 8.13; 8.26
$12 \quad 13.4 .2$

## Text 8

1 7.2; 8.11; 9.6
2 7.2; 8.11; 9.1.1; $9.6(2 \times)$
3 3.5.2; 12.5.19
4 4.5; 5.1; 12.1.2; 13.1; 13.2.1
$5 \quad 12.5 .8$
$6 \quad 8.11$
7 3.3; 4.2; 8.18; 9.1.1; 12.2.1; 13.2.4
8 3.5.2; 7.1.10.2; 13.5•3.5
9 3.5.1; 7.1.4
$10 \quad 11.1$
11 12.5.3; 13.1.1

| 12 | 7.1.2; 12.2.1 | 7 | 2.1.3; 3.3; 3.8.1 (2×); 7.1.4; 11.2; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 7.1.3; 12.5.2 (3×); 12.5.4 |  | 13.4.1 |
| 14 | 12.5.4 | 8 | 3.8.3; 7.1.10.1; 8.18; 13.1.1; 13.5.3.5 |
|  |  | 9 | 5.5.4 |
| Text 9 |  | 10 | 12.5.3; 13.3.3 |
| 1 | 5.5.6; 13.3.1, n. 4 | 11 | 2.1.6; 3.3; 7.1.10.1; $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 2 | 8.3; 12.5.1; 13.3.2 ( $3 \times$ ) | 12 | 3.3; $3.4(2 \times) ; 7.1 .1 ; 11.1 ; 13.1$ |
| 4 | 13.5.2.2 | 13 | 13.1.1; 13.5.1.1 |
| 5 | 13.3 .2 | 14 | 3.3; 4.2; 9.2 |
| 6 | 4.3.2; 8.6; 8.11; 13.3.2; 13.4.1 | 15 | 4.3.2 |
| 7 | 5.1; 12.5.1; 13.4.1 | 16 | 4.2; 7.4.14; $8.6(2 \times) ; 8.11 ; 8.26$ |
| 8 | 3.4; 8.29 | 17 | 7.5.1; 8.14; 8.18; 13.2 .4 |
|  |  | 18 | 3.1; 7.1.3; 7.1.4; 7.5; 7.5.3; 12.5.4; |
| Text 10 |  |  | 13.2.2; 13.4.1 |
| 1 | 3.1; 3.4; 4.6 | 19 | 8.26 |
| 2 | 3.8.1; 13.5.3.4 | 20 | 6.5.1; 7.1.5; 8.26; 13.4.2 |
| 3 | 7.1.2; 12.1.4; 13.2.3 |  |  |
| 4 | 2.1.2; 7.1.4 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 7.1.4 |
| Text 12 |  | 2 | 7.1.8 |
| 1 | $4.2(2 \times) ; 7 \cdot 5.1 ; 8.6 ; 9.1 .1(2 \times)$ | 5 | 9.1.1 |
| 2 | $7.5 ; 7.5 \cdot 3 ; 8.1 ; 8.6 ; 12.5 \cdot 9 ; 13.4 .1$ | 6 | 13.2.7 |
| 4 | 3.2.3; 8.3; 8.8; 13.5.3.1; 13.5.3.5 | 7 | 13.2.7 |
| 5 | 7.1.10.1; 13.3.1; 13.4.1; 13.5.3.4 |  |  |
| 6 | 12.5.6 |  | t 15 |
| 7 | 3.8.2; 4.6; 9.1.3; 9.3 | 1 | 7.5.2; 9.2; 13.5.3.4 |
| 8 | 3.8.1 | 2 | 2.1.3; 4.2; 8.6; 8.16; 9.1.1 (2×); 9.2 |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 ; 3.8 .1 ; 4.6 ; 7.1 .1 ; 7 \cdot 5 ; 8.6 ; 13.2 .7 \\ & (2 \times) \end{aligned}$ | 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3 ; 8.18 ; 10.1 ; 12.5 \cdot 4 ; 13.4 .1, \text { n. } 8 \\ & 3.2 .3 ; 9.1 .1 ; 12.1 .5 ; 12.5 \cdot 13 ; 12.5 \cdot 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 3.2.3 (2×); 7.3; 7.4.10; 8.8; 13.2.1 | 5 | 3.1 |
| 11 | 13.2.4 | 6 | 4.6 |
| 12 | 4.3.1; 8.26 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 .3 ; 3.5 .1 ; 8.4 ; 8.11 ; 8.18 ; 13.4 .1 \\ & (2 \times) \end{aligned}$ |
| Text 13 |  | 8 | 3.5.1; 8.3; 8.18; 12.5.17 |
| 1 | 4.2; 7.1.1; 8.14; 9.1.1; 13.5-3.4 | 9 | 6.4.4, n. 43; 7.1.2; 7.1.10.1; 7.5.2; |
| 2 | 3.1; 7.1.3; 7.5; 8.2; 8.26 |  | 8.3; 8.18; 13.5.3.4 (2×) |
| 3 | 4.3; 5.1; 7.4.16; 8.3; 12.5.4 (3×) | 10 | 4.6; 8.28 |
| 4 | 8.3; 13.1.1; 13.5.3.4 (2×) | 11 | 2.3; 3.8.1; 4.3.2 (2×); 9.1.1; 13.3.1 |
| 5 | 3.6; 7.1.10.2; 13.5.3.4 | 12 | 3.4; 7.2; 7.5; 8.11 |
| 6 | 8.8 | 13 | 2.1.2; 8.22 |


| Text 15 (cont.) | 29 8.18; 8.24; 12.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14 3.3; 3.5.5; 13.1.1 | 30 7.1.7; 7.1.10.2; 7.3; 10.1 |
| 15 3.8.5; 8.3; 12.4 | 328.12 |
| $16 \quad 3.2 .3$ | 33 3.8.1; 8.6 |
|  | $36 \quad 13.3 .2$ |
| Text 16 | $38 \quad 7.1 .3$ |
| 7.5.2; 8.6 | 39 3.1; 7.1.7; 13.4.2 |
| 12.1.1 | $\begin{array}{lll}40 & 3.6\end{array}$ |
| 7.1.3; 7.5; 13.4.1 | $41 \quad 12.5 .19$ |
| 4 7.5; 13.2.1; 13.2.4 | $42 \quad 3.2 .3$ |
| 3.3; 3.4; 3.8.1; 9.3; 12.5.4 | 437.5 |
|  | 45 4.3.2; 8.22 |
| Text 17 | 46 7.1.3; 12.4 |
| 13.1.1 | 47 3.8.1; 8.3; 13.5.3.5 |
| 5.1 | 48 13.5.1.1 |
| $6 \quad 3.4(2 \times) ; 7.1 .10 .2$ | $\begin{array}{lll}50 & 7.2\end{array}$ |
| 12.5.16 | $51 \quad 4.3 .2$ |
| 3.1; 12.5.13 | 53 3.4; 12.3 |
| 9 3.2.3 $(2 \times) ; 7.1 .2 ; 7.1 .4 ; 7.4 .14 ; 7.5 .3$; |  |
| 8.12; 8.25; 12.5 -4 | Text 18 |
| $10 \quad 2.1 .6$ | 7.5.2; 8.11; 8.26; 13.5.2.2 |
| 118.8 | 2 7.1.10.1 |
| 12 8.8; 9.3; 13.5.3.4 | 48.3 |
| 13 2.1.9; 8.8; 12.5.1 | 6 5.5.6; 7.1.10.1 |
| 14 8.11; 13.2.1; 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 7 3.1; 3.5.1; 3.5.2; 3.5.4; 5.5.4; 7.1.2; |
| 15 3.4; 4.6; 9.6; 12.4 ( $2 \times$ ) | 7.1.3; 7.1.10.2; 13.3.1.1; 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 16 3.1; 7.5 | 8 3.1; 12.5.9 |
| 17 7.5;7.5.3 | 9 3.4; 7.1.3; 8.24; 11.7; 13.3.1.1 |
| 18 3.5.1; 6.4.2, n. 40; 13.3.1.1 | 10 3.2.3; $4.2(2 \times) ; 7.1 .1 ; 8.8$ |
| 19 13.5.2.2 | 11 2.1.9; 3.2.3; 7.1.6; 7.1.7; 7.1.9; 12.5.4; |
| 20 3.1; 3.8.3; $7.5(2 \times)$ | 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 21 5.5.1; 8.26 | 12 3.8.1; 8.6 |
| 22 7.5; 8.11; 13.5.3.4 | 13 4.2; 7.1.10.1; 12.2; 12.5.3 |
| 2411.3 | 15 7.2; 8.11; 9.1.5; 13.3.4 |
| 25 3.7; 7.5.1 |  |
| 26 3.2.3 (2×); 8.18 | Text 20 |
| 27 2.1.6; 3.2.3 | 1 5.5.6; 7.1.10.2; 9.1.1; 13.1.1 |
| 28 4.3.2 $2 \times$; 7.2; 7.3; 10; 13.5.3.5 | 3 2.1.9; 3.8.1 |


| 4 | 3.1; 5.4; 13.2.1 | 17 | 3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3.2.3; 3.7 (2x); 8.18 | 18 | 3.7 ( $2 \times$ ); 3.8.1 |
| 6 | 3.4; 12.5.1; 13.5.2.4 (2×); 13.5-3.4; | 19 | 3.3; 3.7 ( $2 \times$ ); 7.2; 8.6; 11.5 |
|  | 13.5.3.5 | 20 | 3.2.3 |
| 7 | 3.7; 13.4.2 |  |  |
| 8 | 3.1 (2×); 5.4; 7.1.10.1; 8.4 |  |  |
| 9 | 3.4;3.5.4; $5.4 ; 8.8 ; 8.18$ | 2 | 3.2.3; 13.5.3.2 ( $2 \times$ ) |
|  |  | 4 | 8.13 |
| Text 21 |  | 5 | 3.2.3; 7.5 |
| 1 | 7.1.8; 9.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 6 | 3.2.3; 3.8.3; 9.4 |
| 2 | 8.3; 8.11 | 7 | 3.8.5; 4.1 |
| 3 | 5.5.4; 7.1.4; 7.5; 11.1; 13.5.3.5 | 8 | 5.4; 7.1.2; 7.1.6; 12.2 |
| 4 | 7.3; 8.13; 13.3.1 ( $2 \times$; 13.3.2 | 9 | 6.1.4, n. 17 |
| 5 | 7.5; 12.5.4 (2×); 13.2.2; 13.3.1 | 10 | 2.1.9; 8.3 |
| 6 | 3.2.3; 8.29 | 11 | 7.5 |
| 7 | 12.1.1 | 13 | 7.5;8.12 |
| 9 | 7.1.4; 8.14; 9.3 | 14 | 3.5.1; 3.8.1; 3.8.3; 13.2.1 |
| 10 13.5.1.1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 8.16 |  |  |
| 12 | 3.5.3; 5.3; 7.5.3; 8.14 | 1 | 3.8.1; 7.1.2; 8.4; 12.1.4; 13.1 |
|  |  | 2 | 3.5.1; 8.3; 8.18; 12.1.4 |
| Text 22 |  | 3 | 8.11; 12.1.1; 12.5.3; 13.2.4 |
| 1 | 7.1.10.1 | 4 | 6.4.4, n. 43; 9.3; 12.5.3 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 2 | 7.1.2; 7.1.3; 7.3; 12.4; 12.5.3; 13.2.4; |  |  |
|  | 13.5.1 |  |  |
| 3 | 7.1.3 | 1 | 8.8 |
| 5 | 3.5.3; 3.8.2; 7.1.10.1; $7.2(2 \times)$; 8.13; | 2 | 7.1.4; 7.2; 7.4.3; 8.18; 10.1; 12.5.19 |
|  | 12.1.1; 12.5.10; 12.5.10, n. 12; 12.5.14; | 4 | $3 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 13.1.1; 13.5.3.4 | 5 | 7.1.2; 7.4.10, n. 37; 8.18; 9.1.1 (2×) |
| 6 | 3.2.3; 5.1; 7.2; 13.5.3.5 | 6 | 9.3 |
| 7 | 12.5.4; 13.2.4 | 7 | 7.1.2; 7.1.3; 13.2.4; 13.2 .5 |
| 8 | 3.8.1; 8.8; 8.26 | 8 | 12.5.4; 12.5.17; 13.2.2 |
| 9 | 9.1.3; 9.6; 12.4 | 9 | 3.5.1; 8.26; 11.5; 12.2.1 |
| 10 | 3.2.3 | 10 | 3.4; 3.5.5; 3.6; 5.1; 7.1.6; 8.6; 12.2.1; |
| 11 | 3.4; 5.5.3; 7.1.2; 7.1.10.2 |  | 13.1 |
| 12 | 2.1.2, n. 5; 3.8.3; 7.1.4; 8.8; 9.1.5 | 11 | 9.1.4; 12.5.17; 13.4.1 |
| 13 | 7.2; 8.18; 8.22; 13.2.4; 13.4.1 | 12 | 2.1.2; 7.1.4; 7.3; 12.5.17 |
| 14 | 3.5.2 | 13 | 3.2.3; 7.1.8; 8.3; 13.2.4 |
| 15 | 7.1.1; 7.5.1; 8.24 | 14 | 3.2.3 |
| 16 | 12.5.3; 12.5.9 | 16 | 7.1.3; 12.5.20; 13.2.1 |


| Text 25 (cont.) | 11 | 5.5.4; 7.1.3; 12.4; 13.5.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 3.6;3.8.1; 7.1.8; 12.4; 12.5.20 ( $2 \times$ ) | 12 | 8.4; 11.7; 12.5.4; 13.1; 13.4.1; 13.5.2.3 |
| 18 7.1.10.2; 10.1; 13.5.1.1 |  | $(2 \times) ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ |
| 20 3.8.2; 12.5.4 | 13 | 12.1.5 |
| 218.26 | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.2.3; 3.3; 5.5.4; 7.1.6; 7.1.8; 8.10; } \\ & \text { 8.26; 9.1.1; 9.2; } 11.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Text 28 | 15 | 3.2.3; 3.8.1; 3.8.2; 3.8.5; 5.5.3; 7.3; |
| 12.5.18; 13.4.1 |  | 8.16; 9.3; 13.3-3 |
| 2 7.1.4; 8.4 | 16 | 3.8.1; 5.3; 9.1.1; 12.1.1; 12.5.17 |
| 3 11.5; 11.6 | 17 | 8; 11.3; 13.3.1.1 |
| 4 7.1.10.1 | 18 | 3.2.3; 3.5.4; 7.1.3; 8.11; 8.26; 11.2; |
| 5 2.1.8; 3.1; 8.4; 13.1 |  | 13.1; 13.4.3 |
| 6 3.8.1; 7.1.3; 8.11; 13.2.4 ( $2 \times$ ) | 19 | 8.11 |
| 7 2.1.3; 6.4.4, n. 44; 8.1; 8.8 | 20 | 3.5.3; 4.6; 8.16; 8.29; 9.3 |
| 9 8.13; $11.1(2 \times$ ) | 21 | 3.6; 7.1.4; 7.3; 8.6; 8.20 ( $2 \times$ ); 8.30; |
| 10 3.5.5; 7.1.10.1; 7.4.3; 8.26; 13.5.1 |  | 10.1; 12.5.4 (2×); 13.5.3.4 |
| 11 5.2, n. 4; 12.5.4; 13.5.1.1 | 22 | 3.3; 3.4; 3.5.2; 3.8.1; 8.4; 13.4.3 |
| 12 3.8.1; 7.1.3; 13.2.2; 13.4 .3 | 23 | 3.3; 8.24; 11.4; 12.1.5 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 13 3.5.2; 7.1.3; 8.4; 11.3 | 24 | 2.1.8 (2×); 3.4; 7.3; 8.13; 10.4; |
| 14 13.1.1; 13.4.1; 13.5.3.5 |  | 12.1.2; 12.4 |
| 15 5.5.4; 7.1.10.2; 8.23; 13.5.1.1 | 25 | 3.2.3 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| $16 \quad 7.1 .9$ | 26 | 8.6 |
| 17 3.1; 7.1.2; 8.1; 8.12; 8.16; 13.4.1 (2×) |  |  |
| 19 8.13; 13.2.1; 13.2.2 |  |  |
| 20 3.5.2; 5.5.4 | 1 | 5.1; 5.5.4; 10.1 |
|  | 2 | 2.1.3; 3.4; 5.5.2; 7.1.10.1; 8.3; 8.4 |
| Text 30 | 3 | 3.8.1; 8.1; 13.1.1 |
| 1 11.2; 13.5.3.3 $(2 \times)$ | 4 | 11.2; 13.1.1 |
| 2 2.1.4; 7.1.6; 9.5; 12.5.6 | 5 | 2.1.10; 8.3; 9.3; 9.4; 9.7 |
| 3 2.1.2; 3.2.3; 4.3.2; 7.1.4; 7.1.6; 7.2; |  |  |
| 13.5.3.2 (2×); 13.5.3.2, n. 17 |  | ${ }^{2}$ |
| 4 3.8.1; 7.1.1; 8.26; 12.5.4 (2×); 13.2.2; | 1 | 5.5.6; 13.3 .1 |
| 13.5.3.4 | 2 | 4.3.1; 4.6; 5.5.6; 7.1.10.2; 8.16; 9.1.3 |
| 5 7.2; 8.1; 13.5.3.5 |  | 9.1.4 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.3.1 |
| 6 5.3; 5.5.2; 7.5; 8.25 ( $2 \times$ ); 8.30 | 3 | 3.5.2; 5.5.2; 7.4.8; 8.18 |
| 8 8.18; 13.2.2; 13.2.6 | 4 | 5.5.2; 7.1.8; $7 \cdot 3$ ( $2 \times$ ); 13.3.3 |
| 9 7.5; 8.6; 8.26; 13.1.1; 13.5.3.4; | 7 | 3.5.2; 11.5 |
| 13.5.3.6 | 8 | 5.5.6; 7.1.7; 13.5.1.1 |
| 10 6.6.2; 7.1.4; 8.4; 8.8; 13.2.1; 13.4.1; | 9 | 11.5; 11.8 |
| 13.5.1 | 10 | 3.8.1; 9.3; 11.7 |


| 11 | 8.20; 12.5.4 | 5 | 3.2.3; 5.5.1; 8.18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 7.3; 13.3.2; 13.5.3.5, n. 22 | 6 | 5.5.7; 7.1.10.1; 7.4.12, n. 42; 8.25; |
| 13 | 8.16 |  | 8.26; 11.5 |
| 14 | 12.1.1 | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7.1.2; 11.4; } 12.3(2 \times) ; 13.4 .1 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 1.1 \\ & (2 \times) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 8 | 3.2.3; 11.4; 12.5.4; $13.5 \cdot 3.3$ |
| Text 33 |  | 9 | 6.5.1; $7 \cdot 3$ |
| 2 | 8.3; 13.5.3.4 |  |  |
| 3 | 7.1.10.1; 11.7 |  | t 36 |
| 4 | 7.1.10.1; 7.2; 11.3 | 1 | 7.2; 8; 8.6; 12.1.1; 13.5.3.5 |
| 5 | 6.6.2; 8.22 | 3 | 3.8.1; 8.16; 8.22; 9.5 |
| 6 | 3.1; 8.26; 12.4; 13.2.4; 13.5.3.2 | 4 | 4.6; 8.6 |
| 7 | 2.1.9; 7.1.4 | 5 | 8.6; 8.8 |
| 8 | 3.6 | 6 | 13.5.2.5; 13.5.3.4 |
| 9 | 8.6 | 7 | 7.5; 7.5.3; 9.5; 13.3.1.1; 13.5.1; |
| 10 | 7.1.10.1; 7.4.10; 11.5 |  | 13.5.3.3 |
| 11 | 8.11 | 8 | 11.7; 12.5.4 |
| 13 | 5.4 | 9 | 7.2 |
| 16 | 13.5-3.4 | 10 | 8.8; 13.5.2.1; 13.5.2.2 |
| 17 | 7-1.8 | 11 | 8.28 |
|  |  | 12 | 8.28 |
| Text 34 |  | 13 | 7.1.6; 8.16 |
| 1 | 7.3; 7.5.2; 8.18; 8.28; 12.1.2 | 14 | $8.28(2 \times) ; 10.4$ |
| 2 | 8.11 | 17 | 7.1.2; 8.4; 8.8 |
| 4 | 3.2.3; 3.4; 12.1.5; 13.2.1 | 18 | 10.1 |
| 5 | 13.5.3.2 ( $2 \times$ ) | 19 | 7.5; 8.6; 9.1.1; 13.5.3.4 |
| 6 | 7.1.10.1 | 20 | 7.1.3; 7.5, n. 59; 13.5.1 |
| 9 | 4.1; 5.3; 7.1.10.1; 7.3; 13.5.3.2 (2×) | 21 | 7.1.4; 7.2 |
| 10 | 3.5.1; 3.5.2; 7.3; 7.5; 8.18; 9.3; | 23 | 2.1.6; 3.2.3 |
|  | 13.2.1; 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 25 | 9.3 |
| 11 | 2.1.2; 2.1.6; 3.1; 3.3; 7.4.16; 8.11; 11.2; | 26 | 2.1.2; 2.3; 7.2; 13.5-3.5 |
|  | 12.5.18 | 27 | 3.6; 4.6; 8.26 |
| 13 | 12.3 | 28 | 3.2.3; 13.2.1 |
| 14 | 3.4; 3.7; 8.4, n. 4; 13.1.1 | 29 | 3.2.3; 3.5.3; 7.5.1; 12.1.1 |
|  |  | 30 | 3.1; 12.5.4 |
| Text 35 |  | 31 | 3.8.1; 5.5.4; 13.5-3.4 |
| 1 | 3.5.2; 7.1.10.2; 13.2.1 |  |  |
| 2 | 7.3; 13.1.1 |  | t 38 |
| 3 | 8.6; 8.13; 13.2.1; 13.3.1; 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 1 | 4.1; 12.5.4 (2×); 12.5.13; 13.4.1; |
| 4 | 8.22; 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) |  | 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.5•3.6 |


| Text 38 (cont.) |  | 7 | 12.5.3 ( $2 \times$ ); 12.5.3, n. 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3.8.1; 7.4.16; 10.5; 12.5.18; 13.5.1; | 8 | 12.5.3; 13.2.4 ( $2 \times$ ) |
|  | 13.5.2.3 ( $2 \times$ ) | 9 | 9.3 |
| 4 | 8.11 | 10 | 12.5.10 |
| 5 | 11.3; 13.3 .2 |  |  |
| 6 | 7.1.3; 12.5.3; 12.5.18; 13.1.1; 13.5.3.3 | Text 42 |  |
| 7 | 3.5.4; 7.1.5; 10.1 | 2 | 3.2.3 |
| 8 | 7.5.1; 8.2 | 5 | 3.2.3 |
| 9 | $12.5 .2(2 \times)$ | 6 | 7.1.4 |
| 10 | 11.5 | 7 | 9.3 |
| 13 | 12.5.10 | 8 | 12.1.2 |
|  |  | 10 | 11.11 |
| Text 39 |  | 13 | 13.1.1; 13.4.1 |
| 1 12.5.4; 13.4.1; 13.5.1.1 |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad 2.1 .4 ; 7.5 ; 7.5 ; 8.16 ; 8.18$; 11.1; 13.4.1 |  | Text 42b |  |
| 3 | 7.4.16; 7.5; 8.3; 13.5.3.2 | $8 \quad 3.2 .3$ |  |
| 4 | 4.3.1; 13.5.3.3 | 10 | 10.1 |
| 5 8.9; 8.18; 13.5.1.1 |  |  |  |
| 6 | $11.5 ; 13.5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ | Text 43 |  |
| 7 | 3.3; 11.7; 13.2.4 | 10 | 8.3 |
| 8 | 7.5.1; 9.3; 12.2.1; 12.5.3 | 17 | 7.1.3 |
| 10 12.5.4; 12.5.18; 13.1 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 7.1.6; 8.24 | Text 45 |  |
| 12 | 3.5.2; 12.5.4 | 1 | 8.26 |
|  |  | 2 | 8; 13.1; 13.2.1; 13.4.1 |
| Text 40 |  | 3 | 7.2; 8.13; 13.1.1; 13.4.1 |
| 1 | 13.3 .2 | 7 | 7.2 |
| 3 | 8.18; $11.8(2 \times$ ) | 8 | 8.13 |
| 4 | 2.1.9; 8.18 | 9 | 3.5.5; 7.1.3 |
| 9 | $7 \cdot 5$ | 11 | 3.1; 7.1.10.2 |
| 15 | 3.6; 7.1.3; 11.6 | 12 | 7.5 |
| 16 | 12.5.18; $13.1(2 \times$ ) | 13 | 2.1.4; 8.20 |
|  |  | 17 | 12.1.5; 13.5.1.1 |
| Text 41 |  | 18 | 3.1; 7.1.3; 13.5.1.1; 13.5.3.2 |
| 2 | 3.8.1; 7.5; 8.11; 8.18; 11.6; 12.5.3; | 19 | 13.5.3.2 |
|  | 13.4.1; 13.4.2 | 20 | 3.4; 8.22; 13.1; 13.1.1; 13.2.2, n. 2 |
| 3 | 3.5.2; 8.10; 8.14; 8.18; 9.6 ( $2 \times$; |  |  |
|  | 10.5; 13.2.3; 13.3.1 | Text 46 |  |
| 4 | 3.8.3; 8.18, n. 16; 8.20; 8.26; 13.4 .2 | 1 | 5.5.4; 7.1.7; 7.5; 8.11; 9.6; 12.5.4; |
| 6 | $3 \cdot 3$ |  | 13.1.1 |


| 6.4.2, n. 40; 8.1 | Text 49 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 3.8.1; 13.2.1 | 1 8.16; 12.5.14 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 4 11.5; 12.5.4; 13.1; 13.2.1; 13.2.2 | 3 3.8.5; 7.1.2; 12.5.11; 13.5.3.3 |
| $5 \quad 7.1 .4 ; 12.5 .4 ; 13.4 .1$ | 4 3.1; 4.1; 9.1.1 |
| 6 6.4.2, n. 40; 13.1.1; 13.4.1 | 5 2.3; 3.8.1; 7.1.7; 8.6 |
| 7 3.2.1; 3.6; 8.11; 9.4 | 6 8.4;13.2.4 |
| 8 2.1.3; 3.2.3; 8.1; 8.18 | 8 7.4.8, n. 25; 12.4 |
| 9 3.4; 7.1.3; 9.4; 9.6; 12.1.5; 12.3 (2×); | 9 2.1.9; 8.1; 12.5.4 |
| 12.4; 13.5.2.1 | 10 7.1.2; 13.2.4 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.2.5 |
| $10 \quad 12.4 ; 12.5 \cdot 4(2 \times)$ | 11 3.3; 7.3; $8.8(2 \times) ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 2.2$ |
| 11 3.4; 4.6; 11.7; $13.1(2 \times$ ) | 12 13.5.3.2 (2×) |
| 12 4.4, n. 20; 5.5.1; 7.5.3; 12.5.4; | 13 7.1.4; 12.5.6 |
| 12.5.17 | 14 7.5;8.8 |
| 15 2.1.2; 3.2.3; 5.5.4; 7.4.8; 8.16 | 15 7.1.2; 7.2 |
| $16 \quad 9.4$ | 18 7.1.6; 8.11; 13.2.1 |
| $17 \quad 3.2 .3$ | 19 8.16; 13.5.3.4 |
| 18 7.3; 8.1; 13.3.1.1 | 20 5.4;8.4 |
|  | 21 7.1.10.1; 7.3 |
| Text 47 | 23 7.1.10.1; 7.5 |
| $2 \quad 12.5 .14$ | 26 7.1.6; 8.8 |
| 3 7.1.4; 7.2 | 27 5.1; 7.5 |
| 5 3.8.1; 8.4; 8.18; 8.24 | 28 2.1.10; 3.1; 7.1.10.1 ( $2 \times$; 8.2; 8.14, |
| 6 2.1.9; 7.1.10.2 (2×); 8; 8.16; 13.5.3.3 | n. 14; 11.5 |
| $7 \quad 8.6$ ( $2 \times$ ); 12.5.4; 13.4.1 | $31 \quad 2.1 .9 ; 7.2 ; 8.2 ; 9.3 ; 13.5 .2 .2$ |
| $13 \quad 7.1 .6$ | 32 7.1.3; 8.16; 8.23; 11.4; 12.5.4; 13.4.1 |
|  | 33 7.1.2; 13.4.3 |
| Text 48 | 34 3.6; 7.1.3; 13.5.2.5 |
| 3 2.1.2; 3.2.3; 8.3; 12.5.14 | 35 7.1.4; 7.1.10.2; 7.4.16; 12.5.17; |
| $4 \quad 4.3 .2$ | 13.5.1.1 (4×) |
| $6 \quad 2.1 .6 ; 8.18$ |  |
| $7 \quad 4.4$, n. 20 | Text 50 |
| $9 \quad 2.1 .10$ | 2 7.1.3; 12.5.6; 13.5.3.4 |
| 11 7.1.7 | 5 6.4.4, n. 44; 8.8; 13.2.4 |
| 13 2.1.6; 8.26 | 6 7.1.10.1; 8.14 |
| 14 7.3; 8.1; 8.26 | 8 7.1.10.1; 7.5 |
| 15 8.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | $9 \quad 7.2$ |
| 18 8.3; 10.1; 12.5.11 | $10 \quad 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ |
| 20 2.1.10; 5.5.4; $13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ |  |


| Text 51 |  | 7 | 5.1; 12.1.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8.6 | 8 | 5.4;8.4 |
| 2 | 7.1.7; 7.5.3; 12.5.4; 13.3.2; 13.4.1, | 9 | 3.3; 5.5.1 |
|  | n. 8 | 10 | 7.1.6; 13.5-3.5 |
| 3 | 2.1.9; 8.6 | 11 | 13.5.2.2 |
| 4 | 13.5.2.3 | 12 | 12.5.17; 13.3.2 |
| 5 | 7.5.2; 8.13; 13.1.1 |  |  |
| 67 | 8.6 | Text 54 |  |
|  | 3.8.3; 7.1.10.1; 8.8; 13.5.3.4 | 1 | 3.5.1; 3.6; 8.30; 13.2.1; $13.3 .1(2 \times$ ) |
| 8 | 7.1.3; 8.6; 9.1.1 | 2 | 3.5.1; 5.1; 7.1.2; 7.4.16; 8.12; 12.1.1; |
| 9 | 7.1.3 |  | 12.5.11; 13.2.1; 13.5.1.1; 13.5.2.4 |
| 10 | 12.5.4; 13.2 .2 | 3 | 5.4; 8.12; 12.1.5; 13.2.6; 13.4 .1 |
| 12 | 4.3.2, n. 9; $7 \cdot 3$ | 4 | 3.1; 3.5.1; 4.6; 5.4 |
| 13 | 3.8.3; 7.1.3; 7.5; 13.5.2.3 | 5 | 3.1; 4.6; 12.5.17 |
| 16 | 8.11; 13.5.2.2 | 6 | 3.3; 8.11; 13.3.3 |
| 19 | 5.5.4; 12.4 | 7 | 7.5; 8.13 |
| 20 | 5.5.4 | 8 | 3.5.3; 9.4 |
| 22 | 2.1.9 | 9 | 12.1.5 |
|  |  | 10 | 7.4.8, n. 32; 7.5; 8.11 |
| Text $5^{2}$ |  | 13 | 3.8.5; 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 1 | 3.5.3; 5.5.2; 12.4; 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$; | 14 | 7.1.7 |
|  | 13.5.2.1 | 15 | 3.1; 11.5 |
| 2 | 3.5.4; 4.3.1; 5.1; 7.5.3; 8.8 | 16 | 2.1.4; 3.4; 10.1; 12.1.5 |
| 3 | 13.2.3 | 17 | 3.6; 5.5.4; 8.6 |
| 4 | 7.1.4; 12.5.13 | 18 | 7.1.3 |
| 5 | 5.5.1; $7.2(2 \times) ; 8.3 ; 8.11 ; 13.5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ | 19 | 7.1.6 |
|  | $(2 \times)$ | 20 | 3.1; 9.3, n. 5; 9.4; 13.1 |
| 6 | 3.4; 5.5.5; 12.5 .13 | 21 | $7 \cdot 5$ |
| 7 | 5.5.5; $7.3(2 \times) ; 8.8 ; 8.9 ; 12.5 .13$ | 22 | 11.7; 13.1 |
| 8 | 2.1.4; 4.3.1; 11.9; 12.5.10 | 23 | 4.3.2 $(2 \times)$; 7.1.6; $10.1(2 \times)$ |
| 9 | 3.4;7.5; 11.2; 11.5 | 24 | 3.4 |
| 10 | 3.4; 3.5.2; 8; 8.12; 9.1.1 | 25 | 8.20; 13.5.3.2 |
| 11 | 7.1.3; 7.5.3; 11.11 | 26 | 9.4; 13.1; 13.2.1 |
|  |  | 27 | 5.1; $8.25(2 \times) ; 13.1 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 3(2 \times)$ |
| Text 53 |  | 29 | 8.1 |
| 1 | 3.1; 3.7; 5.5.6; 8.6; 12.5.14; 13.3.2 | 30 | $7 \cdot 5$ |
| 2 | 13.3.2 | 31 | 12.4 |
| 4 | 3.3; 7.1.10.2 | 32 | 3.5.1; 7.1.2; 8.3 |
| 5 | 8.3; 12.1.4; 13.2.3 | 33 | 3.8.1; 8.13; 8.28 |
| 6 | 8.11; 13.3.4 | 34 | 3.8.1; 11.1 |


| 36 3.8.2; 8.10 |  | 10; 13.2.2; 13.5.1.1; 13.5.3.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 3.5.3; 3.8.1; 3.8.2; 4.6; 13.2.1 | 9 | 2.1.2; 7.3; 8.16 |
| 38 4.1; 5.3; 7.1.10.2 | 11 | 8.16; 13.1.1; 13.2.2; 13.4.1 |
| 39 3.4;8.18 | 12 | 3.3 |
| $40 \quad 3.4$ | 14 | 3.2.3; 8.8; 8.8, n. 6; 8.16 |
| 41 8;12.3 | 15 | 3.2.3; 7.2 (2×); 8.8; 8.22; 13.1; |
| $43 \quad 12.5 \cdot 7$ |  | 13.5.1.1 |
| 448.4 | 16 | 7.1.10.2; 11.7; 13.2.2; 13.5.1 |
|  | 17 | 7.1.9; 11.5; 13.4.1 |
| Text 55 | 18 | 4.4, n. 20; 8.18; 13.2 . |
| 8.26 | 19 | 7.4.8, n. 33; 7.5.1; 8.26 |
| 2 11.2; 12.1.5 | 20 | 7.1.4; 7.1.9; 7.2 (2×); 8.8; 13.2.4; |
| 3 7.2;11.6 |  | 13.5.1.1 |
| 7.1.10.1 | 21 | 7.1.3; 13.4.1 |
| $6 \quad 3 \cdot 3$ | 22 | 7.1.4; 7.5; 8.28; 12.5.9; 13.2.1; |
| 7 7.1.8; 13.4.1 |  | 13.5.3.5 |
|  | 23 | 7.1.10.2 (2×); 7.4.16; 7.5.1; 13.5.1.1; |
| Text 57 |  | 13.5.3.2 |
| 8.8 | 24 | 2.1.3; 2.3; 4.6; 7.1.2 |
| 7.1.3 | 25 | 3.8.1; 7.1.3; 7.1.7; 8.11; 12.5.8 |
| $3 \quad 7.1 .6$ | 26 | 2.1.3; 12.1.5; 12.5.4; 13.4.1 |
| $5 \quad 7.5$ | 28 | 3.8.2; 7.1.10.1; 8.16; 13.3.1 |
| $6 \quad 13.2 .1$ | 29 | 3.8.1 |
| 7 4.6, n. 24; 11.2; 12.5.4 (3×) | 30 | 3.5.2; 12.5.8 |
| $8 \quad 7.1 .7$ | 32 | 3.5.1; 3.5.2 (2×); 7.1.3; 8.18; 12.1.5; |
| $11 \quad 12.5 \cdot 5(2 \times)$ |  | 12.1.5, n. 1 |
| $12 \quad 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ | 33 | 6.4.2, n. 40; 8.18; 13.2 .1 |
| 13 7.1.3 | 34 | 12.2.1 |
| 147.5 | 35 | 4.3.1; 7.1.4; 7.1.10.1; 7.3; 8.13; 13.2.3; |
| 15 5.1; 7.1.6; 7.1.10.2; 13.4.3 (2x); |  | 13.3.1.2 |
| 13.5.2.3 (2×) | 36 | 8.18; 8.29; 13.5.2.3 |
|  | 37 | 2.1.3, n. 8; 2.1.4; 3.5.1; 3.5.2; 8.9 |
| Text 60 | 38 | 7.5; 12.1.2; 13.2.2 |
| 4.6; 7.5.2; 8.11; 12.4 | 39 | 7.5.3; 12.5.19 |
| 5.1; 7.3; 12.5.19 | 40 | 7.1.4; 8.13; 12.5.19 |
| 3 2.1.3; 7.2; 10.1 | 41 | 3.8.5; 4.5; 8.8; $13.5 \cdot 3.5$ |
| 4 3.8.1; 7.1.2; 7.5.3; 8.6; 9.3 ( $2 \times$ ) | 42 | 3.2.1; 8.8; 8.16; 8.25; 10.1; 12.5.18; |
| $5 \quad 7.5 ; 8.20(2 \times)$ |  | 13.4.2 (2×); 13.5.1 |
| 6 7.1.3 (2×); 8.20; 13.2.2; 13.5.3.1 | 43 | 2.3; 3.6; 3.7; 6.2, n. 20; $13.4 .2(2 \times$ ) |
| 8 2.1.6; 4.4, n. 20; 7.4.16; 8.4; 8.18; | 44 | 2.1.10; 13.1.1 |


| 45 | 3.4; 3.8.1; 8.13; 8.18; 11.2; $13.1(2 \times$; | 11 | 3.2.3; 12.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13.3.1 | 12 | 13.5.3.2 |
| 46 | 3.1; 7.1.3; 13.5.1.1 ( $2 \times$ ) | 13 | 4.6; 7.1.10.1; 12.4 |
| 47 | 12.5 .9 | 14 | 13.5.3.4 |
| 48 | 4.2 | 15 | 8.1; 8.24; 12.4 |
| 49 | 4.2 | 16 | 7.1.7 |
|  |  | 19 | 5.1; 9.1.1 |
| Tex | t 83 | 21 | 4.2; 9.1.2 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 ; 8.13 ; 8.30 ; 12.1 .1 ; 13.2 .1 ; 13.3 .1 \\ & (2 \times) \end{aligned}$ | 22 24 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.13 \\ & 2.1 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 3.3; 3.6; 7.1.2; 7.5.1, n. 61; 8.6; 8.8; | 25 | 7.1.10.2; 8.26 |
|  | 13.4.1 | 27 | 3.8.1; 8.10; 8.24; 13.5-3.4 |
| 3 | 5.5.1; 7.1.2; 7.2; 7.4.6; 8.8; 8.25; | 28 | 4.6; 7.1.6 |
|  | 9.1.1; 13.5.2.4; 13.5.3.1 | 29 | 2.1.10; 7.1.10.2 |
| 4 | 3.6; 7.2; 11.1; 13.2.4 | 30 | 12.1.2; 12.2.1 |
| 6 | 3.8.1; 7.3 ( $2 \times$ ); 8.10; 8.16; 9.1.1; | 31 | 3.5.1; $4.2(2 \times) ; 7.1 .6 ; 9.1 .2 ; 9.2$ |
|  | 12.5.3, n. 7; 12.5.10 ( $2 \times$ ) | 32 | 4.6; 9.1.2 (2x); 9.2; 9.3; 12.5.20 |
| 7 | 3.2.3; 7.1.5; 9.1.1; 12.4; 13.4.2 | 33 | 4.2; 5.1; 5.4; 12.4 ( $2 \times$ ) |
|  |  | 34 | 8.26 |
|  | t 86 | 35 | 3.2.3; 8.3 |
| 1 | 13.4.1; 13.4.2 | 36 | 3.8.3 |
| 2 | 13.4.1; 13.4.2 | 37 | 3.6; 7.1.3; 13.5.2.1; 13.5.3.1; 13.5.3.2 |
| 4 | 3.6 | 38 | 11.4 |
| 5 | 13.1.1; 13.3.4; 13.4.1 | 39 | 8.16 |
| 6 | 2.1.6; 8.23; 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.4.2 | 40 | 3.2.3 $(2 \times$; 7.1.1; 9.1.1 |
| 7 | 5.5.6; 13.2.5; 13.4.1 | 41 | 8.22; 13.5.3.4 |
| 8 | 3.2.3; 3.5.2 | 42 | 3.3 |
| 9 | 7.2; 13.5.3.1 | 43 | 8.8, n. 6; 8.9; 8.11 |
| 12 | 3.5.2 | 44 | 7.3; 7.4.16; 7.5.1; 12.5.18 |
|  |  | 46 | 5.1; 5.4; $12.4(2 \times)$ |
|  | t 97 | 48 | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| 1 | 8.6 | 49 | 3.2.3; 5.5.4; 7.5.2 |
| 2 | 11.5 | 51 | $3 \cdot 3$ |
| 3 | 3.1 | 52 | 7.1.6 |
| 4 | 2.1.9; 7.5.5 |  |  |
| 5 | 5.5.4 |  | t AKı |
| 6 | 3.2.3; 12.4 | 1 | 7-5.1 |
| 7 | 7.1.9; 9.1.1; 13.5.3.1 | 2 | 3.4; 7.1.4; 7.1.9 |
| 8 | 9.1.1 | 3 | 3.8.1; 7.1.10.1; 13.5.2.1 |
| 10 | 3.2.3; 8.8 | 4 | 3.3; 8.1; 9.1.4; 9.6; 12.4 |

## Text AK2

1 8.3; $10.1(2 \times)$
$2 \quad 5 \cdot 5.2$
3 3.4; 9.1.5; 11.9
4 3.4; 7.1.2; 8.1; 8.11
5 3.4 (2×); 7.1.2; 10.5
$6 \quad$ 7.1.10.2; 8.1
7 3.6; 7.1.2; 7.1.10.2; 11.5
$8 \quad 7.1 .9$
$103.4 ; 3.4$, n. 10; 8.16

## Text AK3

5 13.3.1.1
$6 \quad 13 \cdot 3 \cdot 1.1$
$9 \quad 7 \cdot 3$
$10 \quad 7 \cdot 3$
11 7.1.3

Text AK4
$8 \quad 3.4$
$9 \quad 11.2$
$10 \quad 13.2 .2$
$12 \quad 3.4(2 \mathrm{x})$
$16 \quad 9.1 .4$

## Text AMı

1 5.4; 8.18
$3 \quad 10.1(2 \times)$; 12.2.1
$4 \quad 11.6$
5 4.3.2;5.5.4; 7.1.7; 12.1.6; 12.5.8; 13.2.4

6 2.1.2; 3.3; $10.1(2 \times)$
$9 \quad 13.1$
11 2.1.3; 3.5.2; 7.1.6; 8.24; 10.1, n. 1

## Text Anon 1

5 3.4; 7.1.2
$6 \quad 3.4$

## Text FB1

1 3.5.2; 7.1.10.2; 7.4.2; 13.2.2; 13.5.2.2
2 4.3.2;5.3; 8.22 ( $2 \times$ ); 8.30; 9.1.1 $(2 \times)$

## Text Frı

3.8.1, n. 17; 7.1.10.1; 7.2; 9.1.2;
13.5.3.1

## Text Pr

$8 \quad 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$
16 3.8.1; 7.1.7
$54 \quad 13.2 .2$
$57 \quad 13.2 .2$
$87 \quad 5 \cdot 4$
101 3.8.1
114 12.5.4; 13.5.2.2
157 4.3.2; $7 \cdot 3$
161 7.1.3
17110.1

188 3.8.1, n. 16; 7.1.10, n. 9

## Text SB1

1 7.5;10.5
2 5.1; 7.1.7; 12.4; 13.1
3 3.8.1; 3.8.1, n. 17; 7.1.7; 8.6; 8.11; 8.13

4 3.5.2; 4.4; 7.1.3; 8.19 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.4.1 ( $2 \times$ ); 13.5.1
$5 \quad 3 \cdot 4$
6 3.2.3; 3.5.2; 7.1.4; 9.2; 13.3.2
7 8.7;13.1.1

## Text SB2

1 8.12; 9.1.1; 13.2.1; 13.3.3
2 3.2.3; 10.5; 13.1.1; 13.2.2
3 6.4.2, n. 40; 7.2; 7.5 (2×); 8.11; 11.6; $12.3(2 \times) ; 13.5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5(2 \times)$

4 4.4; 6.4.2, n. 40; 8.12; 13.2.4
5 13.3.1.1

| Text SB2 (cont.) | $82 \quad 11.9$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 2.1.4; 3.8.4; 8.8; 8.16 | $83 \quad 7.2$ |
| 7 7.1.4; 11.2; 12.2; 12.5.2 | 85 13.3, n. 4 |
|  | 95 4.3.2; 4.5 |
| Text TJ1 | 10011.10 |
| 1 7.5.4 | 1043.3 |
| 5 8.27;8.30 | 106 7.1.9 |
| 68.8 | 109 13.4.3 |
|  | 1108.21 |
| Text TJ2 | 112 11.4; 13.5.4 |
| 2 8.2; 13.4.2 | 1148.3 |
| $6 \quad 13.4 .2$ | 1174.5 |
| $8 \quad 12.5 \cdot 3$ | 12011.2 |
| $9 \quad 13.4 .2$ | 121 2.1.5 |
| 11 7.3; 13.4.2 $(2 \times)$ | 126 5.5.6 |
| 197.2 | 128 13.5.4 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}21 & 13.3 .4\end{array}$ |  |
| $22 \quad 13.5 \cdot 4$ | Text TJ3 |
| 248.21 | 38.1 |
| 25 8.14; 13.4 .2 | 5 8.1; 13.5.3.2 |
| 368.19 | $9 \quad 3.6$ |
| $37 \quad 7.2$ | $10 \quad 8.24$ |
| 398.19 | 189.4 |
| 41 5.5.2; 8.19 | 198.1 |
| 42 2.1.4; 5.5.6; 8.6 | 26 2.1.2; 4.2; 13.5.3.4, n. 20 |
| 43 9.1.1 | $27 \quad 12.5 \cdot 4$ |
| 4411.9 |  |
| 5188.19 | Text TJ4 |
| 53 7.1.7 | 7.5 |
| 54 13.5.3.2 | $3 \quad 7.2 ; 13.5 \cdot 3.6$ |
| $57 \quad 13.2 .6$ | 4 8.24; 13.5.3.6 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 58 6.2.2 | $5 \quad 3.6 ; 12.5 \cdot 4$ |
| 59 4.3.1 | $6 \quad 11.7 ; 13.4 .1$ |
| 62 6.2.2 | 9 10.1; 13.5.3.4, n. 20 |
| $63 \quad 10.5$ | $10 \quad 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$ |
| 64 8.23; 11.2 | 12 7.2; 8.11; 12.1.6; 13.5.3.6 |
| $70 \quad 8.21$ | $13 \quad 8.21$ |
| $75 \quad 13.4 .2$ | 14 9.5; 13.5.3.7 |
| 76 7.4.3; $11.8(2 \times)$ | $15 \quad 8.17$ |
| 808.18 | $17 \quad 8.19$ ( $2 \times$ ); 13.5•3.7 |


| 21 | 8.1; 13.5.3.7 | $5888.19(2 \times)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 8.9;8.30 | $598.20(2 \times) ; 8.30$ |
| 23 | 7.1.2 | $60 \quad 3.2 .3$ |
| 24 | 7.1.9 | $61 \quad 3.6$ |
| 25 | 8.30; 13.5.3.6 | 628.18 |
| 26 | 3.6; 8.1; 8.5; 13.5.3.3 | 63 13.5.3.4, n. 20 |
| 27 | 12.5.14; 13.5.3.3 | 64 4.3.2 |
| 28 | 13.5.3.3 | 65 12.5.12; 13.5.3.6 |
| 29 | 12.1.3 | 66 13.5.3.4; 13.5.3.4, n. 20 |
| 30 | 13.5.3.3 | 68 7.2 |
| 31 | 13.5.3.6 | 698.4 |
| 32 | 13.5.3.3 | 708.4 |
| 33 | 3.5.2 | $71 \quad 11.10$ |
| 34 | 12.5.4 | 728.26 |
| 35 | 3.1; 12.5.4 | $74 \quad 7.2$ |
| 36 | 7.5 | $75 \quad 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 1.1$ |
| 37 | $9.3 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4$, n. 20 | 76 8.1; 12.5.2 ( $2 \times$ ) |
| 38 | 8.3 | $82 \quad 8.26$ |
| 41 | 8.9 | 85 3.6; 13.5.3.6 |
| 42 | 13.5.3.6 | 87 4.6, n. 23; 7.1.7; 10.1 |
| 43 | 13.2.7 | 89 12.5.20 |
| 44 | 3.5.2 | 91 3.6;8.23 |
| 45 | $12.5 .2(2 \times)$ | 928.1 |
| 49 | 3.6; 13.5.3.6 | 938.1 |
| 50 | 3.5.2; 12.1.3; 12.5.3 |  |
| 51 | 13.5.3.6 | Text TJ5 |
| 52 | 13.5.3.4, n. 20 | 1 12.5.2 |
| 53 | 3.6 | 27.5 |
| 56 | 13.1 | $7 \quad 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3.6$ |
| 57 | 3.6;6.2.2 | 8 8.3;12.5.5 |

## INDEX OF SELECT JIBBALI WORDS

Most items can be found using the Table of Contents, but for those grammatical particles that do not merit an independent section of the grammar, or those which are treated in more than one section, it is convenient to have a separate index. Most of the words treated in only one place (such as many of the particles in Chapters 8 and 12) are not listed below.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ - $($ see $\partial$ - $)$
عd
preposition, 8.1
purpose clause, 13.5.2.4
temporal conjunction, 13.5•3.4
in, 3.8.4

عnúf ( $\varepsilon$ nfóf ), 3.6
$\boldsymbol{i z} \boldsymbol{z}, 3.8 .1$
'od, $12.5 \cdot 3$
negative ol-'od, 13.2.4
auxiliary $d$-'sd, 7.3
(')ar
in comparatives, 5.4
particle, 12.5.4
preposition, 8.4
ar hér, 12.5-4; 13.4.1
axá, 8.12
axér, 5.4

## $b$ -

conjunction, 12.1.1
for 'have', 13.3.2
phonetic issues, 2.1.2; 2.1.4; 2.1.5
preposition, 8.6
purpose clause, 13.5.2.5
$b a^{〔}, 4.6$
ba-fló (see făló)
baḥs's, 2.1.5; 3.6
bén, 8.20
bar, 4.6
ber, 7.1.4; 7.2; 13.5.3.1; 13.5•3.2; 13.5.3.3;

$$
13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 ; 13 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 6
$$

$b \bar{e}, 2.1 .5 ; 10.5$
bíyya, 10.5
d-(see д-)
dé, 3.5.1
(see also under kol)

| $\boldsymbol{d}$-'od (see under ' $\mathrm{s}^{\text {d }}$ ) | kol, 5.5.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | kol in, 3.8.4 |
| $\partial$-(also sometimes $\boldsymbol{d}$-, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$-) | kol dé, 3.5.1 |
| complementizer, 13.5.1.1 | kol tatat, 3.5-3 |
| genitive exponent, 12.4 | kol $\varepsilon$-/Д-, 3.8.2 |
| relative pronoun, 3.8.1 | kol séé, 3.5-4 |
| verbal prefix, 7.1.10 (see also under $\mathbf{k o l}$ ) | kam, 11.9 |
| (ә) $\partial, 13.4 .2$ | kun |
|  | as a copula, 13.1.1 |
| faló (and ba-fló), 12.1.4; 12.5.8 | with 'od, 12.5.3 |
| (al-)faláni(yyz), 3.5.5 | with compound tenses, 7.1.9 with conditionals (Әə kun), 13.4.2 |
| fóno, 7.1.4, n. 4.; 10.3; 12.5.11, n. 14 | $k \varepsilon t, 11.5$ |
| hel | kayós, 12.5.20 |
| conditional, 13.4.1 |  |
| temporal, 13-5•3.3 | $l-$ |
|  | for 'have', 13.3.3 |
| her | preposition, 8.11; 8.16 |
| ar hér, 12.5.4, 13.4.1 |  |
| conditional, 13.4.1 | $l$-agére |
| for 'have', 13.3.4 | preposition, 8.29 |
| preposition, 8.11; 8.16; 11.4 | purpose clause, 13.5.2.3 |
| purpose clause, 13.5.2.2 |  |
| temporal, 13.5.3.3 | alhín, 3.8.3 |
| hes (also al-hés) | al-hés (see under hes) |
| preposition, 8.12 |  |
| temporal conjunction, 13.5.3.5 | l-ín, 13.5.4 |
| hánúf (hánfóf ), 3.6; 8.11, n. 10 | l-íné, 11.4; 13.5.4 |
| $k$ - | lob, 12.2.1 |
| for 'have', 13.3.1 |  |
| preposition, 8.13 | ma, 13.2.8 |
| $k \varepsilon l, 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 4$ | mad, 13.5-3.1 |

mid, 13.5•3.1

## mən

in compound prepositions, 8.3; 8.8;
8.10; 8.19; 8.20; 8.21; 8.22; 8.26
interrogative íné mən, 11.3
conjunction, 12.1.4
negative, 13.2.7
partitive, $3.5 \cdot 1 ; 3.5 \cdot 2 ; 3.5 \cdot 3 ; 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 2 ; 8.18$;
9.1.1; 11.1
preposition, 8.18
quantifier, 5.5.2
man hés, 13.5.3.5
man kun, 12.5.14
mon śun, 8.29
man tél
relative, 3.8.5
preposition, 8.26
monné, 11.2
mit
interrogative, 11.8
temporal conjunction, 13.5•3.1
mit, 12.1. 6
compound miṭ-íné, 5•5•7
$o b, 12.2 .1$
śun (see man śun)
s̃éf, 7.4.16
sérék, 7.4.10

## $t$ -

element of reciprocals, 3.7
phonetic issues, 2.1.9; 2.1.10
pronominal d.o. marker, 3.3
$t \varepsilon$
as a Mehrism, 12.5•3•5
(ə)thúmk, 7.4.16; 12.5.18; 13.5.1; 13.5.1.1
tel, 8.26
(see also man tél)
tob, $12.5 \cdot 4$
tol, 8.26
taw, 12.5.19
tatt- (and tettt-), 3.7
w-, 1.3; 12.1.1

## $y a$

conjunction, 12.1. 6
exclamation, 12.2
vocative, 12.3
ya rét, 7.1.3; 12.2
yдm, 13.5•3.7
yakól, 7.4.16; 13.5.1.1
zeyd, 13.2.5


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The island of Al-Ḥallaniya is home to about 350 fishermen and their families, though most move onto the mainland during the summer monsoon (mid-June to mid-September).
    ${ }^{2}$ Overviews of the MSA languages and dialects can be found in Johnstone (1975), Lonnet (1985; 1994a; 2006), and Simeone-Senelle (1997; 2011).
    ${ }^{3}$ This is from an external, linguistic point of view. From the point of view of the speech communities themselves, Ḥarsusi and Baṭhari are considered distinct from Mehri.
    ${ }^{4}$ The name Fresnel was made famous by his brother Augustin-Jean (1788-1827), one of the founders of the theory of wave optics. The Rue Fresnel in Paris, just across the Seine from the Eiffel Tower, was named for Augustin-Jean.

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Fresnel (1838a; 1838b; 1838c). The verb paradigms can be found in 1838 b : 80-81; 1838c: 566-567, and the biblical verse in 1838 b: $82-83$. I have also reproduced the biblical verse in the texts included in the second part of this volume (text Frı).
    ${ }^{6}$ Wellsted (1835a) contains the first notice of Soqoṭri, though it appears Wellsted falsely claimed credit for collecting at least some of this data (see Haines 1845: 110). Wellsted briefly discusses the language on pp. 211-212 of his work, and a word-list appears on pp. 220-229. Much of this data, with abundant printing errors, is found also in Wellsted (1835b: 165-166). The first published information on Mehri, a list of about three dozen words, was also supplied by Wellsted (1840: 26-27). Harsusi, Bațḥari, and Hobyot were not known to Europeans until the 20th century.
    ${ }^{7}$ Discussion of classification appears in Fresnel (1838a: 513-515; 1838b).
    8 The relevant portion of Gesenius' study can be found on pp. 369-375 of the original version, and on pp. 3-11 of the offprint edition.
    ${ }^{9}$ Leslau (1947b) and Rubin (2014) provide a detailed analysis of Hulton's word-list. On Hulton's trip, see also Hulton (1836; 1841).

[^2]:    ${ }^{10}$ Krapf is well known for his work on East African languages, particularly Swahili. He got his data on Jibbali and Mehri from an informant in East Africa, probably in or near Mombasa, where Krapf lived for a time, and which was then under Omani rule.
    ${ }^{11}$ See Leslau (1946) for a complete bibliography of MSA studies until 1945 .
    ${ }^{12}$ On the background of these expeditions, see the accounts of Landberg (1899) and Müller (1899), as well as Macro (1993) and Sturm (2011). For the study of the languages, the information about the expeditions provided in the introductions to the text editions are much more relevant.
    ${ }^{13}$ Though Müller records no complaints, his student and colleague Nikolaus Rhodokanakis (1876-1945), who used Muḥammad as an informant for the Arabic dialect of the Dhofar, complained about him at length (Rhodokanakis 1908: v-vi). He called him, among other things, a bad explainer ("ein schlechter Erklärer"), impatient ("ungeduldig"), and arrogant ("hochmütig"). On this informant, see also Davey (2013: 29-32).

[^3]:    ${ }^{14}$ A study of Thomas's data was made by Leslau (1947a).
    15 Thomas (1932) is a fascinating account of his travels in Arabia, including his time among speakers of Modern South Arabian languages. Interestingly, Johnstone's Jibbali text 54 is similar to a story that Thomas recounts on pp. 246-251. Other stories recorded by Thomas have parallels in Johnstone's Mehri material (see Rubin 2010: 3, n. 11).

[^4]:    ${ }^{16}$ Fresnel (1838b: 82) mentioned the existence of a definite article $a$-, but this seems to have been forgotten or disregarded.
    ${ }^{17}$ Salim Bakhit later was listed as co-author in an article on Jibbali verbs: Hayward, Hayward, and Bakhït (1988), and also published a useful article on Omani tribal structures (1982).

[^5]:    ${ }^{18}$ I received this excellent new resource just about two weeks before this volume went to press.
    ${ }^{19}$ Dr. Hofstede's thesis is available for download via the British Library's EthOS service, http://ethos.bl.uk/.
    ${ }^{20}$ Miller and Morris also provide a nice introduction to the topography of the Dhofar, as well as its climate and various cultural items.

[^6]:    ${ }^{21}$ Edzard (2013) is a short study of some of this Jibbali material, with transcriptions in Roman characters.
    ${ }^{22}$ I received copies of Al-Shahri's and Al Aghbari's works just days before the present volume went to press, and Al-Mashani's work when this volume was already in the proofing stage.
    ${ }^{23}$ The adjective śḥعrí is sometimes also contrasted with ḳīli (< *kabíli) 'tribal' or ḳūli (var. ḳūźi) 'tribesman’; cf. Müller (1907: vii; 120, n. 2).
    ${ }^{24}$ Fresnel (1838b: 79, n. 2). Fresnel also discusses these two names elsewhere (1838a: 554).

[^7]:    ${ }^{25}$ Very useful overviews of the tribal structure of the Dhofar can be found in Bakhīt (1982), Janzen (1986), Morris (1987), and Peterson (2004). Dostal (1975) also has some valuable information.
    ${ }^{26}$ The name, mentioned by Johnstone (1981: xi, 250), is recorded already by Glaser (1890: 96), though he transcribed it Seḥrât.

    27 Matthews (1969a: 43).
    28 Matthews (1969a: 43).
    ${ }^{29}$ Leslau (1938: 211); Lonnet (1994a: 40).
    ${ }^{30}$ Elsewhere, śherrí is contrasted with kielli. See also above, n. 23.

[^8]:    ${ }^{31}$ Matthews (1969a: 43). Cf. also Phillips (1966: 172).
    ${ }^{32}$ The three dialect groups correspond to the areas of the three mountain ranges Jabal al-Qamar (WJ), Jabal al-Qarā (CJ), and Jabal Samḥān (EJ).

[^9]:    ${ }^{33}$ Lonnet (2009) is a short study of the Arabic influence on MSA. A thorough study of the Arabic influence, both past and present, on Jibbali and the other MSA languages (or of MSA influence on Arabic) has never been attempted. At present this would not be easily done, since the Arabic dialects of the Dhofar (and elsewhere in Oman) have not been fully described. Rhodokanakis (1911) remains the primary published resource on Dhofari Arabic, though now there is the recent study of Davey (2013).

[^10]:    ${ }^{34}$ On the future particle and its history, see Rubin (2012b).
    ${ }^{35}$ For example, Bittner (1916a: 52) mentioned bas̃órtan 'young camels', to which we can compare bas̃órtə in $J L$ (s.v. $b k r$ ). For another example, see § 4.3.2, n. 8.
    ${ }^{36}$ The verb bélog does occur with the meaning 'reach' in Johnstone's text TJ1:4, but this was based on a text from Müller. Text TJı also contains the archaic (or dialectal?) verb maḥé 'pass'.
    ${ }^{37}$ Rubin (2010); Watson (2012).

[^11]:    ${ }^{38}$ On this development in Mehri, see Rubin (2007; 2012b).
    ${ }^{39}$ On the loss of $t$-, see Johnstone (1968; 1980), Testen (1992), and Voigt (2006). Both Johnstone (1980) and Testen note the importance of this feature in grouping these two languages. On feminine marking with the suffix -i, see Lonnet (2008).
    ${ }^{40}$ The existence of Hobyot, which has about a thousand speakers, was first mentioned by Johnstone (1981: xii), but until Nakano (2013), almost no data on the language had ever been published. Some data can also be found in Lonnet (1985), Arnold (1993), and Simeone-Senelle (1997; 2011).

[^12]:    ${ }^{41}$ For further discussion of the subgrouping of the Semitic languages, see Rubin (2008a) and Huehnergard and Rubin (2011).
    ${ }^{42}$ A minority of scholars, most notably David Cohen and Antoine Lonnet, have suggested that the MSA imperfective form (e.g., Jibbali yaḳódər, Mehri yakūtab) does in fact stem from yaqtulu, and not from the Proto-Semitic *yVqattVl; cf. Cohen (1974; 1984: 68-75) and Lonnet (2005: 187-188). See Goldenberg (1977: 475-477; 1979) for an argument against this scenario.

[^13]:    ${ }^{43}$ Although many scholars made such a connection with accompanying doubts, it was the short article of Porkhomovsky (1997) that has been most influential in disproving this assumption.
    ${ }^{44}$ Nebes (1994). Nebes also provides discussion of the history of the debate.
    ${ }^{45}$ Huehnergard (2005).
    ${ }^{46}$ This isogloss may be misleading, since the preposition is $h$ - in Mehri, but her in Jibbali (with the base $h$ - used mainly before suffixes) and often also in Hobyot.
    ${ }^{47}$ Noteworthy isoglosses can also be cited for MSA and other OSA languages. For example, the MSA word for 'one' that is reflected in Jibbali țad is found outside of MSA only in Qatabanic ( $t d$ ). But this word is probably connected etymologically to the common Semitic root *’hd/wḥd. See also § 9.1.1, n. 2.

[^14]:    ${ }^{48}$ Beeston (1984: 68). Note that the interdentals and dental/alveolar stops have fallen together in the western Yemeni of Mehri and in Soqotri (i.e., $\underline{t}>t$ and $\partial>d$ ), but this is an internal development.
    ${ }^{49}$ For further on this issue, see Rubin (2008a).
    ${ }^{50}$ His papers are now held at Durham University Library Special Collections. His Jibbali texts are found mainly in Box 5, Files A, B, and D. See further details in the introduction to § 15 .

[^15]:    ${ }^{51}$ This connection was made possible through the kind assistance of Sabrina Bendjaballah and Philippe Ségéral. Adnan is also a Mehri speaker, and worked mainly with the French researchers on Mehri.
    ${ }^{52}$ Ali was uncertain about his exact age.

[^16]:    ${ }^{53}$ This manuscript, entitled "The reliability of the SAE [= Südarabische Expedition] publications on the MSA languages", is in the possession of A. Lonnet, who very kindly allowed me to borrow it for study in 2009.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ In my grammar of Mehri (Rubin 2010), I used the symbol z for the glottalic counterpart of ś, following Johnstone's publications. So the symbol ź in my Mehri grammar corresponds to the symbol $\underset{z}{z}$ in this book.
    ${ }^{2}$ The symbol $s ̣$ may be preferable to $\underset{z}{z}$, since $\underset{\sim}{z}$ does not make it clear that this phoneme is the glottalic counterpart of ś. I have used ź to make it easier to use Johnstone's lexicon, which uses ź.

[^18]:    ${ }^{3}$ Fresnel (1838b: 84) refers to these consonants as "lettres crachées". On this same page, Fresnel also notes that the pronunciation of ś results in "une contorsion qui détruit la symétrie du visage", and that in general the language is "horrible à entendre et à voir parler"!

[^19]:    ${ }^{4}$ For 'my dog', some speakers prefer the form kóbi, as discussed in §3.2.1.

[^20]:    ${ }^{5}$ However, the intervocalic $b$ is lost in the forms sélōhum 'their arms' (< séléb + -óhum, 4:1) and yirs̃ $\bar{\varepsilon} n<$ 'our riding-camels' (< yirs̃śb + - $\varepsilon$ n, 22:12). It is unclear why this is the case.
    
    ${ }^{6}$ There is an underlying vowel preceding the possessive suffixes, as described in §3.2.1.

[^21]:    ${ }^{7}$ In the form $\tilde{\imath} n d i k$, the expected initial $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is raised to $\tilde{\iota}$ on account of the following $n$; on this phenomenon, see §2.2.2.
    ${ }^{8}$ The verb émín may also be an example. See the discussion in the comment to text 60:37.

[^22]:    ${ }^{9}$ There is also an example of am-míh in $J L$ (s.v. $\left.n k l\right)$.
    ${ }^{10}$ See also the comment to text 4:1.

[^23]:    ${ }^{11}$ On the word $b \bar{e}$, discussed also in § 10.5, see the comments to texts 4:10 and SB1:1.

[^24]:    ${ }^{12}$ The vowel $o$ seems to be used in the presence of a labial or labiodental consonant.
    ${ }^{13}$ Already Fresnel (1838c: 541) noted the loss of $l$ in this word.

[^25]:    14 The adjective tofún, though obviously connected with the verb telf 'be hungry, starving' is probably so common that it is not thought of as a derived agentive form like most nouns or adjectives in -ún. The noun sutún, though it does contain the same historical suffix ( ${ }^{*}-\bar{a} n$ ) is a borrowing, rather than a derived form, and so also is not treated like other nouns in -ún.

[^26]:    ${ }^{15}$ This happened in the the other Modern South Arabian languages, as well. See further in Testen (1998).

[^27]:    ${ }^{16}$ See further in Johnstone (1968; 198ob).
    17 This idea comes from Testen (1992), who offers a very convincing explanation of this phenomenon.

[^28]:    ${ }^{18}$ On the supposed form hérémíti 'trees', with three stressed syllables, see §4.3.2, n. 10 .

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many Yemeni Mehri dialects do distinguish 2ms hēt and 2fs hēt (Watson 2012: 66), but not, for example, the Mehri of Qishn.
    ${ }^{2}$ Both forms are attested by other researchers. Müller (e.g., 1907: 66, text 16:19) and Thomas (1937:15) record the shorter form, while Nakano (1986:151) has the longer one. Most of my own informants accept both forms.

[^30]:    ${ }^{3}$ For example, two informants used díréhzmi 'my money'. From the word $k \supset b$ 'dog, wolf', most younger informants preferred kóbi 'my dog', kóbək 'your dog', etc., though some accepted

[^31]:    $k o ́ i, k \bar{\jmath} k$, etc. One informant preferred $k \jmath b k$ because $k \bar{\jmath} k$ can also mean 'your dung', from $k \bar{\jmath}$ '(animal) dung'. In general, however, such lexical considerations do not affect the elision of $b$ or $m$.

[^32]:    ${ }^{4}$ For geminate verbs in some derived stems, as with this $\check{S}_{1}$-Stem, the shift in the base is the result of the change ${ }^{*} C_{2} \mathcal{C}_{2} \dot{V}>C_{2} C_{2} \bar{V}$, discussed also in $\S$ 2.1.11 and $\S 7$ 7.4.14.
    ${ }^{5}$ This form is also sometimes realized yázməš.

[^33]:    ${ }^{6}$ The pseudo-preposition ta'míran-, which always takes a suffix, is also historically a conditional form with a suffix. See $\S 8.25$.

[^34]:    ${ }^{7}$ Cf. Soqoṭi tho, but Mehri tay or $t \bar{\imath}$ (depending on the dialect), Ḥarsusi táni, and Hobyot $t i$. The similarity of Jibbali and Soqoṭri here may reflect a shared innovation.

    8 Among Johnstone's personal papers, I found two index cards with this paradigm written out. On one card (Box 12E), he used tékan/tésan, while on the other (Box 13A) he used tókən/tósən. This tells us that the forms tékən and tésən are not typographical errors in $J L$, but not that they are necessarily correct for any one speaker. In another manuscript that includes a list of prepositions with suffixes (Box 15 E ), Johnstone also recorded tókan/tósan. 3 fp tósən also occurs in Müller's texts (1907:90, text 20:14). See the comment in Bittner (1916b: 47, n. 1).
    ${ }^{9}$ See Rubin (2011) on Mehri dialects, and Rubin (2010:37-41) on the distribution rules in Omani Mehri.

[^35]:    ${ }^{10}$ At least one young speaker (AK) claimed not to use this remote series, and used instead just two sets of demonstratives. The forms with $k$ did surface occasionally in his speech, however; see text AK2:10.

[^36]:    ${ }^{11}$ Mehri ' $\partial h ̣ \bar{a} d$, and so presumably Jibbali dé, come from the proto-West Semitic numeral *waḥad 'one'. The Modern South Arabian numeral *tad 'one (m.)' is almost certainly related to this root as well. See further in § 9.1.1.
    ${ }^{12}$ Informants accepted forms with and without elision.

[^37]:    ${ }^{13}$ The form hánúf derives from $h$ - + $\varepsilon n u ́ f$, with an unusual shift of $h$ to $h$. The element $h$ -

[^38]:    is used as the base of the preposition her before pronominal suffixes (§8.11), but cf. also the combination $h$-íné 'why? for what?' (§ 11.4).
    ${ }^{14}$ At least the first of these idioms is also known from Soqoṭri. An example can be found in Müller (1907: 53, text 13:8).

[^39]:    ${ }^{15}$ In the Mehri version of this story (text M3:19), we find a T-Stem for both occurrences. On the Mehri form, which is obscured in the printed edition, see Rubin (2010: 111, n. 16).

[^40]:    ${ }^{16}$ In Pr188, we also find relative $d$-. Among my informants, even those who used $d$-for the verbal prefix (§7.1.10) still used $\partial$-for the relative pronoun.
    ${ }^{17}$ There is just one example in Johnstone's texts (SB1:3); see also text Frı for another example. Examples can also be found in $J L$ (e.g., s.v. $\dot{g} n m, x t t^{\prime}$ ). Perhaps the lack of $i z^{\prime}-$ in Johnstone's texts from Ali Musallam (a Mehri speaker) is a Mehrism, though this is far from clear. My own informants do use $i z-$, but not regularly. In fast speech, it is very difficult to distinguish $\varepsilon$-, $\partial$-, and $i z-$.

[^41]:    18 This construction is found in Omani Mehri too, but I neglected to comment on it in my grammar of Mehri. Discussion with examples can be found in Watson (2012: 162). Additional Mehri examples can be found in Johnstone's Mehri texts 92:2, 94:4, and 94:29.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ These two dual forms are still known, but are not common. The singular noun ġazét 'girl' (defined in $J L$, s.v. $\dot{g} y g$, as 'big girl') is itself not known in all dialects, and so where $\dot{g} a z z e ́ t$ is unknown, so is its dual. It is used at least in WJ. The equivalent (or near equivalent) $\dot{g} a b g o ́ t$ 'girl' seems to be common to all dialects.

[^43]:    ${ }^{2}$ In ( $\varepsilon$ ) $b r \varepsilon$ 'son' and $b r i t$ 'daughter', earlier * $b n$ - has become $b r$-; the same shift of * $n>r$ is seen in $\underline{t r o h}$ 'two' < Semitic * $\underline{n}$ n-. In mín 'sons', the change of $b>m$ is due to the rule described in § 2.1.4.

[^44]:    ${ }^{3}$ The plural haṣnín can also be used for the singular by some speakers, or perhaps is used as such in error. In fact, all occurrences of has nẹin in Johnstone's texts are singular or dual. See further in the comment to text 17:11.
    ${ }^{4}$ On the singular forms of nahrín, see the comment to text 22:3.
    ${ }^{5}$ I found maknín on one of Johnstone's Jibbali vocabulary index cards, held at the Durham University Library (Index Cards, Box 10). My own informants were unsure about the plural of mukún.
    ${ }^{6}$ My own informants preferred fayór.
    ${ }^{7}$ The cognate suffix in Mehri, Ḥarsusi, and Soqoṭri has a final $-n$, which has been lost in Jibbali and Hobyot.

[^45]:    ${ }^{8}$ In Müller's texts, the plural is erúmtan, with final -n (e.g., Müller 1907: 54, line 12).
     (51:12).
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{JL}$ (s.v. hrm ) lists the plural hérémíti, but the manuscripts and audio for 6:23 and 6:25 (the only attestations in the texts) have hermíti. If hérémíti exists among some speakers, then this would be another example of the preservation of $m$ between two stressed vowels ( $\S$ 2.1.3).
    ${ }^{11}$ One CJ informant used foomtz as the plural of fa'm, and did not like faithm. This fits with the report of Al-Shahri (2007:87) that fómtz is used in WJ and CJ, while faïhm is used in EJ.
    ${ }^{12}$ One CJ informant preferred śzéb, but recognized śáētz. (He did not know the word múrah.)
    ${ }^{13}$ Cognates of this word are known from all the other MSA languages, but, as with the word for 'father', only Jibbali uses an external feminine plural. The Mehri, Harsusi, and Hobyot cognates have internal plurals attested, while the Soqotri cognate has a masculine external plural.

[^46]:    ${ }^{14}$ See also the comment to text 9:2.
    15 This type also includes passive participles; see § 7.1.8.

[^47]:    ${ }^{16} J L$ (s.v. $k$ țr) lists the plural makațór, but see the comment to text 22:8.
    ${ }^{17}$ Historical developments obscure the fact that these words have the same patterns as other words in this group: mosé < "malséy (see § 2.1.6); malébsi < *maláwsay.

[^48]:    18 Thomas (1937: 243 [17]) suspected an article, but found his data inconclusive. Sima (2002) is an important study on the origin of the definite article in Mehri, with some discussion of Jibbali.

[^49]:    ${ }^{19}$ However, my younger informants also sometimes omitted the article with consonantinitial nouns, suggesting a general weakening of the article.

[^50]:    ${ }^{20}$ The indefinite form $y \varepsilon l$ may also sometimes be pronounced iyćl. It is unclear if the noun iyźn 'share' (e.g., 48:7) has an indefinite form $y \varepsilon n$. The words for 'share' and 'truth' are homophonous in the texts, but see the discussion in $J L$ (s.v. 'mn). Also note the definite form $y \varepsilon n$ 'truth', used in $46: 12,60: 8$, and $60: 18$, showing the variability of the article with words with initial $y$-.

[^51]:    ${ }^{21}$ This is a proper name, meaning literally 'son of the Arab woman'. See further in the comment to text 12:9.
    ${ }^{22}$ On the plural of 'cousin', see the comment to text 46:2.
    ${ }^{23}$ In TJ4:87, we find he bar $\varepsilon ð i$-ilin, $\bar{\varepsilon} r$ عðt́-ilín 'I am the son of so-and-so, the son of so-and-so'. It is not clear why the definite form is used in the second part of the phrase.

[^52]:    ${ }^{24} J L$ (s.v. byt) lists a definite form $\bar{e} t$, as in 57:7. I see this not as definite, but rather as a relative * $\varepsilon$-bét > ēt. Cf. $\varepsilon b r \varepsilon ́ ~ e ̄ t ~ \varepsilon ð t ́-i l i ́ n ~ ' t h e ~ s o n ~ o f ~ s u c h-a n d-s u c h ~ h o u s e ~[o r: ~ c l a n] ' ~(57: 7), ~ w h i c h ~$ is a translation of Mehri ḥəbrē ðә-bét falān (M90:7).
    ${ }^{25}$ On the exact meaning of this phrase, see the comment to text 25:8.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ On this feminine suffix, see Lonnet (2008).
    ${ }^{2}$ The word maskín in also used in Jibbali idiomatically with the meaning 'I hope’ or 'I wish'. See further in § 12.5.15.

[^54]:    ${ }^{3}$ Adjectives of this group whose first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic, or whose first root consonant is $n$ or $r$ (perhaps also $l$, though I found no data) have the pattern CCíC. Others usually have the pattern $C e C i ́ C$. Note that the corresponding Mehri pattern CəCayC normally exhibits a four-way declension (Rubin 2010: 79). Also note that while most of these adjectives have a cp form $C(e) C \varepsilon ́ C t$, some informants felt that one could make a fp form $C(e) C \varepsilon ́ C t z$, if one really wanted to distinguish the feminine.

[^55]:    ${ }^{4}$ Nowadays, hes̃óf is most often used among younger speakers with a sarcastic meaning. Cf. the use in text 28:11. A Mehri cognate (hayšawf) is attested only with an exclamatory function (Watson 2012: 136).
    ${ }^{5}$ One informant (MnS) suggested that the word hes̃óf derives from the Arabic exclamatory particle hay plus the imperative šuf 'look!'. The word is not used in Arabic, however.
    ${ }^{6}$ The two plural forms are dialectal variants. The plural emíti is distinct from émíti, the plural of $\varepsilon$ ह́m $\varepsilon$ 'mother'.
    ${ }^{7}$ Jibbali shares this development of 'mother' and 'father' with Soqoṭri, as discussed in Müller's 1909 article. In most Mehri dialects, there are also distinct masculine and feminine words for 'big' (śōx and nōb, respectively), but from different sources than their Jibbali counterparts.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of the three verbs attested in $J L$ whose first root consonant is $l$ and third root consonant is $m$ (not counting medial guttural verbs), two show no effects of the $l$ : lotúm 'kiss respectfully' and logúm 'put a mouth-strap on (a camel)'. Therefore I assume that, as a general rule, a final $m$ blocks the effects of the initial $l$. The verb altúm 'slap' is an exception, perhaps because the medial root consonant is a dental or glottalic.

[^57]:    ${ }^{2}$ If the second and third root consonants are both voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, or if the second root consonant is $r$ or $l$, then the a between the second and third root consonants of the singular and plural imperfect may disappear, e.g., yakólt.
    ${ }^{3}$ Some young informants use the base - $\varepsilon$ CCə $C$ in free variation with - $\grave{C C ə} C$ for the G-Stem singular subjunctive. See the comments to texts FB1:1 and Anon1:2.
    ${ }^{4}$ If the second and third root consonants are both voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, or if the second root consonant is $r$ or $l$, then there is no vowel between the second and third root consonants, e.g., koltót.
    ${ }^{5}$ The dual and plural forms of the conditional are uncertain.
    ${ }^{6}$ If the second and third root consonants are both voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, or if the second root consonant is $r$ or $l$, then the a between the second and third root consonants in the singular and plural imperfect may disappear, e.g., yasókf, yašórḳ.
    ${ }^{7}$ If the second and third root consonants are both voiceless, non-glottalic consonants, then we find $a$ between the second and third root consonants, e.g., skafót.

[^58]:    ${ }^{8}$ The dual and plural forms of the conditional are uncertain.
    ${ }^{9}$ For the examples of I-n and I-1/r verbs, note that imperfect yanúkś has no a in the final syllable because the final two root consonants are both voiceless and non-glottalic, while yarj́kad has the a.

[^59]:    ${ }^{10}$ On the nasalization and loss of $m$ in this form, see $\S 2.1 .3$ and $\S 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 5$.

[^60]:    ${ }^{11}$ The subjunctive forms presented here follow those that appear in Johnstone's texts, $J L$, and other works of his. It is unclear why the 2 fs subjunctive has the prefix vowel $u$ (pronounced here like IPA [v]), rather than $i$. A couple of my own informants (but not all) presented quite different forms, namely, forms like ams téffar, 2fs tíffar, 2mp tóffar, 2 fp téffərən, which look like the H -Stem forms. For more on the conjugation of geminate verbs, see § 7.4.14.

[^61]:    ${ }^{12}$ Even though the verb arfís is not attested in the texts, I have chosen to use this verb (used in $J L$, pp. xvii-xviii, as well as in Johnstone 198ob) because all the passives from the texts have some sort of weak root consonant. Also note that the transcription varies between $J L$ and Johnstone 1980 . Most notably, in the imperfect, $J L$ has 3 ms ( $d$-)irefj̣s, while the 1980 article has érefj̣s. I have used here mainly the forms from $J L$, which better match the few internal passives found in the texts.
    ${ }^{13}$ It seems that a 3 ms subjunctive yarfóṣ is used by some speakers.

[^62]:    14 The forms of the dual and plural conditional are uncertain.

[^63]:    ${ }^{15}$ It is not certain that we should call this a Gb-Stem. The fact that it is I-w and III-G obscures the differences between the Ga and Gb type. However, the verb can be considered a Gb-Stem in Mehri.

[^64]:    ${ }^{16}$ It is not certain that we should call this a Gb-Stem, for the reason given in the previous note. In this case, however, there is no Mehri cognate.
    ${ }^{17}$ Both G-Stems of this root are used in text 23:9.

[^65]:    18 According to $J L$, the prefix $\varepsilon^{-}$(which Johnstone transcribed $e$-) can also be used by some speakers before voiceless, non-glottalic consonants. In addition, before a guttural consonant, the prefix can have the form $o$ - (e.g., ohóðər). However, neither the texts nor my own informants substantiate either of these statements. The texts and my own data do not reflect the situation described in $J L$, as is the case also for the H-Stem prefix (see §6.3).

    19 The forms of the conditional are uncertain, and perhaps are subject to variation. One informant provided ics l-gídəlan, while $J L$ (p. xx) has l-gúdələn. See also n. 22.
    ${ }^{20}$ As discussed above, and in §7.1.2 and §7.1.3, some speakers prefer 2 ms tagódələn, though historically the $t$ - prefix was lost in the imperfect. Likewise for the other second person and 3 f forms.
    ${ }^{21}$ As discussed above, and in §7.1.2 and §7.1.3, some speakers prefer $2 m s$ tagódal. Likewise, with initial $t$ - in place of $l$-, for the other second person and $3 f$ forms.
    ${ }^{22} J L$ (p. xx) has 2fs l-gúdul, but the texts have the vowel $i ́$ in this form (cf. the comment to $60: 43$ ). My informants also used $i ́$ in place of $u$.

[^66]:    ${ }^{23}$ The Ehrik is Salvadora persica, also known as Arak (as Johnstone suggested in JL, s.v. $h r k$ ), the toothbrush tree, or the mustard tree (Miller and Morris 1988: 254).

[^67]:    24 Although listed in $J L$ under the root $g b h$, the root seems to be $g w h / g y h$. The D/L-Stem is from the root gyh.
    ${ }^{25}$ The Arabic D-Stem șallā was itself borrowed from the Aramaic D-Stem șallī.
    ${ }^{26}$ The others are in $J L$, s.v. ' $l k$, $f s x$, and $g f n$.

[^68]:    ${ }^{27}$ I could have also used the term C-Stem (Causative Stem), which is preferable in works dealing with comparative Semitics.
    ${ }^{28}$ In $J L$, Johnstone usually transcribed $e C C e ́ C$. If his representation of the prefix as $e$ - is correct, this must represent a dialectal variation. Also according to $J L$, the prefix appears also before voiceless, non-glottalic consonants (e.g. eflét). The texts and data from my own informants do not reflect the situation described in $J L$, as is the case also for the D/L-Stem prefix (see §6.2).
    ${ }^{29}$ With this particular verb, because of the $l$, the base of the subjunctive, conditional, and imperative can be either -flat- or -falt-.
    ${ }^{30}$ As discussed above, and in § 7.1.2 and § 7.1.3, some speakers prefer 2 ms taffélót, though historically the $t$ - prefix was lost in the imperfect. Likewise for the other second person and 3 forms.
    ${ }^{31}$ As discussed above, and in §7.1.2 and §7.1.3, some speakers prefer 2 ms téflat. Likewise (with initial $t$-) for the other second person and 3 f forms.

[^69]:    ${ }^{32}$ This form may be realized as iffélót. Likewise the 3 mp imperfect may be realized as iffélét.

[^70]:    ${ }^{33}$ In CJ, according to $J L$ (s.v. $r b^{\text {‘ }}$ ), the H-Stem means 'guide', while the Šı-Stem means 'cross'. See further in the comment to text 4:9.

[^71]:    ${ }^{34}$ Where the second or third root consonant is a nasal, the 3 ms perfect active and passive H-Stem will look identical, due to the raising of é to $i ́$ in the active (§2.2.2). Cf. active engím 'consult (an astrologer)' and $\varepsilon$ ṭnif 'reave'.

[^72]:    ${ }^{35}$ Holes (2005) discusses some developments in Gulf Arabic, but with no reference to MSA languages.

[^73]:    ${ }^{36}$ The forms of the conditional are uncertain.
    ${ }^{37} J L$ (p. xxv) has the equivalent of 2 fp and 3 fp subjunctive $t \boldsymbol{z} \tilde{\delta} \delta \mathrm{ks}$ śrzn, but my informants (using the verb $\tilde{s} a s ̌ f e ́ k ~ ' m a r r y ') ~ u s e d ~ t h e ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ o f ~ t a s ̌ \varepsilon k ̣ ̦ ə r ə n . ~ T h e ~ f o r m s ~ i n ~ J L ~ a r e ~ s u s-~$ pect.

[^74]:    ${ }^{38}$ On the possible H-Stem émín, from this same root, see the comment to text 60:37.
    ${ }^{39}$ In keeping with the comment in $\S 6.4$ about similarities in the developments of the Jibbali Š-Stems and Arabic Ct-Stem (Form X), we can compare here Gulf Arabic istamrad 'fall ill' (Holes 2005: 118).

[^75]:    ${ }^{40}$ The G- and Š1-Stems of this root seem to have a slight difference in use. In Mehri, the anomalous verb $\check{s} f u ̈ k . ~(<~ * s ̌ a s ̌ f u ̈ k) ~ i s ~ u s e d ~ o n l y ~ w i t h ~ a ~ f e m a l e ~ s u b j e c t . ~ T h e ~ a t t e s t a t i o n s ~ o f ~ s \tilde{s} \partial s ̌ f e ́ k$ in the Jibbali texts are all put in the mouths of women; cf. the forms in 17:18, 46:2, 46:6, and SB2:3, all with female subjects. However, in SB2:4, we find the 1cp perfect sazšfékzn, spoken by a woman, but with a plural subject. It is unclear if the verb can be used with a masculine singular subject, but the evidence suggests not. The G-Stem šff k can be used with both ms and fs subjects (cf. 6:1; 60:33), but it is unclear if it can be used with plural subjects.
    ${ }^{41}$ The forms of the conditional are uncertain.

[^76]:    ${ }^{42}$ The dual forms of the imperfect and subjunctive are uncertain.

[^77]:    ${ }^{43}$ Compare the use of this verb with a singular subject and direct object in 24:4 with the use of the T2-Stem reciprocal $2 n t \bar{\jmath} \underline{h}$ in 15:9.
    ${ }^{44}$ Compare the use of this verb with a singular subject and direct object in 7:2 and 28:7 with the use of the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem reciprocal artēg in $50: 5$.

[^78]:    45 The dual and plural forms of the conditional are uncertain. The one T1-Stem 3 mp conditional I heard from an informant, yaġtērən 'they would have met' (< *yaǵtébarən, from the verb $\dot{g}$ ótbar) suggests that the plural looks like the singular, like the $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ subjunctive and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ conditional. The paradigm in $J L$ (p. xxiii), however, has the vowel ${ }^{\prime}$ in place of $i$ in the dual and plural conditional forms.

[^79]:    ${ }^{46}$ In $J L$ (s.v. $f s k$ ), Johnstone lists the Tı-Stem fótszk, noting the EJ form fóssək. A CJ informant agreed that mútsi, not mússi, was the correct form.
    ${ }^{47}$ Note that text 3:19 uses both lóttzg' 'they killed o.a.' and létzg tattóhum to express 'they killed one another', merely showing two ways of expressing a reciprocal. On the reciprocal pronoun, see §3.7.

[^80]:    ${ }^{48}$ The dual forms of the conditional are uncertain.
    ${ }^{49}$ The forms of the 2 p and 3 p imperfect may show some variation. For example, $J L$ (p. xxiii) lists 3 mp imperfect yaftjłkaran, but none of my informants used this form.

[^81]:    50 The dual forms of the conditional are uncertain.

[^82]:    ${ }^{51} \mathrm{JL}$ (s.v. $\left.x \leq ̣ s ̣\right)$ only lists the perfect axṣés, which could either be a D/L-Stem or an H-Stem. I am assuming it is a $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$-Stem only because of the meaning of the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stem. In any case, the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}-$ Stems and H-Stems of geminate verbs are often mixed up. There is also listed in $J L$ a T1-Stem xóttoṣ, which has a meaning very close, if not identical, to the T2-Stem.
    ${ }^{52}$ On the shape of this verb in the perfect, see $\S 7 \cdot 4.8$. See also n. 53 .

[^83]:    ${ }^{53} \mathrm{JL}$ and $M L$ (s.v. syd) list the 3 ms perfect aṣtj́d, but we expect aṣtēd (ast̄̄d is the ms imperative). Nakano (1986:54) lists the expected astēd (and imperative ast̄̄d). (Anyway, s̃asēed and $a b t e \bar{r}$ are more common verbs for 'fish'.) A similarly unexpected form is found in $J L$ (s.v. $n w s$ ) for the verb ant亏̄s 'wrestle with o.a.'. In the latter case, the vowel $\bar{\jmath}$, if correct, can be explained as analogical with the verb $\partial n t \bar{j} h$ (where the vowel $\bar{\jmath}$ is expected because of the final guttural; see §7.4.8); or perhaps it has something to do with the fact that the verb is used only in the plural (and so is analogical to the 3 mp imperfect $\mathrm{y}_{2} \mathrm{Ct}$ 向 C C ).

[^84]:    ${ }^{54}$ The 3 ms imperfect listed in $J L$ (s.v. $\mathrm{knm} / \mathrm{kmnm}$ ) is íkénúm. It is not clear why the first $m$ is missing, since it is not intervocalic. In Mehri the root $k n m$ is generally triliteral (used in the T-Stems), though we also find the verb akawnam. Based on this form alone, it is unclear if this Mehri verb is a D/L-Stem or a Q-Stem ("root" kwnm). In Soqotri, kénom 'feed livestock' is simply a triliteral G-Stem.
    ${ }^{55}$ Johnstone's text 34 (= Mehri text 59) deals with a misunderstanding based on the two very different meanings of the cognate verb amárkah in Northern Mehri ('tidy up') and Southern Mehri ('drink coffee').

[^85]:    ${ }^{56}$ Rubin (2010: 119).

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Usage varies. For example, one young CJ speaker used the $t$ - prefix for the 2 ms subjunctive in the $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{L}$ - and H-Stems, while another (just a few years older) used $t$-for the H-Stem, but $l$ - for the D/L-Stem.

[^87]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the texts, axér her is nearly always used in a positive phrase, while xer her is always used in a negative phrase.

[^88]:    ${ }^{3}$ On the origin and development of the future tense particle in Jibbali, and the form of the auxiliary in other sources of Jibbali, see Rubin (2012b).

[^89]:    ${ }^{4}$ According to $J L$ (s.v. fnw), the particle fóna can also have the sense of 'be about to' when it is followed by a future tense. In combination with a perfect, fóna is an adverb meaning 'formerly, earlier'.

[^90]:    ${ }^{5}$ Johnstone does give a dual imperative form in one of his paradigms in $J L$ (p. xvii).
    ${ }^{6}$ On some possible variation in the subjunctive forms of G-Stem geminate verbs, see §6.1.1, n. 11.

[^91]:    ${ }^{7}$ A disproportionate number of internal passives occur in text TJ2.

[^92]:    ${ }^{8}$ H-Stems: JL, s.v. bny, $f g^{\prime}$, $f y l, n b y / n b^{\prime}$, rġd, śrh, šfk, ṭnf, wg'; D/L-Stems: JL, s.v. 'lk, fsx, gdl, $g f n$; Š1-Stem: JL, s.v. 'sr. Johnstone (198ob: 470) also includes some examples of derived stem passives.

[^93]:    ${ }^{9}$ However, as noted in §3.8.1, $d$ - is used for the relative pronoun in $\operatorname{Pr} 188$.

[^94]:    10 This informant also gave $\partial d$-skúnk hũn as a possible translation of 'where do you live?'.

[^95]:    ${ }^{11}$ As discussed in $\S 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 3$, the initial $e$ - of I-w perfects is subject to loss among some speakers. It is unclear if this happens with I-' verbs as well.

[^96]:    ${ }^{12}$ See also the comment to text 6o:37, on another possible H-Stem.
    ${ }^{13}$ That is to say, I suspect an error in JL. Compare geminate verbs (§7.4.14), where the gemination is present in both the H - and $\breve{S}_{1}$-Stem subjunctives. We can also compare the 3 ms subjunctive yźrd from the H-Stem $\varepsilon r \dot{\partial} d$, since this verb behaves as if it were I-², even though it is historically I-w (see § 7-4.3).
    ${ }^{14}$ This verb, meaning 'rent, hire' is missing from JL. See the comment to text AKı:4.

[^97]:    ${ }^{15}$ When prompted for a future tense of this verb, one informant (MmS) used the Ši-Stem future háa-ys̃áxar. Incidentally, he considered ótxər old-fashioned and Arabizing, and much preferred aǵrég ‘be late’ (e.g., 3:2).
    ${ }^{16}$ This reciprocal verb, meaning 'know o.a.', is only used in the plural. In the perfect and subjunctive, the 3 ms and 3 mp would be identical anyway.

[^98]:    ${ }^{17}$ In his manuscript word-list to text 39, Johnstone listed the imperfect yoxj́f and subjunctive $y x j \dot{f}$, so there is perhaps some dialectal variation, as there is with some other forms of verbs containing a guttural.
    ${ }^{18}$ See the comment to text TJ2:31 for discussion of the two occurrences of yézúm.

[^99]:    ${ }^{19}$ Cf. Mehri G warūd 'go down to water' and H hawrūd 'take (animals) down to water'; Akkadian warādu, Ge'ez warada, and Hebrew yārad 'go down'; and Arabic warada 'come, arrive'.
    ${ }^{20}$ To further complicate matters, $J L$ (s.v. $w r d$ ) lists an Š2-Stem sĩrd 'go to the water before animals come', which, because of the nasalization, looks as if it is from a root $m r d$ ! This could be back-formed from the noun murd 'watering-place', in which the $m$ - is part of the nominal pattern.

[^100]:    ${ }^{21}$ See $\S 6.4 .1$ on how the $b$ is underlyingly intervocalic in this form.

[^101]:    ${ }^{22} \mathrm{JL}$ lists a verb réhak 'be far away', with the pattern of the strong Gb-Stem in the perfect. This is not a mistake (cf. also Mehri rịhak), but seems to be dialectal. According to my informants, the G-Stem verb is $r(a)$ hák 'go far away', following the expected II-G pattern. The use of this verb overlaps with the adjective ráhəḳ; cf. š rahák 'he went far away' and š̌ ráhə 'he is far away'.

[^102]:    ${ }^{23}$ The verb $\check{s} \bar{\varepsilon} b$ is actually listed in $J L$ under the root šyb, but I assume it is II-' based on its Semitic cognates, e.g., Hebrew šāảab, Ugaritic šbb 'draw water'; Ge'ez sa'aba 'drag, pull'; Sabaic (T-Stem) st'b ‘draw water'.
    ${ }^{24}$ Only about half of the II-y verbs listed in $J L$ have imperfect and subjunctive forms listed, so this missing data could, of course, be hiding more variation or irregularity.

[^103]:    ${ }^{25}$ Although $f \varepsilon \varepsilon t$ 'die' is listed under the root $f w t$ in $J L$, and the Arabic cognate has the root $f w t$, the Jibbali root is $f y t$; cf. also H fyét 'kill, let die' (49:8) and Hobyot G fayōt. Oddly, in the paradigms in $M L$ (p. xxix), the Mehri counterpart $m \bar{o} t$ ' 'die' (root $m w t$ ) is mistakenly listed as a II-y verb. In addition to $f \hat{\varepsilon} t$, the verbs $ð \bar{\varepsilon} b, f \bar{\varepsilon} z, g \bar{\varepsilon} r$, and $h \bar{\varepsilon}$ l are II-y in Jibbali, but II-w in Arabic (though $ð \bar{\varepsilon} b$ and $h \bar{\varepsilon} /$ can be either II-w or II-y in other stems).
    ${ }^{26}$ The Gb-Stem teēk 'be stuck with' is listed in $J L$ under the root $t w k$, but the root is actually $t ̣ b k$, as also in Soqoṭri. Cf. Ge'ez tabaka 'glue, adhere', as well as Hebrew dābaq, Arabic dabiqa 'cling, adhere'.
    ${ }^{27}$ Note that II-w/y, III-G verbs are extremely rare, but in such cases the perfect has the shape $C a C$ in place of $C \ni C$, and sometimes $C \bar{e} C$ in place of $C \bar{\varepsilon} C$.

[^104]:    28 This verb (meaning 'return, go back') is usually pronounced $\varepsilon d \bar{u} r$, because of the final sonorant.
    ${ }^{29}$ This should not be considered an exact number, because it is not always clear what should be considered a II-w verb, and what should be considered a II-b verb. There is also one verb with this pattern that Johnstone considers II-y, namely, xōt 'redeem'. I see no reason why the root of this verb should not be considered $x w t$.

[^105]:    ${ }^{30}$ Although $J L$ gives the imperfect yas̃eṣétan, the texts have yanṣ̂étan (5:11).
    ${ }^{31}$ As with the Š2-Stem, there is variation between long and short vowels; see $J L$, p. xxiv, n. 38 .

[^106]:    ${ }^{32}$ The subjunctive yaz̃tág ( $J L$, s.v. $\tilde{s}(y) g$ ) supports this, while yartun (JL, s.v. ryn; cf. also 2 ms tartún in 54:10) and yahtśl (JL, s.v. hyyl) do not. The root hayl, however, definitely has the biform $h \not \omega l$, as shown by the two $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems ḥ́stél and hátbal, and by the cognate root $h_{w} w$ in Mehri.
    ${ }^{33}$ The T1-Stem śśték is not in JL, but it occurs in the texts (60:19). It is perhaps a Mehrism, but also may reflect a dialectal form. Also note that while all verbs of the pattern Ć́CéC in $J L$ have an imperfect of the $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-type, Johnstone recorded a T1-type imperfect for śśt́̂k ( yaśtjók) in a manuscript. See also the comments to texts $25: 12$ and 60:19.
    ${ }^{34}$ Cf. Mehri and Ḥarsusi xayōs 'spoil, rot'. The root xys is also known from Arabic xāsa 'spoil' (Landberg 1920-1942: 1.664).

[^107]:    ${ }^{35}$ The forms of the verb g'gotbar 'meet o.a.' in the table come from an informant. Johnstone's own data confirm this; cf. lótbəd 'shoot at o.a.', 3 ms imperfect yaltiéd, 3 ms subjunctive yaltēd ( $J L$, s.v. $l b d$ ).

[^108]:    ${ }^{36}$ The paradigm from Box ${ }_{15}$ E probably came from Ali Musallam, author of text 6 . In Müller's version of Johnstone's text 6 (1907:55), we find the 3fs țardót, which could conceivably represent $t \neq r d \delta \partial t$, ṭerdót, or yet another pronunciation.
    ${ }^{37}$ And as already mentioned, while $J L$ lists dúrúm 'slaughter', this verb appears as derúm in the texts (25:5). Recall also that garób is unusual in the imperfect and subjunctive (see § 7.4.2).

[^109]:    ${ }^{38}$ For some forms, see $J L$ (s.v. $k b h, k m h, n b h, n g h, s b h$, and $\left.w g h\right)$. Note that each of these

[^110]:    roots contains at least one other weak root consonant, further complicating the analysis of III-h roots.

[^111]:    ${ }^{39}$ I-r and I-l stems also follow this pattern. See further in $\S 7.4 .6$. In $J L$, the imperfect of s̃altág is listed as ys̃eltég, but this is probably the 3 mp imperfect. We expect the 3 ms imperfect to be yas̃eltóg. If the form given in $J L$ is, in fact, the 3 ms imperfect, then it is an exceptional form.

[^112]:    ${ }^{40}$ In $J L, 3 \mathrm{~ms}$ subjunctives of this pattern are variously transcribed $C e ́ C ə C, C e C C, C \partial ́ C C$, and $C ə C C$, which makes the variation seem greater than it really is. We do need to distinguish at least $C e C C$ and $C e ́ C ə C$, as proven by the 3 ms forms of the I-b verbs yebk (<yebk, root bky) and $y \overline{e d ~(<~ * y e ́ b a d, ~ r o o t ~ b d y) . ~ A l s o, ~ i t ~ m a y ~ b e ~ t h a t ~} y \partial C C$ is used in place of yeCC when the final two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic (cf. the use of $\partial$ vs. $e$ in the T2-Stem perfects ${ }_{\text {aCtaCéC vs. aCtéCéC, described in §6.5.3), but } J L}$ (s.v. ksw) lists 3ms subjunctive yeks from ksé 'clothe'.
    ${ }^{41}$ One verb in $J L$ (géfé, s.v. gfy) is listed with a Ga perfect and subjunctive, but a Gb imperfect ( $y g o ́ f \varepsilon$ ). This may be a typo (of which there are many in $J L$ ), since among his handwritten paradigms (Box ${ }_{15} \mathrm{E}$ ), Johnstone recorded the expected Ga imperfect for this verb ( $y g \not f^{\prime}$ ), and an informant of mine also provided this form.

[^113]:    ${ }^{42} J L$ (s.v. š̌y) has the 3 ms imperfect $y$ šóc, which was also the form given by my WJ informant. Two of my CJ informants gave the form yašı́, and did not accept yašóc. We also

[^114]:    find yaš̌̌ in the texts (e.g., 35:6), from an EJ speaker. It is certainly possible that some other verbs of this type show the same dialectal variation.
    ${ }^{43}$ It might seem reasonable to suggest that the shape of the perfect is connected to the fact that the first two root consonants are voiceless and non-glottalic. However, the verb fśé 'have lunch' (1cs fuśk) behaves as other III-w/y verbs.

[^115]:    ${ }^{44}$ On the irregular perfect of the verb šúşí ‘drink', see § 6.5.2.
    ${ }^{45}$ The forms of ə $\not h t j d^{\prime} \varepsilon$ given in $J L$ are all 3mp. The verb aǵtóśs is not in $J L$ (see the comment to text 39:5), but its forms are listed in the word-list Johnstone made for text 39 .

[^116]:    ${ }^{46}$ For example, while one speaker allowed D/L-Stem aḳórēn or akórbən, he only allowed (d-)aktelēn for the 1cs imperfect of the T2-Stem aktéléb 'worry'. Another preferred D/L 3 fp subjunctive l-górəbən (but recognized l-górēn), but used only Gb taḥlũn and $\mathrm{H} l$ - $\varepsilon ð h \bar{\varepsilon} n$.
    ${ }^{47}$ This may reflect a more general confusion of G-Stem subjunctives, or may only reflect the confusion of the G-Stem and H-Stem of certain verbs. See §6.1.1, n. 3 and n. 11.
    ${ }^{48}$ According to $J L$, the verb 'er seems to use the subjunctive of the H-Stem. However, this irregularity can be explained by the meaning of the verb. When asked for the future (i.e., subjunctive) of 'er 'hold back, stop from going', an informant produced the form given in $J L$ (yá" $a r$ ), but said that it has the meaning 'send', i.e., the meaning of the H-Stem. However, in the word-list to text 25 , Johnstone recorded the expected G-Stem subjunctive ya'rér. On a possible I-g geminate verb that behaves like other I-G verbs, see the comment to 60:14.

[^117]:    ${ }^{49} J L$ (s.v. śnk) records 3ms subjunctive yaśnúk. As noted in §6.1.2, n. 13, the prefix of the 3 ms subjunctive can be either $l$ - or $y$-.

[^118]:    ${ }^{50} \mathrm{I}$ am assuming that the form yas̃olzéz in $J L$ (s.v. $l z z$ ) is a misprint for yas̃alzéz, but this is unproven.
    ${ }^{51}$ Of the fifteen geminate $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems in $J L$ whose imperfect and subjunctive forms are

[^119]:    listed, one (bóttəd) is listed with a T2 imperfect. On the mixing of T1- and T2-Stems, see $\S 6.5 .1$ and $\S 6.5 \cdot 4$.

[^120]:    ${ }^{52}$ On the mixing of the D/L- and H-Stems with II-y verbs, see §7.4.8.
    ${ }^{53}$ The form s̃ũn seems to be an acceptable variant for some speakers, as I heard both s̃in and $\tilde{s} u ̃ n$ from informants. For the 3 fs perfect, I heard only s̃ũnút 'she trusted', which is the expected form. 3 ms perfect $\tilde{s} \tilde{u} n$ is probably analogical with the base used for the first and second persons (e.g., s̃ũnak ‘I trusted’), and with the 3 fs form.

[^121]:    ${ }^{54}$ In Johnstone’s texts, Ali Musallam sometimes used a 2 ms subjunctive ( $t$ )té. See the comments to texts 6:11 and 23:5.

[^122]:    ${ }^{55}$ Cf. Arabic G kāla, Biblical Hebrew G kāl (used only in Isaiah 40:12), and Syriac H'akīl 'measure'.
    ${ }^{56}$ We might also compare the Hebrew derived stem (palpel) verb kalkēl 'sustain, maintain', and note the semantic development of English 'maintain', which can mean 'support, sustain', as well as 'hold/defend an opinion'.
    ${ }^{57}$ Cf. Soqoṭri kol 'he was able', Hebrew 3ms perfect yākōl 'he is able' (< *yakāl < 3 ms imperfect ${ }^{*} y V k h a l$ ), and the Ge'ez 3 ms imperfect $y \partial k(\partial) l$ 'he is able' (< yakah(h)al; 3ms perfect kahla).

[^123]:    ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Cf}$. Hebrew 'agāab 'lust after'. The Arabic cognate ‘ajiba means something like 'be amazed, marvel' in Standard Arabic, but in many dialects (e.g., Iraqi, Yemeni, Palestinian) means 'please; delight'. The meaning (with $b$-) 'love' is even attested in a southern Yemeni dialect (Landberg 1920-1942: 3.2267).

[^124]:    ${ }^{59}$ Compare the similar idiom he s̃ek 'I am (going) with you' (36:20), which likewise has an implied verb of motion.
    ${ }^{60}$ On the use of $y>l / y \jmath^{\prime}$ 'how?' with the verb s̃érék 'do', rather than iné 'what?', see § 11.6.
    ${ }^{61}$ Of the 1cd cohortatives in Johnstone's Mehri texts, only one occurs in a text with a Jibbali parallel (M83:2 = $\mathrm{J} 83: 2$ ). But where Mehri has the 1cd ḥəmō, Jibbali has the 1cp 'ágən.

[^125]:    ${ }^{62}$ For a sentence like 'he will want milk', one would hear ḥa-yaḥtóg núśab 'he will need milk', or something similar. That is, 'ágab does not seem to be used in the future to mean 'want'.

[^126]:    ${ }^{1}$ The sentence mit ḥa-tġad $\varepsilon$ ' $u n$ 'when will you go to Oman?' (JL, s.v. myt) may also be an example of $\varepsilon<\varepsilon d$, though this is not at all clear. The prefix $\varepsilon$ - here could potentially be the definite article, or simply an epenthetic vowel.

[^127]:    ${ }^{2}$ Some speakers prefer ko-ð̛́hor; see § 8.13.
    ${ }^{3}$ We would expect the definite article $\varepsilon$ - in this phrase (e.g., $\varepsilon k \varepsilon \varepsilon$ élbək 'your heart'), but the word is contracted with the preposition, resulting in a realization 'akélbək, etc. In fact, in his Arabic transcriptions, Ali Musallam often wrote ' $a k ̣ \varepsilon ́ l b \not \partial k$ (and the like) as a single word in Arabic characters, with only a single letter $k$.

[^128]:    ${ }^{4}$ We also find s̃aşfé man used to mean 'find out about' (34:14).

[^129]:    5 These constructions in MSA may reflect a calque from Arabic. Cf. Arabic jāabi- 'bring', from $j \vec{a} a a^{\text {'come'. }}$

[^130]:    ${ }^{6}$ We also find $y$ yl emíh 'to the water' one time in the texts (97:43), but in this passage emíh is euphemistic for 'toilet'. In one passage (60:14), we also find her míh, but this means 'for (the purpose of getting) water', and is not connected to motion or location.

[^131]:    ${ }^{7}$ The variant form finí appears to be dialectal, as it is found only in text TJ4 (and in the unpublished portions of text TJ5, from the same informant).
    ${ }^{8}$ As discussed in $\S 8.30$, there is some variation in the forms of this preposition with pronominal suffixes, at least among younger speakers.

[^132]:    ${ }^{9}$ Johnstone used the more etymological transcription $\dot{g} a y r$ in $J L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} y r$ and passim), but in his texts he usually transcribed the word as $\dot{g} e ́ r$, which more accurately reflects its pronunciation.

[^133]:    ${ }^{10}$ The shortened form $h$ - also combines with the reflexive pronoun $\varepsilon n u ́ f$ (pl. ह́nfóf), resulting in the form hánúf ( pl . ḥánfóf); see §3.7. See also the comment to text TJ4:21.

[^134]:    ${ }^{11}$ This is an idiom used to describe a rude person. Cf. also text $\operatorname{Pr} 54$.
    ${ }^{12}$ Some comparative and etymological discussion of this preposition can be found in Rubin (2009).

[^135]:    ${ }^{13}$ Some informants preferred 'ak. عđ̣́óhor.

[^136]:    14 This informant used here the Arabic word for 'my maternal uncle', xāli, rather than Jibbali xéźi (cf. 49:28).

[^137]:    ${ }^{15}$ It should be noted, however, that in Ali Musallam's Mehri texts, the normal expression is also šxəəbūr man (occurring about fifteen times), while šxəəbūrl- occurs just twice.

[^138]:    ${ }^{16}$ Compare this example to 'ak taśtém mən õśźtí 'you want to buy (some) of my animals' (41:4), where the sé is only implied.

[^139]:    ${ }^{17}$ Actually, sind 'ar never occurs in the texts with a noun, but sind 'an- (with a pronominal suffix) occurs about ten times.

[^140]:    18 Watson (2012: 114). Yemeni Mehri beyn is found in also Jahn (1905: 125) and Bittner (1914a: 12), while $b i \bar{n}$ is found in Sima (2009).
    ${ }^{19}$ The Ḥarsusi and Soqotrii forms are given in $H L$ (s.v. byn), and the latter is also found in Leslau (1938: 85). The Hobyot form is given in $H V$ (p. 250).

[^141]:    ${ }^{20}$ Müller's text (1907:54) actually has am-bén in the parallel passage.

[^142]:    ${ }^{21}$ Nakano (1986: 134).
    22 An example can be found in Müller (1907: 43).
    ${ }^{23}$ Watson (2012: 114); Rubin (2012a: 349).

[^143]:    ${ }^{24}$ One informant actually preferred the compound bz-siźb, perhaps reflecting Arabic bi-sabab(i).

[^144]:    ${ }^{25}$ Bittner (1916b: 54) also remarked that man sér could be used in a temporal sense.

[^145]:    ${ }^{26}$ A second informant thought this sounded correct, but only after the idea was suggested to him. See also the comments in §8.17.
    ${ }^{27}$ The preposition $t \bar{a} l$ is attested in some Mehri dialects (Watson 2012: 114, n. 14), and also in Hobyot (HV, p. 287). As I have written previously (Rubin 2011: 77), this form probably derives from a contraction of $t \bar{\varepsilon}$ 'until' $+h \bar{a} l$.

[^146]:    ${ }^{28}$ As mentioned in §8.1, we also find šfok $d$ - with the same meaning in text 7:1.

[^147]:    ${ }^{29}$ One informant also preferred the form tét when used without suffixes.

[^148]:    ${ }^{30}$ One informant thought that tōlún was used only with regard to one's house, but I found no other evidence to support this idea.

[^149]:    ${ }^{31}$ See the paradigms for $k$-, mən mún, ser, and $l x$ ín ( $J L$, pp. xxvi-xxviii).

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ On the forms of ' 9 ', see Testen (1998).
    ${ }^{2}$ On the derivation from Semitic *whd, see already Bittner (1913b: 82-84). The form $t d$ is also attested in Qatabanic, one of the ancient (epigraphic) South Arabian dialects. Watson (2012: 110, n. 91) seems to suggest that this connection with Qatabanic rules out the derivation from "whd, but this etymology and the connection with Qatabanic are not mutually exclusive.

[^151]:    ${ }^{3}$ A construction like $\varepsilon$ rbə'ót minén 'four of us' implies four out of a larger group, while śotetén or nḥa śtóét 'we three' refers to a group of three total.

[^152]:    ${ }^{4}$ This phenomenon was related to me by Janet Watson, and confirmed by other researchers, as well as by my own data (see § 9.1.4).

[^153]:    ${ }^{5}$ We also find the word $\varepsilon$ ह́nf̄̄t nominalized in the phrase $\varepsilon$ ह́nf̄̀t a'áṣar 'the first (part) of the night' (54:20), in parallel with a'ámk a'áṣar 'the middle (part) of the night', and áxar a'áṣar 'the last part of the night', in the same line.

[^154]:    ${ }^{6}$ According to $M L$ (s.v. $x l f$ ), CJ uses instead xalfí (f. $x a l f \bar{\varepsilon} t$ ), though my own CJ informants used $x \varepsilon l f$ (f. xiźfét). JL (s.v. $x l f$ ) includes only xalfí (f. xalfét).
    ${ }^{7}$ One informant (EJ) insisted that the relative pronoun is not expressed in the phrase $3 r x$ ha-yankác (in contrast to a phrase like aǵéyg дə-ḥa-yankác 'the man who will come'), though another informant (CJ) clearly used the relative pronoun in this phrase.

[^155]:    ${ }^{8}$ Hobyot mad yak $k \bar{a}$ ', the 3 ms future of $w i ̄ k a$ ' 'be', is also used this way (HV, p. 283).

[^156]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is possible that boh can also be used in this way. An example may be boh eșéhr (AMı:11), but it is not clear whether to translate this 'here are gazelles' (used in a deictic sense) or 'there are gazelles here' (used in an existential sense).
    ${ }^{2}$ See JL, s.v. 'lhn, 'lkn, l, Ihn, Ihhnn, lkn, lh $k$, and $l n$.

[^157]:    ${ }^{3}$ One informant felt that this could also have a more approximate meaning ' $2-3$ years ago'.
    ${ }^{4}$ One informant felt that this could also have a more approximate meaning ' $3-4$ years ago'.

[^158]:    ${ }^{5}$ On this word, see Lonnet (2003).
    ${ }^{6} J L$ (s.v. šhr) has only šhor, which is a CJ dialectal form. Both forms are listed in ML (s.v. $y m v)$. Johnstone's EJ texts only have ašhér. For some speakers, both forms are acceptable. Most of my informants (CJ, EJ, and WJ) used zšhér, although one CJ informant accepted only ašhor.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ In my Mehri grammar (Rubin 2010: 227-228), I neglected to mention that this use of

[^160]:    $h \bar{\varepsilon} s \not a n$ probably derived from $h$-hēśzn, nor did I mention the Jibbali parallel. On the sound rule by which Mehri $h$ - is not realized before another $h$ (or $h$ ), see Rubin (2010: 16-17).

[^161]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Hobyot form is also transcribed in HV as hoṭóh (pp. 68 and 135), hwoh ṭoh (p. 155), and hóh țoh (p. 173).

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ The passage from 60:32 contradicts the assertion by Hofstede (1998: 99, 128) that only man can be used in yes-or-no questions.
    ${ }^{2}$ This informant also felt that man could be used in this sentence, with no difference in meaning.

[^163]:    ${ }^{3}$ I actually have heard one or two speakers use ðદ́nu with the article, when used as an attributive following a noun, but possibly in error.
    ${ }^{4}$ See the comment to this passage in the texts.

[^164]:    ${ }^{5}$ The particle ámma occurs far more frequently, about two dozen times, in Johnstone's Mehri texts, but nearly all of these occur in stories that have no Jibbali parallels.

[^165]:    ${ }^{6}$ In my grammar of Mehri (Rubin 2010: 241-243), I did not recognize these constructions with 'ād (= Jibbali 'od) plus a subjunctive of kun, which are less frequent in Johnstone's Mehri corpus. See further in Appendix D.

[^166]:    ${ }^{7}$ This same question 'ऽd 'éðər appears in 83:6, where, in order to better fit the context of the story, I have translated 'is there still (a chance for) a pardon?'. I could also have translated exactly as in 41:7.

[^167]:    ${ }^{8}$ Cf. Mehri $a b o ̄ b(n a)$, discussed in Rubin (2010: 248) and Watson (2012: 135). As noted in Appendix D, the one occurrence of abōban in Johnstone's Mehri texts (M26:9) can be corrected to abōbna.

[^168]:    ${ }^{9}$ In my Mehri grammar (Rubin 2010: 251), I analyzed the suffix on the Mehri equivalent dawnak, probably incorrectly, as a second person suffix.

[^169]:    ${ }^{10}$ But cf. Soqoṭri ${ }^{\text {'od }}$ 'go, walk' (with ' < ' $\dot{g}$ ). The use of this root for 'go' seems to be a lexical isogloss between Jibbali and Soqotri. The Mehri cognate of Jibbali aǵád 'go' is probably dialectal ġadū 'die’ (missing from ML, but cf. Watson 2012: 83), with the metathesized root $\dot{g} d w$. This root is also the source of the Mehri cohortative particles gंadźwwan and gंadéwki 'let's go!', which seem to be frozen 1cp and icd perfects, respectively, despite their unusual conjugation (Watson 2012: 96).
    ${ }^{11}$ In my Mehri grammar (Rubin 2010: 239), I listed hāak as an exclamation only, with no further comment. Watson (2012: 135) lists the Mehri feminine and plural forms.
    ${ }^{12}$ The story from which the first example (22:5) comes does have a Mehri parallel (text 3), but the passage itself has no parallel.

[^170]:    ${ }^{13}$ Note also that the verb 'jump' is doloff in EJ, but ðolóf in CJ, as noted also in $J L$ (s.v. dlf and $\varnothing(f)$.
    ${ }^{14}$ According to Hofstede (1998: 53-54), fóna 'formerly' can be substituted for kéźúm in both of the sentences cited here from her work. In other sentences that she cites, fónz and kézúm are used in tandem.

[^171]:    ${ }^{15}$ Jibbali text 22 is another telling of the same story as Mehri text 3, and not a direct equivalent or translation.
    ${ }^{16}$ Note that in the Mehri version of this story (M37:7), the cognate particle śaf has a pronominal suffix: śafham gənnawni.

[^172]:    ${ }^{17}$ As noted in §12.5.12 (with examples), the word $k \varepsilon t k$ has a meaning very close to (a)thúmk, and likewise seems to be a frozen 1cs perfect.

[^173]:    18 See Rubin (2010: 255-256) and Watson (2012: 130).
    ${ }^{19}$ In $H L$ (s.v. $\left.t w(w)\right)$, Johnstone connected Hearsusi taww 'just, now' with Mehri taww-, which has the same meanings as Jibbali $t \bar{o}-$. Lonnet does the same, with discussion (2003: 422-423).

[^174]:    ${ }^{20}$ The fact that Jibbali retains the initial $w$ - suggests that this is an Arabism, or at least a recent Arabic borrowing (see § 2.1.5).
    ${ }^{21}$ Jibbali text 25 is another telling of the same story as Mehri text 64, and not a direct equivalent or translation.
    ${ }^{22} J L$ (s.v. kys) gives the definition 'a good fit, proportion'.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most of these categories were already recognized by Hofstede (1998: 107).
    ${ }^{2}$ We also find bélé with the regular negative $a l \ldots l o$ in $45: 20$. For examples of bélé in a positive context, see § 13.4.3.

[^176]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Watson (2012: 337) for examples. Moreover, the Mehri negative $m$ - $\bar{d} d$ 'so that not' (Rubin 2010: 271) is also attested as man ād (Watson 2012: 394).
    ${ }^{4}$ In at least one idiom $\not \subset e r$ 'on, upon' is best translated with English 'have'. This is the idiom Øِer $X$ dun 'have debt', as in đ'rk dun mékən 'you have a lot of debt' (TJ2:85). The preposition tel 'at, by, beside' can also occasionally be translated with 'have', though not really to indicate possession; cf. its usage in 9:1.

[^177]:    5 The indefinite form mosé 'rain' is also acceptable. For example, I heard from another informant s̃ókum go mən mosé 'is it clear weather or raining?' (SM).

[^178]:    ${ }^{6}$ On the unexpected 3 fp forms takinan and bésan used in 9:2, see the comment to that line in the texts.

[^179]:    ${ }^{7}$ In $J L$ (s.v. $h l$ ), Johnstone wrote that in the EJ dialect around Sadḥ (or Sidḥ, a coastal town about 130 km east of Ṣalalah), this word is pronounced hel. We find a number of examples in texts TJ4 and TJ5, some of which were "corrected" to her in the Arabic-letter transcription of the text made by another EJ speaker (see the comment to TJ4:27).

[^180]:    ${ }^{8}$ The combination ar her can also mean 'only for' or 'except for' (e.g., 15:3; 51:2), in which case her is a preposition (§8.11), not the conditional particle.

[^181]:    ${ }^{9}$ There may also be an example in 6:11, depending on how we read that line. See the discussion in the comment to the text.
    ${ }^{10}$ This is the only place in the texts where this conditional particle surfaces as $a d$, rather than $\partial \partial$. As discussed in the comment to that line, this is perhaps a hypercorrection.

[^182]:    ${ }^{11}$ On the Mehri particles, see Rubin (2010: 283-284) and Watson (2012: 395; 399); on Hobyot, see $H V$ (pp. 295-296); and on Soqotri, see Leslau (1938: 227). See also $H L$ (s.v. w) on Harsusi.

[^183]:    ${ }^{12} J L$ has hogós, while the texts have only hegós. See further in the comment to text 60:20.

[^184]:    ${ }^{13}$ Davey (2013: 185) found that the Dhofari Arabic particles hatta (< hattā 'until') and gara (cf. Standard Arabic min garā-ka 'for your sake'), equivalent to Jibbali $\varepsilon d$ and $l$-agére, can also be used identically.
    ${ }^{14}$ In fact, Jibbali text 57 was translated directly from Mehri text 90.

[^185]:    ${ }^{15}$ On mad and mið, see §13.5.3.1.

[^186]:    16 The particle mið is well attested in the Mehri dialect spoken in the southeastern corner of Yemen. See Watson (2012: 383).

    17 An example with $\varepsilon$-bér can be found in $30: 3$, but see the comment to the text. On the elision of the $b$ in ber following the relative pronoun $\varepsilon$ - (i.e., $\varepsilon$-bér $>\bar{e} r$ ), see §7.2.

[^187]:    ${ }^{18}$ This sentence was translated from English to Jibbali by the informant.
    ${ }^{19}$ In Stroomer's translation of this Mehri text (M42:32), he takes the equivalent phrase $m \partial t$ səwānōt as referring to a one-time action: 'then in a little while, he took off'. I did likewise in my grammar (Rubin 2010: 296), where I translated 'after a little while, he took one (veil) off'. I would revise that translation now, to match what I have given here for Jibbali.

[^188]:    ${ }^{20}$ In texts $\mathrm{TJ}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{TJ}_{4}($ e.g., $\mathrm{TJ} 3: 26 ; \mathrm{TJ} 4: 52 ; \mathrm{TJ} 4: 63$; and $\mathrm{TJ} 4: 66$ ) there are examples of $\varepsilon d$ plus a subjunctive with reference to the past, in addition to examples with an expected perfect (e.g., TJ4:9; TJ4:37).

[^189]:    ${ }^{21}$ On Mehri (at-)t $\bar{\varepsilon}$, see Rubin (2010: 297-301) and Watson (2012: 385-386).

[^190]:    22 Another informant (SM) preferred this sentence with simple man in place of man hés. Cf. also the use of man $d$-'od in 32:12.

[^191]:    ${ }^{23}$ This elision has nothing to do with the particle hakt. In the dialect of this informant, the $b$ of $b e r$ is always elided after the relative pronoun $\varepsilon-/ \not \partial$. Almost all such attested examples happen to be following hakt.
    ${ }^{24}$ For more on the phrase hakte èrh- 'after', see §7.2.

[^192]:    ${ }^{1}$ The formal greetings for 'good morning', 'good afternoon', and 'good evening' are built on the same structure. The difference is in the verb used: gahém 'go (in the morning)', kəhéb 'come (in the daytime)', and agmíd 'come (in the evening)'.

[^193]:    $13 \rho(l) l$ - $\varepsilon$ śné: The Roman ms has ol $\varepsilon$ śné, but the proper 2 ms subjunctive form is $l$-ćśne (on the audio pronounced l-cśné, for whatever reason). When the negative $\rho l$ precedes the subjunctive prefix $l-$, it is normally realized 0 (see § 2.1.6 and §13.2.1).

[^194]:    15 fitax: The Roman ms has fitax, while the audio has fitóx. The Arabic ms gives no indication of the vowel $\rho$ (normally indicated with waw). The Mehri plural is fatowax, so there may be interference from Mehri here. $J L$ (s.v. $f(t x)$ lists only the singular form $f o t ̣ x$.

[^195]:    13 ntégah: This is a T1-Stem imperative. In Jibbali, there are a number of verbs that look like a $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stem in the perfect, but have $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ forms for the imperfect, subjunctive, and imperative (see §6.5.1; §6.5.4). The verb nútgaḥ is one of these. $J L$ (s.v. ngḥ) gives the T2 imperative antógah, while the form ntégaḥ in this text is a Ti form. Johnstone in his vocabulary notes to this text gives also a Ti imperfect and subjunctive yantégah. This verb should originally be a T1-Stem, as in Mehri. Perhaps the treatment of certain $\mathrm{T}_{1}$-Stems as $\mathrm{T}_{2}$-Stems is a dialectal phenomenon.

[^196]:    6 il-'áṣr: This is an Arabic word (with the Arabic definite article), meaning 'the afternoon prayer'. It is not in $J L$.
    6 fxarét: This word is missing from $J L$, though cognates do appear (s.v. $f x r$ ). Its use here is perhaps a Mehrism (cf. $M L$, s.v. $f x r$ ).
    7 yotmúm: This appears to be a Mehrism. The Jibbali form should be yatmím.
    8 cẓ̛áḥa: This is from Arabic ( $\uparrow d a l$-)aḍha 'Eid al-Adha, Feast of the Sacrifice'. It is not in $J L$.
    8 tabṣíf:This word meaning 'description’ (root $w s ̣ f)$ is not in $J L$. It is used also in 40:16 and 45:20.
    8 'adj́t: JL (s.v. 'wd) only gives the singular 'ódat 'habit, custom'.

[^197]:    ${ }_{1} y \bar{e} k \dot{\prime}$ : This is the 3 md form, while $y \bar{u} k i$ is the 3 mp . The Arabic ms and the audio actually have ðə-yēkó aw ['or' in Arabic] ðд-yūki. The Roman ms just has $\partial$-iúki, with the incorrect dual form $\partial$-əbkó in parentheses. $\varepsilon s f e ́ r o ́ t: ~ I n ~ J L ~(s . v . ~ \varsigma s f r) ~ t h i s ~ w o r d ~ i s ~ l i s t e d ~ w i t h ~ a n ~ i n i t i a l ~ ' ~ \varepsilon-~(' \varepsilon s f e ́ r o ́ t), ~$ but there is no indication of the initial $\varepsilon$ - in either manuscript of this text. Müller's original text has just ṣefirót, though a form eșferót can be found elsewhere in his material. The audio clearly has esférót, even in this line, where we expect an indefinite, which tells us that $\varepsilon$ - is not the article. The Ḥarsusi cognate is ṣ̌eferōt 'sandpiper', though Johnstone indicates in $H L$ (s.v. ṣffr) that there is no Mehri or Jibbali cognate. Forms with an initial 'ayin in Soqoṭri (from his own fieldwork) are recorded by Johnstone in $J L$ (see also Leslau 1938: 70), and are known from Arabic (cf. 'usffūr 'sparrow', alongside ṣāfir 'bird'). Cognates elsewhere in Semitic are Hebrew șippōr and Syriac ṣefrā.

[^198]:    4 táálúm: This must be a Gb-Stem 3 fs imperfect. In his Roman ms, as in Müller's text, Johnstone transcribed ta'lúm, which would be a subjunctive form. The audio seems to support ta'álúm, though the pronunciation of this is probably very close to that of ta`lúm. No Gb-Stem 'élam 'know' is listed in $J L$ (or $M L$ or $H L$ ), so this is either an oversight or an Arabism (cf. Arabic 'alima 'know'). Bittner (1916b: 29) lists such a verb, though it seems to be based only on this text. More tellingly, Jahn (1902: 163) includes a Mehri Gb-Stem aylam 'know'.
    $6 \varepsilon d$ : In both mss, her was crossed out and replaced with $\varepsilon d$. The latter, attested also on the audio, is probably a reflection of Müller's original, which has $t \varepsilon$ here. The particle her 'in order to' is used more frequently than $\varepsilon d$ in a purpose clause. The use of $t \varepsilon$ in Müller's text (and $\varepsilon d$ in Johnstone's) is perhaps a Mehrism (see § 13.5.2.4).

[^199]:    4 ḥĩý́n: This must be from him, for which $J L$ (s.v. ḥm) gives only the meaning 'brother-in-law' (as in 25:8). It appears that this word can also mean 'son-in-law', as the context here requires, and as its cognates can in Mehri (Jahn 1902: 191) and Soqoṭri (Leslau 1938: 178). It may also mean 'father-in-law', as in Mehri (ML, s.v. ḥm; Jahn 1902: 191), Hobyot (HV, p. 103), and Soqoṭri (Leslau 1938: 178), but JL (s.v. dwd) lists 'father-in-law' as an additional meaning of did 'paternal uncle'. Note, however, the Hobyot sentence ho ə'ōmar har hīmi 'a dīdi' 'I call my father-in-law dīdi (uncle)' (HV, p. 103), illustrating the overlap between these two lexemes. The suffix - $\varepsilon$ n suggests that the noun is plural, as in the Mehri version of this story (see Appendix E). However, JL gives only the plural hímta, which cannot be the base of hiy has an internal plural hawm (sg. haym), as does Hobyot.

[^200]:    10 nəf(̣̆á: This verb is glossed as 'flit to' in the Roman ms. I did not find it in $J L$. In the Arabic ms, it is spelled with simple $d$ instead of $\partial ִ$. The Mehri version of this story (see Appendix E) has the verb farūz' 'go home', and the Jibbali equivalent féd ${ }^{\prime} a^{\text {c }}$ is given in $M L$ (s.v. frź).

[^201]:    4 -axarét: The use of $w$ - here, rather than $b$ - (§12.1.1), is probably a Mehrism or an Arabism. (WJ speakers do use $w$ - 'and'.)
    4 xodũnt: This diminutive form is not in $J L$, but cf. Mehri xadmēnōt, which occurs in the Mehri version of this text ( $\mathrm{M}_{57}: 4$ ). On diminutives, see §4.5.
    4 ãḥ̣ól: This must be from a form maḥ̣ól. The Mehri text has amhaṣawl. Neither word appears in $J L$ or $M L$, but the root is clear (cf. the verbs in lines 3 and 4); cf. also Arabic maḥ̣ūul 'result; yield, gain'.

[^202]:    2 iyćl: $J L$ (s.v. 'bl) has the definite form iyyél, but there is no gemination heard on the audio. The Roman ms has iyél.
    2 takínan: The 3 fp imperfect tekinan is unexpected here. It seems to be agreeing with ćrún $b$-iyćl in its first appearance, even though the grammatical subject is núśab 'milk'. In the second clause, the 3 fp form is even stranger, since alhúti 'cows' normally has masculine agreement (cf. yafét, yzkín, and bóhum in 9:6). The same 3 fp verb form appears in the parallel Mehri version (58:2; see Rubin 2010: 244, n. 2), and this has possibly influenced the Jibbali translation.
    5 tṣrób: We expect here a 3fs H-Stem taṣérób here (as in the Mehri version). The mss have tiṣrób and the audio has tṣrób, which is probably a Mehrized H-Stem (cf. Mehri taṣrawb). The preceding $t \varepsilon$ is also a Mehrism. It is also highly unusual (in both the Jibbali and Mehri versions) that the verb is an imperfect; we expect a perfect after the temporal subordinator.

[^203]:    11 s̃onút(š): The mss have just s̃onút 'sleep', while the audio has s̃onútš 'his sleep'.
    11 'ágan nagzém lo: The Arabic ms and audio have ‘ágan lo nagzém.
    11 dha-nəkžźkum: The Arabic and Roman mss actually have the prefix hahere (unique in the texts), though on the audio Ali read dha-.

[^204]:    $15 \bar{\varepsilon} m i ́ t i ́: ~ J o h n s t o n e ~ a d d e d ~ t h e ~ g l o s s ~ ' m y ~ f a m i l y ' ~ i n ~ t h e ~ R o m a n ~ m s, ~ a n d ~ I ~$ have kept this translation for lack of a better word. It is actually the plural of 'mother' ( $\varepsilon$ míti) with the ics possessive suffix. The plural can be used not just for 'mothers', but to refer to any group of female family members, including aunts, sisters, and grandmothers. find this verb in $J L$ with this meaning. Perhaps it just means 'approach; be near', from kérab (JL, s.v. ḳrb).
    $17 m \varepsilon \tilde{s} l 0$ : The Roman ms has $m \varepsilon \tilde{s} l o$ (the expected word order), but the Arabic ms and the audio have $l o m \varepsilon \tilde{s}$. There is no difference in meaning.

[^205]:    9 дд－yant亏̄ḥən：On the audio，Ali first said ðə－yənt̄̄ḥっ，a 3md form，but corrected himself and read the 3 mp form ðə－yant亏̄ḥən that is written in the Arabic ms．
    $14 \bar{u} b \partial k$ ：The Arabic ms and the audio have ūbak＇your heart＇．This word was missing in the original draft of the Roman ms，but $\bar{u} b s ̌$（spelled wóbaš）＇his heart＇was added in the margin．
    14 al－falaníyya：See the comment to 5：7．

[^206]:    15 géls: We expect the Gb-Stem perfect of this root (meaning 'be ill') to have the form géźzi or géli, which is what we find is $J L$ (transcribed giźi). In Ali's texts, however, the verb has the form gél $\varepsilon$, with a clear final $-\varepsilon$ on the audio. According to $J L$, this is the adjective 'ill', but here (likewise in 18:7, 40:2, $51: 1,52: 1$, and elsewhere) géle is clearly a verb.
    16 keltót: Ali mistakenly read koltót on the audio.

[^207]:    3 ġolókũn: This is ġolókũn 'look there’, a special imperative form that includes the element -ũn, the same element -ũn that appears on various far demonstrative particles. We find the same form in 60:14. In the word-list to text 6o, Johnstone glossed ġolókũn as ‘look at this!'. Hofstede, in her translation (1998: 177), took this as a statement, 'we looked at the people', but this does not fit with the preceding 'my brother said to me'. Also, the 1cp (as Hofstede read) should be gंolókan (غلوقن), as in line 4, and the Arabic ms and audio confirms ǵolókũn (غلوقون). See also the comment to 39:9.

[^208]:    $11 \varepsilon g \not \partial{ }^{\prime}(s) \varepsilon ́ s$ : This form is difficult to explain. It is clearly the plural ginnú 'jinns' (cf. 30:16) plus the 3 fs possessive suffix - $\varepsilon$ s. The Roman ms has egin ${ }^{n}$ uwás, which is more or less what we expect, and the Arabic ms matches this form. The audio, however, has egnúsés, and the Roman ms has a note "better egínusés". The added $s$ of $\varepsilon$ egnúsés (preceding $\varepsilon$ $)$ is unexpected.
    11 tog g: This is the 2 mp subjunctive of the G-Stem létə $\dot{g}$ 'kill', to $\dot{g}$, plus the $3^{\mathrm{fs}}$ object suffix. The 3 ms subjunctive is yótว $\dot{g}<y$ ýltə $\dot{g}$. The 2 mp derives via taltóg $\dot{g}>t a(l) t o ́ g>t(\partial) t o ́ g>t o \dot{g}$.

[^209]:    3 naḥõr: JL (s.v. nḥr) and ML (s.v. nḥr) both list EJ náḥar, CJ naḥrót ‘sidepassage off a wadi'. I did find nḥõr in one of Johnstone's handwritten word-lists (Box 15C). In an article (1973: 101), Johnstone lists náḥár as the diminutive form of naḥór. The informants that I asked used nahõr.
    5 alhiḳ: The verb lhak here must mean something like 'hurry' or 'run', meanings not listed in $J L$ (s.v. lḥ̂). The meanings 'catch up with; run after', given in $J L$, are not far off. See also the comment to 36:4.
    5 gazék xar: This is the way to say 'thank you' in Jibbali, literally something like 'your reward is good'. Though the word gazé is not in $J L$, we do find related forms of the root $g z y$, such as $\tilde{s} \partial g e ́ z \varepsilon$ ' 'get one's just reward'; cf. also Arabic $j a z \bar{a}$ ' 'repayment, recompense'.

[^210]:    13 egúf: This word for 'chest' occurs in Mehri, Harsusi, and Hobyot (cf. ML and $H L$, s.v. $g w f ; H V$, p. 10), but not normally in Jibbali. The Jibbali word is $g \varepsilon ́ h \varepsilon^{\prime}(J L$, s.v. ghy).

[^211]:    $5 \varepsilon$-nfót: This is a contraction of $\varepsilon d n f o ́ t$ 'until we die'. This is clear from the initial $\varepsilon$ - (clear on the audio), from the context, and from Johnstone's gloss 'till we die' in the Roman ms. See also the comment to 28:17.

[^212]:    aǵbér: Johnstone glossed this verb as 'be exactly of age' in the Roman ms. The Mehri text has tam 'be completed' in the parallel passage, which fits the context better. An H-Stem verb aǵbér appears twice in $J L$ (s.v. $\dot{g} b r$ and $\dot{g} w r$, with different meanings), but none of the meanings given fit the context of this story. The verb here must be connected with Arabic G-Stem gabara 'elapse, pass, go by'.

[^213]:    11 yat: The Roman ms has iyš 'his father' (better $\bar{s} s$ ), while the Arabic ms and audio have yzt (يدت) 'a camel'. Both words fit the context, and whichever word is missing is obviously implied anyway.

[^214]:    4 xarff́: The mss both have this form, as does $J L$ (s.v. $x r f$ ), but on the audio Ali read axróf.
    6 angdarét: Johnstone glossed this in the Roman ms as 'jinn-brought; from underground'. This seems to be a lexicalized form of man gadrét 'from underground', a phrase which is also glossed in $J L$ (s.v. $g d r$ ) as 'supernatural'. In both mss and on the audio, there is no initial $m$-.
    7 l-íśnēn: This seems to be 2 ms conditional form of the H-Stem cśni (cf. 17:1).
    $9 \dot{g} a d u ́ u n$ : On the audio, this is pronounced $\dot{g} a d u ́ n$, but in the Arabic ms, Ali wrote two words غدو ون. See § 12.5.2.

[^215]:    $9 \dot{g} a l i ́ s ̌: ~ T h i s ~ m u s t ~ b e ~ a ~ c o n t r a c t i o n ~ o f ~ g a l i k ~+~-s ̌ ~ l o o k ~ a t ~ i t!', ~ t h o u g h ~ t h e ~$ loss of $k$ is irregular. Johnstone included the gloss 'here he is! look!' in
     of Johnstone's manuscript papers, Box 13A), but the audio has simple š. The underlying form $\dot{g} a l i k$ (given in $J L$, s.v. $\dot{g} l k$ ) is also unusual, as it has the pattern of the fs imperative. From this verb also comes the unusual imperative ġolókũn (see the comment to 16:3).

[^216]:    4 taštafóran: This is the 3 fp imperfect of the T1-Stem šótfar. This verb is glossed in $J L$ as 'come over one from the head down (as goose pimples)', but given the G-Stem šfor 'puncture', I wonder if the T1-Stem means literally something more like 'be pricked' (cf. the English usage of 'prickly' in connection with the feeling of goose-bumps).
    4 aǵá: According to $J L$ (s.v.' $\dot{g} l$ ), this derives from $a \dot{g} a ́ l$, but the final $l$ is lost in EJ (cf. also ML, s.v. $x t r$ ). In the Arabic ms, the word is written agálh, while Johnstone's Roman transcription has just aǵá. I found no audio for this text. Cf. the similar loss of a final $l$ discussed in the comment to 30:24. The shorter form $\dot{g} a$ also occurs in Müller's texts (Bittner 1916b: 56).

    5 ajōrak: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this verb as 'mixed a lot of different food and drink; drink cold water after meat; drink water or milk after meat and sleep'. In the accompanying word-list, he added the simpler gloss 'overeat'. It is a D/L-Stem of $\dot{g} w r$ (3ms perfect $a \dot{g} o ̄ r$ ), though the verb is not in JL. It is obviously related to the noun tagbir 'heartburn, indigestion' in line 16.

[^217]:    7 ašhér: JL (s.v. šḥr) includes only the CJ dialectal form šhor, while ML (s.v. ymv) lists EJ šḥer and CJ šḥor. My EJ, CJ, and WJ informants nearly all used ašhér, though for some CJ informants both forms were acceptable. Only one CJ informant (MmS) accepted only šhor. $\varepsilon n z a f$ 'r: This is a suppletive plural of zafz't 'time' (see $J L$, s.v. zff and $z f r$ ). JL (s.v. zfr) gives only the form mizfór. Perhaps this is the definite form $\tilde{\varepsilon} z \not f^{\prime} \neq(<\varepsilon$-mizfór), though the context should require an indefinite form, or a misanalysis of the definite form. The spelling in the Arabic ms clearly has $\varepsilon n z a f o ́ r ~(l i k e w i s e ~ i n ~ 45: 10) . ~ . ~$

[^218]:    12 عrékam: This word does not appear in JL. It is simply a borrowing of Arabic raqm 'number'.
    13 ašhér: See the comment to 40:7.
    Text 42b
    5 yahrígən seek:Johnstone gives this option for the apodosis in the Roman ms only.

[^219]:    1 rihm: This adjective in Jibbali can mean either 'long' (like a road) or 'tall' (like a person). The riddle does not quite work in English since 'long' and 'tall' are different words.
    $1 y \bar{c}$ :This is from the G-Stem verb $m \bar{\imath}$ 'touch' (root $m y w$ ). JL (s.v. $m y v$ ) give the 3 ms imperfect ímí (= yamí), but in the short word-list Johnstone made for this text, he listed the 3 ms imperfect $\partial$-ĩl. It is possible that $y \bar{\imath}$ here is better transcribed $y \tilde{\imath}$, but since I found no audio, I follow Johnstone here.
    1 joţab: This word is not in JL, but it must be related to Arabic waṭb 'skin into which milk is put'. In the word-list to this text, Johnstone gives the plural form yoṭób. The meaning 'udder' was confirmed by informants. 'ak kélbi: In the Arabic ms, 'ak kélbi is written akélbi. Similar spellings of this phrase are found elsewhere in Ali's texts. See also §8.3.

[^220]:    19 Egáḥgáḥ: This word, referring to the wedding night, literally means 'entry'. It comes from the verb égaḥ 'enter' (root wgh), and the form is exactly parallel to Mehri kabkēb (< wakūb 'enter', root wkb). Cf. the use of the verb 'enter' to mean 'consummate (a marriage)' in 7:9. The word is not listed in $J L$, and $M L$ (s.v. $k b k b$ ) lists different EJ and CJ forms. Those forms, however, may be verbal nouns, and might not, in fact, be used with reference to the wedding night. It is used also in 97:35 and 97:47.

[^221]:    is unclear if this is a bare noun (cf. $J L b \varepsilon ́ d \partial^{\prime}$ 'lie, falsehood'), or the (expected) elided form of ba-béde' 'by falsehood; in a lie'.
    $15 a l-\tilde{s} \varepsilon f\left(k \bar{c} s{ }^{s}:\right.$ This is a contraction of $a l-s \tilde{s} \tilde{f} f k \varepsilon$ beš 'to cover myself with (it)'. Johnstone seems to indicate this in his Roman ms, though it is possible he means that al-s̃efkēě is an error for al-s̃efké beš. The Arabic ms confirms the form al-s̃efkēš. A similar contraction is found in text 48:3.
    17 étal: This verb is glossed in $J L$ (s.v. ' $\underline{t} l$ ) as 'follow, chase', but it clearly means 'catch (up to)' here, as it does also in 97:15, 97:17, and elsewhere in text 97.

[^222]:    dóak: This the correct 2 ms perfect of the verb daé (root $d^{\prime} v$ ). Cf. šóak 'I ran', from ša‘é (root šv). See further on this verb type in § 7.4.12.

[^223]:    3 antégah: See the comment to 3:13.
    5 alhélé: On the Arabic source of the word and its possible etymology, see JL (s.v. 'lhl').
    7 málézt: This word is glossed in the Roman ms as 'sickening thing'. The root is ${ }^{\prime} / z$, though this noun is absent from the $J L$ entry. The Mehri equivalent (used in the corresponding Mehri text) is mālēz 'sickening person, thing', which does appear in $M L$ (s.v. ' $/ z$ ), along with a note that the word is absent in CJ.

[^224]:    4 عžérét: The other Mehri and Jibbali versions have 'the fisherman' here, while the Harsusi version has 'her husband'. This word is defined in $J L$ (s.v. žrr) as 'wife after the first in polygamous marriage', but it seems based on this context (in which cžérét refers to the deceased first wife) that the meaning is broader, perhaps just 'other wife'.
    5 mašér: On this word, see the comment to 30:9.
    6 عzīgót: This is the 3 fs perfect of the D/L-Stem $\varepsilon z \bar{o} g$ 'praise, flatter' (root $z y g / z w g)$. I have assumed a slightly different meaning here to fit the context, and based on the other versions.

[^225]:    $1 b \bar{e}$ : This word meaning 'very' is pronounced as such on the audio, and is transcribed bé in Johnstone's Roman mss. In Salim's Arabic ms, he spelled the word بيَّ. As discussed in the comment to 4:10, $J L$ (s.v. wyy) lists both bé 'very' and biyya 'enough', which are almost certainly the same word. Informants recognized only $b \bar{e}$. The form may be historically biya, or the like (hence, perhaps, Salim's spelling), as suggested by the Mehri cognate wiyan.
    xérín: In $J L$ (s.v. $x y r$ ), Johnstone translated this word as 'better', and even included this passage, with the translation 'there is none better'. He also translated the phrase ol xérín lo as 'there was none better' in one of the Roman ms for this text. The word xérín 'a little’ (§5.5.1) is listed in $J L$ under the root $x w r$. I played the audio of this text for two informants, and both agreed that the meaning of xérín here is 'a little', consistent with the meaning of this word everywhere else in Johnstone's texts. The phrase $o l x$ xérín lo 'not a little' is complementary to be 'very', and both ol xérín lo and bē are qualifying arḥĩt 'beautiful'. It is likely that xérín has only one meaning, 'a little', and that Johnstone's translation 'better' in $J L$ is based solely on this passage, and should probably be removed.

[^226]:    6 ba-kólin: In the Roman ms and on the audio (which follows the Roman ms ), we find just in here. In the Arabic ms, which records a slightly different version of the story, Salim wrote kol in.
    7 un: This rare word ( $\S 12.5 .2$ ) is in the Arabic ms and on the audio, but Johnstone missed it in his Roman transcriptions.

[^227]:    2 a'taśó: Below the 3 mp perfect $a^{\prime} t o ́ s s^{\varepsilon}$, the 3 md dual form $a^{\text {ctaśś }}$ is added in the margin.
    4 bélag: On this word, see the comment to 21:10.
    7 íné mon: The exact function of iń mən here is unclear, though the context makes the meaning fairly apparent.
    7 mag 'érót: This word is not in $J L$, but is clearly from the root $g^{\text {c }}$ (cf. ga'ár 'he fell'). Müller's text has instead ga'rót.

[^228]:    10 nzēn: This word, meaning something like 'alright', 'now then', or 'ok', is used in Dhofari Arabic.
    1o yafórź̛as: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'he takes her away?'. JL (s.v. frź) lists no G-Stem verb from this root, but includes several other stems, all having a meaning 'please' or 'be pleased'. In $M L$ (s.v. frź), a Mehri G-Stem is given, among the meanings of which is 'go home'.
    13 man dém: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'as long as'. JL (s.v. $d w m$ ) only lists man dum 'as long as'. The form dém must come from Arabic dāma 'last, continue', as used in the phrase mā dāma 'as long as'. The phrase man dém is used also in lines 29 and 35 .

[^229]:    17 yalmún: This form is difficult to parse. It is related ultimately to the root $l w m$ 'blame', attested in both $J L$ (G-Stem $\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{\varepsilon} m$ ) and $M L$ (G-Stem and D/L-Stem). $M L$ (s.v. $/ w m$ ) lists an EJ elmín perhaps from an original II-w D/L-Stem (see §7.4.8) *\&lwím (cf. Mehri alwīm) > *Elbím (with the expected * $w>b$ ) $>{ }^{*}$ Elbin (with dissimilation) $>$ elmín (with the expected ${ }^{*} b>m$ before $n$ ). Still this does not fully explain the form yalmún. A D/L-Stem imperfect of an original *عlwím should be have the underlying shape *yalwíman >yalbíman. Perhaps there was a shift of *yalwíman > *yalwúman > *yalwũn > *yalbún >yalmún. In the Roman ms , Johnstone lists a perfect lím, which, if correct, is challenging to explain. He added the gloss 'nag', along with the (expected) Mehri 3mp imperfect yalwīman.
    m'ámni: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this word as 'honour'.
    $25 \varepsilon$-šfik: Ali and Johnstone transcribed here the active (G-Stem) $\varepsilon$-šfjk. 'the one who got married', but on the audio Aḥmad clearly says $\varepsilon$-šfik. 'the one who was married', using the H-Stem passive šfik (found also in AM1:5).

[^230]:    26 yahkék: This form could reflect either a G-Stem or H-Stem imperfect of the root $h k k$. JL lists only an H-Stem, but with the meanings 'question, interrogate; be patient, put up with'. ML lists a G-Stem for Mehri, but with the meanings 'adjust, level, file smooth', and noting that the verb doesn't have a Jibbali cognate. We can almost certainly compare here the Arabic G-Stem haqqa 'be right, appropriate'. In the margin of the Roman ms, Johnstone noted a (G-Stem) perfect hek, with the gloss 'has to go by law'.

[^231]:    $4 \varepsilon r z ̌:$ Although $\varepsilon r$ ź literally means 'country’, it can also be used to mean 'home-country, homeland'.
    géš: This word, not in $J L$, is clearly a reflection of Arabic jayš 'army'.
    5 óda‘ $\bar{\imath}$ : Ali transcribed ' $\jmath d k \bar{\imath} k$, which is an error. The audio confirms óda‘ $\bar{i}$.
    6 giwéz: This is Arabic jawāz 'permit, permission'.
    dréwal: This word, meaning 'driver', is known from Arabic dialects in the region, and was known to my informants. It comes ultimately from English 'driver', via Arabic.

[^232]:    26 Ḱ̛žík: This seems to be a G passive, since the G kéz̧é means 'pay compensation', while the meaning here is 'be paid compensation'.
    27 al-kžź: Ali transcribed akžź here, but the audio has al-akžź, which looks like a G passive 3 ms subjunctive. (Cf. the previous comment.) 28 máḥkama: This is Arabic maḥkamah 'court (of law)'.

[^233]:    5 hāyim taḥt dāyim: This is an Arabic phrase, meaning something like 'wandering continually'.
    6 hel: This is a dialectal variant of her (§13.4.1). See the comment to line 27.
    šánṭah: This word (< Arabic šanṭah) is not in $J L$, but is listed in $M L$ (s.v. šnt).
    7 ganeh $\bar{\varepsilon} t$ : This word, another Arabic loan, is also not in $J L$. The singular giní occurs in 52:8.
    8 šhéle: This verb is clearly an Š2-Stem of the root $h l^{\prime}$ ', meaning 'be given a description'. JL (s.v. $\underset{l}{ } / v$ ) lists only the Šı-Stem s̃halé, with this meaning, though it gives an Š2-Stem imperfect.

[^234]:    55 fénús: This word is not in $J L$, but it is simply a borrowing of Arabic fānūs 'lantern', itself borrowed from Greek phanós. HV (p. 61) lists Hobyot and Mehri faynōs 'kerosene lamp'.
    55
    hiškǐ̌: In the Roman ms, Johnstone added the gloss 'don't be afraid'. It is an exclamation only. One says hiškikk to a man, and hiškj́kum to a group.

[^235]:    71 ǵáṣab: In the Roman ms, Johnstone glossed this as 'force'. It is not in $J L$, but several related words appear (s.v. $\dot{g} s ̣ b$ ). The same word is used in Mehri text 70:5, and is likewise missing from ML. Both are borrowed from Arabic $\dot{g} a s b$ 'force'.
    71 عhũn: In the Roman ms, Johnstone transcribed $\varepsilon h u ̃ n$ and added the gloss 'which of them', and the same word is used in TJ2:100. See §11.10.

[^236]:    90 nitn $\bar{\varepsilon} z i l$ : This is an Arabic 1cp imperfect from the verb tanāzala 'stoop, condescend'.
    92 her suṭún: See the comment to line 21.
    95 axá: This word is not listed in $J L$, but is no doubt equivalent to the rather rare Mehri word $x \bar{a}$ 'like'. On the audio, after axá the speaker stumbled and paused, so it seems that he meant for the following al-hés to replace axá, rather than for the two words to be used together. See also the comment to $\mathrm{TJ} 2: 65$.

[^237]:    1 ĩtal:This D/L-Stem fs imperative form, along with the fs imperative kalít in line 3, confirm that the other speaker is indeed a woman.
    $\tilde{u} n$ : Ali transcribed this in the Arabic ms, but it is not audible on the audio.
    kalí: Ali transcribed kolí in the Arabic ms, which is the correct fs imperative, but on the audio he used the ms form kalác.
    1o h-iyél: See the comment to TJ4:21.

[^238]:    1 gabl: This is an Arabism (local Arabic gabl < Classical qabla). When asked about gabl 'ónut 'a year ago' as an Arabism, the speaker offered Jibbali 'ónut e-térfót 'last year' as the equivalent.
    3 bass: The speaker sometimes uses bass as a filler particle.
    3 'álaf: This word meaning 'animal feed, fodder' is not in JL, but the corresponding verb 'alóf 'feed, tend' is listed (s.v. 'lf). Cf. also Arabic 'alaf 'fodder'.

    3 wáḍ‘a: This is an Arabic word, meaning literally ‘status' or 'situation'.

[^239]:    2 yéskan: It is extremely difficult to distinguish the vowel of the first syllable of this word on the audio. When asked for clarification, the speaker said yéskən. When asked again, he said yóskən. The speaker deemed both these two forms both acceptable. Cf. also the comment to FBi:1.
    3 kémal: When telling this story, the speaker used kémal (< Arabic kāmil 'whole'), but when reviewing it he suggested replacing this with the Jibbali form kelš.

[^240]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unlike Johnstone's Jibbali manuscripts, many of his Mehri texts also had English translations.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 2011, I also discovered an audio recording of part of Mehri text 97, which, like the Arabic-letter manuscript, confirmed nearly all of my suggested corrections for that text.

[^241]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Rubin (2010: 110, n. 14).
    ${ }^{4}$ This word is not in $M L$, but the Jibbali cognate maḥgé 'family; family property' (used in J57:15) is included in $J L$ (s.v. $h g v$ ).
    ${ }^{5}$ In Rubin (2010: 145, n. 19), I had questioned why $\partial$ - appeared in 101:14, but not in 101:15. The prefix $\partial$ - is, in fact, present in the original Arabic ms, as expected. It is only missing from the Roman ms, on which Stroomer based the printed version.

