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## GLOSSING ABBREVIATIONS

| 1 | first person | PFV | perfective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | second person | PL | plural |
| 3 | third person | PN | proper name |
| ALT | alternative | PNLOC | proper name location |
| CMP | comparative | PRO | pro (focused dummy argument) |
| CND | conditional | PRS | present |
| CNSM | 'consumed' possessorindexing host | PSBL | possible |
| CNT | continuous | PURP | purposive |
| CNTX | contextualizer | RD | reduplication |
| CRD | cardinal | RECP | reciprocal |
| CS | causative | REFL | reflexive |
| DSDR | desiderative | RL | realis |
| EMPH | emphatic | S | subject |
| EXC | exclusive plural | SBD | subordinator |
| EXCLM | exclamation | SBJ | subject |
| EXHST | exhaustive | SEQ | sequential |
| FOC | focus | SG | singular |
| FUT | future | SPC | specifying |
| GENP | general possessor-indexing host | thatN | that (nearby) |
| IMM | immediacy | thatNV | that (not visible) |
| IMP | imperative | thatPV | that (potentially visible) |
| INC | inclusive plural | thereD | there (distal) |
| INIT | initiality | therep | there (proximal) |
| IRR | irrealis | theser | these (within reach) |
| ITR | intransitive | theset | these (touching) |
| LMT | limiter | thisR | this (within reach) |
| LOC | locative | thisT | this (touching) |
| NEG | negative | thosen | those (nearby) |
| NMLZ | nominalizer | thosenv | those (not visible) |
| NSP | nonspecific | thosepV | those (potentially visible) |
| NT | neutral modality | TR | transitive |
| $\bigcirc$ | object | VOC | vocative |
| ORD | ordinal | ?? | function unclear |

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Sociolinguistic context

Ooe Kokota ('Kokota talk') is spoken on Santa Isabel, the middle island in the northern chain of the Solomon Islands' double chain of six large islands and several smaller islands. At over 200 kilometers in length, it is the longest of these islands, but has the lowest population density in the Solomon Islands, with some 25,000 inhabitants. Ooe Kokota is the language of three villages: Goveo and Sisiga, half way along Santa Isabel's north coast, and Hurepelo at a correpsonding location on the south coast. A small number of Kokota speakers are resident in the capital Honiara and the provincial capital Buala.

Speakers refer to themselves as Kokota people, and their language as Ooe Kokota. The name Kokota itself refers to a currently uninhabited area of coastal Santa Isabel between Goveo and Buala, and also to a river that enters the sea at that point. The word kota in present day Ooe Kokota means 'to go ashore' or 'to land', and Kokota is a historically reduplicated form of that root.

Until the $19^{\text {th }}$ century the mountainous interior of Santa Isabel was populated. These groups later dispersed to the coast on either side of the island, leaving most of the interior uninhabited, including the region between the Kokota villages. The relative proximity of Goveo and Sisiga allows regular boat contact. Travel to and from Hurepelo is a far more considerable matter, and less contact is maintained. In Kokota areas paths extend beyond the village as far as local coconut and betelnut plantations, and gardens in the hills behind the villages. People do not cross the island on foot, and travel to Hurepelo involves a boat trip of several days. Speakers from Goveo and Sisiga report no significant linguistic differences between those two villages, and no sound differences between these communalects and Hurepelo. Nothing else is known about Kokota dialectology.

Today the number of Kokota speakers is probably approaching 1200 individuals. Ethnologue (2005) gives a figure of 530 speakers in 1999, but Ethnologue (2000) gave a figure of 1,020 for the previous year, 1998. Palmer (1999a:1) gives a 1999 figure of "in excess of 900 ," based on extrapolations from 1976 and 1986 censuses. Given that the population of Santa Isabel increased by $25 \%$ between 1999 and 2007, a 2007 figure of between 1100 and 1200 is likely.

Kokota is the normal language of communication in all three Kokota villages. In the 1990 s, when the present field research was carried out, it was used for all purposes except in the primary school, where English was the official medium and Solomons Pijin often used, and in church, where sermons by non-Kokota priests were given in Pijin. Outside school, children used Kokota almost exclusively. This, coupled with the rapidly increasing population, suggests that the language is not in immediate danger of disappearing, despite its low speaker
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numbers. Several Pijin words have been borrowed into the language, as have a number of words from the two dominant Santa Isabel languages Zabana and Cheke Holo, but this is not a new phenomenon. It is clear that borrowing between the island's languages was the norm before the arrival of Europeans.

However, Kokota is at risk from Zabana and Cheke Holo, which are spreading as their much larger populations expand and move into new areas of the island. Neighboring Hoatana, known in the literature as Laghu (Tryon and Hackman 1983), spoken between Kokota and Zabana, lost its last speaker in 1984, through intermarriage and population expansion of Zabana speakers. Kokota is similarly at risk, mainly from Cheke Holo. The inhabitants of Bolotei and Toelegu, west of Sisiga, and Dedeu, west of Hurepelo, are Cheke Holo speakers (Whiteman and Simons 1978:6). More recently a settlement of Cheke Holo speakers was established on the coast between Goveo and Sisiga. This encroachment of Cheke Holo speakers may be expected to continue. Several Kokota speakers expressed the opinion that one day everyone on Santa Isabel will speak Cheke Holo, an opinion also reported elsewhere on the island (Whiteman and Simons 1978:6).

### 1.2 Kokota in Oceanic

The large Oceanic branch of Austronesian has several major subgroups. With the exception of Bugotu, all Santa Isabel languages belong to Western Oceanic, a first-order subgroup displaying shared innovations. (Ross 1988:386-392; 1995:92) While some innovations are shared by more than one subgroup, none are shared by all. Western Oceanic, therefore, represents several groupings descended from a dialect network that spread slowly through the Bismarck Archipelago, coastal New Guinea, and the northwestern Solomon Islands including Bougainville, following the more rapid eastern expansion of several other first-order Oceanic subgroups.

Western Oceanic itself comprises three groups: Papuan Tip, North New Guinea (Ross 1995:89, 92-93) and Meso-Melanesian, consisting of languages of the Bismarcks and the western Solomon Islands. The Meso-Melanesian network divides into two small groups and the large New Ireland network, which in turn divides into four small groups the South New Ireland/Northwest Solomonic network. South New Ireland/Northwest Solomonic divides into seven small groups and Northwest Solomonic (NWS). (Ross 1988:257-314)

NWS comprises the Oceanic languages of Bougainville and its offshore islands (excluding Polynesian Outliers), of Choiseul, of the New Georgia group, and, excepting Bugotu, of Santa Isabel ${ }^{1}$ (Ross 1988:213-256). The boundary with NWS Cheke Holo and Gao on one side and Bugotu on the other represents the easternmost extension of Western Oceanic.
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The roughly 37 NWS languages fall into six subgroups: Piva/Bannoni, Nehan/ North Bougainville, Mono-Uruavan, Choiseul, New Georgia, and Santa Isabel. ${ }^{2}$ Ross (1988:224-225, 240-247) finds one shared lexical innovation and some syntactic evidence that weakly appears to link New Georgia and Isabel into a single larger subgroup. Whether or not this is ultimately demonstrated, each clearly represents a distinct subgroup at some level. Shared phonological and lexical innovations justify grouping the Isabel languages and positing a common ancestor, Proto Santa Isabel (Ross 1988:225). Members of the Isabel chain are Zabana (aka Kia), Hoatana (aka Laghu, extinct), Kokota, Blablanga (probably including Zazao [aka Kilokaka]), Cheke Holo (aka Maringe), and Gao.

### 1.3 Previous work on Kokota

Before the present research, Kokota was known to outsiders only from Tryon and Hackman's (1983) 320 item wordlist. A section of the Church of Melanesia Prayer Book (Church of Melanesia 1965) had also been translated into Kokota by a Zabana speaker (Church of Melanesia n.d.) and used locally, despite grammatical and lexical inaccuracies and numerous Zabana words.

In the 1990s the author began fieldwork in Goveo village. This lead to a PhD thesis (Palmer 1999a); a discussion of the phonemic status of Kokota's voiceless sonorants (Palmer 1999b); a grammatical sketch (Palmer 2002a); a discussion of the syntactic status of Oceanic indirect possessor-indexing hosts with particular reference to Kokota (Palmer and Brown 2007); and an online dictionary (Palmer 2007). In addition, at the community's request as a condition of field work, a further section of the Prayer Book, the Evening Prayer, was translated in collaboration with a local Committee and desktop published (Palmer 1998).

### 1.4 The present study

The present work describes the grammar of Kokota, including its phonology, and its phrase, clause, and sentence level syntax. Particular attention is paid to the system of possession; to argument structure; and to the stress regime, which is complex and in a process of change. Like many Oceanic languages Kokota has limited morphological complexity, so there is no separate chapter on morphology. Aspects of the morphology and morphosyntax are discussed in conjunction with other areas of the grammar that they relate to syntactically or functionally. The structure of the language is described up to the level of the sentence. Apart from information structure issues with syntactic implications (such as topicalization and focushood), discourse level phenomena are not discussed. Sociolinguistic phenomena and issues such as multilingualism, language mixing and code switching are beyond the scope of the work. As research was carried out in one village, Kokota dialectology is not discussed.
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### 1.5 Orthography

Kokota speakers use the standard orthography of the dominant neighboring Cheke Holo language (used by White et al. 1988, Boswell 2002, and the Cheke Holo New Testament, and for Kokota by Palmer (1998, 1999a, 1999b, 2002a).

Several Cheke Holo phonemes are not found in Kokota, and letters for these are omitted from the Kokota orthography. An exception is the apostrophe representing a glottal stop. The glottal stop is not phonemic in Kokota, but speakers often use the apostrophe to represent an epenthetic intervocalic glottal, especially in words cognate with Cheke Holo words displaying a glottal. Another anomaly is the use of both ' $j$ ' and ' $z$ ' as in Cheke Holo, where [ $d_{3}$ ] and [3] are allophones (White et al. 1988:x). This is not true of Kokota, where the affricate is not present even phonetically. However, under the influence of Holo, cognate forms spelled with ' j ' in Holo tend to be spelled with ' j ' in Kokota. Finally, in line with Cheke Holo, 'w' is used in some Pijin loans, despite the absence of [w] from the Kokota phoneme inventory. The orthography used by Kokota speakers is given in Table 1.1.

Chapter 2 on phonology uses IPA throughout. In the remaining chapters all data is presented in the orthography. This orthography is treated here as a phonemic representation, and all forms, including English personal names, are spelled phonemically using the orthography. This is not representative of actual usage, as English personal names are spelled as in English by the Kokota. Thus, when the name of my informant James appears in an example, it is spelled zemesi, representing actual Kokota pronunciation, although no Kokota speaker would write the name in this way.

TABLE 1.1. KOKOTA ORTHOGRAPHY

| phoneme /p/ | letter <br> p | phoneme $/ \mathrm{m} /$ | letter <br> m | phoneme /a/ | letter <br> $a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /b/ | $b$ | $/ \mathrm{m} /$ | $m h$ | /e/ | $e$ |
| /t/ | $t$ | /n/ | $n$ | /i/ | $i$ |
| /d/ | $d$ | /n/ | $n h$ | /o/ | $o$ |
| /k/ | $k$ | $1 \mathrm{y} /$ | $\bar{n}$ | /u/ | $u, w$ |
| /g/ | $\bar{g}$ | /i/ | $\bar{n} h$ |  |  |
| /f/ | $f$ | /1/ | $l$ |  |  |
| /v/ | $v$ | /1/ | lh |  |  |
| /s/ | $s$ | /r/ | $r$ |  |  |
| \|z/ | $z(j)$ | /r/ | $r h$ |  |  |
| /h/ | $h$ | - | (') |  |  |
| /8/ | $g$ |  |  |  |  |
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### 2.1 Segmental phonology

### 2.1.1 Consonants

### 2.1.1.1 Consonant phoneme inventory

The Kokota consonant phoneme inventory is remarkably symmetrical. Three place classes exist distinguished by the features [ $\pm$ labial] and [ $\pm$ coronal]. Each class may be characterized as follows:
(2.1) [+labial, -coronal] (bilabials and labiodentals)
[-labial, +coronal] (post-alveolars)
[-labial, -coronal] (velars and glottals)
Five manner classes exist: two obstruent classes (plosive and fricative), and three sonorant classes (nasal, lateral, and rhotic). Of these, plosives, fricatives, and nasals occur in each of the three place classes. Laterals and rhotics occur only in [-labial, +coronal] place. Kokota is unusual in that a corresponding voice pair exists in every place/manner class: a full set of voiceless counterparts exist for each voiced consonant phoneme, including sonorants. There are thus 22 consonant phonemes in 11 place and manner pairs:

## TABLE 2.1. CONSONANT PHONEMES



TABLE 2.2. CONSONANT FEATURE MATRICES

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{b}$ | $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}$ | $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g}$ | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{v}$ | $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{z}$ | $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{y}$ | $\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{l}$ | $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}$ |
| labial | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | - | - |
| coronal | - | + | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | + |
| continuant | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| sonorant | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + |
| nasal |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | + | - | - |
| lateral |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | + | - |
| rhotic |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | + |

## 2,1.1.1.1 Evidence for phoneme status: consonants

Tables 2.3 and 2.4 demonstrate consonant phoneme contrasts by voicing status, and manner and place of articulation.

TABLE 2.3. CONTRASTIVE SETS DEMONSTRATING VOICE AND MANNER OF ARTICULATION DISTINCTIONS


* No minimal pair has been identified A near minimal pair demonstrates the contrast.


### 2.1.1.1.2 Consonant phoneme frequencies

On the basis of a representative sample of Kokota words the relative frequencies of consonant phonemes has been calculated. The wordlist (presented along with further details in Palmer 1999a) contains 335 basic lexical items giving a total of 748 consonant phoneme tokens. The most striking findings from this analysis lie in patterns of relationship between place of articulation and sonority (Table 2.5), and voicing and sonority (Table 2.6). Table 2.5 is interesting in that while coronals are well represented as both obstruents and sonorants, the nonlabial noncoronals are the smallest class of sonorants, but the largest class of obstruents.

TABLE 2.4. CONTRASTIVE SETS DEMONSTRATING PLACE OF ARTICULATION DISTINCTIONS

|  | labials | coronals | nonlabial noncoronals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +voice plosives | ba/ 'possibilitative' | da/ '1INC subject' | /ga/ 'lexc subj. |
| -voice plosives | 'puku/ 'be short' | ttuku/ 'wait' | /kuku/ 'defecate' |
| +voice fricatives | vivivri/ 'propeller' vilai/ 'knife' | zziziri/ 'tree sp.'* fizo/ 'point' | iyo/ '2SG object' frilai/ 'until' |
| voiceless fricatives | fodu/ 'be full' | sodu/ 'be tall' soda/ 'clam' | 'hoda/ 'take'* |
| voiced nasals | /ma/ 'father' | nna/ '1EXC subj. realis' | ya/ 'but' |
| voiceless nasals | mamayu/ 'be fearful' meme/ 'be difficult' | nnañayarai/ 'lobster'* nae/ 'be clear' | ฤŋene/ 'be separate' y $\mathfrak{y} a u /$ 'eat'* |

* No minimal pair has been identified. A near minimal pair demonstrates the contrast.


## TABLE 2.5. PROPORTION BY PLACE CLASS AND PROPORTION OF OBSTRUENTS TO SONORANTS

|  | [+labial] | [+coronal] | [-labial, | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Obstruents |  |  | -coronal] |  |
| Sonorants | $16 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Total | $6 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | $22 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## TABLE 2.6. PROPORTION BY VOICING AND SONORITY

|  | Obstruents | Sonorants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Voiced | $32 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| Voiceless | $68 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

The proportion of voiced to voiceless tokens overall is roughly equal ( $52 \%$ voiced, $48 \%$ voiceless). However, as Table 2.6 shows, this does not accurately reflect the voicing situation. Instead, there is a preference for voiceless obstruents, and a strong preference for voiced sonorants, reflecting a tendency towards a maximal contrast between obstruents and sonorants, with phoneme tokens tending to bunch at either end of the sonority hierarchy. Broadly speaking, Kokota displays a preference for nonlabial obstruents and a strong preference for anterior sonorants, along with a strong preference for voiceless obstruents and for voiced sonorants.
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### 2.1.1.2 Consonant phonemes

### 2.1.1.2.1 Sonorants

A voiced and voiceless pair occurs in each place and manner category of sonorants. Nasals occur at each of the three place classes, with labial (bilabial), coronal (post-alveolar), and nonlabial noncoronal (velar) nasals. Laterals and rhotics occur only in post-alveolar place. The rhotics are taps. However, when immediately preceding a lateral they can be realized as rhotic approximants. This adjacency occurs in rapid speech as the result of vowel syncope:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /are }+ \text { lau } / \rightarrow / \text { arelau } / \rightarrow \text { /arlau } / \rightarrow \text { [anlau }] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.1.2.2 Plosives

Voiced and voiceless plosives ([-sonorant -continuant]) exist in each place class, produced as bilabial, post-alveolar, and velar. There is no prenasalization of voiced plosives, and voiceless plosives are unaspirated in all positions.

### 2.1.1.2.3 Fricatives

As with plosives, within the class [-sonorant, +continuant] voiced and voiceless counterparts exist in each place class. However, place of articulation features for fricatives are not all identical to those of the corresponding plosives, and there is less symmetry than with other categories.

### 2.1.1.2.3.1 Labial fricatives

The labial fricatives are labiodental and differ from each other only in voice.

### 2.1.1.2.3.2 Coronal fricatives

The coronal fricatives are post-alveolar, being produced further back than the English equivalents. Some variation occurs in production of the voiced phoneme. Before the high front vowel /// the fricative $/ \mathrm{z} /$ is palatalized. However, the resulting variant is not apico-palatal, like English $/ 3 /$. It remains apico-post-alveolar, but tongue body height is raised to position for the vowel. This only occurs under the influence of a following, not a preceding, vowel, the feature apparently only spreading within a syllable. However, it also does not occur word-initially. Thus palatalization occurs in (2.3)a., but not in (2.3)b.-c.:
a. /tazi/ 'keep' $\rightarrow$ [tazij]
b. /Yizu=na/ 'island' $\rightarrow$ [yizuna]
c. /ziku/ 'cone shell' $\rightarrow$ [ziku]

Some variation exists between speakers in the precise place at which $/ Z /$ is produced. It is possible this phoneme is in the process of change, perhaps from [3] to [z]. Alternatively, the variation may be stable, as in several Papuan and Oceanic languages across northwest Melanesia (Ross p.c.). Similar variation is found in neighboring languages. For Zabana Fitzsimons (1989:16) reports free variation between "the voiced dental fricative $[\mathrm{z}]$ and the alveo-palatal fricative [ž]." Variation in Cheke Holo is regarded by White et al. (1988:x) as diachronic change in progress from $/ \mathrm{z} /$ to $/ \mathrm{d} 3 /$. However, it seems likely the reverse is true, as Isabel /z/ reflects Proto Oceanic *j (Ross 1988:221).

### 2.1.1.2.3.3 Nonlabial noncoronal fricatives

The largest place differentiation within a place class pair applies to the [-labial, coronal] class of fricatives. While the voiced member of the pair is the velar $/ \mathrm{y} /$, its voiceless counterpart is the glottal $/ \mathrm{h} /$. This is primarily a distinction of tongue height and backness: the tongue is raised and backed ( $[+$ high, + back $]$ ) for the voiced member, but lowered ([How]) for the voiceless member.

This class also displays widespread lenition to zero, occuring both as regular morphophonemic deletion of $/ \mathrm{y} /$, and as widespread phonetic deletion of both $/ \mathrm{y} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$, particularly in rapid speech. This appears to reflect diachronic phoneme loss in progress, at least of the voiced velar fricative.

In addition to the apparent loss of $/ \mathrm{y} /$, a small number of examples exist of an unexplained alternation between $/ \mathrm{y} /$ to $/ \mathrm{y} /$, with the archaic forms /mayava/ 'be hot' and /yoyozi/ 'whistle' now normally replaced by /mayava/ and /yoyozi/. It is not clear whether these are idiosyncratic alternations, cognate borrowings, or evidence of a shift, with $/ \mathrm{y} /$ in some other items also reflecting proto $/ \mathrm{y} /$.

### 2.1.1.2.3.3.1 Surface deletion of nonlabial noncoronal fricatives

Both $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /$ optionally lenite to zero in casual speech, particularly rapid speech. This may occur morpheme-medially, so, for example, /zoyu/ 'drop' may be realized as [zou] and/glehe/ 'very' as [glee]. The greater the frequency of a word the more likely it is to display this lenition. So, for example, the ritualized greeting /gruyu keli/ 'good night' is almost always realized as /gruu keli/. Equally, deletion occurs occasionally word-medially but morpheme-initially, for example, /n-e-ye/ 'RL-3S-PRS' may occur as [nee].

Deletion of this class of fricatives also occurs, though less frequently, with word-initial segments, so /yilai/ 'until' may be realized as [ilai], and /huhuga/ 'lie' as [uuga]. This is most common with [y] before [i] and [h] before [u].

## CHAPTER 2

However, the most widespread phonetic deletion of these phonemes is intervocalically between identical vowels, especially the high back vowel /u/. Articulation of these phonemes between identical vowels in casual speech ranges freely from full articulation to complete deletion.

### 2.1.1.2.3.3.2 Morphophonemic deletion of nonlabial noncoronal fricatives

Deletion of $/ \gamma /$ occurs regularly as phonologically conditioned allomorphic variation in at least three morphemes, all with the underlying form $/ \mathrm{Yu} /$. These are in an enclitic marking verbs with continuous aspect, a suffix attaching to numeral roots to form cardinals, and the extremely high use verb 'be thus'.3

Phonological conditioning with the enclitic and the suffix is identical. The $/ \mathrm{\gamma} /$ lenites to zero in every environment except between identical vowels (in effect, except when the preceding vowel is $/ \mathbf{u} /$ ). So, for example, $/ z a h o+\gamma \mathrm{y} /$ ' $\mathrm{go}=\mathrm{CNT}$ ' is always realized as [zahou] and /kota+yu/ 'go ashore $=\mathrm{CNT}$ ' as [kotau], but $/ \mathrm{au}+\mathrm{\gamma u} /$ 'be $a t=\mathrm{CNT}$ ' is normally realized as [auyu] and $/ \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{\gamma u} /$ 'was being thus' as [neuyu]. Equally, with numeral roots ending in vowels other than $/ \mathbf{u} /$, cardinals are formed with the suffix realized as [u]. So /tilo $+\gamma u$ 'three-CRD' is realized as [tilou] and /gaha+ $\gamma u /$ 'five-CRD' as [gahau], but /palu+ $\mathrm{\gamma u}^{\prime}$ 'two-CRD' is normally realized as [paluyu].

Interestingly, when tested with non-occurring verb surface forms such as [zahoyu] and cardinals such as [tiloyu], with the fricative present on the surface, speakers accepted the forms, commenting that they were "very good Kokota," but observed that no one pronounced the words in that way.

When referring to the lack of $/ \gamma /$ deletion following $/ u$, I have said that the unlenited forms are "normally" realized. This is because, while the morphophonemic process does not delete the segment in this environment, phonetic deletion may do so. Thus /au +y / may be realized in rapid speech as /auu/. The distinction between morphophonemic deletion and phonetic deletion is clear, however. In careful speech and in elicitation, speakers always give the full form of the suffix or enclitic when preceded by /u/, and never when not.

Phonological conditioning of / $\mathrm{y} /$ deletion with the verb / $\mathrm{\gamma u} /$ 'be thus' is similar to that of the enclitic and suffix. The form often functions as the head of a tag clause consisting of a single word made up of the verb and a cliticized preverbal modal/subject particle (see §10.4). This means the form normally does not occur word-initially. Since none of the preverbal modal/subject particles end with the

[^2]vowel / $u$ /, the fricative never occurs on the surface in these tags. Thus $/ \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{e}+\mathrm{\gamma u} /$ 'it was thus' is always realized as [neu]. However, occasionally the form does occur without a modal/subject particle as a monomorphemic word. Only in this environment is the verb realized as [ $\gamma \mathrm{u}$ ], with the fricative present on the surface.

Morphophonemic deletion of a more idiosyncratic nature is found with the reflexive base in one person/number category. Reflexivization is expressed using a possessor-indexed reflexive base with the underlying form /tayi-/ (thus /tayi$\mathrm{mu} /$ 'yourself', /tayi-di/ 'themselves', etc.). However, in the first person singular, the normal surface form is [tai-gu]. This form is given in citation, and the unreduced form [tayi-gu] never occurs in speech.

### 2.1.1.3 Phonological processes involving consonants

### 2.1.1.3.1 Labialization and velarization

Consonant variation occurs in Kokota when a consonant anticipates rounding and/or backness features of a following high back vowel, these features spreading from the vowel to the consonant. Consonants in all classes appear to be affected. With consonants that already have the feature [+labial] the effect is velarization, as in (2.4)a.-b. With consonants that already have the feature [+back] the effect is rounding, as in (2.4)c.-d. ${ }^{4}$
a. /fufuyo/ 'tomorrow' $\rightarrow$ [ffuf ${ }^{7}$ uyo]
b. /mumui/ 'be wet' $\rightarrow$ [m. $\left.{ }^{\gamma} u^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma} u \mathrm{i}\right]$
c. Kkukuti/'eel' $\rightarrow\left[k^{w} u k^{\text {w }} u t i\right]$
d. /yura/ 'be boiling' $\rightarrow$ [ $\gamma^{*}$ ura]

The effect on coronals, which are both [-labial] and [-back], is primarily rounding, accompanied by an increase in dorsal height:

```
a. tulufulu/ 'thirty' }->\quad[\mp@subsup{t}{}{*}u\mp@subsup{|}{}{w}u\mp@subsup{f}{}{\prime}u\mp@subsup{|}{}{*}u
b. /rcuruta/'untangle' }->\mathrm{ [f
```

The degree of raising of the tongue body with both labials and coronals varies depending on whether the environment also involves a preceding $/ \mathrm{u}$. Consonants that occur intervocalically, where both vowels are $/ \mathrm{u}$ /, involve a greater degree of tongue body raising than those that only precede $/ \mathrm{w} /$. Thus the second/f/ in (2,4)a. is produced with more dorsal height than the first.

[^3]Labialization appears to apply to medial consonant clusters occurring between two instances of the high back vowel. So, for example, /bubluse/ 'be easy' appears to be realized as [ $\left.b^{w} u b^{w} 1^{w} u s e\right]$. It does not, however, seem to be true of initial clusters. Thus /grui/ appears to be realized as [gr $\left.{ }^{w} u i\right]$, not $\left[g^{w} f^{w} u i\right]$. It is not clear what happens with medial clusters that precede but do not follow / $\mathbf{u}$ /.

### 2.1.1.3.2 Palatalization

Most consonant phonemes of the place class [-labial, -coronal] undergo palatalization under the influence of a following front vowel. It appears that the process does not occur with $/ \mathrm{h} /$. The process thus appears to apply to velar consonants only, not to the entire nonlabial noncoronal place class.

In this process tongue position assimilates to some extent to the position for the following front vowel by moving forward slightly. However, while tongue position on the upper articulator moves towards palatal position, the resulting allophone is very distinct from a palatal fricative since it remains dorsal. The resulting allophone is dorso-palatal, not lamino- or apico-palatal.

The degree of palatalization appears to vary on two parameters: the height of the front vowel, and whether the preceding vowel is also a front vowel. The effect of the first of these factors is that the higher the front vowel the greater the degree of palatalization. Thus a velar consonant before $/ \mathrm{i} /$ will tend to palatalize to a greater degree than before /e/. So, for example, the initial consonants in / yinoi/ 'stir', /yilai/ 'until', and /kilo/ 'finger, toe' are produced with the tongue fronted to a greater degree than the initial consonant in /yehe/ 'umbrella', /yeri/ 'beside', and /keli/ 'be good'.

The second parameter means that a velar consonant that follows as well as precedes a front vowel will palatalize to a greater degree than one that follows a non-front vowel. So, a velar consonant occurring between two front vowels will be palatalized to a greater degree than one that only precedes a front vowel. So, for example, the second $/ \mathrm{j} /$ in /jene/ 'be separate' is more strongly palatalized than the first, as is the second $/ \mathrm{k} /$ in $/ \mathrm{kekeli} /$ 'be pleased'.

### 2.1.1.3.3 Glottal epenthesis

There is no phonemic glottal stop in Kokota. However, glottal epenthesis occurs in careful speech in a number of environments.

When carefully or emphatically producing words that commence with a vowel, voiced plosive, or rhotic tap, some speakers initiate production with glottal
closure. When reading a wordlist, for example, these speakers will produce ohai 'be tame' as [?ohai], biro 'sleep' as [?biro], and reha 'shout' as [?reha].

In casual speech glottal epenthesis may occur intervocalically at a word or morpheme boundary, or in some circumstances morpheme-internally. Thus /eu a lao/ 'thus we go' may be realized as [eu ?a lao], and /na ohadi/ 'I feed them' as [na ?ohadi]. This occurs frequently between a preposed particle and a vowelinitial root, for example between the causative particle /fa/ and a vowel-initial verb. Thus /fa aui/ 'cause it to be present' may be realized as [fa ?aui], and /fa ikoai/ 'make it small' as [fa ?ikoai]. Glottal epenthesis often occurs between a prefix, proclitic or reduplicated syllable and vowel-initial roots. Thus $/ \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{ipi} /$ 'be wearing clothes' (from /ipi/ 'wear [clothes]') is often realized as [i?ipi].

While intervocalic glottal epenthesis typically involves at least a morpheme boundary, it may occur between morpheme internal vowels in a syllable sequence where the second vowel is stressed. This is shown dramatically when a cliticized tag clause affects the stress so that an otherwise unstressed second vowel in a sequence becomes stressed. For example, in isolation the personal name /fáknoe/ has the stress pattern shown. However, once the tag clause /nekeu/ is cliticized, giving the sequence /faknoenekeu/ '...Faknoe, it was like that', primary stress shifts to the final vowel of the name. Glottal epenthesis may then intervene in the final vowel sequence of the name: [fàkno?énekju].

In careful speech glottal epenthesis also occasionally occurs following a vowel when the following syllable is stressed and has an initial non-continuant (i.e., either a plosive or a nasal). Again, this typically occurs across a word or morpheme boundary, with /fa+toli/ 'cause to be open' occasionally realized as [fa?tóli] and /ga kózei/ 'I/we sing it' as [ga? kózei]. Again, it also occasionally occurs morpheme-internally, but only in very careful or emphatic speech, /nakóni/ 'person', for example, occasionally realized as [na?kóni].

### 2.1.1.3.4 Glides

There are no glide phonemes in Kokota. The glides [w] and [j] occur phonetically as allophones of non-low vowels (see $\S 2.1 .2 .4 .3$ ). A small number of Pijin loans occur with an initial [w], including [wasi] 'wash', [wiki] 'week', [wokobaoti] 'stroll about' and possibly [wida] 'window'. Of these [wasi] has almost certainly been borrowed as an underlying /uasi/, with regular glide formation occurring on the initial segment. The extent to which this is true of the other examples is unclear. If underlying glide initial they may reflect code switching to Pijin, though this seems unlikely since [wiki] in particular occurs with high frequency. Alternatively these forms may reflect the development through borrowing of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ as a phoneme in Kokota, although if so this nascent phoneme is still highly marginal, occurring only in the examples cited.
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### 2.1.2 Vowels

### 2.1.2.1 Vowel phoneme inventory

The vowel inventory displays the widespread Oceanic five vowel system:

## TABLE 2.7. VOWEL PHONEMES

|  | front | central | back |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| high | i |  | $u$ |
| mid | e |  | 0 |
| low |  | $a$ |  |

These are primary vowels, with non-back vowels unrounded, slight rounding on the mid back vowel, and maximal rounding on the high back vowel. The system presents triangular maximal differentiation between the high and low vowels, with the mid vowels equidistant between these three points. The high vowels $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and / $\mathbf{u} /$ are produced slightly lower than the cardinal vowels I and 8 (and slightly lower than their English counterparts). Within that there is a certain amount of height variation, with a further lowering of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$ occurring regularly in word-final position and occasionally elsewhere.

No phonemic length distinctions exist in Kokota. While it is possible to find pairs distinguished by vowel length, this represents a distinction between the presence of a single vowel and the presence of a VV sequence in which the two vowels happen to be identical, not between a short and a long vowel. Thus /ipi/ 'wear' reduplicates to form /iipi/ 'be wearing clothes'. The distinction is between a single occurrence of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ and a VV sequence. This is demonstrated by the fact that an optional epenthetic glottal stop may occur between the segments, giving the surface forms [i?ipi] (see §2.1.1.3.3).

Phonetic length variation does, however, occur with all vowels, with unstressed non-word-final vowels typically reducing in length. Stressed and word-final vowels may also reduce in length to a lesser degree.

### 2.1.2.2 Evidence for phoneme status: vowels

The following sets demonstrate the contrastive status of the vowels. Set (2.6)a. demonstrates the contrast between all vowels except $/ \mathrm{o} /$; (2.6)b. contrasts $/ \mathrm{o}$ / with $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /$; and (2.6)c.-d. contrasts $/ \mathrm{o} /$ with $/ \mathrm{\omega} /$ and $/ \mathrm{i} /$ :
(2.6) a. /toru/ 'sea slug' /tori/ 'open (tr)' /tora/ 'open (itr)' /tore/ 'ask'
b. /ara/ 'I, me' /are/ 'those (nearby)' /aro/ 'these (touching)'
c. hhore/ 'canoe' /hure/ 'carry on shoulder'
d. /nodo/ 'stop' /nodi/ 'their'

### 2.1.2.3 Vowel phoneme frequencies

The relative frequencies of vowel phonemes was calculated on the basis of the wordlist referred to in $\S 2.1 .1 .1 .2$. The list contains a total of 797 vowel phoneme tokens. The relative frequencies of each are:

TABLE 2.8. VOWEL PHONEME FREQUENCIES

| i | e | a | o | u | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15.3 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $(122)$ | $(106)$ | $(282)$ | $(148)$ | $(139)$ | $(797)$ |

Once the phonemes are grouped by features, either by height or on the frontback parameter, the figures are remarkably even.

## TABLE 2.9. RELATIVE FREQUENCIES BY HEIGHT

| $[+$ high $](/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{u} /)$ | $[-\mathrm{high},-\mathrm{low}](/ \mathrm{e} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /)$ | $[+$ low $](/ \mathrm{a} /)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $32.7 \%(261)$ | $31.9 \%(254)$ | $35.4 \%(282)$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $(797)$ |

## TABLE 2.10. RELATIVE FREQUENCIES ON THE FRONT-BACK PARAMETER

| $[+$ front $](/ \mathrm{i} /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /)$ | [-front, -back] $(/ \mathrm{a} /)$ | [+back] $(/ \mathrm{u} /$ and $/ \mathrm{o} /)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $28.6 \%(228)$ | $35.4 \%(282)$ | $36.0 \%(287)$ | $100 \%$ |

### 2.1.2.4 Phonological processes involving vowels

Widespread vowel syncope occurs in Kokota. This is discussed in §2.6. Phonetic variation in vowel length and height is discussed in §2.1.2.1.

Other processes involving vowels include glide formation, and vowel devoicing. In addition, certain VV sequences are eligible for a process of diphthong formation, while in casual speech diphthongs are reduced to a single segment.

### 2.1.2.4.1 Diphthong formation

Kokota has no phonemic diphthongs. This is demonstrated by speaker syllabifications, in which every vowel in a sequence is syllabified separately. However, in normal speech certain non-identical VV sequences regularly undergo a process of diphthong formation. In this process the two vowels combine to form the nucleus of a single syllable, creating a heavy syllable with the structure shown in (2.18)b., but reducing overall syllable number.
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### 2.1.2.4.1.1 Eligible sequences

A crucial criterion in the eligibility of a VV sequence to undergo diphthong formation is relative height: the second vowel in the sequence must be higher than the first. Sequences of vowels involving no increase in height are ineligible for diphthong formation. Thus /hohoa/ 'yawn', /sarie/ 'nut sp.', beata/ 'be calm (of sea)', and /tegeo/ 'thank' are trisyllabic.

A further criterion involves movement on the front-back parameter: VV sequences involving tongue movement from front to back or back to front are ineligible. Consequently the sequences $/ \mathrm{iu} /$, /ui/, /eo/, /oe/, /eu/, and /oi/ are ineligible. Only sequences of front vowels, sequences of back vowels, or sequences with /a/ as the first vowel are eligible.

Diphthong formation occurs with a sequence of the low vowel/a/plus any other vowel. Thus /hae/ 'where', /mai/ 'come', /lao/ 'go', /pau/ 'head' are all monosyllabic. It also occurs with a height-increasing sequence of vowels with the same status on the front/back parameter, with /hei/ 'who' and /dou/ 'be big' also monosyllabic. The vowel sequences that occur as diphthongs are thus /ae/, /ai/, /ao/, /au/, /ei/, and /ou/.

### 2.1.2.4.1.2 Diphthong frequencies

The possible diphthongs described above do not all occur with equal frequency. A total of 49 diphthongs occur in the sample wordlist. The distribution of possible diphthongs within that is:

## TABLE 2.11. DIPHTHONG FREQUENCIES

| ai | au | ae | ao | ei | ou | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 14 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 49 |
| $(32.7 \%)$ | $(28.6 \%)$ | $(18.4 \%)$ | $(12.2 \%)$ | $(61 \%)$ | $(2.0 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |

It is interesting that there is a very strong preference for maximal differentiation between the two vowels. The two that involve the least shared features (/ai/ and $/ \mathrm{au} /$ )-where the height difference is the greatest and the vowels differ on the front/back parameter-account for over half ( $61.3 \%$ ) of all diphthongs present in the list. Those where the height difference is less but there is a difference on the front/back parameter (/ae/, and /ao/) account for a smaller group (30.6\%). Those where the height difference is less and there is no difference in front/back status (/ei/ and /ou/) (81\%) account for the smallest group. It is also interesting to note that there is a slight preference for front V2s ( $57.2 \%$ ).

However, while these frequency tendencies are significant, they do not necessarily correspond to occurrence in normal discourse. For example, while
/ou/ only occurs in one item in the wordlist, that item, /dou/ 'be big', is a very high use item, generating high-use of the diphthong. As a further example, an allomorph of the 3 SG object enclitic is $/=\mathrm{i} /$. Consequently the 3 SG marked form of any verb with the final vowel /e/ has the /ei/ diphthong finally, giving a high use to this relatively low-frequency diphthong.

Overall, diphthongs occur relatively infrequently. Out of the 746 syllable nuclei present in the sample wordlist, 697 ( $93.4 \%$ ) are monophthongs, while only 49 (6.6\%) are diphthongs.

### 2.1.2.4.1.3 Restrictions on diphthong formation

Diphthong formation is not restricted by morpheme boundaries. Any eligible VV sequence may undergo diphthong formation, regardless of whether the vowels are adjacent in a single morpheme, or brought together by concatenation. Thus in (2.7)a. the presence of the 3SG object enclitic generates a VV sequence that is ineligible for diphthong formation and the resulting word is trisyllabic, but in (2.7)b., where diphthong formation may occur, the resulting word is disyllabic:
(2.7) a. /huzu=i/ 'push it' $\rightarrow$ [huzui]
b. /friije $=\mathrm{i} /$ 'work it' $\rightarrow$ [frijei]

Diphthong formation occurs in rapid speech across word boundaries. In (2.8) the final vowel of the first word in the sequence combines with the initial onsetless syllable vowel of the second word to generate a diphthong.
(2.8) /nona uke ana/ 'that mother of his' $\rightarrow$ [nonaukeana]

### 2.1.2.4.2 Diphthong reduction

Two processes of diphthong reduction optionally occur in casual speech. In one the second vowel is deleted, in the other the two vowels in the sequence coalesce to form a single monophthong produced in a position intermediate between the positions of the two vowels in the original sequence. These processes are discussed in §2.6.8 and §2.6.9.

### 2.1.2.4.3 Glide formation

With certain constraints, non-low vowels are realized as glides in casual speech when they occur as the first vowel in a VV sequence that is not eligible for diphthong formation. The front vowels $/ \mathrm{e} /$ and $/ \mathrm{i} /$ are realized as the palatal glide [j], while the back vowels $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ are realized as the labial glide [ w ]. This may occur where the vowel is preceded by a single consonant. The result is a surface cluster (in which any consonant may be C3).
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a. Itegeo/ 'thank' $\rightarrow$ [tegjo]
b. Iniaklo/ 'tree sp.' $\rightarrow$ [njaklo]
c. /ikoa/ 'be small' $\rightarrow$ [ikwa]
d. /kuiti/ 'lie, deceive, trick' $\rightarrow \quad$ [kwiti]

However, an absolute constraint exists in the language prohibiting onset clusters of more than two consonants. Consequently, where the first of the two relevant syllables already has an onset cluster, the process is blocked, as the glide would add a third consonant to the onset. Consequently, in the old form /baknoa/ 'be slow' glide formation from the / / / is prevented, but in the new form of this lexeme, /bnakoa/, it is not:
(2.10) a. baknoa/ 'be slow' $\rightarrow$ *[baknwa]
b. Bnakoa/ 'be slow' $\rightarrow$ [bnakwa]

Just as glide formation may generate an onset cluster C 2 , it may also generate a single C onset where there is no preceding consonant. This may be because the VV sequence occurs word-initially, as in (2.11)a., or because a preceding vowel will be realized in a separate syllable.
(2.11) a. /iaro/ 'those (distant)' $\rightarrow$ [jaro]
b. $/ \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{ia/}$ 'at the' $\rightarrow$ [kaja]
c. /n-e-ke-u=o/ 'it was thus' $\rightarrow$ [nekewo]

The implication of the above environments for glide formation is that a non-low vowel will undergo glide formation if it can form an onset. The motivation for this is to reduce the prosodic complexity of the surface form, in terms of number of moras or syllables.

Glide formation is prevented where it would create a word consisting of a single light syllable, violating a word minimality constraint. So in isolation /kuo/ 'break' always occurs on the surface as a disyllable. Once the form is suffixed the risk to word minimality is removed and the process occurs.
(2.12) a. /kuo/'break' $\rightarrow$ *[kwo]
b. kuo= $\mathrm{di} /$ 'break them' $\rightarrow \quad[\mathrm{kwodi}]$

This process applies to vowels that are adjacent morpheme-internally, as in (2.9), (2.10)b., (2.11)a., and (2.12)b., and to vowels that are adjacent as the result of affixation or cliticization, as in (2.11)b -c . The process also occurs in rapid speech across word boundaries. In (2.13) the vowel of the object enclitic becomes a glide in the presence of the subsequent vowel-initial subject pronoun:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /ooeni ara/'I said it' } \rightarrow \text { [ooenjara] } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where a non-low vowel occurs as the second vowel in a VV sequence that is eligible for diphthong formation it does not undergo glide formation, unless the opportunity exists for it to be realized as the onset of a subsequent vowel. Glide formation takes precedence over diphthong formation. So, for example, in /gauai/ 'be distant' the medial /u/ could potentially form a diphthong with the preceding $/ \mathrm{a}$ /. However, it also potentially forms an onset for a syllable containing the following vowels (which do form a diphthong). It is the formation of the glide as an onset for a second syllable that occurs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /gauai/ 'be distant' } \rightarrow \text { [gawai] } \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prosodic implications of glide formation are discussed in $\S 2.6 .10$.

### 2.1.2.4.4 Interconsonantal vowel devoicing

Vowels assimilate to the voicing status of adjacent voiceless consonants when occurring between two identical voiceless consonants.

This typically occurs with the vowel of echo syllables, and may involve plosives or fricatives as the environment.
(2.15) a. /kikibolo /'football' $\rightarrow$ [kikibolo]
b. /sasamala/ 'masturbate (of males)' $\rightarrow$ [sasamala]
c. Thahaglu/ 'broom' $\rightarrow$ [hahaglu]

This occurs regardless of whether the echo syllable reflects synchronic reduplication, as is the case with (2.15)c., or within a synchronically monomorphemic form, as in (2.15)a.-b. Indeed, (2.15)a. is a recent Pijin loan.

The process also occurs with vowels that are assigned secondary stress, as is the case with both (2.15)a. and b. It does not, however, appear to occur with vowels assigned primary stress.

It is not clear at this stage whether vowel devoicing occurs when the consonants that motivate the process are voiceless sonorants.

Vowel devoicing also appears to occur to a considerably lesser extent when the motivating consonants are not identical.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /sisikama / 'palm sp.' } \rightarrow \text { [sisisikama] } \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 2.2 Syllable structure

Kokota syllable structure allows an onset and a nucleus. Codas do not occur (other than in a small number of Pijin loans), so all syllables are open. Onsets may consist of a single consonant, or a cluster of two consonants in certain configurations, and syllables without onsets are permitted. Nuclei consist of a single vowel or a diphthong of certain VV sequences.

A number of phonological processes that occur in casual speech affect prosodic structure. These include vowel syncope, and the formation of glides and geminates. These processes often alter syllable structure, particularly with vowel syncope generating non-underlying consonant clusters and syllabic consonants, as well as surface codas. These effects are discussed in $\$ 2.6$.

### 2.2.1 Onsets

Kokota permits syllables with no onset, and onset clusters of two consonants in certain configurations. However, an overwhelming majority of syllables have a single consonant onset. In a sample wordlist containing 746 syllables, $88 \%$ have a single consonant onset, $6 \%$ have no onset, and $6 \%$ have a cluster onset. ${ }^{5}$

### 2.2.1.1 Syllables with no onset

Syllables with no onset occur in only a small proportion of words. However, they occur with disproportionate frequency as a number of extremely high frequency words have an initial onsetless syllable, including the pronouns /ara/ '1SG' and /ayo/ '2SG', the locative /ade/ 'here', the articles /ia/ 'thesg' and /ira/ 'thepL', three of the four irrealis subject-indexing particles (/a/ 'first exclusive', /o/ 'second person', and /e/ 'third person'), and eight demonstratives (/ao/ 'this (holding)', /aro/ 'these (holding)', /ine/ 'this (nearby)', /ide/ 'these (nearby)', /ana/ 'that', /are/ 'those' and /iao/ 'that (distant)', /iaro/ 'those (distant)'),

### 2.2.1.2 Onset clusters

Sequences of two consonants in Kokota form complex onsets. Speakers invariably syllabify medial CC sequences as cluster onsets, and not as coda plus onset sequences. Moreover, clusters freely occur in word-initial position,

[^4]eliminating the possibility that they represent a coda plus onset. Indeed, there appears to be a preference for word-initial over medial clusters.

### 2.2.1.2.1 Permissible onset clusters

In casual speech a number of configurations of consonant clusters occur. Most are not underlying, being generated by vowel syncope or glide formation. These surface clusters do not occur in careful speech, and are revealed as nonunderlying by speaker syllabifications. However, certain other cluster onsets are underlying. These conform to the following broad constraints: Cl must be an obstruent, and C2 must be a voiced coronal sonorant.

Within this, the Cl constraints are more complex, with place class restrictions applying that differ for plosives and fricatives. With plosives only labials (/p/, $\mathrm{b} /$ ) and noncoronal nonlabials ( $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{lg}$ ) occur as Cl . With fricatives different constraints apply, with only labials (/f/,/v/) and coronals (/s/,/z/) occurring.

Further, not all combinations conforming to these constraints actually occur. Two of the plosives do not occur with a nasal C 2 , while the marginal nature of three of the fricative C 1 s means they do not occur with the full range of possible C2s:

## TABLE 2.12. ATTESTED NON-LOAN ONSET CLUSTERS

|  | p | b | k | g | f | v | s | z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r | pr | br | kr | gr | f | vr | fr | zr |
| l | pl | bl | kl | gl | fl | - | - | - |
| n | - | bn | kn | - | fn | - | sn | zn |

Although all clusters shown in Table 2.12 occur, there are clear tendencies among C 1 and C 2 segments. In the sample wordlist discussed above a total of 44 cluster onsets occur. Of these, $30 \%$ have $/ \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{as} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $30 \%$ have $/ \mathrm{f} /$. Of the rest, $16 \%$ of Cls are $/ \mathrm{k} ; 11 \%$ are $/ \mathrm{p} / ; 11 \% / \mathrm{b} /$; and $2 \% / \mathrm{v} /$. (Cl $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{z} /$ do not occur in the list.) Similarly strong tendencies occur with C2, with $57 \%$ of the clusters in the wordlist having $/ \mathrm{r} /$ as $\mathrm{C} 2 ; 32 \%$ having $/ / /$; and $11 \% / \mathrm{n} /$.

As these figures show, clusters with fricative Cls other than /f/ are marginal. Cl $/ \mathrm{s} /$ is only attested with $/ \mathrm{n} /$ as C 2 . This occurs in four attested lexical items: the high use /snakre/ 'allow' and /sasna/ 'be willing', and low use/snekri/ 'tear fingernail, earlobe, etc.' and /nasnuri/ 'sea urchin sp.'. Interestingly, /s/ is the only Cl not clearly attested with /r/ as C 2 . However, some evidence suggests that it is a possible cluster. The verb /tasuru/ (meaning unclear) normally occurs on the surface in casual speech as [tasru] through regular vowel syncope. However, for at least some younger speakers the underlying form is the disyllable, with the second syllable onset cluster/sr/. This may be an established
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but marginal cluster not yet attested. Given that /f/ occurs with all other possible Cls this seems likely. Alternatively the age variation may be evidence of a change underway in the language allowing this cluster.

The $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{z} /$ is attested with both $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and $/ \mathrm{n} /$ as C 2 , but in only one low use item each: /zniri/ 'be tangled' and /zozozro/ 'be pouring with water'. The voiced labial fricative $/ v /$ is only attested with $/ \mathrm{r} /$ as C 2 , and only in two relatively low use items: /vraha/ 'tree sp.' and /vivivri/ 'propeller'. It is not clear whether the unattested clusters represented by gaps in Table 2.12 do not occur, or are possible but occur in so few lexical items they have not been attested.

### 2.2.1.2.2 Word-level onset tendencies

In many Austronesian languages that allow consonant sequences, these only occur word-medially. This is because they do not represent onset clusters, but coda plus onset sequences. As discussed above, this is not the situation in Kokota syllable structure. Consequently there is no tendency for Kokota CC sequences to occur medially. Indeed, Kokota appears to display a crosslinguistically unusual preference for word-initial clusters over medial. This preference is evident in a diachronic shift underway in the language in which the C2 of a second syllable cluster is transferred to the initial syllable onset. Thus, in certain lexical items younger speakers have an initial cluster, while older speakers either use a medial cluster, or at least regard the medial cluster as correct Kokota and the initial cluster form as incorrect:
(2.17) a. bakru/ $\rightarrow$ /braku/'liquid'
b. /baknoa/ $\rightarrow$ /bnakoa/ 'be slow'
c. faklano/ $\rightarrow$ /flakano/ 'rock outcrop on beach'

It is unclear whether similarities across C 1s in these examples are significant.

### 2.2.1.2.3 Violations of cluster constraints in loan words

A number of marginal violations of the cluster constraints outlined above occur in loan words.

### 2.2.1.2.3.1 A coronal C1

One cluster, /tr/, violates the constraint on coronal plosive Cls. This cluster is attested in only one item, the Pijin loan/triki/ 'lie, deceive, trick'. It is apparent that the cluster is underlying in this item for at least some speakers, as these speakers syllabify the item as a disyllable with the initial cluster.

### 2.2.1.2.3.2 Obstruent plus obstruent clusters

Apparently underlying obstruent plus obstruent consonant clusters are attested in four items, all Pijin or English loans. In two of these instances the cluster is initial. Both involve /s/ plus a voiceless plosive: /spika/ 'Speaker ${ }^{\text {' }}$ and /stinibili/ 'beetle sp.' ${ }^{\text {. }}$. That such exceptions should involve the $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{s} /$ is not surprising, given its crosslinguistically common extrametrical status.

More problematic are the two attested medial obstruent plus obstruent clusters. One, /kastom/, again involves a $\mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{s} /$. However, there is considerable variability in whether the CC sequence is treated as an onset cluster, or the $/ \mathrm{s} /$ is treated as a coda of the preceding syllable (in which case there is a CC sequence but no cluster). An elderly speaker consistently syllabified this word as /ka-stomu /, preferring an obstruent plus obstruent cluster and epenthetic final vowel to any codas. All younger speakers tested, however, displayed considerable variability, giving both the coda syllabification /kas-tom/ and the onset cluster syllabification /ka-stom/ on the same occasion. A similar situation pertained with /dokta/. An older speaker syllabified this as /do-ki-ta/, resisting both a coda and a $/ \mathrm{kt} /$ cluster (despite the fact that he produces the item in casual speech without the epenthetic vowel as [dokta]). Younger speakers, however, again gave the varying syllabifications/dok-ta/ and/do-kta/. For both items there is confusion among speakers as to whether to permit a normally unacceptable obstruent plus obstruent cluster, or a normally unacceptable coda.

The same confusion appears to apply to a further item, /kaspotu/ 'clam sp.'. However, the existence of this CC sequence and its consequent prosodic dilemma seems bizarre, given that it is a loan from neighboring Zabana, which does not permit clusters. The Zabana form is /kasipotu/. In Kokota older speakers seem to have that as the underlying form, though one older speaker (who regards the word as Zabana, not Kokota) syllabified it variably as $/ \mathrm{ka}$-si-po-tu/ and /kas-po-tu/. Younger speakers, however, regard the word as Kokota, and have the underlying form /kaspotu/ (rejecting /kasipotu/ and syllabifying the form as a trisyllable). These speakers display the same syllabification variability on this item as with /dokta/ and $/ \mathrm{kastom} /$, giving the syllabifications $/ \mathrm{ka}$-spo-tu/ and /kas-po-tu/. On the basis of regular Kokota stress assignment and vowel syncope, an underlying form /kasipotu/ would give the surface form [kaspotu] in casual speech. What seems inexplicable is that the synchronic syncope should become diachronic vowel loss, when this creates an unacceptable CC sequence in the new underlying form.

[^5]
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### 2.2.1.2.4 Absence of $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and voiceless sonorants in clusters

Two facts of Kokota phonology are suggestive when taken together. First, only voiced sonorants occur in C2 position; their voiceless counterparts do not. Second, while all noncoronal plosives occur as Cl , only labial noncoronal fricatives occur as Cl. Nonlabial noncoronals (i.e., $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ \mathrm{Y} /$ ) do not. These facts allow the hypothesis that Kokota voiceless sonorants are not phonemes but the synchronic surface coalescence of $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and an adjacent voiced sonorant, as is the case in some other Oceanic languages (e.g., Lenakel [Lynch 1974, 1978]).

Palmer (1999b) presents four facts as evidence against this hypothesis. Speaker judgements suggest the surface segments realize identical underlying forms. In reduplication voiceless sonorants they do not display C2 deletion (see §2.4.1.2) and therefore behave like underlying segments, not clusters. Historical evidence indicates that underlying clusters necessary to generate surface coalesced segments could not have been generated diachronically by the processes which gave rise to other underlying clusters. The constraint on noncoronal cluster C2s could not apply to clusters underlying surface voiceless sonorants. Palmer (1999b) concludes that while Kokota voiceless sonorants arose historically through diachronic coalescence, synchronically they are underlying phonemes. ${ }^{8}$

### 2.2.2 Nuclei

Kokota allows a maximum of two moras per syllable. In light syllables the nucleus consists of a single vowel (i.e., monophthong). In heavy syllables the nucleus consists of a diphthong, except for a very small number of recent loans where a coda is present and the nucleus consists of a single vowel. No forms occur with a coda following a diphthong. These, therefore, do not violate the maximal bimoraic syllable constraint. The possible syllable structures are thus:
(2.18) a. ((C)C) V
b. $((\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{VV}$
c. $((C) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{VC}$

Structure (2.18)c. is extremely marginal. Otherwise, monophthong nuclei ([2.18]a.) occur far more frequently than diphthong nuclei, representing $93 \%$ of 746 syllables in a sample wordlist, as opposed to only $7 \%$ with a diphthong.

[^6]
### 2.2.3 Codas

Apparently underlying codas occur only in a very small number of recent loans, primarily from Solomons Pijin. Some are word-final, and thus unequivocal codas, as in /kastom/. In careful speech older speakers often insert an epenthetic final vowel, giving surface forms such as [kastomu] (see §2.2.1.2.3). However, for younger speakers the form is always realized with the final coda. In other instances the possible coda is medial, in which case there is considerable variation. In examples like /kastom/ the first consonant in the medial CC sequence could potentially be a first syllable coda, or the Cl of a second syllable onset cluster. As discussed in $\S 2.2 .1 .2 .3$, speakers typically exhibit considerable variation in this situation, with individual speakers giving both syllabifications /ka-stom/ and /kas-tom/, sometimes in a single elicitation.

Apart from in loans, codas occur only as a result of vowel syncope widespread in casual speech (see §2.6). This syncope brings together two consonants that are not adjacent underlyingly. This occurs morpheme-intemally, and across morpheme boundaries and even word boundaries.
(2.19) a. banesokeo/ 'a place name' $\rightarrow$ [bansokeo]
b. /mane dou/ 'big man' $\rightarrow$ [man dou]

Syncope of this kind generates codas in which the first of the consonants, which would otherwise be syllabified as an onset, attracts the mora associated with the syncopated vowel. It is then syllabified with the vowel of the preceding syllable to form a new, heavy syllable. So in (2.19)a. the surface form has four syllables rather than five, the first of which has a coda. In (2.19)b. the first word is realized as a monosyllable with a coda.

### 2.3 Word minimality

A word may consist of a single syllable. This may be a bimoraic heavy syllable with a diphthong nucleus (e.g, /mai/ 'come', /dou/ 'be big', /ao/ 'this [touching]'). A number of roots such as $/ \mathrm{su} /$ 'breast', /do/ 'mosquito', and /fro/ 'squeeze' are underlyingly monomoraic (i.e., the nucleus consists of a single short vowel [see §2.5]). However, stress cannot be assigned to a monomoraic form (see $\S 2.5$ ), so a stress-bearing word may not consist of a single light syllable. Monomoraic roots are therefore lengthened to create a heavy syllable, allowing stress assignment. Underlyingly monomoraic grammatical particles are typically not assigned stress, in which case they remain unlengthened.

The minimal Kokota word is therefore a single syllable, and the minimal stressbearing word a single heavy syllable.
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### 2.4 Reduplication

Kokota displays considerable evidence of historical partial reduplication and some examples of historical full reduplication of disyllabic roots. Only partial reduplication appears to occur synchronically. This section deals primarily with the formal characteristics of reduplication. The functions of reduplication are discussed briefly here, but in more detail in relevant chapters (nominal derivation in Chapter 3, verbal derivation in Chapter 6, etc.).

### 2.4.1 Partial reduplication

### 2.4.1.1 Function of partial reduplication

Derivational reduplication involving an initial echo syllable occurs extensively in Kokota. The extent to which it is productive is not entirely clear. It has a general derivation function, the nature of the derivation varying widely. Some reduplicative derivations involve change of word class. In some instances a verb is derived from a noun.
(2.20) a. /fiolo/ 'penis' $\rightarrow$ fi~fiolo/ 'masturbate (of males)'
b. /piha/ 'small parcel' $\rightarrow$ /pi~piha/ 'make a piha parcel'
c. puki/ 'round lump of s.th.' $\rightarrow$ /pu puki/ 'be round'

Much more commonly, however, word class altering derivation involves the derivation of nouns from verbs, either transitive or intransitive.
(2.21) a. /siko/'steal' $\rightarrow /$ si~siko/'thief'
b. /lase/ 'know' $\rightarrow$ /la~lase/ 'knowledge, cleverness'
c. /maku/ 'be hard' $\rightarrow$ /ma~maku/ 'leatherjacket (fish w. tough skin)'
d. /gufu/ 'smoke s.th.' $\rightarrow / \mathrm{gu} \sim \mathrm{gufu} /$ 's.th. for smoking (i.e., tobacco)'
e. $/ \mathrm{kamo} /$ 'go across' $\rightarrow / \mathrm{ka}$ kamo/'burning stick for transferring fire'

In many instances reduplication derives a new lexeme from a root without any change of word class. Often there is little semantic predictability in the meaning of the new form. Noun-from-noun derivations include:
(2.22) a. /bay̧i/ 'wing' $\rightarrow$ /ba~bayi/ 'side roof of porch'
b. /buli/ 'cowrie' $\rightarrow$ /bu~buli/ 'clam sp.'
c. /tahi/ 'sea' $\rightarrow$ ta-tahi/ 'stingray'
d. /protu/ 'distant object' $\rightarrow$ /po-protu/ 'small lump on body'

Some verb-from-verb derivations display similar semantic unpredictability:

b. /prosa/ 'slap self w. flipper (turtles)' $\rightarrow$ /po~prosa/ 'wash clothes'
c. /maril/ 'be in pain' $\rightarrow$ /ma~mara/ 'be in labor'
d. /vahe/'carve' $\rightarrow$ /va vahe/ 'operate surgically'

Some verb-from-verb derivations show more predictable semantic relationship, with the derived form coding a habitual, ongoing, or diminutive event:
(2.24) a. /ma̧u/ 'be afraid' $\rightarrow$ /ma mayu/ 'be habitually fearful'
b. /safra/ 'miss' $\rightarrow$ /sa-safra/ 'always miss'
c. /seha/ 'climb' $\rightarrow$ /se~seha/ 'climb all about'
d. /fogra/ 'be sick' $\rightarrow$ /fo~fogra/ 'be a little bit sick'

However, a substantial subregularity involves the reduplication of transitive verb roots. In some instances the derived form is an unaccusative stative verb.
(2.25) a. /lage/ 'castrate' $\rightarrow$ /la lage/'be castrated'
b. /sito/ 'make hot' $\rightarrow /$ si sito/ 'be hot to the touch'
c. hoti/ 'sting (tr)' $\rightarrow$ ho-hoti/ 'be very sore and tender'

Usually, however, the derived verb is unergative. This appears to be productive to the extent that unless the reduplicated form of a transitive verb has some unpredictable (and hence lexically specified) meaning, it will be an unergative version of the root.
(2.26) a. /piri/'tie' $\rightarrow$ /pi~piri/'be tying'
b. $/ \mathrm{krisu} /$ 'scoop liquid' $\rightarrow / \mathrm{ki} \sim \mathrm{krisu} /$ 'be scooping liquid'
c. /sofo/ 'grab' $\rightarrow$ /so~sofo/ 'be grabbing'
d. /taho/ 'count' $\rightarrow$ ta $\sim$ taho/ 'be counting'

In some instances the reduplicated form of a root can have more than one of the possible derived meanings. For example, a number of transitive verb roots reduplicate to derive both an unergative verb and a noun.
(2.27) a. /turi/ 'tell s.th.' $\rightarrow$ /tu-turi/ 'chat; a story'
b. /yato/ 'think (tr)' $\rightarrow$ / ya~yato/ 'think (itr); thoughts'
c. /kere/ 'sting (tr)' $\rightarrow / \mathrm{ke}$-kere/ 'sting (itr); thoms'

### 2.4.1.2 Formal characteristics of partial reduplication

Partial reduplication involves the presence of an initial echo syllable that is underlyingly identical to the initial syllable of the root. As syllables are open in
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Kokota, the effect is initial onset and nucleus reduplication. As indicated in $\S 2.2$, most Kokota syllables have a single consonant onset. Reduplication of roots with such an initial syllable formally involves reduplication of the initial CV. This generates all but three of the examples given in $\S 2.4 .1 .1$. However, reduplication also occurs with some roots that have an initial onsetless syllable. In the absence of an onset only the nucleus is reduplicated. ${ }^{9}$
/ipi/ 'wear (clothes)' $\rightarrow$ /i~ipi/ 'be wearing clothes'
Reduplication also occurs with roots with a first syllable onset cluster. Here the echo syllable underlyingly also has the cluster onset. However, on the surface only the initial consonant of the cluster is realized, exemplified by (2.22)d., $(2.23) \mathrm{b}$., and (2.26)b. Once the entire first syllable is reduplicated, a process of reduplicated syllable cluster reduction deletes onset C 2 of the echo syllable,
(2.29) a. $/$ protu/ $\rightarrow$ /pro~protu/ $\rightarrow$ [poprotu]
b. /prosa $/ \rightarrow$ /pro~prosa/ $\rightarrow$ [poprosa]
c. $/ \mathrm{krisu} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{kri} \mathrm{krisu} / \rightarrow$ [kikrisu]

On the surface, even in normal careful speech, the $C 2$ is never realized. However, it is present underlyingly. In syllabifications, speakers invariably give the echo syllable the same form as the entire first syllable of the root. In evaluating emphasized tested forms, speakers invariably judge forms with the C2 realized as correct while those with it unrealized as unacceptable, then may immediately give the unrealized C 2 form in elicitation. It is apparent that speakers are unaware of, and do not 'hear', the C2 surface deletion. Similarly, where the first syllable consists of a diphthong, only the first vowel of the VV sequence is realized on the surface.
(2.30) a. /toi/ 'cook' $\rightarrow$ /to toi/ 'fire'
b. /saeko/ 'mango' $\rightarrow$ /sa~saeko/'liver'
c. /yau/ 'eat' $\rightarrow$ /i̊a~ $\mathfrak{y}$ au/ 'be biting (of fish)'

### 2.4.1.3 Non-synchronic echo syllables

A substantial number of lexemes have identical first and second syllables, indicating historical partial reduplication, but with no corresponding root in synchronic Kokota. Thus, for example, /mimido/ 'penis', Imamara/ 'be deep', and /rereyi/ 'look after' are synchronically monomorphemic, there being no corresponding forms */mido/, */mara/, and *reyi/.

[^7]
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This historical reduplication was formally identical to the synchronic regime described above. Onsetless echo syllables occur (/ooe/ 'say, talk; word, language', with no synchronic */oe/), as does cluster reduction:
(2.31) a. */blatal $\rightarrow$ blablatal $\rightarrow$ [bablata] 'bat sp.'
b. */preku/ $\rightarrow$ /prepreku/ $\rightarrow$ [pepreku] 'lip'

As with synchronic reduplicative cluster reduction, speakers have the full cluster in the underlying form of the echo syllable, with deletion occurring on the surface. As with synchronic reduplication, non-synchronic echo syllables also display loss of the second vowel in a VV sequence.

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\text { (2.32) a. */daegra/ } & \rightarrow & \text { /dadaegra/'shake with surprise' } \\
\text { b. }{ }^{* / k a u} / & \rightarrow & \text { /kakau/ 'crab' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.4.2 Full reduplication

A small number of quadrisyllabic roots reflect historical full reduplication of a disyllabic root. Of thirteen attested examples of echo disyllables, two may be onomatopoeic and therefore not reflect reduplication ${ }^{10}$. Of the remaining 11 , six have no corresponding synchronic unreduplicated form: /kilekile/ 'k.o. custom axe' but no */kile/, /kulikuli/ 'seaweed sp.' but no ${ }^{*} / \mathrm{kuli} /$, bulobulo/ 'tree sp.' ${ }^{11}$ but no */bulo/, /maramara/ 'lagoon' ${ }^{12}$ but no */mara/, /үiliyili/ 'tickle' but no */yili/, and /fa yonoyono/ 'be ready' but no */yono/.

The remaining 5 lexemes have semantically related unreduplicated counterparts. For four, the relationship is idiosyncratic:
(2.33) a. /seku/ 'tail' $\rightarrow$ /seku~sekw/'black trevally'
b. /yano/'smell/taste good' $\rightarrow$ /fa yano~yano/'be very good'
c. /mane/ 'man, male' $\rightarrow$ /fa mane mane/ 'be dressed up (man or woman)'
d. /yase/ 'girl, female' $\rightarrow$ /fa yase-yase/ 'be dressed up to show off (woman only)'

One example conforms to the two subregular uses of partial reduplication: deriving unergative verbs from transitive roots, and nouns from verbs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{izu} / \text { 'read s.th.' } \rightarrow / \mathrm{izu} \sim \mathrm{izu} / \text { 'be reading; a reading' } \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]
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Despite that one apparent subregularity, there do not seem to be any grounds for claiming synchronic full reduplication. The instances of fully reduplicated lexemes with corresponding unreduplicated forms are so few as to warrant regarding them as marginal. Of those five, three ([2.33]b., c., and d.) also display further derivational complexity with the particle /fa/ (with no corresponding */manemane/, */yaseyase/, or */yanoyano/). Even the apparently subregular (2.34) does not appear to suggest a synchronic process, as there is no reason why full reduplication should occur rather than the usual partial reduplication. While the vowel-initial nature of the root could suggest a motivation, it is not seen in other similar examples (such as /i-ipi/ 'be wearing clothes', from /ipi/ 'wear s.th.'; and the historical /ooe/ 'say, talk; word, language').

### 2.5 Stress

Stress in Kokota is characterized by considerable variation. Some words with a particular segmental structure may be assigned stress on one pattern, while others with the same structure may be assigned stress on another pattern. A single lexeme may be assigned stress on one pattern by some speakers, and on another by others. This variation occurs even to the extent that a single lexeme may be assigned stress variably by a single speaker.

There are, however, patterns to this variation. The variation results from three factors: irregular stress patterning on some lexemes resulting from the prosodic shadow of lost morphological complexity; the gradual regularization of these irregularities; and an overall shift in the language's stress regime from moraic trochees to syllabic trochees.

### 2.5.1 Metrical stress and moraic theory

The following discussion is couched in the framework of metrical theory (Hayes 1995:26-31) and moraic theory (Hayes 1995:48-54). This approach most adequately accounts for stress assignment in Kokota.

In this approach, stress may count syllables or moras (units of weight). In syllabic stress, syllables are assigned to feet comprised of two syllables each. In moraic stress, each vowel and coda consonant counts as one mora (onsets don't count), and moras are assigned to feet comprised of two moras each. Light syllables have a single mora, while heavy syllables have two or more. As Kokota has no codas, only vowels count as moras; light syllables have a single V nucleus (i.e., CV), heavy syllables have a diphthong nucleus (i.e., CVV).

Feet may be aligned with the left margin of the prosodic word, or with the right margin, meaning syllables or moras may be assigned to feet starting from the beginning of the word and working from left to right, or working back from the end of the word from right to left. In Kokota feet are left-aligned.

Crosslinguistically, three foot types exist: moraic trochees, syllabic trochees, and lambs. With moraic and syllabic trochees stress is asigned to the leftmost of each pair of syllables or moras in each foot. In an iambic foot stress is assigned to the righthand mora in each foot. Feet in Kokota are trochaic. Whether stress is syllabic or moraic is discussed below. In each prosodic word one foot carries primary stress while the other feet carry secondary stress. In some languages the foot carrying primary stress (called the head foot) is the leftmost foot in the word, while in others it is the rightmost. In Kokota the right foot is the head foot.

### 2.5.2 Foot structure and alignment

Regular Kokota stress assignment is trochaic; feet are aligned with the left margin of the word; and the rightmost foot is head foot.

Feet are aligned with the left margin of the word. Stress is then assigned to the trochee, or leftmost syllable or mora in each foot. ${ }^{13}$ This means stress is assigned to the first syllable or mora of each word, then to every odd syllable or mora after that. In words with two light (i.e., CV) syllables, both are assigned to a single foot, and the leftmost syllable or mora is stressed:


In words with three syllables/moras the first and second syllable or mora are assigned to a foot, and stress is assigned to the leftmost. The third syllable or mora is left over: it can't form a foot on it's own, so it is not assigned to a foot, and is not stressed:


[^9]
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In roots with four syllables/moras the first and second syllable or mora are assigned to one foot. The third and fourth syllable or mora are then assigned to further foot. Stress is assigned to the leftmost syllable or mora in each foot. As the rightmost foot is the head foot, primary stress is assigned to the trochee of that foot, while secondary stress is assigned to other trochee of the other foot. This means that in words with four syllables/moras the first carries secondary stress and the third carries primary stress:


Roots with five syllables or moras are assigned stress in the same way as those with four syllables or moras, except that, as with three syllable/mora roots, the final syllable or mora is left over and does not participate in stress assignment:


### 2.5.3 Moraic and syllabic stress variation

Kokota is currently undergoing a shift in its stress assignment regime from moraic trochees to syllabic trochees. This is not evident in words with only light syllables, as each syllable consists of just one mora. In most words with heavy syllables, however, the distinction results in differing stress patterns. Considerable variation exists in Kokota in this regard. Some roots with one or more heavy syllable are assigned stress on the basis of moraic trochees, and others on the basis of syllabic trochees. The majority of such roots, however, are assigned stress variably, with a strong age distinction apparent: older speakers tend to assign stress on the basis of moraic trochees, while younger speakers tend to assign on the basis of syllabic trochees. This is not apparent in words consisting of one heavy syllable followed by one light syllable (CVV.CV), as the result is the same whether the trochees are moraic ([2.39]a.) or syllabic ([2.39]b.):
(2.39) a.

b.

Words with the reverse structure, a light syllable followed by a heavy syllable (CV.CVV), however, display considerable variation. Here the alignment of feet with the left margin of the word creates problems in a moraic system: if the first and second moras are assigned to a left-aligned foot in a word with a heavy second syllable, a foot boundary occurs between the two moras of the heavy syllable, splitting the syllable. This is an impossible structure as the rules of foot construction may not split syllables (Hayes 1995:50). In this situation moraic feet must therefore be aligned with the leftmost possible pair of moras without splitting a syllable. To do so, stress assignment skips the first mora in CV.CVV words, and assigns the second and third mora (the heavy syllable) to the foot. Stress is then assigned to the second mora of the word, being the leftmost mora of the heavy syllable. This is the pattern displayed by older speakers in variably stressed words such as in (2.40)b.

However, with syllabic trochees no such problem exists. Syllables are assigned to feet with no reference to weight, so a word with two syllables will count a single complete foot, regardless of how many moras are present in each syllable. Stress is then assigned to the trochee, being the first syllable. This is the pattern displayed by younger speakers in variably stressed words ([2.40]a.):
(2.40) a.

b.


In a representative sample of 21 historically monomorphemic roots consisting of one light followed by one heavy syllable, 2 are assigned stress on the light first
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syllable, 2 are assigned stress on the heavy second syllable, and the remaining 17 are assigned stress variably, with older speakers assigning stress to the heavy second syllable (as in [2.40]a.), and younger speakers assigning stress to the light first syllable (as in [2.40]b.).

The high proportion of CV.CVV words that are assigned stress variably suggests that the language is in mid change. The age distribution suggests that the preexisting stress assignment regime involved moraic trochees, now in the process of being replaced by the syllabic trochee as the preferred foot type.

A similar pattern occurs with other word types with heavy syllables. In words with two heavy syllables, older speakers assign the two moras in the first syllable to a foot, then the two moras of the second syllable to a further foot, giving two complete feet. The trochee of both feet is stressed, giving secondary stress to the first syllable and primary stress to the second syllable ([2.41]a.). Younger speakers assign the two syllables of the word to a single foot, stressing the trochee, giving stress only on the first syllable ([2.41]b.). The word in (2.41) is the only word with this structure in the data and it shows the described variation:


('be distant')

In a representative sample of five monomorphemic roots with three syllables, where the third syllable is heavy, three are assigned stress on the basis of moraic trochees. The remaing two are assigned stress variably, with the same distribution as discussed above. Older speakers assign the first and second moras to a foot, and stress the trochee (the first syllable). They then assign the third and fourth moras to a further foot, comprising the third, heavy, syllable, which is then assigned primary stress ([2.42]a.). Younger speakers also assign the first and second syllables to a single foot (both being light), but the now third syllable is not assigned to a foot and is not stressed ([2.42]b.).

Similar variation exists with trisyllabic roots where the first syllable is heavy and the second and third syllables are light. However, no roots of this shape have yet been identified where stress is assigned variably. In a representative sample of 9 roots with this segmental structure, 7 are assigned stress on the basis of moraic
trochees-secondary stress is assigned to the first syllable and primary stress to the light second syllable, as with/gàepaza/ 'tree sp.' in (2.43)a. In a further 2 roots stress is assigned on the basis of syllabic trochees-stress is assigned only to the first syllable, as with/sáiyona/ 'evening' in (2.43)b.
$(2,42)$

b.

(2.43) a.

b.


### 2.5.4 Irregular stress assignment in roots with light syllables only

Age-based variation also exists with certain roots with three light syllables; however, this reflects irregular assignment resulting from the prosodic shadow of lost morphological complexity.

While the regular stress assignment regime described in $\S 2.5 .2$ will result in stress being assigned to the first of three light syllables, a substantial number of roots with this structure are assigned stress on the second syllable. In a representative sample of 243 of the commonest monomorphemic non-loan roots consisting of 3 light syllables:

- $182(74.9 \%)$ are assigned stress on the first syllable;
- $25(10.3 \%)$ are assigned stress on the second syllable;
- $36(14.8 \%)$ are assigned stress on the first syllable by some subjects and on the second by others.
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These figures alone suggest that stress on the first syllable is more regular. However, these raw figures mask further complexity. Some of these roots have an initial syllable /na/, reflecting accretion of the preposed Proto Oceanic article *na. Unlike many Oceanic languages, Kokota does not retain this form as an $^{\text {n }}$ article (although a reflex appears to occur within the postposed demonstrative ana 'that'). However, *na does occur as the first syllable of a number of synchronic nouns such as nakoni 'person'. A further small number of roots, such as fahega 'be happy', possibly reflect accretion of the causative particle fa. In addition, a substantial number of roots, such as fufunu 'begin', have an initial echo syllable, in most cases reflecting historical reduplication. Roots of three light syllables occur in all of these categories. All are synchronically monomorphemic (there is, for example, no *koni, *hega, or *funu), but reflect historical morphological complexity involving a monosyllabic form attaching to the front of a root of two light syllables.

Once the 243 roots mentioned above are divided into these categories the picture becomes clearer:

TABLE 2.13. TRIMORAIC TRISYLLABLES BY HISTORICAL MORPHOLOGICAL COMPLEXITY

|  | $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ | $\sigma \sigma \sigma$ | variable | total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| roots with possible <br> accreted article | 16 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| roots with possible <br> accreted causative | $(76.2 \%)$ | $(19.0 \%)$ | $(4.8 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |
| roots with initial <br> echo syllable | 58 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| roots without <br> possible accretion or <br> echo syllable | $(52.3 \%)$ | $105)$ | $(17.1 \%)$ | $(30.6 \%)$ |

This categorization reveals that almost all instances of stress assigned to the second rather than first syllable occurs with roots that are historically morphologically complex. This complexity involves an initial syllable attaching to a former disyllabic root. The stressed syllable thus corresponds to the first syllable of an erstwhile root. Stress assignment on the second of three light syllables thus reflects the prosodic shadow of lost morphological complexity. This is synchronically irregular lexical stress. The large number of forms with this historical complexity that are stressed variably suggest that irregularly stressed roots are in the process of being regularized, a hypothesis supported by the fact that variably stressed roots are assigned stress irregularly by older speakers, and regularly by younger. Where variation between speakers exists, for older speakers the first syllable of the root (the former prefix or accreted particle) is extrametrical, as is synchronically the case with prefixes and proclitics. With synchronic prefixation and procliticization feet are parsed from
the left margin of the root, not the word (as in [2.44]a.). This is also true of irregularly stressed roots-initially feet continue to be parsed from the left margin of the former root, despite its synchronically monomorphemic nature (as in [2.44]b.). Over time younger speakers regularize this by parsing feet from the new left margin of the synchronically monomorphemic root ([2.44]c.). Eventually this regularization occurs in the speech of all speakers. In the case of the historically polymorphemic forms in Table 2.13 , the process of regularization has yet to begin for some lexemes, it is in mid process for others, and it is complete in yet others.
(2.44) a.

b.

c.


The stage illustrated by (2.44)b. represents irregular lexical stress resulting form the prosodic shadow of the lost morphological complexity represented in (2.44)a.

### 2.5.5 The effect of suffixes and enclitics on stress assignment

The presence of suffixes and/or enclitics simply extends the right margin of the word, adding further syllables or moras to the word to participate in stress assignment. Where a root with an even number of syllables or moras is extended by a monosyllabic or monomoraic suffix or enclitic there will be no difference in the way the root is stressed, and no stress will be assigned to the suffix or enclitic, because it remains extrametrical. Thus hiba 'eye' alone is assigned stress on the first syllable or mora only. With the addition of the 1SG possessor enclitic $=\bar{g} u$ the stress remains on the first syllable or mora of the root only, as the word is now three syllables or moras in length. The first and second syllables or moras (i.e., the root) are assigned to a single foot and the remaining syllable or mora (the enclitic) remains extrametrical. However, once a monosyllabic monomoraic demonstrative, such as = de 'these', is also encliticized, the word is now four syllables or moras. These are then assigned to two complete feet, both of which are assigned stress on the trochee, giving secondary stress on the first syllable or mora, and primary stress on the third, being the first of the enclitics:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hiba/ 'eye' } \rightarrow \text { hiba=gu/'my eye(s)' } \rightarrow \text { hiba=gú=de/ 'these eyes }  \tag{2.45}\\
& \text { of mine' }
\end{align*}
$$

## CHAPTER 2

A regularly stressed root of three light syllables will be assigned stress on the first syllable only. However, the presence of a single monosyllabic/ monomoraic suffix or enclitic will provide the additional syllable or mora to allow parsing into two feet, affecting the assignment of stress--secondary stress will now be assigned to the first syllable or mora, and primary stress to the third, being the last syllable or mora of the root. A further suffix or enclitic will then be extrametrical and not affect stress assignment. In (2.46) the 3PL object enclitic creates a four syllable or mora word, affecting stress assignment. The further presence of the demonstrative enclitic causes no additional affect:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { /tégeo/ 'thank' } \rightarrow / \text { tège } o=\mathrm{r} \mathrm{i} / \text { 'thank them' } \rightarrow & \text { /tègeó }=\mathrm{ri}=\mathrm{re} /  \tag{2.46}\\
& \text { 'thank those [ones]' }
\end{align*}
$$

The same situation applies when a trisyllabic trimoraic noun root occurs with possessor and demonstrative enclitics:

$$
\text { /fiolo/ 'penis' } \rightarrow \text { /foló=na/'his penis' } \rightarrow \text { /fioló=na=na/ } \begin{align*}
& \text { 'that penis of his' } \tag{2.47}
\end{align*}
$$

A similar situation occurs when the clausal tag enclitic nekeu (/nekju/) 'it was thus' accompanies a root of three light syllables. As in (2.46) and (2.47), with the uncliticized root the third syllable is extrametrical and not assigned stress, but with the cliticized root that syllable then becomes the trochee of a second complete foot and thus attracts primary stress:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /kókota/ 'place name' } \rightarrow \text { kòkotá=nekju/ '...Kokota, it was thus' } \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a root is assigned stress irregularly, that stress assignment irregularity extends to any words formed by attaching suffixes or enclitics to that root. For example, the root kekredi 'egg' is assigned stress irregularly on the basis of lost morphological complexity in the form of reduplication (see $\S 2.5 .4$ ). The root is synchronically monomorphemic but has a prosodic structure reflecting a lost morphological structure of a reduplicated disyllabic root, *kredi. Feet are aligned with the left margin of the historical root, not the synchronic root. The addition of two monosyllabic monomoraic suffixes or enclitics creates a fivesyllable word, but feet alignment remains at the boundary between the first and second syllable of the root. As a result, the suffixes or enclitics form a foot and secondary stress is assigned to the second syllable of the word, corresponding to the second syllable of the root, and primary stress is assigned to the first of the two suffixes or enclitics. This is exemplified in (2.49), with the 3pl possessor enclitic and the demonstrative $=r e$ 'those'.

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \text { kekrédi/ 'egg' } \rightarrow \quad \text { kekrèdi=di=re/ 'those eggs of theirs' } \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In some instances, however, suffixation or encliticization can trigger regular stress assignment in roots that are stressed irregularly in isolation. Thus, for example, duduma 'pity' is assigned stress irregularly in isolation (like many roots with an initial echo syllable). However, when a monomoraic suffix or enclitic is present, stress is assigned regularly across the resulting word. In (2.50) a. the enclitic is the 3 SG possessor marker $=n a$, in (2.50)b. it is the 3 So object enclitic $=i$ :
(2.50) a. /dudúma/ 'pity' $\rightarrow$ /dùdumá=na/ 'his/her/its pity'
b. /dudúma/ 'pity' $\rightarrow$ /düdumá=i/ 'pity him/her/it'

The stress pattern in (2.50)b, is only possible in the regime based on moraic trochees, as while the inflected form in (2.50)a. is now four syllables, the infected form in $(2,50)$ b. remains trisyllabic, the final syllable now being heavy. The inflected form in $(2.50) \mathrm{b}$., therefore, has the same prosodic structure shown in (2.42)a. for the moraic trochaic version of nhagarai. It is not surprising that suffixation or encliticization like that in (2.50) should trigger regularization. The irregularly stressed root consists of three light syllables. In either stress regime one syllable or moras will be extrametrical. While the root's normal stress assignment is irregular, it is not prosodically more complex than a regular structure. However, once a further mora is added, this creates the possibility of parsing all moras into feet with no extrametrical mora. A dispreference for extrametrical moras makes the regular parsing into two complete feet much more appealing, triggering the regularization. This regularization, however, is not triggered by a suffix or enclitic consisting of a heavy syllable. Thus if duduma occurs with the first exclusive plural object enclitic $=\bar{g} a i$, stress assignment remains irregular:
(2.51) /dudúma/ 'pity' $\rightarrow$ /dudùma=gái/ 'pity him/her/it'

This remains irregular because stress is assigned to this word on the basis of moraic trochees. In that regime, if feet were parsed regularly from the right margin of the word, the boundary between the second foot and the following word-final extrametrical mora would fall in the middle of the heavy final syllable, splitting the syllable. As discussed in $\S 2.5 .3$ this cannot occur. Consequently regularizing the foot parsing margin does not simplify the prosodic structure. Instead it creates new problems, so it does not occur. As indicated, however, this is only so in the regime of moraic trochees.

However, given the variation between moraic trochees and syllabic trochees discussed in $\S 2.5 .3$, it is not surprising that the effects of suffixes or enclitics that create heavy syllables are varied. In some instances the regime based on moraic trochees applies. Thus, for example, when seku 'tail' carries the 3sG possessor enclitic $=n a$ the resulting word consists of three light syllables, and stress is assigned only to the initial syllable or mora of the root. When the singular
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nonvisible demonstrative enclitic $=0$ is added, the two enclitics combine to form a single syllable /nao/. The resulting word still consists only of three syllables, however, the third syllable is now heavy. This creates a morphologically complex word with the same syllable structure as the root exemplified in (2.42). However, stress is normally assigned on the basis of moraic trochees, assigning secondary stress to the light first syllable and primary stress to the heavy third syllable. In other words, the two moras of the heavy syllable are assigned to a foot and assigned stress on the trochee:

$$
\begin{align*}
/ \text { séku/ 'tail' } \rightarrow / \text { séku=na/'its tail' } \rightarrow / \text { sèku=ná=o/ 'that (nonvisible) }  \tag{2.52}\\
\text { tail of its' }
\end{align*}
$$

In other instances of the creation of a heavy syllable by the addition of a suffix or enclitic, the resulting word is assigned stress on the basis of syllabic trochees. This can occur commonly when a disyllabic bimoraic verb root is accompanied by the 3 SG object enclitic $=i$ in a situation where the final vowel of the verb combines with the enclitic to form a single heavy syllable. This creates a disyllabic word with an initial light syllable and a heavy second syllable, resembling in syllabic structure the roots exemplified in (2.40). With some roots and for some speakers the resulting word is assigned stress on the basis of syllabic trochees, giving a prosodic structure like that shown for kalae in (2.40)b. Both syllables are assigned to a single foot regardless of the fact that the enclitic means the second syllable is now heavy. Stress is then assigned to the first syllable just as it is with the uncliticized root. In (2.53) the final vowel /e/ of zuke 'look for' combines with the enclitic to create a heavy syllable with as its nucleus the diphthong /ei/:
(2.53) /zúke/'look for' $\rightarrow$ /zúke=i/ 'look for it/him/her'

The equally common alternative is for such words to occur with stress assigned on the basis of moraic trochees, giving a prosodic structure like that given for kalae in (2.40)a.:
(2.54) /zúke/'look for' $\rightarrow$ /zuké=i/'look for it/him/her'

The presence of suffixes or enclitics that consist of a heavy syllable tends to affect stress on the basis of moraic trochees. In (2.55)a. tulufulu 'thirty' occurs with the cardinal suffix -gu. The root consists of four light syllables and is therefore assigned secondary stress on the first syllable (the trochee of the first foot) and primary stress on the third syllable (the trochee of the second foot). When the cardinal suffix occurs, being one syllable and one mora, it remains extrametrical and the stress assignment is not affected. However, when the monosyllabic suffix -ai (indicating a number non-final numeral) is present, as in (2.55)b., stress is affected. Although the suffix is monosyllabic it is bimoraic, allowing the parsing of a third foot (in the regime of moraic trochees, but not in
the regime of syllabic trochees). The result is that the suffix forms a third foot, which being the head foot, attracts stress on its trochee:
(2.55) a. /tùlufúlu/ 'thirty' $\rightarrow$ /tùlufúlu-gu/ 'thirty (cardinal)'
b. /tùlufúlu/ 'thirty' $\rightarrow$ /tùlufùlu-ái/ 'thirty and...'

The same is true of monosyllabic but bimoraic second elements in compounds. The second element in (2.56)b., au 'live' combines with the initial purposive marker mala to create a four mora word with the same prosodic structure as shown in (2.42)a. for the moraic trochaic version of nhagarai, and paralleling the stress assignment in the equivalent but quadrisyllabic compound in (2.56)a.:
(2.56) a. /màla-móko/ 'bench' (lit. 'for sitting')
b. /màlaáu/ 'village' (lit. 'for living')

However, as with heavy syllables created by the 3 SG object enclitic exemplified in (2.53) and (2.54), variation exists with monosyllabic but bimoraic suffixes and enclitics, where alternative moraic and syllabic trochaic structures are possible. The first exclusive plural possessor enclitic =mai when attached to a root of two light syllables creates alternative possible structures. In (2.57)a. the resulting word is assigned stress on the basis of moraic trochees. The two moras of the root are assigned to one foot, and the two moras of the enclitic are assigned to a second root. Stress is then assigned accordingly. In (2.57)b., however, stress is assigned on the basis of syllabic trochees. The two syllables of the root are again assigned to a foot, but the enclitic, being monomoraic, remains extrametrical and no stress is assigned to it.
(2.57) a. /híba/ 'eye' $\rightarrow$ hìba=mái/ 'our eyes'
b. /híba/ 'eye' $\rightarrow$ /híba=mai/ 'our eyes'

Similar variation exists where a root with a heavy syllable is accompanied by a suffix or enclitic, where the heavy syllable of the root creates the possibility for two different stress assignments, depending on whether moraic or syllabic trochees are applying. In some instances one regime is established for the root and that regime is applied to suffixed or encliticized forms of the root. Thus datau 'chief', is universally assigned stress on the basis of syllabic trochees. Both syllables are assigned to a single foot ignoring the weight of the second syllable, and then stress is assigned to the trochee, being the first syllable. The prosodic structure thus resembles kalae in (2.40)b. When two monosyllabic monomoraic suffixes or enclitics accompany the root, the resulting word is treated as quadrisyllabic for the purposes of stress assignment, not as consisting of five moras. The effect is to create two complete feet and assigning stress to the trochee of each, being the first and third syllables, ignoring the weight of the second syllable:
(2.58) a. /dátau/ 'chief $\rightarrow$ /dàtau=gú=na/ 'that chief of mine'

However, in other instances variation occurs. With a root comprising a single heavy syllable, such as pau 'head', stress is normally assigned on the basis of moraic trochees, as this allows for the root to comprise a complete foot. Consequently, a single monosyllabic monomoraic suffix or enclitic creates a word consisting of a light first syllable and a heavy second syllable. As illustrated in (2.39), words with this prosodic structure will be assigned stress on the first syllable in both the moraic and the syllabic regimes. However, once a further suffix or enclitic is added two possibilities exist-either the resulting word will be assigned stress on the basis of moraic trochees, in which case two complete feet now exist and the first mora of the heavy first syllable is assigned secondary stress and the second syllable is assigned primary stress. The resulting prosodic structure resembles that applying to trisyllabic roots with a heavy first syllable as exemplified with oilagi in (2.43)a., the result being as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /páu/ 'head' } \rightarrow \text { /páu=di/ 'their heads' } \rightarrow \text { /pàu=di=ro/ } \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$ 'those heads of theirs'

This is the typical stress assignment for a word like paudiro. However, a second possibility exists. If the syllabic trochaic regime is applied the resulting word will be given the prosodic structure exemplified for saigona in (2.43)b. The first and second syllables are assigned to a single foot regardless of the weight of the first syllable, and stress is assigned to the trochee, being the first syllable. The third syllable is extrametrical and is not assigned stress. as in (2.60). This is not typical, but it does occur.

$$
\text { /páu/'head' } \rightarrow \text { /páu=di/'their heads' } \rightarrow \quad \begin{align*}
& \text { /páu }=\text { di }=\text { ro/ }  \tag{2.60}\\
& \text { 'those heads of theirs' }
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.5.6 The effect of prefixes and proclitics on stress assignment

As feet are parsed from the left margin of the word, the presence of a prefix or proclitic has potentially considerable impact on stress assignment. Morphologically the language is largely left headed, so prefixation and proclitics are rare, being largely limited to reduplication, the causative marker $f a$, and the preposition $k a$.

### 2.5.6.1 Stress implications of reduplication

The form and function of reduplication is discussed in detail in §2.4. To the extent that reduplication is productive it consists of an initial echo syllable. Where the reduplicated syllable is heavy, it is always reduced in weight by the loss of the second vowel in the sequence (thus $\bar{n} h a u$ 'eat' is reduplicated as $\bar{n} h a \bar{n} h a u$ 'be biting (of fish)'.

Given that reduplication creates a new left margin one syllable or mora to the left of the word margin in the underived word, it might be expected that the effect on stress patterning would be to cause foot parsing to proceed from the new left margin. However, as discussed in §2.5.4, many synchronically monomorphemic roots with an initial echo syllable reflecting historical reduplication retain the prosodic shadow of that lost morphological complexity. The effect is that with many of these roots feet are parsed from the left margin of the former root, not the left margin of the word, resulting in regular stress assignment. This occurs despite the absence in the language of a semantically related unreduplicated cognate. This being so, it is hardly surprising that synchronic reduplication does not automatically cause a shift in foot parsing margin. As with the synchronically monomorphemic roots, there is considerable variation in stress assignment on reduplicated forms for which an unreduplicated cognate does exist.

A representative sample of 63 lexemes is presented in Appendix 3, §2.1.7, where the lexemes consist of three light syllables, the first of which is an echo syllable and where a semantically related unreduplicated cognate exists. Of these:

- $31(49.2 \%)$ are assigned stress regularly on the first syllable;
- $9(14.3 \%)$ are assigned stress irregularly on the second syllable;
- $23(36.5 \%)$ are assigned stress on the first syllable by some subjects and on the second by others.

As with the synchronically monomorphemic roots, where variation exists it is the older speakers who assign irregularly and the younger who assign regularly. For example:
(2.61) a. /yáto/ 'think (TR)' $\rightarrow$ /yá-yato/ think (ITR); thoughts'
b. /túri/ 'tell (TR)' $\rightarrow$ /tu-túri/ 'tell stories; story'
c. $k$ kére/ 'sting (TR)' $\rightarrow$ ké-kere/ (younger speakers) $\}$ 'sting (TTR); ke ke re/ ( older speakers) $\int$ 'thorns'

Reduplication derives a new lexeme from an existing lexeme. It appears that once the derived item has entered the lexicon, it becomes eligible for regularization, a process that then gradually takes place, with some derived lexemes fully regularized, others remaining universally irregular, and others in mid change.

It appears that a reduplicated syllable does not participate in stress assignment per se. Instead, it generates a new lexeme that at least initially retains the prosodic structure of the root (from the left margin of which feet are parsed), and an initial non-participating echo syllable. Gradually the prosodic structure of the new lexeme is regularized to parse feet from the left margin of the new word.
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### 2.5.6.2 Stress implications of the causative particle $f a$

The causative form fa does not typically participate in stress assignment. Verbs that are causative-marked continue to parse feet from the left margin of the underived root. However, in a small number of causativized verbs stress assignment indicates feet are parsed from the left margin of a word, including the causative form. With a number of other causativized verbs stress is assigned variably-with feet sometimes parsed from the left margin of the root, and sometimes from the left margin of the causative form. This appears to reflect lexicalization in some instances.

Reduplication is functionally idiosyncratic with the derived meaning of each word being unpredictable. By contrast, the presence of the causative particle $f a$ is functionally entirely regular. Any verb may occur with fa. The semantic effect is to derive a causative verb, and the argument structure is altered to introduce a new agent and reduce the $A$ or $S$ argument of the underived verb to object. This regular semantic and syntactic effect means that it is unlikely that every possible causativized verb is entered separately in the lexicon.

When a causativized verb is not entered in the lexicon the causative form does not participate in stress assignment. It is not clear, however, whether in words of this kind $f a$ is a prefix or a preposed particle. One piece of evidence suggesting prefix status is that the form always and only immediately precedes the verb root, and can only apply to that root. It cannot, for example, apply across serialized verbs but applies only to the verb in the sequence that it immediately precedes. If $f a$ is a prefix then it simply does not participate in feet parsing in the same way that per se a reduplicated echo syllable does not. Alternatively, it may be a preposed particle. This would then make its non-participation in stress assignment unproblematic-it does not participate because it does not belong to the same word. This hypothesis is also supported by the fact that speakers may pause between $f a$ and the following root. Furthermore, speakers usually express the view that $f a$ is a separate word, and tend to write it as such. Stronger evidence that $f a$ is not a prefix lies in the fact that as well as marking verbs it may also mark the possessor-indexing host when functioning as a prehead desiderative marker (see $\S 7.5 .4 .3$, example [7.60]). A third possibility is that the form is a preposed particle that optionally cliticizes to the verb. Given the limited evidence on this point, I have assumed that $f a$ does not form a single word with the verb unless it affects stress assignment. This strikes me as a weaker claim than that $f a$ is a regular prefix that does not normally participate in stress assignment. It seems to me a weaker claim to say a morpheme does not combine with others to form a morphologically complex word unless there is direct evidence that it does. As a result of this assumption, I represent $f a$ as a separate word unless it participates in stress.

This may be exemplified by most causativized verbs:
(2.62) a. /kráyo/ 'be dry' $\rightarrow$ fa kráyo/ 'dry s.th.'
b. /káve/ 'descend' $\rightarrow$ /fa káve=ri/ 'lower them, drop them, bring them down'

However, there are instances where $f a$ does participate in stress assignment. Some causativized roots are always stressed in a way that indicates $f a$ is attached to the beginning of the word. With these words, feet are parsed from the left margin of the causative form. A common example is falehe 'kill'. Stress assignment indicates that $f a$ always forms a single word with the root:
(2.63) a. /léhe/ 'die, be dead' $\rightarrow \quad /$ fà-lehé-ri/ 'kill them'

The presence of secondary stress on fa and primary stress on the second syllable of the root clearly indicates single word status. This is presumably the result of a process of lexicalization. It is not surprising that a form meaning 'kill' should be lexicalized even if morphologically complex (the language contains no other word simply meaning ' $\mathrm{kill}^{1 / 4}$ ). This process is responsible for a number of synchronically monomorphemic lexemes with the initial syllable fa. For example, farohi 'strike with a long thin object (knife blade, stick, forearm, etc.)' is synchronically monomorphemic -there is no form *rohi. However, the cognate in the neighboring Cheke Holo language is rorohi or rohi 'cut, carve into s.th. . ${ }^{15}$ At some point in Kokota the causative particle was accreted and the underived root lost. The difference between farohi and falehe is thus simply that with falehe the underived root has not been lost.

Some other causativized roots appear to be undergoing lexicalization, with variation in stress assignment, indicating that for some speakers $f a$ is a preposed particle with these roots, and for others $f a$ and the root form a single word. This is the case with the causativized form of nodo 'stop, cease', where two stress patterns exist:
(2.64) a. /nódo/ 'stop, cease' $\rightarrow$ /fa nódo-i/ 'stop him/her/it doing s.th.'
b. /nodo/ 'stop, cease" $\rightarrow$ /fă-nodó-i/ 'stop him/her/it doing s.th.'
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An alternative hypothesis is that the preposed particle optionally cliticizes to the root, always doing so with some roots, occasionally with some others, and usually not with a further group. This, however, does not so readily allow the lexicalization explanation for the variability.

If the hypothesis that $f a$ is normally a preposed particle is wrong and it is in fact a prefix that simply does not normally participate in stress assignment, then the lexicalization hypothesis still holds. Just as reduplicated roots are lexicalized and gradually regularized in their prosodic structure, even if the unreduplicated root remains in the language, so too certain causativized verbs may be lexicalized, resulting in the same gradual regularization of prosodic structure. This process would presumably be complete for falehe but still underway for fanodo.

### 2.5.6.3 Stress implications of the preposition $k a$

While it is a possibility that the causative particle may optionally procliticize, there can be no doubt that the preposition $k a$ does so. $K a$ is the only true preposition in Kokota, and its functions are described in $\S 4.1$ and $\S 8.6$. In form it occurs as an independent particle immediately preceding its complement phrase or clause, or as a proclitic attaching to the first word in the phrase or clause. This optional cliticization takes place with high frequency in casual speech, but to a lesser extent in careful speech. The distinction is visible in stress assignment. When cliticized, the particle participates in stress assignment-feet are parsed in the resulting word from the left margin of the preposition. Cliticization to articles is particularly common, giving regularly stressed disyllabic words:

> a. $/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \mathrm{ia} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{ia} /[\mathrm{kaja}]$ 'at theSG'
> b. $/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \mathrm{ira} / \rightarrow / \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{ira} /[\mathrm{kaira}]$ 'at thePL'

However, cliticization occurs equally freely with nouns if they occur phraseinitially:
(2.66) a. $/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \mathrm{ia} /+/ \mathrm{nau} /+/=\mathrm{gu} / \rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{ia}$ náu=gu/'at my house'
b. $/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \mathrm{nau} /+/=\mathrm{gu} / \mathrm{ma}=\mathrm{nau}=\mathrm{gu} /$ 'at my house'

As with participating echo syllables and the causative particle, by aligning feet with the procliticized ka the parsing of syllables into feet and consequent stress patterning is altered. In (2.66)b. this simply means the second of the three syllables, the former stressed first syllable of the root, is not stressed, now being the rightmost of the two syllables in the left-aligned foot. The new third syllable is extrametrical and so remains unstressed. However, in longer words additional syllables may be assigned to feet and assigned stress, for example, when a demonstrative enclitic is present:

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \text { suli } /+/ \text { are } / \rightarrow / \mathrm{ka}=\text { =suli=áre/ [kàsuljáre] 'at those children' } \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The host for cliticization is not limited to nominals or nominal modifiers. Ka may be cliticized to whatever form occurs initially in the complement constituent. Where the complement is a clause rather than a phrase, $k a$ is cliticized to the first word of the phrase, for example, a modal/subject particle (in this example it is $n$-e-ke RL-3-PFV):

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{ka} /+/ \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{ke} / \quad \rightarrow \quad / \mathrm{ka}=\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{ke} / \text { 'at where they did...' } \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possibile that rather than optionally cliticizing, $k a$ occurs only as a proclitic. This is unlikely as it would mean it may or may not participate in stress assignment, without any distribution beyond careful versus casual speech. The fact that $k a$ occurs before words of any type, as long as they fall at the beginning of the complement phrase, rules out lexicalization to explain the participation in stress assignment (it is surely implausible to suggest a lexicalized locative form of 'child'). The only plausible explanation for its optional participation in stress assignment is the optional nature of its cliticization.

### 2.5.6.4 Stress implications of the subordinator $\boldsymbol{t a}$

The subordinating particle ta optionally procliticizes to words with the initial vowel /a/. This cliticization always results in the loss of one of the identical vowels. The cliticized form, therefore, simply adds the onset /t/ to the initial syllable of the host word. This, therefore, has no effect on weight or feet parsing, and consequently has no effect on stress assignment.

### 2.6 Prosodic processes

A number of phonological processes occur in Kokota that reduce prosodic complexity by reducing the number of moras and syllables in words. These processes include widespread vowel syncope with the effects of the generation of surface clusters, codas and geminates; and the formation of surface diphthongs, and the formation of glides from non-low vowels.

### 2.6.1 Word-final vowel syncope

### 2.6.1.1 Word-final syncope before consonants

Word-final vowels syncopate in casual speech in a number of environments. This occurs when the following word has as its initial segment a consonant belonging to the same place of articulation class as the consonant preceding the vowel. Thus in /taremedi tilo mane/ 'with three men' the final vowel of the first word syncopates bringing together the homorganic plosives /d/ and $/ t /$ (as in [2.69]a.). This is not limited to plosives but may involve segments with any manner of articulation, including (but not limited to) a fricative and a plosive ([2.69]b.), a nasal and a fricative ([2.69]c.), and two nasals ([2.69]d.).
(2.69) a. /tareme $=$ di tilo/ $\rightarrow$ [taremed tilo] 'with three...'
b. Igazu ta=u=na/ $\rightarrow$ [gaz tauna] 'that tree'
c. /mane suarayi/ $\rightarrow$ [man suarayi] '[the] man Suaragi'
d. /fani no-gu/ $\rightarrow$ [fan nogu] 'used to be mine'

While this occurs most commonly with coronals, it is not limited to that place class, as (2.70) illustrates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /siaye ga/ } \rightarrow \text { [siay ga] 'and then I...' } \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

While this process is widespread in casual speech, it occurs particularly commonly with some high frequency lexical items. Mane 'man' (which is not a loan word) frequently occurs in a reduced form. Object-indexed particles also commonly reduce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
/ \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ni} \text { nan̊a=na=na/ } \rightarrow \text { [en naẙanna] 'did that name' (i.e., 'was called') } \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reverse is also true. Final vowel deletion is particularly common before the subordinating particle $t a$, for example:
(2.72) /nakoni ta mai/ $\rightarrow$ [nakon ta mai] 'visitors' (lit. 'people who come')

This reflects an important syntactic constraint--the word undergoing final vowel deletion and the following word must belong to the same constituent. The process, in fact, most commonly affects a head that is immediately followed by a modifier of some kind (hence the frequency of occurrence in nouns preceding a relative clause, as in [2.72]). It is in this construction that final vowel deletion is occasionally found between non-homorganic consonants:
(2.73) Komuta mai=na/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [kom ta maina] 'next year' (lit. 'the year that comes')

The examples in (2.72) and (2.73) are set phrases, and many of the most common occurrences of this process are in frequent collocations. It is, however, not limited to such phrases.

The prosodic effect of this process is to reduce by one the number of syllables in the affected word; however, the number of moras does not change, as the onset of the syllable that has been lost due to vowel deletion becomes the coda of the preceding syllable. The only codas found in the language are surface codas generated by vowel deletion. The prosodic change is:


### 2.6.1.2 Word-final syncope before vowels

Kokota displays widespread word-final vowel syncope when the following word is vowel-initial. This usually occurs when the two vowels are identical. Although only a small number of words have no word-initial onset, several of those that do are among the highest frequency words in the language, including the articles ia and ira, the pronouns ara ' 1 SG ' and ago ' 2 SG ', and the locative ade 'here'.

Verb complexes with a transitive predicate often have as their final element the 3 SG object enclitic $=i \sim=n i$ or 3 PL object enclitic $=d i \sim=r i$. As a result there are frequent collocations of an /i/ final object enclitic and a noun phrase with an /i/ initial article. This creates the environment for deletion of one of the identical vowels:
(2.75) a. /frije $=n i$ ia/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [frine nia] 'make/do the...'
b. /duduma=di ira/ $\rightarrow$ [dudumadira] 'feel sorry for the...'

This collocation is common and in normal casual speech always results in the deletion of one vowel.

Equally commonly, the final element of a verb phrase may be a modal or aspectual particle, many of which have the final vowel/a/ $/{ }^{16}$ Consequently there are frequent collocations of these enclitics and the/a/ initial pronouns or ade. Again this collocation is common, and again in normal casual speech the result is the deletion of one of the identical adjacent vowels:
(2.76) a. /a turi=ni=na ara/ $\rightarrow$ [a turininara] '] will tell it'
b. /ge=u=na ayo/ $\rightarrow$ [geunayo] '"..." You said.'
c. Ita au la ade/ $\rightarrow$ [ta au lade] 'if [he] is here'
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This verb complex final environment is the most common locus of the phenomenon, but the only environment where it occurs. In (2.77) the existential verb $a u$ collocated with an/a/final modal/subject particle shows the same effect:

## (2.77) /da au-yu/ $\rightarrow$ [dauyu] 'welNC are/were staying'

Any collocation of a vowel-initial word and a preceding word with an identical vowel as its final segment is eligible for this process. Unlike the interconsonantal syncope discussed in $\S 2.6 .1$.1, this is not limited to members of a single constituent, as the verb complex plus subject environments of the first two examples in (2.76) demonstrate.

The prosodic effect of this process is not only to reduce by one the number of syllables by deleting the word-final syllable, but also to reduce the number of moras. Again the syncope deletes a nucleus, leaving the former onset of that syllable unattached. However, now the initial vowel of the following word provides a replacement nucleus, the particle and the following word thus combine to form a single phonological word:


### 2.6.2 Vowel syncope in compounds

The behavior of identical adjacent vowels between compounded elements is the same as that between independent words. Thus a vowel-initial second element will trigger the deletion of an identical final vowel of the preceding element:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { /mala-au/ } \rightarrow \text { [malau] 'inhabitable place' (purposive marker }+  \tag{2.79}\\
\text { existential verb) }
\end{gather*}
$$

### 2.6.3 Vowel syncope and cliticization

### 2.6.3.1 Syncope with enclitics

Syncope is common with vowel-initial enclitics, two classes of which occur with high frequency: demonstratives and the irrealis tag clause $e u$ 'it is thus'.

## PHONOLOGY

Cliticization of the tag eu triggers vowel syncope when the host word has a front vowel in final position, be it/e/ or $/ \mathrm{i}$ /:
 (nheñhe 'be separate')
b. /sare $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{L}$ [sareu] '...there, it's like that.'
(sare 'there proximal')
c. Inai $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{H}$ [naiu] '...put it, it's like that.' (nai 'put')
$\mathrm{d} . /$ manei $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{u} \rightarrow \quad$ [maneiu] '...him, it's like that.' (manei 'he/she/it')

Four demonstratives optionally cliticize (see §4.1.3). Once cliticized, syncope is obligatory. Syncope in this environment appears not to be an optional surface process but to be morphophonemic. All four (ine 'thisR', ide 'theseR', ana 'thatN', and are 'thosen') have an initial vowel followed by a consonant. All delete the initial vowel regardless of the identity of the preceding vowel:
(2.81) a. /kame=gu ine/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [kamegune] 'this hand of mine'
b. /mane ide/ $\rightarrow$ [manede] 'these men'
c. Imau ana/ $\rightarrow$ [mauna] 'that taro'
d. /mereseni are/ $\rightarrow$ [meresenire] 'those medicines'

The prosodic effect of vowel syncope with both tag and demonstrative enclitics is to reduce the enclitic to a single mora, thus reducing the overall syllabic and moraic complexity of the surface word.

### 2.6.3.2 Syncope with proclitics

Two preposed particles optionally cliticize: the subordinator $t a$ and the preposition $k a$.

The subordinator ta optionally cliticizes to the existential verb $a u$, with syncope of one of the identical adjacent vowels:
(2.82) $/$ ta au la/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [tau la] if there is...'17

It is not clear whether this may also occur with other $/ \mathrm{a} /$ initial verbs.
Cliticization of $t a$ and $a u$ occurs as the standard surface form in formulaic demonstrative clauses. These demonstrative clauses frequently also reflect demonstrative cliticization with its commensurate syncope: ${ }^{18}$
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(2.83) a. /mane ta au ana/ $\rightarrow$ [mane tauna] 'the man who is that one' (i.e., 'that man')
b. /suga ta au ide/ $\rightarrow$ [suga taude] 'the houses that are these ones' (i.e., 'these houses')

The preposition $k a$ optionally cliticizes to the first word of the constituent to which it is head (see $\S 2.5 .6 .3$ ). Where the initial segment of the host word is $/ \mathrm{a} /$, vowel syncope of one of the adjacent identical vowels occurs. This happens very frequently with the first and second person singular pronouns ara and ago, to the extent that the non-cliticized, non-syncopated forms are heard only in careful speech:
(2.84) a. /kaaral $\rightarrow$ [kara]'at me'
b. /ka ayo/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [kayo] 'at you'

Again, the prosodic effect of this encliticization and syncope is to reduce by one the number both of moras and syllables in the surface form.

### 2.6.4 Suffixed demonstrative vowel syncope

Demonstratives typically undergo syncope of the final vowel when one of a set of suffixes is attached. Demonstratives frequently occur with one of a number of pragmatic and modal suffixes and enclitics, most commonly the limiter $=b l a u$, emphatic -hi, and specifying -lau. When followed by one of these suffixes the unstressed final vowel of the demonstrative typically syncopates in normal casual speech:
(2.85) a. /ao-hi/ $\rightarrow$ [ahi] 'this!'
b. /iao-hi/ $\rightarrow$ [jahi] 'that!'
c. /ana=blau/ $\rightarrow$ [anblau] 'just that'
d. /are-lau/ $\rightarrow$ [arlau] 'those ones'
e. /ana-lau/ $\rightarrow$ [anlau] 'that one ${ }^{\text {, } 9}$

In this situation there is no restriction on the consonants that may be brought together by this syncope, as all demonstrative forms trigger the process. As with word-final syncope, the process has the effect of reducing the number of moras by one when the syncopated vowel is preceded by a vowel, as in (2.85)a.-b. However, unlike word-final syncope, in (2.85)a.-b. the syncopated vowel is the second vowel of a diphthong sequence. Here the effect is diphthong reduction, so while the number of moras is reduced by one, the number of syllables remains the same:

[^13](2.86)


Conversely, as with word-final syncope, when the syncopated vowel represents a separate syllable with its own onset, syllable number is reduced by one, but the number of moras remains the same, the onset of the syncopated syllable becoming the coda of the preceding syllable:


Reduced forms such as this are assigned stress on the basis of syliabic trochees. Thus the reduced form in (2.87) is assigned stress on the first syllable only, although both syllables are heavy.

### 2.6.5 Word internal syncope between non-identical consonants

Vowel syncope occurs word-internally between homorganic consonants. This is very widespread between identical consonants. However, it also occurs occasionally between non-identical homorganic consonants, particularly in high frequency items. Between non-identical homorganic consonants the vowel of an unstressed syllable may syncopate, apparently without restrictions based on features other than place class:

| a. /salenaboko/ | $\rightarrow$ | [salnaboko] 'place name' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. /banesokeo/ | $\rightarrow$ | [bansokeo] 'place name' |
| c. /varedake/ | $\rightarrow$ | [vardake] 'twenty' |
| d. /fa lehenjaunau/ | $\rightarrow$ | [fa lehŋ̊aunau] 'make me hungry' |
| e. /mane-dou/ | $\rightarrow$ | [mandou] 'big man' |
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In (2.88) consonants with a range of voicing and manner features are brought together as a result of vowel syncope. Interestingly, the collocation of $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ in (2.88)d. provides evidence of the status of [-labial, -coronal] as a broad place class in Kokota (see §2.1.1). The restriction by place classes is demonstrated by the behavior of numerals with the suffix salai, indicating multiples of ten:
(2.89) a. /fitusalai/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [fitsalai] 'seventy'
b. /hanasalai/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [hansalai] 'eighty'
c. /nevasalai/ $\rightarrow \quad$ *[nevsalai] 'ninety'

In (2.89)a.-b. syncope occurs between the homorganic final syllable onset of the numeral and the initial consonant of the suffix. In (2.89)c. this is blocked by the fact that the relevant consonants belong to separate place classes.

As with word-final and demonstrative-final syncope, interconsonantal syncope reduces syllable numbers by one but does not reduce moras number as the onset of the syncopated syllable becomes the coda of the preceding syllable.

### 2.6.6 Geminate consonant formation

Vowel syncope of unstressed vowels between identical consonants is very widespread in normal casual speech, and results in the formation of geminates. This typically occurs in a number of high frequency collocations, and frequently occurs in reduplication, and with some suffixes and enclitics.

### 2.6.6.1 Geminates in suffixes and enclitics

The presence of one or more suffixes or enclitics may create an environment in which vowel syncope may occur. This may occur when a cliticized demonstrative occurs with a root where the onset of the final syllable of the root is homorganic with the initial consonant of the enclitic. For example, when mane 'man' carries the demonstrative enclitic = de 'this', the vowel of the second syllable becomes eligible for syncope:
(2.90) $/$ mane $=\mathrm{de} / \rightarrow \quad$ [mande] 'this man'

Here the cliticized word has three light syllables, the first and second of which are assigned to a single foot, the third syllable remaining extrametrical. Consequently, only the first syllable is stressed. The vowel of the second syllable is unstressed and occurs between the homorganic $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d} /$. It is therefore eligible for syncope. The mora of the lost syllable then transfers to the former onset of that syllable, which becomes the coda of the preceding syllable. The prosodic effect of this is to simplify the prosodic structure of the word by removing the extrametrical syllable and reducing the word to a single complete syllabic trochaic foot:



A number of the most commonly occurring enclitics have identical initial consonants. These include the 3SG possessor-indexing marker $=n a$, and the 3 SG object enclitic, one allomorph of which is $=n i$. The cliticized demonstrative $=n a$ 'that (nearby)' also commonly occurs. Consequently the sequences ina=na/ ' $3 \mathrm{SGP}=$ that N ', and $/ \mathrm{ni}=\mathrm{na} /$ ' $3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ that N ' are common. Where the first syllable in each sequence is not stressed, the vowel may syncopate, leaving a geminate consonant. In (2.92) a trisyllabic root is marked with the 3 SG possessor marker and demonstrative. Stress is assigned regularly, leaving both enclitics unstressed, the first because it is not a trochee, and the second because it is extrametrical. As the first is not stressed, the vowel may syncopate, leaving a geminate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /nanafa=na=na/ } \rightarrow \text { [nànafánna] 'that heart of his/hers/its' } \tag{2.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same process occurs in (2.93) when the sequence /nina/ is cliticized to a regularly stressed trisyllabic verb root:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /tavihi=ni=na/ } \rightarrow \text { [tàvihinna] 'hunt that' } \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

This syncope reduces the number of syllables in the word by one. However, the number of moras remains the same. The mora associated with the syncopated vowel is transferred to the consonant that was formerly the onset of the reduced syllable. That consonant then becomes the coda of the preceding syllable:


Again stress is assigned on the basis of syllabic trochees. The syncope and consequent coda formation simplify the prosodic structure of the complex word
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by removing the extrametrical syllable and reducing the word to two complete feet. Primary stress has been assigned to the third syllable of the root, being the trochee of the rightmost foot. The onset of the reduced syllable becomes part of that third syllable, and the former extrametrical syllable becomes the unstressed syllable of the rightmost foot.

### 2.6.6.2 Geminates in synchronic reduplication

The most common locus of geminate formation is in reduplication. Apart from a small number of lexemes displaying historical full reduplication (see $\S 2.4$ ), reduplication involves an echo syllable identical to the initial syllable of the reduplicated root. To the extent that reduplication is synchronic, only this partial reduplication occurs. Thus turi 'tell (TR)' is reduplicated as tuturi 'tell stories, chat; a story'. However, a restriction applies where the onset of the reduplicated syllable is a consonant cluster. In this situation the C2 of the onset of the reduplicated syllable is deleted on the surface. For example, knusu 'break (ITR)' is reduplicated as kuknusu 'a broken piece of s.th.' ${ }^{20}$

Consequently, whether the unreduplicated first syllable onset is a cluster or not, reduplication creates a situation in which a vowel is flanked by two identical consonants. In addition, until a process of regularization moves the foot boundary to the left margin of the echo syllable, the echo syllable is extrametrical and thus unstressed. ${ }^{21}$ This is precisely the environment in which vowel syncope normally occurs in Kokota, and in casual speech reduplicated syllables typically appear on the surface as geminates. However, unlike geminates resulting from suffixation or encliticization, reduplicative geminates are word-initial. Consequently, the former onset of the reduced syllable cannot be reanalyzed as the coda of the preceding syllable. A reduplicative geminate therefore adds a segment to the onset of the subsequent syllable:


[^14]b.

$\Rightarrow$


Again the effect is to simplify the prosodic structure of the reduplicated words by removing an extrametrical syllable. In this case the effect is also one of regularization by removing an extrametrical syllable that is irregularly to the left of the complete foot, rather than to the right of it.

## 2,6.6.3 Geminates in non-synchronic reduplication

As discussed in $\S 2.5 .4$, numerous Kokota roots have identical first and second syllables, without a corresponding semantically related unreduplicated root existing in the language. For example, fufumu 'begin' has no corresponding *funu. Almost all such roots reflect historical reduplication, and although no unreduplicated cognate exists, many such lexemes are stressed irregularly reflecting the prosodic shadow of the lost morphological complexity.

As with synchronic reduplication, the vowel of the initial syllable is flanked by two identical consonants, and again vowel syncope is common. For example:
(2.96) a. /fufunu/ $\rightarrow$ [ffunu] 'begin'
b. Thuhurani/ $\rightarrow$ [hhurani] 'place name'
c. /titili/ $\rightarrow$ [ttili]'tabu stone circle'
d. /mumui/ $\rightarrow$ [mımui] 'be wet'

The prosodic implications of this are the same as for synchronic reduplication.

### 2.6.7 Compensatory lengthening

In some instances geminates are created, not by two identical consonants brought together as a result of vowel syncope, but by a single consonant lengthening to compensate for a mora lost through syncope. What makes this process remarkable is that the geminate compensates to the right to replace the mora of a vowel following the consonant that becomes geminate.

This may occur when a root final vowel has syncopated before an object enclitic with an identical initial vowel:
(2.97) a. kati=iyo/ $\rightarrow$ [kàttiyo] 'bite you'
b. /huhi=iyo/ $\rightarrow$ [hühhiyo] 'ask you'
c. Itufa=au/ $\rightarrow$ [tùffáu] 'give me'

Here the final vowel of the root syncopates in the environment of the identical following vowel. The former onset of the reduced syllable attracts the mora of the lost syllable and becomes the coda of the preceding syllable. The right compensating consonant then becomes the onset of the second syllable. This has the prosodic effect of allowing a reduction in the number of syllables, while retaining two complete feet (in this case moraic):


### 2.6.8 Reduction of diphthong weight by V2 deletion

Two processes reduce prosodic complexity by reducing the weight of diphthong nuclei. Consisting of a sequence of two vowels, these nuclei are bimoraic, and such syllables are heavy. Two processes reduce this weight to one mora, one by deleting the second of the two vowels, the other by coalescing the features of both vowels into a single vowel.

Monosyllabic roots that have a diphthong as their nucleus occur in casual speech in reduced form in which the second vowel is deleted when a single monomoraic suffix or enclitic is present. This reduces the heavy syllable to a light syllable, creating a bimoraic disyllabic word. This simplifies the prosodic structure of the word by allowing for a single complete moraic foot.
(2.99) a. /nau=di/ $\rightarrow$ [yadi] 'eat them'
b. /lao=bol $\rightarrow \quad$ [labo]'go ahead! ${ }^{22}$

### 2.6.9 Vowel coalescence

Diphthongs may also be reduced by a process of vowel coalescence. Features of the two vowels in the VV sequence coalesce to form a single monophthong

[^15]produced in a position intermediate between the positions of the two vowels in the original sequence. This is most evident with low + high sequences. The resulting monophthong is the mid vowel with the same front/back features as the high vowel. Thus $/ \mathrm{au} /$ is reduced as $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{ai} /$ is reduced as $/ \mathrm{e} /$ :
(2.100) a. /vilai/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [vile] 'knife'
b. /bula=nau/ $\rightarrow$ [bulano] '1'm angry'

This process also applies to sequences of mid + high vowels, the resulting monophthong being in an intermediate position between the two vowel positions. Thus manei 'he, him', for example, typically occurs with a monophthong that is intermediate between the mid front and high front vowel positions, equivalent to a reduced height variant of /i/.

Coalescence is, however, not limited to the reduction of diphthongs, but may occur across morpheme boundaries with other VV sequences in high frequency collocations. A collocation of $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{a} /$, for example, may surface as [0]:
(2.101) /kame=mu ana/ $\rightarrow$ [kamemona] 'that hand/arm of his/hers/its'

This has the effect of reducing the word to four light syllables, allowing the parsing of syllables or moras into two complete feet.

### 2.6.10 Glide formation

Kokota has no underlying glides (see §2.1.1.3.4). However, as discussed in $\$ 2.2 .4 .3$, non-low vowels form glides in certain environments: the front non-low vowels/e/ and /i/ becoming the palatal glide [j], the non-low back vowels / / and $/ \mathrm{u} /$ the labiovelar [ $w$ ]. As discussed in $\S 2.2 .4 .1$, diphthong formation reduces syllable number by combining a sequence of two vowels into a single heavy syllable. However, diphthong formation only occurs with certain VV sequences. Other VV sequences also undergo a process that reduces not only syllable number, but also moras number, by changing one of the vowels into a glide.

This process of glide formation only affects the first vowel in a VV sequence, turning that vowel into an onset consonant. One environment where this occurs is where it will create an onset for a syllable that would otherwise be onsetless. In a sequence of three vowels, if the middle vowel is eligible for glide formation that will take place. For example, the clausal tag nekeuo 'that was thus' is quadrisyllabic in slow careful speech, each vowel realized as a separate syllable. However, the middle vowel in the VVV sequence is eligible for glide formation. This occurs, creating a word that has only three syllables (and moras), the $/ \mathrm{u}$ / becoming a glide onset for the $/ 0 /$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /ne-ke-u=o/ } \quad \rightarrow \quad \text { [nekewo] 'that was thus' } \tag{2.102}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The vowel /e/ in that sequence is also eligible for glide formation in some circumstances. If that vowel underwent glide formation instead of the $/ \mathrm{w} /$ the resulting word would be *[nekjuo], which is trisyllabic. However, this involves the acceptable, but not preferred, syllable structure CV CCV V. Instead the preferred structure CV CV CV is generated.

A similar situation pertains in (2.103). Unlike the underlying form in (2.102), the first and second vowel in the VVV(V) sequence are eligible for diphthong formation. However, the diphthong is not formed. Instead of forming a diphthong with the preceding vowel, the middle vowel forms the onset of a syllable containing the following vowel(s):
(2.103) a. /gauai/ $\rightarrow$ [gawai] 'be distant'
b. komu ta mai ana/ $\rightarrow$ [komu ta majana] 'that week that is coming' (i.e., 'next week')

The fact that the vowel that undergoes glide formation would also be eligible for diphthong formation if it did not immediately precede a vowel means that the effect of glide formation in this situation does not reduce syllable numbers. It does, however, reduce mora numbers. As in (2,102), it also creates a sequence of two syllables each with an onset, the first of which is light, rather than a dispreferred sequence of two syllables, the second of which has no onset and the first of which is heavy. This shows that CV-CV is preferred to CVV-V. Example (2.103) demonstrates that diphthong formation occurs after glide formation.

It will be noted that the surface form of gauai [gawai] consists of a light followed by a heavy syllable. Words with this structure are the locus of considerable variation (see $\S 2.5 .3$ ). This variation, reflecting a shift from moraic to syllabic trochees, is seen in this surface form, with syllabic stress assignment [gáwai] and moraic [gawái] both occurring, with younger speakers tending to use the former and older speakers the latter. As this occurs in a surface form after another phonological process has modified the prosodic structure, it suggests that both moraic and syllabic trochees are operating synchronically. However, glide onset formation is not limited to the second vowel in a VVV(V) sequence. The first vowel in a VV sequence can undergo glide formation, even if an onset is already present, creating an onset cluster
(2.104) a. /n-e-ke-u/ $\rightarrow$ [nekju] 'it was thus'
b. $/ \mathrm{ikoa} / \rightarrow$ [ikwa] 'be small'

This reduces the number of moras and syllables, simplifying the prosodic structure from one complete foot plus an extrametrical syllable or mora into the preferred root shape of a single foot consisting of two light syllables. The resulting onset clusters in (2.104) conform to the language's constraints on underlying clusters described in $\S 2.3 .1 .2$, broadly that Cl must be an obstruent
and C 2 a voiced sonorant. However, there is no restriction that a Cl in a cluster resulting from glide formation conform to those constraints. Glide formation freely occurs where the resulting cluster Cl is a sonorant, as (2.105) illustrates.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { /prepreku=mu-are/ } \rightarrow \text { [peprekumware] 'those lips of yours, }{ }^{23} \tag{2.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Glide formation generating a surface cluster may occur only when the existing onset consists of a single consonant. A constraint exists in the language, on onset clusters of more than two consonants. This prevents glide formation where the glide would add a third consonant to a syllable onset.

Stress assignment occurs after glide formation, as (2.104)b. and (2.105) illustrate. When produced in slow careful speech ikoa is realized as a trisyllable, with stress assigned irregularly to the second syllable vowel /o/. However, it is this vowel that undergoes glide formation, creating a disyllabic word that is assigned stress on the first syllable, both syllables being assigned to a single foot, resulting in the stress assignment [ikwa].

In isolation, pepreku is also irregularly stressed on the second syllable. In this case syllables two and three (/preku/) are assigned to one foot (the first syllable being extrametrical). With the addition of the enclitics $=m u$ and $=a r e$, syllables five and six (/mu-a/) would normally be assigned to a second foot. The remaining syllable [re] would be extrametrical. Primary stress would then be assigned to the trochee of the rightmost foot, being the syllable $/ \mathrm{mu} /$. However, it is the vowel of this syllable that undergoes glide formation. In the surface word the second and third syllables remain assigned to a foot. The third and fourth syllables are also assigned to a foot, but the third and fourth syllables are now [mware]. Consequently primary stress is assigned to [mwa], no word-final extrametrical syllable now occurring. Stress assignment is thus [peprèkumwáre].

While glide onset formation occurs widely, word minimality constraints prevent glide formation if the resulting word would consist only of a single light syllable. For example, the first vowel in kue 'grandfather' is eligible for glide formation, generating a cluster onset like that in (2.104)b. However, when the word occurs without any affixes or clitics this may not occur, as it would generate a word consisting of a single light syllable. Word minimality constraints preclude stress-bearing words of less than two moras. Glide formation is thus blocked in that environment. However, if an enclitic is present, glide formation does not violate that constraint and so takes place:

[^16]
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## (2.106) a. /kue/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [kúe] 'grandfather' (not *[kwe]) <br> b. /kue=gu/ $\rightarrow \quad$ [kwégu] 'my grandfather'

In summary, glide onset formation occurs when a non-low vowel precedes another vowel, except when it will generate an onset of more that two consonants or a subminimal word. It occurs before either diphthong formation or stress assignment.

## CHAPTER 3: NOUN PHRASES

### 3.1 Nominal forms

### 3.1.1 Nominal derivation

Most phonologically unitary nominal forms consist of a single nominal root morpheme. However, two kinds of morphologically complex nominals exist: compounds, and forms derived by reduplication.

### 3.1.1.1 Nominal compounding

Nominal compounding involves the concatenation of exactly two normally independent words. Both endocentric and exocentric compounds occur.

### 3.1.1.1.1 Endocentric compounds

Nouns may be modified by, among other things, another noun or a stative verb (see §3.3.1.2). These are not compounds as they do not constitute a single phonological word. However, many nominal compounds appear to be the result of the morphological concatenation of a nominal head plus modifier. Such compounds are left headed and endocentric. Some have a nominal root as the second element (having the structure $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ ), with the second element identifying the domain that the head belongs to:
(3.1) a. mane-vaka 'white/Asian man' ('man-ship') ${ }^{24}$
b. hobo-g $a z u$ 'tree branch' ('branch-wood')
c. hiba-mautu 'right eye' ('eye-right.side')
d. kala-mhata 'bush leaves' ('leat/hair-bush')

Others have a verb as the second element, identifying a state $\left(N+V_{\text {stative }}=N\right)$ or action $\left(N+V_{\text {active }}=N\right)$ that is characteristic of the head:
(3.2) a. mane-dou 'important man' ('man-be.big')
b. vaka-flalo 'aircraft' ('ship-fly')

The presence of the active verb in (3.2)b. illustrates that not all endocentric nominal compounds are the result of the head plus modifier concatenation, as active verbs may not modify a noun directly, but do so within a relative clause. The compound in (3.1)d. also is not the result of head plus modifier

[^17]
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concatenation as the noun mhata 'bush' does not occur as a modifier, there being a corresponding adjective (see $\S 3.2 .3 .1 .1$ ).

Given the fact that some roots function as either a verb or a noun it is not always possible to identify whether the modifying root is a verb or noun, or is perhaps underspecified. For example, as an independent form, pamu can refer to the act of pumping a tilly lamp, or the pump itself. It is not clear which applies in (3.3). Note that pamu is a Pijin loan, indicating the recent formation of this compound and demonstrating the productivity of this kind of nominal compounding.
(3.3) zuta-pamu 'tilly lamp' ('lamp-pump')

### 3.1.1.1.2 Exocentric compounds

Kokota exocentric compounds usually reflect the morphological concatenation of two items that would otherwise be adjacent in a syntactic structure. Many consist of a verb root plus a noun root.
a. deke-tatala
'tree sp.' ('step-butterfly/moth')
b. siko-gia
'bird sp.' ('steal-lime')

Example (3.4)a. is so named because it is a tree that butterflies like to land on, while the avian raptor in (3.4)b. has a white head accounted for by a custom story in which the bird tries to steal white lime powder (for chewing with betel nut) by putting its head into the lime pot. These compounds reflect the pragmatically unmarked syntactic constituent order of VSO, with the verb and first argument nominal concatenated. In (3.4)a. the noun root represents the subject of the verb root, while in (3.4)b. it represents the object. In (3.4)b. the syntactic position for agent is unfilled; however, in normal discourse agents rarely receive a full mention, the participant being indicated by zero anaphora. No compounds have been identified that involve the concatenation of a transitive verb root and a noun root representing the agent. Verb plus nominal compounds thus appear to be absolutive in character. It will also be noted that in both examples the verb root is an active verb. No stative verbs have been found in $\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{N}$ nominal compounds. These compounds are thus $\mathrm{V}_{\text {active }}+\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$.

A small number of compounds concatenate an active verb with a stative verb $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {active }}+\mathrm{V}_{\text {slative }}=\mathrm{N}\right)$. All compounds of this type identified so far have blahi 'be sacred' as the second element. It is not clear whether others may occur.
(3.5) a. ika-blahi 'Baptism' ('wash-be.sacred')
b. $\bar{n} h a u$-blahi 'Holy Communion' ('eat-be.sacred')

The Christian senses in (3.5) are less than a century old. It is not clear whether these compounds are recently formed or semantic adaptations of existing forms.

A small number of compounds have a noun root as the leftmost element but are exocentric (i.e., the referent of the compound is not a hyponym of the referent category of the left element):

```
zagi-maha 'stone adze'('bird.sp.-eat')
```

The visual similarity between the bird pecking at the ground and an adze in use is readily apparent. The reasons for the nominal plus verb structure, the reverse of that in (3.4), is less apparent, reflecting perhaps the pragmatically marked syntactic constituent order of argument plus verb.

One compound exists in which the second element is a local noun:
rin̄i-ğilu 'room' ('?wall-inside')

A formal difference exists between riñi and its independent counterpart ririn̄i 'wall', in that the latter demonstrates frozen reduplication (no independent root *riñi exists in synchronic Kokota). However, loss of an echo syllable in forms displaying historical but not synchronic reduplication is common in Kokota and may explain this divergence. Alternatively the independent root may have acquired its echo syllable after the compound was formed. Either way, the compound is semantically transparent to speakers and demonstrates the potential for local nouns occurring as the second element in compounds of this type.

A large and highly productive subclass of exocentric compounds are those in which the first element is the preverbal purposive marker mala. The second element in such compounds is always an active verb, and the compound referent is always an entity that plays a crucial non-agentive role in the event, either as an instrument of some kind (as in [3.8]a., b., and c.) or an undergoer ([3.8]d.). This PURP $+\mathrm{V}_{\text {active }}=\mathrm{N}$ pattern is a highly favored compound type in Kokota, with the resulting forms preferred over monomorphemic synonyms (the root tañano 'food', for example, is rarely used in place of [3.8]d.). These compounds directly reflect the structure of purposive predicates. For example, a speaker will indicate whether something is edible by saying it is mala nhau 'for eating'.

```
a. mala-mhoko 'bench'('PURP-sit')
    b. mala-kuku 'anus'('PURP-defecate')
    c. mal-au 'inhabited place' ('PURP-exist')
    d. mala-n̆hau 'food'('PURP-eat')
```


### 3.1.1.2 Nominal derivation by reduplication

Reduplication is discussed in detail in §2.4. Reduplication in Kokota has a general derivational function, but two major subregularities exist: the derivation
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of intransitive verbs from transitive roots, and the derivation of nouns from verbs. Some nouns involve the reduplication of an intransitive verb root, typically unergative, as in (3.9), but occasionally unaccusative (3.10). Reduplicated unergative roots have as their referent an instrument that enables the event expressed by the verb to occur or a locus at which it occurs.
(3.9) a. deke 'step' $\rightarrow$ de $\sim$ deke 'stairs'
b. kamo 'go across' $\rightarrow k a \sim k a m o$ 'smouldering stick for transferring fire'
c. rata 'walk on sand/beach' $\rightarrow$ ra~rata 'sand, beach'

A very small number of nominals are derived by reduplication from unaccusative roots. The resulting meaning has a more idiosyncratic relationship with the verb meaning. However, the referent always has the verb meaning as a prominent characteristic. The reduplicated verb root may be active or stative:
(3.10) a. nuge 'shake' $\rightarrow$ nu-nuge 'earthquake'
b. maku 'be hard' $\rightarrow$ ma~maku 'leatherjacket (fish sp. w. hard skin)'

A larger number of nominals are derived by the reduplication of transitive roots. The resulting form may relate to the underived verb as an actor (again with meaning of the verb as a prominent characteristic), as in (3.11)a.-c. Alternatively the nominal referent may be an instrument ([3.11]d.-e.), an effective theme ( $[3.11] \mathrm{f},-\mathrm{g}$.) or other theme ( $[3,11] \mathrm{h}$.), or possibly as some kind of patient ([3.11]i.) (though it is possible this may also be an instrument).
(3.11) a. siko 'steal' $\rightarrow$ si $\sim$ siko 'thief'
b. kaflo 'beckon' $\rightarrow k a \sim k a f l o$ 'crab (w. waving claw)'
c. tako 'catch s.th. in air' $\rightarrow$ ta tako 'bird sp.'
d. hağlu 'sweep' $\rightarrow$ ha hağlu 'broom'
e. kere 'sting' $\rightarrow$ ke - kere 'thorns'
f. gato 'thinkTR' $\rightarrow$ ga-gato 'thought'
g. lase 'know' $\rightarrow$ la-lase 'knowledge, cleverness'
h. turi 'tell (a story)' $\rightarrow$ tu-turi 'story'
i. $\bar{g} u f u$ 'smokeTR' $\rightarrow \bar{g} u \sim \bar{g} u f u$ 's.th. to smoke (i.e., tobacco), ${ }^{25}$

Reduplication may also derive a noun from a nominal root. The semantic relationships between the derived and underived forms are idiosyncratic, but the referent of the derived form typically resembles in some way ([3.12]a.-b.) or is associated with ([3.12]c.-d.) the referent of the underived root.

[^18](3.12) a. bagi 'wing, fin' $\rightarrow$ ba~bagi 'side roofs of porch'
b. bulhi 'cowrie' $\rightarrow$ bu bulhi 'clam sp.'
c. tahi 'sea' $\rightarrow$ ta-tahi'stingray'
d. komhu 'year, crop' $\rightarrow k o \sim k o m h u$ 'bush apple'

### 3.1.2 Pronouns

Four sets of pronominal forms exist: independent pronouns, possessor-indexing, postverbal object-indexing, and preverbal subject-indexed particles.

### 3.1.2.1 Non-independent pronominal categories

All pronominal forms distinguish four person categories: first person exclusive, first person inclusive, second person, and third person. The preverbal subjectindexing particles do not distinguish number, ${ }^{26}$ while possessor and postverbal 'object' indexing distinguish singular and plural, except in first person inclusive.

TABLE 3.1. SUBJECT-INDEXING

| $\operatorname{IEXC}$ | $\operatorname{liNC}$ | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $d a$ | $o$ | $e$ |

TABLE 3.2. 'OBJECT'-INDEXING

|  | lEXC | linc | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG $=a u \sim=n a u$ | - | $=i g o \sim=n i g o$ | $=i \sim=n i \sim \emptyset$ |  |
| PL | $=\bar{g} a i$ | $=g i t a$ | $=\bar{g} a u$ | $=d i \sim=r i$ |

TABLE 3.3. POSSESSOR-INDEXING

|  | IEXC | 1 NNC | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | $-\bar{g} u$ | - | $-m u \sim-u$ | $-n a$ |
| PL | $-m a i$ | $-d a$ | $-m i$ | $-d i$ |

The function of these forms and distribution of allophones are discussed elsewhere: subject-indexing in $\$ 7.5 .2 .2$; object-indexing in $\$ 6.1 .2 .2$; and possessor-indexing in $\S 5.2$.

### 3.1.2.2 Independent pronouns

Table 3.4 shows the independent focal pronoun forms. The two third person singular forms distinguish gender (see §3.1.2.2.2).

[^19]
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TABLE 3.4. INDEPENDENT PRONOUNS

|  | lEXC | lNC | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | ara | - | ago | manei $/$ nai |
| DL | gai-palu | gita-palu | gau-palu | rei-palu |
| TR | gai-tilo | gita-tilo $\sim$ | gau-tilo~ | rei-tilo~ |
|  | gai +NUM | gita +NUM | gau +NUM | rei+NUM |
| PL | gai $(+\mathrm{NUM})$ | gita $(+\mathrm{NUM})$ | gau $(+\mathrm{NUM})$ | maneri |
|  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.2.2.1 Pronominal number marking

The numerical specification of plural pronouns involves cardinal numeral forms. Cardinals (see $\S 3.2 .2 .2 .1 .4$ ) are derived from most numeral roots by marking the root with the suffix $-g u \sim-u$. Thus 'you four' is expressed as gau fnoto-u.

The non-third person plural forms freely occur with or without numerical specification. Two third person plural forms exist: maneri and rei. Only maneri may occur independently as a numerically unspecified pronoun. Rei can only occur in association with a cardinal numeral. Numerically specified groups up to one hundred may be expressed by either, thus 'they four' can be maneri fnoto-u or rei fnoto-u. However, the larger the group the greater the tendency to use maneri. Thus while rei fnoto-u is more common than maneri fnoto- $u$, maneri naboto-u 'they ten' is much more common than rei naboto-u. Speakers will accept rei with numbers up to 99 ; however, for numbers of a hundred or greater, only maneri is acceptable.

Dual pronouns are formed by concatenating the plural pronoun and palu 'two' without the cardinal suffix. Thus *gau palu-gu 'you two' is ungrammatical. In the third person this concatenation must involve the form rei. Groups of three may be referred to by either a special trial pronoun, or following the normal plural pattem. The trial pronoun follows the pattern of the dual, with a concatenated trial pronoun involving the numeral root (e.g., rei-tilo 'they threes'). The normal numerically specified plural form involves the plural pronoun marked with the cardinal form in the normal way (e.g., rei tilo-u 'they three').

The trial pronouns are also used with a paucal function. This may occur to refer to a small group whose precise numbers are either not known or not important. It is also used commonly as a vocative to address small groups:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { gure foro } & \bar{g}-e=u=g u & \text { ade } \text { titili }=0  \tag{3.13}\\
\text { nut.paste coconut.paste } & \text { NT-3s }=\text { be.thus }=\mathrm{CNT} \text { here } \text { titili=thatNV } \\
\text { 'They made nut and coconut paste here at those standing stones, }{ }^{27}
\end{array}
$$

[^20]```
maneri gaha mane e=u..
they five man 3s=be.thus
they the five men...
```

"tilo mane, n-o frinhe heve gau"
three man RL-2S work what youPL
"Three men, what are you doing?"
$\bar{g}-e \quad$ mai manei $e=u$
NT-3S come he $3 S=$ be.thus
He came like [i.e., saying] that [to them].'

Pronouns occur with cardinal number forms, which are themselves nominals. These follow the pronoun, not precede it as numerals do with other nominal heads. This suggests that numerically specified pronominals have the number as the head, with person and number information given by modifying pronouns.

Diphthongs frequently coalesce in casual speech. The frequency with which dual and trial pronoun forms occur in discourse makes them prime candidates for this coalescence, consequently the first exclusive and second person dual and trial pronouns are normally reduced on the surface to [yepalu], [yotilo], and so on.

### 3.1.2.2.2 Third person singular gender distinctions

Some Isabel languages distinguish gender in third person singular pronouns. In Kokota a residual gender distinction exists in the pronoun nai 'she':

```
ta fakae=ni la nai,
SBD see=3SGO CND she
'If she sees it,
nai ginai torai dia-nanafa=na g
she FUT definitely be.bad-heart=thatN very
she will be very upset.'
```

Nai is now used rarely and only by older speakers. The non-feminine pronoun manei is now standard for all third singular referents, including females:

```
manti n-e-ge nakodou
she RL-3S-PRS old.woman
'She is an old woman.'
```

The substantial homonymy between manei and mane 'man' may provide clues to the origin of this gender distinction, with the final [i] perhaps reflecting an accreted and reduced ine 'this', or a reduced form of the pragmatic particle hi.
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Entities referred to with manei are typically human, or at least animate. Proform reference to inanimate objects typically involves demonstratives, reflecting a preference in Kokota for the use of demonstratives over alternatives such as pronouns; however, while speakers express a dispreference for it, manei does occur with inanimates:
n-e-ge la maku=ña manei ge,
RL-3S-PRS go be.hard=1MM it SEQ
'It (the tap on the stove gas pipe) becomes firm,
ao bla lehe=na=na $\quad e=u$
thist LMT die=3SGP=thatN $3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus
and then it's off.'

### 3.1.2.2.3 Indefinite pronoun

In addition to the inherently definite independent focal pronouns shown in Table 3.4, Kokota has two indefinite independent pronoun, ihei and iheri. These are clearly related to the interrogative proform hei 'who' (see §9.2.2.1.1). Unlike the interrogative, which appears to occur only as hei, the most common (and apparantly standard) forms of the indefinite pronouns have the initial vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$, but this may be elided. ${ }^{28}$ The indefinite pronouns are used to refer to a participant whose identity is uncertain.
(3.17) a. ...mala hoda fa mhañai=di nhave=di=ro iheiri

PURP take CS ?? $=3$ PLO $\sin =3$ PLP=thosenv whoeverPL ' ...in order to take away those sins of whoever [has them].'
b. ...nafu=na teo thei mane
base $=3$ SGP not.exist whoeverSG man
'. . . because there isn't anyone
ta torai mai reregi=ni=na ia vetula=na
SBD definitely come lookafter=3SGO-thatN theSG law $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ who actually looks after the law of
$\bar{g} a v a n a \quad k a=i a \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad$ nau gai
government LOC=theSG inside=3SGP place weexC
the government in our village.'

[^21]c. e teo kaike ihei

3 3 not.exist one whoeversg
'There is not anyone
ta age boka fa-lehe=i=na ia to-toi
SBD go beable CS-die=3SGO=thatN theSG RD-cook who can kill the fire.'
d. ...heiri ana n-e kehan̄heñhe si za~zaho=na=na. whoeverPL thatN RL-3S NSP be.separate FOC RD-go $=3$ SGP=thatN '...such things have a different way.'
e. a boka ke fa keli=ni bo
lexCs be.able PFV CS be.good=3SGO CNT
'We can make good

| ihei ia ta toke $=i=n a$ | $i a \quad$ malaria... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| whoeversg theSG SBD arrive $=3 S G O=t h a t N$ | thesG malaria |
| whoever [is] the one who catches malaria...' |  |

Indefinite pronouns may function as a nominal head and may be modified by a relative clause ([3.17]c.), an embedded NP ([3.17]b. and e.), a quantifier ([3.17]b.), or a demonstrative ([3.17]d.). NPs with an indefinite pronoun head may function as the subject (as in [3.17]b.-d.) or object ([3.17]e.) of a clause, or as an embedded possessor NP ([3.17]a.).

As (3.18) shows, a NP with the indefinite pronoun as head may also itself occur as an adnominal modifier.
mane hei ta mhoko fa-lehe=i=na to toi=ne, man whoeverSG SBD sit $\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{die}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ that $\mathrm{RD} \sim \mathrm{cook}=$ thisR 'Whichever man sits and kills this fire,
$a n=b l a \quad$ mane $=n a$
that $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{LMT}$ man=that N
that is that [true] man.'
In its function as an indefinite proform, and its relationship with the semantically corresponding interrogative form, ihei resembles the corresponding indefinite locative proform hae (see 84.2.2).

### 3.1.2.3 Reflexive forms

Reflexive arguments are expressed in Kokota by a reflexive base that is marked with direct possessor-indexing to the referent. This base has the form tagi-;
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however, in the first person singular category the normal surface form is tai-. This reflects a process of loss of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{y} /$ widespread in the language. The first singular form with the full tagi- is, however, occasionally given in careful speech or as citation form. The reflexive forms are:

TABLE 3.5. REFLEXIVE FORMS

|  | 1 EXC | INN | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | $\operatorname{tai}-\bar{g} u \sim \operatorname{tag} i-\bar{g} u$ | - | $\operatorname{tag} i-m u$ | tagi-na |
| PL | tagi-mai | tagi-da | tagi-mi | tagi-di |

### 3.1.3 Demonstratives

### 3.1.3.1 Demonstrative forms and categories

Demonstratives distinguish two number categories, singular and plural, and five categories of relationship with deictic center. The five deictic categories are: touching, within reach, out of reach but nearby, further away but potentially visible, and out of sight. The first four of these categories are expressed using independent particles, while the remaining category is expressed by enclitics.

## TABLE 3.6. DEMONSTRATIVE FORMS

|  | touching | within reach | nearby | potentially | not visible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | ao | ine $\sim=n e$ | ana $\sim=n a$ | iao | $=0 \sim=n o$ |
| PL | aro | ide $\sim=d e$ | are $\sim=r e$ | iaro | $=$ ro |

The terms 'proximal' and 'distal' have been avoided in Table 3.6 for several reasons. First, these five categories do not fall into two groups that correspond to those notions. Second, the actual distance involved, indeed the actual physical relationship in space with the speaker, depends on a range of factors, primarily to do with the nature of the referent. For example, if a knife is being held it will be referred to with ao, while if it is within reach but not in the hand it will be ine. A house, on the other hand, may be $a o$ or ine if the speaker is inside it, since they are making contact with it, and it is within reach. If a speaker is outside a house within reach but not touching it, only ine is possible.

Furthermore, the distinction between the nearby and distant but potentially visible categories is dependent on context. A house at the far end of the village might be ana if the speaker is thinking in a scale greater than the village, but iao if the speaker is just thinking of houses within the village. It may also be $=o$ if it is not within view of the speaker. The category iao may also be used if the speaker is not seeing the house at the time of speaking, but if it is normally visible from the speaker's location or nearby. So iao may be used at night to
refer to a house that is visible during the day from the speaker's location, or indoors to refer to a house that is visible from just outside. In either situation $=0$ may also be used if the speaker is thinking of the house as out of view, or perhaps outside the scope of the discourse context. However, a house in a neighboring village that is never visible from anywhere near the speaker can only be referred to with $=0$. The potentially visible can be used for very distant objects, as long as they can be seen. Thus a child may call out iao, iao when finally locating visually a very distant aeroplane. ${ }^{29}$ In addition to these demonstrative meanings, all the demonstratives may function anaphorically.

The allomorphy in the singular 'not visible' category is phonologically motivated: the allomorph $=n o$ occurs when the enclitic is attached to an $/ \mathrm{o}$ / final word, while $=o$ occurs in all other environments (e.g., suğa=o 'thatNv house' and raro $=n o$ 'thatNV pot'). The bound allomorphs in the 'within reach' and 'nearby' categories are encliticized forms occurring following a word with the same final vowel as is initial in the demonstrative. The independent forms in those categories, along with the forms in the 'touching' category, also optionally cliticize to a preceding word in casual speech.

Demonstratives in the 'within reach' and 'nearby' categories (and possibly the 'touching' category) also cliticize to direct possessor-indexing enclitics in casual speech, without necessarily involving the reduced forms. Thus, for example, ine 'this (within reach)' may attach to a possessor-indexed noun such as nene $=\bar{g} u$ 'my leg', giving the single word nene $=\bar{g} u=$ ine 'this leg of mine'. Demonstratives in the 'touching', 'within reach', and 'nearby' categories obligatorily cliticize to the verb au 'exist' in clausal demonstratives (see §3.1,3.3). This may involve either the reduced clitic forms, or the full independent forms. Finally, independent demonstratives in these three catgeories also optionally cliticize in casual speech in normal adnominal contexts.

### 3.1.3.2 Temporal distance

Certain of the deictic categories discussed in §3.1.3.1 are used to express temporal as well as spatial distance. All time that is passed is treated as belonging to the non-visible category. While temporal locations in the future may not be 'seen' at the time of speaking, they are treated as being potentially 'visible'. The past, on the other hand, is treated as time that will never be 'seen' again. This suggests that the Kokota regard time as involving facing towards the future and away from the past, unlike some other cultures that regard time as involving facing towards what has been (and is thus visible) and away from what has yet to occur (and is thus invisible). For the Kokota, past temporal locations are marked with the non-visible determiners:

[^22]
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(3.19) a. wiki ta $a \bar{g} e=0$ week SBD proceed=thatNV 'last week'
b. wiki ta salupu=o week SBD pass=thatNV 'last week'

Temporal locations in the future are referred to using the 'within reach' category if the temporal unit is the one adjacent to the unit during which the speech event takes place (e.g., the immediately forthcoming week or year).

## (3.20) a. wiki ta mai=ne week SBD come=thisR 'next week'

b. komhu ta mai=ne
year SBD come=thisR
'next year'

Periods further away than the immediately forthcoming period are referred to using the 'nearby but out of reach' category.
(3.21) a. ara ginai pulo mai ka fa-palu wiki ana

I FUT return come LOC CS-two week thatN
'I will return in two weeks.' [lit. '... on that second week']
b. ara ginai lao ka keha nare are

I FUT go LOC NSP day thoseN
'I'll go on another day.' [lit. "... on one of those other days']
It is not clear at this stage whether all future non-adjacent temporal periods are referred to using the nearby category, or whether the distant but visible category can be used for periods further away in time. No examples exist in the collected texts of demonstratives in that category marking temporal locations.

### 3.1.3.3 Clausal demonstratives

In addition to the monomorphemic demonstrative forms outlined in Table 3.6, a corresponding set of demonstratives exist that are syntactically single word subordinate clauses. These consist of the subordinator ta procliticized to the existential verb $a u$, followed by any one of the demonstratives shown in Table 3.6. For example, $t=a u=a 0^{\text {' }}$ 'thisT' and $t=a u=a r e$ 'thoseN', literally translate as 'that which is this' and 'that which are those'. Clausal demonstratives can function as any kind of argument, as in (3.22), or adnominally (3.23). The demonstrative forms are optionally reduced in this construction by the loss of the initial vowel (as in [3.22]c. and [3.23]c.).
(3.22) a. e salupu $\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{a r o}$

3s pass $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=theseT
'These [events] are over.'
b. $\bar{g}-o \quad t a h e=i=\bar{n} a \quad t=a u=a n a \quad b a$
$\mathrm{NT}-2 \mathrm{~S}$ tell $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{mM} \quad \mathrm{SBD}=$ exist $=$ that ALT
'You tell [them] that.'
c. fa $k a-k a v e=d i=\bar{n} a \quad t=a \boldsymbol{a}=d e$

CS RD $\sim$ descend $=3 \mathrm{PLO}=1 \mathrm{MM} \mathrm{SBD}=$ exist $=$ theser
'[He] took them down.'
d. $e=u \quad n$-e-ke hoda=ña=bla $k a=t=a u=a r o$
$3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus $\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}$ take $=\mathrm{IMM}=\mathrm{LMT} \quad \mathrm{LOC}=\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=theseT 'So, they can take from these.'
(3.23) a. naitu toke $n-e$ lao $k a$ mane t=au=ine... devil arrive RL-3S go LOC man SBD=exist-thisR 'The arriving devils who went to this man'
b. teo $\bar{g}-e \quad a u=g u$ kokolo ga~gato $t=a u=a r e$
not.exist NT-3s exist $=\mathrm{CNT}$ class RD~think $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist $=$ thoseN 'Those kinds of thoughts won't come true." [lit. '... won't be.']
c. $\bar{g}-a \quad l a h o d-i=\emptyset \quad$ gai kala=na $\bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=n a$
$\mathrm{NT}-1 \mathrm{EXCS}$ go take-TR $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ weexcleaf $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ woodSBD=exist=that 'We take the leaves of that tree.'
d. guanha e=ni bla nan̄ha=na=na gazu t=au=ao
guanha $3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ LMT name $=3 \mathrm{PLP}=$ that N wood $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist-thisT 'Guanha is just the name of this tree,'

Clausal demonstratives occur infrequently with direct possessor-indexing.

```
ginai e=u nau t=au=di=de
FUT 3S=be.thus place SBD=exist=3PLP=theseR
    'These places will be like that.' [lit. 'These of the places...']
```

Clausal demonstratives may occur with an article:
...ira foro, ifa gure, ira t=au=ro thePL foro thePL gure thePL $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=thosenv '...[they took] the foro, the gure, those.'

A functional distinction between monomorphemic demonstratives and clausal demonstrative forms is not apparent at this stage.
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### 3.1.4 Suffixes on deictic forms

### 3.1.4.1 Emphatic -hi

The suffix -hi marks certain deictic forms with contrastive emphasis. This has the effect of contrasting the referent with other possible participants. It occurs with all eight of the independent demonstratives, both as arguments ([3.26]a.-c.) and adnominals ([3.26]e.), and with the three deictic spatial locatives ade 'here', sare 'there proximal', and sara 'there distal' (3.27). It also occurs with pronouns, but only with the first and second person singular pronouns (3.28).
a. ara teo $\bar{g}-a \quad$ manahagi frinhe $=i \quad$ ao-hi

I not.exist NT-1EXCS want work $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ thisT-EMPH 'I don't want to do this [work].'
b. ine-hi bla botolo fa nhi~nhigo=na thisR-EMPH LMT bottle CS RD-be.finished $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$
'This is the last bottle.'
c. ke kota bla ia-hi

PFV go.ashore LMT thatPV-EMPH
'[They] came ashore at that [place].'
d. peleta $\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{h i} \quad t=a u \quad k a \quad$ ara
plate thisT-EMPH SBD=exist LOC I
'This plate is mine.'
(3.27) ...ge $a u$ fa puhi ade-hi selena $t=a u=n a \quad$ gau SEQ exist CS way here-EMPH PNLOC SBD=exist=thatN youPL '...then you can all live together here at Selena'
(3.28) a. ara $n$-a tağeo=nigo ago-hi

I RL-1EXCS thank=2SGO youSG-EMPH
'I thank you
ka n-o-ke loga=nau
LOC RL-2S-PFV help=1SGO
for you helping me.'
b. ara-hi a-ti-ke fufunu=di bo $t=a u=d e$

I-EMPH IEXCS-NEG-PFV begin=3PLO CNT SBD=exist=theser 'I didn't start these [arguments].'

Deictics with -hi may only be used indicatively, and not anaphorically.

Due to widespread diphthong reduction, the normal surface forms of ao-hi and iao-hi in casual speech are [ahi] and [iahi]. While -hi occurs with all independent demonstratives, it is most common with the 'touching' category forms ao and aro. These occur more frequently with the suffix than without it.

### 3.1.4.2 Specifying -lau

The suffix -lau is a pragmatic marker primarily (and very commonly) suffixed to demonstratives and deictic locatives. Its function is to provide emphasis in a way that indicates that the referent is exactly the entity at issue. How this is manifested varies widely depending on context. It has something of the sense of English one in expressions such as that one, in contrast with that. Often it occurs with demonstratives referring to information that is prominent in the discourse, emphasizing that it is exactly that information that is being referred to. In (3.29) the speaker is discussing details omitted from the telling of a story.

> are-lau ago n-e-ge turi salupu=di=ro bla ago thoseN-SPC yousG RL-3S-PRS tell pass=3PLO=thosenv LMT youSG 'Those ones [parts of the story] you're leaving out.
teo ge lao=di ago t=au=are-lau not.exist NT go $=3$ PLO yousG $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist $=$ thoseN-SPC You didn't tell those ones.'

Similarly, demonstratives marked with -lau are frequently used as discourse sequencers, emphasizing that the event in the subsequent clause follows in a sequence of events from the event of the preceding clause.
kulu frin̄he=ni fea ia suğ $a$ be.first work $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ INIT thesG house 'First they build the house.
frin̄he $=n i$ ia $s u \bar{g} a$ n-e nhigo $=u$ work $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ theSG house RL-3S be.finished=CNT Making the house is finished.
an-lau ge kata $n-e=u \quad$ suli ana
thatN-SPC SEQ bite RL-3s-be.thus child thatN
That, then that child bites [i.e., labor pains start]
an-lau ge $\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=n ̃ a \quad k a=i a \quad s u \bar{g} a$
thatN-SPC SEQ NT-3S go $=1 \mathrm{MM}$ LOC=thesG house That, then she goes to the house.'
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Adnominally, it has the effect of emphasizing that the referent of the head is the specific participant referred to earlier.
(3.31) $\bar{g}$-a kaike fa-lehe=ri gudu ña gai teğe are-lau $\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ one CS-die=3PLO EXHST MM weEXC turtle thosen-SPC 'We kill every one of those turtles.'

The suffix also attaches to spatial deictic locatives, emphasizing that the location in question is exactly the location indicated by the locative, with something of the sense of the English locative specifier right (as in right here).
(3.32) $\overline{\mathrm{g}}-\mathrm{e}$ la posa=u sare-lau ka nau fitupog$u$ NT-3s go emerge $=$ CNT thereP-SPC LOC place PNLOC 'They came out right there at the place Fitupogu...'

In the examples above -lau occurs with root and clausal demonstratives and deictic locatives. Speakers express a dispreference for -lau occurring with other forms; however, it does occasionally occur in discourse marking other proforms, including pronouns and interrogatives.
(3.33) a. ka gai-lau ta age $e=u \quad$ la

LOC weEXC-SPC SBD go $3 \mathrm{~s}=$ be thus CND
'With us if it's
$k a=i a \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad t a h i \ldots$ e no-mai mhemhe=ni
LOC=thesG inside $=3$ SGP sea 3 S GENP-IEXCP be.difficult $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ in the sea...it's hard to do.
b. hei-lau nan̆ha=na=na mane ana-lau
who-SPC name $=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\mathrm{thatN}$ man thatN-SPC 'What is the name of that man?'

The suffix lau may cooccur with the emphatic suffix -hi:

> a-hi-lau fa g$a h a=n a=n a$
> thisT-EMPH-SPC CS five=3SGP=thatN
> 'This one is the fifth one.'

The suffix occurs most commonly with the 'nearby' demonstratives ana 'thatN' and are 'thoseN'. Vowel syncope typically reduces the resulting forms to [anlau] and [arlau], with the former often reducing further to [ãlau].

### 3.1.5 Proper nouns

Any object or entity, animate or inanimate, may be assigned a specific name, including people, spirits, locations, buildings, boats, sacred stones, etc.

Kokota individuals have two personal names. The first is often an English borrowing, particularly among men. Many 'custom' (i.e., non-loan) personal names are semantically opaque, but some are not (e.g., Belama 'frigate bird'). For individuals with two custom names, the first name is normally used in the community. For individuals with an English name, the second (custom) name is normally used, with the English name usually reserved for dealing with Westemers. ${ }^{30}$ Reduction of long custom names to two syllables as the normal form of address and reference is common. Quadrisyllabic names always appear to be reducible (Rivakato to Riva). Trisyllabic names are also reducible, particularly quadrimoraic ones (Dilauna to Dilau), but also some trimoraic (Belama to Bela).

Nicknames are common to avoid ambiguity between similarly named individuals. These typically reflect some characteristic of the individual. Of the two men named Hugo (pronounced [huyol) in Goveo village, one was affected by polio as a child and was usually referred to as Polio, although the two have different custom names. In another example, an adoptive father and son both named Ruebenson Havisade were normally referred to as Havidou 'big Havi' and Havi lkoa 'little Havi', and so on.

The coast of Santa Isabel is a seamless chain of named locations, as is some or all of the interior. Place names vary in semantic transparency, some apparently assigned in other languages or prior to lexical change. Some are entirely opaque. Others, such as Koilolehe 'dead coconut', are entirely transparent. Still others are partially transparent: in Fitupō$u$, fitu means 'seven' in synchronic Kokota, while pog$u$ is identified by some speakers as "a word for hill in the time before".

### 3.2 Adnominal modifiers

Nominal heads may be modified by a range of modifiers including relative clauses, embedded phrases, possessor NPs, and adnominal modifier forms of various kinds. Relative clauses are discussed in $\S 10.2 .3$; embedded phrases in 83.3; and possession in Chapter 5.

Other forms of adnominal modification are discussed here. These include articles, demonstratives, an unspecified class-member marker, quantifiers (including numerals), an exhaustive marker, and adnominal locatives.

[^23]
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### 3.2.1 Markers of specificity and definiteness

### 3.2.1.1 Adnominal demonstratives

Demonstratives may function either as a nominal head or an adnominal modifier. They assign definiteness to the referent or modified nominal, and typically refer to or modify a participant whose identity has been established in the discourse, is assumed by the speaker to be known to the hearer, or is indicated by gesture. Demonstrative forms and categories are discussed in $\S 3,1,3$. Their syntactic behavior is discussed in $\S 3.3$.

### 3.2.1.2 Articles

Two articles exist: singular ia and plural ira. These occur in pre-head position.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (3.35) a. ...korho ma=di ira lholhoğuai=na } \\
\text { pull come=3PLo thePL coil=3sGP } \\
\text { '...[he] pulled his coils towards him.' }
\end{gathered}
$$

b. ia puku ba, ia do ba, n-e kati=nau ara thesG fly ALT thesG mosquito ALT RL-3S bite $=1$ SGO I 'A fly or a mosquito bit me."

The articles assign specificity to the nominal they modify. They occur most frequently modifying newly introduced participants, indicating that the speaker has a specific participant in mind. This participant may be definite ([3.35]a.), or indefinite ([3.35]b.). While the marked participants in (3.35)b are not definite, (i.e., they have not been established in the discourse and are not assumed to be known to the hearer), they are specific, in the sense that the speaker has the specific insect that bit him in mind, not just any fly or mosquito.

Subsequent mentions of an introduced participant are typically accompanied not by an article, but by a demonstrative, indicating the definiteness of the referent, and therefore its identity as the previously mentioned participant. As well as modifying newly introduced participants, however, articles may also modify an established participant when its specificity is limited to that resulting from its status as an established participant, rather than because it is known to the hearer outside the context of the discourse. In the text fragment in (3.30), for example, the first line introduces a new participant to the discourse-a house that is constructed to function as a confining house for childbirth. This is marked with an article because although the house is hypothetical, the speaker has a specific house in mind: one that has been constructed for use by a participant already established in the discourse. However, being hypothetical, not definite (in the sense of an actual building known to the hearer), in subsequent mentions (in lines 2 and 4 of [3.30]) the house is again marked with an article.

### 3.2.1.3 Cooccurrence of articles and demonstratives

Articles precede the nominal they modify, while demonstratives follow the nominal. The two do not commonly cooccur, because the specificity expressed by the articles is implicit in the definite status assigned by a demonstrative. However, such cooccurrences are grammatical and may occur:
(3.36) a. ..ira suli ta au ka ia nau ana thePL child SBD exist LOC theSG place that '...the children who live in that village'
b. Ira naitu toke aro bo thepl devil arrive theset CNT 'These arriving devils

```
ta au kuru nan̆ha=di=re
SBD exist possess name=3PLP=thoseN
have names.'
```

c. ...tana boro $\bar{g}-e$ lao ira palu tati=ro
then boro NT-3S go thePL two mother\&baby=thosenv '...then the two mother and baby stay boro. ${ }^{31}$

As well as modifying nouns that are also modified by a demonstrative, articles may modify demonstratives themselves as nominal heads, as in (3.25).

### 3.2.1.4 Nonspecific marker keha

The pre-head particle keha indicates that the referent of the NP is a nonspecific member of the class of entities indicated by the head. ${ }^{32}$ In (3.37) the speaker does not have a particular day in mind, simply an unspecified day in the future.
(3.37) ka keha nare are bo ge LOC NSP day thosencNT SEQ '[Wait for] some other day before
ke $\quad e=n i \quad \bar{n}=$ ago $\quad$ an-lau
$\mathrm{PFV} 3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ IMM=yousG thatN-SPC you tell that one.'

[^24]
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As well as being unspecified for identity, keha does not specify number. In some situations number specification is assigned by the context. In (3.38) the demonstratives indicate whether the unspecified part(s) are singular or plural.

Number can be indicated with keha by the use of a quantifier such as a numeral or huğru 'all', or by tehi 'many', as in (3.39).

> a. Keha pile=di=re no-na bla $\quad$ tagi-na NSP part=3PLP=thoseN GENP-3SGP LMT REFL-3SGP 'Some copies will just belong to him.'
b. ke la nai keha lholhoğuai=na=o ade

PFV go put NSP coil=3SGP=thatNV here '[He] went and put another of his coils here.'
a. n-e $\quad$ nha=di keha palu namhari
RL-3S eat=3pLO NSP two fish
'He ate two more fish.'
b. ara n-a fakae=di keha huğru nakoni

I RL-IEXCS see-3PLO NSP all person
'I saw a whole group of people.'
c. ara $n-a \quad$ oha $=$ di keha tehi zora
I RL-1EXCS keep=3pLO NSP many pig
'I keep many pigs. ${ }^{33}$.

Keha also occurs with mass nouns:

| ara | n-a-ke manahagi=di | keha | no-g $u$ | kareseni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I RL-lexCS-PFV want=3PLO | NSP | GENP-ISGP | kerosene |  |
| 'I needed some kerosene.' |  |  |  |  |

Keha indicates that an entity is a nonspecific member of a class of entities. This often results in a meaning similar to English some. However, when the form marks a nominal belonging to a class a member of which has already appeared in the discourse, the effect is to indicate that the entity is a further member of the class. In this sense the meaning is more akin to the English another. Example (3.41) is taken from a text about the treatment of a sickness called naitu tahi'sea devil'. The speaker turns to the implications of the presence of another sickness in the patient. The identity of the additional sickness is not relevant, and the speaker has no particular sickness in mind, so the sickness is marked with keho:

[^25] but $3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}$ NSP sick be.separate CNT SBD $=\mathrm{PFV}$ exist 'But some other sickness that is
tareme $=n a=n a \quad n a i t u$ tahi ana ge..
with $=3 \mathrm{SGP}=$ that devil sea that SEQ
with that sea devil

| teo $\quad \bar{n} a$ gai | boka=i=na | $e=u$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| not.exist IMM weEXC | be.able $=3 \mathrm{SOO}=$ thatN | $3 \mathrm{~s}=$ be.thus |
| we can't do [cure it]. |  |  |

$\bar{g}$-e-la dokta baiu ge $\bar{g}-e$ ağe boka=i n̄a NT-3S-go doctor PSBL SEQ NT-3S proceed be.able $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ IMM I think doctors are able [to cure]
$t a=\bar{g}-e \quad t a r e m e=n a \quad i a \quad k e h a ~ f o g ̆ r a ~ n ̄ h e n ̄ h e$ SBD $=$ NT-3S with $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ theSG NSP sick be.separate what's with the other different sickness'

The function of keha as a nonspecific marker would seem to rule out its cooccurrence with the articles, which assign specificity to a participant. However, as the last line of (3.41) illustrates, such cooccurrences do occur. This is not a paradox. Such cooccurrences only occur in contexts like (3.41), where the modified nominal is already established in the discourse as a nonspecific entity. In (3.41) an unspecified sickness is established in line 1. It is relevant only because it cooccurs with the sickness under discussion. The identity of this additional sickness is not specified, and the speaker has no particular sickness in mind. When it is mentioned again in line 4 , an article also occurs, indicating that the nonspecific sickness referred to is the one previously mentioned. If the article were absent, this line would refer to yet another sickness. The article assigns specificity to the referent in terms of the discourse, while keha indicates that the participant is nonspecific in a discourse external sense.

In addition to modifying nouns, keha also occurs as a nominal head. In (3.42)a. keha is modified by a demonstrative, and in (3.42)b. by a relative clause.
(3.42) a. $\bar{g}-e=u=g u \quad \bar{n} a \quad g a i \quad i r a \quad \operatorname{leg} u$ nakoni $\bar{n} a . .$. NT-3S=be.thus=CNT IMM weEXC thePL every person IMM 'It's like that with us all the people
zaho $\bar{g}$-e la au iaro hurepelo keha=re...
go NT-3S go exist thosePV PNLOC NSP=thosen some went and lived over at Hurepelo

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\bar{g}-e \quad \text { mai } \quad a u=g u & \text { gai } & \text { keha } & \text { ide } \\
\text { NT-3s come exist-CNT weEXC } & \text { NSP } & \text { theser } \\
\text { some of us came and lived [here]. }
\end{array}
$$

b. ka ia fai dokta e au=i la bla LOC thesG part doctor 3 S exist $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ ?? LMT 'On the part of doctors they have
keha ta fakilo=ni tritmenti ka ia ooe-vaka NSP SBD call=3SGOtreatment LOC thesg talk-ship what's called 'treatment' in English/Pijin.'

Note that when keha modifies the demonstratives ana 'thatN' or are 'thosen' cliticization occurs, as illustrated in the second line of (3.42)a.

Example (3.42)a. illustrates the cooccurrence of demonstratives and keha, reflecting an interaction of the parameters of specificity and definiteness. In (3.42)a a group of people is established in line 1 . Lines 2 and 3 refer to subgroups of these people, the identity of the members of which are unspecified. In this instance, keha is used to refer to an unspecified member or members of the group referred to in line 1. The use of the demonstratives indicate that definite subgroups known to the hearer are intended (i.e., the subgroups that live in Hurepelo and Goveo). The subgroups themselves are definite, while the actual membership of each subgroup remains unspecified simply as members of the overall group established in line 1.

### 3.2.2 Quantification

### 3.2.2.1 Number marking

There are no specific number marking morphemes. Instead, articles and demonstratives assign singular or plural status to the head nominal, while numerals and other quantifiers provide more specific enumeration. Kokota distinguishes count and mass nouns. The class of count nouns can be characterized as singular or plural, and includes relatively small objects:

> a. $k a m e=\bar{g} u=$ inefide
> arm $=1 \mathrm{SGP}=$ thisR $/$ theser
> b. kala $=\bar{g} u=$ ine
> leaf/hair=1SGP=thisR
> 'this/these hand(s) of mine' 'this hair of mine [one/all of my hair]'

Mass nouns consist of substances that are regarded as non-individuatable, and these are marked as plural. However, many, perhaps all, mass nouns also allow singular marking to indicate an individuated unit of the substance:

| (3.44) a. | no-g $u$ kareseni ide GENP-1 SGP kerosene theser 'this kerosene of mine' (an undifferentiated amount) |  | $n o-\bar{g} u$ <br> GENP-1SGP <br> 'this kerose <br> (a drum or | kareseni ine kerosene thisR ne of mine' bottle of kerosene) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. | ira raisi are <br> thePL rice thosen <br> 'that rice' <br> (an undifferentiated amount) |  | ia raisi thesG rice 'that rice' (a plate of r | ana thatN e) |

Some substances appear to allow singular marking without implying an individuated unit. For example, dadara 'blood' may be modified by a singular or plural demonstrative. Equally, some apparently countable objects (such as pau 'head' in [3.45]b.-c.) may also be modified by a singular or plural demonstrative. It is not clear at this stage what difference in meaning is carried by this distinction.
(3.45) a. dadara $=\bar{g} u=$ ine/ide
blood $=1 \mathrm{SGP}=$ thisR/theser
'this blood of mine'
b. ... $\bar{g}-e$ pogah- $i=\emptyset \quad$ pau=na=na sala $n-e-k e=u$
$\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ break-TR=3SGO head=3SGP=thatN PN RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
'...he broke Sala's head'
c. $m a r h-i=d i \quad p a u=\bar{g} u=d e \quad n-a=u$
hurt-TR=3pLO head=1SOP=theseR RL-1EXCS=be.thus 'My head hurts.'

### 3.2.2.2 Quantifiers

### 3.2.2.2.1 Numbers

### 3.2.2.2.1.1 Numerals and complex number forms

The Kokota use a decimal counting system, with lexical items for numerals one to nine, for multiples of ten from ten to ninety, and for hundred and thousand. These numeral forms function adnominally. There is no dedicated lexical item meaning zero. The numerals are presented in Table 3.7. Most numeral lexemes are monomorphemic. The forms for thirty and sixty are synchronically monomorphemic, but demonstrate frozen historical compounding. Interestingly, the first element of tulufulu 'thirty' is a reflex of the same protoform from which tilo 'three' derives. The corresponding element of limafulu 'fifty' is the only reflex of Proto-Oceanic *lima 'five', 'hand'.
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## TABLE 3.7. NUMERAL ROOTS

| 1 | kaike | 10 | naboto |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | palu | 20 | varedake |
| 3 | tilo | 30 | tulufulu |
| 4 | fnoto | 40 | palu-tutu |
| 5 | gaha | 50 | limafulu |
| 6 | nablo | 60 | tilo-tutu |
| 7 | fitu | 70 | fitu-salai |
| 8 | hana | 80 | hana-salai |
| 9 | nheva | 90 | nheva-salai |
|  |  | 100 | gobi |
|  |  | 1000 | toga |

Several other forms for multiples of ten are bimorphemic, right-headed compounds. The forms for forty and sixty compound the roots for two and three with -tutu, which occurs only in these two lexical items, and corresponds to the English 'score', as in 'three score' for sixty. The forms for seventy, eighty, and ninety compound the roots for seven, eight, and nine with -salai. Numbers other than those realized by numeral lexemes are realized by complex number forms:
(3.46) kaike ğobi tilo-tutu
one hundred three-score 'one hundred and sixty'

In complex number forms some multiple of ten-forms take the suffix -ai when in non-final position. The exceptions are the forms with -salai, and varedake 'twenty'. The lexemes for one to nine, 'hundred', and 'thousand' also do not take the suffix. For example:
kaike $\bar{g} o b i \quad$ tilo-tutu-al $\bar{g} a h a$
one hundred three-score-plus five 'one hundred and sixty five'

TABLE 3.8. MULTIPLES OF TEN WITH AND WITHOUT -ai

| 10 | naboto-ai | 60 | tilo-tutu-ai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | varedake | 70 | fitu-salai |
| 30 | tulufulu-ai | 80 | hana-salai |
| 40 | palu-tutu-ai | 90 | nheva-salai |
| 50 | limafulu-ai |  |  |

Numerals function in three main ways: adnominally as either numeric quantifiers or as ordinals, and nominally (as cardinals). In addition, kaike 'one' also functions as a unitative adverb (see §7.5.5).

### 3.2.2.2.1.2 Adnominal numeric quantifiers

In their unmarked forms numerals and complex numbers occur prenominally to quantify count nouns. Demonstrates, numerals, and articles may cooccur:

| (3.48) a. ira tilo tomoko b. naboto gase <br> thepl three war.canoe ten woman <br>  'the three war canoes' | 'ten women' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

The adnominal use of kaike 'one' typically assigns indefinite status to the head nominal, similar to the function of indefinite articles in languages like English:
da frin̄he=ni kaike visi ade 1 INCS work=3SGO one play here 'You and I will play a game here.'

Toga 'thousand' and gobi 'hundred' are typically preceded by a numeral indicating multiples, and only occur without a numeral if an article is present.
(3.50) a. naitu toi-kame n̄a, kaike gobi kilo=na...
devil cook-arm IMM one bundred digit=3SGP 'Centipede devil, one hundred fingers'
b. hage bla ira ğobi kolu
ascend LMT thepl hundred snake
'The hundred snakes rose up.'
As discussed in $\$ 3.1 .2$, pronouns are an exception to the numeral-nominal relationship of an adnominal numeral preceding a head nominal. Instead, the number is head, preceded by pronominal modification.

### 3.2.2.2.1.3 Ordinal numbers

Ordinals except for 'first' are formed by preposing the appropriate numeral with the particle $f a$. Numbers of any size, such as $f a \bar{g} o b i$ 'hundredth', or complexity, such as fa fitu-salai gahau 'seventy fifth', can form ordinals.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 'I was the second person who spoke.' }  \tag{3.51}\\
& \text { b. fa fnoto koze a-hi } \\
& \text { ORD four sing thisT-EMPH } \\
& \text { 'This is the fourth song.' }
\end{align*}
$$
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The particle $f a$ is formally identical to the causative particle $f a$ marking verbs. The ordinal forms presumably originated as a predicate construction involving a causative marked numeral with the sense of 'making' a certain number, and it is noteworthy that there is a strong tendency for ordinal marked NPs to function as the predicate of equative constructions. However, synchronically ordinals function attributively as well as predicatively and are thus adnominal modifiers.

> ara ginai fakae=nigo ago ka fa palu wiki ana I FUT see=2SGO youSG LOC ORD two week that 'I'll see you in two weeks.' [lit. '...in that second week.']

The notion 'first' is not realized by an ordinal but by the verbs $k u s u \sim k u l u$ 'be first' and fufumu 'begin':
a. zosea wud n-e-ke kusu hedmasta=na
PN RL-3s-PFV be.first headmaster=thatN
'Josaiah Wood was that first headmaster
ka sikolu ine goveo LOC school thisR PNLOC of this school in Goveo.'
b. zosea wud n-e-ke fufunu hedmasta=na... PN RL-3S-PFV begin headmaster-thatN 'Josaiah Wood was that first headmaster...'

Ordinals may be nominalized by a possessor-indexing enclitic. In (3.54)a. the possessor complement is present, while in (3.54)b. the nominalized ordinal is modified only by a demonstrative:
a. $\bar{g}-e$ lao $\bar{n} a \quad$ fa palu=na $\bar{g} a z u=n a \quad e=u$ NT-3S go IMM ORD two $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ wood=that $3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus 'Go for the second [part] of that tree.'
b. $u$ heve ba=ine ara
bethus what ALT=thisR I
'What will I say
ta la=i=na fa palu=na=na
SBD go $=35 G O=$ that ORD two $=3 \mathrm{SGP}=$ that N
to give that second one?'

### 3.2.2.2.1.4 Cardinal numbers

Numerals may function as nominal heads. The polymorphemic numerals (for 'forty', 'sixty', 'seventy', 'eighty', and 'ninety') form cardinals without any formal derivation, as do the forms for 'hundred' and 'thousand'. The monomorphemic numerals other than 'hundred' and 'thousand' form cardinals with the nominalizing suffix $-g u \sim-u$. The cardinal forms are as follows:

TABLE 3.9. CARDINAL FORMS

| 1 | kaike-u | 10 | naboto-u | 100 | gobi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | palu-gu | 20 | varedake-u | 1000 | toga |
| 3 | tilo-u | 30 | tulufulu-gu |  |  |
| 4 | fnoto-u | 40 | palu-tutu |  |  |
| 5 | gaha-u | 50 | limafulu-gu |  |  |
| 6 | nablo-u | 60 | tilo-tutu |  |  |
| 7 | fitu-gu | 70 | fitu-salai |  |  |
| 8 | hana-u | 80 | hana-salai |  |  |
| 9 | nheva-u | 90 | nheva-salai |  |  |

The suffix is underlyingly $-g u$, with the consonant deleting in environments resulting in the adjacency of dissimilar vowels. This follows a pattern of synchronic loss of [ Y$]$ in Kokota (see §2.1.1.2.3.3). Complex numbers form cardinals by suffixing the final element (if applicable). Thus the cardinal form of varedake gaha 'twenty five' is varedake gaha-u.

Cardinals are nominals with two functions: in counting, and as nominal heads. Counting numbers (rather than items) involves listing cardinal forms. Some speakers report a variant counting system used by old men. The numerals above ten in this system are claimed to be standard. Table 3.10 highlights the variation, other than the (possibly misreported) absence of the cardinal suffix.

TABLE 3.10. COUNTING SYSTEM

| Numeral | Standard counting | "Old man counting" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | kaike-u | taho |
| 2 | palu-gu | palu |
| 3 | tilo- $u$ | tilo |
| 4 | froto-u | fnoto |
| 5 | gaha-u | fagaha |
| 6 | nablo-u | fanablo |
| 7 | filu-gu | filu |
| 8 | hana-u | hana |
| 9 | nheva-u | nheva |
| 10 | naboto-u | boto |
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Cardinal numbers also function as the head of a NP, indicating a specific number of some unspecified object, typically one that is already established in the discourse or understood from context:
(3.55) a. lao la tehi $n-e=u$ teğe ana,
go CND many RL-3S=be.thus turtle thatN 'If there are many turtles,
$\bar{g}$-e-la naboto-u ba, varedake-u $b a$, tulufulu teğe
NT-3S-go ten-CRD ALT twenty-CRD ALT thirty turtle it might be ten, or twenty, or thirty turtles

| ta la hod-i=di=re | gai |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBD go take-TR=3pLO=thosen | weEXC |
| that we take." |  |

As nominals, cardinals have two slightly different functions. Like the cardinals in (3.55), in (3.56)a. the cardinal palugu 'two' refers to two examples of some unspecified object. In (3.56)b., however, it indicates number two in a series of objects, with a commensurate distinction in the verb's object agreement enclitic.
a. ara $n-a-k e$
I tabara=di palu-gu
'I bought two.'
b. ..fa kave=i kaike-u, fa kave=i palu-gu... CS descend $=3$ SGO one-CRD CS descend $=3$ SGO two-CRD
'...[he] took off one, took off number two...'
See §3.4.6 for modification of numeral heads, and structure of numeral phrases.

### 3.2.2.2.1.5 Small indeterminate number specification

The non-specific marker keha, discussed in §3.2.1.4, often has the effect of suggesting a smallish group. Small quantities can also be indicated by a string involving tilo 'three' preceded by either kaike 'one' or palu 'two', sometimes marked with the 'alternative' marker $b a$.
(3.57) a. gita da-ke koze=ri palu tilo koze weINC IINCS-PFV sing=3pLO two three sing 'We sang two or three songs.'
b. ...da koze=i kaike ba tilo koze 1 INCS sing $=3$ SGO one ALT three sing '...we'll sing one or three songs.'

### 3.2.2.2.1.6 A lexicalized phrase

The numeral modified phrase palu mane 'two man' is a semantically bleached exclamation used to express emotions ranging from surprise to pain.

### 3.2.2.2.2 Non-numerical quantifiers

Two quantifiers occur in complementary distribution with numerals. These are $h u \bar{g} r u$ 'all', and legu $\sim l e l e g u$ 'every'.

### 3.2.2.2.2.1 Huğru 'all'

The quantifier hugru 'all' forms a syntactic class of quantifiers with numbers and (le)legu 'every', and may not cooccur with either. It cooccurs with articles, the nonspecific marker keha, tehi 'many', and the exhaustive modifier gudu:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. ..tana mai } \bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad \text { ira huḡru }  \tag{3.58}\\
& \text { then come NT-3s=be.thus=IMM thePL all } \\
& \text { '...then all the women come.' } \\
& \text { woman } \\
& \text { b. ara n-a fakae=di keha huğru nakoni } \\
& \text { I RL-lEXCS see=3PLO NSP all person } \\
& \text { 'I saw all a group of people.' } \\
& \text { c. ara n-a fakae=di huḡru tehi nakoni } \\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS see=3PLO all many person } \\
& \text { 'I saw all the many people.' } \\
& \text { d. a turi=di=ra huğru tu-turi gudu } t=a u=r o \\
& \text { IEXCS tell=3PLO=thePL all RD-tell EXHST SBD=exist=thosenv } \\
& \text { 'I tell all of those stories.' }
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2.2.2.2.2 Legu and le $\sim \operatorname{leg} u$ 'every'

The form legu has three distinct but semantically related functions, all with meanings based on the underlying sense of 'follow'. It functions as a verb meaning 'follow', a relational noun meaning 'behind' and 'after', and an adnominal quantifier meaning 'every'. The quantifier sense is discussed here.

As an adnominal quantifier, legu differs semantically from hugru 'all' in that it indicates each individual in a group or series of nominal referents, having a sense of 'each and every', rather than 'all'. It typically modifies temporal locatives, but may also modify non-temporal nominals:
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$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{g}-e=u=g u=\bar{n} a \quad \text { gai iva legu nakoni=ña }  \tag{3.59}\\
& \text { NT-3s=be.thus=CNT=lMM weEXC thePL every person=IMM } \\
& \text { 'We were like that, every person } \\
& \quad \text { kapru=ro sare } \\
& \text { n-a-ke sL-1EXCS-PFV gather=thosenv thereP } \\
& \text { who was gathered there.' }
\end{align*}
$$

A reduplicated version, le legu, modifies temporal locatives (a function not also performed by $h u \bar{g} r u)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara n-a la ka sitoa le~legu nare }  \tag{3.60}\\
& \text { I RL-lexCS go LoC store RD~every day } \\
& \text { '1 go to the store every day.' }
\end{align*}
$$

(Le) legu forms a single syntactic class of quantifiers with numbers and hugru. Its cooccurrence possibilities are identical to those described for huğru.

### 3.2.2.3 "Multitude" markers tehi and toga-tehi

Two modifiers, tehi 'many' and toga-tehi 'very many' (lit. 'thousand-many'), form a single class of pre-head adnominals.

The form tehi functions in three syntactically distinct but semantically related ways. It is a noun meaning 'a large quantity or number of', and a main verb meaning 'be numerous', but it primarily functions as an adnominal quantifier meaning 'many'. As an adnominal quantifier tehi indicates a large number or quantity of the referent of the head nominal:
(3.61) a. manei "tehi tu-iuri" $n-e=u \quad \sin i$ he many RD~tell RL-3S=be.thus FOC 'There are many stories, he says.'
b. gai n-a toğla=di tehi zora
weexc RL-IEXCS chase $=3$ PLO many pig 'We chased many pigs.'
c. n-a-ke lao buala tehi fata RL-1EXCS-PFV go PNLOC many occasion 'I went to Buala many times.'

Tehi may not cooccur with a numeral, but may occur with articles (as in [3.62]), and with keha (see §3.2.1.4 and exemplified in [3.39]).
(3.62) ira tehi parahaḡala
thePL many giant
'the many giants'
Toga-tehi is a compound of toga 'thousand' and tehi 'many', and indicates a greater number than tehi. Its syntactic behavior is identical to that of tehi with its adnominal function.

| ara $n$-a $\quad$ nha=di toga-tehi | meruku ide |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I RL-1EXCS eat=3plo thousand-many | flying.fox | theseR |
| 'I ate these very many flying foxes.' |  |  |

### 3.2.2.4 Gudu 'Exhaustive'

The form $g u d u$ is a post-head exhaustive marker that functions most commonly to modify predicates, but that may also modify nominals. With its adverbial function it indicates that the action encoded by the verb was carried out exhaustively (see §7.6.9). With its adnominal function it indicates every possible member of the class of entities expressed by the modified nominal.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { a. } & n-a \quad \text { duduma=di=ra tege gudu }  \tag{3.64}\\
\text { RL-lEXCS pity=3PLO-thePL } \\
\text { 'I feel sorry for all the turtles. }
\end{array}
$$

b. gita gudu n-a-ke fakae=ni mane ana
weINC EXHST RL-1EXCS-PFV see $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ man thatN
'We all saw that man.'
c. are-tau gudu
thoseN-SPC EXHST
'All of those [things you just mentioned]!'
As discussed in §3.2.2.2.2.1, the exhaustive marker may cooccur with huḡru 'every'. Cooccurrence of gudu with numerals or tehi is not also possible, presumably for semantic reasons. Exhaustive marking of an object NP often cooccurs with exhaustive aspect marking of the verb:

| $n-a$ | manahagi=di gudu | ara namhari | gudu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RL-1EXCS want=3pLO EXHST | I | fish | EXHST |
| 'I want every one of the fish.' |  |  |  |

Like cardinal numerals and tehi, gudu may be nominalized by suffix $-g u$, and function as a nominal head:
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$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { belo } e-t i=u & d a & \text { nomh }-i=\emptyset & \text { gudu-gu }  \tag{3.66}\\
\text { bell } 3 s-N E G=\text { be thus } & \text { IINCS } & \text { hear-TR }=3 \text { SGO } & \text { EXHST-NMLZ } \\
\text { 'It's not a bell that we can hear it all.' } 34
\end{array}
$$

### 3.2.3 Adjectives

The assignment of attributes to the referent of a nominal is largely performed by a stative verb modifying the noun. Thus (3.67)a. corresponds to (3.67)b.

| a. kaike namhari | dou |
| :--- | :--- |
| one fish | be.big |
| 'a big fish' |  |

b. namhari ine n-e dou
fish thisR RL-3s be.big
'This fish is big.'

These verbs ('adjectival verbs' in Ross's [1998] terminology) modify the noun directly, not as a relative clause. This is demonstrated by the fact that modification by a relative clause consisting of a stative verb with a subordinating particle is also possible, although this occurs infrequently,

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
o \text { la } & \text { hoda } & \text { mai }=n i=u & \text { ia } & \text { raro } & \text { ta dou }  \tag{3.68}\\
2 \mathrm{~S} \text { go } & \text { take } & \text { come }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { thesG } & \text { pot } & \text { SBD be.big }
\end{array}
$$ 'Go and bring the pot that is big.'

Most stative verbs can function adnominally. The exceptions are those for which there is a corresponding adjective. Nouns may also function attributively:
rag$i$ boñihehe
b. misikete koilo
c. nehe
$\boldsymbol{v a k a}{ }^{35}$
dance heathen
biscuit coconut
umbrella ship 'pre-Christian dance' 'coconut biscuit' 'manufactured umbrella'

The adjectival use of nouns and verbs is discussed in more detail in §3.3.1.2.
Color terms are stative verbs, not adjectives. This is illustrated by the fact that, as well as occurring predicatively, they may occur adnominally without any special marking (as in [3.70]a.), or within a relative clause ([3.70]b.):

[^26](3.70) a. gai nakoni zuzufra weexC person black 'we black people'
b. palu kaklatu ta vega are
two testicle SBD white thosen
'those two testicles that are white'

In addition to the use of stative verbs and nouns as adnominal modifiers, a very small number of true adjectives exist in the language. Some of these forms only function as adjectives. Others function as an adjective and as a verb, but not a stative verb. In addition, there is a small class of forms that carry direct possessive marking indexed to the possessor of the attribute, but that are either not nouns or are derived in some way, and that follow the modiffed noun rather than precede it as in a possessive construction.

### 3.2.3.1 Formally underived adjectives

Only three formally underived adjectives have been identified:
(3.71) a. mata 'bush, wild'
b. ohai 'domesticated, tame'
c. tove 'old'

### 3.2.3.1.1 Mata 'bush'

The form mata occurs only as an adjective. It is clearly related to the noun mhata 'bush', but no systematic derivation is involved. This is the only instance where corresponding voiced and voiceless phonemes occur in a minimal pair distinguished only by word class. It is possible that borrowing is involved with the adjective. White et al. $(1988: 116,120)$ report both mata and mhata as variant forms in neighboring Cheke Holo. They give no examples for mhata, but their mata examples suggest it functions as a noun and an adjective. My own Cheke Holo informant is unfamiliar with the form mhata.

The Kokota adjective mata has two closely connected senses. One indicates that the referent of the modified nominal is prototypically associated with the bush.

```
kaike naitu mata
one devil bush
'a bush devil'
```

This prototypical association is often used to distinguish wild from domesticated plants or animals, as in (3.73)a., and to distinguish things associated with the land rather than the sea ([3.73]b.).
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(3.73)
a. zora mata b
pig bush
'bush [i.e., wild] pig'
b. kakau mata
crab bush
'bush [i.e., wild] pig' 'land crab' (descriptive, not specific variety)

The second sense distinguishes traditional artifacts from introduced goods. In this respect it is the antonym of vaka 'ship' (see §3.1.1.1.1). Introduced manufactured goods have completely replaced many traditional artifacts, such as lamps. Others, such as umbrellas, coexist with the traditional goods. Mata indicates that the referent is an object made from locally available materials:

| (3.74) a. zuta mata | b. n̄ehe mata c. pohe mata |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| umbrella bush | clothing bush |  |
| lamp bush | 'bush lamp' | 'bush umbrella' |

### 3.2.3.1.2 Ohai'tame'

As an adjective, the form ohai indicates that the referent is domesticated or tame. It distinguishes animals that are farmed, such as pigs (whether they are particularly tame by nature), from their wild equivalent; and characterizes animals (or birds) that are normally wild but that have been caught and tamed as pets. It is the antonym of the first sense of mata discussed above.

```
zora ohai
pig tame
'domesticated pig'
```

In addition to its adjectival function, ohai is a transitive verb meaning 'to keep' (as in animal husbandry). However, this is not an instance of a verb occurring adnominally, similar to example (3.67)a. Ohai cannot occur as a stative verb:
*zora ine ne ohai 'This pig is tame.'

### 3.2.3.1.3 Tove 'old'

Like mata 'bush', tove 'old' occurs only as an adjective:
(3.77) a. n-e-ke mai velepuhi=na.. ka ira mane-dou tove RL-3S-PFV come right.way=that N LOC thePL man-be.big old 'That catechist came... to the old big men.'
b. ine-hi kaike sū̄a tove=na
thisR-EMPH one house old=thatn
'This is an old house.'

The assignment of the attribute 'old' to an entity may be expressed using an equative construction like that in (3.77)b. However, the actual referent may function as the subject, in which case a particular equative construction is used where the existential verb is subordinate and functions as a clausal nominal, which is then modified by tove.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ia faiba n-e-ke kokopo e t=au } & \text { tove }=n a  \tag{3.78}\\
\text { thesG dinghy RL-3S-PFV capsize } & 3 \mathrm{~S} \text { SBD=exist old=thatN } \\
\text { 'The boat that capsized was old.' }
\end{array}
$$

The literal meaning of (3.78) is actually closer to something like: "The boat that capsized equated to an old existence". These are the only ways of assigning this attribute to an entity-tove does not occur as a stative verb:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { *suğa ine ne tove } \quad \text { 'This house is old.' } \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tove does occur in the compound verb tu-turi-tove 'tell custom stories'.

### 3.2.3.2 Possessor-indexed adjectival forms

A small class of forms exists that function adjectivally and have a derivational relationship with non-adjectival roots, but that do not behave morphosyntactically in the same way as either verbs or nouns. Like adjectival verbs and nouns, these adjectival forms immediately follow the head noun; however, they are marked with possessor agreement enclitics. The forms in this class (marked for third person singular possessor) include:
(3.80) a. ma-mane-na
b. ga~gase-na
c. lehe-na
d. le-lehe-na
e. doli-na
f. do doli-na
g. foforu-na
h. kenu-na
'male' (of animals)
'female' (of animals)
'dead' (of creatures)
'dead' (of plants)
'alive' (of creatures)
'alive' (of plants)
'new'
'first'

The possessor-indexing agrees with the entity that the attribute applies to. This is most commonly third person, but any person or number category may occur, as $(3.82) \mathrm{b}$. illustrates.

### 3.2.3.2.1 Gender

The adjectives ma~mane-na 'male' and ga~gase-na 'female' are derived by reduplication from the nouns mane 'man' and gase 'woman', and are used to assign gender to animals, but may not be used with human referents.
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(3.81) a. ine kaike zora ma-mane=na thisR one pig RD~man=3SGP 'This is a male pig.'
b. taio n-e pusi ga~gase=na PN RL-3S cat RD-woman=3SGP 'Taiyo is a female cat.'

### 3.2.3.2.2 Alive and dead

The states of being alive or dead, and the events of being born and dying, are expressed using verbs that differ depending on whether the subject is a creature (person, animal, bird, fish, etc.) or a plant. For both, an underived root is used in relation to creatures, while a reduplicated derivation is used with plants:

TABLE 3.11. VERBS OF EXISTENTIAL STATUS

|  | creatures | plants |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 'be alive; be born' | doli | dodoli |
| 'be dead; die' | lehe | lelehe |

This distinction carries over into the adjectival function. Although the four verb forms are stative (as well as dynamic), in their adjectival function they do not behave as other stative verbs, but carry possessor-indexing in the same way as the gender adjectives above. Although no other derivational process is involved, the differing morphological behavior of the verbal and adjectival uses justifies regarding these as adjectives as well as verbs, not mere adjectival verbs.
(3.82) a. ine kaike zora doll=na
thisR one pig be.live $=3$ SGP
'This is a live pig.'
b. ago kaike zora lehe=mu
yousG one pig be.dead=2SGP
'You are a dead pig.'
c. $\bar{g} a z u$ are $e \quad \bar{g} a z u \quad l e \sim l e h e=d i$
wood thosen 3 s wood RD-bedead=3plp
'Those trees are dead trees.'

### 3.2.3.2.3 Foforи=na 'new'

As with verbs of existential status, foforu 'be new' is a stative verb that cannot function adnominally, but the form also occurs with possessor-indexing as an adjective:
$s u \bar{g} a$ are palu sug$a$ foforu $=d i$
house thosen two house be.new=3PLP
'These are two new houses.'

### 3.2.3.2.4 Kenu=na'first'

The form kenu has a number of functions with related meanings. It is a relational noun meaning 'front' or 'first'. As an intransitive verb it means to be ahead or in the forefront, and as a transitive verb means to be ahead of someone in a competition or comparison. Reduplicated it occurs as a noun meaning 'the first one'. In addition, it functions as an adjective:

| ine-hi bla botolo | kenu=na=na |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thisR-EMPH LMT bottle | first=3SGP=thatN |
| 'This is the first bottle |  |


| ta kulu kumai $=n i=n a$ | gita |
| :--- | :--- |
| SBD be.first drink $=35 G O=$ thatN | weINC |
| that we will drink.' |  |

The possessor-indexing shows this is not stative verb functioning adnominally, while the postverbal position indicates that the form is not functioning as a relational noun. The same meaning can be expressed with the form preceding the noun. However, in (3.85) the form is functioning as a relational noun, giving the sentence the more literal meaning "this is the first of the bottles".

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ine-hi bla } \quad \text { kenu=na } & \text { botolo=na }  \tag{3.85}\\
\text { thisR-EMPH LMT front=3SGP } & \text { bottle=thatN } \\
\text { 'This is the first bottle.' }
\end{array}
$$

### 3.3 Structure of Noun Phrases with common noun head

Several structural NP types occur, depending on the lexical category of the head. This section will discuss the structure of NPs with an ordinary nominal head. Other NP types include those whose heads are pronouns, reflexives, personal and location names, local nouns, demonstratives, and numerals (see §3.4). In addition a subordinated clause may function as an argument (see §10.2.4).

NPs with a noun as head consist of a nominal core, and a series of optional outer modifiers. The nominal core consists of a noun, an optional pre-head core modifier, an optional post-head core modifier, and possessor-indexing. The noun and the core modifiers form the structural and semantic core of the NP. It is this core that is modified by any outer modifiers that may be present. Nouns may also be modified by a relative clause, as discussed also in $\S 10.2 .3$.
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### 3.3.1 NP core

A clear distinction exists between the core and non-core components of a NP. The constituent status of the NP core is evident in incorporation: the NP core is that part of a NP that may participate in incorporation. Consequently the diagnostic for whether an adnominal modifier is core or non-core is whether that modifier participates in incorporation or not. This is discussed in detail in §6.4.

The nominal head and its optional core modifiers form the semantic and structural core of a NP. The head consists of a nominal form that is either an underlying noun, or a member of another word class that has been nominalized or is functioning as a nominal. Core modifiers include a small closed class of pre-head core modifiers, several open classes of lexical post-head core modifiers, and possessor-indexing.

### 3.3.1.1 Pre-head core modifiers

An immediate pre-head core modifier position may be filled by the modifiers tehi 'many' and toga-tehi 'very many'. Details of the functions of these modifiers are discussed in $\S 3.2 .2 .3$. In both examples in (3.86) the modifier and noun are incorporated, neither verb being in its transitive form.
> a. ago n-o hoda tehi kaku
> yousG RL-2S take many banana
> 'You took many bananas.'
b. ara n-a korho toga-tehi $\quad$ namhari
I RL-1EXCS pull thousand-many fish
'I caught very many fish.'

### 3.3.1.2 Post-head core modifiers

An immediate post-head core modifier position also exists. This may be filled by almost any member of an open word class, including nouns (including local nouns), proper nouns (personal or locative), stative verbs, or adjectives, or by a member of a small class of spatial locatives. It appears that a very limited type of relative clause may also occur in this post-head core position. Core modification by nouns, stative verbs, and adjectives is discussed below.

### 3.3.1.2.1 Nouns as post-head core modifier

Lexical nouns may occur as the core modifier. This may specify what kind of entity the referent is, within a class identified by the head nominal:
(3.87) a. ke la toke ka palu mane vave=ro $\bar{n}=a g o$
PFV go arrive LOC two man in.law=thosenv IMM=youSG 'You go to those two in-laws.'
b. ia pike mau= $\bar{g} u \quad n-e-k e \quad h o d-i=\emptyset=o \ldots$
theSG piece taro $=1 \mathrm{SGP}$ RL-3S-PFV take-TR $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ thatNV 'My piece of taro [they] brought...'
c. ara a tu-turi=ni ia naitu parahağala

I IEXCS RD-tell=3SGOthesg devil giant 'I will tell a story about the giant devil.'
d. ka nare sade $\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=u \quad \bar{g}-e$ la tarai=u

LOC day Sunday NT-3S go $=$ CNT NT-3S go pray $=$ CNT 'On Sundays they were going and praying.'

There are numerous kinds of men, pieces and devils, and several days of the week, and the core modifiers in (3.87) serve to specify a subclass of each entity. Noun core modifiers may be even more specific, indicating a single member of the class expressed by the head:
ia mane n-e-ke lehe e fani mane premie $e=u$ thesg man RL-3S-PFVdie 3 S often man Premier $3 S=$ be,thus 'The man who died used to be Premier,'

In some cases the core modifier noun provides additional information about the referent, rather than specifying a subclass. In (3.89) kumai is not specifying a subclass of cups. Instead it provides information about the contents of the cups.

```
palu panikine kumai ide
two cup water theser
    'these two cups of water'
```

Because a noun may occur as the core modifier, two nouns may occur in either order in the core, with variation in meaning commensurate with the change in the head-modifier relationship:
(3.90) a. ira no-mai kastom mereseni tagi-mai
thePL GENP-1INCP custom medicine REFL-1INCP
'our own medicine customs'
b. ira no-mai mereseni kastom gai thepl GENP-1INCP medicine custom weINC 'our custom medicines'
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The phrase in (3.90)a. refers to the speaker's community's traditions, specifically, traditions relating to medicine, while in (3.90)b. the reference is to medicine, specifically, medicines within the community's tradition.

Nominal compounds themselves consist of two elements. However, being lexical words, they may occur with a core modifier, or as a core modifier:
(3.91) ia mereseni mane-vaka
thesG medicine man-ship
'the white man's medicine'

### 3.3.1.2.2 Personal name core modifiers

The degree of specificity exhibited by the core modifier in (3.88) is taken a step further by the use of personal names to identify a specific individual or entity:
(3.92) a. kai bo au ia pirisi hugo hebala...
later CNT exist thesG priest PN
'Later there was the priest Hugo Hebala.'
b. ... $\bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad$ mane havisade ine
$\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus=IMM man PN thisR
""..." thought this man Havisade."
c. gahipa sagetolu ine, hod- $i=\emptyset$
stone PN thisR take-TR $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$
'This stone Sagetolu, take it
$a \bar{g} e$ nai=ni ka suğa tarai=ne
go put $=3$ SGO LOC house pray=thisR and put it in the church.'

The position of the PNs in relation to the demonstratives in (3.92)b.-c. demonstrate that the PNs are functioning as core modifiers and not as phrasal adjuncts in these examples.

Conjoined personal names can modify a single head:
mane sala ge ruruboñi n-e-ke namha mai ka suaragi man PN and PN RL-3S-PFV love come LOC PN 'Sala and Ruruboñi were kind to Suaragi.'

This demonstrates that it is $\mathrm{PN}^{\prime}$ that occurs as a core modifier, not merely PN .

## NOUN PHRASES

### 3.3.1.2.3 Location name core modifiers

Locative proper nouns may occur as outer modifiers, corresponding syntactically to locative prepositional phrases. They may also function as a core modifier, specifying the head by associating it with a particular location, as in (3.94).
(3.94) a. $\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e=u$ mane huhurañi=de haidu maneri sare=u

NT-3S go $=$ CNT man $P N L O C=$ theser meet they therep be.thus 'These Huhurangi people were going and they held a meeting there.'
b. ara n-a-ge fufumu lase=i ooe kokota

I RL-1EXCS-PRSbegin know=3SGOtalk PNLOC
'I am staring to understand the Kokota language.'
c. $\bar{g}-e \quad m a i=\bar{n} a$ ia velepuhi ka gai
$\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ come $=\mathrm{IMM}$ thesg right. way LOC weexc
'Then the catechist came to us,
ka nau kokota ine
LOC place PNLOC thisR to this Kokota place.'

### 3.3.1.2.4 Local nouns as core modifiers

Local nouns, both intrinsic (as in [3.95]a.-b.) and absolute ([3.95]c.-d.) occur as core modifiers assigning specific locative information to the referent.

[^27]b. pile hotai
c. pile rhuku side between side landward
d. pile paka
'middle side'
'landward side' 'east side"
The exception is the relational noun kenu 'front', which occurs as an adjective with possessor marking (see §3.2.3.2.4).

### 3.3.1.2.5 Stative verb core modifiers

Ross (1998;98) defines an 'adjectival verb' as a member of a subclass of stative verbs that have the predicate syntax of a stative verb, but that modify nouns without relative marking. Almost all stative verbs in Kokota function adnominally without subordinate marking, although such marking is possible, as a comparison of (3.67)a. and (3.68) above demonstrates.

It appears that almost all stative verbs behave in this way. The exceptions include stative verbs that correspond semantically to an adjective. That aside, stative verbs freely occur as the core modifier, assigning a state to the referent:
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(3.96)
a. n-e-ke mai=u puhi keli=ro

RL-3S-PFV come=CNT way be.good=thosenv
'Those good ways came.'
b. ine-hi ia buka blahi
thisR-EMPH thesG book be.sacred
'This is the Bible.'
c. soda maku
clam be.hard
'hard clam' (a subtaxon of clams)

### 3.3.1.2.6 Adjectives

Adjectives occur as core modifiers. Adjectival forms and meanings are discussed in detail in §3.2.3.

### 3.3.1.2.7 Reflexive core modifiers

An indexed reflexive base may occur as a core modifier:

> korho=u tagi-di ka nau fai kokota a-hi, pull=CNT REFL-3PLPLOC place side PNLOC thisT-EMPH
> 'They pulled themselves to this Kokota place,
la bla ira mane tagi-di
go LMT thePL man REFL-3PLP
the people themselves.'
The adnominal function of reflexives is to contrastively emphasize the identity of the referent. In (3.97), for example, emphasis is being placed on the fact that the people came of their own volition, rather than being brought or insiructed to come. Reflexive forms are discussed in §3.1.2.3.

### 3.3.1.3 Direct possessor-indexing

Possession is discussed in detail in Chapter 5. However, this section locates possessor-indexing within the overall structure of a common noun NP. Kokota displays direct and indirect possessor-indexing. With direct possessor-indexing the indexing occurs within a NP which has as its head the possessum noun. Indirect possessor-indexing in Kokota involves a distinct NP type which has as its head the indirect possessor-indexing host. That $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {poss }}$, is discussed in §3.4.2. The possessor NP is an outer modifier and is discussed in §3.3.2.2.2.

Direct possessor-indexing involves a core-final enclitic that is the most peripheral rightmost component of the NP core and represents the core's outermost boundary. As such, the indexing form attaches to the otherwise final constituent in the core, whether or not that constituent is the head nominal. Direct enclitics do not commonly occur with one of the post-head core modifiers discussed in $\S 3.3 .1 .2$, so the enclitic usually attaches directly to the head nominal. However, the two may cooccur, in which case it is the modifier that carries the enclitic, demonstrating that the indexing forms are enclitics not suffixes, as in (3.87)b. and (3.98):
(3.98) n-e hure $\bar{n} a$ tilo tomoko dou=di wistin RL-3S carry IMM three war.canoe be.big=3PLP western 'They carried the three big war canoes of the westerners. ${ }^{36}$

### 3.3.1.4 NP core structure

The pre-head core modifiers tehi and toga-tehi form a single class glossed here as MULT(iplicity). They are shown to be a part of the NP core by their participation in incorporation, as illustrated by (3.86). Members of a number of word classes may occur in a single post-head modifier position. This is followed by direct possessor-indexing, as shown in (3.98).

The NP core forms a single constituent within the NP, and lacks a specifier, so is represented here as a $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$. The NP core has the following structure:

$$
\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{MULT})+\mathrm{N}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{ADJ})  \tag{3.99}\\
\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {STATIVE }}\right) \\
(\mathrm{N}) \\
(\mathrm{PN}) \\
\left(\mathrm{PN}_{\text {LOC }}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {LOC }}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {RFLX }}\right)
\end{array}\right\}+(\mathrm{PSSR})
$$

### 3.3.2 NP non-core modifier structure

The forms and functions of adnominal outer modifiers are discussed in §3.2. The syntactic behavior of these modifiers within the NP is discussed here.

### 3.3.2.1 Pre-core modifier structure

Pre-core adnominal modifiers include articles, the nonspecific marker keha, enumerative (NUM) and ordinal (ORD) numbers, and the quantifiers $h u \bar{g} r u$ 'all' and (le)legu 'every'. Of these, hug$r u$, (le)legu, the enumeratives, and apparently

[^28]
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the ordinals, cannot cooccur and constitute a single quantifier position class. Three pre-core positions thus exist, in the following order:
(3.100) (ART) + (NSP) + (QUANT)

The quantifier position with its range of possible forms expands as:

$$
\text { QUANT } \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { NUM }  \tag{3.101}\\
\text { ORD } \\
h u \bar{g} r u \\
(l e) l e g u
\end{array}\right.
$$

The category NUM may contain more than one numeral combining to form a complex number. The manner in which complex numbers are formed is discussed in $\S 3.2 .2 .2 .1,1$. As discussed in $\S 3.2 .2 .2 .1 .3$, ordinals are formed by marking a number of any complexity with the preposed particle fa. The category ordinal thus expands as:
(3.102) ORD $\rightarrow \quad f a+\mathrm{NUM}$

The non-core status of the modifiers in (3.100) is shown by the fact that hugru, occurring in the rightmost QUANT position, cannot participate in incorporation;
(3.103) ${ }_{n} n-a \quad \bar{n} h a u \quad$ huğru namhari

RL-1EXCS eat all fish
'I ate all the fish.'

### 3.3.2.2 Post-core modifiers

Post-core adnominal modifiers include demonstratives, the exhaustive marker gudu, the possessor NP, various adnominal adjuncts, and relative clauses.

### 3.3.2.2.1 Post-core outer modifiers

Two post-core modifier positions exist (other than complement and adjunct positions). One may be filled by the exhaustive marker gudu, discussed in §3.2.2.4. The other may be filled by a demonstrative.

The innermost of the post-core modifiers is the exhaustive marker. This is shown to be a non-core modifier as it does not participate in incorporation. It follows the possessor-indexing enclitic, which is the outermost core modifier:

| (3.104) $e$ palu gazu=di | gudu bla are-lau |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3s two wood=3pLP | EXHST LMT thoseN-SPC |
|  | 'Those [treatments] are both trees [of treatments]." |  |

Demonstrative forms and functions are discussed in 83.1.3. Demonstratives occupy the final modifier position other than complements or adjuncts. If both occur, the exhaustive marker and a demonstrative occur in that order:
(3.105) ira huḡru suḡa gudu are
thePL all house EXHST thosen
'every one of those houses'
In the absence of gudu and any post-head core modifiers the demonstrative may be cliticized directly onto the head itself, as in (3.106)a. If a lexical post-head modifier is present the demonstrative may be cliticized onto that, as occurs twice in (3.106)b.: in the first NP the clitic is attached to a personal name functioning as a post-head core modifier, and in the second to an adjective. If a direct possessor enclitic is present then the demonstrative is cliticized to that ([3.106)c.). Demonstratives do not appear to cliticize to gudu.

> (3.106)a. $\bar{g}-e$ tu-turi $=\bar{n} a$ palu mane=de sala ge tikilave NT-3S RD-tell=lMM two man=theseR PN and PN 'These two men Sala and Tikilave talked.'
b. mane suaragi=ne $\overline{\mathcal{g}}-e \quad$ tufa $=r i=u \quad$ pohe mata=de man $\mathrm{PN}=$ thisR $\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ affect $=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\mathrm{CNT}$ clothes bush=theser 'This man Suaragi was giving them these bush clothes.'
c. zahosi=ago ka ta muni=ro hiba=g $\mathbf{u}=$ de ara go $F O C=$ youSG LOC $S B D$ hide=thosenv eye=1SGP=theser I 'You go, to where you're hidden from these eyes of mine.'

### 3.3.2.2.2 Possessor complement

NPs in which the head carries possessor-indexing may contain a NP that expresses the possessor. This is subcategorized for by the possessor-indexing, so is a complement. A possessor complement occurs immediately following the demonstrative if one is present in the phrase. Any kind of NP may occur as a possessor. The possessor NPs in (3.107) include as heads personal names, an ordinary nominal, a pronoun, a reflexive, and a location name.
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b. ia kolu-seku=na=o manei
thesG snake-tail=3SGP=-thatNV he 'that snake's tail of his'
c. ira no-mai kastom mereseni tagi-mai thePL GENP-1 INCP custom medicine REFL-1INCP 'our own medicine customs'
d. n-e-ge mai toke=na kaike mane=na koromata RL-3S-PRS come arrive $=\mathrm{IMM}$ one man $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ PNLOC 'A man from Koromata was arriving.'

Possessors may be expressed by prepositional adjuncts instead of nominal complements, with no possessor-indexing. This is discussed in §3.3.2.2.3.1.

### 3.3.2.2.3 Adnominal adjuncts

The NP may contain an adjunct. This may be a prepositional phrase, a deictic locative, a place name, a personal name, or a relative clause. The adjunct position follows that of the possessor complement.

### 3.3.2.2.3.1 Adnominal prepositional adjuncts

A NP may be modified by a prepositional phrase. Only one true preposition, $k a$, exists in Kokota, with a very broad locative function. PPs may function as adverbial or sentential modifiers, or adnominally. As an adnominal adjunct its functions range from identifying a physical location to identifying a possessor. An adnominal PP may locate the head noun in a particular physical location:
(3.108) ia mavitu ka ia g̀ilu=na nau
theSG community LOC theSG inside=3SGP place 'the community within the village'

More commonly it indicates the possessor of the NP head.
(3.109)a. padagi=ne ka gal e keha za~zaho=na=na bo shrine-thisR LOC weEXC 3 S NSP RD $\mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=$ that CNT 'Our shrine has a different way.'
b. $t=a u=l a \quad k e$ nhogi ia lehe ka suaragi...

SBD=exist=CND PFV payback thesG die LOC PN... 'If that is so we will payback the death of Suaragi...'

As (3.109)b. demonstrates, the possessed head does not need to be a physical object. Prepositional possession is discussed in §5.7.

### 3.3.2.2.3.2 Deictic locatives as adnominal adjunct

An adverbial phrase with a deictic locative head ( $\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{DL}}$ ) may occur as an adnominal adjunct. In (3.110) the nominal head gahipa is modified by a deictic locative phrase with the head sarelau (itself modified by a location name). Deictic locatives are discussed in detail in $\S 4.2 .1$.
(3.110) mala=na=re au ka gahipa sare-lau lego footprint $=3$ SGP=thosen exist LOC stone thereP-SPC PNLOC 'Those footprints of his are in the stone there at Lego.'

### 3.3.2.2.3.3 Location names as adnominal adjunct

Place names may occur in post-head core modifier position. However, locative modification of a NP may also occur by means of a locative adjunct consisting of a location name. The syntactic behavior of a location name with an adnominal adjunct function, as with the adverbial construction, directly parallels that of a locative prepositional phrase, but does not involve a preposition. A locative adjunct of this kind may function as a pseudo-locative possessor, as in (3.111)a. (see §5.7), or the actual name of a location, as in (3.111)b.
(3.111)a. $\begin{aligned} & e=u \quad \text { mane ide kokota } \\ & \\ & \text { 3S-be-thus man theseR PNLOC RL-3S-PFV be.first pray } \\ & \\ & \text { 'So these Kokota people were the first to start prayer.' }\end{aligned}$ (Sarai
b. zemesi $e$ au ka nau ine goveo PN 3 S exist loC place thisR PNLOC 'James lives in this village Goveo.'

In (3.111) the location names can be seen to be adjuncts, not core modifiers, as they follow the demonstratives. Demonstratives are outer modifiers, so locative core modifiers precede any demonstrative present (as [3.94]a. and c. show).

### 3.3.2.2.3.4 Local noun adjuncts

Local nouns (see $\$ 4.4$ ) primarily function as a locative adjunct. However, they may function as a NP core modifier (see §3.3.1.2.4):
(3.112)a. $\bar{g}-e \quad l a ~ t o k e=n a \quad k a$ titili are-lau fate $e=u$

NT-3s go arrive=thatn LOC titili thosen-SPC above $3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus 'They arrived at those standing stones up there.'
b. da mala rereo=u.. ka nau ao-hi pari

IINCS PURP shield=CNT LOC place thisT-EMPH below 'We will be the shield... in this place below.'
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It appears that as an adnominal adjunct a NLOC occurs alone, without any modifiers of its own.

### 3.3.2.2.3.5 Personal name adjuncts

Like location names, personal names typically occur as core modifiers, but may also occur as an adnominal adjunct.

```
palu mane aro sala ge ruruboñi
two man theseT PN and PN
'those two men Sala and Ruruboñi'
```

Again the relative positions of the demonstrative aro and the personal name demonstrate that in this example the $\mathrm{PN}^{\prime}$ is outside the NP core.

### 3.3.2.2.3.6 Relative clauses

The internal structure of relative clauses is discussed in $\S 10.2 .3 .4$. However, their location within the NP can be usefully discussed here. Reduced relative clauses consisting of a single stative verb, including the existential verb au, the subject of which is the head noun, occur as an immediate post-core modifier. Relative clauses are discussed in $\S 10.2 .3$, but broadly two kinds of relative clauses exist: those marked with the subordinator ta (as in [3.114]b. line 3), and those that are zero marked but have a modal/subject particle ([3.114]b. line 2).

> ...nafu=na are bla $\bar{g}$-e-la ge-mai $\quad$ mitia base $=3$ SGP thosen LMT NT-3S-go CNSM-lEXCP meat '...because only those were those meats
n-e-ke=u=re gai ira nakoni zuzufra RL-3S-PFV be.thus=thosen weexc thePL person black that were like that of us black people,
ta age hod-i=0 fakamo=na gai
SBD go take-TR=3SGO always=thatN weexC that we would always take.'

In (3.114)b. line 1 the relative clause precedes, not follows, the demonstrative, and the demonstrative is cliticized to the relative clause. However, the nominal head mitia in line 1 is in fact modified by two relative clauses, the second occurring in line 3 . This second, full, relative clause contrasts syntactically with the reduced relative clause in line 1. Instead of occurring before the demonstrative it occurs as the final modifier in the NP, following not only the demonstrative, but also a complement possessor NP.

The clausal demonstratives discussed in §3.1.3.3 are syntactically, though perhaps not functionally, reduced relative clauses (i.e., RELCs of the immediate post-core type). In (3.115) the head mane 'man' is modified by a reduced relative clause consisting of the subordinator ta cliticized to the existential verb $a u$, with the demonstrative ine also cliticized to the verb.
(3.115) naitu toke $n$-e lao ka mane $t=a \boldsymbol{u}=$ ine devil arrive RL-3S go LOC man $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=thisR 'the arriving devils of this man'

Reduced relative clauses functioning as demonstratives occur frequently in discourse. Such forms are clearly a ritualized and somewhat semantically bleached use of this reduced relative clause type.

Reduced relative clauses are limited to those consisting of a single subordinated lexeme. Relative clauses of greater internal complexity may only occur in NP final position. In (3.116)a. the relative clause follows both a demonstrative, and the limiter bla. In (3.116)b. line 2 two conjoined full relative clauses follow the clausal demonstrative in line 1 and modify a single head ( $\bar{g} a z u$ ). In line 4 a full relative clause again occurs NP-finally and follows a clausal demonstrative.

```
(3.116)a. teo mereseni tehi-u ara,
not.exist medicine many-CRD I
    'I don't have many medicines,
    marha-pau ana bla ta tahe age=i=na
    hurt-head thatN LMT SBD tell \(\mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N
    just that headache that I will tell.'
b. ta la hod-i=Ø=la gai \(\bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=o\)
SBD go take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CND}\) weEXC wood \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) thatNV
'If we take that tree
ta fa ku~kumai=ni=u ba ta fa siri la=i=u
SBD CS RD \(\sim\) drink \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) ALT SBD CS smell go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
that is to drink or to smell
\(k a \quad\) nakoni \(\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}=\mathbf{o}\)
LOC person \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thatNV
to that person
ta toke=i t=au=o malaria,
SBD arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) SBD \(=\) exist \(=\) thatNV malaria
who has got that malaria,
```
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| boka | ke | age | keli | bo bla |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| be.able | PFV go be.good | CNT | LMT |  |
| they are simply able to get well.' |  |  |  |  |

No examples exist in my corpus of a reduced relative clause modifying a head that is also modified by the exhaustive marker gudu. There is no immediately apparent reason why they should not cooccur, but as no examples are available it is not clear whether they may, and if so, in what order.

### 3.3.2.2.4 Post-core modifier structure

The possessor NP, being a complement, is closer to the core than, and therefore precedes, any adjuncts. In (3.117) three post-core modifiers are present. The innermost is the demonstrative aro. This is followed by the possessor complement (in this case a $\mathrm{PN}_{\text {LOC }}$ ), with the $\mathrm{PN}^{\prime}$ adjunct occurring phrase finally.

## ...mane $=d i$ aro fitupoğu sala ge rurubon̄i

man=3PLP theseT PNLOC PN and PN
' ...these men of Fitupoḡu, Sala and Rurubon̄i'

As (3.114)b. shows, a full relative clause also follows a possessor complement if one is present. In (3.118) a full relative clause follows an adjunct (a local noun).
(3.118) $n$-e-ke $a u=g u$ parahağala ade $k a$ vuhuku ine fate RL-3S-PFV exist=CNT giant here LOC mountain thisR above 'The giant was living here on this mountain above,
n-e-ke $\quad a u=n a=u \quad$ nhagarai dou=na
RL-3S-PFV exist $=$ thatN=CNT banyan be.big=that N where there was that big banyan tree.'

The evidence of (3.114)b., (3.117), and (3.118) reveals that adjuncts follow the possessor complement, while full relative clauses follow both. The sequence of post-core modifiers is therefore as shown in (3.119). Note that the order of the exhaustive marker and inner of the two relative clause positions is not known.

$$
(\mathrm{RELC}) /(\mathrm{EXHST})+(\mathrm{DEM})+\left(\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PSSR}}\right)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{PP})  \tag{3.119}\\
\left(\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{DLOC}}\right)+(\mathrm{RELC}) \\
\left(\mathrm{PN}_{\mathrm{LOC}}\right) \\
(\mathrm{PN})
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.3.3 Multiple head NPs

A series of nominal heads with modifiers can be linked to form a complex NP:


Typically no conjunction links such constituents; however, the conjunction ge may intervene, as in (3.120)b. Full relative clauses have scope over all constituents in strings like these. In (3.121) the relative clause in the first line has scope over all three of the preceding nouns.
(3.121) ira mavitu, ira nakoni, ira suli thePL community thePl person thePL child 'The community, the people, the children
ta au ka=ia nau ana
SBD exist LOC=theSG place that N
that live in that village...?

The scope of the relative clauses in sentences such as this, coupled with the presence of non-core modifiers modifying each head noun, indicate that a NP may consist of one or more constituents smaller than a NP, but larger than a $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$, i.e., a $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}$. Consequently the post-core modifier sequence given in (3.119) does not accurately reflect syntactic structure, as the final RELC is not present in the same level of the hierarchy. The structure of a NP is thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N P \rightarrow N^{\prime \prime}+\left([C O N J]+N^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}+(\text { RELC }) \tag{3.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3.4 Summary of NP structure

A NP with a lexical nominal head may consist minimally of a core consisting of a single noun with no modifiers, and maximally of a sequence of N "s, each consisting of a core containing a head plus several pre- and post-head modifiers, along with a number of pre- and post-core modifier particles, a possessor complement, and an adjunct, all modified by a full relative clause. In summary:
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(3.123)a. $\mathrm{NP} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}+\left([\mathrm{CONJ}]+\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}+($ RELC $)$
b. $\mathrm{N}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{ART})+(\mathrm{NSP})+($ QUANT $)+\mathrm{N}^{\prime}+($ RELC $) /(\mathrm{EXHST})$

$$
+(\mathrm{DEM})+\left(\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PSSR}}\right)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{PP}) \\
\left(\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{DLOC}}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{PN}_{\mathrm{LOC}}\right) \\
(\mathrm{PN})
\end{array}\right.
$$

c. QUANT $\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { NUM } \\ \text { ORD } \\ h u \bar{g} r u \\ (l e) l e g u\end{array}\right.$
d. $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ (MULT) $+\mathrm{N}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\mathrm{ADJ}) \\ \left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {STATIVE }}\right) \\ (\mathrm{N}) \\ (\mathrm{PN}) \\ (\mathrm{PN}) \\ \left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {LOC }}\right) \\ \left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {RFLX }}\right) \\ \end{array}\right\}+(\mathrm{PSSR})$
e. $\mathrm{ORD} \rightarrow f a+\mathrm{NUM}$

### 3.4 Minor NP types

### 3.4.1 NPs with pronominal heads

Within clause-level syntax, NPs with a pronominal head behave in the same way as NPs with other nominal heads. However, the internal structure of pronominal headed NPs differs from that of other NP types. Consequently a subtype of the NP in Kokota is the $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PRO}}$.

A $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PRO }}$ consists of a pronoun head and one modifier position. This modifier position may be filled by a numerical specifier, the exhaustive marker gudu, an embedded NP specifying the identity of the pronominal referent, or a deictic locative phrase.

### 3.4.1.1 Pronominal head

The head of a $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PRO}}$ is a single pronoun lexeme, the forms and categories of which are discussed in detail in $\S 3.1 .2$. Pronoun lexemes distinguish the person categories first exclusive, first inclusive, second and third persons, and the number categories singular, dual, trial, and plural. Other numerical specification is made by modification of the phrasal head.

### 3.4.1.2 Pronominal number specification

Pronominal number marking is discussed in detail in §3.1.2.2.1. Unlike in nominal-headed NPs, number specification in a NP PRO follows the head, not precedes it. Furthermore, specification involves numbers in their cardinal (and thus nominal) form. $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PRO }}$ number specification therefore involves modifying the pronominal head with a post-head nominal.

```
gai fnoto-u n-a birho
we four-CRD RL-lEXCS sleep
'We four are sleeping.'
```

For obvious semantic reasons number specification above three does not occur with singular, dual, or trial pronoun forms. A constraint on redundancy in pronoun number marking prohibits the marking of dual pronouns with the number specifier for 'two'. As noted in §3.1.2.2.1, numerical specification of three is possible as an alternative to a trial pronoun, but again redundancy prohibits cooccurrence of a trial pronoun with number specification for 'three'.

### 3.4.1.3 Exhaustive marking

The exhaustive marker gudu may modify pronouns, indicating that the referent group includes all potential members:

| gai gudu n-a-ke | fakae=ni mane ana |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| weEXC all RL-1EXCS-PFV see=3SGO man thatN |  |  |  |
| 'We all saw that man.' |  |  |  |

In third person, gudu may only occur with the plural form maneri, and not with the form rei. The distinction between maneri and rei is discussed in §3.1.2.2.1.

### 3.4.1.4 NP specification of pronouns

An ordinary nominal NP can be embedded in a $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PRO}}$, specifying details of the referent. While such a NP may consist of a single noun, as in (3.126)a., NPs of any complexity may occur, including pre- or post-head, core, or outer modifiers.

```
(3.126)a. ...ta \(=k e\) hoda toke \(=\bar{g} a i=\bar{n} a\) gai-palu tati \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) take arrive \(=1 \mathrm{EXCO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) weEXC-two mother\&baby '...that [you] will take us back, mother and baby.'
```

b. ka gai ira nakoni zuzufra

LOC weINC thePL person black
'With us black people,
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tana nogoi naitu tahi ke age $=u=n i=u$
then VOC devil sea PFV go $=$ be.thus $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}$
then man!, 'sea devil' is what it's called.'
c. gau mane huhurañi=de zahokoko=ni huhuran̄i
youPL man $P N L O C=$ theser go leave $=3 S G O$ PNLOC
'You Huhurangi people leave Huhurangi.'
d. n-e-ke kave mai=n̄a fate,

RL-3S-PFV descend come $=\mathrm{IM}$ M above
'He came down from heaven,
gu=da gita ira huğru nakoni
CNTX $=1$ INCP weINC thePL all person
for all us people.'
e. tana ag$e=n a \quad$ hage $=n i=\bar{n} a \quad$ sare-lau ia hinage then $g o=$ that N ascend $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=1 \mathrm{MM}$ thereP-SPC thesg boat 'Then they brought up the canoe there,
maneri gaha mane=de
they five man=theser these five men.'

### 3.4.1.5 Personal name specification of pronouns

A dual or trial pronoun may be modified by a personal name to indicate that the named individual is included in the pronominal reference. This only occurs when the named individual is not present at the time of speaking.
(3.127)a.
gai-palu belama
weexc-two PN
'we, Belama and I'
b. gita-tilo hugo
weINC-three PN
'we three, you and I and Hugo'

With trial pronouns two individuals can be named if neither is present, demonstrating that $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {Pro }}$ is modified by a $\mathrm{PN}^{\prime}$, as shown in (3.128).

```
gau-tilo riva ge hugo
youPl-three PN and PN 'you three, [you,] Riva, and Hugo'
```

Personal name specification of $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PRO }}$ only occurs where the group includes the addressee and/or speaker. It does not appear to apply to third person categories:
(3.129) *rei-palu riva ge hugo 'they two Riva and Hugo'

### 3.4.1.6 Locative specification of pronouns

Pronouns may be modified by a deictic spatial locative phrase (see $\$ 4.2 .1$ ).
(3.130)a. ...keha mereseni ka gai ade kokota NSP medicine LOC wernc here PNLOC '...some medicines of us here in Kokota'
b. gau ade paka, fafra mai gau youpl here west bequick come youpl 'You here in the west, come quickly.'

### 3.4.1.7 NP ${ }_{\text {Pro }}$ structure

Unlike nominal-headed NPs, only one modifier position exists in the $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {pro }}$. All the $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {pro }}$ modifiers discussed above occur in this position:

$$
\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PRO }} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{PRO}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{CRD})  \tag{3.131}\\
(\mathrm{EXHST}) \\
(\mathrm{NP}) \\
\left(\mathrm{PN}^{\prime}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{DLOC}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.4.2 Indirect possessor-indexing NPs

Possession is discussed in Chapter 5. The syntactic status of NPs with indirect possessor-indexing are discussed here.

### 3.4.2.1 Indirect possessor-indexing host as head

Indirect possessor-indexing hosts in Oceanic languages are often described as classifiers. However, these hosts in Kokota do not satisfy criteria for classifier status. They occur with almost any common noun (subject to pragmatic or semantic compatibility), comprise a small closed class of items, do not function primarily to individuate referents, and may occur in non-referential NPs (see [5.65] below), each conflicting with key criteria for classifier status (Palmer and Brown 2007:201-205). The indirect hosts do, however, satisfy key criteria for headhood: morphosyntactic locushood, obligatoriness, category determinance, and distributional equivalence (Palmer and Brown 2007:205-207).

By hosting possessor-indexing, indirect hosts are the morphosyntactic locus of the NP in which they occur, in the same way as directly possessor-indexing
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nouns, as they mark the relation between that NP and the external possessor. Moreover, indirect possessor-indexing hosts in Kokota are obligatory, while the adjacent fully specified possessum noun is optional, as in (3.132)b. This does not simply involve elision of a possessum noun. Instead, the object NP can be fully interpreted without recourse to a recoverable nominal referent. No possessum noun occurs in (3.132)b. because the NP is non-referential.

| $n-e \quad \bar{n} h a=d i \quad$ manei | ge- $\bar{g} u$ | $k a k u=r o$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RL--3S eat=3PLO he | CNSM-1SGP | banana=thosenV |
| 'He ate my bananas.' |  |  |

b. n-e $\bar{n} h a=d i \quad$ manei ge- $\bar{g} u=r o$

RL-3S eat=3PLO he CNSM-1SGP-thosenv
'He ate my food.'
c. n-e $\bar{n} h a=d i \quad$ manei mala-n̄hau=ro

RL-3S eat $=3 \mathrm{PLO}$ he PURP-eat=thosenV
'He ate that food.'

Because indirect possessor-indexing hosts are the only obligatory element in phrases in which they occur, they must logically also be the category determinants for those phrases.

They are also distributionally equivalent to nouns. The indexed host in (3.132)b. has the same distribution as the object noun in (3.132)c., as both occur as the sole overt form in an object NP. In addition, an indexed host may be followed by a bare N specifiying the nature of the referent, as in (3.133)a., directly paralleling the modification of lexical nouns with a single bare N specifying in more detail the nature of the head's referent, as in (3.133)b. (See §3.3.1.2.1).
ge-gu $\quad k a k u=r o$
CNSM-1SGP banana=thosenv
'my bananas'
b. mane vave=ro
man in.law=thosenv
'those in-laws'

Finally, possessor-indexing hosts are distributionally equivalent to lexical nouns in their participation in incorporation. In (3.132) the object is not incorporated, as shown by the object enclitic on the verb and VSO order. In (3.134)a the noun is incorporated, as shown by the VOS order and lack of object enclitic. As (3.134)b.-c. show, an indexed host can participate in incorporation, with or without a posessum noun. The host in (3.134)c, is distributionally equivalent to the noun in (3.134)a. (See $\S 6.4 .2$ on incorporation of possessor-indexed forms.)


In NPs displaying indirect possession it is therefore the possessor-indexing host that is the syntactic head. The phrase is a NP poss.

### 3.4.2.2 NP poss structure

In phrases displaying indirect possessor-indexing the lexical possessum noun is an adjunct specifying the exact nature of the possessed item, in the same way as the bare N adjunct on a lexical noun head in (3.133)b. The possessor NP, however, is a complement of the possessor-indexing morphology (see §5.9.2). The structure of a NPposs is, therefore, a highly simplified version of the common noun NP structure in (3.123):
(3,135)a. $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {POSS }} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\text {POSS }}+\left(\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PSSR }}\right)$
b. $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ poss $\rightarrow \mathrm{N}+(\mathrm{N})$

### 3.4.3 NPs with reflexive head

A reflexive argument is expressed by a possessor-indexed reflexive base (see §3.1.2.3). While reflexives typically occur without any modification, a NP adjunct may occur. This may have a nominal or pronominal head:
(3.136)a. ira mereseni ka tagi-mai gai nakoni zuzufra thePL medicine LOC REFL-1EXCPweINC person black 'the medicine of ourselves we black people'
b. ira mereseni ka tagi-mai nakoni zuzufra thePL medicine LOC REFL-1EXCP person black 'the medicine of ourselves we black people'

The reflexive base with a modifier adjunct forms a $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{REFL}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{REFL}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{REFL}+(\mathrm{NP}) \tag{3.137}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 3.4.4 NPs with demonstrative head

In addition to their adnominal role, independent demonstratives may function as a clausal argument. In this nominal role they typically occur without any modifiers (other than the suffixed emphatic $-h i$, the specific marker -lau, and limiter $=b l a u$ ). However, demonstratives may be modified by a single spatial locative form. This may be a deictic locative ([3.138]a.), a local noun ([3.138]b.), or a location name ([3.138]c.). The effect of this modification is to give the demonstrative a locative referent.
(3.138)a. ...kuru nakoni n̄a n-e-ke=u n̄a
have person IMM RL-3S-PFV=be.thus IMM
aro-hi ade-hi
theseT-EMPH here-EMPH
'...these [places] here have people.'
b. fa-lehe=ri mane n-e mai au n-e=u CS -die $=3 \mathrm{PLO}$ man $\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ come exist $\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=$ be.thus 'He killed some of those men who came and were
are fate $\bar{g}$-e-gu $\quad n-e-k e=u$
thosen above NT-3S=be.thus NT-3S-PFV=be.thus in those [places] on top.'
c. ...zaho $\bar{g}-e$ la au iaro hurepelo keha=re
go NT-3S go exist thosePV PNLOC NSP=thoseN
'...some of those went and lived at those Hurepelo [places].'
While the $N P_{\text {DEm }}$ typically functions as a locative adjunct, it may function as an argument, as the subject demonstrative in (3.138)a. illustrates. The structure of a demonstrative NP is as follows:

$$
\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{DEM}} \rightarrow \mathrm{DEM}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{DLOC})  \tag{3.139}\\
\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{LOC}}\right) \\
\left(\mathrm{PN}_{\mathrm{LOC}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

### 3.4.5 NPs with personal name as head

Personal names occur as the head of a NP consisting of a PN head, optionally modified by a NP adjunct.
(3.140)a. ... $\bar{g}-e$ lisi=ni=u... ka nomana witili ia mane-vaka $\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ lease $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}$ LOC PN theSG man-ship ' ... he leased it... to Norman Wheatley, the white man.'
b. ia dadara=na zesas ia no-mai lod
thesG blood=3SGP PN theSG GENP-1EXCP Lord 'the blood of Jesus our Lord'

A $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PN}}$ head may consist of more than one conjoined PN . Either conjunction ge or $n-e=u$ may link PNs, but $g e$ is by far the more common in this context.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\ldots \text { n-e-ke hod- } i=\emptyset=0 & \text { sala ge ruruboñi } & \text { bla... }  \tag{3.141}\\
\text { RL-3S-PFV take-TR=3SGO=thatNV } & \text { PN and PN } & \text { LMT } \\
\text { 'Sala and Ruruboñi brought it...' } & & &
\end{array}
$$

The operation of conjoined PNs as a single constituent $N P_{P N}$ head involves a $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{PN}}^{\prime}$ level between PN and $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PN}}$. The structure of a $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PN}}$ is thus:
(3.142)a. $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PN}} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{PN}}^{\prime}+(\mathrm{NP})$
b. $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{PN}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PN}+(\mathrm{CNJ}+\mathrm{PN})$

### 3.4.6 NPs with numeral head

Numbers function primarily as pre-head adnominal modifiers. However, as discussed in §3.2.2.2.1.4, numerals may be nominalized as cardinals, and function as a nominal, and typically occur without any modification, as in (3.143)a. However, a single post-head modifier position exists in which a noun may occur, specifying the class of entities the numerical referent belongs to, as in (3.143) b.
(3.143)a. kaike-u ara n̄hau=ni, kaike-u n-e au blau
one-CRD I eat=3SGO one-CRD RL-3S exist LMT
'One I ate, one remains.'
b. ara manahagi=di palu-gu namhari

I want=3PLO two-CRD fish
'I want two fish.'
In (3.143)b. the cardinal is the head, while namhari 'fish' indicates the class of entities the speaker wants two of. A more literal translation would be something like "I want two of fish" or "I want a pair of fish". This only superficially resembles the less marked sentence in (3.144), in which the noun is head, and the numeral a pre-head modifier indicating simply the number of referents of the head that the speaker wants.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ara } & \text { manahagi=di } & \text { palu } & \text { namhari }  \tag{3.144}\\
\text { I want=3PLO } & \text { two } & \text { fish } \\
\text { 'I want two fish.' } & &
\end{array}
$$
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The structure of phrases with a cardinal head is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{CRD}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{CRD}+(\mathrm{N}) \tag{3.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ordinals also may function as nominal head (see $\S 3.2 .2 .2 .1 .3$ ), once nominalized by direct possessor-indexing. Nominalized ordinals may occur with modifiers in two positions, a post-head noun modifier, and a demonstrative, as in (3.146) where both occur, with the demonstrative cliticized to the noun modifier.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\bar{g}-e \quad \text { lao } \bar{n} a \quad f a \quad \text { palu=na } \bar{g} a z u=n a & e=u  \tag{3.146}\\
\text { NT-3S go IMM ORD two=3SGP wood=thatN } & 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus } \\
\text { 'Go for that second tree.' }
\end{array}
$$

Nominalized ordinals typically occur with a demonstrative, particularly when no noun modifier is present; however, it is not obligatory:

(3.147)a. | $u$ |
| :--- |
| be.thus what ALT=thisR |

I
'What will I say
ta $l a=i=n a \quad$ fa palu=na=na
SBD go $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ thatN ORD two $=3 \mathrm{SGP}=$ that
to give that second one?*
b. fa palu=na, naitu sasapu $e=n i$

ORD two $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ devil pass $3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}$
'The second, "passing devil" it's called.'
A phrase with an ordinal head involves an ordinal number plus a direct possessor enclitic as the phrasal core, followed by an optional complement noun (a bare N not a full NP or $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ ), and demonstrative:
(3.148)a. $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {ORD }} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ORD }}+(\mathrm{N})+(\mathrm{DEM})$
b. $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ORD }}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ ORD +PSSR

### 3.5 Nominal adjunct types

Proper nouns naming physical locations may function as core arguments. Typically, however, they function as adjuncts. They are not phrasal heads, and no modification of $\mathrm{PN}_{\text {LOC }}$ s occurs. Location names are discussed further in §4.3.

Contextualizer and associative nouns function only as clausal adjuncts, and in the case of the contextualizer gu -, as a prepositional complement. Contextualizer nouns are discussed in $\S 4.5$ and the associative noun in $\S 4.6$.

## CHAPTER 4: OBLIQUES AND CLAUSE-LEVEL ADJUNCTS

The clausal and sentential functions and syntactic behavior of PPs and adjuncts are discussed in $\S 6.7 .1, \S 8.2 .2$ and $\S 8.6$. This chapter discusses the internal structure of constituents that primarily express adjuncts, including PPs, deictic locatives, location names, and local, contextualizer, and associative noun.

### 4.1 Prepositional phrases

Only one true preposition, $k a$, exists in Kokota, with a general locative function. Prepositional phrases have a variety of adverbial and adnominal functions, discussed in $\S 6.7 .1$ and $\S 3.3 .2 .2 .3 .1$ respectively. A prepositional phrase consists of a preposition as head, followed by a phrase or subordinated clause as its complement. The prepositional complement may be a NP with as head a common noun head ([4.1]a.), pronoun ([4.1]b.), reflexive ([4.1]c.), personal name ([4.1]d.), local noun ([4.1]e.-f.), or contextualiser ([4.1]g.), or may be a subordinate clause ([4.1]h.):

> a. $\bar{g}-e \quad$ fa-lehe $=i=u \quad$ ka=ia pike mau=na
> NT-3S CS-die $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}$ LOC=thesG piece taro=thatN
> 'They killed with that small piece of taro.'
b. ka gai ira nakoni zuzufra

LOC weINC thepl person black
'With us black people,
tana nogoi naitu tahi ke $a \bar{g} e=u=n i=u$
then VOC devil sea PFV go=bethus $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}$ then, man!, 'sea devil' is what it's called.'
c. ira mereseni ka tagi-mai gai nakoni zuzufra thePL medicine LOC REFL-1EXCP weINC person black 'the medicine of ourselves we black people'
d. kelokolo $\bar{g}-e \quad$ lisi $=n i=u \quad$ selana...

PN NT-3s lease $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ CNT PNLOC...
'Kelokolo leased Selana...
ka nomana witili ia mane-vaka
LOC PN theSG man-ship
to Norman Wheatley, the white man.'
e. manei n-e au ka kota=na suḡa=na
he RL-3S exist loc outside=3sGP house $=$ that
'He is outside that house.'

## CHAPTER 4

f. $\bar{g}$-a mai=n̄a gai ade ka ia rhuku

NT-1EXCS come-IMM weexc here LOC thesg landward 'We come here to the shore side.'
g. ara manahagi turi tufa=nigo ago

I want tell affect $=2 \mathrm{SGO}$ yousG
'I want to tell you
ka gu=na ia au ka gai...
LOC CNTX $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ thesg exist LOC weEXC about our living...
h. zahosi=ago ka ta muni=ro hiba= $\bar{g} u=d e \quad$ ara
go FOC=yousG LOC SBD hide-thosenV eye=1SGP=theser I 'You go, to where you're hidden from these eyes of mine.'

Deictic locatives, location names, associative nouns, and NPs with a demonstrative or cardinal or ordinal numeral as head do not occur as prepositional complements. The structure of the Prepositional Phrase is thus:

$$
\mathrm{PP} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{P} \quad+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{NP}  \tag{4.2}\\
\mathrm{~N} P_{\mathrm{PRO}} \\
\mathrm{~N} P_{\mathrm{REFL}} \\
N P_{\mathrm{PN}} \\
N P_{\mathrm{LOC}} \\
N P_{\mathrm{CNTX}} \\
\mathrm{~S}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Ka optionally procliticizes to the first word of its complement. This occurs commonly with the pronouns ara 'l' and ago 'yousG'. With these, as with other forms with an initial $/ a /$, the preposition reduces to $k=$, giving $k=a r a$ and $k=a g o$.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { gai teo } \quad \bar{g}-a \quad \text { mai }=u & k=a g o & \text { nogoi sala }  \tag{4.3}\\
\text { weEXC not.exist } & \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S} \text { come }=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { LOC=youSG } & \text { VOC } & \text { PN } \\
\text { 'We are not coming to you, Sala.' } & & &
\end{array}
$$

This cliticization occurs elsewhere, affecting stress assignment (see §2.5.6.3):
suli=ana n-e faroh-i=Ø mheke=na ká=nihau $\bar{g} a z u$ child =thatN RL-3S smite-TR=3SGO dog=thatN LOC=how.manywood 'That child hit that dog with how many sticks?'

The cliticization occurs not only with nominal forms, but also with the first word in complement clauses. In (4.5) the subordinate clause has no subordinating particle, and the preposition is cliticized to the modal/subject particle.
ke pulo $e=u$ tana zelu PFV return be.thus then PNLOC 'They went back to Zelu,
$k \dot{a}=$ ne-e-ke hure=ro ira tilo tomoko LOC=RL-3S-PFV carry=thosenV thepl three war.canoe to where they had carried the three canoes.'

### 4.2 Locative adverbs

### 4.2.1 Deictic spatial locatives

Three deictic spatial locatives exist in the language:
a. ade 'here'
b. sare 'there (proximal)'
c. sara 'there (distal)'

These occur with a variety of locative modifiers including intrinsic local nouns ([4.7]a.), absolute locatives ([4.7]b.), the locative interrogative ([4.7]c.), location names ([4.7]d.), prepositional phrases ([4.7]e.), and ordinary NPs ([4.7]f.):
a. e la fufumu ka n-e-ke au=o rei-palu ade fate 3 s go begin LOC RL-3S-PFV exist thatNV they-two here above 'Start where they two stayed here on top.'
b. $\bar{g}$-e koko la=ni=n̄a sara rauru
$\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}$ leave $\mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=1 \mathrm{MM}$ thereD seaward
'He threw him there seaward.'
c. sara hae manei n-e lisa=i=na no- $\bar{g} u \quad$ vilai ana thereD where he RL-3s put=3SGO=thatN GENP-1SGP knifethatN 'Where did he put that knife of mine?'
d. n-e $a u=g u$ ade goveo

RL-3S exist=CNT here PNLOC
'He's living here in Goveo.'
e. n-e-ke $a u=g u$ parahağala ade ka vultuku ine RL-3S-PFV exist=CNT giant here LOC mountain thisR 'The giant was living here on this mountain
fate $n$-e-ke $\quad a u=n a=u \quad n h a g a r a i \quad d o u=n a$ above RL-3S-PFV exist=thatN=CNT banyan be.big=thatN above where there was that big banyan tree.'
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f. gure foro $\bar{g}-e=u=g u \quad$ ade titili $=0$
nut.paste coconut.paste $\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~s}=$ be.thus $=$ CNT here titili=thatNV '[They] made nut and coconut paste here at those standing stones.'

Deictic spatial locatives can therefore be modified by an individual locative form, or by a PP or NP. The structure of the spatial locative phrase is thus:

$$
\mathrm{ADVP}_{\text {SLOC }} \rightarrow \mathrm{SLOC}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{N}_{L O C}  \tag{4.8}\\
\mathrm{PN}_{L O C} \\
\mathrm{INTRRG}_{\text {LOC }} \\
\mathrm{NP} \\
\mathrm{PP}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Spatial deictic locatives may also be modified morphologically by the emphatic suffix -hi or the specific suffix -lau (see §3.1.4):
a. ...kaike mai au gudu ade-hi kokota one come exist EXHST here-EMPH PNLOC
'...together come and all live here at Kokota'
b. $\bar{g}-e \quad l a ~ p o s a=u \quad$ sare-lau ka nau fitupoḡu...

NT-3s go emerge $=$ CNT thereP-SPCLOC place PNLOC 'They emerged there at the place Fitupogu....'

### 4.2.2 Indefinite spatial locative proform hae

The form hae functions primarily as a locative interrogative pronoun (see $\S 9.2 .2 .1 .4)$. However, it also functions as an indefinite spatial locative:
(4.10) a. bukaare-lau e-ti-ke mala fa za-zahohae ge hae book thoseN-EMPH $3 S$-NEG-PFV PURP CS RD-go where and where 'These books will not be for sending just anywhere.'
b. $\bar{n} a$ teo $\bar{g}-a \quad$ lehe hae
but not.exist NT-1EXCS die where
'But I'm not dying [because of people from] just anywhere.'
When unmodified, as in (4.10), hae refers to any unspecified location, somewhat akin to English just anywhere. However, hae may also be modified to identify in some way the location referred to, as in (4.11). In this context hae refers to a specific place, but is indefinite because the actual location of the place is unkown. Hae can refer to a single location (as in [4.11]b.) or multiple locations ([4.11]a.), as the postverbal agreement enclitics on the main verbs reveal.

hae ta an=re n-e hure=ri hinage=re maneri where SBD exist=thosen RL-3S carry=3plo boat=thosen they where it is that they carried the boats!'
b. ara teo $\bar{g} a \quad$ lase $=i=u$

I not.exist NT-1EXCS know=3SGO $=\mathrm{CNT}$
'I don't know
hae ta au=na sitoa=na
where SBD exist=that store=that
where the store is.'
The transparent semantic relationship between hae's functions as an indefinite locative and as a locative interrogative suggests that both represent instances of a single lexical item. In its function as an indefinite proform, and it's relationship (or identity) with the corresponding interrogative form, hae resembles the corresponding indefinite personal proform ihei (see §3.1.2.2.3).

### 4.2.3 Deictic temporal locatives

Seven deictic temporal locative forms exist:


The distinction between the two forms glossed as 'today' corresponds to the realis/irrealis distinction. The Kokota day begins and ends with sunrise. Goinode (and its optionally shortened version goino) refer to the period since the beginning of the day of speaking, up to and including the time of speaking. Thus it equates to what in a tense system would be the recent past (within that day) and the present. The latter component of its meaning often gives it a sense equivalent to 'now', both in the sense of 'right at this moment' ([4.13]a.), and in the broader sense of 'at the present time' as opposed to some other period ([4.13]b.-c.). However, it also covers the past within the same day ([4.13]d.):
(4.13) a. goinode n-e nahani
todayRL RL-3s rain
'It's raining now.'
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b. $\bar{g}-a \quad m a i \quad a u=g u$ gai goinode ade NT-1EXCS come exist=CNT weEXC todayRL here 'So now we come and are living here today.'
c. tifaro ara a lao tarai fakamo le legu sade before I 1EXCS go pray always RD~follow Sunday 'I used to always go to prayer every Sunday,
$\bar{n} a$ goinode teo
but todayRL not.exist
but now I don't.'
d. turi n-e-ke la=i=o ago goino
tell RL-3S-PFV go $=3$ SGO $=$ thatNV youSG todayRL
'...that story you told [earlier] today'
The form ginai has two functions. It occurs inside the verb complex as a future tense marker, referring to any point in the future no matter how distant. Outside the verb complex the form behaves syntactically in the same way as the other temporal locatives, and refers to the period after the time of speaking within the temporal frame of the day of speaking ([4.14]a.). In this function it often has a sense equivalent to 'later', as in (4.14)b. However, it can also mean 'immediately', with a sense of an about to be realized 'now' ([4.14]c.):

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { a. } & \text { ginai } & \text { ara } & \text { a lao } & \text { buala } & \text { baiu }  \tag{4.14}\\
\text { todayIRR } & \text { I } & \text { lEXCS go } & \text { PNLOC } & \text { PSBL } \\
\text { 'Today I might go to Buala.' }
\end{array}
$$

b. ginai fea da toi=na
todayIRR INIT 1INCS cook=IMM
'[Later] today [must come] first before we cook.'
c. ai lehe $=\bar{n} a$ gita ginai

EXCLM die=IMM weINC todayIRR
'Oh! We're going to die now.'
Fufugo 'tomorrow' reflects historical reduplication. The unreduplicated form does not occur independently, but occurs in the compound fugo-nare 'morning' (nare 'day'). In nhorao 'yesterday' and narihao 'day before yesterday' the final $/ 0 /$ reflects a fused 'nonvisible' demonstrative clitic $=0$, as nariha 'day after tomorrow' suggests. The 'nonvisible' demonstrative often indicates entities that are not visible due to their location in the past, rather than geographic distance. Tifaro indicates any time prior to the day before yesterday, although it usually suggests a long time ago. Depending on context this can range from earlier in a person's life ([4.13]c.), to the legendary, historical, or pre-Christian past:
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$k a=i r a \quad b o n ̃ h e h e ~ t i f a r o .$. LOC=thepl heathen before 'In the heathen time before...'

### 4.3 Location names

Proper nouns naming physical locations may function as core arguments:

```
n-e-ge mai fa nhigo=i=u
RL-3S-PRS come CS be.finished=3SGO=CNT
'He came and finished
```

lao tabar- $i=0=n a \quad$ banesokeo
go buy-TR=3SGO=thatN PNLOC
buying Banesokeo.'

Typically, however, location names function as adjuncts. In that function they do not occur in a PP, instead they occur independently, paralleling an entire PP.
(4.17)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } n \text {-a-ge zaho koko=di } \\
& \text { RL-1EXCS-PRSgo leave=3PLO } \\
& \text { 'We will be leaving behind } \\
& \text { ira ge-mai no-mai e=u } \quad \text { huhurañi } \\
& \text { thePL CNSM-1EXCP GENP-1EXCP 3S=be.thus PNLOC } \\
& \text { our food and our things at Huhurangi.' } \\
& \text { b. age da hage=u fitupoğu } \\
& \text { go IINCS ascend=CNT PNLOC } \\
& \text { 'Let's go up to Fitupogu.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

Location names are not phrasal heads, and no modification of $\mathrm{PN}_{\text {LoC }} \mathrm{S}$ occurs:
(4.18) *goveo ine/ide 'this/these Goveo (village)'

Similarly two location names cannot be linked by the conjunction ge, which only links forms at the same level of syntactic structure. Location names may be linked by $n-e=u$, but in this context this forms a second clause: (4.19)a. consists of two clauses, literal meaning 'I went to Buala and like that also to Popoheo'.

| a. ara $n-a-k e$ | lao buald | $n-e=\boldsymbol{u}$ | popoheo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | RL-1EXCS-PFV go PNLOC | RL-3S=be.thus PNLOC |  |
| 'I went to Buala and Popoheo.' |  |  |  |

b. *ara nake lao buala ge popoheo
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### 4.4 Local nouns

Local nouns are a subclass of nouns that may be distinguished from other nouns in Kokota in two ways. First, they only function as locative adjuncts, never as core arguments. Second, while ordinary nouns may only function as an oblique within a PP, local nouns may do so without the presence of the preposition.

Two types of local noun occur. One encodes intrinsic and relative spatial relations, the other absolute relations. Intrinsic relations locate the referent in relation to an intrinsic facet of another entity. In the cat is in front of the TV the cat is located in relation to the facet of the TV regarded as its front. Relative relations involve a third participant: a viewpoint. The referent of the head is located in relation to another entity from the perspective of a viewpoint. In the cat is in front of the tree, the location of the cat depends on the location of the viewer. Absolute relations invoke an independent coordinate system imposed on the referent and entity to which is is related. In the cat is east of the tree the cat is located on the basis of the cardinal system, without reference to the location of a viewer or any internal characteristics of the referent (Palmer 2002b:109-110).

### 4.4.1 Intrinsic and relative locatives

The local nouns in (4.20) express intrinsic and relative spatial relations.

| a. pari 'below, underneath' | g. hotai | 'in the middle' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. fate 'above, on top' | h. fari hotai | 'between' |
| c. kenu 'in front' | i. geri | 'beside' |
| d. legu 'behind'37 | j. mairi | 'left' |
| e. gilu 'inside' | k. mautu | 'right' |
| f. kota 'outside' |  |  |

All local nouns are monomorphemic except for fari hotai, which consists of the local noun hotai 'middle' preceded by the preposed reciprocal particle. Local nouns may occur alone as an oblique without a preposition. They may indicate a location ([4.21]a.-c.), or a direction ([4.21]d.-e.).

> a. $t=a u=a n a \quad n-e \quad$ au mautu bo SBD=exist=thatN RL-3s exist right 'That's on the right.'
b. tana kave mai ka=ia riñata, naboto gase kenu, then descend come LOC=thesG doorway ten woman front 'Then they come out the door, ten women in front,

[^29]naboto gase legu, hotai rei-palu tati
ten woman behind middle they-two mother\&baby ten women behind, in the middle the mother and baby.'
c. manei n-e riniso mairi
he RL-3S RD~write left 'He writes left.' [i.e., He is left handed.]
d. n-e lao=u fate

RL-3S go $=$ CNT above
'He's going up on top.'
e. ara n-a kave kota

I RL-1EXCS descend outside 'I went outside [the house].'

Local nouns may occur with possessor-indexing as an adjunct without a preposition. When possessor-indexing is present a possessor NP is also usually present, but is not obligatory if the possessor is understood, as in (4.22)b.
(4.22) a. ara $n$-a korho namhari kenu=mu ago

I RL-1EXCS pull fish front=2SGP yousG
${ }^{\text {'I }}$ I caught fish in front of you.' [i.e., sitting in front of you in a boat]
b. manei n-e lao mairi=na bo
he RL-3S go left=3SGP CNT
'He went to the left side [of it].'
c. n-e fike=u manei ḡilu=na kaike komhu

RL-3S cut.wood=CNT he inside=35GPone year
'He cut firewood for a year,'
d. mama pita n-e-ke ooe=na legu=na rodoki
father PN RL-3S-PFV talk=that N behind $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ PN
'Father Peter spoke after Rodoki.'
Legu 'behind' and $\bar{g} i l u$ 'inside' have a temporal as well as spatial function. In (4.22)c. $\bar{g} i l u$ has a durational function, while in (4.22)d. legu means 'after' in time, not space. This creates potential ambiguity, In (4.22)d. the first clause sets up a temporal framework, so legu can only be interpreted with its temporal function. However, in (4.23) temporal and spatial readings are both possible.
n-e pulo mai legи=̄̄и ara
RL- 3 S return come behind $=1$ SGP I
'He came back after me [in time]/behind me [in space].'
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Although local nouns freely occur as the head of a locative adjunct, they occur equally freely with the same functions, as a complement of the preposition $k a$.
a. e-ke au ka hotai gegere $=$ ro 3 s-pFy exist LOC middle forest=thosenv 'They lived in the middle of the forest.'
b. e teo $\bar{g}-e-k e \quad a u \quad k a \quad$ geri tahi $=d e$

3 notexist NT-3S-PFV exist LOC beside sea=theser 'They didn't live beside the sea.'
c. $t=a u=0 \quad$ ka pari $\quad \bar{g} a z u=0$

SBD=exist=thatNV LOC below wood-thatNy 'It's under that tree.'
d. ta mai la naitu tahi, ta mai ka ia hotai tahi... SBD come CND devil sea SBD come LOC theSg middle sea 'If a sea devil comes, if it comes from the middle of the sea...'

As with prepositionless local nouns, possessor-indexing may be present:
(4.25) a. n-e au ka mairi=ğu ara

RL-3S exist LOC left=1SGP I
'It's at my left [must be immediately to hand].'
b. manei nee au ka kota=na suğa=na
he $\quad$ RL-3s exist LOC outside $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ house $=$ that N 'He is outside that house.'
c. toi-kame ana n-e au ka fari hotai=di buti are cook-arm that RL-3S exist LOC RECP middle $=3$ PLP shoe thosen 'That centipede is between those shoes.'
d. ta ağe $e=u \quad$ la $k=\boldsymbol{i a} \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad$ tahi... SBD go $3 \mathrm{~s}=$ bethus CND LOC=-thesG inside $=35 \mathrm{GP}$ sea 'If it goes into the sea...'

The difference in usage between local noun locatives with and without the preposition seems to be stylistic. Syntactically the preposition adds an additional layer to the structure in which the preposition functions as the head of a PP, with as its complement a phrase with a NLOC head. Whether it occurs within a PP or independently, a NLOC phrase has the same structure with the exception that in a PP the NLOC may be preceded by an article (as in [4.24]d. and [4.25]d.). No other modification is possible. The $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {Loc }}$ has the following structure:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{NP}_{\text {LOC }} & \rightarrow(\mathrm{ART}) & +\mathrm{N}_{\text {LOC }}^{\prime}  \tag{4.26}\\
\mathrm{N}_{\text {LOC }}^{\prime} & \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\text {LOC }}+(\mathrm{PSSR} & \left.+\left[\mathrm{NP}_{\text {PSSR }}\right]\right)
\end{array}
$$

The article cannot occur when the $\mathrm{N}_{\text {Loc }}$ is a sentential adjunct, only when it is a prepositional complement. This means that the prepositional complement is a $\mathrm{NP}_{\text {Loc }}$, while the sentential adjunct is not a NP but a $\mathrm{N}_{\text {Loc }}$.

Several local noun forms occur with other semantically related functions. Legu ('behind') also functions as a verb meaning 'follow' and a quantifier meaning 'every'; and kenu ('front') is also a verb meaning 'be foremost, be in front'. Kota ('outside') also functions as a verb, usually translated by speakers as 'go ashore'. However, it also occurs meaning 'get out of [a tree]', and possibly 'go out of [a house]' (the occurrence in [4.21]e. may, in fact, be a serial verb, although this verb could not occur alone with that meaning-the usual verb for going out of a house [or boat] is kave 'descend'). These have a unifying semantic sense of moving onto land (houses are raised on stilts so one climbs down out of them onto the ground). However, they also appear to have a unifying sense of moving outside something (a body of water, a tree), but the verb does not appear to be used to simply mean 'go outside'. The other local nouns appear to function only as local nouns.

Related to the temporal function in (4.22)c.-d. and (4.23), legu and gilu differ from other local nouns in that they may have as complement a nominalized clause. Like other local nouns the complement of legu or gilu may be a NP (as shown above). However, while other local nouns subcategorize only for a NP complement, $\operatorname{leg} u$ and $\bar{g} i l u$ subcategorize for a NP or nominalized clause complement. With a complement clause, the reading is always temporal, not spatial. With legu the subordinate clause expresses an event that precedes the main clause event, revealing the semantic relationship between the local noun and the verbal function of legu 'follow'. With gilu the complement clause expresses an event during which the main clause event occurs.
(4.27) a. legu=na toka kave ana gita gazu ana ge
behind $=3$ SGP chop descend that weINC wood that N SEQ
'After we have cut down the tree,
$\bar{g}-a \quad$ fike no-u $\quad \bar{g} a z u=\bar{n} a \quad$ ago
NT-2S cut.firewood GENP-2SGP wood=IMM youSG you can make your firewood.'
b. ara n-a tehi ta marhi=au=re

I RL-1EXCS be,many SBD hurt=1SGO=thosen
'I have many pains
$k a \quad$ legu=na faroho=nau=0
LOC behind $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ smite $=1 \mathrm{SGO}=$ thatNV
since they were hitting me.'
c. fufunu ka keli-kava=o n-e la mai=u begin LOC be.good-earth=thatNV RL-3s go come=CNT 'Start from the peace [and] it [the story] comes
$k a \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad$ toke=i=a ta dia
LOC inside $=3$ SGP arrive $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ thesG SBD be.bad to reaching the badness.'

### 4.4.2 Absolute locatives

Four absolute locative terms relating to the horizontal domain exist in Kokota:
a. rauru 'seaward'
b. rhuku 'landward'
c. paka 'west'\} The east-west axis runs northwest-southeast
d. fona 'east' $\}$ slightly less than $45^{\circ}$ off cardinal East-West

These lexify directions on a pair of crossed axes. Kokota is spoken on roughly straight coastline running northwest to southeast on both sides of Santa Isabel. The paka-fona axis corresponds to a line parallel to a regularized coastal line, the rhuku-rauru axis to a line crossing the coast at right angles. Rauru lexifies a direction from the mountainous interior towards the coast, from the village to the shore, and away from the shore out to sea. The opposite, rhuku, lexifies a direction from the sea towards land and then on into the interior. The paka-fona axis crosses that at right angles: fona being the direction closest to the location of the rising sun, and paka the direction closest to that of the setting sun. This system was schematized for Goveo village by an informant, James Tikani.

FIGURE 1: KOKOTA ABSOLUTE DIRECTIONAL SCHEMA.


This shows that these directional terms refer to quadrants not vectors (Palmer 2002b:123-125), meaning that each direction refers to a $45^{\circ}$ chunk of the horizontal domain (which the 'axis' bisects). Directions on the paka-fona axis are unbounded: paka continues beyond Santa Isbael to Choiseul, Bougainville, and beyond, and fona to Malaita and beyond. The direction expressed by rauru is also unbounded, crossing the coast and continuing out to sea indefinitely. Rhuku, however, appears to be bounded, continuing inland to a point somewhere in the center of the island. Once that point is reached and a descent towards the other side of the island begins, the direction would again be rauru 'seaward'. Figure 1 represents the absolute spatial system as it applies in Goveo and Sisiga, on the northwest coast. The system as it applies in Hurepelo on the southwest coast, on the opposite side of the island, differs, as the relationship between the axes differs. In Goveo and Sisiga southwest is landward and northwest seaward. In Hurepelo the opposite is the case. As a result, the rauru-rhuku axis interacts with the paka-fona axis in Hurepelo in a way that is a direct mirror image of that shown in Figure 1. In Goveo when one is facing rauru, fona is on your right. In Hurepelo it is on your left. This is not a dialect difference, but the effect of environmental constraints on an environmentally sensitive directional system.

These absolute directional terms may indicate location (as in [4.29]), or direction of motion (4.30):
da la au=gu rhuku
IINCS go exist=CNT landward
'We are living on the shore side [i.e., in the bush].'
b. ana rauru bo
that N seaward CNT
'It's (on the) seaward (side of the house).'
[Response to the question 'Where is your cookhouse?']
(4.30) a. gai lao fona buala
weexcgo east PNLOC
'We're going east to Buala,'
b. kamo rauru bo $s=a g o$
go.across seaward CNT FOC=youSG
'Paddle-turn seaward, you.' [Instruction to paddle so a canoe that is moving westward will change course and move directly out to sea.]

They may also indicate the location of motion, rather than its direction:
bili n-e-ke mai rauru bo,
PN RL-3S-PFV come seaward CNT
'Billy came on the sea side,
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ago n-e-ke lao rhuku bo } \\
& \text { youSG RL-3S-PFV go landward CNT } \\
& \text { you went on the land side.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

In (4.31) my informant James explains how Billy and I missed each other. I went to Billy's house from James's house, which faces seaward, by exiting on the seaward side but going around the back (the landward side) of the house and passed along the back (landward side) of the row of houses to Billy's house. At the same time Billy went from his house to James's house along the front (seaward side) of the row of houses. Here the absolute terms do not indicate the direction of the motion, but its location in the village in relation to the side of the row of houses. Both Billy's coming and my going took place parallel to the coast, along the paka-fona axis, not along the rhuku-rauru axis.

Although the four absolute terms under discussion here apply only in the horizontal domain, the verbs hage 'ascend', and kave 'descend' are used systematically in association with the directions expressed by the absolute terms. In fact, hage and kave each has a meaning applicable in each of the two horizontal and one vertical axes:

## TABLE 4.1. "ASCENDING" AND "DESCENDING" ON HORIZONTAL AXES

|  | vertical axis | landward-seaward | east-west |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hage | 'ascend' | 'go landward' | 'go east' |
| kave | 'descend' | 'go seaward' | 'go west' |

These absolute terms are local nouns with the same syntactic characteristics as intrinsic local nouns (see §4.4.1). They typically occur on their own as locative or directional obliques, as in (4.29) to (4.31). However, they may occur with possessor-indexing and a possessor complement, or in a prepositional phrase:
a. n-e $a u \quad$ fona=na $\quad s u \bar{g} a=o$

RL-3S exist east $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ house $=$ thatNV
'It [the new cookhouse] is at the east of the house.'
b. $\bar{g}-a \quad m a i=\bar{n} a$ gai ade ka ia rhuku

NT-1EXCS come=IMM weEXC here LOC theSG landward 'We come here to the shore side.'

While both of these constructions are possible, they occur much less commonly with absolute locatives than with intrinsic locatives. As absolute locatives are local nouns, the phrasal structure given in (4.26) applies. Of these absolute locative forms only one, rauru, has another function, also occurring as a verb meaning 'to go seaward'.

### 4.5 Contextualizer nouns

Two bound nouns exist that function as adjuncts introducing contextual information. These contextual nouns resemble local nouns in that they possessor-index a complement. However, unlike local nouns the possessorindexing and possessor complement are obligatory. The two contextualizer nouns, $g u$ - and nafu-, are functionally identical. The choice between them appears to be stylistic, and a matter of speaker preference. Both introduce an adjunct expressing the context in which the main clause predication holds. Often this indicates that the adjunct is the cause of the main clause event.
(4.33) a. gu=na bia=na ne fa kalahohoa=nau=na ara CNTX $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ beer=thatN RL CS burp=1SGO-that I
'Because of that beer I am burping.'
b. $n-e=u \quad b o$ ge teo boka $=d i=n a$, RL-3S=be.thus CNT SEQ not.exist be able $=3 \mathrm{PLO}=$ thatN 'So they weren't able to
$n-e=u \quad n a f u=n a \quad$ ia $\quad$ parahagala $b l a=u$
RL-3S=be.thus base=3SGP theSG giant LMT=be.thus because it was a giant.'

In other cases the contextual oblique is interpreted as one on whose behalf the predication holds, as in (4.34), or as the subject matter of a story, etc. ([4.35]).
a. n-e-ke kave mai=ña fate,
RL-3S-PFV descend come $=1 \mathrm{MM}$ above
'He came down from heaven
gu=da gita ira huğru nakoni CNTX $=1$ INCP weINC thePL every person for we the people.'
b. ti-ke mai $\quad g u=\bar{g} u \quad$ bo tai- $\bar{g} u$

NEG-PFV come CNTX=1SGP CNT REFL-1SGP
'I haven't come on my own behalf.'
(4.35) a. buka ine $\boldsymbol{g u}=d \boldsymbol{i}$ palu gizu=di
book thisR CNTX=3PLP two island=3PLP
'The book is about the two islands.'
b. manahagi $t u \sim t u r i=d i=u \quad$ no-mai kastom meresen $i=r e .$. want RD-tell=3PLO-CNT GENP-1EXCP custom medicine=thosen 'I want to tell some of our medicine customs
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nafu=na kaike glepo $k a \quad$ tahi=ne... base $=3$ SGP one thing LOC sea=thisR about one thing in the sea....'

The interpretation of the exact role of the contextual oblique proceeds from the semantics of the predicate. In the examples above the complement of the contextualizer nouns is a NP. However, both contextualizer nouns also subcategorize for complement clauses, and occur frequently with such clauses:

b. $e=u \quad$ teo $\bar{g}-e \quad$ boka turi=di manei...
$3 \mathrm{~s}=$ be.thus not.exist NT-3s be.able tell=3plo he 'He can't tell [those things]..
nafu=na $n$-e-ke blahi ka gai $t=a u=a r e$ base=3SGP RL-3S-PFV be.tabu LOC weEXC SBD=exist=thoseN because those are tabu for us.'

Contextualizing subordinate clauses are discussed further in $\S 10.2 .5 .1$.
In addition to obligatory possessor-indexing, the forms may carry a cliticized demonstrative:

```
manei n-e lao buala gu=\overline{g}\boldsymbol{|}=\boldsymbol{na}\mathrm{ ara}
he RL-3S go PNLOC CNTX=1SGP=thatN I
'He went to Buala because of me.'
```

Contextualizer nouns occur as the head of a $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CNTX}}^{\prime}$, consisting of a contextualizer noun with the possessor enclitic, with an optional demonstrative, and a NP or clausal complement. No article occurs with a contextualizer noun, so it does not project a full NP but a $N^{\prime}$.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{CNTX}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{CNTX}+\mathrm{PSSR}+(\mathrm{DEM})+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PSSR}}\right)  \tag{4.38}\\
(\mathrm{S})
\end{array}\right.
$$

A $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{CNTX}}$ typically occurs directly modifying the predication. However, occasionally phrases with $g u$ - occur in a PP, adding an additional layer to the structure, with the $\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{CNTX}}$ as the complement of the preposition.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { ara manahagi } & \text { turi tufa= nigo ago ka } & \text { gu }=n a \quad \text { ia }  \tag{4.39}\\
\text { I want } & \text { tell affect }=2 \mathrm{SGO} & \text { yousG } \operatorname{LOC} & \mathrm{CNTX}=3 \mathrm{SGP} \text { thesG } \\
\text { 'I want to tell you a story about }
\end{array}
$$

OBLIQUES AND CLAUSE-LEVEL ADJUNCTS
au $k a \quad$ gai $k a=i a \quad$ fufunu mai=na ia velepuhi exist LOC weINC LOC=theSG begin come $=3$ SGP theSG right.way our living when Christianity first came.'

As well as functioning as a contextual noun, nafu also functions as a common noun meaning 'base'. As such it is inalienably possessed. However, like all inalienably possessed common nouns in Kokota, it may occur independently:
(4.40) a. n-e-ge $a u=g u \quad k a \quad n a f u \quad \bar{g} a z u$ ine RL-3S-PRS exist=CNT LOC base wood thisR 'He stayed at this tree base.'
b. ka nafu=na gazu ana

LOC base $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ wood that N 'at the base of that tree'

This does not indicate that nafu is a local noun, as unlike local nouns it cannot occur as an oblique without a preposition or direct possessor complement.

### 4.6 Associative noun

The noun tareme- occurs only as an adjunct, assigning an associative role to a participant. It occurs with obligatory direct possessor-indexing and a possessor complement, whose referent is the associated participant. It marks adjuncts that are participants in the event by virtue of association with another participant.
(4.41) a. ara n-a-ke turi tareme=na gase ana

I RL-3s-PFV talk with=3SGP woman thatN
'I have talked with that woman
ka kaike fata bla
LOC one occasion LMT
on only one occasion.'
b. n-e-ke $\quad a \bar{g} e=r e=u \quad k a \quad$ hinage $=n e$

RL-3S-PFV go $=$ thosen $=$ CNT LOC boat $=$ thisR
"He went in the canoe
tareme $=$ di tilo mane $=u$
with=3plp three man=be.thus
with three men.'
The associated participant is typically human or at least animate; however, it can be inanimate, or even not a physical object.
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(4.42) a. ia mane $n-e$ lao $k a=i a$ sitoa tareme=na gasi theSG man RL-3s go LOC=theSG store with $=3 \mathrm{SGP}$ torch 'The man went to the store with a torch.'
b. manei n-e za-zaho tareme=na mhagu
he RL-3S RD~go with=3SGP fear 'He walked in fear.'

The associative noun may carry a cliticized demonstrative:

```
e-ke keha foğra n̄heñhe bo 3S-PFV NSP sick be.separate CNT
``` '[But if] there is some other sickness
ta=ke au tareme=na-na naitu tahi ana... \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) exist with \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that N devil sea that that is with that sea devil...?

As an extension of its associative meaning, tareme- has a more specific meaning of 'wantok', 'relative', or 'friend'. This may be referential, as in (4.44)a., but occurs more typically with a vocative function, stressing the existence of a community relationship with the speaker, as in (4.44)b (starting a sign in a store). In this vocative role a cliticized demonstrative is obligatory.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{a. ara}} & \(n-a\) & fakae \(=n i\) & tareme=mi=na & gau \\
\hline & & RL-1E & see=35G0 & with \(=2 \mathrm{PLP}=\) that N & youpl \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{'I saw your wantok.'} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{b. ehe tareme \(=\bar{g} \boldsymbol{u}=\mathrm{ro}\),} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{yes with \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thosenV} \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{'Yes my friends,} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
ke nodo fea ke mai tore kaoni \(t=a u=0\) PFV stop INIT PFV come ask account \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thatNV stop coming and asking for credit....,

The associative noun occurs as the head of a \(\mathrm{N}_{\text {ASSC }}\), consisting of the associative noun with the possessor enclitic, with an optional demonstrative, and an obligatory complement possessor NP. Complement clauses do not occur with tareme-. No article occurs with the associative noun, so it does not project a full NP but a N'.
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ASSC}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ASSC}}+\mathrm{PSSR}+(\mathrm{DEM})+\left(\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{PSSR}}\right) \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
\]

\section*{CHAPTER 5: POSSESSION}

\subsection*{5.1 Overview of possession}

Kokota expresses possessive relations by head-marking the possessum to index the possessor. Typically for an Oceanic language, Kokota has both direct and indirect marking, broadly encoding semantic categories of inalienable and alienable possession. Two forms of indirect marking encode a semantic distinction between general and 'consumed' alienable possession. With both direct and indirect possessor-marked NPs the possessor may be omitted if the context precludes ambiguity.

In addition, to this system of possessor-indexing, possession may also be expressed by realizing the possessor as a pseudo-locative prepositional phrase. Finally, within highly restricted parameters a possessor may be expressed without indexing on the possessum and without a prepositional head.

\subsection*{5.2 Direct possessor-indexing}

Direct possession involves possessor-indexing enclitics attached to the nominal core of the possessum NP. The enclitic status of these forms is discussed in §3.3.1.3. The forms recognize the same person and number categories as the pronominal system described in §3.1.2.

TABLE 5.1. DIRECT POSSESSOR-INDEXING ENCLITICS
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& IEXC & IINC & 2 & 3 \\
SO & \(=\bar{g} u\) & - & \(=m u\) & \(=n a\) \\
PL & \(=m a i\) & \(=d a\) & \(=m i\) & \(-d i\)
\end{tabular}

Direct possessor-indexing encodes the semantic category of inalienable possession.

\subsection*{5.3 Indirect possessor-indexing}

Indirect possession involves a possessor-indexing host that is syntactically the head of the NP in which it occurs (see §5.9.2). Two possessor-indexing hosts occur: ge-, marking possession of anything consumed by mouth (food, drink, tobacco, etc.); and no-, encoding a general category including all indirectly possessed items other than those qualifying for the consumed category.

Indirect possessor suffixes recognize the same person and number categories as the direct possessive enclitics, and are formally identical except for the second person singular form.
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\section*{TABLE 5.2. INDIRECT GENERAL POSSESSOR-INDEXING}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& lEXC & INC & 2 & 3 \\
SG & no-ğu & - & no-u & no-na \\
PL & no-mai & no-da & no-mi & no-di
\end{tabular}

\section*{TABLE 5.3. INDIRECT 'CONSUMED' POSSESSOR-INDEXING}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& lexC & 1 INC & 2 & 3 \\
SG & ge- \(\mathrm{g} u\) & - & ge-u & ge-na \\
PL & ge-mai & ge \(d a\) & ge-mi & ge-di
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.4 The semantics of Kokota direct possession}

\subsection*{5.4.1 Inalienably possessed items}

A number of types of items are typically treated as being in an inalienably possessed relationship with their possessor. Unlike in many Oceanic languages, almost all inalienably possessed nouns may be realized in isolation, without possessor-indexing, the exceptions being the contextualizer and associative nouns discussed in \(\S 4.5\) and \(\S 4.6\).

Possessive relationships typically treated as inalienable include certain kin relationships; part-whole relationships (including body parts, bodily matter, bodily states, and inanimate part-whole relationships); the impression of parts; non-physical parts; divisions of time; intrinsic characteristics; possession by a location (including local nouns and location names); contextual and associative relationships; and the possession of events. In addition, a class of adjectives expressing gender, existential status, and status as new or first, are treated as being in an inalienable relationship with the noun they modify (see §3.2.3.2).

\subsection*{5.4.1.1 Inalienable kin}

In Kokota some kin terms are treated as inalienably possessed while others are treated as alienable. The distinction is based primarily on age: kin who are younger than the possessor are inalienably possessed. Apart from members of certain culturally highly salient relationships, older kin are alienably possessed.

The younger kin category includes tu- 'child' and tamo- 'younger sibling, grandchild':
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. ara } n-a \quad \text { fakae }=n i \quad \text { tamo }=m u=n a \quad \text { ago }  \tag{5.1}\\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS see }=3 \mathrm{SGO} \text { younger. } \mathrm{sibling}=2 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thatN yousG } \\
& \text { 'I saw your younger brother.' }
\end{align*}
\]
b. tu=mai ana gai
child=1EXCP thatN weEXC
'that child of ours'
The category of highly salient relationships consists of spouses, a tabu reciprocal in-law relationship between parent-in-law and son-in-law or daughter-in-law, and the relationship between a man and his sister's sons. This latter relationship is the primary relationship of authority and discipline an individual has with a member of a previous generation. These relationship categories are treated as inalienably possessed regardless of age. They include:
(5.2) a. nafe 'spouse'
b. nan̄ho 'parent-in-law/child's spouse
c. mageha 'maternal uncle'
d. glegu 'sororal nephew'

While nafe 'spouse' is inalienably possessed, nakrupe 'wife' may be inalienably or alienably possessed. There is no specific term for husband. The term kue 'grandfather' may also be inalienably or alienably possessed.

\subsection*{5.4.1.2 Physical part-whole relationships}

\section*{5,4,1.2.1 Body parts and bodily matter}

A range of items involved in part-whole relationships are inalienably possessed. This includes external body parts (5.3), and internal body matter and organs (5.4):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. ara } n-a \quad \text { marh } i=n a u & n e n e=\bar{g} u=\text { ine }  \tag{5.3}\\
\text { I RL-1EXCS be.in.pain=1SGO } & \operatorname{leg}=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thisR } \\
\text { 'This leg of mine hurts.' }
\end{array}
\]
b. ...g-e ağe tob-i=ri ka poto=di=re

NT-3s go kick-TR \(=3\) PLO LOC arse \(=3\) PLP=thosen
'... he went [and] kicked them in the arse.'
c. n-e la piri=ni=u

RL-3S go bind \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
'He tied him up
\(k a=i a \quad k o l u\)-seku=na=o manei
LOC=thesg snake-tail \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatnv he
with his snake tail.'
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(5.4) a. n-e dou lao n-e=u nanafa \(=\bar{g} u=i n e\) ara RL-3S be.big go RL-3S=be.thus heart=1SGP=thisR I 'It is big in my heart.' [i.e., 'I am thinking about it a lot.']
b. numha=mai=de gai
bone \(=1\) EXCP=theser weexC
'our bones'
c. dadara=na=ro naitu \(t=a u=0 \quad\) toke goinode blood=3SGP=thosenv devil SBD=exist=thatNV arrive todayRL 'That devil's blood is still there today.'

This category also includes matter that may be separated from the body, such as hair, fingernails, and teeth, and matter emanating from the body;
a. \(k a l a=\bar{g} u=d e\)
ara
hair/leaf=1SGP=theseR I
'my hair'
b. \(b i=m u=d e\)
ago
fart \(=2 \mathrm{SGP}=\) theseR yousG
'these farts of yours'
c. \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s o s } o = n a = r e} \quad\) manei
piss \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thosen he 'his piss'
d. kekredi=di kokorako
egg \(=3\) PLP chicken
'chicken's eggs'

\subsection*{5.4.1.2.2 Bodily states}

Body states, even temporary ones, are inalienably possessed. For example, the compound noun dia-tini 'fever', is inalienably possessed by the sufferer:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara } n-a \quad \text { mhoto }=u \quad g u=n a=n a \quad \text { dia- } \text { inini }=\bar{g} u  \tag{5.6}\\
& \text { I RL-lEXCS sweat }=\mathrm{CNT} \text { CNTX }=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thatN } \text { be.bad-body }=1 \mathrm{SGP} \\
& \text { 'I am sweating because of my fever.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{5.4.1.2.3 Inanimate part-whole relationships}

Part-whole relationships involving inanimate objects are also treated as inalienable possession:
a. ia hobo=na ğazu
thesG branch=3SGP wood 'the branch of the tree'
b. ia wili=na tarake
theso wheel \(=3\) SGP truck 'the wheel of the truck'
c. ago n-o tiki kikilova=na suğ \(a=0\) youSG RL-2S construct ridge.cap \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) house=thatNV 'You are making the ridge cap of the house.'
d. ..ta \(\bar{g}-e \quad k u-k n u s u=o \quad\) papari=na to \(\quad\) toi=ne SBD NT-3S RD~break=thatNV wood.stack=3SGP RD-cook=thisR '...that broke the fire's wood stack,'
e. ...kolodadara ka pau=na kumai=na PNLOC LOC head=3SGP water-thatN '... at Kolodadara at the head of that river'
f. ta moita la raisi ana SBD be.cooked CND rice thatN 'If the rice is cooked,
zikra koko=ni bakru=na=na
pour.out leave \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) liquid \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that pour out its liquid.'

Just as inalienable possession with animates extends to separable bodily matter, emanations of inanimate objects are treated as inalienably possessed:

> ia komhu=na koilo
> thesG fruit=3SGP coconut
> 'the fruit of the coconut tree'

\subsection*{5.4.1.3 Impressions of parts}

Impressions such as footprints and other imprints are inalienably possessed by the entity that made the impression:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { mala=na=re }  \tag{5.9}\\
& \text { footprint }=3 \mathrm{sGP}=\text { those } \\
& \text { 'those footprints of his' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{5.4.1.4 Possession of non-physical 'parts'}

Certain non-physical items are treated as parts of a whole and are inalienably possessed, including shadows, spiritual elements, sounds caused by the possessor, and names:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.10) a. & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { naño }=\bar{g} u & \text { ara } \\
\text { shadow=1SGP } & \text { I } \\
\text { 'my shadow' } &
\end{array}
\] & b. ia oggla=na gita theso sound=3sGP guitar 'the sound of the guitar' \\
\hline c. & e-ke fa heta 3S-PFV CS be.stron \({ }^{\text {'He will make us str }}\) & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { legu=gita }=u & \text { manei } \\
\text { follow }=1 \mathrm{INCO}=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { he } \\
\text { ng }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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ira huğru matirihi=da
thepl every spirit \(=1\) INCP
in all our spirits.'
d. fahega ira nanafa=mai gai
be.happy thePL heart=1EXCP weEXC 'Our hearts are happy
ta mala tihi koko=di ira nhave=mai SBD PURP wash leave=3PLO thePL \(\sin =1 E X C P\) to wash out our sins.'
e. ..fa lehe=ri lao fa kave=i ia nan̄ha=na rurubon̄i CS die=3PLO go CS descend=3SGO theSG name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP} \mathrm{PN}\) '...[they] killed them and put down the name of Ruruboni.'

This is not limited to personal names, as in (5.10)e., but includes the relationship between any noun and its referent:
(5.11) \(\bar{g}\) uanha \(e=n i \quad\) bla nañha=na=na \(\bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=a o\)
\(\bar{g}\) uanha \(3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that w wood \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thisT 'Guanha is the name of that tree.'

Parts of non-physical items are treated as inalienably possesed:

> fufunu=na=na \(\quad e=u \quad\) ade \(\quad n-e=u\) begin=3SGP=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus here \(\quad\) RL- \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus 'That start of it [the story] will be like this here, he says.'

Significant roles within groups or organizations are treated as participating in a part-whole relationship and are inalienably possessed:
(5.13) a. zemesi velepuhi=na sikolu

James teacher=3SGP school 'James is the teacher at the school.'
b. \(t=a u=n a \quad p a u=n a \quad\) solomoni tikilave

SBD=exist=thatN head=3SGP PN
'That [group]'s leader was Solomon Tikilave.'

\subsection*{5.4.1.5 Divisions of time and stages in temporal frames}

Units of time are in a part-whole relationship with larger units and are treated as inalienably possessed:
(5.14) hage ka saigona=na sarere ana...
ascend LOC evening \(=3\) SGP Saturday that
'[They would] go up in the evening of that Saturday...'
Phases in processes or large periods of time are inalienably possessed, including beginnings and ends:
(5.15) a. gai a boka n̄ha=di gudu...
weEXC IEXCS beable eat=3pLO EXHST
'We could eat them all
\(k a=i a \quad\) fufunu=na ia kastom ka gai
LOC=thesG begin \(=3\) SGP thesg custom LOC weEXC
at the beginning of our custom.' [i.e., '... in our original custom.']
b. goinode ka nhigo=na nare
todayRL LOC be.finished \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) day
'Now it's the end of the day.'

\subsection*{5.4.1.6 Intrinsic characteristics}

A wide range of relationships are treated as the inalienable possession of an intrinsic characteristic. This typically applies where there is a perceived inseparable and unique relationship between the possessor and the possessum.

Membership of a class of objects is treated as an intrinsic characteristic. Kokolo means 'class of', or 'category of', and 'clan' when applied to humans:
(5.16) a. kaike-u kokolo=na namhari ka solomon one-CRD class \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) fish LOC Solomons '(It is) one kind of fish from the Solomons.'
b. boboe kokolo= \(=\bar{g} \mathbf{u}=\) ne ara
dugong class \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thisR I
"Dugong" is my clan.'
Similarly, any intrinsic association involves inalienable possession. In (5.17), for example, a church is inalienably possessed by the saint for whom it is named:

> zesas n-e kave mai ka suḡa=na sin meri'
> PN RL-3S descend come LOC house=3SGP PN
> 'Jesus came down, to the house [i.e., church] of Saint Mary.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{38}\) The local orthography is used here phonemically. The Kokota spell introduced names as in English, and would never write 'Jesus', 'Saint', or 'Mary' as shown here.
}
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Several nouns capture notions of intrinsic character. These 'ways' refer to the characteristic behavior of an entity, or behavior perceived to be inherently associated with an entity. These 'ways' are, therefore, treated as inalienably possessed by the relevant entity. The nouns are hana, puhi, and zazaho.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. } \begin{array}{l}
e=u \quad b l a \quad z a \sim z a h o=d i=r e \quad \text { palu } \\
\text { 3S=be.thus LMT } \quad \text { RD } \sim \text { go }=3 \mathrm{PLP}=\text { thosen }
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { two } \\
\text { devil }
\end{array}  \tag{5.18}\\
& \text { 'So they're the ways of the two devils.' }
\end{align*}
\]

In (5.18)a. the characteristic behavior is the behavior of the possessor entity itself, while in the remaining examples it is behavior that is characteristically associated with it: the procedures for preparing certain custom medicines, and for clearing ground to make a garden. All three terms are used in both ways.

Zazaho is a reduplicated version of the verb zaho 'go'. Kokota reduplication has a general derivational function, with two subregularities: the derivation of intransitive verbs from transitive roots, and nouns from verbs. Two forms are derived by reduplication from zaho. One, zazaho 'walk', is the idiosyncratic derivation of a specific (perhaps prototypical) way of going. The other, zazaho 'way', derives a noun from the verb root, with a general sense of 'way of going'. The semantic distinction between zazaho, the widely used puhi, and the rarely used hana is unclear.

Other such intrinsic characteristics treated as inalienably possessed include personal characteristics such as a person's age or handwriting:
(5.19) a. nihau komh \(\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{u}=n a \quad\) ago
how.many year \(=2 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatN youSG
'How old are you?' [lit. 'How many are your years?']
b. ara \(n-a \quad\) mhemhe izu=ri ririso=mu=re ago I RL-1EXCS be.difficult read=3PLO writing=2SGP=thosen youSG 'I find it hard to read your writing.'

Certain nouns expressing quantities may be possessed by the nominal they quantify. These include tehi 'a large quantity (of)' and kata- 'a modicum (of)'.
(5.20) a. tehi=di mane=re n-e kaike isi hage ka \(\bar{g} u k u a n a\) many \(=3\) PLP man=thosen RL-3S one flee ascendLOC path thatN 'Many of those men ran away together up the road.'
b. fa loga mai kata=na karoseni ña bo

CS pour come modicum=3SGP kerosene IMM CNT
'Pour a little bit more kerosene,'
Tehi has three syntactically distinct semantically related functions. Its primary function is as an adnominal quantifier (see \(\S 3.2 .2 .3\) ). It also has the nominal function shown above, and a verb function with the meaning 'be many'. It is unclear whether kata also occurs independently, and with other functions.

Forms expressing a place in a sequence are inalienably possessed, including ordinal numbers (see \(\S 3.2,2.2 .1 .3\) ), and the adjective/local noun kenu 'front':
(5.21) a. \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o ~ n ̄ a ~ f a ~ p a l u=n a ~ g ̆ a z u=n a ~ e=u ~\)

NT-3S go IMM ORD two \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) wood=that \(\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
'Go for the second of that tree.'
b. ide-hi bla kenu=di botolo=re
theser-EMPH LMT front=3PLP bottle \(=\) thoseN
'These are the first bottles.'

Ordinals are not obligatorily possessor-indexed, occurring frequently as adnominal modifiers with no possessor-indexing:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara fa palu mane } n-a-k e \quad o o e=n a  \tag{5.22}\\
& \text { I ORD two man RL-1EXCS-PFV talk=thatN } \\
& \text { 'I was the second person who spoke.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{5.4.1.7 Possession of adjectives}

A small number of adjectives exist in Kokota. One subclass (see §3.2.3.1) are formally underived and occur with no possessor-indexing A further subclass are derived by direct possessor-indexing, including forms assigning gender, existential status, newness, and 'first' (see §3.2.3.2). One example is repeated:
\(\bar{g} a z u\) are e \(\bar{g} a z u\) le-lehe=di wood thosen 3 S wood RD - be.dead \(=3\) PLP 'These trees are dead trees.'
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\subsection*{5.4.1.8 Possession of local nouns}

Local nouns (see §4.4) identify a location in relation to an item that is either specified or understood. Locations of this kind are treated as parts of the item they relate to, and are thus inalienably possessed. In effect, spaces adjacent to (including enclosed by) items are treated as a part of the item.
a. n-e au ka mairi=g \(u\) ara

RL-3S exist LOC left \(=1\) SGP I
'It's at my left.' [must be immediately to hand]
b. manei n-e au ka kota=na sugga=na
he RL-3S exist LOC outside \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) house \(=\) that
'He is outside that house.'
Local nouns in Kokota are not bound nominals, and may occur without possessor-indexing, when the item the location relates to is understood:
...g-e lao \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) sare gilu \(n-e-k e=u\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{go}=\mathrm{IMM}\) therep inside RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
'...they went there inside, it was like that.'

\subsection*{5.4.1.9 Possession of contextualizer and associative nouns}

Three nominals introduce an adjunct and identify its relationship with the event. One, tareme-, is associative, indicating that the possessor is associated with the event in some way, while two others, \(g u\) - and nafu, indicate that the possessor is the context of the main clause event. All three are inalienably possessed.
(5.26) a. ago ginai ağe ka rata \(=0\) tareme \(=\bar{g} u\) ara
youso fUT go LOC sand=thatNV with=1SGP I
'You will go to the beach with me.'
b. manei n-e turi tufa \(=d i \quad\) maneri gu \(=\bar{g} \boldsymbol{u}\) ara
he RL-3s tell affect=3plo they CNTX-1sGP I 'He told them about me.'
c. ... \(n-e=u\) nafu=na ia parahağala blau RL-3S=be.thus base=3SGP theSG giant LMT '...it was like that simply because [it was] a giant.'

The associative and the contextualizer \(g u\) - are obligatorily possessed. \(N a f u\) is obligatorily possessed as a contextualizer noun. However, the form also occurs as an ordinary noun meaning 'base'. As such it is inalienably possessed, but may
also occur without possessor-indexing (see §5.4.2). Contextualizer nouns are discussed further in \(\S 4.5\), and the associative in \(\S 4.6\).

\subsection*{5.4.1.10 Possession by location names}

The relationship between an item and a location with which the item is characteristically associated may be expressed by a location name occurring as an adnominal core modifier (see §3.3.1.2.3). However, this relationship may also be expressed as an inalienable possessive relationship:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ago kaike mane=na ostrelia }  \tag{5,27}\\
& \text { yousG one man=3SGP PNLOC } \\
& \text { 'You are a man from Australia.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Just as significant roles within groups or organizations are inalienably possessed (see §5.4.1.4), so are significant roles associated with locations:
(5.28) a. bili ğedi spika=na isabel

PN Speaker=3SGP PNLOC
'Billy Gedi is the Speaker of Santa Isabel. \({ }^{39}\)
b. manei man-datau=na=na goveo
he man-chief \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that PNLOC 'He is that chief of Goveo.'

\subsection*{5.4.1.11 Possession of events}

A clause may be inalienably possessed by its absolutive argument. An intransitive clause may be inalienably possessed by its sole core argument, either unaccusative (as in [5.29]) or unergative (5.30):
a. n-e-ke kaike au nakoni=di=ña RL-3S-PFV one exist person=3PLP=-1MM 'People continued to live,
ka lehe=na=na naitu ta=au=ne
loC die=3sGP=that devil \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thisR due to that death of this devil.'
b. ka la au fufunu foğra=na manei

LOC go exist begin be.sick=3SGP he '[It is] at that starting to get sick of his

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{39}\) I.e., the Provincial Assembly, Note the inalienable possession of loan words (see [5.46]).
}
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> ta=ke fufunu=na ara
> \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) begin=that 1
> that I will start [the story].
(5.30) a. ka mhoko ağ \(e=n a=n a \quad\) manei...

LOC sit \(\mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that N he
'At that sitting down of his...' [i.e., 'When he sat down...']
b. ka mai=ğ \(u=0 \quad\) ara ginai, ara fahega

LOC come \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatnv I FUT I be.happy
'At that coming of mine [again] I will be happy.'
Transitive clauses may not be possessed by their agent, but may be inalienably possessed by their undergoer ('passive possession'). In (5.31)a. trees possess the event of their being chopped down; in (5.31)b, a child possesses its baptism:
(5.31) a. .nhigo \(n-e=u \ldots\) ira toka legu-kava=di=ña..
be.finished RL-3S=be.thus thePL chop follow-ground \(=3\) PLP \(=I M M\) '...it's finished... their [the trees'] chopping to the ground...'
b. ..toke ia nare mala sugitabu=na suli=ana \(e=u\) arrive theSG day PURP baptism=3SGP child=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus '...the day for the baptism of that child arrives.'

Clauses functioning as arguments are discussed in detail in §10.2.4.

\subsection*{5.4.2 Optional nature of direct possessor-indexing}

Unlike many Oceanic languages, direct possessive marking in Kokota is not obligatory. Almost any noun that typically occurs with direct possessor-indexing may occur without it in certain circumstances. This is apparent in citation forms, which are always given without possessor-indexing. In many Oceanic languages, in the absence of any clear possessor, inalienably possessed forms are given with third person singular possessor marking. This is not the case in Kokota, even for nouns that have an apparently inseparably close relationship with their possessor, such as body parts or intrinsic characteristics. This may occur if the specific possessor is not apparent or is not relevant. In (5.32)a. the speaker is discussing the custom medicine treatment for headaches, and has no particular individual's head in mind. However, as (5.32)b. shows, it is not limited to such non-specific contexts:
(5.32) a. \(e\) au ka pau ine marha-pau ana 3 s exist loC head thisR pain-head that 'That headache is in the head.'
b. n-e-ge \(\quad a u=g u \quad k a\) nafu \(\bar{g} a z u\) ine

RL-3S-PRS exist=CNT LOC base wood thisR 'He stays at this tree base.'

Intrinsic characteristics such as class membership and characteristic ways (see §5.4.1.6) may also occur without possessor-indexing:
(5.33) a. teo \(\bar{g}-e \quad a u=g u\) kokolo ga~gato \(t=a u=a r e\) not.exist \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) exist \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) class \(\mathrm{RD}-\) think \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) thoseN 'Those kinds of thoughts won't be [i.e., won't eventuate].'
b, n-e-ke mai=u puhikeli=ro ka tilo mane=re RL-3S-PFV come=CNT way be.good=thosenVLOC three man=thosen 'Those good ways came with those three men.'

A small number of exceptions exist that cannot occur without direct possessorindexing. None are ordinary nouns. They include the kin terms tu-'offspring' and tamo- 'younger sibling', possessor-indexed adjectives (see §3.2.3.2), the contextualizer noun gu- (see §3.5.4), and the associative noun tareme- (3.5.5). These require possessor-indexing, and, apart from the kin terms, may not occur without an overt mention of the item being indexed. In the case of the adjectives this item occurs as the nominal head the adjective modifies. In the case of the contextualizer and associative nouns it is the noun's own complement. All other nouns may occur without possessor-indexing.

\subsection*{5.5 The semantics of indirect possession}

Two classes of indirect possession are recognized in Kokota: that of consumed items, and that of all alienable items not eligible for the consumed class.

\subsection*{5.5.1 Possession of consumed items}

The possession of any item that has been, is being, or will be consumed by mouth is treated as alienable possession and is expressed using the 'consumed' indirect possessor-indexing host ge-. Anything consumed by mouth is treated in this way, including food, drink, and tobacco:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \text { ge-ğu bia are }  \tag{5.34}\\
\text { CNSM-1SGP beer thosen } \\
\text { 'those beers of mine' } \\
\text { b. ge-na viri havi } \\
\text { CNSM-3SGP tobacco PN } \\
\text { 'Havi's tobacco' }
\end{array}
\]
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c. \(\bar{g}-e\) la fa manemane=ri ira ge-mai teğe NT-3s go CS be.very.happy=3pLO thepl CNSM-IEXCP turtle 'We are very happy about our turtles
ta mala n̄hau ia mavitu
SBD PURP eat theSG community for the community to eat.'

Items that may in some forms not be consumed by mouth are marked with the 'consumed' host when in a form that is consumed by mouth. For example, medicine is possessed using ge- if it is to be taken orally, but not otherwise:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { mereseni ine ge- } \bar{g} u \quad \text { mereseni ara }  \tag{5.35}\\
& \text { medicine thisR CNSM-1SGP medicine I I } \\
& \text { 'This medicine is my medicine (to eat or drink).' }
\end{align*}
\]

Other items not typically consumed are possessed using ge- if for some reason they are being consumed. Thus pepa 'paper' is not normally thought of as consumed, but when used for rolling cigarettes is possessed using ge-:
```

ge-g
CNSM-1SGP paper
'my paper (for rolling cigarettes)'

```

\subsection*{5.5.2 Non-consumed indirect possession}

\subsection*{5.5.2.1 Alienably possessed kin}

As indicated in §5.4.1.1, some kinship terms are treated as inalienably possessed. Others are normally treated as participants in an alienable possessive relationship. With the exception of the culturally salient relationships discussed in \(\S 5.4 .1 .1\), kin terms referring to older relatives are treated as alienably possessed. This includes those occupying positions in previous generations (parents, grandparents) and older siblings. In-laws other than those in the culturally salient relationships discussed above are also alienably possessed, as is gorotati 'family'. Alienable kin terms include:
(5.37) a. ido 'mother'
d. vave 'in-law'
b. mama 'father'
e. gorotati 'family'
c. kaka 'grandparent'

Possession of these kin terms can only be expressed by means of the general indirect possession host, and cannot take direct suffixing.
(5.38) a. nafu=na no-ğ \(u \quad\) mama
base=3SGP GENP-1SGP father
'because of my father'
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
b. no-na \(\quad\) gorotati & zemesi \\
GENP-3sGP family & PN \\
'James's family' &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.5.2.2 Other alienably possessed items}

The general possessor-indexing host no- marks possession of ordinary physical objects:
(5.39) a. ara a fa-kran̄o=ri fea no-ğ \(u\) pohe ide

1 IEXCS CS-be.dry=3PLO NIT GENP-ISGP clothing theser 'I will dry my clothes first.'
b. a frinhe ara ka no-ğu tesenine

1EXCS work I LOC GENP-1SGP plantation 'I will work in my plantation.'

This applies equally to non-physical alienably possessed items:
(5.40) tana n-e-ke toke ira no-na naitu manei then RL-3s-PFV arrive thePL GENP-3SGP devil he 'Then this man's devil arrived.'

The general host is used with any other possessed item including intangible items that are not actually owned:
(5.41) hae bo palu wiki e toke=i where CNT two week 3 s arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) 'I think that we return two weeks before
ia no-mai nare mala frin̄he tañano
thesG GENP-1EXCP day PURP work food the day for making food.'

\subsection*{5.6 Semantic bases of possessive categories}

Aside from the handful of obligatorily inalienably possessed nouns discused in §5.4.2, the three possessor indexing strategies do not correspond to syntactic categories of nouns. Instead, coding strategy choice is dependent on the semantics of the relationship between possessor and possessum.

\subsection*{5.6.1 Variability in possessor-indexing choice}

Nouns normally treated as in an alienable relationship with a possessor may in certain contexts be marked with direct possessor-indexing. In (5.27) and (5.28)
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above, normally alienable nouns like mane 'man' and mandatau 'chief' may be treated as being in an inalienable possessive relationship with a location, and in that context alone marked accordingly. The corollary is equally true: nouns that typically occur with direct possessor-indexing may occur with indirect possessor-indexing in an appropriate context. For example, nene 'leg', being a body part, is typically inalienably possessed:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { nene }=\overline{\boldsymbol{g}} \boldsymbol{u}=\text { ine }  \tag{5.42}\\
& \text { leg }=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thisR } \\
& \text { 'my leg (of my body)' }
\end{align*}
\]

However, if the leg is a chicken leg that the speaker intends to eat, it would be alienably possessed with the 'consumed' host ge-. Alternatively, if a table has been dismantled and several people will take the legs to use for timber, a speaker may refer to the leg they will take with the general indirect host no-:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
a. \begin{tabular}{l} 
ge \(-\bar{g} u \quad\) nene \\
CNSM-1SGP leg \\
'my \\
'my leg (to eat)'
\end{tabular} &
\end{tabular}
b. no- \(\bar{g} u\) nene ine
GENP-1SGP leg thisR 'my leg (as a general object)'

This variation in possessor-indexing suggests that the three formal possessorindexing strategies do not apply to syntactic classes of nouns, but represent kinds of relationships. Some nouns, because of their semantics, are normally regarded as being in an inalienable relationship with a possessor, and consequently typically occur in the direct indexing construction. The referents of others are normally regarded as being in a consumed or non-consumed alienable possessive relationship and so typically occur with the appropriate indirect possessor-indexing strategy. However, nouns with each semantically motivated tendency may occur with any of the other indexing strategies in the appropriate context. The possession in Kokota therefore does not involve syntactic classes of nouns. Instead the determining criteria are semantic.

\subsection*{5.6.2 Systematic variation between possessor-indexing strategies}

The semantics of some nouns mean that they occur systematically and commonly in more than one of the possessor-indexing constructions.

\subsection*{5.6.2.1 Consumed and general indirect possessive variation}

Enitities that are normally regarded both as an ordinary possession, and also as being edible or drinkable, routinely occur with either indirect host. For example, potentially consumed possessions such as zora 'pig' (both the live animal and its meat) and koilo 'coconut' (both the tree and its fruit) are treated as in a consumed or general alienable possessive relationship, depending on how the speaker is regarding them.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (5.44) a & \(n o-\bar{g} u\) GENP-1SGP 'my pig (as & \begin{tabular}{l}
zora \(=n a\) \\
pig=that \\
livestock)'
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& a r a \\
& \text { I }
\end{aligned}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(g e-\bar{g} u\) \\
GENP-1SGP \\
'my pork (to
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(z o r a=n a\) \\
pig=that N \\
o eat)'
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ara \\
I
\end{tabular} \\
\hline c. & no-mi GENP-2PLP 'your cocon & koilo coconut uts (to sell) & are thosen & d. & ge-mi GENP-2PLP 'your cocon & koilo coconut uts (to eat & are thosen or drink) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{5.6.2.2 Direct and indirect possessive variation}

\subsection*{5.6.2.2.1 Intrinsic characteristics possessable by others}

Some items may be regarded as being an intrinsic characteristic of one entity, while at the same time may be in an alienable possessive relationship with a different entity. Such items may be possessed inalienably or alienably, depending on which possessor is being referred to. This includes physical objects such as pictures and photographs. Possession by the subject of the picture is treated as inalienable possession, regardless of who owns the picture, as in (5.45)a., because the picture is the image of the subject and therefore treated as an intrinsic characteristic. On the other hand an individual who is the owner of the picture as a physical object, but who is not necessarily the subject, as in (5.45)b. is realized as an alienable possessor. The same is true of books, where the book is inalienably possessed by the entity whose story it tells, but is alienably possessed by its physical owner ([5.45]c.-d.).
a. totogale \(=\bar{g} u\) ara ine
picture \(=1 \mathrm{SGP} \quad \mathrm{I}\) thisR
'this photo of me (that I may or may not own)'
b. no- \(\bar{g} u\) totogale ara ine

GENP-1SGP picture I thisR
'this photo I own (that may or may not be of me)'
c. buka=na tikilave an-lau ginai frinhe=di bla manei book=3SGP PN thatN-SPC FUT work=3PLO LMT he "'Book of Tikilave", that's what he'll make,'
d. ide-hi n-e-ke no- \(\bar{g} u \quad\) buka ara
theser-EMPH RL-3S-PFV GENP-1SGP book I
'These used to be my books.'
Items that are not physical objects but are the intrinsic characteristic of the possessor, and are possessable by other individuals, demonstrate the same variability. These include histori 'history', fakasai 'history', and tuturi 'story':
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(5.46) a. ginai age g\(o n u\) ia histori=na nau=ne

FUT go not.know thes history=3SGP place=thisR 'The history of this place will be forgotten.'
b. a-hi-la no-ğ histori=na
thisT-EMPH-SPC GENP-1SGP history=3SGP 'This is my history [of it].'

Both refer to the history of a place. In (5.46)a. the possessor is the location, so its history is an intrinsic characteristic and the relationship is inalienable. In (5.46)b. the possessor is a person claiming custom ownership of the history. \({ }^{40}\) This possessor is in an alienable relationship. Here histori is also inalienably possessed by the unstated place the history applies to. (Note also this variability with the loans histori and buka indicates the productivity of the phenomenon.)

Similarly, the spirit that causes an illness and the medicine that treats it are treated as inalienably possessed intrinsic characteristics of the illness. However, both may be possessed by individuals in an alienable relationshíp.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
a. \(\bar{g}\)-e-la are \(\quad e=u \quad\) bla & naitu \(=d i \quad\) foğra \\
NT-3S-go thosen \(3 s=\) be.thus & LMT & devil=3PLP sick \\
'That's all about sickness devils.'
\end{tabular}
b. tana n-e-ke toke ira no-na naitu manei then RL-3S-PFV reach thePL GENP-3SGP devil he 'Then his devils came.'
c. tahe la=ri bl=ago keha foğra aro
say go \(=3\) PLO LMT=youSG NSP sick theseT
'Just tell some sicknesses
mereseni \(\bar{g} a z u \quad h e=b a \quad\) mereseni \(i=d i=r e\)
medicine wood who \(=\) ALT medicine \(=3\) PLP \(=\) thoseN and whatever medicine trees are their medicines.'
d. teo \(\bar{g}\)-e-ge surai gato \(=r i\) bla gai
not.exist NT-3S=CNT ?? think=3PLO LMT weEXC
'So we don't much think about
ira no-mai mereseni kastom gai
thePL GENP-1EXCP medicine custom weEXC our custom medicines.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{40}\) Histories demonstrate knowledge of a place and are evidence of land ownership.
}

\subsection*{5.6.2.2.2 Intrinsic ways and temporary plans}

Puhi 'way' usually refers to behavior characteristic of, or associated with, an entity (see §5.4.1.6), so is direct possessed.
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { puhi=na=na } & \text { kastom=na } & \text { ka } & \text { gai } & \text { tifaro }  \tag{5.48}\\
\text { way=3SGP=thatN } & \text { custom=thatN } & \text { LOC } & \text { weINC } & \text { before } \\
\text { 'the way of our custom before' } & & &
\end{array}
\]

However, if the 'way' is a means of doing something that applies to a particular situation and is one of a number of possible ways, it may be treated as alienably possessed. In (5.49) there has been a dispute about how a game should be played. One participant in the dispute then concedes.
ehe keli bo ka=ira no-u puhi ago vave
yes good CNT LOC=thePL GENP-2SGP way youSG in.law
'Yes, alright, in your way, in-law.'

It is not known whether this applies to the other 'way' terms zazaho and hana.

\subsection*{5.6.2 2. 3 Possession of children}

The term \(t u\) - 'child' refers to offspring, and must be inalienably possessed by the parents. The term suli refers to children in general, and may be alienably or inalienably possessed. Suli is alienably possessed by someone other than the child's parents, as in (5.50)a., where the speaker is a teacher; but inalienably possessed by those for whom the child is an offspring, as in (5.50)b.:
(5.50) a. are no- \(\overline{\mathrm{g}} u\) suli ara
thosen GENP-1SGP child I
'Those are my children [i.e., students].'
b. ...e au... \(k a\) sikolu=ne ka suli=da gita

3s exist LOC school=thisR LOC child \(=1\) INCP weINC
'...they can stay in the school for our children.'
In (5.50)b. the speaker and the addressee are members of the same village community, and the inclusive 'we' refers to the whole village community, rather than the speaker and addressee specifically. Consequently, although suli here refers to the children of the village in general, rather than any specific children, it nonetheless focuses on them as offspring.
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\subsection*{5.6.2.2.4 Multiple possessor-indexing}

The potential for nominals to be possessed alienably or inalienably, depending on the semantics of the possessive relationship, creates the potential for dual possessor-indexing, with both the owner of an object and the entity for which the object represents an intrinsic characteristic to be expressed simultaneously:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
g u \quad t u-t u r i=n a \quad k a & \text { ara noğu } \quad \text { mereseni }=n a \quad \text { mheke }  \tag{5.51}\\
\text { be.thus } & \text { RD tell=thatN } & \text { LOC I GENP-1SGP } & \text { medicine }=3 \mathrm{SGP} \text { dog } \\
\text { 'So, my story is my medicine for dogs.' }
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{5.6.3 Indexing variation without apparent contextual variation}

Some nouns are routinely treated as either alienably or inalienably possessed, with no apparent contextual difference. The basis for the choice is unclear.

\subsection*{5.6.3.1 Variable possession in human relationships}

A number of human relationships seeem to be regarded as potentially alienable or inalienable. One such term is nakrupe 'wife'. This term is distinct from nafe 'spouse', which is inalienably possessed. Both indirect and direct possessive constructions occur involving nakrupe in apparent free variation. Although both possessive constructions are possible, the form is typically treated as inalienably possessed and only rarely as alienably possessed.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. ia nakrupe }=\bar{g} u \text { ara n-e mai }  \tag{5.52}\\
& \text { thesG wife }=1 \text { SGP I RL-3S come } \\
& \text { 'My wife is coming.' } \\
& \text { b. nakodou ana no-ğ nakrupe ara } \\
& \text { woman thatN GENP-ISGP wife I } \\
& \text { 'That woman is my wife.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{5.6.3.2 Non-intrinsic characteristics}

A number of nominals occurring in both indirect and direct constructions have a particularly close relationship with their possessor, to the extent that they may be regarded as characteristics of the possessor. However, these characteristics are temporary or non-intrinsic in some other way. These nominals are treated as either alienably or inalienably possessed, with, to varying extents, a statistical tendency towards direct indexing. These non-intrinsic characteristics include gagato 'thought', foğra 'sickness', nau 'place', suğa 'house', vetula 'law', velepuhi 'religion', and kastom 'custom'.
(5.53) a. ara ka ga gato=ḡи=re gita ginai korho namhari...

I LOC RD~think=1SGP=thoseN weINC FUT pull fish 'I think we will catch fish [tomorrow].'
b. \(k a \operatorname{no-g} u \quad g a \sim g a t o ~ a r a ~ g e ~ n e-k e ~ f r i n ̄ h e ~=i=\bar{n} a . .\).

LOC GENP-1SGP RD-think I SEQ RL-PRF work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=1 \mathrm{MM}\) 'I thought to do [that].'
c. ka la au fufunu foḡra=na manei...

LOC go exist begin besick \(=3\) SGP he 'at that starting to get sick of his...'
d. no- \(\bar{g} u\) fog̈ra ara n-e-ke-ge keli

GENP-1SGP sick I RL-3S-PFV-PRS be.good 'My sickness has got better.'
e. kaike letasi fufunu mai=na ka nau=g \(u\)
one letter begin come-that N LOC place \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}\) 'a letter from my home'
f. ara=ña no- \(\bar{g} u \quad\) nau \(=\) ro \(\quad\) si \(=\) aro
\(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{IMM} \quad\) GENP-ISGP place=thoseNV FOC=theseT
'They're my home.'
g. ka ta la mai=o

LOC SBD go come=thatNV
ia vetula=na=na ia gavana..
thesG law \(=3\) SGP=that N thesG government 'When the law of the Government came...
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text {...ho hogo=na } & \text { blau } & \bar{g}-e=u \\
\text { RD be.true=thatN } & \text { LMT } & \text { NT-3s-be.thus }
\end{array}
\]
ira no-na vetula ḡavana
thePL GENP-3SGP law government
...the laws of the Government are true.'
There is no discernable systematicity to the distribution of indirect versus direct possession with these nominals. In \((5.53) \mathrm{g}\). the two examples were produced by a single speaker only a few clauses apart in a single text. It should be noted that while these nominals are treated as inalienably possessed, far more commonly than alienably, they in fact typically occur without reference to any possessor or possessive relationship at all, especially fog\(r a\) 'sickness' and nau 'place'.
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\subsection*{5.7 Pseudo-locative possession}

So far, the discussion of possession has dealt with head-marking strategies for indexing the possessor on the possessum. However, these are not the only ways of expressing possession in Kokota. The possessive relationship may also be expressed by realizing the possessor as an adnominal locative adjunct, with no indexing on the possessum. As with adverbial locative adjuncts, there is a formal distinction between prepositional phrases and location names.

\subsection*{5.7.1 Pseudo-locative possession by prepositional phrase}

Prepositional phrases using the general locative preposition ka occur widely as adjuncts and adnominal modifiers, expressing a wide range of semantic relationships. The use of a PP embedded within a NP modifies the NP in a number of ways (see \(\S 3.3 .2 .2 .3 .1\) ). An additional function is to realize a relationship of possession. This is not strictly a possessive construction, but a locative construction in which the possessor is presented as a kind of metaphorical location of the possessum.


In indirect or direct possessive constructions the possessor is realized as a NP that is the complement of the possessor enclitic or suffixed host. With prepositional pseudo-locative possession the possessor is realized as an adjunct to the NP, as with any other non-subcategorized PP.

Prepositional pseudo-locative possession typically expresses possessive relationships that are regarded as alienable. This is evident in the alienable interpretation typically placed on relationships expressed in this way. A term such as totogale 'picture' may be alienably or inalienably possessed (see §5.6.2.2.1). When totogale is possessed inalienably the possessor is the subject of the picture (i.e., the image is an intrinsic characteristic of the possessor). When it is possessed alienably, the possessor is the owner of the physical object, and may or may not be the subject of the image. If the possessor is expressed as a PP, however, the only possible reading is that the possessor is the owner of the physical object. A prepositional possessor cannot also be the subject of the picture. This is true of all the nouns discussed in \(\$ 5.6 .2 .2 .1\). Thus possession of a medicine may be expressed prepositionally but only if the possessor is the individual who owns the medicine, not the illness the medicine treats:
...ta ağe no-mai koko=di \(\quad n-a=u=g u\)
SBD go GENP-1EXCP leave=3PLO RL-lEXCS=be.thus=CNT '...that we've gone and left them behind,
ira mereseni ka tagi-mai gai nakoni zuzufra. thePL medicine LOC REFL-1EXCP weEXC person black the medicines of our own, we black people'

In keeping with this alienability criterion, nominals with referents that are typically inalienably possessed, body parts, do not normally occur with prepositional possessors, while items that are typically treated as alienable occur commonly in that construction, as (5.54) illustrates. This is true of all types of typically alienably possessed items, including alienable kin:
```

ia gorotati ka zemesi
thesG family LOC PN
'James's family'

```

However, the apparent free variation with many nominals, as described in §5.6.3, means that potentially inalienably possessed nominals occur with prepositional possessors. This includes some human relationships:
(5.57) a. mai fea nakrupe=o \(k=a r a . .\).
come inIT wife=thatnv LOC=1
'First my wife will come...'
b. suli=re ka dorisi ide-hi
child=thosen LOC PN theseR-EMPH
'Doris's children are these ones.'
It also occurs commonly expressing the possession of non-intrinsic characteristics such as those discussed in §5.6.3.2.
a. puhi=na=na kastom=na ka gai tifaro way \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatN custom=thatN LOC weINC before 'the way of that custom of ours before'
b. ga-gato are ka nakoni are

RD-think thoseN LOC person thoseN
'those thoughts of those people'
While prepositional pseudo-locative possession normally gives an alienable reading, nominalized verbs may occur with prepositional pseudo-locative possession, despite their normally direct treatment (compare, for example, [5.59]b. with [5.29]a.):
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(5.59) a. ia au ka gai
thesg exist loc weINC
'...our way of life
\(k a=i a \quad\) fufunu mai=na ia velepuhi
LOC=thesG begin come \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) thesG right.way when Christianity first came'
b. ara n-a dia-nanafa gu=na ia lehe ka manei

I RL-IEXCS be.bad-heart CNTX=3SGP thesG die LOC he 'I am sad because of his death.'

\subsection*{5.7.2 Pseudo-locative possession by location name}

Place names are inherently locations. When a common noun occurs as a locative adjunct it must occur within a prepositional phrase. Location names, however, function as such without the preposition. This is paralleled in pseudo-locative possession, While all other nominal types may occur as a possessor in the form of a prepositional adjunct, as discussed above, location names do so without a preposition.

> a. \(\quad e=u \quad\) mane ide kokota n-e-ke kulu tarai 3s=be.thus man theser PNLOC RL-3S-PFV be.first pray 'So these Kokota people were the first to start prayer.'

\subsection*{5.8 Zero marked possession within prepositional phrases}

In limited circumstances possession is expressed in a way that not only does not involve possessor-indexing on the possessum, but in which the possessor is not expressed as a prepositional pseudo-locative. This only occurs when the possessor is a pronoun or a personal name, and the possessum is itself a complement of the preposition \(k a\). The relationship may involve a location (other than a location name) with which the possessor is closely associated:
(5.61) a. ara mai ka suğ ine ago ba,

I come LOC house thisR yousg ALT 'Will I come to your house,
ago mai ka suğ \(a=0 \quad\) ara
yousG come LOC house=thatNV I
or will you come to my house?'
b. lao ka suğa zemesi
go LOC house James
'Go to James's house.'
c. ...ka=ia puhi bon̄ihehe ka gizu=na a-hi gai LOC=thesG way heathen LOC island=3SGPthisT-EMPH weINC
'...in the heathen time on this island of ours'
d. ...ia vetula=na gavana ka=ia \(\bar{g} i l u=n a n a u \quad\) gai
thesG law \(=35 G P\) government LOC=thesG in=3SGP place weexc
'...the Government's law inside our village'

Alternatively the possessum may be an intrinsic characteristic of the possessor:
(5.62) a. ka=ia kastom gai tana goi momoru e=ni...

LOC=thesG custom weINC then VOC turtle.net \(3 \mathrm{~s}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) 'In our custom, then, man!, momoru we call it...'
b. ti turi aro gita

RD-tell thosen wenc
'these stories of ours'

\subsection*{5.9 Possessor-indexed NP structure}

\subsection*{5.9.1 Heads and adjuncts in possessor-indexed NPs}

In NPs displaying possessor-indexing (as opposed to pseudo-locative possession) the head is the element of the phrase that carries possessor-indexing. The possessor is expressed as a NP complement of the possessor-indexing. Direct possession involves an enclitic attaching to the nominal core (see §3.3.1.4), whose head is the nominal expressing the possessum (see §3.3.1.3). In (5.63)a. possessor-indexing attaches to the phrasal head nañha- 'name'. In (5.63)b., where a post-head core modifier dou 'be big' occurs, the enclitic attaches to the modifier, but itself modifies the core head tomoko "war canoe'. Phrases of this kind are ordinary, common noun NPs.
(5.63) a. \(n a \bar{n} h a=n a\) nau=ne
name \(=3\) SGP place \(=\) thisR
'the name of this village'
b. tilo tomoko dou=di wistin three war.canoe be.big=3plpwestern 'three big war canoes of the westerners'

With indirect possession it is the possessor-indexing host that is the syntactic head, and the phrase is a \(\mathrm{NP}_{\text {poss }}\) (see §3.4.2). The actual possessum nominal is an adjunct specifying the exact nature of the possessed item. Thus in (5.64) the phrasal head is the general possessor-indexing host no-.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
no- \(\bar{g} u\) & suğa ara \\
GENP-1SGP & house I \\
'my house' &
\end{tabular}
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Possessor-indexing hosts typically occur with a specifying nominal like suğa in (5.64). However, this is an adjunct and does not occur if the reference is to possessed objects whose actual nature or identity is not important. The indexed host in this context is non-referential, as in (5.65).
ta mai au la gai ade, a-ke mai siko ginai
SBD come exist CND weINC here 1EXCS-PFV come steal FUT
'If we come and live here, we would come and steal
ka=ira ge-di no-di \(e=u\)
LOC=thePL CNSM-3PLP GENP-3PLP \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) bethus
from the food and things of
mane n-e-ke kusu au=de ade
man RL-3s-PFV be.first exist-theser here the people who already live here."

With both direct and indirect possessor-indexing, the possessor NP is a complement of the indexing morphology, but may be omitted if the identity of the possessor is recoverable from the discourse context:
a. nene \(=\bar{g} u=i n e\)
leg=1SGP=thisR
'my leg'
b. \(n o-\bar{g} u \quad s u \bar{g} a\)

GENP-1SGP house
'my house'

\subsection*{5.9.2 Possessor as complement}

While the possessum is the head of the NP itself, the possessor-indexing has its own argument structure, subcategorizing for a possessor argument. The embedded possessor NP is, therefore, the complement of the possessor-indexing. However, if the discourse precludes ambiguity the possessor need not be overtly realized. This may occur where the possessor is prominent in the discourse due to a recent overt mention, as in (5.67)a., or where it is unambiguously understood from the discourse context, as in (5.67)b.:


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{41}\) This name has been omitted for cultural reasons.
}
ka keha hobo- \(\bar{g} a z u=0 \quad k e h a \quad\) lholhoğ uai=na=o
LOC NSP branch-wood=thatNV NSP coil=3SGP=thatNV on a branch that coil of his,
ke la ade bo ke la nai keha lholhoğuai=na=o ade... PFV go here CNT PFV go put NSP coil=3SGP=thatNV here [he] went here, [he] went and put a coil of his here...'
b. n-a hod-i=Ø kaike letasi RL-1EXCS take-TR=3SGO one letter 'I have received a letter
fufunu mai=na ka nau=-̄̄и
begin come \(=\) CNT LOC place \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}\) from my home.'

The effect of the latter is that speaker and addressee possessors rarely have an overt pronominal mention.

\subsection*{5.9.3 Recursion}

Where a possessor is realized by a nominal that itself is possessed, a nested structure of recursive possessive phrases occurs. Possessor complements occur as a NP embedded in the main NP. This complement may in turn have a possessor expressed as an embedded NP. There are no restrictions on the kind of possessive construction that may be involved, including prepositional pseudolocative possession:

\footnotetext{
a. pamu=na=o zula-pamu=ana ka ago
pump \(=3\) SGP=thatNV lamp-pump=thatN LOC yousG
'the pump of that tilly lamp of yours'
}
b. ia suğa ka no-ğu ido
thesg house LOC GENP-ISGP mother
'your mother's house'
c. ia no-na suğa tamo=mu
theSG GENP-3SGP house younger.sibling \(=2 \mathrm{SGP}\)
'your brother's house'
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\subsection*{5.10 Predicative possession}

Possession may be expressed predicatively in two ways: by a nonverbal clause with the possessum as subject, or by a verb of possession, with the possessor as subject.

\subsection*{5.10.1 Nonverbal predicative possession}

Ownership may be expressed by a special possessive predicative construction with the possessum as subject and a nonverbal predicate consisting of the appropriate host indexed to the possessor. The possessor itself is expressed within the predicate:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { a. Keha } & \text { pile }=d i=r e \quad \text { no-na } & \text { bla } & \text { tagi-na }  \tag{5.69}\\
\text { NSP } & \text { side=3PLP=thoseN } & \text { GENP-3SGP } & \text { LMT } \\
\text { REFL-3SGP }
\end{array}
\]
'Some parts simply belong to him himself.'
b. mala- \(\bar{h} h a u\) are \(g e-\bar{g} u \quad\) ara

PURP-eat thosen CNSM-1SGP I
'That food is mine.'
Only alienable possession is expressible in this way. Verbless clauses are discussed in detail in §8.1.

\subsection*{5.10.2 Verbs of possession}

Possession may be expressed in a verbal construction involving verbs of possession. One verb, kuru 'possess' has only this function. Two further verbs express possession as one of their functions. These are the positive and negative existential verbs \(a u\) and teo.
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
a. & n-a \(\quad\) kuru \(\quad\) ga \(a\) gato \(=\bar{g} u\) & ara \\
RL-IEXCS possess & RD - think \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}\) & I \\
'I have my ideas.'
\end{tabular}
b. manei n-e au puhi ta dia
he RL-3S exist way SBD be.bad
'He has bad ways.'
c. manei n-e teo nehu dou he RL-3s not.exist nose be.big 'He does not have a big nose.'

These verbs of possession distinguish alienable and inalienable possessive relationships. The examples in (5.70) all express inalienable relationships.
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Alienable relationships are expressed in the same way except that the verb occurs with an object enclitic coreferentially indexing the possessor subject:
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { (5.71) a. } & \text { a } & \text { kuru } \overline{\mathbf{g}} \text { ai } & \text { gai } & \text { la } & \text { bla } \\ & \text { lexCS } & \text { possess=1EXCO } & \text { weexc } & \text { ?? LMT }\end{array}\)
'We have
kokolo=di foğra \(t=a u=a r e\)
class \(=3\) PLP sick \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) thosen
all those kinds of sicknesses.'
b. ara \(n\)-a au=nau kaike zuta-pamu

I RL-1EXCS exist=1SGO one lamp-pump
'I have one tilly lamp,'
c. gita da teo=gita faiba
weINC IINCS not.exist=11NCO boat
'We haven't got a boat.'
This middle voice construction is discussed in §6.1.3.2.

\subsection*{5.11 Possessive marking in the verb complex}

The general possessor-indexing host occurs inside the verb complex with adverbial functions. It may occur preverbally as a desiderative. Only the general host is permissible in this construction, even where the action involves consumption by mouth:
(5.72) a. mane=aro n-e no-di faroho=gai gai
man=thosen RL-3S GENP-3PLP smite \(=1 \mathrm{NCO}\) weINC
'Those men want to hit us.'
b. ara \(n-a \quad\) papara \(g u=n a \quad n-a \quad n o-\bar{g} u \quad \bar{n} h a u\)

1 RL-IEXCS suffer CNTX=3SGP RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP eat 'I'm suffering because I want to eat.'

The indexed host also occurs postverbally giving a sense of immediacy to the predication. In this construction both the general and 'consumed' hosts occur:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
a. \(n-e-g e\) & kusu la loga no- \(d i=u\) & bagovu \\
RL-3S-PRS & be.first go arrive GENP-3PLP=CNT & PNLOC \\
'They were the first to arrive at Bagovu.' &
\end{tabular}
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b. manei n-e n̈hau ge-na
he RL-3S eat CNSM-3SGP
'He is eating.'
Adverbial modification by possessor-indexing host is discussed in more detail in §7.5.4.3 and §7.6.1.

\section*{CHAPTER 6: ARGUMENT STRUCTURE}

\subsection*{6.1 Argument-indexing}

\subsection*{6.1.1 Argument role hierarchy}

The assignment of argument-indexing in the verb complex is driven by a hierarchy of semantic roles. At the extremes of this hierarchy are the prototypical actor and the prototypical undergoer.

At the bottom of the hierarchy is the macro-role 'undergoer'. This role reflects participants that undergo the event, i.e., they are acted upon in some way. As a result of the event they are affected by the action, they change in some way. This may involve a change of activity (theme) or of state (patient). To use the 'primitive operator' terminology of Role and Reference Grammar (Foley and Van Valin [1984]; Van Valin [1993]), undergoer covers the roles of all arguments that are CAUSE DO and CAUSE BE by the situation coded by the predication. These are \([-A]\) arguments.

The other end of the hierarchy is the macro-role 'actor', reflecting participants that carry out the event. These participants perform the action, either volitionally (agent) or non-volitionally (active theme); or cause it to happen, either volitionally (agent) or non-volitionally (force). In other words, actor covers the roles of all arguments that DO or CAUSE the situation coded by the predication. These are \([+\mathrm{A}]\) arguments.

A further category of argument, statives, are treated in Kokota in the same way as prototypical actors. Statives are participants that are in a state, but are not acted upon, and therefore do not undergo the predication (corresponding to unaccusative subjects). These arguments are not acted upon, so are not undergoers, and are therefore not \([-\mathrm{A}]\) arguments. Instead they are arguments that BE the situation coded by the predication.

Such arguments behave in the same way as actors. The highest position in the semantic hierarchy thus encompasses the semantic roles of all arguments that DO, CAUSE, or BE the situation coded by the predication. For the purposes of representing the fact that actors and statives behave in the same way, both will be referred to as \([+\mathrm{A}]\) arguments. It should be kept in mind, however, that this umbrella term covers statives as well as actual actors.

In between are a number of argument roles that neither DO, CAUSE, nor BE anything, nor do they have anything done to them that causes them to DO or BE anything. These are experiencers, instruments, and locatives.
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In experiencing an event no volition is involved, and a participant does not DO anything, or CAUSE anything to be done, or BE anything. Equally, however, that participant is not acted upon by another participant. Consequently experiencers are neither actors nor undergoers. However, the requirement that an experiencer has a sentient quality makes experiencer the most actor-like of the non-actor roles, and consequently the highest in the hierarchy below actor.

The role instrument applies to participants that are in a way the opposite of experiencers. While experiencers are not included in actor or undergoer because they neither carry out nor undergo an event, so don't have key characteristics of either, an instrument is not included in actor or undergoer because it has some defining characteristics of both: it acts upon another participant to cause it to do or be something (so has the characteristics of an actor), while at the same time is acted upon by another participant that causes it to do something (so has the characteristics of an undergoer). Having some characteristics of both, it is grouped with neither. However, since it does not have the sentience requirement of experiencers, it falls below experiencer in the hierarchy. The location of instrument below experiencer in the hierarchy is demonstrated by the fact that experiencers may be subjects, while instruments may not, as discussed below.

Like the terms 'actor' and 'undergoer', 'locative' here is an umbrella term, in this case covering semantic roles including temporal and spatial locative, goal, and source, which all behave in a similar way, and involve arguments that are the location associated with the primitive operators BE AT, CAUSE BE AT, and CAUSE NOT BE AT. Locatives do not do anything, or cause anything to be done, or be anything. Equally, they are not acted upon and caused to do or be anything by any other participant. Consequently locative also falls into neither the \([+A]\) category of actor/stative nor the \([-A]\) category of undergoer.

The semantic role hierarchy may be characterized as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ACTOR } \rightarrow \text { EXP } \rightarrow \text { INSTR } \rightarrow \text { LOC } \rightarrow \text { UNDERGOER }  \tag{6.1}\\
& \text { STATIVE }
\end{align*}
\]

Verbs do not subcategorize for the grammatical roles of their arguments. Instead the subcategorization frame dictates the semantic roles of arguments. In addition, verb subcategorizations dictate whether the arguments subcategorized are core arguments or obliques. In some instances an argument may be realized by a core or an oblique argument. In addition the optionality of arguments is represented in verbal subcategorization. This is all exemplified in §6.1.3.

\subsection*{6.1.2 Argument agreement indexing}

Argument agreement is assigned on the basis of the semantic role hierarchy. Two forms of agreement exist: preverbal agreement in the form of an argument-
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indexed modal/subject particle, and postverbal agreement. These superficially resemble subject and object-indexing respectively.

\subsection*{6.1.2.1 Preverbal agreement}

Preverbal modal/subject particles occur in two competing systems. In the standard system the modal/subject particle is indexed for the subcategorized argument with the semantic role highest on the semantic hierarchy given in (6.1). This system recognizes the categories of first person exclusive, first person inclusive, second person, and third person, but does not distinguish number. The forms (repeating Table 3.1) are:

TABLE 6.1. PREVERBAL ARGUMENT AGREEMENT
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
IEXC & \(\operatorname{IINC}\) & 2 & 3 \\
\(a\) & \(d a\) & \(o\) & \(e\)
\end{tabular}

With the exception of the first inclusive category, these preverbal particles are immediately preceded by one of a set of modal markers (one of which is realized as zero). First inclusive particles typically make no modal distinctions.

In the competing modal particle system, discussed in detail in \(\S 7.5 .2 .5\), person categories are not distinguished. As no arguments are indexed in this system, it does not form part of the agreement system.

\subsection*{6.1.2.2 Postverbal agreement}

Postverbal agreement recognizes the same person categories as preverbal indexing: first exclusive, first inclusive, second, and third persons. In addition it also distinguishes between singular and plural in all but the first inclusive category (where no singular exists). This agreement takes the form of a series of indexed enclitics on the verb complex. The forms (repeating Table 3.2) are:

TABLE 6.2. POSTVERBAL ARGUMENT-INDEXING
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& leXC & INC & 2 & 3 \\
SG \(=a u \sim=n a u\) & - & \(=i g o \sim=n i g o\) & \(=i \sim=n i \sim \varnothing\) \\
PL. & \(=\bar{g} a i\) & \(=g i t a\) & \(=\bar{g} a u\) & \(=d i \sim=r i\)
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.1.2.2.1 Postverbal agreement allomorphy}

The third singular category includes a zero allomorph. This occurs solely in conjunction with the transitivizing suffix \(-i\), and is discussed further in \(\S 6.3 .2\).
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The remaining third singular forms, along with the first and second singular enclitics, involve an allomorph with the initial consonant \(/ \mathrm{n} /\), and an allomorph without the consonant. The distribution of these allomorphs is partially systematic and phonologically motivated: the / \(\mathrm{n} /\) initial forms occur when the host has a final vowel identical to the initial vowel of the enclitic (/i/ for 2SG and 3 SG , /a/ for 1 SG ). Verbs with other final vowels may occur with either allomorph; however, there is a tendency towards the non-/n/forms. The free variation of the allomorphs is demonstrated by the following two clauses, both produced by the same elderly speaker:
(6.2) a. ara-hi a turi tufa=nigo kaike tu-turi... I-EMPH lexCS tell affect \(=2\) SGO one RD \(-t e l l\) 'I'm going to tell you a story...'
b. ara a-ke turi tufa=igo ago kaike tu-turi...

1 lexcs-pFV tell affect \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) yousG one RD -tell
'I'm going to tell you a story...'
Tables \(6.3,6.4\), and 6.5 illustrate the third singular enclitic allomorphy in action.
The third plural allomorphy also appears to be partly phonologically motivated, or derived from a previous system that was phonologically motivated. Many verbs occur with either allomorph; however, verbs that are /i/ final tend to occur with the \(=r i\) form, as do /e/ final verbs to a lesser extent. Verbs with other final vowels freely occur with either form. One speaker expressed the view that \(=d i\) was the true Kokota form, while \(=r i\) was a borrowing from the neighboring Zabana language. Possible supporting evidence for this is that there is an apparent tendency for speakers to give \(=d i\) in elicitation. Whatever the origins, aside from the partial phonological motivation, synchronic distribution is in free variation. The following two examples were produced by the same speaker only a few clauses apart:
(6.3) a. \(\bar{g}-e\) la naboto-u ba, varedake-u ba, tulufulu teğe NT-3s go ten-NMLZ ALT twenty-NMLZ ALT thirty turtle '(It) might be ten, might be twenty, thirty turtles
```

ta la hod-i=di=re gai
SBD go take-TR=3PLO=thoseN weEXC

``` that we take.'
b. kaike hod-i=ri gudu bla...
one take-TR=3PLO EXHST LMT
'We take them all...'

\subsection*{6.1.2.2.2 Postverbal agreement as clitic}

The postverbal agreement markers cliticize to the core of the verb complex. Verbal predicates may consist of a single verb or a number of verbs in a serial construction (see \(\S 6.5\).) Where a single verb is present any postverbal agreement marker present is cliticized to that verb. Where a serial construction is marked for agreement postverbally, it is the final element that carries the agreement marker, whether or not that is the root the marking applies to. No agreement marking is possible within the verb complex core.

It is a feature of serial verb constructions that certain verbs may occur seriesfinally modifying the head verb. In the following example, the verb mai 'come' is modifying the verb hoda 'take' to give the meaning 'bring':
(6.4) \(a\) la hoda mai=ni=u ia rarota dou 2 s go take come \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) thesg pot SBD be.big 'Go [and] bring the big pot.'

The directional verb mai does not itself subcategorize for an argument other than agent or active theme. The undergoer in the clause is subcategorized by hoda 'take'. However, it is the final element of the verb complex, mai, that carries the postverbal agreement indexing, not the verb that subcategorizes for the indexed argument. Furthermore, the non-final element occurs in its intransitive form (hoda, instead of its transitivized counterpart hod-i).

When more than one bivalent verb occurs in a series, and all subcategorize for the same complement, the complex predication subcategorizes for that one complement. Agreement indexing cliticizes to only the final verb, but indexes an argument subcategorized by each of the verbs. In (6.5) both zikra 'pour out' and koko 'leave' subcategorize for a theme. The participant filling this role is identical for each. The complex predication subcategorizes for only that undergoer, and that argument is indexed only on the final of the two verbs:
ta moita la raisi ana,
SBD be.cooked CND rice thatN
'If the rice is cooked,
zikra koko \(=n i \quad\) bakru \(=n a=n a\)
pour.out leave \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) liquid \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that
pour away its liquid.'

However, when several bivalent verbs subcategorize for different complements the complex predication subcategorizes for all the arguments. The complement of the final verb in the series is indexed by the enclitic, complements of nonfinal verbs occurring as subsequent, unindexed, complements:
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(6.6) toka fa-nhigo tufa=nau \(\bar{g} a z u\) ine chop CS-be.finished affect \(=1\) SGO wood thisR 'Finish chopping this wood for me.'

The first and second verbs subcategorize for a patient, and the clause contains an overt patient. However, the final verb, tufa 'affect', subcategorizes for a goal (in this instance as a benefactive). The argument that is indexed postverbally is not the patient subcategorized by the head and overtly realized in the clause, but the goal subcategorized by tufa.

The clitic status of the postverbal agreement forms can be most clearly seen when postverbal adverbial forms are also present. In (6.7)a. possessor-indexing host (no-gu 'my') occurs with an adverbial function (the adverbial functions of possessor forms are discussed in §7.5.4.3 and §7.6.1). With its adverbial function this modifier occurs within the verb complex core. As this modifier is therefore the final element of the verb complex core in (6.7)a., postverbal agreement indexing is cliticized to that form, and not to the verb itself. In (6.7)b. the modifier fakamo 'always' occurs, again finally in the verb complex core. Again the agreement enclitic attaches to that core-final element, not the verb. In (6.7)b, however, a further postverbal modifier occurs-the continuous aspect marker \(=u\). As this is a non-core modifier, it follows the agreement enclitic:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { a. ara } n-a \quad \text { dupa } & n o-\bar{g} u=n i & \text { mane ine }  \tag{6.7}\\
\text { I RL-1EXCS punch } & \text { GENP-1SGP=3SCO } & \text { man } & \text { thisR } \\
\text { 'I'm hitting this man.' } & &
\end{array}
\]
b. ge \(e\) teo ge lao ge hoda fakamo=i=u gai... SEQ 3S notexist NT go SEQ take always \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) weexC 'We don't always go and take turtles...'

\subsection*{6.1.3 The assignment of agreement}

The agreement indexed by both the preverbal and postverbal agreement markers is assigned in a unified manner following the semantic role hierarchy discussed above. This assignment is driven by an interface between the semantic role hierarchy and the subcategorization frames of individual verbs, with three constraints:
a. Agreement may only index a core argument.
b. Preverbal agreement is indexed to the subcategorized argument with the semantic role that is highest in the hierarchy.
c. Postverbal agreement is indexed to the subcategorized argument with the semantic role that is highest in the hierarchy below [ +A ] (i.e., below actor/stative).

This drives the assignment of agreement in the following way. If a verb subcategorizes for a single argument, preverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest semantic role, finds the sole subcategorized argument, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest role lower than actor. If the sole argument subcategorized conforms to that constraint, then it is also indexed by the postverbal agreement, giving coreferential agreement. If that argument is an actor, then postverbal agreement is blocked from mapping on to it. Postverbal agreement then looks for the next highest argument, but with no other arguments being subcategorized, postverbal agreement then exhausts (i.e., is not assigned and thus not realized in the clause).

If a verb subcategorizes for more than one argument, preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest role below actor, and maps on to it. If that argument is realized as an oblique, then the agreement is blocked from mapping on to it, and looks for the subcategorized argument with the next highest role. If no further argument is present then that agreement exhausts. If a further argument is subcategorized, postverbal agreement maps on to that, unless it too is an oblique. This process is carried out until the agreement is assigned, or no further arguments are available to be mapped on to and the agreement exhausts. If on the other hand postverbal agreement is assigned, and there is a further argument lower in the hierarchy, then that argument is realized without any agreement being marked, no agreement being left unassigned and free to index that argument.

\subsection*{6.1.3.1 Agreement assignment mapping}

To expand on the discussion of the assignment of argument agreement above, we can consider initially the interface of the semantic role hierarchy with the verbal subcategorization frames of stative verbs.

\subsection*{6.1.3.1.1 Monovalent verbs}

A stative verb such as dou 'be big' is monovalent, subcategorizing for a single argument with the semantic role stative, subcategorized as a core argument. The subcategorization frame is \(\left\langle\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\). The production of a clause with only this verb involves preverbal agreement looking for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role in the hierarchy. This agreement finds the argument subcategorized as stative, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument that is highest in the role hierarchy below [ +A ] (i.e., actor/stative). No such argument exists in the subcategorization frame, so postverbal agreement finds no argument to map on to and consequently exhausts. In other words the absence of a suitable argument on which to map prevents any postverbal agreement from being realized. This generates a clause such as the following:
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\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { suğa ine n-e dou }  \tag{6.9}\\
& \text { house thisR RL-3s be.big } \\
& \text { 'This house is big.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Here preverbal agreement is indexed to the stative, while no postverbal agreement is present. A similar result is reached with monovalent active verbs. A verb such as kota 'go ashore' subcategorizes for a single argument that is an agent and is a core argument. The subcategorization frame is \(\left\langle A G_{C R}\right\rangle\). Preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role, and finds and maps on to the agent. Postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest semantic role below [ +A ], finds no such argument, is unable to map on to anything, and so exhausts, giving a clause such as the following:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara n-a kota }  \tag{6.10}\\
& \text { I RL-lexcs go.ashore } \\
& \text { 'I am going ashore.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{6.1.3.1.2 Bivalent verbs}

Many bivalent verbs subcategorize for two core arguments, one of which is agent and the other patient. For such verbs, both preverbal and postverbal agreement are realized. Dupa 'punch' has the subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}\) \(\mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). Here preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role, and finds and maps on to the agent. Postverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role below [ +A ], finds patient, and maps on to that. Both preverbal and postverbal agreement are satisfied and thus realized, generating a clause such as the following:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(6.11) ara \(n-a\) & dupa \(=i \quad\) manei \\
& I RL-1EXCS & punch \(=3\) SGO he
\end{tabular}

Not all verbs that subcategorize for two arguments will generate clauses in which both preverbal and postverbal agreement are present. It may be that one of the arguments is optional. The verb \(\bar{n} h a u\) 'eat', for example, subcategorizes for an agent and a patient; however, the patient is optional. The subcategorization frame is \(\left\langle\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right)\right\rangle\). This is identical to the subcategorization for dupa, except that the patient is coded as optional. With this verb preverbal agreement will look for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role and find and map on to agent. Where the patient is realized, postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest role lower than [ +A ], and finds and maps on to patient, giving a clause such as:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara n-a n̆ha=ni g} a u s a \quad k a \text { maneri }  \tag{6.12}\\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS eat=3SGO betel nut LOC they } \\
& \text { 'I ate their betel nut.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Where the patient is not realized, postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest role below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), and finding no such argument, exhausts:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ge da turi=} \bar{n} a \text { gita, gita da kusu } \bar{n} h a u \quad \text { fea }  \tag{6.13}\\
& \text { SEQ IINCS tell=IMM weINC weINC } 1 \text { INCS } \\
& \text { 'Be.first eat } \\
& \text { 'Bere we talk we should eat.' }
\end{align*}
\]

For the verbs discussed above the only core argument below \([+\mathrm{A}]\) is patient. However, many bivalent verbs subcategorize for arguments with other semantic roles. The verb mhok-i 'sit on' is bivalent (derived by the transitivizing suffix from the intransitive root mhoko 'sit'). This verb subcategorizes for an agent and a spatial locative, both core arguments, and both obligatory. The subcategorization for mhok-i is \(\left\langle\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{SLOC}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\). Here the preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role, and finds and maps on to agent. Postverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest role below \([+A]\), finds spatial locative, and maps on to that. This generates clauses such as:
(6.14) \(n-a \quad m h o k-i=\emptyset \quad\) ara kaike ifra

RL-1EXCS sit-TR=3SGO I one mat
'I sit on one mat.'
Most verbs can cooccur with a spatial locative; however, few subcategorize for a participatory inner locative as mhok-i does. Clauses may include a locative, either temporal or spatial, but where that is not subcategorized, it is a circumstantial outer locative, and is realized by an oblique adjunct. As an adjunct, it may not be indexed. However, where a verb subcategorizes for an argument that may be an oblique, adjunct status does not block the assignment of agreement. Instead, it is the argument's oblique status that precludes indexing. Some verbs subcategorize for an argument as either core or oblique. In this situation several different constructions may be generated, as the basic emotion verbs fahega and dia-nanafa exemplify. These two stative verbs code the presence of an emotional state. Fahega codes the presence of a positive emotional state, and may be interpreted as 'be happy', 'be grateful', or any other positive emotional state. Dia-nanafa is a compound, literally 'be.bad-heart', which codes the presence of a negative emotional state. This verb may be interpreted as meaning 'be sad' or 'be sorry', but not 'be sorry for' (as in 'to pity'). It also does not realize the notion of 'anger' that, while treated as a negative emotion in English, is treated as an experienced sensation in Kokota (using the verb bula 'be angry', see §6.1.3.2.3).
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These two basic emotion verbs subcategorize for a stative (the person in the emotional state), and the source of the emotion. They both have the subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR}} / \mathrm{OBL}\right)>\). In this frame not only is source optional, but it may be realized either by a core argument, or by an oblique. The preverbal agreement looks for the highest subcategorized argument role and finds and maps on to the stative. The postverbal agreement looks for the highest subcategorized argument role below \([+\mathrm{A}]\). Where no source is realized that agreement exhausts:
(6.15) heve \(n * e=u\) ge n*o dia-nanafa=n̄a ago
what RL-3S=be.thus SEQ RL-2S be.bad-heart=IMM youSG
'Why are you sad?'
Where a source is present and is realized as an oblique, postverbal agreement finds the source but is blocked from mapping on to it by the constraint on noncore agreement, so exhausts:

> ara n-a fahega ka ago
> I RL-1EXCS be.happy LOC youSG
> 'I'm happy with you.'

Where a source is present but is realized by a core argument, postverbal agreement finds that and maps on to it:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara n-a fahega=nigo ago }  \tag{6.17}\\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS be.happy=2SGO yousG } \\
& \text { 'I'm happy with you.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{6.1.3.1.3 Trivalent verbs}

Several trivalent verbs exist. For example, tore 'request' has the subcategorization frame \(<A G_{C R}\left(T H M_{C R}\right)\left(G L L_{C R / O B L}\right)>\)

This verb is maximally trivalent, subcategorizing for an agent (the requester), a theme (the item that is requested), and a goal (the person to whom the request is directed). Both the theme and goal are optional. Consequently tore may occur in an intransitive predication:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara } n \text {-a tore }  \tag{6.18}\\
& \text { I RL-IEXCS ask } \\
& \text { 'I asked.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Here preverbal agreement looks for the highest argument present, finds the agent, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+A]\). No such argument exists so no postverbal agreement occurs. With
this verb both agent and theme must be realized by a core argument, while the goal may be realized by a core argument or an oblique. As both the theme and goal are optional, tore may occur in a transitive predication, with either as core argument complement. In (6.19)a. the theme is complement, in (6.19)b. the goal is. In both examples preverbal agreement maps on to the agent. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), and finds and maps on to the theme in (6.19)a., and the goal in (6.19)b.
(6.19) a. n-a tore \(=i\) kaike bia

RL-1EXCS ask \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) one beer
'I asked for one beer.'
b. n-a tore=i mane ana

RL-1EXCS ask \(=3\) SGO man that
'I asked that man.'
However, all three arguments may occur. When the goal is expressed as a core argument the semantic hierarchy dictates argument order. In pragmatically unmarked clauses arguments are expressed in the order in which they occur in the hierarchy. As goal falls under the LOC umbrella, it is higher than theme and must be expressed before it, ([6.20]a.). The reverse is ungrammatical ([6.20]b.).
(6.20) a. n-a tore \(=i\) mane ana kaike bia RL-1EXCS ask=3SGO man thatN one beer 'I asked that man for a beer.'
b. *n-a tore=i kaike bia mane ana 'I asked that man for a beer.'

However, when the goal is expressed as an oblique different criteria apply. As discussed in §8.2.2, core arguments precede obliques in pragmatically unmarked clauses. Consequently, the grammaticality of the two argument order possibilities is the opposite of that in (6.20):
\(n-a \quad\) tore \(=i \quad\) kaike bia ka mane ana
RL-1EXCS ask=3SGO one beer LOC man thatN
'I asked for a beer from that man.'
b. *n-a tore=i mane ana ka kaike bia 'I asked that man for a beer.'

As only one complement may be indexed postverbally, when all three arguments are present one complement must remain unindexed. Goal is higher in the hierarchy than theme. Consequently in (6.20)a., where goal is realized as a core argument, postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below [+A], finds goal, and maps on to it. Although a core argument theme is also present, it is not indexed, as no indexing remains unassigned and available to map on to it.
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However, where the goal is realized as an oblique, as in (6.21)a., the indexing is assigned differently. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+A]\), and finds goal but is blocked from mapping on to it by the constraint on indexing non-core arguments. It then looks for the next highest argument, and finding theme, maps on to that.

\subsection*{6.1.3.2 Middle voice: coreferential indexing}

A number of classes of verbs have subcategorization frames that interact with the hierarchical assignment of agreement to generate clauses with coreferential indexing. These consist primarily of verbs that subcategorize for an experiencer These include verbs that code negative sensations, involuntary bodily actions, pleasure or displeasure, and ownership. It does not, however, include basic emotion verbs (as discussed above). In addition to experiencer verbs, one verb assigns agreement coreferentially to temporal locatives.

Coreferential assignment is a predictable result of the interface between the subcategorization frames of the verbs and the hierarchical process of agreement assignment. As discussed above, preverbal assignment is assigned to the argument subcategorized which has the highest semantic role in the hierarchy As most verbs subcategorize for a stative or an actor of some kind, preverbal agreement will be assigned to that argument for those verbs. Postverbal agreement is assigned to the subcategorized argument with the highest role in the hierarchy below \([+\mathrm{A}]\). Consequently that agreement will be assigned to any further core arguments, such as patient, that are present in the subcategorization frame. If no other arguments are present, the postverbal agreement finds nothing to map on to and exhausts.

However, where a verb has no \([+\mathrm{A}]\) argument, i.e., where its highest subcategorized argument is an argument lower in the hierarchy than [ +A ], that argument attracts both preverbal and postverbal agreement. If, for example, a verb has an experiencer as its highest subcategorized argument, that argument, being the highest in the hierarchy present, attracts preverbal agreement. Since that argument is also the highest argument present below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), it also attracts postverbal agreement. Any further arguments subcategorized by the verb, being still lower in the hierarchy, attract no agreement, because all possible agreement has already been assigned.

\subsection*{6.1.3.2.1 Involuntary bodily actions}

A class of verbs exists that code bodily actions that may be involuntary. The members of this class are:
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
(6.22) a. sune 'sniff' & e. sihe 'sneeze' \\
b. hekna 'hiccup' & f. hohoa 'yawn' \\
c. knaha & 'cough' & g. kala-hohoa 'burp' (lit. 'hair/leaf-yawn') \\
d. gloña 'choke' & &
\end{tabular}

Verbs in this class assign argument roles in two different ways, reflecting two separate perspectives on the nature of the event, and reflected in parallel subcategorization frames. The events coded by verbs in this class may be regarded as actions that the participant has control over. In this perspective the verb subcategorizes for an agent. Alternatively, and more typically, the events may be regarded as sensations experienced by the participant, in which case the verb subcategorizes for an experiencer.

Where verbs in this class are treated as an action, they have the subcategorization frame \(\left\langle\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\). With this subcategorization the resulting clause structure resembles that of most verbs with only one core argument. Preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role and finds and maps on to the agent. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+A]\), and finding no such argument, exhausts. This generates clauses such as:
(6.23) a. ago n-o hekna
yousG RL-2S hiccup
'You hiccup.'
b. n-e knaha manei

RL-3S cough he
'He's coughing.'
If these verbs are treated as an experienced sensation, they subcategorize for an experiencer, and an optional source of the experience: \(<\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right)>\). With this subcategorization preverbal agreement looks for the highest argument, finds experiencer, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest subcategorized argument below [ +A ], also finds experiencer, and also maps on to that. The result is coreferential preverbal and postverbal agreement indexing:
```

a. ago n-0 hekna=nigo
youSG RL-2S hiccup=2SOO
'You hiccup.'
b. ara n-a sihe=nau
I RL-1EXCS sneeze=1SGO
'I am sneezing.'

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{42}\) This is a reduced form of the now archaic hehekna.
}
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When a source is also present the same coreferential indexing occurs. Preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest role, and finds and maps on to experiencer. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+A]\), and also finds and maps on to experiencer, experiencer being higher in the hierarchy than source. The source, being a core argument, could potentially attract agreement, but does not because all possible agreement has already been assigned. The result is a clause with two core arguments, and both preverbal and postverbal agreement, but where both forms of agreement coreferentially index one argument, while the other remains unindexed:
a. ara n-a sihe=nau karipauda ana

I RL-1EXCS sneeze \(=1\) SGO curry powder thatN
'I am sneezing from that curry powder.'
b. manei n-e knaha=i kufu ine he RL-3s cough=3SGO smoke thisR 'He is coughing from this smoke.'

\subsection*{6.1.3.2.2 Verbs of illness}

A similar situation applies to verbs expressing degrees of illness:
a. foğra 'be/feel unwell'
b. fo fogrra 'be/feel a little bit unwell'

As the glosses suggest, the derived reduplicated form has a sense of a lesser degree of illness-not really sick, just a little unwell. As with the involuntary bodily action verbs, each has two argument structures. However, here the difference is between an experienced sensation and a state, rather than a volitional act. Both have one possible argument structure in which the participant is treated as being in a state of being unwell. With this sense the verbs have the subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). This gives clauses such as:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. ara n-a foğra }  \tag{6.27}\\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS sick } \\
& \text { 'I am sick.' } \\
& \text { b. ara n-a fo~fogra } \\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS RD~sick } \\
& \text { 'I am a little bit sick.' }
\end{align*}
\]

However, both verbs have an alternative subcategorization frame in which the verbs are treated as experienced sensations, rather than states: \(<\) EXPCR \(\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR} / \mathrm{OBL}}\right)>\). As with the involuntary bodily action verbs, preverbal
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agreement looks for the highest argument present, and finds and maps on to the experiencer. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest subcategorized argument below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), and also finds and so maps on to experiencer. Again the result is coreferential preverbal and postverbal agreement indexing:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. ara n-a } & \text { foğra=nau }  \tag{6.28}\\
\text { I RL-IEXCS } & \text { sick=1SGO } \\
\text { 'I feel sick.' } &
\end{array}
\]
b. ara \(n\)-a fo~fogra=nau
I RL-1EXCS RD~sick=1sGO
'I feel a little bit sick.'

The semantic distinction between the examples in (6.27) and the corresponding examples in (6.28) is captured by the use of am and feel in the free translations. As the subcategorization frame indicates, the source of the feeling of illness may be realized as an oblique argument. Such an argument does not attract agreement indexing due to the constraint on indexing non-core arguments:
```

ara n-a (fo)-fog}ra=nau ka mala-n̄hau ar
I RL-IEXCS RD sick=1SGO LOC PURP-eat thoseN
'I feel (a little bit) sick from that food.'

```

However, as with both the involuntary bodily action verbs, where the source is expressed as a core argument, the same coreferential indexing occurs, leaving the source unindexed. Preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest role, and finds and maps on to experiencer. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below [ +A ], and also finds and maps on to experiencer. The resulting clauses again have two core arguments, and both preverbal and postverbal agreement, but again both forms of agreement coreferentially index one argument, leaving the other unindexed:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara } n \text {-a (fo) foğra=nau mala-n̄hau are }  \tag{6,30}\\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS RD~sick=1SGO PURP-eat thosen } \\
& \text { 'I feel (a little bit) sick from that food.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{6.1.3.2.3 Negative sensory states}

A similar situation applies to a class of verbs that code certain negative sensory states, including:
a. marhi-
'feel pain'
b. bula- 'feel angry'
c. huñu-/humu- 'have heartburn'
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While the verbs in (6.22) are treated as potentially intentional acts, pain, anger, and heartburn are not treated as anything other than experienced sensations. Verbs in this class have the subcategorization frame \(\left\langle\operatorname{EXP}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR} / O B L}\right)>\right.\). When only the experiencer is present, preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest role in the hierarchy, finds experiencer, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest role lower than actor, also finds experiencer, and also maps on to that. This gives clauses such as the following:

b. \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) bula \(=i=\bar{n} a \quad\) manei

NT-3S feel.angry \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) he
'He was angry.'
c. ara \(n-a \quad h u \bar{n} u=n a u\)

I RL-1EXCS have.heartburn=1SGO
'I have heartburn.'

Here the coreferential indexing is the only possible outcome of the interface between the semantic role hierarchy and the subcategorization frames of these verbs. It is impossible for this interface to generate a clause without postverbal agreement, and such a clause would be ungrammatical:

> *ara na marhi 'I am in pain.'

Coreferentially indexing clauses do not represent reflexive constructions. Reflexive constructions require a subcategorization for patient or theme, and a realization of that argument by an overt reflexive form. To form a reflexive construction, the verbs in (6.31) require causative marking overriding the subcategorization frame of the verb, introducing an agent and requiring a patient. This patient may be realized by an overt reflexive argument, giving a reflexive construction that contrasts with the coreferential indexing in (6.32):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ara } n-a \quad \text { fa marhi=nau } & \text { tai- } \bar{g} u  \tag{6.34}\\
\text { I RL-1EXCS CS feel.pain=1SGO } & \text { REFL-1SGP } \\
\text { 'I have hurt myself.' }
\end{array}
\]

This parallels non-reflexive causative constructions:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (6.35) a. ara } n-a \quad \text { fa marhi=nigo ago } \\
& \text { I RL-1EXCS CS feel.pain=2SGO yousG } \\
& \text { 'I have hurt you.' }
\end{aligned}
\]
b. \(t=a u=a n a \quad\) n-e \(\quad\) fa bula=nigo ago SBD=exist=that \(\mathrm{RL}-1 E X C S\) CS feel.pain=2SGO youSG 'That is making you cross.'

As with the verbs of illness, the source of the pain, anger, or heartburn may be realized as an oblique argument that does not attract agreement indexing:
a. ago n-o marhi=nigo ka nene=mu=ana yousG RL-2s feel.pain=2SGO LOC leg \(=2 \mathrm{sGP}=\) that N 'You are in pain from that leg of yours.'
b. ago n-o bula=nigo ka manei baiu yousG RL-2S feel.angry= 2 SGO LOC he maybe 'Maybe you are angry with him.'
c. ara n-a hū̄u=nau ka maliri=na zora ana

I RL-1EXCS have.heartburn=1SGO LOC fat=3SGP pig thatN 'I have heartburn from that pig fat.'

However, as with both the involuntary bodily action verbs and the verbs of illness, where the source is expressed as a core argument the same coreferential indexing occurs, leaving the source unindexed:

> a. ago n-o marhi=nigo nene =mu=ana
> yousG RL-2S feel.pain=2SGO leg=2SGP-thatN
> 'You are in pain from that leg of yours."
b. ara \(n\)-a bula=nau \(\quad\) tu-turi are

I RL-1EXCS feel.angry=1SGO RD-tell thoseN
'I am angry about those stories.'
c. ara n-a hun̄u=nau maliri=na zora ana

I RL-1EXCS have.heartburn=1SGO fat=3sGP pig thatN 'I have heartburn from that pig fat.'

\subsection*{6.1.3.2.4 Verbs of possession}

A similar situation applies to a class of verbs of possession, but here both arguments are obligatory. This class consists of three verbs:
(6.38) a. kuru 'have'
b. \(a u\) 'exist'
c. teo 'not exist'
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Of these, only kuru occurs solely as a verb of possession. \(A u\) and teo occur primarily as existential verbs (positive and negative respectively-discussed in §6.5). With that function they are monovalent. However, they also occur with kuru as bivalent verbs of possession.

The realization of the relationship of possession by possessive verbs is treated in two different ways, depending on whether the possessive relationship is alienable or inalienable (see \(\S 5.10 .2\) ). Where the relationship is inalienable it is treated as a state. The possessor is a stative. Preverbal agreement looks for the highest argument, finds the stative, and maps on to that. Postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+A]\), which excludes the stative. For reasons that are at this stage not understood, the possessum complement, whatever its role may be, is not then mapped on to by postverbal agreement. It does not attract agreement at all. \({ }^{43}\) The result is clauses such as the following:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. n-a kuru ga~gato=g } u \quad \text { ara }  \tag{6.39}\\
& \text { RL-lEXCS own RD-think=1SGP I } \\
& \text { 'I have my ideas.' } \\
& \text { b. manei n-e au puhi ta dia } \\
& \text { he RL-3S exist way SBD be.bad } \\
& \text { 'He has bad ways.' } \\
& \text { c. manei n-e teo nehu dou } \\
& \text { he RL-3s not.exist nose be.big } \\
& \text { 'He doesn't have a big nose.' }
\end{align*}
\]

By contrast, where the relationship is alienable, it is treated as a phenomenon that is experienced by the possessor, rather than one in which the owner is in some way active or stative. The corollary to the coding of the owner as the experiencer of the ownership is the treatment of the thing owned as the source of the experience. The subcategorization frame of these verbs in alienable relationships is therefore \(<\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\).

Here preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role in the hierarchy, and finds and maps on to the experiencer. Postverbal agreement looks for the argument with the highest role below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), and also finds and maps on to experiencer. The source does not attract agreement since both forms of agreement are already assigned. Again this is the only possible result of the interface between the hierarchy and the subcategorization frame of these verbs. The result is clauses like the following:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{43}\) This could be because the possessed argument may be incorporated (see §6.4). If so, an alternative analysis could be that NP cores expressing an inalienably possessed entity are incorporated, while those expressing alienably possessed entities cannot be.
}
(6.40) a. a kuru=ğai gai la bla kokolo=di foğra \(t=a u=a r e\)
\(2 s\) own=1EXCOweEXC ?? LMT class=3PLP sick SBD=exist=thoseN 'We have all those kinds of sicknesses.'
b. ara \(n\)-a au=nau kaike zuta-pamu

1 RL-1EXCS exist=1SGO one lamp-pump 'I have one tilly lamp.'
c. gita da teo=gita faiba weINC 1 INCS not.exist \(=1\) inCO boat 'We haven't got a boat.'

Again the resulting structure involves bivalent verbs marking agreement twice, with both forms of agreement coreferential to one argument, with the second argument not indexed.

\subsection*{6.1.3.2.5 Verbs of pleasure and displeasure}

One further class of verbs has experiencer as its highest subcategorized argument. As discussed in \(\S 6.2\), one function of reduplication is to derive bivalent forms from monovalent, typically stative, verbs. This valencyaugmenting derivation applies to the stative verbs dia 'be bad' and keli 'be good', generating the forms didia- 'be displeased by' and kekeli- 'be pleased by'. These subcategorize for an experiencer and an optional source, both core arguments, with the subcategorization frame \(\left\langle\operatorname{EXP}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right)\right\rangle\). Again preverbal agreement looks for the subcategorized argument with the highest semantic role, and finds and maps on to experiencer. Again postverbal agreement looks for the highest argument below \([+\mathrm{A}]\), also finds experiencer, and also maps on to that. Where the source is not present this process results in clauses resembling those in (6.24) and (6.32):
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. n-a ke keli=nau }  \tag{6.41}\\
& \text { RL-1EXCS RD-be.good=1SGO } \\
& \text { 'I am pleased.' } \\
& \text { b. ara ginai a di-dia=nau } \\
& \text { I FUT 1EXCS RD-be.bad=1SGO } \\
& \text { 'I will be displeased.' }
\end{align*}
\]

If a source is present the same assignment of agreement occurs, coreferentially indexing the experiencer, with the source attracting no agreement, all possible agreement indexing having already been assigned. This gives clauses resembling those in (6.37) and (6.40):
```

(6.42)
a. n-a ke-keli=nau ara sote ine
RL-1EXCS RD~be.good=1SGO I shirt thisR
'I am pleased by this shirt.'
b. n-e $\quad d i \sim d i a=n i \quad t=a u=a n a$
RL-3S RD-be.bad=3SGO SBD=exist=that $N$
'He is displeased by that.'

```

The effect on valency of reduplication with these verbs is discussed in §6.3.1.2.

\subsection*{6.2 Permissible subject roles}

The grammatical relation 'subject' is limited to arguments with semantic roles at the top end of the semantic role hierarchy given in (6.1), the cut-off point falling between experiencer and instrument.

All argument roles encompassed by the macro-role actor (i.e., all arguments that CAUSE or DO the situation coded by the predication) are permissible subjects. This includes agents of both transitive and unergative predications (as in [6.43]a.-b.); active themes ([6.43]c.); and force ([6.43]d.):
a. ara n-a
dupa=i manei
I RL-1EXCS
punch=3SGO
he
'I hit him.'
b. ara n-a kota

1 RL-1EXCS go.ashore
'I am going ashore.'
c. ia koilo n-e zogu ka kokorako
thes coconut RL-3S drop LOC chicken
'The coconut fell on the chicken.'
d. ia dihunare \(n\)-e fa kokopo \(=i\) hore ana thesG rough.sea RL-3S CS capsize \(=3500\) dugout thatN 'The rough sea capsized that dugout canoe.'

In addition, statives (i.e., arguments that BE the situation coded by the predication) are permissible subjects:
(6.44) suğa ine n-e dou ğlehe house thisR RL-3s be.big very 'This house is very big.'

The highest position in the semantic role hierarchy in Kokota is occupied by all roles that CAUSE, DO, or BE the situation coded by the predication (see \(\S 6.1 .1\) ). This encompasses all roles exemplified as subjects in (6.43) and (6.44).

The next highest role is experiencer. This is also a permissible subject, as illustrated extensively in \(\S 6.1 .3\).2. It is possible to hypothesize that experiencers are permissible subjects as they share certain crucial semantic features with prototypical actors. It is inherent in the notion of experiencer that the participant be sentient. It may be argued that sentience carries with it an implicit capacity for volition. Experiencers are, therefore, inherently potential agents. Experiencer is, however, the lowest semantic role permissible as subject. The next lowest role, instrument, is not a permissible subject:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
*vilai ine \(\quad\) n-e \(\quad\) fa-lehe=i & kokorako ana \\
knife thisR RL-3s CS-die=3SGO & chicken & that \\
'This knife killed that chicken.' & &
\end{tabular}

It is clear from discussion with speakers that such clauses are unacceptable purely on semantic grounds-that the knife in (6.45) cannot kill the chicken because it cannot act on its own. Inanimate objects may be transitive subjects, but only as force, not as instrument. Thus the context of (6.46) dictates the clause's acceptability. The first reading is acceptable because the coconut is an active theme-it fell without being acted upon, and in doing so killed the chicken. The second reading is unacceptable because the coconut was being used as an instrument and was therefore being acted upon.
(6.46) a. koilo ine n-e fa-lehe \(=i\) kokorako ana coconut thisR RL-3S CS-die=3SGO chicken thatN 'This coconut killed that chicken.' [The coconut fell onto the chicken without being acted upon.] *[I clubbed the chicken with the coconut.]

All argument roles lower in the hierarchy are ineligible to be subject. Locatives are ineligible. A location name or a NP coding a physical or temporal location may be subject, but not with the semantic role of locative. Locations may function with other roles, including undergoer, in which case it is object. In (6.47) a location name is a theme ([6.47]a.) and a patient ([6.47]b.).
(6.47) a. ...tabar-i= \(\emptyset=u \quad\) manei banesokeo ana
buy \(-\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) he PNLOC thatN
'...he bought that Banesokeo.'
b. aria d-age nhura=i fitupoğи

IINC.IMP 1 NCS-go destroy=3SGO PNLOC
'Let's go and destroy Fitupogu!'
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At the other end of the semantic hierarchy, locations may function as statives. As discussed in \(\S 6.1 .1\), statives BE the situation coded by the predication and share highest position in the hierarchy. As such they are eligible to be subject:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { sisiğa n-e namo bla }  \tag{6.48}\\
& \text { PNLOC RL-3S be.near LMT } \\
& \text { 'Sisiga is simply nearby.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Locations with a semantic role encompassed by the macro-role LOC (such as locative, goal, source, etc.) are ineligible to be subject.

Undergoers (including theme and patient) occupy the lowest position in the hierarchy, and as such are also ineligible to be subject. A significant manifestation of this constraint is the complete absence of passive constructions.

\subsection*{6.3 Valency alteration}

Three derivational strategies exist that change the valency of a verb. One, reduplication, reduces the valency of a verb (with two partial exceptions). The other strategies, causative marking and a transitivizing suffix, augment valency.

\subsection*{6.3.1 Valency altering reduplication}

Reduplication has a general derivational function that in some cases changes word class, and in others does not (see §2.4.1.1). Relevant to transitivity is verb reduplication, affecting the valency of the verb. In a major functional subregularity, transitive verb roots are reduplicated to derive intransitive verbs, reduplication thereby reducing valency by one argument. With two semantically related forms, reduplication idiosyncratically derives a valency-augmented, bivalent form, although not a transitive but an experiencer verb.

\subsection*{6.3.1.1 Valency reducing reduplication}

Subregular valency altering reduplication reduces the valency of the underived form by deriving an unergative verb from a transitive root. However, a small number of forms display reduplication idiosyncratically, deriving an unaccusative form from a transitive root.

\subsection*{63.1.1.1 Unergative derivation}

Unergative valency reducing reduplication appears to be productive, to the extent that if a verb root is reduplicated, it will be interpreted as an unergative intransitive verb unless some other lexically specified meaning exists.

Unergative reduplicative derivation operates by removing the lowest subcategorized argument in the semantic hierarchy from the verb's argument structure. Since transitive verbs subcategorize for a \([+A]\) and a \([-A]\) argument, this effectively means that the \([-A]\) argument is removed from the subcategorization frame. For example, dupa 'punch', subcategorizes for an agent and a patient in the frame \(\left\langle\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\). The derived verb dudupa 'be punching' is an unergative verb with the frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\).
a. manei n-e-ke \(\quad\) dupa=nau ara
he \(\quad\) RL-3s-PFV punch=1SGO 1
'He punched me.'
b. manei n-e du~dupa bla
he RL-3S RD~punch LMT
'He was just punching.'
The same applies when the [-A] argument is a theme. Transitive gato 'think about s .th.' reduplicates to derive the unergative gagato 'think'. The underived frame is \(\left\langle A G_{C R} T H M_{C R}\right\rangle\), while the derived verb has the frame \(\left\langle A G_{C R}\right\rangle\).
```

(6.50) a. ara $n$-a gato =igo ago
I RL-1EXCS think=2SGO youSG
'I'm thinking about you.'
b. ara $n-a \quad g a \sim g a t o$
I RL-1EXCS RD-think
'I'm thinking.'

```

\subsection*{6.3.1.1.2 Unaccusative derivation}

A small number of transitive roots are reduplicated to derive intransitive verbs that are unaccusative. This appears to be idiosyncratic and lexically specified. As with unergative derivation, the effect of this operation is to reduce the valency of the root by removing one argument from its argument structure. However, unlike unergative derivation, it is the \([+A]\) argument, the agent, which is removed, not the [-A] undergoer. The remaining argument also undergoes modification of its semantic role. An undergoer in the underived frame, it becomes a stative in the derived frame. For example, the root lage 'castrate' subcategorizes for an agent and a patient with the frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). The derived lalage 'be castrated' is an unaccusative verb with the frame \(\left\langle\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\).
(6.51) a. manei n-e lağe=i zora ana
he \(\quad\) RL-3s castrate \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) pig that
'He castrated that pig.'
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b. zora ana n-e la lağe pig thatN RL-3S RD~castrate 'That pig is castrated.'

Unaccusative derivation only occurs where the underived undergoer is patient. The derived stative is then in the state resulting from the action coded by the transitive root. This state may be permanent, as in (6.51), but may equally be a temporary state. For example, hoti 'sting' ( \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) ) is derived as ho hoti 'be very sore and tender' ( \(\left.<\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\) ):
(6.52) a. kaike toi-kame ikoa n-e hoti=nau ka kame= \(\bar{g} u=i n e\) one cook-arm be.small RL-3S sting=1SGO LOC arm=1SGP=thisR 'A small centipede has stung me on my hand.'
b. kame \(=\bar{g} u=i n e \quad n-e \quad\) ho hoti g\(l e h e ~\)
arm=1SGP=thisR RL-3S RD~sting very
'My hand is very sore and tender.'

\subsection*{6.3.1.2 Valency augmenting reduplication}

Reduplicative derivation augmenting the valency of a verb occurs only with two semantically related roots: keli 'be good' and dia 'be bad'. These reduplicate to derive the bivalent verbs ke-keli- 'be pleased (by s.th.)' and di~dia- 'be displeased (by s.th.)'. Although the derived forms are bivalent, they are not transitive verbs but experiencer verbs, the second argument being the source of the experience. These experiencer verbs are discussed further in §6.1.3.2.5. Reduplication in these two cases derives a verb that subcategorizes for one more argument than the underived roots. The underived forms subcategorize only for a stative with the frame \(<\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). The derivation introduces a new argument, an experiencer, and changes the role of the existing argument from stative to source, giving the subcategorization frame \(\left\langle\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathrm{CR}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right)\right\rangle\).
(6.53) a. sote ine n-e keli shirt thisR RL-3S be.good 'This shirt is good.'
b. n-a ke-keli=nau ara sote ine RL-1EXCS RD-be.good=1SGO I shirt thisR 'I am pleased by this shirt.'

\subsection*{6.3.2 Valency augmentation by the transitivizing suffix}

A class of underlyingly monovalent verbs exists that derive a bivalent form by means of the replacive suffix \(-i\). This suffix replaces the final vowel of the root,
and is homophonous with (and historically related to) an allomorph of the 3SG postverbal agreement marker. \({ }^{44}\) Postverbal argument-indexing for ordinary bivalent verbs is on the pattern shown in Table 6.3 (see §6.1.2.2). With /i/ final roots the 3 SG postverbal agreement enclitic has the allomorph \(=n i\), as shown in Table 6.4. A different pattern applies to verbs taking the transitivizing suffix. The valency of the monovalent form is augmented by the transitivizing suffix replacing the final vowel of the root. The suffixed form then takes postverbal agreement enclitics in the normal way, with the exception of the 3 SG category. Instead of the normal enclitic, that category is zero marked, as in Table 6.5.

\section*{TABLE 6.3. VERB FORM AND ARGUMENT-INDEXING FOR MOST VERBS WITHOUT THE FINAL VOWEL /i/}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ ITR } & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ TR [-3SGO] } & \multicolumn{1}{c|}{ TR [+3SGO] } \\
\hline\(n-O \quad\) tore & \(n-e \quad\) tore \(=\) nau ara & \(n-a \quad\) tore \(=\boldsymbol{i}\) manei \\
SG RL-2S ask & RL-3s ask=1SGO I & RL-IEXCS ask=3SGO he \\
'You're asking. & 'He asked me.' & 'I asked him.' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 6.4. VERB FORM AND ARGUMENT-INDEXING FOR MOST
VERBS WITH THE FINAL VOWEL /i/
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ITR & TR [-35GO] & TR [ +3 SGO ] \\
\hline n-e piri & n-e piri=nau ara & \(n-a \quad\) piri=ni manei \\
\hline RL-3S bind & RL-3S bind \(=1 \mathrm{SGO}\) I & RL-1EXCS bind \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) he \\
\hline 'He tied up.' & 'He tied me up.' & 'I tied him up.' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE 6.5. VERB FORM AND ARGUMENT-INDEXING FOR VERBS THAT TAKE THE TRANSITIVIZING SUFFIX
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ITR & TR [-3SGO] & TR [ \(+3 \mathrm{SGO}]\) \\
\hline n-e hoda & n-e hod-i=ri maneri & n-e hod-i=0 manei \\
\hline RL-3s take & RL-3S take-TR=3PLothey 'He took them' & RL-3s take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) he 'He took him' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Membership of the class of verbs that take the transitivizing suffix may be deduced from the form of the verb with 3SG postverbal agreement. If such a form has as its final vowel \(/ \mathrm{i} /\), it is possible that it is an underlying bivalent verb with that final vowel, or that it is an underlying monovalent verb marked with the transitivizing suffix. If the verb is underlyingly bivalent and /i/ final it will index 3SG postverbally with the suffix \(=n i\), following the pattern shown in Table 6.4. If on the other hand the 3 SG category is realized by a zero, the verb must

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{44}\) Both the replacive suffix and 3 so agreement marker reflect the Proto Oceanic transitive marker *-i. The agreement marker appears to be a reduction of the earlier sequence \({ }^{*}-i-a\), the *-a reflecting the POc 3 SG agreement marker (Malcom Ross pers. com.).
}
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follow the pattern shown in Table 6.5. In other words if an /i/ final bivalent verb indexes 3 SG with zero, the final vowel must be the transitivizing suffix.

The behavior of 3 SG postverbal agreement enclitic allomorphy in relation to \(/ \mathrm{i} /\) final roots and transitivized verbs makes it possible to identify verbs that are derived diachronically from a transitivizing suffix-marked form, but where that form has been reanalyzed as the final vowel of the root. In some instances this diachronic regularization is under way but is not yet complete.

An example of the reanalysis of the transitivizing suffix as a root final vowel occurs with the bivalent verb nomhi 'hear'. The form *nomho does not occur synchronically, but existed at an earlier stage in the language. This earlier form allowed both transitivizing suffix and causative derivation, as well as causative marking of a reduplicated form. Both the causative marked forms have since undergone semantic reanalysis, giving the monovalent verbs fanomho 'be quiet' and fanonomho 'listen'. The bivalent verb has the final vowel/i/, which may be treated as the transitivizing suffix, with commensurate zero 3 sG postverbal agreement, despite the absence of a synchronic underived counterpart.

\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ge teo bla & ge & nomh-i=O\(=u\) \\
SEQ not.exist & LMT & NT & hear-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) \\
but I didn't hear it.'
\end{tabular}

The bivalent form in (6.54)b. line 2 has zero 3 SG agreement, demonstrating the presence of the transitivizing suffix. However, nomhi may also be treated as monomorphemic, attracting the 3SG indexing appropriate to \(/ \mathrm{i} /\) final roots:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara n-a nomhi=ni ia mheke }  \tag{6.55}\\
& \text { I RL-lexcs hear=3SGO thesG dog } \\
& \text { 'I heard the dog.' }
\end{align*}
\]

The two postverbally indexed 3SG forms nomh \(-i\) and nomhi \(=n i\) occur in free variation, and speakers are aware of this fact. It is, however, noteworthy that the reanalyzed monomorphemic version only occurs in my corpus in direct elicitations.

\subsection*{6.3.2.1 Arguments introduced by the transitivizing suffix}

The presence of the transitivizing suffix has the effect of raising the valency of underlyingly monovalent verbs by introducing an additional core argument to the verb's subcategorization. Almost all verbs taking the transitivizing suffix are unergative, with the introduced argument an undergoer, or in some instances a locative. A very small number of unaccusative verbs also take the transitivizer. With these, the introduced argument is an agent.

\subsection*{6.3.2.1.1 Augmentation of unergative verbs}

The presence of transitivizing suffix marking on an unergative monovalent verb has the effect of introducing a second argument. Whether the introduced argument is an undergoer or a locative depends on the semantics of the underived root. In their underived monovalent forms all of the transitivizertaking roots have an implicit additional argument that is not subcategorized. With some roots that implicit second argument is an undergoer, either patient or theme. It is implicit in the semantics of a verb like hoda 'take' or korho 'pull' that there something is taken or pulled. However, in their monovalent forms, these verbs have the subcategorization frame \(\left\langle A G_{C R}\right\rangle\) :
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
n-e-ge & \(f a-\bar{g} o-n o-d i=n ̃ a\) & ago & ge hoda bla \\
RL-3S-PRS & CS-forget-GENP- 3 PLP=IMM & yousG & SEQ take \\
'You [can] make a mistake and [it] will simply take [record].'
\end{tabular}

These verbs occur rarely without an overt undergoer. The undergoer is typically expressed, cooccurring with the monovalent form because it is incorporated ([6.57]a.), or because the root is non-final in a serial construction ([6.57]b.):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } \bar{g}-a-k e & \text { hoda } & \text { neti }  \tag{6.57}\\
\text { NT-lEXCS-PFV take } & \text { net } \\
\text { 'We took nets.' }
\end{array}
\]
b. n-e hoda mai=di=n̄a no-gुu letasi iao ara RL-3S take come \(=3\) PLO \(=1 M M\) GENP-1SGP letter thatPV I 'He brought my mail.'

When this class of verbs have their valency augmented by the transitivizing suffix, the introduced argument is an undergoer, giving subcategorization frames \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) or \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{THM}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). This generates clauses such as:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \ldots \bar{g}-e \text { hod- }-\boldsymbol{O}=u \quad \text { ooe } t=a u=a o \quad \text { tikilave }  \tag{6.58}\\
& \text { NT-3s take-TR=3sGO=CNT, word SBD=be-thisT PN } \\
& \text { '...Tikilave got that story, }
\end{align*}
\]
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With at least one transitivizer-taking root the implicit second argument of the monovalent form is locative. A verb such as mhoko 'sit' is monovalent, but has implicit in its semantics a location where the sitting takes place. This locative is often not overtly realized:
```

mhoko lao ago
sit go youSG
'You go and sit.'

```

When overtly realized, a locative is typically realized by a prepositional adjunct:
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
n-a & \text { mhoko ara } & \text { ka palu } & \text { ifra }  \tag{6.60}\\
\text { RL-1EXCS sit } & \text { I } & \text { LOC two mat } \\
\text { 'I sat on two mats.' }
\end{array}
\]

Transitivizing suffix marking of verbs in this class has the effect of introducing a core argument that is locative, giving the subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}\) \(\mathrm{LOC}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). This generates clauses such as:
```

n-a mhok-i=0 ara kaike ifra
RL-1EXCS sit-TR=3SGO I one mat
'I sat on one mat.'

```

Unergative verb roots that take the transitivizing suffix include:
a. faroho 'be smiting' faroh-i 'smite s.th.'
b. hoda 'be taking' hod-i 'take s.th.'
c. huhu 'be asking (questions)' huh-i 'ask s.th.'
d. korho 'be pulling' korh-i 'pull s.th.'
e. mağra 'be fighting' mağr-i 'fight s.th.'
f. mhoko 'sit' mhok-i 'sit on s.th.'
g. puğra 'be severing' \(\quad p u \bar{g} r-i\) 'sever s.th.'
h. ruma 'be entering' rum-i 'enter s.th.'
i. safra 'be missing' safr-i 'miss s.th.'
j. sofo 'be catching' sof \(i\) 'catch s.th.'
k. tabara 'be buying' tabar-i 'buy s.th.'
1. ufu 'be blowing' ufi 'blow on s.th.'

\subsection*{6.3.2.1.2 Augmentation of unaccusative verbs}

With unaccusative verbs the presence of the transitivizing suffix has a more complex effect on argument structure. In its monovalent form, a stative verb has as its sole core argument a participant that is in the state coded by the verb, i.e., a stative. The subcategorization frame for such verbs is \(\left\langle\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\). The presence of the transitivizing suffix changes the argument structure by introducing an
additional argument. With unergative verbs the additional argument is either undergoer or locative, and the existing argument, the agent, remains unchanged. When the valency of an unaccusative verb is augmented by the transitivizing suffix, the argument that is in the state coded remains the argument that state applies to; however, it is no longer simply in that state, but rather is undergoing an event that causes it to change state, i.e., it becomes a patient. The introduced argument is then the agent that brings about the change in state of the patient. For example, with tora 'be open' the sole subcategorized argument is stative, with the subcategorization frame \(\left.<\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\) :
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-e tora bla kokopa ine }  \tag{6.63}\\
& \text { RL-3s be.open LMT door thisR } \\
& \text { 'The door is open.' }
\end{align*}
\]

When this root is derived by the transitivizing suffix the stative of the underived root is demoted to patient. The introduced argument is agent, i.e., the participant that is causing the change of state in the patient. The augmented subcategorization frame is thus \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\), generating clauses such as:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ara } n-a-k e & \text { tor- } i=0=n a & \text { kokopa }=n a  \tag{6.64}\\
\text { I RL-IEXCS-PFV open-TR }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { thatN } \\
\text { 'I opened that door. } &
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{6.3.3 Valency augmentation by causative marking}

In addition to transitivizing suffix marking, valency augmentation may occur by means of the preposed causative particle \(f a\). The formal characteristics of the causative particle are discussed in \(\S 2.5 .6 .2\). Functionally, causative marking introduces a new argument, an agent, force, into the subcategorization, and demotes to undergoer (patient or theme) the existing argument. In addition, causativized verbs have exactly two arguments. Causative derivation of a monovalent verb increases the argument structure from one to two arguments. Bivalent verbs may not be causativized. All verbs that may occur in transitive predications have monovalent and bivalent variants. This is illustrated by many of the transitivizer-taking verbs in (6.62), where there is a formal distinction between the monovalent and bivalent variants. Causative derivation of such verbs applies only to the monovalent form.

The effects of causative derivation may be characterized in the following way:
(6.65) a. a new argument is introduced that is an agent or force;
b. the existing argument is demoted to undergoer;
c. only monovalent verb forms may be causativized.
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\subsection*{6.3.3.1 Causative marking of monovalent stative verbs}

A class of monovalent verbs exists that subcategorize for a single argument, where that argument has the semantic role stative (i.e., the argument that is in the state coded by the predication). Causative marking of these verbs introduces a new argument that is an agent or cause. This argument affects another participant by changing that participant's state from a state that is not that coded by the underived verb into a state that is that coded by the underived verb. The existing argument of the monovalent verb is demoted from stative to patient. The semantic relations of the uncausativized monovalent verb may be characterized as: 'participant \(X\) is in state \(Z\) ' ( X BE \(Z\) ); while the semantic relations of the causative marked bivalent verb may be characterized as 'participant Y affects participant X and as a result participant X is in state \(Z^{\prime}(\mathrm{Y}\) CAUSE X BE Z).

The subcategorization frame for these monovalent verbs is \(\left\langle\mathrm{ST}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\), and for the causative marked forms \(\left\langle\mathrm{AG} / \mathrm{CS}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}\right\rangle\).

The verb fodu 'be full', for example, subcategorizes for a single argument with the semantic role of stative. The causative marked form involves an agent that is acting upon the drum in some way to change its state from 'not full' to 'full':
daramu ine n-e fodu
drum thisR RL-3s be.full 'This drum is full.'
```

gita da-ke fa fodu=i daramu ine
weINC IINCS-PFV CS be.full=3SGO drum thisR
'We filled this drum.'

```

This causative marking occurs productively with any unaccusative verb. The example above involves a physical state, but the process applies equally to nonphysical states:


The introduced argument may be either an agent or a force; however, some verbs tend to occur with agent actors and others with force actors. Causative marking of verbs such as nhigo 'be finished' above typically introduce an agent.

Causative marking of a verb such as lehe-n̄hau 'be hungry' typically introduces a participant (often expressed as a subordinated clause) that is force:
```

(6.69) a. ginai o lehe-\overline{n}hau gau-palu
todayIRR 2s die-eat youPL-two
'You two will get hungry.'
b. birho ravata n-e fa lehe-ñhau=nigo ago
sleep afternoon RL-3S CS die-eat=2SGO youSG
'Sleeping in the afternoon is making you hungry.'

```

\subsection*{6.3.3.2 Causative marking of monovalent active verbs}

Where a monovalent verb has as its argument an actor, causativization again introduces a new actor (an agent or force), and the existing argument is demoted, this time from agent or active theme to patient or theme. The existing argument is demoted from being the participant carrying out the action, to being caused to carry out that action. The semantic relations of the unergative monovalent verb may be characterized as: 'participant \(X\) is carrying out action \(Z\) ' ( X DO Z ), while the semantic relations of the causativized verb may be characterized as 'participant Y affects participant X and as a result participant X is carrying out action Z' (Y CAUSE X DO Z). So, for example, \(\bar{n} h a u\) 'eat' subcategorizes for an agent with the frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) ([6.70]a.). Causativization introduces a new agent or force that causes the existing participant to eat. That participant, the agent of the underived form, is therefore demoted to patient, being the undergoer of the causing. The derived frame is therefore \(<\mathrm{AG} / \mathrm{CS}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>([6.70] \mathrm{b}\).\() .\)
a. ara n-a \(\quad\) nhau no- \(\bar{g} u\)
I RL-lEXCS eat
GENP-lSGP
'I am eating.'
b. ara n-a-ke fa \(\bar{n} h a u=\) nigo ago
I RL-lEXCS-PFV CS eat=2SGO youSG
'I made you eat.'

With active verbs, causative marking demotes the existing argument from actor to undergoer. Most active verbs are unergative, and for these causativization involves the former agent taking the role patient. However, some active monovalent verbs are nonagentive, with an active theme rather than an agent as the subcategorized argument. With a verb such as zogu 'drop', for example, the actor is non-volitional. The subcategorization frame for this verb is \(<\) A.THM \(_{C R}\) \(>\) (as in [6.71]a.). Causativization introduces a new agent, force, and demotes the existing active theme actor to undergoer theme, with the causative derived frame \(<\mathrm{AG} / \mathrm{CS}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{THM}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) (as in [6.71]b.).
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```

(6.71) a. koilo ana n-e zogu=n̄a
coconut thatN RL-3S drop=-IMM
'That coconut just dropped.'

```
b. manei n-e la fa zogu=i koilo ine \(k=a r a\) he RL-3s go CS drop=3SGO coconut thisR LOC=1 'He went and threw down this coconut to me.'

\subsection*{6.3.3.3 Causative marking restriction to monovalent verbs}

Verbs that may occur in transitive predications have monovalent and bivalent forms. Causativization in Kokota only occurs with monovalent verbs. Many verbs have no formal distinction between their monovalent and bivalent variants, only the subcategorization frames differing. However, some, such as the transitivizer taking verbs discussed in \(\S 6.3 .2 .1\), do differ. With these, the constraint on causativizing bivalent verbs is most visible. A verb such as sofo 'be catching' is monovalent, subcategorizing only for an agent with the subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) (as in [6.72]a.). Its transitivized counterpart, sof \(i\) 'catch s.th.', is bivalent, subcategorizing for an agent and a patient with the frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}}\) PAT \(_{\mathrm{CR}}>\) (as in [6.72]b.).
(6.72) a. pusi ana n-e sofo bla
cat thatN RL-3S catch LMT
'That cat was simply catching.'
b. pusi ana n-e sof-i=di palu kubiliki are cat thatN RL-3S catch-TR=3PLO two rat thoseN 'That cat caught those two rats.'

When causativized, the untransitivized, not the transitivized, form is marked:
manei nee fa sofo=i pusi ana
he RL-3S CS catch=3SGO cat thatN
'He made that cat catch.'
As with any monovalent active verb, causativization introduces a new agent or force, and demotes the actor of the underived root to undergoer. Fa sofo has the derived subcategorization frame \(<\mathrm{AG}_{\mathrm{CR}} \mathrm{PAT}_{\mathrm{CR}}>\). A participant that would occur as the core argument undergoer of the transitive form (such as the rats in [6.72]b.) may be expressed with a causative verb, but only as an oblique adjunct ([6.74]a.), not as a second core argument complement ([6.74]b.):
(6.74) a. manei n-e fa sofo=i pusi ana ka palu kubiliki are he RL-3S CS catch=3SGOcat thatN LOC two rat thosen 'He made that cat catch those two rats.'

\section*{b. *manei n-e fa sofo=i pusi ana palu kubiliki are}

The constraint on causativizing bivalent verbs is less readily observed with roots that do not distinguish formally between monovalent and bivalent variants. The effect of the constraint is visible, however, in the behavior of the undergoer of the bivalent variant. The verb \(\bar{n} h a u\) 'eat', may be monovalent or bivalent, with no formal distinction between the forms. Compare (6.70)a. with (6.75):
(6.75) ara n-a n̄hau tañano are

I RL-lEXCS eat food thosen
'I ate that food.'
The undergoer of a causativized verb is the participant that occurs as the agent of the underived root. The undergoer of the underived verb is expressible, but only as an oblique, paralleling the behavior of fa sofo in (6.74):
(6.76) a. ara n-a fa n̄hau=nigo ago ka tañano are

I RL-1EXCS CS eat=2SGO yousG LOC food thoseN
'I made you eat that food.'
b. *ara n-a fa \(\bar{n} h a u=\) nigo ago tañano are

\subsection*{6.4 Incorporation}

A process of incorporation exists in the language that allows any non-specific undergoer to be incorporated into the verb complex. Incorporation applies to not merely a nominal root, but to the NP core (see §3.3.1).

\subsection*{6.4.1 Incorporating verb forms}

Any verb that subcategorizes for an undergoer may have an incorporated undergoer. However, the verb must be in its intransitive form. Where a verb's intransitive and transitive variants are formally identical, incorporation is distinguished only by the presence or absence of postverbal agreement. In (6.77) postverbal agreement occurs, indexing the undergoers. In (6.78) no postverbal agreement is present, despite the presence in the clause of an overt undergoer, the undergoer being incorporated:
a. n-a manahagi=di gudu ara namhari gudu
RL-lexCS want=3pLO EXHS I fish EXST
'I want all the fish.'
b. ara n-a flalo=i vaka-flalo ine
I RL-lexCS fly=3SGO ship-fly thisR
'I'm flying this plane.'
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(6.78)
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
a. & n-a manahagi & namhari & gudu & ara \\
RL-IEXCS want & fish & EXHST & 1 \\
'I want all the fish.' & & &
\end{tabular}
b. ara mala flalo vaka-flalo

I RL-1EXCS fly ship-fly
'I fly planes.'
Where a formal distinction exists between intransitive and transitive variants of a verb, it is the intransitive form that occurs with an incorporated undergoer. The intransitive verb korho 'be pulling', for example, is transitivized by the suffix - \(i\) discussed in §6.3.2.1, giving the transitive form korh-i 'pull s.th.'. In a normal transitive clause the transitivized form occurs with postverbal agreement indexing an undergoer:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ara } n-a \quad \text { korh }-i=r i & \text { palu } & \text { namhari } & \text { are }  \tag{6.79}\\
\text { I RL-1EXCS pull-TR=3PLO } & \text { two } \\
\text { fish } & \text { thosen } \\
\text { 'I caught those two fish.' } & & &
\end{array}
\]

When the undergoer is incorporated the underived intransitive form occurs:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ara n-a } & \text { korho } & \text { namhari }  \tag{6.80}\\
\text { I RL-lEXCS pull } & \text { fish } \\
\text { 'I caught fish." }
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{6.4.2 Incorporated nominals}

Incorporated undergoers are not limited to a nominal root alone. Instead, the entire NP core is incorporated. In (6.78), (6.80), and (6.85) the incorporated cores consist only of a nominal root. However, other elements may modify the incorporated root. In addition to the nominal head, the core may contain one of the pre-head multitude markers tehi 'many' and toga-tehi 'very many' (see \(\S 6.3,2,1\) ). Being within the core, these may occur with incorporated nominals:
(6.81) a. ago n-o hoda tehi kaku
yousG RL-2S take many banana
'You took many bananas.'
b. ara n-a korho toga-tehi
I namhari
'I caught very many fish.'

Both verbs in (6.81) belong to the class that takes the transitivizing suffix \(-i\). The presence of the intransitive verb forms demonstrates the incorporated status of the undergoers.

\section*{ARGUMENT STRUCTURE}

In addition, the core contains one post-head modifier position. that may contain one member of a number of word classes including adjectives, nouns, stative verbs, personal and location names, and spatial locatives (see §3.3.1.2). In (6.82), for example, an incorporated nominal is modified by another noun:
\(n\)-a-ke frinhe suḡa tetena
RL-1EXCS-PFV work house sago
'We built sago-thatch houses.'

Incorporation is not limited to nouns plus one core modifier. NP cores of any size can participate in incorporation. In (6.83) direct possessor-indexing and an adjective modify the head:
(6.83) ara manahagi no-g \(u\) gorha foforu=na

I want GENP-1SGP paddle be.new=3SGP
'I need a new paddle.'
Incorporated undergoers may not be a definite or specifically identified member of the class of entities they belong to. No articles or demonstratives may modify incorporated nominals, these falling outside the NP core. Incorporated nominals may also not be specified for number, the numerals being a NP outer modifier.

In addition to the core of a common noun NP, the core of a NP with an indirect possessor-indexing host as head (a \(\mathrm{NP}_{\text {poss }}\); see §3.4.2) may be incorporated:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
ara \(n\)-a hoda ge-g \(u\) & kaku \\
I RL-1EXCS take CNSM-ISGP & banana \\
'I'm taking my bananas.' &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.4.3 Structure of incorporating verb complexes}

No constituents may intervene between the root component(s) of the verb complex and the incorporated nominal. It is not, for example, possible for another argument to intervene. In non-incorporating clauses the pragmatically unmarked constituent order places the argument with the semantic role highest in the hierarchy in the immediate postverbal position, with the next highest following, and so on. This generates transitive clauses in which the actor precedes the undergoer, intervening between the verb and the undergoer:
ne korh-i=ri manei palu namhari are
RL-3S pull-TR=3plo he two fish thosen
'He caught those two fish.'
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However, with incorporated undergoers the order reflected in (6.85) is impossible, as the actor would intervene between the verb and the incorporated nominal (as in [6.86]b.). Instead the reverse order occurs ([6.86]a.):
(6.86) a. nee korho namhari manei

RL-3S pull fish he
'He caught fish.'
b. *n-e korho manei namhari

This applies equally to postverbal outer modifiers, which follow the verb complex core. In non-incorporating clauses these precede any arguments (as in [6.87]). In incorporating clauses they follow the incorporated nominal ([6.88]):
(6.87) a. \(\bar{g}-a\) fa-lehe=ri gudu na gai teg\(e ~ a r e-l a u ~\) NT-1EXCS CS-die=3plo EXHST IMM weEXC turtle thoseN-SPC '...we kill every one of those turtles'
b. nee-ge mai fakae=ni=u mane ine RL-3S-PRS come see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) man thisR 'He is coming and seeing this man.'
```

a. n-a manahagi namhari gudu ara
RL-1EXCS want fish EXHST I
'I want all the fish.'

```
b. manei teo \(\bar{g}\)-e korho namhari=u
    he not.exist NT-3s pull fish=CNT
    'He wasn't catching fish.'

This constraint does not apply to verbs such as directionals occurring finally in a serial construction. In incorporating clauses these occur in their normal position preceding the incorporated nominal:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
gai n-a & hoda mai & mala-ñhau \\
weexC RL-1EXCS & take come & PURP-eat \\
'We bring food.' & & &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{6.4.4 Object-indexing on incorporating verb complexes}

The incorporation of an undergoer generates a complex predicate consisting of the verb(s) plus the undergoer. These complex predicates are typically intransitive in the sense that the entire predication does not subcategorize for a second argument indexed by a postverbal enclitic. All examples of incorporation above show this. However, transitive incorporating predications are possible.

This involves a complex predication with an incorporated undergoer, where the entire predication itself has a complement that is indexed postverbally. As postverbal indexing involves an enclitic attached to the verb complex core, and an incorporated nominal is the final element in the core, the enclitic attaches to the incorporated nominal. In (6.90)a. hoda faña 'take give.food' has the incorporated theme kaku 'banana'. The resulting complex predication, hoda faña \(k a k u\) 'take bananas to' itself has a goal complement indexed by the enclitic on the verb complex. Similarly, in (6.90)b. the verb series turi tufa 'tell affect' has the incorporated nominal tu-turi 'story'. The entire complex predication turi tufa tu-turi 'tell stories to' itself has a goal complement indexed by an enclitic.
(6.90) a. nakodou ana n-e hoda fan̄a kaku=i hei woman that RL-3S take give.food banana \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) who 'Who did that woman give bananas to?'
b. mane=ne n-e-ke turi tufa tu-turi=di ira suli man=thisR RL-3s-PFV tell affect RD-tell=3plothepl child 'This man told stories to the children.'

\subsection*{6.5 Verb serialization}

The verb complex core may contain a single verb root. However, up to three roots may be serialized to form a single complex predicate. Any verb may occur in first and second position. Third position is limited to a small set of verbs. Verb series have the structure in (6.91). This means that a verb series may comprise \(V_{1}+V_{2}\), or \(V_{2}+V_{3}\), or \(V_{1}+V_{2}+V_{3}\).

\subsection*{6.5.1 \(V_{1}+V_{2}\) series}

Any verb may occur in \(V_{1}\) or \(V_{2}\) position. However, \(V_{1}\) verbs are typically verbs of general motion, commencement, or completion, or the desiderative, abilitative, or unitative verbs. Verbs commonly occurring in \(V_{1}\) position include:
\begin{tabular}{rlll} 
(6.92) a. lao & 'go(towards)' & g. manahagi & 'want, need' \\
b. mai & 'come' & h. fufunu & 'begin' \\
c. age 'go' & i. nodo/noto & 'stop' \\
d. zaho & 'go (away from)' & j. \(n h i g o ~\) & 'be finished' \\
e. boka 'be able' & k. kusulkulu & 'be first'45 \\
f. kaike 'be one' (i.e., 'act in unison') \({ }^{46}\)
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{45} \mathrm{Kusu}\) and kulu are variants of the same verb. The reason for the variation is unclear, but may result from influence from Cheke Holo, where kulu is an adverbial meaning 'first'.
\({ }^{45}\) Kaike functions primarily as the numeral 'one', but also functions as a verb 'be one',
}
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When occurring with a non-motion \(V_{2}\), motion verbs in \(V_{1}\) position indicate a motion event preceding the \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) event:
a. ..gg-e la all iaro hurepelo keha=re... NT-3S go exist thosePV PNLOC NSP=thosen '...some of them went [and] lived over at Hurepelo...
...ade-hi goveo \(\bar{g}\)-e mai au=gu gai keha ide here-EMPH PNLOC NT-3S come exist=CNT weexC NSP theseR ...[and] some of us came [and] are living here in Goveo.'
b. ka mai rum- \(i=\emptyset=n a \quad i a \quad\) suḡa dou...

LOC come enter-TR \(=3\) SGO \(=\) that N thesg house be.big 'When [they] came [and] entered the big house...'
c. \(k a=t=a u=a n a \quad \bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e \quad t o b-i=r i . .\).
\(\mathrm{LOC}=\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that NT-3S go kick-TR \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}\) 'At that he went [and] kicked them...'

When a \(V_{1}\) motion verb occurs with a motion \(V_{2}\), the two motion events occur simultaneously:
(6.94) \(n\)-e zaho \(\bar{n} h a n ̄ h a=n a \ldots\)

RL-3s go run-that
'He ran away...'
Two motion verbs, lao and \(a \bar{g} e\), occur very commonly in \(V_{1}\) position with the non-literal meaning 'proceed' or 'go ahead and':
(6.95) a. ta la mai=o ia vetula=na=na ia \(\bar{g} a v a n a . .\).

SBD go come=thatNV thesG law=3SGP=-thatN thesG government [When] ...the law of the government proceeded to come...
b. nee-ge la maku=n̄a manei...

RL-3S-PRS go be.hard=IMM it 'It [a gas tap on a stove] becomes firm...'
a. ...g-e ağe sugu ia to toi...

NT-3s go hiss thesG RD~cook
'...the fire went ahead [and] hissed...'
The verbs of commencement and completion occur as single verb predicates, but as serial \(V_{1}\), indicate the start or finish of the event expressed by the \(V_{2}\) :
(6.97) a. ara n-a-ge fufunu lase \(=i\) ooe kokota

I RL-3S-PRS begin know \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) talk PNLOC
'I am beginning to understand the Kokota language.'
b. nhigo ri~riso
be.finished RD~write
'Finish writing!'
The abilitative, unitative, and desiderative verbs also occur with predictable meanings in relation to the \(V_{1}\) event:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
a. gai a boka \(\quad\) nha=di & gudtu... \\
weEXC lexCS be.able eat=3PLO & EXHST \\
'We could eat them all...'
\end{tabular}
b. gita-palu kaike au bo
weINC-two one exist CNT
'We two still live together.'
c. ara n-a manahagi tore \(=\bar{g} a u\) gau ira kompanion

I RL-IEXCS want ask=2PLO youpl thePL Companion 'I want to ask you, the Companions, \({ }^{47}\)
ta frinhe=i=na fea kaike suğa...
SBD work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N INIT one house
to build me a house...'
Although the verbs in (6.92) are a majority of verbs occurring in \(V_{1}\) position, there is no restriction on other verbs occurring in this position:
(6.99) a. manei n-e-ke kumai fa knaso=i botolo swepi ine he RL-3S-PFVdrink CS be.empty=3sgobottle soft.drink thisR 'He drank empty this bottle of soft drink. \({ }^{48}\)
b. ara n-a tarai togo=di ira nakoni ta foğra I RL-1EXCS pray help=3PLO thePL person SBD sick 'I prayed for the sick people.'
c. n-a babao no- \(\bar{g} u\)

RL-1EXCS be.tired GENP-1SGP
'I am tired

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{47}\) The Companions of the Brotherhood of the Church of Melanesia, a local Solomon Istands organization of Anglican brothers.
\({ }^{48}\) Swepi, a loan from the brand name Schweppes, is the generic term for any soft drink.
}
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\(g u=n a=n a \quad\) frin̄he heta fakamo
CNTX=3SGP=that N work be.strong always
because I always work hard.'
d. \(b i=m u=d e \quad\) ago \(n-e\) siri dia=de
fart \(=2 \mathrm{SGP}=\mathrm{th}\) eseR youSG RL-3S smell be.bad=theseR
'These farts of yours smell bad.'

\subsection*{6.5.2 \(V_{2}+V_{3}\) series}
\(V_{3}\) position is confined to verbs of directional movement, including arrival and departure, the verb of completion, and the affective verb, shown in (6.100). The directional verbs zaho 'go (away)' and \(a \bar{g} e\) 'go' do not appear to occur as \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\).
a. mai 'come'
g. pulo 'return'
b. lao 'go'
h. koko 'leave'
c. hage 'ascend'
i. toke 'arrive'
d. kave 'descend'
j. toga 'arrive'
e. kota 'go ashore, land'
k. nhigo 'be finished'
f. salupu 'pass'
l. tufa 'affect'

As with \(V_{1}\) motion verbs, where a \(V_{3}\) motion verb occurs with a \(V_{2}\) that itself has inherent motion, the motion events occur simultaneously, the \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\) effectively indicating the direction in which the \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) motion occurred:
```

(6.101)a. ara ginai pulo mai ka fa-palu wiki ana
I FUT return come LOC CS-two week thatN
'I will return in two weeks.' [lit. '...on the second week.']

```
b. n-e korho ma=di ia gobi-lho~lhoguai=na e=u RL- 3 S pull come \(=3\) PLO thePL hundred-RD \(\sim\) coil \(=3 \mathrm{SGP} 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus 'He pulled towards him his hundred coils, like that.'
c. ia kakau n-e seha kave ka raro=no theSG crab RL-3S climb descend LOC pot=thatNV 'The crab climbed out of the pot.'
d. kubiliki ana n-e ruma lao ka ḡilu=na korosa rat thatN RL-3S enter go LOC inside=3SGP hole 'That rat went inside the hole.'
e. fa loga mai katana kareseni \(\bar{n} a\) bo CS pour come modicum kerosene IMM CNT 'Pour out a little bit more kerosene!'
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f. \(\bar{g}-e\) koko la \(=n i=\bar{n} a \quad\) sara rauru NT-3S leave go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) thereD seaward 'He threw him there seaward.'

The function of the \(V_{3}\) to indicate the direction of the \(V_{2}\) motion applies even if the \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) motion is metaphorical, as in the telling of a story or in recollection:
(6.102)a. are-lau ago ne-ge turi salupu=di=ro bla ago
thosen-SPC youSG RL-PRS tell pass=3pLo=thosenV LMT youSG
'Those ones [parts of the story] you're telling past [i.e., leaving out].'
b. ka varedake palu zulai

LOC twenty two July
'On the twenty second of July
ginai e gato pulo \(=i\) ia sekon apointed dei
FUT \(3 s\) think return \(=3\) SGO thesg Second.Appointed.Day [one] will remember the Second Appointed Day. \({ }^{, 4}\)

As with \(V_{1}\) motion verbs, where the \(V_{2}\) does not involve directed motion a \(V_{3}\) motion verb indicates a sequential, not simultaneous, event:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(6.103) legu=na tokia kave= \(i=n a\) & gita \\
behind \(=3\) sGP chop descend \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatN \\
'After we have chopped down that tree...'
\end{tabular}

The exception to this is when the nature of the \(V_{2}\) event precludes subsequent motion. In that case the reading is again of simultaneity. In this case a \(V\) motion verb will give a sequential reading (as in [6.104]a.) while the same verb in \(V_{3}\) position will give a simultaneous reading ([6.104]b.):
mai mhoko
come sit
'Come and sit down.'
b. mhoko mai
sit come
'Sit towards me.'/'Sit over here.'
The completive verb nhigo 'be finished' occurs in isolation with the sense that a volitional subject has finished an unspecified but understood activity (as in [6.105]a.), or that an activity associated with a non-volitional subject has been completed ([6.105]b.), or that an event expressed by a subordinate clause subject is finished ([6.105]c.):

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{49}\) The anniversary of the Second Appointed Day, on which Provincial powers were devolved to the island of Santa Isabel.
}
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(6.105)a. .
...n-e \(\bar{g} r u i-\bar{g} r u i \quad l a=n i=\bar{n} a \quad k a \quad \bar{g} u k u=n a \ldots\)
\(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) RD \(\sim\) garden \(\mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} \quad \mathrm{LOC}\) road \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\)
'...they brushed the road...
gu maneri nhigo \(=u \ldots\)
be.thus they be.finished=CNT
so, they were finished [doing that]...'
b. ..ia tu turi=na marha-pau=o nhigo...
thesG RD-tell=3SGP feel.pain-head thatNv be.finished
'...the [telling of] story of the headache will be finished...'
c. frinhe \(=n i\) ia suğa n-e nhigo=u...
work \(=35 \mathrm{SGO}\) thesG house RL-3S be.finished=CNT
'Building the house is finished...'
As a serial \(V_{1}\), nhigo indicates completion of the event expressed by the \(V_{2}\), as illustrated in (6.97)b. As a serial \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\), nhigo indicates that the \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) event has been carried to completion:
manei n-e-ke fa-lehe nhigo=ri gudu kokorako are he RL-3S-PFV CS-die be.finished=3PLOEXHST chicken thoseN 'He killed [to completion] all those chickens.'

The affective verb tufa in isolation is normally interpreted with a meaning similar to 'give'. When it is final in a serial construction it is benefactive or malefactive depending on the semantics of the overall predication.

b. an-lau e mhemhe tufa=gai gai
thatN-SPC 3 S be.difficult affect=1EXCO weexc 'That makes it hard for us.'

\subsection*{6.5.3 Three-verb series}

A maximum of three verbs may occur in a serial construction. Three-verb series conform to the constraints and tendencies discussed above for each of the serial verb positions. Again there is a tendency for the verb in \(V_{1}\) position to be a verb of motion, commencement, or completion, or the desiderative, abilitative, or unitative, as in (6.108) (see also [6.110]), but again this is a tendency only and other verbs may occur, as in (6.109).
(6.108)a. manei n-e fufunu toka kave=i ia gazu
he RL-3S begin chop descend=3SGO thesG wood 'He started chopping down the tree.'
b. ara manahagi turi tufa=nigo ago ka gu=na... I want tell affect \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) yousg LOC CNTX=3SGP 'I want to tell you about...'
c. tehi=di mane=re n-e kaike isi hage ka gukuana many \(=3\) PLP man-thoseN RL-3S one flee ascendLoC road that 'Many of the people ran away up the road.'
toka fa nhigo tufa=nau gazu ana
chop CS be.finished affect=1SGO wood thatN 'Finish chopping that wood for me.'

\subsection*{6.5.4 The argument structure of serial predications}

When only one verb in a serial verb construction is transitive the complex predicate subcategorizes for that complement. The postverbal agreement enclitic attaches to the final verb in the series, regardless of whether that is the transitive verb. Transitive verbs appear in their intransitive form when non-final in a series. In ( 6.110 ) only the \(V_{2}\) verb is transitive. The entire predication subcategorizes for the theme complement of that verb. The postverbal agreement enclitic attaches to the final element of the verb complex core, being the final verb in the series, mai 'come', although that verb itself is intransitive. The \(\mathrm{V}_{2}\) verb occurs in its intransitive form hoda, not in its transitivized form hod-i:
(6.110) o la hoda mai=ni=u ia raro ta dou 2 S go take come \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) thesg pot SBD be.big 'Go [and] bring the big pot.'

When more than one transitive verb occurs, and the complement subcategorized by all verbs represents the same participant, the complex predication subcategorizes for that one complement. Each of the verbs may subcategorize for a complement; however, postverbal agreement is again only marked on the final verb: In (6.111) both verbs are transitive and subcategorize for a theme. The entire predication consequently subcategorizes for that one theme, and the series-final verb carries the agreement enclitic:
(6.111) ta moita la raisi ana, SBD be.cooked CND rice thatN 'If the rice is cooked,
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\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { zikra koko }=n i & \text { bakru=na=na } \\
\text { pour.out leave }=3 \mathrm{SGO} & \text { liquid=}=3 \mathrm{SOP}=\text { that } \\
\text { pour away its liquid.' } &
\end{array}
\]

When several transitive verbs occur, subcategorizing for complements representing different participants, the complex predication subcategorizes for all the complements, generating a ditransitive predication. In this situation it is the complement of the final verb in the series that is indexed by the postverbal enclitic. Complements of non-final verbs occur as additional, unindexed, complements. In (6.109), three verbs occur. The \(V_{1}\) and \(V_{2}\) verbs each subcategorize for a patient representing the same participant: \(\bar{g} a z u\) ana 'that wood'. The complex predication, therefore, also subcategorizes for that complement. However, the \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\) verb also subcategorizes for a complement, a benefactive (in this case the speaker). The complement that is indexed postverbally is the complement of the series-final verb. This argument is not also overtly realized by a full mention, but could be. The complement of the \(\mathrm{V}_{1}\) and \(V_{2}\) verbs is overtly realized, but is a second complement and is not indexed.

Various functions that are performed by adverbials in some other languages are performed by serialized verbs in Kokota. This is discussed in §7.4.

\subsection*{6.6 Existential predications}

Existential predications in Kokota involve the use of existential verbs. Two existential verbs exist - the positive existential au 'exist' and the negative teo 'not exist'. Both verbs have a number of other functions.

\subsection*{6.6.1 Positive existential verb \(\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}\)}

The form \(a u\) is fundamentally an existential verb expressing a range of closely related meanings. It frequently functions to place an entity in a location, either temporarily (as in [6.112]a.), long term ([6.112]b.), or permanently ([6.112]c.). Thus it is normally translatable as 'live at' or 'be at':
(6.112)a. ...mane n-e-ke \(\quad \boldsymbol{a} u=r o \quad\) ka \(\bar{g} i l u=n a \quad\) tema=na man RL-3s-PFV exist=thosenv LoC inside \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) hut \(=\) that '...the men who were inside the small house'
b. n-e-ge mai au banesokeo RL-3S-PRS come exist PNLOC 'He came and lived at Banesokeo.'
c. mala=na=re \(\quad a u \quad k a \quad \bar{g}\) ahipa sare-lau footprint \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thosen exist LOC stone thereP-SPC 'Those footprints of his are in the stone there.'

As ( 6.112 )c. illustrates, the located participant need not be human or even animate. In fact it need not be a physical object:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text {...la mai=u kilau=ne } \quad e=u  \tag{6.113}\\
& \text { go come=CNT religion-thisR } 3 s=\text { be.thus } \\
& \text { '..this religion [Christianity] came. } \\
& n-e \quad \text { mai au buala } e=u \\
& \text { RL-3S come exist PNLOC } 3 s=\text { be thus } \\
& \text { It came and was at Buala.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Clauses like those in (6.112) and (6.113) are existential clauses with a specified location of the existence. The meanings are thus more literally "the men who existed inside the small house"; "it came and existed at Buala"; and so on. Without an overt locative adjunct, au simply indicates the existence of an entity. This may occur when the actual location is apparent from the context:
(6.114) manahagi=̄ \(\bar{g} a\) gau mane huhuran̄i kaike mai au gudu want \(=2\) PLO youpl man PNLOC one come exist EXHST '[I] want you Huhurangi people to together come and all live
ade-hi kokota, ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad \boldsymbol{a} u=\bar{n} a \quad v e l e p u h i\),
here-EMPH PNLOC SEQ NT-3S exist=IMM right.way here at Kokota, then there will be a Catechist,
\(\bar{g}-e\) mala au=n̄a suğa tarai
NT-3S PURP exist=iMM house pray
there will be a church.'
In other instances no locative adjunct is present because the verb is used to express the existence of an entity, rather than its presence in any location:

> n-e-ge au dokta, n-e-ge au ira mereseni...
> RL-3S-PRS exist doctor \(R L-3 S\)-PRS exist thePL medicine
> 'Now there are doctors, now there are the medicines...'

The fundamentally unitary nature of the locative and purely existential functions of \(a u\) are illustrated when an overt location adjunct is present but does not represent a physical location:
(6.116) ka ira mane-vaka e au no-di fama LOC thePL man-ship 3 s exist GENP-3PLP farm 'With the white men there are their farms.'
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The presence of a non-physical 'location' and the use of irrealis mood, indicating in this instance a habitual state, combine to give a simultaneously locative and existential sense in clauses of this kind.

As an extension of its existential function, \(a u\) also functions as a verb of possession (see \(\S 5.10 .2\) ), and with middle voice (see \(\S 6.1 .3 .2 .4\) ).

\subsection*{6.6.2 Negative existential verb teo}

The form teo occurs as the exclamatory 'no', opposing ehe 'yes'. It also functions as a negative existential verb. The positive existential verb \(a u\), discussed in \(\S 6.6 .1\), occurs with both a locative and purely existential sense. Teo, however, only occurs with a purely existential sense:
(6.117)a. teo namhari
not.exist fish
'There are no fish.'
b. teo thei mane ta toral
not.exist whoeversG man SBD definitely
'There isn't anyone who actually
mai reregi \(=n i=n a \quad\) ia vetula \(=n a \quad \bar{g} a v a n a \ldots\).
come look.after \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatN thesG rule \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) government looks after the government's law...'

Although a locative adjunct is present in (6.117)b., it is in the relative clause, and does not modify teo. The main clause expresses the absence of the existence of the subject, not the subject's non-presence in the village. This example would be more literally translated as 'Someone who looks after the Government's law in our village does not exist'.

The non-existence in (6.117) represents a stable state; however, teo may also express the result of a change of state:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-e-ge la teo ira nakoni } n-e=u  \tag{6.118}\\
& \text { RL-3s-PRS go not.exist thePL person RL-3S=be.thus } \\
& \text { 'There were no more people.' } \\
& \text { [lit. 'The people had gone to non-existence.'] }
\end{align*}
\]

In (6.117) and (6.118) teo is the antonym of au. However, too does not also have a counterpart to the locative function of \(a u\). The non-presence of an entity in a location is expressed using the negative subordinating construction discussed in §8.7.2. In this construction a subordinated clause functions as the subject of the negative existential verb. To express the non-presence of an entity in a location
the subordinate clause has the positive existential verb au as its predicate. The opposite of (6.119)a. is therefore (6.119)b. A negative locative use of teo, as in (6.119)c., is ungrammatical.
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
(6.119)a. & \(n-e\) au buala \\
& \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) exist PNLOC \\
& 'He is in Buala.'
\end{tabular}
b. n-e-ge teo \(\bar{g}\)-e au buala

RL-3S-PRS not.exist NT-3S exist PNLOC
'He isn't in Buala.' [Lit. 'His being in Buala is not.']
c. *n-e-ge teo buala

As with \(a u\), teo also functions as a verb of possession (expressing the nonpossession of an entity) (see \(\S 5.10 .2\) ), and in middle voice (see §6.1.3.2.4).

\subsection*{6.6.3 Structure of existential clauses}

Existential clauses resemble other intransitive clauses in constituent structure possibilities. The unmarked structure may occur, with the sole core argument following the predicate and any oblique occurring subsequent to that ([6.114] to [6.118]). Alternatively, topicalization may occur, with fronting of a subject ([6.112]c.) or oblique ([6.116]). Existential predications may occur as main clause predication, as in most examples above, or a subordinate clause predication, either a relative clause ([6.112]a.) or clausal argument (line 1 of [6.114]).

\subsection*{6.6.4 Causativized existential verbs}

Existential verbs can be marked with the causative particle, encoding an effective change of state brought about by an agent or force. Thus \(f a\) au encodes the bringing about of the existence or presence of an entity, while fa teo encodes the bringing about of the cessation of existence of an entity. The entity that would be the subject of the existential verb becomes the object of the causativized forms:
```

(6.120)a. n-a fa au=i kaike suli
RL-1EXCS CS exist = 3SGO one child
'I have created a child.' [lit. 'I have caused a child to exist.']
b. n-e fa au=ri mane are
RL-3S CS exist=3PLO man thoseN
'He told those men to stay.' [lit. 'He caused those men to be present.']

```
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> c. n-a-ke fa teo=i haidu ana
> RL-IEXCS-PFV CS not.exist \(=3\) SGO meeting that N
> 'I stopped that meeting.' [lit. 'I caused that meeting to not exist.']

The range of meanings of these causativized forms corresponds to those of the root verbs. While the causativized positive existential verb expresses existence or presence, the negative expresses only non-existence, and not non-presence. As (6.120)a. shows, the causativized positive existential verb can mean to cause the life of a person (by parenting it). The causativized negative existential verb alone does not have the opposite sense of 'kill'. It does, however, occur in a lexicalized collocation with faro(go) ho 'smite' with that meaning:
(6.121) \(\bar{g}-e\) farogoho fa teo=ri mane.,.

NT-3s smite CS not.exist \(=3\) PLO man
'He killed the men...' 50
The causativized existential verbs are transitive. Fa teo also occurs as a ditransitive predication. In this construction the indirect object is a subordinate clause expressing an event that was prevented from occurring by the agent. The direct object is not an entity that would be the subject of teo in an uncausativized clause, but instead is the subject of the subordinate clause 10 :
(6.122) n-e fa teo \(=i\) gase ana

RL-3S CS not.exist=3SGO woman thatN
'He didn't allow that girl
ta lao=na ka sikolu=na
SBD go=that LOC school=thatN
to go to school.'

\subsection*{6.6.5 Teo as a verb proform}

The negative existential verb teo also functions as a verb proform 'not do':
(6.123) ara manahagi=nigo ago ta dupa=i=na manei

I want \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) youSG SBD punch \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N he
'I wanted you to hit him,
ge ne too bla ago
but you didn't.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{50}\) This verb is undergoing diachronic loss of the third syllable to faroho.
}

\subsection*{6.7 Adjuncts}

The formal characteristics of PPs and various types of adjuncts are discussed in chapter 4. The functional characteristics of each are discussed here.

\subsection*{6.7.1 The Prepositional Phrase}

One preposition exists in Kokota: the general purpose locative \(k a\). This acts as the head of prepositional phrases with a variety of semantic roles, including locative, goal, source, cause, instrument, and benefactive. The semantic role of a PP in any clause is determined by a combination of the semantics of the predication and the semantics of the constituent governed by the preposition.

\subsection*{6.7.1.1 Spatial locatives}

The existential verb au has a broad meaning covering notions such as 'exist' 'be (somewhere)', 'stay', and 'live'. Due to the inherently location-dependant nature of the event expressed by this verb, prepositional phrases occurring with \(a u\) are interpreted as having the semantic role of spatial locative, as in (6.124). Au also often occurs with a location name or spatial deictic locative (see §6.7.2).

\section*{...gita-palu ḡe au la ka nasona a-hi gerona keli}
weINC-two NT exist CND LOC point thisT-EMPH PNLOC be.good '...if you and I lived at the point at Gerona [that would be] good.'

In clauses where the semantics of the predication do not indicate some other kind of peripheral notion, any PP is typically interpreted as a locative (rather than, say, a goal or source). In (6.125)a. the PP is interpreted as a locative although the predicate frinhe does not have an implicit location built into its semantics (beyond a general requirement that all events take place somewhere). In (6.125)b. the PP is again interpreted as a locative, not a goal or source: the clause does not mean '...chase...into the garden' or '..chase...from the garden'.

> (6.125)a. \(e=u \quad\) frinhe \(n-e-k e\) frin̄he \(=n i=n a\) palu mane aro
> 3s=bethus work RL-3S-PFV work=3SGO-thatN two man theseT 'That's the thing these two men did

\section*{ka nasona ine gerona}

LOC point thisR PNLOC at this point at Gerona.'
b. ira mheke n-e toğla=di ia zora ka=ia ḡrui
thepl dog RL-3S chase \(=3\) PLO theSG pig LOC=thesG garden 'The dogs chased the pig in [i.e., within] the garden.'
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The PP in this example refers to a physical location, so lends itself to a locative interpretation. However, the interpretation of a PP as a locative when occurring with a non-location-dependent verb is not limited to PPs referring to physical locations, such as 'the point at Gerona' in (6.125). PPs whose referents would not otherwise be regarded as locations are interpreted as such:
```

(6.126)a. ..g-e la ufi=0 ia to~toi
NT-3S go blow-TR=3SGO theSG RD~cook
'...he went and blew on the fire

```
    \(k a=i a \quad p a p a \bar{g} u \quad \bar{g} a z u\)
    LOC=thesG stack wood
    on the pile of wood.'
b. \(\bar{g}-e \quad k n u s u \quad b l a \quad k a=t o-t o i=n e=n-e-k e=u\)
    NT-3S break LMT LOC \(=\) RD-cook \(=\) thisR \(=\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}=\) be .thus
    'It broke on the fire, it was like that.'

In (6.126)a. the pile of wood is given as the location of the blowing, not the location of the fire. Neither of the predications in (6.125) have an implicit location, and neither of the PPs have referents that would be interpreted as locatives in other constructions.

PPs that do not even refer to physical items, such as customs or languages, are also typically interpreted as locatives:
(6.127)a. ...ka=ia kastom gai tana goi

LOC=thesg custom weexc then VOC
-...in our custom, man!,
momoru \(e=n i \quad e=u\)
momoru \(3 \mathrm{~s}=3 \mathrm{SGO} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{be}\),thus
it's called momoru.'
b. ...malaria \(t a=n i=0 \quad n a \bar{n} h a=n a=n a \quad e=u\)
malaria \(\mathrm{SBD}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatNV name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
‘...malaria, as it's called
ka ooe-vaka
LOC talk-ship
in English.' [lit. '...which does that name of it in English.']
Locatives of this kind are often governed by the form fai, usually translated by speakers as 'side'. This may refer literally to the side of a physical object:

ARGUMENT STRUCTURE
(6.128) roha=i ka fai hage=na naprai \(e=u \quad b a\) scrape \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LOC side ascend \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) sun \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus ALT 'Scrape it [the bark of a tree] on the side where the sun comes up.'

However, fai often occurs with a sense of 'on the part of', 'in the context of':
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(e=u \quad e\) & tehi la bla gai & kokolo=di fog\(r a\) \\
\(3 s=\) be.thus & 3 s be.many \(?\) ? LMT weexC & class=3pLp sick \\
-There are many kinds of sicknesses
\end{tabular}
\(k a=i a \quad\) fai dokta
LOC-thesG side doctor to do with doctors.'
b. palu ğlepo bla ka fai kastom=de bla two thing LMT LOC side custom=theser LMT 'two things on the part of [i.e,, to do with] custom'

Locatives extend to events that take place in a person's thoughts:
(6.130) ara ka ga gato=ğu=re gita ginai korho namhari...

I LOC RD-think=1SGP=thoseN weINC FUT pull fish 'I think we will catch fish...'

\subsection*{6.7.1.2 Source and goal}

Some verbs code events that inherently involve directed motion or action. PPs occurring with these verbs will be interpreted as goal or source, depending on an interaction of the semantics of the predication and the referent of the PP itself. Several verbs code motion that is inherently directional. These include mai 'come', lao 'go (towards)', zaho 'go (away)', and age 'go'. PPs occurring with these verbs are interpreted as goals:
(6.131) a. ...ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a \quad k a=i a \quad s u \bar{g} a \ldots\)

SEQ NT-3s go=IMM LOC=thesg house
'...then she will go to the house...'
b. ginai mai gudu bla baiu ka sikolu=ne bla FUT come EXHST LMT PSBL LOC school=thisR LMT 'I think they will all come just to this school.'
c. ara n-a aḡe ka=ia sitoa

1 RL-1EXCS go LOC=thesG store 'I went to the store.'
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\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { d. } & \text { zaho } k a \quad \text { no-u } & \text { suğ } a=o \\
\text { go Loc GENP-2SGP house=thatN } \\
\text { 'Go to your house!' }
\end{array}
\]

This applies equally to causativized forms of these verbs:
\[
\begin{align*}
& n-e \quad \text { fa mai=ni kaike letasi } k=a r a  \tag{6.132}\\
& \text { RL-3s cS come }=3 \mathrm{SGO} \text { one letter LOC=I } \\
& \text { 'They have sent a letter to me.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Several verbs code various concepts of return or arrival, including pulo 'return', posa 'emerge', toke 'arrive back', and toga 'arrive'. PPs occurring with these verbs are also interpreted as goal:
(6.133)a. ke pulo... ka=n-e-ke hure=ro ira tilo tomoko PFV return LOC \(=\) RL-3S-PFV carry=thosenv thePL three war.canoe 'They went back...to where they had carried the three canoes.'
b. ...n-e-ke posa maneri ka toa=na \(e=u\) RL-3S-PFV emerge they LOC fort=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) =be.thus '...they emerged at the fort'
c. \(\bar{g}-e\) toke ka=ia suğa

NT-3S return LOC=theSo house 'They go back to the house.'
d. n-e toga ka rarata=o

RL-3S arrive LOC beach=thatNV 'He arrived at that beach.'

Kokota has two verbs translatable as 'put': nai and lisa. Both subcategorize for a theme complement, and with both, a PP is interpreted as goal:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text {...hod- } i=0 \quad \text { age } n a i=n i \quad \text { ka suğ } \text { tarai=ne }  \tag{6.134}\\
& \text { take-TR=3SGO go put=3SGO LOC house pray=thisR } \\
& \text { '...take it and put it in the church' }
\end{align*}
\]

A number of other verbs of motion prompt goal interpretations of PPs expressing locations. These include verbs such as flalo 'fly', where the actors themselves change location (as in [6.135]a.), or verbs such as korho 'pull', where it is an acted-upon undergoer that changes location (as in [6.135]b.-c.):
(6.135)a. ara ginai flalo ka nau= \(\overline{\mathrm{g}} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { n }}=\mathrm{o}\)

1 FUT fly LOC place=1SGP=thatNV
'I will fly to my home.'
b. ...korho \(=u\) tagi-di ka=nau fai kokota a-hi... pull \(=\) CNT REFL-3PLP LOC=place side PNLOC thisT-EMPH '...they pulled themselves to this place Kokota...'

Some verbs that are not motion verbs prompt a goal interpretation of PPs with specific kinds of referents. For example, a verb expressing an event that brings about the existence of small objects will prompt a goal interpretation of a PP that has as its referent an item that could function as a receptacle. In (6.128) the PP with roha 'scrape' is interpreted as a locative. In (6.136) the resultative nature of the event interacts with the semantics of the PP to prompt a goal interpretation:
(6.136) roha=i nhigo ka botolo ba ka tini scrape \(=35 G O\) be.finished LOC bottle ALT LOC tin 'Scrape it into a bottle or tin.'

PPs occurring with the directional verb hage 'ascend' are interpreted as goal:
(6.137)a. nee la hage=u=na manei ka toa

RL-3s go ascend \(=\mathrm{CNT}=\) thatN he LOC fort 'He was going up to the fort.'
b. ...g-a fa hage \(=\boldsymbol{i}\) ka hinage

NT-1EXCS CS ascend=3SGO LOC boat
'...we lift it into the boat'
However, with its antonym, kave 'descend', a PP will be interpreted as source:
(6.138) ia kakau n-e seha kave ka raro=no theSG crab RL-3S climb descend LOC pot=thatNV 'The crab climbed out of the pot.'

With some other verbs, like fufunu'begin', a PP is again interpreted as source:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(a\) & \(n-a\) & fufunu & \(k a=i a\) & sitoa \\
\hline 1 & RL-1EXCS & begin & LOC=thesg & store \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

When a serial verb construction involves both a goal-oriented directional verb such as mai 'come' or lao 'go', and a verb with which PPs have a source interpretation, such as kave 'descend' or fufunu 'begin', the source interpretation applies:
(6.140) a. tana kave mai ka=ia riñata
then descend come LOC=thesG doorway
'...then they come out from the doorway'
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b. manei n-e fufunu mai=na ka suğa tarai
he RL-3S begin come-that N LOC house pray 'He is coming from the church.'

However, when a serial verb construction involves a goal-oriented directional verb and a verb that has no implicit direct motion or action (like those discussed in §6.7.1.1), a PP is interpreted as goal. In (6.141)a., for example, ravi 'hide from' occurs with a PP that is interpreted as locative. However, in (6.141)b. the presence of lao 'go' means that a PP occurring with the complex predication is interpreted as goal:
(6.141)a. hei n-e ravi=nau ana ka bakla=na
who RL-3s hide.from=1SGO thatN LOC flat.root=thatN
'Who is hiding from me behind that flat root?'
b. manel \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke ravi lao ka=ira bakla
he NT-3S-PFV hide go LOC=thePL flat.root 'He hid down among the flat roots.'

This applies equally to non-physical events:
(6.142) mane sala ge ruruboñi n-e-ke namha mai ka suaragi man PN and PN RL-3S-PFV love come LOC PN 'Sala and Rurubonii were kind to Suaragi.'

At least one verb, fa kamo 'go across' (lit. 'cause to cross'), often occurs with two PPs, one interpreted as a source and the other a goal, in that order (the order is presumably temporally iconic):
(6.143) fa kamo=i bakru ta=au=ana

CS go.across \(=35 G O\) liquid \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that
'Transfer that tea
ka timosi ana ka panakini ana LOC thermos that LOC cup thatN from that thermos to that cup.'

Like locatives, sources and goals may be physical locations, physical objects, people, or non-physical items. In (6.144) a PP occurs in each of the two clauses, one a source, the other a goal. Both refer to points in a story:
(6.144) fufumu \(\boldsymbol{k a}\) kell-kava=o n-e la mai=u
begin LOC be.good-earth=thatNV RL-3S go come=CNT
'Start from the peace [until it] goes ahead [and] comes
\(k a \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad\) toke=i=a ta dia LOC inside \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thesG SBD be.bad to reaching the badness.'

\subsection*{6.7.1.3 Temporal locatives}

The semantic interpretations discussed in §6.7.1.1 and §6.7.1.2 do not apply when the PP refers to a temporal location of some kind. Temporal expressions occurring within a PP always locate the event in time, regardless of the semantics of the verb realizing the event.
(6.145)a. hage ka saigona=na sarere ana
ascend LOC evening \(=3\) SGP Saturday thatN
'[They] went up on that Saturday evening.'
b. ka nare t=au=ana bla e-ke ağe keli bla manei LOC day \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thatN LMT 3S-PFVgo be.good LMT he 'On that very day he recovers.'

As discussed in \(\$ 4.2 .3\), some deictic temporal forms are inherently locative and occur as a temporal locative without being governed by a preposition. However, some temporal locative NPs may, but need not, be governed by a preposition. In (6.146) the preposition is optional:
(6.146) niha fata lao ago buala (ka) wiki ta age=o how manyoccasion go youSG PNLOCLOC week SBD go-thatNV 'How many times did you go to Buala last week?'

\subsection*{6.7.1.4 Cause}

In some instances the semantics of the PP referent combined with the semantics of the predication prompt an interpretation of the PP as a cause. With the verb lehe 'die, be dead', for example, most PPs would be interpreted as the location of the dying or being dead, as in (6.147):
(6.147) n-e-ge lehe ia-hi kolodadara ka pau=na kumai=na RL-3S-PRS die thatPV PNLOC LOC head=3SGP water=thatN 'He died at Kolodadara at the headwater.'

Equally, PPs with human referents are normally interpreted as locatives, goals, or sources, depending on the verb, as in (6.148):
(6.148) ke mai ka suaragi

PFV come LOC PN
'[They] came to Suaragi.'
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However, since deaths are generally assumed by the Kokota to result from a cause of some kind, PPs with human referents in clauses with the verb lehe are interpreted as having the semantic role cause: \({ }^{51}\)
(6.149)a. ka sala ge ruruboñi bla n-a lehe=na ara

LOC PN and PN LMT RL-1EXCS die=thatN I
'Simply from Sala and Ruruboñi I am dying.'
b. n-e-ke lehe hogo=na bla ka mane aro si=ba=ia RL-3s-PFV die be.true=thatN LMT LOC man theset FOC=ALT=PRO 'He really did die from these men.'

This interpretation applies to several other physical or emotional responses that are seen as having an implicit cause. With the verb mhoto 'sweat', a heat source will be interpreted as a cause, not a locative ([6.150]a.). With a verb such as fahega 'be happy' a much wider range of PP referents, including humans or events, will be interpreted as having the semantic role cause ([6.150]b.).
ago n-o mhoto ka naprai ana
yousg RL-2S sweat LOC sun thatN
'You are sweating from that sun.'
b. ara n-a fahega ka ago

I RL-IEXCS be.happy LOC yousG
'I'm happy with you.'
The interpretation of a PP as cause is particularly common with predications containing an experiencer verb. As discussed in \(\S 6.1 .3 .2\), several classes of verbs subcategorize for an experiencer. With these verbs, a broad range of PPs are interpreted as the cause of the experienced sensation. In this context a PP is subcategorized for by the verb and is therefore a complement, not an adjunct:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ago n-o humu=nigo ka maliri=na } & \text { zora=na }  \tag{6.151}\\
\text { youSG RL-2S be.heartburn=2SGOLOC fat= }=3 \mathrm{SGP} & \text { pig=thatN } \\
\text { You have heartburn from that pig fat. }
\end{array}
\]

The positive and negative existential verbs \(a u\) and teo each have a number of closely related senses, including a general existential meaning and a sense of 'live', 'reside', or 'stay'. As discussed in \(\S 6.7 .1 .1\), the latter senses prompt the interpretation of PPs as spatial locatives. However, in some contexts the general existential sense occurs with a PP representing an event or a participant, prompting an interpretation of that as a cause of the existence or non-existence.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{51}\) Particularly since the Kokota generally assume that human intervention, usually with the assistance of a 'devil' or spirit, plays a part in any sickness or death.
}

The two examples in (6.152) come from a narrative about a devil giant who was eating people and killed almost the entire population before himself being killed:
```

(6.152)a. n-e-ge teo sini ka naitu parahag}al=a-h
RL-3S-PRS notexist FOC LOC devil giant=thisT-EMPH
'We are finished because of this giant.'
b. n-e-ke kaike au nakoni=de=ña
RL-3S-PFV one exist person=theseR=IMM
'These people together lived on still,
ka lehe=na=na nailu t=au=ne
LOC die=3SGP=thatN devil SBD=exist=thisR
because of the death of that devil.'

```

\subsection*{6.7.1.5 Instrument}

With some clauses a combination of the semantics of the predication and a PP prompt an interpretation of the PP as having the semantic role instrument.

With a verb of hitting or striking, such as faroho 'smite', a PP will normally be interpreted as a locative, or if referring to a body part, then a goal. A verb of physical contact carries an implicit point of contact, and a body part term governed by a PP will be interpreted as that point of contact:
(6.153) ia nakodou n-e faroho=ri ira mheke thesg woman RL-3s smite=3plo thePl dog 'The woman hit the dogs
```

ka pau=di=re
LOC head=3plp=thoseN
on their heads."

```

However, the verb also involves an implicit instrument. If the PP refers to a physical object that may be wielded, it is interpreted as an instrument:
(6.154) ira huḡru suli n-e faroho=ri ira mheke ka \(\bar{g} a z u\) thepl all childrl-3s smite=3PLO thePL dog LOC wood 'All the children hit the dogs with sticks.'

Equally, a PP with a verb of tying, such as piri 'bind', will normally be interpreted as a locative. However, when the PP refers to an object that is long and flexible, it is interpreted as an instrument:
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\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-e la piri=ni=u ka=ia kolu } \quad e=u  \tag{6.155}\\
& \text { RL-3S go bind=3SGO=CNT LOC=theSG snake } \\
& \text { 'He tied him up with the snake, }
\end{align*}
\]
ka=ia kolu-seku=na=o manei
LOC \(=\) thes s snake-tail \(=3\) SOP \(=\) thatN he with his snake's tail.'

Instruments need not be physical objects. In both examples in (6.156) fa-lehe 'kill' (lit. 'cause-die') occurs with PPs referring to non-physical items that are interpreted as instruments. Note that in (6.156)b. the instrument is an adjunct of the negative existential verb teo (functioning as a verbal proform). However, it relates semantically to falehe, the predicate of the preceding clause.
(6.156)a. ka fari-namha-i a-hi da fa-lehe=i=u mane=ne

LOC RECP-love-?? thisT-EMPH \(1 \mathrm{INCS} \mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{die}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) man=thisR 'With this mutual kindness we will kill this man.'
b. mala fa-lehe \(=i=u \quad n-e-k e=u=0 \quad b=a r a\), PURP CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=thatNV ALT=I 'I intended to kill him,
teo bla si=boka= \(\bar{g} u=n a \quad\) ka kuiti aro-hi
not.exist LMT FOC=be.able \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatN LOC trick theseT-EMPH but l just wasn't able to [lit. my ability just was not] with these tricks.'

\subsection*{6.7.1.6 Benefactive}

A number of verbs refer to a process of making something. With such verbs, where a PP refers to a physical location of some kind it has the role of locative. However, if the referent of the PP is a person, it is interpreted as a benefactive:
(6.157)a. heve n-e frin̄he=i=na ka=man ta foğra=u
what \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that \(\mathrm{LOC}=\) man SBD sick \(=\mathrm{CNT}\)
'What was done for the man who is sick?'
b. ...ke la toi mala-n̄hau ka-manei

PFV go cook PURP-eat LOC=he
'...[they] went [and] cooked food for him.'

\subsection*{6.7.1.7 Comitative}

With a number of verbs a PP referring to a human participant is interpreted as comitative. These verbs all encode interpersonal contact of some kind: talking, shaking hands, having sex, etc. This is distinct from participants expressed by a
complement of the associative noun tareme- (see \(\S 4.6\) and \(\S 6.7 .2 .3\) ). The associative gives the sense that the actor performs the event while in the company of the referent of the associative adjunct. With prepositional comitative the event is instead directed towards the participant as a co-participant.

Two general speech act verbs, ooe 'talk' and turi/tu 1 turi 'tell stories, chat', prompt a comitative interpretation of a PP with a human referent. Ooe has both ditransitive and intransitive argument structures. With the ditransitive variant the interlocutor is realized as a core argument (as is the thing said, typically expressed as a subordinate clause):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
ara \(n\)-a-ke & ooe \(=n i\) & manei \\
I RL-1EXCS-PFV talk=3SGO & he
\end{tabular}
ta mala tazi=ni \(n-e=u \quad\) rereg \(i=n i\) SBD PURP keep=3SGO RL-3S=be.thus look.after=3SGO to keep and look after
\(t=a u=n a \quad n o-\bar{g} u \quad z u t a=n a\)
SBD=exist=that \(\mathrm{GENP}-1 \mathrm{SGP}\) lamp=that my lamp."

With the intransitive form, however, the interlocutor is treated as a coparticipant and is realized as a prepositional comitative adjunct:
(6.159) ara manahagi ooe ka ago ginai

I want talk LOC yousg todayIRR
'I want to talk with you later.'
Turi and tu-turi are the transitive root and derived intransitive counterparts of a verb meaning 'tell stories, chat'. With the transitive form turi the thing told is expressed as a core argument theme:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
turi \(=d i=r e\) & keha & tu~turi=di & kokota \\
tell=3PLO=thosen & NSP & RD-tell=3PLP & PNLOC \\
'Tell some Kokota stories.'
\end{tabular}

However, with the intransitive tu \(\sim\) turi a PP referring to a human participant is interpreted as comitative, again expressing a co-participant interlocutor:
```

(6.161) ara n-a tu-turi ha manei
I RL-1EXCS RD-tell LOC he
'I chatted with him.'

```
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The verb kubai 'shake hands' can only be intransitive, and may occur with a PP referring to a human participant that is interpreted as a co-participant:

> ago n-o kubai bo ka nakoni=de
> yousG RL-2S shake.hands CNT LOC person=theser
> 'You shook hands with these people.'

Three verbs refer to sexual intercourse: the dedicated non-respect term ome, along with visi 'play' and frinhe-puhi 'do bad things' (lit. 'work-way') used metaphorically for politeness or formality. With all three a PP with a human referent is interpreted as a comitative adjunct expressing a co-participant:
ara n-a ome ka gase ana
I RL-1EXCS fuck LOC woman thatN 'I fucked with that woman.'
b. ara n-a no-g \(u\) visi ka ago

I RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP play LOC youSG
'I want to play [i.e., have sex] with you.'
c. ara n-a no-ḡu frin̄he-puhi ka ago

I RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP work-way LOC youSG
'I want to do bad things [i.e., have sex] with you.'

\subsection*{6.7.2 Other adjunct types}

The formal characteristics of these adjunct types are discussed in chapter 4.

\subsection*{6.7.2.1 Deictics and local nouns}

Spatial deictic locative forms (see \(\S 4.2 .1\) ) and local nouns (see \(\S 4.4\) ) have the semantic roles of spatial locative, goal, or source, depending on the semantics of the verb. Source interpretations, however, occur rarely. In (6.164) deictics and location names occur with a spatial locative role, and in (6.165) as goal;
(6.164)a. teo \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) manahagi=nigo ta au=na ade not.exist NT-1EXCS want \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) SBD exist-that N here 'I don't want you to stay here.'
b. \(t=a u=a n a \quad n-e\) au mautu bo SBD=exist=thatN RL-3S exist right CNT 'That's on the right.'
(6.165)a. hage mai ade
ascend come here
'Come up here!'
b. n-e \(\boldsymbol{l a o}=u\) fate

RL-3S go=CNT above
'He's going up on top.'
Temporal deictic locative forms (see §4.2.3) function as temporal locatives. The local nouns legu 'behind' and \(\bar{g} i l u\) 'inside' occur with a temporal locative role as well as spatial locatives. This is discussed in §4.4.1, and illustrated with examples (4.22)c.-d. and (4.23).

\subsection*{6.7.2.2 Location names}

The proper names of physical locations (see §4.4) typically function as adjuncts. They occur commonly with the existential verb \(a u\) as a locative:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{g}-e \quad \text { au }=g u & \text { buala } & e=u  \tag{6.166}\\
\text { NT-3s exist }=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { PNLOC } & 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus } \\
\text { 'He [was] living in } & \text { Buala.' } &
\end{array}
\]

When \(a u\) occurs as the final verb in a serial construction a location name remains locative, rather than a goal or source, even when the construction also involves a directional verb:
(6.167)a. ke aḡe au paloho

PFV go exist PNLOC
'He went [and] stayed at Paloho.'
b. n-e mai au buala \(e=u\)

RL-3s come exist PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
'It came [and] was at Buala.'
This also applies when other non-motion verbs occur following motion verbs in serial constructions:
```

(6.168)a. ..g
NT-3s come meet=thatN PNLOC
'...he came and held a meeting at Selana'
b. \overline{g}-e mai lehe=u bla n-e=u are rabaka...
NT-3S come die=CNT LMT RL-3S=be.thus thoseN PNLOC
'They came [and] died, some at Rabaka...'

```
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As with prepositional phrases, some verbs prompt a goal interpretation:
(6.169)a.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
n-e-ge & kusu la toga no-di=u \\
RL-3S-PRS be.first go arrive GENP-3PLP=CNT PNLOC \\
'They're the first to be going and arriving at Bagovu.'
\end{tabular}
b. ağe da hage \(=u\) fitupoğu
go IINCS ascend=CNT PNLOC 'Let's go up to Fitupogu.'
c. ke pulo \(e=u \quad\) tana zelu

PFV return \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus then PNLOC '[They] returned to Zelu.'

With other verbs the adjunct is interpreted as a source:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
(6.170) gita da-ke fufunu mai=da hoğrano \\
welNC 1 NCS-PFV begin come \(=1 \mathrm{INCP}\) PNLOC \\
& 'We came from Hograno.'
\end{tabular}

While physical locations typically function as a locative, goal, or source, in certain semantically or contextually dictated circumstances they may have other semantic roles. For example, in §6.7.1.4 PPs with human referents were shown to have the semantic role cause when the predication included the verb lehe 'die'. This also applies when a location name occurs as the oblique, the sense being that people from that location caused the death:
(6.171) n-e la lehe mariñi, ka mane aro

RL-3S go die PNLOC LOC man thosen
'He is dead from Maringe, from those men.'
In addition to functioning as adjuncts, location names may function as a core argument with semantic roles including stative and theme or patient (see [6.47]).

\subsection*{6.7.2.3 Contextualizer and associative nouns}

Contextualizer nouns, discussed in \(\S 4.5\), and associative nouns, discussed in §4.6, have the semantic roles of context and associative respectively.
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\subsection*{7.1 Overview of verb complex}

In clauses other than those with a nonverbal predicate (see §8.1) the predicate consists formally of a verb complex. The verb complex consists of two layers. The inner layer, the verb core, is opaque to the outer modifiers. The outer layer consists of various forms that modify the verb core as a whole. In addition to core and outer modifiers, a group of constituent modifiers occur at the clause level modifying the entire verb complex. These constituent modifiers also modify other constituent types, and are discussed in \(\S 8.8\). Verb complex core and outer modifiers are discussed in this chapter.

\subsection*{7.2 Verb derivation}

Verbs may be derived by compounding or reduplication, or by forming a single lexicalized verb with an accreted causative preposed particle fa or the preposed reciprocal particle fari.

\subsection*{7.2.1 Verb compounding}

Nominal compounding is a productive and relatively common derivational strategy (see \(\S 3.1 .1 .1\) ). Compounding is also employed, to a considerably lesser extent, to derive verbs. Compound verbs are left-headed and endocentric. The left-hand root must be a verb root. The right-hand form may be a verb, as in (7.1)a.-d., a noun ([7.1]e.-f.), or even a root adjective ([7.1]g.):
(7.1) a. do-dou-n̄hau 'be a glutton' (lit. 'RD-be.big-eat')
b. lehe-n̄hau 'be hungry' (lit. 'die-eat')
c. gato-gonu 'forget' (lit. 'think-be.insensible')
d. fogra-dou 'be very sick' (lit. 'be.sick-be.big')
e. dia-nanafa 'feel bad' (sad, sorry, etc.) (lit. 'be.bad-heart')
f. dia-tini 'be unwell' (lit. 'be.bad-body')
g. turi-tove 'tell custom stories' (lit. 'narrate-old')

\subsection*{7.2.2 Reduplicated verbs}

Reduplication derives intransitive verbs from transitive roots. In some instances a verb may also be derived from a verb root giving habitual, ongoing, or diminutive verbs, or with semantically unpredictable results. In addition a handful of verbs are derived by reduplication from noun roots. These derivations are illustrated, and the function of reduplication is discussed, in §2.4.1.1. The effects of reduplication on valency are discussed in §6.3.1.
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\subsection*{7.2.3 Causative derivation}

The preposed causative particle \(f a\) combines with a verb to give a complex verb with an increased valency. This regular productive process is discussed in §6.3.3. However, a number of verbs exist in which fa combines with the root to form a single phonological word, giving a derived verb. In some instances the semantics of the resulting verb are predictable, as in (7.2)a.-b. In others the semantics is unpredictable and lexicalized, as in (7.2)c.:
a. fa-lehe 'kill' ('CS-die')
b. fa-nodo 'stop (TR)' ('cs-be stopped')
c. fa-ku-kumai 'give s.o. custom medicine to drink' ('CS-RD-drink')

The stress basis for determining wordhood with \(f a\) is discussed in §2.5.6.2.

\subsection*{7.2.4 Derivation with the 'reciprocal' marker fari}

The preposed particle fari combines productively with verbs to indicate that the marked event applies mutually to more than one participant (see §7.3). However, the form also combines phonologically with certain verbs to form a single lexicalized derived verb. These include:
a. fari-dia-i 'be bad to each other, hate each other' (dia 'be.bad')
b. fari-namha-i 'be kind to each other, love each other' (namha 'love')

These derived verbs display the final vowel /i/. This may derive diachronically from third singular object marking or the transitivizing suffix. Synchronically it appears to be functionally and semantically empty, as the verbs are intransitive:
a. \(n\)-a-ke fari-dia-i=u RL-1EXCS-PFV RECP-be.bad-??=CNT
'We were hating each other.'
b. ka fari-namha-i \(a-h i \quad d a \quad\) fa-lehe \(=i=u \quad\) mane \(=n e\) LOC RECP-love-?? thisT-EMPH lINCS CS-die \(=35 G O=\) CNT man \(=\) thisR 'With this mutual kindness we will kill this man.'

\subsection*{7.2.5 Comparative suffix -ia~-a}

The suffix -ia~-a marks stative verbs with a comparative meaning:
(7.5) a. laini ine n-e sodu n̄a laini ana n-e sodu-a
line thisR RL-3s be,long but line thatN RL-3s be.long-CMP 'This washing line is long, but that washing line is longer.'
b. mala-n̄hau ide n-e tehi

PURP-eat theser RL-3S be.many
'These foods are many,
n̄a mala-nhhau are \(n\)-e tehi-a
but PURP-eat thosen RL-3S be.many-CMP but those foods are more plentiful.'

All stative verbs may take this suffix, including verbs such as fafra 'be quick' and bnakoa 'be slow', but color terms may not. Verbs with the final vowel /a/ take the -ia allomorph, while those with other vowels take \(-a\). Verbs taking the comparative suffix include two forms that occur typically as local nouns: fate 'above, on top, be high' and pari 'below, be low':
tuğle ine nee fate, \(\bar{n} a\) tuğle ana n-e fate-a hill thisR RL-3s be.high but hill thatN RL-3S be.high-CMP 'This hill is high, but that hill is higher.'

The comparative suffix does not occur with causativized stative verbs. There is, for example, no *fa puku-a 'make it shorter [than something else]'.

The comparative suffix also marks four direction verbs, indicating movement in the direction further than some other movement in that direction:
a. lao 'go (towards)' lao-a 'go further (towards)'
b. zaho 'go (away)' zaho-a 'go further (away)'
c. hage 'ascend' hage-a 'go further up'
d. kave 'descend' kave-a 'go further down'
suka n-e zaho n̄a belama n-e zaho-a
PN RL-3S go but PN RL-3S go-CMP
'Suka went away but Belama went further away.'
In addition, the suffix may mark the four absolute locatives (see §4.4.2) when they occur postverbally, indicating direction or location of an event:
```

a. goino ara n-a-ke lao rhuku,
todayRL I RL-IEXCS-PFV go landward
'Today I went inland,

```
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
na fufugo ara a-ke lao rhuku-a \\
but tomorrow I & lEXCS-PFV go & landward-CMP \\
but tomorrow I will go further inland.'
\end{tabular}

\section*{CHAPTER 7}
b. no-u suğa n-e au paka

GENP-2SGP house RL-3S exist west 'Your house is in the west [of the village],
\(\bar{n} a\) ia suğa tarai n-e au paka-ia
but thesc house pray RL-3S exist west-CMP but the church is further west.'

Two other strategies exist for marking the comparative. One involves the form ia, not suffixed to the verb, but following it forming a single phonological word with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\) and contrastive marker bo. The other involves the directional verb la 'go (towards)' (or possibly the constituent marker la [function unclear]) occurring in place of \(i a\) in the same construction:
\[
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { a. } \begin{array}{llll}
\text { manei } & \text { n-e dou } & \bar{n} a & \text { ara } \\
\text { ne } & \text { RL-3s be.big but } & \text { I } & \text { RL-1EXCS be.big-CMP } \\
\text { he } & \\
\text { 'He is big, but I am bigger.' }
\end{array} & \tag{7.10}
\end{array}
\]
b. manei n-e dou n̄a ara n-a dou ia=n̄a=bo he RL-3s be.big but I RL-1EXCS be.big \(\quad \mathrm{CMP}=1 \mathrm{MM}=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'He is big, but I am bigger.'
c. manei n-e dou n̄a ara n-a dou la=n̄a=bo he RL-3S be.big but I RL-lEXCS be.big go \(=\mathrm{IMM}=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'He is big, but I am bigger.'

All three strategies may be used with stative verbs. The last strategy may also be used with active verbs, suggesting that it is the comparative form that is limited to occurring with statives, not the notion of comparison:
(7.11) a. manei n-e n̄han̄ha ña ara n-a \(\bar{n} h a n ̄ h a ~ l a=n ̄ a=b o\) he RL-3S run but I RL-JEXCS run go \(=1 \mathrm{MM}=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'He ran, but I ran further.'
b. manei n-e mhoko \(\bar{n} a\) ara \(n\)-a mhoko la=ña=bo
he RL-3s sit but I RL-1EXCS sit go \(=\mathrm{IMM}=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'He sat, but I sat for longer.'

No specific formal strategy exists for marking the superlative, the intensifier \(\bar{g} l e h e ~ ' v e r y ' ~ h a v i n g ~ a ~ s u p e r l a t i v e ~ s e n s e ~ i n ~ a n ~ o v e r t ~ c o m p a r i s o n: ~\)
(7.12) \(\bar{g} a z u\) ine \(n-e\) dou, \(\bar{g} a z u\) ana \(n-e ~ d o u-a\), wood thisR RL-3s be.big wood thatN RL-3s be.big-CMP 'This tree is big, that tree is bigger,
```

n}a \overline{g}azu iao n-e dou ğleh
but wood thatPV RL-3S be.big very
but that tree yonder is very big.'

```

\subsection*{7.3 Causative and reciprocal marking}

Verbs may be modified by one of two preposed particles: the causative fa, and the reciprocal fari. These mark individual verbs, not entire predications, and any verb in a serial construction may be marked with either.

The causative particle is discussed in detail in \(\S 6.3 .3\). The preposed particle fari marks verbs to indicate that the event applies mutually to more than one participant. The particle, in fact, may be productively preposed to nouns or verbs. With nouns it emphasizes the joint role of the marked participants:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text {...kotu }=\bar{n} a \text { ooe fa ma~mag} r a, ~ f a r i ~ & t=a u=n a  \tag{7.13}\\
\text { sprout }=\mathrm{IMM} \text { say CS RD fight } & \text { RECP } & \text { SBD=exist=thatN } \\
\text { ‘...fighting talk developed, between }
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ka tagi-mai fari datau } t=a u=\text { are } \\
& \text { LOC REFL-linCP RECP chief } \mathrm{SBD}=\text { exist }=\text { thosen } \\
& \text { ourselves, those chiefs.' }
\end{aligned}
\]

It also occurs optionally with the local noun hotai 'middle', giving the complex local noun fari hotai 'between' (see §4.4.1):
(7.14) popoheo n-e au ka fari hotai=di goveo ge buala PNLOC RL-3S exist LOC RECP middle=3PLP PNLOC and PNLOC 'Popoheo is between Goveo and Buala.'

With verbs the particle marks the event as applying mutually to each participant included in a plural subject:
a. gita da fari lase=i nan̄ha=na=na manei weINC 1 INCS RECP know \(=3 \mathrm{sGO}\) name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that he "We know his name,"
b. leo \(\quad g-e-k e ~ f a r i ~ r o g e=u \quad n-e-k e=u\)
not.exist NT-3S-PFV RECP plan=CNT RL-3S-PFV=be.thus 'They had not been making plans between them,
```

mane datau=ro...
man chief-thosenv
those chiefs...'

```
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\subsection*{7.4 Adverbial-like functions of verb serialization}

The verb core often consists of a single verb. However, verbs may also combine in serial constructions. The causative and reciprocal markers discussed in §7.3 modify individual verbs, regardless of whether the verb occurs in a serial construction, or where in the series it occurs. All other verb complex modifiers modify the entire predication, whether it is a single verb or a series. The internal characteristics of verb serialization are discussed in §6.5.

Many functions performed by adverbials in some languages are performed by serialized verbs in Kokota, typically verbs in \(V_{1}\) or \(V_{3}\) position (see \(\S 6.5\) ). All are verbs that freely occur as the sole verb in a predication or in any position in a serial construction, but in certain positions give particular adverbial-like senses.

Verbs in \(V_{1}\) position contribute notions such as commencement, initiality, rapidity, and closeness:
(7.16) a. manei n-e fufunu toka kave=i ia \(\bar{g} a z u\) he RL-3S begin chop descend \(=35 G 0\) thesG wood 'He started chopping down the tree.'
b. fafra mai gau
be.quick come youpl
'Come quickly, you lot.'
c. ne namo nhigo gita

RL be.near be.finishedwelNC
'We were nearly finished [i.e., wiped out].'
In \(V_{1}\) position the verb kusu/kulu 'be first' gives the sense that the event expressed by the rest of the predication occurred first in relation to some other event (as in [7.17]a.), or that the subject of the clause performed the event first in relation to other participants performing the event ([7.17]b.):
(7.17) a. kulu zahoka=ia kokori mau mala nhhau ka toa... be.first go LOC=-theSG dig.taro taro PURP eat LOC fort
'First go to dig taro to eat in the fort...'
b. ...mane n-e-ke kusu au=de ade
man RL-3S-PFV be.first exist=theser here
'...[the] people who lived here first'
In \(V_{1}\) position the motion verbs lao 'go (towards)' and age 'go, proceed', give a sense akin to the English 'go ahead, proceed', in the case of lao potentially at odds with its actual directional meaning:
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(7.18) n-e-ke la mai=u mane ide kokota

RL-3S-PFV go come \(=\) CNT man theser PNLOC
'These Kokota men used to come.' [lit '...to go ahead and come']
In \(V_{3}\) position motion verbs provide directional information that is given adverbially in some other languages:
a. manei n-e tao mai
he RL-3s swim come
'He swam towards me.'
b. ia kubiliki n-e seha lao ka ḡilu=na raro=no thesg rat RL-3s climb go loC inside=3SGP pot=thatNV 'The rat climbed into the pot.'
c. manei n-e fufunu toka kave=i ia gazu
he RL-3s begin chop descend=3SOO theso wood 'He started chopping down the tree.'

The adverbial-like function of these \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\) verbs is clear in (7.19)c., where kave 'descend' indicates a directed motion resulting from the chopping, not a downward movement on the part of the subject of the clause.

Other common verbs in \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\) position with adverbial-like functions include hohogo 'be true', \(\bar{n} h e \bar{n} h e ~ ' b e ~ s e p a r a t e ', ~ g ̆ o n u ~ ' b e ~ i n s e n s i b l e " ~ 52 ~ ' ~\)
a. \begin{tabular}{l} 
n-e-ke lehe hohogo \(=n a \quad b l a\) \\
RL- \(3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}\) die be.true=thatN LMT
\end{tabular}
\(k a\) mane aro si=ba=ia
LOC man theseT FOC=ALT=PRO
'He really died from those men.'
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. gai manahagi ta au } \begin{array}{l}\text { meñhe } \\ \text { weexC want SBD exist be.separate } \\ \text { 'We want to be alone.' }\end{array} & \end{array}\)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{52}\) The verb gonu has a meaning associated with a lack of awareness or conciousness. It combines with gato 'think' to form the compound gato-gomu 'forget'. Independently it often has the sense 'not understand', or 'not know':
ginai ağe \(\bar{g} o n u \quad\) ia histri-na nau=ne...
FUT go be.insensible theSG history=3SGP place=thisR
'The history of this place will become unknown...'
}
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c. n-e birho ğonu

RL-3s lie be.insensible
'He's asleep. \({ }^{53}\)

\subsection*{7.5 Pre-head verb modifiers}

Numerous modal, aspectual and tense marking forms may precede a predication's verbal head. Two, the abilitative boka and the desiderative manahagi, function both as main verbs, and as pre-head adverbials. Others are phonologically independent, but occur only as modifiers, while still others combine to form a pre-head modal/subject particle indexed to agree with the subject.

\subsection*{7.5.1 Modality, aspect, and tense overview}

The modality, aspect, and tense system is based primarily on a modal distinction between realis and irrealis. Tense constitutes a secondary system complementing modality, with two tense categories, present and future, optionally expressed. In addition a number of aspectual categories are recognized, including perfective and continuous.

\subsection*{7.5.2 Modal/subject particles}

\subsection*{7.5.2.1 Modal/subject particle forms and structure}

The modal/subject particle is comprised of up to five possible functional elements: a marker of modality and a subject agreement marker, both obligatory, and optional forms marking negative, perfective aspect, and present tense. Whichever of these are represented in a clause combine to form a single phonological word, the structure being representable as:
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MOD} / \mathrm{SBJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{MOD}+\mathrm{SBJ}+(\mathrm{NEG})+(\mathrm{PFV})+(\mathrm{PRS}) \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
\]

\subsection*{7.5.2.2 Modal and subject agreement forms}

Three modal categories are recognized: realis, irrealis, and a neutral category that underspecifies realis status. Of these three categories, only the realis and neutral category are overtly expressed. Irrealis is expressed by zero marking. This is typologically unusual, as crosslinguistically it is typically the realis category that is unmarked. Realis is realized by the particle-initial morpheme \(n\)-, and neutral by \(\bar{g}\)-. Subject agreement, discussed at more length in \(\S 6.1 .2 .1\), recognizes four person categories but does not distinguish number.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{53}\) The verb birho has a meaning that encompasses both 'be lying down' and 'sleep'. Birho \(\bar{g} o n u\) is used to distinguish actual sleep.
}

\section*{TABLE 7.1. MODAL/SUBJECT PARTICLE FORMS}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& IEXC & IINC & 2 & 3 \\
Irrealis & \(a\) & \(d a\) & \(o\) & \(e\) \\
Realis & \(n-a\) & \(d a\) & \(n-o\) & \(n-e\) \\
Neutral & \(\bar{g}-a\) & {\([\bar{g} e-] d a\)} & \(\bar{g}-o\) & \(\bar{g}-e\)
\end{tabular}

The single \(C\) of the modal forms combines with the single \(V\) of the first exclusive, second, and third person subject agreement markers, giving monosyllabic modal/subject forms in those person categories. However, the modal forms do not combine readily with the first inclusive agreement marker \(d a\), itself having a CV structure. Typically, no overt modal forms occur, and the modal distinctions are neutralized. However, occasionally a neutral modal form does occur with first inclusive agreement in a disyllabic particle (as in [7.22]). This only occurs with the neutral modal, and does not also occur with the realis.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\bar{g}-e \quad \text { la heve } e=u \quad g e & \bar{g} e-d a & f a-l e h e=i=\bar{n} a  \tag{7.22}\\
\text { NT-3s go what } 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus SEQ } & \mathrm{NT}-\mathrm{IINC} & \mathrm{CS} \text {-die }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} \\
\text { 'How are we going to kill him?' }
\end{array}
\]

\subsection*{7.5.2.3 Modal categories}

\subsection*{7.5.2.3.1 Irrealis}

Irrealis particles occur in clauses that code either future events, or habitual actions, or past or present events that are not taking place (counterfactuals). Where an event is located in the future irrealis, marking typically conveys this without any tense marking, as in (7.23)a. However, the future tense marker ginai may cooccur with irrealis marking ([7.23]b.):
(7.23) a. O-o la \(k a=n i=\bar{n} a \quad\) tagi-mi \(i^{54}\)

IRR-2S go see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) REFL-2PLP
'Go and look at him yourselves."
b. ginai o-o lehe-n̆hau gau-palu

FUT IRR-2S die-eat youpl-two 'You two will get hungry.'

Future irrealis events may also be marked for perfective aspect:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { gai } \quad \text {-a-ke } & \text { pulo }  \tag{7.24}\\
\text { weexc IRR-IEXCS-PFV } & \text { return } \\
\text { 'We will go back.' } &
\end{array}
\]

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{54}\) In this section irrealis will be represented by an overt 0 .
}
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The irrealis category also marks habituality. This applies whether the action is habitual at the time of speaking, as in (7.25)a., or was habitual at some point in the past, as in (7.25)b.:
(7.25) a. manei O-e keha n̄hen̄he,
he IRR-3S NSP be.separate
'He is different,
O-e-ti fari fata ka gita ira nakoni
IRR-3S-NEG RECP ?? LOC weINC
he is not the same as we humans.'
b. ara 0-a lao tarai \(e=u \quad\) tifaro ka sade ide I IRR-1EXCSgo pray \(3 s=\) be thus before LOC Sunday theser 'I used to go to church every Sunday.'

Counterfactual events are coded as irrealis. In (7.26) the main clause predicate consists of the negative existential verb teo, and is marked irrealis:
O-e teo kaike thei
IRR-3S not.exist one someone
'There is not anyone
ta age boka fa-lehe \(=i=n a\)
SBD go be.able CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that
who can kill the fire.'

Irrealis may also occur with present tense marking, giving a sense of immediacy to the futurity. In this construction continuous aspect marking is also obligatory:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { O-e-ge fufunu=gu bla } \quad \text { tu-turi=ana }  \tag{7.27}\\
& \text { IRR-3S-PRS begin=CNT LMT RD-tell=thatN } \\
& \text { 'That story is starting straight away.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{7.5.2.3.2 Realis}

The realis particles mark real, specific events that are actually happening at the time of speaking, as in (7.28)a., or have actually happened at some previous time, as in (7.28)b.:
a. maneri n-e gauai
they RL-3S be.distant
'They are far away.'
b. n-e hage \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) belama
RL- 3 S ascend \(=\mathrm{IMM}\) PN
'Belama went up.'

Realis particles freely cooccur with the perfective aspect marker ke and present tense ge, but do not cooccur with the future tense marker ginai.

\subsection*{7.5.2.3.3 Neutral}

Particles coding the neutral category occur in clauses that conform to the criteria for realis or irrealis: events located in the past ([7.29]a.) or present ([7.29]b.) (here the historical present); or future ([7.29]c.) or habitual events ([7.29]d.).
a. manei \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke ravi lao ka=ira bakla
he NT-3S-PFV hide go LOC=thePL flat.root
'He hid down in the roots.'
b. sofo \(n-e-g e=n i \quad b l a \quad i a\) sebele, ka sala bla nogoi, grab RL-3S-PRS \(=3\) SGO LMT theSG axe LOC PN LMT VOC 'He grabs the axe [and uses it] on Sala, man!,
\(\bar{g}\)-e-ge faroh-i=0 manei sala=n-e-ke \(=u\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PRS}\) smite-TR=3SGO he \(\mathrm{PN}=\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}=\) be thus
and he kills Sala, that's how it was.'
c. ginai saigona si=ge
todayIRR evening \(F O C=\) SEQ
'This evening
\(\bar{g}-o \quad t a h e=i=\bar{n} a \quad t=a u=a n a \quad b a\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-2 \mathrm{~S}\) tell \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} \quad \mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=that ALT
you tell them that.'
d. ge \(\vec{g}-e \quad h o d-i=\varnothing \quad \bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad i a \quad\) suli,

SEQ NT-3S take-TR=3SGO NT-3S=be.thus=IMM theSG child
'Then they take the baby
\(\bar{g}-e \quad p u \bar{g} r i=\bar{n} a\) la buklo=na
NT-3S cut=IMM thesG umbilical.cord=3SGP and they cut its umbilical cord.'

Neutral particles may occur with perfective aspect and present tense markers, as (7.29)a.-b. illustrate, but do not appear to cooccur with the future tense particle.
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The neutral category is used to maintain a modal status (realis or irrealis) that has already been established. This can involve maintaining in a subordinate clause of a modal status established in its main clause; or it can involve a main clause maintaining a modal status established in the preceding discourse.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ka tema=na la bla n-e faroh }-i=\varnothing=n a \quad \text { sala manei. }  \tag{7.30}\\
& \text { Loc hut=thatN ?? LMT RL-3s smite-TR }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { thatN PN he } \\
& \text { "At that small house he killed Sala. }
\end{align*}
\]
tana nogoi age \(\bar{g}\)-e tetu= \(\bar{n} a\)
manei ge
then VOC SEQ NT-3s stand=IMM
Then, man!, he stood up and,
nogoi \(\bar{g}\)-e kaike mağra

VOC NT-3s one fight man!, he fought everyone.
nogoi \(\bar{g}\)-e farogoho fa-teo=ri mane
VOC NT-3S smite CS-not.exist \(=3\) PLO man
Man!, he killed all the men
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\begin{tabular}{l}
\(n-e-k e\)
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) au=ro & ka \(\quad \bar{l} i l u=n a\) & tema=na \(e=u\) \\
RL-3S-PFV exist=thosenV \\
who were inside that hut.'
\end{tabular}

Typically in a narrative the modal status of the events is established, and this remains the status of most of the discourse, except for a few specific clause types such as reported speech, relative clauses, and so on. As a consequence, the neutral particles occur much more frequently in narratives than in conversation or exposition. This means that even when clauses that have another modal status intervene (for example, with reported speech), the neutral signifies a return to the established discourse modal status. In other words that status has taken on a default status for that discourse, and the neutral signifies a reversion to the discourse default modal status.

The use of neutral particles in a narrative as opposed to modally marked forms is a stylistic choice. In narrative some speakers use the neutral forms extensively, establishing the modal status of the events early in the discourse, and reverting to realis or irrealis forms only occasionally, typically to prevent ambiguity. Other speakers use the neutral forms infrequently, maintaining the use of realis or irrealis particles throughout the discourse. The most common use of neutral particles is not, however, in the main clauses of separate sentences, but in subordinate and coordinate clauses of various kinds. These include clauses coordinated to a sentence-final 'be thus' clause; as well as the formulaic uses with negation and in irrealis 'why' questions.
'Be thus' tag clauses, discussed in §10.4.1, may mark modal status, with the host clause taking its modal status from the tag:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \bar{g}-e \quad \text { fa-hage }=u \quad \text { ka kame }=n a=r e \quad n-e-k e=u  \tag{7.31}\\
& \text { NT-3s CS-ascend=CNT LOC arm=3SGP=thoseN } \\
& \text { 'He put [them] up on his arms, he was like that.' }
\end{align*}
\]

In one negative construction a main clause with the negative existential verb teo governs a subordinate clause realizing the negated event (see §8.7.2). In this construction this subordinate clause is always marked with a neutral particle:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-e teo }=\bar{n} a \quad \bar{g}-e \quad \text { mai=u mane huhurañi are }  \tag{7.32}\\
& \text { RL- } 3 \mathrm{~s} \text { not.exist }=\mathrm{IMM} \text { NT-3s come }=\mathrm{CNT} \text { man PNLOC thoseN } \\
& \text { 'Those Huhurangi people aren't coming.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Cause interrogatives (see \(\S 9.2 .3 .2\) ) are expressed by two coordinated clausesan interrogative and a declarative. The first clause is a 'be thus' clause with heve 'what' as subject. The second clause realizes the event the cause of which is being questioned. Where the reasons for a realis event are questioned the interrogative clause and the declarative clause are both marked realis:
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { heve } n-e=u & \text { ge } n-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a & \text { manei } & \text { buala }  \tag{7.33}\\
\text { what RL-3S=be.thus SEQ RL-3S go=IMM } & \text { he } & \text { PNLOC } \\
\text { 'Why did he go to Buala?' }
\end{array}
\]

Where the event is irrealis, the interrogative clause is irrealis, while the declarative clause has a neutral particle:
heve \(e=u \quad\) ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a \quad\) buala
what \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{be}\).thus SEQ NT-3s go=iMM PNLOC
'Why will he go to Buala?'

\subsection*{7.5.2.4 Competing particles unmarked for subject}

An alternative and competing modal particle system exists, probably reflecting a shift from the system described in \(\S 7.5 .2 .1-2\), realizing both subject agreement and modal categories, to one distinguishing modality but not subject agreement. The subject agreement function appears to be being lost. In the competing system, the third person agreement forms, the \(e\) forms, have expanded to cover the other person categories, forming a part of the modal marker:

\section*{TABLE 7.2. SUBJECT-UNMARKED MODAL PARTICLES \\ Realis \\ ne \\ Irrealis \\ \(e\) \\ Neutral \\ \(\bar{g} e\)}
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In (7.35)a., for example, the subject is second person, so the equivalent subjectindexed particle would be o-ge. In (7.35)b. the subject is first inclusive, so the subject-indexed equivalent form would be \(d a\).

> a. e-ge lao bla ago...
> IRR-PRS go LMT yousG
> 'You just go ahead now...'
b. gita-palu \(\bar{n} a\) ne au fa-g\(o n u \ldots\) weINC-two IMM RL exist CS-be.insensible 'We two are living wrong...'

The replacement of preverbal subject-indexed particles by invariant forms is occurring with apparently all speakers using the subject-unmarked forms occasionally, particularly when subject-indexing using postverbal possessive marking is present (see §7.6.1), making the preverbal subject-indexing function redundant. It appears that younger speakers use the subject-unmarked forms more commonly than older speakers. This suggests that the language is in the process of losing its preverbal agreement in favor of a non-indexing particle.

There also appears to be a hierarchy of person categories most frequently replaced. The third person-indexed particles are homophonous with the subjectunmarked forms. Of the other person categories, first person exclusive particles are the most commonly replaced with the subject-unmarked forms, with second person forms less commonly replaced, and first person inclusive by far the least commonly replaced. This hierarchy may be explained by a convergence of two unrelated factors. The first is that the hierarchy corresponds roughly to a frequency of use hierarchy. Clauses with third person subjects are the most common, with first person exclusive (typically singular) subjects the next most common. It is not clear without further analysis whether second person or first inclusive subjects are the least common, but the rough correspondence of third, first exclusive, and the rest, indicates that the most commonly used categories are the most likely to be replaced. The second factor is phonetic. Of the forms realizing the three non-third person categories, the first exclusive and second person forms are the most similar to the third person forms, consisting of a single vowel that combines with the modal consonant, while the first inclusive form ( \(d a\) in every modal category) is much more distinct. The likelihood of the replacement of subject-indexed forms with forms unindexed for subject corresponds to the frequency of use of the categories replaced and the phonetic distinctiveness of the forms realizing those categories in relation to the replacement form. In addition, it appears that unindexed particles are more likely to occur where the clause has an overt subject that occurs post-verbally, and less common where there is no overt subject (in which case the agreement may be more crucial), or where the subject is overtly realized pre-verbally (with the particle immediately following the nominal it indexes).

\subsection*{7.5.2.5 Modal/subject particle deletion}

As discussed in §7.5.2.2, irrealis is the unmarked modal category in Kokota. The weakness of subject-indexing reflected in the loss of subject distinctions described in \(\S 7.5 .2 .4\) is also reflected in a tendency for particles with no overt form marking the modal category-irrealis-to be omitted. Where context allows no ambiguity, irrealis particles are frequently omitted in casual speech. This occurs commonly in imperatives, as in (7.36)a.; or in clauses with the desiderative manahagi, where the subject is assumed to be the speaker unless otherwise specified, as in (7.36)b. It also occurs where the subject (particularly a first person subject) is overtly realized preverbally, rendering the subjectindexing of the particle redundant, as in (7.36)c.
(7.36) a. hage mai ade
ascend come here 'Come up here!'
b. manahagi=nigo nariha ta mai... want \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) day.after.tomorrow SBD come 'I want you, the day after tomorrow, to come [here]...'
c. ara ke nhogi visi a-hi ka gita-palu

I PFV payback game thisT-EMPH LOC weINC-two 'I will reverse this game of ours.'

\subsection*{7.5.2.6 Negative marker \(t i\)}

In one of the two negation strategies in the language (see §8.7) the negative marker \(t i\) is suffixed to the modal/subject particle:
(7.37) bukaare-lau e-ti-ke mala fa za~zahohae ge hae book thosen-EMPH 3 S-NEG-PFV PURP CS RD go where and where 'These books will not be for sending just anywhere.'

\subsection*{7.5.2.7 Perfective aspect marker \(k e\)}

Perfective aspect is marked by the form ke, which is suffixed to the modal/subject particle. The perfective occurs freely with particles of any of the three modal categories: irrealis, realis, and neutral, as in (7.38), or either overt tense marker-future or present-as in (7.39):
(7.38) a. gai a-ke pulo weExC IEXCS-PFV return 'We will go back.'
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b. n-e-ke birho sara mogare maneri
RL-3S-PFV sleep thered PNLOC they
'They slept there at Mogare.'
c. manei \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke ravi lao ka=ira bakla he NT-3S-PFV hide go LOC=thePL flat.root 'He hid down in the roots.'
a. ginai ke tore=igo=ña bo ago

FUT PFV ask \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} \mathrm{CNT}\) youSG
'He will ask you to tell more later.'
b. n-e-ke-ge \(\quad a \bar{g} e\)

RL-3S-PFV-PRS go
'He has gone.' [Response to question 'Where is \(X\) ?']
The combination of the perfective and the present tense marker give a sense corresponding roughly to the English already. The example in (7.39)b. has a sense of "now he has completed going". However, the combination of present with perfective often has the implication of the event having taken place on a previous occasion:
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { manei } \quad \text { nan̄ha }=\bar{g} u & n-e-k e-g e & \text { riso }=i & e=u  \tag{7.40}\\
\text { he } & \text { name }=1 \text { SGP } & \text { RL-3S-PFV-PRS write }=3 \text { SGO } & 3 S=\text { be.thus } \\
\text { 'He has already written my name (on a previous occasion).' }
\end{array}
\]

The implication of a previous occasion on which a similar event had taken place may be present in future tense marked clauses containing the perfective. The following example would be said by someone who had traveled to Buala already on the day of speaking, and was planning to travel there again that day:
```

ara ginai a-ke lao buala
I FUT 1EXCS-PFV go PNLOC
'I will go to Buala.'

```

This perfective particle usually forms a single phonological word with the modal/subject particle. However, when the particle is deleted the perfective may still be realized, as in (7.36)c. When the particle is overtly realized, the only particle that can intervene between the particle and ke is the abilitative boka:

\footnotetext{
a boka ke fa-keli=ni bo lexcs be.able pfv CS-be.good=3sGO CNT 'We can make well
}

\section*{THE VERB COMPLEX}
ihei ia ta toke \(=i=n a \quad\) ia malaria
whoeversG theSG SBD arrive \(=3 S G O=\) that i
theSG malaria
whoever [it is] who catches malaria.'

\subsection*{7.5.2.8 Present tense marker ge}

The present tense marker ge typically occurs with the realis particles, indicating that the event referred to is actually happening at the time of speaking:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ne-ge age fa-ho-hogo=na } & g a i  \tag{7.43}\\
\text { RL-PRS go CS-RD~be.true=-thatN } & \text { weEXC } \\
\text { 'Now we believe in }
\end{array}
\]
\(k a=i a \quad\) mereseni mane-vaka
LOC=thesG medicine man-ship the white man's medicine.'

The present tense marker may also occur with irrealis particles, indicating that the event, while in the future and so not yet real, will occur immediately:

> e-ge fufunu=gu bla tu-turi=ana
> 3S-PRS begin=CNT LMT RD-tell=thatN
> 'That story is starting straight away.'

The particle also occasionally occurs with neutral particles, particularly in the historical present. Kokota speakers make frequent use of the historical present in storytelling, typically to bring immediacy to an important or exciting moment. The following example comes from a story about a payback killing. The narrative consists of about two hundred clauses, the first two thirds of which deal with planning the raid, the build up to the killing, and tricking the main victim into presenting an easy target. This all involves realis particles with no present tense marking. The narrative then switches to present tense:

> sofo n-e-ge \(=n i \quad\) bla ia sebele, ka sala bla nogoi, grab RL-3S-PRS=3SGO LMT thesG axe LOC PN LMT VOC 'He grabs the axe [and uses it on] Sala, man!,
```

g}-e-ge faroh-i=\emptyset manei sala=n-e-ke=
NT-3S-PRS smite-TR=3SGO he PN=RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
and he kills Sala, that's how it was.

```
"lehe ne=u gita, ira tara nogoi"
die \(\mathrm{RL}=\) be.thus weINC thePL enemy VOC
"You and I are dead, enemy!"
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\[
\begin{array}{ll}
g-e-g e=n i=\bar{n} a & \text { manei nogoi } \\
\text { NT-3s-PRS }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{mM} & \text { he VOC } \\
\text { he says to him!' } &
\end{array}
\]

The speaker then reverts to realis modality with no tense marking for the remainder of the narrative.

The present tense marker cooccurs with the perfective marker, apparently only along with a realis particle, giving the sense that the event is, at the time of speaking, concluded:
```

ia foğra=g}u ara n-e-ke-ge keli
theSG sick=1SGP I RL-3S-PFV-PRS be.good
'My sickness has got better.'

```

\subsection*{7.5.3 Abilitative boka 'be able to'}

The abilitative boka has two functions: as a main verb, and as a pre-head modal modifier. In its verbal root function boka has the meaning 'be able';
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\ldots . \bar{g}-e ~ f a-l e h e=i=u, & \bar{g} \text {-e-la boka bo }  \tag{7.47}\\
\text { NT-3s CS-be.dead=3SGO=CNT } & \text { NT-3S-go be.able } & \text { CNT } \\
\text { '[Tell them to }] \text { kill it, if they are able to.' }
\end{array}
\]

It is potentially transitive, the ability relating to an event that is expressed by a complement clause, represented in square brackets in (7.48)a., or that is established within the preceding discourse, as in (7.48)b.:

[ke fa-doli=ni=na ia nakoni]...
PFV CS-be.alive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that thesG person to make the person live on...'
b. n̄a e-ke keha foḡra \(\bar{n} h e n ̃ h e ~ b o . . . ~\)
but \(3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}\) NSP sick be.separate CNT 'But if there is a different sickness
teo \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) gai boka=i=na \(\quad e=u\)
notexist=IMM weexc be.able \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus we aren't able to do [i.e., cure] it.'

Example (7.48)b. is from a discussion of custom medicines. The abilitative verb in this instance is understood to refer to the curing of the sickness. In its pre-core modifier role, boka indicates that the actor or subject is able to carry out the event coded by the predicate:
e teo kaike ihei
3s not.exist one whoeversG
'There is not anyone
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ta } \bar{g}-\mathrm{e} \text { boka fa-lehe }=i=n a & \text { ia to } \sim \text { toi } \\
\text { SBD NT-3S be.able } C S \text {-be.dead }=35 G O=\text { thatN } & \text { theSG RD cook } \\
\text { who can kill the fire.' }
\end{array}
\]

With this function boka is a true modifier rather than a verb in a serial construction. This is evident by the fact that other pre-head aspectual particles, such as the frequency marker fani, may intervene between boka and other verbs.

Because boka codes the ability of a participant to do something, this is treated as habitual and can only occur in irrealis or modally neutral clauses. Consequently it doesn't cooccur with a realis marked particle. The habitual-like sense of boka also precludes it from occurring in a clause marked for temporal specificity. So while it can occur in clauses marked with the form ginai with its future tense marking function, it does not occur in clauses marked by ginai with its temporal meaning of 'today (irrealis)'.

The abilitative cannot cooccur with the desiderative manahagi.

\subsection*{7.5.4 Desideratives}

Three ways exist in Kokota for expressing a desire on the part of an actor to carry out an action, or to have an action performed by others. Of these, one, the pre-head desiderative modifier groi, occurs rarely. The two common means of performing this function are with the desiderative verb manahagi, and by the use of the general possessor-indexing host as a pre-head adverbial modifier.

\subsection*{7.5.4.1 Desiderative verb manahagi}

The desiderative manahagi has two functions: that of a main verb, and that of a pre-head adverbial modifier. As a verb it is a general desiderative, with a combined sense of liking and wanting. A feature of the cultural context of this language community is that it is implicit that if someone likes something they also want it. It is possible to express liking for an object without the implicit wanting of manahagi by using the verb ke -keli- 'please', in a construction translatable as "it pleases me". However, this is much less commonly used than constructions involving manahagi.
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As a transitive main verb manahagi can have as its direct object a NP realizing the object that is wanted or liked, as in (7.50)a., or a complement clause realizing an event that the speaker wants to happen, as in (7.50)b.:
a. ara manahagi=di tupe ide-hi
I want=3pLO coconut.crab theser-EMPH
'I want these coconut crabs.'
b. teo g-e manahagi=ni=u gai ta=hage=na ade not.exist NT want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) weexC \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) ascend=that N here 'We don't want to come up here.'

Alternatively, the verb may be ditransitive, with a NP direct object and an indirect object complement clause. The direct object realizes the participant whom the speaker wants to carry out the action expressed by the indirect object:
```

manahagi=ğau [gau mane huhurañi]
want=2PLO youPL man PNLOC
'I want you Huhurangi people

```
[kaike mai au gudu ade-hi kokota]
one come exist EXHST here-EMPH PNLOC
to all come up together and live here at Kokota.'

With these transitive functions manahagi carries a postverbal agreement enclitic, though occasionally this seems to be omitted. Like any transitive verb manahagi can display an incorporated nominal object, and no postverbal agreement:
```

ara manahagi sileni
l want money
'I want money.'

```

However, as a preverbal modifier manahagi indicates a desire on the part of the actor to carry out the action realized by a main verb present in the same clause:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara manahagi turi-tufa=nigo ago }  \tag{7.53}\\
& \text { I kaike tu turi... } \\
& \text { 'I want to tell you a story...' }
\end{align*}
\]

This is not a serial verb construction, as manahagi may precede the future tense marker ginai, itself only a pre-head modifier. These two particles may occur in either order, with commensurate meaning variation. If the desiderative occurs first it indicates that the actor currently wants to carry out the action, but at some point in the future, as in (7.54)a., while if the future marker precedes manahagi it is read as indicating that the wanting itself will be in the future, as in (7.54)b.
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
(7.54) a. & ago manahagi ginai lao buala \\
& yousg want FUT go PNLOC \\
& You want to go to Buala (at some time in the future).' \\
b. & \\
& yousg ginai manahagi lao buala \\
& You will want want go to Buala.' PNLOC
\end{tabular}

Manahagi and the abilitative boka do not cooccur.

\subsection*{7.5.4.2 Desiderative marker ğroi}

The form manahagi is a desiderative verb that can be used as a preverbal modifier. The form groi, on the other hand, appears to have the sole function of marking desiderative mood:
(7.55) a. ara \(n-a \quad \bar{g} r o i \quad d u p a=i \quad m a n e i\)

I RL-1EXCS DSDR punch=3SGO he
'I want to hit him.'
b. ara a \(\bar{g} r o i \quad \bar{n} h a u\)

I lexCS DSDR eat
'I am going to want to eat.'
This desiderative may occur in realis or irrealis clauses, as (7.55) illustrates. In realis clauses the subject at the moment of speaking wants to act. In irrealis clauses the subject will want to act at some point in the very near future.

This form cannot function as a verb (and there is, for example, no plural object form * \({ }^{*} r o=r i\) ). It is used interchangeably with manahagi in its modifier role and with the pre-verbal possessor-indexing host in its adverbial desiderative function (see §7.5.4.3), and cannot cooccur with either.

\subsection*{7.5.4.3 General possessor-indexing host as preverbal desiderative modifier}

A typologically unusual feature of some Northwest Solomonic languages is the use of possessive marking with verb-marking functions. As touched on in §5.11, in Kokota, forms that function as possessives in noun phrases also occur as verbal modifiers in two ways, one involving a preverbal location in the clause, the other involving a postverbal location. Postverbal possessives are discussed in §7.6.1. Preverbal possessive forms mark desiderative mood.

Pre-head adverbial possessive modifiers consist of the general possessorindexing host no- (see \(\$ 5.5\) ), with a suffix agreeing with the person and number
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of the actor or subject of the clause, the suffix forms being identical to those indexing the host for possessor in NPs. (The paradigm is presented in Table 5.2.) With its adverbial function this indexed host indicates a desire on the part of the actor or subject to carry out the action coded by the predication:
(7.56) mane aro n-e no-di faroho=ğai gai
man theseT RL-3S GENP-3PLP smite \(=1\) INCO weINC 'These men want to hit us.'

Only the general possessor-indexing host is permissible in this construction. The 'consumed' host (see §5.5.1) does not occur, even when the action involves consumption by mouth:
(7.57) a. maneri n-e papara gu=na n-e no-di n̄hau they RL-3S suffer CNTX=3SGP RL-3S GENP-3PLP eat 'They are suffering because they want to eat.'
b. *n-e ge-di \(\quad\) nhau

RL-3S CNSM-3PLP eat
'They want to eat.'
With this desiderative function the host is volitional: it may only mark events the subject has control over. Consequently it only occurs with unergative and transitive agent subjects. It cannot mark stative or experiencer verbs, as in (7.58)a. It can mark the bodily action verbs discussed in §6.1.3.2.1, which may be interpreted as volitional or non-volitional, but only with their volitional (and thus not middle voice) reading ( 7.58\(] \mathrm{b} .-\mathrm{c}\).). It can also mark the existential verb \(a u\), with its sense of being, staying, or living somewhere ([7.58]d.):
a. *ara n-a no- \(\bar{g} u \quad\) bula=nau/hela
I RL-lEXCS GENP-1SGP feel.angry=15GO/be.strong
'I want to be angry/be strong.'
b. ara n-a no- \(\bar{g} u\) knaha

I RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP cough
'I want to cough.' [on purpose]
c. *ara n-a no-g \(u \quad k n a h a=n a u\)

I RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP cough=1SGP
'I want to cough.' [as an involuntary experience]
d. ara n-a no-ğu au bla

I RL-1EXCS GENP-1SGP exist LMT
'I want to just stay [here].'

As with groi, the desiderative possessor-indexing host may occur in realis or irrealis clauses, with the same semantic distinction between wanting to act at the moment of speaking, and the anticipation of wanting to act at some point in the very near future:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara a no-ğ } u \quad \text { soso }  \tag{7.59}\\
& \text { I lexcs GENP-1SGP piss } \\
& \text { 'I am going to want to piss [soon].' }
\end{align*}
\]

Pre-head possessive adverbial modification differs from all other pre-head adverbial modifiers in that it may itself be modified by the preposed causative particle fa, indicating that the actor of the clause causes another participant to want to perform the action coded by the main verb. In (7.60) the actor is a nominalized clause functioning as a force argument:
(7.60) kumai bia ine n-e fa no-ğu soso=nau ğlehe ara
drink beer thisR RL-3s CS GENP-1SGP piss=1SGO very I 'This drinking beer is really making me want to piss.'

The possessor-indexing host also occurs in restricted exclamations of desire consisting of a first person indexed host and a single lexical item, either a noun, as in (7.61)a., or a verb, as in (7.61)b.:
a. no- \(\bar{g} u\) sileni

GENP-1SGP money
'I want money!' [in this context not 'My money!']
b. no- \(\bar{g} u \quad z a h o\)

GENP-1SGP go
'I want to leave!'
In this exclamatory construction both the general and the 'consumed' possessorindexing hosts occur. Indeed, both may occur with verbs of consumption. Both of the following are grammatical:
a. ge-ğu йhau

CNSM-1SGP eat
'I want to eat!'
b. no- \(\bar{g} u \quad \bar{n} h a u\)

GENP-1SGP eat
'I want to eat!'
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This prompts the hypothesis that the source of the adverbial desiderative use of a possessor-indexed host lies in these kinds of exclamations. It is possible to hypothesize that first person possessor-indexed nouns were used as desiderative exclamations (as in [7.61]a.). Once the desiderative exclamatory function became entrenched it was extended to verbs (desired events) ([7.61]b.) as well as nouns (desired objects). The use of the forms as preverbal desideratives was then generalizable to other syntactic structures including full clauses ([7.56]). The fact that most verbs do not express an act of consumption may have meant that only the general host was generalized to full clauses, leading to the situation illustrated in (7.57). This may, as a result, be flowing back to the exclamatory construction leading to the introduction of forms like (7.62)b. in competition with (7.62)a. This is, however, speculative.

\subsection*{7.5.5 Unitative Kaike}

The form kaike has a root function as the numeral 'one'. It also has a secondary function as a pre-head adverbial with a sense of the action applying to a number of participants in unison or as one. This operates in an absolutive manner, with the unitary nature of the participants applying to the undergoer of verbs that have an undergoer as part of their semantic structure, and to the actor or subject of verbs that do not.

When it occurs in intransitive clauses kaike indicates that the action is carried out by a group of participants acting together or in the same way:
\(t e h i=d i \quad\) mane \(=r e\) n-e kaike isi hage... many=3PLP man=thoseN RL-3S one flee ascend 'Many of the people ran away together..."

In transitive clauses kaike gives the sense that the action is performed on several undergoers as a group or in the same way to each. In transitive clauses kaike appears to always cooccur with the exhaustive marker gudu:
...g-a kaike fa-lehe=ri gudu n̄a gai teğe are-lau NT-1EXCS one CS-die=3PLO EXHST IMM weEXC turtlethosen-SPC '...we kill every one of those turtles.'

Kaike follows any other pre-head adverbial modifiers.

\subsection*{7.5.6 Purposive mala}

The effect of the purposive marker mala differs between volitional and nonvolitional subjects. With a volitional subject it indicates that the event is the intention of the actor/subject, as in (7.65). With non-volitional subjects it indicates that the event is the purpose of the subject, as in (7.66):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { mala fa-lehe }=i=u & n-e-k e=u=o & b=a r a . . \\
\text { PURP CS-die }=3 S G O=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=thatNV ALT }=1 \\
\text { 'I intended to kill him...' } \tag{7.66}
\end{array}
\]
...e-ti-ke mala fa za-zaho hae ge hae
3S-NEG-PFV PURP CS RD~go where and where
'...they will not be for sending just anywhere.'
Mala occurs in two possible positions. It may occur as a pre-head modifier within the verb core, modifying the verbal head. In this position it follows the modal/subject particle, as in (7.66) Alternatively, it may occur as an immediate pre-core modifier, preceding the particle and modifying the entire core:
```

fa puku~puku=ri bla ago e=u bla goi
CS RD-be.short=3PLO LMT youSG 3S=be.thus LMT VOC
'You make it short, man,
mala n-e-ge au bo turi=di=re...
PURP RL-3S-PRS exist CNT tell=3PLP=thoseN
so that these stories fit [on the tape].'

```

Purposive subordinate clauses are discussed in detail in §10.2.7.

\subsection*{7.5.7 Torai 'definitely'}

The particle torai indicates that the event realized by the clause has definitely happened or will definitely happen:
(7.68) n-e-ge torai nhigo teteḡ manei

RL-3S-PRS definitely be.finished fish(V) he 'He has definitely finished fishing now.'

With stative verbs this often has the effect of an intensifier:
(7.69) nakodouine n-e torai foğra-dou. ginai e lehe=u woman thisR RL-3S definitely sick-be.big FUT 3 S die=be.thus 'The old woman is very sick. She will die.'

When torai marks a future event with a volitional subject it indicates obligation:
(7.70) a. manei ginai torai zaho lao fufugo
he FUT definitely go go tomorrow
'He must leave tomorrow.'
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b. ... teo thei mane ta torai not.exist whoeversG man SBD definitely
'...there isn't anyone who has to
mai reregi=ni=na ia vetula=na gavana... come look.after \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that thesg law \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) government look after the government's law...'

Torai typically occurs within the verb core as a pre-head modifier, following the modal/subject particle and modifying the verbal head of the predication, as in (7.68) and (7.69). Alternatively, it may occur as an immediate pre-core modifier, preceding the particle and modifying the entire core:

> ara torai a lao buala I definitely lexcs go PNLOC 'I have to go to Buala.'

Torai cannot cooccur with the abilitative boka.

\subsection*{7.5.8 Future tense marker ginai}

The form ginai occurs as a temporal locative with the meaning 'today (irrealis)' (i.e., 'later today') (see §4.2.3):
(7.72) ai lehe=n̄ gita ginai

EXCLM die=IMM wernc todayIRR
'Oh! We're about to die.'
The form ginai also has the grammaticalized function of marking future tense. As such it marks futurity of any temporal distance, and is not limited to later within the same day corresponding to the temporal locative function:

> ara ginai pulo mai ka fa palu wiki=ana I FUT return come LOC CS two 'I week=thatN wome back in two weeks.'

The time coded by the future marker may be distant. In (7.74) the event referred to is anticipated to occur at some unknown and unspecified time certainly later than the year after the time of speaking:
(7.74) ginai mai gudu bla baiu ka sikolu=ne bla FUT come EXHST LMT PSBL LOC school=thisR LMT 'I think they will all come to this school.'

\section*{THE VERB COMPLEX}

As future events are inherently irrealis, ginai cannot occur with a realis or neutral particle. It may occur with irrealis particles, which are often omitted in future tense marked clauses. Futurity is often not overtly marked. In irrealis marked clauses the context frequently clarifies that a future rather than habitual sense is intended. Equally, clauses containing an overt future temporal often do not also carry future tense marking. The future marker ginai is used optionally to clarify or emphasize the futurity of the event. As a temporal locative ginai occurs on the clause periphery. As the grammaticalized future tense marker it occurs within the verb complex as a preverbal modifier in one of two positions. It may occur as an immediate pre-core modifier, preceding the particle and modifying the entire core, as in (7.75)a. Alternatively, it may occur within the verb core as a pre-head modifier, following the particle and modifying the verbal head ([7.75]b.):
(7.75) a. ka varedake palu zulai

LOC twenty two July
'On the twenty second of July
ginai e gato pulo=i ia sekon apointed dei
FUT 3S think return=3SGO theSG second.appointed.day
[one] will remember the Second Appointed Day. \({ }^{5 s}\)
b. manei e ginai au ka nau ine
he 3S FUT exist LOC place thisR
'He will live in this village.'

\subsection*{7.5.9 Frequency markers fani and tuma}

The particles fani and tuma both indicate that the event coded by the predicate they modify occurs regularly. The difference is one of frequency. With tuma the modified event occurs very frequently. The exact inference of frequency depends on the nature of the modified event. With normal domestic activities, for example, the inference is that the event occurs every day, or close to it, as in (7.76)a. With events requiring more time and effort, as in (7.76)b., the implicit frequency is not necessarily daily, but nonetheless more frequently than is usual.
(7.76) a. manei e tuma teteg \(u\)
he 3s very.often fish(V)
'He goes fishing very often.'
b. suka e tuma lao buala

PN 3s very.often go PNLOC
'Suka goes to Buala very often.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{55}\) The anniversary of the day when Provincial powers were devolved to Santa Isabel.
}
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Events expressed by clauses marked with fani also occur frequently, but less so than those marked with tuma.
(7.77) ara a fani korho namhari \(e=u\)

I 1excs often pull fish be.thus
'I often catch fish.'
Actions that are performed often are normally thought of as habitual. As habitual actions are treated as irrealis in Kokota, clauses containing fani and tuma are typically irrealis. Realis particles usually only occur with fani or tuma when the clause refers to events that formerly, but no longer, occurred frequently. In this case the clause is obligatorily also marked with the perfective aspect marker ke.
lifaro ara
n-a-ke fani lao buala
before I
RL-lEXCS-PFV often
'Before, I used to often go to Buala.'

\subsection*{7.6 Post-head modifiers and agreement markers}

A small number of particles and clitics occur within the verb complex, following the actual verbs. Of these, the possessor-indexing host, argument agreement forms, and incorporated arguments occur within the verb complex core. Others occur outside the verb core as outer modifiers, or may occur either inside or outside the core.

\subsection*{7.6.1 Possessor-indexing host as post-head immediacy marker}

In many Northwest Solomonic languages possessive marking occurs within the verb complex with adverbial functions (see §7.5.4.3). This typologically unusual phenomenon occurs in Kokota. A possessor-indexed host (see \(\S 5.5\) ) occurs as a pre-head desiderative adverbial marker (see §7.5.4.3). In addition, Kokota shares with many NWS languages a clausal construction in which a possessor-indexed host occurs post-verbally. \({ }^{56}\) This construction appears to be historically derived from a nominalization structure. In Kokota it is optional, and marks the event as having high saliency or immediacy. It often occurs as a response to a question such as 'what are you doing?' or 'how are you feeling?', and typically carries a sense of the event occurring 'right now':
(7.79) a. ara \(n-a\) babao no-ğ \(u\)

1 RL-IEXCS betired GENP-1SGP
'I'm tired.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{56}\) Ross (1982) discusses the occurrence of this phenomenon in several Bougainville languages, and later (1988;247-251) in Roviana and Cheke Holo (as Maringe).
}
b. \(\bar{g} e\) ağe mhemhe no-mai \(n-e=u\)

NT go be.difficult GENP-IEXCP RL-3S=be.thus
'We find [that] difficult.'
While the construction typically indicates an event or state applying at the moment of speaking, it can refer to past or future events. Past events marked in this way usually have a sense of the event having just occurred, right before the moment of speaking, as in (7.80)a.. However, if another temporal frame has been established, it may indicate that the event had high saliency at the moment indicated, as in (7.80)b.
(7.80) a. ara n-a-ke toga no-ğ \(u\)

I RL-1EXCS-PFV arrived GENP-1SGP
'I have just arrived [right now].'
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { b. } k a=t=a u=a n a & \bar{g} e \text { la lehe } n o-\bar{g} u \quad b o=\sin i \\ \text { LOC=SBD=exist=thatN } & \text { NT go die GENP-ISGP CNT=FOC } \\ \text { 'At that I nearly died.' } & \end{array}\)
With irrealis marking the postverbal possessive marking indicates that the event is about to occur, immediately after the moment of speaking:
```

maneri e zaho no-di
they 3s go GENP-3SGP
'They are about to go [right now].'

```

In imperatives the form gives a sense that the event should occur immediately. Example (7.82)b. is particularly interesting as the marking applies only to the second of the two serialized verbs, as the first inclusive indexing demonstrates.
a. zaho no-u
go GENP-2SGP
'Go away!'
b. mai ome no-da
come fuck GENP-1INCP
'Come and let's fuck!'
Unlike preverbal possessive marking, both the general and 'consumed' possessor-indexing hosts occur postverbally. The 'consumed' host occurs with the same function as the general host, but marks events of consumption:
manei \(n\)-e pipiala ge-na
he RL-3S smoke CNSM-3SGP
'He is smoking.'
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The postverbal indexed host occurs as a modifier within the verb core. Consequently in transitive clauses it precedes realization of the object, either in the form of an object agreement enclitic (in which case it hosts the enclitic), as in (7.84)a.-b., or an incorporated noun, as in (7.84)c.:
(7.84) a. manahagi ta ag̀e kae no- \(\bar{g} u=n i\)
want \(\operatorname{SBD}\) go see GENP-1SGP=3SGO
'I want to go and see this.'
b. ara n-a hoda no-ğ \(u=d i \quad\) palu kokorako ide

I RL-IEXCS take GENP-ISGP=3PLO two chicken theseR 'I'm taking these two chickens.'
c. manei n-e-ke n̄hau ge-na namhari nhigo he RL-3S-PFV eat CNSM-3SGP fish be.finished 'He has just eaten fish.'

Note that in (7.84)b. the verb itself is in its intransitive form, not its transitivized form hodi. Verbs that take the transitivizing suffix only do so when no constituents intervene between the verb and object enclitic. When the possessorindexing host is present it intervenes, blocking the presence of the suffix.

The indexed host also precedes the continuous aspect enclitic:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
n-e-g e & k u s u \quad l a ~ t o g a ~ n o-d i=u & \text { bagovu }  \tag{7.85}\\
\text { RL-3s-PRS be.first go arrive GENP-3PLP=CNT PNLOC } \\
\text { 'They are going to Bagovu.' }
\end{array}
\]

The immediacy indicated by the possessor-indexing host may be emphasized by its cooccurrence with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\) (see \(\S 8.8 .5\) ):
(7.86) ara \(n\)-a babao \(n o-\bar{g} u=\bar{n} a\)

I RL-1EXCS be.tired GENP-1SGP=IMM
'I'm tired [right now]."
For three verbs, mhagu 'be afraid', dogoho 'be lazy, be unwilling', and g\(o n u ~ ' b e ~\) insensible, not know', the verb root frequently occurs in a reduced form compounded with the post-verbal possessor-indexing host. In this compounding the root is reduced to its initial syllable, the host replacing the non-initial syllables. The semantics of these verbs mean they are likely to have frequently cooccurred with the host in exclamations meaning 'I'm afraid', 'I don't want to', and 'I don't know/understand', the frequency of collocation presumably leading to concatenation and reduction of the forms.

The verbs mhagu and \(\bar{g} o n u\) typically occur in intransitive clauses, though both subcategorize for an optional object-the source of fear in the case of \(m h a g u\), the subject matter of the lack of understanding or knowledge with \(\bar{g} o n u\) :
(7.87) a. ara n-a mhagu

I RL-1EXCS be.afraid
'I'm afraid.'
b. ara n-a ğonu

I RL-IEXCS be.insensible
'I don't understand.'
c. ara \(n-a \quad m h a g u=n i \quad\) ia lehe \(=\bar{g} u\)

I RL-IEXCS be.afraid=3SGO thesG be dead=ISGP 'I am afraid of my death.'
d. hei n-e ğonu=nau=na ara
who RL-3s be.insensible \(=1 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that I
'Who doesn't know about me?'
These combine with the possessor-indexing host to form the compounds mha-no- and \(\bar{g} O-n o-\), with meanings identical to a combination of the meaning of the root and the immediacy given by the modifier. The compound mha-no- may be intransitive; however, it typically occurs as a transitive verb. The compound \(\bar{g} o-\) no- is only transitive:
a. n-a mha-no-ğu

RL-1EXCS be afraid-GENP-1SGP
'I'm afraid.'
b. n-a mha-no- \(\bar{g} u=d i \quad k a k a f r e ~ a r e ~\)

RL-1EXCS be afraid-GENP-1SGP=3PLO spider thoseN
'I am afraid of those spiders.'
c. \(n\) - \(a \quad \bar{g} o-n o-\bar{g} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{n i} \quad n a n ̄ h a=n a=n a \quad\) manei

RL-1EXCS be.insensible-GENP-1SGP=3SGO name=3SGP=thatN he 'I don't know his name.'

The corresponding uncompounded collocations \({ }^{*}\) mhagu no- and \({ }^{*} g\) gonu no- do not occur. With dogoho the uncompounded and compound forms both occur and are semantically identical and intransitive only:
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```

(7.89) a. ara $n$-a dogoho no-g $u$ si= $\bar{n}=a r a ~ n o g o i$
I RL-1EXCS be.lazy GENP-1SGP FOC=1MM=I VOC
'I can't be bothered, man!'
b. ne do-no- $\bar{g} u=\bar{n} a \quad$ ara
RL be.lazy-GENP-1SGP=1MM I
'I don't want to
o-ti huhuru=nau $e=u \quad$ goi
2 s -NEG force $=1 \mathrm{sGO} 3 \mathrm{~s}=$ =be thus vOC
so don't force me, man!'
c. nee-ke do-no-di=ro keha mane=ro
RL-3S-PFV be,lazy-GENP-3pLp=thoseNV NSP man=thosenV
'Some people just are lazy
$k a=t=a u=a r e \quad b l a$
LOC $=$ SBD $=$ exist $=$ thosen LMT
for those [tasks].'

```

\subsection*{7.6.2 Transitivizing suffix}

The replacive transitivizing suffix \(-i\) is discussed in \(\S 6.3 .2\). It occurs with a specific class of verb roots, but only occurs when the root is the final constituent before an object enclitic. It does not occur on non-final verbs in a series, or to final verbs where an adverbial constituent such as fakamo 'always' or the postverbal possessor-indexing host intervenes as (7.100) and (7.84)b. show.

\subsection*{7.6.3 Postverbal argument-indexing}

A postverbal agreement enclitic occurs in all transitive clauses (see §6.1.2.2).

\subsection*{7.6.4 Demonstrative agreement enclitics}

The penultimate position in the verb complex core is occupied by a cliticized demonstrative form. The behavior of these cliticized demonstratives in subordinate clauses is discussed in various relevant sections of 10.2. In main clauses they are optional, and agree with the absolutive argument. When occurring in an intransitive clause a cliticized demonstrative agrees with the number and deictic features of the sole core argument. This applies whether the subject is unergative, as in (7.90), or unaccusative, as in (7.91).
(7.90) a. mane marin̄ige mane g\(a o, ~ m a n e ~ n-e-k e ~ a g e=r o=u . . . ~\) man PNLOC and man PNLOC man RL-3S-PFV go=thoseNV=CNT 'The Maringe people and the Gao people, those people went...'
b. ...zahog\(-e\) la au iaro hurepelo keha=re,
go NT-3s go exist thosepV PNLOC NSP=thosen
'...some went and lived over at Hurepelo,
mai au=de-hi ade-hi goveo
come exist=theser-EMPH here-EMPH PNLOC and these came and lived here at Goveo,
\(\bar{g}-e \quad m a i \quad a u=g u \quad g a i \quad k e h a=i d e\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) come exist=CNT weexC \(\mathrm{NSP}=\) theser these of us came and are living at Goveo.'
(7.91) a. dadara \(e=u \quad\) blau \(n-e-k e \quad z i k r a=r o\)
blood 3s=be.thus LMT RL-3s-PFV pour=thosenv
'Blood was pouring out. \({ }^{57}\)
b. mağra \(t=a u=l a \quad\) manei
fight \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\mathrm{CND}\) he
'If there is a fight,
kame \(=\bar{g} u \quad n-e \quad a u=d e \quad\) bla la bo...
hand \(=1\) SGP RL-3S exist \(=\) theser LMT ?? CNT
my hands are here...'
A demonstrative cliticized to a transitive predicate agrees with the object:
(7.92) a. a fa nhigo \(=\boldsymbol{r i}=\boldsymbol{r o}=u\)
lexCS CS be.finished \(=3\) PLO \(=\) thoseNV \(=\) CNT
'I will finish those [stories].'
b. \(e=u \quad n\)-amhagu-mhagu=di=re ara
\(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus RL-1EXCS be.afraid-be.afraid \(=3 \mathrm{plo}=\) thosen I
'So I'm a bit afraid of those [things happening].'

\subsection*{7.6.5 Incorporated arguments}

The position in the verb complex that is occupied by an object-indexing enclitic in a formally transitive clause may be occupied instead by an incorporated NP core (with the proviso that the agreement indexing forms cliticize to the preceding word, while incorporated nominals do not). All formally transitive clauses must have either an object-indexing enclitic or an incorporated nominal, and cannot have both. Incorporation is discussed in detail in \(\S 6.4\).

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{57}\) Dadara 'blood' is plural in Kokota.
}
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\subsection*{7.6.6 Continuous marker \(=g u \sim=u\)}

Continuous aspect is marked by the enclitic \(=g u \sim=u\), and indicates that the situation coded by the predication is ongoing at a point in time established within the temporal frame of the clause. The allomorphic variation in the marker is phonologically motivated. The underlying form \(=g u\) surfaces following any \(/ \mathrm{u} /\) final form, including those ending with the diphthongs /au/ and /ou/. Where \(/ \gamma /\) is not needed to separate the marker from the preceding vowel it is omitted:
(7.93) a. \(\bar{g}-e \quad a u=g u \quad \bar{g} e r o n a\)

NT-3S exist=CNT PNLOC
'They were living at Gerona.'
b. ..n-e kota-u manei...

RL-3s go.ashore \(=\) CNT he
'...he is going ashore...'
This accords with \(/ \mathrm{\gamma} /\) deletion occurring elsewhere in Kokota (see \(\S 2.1 .1 .2 .3 .3\) ).
The continuous marker can occur in clauses with any modal/subject particle. However, continuous with an irrealis particle indicates that the event is not taking place at the moment of speaking, but is about to take place immediately:

> a. ara a a \(\quad\) age \(=u\)
> I lexcs go=CNT
> 'I am going now.'
b. mala lase \(=r i=\boldsymbol{u}\) gau

PURP know \(=3\) PLO \(=\) CNT youPL
'So you will [be] know[ing] them [the stories].'
Continuous marking is not limited to active verbs. It can also occur with stative verbs, as in (7.95)a. or experiencer verbs ([7.95]b.):
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
a. ...g-e ağe keli=u & bla nakoni ana \\
NT-3S go be.good=CNT & LMT person thatN \\
'...that man goes good [i.e., gets well] [again].'
\end{tabular}
b. n-a bula=nau=gu ara

RL-1EXCS feel.angry \(=1 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) I
'I am (very) cross.'
The continuous marker is an enclitic occurring verb-core finally. It attaches to whatever constituent occurs in penultimate position in the core. In most
intransitive clauses this is a verb (as in [7.94]a.). In most transitive clauses it is the postverbal agreement enclitic (as in [7.94]b.) When an incorporated nominal is present the marker is cliticized to that, as the following comparison reveals:
(7.96) manei teo g -e korho namhari=u
he not.exist NT-3S pull fish=CNT
'He wasn't catching fish.'

\subsection*{7.6.7 Completive aspect marker nhigo}

As discussed in \(\S 6.5 .2\), the verb nhigo 'be finished' can occur as \(V_{3}\) in a serial verb construction, modifying the rest of the verbs in the series, and indicating that the event expressed by those verbs is carried to completion. The form also occurs as a post-core modifier, modifying the entire verb complex core, and indicating that the entire predication is completed at the time of speaking, a sense akin to the English already. The example in (7.97)a. illustrates the serial \(\mathrm{V}_{3}\), (7.97)b. the post-core modifier. The formal distinction is revealed by the position of the form in relation to the postverbal agreement indexing enclitic:
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { (7.97) a. ara } n-a \quad \text { dupa nhigo=i } & \text { manei } \\ & \text { I RL-lexCS punch be.finished=3SGO he } \\ & \text { 'I have finished hitting him.' }\end{array}\)
b. ara n-a dupa=i nhigo manei

I RL-IEXCS punch \(=35 G O\) be.finished he
'I have already hit him.'
As with any post-core modifier, this follows not only object agreement, but also incorporated nominals (in this example the NP core ge-na namhari 'his fish'):
manei n-e-ke nhau ge-na namhari nhigo
he RL-3S-PFV eat CNSM-3SOP fish befinished
'He has already eaten his fish.'

As a serial verb, nhigo freely occurs in irrealis clauses, indicating that at some point in the future an event will be carried to completion. As a post-core modifier it cannot occur in an irrealis clause, the notion of an event already having occurred clashing with the unrealized nature of irrealis events. Nhigo may cooccur with perfective aspect \(k e\), indicating that the event had already been completed at some point in the past, as in (7.99)a. With the present tense marker ge it indicates that the event is complete at the time of speaking, as in (7.99)b.:
(7.99) a. manei n-e-ke toga nhigo
he RL-3s-PFV arrive be.finished
'He had already arrived.'
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b. manei n-e-ge toga nhigo
he RL-3S-PRS arrive be.finished
\({ }^{\text {'He has already arrived. }}\)

\subsection*{7.6.8 Fakamo 'always'}

The adverb fakamo indicates that the event expressed by the predication always occurs. It occurs in two possible positions. It may occur within the verb core modifying the verb or verbs present in the core:
```

e teo g
3s not.exist NT go and take always=3SGO}=\textrm{CNT}\mathrm{ weExC
'...we don't always go and take it
le~legu nare...
RD~behind day
every day...

```

More typically the form occurs in the immediate post-core modifier position, modifying the entire verb complex. The semantic result is effectively identical. The formal distinction is revealed by the relative positions of the adverb and the postverbal agreement indexing enclitic:
(7.101) fakae=ni fakamo bla gai see \(=3\) SGO always LMT weEXC
'We always see
\(a u=g u \quad\) mala \(=n a=r e \quad\) naitu \(t=a u=a n a\) exist=CNT footprint=3SGP=thoseN devil SBD=exist=that those footprints of that devil existing [there].'

As an outer modifier fakamo follows any incorporated nominal:
(7.102) ara a korho namhari fakamo

I lexcs pull fish always
'I always catch fish.'
Fakamo cannot cooccur with the post-head possessor-indexing host saliency modifier, or with nhigo 'be finished'.

\subsection*{7.6.9 Exhaustive marker gudu}

The form gudu is an exhaustive marker able to modify verbs or nominals. Its use with nominals is discussed in \(\S 3.2 .2\).4. As an adverb it occurs in the immediate post-core modifier position, modifying the entire predication and indicating that
the action was carried out exhaustively. This is effectively absolutive: in transitive clauses it indicates that the event was performed on every possible undergoer, while in intransitive clauses it indicates that the event was performed by every possible actor/subject:
(7.103)a. \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) kaikefa-lehe=ri gudu n̄a gai teḡe are-lau NT-lEXCS one CS-die=3PLO EXHST IMM weEXC turtlethosen-SPC '...we kill every one of those turtles.'
b. ginai mai gudu bla baiu ka sikolu=ne bla FUT come EXHST LMT PSBL LOC school=thisR LMT '[I think] maybe they will all come to this school.'

The adverbial gudu may only occur with active predications, and not with statives. This places it in complementary distribution with the post-core intensifier glehe, which only occurs with stative predications.

\subsection*{7.6.10 Intensifier ğlehe}

The form glehe occurs in immediate post-core modifier position. It occurs with stative predications intensifying the state coded by the verb:
\begin{tabular}{llll}
\(\bar{g} r u g u=o\) & \(n-e-k e\) & \(\bar{g} l a b a\) & \(\bar{g} l e h e\) \\
night=thatNV & RL-3s-PFV & be.moonbright & very
\end{tabular}
'Last night was very moonbright.'
b. n-e dia g\(l e h e\)

RL-3s be.bad very
'[That] is very bad.'

It also marks experiencer verbs (see §6,1.3.2). However, for bodily action verbs, which may be experiencer or active verbs, only the middle voice experiencer version may be modified by \(\bar{g} l e h e\) :
```

(7.105)a. n-a sihe=nau g
RL-1EXCS sneeze=1SGO very
'I'm really sneezing.'

```
b. *n-a sihe glehe

Interestingly, \(\bar{g}\) lehe also marks transitive predications consisting of a causativized stative or experiencer verb. In this construction it does not intensify the causing by the actor, but the state or experience applying to the patient resulting from the causation:
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(7.106)a.
naprai ana \(n\)-e fa babao=nau ḡlehe
sun thatN RL-3S CS be.tired=1SGO very
'That sun is making me very tired.'
b. kumai ana n-e fa boe=ni ğlehe \(\bar{g} a z u\) ine water thatN RL-3S CS be.rotten=3SGO very wood thisR 'The water has really rotted this wood.'
c. karipauda=na n-e fa sihe=nau ğlehe ara
curry.powder=thatN RL-3s CS sneeze=1SGO very I
'That curry powder is really making me sneeze.'
This applies even when a causativized stative occurs within an active serial construction. In (7.107) \(\bar{g}\) lehe is modifying the causativized heta 'be strong':
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { ago } & n-o & \text { gorha fa heta } & \text { glehe }  \tag{7.107}\\
\text { youSG RL-2S paddle CS be.strong very } \\
\text { 'You are paddling very strongly.' }
\end{array}
\]

Glehe also occurs with a small group of active verbs that code an event resulting in a state applying to a patient. These verbs include:
a. tazi 'keep, retain' c. namha 'love, be kind to'
b. faña 'feed, give food to' d. ohai 'keep' (as a domestic animal)
(7.109) manei n-e tazi=nau ğlehe
he RL-3S keep=1SGO very
'He really looked after me.'
In addition, the desiderative verb manahagi may be modified by glehe, suggesting that wanting is treated conceptually as a state, although the verb itself is not stative:
(7.110) ara manahagi=ni ğlehe ta lao=na buala I want \(=3\) SGO very SBD go \(=\) thatN PNLOC 'I want very much to go to Buala.'

Other than the effective verbs shown in (7.108), \(\bar{g}\) lehe may not modify an active verb or entire active predication, only that part of an active predication that is stative. It is in complementary distribution with the post-core exhaustive marker \(g u d u\), which only occurs with active predications. Two exceptions exist to the restriction on \(\bar{g} l e h e\) marking active predications. One is limited to hage 'ascend' and kave 'descend', and to clauses referring to the escape of caged animals:
(7.111)a. zora ana nee kave glehe
pig thatN RL-3s descend very
'That pig always gets out [of its pen].'
b. memeha ana n-e hage glehe
bird thatN RL-3S ascend very
'That bird always gets out [of its cage].'
Again, one can speculate that a conceptual state applies to the referent animals in these instances-a state of being that predisposes the animals to escape.

The other exception applies to the pre-head frequency modifiers fani 'often' and tuma 'very often' (see §7.5.9). Any active clause marked with either of these modifiers may also be marked with \(\bar{g} l e h e\) :
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
ago \(\quad\) n-o tuma & \(\bar{n} h a u\) & \(\bar{g}\) ausa & \(\bar{g} l e h e\) \\
yousG & RL-2S very.often eat betel.nut & very \\
'You really chew betel nut all the time.'
\end{tabular}

In this instance it may be that the modifiers ascribe a characteristic to the subject that is conceptually somewhat akin to a state of being.

\subsection*{7.7 Verb complex structure}

\subsection*{7.7.1 Verb complex core structure}

Within the verb complex, the verb complex core (representable as V') contains all lexical verbs along with several pre-head and post-head inner modifiers.

The pre-head core modifiers consist of the modal/subject particle, and four prehead core modifier positions. The first of these is the particle position. The internal structure of the modal/subject particle is represented in (7.21). The second position is a tense position that may be filled only by the future tense marker ginai. The third position is a mood position that may contain the abilitative boka, the purposive mala, the definite marker torai, or one of the three desiderative markers: manahagi, \(\bar{g} r o i\), or the pre-head possessor-indexing. The fourth position may be filled by one of the frequency markers fani 'often' and tuma 'very often'. Position five allows only the unitative marker kaike. These pre-head modifiers are followed by a verb or up to three verbs in a serial construction. The verbs are followed by post-head inner modifiers, comprising a post-head aspect modifier position, which may be filled by either fakamo 'always' or the post-head possessor-indexing host immediacy/saliency marker. This is followed by an agreement/object position comprising an argumentindexing enclitic plus a demonstrative enclitic, or an incorporated nominal. The
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final core modifier position is a second aspect position that may be filled only by the continuous aspect marker \(=g u \sim=u\). This may be summarized as:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{MOD} / \mathrm{SBJ})+(\mathrm{TNS})+(\mathrm{MOOD})+(\mathrm{FRQ})+(\mathrm{UNIT})  \tag{7.113}\\
& +\mathrm{V}^{*}+(\mathrm{ASP} 1)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{OBJ})+(\mathrm{DEM}) \\
(\mathrm{INCORP})
\end{array}\right\}+(\mathrm{ASP} 2)
\end{align*}
\]

With forms displayed (other than modal/subject particle forms) this schema represents the following for pre-head modifiers:
\[
\mathrm{V}^{\prime} \rightarrow(\mathrm{MOD} / \mathrm{SBJ})+(\text { ginai })+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\text { boka })  \tag{7.114}\\
(\text { mala }) \\
(\text { torai }) \\
(\text { manahagi }) \\
(\bar{g} r o i) \\
(\text { no- })
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\text { fani }) \\
(\text { tuma })
\end{array}\right\}+(\text { kaike })
\]

With forms displayed (other than object-indexing, demonstrative agreement, and incorporated nominals) the schema represents the following post-head modifiers:
\[
v^{\prime} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\text { fakamo })  \tag{7.115}\\
(\text { no- }-g e-)
\end{array}\right\}+\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{OBJ})+(\mathrm{DEM}) \\
(\mathrm{INCORP})
\end{array}\right\}+(=g u \sim=u)
\]

\subsection*{7.7.2 Verb complex outer modifier structure}

The overall verb complex (representable as \(V^{\prime \prime}\) ) comprises the verb core, with one pre-core and one post-core outer modifier position.

The pre-head modifier position may contain the purposive marker mala, the future tense marker ginai, or the definite marker torai, all of which may alternatively occur within the core. The same form may not occur both within the core and in the pre-core position. The post-core outer modifier position may contain either the completive aspect marker nhigo (which also occurs within the core as a verb), the aspect marker fakamo 'always' (which may also occur within the core in ASP1 position), the exhaustive marker gudu, or the intensifier glehe. The overall verb complex structure may be summarized as:
\[
\mathrm{V}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \underset{\substack{(\text { mala })  \tag{7.116}\\
(\text { ginai }) \\
(\text { orai })}}{ }+\mathrm{V}+\begin{align*}
& (\text { nhigo }) \\
& \text { (fakamo) } \\
& (\text { gudu }) \\
& (\text { glehe })
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{CHAPTER 8: CLAUSE STRUCTURE}

This chapter describes nonverbal clauses and the structure of verbal clauses, including pragmatically unmarked clause structure, as well as overt topicalization and argument focusing, the clause position of adjuncts, negation, and the function of constituent level modifiers.

\subsection*{8.1 Verbless clauses}

Two kinds of verbless predications exist in Kokota: equative predicates and possessive predicates. The structure of main clauses with verbless predicates is discussed here. Negation of these predications is discussed in \(\S 8.7\).

\subsection*{8.1.1 Equative predicates}

\subsection*{8.1.1.1 Basic equative clauses}

Equative clauses equate the subject to a nominal that specifies a characteristic of the subject. The equated characteristic tends to be habitual or a permanent state, As with verbal clauses, equative predications of this type are coded as irrealis. Irrealis in Kokota is unmarked, and in verbal clauses the remaining subject agreement component of the particle is typically omitted (see \(\$ 7.5 .2 .5\) ). This is also true of equatives, as a comparison of (8.1) and (8.2) shows. (In examples in this section the predication is enclosed in square brackets.)

> a. abrose varigutu [datau=na goveo \(]\)
> PN chief \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) PNLOC
> 'Ambrose Varigutu is the chief of Goveo.'
b. nakoni [kaike ğlepo ta doli]
person one thing SBD live
'People are one [kind of] living thing.'
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { taio [e pusi ga~gase=na] }  \tag{8.2}\\
& \mathrm{PN} 3 \mathrm{~S} \text { cat RD-woman=3SGP } \\
& \text { 'Taiyo is a female cat.' }
\end{align*}
\]

Where the equated characteristic applied at a particular time in the past but no longer applies, or applies at the time of speaking but did not always apply, a realis particle may occur, typically with the perfective aspect or present tense marker present:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & \text { getu } & {[n-e-k e \quad \text { mane datau }]}  \tag{8.3}\\
\text { PN } & \text { RL- } 3 S-\mathrm{PFV} \text { man chief } \\
\text { 'Getu was the chief [at that time].' }
\end{array}
\]
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\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { b. manei } \begin{array}{ll}
{[n-e-g e} & \text { nakodou }] \\
\text { she RL-3S-PRS old.woman } \\
\text { 'She is an old woman.' }
\end{array} .
\end{aligned}
\]

Other pre-head predicate modifiers occur, including the future tense marker ginai (in either pre- or post-modal/subject particle position), the frequency marker fani, and the purposive mala:
a. belama [ginai e mane polisi] PN FUT 3 S man police 'Belama will be a policeman.'
b. ia mane n-e-ke lehe [e fani mane premie] \(e=u\) thesG man RL-3S-PFV die 3 S often man Premier \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus 'The man who died used to be Premier.'
c. totogale mala no-na belama
picture PURP GENP-3SGP PN 'a photo that is intended to belong to Belama'

Not all pre-head modifiers may occur. None of the desiderative particles may occur. The frequency marker tuma 'very often' and the intensifier torai do not occur in equatives in the present corpus. It is not clear whether this reflects a restriction or a gap.

\subsection*{8.1.1.2 Possession of predicate by subject}

A predicate nominal may be inalienably possessor-indexed to the subject:
a. gita [nakoni posa=da]

1 INC person emerge \(=1 \mathrm{INCP}\)
'We were visitors.'
b. ara \([\) mane \(=\bar{g} u\) ka nohi=ne]

I man=1SGP LOC district=thisR
'I am a man of this district.'

\subsection*{8.1.1.3 Subject-predicate constituent order in equative clauses}

The pragmatically unmarked constituent order (see §8.2.1) does not occur in equative clauses. The sole core argument of an equative clause typically occurs before the predicate in topic position (as in examples [8.1] to [8.5]). This construction is only departed from when the subject occurs in clause-final focus position. When this occurs the subject must be marked with the focus particle si:
a. [mane gabili] si= \(\bar{n} a=r o\)
man be,aggressive \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{IMM}=\) thosenv
'These are fighting men.'
b. [e-ti nañha=di nakoni] si=la=re
\(3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{NEG}\) name \(=3\) PLP person \(\mathrm{FOC}=\) ? ? \(=\) thosen
'Those aren't the names of people.'
The structure of equative clauses is therefore:
\[
\mathrm{S} \rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{TOP}}+\mathrm{PRED}  \tag{8.7}\\
\text { PRED }+\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{FOC}}
\end{array}\right.
\]

\subsection*{8.1.1.4 Equative clause information weighting}

The subject of an equative clause is typically a previously established or known participant, about whom new information is given. Consequently, the subject typically requires a less detailed mention for identification than the predicate. Often it is realized only by a demonstrative or pronoun. Occasionally discourse information structure leads to an atypical weighting of information, as in (8.8). Information weightings like this occur but are uncommon in normal discourse.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { suli ta fani fa dia puhi } t=a u=r e \quad \text { [suli ide] }  \tag{8.8}\\
& \text { childSBD often CS be.bad way SBD=exist=thosen child theser } \\
& \text { 'The children who make trouble are these children.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{8.1.1.5 Telling the time}

Linguistic divisions of time smaller than periods such as morning and afternoon are a recent introduction. Expressing time in terms of these divisions is performed using an equative construction in which the nominal tanhi 'time' occurs as subject. Hour divisions are expressed as cardinal nominals functioning as an equative predicate. Temporal interrogatives have the same construction, with the quantitative interrogative functioning as the predicate, as in (8.9). Divisions of time smaller than an hour are expressed with the same construction, with a predicative NP expressing numerically quantified minutes, inalienably indexed to a possessor expressing the relevant hour, as in (8.10).
A. tanhi [nihau]
time how.much
'What's the time?'
B. tanhi [fitu-gu]
time seven-CRD
'The time is seven o'clock.'
tanhi [naboto-ai gaha miniti kenu/legu=na fitu-gu]
time ten-plus five minute front/behind=3SGP seven-CRD 'The time is fifteen minutes to/past seven.'
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Periods of fifteen and thirty minutes cannot be expressed using terms equating to 'half past', 'a quarter to', etc. Only full-minute enumeration is possible.

\subsection*{8.1.1.6 Equative naming predication}

\subsection*{8.1.1.6.1 Main clause naming equatives}

Equative clauses that associate a name with an entity may have the basic equative clause structure discussed above:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { nan̆ha=di=re [belama, kodere, ihebohebohebo] } e=u  \tag{8.11}\\
& \text { name }=3 \mathrm{PLP}=\text { thosen PN PN whoeversG } 3 \mathrm{PN} \text { Pebe.thus } \\
& \text { 'Their names are Belama, Kodere, whoever, it's like that.' }
\end{align*}
\]

However, names are more usually assigned to entities by a predication where the irrealis particle is directly marked with an object enclitic, with the meaning 'does it/them', whose complement is a NP with nañha- 'name' as its head:
(8.12) a. varigutu \([e=n i \quad n a n ̄ h a=n a=n a]\)

PN \(3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that
'Varigutu is his name.' [lit. '...does that name of his.']
b. \(\bar{g} u a n h a[e=n i \quad b l a \quad n a n ̄ h a=n a=n a \quad \bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=a 0]\) inhale \(3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatN wood \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thisT 'Guanha simply is the name of this tree.' [i.e., '...does that name...']

As with objects of lexical verbs, the complement is often not overtly realized if context prevents ambiguity:
\(\bar{g}\)-a-ke hoda neti e=ni ka mane-vaka ide,
NT-1EXCS-PFV take net \(3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{sGO}\) LOC man-ship theser
'We take a net, as it's called with these white men,
\(k a \quad\) gai... momoru \(e=n \boldsymbol{i} \quad e=u\) LOC weEXC turtle net \(3 \mathrm{~s}=3 \mathrm{sGO} \quad 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be thus with us... it's called momoru, it's like that.'

Names formerly used are indicated by use of the perfective aspect marker \(k e\), in which case the irrealis zero-marked subject particle is omitted:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text {.. naitu tahi } k e=n i \quad \text { nañha=na=na } & e=u  \tag{8.14}\\
\text { devil sea PFV=3SGO name=}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thatN } & 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus } \\
\text { '..."sea devil" did that name of it, like that.' [i.e., '... was its name'] }
\end{array}
\]
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As with basic equative clauses the sole argument of a naming predicate occurs in pre-head topic position. It is unclear whether the subject of a naming predicate can be focused.

\subsection*{8.1.1.6.2 Naming equatives as relative clauses}

Two types of relative clauses are formed from naming equatives. The naming equative in line 1 of (8.13) exemplifies one type, with the same structure as a main clause except for the omission of the controlled argument. A second type has the subordinator \(t a\). With equatives using this second construction, as with all \(t a\) subordinate clauses, no modal/subject particle is present. Instead the object enclitic attaches directly to the subordinating particle itself, and an obligatory demonstrative references whichever main clause argument is the relative head:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. ..fadalao } \quad \boldsymbol{t a}=\boldsymbol{n i}=\boldsymbol{n a} \quad \text { naitu } t=\alpha u=n e  \tag{8.15}\\
& \mathrm{PN} \quad \mathrm{SBD}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { thatN devil } \mathrm{SBD}=\text { exist=thisR } \\
& \text { '...Fadalao, which does this devil.' [i.e., '... as this devil's called.'] } \\
& \text { b. ...malaria ta=ni=o nan̄ha=na=na } e=u \\
& \text { malaria } \mathrm{SBD}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { thatNV name }=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { that } \mathrm{N} \quad 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus } \\
& \text { '...malaria, which does [names] that name of it } \\
& k a \text { ooe-vaka } \\
& \text { LOC talk-ship } \\
& \text { in English' [i.e., '... as it's called in English'] }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{8.1.2 Possessive predicates}

A possessive relationship may be expressed by use of a verbless possessive predication in which the ownership of an entity is assigned to a possessor. The possessum subject always occurs in pre-predicate topic position. The predication itself consists of a possessor-indexed host with its possessor NP complement (see §5.5). Both the general and 'consumed' hosts may occur:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. Keha pile }=d i=r e \quad[\text { no-na bla tagi-na }]  \tag{8.16}\\
& \text { NSP side=3PLP=thosen GENP-3SGP LMT REFL-3SGP } \\
& \text { 'Some parts will simply belong to him himself.' } \\
& \text { b, mala-n̆hau are [ge- } \bar{g} u \quad \text { ara }] \\
& \text { PURP-eat thosen CNSM-1SGP I } \\
& \text { 'That food is mine.' }
\end{align*}
\]

As with equative clauses, modal/subject particles and other pre-head modifiers may occur:
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Only alienable relationships are expressible using possessive predications. Verbless predicates expressing inalienable relationships involve an ordinary equative construction in which the topicalized subject is equated with an inalienably possessed entity, as in (8.18)a. In such constructions the subject is typically not overtly realized, as in (8.18)b.
(8.18) a. are=bla ira doli=mai gai
thosen-LMT thePl live \(=1\) EXCP weEXC
'Just those [things] are our lives.'
b. totogale \(=\bar{g} u\) ara
picture \(=1\) SGP I
'(It's) a photo of me.'

\subsection*{8.2 Declarative verbal main clauses-pragmatically unmarked structure}

\subsection*{8.2.1 Pragmatically unmarked core argument structure}

A number of pragmatically marked clause structures exist, (see \(\S 8.3\) and \(\S 8.4\) ). An unmarked structure also exists. Kokota is fundamentally verb-initial, with all pragmatically unmarked arguments occurring after the verb complex. With intransitive verbs the sole core argument immediately follows the verb complex, whether it is an unergative ([8.19]a.) or an unaccusative ([8.19]b.) subject.
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
a. n-o-ge mai bl=ago, & vave \\
RL-2S-PRS come LMT=youSG & in.law \\
'So you've come, in-law.' &
\end{tabular}

\section*{b. ginai lehe bla gita \\ fut die lmt weexc \\ 'We're going to die.'}

In transitive clauses the unmarked order is actor followed by object:
```

n-o fa-lehe=ri [ago] [kokorako are]
RL-3S CS-die=3PLO youSG chicken thoseN
'You are killing those chickens.'

```

In clauses with ditransitive verbs the object that is indexed by the postverbal agreement enclitic precedes the unindexed object:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara a tu~turi tufa=nigo [ago] }  \tag{8.21}\\
& \text { I lexCS RD~tell affect=2sco yousg } \\
& \text { 'I am going to tell you } \\
& \text { [keha mereseni ka gai ade kokota] } \\
& \text { NSP medicine LOC weEXC here PNLOC } \\
& \text { some medicines of us here in Kokota.' }
\end{align*}
\]

The pragmatically unmarked constituent order for core arguments is therefore VSIVAO. This does not mean, however, that such clauses are typical in normal discourse, particularly transitive clauses with more than one overt argument. The notion of markedness used here relates to information structure, not frequency. In pragmatic terms the unmarked structure is that which has no special function in information structure, such as the foregrounding, or backgrounding, of an argument. As with most Oceanic languages, agreement marking in the verb complex means that participants, once established in the discourse, typically do not receive an overt mention again unless pragmatic factors such as backgrounding or foregrounding of arguments, emphasis (contrastive or otherwise), and the prevention of ambiguity (see \(\S 8.3\) to 8.5 ) motivate a fresh mention. The frequency of zero anaphora and overt topicalization in Kokota means that the full pragmatically unmarked structure occurs in a minority of clauses in discourse. Nonetheless, since varying clause structures in Kokota have varying pragmatic affects, it is more meaningful to use the terms 'marked' and 'unmarked' in their pragmatic sense when discussing clause structure.

\subsection*{8.2.2 Pragmatically unmarked adjunct structure}

Pragmatically unmarked adjuncts follow any postverbal core arguments present:
```

(8.22) a. $\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a$ tikani ka bili
NT-3S go $=\mathrm{IMM}$ PN LOC PN
'Tikani went to Billy.'

```
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> b. \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) la uf \(-i=0 \quad\) ia to \(\sim\) toi
> NT-3S go blow-TR=3SGO thesG 'HD went and blew on the fire
\(k a=i a \quad p a p a \bar{g} u \quad \bar{g} a z u\)
LOC=theSG stack wood on the wood stack,'

Note that in (8.22)b. the transitivized \(u f-i\) 'blow' subcategorizes for a patient, ia totoi 'the fire' therefore being a direct object.

Where several obliques occur in the same clause no syntactic order restrictions apply. In some clauses with more than one \(k a\) prepositional phrase the semantics of the verb will dictate the order of the arguments. In (8.23) the semantics of \(f a\) kamo 'cause to cross' require a source and a goal, which participate in the process temporally in that order. The order of the obliques realizing these participants is then iconic, with the source preceding the goal:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { fa kamo }=i & \text { bakru } t=a u=a n a  \tag{8.23}\\
\text { CS go.across=3SGO } & \text { liquid } \operatorname{SBD}=\text { exist }=\text { that } \\
\text { 'Transfer that tea } &
\end{array}
\]
[ka timosi ana] [ka panakini ana] LOC thermos thatN LOC cup thatN from that thermos to that cup.'

In other clauses the semantics of the verb does not have this effect and any order is possible. In (8.24) the two PPs could occur in either order.
```

a. zemesie au [ka nau ine goveo]
PN 3 S exist LOC place thisR PNLOC
'James lives in this village of Goveo
[ka nohi=ne kokota]
LOC district=thisR PNLOC
in this Kokota district.'
b. $e$ au no-di fama [ka=ira buluka],[ka=ira zora] 3s exist GENP-3PLP farm LOC=thePL cow LOC=thePL pig 'They have their farms with cows, with pigs.'

```

Where a prepositional phrase occurs with another adjunct such as an associative oblique or a temporal locative, either order is possible, as (8.25) and (8.26) show. However, there is a strong tendency for the PP to precede the other oblique-the constructions in (8.25)b. and (8.26)b. occur less commonly.

(8.26) a. ara \(n\)-a lao [ka sitoa] [le~legu nare]

I RL-IEXCS go LOC=thesG store RD~behind day 'I go to the store every day.'
b. ara n-a lao [le~legu nare] [ka=ia sitoa]

I RL-1EXCS go RD~behind day LOC=thesg store 'I go to the store every day,'

\subsection*{8.3 Zero mentions}

Participants that have been established in the discourse are typically not overtly mentioned in subsequent clauses as long as they are not topicalized or focused, unless an overt mention is necessary to prevent ambiguity (including where an established argument occurs with a new grammatical relation). This applies as much to first and second person as to third person referents. As long as a participant maintains the same grammatical relation it is not overtly mentioned after the initial reference, unless a clause intervenes in which a different participant is in that relation.

The fragment of text in (8.27) illustrates the maintenance of participants in established grammatical relations with zero mentions. A participant overtly mentioned in clause 1 as an intransitive subject occurs again in clause 2, this time as a transitive actor. Being the subject of the preceding clause, no overt mention is necessary for the listener to interpret that participant as the actor of this clause. In the same clause a further participant is overtly mentioned as the object of the predication. Clause 3 is outside the events of the narrative. The subject is an anaphoric reference to the events of the preceding clauses. Apart from emphatic observations like this on the part of the narrator, all subsequent core arguments represent the subject/actor and object established in clauses 1 and 2. Once established in their roles, neither participant receives an overt core argument mention again, being maintained in their grammatical relations by a series of zero mentions. No further overt mentions are needed for the listener to be able to follow the narrative, despite the fact that both participants are 3 SG and thus subject and object agreement markers could both potentially refer to either.

The only further overt mention of either participant in (8.27) is in the second part of clause 5 , where the subject is mentioned overtly as the possessor of the
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snake-tail. The only other overt mention is of an instrument in clause 5. This participant, once established is also not mentioned overtly in the subsequent clause where it is assumed to be the instrument of the same predicate.

> 1. ...n-e hage \(X^{58}\)
> RL-3s ascend PN
> '...X went up,
2. kai gilai nee la toke=i bla

LOC until RL-3s go arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT
until [he] reached
2.-3.mane \(n\)-e-ke seha=n-lau. \(\quad e=u \quad\) si=la=na
man RL-3s-PFV climb=thatN-SPC \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be thus \(\mathrm{FOC=}=\) ? \(=\) that that man who was climbing. That's how it was,
4. lao sini ge age n-e lao \(=\bar{n} a\)
go FOC SEQ and RL-3S go \(=\mathrm{IMM}\)
Go, and then [he] went.
5. n-e la piri=ni=u ka=ia kolu e=u, RL-3S go tie \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) LOC=theSG snake \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus [He] went and was tying [him] up with the snake, like that,
\(k a=i a \quad k o l u-s e k u=n a=0 \quad\) manei,
LOC=theSG snake-tail=3SGO=thatNV him with that snake-tail of his,
6.-7.la piri fa-lehe \(=i=u\) sini-ge age \(\bar{g}-e\) hure \(=i=\bar{n} a\)
go tie \(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{die}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) FOC \(=\mathrm{SEQ}\) and \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~s}\) carry \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=1 \mathrm{MM}\) went and was tying up and killing [him], and then [he] carried [him].
8.-10. \(n-e \quad\) hage \(=u \quad \bar{g}-e \quad\) hage \(=u \quad \bar{g}-e \quad\) hage \(=u\)
RL-3S ascend \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) NT-3S ascend=CNT NT-3S ascend=CNT
[He] was going up, [he] was going up, [he] was going up,
11. \(\bar{g}-e\) toke \(=u\) sara fate sini ge

NT-3S reach=CNT thereD high FOC SEQ
[he] was arriving there on top and then
12. n-e la de \(\sim\) deke \(=u\) sini ge
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) go \(\mathrm{RD} \sim\) step \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) FOC SEQ
[he] went and stepped [with his tail on the ground],

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{58}\) This name has been omitted for cultural reasons.
}
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 13. age } \bar{g}-e \quad \text { koko-la }=n i=\bar{n} a & \text { sara } & \text { rauru } \\
\text { SEQ NT-3s leave-go }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} & \text { thereD } & \text { seaward } \\
\text { and then }[\text { he] threw }[\mathrm{him}] \text { there seaward.' } &
\end{array}
\]

Where a different participant intervenes, an established participant may receive an overt mention to clarify that the relevant relation has switched back to it. In (8.28) clause 2 the subject and object both receive an overt mention. In clause 3 a new subject occurs, and receives an overt mention. As this participant, the turtle, received an overt mention only two clauses earlier, a proform mention is sufficient. However, an overt mention of some kind is made because the subject of clause 3 is not the same as the subject of the preceding clause 2 . This occurs despite the fact that the subject-indexing in clause 3 makes it clear that the subject must be a different participant. In clause 4 the subject is the same as in clause 3 so no overt mention is necessary. In 5, however, subject has switched back to the subject of clause 2 . Now an overt mention re-establishes that participant as subject, although again subject-indexing also indicates that. Once established, that participant again receives a zero mention in the next clause 6.
(8.28) 1. ...n-a la fakae=ni=u ka tahi are teğe ine \(e=u\) RL-1EXCS go see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) CNT LOC sea thosenturtlethisR \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus '...we go and see in the sea this turtle, like that.
2. \(\bar{g}-a \quad k o k o=n i=\bar{n} a \quad\) gai momoru ana

NT-1EXCS leave \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) IMM weexC turtle.net that We throw out that turtle net.
3. \(\bar{g}-e\) mai kale=u manei ka momoru ana \(e=u\)

NT-3s come snag \(=\) CNT it LOC turtle.net that \(\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus It comes and gets caught in that turtle net, like that,
4. mai kale=u ka momoru ana si=ge
come snag \(=\) CNT LOC turtle.net that \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\)
comes and gets caught in that turtle net and then
5. \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) zogu \(\bar{n} a \quad\) gai

NT-1 ExCs fall=IMM weexc
we drop [into the water],
6. \(\bar{g}-a \quad f a\) hage \(=i \quad k a\) hinage

NT-1EXCS CS ascend=3SGO LOC boat
[we] lift it into the boat.'
An established participant may also receive an overt mention if it occurs in a new grammatical relation, typically when an established subject becomes an
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object. In (8.29) a subject participant receives an overt mention in clause 1. and a zero mention as subject in clauses 2 . and 3 . In 5 . the subject is assumed to be the participant newly introduced with an overt mention in 4 . Typically a newly introduced overtly mentioned subject supplants a previously established subject. When this occurs, if the relevant clause is transitive, the most recently mentioned subject is assumed to be the actor, and the next most recently mentioned argument is assumed to be the object, even if that argument was itself subject. However, the change in relation of the former subject often motivates a further overt mention of the argument in its new role, as in clause 5 . This is particularly common where ambiguity is possible. As both participants in clause 5 are 3 sG, neither subject nor object-indexing maps a participant to a relation.
1. 'mane ine n-e-ge mai mhoko
man thisR RL-3S-PRS come sit
This man comes and sits.
\(\begin{array}{rllll}2 .-3 . n-e-g e & a u=g u & k a & n a f u-\bar{g} a z u & \text { ine. } \\ \text { RL-3S-PRS } & n-e-g e \quad a u=g u \\ & \text { exist }=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { LOC base-wood thisR } & \text { RL-3S-PRS exist=CNT }\end{array}\) He stays at this tree base. He stays.
4. posa mai=na=o bla \(X^{59}\)
emerge come \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatNV LMT PN
That emergence of X.
5. n-e-ge mai fakae=ni=u mane ine, nakoni ine RL-3S come see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) man thisR person thisR He's coming and seeing this man, this person'

A switch in relations does not require overt mentions, as long as no ambiguity is possible. A participant established as subject in one clause may participate as an object with a zero mention in a subsequent clause if a further subject has been established and no ambiguity is possible. In (8.30) the semantics of the clauses make the roles of the participants clear, as does the object-indexing in clause 3.
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { 1. n-e-ke la zaho ia naitu } \\ \text { RL-3s-PFV go go } & \text { thesG devil }\end{array}\)
'The devil went away.
2. tetu=n̄a ira naitu toke nogoi stand=IMM thepl devil arrive VOC The arriving devils stood up, man!

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{59}\) This name has been omitted for cultural reasons.
}
3. \(\bar{g}-e \quad t o \bar{g} l a=n i \quad n-e-k e=u\)

NT-3s chase \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
and chased [him].'
In (8.30) clause 1 a devil (whose identity was established much earlier in the narrative) receives an overt mention as subject. In the next clause a group of other devils receive an overt mention as subject. In the transitive clause 3 both actor and object receive zero mentions without ambiguity. The most recently mentioned subject (the group of 'arriving devils' mentioned in the preceding clause) is assumed to remain subject of the new clause. This is reinforced by the fact that the clause involves an event of chasing. As the preceding two clauses involved one participant going away (zaho involves movement away from a location), and the other participants then standing up, it is clear who is likely to be doing the chasing. The potential for ambiguity is also removed by the objectindexing in 3 , which indicates that it is the singular previously mentioned participant that is the object. (The subject-indexing does not contribute to the prevention of ambiguity since it marks only person, not number.)

In normal discourse zero mentions occur with high frequency. In a typical narrative text, for example, the proportion of overt mentions to zero mentions in main clauses was:

\section*{TABLE 8.1. PROPORTION OF OVERT TO ZERO MENTIONS IN A TYPICAL NARRATIVE TEXT}
\begin{tabular}{cccc} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Overt \\
pragmatically \\
unmarked \\
mentions
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Overtly mentioned \\
topicalized \\
arguments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Zero \\
mentions
\end{tabular} \\
A & 1 & 3 & \\
S & 18 & 4 & 4 \\
O & 7 & 0 & 23 \\
OBL & 11 & 2 & 0 \\
& & & 0
\end{tabular}

Zero mentions account for half of all subject arguments, transitive and intransitive. However, all object and oblique arguments receive overt mentions. While both do receive zero mentions in discourse, most mentions are overt. Both these findings accord with the crosslinguistic tendency for subjects, particularly A arguments, to be already established participants, and for objects and obliques to be new information. These crosslinguistic tendencies are reflected in the information structure of Kokota. Since only established participants may occur as zero mentions, it is to be expected that a high proportion of A and S arguments will receive a zero mention. Conversely, it is to be expected that objects and obliques, tending to represent new information, will overwhelmingly receive overt mentions. The result is that a majority of intransitive clauses have
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no overtly mentioned core arguments, while a majority of transitive clauses have only one overt core argument, usually the object. The occurrence of a transitive clause with two overt arguments is unusual in normal discourse.

\subsection*{8.4 Topicalization}

In overt topicalization the topicalized argument is realized in preverbal position. An argument in any grammatical relation may be fronted in this way. Subjects of any kind may be topicalized, including transitive actors ([8.31]a.), and unergative ([8.31]b.) and unaccusative ([8.31]c.-d.) intransitive subjects:
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { a. ago } & n-o \quad f a-l e h e=a u ~ a r a \\ \text { yousG } & R L-2 S \\ C S-d i e=1 s G O ~ I ~\end{array}\)
'You are killing me.'
b. ia tara=na nee mai=ne
theSG enemy=IMM RL-3S come=thisR
'The enemy has come.'
c. tilo tomoko n-e \(a u=r e \quad z e l u\)
three war.canoe RL-3S exist=thosen PNLOC
'Three war canoes are at Zelu.'
d. manei \(e\) keha n̄henhe
he 3S NSP be.separate
'He is different.'
Objects also occur as preverbal topics:
(8.32) a. ia pike mau=ğ \(n\)-e-ke hod- \(i=\emptyset=0\)
thesG piecetaro \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}\) RL-3S-PFV take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatNV
sala ge ruruboñi bla
PN and PN LMT
'My piece of taro just Sala and Ruruboñi brought.'
b. are-lau tahe \(=d i\) ago
thosen-SPC tell=3PLO yousG
'Those ones [parts of a story] you will tell.'
Although objects may be topicalized, this occurs rarely in natural discourse. Subjects, both transitive and intransitive, occur much more commonly. In the first 100 verbal main clauses of a typical narrative text, the following breakdown of argument position occurrence applied:

TABLE 8.2. PROPORTION OF ARGUMENTS IN PREVERBAL, FOCUSED, AND PRAGMATICALLY UNMARKED POSITION
\begin{tabular}{ccccr} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Preverbal \\
topicalized
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Focused \\
arguments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Arguments in \\
unmarked
\end{tabular} & Total \\
arguments & & \begin{tabular}{c} 
position
\end{tabular} & \\
A & \(2(28.5 \%)\) & 0 & \(5(71.5 \%)\) & \(7(100 \%)\) \\
S & \(8(15.5 \%)\) & \(2(4.0 \%)\) & \(41(80.5 \%)\) & \(51(100 \%)\) \\
O & \(1(5.5 \%)\) & 0 & \(17(94.5 \%)\) & \(18(100 \%)\)
\end{tabular}

Table 8.2 shows that overtly realized arguments of all types overwhelmingly occur in their pragmatically unmarked positions. However, a cline exists from A arguments, which are most likely to be overtly topicalized, to O arguments, which are the least likely. Slightly more than half the proportion of S arguments are topicalized as A arguments, and only a third as many O arguments as S arguments. Only one sixth the proportion of Os are topicalized as As.

Topicalization occurs when the speaker assumes that the referent participant is prominent in the listener's mind, typically because the participant has recently been mentioned in the discourse. In most instances such a participant will receive a zero mention (see §8.3). However, there are some instances when a zero mention is not sufficient to identify the argument. In such instances an overtly realized topicalized mention occurs. This occurs for a number of reasons. It may be that a participant has been recently mentioned, but another participant has received a subsequent mention. Attention may switch back to the previously mentioned participant, but without an overt mention this will not be clear. Consequently the participant receives an overt mention to preclude ambiguity, but due to the recent mention and consequent assumed prominence of the participant in the listener's mind, the argument is backgrounded. In (8.33) a text fragment of three clauses illustrates this. In clause 1 the subject occurs in its unmarked position. In clause 2 a new subject occurs (itself topicalized as a result of prominence arising from a recent overt mention). In clause 3 the subject of clause 1 is again subject. Due to its prominence this participant would receive a zero mention if it were not for the intervening subject of clause 2 . The switching back of the subject in clause 3 requires an overt mention. Without it the subject would be assumed to remain the subject of the preceding clause (clause 2). However, due to the very recent mention of the relevant participant, the subject of this clause is backgrounded through topicalization:
(8.33) 1. n-e-ke la mai=u mane ide kokota RL-3S-PFV go come \(=\) CNT man theser PNLOC 'These Kokota men used to come [to pray].
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2. huhurañi tana teo \(e=u\)

PNLOC then not.exist \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\)-be.thus [The] Huhurangi [people] didn't.
3. \(e=u\) mane ide kokota n-e-ke kulu tarai... \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus man theseR PNLOC RL-3S-PFV be.first pray So these Kokota men were the first to start praying....'

Overt topicalization also occurs when a participant that has already been established and is assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind occurs with a new grammatical relation. Often no overt mention is needed in this situation because the subject and object-indexing make clear the new relations, or the semantics of the clause as a whole allows only one reading. However, in some instances an overt mention is needed to indicate the participant's new relation. In (8.34) two participants are introduced in clause 1 as an adjunct. In the next clause they occur as subject with an anaphoric reference. Although they have only just been mentioned and are assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind, they receive an overt mention to clarify their shift from adjunct to subject. However, their prominence allows a topicalized mention.

> 1. ara-hi a turi tufa=nigo kaike tu-turi fakasai=di I-EMPH 1EXCS tell affect=2SGOone RD-tell history=3PLP 'I will tell you a history story of
```

    nau=de gu=di \overline{getu ge hugo hebala...}
    place-theseR CNTX=3PLP PN and PN
    these places, about Getu and Hugo Hebala....
    ```
2. \(k a \quad a u=d i=r e \quad\) palumane aro \(n-e-k e \quad a u \quad b u a l a\) LOC exist \(=3\) PLP=thosen two man theseT RL-3s-pFV exist PNLOC In their living these two men were at Buala.'

Overt topicalization also occurs when an argument refers to a participant that is assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind as the result of a recent overt reference, but is being referred to in a different way. In (8.35) clause 3 has a topicalized subject. The subject is the event expressed in clause 1 . This event is assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind, as it has just been mentioned, but it has not previously been referred to in the way it is in clause 3. As it has just been mentioned and is prominent it receives a proform mention, and is backgrounded through topicalization. However, the new nature of the reference to it means it must receive an overt mention.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { 1. } & \text { n-e } & \text { teo } & \bar{n} a  \tag{8.35}\\
& \text { RL-3S } & \text { not.exist } & \text { IMM }
\end{array}
\]
'[If] it is not so
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\(\bar{g} e\) ağe mhoko fa-lehe \(=i\) ago to-toi=ne ge
NT go sit \(\quad \mathrm{CS}\)-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) youSG RD -cook=thisR SEQ
that you go and sit on and kill this fire, then
2. ago teo bla geheta=u \(\quad e=u\)
yousG not.exist LMT NT be.strong=CNT \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus you are not strong.
3. \(a\)-hi bla fa-gilagila=na \(k=a r a\)
thisT-EMPH LMT CS-test \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) LOC=1
This alone will be the sign of it to me."

The text fragment in (8.35) illustrates a further use of overt topicalization. This fragment is taken from a discussion between two participants, one of whom, the speaker, hopes to kill the addressee by tricking him to sit on the fire. In clause 2 the subject is the same participant as the subject of the preceding clause. Here the topicalization is contrastive-the implication of the overt topicalization in clause 2 is that the addressee will reveal himself to not be strong in contrast with the speaker. The participant receives an overt mention to create that contrast, but the mention is topicalized to background it, so that the lack of strength can represent a comment on the addressee.

It is not always the case that the topicalized referent is previously mentioned in the discourse. Certain participants are typically assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind simply because of their relationship to the speech event. First and second pronouns are frequently topicalized on this basis. Even if a speaker or addressee has not been overtly mentioned in the discourse, they are assumed to be prominent in the listener's mind and are topicalized accordingly. In the 100 verbal main clauses analyzed in Table 8.2 , of the 11 preverbal topics 5 were first inclusive, first exclusive, or second person pronouns. All but one were in reported speech. The exception was the narrator introducing the story. This was the first occasion in the text when the speaker referred to himself, but that reference was topicalized, as was the first use of the 1 SG pronoun in reported speech. The first use of the first inclusive pronoun was also topicalized. In each case the speaker was assuming that he himself and the interlocutors together were already prominent in the listener's mind. Table 8.3 shows the numbers of first and second person core argument pronouns that were topicalized in the 100 verbal main clauses.

A number of special clause types typically have a topicalized subject. As discussed in 88.1 , the subjects of nonverbal predicates always occur in a pragmatically marked position. Very occasionally, this is the clause-final focused position. Typically the subject of a nonverbal predicate occurs clauseinitially in topic position.
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\section*{TABLE 8.3. NUMBER OF FIRST AND SECOND PERSON PRONOUNS TOPICALIZED}
\begin{tabular}{ccccc} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Preverbal \\
topicalized \\
arguments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Focused \\
arguments
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Arguments in \\
unmarked
\end{tabular} & Total \\
1SG & \(2(40.0 \%)\) & 0 & \(3(60.0 \%)\) & \(5(100 \%)\) \\
2SG & \(1(14.5 \%)\) & \(2(28.5 \%)\) & \(4(57.0 \%)\) & \(7(100 \%)\) \\
INC & \(2(100 \%)\) & 0 & 0 & \(2(100 \%)\) \\
IEXC & \(1(100 \%)\) & 0 & 0 & \(1(100 \%)\)
\end{tabular}

A further clause type typically occurring with topicalization is the sequencer clause. Sequencer clauses, a form of recapping, are common in exposition, and indicating completion of the event of the preceding clause as a prelude to the next clause. In (8.36) the subjects of clauses 2 and 4 repeat the event expressed in the preceding clauses.
```

(8.36) 1. o la roh $i=\emptyset$ ia guanha..
2 S go scrape-TR $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ thesg inhale
'You go and scrape [the bark of] the 'inhale' [tree].... ${ }^{60}$

```
    2. la roh-i=O n-e nhigo
    go scrape RL-3S be.finished
    Going and scraping is finished,
3. toke=na fa blahi
arrive \(=\) that CS be.tabu go back and bless it.
4. fa blahi n-e nhigo ara ge

CS be.tabu RL-3S be.finished I SEQ
The blessing is finished and
5. age \(\bar{g}\)-e \(\bar{g}\) uanha \(=\bar{n} a\) nakoni

SEQ NT-3s inhale=IMM person
ta kuru=i=ne foğra \(e=u\)
SBD have \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thisR sick \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
then the person who has this sickness inhales.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{60}\) Guanha 'inhale something' is also the name of a tree whose bark is used as an infusion for inhaling.
}

\subsection*{8.5 Focused constructions}

Focus is a formal means of foregrounding information. Kokota has two focusing strategies. One focus marks a particular argument, by locating the argument in clause-final position and marking it with the focal particle si=. The other foregrounds the content of the entire clause using the focal particles sini and si=.

\subsection*{8.5.1 Clause foregrounding}

\subsection*{8.5.1.1 Clauses foregrounded with si}

When it occurs without marking an argument, the particle si marks the entire main clause as being focused. It is procliticized to the final constituent of the clause. This effectively means that it forms a part of the clause-final constituent, and cannot occur alone. A sequence of the focus particle and the clause-final sequencer ge occurs very commonly in discourse:
```

ke broza lao putuo, toke putuo sare si=ge
PFV pack go PNLOC arrive PNLOC thereP FOC=SEQ
'[We] packed up and went to Putuo, arrived there at Putuo and then
g}-e tetu=\overline{n}a man-dou mar
NT-3S stand=IMM man-be.big PN
old man Mare stood up.'

```

This combination of particles often marks a clause preceding reported speech:
```

n-e-ge mai fa nhigo=i=u
RL-3S-PRS come CS be.finished=3SGO=CNT
'He came
lao tabar-i=\varnothing=na banesokeo si=ge
go buy-TR=3SGO=thatN PNLOC FOC=SEQ
[and] bought Banesokeo and then

```
'teo, isa=ni ge \(a u=i=n a \quad\) putuo...'
not.exist flee \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) PRS exist \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) PNLOC
"No, leave where you are at Putuo..."

The cooccurrence of the focal particle and the sequencer is entirely optional, and clauses with this collocation are equally grammatical without si.

Si combines with the clause-level modifier ba. As discussed in §8.8.1, this particle marks alternatives, performing in part the function served by the English conjunction or. The resulting form, \(s i=b a\), places the clause in contrastive focus.
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Thus in (8.39) in the second clause of speaker B's response he is telling speaker A to follow his suggestion instead of his own idea.
(8.39) A. ka la au fufumu foğra=na manei,

LOC go exist begin sick \(=3\) SGP he 'When his sickness began,
ta=ke fufunu=na ara
\(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) begin=thatN that's where I'll start [the story].'
B. fufunu ke la keli-kava \(\quad[e=u \quad\) lao bla si=ba \(]\) begin PFV go be.good-land \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) bethus go \(\operatorname{LMT} F O C=A L T\) 'Start when there was peace, just go like that instead.'

Again, si may be omitted. The presence of \(b a\) alone marks the clause as contrastive, but the clause is not focused.

Si also cooccurs with the clause-level markers \(\bar{n} a\) 'immediate' and \(l a\) (function unclear), but only when combined with an argument. Thus \(*_{s i}=l a\) and \({ }^{*} s i=\bar{n} a\) alone are ungrammatical. Their occurrence with arguments is discussed below.

\subsection*{8.5.1.2 Si marking constituents other than main clauses}

The focal proclitic si also marks constituents other than an entire main clause, when the constituent is a context for the event expressed in the following clause. The marked constituent may be a temporal locative, as in (8.40). More typically si marks a recapping or sequencing constituent, either a sequencing demonstrative, as in (8.41)a., or a sequencing clause, as in (8.41)b.
(8.40) A. ginai saigona si=ge
later evening \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\)
'This evening then
\(\bar{g}-o \quad t a h e=i=\bar{n} a \quad t=a u=a n a \quad b a\)
NT-2S tell=3SGO-IMM SBD=exist=thatN ALT
you tell them to do that instead [of now].'
B. ehe ginai saigona si=ba
yes later evening \(\mathrm{FOC=ALT}\)
'Yes, this evening instead.'
(8.41) a. ke fa noto la=i manei

PFV CS stop go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) he
\({ }^{\text {'He }} \mathrm{He}\) will stop it.
an-lau si=ge fa la=i=ña tu-turi=na a-hi
thatN-SP \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\) CS go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) RD \(\sim\) tell \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) thisT-EMPH That, then you give this story.?
b. .. \(\bar{g}-e\) mai kale \(=u \quad\) manei \(k a \quad\) momoru=ana \(\quad e=u\) NT-3s come snag=CNT he LOC turtle.net=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus -...it comes and gets caught in that net,
mai kale=u ka momoru=ana si=ge
come snag \(=\) CNT LOC turtle net=that \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\) comes and gets caught in that net and then
\(\bar{g}-a \quad \operatorname{zog} u=i=\bar{n} a \quad g a i\)
NT-1EXCS drop=3SGO=1MM weexc
we jump in
\(\bar{g}-a \quad f a\) hage \(=i \quad k a\) hinage
NT-1EXCS CS ascend \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LOC boat and lift it into the boat.'

The marking of a nonverbal constituent as a focused clause indicates that the constituent is an existential clause of the kind where no existential verb is overtly present. This is the case with the sequencing demonstrative in (8.41)a. This is not limited to sequencers, however. In (8.42) the nominal marked with a focused sequencer is functioning as a nonverbal existential predication.
(8.42) \(n-a \quad l a \quad l i s a=d i \quad t=a u=a r e\)

RL-1EXCS go put=3PLO SBD=exist=thoseN
'We go and put down those [the food],
age \(n\)-a-ke \(\quad z a h o \quad\) koko \(=n i=\bar{n} a \quad e=u\)
SEQ RL-1EXCS-PFV go leave \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM} 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus and then we go away and leave it [the shrine].
\(\bar{g}\) lepo \(t=a u=0 \quad s i=g e\)
thing \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) thatNV \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\)
That thing [the devil] [is there] and then
age \(\bar{g}-e \quad m a i=\bar{n} a \quad \bar{g}-e \quad \bar{n} h a u=g u \quad e=u\)
SEQ NT- 3 S come \(=\mathrm{IMM} \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) eat \(=\mathrm{CNT} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus then it comes and it is eating, it's like that.'
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\subsection*{8.5.1.3 Clause-final focus marker sini}

Si attaches to the final constituent of a clause, and cannot occur clause-finally alone. However, the variant form sini does occur clause-finally:
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
k a=t=a u=a n a \quad \tilde{g} e-l a & \text { lehe no- } \bar{g} u \quad \text { bo sini }  \tag{8.43}\\
\text { LOC=SBD=exist=thatN NT-go die } & \text { GENP-lSGP CNT FOC } \\
\text { 'At that I was nearly dead!' }
\end{array}
\]

Like \(s i=\), sini foregrounds the entire clause. However, unlike \(s i=\) it does not also focus mark clause-final focused arguments. Sini only focuses entire clauses.

As with \(s i=\), sini may mark a sequencing or recapping constituent. Like \(s i=\) it may mark a recapping demonstrative, as in (8.44)a. Sini also marks the recapping prepositional phrase katau- ([8.44]b.), which \(s i=\) does not appear to do.
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
a. \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\bar{g}-a \quad\) kaike fa-lehe \(=r i\) \\
NT-1EXCS one CS-die=3PLO EXHST
\end{tabular} & IMM & weEXC \\
'We kill every one of
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llcl} 
teg\(e \quad\) are-lau, & \(\bar{g} e\) & \(v a h e=r i=u\) \\
turtle thosen-SP & NT & carve.up \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
\end{tabular}
an-lau sini ge \(\bar{g} e\) tufa \(\bar{n} a \quad k a=i r a \quad n a k o n i ~ m a v i t u . . . ~\) thatN-SPC FOC SEQ NT affect=IMM LOC=thePL person community That, and then we distribute them among the community....'
b. ...la au kuru mai=di=re \(n-e-k e=u\) go exist own come=3PLO=thoseN RL-3S-PFV=be.thus '...and stopped them from coming.
\(\boldsymbol{k a}=\boldsymbol{t}=\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{a n a} \boldsymbol{a} \quad\) sini ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) tetu \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) solomoni LOC \(=\) SBD \(=\) exist=thatN FOC SEQ NT-3S stand=IMM PN At that, then, Solomon stood up.'

\subsection*{8.5.1.4 Sentence-initial extra-clausal occurrence of sini}

Sini also occurs in an extra-clausal sentence-initial position. Here sini always occurs with the sequencing conjunction ge between two sequenced constituents, to emphasize the sequential relationship between the preceding constituent and the following clause. The preceding constituent may be an entire clause:
ke pulo \(=u \quad \bar{g} o g\) gomo
PFV return \(=\) CNT PN
'Gogomo went back.
sini ge age \(\bar{g}-e\) tetu=ña ira man-dou kutai kava FOC SEQ and NT-3S stand=iMM thePL man-be.big own.land land Then the old men landowners stood up [i.e., spoke out]...'

Here sini is not occurring finally in the first clause. Admissible pauses indicate clearly that sini ge opens the second sentence in the example. Its pre-clausal position is clearly demonstrated by its occurrence with reported speech:
'teo, le~legu \(k=a g o . \quad\) sini ge ke hage \(=\bar{n} a\) not.exist RD~behind LOC=youSG FOC SEQ PFV ascend=IMM "No. It's up to you" [he said]. Then [he] went up.'

\subsection*{8.5.2 Foregrounding of arguments}

Arguments are foregrounded by occurring in clause-final focus position, marked with the focal proclitic \(s i=\).

\subsection*{8.5.2.1 Focused forms}

Any core argument may be focus marked, including transitive actors, objects, and unergative, unaccusative, and middle voice subjects:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } \begin{array}{ll}
\text { o- } t i \quad \text { dupa }=i & \text { manei } \\
\text { 2S-NEG punch=3SGO he } & \text { si=ago } \\
& \text { FOC=youSG } \\
\text { 'Don't you hit him!' } &
\end{array} \tag{8.47}
\end{array}
\]
b. ara n-a toka jizi=ni si=ḡazu ana ba

1 RL-1EXCS chop cut.up \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) FOC \(=\) wood that NLT
'I chopped up that wood.'
c. n-o-ke mai si=ago

RL-2S-PFV come FOC=youSG
'You've come.'
d. n-e keha \(\bar{n} h e n ̄ h e \quad s i=z a \sim z a h o=n a=n a\)

RL-3S NSP be.separate \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{RD} \sim \mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that N
'That way of it is different.'
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e. n-o bula=nigo
si=ago
RL- 2 S be angry= 2 SGO FOC=youSG
'You're angry.'

Focus is not limited to core arguments: prepositional adjuncts may be focused:
e la puku bai si=ka tepi=ana \(n-a=u\) 3S go be.short PSBL FOC=LOC tape-thatN RL-lEXCS=be.thus 'It might go short on that tape, I think.'

The focal particle may mark any kind of argument, including nominalized clauses:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { mala } f a-l e h e=i=u & n-e-k e=u=o & b=a r a,  \tag{8.49}\\
\text { PURP } & \text { CS-die }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT} & \text { RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=thatNV ALT=I }
\end{array}
\]
\({ }^{\prime}\) I intended to kill him,
teo bla si=boka=ğ \(u=n a \quad k a\) kuiti aro-hi
not.exist LMT FOC=be.able \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that LOC trick theseT-EMPH but that ability of mine with these tricks was not [able to do it].'

The vocative goi may also be focused:
(8.50) ago \(n\)-o tore g\(l e h e ~ s i=g o i\)
yousG RL-2S ask very \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{VOC}\)
'You're asking a lot, man!'
When the focal particle cliticizes to a vowel-initial form, a reduced form occasionally occurs. So \(s i+\) ana may have the surface form/sana/ and \(s i+i d e\) the form /side/:
a. mai \(s=a g o\)
come FOC=yousG
'You come!'

\subsection*{8.5.2.2 Focus and constituent modifiers}

Foregrounded arguments may be marked with the constituent modifiers \(b a\) 'alternative', \(\bar{n} a\) 'immediate', or \(l a\) (function unclear). The 'alternative' particle may occur clause-finally as it otherwise does in non-focus clauses:
(8.52) \(p u k u=n a \quad b l a \quad b a i \quad s=a n a \quad b a\)
be.short-thatN LMT PSBL FOC=thatN ALT
'I think that's short.'

However, it may also occur between the focal particle and the argument, forming a single word:
```

nogoi, ge lehe si=b=ara
VOC PRS die FOC=ALT=I
'Man! I'm going to die now.'

```

The immediacy particle also occurs in this construction:
(8.54) \(n\)-a bakora \(s i=\bar{n}=a r a \quad g o i\)

RL-IEXCS be.cut FOC=IMM=I VOC 'I've been cut, man!'

The dubitative bai(u), contrastive bo, and limiter bla(u) do not occur in this construction. However, the particle \(l a\) does occur.
(8.55) a. fafra si=gau-palu ba, ginai \(\bar{g} r u g u ~ s i=l a=\) ine
be.quick FOC=youPL-two ALT FUT be.dark FOC=??=thisR 'Hurry up, you two, or this [day] will get dark.'
b. keli blau si=l=are
be.good LMT FOC=??=thoseN
'Those are alright.'
The function of this particle is not clear (see §8.8.7).

\subsection*{8.5.2.3 Focused dummy argument \(=i a\)}

The focus particle occasionally occurs attached to the host \(=i a\). This requires the presence of one of the clause-level modifiers discussed in §8.5.2.2 (so the form sia does not occur in Kokota as it does in Cheke Holo and Blablanga). The form \(=i a\) appears to be a dummy argument, in the sense that the resulting focused form functions as a proform in itself, without any overt argument form present:
(8.56) a. hei \(s i=b a=i a\)
who \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{ALT}=\mathrm{PRO}\)
'Who is it?'
b. n-e-ke lehe hogo=na bla

RL-3S-PFV die be.true=thatN LMT
'He truly died
ka mane iaro si=ba=ia
LOC man thosePV FOC=ALT=PRO
from those men [i.e., ...because of the actions of those men].'
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c. 'aria, oloue sara=ña gita.'

IINC.IMP ?? thereD=IMM welNC
"Let's move. We'll go straight there."
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{g} e=u=d i=\bar{n} a & s i=l a=i a \\
\mathrm{NT}=\mathrm{be}, \mathrm{thus}=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\mathrm{IMM} & \mathrm{FOC}=? ?=\mathrm{pRO}
\end{array}
\]

Say [those things].'

\subsection*{8.5.2.4 Focus politeness in imperatives}

The si marked focus construction occurs very commonly in imperatives where it is regarded as the respectful or polite way of forming an imperative. In imperatives it is regarded as impolite to refer to the addressee without using si. Both examples in (8.57) are grammatical, but (8.57)b. is not respectful and would normally only be used for addressing young people.
a. fafra si=gau-palu \(b a\) be.quick FOC=youPL-two ALT
'Hurry up, you two!'
b. fafra gau-palu ba
be.quick youpl-two ALT
'Hurry up, you two!'

\subsection*{8.5.2.5 Focus marking in equative and possessive predicates}

Not only the verbal predicate arguments may be foregrounded with the focal particle. The subjects of nonverbal predicates may also be marked in this way, typically with a clause-level modifier present. This construction occurs with all nonverbal predicate types, including simple equatives ([8.58]a.-b.), naming equatives ([8.58]), gela 'resemble' equatives ([8.58]d.), and possessive predicates ([8.58]e.):
(8.58) a. n-e ooe-vaka bla=s=ide

RL-3S talk-ship LMT=FOC=theseR
'These [words] are Pijin.'
b. mane gabili \(\quad s i=\bar{n}=a r o \quad\) mane faaknu sini
man be aggressive \(F O C=I M M=\) these \(T\) man smite \(F O C\)
'Those are men who want to fight. [They are] killers.'
c. e-ti nan̄ha=di nakoni si=l=are

3 S -NEG name=3plp person \(\mathrm{FOC}=\) ??-thoseN
'They're not the names of people.'
d. \(\bar{g}-e-l a \quad\) turi \(=d i \quad n a u=d e \quad s i=l=a r e\)

NT-3s-go tell=3PLP place=theser FOC=??=thosen
'Those are like stories of these places.'
e. \(\operatorname{ara}=\bar{n} a, n o-\bar{g} u \quad n a u=r o \quad s=a r o\)
\(I=\mathrm{MM} \quad\) GENP-1SGP place \(=\) thosenV \(\mathrm{FOC}=\) theseT
'Me, those are my places.'
Nonverbal predicate subject focusing also occurs with equative interrogatives, where, as with imperatives, the forms are regarded as being more polite than questions without the focal particle:
a. hei si=ba=ia
who \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{ALT}=\mathrm{PRO}\)
'Who is it?'
b. heve \(\boldsymbol{s i = b a = n a}\)
who \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{ALT}=\) that
'What's that?'

\subsection*{8.6 Adjuncts}

The form and function of adjuncts are discussed in chapter 4. Their behavior within clause structure is discussed here.

\subsection*{8.6.1 Contextual adjuncts}

The form and function of contextual adjuncts are discussed in \(\S 4.5\). While the contextual nouns \(g u\) - and nafu-may have a nominal complement, they typically govern a subordinate clause. Contextual adjuncts only occur clause-initially or clause-finally. In (8.60) the contextual adjuncts are clause-initial:
(8.60) a. gu=na 'ia visi ka to~toi'

CNTX=3SGP thesG play LOC RD~cook
'Because "a game with fire"
\(n-o-k e=u=0 \quad\) bla ago da visi ka to~toi
RL-2S-PFV=be.thus=thatNV LMT yousG 1 INC play LOC RD~cook you said, [so] we will play with fire.'
b. nafu=na ne-ke-ge no-mai tañano
base=3SGP RL. 3 S-PFV-PRS GENP-IEXCP food
'Because it's our food
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ne aḡe mhemhe no-mai=ni=u gai faete=na
RL go be.difficult GENP-1EXCP \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) weEXC choose=thatN we find it hard to choose.'

Clause-final contextual adjuncts follow any arguments and/or adjuncts present. In (8.61)a. a contextual adjunct follows an actor and subordinate clause object, in (8.61)b. a subject and deictic locative, in (8.61)c. a subject and PP, and in (8.61)d. a local noun.
(8.61) a. \(n-a \quad\) no-mai \(\quad a \bar{g} e\) mhemhe \(=n i=u \quad\) gai

RL-1EXCS GENP-1EXCP go be.difficult \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) weeXC
'We find it hard
\(t a=k e \quad f a \quad\) nodo \(=i \quad f e a\)
SBD=PFV CS stop=3SGO INIT
to stop
\(t=a u=n a \quad z a \sim z a h o=n a=n a \quad t e \bar{g} e\) ine
SBD \(=\) exist \(=\) that \(\mathrm{RD} \sim \mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that N turtle thisR this way of hunting of turtles,
nafu=na are bla ira doli=mai gai base=3SGP thosen LMT thePL live=1EXCP weEXC because they are our life.'
b. teo boka mai au=na gai ade not.exist be.able come exist=that N weEXC here
'We can't come and live here,
nafu=na n-a-ge zaho koko=di
base \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) RL-1EXCS-PRS go leave= 3 PLO
because we would leave behind
ira ge-mai no-mai...
thePL CNSM-1EXCP GENP-1EXCP
our food and our things...,
c. ... a boka \(\bar{n} h a=d i \quad g u d u\) gai ira nakoni
lexCS be.able eat \(=3\) PLO EXHST weEXC theSG person
'...we people could eat them all
\(k a=i a \quad\) fufunu=na ia kastom ka gai
LOC \(=\) thesg begin \(=3\) SGP thesG custom LOC weEXC
in the origins of our custom
nafu=na are bla g-e-la ge-mai mitia...
base \(=3\) SGP thosen LMT NT-3S-go CNSM-1EXCP meat because those were our meat...'
d. n-e-ke kave mai= \(\bar{n} a\) fate

RL-3S-PFV descend come=IMM above 'He came down from heaven,
gu=da gita ira huğru nakoni
CNTX \(=1\) INCP weINC thePL all person for us people.'

\subsection*{8.6.2 Locative and associative adjuncts}

Locative and associative adjuncts have similar behavior in clause structure. Locative adjuncts include spatial and temporal deictics (see \(\S 4.2 .1\) and \(\S 4.2 .3\) ), prepositional phrases (see \(\S 4.1\) ), and place names (see §4.4). Associative adjuncts have the associative noun tareme- as head (see \(\S 4.6\) ).

\subsection*{8.6.2.1 Locatives and associatives as outermost adjuncts}

There is a very strong tendency for these adjuncts to occur as the outermost adjuncts in a clause. This means that such adjuncts typically occur clauseinitially, as in (8.62), or clause-finally (8.63).

> NT-3S go thatPV-EMPH go go.across thatPV-EMPH PNLOC 'So he went there and crossed over there to Lego,
> \(n-e-k e=u\)
> RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
> it was like that.
> sare n-e la koko kamo=u
> thereP RL-3S go leave go.across=CNT
> There he was going across further.'
b. tifaro ara a lao tarai fakamo le-legu sade before I lexcs go pray always RD~behind Sunday 'I used to always go to prayer every Sunday
\(\bar{n} a\) goinode teo
but todayRL not.exist
but now I don't.'
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c. ...huhurañi au=re keha=re \(n-e-k e=u \quad\) gai PNLOC exist=thosen NSP=thosen RL-3S-PFV=be.thus weexC '...[and] some lived at Huhurangi. We were like that.'
d. ka nare sade \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=u \quad \bar{g}-e \quad l a \quad\) tarai=u...

LOC day Sunday NT-3s go \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) NT-3s go pray \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'On Sundays they were going, they were going and praying...'
e. tareme \(=n a\) kodere \(n-a \quad\) toğla=ni ara ia zora
with \(=3\) SGP PN RL-1EXCS chase \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) I theSG pig 'With Kodere I chased the pig.'
(8.63) a. mhoko bla fea \(a u=g u\) ago sare
sit LMT INIT exist=CNT yousG therep
'You sit down first there.'
b. n-a-ke fakae=ni kaike baesu ta dou g̀lehe nhorao RL-1EXCS-PFV see \(=3\) SGO one shark SBD be.big very yesterday 'I saw a shark that was very big yesterday.'
c. \(\bar{g}\)-e mai haidu maneri kokota

NT-3S come meet they PNLOC
'They came and held a meeting at Kokota.'
d. \(e=u \quad\) frinhe \(n-e-k e \quad\) frinhe \(=n i=n a\)
\(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus work RL-3S-PFV work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N
palu mane aro ka nasona ine ğerona
two man theseT LOC point thisR PNLOC 'That's what those two men did at the point of Gerona.'
e. manei n-e za~zaho tareme=na mhagu
he RL-3S RD~go with \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) be.afraid
'He walked with fear.'
These adjunct types are not all distributed equally commonly in initial and final position. Temporal and spatial deictics and prepositional phrases occur with similar frequency in either position. However, place names and associative nouns typically occur in clause-final position. While these adjuncts do occur in clause-initial position, they do so rarely.

Adjuncts may occur clause-initially when a preverbal topicalized argument is also present, as (8.62)b, and the examples in (8.64) illustrate.
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(8.64) a. sara hae manei n-e lisa=i=na no- \(\bar{g} u\) vilai ana thereD where he RL-3S put=3SGO=thatN GENP-1SGP knifethat 'Where did he put that knife of mine?'
b. \(k a \quad p a u=n a \quad k u m a i \quad t=a u=a n a\)

LOC head=3SGP water SBD=exist=that N
'At the head of that river
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { dadara } e=u & \text { blau } & n-e-k e & z i k r a=r o \\
\text { blood } 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus LMT } & \text { RL-3S-PFV } & \text { pour=thoseNV } \\
\text { blood was pouring out.' } & &
\end{array}
\]
c. \(\boldsymbol{k a} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{d i}=\boldsymbol{r e} \quad\) palu mane aro n-e-ke au gerona LOC exist \(=3\) PLP \(=\) thosen two man theseT RL-3S-PFV exist PNLOC 'In their living those two men were at Gerona.'

Temporal and spatial deictics and prepositional phrases follow this pattern. It is not clear whether place names and associative adjuncts also occur clauseinitially with a preverbal core argument.

\subsection*{8.6.2.2 Non-outermost locatives and associatives}

While adjuncts typically occur as the outermost elements in a clause, they infrequently intervene between the predicate and a following core argument.

Temporal and spatial deictics, location names, and prepositional phrases and associative nouns all may intervene between the verb complex and a core argument in its pragmatically unmarked postverbal position, as (8.65) illustrates. An adjunct occurs before an intransitive subject in (8.65)a.-c., a transitive actor in (8.65)d., a direct object in (8.65)e., and a (clausal) indirect object in (8.65)f.
a. boro bla au sare manei
boro LMT exist thereP she
'She just stays boro there.'
\(\begin{array}{llllll}\text { b. } n \text {-e-ke } & \text { ağe tareme=na } & \text { keha } & \text { foğra } & \text { nhēnhe=o } & \text { bo } \\ \text { RL-3S-PFV } & \text { go } & \text { with=3SGP } & \text { NSP } & \text { sick } & \text { be.separate=thatNV }\end{array}\) CNT
ia naitu tahi...
theSG devil sea
'The sea devil goes with that other different sickness...'
c. n-a-ke lao buala ara

RL-1EXCS-PFV go PNLOC I
'I went to Buala.'

\section*{CHAPTER 8}
d. \(t=a u=n e \quad e\) tore \(=i \quad k a \quad\) hei manei \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{exist}=\) thisR 3 s ask=3sGO LOC who he 'Who will he ask this [question] to?'
e. nee \(\overline{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{e}=n a \quad l a=n i \quad k a \quad k e h a \quad h o b o-\bar{g} a z u=o\) RL-3S go-thatN go=3SGO LOC NSP branch-wood=thatNV 'He went and put on a tree branch
keha lholhoai=na=o
NSP coil=3SGP=thatNV one of those coils of his.'
f. manahagi=nigo nariha ta mai... want \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) day.after.tomorrow SBD come '[I] want you the day after tomorrow to come...'

In addition, temporal locatives also occasionally intervene between a preverbal topicalized argument and the predicate:
ara fufugo ginai a fakae=ni vaka ana I tomorrow fut lexcs see \(=3\) SGO ship thatN 'I tomorrow will see that ship.'

No other adjuncts are attested between a topicalized argument and the predicate.

\subsection*{8.6.2.3 Order of multiple adjuncts}

More than one adjunct may occur in a single clause. One adjunct may occur clause-initially and one clause-finally. However, multiple adjuncts are also possible in either position.

In some instances apparent multiple adjuncts actually represent a single adjunct. This occurs where the head of an adjunct governs its own adjunct. In (8.67)a,-b. the second PP is embedded within the first, the two representing a single adjunct at the clause level. In (8.67)c. the local noun fate 'above' is governed by the locative head ade 'here', together representing a single complex spatial locative adjunct.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline [ka gai & [ \(k a=i a\) & kastom gai]] \\
\hline LOC weexc & LOC=thesg & custom \\
\hline Wit & custom & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
tana goi momoru \(e=n i \quad e=u\)
then \(V O C\) momoru \(3 \mathrm{~s}=3 \mathrm{sGO} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus momoru does [i.e., names] it...'
b. a boka nha=di gudu gai ira nakoni lEXCS be.able eat \(=3\) PLO EXHST weEXC thepl person '...we people could eat them all
[ka=ia fufunu=na ia kastom [ka gai]]... LOC=theSG begin=3SGP thesg custom LOC weexc at the beginning of our custom...'
c. e la fufunu ka n-e-ke \(\quad\) au=o rei-palu [ade [fate]] 3 S go begin LOC RL-3s-PFV exist=thatNV they-two here above 'It [the story] starts where they two stayed here on top.'

True multiple adjuncts do occur, however. Clause-initial multiple adjuncts are uncommon and appear to be limited to two adjuncts:
```

(8.68)
a. $[k a=i a \quad k o k o l o=d i \quad t=a u=a r e$
bla]
LOC $=$ thesg class $=3$ PLP SBD $=$ exist $=$ thosen LMT
'With those kinds of things,
[ka=ia fai dokta] e au=i la bla
LOC=theSG side doctor 3S exist=3SGO ?? LMT
on the part of doctors they have something
keha ta fakilo=ni tritmenti ka=ia ooe-vaka
NSP SBD name $(V)=3 S G 0$ treatment $L O C=$ theSG talk-ship
that [they] call treatment in Pijin.'
b. [ka=ia ti mai=na=o=na velepuhi]
LOC $=$ theSO NEG come $=3$ SGP $=$ thatNV $=1 \mathrm{MM}$ right way
'At the [time when] there was not yet that coming of Christianity,
[kokota] n-e-ke au=re keha=re
PNLOC RL-3S-PFV exist-thosen NSP=thosen
at Kokota some lived.'
c. $[k a=i a \quad \bar{g} r u i] \quad[t a r e m e=n a \quad k o d e r e]$
LOC=theSG garden with=3SGP PN
'In the garden with Kodere
ara n-a toğla=ni ia zora
1 RL-1EXCS chase $=3500$ theSG pig
I chased the pig.'

```
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 'Now, with the strength of the medicine
\(k a=i a \quad f a i\) dokta] tana nogoi ke age
LOC=thesG side doctor then VOC PFV go
on the part of doctors, man!, it's gone,
ke age no-mai fa mana=ri=u
PFV go GENP-1EXCP CS be.powerful \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
oilagi=ri=u gai
be.powerful \(=3\) PLO \(=\) CNT weEXC
our great power is gone.'
As (8.68)c. shows, two clause-initial peripherals can occur when a preverbal topicalized core argument is also present, though this occurs very infrequently.

Much more commonly two or more adjuncts occur clause-finally. Where two PPs occur, in some instances their order is dictated by the semantics of the predicate. In (8.69)a. the locative nature of the existential predicate determines that the first PP will be interpreted as the location of the staying, the second being interpretable in whatever way is meaningful in the context. In (8.69)b. the verb of transference determines that the two PPs will be interpreted iconically in correspondence with the order of the locations: the liquid is located at the source before it is located at the goal, so the PPs are interpreted as source, then goal:
(8.69) a. ...mala \(e\) au histri are-lau [ka sikolu=ne]

PURP 3S exist history thosen-SPC LOC school-thisR '...so those histories can stay in the school
\(\left[\begin{array}{ll}k a & \text { suli=da gita }\end{array}\right.\)
LOC child=1 1 NCP weINC
for our children.'
b. fa kamo=i bakru \(t=a u=a n a\)

CS go.across \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) liquid \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that
'Transfer that tea
[ka timosi ana] [ka panakini ana]
LOC thermos that LOC cup thatN
from that thermos to that cup.'

In other instances no semantic basis of PP order exists and the PPS can occur in either order. In (8.70) two PPs occur, one a temporal locative, one a spatial locative. These could occur in either order.
turi gabili faaknu n-e-ke au=re
tell be.aggressive smite RL-3S-PFV exist-thoseN
'...the story of the killers who lived
[ka=ia puhi boñihehe] [ka gizu=na a-hi gai] LOC=theSG way heathen LOC island=3SGPthisT-EMPH weEXC in the heathen time on this island of ours'

Where a PP cooccurs with another type of adjunct either order in possible. A PP coccurs with an associative noun in (8.71) and a temporal adverbial in (8.72).
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
sogemarava & \(n-e-k e\) & \(a \bar{g} e=r e=u\) \\
PN & RL-3S-PFV & go=thoseN=CNT \\
'Sogemarava was going &
\end{tabular}
[ka hinage=ne] [tareme=di tilo mane]=u
LOC boat=thisR with=3PLP three man=bethus in the boat with three men.'
b. ara n-a-ke turi [tareme=nagase ana]

I RL-IEXCS-PFV tell with=3SGP woman thatN
'I have talked to that woman
[lla kaike fata bla]
LOC one occasion LMT
only once.'
a. ara a lao tarai [tifaro] [ka sade ide] 1 lexcs go pray before LOC Sunday theser 'I used to go and pray before on Sundays.'
b. ara ginai manahagi lao [ka sitoa] [fufugo]

I FUT want go LOC store tomorrow 'I will need to go to the store tomorrow.'

Similar possibilities apply to combinations of other locative adjunct types.

\subsection*{8.7 Negation}

Negation in Kokota is expressed in two ways: by use of the negative particle \(t\); and by a subordinating construction involving the negative existential verb teo
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'not.exist'. Overall the subordinating construction is by far the more frequently employed strategy. However, in a number of environments the particle is the standard means of marking negative.

\subsection*{8.7.1 Negation by the negative particle \(t i\)}

The negative particle \(t i\) is suffixed to the modal/subject particle, joining with other tense and aspect particles to form a single complex particle. In some clause types the particle is the only means of expressing negation, the subordinating construction not occurring. In some clause types both \(t i\) and the subordinating construction are possible but the \(t i\) construction typically occurs, while in others the preference is reversed.

The particle \(t i\) is the only means of expressing negation in 'be thus' clauses, in nominalized clauses, and with equative predicates.

In 'be thus' clauses the verb complex always forms a single word consisting of the verb \(=u\) 'be thus', the modal/subject particle, and any other tense or aspect particles that are present. Because it forms a single word, the subordinating construction does not occur. Instead \(t i\) is employed:

> a. \(k a \quad g a u \quad e-d i-u . \quad n-e=u \quad\) are-lau LOC youpl \(3 S-\) NEG=be.thus RL-3s=be.thus thoseN-SPC 'With you they're not like that [i.e., not correct]. That's how they are,
> \(z a \sim z a h o=d i=r e \quad\) friñhe \(=d i=r e \quad k a \quad\) maneri
> RD \(\sim\) go=3PLP=thosen work \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\) thosen LOC they those ways of making them with them.'
b. o-ti gela an-lau o-ti=u ago
\(2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{NEG}\) resemble thatN-SPC \(2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{NEG}=\) be thus yousG
'Don't be like that. Don't be like that, you.'
The particle is also the only means of marking negation in nominalized clauses:
```

$k a=i a \quad$ ti mai=na=o=na velepuhi
LOC=theso NEG come=3SGP=thatNV=IMM right.way
'At that non-coming of Christianity [i.e., When Christianity had not yet come]

```
kokota \(n\)-e-ke \(\quad\) au=re \(k e h a=r e \ldots\)
PNLOC RL-3S-PFV exist=thosen NSP=thosen
some lived at Kokota...'

It will be noted that in (8.74) the negative particle occurs without the presence of an overt modal/subject particle. As discussed in 87.5 .2 .5 irrealis particles are omissible. This applies when \(t i\) is present, as (8.74) illustrates.

The particle is also the only way of expressing negation in nonverbal predications.

> a. \(n-e-t i \quad \bar{g} a z u\) hogo \(=n a\)
> RL-3S-NEG wood be.true \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\)
> 'They're not true sticks.'
b. e-ti nañha=di nakoni si=la=re
3s-NEG name=3pLP person FOC=??=thosen
'They aren't the names of people.'

As discussed in \(\S 8.7\), negation may be expressed in imperative clauses by either \(t i\) or the subordinating construction:
(8.76) a. o-ti fa doli=ni gilai au batari foforu ago
\(2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{NEG}\) CS live \(=3 \mathrm{sGO}\) until exist battery benew 2 SG
'Don't turn it on until you have new batteries.'
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. teo } & \bar{g}-o & \text { mai } & \text { ago } \\ \text { notexist } & \text { NT-2S come } & \text { youso } \\ \text { 'Don't you come!' }\end{array}\)
However, there is a very strong preference for using the negative particle in imperative clauses, the subordinating construction occurring rarely. The same is true in relative clauses:
ge \(e\) teo \(\bar{g} e\) faete gai \(\bar{g}\)-e-la teğe heve bo SEQ IRR not.exist NT choose weEXC NT-3S-go turtle what CNT 'So we didn't choose what kind of turtles
ta hod-i=0=na ta=ti hod-i=0=na...
SBD take \(-\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{NEG}\) take \(-\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N to take or to not take...'

In declarative main clauses the opposite preference exists-the tendency is strongly towards the subordinating construction (see §8.7.2). However, it is possible to use the negative particle instead:
(8.78) a. ara-hi \(a\)-ti-ke \(\quad\) fufunu \(=d i=b o \quad t=a u=d e\)

I-EMPH lEXCS-NEG-PFV begin=3PLO=CNT \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=theser 'I didn't start these [arguments].'
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b. n-o-ti noto fa-nomho

RL-2S-NEG stop CS-hear
'You didn't stop and be quiet.'
c. buka are-lau e-ti-ke mala fa za-zaho
book thosen-EMPH 3 S-NEG-PFV PURP CS RD~go
'These books will not be for sending
hae ge hae
where and where
wherever and wherever [i.e., just anywhere].'
Such clauses occur less commonly than the subordinating construction.

\subsection*{8.7.2 Subordinating negation}

The standard means of expressing negation in declarative main clauses involves a subordinating construction in which the negative existential verb teo occurs with a subordinated positive declarative clause as its complement.

The negative existential verb is the negative counterpart of the positive existential verb au. As such it occurs without a complement, with a straightforward negative existential function:
a. n-e-ge la teo ira nakoni \(n-e=u\) RL-3S-PRS go not.exist thePL person RL-3S=be.thus 'The people have gone to nothing [i.e., have all died out].'
b. e teo kaike ihei

3 s not.exist one someone
'There is not anyone
ta g.e boka fa-lehe \(=i=n a \quad\) ia to~toi
SBD NT-3s be.able CS-die=3SGO-thatN theSG RD-cook who can kill the fire,' [lit. Someone who can kill the fire does not exist.]

It also functions as a negative verb of possession:
ara n-a teo=nau sileni
I RL-1EXCS not.exist=1SGO money 'I've got no money.'

Existential verbs including teo are discussed in detail in \(\S 6.6\).

As a strategy for expressing negation, teo occurs as the verb of a main clause, with the negated event expressed as a positive declarative clause functioning as a sentential complement:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { gai teo } \quad[\bar{g}-a \quad m a i=u \quad k=a g o]  \tag{8.81}\\
\text { weexC not.exist } & \text { NT-1EXCS come }-\mathrm{CNT} & \text { LOC }=\text { youSG } \\
\text { 'We will not be coming to you.' }
\end{array}
\]

Here the positive complement clause is bracketed. The subject of the main clause is also the controlled subject of the complement clause. A more literal translation would be something like 'We are not that we are coming to you'.

The subject of the main clause is always the controlled argument of the complement clause in these constructions. However, while there is a strong tendency for the controlled argument to also be the subject (or actor) of the complement clause, the controlled argument may represent a different grammatical role. In (8.82), for example, the controlled argument is the complement clause object.
(8.82) mane teau=ana \(\quad[\bar{g}-a \quad\) lase \(=i \quad\) ara \(]\) man SBD-exist=thatN not.exist NT-1EXCS know=3SGO I 'That man I don't know.'

The literal meaning of this sentence is something like 'That man is not that I know him.'

The subject of the main clause may occur in preverbal topic position, as in (8.81) and (8.82). However, the subject may also occur after the complement clause:
(8.83) teo [ \(\bar{g}-e\) sodu \(=g u\) are \(]\)
not.exist \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) be.long \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) thosen
'Those aren't long.'
When this occurs, the overt argument is, in fact, the subject of the complement clause, not the main clause. Consequently, if the complement clause is transitive, the subject and object of that clause occur in their pragmatically unmarked order:
```

teo [ [g-a manahagi=ni=u ara
not.exist NT-1EXCS want=3SGO=CNT I
'I don't want
ta hoda kave=i=na]
SBD take descend=3SGO-thatN
that [it] be taken down.'

```
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In sentences such as these the main clause subject is semantically as well as formally empty. This is somewhat similar to English cleft constructions, except that no overt dummy subject occurs. The more literal translations of (8.83) and (8.84) would be 'it is not so that those are long' and 'it is not so that I want that [it] be taken down' (but without the pragmatic emphasis of the English sentences).

The main clause is almost always in irrealis modality. As discussed in \(\S 7.5 .2 .5\), irrealis particles are frequently omitted, and this is the case in examples (8.81) to (8.84). Rarely, the main clause may be treated as realis:
\[
\begin{align*}
& n-e \quad \text { teo }=\bar{n} a \quad[\bar{g}-e \quad \text { mai }=u \quad \text { mane huhurañi are }]  \tag{8.85}\\
& \text { RL-3S not.exist=IMM NT-3S come=CNT man PNLOC thoseN } \\
& \text { 'Those Huhurangi people aren't coming.' } \\
& \text { (lit. It is not so that those Huhurangi people are coming.) }
\end{align*}
\]

Equally rarely, the main clause may contain a neutral modal/subject particle:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \bar{g}-e \quad \text { teo } \quad[b o k a=i=n a]  \tag{8.86}\\
& \text { NT-3S not.exist be.able }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { that } \mathrm{N} \\
& \text { 'They couldn't do it...' }
\end{align*}
\]

Within the complement clause itself, in this negative construction, only a neutral particle may occur, as (8.81) to (8.85) illustrate. However, when the verb of the complement clause is the abilitative boka, the modal/subject particle is typically deleted, as (8.86) illustrates. This is optional, however. Complement clauses with boka may have a neutral particle:
(8.87) ...teo [ğ-e boka turi=di manei
not.exist NT-3s be.able tell=3plo he
'...he can't tell [about]
heve glepo n-e-ke torai dia=re]
what thing RL-3s-PFV very be.bad=thosen whatever things were very wrong.'

The complement clause in the subordinating negative construction is typically marked with continuous aspect, as (8.81) and (8.83) to (8.85) illustrate. However, this is not obligatory, as (8.82) illustrates. Complement clauses involving boka, however, cannot be marked with continuous aspect.

In subordinating negation the main clause verb teo is often marked with the immediacy particle \(\tilde{n} a\), the limiter bla, or the possibilitative bai(u):
(8.88) a. n-e teo= \(\bar{n} a \quad \bar{g} e ~ a g ̆ e ~ m h o k o ~\)

RL-3S not.exist=1MM NT go sit
'If you don't go and sit
fa-lehe \(=i\) ago to - toi=ne ge
CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) youSG \(\mathrm{RD}-\) cook \(=\) thisR SEQ
and kill this fire,
ago teo bla ge heta=u \(\quad e=u\)
yousG not.exist LMT NT be.strong \(=\) CNT \(3 s=\) bethus you are simply not strong.'
b. gita teo baiu aḡe=na buala
weINC not.exist PSBL go-that PNLOC
'We won't go to Buala; Let's not go to Buala.'
None of the other modifiers discussed in \(\$ 8.8\) can modify teo in this construction.

\subsection*{8.7.3 Negation and modality}

There is a very strong tendency in Kokota to treat negatives as irrealis. Realis is typically reserved for specific events that have actually occurred or are actually occurring at the time of speaking. Consequently, irrealis marks not only future events but habituals, where the events are real but no specific individual event is being referred to; and negatives, where the events are not real by virtue of not having occurred. Negative clauses, with either the particle or the subordinating construction, typically have an irrealis particle (or no overt particle as the result of irrealis particle deletion). However, it is possible to mark negative clauses as realis. In (8.75) and (8.78)b. realis particles occur with the negative particle, and in (8.85) the negative existential verb is marked with a realis particle. This use of realis occurs when the speaker is emphasizing that he or she has a particular specific non-occurrence in mind, rather than simply that an event has not occurred, for example, because someone has not done something they were supposed to do at a particular time.

\subsection*{8.8 Constituent modifiers}

A number of modifiers exist that mark constituents at a range of levels in the syntax, from individual words to entire clauses. These include:
\begin{tabular}{rllll} 
(8.89) a. \(b a\) & Alternative & e. & \(\bar{n} a\) & Immediacy \\
b. \(b o\) & Contrastive & f. & \(f e a\) & Initiality \\
c. \(b l a(u)\) & Limiter & g. & \(l a\) & (function unclear) \\
d. \(b a i(u)\) & Possibilitative & & &
\end{tabular}
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\subsection*{8.8.1 Ba Alternative marker}

The particle \(b a\) marks constituents of all kinds, indicating they are one of two or more alternatives or possibilities. The particle may immediately follow or immediately precede the marked constituent, with a very strong tendency towards occurring after the constituent. In (8.90)a. ba marks several possibilities, preceding the relevant constituent in each case. In (8.90)b. it follows two of the three possibilities.
(8.90) a. ke toke=ri bo ira no-mai friñhe tañano, PFV arrive \(=3\) PLO CNT thePL GENP-1EXCP work food '[The times] arrive for our making food,
ba ira nare=di suğa,
AlT thepl day \(=3\) plp house
like festival days
ba ira krismas, ba ira esta
ALT thePl Christmas Alt thepl Easter or Christmas, or Easter.'
b. ...g-e-la naboto-u ba, varedake-u ba, tulufulu tege NT-3S-go ten-CRD ALT twenty-CRD ALT thirty turtle '...it might be ten, or twenty, or thirty turtles
ta la hod-i=di=re gai
SBD go take- \(\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\) those weexC that we take.'

When several altemative constituents are overtly expressed, the particle may mark each constituent, as in (8.90)a. Alternatively it may mark all but the final constituent when postposed, as in (8.90)b., or all but the initial constituent when preposed, as in (8.91)b. Once the presentation of alternatives is established by the marking of one or more constituents with \(b a\), the final constituent in the series is interpretable as a further alternative without the particle's presence. This lack of marking of the final alternative occurs commonly, and gives the particle the appearance of a conjunction functionally akin to or in English. However, in any such instance the final alternative may also be marked. Moreover, where the particle precedes each alternative, as in (8.90)a., the first occurrence of \(b a\) is not between two alternative constituents, so is clearly not functioning as a conjunction. When \(b a\) follows the alternatives and the final relevant constituent is marked, the same is true. Clearly \(b a\) marks individual constituents, rather than conjoins several constituents. The preference for a postposed realization of \(b a\), and the commonness of a lack of marking of the final constituent may suggest the particle is in the process of being reanalyzed as
a conjunction. However, counter evidence for this lies in the fact that in a majority of occurrences only one alternative is expressed, as discussed below.

The alternative particle marks a wide range of constituent types. But only constituents of the same syntactic type may be presented as alternatives. In (8.91) \(b a\) marks single words, in one instance adnominal numerals, in the other, stative verbs:
(8.91) a. \(e=u\) ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) turi \(=\bar{n} a\) gita
\(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be thus SEQ NT-3s tell=IMM weINC
'Before we talk
da koze=i kaike ba tilo koze
IINCS sing=3SGO one AlT three sing we'll sing one or three songs.'
b. ... \(\bar{g}\)-e ta ikoa, ba dou, ba midiam...
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{SBD}\) be.small ALT be.big ALT medium '...whether small or big, or medium...
kaike hod-i=ri gudu bla
one take-TR=3PLO EXHST LMT
we just take them all...'
\(B a\) also marks phrasal constituents. In (8.92) it marks alternative NPs in one example, PPs in the other:
(8.92) a. ia puka ba, ia do ba, thesg fly ALT thesg mosquito ALT 'A fly or a mosquito
\(\mathrm{e}=u \quad\) n-e \(\quad\) kati=nau=na ara
\(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) bethus RL-3s bite \(=1 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that I
bit me.'
b. ...roha=i nhigo ka botolo ba ka tini...
scrape \(=3500\) be.finished LOC bottle ALT LOC tin '..finish scraping it into a bottle or tin...'

In addition ba may occur with a focused pronoun or demonstrative in the construction discussed in §8.5.2.2:
nogoi, ge lehe si=b=ara
vOC PRS die FOC=ALT=I
'Man! I'm going to die now.'
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The particle also marks complete clauses, both main clauses, as in (8.94)a.-b., or subordinate clauses. In (8.94)c. two relative clauses are presented as alternative possibilities:
(8.94) a. fafra si=gat-palu ba, ginai \(\bar{g} r u g u \quad\) si=la=ine be.quick FOC=youpl-two ALT FUT be.dark FOC=??=thisR 'Hurry up, you two, or it will get dark.'
b. ara mai ka suğa ine ago ba, I come LOC house thisR yousG ALT 'Will I come to your house,
ago mai ka suğga=o ara
yousG come LOC house=thatNV I or will you come to my house?'
c. ta la hod- \(i=0\) la gai \(\bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=0\)

SBD go take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) CND weEXC wood \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thatNV 'If we go and take that tree
ta fa ku-kumai=ni=u ba ta fa siri la=i=u SBD CS RD \(\sim\) drink \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) ALT SBD CS smell go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) that [one] drinks or that [one] smells
ka nakoni \(t=a u=0 \quad\) ta toke=i
LOC person SBD=exist-thatNy SBD arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) to that person who has caught
\(t=a u=0 \quad\) maleria boka ke ağe keli bo bla SBD=exist=thatNV malaria be.able PFV go be.good CNT LMT malaria, [they] are able to just get well again.,

As indicated above, \(b a\) occurs most frequently with only one overt alternative. In the text corpus, out of 45 occurrences of the particle, in 34 instances ( \(75.5 \%\) ) only one alternative is expressed. Typically the marked constituent represents an alternative to a previously established possibility. In this very common use of \(b a\) it equates more to the English instead than to or. In the discourse preceding the exchange in (8.95) speaker \(B\) has been eager to leave in order to tell something to others, but speaker A, his chief, does not want him to leave:
(8.95) A. ginai saigona si=ge \(\quad \ddot{g}-o \quad\) tahe \(=i=\bar{n} a\)
todayIRR evening \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ} \mathrm{NT}-2 \mathrm{~S}\) tell \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\)
'This evening then you tell [them]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& t=a u=a n a \quad b a \\
& \text { SBD=exist=thatN ALT } \\
& \text { that, instead [of now].' } \\
& \text { B. ehe, ginal saigona si=ba } \\
& \text { yes todayIRR evening FOC=ALT } \\
& \text { 'Yes, this evening instead.' }
\end{aligned}
\]

With speaker A , in this exchange \(b a\) marks the entire clause. With speaker B it marks a temporal locative. In both, the marked constituent is presented as an alternative to the previously established possibility of speaker \(B\) leaving immediately.

In (8.96) ba marks a NP that is being presented as an alternative group to those mentioned in the preceding clause:

> gaha mane n-e-ge fa kenu=ri \(k a=i a \quad\) hinage...
> five man RL-3s-PRS CS front=3PLO LOC=theSG boat 'Five men were sent ahead in a boat....
tehi=na mane=o ba n-e age rhuku
many=3SGP man=thatNV ALT RL-3S go landward
Many other people went by land...?

The sentence in (8.97) is taken from a discussion about which story a speaker should tell. A number of possibilities have been discussed. The speaker then raises the possibility of a further altemative:
```

ba heve, naitu ine-hi
ALT what devil thisR-EMPH
'Or what [else]? [The story of] this devil?' [speaker pointing to
location of devil's home]

```

In other instances \(b a\) is used when no other alternative has previously been established, but when it is apparent from the marked constituent what alternative the speaker has in mind. In (8.98) the clause has the form of a declarative, but the intonation of interrogative. The possibility of 'that' having been opened is presented as an alternative, the other alternative being that 'that' is not open:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
n-e-g e & \text { tor-i=Ø } \quad b=a n a \quad \text { mane } i  \tag{8.98}\\
\text { RL-3S-PRS open-TR=3Sco ALT=thatN he } \\
\text { 'Has he opened that?' }
\end{array}
\]

Note that in this example it is not the entire clause that is marked with \(b a\) and presented as an alternative. Instead only the verb complex is marked.
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Constructions like this are common. However, it is not clear whether in this kind of construction \(b a\) marks only the verb itself, or the entire verb complex. Although ba forms a single phonological word with ana, it marks the verb complex and not the demonstrative. Collapsing vowel-initial words with preceding words that have the same vowel as their final segment occurs frequently in casual speech (see \(\S 2.6 .1 .2\) ). In careful speech \(b a\) and ana would be separated in (8.98).

In (8.98) ba marks a clause that has the structure of a declarative but the intonation of an interrogative, to seek confirmation or otherwise of the veracity of the statement. Similarly, ba may occur extraclausally after a statement, to seek confirmation of the statement. In (8.99) the clause itself is not a question. The \(b a\) then occurs separately seeking confirmation.
...ge pulo mai gau-palu fufugo ba
SEQ return come youpl-two tomorrow ALT
'...then you two are coming back tomorrow. Or [not]?'
\(B a\) occurs frequently in interrogatives, particularly in interrogatives like that in (8.98), where a statement is presented and its veracity questioned, and in interrogatives with heve 'what'. In 'what' interrogatives, again, no other alternatives are presented. Instead \(b a\) invokes all possible alternatives:
(8.100)a. \(u \quad\) heve ba n-e fa fo foğra=di=re...
be.thus what ALT RL-3S CS RD \(-b e\).sick \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}=\) thosen
'What is making them sick...?'
b. visi \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve la ba...
play NT-3s-go what ?? ALT
'A game that goes how...?'
In (8.100)a. the addressee is asked to say what, out of all possible causes of sickness, applies in that instance. In (8.100)b. the range of alternatives implicit in \(b a\) is all possible ways of making games.
\(B a\) also occurs in self-corrections, marking the corrected constituent:
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
and \(n=u \quad\) ana tikani. e, mane riva & ba \\
RL-3S=be.thus thatN PN EXCLM man PN & ALT \\
'...said Tikani. Oh! [I mean] the man Riva.' & &
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{8.8.2 Bo Contrastive}

The particle bo indicates that the marked constituent is being contrasted with another entity or event. The form is postposed and may mark constituents at
various levels of the syntax, including an entire clause, as in (8.102)a., or a verb complex only ([8.102]b.):
(8.102)a. fufugo ara ginai a kuru=nau hore bo tomorrow I FUT lexcs have=1SGO dugout CNT 'Tomorrow I will have a canoe.'
b. manei n-e au bo sara buala
he RL-3S exist CNT thereD PNLOC
'He is staying in Buala.'

When marking a clause or verb complex the event or state is contrasted with some other event or state. In (8.102)a. the speaker had intended to go fishing that day but did not have access to a canoe. The statement contrasts the availability of a canoe the following day with that day's situation. In (8.102)b. the speaker is correcting the addressee's assumption that the subject referent was in Goveo.

Bo also marks nominals and obliques of various types, including full NPs, pronouns, personal names and location names:
```

(8.103)a. man-t=au=ana teo $\bar{g}-a \quad$ lase $=i \quad$ ara
man-SBD=exist that notexist NT-1EXCS know=3SGO I
'That man I don't know his name,
$\bar{n} a$ ira naitu toke aro bo
but thepl devil arrive these CNT
but the arriving devils
ta au kuru nan̄ha=di=re
SBD exist have name=3PLP=thosen
have names.'
b. ara bo n-a lao=na n̄a zemesi teo $\bar{g}$-e zaho
I CNT RL-IEXCS go=thatN but PN not.exist NT-3s go
'I went, but James didn't go.'
c. ara n-a mağoho bla,
I RL-IEXCS be.unlucky LMT
'I had bad luck,
tikani bo n-e korho namhari=na
PN CNT RL-3s pull fish=that
but Tikani caught fish.'

```
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> d. ara manahagi au goveo bo, ña buala teo
> I want exist PNLOC CNT but PNLOC not.exist 'I like being in Goveo, but not in Buala.'

In (8.103) each of the contrasted entities is overtly expressed. However, as (8.102) illustrates, the contrasted state, event, or entity need not be expressed. It may be understood due to having been previously established in the discourse. This applies to the contextual background for the examples in (8.102). Alternatively, nonlinguistic elements of the discourse may be contrasted despite being verbally unexpressed. In (8.104) speaker \(B\) has asked speaker \(A\) to pass one of a group of mugs on a table:
(8.104)A. hei bo
who CNT
'Which one?'
B. \(a n a-h i=b o\)
that \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{EMPH}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
'That one,' [pointing]
In other instances it is apparent from the marked constituent what the contrasted state, event, or entity is:

> ara za~zaho pile mairi bo
> I RD~go side left CNT
> 'I will walk at the left side.'

This principle applies to the very commonly used expression in (8.106), the Kokota equivalent of something like \(O K\) in English.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (n-e) keli } & \text { bo }  \tag{8.106}\\
\text { RL-3S be.good } & \text { CNT } \\
\text { '(It's) good.' } &
\end{array}
\]

Bo often occurs in polar interrogatives. These have the form of a declarative, but with clause-final rising intonation. The presence of the contrastive particle reinforces that confirmation is sought as to whether the state or event expressed in the clause is true, as opposed to not true.
(8.107) boka hoda ağe=nau bo ago
be able take go \(=1 \mathrm{SGO} \mathrm{CNT}\) yousG
'Can you take me there?'

In all the examples given so far, where both contrasted states, events, or entities are overtly expressed, only one is marked with bo. However, this is preference, not a restriction-both may be marked:
```

ara ginal ağe bo, ba teo bo e=u
I FUT go CNT ALT not.exist CNT 3S=be.thus
'I will go or not, it's like that.'

```

The contrast expressed by \(b o\) is functionally close to the presentation of alternatives performed by the alternative marker \(b a\). Indeed, the two may cooccur, with \(b a\) in its clause-initial or clause-final position, as in (8.108) and (8.109).
```

ligomo n-e salupu bo ba, n-e toga
PN RL-3S pass CNT ALT RL-3S arrive

```
'Did the Ligomo go past or did it stop?'

\subsection*{8.8.3 Bla(u) Limiter}

The particle blau, and its common reduced form bla mark constituents at a range of levels in the syntax, and function to constrain the marked constituent in some way. The effect is similar to that of forms such as just, only, and simply in English. The functional and syntactic characteristics of bla(u) are more akin to those of nomo in Pijin. Bla(u) constrains states and events by marking either the verb complex, as in (8.110)a.-b., or the entire clause ([8.110]c.):
```

(8.110)a.
ginai lehe bla gita
FUT die LMT wenNC
'We are just going to die.'

```
b. \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) mai tafr- \(i=\emptyset \quad b l a\) ia rereo
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) come defend- \(\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT thesG shield
'He simply came and defended with the shield
\(k a\) sebele ka sagali...
LOC axe LOC PN
against the axe of Sagali...'
c. ia pike mau= \(\bar{g} u\) n-e-ke hod-i= \(\emptyset=o\)
thesG piece taro=1SGP RL-3S-PFV take-TR=3SGO=thatNV
sala ge rurubon̄i bla..
PN and PN LMT
'My piece of taro just Sala and Rurubon̄i brought...'
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As well as verbal clauses, bla also limits equative and possessive predicates:
(8.111)a. n-e ooe-vaka bla \(s\) =ide RL-3S talk-ship LMT FOC=theser 'These [words] are only Pijin.'
b. keha pile=di=re no-na bla tagi-na

NSP part=3PLP=thosen GENP-3SGP LMT REFL-3SGP
'Some copies will just belong to himself.'
Nominals and other arguments of any kind may also be marked with bla. In (8.112) bla marks a full NP, a pronoun, a demonstrative, and a cardinal numeral:
(8.112)a. teo mereseni tehi-u ara,
not.exist medicine many-CRD I
'I don't have [i.e., know] many medicines,
marha-pau=ana bla ta tahe ağ \(e=i=n a\)
pain-head=that LMT SBD tell go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that just that headache that [I] will tell.'
b. gai bla n-a hage tarai
weexc LMT Rl-1excs ascend pray
'Only we go up to pray
n-e=u nau logahaza
RL-3S=be.thus place PNLOC
at the place Logahaza.'
c. \(a\)-hi bla fa-gilagila=na \(k=a r a\)
thisT-EMPH LMT CS-test=3SGP LOC=I
'This alone will be the sign of it to me.'
d. kaike-u bla
one-CRD LMT
'Just one.'
Adjuncts may also be marked with bla. In (8.113)a. a prepositional phrase is marked, in (8.113)b. a contextual adjunct:
(8.113)a. ka sala ge ruruboñi bla \(n\)-a lehe=na ara

LOC PN and PN LMT RL-lEXCS die=thatN I
'Just from Sala and Ruruboñi I will die.'
b. ..teo boka=di=n̄a,
not.exist beable \(=3 \mathrm{PLO}=1 \mathrm{MM}\)
'...[they] couldn't do those [things],
n-e=u nafu=na ia parahağala blau
RL-3S=be.thus base \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) thesG giant LMT
it was like that simply because [it was] a giant.'

\subsection*{8.8.4 Bai(u) Possibilitative}

The particle baiu is postposed to constituents at various levels of the syntax, and marks constituents as being possible, rather than fact, corresponding roughly with the English might, perhaps, or maybe:
(8.114)a. fufugo a ginai korho namhari baiu
tomorrow 1EXCS FUT pull fish PSBL
'Tomorrow I might catch fish.'
b. ...teo \(\bar{n} a=b l a \quad o o e=\bar{g} a u=n a \quad\) baiu manei \(e=u\)
not.exist \(\mathrm{IMM}=\mathrm{LMT}\) talk \(=2 \mathrm{PLO}=\) that PSBL he \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
'...maybe he hasn't told you all'
c. ...gai a la au gilu=na kaike-u fata=na
weexc 1EXCS go exist inside \(=3\) SGP one-CRD occasion \(=3\) SGP
'We go and stay [there] for one time,
kaike-u wiki bai...
one-CRD week PSBL
one [whole] week maybe.'
By marking something as a possibility rather than a fact the speaker is implicitly expressing an opinion, and possibilitative marking is in fact the way opinions are expressed in Kokota. Opinions may be expressed using the possibilitative, with a first person subject 'be thus' clause explicitly indicating that the statement is the view of the speaker, as in (8.115)a.. However, often no 'be thus' clause is present. Instead, the possibilitative alone indicates that the statement is not a fact but the opinion of the speaker, as in (8.115)b.:
(8.115)a. e la puku bai si=ka tepi ana \(n-a=u\)

3S go be.short PSBL FOC=LOC tape thatN RL-IEXCS=bethus 'It might go short on the tape, I'm like that [i.e., ...l think].'
b. ginai mai gudu bla baiu ka sikolu=ne bla FUT come EXHST LMT PSBL LOC school thisR LMT 'I think they will simply all come just to this school.'

\section*{CHAPTER 8}

While the opinions expressed in this way are usually those of the speaker, opinions can be attributed to others using the same construction:
\[
\begin{align*}
& . . . g a \sim g a t o=m u=n a \quad \text { ago }  \tag{8.116}\\
& \text { RD think=2sGP=thatN youSG } \\
& \text {...that thought of yours }
\end{align*}
\]
n-e-ge nhigo tarai baiu \(n\)-e=u RL-3S-PRS be.finished pray PSBL RL-3S=bethus was that prayer is finished, like that?' [i.e., ...did you think prayer was finished?]

This use of the possibilitative to express opinions is mirrored in the Pijin and Solomons' English used by Kokota speakers, where opinions are typically expressed as a statement introduced by might be....

As the above examples illustrate, bai(u) most commonly modifies the verb complex. However, it may also mark a complete clause:
(8.117) ginai a korh-i=ri ara palu ba tilo namhari baiu FUT IEXCS pull-TR=3PLO I two ALT three fish PSBL 'I might catch two or three fish.'

Bai(u) also may mark nominal or other peripheral constituents, as (8.114)c. and the first clause in (8.118) illustrate.

> an-lau bla baiu puku=na bla bai \(s=a n a=b a\) thatN-SPC LMT PSBL be.short=thatN LMT PSBL 'Maybe that one. I think that's short.'

\subsection*{8.8.5 \(N a\) Immediacy particle}

The particle \(\bar{n} a\) is postposed to the constituent it marks, and assigns to a state, event or argument an immediacy in relation to either the moment of speaking, or some other specified or established moment, or some particular salience in relation to the specified or established situation. In conversational discourse the immediacy or salience is typically in relation to the moment of speaking.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { lehe }=\bar{n} a \text { gita ia tara=n̄a n-e mai=ne }  \tag{8.119}\\
& \text { die=IMM wennc thes enemy=lMM RL-3s come=thisR } \\
& \text { 'We are going to die. The enemy has come.' }
\end{align*}
\]

The immediacy may be in relation to an already established moment in the past or future, or some established moment the exact location in time of which is irrelevant:
(8.120)a.
ge nee la fa zogu=i=ña man-t=au=ao
SEQ RL-3s go CS drop=3SGO=1MM man-SBD=exist-thisT 'Then he threw down that man.
n-e-ke=u manei kota mai=na \(t=a u=a o \quad\) ge
RL-3S-PFV=be.thus he go.ashore come=thatN SBD=exist-thisT SEQ
He did that, came down,
\(\bar{g}\)-e-ke mai=n̄a, \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke mai \(\quad\) nhau...
NT-3S-PFV come=IMM NT-3S-PFV come eat
he came, he came and ate...,
b. ..fafra mai gu=na
be.quick come CNTX \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\)
'...come quickly, because
nhigo \(n-e=u \quad\) pati ao-hi
be.finished RL-3s=be.thus feast thisT-EMPH
...come quickly, because when this feast is finished
ke baibel stadi= \(\bar{n} a \quad\) bo \(\quad e=u\)
PFV Bible.Study=IMM CNT 3s=be.thus
there will be Bible Study.'
c. n-a la fakae \(=n i=u \quad k a\) tahi are RL-1EXCS go see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) LOC sea thosen
'We go and see in the sea
teğe ine \(\quad e=u\), tana nogoi
turtle thisR \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) =be.thus then VOC
this turtle, then, man!,
age \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) koko=ni=n̄a gai momoru ana
SEQ NT-1EXCS leave \(=3\) SGO \(=1 \mathrm{MM}\) weexC turtle net that N we throw down that turtle net."

The immediacy assigned by \(\bar{n} a\) often gives a sense equivalent to the English still or yet. Marking a preexisting state or event with \(\bar{n} a\) indicates that the state or event still applies at the time of speaking. With the negative existential verb, \(\bar{n} a\) indicates that the state or event has not yet happened:
manei teo \(=\bar{n} a \quad \bar{g}-e \quad m a i=u\)
he not.exist=lMM NT-3S come=CNT
'He hasn't come yet./He still hasn't come.'
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(8.122)A. lao si=ago
go \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{yousG}\)
'You go ahead!'
B. \(t e o=\bar{n} a\)
not.exist=IMM
'Not yet!'

Typically \(\bar{n} a\) marks the verb complex, as the above examples illustrate. However, it does not appear to mark entire clauses. A series of clauses in a clause chain may all be marked to indicate that the chained events occur simultaneously, as shown in the second line of (8.123):
(8.123) an-lau si=ge \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) rarağoso \(=\bar{n} a\) ira hinage thatN-SPC FOC=-SEQ NT-IEXCS decorate=IMM thePL boat 'That, then we decorate the boat,
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
age \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) koze \(=\bar{n} a\) & tovuli \(=\bar{n} a\) & rehai \(=\bar{n} a\) \\
SEQ NT-1EXCS sing \(=\mathrm{IMM}\) & blow.conch=IMM & shout \(=\mathrm{IMM}\) \\
then we sing and blow the conch and call out. &
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll}\bar{g}-a=u=\bar{n} a \quad \bar{g}-a \quad \text { la } m a i=u \\ \text { NT-1EXCS }=\text { be.thus=IMM } & \text { NT-1EXCS go come=CNT } \\ \text { We're like that as we are coming [home].' }\end{array}\)

As the second clause in (8.119) illustrates, \(\bar{n} a\) may also mark an argument. This assigns a particular immediacy or saliency to the argument in relation to the moment of speaking or a previously established situation or event. This may function to assert the saliency of a participant to an event. For example, the clause in (8.124) is a typical response to exclusion of a potential participant:
```

ara=\overline{n}a
I=IMM
'Me too!'

```

In other instances a speaker emphasizes an asserted relationship with an event or entity using \(\bar{n} a\). In (8.125) the speaker is claiming ownership of disputed land:
```

ara=\overline{n}a no-\overline{g}u nаu=ro s=aro
I=IMM GENP-1SGP place=theseT FOC=theseT
'Me! These are my places.'

```

In addition, the immediacy particle may occur with a focused pronoun or demonstrative in the construction discussed in \(\S 8.5,2.2\) :
```

n-a bakora si=\overline{n}=ara goi
RL-1EXCS be.cut FOC=IMM=I VOC
'I've been cut, man!'

```
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In other instances the immediacy or saliency of the marked argument is in relation to the time or place of speaking. In (8.127), for example, the relationship between the temporal location marked with \(\bar{n} a\) and the moment of speaking is emphasized as a way of expressing a desire for the event to occur soon:
```

ginai saigona=\tilde{n}a, kaike saigona=\overline{n}a
todayIRR evening=IMM one evening=IMM
'This evening, one evening,

```
ta \(a=k e \quad h o d a ~ t o k e=\bar{g} a i=n a \quad\) gai-palu tati
SBD=PFV take arrive \(=1 E X C O=\) that \(w e E X C-t w o ~ m o t h e r \& b a b y ~\) that [you] will take back we two, mother and baby.'

\subsection*{8.8.6 Fea 'initiality'}

The particle fea indicates that the referent of the marked constituent must hold initially in relation to some other entity or event. The form typically marks the verb complex, and may indicate that the event will occur before any other events:
(8.128)a. ke pulo fea ara

PFV return INIT I
'I'll go back first.'
b. ara fa kraño=ri fea no- \(\bar{g} u\) pohe ide

I CS bedry=3plo INIT GENP-1SGP clothes theser 'I am drying my clothes first.'

In this sense it may cooccur with the verb kulu/kusu 'be first':
...ge kulu frin̆he=ni fea ia suğa
SEQ be.first work \(=3\) SGO INIT theSG house
'...then first they build the house'
The form occurs very commonly with individual verbs in imperative clauses, emphasizing that the speaker wants the action to occur immediately:
```

(8.130)a. tuku fea
wait INIT
'[Just] wait [here]!'
b. zaho fea
go INIT
'Out of the way!'

```
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Fea also may indicate that the marked event will occur before a specified subsequent event:
(8.131) au fea gau da zuke=ri
exist INIT youpl 1 INCS seek \(=3\) PLo
'Stay [here] first, [then] we will go and look for them.'
In this sense it frequently cooccurs with the sequencer ge:
(8.132) gita da-ke turi fea, ge da lao frin̄he=n̄a WeINC IINCS-PFV tell INIT SEQ I INCS go work=iMM 'We talked first, then worked.'

The fact that the form typically marks the verb complex suggests that it is a postcore adverbial modifier. However, it is not limited to marking verb complexes, but may also mark other constituent types, such as a temporal locative:
(8.133) ginai fea da toi=n̄a
todayIRR INIT IINCS cook=IMM
'[Later] today first [and then] we will cook.'
When marking a verb complex fea typically follows other constituent modifiers:
(8.134)a. fa gigila=ni bla fea

CS test \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT INIT
'[Let's] just try it first.'
b. ara lao bo fea

I go CNT INIT
'I'll go first instead.'

\subsection*{8.8.7 The particle la}

Like the limiter \(b l a(u)\), the particle la appears to mark the verb complex (as in [8.135]a.-b.) and other predicate types (for example, [8.135]c.), as well as various kinds of arguments and adjuncts (for example, [8.135]d.).
(8.135)a. mağra \(t=a u=l a \quad\) manei
fight \(\quad \mathrm{SBD}=\) exist -CND he
'If there is a fight,
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
kame \(=\bar{g} u\) & \(n-e\) & \(a u=d e\) & bla & la & bo... \\
hand \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}\) & RL-3S & exist=theseR & LMT \(?\) ? & CNT \\
my hands are here...
\end{tabular}
b. ...e \(a u=i \quad\) la bla keha

3 S exist=3SGO ?? LMT NSP
'...they have something
ta fakilo=ni tritmenti ka=ia ooe-vaka
SBD name \((V)=3 S G O\) treatment LOC=thesG talk-ship
that [they] call treatment in Pijin.'
c. visi \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve la ba...
play NT-3s-go what ?? ALT
'A game that goes how...?'
d. ka tema=na la bla n-e faroh-i=ø=na sala manei.

LOC hut=thatN ?? LMT RL-3S smite-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that PN he 'At that small house he killed Sala.'

In addition, the particle occurs in the focus construction discussed in §8.5.2.2:
(8.136) fafra si=gau-palu ba, ginai ğrugu si=la=ine
be,quick FOC=youpl-two ALT FUT bedark FOC=??=thisR
'Hurry up, you two, or this [day] will get dark.'
Apart from this focus construction, la appears to normally cooccur with other constituent modifiers, as (8.135) illustrates.

This particle occurs infrequently, and its function is not clear. The form la also functions as a conditional marker; however, the constituent modifying behavior illustrated in (8.135) and (8.136) does not seem to have any conditional sense, suggesting that the relationship between the conditional marker and constituent modifier is simple homophony. It seems more plausible that a relationship exists between this constituent modifier and the deictic specifying suffix -lau discussed in §3.1.4.2, paralleling the frequent use of the limiter bla( \(u\) ) with pronouns and determiners. This too, however, remains unclear.

\subsection*{8.9 Vocative particle nogoi \(\sim\) goi}

The particle nogoi ~ goi occurs with an emphatic vocative function. It is not vocative in the sense of being a term of address, although speakers regard it as "meaning" 'you' (and it is derived from an earlier form of the 2SG pronoun, and thus cognate with synchronic ago). However, its synchronic function is to strongly engage the listener in what the speaker is saying. In narratives and discourse declarative clauses it occurs when the speaker is excited or agitated by what he or she saying, and occurs with increasing frequency commensurate with the level of excitement or agitation. In narratives this typically occurs at the most exciting parts of the story, when a text may become littered with the particle.
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(8.137) Ka tema=na la bla n-e faroh-i= \(\varnothing=n a \quad\) sala manei LOC hut=thatN ?? LMT RL-3S smite-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that PN he 'At that small house he killed Sala.
tana nogoi age \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) tetu \(\bar{n} a \quad\) manei ge then VOC SEQ NT-3S stand=IMM he SEQ Then, man!, he stood up and
nogoi \(\bar{g}\)-e kaike mağra
VOC NT-3s one fight he fought everyone [and],
nogoi \(\bar{g}\)-e fa-rogoho fa teo-ri mane
voC NT-3S CS-smite CS not.exist=3PLO man man!, he killed all [the men]
n-e-ke \(\quad a u=r o \quad k a \quad \bar{g} i l u=n a \quad\) tema=na \(e=u\)
RL-3S-PFV exist=thosenV LOC inside \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) hut=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus who were inside the small house.'

The particle also occurs commonly in imperatives.
\[
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { (8.138) } & \text { fa puku puku=ri } & \text { bla } & \text { ago } & e=u \\
\text { CS RD be.short=3PLO } & \text { LMT } & \text { youSG } & \text { 3s=be.thus } & \text { LMT Voi.. } \\
\text { 'You make it short, man!...' }
\end{array}
\]

Although the shorter form of the particle shown in (8.138) occurs in an imperative and the longer form in (8.137) in declarative clauses, there is, in fact, no functional distinction between the two. Most individual speakers use both, although it appears that younger speakers display a higher proportionate use of the shorter form than older speakers.

The particle normally occurs at clause boundaries. The collocation of the particle with the temporal marker tana, shown in line 2 of (8.137), is particularly common. Although it occurs at the beginning or end of clauses, it may follow extraclausal material, such as recapping constituents:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { an=bla nogoi }  \tag{8.139}\\
& \text { thatN=LMT VOC } \\
& \text { 'That, man!, } \\
& \text { n-e-ge fa-roho fa teo=ri are bla } \\
& \text { RL-3S-PRS CS-smite CS not.exist=3pLO thosen } \\
& \text { [and] he killed them all.' }
\end{align*}
\]

\section*{CHAPTER 9: IMPERATIVES AND INTERROGATION}

\subsection*{9.1 Imperative clauses}

Imperative clauses are employed for commands, exhortations, and requests. No formal marker of second person imperative clauses exists. The imperative clause has normal declarative clause structure, with the restrictions that the clause must be in irrealis mood, no preverbal topicalized argument may occur, and only second person or first inclusive subjects are possible. Imperatives are typically distinguishable from declaratives by clause-final rising-falling intonation.

\subsection*{9.1.1 Positive imperatives}

As with positive irrealis declaratives, the subject-indexed particle may occur in positive imperatives (as in [9.1]a.), but is typically omitted ([9.1]b.):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. o la } k a=n i=\bar{n} a & \text { tagi-mi }  \tag{9.1}\\
\text { 2S go look=3SGO=IMM } & \text { REFL-2PLP } \\
\text { 'Go and look at him yourselves!' }
\end{array}
\]
b. ke mai ago

PFV come yousG
'You come here!'
The subject may be overtly expressed, as in (9.1), but is often unstated:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { zaho fea }  \tag{9.2}\\
\text { go INIT } \\
\text { 'Go away!' }
\end{array}
\]

First inclusive imperatives also have the form of an irrealis declarative clause:
```

da a\overline{ge kae=di=u}
1INCS go see=3PLO=CNT
'Let's go and see

```
hae ta \(a u=r e\) n-e hure \(=r i \quad\) hinage \(=r e ~ m a n e r i\)
where SBD exist=thosen RL-3S carry=3PLO boat=thosen they
where they carried the boats!'

However, first inclusive imperatives usually open with the special particle aria:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { aria } & d-a \bar{g} e & \text { nhura=i } & \text { fitupog} u  \tag{9.4}\\
\text { liNC.IMP } & \text { l INCS-go } & \text { destroy=3SGO } & \text { PNLOC } \\
\text { 'Let's go and destroy Fitupoḡu!' } &
\end{array}
\]
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As with second person, first inclusive imperative subjects may be overtly stated.
Some aspect and tense modifiers may occur in imperative clauses. The continuous aspect enclitic occurs commonly with first inclusive imperatives, as (9.3) illustrates, though it is not obligatory. The perfective marker and present tense marker also occur:
\(\begin{array}{lllll}\text { a. } & t=a u & l a & \text { aria } & \text { da-ke } \\ \text { SBD=exist } & \text { CND } & \text { liNC.IMP } & \text { lincs-PFV } & \text { return }\end{array}\) 'If that's so then let's go back!'
b. o-ge lao ge tahe la=ri bla \(2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PRS}\) go and tell go=3PLO LMT 'Just tell some more [stories] [now]!'

The use of present tense with irrealis modality, discussed in §7.5.2.8, gives the sense that the event will happen immediately. In (9.5)b. the use of present tense occurs because the speaker wants the addressee to tell further stories straight away. The future tense marker ginai appears not to occur in imperative clauses.

\subsection*{9.1.2 Negative imperatives}

Kokota has two negative constructions (see §8.7). One employs the negative particle \(t i\), the other is a subordinating construction with the negative existential verb teo. Both constructions occur in second person negative imperatives:
a. o-ti lao sare g\(i l u\)
2 S -NEG go therep inside
'Don't go in there!'
\(\begin{array}{llll}\text { b. teo } \quad \bar{g}-o \quad \text { alai } \\ \text { not.exist } & \text { NT-2s come youso } \\ \text { 'Don't you come!' }\end{array}\)
First inclusive irrealis negative imperatives appear to allow only the subordinating construction, as in (9.7)a. Clauses with the negative particle, as in (9.7)b., appear not to permit an imperative interpretation:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & \text { teo } \quad \bar{g} e-d a \quad a \bar{g} e=u  \tag{9.7}\\
\text { not.exist } & \text { NT-IINCS } & \text { go }=\mathrm{CNT} \\
\text { 'Let's not go!' }
\end{array}
\]
b. da-ti teteg \(u=\bar{n} a\) gita goinode

IINCS-NEG go.fishing=IMM weINC today
'We won't go fishing today.'

\subsection*{9.1.3 Politeness in imperatives}

No specific politeness or respect marker exists comparable to English please. However, where a second person pronoun subject is overtly realized it may be marked with the focus marker si. The absence of the focus marker in this situation, as in (9.6)b., is not regarded as respectful (see discussion in §8.5.2.4.).

\subsection*{9.2 Interrogation}

Interrogative clauses in Kokota fall into three distinct types, on both formal and functional grounds:
(9.8) a. Polar and option interrogatives-morphologically and syntactically identical to declarative clauses.
b. Constituent interrogatives-seek details of an event or its participants using interrogative proforms.
c. Contextual interrogatives--'how' and 'why' questions, involving the event expressed as a clause separate to the interrogative form.

\subsection*{9.2.1 Polar and option interrogatives}

Polar interrogatives have the structure of a declarative clause, but are distinguished from declaratives by clause-final rising intonation, in contrast with the falling intonation of declarative clauses. Thus the clauses in (9.9) are syntactically identical to declaratives:
a. nee fa mai=ni bo kodere maneko ine
RL-3S CS come \(=3\) SGO CNT PN
'Did Kodere bring this pawpaw?'
b. boka hoda ağe=nau bo ago
be.able take go \(=1 \mathrm{SGO} \mathrm{CNT}\) yousG
'Can you take me there?'
No particles exist that mark only interrogation. However, both the contrastive marker \(b o\) and the alternative marker \(b a\) (see \(\S 8.8 .1\) and \(\S 8.8 .2\) ), occur commonly in polar interrogatives, as illustrated in (9.9) and (9.10) respectively.
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
n-e-g e & \text { tor- }-i=\varnothing & b=a n a  \tag{9.10}\\
\text { RL-3S-PRS beopen-TR=3SGO } & \text { ALT=thatN he } \\
\text { 'Has he opened that?' }
\end{array}
\]

Both the contrastive and alternative markers make explicit the existence of states or events other than that expressed by the marked clause, and emphasize the potential for polarity, and thus the interrogative nature of these clauses.

\section*{CHAPTER 9}

However, both also occur in declaratives, and are not obligatory in polar interrogatives:
\[
\begin{align*}
& n-e-g e \quad f a \text { tor- } i=\emptyset \quad \text { manei } a-h i  \tag{9.11}\\
& \text { RL-3S-PRS CS be.open-TR=3sco he thisT-EMPH } \\
& \text { 'Has he opened this?' }
\end{align*}
\]

This illustrates that it is crucially the intonation pattern that marks polar interrogatives, not any morphosyntactic phenomena. All the examples in (9.9) to (9.11) could be declarative clauses with only an intonational change.

Not only full clauses may function as polar interrogatives. Any constituent may be presented for confirmation using rising intonation. In (9.12) a personal name alone is given rising intonation, thereby giving it an interrogative sense-the identity of the individual is presented for confirmation:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \ldots \bar{g}-e \text { triki }=\bar{n} a \quad \text { mane } n-e=u, \quad h e=b a=i a, \quad \text { tikilave }  \tag{9.12}\\
& \text { NT-3S trick=IMM man RL-3S=be.thus who=ALT=PRO PN } \\
& \text { '... a man played a trick. Who [was it]? [Was it] Tikilave?' }
\end{align*}
\]

Option interrogatives resemble polar interrogatives in that they also have the syntactic structure of a declarative clause. Functional similarities also exist. Neither elicit greater detail about the nature of a state or event or its participants, or the state or event's context. Polar interrogatives present a state or event, in a sense a single alternative, and seek confirmation of the veracity of the presented state or event. Option interrogatives present more than one alternative and seek identification of which alternative applies:
(9.13) sisiḡa e ğauai ba namo

PNLOC 3 s be.far ALT benear
'Is Sisiğa near or far?'
As with polar interrogatives, intonation alone distinguishes an interrogative from a declarative reading. With falling intonation (9.13) would be declarative.

In option interrogatives at least the first option, sometimes both, are marked with the alternative marker \(b a\), as in (9.13). The contrastive marker may also be present, although this is uncommon:
(9.14) ligomo n-e salupu bo ba, n-e toga

PN RL-3S pass CNT ALT RL-3s arrive
'Did the Ligomo [a ship] go past or did it stop?'
The alternatives presented in an option clause may be expressed as two predicates within a single clause, as in (9.13), or as separate clauses, as in (9.14).

\subsection*{9.2.2 Constituent interrogatives}

Constituent interrogatives seek information about an event or state or its participants beyond confirming a proposition or selecting an option. These are of two functional types: those seeking the identity of a participant or nature of a state or event; and those seeking more information about an established participant, state, or event. They involve the following interrogative proforms: \({ }^{61}\)
(9.15) a. heve 'what'
b. hei 'who'
c. hae 'where'
d. niha=o 'when? (realis)'
e. niha=na 'when? (irrealis)'
f. niha 'bow many/much?'

\subsection*{9.2.2.1 Identity interrogation}

The locative interrogatives niha- 'when?' and hae 'where' function to inquire about the identity of spatial and temporal locations. All other participants are referred to by the interrogative proforms, hei 'who' and heve 'what'.

\subsection*{9.2.2.1.1 Hei 'who'}

The proform hei has as its referent a participant whose identity is in question. Crucially, the participant referred to must be human. The participant in question may function as any core argument-actor, intransitive subject, or object:
(9.16) a. hei n-e ravi=nau=na ka bakla=na
who RL-3s hide.from=1SGO=thatN LOC flat.root thatN
'Who is hiding from me in the roots?'
b. \(n-\varepsilon=u \quad h e i\)

RL-3S=be.thus who
'Who was thus?' [i.e., 'Who said that?']
c. hei bili n-e fakae \(=n i=n a\)
who PN RL-3S see=3SGO=thatN
'Who did Billy see?'
It is not clear whether hei may function as an incorporated interrogative object (as heve 'what' may). Possibly because human objects are rarely generic, no examples of hei incorporation occur in the corpus.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{61}\) Hae 'where', hei 'who', and heve 'what' are not glossed with question marks as they also have non-interrogative functions, meaning 'wherever', 'whoever', and 'whatever'.
}
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The participant in question may also function clausally as an adjunct, as the complement of the preposition ka or the associative noun tareme- 'with':
```

a. $t=a u=n e \quad e \quad t o r e=i \quad k a \quad h e i ~ m a n e i ~$
$\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=-thisR 3 s ask $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ LOC who he
'This [question] he will ask to whom?'

```
b. ka hei n-o-ke hod-i=ri=re ago sileni are LOC who RL-2S-PFV take-TR=3PLO=thosen yousG money thosen 'Who did you get that money from?'
c. manei n-e lao buala tareme=na hei he RL-3S go PNLOC with=3SGP who 'Who did he go to Buala with?'

The interrogative proform may occur in two possible positions in the clause. It may occur clause-initially, as in (9.16)a. and c., and (9.17)b. It may occur in this position even when a topicalized preverbal argument is also present, as (9.16)c. shows. When the interrogative proform occurs clause-initially, the verb complex obligatorily carries a demonstrative enclitic from the 'nearby' category. The proform may also occur in the unmarked clause position of the referent argument, as in (9.16)b and (9.17)a. and c. When in this position no demonstrative enclitic occurs.

Realis interrogative clauses always have the main clause structure outlined above. Irrealis interrogatives may also have this structure, as (9.17)a. shows. However, irrealis interrogatives may also be expressed as an equative construction in which the interrogative proform is the subject, with a subordinate clause as predicate. This gives a pragmatically marked construction functionally somewhat akin to an English pseudo-cleft construction:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { hei ta kulu mhoko=na ka gita-palu }  \tag{9.18}\\
& \text { who SBD be.first sit=thatN LoC wernc-two } \\
& \text { 'Who [is it] that will sit first out of us two?' }
\end{align*}
\]

In this construction the predicate has the formal characteristics dictated by its status as a subordinate clause, rather than those otherwise required in an interrogative main clause predicate.

The proform may also function as subject of an ordinary equative construction with a nominal predicate:
```

hei nan̄ha=mu=na ago
who name=2SGP=thatN yousG
'What is your name?'

```

\subsection*{9.2.2.1.2 Heve 'what' (referring to participants)}

One function of heve 'what' is to act as interrogative proform for nonhuman participants. In this function heve parallels hei, differing only in the nonhuman status of the referent. As with hei, heve can stand for any core argument:
(9.20)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { a. heve n-e-ke } & \text { kati=nigo=na } & \text { ago } \\ \text { what RL-3S-PFV } & \text { bite- } 2 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { that } & \text { yousG } \\ \text { 'What bit you?' } & & \end{array}\)
b. heve n-e zogu=na
what RL-3s drop=thatN
'What fell?'
c. heve manei n-e-ke toğ \(a=i=n a\)
what he RL-3S-PFV chase \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N
'What did he chase?'
Heve may occur as an incorporated interrogative object. In (9.21) the verb is in its intransitive form, with no object-indexing present. The interrogative proform is located in the incorporated object position:
(9.21) maneri n-e gorha heve
they RL-3S paddle what
'What did they paddle?'
Because specific temporal and spatial locative interrogatives exist, heve occurs infrequently as an adjunct. However, such occurrences are possible, with heve functioning as the complement of the preposition \(k a\). Often heve obliques are interpreted as non-locative adjuncts such as instruments:
(9.22) ka heve n-o-ke fad-i= \(\emptyset=n a \quad\) ago memeha=na LOC what RL-2S-PFV shoot-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that yousG bird=that 'What did you shoot the bird with?'

If a spatial locative is intended, it is often a marked kind of location. In (9.23), for example, it is not the location in the village where the hitting happened, but the location on the dog's body:
(9.23) Ka heve=na n-e faroh-i=0=na

LOC what \(=\) thatN RL-3S strike \(-T R=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that
```

suli=na mheke=na
child=thatN dog=thatN
'Where [on its body] did that child hit that dog?'

```
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Alternatively, a specific kind of location may be intended. In (9.24), for example, the anticipated answer is not a broad kind of a location (such as "in Goveo'), but something like 'on the table' or 'in that room', responses that will involve a prepositional phrase:
ka heve \(=0 \quad n-e \quad\) lis \(a=i=n a \quad\) manei
LOC what=thatNV RL-3s put \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatN he
'Where did he put
no- \(\bar{g} u \quad\) vilai ana
GENP-1SGP knife
that knife of mine?'

The more literal translation of (9.24) would be something like 'on/in that what, did he put...'. When heve is used with this spatial locative sense it typically carries a cliticized demonstrative, as in these examples.

Like hei, heve may occur clause-initially (including before a preverbal argument), or it may occur in the referent argument's unmarked clause position. Also as with hei, when the proform occurs clause-initially the verb complex is marked with a demonstrative enclitic, but when the proform occurs in its unmarked position there is no verb complex enclitic.

Again, irrealis interrogatives may be expressed by an equative construction in which the interrogative proform is the subject of a subordinate clause:
```

heve ta frinhe=i=na ago

```
what SBD work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatN yousG
'What [is it] that you will be doing?'
Heve also occurs as the subject of an equative clause with a nominal predicate:

> heve \(b=a n a\)
> what ALT=thatN
> 'What's that?'

\subsection*{9.2.2.1.3 Niha- 'when'}

The form niha- is used to form questions about the temporal location of the event expressed in the clause. Formally and conceptually this interrogative proform is interesting in that it must occur with one of two cliticized demonstratives: \(=n a\) 'that (nearby)', and \(=o\) 'that (non-visible)', which assign irrealis and realis status respectively to the temporal location inquired about.

In an interrogative verbal main clause the irrealis 'when' must be followed by the sequencing particle ge. In addition, the verb complex must be marked with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\) :
(9.27) a. niha=na ge da lao \(=n \bar{a} a\) buala
when=that SEQ IINCS go=imM PNLOC
'When will we go to Buala?'
b. niha=na ge \(\bar{g}-e\) fa-lehe \(=i=\bar{n} a \quad\) manei zora ana
when \(=\) that \(\mathrm{SEQ} \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) he pig that N 'When will he kill the pig?'

The cliticization of the demonstrative \(=n a\) is obligatory-the independent demonstrative marking *niha ana is impossible.

The realis interrogative occurs without the sequencer. The verb does not carry the immediacy particle, but is obligatorily marked with a 'nearby' category demonstrative enclitic (following the pattern discussed in §9.2.2.1.1):
(9.28) a. niha=o manei \(n\)-e-ke fad- \(i=0=n a \quad\) memeha \(=n a\) when=thatNV he RL-3S-PFV shoot-TR=3SGO=thatN bird=thatN 'When did he shoot that bird?'
b. niha=o n-e-ke posa=re glepo are when=thatNV RL-3S-PFV emerge=thosen thing thosen 'When did those things occur?'

The temporal interrogative proform always occurs clause-initially. As with hei and heve, there is no restriction on another argument occurring in topicalized preverbal position, as (9.28)a. illustrates.

Temporal interrogatives may be the subject of an equative construction in which the event inquired about is expressed as a subordinate clause. This construction does not occur commonly, and is a way of foregrounding the time inquired about.

> niha=na ta mai=na ligomo
> when=thatN SBD come=thatN PN
> 'When [is it] that the Ligomo will come?'

In this equative construction the irrealis interrogative does not require the sequencer, and the predicate is marked in ways determined by its status within a subordinate clause, rather than in keeping with the interrogative clause predicate restrictions discussed above.
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The interrogative particle itself functions as a nonverbal predicate in the standard form of asking the time, a construction involving an equative clause:
```

tanhi niha=o
time when=thatNy
'The time [is] when?' [i.e., 'What's the time?']

```

The interrogative form used in this construction requires the demonstrative \(=0\). Since the question relates to the moment of speaking it illustrates that the interrogative nihao is realis, and does not simply refer to past locations in time.

\subsection*{9.2.2.1.4 Hae 'where'}

Spatial locative interrogation is expressed by hae 'where', which typically occurs clause-initially:
hae n-o-ke doli=na ago
where RL-2S-PFV be.alive=that y yousG
'Where were you born?'

When the spatial interrogative hae is clause-initial, the verb complex must be marked with a 'nearby' category demonstrative enclitic, as in (9.31). Less commonly, hae may occur in the unmarked clause position of the locative adjunct it replaces. In that construction the demonstrative enclitic is not present:
```

mane=na n-e gorha la hae
man=thatN RL-3s paddle go where
'Where is that man paddling to?'

```

Hae occurs in this unmarked position in the standard Kokota greeting:
(9.33) lao hae (ago)
go where yousG
'Where are you going?'
The proform replaces an entire locative adjunct, including prepositional phrases. Consequently it does not function as the complement of the preposition, as in (9.34)a. However, spatial locative interrogation may be performed by a PP with heve 'what' as the prepositional complement, as in (9.34)b.
(9.34) a. *ka hae n-o-ke doli=na ago 'At where were you born?'
b. ka heve=o n-e lisa=i=na manei ia vilai LOC what=thatNV RL-3s put=3SGO=thatN he thesG knife 'At what [location] [i.e., where] did he put the knife?'
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In this construction it is the preposition that expresses the locative component of the interrogation.

In addition to its simple form, hae also forms a single complex interrogative proform with the deictic locative sara 'there (distal)':
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { sara hae manei n-e-ke togla=i=na ia zora }  \tag{9.35}\\
\text { thered where he RL-3S-PFV chase }=3500=\text { that } \text { thesG pig } \\
\text { 'Where did he chase the pig?' } &
\end{array}
\]

The example in (9.35) also illustrates that the spatial locative interrogative proform may occur in clause-initial position when a topicalized preverbal argument is also present.

Hae is used to inquire about spatial locations with any function in the clause. Thus in (9.31) hae refers to the location at which an event took place. \(\ln\) (9.32) it refers to a goal. The clause in (9.35) is ambiguous as to whether it refers to a location or a goal (i.e., 'in what location did he chase the pig' versus 'where did he chase the pig to'). The form may equally be used to refer to sources:
hae n-o-ke klisu mai=na gau
where RL-2S-PFV start come=thatN youPL
'Where did you start [i.e., come] from?'

With irrealis events, questions of spatial location are typically formed using an equative construction in which the interrogative proform is the subject of a clause, the predicate of which is a subordinated clause. This applies equally to events that are irrealis because they have yet to occur, and those that are irrealis because they are habitual:
(9.37) a. hae ta lao-n=ago
where SBD go=thatN=yousG
'Where [is it] that you will go?'
b. hae ta au=na ago
where SBD exist=thatN yousG
'Where [is it] that you live?'
An equative construction also occurs with nominal predicates identifying the participant whose location is sought:
```

(9.38) hae belama
where PN
'Where [is] Belama?'

```

\section*{CHAPTER 9}

\subsection*{9.2.2.2 Event identification}

In addition to interrogatives questioning the identity of participants in a predication, there are others that inquire about the identity of the state or event itself. Just as participant interrogation involves replacing the relevant argument with a proform, in event interrogation the predicate itself is replaced with an interrogative. However, the entire predicate is not replaced, as the modal/subject particle remains expressed:

> a. n-e heve ia zora RL-3s what thesg pig
> 'What did the pig do?'/'What happened to the pig?'
b. n-e heve ia \(\bar{g} r u i\)

RL-3s what thes garden
'What happened to/in the garden?'
As heve has no predicate argument structure, no grammatical relation or semantic role is assigned to an overtly expressed argument in this construction. Thus in (9.39)a. the sole argument is animate and therefore may be an actor or an unergative subject. Consequently the question is interpretable as an inquiry about the actions of the pig, as well as about what may have happened to it (in which it is potentially the undergoer of the event). As most states and qualities are expressed by stative verbs in Kokota, the question is also interpretable as an inquiry about the pig's state or what qualities may be ascribed to it (in which case the overt argument would be an unaccusative subject). In (9.39)b. the overt argument is one that most commonly occurs as a location, or less commonly as an object. Consequently those are the argument relations that would normally inform the interpretation of the question, with the state or quality of the participant a further possible reading. The crucial point is that the absence of a predicate argument structure leaves entirely open the relations and roles of any overt argument.

No argument need be expressed, however. This construction occurs commonly with no argument as a general event inquiry:

> n-e heve
> RL-3S what
> 'What happened?'

As well as a general event inquiry, this commonly occurs as a generalized response to any approach, functionally equivalent to English questions like what do you want?. (The use of ehe 'yes' is not an appropriate response to an approach, in the way that yes? is in English.) The use of this construction as a response to a conversational opening often involves a reduction of the clause to
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the interrogative alone, as in (9.41)a. An equally common alternative involves heve as the subject of \(=u\) 'be thus', as in (9.41)b.
(9.41) a. heve
what
'What [is it]?'
b. heve=u
what=be.thus
'What is it?'/'How is it?'
The use of heve as a proform replacing the predicate occurs in another common conversational opener:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-o heve bo ago }  \tag{9.42}\\
& \text { RL-2S what CNT yousG } \\
& \text { 'How are you?' }
\end{align*}
\]

Not all event interrogation involves an interrogative proform replacing the predicate, however. The function is often performed instead by what is formally participant interrogation. In this strategy the event in question is expressed as an argument, typically the complement of the verb frinhe 'work':
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { heve n-o-ke frinhe }=i=n a \quad \text { ago }  \tag{9.43}\\
& \text { what RL-2S-PFV work=3SGO-thaN youSG } \\
& \text { 'What were you doing?' }
\end{align*}
\]

\subsection*{9.2.2.3 Supplementary detail interrogation}

Certain interrogative constructions are used to seek further information about a participant or state or event, the general identity or nature of which is already established. There are three kinds of such questions: those seeking to identify the specific relevant member or subclass of an established class of entities ('which' questions, with the interrogative proform heve); those seeking to identify the manner in which an established event takes place (also with heve); and those seeking to identify the number or quantity of an established entity (using niha 'how many/much').

\subsection*{9.2.2.3.1 Heve 'which' questions}

Questions that seek to identify the specific identity of a member or subclass of a class of entities have the interrogative proform heve 'what' in post-head core modifier position in a NP with the relevant nominal as head, as in speaker B's question in (9.44).
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(9.44) A. ..marha-pau ine, a iusi=ni gai gazu pain-head thisR lexCS use \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) weexc wood '...this headache, we use a tree.'
B. \(\bar{g} a z u\) heve \(b a=i a\)
wood what ALT=PRO 'Which tree?'

The presence of the alternative marker \(b a\) in (9.44) is typical in questions of this kind, but not obligatory. Participants of any kind may be questioned in this way, including adjuncts:
```

ka nare heve ta lao=na buala
LOC day what SBD go-thatN PNLOC
'On which day [is it] that [you] will go to Buala?'

```

Questions of this kind may be used to identify specific class-members, as in (9.45), where a unique date is sought. They are also used to identify a subclass, as in (9.44), where the information sought is the species of tree used, not the specific instantiation of that species.

Heve is used most commonly to specify participants. However, it may also be used to seek specification of a predicate. With this function it occurs in immediate post-head adverbial modifier position. Here it is the specific illness that is in question:
(9.46) A. ara \(n-a \quad\) foğra \(=n a u\)

I RL-1EXCS be.sick=15GO
'I'm sick.'
B. n-o foğra heve

RL-2S besick what
'What are you sick with?'

\subsection*{9.2.2.3.2 Gela heve 'in what manner/to what extent' questions}

Questions of manner and extent may be formed using a construction in which a clause-initial verb is modified by a subordinate clause with the predicate \(\bar{g}\)-e-la (the neutral modal particle plus 'go') and heve as its complement. When the verb modified is stative the clause questions the extent to which the state applies:
(9.47) a. dou g-e-la heve are \(e=u\)
be.big NT-3s-go what thosen \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus
'How big were they?'[lit. 'Those are/were big like what?']
b. mañava \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve
be.hot NT-3s-go what
'How hot?' [lit. 'Hot like what?']
When it occurs with a dynamic verb it is the manner in which the event takes place that is in question:
(9.48) a. lao \(\bar{g}-e-l a\) heve sara buala
go NT-3s-go what thereD PNLOC
'How [i.e., by what means of travel] will you get to Buala?'
b. teteg \(u \quad \bar{g}-e-l a \quad h e v e\)
fish(V) NT-3S-go what
'How [i.e., by what fishing method] did you fish?'
In the \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve construction the verb itself is the subject of the \(\bar{g}\)-e-la predicate. The verb alone fulfills this function and not a verb complex, so no modal/subject particle precedes the verb and no other verb complex elements occur. Nor can the verb by accompanied by a complement or adjunct.

This is not the only strategy available for manner interrogation, however. Two constructions with the 'be thus' verb \(=u\) also occur (discussed in §9.2.3.1).

\subsection*{9.2.2.3.3 Niha 'how many/much' questions}

In inquiries about the quantity of a participant the interrogative proform niha 'how many/much' occurs in pre-head quantifier position:
(9.49) a. niha mane n-e-ke toğla=i=na zora ine
how.many man RL-3S-PFV chase \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N pig thisR 'How many men chased the pig?'
b. niha maneko n-e hod-i=ri=re manei
how.many pawpaw RL-3S take-TR \(=3\) PLo \(=\) thosen he
'How many pawpaw has he brought?'
Any participant type may be modified in this way. Typically the interrogative is located clause-initially, and as with participant interrogation (discussed above), this requires a postverbal demonstrative enclitic. The exception to this is where the quantity in question is not of a participant, but of the event itself. In this case the construction is formally identical to that for questions of participant quantity, except that the nominal modified by niha must be fata 'occasion', and that there is no postverbal demonstrative enclitic:
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niha fata lao ago buala
how.many occasion go yousG PNLoC
'How many times did you go to Buala?'

With participant quantity, although the relevant interrogative is typically located clause-initially, it may occur in the unmarked clause position for that argument or adjunct. Again, as with participant interrogation, this does not require a postverbal demonstrative enclitic:
(9.51) a. mane-dou ana n-e turi-tufa turi=ri niha suli man-be.big that RL-3s tell-affect tell \(=3\) plo how.many children 'That chief told stories [to] how many children?'
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. ne faroh- } i=\emptyset \quad \text { mheke=na } k a=n i h a & \overline{\boldsymbol{g}} a z u \\ \text { RL- } 3 \mathrm{~S} \text { strike-TR=3SGO dog=thatN LOC=how.many } & \text { wood } \\ \text { 'They hit the dog with how many sticks?' } & \end{array}\)
As with other interrogative types, the interrogative form, in this case with its nominal head, may function as the subject of an equative clause. In (9.52) the predicate is ago 'youSG':
(9.52) niha komhu=mu=na ago
how.many year= \(2 \mathrm{SGP}=\mathrm{thatN}\) yousG
'How old are you?' [lit. 'How many years [are] you?']

\subsection*{9.2.3 Contextual interrogation}

Functionally, two types of context interrogatives exist: manner ('how') questions and cause ('why') questions. Both involve subordinating constructions.

\subsection*{9.2.3.1 Manner questions}

Three strategies exist in the language for forming questions regarding the manner in which an event took place. One, also an interrogative of extent, is discussed in §9.2.2.2.2. The remaining two strategies require the verb \(=u\) 'be thus'. In one of these the interrogative proform heve 'what' occurs as the subject of \(=u\), with the event in question expressed as a subordinate clause:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { heve } n-e=u \quad[\text { meri tarai=na }  \tag{9.53}\\
& \text { what } \mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be.thus } \mathrm{PN} \quad \text { pray=thatN } \\
& \text { 'How did Mary pray } \\
& k a=\text { man ta fogra=na=o] } \\
& \text { LoC=man SBD be.sick }=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\text { thatNV } \\
& \text { for the man who is sick?' [lit. 'What was so, that Mary prayed...'] }
\end{align*}
\]

In this construction the 'be thus' main clause always occurs sentence-initially. The subordinate clause is of the type that has no modal/subject particle and no subordinating particle. (The ta subordinator in [9.53] heads a relative clause on the adjunct of a subordinate event clause.) The structure of the subordinate clause is dictated by the constraints on a subordinate clause of this type.

In the second manner interrogative constructions, two clauses are coordinated, and the sequencer ge is present. The form \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve 'in what manner' (lit. 'go what') occurs in an initial clause that is relatively bleached semantically, typically with \(=u\) 'be thus' or modal/subject particle alone as predicate. The second clause expresses the event in question:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { a. } \overline{\mathbf{g}}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{la} \text { heve } \mathrm{e}=u \quad \text { ge, } \overline{\mathrm{g}}-a \quad \text { fa-lehe }=i=\bar{n} a  \tag{9.54}\\
& \text { NT-3S-go what } 3 \mathrm{~S}=\text { be thus SEQ NT-1EXCS CS-die=3SGO=1MM } \\
& \text { 'How will I kill him?' [lit. 'Go what that will be then I kill him?'] }
\end{align*}
\]
b. \(\bar{g}\)-e-la heve \(e=n i \quad\) ara an-lau ge \(\tilde{g}-e \quad\) bnakoa=ña NT-3S-go what IRR-SGOI thatN-SPC SEQ NT-3S be slow-IMM 'How will I do that so he slowly
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
fa \(k a-k a v e=i\) & manei \(\quad e=u\) & \(b a=i n e\) \\
CS RD descend \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) & he & \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{be}\).thus ALT=thisR \\
takes it down?' [lit. 'Go what [that] I will do that so...']
\end{tabular}

In this construction the clause expressing the main event has a neutral particle, and the predicate is marked with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\).

In both constructions, order of the elements is iconic, as is the use of the sequencer in the second construction. Both constructions involve an expression of some action or event that is the manner by which the main event will be brought to realization. The Kokota concept equivalent to the English how is one in which an action is performed or state exists that provides the means by which the main event occurs, and is the context in which it occurs.

\subsection*{9.2.3.2 Cause questions}

Questions of cause have a similar structure to those of manner, with two clauses conjoined and the sequencer ge present. The second clause expresses the main event in question and is marked with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\). The first clause consists of heve 'what' and a 'be thus' clause:
(9.55) a. heve \(n-e=u\) ge \(n-o \quad\) si siko \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) ago
what RL-3s=bethus SEQ RL-2S RD \(\sim\) steal \(=\mathrm{IMM}\) youSG
'Why are you stealing?' [lit. 'What is thus so you are stealing?']
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\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { b. Heve } e=u \quad g e ~ \\
g & -e & \text { lao }=\bar{n} a & \text { buala } \\
\text { what } 3 S=\text { be.thus SEQ NT-3S go=IMM } & \text { PNLOC } \\
\text { 'Why will he go to Buala?' }
\end{array}
\]

In this construction the clause expressing the main event has a realis particle if the event is realis, and a neutral particle if it is irrealis. In the first of the conjoined clauses the modal/subject particle plus 'be thus' is optional. Or to be more precise, the first element of this construction need not be a 'be thus' clause, it may be the interrogative proform alone:
(9.56) heve bla ge \(\bar{g}-a \quad\) lehe- \(\bar{n}=a r a\)
what LMT SEQ NT-1EXCS \(\operatorname{die}=1 M M=1\)
'Why will I die?' [lit. 'Just what so I die?']
As with manner interrogatives, the order of the components is iconic, reflecting the order of events in which an action takes place or state exists that causes the event of the second clause to take place. Notions of 'why' and 'how' in Kokota are closer than in English, \({ }^{62}\) with, in effect, three constructions available to inquire about an event or state that provides the context for a further event or state.

In addition to constructions in which the resultant event is expressed, it is possible to make a 'why' inquiry with a single clause in which heve 'what', marked with the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\), is the predicate. The resultant event is unexpressed:
(9.57) n-e heve= \(\bar{n} a\)

RL.-3S what=IMM
'Why?'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{62}\) Although as Pawley (pers. comm.) points out, English has how come as a 'why?' interrogation strategy.
}

\section*{CHAPTER 10: COMPLEX SENTENCES}

This chapter is concerned with sentences containing more than one clause, or with major extra-clausal constituents. Multi-clausal sentences are of primarily two types: coordinated structures with more than one complete clause occuring at the same level in the syntax; and subordinating structures, in which one clause is embedded inside another. Kokota subordinate clauses have a range of functions, including modifying nominals (relative clauses) or entire main clauses (adverbial clauses), or acting as a main clause argument or adjunct. The chapter also examines a number of minor constituent types that occur outside the main clause but are not themselves coordinated main clauses, includes recapping constituents (demonstratives and reduced clauses), and 'be thus' clauses based on the verb \(=u\) 'be thus'.

\subsection*{10.1 Coordination}

\subsection*{10.1.1 Particles ge and age}

\subsection*{10.1.1.1 \(G e\) and age as clause sequencing particles}

The particle ge occurs clause-initially or clause-finally, coding a sequential relationship between the marked clause and another constituent. When clausefinal it marks the event as occurring before the event coded by the next clause:
(10.1) a. frin̄he \(=n i\) ne nhigo \(=u\) tañano si=ge, work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO} \mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) be.finished \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) theSG food \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\) 'Making the food was finished and then
n-e-ge mai toke=na kaike mane=na koromata RL-3S-PRS come arrive \(=\) IMM one \(\mathrm{maN}=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) PNLOC a man from Koromata arrives.'
b. ...ğe tetu=n̄a maneige, nogoi \(\bar{g}-e \quad k a i k e ~ m a g ̆ r a . . . ~\) NT-3S stand=IMM he SEQ VOC NT-3S one fight '...he stood up and then, man! he fought everyone...'

More commonly, ge occurs clause-initially. In this position it indicates that the event coded by the clause follows the event coded by the preceding clause:
(10.2) a. manahagi=gau gau mane huhuran̄i kaike mai au gudu want=2PLO youpl man PNLOC one come exist EXHST 'I want you people from Huhurangi to all come up together [and] live
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\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ade-hi kokota, ge } \bar{g}-e \quad a u=\bar{n} a & \text { velepuhi } \\
\text { here-EMPH PNLOC } & \text { SEQ NT-3S exist=lMM } & \text { right.way } \\
\text { here at Kokota, then there will be catechists.' }
\end{array}
\]
```

b. "..." $\bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad$ suaragi,
$\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}=$ =be.thus=IMM PN
"'..." said Suaragi,
ge $\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e ~ l e h e=\bar{n} a \quad n-e-k e=u$
SEQ NT-3S go die=IMM RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
and then he died, that's how it was.'

```

The relationship between ge and the marked clause is iconic: it follows clauses marked as preceding and precedes clauses marked as following. The order of the two clauses is also typically iconic, as the examples in (10.1) and (10.2) illustrate. However, while the iconic relationship between the particle and the clause it marks is obligatorily reflected in their structural relationship, the ordering of the two clauses themselves only tends to be iconic-the reverse order is also possible. In (10.3) the clause representing the event that occurred second in time actually precedes the clause representing the event that occurred first. However, the clause representing the second event is still marked with ge in its iconic clause-initial position:
(10.3) ge ge zaho=n̄a gita buala

SEQ NT go=IMM weINC PNLOC
\({ }^{\text {'Before we go to Buala }}\)
gita da kusu zuke faiba fea
weINC 1 INCS be.first seek dinghy INIT we must look for a boat.'

The fact that the sequencing particle ge occurs sentence-initially in (10.3), not between the coordinated clauses, demonstrates two facts. First, that the two clauses are coordinated in a single sentence, since the semantic relationship between the clauses cannot result from their order alone. Second, it demonstrates that \(g e\) is not a conjunction at this clausal level. The particle does not occur between the clauses so cannot be conjoining them. The particle marks individual clauses to convey information about the temporal relationship between the marked clause event and other events. No conjunction exists in these coordinated structures. In all the examples in (10.1) to (10.3) both coordinated clauses are positive. However, one of the sequenced events can be negative:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ara } n-a & \text { fa-no~nomho bo, }  \tag{10.4}\\
\text { I RL-1EXCS } & \text { CS-RD~hear } & \text { CNT } \\
\text { 'I listened, } &
\end{array}
\]
```

ge teo bla ğe nomh-i=\emptyset=u
SEQ not.exist LMT NT hear-TR=3SGO=CNT
but I didn't hear it.'

```

Constituents related at the clausal level are not limited to pairs of clauses. Other sentence level constituents may be related sequentially to a clause. Temporal constituents may be related to a clause in this way. In (10.5)a. a temporal locative occurs with a ge-marked clause, indicating that the time coded by the temporal locative will precede the event coded by the clause. In (10.5)b. a temporal interrogative occurs. In (10.5)c. a local noun phrase occurs with legu 'behind' with its temporal meaning. This sentence level adverbial phrase is related sequentially to the clause by ge.
```

a. ginai ge ge toi=n̄a
todayIRR SEQ NT cook=IMM
'Later today (must come) before [we] cook.'
b. niha=na ge da lao=\overline{n}a buala
when=thatN SEQ 1INCS go=IMM PNLOC
'When will we go to Buala?'

```
c. legu=na toka kave ana gita g\(a z u ~ a n a ~\)
behind \(=3\) SGP chop descend that we wh wood that
'After we have cut down that tree
ge \(\bar{g} e\) fike noña ago
SEQ NT chop.wood firewood yousG
then you will make firewood.'

As discussed in \(\S 10.3\), Kokota discourse style employs frequent recapping, often with a demonstrative referring to the event coded by the previous clause, or a prepositional phrase consisting of a clausal demonstrative ( \(k a=i=a u=\) ), also referring to the event coded by the previous clause. With both of these recapping strategies ge commonly occurs marking the main clause:
(10.6) a. frī̈he \(=n i\) ia suğa n-e nhigo=u work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) thesG house RL-3S be.finished \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'Making the house is finished.
an-lau ge kata n-e=u suli ana thatN-SPC SEQ bite RL-3S=be.thus child thatN That, and then the child starts biting [i.e., labor pains begin],
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```

an-lau ge $\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a \quad k a=i a \quad$ suḡa
thatN-SPC SEQ NT-3S go=IMM LOC=thesG house
That, and then she goes to the house

```
\(\bar{g}-e \quad\) fa doli=ni=a suli \(e=u\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~s}\) CS live \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thesG child \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
[and] gives birth to the baby.'
b. n-e-ke \(\quad a \bar{g} e=r o=u \quad \bar{g}-e\) hure \(\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e=u\)
    RL-3S-PFV go \(=\) thosenV \(=\) CNT NT-3S carry NT-3S go \(=\) CNT
    'They went (and) they carried (and) they went.
    \(\boldsymbol{k a = t = a u = a n a \quad g e ~ t a f e ~ i a ~ n e h e . . . ~}\)
    \(\mathrm{LOC}=\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=that SEQ spring.open theSG umbrella
    At that, the umbrella sprang open...'

Ge also occurs introducing the second clause in periphrastic manner and cause interrogatives. This is discussed in §9.2.3.

Ge has a variant form age, which occurs in clause-initial position only. Age primarily occurs when the preceding clause itself has a clause-final ge. This dual sequencer marking occurs often. The first of the sequentially related clauses has \(g e\) in final position, marking the event as preceding a subsequent event. The second clause has age in initial position, marking the event coded by the clause as following a preceding event. When dual sequencer marking occurs, the clause-initial second clause sequencer must have the form age.
a. \(\bar{g}-e-k e=u=g u \quad\) mare ge

NT-3S-PFV=be.thus=CNT PN SEQ
'Mare was saying that, then
age ke broza koko=ni=na putuo
SEQ PFV pack leave \(=35 G 0=\mathrm{IMM}\) PNLOC they packed up and left Putuo.'
b. n-e la de deke=u sini ge

RL-3s go RD~step=CNT FOC SEQ
'He stepped and then
age \(\bar{g}-e\) koko la=ni=n̄a sara rauru
SEQ NT-3S leave go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=1 \mathrm{MM}\) thereD seaward he threw him there seaward.'

This dual sequencer marking occasionally occurs with other sentence-initial constituents such as recapping PPs:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(k a=t=a u=a 0\) & ge age & kuru & nakoni= \(n\) a \\
\hline LOC \(=\) SBD \(=\) exist-thisT & SEQ SEQ & have & person=1MM \\
\hline \(n-e-k e=u=\bar{n} a\) & aro-hi & & ade-hi \\
\hline RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=IM & M theset & MPH & here-EMPH \\
\hline \({ }^{\text {'From that there are p }}\) & ople here.' & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Occasionally age occurs clause-initially in place of ge in clauses where the preceding clause does not have a final ge:
\[
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { "..." } \bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a & \text { tikilave, }  \tag{10.9}\\
\text { NT-3S=be.thus=IMM } & \text { PN } \\
\text { ""..." said Tikilave, } &
\end{array}
\]
age \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a \quad\) mane kokota ide \(n-e-k e=u\)
SEQ NT-3S go=iMM man PNLOC theser RL-3s-PFV=bethus and then these Kokota people went, it was like that.'

\subsection*{10.1.1.2 Ge as a sub-clause level conjunction}

In addition to its clause marking sequencer function, ge occurs below the level of the clause as a conjunction. With this function there is no sequential sense, the particle simply conjoining phrasal and word level constituents. Only constituents of the same lexical or phrasal category may be conjoined in this way. In (10.10)a. two adnominal post-head core modifiers (in this case personal names) are conjoined within a single NP core and modify a single nominal head (mane 'man'). In (10.10)b, two verbs are conjoined in a single predication. Phrasal constituents are also conjoined by ge. In (10.11) a number of different NP types are conjoined. In (10.12) two locative obliques are conjoined.
(10.10)a. mane sala ge rurubonil n-e-ke namha mai ka suaragi man PN and PN RL-3S-PFV love come LOC PN 'Sala and Ruruboni were kind to Suaragi.'
b. \(a u\) bo \(n-e \quad a u=g u\), zahoge zaho=u, ke pulo mai... exist CNT RL-3s exist=CNT go and go \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) PFV return come 'They were staying, going and going, and would come back...'
(10.11)a. ..n-e-ge knusu ia papari=na,

RL-3S-PRS break thesG wood.stack=that N
'...they broke, that wood stack,
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ia hipi \(\overline{\boldsymbol{g}} \boldsymbol{a z u = n e}\) ge, sisibe are-lau...
thesG heap wood=thisR and embers thoseN-SPC
this wood heap, those embers...
b. la hure kota=i hinage
go carry go.ashore \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) RL-3S=bethus boat 'They carried their canoes ashore,
mane marin̄i ge mane ğao
man PNLOC and man PNLOC
the people from Maringe and the people from Gao.'
(10.12) buka are-lau e-ti-ke mala fa-za~zaho hae ge hae book thosen-SPC 3S-NEG-PFV PURP CS-RD~go where and where 'Those books won't be for sending wherever and wherever.'

\subsection*{10.1.2 Contrastive conjunction \(\bar{n} a\)}

The conjunction \(\bar{n} a\) 'but, instead' conjoins clauses only. It occurs clause-initially in the second of the two conjoined clauses:
(10.13) da la \(a u=g u\) rhuku, \(\bar{n} a\) gita-palu ge au la 1 INCS go exist=CNT landward but weINC-two NT exist CND 'We are living on the shore side [i.e., in the bush], but if we two live
ka nasona a-hi gerona keli
LOC point thisT-EMPH PNLOC be.good
at the point at Gerona [that would] be good.'
The \(\bar{n} \alpha\)-marked clause may occur as the first clause in an utterance, but only if the situation for which the clause is an alternative has just been established in the discourse, as with speaker B's response in (10.14):
(10.14)A. '..g \(\bar{g}-e ~ p u k u=\bar{n} a=b l a '\)
\(g \cdot e=u=\bar{n} a \quad\) ago
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) be.short=IMM=LMT NT-3s=be.thus=IMM yousG ""...they are short," you said."
B. \(\bar{n} a\) heve \(=u\) sini
but what=be.thus FOC
'But so what?'

Although \(\bar{n} a\) may be sensibly glossed as 'but', it does not correspond exactly to the English conjunction. Instead, the form introduces a clause coding a situation that is presented in contrast to the situation coded by the preceding clause. This
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contrastive function often gives the conjunction a sense more akin to English instead. In the fragment of text in (10.15) the conjunction opening clause 2 relates that clause to the preceding clause with the sense that despite the situation coded in the preceding clause, the situation coded in clause 2 pertains. Specifically, despite the fact that the piece of taro was brought by the participants mentioned, and contrary to the positive expectations implicit in that, the speaker is dying from that piece of taro. The conjunction introducing clause 3 then contrasts the situation coded in that clause with that coded in clause 2.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ia pike mau }=\bar{g} u \quad n-e-k e \quad \text { hod }-i=\emptyset=0  \tag{10.15}\\
\text { thesG piece taro=1SGPRL-3S-PFV } & \text { take-TR=3SGO=thatNV } \\
\text { 'The piece of taro }
\end{array}
\]
sala ge ruruboñi bla, n̄a ne lehe=ni a-hi ara PN and PN LMT but RL die=3SGO thisT-EMPH I Sala and Ruruboñi simply brought, but I'm dying from it.
\(\bar{n} a\) teo \(\quad \bar{g}-a \quad\) lehe hae, \(\bar{g}-o \quad \bar{g} o n u \quad\) la gau but not.exist NT-IEXCS die where NT-2S beinsensible CND youpl But I'm not dying from [people] just anywhere, if you are confused.
```

ka sala ge ruruboñi bla n-a lehe=na ara
LOC PN and PN LMT RL-lEXCS die=thatN I
Simply from Sala and Ruruboñi I am dying.'

```

The situation coded in the \(\bar{n} a\)-marked second clause may be contrasted with a situation not overtly expressed but implicit in the preceding clause. In (10.16) the addressees live at Putuo. The situation in the second clause is contrasted with the addressees' living in Putuo, not the opinion that Putuo is not much good:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { putuo } t=a u=n a \quad \text { teo } \quad \bar{g}-e \quad \text { surai }  \tag{10.16}\\
& \text { PNLoC } \text { kBD }=\text { exist=thatN not.exist NT-3S ?? } \\
& \text { 'That Putuo is not really much good, }
\end{align*}
\]
na ke la mai ade bo...
but PFV go come here CNT so instead [of living there] go ahead [and] come here [to live]...'

\subsection*{10.1.3 Zero conjunction}

Closely related events are often expressed by clauses that are not coordinated, but are merely separate sentences juxtaposed in the discourse:
(10.17) ara n-a lao teteğu n-a korh-i=ri tehi namhari I RL-lEXCS go fish(v) RL-1EXCS pull-TR=3PLO many fish 'I went fishing. I caught many fish.'

However, smaller constituents that occur within a single clause may be coordinated without an overt coordinator, especially constituents marked with a constituent marker such as bo 'contrastive' or \(b a\) 'alternative' (which are not themselves conjunctions; see \(\S 8.8\) ). In (10.18)a. two verb complexes are coordinated, in (10.18)b. two NPs:
(10.18)a. turi bo, frin̄he bo, \(e=u\)
tell CNT work CNT 3s=be.thus
'[We'll] talk and work [at the same time].'
b. ia puku ba, ia do ba, nee kati=nau ara thesg fly alt thesg mosquito alt RL-3s bite \(=1 \mathrm{SGO}\) I 'A fly or a mosquito bit me.'

\subsection*{10.1.4 \(N-e=u\) 'it is thus' as conjunction}

The form \(n-e=u\) consists of the verb \(=u\) 'be thus', preceded by the realis and \(35 G\) subject markers nee. This occurs very commonly, with a range of functions. The most common is as a tag clause (see \(\S 10.4\) ). Another is to introduce a constituent and conjoin it to a preceding constituent. In this sense the form appears to be undergoing a process of grammaticization in which it is becoming a conjunction.

The form often occurs introducing a clause, where the situation coded by the second clause is presented as cooccurring with that coded by the first clause.
\[
\begin{align*}
& \ldots \bar{g} e \quad a u=g u \quad \text { buala } \quad e=u  \tag{10.19}\\
& \text { NT-3s exist=CNT PNLOC } 3 \mathrm{~s}=\text { be.thus } \\
& \ddots \text { He was living in Buala. }
\end{align*}
\]
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
\(n-e=u\) & \(\bar{g} e t u\) & \(n-e-k e\) & mane datau=na & \(e=u\) \\
RL-3S=be.thus PN & RL-3S-PFV man chief=thatN & \(3 S=\) be.thus \\
[At that time] Getu was the chief,'
\end{tabular}

It is not entirely clear that clauses I and 2 in (10.19) are even conjoined to form a single sentence. By introducing clause \(2, n-e=u\) appears to mark that clause as coding a situation that is associated in some semantically close way with the situation coded by the preceding clause, in this instance, that the events occurred concurrently. In that example it is \(n-e=u\) alone that indicates the concurrence captured by the bracketed element of the free translation. The literal translation of \(n-e=u\) is something along the lines of 'it is/was thus' or 'be thus'. This meaning is readily apparent in the many instances where a clause is introduced
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by \(n-e=u\) but clearly does not form a single sentence with a preceding clause, as speaker B's response in (10.20) illustrates:
```

(10.20)A. ge fufunu $l a=g u \quad e=u$
SEQ begin go=CNT $3 \mathrm{~s}=$ be.thus
'Start [telling the story] now.'
B. $n-e=u \quad h a e$
RL-3S=be.thus where
'Where?' [lit. 'Be thus where?']

```

The association with a situation coded by a preceding clause does not necessarily involve concurrence. The relationship is frequently sequential:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { '..da fa-lehe }=i=u \quad \text { mane=ne }  \tag{10.21}\\
& 1 \mathrm{INCS} \text { CS-die }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT} \text { man=thisR } \\
& \text { ""...we will kill this man" } \\
& \bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad \text { palu mane }=d e \\
& \text { NT-3S=be.thus=IMM two man=theser } \\
& \text { said these two men, } \\
& n-\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{u} \quad \bar{g}-e \quad \text { fa-lehe }=i=u \quad \text { suaragi } n-e-k e=u \\
& \text { RL-3S=be.thus NT-3S CS-die }=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT} \text { PN } \quad \text { RL-3S-PFV }=\text { be.thus } \\
& \text { and they killed Suaragi, it was like that.' }
\end{align*}
\]

The semantics of the clauses in (10.21) more readily give a conjunction-like appearance to \(n-e=u\). However, this is more so when the form occurs between constituents smaller than a clause. In (10.22) the first instance of \(n-e=u\) apparently conjoins individual verbs or perhaps verb complexes. However, the presence of the limiter-marked second occurrence of \(n-e=u\) undermines a conjunction analysis here, suggesting as a more literal translation of the clause something like "dancing, likewise playing, they were just like that there".
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
(10.22) & \begin{tabular}{l} 
rag\(i\), \\
\(n-e=u\)
\end{tabular} & visi, \(n-e=u \quad\) bla maneri sare \\
dance & \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus play & \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus & LMT they there \\
'Dance and play, that's what they did there.' [response to question]
\end{tabular}

However, in (10.23) \(n-e=u\) occurs between two verb complexes, apparently both marked by the same sentence-final tag clause \(n-a=u\) ' 1 am/was thus'. Here the behavior of the form is more strongly conjunction-like:
```

ne kapo no-\overline{g}u
RL feel.cold GENP-1SGP
'I'm cold [i.e., feverish]

```
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\(\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{u} \quad\) marh \(-i=d i \quad \quad \operatorname{l} \quad \mathrm{u}=\overline{\mathrm{g}} u=d e .\).
RL-3S=be.thus feel.pain-TR=3PLo head \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) theser and my head hurts... \({ }^{.6}\)

The most conjunction-like appearance of \(n-e=u\), however, is when it occurs between NPs. In (10.24)a. the form conjoins two subject NPs in a subordinate clause, in (10.24)b. it conjoins two NPs governed by a single preposition.
```

(10.24)a. ka fata kave=ro
LOC occasion descend=thoseNV

```
    mane pirisi \(n-e=u \quad\) ira abeabe...
    man priest RL-3s=be.thus thePL server
    'When the priest and the servers went out...'
b. n-e-ge age iusi fakamo ira mereseni
    RL-3S-PRSgo use always thePL medicine
    'Now we always use medicine
    \(k a=i a \quad\) dokta \(n-e=\boldsymbol{u} \quad\) mane-vaka \(e=u\)
    LOC \(=\) theSG doctor \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus man-ship \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
    of the doctor and white man.'

\subsection*{10.1.5 Presentation of alternatives}

The presentation of alternatives does not involve a conjunction comparable to the English or. Instead, this function is performed by the marking of each alternative constituent with the constituent modifier \(b a\) 'alternative'. This may mark constituents of any size from individual words up to complete clauses. This particle is not a conjunction, and is discussed in detail in §8.8.1.

\subsection*{10.2 Subordination}

Several clause types occur subordinated within a main clause. Some subordinate clauses occur immediately governed by the sentence node with the function of modifying the entire main clause, while others function adnominally. Others function as arguments of the main clause predication, or as nominal predicates in non-verbal clauses.

In general, subordinate clauses with any of these functions fall into two categories-realis and irrealis. Realis subordinate clauses have no subordinating particle. Irrealis subordinate clauses are governed by the subordinating particle \(t a\). This latter category includes most conditional clauses.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{63}\) Pau 'head' may be plural even when referring to a single individual's head.
}

Several minor types of adverbial subordinate clauses also exist, each with their own formal characteristics.

\subsection*{10.2.1 Realis versus irrealis subordination}

Most subordinate clauses fall into two types-those that code a realis event and those that code an irrealis event. The former do not involve any subordinating particle, while the latter do.

The modal characterization of events in subordinate clauses in part reflects the way those events would be treated if they were expressed by a main clause. The basis of the main clause classification of events as realis or irrealis is discussed in \(\S 7.5 .2 .3\). However, the range of subordinate clause predications that are treated as irrealis is considerably wider than those treated as irrealis in main clauses. Any real event that actually occurred before the moment of speaking, or is actually occurring at the moment of speaking, is treated as realis. In subordinate clauses all other events are treated as irrealis. The prototypical nonreal event is one that is located at a time after the moment of speaking, in other words one that has yet to occur. This prototypical distinction is neatly reflected in relative clauses in terminology for divisions of time:
```

(10.25)a. ka wiki n-e-ke a\overline{g}e=o
LOC week RL-3S-PFV go=thatNV
'last week' (lit. 'that week that went')
b. ka wiki ta mai=ne
LOC week SBD come=thisR
'next week' (lit. 'this week that will come')

```

In (10.25)a. the week referred to is in the past, and its going has actually occurred. As such, the relative clause coding the event is realis, with a realis particle and no subordinating particle. In (10.25)b. the coming of the week has yet to occur. As such, the event is not yet real and it is treated as irrealis and the relative clause has no modal/subject particle. Instead the subordinating particle \(t a\) is present. In effect, a modal/subject particle and the subordinator are in complementary distribution in subordinate clauses. The absence of a particle in irrealis subordinate clauses correlates with the omissibility of the subjectindexing particle in irrealis main clauses, and the (crosslinguistically unusual) status of irrealis as the unmarked of the two modal categories.

Any subordinated positive active predication is realis. While the examples in (10.25) are useful from a contrastive point of view, (10.25)a. does not reflect a prototypical use of a realis subordinate. The following (bracketed) relative clause is more typical:
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...g-e-ke mai n̄hau ka=ira tañano NT-3S-PFV come eat LOC=therL food '...he came and ate from the food
[n-e-ke fafara=di maneri] \(n-e=u .\).
RL-3S-PFV sacrifice=3PLO they RL-3S=be.thus (that) they had sacrificed...'

Irrealis subordinate clauses cover a much wider range of events. These include events that, while positive and active, have yet to occur. Such events are treated as irrealis in subordinate clauses, as they are in main clauses:
(10.27) mane thei [ta mhoko fa-lehe=i=na to toi=ne], man whoeverSG SBD sit \(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{die}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that \(\mathrm{RD} \sim \operatorname{cook}=\) thisR 'Whichever [is the] man who will sit [on] and kill this fire,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { an=bla. mane=na } \\
& \text { thatN=LMT man=thatN } \\
& \text { that [will be] that [true] man.' }
\end{aligned}
\]

However, future events are not the only events coded as irrealis in both main and subordinate clauses. Habitual events are coded as irrealis as they are not actual specific events, as in (10.28)a. The act of referring to an entity by the word a language assigns to it is habitual so is also treated as irrealis ([10.28]b.-c.):
(10.28) a. lao la tehi \(n-e=u\) teḡe ana,
go CND many RL-3S=be.thus turtle thatN 'If there are many turtles,
\(\bar{g}\)-e-la naboto-u ba, varedake-u ba, tulufulu teğe NT-3S-go ten-CRD ALT twenty-CRD ALT thirty turtle then it's ten, or twenty, or thirty turtles
[ta la hod-i=di=re gai]
SBD go take-TR \(=3\) PLo \(=\) thosen weEXC that we take.'
b. e \(a u=i \quad l a ~ b l a ~ k e h a ~\)

3s exist=3SGO ?? LMT NSP
'He [the doctor] has something
[ta fakilo=ni tritmenti ka=ia ooe-vaka]
SBD name \((\mathrm{V})=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) treatment LOC=theSG talk-ship that [they] call treatment in Pijin.'

\section*{COMPLEX SENTENCES}
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { c. } \bar{g}-e \quad l a \quad f a-l e h e=i=n \\
\text { NT-3S go CS-die }=3 S G O=\mathrm{IMM} & n-e-k e=u & \text { RL-3S-PFV=be.thus } & \text { PN } \\
\text { 'They killed Fadalao, } & &
\end{array}
\]
\[
[t a=n i=n a \quad \text { naitu } t=a u=n e]
\]
\[
\mathrm{SBD}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\text { that } \mathrm{N} \text { devil } \mathrm{SBD}=\text { exist }=\text { this } \mathrm{R}
\] as that devil was called.'

In addition to habitual events, hypothetical events are treated as irrealis, with subordinated clauses expressing hypothetical events coded irrealis:
(10.29) tana age toke=i ia nare
then go arrive \(=35 G 0\) thesG day
'Then comes the day
[ta mala ağe frin̄he=ni ia mala-n̄hau]
SBD PURP go work \(=3\) SGO theSG PURP-eat for making the food.'

Subordinate clause events located in the past or present are realis if positive. However, the non-occurrence of an event is treated as irrealis regardless of the temporal frame of the event. Thus past counterfactual ([10.30]a.) and present counterfactual ([10.30]b.) subordinated clauses are irrealis.
(10.30)a. teo \(\bar{g}\)-e kaike mane
not.exist NT-3S one man
'There was not one man
[ta kave=na] ka maneri \(k=a u \quad\) toa \(=n a\)
SBD descend=thatN LOC they LOC=exist fort=thatN who came out, of those in the fort.'
b. e teo kaike ihei

3s not.exist one someone
'There is not anyone
[ta age boka fa-lehe=i=na ia to~toi]
SBD go beable CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N thesg RD~cook who can kill the fire.'

Note that the subordinate clauses in (10.30) are not negative. Instead they express positive events. However, the wider context of the main clause indicates that these events did not occur, and thus, despite expressing positive events located in the past or present, the clauses are coded as irrealis.
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Like habitual events, states have a validity that holds beyond individual temporal locations or modal status. However, in main clauses states may be coded as realis if the state actually exists or existed at a particular point in the past or present. Subordinate clauses expressing states vary in their modal coding. In relative clauses states are always coded as irrealis. This is as true of temporary states, as in (10.31)b., as it is of permanent states ([10.31]a.):
(10.31)a. la=i bla kaike tu~turi [ta puku] bl=ago
go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT one RD-tell SBD be.short LMT=youSG
'Just tell a story that's short.'
b. ...g \(-e\) tetu \(=\bar{n} a \quad\) mane \([t a \quad f o \bar{g} r a ~ m a r h a-p a u ~ a-h i] . . . ~\)
NT-3s stand=1MM man SBD sick be.in.pain-head thisT-EMPH
'...[then] the man who is sick with this headache stands up...'

However, other subordinate clause types resemble main clauses in that they code real states as realis. For example, the complement clause in (10.32) is realis:
(10.32)a. ka gato la=i=na bla ago [n-e sodu=na]... LOC think go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that L LMT youSG RL-3S be.long=that N 'When you think it [the story] is long....'

Like states, relationships such as knowing or possessing have a non-specific quality in reality or temporal terms. However, like states, while main clauses expressing such relationships are coded realis, subordinate clauses must be irrealis:
(10.33)a. \(e=u \quad z a \sim z a h o=n a=n a \quad\) marha-pau tarihi g\(e \bar{g} e l e h u\) \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus \(\mathrm{RD} \sim \mathrm{go}=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatN be.in.pain-head pillow heavy 'That's the way of the headache 'Heavy Pillow'
[ta lase \(=i=n a \quad\) ara \(]\)
SBD know=3SGO=that 1
that I know.'
b. \(\bar{g}-e\) tetu \(=\bar{n} a\) mane \(\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{ta} & k u r u=i=n e \quad n a i t u \\ \text { toke }\end{array}\right]\) \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) stand \(=\mathrm{IMM}\) man SBD have \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thisR devil arrive 'The man who had an arriving devil stood up.'

Existential clauses are also realis as main clauses but irrealis when subordinate:
(10.34) ge \(\bar{g}\)-e tufa \(\bar{n} a \quad k a=i r a \quad n a k o n i ~ m a v i t u\)

SEQ NT-3 affect=IMM LOC=thePL person community '...then [we] give [the food] to the people

\section*{COMPLEX SENTENCES}
```

[ta au ka g}ilu=na no-mai nau
SBD exist LOC in=3SGP GENP-1EXCP village
who live within our village.'

```

The use of the subordinator \(t a\) with the existential verb \(a u\) has given rise to formulaic clausal demonstratives such as \(t=a u=n a\) 'that (nearby)' (lit. 'that which is that') and \(t=a u=d e\) 'these (within reach)' (lit. 'that which are these'). These clausal demonstratives are discussed in §3.1.3.3.

Irrealis subordinate clauses are typically marked with the subordinator ta, as discussed above. However, where the context makes clear the irrealis status of the subordinate clause, the subordinator is occasionally omitted. This occurs very commonly when the subordinate clause is marked with the purposive marker mala. The prototypically unrealized nature of intended events gives purposive subordinates a prototypical irrealis status. As discussed in §10.2.7, this licences the omission of the subordinator. However, the subordinator may occur in such clauses, as (10.35)a. illustrates. Less commonly, omission of the subordinator occurs with other irrealis subordinate clauses, typically where the event coded by the subordinate clause is located in the future within the temporal frame of the main clause. When the subordinator is omitted an irrealis particle may occur. As discussed in \(\S 7.5 .2 .2\), irrealis is realized by zero marking, contrasting with marked realis and neutral categories. The particle thus consists only of the person-indexing vowel. This particle may occur when the subordinator is omitted, as in (10.35)b. In main clauses irrealis particles tend to be omitted when there is no ambiguity about the identity of the subject or actor. This is also true of subordinate clauses. An irrealis subordinate clause where the subordinator has been omitted may therefore have no particle, as in (10.35)c.

\section*{(10.35)a. manahagi=gau gau \\ want=2PLo youPL}
'We want you all
[ta mala fa-lehe \(=i=n a \quad\) naitu ao-hi]
SBD PURP CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that N devil thisT-EMPH to kill this devil.'
b. manei nee tahe=i=na [ara a tazi=ni sote ine] he RL-3s tell=3SGO=thatN I lEXCS keep=3SGO shirt thisR 'He said I can keep this shirt.'
c. manahagi=ḡau gau mane huhuran̄ \(\overline{1}\)
want \(=2\) PLO youpl man PNLOC
'I want you Huhurangi people
```

[kaike mai au gudu ade-hi kokota]
one come exist EXHST here-EMPH PNLOC
to all come and live together here at Kokota.'

```

\subsection*{10.2.2 Constituent orders in relative and complement clauses}

The pragmatically unmarked clause constituent order is VAO or VS (see §8.2). However, main clauses allow the preverbal topicalization of any argument. In addition, a clause-final focus position exists. Subordinate clauses of all types have the same pragmatically unmarked constituent structure as main clauses, but the pragmatically marked possibilities differ from those of main clauses.

\subsection*{10.2.2.1 Topicalization in relative and complement clauses}

The topicalization possibilities for relative and complement clauses differ between zero-marked clauses and those with the subordinator ta. However, all zero-marked clauses allow the same possibilities regardless of whether they are functioning as relative or complement clauses, as do all ta-marked clauses.

Clauses marked with the subordinator \(t a\) do not allow any argument in preverbal topicalized position. This applies to all ta-marked clauses regardless of main clause function. In zero-marked relative and complement clauses a preverbal topicalized argument is possible, but is ergative-only actors (i.e., the subjects of transitive predications) may be topicalized, as (10.36)b.-c. illustrate for relative and complement clauses respectively. Intransitive subjects are precluded from occurring preverbally, even if unergative ([10.36]d.), as are objects ([10.36]e.--f.). The pragmatically unmarked structure is shown in (10.36)a.
(10.36)a. ara manahagi=ni [o poma=i ago mheke ana] I want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO} 2 \mathrm{~S}\) hit=3SGO youSG dog thatN 'I want that you hit that dog.'
b. ia mheke [ago n-o-ke poma=i=o] n-e lehe thesG dog youso Rl-2S-PFV bit=3SGO=thatNV RL-3S die 'The dog you hit is dead.'
c. ara manahagi=ni [ago o poma=i mheke ana] I want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) yousG 2 s hit=35GO dog that N 'I want that you hit that dog. \({ }^{64}\)
d. *ara manahagi=ni [ago o mai ade] I want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) yousG 2 S come here 'I want that you come here.'

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{64}\) The 2s preverbal agreement marker is optional and would typically be omitted here.
}
e. *ia mheke [ago n-e-ke kat-i=igo] n-e lehe thesg dog yousG RL-3S-PFV hit-TR=2SGO RL-3S die 'The dog that bit you is dead.'
f. *ara manahagi=ni [mheke ana o poma=i ago] 1 want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) dog that 2 S hit \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) yousG 'I want that you hit that dog.'

Note that although manahagi 'want' is potentially ditransitive, the ago 'yousG' in (10.36)c. is within a direct object complement clause, indexed by the third singular agreement enclitic on the main clause verb, not a direct object separate from an indirect object complement clause, as would be the case if the main clause agreement enclitic was second singular:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara manahagi=nigo ago }\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { poma=i mheke ana } \\
\text { I want }=2 \mathrm{SGO} \text { yousG } & 2 \mathrm{~S} & \text { hit }=3 \mathrm{sGO} \text { dog } \\
\text { that }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{10.37}\\
& \text { 'I want you to hit that dog.' }
\end{align*}
\]

As the controlled argument may not be overtly realized in relative clauses (see \(\S 10.2 .4\) ), topicalization is only possible when the subordinate actor is not the controlled argument.

\subsection*{10.2.2.2 Focus in relative and complement clauses}

Like main clauses, both ta marked and zero-marked relative and complement clauses allow an argument in clause-final focus position. In main clauses a focused argument is marked with the focus particle si. In subordinate clauses of all types this focus particle may not occur. Instead, in relative and complement clauses a focused argument occurs in clause-final position without si. This occurs infrequently. More than one argument must be present in the subordinate clause. Moreover, intransitive subjects and objects occur in clause-final position unless an oblique is also present. Consequently it is typically a transitive actor that is focused in subordinate clauses, as (10.38) illustrates for zero-marked and \(t a\)-marked relative and complement clauses:
(10.38)a. ara \(n-a \quad\) fakae \(=n i\) ia \(\bar{g} a z u\)

I RL-1EXCS see \(=3\) SGO thesG wood
\({ }^{\text {'I }}\) saw the stick
[n-o-ke poma=i=o ia mheke ago]
RL-2S-PFV hit \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatNV thesg dog yousc
you hit the dog with.'
b. ara manahagi=ni [o poma=i mheke ana ago]

1 want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO} 2 \mathrm{~S}\) hit=3SGO dog thatN yousG
'I want that you hit that dog.'
c. e teo kaike \(\bar{g} a z u\) [ta poma=i=o ia mheke ago] 3 S not.existone wood SBD hit \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatNV thesG dog yousG 'There isn't a stick for you to hit the dog with.'
d. ara manahagi=ni [ta poma=i=0 mheke ana ago]

I want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) SBD hit=3SGO=thatNV dog thatN yousG 'I want that you hit that dog.'

However, relative and complement clause focusing is not limited to actors. In (10.39), for example, an intransitive subject occurs in clause-final position, following an oblique:
(10.39) ara manahagi=ni [ta mai ade ago]

I want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) SBD come here yousc
'I want that you come here.'

\subsection*{10.2.3 Relative clauses}

Realis subordinate clauses occur as adnominal modifiers identifying or characterizing the head nominal on the basis of an event in which the participant coded by the head nominal took part, or a state that applies to that participant.

Two types of relative clauses occur. Reduced relative clauses consist only of the subordinator \(t a\) plus a single stative verb, and occur within the NP core. Full relative clauses are NP outer modifiers. Nothing further needs to be added here regarding reduced relative clauses other than that they may modify any nominal main clause argument. The behavior of relative clauses within NP structure is discussed in \(\S 3.3 .2 .2 .3 .6\). The present section deals with full relative clauses.

\subsection*{10.2.3.1 Main clause arguments modified}

Any main clause argument may be modified by a relative clause. In (10.40)a. the main clause actor (i.e., transitive subject) is modified, in (10.40)b. an unergative intransitive subject, in (10.40)c. an unaccusative subject, in (10.40)d an undergoer, in (10.40)e. an oblique, and in (10.40)f. a possessor:
(10.40)a. ia mane [n-e-ke fa-lehe=i ia zora] thesG man RL-3S-PFV CS-die=3SGO thesG pig 'The man who killed the pig
n-e korh-i=ri keha namhari
RL-3S catch=3PLO NSP fish
caught some fish.'
b. ia mane [n-e-ke dupa=nau ara] n-e zaho bla
thesG man RL-3S-PFV punch=1SGO I RL-3S go LMT
'The man who hit me simply left.'
c. \(n\)-e totonu blau tu \(\boldsymbol{t u r i}\)

RL-3S be.straight LMT RD-tell
[n-e-ke la=i=o ago goino]
RL-3S-PFV go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thatNV yousG todayRL
'That story you told today is straight.'
d. ...la hure \(=r i\) ira tilo tomoko
go carry=3plo thepl three war.canoe
'[They]...went and carried the three war canoes
[n-e-ke hage=ro gudu maneri]
RL-3S-PFV ascend=thosenv EXHST they
they had come up in.'
e. ...g-e-ke mai n̄hau ka=ira tañano

NT-3S-PFV come eat LOC=thePL food
'...he came and ate from the food
[n-e-ke fafara=di maneri]...
RL-3S-PFV sacrifice=3PLO they
that they had sacrificed...'
f. ...ira ge=di no-di \(e=u\)
thePL CNSM-3PLP GENP-3PLP 3s=be.thus
'...the food and things of
mane [n-e-ke kusu au=de ade]
man RL-3S-PFV be.first exist=theser here
the men who lived here first.'

\subsection*{10.2.3.2 Relative clause argument roles}

The participant expressed by the main clause argument that is modified by the relative clause (i.e., the coreferential argument) may have any grammatical relation in the relative clause. It may have the same relation in both clauses, as in (10.40)a., where the coreferential argument is an agent and transitive actor in
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both clauses. In (10.40)b. the coreferential argument is a \([+\mathrm{A}]\) argument in both clauses, but has slightly different grammatical relations: in one it is a transitive actor and in the other an unergative subject. Similarly in (10.40)c. the coreferential participant is a [-A] argument in both clauses, an unaccusative subject in the main clause and a theme in the relative clause. Alternatively, the argument may have completely different roles and relations, as in (10.40)d.-e., where the coreferential argument is an oblique in one clause and an undergoer in the other. The fact that both these roles are not \([+\mathrm{A}]\) is not significant-a participant may be the \([+\mathrm{A}]\) argument of one clause and the \([-\mathrm{A}]\) argument of the other. In ( 10.40 )f. the main clause oblique is \([+\mathrm{A}]\) in the relative clause (an unergative subject), while in (10.41) the [-A] main clause undergoer object is \([+A]\) relative clause actor:
(10.41) ia datau n-e fa-lehe=i ia mheke thesG chief RL-3S CS-die=3SGO theSG dog 'The chief killed the dog
[n-e-ke kat-i=ni] \(\quad e=u\)
RL-3S-PFV bite-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO} \quad 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) =be.thus that had bitten him.'

The freedom of participants to function in any role in both main and relative clauses creates the potential for ambiguity, as in (10.41). Here neither the actor nor undergoer of the transitive relative clause are overtly realized. Since the two main clause participants are also the two relative clause participants, and both are third singular, some means of distinguishing between the two participants in the relative clause is necessary. However, this means need not be linguistic.

Where no overt arguments are present in the relative clause the ambiguity is not resolved syntactically: the dog cannot be assumed to have the same role in the relative clause as it does in the main clause, as it could well have a different role (as it does in [10.41]). In situations like this, ambiguity is resolved pragmatically and semantically. In (10,41) the dog would normally be assumed to be the actor of the relative clause because dogs typically bite, and chiefs typically do not. If a semantically anomalous event was being described, the unusual role assignment would require an overt realization of the arguments, in which case constituent order would resolve the ambiguity. Equally, where either participant could readily perform either role, overt mentions allow constituent order to resolve the ambiguity. In (10.42), for example, no ambiguity is possible as the unmarked VAO constituent order dictates the reading.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (10.42)a. ara } n-a \quad \text { fakae }=n i \text { ia } \bar{g} a z u \\
& \text { I RL-IEXCS see }=3500 \text { theSG wood } \\
& \text { 'I saw the stick }
\end{aligned}
\]
[n-e-ke poma=i=o ia datau ia mane-dou] RL-3S-PFV hit=3SGO=thatNV thesG chief theSG man-be.big the chief hit the old man with.'
b. ara n-a fakae=ni ia \(\bar{g} a z u\)

I RL-1EXCS see=3SGO theSG wood
'I saw the stick
[n-e-ke poma=i=o ia mane-dou ia datau] RL-3S-PFV hit=3SGO=thatNV thesg man-be.big thesc chief the old man hit the chief with.'

\subsection*{10.2.3.3 Relative clause argument role tendencies}

Relative clauses modify main clause arguments of any argument role. However, unelicited data displays various tendencies. Relative clauses on main clause undergoers and intransitive subjects occur commonly; on obliques slightly less so; and on actors very infrequently. The role in the relative clause of the controlled argument shows similar tendencies: by far the most common controlled arguments are relative clause intransitive subjects. Undergoers are less common, obliques still less so, and coreferential actors are rare. \({ }^{65}\)

\subsection*{10.2.3.4 Relative clause structure}

The constituent order possibilities for subordinate clauses are discussed in §10.2.2. However, a constraint applies to relative clauses that does not apply to other subordinate clause types: the relative clause argument that is coreferential with its main clause head is subject to control by the head and may not be overtly realized except by agreement in the subordinate verb complex. A NP realizing the controlled argument may not occur.

As the coreferential argument is controlled, a relative clause cannot have all its arguments specified other than by agreement. In addition, relative clauses are subject to the same tendency as other clause types to realize highly activated participants by zero anaphora (see \(\S 8.3\) ). Consequently relative clauses frequently consist of the verb complex only. Where an argument is realized, it is typically either a transitive actor or an undergoer in a clause where the head fulfills the other core transitive role, or is an oblique. In (10.43)a. the controlled argument is the object and in (10.43)b, the actor. In (10.43)c. it is an oblique.


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{65}\) See Corston 1996 for a lengthy discussion of these tendencies in Roviana.
}
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ka nau \(\quad[n-a \quad\) tabar \(-i=\emptyset=n e \quad\) ara \(]\)
LOC place RL-1EXCS buy-TR=3SGO=thisR I at the place I bought.'
b. e teo kaike ihei

3s not.exist one whoeversg
'There is not anyone
[ta=ge boka fa-lehe \(=i=n a \quad\) ia to toi]
SBD \(=\) PRS be able \(\mathrm{CS}-\mathrm{die}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that thesG \(\mathrm{RD} \sim\) cook who can kill the fire,'
c. \(\bar{g}-e\) farogoho fa teo=ri mane

NT-3s smite CS not.exist=3PLO man
'He killed the men
[ne-ke \(\quad a u=r o \quad k a \quad \bar{g} l u=n a \quad t e m a=n a] \quad e=u\)
RL-3S-PFV exist=thosen LOC inside=3SGP hut=thatN \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be,thus who were inside the small house.'

Since intransitive clauses have only one core argument, intransitive subjects are very rarely overtly realized in relative clauses. This is only possible where the head functions as a relative clause oblique. Equally, transitive relative clauses with both core arguments overtly realized occur very infrequently, and only in the same circumstances:
(10.44) ia tafnu [n-e-ke toi=ni maneri ia namhari] thesG oven RL-3S-PFV cook \(=3500\) they thesg fish 'The oven they cooked the fish in
n-e dou
RL-3s be big was big.'

Relative clauses with more than one overt argument are also possible where the arguments are both obliques:
(10.45) tu~turi gabili faaknu [n-e-ke au=re RD-tell be.aggressive murder RL-3S-PFV exist=thoseN 'the killer who lived
\(k a=i a \quad\) puhi boñhehe \(k a \quad\) gizu=na a-hi gai] LOC=thesG way heathen LOC island=3SGP thisT-EMPH weEXC in the heathen time on our island'

\section*{COMPLEX SENTENCES}

Like controlled core arguments, controlled relative clause obliques are not overtly realized. When this occurs the entire prepositional phrase has a zero realization, even if in the main clause the coreferential argument is not also an oblique. In (10.44) a main clause unaccusative subject is modified by a relative clause in which the coreferential participant functions as an instrument. Instruments are normally realized by prepositional obliques with the preposition \(k a\) as head (see §6.7.1.6). However, when a main clause core argument occurs as a controlled relative clause oblique, as in (10.44), no preposition occurs in either clause. Similarly in (10.46), the main clause object controls a relative clause instrument that would otherwise be realized within a \(k a\) prepositional oblique:
...la hure \(=\) ri ira tilo tomoko go carry \(=3\) PLO thePL three war.canoe '... [they] went and picked up the three war canoes
[n-e-ke hage=ro gudu maneri]
RL-3S-PFV ascend=thosenV EXHST they that they had come up in.'

\subsection*{10.2.3.5 Relative clause recursion}

Relative clauses are potentially recursive, with arguments of one relative clause themselves eligible to be modified by a relative clause. In (10.47) the object arguments of the relative clause in lines 1-2 are themselves modified by the relative clause in lines 2-3:
(10.47) ia n̄ehe [n-e-ke mala totoku=di=ro theSG umbrella RL-3S-PFV PURP cover=3PLO=thosenV 'the umbrella that was for covering
ira liliğomo, ira papaza, [n-e-ke au=ro thePL warning.charm thePL turmeric RL-3S-PFV exist=thoseNV the warning charm, the turmeric, that were
\(k a=i a \quad p a u=n a \quad\) hinage \(=n a]] \quad e=u\)
LOC \(=\) thesG head \(=3\) SGP boat \(=\) thatN \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus in the front of the canoe'

\subsection*{10.2.3.6 Relative clause demonstrative enclitics}

Full relative clauses optionally contain a cliticized demonstrative agreeing with the controlled argument. This demonstrative attaches to the verb complex. Where a relative clause is intransitive, the verb complex may be marked with an enclitic agreeing with the subject of that clause (unergative or unaccusative):
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\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (10.48)a. teo } \bar{g}-e \quad \text { kaike mane } \\
& \text { not.exist NT-3s one man } \\
& \text { 'There was not one man } \\
& \\
& {[t a \quad k a v e=n a] \quad k a \text { maneri } k=a u \quad \text { toa }=n a} \\
& \text { SBD descend=thatN LOC they } \quad \mathrm{LOC=exist} \text { fort=thatN } \\
& \text { who came out, of those in the fort.' }
\end{aligned}
\]
b. \(e=u\) teo \(\bar{g}-e\) boka turi \(=d i \quad\) manei
\(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus not.exist NT-3s be.able tell-3pLO he 'He isn't able to tell
heve glepo [n-e-ke torai dia=re]... what thing RL-3S-PFV definitely be.bad=thosen whatever things that were very wrong...'

In transitive relative clauses the demonstrative enclitic attaches to the postverbal agreement marker. Where the controlled argument is the relative clause actor the demonstrative agrees with that argument, as in (10.49)a., where the relative clause object is plural and the actor singular. Where the controlled argument is the object, as in (10.49)b, the postverbal agreement marker and the demonstrative enclitic form a sequence that agrees with both the person and number of the undergoer, and its demonstrative category. The demonstrative may also agree with a controlled oblique. In (10.46) the enclitic \(=r o\) 'those (not visible)' agrees with the controlled instruments.
(10.49)a. e teo kaike mane [ta mağra=di=na naitu are] 3s not.exist one man SBD fight \(=3\) PLO-thatN devil thosen 'There is not one man who can fight these devils.'
b. ia nakodou n-e toi=ri ira kaku thesG woman RL-3S cook=3PLO thePL banana 'The woman cooked the bananas
[n-e-ke la hod-i=ri=ro]
RL-3S-PFV go take-TR=3PLO=thosenV she had picked.'

No evident formal or syntactic bases motivate the presence or absence of a demonstrative enclitic. Instead the motivation appears to be pragmatic-the demonstrative is used to facilitate referent identification. Speakers have the option of employing this strategy if they judge it useful on a clause by clause basis. However, while elicited relative clauses often do not contain a demonstrative enclitic, that is not an accurate reflection of language use. Almost all unelicited relative clauses in the corpus contain a demonstrative enclitic.
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\subsection*{10.2.4 Subordinate clauses as arguments}

Subordinate clauses may function as arguments of a main clause. As events or states cannot be volitional entities, arguments realized by subordinate clauses are limited to non-volitional semantic roles. One effect of this is that argument clauses may not function as the agent of a transitive clause, or as an unergative subject. Beyond that, they may occur with any grammatical relation.

\subsection*{10.2.4.1 Subordinate clauses as subjects}

While an argument clause may not function as agent, it may occur as the actor of a transitive predication with the semantic role of force. In (10.50) the clause frinhe heta 'work hard' is the actor of the transitive causative predication, and is marked with the demonstrative ine 'this (reachable)'. While subject clauses are typically marked with a demonstrative, this is optional, as in (10.51).
(10.50) [frin̄heheta ine] n-e fa babao=nau ara work be.strong thisR RL-3S CS be.tired=1SGO I 'This working hard is making me tired.'
(10.51) [birho ravata] n-e fa lehe-n̄hau=nigo ago sleep afternoon RL-3S CS die-eat \(=2 \mathrm{SGO}\) youSG 'Sleeping in the afternoon is making you hungry.'

Force clause actors have a superficial resemblance to nominalized adverbial contextual subordinate clauses (see §10.2.5.1). In (10.52)a. the initial adjunct clause is simply the context in which the speaker's thirst occurred. In (10.52)b., however, a virtually identical clause is the force actor of the main clause predication. While the subordinate clause is virtually identical in both sentences, the main clause in (10.52)a. is intransitive, with the speaker as subject, as the preverbal agreement indicates. In (10.52)b. the main clause is transitive, with a causative marked predication and the speaker as object (indexed postverbally).
(10.52)a. [mhoko=naka naprainhorao] n-a-ke no-ḡu kumai sit=thatN LOC sun yesterday RL-1EXCS-PFV GENP-1SGP drink 'Sitting in the sun yesterday, I wanted to drink.'
b. [mhoko-no ka naprai nhorao] sit-thatNV LOC sun yesterday 'Sitting in the sun yesterday
n-e-ke fa no-ğu kumai=nau ara
RL-3S-PFV CS GENP-1SGP drink=1SGO I made me want to drink.'
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Clauses that function as the subject of an unaccusative intransitive predication typically express an event that the main clause comments on in terms of its state or some characteristic associated with it:
```

(10.53) [teteg}u namhari=ne] e bu-bluse glehe
fish(V) fish=thisR 3S RD-be.easy very
'This catching fish is very easy.'

```

The use of a main clause with a subordinate clause as subject occurs frequently in exposition as a recapping device indicating the progression of events, often indicating the completion of one stage in a sequence of events:
(10.54) o la roh \(i=\emptyset\) ia g \(\quad \emptyset a n h a .\).

2S go scrape-TR=3SCO theSG \(\bar{g}\) uanha
'You go and scrape the guanha [tree]....
[la roh-i=0] n-e nhigo=u, toke=na fa blahi
go scrape \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) be.finished=CNT arrive that N CS be.tabu Going and scraping it is finished, [then] go back and bless [it].'

As with force subordinate clause actors, subordinate clause unaccusative subjects may or may not be marked with a demonstrative, as (10.53) and (10.54) illustrate. In most elicited sentences with a subordinate clause unaccusative subject, a demonstrative is present, while in most unelicited sentences the clause is not marked by a demonstrative.

\subsection*{10.2.4.2 Complement clauses}

\subsection*{10.2.4.2.1 Complement clause grammatical relations and interclausal argument coreference}

A number of verbs subcategorize for a sentential complement as either direct or indirect object. These include:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(10.55)a. tahe 'tell' & g. gato 'think about' \\
b. snakre 'allow' & h. manahagi 'want' \\
c. lubati 'allow' & i. gonu 'be insensible' \\
d. fa noto 'cause to stop' & j. gato- \(\bar{g} o n u ' f o r g e t ' ~\)
\end{tabular} e. fa teo 'cause to be not' \(\quad\) k. fa nhigo 'cause to be finished'

Of these, the verbs in (10.55)a-i. subcategorize for either one or two complements. Where only one complement occurs it may be a NP or a complement clause. Where two complements exist, the direct object is always a

NP, and the indirect object a complement clause. The verbs in (10.55)j.-1. subcategorize only for a single complement, which may be a NP or a complement clause. The semantic possibilities for direct and indirect complement clauses with these verbs fall into four groups:

TABLE 10.1. THE SEMANTICS OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT OBJECT COMPLEMENT CLAUSES
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Bivalent clauses & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Trivalent clauses} \\
\hline & Direct object complement clause & Direct object noun phrase & Indirect object complement clause \\
\hline A. tahe, snakre, lubati, fa noto, fa teo, fa nağr-i & event actor allows s.o. else to do, or tells of, thinks of, stops or blocks s.o. else doing & participant who is told, allowed, stopped, blocked or thought about & event DO is told or allowed to do, thought about doing, or stopped or blocked from doing \\
\hline B. manahagi & event actor wants to do or wants s.o. else to do & participant who actor wants to act & event actor wants DO to do \\
\hline C. \(\bar{g} o n u\), gato-ğonu, fa nhigo & event actor has finished doing or doesn't know or has forgotten how to do & \({ }^{-}\) & \({ }^{-}\) \\
\hline D. ooe & - & participant who is spoken to & event DO is told about \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

With the verbs in group A the main clause actor is not coreferential with the subject/actor of the complement clause. The subject/actor of the complement clause may be overtly realized within the complement clause, as in (10.56)a. Alternatively, the subject/actor of the complement clause may be realized as the direct object of the main clause, as in (10.56)b., in which case the complement clause is the indirect object. In this second alternative the complement clause subject/actor is coreferential with the main clause direct object and may not be overtly realized in the complement clause.
(10.56)a. ia nahani n-e fa noto=i [ağe tete \(\bar{g} u=n a \quad\) gita] thesg rain \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) CS stop \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) go fish(V)=thatN weINC 'The rain stopped us going fishing.'
b. manei n-e fa noto \(=n a w\) ara he RL-3S CS stop=1SGO I
'He stopped me
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\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([\) ta \(n h a u=d i=r e\)} & mala-ñhau & ta dia & are \(]\) \\
SBD eat \(=3\) PLO-thosen & PURP-eat & SBD be.bad \\
eating that bad food.' & & &
\end{tabular}

Group A verbs with indirect object complement clauses require coreference between the main clause undergoer and the complement clause subject/actor. The effect of this is that indirect object complement clauses do not allow an overt subject/actor within the complement clause itself.

With the verbs in group C the complement clause direct object realizes an event that the main clause actor performs. The complement clause subject/actor must therefore be coreferential with the main clause actor. As with coreferential main clause nominal direct objects in group A verbs, the coreferential argument may not be overtly realized. Consequently, with group C verbs the complement clause subject/actor may never be overtly realized:
(10.57)a. ara go-no-ğ \(u=d i\)

I be.insensible-GENP-1 \(\mathrm{SGP}=3 \mathrm{PLO}\)
'I don't know
[ta tahe=di=re are-lau]
SBD tell=3PLO=thosen thosen-SPC how to tell those [stories]. \({ }^{, 66}\)
b. n-e-ge mai fa nhigo \(=i=u\)

RL-3S-PRS come CS be.finished \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
'He's coming and finishing
[lao tabar-i=ø=na banesokeo]
go buy \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that PNLOC
buying Banesokeo.'
The desiderative verb manahagi behaves in the same way as both group A and group C verbs. As with group A verbs, the actor of manahagi may want another participant to act. This may be expressed either with a direct object complement clause containing the complement clause subject/actor, as in (10.58)a., or with a NP direct object realizing the other participant, and an indirect object complement clause realizing the event, as in (10.58)b. Again, here the indirect object complement clause subject/actor is coreferential with the main clause direct object and may not be overtly realized.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{66} \ln\) (10.57)a. \(\bar{g} o n u\) occurs in a reduced form comprising a single word with postverbal aspectual possessor marking. This reduction is discussed in 88.6.1.
}
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b. manahagi=g \(\bar{g} a u \quad g a u\)
want=2PLO youPL
'We want you all
[ta mala fa-lehe=i=na naitu ao-hi]
SBD PURP CS-die=3SGO=thatN devil thisT-EMPH to kill this devil.'

However, manahagi also behaves in the same way as group C verbs, with a direct object complement clause realizing an event whose actor is the same participant as the main clause actor. Again, the complement clause subject/actor is coreferential with the main clause actor and cannot be overtly realized:
(10.59) teo \(\bar{g} e\) manahag \(i=n i=u\) gai \(\quad[t a \quad h a g e=n a \quad a d e]\) not.exist \(N T\) want \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) weEXC SBD ascend=thatN here 'We don't want to come up here.'

One further verb, ooe 'say', behaves like the verbs in group A, except in two important respects. Like group A verbs it may occur with a direct object NP and an indirect object complement clause, with the subject/actor of the complement clause coreferential with the main clause direct object and not overtly realized:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { n-e ooe }=n a u \text { ara }[\text { ta mala taz } i=n i=n a  \tag{10.60}\\
& \text { RL-3S say=1SGO 1 SBD PURP } \\
& \text { 'He said to me to keep that shirt.' }
\end{align*}
\]

However, unlike group A verbs, it appears that ooe may not occur with a complement clause direct object. Moreover, with ooe there is no restriction that the complement clause subject/actor be coreferential with the main clause direct object. As these two arguments may not be coreferential, it is possible for the complement clause subject/actor to be overtly realized:
```

(10.61) o la ooe=ni zemesi
2S go say=3sGO PN
'Go and tell James
[ara teo \overline{g}e ag}e=u [gu=na n-a foğra=naut]
I not.exist NT go=CNT CNTX=3SGP RL-lEXCS be.sick=1SGO
[that] I'm not coming because I'm feeling sick.'

```
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\subsection*{10.2.4.2 2 Main clause position of complement clauses}

Complement clauses occur in the pragmatically unmarked main clause position for the argument they represent. In bivalent main clauses the complement clause occurs in postverbal position, following the actor, if that argument is also realized postverbally, as ( 10.59 ) illustrates. In trivalent clauses, the complement clause occurs postverbally, following both the actor, if present, and direct object, as ( 10.60 ), ( 10.61 ), and other examples above illustrate.

\section*{10,2.4.3 Nominalized clauses as adjuncts}

Subordinate clauses may function as an oblique adjunct, governed by the preposition \(k a\). In this context the clause is obligatorily marked with a demonstrative or article. Being nominalized in this way, possessor-indexing enclitics may also occur with these clauses. Oblique subordinate clauses typically mark a location of some kind. This may be an event because it is a location in a series of events:
(10.62)a. o la fufunu [ka [n-e-ke \(a u=0 \quad\) rei-palu ade fate]] 2 S go begin LOC RL-3S-PFV exist=thatNV they-two here above 'Start [the story] where they two stayed on top here.'
b. [ka [mhoko age=na=na manei] \(]\) nogoi

LOC sit go=3SGP=thatN he VOC
'When he sat down, man! [lit. 'At that sitting of his...]
\(\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e \quad s u=g u\) ia to~toi \(\bar{g}-e \quad l e h e=u \ldots\)
NT-3S go hiss \(=\) CNT theSG RD~cook NT-3S die \(=\) CNT the fire went ahead and hissed and started to die...'
c. kulu zaho [ka=[ia kokori mau
be.first go LOC=theSG dig.taro taro
'First go to the taro digging
[mala [n̄hau ka toa.] [fahage ka toa]]]] PURP eat LOC fort CS ascend LOC fort to eat in the fort, to take up to the fort.,

The oblique may also represent a physical location associated with an event, either characteristic of the location, as in (10.63)a., or temporarily associated with it ([10.63]b.):
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (10.63)a. } \begin{array}{ll}
\text { o } \text { roh }-i=\varnothing=u & {[k a=[i a \quad \text { hage }=n a \quad \text { naprai }]} \\
& \text { 2S scrape- } \mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT} \\
& \text { 'You scrape }[\text { the tree }] \text { where }[\mathrm{i} . \mathrm{e} ., \text { on the side }] \text { the sun rises.' }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
b. ke pulo \(e=u \quad\) tana zelu, PFV return \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus then PNLOC 'Then they went back to Zelu,
\([k a=[n-e-k e \quad\) hure \(=r i=r o \quad\) ira tilo tomoko
LOC=RL-3S-PFV carry=3PLO=thosenV thePL three war.canoe
to where they had carried the three canoes,
[n-e zaho kutare]]]
RL-3s go mud.shell
which had gone like mudshells.'
In addition to functioning as main clause oblique adjuncts, nominalized clauses occur with a number of other minor functions. This includes as possessor. In (10.64) the purpose of the coming of the chief is the possessor of the road in the main clause:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
n-e \quad \bar{g} r u i \sim \bar{g} r u i & l a=n i=\bar{n} a  \tag{10.64}\\
\text { RL-3s RD~garden } & g o=3 S G O=\mathrm{IMM} \\
\text { 'They brushed } &
\end{array}
\]
[ka [g \(u k u=n a=n a \quad\) [mala la mai=na datau ana]]] LOC road \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}^{-1}\) thatN PURP go come \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) chief that along that road for that chief's coming.'

A nominalized clause may also modify a pronoun-not as a relative clause, but in the same way that a NP may modify a pronoun (see §3.4.1.4). In (10.65) the pronoun gai 'we (exclusive)' (itself the object of a nominalized clausal oblique) is modified by a nominalized phrase marked with the article ira 'the (plural)':
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text {...g.e fa manemane }=u \quad[k a \quad[t u k u=\bar{g} a i=n a \quad g a i  \tag{10.65}\\
\text { NT-3s CS be.very.happy=CNT } & \text { LOC wait.for=1EXCO=thatN weexC } \\
\text { '...they are very happy as they wait [lit. ...at that waiting] for we }
\end{array}
\]
ira [ta la zuke=i ia teğe ka=ia tahi] \(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { a } \\ \text { la }\end{array}\right]\) thePL SBD go seek \(=3 S G O\) theSG turtleLOC=theSG sea the [ones] who go hunting turtles in the sea.'

\subsection*{10.2.4.4 Subordinate clause recursion}

As a result of the range of functions open to subordinate clauses, a subordinate clause may occur embedded within another subordinate clause. Relative clause recursion has been discussed in \(\S 10.2 .3 .5\). Embedding also occurs with argument and adverbial subordinate clauses. The range of possibilities is wide. In (10.66), for example, a nominalized clause oblique itself has a complement clause object:
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> [ka \([g a t o ~ l a=i=n a \quad\) bla ago \([n-e \quad\) sodu \(=n a]]]\) LoC think go=3sGO=thatN LMT youso \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~s}\) be.long=that 'When you think it [the story] is long,
```

fahorogoto la=i si=ago
?? go=35GO FOC=youSG
you complete it all.'

```

\subsection*{10.2.5 Adverbial subordination}

A number of adverbial functions may be performed by subordinate clauses. With most the clause is governed by an adjunct of the main clause. These constituents include the contextualizer nouns \(g u\) - and nafu-, the temporal local nouns legu'behind' and g\(i l u\) 'inside', and the temporal locative gilai 'until'. Some contextual clauses, along with one adverbial clause type, the affective clause, are not governed by another constituent, but directly by the sentence head.

\subsection*{10.2.5.1 Contextual clauses}

\subsection*{10.2.5.1.1 Zero-marked contextual clauses}

A nominalized subordinate clause may indicate the context in which the main predication holds. In (10.67), clause 1 gives the context for the speaker's thirst:
(10.67) [mhoko=na ka naprainhorao] n-a-ke no- \(\bar{g} u\) kumai
sit=thatN LOC sun yesterday RL-1EXCS-PFV GENP-1SGP drink 'Sitting in the sun yesterday, I wanted to drink.'

Contextual clauses of this kind are nominalized, typically by a cliticized demonstrative, and are neither realis nor irrealis, but related temporally only to the main clause event. In (10.67) the event expressed by the contextual clause has occurred, so would be coded realis as a main clause, while in (10.68) the event has yet to occur, so would be irrealis, but both are structurally identical.
(10.68) [mhoko=na ka naprai fufugo] ginai no-g \(u\) kumai sit-that LOC sun tomorrow FUT GENP-1SGP drink 'Sitting in the sun tomorrow, I will want to drink.'

Contextual clauses of this type are attested only sentence-initially.

\subsection*{10.2.5.1.2 Contextual clauses governed by contextualizer nouns}

Two contextualizer nouns, \(g u\) - and nafu, are discussed in §4.5. As contextual nouns they function in an identical manner. However, nafu also has the root meaning of 'base'. As it is in the process of being grammaticalized as a
contextual noun, and speakers still identify the root meaning when it occurs with its contextualizer function, it is glossed as 'base'. \(G u\)-, on the other hand, occurs only as a contextualizer, and is glossed as 'CNTX'. Gu- and nafu are possessorindexed to a constituent that provides contextual information about the event coded by the main clause. The indexed constituent (the complement of the possessor-indexing) may be a NP. However, it may also be a subordinate clause expressing an event that provides the context for the main clause event:
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
(10.69)a. & ara & \(n-a \quad\) babao no- \(\bar{g} u\) \\
I & RL-1EXCS betired GENP-1SGP \\
& 'I'm tired
\end{tabular}
\([g u=n a \quad[n-a \quad\) frin̄he heta fakamo \(]]\)
CNTX \(=3\) SGP RL-1EXCS work be.strong always
because I always work hard.'
b. teo la bla gai \(\bar{g}-e\) age fa surai not.exist ?? LMT weEXC NT-3S go CS ??
'We don't have much
fa mana=ri ira mereseni kastom..
CS spiritual.power=3PLO thePL medicine custom strength in the custom medicines...
[nafu=na [n-e-ge heta ira dokta]]... base \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) RL- 3 S -PRS be strong thePL doctor because the doctors are strong...

Clauses subordinated by \(g u\) - and nafu have identical formal characteristics as main clauses. They are not subject to the constituent structure restrictions discussed in \(\S 10.2 .2\), and the subordinator \(t a\) does not occur. Modal/subject particles occur as with main clauses (as [10.69] illustrates), and any or all arguments may be overtly realized, even when they are coreferential with main clause arguments. All main clause constituent order possibilities occur in contextual clauses. As (10.70) illustrates, arguments with any grammatical relation can occur in preverbal topic position, including actors, unergative and unaccusative subjects, objects, and obliques.

> (10.70)a. ara \(n\)-a lao \([g u=n a \quad\) [zemesi \(n-e-k e \quad\) fore \(=\) nau ara \(]]\)
> I RL-1EXCS go CNTX=3SGP PN
> 'I went because James asked me to.'
b. ara n-a fahega

I RL-1EXCS be.happy
'I am happy
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[gu=na \(\quad[i a \quad\) kue \(=\bar{g} u \quad\) ara n-e mai \(]\)
CNTX \(=3\) SGP thesG grandfather=1SGP I RL-3S come because my grandfather has come.'
c. ke nodo fea ke mai tore \(=i\) kaoni \(t=a u=0\), PFV stop INIT PFV come ask=3SGO account SBD=exist=thatNV 'Stop coming and asking for credit,
[nafu=na [ia kaoni ka gita n-e-ge ag̀e tehi salupu]] base \(=3\) SGP theSG account LOC weINC RL-3S-PRS go many pass because credit with us has become too much.'
d. ara n-a dia-nanafa

1 RL-1EXCS be.bad-heart
'I am sorry
[gu=na [ago a ginai zaho koko=nigo]]
CNTX=3SGP youSo IEXCS FUT go leave=2SGO
because I will leave you.'
e. [nafu=na [ka=ira mane-vaka au no-di fama base \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) LOC \(=\) thePL man-ship 3 S exist GENP-3PLP farm 'Because with the white man there are their farms
ka=ira buluka, ka=ira zora]]..
LOC=thePL cow LOC=thePL pig of cows, of pigs...'

Clauses governed by \(g u\) - and nafu may also locate an argument in clause-final focus position. The focused argument may be marked with the focal particle si, as in main clauses, though the focal particle may also be omitted (as it must be in relative and complement clauses):
```

(10.71)a. n-a mai [gu=na [ginai turi tufa=nau si=ago]] RL-1EXCS come CNTX $=3$ SGP FUT tell affect=1SGOFOC=youSG 'I have come because you will tell me [the stories].'

```
b. \(e=u \quad\) teo \(\quad \bar{g}-e \quad\) boka turi=di manei...
\(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus not.exist \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~s}\) be.able tell=3plo he 'I can't tell them to him...
[nafu=na [n-e-ke blahi ka=gai tu-turi are]] base \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) RL-3S-PFV be.tabu LOC=weEXC RD-tell thosen because those stories are tabu for us,'
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Clauses subordinated by \(g u\) - and nafu may have a non-verbal predicate. In (10.72) an equative clause is governed by nafu:


Conjoined clauses may be subordinated to \(g u\) - or nafu, in which case the possessor-indexing on the contextual noun is plural, reflecting the plural contextual bases expressed by the conjoined clauses:

\begin{tabular}{l}
\(n-e=u \quad\) [ara \(n-a \quad\) manahagi lao \(]\) ] \\
\(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus I \\
and I wanted to go.'
\end{tabular} Rexcs want go

Clauses governed by gu- and nafu typically occur finally within the main clause. However, they may occur main clause-initially, as (10.70)e. illustrates.

\subsection*{10.2.5.2 Temporal adjuncts governed by local nouns}

Two local nouns, legu 'behind, after' and \(\bar{g} i l u\) 'inside, within, during' (see §4.4.1), have temporal as well as spatial locative functions. Both typically have a nominal complement, but may have a subordinate clause as complement. In this situation the local noun carries third person possessor-indexing agreeing with the subordinated clause. When the complement is a subordinate clause only a temporal reading is possible.

Clauses that are subordinated by legu are nominalized by means of a demonstrative or possessor-indexing marking the subordinate verb complex. These clauses express an event that precedes the event coded by the main clause.
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Clauses governed by legu typically occur main clause-initially, iconically reflecting the actual temporal sequence of the events. However, this is a tendency, not a categorical restriction, as main clause-final legu subordinates occasionally occur:
...huhurañi au=re keha=re n-e-ke=u
PNLOC exist=thosen NSP=thosen RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
'..some of us lived at Huhurangi
[legu=na \(\quad[a u=n a \quad\) ia boñihehe \(]]\)
behind \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) exist \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) thesG paganism after the existence of the heathen time.'

Local nouns are typically immediately governed by the sentence head. However, they may instead be governed by an intervening preposition. This applies when the local noun complement is a subordinate clause as much as when it is a NP:

> ara \(n-a \quad\) tehi ta marh- \(i=a u=r e\)
> I RL-1EXCS many SBD be.in,pain- \(\mathrm{TR}=1 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thoseN 'I have many pains
[ka [legu \(=\) na \(\quad[\) faroho \(=n a u=0 \quad\) maneri] \(]]\)
LOC behind \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) smite \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) thatNV they since they were hitting me.'

Nominalized clauses governed by legu allow only the pragmatically unmarked constituent order VS/VAO. No pragmatically marked constructions such as preverbal topicalization or clause-final focused arguments are possible.
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The behavior of subordinate clauses governed by gilu 'inside' is not fully understood. They do not appear to be nominalized:
(10.77) fufunu ka keli-kava=o n-e la mai=u
begin LOC be.good-earth=thatNV RL-3S go come=CNT
'Start from the peace[until it] goes ahead [and] comes
\([k a\) [gilu=na \([t o k e=i=a \quad\) ta dia] \(]]\)
LOC inside \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) thesg SBD be.bad to reaching the badness.'

\subsection*{10.2.5.3 Temporal adjuncts governed by gilai 'until'}

The particle gilai 'until' introduces a subordinate clause that expresses an event marking the end of the event expressed by the main clause. In positive main clauses gilai indicates that the main clause event finishes at a point in time coinciding with the occurrence of the subordinate clause event:
(10.78)a. borobla au sare nogoi [gilai [toke=i=n-e-ke=u
boro LMT exist thereP VOC until arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}=\) be, thus '[They] just stay boro \({ }^{67}\) there, man!, until comes
ia nare mala sugitabu=na suli ana]] \(e=u\) thesG day PURP baptism=3SGP child that \(\mathrm{N} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus the day for the baptism of the child.'
b. lao [gilai [toke \(=i=u\)
go until arrive \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\)
'Go ahead [with the story] until [you] get to
ka n-e-ke \(\quad a u=0 \quad\) rei-palu ade]]
LOC RL-3S-PFV exist=thatNV they-two here
[the part of the story where] they two lived here.'
In (10.78)a. the main clause event continues until a subsequent event occurs in a sequence of events. In (10.78)b. the main clause event continues until a point in a story at which an event in the story takes place.

In negative main clauses the subordinate clause indicates that the nonoccurrence of the event expressed in the main clause lasts until the event in the subordinate clause takes place, effectively indicating that the main clause event only occurs once the subordinate clause event has happened:

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{67}\) The verb boro refers to a period after the birth of a child when the mother and infant remain together indoors in close physical contact.
}
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(10.79)a. o-ti mai [gilai [e mai manei]]
$2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{NEG}$ come until 3 s come he
'Don't come until he comes.'

```
b. teo \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke mai=u manei [gilai [n-a-ke toi]] not.exist NT-3S-PFV come \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) he until RL-1EXCS-PFV cook 'He didn't come until I had cooked.'

With some elderly speakers gilai is itself governed by the preposition \(k a\) :
(10.80) gu bla \(\bar{g}-e \quad a u\) palumane=re,
be.thus LMT NT-3s exist two man=thoseN
'Like that those two men stayed,
[ka [gilai [n-e-ge knusu ia papari=na]]] LOC until RL-3S-PRS be.broken theSG wood.stack=thatN until the wood stack is broken.'

The elderly speaker who gave the example in (10.80) consistently used \(k a\) in this context. Among all except the elderly, however, this usage has been completely lost, and gilai is governed directly by the sentence head. Nonetheless, all speakers accept the presence of \(k a\) with gilai as grammatical in every instance.

Clauses governed by gilai conform to the internal structural constraints described for realis subordinate clauses in §10.2.2.1.

\subsection*{10.2.5.4 Affective clauses}

Affective adverbial clauses indicate that the main clause event occurs with the intention of affecting another participant. These clauses consist of the affective verb tufa with the affected participant as object. Affective clauses occur with either a modal/subject particle or the subordinator \(t a\).
(10.81) ara \(n-a \quad\) toğ \(l a=d i \quad\) ira \(z o r a[t u f a=d i \quad n a k o n i=d e]\)

I RL-1EXCS chase \(=3\) PLO thepl pig affect=3ploperson=theser 'I chased the pigs for these people.'

As discussed in §6.5.2, tufa may have a benefactive or malefactive reading, depending on the semantics of the main clause of the event.

\subsection*{10.2.6 Conditional clauses}

Conditional clauses express an event or state that the main clause event is dependent on to occur. These clauses are subordinate to the main clause, and are marked with the conditional marker la. The conditional marker occurs
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immediately after the verb complex of the subordinate clause, following any postverbal agreement enclitic or incorporated undergoer:
(10.82)a. [ta mai au la gai ade] ]ake mai siko ginai SBD come exist CND weExchere IEXCS-PFy come steal todayIRR 'If we come and live here, later we would come and steal
\(k a=i r a \quad g e-d i \quad n o-d i \quad e=u\)
LOC=thePL CNSM-3PLP GENP-3PLP 3S=be.thus
from the food and things of
mane n-e-ke kusu au=de ade
man RL-3s-PFV be.first exist=theser here the men who lived here first.'
b. [ta toi=ni la ago namhariana,] SBD cook \(=3\) SGO CND youSG fish thatN 'If you cook that fish,
gita teo \(\bar{g} e-d a \quad\) siri \(=n i \quad\) gudu
weINC notexist NT-1INCS smell=3SGO EXHST we won't smell it all.'
c. \([t a \quad k o r h o ~ n a m h a r i ~ l a] ~ g i t a ~ d a ~ n h a=d i ~ b l a ~\) SBD pull fish CND weINC 1 InCS eat \(=3\) PLo LMT 'If I catch fish, we'll just eat them.'

Unlike other subordinate clauses with the subordinator ta, the verb complex of conditional clauses may not be marked with a cliticized demonstrative, the conditional marker and demonstrative enclitics being mutually exclusive.

Conditional clauses typically occur sentence-initially, iconically realizing the temporal sequence of a prerequisite event followed by a dependent event. However, as with legu temporal clauses (see \(\S 10.2 .5 .2\) ), this is a tendency only and the reverse order is possible, with no apparent change in meaning:
(10.83) \(\bar{g}-e\) la heve \(e=u\), \(\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}t a & a u \\ l a & g a u \\ \text { selena }\end{array}\right]\) NT-3s go what \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus SBD exist CND yourl PNLOC 'How would it be if you all lived at Selena?'

Conditional clauses are frequently introduced by the subordinator \(t a\). As discussed in \(\S 10.2 .1\), ta introduces irrealis subordinate clauses. Its occurrence in conditional clauses indicates that the event expressed by the clause has not happened, but may yet happen. However, to use ta the speaker must have a specific event in mind. Events that are hypothetical and not specific envisaged
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events are not marked with \(t a\), nor are positive past counterfactual conditional events. Negative past counterfactual events, however, are marked with ta. Conditional clauses that are marked with ta are modally neutral, and may occur with the neutral modal/subject particle \(\bar{g}\)-, although this is typically omitted:
(10.84) [ta \(\bar{g}\)-e heta la foğra-n-lau,]

SBD NT-3s be.strong CND sick=thatN-SPC
'If that sickness is strong,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& a-k e \quad l a=d i \quad \bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=r o \ldots \\
& \text { lexCS-PFV go=3PLO wood SBD=exist=thosenv } \\
& \text { I give [medicine from] those trees... }
\end{aligned}
\]

When \(t a\) is not present in a conditional clause a modal/subject particle is obligatory. Ta does not occur when the speaker regards the conditional event as very speculative and hypothetical. There is no absolute demarcation point between future events that are regarded as sufficiently specific to be marked with \(t a\), and those that are speculative and hypothetical enough for the \(t a\) to be omitted. In (10.85)a., for example, two speakers are debating the risks of custom stories falling into the wrong hands, and ta is omitted. In (10.85)b. the conditional clause has the pragmatic function of a suggestion canvassing a possibility not previously discussed:
(10.85)a. are si ara \(n-a \quad m h a g u-m h a g u=d i=r e\), thosen FOC 1 RL-IEXCS be, afraid-be afraid=3PLO=thosen 'Those, I am a bit afraid of those,
\(\left[\begin{array}{llll}\overline{\boldsymbol{g}}-e & \text { lao } & \text { la } & \text { histiri }=d e] . . .\end{array}\right.\)
NT-3S go CND history=theser
if these histories go...'
b. da la \(a u=g u\) rhuku \(\bar{n} a \quad\) gita-palu

IINCS go exist=CNT landward but weINC-two 'We are living on the shore side [in the bush],
\(\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { ge au } \quad l a & k a & \text { nasona } & \text { a-hi } & \text { gerona } & \text { keli } \\ \text { NT exist CND } & \text { LOC point thisT-EMPH } & \text { PNLOC } & \text { be,good } \\ \text { but if you and I live at the point at Gerona [that would] be good.' }\end{array}\right.\)

Positive past counterfactual clauses are also not marked with \(t a\). While all past counterfactual main, relative, and complement clauses are treated as irrealis, positive past counterfactual conditional clauses are treated as modally neutral:
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(10.86) [ \(\bar{g}\)-e-ke au la manei, \(\bar{g}\)-e-la a fahega \(\bar{g} l e h e ~ a r a ~\) NT-3S-PFV exist CND he NT-3s-go lexcs behappy very I 'If he had lived [instead of dying] I would've been very happy.'

Real events can also be expressed by a conditional clause, if the event is one that the main clause event is dependent on. In this situation a realis particle occurs:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text { ara teo } \quad \bar{g}-a \quad \text { fahega }  \tag{10.87}\\
& \text { I not.exist NT-1ExCS be.happy } \\
& \text { 'I am not happy } \\
& \bar{g} \text {-e-la [n-e-ke au=ro } \quad \text { la manei] } \\
& \text { NT-3s-go RL-3s-PFV exist=thosenV CND he } \\
& \text { like [I was] when he was alive.' }
\end{align*}
\]

One negation strategy involves the negative existential verb teo with a sentential complement realizing the event negated (see §8.7.2). This is the strategy that applies to negative conditional clauses other than past counterfactuals. As teo is the verb of the conditional clause, and the following predicate is teo's complement, the conditional marker follows teo, not the negated predication:
(10.88) [ta teo la [ğ-e nahani=u]], ara ginai a laoteteḡu SBD not.existCND NT-3S rain=CNT I FUT lEXCS go fish(V) 'If it is not raining I will go fishing.'

However, conditional negative past counterfactuals are formed using the negative particle \(t i\), in a clause introduced by the subordinator \(t a\) :
```

ara teo \overline{g}e bula=nau=gu
I not.existNT feel.angry=1SGO=CNT
'I wouldn't be angry

```
[ta ti-fa-dia-i=la manei ğlepo an-lau]
SBD NEG-CS-be.bad \(=3 S G O=C N D\) he thing thatN-SPC
if he hadn't done that bad thing.' [lit. '... made that thing bad']

The conditional marker may mark the existential verb \(a u\) subordinated by \(t a\) to form a single word clause translatable as 'if that is so' or 'since that is so'. This clause refers anaphorically to an event expressed by the preceding main clause, introducing a main clause coding an event dependent on that preceding event:
(10.90) n-e la lehe mariñi, ka mane=aro

RL-3S go die PNLOC LOC man=theseT
'He is dead from Maringe, from those men,
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$s i=b a \quad n o-\bar{g} u \quad k a k a$
FOC=ALT GENP-1SGP grandparent
my grandfather.

```
\([\boldsymbol{r = a}=l a] \quad\) ke nhogi ia lehe ka manei \(e=u\)
SBD=exist=CND PFV payback thesG die LOC he \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be,thus Since that is so [we] will payback his death.'

\subsection*{10.2.7 Purposive subordinate clauses}

The purposive particle mala marks the event expressed by a clause as being intended or purposeful. In main clauses, mala immediately follows the modal/subject particle and any attached tense or aspect markers, and preceding the verb (see §7.5.6). The particle occurs commonly, however, in subordinate clauses.

\subsection*{10.2.7.1 Main clause possibilities of purposive subordinates}

Subordinate clauses with mala indicate the purpose of the modified constituent. Purposive subordinate clauses can function adverbially, adnominally, or as a complement clause. As adverbial subordinates they modify an entire main clause, coding the purpose of the main clause event:
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { hod }-i=\emptyset & \text { age nai=ni } \quad \text { ka suga tarai=ne }  \tag{10.91}\\
\text { take-TR }=3 \mathrm{SGO} & \text { go put=3SGO LOC house pray=thisR } \\
\text { 'Take it and put it in the church }
\end{array}
\]
[mala lao ka miziam]
PURP go LOC museum in order to go to a museum.'

Adverbial purposive subordinates typically occur main clause-finally, as in (10.91), but they may occur initially:
(10.92) [mala nhigo laoago] \(n-e=u \quad\) palu \(t=a u=r e\)

PURP be.finished go yousG RL-3s=be thus two \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thosen 'In order for you to finish, those two are like that...'

Where they function adnominally, purposive subordinates indicate that the modified nominal has the purpose expressed by the subordinate clause:
(10.93) e au g̈lepo [mala hod-i=Ø tege ine]

3 S exist thing PURP take-TR \(=3\) SGO turtle thisR
'There is a thing for taking this turtle.'
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Adnominal purposive subordinates are embedded within the NP, and follow immediately the head nominal. Typically these modify a main clause argument, as in (10.93), but may modify the nominal predicate of an equative construction:
```

ana belo [mala tarai]
thatN bell PURP pray
'That was the bell for prayer.'

```

Purposive clauses also occur as complements of a number of verbs including various verbs coding speech events, verbs of cessation, and the desiderative manahagi. They may occur as the direct object of these verbs, as in (10.95), or as indirect objects, as in (10.96).
(10.95)a. ia nahani n-e fa noto \(=i\)
theSG rain RL-3S CS stop=3SGo
'The rain stopped
[mala age teteğ \(\quad[n e-k e=u=0 \quad\) gita \(]]\)
PURP go fish(V) RL-PFV=be.thus=thatNV weINC us going fishing as we said [we would].'
b. manei nee tahe=i [mala tazi=ni ara sote ine] he RL-3S say=3SGO purp keep=3SGO I shirt thisR 'He said that I could keep this shirt.'
(10.96) n-e tahe=nau ara [mala tazi=ni no-na sote ana] RL-3S say \(=1\) SGO I PURP keep \(=3\) SGO GENP-3SGP shirt that 'He told me that I could keep that shirt of his.'

\subsection*{10.2.7.2 Modal and tense/aspect status of purposive subordinates}

As discussed in \(\S 10.2 .1\), relative and complement clauses normally occur with either a modal/subject particle (when realis) or the subordinator ta (when irrealis). Purposive subordinate clauses typically occur without either. However, in a significant minority of instances the subordinator does occur, introducing the purposive marked subordinate. In (10.97)a. line 1 ta introduces a purposive complement clause, in (10.97)b. an adverbial, and in (10.97)c. a relative clause.
```

(10.97)a. manahagi=\overline{g}au gau [ta mala fa-lehe=i=na
want=2PLO youPL SBD PURP CS-die=3SGO-thatN
'We want you all to kill
naitu ao-hi] [a mala doli keli au gai]
devil thisT-EMPH lexCS PURP live be.good exist weexc
this devil so we can live on.'

```
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b. ...palu fata roh-i=Ø ara
two occasion scrape- \(\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{sGO} \mathrm{I}\)
'...two times I scrape
\(\bar{g} a z u \quad a-h i \quad e=u, \quad[t a \quad\) mala siri=na manei]
wood thisT-EMPH \(3 s=\) be.thus SBD PURP smell=thatN he this tree, for him to inhale.'
c. tana \(a \bar{g} e\) toke \(=i\) ia nare
then go arrive \(=35 G O\) thesg day
'Then comes the day
[ta mala age frin̄he=ni ia mala-n̄hau \(]\).
SBD PURP go work \(=35 G 0\) thesG PURP-eat to make the food...'

Events coded by purposive subordinate clauses are typically either habitual (as in [10.93], [10.94], [10.95]b., [10.96], and [10.97]b.-c.), or located in the future within the temporal frame of the main clause (as in [10.91], [10.92], [10.95]a., and [10.97] a.). In other instances the intended event is located in the past but did not occur. All three of these event types, habitual, future, and past counterfactual, are coded as irrealis in Kokota. Purposive subordinate clauses coding all such events are also irrealis and could be expected to be marked with the subordinator \(t a\). However, as intended events are typically located in the future (within the temporal frame either of speaking or of the main clause event), they are typically irrealis. The presence of both the subordinator, limited as it is to irrealis clauses, and the purposive marker, is redundant. Consequently the ta is typically omitted. However, in all such clauses its presence is optionally possible.

The absence of modal/subject particles in irrealis purposive clauses has a similar motivation. As noted in \(\$ 7.5 .2 .5\), irrealis particles are frequently omitted. This is particularly common when mala is present, as the prototypically habitual or future nature of intended events renders an irrealis particle redundant. However, they do occasionally occur. In subordinate clauses the particle and mala may occur in either order. In the second line of (10.97)a, the particle precedes the purposive marker. In (10.98) it follows it:
\[
\begin{align*}
& \text {...mala e au histri are-lau ka sikolu=ne... }  \tag{10.98}\\
& \text { PURP 3s exist history thosen-SPC LoC school=thisR } \\
& \text { '...so those histories can stay in the school... }
\end{align*}
\]

Very occasionally a realis purposive subordinate clause occurs. As these clauses do not have the prototypical modal status, the modal/subject particle is obligatory. Again the particle may precede or follow mala:
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(10.99)a. fa puku~puku=ri bla ago $e=u \quad$ bla goi
CS RD be.short=3PLO LMT yousG $38=$ be.thus LMT VOC
'You make it short, man!,
[mala n-e-ge au bo turi=di=re]...
PURP RL-3S-PRS exist CNT tell=3PLP=thosen
so that these stories fit [on the tape]...'

```
b. \(k a=t=a u=a n a\) ge tafe ia ñehe
\(\mathrm{LOC}=\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that SEQ spring.open theSG umbrella
'At that, sprang open the umbrella
[n-e-ke mala totoku=di=ro ira liliğomo].
RL-3S-PFV PURP cover=3PLO=thosenV thePL warning.charm
that was for covering the warning charm...'

In (10.99)a. fitting the stories on the tape is presented as realis (and present tense), as the addressee is telling stories that are already being recorded and some of which are already on the tape. It is interesting that the realis subordinate clause is modifying an irrealis imperative main clause. This is possible because the speaker is directing the addressee to carry out a future event to conform to a present state. Example ( 10.99 )b. is coded realis because the umbrella is already covering the items mentioned at the point in the temporal frame of the story.

The absence of an overt modal/subject particle in a purposive subordinate does not prevent the presence of a tense or aspect marking that would otherwise be suffixed to the particle, such as the perfective aspect marker in (10.100)a. and the present tense marker in \((10.100)\) b.:
(10.100)a.ke la toke la taem [mala ke visiti ka hugohebala] PFV go arrive thesG time PURP PFV visit LOC PN 'The time came [for them] to visit with Hugo Hebala.'
b. n̄a \(e=u \quad l a=i \quad b l=a g o \quad k a i k e ~ t a ~ p u k u=n a\) but \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus go \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT=youSG one SBD be.short \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}\) 'So likewise you give one that's short
[mala ge fafra nhigo bla \(e=u\) ] PURP PRS be.quick be.finished LMT \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus so [we] are finished quickly.'

\subsection*{10.2.7.3 Internal structure of purposive subordinates}

Purposive subordinates need not be clauses with verbal predicates. Any kind of predicate can occur as the purpose of the modified clause or nominal. For
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example, in ( 10.101 ) possessive predicates have been subordinated (in [10.101]a. adverbially, in [10.101]b. adnominally):
```

(10.101)a.tazi=ri boboke=mu=are [mala no-\overline{g}u ara]
keep=3PLO inner.thigh=2SGP=thoseN PURP GENP-1SGP I
'Keep your inner thighs for me.'
b. totogale [mala no-na belama]
picture PURP GENP-3SGP PN
'a photo I will give to Belama' [lit. '. . intended to belong to Belama']

```

Purposive clauses with verbal predicates only allow the language's unmarked clause constituent structure of VAO or VS, followed by any oblique arguments. As with other clause types, it is rare for all arguments to be specified. In (10.100)b., for example, no overt arguments occur. However, an argument with any grammatical relation may occur. An unergative subject is present in (10.97)b.; an unaccusative subject in (10.99)a.; direct objects in (10.99)b.; and an oblique in (10.100)a.. Multiple arguments are possible, as in (10.98), where an unaccusative subject and two obliques receive overt mentions. As discussed in \(\S 8.3\), there is an overall tendency in the language for recently mentioned participants to receive zero mentions. Consequently, as with other clause types, purposive clauses with all arguments are rare. However, as with other clause types, they do occasionally occur, as in (10.95)b., where an actor and object are both present. While any argument may occur in adverbial purposive clauses, in relative clauses of any type the controlled argument may not be overtly realized (see §10.2.3), and this applies equally to purposive relative clauses.

Although purposive subordinate clauses do not allow any of the pragmatically marked clause constituent orders, this does not preclude clauses in which an incorporated undergoer precedes the actor. As in other clause types, purposive subordinate clauses allow undergoer incorporation:
\[
\begin{array}{lll}
n-e ~ l a o ~[m a l a ~ t a b a r a ~ v i r i] ~  \tag{10.102}\\
\text { RL-3s go Purp buy } & \text { tobacco } \\
\text { 'He went to buy tobacco.' }
\end{array}
\]

Purposive subordination may have scope over more than a single clause. Clause chaining may occur, with the purposive marker having scope over the entire chained structure:
(10.103) kulu zaho [ka=[ia kokori mau be.first go \(L O C=\) thesG dig.taro taro
'First go to the taro digging
[mala [n̄hau ka toa,] [fahage ka toa]]]] PURP eat LOC fort CS ascend LOC fort to eat in the fort, to take up to the fort.,

In purposive relative clauses the participant that is coreferential with the nominal head typically has a peripheral function, such as an instrument (as in [10.93] and [10.99]b.) or locative (as the temporal locatives in [10.97]c. and [10.100]a.). In fact mala often simply indicates that the head has a purpose that is associated in some way with the event in the subordinate clause. This association can be simply one of accompaniment. In (10.104) the song accompanies the activity coded by the purposive clause:
(10.104) koze [mala se-seha niba tifaro]
song PURP RD~climb possum before
'A song for climbing for possums in the old days.'
Adverbial purposive subordinate clauses may contain an argument that is coreferential with a main clause argument. Where that is so, the participant may have any grammatical relation in the subordinate clause.

The relation may be the same in both clauses; however, it need not be-in (10.91), for example, the main clause object participant occurs as the subordinate clause subject.

\subsection*{10.3 Recapping}

Kokota makes frequent use of recapping strategies to link sequences of events. This occurs in all discourse types, including conversation and narration, but is employed most frequently in exposition, where for substantial slabs of text every sentence may commence with some recapping device. Recapping strategies in Kokota include the use of demonstratives, prepositional oblique demonstratives, reduced clauses, and clauses indicating completion of a recapped event.

\subsection*{10.3.1 Demonstrative recapping}

Clause-initial demonstratives refer anaphorically to the event expressed by the preceding sentence. These demonstratives occur with the sequencer ge, placing the event within a sequence following the event coded by the preceding clause. Demonstrative recapping typically uses root demonstratives (see §3.1.3.1), as in (10.105). However, clausal demonstratives (see §3.1.3.3) occasionally occur (10.106). Demonstrative recapping is largely limited to exposition.
(10.105) ge kulu frinhe=ni fea ia suğa

SEQ be.first work \(=3\) SGO INIT theSG house
'First [they] make the house.
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frin̄he \(=n i\) ia suğ \(a\) n-e nhigo \(=u\)
work \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) thesG house RL-3s be.finished \(=\mathrm{CNT}\)
Building the house is finished.
an-lau ge kata \(n\)-e=u suli ana
thatN-SPC SEQ bite RL-3S=be.thus child that N
That, then the child starts biting [i.e., contractions begin].
an-lau ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o=\bar{n} a \quad k a=i a \quad s u \bar{g} a\)
thatN-SPC SEQ NT-3S go \(=1 \mathrm{MM}\) LOC=thesG house
That, then she will go to the house
g\(-e\) fa-doli=ni ia suli \(e=u\)
NT-3s CS-be.alive \(=3 \mathrm{sGO}\) thesG child \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
[and] give birth to the baby.
doli \(t=a u=n a \quad\) ia suli
be.alive \(\operatorname{SBD}=\) exist that N thesg child
The child is born.
ao ge \(\bar{g}-e\) hod-i=0 \(\bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad\) ia suli
thisT SEQ NT-3S take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO} \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus-IMM theSG child This, then they take the child.'
(10.106) \(g u \quad\) maneri tifaro \(n-e-k e=u=\bar{n} a=i a\)
be.thus they before RL-3S-PFV=be thus=IMM=PRO
'They were like that in the old days.
\(t=a \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{n a} \quad\) si=ge \(\bar{g}-e \quad a u \quad m a i=\bar{n} a\)
\(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\) NT-3S exist come \(=\mathrm{IMM}\)
no-di frinhe=re maneri
GENP-3PLP work=thosen they
That, and then their work went ahead.'

\subsection*{10.3.2 Oblique demonstrative recapping}

Oblique marked demonstratives occur with the locative preposition \(k a\) cliticized to a clausal demonstrative (see §3.1.3.3). Like demonstrative recapping, these oblique demonstratives locate the event coded by the sentence in a sequence of events, following the event coded by the preceding sentence. However, with oblique demonstratives the relationship between the events is closer in terms of both time, and cause and effect. Demonstrative recapping simply locates one event after another in time. With oblique demonstratives the event coded by the
sentence is presented as occurring in response to the event expressed by the preceding sentence (not unlike the English sentence-initial at that...).
(10.107) \(\bar{g}-e \quad l a \operatorname{mai}=u \quad\) mane velepuhi, \(\bar{g}-e \quad a u=g u \quad \operatorname{logahaza...~}\) NT-3s go come \(=\) CNT man right.way NT-3S exist=CNT PNLOC 'A catechist came, he lived at Logahaza...
```

n-e-ke velepuhi=re
RL-3s-pFV right. way $=$ thosen
and was catechist.

```
\(k a=t=a u=a 0\), hage tarai, gu nogoi, LOC \(=\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thisT ascend pray be.thus VOC At this, [they] went up and prayed, like that, man!,
hage bo \(e=u \quad\) mane kokota \(i d e=u\)
ascend CNT \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus man
these Kokota people went up.'

Recapping oblique demonstratives typically do not cooccur with the sequencer ge, however, they may do so:
(10.108) ...la au kuru mai=di=re=n-e-ke=u
go exist be.first come \(=3\) PLO \(=\) thosen \(=\) RL- \(3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}=\) be.thus '... [they] stopped them from coming.
\[
\begin{array}{llll}
\boldsymbol{k a} a=t=a u=a n a & \text { sini-ge, } \bar{g}-e \quad \text { tetu }=\bar{n} a \quad \text { solomoni... } \\
\text { LOC }=\mathrm{SBD}=\text { exist-thisT } \mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ} & \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S} & \text { stand }=\mathrm{IMM} \\
\text { At } \\
\text { At that }
\end{array}
\]

Oblique demonstrative recapping is employed commonly in narratives.

\subsection*{10.3.3 Reduced clause recapping}

Clauses may be partially repeated as a recapping strategy. These clauses are reduced by the omission of the modal/subject particle and any other modifier, with only the verb or verbs, and optionally one or more argument, repeated:
(10.109) \(\bar{g}-e \quad h o d-i=\emptyset=\bar{n} a \quad\) ia rarau, ziku=ro.. \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) take-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}\) theSG arm.ring arm.ring \(=\) thosenv 'He took the arm ring, those arm rings....
\(\bar{g}-e \quad f a \quad h a g e=u \quad k a \quad k a m e=n a=r e \quad n-e-k e=u\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) CS ascend \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) LOC arm=3SGP=thosen RL-3S-PFV-bethus He was putting [them] up on his arms.
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\begin{tabular}{lll} 
fa hage & ka & kame=na=re \\
CS ascend & LOC arm=3sGP=thosen & sini age \\
FOC SEQ
\end{tabular}
\(\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e \quad n-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad\) manei
NT-3S go RL-3s=be.thus \(=\mathrm{mM}\) he he went.'

More often, the predication is marked with a 'be thus' tag clause (see \(\S 10.4\) ):
```

(10.110) n-e toga ag}e=u maneri
RL-3S arrive go =CNT they
'They arrived.

```
    toga \(\bar{g}-e=u \quad\) tana nogoi lao hure \(=i \quad\) hinage \(=n a .\).
    arrive \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) bethus then vOC go carry \(=3 \mathrm{SOO}\) boat=that
    They arrived and then went [and] carried that boat...'

\subsection*{10.3.4 'Completion' clause recapping}

The partial repetition described in \(\$ 10.3 .3\) occurs infrequently. A more frequently used recapping strategy employs 'completion' clauses. Completion clauses are unusual in structure. The main clause of a completion clause consists of the verb nhigo 'be finished'. The event that is completed is expressed by a subordinated verb complex that cannot be marked with a modal/subject particle. This verb complex is the subject of the main predication n-e nhigo it is finished', which it must precede. Thus in (10.113) la roh-i in line 3 and fa blahi in line 4 are the subjects of ne nhigo in each completion clause. However, what is unusual is that the arguments of the subordinated verb complex coding the completed event typically occur after the main clause predication. Thus in (10.113) line 4, ara ' l ' is the subject of the subordinated predication fa blahi. A more literal translation of this completion clause would be something like "I bless is finished...". Arguments occasionally occur immediately following the subordinated verb complex, as shown with the object ia sugga in (10.105) line 2:

However, typically arguments occur after the main clause predication, as the subject in (10.113) line 4. In (10.111)a. ia tañano 'the food' is the object of frinhe \(=n i\) 'make it'. In (10.111)b. \(k a=t=a u=a n a\) 'of that' is an oblique adjunct of the predication fa ku-kumai 'cause to drink medicine'.
(10.111)a...frinhe tañano, ke la toi mala-n̆hau ka=manei
work food PFV go cook PURP-eat LOC=he '...make food, cook food for him,
\(g-e=u=n i . . \quad\)...gure, foro,
NT- \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) nut.paste coconut.paste
they do that ...nut paste, coconut paste,
\(\bar{g}-e=u=g u . . \quad . .\). maneri \(\bar{g} a h a \quad\) mane \(e=u\)
\(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) they five man \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus that's what they the five men were doing....
[frin̄he=ni n-e nhigo ia tañano si=ge],
work \(=3 S G O\) RL-3s be.finished thesG food \(F O C=S E Q\) Making the food is finished and then
nee-ge mai toke= \(\bar{n} a \quad\) kaike mane=na koromata...
RL-3S-PRS come arrive=IMM one man=3SGP PNLOC a man from Koromata arrives...'
b. ...g \(e f a k u \sim k u m a i=n i \quad e=u\)

NT CS RD~drink=3SGO 3S=be.thus
'...[you] make him drink [the medicine].
[fa ku-kumai n-e nhigo ka-t=au=ana]
CS RD-drink RL-3S be.finished LOC=SBD=exist=thatN Making [him] drink from that [medicine] is finished,
ke fa ba-blahi \(\bar{g}-e-k e=g u \quad n a k o n i \quad a n a . .\).
PFV CS RD-be.tabu NT-3S-PFV=be.thus person thatN [then] bless that person...

Completion clauses occur during the narrative or expositional description of a series of events, recapping the event expressed by the preceding sentence and indicating that that event is completed. These occur in narratives to indicate that one event is carried out to completion before the next event occurs:
```

(10.112)
...g.e la fa-lehe=i=n̄a fadalao
NT-3S go CS-die=3SGO=IMM RL-3S-PFV=be.thus PN
'...they went [and] killed Fadalao,
$t a=n i=n a \quad n a i t u \quad t=a u=n e$
$\mathrm{SBD}=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ that N devil $\mathrm{SBD}=$ exist=thisR
who was this devil.

```
    fa-lehe=i n-e nhigo sini ge age, \(\bar{g}-e\) toke \(=\bar{n} a\)..
    CS -die \(=3 \mathrm{SOO}\) RL-3S be.finished FOC SEQ SEQ NT-3S arrive \(=\mathrm{IMM}\)
    They killed him finish and then they went back...'
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Completion clauses occur often in exposition, particularly when a process involving a series of stages is being described. Each stage is typically described, then a clause indicating its completion introduces the subsequent clause:
(10.113) o la roh-i=Ø \(\quad\) ia \(\quad \bar{g} u a n h a\)
2S go scrape- \(\mathrm{TR}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) thesG inhale
'You go and scrape the "inhale".
\(\bar{g} u a n h a \quad e=n i \quad b l a \quad n a n \bar{h} a=n a=n a \quad \bar{g} a z u \quad t=a u=a o\) inhale \(3 \mathrm{~S}=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) LMT name \(=3 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that \(\mathrm{wood} \mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thisT "Inhale" is just the name of this tree.
[la roh-i=0 n-e nhigo], toke=na fa blahi go scrape-TR \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}\) RL-3S be.finished arrive=thatN CS be.tabu Going and scraping it is finished, go back and bless [it].
[fablahi u-e nhigo ara ge age],
CS be.tabu RL-3s be.finished I SEQ SEQ
Blessing is finished,
\(\bar{g}-e \quad \bar{g} u a n h a=\bar{n} a \quad\) nakoni...
NT-3S inhale=IMM person
and then the person inhales...'

\section*{10.4 'Be thus' clauses}

The verb ( \(=\) ) gu \(\sim=u\) has a broad range of functions with a semantically weak predication best translated as 'be thus'. This verb is cliticized to a modal/subject particle, often forming a single word clause, except occasionally with its quotative function, when it may occur in isolation. When it does occur in isolation it is the underlying form \(g u\) that occurs. When cliticized the initial \(/ \mathrm{y} /\) is deleted except when the final vowel of the host is \(/ \mathrm{u} /\).

\subsection*{10.4.1 Exclamatory tag clauses}

One major function of the 'be thus' clause is as an exclamatory tag marking a constituent, usually a complete clause, with a sense best translated as 'that's how it is' or 'that's how it was'. \(E=u\), with a zero modal component, is formally irrealis. However, \(e=u\) is semantically bleached to the point where it has little more than an emphatic sense. Although it may mark irrealis clauses, as with the future event in (10.114)a., \(e=u\) also marks modally neutral clauses, as in ( 10.114 )b., and realis events ([10.114]c.):
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In other instances, \(e=u\) does mark an event as irrealis. In this case the personindexing agrees with the subject. This applies to the full range irrealis events in main clauses, including future and habitual events, as in (10.115)a.-b., but does not apply to the irrealis example in (10,114)a., where the main clause subject is first inclusive. When used in this less semantically bleached sense, the perfective aspect marker ke may mark the modal/subject particle, as in (10.115)c.
(10.115)a.mane heta \(\bar{g}-e \quad a \bar{g} e=u=\bar{n} a \quad \boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{u}\)
man bestrong NT-3S go \(=\mathrm{CNT}=\mathrm{IMM} 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be thus
'A strong man will go [i.e., He'll be a strong man], he'll be like that.'
b. ğlepo \(t=a u=0 \quad s i=g e\), age \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) mai \(=\bar{n} a\)
thing \(\quad \mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{exis}=\) thatNV \(\mathrm{FOC}=\mathrm{SEQ}\) SEQ \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) come \(=\mathrm{IMM}\)
'That thing then comes
\(\bar{g}-e \quad \bar{n} h a u=g u \quad e=u\)
NT-3S eat \(=\mathrm{CNT} 3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
[and] eats, he's like that.'
c. manei ginai \(\bar{n} h a u\) namhari e-ke=u
he FUT eat fish \(3 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PFV}=\mathrm{be}\).thus
'He will be eating fish, he'll be like that.'
This less bleached use of irrealis tags is limited to third person subjects. The analogous first and second person tags \({ }^{*} a=u\) and \({ }^{*} o=u\) do not occur.

Realis marked 'be thus' clauses always have a less semantically bleached sense than that of the irrealis tags illustrated in (10.114). While these irrealis tags have a general sense of 'the way things are', realis tags emphasize that the situation expressed by the clause is the way that event or state actually is or was. Realis tags may mark realis or modally neutral clauses (as in [10.116]a.-b.), or clauses with no modal/subject particle (as in the second clause in [10.116]c.) and in
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(10.116)d. When marking a modally neutral clause or one without a particle they assign realis status to the events coded by the clause:
```

(10.116)a.n-e-ge la teo ia nakoni n-e=u...
RL-3S-PRS go not.exist theSG person RL-3S=be.thus
'The people have gone to nothing...'

```
b. \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) teo boka=i=na \(n-e=u\)

NT-3s not.exist be.able \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\) that \(\mathrm{RL}-3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus 'They weren't able to do that, they were like that.'
c. n-e rauru manei,pru n-e=u seku=na hinage \(=0\) RL- 3 S seaward he jump RL-3S-be.thus tail=3SGP boat=thatNV 'He went seaward and jumped into the back of the boat.'
d. fa kae ağe \(n-\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{u}, \quad\) teo \(\bar{g}-e \quad k a=n i=u \ldots\)

CS see go RL-3S=be.thus not.existNT-3S see \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) 'He looked [but] he didn't see him...'

The less semantically bleached nature of the reatis tags is indicated by their subject agreement possibilities. The irrealis tag only occurs with the third person subject agreement marker \(e\), regardless of the identity of the participants in the marked constituent. By contrast, the realis tags may be indexed to a participant in the marked clause, as in (10.117). In both these examples the third person tag \(n-e=u\) could freely occur in place of the tags shown, giving the slightly different sense 'It is/was like that' in place of 'I was/we are like that'.
(10.117)a.ka fata rhue \(=d i=r o \quad\) maneri, ga \(\sim g a t o=\bar{g} u=n a \quad\) ara LOC occasion cry \(=3 \mathrm{PLP}=\) thosenV they \(\quad \mathrm{RD}-\) think \(=1 \mathrm{SGP}=\) that I 'When everyone cried, I thought
n-e-ge lehe baiu manei \(n-a=u\)
RL-3S-PRS die PSB she RL-1EXCS=bethus maybe she had died, I was like that.'
b. manei n-e faheğ \(\alpha\),
he RL-3S be./happy
'He is happy,
ge gita da dia-nanafa da=u
SEQ weINC 1 NNCS be.bad-heart \(1 \mathrm{INCS}=\) be.thus but we feel bad, that's how we are.'

Realis tag clauses may carry the perfective marker ke and continuous \(=g u\) :
```

(10.118) a,., $\bar{g}-e-g e$ faroh-i=ø manei sala $n-e-k e=u$
NT-3S-PRS smite-TR $=3 \mathrm{SGO}$ he PN RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
'...[and] he kills Sala, he was like that.'
b. suaragi mane=na kokota n-e-ke=u
PN man=3sGP PNLOC RL-3S-PFV=be.thus
'Suaragi was a Kokota man, he was like that.'
c. ka fata $k a e=n i-n=a r a \quad m a n e i$,
LOC occasion see $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=$ thatN $=1$ he
'When I saw him
manei $n$-e-ke $\quad$ nhauge-na namharin-e-ke $=u=g u$
he RL-3S-PFV eat CNSM-3SGP fish RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=CNT
he was eating fish, he was like that.'

```

As (10.118)b. shows, tag clauses mark verbless predicates as well as verbal clauses.

Tag clauses optionally cliticize to the preceding word. The implications of this cliticization for stress placement are discussed in \(\$ 2.5 .5\).

\subsection*{10.4.2 Sentence-initial 'be thus' clauses}

A 'be thus' clause may occur sentence-initially, to indicate that the sentence is a comment on the content of the preceding discourse. In (10.119)a. the speaker has described two illnesses (attributed to 'devils'), and the appropriate custom medicines for each. He concludes the exposition with the sentence given. In (10.119)b, the speaker has explained at some length that an expected visitor is unwell, and has sent him in his place, and concludes with the example.
```

(10.119)a
. $=$ u bla $\quad z a \sim z a h o=d i=r e \quad$ palu naitu
$3 S=$ be.thus LMT RD-go=3PLP=thosen two devil
'So they're the ways of the two devils
$t a=$ lase $=r i=r e \quad$ ara
$\mathrm{SBD}=$ know $=3 \mathrm{PLO}=$ thosen I
that I know,'
b. $n$-e=u mai toke $a-h i=n \bar{n} a \quad$ ara
RL-3S=be.thus RL-1EXCS-PRS come arrive thisT-EMPH=IMM I
'Thus I have come to you now.'

```
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Sentence-initial 'be thus' clauses of this kind may be formally realis or irrealis, but only third person-indexing is possible. Irrealis 'be thus' clauses occur as exclamatory clauses, marked with the constituent modifiers discussed in §8.8:
\begin{tabular}{rl} 
(10.120)a. \(e=u\) & bla \\
\(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus & LMT \\
'That's all!'
\end{tabular}

\subsection*{10.4.3 Quotative 'be thus' clauses}
'Be thus' clauses occur with a quotative function, assigning the origin of remarks or thoughts to the sole argument of the clause. These 'be thus' clauses immediately follow a representation of the comments or thoughts. Often comments are presented as reported speech and directly quoted:
(10.121) 'ehe, keli=bo' \(\bar{g}-e=u=\bar{n} a \quad\) manei
yes be.good \(=\mathrm{CNT}\) NT-3S \(=\) be,thus \(=1 \mathrm{MM}\) he ""Yes, good," he said.'

Quotative 'be thus' clauses often dispay the immediacy particle \(\bar{n} a\). They are typically modally neutral, but realis and irrealis quotative clauses do occur:
```

(10.122)a. 'ago' $\boldsymbol{n - e = u = \overline { n } a \quad m a n e i ~}$
yousG RL-3S=bethus=IMM he
""You!" he said.'

```
    b. \(l=a g o\). 'ara' \(e=u=\bar{n} a \quad\) goio
    go=yousG I IRR=be.thus=IMM VOC
    'You go ahead. "I" you will say, man!'

With the quotative function only third person agreement occurs, regardless of the person of the subject, as in (10.122)b. With this function, older speakers (at least) occasionally omit the modal/subject particle, giving the verb in isolation:
(10.123) '..teo \(\bar{g}-o \quad\) doli \(=g u \quad e=u\) ' gи maneri... not.exist NT-2s be.alive \(=\mathrm{CNT} 3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus be.thus they '"...you will not be alive," they said...'

Quotative uses of the 'be thus' verb may be transitive, with postverbal agreement indexing the participant to whom the comments are addressed:
```

(10.124)a. 'kue=\overline{g}u' bla..
grandfather=1SGP RL-3S=be.thus=3SGO LMT
"My grandfather!" he simply said to him...'
b. 'fa kave=ri no-u rade tagi-mu'
CS descend=3PLO GENP-2SGP arm.ring REFL-2SGP
"Take off your arm ring yourself,"
n-e-ke=u=ni=u
RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=CNT
he was saying to him,'

```

Thoughts may also be quoted in the same way:
```

(10.125)a.' $\bar{g}-e-l a$ heve $e=u \quad g e, \bar{g}-a \quad$ fa-lehe $=i=n a$
NT-3s-go what 3 s -be.thus SEQ NT-IEXCS CS-die $=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{IMM}$
'"How will I kill
mane ana, $\bar{g}$-e mala tai- $\bar{g} u \quad \bar{n} h e n ̄ h e ' e$
man thatN NT-3S PURP REFL-1SGP be.separate
that man, so I can be alone?"
$\bar{g}-\boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{u}=\bar{n} \boldsymbol{a} \quad$ mane $i$
NT-3S=be.thus=1MM he
he thought.'
b. 'nariha' $\quad$ n-e-ke $=\boldsymbol{u}=\overline{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{a}$
day.after.tomorrow RL-3S-PFV=be.thus=1MM=PRO
"The day after tomorrow," they thought.'

```
'Be thus' clauses are also used to assign authorship to comments or ideas without directly quoting remarks. In this situation, the 'be thus' clause is obligatorily marked with the perfective aspect marker \(k e\), and with the demonstrative enclitic \(=0\), indexing the comments or ideas cited:
(10.126) a tazi=ri boboke=mu=are
keep \(=3\) PLO inner.thigh \(=2\) SGP \(=\) thosen
\({ }^{\prime}\) Keep your inner thighs for me,
mala no- \(\bar{g} u \quad\) ara \(n-o-k e=u=o\)
PURP GENP-1SGP I RL-2S-PFV=be.thus=thatNV
as you said [you would].'
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b. mala fa-lehe \(=i=u\)
\(n-a-k e=u=0\)
\(b=a r a\), PURP CS-die \(=3 \mathrm{SGO}=\mathrm{CNT}\) RL-1EXCS-PFV=be.thus=thatNV ALT=I 'I thought to kill him,
teo bla si=boka= \(\bar{g} u=n a \quad k a\) kuiti aro-hi
notexist LMT FOC=be.able \(=1\) SGP=thatN LOC trick theseT-EMPH but that ability of mine with these tricks wasn't able to.'

\section*{APPENDIX: ILLUSTRATIVE TEXT}

\section*{World War II Reminiscences (Told by Nathanial Boiliana)}
\(\begin{array}{llll}n-e-g e & t o r-i & b=a n a & \text { manei goi? } \\ \text { RL-3SGS-PRS } & \text { open-TR } & \text { ALT=that } & \text { she VOC }\end{array}\)
Has he opened it [i.e., started the tape recorder]?
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
au bla \(n-a-k e=u\) & lgoveo] banesokeo, \\
exist LMT RL-1EXCS-PFV=be.thus & PNLOC PNLOC \\
I was living in [Goveo] Banesokeo, &
\end{tabular}
tana aḡe ira mane ta zuke leba.
then go thepL man SBD seek labor then the men came to look for labor.
\(\bar{g}\)-e-la ara-hi ka vaka kabani-na amerika
NT-3s-go I-EMPH LOC ship company-3sGP pNLOC
So I was on an American company ship
age hod-i=au banesokeo,
go take-TR=1SGO PNLOC
that took me from Banesokeo,
rauru rasalo, kepmasi
go.seaward PNLoc PNLOC
[we] went seaward to Russell, to Cape Masi.
n-e la au=nau sare au bla ge au
RL-3S go exist=1SGO therep exist LMT SEQ exist
I went and stayed there. Staying and staying
\(k a \quad\) frin̄he \(=n a \quad\) mane amerika=re maḡra maneri.
LOC work=that man PNLOC=thosen fight they in the work of those American men in the fight.
gu \(\bar{g}\)-au-gu rasalo \(e=u\).
be.thus NT-exist-CNT PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\)-be.thus
Like that, living on Russell.
tana nogoi mai \(\bar{n} a\) mane zapani are
then VOC come IMM man PNLOC thosen
Then, man!, those Japanese men came
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ge hīgri, u, gai \(\quad e=u\).
SEQ flee umweexc \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus
and we ran away.
hiğri lao ka ḡluma.
flee go LOC excavation
Ran away into a cave.
gluma [n-e-ke seh-i] n-e-ke zoh-i maneri.
cave RL-3S-PFV climb-TR RL-3S-PFV dig-TR they
A cave they had dug.
mala lao au mumi gai tarata au are ka, u, leba=na. PURP go exist hide weexC group exist thosen LOC um labor=thatN We went and hid, we the group who were in the Labor [Company].
an-blau nee lao nee lao tana ağe nhigo sare,
thatN-LMT RL-3S go RL-3S go then go be.finished therep Like that, on and on, then it finished there,
mai mane mağra=re ke lao ka korosa-na,
come man fight=thosen PFV go LOC hole=thatN
then the soldiers came, they came to that hole,
mai mane zapani ta mağra=re,
come man PNLOC SBD fight=thoseN
the Japanese soldiers came,
ke la ruma ka korosa=na gu
PFV go enter LOC hole=that N be.thus
came into the hole,
ge gai ka banhi \(t=a u=a o \quad e=u\).
SEQ weexc loc cave \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=this \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus then went into that cave.
ge gai tehi nakoni \(e=u\),
SEQ weexC many person \(3 s=\) be.thus
We were many people,
tehi mane ta \(a u=n a \quad k a \quad l e b a ~ k o p o n i\).
many man SBD exist=thatN LOC labor company
many people who were in the Labor Company.
\(t=a u-d i \quad\) rasalo, \(t=a u-d i \quad\) isabelo, \(t=a u-d i \quad\) malaita, SBD=exist-3PLP PNLOC SBD=exist-3PLP PNLOC SBD=exist-3PLP PNLOC Some from Russell, some from Isabel, some from Malaita,
\(e=u \quad\) ta fodu=re gai \(\quad\) au=re frinhe=re gai sare.
\(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus SBD be.full=thosen weexc exist=thosen work=thosen weexc therep we were full of that laborers there.
gu bla \(\bar{g}\)-e lao g -e lao,
be.thus LMT NT-3s go NT-3S go
Like that, on and on,
ke mai vaka flalo are, ke hiğri gai.
PFV come ship fly thosen PFV flee weexc and then those planes came, and we ran away.
ke dani lao bla ka g̀luma
PFV do.in.unison go LMT LOC excavation
We all went into that hole.
\(t=a u=a n a \quad\) lao bla.
SBD=exist=that N go LMT
Like that.
gu bla n-e lao n-e lao nee lao n-e lao,
be.thus LMT RL-3S go RL-3S go RL-3S go RL-3S go
On and on and on and on,
\(u\) gilai aḡe au nhigo ia komhu \(t=a u=a n a\).
um until go exist be.finished thesG year \(S B D=\) exist=thatN um, until that year was finished.
an-bla ke kota-u ara, ke mai au banesokeo, thatN-LMT PFV go.ashore-CNT I PFV come exist PNLOC That, then I came back, came and stayed at Banesokeo,
ke mai-di=ro bla maneri,
PFV come-3PLP=thosenV LMT they
then they came back again,
vaka mala ke hoda nakoni mala age au=ro
ship PURP PFV take person PURP go exist=thosenv
a ship to take people to go
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ka leba=na t=au=ana rasalo e=u.
LOC labor-thatN SBD-exist=thatN PNLOC 3s=be.thus
in that Labor [Company) at Russell.

```
klisu-ḡu sare,
depart-1 SGP therep
So I left there,
mai hod-i=au ara banesokeo.
come take-TR=1SGOI PNLOC
they came and took me from Banesokeo.
ke rauru n̄a bla ara rasalo

PFV go.seaward IMM LMT I PNLOC
ge rouru \(t=a u-a=n a \quad\) ara-hi.
SEQ go.seaward SBD=exist-IEXCS=thatN I-EMPH I went seaward to Russell.
n-e-ge nhigo ia mağra \(e=u\).
RL- 3 S -PRS be.finished theso fight \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus The fight was finished.
ne-e-ge zaho ia maḡra.
RL-3S-PRS go theSG fight
The fight had gone.
teo \(\bar{g}-e\) ge au ia mağra.
not.exist \(\mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{~S}\) SEQ exist thesG fight
The fight wasn't present.
n-e-ge 'pis' \(t=a u=a r e \quad k a\) ooe vaka=re.
RL-3S-PRS peace \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thosen LOC talk ship=thosen
There was 'peace' in Pijin.
are-bla sare \(\bar{g}\)-e nhigo,
thosen-LMT thereP NT-3S be.finished
Those there [the fighting) was finished,
tana kolola au bla ke frin̄he kako frinhe suḡa frin̄he,
then ?? exist LMT PFV work cargo work house work then we just stayed there to work cargo, build houses, just work.
u, bla \(\bar{g}-a u=g u \quad\) rasalo \(e=u\).
um LMT NT-exist=be.thus PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) =be.thus
Um. That's what we did on Russell.
gu bla n-e lao n-e lao n-e lao n-e lao frin̄he ana,
be.thus LMT RL-3S go RL-3s go RL-3S go RL-3S go work thatN
Like that, that work went on and on and on and on,
frin̄he suğa tetena,
work house sago
we built sago [thatch] houses,
fa rauru tetena mane aro,
CS go.seaward sago man thosenv
those men [the people in the Kokota district] sent sago [thatch],
\(\bar{g}-e\) [hoda] mai hoda vaka, vaka dou ana,
NT-3S take come take ship ship be.big thatN
a ship came and took it, that big ship,
hod-i=ri \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) age rasalo
take-TR=3pLO NT-3S go PNLOC
took them all [the thatch leaves/panels] and went to Russell
[gai ta] gai ta fağoi di=re,
weexc SBD weexc SBD carry 3 PLP=thosen
and we carried them,
hure kota \(\quad t=a u=r e \quad g a i\),
carry go.ashore \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) those N weExC
we carried those ashore,
fağoi di=re sara rasalo \(e=u\).
carry 3 PLp=thosen thereD PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus
carried them there in Russell.
nhigo \(\quad t=a u=a r e \quad\) tana toka tetu mala suğa, be.finished \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thosen then chop post PURP house That finished, we cut posts for houses,
gu, gai sare \(e=u, \quad\) toka tetu \(\bar{g}\) ahao, fata, be.thus weexc therep \(3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be.thus chop post beam rafter that's what we did there, cut posts, beams, rafters,
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gu, \(\bar{g}-e\) nhigo-u, hafe,
be.thus NT-3s be.finished-CNT tie.thatch that finished, we tied the thatch,
hafe nhigo ririn̄i,
tie.thatch be.finished wall the tying finished, [then] the walls,
ririn̄i nhigo-u, tana
wall be.finished-CNT then
walls finished, then
"ade sekseni-na=o isabela ka suğa a-hi." gu maneri, here section-3SGP=thatNV PNLOC LOC house thisT-EMPH bethus they "Here, that Isabel [i.e., Banesokeo] Section in this house," they said.
gai la au ka \(t=a u=a n-e=u\).
weEXC go exist LOC SBD=exist=thatN-3s=be.thus
We lived in that one.
gu bla n-e lao n-e lao,
be.thus LMT RL-3s go RL-3S go
Like that,
n-e age lao la mane kia=re,
RL-3s go go go man PNLOC=thosen
those Kia men went [to another house],
\(\bar{g}\)-e lao la mane hoğrano are,
NT-3s go go man PNLOC thosen
those Hograno men went [to another house],
\(\bar{g}\)-e lao la mane malaita=re, mane bugotu are.
NT-3s go go man PNLOC=thoseN man Bugotu thosen
those Malaita men went [to another house], those Bugotu men.
\(g u \quad b l a \quad \bar{g}-e=u \quad k a \quad\) taem \(t=a u=a n a \quad e=u\).
bethus LMT NT-3s=be.thus LOC time \(\operatorname{SBD}=\) exist=thatN3s=be.thus Like that at that time.
la nhigo tana frin̄he bla suğ \(a=0\),
go befinished then work LMT house=thatNV
Building the house was finished,
kota kako are
go.ashore cargo thosen
[then] cargo came ashore
stakim kako ka suğa tetena ka n-e-ke frin̄he=ri are gai.
stack cargo LOC house sago LOC RL-3S-PFV work=3plo thosen weexc and we stacked the cargo in the sago thatch houses that we had built.
la fodu-i kako-di bla suḡa \(t=a u=a r e=u\).
go be.full-TR cargo-3pLP LMT house SBD=exist=thosen=be.thus
Those cargos filled up those houses.
sare bla nogoi \(\bar{g}-e\) au bla \(\bar{g}-e\) au bla \(\bar{g}-e\) au bla therep LMT vOC NT-3S exist LMT NT-3S exist LMT NT-3S exist LMT
frī̄he ana.
work thatN
There that work just continued on and on.
frinhe \(\bar{g}-e\) lao \(\bar{g}-e\) lao ke nafa, ke frin̄he gu bla work NT-3S go NT-3S go PFV rest PFV work be.thus LMT Work on and on and on, then we rested. [We] worked like that,
\(\bar{g}-e \quad l a o b l a \quad \bar{g}-e=u \quad k a \quad s u \bar{g} a \quad t=a u=a r e \quad \vec{g} i l u-n a\)
NT-3s go LMT NT-3S=be.thus LOC house \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thosen inside-3SGP went like that in those houses
kaike komhu,
one year
for a whole year.
an-bla nhigo tana \(t=a u=a r e\),
thatN-LMT be.finished then \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist-thoseN
That, then those [jobs] were finished,
an-blau nhigo-na \(=n a \quad\) ta \(=k e \quad l a o=n a \quad m a n e r i\), that \(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{LMT}\) be.finished-3SGP=thatN \(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) go=thatN they at that finish of it they went,
\(t a=k e \quad\) pulo-na mane amerika=re \(e=u\).
\(\mathrm{SBD}=\mathrm{PFV}\) return-3SGP man \(\mathrm{PNLOC=}\) thosen \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus the American men went back.
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ke pulo mane amerika=ro nhigo,
PFV return man PNLOC=thosenv be.finished Those American men went back,
age \(\bar{g}-e \quad\) lotum \(=\bar{g} a i \quad \bar{n} a\) gai mane isabel \(e=u\),
SEQ NT-3S load=1EXCO IMM weEXC man PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus [they] loaded us, we Isabel [i.e., Kokota] men,
mane malaita \(e=u \quad\) mane hoğrano \(e=u\),
man PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) =be.thus man PNLOC \(3 \mathrm{~S}=\) be.thus
Malaita men, Hograno men,
\(t=a u=\) are \(\quad\) ke hoda pulo=gai=na
\(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist=thosenPFV take return=1EXCO=that N
vaka \(t=a u=a n-e=u\).
ship \(\mathrm{SBD}=\) exist \(=\) that \(\mathrm{N}-3 \mathrm{~s}=\) be .thus
that ship took us back.
sare bla \(\bar{g}\)-a-ke mai au bla,
therep LMT NT-1EXCS-PFV come exist LMT
There we came and just stayed,
\(\bar{g}-a-k e=u \quad k a \quad n a u=d e\),
NT-1EXCS-PFV=be.thus LOC village=theseR
we were like that in this village;
[ \(\bar{g}-e\) ağe nhigo-u ia]
NT-3S go be.finished-CNT thesG
n-e age nhigo ia mağra \(e=u\).
RL-3s go be.finished theso fight \(3 s=\) be.thus the fighting was finished.
sare bla \(\bar{g}-e\) nhigo ara tu-turi=na.
thereP LMT NT-3s be.finished I RD-tell.story=thatN
There I finish my story.
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possession, 3, 79, 104, 108, 117, \(119,141,142,144,145,147\), \(149,150,151,152,153,154\), \(155,156,157,159,160,161\), \(162,163,164,165,167,168\), 187, 188, 216, 217, 274, 310, 415; alienable, 141, 153, 168; direct, \(141,142,161,165\); inalienable, \(141,144,145,147\), 151, 157; indirect, 119, 141, 153, 154, 165, 415; pseudo-locative, \(162,163,164,165,167\); verbs of, \(168,187,188\)
possessive morphology as immediacy marker, 260, 262
possessive predicate, 273, 277, 298, 322, 394
possessor-indexing, 3, 44, 55, 67, \(71,73,75,88,97,98,99,100\), \(104,105,106,107,108,117\), \(118,119,122,131,132,136\), \(137,138,139,141,142,149\), \(150,151,152,153,155,156\), \(160,164,165,166,169,170\), \(176,205,251,253,254,255\), \(260,261,262,263,264,268\), 271, 378, 381, 383
possessor-indexing host, \(3,44,104\), \(117,118,119,141,153,155\), \(165,166,169,170,176,205\), 251, 253, 254, 255, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 268, 271
possibilitative, \(7,312,313,323\), 324
preposition, 42, 46, 51, 52, 108, \(109,123,124,130,131,132\), \(138,139,162,164,219,225\), 336, 337, 340, 341, 358, 371, 378, 384, 386, 396
proclitic, \(13,36,42,46,47,51\), 292, 295
pseudo-locative possession (see possession)
purposive, 41, 50, 65, 256, 257, \(271,272,274,363,390,391\), 392, 393, 394, 395
quotative, 400,404
realis, \(7,127,240,241,242,243\), 244, 245, 247, 249, 250, 251, 253, 255, 259, 260, 273, 312, 313, 335, 336, 338, 339, 340, 348, 356, 358, 359, 361, 362, 363, 366, 380, 386, 389, 391, 392, 393, 400, 401, 402, 404
recapping, 290, 292, 294, 330, 349, 351, 353, 374, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399
reciprocal, 130, 143, 233, 234, 237, 238
recursion, 167, 371, 379
reduplication, \(1,13,14,19,24,26\), \(27,28,29,30,36,38,42,43,44\), \(46,54,56,57,63,65,66,92,97\), \(98,99,128,148,184,189,190\), 192, 193, 194, 196, 233
reflexive, 11, 71, 99, 104, 107, 119, 123, 186
relative clause, \(48,63,71,79,83\), \(94,99,100,106,108,110,111\), \(112,113,216,217,244,277\), 309, 316, 347, 349, 359, 362, \(365,366,367,368,369,370\), \(371,372,379,391,394,395\)
Ross, Malcolm D., 2, 3, 9, 81, 94, \(103,195,260,415\)

Santa Isabel, 1, 2, 3, 79, 134, 151, \(211,259,414,415,416\)
semantic role hierarchy, 171, 172, 176, 177, 186, 190, 191
Sisiğa, 1,2, 135, 192, 334
sonorant, sonority, \(3,5,6,7,8,19\), \(21,24,61,415\); voiceless sonorant, \(3,19,24\)
spatial reference (see also deixis), 415
spatial relations (see also deixis), 130; absolute frame of reference, \(125,130,134,136,235\); relative and intrinsic frame of reference, \(125,130,136\)
specificity, \(80,81,83,84,102,251\)
stress, \(3,13,19,23,25,30,31,32\), \(33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41\), \(42,43,44,45,46,47,53,55,60\), \(61,62,124,234,403,415\)
subject-indexing, 20, 67, 247, 283, 285, 359
subordination, \(358,359,380,394\)
superlative, 236
syllable onset, \(18,19,20,21,22\), \(23,25,28,30,47,48,49,50,53\), \(54,55,56,58,59,60,61,62\)
tag ('be thus') clause, \(10,13,50\), \(245,356,357,398,400,402\), 403
tense, 127, 128, 240, 241, 242, 243, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 258, 259, 267, 271, 272, 273, 274, 308, 332, 390, 391, 393
topic, 3, 217, 273, 274, 277, 278, 279, 281, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 302, 304, 306, 311, 331, 336, 339, 341, 364, 365, 381, 384
transitive verb (see verb-bivalent)
transitivity (see verb)
transitivizing suffix, 173, 179, 192, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 204, 234, 262, 264
trochee, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, \(38,39,40,41,42,53,54,55,60\), 61
unaccusative (see verb)
undergoer macrorole, \(65,152,171\), 172, 175, 191, 193, 194, 197, 199, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, \(206,222,256,269,342,366\), \(368,369,372,376,387,394\)
unergative (see verb)
valency (see verb)
Van Valin, Robert, 171, 415, 416
velarization, 11
verb-bivalent, \(175,178,179,188\), \(189,192,194,195,196,199\), \(200,202,203,375,378\); monovalent, 177, 178, 188, 189, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199. \(200,201,202,203\); stative, 27 , \(63,64,94,95,96,97,98,99\), \(100,103,110,177,179,189\), \(198,200,205,234,235,236\),

\section*{INDEX}
\(257,266,315,342,366 ;\)
trivalent, \(180,375,378\);
unaccusative, \(27,66,151,171\), \(192,193,194,197,198,200\), \(264,278,286,295,342,366\), 368, 371, 374, 381, 394;
unergative, \(27,29,66,151,190\), \(192,193,197,198,199,201\), \(254,264,278,286,295,342\), \(364,366,368,371,373,381\), 394
verb complex, \(49,50,128,169\), \(171,173,175,176,203,205\), \(206,207,213,233,238,259\), \(260,264,265,267,268,271\), 272, 278, 279, 303, 308, 317, \(319,321,324,326,327,328\), \(336,338,339,340,345,356\), \(357,369,371,383,387,398\)
verb serialization, \(133,175,207\), \(212,213,223,224,238,252\), 267
vocative, \(68,140,296,329\)
vowel syncope, \(8,15,20,21,23\), \(25,47,49,50,51,52,53,54,55\), 56,57, 78

WH interrogation (see interrogation-constituent; interrogation-identity)
White, Geoffrey, 4, 9, 45, 70, 95, 416

Ysabel, 3

Zabana, 2, 3, 9, 23, 174, 415
zero anaphora, 64, 279, 369
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although spoken on Santa Isabel, Bugotu is a South-East Solomonic language.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ This subgroup is traditionally known as the Ysabel chain, reflecting the preindependence spelling of the island's name. Here the modern name Isabel is used.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ On the basis of their respective functions it could be argued that the suffix and the enclitic actually reflect separate occurrences of a single morpheme.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The preponderance of the high back vowel throughout these examples is not significant; they were chosen to maximally display the phenomenon.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Only underlying clusters have been counted (casual speech surface clusters generated by vowel syncope or glide formation have not). However, underlying clusters in initial echo syllables are excluded as these never occur on the surface (see \$2.4.1.2). The no-onset figures do not include vowels which undergo diphthong formation. Kokota has no phonemic diphthongs, all diphthongs being generated from underlying VV sequences. The no-onset figure represents vowels occurring on the surface as the nucleus of a syllable with no onset, but not those surfacing as the V 2 of a diphthong nucleus.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ This apparently odd loan is a relatively high frequency item in Goveo village, often used to refer to the former Speaker of the Provincial Assembly, a resident of Goveo.
    ${ }^{7}$ Presumably from 'stink beetle'.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ Several errors crept into Palmer (1999b) after proofing: 1) Part of the final paragraph on p. 77 has been lost. That paragraph should read: "Within the three broad classes, the place variation apparent in Table 1 lies with the labiodental fricatives and the glottal /h/. In addition the voiced post-alveolar fricative is palatalized medially under the influence of a following high front vowel, while its voiceless counterpart is not." 2) One member of the minimal pair in (2.2)c. is incorrect and should read [nayoㅇ. 3) Table 3 contains errors, most importantly $v$ appearing instead of the intended $n$. The table should be identical to Table 2.12 above.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Unlike some related languages. In Simbo (New Georgia) in the absence of an initial syllable onset the second syllable onset reduplicates, so /opere/ 'spear' gives /opopere/ 'one who spears', perhaps suggesting reduplicative infixation in Simbo.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ /girenire/ 'cicada'; /nurunuru/ 'make k.o. sound' (water over rocks, wake of a boat)',
    ${ }^{11}$ Possibly cognate with /bubulo/ 'morning ground mist' and /buloma/ 'inedible betel sp.'
    ${ }^{12}$ Possibly reflecting the same now lost root as /mamara/ 'be deep', /marakasa/ 'rainbow runner (fish)', /maraval 'bluefin trevally', and/marafa/ 'crayfish sp.'.

[^9]:    ${ }^{13}$ As the language is undergoing a shift from moraic trochees to syllabic trochees, for the purposes of this section 'trochee' refers to both trochaic syllables and trochaic moras.

[^10]:    ${ }^{14}$ Two other verbs occur coding volitional events resulting in the death of another. One, faaknu, means to intentionally kill another person, so has the more specific meaning 'murder'. The other, faroho (and its archaic variant farogoho), is used in descriptions of battles and means 'strike', usually implying the death of the person struck. However, the resulting death is not inherent in the semantics, but an assumed consequence of the blow, and not all struck in this way die. The verb, therefore, appears to mean something like 'strike with a potentially fatal blow'. Consequently it is glossed here as 'smite'. Neither of these more specific verbs, therefore, express a general notion equivalent to kill.
    ${ }^{15}$ White et al. (1988:163) cryptically give an entry for rorohi, comment that it is from rohi, and then give an example with rohi. The entry for rohi simply says "see rorohi."

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ These include the immediacy particle $\eta a$, the conditional marker $l a$, the limiter bla, and the initial marker fea.

[^12]:    ${ }^{17}$ The particle $l a$ marks conditional clauses.
    ${ }^{18}$ For a discussion of clausal demonstratives, see §3.1.3.3.

[^13]:    ${ }^{19}$ The exact semantic distinctions between these demonstratives are described in §3.1.3.

[^14]:    ${ }^{20}$ See §2.4.1.2 for a discussion of this C 2 deletion.
    ${ }^{21}$ See $\$ 2.5 .6 .1$ for the prosodic effects of reduplication and the regularization of irregular prosodic structure in reduplicated lexemes.

[^15]:    ${ }^{22}$ It is worth noting that while speakers routinely produce these reduced variants in casual speech, when tested they are regarded as being acceptable but not good Kokota.

[^16]:    ${ }^{23}$ The sequence $=m u$ plus a demonstrative with initial $/ a /$ here undergoes glide onset formation, the segments /ua/ occurring on the surface as [wa]. However, in \$2.6.9 (example [2.101]) a phonologically and morphological similar sequence undergoes vowel coalescence (/ua/ occurring on the surface as [0]). No basis for determining choice of these rival strategies has been identified.

[^17]:    ${ }^{24}$ Vaka 'ship' occurs in several nominal compounds, indicating that the referent of the head nominal is non-indigenous. These include gase-vaka ('woman-ship') 'white/Asian woman' and ooe-vaka ('talk-ship') 'English, Pijin'. Vaka also occurs widely as a modifying nominal (see \$3.2.3).

[^18]:    ${ }^{25}$ This is largely synonymous with viri 'tobacco', but tends to be used when it is about to be, or is being, smoked, while viri is used when the smoking is less immediate, for example, when buying tobacco at a store.

[^19]:    ${ }^{26}$ The formal and functional characteristics of preverbal subject-indexing are discussed in \$7.5.2.

[^20]:    ${ }^{27}$ A titili is a group of standing stones with pre-Christian spiritual significance.

[^21]:    ${ }^{28}$ Cheke Holo (White et al. 1988:69-70), has an identical form thei with the same initial vowel elision. In Cheke Holo, however, the most common variant appears to be hei. The initial /i/ is presumably connected to the initial vowel in the Cheke Holo first and second person independent pronouns tara and iago, corresponding to the Kokota ara and ago, presumably reflecting the Proto Oceanic personal article *i.

[^22]:    ${ }^{29}$ The following glossing abbreviations will be used: touching - T; within reach -R ; nearby but out of reach -N ; distant but potentially visible -PV ; and non-visible -NV .

[^23]:    ${ }^{30}$ However, children in the village school are addressed by their English name, even by teachers who are close relatives and who use their custom names outside school.

[^24]:    ${ }^{31}$ Boro refers to the practice of a mother and newly born infant remaining lying in seclusion for a period after the birth.
    ${ }^{32}$ Ross (pers. com.) points out that both keha and the numeral kaike 'one' appear to reflect Proto Oceanic terms for 'one'. In synchronic Kokota keha is non-specific and kaike specific.

[^25]:    ${ }^{33}$ The verb ohai means 'keep' only in the sense of animal husbandry.

[^26]:    ${ }^{34}$ This is an expression meaning it is not possible to know what is going on in private.
    ${ }^{35}$ Vaka 'ship' occurs frequently as a modifier indicating that the referent is of a type introduced since European contact, not of a traditional type. Manufactured umbrellas are $\bar{n} e h e-v a k a$ ('umbrella-ship') while the traditional leaf umbrella, kaku-vaka ('bananaship') refers to a banana species identical to those normally grown commercially in Australia and thus presumably introduced, and so on. The opposite, traditional as opposed to introduced, is expressed by use of the adjective mata 'bush' (see \$3.2.3.1.1.)

[^27]:    a. pile mairi
    side left
    'left side"

[^28]:    ${ }^{36}$ Wistin refers to the Solomon Islands' Western Province and to people from that area.

[^29]:    ${ }^{37}$ Some speakers say legu 'behind' is a recent term, and that bete is the true Kokota term, still used by old people. I did not encounter bete in use and it is not in my corpus.

