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## FOREWORD

Since the discovery of ancient Ugarit, the study of its literature and its language has enjoyed significant achievements. During the ensuing years grammars of this language have been written, the most important of which are in English, viz. the reference grammar by Cyrus Gordon 1965 and the introductory grammar by Stanislav Segert 1984. Gordon's work, though thorough and basic, is out of date and Segert's basic grammar was intended as a student's grammar. Consequently, there remains a need for an up-to-date reference grammar.

I owe a debt of thanks to all those who were closely related to this project. First of all, I am indebted to Professor A. F. Rainey who has constantly been reminding me during the past twenty five years of the need for a new grammar of Ugaritic. Obviously, his comments and his suggestions are found throughout this work. Although the urgent need for an up-to-date grammar containing the results of current research motivated the present work, the path for the present work was paved by a Hebrew version with the more modest aim of providing an introductory grammar for the Hebrew speaking audience. Professor Anson F. Rainey made an English translation of my introductory Ugaritic Grammar from Hebrew which facilitated the present work.

I am deeply indebted to Professor B. Levine who encouraged me to publish this book in Handbuch der Orientalistik. Thanks to my friend and teacher Dr. I. Sadka for his help and guidance in the chapter on the syntax. Professor William Schniedewind also read through the manuscript and offered suggestions.

Special thanks are due to Mr. Sh. Yonah for his thorough reading the manuscript. Many of his suggestions (especially in the sphere of biblical and Ugaritic poetry) helped to eliminate many infelicitous nuances throughout the book.

This book have been benefited from criticism by many other scholars, including (in alphabetical order): Dr. K. Abraham, Professor Sh. Ahituv, Professor J. Blau, Professor Ch. Cohen, Professor M. Fruchtman, Professor A. Hurvitz, Mr. M. Morgenstern, Professor E. Qimron, Dr. D. Talshir, Dr. Y. Ben-Tolila and Dr. P. Tromer.

My son, Gal Sivan, deserves mention for designing and creating the Ugaritic cuneiform font.

Special thanks are due to the faculty of Humanities in Ben-Gurion University (especially to the Dean Professor J. Weinblatt) and to Beit Berl college for their financial support.

Needless to say, only the author can be held accountable for any faults or shortcomings in the present work. It is only hoped that errors and omissions are minimal and that the resulting grammar will be useful to others.

Daniel Sivan<br>Beer Sheva<br>September, 1996

## ABBREVIATIONS

| AANLR acc. | Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei Rendiconti Morali. accusative. |
| :---: | :---: |
| AfO | Archiv fuir Orientforschung. |
| AHw | Von Soden, W., Akkadisches Handwörterbuch, Wiesba den, 1959-1981. |
| Akk. | Akkadian. |
| Arab. | Arabic. |
| Aram. | Aramaic. |
| AuOr | Aula Orientalia. |
| BASOR | Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research. |
| BibOr | Bibbia e Oriente. |
| CAD | The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of Chicago. |
| CDUL | Ch. Cohen, Comprehensive Dictionary of the Ugaritic Language. (forthcoming) |
| c. | common. |
| Clas. | Classical. |
| CRAIBL | Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres. |
| DN | Deity Name. |
| du. | dual. |
| $E A$ | Knudtzon, J. A., Die El-Amarna-Tafeln, 2 Vols, Leipzig 1915 (reprinted Aalen 1964). |
| f. | feminine. |
| gen. | genetive. |
| GN | Geographical Name. |
| HAR | Hebrew Annual Reviere. |
| Heb. | Hebrew. |
| IE7 | Israel Exploration Journal. |
| IOS | Israel Oriental Studies. |
| JANES | Journal of the Ancient Near Eastern Society of Columbia University. |
| ${ }^{\prime} A O S$ | Journal of the American Oriental Society. |
| f $B L$ | Fournal of Biblical Literature. |
| 7NES | Journal of Near Eastern Studies. |
| 7NSL | Fournal of Northwest Semitic Languages. |
| 7SS | Journal of Semitic Studies. |

Inschriften, Wiesbaden, 3 Vols, 1966-1969.
$K L$
Kâmid el-Lôz.
$K T U^{2}$ Dietrich, M., Loretz, O. and Sanmartín, J., The Cuneiform Alphabetic Texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani and Other Places, Münster, 1995.
lit. literary.

LXX
m.

Mid.
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obliq.
OLP
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PN
Prd
PRU
$R A$
$R B$
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$R \check{S}$
s .
Sem.
TO I
TO II
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vol.
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Revue biblique.
Revista degli Studi Orientali.
Ras Shamra tablet number. singular.
Semitic. spondance), Paris, 1989.
volume.

Die Welt des Orients.
Zeitschrift fir Assyriologie.
enclose damaged sign(s).

Revue d'assyriologie et d'archéologic Orientale.

Caquot, A., Sznycer, M. and Herdner, A., Textes ougaritiques, I (mythes et légendes), Paris, 1974.
Caquot, A., de Tarragon, J-M and Cunchillos, J-L, Textes ougaritiques, II (textes religieux et rituels, corre-

Nougayrol, J. et al. Ugaritica V, Paris, 1968.
Al-Ouche, A. F. et al., Ugaritica VII, Paris, 1978. Vetus Testamentum (Supplements).

Zeitschrift fir die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft.
Zeitschrift des Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft.
enclose partialy damaged $\operatorname{sign}(\mathrm{s})$.
enclose phonetic normalization.
gloss sign.
indicates another possible reconstruction or
interpretation; or indicates a form separated in two
lines.
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## INTRODUGTION

## General Remarks

The ancient harbor city of Ugarit was located in north Syria, eleven kilometers north of Latakia, at the site known today by the Arabic name Râs Shamra. In the spring of 1929 an archaeological expedition headed by Claude F. A. Schaeffer began excavations on the Tel and soon uncovered findings dating between the $14^{\text {th }}$ and the $12^{\text {th }}$ centuries B.C.E. In the ensuing season excavations uncovered clay tablets inscribed in Ugaritic, Akkadian (Peripheral Middle Babylonian), Hurrian, Hittite and Sumerian. The documents were of various types including literary, administrative and lexical fragments.

The alphabetic texts excavated at Râs Shamra currently number about 1253. Excavations at other sites unearthed more tablets: Râs Ibn-Hâni (some 61 texts), Bet Shemesh ( 1 text), Hala Sultan Tekke (1 text), Mount Tabor (l text), Kâmed el-Lôz/Kumidi (2 texts), Sarepta (1 text), Tel Sukas ( 1 text), Tel Nebi Mend (1 text) and Tel Taanak (l text). These texts have been typologically divided into seven main genres ( $K T U^{2}$, p. X): "Literary and Religious Texts" ( $=K T U^{2} 1$ ); "Letters" (= $K T U^{2}$ 2); "Legal Texts" (= $K T U^{2} 3$ ); "Economic texts" ( $=K T U^{2} 4$ ); "Scribal Exercises" ( $=K T U^{2} 5$ ); "Inscriptions on Seals, Labels, Ivorys, etc". (= $\left.K T U^{2} 6\right) ;$ "Not Classified Texts" ( $=K T U^{2} 7$ ).

At the present time, these clay tablets represent the only substantial second millennium B. C. E. source wholly written in the language of the inhabitants of the greater Syria-Israel region. Other valuable written sources include the Mari letters, the Amarna letters and most recently the tablets from Emar, but all these are written in the lingua franca of the day, i.e. Akkadian.

Numerous Ugaritic tablets contain portions of a poetic cycle pertaining to Baal and his sister Anat, about the head of the pantheon, El, and his consort, Ashera, and about Athtar and the divine craftsman, master of arts and crafts, Kothar.

Other mythological texts include the story of the marriage of the deities Nikkal and the moon, Yarih, and a ritual drama about the
birth of the good and beautiful gods, the heroes of which are El and the two gods Shahar and Shalem. Legendary material was also found concerning the hero Daniel and his son, Aqhat. Other documents recount the legend of king Keret and his military campaign against Udum, the motivation of which was Keret's desire to take a wife who could bear him a son (cf. further Cassuto 1965:13-17).

The administrative documents from Ugarit shed much light on the organization of the kingdom. A portion of these texts were written in Ugaritic, and others were written in Akkadian (Peripheral Middle Babylonian), which was the international diplomatic language of the Late Bronze Age. Inasmuch as Akkadian was not the mother tongue of the Ugaritian scribes, they consciously or unconsciously inserted many Ugaritic words (approximately 300 words) in the Akkadian texts. Those words are especially important for the study of Ugaritic because their vowels are indicated.

Nevertheless, many of the Ugaritic tablets are broken, a fact that increases the difficulty of studying Ugaritic, the knowledge of which is still only partial in many respects. Therefore, the interpretation of many Ugaritic passages remains obscure and there remain numerous conflicting opinions among the scholars engaged in their study.

The Ugaritic alphabetic texts have been assembled in several editions. The most important of them was published in 1976 by Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín under the title Die keilalphabetischen Texte aus Ugarit (abbreviated KTU). The edition includes all texts known up to the time of its publication. Additional Ugaritic texts discovered later at Râs Ibn-Ĥâni were published by Bordrueil and Caquot in 1979 and 1980 in the journal Syria; the texts from that site are designated by the sigla $R I H$. In the present work I made use of the second and enlarged edition of $\kappa T U\left(K T U^{2}\right)$ published in 1995. It contains all cuneiform alphabetic texts from Ugarit, Râs Ibn-Ĥâni and other places in Syria and Palestine.

The Akkadian texts from Ugarit were assembled and published in the series, Le Palais royal d'Ugarit, cited as PRU (volumes III, IV and VI ) and in Ugaritica V (abbreviated $U g$ 5).

## The Ugaritic Language

Ugaritic belongs to the language group known as Northwest Semitic. A few scholars hold the view that Ugaritic is a Canaanite dialect
(the Canaanite languages include the Old Canaanite of the Amarna glosses, Phoenician, Moabite and Biblical Hebrew; cf. al-Yasin 1952:175-187 and most recently Tropper 1994:343-353; for possible relations with Arabic cf. Kaye 1991:115-118). Others maintain that Ugaritic is an independent language quite distinct from Canaanite.

We share the view of these latter scholars. There is evidence in the texts that the Ugaritians did not see themselves as Canaanites but distinguished themselves from them. One entry ( $K T U^{2} 4.96$ ) indicates that the people of Ugarit viewed a Canaanite as a foreigner like an Egyptian, an Ashdodite and an Assyrian. Further support for this view derives from a text written in Akkadian ( $U g 5$ no. 36). In that text there is reference to a payment which the "sons of Ugarit" must pay to "the sons of Canaan", probably as reparations or compensation for someone murdered in Ugarit. This demonstrates that there was a legal distinction between the citizens of Ugarit and those of Canaan. It is thus clear that the Ugaritians distinguished themselves from Canaanites geographically, ethnically and politically (cf. Rainey 1967:57,87,109).

Also from the standpoint of language it appears that the Ugaritic language was a Northwest Semitic one, but not Canaanite. Anyone who examines the Ugaritic language will become aware of marked differences between it and the Canaanite dialects. The following are the most striking of those differences:
l. The shifts $z>t$ and $z>g$.
2. The absence of the shift $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$.
3. There is no definite article.
4. Instead of the shift $\underline{d}>z$ there exists the shift $\underline{d}>d$.
5. It does not have the relative pronouns $\check{s}$-, ' ' $\check{s}$ or 'aser.
6. The causative stem is Shaphel.
7. There are independent pronouns for the accusative and the genitive.
8. Nearly all the Proto-Semitic consonants were preserved in Ugaritic unlike most of the other Northwest Semitic languages.

Because of the limited corpus of texts and the fragmentary nature of many of them, we are constrained to reconstruct words and even whole sentences in order to make sense out of some passages. When we recognize that all historical linguistic reconstructions are necessarily conjectures, it is no wonder that the study of Ugaritic, which
depends in large measure on reconstructions and various assumptions regarding the fixing of vocalic structure, leads to frequent disagreements between scholars. Therefore, the reconstructions, readings and opinions that we suggest in this book are often tentative and other interpretations are possible.

## Stylistic Connections Between Ugaritic Literature and The Bible

Ever since the discovery of the Ugaritic writings many studies have been written concerning the expressions of style and of form that are common to Ugaritic and Biblical literature both in larger literary units and isolated refrains. Phenomena such as word pairs, continuation from column to column (so-called "gapping"), chiastic constructions, yqtl-qtl or qtl-yqtl sequences, metaphors, similes, recurring refrains, etc., have been discussed extensively during the past sixty years.

The profound connection between the two literatures serves to elucidate many difficult passages in the Bible on one hand and points to a common stylistic stock on the other. The following are a few examples (without scholarly commentary):

## Examples From Ugaritic Literature Which Elucidate Difficult Biblical Passages

 Ugaritic, the forms יָּיָּ Loewenstamm (1962:205-208) and Pardee (1978c:204-213) showed that the Ugaritic $y p h$ "witness" (4.258,5 and elsewhere) provided the proper rendering of the Biblical terms. Confirmation was found in

 (Prov. 19:9). As a result of this solution, it was possible to make

 paralleled to יֵר. Therefore, the original of the verse may have read "because the vision (has been written down) as a witness to the appointed time".
 (Prov. 21:9) and oikía kotvṇ̂ (Prov. 25:24) the Biblical Hebrew expression was assumed to be the result of metathesis, the original
having been בַּית רָחָּ "wide house", which would stand in contrasting parallelism to bt hbr in Ugaritic ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 29$ ) and Akkadian bīt hubūuri (cf. CAD H, p. 220b) with the meaning "house of noise", "noisy house", the Proverbs passage may be understood in a different manner, namely that "the corner of a roof" may be a quiet place as opposed to a noisy house. The Biblical text already hints in this direction; בית חבר is parallel to כעס "anger" in Prov. 21:19 (cf. Albright 1955:2-11 and especially Cohen 1976:598-599 and 1978:139-140, n. 78a).

 (Prov. 26:23). After the form spsg (1.17 VI,36) was discovered in Ugaritic with the apparent meaning of "glazing material" used to glaze pottery, a new reading was proposed for the Biblical passage,
 enclitic mem. The mem can also be taken as the result of dittography (cf. Albright 1955:12-13 and Cohen 1978:122-123). That suggestion suits the parallelism and finds some support from the fact that ככס כיגף is not found elsewhere in the Bible (one does find

 "Your silver has become dross" (Isa. 1:22) the word סיגים is not employed in combination.

## Literary Examples Common to the Bible and Ugaritic Literature

Progression of Numbers - This formula has been discussed at length in many studies. The most frequent formula has one number in the first member of the parallelism and a number one digit higher in the second member (i.e. $x / / x+1$ ). In that model things are numbered according to the larger figure (cf. Roth 1965:42-49; Weiss 1967:307-318; Haran 1972:238-267; Zakovitch 1977; Avishur 1981:1-9). A striking example from Ugaritic is:
$\underline{t n}$ dbḥm šn'a b'l tlt $r k b$ 'rpt "Two sacrifices Baal hates, three the Rider of the Clouds" (1.4 III, 17-18; the continuation of the text describes the three kinds of sacrifices).
The following are Biblical examples:
Six, these the Lord hates and seven are the abomination of his soul" (Prov. 6:16).
"Three are they that
are too wonderful for me and four I know them not" (Prov. 30:18).
For three things the earth is disquieted and four it cannot bear" (Prov. 30:21).

The Expanded Colon - This is a formation in which one line is expanded to two by the sequence: an open formula, a vocative particle (usually), a repeated formula and a culminating formula (cf. especially Loewenstamm 1969b:176-196, for a thorough survey of the phenomenon; also Greenstein 1974:87-105). The following are examples from Ugarit:
$h t$ 'ibk b'lm ht 'ibk tmhs "Behold your enemies, O Baal, behold your enemies you will smite" (1.2 IV, 8-9).
 the hero, request life and I will give (it) to you" (1.17 VI,2627).

Examples from the Bible:
 bough by a spring" (Gen. 49:22).
Till the people pass over, O Lord, till the people pass over which you have purchased" (Exod. 15:16b).
隹 "The waters saw you, O God, the waters saw you, they were afraid" (Ps 77:17).
"For behold your enemies, O Lord, for behold your enemies will perish" (Ps 92:10).
You have ravished my heart, my sister, (my) bride, you have ravished my heart with one of your eyes" (Cant. 4:9).
 return, return, that we may look upon you" (Cant. 7:1a).

## Word Pairs

The study of word pairs has enjoyed considerable attention ever since the publication of the Ugaritic tablets. The leading scholars in this endeavor have been Ginsberg, Cassuto, Held, Loewenstamm, Dahood and Greenfield. The most extensive study on this topic is that of Avishur (1984). Examples of Ugaritic word pairs common to Biblical literature are:
$y d / / y m n$ "hand//right (hand)" (1.2 I,39), 'arṣ//'pr "earth// dust"
(1.2 IV,5), ks//krpn "cup//goblet" (1.3 I,10-11), bt//hzr "house//
courtyard" (1.14 III,28-29), ' $/ \mathrm{m} / / \mathrm{drdr}$ "era//generations on generations" (1.2 IV,10) 'ib//ṣrt "enemy//oppressor" (1.2 IV,9), riš//qdqd "head//top of head" (1.16 VI,56-57), 「h1 $l b / / h m^{\prime} a t$ "milk//butter" (1.23,14), ksp//hrs "silver//gold" (1.24,20-21), ${ }^{\prime} t{ }^{7} r \underline{t} / / y n$ "new wine//wine" ( $1.17 \mathrm{VI}, 7-8$ ), hym//bl mt "life//immortality" (1.16 I,14-15), mlk//tpt "king//judge" (1.4 IV,43-44).

## Method of Presentation

1. Books, articles and journals are cited by the accepted abbreviations. All the Ugaritic texts from Ugarit, Râs Ibn-Ĥâni and other places are cited by their numbers in $\mathrm{KTU}^{2}$. The Akkadian texts from Ugarit are cited according to the number and page as established by the editors of $P R U$ III, $P R U$ IV, $P R U$ VI and $U g 5$.
2. Throughout most of the book, text references are given for the various words dealt with.
3. The vowels of Ugaritic were distinguished by length. Vowels without the macron are considered short, the conjectured grammatical length in forms is indicated by the macron, $\bar{a} \bar{\imath} \bar{o} \bar{u}$, while long vowels resulting from the reduction of diphthongs, triphthongs or from elisions of aleph or hé are marked by the circumflex, $\hat{a} \hat{\varepsilon} \hat{\imath}$ $\hat{o} \hat{u}$.
4. This grammar ignores proper nouns, since those preserve archaic elements and it is not possible to deduce much evidence from them for the linguistic stage described in this study. Furthermore, the fact that a particular name occurs in a Ugaritic text does not guarantee that it is Ugaritic in origin. Such a name may be foreign, e.g. Canaanite, Hurrian or Hittite.
5. The readings suggested in this book are in square brackets while Proto-Ugaritic reconstructions are in parentheses. Thus, for example, the suggested reconstruction of the word 'ibr is [ibbīru], while the Proto-Ugaritic reconstruction is ('abbīru).
6. Proper names comprised of two components joined by the $w-$ conjunction (such as $g p n$ w'amrr) are taken here as two entities, though there are those who prefer to see them as one. This is noted in the discussion of the various dual forms.
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## CHAPTER ONE

## ORTHOGRAPHY

The Ugaritic alphabet contains 30 cuneiform signs which express 27 consonantal phonemes. This alphabet is attested in a fixed order in several documents (e.g. 5.6; 5.12; 5.13; 5.17). The following are the cuneiform signs according to that order as found in those texts with their equivalents in Latin characters and the accepted diacritical marks below:
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General Remarks

1. Scholars have long since noted the resemblance and the differences between the order of the letters in the Ugaritic and the Hebrew alphabets (cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1989:101-112).
2. The Ugaritic alphabet does not indicate vowels except for the three aleph-signs which indicate the vowels $a, i, u$, and the reason for their invention is not known. As a result, these aleph-signs play a major role in the interpretation of the vocalic sequences and the morphology of Ugaritic (as will be seen in discussions throughout this book).
3. The signs for ' ${ }^{\prime},{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} u$, $\grave{s}$ seem superfluous and the common assumption is that they were added at a later stage. The original
sign was ' $a$ and it stood for aleph without distinguishing any vowel. With the addition of the signs for ' $i$ and ' $u$, the first sign lost some of its functions and came to stand for ' $a$ only (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:7-12; for 'a representing vowelless aleph see infra).

The sign $\grave{s}$ seems to have the same usage as the $s$, since they are used interchangeably. It is generally, but not always, limited to loanwords, e.g.:
$m[r]^{\text {² }} u^{1}$ ' $k k n(4.36,3)$ beside $m r^{\prime} u s k n$ "the officer's troops" $(4.99,13)$; sise (4.427,22; an Indo-European word) beside sswm "horse(s)" (1.20 II,3); ks'u (1.4 VIII,12) beside $k s^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ "chair" (1.53,7). Likewise $^{2}$ in personal names (Indo-European names) such as 'arsiwn (4.35 II,4) beside 'arswn (4.54,10); ìm (4.75 III,2) beside sm (4.39,3). The two signs can even appear in the same word, e.g. ymss' "it should be melted" ( $1.85,3$; from the root MSS).
Recently Segert (1983c:201-218) pointed out that the sign $\dot{s}$ does express vowelless [s], but also can stand for the syllabic values [su] and $[s \overrightarrow{0}]$. This idea is difficult to accept for it is not known why Ugaritic needed to express a syllabic value just for this consonant (cf. Blau 1985:292). However, since this sign is used especially with foriegn words and is placed at the end of the alphabet, it would seem to be a non-Semitic borrowing and that might explain its having a syllabic value, i.e., it follows the rule of other cuneiform languages.

Since the alphabetical lists from Ugarit were discovered much later, the modern dictionaries composed in the wake of the initial discovery of the language follow an order close to that used for Hebrew. The Ugaritic consonants not found in Hebrew were inserted in logical places to produce the following accepted order (the non-Hebrew letters are in parentheses):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\prime} a \text {, ’i, ’ubgd(d)hwzh(h)t(z)yklmns(s)'(g)psqr} \\
& s t(\underline{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Text 5.14 preserves a portion of the alphabetic signs, each followed by an Akkadian syllabic sign. The syllabic values have been used to reconstruct the beginnings of the respective names of each letter, e.g. $a$ for ' $a(l p u)$ "ox", be for bê (tu) "house", $g a$ for $g a(m l u)$ "throw stick", etc. The resulting names correspond to the names of the pictographs of the Proto-Sinaitic script (Albright 1950b:23-24;

Cross and Lambdin 1960:21-26; Cross 1967:23*-24*; and also Speiser 1964:42-47).
5. A few of the Ugaritic cuneiform signs are similar in shape and are easily confused. It is possible that even the Ugaritian scribes may have made such errors, and this must be taken into consideration when dealing with the text of any particular document. The following signs are especially noteworthy for their similarity to one another: $n$ and $r, k$ and $r$, ' and $t, k$ and $w, h$ and $t,{ }^{\prime} u, d$ and $b$.

There are a few sign combinations that are similar to some other compound sign, e.g. the qof looks like taw and 'ayin, the lamed looks like sadi followed by gimel, the nun could be a combination of taw and aleph plus $a$-vowel.

## Writing and Orthography

Ugaritic is written from left to right but there are a few texts with right-to-left orientation, e.g. 4.31. Those minority texts are set apart from the body of regular Ugaritic documents by other characteristic features, so that it would appear that they reflect a different school from that prevailing at Ugarit. For example, these minority texts use the sign $h$ for both $h$ and $h$; and there is a text which uses a circle, $\varnothing$, to represent $\dot{s}, \check{s}$, and $\underline{t}$ (cf. 4.31,2).

The Ugaritian scribes were not consistent in dividing words. Usually, words were separated by a small wedge, Y (which may sometimes be confused with gimel because of the similarity in shape). In modern transcriptions, the word divider is represented by a period. There are many instances, however, when no word divider was used in the original but when words are separated by a space on the tablet (e.g. 1.92, especially lines 1-9). At times, the words are written in an unbroken scquence and the reader has to decide where to divide between words. One finds instances when a word is separated from its proclitic particle or from its suffixed pronoun and there are even word dividers within words. Here are some examples:
$k m . l b$ "as the heart of" (1.6 II, 29); w.'ap "and even" (2.11,13); l.'umy "to my mother" (2.13,6); w.rgm "and say" (2.13,13); w
 bring them out" (1.2 IV,2); ym.gyn "he arrives" (1.19 IV,8); l.qh "they took" (4.188,18,20); bn.sm "men" (4.243,6); l.'. $i^{\top} k^{1} t$ "you
(m.s.) sent" $(2.45,25)$; ${ }^{〔} n p{ }^{1} . \tilde{S}^{\prime}$ "soul" (1.5 I,14). Likewise in personal names, e.g. n.m [ $\left.{ }^{N} \bar{N} r a \bar{a} n u\right](4.188,12) ;{ }^{〔} p{ }^{\top} d r .{ }^{\top} y^{1}$ [Pidrāya] (1.106,11); dn.'il [Dann((v) $\left.{ }^{\prime} i l u\right]$ (1.17 II,24).

Generally, the word divider does not come at the end of a line though there are some exceptions such as 1.3 II, $33 ; 1.13,4,5,6$ etc.; 1.14 IV,44,45; $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 9,14,41 ; 4.188,12,13$. In this grammar, we shall separate words and particles by spaces.

Occasionally the Ugaritian scribes separated lines by using horizontal lines; cf. for example $1.13,1.52,1.108,4.12$ etc.

The lines of a text do not necessarily correspond to sentence components or to the lines of a poem. Words may even be divided at the end of a line and continue on the next line (cf. most recently Segert 1987:283-288). Examples:
$b / k y t$ [bākiyātu] "weepers, mourning women" (1.19 IV, 9-10); $q d q / d$ [qadqadu/qudqudu] "top of head" (1.2 IV,21-22); tm/thss [timtahasu/timtahisu] "you (m.s.) smite" (1.3 II, 5-6); yšl/h [yislahu] "he melts" (1.4 I,25-26); ysq/m [yasiqu-ma] "he pours, casts" (1.4 I,27-28); $l$ 'aq/ht "to Aqhat" (1.19 IV, 15-16); $\underline{t} / / \underline{t}[\underline{t} a l a \bar{a} t u]$ "three" (4.203, 11-12).

Ugaritic writing does not indicate gemination of consonants. The doubled consonant is written with one sign only (there is no basis for the suggestion of Good [1981:119-121], that consonants $l, m, n, r$ may be written twice when geminated). Thus, for example:
prt [parratu] "cow" (1.5 V,18); prm [parrüma] "bulls" (4.142,1); dlt
[dallatu] "poor (f.s.)" (1.82,24); likewise ttt [tittatu] "six" (4.341,9);
 'um ['ummu] "mother" ( $1.82,9$ ). It seems that the word $y$ ddll "he is suppressed" $(1.103+1.145,46)$ does not indicate a gemination of $d$, but it is better considered as dittography (contra Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:142). The same is true with mtt [mittă] "you are dead" (1.5 V,17; cf. Gordon 1949:42 and 1967:79; Aartun 1978:93; TO I, p. 248; Rin and Rin 1996:294), where apparently the form does not represent gemination of $t$, but rather dittography (contra Verreet [1988:93] who transcribes mitVtā, i.e. an unexplained vowel between the two $t \mathrm{~s}$ ).
Since the Ugaritic writing system is almost entirely consonantal, there are homographs that have entirely different meanings, e.g.:
'amt can mean "handmaiden" ['amatu], "cubit" ['ammatu], "cubits" ['ammātu] or "I will die" ['amūtu]; the spelling 'alpm can be "two thousand" or "thousands"; ' $n$ can be "eye" ['ênu] or
"he answer(ed)" ['anâ] or other forms.
This homography creates difficulties for the interpretation of Ugaritic texts and one is frequently forced to resort to comparison with other Semitic languages (especially Hebrew and Arabic) for solutions or else to decide on the basis of the context.

In Ugaritic there is practically no use of matres lectionis. There are no certain examples of the use of waw or he as vowel indicators (for suggestions concerning waw, cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1975a:559-560; for possible instances of hé, cf. Kutscher 1967:3334). By contrast, there are a few examples of aleph or yod as matres lectionis.

## aleph as Mater Lectionis

The use of aleph as mater lectionis is confined to isolated instances only:
${ }^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1} r^{\prime} \vec{i}^{\prime} a$ [mariala] "fatling (accusative)" (1.4 VI,41-42) beside the
standard spelling $m r^{\prime} a(1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 45) ; s b^{\prime} i^{\prime} a\left[s a b \vec{\imath} a / s a b a^{\prime} a\right]$ "the army
(accusative)" ( $1.15 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ) beside $s b^{b} a(1.16 \mathrm{I}, 36)$, the normal spelling.
In both these examples with two aleph-signs, one might assume scribal errors, but it is also possible that the first aleph, viz. ' $i$, reflects the vowel that precedes the root consonant aleph, i.e. $\bar{\imath}$.

An additional example:
$y r^{\prime} a^{\prime} u n$ "he fears him" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 6$; from the root $Y R$ ').
The ' $a$-sign may express the thematic vowel of the form, that is ŷ̂ra'unnйŭ (< yiyra'unhŭu ; cf. Zevit 1980:3; for other possibilities, cf. infra, p. 148).

One problematic spelling is found in the following:
tPu'an [til'ureannŭu?] (< tiPayanhŭ/tilawanh $\breve{u}$ ?) "sleep overcame him"
( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 33$; from the root $L^{\prime} Y$ ).
The ' $a$-sign might be a glide, or perhaps the scribe just made a mistake for tPunn [tiPun(n)ann $\bar{u}$ ? ] (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:23, n. 28 following de Moor 1965:357; likewise cf. TO I, p. 509).

## yod as Mater Lectionis

The use of yod as a vowel indicator is rare in poetry but more common in prose texts. The particle ky "because, since, verily" (for emphatic or circumstantial nuances) is always written full in prose (cf. e.g. $2.16,7 ; 2.17,13$ ) while the correct reading may have been *ki (or *kî). In poetic contexts it is always written $k$ without yod.

The same holds true for the preposition $b$-, written by in prose (e.g. $2.38,13,25$ ) but without yod in poetry (for this, cf. Blau 1978a:295).

When the suffix pronoun for lst c.s., $-y[-y a]$ is attached to a noun in the nominative, the yod is not written and not pronounced (cf. infra, p. 52). Nevertheless, there are instances when the yod is written (it holds true in the Amarna letters too; cf. Rainey 1996 I:71-71). In such cases, the yod must be a vowel indicator; thus, for example:
'umy ['ummī] "my mother" is in the nominative in 2.30,21 and 2.16,6 (the expected spelling would have been *'um). This also holds true for bny [bin̄̄/bun̄̄/ban̄̄] "my son (nominative)" in 2.14,11,16 (for the expected *bn) and mnty [manẫ/manêta] "my conjuration" ( $1.100,9$ ) where one would expect *mnt (cf. $1.100,4,15,20$ etc.); mrhy mlk tdlln "the spears $\left[{ }^{*} \operatorname{mur}(a) h \bar{u}\right](m r h y$ instead of the expected ${ }^{*} m r \underline{\text { ) }}$ ) were suppressed" (1.103+ 1.145,7,46; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:93); tgṣn ymy bllhn "the days [yomū/yamū] (ymy for the expected spelling $\left.{ }^{*} y m\right)$ of their (f.pl.) master will be shortened" (1.103+1.145,33-34); 'adty $t d^{k}$ "may my lady ['adat(t $\left.t \bar{i}\right]$ ('adty for *'adl) know" (2.33,19; cf. Pardee 1984:219 and 228 n. 49); the combination 'ily 'ugrt "the gods of Ugarit" $(2.16,4-5)$ is the subject of its clause so the yod is not expected. The yod is a vowel marker indicating probably a mistake in case ending (cf. infra, p. 84). It is also possible that by the time that this letter was written, it might reflect that the breakdown in the case system had already started.
From the poetic texts the following may be noted: 'ahdy d ymlk "(it is) I alone who will rule" (1.4 VII,49-50; unless the numeric pronoun had an adverbial vocalic suffix that required the full form, -ya); $m^{\text {c }} m s y$ [ $\left.m u^{〔} a m m i s i\right]$ "the one who carries me" ( $1.17 \mathrm{II}, 20$ ). The latter form is from a context in parallel with $s p^{2} u\left[s a \ddot{p} i^{2} u\right]$ "the one who eats" (line 21) which is also in the nominative (the parallel text in $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 27$ also has $m$ sss $^{2} u$ [mustọsi ${ }^{3} u$ " "the one who brings forth", a nominative participle of the $\breve{S}$ stem); ' $m n n^{\top} k^{\top} l h^{\top} t^{\top} n y$ "with Nikkal is my wedding" (1.24,32), where $h^{\mathrm{T}} t^{\mathrm{l}} n y$ is the subject and therefore should be written *htn (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:26). In the passage 'atty 'il ylt "the two women of El gave birth" $(1.23,60)$ the yod on the word 'atty is otiose, and it stands for a vowel, that is *attatê, except that here there is a mistake in the case end-
ing where the nominative would be in order (cf. infra, p. 84).
The yod also served as a vowel marker in verb forms, such as $q r y$ [qiriy? ? ] "meet!(?) (f.s.)" (1.3 IV,8). The yod could be either a scribal error or a vowel marker (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:27). In the spelling tmǵyy [tamǵyā] "(two thousand horses) arrive" (2.33,31) the yod may be otiose (perhaps it is dittography; cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1974c:457, n. 2; contra TO II [p. 337, n. 38] which takes the yod as $1^{\text {st }}$ person pronoun).

In the forms "ahym "brothers" ( 1.6 VI,10,14), tmnym "eighty" $(4.171,4)$ and šmym "heavens" ( 1.19 IV, 24,30 ) the yod may not be a vowel marker but rather a root consonant (cf. Sivan 1982b:209218). In the form 'ahyh "his brothers" ( 1.12 II,50), the yod may be either a vowel marker or a root consonant (see Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:27 and cf. infra, p. 43). In the particle mhy "what", what is it?" $(2.14,9)$ the yod might be a vowel marker but the form could also be a combination of the interrogative particle $m h[m a h]$ "what" with the independent pronoun hy [hiya] "she" (Loewenstamm 1980:59 and $K T U^{2}$, p.166, n. 2).

With the spellings slyt, an epithet of Lôtānu meaning "powerful(?)" (1.5 I,3) and phyrh "his assembly" (1.14 I,25), which is usually written without the yod (e.g. 1.15 III,15), the yod in both forms may be a vowel marker (cf. Kutscher 1968:374), but it might also be consonantal from the pattern qutayl (cf. Loewenstamm 1969a:111114). If that suggestion is correct, then these would be the only cases in Ugaritic where the diphthong ay did not contract (for the possibility that the pattern of those words was qutayyil, cf. Ginsberg 1946:34; less probable is the idea that slyt is a Shaphel formation from the root $L W T / L Y T$; cf. $U g 7$, p. 93 n. 73; Dietrich and Loretz 1980b:406; Margalit 1980:90; also less probable to view these forms as in qatyal pattern as suggested by van Selms [1967:289-295]). It would also appear that yod serves as a vowel marker in the forms r'ísyt [ra'š̌tu] "beginning", "first (fruit)(?)" (1.119,25; cf. Ug 7, p. 35 n. 8 and TO II, p. 209 and n. 201) and 'lyt ['al̂tă] "you (m.s.) went up" (1.176,6; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:351; for the possibility that this form is $3^{\text {nd }}$ feminine singular see infra, p. 162).

## The aleph-Signs

It is not known why the scribes at Ugarit chose to express vowels with the aleph. It would seem that the ' $a$ was originally vowelless
and that only later signs were added for ${ }^{\prime} i$ and ' $u$. The original purpose may have been for writing foreign words and only later did their use enter into the writing of Ugaritic words (cf. Gordon 1965:18 and Cassuto 1947:466-477 and 1951:123-127).

It is agreed that the aleph expressed its own vowel and not the main vowel in the word as Cassuto had supposed (loc. cit.). The vowel with the aleph could be short, long, or the result of the contraction of a diphthong or triphthong as seen in the following examples:
'u - 'udn ['udnu] "ear" (1.103+1.145,37); 'um ['ummu] "mother" (1.14 I,9); mr'um [mur'ūma] "officers" (4.68,69); rp'um
 (1.40,22).
'a - 'ad ['adu] "father" (1.23,32); 'alp ['alpu] "ox" (1.4 V,45); 'arb' ['arba'cu] "four" (1.41,51); 'ard ['aridu] "I go down" (1.5 VI,25); ks'a [kissi'a/kussīa] "chair" (1.100,12); 'ar ['āru] "light" (1.4 I,16); m'at [mi`ätu] "hundreds" (4.171,1); 'aklm ['ākilüma] "the eaters" (1.12 I,26); g'an [gàânu] (< ga'wānu/ga'yānu) "pride" (1.17 VI,44).
'i - s'id [sa'ida] "he served food" (1.3 I,3); Pikt [la'iktū] "I sent" (2.14,7); ks'i [kissi'i/kussīi] "chair" (1.16 VI,23); 'il [ilu] "god" (1.4 I,12); mr'im [marı̄̀̀ $\bar{m} m$ ] "fatlings" (4.128,1); rp'im [rāai'īma] "Rephaim" (1.21 II,9); 'in [êna/'îna] (< 'ayna) "not" (1.50,8).

The main problem with the aleph-signs is how to interpret those that fall at the end of a syllable and thus should not have a vowel after them. None of the attempts to suggest an explanation have been able to explain all the examples (cf. the latest summary with bibliography by Verreet 1983a:223-258).

## Vowelless aleph Represented by 'i

It would seem that the sign ' $i$ represented aleph plus $i$-vowel but also aleph plus $\emptyset$. By way of example, note:
$b^{\Gamma} i^{\top}{ }^{1} r\left[b i^{\top} r u\right]$ "well" (1.13,25); Pim [lu'mu?] "nation" (1.6 I, 6 ); s'in [su'nu?] "hem(?)" (1.6 II,10; cf. Akkadian sūnu and Syriac אונוֹ); šinm [ša’nūma?] "presents" (1.164,2); $r$ 'iš $\left[r a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \check{s} u\right]$ "head" (1.23.36; the word is always written this way and it may have been pronounced $r^{\prime} \grave{s} u$; the possibility of a qatl formation is supported by the syllabically written place name from Ugarit, URU $r a-a-s a-s a-i r$ [Ra šasāáir] "Stormy headland(?)" [PRU VI 10,8']; the meaning
of $r^{\prime} u s s^{\prime}[2.63,9]$ is not clear in its context, so it is difficult to know if this is another example of "head"; one can hardly agree with Tropper [1990c:365] that this is an example of $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ shift in this word); $y^{3}$ ihd $\left[y a^{3} h u d u\right]$ "he will take, seize" (1.103+1.145,7); $y^{\prime}$ isp [ya'supu] "he gathers" (1.12 II,24;1.107,41,42,43); m'it [m'itu] "hundred" (4.163,14); ǵmit [ǵami' ${ }^{2}$ ] "are you (f.s.) thirsty?" (1.4 IV,34); mid [ma'da] "much, very" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 23$; cf. the plural form written syllabically $m a-a-d u-m a\left[m a^{\prime}(a) d \bar{u} m a\right][U g 5137$ II,36']; see Rainey 1970a:182, contra Blau and Greenfield [1970:17] who interpret the form as a singular with an adverbial suffix).

## Vowelless aleph Represented by 'a

There are very few examples of ' $a$ for a vowelless aleph. Blau and Loewenstamm (1970:23; also Blau 1985:294) argued that ' $a$ expressed vowelless aleph when it was preceded by an $a$-vowel. In fact, most of their examples can be understood differently, that is, the ' $a$ may simply express the vowel of the aleph (Tropper [1990c:366] argued that in these spellings, the ' $a$ represents $\bar{a}$, but that explanation is hardly credible). The following are some examples:
$y^{\prime}$ asp $(1.107,36)$ and tasp $(1.175,3)$ - It is possible that these forms are not $\left[y a a^{\prime} s u p u\right]$ "he gathers" and $[t a$ 'supu] "you (m.s.) gather" (in these cases the usual spellings are $y^{\prime}$ isp [1.107,42] and $\left.t^{\prime} i s p[1.19 \mathrm{II}, 17]\right)$ but $\left[y a^{3} a s s i p u / y u^{3} a s s i p u\right]$ and $\left[t a^{3} a s s i p u / t u^{3} a s^{-}\right.$ sipu] in the D stem (cf. the D stem in Biblical Hebrew oְאָּ [Judg. 19:15]).
y'arš ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 42$ ) - The reading may not have been [ya'rišu] (for which the spelling required is $y^{\prime} i r r^{\prime}$, cf. $2.81,26$ ) but rather D stem [ya'arrišu/yu’arrisúu] "he requests", (it is less likely that the form is in the N Stem, see Tropper 1991a:356-358).
$y^{\prime}$ ahd "he seizes" $(4.44,28)$ - The reading may be $\left[y a^{\prime} h u d u\right]$ or in the D stem $\left[\nu a^{3} a h h i d u / y u^{\prime} a h h i d u\right]$ (it is less likely that the form is in the N stem, see Verreet 1983a:237).
$y^{[2} a^{1} b d$ "he loses" $(1.11,3)$ - The reading may be [ $\left.y a^{3} b u d u\right]$ or [ $\left.y a^{3} a b b i d u / y u^{\prime} a b b i d u\right]$ in the D stem.
w ly'amr "and he does not (/indeed) $\operatorname{look}(\mathrm{s})$ at" $(1.172,22)$ The form can be interpreted either [wa lā or $\overline{\bar{u} / l a}$ ya'muru] or [wa $l \bar{a}$ or lū/la ya'ammiru/yu'ammiru] (see Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:345).
The certain examples of ' $a$ for vowelless aleph are limited in number. Here are two examples: One is the adjectival form from a
place name m'ahdym [ma'had̄̀yūma] "people from Ma'hुadu (4.263,5) beside the customary spelling with ' $i$, thus $m^{\prime} i^{\prime} h d^{\prime}(4.172,6)$ and $m^{\text {' ihdym }}(4.611,1)$. Syllabic spellings confirm that the prefix vowel is $a$ and not $i$ (URU ma-a-ha-di [PRU III, p. $195 \mathrm{~A}, 6]$ and $\mathrm{URU}_{m a-h a-d i-~}^{\text {- }}$ $y a$ [PRU VI 79,10]). The other example is the word $m^{3} a d$ [ $\left.m a^{3} d a\right]$ "many, much, very" ( 1.14 II,35). It is attested once with this spelling beside the very frequent $m^{\prime} i d$ ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 23$ and elsewhere; note its use also as a noun, e.g. midy "my abundence" [2.46,11]). Here must be added the reading $m^{\prime} a d(\cdot)$, the proposed correction for $m^{\prime} a b$ (2.16,11), which also functions as an adverb (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:22).

## Vowelless aleph Represented by 'u

The ' $u$-sign also almost never represents vowelless aleph. Most of the cases with ' $u$ may be interpreted differently. aleph plus $u$-vowel according to Tropper (1990c:364,367 and 369 n .42 ) in many instances reflect aleph plus $\bar{o}$-vowel resulting from $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$. His explanation must be rejected, since that shift did not take place in Ugaritic at all (cf. Smith 1994:268, n. 93 and also the discussion infra, pp. 47-48). The following are some examples:

From the root 'HD "to grasp, seize, hold" there are several examples: the form $y^{\prime} u h d m$ "he grasps, holds" ( 1.4 IV,16) may be reconstructed [ya'hुudu-ma], but also [ya'uhudu-ma] (< ya’hudu$m a$; for such an assimilation, cf. infra, p. 45); qrt t'uhd $(1.127,30)$ may be for [qan̂tu tu'had] "the city was taken" but it could also be [qarita ta'hudū] "they took the city"; t'uhd "(Athtart) holds, seizes" (1.2 I,40) may be [ta'hudu] or [ta'uhudu] (< ta'hudu); y'uhd "he holds, seizes" $(1.103+1.145,17)$ may be $\left[\nu a^{\prime} h u d u\right]$ or $\left[y a^{\prime} u h u d u\right]$ (< ya'hudu). Examples from other roots: y'uhb "he loves" (1.5 V,18) may be ' $\left[y i^{3} h a b u / y a^{3} h u b u\right]$ (see Verreet 1983a:237) or [ya'uhubu] (< ya’hubu); t'us ${ }^{\top} p^{1}$ "you/she gather(s)" (1.1 IV,11; cf. TO I, p. 308, n. l) may be $\left[t a^{3} s u p u\right]$ or $\left[t a^{\top} u s u p u\right]$ (< tas ${ }^{3} u p u$ ); tuṣl "you (m.s.) gather(?), essemble(?)" (1.106,25; cf. TO II, p. 187, n. 141) may be [ta'sulu] or [ta'usulu] (< tas sulu); y'ukl "he will eat/eats" $(4.244,16)$ may be [ $y a^{3} k u l u$ ] or [ya'ukulu] (< $y a^{3} k u$ $l u) ; t^{[ }{ }^{2} u^{1} b d$ "(the sun) is perishing" $(2.39,21)$ can be $\left[t a^{3} b u d u\right]$ or [ta'ubudu] (< ta'budu); m'ud "much" (1.5 III,22,23; cf. Marcus 1974:405 and infra, p. 208) may be [ $m a^{2} d a$ ] or $\left[m u^{2} d a\right]$ or even [mu'uda?].
In summary, it would seem that the aleph-signs were used to express the following values:
${ }^{\prime} u={ }^{\prime} u,{ }^{\prime} \bar{u},{ }^{\prime} \hat{o}$ (rarely ' $\emptyset$ ).
${ }^{\prime} a={ }^{\prime} a$, ' $\bar{a}$, ' $\hat{a}$ (rarely ' $\emptyset$ ).
${ }^{\prime} i={ }^{\prime} i,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime},{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \hat{e},{ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath}$, and often for ' ${ }^{\prime} \emptyset$ (cf. Huehnergard 1987:268).
For words with final aleph, one must distinguish between nominal forms and verbal forms. With nouns (whether absolute [unbound] or construct [bound]) that have aleph as their final consonant, the aleph reflects its own vowel, i.e. the case vowel (for the case system, cf. infra, p. 82). With verbs the final ' $u$ and ' $a$ reflect the vowel of the aleph (i.e. yqtlu and yqtla modal forms, plurals in $-\vec{u}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. qtla, etc.; cf. discussions infra, pp. 110 and 101) and ' $i$ reflects vowelless aleph (i.e. the yqtl0 forms of verbs with final aleph), viz. preterite forms $y s^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i[y a s i]$ "it went forth" ( $1.14 \Pi, 34$ ) and tsp' $i\left[t i s p a a^{\prime}\right]$ "she


## CHAPTER TWO

## PHONOLOGY

## Consonants

## General Remarks

The pronunciation of the consonants in Ugaritic is determined by comparison with other Semitic languages (the pronunciation of classical Arabic, Hebrew and its various traditions, and Syriac in its eastern tradition). For several consonants there is no consistency among the Semitic languages and in such cases it is impossible to reach any clear decision regarding the cognate Ugaritic consonants.

Furthermore, as shall be evident below, Ugaritic shows an inordinate variety of consonantal shifts, interchanges, assimilations, dissimilations, as well as other irregularities. This irregularity or perhaps fluidity in the Ugaritic consonantal inventory contributes to the obscurity of many Ugaritic texts. This inconsistency reflects a number of aspects of the Ugaritic language and scribal culture. First of all, some of the variety reflects the diachronic changes in Ugaritic; these diachronic changes are especially evident in comparing the more archaizing poetic and ritual texts with the prose corpus. The Ugaritic consonantal inventory also reflects some of the cosmopoli$\tan$ culture of the city reflecting influences of several languages which were used by scribes in ancient Ugaritic including Akkadian, Hittite, Hurrian and Egyptian. Indeed, the scribal culture itself was multinational and some of the irregularities in the consonantal inventory can be accounted for by the different scribal schools at Ugarit.

## Consonantal Shifts

$\underline{\boldsymbol{d}}>\boldsymbol{d}$ - The Proto-Semitic consonant $\underline{d}$ is generally shifted to $d$ in Ugaritic. Thus, for example: $d^{\boldsymbol{\pi}} q^{1} n$ [daqanu] (< daqanu) "beard" (1.5 VI, 19); dbh [dabhu] (< dabhuu) "sacrifice" (1.4 III,19); $d$ [dū/dā/dī]) (< $\underline{d} \bar{u} / \underline{d} \bar{a} / d \underline{d})$ "which", "of" (1.4 III,31 and elsewhere; cf. Biblical Hebrew $\pi^{*}$ [Exod. 15:13; Isa. 42:24], Aramaic די [Ezra 4:11] and Phoenician i [KAI 1,1; 4,1]; see also Dahood $1965: 7$ and the bib-
liography cited there); mǵd [maǵdî] (< maǵdayu?) "food, rations(?)" (1.14 II,31; perhaps the form is from the root GDW, cf. Renfroe 1992:60-61).

In several words this shift did not take place and etymological $\underline{d}$ was preserved. These words often contain a guttural or $r$, e.g. in forms of the root ' $D R$ "to help", $y^{c} \underline{d}^{\top} r^{\top} k[y a \underline{d} d u r u k \ddot{a}]$ "he will help you
 "his arm" (1.5 VI,20; $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 4$ ); ${ }^{\text {d }}$ mr [damīru?] "trooper" (1.3 II,14); $y d m r$ [vadammiru/yudammiru] "he sings" (1.108,3); pdgh [paduhū] "his (fine) gold" (1.2 I,19,35; for discussion cf. Smith 1994:293-294); d $n b$ [danabu] "tail" (1.114,20).

In the texts 1.12 and 1.24 the $\underline{d}$ is written in words where the shift had already taken place, e.g.: 'ahd ['ahada] "he grasped" (1.12 II,32; usually written 'ahd in Ugaritic); the relative pronoun $\underline{d}$ ( $1.24,45$; usually written $d$ in Ugaritic). Those two texts may represent an archaic spelling and thus reflect an earlier stage in the development of Ugaritic (these texts also contain other consonantal shifts not typical of normative Ugaritic, cf. discussion below). Therefore, it is doubtful if those particular words were actually pronounced with [d] (cf. Ullendorf 1962:350 and Blau 1968b:524 n. 8). It is also probable that those two texts were written by a foreign scribe, perhaps a Hurrian.

It would appear that the shift $\underline{d}>d$ took place in Ugaritic after the invention of the Ugaritic alphabet (in contrast to the shift $d>$ $s$ [cf. below] which has taken place prior to the use of that alphabet, since $d$ is not represented at all in Ugaritic writing). Once the shift $\underline{d}>d$ occurred, the sign for $\underline{d}$ was left devoid of meaning. Therefore, the Ugaritian scribes began to use it for representation of a foreign sound (particularly in Hurrian words and in foreign personal names). There are those who claim that the $d$-sign was pronounced $\check{z}$ (cf. Garr 1986:47 n. 21), but there is no firm basis for this.

Sometimes the $d$-sign represents a voiced allophone of a phoneme of which the unvoiced reflex is generally written by the $t$-sign (there are some instances when the $\check{s}$-sign was used; cf. discussion below).

In a few cases the $d$-sign stands for another Proto-Semitic phoneme. Thus, e.g. the spelling of $y d m r$ "he sings" (1.108,3; from the root $\underline{D} M R$ ) is strange, since in Arabic and in Aramaic the root is $Z M R$. It may be conjectured that the original root was $D M R$. In Biblical Hebrew and Phoenician it shifted to $Z M R$ and afterwards
it was borrowed from them to Aramaic and Arabic (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:334-336). On the other hand, the root $Z M R$ might have been the original, while the Ugaritic $y \underline{d} m r$ might be a combination of the root $D M R$ "strength" with $Z M R$ "to sing" (cf. Blau and Greenfield 1970:12; Blau 1977b:82-83). Another explanation may be that the form $y \underline{d} m r$ is a scribal error and it is the result of attraction from the word $d m r$ "strength" which appears twice elsewhere in the same text (cf. lines 21 and 24).

The term 'adddy ['Addād $\bar{y} y u$ ] "Ashdodite" (4.635,16 and elsewhere) is always written with $\underline{d}$. The Egyptian spelling of this place name is $\hat{i} s d d$, indicating that the Egyptian $s(\hat{s})$ stood for Semitic $\underline{t}$ (cf. Cross and Freedman 1964:48-49). If this explanation is correct, then the Ugaritic spelling may represent an assimilation of the voiceless $\underline{t}$ to the next voiced $d$, that is, the phoneme $\underline{t}$ became $\underline{d}$ (cf. Blau 1977b:79 and Huehnergard 1987:225 n. 73). On the other hand, it may be that the Ugaritic scribe really heard $t$ in that geographical name; since in his own language that phoneme was already pronounced $\dot{s}$ (or less likely $\breve{s}$ ), he avoided the $\underline{t}$-sign and chose the $d$-sign which represented the closest phoneme in his repertoire.

The $d$-sign stands for $\check{s}$ in the word $k d d$ "a conquest(?)" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 17$; 1.133,8; contra Margalit [1980:100-101] and Renfroe [1992:100-101] who treat the word as a combination of the preposition $k$ - plus the noun $\underline{d} d$ with the meaning "like a teat"; for the possibility of viewing this form as a verb cf. infra, p. 108) alongside the spelling tksd (1.5 I,16). The $\underline{d}$ seems to stand for an original Proto-Semitic $\check{s}$; as the result of assimilation of unvoiced $\check{s}$ to the following voiced $d$ (cf. in this regard, Blau 1977b:77; Garr 1986:47; Huehnergard 1987:279 n. 53). At the same time, we also may have here a scribal error, since the signs $\underline{d}$ and $\check{s}$ are somewhat similar in shape (cf. TO I, p. 242, n. r).
$\boldsymbol{d}$ - The $d$-sign represents the original $d$ and also Proto-Semitic $\underline{d}$ which had shifted to $d$. The root $D R^{c}$ "to sow" is problematic, since we would expect the first radical to be $z$ instead of $d$ (cf. the cognates in Arabic and Aramaic). It may be that in the Semitic languages the roots $D R^{c}$ and $Z R^{c}$ were both in use (cf. Gordon 1965:27) or else the Ugaritic $D R^{c}$ is a contamination of $D R W$ "to scatter" and $Z R^{c}$ "to sow" (cf. Dahood 1965:7; Blau 1977b:85 and Loewenstamm 1980:161). On the other hand, it is possible that Phoenician $\not \approx R^{c}\left(<\underline{D} R^{c}\right)$ was borrowed into Aramaic and passed
from there to Arabic. The various explanations of this phenomenon still do not carry conviction.
$\boldsymbol{d}>\boldsymbol{s}$ - The phoneme $d$ had always shifted to $s$ in Ugaritic, e.g. 'arṣ ['arsu] (< 'ardu) "land, ground" (1.3 III,16) and s'in [sa'nu] (< da'nu) "small cattle" (1.6 I,22).

On occasion one may find $z$ instead of $s$, e.g. from the root $\Upsilon \varphi^{\rho}$ (< $Y D^{\prime}$ ) "to go out" - $z^{\prime} i\left[z i^{2} i\right]$ "go out! (f.s.)" (1.12 I, 14,19); from the root $S H Q(<D H Q)$ "to laugh'" - yṣh [yishaqu] "he laughs" (1.4 IV,28), but also $y z h q$ [yizhaq] "he laughed" (1.12 I,12). The change to $z$ may have come about after the shift $d>\rho$ took place (cf. Greenfield 1969:95; Garr 1986:48). However, it is also possible that the $z$-sign simply represents $s$ in that particular text, namely 1.12 (cf. Blau 1972:71). For the form mhšt [mahaštū] (< mahast $\breve{\bar{u}}<$ mahadt $\bar{u}$ ) "I destroyed" (1.3 III,38,41,43,45) see infra, p. 28.
$\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{z}} \boldsymbol{g}$ - The Proto-Semitic consonant $z$ is normally preserved in Ugaritic (as in Arabic). In other Semitic languages it shifts to $s$ (Biblical Hebrew and Akkadian) or $t$ (Aramaic).

Examples of Ugaritic words with $z:{ }^{「} z^{\top} b y^{\top} h{ }^{1}[z a b y u h \bar{u}]$ "his gazelle" (1.15 IV,18); zl [zillu] "shadow" (1.14 III,55); ' $z m$ ['azmu] "bone"
 1.3 I,12); qz [qêzu/qîzu] "summer" (1.20 I,5); hzr [hazizu] "courtyard" (1.4 IV,51).

The Proto-Semitic consonant $g$ is also found in Ugaritic, in words such as: gglm [ǵalmu/ǵulāmu?] "boy" (1.15 $\Pi, 20)$; sǵr [sağřru] "young" (1.22 I,4); rǵbt [raǵibtŭ] "(are you) hungry (f.s.)?" (1.4 IV,33). Ugaritic is the only Northwest Semitic language in which the consonant $\dot{g}$ has been preserved. (Contrary to the opinion that the $g$-sign was only another symbol for the consonant ' as was claimed by Ružička 1954:221-233; Rössler 1961:158-172; cf. also Emerton 1982:31-50). In addition, the $g$-sign also served in the spelling of foreign words (cf. Segert 1989:287-300).

Nevertheless, the phoneme $z$ sometimes shifts to $g$. The nature of this shift is not clear (cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1967:300-315; for an explanation of interpreting the sign $g$ as polyphonic, representing the $z$ also, of. Ginsberg 1946:48). The shift $z>g$ finds expression in the following words: $\dot{g} r$ [ğūru] "mountain" (1.4 VIII,5; $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 13$ ); ǵm'it [gami'tū] "(are you) thirsty (f.s.)?" (1.4 IV,34; cf. the form $m z m^{\prime} a$ [muzamma'a] "shrunk(?)" [1.15 I,2], which apparently seems to have
preserved the original consonant $z$ [cf. Blau 1977b:75]; but the meaning might be "thirst"); forms from the root NǴR "to guard" (1.23,73; 2.47,2); from the root MǴT "to arrive" (1.4 III,24; 1.12 $\mathrm{I}, 36$ ); and from the root $Y Q G$ "to be awake, to wake up" or "to attune (the ear)" (only in 1.16 VI,30,42).

These examples of the shift $z>\dot{g}$ may reflect dialectical mixture. On the other hand, the particular forms may be explained by other means. The form $w$ tqǵ can be interpreted as the Gt imperative of the root $Y Q \dot{G}(<Y Q Z)$, but the root might also be $T Q \dot{G}$ "to incline (the ear)" in parallel to the Gt of the root $S^{c} M^{c}$ "to heed" (cf. Oberman 1946:244 n. 25 and Blau 1977b:71 n. 13). The word $g r$ "mountain" has been compared to Biblical Hebrew צוּר and to Aramaic $\quad$ while the Biblical Hebrew term does not mean "moun-
 תאשוּרנּוּ "From the top of the cliffs I see him, from the hills I behold him", the meaning of "צֻרים can be "elevated cliffs"). The root ǴM might represent a mixture of $Z M P$ and $G M Y$ (cf. Blau 1977b:72). Therefore, one may say that the shift $z>g$ is quite restricted and somewhat doubtful.
$\boldsymbol{z}>\boldsymbol{t}$ - The word $h z r$ "courtyard" is documented in Ugaritic ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 1$ and elsewhere), but it is also found twice in the spelling htr ( $1.100,68 ; 1.114,18$ ). The word $m z l l$ "shelter" ( $1.4 \mathrm{I}, 12,17$ ) is written once $m t^{\top} l^{l} l(1.117,6$; cf. $U g 7$, p. 67).

It is possible that in these two instances there was a shift of $z$ $>t$ known from Aramaic. These spellings point to the fact that in spoken late Ugaritic the transition from $z$ to $t$ was already completed (see Loewenstamm 1980:370). It could be that in Ugaritic they pronounced the words $h z r$ and $m z l l$ with $t$ although they wrote them with the $z$-sign, i.e. the spelling with $z$-sign could be an archaism (cf. Blau 1968b:524 and 1970b:43; Freilich and Pardee 1984:25-36).
$\boldsymbol{z} / \boldsymbol{t}$ Interchanges - There are a few words in which $z$ is written in place of an original t, e.g. lzpn $(1.24,44)$ beside the normal ltpn "compassionate" (1.6 III,4 and elsewhere); zhrm (1.24,21) beside thrm "pure ones" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 19,34$ ).

These variants may indicate a different dialect from the normative speech of Ugarit or they might be the result of foreign influence (perhaps Hurrian; cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1975b:103-108). Otherwise, the sign $z$ might just represent $t$ as a
result of hyper correction, since, as we have seen above, in at least one dialect the $z$ was shifted to $t$ (in the form $h t r$ ). Since the scribe was familiar with that shift, he made hyper corrections and the $t$ was written with $z$ (cf. Blau 1978b:43; for the possibility that we deal here with a shift and not with an interchange see Albright 1950a:387).
$\check{\boldsymbol{s}}>\boldsymbol{t}$ ? - A possible example of this shift may appear in Ugaritic htbbn [hitbā̄nu/hutbānu] "account" (4.158,2; 4.337,1). There are indications that the root $H \mathscr{S} B$ is Proto-Semitic, at least as indicated by Aramaic $H \mathscr{S} B$ (not $* H T B$ ) and Arabic $H S B$. The Biblical Hebrew root $H \mathscr{S}$ S $B$ could, of course, derive from either $H T B$ or $H \check{H}$ ŠB. On the other hand, the Egyptian verb $H S S_{B}$ "to reckon up" suggests that there was an Afro-Asiatic root that passed to the Semitic languages as a Kulturwort. Thus the Ugaritic root could reflect a cognate to the Egyptian root, while the other Semitic languages may have combined $H \underline{T} B$ "to reckon" with $H \mathscr{S} B$ "to think" (cf. Rainey 1966:260-261; 1970b:535; 1971a:159; Blau and Greenfield 1970:13; Blau 1977b:74).
$\dot{s}>\check{s}$ - The phonemes $\check{s}$ and $\dot{s}$ are both written with one sign which was evidently pronounced as $\check{s}$. Thus one finds $\check{s} m^{2} a l$ [ $\left.\check{s i m}{ }^{2} a l u\right]$ (< śim’ā $h u$ ) "left" (l.2 I,40; 1.23,64); šd [šadū] (< śadayu) "field" (1.3 III,17; 1.4 VIII,25); $\check{s}[\check{\sim} \bar{u}](<~ s ́ a y u ?) ~ " s h e e p " ~(1.40,17 ; ~ 1.43,6) ; ~ b \check{r r}$ [bašant] (< baśaru) "flesh" (1.4 II,5; $1.15 \mathrm{IV}, 25)$. Among verbs there are $\mathcal{N S}{ }^{\circ}\left(<\mathcal{N S} S^{\prime}\right)$ "to lift up, carry" (1.6 I, 14; $\left.1.19 \mathrm{II}, 56\right) ; \mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{N}}\left(<\mathrm{S}^{\mathcal{N}}\right)$ "to hate" (1.4 III,17; VII,36); ŠMH (<SMH) "to be happy" (1.4 VI,35; 1.10 III,37); BŠR (<BŚR) "to bring good news" (1.19 II,37).
$\boldsymbol{w}>\boldsymbol{y}-$ As in the other Northwest Semitic languages, so also in Ugaritic, the waw at the beginning of a word shifted to yod (cf. Moscati 1969:46 and 164). For a noun, note yrh [yarhu] (< warhu) "month" (1.105,15 and elsewhere). In verbs with $1^{\text {st }}$ wave: $18 B(<$ $W B L$ ) "to bring, transport" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 17$ and elsewhere); $Y \underline{T} B(<W \underline{T} B)$ "to sit" (1.5 VI, 12 and elsewhere); $\operatorname{TSKN}(<W S ̌ M$ "to sleep" (1.14 I,31); YRD (< WRD) "to descend" (1.14 II,26 and elsewhere). For examples of such a shift in verbs with Third waw/yod verbs cf. infra, p. 161.

This shift did not take place in the conjunction $w-[w a-]$ just as in all Northwest Semitic languages (cf. Moscati 1969:121). Two verbs
in which the shift did not occur are WTP "to spit" $(1.4 \mathrm{VI}, 13)$ and WSR "to chasten, instruct" (1.16 VI,26). Note also the preservation of the waw in the Third waw/yod verb forms 'astw ['asluwa] "let me repose" (1.14 III,45) and 'atwt ['atawat] "she arrived" (1.4 IV,32).

The same shift takes place in the verb $Y L D(<W L D)$ "to bear", but there are instances where the root seems to be WLD (e.g. 1.14 III,48; VI,33). However, the particular forms in question may actually begin with the $w$-conjunction, that is wld $<{ }^{*} w y l d$ (with syncope of the yod, i.e. [wâlādu < wa-yalādu]; cf. Ginsberg 1946:40 and Tsumura 1979:779-782).

## Interchanges

$\boldsymbol{h} / \boldsymbol{h}$ - In a small number of texts both these consonants are represented by the $h$-sign which might be an indication of an interchange of $h / h$ or it could less probably indicate a shift of $h>h$ (cf. Speiser 1964:42-47). Note, for example, $y p h$ "witness" $(4.31,9)$ beside the regular orthography in the other texts, $y p h$ ( $4.258,5$ and elsewhere); also qmh "flour" $(5.22,17)$ beside the normal spelling $q m h$ $(1.71,25)$; or hln "window" (5.22,27) instead of $h l n(1.4 \mathrm{VII}, 17)$; mpth "key" ( $5.22,12$ ) instead of the expected form *mpth; 'ahnnn [ahāninann $\breve{\bar{u} / \text { 'ahanninann }} \overline{\bar{u}}$ "I shall favor him" $(2.15,9)$ beside the form in the same text hany [hāninī/hannin̄̄] "favor (m.s.) me!" (2.15,3; the yod seems to be mater lectionis; cf. Liverani 1964:175; de Moor 1965:360; Krahmalkov 1969:264; Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1974a:471).
$\boldsymbol{s} / \underline{\boldsymbol{t}} / \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{s}$ - In the combination $b \emptyset \emptyset{ }^{\text {' }} \emptyset_{r} \emptyset_{m n}$ "with sixteen (jars of) oil" $(4.31,2)$ the circle (written here with $\emptyset)$ stands here for ProtoSemitic $t, s$ and $\check{s}$, i.e. normal Proto-Ugaritic would have been: $b$ $\underline{t}{ }^{\prime} ' \dot{s} r s m n$. Since $\check{s}$ and $\dot{s}$ were identical in Ugaritic (most likely pronounced as $\breve{s}$ ), it is also possible that in the dialect of the scribe who wrote this particular text $\underline{t}, \breve{s}$, and $\dot{s}$ were all pronounced the same, either as $\check{s}$ or as $\dot{s}$ (cf. Ullendorf 1962:348-351). For that reason, the scribe evidently used the $\emptyset$ to represent all three phonemes, which were identical to his ear. His dialect might thus have been the same as that for which the "Phoenician" alphabet was devised, since there, also, there is only one sign (called $\check{s i n} / \sin$ today) used for all three phonemes.
$\boldsymbol{l} / \boldsymbol{r}$ - Note $q r$ [qāru/qôru?? "voice, sound" (1.14 III,16) beside the normal $q l[q \bar{a} l u / q o ̂ l u]$ ( 1.14 III,17). The first example might be a
scribal error, but it could also be a real interchange of $r$ and $l$.
$\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{q}$ - Note $\underline{t} \underline{t}^{2} i g t\left[a^{2} i g(a) t u\right]$ "bellowing" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 16$ ) beside $\underline{t}$ iqt (1.14 V,8).
$\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t}$ - This interchange is found in personal names only, e.g. tlmyn [talmīyānu] (2.11,3; 2.12,4) beside tlmyn (4.277,7); yplt [yaplutu] (4.214 IV,4) alongside ypltn [yaplutānu] (4.277,4).
$\boldsymbol{g} / \boldsymbol{h}$ - This interchange is attested in personal names, such as 'bdyrǵ ['abduyarǵu/'abdiyarǵu] (4.277,2) instead of the expected *'bdyrh; ǵym [ǵivyäāānu?] (4.277,3) instead of hym (4.75 III,11; IV,11); sǵr $(4.277,13)$ beside shr $(4.609,7)$. All of the instances are from the same text. It would appear that this interchange is due to Hurrian influence (cf. Gordon 1965:33 and Gröndahl 1967:21).

## Assimilations

$\boldsymbol{b}$ (Before Unooiced Consonant) $>\boldsymbol{p}$ - This change of $b$ to $p$ is due to a partial assimilation of $b$ (with vowel after it or without it) to the following unvoiced phoneme (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:50-53; Greenstein 1976:51 and most recently Garr 1986:45-57). This phenomenon is known from other Semitic languages (cf. Moscati 1964:2526; Sarfatti 1992:43-44). In Ugaritic, note: nbkm [nab(a)kūma] "springs, water sources" (1.105,10; 4.141 III,13) and mbk [mabbaku] (< manbaku) "water source" (1.4 IV,21; cf. מַקְבִי גְהָּרֹֹת "sources of rivers" [Job 28:11] and the suggested emendation by Albright [1955:8] in Prov. 8:24 When there were no depths I was brought forth, when there were no springs of water sources[!]", substituting גִבְֵּּ for "abounding"[?] on the basis of comparison with Ugaritic). Then there is $n p k[n a p k u]$ "water source" ( $1.14 \mathrm{~V}, 1$ ). The root $B K Y$ "to weep" appears in many inflected forms (1.16 I,55 and elsewhere), but one time it is written with $p$ instead of $b$, viz. w tpky [wa-tapkiyu] "and you (m.s.) weep" (1.107,11; in line 8 the word is written with $b$ ). Note $h b \underline{t}$ [hubtu] "yeoman, type of soldier" ( $2.17,1 ; 3.3,4$ ) beside hpt ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 37$ ). Normally lbš [libšu/lubšu?] "clothing" (4.146,1) and plural (or dual) lbšm $(4.146,6)$ beside an instance of $l p \check{s}[l i p \check{s} u / l u p \dot{s} u]$ ( $1.5 \mathrm{VI}, 16$ ).
$\boldsymbol{p}$ (Before Voiced Consonant) $>\boldsymbol{b}$ - This change of $p$ to $b$ is due to a partial assimilation of $p$ (with vowel after it or without it) to
the following voiced phoneme (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:50-53; Greenstein 1976:51 and most recently Garr 1986:45-57). Note the following examples: the root $P^{\star} L$ "to do, to make, to work" $(1.13,21)$ beside $y b^{\text {c }}$ [yibcalu] "he will make" (1.17 VI,24; cf. Grabbe 1979:307-324)
 of a month)" (1.119,1) instead of the expected *yrh p'lt (cf. "ירח פעלת in Phoenician [KAI $38 \mathrm{~B}, 2]$ ).
$\boldsymbol{m} \check{s}>\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{s} \check{\text { - }}$ - The frequent Ugaritic form špš [šap̌̌u] "sun" (1.78,3 and elsewhere) can be explained as a shift of šamšu to šapšu i.e. a partial assimilation of a bilabial nasal consonant has become a voiceless stop due to the $\breve{s}$ which follows (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:52).
$\boldsymbol{b m}>\boldsymbol{m m}$ ? - ybmt [yabam(a)tu] "progenitress (of heroes)" (1.4 II,15; 1.17 VI,19) beside ymmt (1.3 III,12). This change in this word may be due to the partial assimilation of $b$ to the following $m$, although there is a vowel between the two consonants (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:59).
$\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{m}>\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{b}$ — Note $b m q r$ [bi-maqâr $]$ "at the water source" (1.14 V,2) beside $b$ bqr [bi-baqâr] ( 1.14 III, 9 ). This assimilation takes place although there is a vowel between the two consonants. It may have come about due to the influence of the preposition $b$.
$\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{q}>\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{q}$ - This assimilation is attested in the personal name $\operatorname{stgšlm}(2.19,4,10,14)$ beside s sdqšlm (4.165,11). It seems that $d$ became $t$ through partial assimilation to the following emphatic $q$ (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:51; Gordon 1965:477; Gröndahl 1967:23,44-45,185 and Loewenstamm 1980:370).
$\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{t}>\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { s }} \boldsymbol{t}$ - This assimilation is found only in the verbal form mhšt [mahaštū] "I destroyed" ( $1.3 \mathrm{III}, 38,41,43,45$ ). It seems that the original form was *mhst [*mahastū] which became mhšt [mahaštü] through partial assimilation of the emphatic $s$ to the following $t$, a phonetic change otherwise unattested in West Semitic languages (cf. Held 1959:169-176; Loewenstamm 1980:465-466).
$\check{s} \underline{\boldsymbol{t}}>\underline{\boldsymbol{t}} \boldsymbol{t}$ - This assimilation is known from the $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stem. (It seems that it also took place in the Proto-Sinaitic Inscriptions and in South Arabian Inscriptions; cf. Albright 1948:19 and Beeston 1962:40). The
$\check{s}$-morpheme of that stem assimilates to a $\underline{t}$ in either first and second place in a verbal root, although there is a vowel between the two consonants. Clear examples are from the root $Y \underline{T} B$ "to sit, dwell" and from 1 WB "to return": yttb [yata yušaību) "he will return" ( $1.41,45$ ); w $\underline{t t b}$ [wa-tatib] (< wa-satib) "and
 hप̆й(yus̆awtibanhйu) "he seated him" (1.6 VI,33); tuttb [tatatib/tutatitb] (< taša "send back! (f.s.)" (2.11,17; 2.13,13).

The form titkm [tatatkirūna/ tutatkiriüna?] (< tasátkirūna/ tušatkiriüna) (1.15 $\mathrm{I}, 3$ ) seems to be from the root $\operatorname{TKR}(?)$, but the meaning is uncer$\operatorname{tain}$ (cf. TO I, p. 536, n. b).

A similar assimilation occurred in the ordinal number $t d t$
 ilated to the $\breve{s}[\check{s a d} d i s u]$ while both of the $\breve{s} s$ appear as $s$, viz. sädisu). The same assimilation appears in the cardinal number $\underline{t t}$ [ $t i t t u]$ (< sididu) "six" (4.244,19 and elsewhere). It may be that a similar shift took place in the cardinal number $t \underline{t} \underline{t}[\underline{t a l a t} t u]$ "three" (4.616,1 and elsewhere). There is a reasonable basis for the assumption that the original root was *ŚLT (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:56,68 and Blau 1972:80). Since in Ugaritic the $\dot{s}$ has shifted to $\check{s}$, it would seem that that language reflects here the process [talatu] (< *salatatu? < *śaläatu?) (cf. Fronzaroli 1955:59-60).

Assimilation of $\boldsymbol{d}$ to the Following $\underline{\boldsymbol{t}}$ or $\boldsymbol{t}$ - Such as $\underline{t t}[\underline{t i t t u}]$ (< $\underline{\text { tidtu }}$ $<$ sid $d \underline{l} u$ "six" (4.244,19 and elsewhere; note that the radical $d$ is preserved in the ordinal number tdt, cf. above); 'aht ['ah(h)attu] (< 'ah[h]adtu) "one (f.)" (4.410,6 and elsewhere); in the verbal dual form $y^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{l}^{1} t$ [yalattā?] (< yaladatā) "they gave birth (f.du.)" (1.23,53; cf. the Biblical Hebrew form זָּלָ "to give birth" [1 Sam. 4:19] for
 form although there was a vowel after the $d$ ); $l$ yrt [ $[\bar{u} / l a-y a r a t t a ̈ ̆]$ (< $\bar{u} /$ la-yaradtă̈) "may you (m.s.) go down" (1.5 I,6); 'aht [ahattä] (< 'ahadtä) "you (m.s.) took, grasped" (1.16 VI,51; following Rainey 1973:55, contra Segert [1984:143] who interpreted the form as "sister"); mssm [masmattu] (< masmadtu?) "bond, treaty" (6.27,1; following Dahood 1965:64; Dietrich and Loretz 1966:206-245, contra Knapp [1975:101] who interpreted the form from the root $S M M$ "to contract").

Assimilation of $\boldsymbol{l}$ in Forms from LQH "to take" - This assimilation is known both from verbal and nominal forms (a phenomenon familiar from Phoenician and Biblical Hebrew). Note the following examples: 'iqh [iqqahu] (<'ilqahu) "I will take" (1.14 IV, 41); yqh [yiqqahu] (< yilqahu) "he will take" (1.23,35); and also in the noun mqhm [maqqahäma/i] (< malqahāma/i) "tongs" (4.127,4; cf. the syllabic documentation ma-qa-ha me-e [maqqahā mè "water tongs" [PRU VI 157,14]). As in Biblical Hebrew and Phoenician (cf. smp in KAI 122,2), the $l$ did not assimilate in the N stem, e.g. nlqht [nalqahat] "it was taken" (4.659,1).

Assimilation of $\boldsymbol{n}$ - As in the other Northwest Semitic languages (in Eblaite and Amorite this assimilation does not always occur) and in Akkadian, the medial nun not followed by a vowel assimilated to the following consonant, e.g. 'at ['att"̈̆] (<'ant"̆) "you (m.s.)" (1.13,11 and elsewhere; cf. the syllabic documentation, at-ta [Ug 5 130 II, 4']); ' $a p$ ['appu] (< 'anpu) "nose" (1.71,6,8; cf. the syllabic attestation ap-pu [Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 19$ ']); bt [bittu] (< bintu) "daughter" (4.659,7 and elsewhere; cf. the syllabic attestation bi-it-ti [Ř̌ 1957.1,18], bi-it-ta [RŠ 1957.1,6,10]; see Rainey 1973:57, contra Fisher [1971b:11] who interprets it as part of feminine personal name); $y p t$ [yapattu?] (< yapantu?) "a cow" (1.10 III,3; cf. TO I, p. 286); gt [gittu] (< gintu) "wine-press" (3.5,7); mbk [mabbaku] (< manbaku) "water source" (1.4 IV,21); tssu [tisssa' $\left.{ }^{\prime} u\right]$ (< tinša'u) "she lifts up, she rases" (1.6 I, 14; II,11); yst $q^{1}$ [yišsaqu] (< yinsaquu) "he kisses" (1.23,49); tǵrk [taǵgurūkü] (< tangurūk̄̆) "may they protect you (f.s.)" $(2.11,8$; for the suggestion that this form derives from the root ǴWR, cf.
 "he raised himself" (1.40,24); ytt [yatattü] (< yatant"йu) "I gave" (1.100,75); $s^{〔} m^{1} t$ [šumattu] (< sümantu) "fat" (1.19 III,33); $m^{〔} t^{\top} d b[m]$ [muttadibūma?] (< muntadibūma?) "volunteers(?), donors(?)" (4.775,1; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:364); UDU.MEŠ $\backslash m a-a q-q a-d u$ [maqqadu] (< manqadu) "grazing right" (PRU III, p. 146,12); ma-qa-bu-ma ${ }^{\text {MES }}$ [maqqabūma] (< manqabūma) "hammers" (PRU VI 142,5); at-ta ["atăa] (< 'atẗ̈) "now" (PRU III, p. 19,11). The form 'adty "my lady" (2.12,2) might represent either ['adattiya] (<'adantiya < 'adāntiya) or the feminine of 'adu "father, lord", cf. infra).

There are some instances when the assimilation did not take place. The following are some examples: mgntm [maggintumā/magantum $\bar{a}$ ] "(have) the two of you entreated?" (1.4 III,30); ytnt [yatantä] "you
 (m.s.) caused to give" $(2.36,13$; cf. TO II, p. 402, n. 174). The $n$ morpheme of the N stem does not assimilate in the form ynphy [yinpahivu] "(the moon) is seen" (1.163,5; it is less likely to interpret "they are visible" following Dietrich and Loretz 1990a:99). In fact, we would have expected *yphy [yippahiyu] (cf. Verreet 1984:310-312 and 1988:21). Besides the normal spelling 'adty "my lady" (cf. supra), there is attestation for 'adnty ( $2.83,5$ ). This latter form may simply be ['adantiva] (< 'adanntiya), but there is also the possibility that there was a feminine suffix -atu protecting the nun, that is 'adānatiya (cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:361; cf. also infra, p. 62).

Assimilation of b ? - The words kbkb [kabkabu] "star" (1.4 IV,17; $1.163,7$ ) and $k b k b m$ [kabkabūma] "stars" (1.3 III,25; 1.164,15) are attested once in the form $k k b m$ ( $1.10 \mathrm{I}, 4$ ). This might be a case of assimilation, i.e. [kakkabūma] (< kabkabūma; cf. a similar phenomenon with $k k r$ [kakkaru] [< karkaru] "talent" [4.158,14]). But the other form may actually represent the shift of $b>w$ (cf. Arabic kawkabu and Biblical Hebrew כּוֹכָב [< kawekab] [Num. 24:17]) which in Ugaritic would create a diphthong that would contract, i.e. [kôkabūma] (< kawkabüma) (< kabkabūma).

## Dissimilations

There would appear that dissimilation of consonants can be found in the following cases:

The form spsg "glaze" (1.17 VI,36) is also documented $s^{\top} b{ }^{1} s g$ (4.205,14). One may add here the word s̈ph [saphu?] "family, heir" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 24$ ) which is also documented once as ${ }^{〔}{ }^{\prime} / 6 h(1.14 \mathrm{VI}, 25)$. This kind of dissimilation is also documented in personal names, e.g. $t p t b^{c} l(4.102,13 ; 4.425,12)$ along with $t b t(4.123,22 ;$ cf. Gröndahl 1967:22). In these instances there may be a case of dissimilation, that is, the consonant $p$ differentiated from the following voiceless consonant ( $s, h$ or $t$ ) and became voiced.

Dissimilation is apparently attested in lhmd [lahmadu] (< mahmadu) "desirable thing" (1.4 V,39), beside mhmd [mahmadu] (1.4 V,32). It is hard to explain the first form as a scribal error because the signs for mem and lamed are so dissimilar (cf. Albright 1950a:387; Fronzaroli 1955:67).

In the phrase $w b t b^{c} l^{\prime} u g r^{r} t$ "in the house of the Baal of Ugarit"
$(1.119,3)$ it may be that in the first word there is a dissimilation from *b bt (cf. Ug 7, p. 31).

The word $p^{c} n\left[p a^{c} n u ?\right]$ "foot" ( $2.11,5$ and elsewhere) can be compared to Biblical Hebrew reflects dissimilation of the two labials, i.e. the $m$ dissimilated in nasality to a $n$, namely $p a^{c} m u>p a^{c} n u$ (cf. Franzorali 1955:67 and Smith 1994:174, n. 109).

## The Consonants' and $h$

## Elision of aleph

The component 'id [ $[i d u]$ "time(s)" (possibly derived from the noun yadu "hand") is appended to numerals, e.g. $\underline{\underline{n}} n^{\prime} i d$ [ $\left[\underline{i} n \bar{a}-{ }^{-} i d(a)\right]$ "two times" $(2.64,14)$ and likewise $\left.\breve{s}^{\prime} b^{\prime} i d \check{S}^{\prime} a b^{\prime} a a^{-} i d(a)\right]$ "seven times" $(2.12,9)$ beside the attestations in which the aleph is missing $\check{s}^{c} d ~\left[\check{s} a b^{c} a-d(a)\right]$ "seven times" $(2.64,14 ; 2.68,6)$ and also $\underline{t t d}[\underline{t i t t a}-d(a)]$ "six times" (7.130+4.669,6). Sometimes the two forms (with aleph and without

 $d(a)]$ "two times seven times" $(2.64,14)$. In all these instances it cannot be discerned whether there was compensatory gemination as the result of assimilation of the aleph or vowel lengthening due to the aleph's elision. Further examples are: 'al 'ahdhm ['al' 'âhudhum $(\bar{u})$ ?] (< 'al ' $a$ 'hudhum $[\bar{u}]$ ) "I will verily take them" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 22$, translated after TO I, p. 175 , nn. $o, p, q$ and $435 \mathrm{n} . a$; for the possibility that it is a G stem qtl form or a participle cf. Verreet 1983a:228); ytmr [yit(t)amiru/yit(t)amaru or yîtamirulyîtamaru] (< yi'tamiru/yi'tamaru) "he views" (1.3 I,22) beside the form with the aleph, y'itmr [yi'tamiru/yi'tamaru] "he views" (1.2 I,32; concerning alternate possibilities for the translation of this form, cf. Verreet 1988:64 with discussion). For elision of the prosthetic aleph in the Gt stem imperative, cf. w tqg [wa-t $(t) a q \dot{g} / w a-t(t) a q a g]$ (< wa-'ivtaqigg/wa-'izetaqaǵ[?]) "and attune (the) ear!" (1.16 VI,30,42; cf. most recently Tsumura 1991:431; for another view that the root is $T Q G$ in the $G$ stem, cf. Oberman 1946:244 n. 25 and Blau 1977b:71). Perhaps one may also find such an elision in w tkms [wa-t $(t)$ akammisa/wa-t $(t)$ akammasa or wâtakammisa/wât (ta) kammasa] (< wa-'it[a]kammisa/wa-'it[a]kammasa?) "and he stretched" (1.12 II,54; cf. infra, p. 138).

Elision of the consonant aleph is also present in the following nisbe form: mhdy [mâhadǐyu] (< ma'hadえ̌yu) "one from Ma'hadu" (4.635,17)
beside instances when the aleph is preserved, e.g. the plural nisbe form $m^{\prime}$ ihdym [ma'hadı̂yūma] "people from Ma'hadu" (4.383,1; 4.611,1; cf. the syllabic documentation $\mathrm{URU}_{m a-a-h a-d i}$ [ma'hadi/mâhadi] (PRU III, p. 195 A,6) and the noun ma-á- $h a a^{-} d u$ "town, quay" (Ug 5137 II,21'; contra Huehnergard [1987:279 n. 54] who reads ma-ah-ha-[du], i.e. ma’hadu > mahhadu).

It would appear that the consonant aleph sometimes elides at the beginning of a word, e.g. $w$ 'ank ' $n y$ ( $<{ }^{*} a^{\prime} n y$ ) "and I will answer" (1.2 I,28; cf. Tsumura 1991:428 with discussion and bibliography; Smith 1994:267, n. 88); 'ikm ['êka-ma] "how" (1.16 I,20), beside the orthography without aleph in line 17 (cf. Tsumura 1991:432 with other views and interpretations).

## Prosthetic aleph

A prosthetic aleph can be seen in the following forms: ${ }^{\text {Tstrtm }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ ['istami'/’istama] "give heed!" (1.16 VI,42); $y$ rh ' 'ib'lt "(month name)" ( $1.119,1$ ) along with the Phoenician month name ירח פעלח without prosthetic aleph (KAI 37B,2; 43,8; 112,4; cf. Xella 1981:27-28; for the possibility that this component is identical to the ${ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath}$ in the personal name בעלאזבל "Jezebel" [2 Kgs 16:31] in the Bible and in Phoenician cf. Benz 1972:289 and Herdner 1978:31). A prosthetic aleph is probably to be seen in 'udm't [udmū ${ }^{\top}$ atu?] "tears" (1.14 $\mathrm{I}, 28$; perhaps the form should be compared to ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} d m^{〔} t^{\top}$ [1.19 II,33] without prosthetic aleph, but its reading is not certain), 'azmr ['azmäru] "branch(es)" (1.41,51; cf. de Moor 1969:177; Levine and de Tarragon 1993:113); ${ }^{\top}{ }^{2}{ }^{1} t m l$ [ ${ }^{2} t \mathrm{tma} l \mathrm{al}$ ?] "yesterday" (1.119,19; cf. Xella 1981:32) and 'irby ['irbiyu/'irbāyu] "locust" (1.14, II,50).

## Elision of $\boldsymbol{h}$

The third person suffix pronoun is written $-h$ and sometimes $-n h$, but sometimes the latter form appears without the hé, probably indicating gemination of the nun (cf. Good 1981:119-121; cf. also the discussion herein under personal pronouns, infra, p. 53).

The consonant $h$ might also be elided in the form bbtw [bi-bêtize] (< bi-bêtihū̆) "in his house" (3.9,4; cf. Freedman 1979:192; cf. Biblical Hebrew פִּיו "his mouth" [2 Kgs 4:34] alongside [Prov. 16:23], אָבֵיו "his father" [Gen. 2:24] alongside אָבָיהו [Judg. 14:19]). The orthography $b$ btw may be taken as a scribal error (cf. Rainey 1973:61; 1987:401; perhaps the waw has to be seen as dittography of the waw in the following line [cf. $K T U^{2}$, p. 203, n. 1]). However,
one has to note that, since the orthography of this tablet seems to indicate an unpracticed hand, it may reflect a different school or more likely a non-Ugaritic scribe.

The consonant $h$ drops from the root HLM "to strike, to smite" in the forms 'alm ['âlumu] (< 'ahlumu) "I will strike, I will smite" ( $1.82,8$; cf. TO II, p. 66, n. 182); ylm [yâlumu?] (< yahlumu) "he strikes, he smites" (1.2 IV,16,24) and with suffix pronoun y.lmn [yâluman $(n)$ ü] (< yahluman $[n] \ddot{u})$ "he strikes/smites him" (1.114,8). However, it is also possible that two roots were in use in Ugaritic, namely $H L M$ and $Y L M$ and both served in suppletion (cf. HLK and YLK in Ugaritic and in Biblical Hebrew; cf. Ginsberg 1936:76).

It would appear that the consonant $h$ elides from the root $H D r$ "to lacerate, to cut" (cf. Renfroe 1992:45-48) in the form ydy [yâdiyu] (< yahdiyu?) "he lacerates" (1.5 VI,18; cf. Tropper and Verreet 1988:344; Tropper 1990a:376-377; or perhaps the root is $1 D 1$ ) alongside the normal form $y$ hdy [yahdiyu] in the same text (1.5 VI,19).

On occasion the consonant $h$ elides after the conjunction $w$-, e.g. $w h m[$ [wa- $\operatorname{him}(m) a]$ "and if" $(2.3,8,18 ; 2.30,16,18)$ but w $m$ [? ] (< wa-him[m]a) "and if" (3.9,6; it is not necessary to assume that it is aleph elided as suggested by Tsumura 1991:432; $K T U^{2}$, p. 203 reads wrongly $k m$ ); whn [wa-hinnt?? "and behold" $(1.23,46)$ but wn [?] (< wa-hinnt) "and behold" (1.4 IV,50; cf. Garr 1986:52 n. 50); $p n$ [ ? ] (< phn?) "and behold" (1.114,12; cf. Rainey 1974:186; but the word could be a verbal form of the root PNY "to turn", cf. Loewenstamm 1980:376).

Dropping of the consonantal $h$ in the pronoun interrogative impersonal $m h$ [mah] "what?" is apparently found in the combination $m$ 'at [ma"attă/mâ’attä] (< mah 'attă) literally "what are you?" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 38$; cf. Ginsberg 1946:35), but here in the meaning "what is it to you?"

## Expanding Words by Addition of $\boldsymbol{h}$

The consonant $h$ serves to expand short words (a phenomenon known from Biblical Hebrew, Aramaic and Phoenician; cf. Smith 1994:235, n. 29). Note the following Ugaritic examples: 'amht ['amahātu] "handmaidens" (1.4 III,21,22; cf. Biblical Hebrew דֶאָמָהת [Gen. 31:33]); alongside the regular plural 'ilm ['ilüma] "gods" (1.4 V,1 and elsewhere) there is the expanded form 'ilhm ['ilahūma] "deities" ( $1.39,3,5,9 ; 1.41,12$ ) and in the feminine 'ilht ['ilahätu] "goddesses" (1.4 VI, 48,50 ; the base for these plurals may be 'ilāhu and not 'ilu); and also bhtm [bahatūma] "houses" (1.4 VI,27; 2.31,48) with the vari-
ant bwtm [bawatūma] ( $1.105,9$; contra Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín [1975a:560] who take the waw here as a vowel indicator) along with the unexpanded form btm [bêtüma] (< baytüma) "houses" (1.48,4; cf. TO II, p. 167); 'umht ['ummahātu] "mothers" (1.15 I,6) is the plural of 'um ["ummu] "mother" (1.14 I,9 and elsewhere); $d r t$ [? ] (1.14 III,47) "dream, vision" alongside the expanded variant dhrt [ ? ] (1.14 I,36); qrht [qarāhātu/qarahātu] or [qar̄̄̄ātu/qarihātu] "towns, citadels" (4.95, 1; 4.235,1; the base for this form may be bi-consonantal, cf. Biblical Hebrew קִיר־מוֹאֶב. [Isa. 15:1] and pown" in the Mesha' Inscription, line 11 (cf. Huehnergard 1987:286 n. 86).

## h/' Interchanges

The normal orthography of the conditional particle is $h m$ [ $\operatorname{him}(m) a$ a?] "if" (2.3,8,18; 2.30,16,18), but there is also an attestation for 'im [ $\mathrm{im}(m) a^{?}$ ? ( $\left.1.6 \mathrm{~V}, 21 ; 2.15,8\right)$. Perhaps this is not an interchange of $h /{ }^{\prime}$ but rather a loss of the consonantal $h$, while the vowel is preserved so that the aleph is simply prosthetic (cf. Dahood 1965:35; Greenstein 1973:161; Althann 1977:525-526).

## TABLE OF CONSONANTS

Proto- Ug. Clas. Akk. Heb. Mid. Egyptian transcriptions
Sem.
Arab.
Aram. Prd I Prd II Prd III Prd IV

| , | , | , | ', 0 | , | , | $\cdots$ | $i$ | $\cdots$ - $\left.{ }^{( }\right)$ | $\stackrel{i}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ | $b$ |
| $g$ | $g$ | $g$ | $g$ | $g$ | $g$ | $k, q$ | $g, k$ | $q$ | $g$ |
| $d$ | $d$ | $d$ | $d$ | $d$ | $d$ | $d$ | $t$ | $d$ | $t$ |
| $\underline{d}$ | $d^{*}, \underline{d}$ | d | $z^{*}$ | $z^{*}$ | $d^{*}$ |  | $t$ ? |  |  |
| $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | g, ** | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ |
| $w$ | $w$ | $w$ | $w, \emptyset, *$ | $w$ | $w$ | $w$ | $w$ | w |  |
| $z$ | $z$ | $z$ | $z$ | $z$ | $z$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ |  |
| $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | g, ${ }^{3}, h^{*}$ | $h$ | h | $h$ | $h$ | h |  |
| $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h^{*}$ | $h^{*}$ | $h$ | $h$ | $h$ |  |
| $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $d, t$ | $d$ | d, $t$ |  |
| $z$ | $z, g^{*}$ | $z$ | $s^{*}$ | $s^{*}$ | $t^{*}$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ |  |  |
| y | $y$ | $y$ | $y^{\prime}{ }^{*}$ | $y$ | $y$ | $y$ | $y$ | $y$ | $y$ |
| $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ | $k$ |  |
| $l$ | $l$ | $l$ | $l$ | $l$ | $l$ | $r^{*}$ | $r^{*}, n r^{*}$ | $r^{*}$ | $r^{*}$ |
| $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ | $m$ |
| $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ |
| $s$ | $s$ | $s$ | $s$ | $s$ | $s$ | $s, \grave{s}$ | $\grave{s}$ |  | $\grave{s}$ |
| c | c | c | $00^{2 *}$ | c | $\checkmark$ | c | 6 | c | $\checkmark$ |
| $g$ | $g$ g | $g$ | $0,{ }^{*}, h^{*}$ | c | 6 | $q^{*}, g^{*}$ | $g^{*}$ | $g^{*}$ | $q$ |
| $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p, f$ | $p, f$ | $p, f$ |
| $\underline{s}$ | $s$ | $s$ | $\stackrel{s}{ }$ | $s$ | $s$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ |
| $d$ | $s^{*}$ | $d$ | $s^{*}$ | $s^{*}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\underline{s}$ | $\underline{s}$ | S | $\underline{s}$ |
| $q$ | $q$ | $q$ | $q$ | $q$ | $q$ | $q, g$ | $q, g$ | $q, g$ | $q, g$ |
| $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ | $r$ |
| $\stackrel{r}{ }$ | s | $\stackrel{s}{ }$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $s^{*}$ | $\breve{s}^{*}$ | $\check{s}$ | $s^{*}$ | $\stackrel{s}{ }$ | $s$ |
| $\check{s}$ | $s^{*}$ | $\check{s}$ | $\dot{s}$ | $s$ | s | $\check{s}$ | s | $\check{s}$ | s |
| $\underline{t}$ | $\underline{t}$ | $\underline{t}$ | $s^{*}$ | $\check{s}^{*}$ | $t^{*}$ | $\dot{s}$ | $\dot{s}$ | s | s |
| $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ | $t$ |

Table of consonants including transcriptions in Egyptian of Northwest Semitic words. * = deviations from Proto-Semitic.

## Vowels

## General Remarks

Understanding the vowel system is perhaps the most difficult problem in the study of Ugaritic. Apart from the three aleph-signs, the Ugaritic alphabet does not indicate vowels. On the basis of the three aleph-signs it is assumed that the Proto-Semitic vowel system did not undergo change in Ugaritic (apart from the contractions to be discussed below). It would appear that Ugaritic had three short vowels ( $a, i, u$ ) with three long vowels ( $\bar{a}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}$ ). There were apparently also an $\hat{o}$ and an $\hat{e}$. Vowel length in Ugaritic is posited on the basis of comparison with other Semitic languages and also according to our knowledge of certain vowel contractions. For example, in the word 'ar the theme vowel must be long, i.e. ' $\bar{a} r u$ "light" because of Biblical Hebrew ( $<{ }^{\prime} \bar{a} \bar{a} u$ ), etc. Further assistance in determining vowels may be derived from the Akkadian syllabic texts written by Ugaritian scribes. In those texts there are embedded Ugaritic words (approximately 300 words) written in syllabic orthography which makes them useful for understanding the vowels of Ugaritic.

## Diphthongs

The diphthongs $a y$, awe, $i y$, uw all contracted without exception. The orthographies of words originally containing such diphthongs do not have a written yod or waze.
$\boldsymbol{a y}>\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}$ - Although the resultant vowel is written $\hat{e}$ in the ensuing discussion, there is also the possibility that it might have been $\hat{\imath}$ as in Akkadian. Nevertheless, note that the name of the second letter of the alphabet is written be for $b \hat{e}[t u]$ (5.14,2). The following are some examples: bt [bêtu] (< baytu) "house" (1.4 IV,50,62) and btm [bêtūma] (baytūma) "houses" (1.48,4, alongside the regular form bhtm [bahatūma] [1.4 VI,27]; cf. TO II, p. 167); qz [qêzu] (< qayzu) "summer" ( $1.20 \mathrm{I}, 5$; cf. the example from a Byblos Amarna letter
 [yênu] (< yaynu) "wine" (1.4 III,43); 'ik ['êka] (< 'ayka) "how" (1.6 VI,24,26); št [šettu] (< saytu) "thorn bush" (1.175,5; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:297); hmt [hêmatu] (< haymatu) "tent" (1.14 III,55); mrt [mêralu:] (< mayratu?) "new wine" (2.34,32; cf. TO II, p. 345, n. 32); mintm [mêtanätäma/ı] (< maytanätāma/i). "two gifts" (1.109,7; cf. Ug 5,
p. 593; this form might also be [môtanātäma/i] [< maretanātāma/i]); ntn [nêtana] (< naytana) "(wine) was given" (4.219,1; it seems to be a N stem form; cf. p. 152; it might also be [nôtana] [< nawtana]) and possibly bnt [banêtü] (< banaytü̆) "I built" (1.4 VI,36; it can also reflect [banîtū] [< baniytūu], cf. Biblical Hebrew $\quad$ [l Kgs 8:27]


These contractions are also expressed in the syllabic transcriptions of Ugaritic words, e.g. hé-qu [hêqu] (< hayqu) "bosom" (Ug 5137 I, $9^{\prime}$ ); mì-te $\left[m i^{\top} t e ̂\right](<m i ' t a y)$ "two hundred (of)" (PRU III, p. 169,14).
$\boldsymbol{a y}>\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}$ ? - This contraction is probably known from the particle 'an ['âna?] (< 'ayna) "where" (1.6 IV,22; cf. Biblical Hebrew [1 Sam. 10:14] and אָּ [Gen. 37:30] alongside [Gen. 29:4]). However, the form 'an might be the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. independent pronoun (cf. Gordon 1965:361, no. 237, and also TO I, p. 264, n. n).
$\boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{>} \boldsymbol{\hat { \boldsymbol { o } }}$ - Throughout this work the resulting vowel is transcribed as $\hat{0}$, but the possibility remains that it might have been $\hat{u}$ as in Akkadian. The following are examples: $m t$ [môtu] (< mawtu) "death" (1.6 II,9); tk [tôku] (< tawku) "midst" (1.4 III,13; 1.12 I,21); ${ }^{3} u\left[{ }^{2} \hat{o}\right]\left(<{ }^{3} a w\right)$ "or" (1.16 1,22); msdt [môsadātu] (< mazesadātu) "foundations, fundaments" (1.4 $\mathrm{I}, 40$ ); $m d^{k}\left[m o ̂ d a^{c} u ?\right]$ (< mawda'u?) "why" (1.107,10; cf. Biblical Hebrew מַּרִּוּט [Gen. $26: 27$ and elsewhere] with assimilation of the waw or the yod; cf. TO II, p. 98, and n. 301); $m \underline{t b}[m o ̂ t a b u](<~ m a w t \underline{t} a b u)$ "seat" (1.4 I,14,16), a contraction attested also in syllabic spelling, mu-ša-bu [môtabu] "seat" (Ug 5137 IП,32"); $m z n h$ [môzanuhй̄? $]$ (< mawzanuhŭu?) "its weight" (2.81,25; 4.341,1); A.ŠÀ.MEŠ $m u$-ba-li [môbali] (< mazwali) "yield, load" (PRU III, p. 148,8); 'ušn [’̂̂šānu?] (< 'awošānu?) "gift" (1.14 III,3l; the root is probably 'WŠ, cf. Renfroe 1992:16); 'nn ['ônānu?] (< 'awnānu?) "servant" (1.4 IV,59; the root seems to be ${ }^{\text {' } W N}$, cf. Renfroe 1992:22); y $y{ }^{5}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a$ [yašôsi'a/yušôsi"a] (< yašawsi’a/yušazesi'a) "he will bring forth" (2.15,5; contra $K T U^{2}$, p. 166 which reads $\check{\left.s s_{s}^{3} a\right) ; ~ m s ̌ s s ' u ~[m u s ̌ o ̂ s i ' u] ~(<~ m u s ̌ a w s i ̉ u) ~}$ "the one who brings forth" (1.17 I,27,45); l tšsy [lā tašôšzyu/tušôšivu] (< lā tašawš̌iyu/tušawšivu) "do not (m.s.) suppress (your lips)!" (1.82,5; it is unlikely to interpret the form from the root $\mathcal{N S Y}$ "to forget", cf. de Moor and Spronk 1984:239; TO II, p. 64). The word $y m$ "day" is found many times in Ugaritic (1.14 III, 10 and elsewhere). The most likely reading is [yômu] (< yazomu), but [yamu] has been suggested. The syllabic documentation is not particularly helpful,
since the attested orthography is PI-mu ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137$ IVa,17; 138,2'), and the $P 1$-sign can be read $y a$, $y i$, or $y u$ (on this topic see Kutscher 1970:18-19 and Rainey 1972:186). Still, if the scribe had intended to express [yamu], it is most likely that he would have written $i a$ $m u$, not PI-mu. That he did write PI-mu strongly suggests that he did not intend to reflect an $a$-vowel, i.e. he most likely intended the reading $y u-m u$ for [yômu]. A dubious form is šnt [šanôtŭư] (< šanazutŭ?") "I am fast" or "I do quit" (1.3 IV,33). Its root is probably $\check{S}$ SNW (cf. TO I, p. 171 and Smith 1995:792-793).
$\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{y}>\boldsymbol{i}$ - hmt [hāmîtu] (< hāmiytu) "city wall" (1.14 II,22; IV,4; cf. the syllabic attestation \ha-mì-ti [PRU III, p. 137,4]); qrt [qarîtu] (< qariytu) "town, citadel" (1.23,3; cf. the syllabic documentation $q a$ -
 III,18); tnt [tanîta] (< taniyyta) "(the) second time" (1.175,16; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:297); štt [šatitūu] (< sativitū̆) "I have drunk" (1.4 III,14; the verbal form is qatil of the G stem, cf. infra, p. 162); 'abn ['abni] (< 'abniy) "I will repair" ( $1.18 \mathrm{IV}, 40$; though the form may actually be D stem, i.e. 'abannî $\left[<{ }^{\prime} a b a n n i y\right]$ ); $y^{\prime} i p\left[y a^{\prime} p \hat{\imath}\right]\left(<y a^{\prime} p i y\right)$ "let him bake" (1.14 II,30); yr [yîru] (<yiyrayu) "he shoots" ( $1.23,38$ ).

This contraction also seems to be reflected in syllabic attestation:
 (< sizyu) "song" or "to sing" (Ug $5137 \mathrm{III}, 7$ ).
$\boldsymbol{u z v}>\hat{\boldsymbol{u}}$ - The examples of this contraction are dubious: kst [kisûtu?] (< kisuwtu?) "garment" (1.19 I,36; or perhaps [kisîtu] [< kisiy$t u]$ ); and also ytn [ŷ̂tan?] (< yuwtan) "may it be given" (1.3 V,3; cf. an alternate explanation on p. 127).
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a}>\hat{\boldsymbol{\imath}}$ ? - This contraction is probably reflected in syllabic corroboration: $i-s a^{-} \hat{a}^{3} a\left[\hat{i} s a^{2} a\right]$ (< yasa $a^{2} a$ ?) "it went out" (PRU VI 101,4'; cf. Rainey 1973:45-47, contra Huehnergard 1987:133); i-bi-la [îbila] (< yabila?) "he brought" (PRU VI 134,3,5,7; 145,2; for the possibility that the $\hat{\imath}$-vowel is a result of an Akkadian influence of. Kühne 1973:188-189).
$\boldsymbol{y i} / \boldsymbol{y a} / \boldsymbol{y u}$ and $\boldsymbol{w i / w a / w e u - T h e ~ d i p h t h o n g s ~ d i s c u s s e d ~ i n ~ t h i s ~}$ section are found in word medial or word final position. They are treated in two different ways in Ugaritic: they are contracted (perhaps contracted to the vowel of the diphthong) or else they are
uncontracted. It would appear that there is no consistency in these contractions. One may even find words which behave in both ways (cf. Sivan 1982b:209-218).

The following are examples of contraction in word medial position: mqr [maqâru] (< maqwearu/maquaru) "(water) source" (1.14 V,2); mqmh [maqâmuhŭ] (< maqwamuhй/maqyamuh̆̆) "his place" (1.14 II,1; III,23); msd [masâdu] (< masswadu/masyadu) "provisions" (1.14 II,26); msdh [masâdah] (< maswadah/masyadah) "to the fort" (1.112,19; cf. Ug 7, pp. 25-26); msq ${ }^{\Gamma} t^{1}$ [masûq(a)tu] (< maswuq[a]tu/masyuq[a]tu) "stress" (1.103+1.145,19; cf. Herdner 1978:60 and Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:122; this can also be considered as $[\operatorname{masa} a q[a] t u]$ [< maswaq[a]tu/masyaq[a]tu).

On the other hand, the diphthong is preserved in mrym [marya$m u$ ] "height" (1.4 IV,19; $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 11$ ) alongside the contracted form $b$ $m r m t$ [bi-marâmäti] (< bi-maryamāti) "in the heights" (1.169,7; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:348; Caquot 1984:170; TO II, p. 57, n. 137). A similar phenomenon is known from Biblical Hebrew.
 (Prov. 18:18 and elsewhere in Proverbs) and שְּוֹנִים (Prov. 18:19; Qeri מִּרְיִיְים; and elsewhere, e.g. Prov. 21:9,19); beside מָיעוֹן "shelter" (1 Sam. 2:29, from ' $W N$ ) there is ${ }^{\text {שַ}}$ "spring" (Lev. 11:36, from the root ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{YN}$.

Examples of contraction in word final position: pr [pirî/parû] (< piryu/paryu) "fruit" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 5 ; 1.85,14$ ); 'ah ['ahû̀? (< 'ahww?? "meadow" (1.10 II,9,12; cf. Rainey 1971a:169); $h r$ [hirû/harû?] (< hiryu/haryu?) "pregnancy, impregnation" (1.23,51,56); sp [sip̂̂/sap̂̂] (< sipyu/sapyu) "viewing, seeing" (1.14 III,45; the form might be an infinitive, i.e. [sapâ < sapâyu]); 'un ['unû?? (< 'unyu?) "mourning" (1.5 VI,15); šbm [šibû-ma/šabû-ma] (< šibyu-ma/s sabyu-ma) "captivity" (1.83,8; cf. CDUL [forhcoming], contra others who interpret the form from the root $\check{S} B M$ "to muzzle", cf. Barr 1973:17-39; Loewenstamm 1980:466 and TO II, p. 29, n. 46).

By contrast, there are instances when the diphthong is preserved: ${ }^{r} z^{1} b y$ [zabyu] "gazelle" (1.15 IV,18); znw [zurwu?] "balm(?)" (4.402,11; cf. the syllabic transcription from El-Amarna letters sui-ur-wu [EA 48,8]); thw [tuhwor] "wasteland" (1.5 I,15; cf. TO I, p. 241, n. m); 'arw [arwu?] "lion" (6.62,2; cf. Xella 1981:295-296) and the syllabic attestation from Ugarit \sitil-yu [silyu] "imprecation, curse" (Ug 5 130 III,16'; cf. Hillers 1976:18; Blau and Loewenstamm 1971:7-10).
Words in which the diphthong is sometimes contracted and some-
times preserved: ${ }^{〔}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} d$ [gadî] (< gadyu) "kid" (1.23,14; cf. TO I, p. 371 , n. p) along with the plural $\operatorname{gdm}[\operatorname{gadu} \mathrm{u} m \mathrm{a}](<\operatorname{gad}[a]$ $\bar{u} m a)$ "kids" (1.3 II,2; 1.17 VI,21; cf. TO I, p. 157, n. d) beside gdy [gadyu] "kid" (4.150,3); likewise $b k$ [bikû/bakû] (< bikyu/bakyu) "weeping" (1.6 I,9) or with the diphthong bky [bikyu/bakyu] (1.16 II,41). Still, the spellings with yod may not necessarily reflect the consonantal nature of the yod, they may be historical spellings. The argument that the contraction took place with qitl forms and not with qatl is refuted by those examples (contra Huehnergard 1987:288 n. 93).

Diphthongs in which the yod or the waw is geminated are found in Ugarit and they do not contract. Thus, 'aylm ['ayyalūma] "bucks" (1.6 I,24); 'wr ['awwiru/' 'ivwiru] "blind (m.s.)" (1.14 II,46; IV,24); ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{w}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{r}^{1} t$ [ ${ }^{\prime}$ awervin $(a)$ tu/ ${ }^{\prime}$ izervin $\left.(a) t u\right]$ "blind (f.s.)" (1.19 IV,5); and perhaps ${ }_{s w w^{\mathrm{r}} d^{1}[t][\text { sawwad }(a) t u] \text { "hunter (f.s.)" (1.92,2; cf. TO II, p. 32, n. 52). }}^{\text {. }}$

## Triphthongs

The triphthongs behaved in two ways in Ugaritic, sometimes they are preserved (as evidenced by the orthography with yod and wawe) and there are other instances when they are contracted. The syllabic attestations indicate that the final vowel of the contracted triphthong prevailed in most cases (see exceptions infra) and formed compound vowels. This can be seen in the following examples: \̌̌a-du-úu [šadû] (< śadayu) "field" (Ug 5137 II,35"); ma-ač-nu-ú [mathû] (< matnayu/matniyu) "response, a repeated saying" (Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 41$ '); hu-wu-ú [huwwwî] (< huwrwayu) "to give life" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137 \mathrm{II}, 17$ )); ma-ašna [matnâ] (< matnaya) "secondly" (PRU III, p. 109,4).

Apparently there was no systematic rule in the behavior of the triphthongs. Neither was there any influence on the part of the first vowel of the triphthong on the resultant behavior (cf. Sivan 1982b:209-218). The ensuing examples represent cases in which the triphthongs are preserved, others where they are contracted and also some which alternate between contraction and preservation.

## Preserved Triphthongs

'apy ['ābiyu] "baker" (4.362,4,5); bny [bānivu] "builder, creator" (1.6 III,5,11 and elsewhere); hy [hiya] "she" (1.19 IV,39); hw [huwa] "he" (2.61,6; cf. the syllabic spelling $\hat{u}$-wa [ $U g 5137$ II,28’]); my [miya] "who" (1.16 V,14); yqn [yaqniyu] "he creates" (1.19 IV,58); tgly [tagliyu] "she reaches" (1.16 VI,4); 'atwt ['atawat] "she came" (1.4

IV,32); mǵvt [maǵayat] "she arrived" (1.4 II,23; III,24); ‘lyt [‘alayat] "she went up" ( $1.176,6$; for the possibility to interpret it as $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s., cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:351).

Triphthongs with long vowel: $b / k y t$ [bäkiyätu] "weepers, mourning women (f.pl.)" (1.19 IV,9-10); tstyn [tistayūna] "they drink" (1.22 $\mathbf{I}, 22,24)$; «̌šqy [šašqiv̄̄] "give to drink! (f.s.)" (1.17 V,19); 「šty" [šatayū] "drink! (m.pl.)" (1.23,6).

## Reduced Triphthongs

$\check{s} d$ [šad $\hat{u}]$ (< śadayu) "field" (1.6 II,34; cf. the syllabic corroboration ša-du-ú [Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 35$ ']); š [šū] (< śayu/śizu) "sheep" (1.39,2; 1.43,6); ${ }^{'} l$ ['clû?] (< 'aliyu/‘alayu) "leaf(?)" ( $1.85,21$ ); dw [dawû] (< dawiyu) "sick, ill" (1.16 II,20,23); mks [maksayu/maksiyu) "covering, garment" (1.4 II,5); mt [mattû] (< mantivu) "staff" (1.23,37,40); qs [qasû] (< qasayu/qasiyu?) "edge" (1.6 II,11; some derive this form from the root QSS "to cut", cf. Gordon 1965: 479, no. 2259); 'l ['ala] (< ‘alaya) "he has attacked" $(2.30,17)$ and with suffix pronoun ' $n h m$ ['anâhum $(\bar{u})]$ (< 'anayahum $[\bar{u}])$ "he answered them" (1.23,73; contra $K T U^{2}$, p. 69 which separates it into two forms, i.e. ' $n \mathrm{hm}$ ); yd [yadâ/yaddâ] (< yadayalyaddiya) "he tossed(?)" (1.17 I,3,4; cf. TO I, p. 419); n'n [nána] (< nánaya) "it was answered" (1.24,31; cf. Gordon 1967:100 and n. 53; Herrmann 1968:18; Rainey 1970b:535, contra $K T U^{2}$, p. 70 which reads $w{ }^{\prime}$ ' $n$, viz. two forms); ' $l t$ ['alât] (< 'alayat) "she went up" ( $1.82,9,10$ ); 'ihd ['ihd $\hat{u}$ (< 'ihdayu?) "I am happy" $(2.33,21)$ and 'ihd ['ihdâ?] (< 'ihdaya?) "I am happy" (2.15,10; it is a yqtla form according to the previous verb yšss ${ }^{3} a$ [yasôosicialyušôsis ${ }^{2} a$ ] in line 5; cf. also Verreet 1983a:229 and 1988:218-219); 「'iph1 ['iphû] (< 'iphayu) "I will see" ( $1.10 \mathrm{II}, 32$; cf. Verreet 1988:136); ydu [ $\left.2 i d^{\prime} \hat{u}\right]$ (yidªyu) "he soars" (1.103+1.145,42); yr [ŷ̂rû] (< yivrayu) "he shoots" (1.23,38); $t d^{\text {P }} u$ [tid' $\left.\hat{u}\right]$ (tid'ayu) "she soars" ( 1.16 VI, 6 ); tgl $[$ taglû $](<$ tagliyu) "she turns" (1.3 V,7); tzǵ [tazǵv́u] (< tazǵuzeu/tazǵvyu?) "she moans" (1.15 I,5; cf. Ginsberg 1946:22,33; Verreet 1988:58 n. 53); wold [wâlādu] (< wa-yalädu) "and she gave birth" ( 1.14 III,48; infinitive absolute of the G stem; cf. Dahood 1965: 25; Tsumura 1979:779-782 and also Verreet 1988:174); wtn [wâtinu] (< wa-yatinu) "and (Baal) gives" (1.4 V,8).

Contractions of triphthongs with long vowel: $t^{[ } s^{1} t^{1} n^{1}$ [tižtûna] (< tistayūna) "they drink" (1.114,3); tit $\left[t i^{\top} t \hat{u}\right]\left(<t i^{\prime} t a y \bar{u}\right)$ "they came" (1.20 חI,10; cf. Dijkstra and de Moor 1975:114-115; Verreet 1988:135-136 and n. 30, contra TO I, p. 478 which interprets the form as $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s.); $d^{\prime} i\left[d a^{\prime} \hat{\imath}\right]\left(<d a^{3} a y \vec{n}\right)$ "take wing!, soar! (f.s.)" (1.16

V,48); 'at ['atí] (< 'ataw̄̄/'atayn) "come! (f.s.)" (1.1 III,16); qnm [qanûma] (< qanayūma/qaniyūma) "reeds" (4.158,12); ypm [yapûma] (< yapiyüma?) "beautiful (m.pl.)" (1.41,54; cf. Levine and de Tarragon 1993: 114 , contra $K T U^{2}$, p. 79 which reads $s p m$ ).

In one instance it would appear that the final vowel did not prevail, but rather the initial vowel of the triphthong. This may be perceived from the combination Pa smm "the heavens became strong" (1.3 V,18; 1.4 VIII,22-23; 1.6 II,25). As for $l a[l a ' a]$ (< la'āyu), it is most likely an absolute infinitive of the G stem used as a finite verb (cf. infra, p. 167) and not $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. as proposed by Rainey (1969:109) followed by Huehnergard (1987:292 n. 117). Thus, the following can also be explained the same: w' $n$ rbt 'atrt $y m$ "and the Lady Ashera of the Sea answered (wa-‘anâ] (< wa-‘anāuu)" (1.6 1,53) and perhaps also $m \dot{g}$ hw "he arrived [maǵă] (< maǵáyu)" $(1.23,75)$.

There are some words that preserve the triphthong in some instances but contract it in others: šmm [šamûma] "heavens" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 4$; $1.23,38$ ) beside šmym [šamayūma] (1.19 IV,24,30); 'ahm ['ah (h) ĥma] "brothers" (1.22 I,5) beside 'ahym ['ah(h)iyūma] (1.6 VI,10,14; it seems that the yod is a root radical here and not a vowel sign; cf. Biblical
 [Zech. 11:14]); my [mayu] "water" (1.19 II,6) alongside mh [mûhă] (< mayuhă) "her waters (fluids)" (1.3 IV,42); ty [tayu?] "donation(?)"
 "her donation(?)" (2.33,29); bd [bâdi] (< biyadi) "in/from the hand of . . ." (4.144,2; cf. the syllabic form from the Amarna letters $b a$ -di-ú [bâdihü] "from his hand" [EA 245,35]) beside byd [biyadi] (1.4 VIII,23; 1.6 II,25). One may add here the verb tstyn [tisistayūna] "they drink" (1.22 $\mathrm{I}, 22,24$ ) alongside $t^{[ } s^{\top} t^{\mathrm{T}} n^{1} \quad$ [tištûna] (< tištayūna) "they drink" (1.114,3).

## Assimilations

## Assimilation of Vowels to a Labial Consonant

This is found in 'um ['ummu] (< 'immu) "mother" (1.6 VI,11; 1.82,9 and elsewhere). This phenomenon is also known from proper names in the syllabic texts found at Ugarit (cf. Sivan 1984a:19).

## Assimilation of Vowels to a Strong Thematic Vowel

Such cases can only be demonstrated when the first consonant is aleph. In most cases the thematic vowel is long, but there is reason to think that it also occurred in cases where the thematic vowel
was short (contra Huehnergard 1987:270). Note the following examples:
'ulp ['ullüpu] (< 'allüpu) "chief" (1.40,3,20,29; cf. the syllabically written personal name ul-lu-pí ['Ullūpp] [PRU III, p. 194,11]); 'ibr ['ibbīru] (< 'abbīru) "stallion, noble warrior" ( 1.4 VII,56; cf. the syllabic personal name $i$-bi-ra-na ['Ibbīrāna] [PRU VI, 45,3,15]); 'uhy ['uhūya] (<'ahūya) "my brother (nom.)" (2.41,20); 'ihy ['ih $\bar{y} y a] ~(<' a h \bar{u} y a)$ "my brother (gen.)" (2.41,18; 2.44,2); 'uhh ['uhūhū] (< 'ahūh $h \bar{u})$ "his brother (nom.)" (4.80,10); 'ihh ['ihīhŭu] (< 'ahh̄̆ $\breve{u}$ ) "his brother (gen.)" (4.123,23); 'iy ['iyy? ? ${ }^{2}$ (< 'ayy? cf. Biblical Hebrew הs [Zech. 1:5]) "where" (1.6 IV,4,5,15,16); 'irby ['irb̄̄yu/'irbiyu] (< 'arbīyu/'arbiyu; cf. Biblical Hebrew אֲרֵֶּ (Jer. 46:23]) "locust" (1.14 II,50; IV,29); 'iršt ['iristu] (< 'aris'tu) "request" (4.626,1; cf. the attestation without the

 (3.1,23; cf. Akkadian uqnû; cf. Marcus 1968:51 and Blau 1979a:60; because of the vocalic assimilation Huehnergard [1987:270] posits a long thematic vowel for this word, but this is not necessary); 'urbt ['urub(b)atu] (< 'arub[b]atu) "window, transom" (1.4 V,61,64; the gemination in the biblical cognate מֵארָּהּ [Hos. 13:3] seems to be secondary; for the possibility that the biblical form was originally qutul,
 in this form may be prosthetic); 'itn ['itinu] (< 'atinu) "I give" (2.15,4; cf. Verreet 1983a:229 n. 65); 'irš ['irris?] (< 'arris?) "ask!, request! (m.s.)" (1.17 VI,26; this could have been a G stem imperative rather than a D stem imperative; cf. infra, p. 120 and also Sivan 1990a:313-
 (it)" (2.79,3; cf. Verreet 1988:89, contra Bordreuil and Caquot [1979:306] who interpreted it as S stem of the root $Y T M$; ' $a d^{k}$ ['ada'u] (< 'ida'u?) "I know" (2.34,30; cf. Sivan 1990a:313-315; Pardee [1984:227] and TO II, p. 345, n, 28 read 'agd'; KTU'2 reads ' $a \mathrm{x} d^{\prime}$ ) alongside the regular form 'id ['ida'u] (1.6 III,8); 'amr ['ammaru?'] (< 'immaru?) "lamb" (1.20 I,10; cf. TO I, p. 196, n. r and p. 478, n. e) beside the regular form 'imr ['immaru?] ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 8,22$ and elsewhere); 「'ibkly ['ibkiyu] (< 'abkiyu) "I will weep" (1.161,13) alongside the regular form 'abky ['abkiyu] ( 1.19 III, $5,20,34$; it is possible that both forms represent different original patterns, i.e. yaqtil and yiqtal). One may add here the word 'uzr ['uzūru?] (< 'azūru?) "girded" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 2,7$ ). It can be taken as a passive form of the $G$ stem (cf. Sanmartín 1977:369-370; Dietrich and Loretz 1978:65-66).

A problematic form is ' $u b^{\prime} a$ "I may enter" $(1.100,72)$. It is written with the $n$-sign instead of the ' $a$-sign (the two signs are sometimes confused because of the similarity in their graphic form). It is possible that the same vowel assimilation discussed above was at work here, that is $\left[u b \vec{u} \overrightarrow{ }^{\top} a\right.$ ] (< $\left.{ }^{\top} a b \vec{u} a\right)$, but that explanation is a doubtful solution (cf. Verreet 1984:307-308).

This assimilation was not operative in Ugaritic as a general rule. This can be seen from the following instances: ' $\operatorname{adr}$ [ $\left.\operatorname{ad}(d) u r u /{ }^{\prime} a d(d) \bar{u} r u\right]$ "mighty" (1.17 VI,20,21,22; cf. the syllabic writing $a$-du-rú [Ug 5 137 II, $34^{\prime}$ ]; it is not necessary to assume that in this case the thematic vowel was short as assumed by Blau [1985:293] and Huehnergard [1987:270]); ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}{ }^{1} r$ ['asīru/'asiru] "prisoner" (1.2 I,37; cf. the syllabic attestation ${ }^{\mathrm{LU}_{a-s i-r i}}$ [PRU III, p. 8,24]); in yqtl forms of the middle weak verbs in the G stem, e.g. 'amt ['amūtu] "I will die" (1.12 I,23); in forms such as 'ahm ['ah(h)îma] "brothers (obliq.)" (1.22 I,5); 'ahh ['ah(h)ûhü] "his brothers (nom.)" (1.12 II,48) beside 'ihh $\left[{ }^{\prime} h(h) \hat{u} h \bar{u}\right]$ "his brothers (nom.)" (1.24,35); 'abh ['ah(h)inū] "his brothers (obliq.)" 1.4 VI,44); 'ahh ['ahāhй] "his brother (gen.)" ( $5.9 \mathrm{I}, 10$ ); 'ay ['ayy?!] "which, any" (1.23,6); 'almg ['almug(g)u?] "(a type of tree)" (4.91,8; cf. Fronzaroli 1955:61); 'aPiyn ['aPīyanu] "strong, mighty" (1.4 III,23 and elsewhere); 'ams ['ammā̃u?'] "brave" ( $2.33,5$; cf. TO II, p. 328, n. 7).

It is possible that the assimilation took place in the vicinity of a syllable closing aleph, so that certain anomalous verbal forms may be explained thus: y'uhdm [ya'uhudu-ma] (< ya'hudu-ma) "he grasps, holds" (1.4 IV,16); y'uhd [ya'uhudu] (< ya'hudu) "he grasps" (1.103+ 1.145,17); y'ukl [ya'ukulu] (< ya'kulu) "he will eat/eats" (4.244,16); $y^{\prime} u h b$ [ya'uhubu] (< ya'hubu) "he loves" ( $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 18$; the form may be considered as a yiqtal form of the G stem; cf. concerning the spellings, infra, p. 116). The view that these spellings represent the prefix vowel of the respective verbal forms is not at all likely (cf. Huehnergard [1987:279] who suggests yuhhubu < yahhubu < ya'hubu and similarly for the other forms in this group).

Examples from words without an aleph can be discerned only in syllabic script and usually there is a guttural consonant in the root. The singular form of thrm "pure ones" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V} 19,34$ ) is documented thus: $t u-\hat{u}-r u$ [țuhūru] (< tahū $r u)(U g 5130$ III,19'; cf. Blau and Greenfield 1970:16). So the alphabetic form can be read [țuhūrūma]. It is possible that in the words thm "deep" $(1.23,30)$ and thmt "depths" $(1.92,5)$ the assimilation had taken place, i.e. [tahāmu/tahāmātu]
(< tihāmu/tihāmātu) as can be deduced from, the syllabic form ta-a-ma-tu, [tahāmatu] (Ug $5137 \mathrm{III}, 34$ ).

Other syllabic examples without alphabetic counterparts are:
 VI 17:B II,5'); and so too, forms without a guttural consonant in
 III, p. 79,6; cf. Heltzer 1977:47-55); he-en-ni-ṣu [hinnn̄̄̄su?] (< hannņ̣̄u?) "piglet" (Ug 5137 II, 26'; cf. Huehnergard 1987:269); mì-hi-「 ${ }^{5}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$-ma [miḩişūma] (< mahīsūma) "(kind of implements)" (PRU VI 142,4).

## Anaptyxis

Anaptyxis can be deduced in the syllabic texts only.

## Anaptyxis in Masculine Nouns

With a vowel identical to the cardinal one $i-z i-i r\left[{ }^{[ } \mathrm{idi} i r\right]$ (< 'idirr) "help" (Ug $5130 \mathrm{III}, 7$ '; it is less likely to read $i-z i-i r-\left[t u_{4}\right]$, cf. Huehnergard 1987:53-54); with a vowel other than the cardinal one A.ŠA.HI.A $\backslash m i-$ táá-ar [mitar] (< mitr) "(fields irrigated by) rain" (PRU III, p. 47,12; cf. Sivan 1984a:58,248, contra Kühne 1975:257-258 and Huehnergard [1987:119] who read mi-dá-ar-û).

## Anaptyxis in Feminine Nouns

Through the addition of $-t u$ morpheme and the anaptyxis of the second radical of the root of a monosyllabic element, thus: ${ }^{T U G}{ }_{S a ́ a}^{-}$ har-tu/šá-hir-tu [̌̌acartu/šáirtu] (< šacrtu) "wool" (PRU VI 128,5; cf. Sivan 1984a:58-59). One may add here the place name URU $_{i a-a r-t i}$ [yáarti] (< ya'rti) (PRU VI 70,18). It also can be that these forms are in feminine disyllabic patterns.

## Elision of Unstressed Vowels

This phenomenon can be traced in the syllabic texts only. The following are examples: $\backslash t i-$ tar- ${ }^{\top} h u^{1}[t i t(t) a r k u$ ? $](<$ tiytarahu/tivtarihu $)$ "she will hurry" (Ug $53 \mathrm{v}, 10$ '; it is a Gt form, cf. Huehnergard 1987:109110); 'LU'ha-ma-ru-u [ǵamaruhŭu) "his tiro" (PRU VI 79,11) and 'ha'-ma-ru-「mal [ǵamarūma] "tiros" (PRU III, p. 196,1) alongside LƯ ha-am-ru-<šu>-nu [ǵamrušunu] "their tiro" (PRU VI 79,9) and LÚ.MEŠ ${ }_{h a-}$ $a m-r u-m a^{\mathrm{MEŠ}}$ [ǵamrūma] "tiros" (PRU VI, p. 150 n .3 ). One may find elision of vowels in pesonal and geographical names (cf. Sivan 1984a:34-36), e.g. ha-ga-ba-na [Hagabāna] (PRU III, p. 166,6) but ha-
ag－ba－na［Hagbāna］（PRU III，p．86，5；PRU VI 45，29）；URU $\dot{u}$－ga－ri－it ［＇Ugañ̄t］（Ug 5 22，3）alongside URU $_{u \text {－ga－ar－ti－ya }}{ }^{[J U g a r t i z a] ~(P R U ~ V I ~}$ 79，15）．

## Remarks on the $\overline{\mathrm{a}}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ shift

This shift，known from Biblical Hebrew and from the Canaanite reflected in the El Amarna letters，did not take place at all in Ugaritic．Proof of our assertion can be adduced both from alpha－ betic examples with aleph and from syllabic spellings of Ugaritic words．

## Alphabetic Examples

ks＇at［kissi＇ātu／kussīātu］＂chairs＂（1．3 II，21，36；1．4 VI，52）；＇ar［＇āru］ ＂light＂（1．24，38）；g＇an［gâânu］（＜ga＇wänu／ga＇yānu）＂pride＂（1．17 VI，44）；m＇at $[m i \bar{a} t u]$＂hundreds＂（4．14，3，14）；šm＇al［š̌m＇ā $l u]$＂left＂（1．2 $\mathrm{I}, 40 ; 1.23,64 ; 1.92,9 ; 1.172,12$ ）．

## Syllabic Examples

The $1^{\text {st }}$ c．s．independent pronoun $a-n a-k u$［＇anākйu］＂I＂（ $U g 5130$ III，12＇；cf．the form used in the Jerusalem Amarna letters，$a-n u-k i$ ［＇anōk̄̆］［EA 187，66，69］）；\ha－mì－ti［hāmâti］＂（city）wall＂（PRU III，p． 137，4；cf．the form from a Beirut Amarna letter，hu－mi－tu［hāmâtu］ ［EA 141，44］）；${ }^{\text {LU }}$ sà－ki－ni［sākini］＂commissioner，administrator＂（PRU VI $7 \mathrm{~B}, 2$ ；cf．the example from a Byblos Amarna letter sú－ki－na ［sökina］［EA 362，69］）；and also the forms a－da－nu［＇adānu］＂father， lord＂（Ug $5130 \mathrm{II}, 9^{\prime}$ ）；「ha＇－ra－「 ${ }^{\text {ru }}{ }^{1}$［harätu］＂to plough＂（Ug 5137 III，18＂）；ia－şí－ru－ma［yāsirūma］＂potters＂（PRU III，p． 195 B I，12）；even the negative particle，$l$＂no＂，is attested syllabically，${ }^{「} l a{ }^{1}-a[\bar{a}]$（ $U g 5$ $130,7^{\prime}, 12$＇）．

Some scholars（e．g．Gordon，Segert，Tropper and others）think that there are cases in which the $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ shift took place．

The first instance is defined on the basis of personal names hav－ ing the suffix $[-\bar{u} n u]$ ．It must be remembered that personal names recorded on the documents found at Ugarit are not necessarily Ugaritic；they can also be Canaanite（or from some other linguis－ tic background）．At the same time，the names in question may be truly Ugaritic but have a real $[-\bar{u} n u]$ suffix which has no connec－ tion with the $[-\bar{a} n u /-\bar{o} n u]$ suffix（cf．Sivan 1984a：27－28）．Here is one example among many，viz．the personal name $a-d u-n u$（PRU VI

139,2); it may be Canaanite and not Ugaritian, in which case it would really reflect $\bar{a}>\overline{0}$, i.e. ['adōnu]. But if it must be assumed that this is a Ugaritian name, then it could be formed by 'adu "father" plus the nominal suffix $[-\bar{u} n u]$ that serves alongside the suffixes $[-\bar{a} n u]$ and $[-\bar{i} n u]$. If that be so, then such forms have no connection with the $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ shift (contra Segert 1984:35).

The second example is the word $\underline{t}$ ' $u t$ "lambs" $(1.80,3)$ beside $\underline{t}$ 'at (1.6 II,29). According to Segert (loc. cit.), Gordon (1965:31 n. 2) and most recently Tropper (1990c:365) the form t ${ }^{\prime} u t$ reflects the $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ shift. But its context does not require the plural, it can most likely be the singular and should be read [ $\mathrm{tu} u^{\prime}$ tu? $]$ (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:22 n. 19; also Blau 1985:293). It may very well be that the words $\underline{t}^{3} u t$ and $\underline{t} a t$ are both feminine forms with different suffixes $-\underline{t} \underline{t}^{?} u t$ had the suffix $-t u$ (i.e. $\underline{t} u^{\prime} t u$ ?) while $\underline{t}$ at had the suffix -atu (i.e. $\underline{t} u^{\prime} a t u$ ); for the feminine singular suffix, cf. infra, pp. 75-76.

The third example is found in the abecedary text found in Ugarit. The Ugaritic letter qof is transcribed in Akkadian $Q U$-sign $(5.14,13)$ which may apparently reflect the $\bar{a}>\bar{o}$ shift, i.e. $q \bar{a} p u>q \bar{o} p u$ (cf. Cross and Lambdin 1960:25 n. 24; Dahood 1965:8). It may be assumed that the names of the letters found in this text are not Ugaritic but rather Canaanite (cf. Rainey 1971a:158).

## CHAPTER THREE

## PRONOUNS

Independent Pronouns

In Ugaritic there are several types of independent pronouns - personal pronouns in the nominative (as subject or predicate of the sentence), personal pronouns in the accusative and dependent (genitive) cases (only third person in its various forms), and especially dual personal pronouns (the dual is used extensively in Ugaritic).

|  | Nominative Personal Pronouns |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. | 'an ['ană] "I" alongside 'ank ['anākйu] = syllabic attestation $a$-na-ku ( $U g 5130 \mathrm{III}, 12$ '). |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. | 'at ['attă] (< 'antй̈) "you" = syllabic at-ta (Ug 5130 II, $4^{3}$ ). |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. | 'at ['atum] (<'antlu) "you". |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. | hw [huwa] "he" = syllabic $\hat{u}$-wa ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137 \Pi, 28$ ) . |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. | hy [hiya] "she". |
| $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{pl}$. | Unattested. |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. | 'atm ['attum $(\bar{u})](<' \operatorname{antum}[\bar{u}])$ "you". |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{f.pl}$. | Unattested. |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. | $h m[h u m(\bar{u})]$ "they". |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. | $h n[\operatorname{hin}(n)$ ă] "they". |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. | $h m$ [humä] "the two of them" (thus also: $b \mathrm{hm}$ [bi-humā] "by the two of them [f.]" [1.114,11; Loewenstamm 1980:376]). |

Notes

1. There are two forms for the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. (cf. Biblical Hebrew אֲֵ and '(sגני) of which most other Semitic languages have only one.

From the standpoint of usage there is no difference in Ugaritic between 'an and 'ank. As for distribution, it would appear that 'an is more typical of literary texts while 'ank is characteristic of all kinds of texts. In some texts both pronouns are used together, especially literary passages (cf. e.g. 1.4 IV,59,60; 1.6 II,15,22; 1.17 VI, 32,38 ; et al.). It is worthy of note that 'ank is attested once with a suffixed $-n$, viz. 'ankn ['anäkŭna?] (2.42,6). This may be the energic nun typical of verb forms (cf. infra concerning the pronominal suffixes for $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. and $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s.).
2. Sometimes it is difficult to tell whether $h m$ and $h n$ are independent pronouns or suffixes. For example in a sentence such as: $t t$ ktnm hhmst wnṣp ksp hn which could mean either "two garments, five (shekels) and a $n p s$ of their silver" or "two garments, five (shekels) and a $n \phi$ s of silver are they" (4.132,6-7; cf. Loewenstamm 1980:75).

## Oblique

In these positions there are independent third person pronouns (masculine, feminine, plural, dual).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - hwot [huwāt] "him", "his, of him" (cf. Akkadian sú’āt̃), for example:
kbd hwt [kabbidā huwāati] "honor him (both of you)!" (1.3 VI,20); d’iy hwot [dă iyu huwǟt] "his pinion" (1.19 III,23); nmgn hwot [namgunu huwā̈ti] "both of us will beseech him"(1.4 III,36).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - hyt [hiyāti] "her", "hers, of her" (cf. Akkadian š̌ॅāte), such as:
kbd hyt [kabbidā hiyāti] "honor her (both of you)!" (1.3 III,10); ${ }^{「} d{ }^{1}$ 'iy hyt [dă̈iyu hiyāti] "her pinion" (1.19 III,31-32); dr' hwot hyt yhsl "he will destroy the strength(?) of its land [hurwwati hiyāti]" (1.103+1.145,55; cf. Ditriech and Loretz 1990b:154).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. —hmt [humūti?] "them", "theirs, of them", e.g.:
$d^{d} i{ }^{1} h^{\mathrm{r}} m^{1} t$ [dă $i y u$ humüti] "their pinions" (1.19 III,13); hmt w 'anyt.hm [humütt? wa-'an(a)yätihum( $\bar{u})$ ] "them and their boats" (2.42,24); $\mathrm{kl} \mathrm{dbrm} \mathrm{hm}{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}$ [kulla dabarīma humūti] "all of their things" $(2.32,8)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - No documentation.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - hmt [humāti] "the two of them (m./f.)", e.g.:
$k b d h m t$ [kabbid $h u m a \bar{a} t]$ "honor ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s.) the two of them (m./f.)!" (1.17 V,20).

This pronoun apparently means also "of the two of them (m./f.)", although this meaning is not documented.

## Pronominal Suffixes

The pronominal suffixes are attached to nouns, prepositions and verbal forms.

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. The pronominal suffixes affixed to verbal forms are different from those applied to nouns and prepositions: $\emptyset[-\overline{-}]$ "my" (on nouns in the nominative case);
$-y[-y a]$ " my " (on nouns in the accusative or the genitive case);
$-n[-n \bar{l}]$ "me" (generally used on verbal forms).
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s . \quad-k[-k a ̈]$ "your", "you".
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - $-k[-k$ n "your", "you".
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $\quad-h[-h \bar{u}]$ "his", "him".
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $\quad-h[-h a ̆]$ "hers", "her".
Plural
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. No attestations to examples on nouns in the nominative.
$-n[-n \breve{a} /-n \bar{u}$ ? $]$ "our" (on nouns in accusative or genitive).
$-n[-n \breve{a} /-n \breve{u} ?]$ "us" (suffix to verbal forms).
$2^{\text {nd }} m . p l . \quad-k m[-k u m(\bar{u}) ?]$ "yours", "you".
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. $-k n[-k i n(n)$ ă̈? $]$ "yours", "you".
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. $-h m[-h u m(\bar{u})$ ? $]$ "theirs", "them".
$3^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. $\quad-h n[-\operatorname{hin}(n)$ ä̀? $]$ "theirs", "them".

## Dual

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. $-n y[-n \bar{y} y \bar{a} /-n \bar{a} y \bar{a}]$ "our", "us".
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. $-k m[-k u m(\bar{a})]$ "yours", "you".
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. -hm $[-h u m(\bar{a}]$ "theirs","them".

## Notes

1. When the pronominal suffixes are attached to a noun in the genitive, the case ending is preserved (concerning the cases, cf. infra, pp. 82-84).
2. The suffixes for the accusative and those for the genitive are distinguished from one another only in $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. The morpheme $-n$ of the accusative almost certainly originates in the energic nun which strengthens verbal forms, e.g. yqr.'un [yiqra'unī] "he calles me" (1.5 II,22). The same pronominal $-n$ is carried over to the various prepositions, such as ‘ $m n$ ['immanī] "with me" $(2.38,6)$. This was apparently by analogy with the accusative $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. suffixes.

The distinction between the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. suffix on a nominative and on an accusative or genitive is expressed orthographically. The following are examples:
 in my breast" (1.6 III, 19); 'atn bty lh ['atinu bêtiya lehŭ] "I will give my house to him" (2.31,66); l ks'iy [le-kussi iya/kissi'iya] "to/from my throne" $(2.31,15)$.
There are instances when the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. suffix is expressed orthographically by yod even when its governing noun is in the nominative (it holds true in the Amarna letters too; cf. Rainey 1996 1:71-72). The yod in such cases might be a vowel marker (concerning matres lectionis cf. supra, pp. 13-15). For example:
 my mother ['ummi] know that I have entered into the presence of the Sun" (2.16,6-7).
3. The normal suffix for $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. is $-h$; e.g. bnth [binātuh $\breve{u} / b a n \bar{a}-$ tuh $\check{u} / b u n a \bar{a} t u h \ddot{u}]$ "his daughters" $(4.360,3)$. In the syllabic texts it is expressed by the sign $U$, thus [L]U'ha-ma-ru-úl [ǵamaruhŭ] "his tiro"
 the Amarna forms ba-di-úu [bâdihū̆] "from his hand" [FA 245,35], ma-ah-sú-ú [mahsühūu] "they had smitten him" [EA 245,14] and ah-ru-unú ['ahrōnhŭu] "afterwards" [EA 245,10]; cf. Virolleaud 1957:203 and Rainey $1996 \mathrm{I}: 76$ ). In one place there is $-w$ instead of $-h$, thus $b$ btwo [bi-betive?] "in his house" (3.9,4). This may be a rare case of elision of the $h$ or it may be just a case of scribal error (cf. supra, p. 33). However, since the orthography of this tablet seems to indicate an unpracticed hand, it may reflect a different school or more likely a non-Ugaritic scribe.

Sometimes, a nun precedes the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person suffix (for masculine or feminine), i.e. $-n h$. This is also probably the energic nun carried over from verbal forms. Sometimes the $h$ is assimilated, so that the orthography of the suffix is $-n$ or $-n n$. The vocalization of those suffixes is impossible to determine with certainty, so the vocalizations in the following examples are conjectural (there is no basis for the suggestion of Good [1981:119-120] that the suffix -mn indicates gemination).

Examples of $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. suffixes include ${ }^{3} a^{\mathrm{T}} q^{1} b r m h$ ['aqburan(n) $\left.a h \bar{u}\right]$ "I will bury him" ( $1.19 \mathrm{III}, 5$ ); tšlynh [taša‘liyan(n)ahŭultušáliyan(n)ahŭ] "she takes him up" (1.6 I,15); tbkynh [tabkiyan(n)ahŭ] "she weeps for him" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 16$; the form might also be from the D stem); $t b q^{\mathrm{c}} n n$ [tibqaáan(n)annŭu?] (< tibqa'an(n)anhü̆?) "she splits him open" (1.6 II,32; the form could also be D stem); ť̌rpnn [tašrupan(n)annŭّ? ?] (< tašrupan(n)anh̆̆ư?) "she burns him" (1.6 II,33); tthnn [tithanannŭ?] (< tithananhŭu?) "she grinds him" (1.6 II,34).

Examples of $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. suffixes include ${ }^{\top}{ }_{m}{ }^{1} n h$ [ ${ }^{\text {imman }}(n)$ ahă] "with her" (1.5 V,20); yblnh [yabilan(n)ahă] "he brings her" (1.100,67); ytnnn [yatinan(n)an(n)annă?] (< yatinan [n]an[n]anhă??) "he gives her" (5.9 I, 9 ).

4 . While the suffixes for $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$ persons plural are distinguished in form from one another, in the dual the masculine and the feminine suffixes are identical in orthography. The following are examples: ' $g h^{1} m$ [gūhumā/guhumä] "their (du.) voice" (1.14 VI,39); špthm [šipātuhumā/šip(a)tuhumā?] "their (du.) lips" ( $1.23,50,55$ ).
5. Sometimes the suffix is separated from its governing word by the word divider, e.g. yqr.'un [yiqra'uni] "he calles me" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 22$; here it is separated together with the root radical aleph; y $y^{\text {c }} \mathrm{msn.nn}$
[yáammisan(n)an(n)annй/yúammisan(n)an(n)annŭ] "he conveys him" $(1.114,18) ; b^{c} l$ ymşh. $h m$ [baclu yimšahuhumā] "Baal will anoint them (= the two horns)" (1.10 II, 23); and also in the prose sentence $l m$ $l$ ytn.hm mlk [le-mā la yatinuhuma $\bar{a}$ malku] "why doesn't the king give them ( $=$ the 2000 horses)?" ( $2.33,25-26$ ). One may deduce from this last example that the dual suffix $[-h m]$ replaces the dual independent pronoun (contra Loewenstamm 1980:77 n. 104), since it is well known that the word divider sometimes separates the components within a construction (cf. supra, p. 11f.).

6 . The suffix pronoun for the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. is $[-n y]$. It is impossible to know the nature of the nun in this suffix. The same suffix is affixed to nouns, to prepositions and also to verbal forms. Note the following examples: $b^{c} l n y ~\left[b a^{c} l u n \check{\check{ }} \boldsymbol{y} \bar{a} / b a^{c} l u n a ̆ y \bar{a}\right]$ "our (du.) lord" ( $2.70,1,8$ ); 'adtny ['adat $(t) u n \bar{y} y \bar{y}$ a/'adat $(t) u n \bar{a} y \bar{a}]$ "our (du.) lady" (2.11,1,5,15); 'mny ['imman气㐅yā/'immanăy $\bar{a}]$ "with/to the two of us"


## Determinative Pronouns

(Concerning these pronouns, cf. Loewenstamm 1959:72-81).

> m.s. $d[d \bar{u} / d \bar{a} / d \bar{\imath}]$ "which", "of which" (cf. the syllabic attestation $d u-\dot{u}$ [ $U g 5137$ II,29'] with Arabic $d \bar{u}$, Biblical
f.s. $d t[d \bar{a} t u / a / i]$ "which", "of which".
m.pl. $d t[d \bar{u} t u / i$ i? $]$ (cf. Akkadian sūut).
f.pl. $\quad d t[d \bar{u} t u / i ?]$.

## Notes

1. It is impossible to determine whether these pronouns inflected for case endings. Comparison with Arabic permits the assumption (without confirmation from the Ugaritic data) that the case vowel (cf. infra, p. 82) of these pronouns, when they were serving as the
appositional possessive, was identical with that of the nouns of which they were in apposition. And when the pronouns served as relatives, they took the same case ending as the governing noun.

The following are examples of both these functions:
$y k r$ ' $r d$ qdm [yakurru 'îra dā qadmi/qidmi] "he goes around(?) the city of the east (accusative)" (1.100,62; cf. Pardee 1988:215 and n.88); w y'n lttpn 'il d $p^{\prime} i^{\top} d^{1}$ [wa-ya'ni laṭipānu 'ilu dū pa'idi] "and the Compassionate, God of Mercy, answered" (1.4, IV, 58); spr $n p \check{s} d$ 'rb bt mlk [siprue nap̌̌i dū 'araba bêta malki] "document of the personnel (soul[s]) that have entered the king's house" (4.338,1-2); 'iř̌tk d hast ['iristuk̆̄̆ dū hasirtă] "your (m.s.) request that you were lacking" (2.41,16-17); likewise in the sentence $b d$ $r b$ ḥršm d šṣ’a huwyh [bâdi rabbi harrāš̌ma d乞̃ šôsisia huwyh(?)] ". . in the charge of the chief of the craftsmen who has produced his hwy" (4.145,9-10).
2. It would appear that the use of the pronoun [ $d \bar{u} / d \bar{a} / d \bar{d}]$ (whether as a possessive or a relative) was not constant as seen in the following sentences:
${ }^{\prime} a b n$ brq d l td ${ }^{k}$ šmm rgm l td ${ }^{\alpha}$ nšm ['abnū baraqi dū lā tida ${ }^{c} \bar{u} \overline{\text { šamûma }}$ rigmu lā tidac $\bar{u}$ nasüma] "hail stones which the heavens have not known, a word (which) the people have not known" (1.3 III,2627); '[s] $r$ pldm dt šrt ['aš(a)r(u) paladūma/paladīma dūtu/i ša'arit sácirti] "ten bolts(?) of wool" $(4.270,8)$. In line 12 of this latter text there is a similar clause without the relative pronoun: ‘čr
 (4.270,12).
3. The masculine pronoun $[d \bar{u} / d \bar{a} / d \bar{\imath}]$ sometimes serves as feminine singular, masculine plural and feminine plural instead of the customary $d t$. The following are examples of all these pronouns:

$$
\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{du} / \mathrm{dā} / \mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{i}}]
$$

Masculine Singular:
$w y^{\prime} n \operatorname{lt} p n^{\text {' }}$ il $d p^{\prime} i^{\top} d$ " "and the Compassionate, the God of ( $d \bar{u}$ ) Mercy, answered" (1.4, IV, 58); $m^{2} i^{\top} t^{1} m$ yn hsp d nkly b $d b^{\top} h^{1}$ "two hundred (jars) of wine of pouring(?) which (di) has been expended at the feast" (4.213,24); mrzh d qny šmmn "the marzihu which ( $d \bar{u}$ ) Shamumānu established" (3.9,1-3).

Feminine Singular:
 whose $(d \bar{u})$ charm is like the charm of Anat . . . whom ( $d \bar{u}$ ) El bestowed in my dream" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 39-46$ ).

## Masculine Plural:

tttm hzr w'št 'šr hrš d tb'ln b 'ugrt "twelve hzr-personnel and eleven craftsmen who $(d \bar{u} / \hat{\imath})$ are working in Ugarit" (4.141 III,78); tht ${ }^{J} a d^{\top} r^{1} m d b g r n$ "beneath the mighty ones that ( $d \bar{z}$ ) are in the threshing floor" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 6-7$ ).
Sometimes the pronouns $d$ and $d t$ are used together, e.g.:
 $h z r$-personnel and eleven craftsmen who ( $d \bar{u} / \bar{\imath}$ ) are working in Ugarit; twelve $h z r$-personnel who ( $d \bar{u} t u / i$ ) are working in GathHäritìma" (4.141 III,7-11).

## Feminine Plural:

$k s p$ 'anyt $d$ ' $r b \quad b$ 'anyt $l$ mlk $g b l$ "ship-money which $(d \bar{u})$ has been given as boat-guarantee to the king of Byblos" (4.338,11-12; cf. Pardee 1975:364); tlt mrkbt mlk d $l s p y$ "three chariots of the king that $(d \bar{u} / \bar{\imath})$ were not plated" (4.167,5-6).

$$
\mathrm{dt}[\mathrm{dā} t u / \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{i} \text { (f.s.) or dūtu/i (c.pl.)] }
$$

## Feminine Singular:

'anykn dt likt mṣm "the ship that (dātu) you sent to Egypt" (2.38,10-11; the component $k n$ is not clearly understood); tqh mlk 'lmk drkt dt drdrk "take your eternal kingdom, your everlasting dominion (dāta)" (1.2 IV, 10 ).
Sometimes the form $d t$ stands in apposition to a masculine singular antecedent, e.g.:
 (datutu) is in the charge of the commissioner" (4.110,1-2; it is possible, however, that the word $\check{s} d$ is plural construct); dbh dt $n^{2} a t$ "a feast/sacrifice of (dātu) n'au" (1.127,3-4; following Loewenstamm 1980:69 n. 73a; still, $d t$ here might be plural); kbd dt ypt "the liver of (dätu) Ypt" (1.143,1; one may determine from this combination that the word $k b d$ is feminine in Ugaritic; cf. Ditriech and Loretz 1990b:6).

## Masculine Plural:

$b n s ̌ m ~ d t$ ' $i \underline{t}$ ' 'alpm lhm "men who (dūtu) have oxen" (lit.: "to whom there are oxen")" (4.422,1); r'ym dt bd 'iytlm "the shepherds (dūtu) in the charge of Iyatalmu" (4.374,1); 'glm dt šnt "calves of (dūtu) (one) year" ( $1.22 \mathrm{I}, 13$ ); št gpnm dt ksp dt yrq nqbnm "he placed harness of (dūti) silver, of (dūti) trappings (of) gold" (1.4 IV,1011); <b>hty bnt dt ksp hkly ' $d t$ ' $m$ hrs ' $d b t$ "I have built my houses of (düti) silver, my palace of (dūti-ma) gold I have made" (1.4 VI,36-38); w mnm salm dt tknn "and whoever the investigators who (dütu) will be (in charge)" (3.3,5-6; cf. Pardee 1975:354).

## Feminine Plural:

tmn mrkbt dt 'rb bt mlk "eight chariots that (dūtu/i) entered the king's house" (4.145,1-2).

There are instances when the pronouns $d$ and $d t$ introduce nominalized clauses, i.e. they are functioning as determinative pronouns (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:69 n. 73a and infra, p. 215), e.g.:
'bdk'an wd'lmk "your slave I am, and forever" (lit.: "your slave am I, (a slave) of ( $d \bar{u}$ ) your world [ $=$ of all that you have])" (1.5 II, 12, 19-20; cf. infra, p. 215); and also 'ans' dt zrh "collapsed are (the muscles?) of (dūtu) her back" (1.3 III,35; cf. TO I, p. 167, n. e).

## Deictic Pronouns

The near and distant deictic pronouns are not attested at all in poetry and they are not sufficiently documented in prose texts.

## Near Demonstrative

hnd [hā $\bar{a} d \bar{u}]$ "this ( m . and f.), these" - This pronoun is doubtless composed of the deictic elements $h n$ and $d$ (cf. Loewenstamm 1959:78; Cunchillos 1983:156-165). The pronoun always comes after its antecedent whether it is singular or plural, masculine or feminine. Note the following examples:
nqmd mlk 'ugrt ktb spr hnd [Niqmaddu malku 'ugariti kataba sipra $h \bar{a} n \bar{a} d \bar{u}]$ "Niqmaddu, king of Ugarit wrote this document" (2.19,89); $l y m$ hnd 'iver' $k$ 'l pdy 'agdn [le-yômi hānādū 'Iwrikallu padaya 'Agdena] "from this day Iwrikallu ransomed Agdenu" (3.5,1); mkr
hnd [mäkiru hānād̄̄̄] "this seller" (2.42,25); 'alpm s̀s̀m hnd [alpāma/i siswūma/sīswīma hānādū] "these two thousand horses" (2.33,32); mPakty hnd yPak ' $m y$ "may he send to me this (hānād $\bar{u}$ ) embassage of mine" (2.33,35-36).
Another form of the near demonstrative is hndt [hānādātu?]. This may be a feminine form of hnd (cf. TO II, p. 353, n. 16). There are two attestations for $h n d t$. once in a broken text where its function is not clear $(2.45,7)$, and once it serves as an independent demonstrative (in the meaning "this", and "that"), viz.:
'anykn dt likt mssrm hndt $b s$ sr "the ship of yours which you sent to Egypt, this (hānādātu?) is at Tyre" (2.38,10-12; cf. TO II, p. 352 , n. 9 and the bibliography cited there; it is possible that this particle represents two different particles, i.e. $h n$ and $d t$ with the meaning "behold, [it is at Tyre]").

## Distant Demonstrative

Two forms hnk [hänāk̄ä?] and hnkt [hänākātu?], appear in very unclear contexts. The former may be "that (m.)" and the latter may be "that (f.)" (cf. Loewenstamm 1959:78; 1980:65-66; Cunchillos 1983:156-165; cf. also Hartmann and Hoftijzer [1971:529-535] who relate these pronouns to punic). The first context is:
w mlk $b^{\prime} l y l m$ skn hnk $l$ ' $b d h$ "and the king, my master, why did he place that (hānākä?) among his slave(s)?" (2.33,23-24).
The second example is in a very uncertain passage:
w bny hnkt yskn' 'anyt ym "and my son, may that (hänäkätu?) equip (or: provide) a ship of sea" (2.46,12-14; cf. Loewenstamm 1980:65 n. 51).

## Interrogative Pronouns

As in other Semitic languages, the interrogative pronouns inflect for personal and impersonal rather than masculine or feminine.

## Personal

$m y[m \bar{y} u / a / i]$ "who" - The yod in this pronoun is consonantal (cf. in the Amarna letters: mi-ia [EA 362,65,68]; mi-ia-mi [EA 85,63], both from Byblos; cf. Ginsberg 1936:149 and Loewenstamm 1980:56-57). Note the following examples:
$m y$ 「 $b 1$ 「＇ilm＇＂who among the gods？＂（ $1.16 \mathrm{~V}, 14$ ）；my Pim bn dgn my hmlt＇atr b＇l＂who is the nation of the son of Dagan？ who is the congregation of the（holy）place of Baal？＂（1．5 VI，23－ 24 ；for the possibility that $m y$ here means＂what＂，cf． Loewenstamm 1959：74）．
Note also $m n(m)$［mannu（ma）？］in the same usage：
$m n$＇$i b y p^{c} l b^{\prime} l$＂who is the enemy（that）has appeared against Baal？＂（1．3 III， 37 ；for the possibility that this is impersonal，cf． Loewenstamm 1959：74）and $m n m$＇$i b y^{\prime} p^{c} l b^{c} l$＂who is the enemy （that）has appeared against Baal？＂（1．3 IV，4）；mn bnš d l．.$^{\prime} i^{「} k t^{1}$ ＂who is the person that you have sent？＂$(2.45,25)$ ．

## Impersonal

mh［mah？］＂what＂（1．4 II，39； 1.17 VI，35，36）－Blau（1968a：267） showed that the hé was pronounced，contra Kutscher（1967：33）who saw it as a vowel marker．Note mhy $[m a(h) h \bar{y} y a]$＂what is she？＂ $(2.14,9)$ where the first hé has been lost due to haplography（ $K T U^{2}$ p． 166 suggests to read $m h<h>y$ ）．The pronoun under discussion is apparently found in the sentence：
$m^{\prime}$＇at $k r t k y b k y$＂what is it to you（lit．：what are you）Keret that he cries？＂（ $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 38-39$ ）．
Note also the usage of $m n(m)$［ $m \bar{n} n u(m a)$ ？］in the same meaning： $m n y r h k m[r s] m n k d w k^{「} r^{\top}[t]$＂（since）which month is he ver－ ily sick，（since）which（month）is Keret verily ill？＂（1．16 II，19－ 20）；＇$m$＇adtny $m n m$ slm＂with our（du．）lady，what is（her）wel－ fare？＂（ $2.11,16$ ）and＇$m$＇adty $m n m$ slm＂with my lady，what is （her）welfare？＂（2．12，12－13；2．68，14－16；contra Pardee［1984：214］ who translates $m n m$ as＂whatever＂）；w mnm slm＇$m$＇umy＂and what is the welfare with my mother？＂（2．16，16－17）．

## Indefinite Pronouns

## Personal

mnkm［mannukum（u）／minnukum（u）？］，＂anyone，someone＂－This appears to be a personal $m n+$ deictic $k+$ adverbial $-m$（cf．Loewenstamm 1980：62）．The following are examples：
$m n k m^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~km} l$ l $y \mathrm{gh}$＂let no one whatever take（it）＂（3．2，12－13）； $m n k m$ lyqh＂let no one take（it）＂（2．19，12）．

Note also mnm [mannuma?] in this function:
$m n m \mathfrak{s}$ alm dt tknn "whoever the investigators who will be (in charge)" (3.3,5).

## Impersonal

mhkm [mahkïma/i?], "anything, something" - This must be the interrogative $m h+$ deictic $k+$ adverbial $-m$. Note the following example:
w'ap mhkm b lbk 'al tst "and do not take anything to your heart" (2.30,22-24).
This pronoun is also documented without $-m$ ending:
w'ahy mhk b lbh 'al yšt "and may my brother not take anything to his heart" $(2.38,26-27$; cf. $E N-n i$ mi-im-am-ma i-na $\check{S} \grave{A}-$ bi-ka la ta-sak-kán "may our lord not take anything to your heart" [EA 170:7-8]; cf. Aartun 1978:18; Loewenstamm 1980:61 and TO II, p. 357).
Another form, mnm [minuma?] occurs in other epistles:
$w$ mnm rgm d tsm $\underline{t m t}$ wst $b$ spr ' $m y$ "and whatever word that you have heard there, then put (it) in a letter to me" (2.10,1619); mnm 'irrstk $d$ hsrt "whatever your request that you were lacking" (2.41,16-17).

## CHAPTER FOUR

## THE NOUN

The noun (the substantive and the adjective) inflects for masculine and feminine, and for singular, dual and plural. There are no specific markers of the masculine singular, but for many feminine substantives and all feminine adjectives, there are specific suffixes (cf. below for details). Nouns inflect for case by the addition of vowel suffixes (infra, p. 82) the singular is triptotic, the dual and the plural are diptotic as are possibly some other proper nouns. The dual was employed not only on natural pairs but also for other nouns.

Unlike some other Semitic languages, in Ugaritic there was no marker for definiteness such as a definite article. Nouns may be emphasized by the addition of the enclitic mem or the locative $-h$ (cf. below pp. 178 and 193f.).

## Nominal Forms

## General Remarks

Since the orthography of Ugaritic does not indicate vowels, it is extremely difficult to establish the basic formations of nominal forms. There are, of course, some vocalized Ugaritic words imbedded in the Akkadian texts found at Ugarit, but they are limited in number. Therefore, one must compare most of the alphabetically attested forms in Ugaritic with cognate words in the other Semitic languages (mainly Biblical Hebrew, Akkadian and Classical Arabic). However, it has long been recognized that particular words do not always take the same basic form in the respective languages. For example, the syllabic texts reveal that Tiberian Hebrew מַשַׁטֵּ (< másinu) "tithe" (Gen. 14:20) was ma'saru in Ugaritic; Biblical
 Biblical Hebrew p p paryatu) "city, citadel" (Deut. 2:36) was qarîtu (< qariytu) in Ugaritic; and Biblical Hebrew (Ezek. 27:5) was tarnu in Ugaritic, etc. Furthermore, it is difficult, often impossible, to determine whether the vowels were long or
short. Therefore, one must hold considerable reservations about these suggested forms.

## Single Consonantal Forms

$\check{s}[\check{s u}]$ (< šayu?) "sheep" (1.109,8); $p$ [pû] (< piyu?) "mouth" (1.45,3); $g$ [gŭ] "voice" (1.4 IV,30 and elsewhere).

## Bi-consonantal Forms

$\boldsymbol{q a l}$ - ' $a b$ ['abu] "father" (1.4 IV,24); 'ad ['adu] "father", "lord" (1.23,32); 'ah [ahu] "brother" (3.4,4); dm [damu] "blood" (1.4 IV,38); $y d$ [yadu] "hand" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 13$ ); feminine - "̈pt [šap(a)tu] "lip" (1.23,49); s̆nt [̌̌anatu] "year" (4.182,1); 'amt ['am(a)tu] "handmaiden" (1.14 II,3); 'aht ['ahātu] "sister" (4.147,13); 'adt ['adatu] "lady" (2.11,1; but possibly 'adattu [< 'adāntu?]; cf. the example with a preserved nun, 'adnty "my lady" [2.83,5], and cf. infra, p. 30); dual - ydm [ $\mathrm{a} a \mathrm{a}$ àma/i] "hands" (1.2 IV,14).
qil - bn [binu] "son" (3.4,6; qal or qul patterns are also possible, cf. Fox 1996:140); 'il ['ilu] "god" (1.4 I,12); feminine - gt [gittu] (< gintu) "wine-press" (4.243,12,14); bt [bittu] (< bintu) "daughter" (3.4,7; cf. the syllabic attestation bi-it-ti [RŠ 1957.1,18] and bi-it-ta [RŠ 1957.1,6,10]); p'it [pi'tu] "corner", "brow, temple" (1.13,15; 1.17 II,9); m'it [mi'tu] "one hundred" (1.49,10; 3.4,13); šnt [šinatu] "sleep" (1.14 I, 33); s s'at [șỉatu] "going out", "sunrise" ( $1.3 \mathrm{II}, 8$ ).
$\boldsymbol{q u l}$ - šm [šumu?] (< šimu) "name" (1.2 IV,11); mt [mutu] "man" (1.17 I,35).
$\boldsymbol{q} \bar{a} \boldsymbol{l}$ — $k s[k \bar{s} s u]$ "cup" (1.4 III,16); 'ar ['āru] "light" (1.4 I, 16); A.ŜA.MES ${ }_{s a-a-i}\left[s a^{c} i\right]$ "basin field" (PRU III, p. 136,6). Also in this form are the $G$ stem participles of verbs with second radical waw or yod (cf. examples infra, p. 158).
$\boldsymbol{q} \overline{\mathbf{l}} \boldsymbol{l}$ - masculine plural - $\backslash \underset{i}{ } i-s \underline{u}-m a[s i \bar{s} \bar{m} m a]$ "salt fields" (PRU III, p. 124,12; its origin may be qitl, i.e. ${ }^{*} s i y s u \overline{m a}$ ).

## Tri-consonantal Forms

qatl, qitl, qutl - Ugaritic evidently formed the plurals of these basic forms by the addition of an $a$-vowel between the second and third radicals, i.e. qatal, qital and qutal respectively, and by the addition of the standard plural suffixes for masculine $[-\bar{u} m a /-\bar{i} m a]$ and feminine [ $-\bar{a} t u /-\bar{a} t]$ ]. The same development is known from Biblical Hebrew (cf. Ginsberg 1967:62).

The alphabetic repertoire of Ugaritic examples shows that this process was not carried through uniformly. There are instances where the plural of these forms was built by addition of the plural suffix to the original base form, qatl, qitl or qutl. The following are some examples from alphabetic texts: The plural of $r^{\prime} i \check{s}[r a ’ \stackrel{s}{u}]$
 [ra'asãtu] "heads" (1.2 I,27,29), but there are also examples of plural r'ist [ra'šătu] "heads" (1.2 I,23; cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:22 and Verreet 1983a:254). The singular rbt [ribbatu] "myriads" (1.4 $\mathrm{V}, 3 ; 7.47,5$ ) has as its plural rbbt [ribabätu] "myriads" (1.4 $\mathrm{I}, 28,43)$.

This phenomenon is not present in the following examples: $b^{\prime}$ irt ${ }^{\top} y^{1} m$ [ $\left.B^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} r a \bar{a} \bar{y} \bar{y} \bar{u} m a\right]$ "Beirutians" ( $3.4,15$; the form may be Canaanite in which case it should be interpreted $\left.B i^{\top} r \overline{0} \tilde{t} y \bar{u} m a\right)$; forms with identical second and third radicals: rbm [rabbüma] "many (m.pl.)" (1.3 III,39); hzm [hizzūma] "arrows" (4.141 III,19; qatl and qutl are also possible); pnt [pinnätu?] "corners(?)", "vertebrae(?)" (1.2 IV,17,26); forms with second radical nun: ' $z m$ [‘izzūma] (< 'inzūma) "goats" $(1.80,4)$; htm [hitṭüma] (< hintṻma) "wheat (collective)" (4.269,25,32); forms with second radical wawe and yod: trm [tôrī̀ma] (< tawrū̀ma) "oxen" (1.4 VI,41; 1.12 I,31; cf. Biblical Hebrew שִׁוָרים [Hos. 12:12]); ' $n t$ [ ${ }^{\text {ênāatu] (< 'aynātu) "springs" (1.3 IV,36; cf. Biblical Hebrew }}$ [2 Chron. 32:3]); ztm [zêtüma] (< zaytüma) "olives" (4.284,8; 4.429,2,3,4,5; cf. Biblical Hebrew [יָיחים [Ps 128:4]); btm [bêtūma] (< baytūma) "houses" (1.48,4; 1.94,24; these are the only occurrences of this form in Ugaritic, the regular one is bhtm [bahatüma] [1.4 VI,27 and elsewhere]; cf. TO II, p. 167). In all the above examples, the basic form of the singular served as the base for the plural.

Examples of the same process are found in the syllabic texts: nap$k u$ [napku] "water source, spring" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137$ III,8) beside the plural \na-pa-ki-ma [napakīma] (PRU III, p. 47,16; still there is another plural form on the singular pattern: na-ap-ki-ma [napkima] [PRU VI 56 v, 9']); ${ }^{\text {GIS }}$ mà-ás-wa-tu [maswatu/masyatu] "cypress log" (PRU VI 114,7)
 press logs" (PRU VI 113,5). A type of garment called ma-az-ru [mazru] ( $P R U$ VI 123,2; the orthography seems to preclude a derivation from the root ' $\chi R$ unless one may conjecture ma'zaru $>$ mâzaru $>$ mazru) was in the plural TÚG.MEŠ $m a-z a-{ }^{-}{ }_{r u}{ }^{1}-m a{ }^{\text {MEŠ }}$ [mazarūma] (PRU VI 126,4). The form A.S.A.MEŠ ha-ba-li-ma [habatma] "fields of ropes(?)" (PRU III, p. 46,8 ) may represent a plural form of the singular $h b l$ $(1.11,6)$ attested as qatl in the Semitic languages (cf. Fox 1996:144).

In the syllabic texts from Ugarit the singular form also served as the stem for the plural, thus säal-al"u-ma [taltūuma] "implements (for
 VI 159,4'; cf. Sivan 1992:235-238) and perhaps A.S̈A.MEŠ ha-ar-sa-ti [harsāti] "grooved fields(?)" (PRU III, p. 95,11,20; cf. Kühne 1974:165-166).

There are times when one cannot discern if the plural is built on the qatl pattern or on the qatal pattern. Thus the word mid [ $m a^{3} d a$ ] "much, very" or [ $m a^{3} d u$ ] "abundance" is attested in syllabic transcription as plural ma-a-du-ma "much", "abundance(?)" ( $U g 5$ 137 II, $36^{\prime}$ ). It may reflect $m a^{`} d \bar{u} m a$ or ma'adūma. The same holds with the word $b^{c} l\left[b a^{c} l u\right]$ "owner" that its plural $b a-a-l u$-ma "owner" (Ug 5130 III, 14'; 137 II, $30^{\prime}, 33^{\prime}$ ) may reflect $b a^{〔}$ lūma or $b a^{\prime} a l u \bar{u} m a$.
qatl - 'ahl ['ahlu] "tent" (1.15 III,18; cf. Arabic 'ahl, but Biblical Hebrew לֶis [Exod. 28:43]); yrh [yarhu] "new month" (1.41,1; cf. Akkadian warhu); 'arz ['arzu] "cedar" (1.4 VII,41; cf. the syllabic transcription ar-zu [PRU VI 114,3]); 'alp ['alpu] "ox" (1.4 V,45); mlk [malku] "king" (1.41,50; cf. the syllabic spelling ma-al-ku [Ug 5130 III, 13'; 137 II,32']); 'ars ['arsu] "land, ground" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 19$; cf. the syllabic attestation $a r$-s $s u$ [ $U g 5137$ III, 14']); $r$ 'is [ $\left[a^{\prime} s \check{s} u\right]$ "head" (1.114,30); 'bd ['abdu] "slave" (2.11,4; cf. the syllabic transcription $a b-d u[U g 5$ 137 III,4]); spl [saplu] "vessel, caldron" (4.123,17; cf. the syllabic attestation sà-ap-lu [PRU VI 168,8]); špšs [šapśu] "sun" (1.6 I,9; cf. the syllabic transcription $\check{s a-a p-s ̌ u}\left[\begin{array}{lll}U g & 5 & \left.\left.138,3^{\prime}\right]\right) ; \text { GIs } \\ \text { ta-ar-ni [tami] }\end{array}\right.$ "mast" (PRU VI 19,4'; cf. PRU VI, p. 21 n. 2 and $A H w, ~ p . ~ 1331 \mathrm{a}) ;$ feminine - mlkt [malaktu/malkatu] "queen" (2.12,1); šrt
 tu [PRU VI 128,5]); masculine plural - rašm [ra'ašūma] "heads" (1.3 III,42); mlkm [mal(a)kūma] "kings" (1.22 I,17); krmm [kar'(a)mūma] "vineyards" $(2.61,10)$; feminine plural - rišst [ra'šàtu] "heads" (1.2 I,23). Roots with second nun - 'ap ['appu] (<'anpu) "nose"
(1.71,6,11; cf. the syllabic form $a p-p u$ [ $U g 5137$ II,19']); feminine - 'att [attatu] (< 'antatu) "woman" (1.14 I,14). Roots with second wawe or yod - tr [tôrru] (< taweru) "ox" (1.4 III,31); mt [môtu] (< mawtu) "death" (1.5 I,7); tk [tôku] (< taweku) "midst" (1.4 III,13); $z t$ [zêtu] (< zaytu) "olive" (1.5 II,5); yn [yênu] (< yaynu) "wine" (1.6 $\mathrm{I}, 10$ ); bt [bêtu] (< baytu) "house" (1.4 IV,50); 'r [‘êru] (< 'ayru) "young ass" (1.4 IV,9); masculine plural - tirm [tôrūma] (< taurū̄ma) "oxen" (1.4 VI,41; 1.12 I,31; cf. Biblical Hebrew שְׁוָּים [Hos. 12:12]); ztm [zêtūma] (< zaytūma) "olives" (4.284,8; 4.429,2,3,4,5; cf. Biblical Hebrew זיתחֵים Ps 128:4]); btm [bêtūma] (< baytūma) "houses" (1.48,4; 1.94,24; these are the only occurrences of this form in Ugaritic, the regular one is bhtm [bahatūma] [1.4 VI,27 and elsewhere]; cf. TO II, p. 167); feminine plurall - 'nt ['ênätu] (< 'aynātu) "springs" (1.3 IV,36). Roots with third zeaze or yod - gdy [gadyu] "kid" (1.79,4); zby [zabyu] "gazelle" (1.15 IV,7,18); 'ah ['ahû] (< 'ahwou?) "meadow" (1.10 II,9,12; cf. Biblical Hebrew (Job 8:11]); masculine plural - gdm [gadîma] "kids" (1.3 II,2; $1.17 \mathrm{VI}, 21$; cf. TO I, p. 157, n. d). Roots with identical second and third radicals - ym [yammu] "sea" (1.4 I,14); ' $m$ ['ammi] "people" (1.17 I, 27; II,17); rb [rabbu] "chief", "great", "large" (3.1,13; 4.609,5); yd [yaddu] "affection, love" (1.4 IV,38); syllabic spelling: sar-rù [sarru] "false" (Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 37$ '); feminine - 'aimt ['am(a)tu] "cubit" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 10$ ); $r b t$ [rabbatu] "great", "large", "lady" (1.4 I,13; $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 5$ ); syllabic attestation: \ma-ad-da-tù [maddatu] "measurement" (Ug 5 5,9); masculine plural - rbm [rabbūma] "many" (1.6 V,2); syllabic spelling: DUG $_{k a-d u-m a}{ }^{\text {MEŠ }}$ [kaddūma] "jars" (PRU VI 147,4,6).
qatal > qatl (cf. Sivan 1984a:73) - This process can be discerned in syllabic attestations only, e.g. Lúha-ma-ru-úú [ǵamaruhŭu] "his tiro" (PRU VI 79,11) and 「ha'-ma-ru-ma [ǵamarūma] "tiros" (PRU III, p. 196,1) alongside the forms ${ }^{\text {LU }}$ ha-am-ru-< ${ }^{\text {su }}\langle>-n u$ [ǵamrusunu] "their tiro" (PRU VI 79,9) and LÚMEŠha-am-ru-ma ${ }^{\mathrm{MES}}$ [ǵamrūma] "tiros" (PRU VI, p. 150 n. 3).
qitl - spr [sipri] "letter", "document" (2.19,9,13; cf. Biblical Hebrew oֵפר [Deut. 17:18]); šir [š̌r ru] "flesh" (1.96,3); qdš [qidšu] "holy place" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 26,44$; cf. the syllabic documentation $q i-\Gamma{ }_{i d}{ }^{1}-\Gamma \check{\Sigma_{u}}{ }^{1}$ [Ug 5137 III,29’] and Biblical Hebrew קרְשׁ ; form]); 'ikl [iklu] "food", "eating" (1.22 I,24; cf. Biblical Hebrew "כֶּ [Gen. 41:35, a qutl form], Arabic 'aklu, likewise Akkadian [a
qatl form]); syllabic forms: ti-ib-nu [tibnu] "straw" (Ug 5130 III,17’); $n i-i h-r \grave{u}$ [niǵru] "to guard" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137 \mathrm{I}, 11$ '); iš-du ['išdu] "foundation" (Ug 5 131,8); feminine - 'glt ['iglatu/'igiltu] "young heifer" (1.5 V,18); masculine plural - $\underline{t q l m}[\underline{t} i q(a)$ $\bar{u} m a]$ "shekels" (1.14 $\mathrm{I}, 29)$; ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{glm}$ ['ig(a) $\left.) \bar{u} m a\right]$ "bullocks" (1.4 VI,42). Roots with second
 [hitttatu] (< hintatu) "wheat" (1.16 III,9); masculine plural - 'zm ["izzūma] "goats" (1.80,4); htm [hittūuma] "wheat (collective)" (4.269,25,32). Roots with second yod — dn [dînu] (< diynu)"legal case" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 8$ ); and perhaps also ${ }^{\text {' } r}$ [îivi] (< 'iyru) "town" (1.4 VII,9; 1.62,5). Roots with third wawe or yod - bky [bikyu?] "weeping" (1.16 II,41; a qatl form is also possible); pr [pirụ̂?] (< piryu?) "fruit" (1.5 II,5; a qatl pattern is also possible); syllabic form: \si-il$y u$ [silyu] "curse, imprecation" ( $U g 5130 \mathrm{III}, 16$ '; cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1971:7-10; Hillers 1976:18). Roots with identical second and third radicals - $h z$ [hizzu] "arrow" (1.14 III,12, $q a t l$ and qutl are also possible); $l b$ [libbu] "heart" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 6,7$ ); ${ }^{\text {c }}$ [ $[i \stackrel{i}{s} s u]$ "wood", "tree" (1.101,4; cf. the syllabic transcription is-ṣú [Ug 5130 III, $8^{\prime}$ ]; in Biblical Hebrew Nָ [a qāl form]; cf. Fox 1996:139); pírù [pirru?] "flight", "separation" ( Ug 5137 II 44'; cf. Huehnergard 1987:92); feminine - rbt [ribbatu] "myriad" (1.4 V,3); masculine plural - hzm [hizzūma] "arrows" (4.141 III,19); 'ṣm ["issūma] "trees" (1.23,66); feminine plural - pnt [pinnätu?] "corners(?)", "vertebrae(?)" (1.2 IV,17,26); rbbt [ribabātu] "myriads" (1.4 $1,28,43)$.
qutl - 'udn ['udnu] "ear" (1.13,23; 1.18 IV,23); syllabic forms: GIS.MES ${ }_{\text {úr-nu }}$ ['urnu] "laurel" (PRU VI 114,1); LÚ $m u r-u$ [mur'u] "commander, officer" (PRU III, p. 194,22); feminine - 'utpt ['utpatu] "quiver" (4.53,15; cf. Akkadian KUŠiš-pa-tu [PRU VI 162,5] and
 forms: GIŠ sur-uq-du-ma [tuqdūma] "almonds" (PRU VI 159,4"); LÚ.MEŠ ${ }_{\text {mur-í-ma }}$ [mur'ūma] "commanders, officers" (PRU VI 116,5). Roots with second waze - ǵr [ğûru] (< ǵuzru) "mountain" (1.6 II,16); feminine - nrt [nûratu] (< nuwratu) "light, luminary" (1.6 II,24) may belong to this category. Roots with identical second and third radicals - 'um ['ummu] (< 'immu) "mother" (2.11,1); $m h$ [muhhu] "marrow", "upper head" (1.16 I,27); tp [tuppu] "drum" (1.113,1,5); feminine - 'umt ['ummatu] (< 'immatu) "nation" (1.14 $1,6)$.
qatal - knp [kanapu] "wing" (1.10 II,10,11); hdt [hadatu] "new" (4.205,19); 'adm ['adamu] "man(kind)" (1.3 II,8); syllabic forms: da-ka-rù [dakaru] "male" (Ug 5137 III,5); la-ba-nu [labanu] "white" (Ug 5 138,4"); A.ṠA.MEŠ na-ha-li [nahali] "(fields of inheritance" (PRU III, p. 108,7); LÚha-ma-ru-úu [ǵamaruhü] "his tiro" (PRU VI 79,11); masculine plural — lbnm [labanūma] "white" (4.182,4); syllabic form: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ha' ${ }^{1}$-ma-ru- ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{ma}^{\mathrm{l}}$ [ǵamarūma] "tiros" (PRU III, p. 196,1). Roots with
 "field" ( $U g \quad 5137 \mathrm{II}, 35$ ); masculine plural - šmm [šamûma] (< šamayūma) "skies" (1.13,26; cf. the syllabic spelling ša-mu-ma [Ug 5137 III, $\left.13^{3}\right]$ ).
qatil - yrh [yarihu] "moon" (1.92,16); feminine - mPit [mali$t u]$ "full" (2.2,7); syllabic form: qa-diš-ti [qadišt $]$ "devotee" ( $U g 57,14$ ); masculine plural - ycm [yailūuma] "wild goats" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 26$ ); hbrm [habirüma] "friends" (1.169,10; cf. TO II, p. 56, n. 135); syllabic form: ${ }^{\text {TUGG }} p a-{ }^{\text { }} l i^{1}-d u-m a$ [palidūma] "(type of garment)" (PRU VI 127,4; it also can be interpreted as a qā̄l form). Roots with third yod - dw [dawû] (< dawiyu) "ill" (1.16 II,20); feminine - qrt [qarîtu] (qariytu) "town, citadel" (1.46,12; cf. the syllabic attestation qa-ri-tu $\left[\begin{array}{lll}U g & 5 & 130 \\ \text { III, 18'] }\end{array}\right.$ ).
qatul - qtn [qatunu?] "small" (4.98,9; cf. Biblical Hebrew [1 Sam. 2:19] and perhaps the personal name qà-tú-na [PRU III, p. 136,4], see Gröndahl 1967:72 and Sivan 1984a:262).
qutul - hdr [huduru?] "room" (1.14 I,26; cf. the syllabic transcription ${ }^{\top} h u^{1}-d u-r \grave{u}\left[\begin{array}{lll}U g & 137 \text { II, 11'] }] \text {; syllabic form: bu-nu-šu [bunušu?] }] ~\end{array}\right.$ "man" (Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 31$ '); feminine - 'urbt ['urub(b)atu] "window, transom" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 61$; cf. the Latin transcription of Biblical Hebrew tradition which confirms the basic form qutul, i.e. orobba; cf. Sperber 1938:209); masculine plural - hdrm [hudurūma?] "rooms" (4.195,3); bnšm [bunušüma] "men" (4.243,8).
qutil/qutīl? - Syllabic forms: hu-zi-rù [huziru/huzīru] "pig" (Ug 5137 II,25', cf. Sivan 1984a:91; contra Fox [1996:89,168 n.63] who interprets the form as qutayl); perhaps $k u-r i-k u$ [kuriku/kuriku?] "(agricultural implement)" (PRU VI 157,12; contra Boyd [1975:113] who takes the form as qutay); feminine plural - Syllabic form: ku-ri-ka-at [kurikāt/kuinkāt] "(agricultural implements)" (PRU VI 157,3).
qital - Syllabic form: ši-a-ru [šiharu?] "crescent-shaped sickle" ( $P R U$ VI 168,6).
 qutl is also possible); thm [tahāmu?] (< tihāmu) "depth", "primordial sea" (1.23,30); 'adn ['adānu] "father", "master" (1.24,33; for the possibility of seeing it as 'adu with the nominal suffix - $\bar{n} n u$ see infra); feminine - $t$ tltt $[$ talāt $t(a) t u]$ "three" (4.158,5); 'atnt ['atānatu] "she-ass" (1.4 IV,7,12); syllabic form: ta-a-ma-tu $[$ tahāmatu] (< tihāmatu) "the deep, the primordial sea" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137 \mathrm{III}, 34$ "); also in this form are many G stem infinitives (cf. examples infra, p. 123); dual - thmtm [tahämātäma/i] "the two deeps" (1.4 IV,22); masculine plural tılım [ talāūüma] "thirty" (1.41,19).
qatīl - $m r^{\prime} u[m a r \bar{n} u]$ "fatling" (1.4 V,45); ' $a^{\Gamma}{ }^{\top} r$ ['asiru] "prisoner" (1.2 I,37; qatil is possible also); the passive participle of the G stem can take this pattern and qatil respectively. Cf. also the syllabic attestation ha-ri-mu [harimu] "divided" (Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 39$ ',40',42'; qatil is also possible), which can also be an active participle (cf. infra, p. 122); feminine - mr'at [manıatu] "fat (f.s.)" (4.247,20); masculine plural - mr'im [mañ $\left.{ }^{2} m a\right]$ "fatlings" $(4.128,2)$.
$\boldsymbol{q a t} \overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{l}$ - ' $z m$ ['azūmu] "mighty" (1.2 IV,5; this can also be from qatil); note also a G passive participle, $\operatorname{Puk}\left[l a^{3} \bar{u} k u\right]$ "sent", "an envoy" (2.17,4).
$\boldsymbol{q i t} \bar{a} \boldsymbol{l}$ - $h m r$ [himāru] "donkey" (4.380,5); 'ipd ['ipādu] "vest" in the word 'ipdk ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 5$; one can interpret it as a verbal form; cf. de Moor 1979:642; Ditriech and Loretz 1980b:407; Margalit 1980:93-94; Verreet 1988:182); bdl [bidälu?] "merchant" (4.85,6; it can be a qital f(Grm); 'inš ['ināsu] "man" (1.171,5); masculine plural - $h m^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{r}^{1} m$ [himārūma] "donkeys" (4.380,20); syllabic form: LÚ.MEŠ.DAM.GAR.MEŠ $b i$-da-lu-ma [bidālūma] "merchants" (PRU III, p. 199, II,12; it can be a qital form) and [ ${ }^{L U}$ ]. MEŠ bi-da-lu-na [bidālūna] "merchants" (PRU III, p. 204,14; for the $n$-morpheme cf. infra, p. 77).
qitīl - masculine plural - Syllabic forms: [LÚ].MEŠr ${ }^{2} i^{1}-h i-r u$ [bihinrūu] (< bahiñ̄̄? ) "elite troops" (PRU VI 71 B II,5'); mì-hi- ${ }_{s u}{ }^{1}$ -
 $142,4)$.
qutāl - hrs [hurāsu] "gold" (1.43,10; cf. the syllabic spelling hu-

qutūl ? - Syllabic forms: tu-ú-ru [tuhuüru] "pure" (Ug 5130 III, 19'); É-tu $\backslash q u ́-b u-r i$ [bêtu qubūri?] "burial" (PRU III, p. 51,8); masculine plural - $t h r m[t ̦ u h \bar{r} \bar{u} m a]$ "pure" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ).
$\boldsymbol{q i t} \bar{u} \boldsymbol{l}$ ? - $y^{\top} b^{1} l$ [yibūlu? $]$ "produce" (2.34,29; cf. TO II, p. 345, n. 26 and Biblical Hebrew 'יבוּלי "Judg. 6:4]).
$\boldsymbol{q} \bar{a} t \boldsymbol{t a l}$ - ${ }^{\text {'lm }}$ ['ălamu] "world", "eternity" (1.2 IV,10; 2.42,7); dual — 'apnm ['āananāma/i] "two wheels" (4.88,3,4,5); feminine plural — 'apnt ['äpanātu] "wheels" (4.145,3); 'lmt ['ālamätu] "eterniti(es)" $(3.5,15)$.
$q \bar{a} t i l$ - This is the standard form for tri-literal active participles of the G stem. A number of examples from all kinds of verbs will be presented here: sp' $u$ [säpi' $u$ ] "eater" ( $1.20 \mathrm{II}, 10$; for another interpretation cf. p. 124); $m h^{\mathrm{L}} \underline{s}^{1}$ [māhissu] "smiter" (1.19 IV,39); $r^{\mathrm{C}} y^{1}$ [ $\left.r \bar{a}^{c} i y u\right]$ "sheep herder" ( $1.21 \mathrm{II}, 6$ ); syllabic form: $k a_{4}-b i-{ }^{-} s{ }^{r}{ }^{1}$ [kābisu] "launderer" (PRU VI 136,8); feminine - qrit [qāri'tu] "(she) calls" (1.100,2); qnyt [qāniyatu] "creatress" (1.4 I,4); and the noun hmt [hāmîtu] (< hāmiytu) "(city) wall" (1.14 IV,22; cf. the syllabic attestation \ha-mìti [PRU III, p. 137,4]); masculine plural - 'aklm ['äkilūma] "eaters" (1.12 I,26); gzzm [gäzizūma] "shearers" (4.213,30); ysım [yāsirīūma] "potters" (4.99,11; cf. the syllabic corroboration $i a-$ ssi-ru-ma [PRU III, p. $195 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{I}, 12]$ ); feminine plural - s'ibt [šả $\left.{ }^{\prime} b \bar{a} t u\right]$ "(water) drawers" (1.12 II,59); b/kyt [bäkiyätu] "weepers, mourning women" (1.19 IV,9-10); and the noun hmyt [hāmiyātu] "(city) walls" $(1.40,36)$.
qattal - 'ayl ['ayyalu] "buck" (4.617,14, a personal name; cf. the syllabic attestation a-ia-li [PRU III, p. 89,4]); feminine - 'aylt ['ayyal(a)tu] "fawn" (1.92,11); masculine plural - 'aylm ['ayyalūma] "bucks" (1.6 I,24).
qattil - 'rer ['awreiru?] "blind (m.s.)" (1.14 II,46; though this form may have been qittil); 'imr ['imminu] "sheep" (1.6 II,8; this form
may have been qittal); feminine - 'w ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{r}^{1} t$ ['awreir(a)tu] "blind (f.s.)" (1.19 IV,5; though the form may have been qittii).
quttal - hwwt [huwwatu] "country" (2.47,13; cf. the syllabic writ-
 nanu] "serpent" (Ug 5137 I,8); pu-la-ṭu [pullatu] "to save" (Ug 5137 II, 20'; an infinitive form of D stem). Roots with third waze or yod - Syllabic form: hu-wu-ú [huwwû́ (< huwwayu) "to give life" ( Ug $5137 \mathrm{II}, 17$ '; an infinitive form of D stem).
qattāl - hř̌̌ [harrā̆su] "craftsman" (4.141 III,7; this could also be hāarisu); tınn [tannānu] "archer" (1.14 II, 38; cf. the syllabic attes-
 [labbānu] "brick maker" (PRU III, p. 199 III,55); ${ }^{L}{ }^{\prime \prime} g a_{5}-l a-b[u]$ [gallābu] "barber" (PRU VI 136,10); A.SȦ.MEs ${ }_{\text {al-la-an }}$ [allän] "oak fields" (PRU III, p. 131,4; contra Fox [1996:142] who takes it as qatl plus the suffix - $\bar{n} n$ ); masculine plural - $\check{s} a l m$ [ $\check{s} a$ " $\bar{a} l \bar{u} m a]$ "investigators" (3.3,5); hršm [harrā̆sūma] "craftsmen" (4.155,1); kšpm [kǎ̌šāpūma] "wizards" (1.169,9); tnnm [tannānūma] "archers" (4.173,1; cf. the syllabic documentation ${ }^{\text {LÚ }}$ sa-na-nu-ma [PRU VI 93,6]).
$\boldsymbol{q i t t a ̄ l}$ - knr [kinnāru] "lute" (1.108,4; cf. the divine name in syllabic attestation ${ }^{\text {dGİ̌s }} k i$-na-r̀̀ $\left[\begin{array}{lll}U g & 5 & 18,31]\end{array}\right]$.
qattīl - 'ams ['ammīşu] "brave (m.s.)" (2.33,5; cf. TO II, p. 328, n. 7).
$\boldsymbol{q i t t i ̄ l}$ - ' 'ibr ['ibbīru] (< 'abbīru) "cavalier" (1.10 III,20; cf. the personal name in syllabic attestation $i$-bi-ra-na [PRU VI 45,3,15]).
$\boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{a t t} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{l}$ - 'adr $[\operatorname{ad}(d) \bar{u} r u]$ "mighty" (2.38,14; cf. the syllabic corroboration $a$-du-rù [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}U g & 5 & 137 \Pi, 34\end{array}\right]$; the form can be interpreted as $q a t[t] u l$, i.e. ' $a d[d] u r u$; syllabic form: ${ }^{\mathbf{「}} b a{ }^{1}$-ah-hu-rù [bahhū̄ru] "young fellow" (Ug 5137 II,24'); feminine singular - 'adrt ['ad(d $d$ ) $\bar{u} r(a) t u]$ "upper-class (woman)" (4.102,4; the form can be interpreted as 'ad[d]ur[a]tu).
$\boldsymbol{q u t t u ̄ l}$ - 'ulp ['ullūpu] (< 'allūpu) "chief" (1.40,20; cf. the personal name in syllabic writing ul-lu-pi [PRU III, p. 194,11]).
qitall - plk [pilakku] "spindle" (1.4 II,3; cf. the syllabic spelling pi-lak-ku [Ug 5137 II,22']).
qutull - 'unt ['unuttu] "estate tax, feudal obligation" (3.4,19; cf. the syllabic attestation $u$-un-ǔ̌-ša [PRU III, p. 53,20]; perhaps it is a Hurrian loanword); feminine - Syllabic form: ku-bu-da-ti [kubud(d)ati?] "honorary gift" (PRU III, p. 99,8).

## Prefixed Forms

## Prefixed mem

maqtal - mPak [maPaku] "messenger" (1.13,25); $m^{c} r^{「} b^{1}$ [macrabu] "sunset" $(1.87,21) ; m^{c} s d\left[m a^{c} s a d u\right]$ "hoe" (4.625,3; cf. the syllabic writing ma-sa-du [PRU VI 157,15]); syllabic form: ma-am-sa-ar [mamsar] "dagger", "knife" (PRU VI 141,2); feminine - mrkbt [markabtu] "chariot" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 24$; cf. the syllabic spelling mar-kab-ti7 [PRU III, p. 96,28]); mlhmt [malham(a)tu] "battle" (1.3 IV,8); mrhqt [marhaq(a)tu] "distance" $(2.12,10) ;$ mšm$^{c} t$ [mašmac $\left.(a) t u\right]$ "discipline" $(2.72,14)$ dual - mṣbtm [maşatāma/i] "tongs" (1.4 I,24); syllabic form: ma-aš-la-hama [mašlahāma/maslahāna] "two (bolts?) of m.-cloth" (PRU VI 123,3); masculine plural - mhllm [mahlalūma?] "(a cultic functionary)" (1.119,23; cf. Xella 1981:32-33; the form can be a participle of D or L stem); mPakm [maPakūma] "messengers" (1.14 III,33); syllabic forms: $m a-s a-d u-m a^{\mathrm{MES}}$ [ma'sadūma] "hoes" (PRU VI 142,3); TÚG.MES..GIŠ.MÁ ma-aš-ha-ṭu-ma [mathatūma?] "(a type of garment)" (PRU VI 126,1). Roots with first nun and the root LQH - Syllabic forms: ma-qáb-bu [maqqabu] (< manqabu) "hammer" (PRU VI 168,9); $\backslash$ ma-aq-qa-du [maqqadu] (< manqadu) "tax levied on pasturing" (PRU III, p. 146,12); feminie singular - mtth [mattâtah] (< mantayatah?) "on the bed" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 30$, from the root NTT); dual - Syllabic form: ma-qa-ha [maqqahā] (< malqahā) "pair of tongs (of)" (PRU VI 157,13); masculine plural - Syllabic form: ma-qa-bu-ma [maqqabūma] (< manqabüma) "hammers" (PRU VI 142,5). Roots with first waze or yod - m $\underline{t} b$ [môtabu] (< mawtabul) "seat" (1.4 I,13; cf.
 (< mawdacu) or [mêdacu] (< mayda $u$ ) "acquaintance" (4.609,4); md/d [môdadu] (< mawedadu) or [mêdadu] (< maydadu) "beloved, friend" (1.4 VIII,23-24; the form may be maqtūul); mrt [mêratu] (mayratul) "new wine"
 ( $<$ mawdadatu) or [mêdadatu] (< maydadatu) "beloved, friend" (1.14 II,50;
the form may be maqtūl[a]tu); feminine plural - mtbt [môtabātu] "seats" ( $1.41,51$ ). Roots with second zeaze or yod - myym [maryamu] "height" (1.4 V,23); mqr [maqâru] (< maqyaru/maqwaru) "(water) source" ( $1.14 \mathrm{~V}, 2$ ); ms $d$ [masâdu] (< maşeadu/masyadu) "provisions, food" (1.14 II,26); $m q^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} m^{1}$ [maqâmu] (< maqwamu/maqyamu) "place" (1.14 II,1); feminine - mknt [makânatu] (< makwanatu/makyanatu) "place" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 11$ ); feminine plural - mrmt [marâmātu] (< maryamātu) "heights" (1.169,7; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:348; Caquot 1984:170; TO II, p. 57, n. 137). Roots with third wawe or yod - mšt [maštú] (< maštayu?) "banquet" (1.108,9); mdw [madwû] (< madwayu?) "sickness" (1.16 VI,35); feminine singular - mštt [maštêtu?] (maštaytu?) "beverage" (4.230,8); mtth [mattâtah] (< mantayatah?) "on the bed" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 30$, from the root $\mathcal{N} T \eta$ ).
maqtil - mdbh [madbihu?] "altar" (1.41,41); mrzh [marzihu] "funerary/cultic association" (4.642,4,5,6; cf. TO II, p. 76, n. 237; cf. also the syllabic writing LÚ.MEŠ ma-ar-zi-hi [Syria 28, p. 173, line 3] and Biblical Hebrew מַרְin [Jer. 16:5]); masculine plural - $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{rqdm}$ [marqidüma?] "musical instruments(?)" (1.108,4-5; though this form may be maqtal or a participle of D stem; cf. Pardee 1988:80,91 and TO II, p. 115, n. 352); feminine plural - mdbht [madbihātu?] "altars" $(1.41,24)$. Roots with identical second and third radicals - Syllabic forms: \ma-ah-zi-zi [ma'zizi?]"(a type of field)" (PRU III, p. 148,17; cf. Boyd 1975:72-73); LÚ ma-si-lu [masillu] "cymbalist" (PRU VI 93,25; cf. Rainey 1973:45); dual - msstm [masiltā$\mathrm{ma/i}$ ] "cymbals" (1.108,4; from the root $S L L$; cf. Biblical Hebrew [קצְלְתּים [Neh. 12:27]).
maqtul - Roots with second zeaze or yod -msq${ }^{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} t^{1}\left[\right.$ mas $\hat{u}^{-}$ qatu?] (< maswuq[a]tu/masyuq[a]tu?) "stress" (1.103+1.145,19; cf. Herdner 1978:60; Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:122; the form may be considered as maqtal, i.e. masâq$[a] t u)$.
$\boldsymbol{m a q t u} \boldsymbol{l}$ - $m^{2} i^{\top} h h^{1}$ [ma'hūdu] "plated" (4.172,6); mlbš [malbūšu] "clothing" (4.182,3); feminine - mtrht [matrühh(a)tu] "(purchased) bride" ( $1.24,10$; the form could be a D stem passive participle). Roots with first nun - dual - mphm [ $\operatorname{aappū} h \bar{a} m a / i]$ (< manpūhāma/i) "bellows" (1.4 I,23).

## Prefixed taze

trbs [tarbasu] "corral" (1.14 II,3; cf. the syllabic corroboration $\dot{E}$ $t u_{4} \backslash t a-a r-b a \dot{-}-\underset{i}{ }$ [PRU III, p. 91,17]); tgmr [tagmVru] "total" (1.91,35); tšlm [tašlūmu] "payment" (1.111,23; cf. TO II,196, n. 164); t'išr [ta'süru?] "boxwood tree(?)" (4.158,4); tdrq [tadrīqu/tadrūqu?] "walking" (1.4 II, 15); feminine - t'glt [tacgll(a)tu] "stuttering" (1.93,2; cf. TO II, p. 38, n. 82); masculine plural - $t$ ǐ̌srm [ta"šūūūma] "boxwood trees(?)" (4.91,7); tlmdm [talmād̄̄̄ma?] "apprentices" (4.384,8). Roots with second zoaze or yod - feminine - tmtt [tamûtatu] (< tamwutatu?) "mortality" (2.38,16,22; it is less likely to take this form as derived from $m t$ [mutu] "man" as suggested in TO II, p. 354 and n. 17). Roots with third waze or yod - tp [tôp̂̂/têp $\hat{1}]$ (< tawpiy/taypiy) "the beauty of (her brother)" (1.96,2; cf. TO II, p. 43, n. 92); feminine - $t^{\ulcorner } l^{1}$ 'iyt [taPiyatu?] "victory(?)" (1.10 III,31; for another interpretation cf. Pope and Tigay 1971:123); syllabic form: ta-ap-di $i_{12}-t i[t a p d i \hat{t} t]$ (< tapdiyti) "exchange", "redemption (price)" (PRU III, p. 95,14; p. 129,9).

## Prefixed aleph

'arb' ['arba'u] "four" (4.48,7); 'irby [irb̄̄yu/'irbiyul (< 'arb̄̄yul'arbiyu)
 'uqni'i) "lapis lazuli" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 43$ ); masculine plural - 'iqn'im ['iqn $\bar{\imath} \bar{i} m a /$ 'iqnùìma] "lapis lazuli" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ); feminine plural ${ }^{\text {' }} u \mathrm{umm}^{\text {' } t ~[' u d m u}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a}$ tu? '] "tears" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 10$; the aleph in this form may be prosthetic); 'usb't ["usbu'ātu] "fingers" (1.2 IV,14).

## Suffixed Forms

## Suffix nun

'adn ['adānu] "father", "master" (1.24,33; cf. the syllabic spelling $a$ $d a-n u[U g 5130 \mathrm{II}, 9$ ']; for the possibility of seeing it as a qatāl form see above); ${ }^{r} r^{l} g$ gn [raǵg $(\underline{g})$ abānu?] "starvation" (1.103+1.145,5); zbln [zab(b)alänu?] "infirmity" (1.14 I,17); dbhnn [dab(b)ahãnu?] "sacrifice" (1.40,32); g'an [ga'ânu] (< ga'wānu/ga'yänu) "pride" (1.17 VI,44); syllabic forms: A.SA.MES ${ }_{i a}$-ar-qa-ni [yarqāni] "green fields" (PRU III, p. 148,9); A.Š̀ $\backslash a d-m a-n i$ [admāni] "red soil" (PRU III, p. 123,8,12); [L'̛.MEŠ ${ }_{u}-r u-b a-n u \quad$ ['urubānu] "guarantee", "guarantor" (PRU III, p. 37,7 ) masculine plural - 'rbnm ['urubānūma] "guarantee", "guarantors" (3.3,1,7); syllabic form: A.S̃A.HI.A $\backslash$ di-ip-ra-ni-ma [diprānāma]
"(fields of) junipers" (PRU III, p. 64,4); the nun [-anu] is suffixed to many place and personal names (cf. Sivan 1984a:97-99).

## Suffix yod

There may be more than one suffix with consonantal yod. One such suffix [-(a)y?] is attached to many feminine personal names and is usually assumed to be hypocoristic (cf. Gröndahl 1967:26 and Smith 1994:38-39). Another [- $\bar{y} u /-\bar{a} y u /-\bar{u} y u]$ is used as a nisbe, that is, it is attached to national, ethnic and geographical names to express relationship (cf. Richardson 1978:298-315; Sivan 1984a:99-101), e.g. $k n^{\circ} n y$ [Kina^n̄̄yu] "canaanite" (4.96,7); hty [Hatt̄̄u] "Hittite" (1.40,37); 'ugrty ['Ugan( $\overline{\text { }}) \pi \bar{z} y]$ ] "Ugaritian" ( $4.33,8,9$; cf. the syllabic attestation URU $_{u \text {-ga-ar-ti-ya }}$ [PRU VI 79,15]).

## Reduplicated Forms

The following are examples of nouns built on the reduplication of a syllable, a method known in various Semitic languages:
qdqd [qadqadu/qudqudu] "top of head" (1.4 VII,4); 'r.'r ['araru] "juniper" (1.100,64); ‘p'p [‘ap’apu] "eyelid" (1.14 VI,30); kbkb [kabkabu] "star" (1.4 IV,17) beside the plural kkbm [kakkabüma] (< kabkabūma) or [kôkabüma] (< karekabūma) "stars" (1.10 I,4); kkr [kakkaru] (< karkaru) "talent (weight)", loaf" (4.91,9; cf. the syllabic writing $k a_{4}-k a_{4}-r a$ [PRU III, p. 153,20,22]); feminine singular - Syllabic form: hur-hu-rati [hurhurat] "(a plant)" (PRU VI 8,9); masculine plural - Perhaps ssnm [sassanūma?] (< sansanūma?) "tamarisks(?)" (1.100,66; cf. Biblical Hebrew סַנְסִנְּיו [Cant. 7:9] which is in the Babylonian tradition of Biblical Hebrew).

## Feminine Nouns

## General Remarks

As in the other Semitic languages, Ugaritic also has some feminine nouns with no special suffix, e.g. 'um ['ummu] "mother" (1.23,33); 'ars [’arsu] "land, earth" (1.6 I,65); yd [yadu] "hand" (1.14 II,22); 'uz ['ūzu?] "goose" ( $4.247,20$; this clause, 'uz mr'at mlht "a good (or: salted?) fat goose", demonstrates the gender with the feminine markers of the adjectives).

Generally the feminine forms are characterized by the suffix -t $[-t u]$ or $[-a t u]$. It is possible to distinguish between these two suffixal options by use of noun forms with aleph as the third radical. The presence of ' $a$ indicated the suffix [-atu], while the presence of ' $i$ pointed to a $[-t u]$ suffix. Forms with third nun, dalet, or yod also helped to discern which feminine suffix was being employed, since they were preserved before [-atu] but assimilated or contracted (whenever yod was involved) before [-tu]. Likewise, the assumption that a final root radical was geminated also argued for the use of [-atu]. The following are examples of the two suffixes:

## The Feminine Suffix [-tu]

$q r^{\prime} i t\left[q \bar{a} r^{\prime} t u\right]$ "she cries" ( $1.100,2$; a participle of the G stem); m'it [ $m i^{\prime} t u$ ] "one hundred" $\left(1.49,10 ; 1.50,9\right.$; the plural is $m^{\prime}$ 'at for which see below); ml'it [mali'tu] "full" (2.2,7); bt [bittu] (< bintu) "daughter" (3.4,11; 4.659,7); gt [gittu] (< gintu) "wine/oil press" (3.5,7); 'aht ['ah(h)attu] (< 'ah[h]adtu) "one (f.)" (1.48,13); qrt [qaritu] (< qariytu) "town, citadel" (1.4 VIII,11; cf. the syllabic attestation qa-ri-tu [Ug $\left.5130 \mathrm{III}, 18^{\prime}\right]$ ) beside qryt [qai( (2)yatu] ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 28$; the preservation of the yod proves that the suffix must have been [-atu]; hmt [hāmîtu] (< hämiytu) "(city) wall" ( 1.14 III,4; cf. the syllabic attestation \ha-mì-ti [PRU III, p. 137,4]) beside the plural hmyt [hāmiyātu] "(city) walls" (1.40,36); kst [kisûtu] (< kisuzwtu) or [kisîtu] (< kisiytu) "garment" (4.206,5); g't $\left[g a^{〔} \imath t u\right]$ (< gacivtu?) "neighing" (1.14 III,18).

Sometimes the nature of the feminine suffix employed on a particular noun is established by comparison with a syllabic attestation, e.g. on mrkbt "chariot" $(2.31,31 ; 4.98,6)$ the suffix was $[-t u]$ according to mar-kab-ti ${ }_{7}$ [markabti] (PRU III, p. 96,28; cf. Biblical Hebrew [Gen. 41:43] resulting from the same suffix); likewise on ${ }^{\text {š }}$ rt "wool" $(4.270,5,8)$ the suffix is $[-t u]$ according to the syllabic docu-


## The Feminine Suffix [-atu]

hm'at [him'atu/ham'atu] "butter" (1.23,14); ş'at [și'atu] "going out, sunrise" ( $1.3 \mathrm{II}, 8$ ); mr'at [maňatu] "fat" (4.247,20); trat [tu'atu?] "sheep" (1.6 II,29); qnyt [qāniyatu] "creatress" (1.4 I,22); qryt [qar(i)yatu] "town, citadel" (1.14 II,28); tnth [tînatuhप̄̆/Lênatuhŭ] "his urine" (1.114,21); 'almnt ['almanatu] "widow" (1.16 VI,33,46; cf. Akkadian almattu and

Phoenician skens which indicate a [-tu] suffix, while Biblical Hebrew אלְחָה [Gen. 38:11] reflects original [-atu]); šnt [šanatu] "year" (2.2,7; cf. Biblical Hebrew שָׁנָּ [Gen. 6:3] which reflects -atu with Aramaic אשָׁ and in Moabite and the Samaria Ostraca which have [ $[-t u]$ ]; mknt [makânatu] "place" (1.14 I,11); l tmntk [le-tamūnatikă] "for your (m.s.) image" (1.169,6); ‘nt ['anatä] "now" (1.19 III,55,56; cf. the syllabic form at-ta [‘attä] "now" [PRU III, p. 19,11] which indicates a
 [mêdūdatu/môdūdatu] or [mêdadatu/môdadatu] "beloved, friend" (1.14 חI,50); prt [parratu] "cow" (1.5 V,18); rbt [rabbatu] "great, lady" (1.4 II,28); 'amt ['ammatu] "cubit" (1.12 I,16); dqt [daqqatu] "(a small cattle for sacrifice)" (1.39,3,4; 1.41,13,28); ' $h^{\top}{ }^{\top}$ tt [hittatu $]$ (< hintatu) "wheat" (1.16 III,9); 'umt ['ummatu] "nation" (1.6 IV,19).

Sometimes the nature of this feminine suffix employed on a particular noun is established by comparison with a syllabic attestation, e.g. A.ŠÀ.MEŠ $h$ hu-wa- ${ }^{\top} t i^{1}$ [huwworati] "land" ( $R \check{S} 8.145,5$ ) and 'hu'-wa-tu [huwreatu] (Ug 5 137 II,10); \ma-ad-da-tù [maddatu] "measurement" (Ug 5 5,9); ku-bu-da-ti [kubud(d)ati] "honoring gift" (PRU III, p. 99,8); ra-ba-ti [rabbati] "great" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5$ 7,4).

## Plural Noun Forms

## Masculine Plural

The plural marker for the unbound noun in nominative case is [- $\bar{u} m a]$ and for the accusative and dependent (genitive) case it is [- $\bar{z} m a]$. These suffixes are demonstrated by words with aleph as the third radical and confirmed by Ugaritic words in syllabic transcription. The following are examples of both suffixes:
[-йma] - mr'um [mur'ūma] "commanders, officers" (4.68,69); rp'um [rāpī̄̄ma] "Rephaim" ( $1.21 \mathrm{II}, 3,11$ ); syllabic forms: LUU.MEŠ.DAM.GARR. MEŠ $\backslash$ bi-da-lu-ma [bidālūma/bidalūma] "merchants" (PRU III, p. 199 II,12); ia-sit-ru-ma [yāsirīuma] "potters" (PRU III, p. 195 B I,12); ma-
 "owner" (Ug 5130 III,14'; 137 II,30',33'); ma-qa-bu-ma [maqqabūma] "hammers" (PRU VI 142,5; 157,4); LƯ.MEŠha-am-ru-ma [ǵamrṻma] "tiros" (PRU VI, p. 150 n .3 ); ša-mu-ma [šamûma] (< šamayūma) "the heavens" (Ug 5137 III,13'; cf. Biblical Hebrew שָׁpar which seems
to reflect the dual suffix; the Amarna gloss ša-mi-ma [EA 264,16] represents m.pl. in genitive).
[-ìma] - rp'im [rāpicima] "Rephaim" (1.21 II,9); ll sb'im [lesabī̀ $\mathrm{m} a /$ saba'ìma] "to the troops", "to the soldiers" (1.3 II, 22); 'iqn'im [’iqn̄̄̄̄ma/'iqnīīma] "lapis lazuli" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ); syllabic documentations: \na-ap-ki-ma [napkima] "springs, water sources" (PRU III, p. 49,5; PRU VI 56,v.5') and its variant na-pá-ki-mi [napakīmi] (PRU III, p. 79,8; it may reflect a scribal error, cf. Huehnergard 1987:299); zi-qá-ni-ma [ziqanc̄ma?] "(type of fort)" $\left(\begin{array}{lll}U g & 5 & 96,1) ; \text { A.ŠÀ.MEŠ̌ } \\ \text { sa-ri-ma }\end{array}\right.$ [šarima] "(type of fields)" (PRU III, p. 148,7); A.Ṡ̇.H.I.A $\backslash d i-i$ ip-ra-ni-ma [dïprān̄̄̄na] "(fields of) junipers" (PRU III, p. 64,4); A.ŠA.MEŠ ha-ba-lima [habalima] "fields of ropes(?)" (PRU III, p. 46,8).

Besides the regular suffixes, there is also attestation for $[-\bar{u} n a]$. Thus in the words ks̀mm [?] "spelt" $(4.345,2)$ alongside kìmn [ ? ] "spelt" (4.269,4,20,30; cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1973:9091) and [ ${ }^{\text {LÚ }}$ ].MES' $b i$-da-lu-na [bidāl̄̄na/ bidalūna] "merchants" (PRU III, p. 204,14 ) in addition to the form discussed above with the conventional suffix. This might be a stray example of a non-Ugaritic dialectical variation that does not reflect the norm. Such plural markers with nun are typical of Aramaic, Moabite and Arabic (cf. Diem 1975:239-258, especially 255-256; Blau 1979b:143; Garr 1985:89-91). It is also known on West Semitic words attested in Egyptian transcription, e.g. na- ${ }^{\text {ca}}-\mathrm{rx} \dot{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{na}$ "elite warriors (literally "youths")" (cf. Helck 1971:563 no. 136) and also the geographical name na-ha-ri-na (cf. Erman and Grapow 1957 II:287; cf. most recently Sivan and Cochavi-Rainey 1992:43-44 and Hoch 1994:446). On the other hand, it is possible that the Ugaritic scribe simply made a mistake, confusing the masculine plural here with the imperfect plural ending of the verb which is $[-\bar{u} n a]$.

When the plural suffixes are found on bound forms, they lose the $[-m a]$ element, the respective suffixes are thus $[-\bar{u}]$ and $[-\bar{l}]$. Attestations to these suffixes can be traced in alphabetic examples of words with final aleph and in syllabic forms. Of course they can only be identified by their contexts, e.g. šn'u hd [šanni'ū haddi] "(those who) hate Hadad" (1.4 VII,36); $m r^{\prime} u$ skn [mur'ū sākini] "officers of the commissioner" $(4.68,63)$; $r p^{\prime} u b^{c} l\left[r \bar{a} p^{2} \bar{u}^{u} b a^{c} l i\right]$ "Baal's Rephaim" (1.22 I,8); bd mr'i skn [bâdi mur'ī säkini] "from/in the hands of the officers of the commissioner" (4.92,2-3); mr'i 'ilm [marıī 'itima]

"in the midst of the earth's Rephaim" (1.15 III,14); syllabic documentation: LÚ.MEŠ mar-ia-an-ni [LUGAL] [maryann̄̃ šarr] "chariot drivers of [the king]" (PRU III, p. 140,6).

It is also possible to surmise that other forms without final aleph were bound forms of the plural, e.g. nsk ksp [nāsik $k$ kaspi] "those who melt silver" (4.68,74); bdl 'ar dt'inn mhr lhm "merchants [bidā̄̄̄u// bidalū] of Aru who do not have a soldier" (4.214,4-5).

## Feminine Plural

The standard suffix is $[-\bar{a} t u]$ for the nominative case and $[-\bar{a} t i]$ for the accusative and the dependent (genitive) cases. There are not many attested examples of syllabic spellings for the feminine plural suffix, note: ku-ri-ka-at [kurikāt/kū̄kāt?] "(agricultural implements)"
 press logs" (PRU VI 113,5; the enclitic mem [-ma] is not part of the word); A.ṠA.MEŠha-ar-sa-ti [harsāāt] "grooved fields(?)" (PRU III, p. 95,11,20; cf. Kühne 1974:165-166).

The alphabetic documentation is more plentiful. Often it is possible to discern the plural ending from the context, but frequently there are plural forms that differ from attested singulars. The following are some examples: $m^{2} a t$ [ $\left.m i^{\prime} \bar{a} t u\right]$ "hundreds" $(4.91,2,4)$ beside $m^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t$ [mi'tu] "hundred" ( $1.50,9$ ); ks'at [kussīātu/kissiāatu] "chairs" (1.4 VI,52) beside the singular $k s ' u$ [kussi$\left.{ }^{\top} u / k i s s i \imath u\right]$ "chair" (1.4 V,46); $k^{\mathrm{I}} b d^{\mathrm{l}} t h m[k a b i d a \bar{t} i h u m(\bar{u})]$ "their livers (acc.)" (1.19 III,10) alongside the singular $k b d[k a b i d u]$ "liver" (1.143,1); likewise tllhnt [tulhānātu/t talhānātu] "tables" (1.4 IV,36) with a singular tulhn [tulhhānu/talhānu] (1.4 I,38); qrnt [qar(a)nätu] "horns" (1.17 V1,22) with the dual qrnm [qarnäma/i] "(pair of) horns" (1.12 I,30); grnt [gur(a)nātu] "threshing floors" (1.20 II,6) beside the singular grn [gurnu] (1.19 I,30); bnt [binātu/banātu/bunā$t u]$ "daughters" (1.82,18; 2.2,9) with the singular bt [bittu] (3.4,11; $4.659,7)$; ktnt [kut(t)unātu?] "cloaks" $(4.771,2)$ beside the singular $k$ tn [kut(t)unu?] (3.1,27; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:362).

In one instance the suffix $[-\bar{a} t u]$ is added to a word ending in the feminine singular suffix (unless it reflects dittography; cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1977:345), thus 'ahbtth ['ahāāatuh̆̄̆] "her sisters" ( $1.24,36$; instead of *2ahth; cf. the same phenomenon in Biblical
 5:13]).

On occasion there are words that have either the masculine plural morpheme or the feminine plural morpheme, thus $d[b] h m[d a b(a) h u \pi m a]$
"sacrifices" $(1.40,32)$ alongside ${ }^{5} d$ l $b h t[d a b(a) h a \bar{a} t u]$ ( $1.142,1$; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:12); r'ašm [ra'ašŭma] "heads" (1.5 I,3) beside r'aštkm [ra'ǎ̆ātikum( $\bar{u})]$ "your (m.pl.) heads (acc.)" (1.2 1,27) and rišsthm [ra'šatithum ( $\bar{u}$ )] "their (m.pl.) heads (acc.)" (1.2 I,23); ymm [yômūma/ yamūma] "days" (5.9 I,4) beside ymt [yômātu/yamātu] (1.108,26; cf. Gordon 1965:555; Blau and Greenfield 1970:13; TO II, p. 118, contra $U g$ 5, p. 557). Another form is lšnt [lašānātu] "tongues" (1.84,9;
 side ľ̌nm [las̆ănüma] (1.83,5; cf. TO II, p. 29, n. 43).

There are no differences in the bound form with this feminine plural suffix.

## Dual Noun Forms

The dual is used widely in Ugaritic, in the pronouns, in nominal declension and in verbal conjugation (cf. Gorton 1965:53-54 and Fontinoy 1969:87-88).

## The Alphabetic Dual Marker -m

This morpheme resembles outwardly the masculine plural morpheme; therefore, it is hard to determine which is involved. Thus for example the form $k d m$ "jars" $(4.149,8)$ could be dual [kaddāma/i] or plural [kaddūma].

With feminine nouns the dual can be distinguished from the plural, since the dual morpheme is added to the feminine morpheme, i.e. mrkbtm "two chariots" $(4.145,6)$ has to be dual. Likewise qstm is "two bows" (4.63 I,2,26,27); šntm "two years" (2.39,16); mitm "two hundred" $(2.77,4)$; qrtm "two towns" $(1.3 \mathrm{II}, 20)$; rbtm "twenty thousand" (1.4 I,30).

The dual masculine forms can often be distinguished by the context, e.g. $k d m$ "two jars" ( $4.41,8,9$ ); $k k r m$ "two talents" ( $4.91,6$ ); ymm "two days" (1.6 II,26); 'alpm "two thousand" (2.33,24,32,38); htbm "two hewers of wood" $(4.609,20)$.

Sometimes it is possible to determine duality by comparison with other Semitic languages (especially Biblical Hebrew). Thus, e.g. msltm "cymbals" (1.3 I, 19) is in Biblical Hebrew صְצְלְחֵּים (Neh. 12:27); mznm "scales" $(4.385,5)$ is in Biblical Hebrew מאזנָּים (Isa. 40:15). Also nat-
ural pairs among parts of the body can be determined，such as $y d m$ ＂hands＂（1．2 IV，14，16）is in Biblical Hebrew יָריָים（Jos．8：20）；mtnm ＂loins＂（1．12 II，38）is in Biblical Hebrew pָתְנָים（Deut．33：11）；qrmm ＂horns＂（1．12 I，30）is in Biblical Hebrew קררנגים（Hab．3：4）；p $n m$＂feet＂ （ $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 21$ ；the Biblical Hebrew parallel is רַגְלִלִים［Lev．11：42］）．

Forms with the $-m$ suffix can be considered dual if their plural is formed with $-t[-\bar{a} t u]$ ．For example tlhnm＂two tables＂（ $1.3 \mathrm{II}, 30$ ） beside plural tlhnt（1．3 II，36）；＇$n m$＂eyes＂（1．2 IV，22，25）beside plur－ al＇$n t$＂springs＂（1．3 IV，36）．

In many instances the number $\underline{t t} / \underline{t} n$＂two＂precedes a dual form， e．g．$\underline{t t}$ mrkbtm＂two chariots＂（4．145，6）；$\underline{t}$＇attm＂two wives＂ （4．102，7，11）；$\underline{\mathrm{t}}$＇＇alpm＂two thousand＂（4．295，13）；$\underline{\mathrm{t} n \mathrm{n} \text { dbhm＂two sac－}}$ rifices＂（ $1.4 \mathrm{III}, 17$ ）；${ }^{〔} \underline{\underline{l}} \mathrm{l} n{ }^{2} m$＂two sheep＂（ $1.105,13$ ）．

In the syllabic texts one can discern that the numeral＂ 2 ＂can precede forms of the masculine or feminine plural： $2 k a_{4}-k a_{4}{ }^{-1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{n}^{1}$－ $\mathbf{r}_{m a}{ }^{1}$［šina kakkarūma］＂two talents＂（PRU III，p．51，23）； 2 TÚG．MES $_{m u}$－ $r u-\hat{u}-m a^{\mathrm{MES}}$［šina mur＇ūma］＂two m．－garments＂（PRU VI 126，3）； 2 ma－ $q a-b u-m a^{\mathrm{MES}}$［šina maqqabūma］＂two hammers＂（PRU VI 142，5；157，4； cf．the alphabetic $\underline{t n}$ mqbm［4．625，5］）； $2 q \grave{a}-d a$－ru－ma ${ }^{\mathrm{MES}}$［šina qadariüma］ ＂two bowls（？）＂（PRU VI 158，12）；「21 ku－ni－ka－「 ${ }^{〔}{ }^{1}$［šitta kurikät／kur̄̄̄̄̄̄$]$ ＂two（agricultural tools）＂（PRU VI 157，3）； 2 URUDU $h a-a r-m i$－ša－tu［5̆itta harmitatutu＂two sickles＂（PRU VI 141，3）．

There is a possible example of this phenomenon in an alphabetic text．The undefined word $k w(2.47,17)$ has the plural kwt which also appears with the numeral＂two＂in the following：$\underline{t} t$ kwt $y n$＂two $k$ ．－vessels of wine＂（4．691，6；the waw is hardly to be taken as a vowel letter，contra Dietrich，Loretz and Sanmartín 1975a：560）．

## Morphology of the Dual Forms

Masculine dual forms were created by affixing the dual morpheme． On the other hand，the feminine dual forms were created by one of two methods：the dual morpheme may be affixed to the singu－ lar feminine form or to the plural feminine form（cf．Biblical Hebrew
 27：5］and חממחּים［Jer．52：7］）．The following are examples：

Forms Built on the Singular－m＇itm＂two hundred＂（2．77，4；4．247，28）， the singular is $m^{3} i t$［ $\left.m l^{2} t u\right]$＂hundred＂（ $1.49,10$ ），while the plural is $m^{\prime} a t$［mi $\left.\bar{a} t u\right]$＂hundreds＂（4．91，2，4）；rbtm＂two myriad＂（1．4 I，30），the singular is $r b t$［ribbatu］＂myriad＂$(7.47,5)$ ，while the plural is rbbt［rib－
abātu] "myriads" (1.4 I,28); tttm "12 (= 6x2)" (4.141 III, 7,9), the plural is ttm "sixty" (1.4 VII,9); tlttm " $6(=3 \times 2)$ " $(4.360,6,7)$, the plural is tllm "thirty" (4.182,12).

Forms Built on the Plural - thmtm "the two deeps" (1.4 IV,22), the singular is thm [tahämu?] $(1.23,30)$ and the plural is thmt [tahāmātu?] (1.92,5); dnbtm "two tails" (1.83,7), the singular is dnb [danabu] (1.114,20), while the plural must have been ${ }^{*} d n b t$ [danabātu] though it is undocumented (cf. Biblical Hebrew [ֶָּ [Judg. 15:4] alongside חַּנְבָּוֹת [Judg. 15:4]).

## Morphology of the Dual Suffix

The vocalization of the dual morpheme cannot be determined from the alphabetic texts, but from the syllabic attestation only. The nominative form of the dual suffix is $[-\bar{a} m a /-\bar{a} m i]$, thus on the words $m a-$ aš-la-ha-ma [mašlahāma/mašlahāma] "two (bolts?) of m.-cloth" (PRU VI 123,3); 2 hi-nu-ta-mi "two h.'s" (PRU VI 163 v , 5'; although the noun is not defined, it undoubtedly has the dual suffix).

Examples of dual forms in the oblique cases (accusative and dependent/genitive) are not found. However, on the basis of comparative Semitics, it may be assumed that the oblique morpheme was [-ema/$\hat{e} m i]$ ( <-ayma/-aymi). Documentation for this oblique suffix is found outside of Ugarit, such as ma-ga-re-ma [magarema] (< magarayma) "two wheels" (Taanach Letters, No. 8,2), and the geographic name in the Amarna letters $\mathrm{KUR}_{n a-a h-r e-m i ~}$ [nahrêmi] (< nahraymi) "the land of Nahrêmi (= the two rivers)" (EA 194:23).

When the dual form is in construct (bound form) the $-m[-\mathrm{ma} /-$ $m i]$ is elided while the vowel, either $\bar{a}$ (nominative) or $\hat{e}$ (genitive/accusative) is preserved. In the syllabic texts one finds ma-qa-ha IZI.MEŠ [maqqahā išāt] "a pair of tongs for fire" (PRU VI 157,13; cf. the form from Amarna letter he-na-ia [ênā̄a] "my [two] eyes [nom.]" [EA 144,18]; see Rainey 1975a:13 and 1996: I,92,136) and ma-qa-ha me-e [maqqahāa $m \bar{e}$ ] "pair of tongs for water" (PRU VI 157,14); $i$-na 2 mìte KÜ.BABBAR kàs-pu [ina šina mi'tê kaspu] "for 200 (shekels) of silver" (PRU III, p. 169,14).

In the alphabetic texts the construct dual forms can only be discerned by context, e.g. ${ }^{\top} k{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{\Gamma}_{m z}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} n m$ [kappê môz(a)nêma/i] "pans of the scales" $(1.24,35) ; l p^{c} n b^{c} l y\left[l e-p a^{c} n \hat{e} b a^{c} l i y a\right]$ "at the feet of my lord" (2.64,13); in construct with a clause, ${ }^{\top} y{ }^{1}$ 'att 'itrh [ $y \bar{a}$ 'attatā ' 'itrahb $(u)$ ] "O, two wives (whom) I have betrothed" ( $1.23,64$ ).

There is no clear evidence for preservation of the $-m$ on a bound form, but there is the enigmatic example: w lqh t $q l m k s p$ "and he took (two?) shekels (of) silver" (2.70,18-19). It is highly probable that the form $\mathrm{tq} / \mathrm{lm}$ is either plural or dual and $k s p$ is in apposition to it , i.e. [tiqlêma/i kaspa]. But the $-m$ might be the enclitic particle $m e m$ that is sometimes added to a noun in construct state (cf. infra, p. 193) and thus it should be reconstructed [tiqlê-ma kaspi].

## The Case System

The case system common to the Semitic languages is functional in Ugaritic. Nouns, including substantives, adjectives (and participles) all inflect for case endings, in the singular, dual and plural.

## Singular

The singular inflects with vowel suffixes for three cases: nominative $[-u]$, accusative $[-a]$, and dependent (genitive) $[-i]$. The following are some examples:
$t^{t} d b k s s^{\prime} u$ "a chair (nom.) was set" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 46$ ); št $\ldots m r^{\prime} a$ "they
placed . . . a fatling (acc.)" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 45$; or "he placed . . . a
fatling"); $q s[m]^{\top} r^{1} i{ }^{\prime}$ "a slice of the fatted calf (gen.)" (1.4 VI,57-
58).

The construct forms also bore the case endings (as in Arabic but unlike Akkadian, cf. Huehnergard 1981:209-218 and Sivan 1988:113120, contra Zevit [1983:225-232] who is of the idea that such forms did not bear cases), e.g.:
$k s^{\prime} u \underline{t} b t h\left[k u s s \imath \imath / k i s s i{ }^{2} u t i b(a) t i h u ̆\right]$ "the throne of his dwelling" (1.4 VIII,13-14); l yhpk ks ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{1}$ mlkk [ $\overline{l u}$-yahpuku kussỉa/kissi'a mulkikŭa] "verily he will overturn the throne of your (m.s.) kingship" (1.6 VI,28).
From these examples one may deduce that the suffixes were also preserved on forms without final aleph, e.g.:
$s p r n p s{ }^{\prime} d{ }^{\text {' } r b}$ bt mlk "document of the personnel (soul[s]) [sipru napši] that have entered the king's house [bêta malki]" (4.338,12).

Illustrations can be brought from the syllabic texts: $k a_{4}-k a_{4}-r a$ hurāṣi $[k a k k a r a ~ h u r a \bar{s} s]$ "a talent (acc.) of gold" (PRU III,
p. 153,20,22); tap-di12 $-t u_{4}$ eqlāti [tapdî̀tu eqlāti] "redemption price (nom.) for the fields" (PRU III, p. 139,19); É-tu $\backslash t a-a r-b a ́-s i ́ ~[b e ̂ t u ~$ tarbasi] "stable building (nom.)" (PRU III. p. 92,17).

## Masculine Plural

The nominative suffix is $[-\bar{u} m a]$ and in the oblique cases it is $[-\bar{i} m a]$. The construct forms drop their final $[-m a]$ (cf. the alphabetic examples above p. 77). One may add a construct form in which clauses stand in the genitive role (this phenomenon is alive in Akkadian [cf. Ungnad 1992:123] and is also found in Biblical Hebrew [cf. Waltke and O'Connor 1990:138-139 and 338]; for details cf. infra, p. 77). Thus $y$ bn ' $a s^{4} / l^{\prime} d$ " O , sons (whom) I have begotten" (1.23,65; cf. Albright 1941:47).

## Feminine Plural

The nominative suffix is $[-\bar{a} t u]$ and in the oblique cases it is $[-\bar{a} t \bar{t}]$. There is no change in the construct forms; the reserved endings conform to the function of the word in its clause (cf. examples supra, p. 77).

## Dual

The nominative suffix is $[-\bar{a} m a /-\bar{a} m i]$ and in the oblique cases it is [-êma/-êmı]. The construct forms drop their final [-ma/-mi]; the reserved endings conform to the function of the word in its clause (cf. examples above, p. 81). One may add here the sentence ${ }^{5} \boldsymbol{y}^{1}{ }^{\text {'att }}$ 'itrh "O, two wives (nom.) (whom) I have betrothed" ( $1.23,64$ ), where 'att is a construct form and the verb 'itrh is the genitive.

## Case Inflection on Proper Nouns

According to the syllabic evidence many personal names (if they are really Ugaritic in origin) inflect diptotically. The nominative suffix is $[-u]$ and the oblique suffix is $[-a]$. This pertains especially to forms with the $[-\bar{a} n u /-\bar{u} n u /-\bar{n} n u]$ suffixes (cf. Liverani 1963:131-160; Sivan 1984a:115-116; Huehnergard 1987;299). On the other hand, there are many personal names that inflect triptotically (cf. Sivan 1984a:114-118). Thus, a combination such as hayt 'ugrt "the walls
of Ugarit" $(1.40,36)$ could be either [hāmiyātu 'ugarita] or [hāmiyātu 'ugaritt]; also 'atr bll "the place of Baal" ( $1.5 \mathrm{VI}, 24-25$ ) could be ['atru bacla] or ['atru bach].

## Words with no Case Inflection

From the syllabic evidence it would appear that the case system was almost completely in effect in Ugaritic. Nevertheless, one can find some words without case inflection and some scribes did not always use the inflection correctly (cf. infra). Is this a case of Hurrian interference or is it an indication that the case system was beginning to go out of use? Note the following examples: $i$-zi-ir [ [idi ir] "help" (Ug 5 130 III,7'); ku-ri-ka-at [kurikāt/kun̄̄kät?] "(agricultural implements)" (PRU VI 157,3) beside the singular ku-ri-ku [kuriku/kuriku] (PRU VI 157,12); A.SA.MEṠ al-la-an ['allān] "fields of oak" (PRU III, p. 131,4); 2 URUDU $_{m a-a m-s a-a r ~ b u-l i ~[m a m s a r ~ b u ̄ l i] ~ " t w o ~ c a t-~}^{\text {and }}$ the knives" ( $P R U$ VI 141,2; here the construct form lacks a case ending, perhaps in accordance with Akkadian practice).

## Words with Wrong Cases

A number of forms have erroneous case endings (the same phenomenon can be discerned in the Amarna letters, cf. Rainey 1996 $\mathrm{I}: 170$ ). The following are some examples:
ina șî-sú-ma [ina șîsiunma] "in the canebrakes(?)" (PRU III, p. 125, v, 4'), which should be ina *ş̣̂īma (cf. Sivan 1984a:114-123); 'ily 'ugrt tǵrk ['it 'ugarīta/'ugañ̄ti taǵgurūkī] "may the gods of Ugarit protect you (f.s.)" (2.16,4-5). Since "the gods of Ugarit" are the subject of that clause, the construct form should have been ${ }^{* j} i \bar{u}$ (nominative plural construct). The final yod on the construct form is a mater lectionis for $-\bar{\imath}$, the suffix for construct oblique case, an error in case (cf. Blau 1985: 292). Rainey (1987:401) saw this as a scribal error. Compare the correct orthography 'il mssm ['ilū miṣêma/i] "the gods of Egypt" (2.23,22).

Another scribal error in case marking is 'atty 'il ylt ['attatê 'ili yalat$t \bar{a}]$ "the two wives of El gave birth" $(1.23,60)$. The yod is a mater lectionis for the oblique dual construct suffix. We would have expected the nominative, viz. *a attatā (cf. Blau and Loewenstamm 1970:26).

One may add the sentence mrhy mlk talln "the spears of the king will be subjugated" $(1.103+1.145,7)$. It seems that mrhy is the sub-
ject of the sentence and therefore the yod reflects an error in case (cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:94). The same holds in the sentence tqṣn ymy blhn "the days of their (f.pl.) lord will be short" (1.103+ $1.145,33-34$; for the possibility that the form is Dp, cf. infra, p. 137). The yod is a mater lectionis for the oblique construct and we would have expected the nominative (cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:99,130).

## CHAPTER FIVE

## NUMERALS AND NUMERIC TERMS

General Remarks

In Ugaritic one finds both cardinal and ordinal numbers. The numerals may be written either by vocables or by numeric symbols. A vertical wedge $i$ expresses "one" and the has the value of "ten". The tens precede the units, for example $\mathbb{K}=11$. The use of numeric symbols is more frequent in the non-poetic, administrative texts (cf. 4.36; 4.38; $4.68 ; 4.99 ; 4.100$ ), but even in these latter texts, the numbers are often written out as words.

## Cardinal Numbers

Before discussing the morphology of the numerals, it should be noted that we have no way of determining whether the forms had case endings (as in Arabic) or whether they lacked them as in Akkadian. From the limited evidence at our disposal, it would appear that a numeral may stand in construct with the noun being numbered, but it is also possible that the noun stood in apposition to the numeral. It would seem that the numerals were increasingly thought to be in apposition as may be discerned from numerals that are associated with nouns having aleph as the final consonant (cf. Blau 1972:78-79). The following are some examples:

## Numerals in Construct

$m^{\prime} i t{ }^{\prime} i q n{ }^{\prime} i \quad\left[m i^{2} t(u) \text { 'iqni'i/'iqn }{ }^{2}\right]^{\prime}$ "one hundred (shekels of) lapis lazuli'" (3.1,30,32); 'arb' 'alpm 'iqn'i ['arba'( $u$ ) 'alla)pūma/'al(a)pīma $\left.{ }^{2} i q n i i^{2} I^{\prime} i q n \vec{l}^{2}\right]^{\prime}$ "four thousand (shekels of lapis lazuli" (4.203,5). Another example is from the syllabic texts, i-na 2 mi-te $K \dot{U} . B A B$ BAR kàs-pu [ina sina mi'tê kaspu] "for two hundred (shekels) of silver" (PRU III, p. 169,14). The form $m i{ }^{3} t \hat{e}$ is genitive after the numeral šina "two".

## Numerals in Apposition

tltm 'iqn'u [talātūuma 'iqni'u/'iqn ${ }^{\prime} u$ ] "thirty (shekels of) lapis lazuli"
 lapis lazuli" (4.182,16); m'itm 'iqn'u [mi'tāma/ $i$ ' iqni'u/'iqnı ${ }^{\prime} u$ ] "two hundred (shekels of lapis lazuli" (4.247,28); ‘̌̆r mr'um ['aš(a) $n(u)$ mur'üma] "ten officers" (4.137,7; 4.163,3; 4.173,6); [hm]š [m]'at 'iqn'u [ham( $\left.(2) \stackrel{s}{ }(u) m)^{\prime} \bar{a} t u / i{ }^{\prime} i q n i^{\prime} u /^{\prime} i q n \vec{\imath} u\right]$ "five hundred (shekels of) lapis lazuli" $(3.1,23)$.
Further evidence of the appositional syntagma may be found in the syllabic attestations. Here are a few of them:
$2 k a_{4}-k_{4}-{ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{ru}^{1}-\mathrm{r}_{m a}{ }^{1}$ [šina kakkarūma] "two talents" (PRU III, p. 51,23; if the numeral was in construct with its noun, we would have expected *kakkarima); 2 TÚG.MES' $m u-r u-\hat{u}-m a{ }^{\text {MEŠ }}$ [šina muru'ūma] "two m.-garments" (PRU VI 126,3); 2 ma-qa-bu-ma [క̌ina maqqabūma] "two hammers" (PRU VI 157,4); 2 qà-da-ru-ma ${ }^{\mathrm{MES}}$ [šina qadarūma] "two bowls(?)" (PRU VI 158,12); 2 URUDU $h a-a r-m i$-ša-tu [šitta harmitātu] "two sickles" (PRU VI 141,3); 2 hi-nu-ta-mi [šna ?] "two hु's" (PRU VI 163 v .5 '; though the word is not identified, it is obviously in the nominative dual; the oblique case would have ended in [-ema/i]).

## The Cardinals 1-10

(1)' $a h d[\operatorname{ah}(h) a d(u)]$ (cf. the syllabic attestation $[a]-{ }^{-1} h^{1} a-d u\left(U g 5138,1^{\prime}\right.$, following Borger 1969:172) - It serves with masculine nouns. In 1.161,27 the scribe uses 'sty [?] "one" as a cardinal number. 'št is always used in the number 11 (cf. Xella 1981:287 and Cazelles 1979:259, contra TO II, p. 109, n. 337 and Rin and Rin [1996:846] who treat 'šty as an ordinal number). 'aht $[$ 'ah $(\hat{h})$ att $(u)]$ (< 'ah $[h] \operatorname{adt}[u])$ - It serves with feminine nouns.
(2) $\underline{\underline{n}}[\underline{t}$ in $\bar{a}]$ - It goes with both masculine and feminine nouns in the nominative. With masculine or feminine nouns in the oblique cases the form was $[$ tine $]$ (<tinay).
$\underline{t} t[\underline{t} \mathbf{t i t} \bar{a}](<\underline{t i n t} \bar{a})$ - It is found with feminine nouns in the nominative; [titte] (< tintay) with feminine nouns in the oblique cases.

Each of the cardinal numbers for 3 through 10 have two forms. Those with final $-t$ are used only with masculine nouns while those without the $-t$ are found with both masculine and feminine nouns.

## Masculine/Feminine

(3) $\underline{t l t}[\underline{t a l a t} t u)]$
(4) ${ }^{3} a r b^{c}\left[{ }^{\prime} a r b a^{c}(u)\right]$
(5) $h m \check{s}[\operatorname{lbam}(i) s \check{s}(u)]$
(6) $\underline{t t}[\underline{t i t t}(u)](<\underline{t i d t}[u])$
(7) $\breve{s} b^{c}\left[\breve{s} a b^{c}(u)\right]$
(8) $\operatorname{tmn}[\operatorname{tama} \bar{a} n \hat{u} / \hat{\imath}]$
(9) $t s^{s}\left[t i s^{\prime}(u)\right]$
(10) ${ }^{〔} \check{s} r\left[{ }^{〔} a \check{s}(a) r(u)\right]$

## Masculine only

$\underline{t l t t}[\underline{t} a l a t(a) t(u)]$
${ }^{3} a r b^{\prime} t\left[{ }^{2} a r b a^{\prime}(a) t(u)\right]$
hmšt $[$ ham $(2) \varsigma(a) t(u)]$
$\underline{t t t}[\underline{t i t t a t} t u)](<\underline{t i d t a t} t[u])$
$\check{s} b^{c} t\left[\check{s} a b^{c} a t(u) / s \check{a} a b a^{c} t(u)\right]$
$\underline{\text { timnt }}[$ tamänît $(u)]$
$t s^{\kappa} t\left[t i \bar{s}^{\kappa} a t(u) / t i \check{s i c} t(u)\right]$


Notes

1. The number 2 can be expressed by a noun in the dual without use of a numeral (for examples, cf. infra, pp. 79-82). The numeral 2 can also be used and in such cases it is not necessary that the noun will be in the dual (cf. supra, in the discussion on the dual).

Pairs of nouns can be expressed by different words: tut [tinê̂tu] (< tinaytu), 'ahdm ['ah(h)adāma/i] (cf. Biblical Hebrew אֲחָ [Ezek. $37,17]$ ) and $s m d m$ [samdāma/i]. The following are examples:
hhms tnt 'alpm "five pairs (= ten) of oxen" (4.402,5; cf. Virolleaud 1965:127); tt tnt d'alp "two pairs (= four) of oxen" (4.203,13); hms tnt $d$ m'it "five pairs ( $=$ ten) of hundred" (4.203,10); trm 'ahdm "a pair of $\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ " $(4.167,3)$; 'arb' $s m d m{ }^{\text {' }}$ apnt "four pairs (= eight) of wheels" (4.169,7).
2. The enumerated noun usually follows its numeral, but sometimes it can precede it. For example: $p^{\prime} a m t \stackrel{s}{ } b^{c}\left[p a^{\prime}(a) m \bar{a} t u ~ s a b b^{c}(u)\right]$ "seven times" $(1.23,20 ; 1.41,52)$ beside the regular construction $s b^{c} p^{\prime} a m t(1.43,7,26)$. Likewise when the number is in the tens, p'amt tltm [pa'(a)mātu talätūma] "thirty times" $(1.109,30)$ beside the normal arrangement [t] ltm p'amt (1.173,15).
3. The number 6 can be expressed by the dual of the number 3, that is tlttm [talatat $(a) t \bar{a} m a / \imath]$ " $6(=3 \times 2)$ " $(4.360,6,7)$; likewise with the number 12: tttm [titutatāma/i] "12 (= 6x2)" (4.141 III, 7,9).
4. The number 8 can be expressed by the combination ' $a^{\Gamma} r^{1}[b]^{\text {C ' }}$ arb $b^{\text {c }}$ ['arbác $\left.(u)^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a r b a^{c}(u)\right](1.41,51)$.
5. There are cases in which the numeral comes without its noun, it happens when the missing noun is understood from the context. Note the following examples:

 "Baal hates two sacrifices, three (sacrifices), the Rider of the Clouds" (1.4 III, 17-18); 'arb't "four (shekels)" (4.98,20).
6 . The noun which follows the numerals $3-10$ usually takes its plural form (the same holds in Biblical Hebrew, cf. Cowely 1910:433). Note the following examples:
tlt m'at [talatat (u) mi'atu/i] "three hundred" (1.14 II,36); 'arb' 'ṣm ['arba' $(u)$ 'isssūma/'isssīma] "four trees" (2.26,9); tlt sswm [talätulu) sī̌wūma/ siswōma] "three horses" (1.14 III,24).
On the other hand, one finds instances in which the noun is in the singular, such as:
${ }_{\underline{t} m n} h z r$ [tamānû/̂$\left.\widehat{\imath} z r\right]$ "eight $h z r$-personnel" (4.141 III,4); šb ${ }^{c} k b d$ [šab $\left.{ }^{c}(u) k a b i d u / i\right]$ "seven heavy (shekels)" (4.123,22).
Sometimes one cannot determine whether the form is singular or plural, for example:
'arb' 'att ['arbac ( $u$ ) 'attātu/'attäti] or ['arbac' $(u)^{\text {'attatu/i] "four women" }}$ (4.349,2).

## The Cardinals for 11-19

1. These numbers are expressed by combining the units with the numeral forms for "ten", '̌̌rr ["aš(a)ru] or "šrh [cišrêh?] (< 'ǐ̌rayh?; some have thought that the hé was a mater lectionis [cf. Kutscher 1967:33], while others have assumed that it was consonantal [cf. Blau 1968a:267268]). Sometimes an alternate form, $\left.{ }^{\prime} \breve{s} r t\left[{ }^{\prime} a s(a)(a)(a) t u\right)\right]$ is employed.
2. The numeral combinations with ' $\check{s} r$ serve to designate nouns in both masculine and feminine, while those with 'šrh or ' $\check{s} r$ t are used only with masculine nouns. The following are examples:
tlt ' ${ }^{\text {'̌r } r} m k r m$ [talatat $(u)$ ' $a s(a) r(u)$ mākirūma/mākirīma] "thirteen merchants"


 "fourteen (shekels of) gold" (4.341,16); tt 'šh yn [titt (u) "isrêh yênu/i] "sixteen (jars of) wine" (4.284,5); tmnt 'šrt ksp [tamānît (u) 'aš(a)r(a)t (u) kaspu/i] "eighteen (shekels of) silver" (4.337,15); tım ‘̌̌rh mrynm [tamān $\hat{u} / \hat{\imath}$ 'išrêh maryannūma/maryannīma] "eighteen charioteers" (4.173,2).


3. The unit numeral generally precedes that of the tens, e.g.:


4. On occasion, '‘̌̌r or '‘̌rt precedes the unit numeral. This may be on analogy with the numerals from twenty one and up. Likewise, it is possible that there was some influence from the orthography of the ciphers used to express the numerals in which the symbol for "ten" is followed by the symbols for units. In this kind of numbers no w-conjunction was used. The following are examples:
 "fourteen" (4.244,18); "ॅ̌rt hmš [caš(a)r(a)t(u) ham(i)s(u)] "fifteen" (4.158,9-10; 4.226,8); ‘̌̌rt tut ["aša)r(a)t(u) tittat $(u)]$ "sixteen" (4.226,7).

## The Cardinals for the Tens

1. The form for "twenty" is 'šrm (4.22,5 and elswhere) which may be dual, i.e. ["ašrāma/i] (in Akkadian "twenty" is the dual ešrā̃), but it may

2. The numerals $20-90$ are built on the form of the units 3-9 with the addition of the masculine plural marker, for example: $\mathbf{t l t m}$ [talatūuma] "thirty" (4.243,22 and elsewhere); 'arb'm ['arba'ūma] "forty" (4.257,4 and elsewhere); etc. "eighty" is always written tmnym (4.213,20 and elsewhere). The yod is an original radical and not a mater lectionis, thus the form was [tamannyūma].
3. The units are joined to the tens without a conjunction (in contrast to Biblical Hebrew, cf. Waltke and O'Connor 1990:282-283). Note the following examples:


 talätu $u$ ]] "fifty-three" (1.148,20); hmšm timn [ham(i) süma $\operatorname{tamānû/\hat {\imath }]~}$ "fifty-eight" (4.141 II,24).
4. In rare instances the connection between the tens and the units is realized by use of the $w$-conjunction. For example:
tltm w s'bc [talātūuma wa-šab' $(u)]$ "thirty-seven" (4.658,48).
5. Sometimes the units are joined to the tens by means of the $l-[l e-]$ preposition (cf. Pardee [1976:302] and Loewenstamm [1980:313-314] who consider this $l$ - as "lamed of belonging"). In such cases, the units precede the tens. A few attestations are:


 [ titt (u) le-tititima] "sixty-six" (1.4 VII,9).

## The Cardinals for the Hundreds

1. The word for "hundred" is $m^{\prime} i t$ [mit $\left.t u\right]$ (4.203,10 and elsewhere; probably the combination ' $\check{r} r$ ' $\check{\check{r} r}$ [4.158,7-8] means "hundred" [lit.: "ten of tens"]). The dual is $m^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{2}$ [mitāma/i] "two hundred" ( $2.77,4$; cf. the construct form in syllabic documentation, 2 mìte [šina mi'tê] "two hundred of" [PRU III, p. 169,14]). The plural is $m^{\prime} a t$ [ $\left.m i^{2} \bar{a} t u\right]$ "hundreds", e.g.:
tllt m'at [talätu $(x) m i \bar{a} t u / i]$ "three hundred" $(1.148,20)$; 'arb' m'at ['arba' $(u) m i \bar{a} t u / i]$ "four hundred" (4.296,6), and others.
2. The juncture between the tens and the hundreds is made without the conjunction, e.g.:


 $(4.338,10)$.
Sometimes the numeral for tens precedes the numeral for hundreds, e.g.:
hamšm m'itm [ham(i)sūūma mìtāma/i] "two hundred fifty" (4.143,2; con-

3. Sometimes the tens are joined to the hundreds by the preposition $l-[l e-]$. In such cases the tens precede the hundreds, e.g.:
 $l$ m$^{\prime} i t m ~\left[\check{s a b}(a)^{〔} \bar{u} m a ~ l e-m i ' t e ̂ m a / i\right]$ "two hundred seventy" $(4.243,45)$; tmnym l m'it [tamāniyūma le-mi'ti] "one hundred eighty" (4.243,15).
4. The hundreds are joined to the tens and the units without a conjunction:
 two" $(4.345,3)$.

There are instances when the hundreds and the tens are joined while the units are appended asyndetically，thus，e．g．：
${ }^{\prime} a r b^{\prime} m l m^{\top} i t \underline{t} n$［Parbaću$\left.m a l e-m l^{\top} t i \underline{t i n} \bar{a}\right]$＂one hundred and forty－two＂
 hundred and fifty－four＂（4．143，4－5）．

## The Cardinals for the Thousands

1．The term for＂thousand＂is＇alp［alpu］（4．43，6 and elsewhere）and its dual is＇alpm［＇alpäma／i］＂two thousand＂（2．33，24 and elsewhere）． The plural orthography is＇alpm［al（a）püma］＂thousands＂（1．4 I，28 and elsewhere）．

2．The term for＂ten thousand＂is rbtm［ribbatu］＂a myriad＂$(1.24,20)$ with a dual rbtm［ribbatāma／i］＂two myriad（＝twenty thousand）＂（1．4 $\mathrm{I}, 30$ ）and the plural is rbbt［ribabātu］＂myriads＂（ $1.4 \mathrm{I}, 28$ ）．

## The Multiplicative

The multiplicative is expressed by addition of the suffix－${ }^{2} i d\left[{ }^{-} i d a ?\right]$ （＜yada？）or the shorter form $-d[-d a ?]$ ．This suffix is added to the numeral to express＂times＂（cf．Renfroe 1992：11－13）．Note the follow－ ing examples：
$\underline{t n} n^{\prime} i d$［ $\left.\underline{i n} \bar{n} \overline{-}^{-} i d a\right]$＂twice＂$(2.64,14$ ；it seems that the form $\underline{t n m}$ means ＂twice＂，thus，hlmn $\underline{t n m} q^{\text {「 }} d q$ $d$＂strike him twice＂［1．18 IV，22，33］； tnm tšqy msk hwot＂twice she drinks that mixture＂［1．19 IV，62］）；tle id
 times＂$(2.12,9)$ ．
The short and the long forms are often used in tandem：
 enclitic mem，$\breve{s b}^{〔} d m$［ $\left.\check{s} a b^{〔} a-d a-m a\right]$＂seven times＂$(1.23,15$ ；it has been suggested that this is a dual，＂twice seven times＂，cf．Gordon 1965：492）．

## Ordinal Numbers

Various basic forms are used to express the ordinals in the different Semitic languages．In Hebrew the customary form is $q^{p} t \hbar t$, in Aramaic it is $q^{3} t \bar{t} \bar{a} y$ ，in Arabic it is $q \bar{a} t i l$ ，in Akkadian it is qatul，while Ethiopic uses $q a \bar{t} e l$ and qatūl．Therefore，it is impossible to suggest a common Semitic form that might have served in Ugaritic．In the following dis－
cussion the forms will be given without attempting a vocalized reconstruction.

There are both masculine and feminine forms for the ordinals in Ugaritic, the latter carrying the $-t$ suffix.

The masculine ordinals are as follows: The words $p r^{c}$ in $y m p r^{c}$ $(4.279,1)$ and 'ahd in $y m$ 'ahd $(1.115,14)$ apparently mean "first" (cf. infra); $t n$ "second"; $t l t$ "third"; $r b^{6}$ "fourth"; $h m s \check{s}$ "fifth"; $t d \underline{t}$ "sixth"; $\breve{s b}^{6}$ "seventh"; tmn "eighth"; ts" "ninth"; ‘̌̌r "tenth".

## Notes

1. The word $p r^{c}$ may be related to Mishnaic Hebrew expression לְמַפְבעַ (Berachot 2:3; Nidda 7:2). However, it could mean "fruit" as can be deduced from the expression $p r^{\text {r }} q z$ "summer fruit" ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 18$; cf. Loewenstamm 1980:199).

The expression $y m$ 'ahd may be compared with Biblical Hebrew aí, אֶחֶ "day one" (Gen. 1:5; cf. Ug 5, p. 586 and TO II, p. 202). It has been suggested that the expression's meaning is "every day, each day" (de Moor 1970:317 and Blau and Greenfield 1970:14-15; for discussion cf. TO II, p. 202, n. 180).
2. Generally, a noun in the singular at the head of a string of ordinal numerals also has the meaning "first" (cf. Rin and Rin 1996:845847). For example:
$y l k y m w \underline{t n} \underline{t l t} r b^{c} y m$ "they went (the first) day and the second, the third and the fourth day" (1.14 IV,44-45).
$y m w \underline{t n} \underline{t l \underline{t}} r b^{\prime} y m h m s{ }^{c} \underline{d} d \underline{t} y m$ "(the first) day and the second, the third, the fourth day, the fifth, the sixth day" (1.14 III, 10-11). $h n{ }^{\mathrm{I}} y^{1} m w \underline{t} n$ t'ikl ' išt $b$ bhtm 'behold (the first) day and the second the fire eats into the house(s)" (1.4 VI,24-25).
It seems that the ancients did not seem it necessary to indicate the first item by means of an ordinal numeral, because its place in first position was obvious in itself, while the second item got an ordinal number in order to distinguish it from its predecessor (a similar phenomenon may be present in Biblical Hebrew, cf. Exod. 28:17-18, where the meaning of $\operatorname{vin}$ is "first row" as it is translated in the Targum of Onkelos, סדרא קרמאה).
3. The ordinals for "fourth" and "sixth" are different from their cardinal counterparts: 'arb" "four", beside $r b^{\text {c }}$ "fourth"; $\underline{\underline{t}}$ "six" beside $\underline{t d t}$ "sixth" (see examples below).
4. The ordinals above "ten" are identical to the cardinals.
5. The ordinals precede their nouns; in this respect Ugaritic resembles Akkadian. The following are some examples:
$r b^{\text {c }} y m$ "fourth day" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 11$ ); $b \mathrm{tltm} y m$ "in the thirtieth day" (1.163,7); $\underline{t l t} r b^{c} y m$ "the third (and) the forth day" (1.4 VI,26); $b \check{s} b^{\text {c }}$ $y m m$ "on the seventh day" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 15$ ) and $t d \underline{t} y r h m$ "the sixth month" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 31$ ). In these latter examples, the $-m$ is not the plural marker but rather the enclitic mem (cf. concerning this, p. 192f.).
6. When a preposition governs an expression with an ordinal, it can be attached to the ordinal or to the noun; e.g.:
$b \check{s b} b^{〔} y m m$ "on the seventh day" (1.17 I,15; cf. Blau 1972:77) beside $s^{4} b^{\mathrm{T}}\left[c^{c} b y^{\mathrm{r}} m^{1} m\right.$ "on the seventh day" (1.17 V,3-4).
7. There are cases in which the ordinal comes without its noun, it happens when the missing noun is understood from the context. Thus for example:
$b r b^{c}$ "in the forth (day)" (1.14 IV,46; 4.279,4; cf. Xella 1981:45);
$b$ hgms "in the fifth (day)" (1.41,38); $b$ tdd "in the sixth (day)"
(1.41,45; cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1975b:144-146).
8. One cannot establish the syntactic relationship between the ordinal and its noun. It may be apposition (as in Akkadian and Biblical Hebrew) or it might be construct.

## Fractions

The attestation of fractions in Ugaritic is quite limited. From what we have, it appears that two types of fractions were in use - forms with prefixed $m$ - and others without it.

Those with the prefix are known in both masculine and feminine, e.g. $m r b^{6}$ "fourth" ( $4.751,9,10$ ); metltt "third", mrb't "fourth", mhmsst "fifth", mtdtt "sixth", $m s b^{c} t$ "seventh" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 16-20$; for the possibility to see these forms as "times three, "times four", etc., or "third time", "fourth" time", etc., cf. Margalit 1976:138-139 and de Moor 1979:643644).

Morphologically, those forms could be the D stem passive participle (cf. infra, p. 138), that is [mutallata)tu], etc. (cf. Segert 1984:54). If these forms really do signify fractions, then they could be in the maqtal form as may be deduced from the syllabic attestation of "tithe", "tenth", (not documented in alphabetic texts), viz. ma-ša-ra [mǎ̌ara] "tithe" (PRU III,
p. 93,7) and with Akkadian suffix ma-a'-ša-ni-ša [mačsariša] "its tithe" (PRU III, p. 146,11); cf. Biblical Hebrew صַשְׁט "tithe" (Num. 18:26) in the maqtil form, and Arabic mi ${ }^{2}$ sãru in the form miqtāl.

A fraction without the prefix $m$ - is attested one time in the following phrase:
tqlm $w r b^{\prime} t$ "two shekels and a fourth (of a shekel)" (4.707,12).
If that interpretation is correct, then it means that in Ugaritic the forms $r b^{〔} t$, $m r b^{〔} t$, $m r b^{6}$ expressed the fraction, "fourth".

## CHAPTER SIX

## THE VERB

The Tenses

## General Remarks

The use of tenses and not aspects is quite striking in the prose texts. That is, the $q t l$ forms (the suffix conjugation) expressed the past, while the yqtl forms (the prefix conjugation) expressed the pre-sent-future. For example, pdy [padaya] "he ransomed" $(3.4,2)$ followed in the same text by tutbn [tatatābūna/tutāthbuna] "they will return" $(3.4,17)$; ' $r b$ ['arabū] "they entered" (3.3,2) and further on tknn [takūnūna] "they will be" $(3.3,6)$ and there are other examples of this type.

Blau (1977a:23-27) and most recently Rainey (1987:397-399 and 1988b:37-38) have shown that Ugaritic poetry also operates according to tenses and not aspects.

## The Functions of qul

Preterite - The qtl forms serve to express the past in both prose and poetry.

The following are examples from poetry:
[b]ph rgm l ys'a "from his mouth a word verily went (/had not gone) forth [yasa'a]" (1.2 IV,6).
 [hasirtŭ/hassirtŭ] from among mankind, a soul from the masses of the earth; I arrived [maggetū] at the goodness of the land of Dbr" (1.6 II, 17-20).
štt hptr $l$ 'išt "she put [šatat] hptr on the fire". (1.4 II, 8 ).
$<b>h t y$ bnt dt ksp hkly ' $d t t^{\prime} m$ hrs ' $d b t$ "my house(s) I built [banêtŭ/banît̄̆ŭ] of silver, my palace of gold have I made ['adabtŭu]" (1.4 VI, $36-38$; for the possibility of taking these forms as $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. cf. TO I, p. 213, n. i).
qšthn 'ahd $b$ ydh "his bow he grasped ['ahada] in his hand" (1.10 II,6).
$n p l b^{〔 ᄃ} l^{1}$ "Baal fell [napala]" (1.12 II,53).
The following are examples from prose:
'izer $k^{1} l$ pdy 'agdn 'Iwirkallu ransomed [padaya] Agdenu" (3.4,2$3)$.
'anykn dt likt mstm "your ship which you sent [la'iktä] to Egypt" (2.38,10-11).
$l p^{c} n b^{c} l y . . q$ qlt "at the feet of my lord ... I have fallen [qiltü]" (2.64,13-16).
lht spr d likt ' $m$ tryl "the tablets of the document which I sent [la'iktŭ] to Taryelli" (2.14,6-8).

Present Tense - The qtl forms can express the present, usually with intransitive verbs, but also with transitive.

The following are some examples from poetry:
With intransitive verbs:
rbt 'ilm l hkmt "you are great [rabbată], El, verily you are wise [hakamtă̈/hakimtäa]" (1.4 V,3).
$y d^{k} t k$ rhmt "I know that you are merciful [rahamtă/rahimtăa" (1.16 I,33).
$y d^{k} t^{1} k$ l bt $k$ 'anšt "I know you daughter, that you are meek


'atm bštm w'an šnt "you (m.pl.) are slow [bustum( $\bar{u})]$ and I am fast [šanôtū?]" (1.3 IV,33; cf. Ginsberg 1969:137; Smith 1994:44 and n. 44 and 1995:792).
rǵb rǵbt ... hm ǵm'u ǵm'it "are you verily hungry [raǵibti] or are you verily thirsty [ǵamit t̄] ?" (1.4 IV,33-34).
With transitive verbs:
$y d^{k} t k$ rhmt "I know [yadactŭ] that you are merciful" (1.16 I, 33).
 meek" (1.18 I,16; cf. Smith 1995:792).
ytt nhšm mhrk "I give [yatattü] snakes (to be) your bride-price" (1.100,75; following Astour 1968:27 and Pardee 1978b:84-85).
 three the Rider of the Clouds" (1.4 III, 17-18).
The following are examples from prose:
With intransitive verbs:
w $p n m l k n r b n$ "and the face of the king shines [ $n \bar{a} \bar{r} \bar{u}$ ] upon us" (2.13,17-18).
With transitive verbs:
 (2.33,27).

Optative Usage - The $q t l$ forms also can express wishes and requests. Note the following examples:
hawt 'aht "may you live [haweritt], my sister!" (1.10 II,20).
'm 'lm hyt "may you (m.s.) live [hayêta/thayitäa] forever!" (1.4 IV,42).
$l y r t b n p s{ }^{\prime} b n$ 'ilm $m t$ "may you go down [ $\bar{u} / l a y$ yarattä] into the throat of the son of the gods, Môt" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 6-7$; since the $q t l$ can express a wish by itself, the particle $l$ - may be only for emphasis, cf. infra, p. 191f.).

Future Tense - In prose result clauses, qtl forms preceded by the $w$-conjunction express the future (cf. Parker 1967:§3.22). The following are examples:
whm ht ' $l w$ Pikt ' $m k$ "and if the Hittite has attacked, then I will send [wa-la'iktü] (a letter) to you ..." (2.30,17-18).
$[w]\left[{ }^{\prime} u\right]^{\top} n^{1} \underline{\underline{1}}{ }^{\prime} i n n l^{\top} h h^{\top} m$ ' $d \underline{t u t b n} k s p$ 'iwrkl w $\underline{t} b l$ 'unthm "and they do not have a feudal obligation until they return the money of Iwirkallu, then they return [wa-tābū] to their feudal obligation" (3.4,16-19).

## The Functions of yqtl

Recently Rainey (1990:407-420 and 1996 II:221-264) has shown that in the Northwest Semitic languages (including Ugaritic; cf. Verreet 1988) the prefix forms (yqt) expressed tenses rather than aspects. In his view there were two modes, indicative and injunctive. In the indicative, the $y q t l \emptyset$ (without suffixes) expressed the preterite (past), while the yqtlu forms (with final short vowels) expressed the imperfect (the present-future and continued action in the past) (cf. Hamori 1973:319-324). In the injunctive, the yqtl 0 expressed the jussive and yqtla expressed the volitive. To both modes, there was an energic, yaqtulun( $n$ )a for the indicative and yaqtulan( $n$ )a for the injunctive. The system as suggested by Rainey is as follows (the second form in each category is $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$.):

Indicative
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Preterite } & \text { yaqtul, taqtul̂̂ } \\ \text { Imperfect } & \text { yaqtulu, taqtulûna } \\ \text { Energic } & \text { yaqtulun(n)a }\end{array}$

## Injunctive

Jussive yaqtul, taqtul̂̂
Volitive yaqtula, taqtulû
Energic yaqtulan(n)a

It would seem that these same two modes, indicative and injunctive, were also present in Ugaritic, but unlike Biblical Hebrew and the Akkadian texts from Canaan in the Amarna archives, the Ugaritic script, which generally lacks vowels, makes it impossible to distinguish the various forms. Therefore, in order to identify the modal and tense forms, one must utilize the contexts and the diagnostic forms from certain roots, e.g. the absence or presence of the third radical in third waw/yod verbs, and the vowels accompanying final aleph's. Likewise, the plural forms of the yqtlu singulars had final nun (based, e.g. on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. taqtutūna). The $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. of the $y q t l u$ pattern also had a final $-n$ (i.e. taqtutina). The plurals and $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. of the preterite, the jussive and the volitive ( $y q t l 0$ and $y q t l a)$ did not have the final $-n$ (thus, taqtuti for the jussive and taqtut for the volitive). The presence or absence of the nun on such forms would also be diagnostic; the presence of potential energic forms complicates the problem. The ensuing discussion will treat each of these conjugation patterns.

## The Indicative Mode

Preterite - The past tense is expressed by the short forms, that is $y$ qtl $\emptyset$ without vocalic suffixes having plurals and $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. forms without final nun (cf. Biblical Hebrew יצֵי "he established" [Deut. 32,8] along with יַּיציב "he establishes" [Jos. 6:26]). It is easier to identify such preterite forms in Ugaritic poetry (rather than prose), since they often appear in contexts where the carrying out of the action was the direct result of previous imperatives. Though a few such preterite forms do occur in prose, they are usually replaced by $q t l$ in its past tense function. The following are some examples:
 (1.14 IV,11).
mǵy hrn l bth w yštql l hzrrh "Ḥoron arrived at his house and entered [wa-yistaqil/wa-yistaqal] his courtyard" (1.100,67-68).
 eyes, then he saw him [wa-yiphan $(n)$ ü] , he sighted [yahdi $]$ Hargab, the father of the eagles" ( 1.19 III, 14-15).
tǵly 'ilm r'isthm "the gods lowered [taǵliyū] their heads" (1.2 I,23).

 Hilālu" (1.17 II,30-31).
 (< yabkiy $\bar{u}$ ) for Aqhat" (1.19 IV,14-16).
tšu 'ilm r'ašthm l zr brkthm "the gods lifted [tư̌ša'ū] their heads from off their knees" (1.2 I, 29).
$[k] b k b m t m t p l k^{\top} / b{ }^{1} n t$ "the stars fell $[t a p p u l \bar{u}]$ there like bricks" (1.13,13).
w ymǵ mlakk ' $m d^{1} t^{\top} n$ "your messenger reached [yamǵt Ditanu" ( $1.124,10-11$; this example is from a ritual text).

Imprefect- This mode expresses both present-future and past continuous.

Present-Future- Forms that express present-future are those with the vocalic suffix ( $y$ gtlu) on the singulars and $1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. and with the final $-n[-\bar{u} n a]$ on the plurals and on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. $[-\bar{i} n a]$. They are used in these tense functions in both prose and poetry.

The following are examples from poetry:
$\check{s} m m \check{s}^{2} m n \operatorname{tmtr}{ }^{\boldsymbol{r}}{ }^{1}$ " "the heavens rain down [tamturūna] oil" (1.6 III, 12).
 [tilhamūna] and drink [tǐ̌tûna], they drink wine to satiety, new wine to drunkenness" (1.114,2-4).
${ }^{\prime} n^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1}$ 'w 'turt $t s^{\top} d n$ ' "Anat and Athtart prepare victuals [tasüdäni]" (1.114,22-23).
$y m P u$ lbh $b$ šmht "her heart is filled [yimla'u] with happiness" (1.3 II,25-26).
tbky pǵt $b^{\mathrm{r}} m^{1} l b \mathrm{ldm}^{c} b m{ }^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} \mathrm{kbd} \mathrm{d}^{1}$ "Puǵatu weeps [tabkiyu] in (her) heart, sheds tears [tidma ${ }^{c} u$ ] in (her) liver" ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 34-35$ ).
$w b^{\top} n^{1} m l k w$ bt mlk $t^{c} l n{ }^{「} p^{\top} a^{1} m t{ }^{1} b^{c}$ "and the sons of the king and the daughter of the king go up [tac lîna] seven times" ( $1.112,6-8$; this example is from a retual text).
The following are examples from prose:
' $d$ tttbn ksp 'iwerkl w $t b l$ 'unthm "'they do not have a feudal obligation) until they return [tata then they will return to their feudal obligation" (3.4,16-19).
 $w \mathrm{mnm}$ salm dt tknn ' $l$ 'rbnm "and whoever the investigators who will be [takūnūna] in charge of the guaranties" (3.3,5-7).
In Ugaritic the present-future is employed in literary narrative passages where there is a sequence of actions. The following is a typical example:
'idk $l$ ttn pnm ' $m$ 'il mbk nhrm qrb 'apq thmtm tgly dd 'il wo tb'u qrs' mlk 'ab šnm l p'n 'il thbr w tql tsthwy tkbdnh "then she verily directs [tatinu] her face towards El (at) the sources of the two rivers, amidst the sources of the two deeps; she turns [tagliyu] to the dwelling of El and she enters $[\operatorname{tab} \vec{u} u$ ] the abode of the king, the Father of Years; at the feet of El she bows down [tahburu] and falls [taqïlu], she prostrates herself [tistahwiyu], she honors [takabbidan(n)ahŭ/ tukabbidan(n)ahü] him" (1.4 IV,20-26).
Thus it can be seen that yqtl $\varnothing$ expressed the past while yqtlu expressed the present-future. On the other hand, there are a few contexts in which $y q t l \varnothing$ appears to express the present-future. These are short forms of verbs $3^{\text {rd }}$ waw/yod, but they are actually original yqtlu forms in which the final triphthongs have contracted causing the disappearance of the final radical (cf. Sivan 1984b:279-293). Here are some examples:
tgly $\underline{d} d$ 'il w tb'u qrš mlk 'ab šnm "she turns to the dwelling of El and she comes to the abode of the king, the Father of Years" (1.6 I,34-36).

The form $t b^{\prime} u$ proves that the parallel verb, tgly is also presentfuture, i.e. [tagliyu]. However, there is also a parallel passage where the $3^{\text {rd }}$ waw/yod verb lacks the final radical, viz.:
 dwelling of El and she comes to the [abo]de of the $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{in}] \mathrm{g}$, the Father [of Years]" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 7-8$ ).
As a parallel to $t b^{\prime} u$ one would expect *tgly [tagliyu], but here the final triphthong has contracted, apparently representing [taglu] (< taglizu).

An additional instance of this phenomenon is found in the following clause:
 he covers with a mourning garment, groaning, with a stone he slashed the $\ldots$, with a razor he cuts the cheeks and beard" (1.5 VI, 16-19).
The forms $y d y$ (root uncertain) and $y$ hdy have final yod and suggest that the preceding $y k s$ ought to have been *yksy, since it seems to express present-future [yakassû/yukassî] (< yakassiyu/yukassiyu).

One may find the same phenomenon in the following verbal sequence:
$y k l y . . . y d{ }^{\prime} u \ldots y s^{\prime} u \ldots y s p^{\prime} u$ "he will destroy... he will take wings ... he will go out ... he will eat" (1.103+1.145,40-51).

The verbs represent present-future as can be discerned from their final $u$-vowel. Therefore it seems that the form $y d^{\circ} u$ expresses $y i d \hat{u} \hat{u}$ (< yidayu).

Past Continuous - One of Moran's most valuable contributions was the delineation of the past continuous function of the yqtlu conjugation pattern in the Amarna letters from Byblos (Moran 1950a:4347). The same function is known in Biblical Hebrew:
 was no king in Israel; every man did what was right in his own eyes" (Judg. 21:25).
Because of the limitations of the Ugaritic orthography, it is hard to discern the imperfect forms in this usage (cf. Verreet 1988:4549). Therefore, the examples brought here are doubtful at best:
tlkn $y m$ w $t n$ "they went $[t a l i k u ̈ n a]$ one day and then a second" ( $1.14 \mathrm{IV}, 31-32$; the verb may mean "they go").
 complete years, eight cycles, the goodly gods were still going (/went) [tit( $($ )alikūna/ tit $(t) a l a k u ̈ n a]$ in the field and they were still hunting (/hunted) [tasūud $\bar{n} a]$ on the corners of the desert" (1.23,66-68; the imperfect forms may expressed narrative present; cf. TO I, p. 378).

Energic - Forms of the energic serve to strengthen the imperfect in the Amarna tablets from Canaan (cf. Rainey 1990:407-420 and $1996 \mathrm{II}: 234-244$ ). In Biblical Hebrew such forms have been preserved only with the attachment of accusative $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. and $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. (and occasionally $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$.) pronominal suffixes (cf. bibliography and discussion by Rainey, ibid.). But it is exceedingly difficult to identify such forms in Ugaritic. We do not possess clear cut forms with final aleph that could reveal the existence of such energic forms. The examples that we do have are quite obscure: $y r^{\prime} a^{\prime} u n$ 'aPiyn b'l "Mighty Baal fears him" (1.5 II,6).
The double writing of the aleph in the verbal form makes interpretation difficult. The orthography may represent a form such as [yîra'unnйй] (< yivra'un(n)h̆̈̆u). If this reconstruction is correct, then the form represents the imperfect yqtlu plus the energic. (cf. Rainey 1987:398). But one may interpret the form as an absolute infinitive functioning as a finite verb plus $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. suffix, i.e. $[\operatorname{yara} \vec{a} u n n \bar{u}]$ (cf.

Gordon 1965:18 and also Verreet 1988:27, nn. 8-9 with bibliography). šnt tTPu'an "sleep overcame him [tiPuwannŭ ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 33$ ).
There also might be a spelling error in the verbal form, since the cuneiform signs for ' $a$ and nun are almost similar ( $K T U^{2}$ [p. 36, n . 3] is mistaken in reading $t P u n$ and in commenting that here the nun is lengthened and looks like ' $a$ plus tawe). In such a case, one might restore another nun in place of the ' $a$ so as to read *tpunn,
 tion be correct, then we have another example of the energic of the indicative mode.

The next example would be indicative energic in an interrogative clause, if Ugaritic goes with Amarna Canaanite:
'ap 'ab 'ik mtm tmtn "also, my father, how can you most certainly die $[$ mätu-ma/mütu-ma tamütun(n)a] ?" (1.16 I,3-4; in Classical Arabic the $[-a n(n) a]$ energic forms serve with questions [cf. Zewi 1987:72-73] where the indicative energic of Amarna Canaanite is not present).
On occasion there are instances where energic forms are discerned according to their contexts. Note the following example:
${ }^{「} b k{ }^{1} m$ tmdln ' $r b k m$ tssmd $p h l b^{\top} k m^{1} t s^{\top} u$ ' $a b h$ "while weeping she saddles a young ass, while weeping she harnesses a donkey, while weeping she lifts up her father" (1.19 II,8-9).
The forms $t s^{3} u\left[t i \ddot{s} s^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} u\right]$ and $t s t d[t a s m u d u]$ represent indicative and therefore they indicate that tmdln should be interpreted as energic, namely $\operatorname{tamdulun}(n) a$.

## The Injunative Mode

Jussive - The jussive is expressed by the short forms, yqtlØ. Such forms can be identified in Ugaritic by the context, since in poetry there are examples of commands which the hearer is expected to fulfill. Those commands are expressed in second person by the imperative and the jussive and in third person by the jussive. Forms with final aleph or final waw/yod are sometimes helpful. The following are examples:
$t s^{\prime} i \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{rh} n \neq s{ }^{\prime} h$ "may his soul go forth [tasic] like the wind" (1.18 IV,24-25).
'al tkl bn 「 $q r^{1}{ }^{\text {' } n k}$ "do not exhaust [takalk̂/tukalh], my son, the well spring of your eyes" ( $1.16 \mathrm{I}, 26-27$ ).
$y^{\prime} i p^{「} l^{\prime} h \underline{m}$ d $h m \Sigma^{2}$ "let him bake [ $y a^{3} p \imath$ ] bread of (/for) a fifth" (1.14 II,30).
 of my nation" (1.19 IV,34-35).
$y s \underline{h}$ 'atrrt w bnh "may Ashera and her sons shout [yasīhū]" (1.3 V,36-37).
tsmh ht 'atrt w bnh "now may Ashera and her sons rejoice [tišmahū]". (1.6 I,39-40).
$w^{\prime}$ 'al $t s^{\top} u^{1}$ "and do not go forth (m.pl.) [tasi' $\left.\bar{u}\right]$ " ( $1.164,19$ ).
 not fall (du.) [tappulā], do not do obeisance [tistahwiyȳ̄] to the council of [the assembly]" (1.2 I,14-15).

Volitive - The form of the volitive is yqtla. These forms are found in Ugaritic especially in cohortative contexts (cf. in the Amarna letters [Moran 1960:1-19] and in Biblical Hebrew [Cowley 1910:130]). The forms may be discerned with verbs having final aleph (one can hardly find such forms in regular verbs; contra Verreet 1988:131-151 and Tropper 1991b:341-352). Note the following examples:
 ( $1.23,1$; the same form is found in $1.21 \mathrm{II}, 2$; it also occurs with a $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.pl. pronominal suffix 'iqr'akm ['iqra'akum( $\left.\bar{u}\right)$ ] "let me invoke you" [1.21 II,10]).
thṭ'a $l$ gbk w trss l tmntk "you (m.s.) sin [tihta'a" a] against your body(?) and act wickedly [wa-tiř̌áa $a$ ] against your image" (1.169,5-6; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:347 and Verreet 1988:203 n. 3 and the bibliography cited there);
$y q r^{\prime} a \operatorname{mt} b$ npšh "(may) Môt cry out [yiqra'a] in his soul" (1.4 VII,47-48).
The subject of this clause might be a messenger of Baal (cf. Verreet 1988:156), but it is most likely Môt himself (cf. Verreet loc. cit.).
ymǵy 'aklm w ymz'a 'qqm "he reaches [yamgiva] the 'eaters', he comes [yimza'a] to the 'devourers' (1.12 I,36-37).
In Biblical Hebrew there are only a few forms of yqtla from third
 probe" [Ps 119:117]).

Forms of yqtla also serve in purpose clauses, e.g.:

may devour ['ispa'a] (him)" (1.6 V,19-20).
Perhaps the following clause also belongs here:
pth bt w'ub'a!! "open the house, so that I may enter ['ubäa]" ( $1.100,72$ ).
The form 'ubn probably has an error of nun for ' $a$. If this correction is in order, then the original form was probably intended for $\left[{ }^{\prime} u b \vec{u}^{\prime} a\right]$ (< 'abü' $a$ ?) (cf. Verreet 1988:164).

Energic - These forms have final suffix $-n$ and are documented numerous times in Ugaritic. Sometimes it would appear that the difference between forms with $n$-suffix and forms without it became effaced. This is prominent in passages with two lines (bi-cola), in which one had a form with $n$-suffix while the other has a form without it. The differences may be due to metric requirements (cf. Segert 1983a:298 and Greenstein 1988:12-13). The following are some examples:
$b$ hyk 'abn nšmh blmtk ngln "in your life, our father, let us be happy, in your immortality let us rejoice $[\operatorname{nagilan}(n) a]$ " (1.16 I,1415).
$l k t p$ ' $n t k$ tsth $\ldots$ tstrnn b hrt 'ilm 'ars "onto the shoulders of Anat she verily places him ... she places him $[\operatorname{tasitan}(n) a n \bar{u}]$ in the grave of the deities of the earth" (1.6 I, 14-15,17).
dn'il bth ym.gyn yštql dn'il $l$ hklh "Daniel arrives [yamǵyan(n)a] at his house, Daniel enters his palace" (1.19 IV,8-9).
${ }^{5}{ }^{2}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}{ }^{3} r^{3} a{ }^{\prime} i l m n^{\prime}[\mathrm{mm}]$ "let me invoke the goodly gods" (1.23,1) alongside ' $i q r^{\text {r' }}$ an ${ }^{1}{ }^{\text {' }}$ 'ilm $n^{\text {' }} m m$ ( $1.23,23$ ) with the same meaning.
It would appear that the morpheme for the Ugaritic injunctive energic was $\left[{ }^{*}-a n(n) a\right]$. It is hard to know whether there was a final vowel, neither can it be demonstrated whether there was gemination of the nun (it may be that in Biblical Hebrew the energic mor-
 pass through" [Deut. 3:25]. It seems that the gemination of the nun is for the sake of euphony [cf. Cowley 1910:72]. If this conjecture is correct, then the Northwest Semitic energic morpheme was not geminated [cf. Blau 1977a:29-30]).

The initial vowel of the energic morpheme was $a$ as can be deduced from forms like 'iqr' ${ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{n}$ ' ['iqra'an(n)a] "let me invoke" $(1.23,23)$ and $y s^{\prime}$ 'an $\left[\right.$ yasi $\left.{ }^{\prime} a n(n) a\right]$ "let him go forth" $(1.165,3)$. These examples show that the volitive yqtla is the form that took the energic ending. Since most of the examples in Ugaritic are not from
verbs with third aleph，one cannot know if they are the energic of the injunctive or of the indicative．In the following examples，we will transcribe with the vowel of the injunctive，but some of them may well have been indicative：
${ }^{5}{ }^{2} a \underline{t}$＇bn＇ank w＇anhn＇let me sit［＇atiban（n）a］and let me rest ［＇anūhann（n）a］＂（1．17 II，12－13）．
tn ks yn w＇ištn＂give a cup of wine so that I may drink it
 16）．
Energic forms also appear with pronominal suffixes and it is dif－ ficult to determine whether they are energic forms of the indicative mode or of the injunctive（cf．Rainey 1996 II：264 and the bibliog－ raphy cited），e．g．：
$l p^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {「 }}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} l$ thbr w tql tsthwery w tkbdnh＂at the feet of El she bows， she falls down，she does obeisance，and she honors him［tukabbi－ $\operatorname{dan}(n) a h \breve{u} / \operatorname{takabbidan}(n) a h \breve{u}]$＂（1．6 I，36－38）．
$h m b t l b n^{\mathrm{T}} t^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} y^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c} m s n h$＂if he will erect it as a house of bricks ［ $\left.\nu u^{〔} a m m i s a n(n) a h \breve{u} / y a^{〔} a m m i s a n(n) a h \breve{u}\right]$ ］（ $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 11$ ；sometimes the $3^{\text {rd }}$ m．s．suffix pronun is expressed by an additional－n，cf．p．53）．

## Violations of the Indicative／Injunctive System

From the examples that will be brought below，it appears that the functions of the $y q t l u$ and the $y q t l \emptyset$ were often confused．Since the number of forms is limited，there is no justification for drawing far reaching conclusions．The following are examples：
$\check{s m m}$ šmn tmtrn nhlm tlk nbtm＂the heavens rain down oil，the brooks run with honey＂（1．6 III，6－7，12－13；cf．Ginsberg 1936：63）．
The form tlk $[t a l i k \bar{u}]$ stands in place of ${ }^{*}$ tlkn［talikūna］（in paral－ lelism with tmtrn［tamturūna］）．Of course the $-n$ on tmtrn could be an optional energic marker though that explanation is somewhat forced．
 Rephaim eat，they drink，the third，the fourth day，the fifth， the sixth day，the Rephaim eat，they drink＂（1．22 I，21－24）．
Since tlhmn［tilhamūna］and tštyn［tištayūna］are imperfect forms，i．e． $y q t l u$ ，they indicate that tlhm［tilhamū］should have been the same （i．e．with a final－n）．It does not seem realistic to assume a scribal error here（cf．Rainey 1974：184 and Verreet 1988：38）．
bhth tbnn ．．．trmm hklh＂they will build his house（s），they will raise up his palace＂（1．4 VI，16－18；for other possible interpre－ tations cf．infra，p．128）．

In this parallelism the two verbal forms are evidently both $3^{\text {rd }}$ $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. The first is a long form [tabnûna] (< tabniyūna) and it is doubtful that the final $-n$ is merely energic (as suggested by Verreet 1988:63). The second form is short [tarāmim $\bar{u} /$ turāmim $\bar{u} \overline{]}$.
 birth and give birth, they give birth to the goodly gods" $(1.23,58)$.
 dual forms of the yaqtlu conjugation in contrast to ${ }^{\mathbf{}}$ tld ${ }^{1}$ [talid $\left.\bar{a}\right]$ which belongs to $y q t / \varnothing$, i.e. we would have expected that the latter would be *tldn also.
[ $\downarrow]^{\top} h r^{1} k n$ w yshmm "they burn(?) and they are scorched(?)" (1.175,7; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:297).

The form [y] ${ }^{\top} h r^{\top} k n$ [yahruküna?] belongs to yqtlu beside yshmm [yašahmimū/yušahmimū] which belongs to yqtlØ.

The following example is from a broken tablet but the verbal forms are clear enough:
$[\ldots r p]^{\top} u^{\top} m t^{\top} d b h n^{\top} /[\ldots] m^{\top} t l^{\top} h m n /[\ldots] r m$ tstyn/ $[\ldots]^{\top} t d^{\top} b h$ 'amr " [ ...] the Rephaim sacrifice [ ... ]day(?), they eat [ ... ], they drink [...], they sacrifice a lamb" (1.20 I,1,6-7,10; concerning the word 'amr cf. TO I, p. 478, n. $e$ and supra, p. 44).
 are from the yaqtlu pattern beside ${ }^{「} t d{ }^{\prime} b h$ [ $\left.t i d b a h u \vec{u}\right]$ which is of the $y q t l 0$ pattern (where we would have expected $*_{t d b h n) \text {. The attempt }}$ to see ${ }^{「} t d$ ' $b h$ as a preterite following forms of the present-future is forced (cf. e.g. Verreet 1988:76-77).

## Alternation of etl/rotl and rotl/qtl Sequences

Alternation of $q t l / y q t l$ and $y q t l / q t l$ of identical verbs is typical pattern in Biblical Hebrew and Ugaritic poetry. Held (1962:282) was of the opinion that $y q t l$ is in these cases a preterite and should be translated by the past tense. However, it would appear that there are instances where yqtl forms reflect present-future (cf. Blau 1971a: 234-240 and Smith 1994:49-51 and 1995:797, especially n. 45). Note the following examples:
$k n p$ nšm $b^{c} l$ y $\underline{t} b r b^{c} l \underline{t} b r d i p h m t$ "the wings of the eagles may Baal break [yatburu], Baal broke [tabara] the pinions of them" (1.19 III,8-9).
 scorn from a cup do I drink it [istayan(n)ahă]" (1.4 III,14-16). $b r k y$ tkšd r'umm 'n $k \underline{d} d$ 'aylt "the buffaloes longed for $[t a k s u d \bar{u}]$ the water pool(?), the hinds longed for [kadadū] the spring" (1.5 I, 1617; for another interpretation cf. supra, p. 22). $b h t[h b\rceil l y^{\text {c }} d b h d^{\text {' }} d b \ldots h k l h$ "his house(s) Baal prepare [ $\left.y a^{\text {c }} d u b u\right]$, Haddu prepared ["adaba] ... his palace" (1.4 VI,38-40).
$y^{\top} d^{1}$ mhst ' $\left.{ }^{1} a^{\top} q^{\top}[h]\right]^{[ } t^{1}$ gzzr tmhss 'alpm ${ }^{3} i^{\top} b^{1}$ "the hand (that) smote [mahasat] the hero Aqhat will smite [timhasu] thousands of foes" (1.19 IV,58-59).

## The Morphology of the Verb

As in all the Semitic languages, also in Ugaritic there are conjugation patterns with suffixes as the person markers (henceforth $q t t$ ), and conjugation patterns with prefixes as the person markers (henceforth $y q t t$. There are also imperatives, active and passive participles, and infinitives.

## The Verbal Stems

Also, as in other Semitic languages, there are several stem forms in the verbal system, each with its characteristic semantic field. The stems will be identified by the symbols usually accepted in Semitic studies.

G The basic stem (Grundstamm) corresponding to the Qal in Biblical Hebrew.
Gt The G stem with a $t$-morpheme infixed after the first radical, generally reflexive in meaning; it appears in Moabite, Phoenician and Aramaic; it survives in Biblical Hebrew in

 19:44). One may perhaps add the forms and החתְּקָּדוּ "they were mustered" (Judg. 20:15); cf. Cowley 1910:151.
Gp The passive of the $G$ stem as in Arabic and the Amarna letters from Canaan (cf. Biblical Hebrew דשִּדַּד "it was destroyed" [isa. 15:1]).

N A reflexive-passive stem with an $n$-morpheme (prefixed to the first radical) as in Akkadian Naprusu and in Biblical Hebrew Niphal.
D Characterized by gemination of the second radical; it is factitive, causative, intensive; corresponds, e.g. to Biblical Hebrew Pice $\bar{e} l$.
Dp Passive of D stem.
tD Reflexive of D with affixed $t$-morpheme; corresponds to Biblical Hebrew Hithpa"e $\bar{e}$.
L Corresponds to the D stem, but is evidently characterized by lengthening of the vowel after the first radical and the reduplication of the last radical; it is employed only with hollow roots; it corresponds to Biblical Hebrew Pôlēl.
Lp Passive of L.
R Corresponds to the D stem, but is applied to bi-radical roots; the two radicals are reduplicated and produce quadraliteral stems.
S Causative stem characterized by the morpheme $\xi^{s-}$ placed before the first radical; it corresponds to the $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stem of 'Akkadian and functions like the H causative (Hiph' $\hat{\imath}$ ) of Biblical Hebrew.
$\check{S p}$ Passive of $\check{S}$.
St A rarely documented stem; perhaps in Ugaritic only with one verb, ysthwey/tsthuey (root *HWY).
The evidence for the Gp, Dp and $\check{S}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is based mainly on context.

The Ugaritic verbal system as documented is deficient in that, that there are not complete attestations for all of the stems for all of the possible formations. The consonantal orthography without vowels also makes interpretation difficult. For example, the consonantal orthography of most verbs in the G or the D stems is identical. Therefore, it is often hard to decide whether a given form is G or D. Also, when it seems to be G stem, it is not always easy to determine what its vocalization may be. So that one must treat the reconstructions proposed below with great reservation.

## Person Markers

The Suffix (Qil) Morphemes
The personal suffix morphemes are as follows:

| Person | Singular | Plural | Dual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{c}$. | $-t[-t \breve{u}]$ | *-n $[-n \breve{\bar{a}},-n \bar{u}]$ | $-n y[-n \breve{a} y \bar{a} ? / n \bar{y} y \bar{a} ?]$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m}$. | $-t[-t \bar{a}]$ | $-\operatorname{tm}[-\operatorname{tum}(\bar{u}) ?]$ |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.\& f. |  |  | $-\operatorname{tm}$ [-tuma] |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{f}$. | $-t[-t \bar{l}]$ | -tn [-tina $/$ tinna? $]$ |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m}$ | $\bullet[-a]$ | $0[-\bar{u}]$ | ๑) $[-\bar{a}]$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{f}$ | $-t[-a t]$ | $\theta[-\bar{a} ?]$ | $-t[-t \bar{a}]$ |

Notes

1. With the exception of the $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{c} . \mathrm{pl}$. all the suffixes are encountered in the Ugaritic texts.
2. The consonantal morpheme for $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. is $-t[-t \bar{u}]$ (cf. $-\bar{t}$ in Biblical Hebrew and Amarna letters from Canaan). Blau (1979a:61; 1979b:146-148; 1985:293) noted the connection between the vowel of this morpheme and the final vowel of the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. independent pronoun. The suffix $[-t i \bar{l}](<-t \bar{u})$ developed in languages where the final vowel of the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. independent pronoun shifted from $-\breve{\bar{u}}$ to $-\bar{\imath}$ (carried over from the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. possessive suffix), i.e. ['anōkī] (< 'anōk $\bar{u}$ $\left.<^{'} a n \bar{a} k \bar{u}\right)$ as in Biblical Hebrew and the Amarna letters from Canaan. Therefore, since the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. independent pronoun in Ugaritic is [anāk $\ddot{u}]$ (as confirmed by the syllabic attestation of $a-n a-k u$ [Ug 5 130 III,12']), Blau conjectures that the vowel of the Ugaritic $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. verbal suffix did not shift to $-\bar{\imath}$ and must have remained $[-t \bar{u}]$.

3 . Concerning the final $[-a]$ of the $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$, one may see it on forms of verbs with final aleph, e.g. $y \varsigma^{3} a\left[y a s a^{3} a\right]$ "he (/it) went worth" (1.2 IV,6; 4.341,21); šnª [šaniª] "he hates" (1.4 III,17); mPa [mal$i$ 'a] "it was full" ( $1.4 \mathrm{I}, 38$ ). It is probably attested also in syllabic documentation, viz. $i$-șá-a $\left[\hat{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{s} a} a^{\prime} a\right]$ (< yasa'a) "it went out" (PRU VI 101,4'; cf. Rainey 1973:45-47, contra Huehnergard 1987:133); sa-ma$\mathrm{r}_{t a}{ }^{1}$ [samata] "it was transferred" (PRU III, p. 51,16; cf. Boyd 1975:205-206; this form is also attested without final $-a$, cf. Huehnergard 1987:171).
4. As for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. suffix, it can be seen in $y s{ }^{\prime}$ 'at [yasa'at] "it went forth" (1.18 IV,36) and qr'at [qara'at] "she called" (1.116,2); cf. the syllabic attestation from the Amarna tablets $a$-ba-da-at ['abadat] "it perished" (EA 288,52).
5. For the $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. suffix [ $\left.\bar{u}\right]$ one may note $n s^{s} u$ [naša $\left.\bar{u} / n a \breve{s}^{2} \imath^{u} \bar{u}\right]$ "they lifted up, raised" ( $1.16 \mathrm{III}, 12$ ) and $q r^{{ }^{2}} u^{1}$ " qara' $\left.^{2}\right]$ "they have invoked" $(1.161,8)$. Cf. the syllabic documentation sa-ma-tù [samatū] "they transferred property" (PRU III, p. 90,13) and the Amarna forms [la]-qa-hu [laqahū] "they took" (EA 287,36) and the N stem form na-az-a-qú [nažaqū] "they hastened" (EA 366,25).
6. Due to the defective Ugaritic orthography and the absence of a form with final aleph, one cannot tell if the $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. suffix was different or identical with that of $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. Perhaps Ugaritic preserved the ancient suffix $[-\bar{a}]$ as in Akkadian and as in a few Biblical Hebrew examples:
"the towns have been captured and the forts have been taken" (Jer. 48:41).

The Prefix (rotl) Morphemes

| Person | Singular | Plural | Dual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{c}$. | ' - - (u) | $n-\cdots(u)$ |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m}$. | $t-\cdots(u)$ | $t-\cdots \bar{u}(n a)$ |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \& \mathrm{f}$. |  |  | $t \cdots \bar{a}(n i)$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{f}$. | $t \cdots \bar{u}(n a)$ | $t \cdots{ }^{\breve{a}}$ |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m}$. | $y-\cdots(u)$ | $y / t-\bar{u}(n a)$ |  |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \& \mathrm{f}$. |  |  | $y / t-\bar{a}(n)$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{f}$. |  | $t-\cdots(u)$ | $t-\bar{u}(n a)$ |

Notes

1. On the short forms (yqtlØ; preterite and jussive) the short $u$ -
vowel is absent. Likewise, the long suffixes $[-\bar{i} n a]$ and $[-\bar{u} n a]$ are shortened to $[-\bar{\imath}]$ and $[-\bar{u}]$ respectively. In the dual the $[-\bar{a} n i]$ is shortened to $[-\vec{a}]$. Those distinguishing components are placed in parentheses in the table above.
2. The prefix for $3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. is $y$ - or $t$ - and the same is true for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ c.pl. The overwhelming majority of $3^{\text {rd }}$ c.pl. forms have the $t$ - prefix (cf. the Amarna letters from Canaan, see Izrecel 1987:7990 and Rainey 1996: II,43-45). Some argue that the plural morpheme is always $t$ - and that the instances of $y$ - can be explained in another way (cf. Dobrusin 1981:5-14; Izrecel loc. cit.). We will see below that there is no basis for this view and that the Ugaritic morpheme can be either $y$ - or $t$-.
3. The prefix for $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. is always $t$ - (as in Hebrew) unlike Arabic where the prefix is $y$-. Since $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. forms with third radical aleph are not documented in Ugaritic, it is difficult to vocalize the forms at our disposal. We hereby reconstructed them as taqtulüna although taqtulnă is also possible (cf. Arabic yaqtulna and Hebrew tiqtolnā.
4. The forms with final $-n$ may not be imperfect only; they can also be energic or they can be a $1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. suffix pronoun. Therefore, it is often difficult to decide between these three alternatives.

## The Imperative Morphemes

The person markers for the imperative are the same for all verb stems; they are found in final position on all forms.

| Person | Singular | Plural | Dual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m}$. | $[-\varepsilon]$ | $[-\bar{u}]$ |  |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \& \mathrm{f}$. |  |  | $[-\bar{a}]$ |
| $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{f}$. |  | $[-\bar{z}]$ | $[-\bar{a} ?]$ |

## Notes

There is no certain attestation to the $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. The form $\breve{s} m^{c}(1.24,11)$ "hear! ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl.)" may be either an imperative or an absolute infinitive functioning as a finite verb (see below).

## The Strong Verbs

In the following discussion，forms from weak roots may be employed when they have something to contribute to the subject．Furthermore， verbs with gutturals are also included，since Ugaritic distinguishes no special features for them．

## The G Stem

## $q t l$

In the Semitic languages three basic forms are employed，qatala，qati－ la，qatula．The absence of vowels in Ugaritic orthography makes it difficult to discern the vocalic patterns used here．Forms with aleph as the second radical will give some indication．The examples are all from qatila，e．g．Pik［laika］＂he sent＂（2．46，9）；s＇id［sa＇ida］＂he served food＂（1．3 I，3）；「＇r’il［šảila］＂he asked＂$(2.63,12)$ ．

In the consonantal texts no form was discovered from the qatala or the qatula pattern，but forms in the qatala pattern are documented in the syllabic texts，e．g．sa－ma－${ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ tal ${ }^{\text {1 }}$［samata］＂the property was trans－ ferred＂（PRU III，p．51，16）；$t a-b a-{ }^{-} a\left[t a b a a^{〔} a\right]$＂he went away，depart－ ed＂（PRU VI 77，1；cf．Rainey 1973：40）；ṣa－ma－tù［samatū］＂they trans－ ferred property＂（PRU III，p．90，13）．Further reconstructions are dependent on comparison with other Semitic languages，e．g．$\grave{k} b$ ［sakaba］＂he laid down＂（1．5 V，19；cf．Biblical Hebrew ívive［Gen． 26：10］）；mrs［marusa］＂he fell sick＂（ $1.16 \mathrm{I}, 56,59$ ；cf．the Akkadian G stative marus），etc．However，since the Semitic languages are not uniform in their choice of basic forms for qtl，the reconstructions given below may be far from certain and therefore remain hypo－ thetical．Here are some samples：

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c．s．－Pikt［laiktŭu＂I sent＂（2．14，7）；sm＇t［šami $\left.{ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{tu}\right]$＂I heard＂ （2．10，7；cf．Biblical Hebrew $3^{\text {rd }}$ m．pl．
 362：5］）；＇dbt［＇adabtü］＂I have arranged＂（1．4 VI，38）；rgmt［ragamtŭ］ ＂I spoke＂（1．2 IV，7）；＇rbt［＇arabtū］＂I entered＂（2．16，7）；šb＇t［šabit $\left.{ }^{\text {chu}}\right]$ ＂I have been satisfied＂（1．17 II，20）．
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m．s．－Pikt［la＇iktä］＂you have sent＂（2．39，18）；hsst［hasirit̆ă］＂you were lacking＂（2．41，17；the form may be D stem）；šb ${ }^{〔} t$［šabită］＂you have been satisfied＂（1．17 II，6）；lynt［lū／la yarattä］（＜lū／la yaradt $\bar{a})$
"may you go down" (1.5 I,6); 'aht ['ahattäa (< 'ahadtä) "you seized, you held" (1.16 VI,51; following Rainey 1973:55 and contra Segert [1984:143] who sees a numeral here); rgmt [ragamtă] "you said" $(2.45,23)$; rhmt [rahamtă/rahimtä] "you are merciful" (1.16 I,33).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - rǵbt [raǵib呢"are you verily hungry?" (1.4 IV,33; cf. Biblical Hebrew pausal 3 $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. רָפְבו [Ps 34:11]); gm'it [ǵamih ${ }^{2}$ ] "are you verily thirsty?" (1.4 IV,34); likt [la'iktu] "you sent" (2.82,3; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:360); 'anšt ['anaš̌̆/' 'anišü] "you are meek" (1.18 I,16; cf. Smith 1995:792).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - skb [šakaba] "he laid down" ( $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ); sgr [sagara] "he closed (his house)" (1.14 II,43); 'ahd ['ahada] "he grasped" (1.10 II,6); s'id [saida] "he served food" (1.3 I,3); smh [šamiha] "he was happy" (1.6 III, 14); šn'a [צ̌ani’a] "he hates" (1.4 III,17); lqh [laqaha] "he took" (4.338,15); mlk [malaka] "he reigned" (2.47,12); qr'a [qara'a] "he has invoked". (1.161,4,5,6,7; contra Caquot [TO II, p. 106, n. 322] who interprets it as Gp stem; this form may be interpreted as an imperative with the volitive $-a$; cf. infra, p. 120).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - Pikt [la'ikat] "she sent" (2.34,5; 2.36,5,14); rgmt [ragamat] "she spoke" (2.14,9); qr'at [qara'at] "she called" (1.116,2); 'rbt ['arabat ]"(the sun) went down" (1.78,2); hlq ${ }^{\top} t^{1}$ [halaqat] "it perished" $(2.61,13)$ and perhaps $m^{\Gamma}{ }^{[ } a^{1}<t>\left[\right.$ mali $\left.{ }^{2} a t\right]$ "it was full" (1.10 II, 9 ).

## Plural

$2^{\text {nd }} m . p l$. - qritm $\left[\operatorname{qara}^{3} t u m(\bar{u})\right]$ "you have invoked" (1.161,2; cf. Levine and de Tarragon 1984:650 and 652; contra Caquot [TO II, p. 106, n. 319] who interprets the form as Gp stem); qbitm [qaba ${ }^{2}$ tum( $\bar{u})$ ] "you called" (1.161,2; cf. TO II, p. 106, n. 320).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - lqh [laqahāu] "they took" (4.630,7); 'rb ['arabū] "they entered" (3.3,2); hlq [halaqū] "(the vineyards) perished" (2.61,11); qr ${ }^{2} u^{1}$ [qara' $\bar{u}$ ] "they have invoked" (1.161,8). From First wawe/yod verbs: $y \underline{t} b$ [yatabū] "they were sitting" ( $1.2 \mathrm{I}, 21$; the form could be G stem yqtl, cf. infra, p. 148).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - ' $r b$ ['arabä?] "they entered" (1.17 II,26; 1.19 IV,9;
$4.145,2) ; t b^{c}\left[t a b a a^{c} \bar{a} ?\right]$ "they departed" (1.17 II,39).

## Dual

$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - mgntm [magantumā] "have you entreated?" (1.4 III,30; the form may be D stem). For other dual forms in other persons, cf. the discussion of First waw/yod and Third waw/yod verbs, infra, pp. 147 and 163).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - $t b^{c}\left[t a b a^{c} \bar{a}\right]$ "(Anat and Baal) departed" (1.4 IV,19) and also "(Gpn and Ugr) departed" (1.5 I,9); hlk [halaka] "(the two) went forth" (1.14 II,41; cf. Ginsberg 1946:16 and TO I, p. 518).
yqtl
The Semitic languages distinguished three basic vocalizations for $\mathbf{G}$ stem yqtl - yaqtul( $(u)$, yaqtil( $(u)$ and yaqtal( $(u)$. Yaqattal forms typical of Akkadian and Ethiopic are not attested in Ugaritic and in other Northwest Semitic languages (cf. Fenton 1970:31-41 and Marcus 1970b:75-104).

As in Biblical Hebrew (cf. Barth 1894:4-6) and the Canaanite in the Amarna tablets (cf. Rainey 1978:8*-13* and 1996 II:62-75), Ugaritic yaqtal( $u$ ) shifted to yiqtall ( $u$ ) (cf. Ginsberg 1939:319-322). In fact Barth believed that yiqtal( $(u)$ was actually the original pattern and that yaqtall $(u)$ in Arabic was a later development by analogy with yaqtul( $u$ ) and yaqtil( $u$ ) (cf. Bloch 1967:22-29). In view of Akkadian and Amorite evidence, the yaqtal(u) pattern must be recognized as very ancient. This ancient process may be the result of vocalic polarity (i.e. dissimilation; cf. Qimron and Sivan 1995:17 and nn. 50,51).

The dissimilation of $[y$ iqtal $(u)]$ ( $<$ yaqtal $[u]$ ) occurred with verbs in which the $q t l$ had the form qatila (or perhaps qatula). For example, Pik [la'ika] "he sent" ( $2.46,9$ ) alongside 'iPak ['iPaku] "I will send" $(2.30,20)$; ${ }^{[1]}$ ' $i l$ [ša'ila] "he asked" $(2.63,12)$ beside 'iss al ['is"alu] "I will ask" (2.32,4). Likewise, this process took place with verbs having a guttural consonant in the second or third position, e.g. ' $i b q^{\prime}$ ['ibqacu] "I will cleave" (1.19 III,3); 'ilhm ['ilhamu] "I eat" (1.16 VI,18); ys'al [yišalu] "he asks" (1.124,3); tmt [timta'u] "she tears (her clothing)" (1.4 II,6; cf. Ginsberg 1969:132); ysp'u [yispa'u] "he will eat" (1.103+1.145,51); ybl" [yibla'u] "he swallows (his tears)" $(1.161,16)$.

It is possible that many verbs shifted to the yiqtall $(u)$ pattern although their original form was one of the others, e.g. ysul [yasulu] "he asks" (5.11,2); tPik [taliku] "you are sending" $(2.26,4)$ and $t P i k n$
[tal ikīna] "you (f.s.) send" (2.72,10; for the possibility that these latter forms are D stem, cf. infra, p. 135 and TO П, p. 317, n. 3). These verbs normally take the yiqtal(u) pattern (cf. Segert 1983b:219221; note also the Biblical Hebrew תממְעֹל "it acted unfaithfully" [Lev.5,15] and "I am indignant" [Num. 23,8] where one would expect the yiqtalu pattern).

This pattern of correspondences between the thematic vowel with the second radical and the prefix vowel (thematic $u$ and $i$ taking prefix vowel $a$; thematic $a$ taking prefix $i$ ) is helpful in reconstructing the vocalized forms of the $G$ stem prefix conjugation.

Here are examples of verbal forms from the three $G$ stem patterns (sometimes forms have been used from patterns other than the strong verbs):
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a q t u l}(\mathbf{u})$ - 'amlk ['amluku] "I will reign" (1.6 I,62); 'aqbrnh ['aqburan(n)ahü] "I will bury him" (1.19 III,5,34); 「'arg' mk ['argumukй] "I will tell you' (1.3 IV,13); 'al 'ahdhm ['al 'âhbudhum(ū)?] (< 'al 'a'hudhum( $\bar{u})$ ?) "I will surely take them" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 22$; $1.18 \mathrm{I}, 9$; it is possible that the form is participle, of. Verreet 1983a:228); 'alm ['âlumu] (< 'ahlumu) "I strike" (1.82,8, from the root HLM; cf. TO II, p. 66, n. 182; concerning the absence of the hé cf. supra, p. 34); 'ask ['assuku] ( < 'ansuku, from the root NSK) "I will pour" (1.3 IV,24,29); 'amt ['amūtu, from the root MWT] "I will die" (1.17 VI,38); "anh ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} n^{1}$ ['anūhan(n)a, from the root $\mathcal{N W H}]$ "I will rest" ( $1.6 \mathrm{III}, 18$ ).
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{a q t i l}(\boldsymbol{u})$ - 'abky ['abkiyu, from the root BKY] "I will weep" (1.19 III, $5,20,34$; it is possible that the form is D stem); 'aqny ['aqniyu, from the root QNY] "I will create" (1.14 II,4); 'amgy ['amǵiyu, from the root MG' $]$ ] "I arrive" (1.21 II,7; this may also be D stem); 'atb ['atibu, from the root $Y \underline{T} B]$ "I will sit" ( $1.16 \mathrm{VI}, 38,53$ ); 'ard ['aridu, from the root $Y R D$ ] "I will go down" (1.5 VI,25); 'ašr ['aš̌ru, from the root $\check{S} Y R$ ] "I will sing" ( $1.24,38,40$ ); 'aštk ['ašitukŭ, from the root Šr7] "I will place you (f.s.)" (1.18 IV,17). One may add here the syllabic documentation [i]a-ab-si-ru [yabsiru] "he will cut down" (Ug 5 153,1).

The yaqtil(u) pattern can also express a causative nuance and some of the verbs that appear to have that form might also be D stem since it, too, can have causative meaning (among others). For example: bl nmlk [bal namlik] "shall we not enthrone (Yd'-Ylhn)?" (1.6 I,48; which could be D stem, bal namallik/numallik) and blt nmlk "shall
we will not enthrone (Athtar the Terrible)?" (1.6 I,54); 'am'id ['am'idu] "I will multiply" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 5$; this is most likely D stem, i.e. ['ama" "idu]); tsslmk [tašlimūk$k \bar{\imath}]$ "may they see to your (f.s.) welfare" ( $2.16,6$; this is also most likely D stem, i.e. [tašallimūk $\bar{z} / t u s ̌ a l l i m u ̈ k u ̄]) . ~$
$\boldsymbol{y}$ iqtal(fu) - 'iPak ['iPaku] "I will send" (2.30,20); 'is'al [is'alu] "I will ask" (2.32,4); 'isp'i ['ispa'] "let me eat" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 5$; a jussive form);
 "I will send" (1.24,21); 'ilhm [ilhamu] "I eat" (1.16 VI,18); 'itrm ['itramu] "may I dine" (1.16 VI,18); yr'aš [yir’ašu] "(the horse) suffers from head sickness" ( $1.85,18$; cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:32-35); $y k r^{〔}\left[y i k r a a^{\top} u\right.$ "he knees" (1.10 II,18); ymPu [yimla'u] "(her heart) is full" (1.3 II,25); ytbc [yitba'u] "he departs" (1.16, VI,39); ysp'u [yis$p a^{3} u$ ] "he will eat" (1.103+1.145,51); tqr'u [tiqra'u] "she calls" ( $1.100,8,14 ; 1.107,9,15$ ); ts'ad [tis'adu] "she serves food" (1.17 V,30); 'iqh ['iqqahu, from the root LQH] "I will take" (1.14 IV,41); 'ibǵyh [ibgayuhă, from the root $B G \mathcal{G} Y$ ] "I will seek her out" (1.3 III,29); 'istynh [ ${ }^{2}$ istayan(n)ahă from the root $S$ STY] "I will drink it" (1.4 III, 16); 'istn ['istannŭ/'ištannă] "I may drink it (m.s. or f.s.)" ( $5.9 \mathrm{I}, 16$ ); 'ihd ['ihdâ] (< 'ihdaya?) "I am happy" (2.15,10; cf. Verreet 1983a:229230 and 1988:218-219; TO II, p. 332, n. 22); 'iphn [iphan(n)a] (< 'iphayan(n)a, from the root PHY) "I (will) see" (2.31,39) and "iph' ['iphû] (< 'iphayu) "I (will) see" (1.10 II,32; concerning the root PHY cf. infra, p. 168).

The following are examples of G stem verb forms from the various persons. Due to the limitations of the orthography, many of the vocalizations are not conclusive.

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - Cf. the many examples cited above.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. $-\operatorname{tr}^{\top} g m^{1}$ [targumu] "you shall say" $(2.3,18) ; \operatorname{trp}{ }^{2} a\left[t i r p a a^{3} a\right]$ "may you heal" (1.114,28); thtia [tihta'a] "you sin" (1.169,5; cf. Caquot 1984:167; Verreet 1988:204 and TO II, p. 56, n. 132); trsc [tiř̌'áa] "you sin" (1.169,6; a yqtla form after tht ${ }^{\circ}$ a; this is the only occurrence of the root $R \check{S}$ c in Ugaritic; cf. Caquot and Borderuil 1980:348; Caqout 1984:167-168 and TO II, p. 56, n. 133).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - tubrn [tatburina] "you will break" $(2.72,16)$. Short forms: 'al tdhll(.) ['al tidhati] "do not be afraid!" (2.30,21; contra Pardee [1984:221] who reads 'al twhln "may she not be discourage"); tmhs [timhasī̀ "may you smite" (1.19 IV,39); 'al t'šr ${ }^{1}$ ggn ['al tašrugūn̄̄] "do not deceive me, (O Virgin)!" (1.17 VI,34).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ymPu [yimla'u] "(her heart) is full" (1.3 II,25); ysthq [yişhaqu] "he laughs" ( $1.17 \mathrm{II}, 10$ ); yp ${ }^{\mathrm{c} r}$ [yip ${ }^{\mathrm{c} a r u]}$ "he pronounces (their names)" (1.12 I,28); 「yp'th [yiptahu] "he will open" (1.4 VII,25); ylhm [yilhamu] "he will eat (everything)" (1.115,10; cf. Rainey 1974:194; for the possibility of interpreting the form as $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. cf. Verreet 1988:117
 "he knees" (1.10 II,18); ymr'u [yimra'u] "he will command" (1.4 VII,50); ytrh [yitrahu] "he will pay the bride-price" (1.24,33); ysǵg [ $\nu \mathrm{isg}$ gadu] "he advances" (1.174,1; cf. Bordreuil and Caqout 1980:346); $y s$ stm [yišlam] "may it be well" (2.16,4); yqr'a [yiqra'a] "may he call" (1.4 VII,47). With suffix pronouns: yqr.'un [yiqra'un̄] "he calls me" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 22$ ); y.lmn [yâlumannü?] (< yahlumanh $\breve{\bar{u}}$, from the root HLM; concerning the absence of the hé, cf. supra, p. 34) "he strikes him" (1.114,8); yhmdnh [yahmudan(n)ahü] "(Baal) desires him" (1.92,29).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tttbh [titbahu] "she slaughters" (1.6 I, 18); ts'ad [tis'adu] "she serves food" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 30$ ); tqr'u [tiqra'u] "she calls" (1.107,9,15); ttb" [titba'u] "she departs" (1.16 VI,2); tsp"i [tispa'] "she ate" (1.96,3); tmz' [timza'u] "she rends (the garment of Daniel)" (1.19 I,36; cf. Ginsberg 1969:153); ttukl [tatkulu] "she bereaves" (1.100,61; cf. Astour 1968:22); tšpkm [tašpuku-ma] "she spills" (1.17 VI,15); tmdln [tamdulun(n)a] "she harnesses" ( $1.19 \mathrm{II}, 8$ ). With suffix pronouns: ť̌rpnn [tašrupan(n)annŭ̄] (< tašrupan(n)anhŭu) "she burns him" (1.6 II,33); tqbrnh [taqburan(n)ahŭ] "she buries him" (1.6 I,17).

## Plural

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. — ndbh [nidbahu] "we will sacrifice" (1.40,41); nht'u [nilhta'u] "we are being destroyed(?)" $(2.10,8,10$; for the possibility of interpreting the form as in N stem cf. Gordon 1949:117 and infra, p. 131); nlh ${ }^{\top} m^{1}$ [nilhamu/nilhama] "we eat" (1.23,72); nšmh [nišmahb] "let us be happy" (1.16 I, 14); nt $n$ [nit $a n u]$ "we will thrust" ( $1.10 \mathrm{II}, 24$; cf. Ginsberg 1969:142).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. - thl ${ }^{\text {in }}[$ [tihta' $n$ ă $]$ "you are sinning" (1.40,22,23; for the interpretation "you make purification" cf. TO II, p. 147).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tlhmn [ tilhamūna] "they are eating" (1.114,2); tikln [ta'kulūna] "they are eating" (1.12 I,10); tmtr ${ }^{\top}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ [tamturūna] "(the heavens) rain down" (1.6 III,12); tb'ln [tib'alūna] "they are working" (4.141 III, $6,8,10$ ); tqṣm [tiqsarūna?] "they will be short(?)" (1.103+1.145,33; cf. Verreet 1988:214); 「 $t$ 'šnpn [tašnupūna?] "they offer a šnpt-offer" ( $1.50,6$; this form is probably denominative, cf. Verreet 1988:59). Short forms: thhm [tilhamū] "they eat" (1.22 I,21); trsmh [tišmahū] "may they rejoice" (1.6 I,39); ydm" [yidma" $\bar{u}$ ] "they shed tears" (1.19 IV,12,16; cf. Verreet 1988:69); ${ }^{\top} t^{1} z^{\top} p{ }^{\top} n$ [tazpunū?] "they hided themselves(?)" (1.13,15; perhaps the root $Z P \mathcal{N}$ is related to Biblical Hebrew $S P N ;$ cf. Verreet 1988:73; TO II, p. 25 interprets the form as $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s.).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - thspn [tahsupūna] "they pour (water)" (1.3 II,38; IV,42); $t^{\text {t tq }}$ [ $[$ tatuqūna] "they will pass" (2.36,17; cf. TO II, p. 408, n. 189). A short form: $t$ 'ihd $\left[t a^{\prime} h u d \bar{u}\right]$ "they took" (1.19 I,9).

## DUAL

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. - nmgn [namgunu] "we two would entreat" (1.4 III,33; this could be a D stem form).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - tht an $[$ tihta'ann $]$ "both of you (= the messengers) crushed (/defeated)" (1.4 VIII,20; cf. Margalit 1980:84); t $t^{\text {c }} d b n$ [tádubāni] "both of you prepare" (1.114,13); tmgnn [tamgunāni] "both of you should entreat" ( $1.4 \mathrm{III}, 25$; the form may be D stem). A short form: 'al tqrb ['al tiqrabā] "the two of you must not draw near!" (1.4 VIII,1516).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - Short forms: $t t b^{c}\left[t i t b a^{c} \bar{a}\right]$ "the two (messengers) departed" (1.14 VI,35); tllhk [tilhakā] "(the two tongs) lick (the heavens)" ( $1.83,5$; the form may be D stem); $\operatorname{tr} p^{3} a$ [tirpa' $\left.\bar{a}\right]$ "may (Anat and Athtart) heal (El)" (1.114,28; cf. Rainey 1974:187 and Loewenstamm 1980:380, contra Verreet [1980:224] who interprets the form as $2^{\text {nd }}$ $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s})$.

## Imperative

The thematic vowel of the imperative $\begin{aligned} & \text { was evidently identical to the }\end{aligned}$ thematic vowel in the $y q t\left(u_{l}\right)$ forms. Therefore, one must assume that in Ugaritic, as in the other Semitic languages, there were three basic forms in use in which the vowel of the first radical was identical to the thematic vowel (cf., e.g. the syllabic example from Amarna Canaanite, nu-pu-ul-mì [nupul-mi] "fall down!" [EA 252,25]). Thus, the forms of the imperative in Ugaritic must have been qatal, qutul and qitil. It is naturally difficult to discern the vowels of imperative forms. According to verbs with first aleph, it would appear that the imperative forms included a vowel with the first radical (rather than shewa as in Biblical Hebrew). So, for example, 'at ['atî] (<'ataw $\bar{n}$ /'atay $\bar{\imath}$ ) "come! (f.s.)" (1.6 II,12; cf. Blau 1977a:30-31, contra Ullendorf [1978:22*] who saw this form as an independent $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. pronoun); ${ }^{5}{ }^{2}{ }^{1} 1$ rs [ ['iris] "request!" ( 1.17 VI,27; cf." Blau 1977a:30-31 and 1985:293; for the possibility that this might be a D stem imperative, cf. infra, p. 136). The following are some examples of imperative forms:

## Singular

$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - rgm [rugum] "say!" (2.4,2); hlm [hulum] "smite!" (1.2 IV,21) and hlmn [huluman(n)a] "smite!" (1.18 IV,22); pth [patah] "open!" (1.23,70); Pak [la'ak] "send!" (2.10,10); rkb [rakab] "mount!" (1.14 II,21); ' $h^{1} r g$ [hurug] "kill!" (1.13,5; cf. TO II, p. 22, n. 8); špl [šapal] "lower yorself!" (1.161,22); qr'a [qara'a] "call'out!" (1.161,4,5,6,7,8; this is evidently an imperative with the volitive $-a$ but orthographically it could also be a qtl form, cf. supra, p. 114). With suffix pronouns: ‘ $q r{ }^{1}$ 'an $[q a r a ' a n(n) \bar{i}]$ "call me!" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 23$; this form may be energic without a suffix pronoun, i.e. [qara'an(n)a]).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - lhm [lahamī] "eat!" (1.4 IV,35); s'ad [sa'adi] "serve food!" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 20$ ); t tbh [tabahī] "slaughter!" (1.16 VI,17).

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - $\quad l h^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} m^{1}$ [lahamū] "eat!" (1.23,6, following Ginsberg 1936:79); ' $d b$ ['adabū] "prepare!" (1.23,54; for the possibility that this might be a noun cf. TO I, p. 376 , n. $k$ ).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. - The only example of an imperative in $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. is in the
following phrase: $\check{s} m^{c}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i^{1} h t k^{\top} \underline{t r}^{r}[t]$ "hear, O goddesses, Kotharot!" $(1.24,11)$ where we would have expected ${ }_{5}^{5} m^{\prime} n$. The form could possibly be an absolute infinitive (i.e. [šama $\left.\bar{a}^{-} u\right]$ ) serving as an infinitive (cf. discussion further on), but it is also possible that the form of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. imperative did not have a $-n$ suffix (unlike Hebrew and Arabic); it may have been like Akkadian and Aramaic, thus, sama ${ }^{\text {c }} \bar{a}$ (cf. Gordon 1965:77).

## DUAL

$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - hbr [huburā̄] "(both of you) bow down!" (1.4 VIII,28; concerning the root $H B R$ in Ugaritic and in Biblical Hebrew, cf. Blau 1957:183-184; for the Arabic identical verb cf. Renfroe 1992:42-45); rgm [rugumä] "(both of you) speak!" (1.3 III,11); tb" [taba‘ā] "(both of you) depart!" (1.5 II,8).

## Active Participle

Singular
Masculine - 'ahd ydy ['āhidu yadiva] "(he who) holds my hand" (1.17 II,19); $s^{3} i b$ [ $[\breve{a} \vec{a} i b u]$ "(the one who) draws (water)" $(4.609,15)$. With
 II,3); n'isy [näisiya] "(he who) reviles me" (1.17 II,18); sp'u [sāpi ${ }^{2} u$ ] "(the one who) eats" ( $1,17 \mathrm{II}, 21 ; 1.20 \mathrm{II}, 10$; cf. further on for the absolute infinitive); nǵr [nägiru] "guard" (1.23,68,69,73; cf. the syllabic attestation ${ }^{\mathrm{LU}}$ na-hi-ru [PRU VI 136,9]).

Feminine - qrit [qāri'tu] "(she) is calling" (1.100,2; cf. Verreet 1983a:241; for the possibility of interpreting it as a qtl form cf. Levine and de Tarragon 1984:652); qnyt [qāniyatu] "(the one who) creates, creatress" (1.4 I,22; III,26,30; from the root QNX; rhpt [rāhip $p(a) t u]$ "she hovers(?)" (1.108,8; cf. Rainey 1974:188; contra Pardee [1988:105] who interprets it as a qtl form of G or D stem).

Plural
Masculine - mhsm [mähisüma] "smiters" (4.99,15; 4.124,1); mkrm [mäkirūma] "sellers, merchants" (4.126,9); nqdm [nāqidūma] "herdsmen" (4.126,5); 'aklm ['äkilūma] "eaters" (1.12 I,26); yssrm [yāsirū̄ma, from the root $1 \backslash S R]$ "potters" (4.99:11; 4.126,28; it is confirmed by the syllabic form ia-ṣi-ru-ma [PRU III, p. $195 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{I,12]);} \mathrm{nskm} \mathrm{[nāsikūma}$, from the root $\mathcal{N S K}$ ] "pourers" (4.43,4; 4.337,3; the form could also be a noun in the qattal form).

Feminine - šibt [šā̀ibātu] "drawers (of water)" (1.12 II,59); b/kyt [bākiyātu] "weepers, mourning women" (1.19 IV,9-10; from the root BKY).

## Passive Participle

There are very few forms that can be considered as passive participles. The form of these participles can be qaal (as in Biblical Hebrew and Aramaic [in Aramaic it has become $q^{p}[\overline{\imath l}]$ ), or it can be qatil (like the verbal adjective in Akkadian), or it can be qatūl (as in Biblical Hebrew and in the Canaanite of the Amarna tablets; cf. \ha-mu-du [hamüdu] "desirable" [EA 138:126]).

In the syllabic texts from Ugarit one finds ha-ri-mu "divided" (Ug 5137 II, $39^{\prime}, 40^{\prime}, 42^{\prime}$; from the root $H R M$. If this is really a passive participle, then the reconstructed form would be either [hanimu] or [harimu]. But it could be an active participle, i.e. [hārimu]. Also the form ${ }^{\text {LU' }}$ a-síri ['asīi/'asir] "prisoner" (PRU III, p. 8,24) may be either qaanl or qatil.

It would seem that qatūl also served as a passive participle in Ugaritic. Thus may be adduced from the alphabetic form Puk [la'ūku] "sent", "an envoy" (2.17,4; cf. Gordon 1965:426; Segert 1983b:220 n. 16; Ullendorf 1978:*22b). The following are passive participles:

Masculine singular - Puk [la'ūku] "sent", "an envoy" (2.17,4; contra TO II, p. 306, n. 7 which interprets it as a qutul form); ${ }^{\top} k$ l $l y$ [kalūyu/katyyu/kaliyu] "used up" ( $1.16 \mathrm{III}, 13$; from the root $K L Y$ ); spy [sapūyu/sapīy/sapiyu] "plated, overlaid" (2.79,10; from the root SPP; the form could be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. or m.pl. of qtl, viz. [supaya] "it was plated" or [sapayū] "they plated"); 'uzr ['uzūru?] (<'azūru?) "girded" (1.17 I,2,7 and passim.; cf. Sanmartín 1977:369-370 and Dietrich and Loretz 1978:65-66); brr [barūru/barīru/bariru] "(the king) is purified" ( $1.41,7$; cf. TO II, p. 154, n. 45). With suffix pronoun: sbyn [šabū̄unŭ/̆ă, šabīyun̄̆/̆/a or šabiyunŭ/ă] "our prisoner(?)" (1.2 IV,30; from the root $\breve{S} B 1$ ).
 I,32); perhaps also ${ }^{\top} b^{1} r^{\top}{ }^{\mathrm{k} t \mathrm{~m}^{1}}$ [barūk(a)tu-ma/barkk(a)tu-ma/barik(a)tu-ma] "blessed" (1.19 IV,32; cf. Gordon 1965:78 and Ginsberg 1969:155).

Feminine plural - bnwot [banūweātu] (< banūyātu?) "creatures, created things" ( $1.6 \mathrm{III}, 5,11$; from the root $B N Y$; the waw may have developed here as a glide); spyt [sapūyātu/sapīȳ̄tu/sapiyātu] "plated, overlaid" (4.167,2; from the root $S P Y$ ).

There were apparently some passive participles built on the maqtūl pattern（as in Arabic），for example $m^{\prime} i^{r} h d^{1} \quad\left[m a^{\prime} h \bar{u} d u\right]$＂plated＂ （4．172，6）；md／d［môdūdu／mêdūdu］（＜mawd̄̄̄du／maydū̄du）＂beloved， friend＂（1．4 VIII，23－24；the form could also be maqtal；cf．Biblical Hebrew טֵירָד［Num．11：26，27］）．Feminine singular：mddt［môdūdatu／ mêdūdatu］＂beloved，friend＂（1．14 II，50；IV，28）；mtrht［matrū̆h（a）tu］ ＂（purchased）bride＂（ $1,14 \mathrm{I}, 13 ; 1.24,10$ ；the form could be a D stem passive participle）；mhritt［mahruüt $\underline{a}$ a）tu］＂ploughed（land）＂（1．6 IV，3，14）．

## Infinitive

Ugaritic has both an absolute and a construct infinitive．These are identical in their form and vocalization．They are expressed by the qatālu or the qitlu form（qitlu is used in Biblical Hebrew לְשְְׂ＂to resist him＂［Zach．3：1］，בְבְגדוֹֹבָּה＂while dealing deceitfully with her＂ ［Exod．21：8］；it is also used in Arabic as masdar，cf．Blau and Greenfield 1970：17）．The following are examples：
$w y q r b \quad b$ s＇al krt＂and he drew near while asking［bi－ša＇āli］Keret＂ （1．14 I，37－38）；Pakm＇iPak［la＇āku－ma＇iPaku］＂I will surely send＂ （2．30，19－20）；and likewise in the syllabic documentations：＇$h a{ }^{\top}-r a-$ šu ［harātū］＂to plough＂（Ug 5137 III，18）；ba－ta－qú［batāqu］＂cut off＂（Ug 5137 II， 38 ＇；contra Huehnergard［1987：88］who reads ba－tá－lu）；pí－ $i t-\left\ulcorner r u ̀{ }^{1}[p i t r u]\right.$＂to divide＂（Ug $5137 \mathrm{III}, 2$ ）；ni－ih̆－rù［nigru］＂to guard＂ （Ug $5137 \mathrm{I}, 1 l^{\prime}$ ）．

The following are the functions of the absolute and the construct infinitive．It is clear that in functions documented here，the infini－ tives of other verbal stems can also serve．

## The Absolute Infinitive

The absolute infinitive emphasizes a finite verb and precedes it，e．g．： ǵm＇u ǵmit［ǵamā ${ }^{3}$ ǵami glā］＂are you verily thirsty？＂（1．4 IV，34）；rǵb rǵbt［rağăbu rağibt̄̄］＂are you verily hungry？＂（1．4 IV，33）；mzl ymzl ［mazālu yamzulu］＂verily does he suffer（？）＂（1．14 IV，25；cf．Ginsberg 1946：34）；škr tskr［šakāru taskuru］＂verily she will hire（？）＂（1．14 IV，22－ 23）；「＇ad ${ }^{\top} m$ t＇idm［＇adāmu ti＇dam？］＂she did indeed rouge（herself）＂ （1．19 IV，42；cf．Pardee 1975：376，nn．69，70）；forms with the addi－ tion of enclitic mem：Pakm＇iPak［la＇āku－ma＇iPaku］＂I will surely send＂ （2．30，19－20）；hmdm yhmdm［hamādu－ma yahmudu－ma］＂verily he will covet＂（1．12 I，38）；yd ${ }^{\kappa} m l y d^{\kappa} t\left[y a d \bar{a} \bar{c}^{〔} u-m a ~ l \bar{a} ~ y a d a^{〔} t \ddot{a}\right]$＂verily you（m．s．） knew not＂$(2.39,14)$ ．Forms from middle weak verbs：$b^{\prime} u t b^{3} u[b \vec{u} u /$ $b \vec{a} ’ u$ tabūu］＂verily she comes＂（1．16 VI，3）；šr yšr šr［šăru／š̌rru yašru
sirra] "verily he will sing a song" (1.106,15-16); with the addition of enclitic mem: mtm 'amt [mätu-ma/mūtu-ma 'amūtu] "verily I will die" (1.17 VI,38).

There seems to be a lone example in Ugaritic literature in which the absolute infinitive follows its finite verb: $y s p^{\prime} i{ }^{\prime} s p^{\prime} u\left[y i s p a a^{\prime} s a p a \vec{a} u\right]$ "verily he ate" ( $1.20 \mathrm{II}, 10$ ). Actually, the form $s p$ ' $u$ may be the participle $\left[s \bar{a} p i^{2} u\right]$ and the meaning of the clause is "the eater ate".

The absolute infinitive can serve as a finite verb (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:366, contra Marcus $1969: 55-61$ and 1970b:64-74). The same function is found in the Amarna letters from Canaan (cf. Rainey 1996 II:383-390), in Phoenician (cf. Huesman 1956:271-284) and in
 "and epistles were sent" [Esth. 3,13]; ; וְדֶפְּדֹד דוּא "and it was reversed" [Esth. 9,1]). Typical of these constructions is the lack of agreement between the subject (person, gender, number) and the verb form that precedes it, since the infinitive is a non-finite form. The infinitive in these constructions always precedes its subject.

The following examples are taken mainly from the strong verbs with some from weak verbs as the occasion demands:
šmh btlt ' $n t$ [šamāhu batūll(a)tu 'anatu] "the Virgin Anat rejoiced" (1.4
 Ashera of the Sea rejoiced" (1.4 II,28-29); ṣhq btlt ' $n t$ [șahāqqu batūul(a)tu 'anatu] "the Virgin Anat laughed" (1.4 V,25); w pth 「 $h^{1} w$ [patăhu huwa] "and he opened" $\left(1.23,70\right.$; it also can be taken as $q t l 3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.); htt"u hw [hatä'u huwa] "he is crushed(?)" (1.6 II,23; KTU², p. 26 reads $\langle n\rangle h t^{\prime} u h w$; if this is the case then the form may be considered as absolute infinitive or participle of N stem); $t b^{c}{ }^{\text {' }}$ ank [tabāa ${ }^{\text {c }} u$ 'anākü] "I departed" (2.17,6); ${ }^{5}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} r k y d$ ' $i l$ ['arāku yadu 'ili] "the 'hand' of El grew long" (1.23,34); 'bdnn 'ank <k> 'imr b py ['adābun(n)annйй 'anākŭu $k a{ }^{-}{ }^{\prime}$ immari/'immiri bi-pp $\bar{z} a$ a "I made him as a lamb in my mouth" (1.6 II,22; for examples of absolute infinitives with energic suffixes cf. Verreet 1988:95); ' $m$ ' ${ }^{g} y$ hy [maǵāyu hiya] "she arrived" ( $2.31,45$ );
 (1.15 II, 11 ; from the root MǴn); Pa šmm [la'â šamûma] (< la'äyu) "the heavens became strong' ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 25$; from the root $L$ ' 1 ); ' $n$ rbt 'atrt $y m$ ['anâ rabbatu'atir (a)tu yammi] "the Lady Ashera of the Sea answered ['anâ] (<'anāyu)" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 53$; from the $\operatorname{root}{ }^{'} \mathcal{N} Y$ ).

It happens that in the same sentence a scribe used forms from one root, once in a finite form and once as an infinitive, e.g.:

the soldiers, prepares [ $\left.t^{a} a^{a} a r u\right]$ tables for the soldiers" (1.3 II,20-
22; for a different translation cf. Cassuto 1965:64).
The infinitive may have served for the imperative in Ugaritic, but we do not have clear cut examples. A doubtful one is:
$\operatorname{sm}^{c}{ }^{\text {「 }}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} l h t k^{\top} \underline{\underline{r}}{ }^{1}[t]$ "hear, O goddesses, Kotharot!" $(1.24,11)$.
Since comparison with other Semitic languages indicates that the imperative should have been ${ }^{*}{ }_{s} m^{c} n$, it is possible here that $\breve{s} m^{c}$ is a command expressed by the infinitive (i.e. $\breve{s a m a} \bar{a}^{-} u$ ). At the same time, there is the possibility that $\breve{s m}^{c}$ is a $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. imperative without the $n$-suffix (cf. Akkadian and Aramaic; furthermore cf. p. 121).

## The Construct Infinitive

The construct infinitive appears as a bound form with its subject or its object, and in these instances, the noun stands in the dependent case (genitive).

The construct infinitive may serve as the gerund, e.g.:
hlk ktr $k y^{c} n$ [halāka/hilka kôtari kî-ya'īnu] "the going of Kothar he verily sees" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 10-11$ ).
hlk b'l'atrt $k$ t'n [halāka/hilka ba‘li 'ativi(a)tu kî-ta'īnu] "the going of Baal Ashera verily sees" (1.4 II,13-14).
The construct infinitive can express a circumstantial adverbial complement dependent on the preposition $b-[b i-]$. Thus, e.g.:
 her eyes, then she saw" ( $1.4 \mathrm{II}, 12$ ).
w yqrb b sal krt [wa-yiqrab bi-ša’āli karta] "and he drew near while asking Keret" (1.14 I,37-38).
$b d^{\top} m^{\text {lc }} n^{c} m n$ ǵlm [bi-damã́i/bi-dim'i námāni ǵalmi/ǵulami] 'swile the goodly lad wept" (1.14 II,8).
$b^{\mathbf{r}} d^{\mathbf{l}} m^{c} h\left[b i-d a m \bar{a} i \hbar \bar{u} /{ }^{\prime} / b i-d i m^{c} i h \bar{u}\right]$ "during his weeping" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 32$ ).
 ascendant" (1.163,12; from the root ' $L 1$ ).
b yssih $\left[m\right.$ ] [bi-yasa $\left.\vec{a} i h u m(\bar{u}) / b i-y i s^{\prime} i h u m(\bar{u})\right]$ "with their going out" (3.8,9; from the root $Y S^{\circ}$ ).

With enclitic mem:
bkm tṣmd phll [bakâ-ma/bikâ-ma tasmudu pahla/puhāla] "while weeping she saddles a young ass" ( $1.19 \mathrm{II}, 9$ ), this time without the preposition.
The construct infinitive can express goal or purpose, usually dependent on the preposition $l-$ [ $l e-]$. Note the following examples: $n p \check{h} l$ llhm tpth [napsăăŭ le-lahāmi/le-lihmi tiptahu] "she opens his
throat (appetite) to eat" (1.16 VI, 11).
'ap 'ilm $l<l>h[m]$ ytdb ['appa 'ilūma le-lahāmi/le-lihmi yatabū] "now the gods were sitting to eat" (1.2 $1,20-21$ ).
$l \mathrm{lhm} l$ šty shhtkm [le-lahāmi/le-liḥmi le-sáatāyi/ll-šityi sahtukum $(\bar{u}) /$ ṣihtu$k u m(\bar{u})$ ] "to eat and to drink have I called you" (1.15 IV,27; V,10; VI,4).
 draw water" (1.16 I,51), this time without the preposition.

## The Gp Stem

The Ugaritic orthography does not permit the unequivocal identification of forms from the Gp stem, the passive of G stem (cf. Marcus 1970a:102-111). Since there is not documentations of any $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. forms, the prefix vowel remains unattested in the Ugaritic consonantal texts. Furthermore, most of the alleged forms of Gp could also be interpreted as $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. with indefinite subject (cf. Verreet 1985:324-329). They could likewise be considered as forms of the N stem. In the following examples, the vocalizations are in conformance with the evidence from Biblical Hebrew, Arabic and the Amarna letters from Canaan (cf. Joüon and Muraoka 1991:166168 and Rainey 1996 II:75-76):
$l y \mathrm{rgm} l^{\prime}{ }^{a}{ }^{2} i^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{yn}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} b^{c} l(1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 12)$ "may it be said [yurgamu or yirragimu ( N stem)] to Mighty Baal" or (less likely) "may they say [ ${ }^{2}$ argumū] to Mighty Baal".
$k s p y^{c} d b(1.50,11)$ "silver will be prepared [ $y u^{c} d a b u$ or $y i^{\text {ce }} a d i b u(\mathrm{~N}$ stem)]", but it might be "they will prepare $\left[\gamma a^{c} d u b \bar{u}\right]$ silver" (cf. Verreet 1988:112).
$t^{t} d b k s^{\prime} u(1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 46)$ "a chair was prepared $\left[t u^{c} d a b\right.$ or $t i^{\text {cc }} a d i b(\mathrm{~N}$ stem)]".
w l ll $t^{c \varsigma} r^{1}[k] k s^{\prime} u$ (1.106,27-28) "a chair was arranged [tu'rak or $t^{i c}$ arik ( N stem)] for Ll". (These latter two examples show that $k s^{2} u$ "chair" is the subject of the respective verbs; it is feminine as in Akkadian and in Phoenician).
$y^{\top} p t h^{1}$ hln $b$ bhtm ( 1.4 VII,17) "a window will be opened [yuptahu or yippatihu ( N stem)] in the house(s)" or (less likely) "they will open [yiptahū] a window in the house(s)".
$k$ tPakn glmm (1.4 V,42-43) "when the two lads are sent [tulPakāni]" (cf. Rainey 1996 II:75-76, but this could also be passive D stem) or "when they send [tiPaküna] the two lads" (cf. Verreet 1988:226).
$h m$ qrt t'uhd $(1.127,30)$ "if the city was taken [tu'had]" or "if they took [ta'hud $\bar{u}]$ the city" (cf. Verreet 1988:234 and Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:34 n. 199).
hrdn yhpk $l$ mlk ( $1.103+1.145,52$ ) "our hrd will (then) become [yuhpaku or yihhapiku (N stem)] king" or "they will make [yahpuk $\bar{u}]$ our h.hrd king" (cf. further $U g 7$, p. 57; it seems less likely to interpret the $-n$ as an emphatic element or as $n$-apodiseos and not a pronominal suffix, cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990a:106 and n. 15).
whm 'al ${ }^{\prime} p^{1} l t s^{\kappa} n m s m$ tmkm (3.8,13-16) "and if the thousand (men) do not travel, they will be sold [tumkarūna or timmakiüña ( N stem)] to Egypt".
${ }^{5} t{ }^{\prime}$ 'snpn $(1.50,6)$ "they are sacrificed [tušnapūna or tišssanipūna (N stem)] (cf. TO II, p. 171; the form can also be interpreted with an active meaning, viz. [tašnupūna] "they sacrifice").
Examples from weak roots:
First yod Verbs:
 Baal like the gods" or "may they give [yatinū] a house to Baal like the gods" (from the root $r T M$ ).
mlbs' ytn lhm (4.168,7-8) "clothing has been given [yûtan] to them" or "they gave [yatan $\bar{u} /$ yatin $\bar{u}]$ clothing to them".
'ap qšth ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{l}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r} t \mathrm{t}^{\top} n}$ ly (1.19 I,16-17) "also his bow was not given [la $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\vec{a} t \mathrm{tan}\end{array}\right]$ to me" or "they did not give [ $l \vec{a}$ tatinin $\left.\bar{u}\right]$ his bow to me".
$k$ yld bn ly km 'ahy (1.17 II,14-15) "for a son is born [ŷladu] to me like my brothers" (from the root $Y L D$ ).
rgm $l$ ' il $y b l(1.23,52,59)$ "word was brought [yubal] to El " or "they brought [yabalū/yabilū] word to El" (from the root $Y B L$ ). $y s q \quad b$ 'aph $(1.85,6)$ "(and the remedy) should be poured [yussaqu/yûsaqu] into his nose" (cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:18) or "they should pour [yasiqū] (the remedy) into his nose" (from the root $r S Q)$.
First nun Verbs:
spsg ysk [l] ${ }^{〔} r^{1}$ is ( 1.17 VI,36-37) "glaze was poured [yussak] (<yunsak) on the head" or "they poured [yassukū] glaze on the head" (from the root NSK or from 1 TSK or SWK).
Third yod/waw Verbs:
ybn bt l b'l km 'ilm (1.4 IV,62-V,1) 'let a house be built [yubnê] (< yubnay) for Baal like the gods" or "let them build [yabnû]
(< yabniy $\bar{u}$ ) a house for Baal like the gods", and the verb could also be N stem (i.e. [yibbani] [< yinbaniy $]$ ).
bhth tbnn (1.4 VI,16) "his house(s) will be built [tubnûna] (< tubnayūna)" or "they will build [tabnûna] (<tabniyūna) his house(s)", (the form could have been N stem, i.e. [tibbanûna] $[<$ tinbaniy $\bar{a}-$ $n a]$ ).
$t \underline{t}$ mrkbt mlk d l spy (4.167,5-6) "three chariots of the king that were not overlaid [supayä/ supiy $\bar{a}$ ? ] (cf. Gordon 1965:88) or "three chariots of the king that they have not overlaid [sapayu]" (from the root $S P Y$; the form could also be $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. from the D stem [sappiyü]).
Thus also with regard to the sentence:
spy $b$ hrs $n^{〔} m m ~(2.79,10)$ "... it was overlaid [supaya] with choice gold" or "...they overlaid [sapayū/sappiyū] with choice gold" (cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:307; for another interpretation cf. supra, p. 122).

## The Gt Stem

This stem generally is reflexive of the G stem. It is characterized by the addition of a $t$-infix after the first radical. It survives in Biblical Hebrew in the two place names צֶuְn (Jos. 15:33; 19:41)


 On the other hand, Gt is known in Aramaic, Arabic and there are a few traces in Phoenician ( $[K A I$ 1,2]) and in Moabite (e.g. ואלהחם [Mesha ${ }^{\text {c inscription, line 19]). }}$

In Ugaritic the object of a Gt verb usually precedes it, but there are a few instances when the object follows the verb. Thus, e.g.:
mhmsst $y^{\prime}$ itsp $r s^{4} p p^{1}$ "the fifth, Resheph gathered up (to himself)" (1.14 1,18-19).
ytmr b'l bnth "Baal beholds his daughters" (1.3 I,22-23).
qtl
Hoftijzer (197la:361-364) followed by Tropper (1990b:373) saw that in Ugaritic there are two attested forms of Gt $q l l$ from the root $\breve{S} R$ "to remain", viz.:
'išt ir "It remained [išsta'ira] (in the fields)" (1.18 IV,15).
'arb' 'క̌rr šmn d lqht t tl'ǵdy w kd 'ište ir 'm qrt "fourteen (jars) of oil which Tlǵdy has taken and (one) jar remained ['ista'ira] for the
city" (4.290,1-3; for the view that 'išl ir was the name of a type of wine, cf. Loewenstamm 1980:81-82; for the view that it is a kind of food, cf. de Moor 1965:361 or a kind of commodity, cf. Pardee 1976:250).
If Hoftijzer's proposal is correct, then the Gt $q t l$ has a prosthetic aleph and a thematic $i$-vowel (cf. Sivan 1990b:311-313).
yqtl
The prefix vowel is $i$ (cf. examples below). The thematic vowel is hard to determine. The only verb in which we have documentation for the thematic vowel is $\breve{S}^{\prime} L$ "to ask", and the attested forms are tst ${ }^{2} i l$ and $y s t{ }^{\prime t} a l$. These examples show both $i$ and $a$. The inventory of other Semitic languages is not uniform. In some languages the thematic vowel of Gt ygtl is $i$ (as in Aramaic) and there are others where it is $a$ (as in Arabic). Seemingly, the Ugaritic evidence points to both $i$ and $a$.

The form that supports thematic $i$ is $t s_{s}{ }^{t} i l$ [ $\left.t i \check{s} t{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} i l u\right]$ "you will investigate, take an interest" (2.17,15; cf. Rainey 1987:395). The context is badly broken and the whole tablet is in bad shape. The editors of $K T U$ separated the components of the word, ts't ' $i l$ "you will place, O El" (a suggestion that does not help the understanding of the text; in the second edition [1995] the editors read tstil, namely one word). Therefore, this is not a firm context on which to base the thematic vowel.

The form that supports an $a$-vowel is yšt"al [yista"alu] "he will inquire" $(2.42,23 ; 2.70,12 ; 2.71,10)$. In all three instances the context is solid and the meaning is perfectly clear. Some recognized here the true thematic vowel (Blau 1979a:61-62; and cf. Amarna $y i$-is-ta-al [EA 280,25]). There is no cause to take these forms as Dt (i.e. tD with metathesis) as Huehnergard (1985:402) suggested, or to see them as a passive Gt as proposed Rainey (1971a:167; 1987:395) following the Arabic; nor can it be taken as an $a$-vowel that developed from original i -vowel under the influence of the aleph (cf. Herdner 1978:77).

After all that has been said above, it would seem that the evidence is not sufficient to make a definitive judgment about the Gt yqtl thematic vowel. Therefore, in all the proposed reconstructions given below, both possibilities will be given (cf. further Sivan 1990b:311-312 and Tropper 1990b:371-373). The following are examples from yqt:

Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'ihtrš ['ihtarašu/'ihtarišu] "I will perform sorcery" (1.16
 ['istaqala/'istaqila) "I may enter" (1.100,72; the root is $\check{S} Q L$ and not QrL in the Št stem; cf. Greenfield 1979:327; Loewenstamm 1984: 357-358; Tsumura 1974:412 n. 54; contra Segert 1984:199 and Pardee 1988:59).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. $-t m t^{〔} h s^{1}[$ timtahasu/timtahisu $]$ "you will smite" (1.6 VI,24-25).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-y^{\prime}$ itmr [ $n^{{ }^{3}}$ tamaru/yitaminu] "he views (a burnished sword)" (1.2 I,32; for the suggestion that this form is $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$., cf. Watson 1981:191) and ytmr [ŷtamaru/ŷ̂tamiru or yittamaru/yittamiru] (< yitamaru/yitamiru) "he views (his daughters)" (1.3 I,22; cf. Rainey 1996 II:93); yrths [yirtahasu/yirtahisu] "he washes himself" (1.14 III,52); y'itsp [ $n^{2}$ tasap/yi'tasip] "(the pestilence) gathered in" (1.14 I,18); y ${ }^{2}$ ittm [ in $^{\top}$ tatatau/yi'tatimu] "he is guilty" (4.398,5); ' $y^{\prime} i^{\top}{ }^{1} t b d$ [yi'tabadu/yi'tabidu] "he will be lost" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 24$ ); yštql [yistaqalu/yištaqilu] "he enters" (1.100,68; 1.114,17); yštkn [yistakanu/yistakinu] "he will establish (a land of sovereignty)" (1.4 VII,44; the form might be from the root $K W N$, in that case the form has to be interpreted as a Št stem, but it is less likely, cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1974:47-53).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tmths [timtahasu/timtahisis] "she fights" ( $1.3 \mathrm{II}, 5-6$ ); thts $b$ [tihhtasabu/tihtasibu] "she struggles" (1.3 II,6); tstql [tistaqalu/tistaqilu] "she enters" ( $1.3 \mathrm{II}, 18$ ); tpt $r^{r} r^{c}[$ tiptara'u/tiptaric $u]$ "she washes herself" ( $1.13,19$; cf. TO II, p. 25 and n. 26; contra $K T U^{2}$ which reads $t k r^{\prime}$ ) and with the energic suffix: tmtḩs [timtahasan(n)a/ timtahisan(n)a] "she fights" (1.3 II,23).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - tittmn [ti'tatamūna/ti'tatimūna] "they will be guilty" (4.398,2,3; cf. Sanmartín 1978:351).

Dual
 down in childbirth" ( $1.23,58$; cf. TO I, p. 376 , n. $h$ ).

## Imperative

The imperative has a prosthetic ['i-] as can be demonstrated from

form is wtqǵ [wa-t(t)aqaǵ/wa-t(t)aqig] (< wa-'ivetaqaǵ/wa-'izutaqiǵ or wa-'iytaqaǵ/wa-'iytaqig) "and attune (the ear)!" (1.16 VI,30,42; for another explanation that sees this form as being $G$ stem from the root $T Q G \dot{G}$, cf. Oberman 1946:244 n. 25 and Blau 1977b:71). In another context, the scribe chose to use the jussive instead of the imperative, thus: $t^{\top} r t^{l} h s$ [tirtahas/tirtahis] "wash yourself!" $(1.14 \mathrm{II}, 9)$.

## The N Stem

The forms from this stem are few and difficult to interpret. The characteristic feature of the stem is the [ $n$-] morpheme which is preserved in the qtl conjugation and on the participle; it assimilates to the first radical in the yqtl conjugation.

## $q t l$

These forms begin with the [na-] morpheme as can be seen in the syllabic attestation of the $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. na-ap-ta-ru [naptarū] "they exchanged" (PRU III, p. 89,5; cf. Huehnergard 1987:167). The same morpheme is preserved in Biblical Hebrew (כוֹרַד [ $<$ nawlada] [Eccl. 4:14], נָּבֶ [< nasbaba] [Jos. 18:14], etc.) and the Amarna letters from Canaan as seen in the forms na-az-a-qu $\left[n a z^{\prime} a q \bar{u}\right]$ "they hastened" (EA 366,25), na-aq-sa-ap-ti [naqsapiti] "I am upset" (EA 93,4-5) and $n a-a q-s a-p u$ [naqsapū] "they were angry" (EA 82,51; cf. Rainey 1996 II:376-377). The following are examples from Ugarit in the alphabetic script and in the syllabic documentation:

Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - nšh [našlaha] "he was sent" $(2.34,14)$; nškh [naskaha] "it was found" (2.38,15; cf. Pardee 1976:289 and n. 634); nplt [naplata] "he was saved" (2.82,4; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:359; Pardee 1984:222); nkly [naklaya] "It was used up" (4.213,24; from the root KLY).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - nlqht [nalqahat] "it was taken" $(4.659,1)$.
Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - nht'u [nahta' $\left.\bar{u}\right]$ "they have been crushed" $(2.10,10 ; \mathrm{cf}$. Gordon 1949:117; it could also be $1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. of G stem, cf. p . 118); syllabic attestation: na-ap-ta-ru [naptarū] "they exchanged" (PRU III, p. 89,5; cf. Huehnergard 1987:167).

## yqtl

Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. 'ilhmn [illahiman(n)a] (< 'inlahiman $n$ n]a) "let me make war" ( $2.82,20$; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:360; the root LHM in this same meaning appears in mlhmt [malham(a)tu] "war" [1.3 III,15; IV,8,28]).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tmkm [timmakirūna] (< tinmakirüna) "they will be sold" ( $3.8,16$; the form could also be Gp stem).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - tntkn [tinnatikūna] "(the tears) are poured out" (1.14 I,28; from the root NTK).

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - ymshhn [yimmasihāni] (< yinmasihīani) "they tread on each other" (1.6 VI,20); thgrn [tihhagìāni] (< tinhagirāni) "both of them are girded" $(1.23,17)$.

## Imperative

There are no attestations for the imperative; however, the jussive is used, thus:
${ }^{\top} t 1 r t h s ̣$ w t'adm rhs $\left.[y]\right]^{\top} d k{ }^{1}$ 'amt "wash yourself and redden [ti"adim] (< tin'adim) yourself, wash your hands up to the forearm!" (1.14 II,9-10).

## Participle

Singular
Masculine - nkbd [nakbadu] "honored" (1.39,2; for another reading, cf. Herdner 1963:118 and also $K T U^{2}$, p. 74); npzl [napzalu?] "?" ( $1.169,15$; the root $P Z L$ is unknown elsewhere, perhaps it should be compared with Biblical Hebrew PSSL "to split"; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:348 and TO II, p. 59, n. 154).

## Infinitive

The syllabic attestations show that the infinitive forms are naqtalu. Thus, na-ap-ṭá-\ra [naptara] "exchange, to exchange" (PRU III, p. 80,14-15; cf. Huehnergard 1987:167); with Akkadian pronoun: na-


## The D Stem

This stem expresses factitive, causative, and intensive (cf. Blau 1970a:228-233). This was certainly the situation in Ugaritic.

## $q t l$

From the syllabic attestations it has been established that the vowels of this pattern are $a$ after the first radical and thematic $i$ after the middle radical, i.e. qattila. Note the form šal-li-ma [šallima] "he paid" (Ug 5 96,1,16,18,21,23) and ša-li-ma [šallima] (Ug $596,3,5,8$ ). This information can shed light on the problem of the vocalization of the D stem suffix conjugation in the Northwest Semitic languages (cf. Blau 1971b:152-158; Izre‘el 1976:60-64; Huehnergard 1992:216218; Rainey 1996 II:310-311). Since the vocalization of the D stem is the same as that of the H or $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stems, it is possible to determine the vocalization for Ugaritic $\check{S}$ stem suffix conjugation forms (cf. infra, p. 138, and Huehnergard 1987:182). The following are examples of the D stem qtt:

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - hast [hassirtй] "I took away" (1.6 II, 17; for this root in G stem, cf. supra, p. 113).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-l$ slm [lā šallima] "he did not pay" $(4.755,1) ; l$ 'abd [lā 'abbida] "he did not caused to be destroyed" (1.2 IV,3; cf. TO I, p. 134, n. $e$; for another explanation cf. Ginsberg 1936:75-76).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - sslm [šallimū] "they paid" $(4.342,5)$.
Dual
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - mgntm [maggintuma $]$ "have the two of you entreated?" (1.4 III, 30; the form could also be G stem).
yqtl
It is difficult to identify D stem forms because their consonantal structure is identical to the G and N stems. The vowel of the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. prefix is $a$. There is no documentation for the prefix vowel of the other persons; it could be $a$ as in the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. or it could be $u$ as in other Semitic languages and in the D participle at Ugarit (cf. below).

The $a$ in $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. shows that Ugaritic behaved like Biblical Hebrew in the D stem as seen by the hataph-patah in Biblical Hebrew forms (e.g. אֲרבּבּר). In this regard Ugaritic and Biblical Hebrew differ from Akkadian or Arabic where the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. prefix vowel is $u$.

The Amarna letters from Canaan might suggest a third possibility, viz. an $i$-vowel; note e.g. la-a ti-ka-pí-lu [lā tikappilū] "they do not curl up" ( $E A$ 252,18; following von Soden 1967b:42; cf. Steiner 1980:513-518). In fact, it is more likely that the verbal form in question is G stem here in the pattern of the Akkadian presentfuture (cf. Rainey 1989-90:68-69). The prefix $i$-vowel of D stem verbs in the Amarna texts from Canaan is evidently analogy to the G stem where the analogous extension of the $i$-prefix vowel (from $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.) was at work (cf. Rainey 1996 II:135-136).

The vowel in $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. is not decisive for fixing the vowel of the other persons. In addition, many forms that one might take for D stem could also be G. For example, 'abqg "I will request" ( $1.6 \mathrm{IV}, 20$ ) is interpreted in accordance with the comparison to Biblical Hebrew אבַקִׁש [2 Sam. 4:11] as D stem ['abbaqitu]. As a G stem form, it might be something like ['abqitu].

Sonetimes the texts themselves help us to distinguish the stem, e.g. 'isth [ ${ }^{\prime}$ islahu] "I will send" ( $1.14 \mathrm{~V}, 21 ; 1.24,21$ ) is G stem, while 'aslhk ['ašallihukŭ̄] "I will dispatch you (m.s.)" ( 1.17 VI,28) can be taken as D stem. The following are examples of probably D stem from the various persons.

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'am'id ['ama"'idu ] "I will multiply" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 5$; the form could be $i$-theme G stem); 'abqt ['abbaqitu] "I will request" (1.6 IV,20); 'angh ['anaggihu] "I will gore" ( $1.172,8$ ); 'ar ${ }^{\text {h }} h^{1} p$ ['arahhipu] "I will hover" ( $1.18 \mathrm{IV}, 21$; it can also be interpreted as G stem). With suffix pronouns: 'agrskm ['agarrisukum( $\bar{u}$ )], "I will expell you (m.pl.)" (3.9,67); 「'albšrkm ['abašširukum( $\bar{u}$ )] "I bring you (m.pl.) tidings" (1.19 II,37; cf. TO I p. 448, n. $k$ ); ' ${ }^{3} b k^{\top} m^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ['abakkirannäa] (< 'abakkiranhă $)$ 'I will give her birthright" (1.15 III,16); 'almdk ['alammidukă] "I will instruct you (m.s.)" (1.18 I,29); ’am.lkn ['amallikannйй] (< 'amallikanhйu) "I will enthrone him" (1.6 I,46; possibly a G stem).
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-t$ Pik $\left[t a l a{ }^{3 "} i k u / t u l a " i k u\right]$ "you are sending" $(2.26,4 ;$ cf. TO II, p. 317, n. 3; for the possibility of seeing here a G stem, cf. Segert 1983b:221, and our remarks supra, p. 115).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. $-t^{3} a r s^{4} n^{1} \quad\left[t a^{\prime} a r r i s i n n a / t u^{\prime} a r r i s i n n a\right]$ "you are requesting" (1.3 $\mathrm{V}, 28$; here it is virtually impossible to take the form as G stem because the ' $a$ almost never acts as vowelless aleph, cf. supra, p. 17); tPikn [tala"ikīna/tula"ikīna] "you will dispatch" (2.72,10; cf. Verreet 1988:53; it could perhaps be G stem [cf. Segert 1983b:221] or N stem [cf. Tropper 1991a:356-358], also cf. supra, p. 115-6). Short forms: $t^{[ } d^{\prime} b r$ [tadabbin̄/tudabbirī] "you manage(d)" $(2.72,18)$; 'al t'apq ['al ta'appiqī/tu'appiqī] "do not restrain(?)" (1.169,12; cf. Caquot and Bordreuil 1980:349).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-y m P u$ [yamalli'u/yumalli' $u$ ] "he will fill" ( $1.16 \mathrm{~V}, 28$ ); $y^{\text {'a ars }}$ [ya'arrišu/yu'arrisu] "he will request" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 42$ ); yhbmš [yahammišu/ yuhammišu] "he will quintuple" (1.16 V,17); yšb" [yašabbicu/yušabbicu] "he will multiply seven times" (1.16 V,20); ybqt [yabaqqitu/yubaqqitu] "he will seek out" $(2.42,26)$; yb'r $\left[y a b a^{\text {cc } i r u / y u b a c i} i r u\right]$ "he will destroy, exterminate" $(1.103+1.145,58)$; ydmr [yadammiru/yudammiru] "he will sing" (1.108,3); ykbd [yakabbidu/yukabbidu] "the king is honoring" (1.171,4); ybrkn [yabarrikan(n)a/yubarrikan(n)a] "he blesses" (1.77,2); yqlsn [yaqallisan(n)a/yuqallisan(n)a] "he cries defiance(?)" (1.4 III,12; cf. Ginsberg 1969:132); $y^{3} a^{\top} s^{\top} p \quad\left[y a^{3} a s s i p u / y u^{3} a s s i p u\right]$ "he assembles" (1.107,36; cf. the G stem orthographies, y'isphm [ya'supuhum $(\bar{u})]$ "he collects them" [1.12 II,24] and $t$ 'ispk [ta'supukä] "[the hand of Aqhat] collects you (m.s.)" [1.19 II, 17], and cf. supra, p. 17). ' With suffix pronouns: ypltk [yapallituk ${ }^{\text {al/ yupallitutukă }}$ "he will deliver you (m.s.)" ( $1.18 \mathrm{I}, 13$ ); yhslnn [yahassilan(n)annă/yuhassilan(n)annă] "he will devastate it (= the land)" $(1.103+1.145,38)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tkbd [takabbidu/tukabbidu] "she honors" (1.17 V,30). With suffix pronouns: tkbdnh [takabbidan(n)ahū/tukabbidan(n)ahŭ] "she honors him" (1.6 I,38).

Plural
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. - nmPu [namalli'u/numalli'u] "we will fill" ( $1.119,31,32$ ); nmlk [namalliku/numalliku] "we will enthrone" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 48,54$; this could be G stem, causative with $i$-theme).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - trhpn [tarahhipūna/turahhipūna] "they hover" (1.18 IV,20, 31; it can also be interpreted as G stem); tbqm [tabaqqiriuna/tubaqqirūna] "they attend to(?)" (1.78,5). A short form: tbrk [tabarrikū/tubarikū] "may (the gods) bless" (1.15 III, 17).

Dual
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - tmgnn [tamaggināni/tumaggināni] "are (the two of) you entreating?" ( $1.4 \mathrm{III}, 28$; this could also be $G$ stem).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - tmgnn [tamaggināni/tumaggināni] "both of them should entreat" ( $1.4 \mathrm{III}, 25$; this could also be G stem). With suffix pronoun: y'msn.nn [yáammisan(n)an(n)annŭ/yúammisan(n)an(n)annü] "(Tkmn and Šnm) carry him (= El)" (1.114,18; it seems that El is not the subject of this verb; cf. TO II, p. 76 and n. 239). A short form: trp'a [tarappi ${ }^{\prime} \bar{a} /$ turappi $\left.{ }^{\prime} \bar{a}\right]$ "may (Anat and Athtart) heal (El)" (1.114,28; cf. Rainey 1974:186; this form could also be G stem).

## Imperative

Singular
 "request!" (1.17 VI,27; in accordance with the yqtl forms of this verb it is more likely that they and the imperatives are D stem and not $G$ stem; concerning the assimilation of the first vowel in the imperative, cf. supra, p. 120 and also Sivan 1990a:313-315).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. $-{ }^{\text {' }} m \mathrm{~m}^{\prime} m^{\text {c }}$ ['ammisī $m a^{c}$ ] "load up!" (1.6 I,12); kbd [kabbidī] "honor!" (1.17 V,20).

Dual
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - kbd [kabbidā̄] "(both of you) honor!" (1.3 III,10).

## Participle

Akkadian and Arabic indicate that the principle morpheme for the D participle is a prefix [ mu -]. It would appear that the same held true for the Northwest Semitic languages as indicated by the personal name attested in syllabic script, viz. mu-na-hi-mu [munahhimu] "Menahem" (PRU VI 40,31), cf. mnhm (4.232,45 and many other passages). That the name is attested at Ugarit is not conclusive proof that it is Ugaritic, but the many alphabetic attestations strongly suggest that it is. That particular root, $N H M$ "to console", is not native to Akkadian. It is highly likely, therefore, that the D participle in Ugaritic was muqattilu.

Singular
Masculine - mlȟ̌ [mulahhǐ̌u] "a conjurer" (1.100,42,53); m'ahr [mu'ahhiru] "one who comes late" (1.166,8; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot

1979:303, contra Cazelles [1979:264], who took the vocable for the preposition $m(n)$ plus 'ahr). With suffix pronoun: $m^{\text {c }} \mathrm{ms}^{\prime}$ [múammisuhä] "he carries her" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 30$ ); $m^{\text {c }} \mathrm{msy}$ [ $\mathrm{mu}^{\text {'ammisi] "he carries me" ( } 1.17}$ II,20; for the yod as a mater lectionis, cf. supra, p. 14).

## Plural

Masculine - $m / r q d m$ [muraqqidūma] "dancers" (1.108,4-5; cf. Pardee 1975:344 and Loewenstamm 1980:325 and n. 13a; for its interpretation as a noun, cf supra, p. 72).

Feminine - mmPat [mumalli'ātu] "the ones who fill" (1.14 III,10; V,2).

## Infinitive

The infinitive is documented in the syllabic texts, pu-la-ṭu [pullatu] "to deliver, to rescue" ( $\operatorname{Ug} 5137 \mathrm{II}, 20$ '). The thematic vowel is probably not long, since the parallel hybrid forms in the Amarna texts from Canaan do not reflect the shift of $\bar{a}$ to $\bar{o}$. Note, for example, $\dot{u}$-ša-ar [ušsar] "to send, dispatch" ( $E A$ 117,44,50; cf. Rainey 1970a:181; Sivan and Cochavi-Rainey 1992:57-58, contra Blau and Greenfield 1970:17). The Amarna texts also have another D infinitive form, hal-li-iq [halliq] "to destroy, to cause loss" (EA 250:7; cf. Rainey 1996 II:379). An apparent alphabetically D infinitive is also documented: brkm ybrk [burraku-ma yabarriku/yubarriku] "he verily will bless" (1.15 II,18).

## The Dp STEM(?)

The form tPakn (1.4 V,42) is usually taken as Gp or as a $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. G form (cf. supra, p. 126). On the other hand, it is more likely that this is an example of the Dp, i.e. [tala"akāni/tula"akāni] "(the two lads) are sent". It would appear that the Dp thematic vowel is in accordance with Arabic and with the attestation from Kâmed elLôz tu-wa-aš-s̆a-ru-na [tuwaašsarū̄na] "they may be sent" (KL 72:600,1112; cf. Rainey 1996 II:12).

It would appear that a Dp form is attested in the following sentence:
tqsim ymy blhn "the days of their (f.pl.) lord will be short(?) [taqassarūna/ tuqaṣsarūna]" (1.103+1.145,33; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:99,130; for the possibility that the form is G stem, cf. supra, p. 119).
More problematic is the form $m z m^{3} a(1.15 \mathrm{I}, 2)$. This may be a
passive participle of the D stem, i.e. [muzamma'a] "shriveled up" (cf. Blau 1977b:75 followed by Verreet 1983a:248), but it might also be a noun meaning "thirst".

## The tD Stem (?)

qtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-w$ tkms [wa-t(t)akammisa/wa-t $(t)$ akammasa or wâtakammisal wâtakammasa] (< wa-'it[a]kammisa/wa-'it[a]kammasa?) "and he stretched" (1.12 II,54). There is no way to know if the $w$-conjunction obviated an original prosthetic aleph, that is [*it(a)kammasa/*it ${ }^{*}$ (a)kammisa] or whether the form did not have such a morpheme.

## Imperative

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-t b s ̌ r r$ [tabaššar/tabaššri $]$ "receive good news!" $(1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 26)$. This form could also be a yqtl form of Dp jussive [tabašsar/tubašsar] (cf. TO I, p. 209, n. $d$ and Verreet 1988:190, n. 10).

## The Š Stem

The causative stem in Ugaritic has a $\stackrel{s}{ }-$ prefixed and infixed morpheme as its marker, as in Akkadian and unlike Aramaic (which has $h a$ - or ' $a$-), Biblical Hebrew (which has ha- or $h i-$ ), Canaanite (which has $h i$, e.g. hi-ih-bi-e [EA 256,7]), or Phoenician (which has $y$-). Dahood (1957:62-73 and 1965:24-25) sought to prove that there were also Aphel forms in Ugaritic, but Merrill (1974:40-49) has convincingly refuted all his arguments.

## $q t l$

Since the vocalization of the D stem was identical to that of the causative stem in the Semitic languages, one may conjecture that the vowels in the $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stem in Ugaritic were thematic $i$ with $a$ as the vowel of the stem marker, i.e. saqtila (cf. discussion of the D stem morphology, supra, p. 133).

Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - šrhq [̌̌arhiqua] "he drove off" (1.3 IV,40). With suffix pronoun: šlbšn [̌̌albišan(n) $n$ ŭ] "(Baal) has dressed him" (1.5 V,23; cf. TO I, p. 249; Rin and Rin 1996:299, contra Verreet [1988:97] who interprets it as an infinitive with pronominal suffix).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - šqrb [šaqribū] "they offered a sacrifice" $(1.40,26)$.
yqtl
The form is evidently yašaqtilu/yušaqtilu. The vowel of the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. prefix is $a$, as seen in the examples given below. As with the D stem, the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. vowel may not represent the vocalization of the other persons; the latter might be either $a$ as with $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. or it could be $u$ as in Arabic and Akkadian. The thematic vowel is $i$ as demonstrated by the $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stem example from the root $\check{S} L$ "to ask", namley yš̌s il [yašašilu/yušašilu] "he causes to ask" $(2.18,5)$. Note the following examples:

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'ašrb' ['ašarbicu] "I will make fourthfold" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 3$; cf. Rabin 1963:111 and Gibson 1978:107, contra Gordon [1965:482] who translates "to bring"); 'ašhlk ['ašahliku] "I will lead" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 24$; for the root $H L K$ cf. p. 146). With suffix pronoun: 'ašsprk [asaspirukă] "I will cause you (m.s.) to count" (1.17 VI,28).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - With suffix pronouns: w 'al ts'ihrch ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{m}^{\text { }}$ [wa-'al tasa'hirhum( $\bar{u}) /$ tusáa'hirhum $(\bar{u})]$ "do not cause them (m.pl.) to delay" (2.79,4; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:306).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - yš̌s il [yašašilu/yušašilu] "he causes to ask" $(2.18,5)$; yšlhm [yǎaalḥimu/yušalh̆imu] "he causes to eat" (1.17 II,32).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tšlhm [tašalhimu/tušalhimu] "she causes to eat" (1.17 V,29); $t_{s}^{\text {cos }} r$ [tǎ̌áribu/tušáribu] "she causes to enter" ( $1.15 \mathrm{IV}, 17$ ); ťss $h q$ [tašasshiqu/tus̆aşhiqu] "she causes to laugh" $(2.25,5)$.

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - ť̌šlmn [tašašlimūnaltušašlimūna] "they render (service or taxtes)" (4.95,1; cf. Gordon 1965:491a; according to $K T U^{2}$ the form is an error for $\left.{ }^{t} t s l m n\right)$. One may add here the form tutkm [tatatkirūnal tutatkirūna] "? " (1.15 I,3, from the root $\operatorname{TKR(?);~cf.~TO~}$ I, p. 536, n. b). A short form: $l$ ysbc $l$ [ $\left[\bar{a}-y a s ̌ a b b^{c} i \bar{u} / y u u^{\prime} a b^{\prime} i l \bar{u}\right]$ "they did
not cause to make" (2.70,27; cf. Herdner 1978:78; Verreet 1988:170, n. 93). With suffix pronouns: tšhṭ̣ ann [tašahtiti an(n)annŭ̄$/ t u s ̌ a h t i{ }^{3}$ $\operatorname{an}(n) a n n \bar{u}]$ "they aroused(?) him" ( $1.19 \mathrm{III}, 45$; the aleph may be a mater lectionis and the root is HWT; cf. Caquot 1956:39; Gordon 1965:401; Verreet 1988:28).

## Imperative

$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. $-s^{\breve{T}} q r b^{1}$ [šaqrib] "present!" (1.16 I,44); šskn m [šaskin mac] "give heed (to)!" (1.4 I,20; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:78-79).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - slhm [šalhimī] "give to eat!" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 19$ ).

## Participle

There is no direct documentation for the prefix vowel, but it may be assumed that it is like the D stem. The other vowels are conjectural, based on analogy with other Semitic languages.

Singular
Masculine - mšmtr [mus̆amtiru] "the god who rains" (1.174,9; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:346).

Plural
Feminine - mšsp ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{d}^{\mathbf{l}} t$ [mušaspidātul] "mourners" (1.19 IV,10).

## Infinitive

$\breve{s b} b^{〔} r$ [sub $b^{\text {b }}$ ru?? "to illuminate", "to gleam" (1.4 IV,16; perhaps $\breve{s} b^{2} r$ is a noun meaning "torch"); šmtr [šumtaru.? "cutting??)" (1.41,2; cf. Levine and de Tarragon 1993:93-94).

## The First NUN Verbs and the Root LQH

The G Stem
$q t l$
Singular
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-n p^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1} t$ [napaltä] "you fell" $(1.107,10)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - npl [napala] "he has fallen" ( 1.12 II,53). With suffix pronoun: $\operatorname{ng}$ క̌nn $[\operatorname{nagas̆an}(n) a n(n)$ й $]$ "he approached him" (1.114,19; cf. TO II, p. 76).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. — lqht [laqahat] "she took" (4.290,2); nšat [naš̌'at/naša'at] "she lifted up" (1.92,27).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - ns"u [naš $\left.\bar{u} / n a a^{2}{ }^{\prime} \bar{u}\right]$ "they lifted up, raised" (1.16 III,12); $n d r$ [nadarū] "they devoted" (2.13,14; 2.30,13).

## yqtl

The first radical nun verbs and the lamed of the root $L Q H$ assimilate to the following consonant whenever they are vowelless. Therefore, in cases when one of them appears in the consonantal orthography, it must be assumed that a vowel separates them from the next consonant, so the form should be either D stem or N stem. The assimilation of the lamed in $L Q H$ is also typical of Biblical Hebrew and Phoenician. Examples of the various forms follow:

Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'iqh [iqqahu] (< 'ilqahu) "I will take" (1.14 IV,41); 'ask ['assuku] (< 'ansuku) "I pour out" (1.3 IV,24,29).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{m . s .}-y p l[y a p p u l u]$ (< yanpulu) "he falls" (1.2 IV,5); yss $q^{1}$ [yišsaqu] (< yinšaqu) "he kisses" (1.23,49); ytk [yattuku] (< yantuku) "he pours forth (tears)" (1.19 II, 33); yšu [yǐ̌ša'u] (< yinša'u) "he lifts" (1.10 II, 13,14 and elsewhere); yqh [yiqqahu] (< yilqahu) "he will take" $(1.23,35)$. A short form: 'al $y p^{\text { }}$ ['al yippa'] (< 'al yinpa') 'let it not flourish" (1.19 III,54).
 II,11); tǵs [tağǵusu] (< tanǵusu) "she trembles" (1.3 III,34; 1.4 II,19); $l$ tbt $[$ lū/la tabbutu/tabbitu] (< tanbutu/tanbitu) "verily she looks" (1.4 III,21); t'qh ${ }^{\top}$ [tiqqahu] (< tilqahu) "she takes" (1.19 IV,55).

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.pl. - tss'un [tí̛ša'ūna] (< tinšāāna) "you raise" (1.119,27; cf. TO II, p. 210).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tǵrn [taǵǵurūna] (< tanǵurūna) "they protect" (2.23,22); $l$ $t_{s}^{\text {c }} n$ [ $\bar{a} / l a / l \bar{u}$ tissa $\left.a^{〔} \bar{u} n a\right]\left(<\right.$ tinsa' $\left.^{c} \bar{u} n a\right)$ "they will not (or: they will verily) travel" (3.8,12,14; cf. Verreet 1988:216); tqhn [tiqqahūna] (< tilqahüna) "they will buy" (4.395,2,4). Short forms: ys ${ }^{\text {c }}$ [yissa $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \overline{\bar{u}}\right]$
（＜yinsa＇ $\bar{u}$ ）＂they paid（？）＂（3．9，17；cf．Friedman 1979：204）；「ylsu ［yǐ̌ssa＇ $\bar{u}]$（＜yinša＇ $\bar{u}$ ）＂they carry＂（1．14 II，46；the form can be Gp stem，i．e．［yu«̆ša＇u］＂he is carried＂，cf．Ginsberg 1946：16）；tpl［tap－ pulü］（＜tanpulū）＂（the stars）fell＂（1．13，13；TO II，p． 24 interprets the form as jussive）．With suffix pronoun：tǵrk［taǵǵurūkī］（＜tanǵurūkŭ） ＂may（the gods）protect you（f．s．）＂（2．11，8；cf．Rainey 1971b：11－15； for the suggestion to derive this form from the root $G W R$ ，cf． Loewenstamm 1972：67－70）．

Dual
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c．du．－A short form：${ }^{\text {「 }}$＇${ }^{1} l$ tpl［＇al tappulā］（＜＇al tanpulā）＂don＇t fall down！＂（1．2 I，15）．

 two of them raised（their voice）＂（ $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 16$ ）；${ }^{〔} t{ }^{1} p l$［tappula］ （＜tan－ pulā）＂（the two messengers of Yammu）fell＂（1．2 I，31）．

## Imperative

In the attested imperative forms，the first radical nun and the lamed of $L Q H$ are absent．Perhaps that is accidental．In the Northwest Semitic languages there are some forms in which the nun is pre－ sent．For example in Biblical Hebrew， 4：24），נִשְׂא＂lift up！（m．s．）＂（Ps 10：12）；7צְּ＂keep！（m．s．）＂（Ps 34：14） and in the Amarna letters from Canaan，nu－pu－ul－mi［nupul－mi］＂fall！ （m．s．）＂（EA 252，25）．In Biblical Hebrew there are four instances where the lamed of $L Q H$ is present，thus $\pi$ ？？＂take！（m．s．）＂（Exod． 29：1；Ezek．37：16；Prov．20：16）and ליח？＂take！（f．s）＂（1 Kgs 17：11）． The following are examples from Ugaritic：

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$ ．$-q h[q a h]$＂take！＂（ $1.4 \mathrm{II}, 32$ ）；$\check{s} a$［ša＇a］＂lift！＂（1．4 VIII，5； 1.14 II，22）．With suffix pronoun：qhny［qahanйȳā／qahanăyā］＂take（both of us）！＂（1．82，8；cf．TO II，p．66）．
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f．s．－$s k$［suki］＂pour！＂（1．3 III，16；the root may be $S W K$ ）；qhn ［qahïn（n）a？］＂take！＂（1．19 IV，53）．

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl} .-\check{s} u\left[\check{s} a^{\prime} \bar{u} \bar{u}\right]$＂lift up！＂（1．2 I，27；1．23，54，65）．

## Participle

Singular
Masculine - nǵr [nāğiru] "guard" $(1.23,68,69,73)$ and in syllabic script na-hii-ru [nāg̈riru] "guard" (PRU VI 136,9); nsb [nāsibu] "the one who erects (a stele)" (1.17 I,26). With suffix pronoun: n'iṣh [nā'isuhhū] "(he who) reviles him" (1.17 I,29).

Plural
Masculine - nskm [nāsikūma] "pourers" (4.43,4; 4.337,3; this could be a noun on the qattāl pattern).

## Infinitive

 eyes, then she saw" (1.4 II,12).

The Gp Stem
yqtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - spsg ysk $[l]{ }^{\Gamma_{r}}{ }^{1}{ }^{2} i \tilde{s}^{\prime}$ "glaze was poured [yussak] on the head" (1.17 VI, $36-37$; this form might also be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl., i.e. "they poured [yassukū] glaze on the head" cf. supra, p. 127).

## The Gt Stem

In this stem, the first radical assimilates to the infixed $-t$. The $q t l$ conjugation pattern is not documented for this class of verbs.
yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-y t s^{\circ} u$ [yittaš̌'u/ yittaša'u] (< yintaš̌'u/yintaša'u) "he lifts himself up" (1.17 V,6; 1.19 I,21). A short form: ytši [yittaš̌'/yittaša'] (< yintaši"/yintaša) "indeed he lifts himself up" (1.40,16,25,33).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-t t^{\top} l^{l}{ }^{1}[$ tittapilu/tittapalu $](<$ tintapilu/tintapalu) "(one-seventh) fell (by sword)" (1.14 I,21; cf. Verreet 1985:324-329).

## Participle

Plural
Masculine - $m^{\lceil }{ }^{\top} d b[m]$ [muttadibūma?] (< muntadibūma?) "volunteers(?), donors(?)" (4.775,1; cf. Bordreuil and Caqout 1980:364).

## The N Stem

## $q t l$

Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - nlqht [nalqahat] "it was taken" $(4.659,1)$.
$y q t l$
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ynp ${ }^{\text {c }}$ yinnapi $\left.^{\text {c }} u\right]$ "(Daniel) appears(?)" ( $1.19 \mathrm{II}, 16$; the form might be D stem; perhaps the nun is a mistake and the form should be $y p^{c}\left[y i p p a^{c} u\right]$, cf. $K T U^{2}$, p. 57) and also "(Baal) is flourish [ $\nu i i^{-}$ napicu]" (1.5 IV, 8 ; cf. Gordon 1965:446; the text is badly preserved).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. — tnǵṣn [tinnaǵiṣūna] "they are shaken(?)" (1.2 IV,17,26); tntkn [tinnatikūna] "(the tears) are poured out" (1.14 I,28).

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - ynghn [yinnagihāni] "the two of them gore one another" (1.6 VI,17; the form can be taken as D stem); tnšan [tinnaši" äni] "the two (spears of the king) will be lifted up" (1.103+1.145,47; contra Dietrich and Loretz [1990b:143] who take the form as plural).

The D Stem
qtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ntk [nattika] "he bit" (1.100,4; the form can be considered either N stem or G stem).
$y q t l$
In these forms the nun is preserved rather than assimilatied as in the G stem; thus, there must have been a vowel after it.

Singular

$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ynšq [yanaššiqu/yunaššiqu] "he kisses" ( $1.19 \mathrm{II}, 15$ ); ynsk [yanassiku/yunassiku] "he pours out (metal)" (1.82,1); ynht [yanahhitu/ yunahhitu] "he lowers" (1.2 IV,11,18; the form might be G stem, in such case the nun did not assimilate to the following guttural); ynsl
[yanassilu/yunasssilu] "(the king) will get gifts" (1.90,22; cf. TO II, p. 173, n. 103). With suffix pronoun: yn'mh [yana ${ }^{\text {ciran(n)ahă/ }}$ yuna"iran(n)ahă] "he will shake her" (1.100,65; the form might be G stem, in such case the nun did not assimilate to the following guttural; cf. Verreet 1988:93).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tnšq [tanašsiqu/tunašsiqu] "(Anath) kisses" (1.22 I,4). With suffix pronouns: tngth [tanaggituhŭ/tunaggituhü] "she seeks him" (1.6 II, 6 ; the root is $\mathcal{N G T}$ and it cannot be associated with the Biblical Hebrew root נגש; cf. Ginsberg 1936:61 and Smith 1994:124 n. 14).

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - yntkn [yanattikāni/yunatitikäni] "the two of them bite one another" (1.6 VI,19; the form can be interpreted as N stem).

## The Š Stem

The only documented form from this stem is $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. in the sentence:
$h n k s p d \check{s} s^{c} n$ "here is the silver which he brought to me [šassi"an̄$]$ (< šansíant̀)" $\left(2.81,24\right.$; from the root $\left.N S^{\circ}\right)$.

The Šp Stem

## Participle

Plural
Feminine - mšdpt [mǔ̌addapātu?] (< mušandapātu?) "those who are released(?)" ( $1.14 \mathrm{IIII}, 14$; from the root $\mathcal{N D P}$, cf. TO I, p. 522, n. p with bibliography).

## The First WAW/YOD Verbs and the Root HLK

General Remarks
In Ugaritic as in the other Northwest Semitic languages, the first waw verbs behave as first yod when the waw comes in first position (cf. Ginsberg 1967:62). In Ugaritic there are two instances of first waw verbs where the waw is perserved: the root WPT "to spit" (cf. Renfroe 1992:157) and the root WSR "to instruct". Although in the majority of instances, the root $W L D$ appears as $Y L D$, there is a small number of cases where it appears that the original waze is preserved. However, these might be w-conjunctions followed by a
verbal form in which the yod has been elided (cf. Ginsberg 1946:40 and Tsumura 1979:779-782).

The root HLK behaves in Ugaritic, as in Biblical Hebrew, partly as a strong verb and partly like the verbs first waw/yod. It is possible that in both languages, there were two roots interacting here ( $H L K$ and $r L K$ ). On the other hand, the original root may have been bi-radical ( $L i K$ ) augmented by the initial hé, just as the first waw/yod verbs may be bi-radical verbs augmented by original waw.

It is noteworthy that the verb "to give" with the root $\mathcal{N T N}$ appears in Ugaritic as in Phoenician as $\Upsilon T \mathcal{N}$. Again, the original may have been bi-radical (TiN) augmented by the first nun. However, the Phoenician and Ugaritic suffix forms with initial yod may simply be back formations from the yqtl in which the initial nun does not appear.

The G Stem
$q t l$
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - yblt $[y a b a l t \bar{u} /$ yabiltū] "I have brought" (1.4 V,27; 2.17,1); $y t t[$ yatattü $]\left(<\right.$ yatantū) "I give" (1.100,75). With suffix pronoun: $y d^{k} t^{\top} k^{1}$ [yadactưkul] "I know you (f.s.)" (1.18 I,16; cf. Smith 1995:792)
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-y t n t$ [yatantă̄ $]$ you have given" (1.6 VI,14; concerning the non-assimilated nun, cf. supra, p. 30); lyrt [ $[\bar{u} / l a-y a r a t t a ̈ ̆] ~<~ l \bar{u} / l a$ -yaradtäl "may you go down" (1.5 I,6).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ys ${ }^{\prime} a \quad\left[y a s a^{\prime} a\right]$ "it went forth" (1.2 IV,6; 4.166,1; cf. the syllabic documentation $i$-sáa $a^{3} a\left[\hat{\imath}\left\langle a^{3} a\right]\left[<\right.\right.$ yasa $\left.a^{3} a\right]$ "it went out" $[P R U$ VI 101,4'; cf. Huehnergard 1987:133); yp ${ }^{\text {c }}$ [yapa ${ }^{\text {c } a] ~ " h e ~ h a s ~ a p p e a r e d " ~}$ (1.3 III,37); i-bi-la [îbila?] (yabila?) "he brought" (PRU VI 134,3,5,7; 145,2; cf. Huehnergard 1987:133).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - hlkt [halakat] "she went" (1.96,1); yṣ"at [yasa'at] "she went forth" (1.18 IV,36).

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. - yritn [yari'tinä/yari'tinna] "you feared" $(2,31,45)$.
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. $-y b l$ [yabalū/yabilū] "they brought" (4.272,7); ytb [yatabū] "they sat down" $(4.86,29)$.

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.du. $-y^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{l} t[$ yalattã? ) ( $<$ yaladat $\bar{a}$ ) "the two of them gave birth" (1.23,53; it seems that assimilation took place although there was a vowel after the $d$; cf. supra, p. 29).
yqtl
In Ugaritic as in other Semitic languages (with the exception of Akkadian; cf. Moscati 1964:164), the first radical is missing from the prefix conjugation pattern. It would appear that the basic form for verbs in this class was yaqtil.

Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'ard ['aridu] "I go down" (1.5 VI,25); 'atn ['atinu] "I will give" ( $1.14 \mathrm{IV}, 43$ ) beside 'itn [itinu] (< 'atinu) 'I will give" (2.15,4; further remarks on that form, cf. supra, p. 44); 'artm ['aritu-ma] "I would inherit" (1.2 I, 35); likewise 「'atb1" ["atiban(n)a] "I will sit down" (1.17 II,12; note the presumed Ugaritic form in an Akkadian text from Ugarit, la-a $a-\breve{s}_{z}-i b$, which might be for [ $l \bar{a}{ }^{\prime}$ 'atib] "I am not seated (= located)" [Ug 5 81,26; cf. Huehnergard 1987:135]; but that form may simply be a misused Akkadian stative); 'abl [abilu] "I will bring" (1.82,33); ${ }^{ } a^{\top} / k{ }^{1}$ ['aliku] "I will go" ( $1.19 \mathrm{IV}, 32$ ); ' $i d^{\text { }}$ ['ida'u/ㄱidíu?] "I know" (1.6 III,8) along with 'ad ['ada'u?] (< 'ida'u) (2.34,30; cf. Verreet 1984:309 and 1988:191; Sivan 1990a:313-315; Pardee [1984:227] followed by TO II, p. 345, n. 28 reads 'agd; $K T U^{2}$ reads ' $a \mathrm{x} d^{k}$ ).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - Since these forms begin with yod, it is not easy to distinguish them from the $q t l$ form. Note for example:
w yrd krt ${ }^{〔} l$ l ggt "and Keret came down from the roofs" (1.14 II,26-27).
The orthography yrd could be a suffix form, viz. [yarada], or it may be a prefix form, i.e. $[\operatorname{varid}(u)]$. Therefore, the interpretations and vocalizations given below are conjectural:
$y$ tn [yatinu] "he gives" ( $1.16 \mathrm{I}, 13$ ); yş̣'u [yasi'u] "it goes (/will go) forth" (1.103+1.145,45); yšn [ŷ̌̌anu] (< yiyšanu) "he falls asleep" (1.14 I,31); yzn [yazinu] "he weighs" (2.81,22; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:358); ytt [ yatiqu] "he binds, ties" (1.100,17; cf. Astour 1968:18 and Cunchillos 1990:123); yr ${ }^{\top} u^{1}$ [yîra'u] (< yyyra'u?) "he fears" (1.6 VI,30); ys'an [yasian(n)a] "let him go forth" (1.165,3). Short form: $y s^{3} i$ [yasi] "it went forth" (1.14 II,32,34). With suffix pronouns:
$[y]]^{\top} t^{1} n n$ [yatinannü̆ (< yatinanhŭu) "he gives him" (3.2,8); yr'a'un [ŷ̂ra'unnŭu] (< ŷ̂ra'unhйu) "he fears him" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 6$; following Rainey 1987:399; this could also be an infinitive functioning as a finite verb, i.e. [yarā $3 n n \bar{u}]$, cf. Gordon 1965:12; Herdner 1952:170 and finally Verreet 1988:27); yblnh [yabilan(n)ahă] "he fetches her" (1.100,67).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tld $[$ talidu] "she will give birth" (1.15 III, $7,8,9,10 ; 1.24,5$ ); trd [taridu] "(the Luminary of the Gods) goes down" (1.6 1,8); tlk [taliku] "she goes" (1.92,3); ttn [tatinu] "she gives" (1.6 I,32; IV,7); $\operatorname{tldn}[\operatorname{talidan}(n) a]$ "she gives birth" $(1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 22)$. Perhaps one may add here the form tr [tîî̂??] (< tiyrayu?) "she speeds (in flight)" (1.10 II, 11, from the root $X R T$; cf. Ginsberg 1969:142; Gordon 1965:415; contra TO I, p. 283, n. $m$ which interprets the form as infinitive of the root $T W R$ ). A short form: $t s^{\prime} i\left[t a s i i^{\prime}\right]$ "may it go forth" (1.18 IV,24). With suffix pronoun: tsrk [tasiruk前"it instructs you" (1.4 V,4; cf. the use of the D stem of this root below; in Biblical Hebrew that verb generally appears in the D stem though it is also attested in the G stem, דֶיֵר גגּוֹים "he who disciplines the nations" [Ps 94:10], ם ? 1946:48).

Plural
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. — nrd [naridu] "we will go down" (1.6 I,7); nbln [nabilan(n)a] "we will bring" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 34$ ).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.pl. - A short form: 'al $t s^{\Gamma}{ }^{\top} u^{1}$ ['al tass $\left.i^{3} \bar{u}\right]$ "don't go forth!" (1.164,19; for the interpretation "they indeed went forth" cf. TO II, p. 231, n. 255).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tlkn [talikūna] "they go" (1.14 IV,31; 1.20 II,5); yș'unn [yasi'ünan(n)a] "they go forth" ( $2.31,36 ; K T U^{2}$, p. 174 incorrectly reads $y s^{\prime} u$; cf. [ts]'un [tasi'ūna] "they go forth" $[1.83,3]$ ); yrdn [yaridūna] "they go down" (1.112,18). A short form: tss'u [tasi $\left.{ }^{2} \bar{u}\right]$ "they went out" (1.169,2).

The following could be either $q t l$ or $y q t$ :
$y \leq q$ šmn šm $b s^{c}$ "they poured whole oil into the chalice" (1.3 II,31-32), where $y s q$ could be suffix conjugation [yasaqū] or prefix conjugation [yasiqū].
${ }^{5}{ }^{\prime} a^{\top} p$ 'ilm $l<l>{ }^{\top} h{ }^{\top}[m] y t b(1.2 \mathrm{I}, 20-21)$ "now the gods were sitting to eat", where $y \underline{t} b$ could be suffix [yatabū] or prefix [ $\gamma a t i b b \bar{u}]$.

## Dual

$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - A short form: 'al ttn pnm ['al tatinā panīma] "(the two of you) do not turn your faces!" (1.4 VIII, 1).
 Short forms: ' ${ }^{\text {tld }}$ ' [talidā] "the two of them give birth" (1.23,58); ytn [yatinā] "(the two messengers) gave" (1.14 VI,37; this form could be $q t l$ and the yod could be the first radical).

## Imperative

In the few documented forms of the imperative, the first radical (yod or the hé of $H L K$ ) is missing.

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s} .-r d$ [rid] "go down!" (1.4 VIII,7; $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 14$ ); tn [tin] "give!" (1.14 III,39; 5.9 I,15); lk [lik] "go!" (1.16 VI,27; 1.82,10; 1.169,10); $s^{\top} q^{1}[s a q / s u q]$ "pour!" (1.14 II,18); $d^{k}\left[d a^{c}\right]$ "know!" (2.61,13); bl [bil] "bring (the word)!" (1.100,2; cf. Blau and Greenfield 1970:14).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. $-z^{\prime} i[z i \bar{i}]$ "go forth!" ( $\left.1.12 \mathrm{I}, 14,19\right)$.
Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - $t b[t \underline{t} b \bar{u}]$ "sit!" (1.16 V,24; this could be imperative from the root $T W B$ "to return", cf. infra, p. 157).

## Active Participle

Plural
 ru-ma [PRU III, p. 195 B I,12]); ynqm [yāniqūma] "those who nurse, suck" ( $1.23,24,61$ ); yrdm 'ars [yāridū-ma 'arrş] "those who descend to the earth" (1.114,22; a construct form with enclitic mem, for such construct forms cf. infra, p. 193).

## Passive Participle

Singular
Masculine - $m d / d$ [ $m o ̂ d \bar{u} d u / m e ̂ d \bar{u} d u]$ (< mawedūdu/maydūdu) "beloved, friend" (1.4 VIII,23-24; the form could also be a noun of the maqtal pattern; cf. the Biblical Hebrew personal name מֵיְְד [Num. 11:26]).

Feminine - mddt [môdūdatu/mêdūdatu] (< mawdūdatu/maydūdatu) "beloved, friend" (1.14 IV,28) and with suffix pronoun: $\mathbf{「}_{m}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} d d t h$ [môdūdatuh̆̆u/mêdūdatuhŭu "his beloved, friend" (1.14 II,50).

## Infinitive

In Ugaritic there seem to be two infinitive formations, one that preserves all three radicals and another in which the first radical is missing and which bears the feminine $t$-suffix (cf. Biblical Hebrew לֶכֶת "to go" [2 Sam. 19:25], "דַּעַת "to know" Jos. 4:24], "to sit" [Deut. 1:6], רֶרֶ "to do down" [Deut. 28:52]). The following are examples:
hlk kbkbm [halāku/hilku kabkabīma] "the course of the stars" (1.19
 $\mathrm{II}, 8) ; s_{3}^{\prime}$ at npsh [si'atu napšihă̈] "the outpouring of her soul" (1.16 1,35 ). With suffix pronouns: $b y s^{s} i h[m]\left[b i-y a s a_{a}{ }^{3} i h u m(\bar{u}) / b i-y s^{\top} i h u m(\bar{u})\right]$ "on their going forth" (3.8,9); tbth [tib(a)tuhü] "his dwelling (/sitting)" (1.14 I,23).

From the root $W L D / X L D$ there is an infinitival form that begins with waw, e.g.:
w tqrb wr $l d^{1}$ bn ${ }^{「} l^{l} h$ "she drew near to bearing him a son" (1.15 III, 20).
wld šph $l$ krt "an heir to Keret is born" (1.14 III,48; VI,33).
It is likely that the waw is actually the conjunction and that the initial yod has been contracted from a triphthong, i.e. [wâlādu] (<wayalädu) (cf. Ginsberg 1946:40; Tsumura 1979:779-782 and also TO I, p. 526, n. $l$ with more bibliography).

## The Gp Stem

Cf. some examples in the section of Gp in the strong verbs, supra, p. $126 f$.

## Thr Gt Stem

There are no Gt qtl attestations for verbs in this class.
yqtl
There is no way to know if the first radical (waw, yod or hé of the root $H L K$ ) assimilates to the infixed taw as it does in Akkadian. At any rate, the first radical does not appear in the orthography. If the assimilation does not take place, then perhaps there was compensatory lengthening of the prefix vowel. However, this is all spec-
ulative. In the reconstructions that follow, the conjectural assimilated radical is in parenthesis.

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - ' ${ }^{\text {itlk }}$ ['i( $(t)$ talaku/'i(t)taliku] "I go (around)" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 15$ ); 'itrt ['i(t)taratu/ ${ }^{\prime} i(t)$ taritu $u$ "I will inherit" ( $1.3 \mathrm{III}, 47$ ).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. $-y^{\mathrm{r}} t^{\mathrm{l}} l k\left[y^{2}(t) t a l a k u / y i(t) t a l i k u\right]$ "he goes (around)" $(1.12 \mathrm{I}, 34)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-t t k[t i(t)$ talaku/ti $(t)$ taliku $]$ she goes (around)" (1.5 VI,26). It would appear that the root $1 R H$ "to hurry" is attested in syllabic documentation, viz. $\backslash i t-t a r-h[u]$ [tit(t)arhu? $]$ (< tiytarahu/tiytarihu?) "she will hurry(?)" ( $U g 53$ rev., 10 '; for the possibility of viewing it as from the root ' RH cf. Huehnergard 1987:109-110).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - ttlkn [ti( $\left.(t) t a l a k u \overline{n a} / t i(t) t a l i k u \bar{n} a\right]$ "they were going (/went)" (1.23,67).

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - A short form: tweth [tizutahâ/tivetihâ?] (< tiwetahayā/tivetahiyā?) "both of them dispatched" (1.3 III,20; IV,12; the existence of the first radical waw is problematic; cf. Gordon 1965:393; Pardee 1975:346; Segert 1984:185; Verreet 1988:82; for the possibility that the root is WHY or KHYY cf. Renfroe 1992:156).

## Imperative

The only documented Gt imperative in this class is from the root $Y Q G$ "to wake up, to be awake", in the sentence 'istmé wtqg' "give heed and attune (the ear)!" (1.16 VI,30,42). If the form in question is really an imperative of Gt stem, then the prosthetic aleph has been elided (from the form *${ }^{*} i t q g$ [ ${ }^{\prime} i(t)$ taqaǵ $/{ }^{\prime}(t)$ taqig]) after the conjunction waw (cf. Ginsberg 1946:48; TO I, p. 571, n. $x$ ). The root of this form might be $T Q G \dot{G}$ "to incline (the ear)"; in such a case the form would be G imperative, i.e. [taqag??] (cf. Oberman 1946:244 n. 25 and Blau 1977b:71).

The N Stem

## $q t l$

Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ntn [nêtana/nôtana] (< naytana/nawtana)"(wine that) was given" (4.219,1).

## The D Stem

The only forms that seem to belong to the D stem are from the roots WPI "to spit" and WSR "to instruct, to discipline". Because the waw is preserved, it seems more likely that they are D stem forms (cf. Ginsberg 1946:48).
$q t l$
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-w p t m$ [wappita-ma] "he spit" (1.4 VI,13).
yqtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - With suffix pronouns: ywoptn [yawappitun̄̄//yuwappitiun̄̄] "he spits on me" ( 1.4 III,13; cf. TO I, p. 200; the nun may simply be energic and not a $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. pronominal siffix, i.e. [yawappitan(n)a/yuwappitan(n)a]); ywosmn [yawassiran(n)annŭ/yuwassiran(n)annй] "he instructed him" (1.16 VI,26; if not for the waw this form could have been G stem; cf. Verreet 1988:86). The preservation of the waw would seem to be more likely if the prefix vowel was $u$.

## The Š Stem

The initial radical (waw/yod) contracts in all forms of this stem. On the other hand, the verb $Н L K$ does not behave in the same manner as the first waw and first yod verbs (unlike the situation in Biblical Hebrew); instead HLK conjugates like a strong verb. This is seen in the lone example, "ašhlk ['asahaliku] "I will cause to go" ( $1.18 \mathrm{I}, 11$ ).
$q t l$
Singular
 give" (2.36,13; cf. TO II, p. 402, n. 174).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ร̌rd [šôrida] (< šawrida) "he brought down", "he entreat-
 duced" $(4.145,10)$.
 II,38).
yqtl
Singular
 ['ašâsiciu] (< 'ašauresiciu) "I will cause to go out" ( $2.34,31,33$ ). With suf-
 out" (2.3,17).
 not suppress (your lips)!" ( $1.82,5$; it seems that the root is WŠ $Y$; less probable to interpret the form from the root $\mathcal{N S Y} Y$ "to forget" as suggested by de Moor and Spronk 1984:239; TO II, p. 64).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - y ${ }^{\text {sss }}{ }^{\prime} a$ [yašôṣi'a/yušộsi'a] "he will bring forth" ( $2.15,5$; contra $K T U^{2}$ which reads $s_{s}^{\prime} s^{\prime} a$ and puts the yod at the end of the pre-
 "he causes to go out" (1.15 V,24).

## Imperative

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. - $\check{s} r d$ [šorid] (< šawrid) "bring down!", "entreat (by offerings)!" (1.14 II,24).

## Participle

Singular
 forth" (1.17 I, 27,45).

Plural
 le" ( $1.15 \mathrm{II}, 28$; the subject in the sentence can be Anat, and in that case the verb should be interpreted as feminine singular).

## Infinitive

 ization is based on that of the infinitive of the D stem, cf. supra, p. 137).

The Šp Stem (?)
Note the following:
$b^{c} l^{1}{ }^{1} y^{1} \underline{t}^{\top} b n^{1}\left[l k s^{3}\right] m^{I} k^{1} h(1.6 \mathrm{VI}, 33-34)$.
The meaning may be: "Baal is seated [yatôtaban(n)a/yutôtaban(n)a] [on the throne] of his kingdom", in which case the verb would be $\stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{S}}$ and the nun would be energic. On the other hand, the meaning could also be: "they seat [yatôtabūna/yutôtibüna] Baal [on the throne] of his kingdom".
$t^{\prime} d b$ ks'u wyttb (1.4 V,46-47)
This sentence may be rendered: "a chair was arranged and (Kothar and Hasis) was seated [wa-yatotatab/wa-yutotatab] (to the right of Baal)" (cf. Gordon 1965:416b and TO I, p. 210). But it could also mean: "a chair was arranged and they seated [wa-yatôtibū/wa-yutôtibū] (Kothar and Hassis to the right of Baal)" (cf. Verreet 1988:68; concerning the form $t^{\prime} d b$, cf. supra, p. 126).

## The Second WAW/YOD Verbs

## General Remarks

The vocalization of forms in this class are somewhat speculative due to the scarcity of forms with 'aleph. It may be assumed that the forms were not very different from those in the other Northwest Semitic languages. Therefore, it is almost certain that in the yqtl forms the thematic vowel was long when there was a vocalic suffix, e.g. in the imperfect [yamūtu] and the volitive [yamūta] but in the jussive [yamut].

Some of the roots in this class adopt the pattern of the L stem which is a variation of the D stem. In such cases, a third radical is added which is identical with the final radical (cf. Biblical Hebrew $R W M$ and $R M M$ ).

## The G Stem

qtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - nhtt [nahtŭ/nuhtū̆?] "I rested" (2.11,14; cf. Biblical Hebrew
 [nuhti] (EA 147:56) from Canaan; the latter reflects the same use of the original thematic vowel in a closed syllable as in Arabic); qlt
[qiltŭu] "I have fallen" (2.12,11; the root is QYL, not QLL, cf. $A H w$, p. 918b and von Soden 1967a:295-296). With suffix pronoun: ṣhtkm [sahtưkum( $\bar{u}) / s$ sihtuŭkum( $\bar{u})$ ] "I have called you" (1.15 IV,27; V,10; VI,4; the form can be a qatila conjugation).
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-\check{s t}[$ sattŭa] "you placed" $(2.10,18) ; m t t$ [mittă̈] "you are dead" (1.5 V,17; cf. Gordon 1949:42; TO I, p. 248; Aartun 1978:93; Rin and Rin 1996:294; the reduplicated taw can be explained as dittography or scribal error, contra Verreet [1988:193] who suggests that the form shoud be transcribed mitVtã, i.e. there exists a vowel between the taw's).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - qm [qāma] "he stood (/was standing)" (1.2 I,21); št [šata] "he placed" (1.4 IV,10); mt [māta?] "(Mighty Baal) has died" (1.6 $\mathrm{I}, 41)$; ssd [sāada] "he prepared provisions" (1.114,1); l bn [lā bäna/bīna] "he did not understand" (1.107,6).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-m t t[m$ ätat? $]$ "(the ship) has died" $\left(2.38,13\right.$; contra $K T U^{2}, \mathrm{p}$. 178 which reads $<l>m t t)$; $b^{2} a t$ [babat] "she has come" (1.19 IV,51); štt [sätat] "she placed" $(2.36,7)$.

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }} m . p l$. - bštm [buštum( $\left.\left.\bar{u}\right)\right]$ "you are delay" (1.3 IV,33; cf. Smith 1995:792).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - $\check{s} t[s \bar{a} t \bar{u}]$ "they placed" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 45$; the form can be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.); $b .{ }^{\prime} u[b \bar{a} \vec{u} \bar{u}]$ "they have come" $(4.395,1) ; k n[k \bar{a} n \bar{u}]$ "they were" (4.624,1); $n r[n \bar{a} r \bar{u}]$ "they shine" $(2.13,18$; one could take the form with an optative usage, "may they shine", cf. Verreet 1988:119).

Dual
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. - $q \ln y[q \bar{l} l V n \breve{a} \bar{y} \bar{a} / q i l V n \check{y} \overline{\bar{a}}]$ "the two of us have fallen" (2.11,7;2.70,10).
yqtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'amt ['amūtu] "I will die" (1.17 VI,38); 'ahš ['ahūǔu] "I am in a hurry" (2.34,11; cf. Pardee 1984:227); $l$ ' $a h \check{s}$ [lā 'ahūūu] "I will not feel" ( $1.82,2$ ); 'ašr ['aš̌ru] "I will sing" ( $1.24,1,38$ ); 'anhn ['anūhan(n)a] "I will rest" (1.17 II,13); 'ištn [iš̌tu/an(n)a] (< 'aštu/an $[n] a)$
"I will put it" (2.79,3; cf. Verreet 1988:89; contra Bordreuil and Caquot [1979:306] who interpreted the form as $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ stem of $\operatorname{YTN}$; for this vowel harmony cf. supra, p. 44); 'igr ['igāru] "I will stay" (2.34,12, from the root $G W R$; cf. Pardee 1984:228; for the several interpretations of this verb cf. TO II, p. 343, n. 17); ' $u b^{\prime} a(!)$ [' $u b \vec{u}{ }^{\prime} a$ ? ] "I may enter" ( $1.100,72$ ). The actual orthography of that form is 'ubn and it may be a scribal error, since the signs for ${ }^{\prime} a$ and nun are somewhat similar. If that be true, then the reconstruction proposed here may reflect a vowel assimilation, $\left[{ }^{3} u b \vec{u} \overrightarrow{ }^{\prime} a\right]$ ( ${ }^{\prime} a b \vec{u}{ }^{3} a$; cf. Caquot 1984:176; Verreet 1984:307-308; less likey to interpret the form as a noun meaning "entrance" as suggested by Fisher 1971a:356). Furthermore, the basic vocalization shows that this verb could not be yiqtal as in Akkadian.
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-t \underline{t} b$ [tatā$\left.b u\right]$ "you will return" ( $1.4 \mathrm{VI}, 2,15$ ); tmtn [tamütan(n)a] "you will die" (1.16 I,4,18). Short forms: 'al tb'i ['al $t a b u$ '?] "do not come!" (1.169,18); 'al tṣ ['al tasur] "do not besiege!" (1.14 III,29).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - tscdn [tasūdīna] "you are hunting, you prepare provisions" (1.17 VI,40; the nun could be energic). A short form: 'al tst ['al tasita] "do not put!" (2.30,23-24).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.- $y \underline{t} b[y a t \underline{u} b u]$ "he returns" (1.6 VI, 12 ); w $l y \underline{t} b$ [wa-la $\left.y a t u ̄ u b u\right]$ "and he will not return" ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 9$ ); ygl [yagilu] "he will rejoice" ( $1.82,1$ ); $y b \underline{t}$ [yibātu] "he is ashamed" (1.2 IV,31; cf. Ginsberg 1936:75 and 1969:131; the root might be BTIT, cf. Verreet 1988:94); ystn [yǎ̌itan(n)a] "he places" (1.4 IV,14); ydn [yadūnu/yadīnu] "he judges" ( $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 7$ ). A short form: $y \ln [y a l u n]$ "he slept" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 15$ ). With suffix pronoun: $y^{\prime}$ ark [yi'ārukū] "(the moon) will illuminate you (f.s.)" (1.24,39; in the yiqtal(u) pattern; note that the cognate Biblical
 I, p. 395, n. a).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-t b^{\prime} u[t a b \vec{u} u$ ? $]$ "she comes" (1.16 VI,3,4); tqm [taqūmu] "she arises" (1.82,39); tst [taštu] "she places" (1.19 IV,45); tin [tacinu] "she sees" (1.10 II,27); ${ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\left[t a b \bar{u}^{3} a\right.$ ?] "she comes" (1.5 VI, 1 ; that could be a dual, i.e. $[t a b \bar{u} \bar{a}]$, cf. Verreet 1988:71); tql [taq $\bar{l} l u]$ "she falls, bows" (1.4 IV,25). With suffix pronoun: tštnn [taštan(n)annūँ] "she places him" (1.6 I,17; $1.19 \mathrm{II}, 10$ ).

Plural
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. — ngln $[\operatorname{nag} \bar{l} \operatorname{lan}(n) a]$ "let us rejoice" (1.16 I,15).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tb'un [tabū $\bar{u} n a$ ?] "they come" (1.15 IV,21); tqln [taqūlūna] "they will fall, bow" (1.103+1.145,1; 1.109,4); tssdn [tasūdūna] "they were hunting (/hunted)" (1.23,68); y $y \underline{t} b^{\top} n^{\top}$ [vatā $\left.\bar{u} \bar{u} n a\right]$ "they return" (1.23,56); t'pn [ta ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ üpüna] "they fly" (1.19 III,44); tknn [takününa] "they will establish (an investigation)" (3.3,6,9; cf. Rainey 197la:160, contra Verreet [1988:134] who interpreted it as a short form in L stem); ylls s"n [yalūsüna] "they wallow" (1.114,20; it seems that Tkmn, Šnm and Hby are the subject of this verb; cf. TO II, p. 77 and n. 241). Short forms: yș̣h [yas̄̄̄hū] "may they shout" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 36$ ); tss ${ }^{\top} t^{1}$ [tašitū] "they put" (1.4 VI,22; the form could also be Gp $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. meaning "[fire] was put [into the house(s)]"); $\operatorname{tkn}[\operatorname{tak} \bar{n} n \bar{u}]$ "they were" (1.14 $\mathrm{I}, 15)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - tgwln [tagrwituna] "(when your teeth) speak" (1.82,4; the root is conjugated as a strong one; for the possibility that the form is D stem cf. de Moor and Sprong 1984:239).

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - tṣhn [tasīh$\left.h a ̄ n i\right]$ "the two of them shout" $(1.23,46) ; t ̣^{\mathrm{r}} d n^{1}$ [tasūdāni] "the two of them prepare victuals", or "the two of them hunt" $(1.114,23)$. A short form: tṣh [tasiih $\bar{a}]$ "the two of them shout" (1.5 II,17).

## Imperative

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - $q l$ [ $\left.q i l\right]$ "fall!" (1.4 VIII,27); bn [bin] "understand!" $(1.4$ $\mathrm{V}, 60)$; št [šlt] "put!" (1.3 IV,41; this could be a qtl form i.e. [šata]); bt [bat] "be ashamed!" (1.2 IV,28,29; if the root is $B \underline{T} \underline{T}$, then the form could be [but], cf. TO I, p. 139). With suffix pronoun: sth [š̀tannйū (< sìtanhū̆) "send him (to me)!" (2.39,35; cf. Dijkstra 1976:438; Verreet 1988:123; Pardee 1981:152).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - $\underline{t} b[\underline{t} \bar{u} b \bar{u} \overline{]}]$ return!" ( $1.16 \mathrm{~V}, 24$; this could be an imperative from the root $r T B$, cf. supra, p. 149).

Dual
$2^{\text {nd }} c . d u .-q l[q \bar{l} \bar{a}]$ "(both of you) fall down!" (1.3 III, 10; 1.4 VIII,27).

## Participle

Singular
Masculine - 'ar ['āru] "(the moon) illuminates" (1.24,38); ql [qēlu/ $q \bar{l} l u]$ "(Môt) falls" (1.6 VI,21); řs [rā̆su] "he who is impoverished" (1.14 I, 10,22; but it can be from the root RŠŠ, cf. infra, p. 173); 'ib ['ēbu/'̄$b u$ ] "hostile one, enemy" (1.2 IV, 8,$9 ; 1.10 \mathrm{II}, 24$; cf. syllabic attestations: $e-b u$ [Ug $\left.5130 \mathrm{III}, 15^{\prime}\right]$ beside Canaanite $\left.i-b i{ }^{[ }{ }^{〔} \bar{\imath} b \bar{i}\right]$ "my enemy" [EA 252,28]).

## Plural

Masculine - Accusative construct $q m{ }^{\prime} a h k$ [ $\left.q \bar{a} m \bar{\imath}{ }^{\prime} a h \bar{b} \bar{k} \bar{a}\right]$ "the enemies of your (m.s.) brother" (1.10 II,25).

## Infinitive

The syllabic attestations reveal that the infinitive for verbs with second yod were formed on the qîlu pattern, e.g. šiti-ru $[\tilde{s i r} u]$ ( $<\overline{s i y r u}$ ) "to sing" (Ug 5130 III, 7 '; but perhaps this form is simply the noun, "song" and not an infinitive); ši-tu [situ] (< sivitu) "to put, place" (Ug $5130 \mathrm{III}, 10^{\prime}$ ). The infinitive of verbs with second waw may have been on the pattern $q \bar{a} l u$ or $q \bar{u} l u$ (cf. Cowley 1910:198). For example, $b^{\prime} u$ tb' $u$ [ $b \vec{u}^{3} u / b \vec{a}^{\vec{a}} u$ tab $\vec{u}^{3} u$ ?] "verily you come" (1.16 VI, 3 ); $b$ ${ }^{c} p\left[b i{ }^{-} \bar{u} \bar{p} i / b i i^{-} \bar{a} p i\right]$ "by flying" ( $1.10 \mathrm{II}, 23$ ); with enclitic mem, mtm tmtn [mütu-ma/mātu-ma $\operatorname{tamütan(n)a]~"verily~will~you~die"~(1.16~I,~3-4,~17-~}$ 18; cf. Verreet 1988:88).

## The Gp Stem (?)

yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ydk [yudāku] "it should be pulverized" $(1.85,3$; the root might be DKK, cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:13-14).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. $-t d^{\top} k^{1} n[t u d \bar{a} k \bar{n} n a]$ "they should be pulverized" $(1.72,39$;
the root might be $D K K$, cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:43).

## The Gt Stem

yqtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-l$ yttn [ $[\bar{a}$ yițînu/yittânu] (< yittayinu/yittayanu) "(if a horse does not) urinate" (1.71,9; from the root $T Y N$; cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:24; Wheeler 1970-71:21-22, n. 5).

## The L Stem

It would seem that the verbs in this class did not conjugate in the D stem because the second radical would have to be geminated. Instead, the L stem is preferred, that is with reduplicated final radical and a presumably long vowel after the first radical (hence "L[ong]" stem). There are not many attested examples.

## yqtl

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - A short form: 'al ttbb ['al tatāabib/tutābib] "do not return!" (transitive) (1.169,19; and cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:349).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - With suffix pronouns: ymmh [yarāmimuhŭ/ yurāmimuhü] "he will raise up (the building)" (1.9,9; perhaps the hé can be taken as the beginning of the following damaged word).
 the root might be ' $P P$ ). With suffix pronoun: $t^{〔} r r^{\top} k$ [ta'ārirukü/ tu'āriruk ${ }^{\text {b }}$ " "does (my love) arouse you (f.s.)?" (1.4 IV,39).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-~ t r m m n ~[t a r a ̄ m i m u ̄ n a / t u r a ̄ m i m u ̄ n a] ~ " t h e y ~ r a i s e ~ u p ~(t h e ~ b u i l d-~$ ings)" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 54$; this could be from the Lp stem, i.e. [tarāmamūna/ turämamūna] "(the buildings) will be built"; cf. Held 1965:273 and TO I, p. 210). A short form: trmm [tarāmimū/turāmimū] "they will raise up (his palace)" (1.4 VI,17).

```
Imperative
2nd m.s. - mmm [rāmim] "raise up! (/build!)" (1.4 V,52).
```

The Š Stem

## qtl

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - šqlt [šaqiltä] "you felled" (1.16 VI,44; cf. von Soden 1967a:295).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.— $\check{s} q l$ [šaqūla] "he felled" (1.4 VI,41; $1.22 \mathrm{I}, 12$; in those contexts $\dot{s} q l$ is in parallel with $t b h[t a b a h a]$ "he slaughtered", cf. Loewenstamm 1984:357-358); šs $q$ [šasīqa] "(the enemy) harassed" (2.33,27; the form could be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl., i.e. [šaşīqū] "[the enemies] harassed"). In the clause $\underline{t} b \mathrm{rgm}(1.106,23)$ it is likely that a $\underline{t}$ has dropped, i.e. it should be ${ }^{*} t t b$ [tataba] as corrected by $K T U^{2,}$ p. 122, n. 2; and the meaning should be "he replied" (lit.: "he returned a word"). Another suggestion is to take $\underline{t} b$ as Gp, i.e. [ $\underline{\underline{t}} b a]$ (cf. $U g 7$, p. 30).
yqtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'askn ['ašakīnu] "I will prepare" ( $1.16 \mathrm{~V}, 27 ; 2.26,5$; the root could be $\check{S} K \mathcal{N}$ rather than $K W N$; concerning those two roots in Ugaritic, cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1974:47-53 and TO I, p. 566, n. $f$ ).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - tšknn [tǎ̌akīnan(n)a/tǔ̌akīnan(n)a] "you will establish" (2.47,3,5; this could be from the root $S K \mathcal{N}$ in the D stem, i.e. [tašakkinan(n)a/tušakkinan(n)a]).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - yttb [yataaizu/yutaaibu] "he brings back", "he causes to return" (1.41,45).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tttb [tatalibu/tutatibu] "she brings back", "she causes to return" (2.16,19); tštr [tašatiru/tušatīn] "she brings back" (1.22 I, 11; from the root TWR, cf. Ginsberg 1936:433). With suffix pronoun:


Plural
 will return" (1.41,54; 3.4,17).

Imperative
$2^{\text {nd }} m . s .-\ddot{t} b[$ tatib] (< satib) "cause to return!" $(2.34,9)$.

Infinitive
$\underline{t t b}{ }^{\text {'ank }}\left[\underline{t} u t a ̈ b u{ }^{\prime}\right.$ 'anāku] "I caused to return" $(2.38,23)$. In this case the infinitive serves as finite verb (cf. TO II, p. 356, n. 26 and supra, p. 124).

The Šp Stem
yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-t t t b$ [tutatäbu?] "she was caused to return" (1.114,27; cf. TO II, p. 77, n. 243).

## The Third WAW/YOD Verbs

## General Remarks

Most of the Third waw verbs had shifted to Third yod (as in Biblical Hebrew and the other Northwest Semitic languages; cf. Moscati 1969:166). Two roots kept waw as the third radical, $\breve{S} L W$ "to repose", in the form 'aštw ['ašluwa?] "let me repose" (1.14 III,45) and the root ' $T W$ "to come", in the form 'ativt [atawat] "she came" (1.4 IV,32) (the other forms from these two roots behave as Third yod verbs; cf. below). Another, somewhat doubtful root is $\breve{S}_{N} W$ "to hasten" in the form šnwot [šanawat] "she hastened(?)" (1.96,1; cf.
 in the $\$$ stem; cf. $T O$ II, p. 42, n. 91 ).

Triphthongs and diphthongs are characteristic of forms from verbs in this class. The diphthongs $a y, a w$ and $u w, i y$ always contract. It would appear that there was no consistent behavior for the triphthongs, i.e. some of them contract while others do not (cf. Sivan 1984b:279-293 and supra, p. 4lf.).

The elision or the preservation of the yod or the waw in the orthography is not an effective key for the interpretation of forms in this verb class, since the verb stem is often obscure. This is true as in the verb classes discussed above.

## The G Stem

$q t l$
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - bnt [banêt̄̄̆ŭ/banîtüu] (< banaytü/baniytū) "I built" (1.4 VI,36; cf. the syllabic form from Amarna letters ${ }^{\top} b a^{\top}-\Gamma_{n i}{ }^{1}-\Gamma_{t i}{ }^{1}$ [banitiz] [EA

 drank" (1.4 III,14; 2.34,32; the form was certainly qatil as demonstrated by the yiqtal $(u)$ pattern in the prefix conjugation, cf. below);
 likely that this form is D stem, cf. below); šnt [šanôtū?] (< šanawtū? ) "I am fast", "I do quit" (1.3 IV,33; cf. TO I, p. 171; Smith 1994:44 and n. 44 and 1995:792-793).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. - 'ly ['alaya] "he went up" ( $1.4 \mathrm{I}, 23$ ), beside ' $l$ ['alâ] (< 'alaya) "he has attacked" (2.30,17,19); pdy [padaya] "he ransomed" (3.4,2); qny [qanaya] "he acquired" (3.9,2); mǵy [maǵaya] "he arrived" ( $1.100,67$ ). With suffix pronoun: ' $n h m$ ['anâhum $(\bar{u}]$ ] (< 'anayahum $[\bar{u}]$ ) "he answered them" ( $1.23,73 ; K T U^{2}$, p. 69 separates the form into two, i.e. ' $n h m$, this separation does not make any sense).'
$3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - 'atwet ['atawat] "she came" (1.4 IV,32); 'ryt ['arayat] "(your ship) came back(?)" ( $2.38,25$; cf. TO II, p. 357 and n. 29 for other interpretations); mǵyt [maǵayat] "she arrived" (1.4 IV,31); 'lyt [‘alayat] "she went up" (l.176,6; the form can be interpreted as $2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s., cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:351) beside 'lt ['alât] (<'alayat) "she went up" (1.82,9; cf. Biblical Hebrew "עָשֶׁ" "she did" (Lev. 25:21), הית hayât] "it was" (Siloam Inscription, line 3; cf. Blau 1983:158159); క̌nwt [šanawat?] "she hastened(?)" (1.96,1; cf. Virolleaud 1961:182, but perhaps the root is $\mathcal{N W Y}$ "to be lovely" in the S stem, cf. TO II, p. 42, n. 91).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - mǵy [maǵayū] "(the Rephaim) arrived" ( $1.20 \mathrm{II}, 6$ ) beside mǵ 'ilm [maǵ̂́u 'ilūma] (< maǵaȳ̄) "the gods arrived" ( $1.82,42$; this form may very well be an absolute infinitive serving as a finite verb, cf. below); sty [ $\dot{\text { s.atiy }} \bar{u}]$ "they drank" (1.4 VI,55); spy [sapayū] "they overlaid" (4.167,6; cf. Verreet 1985:329; it is quite possible that the form is D stem or even Gp for which cf. supra, p. 128).

Dual
 of us have come" (1.5 VI,5,8).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - ǵztm [ǵgazêtumā] (< ǵgazaytumă $)$ "have the two of you entreated?" (1.4 III,31).
yqtl
The final radical is preserved when there is a vowel after it. When it is not followed by a vowel (preterite or jussive), it contracts because it is the second member of a diphthong, so it is not represented in the orthography. On the other hand, a triphthong does sometimes contract and its final vowel then dominates as a long vowel (cf. supra, p. 42, for discussion).

Note that there is no possibility to know whether the forms brought here are indeed G stem. There is good reason to believe that some of the forms with prefix vowel $a$ are actually D stem. The same would apply to forms of these verbs in the other persons as given below.

There follow examples of the various forms (the proposed reconstructions are not always certain):

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'astwo ['astuwa?] "let me repose" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 45$; for this reconstruction, cf. Ginsberg 1946:40); 'amǵy ['amǵyu] "I arrive" (1.21 II,7); 'iphn [iphan(n)a] "I see" (2.31,39); "'iph1 ['ipĥ̂?] (< 'iphayu) "I will see" ( $1.10 \mathrm{II}, 32$; the root is PHY and not PWH, cf. below); 'ihd ['ihd $\hat{u}]$ (< 'ihdayu) "I am happy" (2.33,21; cf. Verrect 1983a:230, n. 72) and 'ihd ['ihdâ] (< 'ihdaya) "I am happy" (2.15,10; the form is
 also Verreet 1983a:229); 「'ibk' ${ }^{\top}$ ['ibkiyu] (<'abkivu) or [ ${ }^{2}$ bkayu] "I will weep" (1.161,13; cf. supra, p. 44). A short form: 'abn ['abni] (< 'abniy) "I will repair" (1.18 IV,40; but most likely it is D stem ['abann̂̃] [< 'abanniy]). With suffix pronouns: 'ibǵyh ['ibǵayuhă] "I will seek her" (1.3 III,29); 'atnyk ['atniyuki] "I will recount to you (f.s.)" (1.3 III,22; this is most likely factitive D stem); 'istn ['istannŭ/' 'istannă] "I may drink it (m. or f.)" ( $5.9 \mathrm{I}, 16$ ); 'istynh ['ištayan(n)ahä] "I drink it" ( 1.4 III, 16).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - tmǵy [tamǵiy] "you will arrive" (1.14 III,4); tpky [tapkiyn]
"you cry" (1.107,11; for $b>p$ cf. supra, p. 27). A short form: 'al $\operatorname{tg}^{\prime} l^{1}[$ 'al taǵg $\hat{\imath}]$ (< 'al taǵliy) "do not bow (your head)!" ( $1.3 \mathrm{I}, 1$ ).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - tšqyn [tašqiyīnn(n)a] "you serve drink" ( $1.19 \mathrm{IV}, 53$; this might also be imperfect [tašquivina]].
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ymǵy [yamǵyu] "he arrives" (1.14 IV,47); ymǵn [yamǵiyan(n)a] "he arrives" (1.17 II,24); $y^{c} n y$ [ $y a^{c} n i y u$ ] "he answers" (1.107,12); ytiny [yatnivu] "he tells" (1.4 VII, 30 ; most likely factitive D stem); ybky [yabkiyu] "he weeps" (1.107,8); $y^{c} l\left[y a^{c} l \hat{u}\right]\left(<y a^{c} l i y u\right)$ "he goes up" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 14$; this form is in a chain of imperfects so it cannot be a jussive or preterite, contra Verreet 1988:75-76); yduu [yid $\hat{u}]$ (< yid'ayu) "he will take wing" (1.103+1.145,42); yhd [yahdû] (< yahdiyu) "he sees" (1.19 III,15); yr [yîrû] (< yiyrayu) "he shoots" $(1.23,38)$. Short forms: ymǵ [yamǵt] (< yamǵy ) "he arrived" (1.15 V,18); yšt [yistet $]\left(<\right.$ yistay ) "he drank" (1.175,13); yl $\left[y a^{c}(t)\left(<y a^{c} l i y\right)\right.$ "he went up" (1.6 I,57); yph [yiphê] (< yiphay) "he saw" (1.19 II,14); ybǵ [yibǵé] (< yibǵay) "he desired" (1.172,20); yh [yahî] (< yahyiy?) "may he live!" (1.17 I,36; 2.7,9; 6.30,1); yphn [yiphan(n)a] (< yiphayan(n)a) "he sees"
 her" $(1.100,66)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tqry [taqriyu] "she encounters" (1.3 II,4); tgly [tagliyu] "she reaches" (1.16 VI,4); tšqy [tă̌qqiyu] "she serves drinks" (1.19 IV,62); thdy [tahdiyu] "she sees" (1.3 II,24); tbky [tabkiyu] "she cries" (1.16 I,55; this could also be D stem meaning "she mourns"); td'u [tid' $\hat{u}]$ (< tid'ayu) "she takes wing" (1.16 VI,6,7); tPu [tiPû] (< tiPayu) "she overcomes" or "she becomes weak" ( $1.100,68$; contrary to the view of Verreet [1988:44], who sees here an original [taPuwu]); tzǵg [tazǵú] (< tazǵuwu?) "she moans" (1.15 I,5); tr [t̂rîu?] (< tiyrayu?) "she speeds (in flight)" (1.10 II,11; cf. Ginsberg 1969:142; Gordon 1965:415; contra TO I, p. 283, n. $m$ which interprets the form as absolute infinitive of the root $T W R$ ); $t^{\dagger} h r^{1} n$ [tahrân $(n) a ?$ ? $(<\operatorname{tahriyan}[n] a)$ "she conceives" (1.5 V,22). Short forms: tsts $[$ tiżté $](<$ tistay $)$ "she drank" $(1.96,4) ; t^{c} l\left[t a^{〔}(\hat{l}]\left(<t a^{c} l i y\right)\right.$ "she ascended" ( $\left.1.10 \mathrm{III}, 29 ; 1.13,20\right) ; \mathrm{tmg}$ [tamǵl] (tamg'iy) "she arrived" (1.5 VI,28); tph [tiphê] (< tiphay) "she saw" ( $1.3 \mathrm{III}, 32$; there is no need to view the form as subjunctive as Verreet [1988:236] does). With suffix pronouns: tbkyk [tabkiyukä] "she bewails you (m.s.)" (1.16 I,6; II,44; the form is most likely D stem); tbkynh [tabkiyan(n)ahü] "she bewails him" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 16$; the form is
most likely D stem); tPu'an "(sleep) overcame him" (1.14 I,33; the scribe may have intended to write *tP unn [tiP un(n)annŭ̈?], cf. supra, p. 13); tpnn $[\operatorname{tapnan}(n) \bar{u}](<\operatorname{tapniyanhu\overline {u})}$ "he turns towards him" $(1.96,5)$.

## Plural

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.pl. - Short forms: nšt [nistete] (< nistay) "let us drink" (1.23,72; the form could be [nisista] [< ništaya?], cf. Verreet 1988:167); $n^{c} l$ [nach] (< na'liy) "let us go up" ( $1.119,33$ ).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - tstynn [tistayūna ] "they drink" (1.22 I, 23) beside $t^{1}{ }^{1} t^{\mathrm{r}} n^{1}$ [tisitûna] (< tištayūna) "they drink" (1.114,3); t'nyn [tac niyiūna] "they answer" ( $1.23,12$ ); t'ln [ta'lûna] (< ta'liyūna) "they ascend" (1.20 II,4; 1.112,7). Short forms: t'ity [ti'tayū] "they came" (1.15 III, 17,18); tǵly [tagiliy $\bar{u}]$ "they bowed (their heads)" (1.2 I,23); t'it $\left[t a^{\prime} t \hat{u}\right]\left(<t a^{3} t i y \bar{u}\right)$ "they came" (1.20 II,10; cf. Dijkstra and de Moor 1975:214-215; Verreet 1988:135-136 and n. 30, contra TO I, p. 478 which interprets the form as $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$ ); $t^{c} l\left[t a^{c} \backslash \hat{u}\right]$ ( $<$ tac $\left.l i y \bar{u}\right)$ "they will go up" (2.33,37; cf. TO II, p. 340, n. 46).

## Dual

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. - Short forms: [n] ${ }^{\text {「 }}{ }^{\text {gr }}{ }^{1}$ [naǵzûu] (< naǵziyu?) "the two of us entreat" (1.4 III,35). The form mǵz (1.4 I,22) indicates that this verb could be D stem, but this latter form could be simply a noun.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - tǵzyn [taǵziyāni] "(how) the two of you entreat (the Creatress of the Gods)?" (1.4 III,29).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - tmǵyn [tamǵgyāni] "the two of them arrive" $(1.21,30)$ and $l$ tmǵyn [lā tamǵyān $]$ "(his feet do not) reach (the footstool)" (1.6 I,59). Short forms: ymǵy [yamǵy 1 ä] "(Anat and Athtart) arrive" (1.114,9); tmǵyy [tamǵyā̄(y)] "(two thousand horses) arrive" (2.33,31; concerning the otiose yod, cf. supra, p. 15).

## Imperative

Singular
 "watch!" (2.77,8); mǵ [miĝí (< migivy) "come!" (1.3 VI,11); bn ${ }^{1}$ [binî] (< biniy) "build!" (1.2 III,7; 1.4 V,18,33); ng [nigi] (< nigiy) "flee!" (1.14 III,27); ph m${ }^{c}$ [pahê ma'] (< pahay) "see!" (1.15 III,28; for the root PHY, cf. below); w st $^{1} m^{1}$ [wa-šatê-ma] (<wa-šatay-ma) "and drink!" (1.5 I,25).

There are, nevertheless, forms with imperative force that have the final yod. These could be interpreted as absolute infinitives functioning as imperatives, e.g. tny "recount!" (1.16 VI,28; a command given to Yaṣibu). The possible forms would then be G stem [tanāyu] or D stem [tunnayu]. But if these can be taken as real imperatives, then they may be lengthened forms with the volitive suffix $a$ of the G stem, i.e. [timiya] or (most likely) of the D stem, i.e. [tanniya]).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - There are both, forms that preserve the final $y o d$ and those that do not. The following are examples: qryy [qiriyiñ $y$ )? "meet!" (1.3 IV,8; for the otiose yod cf. supra, p. 15); št [satiti] (< satayy) "drink!" (1.4 IV,36) and also with enclitic mem, štym [šatayī-ma] "drink!" (1.4 IV,35); ${ }^{l} p_{i}{ }^{1}\left[l a^{\prime} \hat{\imath}\right]\left(<l a a^{\prime} a y \hat{l}\right)$ "conquer!, overcome!" (1.16 VI,2); di [dâ̂ $]\left(<d a^{\prime} a y \grave{\imath}\right)$ "take wing!, soar!" (1.16 V,48; in 1.108,8 di ${ }^{d}$ should not be taken as imperative as Rainey [1974:187-188] suggests or as absolute infinitive [cf. TO II, p. 116, n. 356], but rather we propably should delete $d i i$ as dittography before the next form dit; cf. Loewenstamm 1980:327, n. 16a); 'at ['ati] (< 'atawē/'atayñ) "come!" (1.1 III,16; IV,17) and also with enclitic mem, 'atm ['ati-ma] (< 'atawī-ma/'atay̌̄-ma) (1.3 III,28).

## Plural

 wing!" (1.19 III,14).

Dual
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. - tny [tiniy $\left.\bar{a}\right]$ "(the two of you) recount!" (1.3 III, 12; 1.5 $\mathrm{II}, 9$; but this verb is most likely D stem).

## Active Participle

Singular
Masculine - bny [bāniyu] "builder, creator" (1.4 III,32).
Feminine - quyt [qāniyatu] "creatress" (1.4 I,22) and the nominal form \ha-mì-ti $[$ hāmîti $]$ (< hamiyti) "wall" (PRU III, p. 137,4); dit $\left[d \vec{a} \hat{\imath}^{-}-\right.$ $t u]$ (< d $\vec{a} i y t u$ ) "she flies" (1.108,8; cf. Rainey 1974:187-188; Loewenstamm 1980:327, n. 16a and TO II, p. 116, n. 356).

Plural
Masculine - $r^{c} y m$ [ $r^{c}$ civanma] "shepherds" $(4.243,45)$. With suffix pro-
nouns: šsk [šāsâkă] (< sāasiyūk̆̆̆u) "your (m.s.) despoilers" (1.13,6; cf. TO II, p. 23, n. 10 for other interpretations).

Feminine - b/kyt [bākiyātu] "weepers, mourning women" (1.19 IV,910).

## Passive Participle

Singular
Masculine - ${ }^{k} k$ l $l y$ [kalüyu/kať̌yu/kaliyu] "used up, finished" (1.16 III, 13,14); spy [ssapūyu/sapīyu/sapiyu] "plated" (2.79,10; the form could be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. [sapayū] and it could thus be D stem). With suffix pro-
 IV,30).

Plural
Feminine - bnwt [banūwätu] (< banüyātu?) "created things" (1.6 III, 5,11 ; here the waw may have developed as a glide); spyt [sapūyātu/ sab $\bar{y} \bar{y} t u /$ sapiyātu] "overlaid, coated" $(4.167,2)$.

## Infinitive

 ihh̆ or bi-ma bikyihŭ] "as he weeps" (1.14 1,31); l sty [le-šatāyi/šityi] "in order to drink" (1.15 IV,27). With contraction of the triphthong: Pa šmm [la'â s̆amûma] (< la'āyu s̆amûma) "the heavens became strong" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 25$; concerning this type of contraction, cf. supra, p. 43); $w$ ${ }^{'} n$ rbt 'atrt $y m$ "and Ashera of the Sea answered [wa-'ana] (< wa'anāyu)" (1.6 I,53); b bk krt "when Keret weeps [bi-bakâ/biki] (< bibakā̀i/bikyı)" (1.14 II,7).

Frequently it is hard to determine whether the form in question is an infinitive or a $q t l$ finite verb in $3^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{m} . s$. or $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. For example:
$w^{\prime} n$ 'aPi $[y n] b{ }^{\text {c }} l$ "and Mighty Baal answered" (1.4 VI,7), ' $n$ could be $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. ['ana] ( $<$ 'anaya) or absolute infinitive ['ana] ( $<$ 'anāyu). $w k$ mǵ 'ilm "and when the gods arrive(d)" (1.82,42), mǵ could be $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. [maǵgu] (< maǵayy) but is more likely an absolute infinitive, e.g. [maǵá] (< maǵăvu).
Likewise with the following forms: mǵ hw [mağâ huwa] (< maǵaya) or [mağâ huwa] (< maǵāyu) "he arrived" (1.23,75); šl hw [šalâ huwa] (< šalawa) or (< šalāwu) "he relaxed" $(2.61,6)$.

## The Gp Stem

Forms of this verb class in the Gp stem have been discussed along with the strong verbs, supra, p. 127-128.

## The Gt Stem

## yqtl

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - ${ }^{\text {'iststbm }}$ [isistabî-ma/'ištabê-ma] (< 'ištabiy-ma/'ištabay-ma) "I captured" (1.3 III,40; certainly the root is $S$ ŠBY, cf. Greenstein 1982:204216 and CDUL [forthcoming]; contra Gordon [1965:487], Barr [1973:17-39], Loewenstamm [1980:466], Renfroe [1992:144-145], Rin and Rin [1996:139] and TO II, p. 29, n. 46 who interpret the form as Gt stem of the root $\check{S} B M$ "to muzzle" [found in Arabic]).

## The N Stem

$q t l$
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-n k l y$ [naklaya] "finished, used up" (4.279,1); $n^{\text {c } n}$ [nacnâ] (< na'naya] "it was answered" (1.24,31; cf. Gordon 1967:100 and n. 53; Herrmann 1968:18; Rainey 1970b:535).

## yqtl

Singular
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. - the lone form is in the following sentence: tlt 'id ynphy yrh byrh 'ahrm "three times the moon is seen in the month of Ahram" (1.163,5, for the possibility of taking the form ynphy as $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. "they are visible", cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990a:99).
ynphy [yinpahiyu] is N stem in which the stem morpheme $n$ did not assimilate (cf. supra, p. 31; and also Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:352-353). The root PHY in Ugaritic was first discerned by Rainey (1971a:172; cf. also Verreet 1984:310-312).

The D Stem
qtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - klt [kalhitū] (< kalliytūu$)$ "I destroyed" ( $1.3 \mathrm{III}, 46$ ).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - hwot [hawrentil] (< hawwiyth) "may you live (my sister)" (1.10 II,20).
yqtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - Beside long forms as 'ahwy ['ahawwiyu] "I will grant life" (1.17 VI,32) and 「'akly ['akalliyu] "I will destroy" ( $1.6 \mathrm{~V}, 25$ ), there are also short forms: 'ahwo ['ahawwri] (< 'ahawwiy) "I will grant life" ( $1.82,19$ ); l'ahw [lă 'ahawrwt] (< lā 'ahawwiy) "I did not give life" ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 16$; for the use of D stem $H W Y$ alongside G stem $H Y \Upsilon$, cf. Marcus 1972:76-82); 'a「kl' ['akalli] (< 'akalliy) "let me destroy" (1.19 IV,34).
$2^{\text {nd }} m$.s. - tkly [takalliyu/tukaliyu] "you will destroy" (1.5 I,2):
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. - Short forms: tkl [takalî̀/tukall $\left.\hat{\imath}\right](<$ takalliy $\bar{z} / t u k a l l i y \hat{z})$ "may you destroy" (1.19 IV,40) and perhaps $t^{\top} h h^{l}[w y]$ [tahawwiyy/tuhawwivi] "may you grant life" (1.18 IV,13).
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ykly [yakalliyu/yukalliyu] "he (will) destroy(s)" (1.2 IV,27; $1.103+1.145,40) ;$ ysly [yasalliyu/yusalliyu] "he prays" (1.19 I,39); yks [yakassû/yukassû] (< yakassiyu/yukassiyu) "he covers (himself)" (1.5 VI,16; contra Verreet [ 1988:241] who sees this as a short form). With suffix pronoun: $k$ ypt.hm [kî-yapatîhumä/yupatîhumä] (< yapattiyhumā/yupattiyhum $\bar{a})$ "he surley seduced the two of them" $(1.23,39)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - tply [tapalliyu/tupalliyu] "she adorns" (1.101,5; the form can be interpreted as G stem; cf. Rainey 1974:189 and TO II, p. 48, n. 105).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.pl. - A short form: l tkly [lā takalliyū/tukalliyū] "they verily (/did not/may they) eat" (1.6 II,36).

## Participle

Singular
Masculine - $m^{\Gamma} k^{1} l^{\top} y^{\top}$ [mukalliyu] "he who destroys, destroyer" (1.19 IV,40).

## Infinitive

The alphabetic documentation does not support an interpretation of the D stem infinitive but there is evidence in the syllabic texts. From the root $H W Y$ there is $h u-w u-u$ [huwwiú] (< huwreayu) "to grant life" ( $U g 5137 \Pi, 17^{\circ}$ ). Of course, one could transcribe $b u-w a-u$, but then it is hard to explain why there is no orthographic representation of the yod.

## The Dp Stem

yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - The form $y k l$ is found in the following passage:
$y n d y k l b d[\ldots]$ "wine that has been used up in the possession of [ ... ]" (1.91,1).
The verbal form can very well be Dp [yakallê/yukallế] (< yakallay/yukallay).

The Š Stem
$q t l$
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-s^{\text {rll }}$ [ [šacliya] "he set up" $(6.14,1)$.

yqtl
Singular
 not suppress (your lips)!" $(1.82,5$; it seems that the root is $W \mathscr{} Y$; less probable to interpret the form from the root $\mathcal{N S} \Upsilon$ "to forget", cf. de Moor and Spronk 1984:239 and TO II, p. 64). A short form:
 up!" (1.14 III,12).
 IV,23). A short form: yš̌qq [yašašqı̂//yušǎ̌qqi] (< yašašqiy/yušašaqiy) "he served drinks" (1.17 II, 33,35,38).
 With suffix pronoun: ts"lynh [tašáliyan(n)ahŭultušacliyan(n)ahŭ] "she brings him up" (1.6 I, 15).

Imperative


## The Št Stem

This stem is known from other Semitic languages (Biblical Aramaic, in Akkadian and in Arabic). The examples from Ugaritic are:
$2^{\text {nd }}$ c.du. — tšthrey [tišstahreryā̄] "do obeisance" (1.3 III,10; 1.4 VIII,28).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{c . d u} .-l$ tsthwey [là tistahwiyul] "both of them do not obeisance" (1.2 I,31).

The root of these verbal forms is debated. If one assumes that it is $H W Y$, then the forms must represent Št stem. On the other hand, if the root was $\check{S} H W / S \check{S} H Y$, then they are Gt stem (cf. Emerton 1977:41-56; Fenton 1980: 273; Blau 1985:294). The Ugaritic forms are strongly in favor of $H W Y$ as the root. The Biblical Hebrew cognate forms also support $H W Y$ as the root.

## The Verbs weith Reduplicated Second Radical

The G Stem
$q t l$
Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - rbt [rabbat̆̄̆] "you are great" $(1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 3)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - $s b$ [sabba] "it turned (to)" ( $1.4 \mathrm{VI}, 34$ ); hl [halla] "he profaned" ( $1.119,24$; the form can be a participle of G stem, cf. TO II, p. 209); hr [harra] "(the horse) discharged (a putrid liquid)" (1.85,5,7; the form might be a participle, cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:18). As a strong verb: ndd [nadada] "he wandered, departed" $(1.23,63)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. - As a strong verb: nttt [natatat] "she trembled(?)" "she jumped(?)" (1.82,9; cf. TO II, p. 66, n. 184).

## yqtl

## Singular

$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. - 'apr ['apurru] "I shall break (an agreement)" ( $1.15 \mathrm{III}, 30$; cf. Ginsberg 1946:42 and TO I, p. 542, n. u). With suffix pronoun: 'amrk ['amurrukü] "I bless you (m.s.)" (2.33,13; from the root MRR in parallel with $B R K$; the forms from this root with the meaning "to bless" do not behave like strong verbs. On the other hand, it has been suggested that the root might not be $M R R$, cf. Pardee 1978a:249-288, note especially p. 257 n. 52).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - A short form: 'al $t^{\top} d^{l} m$ ['al tadum?] "do not observe silence (for me)!" ( $1.16 \mathrm{I}, 25-26$; from the root $D M M$ "to mourn, to observe silence"). With suffix pronoun: tmmn [tamurran(n)annū] "you bless him" (1.17 I,24).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s} .-y g z[y \operatorname{aguzzu}]$ "he will shear" ( $1.80,5$; from the root GZD); $y m r$ [yamurru] "he blesses" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 35$ ); ysb [yasubbu] "he turns around" (1.19 II,19); ykr [yakurru] "he goes around(?)" (1.100,62; from the root $K R R$, cf. Pardee 1988:203 and 215); yqt [yaquttu] "he drags, draws" (1.2 IV,27; from the root QTI, cf. Ginsberg 1936:76 and Gordon 1965:481); ydd [yaddudu] (< yandudu) "he goes away" (1.10 II,17). As strong verbs: ytll [yatulu] "(the dew) comes down" (1.19 I,41; this could have another vocalization or even a different stem). Short forms: 「ylšdd [yašdud] "may he lay waste (the land)" ( $1.103+1.145,37$ ); $y^{c} z z\left[y^{i} z a z\right]$ "may he be strong" $(1.103+1.145,57)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. $-t d[t a d d u]$ (< tandudu) "(fire) wanders (through the houses)" (1.4 VI,32). As strong verbs: thrr [tahruru] "(the bird) burns(?)" or "(the bird) is burned(?)" ( $1.23,44$; the form could also be D stem or L stem); tǵll [taǵlulu] "she wades" (1.3 II,27; this could be either D or L stem); tmll [tamlulu] "she rubs" (1.101,6; this could be either D or L; cf. Pardee 1988:144 and n. 127; cf. also TO II, p. 48, n. 108); tǵdd [taǵdudu] "she exults" (1.3 $1 \mathrm{II}, 25)$.

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.pl. - Short forms: ${ }^{\mathbf{r}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{r}$ [tapurrū] "may you fly" (1.19 III,14; for the possibility that the root is $\mathcal{N P R}$ cf. Loewenstamm 1980:35; it is less likely to interpret the form as a noun as suggested by Herdner 1963:89, line 120).
$2^{\text {nd }}$ f.pl. - tqt!n [taqtutnăa] "you transgress" $(1.40,23,40)$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - A short form: $\operatorname{tm}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$ [tamurrū] "(the heavens) blessed" $(1.13,26)$; $t^{\top} d^{1}{ }^{1}[\operatorname{taddud} \bar{u}]$ (< tandud $\left.\bar{u}\right)$ "they wander, leave" ( $1.20 \mathrm{II}, 2$ );

Dual
$3^{\text {rd }}$ c.du. - ttt $[$ tattut $\bar{a}]$ (< tantut $\left.\bar{a}\right)$ "(the feet) trembled" (1.3 III, 33 ; cf. TO I, p. 166, n. $b$ ).

## Imperative

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - dm [dum?] "be silent!" (1.14 III,10; cf. Ginsberg 1946:16 and TO I, p. 521, contra Gordon [1965:385] who interprets this word as a presentation particle); $m r$ [ $\mathrm{mar} / \mathrm{mur}$ ?] "remove, oust!" 1.2 IV,19; from the root MRR; for this meaning cf. Sivan 1984a:249).

## Active Participle

Singular
Masculine - $r b$ [rabbu] "great", "master" (3.1,26); ' $z$ [‘azzu] "strong" (1.6 VI,20; 2.10,13); řs [ră̌su] "he who is attenuated" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 10,22$; but it can be from the root $R W \mathscr{S}$, cf. infra, p. 158). As a strong verb: mṣs [māsisuu] "one who suckles" (1.15 II,27).

Feminine - rbt [rabbatu] "great" (1.14 III,30; 1.100,63).
Plural
Masculine - As a strong verb: gzzm [gāzizūma] "shearers" $(4.213,30)$.

## Passive Participle

Singular
Masculine - brr [barūru/banīu/bariru] "(the king is) purified" (1.41,7;
cf. TO II, p. 154, n., 45).
Infinitive
$b$ hnth [bi-hinnatihŭ] "while he beseeched" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 16$; cf. Biblical Hebrew תnisj [Ps 77:10]).

The Gp Stem
yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. $-y d \underline{t}$ [ $y u d a t t u$ ?] "he will be crushed(?)" ( $1.18 \mathrm{I}, 19$; from the root $D T T$, cf. Ginsberg 1969:152 and TO I, p. 436 and n. $f$ ).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. - $t d^{\top} k^{1} n$ [tudakküna] "they should be pulverized" (1.72,39; the root might be $D W K$, cf. Cohen and Sivan 1983:43).

The N Stem
qtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - nsb $[n a s a b b a]$ (< nasbaba?) "(it) was turned, turned into" (1.4 VI,35).

## The D and L Stems

Some of the forms discussed here might also be $G$ stem. It is not certain whether a particular form is D stem, with gemination (lengthening) of the second radical, or L stem, with lengthening of the vowel after the first radical.
yqtl
Singular
$1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. — 'amn ['arāninu/'aranninu] "I will exalt" (1.82,6; cf. van Zijl 1975:73 and 83). With suffix pronoun: 'ahnnn ['ahāninannйй or 'ahanninann $\bar{u}]$ "I shall favor him" ( $2.15,9$; concerning $H \mathcal{H N N}$ for $H \mathcal{H N}$ cf. supra, p. 26).
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$ - yšnn [yašāninu/yǔ̌ăninu or yašanninu/yušanninu] "he grinds his teeth" (1.16 I,13); yhrm [yahāriru-ma/yuhāriru-ma or yaharriru-mal yuharriru-ma] "he burns(?)" "he desires(?)" (1.12 I,39; cf. Gordon 1965: 392 and 1967:92; TO I, p. 343 and n. $u$ ). With suffix pronoun: yhssk [yahāsisukă/yuhāsisuk̆̆a or yahassisukă/yuhassisukă] "it excites you (m.s.)" (1.4 IV,39); ykllnh [yakālilan(n)ah̆̆ŭ/yukāalilan(n)ahŭu or yakalli$\operatorname{lan}(n) a h \breve{u} / y u k a l l i l a n(n) a h \breve{u}]$ "let him complete it" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 10$ ).
 ates(?) (him)" ( $1.4 \mathrm{II}, 10$; the root might be 'WP); thls's' [tahāsisu/
tuhā̄sisu or tahassisu/tuhassisu] "(Ashera) remembers" (1.15 III,25).
Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - With suffix pronoun: $t^{\epsilon} z z k\left[t a^{\mathrm{c}} \bar{a} z i z \bar{u} k \breve{a} / t u^{\mathrm{C}} \bar{a} i z \bar{z} k \bar{a} \bar{a}\right.$ or $\left.t a^{〔} a z z i z \bar{u} k \breve{a} / t u^{〔} a z z i z \bar{u} k \breve{a}\right]$ "may (the gods) make you (m.s.) strong" (5.9 I,4).

## Participle

Plural
Masculine - mhllm [muhāilī̀ma/muhallitūma] "those who profane" (1.119,23; cf. TO II, p. 209).

## Imperative

Singular
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. - With suffix pronoun: hnny [hānin̄̄/hannin̄̄] "favor me!" (2.15,3; the yod is mater lectionis; cf. Liverani 1964:175; de Moor 1965:360; Krahmalkov 1969:264; Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1974a:471).

## The Lp or Dp Stems

yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. - ymnn [yamānanu/yumānanu or yamannanu/yumannanu] "it is lowered" $(1.23,37$; this could be active $G$ stem meaning "he relaxes"); ymss̀ [yamāsasu/yumāsasu or yamassasu/yumassasu] "it shoud be liquified" $\left(1.85,3\right.$; this might be active $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl. "they melted", cf. Verreet 1988:112-113); yd>d<ll [yadālalu/yudälalu or yadallalu/yudallalu] "(the king) will be subjugated" (1.103+1.145,46; the reduplicative dalet is dittography, contra Dietrich and Loretz [1990b:142] who interpret it as respesenting gemination).

Plural
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. - tdlln [tadālalūnna/tudāalatūna or tadallatūna/tudallatūna]"(the spears of the king) will be subjugated" ( $1.103+1.145,7$; contra Dietrich and Loretz [1990b:94] who interpret the form as active).

## Participle

Singular
Masculine - mmnnm [mumānanu-ma/mumannanu-ma] "(the staff of your 'penis') is relaxed" ( $1.23,40,44,47$ ).

The tL or tD Stem
yqtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m．s．－Only one possible form is attested，in a difficult con－ text，viz．yt ${ }^{〔} d d$［ $y a t a^{c} a \bar{a} d i d u / y u t a^{〔} \bar{a} d i d u$ or $\left.y a t a^{〔} a d d i d u / y u t a^{〔} a d d i d u\right]$＂he is encouraged（？）＂，＂he is admonished（？）＂（1．4 III，11）．The broken con－ text in which this form occurs makes it impossible to deduce a plau－ sible interpretation（cf．Renfroe 1992：87 and Smith 1994：282 and n．104）

The Š Stem
$q t l$
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$ ．－šsb［šasibba］（＜šasbiba？）＂it turns（transitive）＂（4．167，8）．
yqtl
Plural
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m．pl．－Short form：yşḥmm［yašahmimū／yušahmimūu］＂they are scorched（？）＂（1．175，7；cf．Bordreuil and Caquot 1979：297）．

The form Skllt（1．16 II，28）may belong to this category，but its person is impossible to determine due to the context．

## Quadrilateral Verbs

There are very few verbs in this class．They may be developed from roots with reduplicated final radical or they may be ono－ matopoeic．The most likely stem formation is that of the R which emulates the D stem（since the D stem gemination of the second radical creates a quadriliteral stem form）．
qtl
$3^{\text {rd }}$ f．s．－hmhmt［hamhimat？］＂she became sexually aroused＂（1．17 $\mathrm{I}, 41 ; 1.23,51$ ；the original root may be $H M M$ or $\Upsilon \underset{M}{ } M$ ；ṣhrt［sahrirat？］ ＂（the sun）scorches／scorched＂（1．6 II，24）．
yqtl
Singular
$3^{\text {rd }}$ m．s．$-y k r k r$［yakarkiru／yukarkiru］＂he twiddles（his fingers）＂（1．4

IV,29; cf. Avishur 1976:260-261) or "he intertwines (his fingers) $=$ folds his hands" (cf. Ginsberg 1936:29); yqtqt [yaqatqitu/yuqatqitu] "he swishes (his tail)" (1.114,5; cf. Pardee 1988:42-43 and TO II, p. 74, n. 227); yprsh. [yaparsihu/yuparsihu] "he bows, collapses(?)" (1.2 IV,22, 25; cf. TO I, p. 138, n. y).

Plural
$2^{\text {nd }}$ m.pl. - A short form: tgrgr [tagargirū/tugargirṻ] "you dwell" (1.23, 66 ; cf. Ginsberg 1936:85; TO I, p. 378 interprets the form as $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.pl.).

## CHAPTER SEVEN

## ADVERBIAL SUFFIXES AND ADVERBS

## Adverbial Suffixes

Adverbial accusative-It seems that as in other Semitic languages so in Ugaritic nouns in adverbial function can stand in the accusative case (cf. Ungnad 1922:14 and Moscati 1969:120). Note the following examples:
$t t l k w t s d k l g r \ldots k l g b^{c}$ "she goes around and she hunts in every mountain [kulla gurm] ... in every hill [kulla gab ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ]" ( 1.5 VI,26-27); ' $r b$ bt mlk "he entered the king's house [bêta malkz]" $(4.338,2) ; b t{ }^{\top} k{ }^{\top} r t$ $t b$ 'un "to the house [bêta] of Keret they come" (1.15 IV,21); rhs s $[\nu]]^{\top} d^{1} k$ 'amt "wash (m.s.) your arms to the forearm ['ammata]" (1.14 II, 10; cf. 1.14 III, 53, where 'amt has the directive -h); w b'l tb' mymm $s p_{n}$ "and Baal departed for the height of Ṣapānu [maryāma Sapān]"" ( $1.4 \mathrm{IV}, 19$ ); w trḥs $t \mathrm{l}$ šmm šmn 'ars "and she may wash with dew of heaven [talla šamîma], (with) oil of earth [šamna 'arsi]" (1.3 IV,4243); ' ${ }^{\text {「 }}{ }^{\text {¹ }}{ }^{1} / m n^{\text {c }} m m$ ttlkn šd "until the goodly gods were going (/went) in the field [šadâ] (< sadaya)" (1.23,67-68); šu ${ }^{\text {「' }} d b{ }^{\top} t k ~ m d b r ~ q d \check{s}$ "raise an offering in the midst [tôka] of the holy steppe land" $(1.23,65)$; $w$ $t r$ 'ars "and she returned to the earth ['arsa]" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 24$ ).
This accusative adverbial may be added to a noun in construct state followed by enclitic mem (cf. infra). Note the following example:
$r k b{ }^{\top} t k{ }^{\top} \mathrm{mm}$ hmt "he mounted the shoulder of the wall [takma-ma/țikma-ma hāâ̂ti]" (1.14 IV,3-4).

Suffix 'id ['ida?] (< yada?)-Attached to numerals to express distributive, or multiplicative, e.g.:
 $(2.12,9)$ (cf. supra, p. 92 and Dahood 1965:11; for its use in Arabic cf. Renfroe 1992:11-12).

Directive (Locative) - $\boldsymbol{h}$-The fact that this morpheme is represented in the orthography may indicate that it was really pronounced (thus also in Biblical Hebrew, cf. Blau 1968a:267). The directive morpheme
may not have had a vowel of its own, but was added to nouns with accusative/adverbial -a (cf. Blau 1974:22). The following are some examples:
'lmh [‘ălamah] "forever" (1.19 IV,6); šmmh [క̌amûmah] "heavenward" $(1.23,38)$ alongside $b$ šmm [bi-šamima] "heavenward" in the same context $(1.23,38)$ where the scribe preferred to use prepositional $b$ instead of directive $-h ;$ qrth [qartah] "to the city" (1.14 III,13); 'amth ['ammatah] "up to the forearm" (1.14 III,53; cf. $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 10$ where the adverbial $-h$ is absent); mutth [mattatatah] (< mantayatah?) "on the bed" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 30$ ); 'arṣh ['arşah] "earthward" (1.14 I,29); mswnh [ ? ] "to the camp" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 21$ ); ms dh [masâdah] "to the fortress" (1.112,19; cf. also $U g 7$, pp. 25-26 with bibliography).

The directive $-h$ is also appended to geographical names and personal names, e.g.:
m'ahdh [Ma'hadah] "to Ma'hadu" (4.149,5); tin šm hmnh "two lambs for Hamānu [Hamānah] (1.112,3; cf. Herdner 1978:23 and Xella 1981:45-46).

Adverbial - $\boldsymbol{m}[-a m(a / i)]$-This suffix is attached to nouns to create adverbs (cf. Biblical Hebrew El-Amarna letters ha-ia-ma "alive" [EA 245,6], le-lá-ma "evening" [EA 195,12-13], ri-qa-mi "empty[-handed]" [EA 137,21]). It is also possible that the orthography conceals $[-u m(a / i)]$ in the locative as in Akkadian (cf. Singer 1943:54-62). The following are examples:
$g m[g \bar{a} m(a / \imath) / \operatorname{gam}(a / \imath)]$ "aloud" (1.4 II,29;1.6 I,10,43); špšm [šapšam(a/i)] "at sunrise" (1.14 III,14); bkm [bikâm(a/i/bakâm(a/i)] (< bikyam $[a / \imath] /$ bkyam $[a / \imath])$ ) "while weeping" (1.10 III,29); mtm [matṭâm(a/i)] (< mantivivam $[a / i]$ ) "with a staff". (1.3 II, 15); šlmm [šalā$\operatorname{mam}(a / i) /$ šulmam $(a / 2)]$ "in peace" (1.14 III,26; contra Gordon [1965:490] who interprets "peace offerings"); mrhqtm [marhaq $\bar{a}-$ $\operatorname{tam}(a / i)$ or $\operatorname{marhaq}(a) \operatorname{tam}(a / i) / \operatorname{marhaq}(a) \operatorname{tum}(a / i)]$ "from afar", "from a distance" $(2.64,15)$ and the singular mrhqm $[\operatorname{marhaqam}(a / i)]$ "from afar", "from a distance" $(1.127,32 ; 2.33,3$; some see the prefix $m$ here as evidence for the preposition $m(n)$ in Ugaritic, cf. infra, p. 197); 'il ysth ' $m$ " El will put you in town [ $\mathrm{i} \mathrm{ram}(a / i)]$ " ( $1.169,13$; cf. Bordreuil and Caqout 1980:349).
Sometimes this adverbial $-m$ is appended to a preposition, e.g. $w$ ' $/ m$ [wa-‘alêm(a/i)] "and above all" (1.164,10).

## Locative Adverbs

$\underline{\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{m}}$ [tamma?] "there"-(1.14 IV,36; 2.31,54). There are also other variants: tmt [tammat(a)?] "there" (2.10,18; perhaps Biblical Hebrew "there" [Gen. 43:30] derives from $\mathfrak{l m t}$, while ${ }^{\text {U }}$ "to there" [Deut. 32:52] is from $\underline{t} m$ plus locative $-h$; cf. Blau 1985:296); $\underline{t m n}$ [tamman?] "there" (2.41,21); tmny [tamman̄̄ya?] "there" ( $2.34,7 ; 2.38,7 ; 2.46,7$ ).
hlm [halumma?] "here, hither":
b'at $b \mathrm{hlm}$ "she has entered here" (1.19 IV,52; cf. Biblical Hebrew [Gen 17:13]; $K T U^{2}$, p. 61 and Pardee [1975:341] read $b^{3}$ at $b$ $<^{\prime} a>h l m$ "she has come to the tents", this reading is also possible).
hlny [hallin̄̄ya?] "here, hither"-(2.1,3; 2.13,9; 2.30,8,12; 2.77,8; $2.79,2$ ). The reconstruction is based on the syllabic documentation al-li-ni-ya (Ug 5 138,5'; cf. Huehnergard 1987:68). The form hlny may also be a presentation particle (cf. hln, infra, p. 186).
hnny [hanninīya?] "here"- ( $2.11,10 ; 2.38,6)$. In both these contexts hnny stands in contrast to tmny "there" (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:451-454).

## Temporal Adverbs

'nt ['anat̆̄̆?] "now"-(1.19 III,55; 1.19 IV,6; cf. Biblical Aramaic עֶנֶ, עֶת ble is documented syllabically with assimilation of the nun, thus at-ta ['attä] (< 'antă?) "now" (PRU III, p. 19,11; cf. PRU III, p. 19 n. 2 and Sivan 1984a:131).
' $\boldsymbol{n}$ [? ] "now"-( $2.16,13$ ). This could be the adverb ' $n t$ with metathesis (cf. Dietrich, Loretz and Sanmartín 1974b:34), or it may be that the $n u n$ is a suffix appended to ' $t$ (cf. $t \underline{m n}$ above which seems to be $t \underline{t} m$ plus suffix -n). If the latter possibility is correct, then the reconstruction may have been ['attan] (<'antan?).
$\boldsymbol{h t}$ [hitta?] (< hinta?) "now"-There is no cognate to this vocable in the other Semitic languages. Its interpretation is revealed by its parallelism with ' $n t$ "now" (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:500). The following are examples:
tšmh ht 'atrt w bnh 'ilt w sbrt 'aryh "now, let Ashera and her sons rejoice, the goddess and the band of her kinsmen" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 39$ ); l ht w 'lmh "from now and forevermore" ( 1.19 IV,5-6).
In one context it might be taken as a presentation particle:
$h t$ 'ibk b'lm ht 'ibk tmhs "behold your enemies, O Baal, behold your
 [Ps 92,10]; cf. also Greenstein 1977:82-83; and further Aartun 1974:67; contra Loewenstamm [1980:500] who interprets the form $h t$ here as temporal adverb).
'apnk ['appünaka?] "thereupon, then"-(For the $-k$ as a deictic element, cf. Loewenstamm 1980:62, and Aartun 1974:105). Examples:
 of Mercy, went down from the throne" (1.5 VI,11); 'apnk dn'il ... gm $l$ 'atth "then Daniel ... shouted aloud to his wife" (1.17 V,13).
'idk [iddâka?] "then, thereupon"-(Cf. Loewenstamm 1980:62), e.g.: 'idk $l \mathrm{ttn} \mathrm{pnm}$ ' $m$ ' ' l "then she verily sets face toward $\mathrm{El"}$ ' (1.4 IV,20; 1.6 IV,7); ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\prime} i^{1} d k l y t n ~ p n^{\mathrm{I}} m^{1} t k{ }^{\prime} h \check{s} m k$ "then he verily sets face toward the marsh of fish(?)" (1.10 II,8)
There may be one instance of a shortened form without the deictic $-k$, i.e.:
'id ydbh mlk "then will the king sacrifice" (1.115,1; one may interpret "when the king sacrifices", cf. Aartun 1978:44; on the other hand, the particle 'id may mean "twice, two times").
'ahr ['ahra/'ahre] (< 'ahra/'abray) "afterwards, after":
'ahr mǵy 'aliyn b'l "afterwards, Mighty Baal arrived" (1.4 III,23); 'ahr mǵy ktr wh hss st' 'alp qdmh "afterwords, Kothar and Hasis arrived, he set an ox in front of him" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 44-45$; the verbal form št can
 IV,32,46).

## Multiplicative Adverbials

$\underline{t} \boldsymbol{n t}[\underline{\underline{t}}$ anita] $]$ ( $\underline{\text { taniyta }}$ ) "for the second time", "secondly"-(1.175,16; the context is not clear enough, cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:297).
matnâ (< matnaya?) "secondly"-This adverbial is attested in the syl-
labic texts only, i. e. ma-ašna (PRU III, p. 109,4; cf. CAD M/I, p. 381b and $A H w$, p. 628b).

## Manner Adverblals

'ahdh ['ah(h)adah?] "together":
w klyhr'u wlyttn śsw $[\mathrm{ms}]^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{s}^{\mathbf{1}}$ st qlql wst 'rgz [yd]k'ahdh "Or if a horse does not defecate or urinate, the sap of a st-measure of the $q l q l$-plant and a $\check{s t}$-measure of the ' $r g z$-plant should be pulverized together" (1.85,9-10).
It seems that this adverb is built of the number 'ahd [ $[a h(\hat{k}) \mathrm{adu}]$ "one" and the adverbial - $h$ (cf. Akkadian istēniš and Biblical Hebrew ימיָּ [Gen. 13:6], see Cohen and Sivan 1983:21-22).

## Interrogative Adverbials

${ }^{\text {'ik }}$ [ $\left.\mathrm{e} k a\right]$ (<'ayka) and with the enclitic mem ['êka-ma] (1.16 I,20) "how", e.g.:
'ik tmgnn rbt 'atrt ym "how are you entreating the Lady Ashera of the Sea?" (1.4 III,28-29);
Sometimes it has the meaning "why" as in:
'ik mǵy gpn w'ugr "why have Gupānu and Ugaru come?" (1.3
III,36).
Another variant is 'iky ['èkizya?] (2.14,6). There is no satisfactory explanation of the final yod. It may be a secondary form of ' $i k$ with a deictic suffix (cf. Loewenstamm 1980:87). It might also be ' $i k$ combined with the $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.s. independent pronoun $h y$ (cf. Hoftijzer 1971b:360).
'iy ['iyyz?] (< 'ayy??') "where":
'iy 'aliyn $b^{c} l$ ' 'iy $z b l b^{c} l$ ' 'ars "where is Mighty Baal? where is the Prince, Lord of Earth?" (1.6 IV,4,5,15,16; cf. Biblical Hebrew ציה "where" [2 Kgs 2:14] and El-Amarna a-ia-mi "where" [EA 131,43]).
This particle is also written ' $i$ [ e ? $]$ (< 'ay? cf. Biblical Hebrew w "where" [Gen. 4:9]), thus $y s^{5} u$ gh [w $\left.\left.y s h\right]\right]^{\prime} i{ }^{\prime} a p b^{\prime}[l]{ }^{\prime} i h d$ "he lifts up his voice [and cries out]: 'where then is Baa[1], where is Hadadu?"" (1.5 IV,5-7).
[Gen. 37:30] alongside [מאּין [Gen. 29:4]). This particle is known only from one passage in all of Ugaritic literature, viz.:
'an l'an y špś' an l'an'il "where O sun-goddess? where O El?" (1.6 IV,22; this might be the $1^{\text {st }}$ c.s. independent pronoun; cf. Gordon 1965:361, no. 237, and also TO I, p. 264, n. n).
lm [le-mă? $/ \operatorname{lam}(m) a$.] "why, for what"-The following are two examples:
$l m \underline{t b}$ bn 'yn wo lqh tqlm ksp bd' 'amtk "why did Bn 'yn return and take
shekels of silver from your maiden?" (2.70,16-19; lm 'ank ksp w yrq
hrs "what (use have) I for silver and green(ish-yellow) gold?" (1.14 III, 33).
For $l m$ with the meaning "to, from" (cf. below).
$\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{d}^{c}\left[\operatorname{mô} d \bar{u}^{c}(a) / \operatorname{me} \hat{e} \bar{u}^{c}(a) ?\right]$ (< mawd $\bar{u}\left\lceil[a] / \operatorname{may} d \bar{u}^{c}[a]\right.$ ? $)$ or perhaps [maddu $\bar{u}^{c} a$ ?] "why"-(Cf. Biblical Hebrew מַּדּוּ [Gen. 26:27 and elsewhere]). This particle is known from only one passage in all Ugaritic literature, viz.: $m d^{k} n p^{〔} l^{\prime} t$ "why did you (m.s.) fall down?" (1.107,10; cf. TO II, p. 98 and n .301 ).

## Negative Particles

 particle negates verbs in the indicative and it is widely documented. Two examples will suffice:
'abn brq $d l t d^{k} \check{s m m} r g m l t d{ }^{k} n \check{s m}$ "hail stones which the heavens have not known, a word (which) the people have not known" (1.3 III,26-27); w $k l y h r^{2} u$ w $l$ yttn siste "or if a horse does not defecate or urinate ..." $(1.85,9)$.
On occasion this particle negates adjectives, e.g.:
 jars, heavy of wine that is not good" (4.213,1-2).
The orthography lamed may represent other particles in different contexts, e.g. a wish, or a vocative or reinforcement. Therefore, frequently it is hard to distinguish between the various meanings (cf. below).
'al ['al] "don't"-This particle negates the jussive, e.g.:
'al tstt ['al tastrn] "don't put! (f.s.)" (2.30,24-25); 'al tdhl(.) ['al tidhati] "do not fear! (f.s.)" (2.30,21); 'al thbt [ [al tahbut] "don't abase (m.s.)
(the family)!" (2.47,16); 'al yšt ['al yašit] "may he not place" (2.38,27); 'al trgm'['al targum] "don't tell!" (1.16 I, 31); 「'all tpl ['al tappulā] "(the two of you) don't fall!" (1.2 I,15); 'al yšm ${ }^{\text {「 }} k^{1}$ ['al yis̈ma‘kä] "may he not heed you (m.s.)" (1.6 VI,26); 'al tss' ${ }^{\text {T }}$ ['al tasicī] "don't go forth (pl.)!" (1.164,19); 'al yb'rn ['al yib'arnī] "let him not turn me down" (2.41,22; cf. Gordon 1965:375 and Verreet 1988:87).
This particle may negate also the volitive, e.g.:
['a] $]^{\top} l^{1} t^{\prime} d y$ ['al tad $(d)$ iya] "don't cast (m.s.)!" (1.119,27; if the verbal form was indicative, it should have been negated by the particle $l$; cf. Pardee 1979:689 and Verreet 1988:128; it seems less likely that here 'al has a positive meaning, cf. Miller 1975:610 and TO II, p. 231, n. 255).
'al also serves in rhetorical sentences in which case it has a positive nuance (for this usage, of. Ginsberg 1948:140; for the possibility of a similar nuance in Biblical Hebrew, cf. Dahood 1963:293-294). Note the following examples:
'idk 'al ttn pnm "then, she verily did direct her face" (1.3 VI, 12-13; 1.4 VIII,1,10-11); 'al tg ${ }^{\top} l$ 'she verily came" ( $1.3 \mathrm{I}, 1$ ); dll 'al 'iPak $l$ $b n$ 'ilm $m t$ "a gift have I verily sent to the son of El, Môt" (1.4 VII,45-46).
Naturally, it is often difficult to discern whether the meaning is negative or positive.
$\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{l}$ [bal] "no, without"-This vocable serves to negate nominal clauses, e.g.:
$b l$ [ ${ }^{l} l l b l r b b$ "no dew, no rain" (or: "there is no dew, there is no rain") ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 44$ ); w'atnk bl $m t$ "and I will make you immortal (deathless)" (1.17 VI,27); bl' it bn lh "there is no son to him" (= "he has no son", "he is without a son") (1.17 I,20; cf. the Biblical Hebrew phrase spere is no spirit in their mouth" [Ps 135:17]); 'u mlk 'u bl mlk "shall he be either king or not king?" (1.4 VII,43; cf. Held 1969:71-79 and Smith 1994:256); hpt d bl spr "yeomen without number" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 37$ ); $b$ hyk 'abn nšmh bl mtk ngln "by your life, our father, let us be happy, (by) your immortality let us rejoice" ( $1.16 \mathrm{I}, 14-15$ ); tsp"i s'irh l bl hrb tst dmh l bl ks "she truly ate his flesh without a knife, she truly drank his blood without a cup" (1.96,3-5).
$b l$ also serves in rhetorical sentences in which it has positive significance. For example:
$b l$ 'ašt 'urbt $b b^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} h^{1}[t \mathrm{tm}]$ "shall I not put a window in the house(s)?" (1.4 V,61); bl nmlk $y d^{\text {c }} y l^{\top} h^{1} n$ "shall we not enthrone Yd'-Ylḥn?" (1.6 $\mathrm{I}, 48$ ).
This particle has a variation with the suffix $-t$ [bal $\overline{/} / b i l t \pi ?]$, thus in the following sentence:
blt nmlk ${ }^{c}{ }^{2} t r{ }^{\prime}{ }_{r z}$ "shall we not enthrone Athtar the Terrible?" (1.6 I,54).

## Quantitative m’id

The word m'id [ma'da] "many, much, very" is mostly used adverbially (cf. Marcus 1974:406; for its use as a noun cf. infra, p. 208). It can come either before the verb (or the adjective) or after it. Note the following examples:
$p n s \check{p} p \check{s} n r$ by $m^{2} i d$ "the face of the Sun shines upon me very much" (2.16,9-10); m'id tmthsn "she smites fiercely" ( $1.3 \Pi, 23$ ); $m^{\prime} i d r^{\top} m^{1}$ [krt] "[Keret] is very exalted" ( $1.15 \mathrm{II}, 13$ ); w yd 'ilm ... ' $z$ m$^{\prime} i d$ "and the love of the gods $\ldots$ is very strong" (2.10,11-13).
This adverb is attested in syllabic documentation in plural, i.e. ma-$a-d u-m a[m a$ '(a)adūma] "much" (Ug 5137 II,36'; cf. Sivan 1984a:241; perhaps it reflects a noun in plural meaning "abundance").

## Presentation Particles

These particles are constructed from compound deictic elements (cf. Aartun 1974:32-35). It is difficult to reconstruct their forms.
$\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{l}$ [halū? / halā?] "behold", "is it not?"-(Cf. Brown 1987:202-207 [especially p. 203 n. 4]; Rainey 1988a:214-219 and most recently Sivan and Schniedewind 1993:209-226). For example: $h l g l^{\top} m t^{1} t^{\top} l^{l} d b^{\top} n^{1}$ "behold, the maiden is bearing a son" $(1.24,7)$. It also occurs with the enclitic mem:
hlm 'il yphnh "behold, El verily sees her" (1.4 IV,27; perhaps hlm should be considered a subordinating particle, cf. infra, p. 189).
$\boldsymbol{h n}$ [hinn $\vec{?}$ ?] "behold, here"-(Cf. Biblical Hebrew החנֵה [Gen. 34:21 and elsewhere]). The following are examples:
$h n y m w \underline{t n} t i k l$ ' išt $b$ bhtm "behold, a day and a second the fire eats
into the house＂（1．4 VI，24）；whn＇attm tṣhn＂and behold，the two wives shout＂$(1.23,46)$ ；hn ksp $d{ }^{c}{ }^{〔} n$＂here is the silver which he brought to me＂$(2.81,24)$ ．
This particle is also documented with elision of the consonantal $h$（cf． supra，p．34）as in the following example：
wn＇in bt $l b^{\prime} l$＂and behold，there is no house for Baal＂（1．4 IV，50）．
hlk［halāka？／halūka？］＂behold＂－In the sentences：
hlk l＇alpm hdd＂behold，hdd－troops by the thousands＂（ $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 39$ ； cf．Loewenstamm 1980：62；hlk could also be interpreted as a ver－ bal form，i．e．［halakū］＂they marched＂，cf．Ginsberg 1946：17）；hlk $q^{5} t y^{\top} b^{1} / n$＂behold，he fetches an arc＂（1．17 V，12）．
$\boldsymbol{h l n}$［halān？／halūn？］＂behold＂－in the sentence：
$w h l n$＇$n t$ tmths $b$＇$m q$＂and behold，Anat fights in the valley＂（1．3 II，5）；whln＇nt $l$ bth tmǵn tstgl＇ilt $l$ hklh＂and behold，Anat reaches her house，the goddess enters her palace＂（ 1.3 II，17－18；it is less likely to interpret this particle＂as soon as＂，cf．Gordon 1949：18）．
$\boldsymbol{k n}[\operatorname{kin}(n a)$ ？］＂behold＂－In the sentence：
$k n n p l b^{〔} l^{1}$＂behold，Baal has fallen＂（1．12 II，53；cf．Biblical Hebrew $\mid$ with the same meaning in Neh．2：16）．
$\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{k}$［？］＂behold＂：
 （day）you will arrive at Udumu＂（ $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 3-4$ ）；$m k b \breve{s}^{6} b^{c} s^{\ddagger} n t^{1}$ ＂behold，in seven years ．．．＂（1．15 III，22）．
The origin of this particle is obscure．It has been suggested that it is Egyptian，but it could just as well be comprised of Semitic deictic ele－ ments（cf．Aartun 1974：72）．It could also be from the root $M W K$ ，in which case it could be the active participle［māku］of the G stem in the meaning＂low＂（cf．Ginsberg 1936：42；Loewenstamm 1980：528 and Margalit 1980：80）．

## Vocative Particles

$\boldsymbol{l}[l a ? / l \bar{u}$ ？$]$＂O＂—Examples：
$\check{s m}{ }^{〔} m^{〔} l \mathrm{krt}$＂listen please，O Keret！＂（1．16 VI，41）；mh ¿aršn l btll＇$n t$ ＂what are you requesting，O Virgin Anat？＂（1．6 II，13－14）；${ }^{5}{ }^{\prime} m^{c} l$
'afiyn bl bn l rkb 'rpt "listen, O Mighty Baal, understand, O Rider of the Clouds!" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 59-60$ ); šm $^{c} m^{c} l$ $b n$ 'ilm $m t$ "listen please O son of El, Môt!" (1.6 VI,23-24).
For other functions of a particle $l$-cf. supra the negative particles and also infra.
$\boldsymbol{y}[y \bar{a}]$ "O" (cf. Singer 1948:98-108; this vocative particle is used in Imperial Aramaic [Ahiqar, lines 127 and 129] and in Arabic)-For example:
$y$ šp̌̌ "O Sun-goddess!" (1.6 IV,1,12,22); y 'ad 'ad "O father, father!" (1.23,43); $y^{ } k^{1} r^{\mathrm{r}} t^{1}$ "O Keret!" ( $1.15 \mathrm{II}, 21$ ); $y$ bn "O (my) son!" (1.16 VI,55); y nǵr "O guard!" (1.23,69).
With enclitic mem connected to the noun that follows the $y$ (cf. Singer 1948:102-103):
'al $t^{〔}$ rl $^{1} g n$ y btltm "do not deceive me, O Virgin!" (1.17 VI,34); $y$ $b^{〔} l^{1} m$ "O Baal!" (1.119,28); y ymm "O Yammu!" (1.2 I,36).

## Existential Particles (Positive and Negative)

'itu [ititê] (<'itay) "there is"-(Cf. Biblical Hebrew wh Aramaic א, see Blau 1972:61). This particle serves in nominal clauses such as: rgm 'it $l y$ "I have a word" (1.3 III,20-21); $w h m^{\prime}$ it $z b l b^{c}[l$ ' ars $]$ ] "and if the Prince, Lord of the Earth, exists" ( $1.6 \mathrm{III}, 3$ ); 'it yn "there is wine" ( $1.23,74$ ).
'in [êna] (< 'ayna) "there is not"-This particle negates nominal clauses, e.g.:
w'unt 'in bh "and no feudal obligation is attached to him" (3.5,2021); $w$ 'in 'sr "and there is no bird" ( $1.50,8$ ); 'in bt $l$ b'l "Baal has no house" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 38$ ); ' 'in šmt ' in ' $z m$ "there is no fat, there is no bone" (1.19 III,11,25).
With the suffix $-n$ (typical of administrative texts) in the clauses: ky 'akl b haotk 'inn "because there is no food in your house" ( $2.39,19-20$; the $-n$ may be the $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. suffix, i.e. ['ênanŭ] "it is not"; cf. infra, p. 217); mdrǵlm d' inn msgm lhm " $m$.-s that have no weapons(?)" (4.53,1-2).

## Conjunctions

$w[w a-]$ "and"-This is the most widely used conjunction in Ugaritic.
$\boldsymbol{p}$ [ $p a-$-] "and"-(Cf. Arabic $f a$ ). This conjunction coordinates especially clauses, such as:
$p d$ 'in $b$ bty ttn "but what is not in my house, you shall give" (1.14 III, 38).
This conjunction rarely joins nouns, e.g.:
 fearest of Baal's sisters" ( 1.10 III,9-10).
'ap ['appa?] "also":
'ap mtn rgmm 'argmk "also something else I will tell you" (1.4, I,1920); 'ap 'nt ttlk w tsd kl gr "also Anat goes around and she hunts in every mountain" (1.5 VI,25-26).
' $\boldsymbol{u}$ [' $\hat{o}]$ (< 'awe) "or"-This is the adversative conjunction; it is usually written adjacent to the following word, e.g.:
bn 'il krt šph ltpp w qdš' ' $u$ 'ilm tmtn šph ltpn lyh "Keret is El's son, scion of the Compassionate and Qudšu or do gods die nor the Compassionate's scion live" (1.16 I,20-23).
Sometimes it appears twice in the same sequence, e.g.:
' $u$ mlk ' $u$ bl mlk "shall he be either king or not king?" (1.4 VII,43;
cf. Held 1969:71-79 and Smith 1994:256); 'u ymn 'u šm'al "both right and left" (lit.: "either right or left") (1.23,63-64).

## Subordinating Particles

'id ['ida?] "when, after"-This particle has this meaning in only four contexts, all from the corpus of RIH:
'id yph mlk ršp "when the king saw Resheph ..." (1.90,1-2 and 1.168,1; cf. TO II, p. 172 and n. 100); 'id yph mlk ' $n t$ "when the king saw Anat" (1.168,8); 'id Pikt 'ky nplt 'bdmlk "after you sent (the message) to Akko, Abdimilku was saved" (2.82,3-5; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1980:360).
$\boldsymbol{d}[d \bar{u} / d \bar{a} / d \bar{\imath}]$ "that", "which"-(Cf. Aramaic $\operatorname{T}$ and Biblical Hebrew [Isa. 43,21; Ps 9:16] and ז! [Isa. 25:9; Ps 74:2]):
'argmn nqmd mlk 'ugrt dybl l špš mlk rb b'lh "the tribute of Niqmaddu, king of Ugarit, which he brings to the Sun, the great king, his master" (3.1,24-26); w y' $n l t p n$ 'il $d p^{\prime} i^{\top} d^{1}$ "and the Compassionate, God of Mercy, answered" (1.4 IV,58, and elsewhere).
For details concerning this particle cf. supra, p. 55 f .
$\boldsymbol{h l m}$ [halumma?] "when, as soon as":
$h l m$ 'il k yphnh yprq lsb $w y s h q$ "as soon as (/when) El verily sees her, he parts his jaws and laughs" (1.4 IV,27; perhaps hlm should be considered a presentation particle, cf. supra, p. 185).
$\boldsymbol{h m}[\operatorname{him}(m a)]$ "if, or":
$h m$ hry bty 'iqh "if I take Ḥurāya to my house ..." (1.14 IV,40-41); $\mathrm{lhm} h m$ štym "eat (f.s.) or drink" ( 1.4 IV,35); rǵb rǵbt ... hm ǵm'u ǵm'it "are you verily hungry (f.s.) ... or are you verily thirsty?" (1.4 IV,33-34).
Sometimes this particle is written ${ }^{2} \mathrm{im}$ [ $\mathrm{im}(m a)$ ], thus:
 mlkytn yrgm "if Malkiyatanu says" $(2.15,8)$.
This same use is typical of Biblical Hebrew, cf. the following: am I a sea or a whale, that you set a watch over me?" (Job 7:12; cf. Held 1969:71-79 and Avishur 1973:421-464).
$\boldsymbol{k}[k \hat{]}]$ (<kiy/kay.) "since, because, if, when, which"-The meaning of this particle depends on its various contexts. The following are examples:
w lht 'alpm hrtm $k$ rgmt ly "and the tablets of the ploughing oxen which [ $k$ t] you said to me (about)" (2.45,22-23); $k$ tmhs ltn btan brh "since/when [ki] you smote Lôtānu, the evil serpent ..." (1.5 I, 1); $w^{\prime} i d^{k} k$ hy 'aliyn ${ }^{5} b{ }^{c} l$ ' "so I will know that [ $\left.k \hat{\imath}\right]$ Mighty Baal lives!"
 may my soul rest in my breast because [ki] Mighty Baal lives, because [ki] the Prince, the Lord of the Earth exists" (1.6 III,1921); w $k$ 'abd 'akl sisw "and if [ki] the horse has taken food ..." $(1.85,15)$.
Sometimes this particle is written fully, i.e. ky, namely in prose texts (cf. supra, p. 13), e.g.:
rgm ky likt bt mlk "the message that [ki] the king's daughter sent (to me)" $(2.36,14)$.

For additional uses of this particle, cf. below.
${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \boldsymbol{d}\left[{ }^{\text {c }}\right.$ ad $\left.(\hat{e})\right]$ "until":
' $d$ tsb' tmth $s$ "she smites until [ $[a d(\hat{e})]$ she is satisfied (or: "until she
 sated with weeping, she drinks tears like wine" (1.6 I,9).
For ' $d$ as a preposition, cf. below.

## Emphatic Particles


' $i$ ' itt 'atrt stm w' ilt stynn" "(as) surely (as) Ashera of the Two Tyres exists, the Goddess of the Ṣidonians" (1.14 IV,38-39); 'ap 'ab 'ik mtm tmtn "shall you then, O father, verily die like (mortal) men?"
 ly die like [mortal] men" [Ps 82:7]. This comparison proves that semantically Ugaritic ' $i$ parallels Biblical Hebrew אָּ "surely, truly, verily"; cf. CDUL [forthcoming]).
$\boldsymbol{k}[k \hat{-}$ ?? $]$ (< kiy/kay?) "verily, certainly"-This particle precedes the verb and strengthens its force. This same use is attested in Biblical Hebrew, e.g.:
"the outcry of Sodom and
Gomorah is verily great and their sin is certainly very heavy" (Gen. 18:20).
but God will redeem me from the hand of Saul, he will verily take me" (Ps 49:16; cf. Gordis 1943:176-178; Muilengerg 1961:135-160 and Muraoka 1984:158164).

In Ugaritic the particle $k$ - attracts the verbal form from its regular place before the direct object to a position at the end of the clause. The following are examples of the use of this particle:

Examples with transitive verbs:
$l k t p$ ' $n t k t s t h$ "onto the shoulders of Anat she verily places him [kîtašituhül"" (1.6 I,14-15); gm [ ...] bl k yṣh "loudly [...] Baal verily calls out [kî-yasīhu]" (1.4 VII,52-53); hlk b'l 'atrt $k t^{\prime} n$ "the going of Baal Ashera verily sees $\left[k \hat{-}-t a^{\varsigma} \bar{\imath} u\right.$ ]" (1.4 II,13-14); hlk ktr $k y^{〔} n$ "the going of Kothar he verily sees [kî-ya'īnu]" (1.17 V,10-11); hlm 'il k yphnh "As soon as (/when), El verily sees her [kî-yiphan(n)ahä]" (1.4 IV,27); in prosaic text: lht ${ }^{\circ}$ akl ky likt ' $m$ sps' $b^{\text {' }}$ lk "tablets of food
have you verily sent [ki-la'iktä] to the Sun your lord" (2.39,17-19; in this instance the particle [ki] is written fully, cf. supra, p. 13).
Examples with stative verbs:
$m n y r h k m[r s]$ mn $k d w k^{\Gamma} r^{1}[t]$ "(since) which month is he verily sick [kî-marusa], (since) which (month) is Keret verily ill [k̂̀-dawut]?" (1.16
II,19-20); in prosaic text: mlbš trmnm $k$ ytn "clothing of the diners has been verily worn out [ki-yatana]" (4.168,5-6; cf. Rainey 1975b:89).
In rare instances the verb does not always change its position with this particle $k$-, e.g.:
$k y^{c} n{ }^{\top} l^{1} t p n{ }^{3} i^{\top} l^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{I}} d^{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} p^{\prime} i^{1}[d]$ "verily answered $\left[k \hat{\imath} y a^{\mathrm{c}} n \hat{\imath}\right]$ the Compassionate, God of Mercy" (1.16 IV,9).
$\boldsymbol{l}$ [ $l a-$-?] (following Ge'ez and Arabic) or [ $l \bar{u}$-?] (following Akkadian) "truly, verily". A similar usage may be present in Biblical Hebrew, e.g.: "if you will be truly good to this people" (2 Chron. 10:7; cf. Megía 1963:179-190; Driver 1973:107-114; Whitley 1975:202-204; Sivan and Schniedewind 1993:209-226).
In Ugaritic the particle $l$ - also expresses a wish (cf. Huehnergard 1983:583-584). It is usually attached to verbs. Note the following examples:
'idk $l$ ttn pnm "then she verily sets her face" (1.6 IV,7); ${ }^{[ }{ }^{2}{ }^{1}[d k][p n m]$ $l y t n t k g$ ǵr $l l$ "then he verily turn sets his face) towards mount Ll" (1.2 I,19-20); lyhpk ks ${ }^{\text {r }} a^{1}$ mlkk "verily he will overturn the throne of your kingship" (1.6 VI,28; this may also be an expression of a wish); wl t tikl w $l$ ts $[t]$ "and may you verily eat and may you verily drink" (1.88,3; cf. Verreet 1988:116; Gordon [1965:357a] interprets this lamed as the negative particle); $l y d b h$ mlk "the king verily sacrifices" (1.119,13; cf. Herdner 1978:34 and Xella 1981:31); $y m \quad l \mathrm{mt}$ "Yammu is surely dead" (1.2 IV,32-33; cf. Smith 1995:791); lynt b np̌s bn 'ilm mt "may you go down into the throat of the son of the gods, Môt" ( $1.5 \mathbf{I}, 6-7$ ); tsp’i širn l bl hrb tšt dmh l $b l k s$ "she truly ate his flesh without a knife, she truly drank his blood without a cup" (1.96,3-5).
In rare instances the particle $l$ - is attached to a noun or adjective, e.g.:
'ugr $l$ rhq "Ugaru is verily far away" (1.3 IV,34); $l b^{c} l n^{\mathrm{r}} p \mathrm{l} l l^{\prime}$ 'ars "verily Baal has fallen to earth" (1.5 VI,8-9).
It is often difficult to distinguish between the optative-precative $l$ and the asseverative $l$-, e.g.:
$l$ tbrkn $l \underline{t} r$ ' $i l$ 'aby "may you bless him to Bull, El, my father" or
"will you verily bless him to Bull, El, my father" ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 23$ ); l tbrk [krt] "you will verily bless [Keret]" or "may you bless [Keret]" (1.15 II,14-15; the negative is also possible, i.e. "you do not bless [Keret]"); sirh $l t i k l{ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{\top} m^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ "may the birds eat his flesh" or "the birds verily eat his flesh" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 35-36$; the negative is also possible, "the birds do not eat his flesh", cf. Gordon 1949:45).
In addition to the functions described above, it must be kept in mind that there is the negative particle writen $l$-. Therefore, it is frequently difficult to establish the correct function of a particular $l$ - in various clauses, e.g.:
$l t d n d n$ 'almnt ttpt t tpt qș npš "you do not judge (or: "you verily judge") the case of the widow, do not (or: "verily") adjudicate the trial of the oppressed" ( $1.16 \mathrm{VI}, 45-47$; the negative nuance seems preferable here); pinh l tmǵn hdm "his feet do not (or: "verily") reach the footstool" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 59-60$; the positive nuance seems preferable here); $l$ rgmt $l k$ "have I not (or: "I have verily") told you" (1.2 IV,7-8; $1.4 \mathrm{VII}, 23$; this sentence can be interpreted as a rhetorical question or as a positive affirmation); $b \mathrm{ph} \mathrm{rgm}$ lyṣs a "from my mouth a word had not gone forth (or: "verily went forth")" (1.2 IV,6; 1.19 II, 26); $l$ tšn "they will not (or: they will verily) travel" (3.8,14; cf. Verreet 1988:216).

Sometimes the grammatical form precludes a negative interpretation of the $l$-particle, e.g.:
$l t s^{3} i\left[\bar{u} / l a \operatorname{tas} \imath^{2}\right]$ "you (/it) must certainly go forth" (2.8,2).
The ${ }^{2} i$ is not capable of being taken as the negative particle, since the negative $l[\bar{a}]$ would require an imperfect form, ${ }^{*} t s^{2} u\left[t a s i i^{2} u\right]$ but the form is jussive here. The same holds true for this senteces:
 $\breve{s p} \check{s} l y m^{〔}{ }_{g}{ }^{1} k r t$ "upon the entering in (= going down) of the sun, Keret verily arrived" (1.15 V,18-19).
If the $l$ had been the negative particle, then the verb form would have had to be imperfect *ymg or perhaps suffix form *mǵy or perhaps it is a short form functioning as past tense, i.e. [yamǵg] (< yamgig).
$\boldsymbol{m}[-m a$ or $-m \bar{a}$.] -This apparently enclitic particle may be attached to any part of speech (cf. Rainey 1996 III:227-234).

With the infinitive for emphasizing the verbal action:
'an mtm 'amt "I will verily [mātu-ma/mūtu-ma] die" (1.17 VI,38); b'l
$h m d m y h m d m$ "Baal verily [hamādu-ma] desires" (1.12 I,38).
With finite verbal forms:
'ib t'rbm $b$ bhth "enemies entered (ta'rubü-ma) his palace" ( $1.24,18$ -
19); hrs ysqm l rbbt "gold he pours out [yasiqu-ma] in myriads" (1.4
 yd ' $i^{\top} l^{\prime} k \mathrm{kmm}$ "El's 'hand' becomes long [ $\left.t i^{\top} r a k u-m a\right]$ as the sea" ( $1.23,33$ ); tspkm "she spills [tašpuku-ma] (1.17 VI,15).
With nouns:
'al $t^{\Gamma}{ }^{[ }{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ gn y btltm "do not deceive me, O Virgin [yā-batūll(a)tu-ma]" (1.17 VI,34); y ymm "O Yammu [yā-yammu-ma]" (1.2 I,36); y $b^{c} l^{l} m$ "O Baal [yā-ba"lu-ma]" (1.119,28).
With the prepositions $l-, b$-, and $k$-, the $m$ - morpheme stands between the preposition and the word dependent on it. Comparison with Biblical Hebrew indicates that the vowel of the enclitic particle is long in these cases, i.e. [lemā], [bimā] and [kamā/kimā] (cf. Biblical Hebrew וּכְּמוֹ short vowel that was lengthened in Hebrew to $\bar{a}$ and afterwards shifted to $\bar{o}$ (cf. below for examples in the section on prepositions).

The enclitic mem is found on a word in construct (this is also known




 letters: šu-sí-mì abīza [šasûmi 'abīy a] "the plunderers of my father" $[E A$ 252,30] and ú-bi-li-mi harrānāt sami "the guides of the king's caravans" [EA 287,55] [cf. Rainey 1996 III:236]; for enclitic mem in the Semitic languages, especially in Biblical Hebrew cf. Humel 1957:85-107; Robertson 1972:79-1 10; Cohen 1990:30-36 and most recently Emerton 1966:321-338, especially pp. 337-338). The following are examples of construct constructions with enclitic mem on the first member:
$l h^{\mathrm{r}} n^{1} m b^{c} l\left[l a / l \bar{u}\right.$ hatnu-ma $\left.b a^{c} l l\right]$ "he is verily the son-in-law of Baal" (1.24,25-26); ${ }^{\top} k{ }^{\top} r p n m$ yn [karpān̄̄̄-ma yêni] "goblets of wine" (1.4 III,43); bnm 'umy [binu-ma/binū-ma 'ummiva] "son(s) of my mother" (1.6 VI,11,15); ${ }^{\top} k{ }^{[1 / t}$ bnm 'il [Keret binu-ma 'ill] "Keret is the son of El" (1.16, I, 10); tkmm hmt [țakma-mal Likma-ma hāmâti] "the shoulder of the wall" (1.14 II,22; IV,4); 'ilm 'arṣ ['ilū-ma 'arṣ̂] "the gods of the earth" ( $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 6 ; 1.6 \mathrm{I}, 18$ ); lb'im thw [lab(i) $)$ i-ma tuhwu] "lions of (/in) the wasteland" (1.133,3; cf. Pardee 1988:157); 'alpm ${ }^{~} i^{\top} b^{\top}$ ['al(a) $\bar{n} \overline{-}-m a a^{\prime} i b i$ ] "thousands of foes" (1.19 IV,59).
This phenomenon may also be present when an adjective is in construct with a noun, e.g.:
bht thrm 'iqn'im [bahat̄̄ tuhhū̄r̄-ma 'iqn'īma] "houses of pure lapis
lazuli" (1.4 V,19; cf. Ginsberg 1936:32; less probable to interpret thrm as an adjective preceding the noun and not construct at all, for discussion cf. infra, p. 207).
$\boldsymbol{m}^{c}\left[m a^{c} ?\right]$ - The origin of this particle is obscure. It is not widely attested, but in every case it follows an imperative. Its usage seems to parallel that of the particle lowing examples:
 "load (f.s.)!" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 12$ ); $\mathrm{s} m^{c} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{c}}[$ šama $m a]$ "listen (m.s.)!" ( $1.4 \mathrm{VI}, 4$; 1.6 VI,23); ̌̌skn $m^{〔}$ [s̆askin ma] "give heed (to) (m.s)!" (1.4 I,20).

## Prepositions

## General Remarks

Some prepositions in the Semitic Languages were originally ancient nouns functioning as adverbs (in adverbial accusative; cf. Brockelmann 1908-13 I:494ff and Moscati 1969:121), while others derive not from nouns but from deictic particles, e.g. $k$ - "like, as". In other cases the derivation is obscure, e.g. $b$ - "in, with, by", and $l$ - "to, towards".

As in the other Semitic languages, in Ugaritic the prepositions function as bound forms followed by either pronominal suffixes or nouns in the dependent (genitive) case, e.g. $l k s{ }^{\prime} i[l e-k u s s i \geqslant i / l e-k i s s i\rangle$ " $f r o m$ the throne" (1.5 VI,12), et al. Prepositions take the entire range of personal pronominal suffixes. Thus for example: $b h[b i-h \breve{u}]$ "with him", $b h m$ [bi-hum $(\bar{u} \bar{u}]$ "with them", 'ly ["alêya] "on me", 'mh ['immahü] "to him, with him", 'atrh ['atrahŭ] "after him, behind him", et al. Likewise, the prepositions can take the enclitic mem, apparently for emphasis.
Some of the prepositions have several meanings and the context must be invoked to properly interpret the prepositions (cf. Rainey 1965:1-7; for an extensive study of the prepositions in Ugaritic cf. Pardee 1975:329-378; 1976:215-324 and 1979:685-692). The prepositions will be presented here with examples of their principle meanings.

## Mono-Consonantal Prepositions

b- [bi-] "with, in, from, to"-(Cf. the syllabic attestation $b i-i$ [Ug 5130 III, $6^{\prime}$ ]; the syllabic $i$-sign is a phonetic compliment to show that the vowel is $i$ and not $e$; cf. Sivan 1986:309, contra Blau and Greenfield [1970:17] who assume that the vowel in question is long). The following are some examples:
$b h r b t b q^{c} n n$＂with a sword she cleaves him＂（1．6 II，31－32）；${ }^{3} a g^{r} r^{1} t n$ $b^{\prime}$ at $b d d k$＂our lady employer has come to your（m．s．）dwelling＂ （ $1.19 \mathrm{IV}, 51$ ）；＇ard $b$＇ars＂I will descend into the earth＂（ $1.5 \mathrm{VI}, 25$ ）． In prose texts，it may be written by $(2.38,13,25)$ ．
The preposition $b$－may be separated from its dependent noun by the enclitic mem［－mā？］（cf．Biblical Hebrew כָּמוֹ［＜bimā］［sa．43：2］；cf． Robertson 1972：109－110），e．g．：
$t b k y$ pǵt $b^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{1}} l b \mathrm{tdm}{ }^{\text {c }} b m{ }^{\mathrm{「}} \mathrm{kbd}{ }^{1}$＂Pugatu weeps within（her）heart，she sheds tears within（her）liver＂（1．19 I，34－35）；${ }^{〔} b{ }^{1} m n s ̌ q$ $w h r$＂with kissing and（there is）pregnancy＂（ $1.23,51$ ）；bm ymn mhs＂within the right（hand）a（type of tool）＂（1．2 I，39）．
Especially striking is the use of $b$－in the meaning＂from＂，e．g．：
tn＇ahd $b b^{\top} n k^{1}$＇am．lkn＂give（f．s．）one of your sons（so that）I may enthrone him＂（ $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 45-46$ ）；${ }^{\text { }} b{ }^{1} \mathrm{ks}$＇istynh＂from a cup I drink it＂ （1．4 III，16）；lhm $b l h^{\top} m^{1}$＂eat（f．s．）from the bread（／food）＂（ $1.23,6$ ；
 בְּמַנְטַּיקֶם＂and let me not eat from their dainties＂［Ps 141：4］and
 mlb ＇ytn lhm ＂and from the king＇s house clothing has been given to them＂（4．168，6－8）；bph rgm lyṣ＇a＂from his mouth the word had not gone forth（or：＂verily went forth＂）＂（1．19 II，26）；kll ylhm bh＂he will eat everything from it＂（1．115，10；cf．Rainey 1974：191，contra Gordon［1965：553］who interprets $k l l$ as＂all＂）；w pdy．$h[m]$＇izerkl
 hundred（shekels）of silver from the hands of the Beirutians＂ （3．4，12－15）；$w{ }^{\text {「 }} y q h^{\top}{ }^{\top} b^{1} h m^{\text {「 }} a^{\top} q h t$＂and he took Aqhat from them＂ （1．19 III，39－40）．
Similar usage is attested in Biblical Hebrew．Note the following examples：
and the remainder from the flesh and from the

 them so that you cannot go forth from among them＂（Ezek．3：25）；
 the land mourns and all who dwell in it will languish from the beasts of the field and the fowl of the heavens＂（Hos．4：3）；לְכו， ＂come，eat from my bread and drink from the wine that I have mixed＂（Prov．9：5）．
l－［le－］＂to，on，from＂－（Cf．the syllabic attestation le－e［Ug 5130 III，5＇］ and see the discussion concerning $b-[b i-]$ above）．

The use of $l$ - in the meaning "to" is ubiquitous in the Ugaritic texts as in the other Semitic languages. Sometimes the $l$ - also bears the enclitic mem [mä?] which separates it from its dependent noun (for Biblical Hebrew לְ [Job 29:21], cf. Robertson 1972:109-110; this form must be distinguished from $l m$ "why", "for what" discussed above). Example:
 stranger his beloved" ( $1.14 \mathrm{II}, 48-50$ ).
$l$ - may have the meaning "on" as can be discerned from the following examples:
$y \underline{t} b l k h \underline{t}{ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{1}$ l Piyn bl "he sat on the throne of Mighty Baal" (1.6 I,58); $y \underline{t b} b \mathrm{ks}$ ' mlk "he sat on the throne of the kingdom" (1.16 VI,2324).

Noteworthy is the use of $l$ - in the meaning "from", e.g.:
$l$ ht $w$ ' $l m h$ "from now and for evermore" (1.19 IV,5-6; cf. the formula in Biblical Hebrew poetry מֵטָּהּה וְעַרעעוֹלָׁ"from now and forever" [Isa. 9:6; 59:21 et al.], Imperial Aramaic מן יומא דנן ולעלם [cf. Yardeni 1995:28] and Babylonian Aramaic מיומא דנן ולעלם [Gittin 85,2 et al.]; for the formula and its components in Ugaritic cf. Loewenstamm 1963:313-316); lym hnd "from this day" (3.4,1; it is an opening formula of legal documents corresponding to the Akkadian istu ūmi annîm); ltpn 'il $d$ p' $i^{\top} d{ }^{1}$ yrd $l$ ks'i "the Compassionate, God of Mercy, went down from the throne" (1.5 VI, 11-12); w yrd krt ${ }^{\top} l$ l ggt "and Keret came down from the roofs" (1.14 II,26-27); lymm lyrhm lyrhm $l$ šnt "from days to months, from months to years" (1.19 IV,13-14); w mlk ynsl $l$ t $\underline{l}^{\prime} y$ "and the king will get gifts from T'y" $(1.90,20-21)$.
Similar usage is known from Biblical Hebrew. Note the following examples:
and Eleazar the priest, said unto the men of war who come from the war" (Num. 31:21;

 Solomon came from the high place which is in Gibeon to Jerusalem from before the tent of meeting" (2 Chron. 1:13); "all the vessels of gold and of sil-
 and all the blood you will not eat in all your settlements from the fowl and from the animals" (Lev. 7:26).
The absence of the preposition $m(n)$ "from" (known from Arabic, Hebrew and Aramaic) in Ugaritic is a striking feature as is the fact that
$b$ - and $l$ - serve to express "from" (cf. Zevit 1975:103-112 and Pardee 1976:288,322). On the other hand, there are some scholars who insist that $m(n)$ is attested in Ugaritic (cf. the summary by Deitrich and Loretz 1980a:183-187). It would appear that none of the evidence adduced carries conviction. The following are the presumed examples:

1. mrhqtm, "from afar" $(2.64,15)$ and mrhqm "from afar", "from a distance" $(1.127,31)$-Since these forms correspond in letters to the standard Akkadian ištu rūqǐ̌s "from afar" (cf. Biblical Hebrew מֵרחָק [Exod. 2:4; 20:18]), it is tempting to analyze them as prepositional $m(n)$ plus $r h q(t) m$ (cf. Pardee 1976:315, n. 5 and Dietrich and Loretz 1990b:37). But it is more likely here that the initial mem is the prefix of a maqtal noun in a masculine or feminine (singular or plural), while the final mem is probably an adverbial suffix, i.e. [plural marhaqātam $(a / i) /$ marhaqātuma/i or singular marhaq(a) $\operatorname{tam}(a / \imath) /$ marhaq(a) tum(a/i)] (cf. Blau 1978a:296-297; 1985:294).
2. w'um tšmh m'ab (2.16,10-11)-According to the orthography it could mean: "and (the) mother will rejoice more than (the) father". But there may be a scribal error here, namely m'ab may be corrected to $m^{\prime}$ ad(!) (cf. Deitrich and Loretz 1980a:186 n. 35; Blau 1978a:296-297; Verreet 1988:87, contra Pardee 1976:270,287;1984: 220 who interprets $m^{\prime} a b$ as a personal name, i.e. Ma'ābu).
3. m'abr (1.166,7)-Some try to see here a combination of the preposition $m(n)$ and the preposition 'ahr "after" (cf. Cazelles 1979:264). The context mitigates against such an interpretation, so it is better to see here a D stem participle, i.e. [mu'abhiru] "one who comes late" (cf. supra, p. 136).

Inasmuch as all the supposed instances of the alleged $m(n)$ in Ugaritic are few and of questionable interpretation, there is no justification for assuming that it is found in Ugaritic. Only if some unequivocal context should be found will there be a firm basis for positing a $m(n)$ preposition in that language.
$\boldsymbol{k}$ - [ki-/ka-?] "as, like":
 (1.16 I,2).

This $k$ - can also take the enclitic mem $[-m \bar{a}]$ to form a separate preposition [kimā/kamã?] (cf. Biblical Hebrew כְּמְ [Isa. 26:17]). The following are some examples:
km 'ilm "like the gods" (1.4 V,1); km diy "like a bird" (1.18 IV, 18); $k m$ ssps" "like the sun" (2.19,2); $k m^{\top} k^{\top}[\eta]^{\top} b^{\top} y q \underline{q} q \underline{"}$ "(the moon god)
swishes (his tail) like a dog" (1.114,5). With enclitic mem(?): kmm [kimāma/kamāma] "like" (1.164,7,8;1.168,3,10; cf. Bordreuil and Caquot 1979:298).

## Bi-Consonantal and longer Prepositions

These prepositions will be listed alphabetically.
'ahr ['abra/'abrê] (< 'abra/'abray) "after, afterwards":
'ahr spssm "after the sunrise" (1.14 IV,32,46); 'ahr mǵy 'afiyn bll "afterwards, Mighty Baal arrived"' (1.4 III,23); 'ahr mǵy ktr w hss st 'alp qdmh "afterwords, Kothar and Hasis arrived, he set an ox in front of him" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 44-45$; the verbal form $\check{s t}$ can be interpreted as $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s.).

## 'atr ['atra?] "after" (cf. Aramaic באתר):

'atr btlt ' $n t$ 'after the Virgin Anat" (1.4 IV,18); 'atr tn tin hlk 'atr tlt klhm "after two by two, they marched, after three, all of them" (1.14 II,41-42; unless 'atr is a verb here meaning "they marched"); 'atr $b^{c} l$ 'ard $b$ 'ars "after Baal I will go down into the earth" ( 1.5 VI,24-25).
$\boldsymbol{b d}[b a ̂ d i / b a \hat{d} \bar{e}$ ? $](<b i-y a d i / b i$-yaday) "In the hand(s), from the hand(s)" (Cf. the syllabic attestation from the Amarna letters ba-di-úu [bâdihuй] "from his hand" (EA 245,35; cf. Rainey 1965:4). Examples:
$b n s ̌ m l k d$ bd prt "men of the king who are in the charge of Prtu" (4.144,1-2); w ytn 'ilm $b d h^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{m}^{1}$ "and he gave the gods into their hands" $(2.4,21)$; bd hss msbtm "in the hands of Hasis are tongs" (1.4 I,24); w lqh tqlm ksp bd 'amtk "and he took two shekels of silver from the hand(s) of your handmaid" (2.70,18-19); bnš bnšm lyqhnn bd b'ln "no one will take it from the hand(s) of Baclānu" (3.5,16-18).
bn [bêna] (< bayna) "between":
$b n$ ' $n m$ "between the eyes" (1.2 IV,22); bn nšrm "between the vultures" ( $1.18 \mathrm{IV}, 21$ ); hlm ktp zbl ym bn ydm [tp] t nhr "he smote the shoulder of the Prince of the Sea, between the arms, the Judge
 us" (2.33,34; contra TO II, p. 339; cf. ibid. n. 42 for other interpretations).
$\boldsymbol{b}^{\mathbf{c} \boldsymbol{d}}\left[b a^{〔} d u / b a^{〔} d a\right.$ ?] "behind":
$b^{\text {c }} d h$ bhtm "behind it, the houses" (1.100,70); w pth ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} h^{\mathrm{T}} w$ prs $b^{\text {c }} \mathrm{dhm}$
"and he broke through an opening behind them" (1.23,70); $b^{c} d n k s l$ $t t b r$ "behind her you will break the back" (1.3 III,33).
$\boldsymbol{y d}$ [yada?] "with" (cf. Virolleaud 1965:105):
 clothes" (4.107,1,2,3); tmn mrkbt dt 'rb bt mlk yd 'apnth yd hzhn "eight chariots that entered the house of the king with their wheels, with their (f.pl.) arrows" (4.145,1-4).
$\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{d}$ [kabida] "midst":
 earth, every hill to the midst of the fields" ( $1.6 \mathrm{II}, 17$ ).
$\boldsymbol{l p n}[l e-p a n \vec{?}$ ?] "before (temporal)", "in front of"-Cf. Biblical Hebrew
לִבְנֵי and Phoenician לפנ):
lpnk "before you" (1.16 VI,48); hnny lpn mlk "favor me before the king" (2.15,3); 'umy td $k$ ky 'rbt lpn spsš "my mother, may you know that I have entered into the presence of the Sun" (2.16,6-7).
${ }^{\text {' } \boldsymbol{d}}\left[{ }^{‘} a d(\hat{e})\right.$ ? $](<' a d[a y])$ "until, up to":
 wine until drunkenness" (1.114,3-4); ' $d$ ' $/ m$ "forever" (2.19,5,15); yrths w y'adm yrhs ydh 'amth 'usb'th 'd $d k m$ "he washes and reddens himself, he washes his hands up to the forearm, his fingers up to the shoulder" (1.14 III,52-54).
' $l\left[{ }^{〔} a l(\hat{e})\right]$ ] (<' $\left.a l[a y]\right)$ "upon, over":
 III,44); ' $l b t^{\text {' }} a b h n s{ }^{\prime} m t^{\top} r^{1} h h^{\top} p n^{1}$ "over the house of his father the vultures hover" (1.19 I,32); 'arb‘ ‘sm ‘ $l$ 'ar w tll ' $l$ 'ubry ' "four trees (logs) on (the account of) Aru and three on (the account of) Ubar‘āyu" (2.26,9-12).

It may be that ' $l$ means "in the presence of" in the following passage:
$y s b^{g} \operatorname{glm}$ ' $l$ ' $a b h y^{\prime} r b$ "the lad Yaṣibu entered in the presence of his father" (1.16 VI,39-40).
' $\boldsymbol{m}$ ['imma?] "with, towards, to" (cf. Rainey 1965:2), e.g.:


' $m$ 'adty $m n m$ slm "with my lady, what is the news?" (2.12,12-13); ${ }^{\prime} m$ '/m hyt "may you (m.s.) live forever" ( $1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 31$ ); $l \mathrm{k}$ ' $m \mathrm{krt}$ "go towards Keret!" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 20$ ); w $t^{t} l{ }^{\text {cๆ }} \mathrm{m}^{\text { }}$ ' $i l$ ' $a b h$ "and she went up towards El her father" (1.13,20); ybnn hlk ' $m$ mlk 'amr "Yabnīnu went to the king of Amurru" (2.72,25-26); 'my tttb rgm "to me may she send back word" (2.16,19-20); 'aśsprk ' $m$ b'l šnt ' $m$ bn 'il tspr yrhm "I will cause you to count years with Baal, with the sons of El you will count months" (1.17 VI,28-29; it has been suggested that ' $m$ means "for, in behalf of"; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1988:113, but this seems unnecessary).
Sometime this preposition gets enclitic mem, e.g.:
 VI,36-38).
$\boldsymbol{q d m}$ [qudāma?] "before, in front of"-(Cf. Aramaic qudām):
st 'alp qdmh "he put an ox in front of him" (1.3 IV,41); 'n bl qdm $y d h$ "Baal's eye seeks out for his hand" (lit.: "Baal's eye is in front of his hand") (1.4 VII,40).
$\boldsymbol{q r b}[$ qirbu/qirba] "midst":
 house(s), a transom in the midst of the palace" (1.4 VII, 17-19); $b l$ 'ašt' urbt $b b^{\top} h^{1}[\mathrm{tm}]$ hln $b$ qrb hklm "shall I not put a transom in the house(s), a window in the midst of the palace?" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 61-62$ ).
tht [tahtu/tahta?] or [tahtê] (< tahtay?) "under, beneath"-(Cf. the syllabic attestation in an Amarna letter, ta-ah-ta-mu "beneath them" $[E A$ 252:26]):
thth [tahtahă ?] "beneath her" (1.3 II,9); tht ks'i zbl ym "under the throne of the Prince of the Sea" (1.2 IV, 7); $k m^{「} k^{\top}[l]^{\top} b^{\top}$ yqtqq tht thent "like a dog he swishes (his tail) beneath the tables" (1.114,5-6).
$\boldsymbol{t k}[$ tôku/ tôka] (< tawku/tawka) "within, inside, midst":
 of the assembly of the sons of the gods" (1.4 III,13-14); $b$ tk mdbr 'il.s'siy "within the steppe land of IIša'īya" (1.12 1,21); su " "c $d b^{1} t k$ $m d b r q d \check{s}$ "raise an offerng in the midst of the steppe land of Qidshu" (1.23,65).

## CHAPTER EIGHT

## SEVERAL SYNTACTICAL POINTS

## Introduction

It is well-known that morphology and syntax are often connected. Accordingly, there are several matters pertaining to syntax which have already discussed in the chapters on morphology, and will, therefore, not be repeated here. So, for instance, we discussed at previous occasions the syntactical behavior of case endings (p. 82f.), of nominative and oblique personal independent pronouns (p. 49f.), of the pronominal suffix of the first person (p. 52), of the determinative pronouns (p. 54f.), of the verb tenses (including the interchange of $y q t l / q t l$ and qtl/yqtl) (p. 96f.), of the absolute infinitive (p. 123f.) and of the various particles (p. 178f.) and prepositions (p. 194f.).

It is often very difficult to fully understand the context of many sentences in Ugaritic. Many Ugaritic texts are poorly preserved, and even when the text is not damaged and the words can be clearly read, the meaning of the sentences often remains obscure. Furthermore, many texts are in fact only lists of personal names, villages, or professions, at the most accompanied by numbers but lacking any further context. Their laconic style, syntax and formulation make precise exegesis often impossible.

Finally, in a discussion on syntax it is necessary to distinguish between poetry and prose texts. Since most of the readable texts at our disposal are poetry, any study of Ugaritic syntax will basically be a description of the syntax of such texts, and will not necessarily be representative for the syntax of the prosaic texts.

## Non-verbal Clauses

## General Remarks

A nominal clause, by definition, is either verbless or it may contain a copulative verb which connects the subject to the predicate and determines the tense of the nominal close. There are two distinct types of
copulative verbs, namely, stative copulas and dynamic copulas as illustrated by the following examples:

## Stative copulas

$w \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{k n}$ bnh b bt $\check{t r r} \check{s} b q r b h k l h$ "And may there be a son in the house, a scion in the midst of his palace" (lit.: "And may his son be in the house, a scion in the midst of his palace") (1.17 I,25-26).
rgm 'it $\boldsymbol{l} y$ "I have a word" ( $1.3 \mathrm{III}, 20-21$ ).
'in bt l b' km ' ilm "Baal had not a house like the gods" (lit.: "No house had Baal like the gods" (1.3 V,38; 1.4 IV,50-51).
 Haddu (is not) in the midst of his palace" (1.10 II,4-5).

## Dynamic copulas

The roots SBB "to turn" and HPK "to turn (/change) into, become" are used as dynamic copulas in the following examples:
$\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{b} k s p l r^{\mathrm{r}} q^{\top} m$ hrrs $\boldsymbol{n s} \boldsymbol{b} l$ lbnt "The silver turned into blocks, the gold was turned into bricks" (1.4 VI,34-35).
hardn yhpk l mlk "Our hrd will (then) become king" (1.103+ 1.145,52; contra Dietrich and Loretz [1990a:96] who translate "The king's guard will turn away").

Syntactically nominal sentences may be divided into four categories depending on the kind of predicate used in the sentence: (1) Adjectival complementation, (2) Nominal complementation, (3) Prepositional complementation, (4) Existential clauses.

## Adjectival Complementation

The predicative adjective in a verbless clause makes an assertion regarding the subject of the clause. It fully agrees in number and gender with its subject. The following sentences contain predicate adjectives:
špthm mtqtm "Their lips are sweet" (1.23,50).
$m t^{\prime} z b^{c} l^{\prime} z$ "Môt is strong, Baal is strong" (1.6 VI,20).

## Nominal Complementation

Nominal clauses of this kind are essentially, i.e. subject $=$ predicate, whereby the subject is the topic and the predicate is the comment which identifies the subject. The word order in such clauses is normally
subject-predicate, and any deviation from this sequence is for reasons of emphasis or for some specific pragmatic reason. The following sentences are examples of nominal complementations:
$n p^{「 \check{s} m}{ }^{1} n p{ }^{1}$ " lb'im thwe "My soul is the soul of lions of (/in) the wasteland" (1.133,2-4; cf. Pardee 1988:157).
'a[t]tm 'att 'il 'att 'il w 'lmh "The two El's wives are the wives of El, wives of El and his forever" $(1.23,42)$.
'bdk 'an "Your (m.s.) slave I am" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 12$; cf. the Amarna letters ÌR LUGAL a-na-ku [EA 289,51]).
$k p t r k s$ ' $u$ tbth "Kaphtor is the throne of his dwelling" (1.3 VI,14-15).
$h k p t$ 'ars nhlth "Hkpt is the land of his inheritance" (1.3 VI,15-16). sbyn tpt nhr "Our captor is Judge River" (1.2 IV,30).
 (1.4 I,13).
$m \underline{t b} b$ rbt 'atrt $y m$ m $t$ b klt knyt "The abode of Lady Ashera of the Sea is the abode of the perfect brides" (1.4 I,13-15).
spr 'ilmlk "The scribe is Ilmilku" (1.6 VI,54).
'udm ytnt 'il w'ušn'ab 'adm "Udum is a gift of El, and a present of the Father of Man(kind)" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 31-32$ ).
In identifying equations the roots $S B B$ "to turn" and $H P K$ "to turn (/change) into, become" function as dynamic copulas as is illustrated by the following examples:
$\boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{b} k s p l r^{\top} q^{\top} m$ hrrs $\boldsymbol{n s} \boldsymbol{b}$ l lbnt "The silver turned into blocks, the gold was turned into bricks" (1.4 VI,34-35).
The root $S B B$ occurs in the G stem $(s b)$ and in the N stem $(n s b)$, and in both cases it serves as copula.
hrdn $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{h p h} l \mathrm{mlk}$ "Our hrd will (then) become king" (1.103+ 1.145,52; contra Dietrich and Loretz [1990a:96] who translate "The king's guard will turn away").
There exists specific kind of identifying equation, namely when the subject is not fully identified with the predicate but only with regard to one particular feature. In these sentences "equative Kaph" introduces the predicate. Note the following examples:
$k m$ tsm 'ttrt ts ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} m h^{1}$ "Her beauty is like the beauty of Athtart" (lit.:
"Like the beauty of Athtart is her beauty") (1.14 III,42).
'amrr $k \mathrm{kbkb} l \mathrm{pmm}$ "Amraru is like a star in front" (1.4 IV,17).
$k n^{\text {c }} m^{\text {' } n t ~} n^{\text {c }} m h$ "Her charm is like the charm of Anat" (lit.: "Like the charm of Anat is her charm") (1.14 III,41).

## Prepositional Complementation

## Commitative Clauses

The predicate in these clauses is preceded by the preposition ' $m$ "with, together with", and as such expresses the notion of accompaniment (partnership and companionship), as may be seen in the following examples:
'mn $n^{\dagger} k$ 'l htny "With Nikkalu is my wedding" $(1.24,32)$.
' $m k{ }^{s h}$ ' $t$ ǵlmk "With you your seven lads" ( $1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 8-9$ ).
${ }^{\prime} m k p d^{\dagger} r^{\prime} y b^{\top} t^{\top}{ }^{\text {s }} a r$ "With you is Pidrāya, the girl of light" $(1.5 \mathrm{~V}, 10)$.

## Locative Clauses

' $n$ b'l qdm ydh "Baal's eye seeks out for his hand" (lit.: "Baal's eye is in front of his hand") (1.4 VII,40).
${ }^{5} i^{1} n b^{c} l b$ bhth ${ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{\prime} l^{1} h d b$ qrb hklh "Baal is not in his house(s), the god Haddu (is not) in the midst of his palace" (1.10 II, 4-5). w'in d' $l n h$ "And there is none that is above him" (1.4 IV,44; in this sentence the nucleus "something/someone" is omitted). 'akl b hwoth 'inn "There is no food in your land(s)" (2.39,19-20; for the possibility that we have here a casus pendens see below). $w y k n ~ b n h b b t$ srš b qrb hklh "And may there be a son in the house, a scion in the midst of his palace" (lit.: "And may be his son in the house, a scion in the midst of his palace") ( $1.17 \mathrm{I}, 25-26$ ).
[rgg] $b^{7} n$ ykn $b$ hwt "Hunger will be in (your) land(s)" (1.103+ 1.145,3).

## Possessive Clauses

The predicate in these clauses is preceded by the preposition $l$-, and as such expresses the notion of possession, ownership, belonging to. It always follows its subject. Several examples of possessive clauses may be cited:
d šb' [a]hm lh tnmt bn 'um "He who had seven brothers, eight sons from (one) mother" (1.14 I,8-9).
rgm 'it ly "I have a word" (1.3 III,20-21).
$\underline{t}^{[5} a^{1} r^{\top} u^{\mathrm{T}} m^{\mathrm{T}}$ tkn $l h$ "He had flesh of kinship" (1.14 I, 15).
'in bt l bl km 'ilm "Baal had not a house like the gods" (lit.: "No house had Baal like the gods") (1.3 V,38; 1.4 IV,50-51). 'ank 'in bt $[\eta]^{\top}{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}[\mathrm{km}]^{\lceil }$'ilm ${ }^{\top}$ "As for me, I have no house like the gods" ( $1.2 \mathrm{III}, 19$; this sentence is a casus pendens, see below).
$m n m$ ' $i \underline{t} l$ l $b d k$ "What does your servant have?" ( $2.70,29$ ). $\lceil u]^{\top} n^{1} \underline{\underline{t}}$ ' inn $l^{1} h^{1}{ }^{1}$ "They do not have feudal obligation" (3.4,16; this sentence should be interpreted as casus pendens, see below). $m$ drg'm $d$ 'inn msgm lhm " $m$.-s that have no weapons(?)" (4.53,1-2). w $l \underline{t t} \mathrm{mrkbtm}$ 'inn 'utpt "And the two chariots have no quiver" (4.145,6-7).
'it 'alpm lhm "They have oxen" (4.422,1).
There are some instances in which the noun $b^{c} l$ is used instead of the preposition $l$-, namely:
$h b y b^{c} l q m m$ w dnb "Ḥby has horns and a tail" (1.114,19-20). 'admny b'l bt "Admny has a house" (lit.: "Admny is the owner of a house) (4.15,2; see there more examples).

## Possessive-Locative Clauses

The deep structure of possessive/locative clauses seems to be the same as both possessive clauses and locative clauses, and therefore, it is difficult to differentiate between them. These clauses are formulated in two different ways: " $X$ is in $P$ " or " $X$ has $P$ " (there is a preposition before the predicate) and "There is $X$ to $P$ " or "There is $X$ in $r$ ". These patterns are so close that they are indistinguishable and cause ambiguity. At first sight it seems that these clauses are locative in meaning, but their deep structure shows that they also have a possessive meaning. Note the following examples:
$h n b p y s^{5} p^{1} r h n b$ sppty mnthn "Behold, in my mouth is their (f.pl.) number (= the number of them), on my lips is their (f.pl.) counting" (1.24,45-47).
$b d h h t \underline{t k l}$ bdh $h t{ }^{\text {' }}$ 'ulmn "In his hand(s) is the scepter(?) of childlessness, in his hand(s) is the scepter(?) of widowness" (1.23,8-9).
bhm qum km trm "Upon them are horns like bulls (= they have horns like bulls)" (1.12 I,30-31).
bd has msbtm "In the hand(s) of Hasis are the tongs" (1.4 I, 24).
w' in ls" bh "And (if) there is no tongue in it ..." (1.103+1.145,31). $w$ 'in 'udn šm'al b[h] "And (if) it has no left ear ..." $(1.103+1.145,37)$.
spr mlk hnd byd stqstm 'd 'lm "This document of the king is in the hand(s) of S Stq̌̌lm forever" (2.19,13-15).

## Existential Clauses

Existential and non-existential clauses include the adverbs of existence ' $i t$ "there is" and 'in "there is not". Both words always precede their
subjects. The following are examples of existential and non-existential clauses:
${ }^{\prime} i \underline{t}$ šmt $\ldots{ }^{\Gamma}{ }^{2}{ }^{i} 1[t]{ }^{〔} z m$ "There is fat $\ldots$ there is bone" (1.19 III,4-5).
'it zbl bl ' 'ars "The Prince, the Lord of the Earth, exists" (1.6 III,3; IV,5).
'it $y n$ "There is wine" ( $1.23,74$ ).
'in šmt 'in ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{zm}$ " "There is no fat, there is no bone" ( 1.19 III,11).
'in $b$ ' ilm 'nyh "There is none among the gods who answers him" (1.16 V,19,22).

Occasionally the word $b l$ is used instead of 'in as predicate in nonexistential clauses, such as:
$b l^{\top} \underset{f}{\top} l$ bl rbb bl ${ }^{\top}{ }_{s r}{ }^{〔}{ }^{\top}$ thmtm "There is no dew, there is no rain, there is no welling-up of the two deeps" ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 44-45$ ).
One can treat this sentence as having three subjects without predicates indicating non-existence, i.e. "no dew, no rain, no welling-up of the two deeps" (cf. Segert 1984:111).

In one instance the root $K W \mathcal{N}$ "to be" serves as predicate and it follows the subject, thus:
'd $d r t t k[n]$ "There will be help" ( $1.140,8$ ).

## Word Order of Nominal Phrases

## General Remarks

Attributes are found in both nominal clauses and verbal clauses. As in the other Semitic languages an attribute in Ugaritic precedes its nucleus excluding the various intensifiers and quantifiers. The following are examples:

## The attribute is an adjective in:

gšm 'adr "a strong rain" ( $2.38,14$ ).
snt mPit "one year" $(2.2,7)$.
ytmt dlt "a poor orphan(m.f.)" (1.82,22).
$b^{\text {c }} \mathrm{l}$ s smm rmm "the lady of the huge heavens" (1.108,7).

## The attribute is a demonstrative pronoun in:

lym hnd "from this day" (3.4,1).

## The attribute is nomen regens in:

hlk kbkbm "the course of the stars" (1.19 II,7).
bnš bnšm l yqhnn "Every single man (= no one; lit.: man of men) will not take it" (3.5,16-17).
$m n k m^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} n^{1} k m l y q h$ "Nobody (lit.: Anybody of anybodies) will take ..." (3.2,12-13).

## The attribute is a dependent pronoun in:

'att sdqh "his legitimate wife" ( $1.14 \mathrm{I}, 12$ ).

## The attribute is a prepositional phrase in:

tn ksp tql d 'mnk "Give silver, the shekel which is with you" (3.9,1516).

The attribute precedes its nucleus, especially when it is quantifier, namely numeral (cf. supra, p. 87f.) or the word $k l$ "all, every" in phrases like:
$k l$ ǵr $\ldots k l g b^{c}$ "every mountain ... every hill" (1.5 VI,26-27; 1.6 II,16).
kl dbrm "all things (= everything)" $(2.32,8)$.
${ }^{〔} k^{1} l \mathrm{lnpš}$ "everybody" (lit.: "every soul") $(2.38,20)$.
[k]lklh "its everything, all that pertains thereto" (3.5,10; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1984:351-52).
However, there are some problematic cases where the attribute precedes its nucleus without any obvious reason as is illustrated below:

## Adjectival Attribute

$q h$ ksp w yrq hrs "Take silver and green(ish-yellow) gold" (1.14 III,22).
The phrase $y r q$ hrss seems to be correspond to a construction of an adjective followed by a noun (cf. Biblical Hebrew חִּירקירק חָרוּק [Ps.68:14]; cf. Blau 1972:76). If so, the Ugaritic construction is different from the Akkadian hurāsu arqu. One has to take note that the word $y r q$ is also attested in Ugaritic as a regular substantive denoting "gold" (1.4 IV 6,11).
 pure of lapis lazuli') (1.24,21-22).
$w$ bn bht ksp whrs bht thrm 'iqn'im "And build a house of silver and gold, a house of pure lapis lazuli" ( $1.4 \mathrm{~V}, 33-35$ ).
In both sentences the word $\mathbf{z h r m} / \underline{t h r m}$ is most probably an adjective, meaning "pure", which stands in the construct state and is followed by the genitive 'iqn' im "lapis lazuli" (cf. Blau 1972:77). The construct chain
is interrupted by the insertion of enclitic mem of $\mathbf{z h r m} / \mathrm{thrm}$ between the nomen regens and the nomen rectum (cf. supra, p. 193).
'ib 'iqn'i "pure lapis lazuli"' (lit.: "pure of lapis lazuli") (1.14 III,43; VI,29).
According to Ginsberg (1969:144), this phrase is a construct state of two substantives, i.e. "the pureness of lapis lazuli". However, it seems better to consider ' $i b$ as an adjective in construct relation to a substantive, being semantically identical with an adjectival attribute following its substantival head. In other words, ** $i b b u{ }^{\prime} i q n \vec{\imath} i l^{\prime} i q n i{ }^{\prime} i$ is semantically identical with 'iqnत्रे $u$ /'iqni'u 'ibbu, both meaning "pure lapis lazuli" (cf. Blau 1972:76-77).
$n^{\prime} m n$ ' $m q$ nšm "O good one, strongest of men" (1.17 VI,45).
The word ' $m q$ "strong" is most likely an adjective in construct relation with nsm "men". However, it is also possible that the phrase ' $m q$ $n s m$ is a superlative construction which would then explain why the adjective precedes its nucleus.

## Adverbial Attribute

The quantifier $m^{\prime} i d / m^{\prime} a d / m^{\prime} u d^{\prime}$ "very, much, many" may as well precede its nucleus (noun or adjective) and sometimes follows it. (On the use $m^{\prime} i d / m^{\prime} a d / m^{\prime} u d$ as an adverb cf. supra, p. 185). Whenever m$m^{\prime} i d /$ $m^{\prime} a d / m^{\prime} u d$ precedes a noun, it can be analyzed as a substantive in the construct state (cf. Marcus 1974:405-407; for m'id as a noun cf. m'idy [ma'diya] "my abundance" [2.46,11] and Biblical Hebrew וּבְלָל-מְּוֹדָ "and with all your might" [Deut. 6:5]). The following are examples of the use of $m^{\prime} i d / m^{3} a d / m^{\prime} u d$ :
$m^{2} i d / m^{2} a d / m^{\prime} u d$ Preceding its Nucleus:
$m^{\prime} i d r^{〔} m^{1}[k r t]$ "Be very exalted, Keret" ( $1.15 \mathrm{III}, 13$ ).
 (1.5 III,23).
$m>^{\prime} u<^{\prime} i d d m$ "much blood" (1.5 III,24-25).
m'id ksp "much silver" (lit.: "a lot of silver") (1.4 V,15,38).
 fine" (2.39,3-4).
This formula seems to be Akkadian as can be discerned from the following example:
 Rainey 1975c:25). The word is m'idm almost certainly plural; cf. ma-a-du-ma "much", "abundance (?)" [Ug 5137 II,36']).

## $m^{\prime} i d / m^{\prime} a d / m^{\prime} u d$ Following its Nucleus:

$s b^{\prime} u k$ 'ul m'ad "Your army is very strong" (1.14 II,35; cf. Ginsberg 1946:37, contra Marcus [1974:405] who interprets it as "many"). $w y d$ 'ilm ... ' $z$ m'id "And the love of the gods is very strong" (2.10,11-13).
sgr $m^{\top} u^{\ulcorner } d^{1}$ "many offsprings(?)" (1.5 III, 17; the context is not sufficiently clear).

## Construct State in Ugaritic

We have already mentioned that the noun in Ugaritic retains its case ending even when used in the construct state (cf. supra, p. 82f.). Occasionally enclitic mem stands between the nomen regens and the nomen rectum (cf. supra, p. 193). The following are the types of the nomen rectum and the nomen regens:

## Construct State of Noun + Noun:

$q \varsigma m r i{ }^{\prime}$ "ribs of fat beef" ( $1.3 \mathrm{I}, 8$ ).

## Construct State of Preposition + Noun:

tht ks'i zbl ym "under the throne of the Prince of the Sea" (1.2 IV,7).

## Construct State of Adjective + Noun:

see examples above.

## Construct State of Numeral + Noun:

$m^{\prime} i t$ ' iqn'i "one hundred (shekels of lapis lazuli" ( $3.1,30,32$ ).
'arb' 'alpm 'iqn'i "four thousand (shekels of lapis lazuli" (4.203,5).
Sometimes the numeral is appositional to its noun; see examples supra, p. 86 f .

## Construct State of Noun + Sentence:

$y b n$ 'as' $l^{1} d$ " O sons (whom) I have begotten" (1.23,65).
$y$ 'att 'itrh "O two wives (whom) I have betrothed" ( $1.23,64$ ).
The nouns $b n$ and 'att in these examples do not end in mem, which proves that they are in construct state (cf. supra, p. 83).

## Verbal Sentences

## Word Order

## Word Order of Verbal Sentences in Prose

Plain prose is represented by only a few samples. Most of the prosaic texts are formulaic and are in fact imitations of Akkadian legal epistolary. From the Ugaritic texts at our disposal, it seems that the subject, verb, object and adverb may occur in any order. One may discern that this flexibility of word order is often exploited in order to emphasize a certain part of the sentence. Nevertheless, it is still true that in most instances the subject precedes its verb. The following are examples showing the various structures of verbal sentences:

Subject-Verb-Object:
nqmd mlk 'ugrt ktb spr hnd "Niqmaddu, king of Ugarit, has written this document" (2.19,8-9).
Adverb-Subject-Object-Verb:
$l y m$ hnd 'iwer $k^{\prime} l$ l pdy 'agdn "From this day, Iwirkallu has redeemed Agdenu" (3.4,1-2).

## Object-Subject-Verb-Object:

'iršt 'aršt l 'ahy "I asked a request for my brother" (lit.: "A request I asked for my brother") (5.9 I,7-8).
Subject-Object-Verb:
'čr ksdm yd lmdhm lqh "Ten $k$ 's took the hands of their apprentice" (lit.: "Ten $k$ 's the hands of their apprentice took") (4.125,8).
Verb-Subject-Adverb:
$k$ t'rb 'tutrt šd bt mlk $k$ t'rbn ršpm bt mlk "When Athtart of the Field enters the house of the king, when the Reshaphim enter the house of the king" (lit.: "When enters Athtart of the Field the house of the king, when enter the Reshaphim the house of the king") (1.91,10-11).

In the case of chiasmus (a feature characteristic of poetry), one may find changes in word order, thus:
$l$ yblt $\boldsymbol{h b} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{m} / /^{\prime} a p$ ksphm lyblt "I did (not) bring the h's//also their silver I did (not) bring" (2.17,1-3).

## Word Order of Verbal Sentences in Poetry

The word order of verbal sentences in poetry is flexible, but specific poetic structures, such as parallelism or chiasmus, often determine the
place of each constituent in the sentence. However, it should be kept in mind that such structures do not reflect spoken language, and tell us nothing about the word order in verbal sentence in Ugaritic as it was spoken by its people. Parallelism of two or more cola is often encountered in poetry. From the point of view of syntax, one should consider the parallelism as one unit even if each colon consists of a separate sentence. It occasionally happens that a specific element which was mentioned in the first colon is not repeated in the second or third one. The following sentences illustrate the flexible word order in poetic verbal sentences:

Subject-Verb-Object:
$t q h$ mlk 'lmk "You will take your eternal kingdom" (1.2 IV,10).
$y d n d n$ 'almnt "He judges the case of the widow" (1.17 V,7-8).

Verb-Subject-Object:
$w \operatorname{ths} s^{\mathbf{s}}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ 'atrtt ndrh "And remembers Ashera his vow(s)" (1.15 III,25).
Verb-Subject-Object-Adverb:
$l$ ytn bt $l b^{〔} l^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ ilm "A house is (not) given to Baal like the gods" (lit.: "Is [not] given a house to Baal like the gods") $(1.3 \mathrm{~V}, 3)$.
Verb-Subject-Adverb:
tstql 'ilt l hklh "The goddess enters her house" (lit.: "Enters the goddess her house") (1.3 II,18).
Subject-Adverb-Verb:
dn'il bth ym.ǵn "Daniel reaches his house" (lit.: "Daniel his house reaches") (1.19 IV,8).
$\operatorname{srrs}^{4} k^{1} b$ 'ars 'al $y p^{\text {c }}$ "Let not your scion flourish in the land" (lit.:
"Your scion in the land let not flourish") (1.19 III,53-54).
Subject-Object-Verb:
$k t r$ ssmdm ynht "Kothar brings down two clubs" (lit.: "Kothar two clubs brings down") (1.2 IV,11).
'il 'attm k ypt.hm "El, he surely seduced the two women" (lit.: "El, the two women he surely seduced them") (1.23,39).
Object-Verb-Subjec:
${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' Pakm ylak ym "Yammu sends the messengers" (lit.: "The Messengers sends Yammu") (1.2 I,11).
šm'alh t'uhd ' ${ }^{\text {ttrt }}$ "Athtart holds his left hand" (lit.: "His left hand holds Athtart") (1.2 I,40).
Object-Subject-Verb:
bšrtk yblt "Your news I bring" (1.4 V,27).
hlk b'l 'atrt $k$ tn "The going of Baal Ashera verily sees" (1.4 II,1314).

Adverb-Subject-Verb:
${ }^{\text {' } l ~ b t ~ ' a b h ~ n s ̌ m ~} t^{\top} r^{1} h^{\top} p n^{1}$ "Over her father's house vultures hover" ( $1.19 \mathrm{I}, 32$ ).
Object-Adverb-Subject-Verb:
$p^{\text {c }} n \mathrm{~h}$ l hdm ytpt "His feet he sets on the footstool" (lit.: "His feet on the footstool he sets" (1.4 IV,29).
There are times when the word order in the second colon may be different from that in the first colon due to chiasmus. Note the following examples:
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{q} \underline{k s p}$ l'alpm//hrs $\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{s} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{m}$ l rbbt "He pours silver by thousands (of shekels)//gold he casts by myriads" (1.4 I,26-28).
$\check{s m m} \underline{s}^{{ }^{\top} m^{1} n} \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{t m t r n} / / n h l m$ tlk nbtm "The heavens rain down oil//the brooks run with honey" ( $1.6 \mathrm{III}, 6-7$ ).
Sometimes the poet changes the word order (of the two cola) as a result of using a single word or construction common to both cola. This word (or construction) ends the first colon and simultaneously also begins the second colon, i.e. the so-called "Pivot" word or construction. Note the following examples:

Subject as "Pivot":
$y^{\text {c }} n$ glmm $y^{\text {g } n y n}$ " "The lads answered" (lit.: "Answered the lads, the lads answered") (1.3 IV,5).
The word g glmm "lads" is the subject and functions as pivot between two verbs in one colon.
tlhm $\boldsymbol{r p}$ ' $\mathbf{u m}$ tstyn ... themn $\boldsymbol{r p}$ ' $\mathbf{u m}$ tstyn "The shades eat and drink ... the shades eat and drink" (lit.: "Eat the shades, the shades drink ... eat the shades, the shades drink") (1.22 I,21-24).
The word $r p$ 'um "shades" is twice a pivot word separating verbs that usually occur in a coordinated sequence.

## Verb as "Pivot":

[b] ph rgm $\boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{s}$ 'a $b$ spth hwoth "From his mouth the word verily went (/had not gone) forth, from his lips his utterance" (lit.: "From his mouth the word verily went (/had not gone) forth, verily went (/had not gone) forth from his lips his utterance") (1.2 IV,6).
The verb $l y s^{3} a$ "it verily went (/had not gone) forth" functions as a predicate of both cola. It ends the first colon, but simultaneously begins the second one.
$n p s{ }^{\prime} l$ l lhm tpth brlth l trm "His appetite she opens to eat, his desire
to dine" (lit.: "His appetite to eat she opens, she opens his desire to dine") (1.16 VI,11-12).
The verb tpth "she opens" serves as predicate of both cola.
 to eat, the holy ones for to dine" (lit.: "Now the gods to eat were sitting, were sitting the holy ones for to dine") (1.2 I,20-21).
The form $y \underline{t b}$ "they were sitting" functions as the predicate of both cola.

Subject and Verb as "Pivot":
hlk 'ahth b'l y'n tdrq ybnt' 'abh "Baal sees the going of his sister, the course of his father's daughter" (lit.: "The going of his sister Baal sees, Baal sees the course of his father's daughter" (1.3 IV,39-40).
The combination $b^{c} l y^{c} n$ "Baal sees" is pivot of both cola, inserted between the respective objects of the two cola.

There are instances when the scribe repeats the pivotal component. In most such cases, the text expressed in the first colon is incomplete

 lyre and melodies voice" [Ps 98:5]; cf. Sivan and Yona 1994:443-447). Almost all of these cases are part of special so-called three line "straircase parallelism" or climactic parallelism structure. Note, for example, the following cases:

"And lifts up his eyes, Mighty Baal, and he lifts his eyes and sees, and he sees the Virgin Anat, fairest among Baal's sisters" (1.10 II,13-16).
w ts'u 'nh w t'n win $\boldsymbol{t}^{\text {c }} \boldsymbol{n}$ 'arh w $t^{\top} r r^{1} b l k t$ "She lifts her eyes and sees, she sees a cow and proceeds in walking" (1.10 II,27-28).
 blessed (him and) came, came the gods to their tents, El's entourage to their habitations" (1.15 III, 17-19).
 bow down in childbirth and give birth, they give birth to the goodly gods, the islanders(?), sons of the Sea" $(1.23,58)$.
 kisses their lips, behold, their lips are sweet, sweet as grapes" (1.23,49-50).

Sometimes there is a repetition of the pivotal constituent even when each colon has a complete idea; thus for example:
 break, Baal broke their pinions" (1.19 III,8-9).
This case is an example of $y q t / / q t l$ parallelism (cf. supra, p. 107)

## Connection between Objects and the Verb

Ugaritic has four ways of connecting transitive verbs to their objects:

1. By means of the accusative case ending:
mdl 'r ṣmd phl št gpnm dt ksp "He saddled an ass ['êra], hitched a young ass [pahla/puhäla?], put on harness [gapanïma?] of silver" (1.4 IV,9-10).
$y^{\prime} d b k s$ ' $a$ w y $t b$ "He prepares a chair [kussi $a / k i s s i$ 'a] and sits" (1.100,7).
2. By means of prepositions:
'ahdy dymlk'l'ilm "I alone will rule over the gods" (1.4 VII,49-50). w mlk yšt al b hn "May the king inquire about them(f.)" $(2.42,23)$.
3. By means of dependent pronouns:
${ }^{\top} b{ }^{\top} k s{ }^{\text {'iststynh }}$ "From the cup I will drink it" ( $1.4 \mathrm{III}, 16$ ).
$t n$ 'ahd $b b^{\top} n k^{1}$ 'amlkn "Give one of your sons, so that I may make him king" ( $1.6 \mathrm{I}, 45-46$ ).
4. By means of independent pronouns (cf. supra, p. 50), namely the third person independent pronoun masculine singular, feminine singular, plural and dual:
w kbd hwt "And honor (both of you) him!" (1.3 VI,20).
nmgn hwe "Both of us will beseech him" ( 1.4 III,36).
$k b d$ hyt "(Both of you) honor her!" (1.3 III,10)
$k b d h m t$ "Honor (f.s.) the two of them (m./f.)" (1.17 V,20).

## Causative Ditransitive Verbs

Transitive verbs have usually one single object, but in the specific case of ditransitive verbs, such as $\check{S} L M$ "to pay", $L^{\prime} K$ "to send", $\check{S} L H$ "to send" and $Y T \mathcal{N}$ "to give" the verb governs two objects.

There are also instances in which ditransitive verbs are often the result of causation. The deep structure of sentences with ditransitive verbs shows that these sentences are basically identifying equations in which a causative component was added to a dynamic copula. This process creates a new ditransitive verb; i.e. $X$ causes ( $Y$ becomes $Z)=$ $>X$ makes $r$ to be $Z$. Often "equative Kaph" is used. Note the following are examples:
'aštk $k m n \check{s} r b h^{\top} b^{1}[\check{s y}]$ "I make you like an eagle in my sheath" (1.18 IV,17).
 her sheath, like a bird in her scabbard" (1.18 IV,28).
${ }^{\prime} w^{\mathrm{I}} r^{1} t \boldsymbol{y}$ štk $b l l$ "May Baal make you (f.) blind" (1.19 IV,5).
'dbnn 'ank <k>'imr b py 'I make him like a lamb in my mouth" (1.6 П, 22).
$\boldsymbol{y} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{n}$ hhsm mhrk "I make (lit.: I give) snakes (to be) your bride-price" $(1.100,75)$.
w'atnk bl mt "And I will make you immortal (= deathless)" (1.17 VI,27).

## Ellipsis

The ellipsis of constituents, whereby one or more components from the first colon is not repeated in the second one, is typical of poetry in general (cf. Ps 114 in Biblical poetry), and is also common type of ellipsis in Ugaritic poetry, as for instance in:
$\underline{t n}$ dbhm šn'a b'l tlt $r k b$ 'rpt "Two sacrifices Baal hates, three the Rider of the Clouds" (1.4 III,17-18; the word $d b h m$ is omitted in the second colon).
A rather rare kind of ellipsis, namely, ellipsis of the predicate, seems to occur in the sentence:
${ }^{'} b d k$ 'an $w d{ }^{\text {' }}$ lmk "Your slave I am, (a slave) of your world ( $=$ of all that you have)" ( $1.5 \mathrm{II}, 12$ ).
One may translate "Your slave I am, and forever" (cf. Gordon 1949:38; Ginsberg 1969:138; Gibson 1978:69), although the expression 'lmk may literally mean here "all that you have". It is clear however that the predicate 'bdk "your slave" which occurs in the first colon has been omitted in the second one.

It is also possible for the subject of the sentence to be elided, as a result of which the sentence becomes nominalized, as for instance in:
'anš $d t z r h$ "Collapsed are (the muscles?) of her back" (1.3 III,35; cf. $T O$ I, p. 167, n. e).
Finally, ellipsis may be the result of pragmatic considerations of the scribe or of lexical contexts. An example of this specific kind of ellipsis may be found in:
lm 'ank ksp wyrq [hrṣ] (1.14 VI, 17-18).
Although the predicate is elided, the meaning of the sentence is
clear, namely "What (use have) I for silver and green(ish-yellow) gold?". Furthermore, the words $l m$ 'ank stand for both cola, namely $l m$ 'ank $k s p / /(l m$ 'ank) yrq [brss].

## Interrogatives

Questions are usually formulated by means of interrogative adverbs and pronouns (see supra for discussion, p. 182). However, in "yes/no questions" Ugaritic does not use an interrogative element, unlike Hebrew where the interrogative $-\underset{\text { IT is is used to introduce such questions. }}{\text { is }}$ The fact that a question is at stake is decided on the basis of mere contextual arguments, as may be illustrated by the following double rhetorical questions:
 of Bull his father he desires, or authority like the Father of Man(kind)?" (1.14 I,41-43).
$p$ 'bd 'an ' $n n$ 'atyt ... hm 'amt 'atrt tlbn lbnt "Am I a slave that I should attend Ashera ... or is Ashera a handmaid that she should make bricks?" (1.4 IV,59-62).
The same use occurs in Biblical Hebrew, e.g.:
Shall we go against Ramoth Gilead to battle, or shall we forbear?" ( $1 \mathrm{Kgs} 22: 15$ ).
Shall you rule over us, or shall you have domination over us?" (Gen. 37:38; cf. Held 1969:71-79 and Avishur 1973:421-464).
In the Ugaritic sentences the word $h m$ "or" is used, but the word ' $u$ "or" may be used in the same way as $h m$, thus:
' $u$ mlk ' $u$ bl mlk "Shall he be either king or not king?" ( 1.4 VII,43; cf. Held 1969:71-79 and Smith 1994:256).

## Asyndetic Coordination

Even though Ugaritic uses coordinative particles (cf. supra, p. 188), there are many cases in which the coordination is asyndetic.

## Asyndetic Coordination of Parts of the Sentence:

lmd 'atn prln rb khnm rb $n^{\mathrm{\top}} q d^{11} m$ "The disciple(?) is Atn-prln, chief
of the priests (and) chief of the herdsmen" (1.6 VI,55-56). $[l l]^{\top} / \mathrm{mm}^{1} l$ sty shthm "To eat (and) to drink have I called you" (1.15 IV,27; V,10; VI,4).

Asyndetic Coordination of Simple or Subordinated Sentences:
$m t^{‘} z b^{c} l^{\text {c }} z$ "Môt is strong (and) Baal is strong" (1.6 VI,20).
kptr ks'u tbth hkpt 'ars nhlth "Kaphtor is the throne of his dwelling (and) Hkpt is the land of his inheritance" (1.3 VI 1416).
 Ashera of the Sea, give one of your sons (so that/and) I may make him a king" (1.6 I,44-46).
 that/and) I may devour (him)" (1.6 V,19-21).
 enters the house of the king (and) when the r's enter the house of the king" (1.91,10-11).

## Extrapositional Sentences (Casus Pendens)

Occasionally, the topic of a sentence is put at the beginning and a retrospective pronoun within the sentence replaces the extrapositional element. The following are examples of the various extrapositional placements:

Subject as Extrapositional Element:
'akl b hwoth 'inn "There is no food in your land" (lit.: "Food, it is not in your land") (2.39,19-20; 'akl is extrapositional and 'inn includes its anaphoric pronoun; yet the $-n$ can be considered as a suffix typical especially of administrative texts, cf. supra, p. 187).
Predicate as Extrapositional Element:
'ank' in bt [l]y [km] 'ilm "As for me, I have no house like the gods" (1.2 III, 19).
$\underline{t t q} \boldsymbol{t}$ ' $m h b q^{\prime} t$ "Ttqt, with her is $\mathrm{Bq}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$ " $(1.24,48$; cf. Hermann 1968:24).
Object as Extrapositional Element:
sǵrthn 'abk $\boldsymbol{m}^{1}$ " "Their youngest, I will give her birthright" (1.15 III,16).
 $\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{r b} b$ bšr tštn "A knife, she puts it into the meat" (1.15 IV,25). 'il 'attm $k$ ypt.hm "El, he surely seduced the two women" (lit.: " El , the two women he surely seduced them") $(1.23,39)$.

## Subordinated Clauses

It is clear that there is no strictly positional subjunctive mode in Ugaritic, unlike, for instance, Akkadian (contra Verreet 1988:8-10). Indeed, subordinated clauses, regardless of the fact which subordinating particle introduces the clause, frequently have the indicative form $y q t u$, and do not necessarily have the so-called subjunctive form yqtla. The verbal forms in the following examples of subordinated clauses are no doubt indicative $y q t l u$-forms:
'arb' harsm dt tblln bhn "Four craftsmen who are working [tib'alüna] in Phn"' (4.141 III,5-6).
w mnm šalm dt tknn "And whoever the investigators who will be [takününa] in charge (of the guaranties)" (3.3,5-6; contra Verreet [1988:133] who interprets tknn as yqtlØ).
qrht d tššlmn "Cities that render [tašašlimūna/ tašašimimūna] (service or taxes)" (4.95,1; cf. Verreet 1988:146).
subc dt tqhnn šslmt "Seven (men) who take [tiqqahūna] Ššmt" (4.395,45).
 enters the house of the king, when the r's enter [ $t a^{\text {c }}$ rubūna] the house of the king" (1.91, 10-11).
'd $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{b n} k s p$ 'iwerkl "Until they return [tataĨ̄būna/tutañibūna] Iwirkallu's money" (3.4,17-18).

## Subject Clauses

$w$ 'in $d$ 'lnh "and there is none who is above him" (1.4 IV,44).
In this clause the nucleus ("someone/something") which ought to express the subject has elided.

## Predicate Clauses

> 'ahdy dymlk ' $l$ 'ilm "It is I alone who will rule over the gods" ( 1.4 VII,49-50).

In this sentence the topic 'ahdy is at the beginning, and the sentence is most probably a cleft sentence.

## Attributive Clauses

The attributive clause may be asyndetic, or may be introduced by the subordinating particles $d$ or $k-/ k y$. Note the following examples:

## Syndetic Attributive Clauses

 the fair, your first begotten, whose fairness is like Anat's fairness" (1.14 III,39-41).
'abn brq d l td" smm rgm ltd nšm we l tbn hmlt 'arṣ "Hail stones which the heavens have not known, a word (which) the people have not known" (1.3 III,26-27; here we have also an asyndetic attributive clause).
'argmn nqmd mlk 'ugrt $\boldsymbol{d}$ ybl $l$ spšs mlk $r b$ b'lh "The tribute of Niqmaddu, king of Ugarit, which he brings to the Sun, the great king, his master" (3.1,24-26).
'šrm yn hsp lql $\boldsymbol{d} t b^{c} m s^{\top} r^{r}(?) m^{\prime}$ "Twenty (jars) of hsp-wine belonging to Ql, who left Egypt(?)" $(4.213,27)$.
 to the house of the king" (4.341,20-21).
 ing her eyes, whom in my dream El bestowed, in my vision, the Father of Man(kind)" ( $1.14 \mathrm{III}, 45-47$; in this case the nucleus can be either "H̛urāya's eyes" or "Ḥurāya").
 $k m$ 'aryh "You are poor, Daniel, man of Rāpi'u, the sigh of the hero, man of Harnamīya, who has no son like his brothers nor a scion like his kinsmen" (1.17 I, 17-19; the nucleus of the attributive clause is expanded).
$b t[m]^{\top} l^{l} k$ ' $i t d b \boldsymbol{d} b^{c}$ [ Pa]hm lh tmnt bn 'um "The house of the king, who had seven brothers, eight sons of mother, is destroyed" (lit.:
"The house of the king is destroyed, who had seven brothers, eight sons of mother") (1.14 I,7-9; the attributive clause is not attached to its noun).
w lht 'alpm hartm $\boldsymbol{k}$ rgmt ly "And tablets of ploughing oxen which you told me (about)" (2.45,22-23).

ter of Amr, that you, my mother, will discuss before the city (council)" (2.72,17-19).
$\operatorname{lht} \operatorname{slm} \boldsymbol{k} \operatorname{li} k^{\top} t^{\prime}$ 'umy "The letters of greetings that my mother sent" (2.34,5-6).

## Asyndetic Attributive Clauses

The attributive clause functions as a nomen rectum in those cases where it is preceded by a noun in the construct state (such structure is well known in Akkadian [cf. Ungnad 1992:123] and in Biblical Hebrew [cf. Waltke and O'Connor 1990:138-139 and 338]). When the noun preceding the asyndetic attributive clause is plural or dual but does not have its plural or dual marker, it is clear that the relation between this noun and the following clause is one of nomen regens asyndetically followed by a nomen rectum. Clear examples of such a structure are attested in the following sentences:
$y b n$ 'as $s^{5} l^{l} d$ "O sons (whom) I have begotten" (1.23,65).
${ }^{\prime} y$ 'att 'itrh "O two wives (whom) I have betrothed" ( $1.23,64$ ).
$k$ 'irby ' $t$ 'skn šd "Like the locusts (that) dwell on the steppe" ( 1.14 II,50-51; one can also interpret 'irby as singular collective; cf. Verreet 1988:136).
The situation is less clear in a sentence like:
$t l$ šmm tskh $[r]^{\top} b^{1} b$ nskh kbkbm "Dew (that) the heavens pour(ed) down, spray (that) the stars pour(ed) down" (1.3 II, 40-41).
In this case and in similar cases the plural marker is actually $\check{s m m}$ "heavens", so that we cannot say that the attributive clause depends on a noun in the construct state. (It seems less likely to interpret $\check{s m m}$ as a construct noun followed by enclitic mem, namely, šamî-ma).

As a matter of fact, it is not always clear whether or not the noun preceding the asyndetic attributive clause stands in the construct state. This is especially the case when the noun is masculine singular or feminine (singular and plural), as in:
$y^{\top} d^{1} m h s t^{2} a^{\top} q^{\top}[h]^{\top} t^{1} g z z r t m b s{ }^{~} a l p m{ }^{2} i^{\top} b^{1}$ "The hand (that) smote the hero Aqhat will smite thousands of foes" (1.19 IV,58-59).
'rpt tmetr b qz tll ytll l gnnbm "Clouds (that) rain on the summer fruit, dew (that) distills upon the grapes" (1.19 I,40-42). ${ }^{3} a \underline{t}^{\top} t^{\top}[t q]^{\top} h^{1} y{ }^{\top} k^{1} r^{\top} t^{\top}$ 'att $t q^{\top} \underline{a}^{1}{ }^{\top} b^{\top} t^{\top} k^{1}\left[g g^{\top} l m t^{\top} t s^{\top} r b h z r^{\top} k^{1}\right.$ "The wife (whom) you take, O Keret, the wife (whom) you take to your house, the maiden (whom) you cause to enter your court ..." (1.15 II,21-23).
 the heavens have not known，a word（which）the people have not known，nor sensed by the masses on earth＂（1．3 III，26－27）．

## Conditional Clauses

Most conditional clauses occur at the head of the sentence and are usu－ ally introduced by the particles $h m$（or＇im）＂if＂or $k$－＂if＂（the particle $l$－is not used for such clauses as it is in Biblical Hebrew and in one instance in the Amarna letters；cf．Rainey 1996：III，190）．However，it happens that the particles are omitted．In such cases we can identify the clause as having a conditional nuance on the basis of the context only（especially in omens where one finds series of conditional sen－ tences）．The following are some examples：

## Conditional clauses with particles

$\boldsymbol{h m}$ hry bty＇iqh＇ašrb ǵlmt hzvy tnh kspm＇atn t tlth hrrsm＂If Hẹuāya to my house I take，bring the lass into my court，her double I＇ll give in silver（and）her triple in gold＂（1．14 IV 40－43）．
 is（／has been）taken，（or）if Môt should attack a man，the house of the son（s）of man（kind）will（／should）take a goat and will（／should） look to the future（lit．：afar）＂（1．127，30－32；cf．Rainey 1973：51）．
whmht＇lw Pikt＇mkwhm l＇$l$ w Pakm＇iPak＂And if the Hittite has attacked，（then）I will send（a letter）to you；and（even）if he does not attack，I will surely send（it）＂（2．30，16－20）．
$\boldsymbol{h m} y r h b^{〔 「} /[y h] w p h m n^{〔} m n y h^{\top} s^{\top} r l h$＂If the moon is at（its）rising and redness（is visible），（then）he（／it）will lack agreeableness＂ （1．163，12－13）．
［ $\boldsymbol{h}] \boldsymbol{m}$ tll ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ id $y n p h y$ ynh b yrh＇ahrm［ ］lt mzm ylk＂If the moon will be seen three times in the month Ahrm，（then）．．．Mzrn will go＂ （1．163，5－6；contra Dietrich and Loretz 1990a：99）．
$\boldsymbol{h m} \boldsymbol{y m t} w$＇ilhmn＇ank＂If he should die，I will go on fighting on my own＂（2．82，18－21）．
＇im mlkytn yrgm＂If Milkuyatanu says，（then）．．．＂（2．15，8）．
$\boldsymbol{k} y g^{〔} r$ s＇św št＇$q r b n$ ydk w yms＇＂If a horse roars，a št－measure of the scorpion－like plant should be pulverized and liquefied＂（1．85，2－3）．
 horse does not defecate or urinate，the sap of a $\check{s} t$－measure of the
$q l q l$-plant and a $s t$-measure of the ' $r g z$-plant should be pulverized together" (1.85,9-10).
$\boldsymbol{k}$ tld ${ }^{\text {「 }} a^{1}[t t t]$ ' $d r t t k[n]$ "If a woman gives birth ..., (then) there will be help ..." (1.140,7-8).
There are rare instances where the conditional clause does not occur at the head of the sentence. Note the following examples:
$\boldsymbol{h m} l$ 'atn bty $l h$ "..., if I do not give my house to him" $(2.31,65)$.
 the eagles may Baal break, may Baal break their pinions, if they fly over the grave of my son" (1.19 III,42-44).

## Conditional clauses without particles

ttn w tn wl thn w'al thn tn ks yn w'istn "(If) you give, (then) give; and (if) you do not give, (then) don't give; give a cup of wine so that I may drink it (= I'll accept whatever you give, be it little or much)" (5.9 I, 12-16).
w'in l'sn bh "And (if) there is no tongue in it (= the foetus), (then) ..." (1.103+1.145,31).
$w^{\text {' in }} k r^{c} y^{\top} d^{1} h \ldots y h l q b h m^{\top} t^{\top}[i b]^{\top} h^{1}$ "And (if) its forearm is not (there) ..., (then) (the king) will destroy the animals of his enemy(?)" (1.103+1.145,15; cf. Dietrich and Loretz 1990a:95). $w^{\prime}$ 'in $y d$ ' 'sm' $a^{\prime} l$ bh hwt ' ib thlq "And (if) there is no left hand on it ( $=$ the foetus), (then) the land of the enemy will perish" (1.103+1.145,59).
$w^{\prime}$ in 'udn šm'al ${ }^{\mathbf{r}} b^{\mathbf{1}}[h]{ }^{\mathbf{I}} m l k n^{\mathbf{1}}$ yšdd hwot ${ }^{2} i^{\top} b h^{1} w$ yhslnn "And (if) it (= the foetus) has no left ear, (then) our king will lay waste the land of his enemy and devastate it" ( $1.103+1.145,37-38$ ).

## Temporal Clauses

Temporal clauses usually stand at the beginning of the sentence rather than at its end. Their typical subordinating particles are $k-/ k y,{ }^{\prime} d$, ${ }^{\text {'id }}$ and $h l m$. There are instances in which the temporal clause is reduced. The following are examples:
$\boldsymbol{k}$ tmhs ltn brh btnn tkly btnn 'qltn "When you smote Lôtānu, the evil serpent, (and) you destroyed the crooked serpent ..." ( $1.5 \mathrm{I}, 1$ ).
$\boldsymbol{k y}$ lik bny lht 'akl ' $m y$ m'idy "When (/After) my son sent me letters (/a reqisition) for food, my abundance ..." (2.46,9-11).
'aqht $\boldsymbol{k} m$ ytbl $l \ln [m]$ bn dn'il $l \underline{t r m}$ "As Aqhat sat down to eat, the son
of Daniel to dine ．．．＂（1．18 IV，29；the subordinating particle ends in enclitic mem）．
＇d tsb＇tmths $b$ bt＂She smites in the house until she is satisfied＂（or： ＂Until she is sated，battling in the house＂）（1．3 II，29）．
 going（／went）in the field，（yea）they were hunting（／hunted）on the corners of the desert＂（1．23，67－68）．

＇id likt＇ky nplt＇bdmlk＂After you sent（the message）to Akko， Abdimilku was saved＂（2．82，3－5；cf．Bordreuil and Caquot 1980： 360 ）．
＇id yph mlk ršp＂When the king saw Reseph ．．．＂（1．90，1－2；1．168，1； cf．TO II，p． 172 and n．100）．
hlm＇il $k y p h n h ~ y p r q ~ l s b b y s h q$＂As soon as（／when）El verily sees her，he parts his jaws and laughs＂（1．4 IV，27）．
The following examples are reduced temporal clauses，although some of them can also be interpreted as circumstantial clauses：
$w y q r b b \stackrel{s}{ }{ }^{2 l}$ krt＂And he approached while asking Keret＂（1．14 I， 37－38）．
${ }^{「} b k k^{1} m$ tmdlm ${ }^{\text {＇} r} b k m t \leq s m d p h l b^{\top} k m^{1} t s^{\prime} u^{\prime} a b h$＂While weeping she sad－ dles a young ass，while weeping，she harnesses a donkey，while weeping，she lifts up her father＂（ $1.19 \Pi, 8-10$ ）．
$b n s{ }^{\circ} i$＇$n h w y p h n$＂Upon lifting his eyes，he sees＂（ $1.17 \mathrm{~V}, 9$ ）．
${ }^{「} b m{ }^{1} b k y h$ w $y n_{n}{ }^{\mathrm{「}} b^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} d^{1} m^{c} h n h m m t$＂As he weeps，he falls asleep，as he sheds tears，slumber＂（ 1.14 I，31－32）．
bkm ytb bcl l bhth＂While weeping，Baal returns to his house（s）＂（1．4 VII，42）．

## Object Clauses

The subordinating particle of object clauses is usually $k-/ k y$ ．It is not used when the object clause is originally a question．The following are examples of the various object clauses：
$w t d^{\kappa}{ }^{\prime} i l^{\top} m^{1} \boldsymbol{k} m t t$＂And El may know that you are dead＂（1．5 V，16－ 17）．
 is alive，existent is the Prince，the Lord of the Earth＂（1．6 III，8）．
 if there is bone＂（1．19 III，4－5）．

There are instances in which the nucleus of the object clause is elided, so that the clause is reduced, as for instance:
 El bestowed, in my vision, the Father of Man(kind)" (1.14 III,4647).
$p d^{\Gamma}{ }^{2}{ }^{\top} n b b t y$ tn "(That) which is not in my house should you give" (1.14 VI,22-23).

## Causal Clauses

The subordinating particle of causal clauses is $k$, as is clear from the following examples:
 'aryy "I shall sit and rest, and my soul shall repose in my breast, for a son is born to me like my brothers, a scion like my kinsmen" (1.17 II, 12-15).
 Ashera and her sons rejoice, the goddess and the band of her kinsmen, for dead is Mighty Baal, for perished is the Prince, the Lord of the Earth" (1.6 I,39-43; these are two causal clauses coordinated asyndetically).
$y m P u$ lbh $b$ šmht $\ldots \boldsymbol{k}$ brkm tǵll $b d m \underset{d}{d} m r$ "Her heart is filled with joy ... for knees she plunges in the blood of soldiery" (1.3 II,25-28). $b \underline{t} l r k b{ }^{\text {' } r p t} \boldsymbol{k} s b y n{ }^{\top} z b{ }^{1}[l y m \boldsymbol{k}]$ sbbn $t p[t] n h r$ "Shame, O Rider of the Clouds, for the Prince of the Sea is our captor, for Judge River is our captor" (1.2 IV,29-30).

## Final Clauses

Apparently, Ugaritic did not have any special subordinating particle to introduce a final clause. The verbs in final clauses are in the yqtla mode as can be discerned from verbs with final aleph (whereas in Arabic yqtlu mode is used, cf. Wright 1933 II:19-20).

There are cases where waw consecutive is used the final clause, thus: $p t h$ bt w'ub'a hkl w'istgl "Open a house so that I may come, a palace so that I may enter" (1.100,72).
tbh 'imr w' 'ilhm mgt w'itrm "Slaughter a lamb so that I may eat, a lambkin so that I may dine" (1.16 VI,17-18).
On the other hand, there are instances where waw consecutive is not used before final clauses, thus:
 devour (him)" (1.6 V,19-20).
sink 'itn $r^{〔} y$ yss' a 'idn ly 'I will give your prize(?), (so that) my friend will bring forth ... to me" (2.15,4-6).
When the final clause consists of a verb in the infinitive, the clause is a reduced one. The following are examples:
$n p s h l l \mathrm{lhm}$ tpth brlth l trm "His appetite she opens (in order) to eat, (she opens) his desire (in order) to dine" (1.16 VI, 11-12).
'ank 'ahš mg'y "I hurry (in order) to arrive" (2.34,10-11; cf. Pardee 1984:227 and TO II, p. 343).

## Circumstantial Clauses

$y^{\text {「c }} r^{1} b l b t h y b k y$ " $H e$ enters his chamber (while) he weeps" (1.14 I,26-27; it seems that yqtlu forms are not used in such clauses in other Northwest Semitic languages; for the use of $y$ gtlu forms in sircumstantial clauses cf. Arabic in Wright 1933 II:20).
[mlk] ytb brr "The kink sat purified" (1.41,7; cf. TO II, p. 154, n. 45).
${ }^{5}{ }^{1}{ }^{1!} k^{1}{ }^{1} b^{1} r^{r} k t m^{1}$ "I will go blessed" (1.19 IV,32; cf. Gordon 1965:78 and Ginsberg 1969:155).

This page intentionally left blank

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aartun, K.
1974 Die Partikeln des Ugaritischen, Vol. I, Neukirchen-Vluyn.
1978 Die Partikeln des Ugaritischen, Vol. II, Neukirchen Vluyn.
1980 Die belegten Partikelformen in den ugaritischen Texten aus Ras Ibn Hani. UF 12:1-6.
Albright, W. F.
1941 Two Letters from Ugarit (Ras Shamra). BASOR 82:43-49.
1948 The Early Alphabetic Inscriptions from Sinai and Their Decipherment. BASOR 110:6-22.
1950a Critic on Ugaritic Grammar by C. H. Gordon. 7BL 69:385-393.
1950b Origin of the Alphabet and Ugaritic ABC Again. BASOR 119:23-24.
1955 Some Canaanite Phoenician Sources of Hebrew Wisdom. VT Supp. 3:1-15.
Althann, R.
1977 Hebrew-Ugaritic 'im "if". Biblica 58:525-526.
Astour, M. C.
1968 Two Ugaritic Serpent Charms. 7NES 27:13-36.
Avishur, Y.
1973 Some Models of Doubled Questions, in Luria, E. Z. (ed.), Zer Ligourot, The Zalman Shazar Jubilee Volume, Jerusalem, pp. 421-464. (Hebrew)
$1976 \quad$ Krkr in Biblical Hebrew and in Ugaritic. VT 26:257-261.
1981 The Paralleism of Numbers in the Bible and in Ancient Semitic Literature, in Proceedings of the $7^{\text {th }}$ World Congress of Jewish Studies (Studies in the Bible and the Ancient Near East), Jerusalem, pp. 1-9. (Hebrew)
1984 Studies of Word-Pairs in Biblical and Ancient Semitic Literatures, NeukirchenVluyn.
Barr, J.
1973 Ugaritic and Hebrew "ŠBM"? $7 S S$ 18:17-39.
Barth, J.
1894 Zur vergleichenden semitischen Grammatik. ZDMG 48:1-21.
Beeston, A. F. L.
1962 A Descriptive Grammar of Epigraphic South Arabian, London.
Benz. F. L.
1972
Personal Names in the Phoenician and Punic Inscriptions, Rome.
Blau, J.
1957 Höberē Š̈maj̈m (Jes xlvii 13) = Himmelabeter? VT 7:183-184.
1968a 'ëśre(h). Lĕsonénu 32:267-268. (Hebrew)
1968b On Problems of Polyphony and Archaism in Ugaritic Spelling. $7 A O S$ 88:523-526.
1970a Studies on picel Stem. Lës̆onénu 34:228-233. (Hebrew)
1970b On Pseudo-Corrections in Some Semitic Languages, Jerusalem.
1971a On the Repetition of the Predicate in the Bible. in Uffenheimer, B. (ed.), Bible and Jewish History, Studies in the Bible and Jewish History Dedicated to the Memory of Jacob Liver, Tel Aviv, pp. 234-240. (Hebrew)
1971b Studies in Hebrew Verb-Formation. HUCA 42:133-158.
1972 Marginalia Semitica II. IOS 2:57-82.

1974 Studies in Semitic Pronouns (including the Definite Article), in Hanoch Yallon Memorial Volume, Jerusalem, pp. 17-45. (Hebrew)
1977a Marginalia Semitica III. IOS 7:14-32.
1977b "Weak" Phonetic Change and the Hebrew sîn. HAR 1:67-119.
1978a A Book on Particles in Ugaritic. Lësonénu 42:295-301. (Hebrew)
1978b Hebrew and North West Semitic: Reflections on the Classification of the Semitic Languages. HAR 2:21-44.
1979a Zu Lautlehre und Vokalismus des Ugaritischen. UF 11:55-62.
1979b . Short Philological Notes on the Inscription of Meša ${ }^{c}$. Maarav 2:143157.

1983 Are Forms Such as in Mishnaic Hebrew Indeed Ancient? Lešonénu 43:158-159. (Hebrew)
1985 A New Ugaritic Grammar. Lësonénu 49:290-296. (Hebrew)
Blau, J. and Greenfield, J. C.
1970 Ugaritc Glosses. BASOR 200:11-17.
Blau, J. and Loewenstamm, S. E.
1970 Zur Frage der Scriptio plena im Ugaritischen und Verwandtes. UF 2:19-33.
1971 Ugaritic SLY "to curse". Lešonénu 35:7-10. (Hebrew)
Bloch, A.
1967 The Vowels of the Imperfect Performatives in the Old Dialects of Arabic. ZDMG 117:22-29.
Bordreuil, P. and Caquot, A.
1979 Les textes en cunéiformes alphabétiques découvertes en 1977 à Ibn Hani. Syria 56:295-315.
1980 Les textes en cunéiformes alphabétiques découvertes en 1977 à Ibn Hani. Syria 57:343-373.
Borger, R.
1969 Zu Ugaritica V, Nr. 18 und 138. RA 63:171-172.
Boyd III, J. L.
1975 A Collection and Examination of the Ugaritic Vocabulary Contained in the Akkadian Texts from Ras Shamra, Ph.D. Dissertaion, Chicago University.
Brockelmann, C.
1908-13 Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der semitischen Sprachen, 2 Vols., Berlin.
Brown, M. L.
1987 "Is it not?" or "Indeed!": Hl in Northwest Semitic. Maarav 4:201-219.
Caquot, A.
1956 In Splendoribus sanctorum. Syria 33:36-41.
1984 Une nouvelle interprétation de la tablette ougaritique de Ras Ibn Hani 78/20. Orientalia 53:163-176.
Caquot, A., Sznycer, M. and Herdner, A.
1974 Textes ougaritiques, Vol. I (mythes et légendes), Paris.
Caquot, A., de Tarragon, J-M. and Cunchillos, J-L.
1989 Textes ougaritiques, Vol. II (textes religieux et rituels, correspondance), Paris.
Cassuto, U.
1947 Le tre alef dell'alfabeto ugaritico. Orientalia 16:466-476.
1951 The Three Aleph's in the Ugaritic Alphabet. Lësonénu 17:123-127. (Hebrew)
1965 The Goddess Anath, Jerusalem. (Hebrew)
1972 Biblical and Canaanite Literature, Vol. I, Jerusalem. (Hebrew)
Cazelles, H .
1979 Précis de grammaire ougaritique. Bibbia e Oriente 21:253-268.

Cohen, H. R.
1976 Towards the phrase "Bēt häbĕ̈r". Bet Miqra 4:598-599. (Hebrew)
1978 Biblical Hapax Legomena in the Light of Akkadian and Ugaritic, Missoula, Montana.
1990 On the Research Concerning the Enclitic Mem in the Hebrew Bible from Jonah ibn Janaḥ Until H. L. Ginsberg, in Boyarin, D. (ed.), Alon Uziel Memorial Volume, Jerusalem, pp. 30-36. (Hebrew)
Cohen, Ch. and Sivan D.
1983 The Ugaritic Hippiatric Texts: A Critical Edition, American Oriental Series, Essay 9, New Haven.
Cowley, A. E., ed. and trans.
1910 Gesenius' Hebrew Grammar. Ed. by Kautzsch, E. 2nd English. edition. revised. in accordance with the $28^{\text {th }}$ German edition, Oxford.
Cross, F. M.
1967 The Origin and Early Evolution of the Alphabet. Eretz Israel 8:8*24*.
Cross, F. M. and Freedman, D. N.
1964 The Name of Ashdod. BASOR 175:48-50.
Cross, F. M. and Lambdin, T. O.
1960 A Ugaritic Abecedary and the Origins of the Proto-Canaanite Alphabet. BASOR 160:21-26.
Cunchillos, J. L.
1983 Le pronom démonstratif hn ugaritigue. Son existence, son histoire, ses rapports avec les autres démonstratifs et avec l'article. AuOr 1:155165.

1990 La Trouvaille épigraphique de l'ougarit 2. Bibliographie, Paris.
Dahood, M.
1957 Some Aphel Causatives in Ugaritic. Biblica 38:62-73.
1963 Hebrew-Ugaritic Lexicography I. Biblica 44:289-303.
1964 Ugaritic Lexicography. Mélanges Eugine Tisserant 1, Studi e Testi 231:81-104.
1965 Ugaritic-Hebrew Philology, Rome.
Diem, W.
1975 Gedanken zur Frage der Mimation und Nunation in den semitischen Sprachen. ZDMG 125:239-258.
Dietrich, M. and Loretz, O.
1966 Der Vertag zwischen Šuppiluliuma und Niqmandu: Eine philologische und kulturhistorische Studie WO 3:206-245.
1967 Untersuchungen zur Schrift und Lautlehre des Ugaritischen (I): Der ugaritische Konsonant g. WO 4:300-315.
$1974 \quad K \breve{U} \mathcal{N}$-S̆ und $\breve{S} K \mathcal{N}$ im Ugaritischen. UF 6:47-53.
1978 Bemerkungen zum Aqhat-Text. Zur ugaritischen Lexikographie (XIV). UF 10:65-71.
1980a Zweifelhalfte Belege für ug. $m(n)$ "von". Zur ugaritischen Lexicographie (XVI). UF 12:47-53.

1980b Der Tod Baals als Rache Mots für die Vernichtung Leviathans in KTU 1.5 I 1-8. UF 12:404-407.
1984 Kl "gang, alle" mit Suffixen in ug. Texten. UF 16:351-352.
1988 Von hebräisch 'M/LPNY zu ugaritisch ' $m$ "vor", in Eslinger, L. and Taylor, G. (eds.), Ascribe to the Lord, Sheffield, pp. 109-116.
1989 The Cuneiform Alphabets of Ugarit. UF 21:101-112.
1990a The Syntax of Omens in Ugaritic. Maarav 5-6:89-109.

1990b Mantik in Ugarit, Münster.
Dietrich, M. and Loretz, O. and Sanmartín, J.
1973 Zur ugaritischen Lexikographie, VII: Lexikographische Einzelbemerkungen. UF 5:79-104.
1974a Der Eilbrief PRU 2, 20 (= RS 15.07). UF 6:471-472.
1974b Zur ugaritischen Lexikographie (XI) Lexikographische Einzelbemerkungen. UF 6:19-38.
1974c Der Satzbau in PRU 2.12 ( $=R S$ 16.402), 22-38. UF 6:456-457.
1975a Untersuchungen zur Schrift und Lautlehre des Ugaritischen (IV): W als Mater Lectionis in btwom und keet. UF 7:559-560.
1975b Zur ugaritischen Lexikographie (XIII). UF 7:69-157.
1977 Die Dittographie 'ahtt(h) (KTU 1.24, 36). UF 9:345.
Dijkstra, M.
1976 Two Notes on PRU 5, No. 60. UF 8:437-439.
Dijkstra, M. and Moor, J. C. de
1975 Problematic Passages in the Legend of Aqhâtu. UF 7:171-216.
Dobrusin, D. L.
1981 The Third Masculine Plural of the Prefixed Form of the Verb in Ugaritic. 7ANES 13:5-14.
Driver, G. R.
1973 Affirmation by Exlamatory Negation. 7ANES 5:107-114.
Emerton, J. A.
1977 The Etymology of hištah ${ }^{a} w a \bar{h} h$. Oudtestamentische Studien 20:41-56.
1982 Some Notes on the Ugaritic Counterpart of the Arabic "Ghain", in Kadish, G. E. and Freedman G. E. (eds.), Studies in Philology in Honor of Ronald James Williams, Toronto, pp. 31-50.
1966 Are There Examples of Enclitic mem in the Hebrew Bible? in Fox, M. V. et al. (eds.), Texts, Temples and Traditions, A Tribute to Menahem Haran, Winona Lake, Indiana, pp. 321-338
Erman, A. and Grapow, H. (eds.)
1957 Wörterbuch der aegyptischen Sprache, Berlin.
Fenton, T. L.
1970 The Absence of a Verbal Formation *yaqattal From Ugaritic and Northwest Semitic. $\mathcal{F} S S$ 15:31-41.
1980 Questions concerning the Evidence of the Ugaritic Literature and the Biblical Lexicon. Lešonénu 44:268-280. (Hebrew)
Fisher, L. R.
1971a New Readings for the Ugaritic Texts in Ugaritica V. UF 3:356.
1971b The Clermont Ras Shamra Tablets, Rome.
Fontinoy, Ch.
1969 Le duel dans les langues sémitiques, Paris.
Fox, J. Tz.
1996 Noun Patterns in the Semitic Languages, Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University.
Freilich, D. and Pardee, D.
1984 [z] and [ $]$ in Ugaritic: A Re-examination of the Sign-Forms. Syria 61:25-36.
Friedman, R. E.
1979 The MRZH Tablet from Ugarit. Maarav 2:187-206.
Fronzaroli, P.
1955 La fonetica Ugaritica, Rome.

Garr, W. R.
1985 Dialect Geography of Syria-Palestine, 1000-586 B.C.E, Philadelphia.
1986 On Voicing and Devoicing in Ugaritic. 7NES 45:45-57.
Gibson, J. C. L.
1978 Canaanite Myths and Legends, Edinburgh.
Ginsberg, H. L.
1932 Additional Notes to 'aliyn b'l Epic. Tarbiz 4:380-390. (Hebrew)
1936 The Ugaritic Texts, Jerusalem. (Hebrew)
1939 Two Religious Borrowings in Ugaritic Literature. Orientalia 8:317-327.
1946 The Legend of King Keret, A Canaanite Epic of the Bronze Age, New Haven.
1967 The North West Semitic Languages. in B. Mazar (ed.), The Patriarchs and the Judges, Jerusalem-Ramat Gan, pp. 62-75. (Hebrew)
1969 Ugaritic Myths, Epics, and Legends, in Pritchard J. B. (ed.), Ancient Near Eastrn Texts ${ }^{3}$, Princeton.
1973 Ugariti-Phoenicia. 7ANES 5:131-147.
Good, R. M.
1981 Geminated Sonants, Word Stress, and Energic in $-n n /-n n$ in Ugaritic. UF 13:117-122.
Gordis, R.
1943 The Asseverative Kaph in Ugaritic and Hebrew. FAOS 63:176-178.
Gordon, C. H.
1949 Ugaritic Literature, Rome.
1965 Ugaritic Textbook, Rome.
1967 Ugarit and Minoan Crete, New York.
Grabbe, L. L.
1979 Hebrew $P \bar{A}^{c} A L /$ Ugaritic $B^{c} L$ and the Supposed $B / P$ Interchange in Semitic. UF 11:307-324.
Greenfield, J. C.
1969 Amurrite, Ugaritic and Canaanite, in Proceedings of the Intemational Conference on Semitic Studies, Held in Ferusalem 19-23 7uly 1965, Jerusalem, pp. 92-101.
1979 The Root $\check{S} Q L$ in Akkadian, Ugaritic and Aramaic. UF 11:325-328.
Greenstcin, E. L.
1973 Another Attestation of Initial $h>{ }^{\prime}$ ' in West Semitic. 7ANES 5:157164.

1974 Two Variations of Grammatical Parallelism in Canaanite Poetry and Their Psycholinguistic Background. FANES 6:87-105.
1976 A Phoenician Inscription in Ugarit Script? FANES 8:49-57.
1977 One More Step on the Staircase. UF 9:77-86.
1982 The Snaring of Sea in the Baal Epic. Maarav 3:195-216.
1988 On the Prefixed Preterite in Biblical Hebrew. Hebrew Studies 29:7-18.
Gröndhal, F.
1967 Die Personemamen der Texte aus Ugarit, Rome.
Hamori, A.
1973 A Note on Yaqtulu in East and West Semitic. Archiv Orientálni 41:319324.

Haran, M.
1972 The Graded Numerical Sequence and the Phenomenon of "Automatism" in Biblical Poetry. VT SuppL. 22:238-267.
Hartmann, B. and Hoftijser, J.
1971 Ugaritic hnk-hnkt and Punic Formula. Le Muséon 84:529-535.

Helck, W.
1971 Die Beziehungen Ägyptens zu Vorderasien im 3 und 2 Fahrtausend v. chr., Wiesbaden.
Held, M.
$1959 m h s / * m h s$ in Ugaritic and Other Semitic Languages (A Study in Comparative Lexicography). 7AOS 79:169-176.
1962 The Yqtl-Qtl (Qtl- Yqtl ) Sequence of Identical Verbs in Biblical Hebrew and Ugaritic, in Ben-Horin, M., Weinryb, B. and Zeitlin, S. (eds.), Studies and Essays in Honor of Neuman, A. A., Philadelphia, pp. 281290.

1965 The Factitive-Passive Sequence of Identical Verbs in Biblical Hebrew and Ugaritic. $\mathcal{J} B L$ 84:272-282.
1969 Rhetorical Questions in Ugaritic and Biblical Sentences. Eretz Israel 9:71-79.
Heltzer, M.
1977 Zur Bedeutung des Ausdrucks "die șibbiru-Felder" in Ugarit. OLP 8:47-55.
Herdner, A.
1952 Critic on Albright, W. F. The Origin of the Alphabet and the Ugaritic ABC Again. Syria 29:169-170.
1963 Corpus des tablettes en cunë́formes alphabétiques découvertes à Ras Shamra de 1929 à 1939, Paris.
1978 Nouveax textes alphabéthiques de Ras Shamra-XXIVe compagne, 1961, in Schaeffer, F. A. (ed.), Ugaritica VII, Paris, pp. 1-78.
Herrman, W.
1968 Varih und Nikkal und der Preis der Kutarāt-Göttinnen, Berlin.
Hillers, D. R.
I976 Additional Note. BASOR 200:18.
Hoch, J. E.
1994 Semitic Words in Egyptian Texts of the New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Period, Princeton, New Jersey.
Hoftijzer, J.
1971a A Note on G 1083': 'iš̌tir and Related Matters. UF 3:361-364.
1971b A Note on 'iky. UF 3:360.
Huehnergard, J.
1981 Akkadian Evidence For Case-Vowels on Ugaritic Bound Forms. 7CS 33:199-205.
1983 Asseverative *la- and Hypothetical *lü/lawe in Semitic. 7AOS 103:569593.

1985 A Dt Stem in Ugaritic? UF 17:402.
1987 Ugaritic Vocabulary in Syllabic Transcriptions, Atlanta, Georgia.
1992 Historical Phonology and the Hebrew Piel, in Bodine, W. R. (ed.), Linguistics and Biblical Hebrew, Winona Lake, Indiana, pp. 209-229.
Huesman, J.
1956 Finite Uses of the Infinitive Absolute. Biblica 37:271-295.
Humel, H. D.
1957 Enclitic MEM in Early Northwest Semitic, Especially Hebrew. $7 B L$ 76:88-107.
Izréel, Sh.
1976 The Gezer Letters of the el-Amarna Archive Linguistic Analysis. M. A. Thesis, Tel Aviv University.

1987 Early Northwest Semitic $3^{\text {rd }}$ pl.m. UF 19:79-90.

Jastrow, M.
1971 ספר מילים, New york.
Joüon, P. and Muraoka, T.
1991 A Grammar of Biblical Hebrew, 2 Vols., Rome.
Kaye, A. S.
1991 Does Ugaritic Go with Arabic in Semitic Genealogical Classification? Folia Orientalia 28:115-118.
Knapp, A. B.
1975 Note to Text UT 118 (Ř̌ 11.772+780+782+802). $74 O S$ 95:101.
Krahmalkov, Ch.
1969 A Letter in Ugaritic Dialect. 7NES 28:262-264.
Kühne, C.
1973 Randnotizen zu PRU VI. UF 5:185-189.
1974 Mit Glossenkeilen markierte fremde Wörter im akkadischen Ugarittexten. UF 6:157-167.
1975 Mit Glossenkeilen markierte fremde Wörter im akkadischen Ugarittexten. UF 7:253-266.
Kutscher, E. Y.
1967 Notes on Gordon's Book. Lëšonénu 31:33-36. (Hebrew)
1968 An Answer to Prof. Loewenstamm. Lëšonénu 32:374-375. (Hebrew)
1970 Following Ugaritica V. Lĕsonénu 34:5-19. (Hebrew)
Levine, B. A. and Tarragon, J-M de
1984 Dead Kings and Rephaim: The Patrons of the Ugaritic Dynasty. 7AOS 104:649-659.
1993 The King Proclaims the Day: Ugaritic Rites for the Vintage (KTU 1.41//1.87). RB 100-1:76-115.

Liverani, M.
1963 Antecedenti del diptotismo arabo nei testi accadici di Ugarit. RSO 38:131-160.
1964 Elementi innovativi nell'ugaritico non-letterario. AANLR 19:173-191.
Loewenstamm, S. E.
1959 Notes on the Pronouns in Ugaritic in the Light of Canaanite. Lëšnénu 23:72-81. (Hebrew)

1963 The Formula $m^{e c}$ attå $w^{e t}$ ad 'ôlām. Tarbiz 32:313-316. (Hebrew)
1969a Kod as Vowel Letter in Ugaritic. Lës̆onénu 33:111-114. (Hebrew)
1969b The Expended Colon in Ugaritic and Biblical Verse. 7SS 14:176-196.
1972 Who is Afraid of the Linguistic Method? Lëšnénu 36:67-70. (Hebrew)
1980 Comparative Studies in Biblical and Ancient Oriental Literatures, NeukirchenVluyn.
1984 Tstal. UF 16:357-358.
Marcus, D.
1968 The Three Alephs in Ugaritic. JANES 1:50-60.
1969 Studies in Ugaritic Grammar I. JANES 1:55-61.
1970a The Qal Passive in Ugaritic. JANES 3:102-111.
1970b Aspects of the Ugaritic Verb in the Light of Comparative Semitic Grammar, Ph.D. Dissertation, Columbia University.
1972 The Verb 'To Live' in Ugaritic. 7SS 17:76-82.
1974 Ugaritic Evidence for "The Almighty/The Grant One?" Biblica 55:404407.

Margalit, B.
1976 Studia Ugaritica II: Studies in KRT and AQHT. UF 8:137-192. Biblicas 22:179-190.
Merrill, E. H.
1974 The Aphel Causative: Does it Exist in Ugaritic? 7NSL 3:40-49.
Miller, P. D.
1975 The Divine Warrior in Early Israel, Cambridge, Mass.
Moor, J. C. de
1965 Frustula Ugaritica. JNES 24:355-364.
1969 Studies in the New Alphabetic Texts from Ras Shamra II. UF 1:107188.

1970 Studies in the New Alphabetic Texts from Ras Shamra II. UF 2:303327.

1979 Contributions to the Ugaritic Lexicon. UF 11:639-654.
1980 An Incantation Against Evil Spirits (Ras Ibn Hani 78/20). UF 12:429432.

Moor, J. C. de and Spronk, K.
1984 More on Demons in Ugarit (KTU 1.82). UF 16:237-250.
Moran, W. L.
1950a a Syntactical Study of the Dialect of Byblos as Reflected in the Amarna Tablets, Ph. D. Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University.
1950b The Use of the Canaanite Infinitive Absolute as a Finite Verb in the Amarna Letters from Byblos. 7CS 4:169-172.
1960 Early Canaanite yaqtula. Orientalia 29:1-19.
Moscati, S. (ed.)
1964 An Introduction to the Comparative Grammar of the Semitic Languages, Wiesbaden.
Muilenburg, J.
1961 The Linguistic and Rhetorical Usages of the Particle $כ$ in the Old Testament. HUCA 32:135-160.
Muraoka, T.
1984 Emphatic Words and Structures in Biblical Hebrew, Jerusalem.
Oberman, J.
1946 Sentence Negetion in Ugaritic. $7 B L$ 65:233-248.
Pardee, D. G.
1975 The Preposition in Ugaritic. UF 7:329-378.
1976 The Preposition in Ugaritic. UF 8:215-322.
1978a The Semitic Root MRR and the Etymology of Ugaritic $M R(R) / / B R K$. UF 10:249-288.
1978b A Philological and Prosodic Analysis of the Ugaritic Serpent Incantation UT 607. JANES 10:84-85.
1978c $\quad$ CPH "Witness" in Hebrew and Ugaritic. VT 28:204-213.
1979 More on the Preposition in Ugaritic. UF 11:685-692.
1981 A Further Note on PRU V, No. 60. Epigraphic in Nature. UF 13:151156.

1984 Further Study in Ugaritic Epistolography. AfO 31:213-230.
1988 Les textes para-mythologiques, Paris.
Parker, S. B.
1967 Studies in the Grammar of the Ugaritic Prose Texts, Ph.D. Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University.

Pope, M. H. and Tigay, J. H.
1971 A Description of Baal. UF 3:117-130.
Qimron, E. and Sivan, D.
1995 Interchange of Patah and Hiriq and the Attenuation Law. Lësonénu 59:738. (Hebrew)

Rabin, H.
1963 Etymological Notes. Tarbiz 33:109-117. (Hebrew)
Rainey, A. F.
1965 Some Prepositional Nuances in Ugaritic Administrative Texts, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Semitic Studies, Held in ferusalem 19-23 July 1965, Jerusalem, pp. 1-7.
1966 New Tools For Ugaritic Grammar Research. Lěsonénu 30:250-272. (Hebrew)
1967 A Social Structure of Ugarit, Jerusalem. (Hebrew)
1969 Notes on the Syllabic Ugaritic Vocabularies. IEJ 19:107-109.
1970a Notes to the Syllabic Vocabularies from Ugarit. Lěsonénu 34:180-184. (Hebrew)
1970b Review of Herrmann, W., Tarih und Nikkal. 7AOS 90:533-536.
1971a Observations on Ugaritic Grammar. UF 3:151-172.
1971b Linguistic Method-May They Preserve it. Lĕšonénu 35:11-15. (Hebrew)
1972 The Word in Ugaritic and in Hebrew. Lësonénu 36:186-189. (Hebrew)
1973 Gleanings from Ugarit. IOS 3:34-62.
1974 The Ugaritic Texts in Ugaritica 5. JAOS 94:184-194.
1975a Toponymic Problems, 'Ain -Shasu. Tel Aviv 2:13-16.
1975b Institutes: Family, Civil and Military, in Fisher, L. R. (ed.), Ras Shamra Parallels, Vol. II, Rome, pp. 69-107.
1975c More Gleanings from Ugarit. IOS 5:18-31.
$1976 \quad K L$ 72:600 and the D-Passive in West Semitic. UF 8:337-341.
1978 The Barth-Ginsberg Law in the Amarna Tablets. Eretz 1srael 14:8*13*.
1987 A New Grammar of Ugaritic. Orientalia 56:391-402.
1988a Some Presentation Particles in the Amarna Letters. UF 20:209-220.
1988b Further Remarks on the Hebrew Verbal System. Hebrew Studies 29:3738.

1989-90 A New Translation of the Amarna Letters After 100 Years. AfO 36-37:56-75.
1990 The Prefix Conjugation Patterns of Early Northwest Semitic, in Abush, Z. et al. (eds.), Lingering Over Words, Atlanta, Georgia, pp. 407-420.

1996 Canaanite in the Amama Tablets, a Linguistic Analysis of the Mixed Dialect Used by the Scribes from Canaan, 4 Vols., Leiden, New York, Köln.
Renfroc F .
1992 Arabic-Ugaritic Lexical Studies, Münster.
Richardson, M. E. J.
1978 Ugaritic Place Names with Final -Y. ZSS 23:298-315.
Rin, S. and Rin, Sh.
1996 Acts of Gods, Philadelphia. (Hebrew)
Robertson, D. A.
1972 Linguistic Evidence in Dating Early Hebrew Poetry, Missoula, Montana.
Rössler, O.
1961 Ghain im Ugaritischen. ZA 20:158-172.

Roth, W. M. W.
1965 Numerical Sayings in the Old Testament. VT Suppl. 13.
Ružička, R.
1954. La question de l'existence du ǵ dans les langues sémitiques en général et dans la langue ugaritienne en particulier. Archiv Orientálni 22:174237.

Sanmartín, J.
1977 Ug. uzr und Verwandtes. UF 9:369-379.
1978 Glossen zum ugaritischen Lexikon (II). UF 10:349-356.
Sarfatti, G. B.
1992 The Inscriptions of the Biblical Period and Mishnaic Hebrew. Language Studies 5-6:41-65. (Hebrew)
Segert, S.
1983a Parallelism in Ugaritic Poetry. 7 AOS 103:295-306.
1983b Polarity of Vowels in the Ugaritic Verbs $\Pi / \%$ UF $15: 219-222$.
1983c The Last Sign in the Ugaritic Alphabet. UF 15:201-218.
1984 Basic Grammar of the Ugaritic Language, Berkeley-Los Angeles-London.
1987 Words Spread Over Two Lines. UF 19:283-288.
1989 The Ugaritic Voiced Postvelar in Correspondence to the Emphatic Interdental. UF 20:287-300.
Selms A. von
1967 Pacyal formations in Ugaritic and Biblical Hebrew. 7NES 26:289-295.
1971 CTA 32: A Problematic Liturgy. UF 3:235-248.
Singer, A. D.
1943 Final MEM in Ugaritic. Yedi'ot 10:54-62. (Hebrew)
1948 The Vocative in Ugaritic. Yedi'ot 14:98-108. (Hebrew)
Sivan, D.
1982a Nominal Dual Forms in Ugaritic. Lësonénu 46:65-71. (Hebrew)
1982b Final Triphthongs and Final $y u / a / i-w u / a / i$ Diphthongs in Ugaritic Nominal Forms. UF 14:209-218.
1984a Grammatical Analysis and Glossary of the Northwest Semitic Vocables in Akkadian Texts of the 15th-13th C.B.C from Canaan and Synia, Neukirchen-Vluyn.
1984b Diphthongs and Triphthongs in Verbal Forms of Verba Tertiae Infirmae in Ugaritic. UF 16:279-293.
1986 Problematic Lengthenings in North West Semitic Spellings of the Middle of the Second Millennium B.C.E. UF 18:301-312.
1988 On the Appending of Case Endings to Ugaritic Construct Forms. Beer Sheva 3:113-120. (Hebrew)
1990a Is There Vowel Harmony in Verbal Forms with Aleph in Ugaritic? UF 22:313-315.
1990b Tšt il and Yst'al in Ugaritic: Problems in Methodology. UF 22:311312.

1992 Notes on the Use of the Form Qatal as Plural Base for the form Qatl in Ugaritic. IOS 12:235-238.
Sivan, D. and Cochavi-Rainey, Z.
1992 West Semitic Vocabulary in Egyptian Script of the 14th to the 10th Centuries $B C E$, Beer Sheva.
Sivan, D. and Schniedewind, W.
1993 Letting Your 'Yes' be 'No' in Ancient Israel: A Study of the Asse-

Sivan, D. and Yona, Sh.
1994 Style and Syntax-Pivotal Use of Extrapositional Syntagms in Biblical Hebrew. UF 26:443-454.

Smith, M. S.
1994 The Ugaritic Baal Cycle, (VT Suppl. 55), Leiden, New York, Köln
1995 the *qatala Form in Ugaritic Narrative Poetry, in Wright, D. P., Freedman, D. N. and Hurvitz A. (eds.), Pomegranates and Golden Bells: Studies in Biblical, Jewish and Near Eastern Ritual, Law and Literature in Honor of Jacob Milgrom, Winona Lake, Indiana, pp. 789-803.
Soden, W. von
1967a Kleine Beiträge zum Ugaritischen, VT Suppl. 16:295-296.
1967b Review of Kitchen, K. A. Ancient Orient and the Old Testament. WO 4:41-43.
Speiser, E. A.
1964 The Syllabic Transcription of Ugaritic [ $h$ ] and [ $h$ ]. BASOR 175:42-47.
Sperber, A.
1938 Hebrew Based Upon Greek and Latin Transcriptions. HUCA 12-13: 103-274.
Steiner, R. G.
1980 Tuqattil, Yaqattil or Yiqattili: D-Stem Prefix-Vowels and a Constrain on Reduction in Hebrew and Aramaic. JAOS 100:513-518.
Tropper, J.
1990a Zur Morphologie der Verben primae $H$ im Ugaritischen und in den anderen nordsemitischen Sprachen. UF 22:375-382.
1990b Zur Vokalisierung des ugaritischen Gt-Stammes. UF 22:371-373.
1990c Silbenschliessendes Aleph im Ugaritischen. UF 22:359-369.
1991a ' $R S$ "ver langen": G-, D- oder N- Stamm? UF 23:356-358.
1991 b Finale Sätze und yqtla- Modus im Ugaritischen. UF 23:341-352.
1994 Is Ugaritic a Canaanite Language? in Brooke, G. J., Curtis, A. H. W. and Healey, J. F. (eds.), Ugarit and the Bible, Münster, pp. 343-354.
Tropper, J. and Verreet, E.
1988 Ugaritische $n d y, y d y, h d y, n d d, d(w) d$. UF 20:339-350.
Tsumura, D. T.
1974 Ugaritic God, Mt-w-Š, and His Two Weapons (UT 52:8-11). UF 6:407-413.
1979 The Verba Primae WAW, WLD, in Ugaritic. UF 11:779-782.
1991 Vowel Sandhi in Ugaritic, in Mori, M. (ed.), Near Eastern Studies Dedicated to H. I. H. Prince Takahito Mikasa on the Occasion of His Seventy-Five Birthday, Wiesbaden, pp. 427-435.
Ullendorf, E.
1962 Ugaritic Marginalia II. JSS 7:348-351.
1978 Ugaritic Marginalia IV. Eretz Israel 14:19*-23*.
Ungnad, A.
1992 Akkadian Grammar (Revised by Matouš, L. and translated by Hoffner, H. A.), Atlanta, Georgia.

Verreet, E.
1983a Das silbenschliessende Aleph im Ugaritischen. UF 15:223-258.
1983b Die Gültikeit des Gesetzes Barth-Ginsbergs im Ugaritischen, mit einigen sprachvergleichenden, morphologischen und lexikalischen Betrachtungen. OLP 14:81-102.
1984 Beobachtungen zum ugaritischen Verbalsystem. UF 16:307-321.
1985 Beobachtungen zum ugaritischen Verbalsystem II. UF 17:319-344.
1986 Beobachtungen zum ugaritischen Verbalsystem III. UF 18:363-386.
1988 Modi Ugaritici, Leuven.

Virolleaud, Ch.
1957 Textes en cuneíformes alphabétiques des archives est, ouest et centrales. PRU II, Paris.
1961 Un nouvel épisode du mythe ugaritique de Baal. CRAIBL, 180-186.
1965 Textes en cuneïformes alphabétiques des archives sud, sud-ouest et du petit palais. $P R U$ V, Paris.
Waltke, B. K. and O'Connor, M.
1990 An Introduction to Biblical Hebrew Syntax, Winona Lake, Indiana.
Watson, W. G. E.
1981 Reversed Word-Pairs in Ugaritic Poetry. UF 13:189-192.
Weiss, M. 1967 On the Traces of a Biblical Metaphor. Tarbiz 34:307-318. (Hebrew)
Wheeler, S. B.
1970-71 The Infixed $-t$ - in Biblical Hebrew. JANES 3:20-31.
Whitaker, R. E. 1972 A Concordance of the Ugaritic Literature, Cambridge, Mass.
Whitely, C. F.
1975 Some Remarks on $l \hat{u}$ and $l \hat{l}$. ZAW 87:202-204.
Wright, W.
1933 A Grammar of the Arabic Language ${ }^{3}$, 2 Vols., Cambridge.
Xella, P .
1981 I testi rituali di Ugarit I, Rome.
Yardeni, A. 1995 Nahal Ṣe’elim Documents, Jerusalem.
Yasin al-, I. D. 1952 The Lexical Relation Between Ugaritic and Arabic, New York.
Zakovitch, Y. 1977 The Pattern of the Numerical Sequence Three-Four in the Bible, Ph.D. Dissertation, Hebrew University.
Zevit, Z .
1975 The So-called Interchangeability of the Prepositions, $b, l$ and $m(n)$ in Northwest Semitic. 7ANES 7:103-112.
1980 Matres Lectionis in Ancient Hebrewe Epigraphs, Cambridge.
1983 The Question of Case Endings on Ugaritic Nouns in Status Cungstructus. JFS 28:225- 232.
Zewi, T.
$1987-n(n)$ Endings of Verbal Formations in Arabic, Biblical Hebrew, The Accadian Language of El-Amarna Tablets and Ugaritic. M.A. Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv. (Hebrew)
Zijl, P. J. van
1975 Translation and Discussion of Text 1001: 5(b)-7 (RŠ 15.134:5(b)-7). 7NSL 4:73-85.

## APPENDIX

## TEXT SELECTIONS

## Text $1\left(K T U^{2} 2.11\right)$

(1) l'umy . 'adtny
(2) rgm
(3) thm . tlmyn
(4) w. 'ahtmlk ‘bdk
(5) $l \cdot p^{\prime} n$. 'adtny
(6) mrhqtm
(7) qlony . 'ilm
(8) tǵrk
(9) tslmk
(10) hnny . 'mny
(11) $\mathrm{kll} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{id}$
(12) slm
(13) w.'ap . 'ank
(14) nht . t tmny
(15) ' $m$. 'adtny
(16) mnm . $\check{l l m}$
(17) rgm $\cdot \underline{t t b}$
(18) $l$. 'bdk

NOTES
Line 1. 'um ['ummu] "mother"; 'adt ['adat $(t) u]$ "lady"; $-n y[-n \check{y} \bar{y} \bar{a} /-n \breve{a} y \bar{a}$ ? $]$, $1^{\text {st }}$ c.du. suffix pronoun.
Line 2. RGM "to say, speak" (G).
Line 3. thm [tahummu?] "word, announcement"; tlmyn [Talmāyānu] PN.
Line 4. 'alhtmlk ['Ahätu/i-malku] PN (cf. the syllabic attestation $a-h a-t u_{4}-$ LUGAL [PRU III, p. 53,11] and a-ha-ti-LUGAL [PRU III, p. $53,8]$ ); ‘bd [‘abdu] "slave, servant".
Line 5. $p^{\prime} n\left[p a^{c} n u\right.$ ?] "foot".
Line 6. mrhqtm [marhaqātam $(a / i) / \operatorname{marhaqãtum}(a / i]$ or $[\operatorname{marhaq}(a) \operatorname{tam}(a / i) /$ marhaq $(a)$ tum $(a / i)]$ "from afar".
Line 7. QrL "to fall, kneel, bow down" (G); 'il [ilu] "god".

Line 8. NǴR "to guard, keep" (G).
Line 9. $\check{L} L M$ "to grant well being" (D?).
Line 10. hnny [hanniň̄ya?] "here"; 'm ['imma?] "with, to".
Line 11. kll [katill] "entirely"; m’id $[m a '(a) d a]$ "much, very".
Line 12. sslm [šalāmu/šulmu] "well being".
Line 13. 'ap ['appa!] "even, also"; 'ank ['anākū] "I".
Line 14. $\mathcal{N W H}$ "to rest" (G); tmny [tamman̄̄ya] "there".
Line 16. mnm [minuma] "what".
Line 17. rgm [rigmu?] "word, announcement"; $T W B$ "to return" (G), "to return (transitive), send back" (Š).

## Text $2\left(K T U^{2} 2.12\right)$

(1) $l . m l k t$
(2) 'adty
(3) rgm
(4) thm . tlmyn
(5) 'bdk
(6) $l \cdot p^{c} n$
(7) 'adty
(8) $\breve{s} b^{c} d$
(9) $w . \breve{s} b^{\text {c }} i d$
(10) mrhqtm
(11) qlt
(12) ${ }^{\prime} m$. 'adty
(13) $\mathrm{mmm} . \mathrm{slm}$
(14) rgm . tutb
(15) $l$. ${ }^{`} b d h$

NOTES

Line 1. mlkt [malkatu/malaktu] "queen".
Line 8-9. 'id $\left[{ }^{-} i d d(a)\right]$ "time, times" (affixed to numerals, e.g. $s b^{c} d /{ }^{\prime} b^{c}$ cid "seven times").

## Text 3 (KTU ${ }^{2}$ 2.30)

(1) $l$ mlkt.${ }^{\Gamma} u^{1}[m] y^{\top}{ }^{1}$
(2) $\mathbf{r}_{r}{ }^{1} \mathrm{gm}$ thm
(3) $m l k . b n^{「} k^{1}$
(4) $l \cdot p^{〔} n \cdot{ }^{\top} u^{1} m^{\top} y^{1}$
（5）「 $q l^{1} t \cdot{ }^{\ulcorner } l^{l} y{ }^{「} u^{1}[m] y$
（6）yšm．＇$i l[m]$
（7） $\operatorname{tg}^{\top} r^{\mathrm{l}} k . t s^{\top}[l]^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} m^{1} k$
（8）「 $h^{1} l n y .{ }^{\prime} m n y[. s] l m$

（10）mnm［．］「s ${ }^{5} l[m]$
（11）w．rgm．［tub．］「ly
（12）hlny ．＇$m n$
（13）$m l k$ ．b ．ty $n d r$
（14）＇itt ．w ．ht
（15）ysny ．＇udrh
（16）w．hm ．ht
（17）＇$l . w$. likt
（18）＇$m k$ ．w．$h m$
（19）l．＇l．w．Pakm
（20）＇iPak．w．＇${ }^{\text {a }}$ at
（21）「 ${ }^{2} u^{1} m y .{ }^{\top} a l \cdot \operatorname{tdhl}(!)$
（22）w．＇$a p$ ．mhkm．
（23）b．lbk．＇$a l$ ．
（24）tšt

NOTES

Line 3．bn［bunu／binu／banu］＂son＂．
Line 5．$l y-$ obscure component．
Line 12．hlny［hallinȳya？］＂here，hither＂．
Lines 13－15．These lines are obscure；perhaps $t y[t a y y u]$（＜$\underline{t a} y u ?$ ）means ＂offering（？）＂；＇itt［？］＂fire／food offerings＂；$N D R$＂to vow＂．
Line 16．hm $[$ him（ma）］＂if＂；ht［ Hatmi］＂Hittite＂．
Line 17．＇LY＂to go up，ascend＂．（G）；＇l［＇alâ］（＜＇alaya）＂he has attacked＂（G）；$L^{\prime} K$＂to send＂（G）．
Line 19．$l[\bar{a}]$＂no＂．
Line 20．＇at［＂atť］＂you（f．）＂．
Line 21．＇umy［＇ummī＂my mother＂（the yod is a vowel marker）；＇al［＇al］ ＂don＇t＂；$D H L$＂to fear＂（G），cf．Aramaic רדל．
Line 22．mhkm［mahkìma／$i(?)]$＂anything＂．
Line 23．$l b[l i b b u]$＂heart＂．
Line 24．ŠrT＂to put，place＂（G）．

## Text 4 (KTU ${ }^{2}$ 2.16)

(1) thm $\operatorname{tlm}[y]^{\mathrm{T}} n^{1}$
(2) l tryl. 'umy
(3) rgm
(4) $y s s m . l k$. 'ily
(5) 'ugrt . tǵrk.
(6) tslmk. 'umy
(7) $t d^{r} \cdot k y \cdot{ }^{' r b t}$
(8) $l p n . s{ }_{5} p \check{s}$
(9) $w p n . s \stackrel{s}{p} s$. $n r$
(10) by . m'id . w'um
(11) tsmh $\cdot m^{\prime} a d(/ b)$
(12) $w^{\prime} a l . t d h l n$
(13) 'tn . bird . 'ank
(14) 'mny . slm
(15) kll
(16) $w \mathrm{mnm}$.
(17) $\check{s l m} .{ }^{‘} m$
(18) 'umy
(19) ' $m y$, tuttb
(20) rgm

## NOTES

Line 2. tryl [taryillu] PN (f.), apparently Hurrian; cf. the syllabic attestation šar-el-li (Ug 5 159,9,12).
Lines 4-5. ŠLM "to be well" (G); $l k$ [lekz] "to you (f.)"; the meaning of the combination yslm $l k$ is "may it be well with you"; 'ily 'ugrt ['i市 'Ugariti] "the gods of Ugarit" (the yod is a vowel marker). This noun phrase is the subject of its clause, so the orthography with yod is a scribal error.
Line 6. 'umy ['ummin] "my mother" (the yod is a vowel marker).
Line 7. YD" "to know" (G); ky [ki] "thus, since, that" (the yod is a vowel marker); ‘ $R B$ "to enter" ( G ).
Line 8. lpn $[l e-p a n \vec{\imath} ?]$ "before, to the presence of"; s̆p̌̌s [šap $\check{s} u]$ "the sun".
Line 9. pnm [panūma] "faces" (nominative construct $p n$ [panū] "faces of"), pl. used for singular "face"; $\mathcal{N W R}$ "to radiate light, shine" (G).

Line 10. by [biya] "with me".
Line 11. S゙MH "to be happy" (G); m'ad [ma'(a)da] "much, very much" (the orthography $m^{\prime} a b$ is rendered by some "more than a father", assuming the presence of a preposition $m(n)$ "from", cf. discussion upra, p. 196f.).
Line 12. DḤL "to fear" (G).
Line 13. 'tn ['attan?] (< 'antan) "now"; hrd [hāridu/harrādu?] "to be alert(?)", "guardian", the form can be a noun, an adjective or a verbal form, perhaps the infinitive, perhaps with the meaning "alert".

## Text 5 (KTU ${ }^{2}$ 3.4)

(1) l.ym hnd
(2) ${ }^{\prime} i z e r^{\top} k^{\top} l \cdot p d y$
(3) 'agdn.bn. nwogn
(4) w ynhm. ${ }^{\prime} a b h h$
(5) $w . b^{c} l n{ }^{\prime} a h h$
(6) w. htt $n$ bnh
(7) w.btšy .bth
(8) w. 'isistmy
(9) $b t$. ' $b d m l k$ ' $a \underline{t} t^{\prime} t$ ' $\left.h\right]$
(10) w. snt
(11) bt . 'ugrt
(12) $w \cdot p d y \cdot h[m]$
(13) 'izurkl. ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{\top}}$ it
(14) $k s p . b y d$
(15) b'irtym
(16) $\left[w \cdot{ }^{\prime} u\right]^{\top} n^{1} \underline{t}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n n$
(17) $l^{\pi} h^{1} m$ 'd $t t t b n$
(18) ksp. 'izerkl
(19) wotb.$l$ 'unthm

NOTES
Line 1. lym hnd [le-yômi hānādū] "from this day".
Line 2. 'izerkl ['Iwrikallu] PN; PDY "to ransom, redeem" (G).
Line 3. 'agdn ['Agdenu] PN; nuegn [?] PN.
Line 4. ynhm [Yanhamu] PN from NHM "to console" (G); 'ah ['ahu] "brother".

Line 5. $b^{c} l n\left[b a^{c} l a \bar{a} n\right]$ PN.
Line 6. httn [?] PN.
Line 7. btsy [ ? ] PN (f.); bt [bittu] "daughter".
Line 8. "ištrmy ['IŠtarumm̄̄ya] PN (f.) "the goddess Ištar is my mother(?)".
Line 9. 'dbmlk ['Abdimalku] PN; 'att ['attatu] "woman, wife".
Line 10. snt [?] PN.
Line 11. 'ugrt ['Ugaritu] "Ugarit".
Line 13. m'it $\left[m i^{3} t u\right]$ "hundred".
Line 14. ksp [kaspu] "silver"; byd [bivadi] "from the hand of".
Line 15. b'irtym [Bi'rōŋyüma] "Beirutians".
Line 16. 'unt ['unuttu] "feudal obligation"; 'in ['êna] "there is not" (here with energic nun, or perhaps the nun is an anaphoric pronoun).
Line 17. lhm $[\operatorname{lehum}(\bar{u})]$ "to them, for them"; ' $d\left[{ }^{\text {' }}\right.$ ad( $\left.(\bar{e})\right]$ "until".

## Text $6\left(\right.$ KTU $^{\mathbf{2}} 1.4$ 1,12-28)

(12) ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} m^{\top}[t]^{\top} b^{1}$. ${ }^{\prime} i l, m z l^{\top} l^{\top}$
(13) $b n h . m d b . r b t$
(14) 'atrt . ym . mt $b$
(15) klt . knyt
(16) $m \underline{t} b \cdot p d r y . b<t>{ }^{\prime} a r$
(17) mzll . tly . bt $r b$
(18) $m t b$. 'arsy . bt . $y^{c} b d r$
(19) 'ap . mtn $\cdot$ rgmm
(20) ’argmk. šskn $\mathrm{m}^{\text {‘ }}$
(21) mgn . rbt . 'atrt ym
(22) mǵz . qnyt. ' 'ilm
(23) hyn . 'ly . l mphm
(24) bd . hss . msbtm
(25) $y s q \cdot k s p \cdot y s{ }^{\prime} l$
(26) $h \cdot h r s, y s q \cdot k s p$
(27) l'alpm . hrs . $y s q$
(28) $m \cdot l r b b t$

NOTES
Line 12. mtb [môtabu] "abode"; mzll [mazlalu?] "shelter".
Line 13. rbt [rabbatu] "lady".

Line 14. 'atrt ym [Ativ(a)tu yammi] DN "Ashera of the Sea", perhaps "she who treads on the sea".
Line 15. klt knyt [kallatu kaniyātu] "the lovely brides", perhaps the ensuing list of young goddesses.
Line 16. pdry [Pidräya], DN (one of the daughters of Baal); bt'ar [bittu " $\bar{a} r$ ] "daughter of the light".
Line 17. tly [TTallāya] DN, one of the daughters of Baal built on $t l[t a l l u]$ "dew"; bt rb [bittu rabbi] "daughter of the light rain" (a daughter that aided Baal in furnishing rain).
Line 18. 'arsy ['Arsāya] DN, one of the daughters of Baal, from 'ars ['arsu] "earth"; bt y'bdr [bittu (?)] "daughter of (?)", $y^{c} b d r$ is apparently a proper noun.
Line 19. mtn [matnú] "response, a repeated saying", cf. the syllabic attestation ma-aš-nu-ú [matnû] (Ug 5137 II,41').
Line 20. $S K \mathcal{N}$ "to pay attention" (Š); $m^{c}[m a]$ "please(?)", particle that accompanies the imperative (cf. supra, p. 194).
Line 21. $M G \mathcal{N}$ "to entreat" ( G or D ).
Line 22. mǵz [maǵẑ̂?] "honor"; the form could also be the D participle from $\dot{G} Z X$ "to honor, to entreat"; it could also be due to a scribal error, mem instead of taw because of the mem in the form $m g n$ in line 21; QNY "to create, acquire" (G); the phrase quyt 'ilm [qāniyatu 'itima] "Creatress of the gods" is an epithet of Ashera.
Line 23. hyn [ ? ], an epithet of the craftsman god, ktr w hss; mphm [mappūh $\bar{a}-m a / i]$ "bellows".
Line 24. bd [bâdi/bâdê] "in the hand(s) of"; hsss [hasīsu/hāsisu] "wise, intelligent", name of the craftsman god; the full name is usually ktrr w hss [Kôtaru wa-Hasisu/Hāsisu]; msbtm [masbatāma/i] "tongs".
Line 25. $1 \underset{S}{\text { Q }}$ "to pour" $(\mathrm{G}) ; \check{S} L H$ "to send" ( G ), here the meaning seems to be "to melt" (perhaps a metathesis of $H \underset{H}{ }(\underline{S})$.
Line 26. hurs [hurạ̄u] "gold".
Line 27 'alp [alpu] "thousand", pl. 'alpm ['al(a)pūma]; $m$ [-ma] is an enclitic particle.
Line 28. rbt [ribbatu] "myriad", pl. rbbt [ribabātu].

## Text 7 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.4$ III,13-35)

(13) ${ }^{\top}{ }^{\top} q m . w y w p t n . b t k$
(14) 「ph1r .bn. 'ilm. stt
（15）$p[g l]^{\top} t^{1} \cdot b \underline{t} l h n y \cdot q l t$
（16）「 $b^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{ks}$ ．’istynh
（17）${ }^{「} b^{1} m \cdot \underline{t} n \cdot d b h m \cdot \breve{s h}^{\top} a \cdot b^{〔} l \cdot \underline{t} \underline{t}$
（18）$r k b$ ．＇rpt ．$d b h$
（19）btt ．$w d b h>. w d b h<$
（20）「d ${ }^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{n} t$ ．w dbh． tdmm
（21）＇amht ．$k$ bh ．btt ．l tbt
（22）w bh ．tdmmt．＇＇amht
（23）＇abr ．mǵy ．＇alipn ．b＇l
（24）mǵyt ．btlt ．＇$n t$
（25）tmgnn．rbt［．］${ }^{\text {「2 }} a^{\top} \underline{t} r t y m$
（26）tǵzyn ．qnyt＇ilm
（27）$w t^{t} n, r b t$ ．＇atrt $y m$
（28）＇ik ．tmgnn ．rbt
（29）＇atrt ．ym ．tǵzyn
（30）qnyt ．＇ilm ．mgntm
（31）$\underline{t r}$ ．＇${ }^{\prime} l$ ．$d$ p＇id $\cdot \mathrm{hm} \cdot \mathrm{g} z t m$
（32）bny ．bnwwt w t $n$
（33）＇$b^{\top} t l t t \cdot{ }^{'} n t$ ．nmgn
（34）${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{l}} m$ ．rbt ．＇＇atrt $\cdot y m$
（35）$[n]^{\top}{ }^{\prime} z^{1} \cdot q n y t .{ }^{3} i m$

## NOTES

Line 13．QWM＂to rise，to stand＂（G）；WPT＂to spit＂（D）；btk［bi－tôki］ ＂in the midst of＂．
Line 14．phr［puhru］＂assembly，council＂；ŠTY＂to drink＂（G）．
Line 15．tllhn［tulhānu／t talhānu］＂table＂；qlt［qalâtu？］＂shame（？）＂．
Line 16．ks［kāsu］＂cup＂．
Line 17．$b m$［bimä］＂in the very ．．．＂，＂behold（？）＂（ $K T U^{2}$ reads ${ }^{\top} d^{1} m$ ）； $\underline{t} n[\underline{t i n} \bar{a}]$＂second，two＂；$d b h[d a b h u]$＂sacrifice＂； $\mathscr{S N}^{p}$＂to hate＂ （G）；$b^{c} l\left[b a^{c} l u\right]$＂Baal＂；$t l t[t a l \bar{a} t u]$＂three＂．
Line 18．rkb＇rpt［rākibu＇urpāti］＂Rider of the Clouds＂，an epithet of Baal，cf．Biblical Hebrew רֵּב בּנָּרָבוֹת（Ps．68：5）．
Line 19．btwt［but $\underline{(a) t u / b a ̄ t}(a) t u]$＂shame，shamefulness＂．
Line 20．dnt［dinûtu？］＂fornication，shame（？）＂；tdmm［tadmimu／tadmamu／ tadmumu］＂intrigue（？）＂．
Line 21．＇amt［＇am（a）tu］＂handmaiden＂，pl．＇amht［＇amahātu］；$l[\bar{u} / l a]$ ＂verily，surely＂； $\mathcal{N B T}$＂to behold＂（G），perhaps passive，＂to be seen＂（Gp）．

Line 23. 'ahr ['ahra/'ahre] "afterwards"; MǴ X"to arrive" (G or D); 'aliyn bll [alžyānu Ba'lu] "Mighty Baal".
Line 24. btlt [batūll(a)tu] "virgin", epithet of Anat; ' $n t$ ['Anatu] DN.
Line 26. $\dot{G} Z X$ "to honor, to entreat" ( G or D ).
Line 27. ' $\mathcal{N Y}$ "to answer" (G).
Line 28. 'ik ['ekka] "how" or "how is it?"
Line 31. $\underline{t r}$ [ $\underline{t} \hat{o} r u]$ "bull, ox, steer", epithet of $\mathrm{El} ; d$ [d̄u] "of"; pid [ $p a^{2} \mathrm{i} d u$ ?] "merciful", "he who is merciful", epithet of El ; $h m$ $[\mathrm{him}(\mathrm{ma})]$ "if, or".
Line 32. bny bnwet [bāniyu banūzwāti] "Creator of Creatures", an epithet of El .
Line 34. km [kamā/kimā] "like".

## Text 8 (KTU ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ 1.4 IV,5-62)

(5) $s m d \cdot p h l \cdot{ }^{\mathfrak{r}}{ }_{s}[t \cdot g p n m \cdot d t]$
(6) $k s p \cdot d t \cdot y r^{r} q^{1}$ [. nqbnm]
(7) ' $d b \cdot g p n \cdot{ }^{3} a t n^{[ } l^{1}[\gamma]$
(8) $y \breve{s}^{〔} \cdot q d<s \gg$. w ${ }^{3} a m r[r]$
(9) $m d l .{ }^{'} r \cdot s m d . p h l$
(10) št $\cdot g p n m \cdot d t \cdot k s p$
(11) $d t \cdot y r q \cdot n q b n m$
(12) ' $d b \cdot g p n$. 'atnth
(13) $y h b q \cdot q d \check{~} . w$ 'amrr
(14) yštn . 'atrt . l bmt . 'r
(15) lysmsmt . bmt . phl
(16) qds . y'uhdm. sb $^{\mathbf{c} r} r$
(17) 'amm. k kbkb. l pnm
(18) 'atr . btlt . 'nt
(19) w $b^{c} l . t b^{c} . m y m m . s p n$
(20) 'idk. l ttn . pnm
(21) ' $m$. 'il . mbk . nhrm
(22) qrb . 'apq . thmtm
(23) tgly . $d d$. 'il. w $t b^{\prime} u$
(24) qrš . mlk . 'ab . šnm
(25) lp'n. 'il . thbr. w tql
(26) tsthwey . w tkbdh
(27) hlm . 'il. k yphnh
(28) $y p r q$. lsb . w $y s h q$
(29) p $n h . l h d m . y t p d . \mathrm{r}_{w}{ }^{1} \mathrm{r}_{y} 1 k r k r$



```
(32) 'ik. 'atwt . qnyt . 'i \([l m]\)
```




```
(35) \(\mathrm{l} h m \cdot \mathrm{hm} \cdot \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{t} t y m} \cdot \mathrm{l}^{\top} \mathrm{h}^{1}[m]\)
(36) b tllhnt . lhem št
(37) \(b \mathrm{krpnm} \cdot y n \cdot b k<s>\cdot h^{\mathrm{T}} r \underline{\mathrm{~s}}{ }^{\mathbf{\top}}\)
```



```
(39) yhssk. 'ahbt . tr . \(t^{〔} r^{\top} k\)
(40) wt'n . rbt . 'altrt ym
(41) thmk .'il . h hkm . hkmt
(42) ' \(m\) ' \(/ m\). hyt . hazt
(43) thmk. mlkn . 'aliy \([n .]^{\top} b^{\top} l\)
(44) tptn. \(w\) 'in . \(d\) ' \(\operatorname{lnh}\)
(45) klnyn. \({ }^{\top} q^{\top}\left[\xi^{\top} h^{\top} \cdot{ }^{\top} n b^{\top}[l n]\right.\)
(46) \(k l n y^{\top} n^{\top}\) [.] \({ }^{\top} n b{ }^{1} l . k s h\)
```



```
(48) [ \(\left.\left.{ }^{2}\right]^{\top}\right]^{1} \cdot m l k \cdot d y k n n h ~ . y s h_{h}\)
(49) 「'atı1 \(r t\). w bnh .'ilt . w ṣbrt
```



```
(51) \({ }^{\top} k^{1} m\). \({ }^{\top} i l m\). whzr . \(k\) bn . 'atrt
(52) mtb 'il . mzll . bnh
(53) ' \({ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\mathrm{l}}\) [.] rbt . ' 'atrt . \(y m\)
(54) \(m^{\top} \underline{t} b^{\top}\). klt [.] knyt
(55) mtb . pdry .bt . 'ar
(56) mzll . tly . bt \(r b\)
(57) mtb . 'ars \(\leq y>\). bt \(y^{\prime} b d r\)
(58) w y \(n\) ltpn 'il \(d p^{\prime} i^{\top} d^{1}\)
(59) 「p’'bd. 'an. ‘'nn. 'atrt
(60) ' \(p\) ' 'bd. \({ }^{\prime}\) ank. \({ }^{2} a^{\top} h^{\top} d .{ }^{\top} u^{\top} l^{\top} \underline{t}\)
(61) \(h m\). 'amt. \({ }^{'} a \underline{t}^{\top} r^{1} t . t l b^{\top} n^{1}\)
(62) lbnt . ybn . bt . l b \(b\)
```

NOTES
Line 5．$\quad \underset{M D}{ }$＂to harness（G？）；phl［pahlu／puhālu］＂young ass＂；gpnm ［gap（a）nūma？or gap（a）nāma／i？？＂harness（？）＂；dt［dūtu］＂of，be－ longing to＂．
Line 6．$y r q$［yarqu／yaruq（q）u？］＂yellow（gold）＂；nqbnm［naqbānūma or
$n a q b \bar{a}-n \bar{a} m / i(?)]$ "reins, $\operatorname{straps}(?)$ ", others interpret "mines".
Line 7. 'DB "to prepare" (G); 'atnt ['atānatu/'atānātu] "she ass(es)".
Line 8. $\check{S} M^{\text {" }}$ "to hear $(\mathrm{G})$; qď̌ w'amrr [Qudšu wa-'Amraru?] names of two(?) divine servants of Ashera (perhaps double name of one personage).
Line 9. $M D L$ "to harness, to strap up" (G or D$) ;{ }^{\text {' } r}$ ['êru] "(young) ass".
Line 10. $H B Q$ "to hug, embrace" (D).
Line 14. bmt $[\operatorname{bam}(a) t u]$ "back, rib cage".
Line 15. ysmsmt [yasamsamtu(?)] "beauty" (feminine adjective).
Line 16. 'HD "to grasp, to take" (G); $B^{\kappa} R$ "to lead, to enlighten" (Š), perhaps $\breve{s}^{c}{ }^{\text {c }} r$ is a noun meaning "torch".
Line 17. kbkb [kabkabu] "star"; l pnm [le-panima] "forward, in front".
Line 18. 'atr ['atra] "from behind".
Line 19. TB' "to leave, depart" (G); mrym [maryāmu] "height(s)"; spn [sapānu] "north".
Line 20. "idk ['iddaka] "then"; $\Upsilon T \mathcal{N}$ pnm "to turn the face", "to turn towards" (G).
Line 21. ' $m$ ['imma] 'to, towards" (preposition); mbk [mabbaku] "source of a stream"; nhr [nah(a)ru] "river".
Line 22. qrb [qirbu/qirba] "within, in the midst of"; 'apq ['apāqu] "stream"; thmtm [tahämātām/i] "the two deeps" (the proposed reconstruction is based on the syllabic attestation: $t a-a-m a-t u_{4}$ [tahāmatu] "deep, primordial sea" [Ug 5137 III,34"]).
Line 23. GLY "to leave", or "to approach to within sight" (G or D?); $\underline{d} d[\underline{d} a d u]$ "abode(?)", "tent(?)", "mountain(?)"; $B W$ (or: $B \bar{A}$ '?) "to come".
Line 24. qrš [qaršu(?)] "abode(?)"; mlk [malku] "king"; 'ab ["abu] "father"; šnm [šanūma] "years" (singular: šnt [šanatu]); others take $\check{s} n m$ as a proper noun.
Line 25. $H B R$ "to bow down" (G).
Line 26. $H W T$ "to do obeisance" (Št); some see this as $\check{S} H Y(\mathrm{Gt})$ (cf. supra, p. 171); KBD "to honor" (D).
Line 27. hlm [halumma?] "when"; $k[k \imath$ "thus, verily"; $P H Y$ "to see" (G).
Line 28. PRQ "to open(?)" (G or $\mathbf{D})$; lsb $[l i s b b u]$ "opening between the $\operatorname{lips}(?) " ; S H Q$ "to laugh" (G).
Line 29. hmd [hidāamu] "footstool"; TPD"to put, place" (G) (cf. Biblical Hebrew root שׂפ); KRKR "to entwine (the fingers)" or "to twiddle (the fingers)".

"voice"; $S W H / S \underline{S Y H}$ "to call, to shout" (G).
Line 31. MǴr "to reach, arrive" (G or D).
Line 32. 'TW "to come" (G).
Line 33. RǴB "to hunger, be hungry" (G).
Line 34. GMP "to thirst, be thirsty" (G).
Line 35. LHMM "to eat" (G).
Line 37. krpn [karpānu] "bowl".
Line 38. $d m{ }^{\text {' }}{ }_{s ̣ m}$ [damu 'isssima] "the blood of trees" (parallel to $y n$ [yênu]
 lel to ${ }^{\prime}$ :" "wine"; $y d$ [yaddu] "affection, love" (from the root rDD).
Line 39. HSS "to excite, to incite" (D or L); 'ahbt ['ahabtu/'ahbatu] "love"; ‘WR "to arouse" (L).
Line 41. $H K M$ "to be wise" (G); or adjective $h \mathrm{~km}$ "wise"; ' $m$ [ ${ }^{\text {imma }}$ ] "to, towards".
Line 42. 'lm ["ālamu] "world, eternity"; $H Y Y$ "to live" (G), "to give life" (D); hzt [hizzatu(?)] "good fortune".

Line 44. tpt [ $t \bar{t} \bar{p} i t u]$ "judge, ruler"; ‘lnh [‘alên(n)ahŭ/'alan(n)ahū] "on him".
Lines 45-46. Obscure lines
Line 47. 'any [? ] "moan(?)" or perhaps "loudly".
Line 48. KWN "to be" (G), "to establish" (L).
Line 49. 'ilt [ $i l(a) t u]$ "goddess"; s sbrt [șibbir (a)tu] "group, band".
Line 50. 'ary [ ? ] "associate, relative, kinsman"; won [?] "and behold(?)", cf. whn [wa-hinn̄̄]; bt [bêtu] "house".
Line 51. hzr [haziru] "court(yard)".
Line 58. ltpn [latipānu(?)] "compassionate, gracious", epithet of El.
Line 59. 'bd ['abdu] "slave, servant"; 'an ['ană] "I"; ' $n n$ [ ${ }^{\text {ônā} n u] \text { "ser- }}$ vent", or "follower(?)".
Line 60. 'ahd 'ult obscure; 'ahd is probably from the verb ' HD "to grasp, hold" (G) and 'ult [ ? ] may be "an implement for building".
Line 61. 'amt ['am(a)tu] "handmaiden"; $L B N$ "to make bricks" (G or D). Line 62. bnt [labinātu] "bricks"; $B N X$ "to build" (here Gp or N ).

## Text 9 (KTU ${ }^{\mathbf{2}} 1.4$ V,1-65)

(1) km . 'ilm . whzr . k bn . 'atrt
(2) $w t^{c} n$. rbt. ' 'atrt $y m$
(3) rbt. ' ilm . l hakt
(4) $s b t . d q n k . l t s r k$
(5) $\quad r \operatorname{hn} n>n<t$ ? ? ) $\cdot d^{[1} t^{\top} \cdot l^{\text {l }}$ irtk
(6) $w n^{\prime} a p$. 'dn . mttrh
(7) $b^{c} l \cdot y^{\prime} d n \cdot{ }^{‘} d n \cdot \underline{t} k t \cdot b g \underline{t}$
(8) wtn. qlh. $b^{\text {' }} \mathrm{rpt}$
(9) šrh . l'arṣ . brqm
(10) bt . 'arzm . ykllnh
(11) $h m \cdot b t \cdot l b n^{\boldsymbol{\top}} t^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot{ }^{\boldsymbol{\top}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{k}} m s n h$
(12) $l$ yrgm $\cdot l^{\text {' }} a P^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{I}^{1}{ }^{1} b^{\mathrm{c}} l$
(13) sch . hrm . b bht(!)k
(14) 'ddbt.b qrb . hklk
(15) tblk .ǵm . m'id . ksp.
(16) $g b^{6} m$. mhmd . hr $r$ s
(17) yblk. 'udr. 'ilqsm
(18) wbn . bht . ksp.whrs
(19) bht . thrm. ' ${ }^{\text {iqn'im }}$

(21) p'nm.wir . 'ars
(22) idk . l ttn . pnm
(23) ' $m$. b'l . mrym . spn
(24) $b$ 'alp $. \check{s} d . r b t . k m n$
(25) shq . btlt . 'nt . ts'u
(26) gh.w tṣh. tbšr b'l
(27) bšrtk . yblt . $y^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{tn}^{1}$
(28) bt.lk.km . 'ahk . wh $k r$
(29) km. 'aryk . sh . him
(30) $b$ bhtk. ${ }^{〔} d b t . b q r b$
(31) hklk . tblk. grm
(32) $m^{2} i d . k s p \cdot g b^{c} m \cdot m h m d$
(33) hrs . w bn . bht . ksp
(34) whrs . bht . thrm
(35) 'iqn'im . šmh. 'aliyn
(36) bll .s.s. . hrn . b bhth
(37) `dbt . b qrb hklh
(38) yblnn $\cdot$ girm $^{\prime} m^{3}{ }^{3} d \cdot k s p$
(39) gb'm . lhmd . hars
(40) yblnn. 'udr. 'ilqsm
(41) $y<l>{ }^{\prime} a k . l \mathrm{ktr} . w h s s$
(42) w tb l mspr .. k tPakn
(43) glmm
(44) 'ahr . mǵy ktr . wh hss
(45) št . 'alp . qdmh . mr'a
(46) w tk.pnh. t'db. $k s^{s} u$
(47) wyttb . lymn. 'aliyn
(48) $b^{c} l \cdot{ }^{\prime} d \cdot l l h m \cdot s^{\top} t y^{\prime}$ [. ' ilm ]
(49) $[w]]^{\Gamma} y^{1<} n \cdot{ }^{\Gamma} a l^{l}\left[i y n \cdot b^{c} l\right]$
(50) $[h l t]^{\top} b^{\top}$. ${ }^{\top} k^{\top}\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[t w} & w s s]\end{array}\right.$
(51) ${ }^{\top} h s^{\top} \cdot b h t m \cdot[t]^{\top} b^{1}[n n]$
(52) $h \check{s} . \mathrm{mm}, h k[m]$
(53) $h \check{s}$. bhtm . $t b^{\top} n^{1}[n]$
(54) $h_{s}$. trmmn $\cdot h^{\Gamma} k^{1}[m]$
(55) b tk . scrt . spm
(56) 'alp. šd. 'ahd bt
(57) rbt . kmn . hkl
(58) ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{\top}} y^{\mathrm{s}} n$. ktr.$w$ hass

(60) bn . l rkb . 'rpt
(61) $b l$. 'ašt . 'urbt . $b b^{\mathrm{r}} h^{1}[t m]$
(62) hln . $b$ qrb.$h k l m$
(63) w $y^{\mathrm{c} n .}{ }^{3}$ aliyn $b^{c}{ }^{1}$
(64) 'al.ts't .'urbt . b [bhtm]
(65) $[h l]^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} n^{1} \cdot b q^{\mathrm{r}} r^{1} b \cdot h k[m]$

NOTES
Line 1. km [kamā/kimā] "as, like".
Line 3. $R B B$ "to be much, many" (G); $l[l \bar{u} / l a]$ "may it be, verily".
Line 4. $\breve{b b t}[\breve{s i b}(a) t u]$ "old age"; dqn [daqanu] "beard"; WSR "to instruct, enjoin, exhort, admonish" (G or D).
Line 5. $\quad r h n>n<t$ [?]-obscure word; 'irt ['ir(a)tu] "breast, chest" (cf. Akkadian irtu and Biblical Hebrew with metathesis).
Line 6. wn [?] (<whn) "and behold"; 'dn ['iddānu as in Aramaic or 'adannu as in Akkadian] "time, era, period", perhaps here "season"; m!r [mataru/mitaru(?)] "rain".
Line 7. 'DN "to set a time" (G or D); tkt [?] obscure; glt [galtu?] "snow(?)" with metathesis (cf. Arabic talj and Biblical Hebrew (שְ丶לְג).
Line 8. TTN "to give" (G) and here wetn [wâtinu] (<wa-yatinu) "(Baal) gives"; $q l[q \bar{a} h u / q \hat{l} l u]$ "voice"; ‘rpt ["urpātu] "clouds".
Line 9. $S$ RH "to glow(?)" "to flash(?)" (G or D), cf. Biblical Hebrew
 the whole heaven, and his lightning unto the ends of the earth" (Job 37:3); 'arṣ ['arsu] "earth, land"; brq [bar(a)qu] "lightening".
Line 10. 'arz ['arzu] "cedar" (pl. 'arzm ['ar(a)zūma]); KLL "to complete" ( D or L ).
Line 11. 'MS "to load", "to erect (a house)" (D).
Line 13. hrm [?] "planks (of wood)(?)"; others interpret: "caravans" (cf. Akkadian harrānu)".
Line 14. ${ }^{\text {‘ }} d b t$ [?] obscure in parallel to the equally obscure $h m$ (some interpret: "weeds, plants" and compare Biblical Hebrew שֶשׁב plant"); hkl [hêkalu] "palace".
Line 15. YBL "to bring, deliver" (G); ǵr [ǵūru] "mountain".
Line 16. $g b^{c}\left[g a b^{c} u\right]$ "hill" (pl. $g b^{c} m\left[g a b(a)^{c} \bar{u} m a\right]$; mhmd [mahmadu] "desirable thing".
Line 17. 'udr [? ] "quarry(?)"; some compare Akkadian udru "camel"; 'ilqsm [?] "precious stones".
Line 18. BNY "to build (G): bn [banâ] (< banāyu), absolute infinitive as predicate; bht [ bahāat] "houses of" (construct of plural bhtm).
Line 19. thr [țuhūru] "pure" (pl. thhrm [țuhūrūma]); some take this form as plural construct with enclitic mem (cf. supra, p. 193); 'iqn'u [iqn $\vec{\imath} u /^{\prime} i q n i \imath^{\top} u$ ] "lapis lazuli".
Line 20. D'S "to stamp (with the foot)(?)" (G?), cf. Aramaic דעץ.
Line 21. $p^{\text {c } n m}\left[p a^{〔} n a ̈ m a / i\right]$ "feet" (du.); TWR "to travel around" (G), some interpret "to return" in the light of Akkadian târu. The form here is an absolute infinitive, [täru].
Line 24. 'alp ['alpu] "thousand"; šd [sadû] "field"; rbt [ribbatu] "myriad"; $k m n$ [kumänu] measure of area, perhaps also of distance.
Line 26. BŠR "to bring good tidings" ( tD "to be informed of good tidings"): tbšr [tabašsiri/taba«ssar] "receive good news!" ( $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ m.s. imperative).
Line 27. bšrt [bašưn (a)tu?/bušsurtu?] "good tidings"; ytn [ŷ̂tanu?] 3 $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}$. "it will be given" (Gp) or $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$. [yatinū] "they will give" (G).

Line 39. ll $h m d$ variant of mhmd [mahmadu] "delightful thing", perhaps the form is a scribal error and should be corrected to $m!h m d$.
Line 41. L' $K$ "to send" (G).
Line 42. TWB "to return" (G); mspr [masparu] "number, measure" or [musapparu] "narrative, thing recounted"; tPakn [tuPakāni] 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ m.du. "(the two lads) are being sent" (Gp) or $3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}$.
[tiP akūna] "they are sending (the two lads)".
Line 43. ǵlmm [ǵalmāma/i or ǵulamāma/i] "the two lads".
Line 45. 'alp ['alpu] "ox, steer"; qdm [qudāma] "before"; mr'a [maña]
"fatted ox, fatling" (acc.); 'DB "to prepare" (G) and here $t^{c} d b$ [tucdab] "(Gp)" or $\left[t^{\text {cc }}\right.$ ' $\left.a d i b\right](\mathrm{N})$; ks'u [kussi$\left.u / k i s s i^{\top} u\right]$ "chair" (s.f.).

Line 46. $\Upsilon \underline{T} B$ "to sit" (G), "to seat" ( $\check{\mathbf{S}})$, here [yatôtab/yutôtab] "he was seated" (Šp) or less likely [yatôtibū/yutototibū] "they seated (him)" (S); ymn [yamīnu] "right (hand)".

Line 51. h.s [his?] "hurry, hasten!"
Line 52. RWM "to raise up, erect (a house)" (L).
Line 55. ssrt [ ? ] "the heights(?)".
Line 59. $l[\bar{u} / l a]$ "O!" (vocative particle).
Line 60. BrN "to understand" (G).
Line 61. bl [bal] "not" or "verily"; 'urbt [ $\mathrm{Turub}(b) a t u]$ "window, transom".
Line 62. hln [hallanu?] "window".
Line 64. 'al ['al] "don't" or "verily".

## Text $10\left(\right.$ KTU $\left.^{\mathbf{2}} 1.5 \mathrm{I}, 1-16\right)$


(2) tkly $\cdot b \underline{t} n .{ }^{\prime} q l t n$
(3) $s l y t \cdot d . \breve{s b}^{c} t \cdot r^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} m$
(4) ttkh $\cdot t t r p \cdot s^{4} m^{1} m \cdot k r s$
(5) 'ipdk. 'ank. 'isp'i . 'utm
(6) ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{rqm} \cdot{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{amtm}$. l yrt
(7) $b n p s ̌ . b n$ ' $\mathrm{ilm} . m t . b m h$
(8) $m r t \cdot y d d$. 'il.ǵgzr
(9) $t b^{c} . w l \cdot y \underline{t} b$. ${ }^{\top} i m$. 'idk
(10) lytn . pnm $\cdot{ }^{\prime} m \cdot b^{\prime} l$
(11) mrym.spn.wy'n
(12) gon . w' $u g r \cdot t h m \cdot b n^{\prime} i l^{\top} m^{1}$
(13) $m t$. hwot . ydd. bn 'il

(15) thw . hm . brlt. ' 'anhr
(16) $b y m$

## NOTES

Line 1. $k$ [ki] "if" or "if also"; MHṢ "to smite" (G); ltn [Lôtānu?] "sea dragon" (a monster, one of Baal's enemies; cf. Biblical Hebrew לִיְיָּא| [Isa. 27:1; Ps. 75:15]); btn [batnu?] "snake"


Line 2. KLY "to destroy" (D); 'qltn ['aqal(l)atānu?] "writhing".
Line 3. šlyt [?] "powerful(?)", but others derive from $L W T$ "to hide" (S); $\breve{s}^{c} b^{c} t$ [ $\left.\check{s} a b^{\text {c }} a t u\right]$ "seven"; r'is $\left[r a^{3} \check{s} u\right]$ "head", pl. r'ašm [ra’ašưma].
Line 4-6. Obscure lines; some suggestions: TKH "to ignite(?)" (G); ttrp "teraphim(?)", plural construct, or from RPY "to be weak" (tD); 厃̌mm [šamûma] "heavens"; KRS "to bind(?)" (by metathesis from RKS); 'ipd ['i$\overline{\text { a }}$ du] "vestment"; SP' "to eat" (G); 'utm ['uttūma] "bites(?)", "demons(?)", cf. as [Isa. 19:3]); MWT "to die" (G), and here 'amtm ['amūtu-ma] "I will die"; $\boldsymbol{Y R D}$ "to descend" $(\mathrm{G})$ and here $l y r t[\bar{u} / l a$ yarattä] $(<\operatorname{lu} / l a$ yaradtä̆) "may you go down".
Line 7. $n p \check{s}$ [napšu] "soul"; $m t$ [môtu] "Môt" (deity name of the god of death); mhmrt [mahmūrätu?] "caverns" (cf. תincinnem [Ps. 140,11]).
Line 8. ydd [yadūdu/yadīdu] "friend, beloved"; ǵzr [ǵazz̄ru?] "warrior, soldier".
 Ugaru departed" (though the subject might be one with a double name); 'ilm ['ilu-ma] "god" (with enclitic mem); TWB "to return" (G).
Line 12. gpn w'ugr proper names of the divine messenger(s).
Line 13. hwot [hawātu?] "word".
Line 14. $l b$ ' $u\left[\operatorname{lab}(\hat{\imath})^{\prime} u\right.$ ?] "lion".
Line 15. thw [tuhwu] "wasteland", "chaos" (according to Biblical Hebrew [Gen. 1:2 and elsewhere]), "desert" (according to Arabic); brlt [?] "spirit"; 'anhr [?] "sea horse" (cf. nähiru in Akkadian).

## Text 11 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.5$ II,1-21)

(1) []$^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{hm}^{\mathrm{\top}}$
(2) $[\check{s p t} \cdot l$ ' $a] r$ rs . s spt $\cdot l$ šmm
(3) $[\nu \text { št } \cdot]^{\top} l^{l}$ sn $\cdot l k b k b m \cdot y^{\top} r b$
(4) 「 $b^{\mathrm{l} c} l . b k b d h . b p h . y r d$
(5) $k h r r \cdot z t \cdot y b l .{ }^{\prime} a r s ̣ . w p r$
(6) '‘sm . yr'a'un . 'aliyn . b'l
(7) $t t^{\text {c }} \cdot n n \cdot r k b \cdot{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{ppt}$
(8) $t b^{\text {「 }} \cdot \mathrm{rgm} \cdot \mathrm{l} \mathrm{bn} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{ilm} \cdot \mathrm{mt}$
(9) t $\quad$ ny. lydd. 'il ğzr
(10) thm . 'aliyn $\cdot b^{c} l$. hwot . 'aliy
(11) qrdm . bht. l bn . 'ilm mt
(12) 'bdk. 'an . w d 'lmk
(13) tbr . w l. ytb . 'ilm 'idk

(15) th. qrth $\cdot h m^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{l}} y \cdot m k \cdot k s^{\prime} u$
(16) $t b t<h>$. hh . 'ars . nhlth . ts'a
(17) ghm . w tsh . thm . 'aPiyn
(18) $>b n<. b^{c} l$. hzot . 'aliy . qrdm
(19) bht. $b n$. ' $i l m$. $m t$. 'bdk. 'an
(20) w d'lmk. smh . bn 'ilm . mt

(22) [bcl.] . yqr .'un [.] hd

NOTES
Line 2. špt [sip $(a) t u]$ "lip"; šmm [šamûma] "heavens".
Line 3. ľ̌n [lǎ̌änu] "tongue"; 'RB "to enter" (G).
Line 4. kbd [kabidu] "liver", kbdh [kabiduhă] "inside her"; $p$ [ $p u$ u] "mouth".
Line 5. hrr [ ? ] "cake, wafer(?)" or perhaps "scorched"; zt [zêtu] "olive"; ybl [yibülu(?)] "produce"; pr [parû/pirî̂(?)] "fruit".
Line 6. $\quad Y R$ " "to fear", thus $y r^{\top} a^{\prime}$ un [yira'unnй̄] "(Baal) fears him" (for other possibilities for interpreting this form, cf. supra, p. 13); 'aliyn [aC $\bar{y} y a ̈ n u$ ?], an epithet of Baal, apparently means "mighty" (from L'Y "to overcome"). Sometimes in a short form, 'aliy (e.g. in lines 10,18).
Line 7. $\underline{T}^{T}$ "to fear" (G) (as in Azatiwada, col. 2, line 4), the Citadel Inscription from Amman (line 6) and Biblical Hebrew

Line 9. $\underline{T}^{\mathcal{N}}$ "to tell, recount" ( G or D ).
Line 11. qrdm [qarrādu-ma] "hero"; BHT "to entreat" (G or D).
Line 12. 'an ['ană] "I".

Line 15. qrt [qan̂tu] "city"; hrmy [?] place name of Môt's abode.
Line 16. $m k$ [? ] "behold" (presentation particle). Some compare it with Egyptian $m k$; others derive it from $M W K$ "to fall".
Line 17. $\underline{t b t}[\underline{t} i b(a) t u]$ "seat"; $h h[?]$ ?; nhlt [nahlatu/nahaltu] "inheritance".
Line 21. $K T U^{2}$ reads $y l h n$.
Line 22. $Q R$ ' "to cry, call out" $(\mathbf{G})$; the form has a suffix pronoun [yiqra'ūn̄̄] "they call to me".

## Text 12 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.5$ VI,5-31)

(5) ${ }^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} m^{1}$ ǵny
(6) $l n^{〔} m y$. 'arṣ ${ }^{\top} d^{\prime} b r$
(7) lysmt. š̆d. shhlmmt
(8) mǵny $\cdot l b^{c} l \cdot n^{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\top} l \cdot l^{\top} a$
(9) rṣ.mt. 'apiyn. bll
(10) hlq . zbl . bl $\cdot$. 'ars
(11) 'apnk . ltpn . 'il
(12) $d p^{3} i^{\mathrm{T}} d^{1} \cdot y^{\mathrm{T}} r^{1} d \cdot l k s^{3} i \cdot y t d$
(13) $l h d^{\mathrm{I}} m^{\mathrm{l}} \cdot w l . h d m \cdot y \underline{t} b$
(14) $l^{\text {' }}$ ars $\cdot{ }^{\mathrm{T}} y s^{\top} q$. ${ }^{\mathrm{C} m r}$
(15) 'un . $l^{\text {' }}$ 'il'sh . 'pr . pltt
(16) $l \cdot q d q^{\mathrm{T}} d^{1} h \cdot l p s s^{\circ} . y k s$
(17) $m^{\prime} i z r t m \cdot g r \cdot b^{\prime} a b n$
(18) $y d y \cdot p s t t m \cdot b y^{c \mathrm{C}} r^{1}$
(19) $y h d y$. lhm . $w d^{r} q^{1} n$
(20) y $\underline{t l t} \cdot q n \cdot \underline{d} r^{c} h^{1}$ [.] yhurt
(21) $k g n \cdot{ }^{3} a p l b \cdot k{ }^{\prime} m q \cdot y \underline{t l t}$
(22) bmt .ysu u.gh [.] wysh
(23) bl $\cdot m t \cdot m y$. Pim $\cdot b n$
(24) dgn . my . hmlt . 'atr
(25) $b^{c} l$. 'ard . $b$ 'ars . 'ap
(26) ' $n t$. ttlk . w tsd . $k l$. ǵr
(27) 「 ${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{r}^{1} b d$. ' $\operatorname{ars} . k l . g b^{\text {c }}$

(29) ['ars .] dbr . ysmt . šd
(30) $[\stackrel{s}{2} l] m m t \cdot t^{\top} m^{\top}[\underline{g}] l b. l \cdot n^{\top} p{ }^{\top}[l]$
(31) $\left[l{ }^{\prime} a\right]^{\top} r s^{1}\left[. l p s{ }^{\top}\right]$. ths . $m^{\top} \imath^{\top} z^{1}[r t m]$

## NOTES

Line 5. MǴY "to arrive" (G), a dual, mǵny "the two of us arrived".
Line 6. $n^{\wedge} m\left[n u u^{〔} m u\right.$ " "pleasantness"; $d b r$ [?] place name.
Line 7. ysmt [?] "beauty"; shlmmt [?] place name.
Line 8. $l b^{c} l\left[\bar{u} / l a b a^{c} l u\right]$ "verily Baal".
Line 9. $M W T$ "to die" ( G ).
Line 10. HLQ "to perish" (G); zbl [zibūlu?] "prince" (epithet of Baal).
Line 11. 'apnk ['appūnaka?] "then"; ltpn [laṭ̄̄ānu?] "compassionate" (epithet of Baal).
Line 12. $p^{2} i d\left[p a^{3} \bar{\imath} d u\right]$ "merciful".
Line 14. ' $m r$ [?] "ash, dirt (?)".
Line 15. 'un ['unû] "mourning" (from 'NN); 'pr ['aparu] "dirt"; pltt [?]


Line 16. qdqd [qadqadu/qudqudu] "head, top of head"; lpš [lipšu/lupšu] "clothing"; $K S Y$ "to cover" (D).
Line 17. $m^{\prime}$ 'izrtm [ma'zarātāma/i or $m a^{\prime} z a r(a)$ tāma/i] "double mourning garment"; ǵr [ǵāru/ǵuru?] "skin"(?); 'abn ['abnu] "stone".
Line 18. $\Upsilon D T$ (?) "to cut, scratch(?)". The clause g' $b$ 'abn $y d y$ apparently means "he lacerates (/scratches) the skin with a stone" (other proposals have been made); psltm [?] "sideburns, locks (of hair)"; $y^{\text {s }} r$ [?] "razor(?)".
Line 19. HDr "to cut(?)" (G); lhm [lahâma/i or lihâma/i] "cheeks"; dqn [daqanu] "beard".
 [qanî] "reed" (here for "the upper arm"; cf. also Biblical
 "to plow" (G).
Line 21. gn [gannu/ginnu] "garden"; 'ap lb ['appa-libbi] "chest(?)"; 'mq ['amqu/'imqu] "valley".
Line 23. my [mēya] "who"; lim [lu'mu?] "nation"; bn dgn [binu/bunu/banu Dagani] "son of Daganu" (epithet of Baal).
Line 24. hmlt [hamül(a)tu] "people, masses"; 'atr ['atra?] "after", or "place", or else "footsteps".
Line 26. $\Upsilon L K / H L K$ "to go" (G) and Gt "to go around"; $S W D / S Y D$ "to hunt" (G); $k l$ [kullu] "all" (adverbial [kulla] "in all"); ǵr [ǵăuru] "mountain".
Line 27. $g b^{c}\left[g a b^{c} u\right]$ "hill".

## Text $13\left(\right.$ KTU $^{2} 1.6$ I，1－65）

（1）$l b^{c} l$
（2）$g^{\prime} r . b{ }^{\prime} a b<n>\cdot t d \cdot{ }^{\top} p s l^{1} t^{\top} m^{\top}\left[. b y^{〔} r\right]$
（3）thdy．lhm $\cdot w d q n \cdot t[t][t]$
（4）$q n \cdot \underline{d} r^{\top} h \cdot t h r \underline{t} \cdot k m \cdot g^{\top} n^{\top}$
（5）＇aplb．k＇mq．ttllt．bmt
（6）$b^{c} l, m t, m y, \operatorname{Pim}, b n d g n$
（7）my ．hmlt ．＇atr $\cdot b^{c} l \cdot n r d$
（8）$b^{\text {＇ars }} .{ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{mh}$ ．trd．nrt

（10）tšt ．$k y n$ ．＇$u d m^{\mathrm{L}} t \cdot \mathrm{gm}$

（12）${ }^{\top} m^{\top} s^{\top} \cdot m^{\text {c }} \cdot l y \cdot{ }^{\top} a l^{i} i y n \cdot b^{〔} l$

（14）ts＇u ．＇aPivn ．bcl．l ktp
（15）＇$n t$ ．$k$ tšth ．ts＇lynh
（16）$b$ ssrrt．$s p>^{>}<n . t b k y n h$
（17）w tqbrmh ．tstnn ．$b$ hart
（18）＇ilm ．＇ars ．ttbh ．${ }^{5} b^{C} m$
（19）r＇umm ．$k$ gmn ．＇aPiyn

（21）$[k]{ }^{〔} g^{1} m n \cdot{ }^{\prime} a P i y n, b^{\prime} l$
（22）$[t t]^{\top} b^{1} h \cdot \check{s}^{\mathrm{c}} m \cdot s^{2} i n$
（23）$[k g]^{\top} m^{1} n .{ }^{\top} a P i y n, b^{\top} l$
（24）$[t t]^{\top} b h^{\top} \cdot \breve{s}^{\top} m$ ．＇aylm
（25）$\left[k g m n .{ }^{\prime} a l\right]^{\mathbf{r}}{ }^{\prime} i y^{\mathbf{1}} n \cdot b^{\prime} l$
（26）$\left[t t b h \cdot s^{5}\right]^{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} m \cdot y^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{lm}$
（27）［kgmn ．］＇aliy ．bl $l$
（28）$\left[t t b h \cdot \breve{s}^{c} m \cdot\right] h m m$

（30）$\left[b{ }^{5}\right]^{\top} p^{1} h h$ ．tst $b m$ ．${ }^{[ }[n t]$
（31）［kd．g］zrh ．ybm ．l＇${ }^{\prime} l m$
（32）$\left[{ }^{\top} i d\right]^{\top} k{ }^{\top} \cdot l$ ttn []$^{\top} p^{\top} n m \cdot{ }^{〔} m$
（33）$\left[{ }^{1}\right]^{\top} l^{\top} \cdot m b k n^{\top} h^{\top} m \cdot q r b$
（34）「＇alpq ．thmtm ．tgly $\cdot \underline{d} d$
（35）＇$i l$ ．w ．tb＇u ．qrš．
（36）$m l k$ ．＇ab．$s n m$ ．$l p^{\text {ºn }} n$
（37）「＇ill ${ }^{\prime}$ thbr ．w tql
（38）tsthweyy w tkbdnh
(39) tšu $\cdot g h \cdot w t s ̣ h \cdot t \stackrel{y}{c} m h h t$
(40) 'atrt . w . bnh . 'ilt . w s sb
(41) rt. 'aryh . $k m t$. 'alivn
(42) $b^{c} l . k h l q \cdot z b l . b^{c} l$
(43) 'ars .gm. ys ${ }^{\text {' }}$ il
(44) ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{l}^{1} r b t$. ${ }^{2} a \underline{I}^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{r}^{1} t y m$. ${ }^{2} m^{\mathrm{c}}$
(45) $l r b t$, ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\top} \underline{t} r^{1}[t]{ }^{\top} y^{\top} m$. tn
(46) 'ahd . b . b ${ }^{\top} n k^{\top} \cdot{ }^{\prime} a m \cdot l k n$
(47) $w t^{t} n$. rbt . 'atrt ym
(48) $b l$. nmlk . $y d^{k} \cdot y l^{\pi} h^{1} n$
(49) $w y^{\text {c }} n$. ltpn. ${ }^{\prime} i l{ }^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} d^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{r}} p^{\prime} i^{1}$
(50) $d \cdot d q \cdot{ }^{2} a n m \cdot l y r^{r} z^{1}$
(51) ' $m \cdot b^{\prime} l \cdot l y^{\prime} d b \cdot m r h$
(52) ' $m$. bn . dgn . $k$ tmsm
(53) w' $n$. rbt . 'atrt $y m$
(54) blt . nmlk. 'ttr . ${ }^{\text {' } r \text { z }}$
(55) ymlk. 'ttr . 'rk
(56) 'apnk. 'ttr . 'rz
(57) $y^{c} l . b s s r t \cdot s p n$

(59) $b^{c} l \cdot p^{c} n h$. $l$ tmǵyn
(60) hdm [.] rishh . lymgy
(61) 'apsh . w y'n. 'ttr . ' $r z$
(62) l'amlk . b ṣrt . spn
(63) yrd . 'ttrr . ' $r z$. yrd
(64) l kht . 'alivn . bcl
(65) wymlk. $b$ 'arṣ . 'il . klh

## NOTES

Line 1. l $b^{c} l\left[l e-b a^{c} T i / a\right]$ "(the series) about Baal, pertaining to Baal".
Line 8. $n r t[n \bar{u} r(a) t u]$ "luminary" (the Sun-goddess is called here $n r t$ ' $i l m$ [n̄̄ $\mathfrak{n}(a) t u$ ' 'itima] "luminary of the gods").
Line 9. $\breve{p} p \check{s}[\breve{s} a p \check{s} u]$ "the Sun-goddess; ${ }^{`} d$ [ ${ }^{〔} a d(\hat{e})$ ?] "until, as far as" (preposition); $\check{S} B^{\text {c }}$ "to be satiated, satisfied" (G); $b k$ [bikû/bakî] "weeping".
Line 10. $\check{S} T Y$ "to drink" (G); yn. [yênu] "wine"; 'udm't ['udmu'ātu?] "tears"; gm [găma] "loudly"; $m^{c}[m a]$ particle accompanying imperatives like Hebrew
Line 14. ktp $[k a t(i) p u]$ "shoulder".

Line 15. $k$ [ $k$ ] "verily, surely"; ' $L r$ "to ascend" (G), "to raise, elevate" (Š).
Line 16. BKY "to weep" ( G ), perhaps D stem here.
Line 17. QBR "to bury" (G); hrt [hirītu] "grave, cemetary" (cf. the syllabic attestation $\dot{E} \backslash h i-r i-t i[P R U$ III, p. 52,17).
Line 18. TBH "to slaughter" (G); $\breve{s}^{c} m$ [ $\left.\check{s} a b(a)^{c} \bar{u} m a\right]$ "seventy".
Line 19. r'umm [?] "buffaloes"; gmn [?] "funerary offering" (perhaps to revive the death). Perhaps related to Biblical Hebrew compensation" (Isa. 59:18).
Line 20. 'alp ['alpu] "ox, steer", pl. 'alpm ['al(a)pūma].
Line 22. s sin [s $\left.a^{\prime} n u\right]$ "small cattle".
Line 24. 'ayl ['ayyalu] "deer, buck", pl. 'aylm ['ayyalūma].
Line 26. $y^{\prime} l\left[y a^{c} i l u\right]$ "wild goat", pl. $y^{\prime} l m$ [yailùma].
Line 28. hmr [himāru] "ass", pl. hmrm [himārūma] (KTU2' reads $[v] \mathrm{hmrm}$ "roe bucks".
Lines 30-31. Obscure lines.
Line 39. ht [hitta?] (< hinta?) "now".
Line 42. $b^{c} l\left[b a^{c} l u\right]$ "lord", "Baal".
Line 44. $l[\bar{u} / l a]$ " O " (vocative particle).
Line 45. YTN "to give" (G).
Line 46. 'ahd ['ah(h)adu] "one"; $b[b i-]$ "from"; $M L K$ "to reign" (G) or "to cause to reign" (D or G).
Line 48. $y d^{k} y l h n$ [?] personal divine name(?) (perhaps "who knows and is wise").
Line 50. $d q$ [daqqu?] literally "thin", and here "weak"; 'anm ['ānūma?] "strengths"; others interpret "now" like Akkadian anūma (TO I, p. 256) but this suggestion is hardly convincing; RWZ "to run" (G).
Line 51. 'DB "to arrange, to use" (G); $m r r h[m u r h u]$ "spear" (also in Late Egyptian mrh, cf. Sivan and Cochavy Rainey 1992: 27; cf. also Biblical Hebrew רכַּח [Num. 25:7] apparently with metathesis).
Line 52. tmsm [?] — obscure word.
Line 54. blt [balñ/bilt̄] "not", "no", or "verily"; 'ttr ['Attaru] DN, male counterpart of Ištar/Astart, written עשתר in Moabite (Mesha' Inscription, line 17) and in Phoenician (cf. Bentz 1972:385386); ' $r z$ [ ${ }^{2} a m \bar{n} z u$ ] "terrible".

Line 58. kht $[k a h t u]$ "throne" (cf. the syllabic attestation in $E A$ 120:18, $\left.k a-a h-s{ }^{-} u\right)$.
Line 60. 'aps [apsu] "extremity" (cf. Biblical Hebrew אַפְסי־אֵּץ [Deut. 33:17]).

## Text 14 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.6$ 11,6-37)

(6) tngth . $k l^{\top} b^{1} .{ }^{\Gamma}{ }^{\top} a r^{1}[k]$
(7) $l$ ' $g l h . k \cdot l b \cdot \underline{t} a[t]$
(8) $l^{\text {' }} \mathrm{imm} \cdot \mathrm{km} \cdot \mathrm{lb} \cdot{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}[t]$
(9) 'atr. $b^{c} l$. tihd.$m^{\mathrm{r}} t^{1}$
(10) $b s^{\prime} i n . l p s s^{r} . t s s q^{\top} n^{1}[h]$
(11) $b$ qs . 'all . ts'u . gh . w' ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{s}}[\mathrm{s}]$
(12) h. 'at . mt .tn . 'ahy
(13) $w{ }^{\prime} n \cdot{ }^{\top} b^{\top} n$. ' ${ }^{\prime} l m, m t \cdot m h$
(14) taršn . l btlt. . 'nt
(15) 'an. 'itlk. w'asd . $k l$
(16) $g r . l k b d .{ }^{2} a r s . k l . g b^{c}$
(17) $l k b d . \check{s} d m \cdot n p s{ }^{\circ} \cdot h^{\Gamma} s^{1} r t$
(18) $b n$. $n s$ sm . $n p \stackrel{s}{ }$. hmlt.
(19) 'ars . mǵt . l n'my . 'arṣ
(20) dbr .ysmt . šd . shlmmt
(21) ngš. 'ank. 'aliyn. b'l
(22) 'dbnn 'ank. <k> 'imr.b py
(23) $k l P i . b \underline{t} b m q<n>y$. ht $t^{\prime} u w$
(24) $n r t .{ }^{\prime} i l^{\top} m^{1}$. $s \check{p} p s ̌$. ṣhrrt
(25) Pa . šmm . b yd . bn 'ilm . mt
(26) $y m \cdot y m m \cdot y^{\prime} t q n \cdot l y m m$
(27) $l y r^{\top} h^{\top} m$. rḥm . ' $n t$. tngth
(28) $k l b .{ }^{\prime} a r h . l ' g l h . k l b$
(29) $\underline{\text { tat }} \cdot \mathrm{l}$ 'imrh $\cdot k m . l b$
(30) ' $n t$, 'atr . bl $l$. t'ihd
(31) bn . ' $i l m$. $m t$. b h hrb
(32) $t b q^{c} n n \cdot b$ htr $\cdot t d r y$
(33) $n n$. $b$ 'išt . tšrpnn
(34) $b$ rthm . tṭ̣nn . $b$ šd
(35) tdr $\cdot n n$. siirh. l t $i k l$
(36) ${ }^{9}{ }^{\top}{ }^{\prime} m^{\top}$. mnth $\cdot l$ tkly


## NOTES

Line 6. $\mathcal{N G} \underline{T}$ "to seek" or "to draw near" (D); $l b$ [libbu] "heart" 'arh [arhu] "cow".
Line 7. 'gl ['igll] "call"; t'at [ta'tu] "ewe".

Line 8. 'imr ['immiru/'immaru] "lamb".
Line 9. 'atr ['atra?] "after", "towards".
Line 10. s'in [su'nu?] "hem, (of "shoe)(?)"; SQQQ"to seize, to press" (Š).
Line 11. qs [qasî] "edge", "extremity", but others interpret as derived from QSSS "to cut off"; 'all [ ? ] a type or garment.
Line 13. $m h[m a h]$ "what".
Line 14. 'RŠ "to seek, request" (G or D).
Line 17. HSR "to be lacking" (G); "to remove, carry off" (D).
Line 18. nšm [našūma] "people".
Line 21. $\mathcal{N G S}$ "to gnaw", "to subdue" (cf. the Biblical Hebrew root (נגנ), but others interpret "to approach, to meet" (Gordon 1965:441) or "to bite, to eat" (Ginsberg 1936:150); 'ank [anākŭ] "I".
 [qanu] "reed", "tube (of the throat)"; HT" "to disappear" (G), and here the form is an absolute infinitive in predicative function; some interpret "to conquer" and take the form as Gp participle, "he is conquered"; hw [huwa] "he".
Line 24. S $\operatorname{SHRR}$ "to burn, to blaze" ( D ?) or "to turn white" ( D ?).
Line 25. LY "to become weak", or "to overcome" (G) and here the form $\mathrm{Pa}\left[a^{\prime} \hat{a}\right]\left(<l a^{\prime} \bar{a} y u\right)$ is absolute infinitive as predicate.
Line $26 y m[y \hat{m u}]$ "day", pl. ymm [yômūma]; "TQ"to pass", to pass by" (G).

Line 27. $y r h[y a r(2) h u]$ "month", and the expression lymm lyrhm "from
 , iֶ one or two girls for each man" [Judg. 5:30]), the combination $r$ hlm ' $n t$ [rahmu 'Anatu] parallels btlt ' $n t$ [batūl(a)tu 'Anatu] "the Virgin Anat".
Line 31. hrb [harbu] "sword".
Line 32. $B Q^{C}$ "to cleave" (D or perhaps G); htr [?] "winnowing fork", and cf. $H \mathscr{S} R$ "to sift" in Mishnaic Hebrew (cf. Jastrow 1971: 51lb); DRY "to scatter, strew" (G).

Line 34. rhm [rihāma/i] "grind stones"; $T H \mathcal{N}$ "to grind" (G); $D R^{c}$ "to sow" (G).
 meaning "flesh", that word is written $\underline{t} i$ ir or $\underline{t}$ 'ar, ' $K L$ "to eat" (G).

Line 36. 'ṣr [‘‘$\left.{ }^{i s s} \bar{u} r u\right]$ "bird"; mnt [manêtu/manâtu] (< manaytu/manayatu) "form, shape" as in Akkadian.
Line 37. npr [nappāru?] a type of fowl(?).

## Text 15 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.6$ III,1-24)


(2) $w h m \cdot h y,{ }^{3} a\left[\right.$ Piyn $\left.\cdot b^{c} l\right]$

(4) $b \mathrm{hlm} . \mathrm{ltpn}$. 'il. $d$ p'id
(5) $b \underline{d} r t$. bny .bnwt
(6) $\breve{s} m m^{m} \cdot s^{〔} m^{1} n \cdot t m!t m$
(7) nhlm . tlk . nbtm
(8) $w^{\top}{ }^{\prime} d^{k} \cdot k h y .{ }^{3} a p i y n .{ }^{\top} b^{c} l^{1}$
(9) $k^{\prime} i t, z b l . b^{\prime} l \cdot{ }^{\prime} a r s$
(10) b hlm . ltpn 'il d pid
(11) $b d r t$. bny . bnwt

(13) nhlm . tlk . nbtm
(14) šmh. ltpn. 'il . d p'id
(15) $p^{\top} n h . l h d m \cdot y^{\top} t p d^{1}$
(16) $w y p r q$. $l s b .{ }^{r} w^{1} y s h q$
(17) $y^{\left[s^{[3]} u\right.} u \cdot g h \cdot w y s ̣ h$
(18) 'atbn. 'ank . $w{ }^{\prime} a n h^{\Gamma} n^{1}$
(19) wtnh $\cdot b$ 'irty $\cdot n p s ̌$
(20) $k h^{\top} y^{1} \cdot{ }^{\prime} a l^{\prime \prime} i y^{\top} n \cdot b^{\top} l$
(21) $k^{\prime} i \underline{t} \cdot z b^{\mathrm{r}} l^{1} \cdot b^{c} l \cdot{ }^{\prime} a r \underline{s}$




NOTES
Line 2. hy [hayyu] "alive".
Line 3. ' $i \underline{t}$ [ $i t \hat{t}$ ] "is" (existential particle).
Line 4. hlm [hilmu/hulmu/hilāmu] "dream".
Line 5. d $r t$ [?] "vision".
Line 6. šmn [̌̌amnu] "oil"; MṬR "to rain" (G or D ).
Line 7. nhl [nahlu] "stream(bed)", pl. nhlm [nah(a) $\bar{u} m a] ; H L K / Y L K "$ "to go" (G); nbtm [nubtu-ma?] "honcy" (probablyt $b / p$ interchange; cf. Biblical Hebrew (פֶּת).
Line 8. $1 D^{\text {c "to know" ( } \mathrm{G} \text { ). }}$
Line 18. $\Upsilon \underline{I} B$ "to sit" (G).

## Text 16 （KTU ${ }^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{1 . 6} \mathbf{~ I V , 1 - 1 7 )}$

（1）$p l \cdot{ }^{‘} n t \cdot \check{s} d m \cdot y \check{s p} p \check{s}$
（2）$p l \cdot{ }^{\prime} n t \cdot s \dot{s} d m[.]{ }^{\top} i^{\top} l, y s{ }^{\prime} t k$
（3）${ }^{\mathrm{j}} b^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C} l$ ．＇nt $\cdot \mathrm{mhrtt}$

（5）＇iy $\cdot z^{\top} b l$＇$\cdot b^{c} l \cdot{ }^{\top} a r s$
（6）$t t b^{\text {c }}$ ．btlt ．＇$n t$
（7）＇idk．l ttn ．pmm
（8）＇$m$ ．nrt ．＇ilm．${ }^{\text {spp }} \mathrm{p}$ s
（9）tssu．gh．wtsh
（10）thm ．tr $\cdot{ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{il}$ ．＇${ }^{\prime} a b k$
（11）hwot．ltpn ．ht $k^{「} k^{1}$
（12）pl．＇nt $\cdot \stackrel{s}{ } d m \cdot y$ špš
（13）pl ．＇nt ．šdm ．＇il［．］「yštk＇
（14）$b^{〔} l \cdot{ }^{\top} n t \cdot m h r^{\mathrm{r}} \underline{t} h^{\top}$
（15）＇iy．＇apiyn．b＇l
（16）＇iy ，zbl ，bcl ，＇ars

NOTES
Line 1．Difficult line：$p l\left[\begin{array}{lll}p a & l \bar{a}] \text {＂and not＂or }[p a l \bar{u} / p a l a] \text {＂verily，}\end{array}\right.$ thus＂，and others interpret in accordance to Arabic［pallu］ ＂bright，shining＂（Ginsberg）；＇$n t$［＇ênātu？］＂springs（？）＂；$y$［ $\nu \bar{a}]$ ＂O！＂（vocative particle）．
Line 3．mhrtt［mahritit（a）tu］＂plowed（land）（？）＂；in line 14 the same word may be misspelled．
Line 4．＇iy［＇iyy］＂where＂．
Line 11．htk［hatūku／ḥatiku／hatiku］＂offspring＂．

## Text 17 （KTU ${ }^{2} 1.6 \mathrm{~V}, 1-20$ ）

（1）$y^{\prime}$＇ihd $\cdot b^{c} l \cdot b n$ ．＇atrt
（2）rbm $y m b h s . b k t p$
（3）${ }^{\mathbf{I}} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{kym} . y m h s . b s \mathrm{smd}$
（4）$s^{\top} h^{1} r{ }^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} m t^{1} \cdot y m^{\top} \underline{s} h^{\top} \cdot l$＇ars

（6）$[b n \cdot d g n] \cdot l k h t . d r k^{\top} t^{1} h$



（10）＇$m$ ．＇aPiyn $\cdot b^{\mathrm{c} l} \cdot \cdot y s^{\text {s }} u$

（12）pht．qlt ．＇lk ．pht
（13）dry．$b$ hrb．＇lk
（14）pht．${ }^{5} \check{s} r p{ }^{\top} \cdot{ }^{\top} b^{1}{ }^{\top}{ }^{2} i^{\top} t$
（15）${ }^{\prime} l k$ ．［pht $\left.\cdot t h\right] n \cdot b r^{r} h^{1}$
（16）$m \cdot{ }^{〔}[l k] \cdot{ }^{\top} p h t^{1}[\cdot d r]{ }^{\top} y^{\top} \cdot{ }^{\top} b^{1}{ }^{\top} k^{1} b r t$

（18）$b$ šdm ．${ }^{「}{ }^{\prime} k{ }^{1}$［．］．「 $p h t^{1}$
（19）$d r^{r} \cdot b y m,{ }^{\top}{ }^{1} n \cdot{ }^{[ }{ }^{\top} a h d{ }^{\top}$
（20）$b \cdot{ }^{\prime} a h^{\top} k^{1} \cdot{ }^{\top} i s p^{3} a^{1} \cdot w y t b$

NOTES
Line 1．$r b[r a b b u]$＂great，large＂，pl．$r b m$［rabbūma］．
Line 3．dkym［däkiyu－ma］＂oppressor（？）＂，others divide the form into two words，＂rage of the sea＂（TO I，p．265，n．c with bibli－ ography；cf．also דָּכְיָּ［Ps．93：3］）；ṣm［samdu／șimdu］＂rod， club＂．
Line 4．shr $m t$－obscure words；some take them to mean＂heat of the day＂（TO I，p．265），while others take ṣhr as a verbal form meaning＂to fall＂（Gordon）．The editors of $K T U^{2}$ read one word here，namely sǵrm；MṢH＂to kick＂，＂to tread down（？）＂．
Line 5．mlk［mulku］＂reign，rule＂．
Line 6．drkt［darak（a）tu／daraktu／darkatu？］＂rule＂．
Line 9．「 ${ }_{\text {wrk }}{ }^{1}$ ，obscure word．
Line 16．kbrt［kabar（a）tu？］＂sieve＂．
Line 17．ǴLY＂to bow＂（G）．
Line 20．$S P$＂＂to eat＂（G）．

## Text 18 （KTU ${ }^{2} 1.2$ IV，5－31）


（6）［b］ph ．rgm ．lys＇a $\cdot b$ spth ．hwoth ．w ttn $\cdot g h \cdot y g^{\prime} r$
（7）tht ．ks＇i ．zbl．ym ．w＇$n$ ．ktr ．whss ．l rgmt
（8）$l k \cdot l z b l . b l \cdot t n t \cdot l r k b \cdot{ }^{\top} r p t . h t .{ }^{\prime} i b k$
（9）$b^{‘} l m$ ．ht．${ }^{\text {＇ibk }}$ ．tmhs ．ht ．ts smt ṣtk
（10）tqh ．mlk．＇$/ m k . d r k t . d t . d r d r k$
（11）ktr smdm ．ynht ．w yp＇r ．šmthm ．šmk＇＇at
（12）$y g r s ̌$ ．$y g r s ̌$ ．grš ym grš ym ．lks＇ih
（13） $\boldsymbol{「}_{n} \mathbf{1} h r l k h \underline{t} . d r k t h ~ . t r t q s . b d b^{c} l . k m . n \check{s}$

（15）［ttp］ t nhr ．y ytqṣ ．smd．bd bcl．km．nšr
（16）「 $b^{1}{ }^{\top} u{ }^{1} s b^{〔} t h \cdot y l m \cdot k t p \cdot z b l y m \cdot b n \cdot y d m \cdot t p t$
（17）${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\top} h r \cdot{ }^{\prime} z \cdot y m$ ．lymk ．l tng̣sn［．］pnth ．lydlp

（19）šmk ．＇at ．＇aymr ．＇aymr ，mr ，ym ．mr ．$y^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{m}^{1}$
（20）l ks ih ．nhr ．l khtw．drkth ．trtqs
（21）$b d b c l . k m . n \breve{r} r b{ }^{\prime} u s b^{\text {c }}$ th $. ~ h l m . q d q$
（22）d．zbl ym ．bn ．＇nm ．tpt ．nhr ．yprsh ym
（23）「w＇yql ．l＇arṣ ．w yrtqs ．ṣd ．bd bl

（25）${ }^{\mathbf{~}} y{ }^{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \cdot b n{ }^{\mathrm{`}} n m \cdot t \mathrm{t} t \cdot n h r \cdot y p r s h \cdot y m \cdot y q l$
（26）l＇arṣ．tng̣sn ．pnth ．w ydlp ．tmn $n^{1} h$
（27）yqt $b^{c} l$ ．w yšt ．ym ．ykly ．tpt ．nhr
（28）$\left.b \cdot \check{s} m \cdot \operatorname{tg}^{c} m \cdot{ }^{〔} t t r t \cdot b \underline{t} l l^{\prime} a P i y n \cdot{ }^{\top} b\right]\left[{ }^{c}\right]$
（29）$b \underline{t} \cdot l r k b \cdot{ }^{\prime} r p t \cdot k s b y n \cdot z^{\top} b^{\top}[l, y m, k]$
（30）s̆byn ．tpt ．nhr ．w ys ${ }^{3} a \cdot b[$ ］
（31）$y b \underline{t}, n n$ ．＇aPiyn $\cdot b^{c} l, w[]$

NOTES
Line 5．NPL＂to fall＂（G）；＇ulny［ ？］＂strong，mighty（？）＂；＇pr［＇aparu］ ＂dirt，dust＂；＂$z_{m n y}$［ ？］＂huge，mighty（？）＂．
Line 6．$\quad \backslash S^{\circ}$＂to go out＂（G）：lyṣ’a［lā yasa＇a］＂he did not go out＂，or ［lū／a yasa＇a］＂he verily went out＂；ygr［？］－obscure word， possibly from $\dot{G} W R$＂to descend＂，or a noun［yaǵru］＂confu－ sion＂（in comparison with Arabic）．
Line 7．tht［tahtu／tahta？］＂beneath＂；zbl［zibūlu？］＂prince，noble＂；‘NX ＂to answer，reply＂（G），the form＇$n$ may be［＇anâ］（＜＇anā$y u)$ ， absolute infinitive as predicate or it could be $3^{\text {rd }} \mathbf{m . s .}$ ； 1 rgmt ［ $\bar{u} /$ la ragamt $\bar{u}]$＂verily I said＂，or［ $l \bar{a}$ ragamtü］＂did I not say？＂
Line 8．$\underline{T} \mathcal{N Y}$＂to say，recount＂（ G or more likely D ）；ht［hitta？］ ＂behold＂（presentation particle）；＇ib［ēbu／＇ebu］＂enemy＂．
Line 9．blm $\left[b a^{c} l u-m a\right]$＂Baal（plus enclitic mem）；S $M T$＂to destroy＂ （G or D）；snt［sarratu／sarruitu］＂oppressor（s）＂（collective）．
Line 10．LQH＂to take＂（G）；mlk［mulku］＂rule，reign＂；drkt［darak（a）tu／ daraktu／darkatu？］＂rule，authority＂；dt［dātu］＂of＂，or＂which＂； $d r$［dāru］＂generation＂．

Line 11. s.smd [samdu/șimdu] "rod, club", here in the dual, ssmdm [samdāma/i or șimdāma/i]; NHT "to lower, bring down" (D or perhaps G); $P^{x} R$ "to cry aloud" (G); šm [sumu] "name".
Line 12. GRŠ "to expell, drive out" (D); also from this root, the personal name ygrš [yagarrǐ̌u/yugarrišu] "Expeller", and the imperative verbal form grs [garris] "expell!"; $l[l e]$ "from".
Line 13. kht $[k a h t u]$ "throne, chair"; RQS "to swoop, fly" (Gt); bd [bâd $]$ "in the hand"; nšr [našru/nišru] "vulture, eagle".
Line 14. HLM "to smite" ( G ), in the prefix conjugation, the first radical, hé, elides, i.e. $y l m$ [yālumu?] (< yahlumu) "he smites" (cf. lines 16, 24). The conjugated root may be $K L M$ (cf. HLK beside $Y L K$ ); $k t p$ [kat $(\imath) p u]$ "shoulder"; bn [bena] "between"; $y d$ [ $\mathrm{ad} a \mathrm{u}$ "hand, arm", here in the dual $y d m$ [yadāma/i].
Line 17. ' $z[$ " $a z z u]$ "strong"; $M W K$ "to be low(?)"; $\mathcal{N G} \subseteq \subseteq$ "to shake" (G), "to be shaken" (N); pnt [pinnätu] "corners(?), vertebrae(?)"; DLP "to weaken, to agitate" (G?).
Line 18. tmn [tamūnu?] "frame, form(?)".
Line 19. 'aymr [?] PN; MRR "to remove" (G).
Line 22. ' $n$ ['ênu] "eye", dual here: ' $n m$ [ [ênāma/ı]; PRSH "to collapse, to cave in" (D).
Line 27. $Q T \underline{T}$ "to draw, drag" (G).
Line 28. GR "to rebuke" (G), here with enclitic mem; ' ${ }^{\text {ttrt }}$ ['Attartu] "Ashtart, Ashtoreth" female deity; BWT "to be ashamed" (G); the root is most likely bi-consonantal, $B \bar{A} \underline{T}$.

Line 29. šby [šabīvu/šabiyu/šabūyu] "captive".

## Text 19 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.14$ I,1-43)

|  | $\mathrm{r}_{l} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{kr}} \mathrm{l}_{t}$ [ ] |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2-5) |  |
| (6) | []d nhr . 'umt |
| (7) | [krt.]'rot.bt |
| (8) |  |
| (9) | ['a]hm. lh tmnt.bn 'um |
| (10) | ${ }^{1} k^{1} r t . h$ htkn . rs |
| (11) | krt . grdš . mknt |
| (12) | 'att . sdqh . l ypq |
| (13) | mtrrht . yšr |
| (14) | 'att. trg. w ${ }^{\text {d }}$ 't |
| (15) | $\underline{t}^{\mathbf{T}} a^{\mathbf{1}} r{ }^{1} u^{\mathbf{T}} m^{\mathbf{1}}$. tkn $l h$ |

（16）mtllt $\cdot \mathrm{ktrm} \cdot \mathrm{tmt}$
（17）$m r b^{c} t . z b l n m$
（18）mhmšt ．y＇itsp
（19）$r^{4} p$ ］［．］mtdtt ．ǵlm

（21）$t t p^{\top} l \cdot y^{\top} n \cdot h t k h$
（22）krt．「y $n^{〔}$＇htkh rs
（23）m＇id $\cdot \mathrm{grds}^{\zeta} . \underline{t} b t h$

（25）w b ．phyrh ．yrt
（26）$y^{{ }^{〔} r^{1} b \cdot b h d r h . y b k y}$
（27）$b \underline{t} n \cdot r \cdot(?) g m m \cdot w y d m^{c}$
（28）tntkn．＇udm＇th

（30）${ }^{\top} k{ }^{1}$ mhmsst ．mtth
（31）「 $b m^{\top}$ ．bkyh ．w yšn
（32）${ }^{\mathrm{T}} b^{\mathrm{T}} d^{\mathrm{l}} m^{\mathrm{C}} h$ ．nhmmt
（33）$\check{n} n t . t P u^{3} a n$
（34）$w y s k b$ ．nhmmt
（35）w ${ }^{\text {「 }}{ }^{1} q m s . w b h l m h$
（36）＇il．yrd ．$b$ dhrth
（37）「＇abl $\cdot{ }^{\prime} a^{\top} d^{\top} m$ ．w yqrb
（38）b sal．krt．m＇at
（39）krt ．$k$ ybky
（40）$y d m^{〔} \cdot n^{\text {c }} m n \cdot g$ glm
（41）＇il．mlk［．］＇t $\underline{t}^{1} r{ }^{\text {＇}}$＇abh
（42）$y^{\top} \operatorname{ars}{ }^{\circ} \cdot{ }^{\top} h^{\top} m \cdot d r^{\top} k^{\top}[l]$
（43）${ }^{\top} k^{1}{ }^{\top} \cdot a b{ }^{\top} .{ }^{\circ} a^{\top} d m^{\top}$

NOTES

Line 1．$l[l e-]$＂pertaining to，concerning＂，and cf．$l b^{c} l$＂pertaining to Baal＂（1．6 I，1）；krt［？］（PN of the hero of this epic，usually normalized as Keret）．
Line 6．＇umt［＇ummatu］＂people，nation＂．
Line 7．＇rot［？］，obscure form．
Line 8．＇$B D$＂to be lost，here Gt＇itbd＂lost＂；$\breve{s b}^{c}\left[\breve{s a b} b^{c} u\right]$＂seven＂．
Line 9．ttmnt［tamānîtu］＂eight＂．
Line 10．htkn［hatū̄kānu／hā̄̄kānu／hatikānu？］＂heir，offspring＂（cf．htk in line 21）；$R \breve{S} \breve{S}$＂to be attenuated，weakened＂or $R W S ̌$＂to be impoverished＂（G）．

Line 11. grdš [?] "ruined?" (on the basis of camparison with Syriac) or this form may be a noun, viz. "As for Keret, (his) place is a ruin"; mknt [makânatu] "place".
Line 12. sdq [sidqu] "righteousness, honesty"; PWQ "to find, to produce" (G) or $N P Q$ "to go forth, to leave".
Line 13. mtrht [matrüh $(a) t u / m u t a r r h(a) t u]$ "woman for whom the brideprice has been paid"; yšr [yušru] "honesty".
Line 14. TRH "to take a wife after the bride price is paid" (G).
Line 15. $\underline{t} a r$ [ $\left.\underline{\underline{L} a}{ }^{3} a r u ?\right]$ "flesh" (literally), apparently here in pl. construct in the meaning "offspring", for another spelling, cf. $\underline{t}$ irk (1.18, $\mathrm{I}, 25) ; K W \mathcal{N}$ "to be" (G).
Line 16. metltt [matlat $(a) t u]$ "third", but others interpret "three times". The same applies to the other numerics in this context, viz. $m r b ' t$ "fourth" (line 17); mbmšt "fifth" (line 18); mtdtt "sixth" (line 19); mscb ${ }^{c}$ "seventh" (line 20). For more discussion on number and numerical forms, cf. supra, p. 94; ktrm [kôtarūma?] "birth gods"; their female counterparts ktrt [kôtarātu/kôtarūtu] "birth goddesses".
Line 17. zbln [zab(b)alānu] "sickness, infirmity".
Line 18. 'SP "to collect" (G), "to be collected" (Gt).
Line 19. ršp [rašpu] "Resheph" (DN, a deity responsible for destruction and sickness); ǴLM "to conceal, hide(?)" (G or D), others read here "youth".
Line 20. šlh [šilhu] "sword".
Line 21. $\mathcal{N} P L$ "to fall" (usually G but apparently Gt here); ' $\mathcal{N N}$ "to eye", "to see" (G); htk [hatūku/hatiku/hatiku] "offspring" (cf. $h t k n$ in line 10).
Line 24. šph [̌̌aphu?] "heir, family".
Line 25. phyr [?] "completeness, entirety" or "assembly(?)"; $1 R T$ "to inherit" (G), here a participle, yrt [yäritu] "heir".
Line 26. ' $R B$ "to enter" (G); hdr [huduru] "room, chamber" (cf. the syllabic [h]u-du-rù [Ug 5137 II, 11']).
Line 27. $\mathbb{T} \mathcal{N Y}$ "to repeat, recount" (G? most likely D); $D M^{\text {e "to shed }}$ tears" (G).
Line 28. NTK "to pour forth (tears)" (G), "to be poured forth" ( N ).
Line 29. $\operatorname{tql}$ [tiqlu] "shekel", pl. $\underline{t q l m}$ [tiqi(a) $\overline{\text { luma }}$ ]; 'arsh ['arsah] "earthward" (with locative suffix $-h$ ).
Line 30. mhmšt [mahmaš(a)tu] "fifth (of a shekel)", or "fifths (of a shekel)"; mtth [mattatah] "towards the bed" (with locative suffix $-h$ ).

Line 31. $b m$ [bimā] "in the very . . ."; bky [bikyu/bakyu] "weeping"; $r$ ŠN "to sleep" (G).
Line 32. nhmmt [?] "he slept, drowsed(?)" (from NWM? "to slumber", or NHM? "to roar, groan" or "to slumber" (NHM $<\mathcal{N W M}$ ?).
Line 33. snt [šinatu] "slecp"; $L^{\prime} \Upsilon$ "to overcome" (for the orthography, cf. supra, pp. 13 and 165).
Line 34. ŠKB "to lie down" (G).
Line 35. QMS "to contract", "to curl up(?)" (G?).
Line 36. $\underline{d} h r t$ [ ? ] and also $\underline{d} r t$ [ ? ] ( 1.14 III,47) "dream, vision".
Line 37. 'adm ['adamu] "man(kind)". The combination 'ab 'adm "Father of Man(kind)" is an epithet of El; QRB "to draw near" (G).
Line 38. $\check{S} L$ 'to ask" (G); m'at [ma'()attä?] (< mah 'attă?) "what is your (problem)" (literally: "What-you").
Line 40. $n^{\wedge} m n$ [nu ${ }^{c} m a \bar{n} n / n a^{\wedge} m a \bar{n} n u$ or $n a^{c} \bar{m} m \bar{n} n u$ ] "nice, beloved".

## Text $20\left(\right.$ KTU $\left.^{2} 1.1411,1-51\right)$

(1) $[h r s \cdot]^{\top} y^{1} d \cdot m q^{\mathrm{r}} m^{1} h$
(2) $\left[w{ }^{\prime} b\right]^{\mathrm{T}} d^{1} \cdot{ }^{\prime} / m \cdot \underline{t l} \underline{l}$
(3) $[s s w]^{\top} m^{\top}$. mrkbt b trbs bn . 'amt
(4) []nm. 'aqny
(5) []ssm. ' ${ }^{2} m^{\prime} i d$
(6) $w[y]]^{\top} b^{\top} \cdot \underline{t r} \cdot{ }^{\prime} a b h,{ }^{3} i l$
(7) $d[]^{\top} k^{\top} \cdot b b k \cdot k r t$
(8) $b d^{\mathrm{r}} m^{\mathrm{k}} \cdot n^{\mathrm{c}} m n \cdot g \mathrm{~g} m$
(9) ' ${ }^{\prime} l . \operatorname{tr}^{\top} t^{1} h s$. w tadm
(10) $r n^{\top} \underline{s}^{1}[. y]^{\mathrm{T}} d^{1} k$. 'amt
(11) ' $u s b\left[{ }^{[ } t k.\right]$ ' $d[.]{ }^{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1} k^{\mathrm{T}} m^{1}$
(12) ' $r b[. b$ zl . hmt $]$
(13) $\left.q h .{ }^{2} i m[r . b y d]^{\top} k\right]$
(14) 'imr $\cdot{ }^{\top} d^{1}[b h . b m], y m n$
(15) $\left.l P a \cdot k^{\Gamma} l^{[ }[a t n]\right]^{\top} m^{1}$
(16) klt. $l[h m k .]^{\top} d^{\top} n z l$
(17) $q h \cdot m^{〔} s^{\top}[r r \cdot]^{\top} s r$
(18) $d b h . s^{\top} q^{\top}[. b g]^{\top} l . h t \underline{t}$
(19) $y n \cdot b g l \cdot[h]^{\top} r \leq \cdot n b t$
(20) $\iota \cdot l z r \cdot{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top}[g] d l$
(21) $w^{\prime} l l z r \cdot{ }^{\top} m g 1 d l . r k b$
(22) $t k m m \cdot h m^{\mathbf{}^{\top}} \cdot s^{5} a \cdot y d k$
(23) $\check{s} m m \cdot d b^{\mathrm{T}} \underline{̣}^{1} \cdot l \underline{t} r$
（24）＇abk．＇il．šrd．$b^{c} l$
（25）b dbhk ．bn ．dgn
（26）b msdk．w yrd
（27）krt ．${ }^{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{l}$ ggt ．＇$d b$
（28）＇akl．＇「l＇qryt
（29）htt ．${ }^{r} l$＇$b t \cdot h b r$

（31）mǵd［．］tdt ．$y r h m$
（32）＇dn［．］ngb ．w ys＇i
（33）$s b^{1} u^{1} \cdot s b^{2} i . n g b$
（34）$w^{\top} y^{\top} s^{\prime} i \cdot{ }^{〔} d n \cdot m^{c}$
（35）sb＇uk．＇ul．m＇ad
（36）tll ．m＇at ．rbt
（37）hpt ．d bl．spr
（38） $\mathrm{t} n \mathrm{n} . d \mathrm{bl} . \mathrm{hg}$
（39）hlk ．l＇alpm ．hd $\underline{d}$
（40）w l rbt ．km．yr
（41）${ }^{\top} a^{1} \underline{t} \underline{r} \cdot \underline{t} n \cdot \underline{t} n \cdot h l k$
（42）＇atr ．tllt ．klhm
（43）yhd ．bth ．sgr
（44）${ }^{3} a^{1} l m n t$ ．$s k r$
（45）${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{lr} \cdot \mathrm{zbl} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{r} r{ }^{\prime} m}$
（46）「y＇šu．‘wr ．mzl
（47）$y m z l$ ．w $y s^{\top} i \cdot \operatorname{trh}$
（48）$h d \underline{d} . y b^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{r} . l \underline{t} n$
（49）${ }^{2} a^{1}$ teth $\cdot l m$ ．nkr
（50）${ }^{\top} m^{\top} d d t h . ~ k ' i r b y$
（51）${ }^{\top} t^{\prime}$ skn $\cdot{ }^{\prime} d$

## NOTES

Line 1．yd［yadu？］＂with＂（？），but perhaps＂portion＂，or＂stele（in a sacred place）（？）＂；mq ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{m}^{1}$［maqâmu］＂place＂．
Line 3．ssw［suswu／siswu？］＂horse＂，pl．sswom［suswūma／siswūma？］；mrkbt ［markabtu］＂chariot＂；trbs［tarbasu］＂corral＂；bn［bunu／binu／banu］ ＂son＂，pl．bnm［banūma／bunūma／binūma］；＇amt［＇am（a）tu］＂hand－ maiden＂．
Line 4．QNY＂to create＂，＂to acquire＂（G）．
Line 5．MPD＂to increase，multiply＂（D）．
Line 9．RHST＂to wash＂（G）；＂to wash oneself＂（Gt）；＇DM＂to redden oneself＂（ $\mathbf{N}$ ）．

Line 10. 'amt ['ammatu] "cubit, forearm", here in adverbial accusative ['ammata] "as far as the forearm".
Line 11. $\underline{t k m}[\underline{t} \mathrm{tikmu} / \underline{t} a k m u]$ "shoulder".
Line 12. $z l$ [zillu] "shadow"; hmt [hêmatu] "tent".
Line 14. 'imr ['immaru/'immiru] "lamb"; $D B H$ "to sacrifice" (G); ymn [yaminu] "right(hand)".
Line 15. lP u [lal(i)" $u$ ] "lamb, kid"; kPatnm [kiPatānāma/i] "both (of them)" (note the $-\bar{a} n$ suffix).
Line 16. klt [kullatu] "all", perhaps [kall(a)tu] "measurement" (from $K \gamma L$ "to measure"); lhm [lahmu] "food, nourishment, bread"; nzl [nuzlu?] "excellant(?)", "food for guests(?)", "nectar(?)", or possibly a geographical name.
Line 17. msw [?] "type of bird", or "entrails".
Line 18. 1 KSQ "to pour out", "to cast (metal)" (G); gl [gullu] "goblet"; htt [hattutu] "silver" (evidently Hittite word).
Line 19. nbt [nubtu] "honey".
Line 20. Extra, superfluous line.
Line 21. 'LX "to ascend, go up" (G); $z r$ [zūru/ $z \bar{a} r u]$ "back"; mgdl $[$ magdalu] "tower"; $R K B$ "to mount" (G).
Line 22. hmt [hāmîtu] "wall" (cf. the syllabic attestation, ha-mì-ti [PRU III, p. 137,4]).
Line 24. "to descend, go down" (G), "to make a sacrifice" (Š).
Line 26. mṣd [masâdu] "food, provisions", or $b m$ ș $d k$ [ $b i m a \bar{a} s ̦ e d i k \ddot{a}]$ "with your hunt".
Line 27. gg [gaggu] "roof", pl. ggt [gaggätu].
Line 28. 'akl [aklu] "food"; qryt [qaryatu] "town" (cf. the orthography without $y o d, q r t)$, in this context perhaps "granary", "threshing floor" (cf. TO I, p. 515, n. x).
Line 29. htt [hittatu] "wheat"; bt hbbr [bêtu hubūr]] "brewery" (according to Akkadian), perhaps "storehouse" or "noisy place", cf. ביז חָּרֶ (Prov. 21,9; 25,24). The word $h b r$ could also be a place name (Keret's capital city; cf. 1.15 IV,8-9;19-20).
Line 30. 'PY "to bake" (G); hmš [?] "fifth".
Line 31. mǵd [?] "vituals" (cf. Arabic ǴDW "to feed"); $t d t$ [ ? ] "sixth", the meaning of $\underline{t d t} y r h m$ "the sixth month" (the mem is enclitic).
Line 32-34. Obscure lines. 'DN' "to collect", "to equip(?)", "to call together(?)"; ngb [ ? ] "type of troops" ("equipped"?); s sb'u $\left.\left[s a b a{ }^{3} u / s a b \imath \imath\right\urcorner u\right]$ "soldier" or "troop, army".
Line 35. 'ul [ $\bar{u} u l u]$ "force" (cf. Biblical Hebrew אוּלים their force" [Ps. 73,4]); m'ad $\left[m a^{3}(a) d a\right]$ "much".
 iad".
Line 37. hpt [ $h u p t u]$ "yeoman farmers, foot soldiers"; bl [bal] "without"; spr [sipru?] "number, counting".
Line 38. $\underline{\text { tnn }[\text { tannānu] "bowman"; } h g[?] \text { "number, counting" (accord- }}$ ing to Arabic hajā "to count letters").
Line 39. hdd [?] "type of soldiers".
Line 40. $y r[y \bar{a} r u \bar{u}$ (<yāriyu) "early rain"' others read kmyr "type of soldiers" (Gordon.).
Line 43. yhd [yahādu] "single, lone"; $S G R$ "to close" (G).
Line 44. 'almnt ['almanatu] "widow"; ŠKR "to hire" (G or D).
Line 45. zbl [zābilu/zabbālu] "sick one"; 'rš ['aršu] "bed" (with enclitic mem).
Line 46. 'wor ['awwiru/'iverwiru] "blind"; $M Z L$ obscure.
Line 47. trh $[t a r r a ̄ h u / t a ̄ r i h u]$ "groom".
Line 48. hdt [hadatu] "new"; $B^{\Upsilon} R$ "to lead" (G); $\underset{n}{ }$ [ ? ] "second, another".
Line 49. $l m$ [lemä?] "to the very . . ."; nkr [?] "foreigner, stranger".
Line 50. mddt [mêdadatu/môdadatu or mêdūdatu/môdūdatu] "beloved, friend"; 'irby [irb̄̄yu/'irbiyu] "locust".
Line 51. $K W \mathcal{N}$ "to be" (S) or $\overleftarrow{S} K \mathcal{N}$ "to dwell" (G).

## Text 21 (KTU ${ }^{2} 1.14$ III, 1-49)

(1) ${ }^{\top} k>m \cdot h s n \cdot p ’ a t \cdot m d b r$
(2) $l^{\top} k^{\top} \cdot y m \cdot w \underline{t} n \cdot \underline{t} \underline{t} \cdot r b^{\kappa} y m$

(4) 「 ${ }^{1}{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \check{s} b^{6} \cdot w$ tmǵy,$l^{\top} u d m$
(5) $r b t t . w l . ' u d m \cdot t r r t$
(6) $w g r \cdot n n \cdot{ }^{c} m \cdot{ }^{\prime} m$
(7) $p d r m \cdot s^{c} t . b$ šdm
(8) $h t b h / t . b$ gmt.$h p s ̌ t$
(9) $s^{c} t . b \quad n<p>k . s^{\circ} i b t . b b q r$
(10) mmPat. dm.ym.w $\mathrm{n} n$
(11) $t \underline{l} \underline{d} \cdot r b^{c} \cdot y m$. h.ms
(12) $t d t \cdot y m \cdot h z k .{ }^{\prime} a l t s^{k} l$
(13) qrth . 'abn . ydk

(15) $b \breve{s b c} \cdot w l \cdot y \breve{s}_{n}^{c} \cdot p b l$
(16) mlk. l qr . t t igt . 'ibrh

|  | l ql . nhqt . hmrh |
| :---: | :---: |
| (18) | l g't. 'alp. hrtw . zg't |
| (19) | klb . spr . w yPak |
| (20) | mPakm. $1 \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{c}} \cdot \mathrm{krt}$ |
| (21) | mswnh .thm.pbl.mlk |
| (22) | $q h . k s p . w y r q . h r r s$ |
| (23) | $y d . m q m h . w{ }^{\prime} b d .1 m$ |
| (24) | $\underline{t l}$. sswom . mrkbt |
| (25) | b trbs . bn. 'amt |
| (26) | qh krt . slmm |
| (27) | Slmm.wng.mlk |
| (28) | l bty . rhq . krt |
| (29) | $l \mathrm{lazy}$. 'al . tsr |
| (30) | 'udm . rbt . w 'udm . trrt |
| (31) | 'udm . ytnt! . 'il . w'ušn |
| (32) |  |
|  | mPakm. lh. lm . 'ank |
|  | $k s p \cdot w y r q \cdot h r s$ |
|  | $y d . m q m h . w ' b d$ |
| (36) | ${ }^{\prime} / m . t \underline{l t} . ~ s s w m . m r k b t$ |
| (37) | $b t r b s>t<. b n . ' a m t$ |
| (38) | pd. 'in. b bty . ttn |
|  | $t n \cdot l y . m t t \cdot h r y$ |
| (40) | $n^{\text {c }} m t$. spph $^{\text {a }}$. bkrk |
| (41) |  |
| (42) |  |
| (43) |  |
| (44) |  |
| (45) | 'astw . bsp. 'nh |
|  | $d b$ hlmy . 'il , yt $n^{\top}$ |
| (47) | $b$ daty . 'ab . 'adm |
| (48) | wld . sph . l krt |
| (49) | wglm. $l^{\prime} b d$. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ l |

## NOTES

Line 1. hsn [ ? ] "grasshopper(?)"; p'it [pi'tu] "corner, extremity", pl. p'at [pi'ātu]; mdbr [madbaru] "steppe land".
Line 2. ym [yômu] "first) day" (for this usage of a noun as the first in a chain of ordinals, cf. supra, p. 93); and the numerals $t n, t l t$,
$r b^{c}, h m s{ }^{\prime}, \underline{t} d \underline{t}$ are ordinals, viz. "second, third, fourth, fifth, sixth".
 verbial suffix $-m$ ).
Line 4. $b \breve{s} b^{c}[?]$ "on the seventh (day)"; 'udm ['Udumu?] place name.
Line 5. rbt [rabbatu] "great, large"; trrt [?] "small(?)", parallel to rbt (cf. TO I, p. 519, n. j).
Line 6. GRX "to attack(?)" (cf. Akkadian garû); 'rm ['ृ̀rüma] "cities"; ŠRY "to turn, overturn" (G) (following Aramiac; cf. also TO I, p. 520, n. k for extensive discussion).
Line 7. pdrm [?] "towns"; $S T$ "to scatter(?)", following Arabic.
Line 8. ht $b$ [hātibu/hattābu] "hewer of wood"; $b[b i-]$ "from"; grn [gumu] "threshing floor", pl. grnt [gur(a)nātu]; hpšt [hāpišatu/hāpišātu?] "straw gatherer(s). (cf. חפשש in Biblical Hebrew).
Line 9. npk [napku] "water source"; ssibt [ $\check{s a ̄} i b \bar{a} t u]$ "drawers of water" (G participle); bqr [baqâru] (< maqâru) "(water) source" (phonetic variant or orthographic error).
Line 10. mmPat [mumalli'atu] "fillers of water" (D participle); DMM "to be silent" (G), others interpret "behold" (presentation particle, cf. Gordon 1965:385).
Line 12. $h z[h i z z u]$ "arrow"; ‘ $L Y$ "to raise" (Š).
Line 13. qrth [qaritah] "towards the city"; 'abn ydk ['abnä yadêkă] "your (m.s.) sling stones".

Line 14. m̌̌dpt [?] "those who are released(?)" (Š from NDP); hn [hinnच $]$ "behold".
Line 15. pbl [? ] personal name of the king of ' $u d m$.
Line 16. $q r$ [ $q \bar{a} r u / q o \hat{o} r u ?]$ "voice" (variant of $q$ ? $)$; $\underline{t} i g t[\underline{t} a \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}(a) t u]$ "roar"; 'ibr [ $[i b b \bar{v} r u]$ "stallion".
Line 17. ql [qālu/qôlu] "voice"; nhqt [nahqatu/nahaqtu] "braying" (of a jackass)"; hmr [himāru] "jackass".
Line 18. g't [gacitu] "neighing"; hrt [häritu/harrātu] "plower, cultivator"; zǵt [ ?] "barking, howling".
Line 19. klb [kalbu] "dog"; spr [?] "watch", others interpret "shepherd" (cf. TO I, p. 523, n. w).
Line 20. mPak [maPaku] "messenger".
Line 21. mswnh [?] "to the camp" (with locative $-h$ ).
Line 26. šlmm [šalämam( $a / \imath$ ) or sulmam $(a / \imath)$ ] "in peace", others interpret "peace offerings" (cf. Gordon 1965:490).
Line 27. $\mathcal{N G Y}$ (?) "to flee" ( $G$ ?, following Arabic), here imperative $2^{\text {nd }}$ f.s. [nigi?].

Line 28. RHQ "to go away" (G).
Line 29. ṢWR "to besiege" (G).
Line 31. ytnt [?] "gift"; 'ušn [ôǒănu] "gift".
Line 39. mtt [? ] "lady"; $h r y$ [Hurāa a?] PN, the daughter of king Pbl.
Line 40. $n^{c} m t$ [na"im $\left.(a) t u\right]$ "pleasant"; $b k r[b u k(u) r u$ ?] "first born".
Line 42. tsm [?] "beauty".
Line 43. ' $q$ [? ] "iris(?)"; 'ib ['ibbu?] "precious stone(?)", "pure"; ' $p$ ' $p$ ['ap $a p u]$ "eyebrow".
Line 44. sp [sappu] "goblet, cup" (cf. Biblical Hebrew "o [Exod. 12:22;
 cious stone"; $H G R$ "to gird" (G).
Line 45. $\check{S} L W$ "to be at ease" (G); $s p[s i p \hat{u}]$ (< sipyu?) "viewing, seeing", but the form might be an infinitive $[s a p \hat{a}]$ (< sapāyu).
Line 48. $X L D$ "to give birth". Here it is an infinitive absolute as predicate introduced by the wa conjunction, vix. $[w a ̂ l a ̀ d u]$ ( $<w a-$ yalädu), cf. supra, p. 150 .

## Text $22\left(\right.$ KTU $^{2} \mathbf{1 . 1 7} \mathbf{1 , 1 - 4 7 )}$


(2) $[m t \cdot h m m y.]{ }^{3} u z r \cdot{ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{\prime} i l m \cdot y l h m$
(3) $[’ u z r \cdot y s ̌ q y \cdot] b n \cdot q d \check{~} \cdot y d$
(4) $\left[s t h \cdot y^{\top} l .\right]^{\top}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} y s k b \cdot y d$
(5) $\left[m^{3} i z r t h .\right]^{\top} p^{1} y / n \cdot h n \cdot y m$
(6) $\left[w i n n \cdot{ }^{\top} u z r\right.$.] ${ }^{[ }{ }^{2} i l m \cdot d n^{\top} i l$
(7) ['uzr. 'ilm] .ylhm. 'uzr
(8) $[\nu s \check{s} q, b]^{\top} n^{\top} \cdot q d \check{s} \cdot t \underline{t l} \cdot r b^{c} y m$
(9) [uzr. ' $]^{\top} l^{1} m \cdot d n^{\prime} i l$. 'uzr
(10) $[\mathfrak{i} i m \cdot y]^{\top} l^{l} h m$. 'uzr . yšq $\cdot b n$
(11) $[q d \check{s} .]^{\Gamma} h^{1} m s ̌ . t d \underline{t} . y m .{ }^{2} u z r$
(12) $[i i]^{\top} m^{\top} \cdot d n^{\prime} i l .{ }^{\top} u z r$. 'ilm . ylhm
(13) $[u z]^{\mathrm{T}} r^{1} \cdot y s ̌ q q \cdot b n \cdot q d s ̌ \cdot y d$. șth
(14) $[d n]^{[/} i^{1} l, y d, s t h \cdot y^{c} l . w y s k b$
(15) [yd.] mizuth . pyln . mk. $b$ sba $^{\text {c }} \cdot y m m$
(16) $[w]{ }^{1} y^{1} q r b \cdot b^{‘} l \cdot b$ hnth.${ }^{\prime} b y n{ }^{\top}{ }^{\top} a t{ }^{\top}$


(19) km . 'ahh . w . šrš . km . 'aryh
(20) bl. ' $\mathfrak{i} \underline{t}$. bn . lh. $\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ ' 'ahh . w šrs'
(21) km . 'aryh . 'uzrm . 'ilm . ylhm
(22) 'uzm . yšqy . bn . qdš
(23) $l$ tbrknn $l \underline{t r}$. 'il' 'aby
(24) tmrnn l bny . bnwet
(25) w ykn . bnh . b bt . šrš . b qrb
(26) hklh . ns b . skn.'iPibh . b qdš
(27) ztr . 'mh . l'ars . mṣṣ'u . qttr
(28) l'pr . d dmr . attrh .tbq . lht
(29) n'iṣh . grš. d . ‘‘̌y . lnh
(30) ${ }^{2}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} h d . y d h$. b $\mathrm{skm} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{msh}$
(31) $[k]^{\Gamma} s^{-1} b^{c} y n . s p^{\prime} u . k s m h . b t . b^{c} l$
(32) $[w]^{\top} m^{1}$ nth $\cdot b t .^{\prime}$ 'il .th $\cdot g g h . b y m$
(33) $\left.\left[\underline{t}^{2}\right]^{\top}\right]^{\top} \cdot r h s \cdot n p s ̣ h \cdot b y m \cdot r \underline{t}$
(34) [ks .] ${ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{l}}$ ihd . 'il 'bdh . ybrk
(35) $\left[d n^{\prime} i\right] l, m t \cdot r p^{\prime} i, y m r \cdot g \dot{g} z r$
(36) $[m t \cdot h]^{\top} r^{1} n m y \cdot n p \check{s} \cdot y h \cdot d n^{2} i l$
(37) $[m t \cdot r p]{ }^{\Gamma}{ }^{2} i^{1} \cdot b r l t \cdot \hat{g} z r \cdot m t h m m y$
(38) [ ] . hw $\cdot m h \cdot l^{\prime} r s h \cdot y^{\prime} l$
(39) $[w y s k]^{\top} b^{1} \cdot b m \cdot n s ̌ q$. 'atth
(40) [ ] . b hbqh . hmhmt
(41) [ ] ylt . hmhmt
(42) $\left.[m t \cdot \eta]^{\top} p\right]^{\top} i$. w $y k n \cdot b n h$
(43) [bbt . srrs] . b qrb . hklh
(44) $\left[n s b\right.$. skn . ' $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$ Pibh . $b q d s$
(45) $\left[z t r .{ }^{'} m h \cdot l{ }^{\prime} a\right]^{\top} r{ }^{\top} s, m \check{s} s^{\prime} u$
(46) $\left[q t r h . l{ }^{\prime} p r . d\right] m r .{ }^{\prime} a^{\top} t r h h^{1}$


NOTES
Line 1. $d n^{3} i l$ [ $\left.d \overline{a n} n(\vec{\imath})^{3} i l u\right]$ personal name "Daniel"; $m t$ [ $\left.m u t u\right]$ " $m a n " ~ r p^{3} u$ [rāpi $i^{\prime} u$ ] and pl . rp'um [rāpi'ūma], proper name of the gods of the under-world, though the word might also mean "healer". The combination $m t r p$ ' $i$ is an epithet of Daniel; 'aphn [?] "and behold" (combination of ' $p+h n$, cf. infra, 1.17 II,28); ǵzr [ǵazizu? ?] "hero, fighting youth".
Line 2. hrnmy [?] - place name, the dwelling of Daniel; 'uzr ['uzūru?] (< 'azūuru?) "food" or "drink" offered to the gods(?); LHM "to eat" (G), "to feed" (Š; also possible in G with $i$ theme $[y a q l i l(u)]$ or D$)$.

Line 3. ŠQr "to drink" (G), "to give to drink" ( $\check{\mathrm{S}}$ or D or G with $i$ theme [yaqtil(u)]); qdš [qudsu] "holiness, sanctity"; $x D r$ "to cast, throw" ( G or D ), and here $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. [yaddă $(<y$ yaddiya?) or [yada] (<yadaya?) "he cast, threw" (following TO I, p. 419; for other views cf. Gordon 1967:124 and Ginsberg 1969:150).
Line 4. st [?] "garment, cloak(?)" (cf. Akkadian āsìtu "garment").
Line 5. m'izrt [ma'zirātu/ma'ziratu, ma'zarātu/ma'zaratu] "loin covering; cf. Biblical Hebrew רirs); $L W \mathcal{N}$ "to spend the night" (G), here $3^{\text {rd }}$ m.s. with metathesis, $y n l$ for $y l n$ (cf. line 15 infra).
Line 8. tll [ ? ] "third".
Line 15. $b \check{s} b^{〔} y m m$ "on the seventh day" (singular $y m$ [ $\hat{0} \hat{m u}$ ] with enclitic mem); cf. the appropriate section of the grammar, supra, p. 94.

Line 16. $\underset{H N N}{ }$ "to plead" (G), thus $b$ hanth $[b i$-hinnatihŭ] "while he beseeched" (infinitive as gerund); 'abyn ['abyānu] "poor (m.s.)". The combination 'abyn 'at means "you are poor"; TO I, p. 420, reads 'aby "my father" and does not translate the rest of the form; Gordon (1967:122) reads 'abynt "poverty".
Line 17. 'NH "to toil, sigh" (G); 'in ['êna] "not, there is not".
Line 19. šrš [šuršu] "scion".
Line 23. BRK "to bless" (D).
Line 24. MRR "to bless", (G) or "to strengthen",
Line 26. $\mathcal{N} \widehat{1} B$ "to erect, set up" (G), here the participle nṣb [nāsibu]; skn [?] "stele"; 'iPibh ['ilü $i b \bar{b} h \bar{h}$ ] "his paternal deities".
Line 27. ztr [ ? ] "stele(?)" or perhaps a verbal form, "to protect" or "to offer up incense", thus a participle [zätiru]; ' $m$ ['ammu] "people, family"; l'ars [le-'arṣl] "from the earth"; $\lambda \underset{\text { S "to go }}{ }$ out" (G), "to bring out" (Š); qtrr [qutru/qittarru] "vapor, smoke".
Line 28. $D=1$ "to guard, protect, strengthen" ( G or D ); "atr ["atru] "trance, step", or "(sacred) place"; $T B Q$ "to remove, drive away" (G or D); lht [lôhātu/lühātu?] "tablets(?)".
 turb, to harass(?)" (G or D), cf. Akkadian eš̂̌: ln [lônu/lānu] "visage" (cf. Akkadian lānu and Arabic lawmu).
Line 30. $\grave{k r m}[$ šak $(k) a r a \bar{a} u]$ "drunkenness"; 'MS "to load up" (D).
Line 31. $\check{S} B^{x}$ "to be satiated" (G); $S P^{p}$ "to eat" (G); ksm [kismu?] "cultic portion" (cf. Akkadian kismu).
Line 32. TWH/TTH "to plaster" (G); $\underline{t} i t\left[\underline{t} t^{\prime} t u\right.$ ?] "mud"; nps [nipāsu?] "garment"; rt [rattu?] "mud, mire(?)".
Line 36. HYY "to live" (G).

Line 37．brlt［？］＂spirit，soul＂．
Line 38．$m h[m u h h u]$＂brain，upper head＂； $\mathcal{N S} Q$＂to kiss＂（G）；$H B Q$＂to hug，embrace＂（G or D）；HMHM＂to arouse＂（possibly ＜$H M M$ ）．

## Text $23\left(K T U^{2} 1.17\right.$ II，1－46）


（2）$l$ ．＇$p r \cdot{ }^{\top} d m{ }^{1}[r$ ，＇atrk ．$t b q]$
（3）lht $\cdot n^{\top} i s^{\top} k^{1} \cdot g^{\top} r^{1}\left[\check{s} \cdot d^{〔}\right.$ ‘y $\left.\cdot \ln k\right]$
（4）sp＇u．ksmk．bt．［bcl．w mntk］
（5）$b t t^{\prime} i l$ ．＇ahd $\cdot y d^{\top} k^{\top}[.]^{「} b^{1}{ }^{\top} \stackrel{s}{ }^{\top}[k m]$
（6）$m^{\text {c }} \mathrm{msk} \cdot k \breve{s b}^{\mathrm{c}} t \cdot y n \cdot t^{\top} h^{\top}$
（7）ggk ．b．ym ．$\underline{t} \dot{\prime} t \underline{t} \cdot r h s$

（9）pnm．tšmh ．w＇$l$ ．yṣhl $p^{3} i^{\top} t^{\top}$
（10）$y p r q \cdot l s b$ ．w yshq
（11）$p^{〔} n \cdot l h d m \cdot y t p d \cdot y s^{\circ} u$
（12）$g h . w y s h .{ }^{「} a t b b^{1} n$ ．＇ank
（13）$w^{\prime} a n h n . w^{\top}{ }^{\prime} n^{1} h b . b^{\prime} i t y$
（14）$n p s ̌ . k y l d . b n \cdot b y . k m$
（15）＇ahy ．w šrš．$k^{\top} m^{\top}$ ．＇aryy
（16）nsb ．skn．＇iPiby ．$b q d^{\top} \mathfrak{s}^{7}$
（17）$z t r$ ．＇$m y$ ．$l$＇$p r$［．］$d m r$ ．${ }^{'} a \underline{t} r^{\prime} r^{[ }[y]$
（18）$t b q$ ．lht $\cdot n ' i s y . g r s$

（20）$k m \cdot m^{c} m s y, k s b^{c} t y^{\mathrm{T}} n^{1}$
（21）$s p^{\top} u \cdot k s m y$ ，bt $\cdot b^{c} l \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{r}_{w}{ }^{1} \mathrm{r}_{m n}{ }^{1}[t]}$
（22）$y \cdot b t \cdot{ }^{\prime} i l \cdot t \underline{t h} \cdot g^{\mathrm{r}} g^{\mathbf{l}} y \cdot b y m \cdot \underline{t}^{\mathrm{l}} \underline{t}^{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\top}$
（23）rhs ．npsy ．bym ．rt
（24）dn．＇il ．bth ．ymǵnn
（25）yštql ．dn＇il ．l hklh
（26）＇rb ．b bth ．ktrt ．bnt
（27）hll ．snnt ．＇apnk ．dn＇il
（28）$m t \cdot r p{ }^{\prime} i \cdot{ }^{\prime} a p \cdot h n \cdot \hat{g} z r \cdot m t$
（29）hrmmy ．＇alp ．ytbh ．$l k^{\top} \underline{\underline{l}}^{1}$
（30）$r t \cdot y s^{\top} h^{\top} m$ ．${ }^{\top} k{ }^{\top} \underline{t r t} \cdot w y$
（31）šsq ．bnt ．${ }^{\mathrm{T}} h^{1} l l$ ．snnt
（32）hn．ym．w tn ．yslhm
（33）ktrt ．w $y s^{4} s^{\top} q$ ．bnt $\cdot h^{\top} l^{1}[l]$

```
(34) snnt \(\cdot \underline{t l t} \cdot{ }^{\top} r^{1} b^{c} y m \cdot y s t\)
(35) \(h m \mathrm{ktrt}\). \({ }^{\top} w^{1} y\) sus \(^{\top} q^{1}\)
(36) bnt . hll \(\cdot s^{\top} n^{\top} n t \cdot{ }^{\mathbf{1}}{ }_{h m}{ }^{\top} \check{S}\)
(37) \(t d d . y^{\top} m^{1} \cdot y s t h m\). \({ }^{\top} k \underline{\underline{1}} r t\)
```



```
(39) \(m k \cdot{ }^{「} b^{1}{ }^{1}{ }_{5} b^{〔} \cdot y m m \cdot t b^{〔} \cdot b b t h\)
(40) \(k \underline{t}^{\top} r^{\top} t\). 「 \(b^{\top} n t\). \({ }^{〔} h^{\top} l l\). snnt
```




```
(43) \(y \underline{t} b \cdot{ }^{\top} d^{1} n^{\top} i l \cdot[y s]^{\top} p^{\top} r{ }^{\top} y r h h^{1}\)
(44) \({ }^{1} y r h^{1} \cdot{ }^{\top} y r h^{1}{ }^{\left[t n^{\top}\right.}[.]{ }^{\top} y s^{\top} i^{1}\)
(45) \(t l^{\top} \underline{t}^{1} \cdot \mathrm{r}_{r}^{1} b^{c}\) [ ]
(46) \(y\) ychm \(\cdot{ }^{\top} y m g y^{\top}{ }^{\top}\)
```

NOTES
Line 9．ŠMH＂to be happy＂（G），here tšmh［tišmahū］＂（the face［pl．］） rejoice（d）（short form of $\left.3^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{m} . \mathrm{pl}.\right) ; w^{c} l[w a-$＇alé $]$＂and on him＂ （lit：：＂and on＂）；SHL＂to brighten up＂（G），the subject is pit ［ $\left.p i^{2} t u\right]$＂sideburn＂（others take it to mean＂mouth＂［TO I，p． $424, \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{c}]$ ），which is feminine．It might appear that there is no agreement between the verb and its subject．So p＇it might be the object with Daniel as the subject，i．e．＂he brightens up on the sides of his face＂．
Line 14． $12 D$＂to give birth＂（G），here Gp yld［yûlad］＂（he）was born＂．
Line 25．SLQL＂to arrive，enter＂（Gt）．
Line 26 ktrt［kôtarātu／kôtarūtu］＂divine birth goddesses＂；bnt［binātu／ banātu／bunātu］＂daughters＂；hll［Hilälu？］PN（？），or noun mean－ ing＂praise，rejoicing＂，or［hilālu］＂the crescent moon＂（cf． Arabic hilāl）；snnt［？］＂sparrows（？）＂．
Line 30．$L H M$＂to feed＂$(\check{\mathbf{S}})$ ；$\check{S} Q Y$＂to give to drink＂（ $\check{\mathbf{S}})$ ．
Line 42．＇řs［＇aršu］＂bed，cot＂．
Line 43．$S P R$＂to count＂（G）．

## Text 24 （KTU ${ }^{2}$ 1．114，1－31）

（1）＇il d ${ }^{\top} b h^{1} \cdot b$ bth $\cdot m s d . s d . b q r b$
（2）$h k l^{\top} h^{\top} \cdot{ }^{\top} s h^{\top} \cdot l q s .{ }^{\top} i l m \cdot t l h m n$


（5）$g b h \cdot k m \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{r}} k l l b{ }^{1} \cdot y q \underline{q} q \underline{t} \cdot t h t$
（6）tllhnt．＇$i l . d y d^{\kappa} n n$
（7）$y^{〔} d b . l h m d m s d . l h . w a l y d d^{\kappa} n n$
（8）$y . \operatorname{lmn} b q r<{ }^{\top}>h t m$ ．tht $\cdot \underline{t l h n}$
（9）＇ttrt ．w＇$n t$ ．ymǵy
（10）＇ttrtt ．t＇db ．nsb lh
（11）$w ' n t \cdot k t p ~ b h m, y g^{c} r \cdot t g g^{\prime}$
（12）$b t \cdot{ }^{\top} i l \cdot p n \cdot l m \cdot k l l b \cdot t^{\prime} d b n$
（13）$n^{\Gamma} \mathfrak{s}^{\top} b \cdot l^{\prime} i n r \cdot t^{t} d b n \cdot k t p$

（15）＇$a^{\Gamma} k^{1}[]^{\prime} i l \cdot y \underline{t}^{\top} b^{\top} \cdot b m r z h h$

（17）${ }^{3} i l . h^{〔} l^{1} k . l b t h . y s ̌ t q l$ ．
（18）lhzrh $\cdot y^{\mathrm{c} m s n} \cdot n n \cdot \underline{t k m n}$
（19）$w \check{n} n m$ ．$w<y>n g \check{n} n$ ．hby
（20）$b^{c} l \cdot q r n m . w d n b . y l t^{-s} n$
（21）$b$ hrrih ．w tnth $\cdot q l \cdot{ }^{\top} i l \cdot k^{\top} m^{1}{ }^{1} m t^{1}$
（22）＇il ．$k$ yrdm ．＇ars ．＇$n^{\mathrm{T}} t^{1}$
（23）$w{ }^{‘} t t t r t \cdot t s^{\top} d n^{1} \cdot[]$
（24）「 $q d s^{\top}$［．］$b^{c} l$［ ］
（25）[]
（26）$\left.\left[{ }^{〔} t\right]\right]^{\mathrm{T}} t \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{l}} t \cdot w^{\mathrm{c}} n^{\mathrm{T}} l^{l}$［］
（27）w bhm ．tutb ．［＇a］hdh
（28）${ }^{\mathrm{\Gamma}} k m^{\mathrm{\top}} \cdot \operatorname{trp}^{\top} a \cdot h^{\mathrm{\top}} n^{\mathrm{\top}} n^{\mathrm{\top}} r$
（29）dyšt．l lṣbh šr klb

（31）＇y＇šst＇ahdh ．dm zt．hrpnt

## NOTES

Line 1．$D B H$＂to sacrifice＂，＂to prepare a sacrificial meal（？）＂（G）；msd ［masâdu］＂victuals offered in a sacrifice＂；$\underset{S W D / S ̣ T D ~ " t o ~ p r e-~}{\text { per }}$ pare food＂（G），cf．Biblical Hebrew צידָה．
Line 2．$S W H / S Y H$＂to call，shout＂（G）；qs［？］＂breast（of meat）＂，cf． $1.3 \mathrm{I}, 8$ where this word is parallel to td＂breast＂，but the word might just mean＂meal＂．
Line 3．$\breve{s} b^{c}\left[\breve{s u b} b b^{c} u ?\right]$＂satiation＂．
Line 4．trig［tirāatu？］＂new wine＂；skr［？］＂drunkenness＂；yrh［yarihu］ ＂Moon＂，personal name of the moon－god．
Line 5．gb［gabbu］＂back＂；rlb＞klb［kalbu］＂dog＂；$Q T Q T$＂to swish，
to switch"; tht [tahtu/tahta] "bottom", "beneath".
Line 6. $r D^{c}$ "to know" ( G ) and here $y d^{c} n n$ [yidacan(n)annй"] "he knows him".
Line 7. lhm [lahmu] usually means "food" but here it seems to mean "meat" (cf. TO II, p. 74).
Line 8. HLM/ $12 M$ "to smite" (G); qr [ ? ] "rope(?)" (cf. comparison with Arabic), or perhaps an infinitive meaning "to strike, kick"; ht [hattu] "staff, rod", pl. htm [hatūuma].
Line 10. nsb [?] some kind of meat.
Line 11. ktp $[k a t(\imath) p u]$ "shoulder"; bhm $[b i-h u m \bar{a}]$ "by the two of them (f.)"; $G^{\star} R$ "to rebuke" (G); tǵr [țăgiru] "gate keeper".

Line 12. pn [? ] (< phn?) "and behold(?)".
Line 13. 'inr [ ? ] "cur" (for other parallelisms between $k l b$ and 'inr, cf. 1.16 I,2,15-17; II,38-39).

Line 15 mrzh [marzihu] "banquet (hall)", and/or "religious celebration", or "funerary banquet" (cf. 3.9,1).
Line 18. hṭr [hatiru] (< haziru) "courtyard" (for the phonetic shift, cf. supra, p. xxx); 'MS "to load" (D), and here $y^{〔} m s n . n n ~\left[y a^{\prime} a m m i-\right.$ $\operatorname{san}(n) a n(n) a n n \breve{u}$ or $y u^{\text {'ammisan}(n) a n(n) a n n u ̆] ~ " t h e ~ t w o ~ o f ~ t h e m ~}$ carry him"; tkmn [ TTikmānu/Takmānu?] DN.
Line 19. $\check{n} n m$ [?] name of a deity; $\mathcal{N G S}$ "to arrive, approach" ( N or D), and here $w<y>n g \check{n} n n \quad[w a-<y a>n a g g i s ̌ a n(n) a n n \check{u}$ or wa-<yu>nag-gizan(n)annǖ] "he approached him"; the root $\mathcal{N G S}$ could also mean "to bite" (in comparison with Biblical Hebrew נגש ); hby [ ? ] PN (?).
Line 20. qrnm [qamāma/i] "horns" (du.); ${ }^{2} n b$ [danabu] "tail"; $L W$ Š "to wallow(?)" (G), and here yľ̌n [yalūšüna] "they (both) wallow", cf. TO II, p. 77, n. 241; or LSSN "to revile, make fun of", yls'n [yalaŭšinu/yulašsinu] "he mocks (him)"; cf. also Mishnaic Hebrew לַשלׁשָׁn poultry manure".
Line 21. $\operatorname{chr}^{\prime} u$ [hara'u?] "excrete"; $\underline{\text { tnt }}$ [ $\underline{\text { In }}$ natu? $]$ "urine"; QYL "to fall, to bow down" (G); MWT "to die" (G).
Line 22. RDM "to drowse, pass out" ( G or N ).
Line 23. 'ahdh ['ah(h)adah?] "together".
Line 27. $T \underline{I} B$ "to sit" $(\mathrm{G})$, "to seat" ( $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ).
 of them) healed"; $n^{\text {c }} r$ [ $n a^{c} n u$ "youth".
Line 29. $S^{\prime} T Y$ "to drink" $(\mathbf{G})$.
Lines 29-31. These lines are very obscure; perhaps they contained the names of various medicinal plants.

## INDICES

## Ugaritic Words in Alphabetic Texts 'PY

| ${ }^{\prime} B D$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\text {² }}$ abd | 133 |
| $y^{\text {a }}$ abd | 17 |
| $y^{2} i t b d$ | 130 |

$y^{3} a b d \quad 17$
yiba 130
${ }^{\prime} D M$
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{adm} \quad 123$
tadm 132
tidm 123
'HB
y'uhb 18,45
${ }^{2} W R$
'ar 158
y'ark 156
'HD
'ahd 96,114,121
'ahdhm 32,116
'aht 29,114
y'ahd 17
$y^{\prime} i h d \quad 17$
y'uhd 18,45
$y^{3} u h d h m \quad 45$
tihd 119
$t$ uhd 127
'HD
'ahd 21
'HR
tsibhrhm 139
'KL
'aklm ("eaters") 16,69,121
y'ukl 18,45
likln 119
'MR ("look, see")
y'amr 17
y'itmr 32,130
, y ̌̌ ytmr $32,128,130$
'anst 97,114
${ }^{2} S P$
y'asp 17,135
$y^{\prime} i s p \quad 17$
y'isphm 135
$y^{\text {J itsp }}$ 128,130
tasp 17
$t$ 'isp 17
t'ispk 135
tusp 18
${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ PK ${ }^{\prime}$ 'ip 39,99,104
lapq I35
${ }^{\prime}{ }_{S}$ L
t'usl 18
'RK
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 'ark } & 124\end{array}$
'RŠ
'irs $\quad 44,120,136$
$y^{\prime}$ 'arš 135
taršn 135
'TW 161
'at 42,120,166
'atwe $26,41,161,162$
'atm 166
$y^{\prime}$ it 42
Pit 165
Pity 165
'TM
y'ittm 130
littmn 130
${ }^{2} a b \quad 62$
’abn 39
'ad 16,62
'adm . 67
'adn 68
'adnty 31,62
' $a d^{x}$ (see $1 D^{c}$ )
'adr ("mighty") 70
'adrt 70
'adt 62
'adty 14
'adtny 54
'adddy (GN) 22
'ahl 64
${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{ahd}(m) \quad 87,88,90,93,182$
'ahdh 182
'ahdy 14
'aht 29,75,87
'ah ("brother") 40,62
'ah ("meadow") 65
'abh 45
'ahyh 15
'ahym 15,43
'abm 43,45
'abnnn (see $H N N$ )
'ahr 181,198
'aht ("sister") 62,98

'aht (see 'HD)
'ay 45
'ayl(m) 41,69
'aylt 69
'al ("don't") 32,183,184
'al ("verily") 184
'aliyn 45
'alm (see HLM)
, almg 45
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { almnt ("ox") } & \\ \text { alp } & 16,64\end{array}$
'alp $(m)$ ("thousand[s]") 12,79,86,93
'alpm 'ib 193
'amht 34
'ams 45,70
'amr ("lamb") 44
'amok (see MRR)
'amrr (DN) 7
'amt ("cubit') 12,62
'amt ("handmaiden") 12,65
'amth 179
"an ("where") 38,182,183
'an (pronoun) 49,50
'ank 33,49,50
'ankn 50
'anšt (see 'NSK)
'ast 45,68
'ap ("even, also") 11,188
'ap ("nose") 30,64
apy 41
'apnk 181
'apnm 69
’apnt 69
'apr (see PRR)
'aqht (PN) 6,12
'ar ("light") $\quad 16,47,62$
${ }^{2} \operatorname{ar}(\mathrm{PN}) \quad 78$
'arb́ ${ }^{\text {' }}$ (6, $16,88,86,89,90,91,92,93$
${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ arb'm 90,91,92
'arb't $\mathbf{3 8}$,89,90
3anw 40
'arz 64
'arswn (PN) 10
'ars̀zn (PN) 10
'ars $6,23,64,74,77$
$\begin{array}{ll} \\ \\ \\ \\ \text { 'arṣh } \\ & 179\end{array}$
'aršt 44
'at ("you" m.s.) 30,49
'at ("you" f.s) 49
'at (see ' $7 W$ )
'atm 49
'aint 68,76
'atr 84,198
'att $65,81,83,89$
'atty 14,84
' $i$ ("where") 182
'i ("verily") 190
'ib ("enemy") 7,158
'ib ("pure") 208
'ib 'iqn'i 208
'ib'lt 28,33
'ibr 7,43,70
'igr (see GWR)
id 32,181
'id ("when, after") 188,223
idk 181
'ihh 44,45
ihy 44
'iy 44,182
䜣 37,182
'iky 182
'ikl 65
'ikm 33,182
'il (DN) 14,62,84
'ill $m$ ) 34,77,84
'ilhm 34
'ilht 34
'ily 14,84
'ilm 'ars 193
'im 35,189,221
${ }^{\text {'imr }}$ 44,69
'in 16,187,202
'inn 78,187
'inš 68
'ipd 68
'ipdk 68
'iqn'im 73,77,207
'iqn'u 44,86,87
'irby $33,44,73$
'irs' (see 'RS)
'iršt 44
'inty 52
'ístbm (see ŠBY)
'ištn (see $S Y T$ )
'itml 33
'itn (see 177 )
'it 187,202
'u 38,188
'ugrt (GN) 14,31,83
'ugry (GN) 74
${ }^{2} u{ }^{2} m^{\text {'t } t ~ 33,44,73}$
'udn 16,66
'uz 74
'uzr 44,122
'uhh 44
'uhy 44
'ulp 44,70



HLK continuation
tlk 106,148
tlkn 102,148
ttlk 151
ttlkn 102,151
hlk ("behold") 186
HLM 34
'alm 34,116
hlm 120
ylm 34
$y l m n \quad 34,118,120$
hlm ("here") 180
hlm ("when") 189,223
hln 186
$h l n y \quad 180$
$-h m$ (m.pl.) 52
$-h m$ (du.) 52
hm ("they",m.) 49,50
hm ("they",du.) 49
$h m$ ("if") $34,35,189,216,221,222$
hmt 11,50,51
$-h n$ (f.pl.) 52
hn ("behold") 34,185,186
$h n$ ("they",f.) 49,50
hnd 57,58
hndt 58
hnk 58
hnkt 58
hnny 180
НРК 202
yhpk 82,127,202
$h r \quad 40$
HRG
hrg 120
HRT
thrn 164
HRR
yhrm 174
ht 6,180,181
$w$ (conjunction) $\quad 6,11,17,27,29,31,32$,
33,34,43,95,188
$W L D$ (see $Y L D$ ) 26,145
rem 186
WSR 26,145,152
yrusmn 152
tsrk 148
WPT 26,145,152
wiptn 152
ywptn $\quad 152$
WŠr
ť̌̌y $38,153,170$
wotn (see $77 \mathcal{M}$ )
$z b l n \quad 73$

ZĞW
$t z \dot{g} \quad 164$
HGR
thgrn 132
$H D T$
$h d \quad 165$
yhd 99,164
thdy 164
hbrm 67
$h d r(m) \quad 67$
$h d t \quad 66$
$H W Y(1) \quad 170$
'ahw 169
'ahuyy 169
hwot 98,169
thw 169
$H W Y(2) \quad 171$
tsthwey $101,104,171$
HWŠ
'ah's 155
hwot $\quad 70$
$h t b m \quad 79$
htm 63,66
htr 24
htt $\quad 66,76$
$h z(m) \quad 63,66$
$h z r \quad 7,23,24$
HTY
byt 98
yh 164
hym 6,7
НКК
hkmt 97
$h l b \quad 7$
HLL
$h l \quad 171$
hhn 26
$H M D$
hmdm 123
$y h m d m \quad 123$
yhmdnh 118
hmhmt 176
hmyt $\quad 69,75,83$
$H M M$
ysh̆mm 107,176
$h m r(m) \quad 68$
hmt $\quad 39,69,75$
HNN
'abnnn 26,174
hany $\quad 26,175$
hnth 173
hnny (see $H N N$ )
hnth (see $H$ HNN

| $\underline{H S L}$ |  | thrm 24,45,69,207 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , $\mathrm{h}_{\text {h }} / n n$ | 135 | thrm 'iqn'im 193 |
| HSP |  | THN |
| thspn | 119 | $t t h n n \times 53$ |
| HRK |  | TLL |
| yhrkn | 107 | ytll 172 |
| HRR |  | tlmy ( PN ) 27 |
| $t h r$ | 172 | TN |
| $H R S$ |  | $n t t^{\prime} n \quad 118$ |
| 'ihtrs' | 130 | $z^{3} i\left(\right.$ see $\gamma^{2}$ ) |
| hrš(m) ("crafts | tsman") 70 | zby 40,65 |
| $H S B \quad 25$ |  | zbyh 23 |
| $\underline{h}$ b bn 25 |  | zhrm 24,207 |
| $\underline{h b t}(\mathrm{PN})$ | 27 | zHQ |
| HDT |  | $y z h q \quad 23$ |
| ${ }^{\text {'ihd }}$ | 42,117,163 | ${ }_{3}^{2 l} 23$ |
| $H{ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | ${ }_{2} \times \mathcal{N}$ |
| $n h t{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 118,131 | tzpn 119 |
| tht? $a$ | 104,117 | zrw 40 |
| tht ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 119 | - $\boldsymbol{y}$ (pronoun) 51 |
| the in | 119 | $y$ (vocative) 187 |
| tshtann | 140 | YBL 25 |
| hym (PN) | 27 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{abl} 147$ |
| hln 26 |  | bl 149 |
| HLQ |  | ybl 127,146 |
| $h l q$ | 114 | yblnh 53,148 |
| blat | 114 | yblt 146 |
| hm'at 7,75 |  | nbln 148 |
| ${ }_{\text {hmnh }}(\mathrm{PN})$ | 179 | ybl ("produce") 69 |
| HMS |  | ybmt 28 |
| $y h m s$ | 135 | $y d$ ("love") 65,74 |
| hms ("fifth") | 93,94 | yd ("hand") 6,42,62 |
| hmss (t) ("five") | ) 87,88,90,91 | $y d$ ("with") 199 |
| hmsm 87,90 | ,90,91,92 | yddll (see DLL) 12 |
| himt 37 |  | $y d m$ ("hands") 62,80 |
| HSS |  | YD ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| yhssk | 174 | 'ad ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 44,147 |
| thss | 174 | ${ }^{\prime} i d^{x} \quad 44,147$ |
| HSR |  | $d^{*} 149$ |
| hsst | 96,113,133 | $y d^{*} m \quad 123$ |
| hpt (PN) | 27 | yd ${ }^{\text {ct }}$ t 97,123 |
| $H S B$ |  | $y d^{k} t k \quad 97,146$ |
| thts $b$ | 130 | $d^{*}$ |
| hrss 7,69 |  | $y d \underline{t}(\sec D \underline{T} \underline{T})$ |
| HRR |  | rZN |
| hr 1 | 171 | $y z n \quad 147$ |
| ${ }_{H}$ |  | $\gamma_{2}$ |
| $b t u$ | 124 | $z^{\prime} i \quad 23,149$ |
| hty (GN) | 74 | $y k r$ (see KRR) |
| htny 14 |  | ykrkr 176 |
| htnm $b^{\top} l$ | 193 | rLD 26,145 |
| T 7 BH |  | 'ašld 83,153 |
| $t b h$ | 120,160 | weld 42,150 |
| $t t b h$ | 118 | yld 127 |

$\Upsilon L D$ continuation
$y l t \quad 14,29,84,147$
tld 107,148,149
tldn $107,148,149$
$y l m$ (see $H L M$ )
$y l m n$ (see $H L M$ )
$y m$ ("sea") 12,65
$y m(m)$ ("day[s]") $\quad 38,79,85,93$
$y m y \quad 14$
$y m n \quad 6$
$y m m$ (du.) 79
$y m m t \quad 28$
$y m r(\sec M R R)$
$y m t$ ("days") 79
$y n \quad 7,37,65,90$
ynphy (see PHY)
INQ
$y n q m \quad 149$
mšnqt $\quad 153$
$y^{c} l m \quad 67$
$y p h n$ (see $P H Y$ )
$y p h \quad 4,26$
$y p l t(\mathrm{PN}) \quad 27$
ypltn (PN) 27
ypm 43
$r P^{x}$
$y p^{c} \quad 146$
yprsh 177
$r S^{\circ} \quad 23$
'aşs'ihm 11
'aşṣ'u 153
'aşs'uk 100,153
$y s^{3} a \quad 96,110,146$
$y s^{3}$ an 105,147
$y s^{3} a t \quad 111,126,146$
$y s^{3} i \quad 19,147$
ys'ihm 125,150
$y s^{3} u \quad 101,147$
yṣ'unn 148
$y s$ Šs $^{\prime} a \quad 38,42,153,163$
yşṣ’i 153
mṣॅ’'u $14,38,153$
s'at 150
$s s^{2}$
$s$$\quad 152$
sṣat 153
ss?u 153
$t s^{3} i \quad 19,103,148$
$t s^{3} u \quad 104,148$
$t s^{3} u n \quad 148$
$r S Q$

| $y s q$ | 127,148 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $y s q m$ | 12 |
| $s q$ | 149 |

$r S R$
TQǴ ${ }^{y s ̣ r m} 2469,121,149$
tqǵ 32,131,151
$y q \underline{t}($ see $Q T \underline{T})$
yqtqt 177
$y r$ (see $Y R \eta$ )
$r R^{\prime}$
$y r^{3} a^{\prime}$ un $\quad 12,102,148$
yritn 146
$\begin{array}{ll}y r^{\prime} u & 147\end{array}$
$y r^{3} a^{3} u n\left(\operatorname{see} Y R^{3}\right)$
YRD 25
'ard 16,116,147
yrd 147
yrdm $\quad 149$
yrdn 148
yrt 29,98,113,146
$n r d \quad 148$
$r d \quad 149$
šrd 152,153
trd 148
rRH 151
$\operatorname{yrh}(m) \quad 28,33,64,67,94$
rRT
$y r \quad 39,42,164$
tr 148,164
yrq hrs 207
$y n t(\sec Y R D)$
YRT
${ }^{3}$ artm 147
'itri 151
ISKN 25
yšn $\quad 147$
$y s ̌ t q l(\sec \check{S} Q L)$
$y \operatorname{tmr}$ (see ${ }^{2} M R$ )
$T T \mathcal{N}$
'atn 147
'atnk 6
'itn 44,147
witn 42
$y$ ln $39,53,127,147,149$
$y t n n \quad 148$
ytnnn 11,53
ytnt $\quad 30,146$
$y t t \quad 30,97,146,215$
ntn 38,152
stnt 31,152
tn. 149
ttn 101,127,148,149
$y t t$ (see $\Upsilon 7 \mathcal{N}$ )
$T T B \quad 25,29$
$\begin{array}{ll} \\ & a \underline{t} b \\ 116\end{array}$
${ }^{3}$ atb $b=106,147$

```
        ytb 114,146,148
        yttb 154
        y[tbn 29,154
        lb}\quad14
        tbth 150
    rTQ
        ytg 147
    -k (pronoun, m.s.) 5l
    -k(pronoun, f.s.) 5l
    k(y) ("which") 13,189,197,219
    k("if") 221,222
    k(y) ("verily") 190,191
    k(y) ("when") }22
    kbkb(m) 31,74
    KBD
        ykbd 135
        kbd 136
        nkbd 132
        tkbd 135
        tkbdnh 101,106,135
    kbd ("heavy") 89
    kbd ("liver") 56,78
    kbd ("midst") 199
kdm }7
kdd 22,108
kw(t) 80
KWN 206
    `askn 160
    ykn 202
    kn 155
    tkn 157
    tknn 96,100,157,218
    ťknn 160
kkbm 31,74
kkr(m) 31,74,79
kl 178
KLY
    'akl 104,169
    'akly 169
    ykl 170
    ykly 101,169
    kly 122,167
    klt 168
    mkly 104,169
    nkly 131,168
    tkl 103,169
    tkly 169
KIL
    ykllnh 174
-km (pronoun,m.pl.) 51
-km (pronoun, du.) 52
-kn (pronoun, f.pl.) 5l
kn ("behold") 186
km ("as") 11,197
```

kmm 198
KMS
tkms 32,138
$k n^{n} n y$ (GN) 74
knp 67
$k n r \quad 70$
ks $\quad 7,62$
ks'at 47,78
$k s^{3} i y \quad 52$
$k s^{3} u \quad 10,16,78,82$
ks'u 10
KSY
ks̀mm $^{y k s}{ }_{77}$ 101,169
ksmn 77
kst 39,75
$k p \quad 81$
krmm 64
$K R^{c}$
$y k r^{r} \quad 117,118$
$\begin{array}{ll}k r p n & 7\end{array}$
krpnm yn 193
$K R R \quad 172$
$K \check{K}{ }^{y k r} 172$
KŠD
tksid 22,108
$k \check{s} p m \quad 70$
$k t n(t) \quad 78$
$l$ (prep.) $\quad 6,11,52,12,17,91,92,195,196$
$l$ (negative) 183
$l$ (asseverative) 29-38,191,192
$l$ (vocative) 186,187
$P a\left(\right.$ see $\left.L^{\prime} r\right)$
$L^{\prime} Y$
Pa 43,124,167
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Pi } & 166\end{array}$
tPu 164
tPu'an 13,103,165
$L^{3} K$
'iPak 115,117,123
Pak 120
Pakm 123
Pik 113,114
likt $11,16,97,98,113,114$
luk 68,122
tPakn 126,137
tPik 115,134
tPikn 115,135
$\lim 16$
$l b$ ("heart") 11,66
lb'im thwe 193
$l b n(m) \quad 67$
LBS
šlbšn 138
$l b s ̌(m) \quad 27$
$l \mathrm{~lm} \quad 78$
$L W N$

LHM
${ }^{3}$ ilhm 115,117
'ilhmn 132
yšlhm 99,139
$\mathrm{lhm} \quad 120,125,126$
nlhm 118
slhm $\quad 140$
tlhm 106,119
tlhmn 100,106,107,119
tšlhm 139
lhmd 31
$L H S$
mlhš 136
ltpn (PN) 24
ltšst 122
lzpn 24
lm ("why") 183
$l m$ (prep.) 196
$L M D$
'almdk 134
lon 199
lonk 199
lps 27
LQH 30,71,141
'igh $30,117,141$
yqh 30,141
lqh $11,82,114$
lqht 141
nlqht $\quad 30,131,144$
qh 142
qhn 142
qhny 54,142
tqh 141
tqhn 141,218
ľnm $\quad 79$
lünt 79
$-m$ ("if") 34
$m$ (enclitic part.) 192,193
$m(n)$ (prep.) 196,197
$M D$
'am'id 117,134
$m^{\prime} a b \quad 18,197$
$m^{\text {'ad }} 18,208$
$m^{2} a h d h$ (GN) 179
$m^{\text {'ahbr }}$ 136,197
$m^{3} a t\left(=m h+^{2} a t\right) \quad 34,59$
m'at ("hundreds") $\quad 16,47,75,78,80,87,91$
$m^{2} i d(y) \quad 17,18,64,185,208$
m'ihd ("plated") 72,123
$m^{3}$ ihdym 18,33
$m^{2}$ it $\quad 17,62,75,78,80,86,91,92$
$m^{2} \mathrm{itm} \quad 79,80,87,91$
$m^{2} u d \quad 18$
mbk 27,30
$M G N$
mgntm $\quad 30,115,133$
nmgn 119
tmgnn 119,136
$m d b h(t) \quad 72$
$m d d(t) \quad 71,76,123,149,150$
$m d d t h \quad 150$
mdw $\quad 72$
$M D L$
tmdln 103,118
$m d^{\star} \quad 38,71,183$
$m h \quad 15,34,59$
$m h$ ("her water") 43
$m h y(=m h+h y) \quad 15,59$
$m h k \quad 60$
$m h k m \quad 60$
$m h r \quad 78$
MWT
'amt $12,45,116,124,155$
$m t$ 103,155
$\mathrm{mtm} \quad 124,158$
mit 12,155
tmtn $103,156,158$
$M Z L$
ymzl 123
mzl 123
mzny $\quad 38$
mznm $\quad 79,81$
$M$ Z $t m z^{c} \quad 118$
mhlm 71,175
mhmd 31
mhrtt 123
$m h \quad 66$
$m h d y(\mathrm{GN}) \quad 32$
MHS
'imthes 130
mhs 69
$m \mathrm{hsm} \quad 121$
mhst 108
*mhst 28
tmhs 6,108,118
tmths 12,130
tmthsn 130
mhmst 94
mhust 23,28

```
\(m!\quad 42\)
mell 24
\(m t m \quad 179\)
MTR
        mšmtr 140
        tmlm 100,106,119
mtth 71,72,179
\(M Z \lambda\)
        \(\begin{array}{ll}y m z y & 104\end{array}\)
mzll 24
mzm'a 23,137
my ("who") 41,58,59
\(m y\) ("what") 59
\(m y\) ("water") 43
\(m k \quad 186\)
mknt 72,76
\(m k s 42\)
MKR
    mkm 89,121
    tmkm 127,132
\(M L^{\prime}\)
    \(y m P u \quad 100,117,118,135\)
    \(m P a \quad 110\)
    \(m\) Pat \(\quad 67,75,114\)
    mmPat 137
    \(n m P u \quad 135\)
\(m P a k(m) \quad 71\)
\(m l b s{ }^{\circ} \quad 72\)
mlhmt 71,132
\(m \operatorname{lh} \check{s}\) (see LHS)
MLK
    'amlk 116
    'amlkn 134
    ymlk 14
    mlk 114
    nmlk 116,135
mlk ("kingship") 82
\(m l k(m)\) ("king[s]") 7,14,64,82
mlkt 64
MLL
    tmll 172
\(m m P^{\prime} a t\) (see \(M L\) ')
\(m n \quad 59\)
\(m n(m) \quad 59\)
mnhm (PN) 136
mnkm 59,60
mnm 59,60
\(M N N\)
    \(\begin{array}{ll}y m n n & 175\end{array}\)
    mmпnm 175
\(m n t(y) \quad 14\)
msdt 38
mswnh 179
MSS
```

```
        ymss̀ 10,175
    \(m^{\text {¢ }} \quad 194\)
    \(m^{\text {'msh }}\) (see ‘MS
    \(m^{\text {' }} \mathrm{msy}\) (see ' \(M S\) )
    \(m^{〔} s d \quad 71\)
    \(m^{c} r b \quad 71\)
    mgd 21
    mǵz 165
    MGY 24
        'amǵy 116,163
        ymǵ 100,164
        ymǵy 104,164,165
        ymǵyn 11,105,164
        mǵ 43,162,165,167
    \(m g y^{\prime} \quad 124,162,167\)
    mǵyt 42,162
    mǵny 163
    mǵt 96,162
    tmǵ 164
    tmǵy 163
    tmǵyy 15,165
    tmǵyn 165
    mphm 72
    mpth 26
    mpth 26
    msbtm 71
    msd 40,72
    \(m s d h \quad 40,179\)
    MṢ
        ymshn 132
    msllm 72,79
    \(m s m t \quad 29\)
    mss 173
    \(m s q t \quad 40,72\)
    mssm (GN) 84
    mqhm 30
    mqm 72
    \(m q m h \quad 40\)
    \(m q r \quad 28,40,72\)
\(M R^{\prime}\)
    \(\begin{array}{ll}y m r^{\prime} u & 118\end{array}\)
\(m r^{\prime} a t\) ("fat") 68,75
\(m r^{\prime} i^{\prime} a \quad 13\)
\(m r^{\prime} u(m)\) ("fatling[s]") \(\quad 13,16,68,82\)
\(m r^{\prime} u(m)\) ("officer[s]") 10,16,76,77,78,87
\(m r b^{c} \quad 94,95\)
mrzh 72
\(\operatorname{mrh}(y) \quad 14,84\)
mrhqm 179,197
mrhqt 71
mrhqtm 179,197
mrym 40,72
\(m r k b t(m) \quad 71,75,79,80\)
mrmt 40,72
```


$y t 5^{\circ} i \quad 30,143$
$y \omega^{5} u \quad 143$
ns̊at 141
nsi 125,143
ns゙u 111,141
ša 142
s"u 142
ts ${ }^{4}$. 142
tšan 142,144
ts u $12,30,100,103,141$
tsun 141
NŠQ
'anšq 144
ynsq $\quad 144$
yšq 30,141
tnšq 145
NTK
ytk 141
tntkn 132,144
NTK
yntkn 145
$n t k \quad 144$
S"D
s'ad 120
s'id $16,113,114$
ts'ad 117,118
$\begin{array}{ll} \\ \sin i n & 16\end{array}$
SBB 202
ysb $\quad 172$
nsb 174,202,203
sb 171,202,203
śsb 176
sbsg 31
SGR

| $s g r$ | 114 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s h r$ (PN) | 27 |
| $S K \mathcal{N}$ |  |
| šskn | 140 |
| skn ("commissioner") |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { jkn } & 10\end{array}$ |  |
| sisco 10 |  |
| sswo (m) | 10,89 |
| ssnm 74 |  |
| sgrg (PN) | 27 |
| $S P^{P}$ |  |

'isp'a 105,117
'isp'i 117
yspi 124
уsp'u 101,115,117
sp'u 14,69,121,124
$t s p^{3} i \quad 19,118$
SPD
msspdt 140
spl 64

| spsg <br> $S P R$$\quad 5,31$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'assspr | - 139 |
| spr ("letter") | er") 65,82 |
| sim (PN) | 10 |
| $\operatorname{sm}_{b d}(\mathrm{PN})_{64}$ | 10 |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {'bdyrh (PN) }}$ | N) 27 |
| ${ }^{6} b d y r g '(P N)$ | N) 27 |
| ${ }_{\text {clt }}^{g l(m)}$ 66 |  |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {'d }}$ ( 190,19 | 90,199,223 |
| ${ }^{\text {' }}$ DB ${ }^{\text {c }}$, |  |
| $y^{c} d b$ | 108,126 |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ d $d$ | 108,120 |
| ${ }^{\text {' }}$ dbnn | n 124,215 |
| ${ }^{\prime} d b t$ | 93,96,113 |
| $t^{t} d b$ | 126 |
| $t^{t} d b n$ | $n \quad 119$ |
| ${ }^{\prime} D D$ |  |
| $y t^{\text {c }} d d$ | d 176 |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ Dr |  |
| $y^{\text {c }} d y n h$ | nh 164 |
| ${ }^{\prime} \underline{D} R$ |  |
| $y^{\text {'d }}$ d $k$ 21 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {¢ d }}$ r 21 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {'WP }}$ |  |
| 'p 1 | 158 |
| $t^{\prime \prime} p n$ | 157 |
| $t^{\prime} p p$ | 159 |
| ${ }^{\prime} W R$ |  |
| $t^{\prime} r r k$ | 159 |
| 'wer 41,69 |  |
| 'ront 41,70 |  |
| 'z(m) ("goat[ $]$ ]") 66 |  |
| 'z(m) ("strong") 23,63 |  |
| 'ZZ |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll}y^{c} z z & 172\end{array}$ |  |
| 'z 1 |  |
| $t^{\text {t }}$ zzk | - 175 |
| ' $z m$ ("bone"). | e") 23 |
| ' $z$ ( ("mighty") 68 |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{YN}$ |  |
| $t^{\text {t }}$ | 156 |
| 4 ("leaf") | 42 |
| ${ }^{\prime} l$ (prep.) | 199 |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ Lr |  |
| $y^{\text {c }} 1$ | 164 |
| ysty | 170 |
| $n^{\text {c }}$ l 1 | 165 |
| ' 942 | 42,162,165 |
| 'ly 1 | 162 |
| ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ ¢ | 125 |
| ${ }^{6} 9 \mathrm{l}$ | 15,42,162 |

| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Lr continuation |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\prime} l t$ | 42,162 |
| $5 l y$ | 170 |
| sclot | 139,170 |
| $t l$ | 164,165 |
| $t^{6} / n$ | 100,165 |
| $t s^{c} l$ | 170 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} / m h \quad 179$ |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} m$ ("with") | 199,200 |
| $m$ (people) . 65 |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} m y \quad 200$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {' mm }}$ (prep.) 200 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ mn 14,52,199 |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} m n h 53$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {'mny }}$ 54,199 |  |
| ${ }^{¢} \mathrm{MS}$ |  |
| $y^{\text {c }} m s n h$ | H 106 |
| $y^{\text {c }}$ msnnn | $n \quad 54,136$ |
| $m^{\text {c }}$ msh | 137 |
| $m^{c} m s y$ | 14,137 |
| ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{ms}$ | 136 |
| ${ }^{\prime} m q \quad 208$ |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} m q$ nsm 208 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & c^{\prime} n(m)(" \mathrm{eye}[\mathrm{~s}] ") \\ & \left.c_{N V}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| $y^{\text {c }} n y$ | 164 |
| $n^{\text {c }} n$ | 42,168 |
| ${ }^{\prime} n$ 4 | 43,124,167 |
| ${ }^{\prime} n h m$ | 42,162 |
| ${ }^{\prime} n y$ | 33 |
| t'nyn | 165 |
| ${ }^{\prime} n n \quad 38$ |  |
| ' $n t$ ("springs") 63,65,80 |  |
| ' $n t$ ("now") 76,180 |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} p$ pp $\quad 74$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {' }}$ PP |  |
| $t p p$ | 174 |
| ${ }^{\prime} p r \quad 6$ |  |
| 's(m) 66,89 |  |
| 'r ("young ass") 65 |  |
| 'r ("town") | 66 |
| ${ }^{\prime} R B$ |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} r b \quad 82$ | 82,96,114 |
| ${ }^{\text {'rbt }}$ | 113,114 |
| $t^{\text {c }}$ ' $b n$ | 218 |
| $t s^{\text {cr }}$ d $b$ | 139 |
| ${ }^{`} r b n(m) 73$ |  |
| ${ }^{\prime} R T$ |  |
| 'ryt | 162 |
| ${ }^{\prime} R K$ |  |
| $t^{6}$ rk | 126 |
| ${ }^{\prime} r m \quad 179$ |  |

${ }^{'} r^{\prime} r \quad 74$
'rpt 5
‘「̌r $\quad 87,88,89,90,91,93$
' ${ }^{\text {'rrh }}$ ' 89,90
'‘̌rm 90,91
' šrt $88,89,90,91$
'št(y) 87,90
${ }^{\text {' } t n} 180$
${ }^{\circ} T Q$
$t^{\mathrm{c} t q n} \quad 119$
$\dot{G} D D$
tǵdd 172
ğzr 6
$G Z T$
nǵz 165
gztm 163
tǵzyn 165
gym (PM) 27
$G L T$
yǵly $\quad 99$
tǵl 164
tǵly 165
$G ́ L L$
tgll 172
glm 23
$\dot{G} M$
g'm $^{\text {' }}$ 't $\quad 17,23,97,114,123$
$g^{\prime \prime} m^{2} u \quad 97,123$
gr $\quad 23,24,66$
tǵrk $(\sec \mathcal{N G} R)$
$p d r y(\mathbf{P N}) 12$
$p$ ("mouth") 62
$p$ (conjunction) 188
p'amt 88
pit 62
PDT
pdy $96,97,162$
pdh 21
$\begin{array}{ll}P H Y & 168\end{array}$
'iph 42,117,163
'iphn 163
ynphy 31,168
yph 164
yphn $99,117,164$
ph 165
tph 164
phryh 15
$P$ ZL
$n p z l$. 132
PLT
ypltk 135
nplt $\quad 131$
PLT
yply 169

```
    plk 71
        PNT }3
        tpnn 165
        pnt 63,66
        P}L\quad2
        prn(m) 31,80,81
        Pr}
        yp'r 118
        pr("fruit") 40,66
        pr(m)("bull[s]") 12
        PR
        tptr r 130
        pr }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
        PRR
        3apr 172
        tpr 172
        prt 12,76
        PTH
        ypth 118,126
        pth 120,124
        tpth 125
PTY
        ypt 30
        ypthm 169
s'at 62,75
s'in 23
sba
sb\mp@subsup{b}{}{3}\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{3}a
sbim
sdqšm (PN) 28
SWD
        sd 155
        tscdn 100,102,156,
        157
scodt 4l
SWH/SYH
    ys:h}\quad104,15
    shtkm 126,155
    tsh 157
    tshn
SWQ
    ssqq}\quad97,16
    tssqquh 160
SWR
    tşr 156
SHQ
    yṣhq 23,118
    shq 124
    t\check{s}hqq}13
shrrt 176
stqšlm(PN) 28
SLT
    ysly 169
SMD
```

```
    tsmd 103
```

    tsmd 103
    \(s m d m \quad 88\)
    \(s m d m \quad 88\)
    \(S G \dot{G}\)
    \(S G \dot{G}\)
        ysǵd \(\quad 118\)
        ysǵd \(\quad 118\)
    sǵr (PN) 23
    sǵr (PN) 23
    \(s p \quad 40\)
    \(s p \quad 40\)
    \(S P Y\)
    \(S P Y\)
        spy \(\quad 122,128,162,167\)
        spy \(\quad 122,128,162,167\)
        spyt 122,167
        spyt 122,167
    srt 7
    srt 7
    \(Q B^{\prime}\)
    \(Q B^{\prime}\)
        qbitm 114
        qbitm 114
    QBR
    QBR
        'aqbmh 53,116,118
        'aqbmh 53,116,118
    \(q d m \quad 200\)
    \(q d m \quad 200\)
    qdmh 200
    qdmh 200
    qdqd 7,12,74
    qdqd 7,12,74
    \(q d \check{s} \quad 65\)
    \(q d \check{s} \quad 65\)
    QWM
    QWM
        \(q m \quad 155,158\)
        \(q m \quad 155,158\)
        tqm 156
        tqm 156
    QTT
    QTT
        tqt!n 173
        tqt!n 173
    qtn 67
    qtn 67
    QKL
QKL
$q l \quad 157,158$
$q l \quad 157,158$
qlay 155
qlay 155
qlt $\quad 97,154$
qlt $\quad 97,154$
$\begin{array}{ll}s q q & 160\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}s q q & 160\end{array}$
s̆qlt $\quad 160$
s̆qlt $\quad 160$
tql 101,156
tql 101,156
tqln 157
tqln 157
$q z \quad 23,37,93$
$q z \quad 23,37,93$
$q l$ ('voice") 26
$q l$ ('voice") 26
QLS
QLS
yqlom $\quad 135$
yqlom $\quad 135$
$q m h \quad 26$
$q m h \quad 26$
qmh 26
qmh 26
QNT
QNT
'aqny 116
'aqny 116
$y q n y \quad 41$
$y q n y \quad 41$
qny 162
qny 162
qnyt ("creatress") 75,121,166
qnyt ("creatress") 75,121,166
qnm 43
qnm 43
QNS
QNS
tqtinsn 107,130
tqtinsn 107,130
$q 5 \quad 42$
$q 5 \quad 42$
QSR
QSR
tqsm - 85,119,137
tqsm - 85,119,137
$q r$ ("voice") 26
$q r$ ("voice") 26
$Q R^{\prime}$
$Q R^{\prime}$
'iqr'a 104,105
'iqr'a 104,105
'iqr'an 105
'iqr'an 105
${ }^{3}$ iqrakm 104

```
    \({ }^{3}\) iqrakm 104
```

| $Q R^{\prime}$ continuation |  | trmm | 106,159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y q r^{2} a$ | 104,118 | trmm | 159 |
| yqrun | 52,53,118 | RHM |  |
| qra | 114,120 | $r h m t$ | 97,114 |
| $q r^{\prime} a n$ | 120 | RHTS |  |
| $q r^{2} a t$ | 111,114 | yrths | 130 |
| $q r^{2} i t$ | 69,75,121 | trths | 131 |
| $q r^{\prime} \mathrm{t}$ m | 114 | RHQ |  |
| $q r^{\prime} u$ | 111,114 | šrhq | 138 |
| $\operatorname{tgr}^{\prime} u$ | 117,118 | RHP |  |
| $Q R B$ |  | 'arhp | 134 |
| šqrb | 139,140 | rhbt | 121 |
| tqrb | 119 | trhpn | 135 |
| qrb ("midst") 200 |  | RrŠ |  |
| qrhtQRT |  | r | 158,172 |
|  |  | RKB |  |
| $q r y y$ | 15,166 | $r k b$ | 5,120 |
| $t q 7$ | 164 | RNN |  |
| qryt 75 |  | 'amn | 174 |
| qmm 78,80 |  | $r^{r} y(m) \quad 69$ | 9,166 |
| $q m \mathrm{t}$ 78 |  | $R G B$ |  |
| $q r t(m) \quad 18,39,67,75,79$ |  | rgb | 97,123 |
| qrth 179 | 179 | rǵbt | 23,97,114, |
| qštm 79 | 79 |  | 23 |
| QTT |  | rǵbn 73 |  |
| yqt | 172 | $R P^{\prime}$ |  |
|  |  | $t r p{ }^{\prime} a$ | 117,119,136 |
|  |  | $p^{\prime} u(m)$ | 16,76,77 |
| r'asm 64,79 |  | $r s$ (see RYŠ) |  |
| r'ašt ( hm ) | 63,79 | $R S^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| $r$ ris ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ 7,16,63,64 |  | trs ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 104,117 |
|  |  | 25,42, |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { r'išyt } & 15 \\ \text { r'ist }^{\prime}(\mathrm{lm}) & 63,64,79 \end{array}$ |  | $\check{S}^{\prime} B$ |  |
| ruscre |  | sib | 121,126 |
| $r b(m) \quad 63$ | 63,65 | šibt | 69,122 |
| RBB |  | $\breve{S}^{\prime} L \quad 129$ |  |
| $r b$ | 73 | 'issal | 115,117 |
| $r b t$ | 97,171 | ysal | 115 |
| $r b b t \quad 63,66,80,93$ |  | ys ${ }^{\text {a }}$ l | 115 |
| $R B^{\text {c }}$ |  | ysss il | 139 |
| ${ }^{\text {'ašrb }}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 139 | ysti il | 129 |
| $r b^{c}(t) \quad 93$, | 94,95 | $\mathfrak{s a l}$ | 123,125 |
| $r b t(m)$ ("myri | d[s]") 66,79,80,83,93 | $s{ }^{\text {sil }}$ | 113,114 |
| $r b t$ ("large, g | eat") 65,173 | tstal | 129 |
| $r b t$ ("lady") | 76 | $S^{\prime} R$ |  |
| RGM |  | 'ist' ir | 128 |
| 'argmk | 116 | salm 70 |  |
| yrgm | 126 | šinm 16 |  |
| rgm | 11,120,121 | sir 65 |  |
| rgmt | 113,114 | subh 31 |  |
| trgm | 117 | $S B Y$ |  |
| RWM |  | 'iustbm | 168 |
| ymmh | 159 | şbyn 122 | 2,167 |
| rmm | 159 | $\breve{S B M} 40$ | ,168 |


| šbm 40 |  | Slm 68 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S^{\prime} B^{c}$ ("to be | satisfied") | slmm 17 |  |
| ${ }^{5} b^{c} t$ | 97,113 | $\mathrm{s}_{\text {cm }} 62$ |  |
| $S^{\text {S }} B^{\text {c ("multip }}$ | ply 7 times") | šmªl 25 | ,47 |
| y $s^{6} b^{\text {c }}$ | 135 | SMMH 25 |  |
| $\breve{s b}^{\text {c }}(t) \quad 88$ | 8,89,91,93 | ysmh | 118 |
|  | 2,92,178 | nǒmh | 118 |
| $S^{\prime} b^{\prime} d(m)$ | 32,92 | šmh | 114,124 |
|  |  | tsmh | 104,119 |
|  |  | šmym 15, | ,43 |
| $S$ SD |  | s̆mm 43, | ,67,167,179 |
| yšdd | 172 | šmmh 17 |  |
| SYR |  | šmn 26 |  |
| 'ašr | 116,155 | $S^{*}{ }^{*}$ |  |
| ysr | 123 | 'isstm' | 33,130 |
| sr | 123 | $s^{\text {m }}$ | 24,112,121, |
| ŠrT |  |  | 25 |
| 'astk | 116,215 | $\check{s m}^{\text {c }}$ t | 113 |
| 'istn | 44,155 | šmt 30 |  |
| ystk | 215 | stmtr (see M |  |
| ystm | 156 | $\mathrm{SN}^{\sim} 25$ |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { st }}{ }$ | 155,157 | $\check{s ̌ n}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5,97,110,114 |
| stn | 157 | šn'u $^{\prime}$ | 77 |
| $s t t$ | 96,155 | ŠNW 16 |  |
| tst | 156,156,157 | šnut | 161,162 |
| tstm | 215 | šnt | 39,97,162 |
| tstmn | 105,156 | $\breve{S}^{\prime} N$ |  |
|  | 113,114 | $\check{S}_{N} P P^{y \check{s ̌ n n}}$ | 174 |
| ŠKH |  | ť̌npn | 119,127 |
| $n s ̌ k h$ | 131 | šnt (see S ${ }^{\text {NJT }}$ |  |
| Skllt 176 |  | šnt ("year") | 62,76 |
| SKN |  | šnt ("sleep") | 62 |
| 'askn | 160 | sntm 79 |  |
| ystthn | 130 | $\check{s s b}$ ( see $S B B$ ) |  |
| tsknn | 160 | SSY |  |
| ŠKR |  | šsk | 167 |
| yskr | 123 | $\check{s s k} k$ (see ŠSY |  |
| skr | 123 |  |  |
| sllbšn (see LB |  | sph 31 |  |
| ŠLW 161 | 61 | SPK |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a astor }}$ | 26,161,163 |  | 118 |
| $\check{s l}$ | 167 | ŠPL |  |
| ŠLH |  | spl | 120 |
| 'asslhk | 134 |  |  |
| 'isth | 117,134 | $\stackrel{\text { sppsm }}{ } \quad 17$ |  |
| ysth | 12 | $\check{s p t}(\mathrm{hm})$ | 53,62 |
| $n s t h$ | 131 |  |  |
| slyt is |  | SQr |  |
| $S L M$ |  | $y s$ ̌sq | 99,170 |
| $y s{ }^{\text {s }}$ m | 118 | $\stackrel{s}{s} q \mathcal{y}$ | 42,171 |
| stm | 133 | $t s$ Šg $y$ | 170 |
| tstmk | 117 | tsqy | 164 |
| tssslmn | 139,218 | tšqun | 164 |

ŠQL
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 'ist } \text { iql } & 130 \\ \text { yštql } & 99,130\end{array}$
tštql 130
ŠRG
tšrgn 118
$S R P$
tšrpnn 53,118
stt ("thorn bush") 37
STT
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 'istynh } & 108,117,163 \\ \text { 'istn } & 106,117,163\end{array}$
yst 164
nšt 165
št 166
šty $42,126,162,166,167$
stym 166
stm $\quad 165$
štt 39,108,162,
tst 164
tštyn 42,106,107,165
tsťn 42,43,100,165
stnt (see $17 T$ )
Pǐ̌r (m) 73
$T B^{C}$
$y t b^{6} \quad 117$
$t b^{c} \quad 114,115,121,124$
$t t^{\text {c }} \quad 118,119$
tgwin (see GWL)
tgmr 73
tgrgr 177
$t d$ (see $N D D$ )
$t d r q \quad 73$
the 40
thm(t) 45,68,81
thmtm 68,81
TWR
ťstr 160
twth 151
$t z \dot{g}$ (see $Z \hat{G} W)$
tht 200
thth 200
tk 38,65,77,200
tkms (see KMS)
tPiyt 73
tlmdm 73
tlmyn (PN) 27
tmntk 76
tmr (see MRR) 173
tmtt 73
tsrk (see WSR)
t'glt 73
tp ("drum") 66
$\dagger$ ("beauty") 73
tpky (see BKY)
tpnn (see PNK)
$T Q G \quad 24,151$
$t q g$ (see $Y Q G$ )
tr (see $Y R Y$ )
trbs 73
TRH
'itrh 81,83
ytrh 118
trit 7
tslm 73
$\hbar^{\kappa}(t) \quad 88,93$
tšy (see WSY)
tsthwy (see HWY)
tstr (see TWR)
tutkm (see TKR)
t'at 48,75
tigt 27
tiqt 27
teut 48
$t b t$ (PN) 31
$\underline{T B R}$
ytbr 107
ttbrn 118
$t b r \quad 107$
td $\underline{t} \quad 29,93,94$
$t h \quad 43$
TWB 29
$y t b \quad 156$
ytbn 157
yttb $\quad 29,160$
tub 156
$t \underline{t} b \quad 29,159,160,161$
tutbn $96,100,160,218$
$\underline{t} b \quad 98,157,160$
ttb 29,161
TYN
ytitn 159
TKL
tthl 118
tkmm hat 193
TKR
tutkrn 29,139
$\underline{t h n}(m) \quad 78,80$
tllhnt $\quad 78,80$
$t \underline{t l}(t) \quad 5,12,29,68,88,89,90,91,93,94$
tltid 92
$\mathrm{tltm} \quad 68,81,90,91,94$
tlltm $\quad 89$
$\underline{t} m(t) \quad 180$
$\underline{\ln m(y)} \quad 180$
tmn(t) $\quad 88,89,90,91,93$
tmmym $\quad 15,90,91$
$\underline{t} n$ ("two, second") $\quad 80,87,90,92,93$


| ma'hadi (GN) 18,33 | ǵamarūma 46,65,67 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ma'hadzya 18 | ǵamruhŭŭ 53,65 |
| ma'hadu 33 | ǵamгйта 46,65,76. |
| maddatu 65,76 | ǵamrusunu 46,65 |
| mazru 64 | palidüma 67 |
| mazrūma 64 | pitru 123 |
| $m a-a b-b a-[d u] \quad 33$ | pilakku 71 |
| malku 64 | pirre 66 |
| mamsar 71,84 | pullatu 70,137 |
| masw/yatu 63 | samata 110,113 |
| masaw/yātu 64,78 | şamatü 111,113 |
| $m a^{\prime} z i z i \quad 72$ |  |
| másad(ūma) 71,76 | şibbèri 46 |
| ma'sarisa 95 | silyu 40,66 |
| ma'šaru 61,94 | ş̧̧üma 62,84 |
| masillu 72 | qadarūma 80,86 |
| maqqab(üma) 30,71,76,80,86 | qadišti 67 |
| maqqadu 30,71 | qatuna (PN) 67 |
| maqqahā 30,71,81 | qaritu 39,67,75 |
| marzihi 72 | qidsu 65 |
| maryann ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - 78 | ra'sasāáir (GN) 16 |
| markabti 71,75 | rabbati 76 |
| mastah'hăma 71,81 | sadû $41,42,67$ |
| mathatīma 71 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { salilima } & 133\end{array}$ |
| matnâ 41,182 | s̆amìma 67,76 |
| matrû 41 | šáa/irtu $46,64,75$ |
| mi'tê $38,81,86,91$ | šaрšu 64 |
| mihhişùma 46,69 | sarāma 77 |
| mitar 46 | šiharu 68 |
| môbali 38 | лйи 39,158 |
| môtabu 38,71 | sutu 39,158 |
| munabhimu (PN) 136 | tabasa 113 |
| mur'u 66 | tahāmatu 46,68 |
| mur'ùma 66,80,86 | tapdîti 73,83 |
| na'badisunu. 132 | tami 64 |
| nahali 67 | tibnu 66 |
| năgừu 121,143 | tit(t)artu 46,151 |
| napakima 63 | tumamu 70 |
| napakìmi 77 | taltūma 64 |
| naptara 132 | \́annāni 70 |
| naptarī 131 | ṫannānūma 70 |
| napkìma 63 | tuqdùma 64,66 |
| napku 63 |  |
| nig̛ru 66,123 | Alkkadian |
| säkini 47 |  |
| saplu 64 | almattu 75 |
| same 65 | būt hubūri 5 |
| abdu 64 | єšrā 90 |
| attü ("now") 30,76,180 | ispatu 66 |
| idir 46,84 | istutuis 182 |
| idirtu 46 | isistu rūquiš 197 |
| i¢ssu 66 | lisãnātu 79 |
| urubānu 73 | $Q U_{\text {-(sign) }} \quad 48$ |
| amaruhŭ 46,53,67 | sūnu 16 |


| $\breve{s c}_{2} \bar{a} a t i \quad 50$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| šu＇āti | 50 |  |
| šūt | 54 |  |
| $u q n \hat{u}$ | 44 |  |
| warbu | 64 |  |
| Arabic |  |  |
| ＇ahl 64 |  |  |
| ＇aklu 65 |  |  |
| ${ }^{\underline{d} \bar{u}} \quad 54$ |  |  |
| ZMR | 21 |  |
| HSB 25 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}y \bar{a} & 187\end{array}$ |  |  |
| kawokabu 31 |  |  |
| $1 a^{-}$ | 191 |  |
| masdar 123 |  |  |
| mi＇şăru 95 |  |  |
| fa 188 |  |  |
| sädisu | 29 |  |
| qudām | 200 |  |
| $S B M$ | 168 |  |
| Aramaic |  |  |
| 187 |  |  |
| 187 |  |  |
| 㸾 66 |  |  |
| 198 |  |  |
| 20，54 |  |  |
| ¢ | 24 |  |
| תון וֹלעלמ | מיומא דן | 196 |
| 19619 |  |  |
| \％ 16 |  |  |
| Oרדא קדואה |  |  |
| $\underline{1}$ | 180 |  |
| 180 |  |  |
| 180 |  |  |
| － $\mathrm{P}_{\text {P }} 200$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $H \mathscr{S} B$ | 25 |  |

## Egyptian Documaentations

## Fisdd 22

HSB 25
na－ha－ri－na 77
$n a$－${ }^{\text {á－rú－na }} \quad 77$

## El－Amarna Tablets

$a-b a-d a-a t \quad 111$
$a-n u-k i \quad 47$
$i-b i \quad 158$
ú－bi－li－mi 193
ú－ša－ar 137
ba－di－ú 198
${ }^{\mathbf{r}} b a^{1}{ }^{1-} \mathrm{r}_{n i}{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \quad 38,162$
hal－li－iq 137
ha－ia－ma 179
ha－mu－du 122
he－na－ia 81
bi－ih－bi－e 138
hu－mi－tu 47
yi－2ǐ－ta－al 129
la－a ti－ka－pi－lu 134
［la］－qa－hu 111
le－lá－ma 179
mi－im－am－ma 60
na－az－a－qú 111，131
na－ah－re－mi 81
$n a-a q-s a-a p-t i \quad 131$
na－aq－sa－pu 131
$n u-u h-t i-154$
nu－pu－ul－mì 120，142
$\begin{array}{ll}r i-q a-m i ~ & 179\end{array}$
sú－ur－wu 40
qìe－eṣi $\quad 37$
ša－mi－ma 77
sa－mi－ti $\quad 113$
šu－sú－mi 193
ta－ah－ta－mu 200

## Hebrew

33
33
134
134
䓠 64
37
药 116
88
65
43
43
＊ 182
K 44，182
（PN） 33
＇ 65
190
193
76

（GN）108，128
行 38,182
אוּנֵ
אנָ 38,182


29
193，196
93
199
142
142
123
79
79
38，183
17
44
27
40
40
38，183
40
123，149
40
40
61，95
72，79
72
197
75
80
193
๗ 194
131
142
131
142
142
ס，193 סגיֶמ כֵּסֶףף
74
65
4
个 66
90
162
180
33
33

踢 32
24
24
65
解 67
35
61
80
80
150

| רִיִ | 179 |
| :---: | :---: |
| רָעֵבֵּ | 114 |
| שֶֶּׁ | 150 |
| שִׁוֹרים | 63，65 |
| セֻ⿺𠃊 | 43 |
| 年 | 113 |
| שֶֶּׁ | 180 |
| ¢ֹmpun | 76 |
|  | 113 |
| שׁׁnex | 113 |
| שֶun | 76 |
| טֶuְגיֵים | 80 |
|  | 78 |
|  | －78 |
|  | 80 |
| שֶׁ | 61 |
| חnonk | 116 |
| กากำ | 61 |

Kâmed el－Lôz
tu－wa－aš－sa－ru－na 137

## Moabite

128
7 35
76

## Phoenician

76
（PN）
בעלמזבל
「 20
$z R^{\mathrm{c}} \quad 22$

199
30
128
128
Taanach Letters
ma－ga－re－ma 81

## Ugaritic Alphabetical Texts

| 1.1 III． | 16 | 43,166 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| IV． | 11 | 18 |
|  | 17 | 166 |
| 1.2 I． | 11 | 211 |
|  | $14-15$ | 104 |
|  | 15 | 142,184 |


|  | 19 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19－20 | 191 |
|  | 20－21 | 126，148，213 |
|  | 21 | 114，155 |
|  | 23 | 63，64，79，99，165 |
|  | 27 | 63，79，142 |
|  | 28 | 33 |
|  | 29 | 63，100 |
|  | 30 | 165 |
|  | 31 | 142，171 |
|  | 32 | 32，122，130 |
|  | 35 | 21，147 |
|  | 36 | 24，187 |
|  | 37 | 45，68 |
| 1.2 I | 39 | 6，195 |
|  | 40 | 18，25，47，211 |
| II． | 30 | 80 |
| III． | 7 | 165 |
|  | 19 | 204，217 |
| IV． | 2 | 11，192 |
|  | 3 | 133 |
|  | 4 | 144 |
|  | 5 | 7，23，68，141 |
|  | 6 | 96，110，146，192，212 |
|  | 7 | 113，200，209 |
|  | 7－8 | 192 |
|  | 8 | 158，162 |
|  | 8－9 | 6，181 |
|  | 9 | 7，158 |
|  | 10 | 7，56，69，211 |
|  | 11 | 62，144，211 |
|  | 14 | 62，73，80 |
|  | 14－15 | 198 |
|  | 16 | 34，80 |
|  | 17 | 63，66，144 |
|  | 18 | 144 |
|  | 19 | 173 |
|  | 21 | 120 |
|  | 21－22 | 12 |
|  | 22 | 177，198 |
|  | 24 | 34 |
|  | 25 | 177 |
|  | 26 | 63，66，144 |
|  | 27 | 169，172 |
|  | 28 | 157，193 |
|  | 29 | 157 |
|  | 29－30 | 224 |
|  | 30 | 122，167，203 |
|  | 31 | 156 |
|  | 32－33 | 191 |
| 1.3 I | 1 | 164，184 |
|  | 316 | 16，113，114 |
|  | 82 | 209 |
|  | 10－11 | 7 |

1.3 I. continuation

| 2 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 79 |
| 22 | 32,130 |
| $22-23$ | 128 |

II. 241,65

3150
$4 \quad 164$
5186
5-6 12,130
$6 \quad 130$
$7 \quad 150$
8 62,67,75,150
9200
$14 \quad 21$
$15 \quad 179$
17-18 186
18 130,211
$19 \quad 97$
$20 \quad 79$
20-22 125
2147
$22 \quad 77$
23 130,185
$24 \quad 164$
25 117,118,172
25-26 100
25-28 224
$27 \quad 172$
29 117,190,223
31-32 148
33. 12,173

36 47,80
$38 \quad 119$
40-41 220
III. 10 50,136,158,171,214

11121
$12 \quad 28,166$
$15 \quad 132$
16 23,142
$17 \quad 25$
$20 \quad 151$
20-21 187,202,204
22163
2531
26-27 55,182,219,221
28 166
29163
32164
34141
$35 \quad 57,215$
36. 182

37 59,146
38 23,28
$39 \quad 63$
$40 \quad 168$
$41 \quad 23$
42 63,64
43 23,28
$45 \quad 23,28$
46 130,168
$47 \quad 151$
IV. 459
$5 \quad 212$
8 15,71,132,166
$12 \quad 151$
$13 \quad 116$
24 116,141
28132
29 116,141
33 39,97,155,162
34191
36 63,65,80
38150
39-40 213
$40 \quad 138$
41 157,200
$42 \quad 43,119$
42-43 178
V. 3 39,127,211

742
7-8 101
1843
22 32,115,116
$24 \quad 139$
28135
$31 \quad 200$
34148
$36 \quad 157$
36-37 104
$38187,202,204$
VI. 11165

12-13 184
14-15 203
14-16 217
15-16 203
20 50,214
1.4 I. 469

12 16,24,62
13 65,71,203
13-15 203
14 . 38,65
16 16,38,62
1724
19-20 188
20 140,194
22 75,121,165,166
23 72,162

| 1.4 I. continuation |  |  | 9 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 198,205 |  | 9-10 | 214 |
| 25-26 | 12 |  | 10 | 155 |
| 26-28 | 212 |  | 10-11 | 57 |
| 27-28 | 12 |  | 11 | 207 |
| 28 | 63,66,81,92 |  | 12 | 68,76 |
| 28-29 | 193 |  | 14 | 156 |
| 30 | 79,80,92 |  | 16 | 18,45,140 |
| 38 | 78,110 |  | 17 | 31,74,203 |
| 40 | 38 |  | 18 | 198 |
| 43 | 63,66 |  | 19 | 40,115,178 |
| II. 3 | 71 |  | 20 | 181 |
| 5 | 25,42 |  | 20-26 | 101 |
| 6 | 115 |  | 21 | 27,30 |
| 8 | 96 |  | 22 | 68,81 |
| 10 | 159,174 |  | 24 | 62 |
| 12 | 125,143 |  | 25 | 123,156 |
| 13-14 | 125,190,212 |  | 27 | 185,189,190,223 |
| 15 | 28,73 |  | 28 | 23 |
| 19 | 141 |  | 29 | 176-177,212 |
| 23 | 42 |  | 30 | 62 |
| 28 | 76 |  | 31 | 162 |
| 28-29 | 124 |  | 32 | 26,41,161,162 |
| 29 | 179 |  | 33 | 23,114,123 |
| 32 | 142 |  | 33-34 | 97,189 |
| 39 | 59 |  | 34 | 23,114,123 |
| III. 11 | 176 |  | 35 | 166,189 |
| 12 | 135 |  | 36 | 78,166 |
| 13 | 38,65,152 |  | 38 | 62,65 |
| 13-14 | 200 |  | 39 | 159 |
| 14 | 39,162 |  | 42 | 98 |
| 14-16 | 108 |  | 43-44 | 7 |
| 15-16 | 218 |  | 44 | 204,218 |
| 16 | 62,117,163,195,214 |  | 50 | 34,37,65,186 |
| 17 | 25,80,110,114,215 |  | 50-51 | 202,204 |
| 17-18 | 5,89,97 |  | 51 | 23 |
| 19 | 20 |  | 58 | 55,189 |
| 21 | 34,141 |  | 59 | 50 |
| 22 | 34 |  | 59-62 | 216 |
| 23 | 45,181,198 |  | 60 | 50 |
| 24 | 24,42 |  | 62 | 37 |
| 25 | 119,136 |  | 62-V,1 | 127 |
| 28 | 136 | V. | 1 | 24,34,197 |
| 28-29 | 182 |  | 3 | 63,66,97,171 |
| 29 | 165 |  | 4 | 148 |
| 30 | 30,115,121,133 |  | 8 | 42 |
| 31 | 20,65,163 |  | 10 | 174 |
| 32 | 166 |  | 11 | 106 |
| 33 | 119 |  | 12 | 126 |
| 35 | 165 |  | 15 | 208 |
| 36 | 50,214 |  | 17 | 25 |
| 43 | 37,193 |  | 18 | 165 |
| IV. 6 | 207 |  | 19 | 24,45,69,73,77,194 |
| 7 | 68,76 |  | 20 | 124 |


| 1.4 V. continuation |  |  | 55 | 162 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 80 |  | 57-58 | 82 |
| 23 | 72 |  | VII. 4 | 74 |
| 24 | 178 |  | 9 | 66,81,91 |
| 25 | 124 |  | 17 | 26,126 |
| 26 | 138 |  | 17-19 | 200 |
| 27 | 146,211 |  | 23 | 192 |
| 32 | 31 |  | 25 | 118 |
| 33 | 165 |  | 30 | 164 |
| 33-35 | 207 |  | 36 | 25,77 |
| 34 | 24,45 |  | 40 | 200,204 |
| 38 | 208 |  | 41 | 64 |
| 39 | 31 |  | 42 | 223 |
| 42 | 137 |  | 43 | 184,188,216 |
| 42-43 | 126 |  | 44 | 130 |
| 44-45 | 181,198 |  | 45-46 | 184 |
| 45 | 13,16,64,68,82,155 |  | 47 | 118 |
| 46 | 78,82,126 |  | 47-48 | 104 |
| 46-47 | 154 |  | 49-50 | 14,214,218 |
| 52 | 159 |  | 50 | 118 |
| 54 | 159 |  | 52-53 | 190 |
| 59-60 | 187 |  | 56 | 44 |
| 60 | 157 |  | VIII. 1 | 149,184 |
| 61 | 44,67,185 |  | 5 | 23,142 |
| 61-62 | 200 |  | 7 | 149 |
| 64 | 44 |  | 10-11 | 184 |
| VI. 21 | 156 |  | 11 | 75 |
| 41 | 194 |  | 12 | 10 |
| 71 | 167 |  | 13-14 | 82 |
| 13 | 26,152 |  | 15-16 | 119 |
| 15 | 156 |  | 20 | 119 |
| 16 | 128 |  | 22-23 | 43 |
| 16-18 | 106 |  | 23 | 43 |
| 17 | 159 |  | 23-24 | 71,123,149 |
| 22 | 157 |  | 25 | 25 |
| 24 | 186 |  | 27 | 157,158 |
| 24-25 | 93 |  | 28 | 121,171 |
| 26 | 94 | 1.5 I | , | 189,222 |
| 27 | 34,37,63,65 |  | 2 | 169 |
| 32 | 172 |  | 3 | 15,79 |
| 34 | 17 |  | 4 | 43 |
| 34-35 | 202,203 |  | 5 | 68,117 |
| 35 | 25,120,174 |  | 6 | 29,114,146 |
| 36 | 38,162 |  | 6-7 | 98,191 |
| 36-38 | 57,96 |  | 7 | 65 |
| 38 | 113 |  | 9 | 115,156 |
| 38-40 | 108 |  | 11 | 40 |
| 41 | 63,65,160 |  | 14 | 12 |
| 41-42 | 13 |  | 15 | 40 |
| 42 | 66 |  | 16 | 22 |
| 44 | 45 |  | 16-17 | 108 |
| 48 | 34 |  | 17 | 22 |
| 50 | 34 |  | 23 | 120 |
| 52 | 47,78 |  | 25 | 165 |


| 1.5 II . | 5 | 37,40,65,66 |  | 14-15 | 105,190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 13,102,148 |  | 15 | 53,170 |
|  | 8 | 121 |  | 16 | 53,164 |
|  | 9 | 166 |  | 17 | 105,118,156 |
|  | 12 | 57,215 |  | 18 | 118,193 |
|  | 16 | 142 |  | 22 | 23 |
|  | 17 | 157 |  | 24 | 41,69 |
|  | 19-20 | 57 |  | 26 | 67 |
|  | 22 | 52,53,118 |  | 32 | 148 |
| III. | 17 | 209 |  | 34-36 | 101 |
|  | 22 | 18 |  | 36-38 | 106 |
|  | 23 | 18,208 |  | 38 | 135 |
|  | 24-25 | 208 |  | 39 | 119,181 |
| IV. | 5-7 | 182 |  | 39-40 | 104 |
|  | 8 | 144 |  | 39-43 | 224 |
| V. | 6 | 193 |  | 41 | 155 |
|  | 10 | 204 |  | 43 | 179 |
|  | 13 | 23 |  | 44-46 | 217 |
|  | 14 | 149 |  | 45-46 | 195,214 |
|  | 16-17 | 223 |  | 46 | 134 |
|  | 17 | 12,155 |  | 48 | 116,135,185 |
|  | 18 | 12,18,45,66,76 |  | 53 | 43,124,167 |
|  | 19 | 113,114 |  | 54 | 117,135,185 |
|  | 20 | 53 |  | 57 | 164 |
|  | 22 | 148,164 |  | 58 | 196 |
|  | 23 | 138 |  | 59 | 165 |
| VI. | 1 | 156 |  | 59-60 | 192 |
|  | 5 | 163 |  | 62 | 116 |
|  | 8 | 163 |  | 65 | 74 |
|  | 8-9 | 191 | II. | 6 | 66,145 |
|  | 11 | 181 |  | 7 | 66 |
|  | 11-12 | 196 |  | 8 | 44,69 |
|  | 12 | 25 |  | 9 | 38 |
|  | 15 | 40 |  | 10 | 16,160 |
|  | 16 | 27,169 |  | 11 | 30,42,141 |
|  | 16-19 | 101 |  | 12 | 120 |
|  | 18 | 34 |  | 13-14 | 186 |
|  | 19 | 20,34 |  | 15 | 50,151 |
|  | 20 | 21 |  | 16 | 66,207 |
|  | 23-24 | 59 |  | 17 | 133,199 |
|  | 24-25 | 84,198 |  | 17-20 | 96 |
|  | 25 | 16,116,147 |  | 19 | 64,162 |
|  | 25-26 | 188,195,207 |  | 22 | 44,50,124,215 |
|  | 26 | 151 |  | 23 | 124 |
|  | 26-27. | 178 |  | 24 | 66,176 |
|  | 28 | 164 |  | 25 | 43,124,167 |
| 1.6 I. | 42 | 21 |  | 26 | 79 |
|  | 6 | 16 |  | 29 | 11,48,75 |
|  | 7 | 148 |  | 31-32 | 195 |
|  | 81 | 148 |  | 33 | 53,118 |
|  | 9 | 41,64,190,223 |  | 34 | 42,53 |
|  | 10 | 65,73,179 |  | 35-36 | 192 |
|  | 12 | 136 |  | 36 | 169 |
|  | 14 | 12,25,30,141 | III. | 3 | 187,206 |


| 1.6 III. continuation |  |  |  | 8 | 181 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 24 |  |  | 9 | 40,65,114 |
| 54 | 41,122,167 |  |  | 10 | 67 |
| 6-7 | 106,212 |  |  | 11 | 67,148,164 |
| $8 \quad 4$ | 44,147,188,223 |  |  | 12 | 40,65 |
| 11 | 41,122,167 |  |  | 13 | 141 |
| 12 | 100,119 |  |  | 13-16 | 213 |
| 12-13 | 106 |  |  | 14 | 141 |
| 14 | 114 |  |  | 17 | 172 |
| 18 | 116 |  |  | 18 | 117,118 |
| 19 | 52 |  |  | 20 | 98,169 |
| 19-21 | 189 |  |  | 23 | 54,158 |
| IV. 1 | 187 |  |  | 24 | 118,158 |
| 31 | 123 |  |  | 25 | 158 |
| 4 | 44,182 |  |  | 27 | 156 |
| 54 | 44,182,206 |  |  | 27-28 | 213 |
| 71 | 148,181,191 |  |  | 32 | 42,117,163 |
| 12 | 187 |  | III. | 3 | 30 |
| 14 | 123 |  |  | 9-10 | 188 |
| 15 | 44,182 |  |  | 20 | 70 |
| 16 | 44,182 |  |  | 29 | 164,179 |
| 19 | 76 |  |  | 31 | 73 |
| 20 | 134 |  |  | 37 | 25,118 |
| 22 | 38,183,187 | 1.11 |  | 3 | 17 |
| V. 26 | 65 |  |  | 6 | 64 |
| 19-20 | 105,217,224 | 1.12 |  |  | 21,23 |
| 20 | 117 |  | I. | 10 | 119 |
| 21 | 35 |  |  | 12 | 23 |
| 21-22 | 189 |  |  | 13 | 63 |
| 25 | 169 |  |  | 14 | 23,149 |
| VI. 10 | 15,43 |  |  | 16 | 76 |
| 11 | 43,193 |  |  | 19 | 23,149 |
| 12 | 156 |  |  | 21 | 38,200 |
| 14 | 15,31,43,146 |  |  | 23 | 45 |
| 15 | 193 |  |  | 26 | 16,69,121 |
| 17 | 144 |  |  | 28 | 118 |
| 19 | 145 |  |  | 30 | 78,80 |
| 20 | 132,173,202,217 |  |  | 30-31 | 205 |
| 21 | 158 |  |  | 31 | 65 |
| 23 | 194 |  |  | 34 | 151 |
| 23-24 | 187 |  |  | 36-37 | 104 |
| 24 | 37 |  |  | 38 | 123,192 |
| 24-25 | 130 |  |  | 39 | 174 |
| 26 | 37,184 |  | II. | 24 | 17,135 |
| 28 | 82,191 |  |  | 32 | 21 |
| 30 | 147 |  |  | 38 | 80 |
| 33 | 29 |  |  | 48 | 45 |
| 33-34 | 154 |  |  | 50 | 15 |
| 54 | 203 |  |  | 53 | 96,140,186 |
| 55-56 | 217 |  |  | 54 | 32,138 |
| $1.9 \quad 9 \quad 1$ | 159 |  |  | 59 | 69,122 |
| 1.10 I .43 | 31,74 | 1.13 |  |  | 12 |
| II. 4-5 | 202,204 |  |  | 4 | 12 |
| 69 | 96,114 |  |  | 5 | 12,120 |


| 1.13 continuation |  |  | 18 | 149 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 12,167 | 20 | 165 |
|  | 11 | 30 | 21 | 120,165 |
|  | 13 | 100,142 | 22 | 39,74,142,193 |
|  | 15 | 62,119 | 24 | 153 |
|  | 19 | 130 | 26 | 25,40,72 |
|  | 20 | 164,200 | 26-27 | 147,196 |
|  | 21 | 28 | 28 | 75 |
|  | 23 | 66 | 29 | 5 |
|  | 25 | 16,71 | 30 | 39,104 |
|  | 26 | 67,173 | 31 | 21,94 |
| 1.14 I . | 6 | 66 | 32 | 147 |
|  | 7-9 | 219 | 34 | 19,147 |
|  | 8-9 | 204 | 35 | 18,209 |
|  | 9 | 16,35 | 36 | 89 |
|  | 10 | 158 | 37 | 27,184 |
|  | 11 | 72,76 | 38 | 70 |
|  | 12 | 207 | 39 | 186 |
|  | 13 | 123 | 41 | 115 |
|  | 14 | 65 | 41-42 | 198 |
|  | 15 | 157,204 | 43 | 114 |
|  | 16-20 | 94 | 46 | 41,69,142 |
|  | 17 | 73 | 48-50 | - 196 |
|  | 18 | 130 | 50 | 33,44,71,73,76,123,150 |
|  | 18-19 | 128 | 50-51 | 220 |
|  | 21 | 143 | III. 3-4 | 186 |
|  | 22 | 158,173 | 4 | 75,163 |
|  | 23 | 17,18,150 | 5 | 65 |
|  | 24 | 31,71,130 | 9 | 28 |
|  | 25 | 15 | 10 | 38,137,173 |
|  | 26 | 67 | 10-11 | . 93 |
|  | 26-27 | 225 | 11 | 94 |
|  | 28 | 33,132,144 | 12 | 66,170 |
|  | 29 | 66,179 | 13 | 62,179 |
|  | 30 | 71,72,179 | 14 | 145,179 |
|  | 31 | 25,147,167 | 16 | 26,27 |
|  | 31-32 | 223 | 17 | 26 |
|  | 32 | 125 | 18 | 39,75 |
|  | 33 | 13,62,103,165 | 20 | 200 |
|  | 36 | 35 | 21 | 179 |
|  | 37-38 | 123,125,223 | 22 | 207 |
|  | 38 | 34 | 23 | 40 |
|  | 38-39 | 59 | 24 | 71,89 |
|  | 41-43 | 216 | 26 | 179 |
|  | 42 | 17,135 | 27 | 165 |
| II. | 1 | 40,72 | 28-29 | 7 |
|  | 3 | 62,73 | 29 | 156 |
|  | 4 | 116 | 30 | 173 |
|  | 5 | 117,134 | 31 | 38 |
|  | 7 | 167 | 31-32 | 203 |
|  | 8 | 125 | 32 | 29 |
|  | 9 | 131 | 33 | 71,183 |
|  | 9-10 | 132 | 38 | 188 |
|  | 10 | 65,178,179 | 39 | 149 |



|  | 39 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.15 I . | 2 | 23,137 |
|  | 3 | 29,139 |
|  | 5 | 42,164 |
|  | 6 | 35 |
| II. | 11 | 124 |
|  | 12 | 203 |
|  | 14-15 | 192 |
|  | 18 | 137 |
|  | 20 | 23 |
|  | 21 | 187 |
|  | 21.23 | 220 |
|  | 27 | 173 |
|  | 28 | 153 |
| III. | 7 | 148 |
|  | 8 | 148 |
|  | 9 | 148 |
|  | 10 | 148 |
|  | 13 | 185,208 |
|  | 14 | 78 |
|  | 15 | 15 |
|  | 16 | 134,217 |
|  | 17 | 135,165 |
|  | 17-19 | 213 |
|  | 18 | 64,165 |
|  | 20 | 150 |
|  | 22 | 186 |
|  | 25 | 175,211 |
|  | 28 | 165 |
|  | 30 | 172 |
| IV. | 7 | 65 |
|  | 17 | 139 |
|  | 18 | 23,40,65 |
|  | 21 | 157,178 |
|  | 25 | 25,218 |
|  | 27 | 126,155,167,217 |
| V. | 10 | 126,155,217 |
|  | 18 | 164 |
|  | 18-19 | 192 |
|  | 19 | 13 |
|  | 24 | 153 |
| VI. | 4 | 126,155,217 |
| 1.16 I . | 2 | 197 |
|  | 3-4 | 103,158,190 |
|  | 4 | 156 |
|  | 6 | 164 |
|  | 10 | 193 |
|  | 13 | 147,174 |
|  | 14 | 118 |
|  | 14-15 | 7,105,184 |
|  | 15 | 157 |
|  | 17 | 33 |
|  | 17-18 | 158 |
|  | 18 | 156 |


| 1.16 I. continuation |  |  | 33 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 33,182 |  | 35 | 72 |
| 20-23 | 188 |  | 38 | 116 |
| 22 | 38 |  | 39 | 117 |
| 25-26 | 172 |  | 39-40 | 199 |
| 26-27 | 103 |  | 41 | 186 |
| 27 | 66 |  | 42 | 24,32,33,130,132,151 |
| 31 | 184 |  | 44 | 160 |
| 33 | 97,114 |  | 45-47 | 192 |
| 35 | 150 |  | 46 | 75 |
| 36 | 13 |  | 48 | 199 |
| 44 | 140 |  | 51 | 29,113,114 |
| 51 | 126 |  | 53 | 116 |
| 55 | 27,164 |  | 55 | 187 |
| 56 | 113 |  | 56-57 | 7 |
| 59 | 113 | 1.17 I . | 2 | 44,122 |
| II. 19-20 | 59,191 |  | 3 | 42 |
| 20 | 42,67 |  | 4 | 42 |
| 23 | 42 |  | 7 | 44,122 |
| 28 | 176 |  | 14 | 164 |
| 41 | 41,66 |  | 15 | 94,156 |
| 44 | 164 |  | 16 | 173 |
| III. 9 | 66,76 |  | 17-19 | 219 |
| 12 | 111,141 |  | 20 | 184 |
| 13 | 122,167 |  | 23 | 192 |
| 14 | 167 |  | 24 | 172 |
| IV. 9 | 191 |  | 25-26 | 202,204 |
| 14 | 41,59 |  | 26 | 65,143 |
| 17 | 135 |  | 27 | 14,38,65,153 |
| 19 | 206 |  | 29 | 143 |
| 20 | 135 |  | 30 | 137 |
| 22 | 206 |  | 35 | 62,172 |
| 24 | 149,157 |  | 36 | 164 |
| 26 | 130 |  | 41 | 176 |
| 27 | 160 |  | 44 | 65 |
| 28 | 135 |  | 45 | 38,153 |
| 48 | 42,166 | II. | 3 | 121 |
| VI. 2 | 118,166 |  | 6 | 113 |
| 3 | 123,156,158 |  | 9 | 62 |
| 4 | 41,156,164 |  | 10 | 118 |
| 6 | 42,164 |  | 12 | 147 |
| 7 | 164 |  | 12-13 | 106 |
| 11 | 126 |  | 12-15 | 224 |
| 11-12 | 213,225 |  | 13 | 155 |
| 17 | 120 |  | 14-15 | 127 |
| 17-18 | 224 |  | 17 | 65 |
| 18 | 115,117 |  | 18 | 121 |
| 23 | 16 |  | 19 | 121 |
| 23-24 | 196 |  | 20 | 14,113,137 |
| 26 | 26,152 |  | 21 | 14,121 |
| 27 | 149 |  | 24 | 12,164 |
| 28 | 166 |  | 26 | 114 |
| 29 | 130 |  | 30-31 | 99 |
| 30 | 24,32,131,151 |  | 32 | 139 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 1.17 II. continuation } & & 22 & 92,120 \\ & 33 & 170 & & 23 \\ \hline 35 & 170 & & 66 \\ 38 & 170 & & 19,148 \\ & 39 & 114 & & 24-25 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$

| 1.19 III. continuation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 164 |
|  | 20 | 44,116 |
|  | 23 | 49,50 |
|  | 25 | 187 |
|  | 31-32 | 50 |
|  | 33 | 30 |
|  | 34 | 44,115,116 |
|  | 39-40 | 195 |
|  | 42-44 | 222 |
|  | 44 | 157,199 |
|  | 45 | 140 |
|  | 53-54 | 211 |
|  | 54 | 141 |
|  | 55 | 76,180 |
|  | 56 | 76 |
| IV. | 5 | 41,70,215 |
|  | 5-6 | 181,196 |
|  | 6 | 179,180 |
|  | 8 | 11,211 |
|  | 8-9 | 105 |
|  | 9 | 114 |
|  | 9-10 | 12,42,69,122,167 |
|  | 10 | 140 |
|  | 12 | 119 |
|  | 13-14 | 196 |
|  | 16 | 119 |
|  | 14-16 | 100 |
|  | 15-16 | 12 |
|  | 23 | 170 |
|  | 24 | 15,43 |
|  | 30 | 15,43 |
|  | 32 | 122,147,225 |
|  | 34 | 169 |
|  | 34-35 | 104 |
|  | 38 | 150 |
|  | 39 | 41,69,118 |
|  | 40 | 169 |
|  | 42 | 123 |
|  | 45 | 156 |
|  | 51 | 155,195 |
|  | 52 | 180 |
|  | 53 | 142,164 |
|  | 55 | 141 |
|  | 58 | 41 |
|  | 58-59 | 108,220 |
|  | 59 | 192 |
|  | 62 | 92,164 |
| 1.20 I . | 1 | 107 |
|  | 5 | 23,37 |
|  | 6-7 | 107 |
|  | 10 | 107 |
| II. | 3 | 10 |
|  | 4 | 165 |


|  | 5 | 148,173 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 78,162 |
|  | 10 | 42,69,121,124,165 |
| 1.21 II. | 2 | 104 |
|  | 3 | 16,76 |
|  | 6 | 69 |
|  | 7 | 116,163 |
|  | 9 | 16,77 |
|  | 10 | 104 |
|  | 11 | 16,76 |
| 1.221. | 4 | 23,145 |
|  | 5 | 43,45 |
|  | 8 | 77 |
|  | 11 | 160 |
|  | 12 | 160 |
|  | 13 | 57,77 |
|  | 17 | 64 |
|  | 21 | 119 |
|  | 21-24 | 106,212 |
|  | 22 | 42,43 |
|  | 23 | 165 |
|  | 24 | 42,43,65 |
| 1.23 | 1 | 104,105 |
|  | 3 | 39 |
|  | 6 | 42,45,120,166,195 |
|  | 7 | 68 |
|  | 8-9 | 205 |
|  | 14 | 7,41,75 |
|  | 15 | 92 |
|  | 17 | 132 |
|  | 20 | 88 |
|  | 23 | 105 |
|  | 24 | 149 |
|  | 30 | 45,68,81 |
|  | 32 | 16,62 |
|  | 33 | 74,193 |
|  | 34 | 124 |
|  | 35 | 30,141 |
|  | 36 | 16 |
|  | 37 | 42,175 |
|  | 38 | 39,42,43,164,179 |
|  | 39 | 169,211,218 |
|  | 40 | 42,175 |
|  | 42 | 203 |
|  | 43 | 187 |
|  | 44 | 172,175 |
|  | 46 | 34,157,186 |
|  | 47 | 175 |
|  | 49 | 30,62,141 |
|  | 49-50 | 213 |
|  | 50 | 53,202 |
|  | 51 | 176,195 |
|  | 52 | 127,149 |
|  | 53 | 29,147 |


| 1.23 continuation |  |  |  | 16 | 143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 54 | 120,142 |  | 17 | 25 |
|  | 55 | 53 |  | 20 | 44,70 |
|  | 56 | 40,157 |  | 22 | 16,119 |
|  | 58 | 107,130,149,213 |  | 23 | 119,173 |
|  | 59 | 127 |  | 24 | 30 |
|  | 60 | 14,84 |  | 25 | 143 |
|  | 61 | 149 |  | 26 | 139 |
|  | 63 | 171 |  | 29 | 44 |
|  | 63-64 | 188 |  | 32 | 73,79 |
|  | 64 | 25,47,81,83,209,220 |  | 33 | 143 |
|  | 65 | 83,142,153, 178,200, |  | 36 | 69,75,84 |
|  |  | 209,220 |  | 37 | 74 |
|  | 66 | 66,177,200 |  | 40 | 173 |
|  | 66-68 | 102 |  | 41 | 118 |
|  | 67 | 151 | 1.41 | 1 | 64 |
|  | 67-68 | 178,223 |  | 2 | 140 |
|  | 68 | 121,143,157 |  | 7 | 122,173,223 |
|  | 69 | 121,143,187 |  | 12 | 34 |
|  | 70 | 120,124 |  | 13 | 76 |
|  | 72 | 118,165 |  | 19 | 68 |
|  | 73 | 24,42,121,143,162 |  | 24 | 72 |
|  | 74 | 187,206 |  | 28 | 76 |
|  | 75 | 43,167 |  | 38 | 94 |
| 1.24 |  | 21 |  | 41 | 72 |
| 1.24 | 1 | 155 |  | 45 | 29,94,160 |
|  | 5 | 148 |  | 50 | 64 |
|  | 7 | 185 |  | 51 | 16,33,72,89 |
|  | 10 | 72,123 |  | 52 | 88 |
|  | 11 | 112,121,125 |  | 54 | 43,160 |
|  | 18-19 | 192 | 1.43 | 6 | 25,42 |
|  | 20 | 92 |  | 7 | 88 |
|  | 20-21 | 7 |  | 10 | 69 |
|  | $21$ | 24,117,134 |  | 26 | 88 |
|  | 21-22 | 207,211 | 1.45 | 3 | 62 |
|  | 25-26 | - 193 |  | 12 | 67 |
|  | 31 | 42,168 | 1.48 | 4 | 35,37,63,65 |
|  | 32 | 14,204 |  | 13 | 75 |
|  | 33 | 68,73,118 | 1.49 | 10 | 62,75,80 |
|  | 35 | 45,81 | 1.50 | 6 | 119,127 |
|  | 36 | 78 |  | 8 | 16,187 |
|  | 38 | 47,116,155,158 |  | 9 | 75,78 |
|  | 39 | 156 |  | 11 | 126 |
|  | 40 | 116 | 1.52 |  | 12 |
|  | 44 | 24 | 1.53 | 7 | 10 |
|  | 45 | 21 | 1.62 | 5 | 66 |
|  | 45-47 | 205 | 1.71 | 6 | 30,65 |
|  | 48 | 217 |  | 8 | 30 |
| 1.39 | 2 | 42,132 |  | 9 | 159 |
|  | 3 3 | 34,76 |  | 11 | 65 |
|  | 476 | 76 |  | 25 | 26 |
|  | $5 \quad 3$ | 34 | 1.72 | 39 | 158,174 |
|  | 93 | 34 | 1.77 | 2 | 135 |
| 1.40 | 3 | 44 | 1.78 | 2 | 114 |


| 1.78 continuation |  |  | 1.93 | 2 | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 28 | 1.94 | 24 | 63,65 |
|  | 5 | 135 | 1.96 | 1 | 146,161,162 |
| 1.79 | 4 | 65 |  | 2 | 73 |
| 1.80 | 3 | 48 |  | 3 | 19,65,118 |
|  | 4 | 63,66 |  | 3-5 | 184,191 |
|  | 5 | 172 |  | 4 | 164 |
| 1.82 | 1 | 144,156 |  | 5 | 165 |
|  | 2 | 155 | 1.100 | 2 | 69,75,121,149 |
|  | 4 | 157 |  | 4 | 14,144 |
|  | 5 | 38,153,170 |  | 7 | 214 |
|  | 6 | 174 |  | 8 | 117 |
|  | 7 | 63 |  | 9 | 14 |
|  | 8 | 34,54,116,142 |  | 12 | 16 |
|  | 9 | 12,42,43,162,171 |  | 14 | 117 |
|  | 10 | 42,149 |  | 15 | 14 |
|  | 18 | 78 |  | 17 | 147 |
|  | 19 | 169 |  | 20 | 14 |
|  | 22 | 206 |  | 42 | 136 |
|  | 24 | 12 |  | 53 | 136 |
|  | 33 | 147 |  | 61 | 118 |
|  | 39 | 156 |  | 62 | 55,172 |
|  | 42 | 162,167 |  | 63 | 173 |
| 1.83 | 3 | 148 |  | 64 | 74 |
|  | 5 | 79,119 |  | 65 | 145 |
|  | 7 | 81 |  | 66 | 74,164 |
|  | 8 | 40 |  | 67 | 53,148,162 |
| 1.84 | 9 | 79 |  | 67-68 | 99 |
| 1.85 | 2-3 | 221 |  | 68 | 24,130,164 |
|  | 3 | 10,158,175 |  | 70 | 198 |
|  | 5 | 171 |  | 72 | 45,105,130,156,224 |
|  | 6 | 127 |  | 75 | 30,97,146,215 |
|  | 7 | 171 | 1.101 | 4 | 66 |
|  | 9 | 183 |  | 5 | 169 |
|  | 9-10 | 182,222 |  | 6 | 172 |
|  | 14 | 40 | $1.103+$ |  |  |
|  | 15 | 189 |  | 1 | 157 |
|  | 18 | 117 |  | 3 | 204 |
|  | 21 | 42,71 |  | 5 | 73 |
| 1.88 | 3 | 191 |  | 7 | 14,17,84,175 |
| 1.90 | 1-2 | 188,223 |  | 15 | 222 |
|  | 20-21 | 196 |  | 17 | 18,45 |
|  | 22 | 145 |  | 19 | 40,72 |
| 1.91 | 1 | 170 |  | 31 | 205,222 |
|  | 10-11 | 210,217,218 |  | 33 | 119,137 |
|  | 35 | 73 |  | 33-4 | 14,85 |
| 1.92 |  | 11 |  | 37 | 16,172,205 |
|  | 2 | 41 |  | 37-8 | 222 |
|  | 5 | 45,81 |  | 38 | 135 |
|  | 9 | 47 |  | 40 | 169 |
|  | 11 | 69 |  | 40-51 | 101 |
|  | 16 | 67. |  | 42 | 42,164 |
|  | 27 | 141 |  | 45 | 147 |
|  | 29 | 118 |  | 46 | 12,14,175 |


| 1.103+1.145 continuation |  |  |  | 5 | 177,198 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 47 | 144 |  | 5-6 | 200 |
|  | 51 | 115,117 |  | 8 | 34,118 |
|  | 52 | 127,202,203 |  | 9 | 165 |
|  | 57 | 172 |  | 11 | 49 |
|  | 58 | 135 |  | 12 | 34,165 |
|  | 59 | 222 |  | 13 | 119 |
| 1.105 | 9 | 35 |  | 17 | 130 |
|  | 10 | 27 |  | 18 | 24,54,136 |
|  | 13 | 80 |  | 19 | 140 |
|  | 15 | 25 |  | 19-20 | 205 |
|  | 17 | 90 |  | 20 | 21,81,157 |
| 1.106 | 11 | 12 |  | 21 | 75 |
|  | 15-16 | - 124 |  | 22 | 149 |
|  | 23 | 160 |  | 22-23 | 100 |
|  | 25 | 18 |  | 23 | 157 |
|  | 27-28 | -126 |  | 27 | 161 |
| 1.107 | 6 | 155 |  | 28 | 117,119,136 |
|  | 8 | 164 |  | 30 | 64 |
|  | 9 | 117,118 | 1.115 | 1 | 181 |
|  | 10 | 38,140,183 |  | 10 | 118,195 |
|  | 11 | 27,164 |  | 14 | 93 |
|  | 12 | 164 | 1.116 | 2 | 111,114 |
|  | 15 | 117,118 | 1.117 | 6 | 24 |
|  | 36 | 17,135 | 1.119 | 1 | 28,33 |
|  | 41 | 17 |  | 3 | 32 |
|  | 42 | 17 |  | 13 | 191 |
|  | 43 | 17 |  | 19 | 33 |
| 1.108 | 12 |  |  | 23 | 71,175 |
|  | 3 | 21,135 |  | 24 | 171 |
|  | 4 | 70,72 |  | 25 | 15 |
|  | 4-5 | 72,137 |  | 27 | 141,184 |
|  | 7 | 206 |  | 28 | 193 |
|  | 8 | 121,166 |  | 31 | 135 |
|  | 9 | 72 |  | 32 | 135 |
|  | 21 | 22 |  | 33 | 165 |
|  | 24 | 22 | 1.124 | 3 | 115 |
|  | 26 | 79 |  | 10-11 | 100 |
| 1.109 | 4 | 157 | 1.127 | 3-4 | 56 |
|  | 7 | 37 |  | 30 | 18,127 |
|  | 8 | 62 |  | 30-32 | 221 |
|  | 30 | 88 |  | 31 | 66,197 |
| 1.111 | 33 | 73 |  | 32 | 179 |
| 1.112 | 3 | 179 | 1.133 | 2-4 | 203 |
|  | 6-8 | 100 |  | 3 | 193 |
|  | 7 | 165 |  | 8 | 22 |
|  | 18 | 148 | 1.140 | 7-8 | 222 |
|  | 19 | 40,179 |  | 8 | 21,206 |
| 1.113 | 1 | 66 | 1.142 | 1 | 79 |
|  | 5 | 66 | 1.143 | 1 | 56,78 |
| 1.114 | 2 | 119 | 1.148 | 20 | 90,91 |
|  | 2-4 | 100 | 1.161 | 2 | 114 |
|  | 3 | 42,43,165 |  | 4 | 114,120 |
|  | 3-4 | 199 |  | 5 | 114,120 |


| 1.161 continuation |  |  | 2.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34,35 \\ & 100,153 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6 | 114,120 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 114,120 |  | 18 | 34,35,117 |
|  | 8 | 111,114,120 | 2.4 | 2 | 120 |
|  | 13 | 44,163 |  | 21 | 198 |
|  | 16 | 115 | 2.7 | 9 | 164 |
|  | 22 | 120 | 2.8 | 2 | 192 |
|  | 27 | 87 | 2.10 | 7 | 113 |
| 1.163 | 5 | 31,168 |  | 8 | 118 |
|  | 5-6 | 221 |  | 10 | 118,120,131 |
|  | 7 | 31,94 |  | 11-13 | - 185,209 |
|  | 12 | 125 |  | 13 | 173 |
|  | 12-13 | 221 |  | 16-19 | - 60 |
| 1.164 | 2 | 16 |  | 18 | 155,180 |
|  | 7 | 198 | 2.11 | 1 | 54,62,66 |
|  | 8 | 198 |  | 3 | 27 |
|  | 10 | 179 |  | 4 | 64 |
|  | 15 | 31 |  | 5 | 32,54 |
|  | 19 | 104,148,184 |  | 7 | 155 |
| 1.165 | 3 | 105,147 |  | 8 | 30,142 |
| 1.166 | 7 | 197 |  | 10 | 180,199 |
|  | 8 | 136 |  | 13 | 11 |
| 1.168 | 1 | 188,223 |  | 14 | 154 |
|  | 3 | 198 |  | 15 | 54 |
|  | 8 | 188 |  | 16 | 59 |
|  | 10 | 198 |  | 17 | 29,161 |
| 1.169 | 5 | 117 | 2.12 | 9 | 9 |
|  | 5-6 | 104 |  | 1 | 64 |
|  | 6 | 76,117 |  | 2 | 30 |
|  | 7 | 40,72 |  | 4 | 27 |
|  | 9 | 70 |  | 8 | 32 |
|  | 10 | 67,149 |  | 9 | 32,92,178 |
|  | 12 | 135 |  | 10 | 71 |
|  | 15 | 132 |  | 11 | 155 |
|  | 18 | 156 |  | 12-13 | 59,200 |
|  | 19 | 159 |  |  | 29 |
| 1.171 | 4 | 135 | 2.13 | 6 | 11 |
|  | 5 | 68 |  | 9 | 180 |
| 1.172 | 8 | 134 |  | 13 | 11,29 |
|  | 12 | 47 |  | 14 | 43,141 |
|  | 20 | 164 |  | 17-18 | - 97 |
|  | 22 | 17 |  | 18 | 155 |
| 1.173 | 15 | 88 | 2.14 | 6 | 182 |
| 1.174 | 1 | 118 |  | 6-8 | 97 |
|  | 9 | 140 |  | 7 | 16,113 |
| 1.175 | 3 | 17 |  | 9 | 15,59,114 |
|  | 5 | 37 |  | 11 | 14 |
|  | 7 | 107,176 |  | 16 | 14 |
|  | 13 | 164 | 2.15 | 3 | 26,175,199 |
|  | 16 | 39,181 |  | 4 | 44,147 |
| 1.176 | 6 | 15,42,162 |  | 4-6 | 225 |
| 2.1 | 3 | 180 |  | 5 | 38,153 |
| 2.2 | 7 | 67,75,76,206 |  | 8 | 35,189,221 |
|  | 9 | 78 |  | 9 | 26,174 |


| 2.15 continuation |  |  |  | 31 | 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 42,117,163 |  | 36 | 148 |
| 2.16 | 4 | 118 |  | 39 | 117,163 |
|  | 4-5 | 14,84 |  | 45 | 124,146,167 |
|  | 6 | 14,117 |  | 54 | 180 |
|  | 6-7 | 52,199 |  | 65 | 222 |
|  | 7 | 13,113 |  | 66 | 52 |
|  | 9-10 | 185 | 2.32 | 4 | 115,117 |
|  | 10-11 | 197 |  | 8 | 50,207 |
|  | 11 | 18 | 2.33 | 3 | 179 |
|  | 13 | 180 |  | 5 | 45,70 |
|  | 14 | 54 |  | 13 | 172 |
|  | 16-17 | 59 |  | 19 | 14 |
|  |  | 160 |  | 21 | 42,163 |
|  | 19-20 | 200 |  | 23-24 | 58 |
| 2.17 | 1 | 27,146 |  | 24 | 79,92 |
|  | 1-3 | 210 |  | 25-26 | 54 |
|  | 4 | 68,122 |  | 27 | 97,160 |
|  | 6 | 124 |  | 29 | 43 |
|  | 13 | 13 |  | 31 | 15,165 |
|  | 15 | 129 |  | 32 | 58,79 |
| 2.18 | 5 | 139 |  | 34 | 198 |
| 2.19 | 2 | 197 |  | 35-36 | 58 |
|  | 4 | 28 |  | 37 | 165 |
|  | 5 | 199 |  | 38 | 79 |
|  | 8-9 | 57,210 | 2.34 | 5 | 114 |
|  | 10 | 28 |  | 5-6 | 220 |
|  | 12 | 59 |  | 7 | 180 |
|  | 13 | 65 |  | 9 | 161 |
|  | 13-15 | 205 |  | 10-11 | 225 |
|  | 14 | 28 |  | 11 | 155 |
|  | 15 | 199 |  | 12 | 156 |
| 2.23 | 22 | 84,141 |  | 14 | 131 |
| 2.25 | 5 | 139 |  | 29 | 69 |
| 2.26 | 4 | 115,134 |  | 30 | 44,147 |
|  | 5 | 160 |  | 31 | 153 |
|  | 9 | 89 |  | 32 | 37,71,162 |
|  | 9-12 | 199 |  | 33 | 153 |
| 2.30 | 8 | 180 | 2.36 | 5 | 114 |
|  | 12 | 180 |  | 7 | 155 |
|  | 13 | 141 |  | 13 | 31,152 |
|  | 16 | 34,35 |  | 14 | 114,189 |
|  | 16-20 | 221 |  | 17 | 119 |
|  | 17 | 42,162 | 2.38 | 6 | 52,180 |
|  | 17-18 | 98 |  | 7 | 180 |
|  | 18 | 34,35 |  | 10-11 | 56,97 |
|  | 19 | 162 |  | 10-12 | 58 |
|  | 19-20 | 123 |  | 13 | 14,155,195 |
|  | 20 | 115,117 |  | 14 | 70,206 |
|  | 21 | 118,183 |  | 15 | 131 |
|  | 22-24 | 60 |  | 16 | 73 |
|  | 23-24 | 156 |  | 20 | 207 |
|  | 24-25 | 183 |  | 22 | 73 |
| 2.31 | 15 | 52 |  | 23 | 161 |


| 2.38 continuation |  |  |  | 15 | 179,197 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25 | 14,162,195 | 2.68 | 6 | 32 |
|  | 26-27 | 60 |  | 14-16 | - 59 |
|  | 27 | 184 | 2.70 | 1 | 54 |
| 2.39 | 3-4 | 208 |  | 8 | 54 |
|  | 14 | 123 |  | 10 | 155 |
|  | 16 | 79 |  | 12 | 129 |
|  | 17-19 | 191 |  | 16-19 | 182 |
|  | 18 | 113 |  | 18-19 | 82,198 |
|  | 19-20 | 187,204,217 |  | 27 | 140 |
|  | 21 | 18 |  | 29 | 205 |
|  | 34 | 136 | 2.71 | 10 | 129 |
|  | 35 | 157 | 2.72 | 10 | 116,135 |
| 2.41 | 16-17 | 55,60 |  | 14 | 71 |
|  | 17 | 113 |  | 16 | 118 |
|  | 18 | 44 |  | 17-19 | 220 |
|  | 20 | 44 |  | 18 | 135 |
|  | 21 | 180 |  | 25-26 | 200 |
|  | 22 | 184 | 2.77 | 4 | 79,80,91 |
| 2.42 | 6 | 50 |  | 8 | 165,180 |
|  | 7 | 69 |  | 17 | 199 |
|  | 23 | 129,214 | 2.79 | 2 | 180 |
|  | 24 | 50 |  | 3 | 44,156 |
|  | 25 | 58 |  | 4 | 139 |
|  | 26 | 135 |  | 10 | 122,128,167 |
| 2.44 | 2 | 44 | 2.81 | 22 | 147 |
| 2.45 | 7 | 58 |  | 24 | 145,186 |
|  | 11 | 208 |  | 25 | 38 |
|  | 22-23 | 189,219 |  | 26 | 17 |
|  | 23 | 114 | 2.82 | 3 | 114 |
|  | 24 | 44 |  | 3-5 | 188 |
|  | 25 | 12,59 |  | 4 | 131 |
| 2.46 | 7 | 180 |  | 18-21 | 221 |
|  | 9 | 113,115 |  | 20 | 132 |
|  | 9-11 | 222 | 2.83 | 5 | 31,62 |
|  | 11 | 18 | 3.1 | 13 | 65 |
|  | 12-14 | 58 |  | 23 | 44,87 |
|  | 14 | 12 |  | 24-26 | 189,219 |
| 2.47 | 2 | 24 |  | 26 | 173 |
|  | 3 | 160 |  | 30 | 86,209 |
|  | 5 | 160 |  | 32 | 86,209 |
|  | 12 | 114 | 3.2 | 8 | 148 |
|  | 13 | 70 |  | 12-13 | 59,207 |
|  | 16 | 184 | 3.3 | 1 | 73 |
|  | 17 | 80 |  | 2 | 96,114 |
| 2.61 | 6 | 41,167 |  | 4 | 27 |
|  | 10 | 64 |  | 5 | 60,70 |
|  | 11 | 114 |  | 5-6 | 57,218 |
|  | 13 | 114,149 |  | 5-7 | 100 |
| 2.63 | 9 | 17 |  | 6 | 96,157 |
|  | 12 | 113,115 |  | 7 | 73 |
| 2.64 | 13 | 81 |  | 9 | 157 |
|  | 13-16 | 97 | 3.4 |  | 196,206 |
|  | 14 | 32,92,178 |  | 1-2 | 210 |

## INDICES

| 3.4 continuation |  |  | 4.53 | 1-2 | 187,205 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 96,162 | 4.53 | 15 | 66 |
|  | 2-3 | 97 | 4.54 | 10 | 10 |
|  | 4 | 62 | 4.63 I . | 2 | 79 |
|  | 6 | 62 |  | 26 | 79 |
|  | 11 | 75,78 |  | 27 | 79 |
|  | 12-15 | -195 | 4.68 |  | 86 |
|  | 13 | 62 |  | 63 | 77 |
|  | 15 | 63 |  | 69 | 16,76 |
|  | 16 | 205 |  | 74 | 78 |
|  | 16-19 | 98,100 | 4.75 III. | 2 | 10 |
|  | 17 | 96,160 |  | 11 | 27 |
|  | 17-18 | - 218 | IV. | 11 | 27 |
|  | 19 | 71 | 4.80 | 10 | 44 |
| 3.5 | 1 | 57 | 4.85 | 6 | 68 |
|  | 7 | 30,75 | 4.86 | 29 | 146 |
|  | 10 | 207 | 4.88 | 3 | 69 |
|  | 11 | 11 |  | 4 | 69 |
|  | 15 | 69 |  | 5 | 69 |
|  | 16-17 | 207 | 4.91 | 2 | 78,80 |
|  | 16-18 | -198 |  | 4 | 78,80 |
|  | 20-21 | 187 |  | 6 | 79 |
| 3.8 | 9 | 125 |  | 7 | 73 |
|  |  | 141 |  | 8 | 45 |
|  | 13-16 | - 127 |  | 9 | 74 |
|  | 14 | 141,192 | 4.92 | 2-3 | 77 |
|  | 16 | 132 |  | 4-5 | 90 |
| 3.9 | 1-3 | 55 | 4.95 | 1 | 35,139,218 |
|  | 2 | 162 | 4.96 | 7 | 74 |
|  | 4 | 33,53 | 4.98 | 6 | 75 |
|  | 6 | 34 |  | 9 | 67 |
|  | 6-7 | 134 |  | 20 | 89 |
|  | 15-16 | 207 | 4.99 |  | 86 |
|  | 17 | 142 |  | 11 | 69,121,149 |
| 4.12 |  | 12 |  | 13 | 10 |
| 4.14 | 3 | 47 |  | 15 | 121 |
|  | 14 | 47 | 4.100 |  | 86 |
| 4.22 | 5 | 90 | 4.102 | 4 | 70 |
| 4.31 | 11 |  |  | 7 | 80 |
|  | 2 | 11,26 |  | 11 | 80 |
|  | 9 | 26 |  | 13 | 31 |
| 4.33 | 8 | 74 | 4.107 | , | 199 |
|  | 9 | 74 |  | 2 | 199 |
| 4.35 II. | 4 | 10 |  | 3 | 199 |
| 4.36 |  | 86 | 4.110 | 1-2 | 56 |
|  | 3 | 10 | 4.123 | 17 | 64 |
| 4.38 |  | 86 |  | 22 | 31,89 |
| 4.39 | 3 | 10 |  | 23 | 44 |
| 4.41 | 8 | 79 | 4.124 | 1 | 121 |
|  | 9 | 79 | 4.125 | 8 | 210 |
| 4.43 | 4 | 121,143 | 4.126 | 9 | 121 |
|  | 6 | 92 |  | 28 | 121 |
| 4.44 | 28 | 17 | 4.127 | 4 | 30 |
| 4.48 | 7 | 73 | 4.128 | 1 | 16 |


| 4.128 continuation |  |  |  | 5-6 | 56,128 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 68 |  | 6 | 162 |
| 4.132 | 6-7 | 50 |  | 8 | 176 |
| 4.137 | 7 | 87 | 4.168 | 5-6 | 191 |
|  | 10 | 90 |  | 6-8 | 195 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.141 \text { II. } \\ \text { III. } \end{array}$ | 24 | 90 |  | 7-8 | 127 |
|  | 4 | 89 | 4.169 | 7 | 88 |
|  | 5-6 | 218 | 4.171 | 1 | 16,91 |
|  | 6 | 119 |  | 4 | 15 |
|  | 7 | 70,81,89,90 | 4.172 | 6 | 18,72,123 |
|  | 7-8 | 56 | 4.173 | 1 | 70 |
|  | 7-11 | 56 |  | 2 | 90 |
|  | 8 | 119 |  | 6 | 87 |
|  | 9 | 81,89 | 4.179 | 16-17 | 92 |
|  | 10 |  | 4.182 | 1 | 62 |
|  | 13 | 27 |  | 3 | 72 |
|  | 19 | 63,66 |  | 4 | 67 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4.142 \\ & 4.143 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 12 |  | 12 | 81,87 |
|  | 2 | 91 |  | 16 | 87 |
|  | 4-5 | 92 | 4.188 | 12 | 12 |
| 4.144 | 1-2 | 198 |  | 13 | 12 |
|  | 2 | 43 |  | 18 | 11 |
|  | 5 | 91 |  | 20 | 11 |
|  | 6 | 64 | 4.195 | 3 | 67 |
| 4.145 | 1-2 | 57 | 4.203 | 5 | 86,209 |
|  | 1-4 | 199 |  | 10 | 88,91 |
|  | 2 | 114 |  | 11-12 | 12 |
|  | 3 | 69 |  | 13 | 88 |
|  | 6 | 79,80 | 4.205 | 14 | 31 |
|  | 6-7 | 205 |  | 19 | 67 |
|  | 9-10 | 55 | 4.206 | 5 | 75 |
|  | 10 | 152 | 4.213 | 1-2 | 183 |
| 4.146 | 1 | 27 |  | 20 | 90 |
|  | 6 | 27 |  | 24 | 55,131 |
| 4.147 | 13 | 62 |  | 25 | 91 |
| 4.149 | 5 | 179 |  | 27 | 219 |
|  | 8 | 79 |  | 30 | 69,173 |
| 4.150 | 3 | 41 | 4.214 | 4-5 | 78 |
| 4.155 | 1 | 70 | 4.219 | 1 | 38,152 |
| 4.158 | 2 | 25 | 4.226 | 7 | 90 |
|  | 4 | 73 |  | 8 | 90 |
|  | 5 | 68 | 4.230 | 8 | 72 |
|  | 7 | 68 | 4.232 | 45 | 136 |
|  | 7-8 | 91 | 4.235 | 1 | 35 |
|  | 9-10 | 90 | 4.243 | 6 | 6 |
|  | 12 | 43 |  | 8 | 67 |
|  | 14 | 31 |  | 12 | 62 |
| 4.163 | 3 | 87 |  | 14 | 62 |
|  | 7 | 89 |  | 15 | 91 |
|  | 14 | 17,91 |  | 22 | 90 |
| 4.165 | 11 | 28 |  | 45 | 91,166 |
| 4.166 | 1 | 146 | 4.244 | 16 | 18,45 |
| 4.167 | 2 | 122,167 |  | 18 | 90 |
|  | 3 | 88 |  | 19 | 29 |


| 4.244 continuation |  |  |  | 28 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21 | 90 | 4.362 | 4 | 41 |
| 4.247 | 20 | 68,74,75 |  | 5 | 41 |
|  | 28 | 80,87 | 4.363 | 3 | 90 |
| 4.257 | 4 | 90 | 4.374 | , | 57 |
| 4.258 | 5 | 4,26 | 4.380 | 5 | 68 |
| 4.263 | 5 | 18 |  | 20 | 68 |
| 4.269 | 4 | 77 | 4.383 | 1 | 33 |
|  | 20 | 77 | 4.384 | 8 | 73 |
|  | 25 | 63,66 | 4.385 | 5 | 79 |
|  | 30 | 77 | 4.392 | 4 | 90 |
|  | 32 | 63,66 | 4.395 | 1 | 155 |
| 4.270 | 5 | 75 |  | 2 | 141 |
|  | 8 | 55,75 |  | 4 | 141 |
|  | 12 | 55 |  | 4-5 | 218 |
| 4.272 | 7 | 146 | 4.398 | 2 | 130 |
| 4.277 | 2 | 27 |  | 3 | 130 |
|  | 3 | 27 |  | 5 | 130 |
|  | 4 | 27 | 4.402 | 5 | 88 |
|  | 13 | 27 |  | 11 | 40 |
| 4.279 | 1 | 93,168 | 4.410 | 6 | 29 |
|  | 4 | 94 | 4.422 | 1 | 57,205 |
| 4.284 | 5 | 90 | 4.425 | 12 | 31 |
|  | 8 | 63,65 | 4.427 | 22 | 10 |
| 4.290 | 1-3 | 129 | 4.429 | 2 | 63,65 |
|  | 2 | 141 |  | 3 | 63,65 |
|  | 4 | 90 |  | 4 | 63,65 |
| 4.295 | 13 | 80 |  | 5 | 63,65 |
| 4.296 | 6 | 91 | 4.609 | 4 | 71 |
| 4.337 | 1 | 25 |  | 5 | 65 |
|  | 3 | 121,143 |  | 7 | 27 |
|  | 15 | 90 |  | 15 | 121 |
| 4.338 | 1-2 | 55,82 |  | 20 | 79 |
|  | 2 | 178 |  | 52 | 90 |
|  | 10 | 91 | 4.611 | 1 | 18,33 |
|  | 11-12 | 56 | 4.616 | 1 | 29 |
|  | 15 | 114 | 4.617 | 14 | 69 |
| 4.341 | 1 | 38 | 4.618 | 2 | 89 |
|  | 9 | 12 | 4.624 | 1 | 155 |
|  | 16 | 90 | 4.625 | 3 | 71 |
|  | 20-21 | 219 |  | 5 | 80 |
|  | 21 | 110 | 4.626 | 1 | 44 |
| 4.342 | 5 | 133 | 4.630 | 7 | 114 |
| 4.344 | 2 | 90 | 4.635 | 16 | 22 |
| 4.345 | 2 | 77 |  | 17 | 32 |
|  | 3 | 91 | 4.642 | 4 | 72 |
| 4.349 | 2 | 89 |  | 5 | 72 |
| 4.358 | 7 | 90 |  | 6 | 72 |
| 4.360 | 2 | 28 | 4.658 | 48 | 91 |
|  | 3 | 53 | 4.659 | 1 | 30,131,144 |
|  | 4 | 199 |  | 7 | 30,75,78 |
|  | 5 | 28 | 4.691 | 6 | 80 |
|  | 6 | 81,89 | 4.707 | 12 | 95 |
|  | 7 | 28,81,89 | 4.751 | 9 | 94 |



| PRU VI continuation |  |  | 22,3 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 79,9 | 46,65 | 33,3'-4' | 208 |
|  | 79,10 | 18 | 81,26 | 147 |
|  | 79,11 | 46,53,65,67 | 84,11 | 64 |
|  | 79,13 | 53 | 96,1 | 77,133 |
|  | 79,15 | 47,74 | 96,3 | 133 |
|  | 93,6 | 70 | 96,5 | 133 |
|  | 93,25 | 72 | 96,8 | 133 |
|  | 101,4' | 39,110,146 | 96,16 | 133 |
|  | 113,5 | 64,78 | 96,18 | 133 |
|  | 114,1 | 66 | 96,21 | 133 |
|  | 114,3 | 64 | 96,23 | 133 |
|  | 114,7 | 63 | 130,7' | 47,183 |
|  | 116,5 | 66 | 130,12' | 47 |
|  | 123,2 | 64 | 130 II, $4^{\prime}$ | 30,49 |
|  | 123,3 | 71,81 | 130 II, $9^{\prime}$ | 47,73 |
|  | 126,1 | 71 | 130 III, $5^{\prime}$ | 195 |
|  | 126,3 | 80,87 | 130 III, $6^{\prime}$ | 194 |
|  | 126,4 | 64 | 130 III, $7^{\prime}$ | 46,84,158 |
|  | 127,4 | 67 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 8^{\prime}$ | 66 |
|  | 128,5 | 46,64,75 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 10$ | 1 158 |
|  | 134,3 | 39,146 | 130 III, 12 | ' 47,49,110 |
|  | 134,5 | 39,146 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 13$ | ' 64 |
|  | 134,7 | 39,146 | 130 III, 14 | $4^{\prime} \quad 64,76$ |
|  | 136,8 | 69 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 15$ | ' 158 |
|  | 136,9 | 121 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 16$ | $6^{\prime} 40,66$ |
|  | 136,10 | 70 | 130 III, 17 | $7{ }^{\prime} \quad 66$ |
|  | 139,2 | 47 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 18$ | 8' 39,67,75 |
|  | 141,2 | 71,84 | $130 \mathrm{III}, 19$ | ' 45,69 |
|  | 141,3 | 80,87 | 131,8' | 66 |
|  | 142,3 | 71,76 | $137 \mathrm{I}, 8^{\prime}$ | 70 |
|  | 142,4 | 46,69 | 1371 1,9' | 38 |
|  | 142,5 | 30,71,76,80 | 137 I, 11' | 66 |
|  | 145,2 | 39,146 | 137 II, 4' | 69 |
|  | 147,4 | 65 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 10^{\prime}$ | 70,76 |
|  | 147,6 | 65 | 137 II, 11' | 67,123 |
|  | 157,3 | 67,78,80,84 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 17$ | 41,70,170 |
|  | 157,4 | 76,80,87 | 137 II, $18^{\prime}$ | 123 |
|  | 157,12 | 67,84 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 19^{\prime}$ | 30,65 |
|  | 157,13 | 71,81 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 20^{\prime}$ | 70,137 |
|  | 157,14 | 30,81 | 137 II,21' | 33 |
|  | 157,15 | 71 | 137 II,22' | 71 |
|  | 158,12 | 80,87 | 137 II, 24' | 70 |
|  | 159,4' | 64,66 | 137 II,25' | 67 |
|  | 162,5 | 66 | 137 II, $26{ }^{\text { }}$ | 46 |
|  | $163 \mathrm{v}, 5^{\prime}$ | 81,87 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 28^{\prime}$ | 49 |
|  | 168,6 | 68 | 137 II,29' | 54 |
|  | 168,8 | 64 | 137 II, $30{ }^{\prime}$ | 64,76 |
|  | 168,9 | 71 | 137 II,31' | 67 |
| Ug 5 | $3 \mathrm{v}, 10$ | 46,151 | 137 II,32' | 64 |
|  | 5,9 | 65,76 | 137 II, $33^{\prime}$ | 64,76 |
|  | 7,4 76 | 76 | 137 II,34' | 45,70 |
|  | 7,14 | 67 | 137 II, $35^{\prime}$ | 41,42,67 |
|  | 18,31 | 70 | $137 \mathrm{II}, 36^{\prime}$ | 17,64,185,208 |


| Ug 5 continuation |  |  | Lev. | $7: 26$$8: 32$ | $\begin{aligned} & 196 \\ & 195 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $137 \mathrm{II}, 3{ }^{\prime}$ | 65 |  |  |  |
|  | $137 \mathrm{II}, 39^{\prime}$ | 68,122 |  | 14:18 | 195 |
|  | $137 \mathrm{II}, 40^{\prime}$ | 68,122 | Num. | 23:9 | 24 |
|  | $137 \mathrm{II}, 41^{\prime}$ | 41 |  | 24:17 | 31 |
|  | 137 II,42' | 68,122 |  | 31:14 | 196 |
|  | $137 \mathrm{II}, 44^{\prime}$ | 66 |  | 31:21 | 196 |
|  | 137 III,2 | 123 | Deut. | 21:7 | 111 |
|  | 137 II, 4 | 64 | Judg. | 21:25 | 102 |
|  | 137 III, 5 | 67 | 1 Kgs | 22:15 | 216 |
|  | 137 III, 7 | 39 | Isa. | 1:22 | 5 |
|  | 137 III, 8 | 63 |  | 9:6 | 196 |
|  | 137 III, 10' | 39 |  | 59:21 | 196 |
|  | $137 \mathrm{III}, 13^{\prime}$ | 67,76 | Jer. | 48:41 | 111 |
|  | 137 III,14' | 64 | Ezek. | 3:25 | 195 |
|  | $137 \mathrm{III}, 18$ | 47 | Hos. | 4:3 | 195 |
|  | 137 III,29" | 65 | Hab. | 2:3 | 4 |
|  | 137 III,32" | 38,71 | Ps | 49:16 | 190 |
|  | 137 III,34" | 46,68 |  | 77:17 | 6 |
|  | 137 IVa, 17 | 39 |  | 82:7 | 190 |
|  | 138,1' | 7 |  | 92:10 | 6,181 |
|  | 138,2' | 39 |  | 98:5 | 213 |
|  | 138,3' | 4 |  | 135:17 | 184 |
|  | 138,4' | 7 |  | 141:4 | 195 |
|  | 138,5' | 80 | Prov. | 6:16 | 5 |
|  | $138 \mathrm{III}, 2^{\prime \prime}$ | 39 |  | 8:24 | 27 |
|  | 153,1 |  |  | 9:5 | 195 |
| RŠ 19 | 1,6 62 |  |  | 19:9 | 4 |
| RŠ 19 | 1,10 30,6 |  |  | 25:4 | 5 |
| RŠ19 | 1,18 30,6 |  |  | 26:23 | 5 |
| $R S$ 8.145,5 76 |  |  |  | 30:18 | 5-6 |
|  |  |  |  | 30:21 | 6 |
| Biblical Verses Cited |  |  | Job | 7:12 | 189 |
|  |  |  | Cant. | 4:9 | 6 |
| Gen. | 18:20 1 | 90 |  | 7:1a | 6 |
|  | 37:38 2 | 16 | Ezra | 1:11 | 196 |
|  | 49:22 6 |  | 2 Chron. | 1:13 | 196 |
| Exod. | 15:16b | 6 |  | 10:7 | 191 |
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## CORRECTIONS TO THE FIRST EDITION

p. xix, line 14 from the bottom read: genitive.
p. 1 , line 4 from the bottom read: Asherah.
p. 6 , line 20 from the bottom read: "your" (instead of "the").
p. 14, line 7 from the top read: "is probably" (instead of "must be").
p. 18 , line 8 from the top read: abundance.
p. 23, line 3 from the top read: already (instcad of always).
p. 26, line 13 from the bottom instead of $(4.31,2)$ read: $(4.31,2$; this text probably represents an unusual dialect).
p. 30 , line 6 from the bottom read (<'ant $\bar{a}$ ).
p. 36 , line 9 from the bottom of the table correct: Clas. Arab. $f$ (instead of $p$ )
p. 36 , line 3 from the bottom of the table, correct this line as follows:
p. 40, line 11 from the bottom read: [forthcoming]
p. 46 , line 2 from the bottom read: personal.
p. 51 , line 7 from the bottom instead of "No attestations etc. correct to:
-n [-n $\bar{a} /-n \bar{u}$ ? $]$ "our" (on nouns in nominative, e.g. 1.4 IV, 43).
p. 52, line 19 from the bottom read: calls.
p. 52 , line 11 from the bottom read: bettaya (instead of bêtiya)
p. 63 , line 7 add: and is well attested in South Semitic languages.
p. 65, line 17 from the bottom read ['ammatu]
p. 73, line 11 from the bottom read: Suffix nun $[-\overline{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{n u}]$.
p. 74, line 10 from the top read: "Canaanite".
p. 76 , line 4 from the bottom read: "owners".
p. 79 , line 14 from the top read: Gordon.
p. 83, lines $10-11$ instead of infra p. 77 read: p. 209.
p. 94, lines 5 and 17 from the top read: fourth.
p. 100, line 9 read: Imperfect.
p. 108, line 9 from the bottom add after Aramaic (in Fekheriye).
p. 111 , linc 3 from the bottom read: Person: $3^{\text {rd }}$ f.; Singular:
$t-{ }^{-}(u)$; Plural: $t-\bar{u}(n a)$.
p. 112, line 5 from the bottom read: Person: $2^{\text {nd }}$ f; Singular $\mid$ : [-iti ; Plural: $[-\bar{a} ?]$.
p. 118, line 14 from the top read: Caquot.
p. 119, line 5 from the bottom read: tongues.
p. 120, line 12 from the bottom read: yourself.
p. 121, line 3 from the top read: "serving as an imperative".
p. 127, line 7 from the top read: $n$-apodoseos.
p. 129, line 13 from the top read: (as in $\Lambda$ rabic).
p. 129, line 14 from the top read: (as in Akkadian).
p. 134, lines 12 and 21 from the bottom read ['abaqqitu].
p. 139, line 14 from the top rad: fourfold.
p. 145 , line 5 from the bottom read: preserved.
p. 156 , line 10 read: likely.
p. 157, line 16 from the bottom read: Spronk.
p. 176, line 8 from the bottom read: quadrilateral.
p. 181, line 8 from the bottom read: afterwards.
p. 182, line 13 from the top read: (<'ayka).
p. 188 , line 8 rcad: fairest.
p. 190, line 14 from the bottom read: Sheol (instead of Saul).
p. 190, line 13 from the bottom read Muilenburg.
p. 193, line 16 from the bottom read: Hummel.
p. 200, line 2 from the bottom read: offering.
p. 205, linc 3 from the top read: see below p. 217f.).
p. 211, line 7 from the bottom read: Subject.
p. 225, line 4 from the bottom read: king.
p. 232, line 7 from the bottom read Hummel.
p. 249 , line 4 from the bottom read $h d m$.

