A SHORT GRAMMAR OF LITHUANIAN

Terje Mathiassen

Slavica Publishers, Inc.

A SHORT GRAMMAR OF LITHUANIAN

A SHORT GRAMMAR OF LITHUANIAN

Terje Mathiassen

Slavica Publishers, Inc.

Slavica publishes a wide variety of scholarly books and textbooks on the languages, peoples, literatures, cultures, history, etc. of the former USSR and Eastern Europe. For a complete catalog of books and journals from Slavica, with prices and ordering information, write to:

Slavica Publishers, Inc. PO Box 14388 Columbus, Ohio 43214

ISBN: 0-89357-267-5.

Copyright © 1996 by Terje Mathiassen. All rights reserved.

All statements of fact or opinion are those of the authors and do not necessarily agree with those of the publisher, which takes no responsibility for them.

Printed in the United States of America.

CONTENTS

Foreword	Page 17
Chapter 0: Introduction	19
Chapter 1: Phonology	21
Consonants	21
The phonetic realization of the Lithuanian consonants.	
Palatalization	22
Orthographic representation of the Lithuanian	
consonants	23
Consonant alternations	24
Consonant assimilations	24
Degemination	26
Differentiation and metathesis	26
The vowels	26
The short vowels	26
Spelling of the short vowels	27
The long vowels	27
Spelling of the long vowels	27
Comparison between the systems of short and	
long vowels	28
Vowel lengthenings	28
1. Lengthening of e and a in stressed syllables	28
2. Compensatory Lengthening due to Loss of Nasal	29
Diphthongs	30
Vowel alternations (ablaut)	31
Suprasegmentals	32
Stress	32
Quantity	32
Tone	33
Stress Movements	34
1. Stress movements triggered by de Saussure's law	34
2. Stress movements not originating from	
de Saussure's law	35
Symbols for designating accent classes	35

Chapter 2: The Noun	37
Grammatical categories	37
1. Gender	37
2. Number	38
3. Case	38
Declensional types	38
First declension	40
Paradigms with comments	40
Reflexive nouns	41
Accentuation	42
Second declension	44
Paradigms with comments	44
Accentuation	45
Third declension (i-stems)	45
Paradigms with comments	45
Accentuation	46
Fourth declension (<i>u-stems</i>)	47
Accentuation	47
Fifth declension (Consonant stems)	48
Paradigms with comments	48
Accentuation	49
Heteroclitics	49
Indeclinables	50
Singular and plural nouns	51
Word Formation	53
I. Suffixal derivatives	53
1. Designations of persons	53
2. Abstracts	53
3. Collective nouns	54
4. Designations for instruments	54
5. Designations for location (place)	55
6. Diminutives and augmentatives	55
7. Surnames	56
II. Prefixal derivatives	56
1. Formations denoting persons	56
2. Formations denoting place	56
3. Formations denoting time	56
III. Non-prefixal compound nouns	57
Chapter 3: The Adjective	58
General characteristics	58
The nominal (short/indefinite) form	59

First declension	59
Accentuation	60
Second declension	60
Accentuation	61
Third declension	61
Accentuation	61
The special "neuter" form	62
The pronominal (long/definite) form	62
Degrees of comparison	62
I. The comparative	62
1. Synthetic forms	62
Accentuation	63
2. Analytic forms	63
II. The superlative	63
Accentuation	64
Comparative degree of the special "neuter" form	64
The pronominal (long/definite) form	64
Accentuation	66
Syntax of the adjectives	67
I. The nominal (short/indefinite),	(7
non-neutral form	67
'So good as' etc.	68
Elative function	68
Strengthening of the positive and	60
comparative degrees	68
II. The special "neuter" form	68
Strengthening of the positive and	60
comparative degrees	68
III. The pronominal (long or definite) form	69
Word Formation of adjectives I. Suffixal derivatives	70
II. Prefixal derivatives	70 71
	/ 1
III. Non-prefixal compound adjectives	71
adjectives	/ 1
Chapter 4: The Pronoun	72
1. Personal pronouns	72
2. The anaphoric pronoun of the 3rd person	74
3. Possessive pronouns	75
A. Non-reflexive possessives	75
B. Reflexive possessives	75
C. Additional comments on possessive pronouns	75
4. Reciprocal pronouns	76
ı ı	

5. Demonstrative pronouns	77
Additional comments on demonstrative	
pronouns	78
6. Interrogative and relative pronouns	79
Interrogative function	79
Relative function	79
7. Indefinite pronouns	80
8. Negative pronouns	81
9. Other pronouns	81
Chapter 5: The Numerals	83
I. Cardinal numbers	83
1. Plain cardinal numbers	83
Declension	84
Accentuation	85
Syntax	85
2. The special cardinal numbers	86
Syntax	86
3. Collective numbers	87
Syntax	87
II. Ordinal numbers	88
Declension	88
Accentuation	89
Syntax	89
III. Fractions	90
Decimal fractions	91
Chapter 6: The Verb	92
The grammatical categories of the Lithuanian verb	92
Finite and non-finite forms	93
Reflexive and non-reflexive verbs. Transitives and	
intransitives	93
Verb stems	93
Morphophonemic rules	94
The Finite Verb	95
Disposition	95
Conjugational types	95
Predictability of conjugational type on	. .
the basis of the infinitive	96
The Formation of the tenses of the indicative active.	~ ~
General considerations	96
Non-reflexive verbs	96

Reflexive verbs	96
Accentuation	97
Formation of the simple tenses	97
I.The simple present	97
1. Verbs of the first conjugation	97
2. Verbs of the second conjugation	99
3. Verbs of the third conjugation	100
Reflexive forms	100
Accentuation	101
II. The simple past	103
1. The non-frequentative past	103
Accentuation	105
2. The frequentative past	106
III. The future tense	106
1. Verbs of the first conjugation	106
2. Verbs of the second and	
third conjugations	108
Accentuation	108
Principal forms	109
I. The Verb <i>būti</i> 'to be'	109
II. Principal forms with ablaut	110
1. Long vowel in the infinitive and	
the past vs. originally short in the	
present tense	110
2. Long vowel in the past vs. short	
in the infinitive and the present tens 3. Short -i- in the infinitive and the	e110
past tense vse- in the present tense	e 111
4. Long vowel in the present tense v	
short in the infinitive and the past	112
III. Principal forms without ablaut	113
Formation of the compound tenses	114
I. The present perfect	115
II. The past perfect	115
III. The future perfect	116
Formation of the thwarted inceptive and	
progressive forms	116
Aspect and Tense	119
Introduction	119
I. Aspect	119
Perfectivization	120

Imperfectivization	121
Aktionsarten	121
II. Tense	122
Simple tenses	122
1. The present tense	122
2. The past tense	124
3. The future tense	124
Modal uses of the simple future	125
Compound tenses	125
1. The present perfect	125
2. The past perfect	126
3. The future perfect	126
Modal Nuances of the compound	
future	127
Limitations	127
Tense/aspect forms	127
Mood	128
1. The indicative	128
2. The imperative	129
A. The imperative proper	129
B. The permissive/optative	129
3. The subjunctive	130
A. Formation	130
a) The present subjunctive	130
Accentuation	130
b) The past subjunctive	131
Subjunctive passive	131
The difference in meaning between	
the present and past subjunctive	131
B. Major functions of the subjunctive	132
4. The relative mood	133
Formation	134
Meaning and use	134
Modality. Lithuanian equivalents of English	
modal auxiliaries	136
Negated modality	137
Voice	139
Definitions	139
Morphology of the active voice	139
Use of the active voice	139
Morphology of the passive voice	140

Motivations for choosing passive constructions.	
General considerations	141
1. The functional sentence perspective	142
2. Agent deletion	142
The equivalents of English passives in Lithuanian	142
Reflexive and non-reflexive verbs	145
Transitivity and intransitivity	148
The non-finite forms of the verb	150
I. The infinitive	150
Formal characteristics	150
Accentuation	150
Syntax of the infinitive	150
Infinitive II	151
Formation and stress	151
Syntax of infinitive II	152
II. Gerunds and participles	152
1. The gerunds	153
Formation	153
A. Indeclinable gerunds	153
B. Partly declinable gerunds	153
Syntax and semantics of the gerunds	154
A. Indeclinable gerunds	155
B. Partly declinable gerunds	156
2. The participles	157
Formation	158
A. Active participles	158
Declension of the present	it and
past active participles	159
Accentuation	160
"Neuter" forms	160
Pronominal (long, defin	ite)
forms	161
B. Passive participles	161
"Neuter" forms	163
Reflexive forms	163
The syntax of participles	163
A. Active participles	163
B. Passive participles	164
The passive participle of	
necessity	165

Concluding remarks on	
participles	165
III. Verbal Noun	165
Accentuation	166
Verb: Word Formation	168
Suffixation	168
Prefixation	169
Verb particles	171
Chapter 7: The Adverb	173
Stress rules	174
Degrees of comparison	175
"Very fast: much faster"	175
Accentuation	175
Syntax and semantics of the adverb	176
Indefinite and negated adverbs	176
The Negation	176
Chapter 8: Case	178
The Genitive	179
I Adnominal genitive	179
1. Non-partitive genitive	179
A. The governing word is a noun	179
a) The possessive genitive	180
b) The subjective and c) the	400
objective genitive	180
d) Genitivus definitivus	180
e) The descriptive genitive	181
f) The genitive of material	181
B. The governing word is an adjective	181
2. The partitive genitive	181
A. The governing word is a noun	181
B. The governing word is an adjective	182
C. The governing word is a numeral	182
D. The governing word is expressed by	
other quantifiers	182
II Adverbal genitive	182
1. Partitive function	182
A. Certain transitive verbs	182
B. Existential constructions	183
C. The genitive with verbs with the	

THE NOUN	13
THE NOON	13

meaning 'to lack' and 'to be sufficient'	183
2. Non-partitive function	184
A. Genitive verbs	184
B. Negative constructions	185
a) Transitive verbs	185
b) Logical subject	185
c) The agentive	185
d) The genitive of purpose	186
The Accusative	186
I. Adverbal accusative	187
II. Adverbial accusative	187
The Instrumental	188
I. Adnominal instrumental	188
A. Nouns governing the instrumental caseB. Adjectives and participles governing	188
the instrumental case	188
II. Adverbal Instrumental	189
A. Instrumental object with autosemantic	
verbs	189
B. Instrumental after copulative verbs	189
III. Adverbial instrumental	190
The agentive	191
The Dative	191
I. Adnominal dative	191
1. The governing word is a noun	191
2. The governing word is an adjective	192
3. The dative of age	192
II. Adverbal dative	193
1. Dative + accusative	193
2. Dative as the only object	193
3. Dative in impersonal constructions	194
4. Dative + infinitive	194
III. Adverbial dative	194
Dative with gerunds	195
The Locative	195
The Nominative	196
The Vocative	196

Chapter 9: Prepositions		198
	1. Prepositions of place	199
	2. Prepositions of time	200
	3. Prepositions of purpose	201
	4. Prepositions of comparison	201
	5. Prepositions of cause	201
	6. Prepositions of other meanings	201
	Summing up	202
Chapter 10: Time expres	ssions	203
Campus Lov Land Cappe	1. The 24 hour cycle	203
	2. Hours	203
	3. The days of the week	204
	4. The month(s)	204
	5. The seasons of the year	204
	6. The year	204
	7. Century	204
	8. Dates	204
	9. Undetermined time expressions	204
	10. How long? How often?	204
	11. For how long?	205
	12. Before/after	205
	13. From - to (till)	205
	14. During	206
	15. In (after)	206
	16. In (so and so long time)	206
	17. Towards	206
Chapter 11: Conjunction	20	207
Chapter 11: Conjunction	ordinate	207
1. Co		207
	A. Copulative B. Adversative	207
	_ ,	207
2 5	C. Disjunctive	
2. Su	bordinate A Explicative	208 208
	A. Explicative B. Adverbial	208
		208
	a) Conjunctions of time	208
	b) Conjunctions of purpose	208
	c) Conjunctions of result	208
	d) Conjunctions of reason	208
	e) conditional f) concessive	209
	g) comparative	209
	gjtomparanyt	ムリク

Chapter 12: The Sentence	210
Definition	210
The Members of the Sentence	210
The principal members of the sentence	210
The subject	210
Deletion of the 3rd p. subject pronoun	211
The predicate	211
Deletion of copulative verb	212
The syntactic relationship between subject	
and predicate. The nature of the predicate	212
The dependent members of the sentence	213
The object	213
The adverbial	214
Difficulties in distinguishing objects	
from adverbials	214
The attribute	215
The apposition	215
Classification of the sentence	216
Subsequent disposition	217
The simple sentence	217
Two part sentences	218
One part sentences	218
Verbal one part sentences	219
Infinitive sentences	220
Nominal one part sentences	221
The compound sentence	222
Coordination	223
Subordination	223
1. Explicative clauses	223
A. non-interrogative	224
B. interrogative	224
2. Determinative clauses	224
3. Adverbial clauses	225
A. Adverbial clauses of time	226
B. adverbial clauses of purpose	225
C. adverbial clauses of result	227
D. adverbial clauses of reason	227
E. adverbial clauses of condition	227
F. adverbial clauses of concession	227
G. adverbial clauses of comparison	227
Equivalents of 'than' and 'the -the'	228
H. Adverbial Clauses of Manner	228
11. Advertitat Clauses of ividifier	440

Final Remarks	228		
Asyndetism	229		
Chapter 13: Agreement			
A. Special cases of agreement in gender	231		
B. Special cases of agreement in number	233		
C. Special cases of agreement in person	234		
D. Special cases of agreement in case	234		
Chapter 14: Word Order	236		
Introductory remarks	236		
I. The position of the members of the sentence	238		
1. Declarative sentences	239		
A. Non-extended sentences	239		
B. Extended sentences	239		
a) Adverbial extensions	239		
b) Object extensions	240		
One object	240		
Two objects	242		
2. Interrogative sentences	242		
II. The position of the members in the noun phrase	243		
Participle attributes	244		
III. The position of clitics. Clitic rules	245		
1. The proclitic interrogative particle ar	245		
2. The proclitic verbal particles te-, be-, tebe-	245		
3. The proclitic negational particle <i>ne</i> -	246		
4. The enclitic particle -ai	246		
5. The endoclitic particle -gi	246		
6. The endoclitic particle $-s(i)/-is$	247		
Reference literature	248		
Indexes	251		

FOREWORD

The present short grammar of Contemporary Standard Lithuanian was originally scheduled for the early nineties when the Soviet rule in Lithuania had just come to an end, at a time with sprouting contacts with the countries of the West. For several reasons, however, the completion of the book was delayed. Despite this delay, the author believes that there is still a considerable need for a grammar of Lithuanian of this size in English; the need for a tool like this can even be said to be stronger today when contacts with the West have become consolidated and normalized than, say, in 1990.

The book has been written primarily for students of Lithuanian as a foreign language at university level, but can also be used by others. It fulfills (and goes slightly beyond) the need for grammatical training for a BA-degree in the UK and the USA or the Foundation level (a one-year unit of study after an introductory course) in Scandinavia. Thus, the grammar contains the fundamentals of the phonology, morphology (with short passages also on word formation), and syntax of Lithuanian. Syntax is concentrated in the last chapters of the book (Case, The Sentence, Agreement and Word Order) - with the exception of the syntax of adjectives, numerals and participles which for practical reasons has been incorporated and dealt with in chapters 3, 4 and 5 bearing appropriate headings.

The present volume is primarily a synchronic, prescriptive (normative), not a descriptive grammar. Notes on certain diachronic items as well as supplementary information are given in small type.

Where appropriate, short Lithuanian-English, Lithuanian-Scandinavian and similar remarks are presented.

The examples used for illustrations have been taken mainly from dictionaries and newspapers or have been made up by the author and checked with informants.

Stress and intonation are given only for single words, not for sentences or word groups.

To facilitate its lucidity and readability, the book is supplied with a relatively comprehensive table of contents at the beginning of the

volume plus an index at the end. At the end of the volume a list of reference literature is also given.

There should be no need for a separate table of abbreviations and symbols since they are either explained in the text or are supposed to be immediately understandable to the reader. Thus, for example, C for "consonant", V for "vowel", R for "resonant" and H for "laryngeal" are all symbols commonly used in linguistic literature.

The grammar has been used in manuscript by my students of Lithuanian at the University of Oslo. I am grateful to them, especially to Rasa Žiburkutė, Paal Arbo and Svein Nestor, for their positive criticism. I am equally indebted to my Vilnius colleagues, especially Professors Evalda Jakaitienė, Bonifacas Stundžia (both of whom have guestlectured for a lengthy period at the Univerity of Oslo), Vytautas Ambrazas, Aleksas Girdenis, Antanas Pakerys, Vincas Labutis, Algirdas Sabaliauskas, Meilutė Ramonienė as well as Professors Rainer Eckert, University of Greifswald, and Steven Young at the University of Maryland. All of them have been of great help with their valuable suggestions for improvements, with corrections and patience with my numerous questions, but more than anyone else I am indebted to my wife, cand. philol. Ann-Marie Mathiassen, for her very conscientious, thorough and insightful reading of the entire manuscript as well as constructive discussions. For all remaining shortcomings and errors I am alone responsible. Finally, Assistant Professor Patrick N. Chaffey at the Department of British and American Studies, University of Oslo, also deserves my warmest thanks for having checked my English. Thanks also to stud. philol. Knut Skrindo for technical assistance in terms of formatting the book to fit Slavica standard size and to Slavica for publishing the manuscript.

Oslo, February 1996

Terje Mathiassen

Chapter 0

INTRODUCTION

Genetically, Lithuanian belongs together with Latvian (and extinct Old Prussian) to the Baltic languages which constitute a separate branch of the Indo-European (IE) family of languages. To IE also belong the Slavic languages, among them Russian and Polish, as well as Germanic, whose main members are English, German, Dutch and the Scandinavian languages. Thus, there is a remote genetic link between English and Lithuanian. Within an Indo-European framework the Baltic languages are more closely related to the Slavic branch than to any other IE linguistic subdivision. The alleged similarities between Baltic and Slavic should, however, not be exaggerated from a purely practical point of view. At any rate, there is obviously no mutual understanding between let us say - a Russian and a Lithuanian when they speak their mother tongues, whereas a Russian and a Pole will to a certain extent be able to communicate.

During the Middle Ages history, culture and religion separated Lithuania from Latvia in the sense that the former entered the Polish sphere of influence, whereas the latter was exposed to influence above all from German (the Teutonic /German/ Order) and subsequent German layers (consisting of gentry, tradesmen and clergy) as well as from the nowadays almost extinct Livonian, which alongside Estonian, Finnish and some minor languages (Karelian, Vepsian, Votic) constitutes the Balto-Finnic branch of the Finno-Ugric language family.

Several layers can be distinguished in the vocabulary of Lithuanian. First, there is a basic IE vocabulary, cf. for instance the word for 'heart' *širdis* which has cognates in most IE languages. The same is true for the words for 'son', 'daughter', 'mother', 'sun', 'moon' etc: *sūnus*, *duktė*, *motina*, *saulė*, *mėnuo*. Then, there is the Balto-Slavic stratum containing words known exclusively within these two groups of IE, for instance Lith. *galva*, Latvian *galva*, Russian *golova*, Polish *głowa* meaning 'head' in all languages quoted. Another example is the word for 'hand, arm': Lith. *rankà*, Latv. *roka* (<* *ranka*), Russ. *ruka*, Po. *ręka* (both from an earlier **ranka*). As a third layer may be discerned

words limited to the group of Baltic languages alone, e. g. Lith. $k\delta ja$, Latv. $k\bar{a}ja$ 'foot, leg'. If we continue with the body, the word for 'hair' may serve as an example of where the Baltic languages are split as the Lithuanians say plaukai, the Latvians mati. This scheme with several layers should not be oversimplified and regarded as an automatic indicator in terms of chronology; it should be looked upon as a purely guiding and schematic principle. Finally, both Lithuanian and its sister language, Latvian, have through the centuries adopted a great number of borrowings and international words from different sources and languages, frequently from or through Russian. To-day the English impact on the vocabulary is also considerable.

Lithuanian is spoken by roughly 3 million people in Lithuania proper which means about 80 % of the population (the bulk of the remaining inhabitants are either Russian or Polish speaking). In addition there are around half a million Lithuanians living abroad - above all in the United States (especially the Chicago metropolitan area). Lithuanian is divided into several dialects, the principal division being between the Žemaitic (lit. 'lower') dialects along the (south-)western Latvian border and the Aukštaitic (lit. 'higher'). The standard language was coined during the second half of the 19th century on the basis of a West Aukštaitic dialect which at that time was the culturally most prestigeous and economically superior. There are considerable differences between the standard language and the vernacular of the cultural and political centre of Lithuania to-day, the capital of Vilnius.

The oldest texts in Lithuanian are of religious content and date back to the era of the Reformation, i. e. the sixteenth century, and were printed in Königsberg (Lith. Karaliaučius) in Lithuania Minor (= East Prussia) where Lithuanians and Germans lived together as neighbours. This area has played an important role in the history of Lithuanian culture. From East Prussia one can also speak about a German influence on the entire Baltic area since the period of the Reformation. In Lithuania, however, the Counter-Reformation became victorious.

Despite the fact that Lithuanian has a rather young literary tradition the language is in many respects archaic; this is particularly the case with the nominal system (i. e. the declension of nouns, adjectives and pronouns) where many old forms have survived. The difference between Old Lithuanian of the sixteenth century and Modern Lithuanian is not very great. In comparison with Lithuanian, Latvian has experienced more innovations.

Chapter 1

PHONOLOGY

Consonants

There are 45 consonant phonemes in Standard Lithuanian. The main reason for this relatively rich inventory is that the Lithuanian consonants can be grouped into 22 pairs according to the distinctive feature [+/-palatalized], i. e. oppositions like /s/: /s/ /z/: /z/, /l/: /l/ etc. where the sign stands for [+ palatalized]. Only one consonant in the system is not a member of a pair [+/- palatalized], namely /j/ which by way of its very nature is always palatal. On the phonetic reality behind palatalization, see p. 23. A frequent synonym for palatal(ized) is "soft" and for non-palatal(ized) "hard".

Beside the contrast [+/-palatal] there is also another binary opposition in the system, namely that of voiced vs. unvoiced, cf., for instance, /d/:/t/, /g/:/k/.

The *unvoiced*: *voiced* opposition constitutes 16 pairs, leaving aside as unpaired the voiced /m/, /m/, /n/, /n/, /r/, /r/, /l/, /l/, /v/, /y/, /j/ as well as the unvoiced /f/, /f/.

Consonants which differ from each other only with respect to either the feature [+/-palatalized] or the feature [+/-voiced] are labeled correlative.

The consonant phonemes of Lithuanian (some of which are marginal) can be given in the following table:

	lab	ial	de	ntal	post-a	lveolar	palatal	velar	
plosives	p p	b եյ	t ţ	d d				k k	g g
fricatives	f		s s	z z	l l	3 3		X X	g g
affricates			ts ts	dz dz	tJ tJ	d3 d3			

nasals	m	n		
	m	ŋ		
vibrants		r		
		ŗ		
approximants	V	1	j	
	Ų.	ļ	_	

Some comments on the table should be given:

It should be emphasized that the phonemic opposition /t/: /t/ and d: d is marginal since t_a and d appear before both front and back vowels only in borrowings and onomatopoetics. In ordinary autochthonic words t and d are encountered exclusively before front vowels (and are therefore automatic variants of /t/ and /d/). Before back vowels /tJ/ and /d3/ take their place: ['pantso], written pančio (gen. sg. of pantis) 'chain', ['se:d3u] sėdžiu 'sit' (1 sg. pres.) vs. sėdi (2 sg. pres.). For the orthographic representation of the sounds, see p. 23. The nonpalatalized /t]/ and /d3/ are very rare (especially the latter). They are restricted mostly to borrowings and expressive vocabulary. Among the few nonborrowings with /ts/ are ['gintsas], written ginčas, 'argument, discussion' and ['i:pats], written ypač, 'especially'. The phoneme /d3/ is extremely rare in pure Lithuanian words. Of other consonants, /g/ seems to be very marginal as a true phoneme, and there are likely to be very few instances also of phonemic /x/; one would be amfibrachis 'amphibrach' with a genitive sg. [amfi'braxo], written amfibrachio. Also /f/ is rarely encountered (one example would be present in amfibrachis just quoted).

The Phonetic Realization of the Lithuanian Consonants. Palatalization

Lithuanian plosives (stops) are *unaspirated* (except in word final position).

The scope of the present volume makes it necessary to limit the comments on the pronunciation of the separate sounds to those which cause the greatest difficulties to the student. Thus, the [z] is voiced like the English -s- in please whereas the [3] is similar to the sound heard in vision or to the j- in French jour. Of the affricates [\hat{ts}] is pronounced like z in German Ziel, [\hat{dz}] like z in Italian zero, [\hat{tf}] like ch in English church and [\hat{ds}] like g(e) in George.

The [x] is pronounced approximately like the ach-Laut of German. Its soft counterpart has a less fronted articulation than the

German *ich-Laut*. The voiced counterparts [g] and [g] are pronounced with activization of the vocal cords.

As demonstrated in the above table the [r] and [r] are dentals.

The [v] and [v] are approximants (and consequently not the voiced counterparts of the fricatives [f], [f]). An approximant is also the [j] (which does *not* form a pair *voiced* : *unvoiced* with the [x]!).

The approximant [t] requires a special comment. It is identical to the 'hard' (non-palatalized) [t] of Russian, or [t] in South East Norwegian dal and similar to [l] in English elk. The tongue tip touches the upper teeth and the back of the tongue is raised towards the hard palate. The articulation is lateral, cf. the following [t].

The soft []] is produced by raising the back of the tongue to the rear part of the hard palate, by pressing the upper-front part of the tongue against the upper teeth, and letting the air from the lungs pass through the sides of the blades of the tongue (= lateral articulation). In this description the essential mechanism of palatalization is included: The phonetic reality behind palatalization is the (additional) movement of the tongue in the direction of the zone of the hard palate, i. e. to the j/i-position. The j and the consonant in question are pronounced simultaneously in such a way that the j is 'absorbed' in the process and not heard.

Palatalization is regarded as an *additional* articulation superimposed on the corresponding non-palatalized consonant which is regarded as basic and the point of departure for the palatalized variant, cf., for instance /k/ versus /k/.

Lithuanian palatalization seems "lighter" (at least before front vowels) than Russian palatalization.

In many descriptions of phonology a distinction is made between an upper and a lower articulator. In this volume attention has been paid only to the upper (post-alveolar, palatal, velar) whereas for the sake of simplicity such lower articulators as apical, laminal and dorsal have been dropped.

Orthographic Representation of the Lithuanian Consonants

But for the following deviations the consonants of Lithuanian are represented in the orthography in the same way as illustrated in the phonemic chart above:

The affricates $/\hat{ts}/$, $/\hat{dz}/$, $/\hat{tJ}/$, and $/\hat{dz}/$ are written c, dz, \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ respectively. The fricative /x/ is expressed through the digraph ch. Its voiced counterpart /g/ is written h.

Consonants in Lithuanian are automatically palatalized before front vowels (including diphthongs with e or i as their first constituent). Examples: gimti ['gi-] 'to be born', Lietuva [lie-] 'Lithuania', tėvas

['ter-] 'father', keisti ['kɛi-] 'to change'. Before back vowels palatalization is signalized through the letter i which in this position has no phonetic value except as a palatalization marker. Examples: $\check{c}ia$ 'here' (pronounced ['tʃɛ]), dariau [da'rɛu] 'I did', $\check{s}ioks$ [ʃo:ks] 'such'. It should be observed that after a soft consonant a is fronted to [ɛ].

Note that when i is written before e, it is part of a diphthong, namely /ie/, e. g. namie 'at home'.

The labials require a special comment since in word-initial position the soft variants before back vowels are accompanied by a regular [j] which is also expressed in today's spelling, cf. for instance *pjauti* (not *piauti) 'to cut' with the phonetic realization [pjæ·uti] where the point indicates semi-length. In positions other than initial soft labials behave like other soft consonants both in terms of spelling and phonetic realization, e. g. labiau [la'bɛu] 'better'.

Consonant Alternations

Beside the automatic shifts between hard and soft consonants in cases like, for example, galas ['ga:\frac{1}{2}as] 'end' (nom.): gale [ga'\frac{1}{2}e] 'in the end' (loc.), the $t:\check{c}$ and $d:d\check{c}$ alternation should be mentioned. It is encountered in the declension of nouns, adjectives and participles, in conjugations and derivations and will be referred to in the sections on morphology below. Examples: $t\check{e}tis$ (nom. sg.): $t\check{e}\check{c}io$ (gen. sg.) 'dad', didysis (nom. sg. m. pron. form): $did\check{c}iojo$ (gen. sg. m. pron. form) 'big', $ma\check{c}iau$ 'I saw' (1 sg. pret.): $mat\check{e}$ 'saw', 3 pret., mates (m. sg. nom. pret. part. act.): $ma\check{c}iusi$ (f. sg. nom. pret. part. act.) 'having seen', $kat\check{e}$ 'cat': $ka\check{c}iukas$ 'kitten'. A couple of examples are also quoted on p. 22 above.

The historical basis for the alternations $t:\check{c},d:d\check{z}$ is the sequence $*tj>\check{c},$ and $*dj>d\check{z}$. It should also be added that as a result of a historical rule in Baltic the vowel i before a vowel changed to */j. This explains the opposition $mates: ma\check{c}iusi$ which has developed from the stem $*mat\bar{i}$ - (cf. the inf. matyti). In mates the $*\bar{i}$ disappeared before e without leaving a trace. (The diphthong ie is secondary, having developed under somewhat obscure circumstances from *ei, and possibly also *ai.)

Consonant Assimilations

A basic rule of consonant clusters in Lithuanian is that the second or last consonant in a sequence determines the character of the preceding one with respect to the features [+voiced] : [-voiced] and [+palatalized] : [-palatalized]. The processes in question are called regressive assimilations.

Let us first consider

1) the voicing/devoicing rule which can be formulated in the following way: a voiced consonant is devoiced by an immediately following unvoiced consonant; an unvoiced consonant is voiced in the position immediately before a voiced consonant.

Illustrations:

- a) devoicing: bėgti ['beikţi] 'run', dirbti ['dırptı] 'work', megzti ['mekstı] 'knot, knit', vežti ['vestı] 'carry'
- b) voicing: sukdamas ['sugdamas] 'twisting', kasdavo ['kazdavo!] 'dug', atgal [ad'gal] 'back'

As becomes evident from the above examples the rule operates also across boundaries between prefixes and suffixes. In normal (rapid) speech it operates even across word boundaries: *jis davė* [jiz-'da:ye:] 'he gave'.

It should be emphasized that only voiced consonants forming correlative pairs with unvoiced consonants (i. e. d:t, b:p etc.) are capable of triggering the voicing rule whereas the unpaired voiced r, l, m, n together with v and j are unable to voice a preceding unvoiced consonant: atminti [at'minți] 'remember' (not *[ad'minți]), šventas ['Jyentas] 'sacred' (not *['zyentas]).

Although not the result of an assimilation the unvoicing rule in word-final position should also be mentioned here: voiced consonants with the exception of r, l, m, n become unvoiced in this position, e. g. daug [dauk] 'much', kad [kat] 'that; if', bemaž [bɛ'maš] 'hardly'.

2) assimilations with respect to [+/- palatalization] The following rule can be given:

If the last consonant in a cluster is palatalized then the immediately preceding consonant is also palatalized, e. g. in (the nom. pl. m.) pilni [pil'ni] 'full'. Here both the [n] and the preceding [l] are soft. Another good example is spresti ['spræisti] 'to decide'. More examples can be extracted from the material under 1 a) above and 3 c) below. Mutatis mutandis the same principle holds good for consonant clusters in which the last consonant is non-palatalized, cf., for example pilnas (nom. sg. m.) where the [t] is hard because of the following hard [n].

3) Other assimilations

- a) The clusters -nk- and -ng- are assimilated to $[\eta k]$ and $[\eta g]$ respectively, e. g. bankas ['bankas] 'bank', banga [bang'a] 'wave'.
- b) Partial assimilations between prefix and root are observed in cases like sam-būvis 'coexistence' vs. san-taka 'confluence'. Here the

labial m stands before labial stops, n before other stops (dentals and velars).

c) The clusters $s\check{c}$ and $zd\check{z}$ are usually pronounced [$\int t \int]$ and [3d3] respectively. Examples:

pėsčias ['pe:stsas] 'pedestrian', mesčiau ['mɛstsau] 'I would throw', pavyzdžiui ['pavyzdziui] 'for example'.

d) An assimilation which is connected with degemination (see next point) takes place on the boundary between a prefix and the root: užsienis ['uṣienis] 'abroad'.

Degemination

Degemination is observed on the boundary between a prefix and the root in cases like *iš-šokti* 'jump out' which is pronounced [1'[o:kti]].

Differentiation and Metathesis

A case of differentiation is represented by t + t and d + t which have both rendered t, cf. t which it is something throws and t which lead t which lead t which lead t which is t which lead t which leads t whic

Observe further the alternations sk : ks, šk : kš, zg : gz and žg : gž. Examples: dreskia, drėskė : drėksti 'tear', blyško : blykšta, blykšti 'turn pale', mezga, mezgė : megzdavo, megzti 'to knot; knit', džeržgia, džeržgė : džergždavo, džergžti 'to scrape'. As illustrated through the examples the sequences sk/šk, zg/žg appear in prevocalic, ks/kš and gz/gž in preconsonantal position. The process can be referred to as metathesis.

The Vowels

Standard Lithuanian has 5 short vowel phonemes and 6 long.

The Short Vowels

The short vowels can be shown diagrammatically in a quadrangular system:

FRONT /ɪ/	BACK /v/
/ε/	/a/

One of the above phonemes, namely /ɔ/, is marginal and attested only in words of foreign origin, as, for example, in: Londonas 'London'. The vowels /ı/ and /u/ are very open.

Short vowels are found both in stressed and unstressed position. In words of foreign origin some speakers use /e/ instead of /ɛ/.

Spelling of the Short Vowels

In Lithuanian orthography the vowel /1/ is spelt i, / ϵ / e, / υ / u, / υ / o and /a/.

Examples:

/I/: kitas ['kstas] 'other' (nom. sg. m.); /e/: bet [bet] 'but', /a/: akis [a'kss] 'eye', /u/: butas 'flat' ['butas], /ɔ/: Oslas ['ɔslas] 'Oslo'.

The Long Vowels

The long vowels can be shown diagrammatically in a quadrangular system:

I	FRONT	BACK
HIGH	/i:/	/u:/
MID	/e:/	/o:/
LOW	/æ:/	/a:/

Long vowels are found both in stressed and unstressed position.

Spelling of the Long Vowels

The spelling of long vowels is not as simple as that of the short since the 1:1 relation between phoneme and grapheme is observed only in the case of /e:/ and /o:/ which in the orthography are rendered as \dot{e} and o respectively. The remaining four have two orthographic representations each. Thus, /i:/ is represented by y and \dot{i} , /u:/ by \ddot{u} and \dot{u} , /æ:/ by e and e, and /a:/ by e and /a:/

Examples:

/i:/ vyras ['vi:ras] 'man', įtaka ['i:taka] 'influence'; /e:/: gėrė ['ge:re:] 'drank (3 sg.)'; /æ:/: geras ['gæ:ras] 'good' (nom. sg. m.), spręsti ['sp.ræ:sti] 'to decide'; /u:/ kūnas ['ku:nas] 'body', skųsti ['sku:sti] 'complain of'; /o:/: oras ['o:ras] 'weather', /a:/: galas ['ga:las]'end', gerą ['gæ:ra:] 'good' (acc. sg. m.).

Comparison between the Systems of Short and Long Vowels

From the above tables it becomes evident that in terms of *phonemes* there is lack of symmetry between the systems of short and long vowels in that a narrow /e:/ is "intercalated" between the /i:/ and the /æ:/. It should further be emphasized that the /o:/ - contrary to its short counterpart - is in no way marginal in the system.

The description above has revealed that the *graphemes e, a* and *o* can represent both short and long vowels. For guidelines on when they are short and when they are long, see below.

Vowel Lengthenings

Here we have in mind two processes, namely 1) lengthening of the vowels e and a in stressed syllables and 2) vowel lengthening due to the loss of a nasal in certain environments. These two processes will be described in the following.

1. Lengthening of e and a in Stressed Syllables

On p. 26 f. the vowels of Standard Lithuanian were presented. Many cases of /æ:/ and /ɑ:/ result from secondary lengthening of /ɛ/ and /a/. This lengthening occurs only in stressed syllables, cf. for example nom. sg. namas ['namas] 'house' vs. the acc. pl. namus [na'mus], nom. sg. m. geras ['gæ:ras] 'good': acc. pl. m. gerus [gɛ'rus], geria ['gæ:rɛ] 'drinks': geriu [gɛ'ru] 'I drink', kala ['ka:ła] 'forges': kalu [ka'lu] 'I forge', veda ['yæ:da] 'leads': vedu [yɛ'du] 'I lead', tapo ['ta:po:] 'became' (3 sg./pl.): tapau [ta'pau] 'I became', sensacija [sɛn'saɪtsija] 'sensation'. From these examples one might be tempted to conclude that the lengthening in question occurs in open stressed syllables. That this is not the case is, however, shown by examples like keptas ['kæ:ptas] 'baked' (part.), raktas ['ra:ktas] 'key', kapsto ['ka:psto] 'digs up': kapstyti [kap'sţi:ţɪ] 'to dig' with lengthening in closed syllables.

The vowels lengthened according to this paragraph will always have circumflex intonation, cf. p. 34 below. That we have to do with secondary lengthening is seen both from the type of intonation and the quality of the vowels which is [æ:] and [a:]

respectively thus corresponding quite neatly with the quality of the short vowels on the basis of which they were lengthened, radically different from the "originally" long [e:] and [o:]

Observe that lengthening does *not* take place in infinitive forms, cf. *vesti* ['yeşţi] 'to lead', *tapti* ['tapţi] 'to become'. Nor does it occur in future tense forms, e. g. *nešiu* ['ŋɛʃu] 'I'll carry', *rasiu* ['raṣu] 'I'll find', nor on an accented verb prefix (including the negation *ne*), cf., for example *pameta* ['pamɛta] 'throws', *neneša* ['ŋɛŋɛʃa] 'doesn't carry'. It is further absent in the comparative suffix *-esn-* (*geresnis* [gɛˈrɛṣnis] 'better') as well as in the masc. sg. nom. of the so-called pronominal adjective (*gerasis* [gɛˈraṣɪs] 'good'). Finally, the possessive pronouns *mano* ['mano] 'my', *tavo* ['tavo] 'your', *savo* ['savo] 'his/her/its' should be mentioned.

For foreign words it seems difficult to give adequate guidelines.

2. Compensatory Lengthening due to Loss of Nasal

There is still another case of secondary vowel lengthening which may be referred to as the "nasalization rule". Contrary to the lengthening rule described in the preceding paragraph this rule has an effect not only on e and a, but also on i and u.

The lengthening occurs independently of stress in the following environments: a) before non-plosives (non-stops) or b) in word-final position. The process must have been as follows: in a sequence vowel+n in one of the two environments just mentioned, the n has merged with the preceding vowel to form a long nasalized vowel which is subsequently denasalized.

The resulting long vowels are written with a *cedilla* () which indicates their origin in the sequences *en, *an, *in and *un. It is important to emphasize that these vowels are nasalized only from a historical point of view and that the orthography is based on an etymological principle. In to-day's Lithuanian they have a pure oral articulation. Observe that the e has the same open articulation [æ:] as the e which results from the secondary lengthening in stressed syllables described in the preceding paragraph.

Examples:

spręsti ['spræ:sti] 'to decide' vs. sprendžia ['sprendza] 'decides', dirbę ['dɪrbˌæː] 'having worked' (pret.part.act.m.pl.); žąsìs [ʒɑːˈsɪs] 'goose', namą ['nɑːmɑː] 'house' (acc. sg. m.), the prefix są- ['sɑː] in sąskaita 'bill', sąžinė 'conscience', sąjunga 'union', sąvoka 'notion, conception', sąrašas 'list', sąlyga 'condition', sąauga 'coalescence' as opposed to san- [san-] in santaka 'confluence'; aki ['ɑːk̞iː] 'eye' (acc.sg.m.), the prefix i- ['i:] in imonė 'enterprise', ivadas 'introduc-

tion' vs. ['in-] in *indas* 'vessel; dish'; $s\bar{u}n\mu$ ['su:nu:] 'son' (acc. sg.m.), $si\mu sti$ ['su:sti] 'to send' vs. $siun\check{c}ia$ ['suntse] 'sends'. In cases like $\check{s}ala$ 'grows cold' vs. $\check{s}alo$ 'grew cold' the system points to the presence of a former nasal infix in the present tense of this verb, cf. kri-n-ta 'falls' with preserved nasal infix before a stop vs. krito 'fell').

Unlike the lengthened vowels described in the preceding paragraph the intonational pattern (see p. 34) of "nasalized" vowels is not predictable. Both acute and circumflex occur.

In loanwords like sensacija 'sensation' as well as in native compounds of the type gyvensena 'mode of living' the sequence V+n is tolerated before a non-stop. The same holds good before certain morphemes like the -s- of the future tense (cf. for instance gyvensiu 'I will be living') and the present tense suffix -st- (e.g. sen-st-a 'grows old'). Neither is there any absolute constraint against the sequence V+n in word-final position, cf. for example siandien 'to-day' and ten 'there'. In the last two cases the n has become secondarily word-final due to the loss of a vowel.

Diphthongs

Lihuanian has quite a rich inventory of diphthongs. They occur both in stressed and unstressed syllables. The most frequent are:

ei, ai, ui, au, ie, uo.

These spellings reflect their orthographic representation. The diphthong ei is somewhat problematic since it is difficult to define when an open and when a closed e should be pronounced before the glide [i]. Also the timbre of the first component in ai may differ between words of seemingly the same phonological structure. The length of the first component in ei and ai will vary according to the intonational pattern (cf. below). The same holds good for au. In ui the first component is always short. The ie is kind of diphthong beginning with [i] which becomes gradually more open. It is often pronounced [iə] (with a closed [i], not the open [i]). The diphthongal uo is frequently realized as [uə] (with the closed [u]).

Examples:

eiti 'to go', kaina 'price', muilas 'soap', namui 'house' (dat. sg.), saulė 'sun', namie 'at home', duoti 'to give'.

One observes the lack of parallelism between the i-diphthongs ei and ai which are opposed to au only, and not also *eu which is marginal

and met with exclusively in borrowings like, for example, *Europa*, eufonija 'euphony'. For au after soft consonants, see p. 103.

In words of foreign origin one will also find oi- and oudiphthongs, e. g. boikotas 'boycott', klounas 'clown'.

The diphthongs mentioned so far are labeled "pure diphthongs" as opposed to the so-called "mixed diphthongs" or "semi-diphthongs" which are constituted by sequences of a vowel (e, a, i or u) + a sonorant (r, l, m or n).

Examples:

versti 'overthrow', gardas 'enclosure', baltas 'white', remti 'to support', ranka 'hand; arm', gimti 'be born', girti 'to praise', kurti 'to found'.

For the arguments in favour of giving such sequences the status of diphthongs, see p. 33 below.

VOWEL ALTERNATIONS

(Ablaut)

Beside the automatic palatal assimilative colouring of vowels following a soft consonant (which is perhaps most clearly heard in the case of a, cf. for instance $\check{c}i\grave{a}$ [tf_j ε]: 'here' vs. $\check{c}arda\check{s}as$ 'czardas' [tfa-]) we are faced with the real vowel alternations known in the linguistic literature as ablaut (Lithuanian balsiu kaita) which has been inherited from IE and survived and proved productive in the Baltic period where we can also observe a number of obviously secondary cases of ablaut alternations.

Examples of ablaut alternations in the Lithuanian verb: i - e - i (vilkti: velka: vilko 'carry, drag'), $\bar{o} - a - \bar{o}$ (vogti: vagia: vogė 'steal'), $a - \bar{a} - \bar{o}$ (karti: karia: kórė 'hang', $i - i - \bar{i}$ (skirti: skiria: skyrė 'separate'), $u : u : \bar{u}$ (kurti: kuria: kūrė 'found, organize'), $\bar{u} : u : \bar{u}$ (pūsti: pučia: pūtė 'blow').

As illustrations of *ablaut* in the system of nouns and in nominal word formation may serve: *gražus* 'beautiful' : *grožis* 'beauty'.

The result of an evidently secondary Baltic development is the diphthong -ai- in cases like gainioti 'to chase' versus ginti: gena: ginė 'chase, drive'. The same holds good for cases like kilti: kyla: kilo 'rise, get up' where the $\bar{\iota}$ (in the orthography represented as y) derives from *in, cf. p. 29 above. In geria 'drink(s): gere 'drank' an older quantitative [e]: [e:] alternation has been replaced by a new qualitative [æ:]: [e:] due to the lengthening of an originally short e in a stressed syllable (cf. p. 28).

Suprasegmentals

We will deal here with the three prosodemes *stress*, *quantity and tone* (*pitch*). Only quantity is reflected in the orthography. Stress and tone are indicated only for special purposes - scientific or pedagogical - in dictionaries, grammars and textbooks of Lithuanian. In ordinary texts (newspapers, periodicals, fiction etc.) symbols for tone and stress are not used.

In the following stress and tone will be given, but only when single words and word forms are quoted, not in word groups or sentences.

Stress

(Kirtis)

Word stress in Lithuanian is free in the sense that it is not bound to any specific syllable, but can vary in different forms in the declension and conjugation. It can also have distinctive value whereby stress alone can distinguish between words, cf. for instance giria ['gɪṛɛ] 'praises': giria [gɪˈrɛ] 'forest' which form so-called minimal pairs. Stress may be said to be morphologically distinctive in cases like gen. sg. žiemos ['ʒiemo':s]] vs. nom. pl. žiemos ['ʒiemo:s] 'winter', inf. nuskusti [nuˈskusti] 'to shave' vs. pret. part. pass. nom. pl. m. nuskusti [nuskusti] 'shaven'. In order to account for certain movements of stress it is practical and necessary also to introduce the two remaining prosodemes.

Quantity

(Kiekýbė)

As has become evident from the exposition above Lithuanian contrasts short and long vowels. The duration of the vowels depends in Lithuanian as in many languages on the manner of articulation. Both in stressed and unstressed position the short vowels a and e are longer than the vowels i and u (Pakerys 1982, 191).

Among the diphthongs *ui* is the shortest since it contains two high vowels. The duration of the semi-diphthongs (see p. 31 above) depends on the quality of both components.

The longest semi-diphthongs are those containing low a, e and the nasal sonorants m, n under acute intonation (see next point).

Like stress, quantity can also have distinctive function, cf., for example *kasti* 'to dig' and *kąsti* 'to bite'.

Tone

Príegaidė

Tone (pitch or syllable accent) is discernable in Standard Lithuanian only in *stressed long syllables*. A long syllable is a syllable which contains a long vowel or a diphthong (including semi-diphthongs). Here the so-called *acute* (marked with an 'above the vowel) can be opposed to the *circumflex* (written above a vowel or one of the sonorants r, l, m, n): aukštas 'high': aukštas 'storey', varna 'crow': varnas 'raven', ede 'ate': dede.

Whereas the acute has a falling pitch and intensity contour, the contour of the circumflex is mainly rising. Lengthening of the components in diphthongs can be demonstrated by the pair [va·rna] vs. [var·nas].

Really long (tautosyllabic) diphthongs (type *vaxrna) do not exist (any longer) in Lithuanian. The existence of long diphthongs would also have let us expect forms like * $k\acute{o}rti$ for $k\acute{a}rti$ 'to hang', cf. the pret. $k\acute{o}r\dot{e}$ with $[\bar{o}] < [*\bar{a}]$.

Observe that circumflexed monophthongs are longer than acuted ones.

The grave (`) occurs exclusively on short vowels and therefore does not denote tone, only stress: kìtas 'other', bùtas 'flat', nèšti 'carry', ràsti 'find', chòras 'choir'.

As demonstrated through *minimal pairs* like the above mentioned *áukštas*: $a\tilde{u}kštas$, tone can be distinctive in Lithuanian.

Beside the bipartite tone system of Standard Lithuanian distinguishing the acute and the circumflex certain Žemaitic dialects also possess a third tone, the so-called *broken tone* (which may be conceived as a variant of the acute). The pitch contour of the acute, circumflex or the broken tone varies according to the dialect.

The question of the origin of the tones in Baltic has been much debated. One should for the tonogenesis probably distinguish between word-internal and word-final position since the distinction between acute and circumflex in the former position seems to be the result of a specific Baltic (or rather Balto-Slavic) development possibly due to compensatory lengthening after the loss of a laryngeal in structures of the type CVRHC- (as for instance in gérti 'to drink') which ultimately yielded the acute of Standard Lithuanian. Such acute diphthongs were opposed to circumflexed diphthongs of normal duration (cf. for instance varnas 'raven'). Still another source of acuted diphthongs is found in the so-called nominal vrddhi, e. g. várna 'crow' (< *vārna): varnas '(black) raven'. Also the Dehnstufe (long grade) of word-internal monophthongs is expected to show an acute intonation, cf. for example sesti (seda, sedo) 'to sit down' vs. short *e in the same word-etymon in Germanic, but also the circumflex is encountered in certain morphological classes, e. g. lōbis 'wealth, treasure',

which is due to so-called *metatony* (: $l\tilde{a}bas$) 'wealth', $s\tilde{o}das$ 'garden' (probably borrowing from Slavic). For circumflex in the case of secondarily lengthened e (e. g. $g\tilde{e}ras$ 'good') and a (e. g. $g\tilde{a}las$ 'end'), cf. p. 28 f. above.

In word-final position circumflex seems to have developed from vowel contraction, cf. for example the gen. sg.-os of the \bar{a} -stems, $\check{z}iem\tilde{o}s$ 'winter', probably reflecting *a-es (-eH-es) in opposition to the nom. sg. in -a (from *- \bar{a} (eH): $\check{z}iem\grave{a}$).

Stress Movements

Lithuanian can have mobile stress both in forms belonging to the nominal and the verbal system.

1. Stress Movements triggered by de Saussure's Law

The notion of *morae* is fruitful in the description of Lithuanian phonology. According to this principle a long vowel or a (tautosyllabic) diphthong can be said to consist of two *morae*. Trimoric syllables (i. e. long tautosyllabic diphthongs or overlong monophthongs) are (but for some special cases) not tolerated in Lithuanian and have been subject to shortening. We regard a *mora* rather as an abstract and mathematical unit than an entity which has a constant and definable physical duration.

According to de Saussure's Law (which operated at an earlier stage of Lithuanian) an acute syllable attracted the ictus (stress) from an immediately preceding circumflex or short syllable, which explains the shift of stress between, for example, the nom. sg., the instr. sg. and the acc. pl. in cases like rātas : ratù : ratùs 'wheel', nāmas : namù : namùs 'house' vs. výras : výru : výrus 'man', lángas : lángu : lángus 'window', between the gen. sg., the nom./instr. sg. and the acc. pl. in rankos: rankà: rankàs 'hand, arm' vs. absent of accent shift in várnos: várna: várnas 'crow' in the nominal declension and stress movements in the verb system between the the 3 sg./pl., 1 and 2 pl. on the one side and 1 and 2 sg. on the other in veda, vedame, vedate: vedù, vedì (: vesti 'to lead'), gina, giname, ginate : ginù, ginì (: ginti 'to defend, protect'), gyvena, gyvename, gyvename: gyvenu, gyveni (: gyventi 'to live') vs. vérgiu, vérgi, vérgia (: vérgti 'become poor') with immobile accent. The idea behind this is that the final syllable which attracted the ictus in the above examples was a long acute $(*-\acute{u}o, -\acute{l}e, -\acute{a})$.

F. de Saussure's law implies the existence of former tonal oppositions also in unaccented syllables, a situation which Kuryłowicz (1957 and 1968) found unlikely mainly for typological reasons and which therefore led him to reformulate the law. However, there is evidence both from Lithuanian dialects and Latvian in support of such a typology. Thus, the law can be maintained in its original formulation. Nor do we also have to reformulate as Kuryłowicz did Leskien's law according to which a shortening of $*\hat{a} > a$, *ie > i, *io > u took place in word-final acute syllables thus

obscuring the environment for de Saussure's law, cf., for instance nom. sg. $rank\grave{a}$ (not * $rank\acute{o}$) vs. gen. sg. $ra\~nkos$. Original acute monosyllabics are as a rule not subject to Leskien's law, but undergo a shift from acute to circumflex intonation which is referred to as metatony, a term coined by de Saussure whereby they retain their length, cf. for example $va\~ziuoti\ tu\~o$ (metatony) $autobus\~a$ 'to go by that bus' (not * $tu\ autobus u$) with the instrumental reflecting a desinence in * \acute{o} both in the pronoun and the noun. For special cases such as the 3. sg. future tense, see p. 107 below.

Being originally a phonological law, in today's Lithuanian de Saussure's law is not automatically implemented in all cases where it might be expected to operate from a historical point of view. In modern Standard Lithuanian there are (due to analogy) exceptions to the law both in inflection and derivation which will be commented upon in the appropriate places. In the colloquial language of Vilnius (and elsewhere) such cases are particularly numerous, but as our concern is limited to the Standard language alone they are beyond the scope of the present book.

2. Stress Movements not Originating from de Saussure's Law

Mobility resulting from de Saussure's law is restricted to movement of stress between two syllables which follow immediately upon each other as for instance in ratas (nom. sg.): ratù (instr. sg.) 'wheel'. Before de Saussure's law started to operate in Lithuanian the stress in all forms of this paradigm rested on the first syllable. Thus, the rātas-paradigm was originally immobile. There is, however, another type of mobility which existed before the implementation of de Saussure's law which could be labeled "real (or: old) mobility". The noun lángas 'window' with an acuted root may serve as an illustration. It is end-stressed in all forms of the plural except the accusative. In polysyllabics "real" mobility is not restricted to movement of stress from one syllable to the next, but it could "leap" across unaccented syllables, cf. for instance a case like nom. sg. auksakalys: acc. sg. áuksakali 'jeweller'. In the plural end syllables are stressed according to the same pattern as the bisyllabic lángas. Such "real mobility" is encountered in certain prefixed verb forms (see p. 97 below) as well as in nouns following what is referred to as accent type 3. Type 4 nouns which have circumflex roots, show the mobility of 3 with the superposition of de Saussure's law; they are [+ mobile] and [+ de Saussure] whereas class 3 can be described as [+ mobile / - de Saussure].

Symbols for designating Accent Classes

In dictionaries and handbooks of Lithuanian the numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4 are commonly used to designate the stress patterns encountered in the nominal word classes of Lithuanian. The symbols 3 and 4 have been

explained in the preceding paragraph. Numbers 1 and 2 stand for [-mobile /- de Saussure] and [- mobile / + de Saussure] respectively. Further, the symbol 3a stands for accent class 3 with acute in a trisyllabic noun whereas 34a (type auksakalỹs, áuksakalį) signalizes accent class 3 with acute in a quadrisyllabic. For mobile circumflex and short tri- and quadrisyllabics the symbols 3b (e. g. kātinas 'cat', vyturỹs 'lark') and 34b (e. g. pasiuntinỹs 'ambassador', iškyšulỹs 'salient part') are used. Accent class 4 is impossible with tri- and quadrisyllabic nouns. Thus, there is no need for symbols like, for example, 4a or 44b.

Chapter 2

THE NOUN

(Daiktāvardis)

Grammatical Categories

The Lithuanian noun has the following grammatical categories:

- 1) gender
- 2) number
- 3) case

1. Gender

(Giminė)

Lithuanian distinguishes between two genders: the *masculine* and the *feminine*. To be exact, there are some marginal residuary forms reflecting the old neuter in impersonal constructions of the type *šiandien* yra *šilta* 'to-day it is warm', see pp. 38, 44 ff. and 186 below.

Nouns are said to have an *inherent* gender. It is expressed through agreement with modifiers (i. e. adjectives, participles, certain pronouns and numerals). The rules for the determination of the gender of Lithuanian nouns are relatively simple since there is a high degree of harmony between form and gender. Thus, nouns with a nom. sg. in -as, -ys, -us and -uo (with the exception of $sesu\tilde{o}$ 'sister') are masculine whereas nouns in -a and $-\dot{e}$ are feminine except for those few -a and $-\dot{e}$ nouns which refer to male persons where the gender is determined by the biological gender (sex), for examples see p. 39. We are then left with nouns in -is which are masculine if the genitive is in -io, but feminine in most cases with a genitive in -ies. Also the gender of indeclinable nouns (p. 50 f.) can be determined by simple rules.

Finally, it could be added that masculine nouns in -as, -us denoting occupations and the like are regularly opposed to feminines in $-\dot{e}$ (-a after j), e. g. pedagogas: pedagoge, mókytojas: mókytoja 'teacher', profesorius: profesore. When sex is unmarked, the masculine

form is used: mūsų kaime yra naujas gydytojas 'in our village there is a new physician'.

2. Number

(Skaičius)

Two numbers are distinguished in modern Standard Lithuanian - singular and plural. Some dialects have also preserved the dual, which the student can see in certain texts, especially older writings.

A peculiarity of Lithuanian - together with Latvian - is the richness in plural nouns (so-called *pluralia tantum*, see below).

3. Case

(Liñksnis)

There are six cases in Lithuanian: the nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, instrumental and locative. In the singular the noun also has a specific form for the vocative. In the plural the vocative is always identical with the nominative.

Beside the above cases Old Lithuanian possessed a number of secondary local cases (illative, allative, and adessive), probably modelled after a Balto-Finnic pattern. Of these only the illative has survived in Lithuanian dialects (especially in the East and South). In the modern literary language the illative can be encountered as a means of stylistic variation. In some cases as for instance in (eiti) laukañ '(go) out' (literally: 'into the field') it has been adverbialized. It is formed by adding the particle (originally probably postposition) -n(a) to the (unshortened vowel of the) accusative (sg. and pl.), whereas the particle/postposition -pie was suffixed to the genitive and the (old) locative to form the allative and the adessive respectively. The Lithuanian locative genetically represents an inessive which has replaced the old inherited IE locative. The old locative has survived only in certain adverbialized forms, like for example $nami\tilde{e}$ 'at home'. Contrary to the Finno-Ugric model of concrete (place) cases Baltic does not show traces of a postpositional ablative or elative, expressing movement away from or out of. For these functions Baltic uses prepositions ($nu\tilde{e}$ and $i\tilde{s}$) followed by the genitive.

Declensional Types

The Lithuanian noun is usually grouped in 5 classes, or *declensions*. Each declension has a set of specific endings or desinences which constitute a paradigm.

The first declension consists exclusively of masculine nouns. It is characterized through -as in the nominative sg. with three subsets in -ias, -is and -ys. The genitive is in -(i)o.

Examples:

THE NOUN 39

výras, gen. výro 'man', kelias [= keles], soft variant, cf. p. 4 above], gen. kelio 'road, path', arklýs, gen. árklio 'horse', peilis, gen. peilio 'knife'.

Nouns of the first declension in -tis or -dis show consonant shift in certain forms according to p. 24, e. g. tětis : gen. sg. těčio 'dad', pó-sėdis : gen. sg. pósėdžio 'meeting'.

From an IE point of view nouns of this class are labeled o-stems (Baltic/Lithuanian a-stems) and -(i)jo-stems.

The second declension has -a or -ė in the nominative sg. and consists of feminines except for the few common and proper nouns in -a/-ė which designate male persons (e. g. barzdylà 'bearded person', Jogáila, Daukšà, Póška, dėdė 'uncle', Krėvė) or nouns in -a capable of expressing either gender (e. g. kolegà, válkata 'vagabond', elgeta 'pauper').

Examples of ordinary feminine nouns in this declension: *várna* 'crow', *dvasià* 'breath', *gerklě* 'throat'.

Nouns of the second declension are from a historical point of view called \bar{a} -stems (Lithuanian o-stems, see p. 44 f.). \dot{e} -stems may be said to be characteristic of the Baltic languages. They may be said to form a subgroup of the \bar{a} -nouns (parallelling the -ys/-is-nouns of the 1. declension) and lack clear parallels in other IE languages. Two words (martì 'daughter-in-law; bride' and patì 'wife') have the ending -i in the nom. sg. whereas the endings of the oblique cases are identical to the ordinary 2nd declension nouns.

The *third declension* consists of feminines and a few masculines in -is. In order to distinguish this class formally from the -is subgroup of the first declension, it is necessary to look also at the genitive sg. which is in -ies in the third declension vs. -io in the first. Feminine and masculine nouns of this declension differ in the dative sg (see p. 45).

Examples of third declension nouns:

akìs, gen. akies (F) 'eye', dantìs, gen. danties (M) 'tooth'.

Historically third declension nouns represent IE *i*-stems. The group also contains some original consonant stems (cf. the fifth declension below) which explains some divergences in the genitive pl. (see p. 46 below).

The fourth declension is characterized by -us in the nominative sg. They are all masculine. Examples: $s\bar{u}n\dot{u}s$ 'son', $tu\bar{r}gus$ 'market'. Like the 1st and 2nd declension this declension contains a soft subgroup: $prof\dot{e}sorius$ [-oṛus] 'professor', $ska\tilde{i}\dot{c}ius$ 'number', $pav\tilde{o}jus$ 'danger'.

From a historical point of view -us nouns are referred to as u-stems.

The fifth declension contains a relatively small number of masculine nouns in $-u\tilde{o}$, e. g. $\check{s}u\tilde{o}$ 'dog', $akmu\tilde{o}$ 'stone' plus two feminine nouns, $sesu\tilde{o}$ 'sister' and $dukt\tilde{e}$ 'daughter'. The gen. sg. is in -s, cf. $\check{s}u\tilde{n}-s$, $akme\tilde{n}-s$, $sese\tilde{r}-s$, $dukte\tilde{r}-s$ which is added to a stem different from that of the nominative.

Dependent on the consonant preceding the -s these nouns are from a historical point of view labeled n- and r-stems. The Lithuanian consonant stems have cognates in other IE languages.

First Declension

Paradigms with Comments

The different subtypes will become apparent from the following paradigms:

SG	N G D A I L	a (1) 'man' výras výro výrui výra výru výre výre!	b (4) 'road' kelias keliui keliui keliui kelyje kely!	c (4) 'guest' svēčias svēčio svēčiui svēčia svečiù svetyjè svetý!	d (2) 'tree' medis medžio medžiui medi medžiù medžiù medžiù medje medi!	e (3) 'horse' arklỹs árklio árkliui árklį árkliu arklyjè arklỹ!
PL	N	výrai	keliai	svečiai	mēdžiai	arkliai
	G	výrų	kelių	svečių	mēdžių	arklių
	D	výrams	keliáms	svečiáms	mēdžiams	arkliams
	A	výrus	keliùs	svečius	medžiùs	árklius
	I	výrais	keliais	svečiais	mēdžiais	arkliais
	L	výruose	keliuosè	svečiuosè	mēdžiuose	arkliuosè

According to p. 38 above the vocative plural is identical with the nominative plural.

Paradigm a) may be considered basic in contrast to b), c), d) and e), which can be regarded as subtypes of a). The soft b-paradigm (stem [ke]-]) is almost identical with a). Only the locative and vocative sg. are different. The same holds good for c), which according to p. 24 shows an alternation $t:\check{c}$. Paradigm d) illustrates the parallel shift between d

and $d\check{z}$. In addition it deviates from the b) and c) pattern in the nom. and voc. sg. Paradigms d) and e) differ only in the nom. and voc. sg.

The *vocative* sg. of the 1st declension needs a special comment because there are several endings, viz. -e, -i, -y, -au and -ai. The distribution is as follows: -e (or -ai, see next passage) is used if the nominative sg. is in -as (cf. the a-paradigm: výre), -i is used with nouns in -ias of the 1st and 2nd accent patterns (e. g. élni : élnias 'reindeer') as well as with nouns in -is (mēdi, bróli : mēdis, brólis) whereas -y is the rule with nouns in -ias of the 3rd and 4th accent patterns (e. g. svetỹ : svēčias) and nouns in -ys (e. g. arkly : arklỹs). With nouns in -jas the vocative ending is -au, e. g. mókytojau (: mókytojas 'teacher').

The voc. sg. in -ai is limited to paradigm a). It is frequently used with animate nouns, especially proper names, for example Antānai, not *Antāne (which is obsolete). Note further the contrast Strāzdai! (surname): strāzde! 'thrush' (: S/strāzdas). The word pōnas 'sir; Mr.' has the vocative in -e, not -ai: pōne Strāzdai! (or more frequently pōnas Strāzdai! with pōnas in the nominative before the vocative of the proper name).

Finally, it should be observed that there is an important subtype in -jas, e. g. mókytojas 'teacher' and kepēĵas 'baker', which has borrowed its vocative from the -jus stems (cf. the 4th declension below and the rules for vocative endings just given): mókytojau, kepēĵau! Note that even the locative sg. in this type is formed in accordance with the fourth declension: mókytojuje, kepēĵuje. Also vējas 'wind' and kraūjas 'blood' may be treated this way.

The noun *petys* (older form *petis*) 'shoulder' has an irregular genitive sg. in -*ies* (since it originally belonged to the 3rd declension), but is otherwise declined according to the 1st declension, i. e. *pečiui*, *peti, pečiù* (or *petimì*), *petyjè*, *pety*, pl. *pečiaī*, *pečiū* etc.

Historically paradigm a) reflects IE o-stems - cf. p. 39 -, b) and c) jo-stems whereas d) and e) reflect -ijo- stems. The nom. sg. balt. *-ijas has developed into -ys under stress and -is in unstressed position. The details in this process are not clear. In the other forms of the paradigm (with the exception of the voc.) the endings are the same as in a) - c). For IE cognates of the endings, see handbooks on historical morphology (= bibliography p. 113 ff.).

Reflexive Nouns

Like Latvian (and Polish) Lithuanian also possesses a number of reflexive (deverbative) nouns. In Lithuanian they are restricted to the 1st declension and end in *-Vmasis* (cf. p. 166 f. below), e. g. *kreipimasis* 'address' (: *kreiptis* 'to address oneself' : *kreipti* 'turn'). Such nouns are declined as follows:

SG		PL
N	kreipìmas-is	kreipìmai-si
G	kreipìmo-si	kreipìmų-si
D	kreipìmui-si	_
A	kreipìmą-si	
I	kreipìmu-si	
L	kreipìme-si	

In the plural only the nom. and the gen. pl. seem to be used: $m\bar{u}su$ kreipimaisi į Lietuvos vyriausybę davė rezultatų: [...] 'our appeals to the Lithuanian government gave as results: [...]'; dėl mūsų kreipimųsi į Lietuvos vyriausybę 'on account of our appeals to the Lithuanian government'.

Note that the hyphen in the above paradigm is not encountered in the orthography; it is used here only as a pedagogical device to show the similarity with the inflection of the non-reflexive nouns. As illustrated through the above paradigm the reflexive element is in -is after a consonant, -si after a vowel.

Accentuation

According to the principles set out (p. 35 f.) we expect four different accent paradigms, which are commonly referred to as 1), 2), 3) and 4), cf. above. As can be seen from the tables (p. 40), they are all realized in the first declension.

The paradigms already given could suffice for illustration. However, for the sake of clarity we choose to present a more detailed picture with both the *as*-type (1-4) and the subclasses in -is (=1 & 2) and -vs (=3 & 4).

1	2	3	4
(-mob/-de S.) (-n	nob./+ de	S.) (+mobde S	S.) (+mob./+de S.)

SG	N G D A I L	ʻman' výras výro výrui výrą výru výre	'wheel' rãtas rãto rãtui rãta ratù ratè	'window' lángas lángo lángui lánga lángu langè	'house' nãmas nãmo nãmui nãma namù namè
PL	N	výrai	rãtai	langa i	namaî
	G	výrų	rãtų	langų̃	namỹ

THE NOUN 43

D	výrams	rãtams	langáms	namáms
A	výrus	ratùs	lángus	namùs
I	výrais	rãtais	langais	namais
L	výruose	rãtuose	languosè	namuosè

Forms (i. e. the instr. sg. and acc. pl.) in which de Saussure's law (p. 34 f.) has operated are given in italics. Other types of accent shifts will be evident from a comparison between paradigms 1 and 3.

The same principles of accentuation are also implemented in the subtypes. The subtype in -is is represented by class 1 and 2 nouns whereas the end-stressed -ys variant is encountered in 3 and 4. Examples:

		1	2	3	4
		'brother'	'bird'	'horse'	'woodpecker'
SG	N	brólis	paũkštis	arklỹs	genỹs
	G	brólio	paũkščio	árklio	gẽnio
	D	bróliui	paũkščiui	árkliui	gẽniui
	A	brólį	paũkštį	árklį	gẽnį
	I	bróliu	paukščiù	árkliu	geniù
	L	brólyje	paũkštyje	arklyjè	genyjè
	V	bróli!	paũkšti!	arklỹ!	genỹ!
PL	N	bróliai	paũkščiai	arkliai	geniai
	G	brólių	paũkščių	arklių̃	genių̃
	D	bróliams	paũkščiams	arkliáms	geniáms
	A	brólius	paukščiùs	árklius	geniùs
	I	bróliais	paũkščiais	arkliais	geniais
	L	bróliuose	paũkščiuose	arkliuosè	geniuosè

For supplementary information on the accentuation of the vocative forms, see examples in connection with formational rules of the vocative (p. 41 above).

So far only nouns which are bisyllabic in the nom. sg. have been mentioned. As examples of tri- and quadrisyllabics we can quote the following: beržýnas (1) 'birch grove', brolēlis (2) '(little) brother', dóbilas (3a) 'clover', auksakalỹs (34a) 'jeweller'. In dóbilas and auksakalỹs the stress moves between the first and the last syllable, e. g. nom. sg. dóbilas: nom. pl. dobilaī. The last syllable is stressed in the locative sg. and all cases except the accusative in the plural.

Symbols like 3a and 34a are explained on p. 36 above.

Second Declension

Paradigms with Comments

The different types will become apparent from the following paradigms:

		a (1) 'crow'	b (2) 'breath'	c (4) 'daughter-	d (3) 'throat'	e (4) 'cat'
		CIOW	Dieam	in-law'	unoai	Cat
SG	N	várna	dvasià	martì	gerklě	katė̃
	G	várnos	dvãsios	marčiõs	gerklė̃s	kãtė̃s
	D	várnai	dvãsiai	marčiai	gérklei	kãtei
	A	várną	dvãsią	marčią	gérklę	kãtę
	I	várna	dvasià	marčià	gérkle	katè
	L	várnoje	dvãsioje	marčiojè	gerklėjè	katėjė
	V	várna!	dvãsia!	marčia/ martì!	gérkle!	kãte!
PL	N	várnos	dvãsios	marčios	gérklės	kãtės
	G	várnų	dvãsių	marčių̃	gerklių̃	kačių̃
	D	várnoms	dvãsioms	marčióms	gerkléms	katéms
	A	várnas	dvasiàs	marčiàs	gérkles	katès
	I	várnomis	dvãsiomis	marčiomis	gerklėmis	katėmis
	L	várnose	dvãsiose	marčiosè	gerklėsè	katėsè
	V=N					

The dvasia-type illustrates the soft variant of the basic hard paradigm represented by varna in the above diagram, cf. [$va\cdot rn$ -a, $va\cdot rn$ -o:s etc. : $dvas-\varepsilon$, dvas-o:s etc.].

In a historical perspective the $dvasi\grave{a}$ -class represents *- $j\bar{a}$ -stems thus parallelling the $k\~elias$ -subtype of the first declension. The *j will change an immediately preceding *t or *d into \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ respectively. The $\check{c}/d\check{z}$ will then appear in all forms of the paradigm, and there will be no alternation between t and \check{c} , d and $d\check{z}$. Therefore it is not necessary to give separate - $\check{c}ia/d\check{z}ia$ -paradigms.

As pointed out (p. 39) there are two nouns ending in -i in the nom. sg., namely marti and pati. The rest of the paradigm follows the dvasia-pattern. Observe, however, the -t- in the nominative sg. versus $-\check{c}$ - in the other forms.

THE NOUN 45

The \dot{e} -stems are a second declension counterpart of the -is/-ys subtype of the first declension and seem from a diachronic point of view to reflect $-*ij\bar{e}$ (and/or $*-ij\bar{a}$?). The inflection of the \dot{e} -stems is basically the same as that of the $v\acute{a}rna$ -paradigm with the only adjustment that $-\dot{e}/e$ has to be substituted for -a-/-o- throughout the paradigm. Stems in $-t\dot{e}$ and $-d\dot{e}$ in the nom. sg. retain the -t-/-d- in all forms except for the genitive pl. which has $-\check{c}-/-d\check{z}$ -.

According to the general rule (p. 38) the vocative pl. is always identical with the nominative. For sg. forms, see above table (p. 44).

Accentuation

Here a more economic description will be given than in the case of the nouns of the 1st declension. The words illustrating the different paradigms of the nouns belonging to the second declension (p. 44) have been chosen in such a way that they also show the possible accentuational variations, i.e. the different accent paradigms. Accent patterns 1 and 2 are illustrated through the várna and dvasia paradigms, 3 and 4 through the \dot{e} -stems $gerkl\tilde{e}$ and $kat\tilde{e}$. It is necessary to note only the nom. sg. in $-\dot{e}$ which is end-stressed (and circumflex) in accent classes 3 and 4 only in contrast to the -a/ia-type which has end-stressed nominative forms in classes 2, 3 and 4. The instr. sg. and the acc. pl. are exposed to de Saussure's law in classes 2 and 4 both in the -(i)a and \dot{e} -types and are marked with italics. In the nom. sg. the effect of this law is seen only in -(i)a nouns of accent class two.

Examples of polysyllabics are *ādata* (1) 'needle', *sistemà*, nom. pl. *sistèmos* (2) 'system', *dovanà*, nom. pl. *dóvanos* (3^a) 'gift' and *pabaigà*, nom. pl. *pābaigos* (4^b) 'end(ing)'.

Third Declension

(i-Stems)

Paradigms with Comments:

		F (1)	2: missing	F (3)	M (4)
		'quality'		'heart'	'tooth'
SG	N	rū́šis		širdìs	dantìs
	G	rū́šies		širdiẽs	danties
	D	rūšiai		šìrdžiai	dañčiui
	A	r ú šį		šìrdį	dañtį
	I	r ú šimi		širdimì	dantimì
				(šìrdžia)	
	L	rū́šyje		širdyjė	dantyjè
	V	rū́šyje rū́šie!		širdiė̃!	dantië!

PL	N	rū́šys (dùrys)	šìrdys	dañtys
	G	rū́šių (dùrų)	širdžių̃	dantữ
	D	rū́šims	širdìms	dantims
	A	rū̃šis (durìs)	šìrdis	dantìs
	I	rū́šimis	širdimìs	dantimìs
	L	rū́šyse	širdysè	dantysè
	V=N	·	•	•

As pointed out on p. 39 this declension contains both masculines and feminines. The latter are in a majority. The paradigms of the masculines and the feminines are identical - except in the dative sg. where the feminines have adopted the endings of the second declension (cf. the *dvasià*-pattern above) and the masculines the endings of the first declension (the *kēlias*-subtype).

The endings given in the above paradigm are those of modern Standard Lithuanian. Deviations may be encountered in older writings and dialects. The cases showing the consonant shift $t: \check{c}, d: d\check{z}$ become apparent from the given paradigms.

The *genitive plural* should be mentioned separately since some nouns - independently of their gender - have $-i\mu$ ("soft ending") whereas others have $-\mu$ ('hard ending').

The "hard ending" has been taken over from the consonant stems (see below) because a good number of original consonant stems - due to certain similarities in the development of the types - have joined the i-stems.

In addition to dantų the ending -ų is also present in debesų (: debesis 'cloud'), žąsų (: žąsis 'goose'), pušų (: pušis 'pine'), žuvų (: žuvis 'fish'), naktų (: naktis 'night'), ausų (: ausis 'ear'), šaknų (: šaknis 'root').

Since the masculines are in a minority in the third declension some of the most common (in addition to *dantis*) may be quoted: *vagis* 'thief', *žvėris* 'beast', *debesis* 'cloud'.

Finally, it should be mentioned that three words (all feminines) can have an alternative ending in the instrumental sg., e. g. širdžia (: širdìs 'heart), ugnià (: ugnìs 'fire') and žuvià (: žuvìs 'fish').

Accentuation

The accent movements are illustrated through the above paradigms. Accent class 2 seems to be missing (with the sole exception

of the plural noun dùrys'door', see p. 51 f.). Note that de Saussure's law operates only in the acc. pl. (dantis vs. širdis).

Fourth Declension

(u-Stems)

Paradigms with Comments:

	3		1
SG	N	sūnùs	profèsorius
	G	sūnaũs	profèsoriaus
	D	sū́nui	profèsoriui
	Α	sū́nų	profèsorių
	I	sūnumì	profèsoriumi
	L	sūnujè	profèsoriuje
	V	sūnaũ!	profèsoriau!
PL	N	sū́nūs	profèsoriai
	G	sūnų̃	profèsorių
	D	sūnùms	profèsoriams
	Α	sū́nus	profèsorius
	I	sūnumìs	profèsoriais
	L	sūnuosè	profèsoriuose

As will be seen from the paradigms the third and fourth declensions are parallel with regard to the endings of the instr. sg. in -mi as well as the loc. sg. in -je.

As mentioned in the general outline on declensional types above this class is restricted to masculines only.

Note that the subtype in -ius (after soft consonants) and -jus (after a vowel) follows the pattern of the 4th declension in the sg. only whereas the plural has adopted the endings of the 1st declension. Examples of this subtype other than profèsorius: vaīsius 'fruit', skaīčius 'number', muziējus 'museum', pavõjus 'danger'.

Accentuation

All four accent classes are respresented. Examples of accent paradigms 2 and 4 (which are not given in the above paradigms) are $tu\tilde{r}gus$ (2) 'market' and dangus (4) 'heaven'. de Saussure's law operates in the acc. pl. only (e. g. turgus vs. sunus). The differences in stress between the immobile and the mobile paradigm become evident from the comparison between the sunus- and the profesorius-type.

Nouns belonging to the soft subtype do not show alternation between $t : \check{c}, d : d\check{z}$ since the affricate will be present in all forms - both in sg. and pl., cf. for instance $s\tilde{o}d\check{z}ius$ 'village'.

Fifth Declension

(Consonant Stems)

Paradigms with Comments:

		M	M	F	F
		'stone'	'dog'	'sister'	'daughter'
		3 b	4	3 b	3 b
SG	N	akmuõ	šuõ	sesuõ	duktě
	G	akmeñs	šuñs	sesers	dukters
	D	ãkmeniui	šùniui	sẽseriai	dùkteriai
	A	ãkmenį	šùnį	sẽserį	dùkterį
	I	ãkmeniu	šuniù	sẽseria	dùkteria
	L	akmenyjè	šunyjè	seseryjè	dukteryjè
	V	akmenië!	šunie!	sesuõ	dukterië!
PL	N	ãkmenys	šùnys	sẽserys	dùkterys
	G	akmenű	šunų̃	seserų̃	dukterų̃
	D	akmenìms	šunìms	seserims	dukterims
	A	ãkmenis	šunìs	sẽseris	dùkteris
	I	akmenimis	šunimìs	seserimis	dukterimis
	L	akmenysè	šunysè	seserysè	dukterysè
	V=N	-			-

Note the dat. and instr. sg. where the M-nouns have adopted the (soft) 1. declension endings and the F-nouns the (soft) 2. declension endings (for the dative the situation repeats that of the third declension). The interplay of 'soft' and 'hard' endings in the paradigm should be observed. The 'hard' genitive plural is parallelled by the *dantis*-type of the third declension (cf. above).

The masculine noun *měnuo* 'month' follows the pattern of the 5th declension only in the nominative sg. The rest of the paradigm (both sg. and pl.) of this originally s-stem noun is that of the 1st declension, i. e. gen. *měnesio*, dat. *měnesiui*, nom. pl. *měnesiai* etc.

Endings deviating from those indicated above may be encountered in older writings as well as in dialects.

THE NOUN 49

In colloquial Lithuanian a paradigm $dukr\grave{a}$, $d\grave{u}kros$ etc. is more frequently encountered than that of $dukt\~{e}$, $dukte\~{r}s$ etc. Also the vocative $s\~{e}se!$ 'sister' (which belongs to the colloquial $s\~{e}s\'{e}$ -paradigm) is often heard instead of $sesu\~{o}$.

Accentuation

Nouns of the fifth declension follow accent paradigm 3 with the exception of $\check{s}u\tilde{o}$ which is 4. The symbol 3^b indicates a trisyllabic noun with circumflex intonation (cf. p. 36 above).

Heteroclitics

By heteroclitics can be understood either 1) suppletive paradigms (i. e. alternation of phonemically completely different allomorphs like for instance *good: better* in the English adjectival comparison or $reb\ddot{e}nok$ 'child', pl. deti in the Russian noun declension) or 2) paradigms where the nom. sg. stem deviates from the other stem forms in the paradigm or 3) paradigms where the sg. stem differs from that of the plural. There are no examples of 1) in the Lithuanian noun. 2) may be demonstrated through cases like nom. sg. $akmu\~o$ vs. the stem akmen-elsewhere (p. 48), but such cases are incorporated in the ordinary declensions. Thus, we are left with 3) which seems to be represented by one single lexeme only, namely $žmog\`us$ 'man' which follows the 4th (u-stem) declension in the singular, but the second (e-stem variant) in the plural:

SG	N	žmogùs
	G	žmogaũs
	D	žmõgui
	A	žmõgų
	I	žmogumì
	L	žmogujè
	V	žmogaũ!
PL	N	žmónės
	G	žmonių̃
	D	žmonéms
	A	žmónes
	Ι	žmonėmis
	L	žmonėsè

As a possible fourth case of heterocliticism one could think of the discrepancy between 'hard' and 'soft' endings in the gen. pl. of the third declension (*i*-declension), cf. p. 46 above.

Indeclinables

Words of this group are restricted to *nouns of foreign origin* with a morphological shape which makes it difficult to incorporate them in the mainstream (i. e. declinable) corpus of Lithuanian. Thus, words in (accented and unaccented) -i, -u and -o are not declined. Examples: taksì, lèdi 'lady', interviù, Bakù, metrò, krèdo. The same applies to nouns in accented -ė (ateljė, fojė).

One might wonder why foreign words in $-\dot{e}$ do not (automatically) join the second declension. One of the reasons for this seems to be that an analysis in $atelj+\dot{e}$, $foj+\dot{e}$ would violate the very integrity of the word. This piety for foreign words is, however, violated in cases where the source word has an -o as, for example, in Tokijas 'Tokio', Oslos 'Oslo' (alongside the indeclinable Oslos) which are declined according to the first declension. Another city name, Helsinki, has been made declinable by adding an -s: Helsinkis (gen. Helsinkio).

As indeclinables are also treated proper names ending in a consonant when they denote women like, for instance, *Márgaret Tèčer*. Her husband's name must, however, be declined: *Dènisas Tèčeris*, *Dèniso Tèčerio* etc.

The gender of indeclinables in -i, -u, -o with inanimate reference is masculine (which is the unmarked gender of Lithuanian). The gender is expressed through modifiers, e. g. idomùs interviù 'an interesting interview', see also chapter on agreement. Inanimate indeclinables in accented $-\dot{e}$ belong to the feminine gender. (Deviations from this rule may be encountered, cf. the following example from a newspaper: mažasis savaitės esė 'the small essay of the week'; this is contrary to modern normative dictionaries which present ese as a feminine noun.)

The gender of *animate* indeclinables is determined by the biological gender (sex).

Singular and Plural Nouns

(Singularia et pluralia tantum)

By this term are meant nouns which possess either only singular or plural forms.

The first group (the singularia tantum) encompasses:

- 1) abstracts (like méilė 'love', sąžinė 'conscience'),
- 2) collectives (e. g. jaunimas 'youth', inteligentijà 'intelligentsia'),
- 3) many designations of materials (geležis 'iron', degtìnė 'vodka', medùs 'honey') and
 - 4) names like Lietuvà, Vìlnius etc.

To the second group (the *pluralia tantum*) belong:

- 1) designations of ceremonies, feasts and similar, like *vestùvės* 'marriage', *láidotuvės* 'funeral', *atóstogos* 'holidays', *Kalė̃dos* 'Christmas', *Velýkos* 'Easter', *mētai* 'year', *rinkìmai* 'elections)',
- 2) a good number of mass nouns like dažai 'paint', dùjos 'gas', miltai 'flour' (including the words for wheat, rye etc.), pelenai 'ashes',
- 3) the directions of the compass: $pi\tilde{e}t\bar{u}s$ 'south', $ryta\tilde{i}$ 'east', $vakara\tilde{i}$ 'west'.
- N. B. For 'north' Standard Lithuanian makes use of a singular noun *šiáurė*; the plural noun form *žiemiai* 'north' is dialectal.
- 4) some geographical names like Álpės 'the Alps', Atěnai 'Athens', Balkānai (or Balkānų kalnaī) 'The Balkans' and Himalājai (or Himalājų kalnaī) 'The Himalayas'. Within Lithuania there is a very considerable number of pluralic nouns designating cities, villages and suburbs, cf., for example Šiauliaī, Raséiniai, Trākai, Elektrénai, Zarasaī, Drùskininkai, Rùmšiškės, Justìniškės, Fabijōniškės. The student should, however, not be misled into concluding that all Lithuanian city names are plural nouns; it suffices to mention only Vìlnius (cf. also point 4 under singularia tantum above), Kaūnas, Klaūpėda to prove that this is not true.
- 5) a group of heterogeneous nouns like *vartai* 'gate(s)', *griñdys* 'floor', *marškiniai* 'shirt', *akiniai* 'glasses', *grumtynės* 'fight, struggle', *varžýbos* 'contest, competition', *tymai* 'measles'.

Some of the above nouns can have singular or plural meaning depending on the context. Thus, for example, *marškiniai* can mean both 'shirt' and 'shirts'. Adjectival modifiers must be in the plural, cf. p. 197.

For the choice of numerals in combination with countable plural nouns, see chapter on numerals (p. 85 f.).

One is intrigued by the great number of *pluralia tantum* nouns in Lithuanian, not least those referring to mass nouns (group 2 above). The reasons for this development remain obscure. From a practical point of view, however, one should be careful and not take for granted that nouns within this semantic sphere are automatically

pluralic (cf. point 3 under *singularia tantum*). Regular nouns with both singular and plural forms are among others the designations of berries, fruits and vegetables.

THE NOUN 53

Word Formation

(Žõdžių darýba)

Within word formation two main areas are distinguished: derivation and composition. Suffixation is a derivational strategy (cf. I below) whereas prefixation is a compositional device (treated in II below). Some of the examples given in II are hybrids since a change in suffix is also observed. In III non-prefixal compositions are discussed.

I. Suffixal Derivatives

1. Designations of Persons

Important suffixes here are:

-ininkas (f: -ininkė) which derives nouns from nominal word classes:

darbiniñkas 'worker' (: dárbas 'work'), kalbiniñkas 'linguist' (: kalbà 'language'), trãktorininkas 'tractor driver' (: trãktorius), pìrmininkas 'chairman, president' (: pìrmas 'first');

-ietis, -ietė which derives nouns with the meaning of 'origin' from nouns: amerikiẽtis 'American (man)' (: Amèrika), kauniẽtė 'woman from Kaunas' (: Kaū̃nas);

-tojas (f: -toja), with the help of which nouns are derived from the infinitive stem of verbs:

mókytojas 'teacher' (: mókyti 'teach'), gýdytojas 'surgeon' (: gýdyti 'cure');

 $-\dot{e}jas$ (f: $-\dot{e}ja$) which like the preceding suffix is deverbal with derivatives formed from the preterite stem):

vedëjas 'head, manager' (: vēdė 'led', inf. vèsti), gavëjas 'receiver' (: gãvo 'got, received', inf. gáuti);

2. Abstracts

The most important of these suffixes are:

-ymas which derives nouns of various meanings (mostly nomina actionis) from verbs in -yti (with presents in -o, preterites in -ė) with the variant -imas from other verbs: dārymas 'doing, making' (: darýti 'do'), baigimas 'ending' (: baigti 'end'). Formations of this kind are very productive and can be made from any verb, cf. p. 166. Note: kláusimas 'question', not the 'act of asking questions' (: kláusti 'ask'), vežimas (: vèžti 'transport') with the two meanings a) 'transporting', b) 'waggon';

- -yba which derives nomina actionis from verbs: darýba '(act of) making' (: darýti 'do'), statýba '(act of) building';
- -inys which produces deverbatives with the meaning of result: rašinỹs 'composition, written work' (: rašýti 'write'). Observe: mokinỹs/mokinễ 'pupil' (: mókytis 'learn, study');
- -umas is a very frequent suffix for deriving nouns from adjectives (including the participle of necessity in -tinas, see p. 163): gerùmas 'kindness, goodness' (: gēras 'good'), būtinùmas 'necessity' (: būtinas 'necessary');
- -ybė which derives nouns from adjectives and nouns: gerýbė 'kindness, goodness' (: $g\bar{e}ras$ 'good'), pilietýbė 'citizenship' (: $pili\bar{e}tis$ 'citizen');
- -ystė with the help of which nouns are derived from adjectives and nouns: jaunystė 'youth, young years' (: jáunas 'young'), jūreivystė 'navigation' (: jūreivis 'sailor');
- -ovė (from adjectives): senóvė 'old times' (: sēnas 'old');
- -sena which produces deverbatives mostly with the meaning of way: gyvénsena 'way of life' (: gyvénti 'live'), mąstýsena 'way of thinking'
- (: mąstýti 'think'), būsena 'state, condition' (: būti 'be');
- -is (from adjectives) often accompanied by a vowel shift in comparison with the basic word: $gr\tilde{o}zis$ 'beauty' (: grazus 'beautiful')
- -tynės (pluralia tantum) with the help of which deverbatives are formed: peštỹnės 'fight' (: pèštis 'to fight');

3. Collective Nouns

The most frequent suffixes here are:

- -ija (from nouns): draugijà 'society, circle' (: draugas 'friend');
- -uomenė (from nouns, adjectives and pronouns): kariúomenė 'army' (ultimately : kāras 'war'), bendrúomenė 'community' (: beñdras 'common'), visúomenė 'society' (: vìsas 'all');

4. Designations for Instruments

To the most frequent suffixes here belong:

- -tukas (deverbative): pieštùkas 'pencil' (: piešti 'draw')
- -tuvas (deverbative): imtùvas 'wireless set, receiver' (: imti 'take, receive');
- -iklis (deverbative): jungìklis 'switch' (: jùngti 'connect');
- -yklė (deverbative): rodyklė 'pointer; hand (on a watch)' (: ródyti 'point, show')

THE NOUN 55

5. Designations for Location (Place)

Here should be mentioned:

-ynas (from nouns) which could also be labeled a collective suffix, cf. 3 above: beržýnas 'place where there are many birches; birch grove' (: béržas 'birch'), žemýnas 'continent' (: žēmė 'earth, land'); knygýnas 'book store' (: knygà 'book', žodýnas 'dictionary' (: žodis 'word');

-ykla (deverbative): mokyklà 'school' (: mókyti/s/ 'teach, learn'); valgyklà 'cafeteria, (modest) restaurant' (: válgyti 'eat'), siuvyklà 'sewing shop' (: siúti 'sew');

-tuvė (deverbative): spaustùvė 'printing house' (: spáusti 'press'), dirbtùvė 'workshop' (: dìrbti 'work');

-iena (from nouns) to designate place where cultivated plants and vegetables are grown: bulvíena 'potato field' (: bùlvė 'potato');

-idė (from nouns designating domestic animals): karvìdė 'cow shed' (: kárvė 'cow');

-inė (from nouns) to denote 'container': sviestinė 'butter dish' (: sviestas 'butter'), cùkrinė 'sugar basin' (: cùkrus 'sugar'); váistinė 'drug store' (: váistas 'medicine')

6. Diminutives and Augmentatives

Augmentatives are quite rare in Lithuanian. A suffix which can have this nuance is -okas. However, the meaning is not only augmentative, but also pejorative. It seems difficult to find good examples with this suffix in the Standard language.

The suffixes labeled diminutive are extremely common and numerous. Nouns with such suffixes are derived from other nouns. They mostly have a hypocoristic meaning and express familiarity, emotionality rather than small size, strictly speaking. They are especially frequent in the literary genres of the folk songs (dainos) and fairy tales as well as in colloquial speech.

The most important suffixes here are:

-elis, -elė: namēlis 'little house' (: nāmas 'house'), kiškēlis '(dear, little) hare' (: kìškis 'hare'), sesēlė '(dear, little) sister' (: sesuõ 'sister');

-(i)ukas, -(i)ukė: kiškiùkas(: kiškis), sesiùkė (: sesuõ), Jonùkas (: Jõnas);

-ùtis, -ùtė: sesùtė (: sesuõ), Almùtė (: Álma);

-ýtis, -ýtė: kiškýtis (: kìškis), sesýtė (: sesuõ)

Of these suffixes the latter may be said to be the most emotionally loaded.

7. Surnames

-ienė is the suffix denoting a married woman: Zinkevičienė, Jakáitienė (with corresponding husband's names Zinkevičius, Jakáitis). For unmarried women the suffixes are -aitė, -ytė and -(i)utė or -(i)ūtė dependent on the form of the father's surname. It is:

-aitė if the the father's surname is in -as: Strazdáitė (: Strāzdas), -ytė if the father's surname is in -is, -ys, -ė or -ia: Jakaitýtė (: Jakáitis), Pakerytė (: Pakerỹs), Gervytė (: Gérvė), Stundžýtė (: Stùndžia),

-(i)utė or -(i)ūtė if the fathers surname is in -(i)us: Butkùtė (: Bùtkus), Zinkevičiūtė (: Zinkevičius)

II. Prefixal Derivatives

As will be apparent from the subsequent examples, all seem to be denominal.

1. Formations denoting Persons

pa-: pāmotė 'stepmother' (: mótė 'mother'), patėvis 'stepfather' (: tė̃vas 'father'),

pó-: pósūnis 'stepson' (: sūnùs 'son'), pódukra 'stepdaughter' (: dukrà 'daughter'),

pró-: prótėvis 'ancestor' (: tévas),

į-: įtėvis 'foster-father' (: tė̃vas),

be-: betvarkis 'untidy (male) person', betvarkė 'untidy (female) person' (: tvarkà 'order')

2. Formations denoting Place

pa-: pajūris 'seaside' (: jūra 'sea'),

pó-: póžemis 'vault' (: žẽmė 'earth'),

prie-: priemiestis 'suburb' (: miestas 'city'),

 $u\check{z}$ -: $u\check{z}upis$ 'on the other side of the river' (: $u\check{p}\dot{e}$ 'river'), $u\check{z}krosnis$ 'place behind the stove' (: $kr\acute{o}snis$ 'stove'),

3. Formations denoting Time

pa-: pavakarē 'time towards evening' (: vākaras 'evening'), pó-: pókaris 'postwar period' (: kāras 'war')

THE NOUN 57

III. Non-Prefixal Compound Nouns

Because of the limited size of the book and the intricacy of the topic only few illustrations will be given. Compounds can be without or with a connecting vowel. Examples of the former type are: laīkraštis 'newspaper', gárlaivis 'steamship', garvežỹs 'locomotive; steamengine', akmentašỹs 'stone cutter', of the latter: daiktāvardis 'noun', galvósūkis 'puzzler', saulētekis 'sunrise', avìkailis 'sheepskin', vidùvasaris 'midsummer'. As is seen from the examples, the connecting vowel always carries the main stress.

Further, the connecting vowel is -a- if the first component of the compound noun is an o-stem (daikta- : dáiktas), -o- with \bar{a} -stems (galvo- : galva), $-\dot{e}$ - with \bar{e} -stems ($saul\dot{e}$ - : $sául\dot{e}$), -i- with i-stems (avi- : avis) and -u- with u-stems (vidu- : vidus).

As illustrated by the examples, the stem of the second component is often changed compared to that of the basic noun. This holds good both for the cases with and without a connecting vowel, e. g. laīkraštis: rāštas, daiktāvardis: var̃das.

The bulk of the above examples have a noun both in the first and second component of the compound, but also other combinations are possible, thus adjective + noun in aukštākalnis 'high hill' (< áukštas + kálnas). In garvežýs, akmentašýs, galvósūkis, saulėtekis the second component is derived from verbs. Old and somewhat obscure compounds are found in proper names like Gedimìnas, Algimantas and others.

Chapter 3

THE ADJECTIVE

(Būdvardis)

General Characteristics

The Lithuanian adjective appears in two variants: the *nominal* (or short or indefinite) and the *pronominal* (or long or definite) respectively.

Both types are declined in *gender*, *number* and *case*. In addition, many adjectives (i. e. the qualitative) are inflected according to *degrees* of comparison, whereby the comparative and the superlative are distinguished from the positive.

The Lithuanian adjective distinguishes three declensions which to a considerable degree parallel those of the noun, but with less variation. Thus, *i*- and *consonant* stem adjectives (corresponding to noun declensions 3 and 5) are lacking. Further, the endings of certain cases in the nominal form deviate from those of the noun whereas the pronominal form is characterized through pronominal endings which are superposed on the nominal. The vocative case is always formally identical with the nominative.

As for the category of number adjectives have no traces of dual forms.

With respect to gender, they possess a special *neuter* form in addition to the masculine and the feminine. But for a few special cases this form is restricted to the nominative case.

Adjectives show less variation in the stress patterns (indicated by numbers 1, 2, 3 and 4) than the nouns.

In the field of syntax the Lithuanian adjective distinguishes between three functions: 1) attributive, 2) appositive, and 3) predicative.

In the following exposition morphology will be concentrated in the first part whereas the second is reserved for syntax.

THE NOMINAL (SHORT/INDEFINITE) FORM

Three declensional paradigms are distinguished.

First Declension

The paradigm of $g\tilde{e}ras$, -à 'good' will illustrate the pattern:

		M	F		M	F
		(4)	(4)		(4)	(4)
SG	N	gēras	gerà	PL	gerì	gēros
	G	gẽro	gerõs		gerũ	gerũ
	D	ger <i>ám</i>	gẽrai		ger <i>íems</i>	geróms
	A	g e rą	gẽrą		gerùs	geràs
	I	gerù	gerà		gerais	geromis
	L	ger <i>amè</i>	gerojè		geruosè	gerosè

As can be seen from the table, the feminine paradigm follows that of the second declension of nouns whereas the masculine deviates from the first declensional noun pattern in the dative singular and plural as well as the locative singular where the adjective has adopted endings which originate from the pronouns (these forms are given in italics in the above diagram). The nominal plural in -i also deviates from the first declension nouns where the ending is -ai (namai) and also from the pronominal class (tie), cf. p. 77 below. The vocative is always identical in form with the nominative.

The soft subclass in -ias/-ia (type žālias, žalià 'green') hardly requires any special comment because these paradigms can easily be derived from the basic (hard) paradigm of gēras, gerà, i. e. žālio, žaliám; žaliōs, žāliai etc. The nom. pl. masc. is - as expected - in -i: žalì.

Adjectives in $-\check{c}ias/-d\check{z}ias$ change the \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ into t and d respectively in the nom. and dat. pl. m., e. g. $p\check{e}s\check{c}ias$: $p\dot{e}st\hat{i}$, $p\dot{e}st\acute{l}ems$ 'foot'.

The subclass in $-is/-\dot{e}$ of the first declension is represented by the words didis (4) and didelis (3b), both meaning 'big' (the former in a

figurative sense and most frequently in an elevated style, the latter in a concrete):

SG	M	F	PL	M	F
N	dìdis	dìdė	N	(didì)	dìdžios
G	dìdžio	didžiõs	G	didžių̃	didžių̃
D	didžiám	dìdžiai	D	didíems	didžióms
A	dìdį	dìdžią	A	didžiùs	didžiàs
I	didžiù	didžià	I	didžiai̇̃s	didžiomìs
L	didžiamè	didžiojè	L	didžiuosè	didžiosè
SG	M	F	PL	M	F
N	dìdelis	dìdelė		didelì	dìdelės
N G	dìdelis dìdelio	dìdelė didelė̃s		didelì didelių̃	dìdelės didelių̃
G	dìdelio	didelė̃s		didelių̃	didelių̃
G D	dìdelio dideliám	didelės didelei		didelių̃ didelíems	didelių̃ didelė̃ms

The difference between this type and the third declension adjectives (below) lies in the masc. plural forms where the latter follow the br'olis-paradigm whereas the other forms show the same set of endings as $\check{z}\~alias$ (except in the nom. sg.). The fem. of third declension adjectives follows the pattern of the nouns in $-\dot{e}$ as does the $d\grave{i}delis$ -paradigm. Beside $d\grave{i}d\dot{e}$ (in the nom. sg. F) a variant $did\check{z}i\grave{a}$ is occasionally encountered. Observe the interchange between d and $d\check{z}$ in the $d\grave{i}dis$ -paradigm.

Accentuation

In modern Standard Lithuanian accent paradigm 2 is lacking for adjectives in -as/-a. In bisyllabics (type stóras 'thick', geras 'good') only 3 and 4 are represented. Note that in accent classes 3 and 4 the dative sg. masc. has end-stress: storám, gerám. Other accent shifts are parallel to those observed in the first and second declension of nouns. This applies also to the forms marked as [+ de Saussure], cf. p. 36 above. As a sample of accent paradigm 1 may serve turtingas 'rich'.

Second Declension

The paradigm of gražus, -i (4) 'beautiful' is chosen to illustrate the pattern:

		M	F		M	F
SG	N	gražùs	gražì	PL	grãžūs	grãžios
	G	gražaũs	gražiõs		gražių̃	gražių̃
	D	gražiám	grãžiai		gražíems	gražióms
	A	grãžų	grãžią		gražiùs	gražiàs
	I	gražiù	gražià		gražiai̇̃s	gražiomis
	L	gražiamè	gražiojè		gražiuosè	gražiosè

Adjectives in -tus and -dus will change the t and d into \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ respectively in all cases where the $gra\check{z}u\check{s}$ paradigm has an i after \check{z} + back vowel, e. g. $kartu\check{s}$, $kar\check{c}i\check{a}m$; $kartu\check{s}$, $kar\check{c}i\check{o}s$ 'bitter' and $saldu\check{s}$, $sald\check{z}i\check{a}m$; $sald\check{z}i\check{o}s$ 'sweet'.

To the second declension belongs a considerable number of adjectives of foreign origin, like, for example, abstraktùs (fem. abstraktì) 'abstract', modernùs (fem. modernì) 'modern', privatùs (fem. privatì) 'private'.

Accentuation

The principles of accentuation resemble those laid down above, i. e. roughly accent paradigms 3 or 4 are encountered. Some adjectives, among them *lýgus* 'even', *áiškus* 'clear', *sótus* 'satisfied' follow the 3rd accent pattern, except for the nom. sg. masc. and fem. which are normally root-stressed. Adjectives of the *abstraktùs* type are accent class 4.

Third Declension

The paradigm of auksinis, -ė (2) 'golden' will show the pattern:

		M	F		M	F
SG	NT	M auksìnis	F auksìnė	PL	M auksìniai	F
20	N			PL		auksìnės
	G	auksìnio	auksìnės		auksìnių	auksìnių
	D	auksìniam	auksìnei		auksìniams	auksìnėms
	Α	auksìnį	auksìnę		auksiniùs	auksinès
	I	auksiniù	auksinè		auksìniais	auksìnėmis
	L	auksiniame	auksìnėje		auksiniuose	auksìnėse

Observe that the masc. pl. is declined like the plural of the *brólis*-subclass of the first declension of nouns. Except for the dative and locative the *brólis* pattern is valid also for the sg. The fem. forms are declined throughout like a 2nd declension noun in -ė.

Accentuation

Accent paradigms in this class are either 1 (mókslinis 'scientific', rañkinis 'hand') or 2 (naminis 'house', laikraštinis 'newspaper'). This restriction of third declension adjectives to accent paradigms 1 and 2 is due to the fact that 3rd declensional adjectives are derivatives.

The Special "Neuter" Form

Adjectives of the 1st and 2nd declensions form a special indeclinable "neuter" form, e. g. $g\tilde{e}ra$ 'good, well' (vs. masc. $g\tilde{e}ras$, fem. gera 'good'), skanu 'tasty' (vs. masc. skanus, fem. skanu 'tasty'). As is seen from the examples just given, the neuter form is in -a with 1st declensional adjectives (like the fem. sg. nom., but may differ from it in stress) and in -u (which is totally distinct from the fem. sg.) with adjectives of the 2nd declension.

The notion "neutral" seems to be justified from a diachronic point of view. The common Lithuanian term is *bevardė fòrma*, literally 'the nameless form'. A good alternative would be *nekaitomoji fòrma*, i. e. 'the indeclinable form' which can also be found.

THE PRONOMINAL (LONG/DEFINITE) FORM

The morphology of the definite form will be dealt with on page 65 f. below.

DEGREES OF COMPARISON

So far only forms of the positive degree have been given. Below the comparative and superlative degree will be introduced.

For semantic reasons only the so-called *qualitative* adjectives (i. e. adjectives expressing a quality) form degrees of comparison. Such adjectives are restricted to the 1st and 2nd declensions, e. g. *naūjas* 'new' and *gražùs* 'beautiful'. They are in contrast with adjectives of the 3rd declension which are labeled *relative*, i. e. related to or derived from a noun such as, for instance, *auksìnis* < *áuksas* 'gold' which refers to material rather than quality. Thus, from adjectives of the 3rd declension synthetic forms (see below) of the comparative and superlative are not constructed.

I. The Comparative

1. Synthetic Forms

The comparative is formed by adding the element -esn- to the positive stem after removal of the stem vowel (-a, -u respectively). The endings are those of the 1st declensional subclass didelis/didelė-paradigm (see p. 60 above). No cases of suppletivism (i. e. formations with completely different stems as in English good: better: best or bad: worse: worst) are encountered in the Lithuanian adjective.

A full paradigm will have the following appearance:

		M	F		M	F
SG	N	gerèsnis	gerèsnė	PL	geresnì	gerèsnės
	G	gerèsnio	geresnė̃s		geresniũ	geresnių̃
	D	geresniám	gerèsnei		geresníems	geresnéms
	Α	gerèsnį	gerèsnę		geresniùs	geresnès
	I	geresniù	geresnè		geresniais	geresnėmis
	L	geresniamè	geresnėjè		geresniuosè	geresnėsè

Accentuation

The stress is - independent of the accentuation pattern found in the positive - always on the comparative suffix, except in the forms which trigger de Saussure's Law, i. e. the instr. sg. and acc. pl. of both genders as well as the masc. nom. pl. as demontrated through the above paradigm. In other words, the accentuation of the comparative follows the 4th accent class.

2. Analytic Forms

As in English Lithuanian has also analytic forms of the comparative. These are formed by combining the positive degree with *daugiau* (or *labiau*) 'more', e. g. *daugiau formalus* (*laiškas*) '(a) more formal (letter)'. With this adjective the analytical form would be preferred to the form in *-esn-*. With (the relative) adjectives in *-inis* (i. e. III declension) this strategy is mandatory.

The opposite meaning is obtained by replacing daugiaū with mažiaū, e. g. mažiau formalus 'less formal'.

II. The Superlative

The superlative is formed through addition of the suffix $-i\acute{a}us$ to the positive stem after removal of the stem vowel -a, -u respectively. The inflection is that of the soft subtype of $g\~{e}ras$ (i. e. $ž\~{a}lias$, see p. 59 above), yielding the following paradigm:

		M	F		M	F
SG	N	geriáusias	geriáusia	PL	geriáusi	geriáusios
	G	geriáusio	geriáusios		geriáusių	geriáusių
	D	geriáusiam	geriáusiai		geriáusiems	geriáusioms
	A	geriáusią	geriáusią		geriáusius	geriáusias
	I	geriáusiu	geriáusia		geriáusiais	geriáusiomis
	L	geriáusiame	geriáusioje		geriáusiuose	geriáusiose

The dentals -t/-d change into $-\check{c}/-d\check{z}$ before the superlative suffix: $\acute{a}uk\check{s}tas$, $auk\check{s}t\grave{a}$ ('high') > $auk\check{s}\check{c}i\acute{a}us$ -; $d\grave{i}dis$, $d\grave{i}d\dot{e}$ ('big') > $did\check{z}i\acute{a}us$ -(note also that the comparative is formed on the basis of $d\grave{i}dis/d\grave{i}d\dot{e}$ only, and not from $d\grave{i}delis/d\grave{i}del\dot{e}$).

The superlative can be accompanied by masc. pàts, fem. patì (for inflection, see p. 78): pàts geriáusias, patì geriáusia 'the very best'. The superlative can also be strengthened by visų (gen. pl. of vìsas 'all'): visų geriáusias/geriáusia, literally 'best of all'.

Accentuation

As in the comparative, the stress is simple, since there is only one stress pattern. As indicated in the above chart the stress rests on the acuted suffix in all forms of the paradigm. Consequently, the superlative is accent class I.

Comparative Degrees of the Special "Neuter" Form

These are indeclinables in -iaũ for the comparative and -iáusia for the superlative. Examples: gēra : geriaũ : geriáusia, skanù : skaniaũ : skaniáusia.

For comparative degrees of the so-called definite form of the adjective, see next paragraph.

THE PRONOMINAL (LONG/DEFINITE) FORM

This form is restricted to *adjectives of quality* alone, i. e. adjectives possessing (synthetic) degrees of comparison. Further, the form is more frequent in the positive and the comparative degree than in the superlative. In dialects the definite form is almost extinct, irrespective of the degree.

The term pronominal (which is the one commonly used in grammars of Lithuanian, cf. ivardžiuotiniai budvardžiai) refers to the mechanisms of superpositioning (suffigating) the pronoun (j)is onto the short form (whereby both components, i. e. the adjective and the pronoun, are declined) thus making it 'definite' in meaning, cf. p. 69 f. below.

A parallel arrangement is found in Slavic as well, cf., for example, Russian novyj, novaja vs. nov, nova 'new'.

The full paradigms (including the comparative in -esn-) will have the following shape:

SG		M	
N	geràsis	geresnỹsis	gražùsis
G	gẽrojo	gerèsniojo	grãžiojo
D	gerájam	geresniájam	gražiájam
A	gẽrąjį	gerèsnįjį	grãžųjį
I	gerúoju	geresniúoju	gražiúoju
L	gerãjame	geresniãjame	gražiãjame
PL			
N	geríeji	geresníeji	gražíeji
G	gerų̃jų	geresnių̃jų	gražių̃jų
D	geríesiems	geresníesiems	gražíesiems
A	gerúosius	geresniúosius	gražiúosius

I L	geraisiais geruõsiuose	geresniaisiais geresniuosiuose	gražiaisiais gražiuosiuose
SG N G D A I L	geróji gerősios gerajai geraja gerája gerőjoje	F geresnióji geresniósios gerèsniajai gerèsniája geresniája geresniójoje	gražióji gražiõsios grãžiajai grãžiąja gražiąja gražiójoje
PL N G D A I L	gērosios gerų̃jų gerósioms gerą́sias gerõsiomis gerõsiose	F gerèsniosios geresnių̃jų geresniósioms geresnių̃sias geresniõsiomis geresniõsiose	grāžiosios gražiųjų gražiósioms gražią́sias gražiõsiomis gražiõsiose

For the sake of economy we have abstained from giving the comparative paradigm of *gražùsis* as well since the forms will follow the *geresnỹsis* pattern, i. e. *gražesnỹsis*, *gražèsniojo* etc.

Observe the non-palatalized consonant (-r-) throughout the $ger\grave{a}sis$ -paradigm in contrast to the palatalized s' and \check{z}' in all forms except the masc. nom. and acc. of the $geresn\~ysis$ and the $gra\~z\`usis$ -paradigms. Note also the 'nasalized' -q- in the instr. fem. sg. of the definite adjective in opposition to the non-nasalized vowel in the corresponding form of the indefinite (or short form) adjective. For the declension of the pronominal element (j)is, cf. p. 72 f. below.

The declension of the pronominal form is far more unified than that of the nominal (short) form since a formal distinction between different types is observed only in the masc. sg. nom. and acc., cf., for example, nom. $ger\grave{a}sis:gra\check{z}\grave{u}sis$, acc. $g\~{e}raj\i{i}{i}:gr\~{a}\check{z}\i{u}j\i{i}{i}$ in opposition to the genitive (which may serve as an illustration of the generalization of the 1st declensional adjectival declension) $g\~{e}ro-jo:gr\~{a}\check{z}io-jo$ (not * $gra\check{z}aus-jo$).

A comparison of the $ger\grave{a}sis$ - and $gra\grave{z}\grave{u}sis$ -paradigms with the corresponding short forms on pages 59 and 60 reveals further that simplifications (dissimilations, haplologies) have occurred in certain cases. Thus, there are no forms with more than one -m- in the ending, the first one being always exposed to elision, cf., for example, the dat. sg. m. $ger\acute{a}jam < *ger\acute{a}m$ -jam. A case of haplology is found in the loc.

sg. fem. gerõjoje < *geroje-joje. Other discrepancies like, for instance, the instr. sg. m. ger'uoju vs. the short form $ger\~u$ or the nom. sg. f. ger'oji vs. $ger\~a$ are explained through Leskiens Law (see chapter 1). The same principle of explanation holds good also for $geresn\~ysis$ vs. $ger\`esnis$ and ger'eji vs. $ger\~i$. The rarely occurring superlative is in -i'ausiasis and should not need any special comment.

Accentuation

The accentuation of the pronominal (long/definite) form is relatively simple since only two patterns are discerned, namely a) the immobile, and b) the mobile. Type a) is found in cases like laimingasis 'happy' and the superlative geriáusiasis 'the best', i. e. with adjectives which in the short form belong to accent class 1 (laimingas, geriáusias). Type b) is characterized through shifts of stress between the second (penultimate) and the third (antepenultimate) syllable from the end, viz. geràsis : gerojo, geresnysis : gerèsniojo. The shift of stress between the penultimate and antepenultimate syllables respectively becomes apparent from the paradigms quoted in the preceding paragraph. An implicit consequence of the two-stress paradigm - one mobile, one immobile - is further that there is no difference between acute and non-acute stems with regard to the position of the stress, cf., for example, áukštojo 'high', gērojo 'good', aukštúoju : gerúoju. It seems legitimate to suggest that acute stems are dominated by non-acutes since de Saussure's Law seems to operate, cf. the instr. sg. m. aukštúoju (: áukštas) = gerúoju (: gēras).

SYNTAX OF THE ADJECTIVES

I. The Nominal (Short/Indefinite), non-Neutral Form

As already mentioned the Lithuanian adjective has the following three functions: (1) attributive, (2) appositive, and (3) predicative. This holds good for all degrees of comparison, i. e. the positive, the comparative, and the superlative.

Illustrations:

1) attributive: jauna mergaitė, gen. jaunos mergaitės 'young girl', jaunesnė mergaitė, gen. jaunesnės mergaitės 'younger girl' where the adjective must agree with the head noun in gender, number and case. The same kind of agreement (cf. p. 230 ff.) is observed in

2) appositional usage: jis kalbėjo su mergaite, jauna ir gražia, kuri sėdėjo sode 'he spoke with a girl, young and pretty, who was sitting in the garden'.

Functions 1) and 2) are closely related, cf. jis kalbėjo su jauna ir gražia mergaite 'he spoke with a young and beautiful girl'.

3) predicative function: *mergaitė yra jauna ir graži* 'the girl is young and beautiful'. In this function there is agreement between the subject noun and the predicate adjective in gender and number. The predicate adjective is in the nominative like the subject. In constructions with a logical subject in the dative case the predicate adjective is also in the dative: *jai reikia būti atidžiai* 'she has to be careful'. The adjective must be in the dative also in general utterances without a subject as, for example in: *reikia būti atidžiam* 'one has to be careful'. Observe further that in such cases the unmarked masculine gender must be used.

Comparisons are expressed with the help of the conjunction $neg \hat{u}$ + the nominative or the preposition $\hat{u} \hat{z}$ + the accusative, e. g. ji yra $jaunesn\dot{e}$ negu $a\dot{s}$ or $u\dot{z}$ mane 'she is younger than me'. By comparison of two properties attributed to one and the same person or object the analytic comparative (p. 63 above) must be used (as in English), cf., for example: jis yra daugiau uolus negu gabus 'he is more diligent than talented' (observe further that in this case only negu, not $u\dot{z}$ can be used). See also chapter on the sentence.

'So good as' etc.

Expressions of the type 'so good as' are rendered in Lithuanian by the formula taip geras/gerà, kaip.

Elative Function

Certain superlative forms can also have a so-called *elative* function (= 'very high degree), e. g. *aukščiausios rūšies* 'of the highest quality' (i. e. 'of a very high quality')

Strengthening of the Positive and Comparative Degrees by

labai and daug (žymiai)

Illustration:

Jos atlyginimas labai didelis, bet jo daug (žymiai) didesnis 'her salary is high, but his is much (considerably) higher.

II. The Special "Neuter" Form

This form is more or less restricted to a special predicate function, namely when there can be no agreement, i. e. in one part sentences (p. 219 ff.). Examples: dar šviesu 'it is still light', visur buvo tamsu, ramu

'it was dark and quiet (silent) all around', jai buvo šalta 'she felt cold', visiems dabar geriau 'everybody is getting on better now', tikriáusia 'it is most likely (that)'. The neuter form can also be related to an infinitive: neverta pykti 'it is no use being angry'. Observe also the construction kas nauja? 'what news?'

Furthermore, the special neuter form is found as predicate when the pronoun *viskas* 'all, everything' is subject: *viskas*, *kas buvo jo gyvenime*, *buvo gera* 'all that was (had been) in his life, was good'. Here belongs also the type *kas saldu*, *tas gardu* 'what is sweet, (that) is tasty'.

The neuter form is further encountered in certain idiomatic expressions like: tolì gražù ne 'far from' as demonstrated in the following example: [...] bet toli gražu ne visais atvejais 'but (it is) far from (in) all instances'.

In some cases it may appear difficult to decide whether the special neuter form of the adjective or the adverb in -(i)ai (cf. p. 173) should be used. Thus, one must say, for example, blogai (and not *bloga) 'it is bad/stupid' and $kaip\ gerai,\ kad...$ 'how well that ...'. One can say both $jam\ gera$ and $jam\ gerai$, but not with the same meaning. The former states 'it is good for him' whereas the latter means 'he feels well'. It seems, however, possible to find instances where the neuter form of the adjective and the adverb can be said to be synonymous.

Finally, it should be pointed out that not only adjectives sensu stricto, but also certain (adjectival) pronouns (p. 81 f.) and passive like participles (p. 163) can have neuter forms, cf. for the former class kita 'other' in the idiom be kita ko 'among other things' and for the latter ten rašoma, kad 'it is written that', šiandien slidu: nepatariama vaikščioti 'to-day it is slippery: it is not recommendable to go for a walk', viskas jau padaryta 'everything has already been done', kieno čia būta? 'who has been here?'. For more details, see p. 143 f., p. 222 and p. 232.

III. The Pronominal (Long or Definite) Form

The following guidelines for the syntax of this form should be given:

It can be used only in the functions of the attribute and apposition, not in that of the predicative.

In attributive function both the nominal and the pronominal form are used according to often somewhat unclear distributional criteria. The pronominal form is obligatory:

1) in so-called *generic* expressions: baltasis lokys 'polar bear', juodoji birža 'the black market', Baltieji rūmai 'The White House',

Raudonasis kryžius 'The Red Cross', greitasis traukinys 'fast (express) train',

- 2) after proper names in cases like Vytautas Didysis 'Vytautas the Great',
- 3) for the purpose of *substantivization*: *jaunieji* 'the newly married (couple)'.

Outside these spheres the pronominal form is used when the noun phrase it belongs to has already been mentioned or is known in the context, i. e. in *thematic* function (cf. p. 236). Thus, the pronominal form will in many cases correspond to the use of the definite article in article languages (Germanic and Romance), cf. the contrast between *jauna mergaitė* and *jaunoji mergaitė* in the following text passage: Atvažiavo jauna mergaitė. Taip pat atvažiavo daug kitų keleivių. Jaunoji mergaitė norėjo studijuoti lietuvių kalbą 'A young girl arrived. There arrived also many other travellers. The young girl wanted to study Lithuanian'. However, this is only a guide, not an absolute principle.

After determiners like *šìs/šìtas* 'this', *tàs* 'that' one would expect the pronominal form. It may be said to be preferable, but not obligatory.

In address the pronominal form is frequently used: mieloji Meilute 'dear Meilute', but also the nominal form is found.

The combination of pats pati + the pronominal form in the positive degree (e. g. pats gerasis, pati geroji) has the same meaning as pats/pati + the superlative mentioned on p. 64 above.

Generally speaking, the nominal form seems to be in the process of expansion which explains the impossibility of formulating absolute rules.

Word Formation of Adjectives

For some generalities, see Nouns: Word Formation above.

I. Suffixal Derivatives

Here only a few suffixes will be mentioned.

The following four derive adjectives from *nouns*:

-inis, -inė which among other things can express material, place of origin and time: medinis namas 'wooden house' (: mēdis 'wood, tree'), šiaurinis vėjas 'north wind' (: šiáurė 'north'), pavasariniai lietūs 'spring rain(s)' (: pavāsaris 'spring');

-ingas, -ingė with the meaning abundantly present: protingas žmogùs 'clever man' (: protas 'mind, intelligence', turtingas 'rich' (: tur̃tas 'wealth');

-iškas, -iškė with the meaning belonging to, characteristic of: výriški rūbai 'men's clothes' (: výras 'man');

-Vtas with the meaning supplied with what is expressed in the noun. It adopts the form of -úotas with o-stem nouns: kampúotas 'angular' (: kampas 'angle'), -ótas with ā-stems: kuprótas 'hump-backed' (: kuprà 'hump'), -ėtas with ė-stems: dėmėtas 'spotted' (: dėmė 'spot') and -ytas with i-stems: akýtas 'porous, spongy' (: akìs 'eye').

Adjective derived from adjective:

An example of this is:

-ókas, -ā with the meaning to some or a considerable extent: brangókas 'quite dear' (: brangùs 'dear').

II. Prefixal Derivatives

These are more rarely encountered than suffixal. Only a couple of instances need be mentioned:

pó-: pógražis, -ė 'rather beautiful' (: gražùs 'beautiful'). A synonym of pó- is apý-: apýgražis, -ė. Observe the change of declensional type.

ne-: negēras, -a 'unkind' (antonym of gēras 'good, kind').

III. Non-Prefixal Compound Adjectives

Two types are distinguished:

- 1) with connecting vowel (which is -a): ilgaplaūkis 'long-haired' (: ilgas pláukas 'long hair'), plikagalvis 'bald-headed' (: plikà galvà) and
- 2) without connecting vowel: raudonveidis 'red-faced' (: raudónas véidas 'red face').

Observe that the accent is on the second component. Note also the metatony (cf. p. 35).

Chapter 4

THE PRONOUN

(Įvardis)

The pronoun is a heterogeneous word class. The following description will be based on more or less traditional classificatory criteria.

Many pronouns are characterized by a special pronominal inflection whereas others follow the pattern of the adjectives.

In terms of syntax a distinction is often made between adjectival and non-adjectival (= substantival) pronouns. Whereas the former are subordinated to a head noun in gender, number and case (= attributive function), the latter can be used "independently" like a noun. Certain pronouns can be used both in adjectival and substantival function.

Figures (1, 2, 3 or 4) in brackets after certain pronouns in the following refer to their accentuational pattern.

1. Personal Pronouns

Paradigms:

SINGULAR

	1st person	2nd person	3rd person	3rd person
	•	•	M	F
N	àš	tù	jìs	jì
G	manę̃s	tavę̃s	jõ	jõs
D	mán	táu	jám	jái
\mathbf{A}	manè	tavè	jį	ją̃
I	manimì	tavimì	juõ	jà
L	manyjè	tavyjè	jamè	ioiè

PLURAL

N	mes	j ũ s	jiẽ	jõs
G	m ú sų	jűsų	jų̃	jũ
D	mùms	jùms	jíems	jóms
A	mùs	jùs	juõs	jàs
I	mumìs	jumìs	jai̇̃s	jomìs
L	mumysè	jumysè	juosè	josè

DUAL

	1.person		2	2.person		3.person		
	M	F	M	F		M		F
N	mùdu	mùdvi	jùdu	jùdvi	juõdu	(jiẽdu)	juõdvi	(jiedvi)
G	1	mùdviejų	j	ùdviejų	jữd	viejų	jų̃o	dviejų
D	1	mùdviem	j	ùdviem	jíed	lviem	jó	dviem
Α	mùdu	mùdvi	jùdu	jùdvi	juõ	du	jiẽ	dvi
I	1	mùdviem	j	ùdviem	jiẽd	viem	jõ	dviem
L	1	mùdviese	i	ùdviese	juõ	dviese	jiẽ	dviese*

*forms of the locative dual are hardly encountered in Modern Standard Lithuanian

The personal pronoun of the third person has also got extended forms, cf. the following nominatives: jisai 'he', jinai 'she', (the rare form) $ji\tilde{e}jie$ (M) and $j\tilde{o}sios$ (F) 'they'. Oblique forms as well as dual forms are equally attested. The extended forms are, however, relatively seldom used although they can be heard in regular conversation. Their function is probably mainly orthotonic, i. e. they can be stressed in a more independent way than the monosyllabic forms jis and ji and will thus easily obtain a certain emphasis.

Whenever $t\hat{u}$ or $j\tilde{u}s$ or any possessive pronoun related to them (see next paragraph) is used in a letter, these forms are capitalized: $T\hat{u}$, $J\tilde{u}s$, $T\hat{a}vo$, $J\hat{u}sy$.

The usual pronoun found in *polite address* is $j\bar{u}s/J\bar{u}s$. A special form of polite address used in Lithuanian is $t\acute{a}msta$ 'you' (written with capital T in letters). It is contracted from $T\grave{a}vo$ $m\acute{y}lista$ (calqued from Polish Twoja $mylos\acute{c}$ lit. 'your grace') which has the same function as $j\bar{u}s/J\bar{u}s$. Unlike $J\bar{u}s$ which requires the verb in the (second person) plural even when one person is designated, $t\acute{a}msta$ - like $t\grave{u}$ - agrees with the second person singular of the verb: kur tamsta buvai? 'where have you been?' With reference to more than one person the form $t\acute{a}mstos$ + the

2nd plural form of the verb is used, e. g. ar tamstos žinote? 'do you know?'

With the same function as *támsta/támstos* one can also find the somewhat informal *pàts/patì* (sg.)/*pātys/pāčios* (pl.). Another more elevated variant is *sveīkas/-à* (sg.)/*sveikì*, *sveīkos* (pl.).

Observe the formula $m\tilde{e}s\ s\dot{u}$ + the instrumental case, meaning 'I and...': $mes\ su\ broliu\ at\dot{e}jome$ 'my brother and I have come'.

To the personal pronouns also belongs the *reflexive* which has the following forms:

N G savę̃s
D sáu
A savè
I savimì
L savyjè

The reflexive pronoun does not have nominative forms and is, consequently, incapable of being part of the subject syntagm; it always refers to the (nominative) subject.

Further, its application is not restricted to the 3rd person alone. It can refer to the 1st and 2nd persons as well, cf. the following sample: aš noriu/jis nori palikti ką sau 'I want/he wants to leave something for myself/himself'.

2. The Anaphoric Pronoun of the 3rd Person

This pronoun is identical in form and inflection with the 3 p. of the personal pronoun (i. e. *jis*, *ji*, *jie*, *jos*, cf. p. 72 f. above) and corresponds to English 'it' (sg.), 'they' (pl.). In grammars of Lithuanian this function is usually not found under a separate heading, but is described together with the personal pronouns. The anaphoric pronoun refers to and agrees in gender and number with a noun mentioned in the preceding sentence: Čia yra mūsų miestas. Jis yra didelis. Jame gyvena apie 500 000 tūkstančių gyventojų. 'Here is our city. It is a big city. It has (lit. 'in it live...') about 500.000 inhabitants'; Budapeštas ir Viena yra dideli miestai. Jie yra prie Dunojaus 'Budapest and Vienna are big cities. They are situated on the Danube'.

3. Possessive Pronouns

A. Non-Reflexive Possessives

The pronouns of this class might also be labeled personal like the preceding ones. It has, however, become customary to group them under a separate heading as possessive pronouns according to their specialized function. The group encompasses m ano 'my/mine', t avo 'your(s)', s avo 'one's own', j o 'his', j os 'her(s)', m u su 'our(s)', j u su 'your(s)', j u su 'their(s)' which are all undeclined regardless of the gender, number or case of the word to which they refer.

The latter five are simply the genitive case of the corresponding personal pronouns whereas *màno*, *tàvo* and *sàvo* curiously enough seem to represent petrified forms of the genitive singular masc. of the possessive pronoun proper, cf. Latvian nom. sg. masc. *mans*, gen. *mana* etc.; nom. sg. fem. *mana*, gen. *manas* etc. with full inflection according to gender, number and case. Declined forms are also encountered in Lithuanian, but they are stylistically marked, see examples below. Observe that *màno*, *tàvo*, *sàvo* do not undergo lengthening according to p. 29 above.

Examples with possessive pronouns:

mano/mūsų brolis/broliai/sesuo/seserys/knyga/knygos 'my/our brother/brothers/sister/sisters/book/books', apie tavo knygas 'about your books'; šita knyga yra jūsų 'this book is yours', tai jos brolis 'that's her brother'.

B. Reflexive Possessives

The reflexive possessive *sàvo* refers to the subject of the sentence regardless of the person and number expressed by the subject, i. e: *aš* paėmiau savo knygą, tu paėmei savo knygą, jis/ji paėmė savo knygą, mes paėmėme savo knygas, jūs paėmėte savo knygas, jie/jos paėmė savo knygas 'I took my book, you took your book, he took his book, she took her book, we took our book(s), you took your book(s), they took their book(s)'.

The *sàvo*-form - like its non-possessive counterpart - *savè*, *savę̃s* etc. (p. 74) - can never be part of the subject syntagm. Thus, a sentence like, for instance, **savo knyga yra čia* is unacceptable.

C. Additional Comments on Possessive Pronouns

On the use of capital letters with possessives of the 2nd person, see preceding paragraph.

Alongside the possessive meaning, màno, tàvo, sàvo can also express the performer (agent) in a passive construction: šis laiškas yra mano (not *manęs) parašytas 'this letter has been written by me.' In the

plural the genitive forms of the personal pronoun $m\bar{u}s\psi$, $j\bar{u}s\psi$ are used in this function.

Beside the usual forms màno, tàvo, sàvo the declined mãnas, tāvas, sãvas (M), manà, tavà, savà (F), or more often, the long forms manàsis, manóji etc. are also encountered: Yra dar pasaulyje šalis, kur tavajai sielai jauku galėtų kiek būti? (S. Geda) 'Is there a land on earth which could be that pleasant to your soul?' The short congruent form is present in tėviškė mana 'my fatherland'.

Finally, mention should be made also of the substantivized forms maniškis (2), taviškis (2) etc. which are declined like first declensional nouns of the brolis-type. In the plural they are as a rule used about relatives: maniškiai jau atėjo 'my relatives have just come', važiuok namo pas saviškius 'go home to yourselves (your relatives)'.

4. Reciprocal Pronouns

There is one reciprocal pronoun in Lithuanian, namely vienas kit-(or less frequently vienas/vienà antr-) 'each other, one another' (vienas/vienà 3 means 'one', kit-/antr- 4 'other'). The first element (vienas) is always in the nominative case whereas the case of the second is determined by its syntactic position. Both elements are inflected according to gender.

Examples:

jie myli vienas kitą (i. e. jie myli: vienas myli kitą) 'they love each other'; jie sėdėjo vienas šalia kito (i. e. jie sėdėjo: vienas sėdėjo šalia kito) 'they were sitting next to each other', Birutė ir Regina sėdėjo viena šalia kitos 'Birute and Regina were sitting next to each other'.

Beside *vienas kit*- also certain reflexive verbs can have reciprocal function (see p. 146). Thus, it may sometimes be difficult for the student to decide whether *vienas kit*- or a reflexive verb should be chosen. Contrast the following sentence pairs: *jie myli vienas kitą* and *jie mylis*; the former means 'they love each other' in opposition to the latter which has the meaning 'they make love'!

As a guiding principle it can be stated that the *viena/s kit*-construction is used when a preposition is involved (cf. the example with *šalia* above).

5. Demonstrative Pronouns

The demonstrative pronouns are the following:

šìs/šì 'this' and šìtas/šità (4) 'this (one here)', šìtoks/šìtokia (1) 'such', šióks/šiokià (3) 'such', tóks/tokià (3) 'such', tàs/tà 'that (one there)' anàs/anà (4) 'that (one)', anóks/anókia (1) 'like that one'.

 $\check{s}is/\check{s}i$ and $t\grave{a}s/t\grave{a}$ have the following inflection (with the cases in the usual order, i. e. N, G, D, A, I, L):

M				F			
SC	j	PL	,	SG		PI	
tàs	šìs	tiẽ	šiẽ	tà	šì	tõs	šiõ
tõ	šiõ	tũ	šių̃	tõs	šiõs	tũ	šių̃
tám	šiám	tíems	šíems	tái	šiái	tóms	šióms
tą̃	šį̇̃	tuõs	šiuõs	tą̃	šią̃	tàs	šiàs
tuõ	šiuõ	tais	šiai̇̃s	tà	šià	tomis	šiomis
tamè	šiamè	tuosè	šiuosè	tojè	šiojè	tosè	šiosè

Like *tàs/tà* is also declined *anàs/anà*. In *šìtas/šìta* only the *-tas/-ta-*element is declined (according to the *tàs/tà-*pattern).

Tóks is declined as follows: tóks, tókio, tokiám, tókį, tókiu, tokiamè; tokiẽ, tokių, tokiems, tókius, tokiais, tokiuosè for the M sg. and pl. respectively, tokià, tokiõs, tókiai, tókia, tókia, tokiojè; tókios, tokių, tokióms, tókias, tokiomìs, tokiosè in the F sg. and pl. The pronoun šióks/šiokià is declined like tóks/tokià. The same also holds good for anóks/anókia and šìtoks/šìtokia, the only reservation being that the stress remains on the same syllable as in the nom. sg. throughout the paradigm.

Examples:

Ne šis kelias, kur stovi, o tas, kur toliau yra 'not this road where you are standing, but that one which is farther away'; Mes šitokie, tokie ir anokie 'we are this way, that way and even that way'; Anas (vaikas) visiem geriau patinka už šituos išdykėlius 'that one (that child) was better liked by everybody than these naughty ones'.

Observe the obligatory agreement in gender and number with the reference - and in adjectival function (i. e. as modifier of a noun) also in case.

Additional Comments on Demonstrative Pronouns

Some of the above pronouns may have extended forms (cf. for example masc. tasai, fem. tóji): [...] $teisus\ tasai$, sake, kad...'right was he who said that...'. Their function seems to be mainly orthotonic (i. e. stressable), cf. the comments to the pronouns jisai and jinai on p. 73 above.

Notice the use of šis in the following example (from a newspaper): Kai pernai [...] nariai ėmė ieškoti K-iaus turto, šis sakė tuojau parduosiąs [...] pastatą 'when the members started searching for K's fortune, he (lit. this) said he would sell the building at once'. The pronoun šis is opposed to tas and corresponds to the German opposition dieser - jener.

Observe that tas can have a determinative function: ar jus pazistate ta zmogu, su kuriuo as taip ilgai kalbėjau? 'do you know that person with whom I spoke for so long?' The same applies to <math>toks which correlates with the relative koks (see next section). Compare also cases like the following: tos avies vilna tokia balta, jog padėjus ant sniego sniegas juodas atrodo 'the wool of that sheep is so white that if you place it on the snow, the snow will seem black'.

Notice the form *tai* 'this/that' which is used in introductory utterances like: *tai yra žemėlapis* 'this/that is a map' irrespective of the gender and number of the noun introduced. It can also be used in accusative position, e. g. *ji žino apie tai* (alongside *tq*) 'she knows about it (this/that)'.

Mention should also be made of the expressions tàs pàts 'the same' ([...] toje pačioje vietoje, kur ... '.. in the same place where..') and pàts tàs/patì tà 'the (very) right one', (e. g. pats tas kaklaraištis 'the right tie') as well as tám tìkras/tikrà 'a certain' (mes pažįstame tam tikrą žmogų 'we know a certain man'). Páts has paties, pačiám, nom. pl. pātys; patì goes like martì (p. 44).

For translating 'so + adjective' the pronoun *tóks* is used: *tóks gēras* 'so good'. In colloquial speech also *taip* can be heard: *ji yra man taip miela* 'she is so dear to me'.

Finally, it should be emphazised that jis/ji (cf. section 2 above) is the normal pronoun for anaphoric use even though the demonstrative pronouns can be met with in a similar function.

6. Interrogative and Relative Pronouns

The following pronouns - all characterized through an initial k- can have either an interrogative or a relative function:

kàs 'who, what' (declined like tas, see preceding section) kienõ 'whose' (undeclined - formally a petrified genitive)

kóks/kokià (3) 'what' (declined like tóks/tokià, see preceding section)

kurìs/kurì (4) 'which' (declined like šìs/šì, see preceding section) katràs/katrà (4) 'which (of the two)' (declined like tàs/tà, see preceding section)

kelì/kelios (4) 'how many?' (declined like the numeral penkì/peñkios, see next chapter)

kelerì/kēlerios (3b) 'how many' (used with pluralia tantum nouns only and declined like the numeral ketverì/kētverios, see next chapter)

kelintas/kelintà (4) 'which' (inflected like a 1st declination adjective).

Illustrations:

a) Interrogative Function

Ką jūs sakote? 'what do you say?', ką/ko tu klausei kelio? 'whom did you ask about the road?', kieno tai yra knyga? 'whose book is that?', koks oras? 'what is the weather like?', kelinta dabar valanda? 'what time is it?', keli jūs (or more frequently: keliese/kiek jūsų) čia būsite rytoj? 'how many of you will there be here to-morrow?', keleri tavo marškiniai? 'how many shirts have you got?'

For indirect questions, see p. 224.

b) Relative Function

The *relative* pronoun agrees with its correlate in gender and number whereas case is determined by its function (as subject, object etc.) in the subordinate clause: aš skaitau tą knygą, kurią (= object) tu man davei vakar 'I am reading the book (which) you gave me yesterday'; ar tu esi kalbėjęs su tuo žmogum, kuris buvo čia vakar? 'have you spoken with that man who was here yesterday?'; kas bus, tas bus 'what will be, (that) will be'.

Observe that - unlike in English and Scandinavian - a relative pronoun cannot be omitted in Lithuanian.

Finally, it should be mentioned that k-pronouns can also have an exclamatory function (cf. also next section): koks keistas žmogus! 'what a strange fellow!'

7. Indefinite Pronouns

Indefinite pronouns are constituted by the pronouns kàs, kurìs, kóks in combination with the particles kažìn, nórs, kaī, nè, bèt:

kažin kàs 'somebody; something' kažkàs 'somebody; something' kažin kóks/kokià (3) 'some' kažin kuris/kuri (4) 'some' kas nórs 'somebody, something; anybody, anything' kóks/kokià (3) nórs 'some, any' kurìs/kurì (4) nórs 'some, any' kai kàs 'somebody; something' kai kóks/kokià (3) 'some: a certain' kai kurìs/kurì (4) 'some' kas ne kàs 'somebody, some people; something' kóks/kokià ne kóks/kokià 'some' kurìs/kurì ne kurìs/kurì (4) 'some' bet kàs 'whoever; anybody; anyone; whatever' bet kurìs/kurì (4) 'any, anyone' bet kóks/kokià 'any (kind of)'

The constituent *kažin* may be shortened to *kaž* and be combined with the pronoun into one word: *kažkàs; kažkóks/kažkokià; kažkurìs/kažkurì*.

The constituents $ka\check{z}(in)$, nors, kai, ne, bet are not declined. Only the pronouns proper (i. e. kas, koks, kuris) are inflected (in the same way as the interrogatives and relatives of the preceding section).

Examples:

Aš kažin ką/kažką apie tai girdėjau 'I have heard someting about that', ji kai ką apie tai žino 'she knows something (cf. Russian koe-čto) about it', kai kuriose vietose jau prasidėjo pavasario sėja 'in some places the spring sowing has begun', jie prabuvo kelerius metus užsienyje 'they were (spent) some years abroad'.

Observe that in *questions* pronouns containing *nors* are used (e. g. ar kas nors yra atėjęs? 'has anybody come?') whereas the answer

requires a pronoun without *nors*: taip, kažkas jau atėjo 'yes, somebody has come already'.

Pronouns with *nors* are also used in *exclamations* (cf. preceding section) to express a *wish*: *kad bent kas nors man padėtų!* 'if only somebody could help me!'

8. Negative Pronouns

niēkas (2) 'nobody, no-one; nothing' jóks, jokià (3) 'no (whatever); none (whatever)'

-kas is declined like tas (see section 4 above) and jóks/jokià like tóks/tokià (section 4).

A verb must be in negated form when accompanied by a negative pronoun (so-called "double negation"): niekas jo nemėgo 'nobody liked him', jis nieko nesakė 'he didn't say anything'; jis jokio (or: nė kokio) šalčio nebijo 'he didn't fear any cold'. When the negative pronoun is not related to a verb, there is no double negation: dangus buvo giedras, be jokio debesėlio 'the heaven was clear, without any (a single) cloud'.

Observe that English 'not any-', Scandinavian (Norwegian etc.) 'ikke noen/noe' must be translated into Lithuanian by a negative pronoun, not by ne + an indefinite pronoun (cf. preceding section).

The combination *ne kàs* means 'hardly anyone/anything': *jam ne kas* 'the situation is bad for him'; *ne kóks/kokià* '(rather) bad': *ne koks arklys* 'a bad horse)'. To English 'no other than' corresponds Lithuanian *ne kas kitas kaip* or *niekas kitas kaip*.

9. Other Pronouns

kiekvienas/kiekvienà (3) 'each, every' is declined like the singular forms of an adjective of the 1st declension: čia man kiekvienas medis pažįstamas 'every/each tree is known to me here'; kiekvienas Vilniuje sako, kad ... 'everyone in V. says that ...'.

vìsas/visà (4) 'all, whole; everybody' is declined like an adjective of the 1st declension: visa kelionė truko mėnesį 'the whole journey lasted (for) a month'; visi protestavo 'everybody protested'.

viskas 'everything (all)' is declined like tas (see section 4 above): viskas gerai, kas gerai baigiasi 'everything is well that ends well'; aš viską žinau 'I know everything'; jam viskas sekasi 'he succeeds in everything'.

Beside the (colloquial) *vìskas* (1) with a neuter meaning Lithuanian also possesses formally neuter pronouns like *vìsa* (cf. *visa jau praeityje* 'everything/all is already in the past)', *visa kìta* (cf. below) 'everything else', *kàs kìta* 'something else'. Neuter pronouns are not declined.

visóks/visókia (1) 'all sorts/kinds of' is declined like tóks/tokià (section 4 above): prekiauti visokiomis prekėmis 'to trade with all kinds of goods'.

kitas/kità (4) 'other, another' is declined like an adjective of the 1st declension: parašyk kitą rašinį, šitas negeras 'write another composition, this one is not good'.

víenas/vienà (3) (iš) 'one of': vienas (iš) žymiausių Lietuvos rašytojų yra Vincas Mykolaitis-Putinas 'Vincas Mykolaitis-Putinas is one the most famous writers of Lithuania'. The preposition iš is optional, but most frequently dropped in this locution. Further the nominal (short/indefinite) form of the adjective (žymiausių) seems to be preferred to the pronominal (long/definite). The declension of víenas/vienà is that of the singular of a 1st declensional adjective.

For tas pats, see point 5 above.

Chapter 5

NUMERALS

(Skaîtvardis)

Numerals are divided into cardinal numbers of different types, ordinal numbers and fractions.

I. CARDINAL NUMBERS

There are three types of cardinal numbers in Lithuanian, namely

- 1) plain cardinal numbers (kiēkiniai skaitvardžiai)
- 2) special cardinal numbers (dauginiai skaitvardžiai)
- 3) collective numbers (kúopiniai skaitvardžiai)

1. Plain Cardinal Numbers

0	- nùlis (2)	20	 dvìdešimt
1	- víenas (M), vienà (F) (3)	21	 dvìdešimt víenas/vienà
2	- dù (M), dvì (F)	22	 dvìdešimt dù/dvì
3	- trỹs (4)	30	- trìsdešimt
4	- keturì (M), kẽturios (F) (3 ^b)	40	 kẽturiasdešimt
5	- penkì (M), peñkios (F) (4)	50	- peñkiasdešimt
6	- šešì (M), šešios (F) (4)	60	 šešiasdešimt
7	- septynì (M), septýnios (F) (3)	70	 septýniasdešimt
8	- aštuonì (M), aštúonios (F) (3)	80	 aštúoniasdešimt
9	- devynì (M), devýnios (F) (3)	90	 devýniasdešimt
10	- dēšimt (dešimtìs, 3b)	100	- šim̃tas (4)
11	- vienúolika (1)	200	- dù šimtaï
12	- dvýlika (1)	300	- trỹs šimtai
13	- trýlika (1)	400	- keturì šimtai
14	- keturiólika (1)	500	- penkì šimtai
15	- penkiólika (1)	1000	- túkstantis (1)
16	- šešiólika (1)	2000	- dù túkstančiai
17	- septyniólika (1)	5000	- penkì tūkstančiai
18	- aštuoniólika (1)	100000	0 - (víenas) milijõnas
19	- devyniólika (1)	200000	0 - dù milijõnai

Declension

Nùlis is declined like 1st declension nouns of the brólis-subclass. Vienas/vienà is treated like an adjective of the 1st declension. The numerals du/dvi and $tr\tilde{y}s$ are declined in the following way:

	M	M/F	F	M	M/F	F
N	dù		dvì		trỹs	
G		dviejų̃			trijų̃	
D		dvíem			trìms	
A	dù		dvì		trìs	
I		dviẽm			trimìs	
L	dviejuosè		dviejosè	trijuosè		trijosè

In the colloquial language the form *dviems* is also encountered.

In the same way as $d\hat{u}/dv\hat{i}$ is also declined the pronominal numeral $ab\hat{u}/ab\hat{i}$ 'both'.

The numbers 4 - 9 are declined according to the following pattern:

	M	M/F	r
N	keturì		kẽturios
G		keturių̃	
D	keturíems		keturióms
A	kēturis		kẽturias
I	keturiais		keturiomis
L	keturiuosè		keturiosè

The numbers 11 - 19 are declined like the singular of nouns of the second declension with the only difference that the acc. is in -a, not *-a.

The reason for this is that the element -lika - probably originally meaning 'what is left (above ten)' - reflects an original neuter plural (which has partly been reanalyzed as a fem. sg. in -a). The components dvy-, try-, keturio- etc. in dvýlika, trýlika, keturiólika may equally represent old neuter dual/plural forms.

The tens 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80 and 90 are undeclined.

To be precise, *dēšimt* has a variant *dešimtìs* which is declined like a fem. noun of the third declension (with a 'hard' ending in the genitive plural). Thus, forms like *dvì dēšimtys*, *peñkios dēšimtys* etc. may also be encountered.

The element -as in the numbers 40-90 seems to represent a petrified acc. pl. fem. which agrees in gender with the fem. dešimt.

 $\check{S}i\tilde{m}tas$, $t\check{u}kstantis$, $milij\tilde{o}nas$ and $milij\acute{a}rdas$ are declined like nouns of the 1st declension. Observe the t: \check{c} shift in $t\check{u}kstantis$, gen. $t\check{u}kstan\check{c}io$.

In compound numbers (e. g. 21, 22, 510, 911 etc.) all declinable components are declined, cf., for example, nom. devynì šimtaĩ vienúo-lika, acc. devýnis šimtùs vienúolika.

Accentuation

The accentuation is indicated in the above paradigms as well as through the numbers in brackets on the list in the preceding paragraph.

Syntax

All indeclinable cardinal numbers together with šim̃tas, tū́kstantis, milijõnas, milijárdas are treated as nouns syntactically which means that they require the genitive plural of an accompanying noun regardless of the syntactic position (subject, object, adverbial) occupied by the numeral + noun combination in the sentence.

Here follows an illustration of a numeral + noun combination in adverbial function: jis atvažiavo į Paryžių su dešimt/vienuolika/ dvide-šimt/šimtu/dviem šimtais/milijonu dolerių 'he arrived in Paris with ten/eleven/twenty/one hundred/two hundred/one million dollars'.

All remaining cardinal numerals (including abù/abì) are treated syntactically as adjectives which implies that there is agreement in gender, number and case between the numeral and the quantified noun: jis atvažiavo į Paryžių su vienu doleriu/dviem/trimis/penkiais doleriais 'he came to Paris with one dollar/two/three/five dollars'.

In compound numbers the case of an accompanying noun is determined by the last figure, cf. for example ... su tūkstančiu dolerių (gen. pl. of the quantified noun): ... su tūkstančiu vienu doleriu (instr. sg.): ... su tūkstančiu trimis doleriais (instr. pl.), kiekvieną semestrą studentai moka po tris šimtus trisdešimt kronų už registraciją (gen. pl.) 'every semester the students pay 330 crowns each for registration'. (Observe the preposition po + acc. in so-called distributive function.)

2. The Special Cardinal Numbers

These are the following:

- 1 vienerì, víenerios (3a)
- 2 dvejì, dvējos (4)
- 3 trejì, trējos (4)
- 4 ketverì, ketverios (3b)
- 5 penkerì, peñkerios (3b)
- 6 šešerì, šešerios (3b)
- 7 septyneri, septýnerios (3a)
- 8 aštuoneri, aštúonerios (3a)
- 9 devyneri, devýnerios (3a)

The first form (in -i) is masc., the second (in -ios) fem. This type of numeral does not go beyond nine. The declension is identical with that of the plural of adjectives of the 1st declension (or in other words, with the declension of the plain cardinal numbers 4 - 9 above), i. e.

M/F	F
	peñkerios
penkerių̃	
ms	penkerióms
S	peñkerias
S	penkeriomis
osè	penkeriosè
	penkerių̃ ns s

Syntax

These numerals are used exclusively in connection with either 1) pluralia tantum nouns (see p. 51 f.) or 2) nouns designating pairs (gloves, stockings, boots etc.).

They have adjectival syntax, i. e. they agree in gender, number and case with the accompanying quantified noun: ji prabuvo dvejus metus Vilniuje 'she stayed in V. for two years', su dvejais naujais batais' with two pairs of new boots', su dvejomis naujomis pirštinėmis 'with two new pairs of gloves'.

In colloquial speech the ordinary cardinal numbers seem to be preferred to the special cardinal numbers in connection with *pluralia* tantum: ji prabuvo du metus Vilniuje. With 'one' the forms vienerì, vienerios must also be used in colloquial style, cf., for example, vieneri

(coll. also *vieni*) *metai* 'one year' since *vienas/vienà* normally has no formal plural form.

To express pairs one can also use the noun porà 'pair' which automatically requires the gen. pl. of the quantified noun, e. g. dvi poros batų 'two pairs of boots', su dviem poromis batų 'with two pairs of boots'. This construction has the advantage of being semantically unambiguous.

3. Collective Numbers

This is the traditional Lithuanian term used for the numbers dvējetas, trējetas, kētvertas, peñketas, šēšetas, septýnetas, aštúonetas, devýnetas which are declined like the singular of first declensional nouns.

They all belong to accent class 1.

Syntax

Being in fact nouns, these numbers require the genitive plural of an accompanying noun.

Semantically they designate a group of people, animals or objects: *jie turi trejetą karvių* 'they have three cows'.

A special function of the collective numbers is to designate an approximate number: Jis čia lankėsi prieš septynetą metų 'he was (stayed) here some seven years ago'.

II. ORDINAL NUMBERS

Like the adjectives, ordinal numbers of Lithuanian have both nominal (short/indefinite) and pronominal (long/definite) forms. In Modern Lithuanian only the pronominal form seem to be used with ordinal numbers in -šimtas (because of the similarity with the corresponding cardinal numbers), e. g. šimtàsis, šimtóji; trišimtàsis, trišimtóji. Even with tūkstantas (vs. cardinal number tūkstantis) the pronominal form prevails.

M F

M F

first	pìrmas, -mà (3)	twenty first	dvìdešimt pìrmas, -mà (3)
second	añtras, -rà (4)	twenty second	dvìdešimt añtras, -rà (4)
third	trēčias, -čià (4)	twenty third	dvìdešimt trēčias, -čià (4)
fourth	ketvir̃tas, -tà (4)	thirtieth	trisdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
fifth	peñktas, tà (4)	fortieth	keturiasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
sixth	šeštas, -tà (4)	fiftieth	penkiasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
seventh	septiñtas, -tà (4)	sixtieth	šešiasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
eigth	aštuñtas, -tà (4)	seventieth	septyniasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
ninth	deviñtas, -tà (4)	eightieth	aštuoniasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
tenth	dešim̃tas, -tà (4)	ninetieth	devyniasdešim̃tas, -tà (4)
eleventh	vienúoliktas,-ta (1)	hundredth	šim̃tas, -tà (4)*
twelfth	dvýliktas, -ta (1)	two hundredth	dušim̃tas, -tà (4)
thirteenth	trýliktas, -ta (1)	three hundredth	tri(s)šim̃tas, -tà (4)
fourteenth	keturióliktas, -ta (1)	four hundredth	keturiašim̃tas, -tà (4)
fifteenth	penkióliktas,-ta (1)	five hundredth	penkiašim̃tas, -tà (4)
sixteenth	šešióliktas, -ta (1)	six hundredth	šešiašim̃tas, -tà (4)
seventeenth	septynióliktas, -ta (1)	seven hundredth	septyniašim̃tas, -tà (4)
eighteenth	aštuonióliktas, -ta (1)	eigth hundredth	aštuoniašim̃tas, -tà (4)
nineteenth	devynióliktas, -ta (1)	nine hundredth	devyniašim̃tas, -tà (4)
twentieth	dvidešim̃tas, -tà (4)	thousandth	túkstantas, -ta (1)
		two thousandth	dutū́kstantas, -ta (1)

Declension

The ordinal numbers are declined like adjectives of the 1st declension (see p. 59 ff.).

In a *compound* ordinal number only the last figure has the form of an ordinal and is declined. The other components are in the form of undeclined (nominative form) cardinal numbers (for examples, see section on syntax below).

Like the adjectives they have both *nominal* (short or indefinite) and *pronominal* (long or definite) forms, e. g. *pìrmas*, *pirmà* : *pirmàsis*, *pirmóji*. A special case is 'hundredth' since in modern Lithuanian only the pronominal form *šimtàsis*, *šimtóji* is used.

Accentuation

The accentuation is indicated through the figures in brackets in the above table.

For the accentuation of the pronominal forms, see p. 66 f.

Syntax

Ordinal numbers have the same syntax as adjectives (see p. 67). They are most frequently encountered in *attributive* function where they agree with the head noun in gender, number and case.

Illustrations:

Jis jau dvyliktoje klasėje 'he is already in the twelfth class.'; tūkstantis devyni šimtai ketvirtasis kambarys 'room number 1904'.

Years and dates are expressed by ordinal numbers.

To answer the question when? (kada?) the instrumental case is used for the year: ji gimė tūkstantis devyni šimtai pirmais metais 'she was born in 1901'. If the year is accompanied by a date (month/day-combination), the year expression may be either in the instrumental or the genitive whereas the month must be in the genitive and the date in the accusative: ji gimė tūkstantis devyni šimtai pirmų metų or pirmais metais kovo (mėnesio) dvidešimtą (dieną) 'she was born on March 20, 1901 (lit. on the twentieth day of the month of March of the year [...])'.

For translating a sentence like 'to-day is March 20, 1994' the nominative, not the accusative of the date has to be used: *šiandien* (yra) tūkstantis devyni šimtai devyniasdešimt ketvirtų metų kovo dvidešimta diena 'to-day is March 20, 1994'. Observe that the normal order in Lithuanian is 1) the year, 2) the date. In year/date-expressions the nominal/short form of the ordinal number is commonly used.

In written exposition figures are usually encountered instead of letters. The rule is that with months Arabic or (more rarely to-day) Roman numerals are used whereas for day and year Arabic numerals are found.

For *time of day* ordinal numbers (in the nominal form) are also used.

Examples:

aš ateisiu pirmą valandą 'I'll come at one o'clock'; dabar (yra) penkta valanda 'now it is five o'clock' In the first example the accusative must be used to answer the question when? (= kurią/kelintą valandą?) whereas in the second the nominative is used since pirma valanda is the grammatical subject of the sentence.

Ordinal numbers are further used in cases like *popiežius Jonas Povilas Antrasis* 'Pope John Paul II', *Petras Pirmasis* 'Peter the First/ I'. Observe the mandatory use of the long form in this case.

The rules regulating the choice between the *nominal* (short, indefinite) and the *pronominal* (long, definite) of ordinal numbers are the same as those given for the adjective (cf. p. 69).

III. FRACTIONS

As in English and many other langauges, fractions in Lithuanian are formed with the help of a *numerator* expressed by a cardinal number in the feminine gender (due to a usually deleted fem. noun *dalis* 'part') and a *denominator* in the form of an ordinal numeral (in the definite form). Both the numerator and the denominator are declined.

When whole units occur with fractions, this unit is in the feminine form of the cardinal number since it agrees with the usually deleted noun dalis, cf. for example peñkios sveikos (ir pùsė), literally 'five whole parts (and a half)'.

The noun of which something is a fraction (in the following example 'share') is in the genitive singular (plural in the case of *pluralia tantum* nouns) regardless of the case in which the fraction occurs. The reason for this is that the noun in question is grammatically dependent on *dalys, daliu, dalims* etc., i. e. 'parts of a share').

Example of a full paradigm:

N peñkios (sveikos) ir šešios septintosios (dalys) (akcijos) '5 6/7 (of a share)'

G penkių̃ (sveikų̃) ir šešių̃ septintų̃jų (dalių̃) (ãkcijos)

D penkióms (sveikóms) ir šešióms septintósioms (dalims) (ãkcijos)

A penkiàs (sveikàs) ir šešiàs septintásias (dalìs) (ãkcijos)

I penkiomis (sveikomis) ir šešiomis septintosiomis (dalimis) (akcijos)

L penkiosè (sveikosè) ir šešiosè septintõsiose (dalysè) (ãkcijos)

Illustrations in full sentences:

Jie turėjo penkias ir šešias septintąsias (dalis) akcijos 'they had five and six seventh of a share', ji buvo patenkinta penkiomis ir šešiomis septyntosiomis (dalimis) akcijos 'she was satisfied with five and six sevenths of a share'. Instead of the order ordinal number (+ dal-) + noun one will also find: ordinal + noun + dal-, e. g. ... su penkiomis ir šešiomis septintosiomis akcijos dalimis.

For '1/2' the noun $p\dot{u}s\dot{e}$ 'half' is used. It is declined like other feminine nouns in $-\dot{e}$. For '1 1/2' one can say *pusañtro*, lit. 'one half of the second', with a shortened form

NUMERALS 91

of $p\dot{u}s\dot{e}$ + an (adnominal) genitive of $a\tilde{n}tras/-\dot{a}$. The combination constitutes an indeclinable whole. An accompanying noun will be in the genitive: $p\dot{u}s\dot{e}$ litro, pusa $\tilde{n}tro$ litro 'half a litre, one and a half litres'. For 'a bottle of half a litre' one usually says $p\dot{u}slitris$ ($p\dot{u}sbutelis$). '2 1/2, 3 1/2' etc. (up to ten) can be expressed according to the pattern $pustre\check{c}io$ (fem. $pustre\check{c}ios$), $pusketvi\check{r}to$ (fem. pusketvirtos) and so on. '1/3' is either (vienas) $tr\check{e}\check{c}dalis$ (with the first element $tre\check{c}$ - undeclined, the second, dalis, declined /according to the pattern of a masc. noun of the 1st declension!/) or vienas $tr\check{e}\check{c}ioji$ (dalis). For '1/4' there are also two variants: (vienas) $tilde{v}$ ketvi $tilde{v}$ (declined in the same way as $tr\check{e}\check{c}dalis$) or (vienas) $tilde{v}$ ketvi $tilde{v}$ (declined in the same way as $tr\check{e}\check{c}dalis$) or (vienas) $tilde{v}$ ketvi $tilde{v}$ (declined in the same way as $tr\check{e}\check{c}dalis$) or (vienas) $tilde{v}$ ketvi $tilde{v}$ (dalis). Also compounds like $tilde{v}$ the same used.

Decimal Fractions

Beside the above illustrated fractions Lithuanian - like English - can also use *decimal fractions*, e. g. 2,34 which reads *du (sveiki) kablelis trisdešimt keturi*, lit. 'two (whole ones) comma thirty four'.

Chapter 6

THE VERB

(Veiksmãžodis)

The Grammatical Categories of the Lithuanian Verb

The grammatical categories of the Lithuanian verb are as follows:

- 1) number, i. e. singular and plural plus, very marginally, the dual.
- 2) *person*. Lithuanian distinguishes between *three* persons, the 1st, 2nd and 3rd.
- N.B.: a peculiarity of Lithuanian (together with Latvian) is the lack of formal distinction between the 3rd p. sg. and pl.
- 3) gender which is marginal since it is relevant only for participle forms. Two genders are distinguished: masculine and feminine. In addition there is the indeclinable "neuter".
- 4) tense. The Lithuanian indicative has six tenses, namely three simple, the present, past and future, and three compound, the present perfect, past perfect and future perfect. The simple past and past perfect have two variants each: the non-frequentative and frequentative. In addition Lithuanian has a couple of tense-aspect forms which can be labeled the inceptive and the progressive respectively.
- 5) aspect, i. e. the opposition of perfective and imperfective verb pairs (although the distinction is not formalized to the same extent as in the Slavic languages)
- 6) mood. Beside the unmarked indicative Lithuanian distinguishes the marked imperative, the permissive (which is often regarded as a

subgroup of the imperative), the *subjunctive* and the so-called *relative* mood.

7) voice: active and passive

Numbers 4-7 are specific verbal categories whereas 1-3 were also encountered with nominal word classes (see p. 37 f.).

Finite and Non-Finite Forms

The following forms - all present in Lithuanian - are labeled non-finite: *infinitive*, *participles* and *gerunds* together with the *verbal noun*. All other verb forms - including compound forms with participial constituents - are referred to as finite.

Reflexive and Non-Reflexive Verbs. Transitives and Intransitives.

Such oppositions are relevant for Lithuanian and will be described on pp. 145 and 148 ff.

Verb Stems

To be able to form the inventory of the Lithuanian verb one must know:

1) the *infinitive* stem, 2) the *present*, and 3) the *past* (preterite) stem.

On the basis of 1) the infinitive stem (which is found by dropping the -ti of the infinitive) the following forms of the verb paradigm (in addition to the infinitive itself) are constructed:

- the future tense
- the future participle active
- the future gerund
- the frequentative past
- the imperative
- the (present) subjunctive
- the past participle passive (= *t*-participle)
- the dam-gerund (participle)
- the participle of necessity

From 2) the present stem (which is obtained through deletion of the stem suffix of the Ist, IInd and IIIrd conjugation respectively, see below) are formed:

- the present tense
- the permissive
- the present participle active
- the present participle passive (= m-participle)
- the present gerund

From 3) the preterite stem (which is found by dropping the stem suffix, $-\dot{e}$ or -o in the simple past, see p. 103) are formed:

- the simple past (= \dot{e} and o-preterite)
- the past participle active
- the past gerund

Morphophonemic Rules

Before the presentation of the formation of the tenses and principal forms (*a verbo* forms) it is practical to give a couple of morhophonemic rules which are relevant for the verb, namely:

- 1) the dentals t and d change to s before the -ti of the infinitive (cf. p. 26): mèsti 'throw' vs. present & past stem met-, brìsti 'wade' vs. past stem brid-,
- 2) sk and zg + consonant yield ks and gz in preconsonantal position whereas šk is represented as $k\check{s}$ under the same conditions. Thus, for example, mezg- and $tro\check{s}k$ in present and past tense forms (as well as other forms derived from these stems) are opposed to $m\grave{e}gzti$ ('knit') and $tr\acute{o}k\check{s}ti$ ('feel thirsty; desire') in the infinitive (and forms derived from the infinitive). For more details, see p. 26 above.

Also relevant is the merger of the dentals t and d+j into affricates \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ as well as the fate of n before other consonants than stops. These processes are described in chapter 1, pp. 24 and 29. Also referred to in chapter 1 is the secondary lengthening of the vowels e and a in open stressed syllables (p. 28 f.).

For the segmentation of $*\bar{i} > ij$ and $\bar{u} > uv$ before a vowel, see p. 98 below.

In certain verbs (see p. 110 ff.) vocalic alternations which constitute (old and new) *ablaut* series are encountered. Such alternations are $e:\bar{e}$, $a:\bar{o}$, $u:\bar{u}$, $i:\bar{i}$, e:i (the latter in resonant stems).

The treatment of sibilants on the morpheme boundary before the *si*-morpheme of the future tense is described in connection with the presentation of the future tense.

THE FINITE VERB

Disposition

The disposition will be as follows:

- Presentation of *conjugational types*
- A section containing the formation of the simple tenses of the indicative active; principal forms (a verbo forms) with irregular verbs; formation of the compound tenses of the indicative active; formation of certain special tense/aspect forms
- A section on aspect and tense with special emphasis on the use of the tenses of the indicative active. This section will also contain a discussion of the question of aspect in Lithuanian, including both form and meaning, and, finally, a survey of the use of certain special tense/aspect forms
- A section on the marked *moods*, i. e. other moods than the indicative, which will be described with respect both to *form and function*
- A section on *voice* with special emphasis on the *formation and use* of the passive (or passive equivalent constructions)
- A section on transitive and intransitive verbs
- A section devoted to the use of reflexive verbs

Conjugational Types

It is customary to divide the Lithuanian verb into three conjugations, referred to as I, II and III respectively, according to the timbre of the stem vowel of the present tense.

The verbs of the Ist conjugation are characterized through the stem suffix -a before the personal endings (see p. 98). Two types, non-palatalized (dìrb-a-me 'we work') and palatalized (gēri-a-me ['gæṣṣ-ɛ-mɛ] 'we drink'), are distinguished, cf. p. 23 above.

IInd conjugation verbs have an -i- as the present stem suffix: mýl-i-me 'we love', whereas those of the

IIIrd conjugation have an -o- as their stem suffix in the present tense, e. g. skaīt-o-me 'we read'.

Predictability of Conjugational Type on the Basis of the Infinitive

All monosyllabics belong to the Ist conjugation: $s\acute{e}$ -ti, pres. $s\acute{e}$ -j-a 'to sew', $l\acute{y}$ -ti, pres. $l\~y$ -j-a 'to rain', dirb-ti, pres. dirb-a 'to work', $g\acute{e}r$ -ti, pres. $g\~e$ ria $['g\~e$ ty -e] 'to drink'. The same is the case with verbs in a consonant before the infinitive marker in -ti, cf. alongside dirb-ti, g'er-ti also gyv'en-ti, pres. $gyv\~en$ -a 'to live', didin-ti, pres. didin-a 'to increase, magnify'. Verbs of the IInd and IIIrd conjugations are never monosyllabic and always have a vowel before the -ti of the infinitive. The vowel is -e in the II conjugation, e. g. myl-e-ti 'to love', whereas IIIrd conjugational verbs are in -yti, e. g. skait'y-ti 'to read', or more rarely, -oti, e. g. $ie\~sk\'o$ -ti 'to look for'. There are, however, also some Ist conjugational verbs with the vowels -e, -y, -o before the -ti of the infinitive, cf., for example, tek'eti, pres. $t\~eka$ 'to flow, run', $t\~up\'eti$, $t\~up\'eti$ 'to boil', t'up'eti 'to boil', t'up'eti 'to chant, pipe'. Unambigously Ist congugation verbs are those with infinitives ending in -t'up and -t'up 'to dalyváuti, pres. t'up 'to participate', t'up 'kainúoti, pres. t'up 'to cost'.

The Formation of the Tenses of the Indicative Active.

General Considerations

Non-Reflexive Verbs

The desinences are the same in all (simple) tenses, i. e:

The 3rd person form may be regarded as the basic one from which all other forms can be derived.

Reflexive Verbs

and

The corresponding reflexive desinences are:

The first series is used after a consonant (including j) whereas the second -us(i) and -is(i) merges with a preceding vowel into a diphthong. The endings of the first series are encountered in the future tense, the

present tense of Ist conjugational verbs, those of the second in other cases, i. e. the past tense as well as the present tense of verbs of the IInd and IIIrd conjugations.

When a reflexive verb appears with a prefix, then the reflexive morpheme (-si-) is intercalated between the prefix and the root, and the desinences are those of the non-reflexive type.

Accentuation

In *unprefixed* verbs (both non-reflexive and reflexive) the accent can either remain on the root/stem in all forms of the paradigm (= immobile stress) or it can fall on the ending in the 1st and 2nd p. sg., but rest on the root/stem in the other forms (= mobile stress). This mobility is due to de Saussure's law, cf. p. 34 f. above.

With *prefixed* verbs a distinction must be made between verbs without and with retraction. Verbs without retraction behave in terms of accentuation in the same way as unprefixed verbs. In verbs with retraction the prefix attracts the stress in all persons, both in singular and plural. If there is more than one prefix, the stress "leaps" to the rightmost suffix, i. e. to the suffix next to the root.

In *prefixed reflexive verbs* the reflexive particle will behave as a prefix and occupy the position next to the root whence it can attract the accent.

N. B. The prefix *per*- automatically attracts the stress in all forms of the verb, finite as well as non-finite forms.

Formation of the Simple Tenses

As mentioned in the introduction to this chapter there are three simple tenses in Lithuanian: the *present*, the *past* and the *future*.

I. The Simple Present

(Esamasis laikas)

1. Verbs of the First Conjugation

First a couple of full paradigms of the present tense of Ist conjugation verbs should be given:

Inf. *ruõšti* 'to prepare' 1 p. sg. ruošiù 1 p. pl. ruõšiame 2 p. sg. ruošì 2 p. pl. ruõšiate 3 p. sg./pl. ruõšia

Inf. *stóti* 'to stand up' 1 p. sg. stóju 1 p. pl. stójame 2 p. sg. stóji 2 p. pl. stójame 3 p. sg./pl. stója

Reflexive forms:

Inf. kéltis 'to get up' 1 p. sg. keliúosi 1 p. pl. kẽliamės 2 p. sg. kelíesi 2 p. pl. kẽliatės 3 p. sg./pl. kẽliasi

As pointed out above (p. 95) the suffix appearing immediately before the personal endings is -a. It is deleted in the 1st and 2nd p. sg. The -a can be added directly to the root (as, for example, in dirb-a: dirbti 'works') or its extended form whereby the following three concomitant praesentic affixes: -j, -n and -st should be especially observed.

a) -i:

j-presents are predominantly transitives, e. g. ger-i-a ['gæṣṣ-ɛ] < *ger-j-a 'drink(s)' (: gérti); sé-j-a 'sow(s)' (: séti). As is seen from the gérti-example, the *j has merged with the preceding consonant to form a palatalized consonant (cf. p. 23). In postvocalic position (type séti) the appearance of the -j- is automatic and obligatory. Beside after è -j- is encountered after the equally long vowel o as well as the diphthongs -au, -uo and -ie. Examples: stó-j-a 'stands' (: stóti), dalyváu-j-a 'participate' (: dalyváuti), dainúo-j-a 'sing(s)' (: dainúoti), líe-j-a 'found(s), mould(s)' (: líeti) mould(s)' (: líeti).

After the vowels \bar{i} (written y) and \bar{u} a j is not inserted, but segmentation of \bar{i} and \bar{u} into a short i and u + their consonantal counterparts j and v takes place instead, e. g. $vi\tilde{e}nij$ -a 'unite(s)' (: $vi\tilde{e}ny$ -ti), siù v-a 'sew(s)'(: $si\tilde{u}ti$).

b) -n:

Another strategy is observed in cases like *lỹja* 'rain(s)' (: *lýti*) and $p\bar{u}va$ 'rot(s)' (: $p\dot{u}ti$) (with circumflex intonation in the present vs. acute in the infinitive) which may be taken to reflect *li-n-j-a and *pu-n-v-a with segmentation of $\bar{\iota}$ and \bar{u} into -ij- and -uv-+ insertion of an n in the root.

This has brought us to the second concomitant present tense marker, namely the n-infix which is characteristic of certain intransitives. According to p. 29 the nasal is lost before other consonants than stops, but has left a trace in the compensatorily lengthened vowel and the intonation. Examples (other than $l\tilde{y}ja$ and $p\tilde{u}va$ just quoted) are $k\tilde{y}la < *ki-n-la$ (: kilti 'arise'), $bj\tilde{u}ra < *bju-nra$ (: bjurti 'become bad/about weather and roads/)' and $b\tilde{q}la < *ba-n-la$ (: $b\acute{a}lti$ 'become white'). As examples with the nasal marker intact/preserved before stops may serve $ti-\tilde{n}-k-a$ (: tikti 'be fit for') and $ta-\tilde{m}-p-a$ (: $t\grave{a}pti$ 'become'). In the last example the *n has been assimilated to m before the labial stop).

The -n-may also appear as a suffix in certain monosyllabic stems, cf., for example, $\check{s}\acute{a}u$ -n-a alongside $\check{s}\acute{a}u$ -j-a (: $\check{s}\acute{a}uti$ 'shoot').

c) -st:

The st-suffix is found mostly with intransitives, e. g. $d\acute{y}g$ -st-a 'sprout(s)' (: $d\acute{y}gti$).

After the stem-final consonants s, \check{s} , z and \check{z} the -s- in -st- is lost: $a\check{u}\check{s}ta < *au\check{s}-st$ -a 'dawn is coming' (: $a\check{u}\check{s}-ti$), $l\check{u}\check{z}ta < *l\bar{u}\check{z}-sta$ 'burst' (: $l\check{u}\check{z}-ti$).

Further, t and d are lost before -st, e. g. $kl\tilde{y}sta$ 'be mistaken' (cf. preterite stem klyd-: $kl\tilde{y}sti < *kl\tilde{u}d$ -ti, see p. 26).

Accumulation of nasal infix and the st-suffix is also possible, but is probably limited to $g\tilde{e}sta < *ge-n-st-a$ (: $g\hat{e}sti$ 'die out /about light/)'.

2. Verbs of the Second Conjugation

Examples of full paradigms:

Reflexive forms:

Inf. domětis 'to be interested in'

```
1 p. sg. domiúos(i) 1 p. pl. dőmimės 2 p. sg. domíes(i) 2 p. pl. dőmitės
```

3 p. sg./pl. domis(i)

The verbs of this conjugation can be presented briefly. As mentioned on p. 95 above, the vowel before the personal endings is -i-which is deleted before the -i of the 2 sg. and optionally before the zero ending of the 3 p. In the 1 sg. it has left a trace in the softness of the consonant preceding the ending -u (in the orthographic representation -Ciu), following the diachronic rule according to which i in prevocalic position has yielded j with subsequent merger of the j with the preceding consonant into a soft consonant, cf. p. 23 above. With stems in -t and -d an alternation $\check{c}/d\check{z}$ (1. sg.) vs. t/d (other cases) takes place according to the rules on p. 24.

The present stem can be derived from the infinitive stem by truncation of the $-\dot{e}$ - before the infinitive ending in -ti.

3. Verbs of the Third Conjugation

The vowel before the desinences is -o-. Two types, A. with infinitives in -yti and B. with infinitives in -oti, are encountered.

A. Infinitives in -yti.

The pattern can be diagrammed in the following way:

From a historical point of view the -o- has arisen from $*\bar{a}$ which was shortened to *a when part of a diphthong which explains -au/-ai (< $*-\bar{a} + u/-\bar{a} + i$) in the first and second p. sg., and not *-ou/-oi

B. Infinitives in *-oti*. Conjugation sample:

Inf.
$$ie\check{s}k-\acute{o}-ti$$
 'to look for; search'

1 p. sg. $ie\check{s}k-a-u$

1 p. pl. $ie\check{s}k-o-me$

2 p. sg. $ie\check{s}k-a-i$

1 p. pl. $ie\check{s}k-o-te$

3 p. sg./pl. $ie\check{s}k$

Reflexive forms:

The morphological make up of the present tense will be the same both in types III A and B. Therefore only one paradigm will be given: dairýtis 'to look around'

1 p. sg. dairaũs(i) 1 p. pl. dairomės 2 p. sg. dairais(i) 1 p. pl. dairotės 3 p. sg./pl. dairos(i)

Accentuation

Unprefixed Forms

For generalities, cf. p. 97 above.

Since the accent patterns are the same both for non-reflexive and reflexive verbs, illustrations will be given for non-reflexives only.

1) If the root/stem of the present tense is acute, the stress does not move.

Examples:

Conjugatio	n: I	II	III
Infinitive	<i>šókti</i> 'to jump'	<i>mylĕti</i> 'to love'	ieškóti 'to look for'
1 p. sg.	šóku	mýliu	íeškau
2 p. sg.	šóki	mýli	íeškai
3 p. sg./pl.	šóka	mýl(i)	íeško
1 p. pl.	šókame	mýlime	íeškome
2 p. pl.	šókate	mýlite	íeškote

- N. B. In roots of the structure $Ci/\dot{u}RC$ the grave ` (= short accent) has the same effect as the acute ('), e. g. dirbu, dirbi, dirba, dirba, dirba, dirba, dirba (: dirbti 'to work').
- 2) If the root/stem of the present tense is either circumflex or shows the short accent*, de Saussure's Law operates in the 1st and 2nd person singular whereby the stress leaps from one syllable to the immediately following in comparison with the other forms of the paradigm.

*except for cases mentioned under N. B. in point 1) above.

Examples:

Conjugation:		I	II		III
Inf.:	riñkti	lìpti	ruõšti	tur <i>ëti</i>	laikýti
	'to collect'	'to climb'	'to prepare'	'to have'	'to hold'
1 p. sg.	renkù	lipù	ruošiù	turiù	laikaũ
2 p. sg.	renkì	lipì	ruošì	turì	laikai

3.p.	reñka	lìpa	ruõšia	tùri	laiko
1 p. pl.	reñkame	lìpame	ruõšiame	tùrime	laikome
2 p. pl.	reñkate	lìpate	ruõšiate	tùrite	laikote

b) Prefixed Forms

The rules for accentuation are here rather complicated.

First one has to distinguish between verbs without and with retraction.

1) Verbs without retraction.

This group follows the same patterns as unprefixed verbs, cf. above.

Retraction does not take place if the root syllable of the present tense is *acute*.

Further, retraction does not take place with verbs of the IIIrd conjugation: padāro (: padarýti 'to do').

In the Ist conjugation retraction is never found with verbs which contain a *nasal* infix or the *st*-suffix, e. g. *patiñka* (: *patìkti* 'like'), *nuklýsta* (: *nuklýsti* 'to get lost').

Examples of accent paradigms without retraction:

paíeškau, paíeškai, paíeško etc. (: paieškóti 'to search'), padaraū, padaraū, padaro etc. (: padarýti 'to do'.

2) Verbs with retraction.

On p. 97 above it was stated that retraction means retraction of the stress onto the suffix in all persons and both numbers.

In a-presents (= Ist conjugation) retraction takes place if the root vowel is short, e. g. sùmušu, sùmuši, sùmuša etc. (: sumùšti 'to defeat').

Retraction is also the rule with a-presents which correspond with unprefixed forms with a circumflexed "mixed diphthong" (p. 31 above) in the root as, for example, sùrenku, sùrenki, sùrenka etc. (: suriñkti 'to collect'), nèkalbu, nèkalbi, nèkalba etc. (: nekalbéti 'not to speak') and nùperku, nùperki, nùperka etc. (: piřkti 'to buy').

In -ia-presents (= Ist conjugation) retraction takes place only if the root vowel of the present tense is short, e. g. nùlekiu, nùleki, nùlekia (:nulěkti 'to fly away'). Thus, retraction does not occur, for example, in nešaukiù, nešaukì, nešaūkia (: nešaūkti 'not to shout') because of the diphthong which in the morae count (cf. p. 34) equals a long vowel.

Verbs of the IInd conjugation cause trouble since - following rather obscure rules - some allow retraction while others do not.

Prefixed reflexive verbs

The stress can also fall on the reflexive particle which behaves as a prefix and occupies the position next to the root, e. g. prisimena (: prisiminti 'remember').

Cases with more than one prefix

In the case of more than one prefix the nearest to the root syllable attracts the stress (if the general conditions for retraction are present), e.g. nebepàneša 'do(es) not carry any more'.

For the historical explanation of the accentuation in prefixed verbs, see Stang, *Vergleichende Grammatik der baltischen Sprachen* 1966, p. 450.

II. The Simple Past

1. The Non-Frequentative Past (Būtàsis kartìnis laikas).

This tense is formed from the past stem (p. 94 above) and appears in two variants, labeled the \dot{e} - ($<*\bar{e}$) and o- ($<*\bar{a}$) preterite respectively according to the timbre of the obligatory suffix before the personal endings.

As mentioned above personal endings proper are the same in all simple tenses of the indicative, i. e. 1 sg. -u, 2 sg.-i, 1 pl. me, 2 pl. -te, 3 sg./pl. -Ø in the non-reflexive forms. For reflexive endings, see below.

The 1 p. sg. of \dot{e} -preterites is in -iau ($<*\bar{e}u$) with softening of the preceding consonant (and in the case of t and d with a shift to \check{c} and $d\check{z}$ respectively according to p. 24 above). The 2. sg. is in -ei ($<*\bar{e}i$) due to the automatic shortening of long diphthongs. The o-type preterite repeats the techniques of the present tense of the verbs of the IIIrd conjugation.

Thus, for the simple past the following two series are arrived at:

	ė-type	o-type
1 p.sg.	-iau	-au
2 p. sg.	- <i>ei</i>	-ai
3 p. sg.	$-\dot{m{e}}$	-0
1 p. pl.	-ėme	-ome
2 p. pl.	-ėte	-otė
3 p. pl.	- <i>ė</i>	-0

The reflexive forms have the following appearance:

	\dot{e} -type	o-type	
1 p. sg.	-iaus(i)	-aus(i)	
2 p. sg.	-eis(i)	-ais(i)	
3 p. sg.	$-\dot{e}s(i)$	-os(i)	
1 p. pl.	-ėmės	-omės	
2 p. pl.	-ėtės	-otės	
3 p. pl.	$-\dot{e}s(i)$	-os(i)	

The problem, then, is to determine which verbs form \dot{e} - and which o-preterites.

The following rules and guidelines can be given:

All verbs of the IInd conjugation (p. 95) form o-preterites, e. g.: myl ej-o (: pres. myli, inf. myleti 'to love'). The same applies to the verbs of subtype B of the IIIrd conjugation verbs in -oti (ie skoj-o: pres. ie sko, inf. ie skoti 'to look for') whereas the IIIrd conjugational A verbs, i. e. verbs with infinitives in -yti, pres. in -o, e. g.: dar yti: dar o 'do', follow the e-pattern: dar e.

Ist conjugational verbs are also divided between \dot{e} - and o-preterites, but according to less transparent distributional principles: verbs with ia-presents (normally transitive) form \dot{e} -preterites: $g\ddot{e}r$ - \dot{e} (: pres. $g\ddot{e}ria$, inf. $g\acute{e}rti$ 'to drink'). The only exception is $l\acute{e}ido$ (: pres. $l\acute{e}id\ddot{z}ia$, inf. $l\acute{e}isti$ 'to permit'). The bulk of the a-presents (especially the intransitives) have preterites in -o, among others all verbs with a nasal infix in the present tense, e. g. krito 'fall' (: pres. krita, inf. kristi 'to fall') and the absolute majority of those with a present tense st-suffix, e. g. $tr\acute{u}ko$ (: $tr\acute{u}ksta$: $tr\acute{u}kti$ 'to be lacking'). Observe, however: $gim\dot{e}$ vs. pres. gimsta (: inf. gimti 'to be born') and $mir\dot{e}$ vs. pres. miršta (: inf. mir̃ti 'to die'). Further, the o-perterite is automatic if the preterite stem is in -j, e. g. lijo (: $l\acute{y}ti$ 'to rain'), $vi\~e$ nijo (: $vi\~e$ nyti 'to unite'). A preterite stem ending in -j is also characteristic of the verbs of the IInd conjugation as well as those of III B, cf. above.

In many cases a close relationship between the infinitive and preterite stems can be observed in contrast to the stem of the present tense. This is best demonstrated with monosyllabic verbs of conjugation I, see p. 111 f. below. The root vocalism of the two stems rarely differs in quality. The preterite (\dot{e} -preterites only) is often characterized through a long root vowel which for phonological reasons (p. 33) has been shortened in the infinitive (e. g. $g\acute{e}ri$ 'to drink' vs. $g\acute{e}r\dot{e}$). In a case like $l\acute{y}ti$ 'to rain' vs. lijo the * $\bar{\imath}$ is likely to have been segmented into - $\bar{\imath}$ + j before the vocalic suffix (-o) whereby the original identity between the two stems has become obscured. Also in the case of class III A verbs an original identity between the preterite and infinitive stem can have been lost

since, for example, the stem form *matī (cf. matý-ti 'look') could possibly have yielded mat- with disappearance of $i (< *\bar{\imath})$ before $-\dot{e} (< *\bar{e})$.

Accentuation

a) Unprefixed verbs

As far as *unprefixed* verbs are concerned the accent rules are the same as described for the present tense above (p. 101 f.), i. e. de Saussure's Law is implemented in the 1st and 2nd sg. when the syllable immediately before the ending is non-acute, thus, for example:

mačiau, matei, matė vs. gériau, gérei, gérė

Here $-a\tilde{u}$, $e\tilde{i}$ originates from *- $\dot{a}u$, $-\dot{e}i$ as a result of metatony, cf. p. 34.

b) Prefixed verbs

Verbs without retraction should be distinguished from those where retraction occurs. Those of the former type follow the same principle as unprefixed verbs, i. e. the stress moves only as a result of the effect of de Saussure's law (for examples, see below).

Retraction of stress onto the prefix (in all forms) takes place in a number of cases according to similar, but somewhat less complicated rules than in the present tense. Retraction occurs only in the \dot{e} -preterite, not the o-preterite which eliminates all verbs of the IInd conjugation and part of the Ist conjugational verbs as well as conjugation III B. (infinitives in -oti). Further, there is a constraint against retraction with verbs with o-type present forms which also eliminates III A (infinitives in -yti). We are then left with the \dot{e} -preterites of the 1st conjugation where retraction takes place if the root syllable shows circumflex or a short vowel (the latter marked with a grave `).

Examples with retraction:

ìšmušiau, ìšmušei, ìšmušė (: išmùšti 'knock out'); ìšnešiau, ìšnešei, ìšnešė (: išnèšti 'carry out'); nùėmiau, nùėmei, nùėmė (: nuimti 'take away'); pàruošiau, pàruošei, pàruošė (: paruošti 'make ready').

With more than one prefix conditions are parallel to those described for the present tense above in that the stress falls on the prefix next to the root syllable.

Examples without retraction:

pamylėjau, pamylėjai, pamylėjo (: pamylėti 'to love'), paieškójau, paieškójai, paieškójo (: paieškóti 'to search'), išlaikiaū, išlaikeī, išlaikė (: išlaikýti 'to sustain'), nupirkaū, nupirkaī, nupirko (: nupirkti 'to buy')

Reflexive forms:

The principles for accentuation of reflexive preterites do not deviate from those of non-reflexive forms if one bears in mind the general rule that the reflexive particle (affix) is inserted between other prefixes and the root in which case it can be stressed according to the rules for retraction presented above, e. g. pasiruošiau, pasiruošei, pasiruošė (: pasiruošti 'to prepare oneself')

2. The Frequentative Past (Būtàsis dažninis laikas)

The frequentative past is formed on the basis of the infinitive stem by addition of the infix -dav- which automatically requires the o-suffix.

Some examples:

gér-dav-au, gér-dav-ai, gér-dav-o etc. (: gér-ti 'to drink'), dìrb-dav-au, dìrb-dav-ai, dìrb-dav-o etc. (: dìrb-ti 'to work'), mylé-dav-au, mylé-dav-ai, mylé-dav-o (: mylé-ti 'to love'), skaitý-dav-au, skaitý-dav-ai, skaitý-dav-ai, ieškó-dav-au, ieškó-dav-ai, ieškó-dav-o (: ieškó-ti 'to look for').

The *accent* always repeats that of the infinitive and rests on the same syllable throughout the paradigm. Neither root intonation nor prefixation can lead to a movement of the accent.

III. The Future Tense

(Būsimàsis laīkas)

The future tense is formed from the infinitive by dropping the -ti and adding -si- plus the personal endings which are the same as in the present and past tenses.

In the 1st p. sg. the -i- has yielded *j before the vocalic desinence -u (cf. p. 24). Further, *j has merged with the preceding s-formative, yielding a palatalized s (written -si-u, cf. p. 23 above). The development is thus parallel with that observed in the 1 p. sg. of the present tense of verbs of the IInd conjugation.

1. Verbs of the First Conjugation

Paradigms with comments:

a) b) c) d) e) f)
pirk-ti dìrb-ti mès-ti mègz-ti nèš-ti vèž-ti
'to buy' 'to work' 'to throw' 'to knit' 'to carry' 'to carry'

1 pirksiu	dìrbsiu	mèsiu	mègsiu	nèšiu	vèšiu
2 piřksi	dìrbsi	mèsi	mègsi	nèši	vèši
3 pirks	dir̃bs	mès	mègs	nèš	vèš
1 piřksime	dìrbsime	mèsime	mègsime	nèšime	vèšime
2 piřksite	dìrbsite	mèsite	mègsite	nèšite	vèšite

Paradigm a) is regular in every respect and does not need any further comment.

The 3rd p. of some verbs are irregular since either shortenings or shift of intonation from acute to circumflex (so-called métatonie douce) may occur. The latter irregularity, i. e. metatony, is observed in paradigm b).

Other examples with *metatony* in the 3. p. than *dirbs* are, for example: *gers* (: *gérti* 'drink'), *kals* (: *kálti* 'forge'), *gyvens* (: *gyvénti* 'live') and *duos* (: *dúoti* 'give').

Shortening of acuted \bar{u} and $\bar{\imath}$ to \hat{u} and $\hat{\imath}$ takes place in monosyllabic stems of the structure $C\bar{u}$ -ti and $C\bar{\imath}$ -ti provided that the root vowel of the simple past is short, cf., for example: $b\dot{u}$ -ti 'be': $b\dot{u}s$ (vs. $b\dot{u}siu$, $b\dot{u}si$, $b\dot{u}sime$, $b\dot{u}site$): past $b\dot{u}vo$, $p\dot{u}$ -ti 'rot': $p\dot{u}s$: past $p\dot{u}vo$; $l\dot{y}$ -ti 'rain': $l\dot{\imath}s$: past $l\dot{\imath}jo$, $g\dot{y}$ -ti 'heal, recover': $g\dot{\imath}s$: past $g\dot{\imath}jo$. There are two exceptions to this rule: $si\dot{u}ti$ 'sew' and $v\dot{y}$ -ti 'chase' which have $si\dot{u}s$ and $v\dot{\imath}s$ in the 3 p. (probably to avoid homonymy with $si\dot{u}s$ and $v\dot{\imath}s$ from $si\dot{u}sti$ 'be furious' and $v\dot{\imath}sti$ 'breed'). All other monosyllabic $C\bar{u}$ -ti and $C\bar{\imath}$ -ti stems retain the vocalism of the infinitive whereby verbs with an acute intonation in the infinitive adopt the circumflex in the 3 p. of the future tense, e. g. $l\dot{u}z$ -ti 'break': $l\ddot{u}s$ (past $l\ddot{u}zo$), $d\dot{y}g$ -ti 'sprout': $d\ddot{y}gs$ (past $d\dot{y}go$).

In monosyllabic verbs with circumflex intonation in the infinitive the circumflex is, of course, retained in all forms of the future tense, e. g. $bl\tilde{y}k\tilde{s}ti$ 'become pale': $bl\tilde{y}k\tilde{s}$.

Monosyllabic verbs with a short i and u in the infinitive are equally unproblematic; they retain the short accent of the infinitive: sprù kti 'run away': sprù ks, brìsti 'wade': brìst.

When the infinitive stem ends in one of the consonants -s, $-\check{s}$, -z or $-\check{z}$, assimilation and simplification take place according to the scheme s/z + s > s, $\check{s}/\check{z} + s > \check{s}$, cf. samples c), d), e) and f) above. Thus, instead of *mes-siu, *megz-siu, *nešiu and *vež-siu one arrives at mesiu, megsiu, nešiu and vešiu.

2. Verbs of the Second and Third Conjugations

Here the infinitive stem always ends in a vowel and contains more than one syllable whence no complications are encountered other than the regular shift from acute to circumflex intonation in the 3 p. A couple of examples will suffice:

	II conjugatio	n:	III conjugation: <i>ieškóti</i>	
	myl <i>ë-ti</i>	skaitý-ti		
	'to love'	'to read'	'to look for'	
1 p. sg.	mylésiu	skaitýsiu	ieškósiu	
2 p. sg.	mylési	skaitýsi	ieškósi	
3 p.	mylė̃s	skaitỹs	ieškõs	
1 p. pl.	mylésime	skaitýsime	ieškósime	
2 p. pl.	mylésite	skaitýsite	ieškósite	

Reflexive Forms

Finally a couple of examples of reflexive paradigms should be given:

su-si-tìkti 'to meet'		
susitìksiu		
susitìksi		
susitìks		
susitìksime		
susitìksite		

Accentuation

Both in non-reflexive and reflexive verbs the *stress* is always on the same syllable as in the infinitive in all forms of the paradigm. This means that de Saussure's law does not operate in the future tense. Nor do any accent movements occur in prefixed forms.

The *intonation* also repeats that of the infinitive *except in the 3rd* p. where an *acute* intonation is changed into *circumflex* according to the mechanism described as *métatonie douce* on p. 107 above.

In *monosyllabic* verbs of the structure $C\bar{u}$ -ti and $C\bar{\iota}$ -ti a shortening of the vowel to u and u in the 3. p. takes place in some verbs of this structure whereas in others shortening does not occur, but a shift from *acute* to *circumflex* (i. e. *métatonie douce*) is encountered instead. For rules and examples, see above.

The motivation for the shift from acute to circumflex or shortening respectively in the 3rd p. has to be sought in the principles laid down on p. 35 above and should be classified as a phenomenon linked with the special conditions found in word final position.

It might be expected that the acute had been retained in the 3. p. of reflexive future forms since the syllables in question will occur not in word final, but word-internal position. However, as illustrated by the form *matysis* in the above paradigm, the reflexives follow the analogy of the non-reflexive forms and undergo the shift from acute to circumflex.

Principal Forms

(Pagrindinės fòrmos)

As mentioned above (p. 93 f.) three stems, i. e. that of the infinitive, the present and - to a certain extent - also the preterite, are necessary to enable the student to conjugate Lithuanian verbs correctly.

IInd and IIIrd conjugational verbs cause little trouble. For criteria for their recognition on the basis of the infinitive, see p. 96. Difficulties are encountered above all among verbs of the Ist conjugation, many of which are irregular in that they show vowel alternations (*ablaut*). Further, as pointed out on p. 98 above, the present tense of Ist conjugational verbs can contain an unpredictable nasal infix, a suffix in *-j*- or *-st*. Finally, which verbs form an \dot{e} -preterite and which o-, is only partly predictable, see p. 104 above. Therefore a presentation of the main patterns of the principal forms of verbs of the Ist conjuagtion is inevitable. The principal forms will - as is also customary in Lithuanian tradition - be given in the infinitive, the 3rd p. present and preterite tenses. The 3rd p. of certain future tense forms causes some trouble, but they can be accounted for according to adequate rules; therefore the future tense (on which, see p. 106 ff. above) is not included among the principal-forms.

I. The Verb būti 'to be'

This verb - as in many other IE languages - has suppletive forms. Thus, the preterite tense is $b\dot{u}vo$ (3 p.) whereas the present is formed from es-, i. e. sg. 1 p. $es\dot{u}$, 2 p. $es\dot{i}$, pl. 1 p. esame, 2 p. esame. The 3 p. sg./pl. $yr\dot{a}$ is formed from a different root than the other forms.

The form $yr\grave{a}$ has a variant $\~esti$, see p. 144. There also exists a present tense paradigm $b\=un\grave{u}$, $b\=un\grave{i}$, $b\=una$, $b\=una$, $b\=una$ with frequentative meaning.

II. Principal Forms with ablaut

1. Long Vowel in the Infinitive and the Past vs. Originally (cf. p. 28 f.) Short in the Present Tense

 $ar{e}$: $e\left[\varepsilon\right]/\left[x!\right]$: $ar{e}$

lė̃kti : lekiù/lė̃kia : lė̃kė ('fly')

grėsti: gresiù/gresia: grėsė ('threaten')

 \bar{o} : a[a]/[a] : \bar{o}

võgti : vagiù /vãgia : võgė ('steal')

 \bar{u} : u : \bar{u}

pūsti: pùčia: pūtė ('blow')

The $\bar{i} : i : \bar{i}$ series seems to be missing.

2. Long Vowel in the Past vs. Short in the Infinitive and the Present Tense (Resonant Stems), cf. p. 28 f.

 $e[x\cdot] : e[\varepsilon]/[x] : \bar{e}$

gérti : geriù/gēria : gérė ('drink') kélti : keliù/kělia : kélė ('lift; raise') remti : remiù/remia : rėmė ('support')

 $a [a \cdot] : a [a]/[a \cdot] : o (< *\bar{a})$

kárti : kariù/kãria : kórė ('hang')

Observe the two anomalous soft resonant stems which do not show ablaut: $\acute{a}rti: \~{a}ria: \~{a}r\.{e}$ 'to plow' and $t\~{a}rti: t\~{a}ria: t\~{a}r\.{e}$ 'to utter'

u : u : \bar{u}

dùrti : dùria : dūrė ('stab')

kùrti: kūrė ('create; found; kindle /the fire/')

i : i : $\bar{\imath}$

ja-presents:

gìlti : gìlia : gýlė ('sting')

skirti: skiria: skýre ('separate, detach')

spìrti : spìria : spýrė ('kick')

tirti : tiria : týrė ('examine; explore')

a-presents:

gìnti : gìna : gýnė ('defend')

mìnti: mìna: mýnė ('trample down')

pìlti : pìla : pýlė ('pour')

pìnti : pìna : pýnė ('twist; weawe') skìnti skìna : skýnė ('pick, pluck')

The verb

imti: ìma: ëmė ('take') is unique and should be treated apart.

A place of its own is further required by

dúoti : dúoda : dãvė ('give')

- 3. Short -i- in the Infinitive and the Past Tense vs. -e- in the Present Tense
- a) o-preterite in the CVRC-structures:

kirpti : kerpa : kirpo ('cut')

kirsti : kerta : kirto ('fell /a tree/)

piřkti: peřka: piřko ('buy')

riñkti : reñka : riñko ('gather; collect; choose')

sirgti : serga : sirgo ('be ill')

vilkti: velka: vilko ('carry, drag')

listi : lenda : lindo ('crawl')

b) Observe the e-preterite in the CVR-structures:

giñti : gena : ginė 'chase'

giñti : gena : ginė 'chase'

miñti: mena: mine 'remember'

Some verbs have a *nasal infix* in addition to the \dot{e} -degree in the present tense:

brìsti : brenda : brìdo ('wade') skrìsti : skrenda : skrìdo ('fly')

Note the dental suffix (-d) in the present tense of the verb

vìrti : vérda : vìrė ('boil; cook')

4. Long Vowel in the Present Tense vs. Short in the Infinitive and the Past Tense

In all cases with a contrast long vowel in the present tense vs. short vowel in the preterite and the infinitive the long vowel has arisen from the combination short vowel + a nasal infix, due to the development described as the nasalization rule, see p. 29 above. The present tense characteristically shows circumflex intonation.

```
a) Stems in -r or -l:
```

i : \bar{i} : i

bìrti : bỹra* : bìro ('sprinkle') kìlti : kỹla : kìlo ('/a/rise')

svìrti : svỹra : svìro ('hang /down/)' šìlti : šỹla : šìlo ('get warm')

*(< *bi-n-r-a)

u : \bar{u} : u

bjùrti : bjūra : bjùro ('grow nasty/bad')

*(< *bju-n-r-a)

In cases with \tilde{q} and \tilde{e} in the present tense the opposition in terms of vocalic timbre between the present tense and the preterite is only orthographical (except for possible 1st and 2nd sg. forms where the -a-/-e- in the preterite root is unstressed and consequently short as opposed to the always long root vowel of the present tense):

bálti : bãla : bãlo ('become white')

šálti : šãla : šãlo ('get cold')

gvérti : gvę̃ra: gvẽro ('get loose')

b) Other stems

The following two series $\bar{\imath}:\bar{\imath}:i$ and $\bar{u}:\bar{u}:u$ with present $\bar{\imath}$ and \bar{u} (<*i+n/*u+n) before j and v are commented upon on p. 98 f. above

ī ī ilỹja : lìjo ('rain') lýti : rỹja : rijo ('swallow') rýti : \bar{u} : ū и griuti: griuva: griuvo ('fall /down/') žū̃va: žūti : ('perish; die') žùvo

Infinitive and Present with -au- (+-n- or -j-) vs. -ov- in the Preterite is observed in cases like

griáuti : griáuna : grióvė ('destroy') pjáuti : pjáuna ('cut') : pjóvė pláuti : pláuna : plóvė ('wash') : ráuna : róvė ('tear /up/') ráuti šáuti : šáuna : šóvė ('shoot')

The nasal infix is restricted to a number of *intransitive* verbs. An intransitive present tense marker is also the *st*-suffix which is found in a considerable number of verbs. A couple of examples: *rìmti : rìmsta : rìmo* 'be quiet', *sklýsti : sklýsta : sklýdo* 'run (about ink)'.

III. Principal Forms without ablaut

It is practical to include patterns also of this kind in order to contrast them with the *ablaut* verbs:

dègti : dēga : dēgė ('burn')
kèpti : kepù/kēpa : kēpė ('bake; fry')
mèsti : metù/mēta : mētė ('throw')
nèšti : nešù/nēša : nēšė ('carry')
sèkti : sekù/sēka : sēkė ('follow; watch')

vèsti : vedù/vẽda : vẽdė ('lead') vèžti : vežù/vẽža : vẽžė ('carry')

114

bárti : barù/bãra : bãrė ('scold; curse')

kàsti : kasù/kãsa : kãsė ('dig') málti : malù/mãla : mãlė ('grind')

šókti: šóka: šóko ('jump') N. B. o-preterite!

The long vowel of the preterite and the present tense in the verbs listed above is explained by the secondary lengthening rule described in chapter 1 (p. 28 f.). The operation of the rule is restricted to the vowels -e- and -a-, whence a short vowel appears throughout the paradigm in:

gulti : gùla : gùlė ('lie/down/') mùšti : mùša : mùšė ('beat; strike')

A long vowel in all principal forms is observed in the verb

ésti : éda : édė ('eat /about animals/')

The verb

pùlti: púolė 'attack'

also points to a long vowel ($*\bar{o} > uo$) in all forms, but *p'uolti was shortened to $p\`ulti$ according to a constraint on trimoric sequences in Lithuanian.

Finally the three verbs *miegóti* 'sleep', *raudóti* 'sob, lament' and *giedóti* 'sing' should be mentioned. In the present tense the *o*-vowel is deleted, e. g. *miegù*, *miegò*, *miega* etc. vs. *miegójau*, *miegójai*, *miegójo* in the past tense.

Formation of the Compound Tenses

(Sudurtìnės veiksmāžodžių formos)

As pointed out above (p. 92) the compound tenses in question are the *present perfect*, the *past perfect* and the *future perfect*.

These forms are constructed with the help of the auxiliary buti in the simple present, past (non-frequentative and frequentative) and future tenses respectively followed by the nominative case of the past participle active in the appropriate gender and number. This participle (for the more detailed formation of which, see p.158 f.) is in -es and -e for the masculine singular and plural respectively whereas the corresponding

masculine singular and plural respectively whereas the corresponding forms of the feminine are in *-usi* and *-usios*. The reflexive endings (in unprefixed verbs) are as follows:

-esis (m. sg.), -esi (m. pl.), -usis (f. sg.) and -usiosis (f. pl.). In prefixed verbs the reflexive particle -si- is inserted between the prefix(es) and the root according to the general rule given on p. 97 above.

I. The Present Perfect

(Sudurtinis esamàsis laikas)

Paradigm:

- SG 1 p. aš esù dìrbęs/dìrbusi 'I have worked'
 - 2 p. tu esì dìrbęs/dìrbusi 'you have worked'
 - 3 p. jis yrà dìrbęs 'he has worked'
 - 3 p. ji yrà dìrbusi 'she has worked'
- PL 1 p. mes ēsame dirbe/dirbusios 'we have worked'
 - 2 p. jūs ēsate dirbę/dirbusios* 'you have worked'
 - 3 p. jie yrà dìrbę 'they have worked'
 - 3 p. jos yrà dirbusios 'they have worked'

II. The Past Perfect

(Sudurtinis būtàsis laikas)

1) Non-frequentative form:

Paradigm:

- SG 1 p. aš buvaū dirbęs/dirbusi 'I have worked'
 - 2 p. tu buvai dirbęs/dirbusi 'you have worked'
 - 3 p. jis bùvo dìrbęs 'he has worked'
 - 3 p. ji bùvo dìrbusi 'she has worked'
- PL 1 p. mes bùvome dìrbe/dìrbusios 'we have worked'
 - 2 p. jūs bùvote dìrbę/dìrbusios* 'you have worked'
 - 3 p. jie bùvo dìrbę 'they have worked'
 - 3 p. jos bùvo dirbusios 'they have worked'

^{*}in polite form: jūs ēsate dirbęs/dirbusi

^{*}in polite form: jūs bùvote dìrbęs/dìrbusi

2) Frequentative form:

Paradigm:

1 p. aš bū́davau dìrbęs/dìrbusi 'I had worked (at intervals)'

2 p. tu būdavai dìrbęs/dìrbusi 'you had work (at intervals)'

3 p. jis bū́davo dirbęs 'he had worked (at intervals)'

3 p. *ji būdavai dìrbusi* 'she had worked (at intervals)' etc.

These forms are rare.

III. The Future Perfect

(Sudurtinis būsimàsis laīkas)

Paradigm:

SG

1 p. aš bū́siu dirbęs/dirbusi 'I shall have worked'

2 p. tu bū́si dìrbęs/dìrbusi 'you will have worked'

3 p. jis bùs dìrbęs 'he will have worked'

3 p. ji bùs dìrbusi 'she will have worked'

PL 1 p. mes bū́sime dìrbę/dìrbusios 'we shall have worked'

2 p. jūs bū́site dìrbę/dìrbusios* 'you will have worked'

IV. Formation of the Thwarted Inceptive and Progressive Forms

These forms are also compound. However, they should probably be more adequately conceived as *aktionsart* or *aspect* forms (see. p. 127 below) than as tenses. Still, at least the *inceptive* is used with auxiliaries in the past and future and is thus in a way subordinated to the tense system whence it appears practical to give a brief description of its formation here.

In the indicative active three forms of the inceptive are distinguished. They are constructed with the help of the auxiliary $b\tilde{u}ti$ in the past (non-frequentative and frequentative) or future tenses respectively in combination with the present participle (cf. p. 158 below) prefixed with the particle be-. This participle is in -qs and -q for the masc. sg. and pl., in -anti and $-an\check{c}ios$ for the fem. sg. and pl.

^{*}in polite form: jūs būsite dirbęs/dirbusi

Paradigms with the *thwarted inceptive* with auxiliary in the *past* tense:

1) non-frequentative form:

- 1 p. aš buvaŭ bedirbą̃s/bedìrbanti 'I was about to start working'
- 2 p. tu buvai bedirbąs/bedirbanti 'you were about to start working'
- 3 p. jis bùvo bedirbą̃s 'he was about to start working'
- 3 p. *ji bùvo bedìrbanti* 'she was about to start working' etc.

2) frequentative form:

- Î p. aš bū́davau bedirbą̃s/bedìrbanti 'I used to be about to start working'
- 2 p. tu búdavai bedirbąs/bedirbanti 'you used to be about to start working'
- 3 p. *jis būdavo bedirbą̃s* 'he used to be about to start working'
- 3 p. *ji būdavo bedìrbanti* 'she used to be about to start working' etc.

Paradigms with the *thwarted inceptive* with auxiliary in the *future* tense:

- 1 p. aš bū́siu bedirbą̃s/bedirbanti 'I shall be about to start working'
- 2 p. tu bū́si bedirbą̃s/bedìrbanti 'you will be about to start working'
- 3 p. jis bùs bedirbąs 'he will be about to start working'
- 3 p. ji bùs bedìrbanti

The *progressive* has the same appearance as the inceptive, only with a double prefix: tebe- (= te+be).

The progressive is restricted to the present tense: jis tebėrà (< te+be+yra) rašą̃s 'he is still writing'. To render 'be + -ing' in other tenses than the present, the adverb dar 'still' + a finite verb form must be used: jis dar rašė 'he was still writing'.

The meaning of the *progressive* is illustrated through its translation into English.

118 THE FINITE VERB: THE TENSES OF THE INDICATIVE

The *inceptive* and *progressive* will be referred to also in the section on aspect and tense.

ASPECT AND TENSE

(Veīkslas ir laīkas)

Introduction

The disposition of this section will be as follows:

- I. Aspect (with the subsection Aktionsarten)
- II. Tense
- III. Tense/aspect forms

It is natural to introduce aspect before the description of the use of tenses since it appears practical to present tense with some view also to aspect. Although principally different, aspect and tense are intimately interwoven. This interaction is perhaps especially transparent in III.

I. Aspect

It is not easy to give a precise overall *definition* of the notion of aspect. It says something about *how* an action is performed according to *two* parameters labeled *imperfective* and *perfective* respectively.

The *perfective* perspective implies that the action is looked upon as *accomplished*, or, to put it in a more abstract way, in its *totality*.

The *imperfective* perspective is that of an *action in process*, i. e. not accomplished, not viewed in its totality. This meaning is expressed in English by the so-called *progressive* with the auxiliary be + -ing form of the main verb.

The participation of two members, perfective and imperfective, makes it possible to regard aspect as a *binary* (privative) opposition whereby only one member of the opposition is defined in positive terms, *in casu* the perfective which is said to be *marked*, i. e. has the feature [+ totality], in contrast to the imperfective which is *unmarked* with respect to this feature.

The following question arises: Can aspect be regarded as a grammatical category in Lithuanian?

Grammaticalization implies a *mandatory* formal (morphological) expression of some definite semantic function. The requirements of both a specific *form* and *function* are crucial to the question of grammaticalization.

Let us test the question of the existence of a grammaticalized aspect in Lithuanian by considering the following two verb forms: $r\tilde{a}\tilde{s}\dot{e}$

'was/were writing' and parāšė 'wrote'. From a functional-semantic point of view they are clearly distinct, cf. their translations into the English continuous (progressive) vs. non-continuous (non-progressive) respectively, which reflect an aspectual opposition. Formally they differ through the absence vs. presence of a prefix. So far the requirements for assuming grammaticalization of aspect in Lithuanian seem to be fulfilled.

Prefixation is a regular strategy in Lithuanian for deriving verbs with perfective meaning from unprefixed verbs. The latter are as a rule imperfective. The verb $ra\check{s}\acute{y}ti$ can take several prefixes, but pa- is the only one which does not supply this verb with an essentially new meaning. With $ra\check{s}\acute{y}ti$ it may be said to be an empty (or near to empty) prefix in contrast to, for example, $p\acute{e}r$ - which in combination with the verb in question creates a new verb semantically distinct from the basic one: $p\acute{e}rra\check{s}yti$ 'to rewrite'. As could be expected, the form $p\acute{e}rra\check{s}\acute{e}$ has the perfective meaning of 'rewrote'. To render the meaning of 'was/were rewriting' a verb with the suffix $-in\acute{e}$ - must be used: $p\acute{e}rra\check{s}in\acute{e}jo$.

Suffixation (with -inė-) is a normal procedure for deriving imperfectives from prefixed perfectives.

Despite the fact that the number of cases where a prefixed and an unprefixed verb differ only with respect to aspect alone is rather small, there should still be sufficient evidence to conclude that aspect is a grammatical category in Lithuanian. However, the picture is complicated by the following circumstance: when used in the present tense a verb like pérrašyti can have imperfective meaning: jis dabar perrašo laišką 'he is now rewriting a/the letter'. With the same meaning one can also say: jis dabar perrašinėja laišką. The use of the imperfective in -inė- is optional in the present tense. Thus, the situation is not as clearcut as in the Slavic languages where the grammaticalization of aspect is formalized to a higher degreee without optional choices dependent on tense. Still, for Lithuanian it seems legitimate to speak of aspect as a grammatical, and not primarily a lexical category.

Perfectivization

The commonest prefix for creating *neutral* perfectives, i. e. perfectives which do not obtain a radically new meaning in comparison with the corresponding unprefixed verb, is *pa*-, cf. beside the pair *rašýti* : *parašýti* for example also *darýti* : *padarýti* 'do', *sodinti* : *pasodinti* 'plant'. The neutral perfective to *piřkti* 'buy', however, is *nupiřkti*, to *áugti* 'grow' *užáugti*, to *mùšti* 'beat; defeat' *sumùšti*. It is perhaps possible to speak also of *išáugti* and *primùšti* as neutral perfectives.

Imperfectivization

Here we have in mind the mechanism of deriving secondary imperfectives from perfectives, cf., for example, pérrašýti (PF) vs. pérrašinėti (IPF), both meaning 'rewrite'.

Prefixed verbs without the suffix -inė- can be imperfective (in all tenses). This is the case for a verb like, for instance, priklausýti 'belong to' with a lexicalized prefix.

For aspect oppositions in such forms as the *infinitive*, the gerunds and the participles, see the section on non-finite verb forms.

Aktionsarten

(Procedurals)

In to-day's linguistics a *distinction* is made between *aspect* and *aktionsart* (there is no commonly accepted term in English although 'procedurals' has been suggested).

The notion of aktionsarten is somewhat loose, which means that a universally accepted definition has not yet been arrived at. Many investigators would, however, subscribe to the following concept: like aspect, the term aktionsarten also says something about how an action is performed, but contrary to aspect, 'aktionsart(en)' is conceived as a lexical, not grammatical category. The 'aktionsart' modifies the lexical meaning of the verb in question. Thus, a verb like, for instance, pastovėti can hardly be conceived as a neutral perfective to stovėti 'stand' since pa- in this case is not felt to be semantically empty, but has the meaning '(for) a little (while)/some time', whence pastovėti is said to belong to the limitative aktionsart. The relationship between pérrašýti and rašýti can also be determined as one of aktionsart since pér- modifies the meaning of rašýti.

Most investigators seem to consider also *iterativity* as an 'aktionsart', and not an aspect. Verbs in *-inėti* can have such meaning. Thus, isolated from the context a sentence like *jis perrrašinėjo laišką* is ambiguous and can either be interpreted as 'he rewrote the letter several/many times' or 'he was rewriting the letter'. Also *habituality*, for which Lithuanian has a special suffix *-dav-* (restricted to the past tense, e. g. *rašýdavo* 'used to write/would write') can be put into the basket of *aktionsart*, rather than that of aspect.

As is seen from the two preceding passages, aktionsarten are formally expressed in the same way as aspect, namely through

prefixation and suffixation, but whereas the *number* of the grammaticalized *aspects* is limited to *two*, the imperfective and the perfective, the number of the *aktionsarten* as a lexical category is *indefinite*, dependent on what kind of lexical categories one will establish.

II. Tense

As pointed out above (p. 92) there are six tenses in Standard Lithuanian, namely three *simple* (i. e. the past, present and future) and three compound (the past perfect, /present/ perfect and future perfect). Thus, for the indicative active the following concrete paradigm for the verb /pa/rašýti 'write' in the 3rd p.can be presented:

	Past	Present	Fu	ture
IPF	rãšė	rãšo	ras	šýs
PF	parãšė	parãšo	pa	rašýs
	Past Perfect	Present Peri	fect	Future Perfect
IPF	bùvo rãšęs	yrà rãšęs	S	bùs rãšęs
PF	bùvo parãšęs	yrà parãšę		bùs parãšęs

The *formation* of the tenses has been dealt with above (p. 97 ff.). In the following the meaning and use of the tenses will be described. Further, an *interaction* between aspect and tense will be observed. A good illustration is that of *ateīna* 'is coming' (pres.) vs. *atējo* 'came' (pret.), *ateīs* 'will come' (fut.).

In the presentation of tense oppositions and meanings below it appears appropriate to distinguish between perfective and imperfective forms.

Simple Tenses

1. The Present Tense

(Esamàsis laikas)
Consider the following sentence pairs:

jis rašo laišką : jis parašo laišką

The former corresponds to English 'he is writing a letter (just now)' whereas the latter should be extended with an adverbial like, for instance, *kasdien* 'every day' to sound natural. Thus, unlike Slavic, the perfective present of Lithuanian (and Latvian) does not obtain future meaning, but retains that of the present tense, however in a more *abstract* or *general* sense than the *concrete hic et nunc* function of the imperfective present.

The abstract and general sense of the perfective is further typically illustrated through an example like žemė apsisuka aplink saulę per vienerius metus 'the earth revolves around the sun in one year' (in contrast to žemė sukasi (ipf) aplink saulę 'the earth revolves/is revolving around the sun'). The perfective present cannot answer questions like 'what is going on just now?' In the example just quoted also some kind of resultativity is in the picture (per vienerius metus).

The perfective present is further used in the function of the "praesens historicum", e. g. [...] jis sumuša kryžiuočius 'he defeated (defeats) the Knights of the Cross'.

Following rather obscure rules, a perfective present can be used to express ability, cf. for example vaikas jau paskaito 'the child already reads (is able to read)', but also the imperfective skaito is possible in this context. Surprisingly enough, in an apparently analogous case such as 'the child already writes and calculates' imperfective (non-prefixed) verbs are required: [...] rašo ir skaičiuoja. The same holds good in the following example: 'the child already stands/walks' > vaikas stovi/vaikščioja. The variants *pastovi/pavaikščoja would imply limitation ('a little, for some/a short time') which is conditioned by the verb in question (in other cases, for example papjauna 'cuts', this nuance is not present).

To fulfill the so-called *inclusive* function Lithuanian has to make use of the (imperfective) present in cases like *jis gyvena Vilniuje jau dešimt metų* 'he has been living in Vilnius for ten years' (and is still living there). Here Lithuanian goes with Slavic, German and Dutch as well as the Romance languages in opposition to English, Danish and Norwegian where the perfect tense must be used. Swedish allows both constructions.

The meaning of the present tense in Lithuanian (and many other languages) can be expressed by the following formula:

E simul S

which reads 'E is simultaneous with S' where E stands for 'event' and S for 'moment of speech'.

2. The Past Tense

(Būtàsis laīkas)
Contrast the following sentence pairs:

jis perrašė laišką : jis perrašinėjo laišką

The former should be rendered as 'he rewrote/finished rewriting the letter' whereas the latter corresponds to English 'he was rewriting the letter (then)'. The use of the imperfective verb perrašinėti is obligatory in the progressive sense represented in the latter example to the exclusion of *perrašė. In comparison with the present tense where both perrašinėja and perrašo can have the progressive meaning, an important difference is observed, namely that in the past tense the special imperfective is not only optional but obligatory to convey the progressive meaning with this verb. However, the number of verbs encompassed by this strategy is restricted. The so-called frequentative past in -dav- would mean 'many times' (e. g. jis perrašydavo laišką) and could therefore not have been used in the context in question.

The meaning of the Lithuanian past can be illustrated in the following way:

E before S

In dependent clauses of time the past perfective is often rendered by the English pluperfect: Kai jis parašė laišką, draugas jį pakvietė į kiną 'when he had written the letter, a friend invited him to the movies' (as opposed to kai jis rašė laišką, atėjo draugas 'when he was writing the letter, a friend came /in/')

3. The Future Tense

(Būsimàsis laīkas)

Much of the same that has been said about the simple past above in terms of aspectuality can be repeated for the future tense. To denote an action in process, in its duration, the imperfective perrašinės, not *perrašys must be used. Thus, only the former is possible in the following context: ryt jis visą dieną sėdės ir perrašinės laiškus 'tomorrow he will be sitting the whole day (and) rewriting letters'. This strategy, however, is not applicable with all verbs.

The basic meaning of the Lithuanian simple future can be diagrammed in this way:

E after S

This is illustrated, for example, in: rytoj jis atvyks į Vilnių 'tomorrow he'll arrive in Vilnius'.

Note that in clauses of time the Lithuanian simple future can correspond to the perfect in English: *Kai jis parašys laišką, jis išeis* 'when he has written the letter, he'll go out'. The action described in the adverbial clause takes place prior to that of the main clause.

Modal Uses of the Simple Future

Finally, mention should be made of the fact that the (simple) future (as in many other languages) can acquire *modal* nuances (see also section on mood), cf. for example *eisim!* where the 1st pl. future has the sense of an *adhortative*: 'let's go!' In the following example the 2nd sg. future expresses a *command: štai tau nauja knyga - paskaitysi be žodyno* 'here is a new book - read (you should read) it without a dictionary'. However, the modal meaning may be conceived as *additional* to that of tense since the future meaning is transparent in spite of the modal nuances.

Compound Tenses

The compound tenses denote the result of a past event projected into the present, past or future respectively.

1. The Present Perfect

(Sudurtinis esamàsis laikas)

This tense denotes a past event seen from the perspective of the present (a kind of indefinite past).

The meaning of this form can be diagrammed in the following way:

$$(Ea before R) + (Es simul R)$$

where the symbol Ea refers to the verbal action, R to "reference point" and Es to a state resulting from that action.

A concrete illustration would be: jis yra atėjęs 'he has come (and is still here)'. It is possible, but unusual to say, for example, jis yra atėjęs vakar with inclusion of the adverbial vakar 'yesterday'. More informal than jis parašė laišką vakar is jis yra parašęs laišką vakar. In cases like the following: aš esu miegojęs/dirbęs vakar (vieną valandą) 'I slept/ worked yesterday (for one hour)' with imperfective verbs denoting state/duration, the use of the compound present seems absolutely normal despite the adverbial of time.

2. The Past Perfect

(Sudurtìnis būtàsis laīkas)

The following example *kai jis buvo atvykęs į Vilnių, jį pasitiko kolegos* is hardly adequately translated into English by the pluperfect, i. e. 'when he had arrived in Vilnius, he was met by colleagues' since *buvo atvykęs* indicates 'had arrived and was'. To obtain the meaning 'had arrived' pure and simple the adverb *jau* 'already' should be added. Thus, the basic meaning of the Lithuanian compound past can probably be described as a perfect (see further below) projected into the past. This meaning could be expressed by a *tripartite* formula, i. e.

(Ea before R) + (Es simul R) + (R before S)

where the symbol Ea refers to the verbal action, and Es to a state resulting from that action. Contrary to the Lithuanian compound past the English (and Scandinavian) pluperfect seems to be most adequately described by a *bipartite* formula, namely E before R before S. In many instances (cf. also the paragraph on the simple past above) the English pluperfect is rendered by the (perfective) simple past in Lithuanian.

The Future Perfect

(Sudurtinis būsimàsis laikas)

As a typical example of the compound future may serve: Rytoj jis jau bus išvykęs 'to-morrow he'll already have gone'.

For a difference between the compound and the simple future contrast the following sentence pairs: jis (jau) bus atėjęs penktą valandą and jis ateis penktą valandą. The former example means 'he will (already) have come by five o'clock' whereas the latter should be rendered as 'he'll come at five o'clock'.

The basic meaning of the Lithuanian compound future can probably be expressed by the following formula:

E before R after S

where R denotes a 'reference point'. The reference point is the event expressed in the main clause.

If this description of the Lithuanian compound future is correct and the meaning of the simple future is adequately described as E after S, one should expect the compound future to occur only in compound sentences with *sequential* actions, whereas the simple future could be characterized as unmarked since it should be able to express both sequence and simultaneity (probably limited by aspect).

Modal Nuances of the Compound Future

Finally, it should be stated that, like the simple future, the compound future can also acquire *modal* nuances as shown by the following example: *jie turbūt bus jau atėję* 'they will probably already have arrived'.

Limitations

The above presentation has been confined to the active voice and the finite verb. For tense in the passive voice as well as in the non-finite verbal system, i. e. participles and gerunds, see sections on voice and the non-finite verb.

In the marked moods, i. e. other moods than the indicative, the number of tenses is reduced. This seems to be a kind of linguistic universal. For the tenses of the marked moods we refer to the section on mood.

III. Tense/Aspect Forms

In addition to the above tense formations Lithuanian has certain other forms, namely

- 1) the *thwarted inceptive* (only past and future) *bùvo/bùs* $be(pa)ra\check{s}\tilde{q}s$ 'was/will be about to write'
 - 2) the progressive (only present) tebėrà rašą̃s 'is still writing'.

For the formation of the thwarted inceptive and the progressive, see p. 116 above. These formations are probably more adequately described within an aspectival (or *aktionsart*) than a tense perspective. They will not be further elaborated in this short grammar.

MOOD

(Núosaka)

Mood is an expression of the speaker's attitude towards the content of the verb. Thus, the verb is in the indicative if its content is presented as something real, in the subjunctive if it is thought of as something unreal (potential or hypothetical) and in the imperative if it is in the form of an order or a wish.

As stated above (p. 92 f.) Lithuanian has the following moods:

- 1) the indicative (tiesióginė núosaka)
- 2) the **imperative** (*liepiamóji núosaka*) with the subgroup **permissive**/ **optative** (*leidžiamóji/geidžiamóji núosaka*)
- 3) the **subjunctive** (tariamóji núosaka)
- 4) the **relative mood** (netiesióginė núosaka)

In addition, it would probably be possible to establish a "debitive" (cf. Latvian) by attributing the status of a separate mood to the participle of necessity (see pp. 163 and 165). However, this is contrary to Lithuanian grammatical tradition and will not be adopted here. Also the ratio for considering the relative a mood can be questioned (not least in view of the fact that it has the same amount of tenses as the indicative, cf. p. 134).

1. The Indicative

The indicative has already been described from the point of view of its morphological characteristics in connection with the different tenses above (present, simple past, future, perfect and past perfect /pluperfect/). Functionally it is in contrast with the other moods in that it expresses something real which either took/has taken place, is taking place or undoubtedly will take place: Alma studijavo/yra studijavusi, studijuoja, studijuos Vilniaus universitete 'Alma studied/has studied (has been studying), is studying, will be studying at the University of Vilnius'.

2. The Imperative

A. The Imperative proper

The imperative is formed on the basis of the infinitive stem. To this stem is added -k for the 2nd p. sg., -kite for the 2nd pl. (as well as for one single person in polite address):

dìrb-ti > dìrb-k(ite)! 'work!'; vèsti > vès-k(ite)! 'lead, guide'; mylë-ti > mylë-k(ite) 'love!'; skaitý-ti > skaitý-k(ite) 'read!'

If the infinitive stem ends in a -k or -g, the -k or -g is dropped before the -k-marker of the imperative:

 $b\ddot{e}g-ti > b\ddot{e}k(ite)$ 'run!'; $pi\tilde{r}k-ti > pi\tilde{r}k(ite)$ 'buy!'

The reflexive is formed by adding -is after -k and -s after -kite:

 $d\check{z}ia\check{u}\check{g}tis > d\check{z}ia\check{u}kis/d\check{z}ia\check{u}kit\dot{e}s$ 'be glad!' Notice the long \dot{e} before the reflexive element.

There is also a form for the 1st pl. in -kime, refl. -kimės: eikime 'let's go!' With the same function also the present tense 1st pl. indicative can be used: einam(e)! 'let's go', važiúojam(e)! 'let's go'.

The stress is always the same as in the infinitive.

In Paulauskienė (1979, 143-45) 17 nuances in meaning of the Lithuanian imperative are distinguished, but all of them (command, threat, advice, recommendation etc.) are ultimately deducible from the function of the imperative proper and will therefore not be listed here.

B. The Permissive/Optative

There is a relation of complementary distribution between the imperative proper which is restricted to the 2nd p. sg. and pl. plus the 1st pl., and the permissive which has a 3rd person reference.

The permissive (optative) is expressed through the particle $t\hat{e}$, $teg\hat{u}(l)$ + the 3 p. pres. indicative, e. g. $teg\hat{u}(l)$ ateina/teateina! 'may he/she/they just come!', $tegyv\acute{u}oja!$ 'may he/she/they live!'

As shown in the sample given the subject is dropped if it represents a personal pronoun and the particle te- is written together with the verb. If the subject is a noun, the particle is written separately and placed before both the noun and the verb. The variant tegu(l) is always written separately: tegu(l) metai gydo 'may the years (time) heal'. With the same meaning as tegyv'uoja one can also say tegyv'uoja.

In older writings the form of the main verb was not in the indicative mood, but in a special form called *optative* (i. e. mood for the expression of a *wish*), e. g. *teateinië!* 'may he/she/they come!', *teválgai!* 'may he/she/they eat!'

3. The Subjunctive

A. Formation

Lithuanian distinguishes between a *present* and *past* subjunctive, the latter being a compound formation.

a) The Present Subjunctive

As shown in the table (p. 93) the *present* subjunctive is formed on the basis of the *infinitive stem* to which the following endings are added: (SG) 1 p. -čiau, 2 p. -tum(ei), (PL) 1 p. -tume (tumėm/e/), 2 p. -tute (-tumėt/e/), (SG/PL) 3. p. -tų:

	pir̃kti	turéti	skaitýti
	'buy'	'have'	'read'
1 sg.	piřkčiau	turéčiau	skaitýčiau
2 sg.	pirktum	turétum	skaitýtum
3 sg./pl.	pir̃ktų	turétų	skaitýtų
1 pl.	pirktume	turétume	skaitýtume
2 pl.	pirktute	turétute	skaitýtute

Reflexive paradigm:

```
juõktis 'to laugh'
```

```
1 sg. juõkčiaus(i)
2 sg. juõktumeis(i)
```

3sg/pl juõktus(i)

1 pl. juõktumės(i)

2 pl. juõktutės(i)

Accentuation

The stress is on the same syllable as in the infinitive (which means that de Saussure's Law does not operate).

From a *historical* point of view the ending -*čiau* of the 1st p. sg. remains obscure (see discussion in Stang 1966, p. 432 f.). The *tu*-element of the rest of the

paradigm seems to reflect the accusative of the IE *supine* (cf. Latin *-tum*), which was used to express purpose. This is, however, only *one* of the meanings (functions) of the Lithuanian subjunctive. The process of its extension also to other functions such as the *irrealis* in conditional clauses, is not clear (see again Stang, *op. cit.*, p. 431). In Latvian the subjunctive has only one form (in *-tu*) for the entire paradigm whereas in Lithuanian as it were personal endings are added to the petrified form of the supine. There is, however, evidence (from Old Lithuanian, the dialects as well as different writings), of, for instance, a 2nd sg. in *-tum/b/ei* and a 1st pl. *-tumbime*) which makes it probable that these personal endings originally belonged to an auxiliary non-indicative verb form of *būti* 'be' (of the kind represented by *bim'*, *bi* etc. in Old Church Slavonic).

b) The Past Subjunctive

The past subjunctive is formed by combining the past active participle (in the appropriate form masc./fem.; sg./pl.) with the present subjunctive of $b\bar{u}ti$ in the function of an auxiliary:

bū́čiau pir̃kęs/pir̃kusi	bū̃čiau turėjęs/turėjusi	būčiau skaitęs/skaičiusi
bū́um " "	bū́tum " "	bū́tum " "
bấtų ""	bấtụ " "	bấtų ""
,		
bútume pirkę/pirkusios	bū́tume turė́ję/turė́jusios	bútume skaitę/skaičiusios
būtume pirkę/pirkusios būtute ""	būtume turėję/turėjusios būtute "	būtume skaitę/skaičiusios būtute " "

Reflexive paradigm:

juõktis 'to joke'

1 sg.	bū́čiau juõkęsis/juõkusis
2 sg	bấtum " "
3	bū́tų juõkęsis/juõkusis
sg/pl.	juõkęsi/juõkusiosis
1 pl.	būtume juõkęsi/juõkusiosis
2 pl.	bū́tute " "

Subjunctive Passive

There is also a *subjunctive passive* which is formed with the help of the auxiliary $b\tilde{u}\check{c}iau$, $b\tilde{u}tum$ etc. + the passive participles in -mas or -tas respectively, see section on voice and indefinite verb forms (passive participles).

The Difference in Meaning between the Present and Past Subjunctive

The *difference in meaning* between the present and past subjunctive may be illustrated through the following example:

Jeigu aš turėčiau pinigų (dabar/ateityje), važiuočiau į Lietuvą (dabar/ateityje) 'if I had money (now), I would go to Lithuania (now/in the future)': jeigu aš būčiau turėjęs pinigų (tada/tuo metu), būčiau važiavęs į Lietuvą (tada/tuo metu) 'if I had had money (then/at that time), I would have gone to Lithuania then/at that time'. Note also the formal difference in English between 'if I had money [...]' and 'if I had had money [...]' dependent on the time reference.

B. Major Functions of the Subjunctive

The subjunctive is found a) in main clauses, b) in main + subordinate clauses clauses and c) in subordinate clauses.

a) Main Clauses

The subjunctive is used in exclamatory clauses expressing a wish: O, kad ji ateitų! 'If only she would come!' Cf. also the use of the permissive/optative in 2 B on p. 129 above.

b) Main + Subordinate Clauses

The subjunctive is used as *irrealis* in *hypothetical conditional* clauses. In this case the use of the subjunctive is mandatory also in the main clause, e. g. jeigu aš turėčiau pinigų, važiuočiau į Lietuvą 'if I had money, I would go to Lithuania'.

In the case of a non-hypothetical condition the indicative, not the subjunctive, is used: *jeigu aš gausiu pinigų*, *aš važiuosiu į Lietuvą* 'if I (will) get money (which is possible), I will go to Lithuania'.

c) Subordinate Clauses

1) The subjunctive is obligatory also in *hypothetical comparisons* like: ... o kiti koja paspiria, lyg neturėtų rankų (A. Pocius) 'and/but others kick (the door) with the foot as if they were without arms'. The main clause is in the indicative mood.

Other synonymous conjunctions with *lyg* are: *tartum*, *tarsi*, *kaip kad* and *lyg kad*.

2) The subjunctive is further used in subordinate clauses with the conjunction *kad* after verbs *expressing the subject's will or desire*: *mokytojas liepė/norėjo/prašė/sakė, kad mokinys ateitų* [...]' 'the teacher ordered/wanted/demanded/said that the pupil come/should come'.

With verbs like *liepti* and *prašýti* the infinitive can also be used (as in English): *mokytojas liepė man/prašė mane ateiti* 'the teacher ordered/asked me to come'.

The subjunctive (infinitive) in this function corresponds to an imperative in direct speech: mokytojas sakė: 'Ateik!' The teacher said: 'Come!' Note the different transformational basis in mokytojas sakė, kad mokinys ateitų 'the teacher said that the pupil should come' and mokytojas sakė, kad oras šiandien (yra) gražus 'the teacher said that the weather was fine to-day'. The latter, i. e. [...] kad oras šiandien yra gražus, corresponds to an indicative construction, and not the imperative, in direct speech, i. e. oras šiandien yra gražus 'the weather is beautiful to-day', and must be rendered by the indicative also in indirect discourse.

- 3) Close to the function of the subjunctive described in 2) is the following: (yra) svarbu/reikia, kad mokinys ateitų 'it is important/necessary that the pupil come' (note the usage of subjunctive also in the English translation). The heading in 3) will read: subjunctive after expressions of necessity.
- 4) A fourth case of the use of the subjunctive in subordinate clauses is that found in *consecutive clauses with a notion of purpose*: [...] taip krauti pėdus, kad varpos gultų ant varpų 'to pile up the sheaves in such a way that the ears lie one upon the other'.
- 5) After verbs expressing fear the subjunctive is used in the subordinate clause: jis bijojo, kad ji nesušaltų 'he was afraid that she would get cold'. The subordinate clause contains a pleonastic ne which can be explained in terms of historical syntax on the basis of an original coordinate construction that has developed into a subordinate: Jis bijojo: "Kad ji nesušaltų!" 'He was afraid: If only she will not be cold!' Compare similar constructions in Slavic and Romance languages: (Russian) ja bojalsja, kak by ona ne zamerzla, (French) je crains qu'il ne vienne.
- 6) An even more subtle area is the use of the subjunctive after negated verba dicendi et sentiendi in statements of a general character: jis niekada anksčiau nėra girdėjęs, kad kažkas taip darytų 'he had never heard before that anyone would do a thing like that'. Cf. also the Slavic (Russian) parallel: on nikogda ne slyšal, čtoby ona tak khorošo pela. The correct use of mood in such cases causes trouble to the student. In the following example, however, the indicative must be used since the utterance has no general character: jis negirdėjo, kad ji atėjo 'he did not hear that she had come'.
- 7) The same hypothetical character as in 6) is felt also in certain relative clauses with the subjunctive, e. g. Bet ar esate girdėję apie

konkursus, kur būtų renkami tinkamiausi žodžiai? 'but have you (ever) heard about competitions where the most suitable words are collected?'

4. The Relative Mood

The relative mood has the same amount of tenses as the indicative, i. e three *simple* and three *compound*. Both *active* and *passive* forms are possible.

Formation

1. Active Forms

The *simple* tenses of the relative mood consist of the present, past or future forms of the participles in the function of a finite main verb. Thus, from the verb *dirbti* 'to work' the following forms can be constructed: present *dirbās* (M)/dirbanti (F); past *dirbēs* (M)/dirbusi (F) and future *dirbsiās* (M)/dirbsianti (F). Also the frequentative participle in -davęs/-davusi is encountered. (For the formation of these participles, see p. 158 ff. below.)

The *compound* tenses are arrived at by combining the past participle of the main verb with the present, past and future participle from the auxiliary $b\tilde{u}ti$ 'to be', e. g. $es\tilde{q}s$ dirbes $(M)/\tilde{e}santi$ dirbusi (F) for the present perfect, $b\tilde{u}ves$ dirbes $(M)/b\tilde{u}vusi$ dirbusi (F) for the past perfect and $b\tilde{u}siqs$ $dirb\tilde{q}s$ $(M)/b\tilde{u}sianti$ dirbanti (F) for the future perfect.

2. Passive Forms

Passive forms are constructed by combining the passive participles of the main verb in -mas (M)/ma (F) and -tas (M)/ta (F) with the following participle forms of the auxiliary $b\tilde{u}ti$: (present) $es\tilde{q}s$ $(M)/\tilde{e}s$ -anti (F), (past) $b\tilde{u}ves$ $(M)/b\tilde{u}vusi$ (F) and (future) $b\tilde{u}siqs$ $(M)/b\tilde{u}sianti$ (F).

The transitive verb $ra\check{s}\acute{y}ti$ 'to write' may serve as an illustration: $es\~as$ $r\~a\~somas$, $b\`uves$ $r\~a\~somas$, b'uves $ra\~somas$, b'uves $ra\~somas$ and b'uses $ra\~somas$ and b'uses $ra\~somas$. The m-form combinations are parallel to the simple forms of the active, the t-form combinations to the compound.

For the formation of the *m*- and *t*-participles, see p. 161 ff.

The high number of tenses in the relative mood is "suspicious" since it seems to be kind of a linguistic universal that the marked moods, i. e. non indicative moods, possess fewer tenses than the indicative. Perhaps the status of an independent mood attributed to the relative in Lithuanian grammatical tradition could be disputed against this background?

Meaning and Use

The relative mood is used to account for an event not directly experienced or eyewitnessed by the narrator or an event the occurrence of which can be questioned (doubted) or which is not satisfactorily known.

In harmony herewith the relative mood can have a) a *narrative*, b) a *dubitative*, or c) a "folkloristic" function:

a) is found in *indirect discourse* after *verba dicendi*, like, for example, $sak\acute{y}ti$ 'say', $p\~asakoti$ 'tell', $pran\`ešti$ 'announce': $Jissako/sak\`e$ (, kad) daug žinąs 'he says/said that he knows/knew a lot', ji pranėsė (, kad) rytoj važiuosianti į miestą 'she announced that tomorrow she will (would) go to the city', jie išvijo kvailį iš nam y, kadangi jis jiems buvo pripasakojęs, kad m'esa labai pabrangusi 'they chased the fool out of the house since he had told them that the meat should have become more expensive'. As illustrated by the examples the application of kad 'that' is optional. Other conjunctions (conjunctional words) have to be expressed explicitly, e. g: jis pasakojo, kur pirkes vyno 'he told where he had bought wine'.

Good examples can be found in newspapers, cf. the following passage (from "Lietuvos rytas"): Vienas firmos darbuotojas sakė dar neseniai pats tikėjęs K-čium, bet dabar jo ieškoti esą neverta. K. prieš porą metų buvo tapęs vienu turtingiausių x miesto gyventojų 'one employee of the firm said he had even quite recently trusted K., but now it was no use searching for him. A couple of years ago K. had become one of the most wealthy inhabitants of the city x'. Further on in the same article one reads: Kai pernai [...] nariai ėmė ieškoti K-čiaus turto, šis sakė tuoj parduosiąs Klaipėdos "Vakarų bankui" pastatą, kurio rūsyje įsikūrusi x firma, ir grąžinsiąs skolas 'when the members last year started searching for K's fortune, he said that he would immediately sell the building in the basement of which the firm x had been established and pay back his debts' (observe the use of the future participles in this example). The relative mood can also be found after the preposition anot 'according to': Anot jo, tai būdavę legalu 'according to him, that used to be legal' (example taken from the same article).

b) The relative mood is also encountered in cases where there is no verbum dicendi at all. The purpose is then to express events which can be doubted ("dubitative" function): traukinys išeinąs lygiai septintą valandą 'the train seems to be leaving at seven sharp'; Tas davęs jam pinigų 'he shall have given him money'.

c) The relative mood is further used about unusual, unbelievable events, for instance, in fairy-tales, where a whole passage can be in the relative mood ("folkloristic" function): Ateinąs gaidys ir prašąs įsileisti ... 'then the cock comes (came) and asks to be let in'. Almost synonymously, however, one will also find the indicative: ateina gaidys ir prašo įsileisti.

The relative mood is claimed to be in decline in to-day's Lithuanian. That may well be the case in colloquial speech, but in the language of the press it is flourishing.

In Slavic the relative mood of Baltic has a kind of parallel in the Bulgarian narrative (formally also expressed through an active participle) as well as the so-called Referatskonjunktiv in German (type: Hans sagt, er sei krank). The relative mood is also encountered in Balto-Finnic languages (Estonian).

Modality. Lithuanian Equivalents of English Modal Auxiliaries

The term 'modality' is associated with mood, but is an even broader concept. In English modality is expressed by moods, modal verb auxiliaries ('can', 'may' etc.) and certain modifiers (as, for example, 'perhaps'). It appears practical to include a list of English modal verb auxiliaries and their equivalents in Lithuanian.

- 1. CAN/COULD:
- a) 'be able to': galéti galiu tai padaryti 'I can do that',
- b) 'may' (possibility/permission), see next point
- 2. MAY/MIGHT:
- a) 'possibility': galëti

traukinys gali vėluoti 'the train may be late',

- b) 'permission': galëti/galima
- ar aš galiu užeiti aplankyti jus? 'may I drop in to see you?', ar galima įeiti? 'may I come in/enter?'
- c) 'wish': te-construction: tebūna jų susitikimas laimingas! 'may their meeting be happy!'

3. MUST (HAVE /NEED TO):

Lithuanian has several equivalents, viz.:

a) the impersonal verb (p. 220) reikëti:

reikia darbą dirbti 'one must/it is necessary to do the work',

b) the impersonal verb tèkti:

mums teko ilgai laukti 'we must/had to wait for a long time', dėl šito dar teks pagalvoti 'it will be necessary to think more about this',

c) the personal verb (p. 220) turéti:

visi turi dirbti 'everybody must/has to work', aš turiu eiti 'I must/have to go',

- d) the personal verb *privalěti* 'must/ be obliged to': visi privalo dirbti 'everybody must/is obliged to work'
- e) the participle of necessity in -tinas:

šitas paragrafas laikytinas svarbiausiu 'this paragraph must be considered most important'

4. OUGHT TO

The sense of obligation is weaker here than in 'must'.

a) reikëti:

man reikėtų (subjunctive) ten eiti 'I ought to go there'

b) turéti:

tu turėjai jai rašyti 'you ought to write to her' (but did not = "escapable obligation")

c) construction with turbūt 'probably':

ryt turbūt bus graži diena 'it ought to be a fine day to-morrow'

5. SHALL/SHOULD

- a) future tense constructions where the modal shades of meaning dominate the (future) tense meaning, cf. p. 125 above (examples).
- b) dative + infinitive-construction in questions:

ar man skaityti? 'shall I read?'

c) construction with turėti:

jūs turėtumėte (subjunctive) būti punktualus 'you should be punctual' (implication: 'you are not sufficiently punctual')

d) 'threat': jūs to gailėsitės (future tense) 'you shall regret it'

6. WILL/WOULD

- a) future tense constructions (for example, see p. 127),
- b) norëti:

noriu, kad tu sugrįžtum 'I will/wish that you return/come back'

N.B. 'would' in the habitual sense is rendered by -dav-form (p. 121): jis stėbėdavo vaikus ištisas valandas 'he would watch the children for hours'; expressions of the type 'boys will be boys' are rendered in the following way: vaikai lieka vaikais.

In many (especially theoretical) presentations of modality a distinction is drawn between *epistemic* and *deontic* modality. Since these notions are not always clear we will abstain from using them in this grammar of a more practical profile.

Negated Modality

- a) 'inability/impossibility':
- negalëti: jūs negalite tai padaryti 'you cannot/are not able to do that'
- b) '(strong) dissuasion': nereikėti: jums nereikia (nereikėtų) tai padaryti 'you should not do that'
- c) 'prohibition': negalima-construction: jums negalima ten eiti 'you must not/are not allowed to go there'

VOICE (Rúšis)

Lithuanian distinguishes between two voices - *active* and *passive*. This section will deal with both the morphology and use of the active and the passive.

Definitions

From a semantic point of view an active construction can be defined as an utterance in which the grammatical subject refers to the agent, i. e. the performer of the action, as for example in 'he bullied me' in contrast to a passive where the grammatical subject ('he') refers to the patient (literally 'the suffering', i. e. the one against whom the action is directed: 'I was bullied /by him/'). Another alternative is to define only passive in positive terms and the active voice negatively by stating that all constructions which are not passive, are active.

In the *active* sentence quoted above, the agent is expressed by the subject *he*, the patient by the object *me*, in the *passive* it is the other way round: the patient is expressed by the subject *I* whereas the (optional) agent is reflected in the complement *by him*.

The examples just quoted further demonstrate that in English the verb is morphologically different in the active voice compared to the passive. The same is the case also in Lithuanian.

Morphology of the Active Voice

The morphological make-up of *active* verb forms has been illustrated above (p. 97 ff.).

Use of the Active Voice

The active voice is far more frequently encountered than the passive and is used when the conditions for using a passive construction are not present, cf. below.

Morphology of the Passive Voice

Expression of the Passive Voice in Lithuanian

For the expression of the passive voice Lithuanian has at its disposal *one* auxiliary $(b\tilde{u}ti)$ which can be combined with two (passive) participles: the m- and the t-participle (for their formation, see p. 162 ff.). This formational strategy will be referred to as $formal\ passive$.

As a rule of thumb the m-participle appears in imperfective form, the t-participle in perfective. (For deviations from this principle, see above section on aspect).

The construction with the m-participle denotes a process whereas the other one tends to denote a state (= "Handlungspassiv" vs. "Zustandspassiv"). This distinction is transparent in (yra) statom- vs. (yra) pastatýt- (cf. the following chart).

The opposition type (yra) statom- 'is being built': (yra) pastatýt- 'is built' is also one of tense (cf. p. 122 ff.). Thus, the former can be determined as present tense proper whereas the latter has the meaning of a perfect.

Sample of a passive paradigm in the indicative mood:

	Imperfective	Perfective
Infinitive	bū́ti stãtomam (dative!)	būti pastatýtam (dative)
	'to be being built'	'to be built'
Present	(yrà) stãtomas	(yrà) pastatýtas
	'is being built'	'is built'
Past	bùvo stãtomas	bùvo pastatýtas
	'was being built'	'was built'
Frequentative Past	bū́davo stātomas*	bū́davo pastatýtas*
_	'used to be being built'	'used to be built'
Future	bùs stãtomas	bùs pastatýtas
	'will be being built'	'will be built'
Present Perfect	yrà buvęs stãtomas	yrà buvęs pastatýtas
	'has been being built'	'has been built'
Past Perfect	bùvo bùvęs stātomas*	bùvo bùvęs pastatýtas*
	'had been being built'	'had been built'
Future Perfect	bùs bùvęs stãtomas	bùs bùvęs pastatýtas
	'will have been being	'will have been built'
	built'	

^{*} these forms are very rarely encountered

For convenience finite forms of the $b\tilde{u}ti$ -auxiliary are given in the 3rd p. whereas participle forms (including $b\tilde{u}ves$) are given in the masc. sg.

Other Moods than the Indicative:

Formal passives are encountered also in other moods than the indicative, cf. the *subjunctive:* $b\tilde{u}tu$ $st\tilde{a}tomas/pastat ext{y}tas$ 'would be built' and $b\tilde{u}tu$ buves $st\tilde{a}tomas/pastat ext{y}tas$ 'would have been built', the *imperative/permissive:* $b\tilde{u}k$ $st\tilde{a}tomas/pastat ext{y}tas$ 'be built!'/tegul $b\tilde{u}na$ $st\tilde{a}tomas/pastat ext{y}tas$ x 'may x be built!', and the relative mood: $es\tilde{a}s/buves/busias/esas$ buves/esas buves/esas

Beside formal passives, functional equivalents of passive constructions can be achieved in Lithuanian by means of formally active sentences with a special word order (see below).

Expression of the Passive Voice in English

It is necessary to focus on the *contrastive* perspective, whence a couple of words should be said about the formation of the passive also in English.

In English the passive voice is expressed through the combination of *one* auxiliary (be) and one participle (i. e. the past participle which is in -t with weak verbs, -n with strong), cf. the above paradigm.

In German and Scandinavian the situation is the same with respect to the participle, but *two* auxiliaries are used (*werden/bli*) and (*sein/være*) for the purpose of expressing "Handlungspassiv" and "Zustandspassiv" respectively.

Motivations for choosing Passive Constructions. General Considerations

Generally speaking, there are two important motivations for choosing a passive construction rather than an active:

- 1) passive constructions have another functional sentence perspective (FSP), i. e. theme-rheme structure, than the corresponding active
 - 2) passive constructions admit deletion of the agent

1. The Functional Sentence Perspective (FSP)

The functional sentence perspective is different in the following two sentences:

- (1) The workmen are building a house
- (2) The house is being built by workmen

since in (1) 'the workmen' belongs to the *theme* (i. e. is part of the *given information*) whereas 'a house' is *rhematic* (i. e. brings *new information*). In sentence (2) the situation is the reverse: 'the house' is *thematic*, 'workmen' *rhematic*.

In context-bound, non-emphatic sentences the theme appears at the beginning of the utterance, the rheme at the end. This themebefore-rheme ordering should be conceived as a general rule. Furthermore, in article languages (English, Scandinavian and others) a thematic noun will appear with the definite article whereas a rhematic noun is usually accompanied by the indefinite article. In non-article languages (e. g. Lithuanian) the criterion for recognition of the theme is in fact its initial position.

2. Agent Deletion

Agent deletion occurs under the following circumstances:

- a) if the agent is *self-evident*, of little relevance or difficult to express, cf., for example: 'the glue should be applied carefully' (by whom: the owner/purchaser/user of the tube?), 'a person who has committed a crime, will be sentenced to x days in prison' (by the judge/court/community/state?),
- b) if the agent is *avoided* on purpose (so-called "agent-hiding"): 'Major heavily attacked yesterday' (by one MP/a few MPs/the entire Opposition/all MPs?)

In such cases languages with the active: passive opposition (Lithuanian, English etc.) will use a passive (or passive equivalent) construction, see further below.

The Equivalents of English Passives in Lithuanian

Constructions with an Agent:

The above mentioned active sentence the workmen are building a house (with the word order subject-verb-object, shortened SVO) should be translated into Lithuanian by an equally active SVO construction:

Darbininkai stato namą. The passive SVO-sentence 'the house is being built by workmen' can be rendered by the passive SVO namas yra darbininkų statomas (with the agent darbininkų in the genitive case, cf. p. 185 f.). However, formally passive sentences with an explicitly expressed agent are as a rule avoided in Lithuanian, whence a formally active sentence with the word order object - verb - subject (OVS) is preferred: namą stato darbininkai (an exception to this rule is noun phrases). The sentence namą stato darbininkai has the same theme-rheme structure as the English sentence 'the house is being built by workmen' and the Lithuanian sentence namas yra darbininkų statomas. OVS-structures are far more common in case languages than in caseless ones, since in the former sentence members in initial position are identified as either object or subject by virtue of their grammatical form in opposition to the latter where the initial position is earmarked for the function of the subject both in active and passive sentences.

Constructions without an Agent

As mentioned above *agentless* passive sentences in English can be translated by formally passive constructions in Lithuanian. Thus, 'he is bullied every day' corresponds to Lithuanian *jis yra pravardžiuojamas kasdien* (where the participle agrees in gender, number and case /= nominative/ with the subject *jis*). However, it is also possible to use a formally active *one-part sentence* (cf. p. 219) with the structure OV: *ji pravardžiuoja kasdien* with a formally active OV-structure. Both solutions have the *patient* (*jis/ji*) in the thematic initial position.

Use of the Passive Infinitive

As illustrated in the paradigm (p. 140) the participle is (usually) in the dative case. This is because the passive infinitive is mostly used in a special syntactic environment, i. e. constructions with the verb *reikěti*, e. g. *namui reikia būti pastatytam* 'the house must be built' where there is agreement (p. 230 ff.) between the logical subject *namui* and the participle component of the predicate.

Constructions of the Type 'it is confirmed that'

The standard way of rendering such cases in Lithuanian is to use the "neuter" form of a passive participle (cf. p. 163) without any formal subject corresponding to English 'it', e. g. šiuo dokumentu patvirtinama, kad [...] 'with this document it is confirmed that [...]', but also a 3rd person active construction without a subject is encountered: patvirtina, kad 'one confirms that = it is confirmed that'.

Constructions of the Type pėdsakų (ne)rasta

Alongside common two-part constructions (p. 218 f.) like, for example, pėdsakai (ne)rasti also one-part constructions of the type pėdsakų (ne)rasta can be found. The difference between these constructions is that the former tends to express that 'the traces (thematic) are not found' whereas the latter implies: 'traces (rhematic) are (not) found'.

Formal Passives with Intransitive Verbs

In a caseless language like English passive participles are formed from transitive verbs, i. e. verbs with an object. In case languages the formation of passive participles is as a rule equally limited to *transitive* verbs, but the definition of transitivity (cf. also p. 148 f.) is different since here transitive verbs are understood as verbs with an object in the *accusative* case. A peculiarity of Lithuanian, however, is that formally "passive" constructions are possible also with intransitive verbs.

"Passive" constructions of this type are frequently encountered in Lithuanian. They must be in the form of *one-part impersonal sentences* (cf. p. 220) with the participle in the special "neuter" form (cf. p. 163). Further, such constructions can be both with and without an agent.

Examples:

Čia dirbta žmonių 'here people have been working' (lit. 'here worked by people'), čia mūsų gyventa 'here our people lived', čia žmonių būta 'there have been people here' (lit. 'there has been by/of people here'/being of people'). Such constructions with the t-participle have a past reference. There is a difference in meaning between these participle constructions and the corresonding active non-impersonal constructions čia dirbo žmonės, čia mes gyvenome and čia buvo žmonės in that the latter are semantically neutral whereas in the former a nuance of modality (doubt, nostalgia etc.) is felt. The participle constructions also seem to presuppose some further comment and context.

Constructions with the *m*-participle will have reference to the present, e. g. **čia gyvenama/miegama/dirbama žmonių* 'here people are living/sleeping/working'. The corresponding expressions without an agent, i. e. *čia gyvenama/miegama/ dirbama* are equally possible.

The participle *ẽsama* is used for the purpose of stylistic variation as a variant of yrà or *ẽsti*, e. g. dėl sąjungos pobūdžio esama (yra/esti) įvairių nuomonių 'with respect to the character of the union there are (exist) several opinions'.

A verb like, for example, grasinti 'threaten' is intransitive since it requires a complement in the dative case. Thus, one would say, for

example, jam buvo grasinta 'he was threatened' (or jam grasino with "conversion" to a formally active construction), but also a construction with a grammatical subject in the nominative and a congruent participle as part of the predicate is possible, i. e. the same technique as with transitive verbs: jis buvo grasintas. This is a further illustration of the somewhat peculiar relationship between transitivity and intransitivity in Lithuanian.

Observe, finally, the opposition between *palyta* 'it has rained' and *palijo* 'it rained' where the participle construction denotes a *result* (state) as opposed to *palijo* which focusses on the *process*.

Other Passive Participle Constructions with Intransitive Verbs

Constructions with the "neutral" passive participle can also be found with reflexive verbs, e. g. darbą stengtasi rašyti taip, kad [...] 'one has endeavoured/it has been endeavoured to write the work in such a way that [...]. Observe the accusative object darbą which is governed not by stengtasi, but (stengtasi +) rašyti.

Finally, mention should be made of constructions with the so-called passive participle of necessity in -tina (cf. p. 163): kalbant apie įvardžius, būtina pažymėti labai reikšmingą A. Rosino monografiją [...] 'when speaking about pronouns, one should/it is obligatory to mention an important monograph by A. Rosinas [...]'.

REFLEXIVE AND NON-REFLEXIVE VERBS

(Sangrąžiniai ir nesangrąžiniai veiksmãžodžiai)

In this section the functions and meanings of the reflexive verbs in contrast with non-reflexive verbs will be described. For the formal expression of reflexives and non-reflexives, see p. 96 f. above.

The reflexive verbs of Lithuanian show a variety of functions.

1) In the first place, there is a small group of (unprefixed) reflexiva tantum, i. e. reflexives without any non-reflexive counterpart, like, for example, domětis 'to be interested in', juőktis 'to laugh, joke', šypsótis 'to smile', elgtis 'to behave', dairýtis 'to look around/behind', didžiúotis 'to be proud of', susirašinėti 'to correspond (write to each other)'. Cf. p. 76.

Close to the *reflexiva tantum* are those where the reflexive verb has separated very far in meaning from that of the "corresponding" non-reflexive, like, for instance, *stebětis* 'to wonder, be surprised' vs.

steběti 'to observe, watch', léistis 'to start, descend' vs. léisti 'to allow; let go', tikětis 'to hope' : tikěti 'to believe'.

- 2) All remaining reflexives (prefixed and non-prefixed) have non-reflexive counterparts:
- a) the reflexives proper, i. e. cases like kéltis 'to get up (after sleep)': kélti ką 'to lift, raise sby/sth', praūstis 'to wash (oneself)' vs. praūsti 'to wash sby/sth', reñgtis 'to dress, prepare for (oneself)' vs. reñgti ką 'to dress sby; prepare sth', nusireñgti/nusivilkti 'to undress (oneself)': nureñgti/nuvilkti ką 'to undress sby', skùstis 'to shave (oneself)' vs. skùsti ką 'to shave sby', aūtis 'to put on or take off one's shoes/boots': aūti ką 'to put on or take off sby's shoes/boots', mókytis 'to learn, study' vs. mókyti 'to teach', ruõštis 'to prepare (oneself)': ruõšti ką 'to prepare sth'.

A contrastive example in a full sentence: *mama rengiasi* 'mother is dressing (herself)': *mama rengia vaiką* 'mother is dressing the child'. As becomes apparent from the examples, the reflexive is intransitive (without an object) whereas the non-reflexive is transitive (with an accusative object).

The situation is more or less analogous also in the following series: durys atsidaro '(the) door(s) open(s)': ji atidaro duris 'she opens the door(s)', pamoka prasidėjo/tęsėsi/baigėsi 'the lesson began/continued/finished': profesorius pradėjo/tęsė/baigė pamoką 'the professor began/continued/finished the lesson'. This function of the reflexive is also close to that of the passive.

Reflexive passives proper, however, are not used in Lithuanian (they are felt as Russianisms and should be avoided).

Some reflexive verbs can be combined with an *accusative* object, cf., for example, *nusipiřkti* 'to buy (for /to oneself)': *jis nusipirko naują dviratį* 'he bought a new bicycle'. Here the element -*si*- may be conceived as a substitute for the benefactive function of the dative (cf. p. 193) with respect to the *person*; cf. *mutatis mutandis* a corresponding non-reflexive construction: *jis nupirko naują dviratį sūnui* 'he bought a new bicycle for his son'.

The most frequent object case met with in connection with reflexive verbs, however, seems to be the instrumental (e. g. domětis kuo nors 'to be interested in sth.'); this again is an intransitive function which is characteristic of the bulk of reflexive verbs.

b) This group is constituted by reciprocal verbs.

Some examples: bučiúotis 'to kiss (each other)': bučiúoti ką 'to kiss sby', susitikti 'to meet (each other)' vs. sutikti ką 'to meet sby', apsikabinti 'embrace (each other)' vs. apkabinti ką 'embrace sby'. A contrasting example in full sentence: mama ir tėvas bučiuojasi 'mummy

and dad are kissing': mama bučiuoja vaiką 'mummy is kissing the/her child'.

In certain cases it may be difficult to decide whether a reflexive verb or a a non-reflexive accompanied by a case form of the reflexive pronoun should be used. Thus, for translating, for example, 'to comb (oneself)' a reflexive verb must be used: *\frac{zmogus \sinkuojasi}{\sinkuojasi}\$ 'the man is combing himself (i. e. his hair)'; *\frac{zmogus \sinkuoja \sinkuoja \save}{\sukuoja \save}\$ would imply a male in the process of combing his Esau like body! An alternative construction for *\frac{zmogus \sinkuojasi}{\sinkuojasi}\$ is *\frac{zmogus \sinkuoja \sinkuoja \sau \text{plaukus}\$ 'the man is combing his hair'. For rendering 'to look at oneself in the mirror' a non-reflexive verb + the accusative of the reflexive pronoun is required: *\frac{zi\vec{u}r\vec{e}ti}{\sinku} \save \text{veidrodyje}\$, and not *\frac{zi\vec{u}r\vec{e}tis}{\sinku} \text{veidrodyje}\$. One might further be doubtful as to whether it is correct to say *\frac{gintis}{\sinku} \text{and/or} ginti \save for 'defend oneself'. The first alternative seems to be the only possible one.

From a historical point of view there is a connection between the autonomous reflexive pronoun and the so-called reflexive particle (reflexive suffix/infix); the latter originates from a clitic reflexive pronoun which formed a sort of doublet of the non-clitic (i. e. the normal) reflexive pronoun.

c) Here belong a very small number of verbs which may be labeled "aggressive". A general characteristic of reflexive verbs is that the action expressed by them is limited to the subject's own sphere and interest. This is clearly the case also with badýtis 'butt'. This verb is used without an object, cf., for example, karvė badosi 'the cow butts'. With an object the non-reflexive counterpart is used: karvė bado vaiką 'the cow is butting the child'.

With other verbs of the same sphere such as *gélti* 'bite/sting (about insects)' and *kásti/kándžioti* 'bite', however, only the non-reflexive variant is used. Contrast, for example, *vapsva gelia* 'the whasp bites/stings' and *vapsva gelia vaiką* 'the wasp is biting/stinging the child'.

Also in Slavic there is a functional distinction between the reflexive and non-reflexive in similar cases, cf., for example, Russian korova bodaetsja (refl.) 'the cow butts': korova bodaet (non-refl.) rebenka 'the cow is butting the child', sobaka kusaetsja (non-refl.) 'the dog bites': sobaka kusaet (non-refl.) rebenka 'the dog is biting the child'. The same situation is further observed in Swedish (but not Norwegian or Danish): kon stångas: kon stångar barnet, hunden bits: hunden biter barnet.

d) The reflexive is also used in certain *impersonal* constructions (cf. p. 220): *kaip Jums sekasi?* 'how are you getting along?', *man rodos* 'it seems to me', *man šiandien nesidirba* 'I can't work to-day'.

Finally, it should be emphasized that some verbs can never occur in a reflexive form. To this group belong, for example, *abejóti* 'doubt', *atitìkti* 'correspond', *bijóti* 'be afraid', *įvỹkti* 'happen, occur', *skuběti* 'hurry'.

TRANSITIVITY AND INTRANSITIVITY

In a case language like Lithuanian transitive verbs are defined as verbs which require an object in the *accusative* case without the involvement of a preposition (cf. also the Lithuanian term for transitivity: *galininkiškumas*, lit. "accusativity"). The genitive object with negation and the partitive genitive object after otherwise transitive verbs (see chapter on case, p. 185) should also be included in the notion of transitivity.

In the preceding section reflexive and non-reflexive verbs were dealt with. The opposition of reflexive and non-reflexive verb pairs is also relevant for the question of transitivity vs. intransitivity, cf., for example, *atsidarýti* (intrans.) vs. *atidarýti* (trans.) 'to open' and *kéltis* 'to get up' vs. *kélti* 'to lift, raise'.

Another means of expressing the transitive: intransitive contrast is vowel alternation ("ablaut"), cf. p. 31, whereby the intransitive partner shows the so-called zero degree as opposed to the full degree of its transitive counterpart, cf., for example, $l\tilde{u}\tilde{z}ti$ (intrans.) 'burst': $l\tilde{a}u\tilde{z}ti$ (trans.) 'break', $li\tilde{n}kti$ (intrans.) 'bend': $le\tilde{n}kti$ (trans.) 'bend', kilti (intrans.) 'rise': $k\acute{e}lti$ (trans.) 'lift, raise', kisti (intrans.) 'change': $ke\tilde{i}sti$ (trans.) 'change', skilti (intrans.) 'split': $sk\acute{e}lti$ (trans.) 'split', $kr\tilde{v}pti$ (intrans.) 'bend; tend to': $kre\tilde{i}pti$ 'direct, turn'.

The present tense forms with nasal infix or the st-suffix basically belong to zero-grade intransitives which are often contrasted with e-grade transitives with j-presents. This is typical of the pairs just quoted, cf. liñksta: leñkia, kỹla: kẽlia, kiñta: keĩčia, skỹla (< *ski-n-la): skẽlia, krỹpsta: kreĩpia.

Many of the transitives just mentioned have transitive partners in -(d)yti, cf. kraipýti, skáldyti. They differ from kreipti and skélti in their additional frequentative meaning, e. g. skáldyti 'split (cut) often'. Observe further that these transitive frequentatives also have another ablaut form (o-grade) in the root than the corresponding non-frequentative transitives, e. g. kraipýti vs. kreipti.

Another suffix which exclusively derives transitives is the factitive/causative -inti, cf. grąžinti 'return, give back' (: grį̃žti 'to return, come back' and grę̃žti 'to turn, swing'), didinti 'to increase' vs.

the intransitive in -ėti: diděti 'to increase' (: dìdis 'big'). In grąžinti : grę̃žti : grį̃žti the play of the ablaut is again observed.

THE NON-FINITE FORMS OF THE VERB

As mentioned (p. 93 above) the non-finite forms of the verb encompass the *infinitive(s)*, the *gerunds* and *participles* as well as the *verbal noun*.

I. The Infinitive

(Bendratis)

Formal Characteristics.

The infinitive is formed from the infinitive stem with the addition of the infinitive marker -ti (in the spoken language often shortened to -t): eīti 'to go', kalbĕti 'to speak', matýti 'to look', miegóti 'to sleep'.

The infinitive of unprefixed reflexive verbs is in -tis, for example kéltis 'rise, stand up' whereas the prefixed reflexives according to the general rule (cf. p. 97) insert the reflexive particle -si- between the (last) prefix and the root, e. g. pa-si-kélti 'rise, stand up'.

The infinitive is not declined.

It can appear both in imperfective and perfective forms, cf. p. 119 ff.

Accentuation

The stress in most cases falls on the syllable immediately before -ti. The infinitive marker is never stressed.

Syntax of the Infinitive

The Lithuanian infinitive can be 1) grammatically *independent* or 2) *dependent*, cf. p. 221 below.

- 1) is observed in the *dative* + *infinitive* construction which is frequently heard in (modal) questions (both direct and indirect): *kq man daryti?* 'what shall I do?' (lit. 'what for me do?'), *ji paklausė*, *kq jai daryti* 'she asked what she should do' (cf. p. 194 and *passim*).
 - 2) is found after various types of *finite* verbs:
- a) phasal verbs, i. e. verbs with the meaning of beginning, continuation and stopping: jis pradėjo/tęsė/baigė dainuoti 'he began/continued/stopped singing'. Optionally, after certain verbs with the meaning of stopping also the past active participle can be used: vėjas nustojo pūsti: vėjas nustojo pūtęs 'the wind stopped blowing'.

- b) certain auxiliary modal verbs like galěti 'to be able', norěti 'to wish', turěti 'to have to', reikěti 'to have to': aš norėjau ateiti, bet negalėjau (ateiti) 'I wished to come, but couldn't'; man reikia dirbti 'I have to work'.
- c) a good number of other verbs. Among such verbs are, for example žaděti 'promise', bijóti 'be afraid of', měgti 'like', patìkti 'like', bandýti 'try', sténgtis 'endeavour, try', ruõštis, reñgtis 'prepare for': jie stengiasi kalbėti lietuviškai 'they try to speak Lithuanian as best they can'.

There are, however, certain constraints with respect to what kind of verbs can govern an infinitive. Thus, a verb like, for instance, $u\check{z}siim\~ti$ 'to be busy with' cannot be followed by an infinitive. A grammatical motivation for this seems to be that the undeclinable infinitive is not able to show the case form (instrumental) required by $u\check{z}siim\~ti$. With this verb the verbal noun in -i/ymas, see section III below, has to be used instead: jis taip $u\check{z}sieme$ plaukimu, kad nežiūrėjo ryklio 'he was so occupied with (the) swimming that he didn't catch sight of the shark'. On the other hand, a verb like $bij\acute{o}ti$ requires the genitive case, but is still on the list of verbs that can govern an infinitive, cf. jis bijo šunų 'he is afraid of dogs': jis bijo ateiti 'he is afraid to come'.

The infinitive can also be used after certain "neutral" adjectival forms like, for example, *sunkù* 'difficult', *leñgva* 'easy', *liūdna* 'sad', e. g: *sunku gyventi* 'it is hard to live', cf. p. 68.

The rules for the choice of *aspect* are the same as with finite forms, cf. p. 119 ff.

Infinitive II

(Būdinỹs)

Beside the *bendratis* (or "real infinitive") Lithuanian also has another infinitival form which is often referred to as 'infinitive II'.

Formation and Stress

This is an indeclinable form in -te. The stress is on the suffix (i. e. $-t\dot{e}$) if the corresponding ti-infinitive is bisyllabic ($d\dot{z}ia\tilde{u}gtis > d\dot{z}iaugt\dot{e}$); if the regular infinitive has more than two syllables, infinitive II has the stress on the same syllable as infinitive I: $v\acute{a}lgyti$ 'to eat' $> v\acute{a}lgyte$.

Reflexive and prefixal forms are absent.

A synonym of -te is the equally indeclinable -tinai with the same stress pattern as the form in -te. This form has not the same constraints in terms of reflexivization or

prefixation as the variant in -te although reflexive forms of unprefixed verbs are hardly used.

Syntax of Infinitive II

The second infinitive can be used only when accompanied by a finite form of the same verb root. Its function is *expressive/intensive*.

Illustration:

Senė džiaugte džiaugėsi, kad sūnus savo išdaigas jau pamiršo (Krėvė) 'The old woman was extremely glad that her son had already forgotten his pranks'.

An example of the form in -tinai is: Toks jau lietuvių būdas: kai du vaidijasi, nors užsimuštinai mušasi, trečias bėga šalin (Vaižgantas) 'The Lithuanians have such a habit: if two men are quarreling, even if they are almost killing each other, the third one will run aside (away)'.

Infinitive II is found mostly in fiction and folk songs.

II. Gerunds and Participles

(Pādalyviai/pùsdalyviai and dalýviai)

Lithuanian possesses a rich inventory of participles, namely *two indeclinable* (both active), *two partly declinable* (equally active) and *eight declinable* participles (four active + four passive).

Both *imperfective* and *perfective* forms are encountered. The rules for their application are comparable to those of the finite forms, see p. 119 ff., but with more stress on the parameters *simultaneity* (= imperfective) vs. *non-simultaneity* (= perfective).

In many grammars of Lithuanian one will find four indeclinable participles which parallel the four active participles. However, future and frequentative past indeclinable gerunds are extremely rare and therefore omitted here.

By virtue of their functions the indeclinable and partly declinable participles may be labeled *gerunds*. In Lithuanian terminology the indeclinable participle is referred to as the *pādalyvis* whereas the partly declinable is called *pùsdalyvis* (literally "semi-participle").

1. The Gerunds

Formation

A. Indeclinable Gerunds

As mentioned above, two indeclinable gerunds are discerned, namely:

- a) the gerund in -Vnt (reflexive -Vntis with unprefixed verbs)
- b) the gerund in -us (reflexive -usis with unprefixed verbs)
- a) The gerund in -Vnt/-Vntis is formed on the basis of the *stem of the present tense*, cf. p. 94. The V is represented as a with verbs of the I and III conjugations, as i with verbs of the II conjugation.

The *stress* is on the same syllable as in the 3rd p. of the present tense.

Example:

```
išeinant (: išeiti 'walk out'),
domintis (: domětis 'be interested')
```

b) The gerund in -us/-usis is formed from the past stem (cf. p. 94).

The stress follows the 3rd p. of the past tense.

Example:

```
išė̃jus (: išeiti 'walk out')
domė̃jusis (: domė̃tis 'be interested')
```

These gerunds reflect petrified forms of the present and past active participles respectively. From a synchronic point of view they can be arrived at in the easiest way by dropping the -i of the fem. sg. nom. of these participles. Thus, for the correct derivation of gerundival forms the more detailed formational rules presented under the corresponding participles should be consulted.

B. Partly Declinable Gerunds

Here it is customary to include only the -dam-formation. However, it appears practical to add also an -es/us-formation which can have gerundival functions.

Thus, as in the case of the indeclinable gerund, two formations are arrived at, namely a) the -dam-gerund and b) the -es/-us-gerund.

a) The -dam-gerund is formed from the *infinitive stem* (cf. p. 93). It is declined in gender and number.

Reflexive forms are in -damasis and -damiesi for the masc. sg. and pl. respectively; for the fem. the forms are -damasi (sg.) and -damosi (pl.). In prefixed forms the reflexive particle -si- is inserted between the (last) prefix and the root.

In the *immobile* type the *stress* is the same as in the infinitive.

Examples:

domědamasis (m. sg.), domědamasi (f. sg.), domědamiesi (m. pl.), domědamosi (f. pl.) (: domětis 'be interested').

Example of mobile accent: išeīdamas (m. sg.), išeidamà (f. sg.), išeidamì (m. pl.), išeīdamos (f. pl.) (: išeīti 'walk out'),

b) The *es/us*-gerund is equally declined in gender and number. It is identical in form with the nominatives of the past active participle (see p. 158 below) and thus also cognate with the indeclinable *us*-gerund descibed in the preceding paragraph.

Reflexive forms are in -ęsis and -ęsi for the masc. sg. and pl. respectively; for the fem. the forms are -usis (sg.) and -usiosi (pl.). In prefixed forms the reflexive particle -si- is inserted between the (last) prefix and the root.

The stress is the same as in the 3 p. past tense.

Examples:

išė̃jęs (m. sg.), išė̃jusi (f. sg.), išė̃ję (m. pl.), išė̃jusios (f. pl.) (: išeiti 'walk out'),

domějęs (m. sg.), domějusis (f. sg.), domějęsi (m. pl.), domějusiosi (f. pl.) (: domětis 'be interested')

Syntax and Semantics of the Gerunds

The *meaning* of the gerunds is illustrated through their English translations in examples under points A. and B. below.

The -Vnt and -dam gerunds (usually in imperfective forms) express actions which occur simultaneously with those of the verb in the main clause whereas the us-formations (in perfective forms) denote an action which is not simultaneous, but anterior to that of the verb in the main clause. To express an action which is posterior to the action expressed by the verb in the main clause, the prepositional adverb prieš is placed immediately before the -Vnt- or -dam-gerund.

From the *functional* point of view the gerunds are equivalent to *adverbial clauses* (most frequently time clauses).

A. Indeclinable Gerunds

The function of indeclinable gerunds is to replace adverbial clauses (see p. 225 ff.) if the grammatical subject of the adverbial clause and the main clause are not identical.

Examples:

Kada vaikai dainavo, mokytojas dirigavo > Vaikams dainuojant, mokytojas diriguoja/dirigavo 'when the children are/were singing, the teacher is/was conducting' (simultaneity): Kada vaikai padainavo, mokytojas išėjo vaikams padainavus, mokytojas išėjo 'when the children had sung, the teacher went out' (non-simultaneity).

As illustrated through these examples the logical subject of the indeclinable gerund is in the dative case. The dative is often deleted in cases like *sningant* or *lyjant* for *sniegui sningant* 'when it is/was/will be snowing' and *lietui lyjant* 'when it is/was/will be raining' respectively.

Historically the indeclinable gerunds reflect a petrified form of the dative case; thus, originally there was agreement (in gender, number and case) between the gerund and the dative NP. Such a double dative construction with the same function is also found in Slavic.

Other illustrations:

Saulei tekant, vaikai atsikėlė 'while/when the sun was rising, the kids got up'; Žuvus Mindaugui, Lietuva atsidūrė pavojuje 'when Mindaugas had been killed/was dead, Lithuania found itself in danger'; Universitete įkūrus Rytų Europos komitetą vis daugiau jaunuolių atvyksta ir iš ten 'since one has established the committee for East European studies at the university (since the committee [...] has been established [...]), more and more young people are coming also from there'.

In the last example the gerund construction can be replaced by the following subordinate clause: Kai universitete įkūrė Rytų Europos komitetą 'since/when one had established [...]'. This is a so-called personal one part clause (see p. 220) with an obligatorily deleted subject of a general meaning corresponding to English 'one', German and Scandinavian 'man' which is different from that of the main clause (daugiau jaunuolių) whence the gerundival construction can be used.

In the following example the gerundival construction has replaced a subordinate *impersonal one part clause* (cf. p. 220) with an obligatorily deleted subject corresponding to English 'it' which again is

different from that of the main clause: Persikelsime per upę prieš auštant 'we'll cross the river before it is gets light / before dawn comes'.

With *identical* subjects in the subordinate and main clauses the gerund is used only when the subject of the main clause is expressed by another case than the nominative, i. e. so-called "logical subject", as, for example, in:

Kada mes dainuojame dainą, mums reikia būti dėmesingiems > Dainuojant dainą, mums reikia būti dėmesingiems 'when (we are) singing a song, we have to be attentive' (simultaneity): Kada mes padainavome dainą, mums galima pailsėti > padainavus dainą, mums galima pailsėti 'when we have sung/upon having sung the song, we can rest' (non-simultaneity).

If mums is deleted in these examples with the gerund construction, a general meaning corresponding to English 'one' is obtained: dainuojant daina, reikia būti dėmesingam 'when one is singing a song, one has to be attentive'; padainavus daina, galima pailsėti 'when one has sung a song, one can rest'.

B. Partly Declinable Gerunds

The partly declinable gerunds can replace adverbial clauses the grammatical subject of which is identical with that of the main clause:

Kada mokinys dainuoja/dainavo/dainuos, jis eina/ėjo/eis iš mokyklos namo 'when the pupil is/was/will be singing, he is/was/will be going home from school' > dainuodamas mokinys eina/ėjo/eis iš mokyklos namo '(while) singing, the pupil is/was/will be going home from school'.

This was an example of *simultaneity* with the time for the occurrence of the action of the finite verb in contrast to *prieš* dainuodamas mokinys eina/ėjo/eis iš mokyklos namo 'before singing the pupil is/was/will be going home from school' which will serve as an illustration of posteriority and padainavęs mokinys eina/ėjo/eis iš mokyklos namo 'after having been singing the pupil is/was/will be going home from school' which presents a case of anteriority.

The perfective padainuodamas can be used in connection with a single concrete action: padainuodamas dainą, žmogus galvojo, kad jį priims į operą 'when singing the daina (song), the man thought that he would be accepted at the opera'.

Other examples:

Kurį laiką jis tylėdamas skaitinėjo knygų pavadinimus ... (A. Pocius) 'for some time he stood reading the titles of the books without saying a word';

- Ar seniai čia jau mokytojaujate? - paklausė jis, sustojęs prie pat stalo [...] (A. Pocius) 'have you been teaching here for long? - he asked, having stopped right by the table [...]'; Prieš pirkdami bilietus, paskambinkite namo 'before buying the tickets, (you should) call home'.

As can be seen from the examples, the partly declinable gerunds show *agreement* in gender and number with the (explicitly or implicitly expressed) grammatical subject of the main clause. The (petrified) nominative form is also in harmony with this subject.

2. The Participles

The inventory of Lithuanian participles may be demonstrated through the following table:

Active Passive

Present stat-ant- 'building' stato-ma- '(being) built'

Past $st\tilde{a}\check{c}$ -i-us-'(the one (pa)statý-ta-'built'

who) built'

Frequentative

past $stat \acute{y}-dav-us-$ '(the one \emptyset

who) used to build'

Future statýsi-ant-'(the one who) statýsi-ma- 'the one

is going to be building' who/which is going

to be built'

Participle of necessity

statý-tina-

'which should be built'

All forms in the table are given in the stem form, not in a specific case, gender or number form. For full paradigms of the different types, see below.

As mentioned on p. 152 above there are four active and four passive participles. However, they do not constitute a symmetrical system since there is no passive counterpart to the frequentative past participle and no parallel to the so-called participle of necessity under the active voice. Further, the future passive participle is extremely rare.

As mentioned in the introduction (p. 152) participles can be formed both from perfective and imperfective verbs.

Formation

A. Active Participles:

a) the present participle is formed on the basis of the present stem by adding -Vnt- and applying the same distributional rule for V which was given for the cognate indeclinable gerund in -Vnt (p. 153 above), e. g. dirba-nt-'working', $k\tilde{e}lia-nt$ -'lifting' in the 1st conjugation, $m\acute{y}li-nt$ -'loving' (2nd conjugation), and $ska\tilde{i}t-ant$ -'reading' (3rd conjugation). These are valid as stem forms for the whole paradigm except for the masc. nom. sg. and pl. which are in -(i)qs (1st conjugation), -is (2nd), -qs (3rd) and -(i)q (1st), -i (2nd), -q (3rd) respectively. The interplay between -Vnt- and -V(s) is regulated by the "nasalization rule" (cf. p. 29 f.).

For reflexive forms, see below.

The -nt-participle is cognate with the -nt- participles in other IE languages (Slavic, Germanic, Romance, Greek and so on). Only the shape of the nom. pl. masc. of Lithuanian is enigmatic.

b) the past participle is formed on the basis of the preterite stem by dropping the $-o/-\dot{e}$ of the 3 p. and adding -us-. In the case of verbs in -tyti and -dyti the dentals t and d undergo a change to - \check{c} - and -d \check{z} -respectively (thus $m\tilde{a}\check{c}ius$ - and $g\acute{y}d\check{z}ius$ - < $m\tilde{a}t\dot{e}$, $g\acute{y}d\dot{e}$: $mat\acute{y}ti$, $g\acute{y}dyti$). Observe the softening of the \check{c} indicated by the following prevocalic i (for explanation and motivation, see under the formation of \dot{e} -preterites, p. 104 f. above). As in the case of the present active participle the nom. sg. and pl. masc. deviate from the rest of the paradigm through the endings -es and -e respectively.

This participle has also cognates in other IE languages (Slavic etc.). The -e(s) of the masc. sg. and pl. nom., however, lacks evident parallels in other IE languages and may be the result of an internal Baltic arrangement, possibly generalization of the vocalism of the \dot{e} -preterite in order to obtain a maximum differentiation from the present participle from which it can have adopted the nasal. If this interpretation is correct, we envisage a heteroclitic paradigm since all other forms of the paradigm contain the -us-

marker which obviously represents the zero grade of the so-called perfect active participle which has cognates in a great number of IE languages, including Slavic.

Declension of the Present and Past Active Participles:

These two participles are declined according to the same pattern.

Paradigms:

	Present	Past	Present	Past
	M SG	M SG	F SG	F SG
N	dirbą̃s (dìrbantis)	dìrbęs	dìrbanti	dìrbusi
G	dìrbančio	dìrbusio	dìrbančios	dirbusios
D	dìrbančiam	dìrbusiam	dìrbančiai	dirbusiai
A	dìrbanti	dìrbusi	dìrbančia	dirbusia
I	dìrbančiu	dìrbusiu	dìrbančia	dirbusia
L	dìrbančiame	dìrbusiame	dìrbančioje	dirbusioje
N	M PL dirbą̃ (dìrbantys)	M PL dìrbę	F PL dìrbančios	F PL dìrbusios
G	dìrbančių	dìrbusiu	dìrbančių	dirbusių
D	dìrbantiems	dìrbusiems	dìrbančioms	dirbusioms
A	dìrbančius	dìrbusius	dìrbančias	dirbusias
I	dìrbančiais	dìrbusiais	dìrbančiomis	dirbusiomis
L	dìrbančiuose	dìrbusiuose	dìrbančiose	dirbusiose

The bracket forms *dirbantis* and *dirbantys* for the pres. masc. sg. and pl. are analogical ("normalized").

Reflexive forms are arrived at by applying the general rules given on p. 97 above, e. g. domisis (m. sg. nom.), dominties (f. sg. nom.), dominties (m. pl. dat.) etc.; doméjesis (m. sg. nom.), doméjusis (f. sg. nom.), doméjusiemsis (m. pl. dat.) (: dométis 'be interested')

Accentuation

The accentuation of the *present participle* follows the pattern of the 3rd p. present tense of the finite form except for the nom. masc. sg. and pl. in -qs and -q respectively which (in some cases) are end-stressed in simple forms and regularly accented on the prefix in prefixed forms.

The accentuation of the *past participle* repeats that of the 3rd p. past tense.

Lithuanian and Latvian share an inflectional peculiarity with Slavic in that the original consonant stems have become $-jo//\bar{\imath}/j\bar{a}$ -stems. The former yod explains the transition of * $nt > n\check{c}$ in the present participle and of *-us->-'us- in the past; in the fem. sg. nom. the -t- and -s- are retained since they were followed by * $-\bar{\imath}$ (deriving from *iH in the laryngealistic notation).

c) the frequentative past participle contains the element -dav-characteristic also of the finite forms of the frequentative past (see p. 106). The -dav-morpheme is immediately followed by the -e/us- marker of the ordinary past participle. Thus, we arrive at the following paradigm of the verb dirbti:

dirbdavęs, dirbdavusio etc. in the masc. sg.; dirbdavusi, dirbdavusios etc. in the fem. sg.; dirbdave, dirbdavusių etc. and dirbdavusios, dirbdavusių etc. in the plural of the two genders respectively.

Reflexive forms are arrived at through application of the general rule in (p. 97).

d) the future active participle is in -siq /-siant- [sax/sen-] in all three conjugations. It contains the same -si-marker as the finite forms of the future tense (see p. 106 above). Before a vowel the -si- yields -si (represented as -si- in the orthography), cf. p. 24. The declension is exactly the same as that of the present participle which is illustrated in the dirbaising signal above. The only difference is that the analogical (normalized) forms of the masc. nominative sg. and pl. seem to be rare (which may also be said of the future participle in general).

Thus one arrives at the following paradigm:

dirbsiąs, dirbsiančio etc. in the masc. sg.; dirbsianti, dirbsiančios etc. in the fem. sg.; dirbsią, dirbsiančių etc. and dirbsiančios, dirbsiančių etc. in the masc. and fem. pl.

Reflexive forms are derived according to the general rule set forth on p. 97 above.

"Neuter" Forms

Like the adjectives the participles also possess undeclined "neutral" forms. They are identical in form with the masc. pl. nom., e. g. $dirb\tilde{q}$, dirbq, dirbdavq and dirbsiq for the present, simple past, frequentative past and the future respectively.

Pronominal (Long/Definite) Forms

So far only the short (or indefinite) forms of the active participles have been dealt with. Below full paradigms of the pronominal (definite) form of the present and past participles will be given. Their morphological make up as well as the accent pattern is the same as with the adjectives, cf. p. 65 ff. above for comments.

	Present	Past	Present	Past
	M SG		F SG	
N	dìrbantysis	dìrbusysis	dìrbančioji	dìrbusioji
G	dìrbančiojo	dìrbusiojo	dìrbančiosios	dirbusiosios
D	dìrbančiajam	dìrbusiajam	dìrbančiajai	dìrbusiajai
A	dìrbantįjį	dìrbusįjį	dìrbančiąją	dìrbusiąją
I	dìrbančiuoju	dìrbusiuoju	dìrbančiąja	dìrbusiąja
L	dírbančiajame	dìrbusiajame	dìrbančiojoje	dìrbusiojoje
	M PL		F PL	
N	dìrbantieji	dìrbusieji	dìrbančiosios	dirbusiosios
G	dìrbančiųjų	dìrbusiųjų	dìrbančiųjų	dìrbusiųjų
D	dirbantiesiems	dìrbusiesiems	dìrbančiosioms	dirbusiosioms
A	dirbančiuosius	dìrbusiuosius	dìrbančią̃sias	dirbusią̃sias
I	dìrbančiaisiais	dìrbusiaisiais	dìrbančiosiomis	dirbusiosiomis
L	dìrbančiuosiuose	dìrbusiuosiuose	dìrbančiosiose	dìrbusiosiose

The pronominal (definite) forms of the future tense and frequentative past participles repeat the models demonstrated in the above paradigm.

N.B. Cumulation of pronominal and reflexive forms are avoided.

B. Passive Participles

Also here four participles can be distinguished:

a) the *present* passive participle (*m*-participle) can be said to be formed on the basis of the *1st pl. present tense* by replacing -*e* with -*as* for the nom. sg. masculine, -*a* for the feminine, cf. for example:

sùkam-e > sùkam-as, sukam-à 'which is being turned' (: inf. sùk-ti)

kreipiam-e > kreipiam-as, kreipiam-à 'which is being directed'
(: inf. kreipt-ti)

dãrom-e > dãrom-as, dãrom-a 'which is being done' (: darý-ti)

To some extent *m*-participles are formed also from *intransitive* verbs, cf. for example *miegamas laikas* 'sleeping time, time for sleeping' (: *miegóti*, pres. *miega* 'sleep').

The reason for this ambiguity in terms of voice remains somewhat obscure. A plausible hypothesis which finds some empirical support is that the *m*-participle may originally have been neutral with respect to the opposition in voice between active and passive. The *m*- of the 1st pl. pres. has genetically nothing to do with the *m*-marker of the participle. The *m*-participle has cognates in other IE languages, among them Slavic.

The *m*-participle is declined like an adjective of the 1st declension (type $g\tilde{e}ras$, $ger\grave{a}$).

The formation of the *pronominal* (long/definite) form also follows the $g\tilde{e}ras$ -pattern, cf. for example $g\tilde{e}ras$: geras: geras:

In terms of accentuation the m-participle may be only class 1 or 3. To accent class 1 belong all verbs of the III conjugation as well as the I conjugational verbs in -enti, -inti, -yti, -ėti, -oti, -ioti, -auti, -uoti. All other types belong to accent class 3. A couple of examples: dāromas, dāroma 1 (: darýti 'do'); gìriamas, giriamà 3b (: gìrti 'praise').

b) the *past* passive participle (*t*-participle) is formed on the basis of the *infinitive stem* by replacing the *-ti* of the infinitive by *-tas* for the nom. sg. masculine, *-ta* for the feminine.

The stress rules are as follows:

all verbs (prefixed and unprefixed) with more than two syllables in the infinitive (unprefixed form) belong to accent class 1;

verbs with a bisyllabic form in the infinitive follow accent class 3 if the root is acute, class 4 if the root is non-acute (prefixed and non-prefixed verbs).

Examples:

darý-ti 'do': darýtas, darýta (1); dúo-ti 'give': dúo-tas, duo-tà (3); baīg-ti 'finish, accomplish': baīg-tas, baig-tà (4), pà-baigtas : pa-baigtà (3b).

Unlike Slavic and Germanic, Baltic does not distinguish between a t- and n- past passive participle, but has generalized the t-participle.

c) the future passive participle is in -si-ma- for all three conjugations. The declensional pattern is that of the m-participle derived from the present stem (cf. above). Although theoretically possible with many (primarily transitive) verbs, it is now more or less limited to

 $b\bar{u}simas$, $b\bar{u}sima$ etc. (< the intransitive $b\bar{u}ti$) which has been adjectivized with the meaning 'future'. It has also a pronominal form, cf. $b\bar{u}simasis\ laikas$ 'the future tense'.

For the potential ambiguity in terms of voice, cf. mutatis mutandis the so-called present participle in -ma above.

d) the passive participle of necessity in -(ti)nas is formed by adding the endings -nas (masc.), -na (fem.) to the verbal infinitive: gìrtinas, girtinà (: gìrti 'praise') 'which should be praised'. It is declined like an adjective of the first declension.

"Neuter" Forms

These indeclinables are in unaccented -a, e. g. rāšoma, (pa)rašýta.

Reflexive Forms

Such forms are rare. A possible example is *sténgtasi* [...] 'it has been endeavoured to [...]' (: *sténgtis* 'to endeavour').

The Syntax of Participles

Participle forms used in *adverbial function(s)* have been treated as gerunds. Thus, this function has already been described (p. 155 ff. above).

Participle forms are further used as *parts of the verbal predicate* in compound tenses of the indicative and subjunctive active, in the relative mood as well as in the passive voice. For these functions, see pp. 114 ff., 134 ff. and 140 ff.

For the use of "neuter" forms, see pp. 143 and 222.

We are then left with *two* functions of the participles, namely 1) the *attributive* and 2) - its close cognate - the *appositive*, which coincide with functions also found under the adjective, cf. p. 67 ff. above. Like the adjective, the participle in the attributive and appositive functions agrees with the head noun in gender, number and case (on agreement, see p. 230 ff.). All fully declinable participles - both active and passive - are used in these functions.

A. Active Participles

a) attributive function

Examples:

Greta šaltai blizgėjo susiraizgiusių bėgių eilės, o kiek toliau matėsi manevruojantys garvežiai ir dideli baltų dūmų kamuoliai tamsiame dangaus fone (R. Lankauskas) 'nearby the rows of interlaced

(interlacing) rails glittered coldly, and somewhat farther manoeuvring engines and big white balls of smoke were seen against the dark background of the skies'.

There is agreement in gender, number and case between *susiraizgusių* and $b\dot{e}giu$ (= masc., pl., gen.) and between *manevruojantys* and *garvežiai* (= masc., pl., nom.).

Anapus perono stovėjo kelionėn pasiruošęs traukinys (Lankauskas) 'on the other side of the platform there stood a train ready for departure' (lit. 'prepared for journey'). Here again, full agreement (masc., sg., nom.) between pasiruošęs and traukinys is observed. This example may also serve as an illustration of the principle that constituents which are grammatically dependent on the participle (i. e. kelionėn) are as a rule placed immediately before the participle. Another example is gulinčioji ant stalo knyga or ant stalo gulinčioji knyga, cf. for instance Russian ležaščaja na stole kniga and German das auf dem Tisch liegende Buch.

2) appositive function

As mentioned in the chapter on adjectives (p. 67) an apposition is close in function to that of the attribute. Also in this function full agreement (i. e. in gender, number and case) between the participle and the governing noun has to be observed. The apposition is separated from the head noun through a pause in speech, a comma in written form: Jis laukė traukinio, pasiruošiusio kelionėn 'he waited for the train (which had) prepared for the journey'. The appositional construction can easily be transformed into an attributive: jis laukė kelionėn pasiruošiusio traukinio.

B. Passive Participles

a) attributive function

Examples:

Tiesa, studentams teisininkams ši integruoto mokymo sistema netaikoma 'true enough, to the students of law this system of integrated learning is not applied' (from a newspaper); studentai nuo pirmo iki paskutinio kurso uoliai lanko teisės fakultete dėstomas paskaitas 'students from the first to the last course with diligence follow (the) lectures which are being given at the faculty of law' (from a newspaper).

In both cases there is agreement between the participle and the governing noun; thus *integruoto* is in the masc. sing. gen. in accordance with *mokymo* whereas *dėstomas* repeats the gender, number and case of

paskaitas which is fem., pl., accusative. The last sentence could easily be converted into an illustration of

2) appositional use:

studentai [...] lanko paskaitas, dėstomas teisės fakultete where the participle dėstomas agrees in gender, number and case with paskaitas.

After *verbs of perception* the construction noun or pronoun in the accusative case + agreeing participle can be encountered, cf. for example:

Aš įsivaizduoju jį dirbsiantį 'I can imagine her (when she will be) working'.

The Passive Participle of Necessity

This participle should be treated apart. It has three functions, 1) attributive, 2) appositive and 3) predicative.

Function 3) is the one most frequently encountered: darbas (yra) darytinas 'the work has to be done'

The combination of the participle of necessity with other tenses than the present tense seems artificial.

The construction with the participle of necessity is rather bookish. A stylistically unmarked alternative is the locution with the verb *reīkia* 'is necessary' (: *reikėti*): *darbą* (N.B. accusative!) *reikia* (*pa*)*daryti* 'the work must be done'.

As an example of the participle of necessity in *attributive* function may serve: *jie aptarė darytinus darbus* 'they discussed the tasks to be done'.

Concluding Remarks on Participles

Like the adjectives the *attributively* and *appositively* used participles can be encountered in *nominal* (short/indef.) or *pronominal* (long/def.) form, but the distributional rules for their application seem to be less transparent than with the adjectives (see p. 69 f.).

Cumulation of reflexive and pronominal form is avoided.

The distribution between *perfective* and *imperfective* participles follows the principles set forth in the section on aspect (p. 119 ff.).

III. Verbal Noun

The verbal noun (in a narrower sense) is formed from verbs with the help of the suffix -Vmas, where V = i in all cases with the exception of verbal nouns formed from III conjugation verbs in -yti in which case

the corresponding noun is in -ymas. It seems most practical to derive the verbal noun from the preterite stem which is obtained through deletion of the -o or $-\dot{e}$ of the 3rd p.

1) *-imas*

Examples:

patyr-imas 'experience' < pa-týr- \dot{e} (: pa-tìrti 'to experience': $p\grave{a}$ -tiria) jud \acute{e} j-imas 'movement' < jud \acute{e} j-o > (: $jud\acute{e}$ ti 'to move' : $j\grave{u}$ da)

kláus-imas 'question' < kláus-ė (: kláusti 'to ask' : kláusia)

2) -ymas:

Examples:

prāšymas 'application' < prāš-ė (: prašýti 'to request': prāšo) skaītymas 'reading' skaīt-ė (: skaitýti 'read': skaīto)

The -Vmas-suffix is very productive. In principle, verbal nouns of this kind can be formed from almost every verb in Lithuanian. As demonstrated through the above examples, however, the meaning of the verbal noun is not always simply that of the action indicated through the verb. Thus, whereas, for example, skaītymas means (or can mean) the action of reading, prāšymas and kláusimas have separated somewhat in meaning from the verb as they are (usually) not translated by the (abstract) action of requesting or asking respectively, but by (the more concrete notions of) 'application' and 'question'.

Verbal nouns in -V-mas can also be formed from reflexive verbs. The nominative of unprefixed reflexive verbal nouns is in -imasis and -ymasis according to conjugational type, cf., for example, kreīp-ti-s 'address' > kreipimasis). Verbal nouns from prefixed verbs according to the general rule insert the reflexive particle -si- between the (last) prefix and the root (stem) element, e. g. at-si-svéikinti 'say good-bye' > at-si-svéikinimas 'good-bye, farewell'. Compare also the locution ikì pasimātymo 'so long'.

For the declension of reflexive nouns, see p. 42 f. above.

Accentuation

In verbal nouns derived from verbs with *monosyllabic* infinitive stems the stress falls on the suffix, e. g. *patyr-imas < patirti*.

For verbal nouns derived from verbs with infinitive stems containing more than one syllable, the suffix is unstressed. These verbs stress the vowel immediately before the suffix. This holds good for all

nouns in -ymas(is) as well as the remaining cases with -imas(is). For examples, cf. above.

THE VERB: WORD FORMATION

Suffixation

According to more recent contributions to Lithuanian verbal word-formation 9 basic suffixes are distinguished (Jakaitienė et al. 1976, p. 266). The amount would be considerably higher if variants were also taken into consideration.

The 9 basic suffixes are: -au(ti), -en(ti) (rare), $-\dot{e}(ti)$, $-in\dot{e}(ti)$, -in(ti), -y(ti), -o(ti), $-tel\dot{e}(ti)$ and -uo(ti). Suffixal derivatives in Lithuanian can be denominal (i. e. derived from a nominal word class, above all nouns and adjectives) or deverbal. In some cases verbs are derived from interjections. The following survey in no way pretends to give a complete picture of the complex suffixal meanings.

(1) -(i)au(ti). With the help of this very productive suffix verbs

- (1) -(i)au(ti). With the help of this very productive suffix verbs are derived mainly from nouns, e. g. $tarn\acute{a}uti$ 'serve' ($< ta\~{r}nas$ 'servant'), $blizgi\acute{a}uti$ 'use a spoon-bait (when fishing)' (< blizge 'spoonbait'), $atostog\acute{a}uti$ 'be on leave; have one's holiday' (< at'ostogos 'holidays, vacation'), $geli\acute{a}uti$ 'collect/pick flowers' ($< gel\~{e}$ 'flower'), $gryb\acute{a}uti$ 'collect mushrooms' (: $gr\~{y}bas$ 'mushroom'). The different shades of meaning conveyed by this suffix are illustrated by the examples given. The stress (acute) is always on $-\acute{a}u$ -. Present stem forms are in $-\acute{a}uj$ whereas preterite stem forms have (circumflexed) $-\~{a}v$ -.
- (2) -(i)uoti is also a very productive suffix which can be denominal (nouns and adjectives) and deverbal. The nuances in meaning are illustrated through the following material: angliuoti 'make dirty with coal' (< anglis 'coal'), kritikuoti 'criticize' (< kritika 'criticism'), duduoti 'pipe' (< duda 'pipe'), sapnuoti 'dream' (< sapnas 'dream'), veršiuotis 'calve' (< veršis 'calf'), supuoti 'rock (gently)' (< supti 'rock'), tabaluoti 'dangle' (deinterjectional). The stress is -uo- in the infinitival stem, in the present stem equally -uoj-, and in preterite stem formations -av-. A good number of verbs of foreign origin are found with this suffix.
- (3) -(i)oti is both denominal and deverbal. Some examples to illustrate the different shades in meaning: aukóti 'sacrifice' (< aukà 'sacrifice'), páikioti 'be foolish' (< paīkas 'foolish'), meškerióti 'fish, angle' (< meškerē '/fishing/ rod'), galióti 'be valid' (< galià 'power'), nešióti 'carry around' (< nèšti 'carry'), kabalióti 'hang down, dangle' (< kaběti 'hang'). Like the following suffix in -ėti the -yti-suffix is relatively productive.

- (4) $-\dot{e}ti$ is like the preceding suffix both denominal (esp. deadjectival) and deverbal. Illustration of meanings and derivational basis: $ma\check{z}\check{e}ti$ 'diminish' ($< m\tilde{a}\check{z}as$ 'small')', $balt\check{e}ti$ 'become white' ($< b\acute{a}ltas$ 'white'). The \dot{e} -suffix is present in all principal forms of the verb.
- (5) -yti is like the preceding suffix both denominal (esp. deadjectival) and deverbal: $juod\acute{y}ti$ 'become black' ($< j\acute{u}odas$ 'black'), $g\acute{y}dyti$ 'cure' ($< g\acute{y}ti$ 'recover'). The denominal verbs have present stem and preterite stem forms in -ij- (i. e. split of the -y-) whereas in the deverbal there is no trace of -y/i(j)- outside the infinitive stem; the present stem is formed with -o-, the preterite with -ė-. The -yti-suffix is productive like the following in -inti.
- (6) -inti is both denominal and deverbal: áuksinti 'gild' (< áuksas 'gold', augìnti 'bring up; raise' (< áugti 'grow'). As can be seen from the examples, the meaning is factitive with denominal verbs, causative with deverbal.
- (7) -inėti is equally both denominal and deverbal: blusinėti 'catch fleas' (< blusà 'flea'), virtinėti 'fall (often)' (< vir̃sti 'fall'). For the role played by this suffix to form imperfective verbs, see section on aspect.
- (8) -telėti is deverbal and deinterjectional: baubtelėti 'roar' (< baūbti 'roar'), bùmbtelėti 'give a bang' (< bùmbt 'bang').
 - (9) -enti: gyvénti 'live' (< gývas 'alive').

Prefixation

According to Jakaitienė et al. 1976, p. 266 Lithuanian distinguishes a total of 12 verbal prefixes, namely ap(i)-, at(i)-, i-, i-

- 1. The prefix ap- (variant api- before p and b) can among others have the following meanings: a) 'around': apsùpti 'surround', apeiti 'go around', b) 'from all sides': apž'elti 'become overgrown', c) 'at the side of, past': apeiti 'go past', d) 'completion to some degree': apg'ydyti 'heal (to some extent)', e) 'completion': $ap\grave{a}kti$ 'become blind';
- 2. at- (ati- before t and d) denotes: a) 'arrival': ateīti 'come, arrive', b) 'separation': atpjáuti 'cut off', atimīti 'take away', c) 'return (to original position)': atgáuti 'get back', d) 'completion': atbùkti 'become blunt':
- 3. *į* may denote: a) '(motion) into': *įeīti* 'go (walk) into', b) 'attenuated intensity': *įleñkti* 'bend a little', c) 'completion': *įgáuti* 'get, obtain';

- 4. iš- has the following meanings: a) '(motion) out of': išeīti 'go out of', b) '(a certain) duration': išbudėti 'watch for a certain period', c) 'completion': išgalą́sti 'grind', d) 'ability to complete an action': išdainúoti 'be able to sing (a lot)', e) 'an all encompassing action': išbučiúoti 'to kiss all over';
- 5. nu- may denote: a) '(motion) away': nueîti 'go away', b) '(motion) down': nukristi 'fall down', c) 'completion': nutilti 'keep silent'. This prefix can also denote a wide range of other shades of meaning such as 'intensity' (nugirti 'boast of'), 'attenuation' (numiegóti 'slumber, doze'), 'extension upon a surface' (nuklóti 'cover');
- 6. pa- may denote: a) '(motion) under: pakìšti 'push under', b) 'short duration': pakalbëti 'talk for a (little) while', c) 'attenuation': padirbëti 'work a little', d) 'ability to do sth.': paeîti 'be able to walk', e) 'completion': padarýti 'do';
- 7. par- can mean: a) 'coming home': pareîti 'come/go home', b) 'falling to the earth': parmèsti 'fall down';
- 8. pér- (always stressed) may denote: a) '(motion) across or through': péršokti 'jump over', b) 'action from one performer to another': pérduoti 'transmit, deliver', c) 'division': pérlaužti 'break into pieces', d) 'beyond the norm(s) or a certain limit': péreikvoti 'spend too much money', e) 'do over again': pérdažyti 'paint over', f) 'a definite period of time': pérnakvoti 'spend the night';
- 9. pra- denotes: a) 'movement by/past': pravažiúoti 'drive past', b) 'movement through': praláužti 'break through', c) 'loss or lack of sth.': praléisti 'miss', d) 'passing some time': prastověti 'stand for some time', e) 'beginning of an action': prabìlti 'begin to speak', f) 'completion': pralõbti 'become rich';
- 10. pri- may denote: a) 'movement toward or fastening to': prieîti 'go near to', prisiūti 'sew onto', b) 'putting/filling into': prilýti 'pour into', c) 'an action encompassing many things or a great quantity': pristatýti 'build (many objects)', d) 'attenuation': primiřšti 'forget (a little), e) 'completion': primùšti 'beat';
- 11. su- may have the following meanings: a) 'congregation (and the like)': suáugti 'grow together', b) 'beginning of an action': susirgti 'fall ill', c) 'completion': suválgyti 'eat up', d) 'sudden action': sugriáusti 'strike (of thunder)';
- 12. už- may denote: a) 'upward direction': užlipti 'climb up', b) 'putting/ positing behind': užstóti 'stand behind', c) 'covering': uždengti 'cover', d) 'dropping in at (on the way)': užbėgti 'drop in at', e) 'the beginning of an action': uždainúoti 'start singing', f) 'completion': užáugti 'grow up'. Other shades of meaning to be mentioned with this prefix are: 'momentaneous action' (užrìkti 'cry

out'), 'attenuation' (užką́sti 'take a snack'), 'action beforehand' (užsakýti 'book, order').

Comprehensive dictionaries will provide more complete information.

As is seen from the above list, most of the prefixes may have the meaning of 'completion' which is rather abstract and close to that of 'perfectivity', cf. section on aspect. In the same area belongs the meaning of 'beginning' which is also observed with a number of prefixes.

On the whole, there is a complicated interaction between prefix and verb which makes it dubious whether it is correct to speak of prefixal meanings alone. To some extent, however, it seems legitimate and practical to do so.

In some instances one observes special meanings when certain prefixes occur with reflexive verbs, e. g. with i-: 'acquaintance with/introduction into an action': isidirbti 'be accustomed to the work in question', $i\dot{s}$ - 'sufficient degree': $i\dot{s}sive\tilde{r}kti$ 'cry (enough)', $u\dot{z}$ - 'about an action which has lasted too long': $u\dot{z}sikalb\dot{e}ti$ 'forget oneself'.

Verb Particles

Beside regular prefixes like *ap-*, *pa-* etc. Lithuanian has certain prefix-like particles which should be treated separately (see also p. 116 ff. and 127).

The particles in question are:

- 1) the reflexive particle si,
- 2) the particle of negation ne-
- 3) the particle te-
- 4) the particle be-
- 1) should hardly need further comments. It is an integrated morpheme of the reflexive verb which has been described both from the point of view of formation and use.
- 2) Only a short remark is required here about the particle of negation. When the content of the verb is denied, *ne* is written together with the verb, e. g. *neválgo* 'does/do not eat'. So-called double negation is treated on p. 177.

The functions of te- as a constituent of the permissive and of beas a formational element of the *inceptive* and *progressive* have been dealt with on p. 129 and p. 127. In the following, other functions of teand *be*- will be focussed upon. They cause trouble to the student and are often untranslatable. See also p. 245 ff.

For the illustration of *te*- the following example should be quoted: *Dėl to jų priskyrimas prie būdvardžių [...] tegali būti sąlyginis* 'therefore their incorporation among the adjectives can [...] only be accidental'.

Its function is here a kind of modification. A possible English translation would be 'only'. Thus, *te*- can be replaced by *tik*, but *te*- and *tik* can hardly be combined.

The particle be- may have a reinforcing shade of meaning as in: Belieka džiaugtis, kad dar viena lietuvių poezijos atstovė išvesta į platesnį skandinavų pasaulį 'it remains to express how pleased one is that one more representative of Lithuanian poetry has been introduced to the broader Scandinavian world'. It is not far in meaning from te-, but telieka would emphasize 'it remains as the last point'. In the following two examples be- seems to be used for exclusively stylistical and rhythmical purposes: abiejose sekcijose vyko gyvos ir senokai mokslinėse konferencijose nebegirdėtos diskusijos 'in both sections there were lively discussions of a kind which had not been heard at scientific conferences for a long time'; vadovėlis bus naudingas visiems, besidomintiems baltistika 'the handbook will be useful to everyone who is interested in Baltistics'. The translation 'still' can be used in: begyvendami Varšuvoje, [...] 'while still living in Warsaw, [...]'.

The particles te and be can be combined into tebe-: Tebelieka tik paminėti, kad [...] 'it remains really only to mention that [...]'; prieš 415 metų Vilniuje tebegyveno karaliaus Stepono Batoro įspūdis '415 years ago in Vilnius the impression of king S. B. was still living (alive)'. The combination tebe + yra is contracted to tebėra: ji tebėra čia 'she is still here'.

The above particles (together with the ordinary prefixes) can be combined in different ways whereby they are subject to a strict hierarchic order. For such hierarchic rules the chapter on word order should be consulted.

Chapter 7

THE ADVERB

(Príeveiksmis)

The adverb is a rather heterogeneous word class. Except for possible degrees of comparison the adverb is an indeclinable.

There are a good number of adverbs which can be said to be formed on the basis of adjectives (e. g. gerai 'well') whereas others have a common root with pronouns, namely the so-called pronominal adverbs (see chapter on pronouns), cf. for example $k-u\tilde{r}$ 'where' (: k-as) and t-en 'there': (t-as). Furthermore, many adverbs have degrees of comparison (e. g. gerai: $geria\tilde{u}$: geriau: ger

Adverbs are formed with the help of the formatives -(i)ai, -(i)ui, -yn, -(i)aip, -ur, -da.

- 1. With the formative -(i)ai adverbs are derived from adjectives of the 1st and 2nd adjectival declensions as well as (adjectivized) participles of (almost) all kinds: $g\tilde{e}r$ -as > ger-a \tilde{i} 'well', $lietuvi\tilde{s}kas$ > $lietuvi\tilde{s}kai$, $gra\tilde{z}$ - $u\tilde{u}s$ / $gra\tilde{z}$ i > $gra\tilde{z}$ - $ia\tilde{i}$ 'beautifully' (observe the obligatory 'soft' -iai in adverbs derived from adjectives of the II declension), grasinantis > grasinantia 'threateningly', kankinamas > kankinamai 'in a tormenting way', $u\tilde{z}sisp\tilde{y}res$, fem. $u\tilde{z}sisp\tilde{y}rusi$ > $u\tilde{z}sisp\tilde{y}rusiai$ 'stubbornly', $netik\dot{e}tas$ > $netik\dot{e}tai$ 'unexpectedly', $u\tilde{z}t\dot{e}ktinas$ > $u\tilde{z}tektina\tilde{i}$ 'sufficiently'.
- 2. Adverbs in $-\tilde{y}n$ can be formed almost without restrictions from bi-syllabic qualitative adjectives, for example $\tilde{z}\tilde{e}mas$ 'low' > $\tilde{z}em\tilde{y}n$ 'downwards' as well as certain polysyllabic adjectives and other parts of speech.
- 3. Adverbs in -(i)aip, -ur and -da are formed on the basis of pronominal roots, i. e. $\check{s}ia\tilde{\imath}p$ (: $\check{s}is$) 'in this (the following) way', $ta\tilde{\imath}p$ (: $t\grave{a}s$) 'yes; so; thus', $\check{s}ia\tilde{\imath}p$ ta $\tilde{\imath}p$ 'in some way or other', $ku\tilde{\imath}$ 'where', $kitu\tilde{\imath}$ 'elsewhere' (: $k\imath tas$), $kad\grave{a}$ 'when', $visad\grave{a}$ 'always'.

Mention should also be made of the formations in -(i)ui: apliñkui 'around', véltui 'in vain'.

There are also other types of adverb than those mentioned under 1 - 3 above, for instance, certain (petrified) case forms of nouns like namiē 'at home', namō 'home(wards)' laukè 'outdoors', laukañ (alongside the shortened form laūk) 'out (movement)', vakarè 'in the evening', šiandien 'today' There is also the question of adverbialization of certain locutions (e. g. iš greitūjų 'in a hurry').

Just like the pronoun (p. 79 f.), the adverb also distinguishes between an interrogative/relative, an indefinite and a negative series. Important interrogative/relative adverbs are: kur 'where', kadà 'when', kaīp 'how'. Within the series of indefinite adverbs the following should be mentioned: kur nórs 'somewhere, anywhere', kažin kur (kažkur) 'somewhere, anywhere', kada nórs 'sometime, anytime', kažin kadà (kažkadà) 'sometime, anytime', kaip nórs 'somehow', kažin kaīp 'somehow'. To the negative adverbs belong among others niekadà (or niekadōs) 'never', niēkur 'nowhere' and niēkaip 'in no way'.

Stress Rules

Since adverbs form a heterogeneous class exhaustive rules can hardly be given. The following guidelines, however, can be presented:

Most bisyllabic adverbs in -ai formed from Ist declensional adjectives have the stress on the final syllable (which is circumflexed), i. e. geraî 'well', šlapiaî 'moisty'. There are some exceptions to this principle, e. g. greîtai 'rapidly'.

Bisyllabic adverbs in -iai formed from IInd declensional adjectives (i. e. the -us/-i-type) show end stress if the root syllable is short (stipriai 'strongly'), but root stress if it is long (meiliai 'kindly').

Polysyllabic adverbs in -(i)ai formed from adjectives repeat (with some exceptions) the stress found in the nom. sg. of the corresponding adjective: laimingai 'happily': laimingas, atidžiai 'attentively': atidùs, but: maloniai 'pleasantly': malonùs, patogiai 'comfortably': patogùs.

Adverbs in -(i)ai derived from active participles have the stress on the same syllable as the oblique cases of the corresponding participle whereas adverbs formed on the basis of passive participles, repeat the stress of the participle if the latter has immobile stress, but show end stress if the participle follows a mobile stress pattern (for examples, see p. 173). The principle described for adverbs derived from passive participles also holds good for the adverbs in -tinai. Example: galutināi 'finally'.

The formations in -da, -(i)aip and -yn have end stress as already illustrated through examples quoted above. In terms of intonation adverbs in -da will automatically adopt the short accent whereas the other two show a circumflex intonation.

Degrees of Comparison

Adverbs in -(i)ai derived from qualitative adjectives of the Ist and IInd adjectival declensions allow the formation of comparative and superlative forms in -iaus and -iausiai respectively:

positive degree	comparative	superlative
gerai	geriaũ	geriáusiai
gražiai	gražiaũ	gražiáusiai

In dialects one also finds *-iaus* for the comparative, which makes it transparent that the comparative formative of adverbs contains the same element as the superlative of adverbs in contrast to adjectives, where the *-iaus*- element is restricted to the superlative. The dental stops -t-/-d- change into $-\check{c}-/-d\check{z}$ - before -(i)aus/iai: greitai: gre

Analytical superlatives like *visų̃ greičiáusiai* (synonymous with *greičiáusiai* alone) can also be heard.

Also certain other adverbs form comparative and superlative degrees, for instance, $da\tilde{u}g$ 'much': $daugia\tilde{u}$ 'more'. Note that adverbs which in the positive degree do not end in -(i)ai are in $-i\acute{a}usia$ in the superlative: $daugi\acute{a}usia$.

"Very fast: much faster" etc.

For the techniques, see under syntax below.

Accentuation

The stress pattern of adverbs in the comparative and superlative degree is very simple: in the comparative it is always end stressed (with circumflex intonation) whereas in the superlative the stress rests on the second syllable from the end (with acute intonation, i. e. -iáus-).

Syntax and Semantics of the Adverb

From a semantic point of view adverbs can be divided into: 1) of manner (greītai, malōnai, grasìnančiai), 2) of place (e. g. čià, teñ, kur, namō), 3) of time (tadà, kadà, visadà, dabar, šiandien), 4) of cause and purpose (dėl tō, todėl, dėl kō).

Syntactically adverbs can serve as modifiers of a verb (eiti greitai 'walk fast'), another adverb (eiti labai greitai 'walk very fast') or an adjective (labai skanūs pusryčiai 'a very good breakfast').

Illustrations of the use of the comparative and the superlative: Algis eina greitai, o Vytas greičiau, bet Zigmas greičiausiai (or: greičiau už visus) 'A. walks fast V. faster, but Z. fastest (of all).'

Whereas the adverb in the positive can be strengthened by *labaī*, in the comparative *daūg* must be used. Compare: *labaī geraī* 'very good (well)', *daūg geriaū* 'much better'. More bookish synonyms for *daūg* before a comparative are *žýmiai* and *pastebimaī* 'considerably'. A more colloquial nuance is observed in *šiek tíek*, whereas *ganà* is stylistically more neutral.

Within the group of *indefinite* adverbs the *nórs*-series is used in questions, the $ka\check{z}(in)$ -series otherwise, cf. the corresponding pronouns, p. 79 f.

Illustration: ar Jūs kada nors buvote Vilniuje? - Taip, kažkada. 'have you ever been in Vilnius?' Yes, sometime'. For the negative adverbs care should be taken for the double negation which is required when adverbs of this kind are accompanied by a verb, e. g. aš ten niekur nebuvau 'I have never been there'.

The Negation

There are four particles of negation in Lithuanian: ne-, $n\tilde{e}$, $n\tilde{e}\tilde{i}$ and $ni\tilde{e}$ -.

The most common one is *ne* which is written together with the word which is negated, most frequently a verb, e. g. *jis nekalba lietuviškai* 'he does not speak Lithuanian'. The combination *ne* + *yra* is contracted to *nėrà*.

The negated correspondance to utterances with a deleted copulative predicate of the type *jis studentas* 'he is a student' is *jis ne studentas* 'he is not a student'.

As illustrations of *ne*- with other word classes than verbs may serve: *neláimė* 'misfortune', *negēras* 'not good; bad', *neverta* 'not worth(y)', *nežìnomas* 'unknown', *neláuktas* 'unexpected'.

The *nė*-particle has a strengthening function, cf. the following examples: tu nė to nežinai 'you don't know even that', apie tai nė žodžio (cf. for the genitive p. 185) 'not a single word about that', šiandien gražus oras - nė debeselio 'to-day the weather is nice - not a single cloud', nė vienas 'not a single one'.

If $n\dot{e}$ is combined with another $n\dot{e}$, it can constitute a negated copulative pair, e. g. jis neturi $n\dot{e}$ tėvo, $n\dot{e}$ motinos 'he has neither a father nor a mother'.

The most common way of expressing 'neither - nor' in Lithuanian is, however, the combination nei + nei: nei šilta, nei šalta 'neither warm, nor cold'.

The negational element *nie*- is encountered with negated adverbs (cf. p. 176) and pronouns (p. 81 f.), e. g. *niekur* 'nowhere', *niekas* 'nobody, nothing'.

The *nie*-negation alone is not "strong" enough and must be reinforced by *ne*-, so-called *double negation*, e. g. *paramos iš niekur nelaukiu* 'I don't expect support from anywhere' (lit. 'I don't expect support from nowhere'). When accompanied by a verb, also the *nė*-negation requires reinforcement by *ne*, cf. the above example *tu nė to nežinai*.

Chapter 8

CASE

(Liñksnis)

A chapter on case should include both prepositional and non-prepositional use. However, certain considerations - both practical and theoretical - have led the author to treat prepositional functions in a separate chapter under the heading "Prepositions".

The syntactic functions of the five oblique cases in Lithuanian, i. e. all cases except the nominative and the vocative, can be of three kinds:

- 1) adnominal (= governed by a nominal word class, i. e. a noun, an adjective, a pronoun or a numeral),
- 2) adverbal (= governed by a verb),
- 3) adverbial (= with the same function as an adverb)

We consider this disposition a practical and adequate one despite the fact that 3) is not parallel with 1) and 2) since adverbial does not mean governed by an adverb, but functioning as an adverb. An alternative and in a way more consistent solution would have been to work with the notions 'government' (Lith. valdymas, see p. 214) and 'juxtaposition' (or 'adjunction', Lith. šliejìmas, Russian primykanie, p. 214), but in that case we would have had to put under the heading government what is dealt with both under 1) and (the bulk of) 2) above, which in our view would have meant a less transparent and practical disposition. Furthermore, the notion of primykanie/šliejìmas - well known in Russian and Lithuanian (and other 'East European') grammatical traditions, but less in the West - would be unfamiliar to many readers. (For more on 'government' and 'adjunction', see chapter on the sentence, especially p. 214.)

A third possibility, namely to follow a scheme *object* vs. *adverbial* relations would not have paid due attention to point 1).

Below we will proceed according to the scheme 1 - 3 and describe the functions of the cases in the following order: the genitive, the accusative, the instrumental, the dative and the locative. Finally, the functions of the two non-oblique cases, the nominative and the vocative, will be dealt with. CASE 179

THE GENITIVE

This is a widely used case in Lithuanian which is encountered both with and without prepositions (for prepositional use, see chapter "Prepositions").

The genitive has adnominal and adverbal functions whereas the adverbial seems to be absent.

Both under the adnominal and adverbal type a partitive and non-partitive function should be distinguished. The partitive genitive expresses a part of a certain quantity as for example in puodukas kavos (adnominal type) 'a cup of coffee' and išgerti kavos (adverbal type) 'to drink (some) coffee'. As examples illustrating non-partitive use may serve vaiko tėvas 'the boy's father' (adnominal type) and laukti traukinio 'to wait for the train' (adverbal type).

I. Adnominal Genitive

1. Non-Partitive Genitive

The governing word can here be a noun or an adjective.

A. The governing Word is a Noun

In this case the genitive is typically *preposited*. The following functional types should be distinguished:

- a) the possessive (in a broad sense encompassing both possession and belonging),
 - b) the subjective,
 - c) the objective,
 - d) the definitivus (explicativus),
 - e) the descriptive
 - f) that of material
 - a) The Possessive Genitive

Illustrations: sesers pinigai '(the) sister's money', Akademijos narys 'member of the Academy', universiteto studentai 'the students of (at) the university', žmogaus koja 'the man's foot'.

Note: For constructions like *mano/tavo pinigai/namas* 'my/your money/house', see p. 75 f.

180 CASE

It is noteworthy that instead of an adjective in languages like Slavic, Germanic and Romance Lithuanian will often use a noun in the genitive case, e. g. *lietuvių kalba* 'the Lithuanian language' (lit. 'the language of the Lithuanians'), cf. also point f) below.

b) the Subjective and c) the Objective Genitive

The governing words are here exclusively verbal nouns.

As examples of the *subjective genitive* may serve the noun phrases *keleivių atvykimas* 'the arrival of the travellers' and *Kolumbo atradimas* 'Columbus' discovery', cf. the corresponding verb phrases *keleiviai atvyksta* 'the travellers arrive' and *Kolumbas atrado* 'C. discovered' with *keleiviai* and *Kolumbas* in the function of subjects.

An example of the *objective* genitive is *Amerikos atradimas* 'the discovery of America', cf. *atrasti Ameriką* 'to discover America' with *Ameriką* as object). Observe that only verbal nouns which correspond with *transitive* (i. e. non-prepositional accusative governing) verbs can be construed with the objective genitive.

The subjective and objective genitive can be combined in Lithuanian, although many Lithuanians will find such constructions rather clumsy, cf. for example *Kolumbo Amerikos atradimas* 'C's discovery of A./the discovery of A. by C.'.

The combination of these two genitives does not seem to be possible in Slavic; thus, the Russians must replace the subjective genitive by the instrumental case, the Poles by the preposition *przez* which is parallel to the German solution with *durch* ('die Entdeckung Amerikas durch Kolumbus').

For the grammarian the problem arises whether the genitive *Kolumbo* in the locution *Kolumbo* (*Amerikos*) atradimas represents the objective genitive or the agentive (since the performer of actions in the passive voice in Lithuanian is in the genitive, see page 185 f. below). What we envisage here is a case of neutralization between two functions.

d) genitivus definitivus (explicativus)

As illustrated by the following examples this type of genitive is more widely used in Lithuanian than in many other languages:

profesoriaus titulas 'the title of professor', Vilniaus miestas 'the city of Vilnius', Nemuno upė 'the river Nemunas', Tiesos laikraštis 'the newspaper Tiesa'.

This genitive is labeled "definitivus" because the above noun phrases can be transformed into verb phrases of the following defining character: profesorius yra titulas, Vilnius yra miestas, Nemunas yra upė etc. It follows from this test that a word group like Vilniaus

universitetas does not belong to group d), but can only be interpreted as a).

e) the Descriptive Genitive

This genitive is illustrated by examples like *mergaitė mėlynų akių* 'a girl with blue eyes', *vyras plačių pečių* 'a man with broad shoulders'. A peculiarity of this genitive is its frequent postposition. It can, however, also be preposited and thus follow the mainstream model of the non-partitive genitive: *mėlynų akių mergaitė*, *plačių pečių vyras*.

Instead of the genitive a compound attributive adjective may be used: *melynakė mergaitė*, *plačiapetis vyras*. See also the adnominal instrumental below.

f) The Genitive of Material

This genitive denotes the material which something consists of: aukso žiedas 'golden ring, ring of gold', gintaro karoliai 'amber beads'.

Observe that constructions with prepositions cannot be used with this genitive in Lithuanian. In most cases, however, it can be substituted by an attributive adjective, i. e. *auksinis žiedas*, *gintariniai karoliai*.

B. The governing Word is an Adjective

Here belongs the adjective *ver̃tas* 'worthy of': *ji yra verta pagyr-imo* 'she is worthy of praise'.

2. The Partitive Genitive

The partitive adnominal genitive can be governed by a noun, an adjective, a numeral and certain other quantifiers.

With non-countable objects the genitive singular is used, with countable the plural.

A. The governing Word is a Noun

Unlike the non-partitive genitive, the partitive is *postposited*. Examples:

butelis alaus 'a bottle of beer', litras pieno 'a litre of milk', metras šilko 'one metre of silk', dauguma studentų 'the majority of the students'.

If the word order in *butelis alaus* is changed into *alaus butelis* the partitive meaning is lost. The latter combination means 'a beer bottle'.

B. The governing Word is an Adjective

The adjectives *pilnas* 'full', *turtingas* 'rich' and *reikalingas* 'in need of' require a partitive genitive: *stiklinė* (*yra*) *pilna vandens* 'the glass is full of water', *Norvegija* (*yra*) *turtinga naftos* 'Norway is rich in oil'; *mes buvome reikalingi pinigų* (rare construction) 'we were in need of money'.

C. The governing Word is a Numeral

In the realm of the adnominal partitive genitive also belongs the genitive required after certain numerals, namely the tens, the numbers 11-19 as well as *šimītas*, *milijōnas*, *milijārdas*: *dešimt/vienuolika/trisde-šimt/šimtas/milijonas draugų* 'ten/eleven/thirty/hundred/one million friends'.

But: In *trys/penki/dvidešimt trys/dvidešimt penki draugai* 'three/ five/twenty three/twenty five friends' there is agreement (p. 230) and not government between the numeral and the counted objects. See also chapter on numerals (p. 85 f.).

D. The governing Word is expressed by other Quantifiers

The partitive genitive is obligatory after quantifiers like $da\tilde{u}g$ 'much, many' and $m\tilde{a}\check{z}a$ 'little, few', $k\acute{l}ek$ 'how much/many?', cf. for example $daug/ma\check{z}a$ vyno'much/little wine', $daug/ma\check{z}a$ draugų 'many friends', $k\acute{l}ek$ vyno? 'how much wine?', $k\acute{l}ek$ draugų? 'how many friends?'

II. Adverbal Genitive

As pointed out above (p. 179) the adverbal genitive can be either partitive or non-partitive. In this section we will begin with

1. Partitive Function

A. Certain transitive verbs. A partitive genitive is encountered after some otherwise transitive verbs (i. e. verbs requiring a direct object in the accusative case) like for instance įteikti in mergaitė įteikė svečiui gėlių 'the girl handed flowers to the guest', pirkti in pirkti duonos, sviesto, sūrio, cukraus, miltų 'to buy (some) bread, butter, cheese, sugar and flour'.

The genitive is here contrasted with the accusative, cf. mergaitė įteikė svečiui gėles 'the girl handed the flowers to the guest', pirkti

duoną, sviestą, sūrį, cukrų ir miltus 'to buy (all) the bread, butter, cheese, sugar and flour (which is present)'.

Thus, an important opposition between the *genitive* expressing that only a *part* (hence the notion partitive) *of the object* is encompassed by the verbal action and the *accusative*, implying command of the *whole object*, is apparent.

This genitive: accusative case opposition often corresponds to the indefinite: definite opposition in languages which have developed a system of articles, such as for instance English, German and the Scandinavian languages.

Finally, mention should also be made of (colloquial, perhaps somewhat obsolete) expressions like *paskolink man peilio* 'let me have the knife (for a moment)' contrasted with the accusative construction *paskolink man peili* where the "partitive of time"-meaning is absent.

In Standard Russian the partitive function is restricted roughly to verbs belonging to the perfective aspect (compare for example *kupit'* (pf.) *xleba* (gen.) 'to buy (some) bread': *kupit'* (pf.) *xleb* 'to buy (all) the bread', but always *pokupat'* (ipf.) *xleb* (acc.) 'to buy (the) bread'. This principle is not observed in Lithuanian, cf. the imperfective *pirkti* above.

B. Existential Constructions

A similar *indefinite*: definite opposition is seen between the genitive and the nominative with the intransitive verb būti 'to be', cf. the following pair of examples: zooparke buvo liūtų, lokių ir leopardų 'in the zoo there were lions, bears and leopards' and Liūtai, lokiai ir leopardai buvo zooparke 'the lions, bears and the leopards were in the zoo'. Observe also the difference in word order (cf. p. 236 ff.).

Also synonyms of *būti* like *atsiràsti* 'appear', *pasiródyti* 'appear', *įvykti* 'happen, occur' allow the partitive construction: *laikraštyje* atsirado naujų faktų 'in the newspaper new facts turned up'.

C. The Genitive with Verbs with the Meanings 'to be lacking' and 'to be sufficient'

Here belong among other verbs *reikéti* 'to need', *trúkti* 'to be lacking', *pakàkti* 'to be sufficient': *mums reikia/trūksta/pakanka jėgų* 'we need/are lacking/have sufficient strength'. For type of construction, see p. 219 ff.

2. Non-Partitive Function

A. Genitive verbs. Quite a considerable number of Lithuanian verbs govern the genitive. They belong mainly to such semantic fields as

a) "striving for/towards" and b) "avoidance of". Genitive governing verbs with similar meanings are also found in a number of other Indo-European languages.

Common verbs under a) are: <code>ieškóti</code> (<code>buto/teisybės</code>) 'to look for (a flat/the truth)', <code>ilgĕtis</code> (<code>savo tėvų</code>) 'to long for (one's parents)', <code>kláusti</code> (<code>kelio</code>) 'ask for/about (the road/route)', <code>klausýti</code> (<code>tėvų</code>) 'to obey (the parents)', <code>klausýtis</code> (<code>muzikos</code>) 'to listen to (the music)', <code>láukti</code> (<code>traukinio</code>) 'to wait for (the train)', <code>laikýtis</code> (<code>dietos</code>) 'to keep/follow (the diet)', <code>linkĕti</code> (<code>kam viso gero</code>) 'to wish (sby the very best)', <code>mókytis</code> (<code>istorijos</code>) 'learn/study (history)', <code>mókyti</code> (<code>ka</code> lietuvių <code>kalbos</code>) 'to teach (somebody Lithuanian)', <code>norĕti</code> (<code>arbatos</code>) 'to want (tea)', <code>prašýti</code> (<code>ka</code> <code>pagalbos/pinigų</code>) 'to ask (sby for help/money'), <code>siekti</code> (<code>laimės</code>) 'strive for (happiness)', <code>tikĕtis</code> (<code>paramos</code>) 'to hope for (help)', <code>trókšti</code> (<code>taikos</code>) 'to thirst/yearn/long for peace'.

Under b) should be mentioned: bijóti or baidýtis (vilko) 'to be afraid of (the wolf)', išsigą̃sti (griaustinio) 'to be frightened (with/by the thunder)', gė́dytis (savo pasielgimo) 'to be ashamed about (one's behaviour)', sáugotis (traukinio) 'to mind (the train)', šalintis or véngti (žmonių) 'to avoid (people)'.

Not so easy to fit into a) and b) are verbs like *gailéti(s)* (*brolio*) 'to feel sorry for (the brother)', *nustóti* (*proto*) 'to lose (one's senses) and *pavydéti* (*kam turto*) 'to envy (sby his/her/their fortune)'.

Some comments on the verbs listed above are necessary:

Genitive-governing verbs are partly reflexive, partly non-reflexive. A reflexive genitive-governing verb may be opposed to a transitive (= accusative requiring verb), e. g. baidýtis 'to be afraid of' vs. baidýti 'to frighten'. In the meaning 'to learn (thoroughly/by heart)' the reflexive mókytis takes the accusative: mokytis pamoką 'to learn the lesson'. In the sense of 'to beg, urge' the verb prašýti normally requires the accusative (of the person), e. g. prašyti dievą 'ask God', but also the genitive occurs. Thus, this verb may be accompanied by a double set of genitives: jis pradėjo kvailučio prašyti tų vaistų 'he began asking the fool about those medicines'. There are also other verbs in the list above which combine with more than one object (accusative + genitive, dative + genitive).

With certain verbs a partitive nuance can be felt (e. g. norėti arbatos, prašyti pinigų).

For genitive-governing verbs with the meanings 'to be lacking' and 'to be sufficient', see under the partitive function above.

B. Negative Constructions

a) Whereas in positive statements the direct object of transitive verbs is in the accusative case, in the corresponding negated sentences the

object will be in the genitive, cf. aš nusipirkau naują dviratį 'I have bought a new bicycle': aš nenusipirkau naujo dviračio 'I have not bought a new bicycle'. This rule is strictly observed in Lithuanian, but only (as in the above example) when the whole sentence, and not a specific word alone, is negated as in the following case: aš nusipirkau ne naują dviratį, o/bet mašiną 'I haven't bought a new bicycle, but a car' in which case the accusative must be used.

The genitive rule should also be applied in cases with a negated auxiliary + an infinitive of a transitive verb as, for instance, in as nenoriu pirkti dviračio 'I don't want to buy a bicycle'. Only when there is a long distance between the auxiliary and the relevant infinitive is vacillation between the genitive and the accusative admitted: as nenoriu siandien eiti į parduotuvę (ir) pirkti dviračio/dviratį 'I don't want to go to the shop and (to) buy a bicycle to-day'.

The origin of this genitive of negation which is also found in Slavic with parallels in Balto-Finnic languages, remains obscure. Some scholars hold it to be of an ablative (deprivative) nature (cf. the A-verb sphere in the preceding paragraph), while others tend to connect it with the partitive.

b) The (logical) subject (= grammatical object) of negated existential and related constructions is always in the genitive in Lithuanian, cf. for example: zooparke nebuvo liūtų 'in the zoo there were no lions', tėvo nėra namie '(the) father is not at home'. In the corresponding positive construction the lexeme tėv- must be in the nominative since it is the grammatical subject of the sentence: tėvas yra namie 'father is at home'. For logical subjects in the genitive in positive statements, see p. 183 above.

The use of a non-nominative form in the function of logical subject in existential constructions also has parallels in Slavic and Balto-Finnic languages.

c) the Agentive

The agentive (or agent) which is the performer of the action in formally passive constructions, is expressed in Lithuanian by the genitive: tėvų mylimas vaikas 'a child loved by its parents', tėvo/mano parašytas laiškas 'a letter written by the father/by me', laiškas buvo tėvo/mano parašytas 'the letter was written by (the) father/by me'. Observe that with the personal pronouns àš and tù the forms màno and tàvo, and not *manęs, tavęs are used in the function of the agent. With respect to word order it should be noticed that the agent is placed immediately before the passive participle. Care should, however, be taken with cases like draugo išversta knyga and išversta draugo knyga

which are not identical in meaning since the first means 'the book translated by the (my) friend' while the second can mean only 'the translated book which belongs to the (my) friend'.

For the instrumental case in agent-like functions, see under the instrumental.

The chapter on voice should be consulted for further information on the passive (p. 139 ff.).

The agentive seems to be on the borderline between the adverbal and adverbial function, but since the Lithuanian genitive seems to lack obvious adverbial functions it is practical to label it adverbal.

The same may be said about:

d) The Genitive of Purpose

The genitive of purpose is encountered after verbs of motion as for example in: eisim grybu/uogu 'let's go and/in order to collect mushrooms/berries'.

Close to this function is also the genitive found in the grammatical context verb of motion + a dependent infinitive of a transitive verb, e. g.: vaikai išbėgo tėvo pasitikti 'the children ran out to welcome their father'.

Under this heading mention may further be made of the type kq virsi pietų? 'what are you preparing for dinner?' (alongside kq virsi pietums?).

The locution (pa)sveikinti ka gimtadienio 'to congratulate somebody on his/her birthday', however, seems to be somewhat obsolete; to-day one would prefer to say (pa)sveikinti ka su gimimo diena.

THE ACCUSATIVE

The accusative may to some extent be said to be in a state of complementary distribution with the genitive as far as its adverbal functions are concerned (cf. the non-partitive direct object in positive statements in contrast to the partitive genitive object and to the genitive object in negative constructions). Furthermore, the adverbal function is the only one which the accusative and the genitive have in common since the accusative lacks the adnominal function and the genitive the adverbal which - alongside the adverbal - is of such vital importance with the accusative.

I. Adverbal Accusative

Lithuanian verbs can govern different oblique cases, the genitive, the accusative, the dative, the instrumental and the locative. The absolute majority of the verbs, however, require the accusative (as a direct object) and are called *transitive*, e. g. skaityti knygą 'to read a book', (pa)statyti namą 'to build a house'.

A feature characteristic of verbs with the accusative is that they can be transferred from active to passive forms, cf. rašyti laišką/statyti namą 'to write a letter/to build a house': (pa)rašytas laiškas/statomas namas 'a written letter/a house which is being built'.

In Slavic languages reflexive verbs are as a rule not capable of governing the accusative case and are therefore intransitive. This restriction is not observed in Baltic where an accusative object can also be governed by a reflexive verb, cf. for example darbininkas aunasi specialius darbo batus 'the worker puts on special worker's boots', jis statosi naują namą 'he is building a new house'. The meaning of the reflexive is here 'in one's own interest'. See also under the dative case below.

Since the number of verbs governing other cases than the accusative is rather limited, it is customary to present lists of verbs governing the other oblique cases, but not the accusative because such a list would be very long and therefore impossible in a grammar. It belongs naturally in the dictionary.

Also more than one object may occur. Thus, the combintion dative + accusative is frequent, following the formula the indirect object (denoting a person) in the dative, the direct (denoting a thing) in the accusative, e. g. duoti/siųsti/rašyti kam (dat.) ką (acc.) 'to give/send/write sby sth'.

The verb *mókyti* 'to teach' (which was also referred to under the genitive above) combines with *accusative* + *genitive*, e. g. *mokytojas moko mokinius* (acc.) *matematikos* (gen.) 'the teacher teaches the pupils mathematics'.

II. Adverbial Accusative

As in a number of other Indo-European case languages the accusative covers the adverbial functions of:

- a) time,
- b) measure and quantity (other than time).
- a) can be divided into three subdivisions:

- 1. duration (answering the question how long?): jis išbuvo čia visą dieną/valandą 'he was here (for) a whole day/one hour'.
- 2. frequency (answering the question how often?): ji ateidavo čia kiekvieną dieną/kas valandą 'she came here every day/every hour'.
- 3. time units such as days of the week, seasons of the year (answering the question when?): jis buvo čia sekmadienį/dieną/naktį/praėjusią savaitę/rudenį 'he was here on Sunday/during the day (in the daytime)/in (during) the night/last week/in the autumn'.
- b) answers the questions how much/far?: šita knyga kainuoja (vieną) litą 'this book costs one litas', siuntinys sveria (vieną) kilogramą 'the parcel weighs one kilogramme', jis nuvažiavo penkis kilometrus 'he travelled five kilometres'.

THE INSTRUMENTAL

The instrumental is widely implemented in Lithuanian and has all three basic functions, i. e. 1) the adnominal, 2) the adverbal, and 3) the adverbal.

I. Adnominal Instrumental

A. Nouns governing the Instrumental Case

Except for verbal nouns in -i/ymas (cf. p. 166 f.) derived from verbs construed with the instrumental, there seem to be very few nouns governing the instrumental in Lithuanian. One example would be prekyba kuo nors 'trade, commerce with sth'. The noun prekyba is related to the verb prekiauti 'trade' which equally requires the instrumental.

Beside the *descriptive* genitive (see p. 181 above) a synonymous construction with the instrumental is also found: *mėlynų akių mergaitė*: *mergaitė mėlynomis akimis* 'a girl with blue eyes'. In the following case only the instrumental is possible: *mergaitė dryžuota suknele* 'a girl in a striped dress'.

B. Adjectives and Participles Governing the Instrumental Case

Only very few adjectives and adjectivized participles can govern the instrumental case. An adequate example is *patenkintas* 'satisfied' (e. g. *viskuo patenkintas* 'satisfied/pleased with everything'). In other cases the meaning is usually 'with respect to' (as for example in *sotus duona* 'satisfied with bread') which can be regarded as a predictable (adverbial) instrumental.

II. Adverbal Instrumental

A. Instrumental Object with Autosemantic Verbs

There are a number of verbs in Lithuanian which may be said to govern the instrumental case. The most important are the following (characteristically *reflexive*) verbs:

didžiúotis kuo 'to be proud of sth', domětis kuo 'to be interested in sth', gìrtis kuo 'boast of sth', grožětis kuo 'admire sth', naudótis kuo 'to make use of, profit /benefit by sth', pasižyměti kuo 'to distinguish oneself through sth', rúpintis kuo 'to care for sby/sth'. In these cases the instrumental is not too far from the predictable instrumental of the instrument (see under adverbial instrumental below), but not so transparent that a list is superfluous. The verb naudótis can also be used without the reflexive, but is then a transitive (requiring the accusative case), e. g. naudoti gamtos turtus 'to exploit the resources of nature' whereas domětis has a transitive counterpart in dőminti: mane domina ši problema 'I am interested in this problem; this problem interests me'. The solution with the reflexive verb would be: aš domiuosi šia problema.

Further reflexives with the instrumental are: atsikratýti kuo (or: nuo ko) 'to get rid of sby/sth', skústis kuo 'to complain of sby/sth', džiaugtis kuo 'to be glad/happy for sth.', stebětis kuo 'to be surprised at sth' and žavětis kuo 'to be excited by sth'.

Among the few non-reflexive verbs governing the instrumental should be mentioned: kvepěti (with synonyms) kuo 'to smell sth' (e. g. kvepia šienu 'there is a smell of hey'), prekiáuti kuo 'to trade with sth', sèkti kuo 'to follow sby/sth (in the meaning 'to imitate', e. g. sekti mada 'to follow the fashion'), siřgti kuo 'to be ill with sth' and tikěti kuo 'to believe in sby/sth'. Observe that the reflexive tikětis has quite another meaning, namely 'hope (for)' and requires the genitive: tikėtis paramos 'to hope for help' (cf. p. 184 above).

B. Instrumental after Copulative Verbs

Copulative verbs are verbs with the meanings 'to be, become, pretend, turn out to be' and certain others.

In a number of languages to the South and East of the Baltic Sea the nominal component of the predicate is expressed partly by the nominative, partly by an oblique case which in Baltic (and Slavic) is the instrumental.

Examples from Lithuanian: jis yra/bus/buvo gydytojas/gydytoju 'he is/will be/was a physician', jis nori būti gydytoju 'he wants to be

(become) a physician': jis tapo gydytoju 'he became a physician', jis dedasi/apsimeta gydytoju 'he pretends to be a physician'. The use of the instrumental seems to be obligatory after other copulative verbs than $b\bar{u}ti$, after which both the nominative and the instrumental are encountered. After the infinitive $b\bar{u}ti$ the instrumental prevails.

In the cases demonstrated so far, the nominal component of the predicate refers to the *subject*. This is also the case in *jis laikomas gydytoju* 'he is held/regarded to be a physician' and *jis buvo išrinktas prezidentu* 'he was elected president', but these expressions can be transformed into constructions like *ji laikė gydytoju*, *ji išrinko prezidentu* 'they regarded him as a physician (he was regarded as a physician)', 'they elected him president (he was elected president)' with an accusative object followed by a *predicative noun in the instrumental case referring to the object*. This *object predicative* after transitive verbs with the meanings 'elect, regard as' and the like can be expressed only by the instrumental, not by the accusative.

III. The Adverbial Instrumental

The instrumental has a wide range of adverbial functions. The most important are:

- a) that of the *instrument* (which has given rise to the name of the case):
- kirsti kirviu 'to chop with (i.e. with the help of) an axe', rašyti pieštuku 'to write with a pencil', važiuoti autobusu 'to go by bus', groti fleita 'to play a flute',
- b) the way in which an action is conducted: dainuoti sopranu 'to sing soprano', dirbti visomis jėgomis 'to work with all one's strength' (more commonly, however, one would say: iš visų jėgų), kalbėti bosu 'to speak in a deep voice'
- c) that of the *place*: eiti lauku/mišku 'to cross the meadow/the forest', keliauti oru/vandeniu 'to travel through the air/the water'
- d) that of the *cause* or *reason*: sirgti gripu 'to be ill with/because of influenza', mirti badu 'to die of hunger'.

In functions b), c) and d) the connection with that of the instrument in a) is transparent. This meaning is less visible in:

e) certain expressions of *time* (cf. also the adverbial accusative above as well as p. 203 ff. below). Illustrations: *tuo metu/laiku* 'at that time' (with the shortened variant *tuomet*), with the plural: *šiais metais* '(in) this year' (*mētai* 'year' is a *plurale tantum*, cf. p. 51 f.), *kitais metais* 'next year' (alongside *kìtamet*), *praėjusiais metais* 'last year'

(synonymous with *pernai*), tai įvyko tūkstantis devyni šimtai devyniasdešimt pirmais metais 'this happened in the year 1991', šiomis dienomis 'these days', rytais 'in the mornings', vakarais 'in the evenings'.

In some cases the instrumental can be replaced by the accusative. Thus, both *tuo kartu* and *tą kartą* '(at) that time' are possible. Doublets of the same kind are further *šiuo kartu* : *šį kartą* and *kitu kartu* : *kitą kartą*.

The Agentive

On the borderline between an object and an adverbial is the performer of an action (or the agent/ive) in the passive voice. This function is expressed not by the instrumental as in Slavic, but by the genitive, see p. 186 above. The instrumental in this function is obsolete in Lithuanian, but is encountered in certain cases like, for instance, kiemas aptvertas tvora 'the yard is surrounded by a fence' in opposition to kiemas apsuptas medžių 'the yard is surrounded by trees' with the genitive. In the former construction tvora is felt more like an instrument ('with') than an (acting) agent.

Observe finally the corroborating function of $ku\tilde{o}$ before comparatives and superlatives: $kuo\ geriausias$ 'the very best' For $ku\tilde{o}$ - $tu\tilde{o}$ 'the - the', see p. 228.

THE DATIVE

The dative is most frequently used with persons, to a lesser degreee with things. It has often the meaning of a logical subject. In a number of cases the dative is not necessary to obtain a correct grammatical construction, but its absence would modify the meaning.

I. Adnominal Dative

1. The governing Word is a Noun

The dative case may be accompanied by *nouns* (in -i/ymas and others), corresponding to verbs requiring the dative (see below): patarimas kam 'advice to sby', padėkà kam 'gratitude towards sby', simpātija kam 'sympathy for sby'. The dative is also found with nouns derived from adjectives governing this case, e. g. gabumai matematikai 'talent for mathematics', as well as certain others, e. g. meilė tėvynei 'love for the fatherland'.

2. The governing Word is an Adjective

a) More typical, however, is the dative required by a number of adjectives, the most important being: artimas 'close, near', bendras 'common to', gabùs 'gifted/talented for', lýgus 'similar to, identical with', míelas 'dear', naudìngas/reikalìngas 'useful, necessary', pavo-jingas 'dangerous', pažístamas 'known', príešingas 'hostile', tolýgus 'equal', skolìngas 'indebted', tinkamas 'fit for'.

Illustrations: vertimas (yra) artimas originalui 'the translation is close to the original', ji (yra) gabi kalboms 'she is talented for languages', dukart du lygu (neutral form) keturiems (or more common: dukart du - keturi) 'two times two is four', visuomenei pavojingas 'dangerous to society', jis man skolingas 100 litų 'he owes me 100 litas', sėklos tinkamos sėjai 'the seeds are fit for sowing'.

In most of these cases (as in the subsequent section with neuter form adjectives) the student will quite easily master the dative on the basis of the general meanings of this case. Exceptions are adjectives with the meaning of similarity and equality. They have parallels in many other Indo-European languages (cf., for example German *gleich mir* 'like me', Russian *ravno čemu-n*. 'like something').

b) The dative is further used with many adjectives in the *neuter* form (cf. also p. 68 f.). Illustrations: *man šalta/karšta* 'I feel cold/hot', *man lengva/sunku (kalbėti lietuviškai)* 'it is easy/difficult for me (to speak Lithuanian)', *man smagu* 'it is pleasant for me (it is a pleasure for me)', *man patogu* 'it is convenient for me', *man įdomu* 'it is interesting for me', *man nuobodu* 'I am bored', *man liūdna (girdėti)*, *kad* 'I am/feel sorry (to hear) that'. Although historically nouns, *gėda* and *gaila* can also be mentioned here: *man gėda* 'I am/feel ashamed', *man gaila jo* 'I feel sorry for him' (observe the genitive required after *gaila*).

3. The Dative of Age

Finally, mention should be made of the dative of age: Kiek Jums metų? 'How old are you?'. Man penkiasdešimt metų 'I am 50 years old'.

II. Adverbal Dative

1. Dative + Accusative

The dative is used in the function of an *indirect* object referring to the person or *addressee* with *transitive* verbs accompanied by a *direct* accusative object, for example: duoti/rašyti/(pa)sakyti/siųsti etc. kam (dative) kq (acc.) 'to give/write/say/send sth to sby', cf. also the well known German formula *einem etwas geben* etc. Reference should also be made to the accusative above.

2. Dative as the only Object

A group of *intransitive* verbs known in grammatical terminology as *verba commodi* et *incommodi*, i. e. verbs denoting 'to somebody's benefit or disadvantage', require a dative object as the *only* object. The following lists of verbs can be given:

A. Verba commodi:

atléisti 'forgive', dėkóti 'to thank', padėti/pagėlbėti 'to help', patikti 'to please', pritarti 'to approve', simpatizuoti 'to sympathize with', tarnauti 'to serve'.

Illustrations: atleiskite man 'forgive me', aš padėjau broliui 'I helped my brother', autorius jai simpatizuoja 'the author has sympathy with her'.

The construction with *patìikti* should be specially noted since the verb is always in the 3rd person, e. g. *man/tau/jam/jai/mums/jums/jiems/joms patinka arbata/paveikslai* 'I/you/he/she/we/they like tea/the paintings' (with *arbata/paveikslai* in the nominative in the function of grammatical subject, cf. German *mir gefällt der Tee/mir gefallen die Bilder* or Russian *mne nravitsja čaj/mne nravjatsja kartiny* construed according to the same model).

B. Verba incommodi:

grasinti 'to threaten', (pa)keñkti (dial.) 'to hurt, cause damage to', pavyděti 'to envy', prieštaráuti 'to contradict; to object to; to defy'.

Examples: negrasinkite man 'don't threaten me', jis pavydi draugui laimės (gen.) 'he envies his friend his luck', liudytojų parodymai prieštarauja vienas kitam 'the statements of the witnesses contradict one another'.

Further mention should be made of some additional dative-governing verbs which are not so easy to incorporate in the framework of the *verba commodi et incommodi*. Here belong verbs like *atsakýti kam* 'to answer sby', *atstováuti kam* 'to represent sby/sth', *priklausýti kam* 'belong to sby' and *ruõštis kam* 'to prepare for sth'.

Examples: ji man atsakė, kad 'she answered me that', jie atstovauja lietuvių tautai 'they represent the Lithuanian people', ji ruošiasi egzaminui 'she is preparing for the exam', viskas priklauso mums 'everything belongs to us', cf. German alles gehört uns, Russian vsë prinadležit nam. Observe that the verb atitikti 'to correspond to, satisfy' does not take the dative, but the accusative: atitikti tikrovę 'to correspond to the facts'.

3. Dative in Impersonal Constructions

Important is also the dative with certain verbs in *impersonal* constructions, i. e. constructions without a grammatical nominative subject (cf. p. 220). Here belong the (reflexive and non-reflexive) verbs atródyti/ródytis 'to seem', reikëti 'to have to; to need', sèktis 'to succeed', skaudëti 'to hurt' trūkti 'to be lacking', and tèkti 'to have to'.

Illustrations: man atrodo/rodos, kad 'it seems to me that', ko (gen.) jums reikia? 'what do you want?', man reikia eiti 'I have to go/leave', man trūksta pinigų (gen.) 'I am in lack of money', jai visada sekasi 'she is always fortunate', man skauda galvą (accusative) 'I have a headache', mums teko stovėti 'we had to stand'.

In this place it also seems practical to put the *dative* of adjectival words, e. g. *uolùs* 'diligent', *atidùs* 'attentive') *after a copulative verb* in the infinitive in constructions of the following type:

studentams reikia būti uoliems 'the students have to be diligent', jam reikia būti vienam 'he has to be alone'. The predicative adjective agrees with the logical subject (studentams; jam) not only in gender and number, but also in case. In general statements the logical subject is deleted, but the dative of the predicative adjective must be retained - preferably in the unmarked masc. sg. form: reikia būti atidžiam 'one has to be attentive', but also reikia būti atidiems/atidžioms (masc./fem. pl.) is heard.

4. Dative + Infinitive

Finally, mention should be made of the *dative* + *infinitive* construction in (direct and indirect) questions (cf. p. 221). Examples: kq man (pa)daryti? 'what shall I do?', kur mums eiti? 'where shall we go?', jis paklausė, kur mums eiti 'he asked where we should go'.

Here mention can also be made of the type man nėra kur eiti 'I have nowhere to go'.

III. Adverbial Dative

Candidates for this function are:

a) the *dative of time* which can be used after verbs like *sustoti/stabtelėti* 'to stop': *aš stabtelėjau sekundei* 'I stopped for a second', but not with verbs denoting a longer duration, such as *stovėti* 'to stand' or *būti* 'to be';

b) the dative of *intent and purpose*, cf. for example *šepetys batams valyti* 'a brush for cleaning the boots' in contrast to, for example, *aš gavau nurodymą* (acc.) *valyti batus* 'I have got an order to clean the shoes' and *aš neturiu dantų riešutams krimsti* 'I haven't got teeth for eating nuts' vs. *aš neturiu laiko riešutus* (acc.) *krimsti* 'I have no time for eating nuts'.

Dative with Gerunds

For this so-called absolute dative, see p. 155 f.

THE LOCATIVE

The Lithuanian locative can never be governed by a preposition. The historical reason for this is that the locative marker reflects the merger of a regular case ending with a *postposition* (cf. p. 38).

The locative of Lithuanian has both the adnominal, adverbal and adverbial functions with the latter as the overwhelmingly dominant one.

As examples of the *adnominal* and *adverbal* functions respectively may serve: *dalyvavimas kame* 'participation in sth' and *dalyváuti kame* 'participate in sth'.

The adverbial functions of the locative are:

- a) that of place (which has given name to the case),
- b) that of time.

Examples of a) are: butelyje 'in the bottle', gatvėje 'in the street', kišenėje 'in the pocket', lovoje 'in (the) bed', name 'in the house', bibliotekoje 'in the library', universitete 'at the university', mokykloje 'at school', mieste 'in the town/city', miške 'in the forest', kelionėje 'on the way (= unterwegs)', kautynėse 'in the battle(s)'.

Observe that as a rule of thumb the 'in'-dimension is rendered by the locative whereas the 'on'-dimension is expressed through the preposition ant 'on' + the genitive (e. g. ant stalo 'on the table'), cf. further lovoje 'in the bed' vs. ant lovos 'on the bed'. The relation between the in- and the on-dimension, however, is not always as simple and clearcut as in the examples just referred to and may cause some trouble to the student. Cases like žirge 'on the horse', stale 'on the table' encountered in folk songs (the dainos) are in the modern Standard

language replaced by the preposition $a\tilde{n}t$ + the genitive. In lauke 'outdoors' a near to full adverbialization is observed.

As illustrations of b) may serve: ateityje 'in the future', dabartyje 'at present', praeityje 'in the past', senovėje 'in the old days; in ancient times', vidurdieny(je) 'at noon', vidurvasary(je) 'in the middle of the summer' ('in the summer', however, must be rendered by vasarą, see p. 188 and 204).

Under b) mention may also be made of ceremonial *pluralia* tantum (p. 51) like *vestuvėse* 'at the wedding (party)', *laidotuvėse* 'at the funeral', *krikštynose* 'at the baptism'.

THE NOMINATIVE

The nominative case of Lithuanian has three main functions:

- 1) as grammatical subject in two part sentences (see p. 218 f.) which can be in the active or passive voice (p. 139 ff.), e. g. vaikas piešia (šunį) 'the child is drawing (a dog)' and namas (yra) statomas 'the house is being built';
- 2) as the *only principal member* in so-called *nominative* one part sentences (p. 221 f.), e. g. *vakaras* '(it is) evening', and
- 3) as part of the *nominal predicate* (p. 211), i. e. in the form of a *nominal wordclass* (i. e. noun, adjective, pronoun, numeral) after a *copulative* verb (p. 211), e. g. *jis* (yra) vaikas 'he is a child', jis yra jaunas 'he is young'.

In function 3) the nominative overlaps with the instrumental case. For distributional criteria, see p. 190.

In connection with 3) mention may also be made of the nominative after *kaip* 'as' in constructions of the type *jis yra žinomas kaip labai įdomus rašytojas* 'he is known as a very interesting writer'.

A last, but marginal function of the nominative is encountered in certain *appositions* (see p. 216 and 235), e. g. *straipsnyje "Trečias frontas"* 'in the article "The third Front".

The nominative can also function as an adverbial of time, cf. for example, [...] ugnis šviečia diena naktis (Maironis) '[...] the fire is burning day and night', but such constructions are now felt to be somewhat obsolete. Observe the type kas 5 metai 'every 5 years'.

THE VOCATIVE

The vocative is the case of address.

Examples: *Mielas* (or: *mielasis*) *tėve/tėvai* 'dear father', *miela* (or: *mieloji*) *mama* 'dear mother', *mieli(eji) tėvai* 'dear parents'. Note that the noun *ponas* 'Mr., Sir' is not used in the vocative. Thus, one will say, for example *Ponas Girdeni!* 'Mr. Girdenis!'.

As mentioned (pp. 38 and 41 above) and demonstrated through the examples just given the vocative has a form of its own only in the singular. In the plural it is always identical in form with the nominative.

Only nouns, not adjectives (or pronouns), have a specific vocative form (unless they are substantivized as for instance in *o*, *vargai* 'o, (you) poor' (in folksongs also *o*, *varge*).

Chapter 9

PREPOSITIONS

(Príelinksniai)

This is a natural continuation of the immediately preceding chapter *Case* which we found convenient to reserve almost exclusively for non-prepositional usage even though a full description of case should include prepositions as well. The purpose of this chapter on the prepositions is to give a brief survey of the prepositions most commonly encountered in contemporary Standard Lithuanian with information on the case(s) required after them as well as their meanings presented in simple, illustrative examples. A less practically orientated grammar should in addition have paid attention to a number of theoretical, semantic and grammatical aspects concerning prepositions and case grammar.

In certain cases *prepositions* and *adverbs* (for example *artì* 'near' and *žemiaū* 'under, below') show the same form in Lithuanian, cf. the chapter on adverbs. The same phenomenon can be observed in many other IE languages as well (for instance in Slavic and Germanic).

A similar overlapping also exists between certain *prepositions* and *prefixes* (cf. for example i, $i\check{s}$: i-, $i\check{s}$ -); in other cases there are divergences as illustrated by su (preposition and preverb) : sa/san/sam-(nominal prefix) whereas in still other cases there is no (formal) connection between preposition and prefix at all, cf. p. 169 f. above.

Some prepositions represent petrified nouns (as for example $an\grave{a}pus$ 'on the other side' which consists of the pronominal stem an- + the noun $p\grave{u}s$ - \dot{e} 'side', which explains that this preposition governs the genitive (from a historical point of view this is an adnominal genitive, see the preceding chapter).

From the point of view of their origin a preposition like $an\grave{a}pus$ is secondary in contrast with, for example, $p\~{o}$ which can be labeled primary.

The accusative, genitive, instrumental and marginally the dative can be governed by prepositions in Lihuanian, whereas the locative and, of course, the nominative and the vocative - cannot. Genetically speaking, cases like the locative and the marginally used illative (see p. 18) have an "imbedded" postposition.

Lithuanian prepositions are as a rule preposited. Only some very few are either preposited or postposited. One (de ka) is always postposited and hence sensu strictu a postposition even though it is treated under the same heading as the prepositions.

Prepositions can be grouped according to their meanings. Thus, prepositions 1) of *place*, 2) of *time*, 3) *purpose*, 4) *comparison*, 5) *cause* and 6) others can be distinguished.

1. Prepositions of Place

a) non-directional:

añt (+ genitive) 'on': Ant stalo guli knyga 'there is a book on the table'

virš (+ genitive) 'over': Virš lovos kabo lempa 'a lamp is hanging over the bed'

aukščiau (+ genitive) 'above': aukščiau jūros lygio 'above sea level'

 $p\tilde{o}$ (+ instrumental) 'under': *šuo guli po stalu* 'the dog is lying under the table'. After verbs of motion this preposition can also be directional, still requiring the instrumental.

uz (+genitive) 'behind': Jie stovi uz garazo 'they are standing behind the garage'. This preposition can also be directional (after verbs of motion), but will still govern the genitive.

prieš (+ accusative) 'before, in front of': jie stovi prieš garažą 'they are standing in front of the garage'

prie (+ genitive) 'at, by': Kėdė stovi prie stalo 'the chair is standing by the table', Frankfurtas prie Maino 'Frankfurt upon Main', prie manęs 'in my presence'

šalià (+ genitive) 'at, by, next to': *Kėdė stovi šalia stalo* 'the chair is standing by/next to the table'.

ties (+ instrumental) 'at, by': Kėdė stovi ties stalu 'the chair is standing by the table'

pàs (+ accusative) 'at somebody's place; with somebody' : jis yra/gyvena pas mus 'he is/(staying)/living with us'

anàpus (+ genitive) 'on the other side': anapus upės 'on the other side of the river'

abipus (+ genitive) 'on both sides of': abipus upės 'on both sides of the river'

netoli (+ genitive) 'not far from, near': netoli centro 'not far from the center'

tarp (+ genitive) 'between; among': ryšiai tarp Lietuvos ir Norvegijos 'the ties (connections) between Lithuania and Norway'.

b) directional:

į (+ accusative) 'to, into, onto the top': važiuoti į Vilnių 'to go to Vilnius'; įėjome į kambarį 'we went into the room'; sunku kopti į aukštą kalną 'it is heavy (difficult) to climb into/onto/up (to) a high hill'

pàs (+ accusative) 'to' (about persons and animals): ji atėjo pas mus 'she came to us'

nuõ (+ genitive) 'down (from)': nukristi nuo stogo 'to fall down from the roof'; ji gavo laišką nuo manęs 'she got a letter from me'

iš (+ genitive) 'from, out of': atvykti iš Rygos/iš Latvijos 'to come/arrive from Riga/from Latvia', išimti iš kišenės 'to take out from the pocket'.

Here a couple of double prepositions (equally with the genitive) can also be mentioned: $i\check{s}\ p\tilde{o}$ 'from below'(e.g. $i\check{s}\ po\ stalo$ 'from below/under the table') and $i\check{s}\ u\check{z}$ 'from behind' ($i\check{s}\ u\check{z}\ debesu$ 'from behind the clouds').

apiē/aplink (+ accusative) 'around': Apėjau apie/aplink namą 'I went around the house'

 $p\tilde{o}$ (+ accusative) 'in, about': $vaik\check{s}\check{c}ioti\ po\ kambari/laukus$ 'walk about the room/in the fields'

prõ (+ accusative) 1) 'past', 2) 'through': 1) Mašina važiuoja pro paštą 'the car is driving past/passing by the post office', 2) pro langą/skylę 'through the window/the hole'

per (+ accusative) 1) 'across', 2) 'over', 3) 'via': 1) Vaikai bėga per gatvę 'the children are running across the street', 2) Jie peršoko per tvorą 'they jumped over (across) the fence', 3) vykti per Kopenhagą 'to go via Copenhagen'

palei (+ accusative) 'along': eiti palei kranta 'to walk along the shore'.

2. Prepositions of Time

põ (+ genitive) 'after, in': Tėvas sugrįžo po savaitės/jis sugrįš po minutės 'the father returned after a week/he will be back in a minute'

prieš (+ accusative) 1) 'before', 2) 'ago': 1) prieš pietus/karą 'before dinner/the war', 2) prieš dvejus metus 'two years ago' $nu\tilde{o}/i\tilde{s}$ (+ genitive) 'from': Jis nuo vaikystės mėgsta piešti 'he has

liked painting from (since) his childhood'; Lagaminą susikroviau iš vakaro 'I packed my suitcase from the evening'

į̃ (+accusative) 'by, towards': į vakarą pradėjo lyti 'towards the evening it started raining'

apiē/į (+ accusative) 'about, around': Susitarėme susitikti apie/į penktą valandą 'we agreed to meet about five o'clock'

ikì /lìgi (+ genitive) 'till, until': Darbą reikia užbaigti iki/ligi sekmadienio 'the work has to be finished by Sunday'. Note that in a couple of fixed expressions the dative case is used after iki: iki šiai dienai (alongside the genitive construction iki šios dienos) 'up to this day'.

3. Prepositions of Purpose

 $\dot{u}\dot{z}/\bar{i}$ (+ accusative) 'for': mirti už laisvę 'to die for freedom'; pasiprašiau į nakvynę 'I asked for (the possibility of) lodging for the night'; mokėti(s) už ką 'to pay for something (as repayment for)'.

4. Prepositions of Comparison

 $\grave{u}\check{z}$ (+ accusative) 'than': Brolis (yra) vyresnis už seserį 'the brother is older than his sister' (or by way of alternative the conjunction negù + the nominative: Brolis (yra) vyresnis negu sesuo).

5. Prepositions of Cause

del (+ genitive) 'because of': Nesijaudink del smulkmenų 'don't worry because of trifles', del tavęs 'because of you'

nuõ (+ genitive) 'from, of, with': *žmogus mirė nuo vėžio* (or more frequently instr. without preposition: *mirė vėžiu*) 'the man died of cancer'

iš (+ genitive) 'from, of, with': Iš džiaugsmo plyšo širdis '(my) heart burst from joy'

dėkà (+ genitive), postposition 'thanks to (about a person)': Tik tavo dėka jis gerai gyvena 'only thanks to you is he getting on well'.

6. Prepositions of other Meanings

sù (+ instrumental) 'with': šiandien mes pietavome su draugais 'to day we had dinner with friends', su ašaromis akyse 'with tears in the eyes', vyras su ūsais 'a man with a moustache', plauti su muilu 'wash with soap', rašyti su pieštuku 'to write with a pencil' (the two last mentioned examples frequently also with instrumental without a preposition: rašyti pieštuku, plauti muilu).

Note the idiomatic expressions: dirbti su knyga 'to work on a book', tėvas su sūnumi 'father and son'

bè (+ genitive) 'without; in addition to': *šiandien aš pietavau vienas, be draugų* 'Today I had dinner alone, without friends'; *Be knygų, ten yra dar laikraščių* 'in addition to books, there are newspapers there, too'

iš (+ genitive) 1) 'from' (indicating source): Knygą pasiskolinau iš draugo 'I have borrowed the book from a friend'; 2) 'of' (material): iš plieno 'of steel'

 $paga\tilde{l}$ (+ accusative) 'according to': $pagal\ susitarimq$ 'according to the agreement'

apie (+ accusative) 'about; approximately': kalbėti/galvoti apie ką nors 'to speak/think about something'; apie tris šimtus 'about (approximately) three hundred'

prieš (+ accusative) 'against': kovoti prieš ka nors 'to fight against sby'

 $p\tilde{o}$ (+ accusative) in distributive function: po penkis litus kiekvienas 'five litas each'.

Finally, mention should be made of *išskýrus* (or *ìšskiriant*) 'except (for)' which as its English equivalent is in fact a petrified participle (to the verb *išskìrti*), but behaves like a preposition (requiring the accusative), e. g. *išskyrus vienaskaitos įnagininką* [...] 'except for the instrumental singular'.

Summing up

Lithuanian prepositions as a rule govern only one case (most frequently genitive or accusative). The sole exceptions are $u\tilde{z}$ which can be combined with two cases (the accusative and the genitive) and $p\tilde{o}$ which can be followed by the following three: the accusative, the genitive and the instrumental. Double prepositions like, for example, $i\tilde{s}$ $p\tilde{o}$ always require the genitive case.

There is one obligatory postposition $(d\dot{e}k\dot{a})$.

Chapter 10

TIME EXPRESSIONS

In the two preceding chapters some expressions of time have been included. Some relevant expressions are mentioned also in the chapter on numerals. It seems, however, practical to concentrate such expressions in one place, which further has the advantage of allowing a more detailed and lucid presentation.

1. The 24 Hour Cycle

in the morning/in the evening - rýta/vakarè in the mornings/in the evenings - rytais, vakarais during the day - diena during the night - nãkti during the nights - naktimis yesterday morning/evening - vãkar rýtą/vãkar vakarè this morning/evening - šį rýtą/šį vākarą today/tonight - šiandien/šivakar to-morrow - rytój to-morrow morning/night - rytój rýtą/rytój vakarè the day before yesterday - ùžvakar the day after to-morrow - porýt early in the morning - ankstì rýta late in the evening - vėlai vakarè the night from Sunday to Monday - nakti iš sekmadienio i pirmādieni

2. Hours

it is 1 (2, 5) o'clock - dabar pirmà/antrà valandà/penktà valandà (nominative!)
it is ten to two - dabar bè dēšimt(iēs) antrà valandà
it is ten past eight - dabar dēšimt põ antrõs (valandõs)
it is half past eight - dabar pùsė(or: pùsę) devintõs
(arrive) at 1 (2, 5) o'clock - (atvykti) pìrma/añtra/peñkta vālanda
(accusative to answer question 'when?')

(arrive) at half past eight - (atvykti) pùsė devintos

3. The Days of the Week

on Monday etc. - pirmādienį on Mondays - pirmādieniais in (during) this week - šią̃ saváitę next week - kìtą saváitę last week - praė̃jusią saváitę

4. The Month(s)

in January etc. - saūsio mėnesį

5. The Seasons of the Year

during (in) the spring - pavãsarį this/last/next spring - praė̃jusį pavãsarį/kìtą pavãsarį/šìtą pavãsarį during (in) the summer - vãsarą during (in) the autumn - rùdenį during (in) the winter - žiẽmą

6. The Year

in this year/last year/next year - šiaīs/praējusiais/kitaīs mētais in the twenties - dvidešimtaīsiais mētais (calque from Russian) or: trečiājame dešimtmetyje

7. Century

in this century - šiamè ámžiuje

8. Dates

today is September 10, 1994 - šiandien yrà tūkstantis devynì šimtai devýniasdešimt ketvirtų metų rugsėjo (mėnesio) dešimtà (dienà). Observe the nominative case. on September 10, 1994 - tūkstantis devynì šimtai devýniasdešimt ketvirtų metų rugsėjo (mėnesio) dešimtą (dieną). Observe the

9. Undetermined Time Expressions

accusative case (question 'when?')

locative:

in childhood/youth/old age: vaikỹstėje/jaunỹstėje/senātvėje

10. How long? How often?

Accusative without preposition:

Jis (pra)buvo/praleido saváitę/penkiàs dienàs Londone 'he stayed in London for a week'

Vakar jie vìsą diẽną dirbo namie 'yesterday they worked at home (for) the whole day'

Ji važiuoja į kaimą kiekvieną saváitę or: kàs saváitę 'she leaves for the countryside every week'

Ji važiuoja į kaimą kiekvieną antrą saváitę or: kàs antrą saváitę 'she leaves for the countryside every second week' twice a week (two times per week) - dù kartùs per saváitę

11. For how long?

Dative without preposition:

jie nuvažiavo (į kaimą) saváitei/mẽtams 'they left (for the countryside) for a week'

12. Before/after

a) 'Before':

iki/lìg(i) + the genitive:

before the war - ikì/lìgi kāro

by 3 o'clock - ikì trijų

priėš + the genitive:

before 3 o'clock - priėš trìs (vālandas)

b) 'after':

põ + the genitive:

after 3 o'clock - po trijų (valandų)

after the war - po karo

Notice:

'so and so long before/after' is expressed with $pri\tilde{e}\tilde{s}$ (+ acc.)/ $p\tilde{o}$ (+ gen.):

we came here some minutes before/after you - mes čia atėjome kelios minutės prieš tave/po tavęs

13. From - to (till):

nuõ (+ gen.) - ikì/lìg(i) (+ gen.)
from spring till winter - nuõ pavãsario ikì žiemõs
from morning till night - nuõ rýto ikì vãkaro
from childhood to old days - nuõ vaikỹstės ikì senãtvės

14. During

- a) see under 1 above
- b) during the early hours of Sunday morning nāktį į sekmādienį
- c) during (in) the years 1945-1991: tūkstantis devynì šimtaī kēturiasdešimt penktaīs tūkstantis devynì šimtaī devýnias dešimt pirmaīs mētais (instrumental) or: nuõ tūkstantis devynì šimtaī kēturiasdešimt penktūjų ìki tūkstantis devynì šimtaī devýniasdešimt pirmūjų
- d) during (= in the period of) Vytautas the Great *Vytauto Didžiojo laikais* (genitive + instrumental) or: *Vytauto Didžiojo valdymo metu*. Also a construction with *priẽ* (+ genitive) is possible (although somewhat obsolete and regarded as a calque from Russian): *prie Vytauto Didžiojo*.

15. In (after):

 $p\tilde{o}$ (or: $u\tilde{z}$) + the genitive: in five days - $po(u\tilde{z})$ penkių dienų

16. In (so and so long a time):

 $pe\tilde{r}$ + the accusative:

Ji parašo laišką per víeną vãlandą 'she wrote the letter in one hour'

17. Towards

i + the accusative: towards the evening - i $v\tilde{a}karq$

Chapter 11

CONJUNCTIONS

(Jungtùkai)

In this chapter we will just list the most important conjunctions of Standard Lithuanian and their English equivalents. Some of the (coordinate) conjunctions are capable only of uniting words (or word groups), not sentences whereas others can do both.

For the *use* of conjunctions, see the chapter on compound sentences.

It is customary to distinguish between *coordinate* and *subordinate* conjunctions.

1. Coordinate

Coordinate conjunctions are divided into the following groups: A. copulative, B. adversative and C. disjunctive:

A. Copulative:

```
ir̃ 'and'
ir̃ - ir̃ 'both - and'
beĩ 'and' (for uniting two words only): Aušra bei Rytis 'A. and R.'
čià - čià 'now - now, sometimes - sometimes'
čià víena, čià kìta 'at one moment - at another'
taĩ - taĩ 'now - now'
neĩ - neĩ 'neither - nor'
```

B. Adversative:

bèt 'but':

C. Disjunctive:

 $a\tilde{r}$ 'or'

2. Subordinate

Subordinate conjunctions can be classified according to the following two main groups:

A. Explicative:

```
kàd 'that',

jóg 'that',

ar 'if, whether'
```

B. Adverbial

This group has numerous subclasses. The most important are: conjunctions of time, of purpose, of result, of reason, and conditional, concessive, comparative conjunctions:

a) Conjunctions of time:

kaī 'when'

kàd(à) 'when'

kõl 'while'

ikì and lìg(i) 'till, until'

võs (tìk) or tìk 'as soon as, no sooner than'

b) Conjunctions of purpose:

kàd 'in order that'.

There is one more conjunction in this group, namely *idant* which is bookish in contrast to the stylistically neutral *kad*.

- c) Conjunctions of result: (taip ...), kàd '(so ...) that'
- d) Conjunctions of reason: nès 'because, since, as' kadángi 'because, since, as'

A third conjunction of reason is the compound *dėl tõ kàd* with approximately the same meaning as the preceding two.

e) Conditional:

jéi(gu) 'if'.

Also the conjunction *kàd* can have conditional meaning.

f) Concessive:

The most important are:

nórs 'even though, even if'

nórs - tačiaũ 'even though - nevertheless'

and

tegùl 'even if'

The multifunctional conjunction $k \grave{a} d$ - usually in the expanded form kad ir - can also be used in this function.

g) Comparative:

kaip 'as'

lýg 'as'

These are the most frequently used conjunctions for non-hypothetical comparisons.

 $ta\tilde{r}(\acute{y})tum$ 'as if'

tarsi 'as if'

it 'as if'

In hypothetical function one of these conjunctions must be used.

Chapter 12

THE SENTENCE

(Sakinỹs)

Definition

A sentence can be defined as a prosodically complete speech unit of a specific structure expressing a relatively complete thought. This is only one of many possible definitions of the notion "sentence". It is binary in the de Saussurian sense that it focuses both on the formal side and on that of the content.

THE MEMBERS OF THE SENTENCE

The Lithuanian sentence can have the following members: the *subject* (veiksnỹs) and the *predicate* (tarinỹs) which are labeled the *principal* members of the sentence in contrast to the *object* (papildinỹs) and the *adverbial* (aplinkýbė) which constitute the *dependent* members of the sentence. Some grammarians also include the *attribute* (pažyminỹs) and the *apposition* (priedėlis) in the class of (dependent) sentence members.

The Principal Members of the Sentence

The Subject (Veiksnys)

Here we have in mind the *grammatical subject* which is most frequently expressed by a noun or a pronoun in the *nominative* case. It can also be expressed by a nominal form of the verb, i. e. the infinitive. For examples, see under predicate below. In one-part sentences (for definition, see p. 219) there is no grammatical subject.

Deletion of 3rd p. Subject Pronoun

The personal pronouns of the 1st and 2nd persons are usually deleted in subject position when they are not emphasized:

Rytais paprastai atvažiuoju į darbą 8 valandą 'In the mornings as a rule I arrive at work at 8 o'clock'; - Kaip gyvuojate? 'How are you getting on?' - Ačiū, gerai, o Jūs (emphasized) kaip gyvuojate? 'thanks fine, and you - how are you getting on?'

The Predicate

(Tarinỹs)

The predicate may be of two kinds: *verbal* and *nominal*. This holds good both for two-part and one-part sentences (on which terms see next chapter).

- 1) A verbal predicate is expressed by a finite form (definition on p. 93) of a non-copulative verb. It can be simple (e. g. studentas rašo 'the student is writing'; temsta 'it is getting dark') or compound (e. g. jis pradėjo rašyti 'he began writing', ji nori/moka rašyti 'she wants to/can write', pradėjo temti 'it began getting dark'). As illustrated through these examples a compound predicate consists of an auxiliary verb (phasal or modal) in a finite form + an infinitive (in rare cases a participle).
- 2) A nominal predicate consists of a copulative verb (i. e. verbs with the meanings of 'be' and 'become') + a nominal part which may be either a noun, an adjective or a pronoun: (aš) esu mokytojas 'I am a teacher', jis (yra) mokytojas 'he is a teacher', ji tapo mokytoja 'she became a teacher', ji (yra) jauna 'she is young', jie buvo vieni 'they were alone', vakaras '(It is) evening', (yra) sunku 'it is difficult', dirbti žmogui (yra) sveika 'to work is healthy for man'.

A somewhat rare synonym of $yr\grave{a}$ is $\tilde{e}sti$ which can also be used in the same meaning as $b\bar{u}na$ 'is/are usually'. Unlike $b\bar{u}n$ -, however, esti is restricted to the third person.

A nominal predicate is compound *per definitionem*. An example of a *complex* nominal predicate would be: *jis nori būti mokytoju* 'he wants to be (become) a teacher'. For the case of the nominal part, see chapter on case, s. v. the nominative and instrumental.

Observe that the compound tenses expressed through a copulative verb + the main verb in the form of a participle are regarded as verbal: *ji yra parašiusi laišką* 'she has read the letter'. The same is the case with passive constructions: *namas buvo pastatytas pernai* 'the house was built last year'.

Hybrids between verbal and nominal predicates are seen in cases like gandras parlėkė linksmas, lit. 'the stork came flying happy'. This implies both that the stork came (flying) and that it was happy. The nominal part linksmas has a double reference, both to the subject and to the verb. The non-copulative verb parlėkti obtains a semantically attenuated character when followed by an adjective and may in this distribution be said to function as a kind of copula. Like parlėkti can behave also other verbs of motion (including grįžti 'return') and further the verbs sėdėti 'sit', stovėti 'stand' and gulėti 'lie'. The Lithuanian term used for such predicates (or rather their nominal part) is tarinio pažyminys 'predicative attribute'. It may at times be difficult to decide whether a tarinio pažyminys or an adverb should be used.

Deletion of Copulative Verb

The copulative verb of the 3rd person can be deleted, e. g. vaikas (yra) sveikas 'the child is healthy', vaikai (yra) sveiki 'the children are healthy', jis (yra) mokytojas 'he is a teacher'.

In written exposition a *dash* (brūkšnēlis) is frequently inserted instead of the copula, especially in cases where both the subject and the nominal part of the predicate are nouns: *Vytautas - mokytojas* 'Vytautas is a teacher'. The same holds good if the subject is expressed by an infinitive: *Vadovėlio tikslas - plėsti žodyną* 'the purpose of the handbook is to extend the vocabulary'.

In expressions of a general kind, definitions and so-called eternal truths, yra is not deleted, e. g. žmogus yra mirtingas 'man is mortal', Lietuva yra respublika 'Lithuania is a republic', liūtas yra žinduolis 'the lion is a mammal'.

The copulative verb of other persons than the third is not deleted.

Finally it should be mentioned that the copulative verb can be deleted only in the present tense. In other tenses it must be explicitly expressed: *kada aš buvau vaikas* 'when I was a child'.

The Syntactic Relationship between Subject and Predicate. The Nature of the Predicate

The syntactic relationship between the subject and the predicate as main members of the sentence, is one not of dependency; but of interdependency.

The predicate may be conceived as the central *member* of the sentence, first, since in one-part sentences (see p. 218 ff.) the main sentence member may be identified as a predicate, and, second, since the dependent sentence members (objects and adverbials) are immediately dependent on the predicate (and not on the subject).

The Dependent Members of the Sentence

As demonstrated through examples under the main members of the sentence above (as well as in the table on basic sentence patterns, next chapter) complete sentences can consist only of a subject and a predicate in two-part sentences and in one-part sentences (p. 219 ff.) of a predicate alone. However, both two-part and one-part sentences can be *extended* by dependent sentence members, namely the *object* and the *adverbial*.

The Object

 $(Papildin \tilde{y}s)$

Like the subject, the object is usually expressed through one of the nominal word classes, noun or pronoun, but - unlike the subject - it must be in a dependent, i. e. non-nominative, case. Like the subject it can also be in the form of an infinitive. Examples: Regina skaito knygą 'Regina is reading a book', Regina ją skaito 'Regina is reading it (i. e. the book)', Regina mėgsta skaityti 'Regina likes reading'.

A distinction is made between the *direct* object in the accusative case after *transitive* verbs (p. 148 f. on transitivity and p. 139 ff. on voice) and the *indirect* with *intransitive* verbs, i. e. verbs requiring other dependent cases than the accusative. Example of an indirect object: *tėvas giriasi sūnumi* (instr.) 'the father is proud of his son'.

The question whether the genitive with negation or the partitive genitive in connection with transitive verbs should be labeled a direct or indirect object seems to be a kind of grammatical grey zone. For examples, see chapter on case.

Dependent on the case one can speak of accusative, genitive, dative, instrumental and locative objects.

An object (in the accusative, genitive, dative and instrumental) expressed through a prepositional phrase is called a *prepositional* object. An example of this would be: *nuo ko* (gen.) *priklauso Lietuvos gamyba?* 'what does the Lithuanian industry depend on?'

Finally it should be mentioned that some predicates can or must be combined with more than one object whereby a frequent combination is that of an indirect (dative) object denoting a person and a direct (accusative) object for the thing: jis jai davė/nupirko žiedą 'he gave/bought her a ring'.

The type of subordination with objects is determined by *government*.

Government (Lith. valdymas) is (together with agreement /cf. p. 230 ff./ and adjunction /cf. under adverbial below/) one of the three ways of expressing subordination in Lithuanian. The formal means for expressing subordination are inflection and inflection together with prepositions. Government implies that one morpheme x presupposes another y in the utterance (sentence), but not the other way round (i. e. y does not presuppose x). Thus, books in read books/they read books offers an example of government. The governing word (head) is most frequently a verb, but can also be a preposition, a noun, an adjective, a numeral or another quantifier.

The Adverbial

(Aplinkýbė)

The adverbial can be expressed by an adverb or - like the object by a noun phrase or a prepositional phrase. Examples: Jie ilgai gyveno užsienyje 'they lived abroad for a long time', jie ilgą laiką gyveno užsienyje 'they lived abroad for a long time', ji parašo laišką per vieną valanda 'she wrote the letter in one hour'. The adverbial can also be in the form of an infinitive: jie išėjo maudytis 'they went out for a bathe' (lit. 'to bathe').

As in the case of adverbs (p. 173 ff.) several types of adverbials are distinguished: temporal (cf. examples just quoted), local (e. g. grįžti iš kaimo 'return from the countryside'), of manner (elgtis puikiu būdu 'to behave in a nice way'), of purpose (jie išėjo maudytis), of reason (mirti dėl ligos 'die of a disease') and others.

Forms of subordination here are government and adjunction.

For government, cf. above. Adjunction (or "juxtaposition", Lith. šliejimas) may be defined negatively as the way of subordination which cannot adequately be described as agreement or government. Typical cases of adjunction are encountered with indeclinable words like adverbs, gerunds or infinitives. A couple of examples: greitai (adverb) in eiti greitai 'walk fast', labai (adverb) in labai gerai 'very good', temstant (gerund) in grižti temstant 'return when it is getting dark' and eiti (infinitive) in troškimas eiti 'desire to walk'. When adverbials are expressed by a noun phrase as, for example, ilgą laiką (instead of the adverb ilgai) in gyventi ilgą laiką užsienyje 'to live abroad for a long time' one can equally speak of adjunction (or by way of alternative 'weak government").

Difficulties in distinguishing Objects from Adverbials
It is often difficult to distinguish between an object and an adverbial. In the case of prepositional phrases it is crucial whether there is only one possible and obligatory preposition required by the verb or whether more (non-verb) determined prepositions can be applied. The former situation is an indication of an object, e. g. priklausyti nuo tavęs 'to depend on you', the latter of an adverbial, e. g. važiuoti iš Vilniaus,

važiuoti į Vilnių, važiuoti link Vilniaus 'to go (travel) from/to/towards Vilnius'.

A practical test often used is that adverbials answer questions with an adverb in contrast to the object which is determined by a question with a pronoun. Thus, in the sentence kaime ji man kiekviena diena atneša obuoli iš sodo 'in the countryside she brings me an apple from the garden every day' man and obuoli answer the pronominal questions kam? 'for whom?' and ka? 'what?' whereas kiekviena diena and kaime answer questions with the adverbs kada/kaip dažnai? 'when/how often'? and kur? 'where?' respectively. For determining the character of iš sodo, however, both the question with an adverb (iš kur? 'from where?') and that with a pronoun (iš ko? 'from what?') seem possible. The conclusion is that the test is not applicable in every case. This means that additional criteria have to be used. By comparing iš sodo in the above sentence with, for example, iš sodo in sodyba susidaro iš sodo ir didelio namo 'the mansion consists of a garden and a big house' one feels the much closer connection with the verb in the latter case which allows us to speak of a prepositional object as opposed to an adverbial in the former.

The Attribute

(Pažyminys)

The attribute is expressed by an adjective, an adjectival pronoun, a participle or a numeral. It can be *congruent* (i. e. subordinated to a noun through agreement, cf. p. 230 ff. below) or *incongruent* (in which case it is subordinated through government, cf. p. 214). As examples of congruent attributes may serve *naujas* in the noun phrase *naujas* namas 'a new house', *kitas* in *kitas* namas 'another house', *paminėtas* in *paminėtas* namas 'the house mentioned', *penktasis* in *penktasis* namas 'the fifth house', *penki* in *penki* namai 'five houses' and of incongruent mano in mano namas 'my house', *tėvo* in *tėvo* namas 'father's house', (and from a logical point of view) dešimt in dešimt namų 'ten houses'.

As indicated in the introduction to this chapter it is dubious whether the attribute should be regarded as a member of the sentence *sensu stricto* since it is subordinate either to the subject, the object or the adverbial and - to the extent that it is a sentence member at all - can therefore count only as an indirect one of low (tertial) rank.

Apposition

(Príedėlis)

As appositions are regarded attributes in the form of a noun which are subordinated to another noun by means of agreement or adjunction. Examples: the title in the noun phrase profesorius Mažiulis, profesoriaus Mažiulio etc. 'professor Mažiulis' and the proper noun in netoli nuo fabriko "Rūta" 'not far from the factory Ruta'.

For other types of appositions, see chapters on adjective and participle (s. v. syntax).

The same that was said about the status of the attribute as a member of the sentence also holds good for appositions.

Classification of the Sentence

There are many ways of classifying the sentence.

A. From the point of view of *modality* it can be classified either as 1) declarative, 2) hortatory or 3) interrogative.

Examples of types 1) - 3):

1) Petras skaito knygą 'Peter is reading a book', 2) Skaityk knygą, Petrai! 'Read the book, Peter!', 3) Ar Petras skaito knygą? 'Is Peter reading a book?'

Further, a sentence can be affirmative (Petras skaito knygą 'Peter is reading a book') or negated (Petras neskaito knygos 'Peter is not reading a/the book'; Jis yra negeras 'he is not kind').

Point A will not be further elaborated in this chapter, but types 1) - 3) will be described in detail in the chapter on word order.

B. Departing from the structure the sentence can be classified either as 1) two-part or 2) one-part (dvināris or vienānaris). An obligatory requirement of the former is the presence of the two principal members of the sentence, i. e. a grammatical subject (veiksnys) expressed in the nominative case + a predicate (tarinys) which agrees with the subject in opposition to one-part sentences which are in possession of only one principal member, in Lithuanian labeled tarinys (i. e., the same term as for the predicate in two-part sentences).

Examples of 1) two-part-sentences are: *Petras skaito* 'Peter is reading'/*Petras (yra) jaunas* 'Peter is young' and of 2) one-part: *sninga* 'it's snowing'/*šalta* 'it is cold'. One-part sentences should not be confused with *incomplete* sentences since one-part sentences are considered to be structurally complete. An example of an incomplete sentence is: *Petras* (instead of the complete *Petras skaito*) as an answer to the question: *kas skaito*? 'who is reading?'

Point B will be dealt with in more detail below.

C. Finally, a distinction must be made between *simple* (vientisiniai) and *compound* (sudėtiniai) sentences. The simple sentence will be defined and described in the immediately following passage. For the compound sentence, see p. 223 ff.

Subsequent Disposition

The distinction between the simple and the compound sentence will have the highest hierarchical rank in the subsequent disposition. The

simple sentence will be divided into two main sections according to the parameters, two-part and one-part sentences presented in point B above. This bifurcation will not be implemented in the case of the compound sentence since it cannot be applied to the compound sentence as a whole, only to its constituents which are in fact identical in structure with simple sentences. For the same reason the term *basic sentence patterns* which will be introduced in the following is reserved for the simple sentence.

THE SIMPLE SENTENCE

(Vientisinis sakinỹs)

The simple sentence may be defined as a sentence containing one single predicative centre. A simple sentence is classified as either two-part or one-part, cf. p. 216 above. The basic sentence patterns valid for Lithuanian are:

	Valence	Two-Part Sentences	One-Part Sentences
1	Ø dependent	S+P:	<i>P</i> :
:	member	verbal: Jis rašo 'He is	U
		writing';	snowing'
		Nominal: Jis (yra) senas	l
		'he is old'	cold'; Vakaras 'it is evening'
2 a	1 dependent member	S+P+O:	P+O:
		jis gynė savo disertaciją	Jį pykina 'He feels sick'
		'he defended his thesis'	
2 b	1 dependent member	S+P+A:	P+A:
		Jis gyvena mieste 'he	1
		lives in the city'	knocking began in the village'
3 a	2 dependent	S+P+O+O:	P+O+O:
	members	Jis davė jai žiedą 'He	
		gave her a ring'	'The yard was filled with
			snow'
3 b	2 dependent	S+P+O+A:	P+O+A:
	members	žiemą ji prabuvo pas	
		$s\bar{u}nu$ 'She passed the	lacking here'
		winter at her son's place'	

In the diagram the symbols S, P, O and A represent subject, predicate (including the principal member of one-part sentences), object and adverbial respectively. For members of the sentence, see preceding section.

Sentences containing dependent members (i. e. types 2a - 3b) are called *expanded* sentences in contrast with the *non-expanded* in 1.

In the above table there is no sentence with more than two dependent members. It is, of course, possible to have sentences with more than two such members. It seems, however, that two is the maximum number in terms of structural obligatoriness. Thus, the above table presents us with the basic sentence types of Lithuanian in so far as mandatoriness is a claim. Even in a sentence with two dependent members one member may be optional from the point of view of grammatical obligatoriness, cf., for example, aš jai nupirkau gėlių 'I bought her flowers'. The verb nupirkti can be construed without an indirect object. Thus, aš jai nupirkau gėlių does not represent a basic sentence in the above sense of Lithuanian.

Two-Part Sentences

(Dvināriai sakiniai)

Two-part sentences must contain a grammatical (i. e. nominative) subject + a predicate which agrees with the subject, cf. the table of sentence patterns quoted above. In addition they can have dependent sentence members (object, adverbial), see table just referred to. Two-part sentences of Lithuanian do not deviate considerably from those known from Germanic and other languages and will therefore not be described in detail here.

The main types are given in the survey of sentence patterns above. For further examples, see chapter on word order which also gives an overview of the different positions which can be occupied by the members of the sentence.

One-Part Sentences

(Vienānariai sakiniaī)

One-part sentences should be examined in more detail than two-part since they show structures which are either absent or very rarely encountered in many other languages. Students with knowledge of a Slavic language will be familiar with such structures whereas many others will have to study them very carefully.

In contrast to two-part sentences one-part sentences can never contain a grammatical (i. e. nominative) subject in agreement with a predicate. The obligatory component of a one-part sentence is a special kind of *tarinys* ("predicate", cf. p. 176 f. above).

Dependent on the form of the *tarinys* a distinction is drawn between *verbal one-part sentences* (with the subgroup *infinitival sentences*) and *nominal one-part sentences*.

The following exposition should be taken as a comment on the above table of basic sentence patterns (p. 217).

Verbal One-Part Sentences

Verbal one-part sentences can be defined as one-part sentences with a *verbal tarinys* (for the term, see p. 211). This *tarinys* is always in the 3rd person. For one part sentences in which the *tarinys* is in the form of a "neuter" participle, see under nominal one part sentences below.

1) The simplest structure is represented by sentences consisting of the *verbal tarinỹs* only. Here belongs a group of verbs incapable of taking an object like for instance the "meteorological" *aušti/švisti* 'become light(ish)', *temti* 'become dark', *vakarėti* 'become evening', *rudenėti* become autumn', *lyti* 'to rain', *snigti* 'to snow', *griausti* 'thunder', *šalti* 'to freeze'.

Examples: Šąla 'it is freezing', vakarėja 'evening is coming', lijo 'it was raining'. With some of these verbs a tautological noun in the nominative may be added, thus changing the structure into a personal (automatically two part) sentence: lyja lietus 'it is raining' (lit. 'the rain is raining').

Adverbial extensions (on extension, see p. 239 f.) are possible, e. g. vakar visą dieną smarkiai lijo 'yesterday it was raining heavily all day long'. The adverbial can also be in the form of the instrumental case or a prepositional phrase: žvaigždėmis, žiedais lyja 'it is raining with stars and flowers'; nuo stogo varva 'it is dripping from the roof'. The adverbials here are optional in the sense that they are not required by the valence of the verb.

- 2) One-part sentences with a verbal *tarinỹs* can have an obligatory *object* in the *accusative*, *genitive* or *dative*.
- a) An accusative object can be found with verbs expressing phenomena of nature, e. g. užsnigo namą 'the house snowed in'. It is also encountered with verbs denoting an unpleasant physical state of a person, cf. the example jį pykina quoted in the table of basic sentence patterns above.
- b) The *genitive* object is typical of *negative existential sentences*: *pinigų nebėra/neliko* 'there is/was no money left'. Grammatically *pinigų* has to be classified as an object even though from a logical point of view it can be conceived as a subject.
- c) The function of a grammatical object, but logical subject is also observed in the dative in sentences of the type man nesidirba 'I can't

work'. The verb is negated and reflexive. Such constructions are contrasted with two-part sentences with another nuance of meaning: $a\check{s}$ nedirbu 'I don't work; I am not working'. The logical subject is further transparent in man sekasi/nesiseka 'I am (un)fortunate'.

Sentences with an obligatory object can be extended with a structurally optional adverbial, cf. for example man šiandien nesidirba 'I can't work to-day'.

- 3) In one part sentences with two obligatory objects the following combinations are encountered: a) accusative + genitive (= type kiemą prinešė sniego quoted in the table of sentence patterns above), b) accusative + dative: jam skauda galvą 'he has headache', c) accusative + instrumental (vaiką išbėrė raudonais spuogeliais 'the child got red pimples all over'), d) genitive + dative (jiems reikia duonos 'they need bread'). In a) we find a number of verbs with the prefix pri-, in c) verbs with the meaning 'to seed out' and in d) verbs belonging to the semantic field of 'be lacking; be sufficient'.
- 4) A special comment on the different types with *obligatory* adverbials should hardly be necessary. In addition to the examples quoted in the table of basic sentence patterns above we will give only the subtype *ji traukia prie jūros* 'he is longing for the sea'.

Finally, it should be mentioned that the verbs referred to above can occur in the form of an infinitive after phasal auxiliaries in the 3rd person, e. g. *Pradėjo/ėmė temti* 'it began to get dark'. The two components constitute a *compound verbal tarinỹs*.

The combination of a phasal auxiliary with a verb like, for example, *statyti* 'build' would yield an example of what is known in German grammar as "man-Sätze": Pradėjo statyti namą 'one (somebody) began building a/the house'. Such sentences are usually labeled personal one-part sentences in contrast to other verbal one-part sentences which can be referred to as impersonal. Personal one-part sentences are possible also without a phasal verb. The main verb must then be in the form of the 3rd person: Dabar stato naują namą 'now one is building a new house or: now a new house is being built'. Another example would be: Sako, kad 'one says that (it is said that)'. See also chapter on voice.

Infinitive Sentences

Infinitive sentences can be regarded as a special kind of verbal one-part sentences with an *infinitive in the function of the tarinỹs alone*. They express different *modal nuances* (wish, command, prohibition, possibility, impossibility and others), cf. also p. 194.

Examples: Vaikai, dainuoti! (Žemaitė) 'sing, children!', Laimingai sugrįžti! (Baltušis) 'happy return!' In Ką mums daryti? 'what shall/can we do?' and jam negirdėti motinos balso 'he cannot hear his mother's voice' the structures are accusative + dative + infinitive and dative + infinitive + genitive respectively.

At this place can also be mentioned constructions of the type man nėra su kuo pakalbėti 'I have nobody to speak with'.

Structurally different are constructions where the infinitive is dependent on a formally finite verb as, for example, in *reikia pabaigti darbą* 'it is necessary to end the work' or *pradėjo temti* 'it began getting dark'. These are not infinitive sentences in the sense usually attributed to this term, cf. the definition just given.

Nominal One-Part Sentences

A nominal one-part sentence is a one part sentence with a *nominal* tarinỹs (for the term, see p. 211).

Two different types must be distinguished, cf. table of basic sentence patterns above, namely 1) sentences in which the $tarin\tilde{y}s$ is expressed by a passive participle or adjective (usually in the "neuter" form, see p. 62) + a copulative verb, and 2) sentences in which the $tarin\tilde{y}s$ is in the form of a noun in the nominative case. The latter type is often referred to as a *nominative* sentence.

1) should be divided into two parts: a) sentences with a passive (or passive-like) participle, and b) sentences with an adjective in the role of the $tarin\tilde{y}s$.

Examples of a): Šioje apžvalgoje neįmanoma atsakyti į klausimą, kodėl [...] 'in this survey it is impossible to answer the question why [...]'; Teigiama, kad [...] 'it is being maintained that', Neretai griebiamasi atviro melo. Antai laikraštyje buvo rašoma, kad [...] 'quite often open lies are being taken resort to; thus, in a journal it was written that [...]'; Reikalaujama lenkiškų pamaldų Vilniaus Arkikatedroje 'prayers in Polish are being demanded in the Cathedral of Vilnius'; Visu tuo siekta sudaryti regimybę, kad [...] 'through all this it has been achieved to pretend that [...]; Darbą stengtasi rašyti taip, kad [...] 'it has been aimed at writing the work in such a way that [...]; Čia žmogaus eita/būta 'here there has gone/been a man'.

The material demonstrates that "neutral" form participles can be extended with dependent sentence members of different kinds (objects, including infinitives and dependent clauses, and adverbials). The syntactic combinations show that one-part participle sentences have a verbal, rather than a nominal character. In the same direction point also possible substitutions with one-part sentences in active form like *teigia*,

kad 'one maintains that' or siekė sudaryti regimybę 'one achieved to pretend'. Our sole reason for putting them into the basket of nominal sentences is the morphological make up of the participle itself.

Examples of b): Karšta (with zero copula) 'it is hot'; Buvo karšta 'it was hot'; Tapo karšta 'it became hot'.

Such sentences can be extended with an *object* (= logical subject) in the dative case, e. g. *Jam buvo karšta* 'it was hot for him; he felt hot'. Extensions with adverbials are also possible: *Šiandien karšta* 'today it is hot'.

Another pattern which should be included among type 1) sentences is: *Tėvo nebėra gyvo* 'father is no longer alive', e. g. negated copulative constructions with agreement between the adjective and the genitive object (= logical subject). This variant corresponds with non-negated two part sentences: *Tėvas dar gyvas* 'father is still alive'.

Close to type 1) are constructions with an adverb or a semantically attenuated noun like *gaila* in the role of the *tarinỹs*, e. g. *jam gerai* 'he is well' and *man gaila tavęs* (gen.) 'I feel sorry for you'.

2) Nominative sentences. Examples: Vakaras 'it is evening' or extended with an attribute: Vėlus vakaras 'it is late (in the) evening'. In a case like Buvo vakaras 'it was evening' it could be argued whether one has to do with a one-part or two-part sentence. In the latter case the nominative noun would constitute the grammatical subject and buvo the predicate. Analogically one could think of the same solution for Vakaras, i. e. subject + zero form of the predicate.

THE COMPOUND SENTENCE

(Sudėtiniai sakiniai)

A compound sentence contains more than one predicative centre. The predicative centres can be linked together through syndetism or asyndetism. The former device involves the implementation of conjunctions (see chapter 11) or conjunctive words (= relative pronouns and adverbs, see chapters 4 and 7). Conjunctions are either coordinate or subordinate whence also the distinction between coordinate and subordinate clauses. In the following exposition syndetism will have our main attention, but a note on asyndetism will be given at the end of the chapter.

Coordination

(Sakinių̃ sujungimas)

The most important coordinate conjunctions were listed in the above chapter on conjunctions. In addition there are a good number of others which may be said to have the functions of coordinate conjunctions, for which more comprehensive grammars of Lithuanian as well as dictionaries should be consulted.

Examples:

ir: aš rašau ir tu rašai 'I am writing and you are writing'

ir - ir: ir aš rašau, ir tu rašai 'both I write and you write'

o: aš rašau, o tu skaitai 'I am writing, but (whereas, and) you are reading'

bet: jis yra atvažiavęs, bet aš nežinau kada 'he has arrived, but I don't know when'

Although both o and bet are translated into English as 'but', they are not always interchangeable. The two examples given are typical of their use: o emphasizes the contrast (like Russian a), whereas bet can imply a reservation made (like Russian no).

ar: arba atidirbk, arba sugrąžink pinigus 'either you complete the work or give the money back',

nei - nei: nei vėjas pūtė, nei giria gaudė 'neither the wind was blowing nor the forest whistling'

Subordination

(Sakinių̃ prijungimas)

The heading implies that the classification is made on the basis of the *subordinate* clause. There are different kinds of subordinate clauses and several ways of classifying them. Here the following scheme will be followed:

- 1) explicative
- 2) determinative
- 3) adverbial clauses

The most important subordinate conjunctions are listed in the chapter on conjunctions.

1. Explicative Clauses

The verb in the main clause belongs to the semantic sphere of saying, asking, seeing, feeling and the like, and the subordinate conjunction

will correspond either to English *that* (Lithuanian kad or the more bookish jog) or if (Lithuanian ar). These conjunctions are typical of indirect discourse (in a broad sense). An ar/if-clause is used in indirect questions. Indirect questions not introduced by ar/if, but through an interrogative pronoun or adverb will also be treated in this section.

Illustrations:

- A. non-interrogative:
- a) Ji sakė, kad/jog šiandien (yra) geras oras 'he said that today the weather was fine'
- b) Ji sakė, kad aš tuojau ateičiau 'he said that I (should) come at once'.

The first sentence is in the indicative mood, whereas in the second the conjunctive must be used since the verb *sakyti* here has the meaning of a command or a request, see chapter on moods. In the first example also the *relative mood* (with or without the conjunction) would have been possible (although with a somewhat different shade of meaning, see p. 128 ff. above).

- B. interrogative:
- a) Ji klausė, ar oras yra geras/ar aš ateisiu 'she asked if the weather was fine/if I would come'
- b) Ji klausė, kas atėjo/kaip ir kada jis atėjo 'she asked who had come/ how and when he had come'; Ji klausė, kiek knygų reikia pirkti 'she asked how many books it was necessary to buy'

In examples of the above type a discrepancy in the use of tenses will often be observed between Lithuanian and English. This is because in indirect speech Baltic (together with Slavic) retains the tense of the direct speech whereas English (and the Scandinavian languages) has developed a system called *consecutio temporum* which means that the tense of the verb of the dependent clause repeats that of the verb in the main clause, cf. Ji sakė: "Šiandien yra gražus oras" > Ji sakė, kad šiandien (yra) gražus oras and She said: "Today the weather is nice" > She said that today the weather was nice.

2. Determinative Clauses

There are two kinds of determinative clauses:

A. noun determinative and B. pronoun determinative.

A is illustrated in: *Tie studentai*, kurie vakar atvažiavo į Vilnių, buvo [...] 'those students, who yesterday arrived in V., were [...]', where the noun studentai is said to be determined by the clause introduced

with *kurie*. In the following sentence *Vilnius*, *kur jie atvažiavo vakar*, *yra* [...] 'V., where they arrived yesterday, is [...]' the noun *Vilnius* is determined by a clause starting with *kur*.

B is exemplified in: visi, kas vakar atvažiavo į Vilnių, buvo [...] 'all who arrived in V. yesterday, were [...]'. Here the pronoun visi is determined by kas.

As demonstrated through the examples in both types, the conjunctive word is either a relative pronoun or an adverb. For further examples, see under relative pronouns and adverbs (pp. 79 and 173 ff. above).

As a subtype of b) one might mention cases like *kas nedirba*, *tas nevalgo* 'the one who doesn't work, doesn't eat'.

3. Adverbial Clauses

Adverbial clauses form a large group with many subdivisions, such as adverbial clauses of *time*, *purpose*, *reason* and others. They are labeled *adverbial* because the subordinate clause may be said to be syntactically equivalent to an adverbial extension of the main clause just like an adverb or adverbial, cf. for example *kai aš atėjau*, *jos ten nebuvo* 'when I came, she was not there' and *tada/tuo laiku jos ten nebuvo* 'then/at that time (adverb/ial/) she was not there'.

For "semi-clauses" expressed by gerunds, see p. 154 ff.

In the same way the examples quoted under 1) the *explicative* type could have been labeled object clauses since the dependent clause here functions as an object, cf. *ji* sakė tai 'she said that' (object): *ji* sakė, kad [...] 'she said that [...] (object)'.

A. Adverbial Clauses of Time

The conjunction most commonly used here is $ka\tilde{i}$ 'when'. In many, but not all cases, kai can be substituted by $kad(\grave{a})$. Other important conjunctions of time are $k\tilde{o}l$ 'while' with its less frequent synonyms $ik\grave{i}$, $l\grave{i}g(i)$ and $v\tilde{o}s$ ($t\grave{i}k$) or just $t\grave{i}k$ 'as soon as, no sooner than'. The conjunction lig(i) can also mean 'until'. The same holds good for $ik\grave{i}$ $k\tilde{o}l$.

Examples:

Kai pabudau, buvo šviesu aplinkui 'when I woke up, it was light all around'

Kai pradės kąsti, tai ir kąs 'When one will begin to bite, then one (really) bites' (observe the correspondance kai - tai)

Kai aš mažas buvau, šile ožius ganiau (Jablonskis) 'When I was little, I chased goats shepherd in the pine forest'

Kol gyveno mieste, nuo tokių pasimatymų buvo apsaugotas (Pocius) 'when/as long as he lived in the city, he was protected against such meetings'

Dirbsiu, iki galėsiu 'I will work as long as I can' Ligi gyvas, to neužmiršiu 'As long as I am alive, I'll not forget it' Jis palaukė, ligi ji užmigo 'he waited until she fell asleep') Būkite čia, iki kol aš pareisiu 'Stay here until I shall return') Vos atsigulė, visi sumigo 'As soon as they had lain down, they all fell asleep' (or: 'no sooner ... than').

B. Adverbial Clauses of Purpose

Adverbial clauses of purpose have the conjunctions $k \grave{a} d$ and the somewhat archaic and rare $ida\tilde{n}t$, both meaning '(in order) to, in order that'. Both are followed by the subjunctive:

Mokytojas kalbėjo garsiai, kad mes girdėtume 'the teacher spoke loudly in order that we should hear'.

When the subjects of the main and the subordinate clause of purpose are identical, many languages (among them English) prefer a construction with the infinitive. This, however, is not possible in Lithuanian, but instead of a finite verb (in the subjunctive, cf. p. 133) the damas-participle/gerund (cf. p. 154 ff.) from norėti 'to wish' + the infinitive of the main verb can be used: norėdama paaiškinti tekstą, mokytoja davė daug pavyzdžių 'in order to explain the text, the teacher gave many examples'. In this case the subjects of the participle clause and the main clause are identical. With different subjects or an implicit subject with a general meaning the special gerund in -Vnt must be used, e. g: norint paaiškinti tekstą, reikia duoti daug pavyzdžių 'in order to explain the text, one must present many examples'

C. Adverbial Clauses of Result

Such clauses are characterized by the conjunctions kaip, kàd 'so that' plus the indicative: jis kalbėjo taip, kad ji visi suprato 'he spoke so (in such a way) that everybody understood him', which can be contrasted with the adverbial clause of purpose with subjunctive (cf. b): jis kalbėjo taip, kad ji visi suprastip 'he spoke so, in order that everybody should hear him'.

D. Adverbial Clauses of Reason

In this function Lithuanian has got the stylistically unmarked $n \ge s$ in addition to $kad \le ang$ which is typical of scientific and non-fictional prose. Both have the meaning 'because, since, as'. However, whereas the nes-sentence must be placed after the main clause, there are no such constraints as to the position of the $kad \le ang$ -clause.

Examples:

Jis negali atiduoti jai knygos, nes/kadangi ji jau išvyko 'he can't give her the book, since she has already left'. Variant: kadangi ji jau išvyko, jis negali atiduoti jai knygos. Unacceptable is *nes ji jau išvyko, jis negali [...]

E. Adverbial Clauses of Condition

Such clauses are introduced with $j\acute{e}i(gu)$ 'if (= in case)' which should not be confused with the explicative 'if'= ar, see above: $j\acute{e}i$ $ne\check{z}inai$, tai $nekalb\acute{e}k$ 'if you don't know, (then) don't speak'. The verb of the $j\acute{e}i(gu)$ -clause is in the indicative mood, if the condition is not an unreal, hypothetical one, in which case it will appear in the subjunctive mood both in the main and the subordinate clause (for examples, see the section on mood, s. v. the subjunctive).

F. Adverbial Clauses of Concession

The conjunctions in question are the stylistically neutral $n \delta r s$ and the colloquial $k \partial d ir$, both translating '(al)though; even if'. They are close in meaning to $b \partial t$, δ 'but' and $t a \delta i a \tilde{u}$ 'however' (and can also be accompanied by them in the main clause).

Examples:

Ateisiu, nors neturiu laiko 'I'll come, though I have no time' Nors retai sėjom, bet tankiai dygo 'Even though we rarely sew, nevertheless it grew up densely'.

G. Adverbial Clauses of Comparison

Adverbial clauses of comparison are formed with the help of the following conjunctions: $ka\bar{\imath}p$ 'as, like', $l\acute{y}g$, it, $ta\bar{\imath}tum$ or $ta\bar{\imath}si$ 'as if'.

Illustrations:

Jis elgiasi taip, kaip niekas iki šiol nesielgė 'he behaved in such a way as nobody had behaved before (up to that time)';

Jis valgo kaip vilkas 'he eats like a wolf' (this may be regarded as a complex sentence with a deleted verb, i. e. valgo, in the subordinate clause);

Mokytojo veidas nusišvietė, lyg jis žiūrėtų į blizgantį auksą (Pocius) 'the teacher's face began shining as if he were looking at shining gold';

Man pasigirdo, tartum/tarsi mane šaukia 'I thought I heard someone calling me (it seemed to me as if ...)';

Vaikinas dirbo toliau, it būtų nieko nepastebėjęs 'the child continued his work as if he had not noticed anything'.

As can be concluded from the examples, kaip implies a real comparison or one imagined as a real one and is therefore followed by the indicative in opposition to lýg, taitum, taisi and it which are used in connection with assumptions or hypothetical comparisons and may be combined with the subjunctive. In many cases the clause introduced by a conjunction of comparison is incomplete, cf., for instance, the above example $Jis\ valgo\ kaip\ vilkas$.

Equivalents of 'than' and 'the - the'

Under point G constructions with $neg\hat{u}$ 'than' (cf. p. 67) and $ju\tilde{o}$ - $ju\tilde{o}/tu\tilde{o}$ (or $ku\tilde{o}$ - $tu\tilde{o}$) 'the - the' can also be mentioned.

Examples:

Šiemet geriau gyvename, negu (mes gyvenome) pernai 'in this year we are having a better life than (we were having) last year'; juo katę glostai, juo ji šiausiasi 'the more you stroke the cat, the more proudly it carries itself'.

H. Adverbial Clauses of Manner

Here belongs among others the correlative type with *gradual* meaning: *Ji buvo tokia graži*, *kad akys raibo* 'she was so beautiful that one is dazzled'.

Final Remarks

It should be mentioned that most descriptions of adverbial clauses include also *clauses of place*, but they can easily be formed with the help of adverbs of place (*kur*), e. g. *kur esate/einate?* 'where are you/ where are you going?'

In the above exposition combinations of *two* clauses only have been commented on, but, of course, sentences of a more complex structure are also encountered. Such sentences can be labeled *complex* in contrast to the compound ones demonstrated above.

Asyndetism

Asyndetism can have the functions of both coordination and subordination. Which of these two main functions is present in every concrete case, becomes evident through the context. Thus, a sentence like parduok ta kvepianti obuoli man - aš suvalgysiu can be interpreted either as 'sell that stinking apple to me, and I will eat it' or 'if you sell..., I [...]'.

Chapter 13

AGREEMENT

(Dērinimas)

Agreement is one of the three ways of expressing subordination in Lithuanian (cf. p. 215) and can be defined as a morphological repetition (through desinences) of one and the same grammatical category within certain syntactic relations.

Relevant grammatical categories are: A. gender, B. number, C. person and D. case whereas the relevant syntactic relations are:

- 1) that between attribute and governing word, cf., for example, naujas namas: naujo namo: nauji namai 'new house: of the new house: new houses' where the attributive adjective agrees in gender, number and case with the head noun,
- 2) that between *subject and predicate*, e. g. *namas yra naujas:* namai yra nauji 'the house is new: the houses are new' (agreement in gender, number /and case/ between the subject and the nominal part of the nominal predicate) and aš rašau: jūs rašote (agreement in person and number with the verbal predicate), and
- 3) that between antecedent and the anaphoric pronoun (including the relative) as, for instance, in: Viename parke sedėjo vyras. Su juo kalbėjo moteris 'There was a man sitting in a park. A woman was speaking with him'; [...] vyras, su kuriuo kalbėjo moteris '[...] a man with whom a woman was speaking'.

For convenience relation 1) is generally referred to as *attributive* agreement and 2) as *predicate* agreement. Relation 3) will only be marginally commented upon.

There are two kinds of agreement, formal (or grammatical) and logical (semantic). The above definition explicitly pays attention to the former only. To cover also logical agreement, the definition should be modified as follows: systematic covariation between a formal or semantic property of one element and a formal property of another. An example of logical agreement would be: jau yra atvykę keletas žmonių 'some people have already arrived' whereas formal agreement would be *keletas žmonių yra atvykęs with atvykęs in agreement with keletas in gender, number

and case (nominative). From a normative point of view only the *atvykę*-solution seems to be acceptable.

Agreement can be regarded from two different angles:

I. that of the grammatical categories (i. e. A, B, C and D)

II. that of the syntactic relations (i. e. 1 - 3).

The exposition below will follow I. It should also be pointed out that emphasis will be put on special cases which are expected to cause trouble to the student rather than uncomplicated ones like those already quoted in the above illustrations.

A. Special Cases of Agreement in Gender

For generalities on gender, see p. 37 f. above.

a) Lithuanian has a quite limited group of nouns in -a denoting human beings (so-called "hybrids") which are masc. when they refer to males, but fem. if females are referred to, cf., for example, akiplėša 'wretch', garbėtroška 'ambitious person', válkata 'vagabond'.

Examples:

Relation 1):

pavojingas (male)/pavojinga (female) akiplėša 'a dangerous wretch'

Relation 2):

akiplėša yra pavojingas (male)/pavojinga (female) 'the wretch is dangerous'

Relation 3):

atėjo akiplėša 'a wretch appeared'. Jis buvo pavojingas 'he was dangerous'/ji buvo pavojinga 'she was dangerous'.

There is also a small group of nouns in -as which are either masc. or fem. depending on the biological gender (sex) of the person in question, e. g. krapštùkas 'pedantic person'. Thus, senas krapštukas has reference to a male person whereas sena krapštukas has female reference.

Nouns denoting *professions* do not show the same ambiguity with respect to gender in Lithuanian as the above mentioned cases since they obligatorily form pairs of the type *pedagogas* (male): *pedagogė* (female) 'pedagogue', *mokytojas* (male): *mokytoja* (female) 'teacher', *profesorius* (male): *profesorė* (female) 'professor'. Consequently, they do not entail complications with respect to agreement. It should, however, be emphasized that masc. is the unmarked gender. Thus, a general introductory statement like *mūsų kaime dabar yra naujas gydytojas* 'in our village there is now a new physician' does not exclude the possibility that the new doctor could be a woman.

- b) Also the personal pronouns $a\check{s}$ 'I' and tu 'you' are gender differentiating, cf., for example, $a\check{s}$ esu girdėjęs (male) vs. girdėjusi (female) 'I have heard'
- c) This point is devoted to *indeclinable* nouns. When the gender rules given on p. 50 are observed, these nouns do not cause much trouble in terms of agreement, except for certain vacillations within the class of inanimate proper nouns which can obtain so-called "associative" gender, e. g. *ilgas Po* 'the long (river) Po' or *ilga Po* through association with the feminine *upė* 'river'. The latter solution seems to be preferable.

Associative agreement can further be used in cases like Antano Strazdo "Pulkim ant kelių" dar populiari 'A. S.'s "Let us fall on our knees" is still popular'. The feminine agreement is due to the association with giesmė 'song'. It would probably also be possible to apply the masc. form populiarus in virtue of the unmarked value of this gender. A safe (and therefore recommendable) solution is to insert a common noun like giesmė before the title in which case attributes and predicates must agree with the common noun.

d) For abbreviations the guiding principle is agreement with the grammatical head noun of the abbreviation, cf., for example: $LK\check{Z}$ (= Lietuvių kalbos žodynas) yra gana išsamus 'the LKŽ-dictionary of the Lithuanian language is quite comprehensive'.

In some cases also associative (or near to associative) agreement is found. Thus, for instance, the name of the British Broadcasting Corporation, *BBC*, can be associated with 'korporacija' or 'kampanija' and take feminine agreement.

- e) Noun combinations of the type raketa nešėja 'rocket carrier', inžinierius statybininkas 'enterprise engineer', lokys žvejys 'fisherman bear' do not posit problems with respect to agreement since the qualifying second element will repeat the gender of the first member. Thus, for example, a woman enterprise engineer would be inžinierė statybininkė.
- f) The pronoun kas can have neutral agreement, cf. kas kita 'something else' and kas tam lygu 'something like that'. The same pertains to viskas: viskas, kas buvo jo gyvenime, buvo gera 'all that was in his life, was good'; it is impossible to say *viskas, kas buvo jo gyvenime, buvo geras.

Observe also the following interesting example: Nuo tiesioginės netiesioginė kalba skiriasi [...] dar ir tuo, jog joje prarandama keletas

svetimajai kalbai būdingų savitumų, [...] 'from direct speech the indirect differs [...] also through the circumstance that in it some peculiarities characteristic of other people's language are lost [...]'.

When there is no reference at all, i. e. in nominal one-part sentences, the neuter adjective form must equally be used: *visur yra ramu* 'it is quiet everywhere', cf. also p. 68 f. and 221 f.

g) This point is reserved for *interjections*. Such words, deprived of gender attributing indications, show - like the bulk of indeclinable nouns - masculine agreement since masc. is the unmarked gender in Lithuanian (cf. p. 50): (buvo išgirstas) garsus brrr 'a loud brrr (was heard)'.

B. Special Cases of Agreement in Number

- a) Plural nouns (cf. p. 51 f.) require modifiers in the plural: rungtynės buvo sunkios 'the match was difficult', laimingų Naujųjų metų! 'Happy New Year!', Šiauliai dideli 'S. is big'. The alternative Šiauliai didelis was not accepted by my informants who were willing to approve Šiauliai didelis only when miestas is explicitly added.
- b) For *collective nouns* (see p. 53 f.) the norm requires modifiers in the singular: *dabartinis jaunimas* 'today's youth'. An anaphoric pronoun can, however, be used in singular or plural: *Lietuvos jaunimas kur jis* or *jie eina?* 'the youth of Lithuania where is it/are they going?'
- c) *Indeclinable* nouns, require singular or plural agreement dependent on the reference to the extra-linguistic situation. Thus, *naujas meniu* means 'a new menu' whereas *nauji meniu* must be translated as 'new menus'.
- d) This point pertains to abbreviations. Under A. above it was stated that agreement in this case basically follows the form of the head noun. This rule holds good also with respect to agreement in number with some exceptions. Thus, for example, with JAV (= Jungtinės Amerikos Valstijos) singular agreement is also possible despite the fact that valstybės is a plural form: JAV buvo įkurta/įkurtos 1776-ais metais 'The USA was founded in 1776'. The former alternative (įkurta) seems to imply association with the feminine singular Amerika.

- e) When two (or more) coordinate nouns are qualified by one and the same adjective(s), the adjective(s) can be put in the plural to obtain unambiguity: *mažieji brolis ir sesuo* 'the little brother and sister' (i. e. both are small).
- f) Further, the polite form of the personal pronoun $J\bar{u}s$ requires singular agreement with adjectives and participles when one person is referred to; a finite form of the verb, however, must be in the plural: Ar $J\bar{u}s$ esate stiprus/stipri//pavargęs/pavargusi 'are you strong/tired?', kam $J\bar{u}s$ rašote šitą laišką 'to whom are you writing this letter?'
- g) The pronoun *kas* 'who?' is used with singular agreement irrespective of the number of persons or objects referred to: *kas yra atėjęs Algis ir Birutė*, *arba tik Algis?* 'who has come Algis and Birute, or just Algis?' (It should further be observed that in the Standard language *kas* shows only masc. agreement, not feminine.)
- h) Finally, mention should be made of group subjects with *quantificators* as the grammatical head of the type *daug*, *keletas* and others + the genitive plural of countable nouns: *jau yra atėję keletas žmonių*. In such cases *logical* agreement should be used, see introduction to this chapter above.

C. Special Cases of Agreement in Person

Generally it can be said that the 1st person dominates the 2nd, and the 2nd the 3rd person, e. g. tu ir $a\check{s}$ (= mes) einame, tu ir ji(s) (= $j\bar{u}s$) einate, mes ir jie/jos einame, $j\bar{u}s$ ir jie/jos einate.

The relative pronoun *kuris*, *kuri* is expected to harmonize with the 3rd person. However, when the relative pronoun points to another person than the 3rd person, the verb of the relative clause will usually be in the 1st or 2nd person, cf., for example, the following passage from the Bible: *tėve mūsų*, *kurs essi danguje* (Matth. VI, 9) 'our father which art in Heaven'. From modern everyday language: *aš*, *kuris/kuri gyvenu čia* 'I who am living here', *tu*, *kuris/kuri gyveni čia* 'you who are living here'.

D. Special Cases of Agreement in Case

Here mention should be made of combinations of common noun + proper name where the latter appears in quotation marks as, for

example, in book titles etc. In such cases the proper name will remain undeclined independent of the case of the common noun, e. g. romane "Karas ir taika" 'in the novel "War and peace". However, if the common name is deleted, the title must be declined (provided that it is declinable): "Kare ir taikoje" 'in "War and Peace". Another example would be šitas incidentas įvyko netoli nuo fabriko "Vilna" 'this incident occurred not far from the factory "Wool".

With titles of professions + personal names, however, the title and the proper name harmonize with respect to case, e. g. kreiptis į profesorę Jakaitienę 'apply to Professor Jakaitiene'.

With other combinations of *common noun* + *proper noun* the basic principle is positing the proper name in the genitive case, see chapter on the cases p. 179 ff.

In appositions with kaip 'as', the same case should be used on either side of kaip, e. g. visi remiasi šiuo darbu kaip labai geru vadovėliu 'everyone refers to this book as a very good handbook'. Exceptions are found in cases like tokiuose miestuose, kaip Ryga, Vilnius, Londonas 'in such cities as Riga, Vilnius, London'. Neither in Lithuanian nor English is it natural to say ... kaip Rygoje, Vilniuje, Londone 'in such cities as in Riga, Vilnius and London'. Compare also the following example: niekada neatras tokios mergaitės kaip Aušra (i. e. [...] kaip yra Aušra) 'you will never find such a girl as (is) Aušra'.

Contrast the following two examples aš jį pažįstu kaip draugą: aš jį pažįstu kaip draugas, both meaning 'I know him as a friend'. The former utterance, however, expresses that he is a friend (of somebody's, possible also me) whereas in the latter draugas refers to the subject, i. e. aš, būdamas jo draugas, [...] 'I, being a friend of his [...]'.

With transitive verbs which are construed with a direct object plus an object predicative (e. g. apibūdinti 'characterize as' and laikýti 'consider; regard as') the problem arises whether a construction accusative + kaip + accusative or accusative + instrumental (without kaip!) should be used. With apibūdinti the former construction is required (e. g. savo kalboje šeimininkas apibūdino svečią kaip gerą draugą 'in his speech the host characterized the guest as a good friend') whereas laikyti requires the latter construction: visi jį laikė geru draugu 'everybody considered him a good friend', cf. also p. 190.

Chapter 14

WORD ORDER

(Žõdžių tvarkà)

Introductory Remarks

This chapter could more adequately be called *element order* since it also includes a description of the position of certain *clitics* (cf. section III below) which cannot be defined as words, but *word order* is a common term and covers most of the contents of this chapter whence we have decided to adopt this term.

The introduction of a bipartite *communicative analysis* of the utterance in *theme* (i. e. given information) and *rheme* (= new information) by Czech linguists (Mathesius, and further elaborated by Daneš and others) meant no less than a revolution with respect to our knowledge about the mechanisms and principles behind word order.

For theme the term "topic" is also used and for rheme "comment"

In prosodically neutral declarative sentences the position of the theme is initial whereas the rheme occupies sentence final position. The theme-rheme segmentation seems to be important in all languages, but is of special importance in a non-article language like Lithuanian. In Germanic and Romance languages the articles are of great help in identifying the theme and the rheme since the former usually adopts a definite form in opposition to the latter which normally shows either indefinite or zero article, cf. the following example from English: 'Yesterday I met an old man (rheme). The man/he (theme) was sitting outside a little, red house (rheme). The house/it (theme) had small windows (rheme)'. The notions theme and rheme make sense only within a context which is built up by theme-rheme chains as illustrated in the above example.

A context must necessarily start at some point whence the introductory part will usually be context non-dependent and consequently themeless. For examples, see below.

Languages without a case system, like for instance English and Scandinavian, do not offer the same possibilities for variations in word order as do case languages. In the former type of languages word order has a grammatical function. Thus, in sentences containing the three constituents subject, verb and object, the subject must occupy the initial position in caseless languages whereas this is not obligatory in a language like Lithuanian where the subject can be distinguished from the object through the case ending whereby their place in the sentence is not so vital as, for instance, in English and Scandinavian. Thus, in Lithuanian it is possible to say either Jonas parašė laišką (SVO) or laišką parašė Jonas (OVS). The former sentence is translated into English as 'John wrote a letter' while for the second we have to choose a passive construction 'the letter was written by John' to satisfy both the grammatical need for reserving the initial position for the subject and preserving the theme-rheme structure of the Lithuanian sentence. From the two sentence variants in Lithuanian it can be concluded 1) that they are not identical from a communicative point of view since their theme/rheme structures are different and 2) that an active OVS sentence in Lithuanian often corresponds to a passive construction in English (see also section on Voice, p. 109 ff.).

Generally it should be emphasized that the variations in word order are fewer in non-fictional prose than in fiction and above all in colloquial speech (as well as in poetry). The presentation below is more or less restricted to the sphere of non-fictional prose. Further, our description concentrates on simple sentences since very little has been done in the field of word order in compound sentences, especially large text units. A further complication is that there are few works on word order in Lithuanian; the best one available at present is probably that found in the grammar of 1994 ed. by V. Ambrazas, pp. 645 - 658, which, however, is in Lithuanian and can thus be used only by students who are familiar with this language.

An alternative for students with a knowledge of Russian would be the 1985 grammar of Lithuanian, edited by the same Ambrazas. Shortly Ambrazas et al. will be presenting an English edition which will partly be built on another concept than both the grammar of 1985 and that of 1994.

Finally, one must distinguish between changeable and non-changeable word order. To the latter type belong the structurally determined obligatory sentence initial position of the interrogative particle ar (ar Jūs esate ponas Ambrazas? 'are you Mr. A.?') as well as

the position of the negation immediately *before* the word which is negated, cf. for example *jis nerašo* 'he doesn't write': *jis rašo ne tik romanus, bet irgi poeziją* 'he writes not only novels, but also poetry'. See also the section on clitics below, points 1 and 3.

It should be observed that changeable word order is not synonymous with free word order. Even though word order in Lithuanian is changeable to a considerable degree, still it is not free in the sense that variation in word order is of no importance. This is because a change in word order will normally imply another communicative structure and thus alter the content of the utterance. A change in word order can also alter the type of style.

The disposition below will proceed according to the following scheme:

- I. The position of the members of the sentence,
- II. The position of the members in the noun phrase,
- III. The position of clitics. Clitic rules.

The strictest rules can be formulated for III whereas II and especially I are based upon less rigid rules. The order of the members of the sentence show many variations in Lithuanian, which makes the picture extremely complicated.

I. THE POSITION OF THE MEMBERS OF THE SENTENCE

The analysis will start with sentences containing the sentence members subject (S) and verb (V) only. From such structures we will proceed to extended structures including an object (O), i. e. SVO-, OVS-structures etc. and also to the somewhat looser structures with adverbials (SVAdv/AdvVS etc.). Many grammarians would prefer the opposite alternative and start from and regard the SVO-structure as basic and deduce other structures, including the simpler SV/VS-structures, from it.

Our analysis will concentrate on sentences with a *verbal predicate* (see p. 211) almost to the exclusion of sentences containing a *nominal predicate* (p. 211). This is because the latter has to a considerable degree been dealt with elsewhere (in connection with the adjective p. 67 and the participles p. 164 ff.) and further because sentences of this type show less variation in word order.

Here declarative sentences will be dealt with first. Then follows a description of word order in interrogative sentences of different types.

1. Declarative Sentences

Let us start our short survey of word order in Lithuanian by commenting on:

A. Non-Extended Sentences

Such sentences consist of subject and verb only whereby the usual (and unmarked) word order is SV: *Jonas skaito* 'John is reading', *profesorė Jakaitienė dėsto* 'professor J. is teaching', *vaikas verkia* 'the child is crying'.

In themeless sentences, i. e. introductory, existential and similar ones, however, the unmarked word order is VS: gyveno senelis ir senelė 'once upon a time) there were/lived a grandfather and a grandmother'; buvo (jau vėl) vakaras 'it was (already late in the) evening', atėjo mokytojas 'the teacher came'. In such cases the verb typically belongs to the semantic sphere of existence and appearance.

The VS-order is also the rule in sentences where the subject does not denote an *agent* such as for instance *lijo lietus* 'it was raining'. The variant *lietus lijo* would imply a stylistically marked sentence (with the logical stress on *lietus*).

Observe further cases like *Mirė rašytojas 1989 m*. 'the writer died in 1989', formulas typically found at the end of encyclopaedic entries.

Typical of newspaper headlines are (passive) VS constructions of the type *Pavogtas Estijos ambasadorės* "Mercedes" 'the Estonian ambassador's "Mercedes" stolen"; Apiplėštas Marijampolės [firmos] "Fenix" prezidentas 'the director of the firm "Phoenix" in Mariampolė robbed'; Sulaikytas [...] vadas "Kot" 'maffia-leader "Kot" seized/arrested'.

The VS word order must further be used with verbs of saying/thinking and the like after direct speech. Examples: - Dėkui, dėkui, - atsakė žmogelis "Thanks a lot", the man answered; - Aš noriu išlipti, - tarė jis "I want to get off", he said.

B. Extended Sentences

a) Adverbial Extensions

Adverbial modifiers can simply be placed before the V in VS type sentences: vakar visą dieną lijo lietus 'yesterday it was raining all day', į kambarį atėjo vaikas 'a child came into the room', lit. 'into the room (there) came a child', ant sienos kabo paveikslas 'on the wall a painting is hanging'. The structures AdvVS with thematic adverbial + rhematic subject in the last two examples are contrasted by the SVAdv sentences

with thematic subject + rhematic adverbial: vaikas įėjo į kambarį 'the child entered the room' and paveiksalas kaba ant sienos 'the painting is hanging on the wall'.

In contrast to English and many other languages, among them Scandinavian, Lithuanian tolerates a cumulation of two or more adverbials of time and place at the beginning of the sentence (before the verb and the subject), e. g. miške kaip tik tuo metu viename mažame namelyje gyveno plėšikai lit. 'in the forest just at that time in a little house there lived (some) bandits'; rugpjūčio 17 dieną apie 17 val. kelyje Kaunas - Šakiai budėję Kauno rajono kelių policijos ekipažo darbuotojai bandė sustabdyti automobilį VAZ - 2109 lit. 'on August 17 around 5 p. m. on the highway between Kaunas and Šakiai workmen on duty [...] tried to stop a car [...]'.

Here also the position of adverbs accompanying verbs (regardless of their semantic type) should be commented upon. The neutral position of adverbs is immediately in front of the verb they qualify. This is above all the case with adverbs of manner, e. g. jie gerai dirba 'they work well', but holds good also for adverbs of place, time and cause, cf. for example aš čia gyvenu 'I live here', dabar visur lyja 'now it is raining everywhere', mes tuojau grįšim 'we will return at once', vaikas kažkodėl susirgo 'the child fell ill for some reason or other'. The immediately-before-the-verb-position-principle is also valid for prepositional and noun phrases functioning as adverbials of manner, e. g. vaikai mane ant rankų nešiojo 'the children carried me on their arms', motina balsu pravirko 'mother began to cry loudly'. Adverbial case constructions expressing meanings other than that of manner seem to have a freer position in relation to the verb. Generally speaking, however, postposition of an adverbial modifier serves the purpose of some communicative emphasis, cf., for example aš gyvenu gerai 'I am getting on/living well'.

b) Object Extensions

One Object

Such sentences have to some extent already been commented upon, cf. the examples quoted above, viz. SVO (Jonas parašė laišką) vs. OVS (laišką parašė Jonas), under introductory remarks. For that illustration a transitive verb (parašyti) was chosen. The definition of transitive verbs in caseless languages, however, differs from that which is valid for case languages. In the former group a verb can be defined as transitive without any constraint as to the nature of the object by which it is accompanied whereas in the latter as a rule only accusative governing verbs (without involvement of prepositions) can be transitive, i. e. can be "transformed" from active to passive or vice versa. Thus, a sentence like

'the boy was helped by a/the girl' should be rendered in Lithuanian by vaikui padėjo mergaitė and not *vaikas buvo mergaitės padėtas since the verb padėti 'to help' requires the dative case and is consequently intransitive. Here vaikui is thematic in opposition to the rhematic mergaitė; in the variant mergaitė padėjo vaikui the theme is expressed by mergaitė, the rheme by vaikas.

According to Ambrazas op. cit. 1994, p. 649 the neutral word order in sentences containing an object is SVO, where S is thematic, O rhematic: jis labai myli savo motiną 'he loves his mother very much'. Also in one-part sentences (for the term, see p. 219 ff.) the neutral order seems to be VO: Šiauliuose stato naują mokyklą 'in Šiauliai a new school is being built' (.../they/build a new school').

However, even the alternative (S)OV model can be close to neutral. Thus, a variation between (S)VO and (S)OV can be observed with minimal communicative nuances between them in many cases, especially when the object is expressed by an anaphoric pronoun. In such cases the (S)OV structure may even be said to be preferred: visas miestas mane gerbė 'the whole city respected me' (in this case the S and the O can be regarded as thematic in contrast to the V which is rhematic), kiškis visų bijodavo 'the hare was afraid of everybody'.

The SOV word order is also typical of general statements like dideli vaikai širdį drasko lit. 'big children tear the heart'. Further, it is frequently encountered in folkloric texts and fairy tales (cf., for example: kai paskutinysis išsikrapštys, aš maišą paimsiu 'when the last one has succeeded in getting out, I will take the bag') and in dialects.

Other variants with respect to word order (VSO and VOS) are likely to be stylistically marked. The possible close to neutral OVS model (as equivalent to a passive construction in English) was mentioned above.

Our treatment of the position of the object so far has had as its tacit presupposition that the sentences in question are context-bound. In non-context dependent sentences the SVO and SOV models can also be encountered, e. g: kartą žmogus pamiškėj bulves kasė 'once (upon a time) a man was digging potatoes at the edge of a forest'. Since the same word order is observed here as in the neutral models of context dependent sentences demonstrated above, the question whether they are context-free or not, can only be determined by the situation or context (or rather lack thereof). In non-context dependent sentences the VOS and OSV models are claimed to be extremely rare whereas the VSO and OVS-patterns seem to be common.

Two Objects

As in the case of adverbial modifiers there may be more than one object in the sentence. A frequent case is that with an indirect (dative) object denoting a person + a direct accusative object referring to a thing, e. g. mergaitė davė vaikui obuolį 'the girl gave the boy an apple'. A neutral reading of this sentence with no particular emphasis on any sentence member implies that the dative object is thematic, the accusative object rhematic. This SVOindOdir will probably be the commonest (and consequently "neutral") word order pattern for sentences containing both a dative and an accusative object. If the order of the dative and the accusative object is reversed, the latter will take over the thematic role whereas the former will turn into a rhematic object: mergaitė davė obuolį vaikui 'the girl gave the apple to a (the) boy'. In both cases the objects are postverbal.

However, both objects *may* also be put in preverbal position in which case both of them are most likely to be thematic provided that they are not especially emphasized.

In the case of *pronominalization* the pronoun (be it the indirect or direct object) will usually precede the noun object, e. g. *mergaitė davė jam obuolį* (or, may be, even more commonly: *mergaitė jam davė obuolį*), *mergaitė davė jį vaikui* 'the girl gave it, i. e. the apple to a (the) boy'.

If both the indirect and the direct object are pronominalized, the normal word order will be preverbal position for both with the indirect object preceding the direct one: *mergaitė jam jį davė* 'the girl gave it to him'. Pronominalization of this kind naturally signalizes thematization. If the situation is clear one of the pronominal objects can be deleted, e. g. *vaikas išėmė iš kišenės obuolį ir jai davė* 'the boy took an apple out of his pocket and gave (it) to her'.

2. Interrogative Sentences

So far some basic patterns of word order in simple declarative sentences have been examined. For matters of economy our treatment of interrogative sentences will be restricted. Two types of interrogative sentences should be distinguished, viz. 1) those containing an interrogative pronoun or adverb (so-called *wh*-questions in English, "k-questions" in Lithuanian) and 2) those not possessing an interrogative pronoun or adverb, but possibly the particle *ar* ("yes/no-questions").

Illustrations of type 1 questions:

SV: Kas groja?' 'Who is playing?' Answer (V)S: (Groja) Gražina 'G. (is playing)'.

AdvVS: Kur groja Gražina/kur ji groja? 'where is G./she playing?' Answer: (SV)Adv: (G./ji groja) restorane' (G./she is playing) in the restaurant',

OVS: *Ką darė Gražina?* (or OSV: *ką Gražina darė?*) 'What did G. do?' Answer: (S)V//(S)VO: (*Ji*) *grojo*//(*ji*) *žiūrėjo televiziją* '(she) played/(she) looked at TV';

OSV: Ką studentai skaito?/ką jie skaito? 'what do students/they read?' (or OVS:ką skaito studentai?). Answer: (SV)O: (Studentai/jie skaito) savo programą, laikraščius ir romanus '(the students/they read) their programme, newspapers and novels';

AdvSVAdv: *Kada G./ji grįžo iš restorano?* 'when did G./she return from the restaurant?' Answer: (SV)Adv (G./ji grįžo) 11 valandą (G./she returned) at 11 p. m.'

Type 2) questions can be with or without the interrogative particle ar. It was also emphasized that this particle is only encountered in sentence initial position: Ar studentai/jie skaito knygas? 'do students/they read books?' If the ar particle is omitted, the remaining word order can be preserved: Studentai/jie skaito knygas? In speech, this variant must, however, be pronounced with a specific interrogative intonation to separate it from a declarative sentence with the same word order: Studentai/jie skaito knygas 'students read books'.

Also other variants of word order are possible, thus VSO in: Ar skaito studentai knygas? and VOS in: Ar skaito knygas studentai? In the former the centre of the rheme is knygas, in the latter - studentai.

II. THE POSITION OF THE MEMBERS IN THE NOUN PHRASE

A noun phrase can be defined as a word group (žõdžių junginỹs) which is a combination of two or more words of a nominal word class constituting a semantic unit. One word (a noun) in the group occupies the role of grammatical head under which the other constituent/s is/are subordinated (through agreement, government or juxtaposition, see pp. 214 and 230).

Examples: naujas namas '(a) new house' (agreement), tėvo namas 'father's house' (government).

These are *simple* word groups in opposition to *complex*: *naujas tėvo namas* 'father's new house'.

A typical example of "juxtaposition" could be the combination of a verb and an adverb (e. g. eiti greitai 'to walk fast'), but verbal word groups of this kind have practically already been dealt with under I above. In this section we will therefore concentrate on nominal word groups.

The *neutral* position of *attributes*, both congruent (expressed by adjectives, adjectival pronouns and numerals) and non-congruent (expressed by nouns and certain pronouns), is *before* the governing noun, i. e. *naujas namas**, *tėvo namas*, *alaus butelis* 'beer bottle'. Exceptions to this rule are rare, but can be found in poetry (e. g. [...] *i mėnesį šaltą* 'in(to) the cold moon'. With the adnominal partitive genitive, however, *postposition* is the normal order: *butelis alaus* 'a bottle of beer'.

*The order *namas naujas* would be understood as 'the house is new' with deletion of the copulative verb *yra*.

Further, the congruent attribute is normally placed before the non-congruent, e. g. naujas tėvo namas, baltas obel(ie)s žiedas 'the white flower of the apple tree' rather than *tėvo naujas namas, obels baltas žiedas. With non-congruent attributes expressed by other cases than the genitive, the neutral position of the attribute is postposition: šalimais stovėjo vyriškis ilgu juodu švarku (Baltušis) 'nearby there stood a man with a long, black jacket', įvairiai žmonės kalbėjo apie įvykį dvare (Cvirka) 'people spoke in different ways about the event in/at the mansion'.

In sequences of the type 'all these my beautiful flowers' the order of words is determinative pronoun + demonstrative + possessive + adjective: visos šitos mano gražios gėlės.

Participle Attributes

Preposited active participles often denote a secondary action of the subject whereas postposited participles have adverbial (circumstantial) meaning, cf. brolis pavalgęs išėjo 'the brother had his meal and went out': brolis išėjo pavalgęs 'the brother went out (left) after the meal'.

For the position of attributive participles extended with dependent members (objects or adverbials), see p. 164.

III. The Position of Clitics. Clitic Rules

A clitic can be defined as a normally unstressed element (particle/affix or word-like entity) which constitutes *one* stress unit together with its so-called *host word*. Dependent on their position (immediately) to the left or the right of the host word, clitics are referred to as *proclitics* or *enclitics*. Certain clitics can occupy a position either to the left or to the right of the host word. Such clitics are labeled *endoclitics*.

Below the following clitic candidates of Lithuanian will be considered:

- 1) the proclitic interrogative particle ar,
- 2) the proclitic verbal particles te-, be-, tebe-,
- 3) the proclitic negational particle ne-,
- 4) the enclitic deictic (demonstrative) particle -ai
- 5) the endoclitic deictic particle gi
- 6) the endoclitic reflexive particle s(i), is

Ordinary prefixes - like ap-, i-, $u\check{z}$ - etc. (cf. p. 169 ff.) - or prepositions will not be explicitly dealt with here although they behave like 2) and 3) above. Nor will the enclitic pronominal element (M -is/-jo, F-ji/-jos etc.) of the pronominal (long or definite) adjective (cf. p. 64 f. above) be included in the description. In Old Lithuanian this element was an endoclitic since with prefixed adjectives it was inserted between the prefix and the root.

1. The Proclitic Interrogative Particle ar

This particle is written as a separate word and always occupies sentence initial position. It immediately precedes the crucial word of the question which can be a verb, a noun, a pronoun or an adverb(ial) (examples p. 243 above).

2. The Proclitic Verbal Particles te-, be-, tebe-

These prefix-like particles are primarily verbal. For their semantics, see p. 171 f. above. Together with the ordinary prefixes (type ap-, i-, $u\check{z}$ -), the negational particle ne- and the reflexive particle -si-they can form a string of clitics which are subject to strict hierarchic rules with respect to their relative position. Thus, in the case of cumulation te must (immediately) precede be. Further, the position next to the root (i. e. the rightmost position) is occupied by the reflexive particle -si- which can be immediately preceded by be, tebe, ne or nebe. An ordinary prefix - if present - occupies the position between be,

tebe, ne or nebe and -si-, e. g. 3 p. pres. nebepasilieka 'remain(s) is no longer', nebeatsitiñka 'occur(s) no longer occurring'. As demonstrated by these two examples the particles are written together with the verb in one word. Stress rules are given on pp. 102 f., 105 and passim.

3. The Proclitic Negational Particle ne-

In Lithuanian the negational particle is placed immediately before the word which is negated (for examples, see p. 185). When a verb is negated (which is often the case), this rule has to be formulated in a more exact way: its position in the linear hierarchy of prefixes is after an ordinary prefix (type ap-, už etc.), but before be- and -si. This is said implicitly in point 2, but the formulation should be made explicit here.

When ne negates a verb, it is written together with the verb. The same may be the case with nouns, adjectives pronouns and adverbs. In cases with an expressed contrast it is written separately: ne geras/gerai, bet blogas/blogai 'not good/well, but bad/badly', ne jūs, o jie 'not you, but they'.

4. The Enclitic Particle -ai

This particle functions as a frequent vocative marker with masculine proper names, e. g. Vy(taut)ai, cf. p. 41. It is further encountered with certain forms of the personal pronoun (e. g. *jisai*, cf. p. 73) for the purpose of giving them some emphasis and/or making them orthotonic (stressable), see p. 73.

5. The Endoclitic Particle gi

Like the preceding -ai this particle can also have some kind of emphatic or deictic character. It can follow or precede various parts of speech.

Illustrations:

Seniau gi čia buvo miškai 'earlier there were woods here', kur eini gi? 'where are you going, then?', kelk, gi jau prisimiegojai 'get up, you have already slept long enough'. This particle - like also those described in points 2 and 4 - often serves a rhythmic purpose and is frequently almost untranslatable. In German and Scandinavian gi can sometimes be rendered by denn/doch and da (da)/jo (ju) respectively.

As illustrated through the examples given gi is written as a separate

word. Deviations from this principle can be found.

6. The Endoclitic Reflexive Particle -s(i)/-is

As mentioned in point 2 above this particle occupies the position nearest to the root. With unprefixed verbs (and verbal nouns, cf. p. 166) its position is enclitic whereby it appears in the form of -si and -s in postvocalic position (e. g. 3 p. pres. $ru\tilde{o}siasi$: inf. $ru\tilde{o}stis$ 'to prepare oneself'), -is in postconsonantal (e. g. past act. part., m. sg. nom. $ru\tilde{o}sesis$ 'one having prepared oneself'). It is always written together with the verb or verbal noun.

Reference Literature

A. Dictionaries, monographs and articles:

Aleksandravičius, J. (1984), Lietuvių kalbos vadovėlis, Vilnius

Ambrazas, V. et al. (1985), Grammatika litovskogo jazyka, Vilnius

Ambrazas, V. et al. (1994), Dabartinės lietuvių kalbos gramatika, Vilnius

Augustaitis, D. (1964), Das litauische Phonationssystem in: Slavistische Beiträge 12, München

Baldauf, L. (1967), "Der Gebrauch der Pronominalform des Adjektivs im Litauischen", Slavistische Beiträge 26, München

Baldauf, L. (1992), Litauisch Intensiv! Das Lehrbuch der litauischen Sprache, Hamburg

Balkevičius, J. (1963), Dabartinės lietuvių kalbos sintaksė, Vilnius

Bukevičiūtė, E., Eckert, R., Hinze, F. (1994), Die baltischen Sprachen. Eine Einführung, Berlin

Čekmonienė, I., Čekmonas, V. (1992-93), Lietuvių kalba visiems I-5, Vilnius

Dabartinės lietuvių kalbos gramatika (1994), see s. v. Ambrazas above

Dabartinės lietuvių kalbos žodynas (1993), Vilnius

Dambriūnas, L. Klimas, A. Schmalstieg, W. R. (1966), *Introduction to Modern Lithuanian*, Franciscan Fathers Press, Brooklyn, N.Y.

Dambriūnas, L. (1958), "Verbal Aspects in Lithuanian", *Lingua Posnaniensis*, vol. 7, pp. 253-64, Poznan

Dini, P.(1993), Le lingue baltiche in: La formazione dell'Europa linguistica. Le lingue d'Europa tra la fine del I e del II millennio, La Nuova Italia

Endzelin, J. (1971), Comparative Phonology and Morphology of the Baltic Languages, The Hague - Paris

Erhart, A. (1984), Baltské jazyky, Prague

Fraenkel, E. (1928), Syntax der litauischen Kasus, Kaunas

Fraenkel, E. (1929), Syntax der litauischen Postpositionen und Präpositionen, Heidelberg

Fraenkel, E. (1929), Syntax der litauischen Postpositionen und Präpositionen, Heidelberg

Fraenkel, E. (1950), Die baltischen Sprachen, Heidelberg

Geniušienė, R. S.(1973), *Passivnye konstrukcii v litovskom jazyke*, (candidate's diss.), Vilnius

Girdenis, A. (1981), Fonologija, Vilnius

Hauzenberga-Šturma, E. (1979), "Zur Frage des Verbalsapekts im Lettischen", Zeitschrift für vgl. Sprachforschung 93/2, pp. 279-315, Heidelberg (with comments also on Lithuanian)

Hermann, E. (1926), Litauische Studien, Berlin

Jakaitienė et al. (1976), Lietuvių kalbos morfologija, Vilnius

Jakaitienė, E. (1980), *Lietuvių kalbos leksikologija*, Vilnius

Jakaitienė, E.(1994), Lietuviškai apie Lietuvą (Mokomoji knyga kitakalbiams), Vilnius

Kabelka, J. (1982), Baltų filologijos įvadas, Vilnius

Karaliūnaitė, J. (1990), Lietuvių kalbos vadovėlis, Vilnius

Kaukienė, A. (1994), Lietuvių veiksmažodžio istorija, Klaipėda

Kazlauskas, J. (1968), Lietuvių kalbos istorinė gramatika, Vilnius

Kenstowicz, M. (1972), Lithuanian Phonology, in: Studies in the Linguistic Sciences 2(2):1 (USA)

Laigonaitė, A. (1978), Lietuvių kalbos akcentologija, Vilnius

Marvan, J. (1979), Modern Lithuanian Declension, Ann Arbor

Niedermann, M. et al. (1932-68), Wörterbuch der litauischen Sprache, I-V, Heidelberg

LKG (1965-76), Lietuvių kalbos gramatika I-III, Vilnius

Michelini, G. (1985), Tempo(-aspetto) e modalità nelle lingue baltiche, Parma

Orvidienė, E. (1968), Lietuvių kalbos vadovėlis, Vilnius

Otrębski, J. (1958-65), Gramatyka języka litewskiego, (3 vols.), Warsaw

Pakerys, A. (1978), Lietuvių bendrinės kalbos fonetikos pratybos, Vilnius

Pakerys, A., (1982), Lietuvių bendrinės kalbos prozodija, Vilnius

Pakerys, A. (1986), Lietuvių bendrinės kalbos fonetika, Vilnius

Palionis, J. (1979), Lietuvių literatūrinės kalbos istorija, Vilnius

Palionis, J. (1985), Kalbos mokslo pradmenys, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A.(1979), Gramatinės lietuvių kalbos veiksmažodžio kategorijos, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A. (1983), Lietuvių kalbos morfologijos apybraiža, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A. (1989), Gramatinės lietuivių kalbos vardažodžio kategorijos, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A. (1990), Lietuvių kalba I-III, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A. (1994), Lietuvių kalbos morfologija, Vilnius

Paulauskienė, A., Valeika, L. (1994), Modern Lithuanian, Vilnius

Pedersen, H. (1933), Etudes Lituaniennes, Copenhagen

Peterson, M. N. (1955), Očerk litovskogo jazyka, Moscow

Piročkinas, A. (1990), Lietuvių kalbos pamokos I/II, Vilnius

Pischel, S. (1995), Lietuviškai - pradedantiesiems. Litauisch für Anfänger, Vilnius

Rinholm, H. D. (1980), Toward the Semantic Distinctive Features of the Lithuanian Prepositions and Preverbs. An Invariant Component Analysis (2 vls.), Ph.-D. diss., Indiana University, Bloomington (available on demand from Ann Arbor, Michigan)

Robinson, D. (1976), Lithuanian Reverse Dictionary, Cambridge, Mass.

Rosinas, A. (1988), Baltų kalbų įvardžiai, Vilnius

Rosinas, A. (1995), Baltų kalbų įvardžiai: morfologijos raida, Vilnius

Sabaliauskas, A. (1990), Lietuvių kalbos leksika, Vilnius

Saussure, F. de (1896), "L'accentuation lituanienne", Recueil des publications scientifiques, Heidelberg

Schmalstieg, W. R., The Lithuanian Language - Past and Present (special issue of Lituanus), Chicago

Schmalstieg, W. R. (1988), A Lithuanian Historical Syntax, Slavica Publ., Columbus, Ohio

Schmid, W. P. (1963), Studien zum baltischen und indogermanischen Verbum, Wiesbaden

Scholz, F. (1977), "Entwicklungstendenzen des litauischen Nominalsystems" in: A. Rammelmeyer u. G. Giesemann, Ost und West II: Aufsätze zur slavischen und baltischen Philologie und allgemeinen Sprachwissenschaft, Wiesbaden

Senn, A. (1966), Handbuch der litauischen Sprache I-II, Heidelberg (Carl Winter)

Sirtautas, V., Grenda, C. (1988), Lietuvių kalbos sintaksė, Vilnius

Skardžius, P. (1941), Lietuvių kalbos žodžių daryba, Vilnius

Smoczyński, W. (1988), Języki baltyckie in: Języki indoeuropejskie II, pp. 817-905, Warszawa

Stang, Chr. S. (1942), Das slavische und baltische Verbum, Oslo

Stang, Chr. S. (1966), Vergleichende Grammatik der baltischen Sprachen, Universitetsforlaget Oslo - Bergen - Tromsö

Stundžia, B. (1995), Lietuvių bendrinės kalbos kirčiavimo sistema, Vilnius

Tekorienė, D. (1990), Lithuanian. Basic Grammar and Conversation, Vilnius

Ulvydas, K., ed. (1965-76), *Lietuvių kalbos gramatika* (commonly shortened LKG), (3 vols.), Vilnius

Ulvydas, K. ed. 1956-, *Lietuvių kalbos žodynas* (commonly shortened LKŽ) III-, Vilnius (vls. I-II ed. by Balčikonis, Vilnius 1941-)

Valeckienė, A. (ed.) 1976, Lietuvių kalbos rašyba ir skyryba, Vilnius

Young, S. (1991), *The Prosodic Structure of Lithuanian*, University Press of America, Inc. (Maryland)

Zinkevičius, Z. (1966), Lietuvių dialektologija, Vilnius

Zinkevičius, Z. (1980-81), Lietuvių kalbos istorinė gramatika I-II, Vilnius

Zinkevičius, Z. (1984-94), Lietuvių kalbos istorija I-VI, Vilnius

B. Some relevant periodicals:

Acta Baltico-Slavica (1963-), Poznań

Archivum Philologicum (1930-39), Kaunas

Baltistica (1965-), Vilnius

Balto-slavjanskie issledovanija (1972-), Moscow

Gimtoji kalba (1933-1940), Kaunas; 1958-68, USA and 1990-, Vilnius

Journal of Baltic Studies (1970-), AABS (USA)

Kalbotyra (1958-), Vilnius

Kalbos kultūra (1961-), Vilnius

Lietuvių kalbotyros klausimai (1957-), Vilnius

Linguistica Baltica (1992-), Warsaw

Literatūra ir kalba (1956-), Vilnius

Lituanistica (1989-), Vilnius

Lituanus (1954-), Chicago

Mūsų kalba (1968-), Vilnius

Ponto-Baltica (1981-), Firenze

Studi Baltici (1931-38), Roma

Tauta ir žodis (1923-31), Kaunas

Indexes

The alphabetical order below is that of the English alphabet. Diacritics are ignored in the sense that \check{c} is found under c, \check{s} under s etc.

11 01 100 00	107 100	
ablaut 31, 109 ff.	añt 195, 199	
abbreviations 232, 233 f.	antecedent 230	
abù/abì 84, 85	any 79 f.	
abìpus 199	anybody 79 f.	
accent classes 35 f.	anything 79 f.	
accentuation (see also stress) 42 f., 45,	<i>ap(i)</i> - 169	
47, 49, 37 (nouns); 60, 61, 63, 64,	apiē 200, 201, 202	
66 f. (adjectives); 97, 101 ff., 105	aplinkybė, see adverbial	
ff., 108 f., 130 (finite verb), 150,	apliñk 200	
166 f. (non-finite verb)	appositive 58, 67, 165	
accusative 186 ff.; 185 ff. (adverbal);	apposition 69, 210, 216	
188 (adverbial)	approximate number/time 87, 202	
active 96 ff., 139, 143	ař 208, 245	
adjective 58 ff., 181, 182, 188 f., 192	aspect 92, 116, 119 ff., 151, 152	
adhortative 125	aspiration 22	
adjunction 178, 214	assimilation 24 ff.	
address 69, 197 f.	associative gender 232	
adnominal 178, 179 ff., 188 f., 192,	asyndetism 229	
195	àš 72, 232	
adverb 173 ff.	-at(i) 169	
adverbial 178, 182 ff., 187 f., 189 f.,	attribut(iv)e 58, 67, 69, 210, 215 f.	
193 f., 214 f.	aukščiaũ 199	
adverbial clauses 224, 225 ff.	ausis 46	
adversative conjunctions 207	-auti 168	
after 205	auxiliary 115 (compound tenses), 131	
agent(ive) 75, 142, 186, 191	(past subjunctive) 136 ff. (modal),	
agent deletion 142	140 (passive)	
"agent hiding" 142	bè 202	
agentless passive constructions 143 f.	be- 55, 171 f.	
agreement 230 ff., attr. agr. 230, pred.	before 205	
agr. 230, 231 ff. (gender), 195, 197	bei 207	
(indeclinables), 233 f. (number), 233	bendratis, see infinitive (I)	
(abbreviations), 233 (collective	bèt 207, 223	
nouns), 234 (person), 235 (case),	binary 119	
235 (appositions)	both 84 f.	
-ai (adv.) 173	būdinys, see infinitive (II)	
-aip (adv.) 173	can, could, equivalent of 136	
-aitė 55	cardinal numbers, see Numerals	
aktionsarten 116, 119, 121 f.	case 38, 58, 67, 178 ff., 235	
alternations 24, 31	causative 149	
analytic forms 63	clitics 245 ff.	
abipus 199	collective nouns 53 f., 233	
anàpus 198, 199	collective numbers, see Numerals	
1	TOTAL OF THE TANK	

aammanniaatina 226 f	-lament and (226
communicative 236 f.	element order, see word order (p. 236
comparative 62 f., 64, 68, 175	ff.)
comparison 62, 67 f., 201	-elis 55
compensatory lengthening 29 f.	-enti 169
compound sentence 223 ff.	epistemic 138
conjugation, see Verb	can, could, equivalents of 107, may,
conjunctions 207; 207 f. (coordinate),	might 107, must 108, shall, should
208 ff. (subordinate)	108, <i>will, would</i> 108
consecutio temporum 224	-esn- (comparative suffix) 62
consonants 21 ff.	ẽsti 110, 211
coordination 223	-ėti 169
copulative conjunctions 207	every 81
copulative verbs 212	everybody 81
correlative 21	everything 81
<i>-da</i> (adv.) 173	existential constructions 183
daiktavardis, see Noun	explicative clauses 224 f.
dalyviai, see Participles	factitive 149
-dam-gerund/participle 153 ff., 156 f.	finite forms 93
dative 191 ff.; 191 f. (nouns), 192	fractions 90 f.
(adj.), 192 (d. of age), 193 ff.	frequentative 106, 124, 140
(verbs), 194 (impersonal	from - to 205
constructions), 155 f. (d. with	functional sentence perspective (FSP)
gerunds)	142
dative + infinitive 150, 194	future tense 106 ff., 124 f., 126 f.
dates 89 f.	gender 37 f., 231 ff.
daŭg 68, 182	generic 69
debesis 46	genitive 178 ff.; 179 (poss.), 180 (subj.
decimal fractions 91	and obj.), 180 f. (def.), 181 (descr.),
declension 38; 39 f., 40, 44, 45, 47, 48	181 (of material), 181 (w/ adj.), 182
(nouns), 59, 60, 61 (adj.)	(num.), 185 (otherwise trans. verbs),
definite form 64 ff., 69 f., 161, 165 f.	183 (exist. constr.), 184 (gen.
dėkà 201, 202	verbs), 185 (neg. constr.), 185 f.
dėl 201	
	(agentive),186 (of purpose)
deletion 211 (of 3rd subj. pron.), 212	gerund 153 ff. gi (clitic particle) 245 f.
(of copulative verb) deontic 138	
	government 178, 213 f.
determinative clauses 225 dìdis 59 f.	grammatical category 37, 92, 119
	habitual(ity) 121
diphthongs 30 f.	half 91
disjunctive conjunctions 208	"Handlungspassiv" 140, 141
double negation 177	heteroclitics 49 f.
during 206	į- 55, 169
dùrys 47	\tilde{i} 200, 201, 206
dvinariai sakiniai, see Two-part	-iaus- (superlative suffix) 63, 175
sentences	-idė 54
each 81	-iena 54
-ėja/s 52	-ienė 55
elative (function) 68	-ietis 52

-ija 54	jóks, jokià 81	
ikì 201, 205 (prep.), 225 (conj.)	<i>jõs</i> 72 f.	
-iklis 54	jų̃ 73, 75	
-imas 53	juõ - juõ/tuõ 228 f.	
imperative 128 f.	jนึs 73	
imperfective 92, 119, 121	júsų 73, 75	
impersonal 148, 155, 221	juxtaposition, see Adjunction	
in (after) 206	kàd 208	
in (about time) 206	kad(à) 173, 208	
inceptive 116 ff., 127	kadángi 227	
indeclinable(s) 50 ff., 233 (agreement),	kada nórs 174	
indefinite form 59 ff., 67	kaî 226	
indicative 96 ff.	kaip 209, 235	
indirect questions 224	kaip nórs 174	
indirect speech (discourse) 135	kàs 79, 234	
-inė 54	kas nórs 80	
-inėti 169	kažin kàs 79 f.	
infinitive 150 ff.	kažkàs 79 f.	
infinitive sentences 150, 194, 221	kelì/kēlios 79	
-ingas 70	kíek 182	
-ininkas 52	kiekvíenas 81	
-inis 70	kóks, kokià 78	
instrumental 188 ff.; 188 (nouns), 188	kõl 226	
f. (adj.), 189 f. (verbs), 190 f. (adv.),	kuõ - tuõ 228	
191 (agentive)	kur nórs 174	
intransitive 148, 115, 118	kurì/s 79, 234	
interjections 233	kuris nórs 80	
interrogative 216, 224, 242 f.	laikas, see Tense	
-inti 169	lengthening 10	
-inys 53	lìgi 201, 205	
iř 207	linksnis, see Case	
ir - ir 207	locative 195 f.	
iš 81, 200, 201, 202	logical subject 185	
<i>iš</i> - 170	long form 64, 69, 161	
-iškas 70	lýg 209	
iš po 202	manìškis 76	
išskýrus 202	màno 75, 185	
ìšskiriant 202	marked 119	
it 209	martì 39, 45	
iterativity 121	may, might, see Equivalent of 136	
ivardis, see Pronoun	māža 182	
j-affix 98	mėnuo 49	
jéi(gu) 227	mēs 73	
jiế 72 ff.	mes su [] 74	
jinaî 73	metathesis 26	
jitat 73 jìs/jì 72 f., 74	metatony 80	
jisaî 73	mixed diphthongs 31	
jóg 208	modality 136 ff.	
JUS 200	modality 150 II.	

INDEXES

	~ 000 f 005
mood 128 ff.	nuõ 200 f., 205
mora(e) 34	nuosaka, see Mood
morphology 40 ff. (noun), 59-67 (adj.),	õ 223
96 ff. (verb)	object 178, 189, 193, 213.; 240 ff.
morphophonemic rules 94	object predicative 235
<i>much</i> + comparative 68, 176	objects vs. adverbials 214 f.
mùdu/mùdvi 73	-okas 54
must, equivalent of 137	one of [], see <i>Víenas (iš)</i> []
$m\bar{u}$ sų $7\bar{5}$	one-part sentences 216, 217, 218 ff.
n-affix 98 f.	optative, see Permissive/optative
naktìs 46	ordinal numbers, see Numerals
nè 171, 176 f., 245, 246	orthographic 23
nễ 176 ff.	orthotonic 73
ne- 71	OSV 243
nebe- 246	OVS 237, 241, 243
necessity, participle of 163, 165	-oti 168
negation 176 f.; 177 (double neg.), 185	ought to 137
(neg. constr.), 216 (neg. sent.)	pa- 55 f., 170
negù 67 f., 228 f.	pagal 202
nei 177	palatalization 23
nei - nei 177, 207	palatalized 66
nekás 81	palei 200
nès 208, 227	papildinys, see Object
netolì 199	par- 170
neuter form 62, 68, 143	participles 152, 157 ff.; 157-61 (act.
niekadà 174	part.), 161-63 (pass. part.), 163 ff.
niẽkaip 174	(syntax)
niēkur 174	partitive 179, 181 f.
niēkas 80 f.	pàs 199, 200
nobody 80 f.	passive 93, 140 ff.
nominal form 58, 59, 67	past, see Preterite
nominal predicate 211	pàts/patì 78
nominative 196	pažyminys, see Attribute
nominative sentences 222	per 200, 206
none 80	pér- 170
non-finite forms 93, 150 ff.	perfect 92, 114 ff.
nothing 80	perfective 92, 119
no other than 81	permissive/optative 129
nórs 209	person 92, 234
nórs - tačiaũ 209	pėščia/s 59
noun 37	petỹs 41
noun combinations 232	phonology 21 ff.
noun phrase 243	plural nouns 51 f., 233
nu- 170	põ 198, 199, 200, 202, 205, 206
number 38, 58, 92, 230, 233 f.	pó 55 f.
numerals 83 ff.; 83-86 (cardinal	polite address 73
numbers), 86-87 (collective	porà 87
numbers), 87 ff. (ordinal numbers)	positive 58, 175
numbers), or it. (ordinal numbers)	positive 30, 173

INDEXES 255

<i>pra</i> - 170	sàvo 75	
predicate 211 (nominal & verbal)	semantics 175 f., 154 ff.	
predicative 58, 67	semi-diphthongs, see Mixed dipthongs	
prefix(al) 71, 169	-sena 53	
prefixation 120, 169 ff.	sentence 210 ff.	
prepositions 198 ff.; 199 (of place), 200	sentence patterns 217	
f. (of time), 201 (of purpose),	sex 37	
201(of comparison), 201 (of cause)	shall, should, Equivalents of 137	
present (simple) 97 ff., 122 f.	short form 59, 67	
preterite 103 ff., 124 f.	si (refl. part.) 171, 247	
pri- 170	singular nouns 50 f.	
priē 199	šìs 76 ff.	
priedėlis, see Apposition	šìtas 76	
priẽš 199, 200, 202, 205	so [] as 68	
prieveiksnis, see Adverb	soft, see Palatalized	
principal forms 109	some 79 f.	
prõ 200	somebody 79 f.	
pro- 55	something 79 f.	
progressive forms 116 f., 127	(S)OV 241	
pronominalization 242	spelling 27	
pronoun 72 ff., 72 f. (pers.), 74	-st-affix 99	
(anaphor.), 74 f. (poss.), 76	stress (see also Accentuation) 32, 130,	
(reciproc.), 76 ff. (dem.), 78 f.	174	
(interrog. & rel.), 79 f. (indef.), 80 f.	stress movements 34 f.	
(neg.)	sù 201 f.	
proper names 69	su- 170	
pùsė 91	subject 210 f.	
pušis 46	subjunctive 130 ff.	
quantificators 234	subordinate 223	
quantifier 182	substantivization 69	
quantity 32	suffix(al) 53, 70	
questions 242 f.	suffixation 120, 168 f.	
reflexiva tantum 145	superlative 63 f., 175	
reflexive 41 f. (nouns), 74, 75	suprasegmentals 32 ff.	
(pronouns), 96, 98, 100, 103, 104,	sveīkas 74, 90 f.	
106, 108, 145 ff., (verbs: reflexive	SV 239	
proper 145, reciprocal 146,	(S)VO 240	
"aggressive" 147, 159 (part.), 163	SVOindOdir 242	
(part.), 219 f. (impersonal constr.),	syntax 67 (adj.), 85 f., 86 f., 87, 89 f.	
relative mood 134 ff.	(numerals), 150 ff. (infinitive), 154	
rheme 142 ff., 236	ff. (gerunds), 175 ff. (participles),	
rūšis, see Voice	175 f. (adverb), 175 ff. (case), 207	
šaknis 46	ff. (sentence), 230 ff. (agreement),	
šalià 199	236 ff. (word order)	
sangrąžiniai veiksmažodžiai, see	synthetic forms 62 f.	
Reflexive verbs	taî 78	
Saussure's Law 34 ff.	tai - tai 207	
savę̃s etc. 74	taip 78	

INDEXES

4 min 1 h d 2000	
taip - kàd 208 t/Támsta 73 f.	veiksnys, see Subject
	verb particles 171f. verb stem 93 f.
tarinio pažyminys 212	
tarinys, see Predicate	verba commodi 193
tarp 200	verba incommodi 193
tar̃si 209, 228	verbal noun 150, 166 f.
tarýtum 209, 228	verbal predicate 211
tàs 77	very + positive 68, 175
tas pàts 78, 82	vienariai sakiniai, see One-part
taviškis 76	sentences
tàvo 75	vienals kit-76
te- 171 f., 245 f.	víena/s (ìš) 81 f.
tebe- 117, 172, 245 f.	will, would, Equivalents of 137 f.
tegùl 129; 209 (conj.)	vir̃š 199
-telėti 169	visas 81
tense 122 ff.	viskas 81
theme 142 ff., 236	vocative 196 f.
tiẽs 199	voice 93, 139 ff.
tik 208	voicing 25
time expressions 203 ff.; 203 (hours),	võs (tìk) 208
204 (days of the week), 204	VOS 241
(months), 204 (year), 204 (century),	vowels 26 ff.
204 (dates), 204 f. (how	VSO 241
long/often?)	<i>-Vtas</i> 70
-toja/s 52	wh-questions 242
tóǩs, tokià 77	what 78 f.
tone 33 f.	which 78 f.
towards 206	who 78 f.
transitive 144, 148, 186, 187, 193	whose 78 f.
transitivity 144, 148	word formation 52 ff. (nouns), 70 f.
tù 72, 232	(adj.), 168 ff. (verbs)
-tukas 54	word order 236 ff., position of
-tuvas 54	members of the sentence 238 ff.,
-tuvė 54	position of members of NP's 243 f.,
two-part sentences 216, 218 f.	position of clitics 245 ff.)
ugnis 47	yes/no-questions 243
-ukas 55	-yba 53
-umas 53	-ybė 53
unmarked 37, 119	-ykla 54
unvoicing 25	-ymas 53
-uomenė 54	-yn (adv.) 173
-uoti 168	-ynas 54
-utė 54, 55	yrà 110, 211
-utis 54	-ystė 53
ùž 199, 201, 202, 206	-yste 55 -yti 169
	žąsis 46
už- 56, 170	•
veikslas, see Aspect	žmogůs 49 f.
veiksmažodis, see Verb	žuvis 47