# A Grammar of Moskona: An East Bird's Head Language of West Papua, Indonesia 

Gloria J. Gravelle

# A GRAMMAR OF MOSKONA: AN EAST BIRD'S HEAD LANGUAGE OF WEST PAPUA, INDONESIA 

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT<br>ter verkrijging van de graad Doctor aan de Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, op gezag van de rector magnificus prof.dr. L.M. Bouter, in het openbaar te verdedigen ten overstaan van de promotiecommissie van de faculteit der Letteren op donderdag 11 maart 2010 om 13.45 uur in de aula van de universiteit, De Boelelaan 1105

door<br>Gloria Jean Gravelle - Karn<br>geboren te Elkhart, Indiana, U.S.A.

promotor: prof.dr. L.J. de Vries
copromotor: dr. G.P. Reesink

## Table of Contents

Abbreviations and conventions ..... xiii
Maps ..... xvi
Chapter 1, INTRODUCTION ..... - 1
1.1 Introduction to the study ..... $-1$
1.1.1 Theoretical framework and terminology ..... $-1$
1.1.2 Fieldwork and data collection ..... - 2
1.2 The people and area ..... - 2
1.2.1 Geographic setting ..... - 2
1.2.2 Political administration ..... - 3
1.2.3 Demography ..... 3
1.2.4 History ..... - 3
1.2.5 Cultural setting ..... - 4
1.2.6 Traditional beliefs and world view ..... - 5
1.2.7 Kinship ..... - 6
1.2.8 Education ..... $-6$
1.3 The language ..... - 7
1.3.1 Linguistic classification ..... $-7$
1.3.2 Typology ..... - 8
1.3.3 Dialects- ..... - 9
1.3.3.1 Bilabial phonemes ..... - 9
1.2.3.2 Syllabification of /w/ ..... 11
1.2.3.3 Phoneme preferences ..... 11
1.2.3.4 Word structure ..... 13
1.2.3.5 Lexical forms ..... 14
Chapter 2, PHONOLOGY and MORPHOPHONEMICS ..... 15
2.0 Introduction ..... 15
2.1 Phonemes ..... 16
2.1.1 Consonants ..... 16
2.1.1.1 Consonants in all relevant environments ..... 16
2.1.1.2 Consonantal oppositions ..... 23
2.1.2 Vowels ..... 28
2.1.2.1 Vowels in all relevant environments ..... -28
2.1.2.2 Vocalic oppositions ..... 30
2.1.2.3 Vowel sequences and syllable nuclei ..... 32
2.2 Phonotactics ..... 34
2.2.1 Syllable structure ..... 34
2.2.1.1 Syllable shapes and root structures ..... 35
2.2.1.2 Running speech forms ..... 41
2.2.1.2.1 Elision of/w/ ..... 43
2.2.2 Consonant sequences ..... 43
2.2.2.1 Consonant sequences across syllable boundaries ..... 43
2.2.2.2 The mbr sequence ..... 47
2.2.3 Vowel Sequences ..... 47
2.2.3.1 Vowel sequences across syllable boundaries ..... 47
2.3 Pitch accent system ..... 49
2.3.1 Acoustic and perceptual correlates of accented syllables ..... 49
2.3.2 Accent placement ..... 51
2.3.2.1 Unaccented roots ..... 53
2.3.2.2 Accent placement in affixed forms ..... 54
2.3.2.3 Accent in reduplicated forms ..... 55
2.4 Reduplication ..... 56
2.4.1 Monosyllabic bases ..... 57
2.4.2 Disyllabic bases ..... 57
2.4.3 Tri-syllabic bases ..... 60
2.4.4 Templates with pre-specified features ..... 61
2.4.4.1 Pre-specified high (front) vowel ..... 61
2.4.4.2 Pre-specified consonant $/ \mathrm{m} /$ ..... 61
2.4.5 Multiple Reduplicants ..... 63
2.4.6 Verb stems ..... 63
2.5 Morphophonemic processes ..... 64
2.5.1 Morphophonemic processes and bound forms ..... 64
2.5.1.1 Assimilation ..... 65
2.5.1.2 Coalescence ..... 66
2.5.1.2.1 Pronominal prefixes ..... 66
2.5.1.2.2 Plural marker ..... 68
2.5.1.3 Elision ..... 68
2.5.1.4 Reciprocal circumfix em- -ima ..... 70
2.5.2 Morphophonemic processes between free forms ..... 72
2.5.2.1 Morphophonological reduction ..... 72
2.5.2.2 Minor morphophonological processes ..... 73
2.5.3 Loan words and adaptations ..... 74
2.6 Practical orthography ..... 75
Chapter 3, MAJOR WORD CLASSES ..... 79
3.0 Introduction ..... 79
3.1 Nouns ..... 79
3.1.1 Common and proper nouns ..... 79
3.1.1.1 Common nouns ..... 80
3.1.1.2 Proper nouns ..... 80
3.1.2 Alienable and inalienable nouns ..... 82
3.1.2.1 Alienable nouns ..... 82
3.1.2.2 Inalienable nouns ..... 84
3.1.2.2.1 Body-part nouns ..... 86
3.1.2.2.2 Spatial relator nouns ..... 87
3.1.2.2.3 Inalienable nouns with ef- ..... 89
3.1.3 Nouns referring to humans ..... 90
3.1.3.1 Generic nouns denoting humans ..... 90
3.1.3.2 Kinship terms ..... 91
3.1.3.2.1 Consanguineal kinship terms ..... 92
3.1.3.2.2 Affinal kinship terms ..... 96
3.1.3.2.3 Plural marker on kinship terms ..... 98
3.1.4 Noun compounds ..... 98
3.1.4.1 Noun plus noun compounds ..... $-99$
3.1.4.1.1 Generic-specifier noun compounds ..... 99
3.1.4.1.2 Whole-part compounds ..... 100
3.1.4.1.3 Associative compounds ..... 101
3.1.4.1.4 Compounds for introduced items ..... 102
3.1.4.1.5 Noun compounds with gender words ..... 102
3.1.4.1.6 Pronominal compounds with gender words ..... 103
3.1.4.2 Noun plus verb compounds ..... 104
3.1.4.3 Morphological reduction in noun compounds ..... 105
3.2 Verbs ..... 106
3.2.1 Inflectional and derivational categories ..... 106
3.2.1.1 Pronominal prefixes ..... 106
3.2.1.2 Pronominal circumfix ..... 108
3.2.1.3 Mode morpheme em- 'IRR' ..... 110
3.2.1.4 Durative aspect ..... 112
3.2.1.5 Causative marker ..... 114
3.2.1.5.1 Morphological causative ..... 114
3.2.1.5.2 Cross-referencing instrument ..... 118
3.2.1.6 Verbalizer prefix ..... 118
3.2.2 Categories of verbs ..... 119
3.2.2.1 Transitive verbs ..... 119
3.2.2.2 Ambitransitive Verbs ..... 121
3.2.2.3 Intransitive verbs ..... 122
3.2.2.3.1 Regular intransitive verbs ..... 122
3.2.2.3.2 Detransitivized verbs ..... 123
3.2.2.3.3 Adjectival verbs ..... 125
3.2.2.3.3.1 Intensification of adjectival verbs ..... 126
3.2.2.3.3.2 Color adjectival verbs ..... 128
3.2.2.3.3.3 Descriptive adjectival verbs ..... 129
3.2.2.3.3.4 Resultative adjectival verbs ..... 130
3.2.2.3.3.5 Adjectival verb intensifier -ah(a) ..... 131
3.2.2.3.3.6 Adjectival qualifier susuy ..... 132
3.2.2.3.3.7 Indefinite quantifier verbs ..... 132
3.2.3 Functions of the reduplicative process in verbs ..... 135
3.2.3.1 Iterative aspect ..... 135
3.2.3.2 Continuous aspect ..... 136
3.2.3.3 Intensification of degree and quantity ..... 137
3.2.4 Verb compounds ..... 137
3.2.4.1 Verb-noun compounds ..... 138
3.2.4.2 Verb-verb compounds ..... 139
Chapter 4, MINOR WORD CLASSES ..... 141
4.0 Introduction ..... 141
4.1 Adverbs and adverbials ..... 141
4.1.1 Adverb formation ..... 142
4.1.2 Adverb categories ..... 142
4.1.2.1 Verb-phrase adverbs ..... 143
4.1.2.1.1 Manner adverbs ..... 143
4.1.2.1.2 Locative adverbs ..... 144
4.1.2.1.3 Epistemic adverbs ..... 145
4.1.2.1.4 Focus adverbs ..... 146
4.1.2.1.5 Temporal adverbs ..... 149
4.1.2.2 Clause-final adverbs ..... 150
4.1.2.2.1 Pre-negative clause-final adverbs ..... 151
4.1.2.2.2 Negative adverbs ..... 151
4.1.2.2.3 Post-negative clause-final adverbs ..... 153
4.1.2.3 Intensification of adverbs ..... 154
4.1.3 Adverbials ..... 155
4.1.3.1 Temporal adverbials ..... 155
4.1.3.2 Ordinal adverbials ..... 157
4.1.3.2.1 Temporal ordinal adverbials ..... 158
4.1.3.2.2 Multiplicative numeral adverbials ..... 159
4.1.3.2.3 Temporal frequency adverbials ..... 160
4.1.4 Verb adjuncts kerenga and jug ..... 161
4.2 Numerals, classifiers and quantifiers ..... 163
4.2.1 Cardinal numbers ..... 164
4.2.1.1 Numerals below twenty ..... 164
4.2.1.2 Numerals above twenty ..... 166
4.2.2 Numerals as definite quantifiers ..... 167
4.2.3 Names of days of the week ..... 168
4.2.4 Numeral classifiers ..... 169
4.2.4.1 Numeral composition ..... 169
4.2.4.2 Numeral classifier categories ..... 169
4.2.4.2.1 Vestigial animacy marker ..... 171
4.2.4.3 Numeral classifier function ..... 172
4.2.5 Sortal classifiers ..... 173
4.2.6 Non-enumerative functions of numeral classifiers ..... 176
4.2.6.1 Indefinite specifier ..... 176
4.2.6.2 Contrastive specifier ..... 176
4.2.6.3 Interrogative quantifier ..... 178
4.2.6.4 Distributive quantifier ..... 179
4.2.6.5 Reduplication of specifiers and indefinite quantifiers ..... 179
4.3 Prepositions ..... 180
4.4 Question words ..... 182
4.5 Conjunctions ..... 183
Chapter 5, DEIXIS ..... 185
5.0 Introduction ..... 185
5.1 Personal Deixis ..... 185
5.1.1 Personal pronouns ..... 186
5.1.2 Possessive pronouns ..... 188
5.1.3 Reflexive pronouns ..... 190
5.1.4 Exclusive pronouns ..... 192
5.1.5 Pronominal prefixes ..... 193
5.1.6 Pronominal circumfix ..... 194
5.1.7 Indefinite pronouns ..... 195
5.2 Spatial deixis ..... 196
5.2.1 Spatial enclitics ..... 196
5.2.1.1 Spatial compound -kef ..... 197
5.2.1.2 Spatial clitics with generic spatial preposition ..... 198
5.2.1.3 Spatial nouns ..... 199
5.2.2 Elevational roots ..... 199
5.2.3 Visibility prefixes ..... 201
5.2.4 Directional clitics ..... 201
5.2.5 Relativized spatial deictics ..... 204
5.3 Textual deixis ..... 205
5.3.1 Textual clitics ..... 205
5.3.1.1 Demonstrative clitics ..... 205
5.3.1.2 Demonstrative pronouns ..... 206
5.3.2 Deictic focus marker $e$ - ..... 208
5.3.3 Known information suffix $-i$ 'GIV' ..... 209
5.4 Temporal deixis ..... 210
5.5 Non-deictic directionals ..... 211
5.5.1 Upstream and downstream ..... 212
5.5.2 Cardinal directions ..... 212
5.5.3 Location relative to position of body (Left and right) ..... 213
Chapter 6, NOUN PHRASE ..... 215
6.0 Introduction ..... 215
6.1 Structure ..... 215
6.2 Heads of a noun phrase ..... 216
6.2.1 Headless noun phrases ..... 217
6.3 Modifiers of a head noun ..... 218
6.3.1 Possessors ..... 218
6.3.1.1 Alienable possession ..... 218
6.3.1.2 Inalienable possession ..... 219
6.3.1.3 Noun phrase as possessor ..... 221
6.3.2 Adjectival modifiers ..... 222
6.3.3 Nominal modifers ..... 223
6.3.4 Quantifiers ..... 225
vi Table of contents
6.3.4.1 Numeral modifiers ..... 225
6.3.4.2 Sortal noun-numeral constructions ..... 226
6.3.4.3 Indefinite quantifiers ..... 226
6.3.5 Relative clauses as modifiers ..... 227
6.3.6 Specifiers ..... 228
6.3.7 Determiners ..... 230
6.3.7.1 Spatial enclitics as determiners ..... 230
6.3.7.2 Demonstrative pronouns ..... 230
6.3.8 Interrogative words ..... 231
6.4 Noun phrase coordination ..... 232
6.5 Appositional noun phrases ..... 234
Chapter 7, PREPOSITIONAL PHRASES ..... 237
7.0 Introduction ..... 237
7.1 Structure ..... 237
7.2 Spatial prepositions ..... 238
7.2.1 The preposition jig ..... 239
7.2.2 The preposition dec ..... 241
7.2.3 The preposition fen ..... 241
7.2.4 The preposition had ..... 242
7.2.5 The preposition kerenga ..... 242
7.2.6 The preposition $k u k$ ..... 243
7.2.7 The preposition $n i$ - ..... 243
7.2.8 The preposition rejrej ..... 244
7.2.9 The preposition rud- ..... 244
7.2.10 The preposition rudud ..... 244
7.2.11 The preposition skod ..... 245
7.2.12 The preposition tum ..... 245
7.2.13 Locative adverbs as spatial prepositions ..... 246
7.2.14 Prepositional phrases with spatial relator nouns ..... 246
7.3 Non-spatial prepositions ..... 246
7.3.1 The preposition $n i$ ..... 247
7.3.2 The preposition jida ..... 248
7.3.3 The preposition ni- ..... 248
7.3.4 The preposition ten ..... 249
7.3.5 The preposition jug ..... 249
7.3.6 The preposition daka ..... 250
7.3.7 The preposition gug ..... 251
7.3.8 The preposition jera ..... 251
7.3.9 The preposition rot ..... 252
7.3.9.1 Manner prepositional phrases with rot ..... 254
7.3.10 The preposition sof- ..... 255
7.3.11 Dokun as a preposition ..... 256
7.4 Stranded prepositions ..... 256
7.5 Prepositional notions expressed verbally ..... 257
Chapter 8, THE CLAUSE ..... 259
8.0 Introduction ..... 259
8.1 Frame position ..... 260
8.1.1 Temporal frames ..... 262
8.1.2 Locative frames ..... 263
8.1.3 Thematic frames ..... 264
8.1.4 Frame constituents marked as thematic elements ..... 265
8.1.5 Multiple frames co-occurring ..... 266
8.2 Clause constituent order ..... 267
8.3 Arguments ..... 268
8.3.1 Core arguments ..... 268
8.3.1.1 Subject arguments ..... 268
8.3.1.2 Object arguments ..... 269
8.3.2 Peripheral arguments ..... 270
8.4 Clause types ..... 271
8.4.1 Verbal clauses ..... 271
8.4.1.1 Transitive predicates ..... 271
8.4.1.1.1 Transitive verb eyta ..... 272
8.4.1.1.2 Predicative possession ..... 273
8.4.1.1.3 Non-referential predicates ..... 275
8.4.1.2 Intransitive predicates ..... 276
8.4.1.2.1 Atmospheric events ..... 276
8.4.1.2.2 Experiential physical states ..... 277
8.4.1.2.3 Existential predicates ..... 278
8.4.1.2.3.1 Classificatory verbs and noun classifiers ..... 280
8.4.2 Non-verbal clauses ..... 281
8.4.2.1 Nominal predicates ..... 282
8.4.2.2 Relative clause predicates ..... 283
8.4.2.3 Demonstrative predicates ..... 283
8.4.2.4 Adverb predicates ..... 284
8.4.2.5 Interrogative word predicates ..... 284
8.4.2.6 Negation of non-verbal predicate ..... 285
Chapter 9, COMPLEX PREDICATES ..... 287
9.0 Introduction- ..... 287
9.1 Serial verb constructions ..... 287
9.1.1 Characteristics of SVCs ..... 288
9.1.2 Serial verb construction types ..... 291
9.1.2.1 Simultaneous serialization ..... 291
9.1.2.2 Motion serialization ..... 292
9.1.2.3 Grammaticalized directionals ..... 293
9.1.2.4 Cause-effect serialization ..... 294
9.1.2.5 Aspectual serialization- ..... 296
9.1.2.6 Instrument serialization ..... 298
9.1.2.7 Ambient serialization ..... 299
9.1.2.7.1 Manner ambient serialization ..... 299
9.1.2.7.2 Temporal ambient serialization ..... 302
9.1.2.7.3 Degree ambient serialization ..... 303
9.1.2.8 Adverbial modifier ereyja ..... 304
9.2 Verbal prepositions ..... 305
9.3 Phrasal constructions incorporating nouns ..... 307
9.3.1 Emotional-state constructions ..... 307
9.3.1.1 Collapsed emotional-state predicate ..... 312
9.3.2 Idiomatic expressions ..... 313
Chapter 10, EMBEDDED CLAUSES ..... 315
10.0 Introduction ..... 315
10.1 Relative Clauses ..... 315
10.1.1 Restrictive RCs ..... 315
10.1.2 Heads of a RC ..... 317
10.1.3 Predicates in a RC ..... 317
10.1.4 Syntactic positions relativized ..... 320
10.1.4.1 Relativized subject ..... 320
10.1.4.2 Relativized object ..... 320
10.1.4.3 Relativized peripheral arguments ..... 321
10.1.4.4 Relativized genitives ..... 322
10.1.4.5 Relativized instrument ..... 322
10.1.5 Relativizer unexpressed ..... 323
10.1.6 Behavioral properties ..... 324
10.1.6.1 Multiple restrictive RCs with same head noun ..... 324
10.1.6.2 Recursive embedding of restrictive RCs ..... 324
10.1.6.3 Extraposed RCs ..... 325
10.1.7 Pronoun-retention RCs ..... 326
10.1.8 Headless RCs ..... 327
10.1.8.1 Headless RCs as thematic elements ..... 328
10.1.9 Non-restrictive RCs ..... 329
10.2 Complement clauses ..... 330
10.2.1 Finite complements ..... 330
10.2.1.1 Complements marked with ohot ..... 331
10.2.1.1.1 Direct quote complements ..... 331
10.2.1.1.2 Indirect quote complements ..... 333
10.2.1.2 Complements marked with rot ..... 334
10.2.1.2.1 Cognition and mental event CTPs ..... 335
10.2.1.2.2 Modality CTPs ..... 336
10.2.1.3 Complements marked with rot ohot ..... 337
10.2.2 Paratactic complement constructions ..... 338
10.2.2.1 Immediate perception CTPs ..... 339
10.2.2.2 Manipulative CTPs ..... 340
10.2.3 Scope of negation in complementation constructions ..... 341
Chapter 11, CLAUSE COMBINING ..... 343
11.0 Introduction ..... 343
11.1 Clauses linked by juxtaposition ..... 343
11.2 Clauses linked by a linking element ..... 345
11.2.1 Temporal clauses ..... 347
11.2.2 Location clauses ..... 350
11.2.3 Purpose clauses ..... 351
11.2.4 Negative purpose clauses ..... 353
11.2.5 Replacive clauses ..... 354
11.2.6 Manner clauses ..... 355
11.2.7 Causation clauses ..... 356
11.2.8 Reason clauses ..... 356
11.3 Conjoined clauses ..... 358
11.3.1 Conjunction ..... 358
11.3.2 Temporal succession ..... 360
11.3.2.1 Conditional clauses ..... 360
11.3.3 Result ..... 362
11.3.4 Contrast ..... 363
11.3.5 Enumeration ..... 364
11.3.6 Disjunction ..... 365
11.3.7 Complex conjunctions ..... 367
11.3.7.1 Disjunction-sequential ..... 367
11.3.7.2 Contra-expectation ..... 367
11.3.7.3 Intention ..... 368
11.3.7.4 Conclusion ..... 369
11.4 Conjunctions introducing sentences ..... 370
11.5 Complex linking phrases ..... 372
Chapter 12, SPEECH ACTS ..... 375
12.0 Non-declarative sentence types ..... 375
12.1 Interrogatives ..... 375
12.1.1 Polar questions ..... 375
12.1.1.1 Simple polar questions ..... 376
12.1.1.2 Alternative questions ..... 376
12.1.1.3 Tag questions ..... 377
12.1.2 Information questions ..... 378
12.1.2.1 Human entity ..... 378
12.1.2.2 Non-human entity ..... 379
12.1.2.3 Quantity questions ..... 379
12.1.2.4 Time questions ..... 380
12.1.2.5 Manner questions ..... 381
12.1.2.6 Location questions ..... 381
12.1.2.7 Identity questions ..... 382
12.1.2.8 Reason questions ..... 383
12.1.3 Reprimand rhetorical questions ..... 383
12.1.4 Indirect questions ..... 385
12.2 Imperatives ..... 386
12.2.1 Simple commands ..... 386
12.2.2 Mitigated imperatives ..... 387
12.2.2.1 Suggestions ..... 387
12.2.2.2 Entreaties ..... 388
12.2.2.3 Permissive ..... 388
12.2.2.4 Adhortative ..... 389
12.3 Explicit performatives ..... 389
12.4 Imprecatives ..... 390
12.5 Attitude marked sentences ..... 391
12.6 Interjections ..... 394
12.7 Negation ..... 395
12.7.1 Position of negative adverbs ..... 396
12.7.2 Scope of negation ..... 397
12.7.3 Negated non-verbal clauses ..... 399
12.7.4 Tag tinogurá ..... 401
12.7.5 Prohibitives ..... 401
12.7.6 Negative response ..... 402
12.7.7 Lexically negative verbs and adverbs ..... 403
List of maps
Map 1 Location of Moskona area in Indonesia ..... xvii
Map 2 The area of the Moskona in the eastern Bird's Head ..... xviii
Map 3 Location of major villages and approximate dialect areas ..... xix
List of Tables
Table 2.1 Consonant inventory ..... 16
Table 2.2 Vowel inventory ..... 28
Table 2.3 Vocoid sequences ..... 32
Table 2.4 Consonant sequences across syllable boundaries ..... 44
Table 2.5 Vowel sequences across syllable boundaries ..... 48
Table 2.6 The verb $e k$ 'see' with irrealis and causative prefixes ..... 67
Table 2.7 The verb $o k$ 'bear' with irrealis and causative prefixes ..... 67
Table 2.8 The verb ah 'hack' with irrealis and causative prefixes ..... 68
Table 2.9 The verb ek 'see' with pronominal prefixes and circumfix ..... 72
Table 2.10 The verb oyok 'swear' with pronominal prefixes and circumfix ..... 72
Table 2.11 Adapted nouns ..... 75
Table 2.12 Moskona practical orthography ..... 76
Table 3.1 Loan words from Malay ..... 84
Table 3.2 Possessed inalienable nouns ..... 85
Table 3.3 Inalienable nouns with ef- ..... 90
Table 3.4 Derived intransitive verbs ..... 125
Table 4.1 Temporal ordinal adverbials ..... 159
Table 4.2 Numeral class chart ..... 171
Table 4.3 Vestigial animacy marker in extant forms ..... 172
Table 4.4 Sortal noun classifiers ..... 175
Table 5.1 Comprehensive chart of pronominals ..... 186
Table 7.1 Spatial prepositions ..... 239
Table 7.2 Non-spatial prepositions ..... 247
Table 11.1 Elements linking clauses ..... 346
List of Figures
Figure 2.1 Graph of ofuy 'egg' ..... 34
Figure 2.2 Graph of buhsúd(a) '2SG-search' ..... 50
Figure 2.3. Graph of bua bita 'you.SG 2SG-take' ..... 51
Figure 2.4. Graph of dif duduy osos rot-ey '(if) I want (it)' ..... 53
Figure 2.5. Graph of dif dimek eri 'I will see them' ..... 54
Figure 2.6. Graph of (mar) tinefio 'thing how?!' ..... 55
List of Diagrams
Diagram 4.1 Sortal classifier hierarchy ..... 172
APPENDIX A: A COMPARISON OF MOSKONA AND MEYAH ..... 405
APPENDIX B: TEXTS
Text 1: Women give birth ..... 463
Text 2: How tinder was made ..... 467
Text 3: Tree houses ..... 469
Text 4: Snake capture ..... 475
Text 5: The cockatoo and the parrot ..... 477
Text 6: Ijisir and Yom ..... 483
Text 7: Orocomna ancestor ..... 485
Text 8: Shaman ..... 501
Text 9: Dog and bandicoot ..... 503
Text 10: Iwari and Iwarsa ..... 516
APPENDIX C: LIST OF RESOURCE TEXTS ..... 528
APPENDIX D: FINDERLISTS
Moskona-English ..... 530
English-Moskona ..... 541
References ..... 559
Index ..... 567

## Abbreviations and conventions of presentation

Abbreviations used in glosses:

| BEN | benefactive | NR | nominalizer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | consonant | NP | noun phrase |
| CAUS | causative | NUM:1, | NUM:2 numeral class one, |
| CST | contrastive |  | numeral class two, etc. |
| CTP | complement-taking predicate | old. | older |
| DEON | deontic | PGE | paragoge |
| DNR | deictic nominalizer | PL | plural |
| DSJ | disjunctive conjunction | PROT | protesting |
| DU | dual | Q | polar question |
| DUR | durative | QUAN | quantifier |
| e.g. | for example | RC | relative clause |
| EMP | emphatic | RECIP | reciprocal |
| ENUM | enumerative conjunction | RED | reduplicant |
| FOC | deictic focus marker | REL | relativizer |
| i.e. | that is | sib.op.s. sibling opposite sex |  |
| INTS | intensifier | sib.s.s. | sibling same sex |
| IRR | irrealis | s.o. | someone |
| k.o. | kind of (something) | s.t. | something |
| lit. | literally | SVC | serial verb construction |
| LOC | generic locative | THM | thematic marker |
| NEG | negative | V | vowel |
| NEG.DEON negative deontic | VIS | visible |  |
| NONVIS | nonvisible | yg | younger |

Pronominal prefixes:
1SG first person singular
2SG second person singular
3SG third person singular
1PL first person plural
2PL second person plural
3PL third person plural

Pronominal circumfix:
RECIP reciprocal (object)
Pronouns:
1,2,3SGPOS first,second,third person singular possessive
1,2,3DUPOS first, second, third person dual possessive
1,2,3PLPOS first, second, third person plural possessive

| 1,2,3SGRX | first, second, third person singular reflexive |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1,2,3DURX | first, second, third person dual reflexive |
| 1,2,3PLRX | first, second, third person plural reflexive |
| EXPN | exclusive pronoun |

Symbolization used:

| [] | phonetic realization <br> in free translation: contextual information inserted for clarity in examples: syntactic units (e.g. relative clauses, complement clauses) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | short pause |
| / / | phonemic representation |
| , | accented syllable |
| . | syllable boundary |
|  | in glosses: connecting a multi-word gloss |
| - | morpheme boundary |
| $=$ | clitic boundary marker |
| $\emptyset$ | a gap or omitted element (e.g. in relative clause) |
| ' ' | in examples: free translation or gloss in English |
| * | unacceptable or agrammatical form |
| > | reduces to or becomes |
| $<$ | derives from |
| $\sim$ | varies with |
| ? | in glosses: meaning unknown |
| ( ) | implicit material |

Vernacular data is given in italics (e.g. Dif di-ejeka gug bua). Glosses of morphemes in the text and in the free translation of examples are set off in single quotes, (e.g. 'man'). Although an attempt has been made to make the free translations as idiomatic as possible, sometimes the English gloss has been forced to reflect the Moskona structure (e.g. dif dadin digak 'I my leg', a structure which may indicate a former thematization strategy.). Non-translation glosses (e.g. 'k.o. tree') are not treated differently than translations. In a few instances where the meaning of the morpheme is unknown or at best only postulated, a question mark '?' is used for the gloss. For morphemes having more than a single word gloss, the words are joined by a period to indicate the unitary nature of the gloss (e.g. 'to.and.fro'). Bound morphemes (e.g. affixes) are indicated by an attached hyphen (e.g. -ima or no-). Some pronouns have been glossed with numbers and letters (e.g. 3SGRX for third person singular reflexive) rather than with context specific glosses, such as 'himself', 'herself' or 'itself'. The English sense of the gloss is brought out in the free translation following the example.

In vernacular examples, commas are used to indicate a non-final pause and a period, a final pause. Use of exclamation marks (!) and question marks (?) indicate illocutionary force, but not intonation in an utterance.

Sections, tables, figures are numbered in accordance with their placement in chapters (e.g. Table 2.5 indicates the table occurs in chapter 2 and is the fifth table in that chapter).

Cross references are given in parenthesis with the addition of the symbol " $\S$ " (e.g. §4.5).
Example numbers recycle to number one at the beginning of each chapter, but footnotes are numbered continuously throughout the grammar.



Map 2. The area of the Moskona in the eastern Bird's Head with surrounding language groups.


Map 3. Location of major villages and approximate dialect areas.

## Chapter 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction to the study

Moskona is a language spoken in the eastern Bird's Head peninsula of the island of New Guinea (See Map 1). Although contact with the outside world has been established for around fifty or sixty years, a comprehensive grammar of the language has not yet been done. Thus, this presentation is the first extensive grammatical description of the Moskona language.

This chapter presents the theoretical framework of the study (§1.1.1), a brief description of how field work was conducted (§1.1.2) and an introduction to the Moskona people (§1.2), including their geographic location, political administration, history, culture and worldview. A brief discussion of the language classification (§1.3.1) and typology (§1.3.2) concludes this chapter.

### 1.1.1 Theoretical framework and terminology

The purpose of this study is to present a detailed linguistic description of the phonology, morphology and syntax of the Moskona language. It does not attempt to prove or test any specific grammatical theory, nor does it aspire to explain how the language has changed to reach its current form. The description uses what Dryer (2006) calls "basic linguistic theory", using more or less theory-neutral terms and attempts to describe Moskona in terms of itself, rather than by means of any formal or theoretical model. Basic linguistic theory, which draws on earlier traditions of descriptive theory, adds those concepts drawn from typology and various other traditions as needed to describe specific languages, and attempts to use terminology which is familiar to a wider group of readers.

In writing the morphosyntactic parts of this grammar, a number of sources gave both major and minor insights about structures and functions. These include Describing Morphosyntax (Payne 1997), Syntax (Givón 1984) and The Papuan Languages of New Guinea (Foley 1986), among many others. A large amount of insight with regard to function vs. form was gleaned from The Theory of Functional Grammar (Dik 1997a) in distinguishing categorical terms and functional terms. Definitions regarding categorical terms, such as noun, verb, adverb, etc. were drawn from works such as 'Parts of Speech Systems' (Schachter 1985) and Describing Morphosyntax (Payne 1997). The terminology for clausal structure and constituents was drawn from Functional Syntax and Universal Grammar (Foley and Van Valin 1984), in which the predicate, defined as the nucleus of a clause, plus its arguments comprise the clause core. The (core) arguments are those elements which have a grammatical relation to the predicate, that is the subject and object; all other nominal elements which do not have a grammatical relation are termed peripheral arguments.

### 1.1.2 Fieldwork and data collection

Research for this grammar was conducted during the years 1995 to 2001 under the auspices of a cooperative agreement between SIL International and the Department of Social Affairs Indonesia. The initial purposes of the project were to provide resource materials in the Moskona language for education in health topics, for the production of books and for the promotion of literacy. The primary village area where I stayed was Moyeba, but I was able also to work closely with speakers from the Meyerga area.

Fieldwork consisted of collecting texts on various topics, such as legends or former customs and practices, from speakers of ages varying from 20 to 35 years old who originated from different areas. All narrators were male. These texts were recorded, then transcribed with the aid of native speakers, forming the basis for discussion of lexical items or structures observed in texts. These discussions frequently led to other lexical items, structures or features which had not yet been observed. Discussion and translation of texts was done utilizing both Moskona and Papuan Malay. One outcome of the transcription discussions was the development of a glossary, having approximately 2,000 entries, most of which were illustrated with native-authored sentences.
The sources for examples used in this grammar are from transcribed texts, symbolized with a text number, such as [T2], the glossary [D], some translated materials [TT] and a very few items from elicitation [E]. Translated materials include health and community development booklets, produced in collaboration with native speakers, and the Moskona New Testament. A list of texts used as resources for this grammar are given in Appendix C. However, only texts numbers one through ten are included in the texts in Appendix B.

### 1.2 The people and area

### 1.2.1 Geographic setting

The Moskona language is spoken in an area to the north and south of the latitude $1^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ and east and west along the longitude $133^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ in the Bird's Head (Kepala Burung) or Doberai peninsula on the island of New Guinea (See Map 2). The language area, with settlements located along the Rawara and Timoforo Rivers and their tributaries, extends south from the southern hills (elev. 3000 ft ) of the Arafak mountains toward the coastal plain (elev. 500 ft .) (See Map 3). The highland terrain is characterized by rugged mountain ranges, where the tree line is around $4000 \mathrm{~m} .(13,000 \mathrm{ft})$. The lowlands evidence dense tropical rainforest and an extensive system of rivers and streams. The climate of the area exhibits high temperatures, ranging 23C to 30 C degrees in coastal lowlands and 18 C in the highlands. Humidity is high throughout the year, averaging more than $85 \%$ in lowlands. The wet season, corresponding to the time of the northern prevailing winds, extends from October to March, while the dry season, corresponding to the time of the southeastern trade winds, extends from March to October. Rainfall totals exceed $3,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ in an average year.

### 1.2.2 Political administration

From 1803 to 1949, the Indonesian archipelago was administered by the Dutch as part of the Dutch East Indies (Hindia Belanda) with a slow and gradual expansion of government presence and control over the islands. Expansion into West New Guinea occurred mostly in the early twentieth century, as between 1898 and 1901 officers of the Dutch East Indies were stationed in Manokwari, Fakfak and Merauke. Briefly, from 1942 to 1944, when the Japanese controlled the Dutch Indies, a division of the Japanese navy administered eastern Indonesia. After Indonesian independence in 1949, the territory in Western New Guinea remained under Dutch control and was designated Netherlands New Guinea. In 1962, after skirmishes between Indonesian and Dutch troops, Western New Guinea was placed under United Nations administration, but in 1963, administrative control was handed over to the Indonesian government. Then in 1969, West New Guinea was incorporated into Indonesia as its twenty-sixth province and named Glorious Irian (Irian Jaya). In 2004, West New Guinea was divided into two provinces. The western half, including the Bird's Head, Bird's Neck and Fak-Fak became the province of West Papua (Papua Barat). The eastern half became the province of Papua. These provinces are divided administratively into regencies (kabupaten). Each regency is subdivided into many sub-districts (kecamatan/distrik) which are headed by a civil servant called a camat. At the lowest tier of the administrative hierarchy are the villages (desa), headed by a village head (kepala desa). The Moskona language is spoken in West Papua Province within Bintuni Bay Regency (Kabupaten Teluk Bintuni) in Northern Moskona District (Distrik Moskona Utara), Southern Moskona District (Distrik Moskona Selatan) and Merdey District (Distrik Merdei).

### 1.2.3 Demography

The current population of West Papua Province with its capital of Manokwari, (in the western half of West New Guinea) is estimated to be around eight hundred thousand. However, determining the population of language groups with a population scattered over a large and difficult terrain can only be done by estimate. Miedema and Reesink (2004:32) estimate the size of the Moskona population to be 5,000 ; a survey done by SIL in 1996 estimates approximately 8,000 ; and Silzer and Clouse (1991) estimate it to be around 6,000.

### 1.2.4 History

Although the earliest evidence of coastal settlements in New Guinea dates from around 30,000 to 25,000 BP (White 1984:100), the pre-history of the peoples of the Bird's Head is vague at best. Much of current reconstruction of pre-history is based on accounts of events occurring in the last two hundred years, events which led to the migration and settlement of the various ethnic groups in the Bird's Head (Miedema and Reesink 2004).

According to Pans, quoted in Haenen (1998:238), it is likely that the Moskona originated in an area where the Rawara and Timoforo Rivers come together and flow into the

Sebyar. The most likely cause of the northward migration of the Moskona (and a number of other people groups) to their current locations was raiding and slave-trading along the Sebyar River (Miedema 1994:127, Haenen 1998:238). Evidence of slave trading was observed in the Berau Bay as early as 1663 (Haenen 1998:236), and raids for slaves along the Sebyar River went as far north as Jagiro in Moskona territory (Haenen 1998:237). Although some slave trading occurred on the north coast of the Bird's Head, it occurred to a greater degree on the south coast in the areas of the Kamundan, Sebyar and Weriagar Rivers (Miedema 1994:123). Foreign valuables, such as eastern cloth (kain timur), were bartered for tobacco, birds of paradise and slaves. The exportation of slaves continued until the end of the nineteenth century when it was formally abolished, but slave trading in the interior continued until about 50 years ago.

### 1.2.5 Cultural setting

Traditionally, the Moskona people live in semi-nomadic communities with villages and gardens on ancestral lands. Smaller settlements are patrilocal, composed of three to five houses made up of single extended families. Larger villages, located in river valleys, may be composed of up to 50 houses.
Agricultural production is subsistence level, done using low intensity slash-and-burn methods, cultivating an area until the soil is exhausted, then moving to another area, which allows the former area to grow back into rain forest. After the initial clearing of a garden area by men, the daily work of the garden is the primary responsibility of women, and at a very young age, girls begin to help their mothers. Gardens provide sweet potatoes, cassava, taro, as main crops, supplemented by various greens, corn, cooking bananas, as well as papaya, bananas, pineapple, pandanus and various other tropical fruits. In areas of lower elevation (below 1000 m ), sago is a staple. Their diet is augmented by a small amount of animal protein in the form of wild pigs, deer, small marsupials and fish from nearby forests and streams. Pigs are domesticated, but do not significantly impact the diet of the people, as pigs are traditional wealth, serving as a primary resource for brideprice or payment of fines. Pigs are typically slaughtered only at feasts, as the owner is discharging some debt.
Moskonas live in stilt houses, approximately a meter to a meter and a half above the ground. Houses in larger villages, such as villages which have airstrips, may be built of rough boards, similar in construction to board houses built in towns, or may be made of poles with sheets of bark lashed onto a pole frame. In smaller more traditional settlements, stilt houses are constructed entirely of poles and bark, and may be up to two meters off the ground. In former times, the preferred form of single family dwelling was a house built up in a tree, as the height afforded by the tree made it very secure, primarily due to its inaccessibility. In some very remote places (it is reported), tree houses are still used. Large notched poles or ladders constructed of poles are utilized for access.

The economic system is an informal one, based on symmetrical reciprocity, that is, there is an expectation that whatever indebtedness a person incurs will be discharged through the repayment of goods or labor at a later time. Accruing high status involves a build up of indebtedness to oneself through the supplying of material possessions or services.

A salient feature of the culture is the use of eastern cloth (kain timur), a type of coarsely woven cloth, whose functional or prestige value bears little relation to its intrinsic value as a textile. Kain timur, which had been used as a barter item for slaves for several hundred years, has now become an obligatory component of brideprice, as well as for the payment of fines and contract killings. As the demand for kain timur increased, female children, usually around six or seven years old, referred to as a "barter child" (medes), were traded to obtain kain timur. The medes went to live with the clan or family group which had traded kain timur for her, and when she married, that clan received the brideprice. Although kain timur is still required as part of the brideprice, the trading of girls to obtain kain timur is no longer practiced.
Artistic expression is seen in body adornment rather than the decoration of artifacts, such as clay cooking pots or griddles, which are solely utilitarian. The body decoration may be temporary, such as feathers or brightly colored leaves worn in the hair or around the arms, or it may be permanent, such as tattoos, forming patterns on the person's face. Around the time of puberty, tattoos are made on cheeks and forehead through the imbedding of pieces of blue organic material in small slits made in the skin. The organic material dyes the area around the slit, and when the dye material is removed, the blue color remains. Both men and women receive tattoos.

### 1.2.6 Traditional beliefs and world view

The traditional belief system of the Moskona is animism, involving the belief that areas in the vicinity of specific trees, streams or pools are inhabited by malevolent spirits, which could in some way inflict harm on anyone who infringed on their territory. In the past, food offerings or animal sacrifices were made to the spirits of these locations.

Their world view is based on belief in a spiritual universe in which manipulation of the physical world is accomplished through the use of spiritual power. Spiritual power may be gained through ritual incantations or through possession of an object or substance which has inherent spiritual power. Ritual incantations are used to imbue inanimate objects with power in order for them to be used in the performance of an activity, such as an attack (e.g. a large seedpod which has been given power causes the people inside a house to fall into a deep sleep, so that in an attack they will not fight back.) Objects which have inherent spiritual power, such as the soil from the land of the dead, may be carried in a small pouch and concealed on one's person. (The land of the dead is a place high up in the mountains above the tree line. As a result of its inhabitation by spirits, the land (i.e. dirt) of this area is believed to have spiritual power. Those desiring spiritual power travel to that area to bring back some of its soil.) Shamans (muysa) may use traditional herbs or may use this power for healing.

Their traditional belief system includes the belief that the spirits of dead ancestors survive death and may either go to the land of the dead or may linger in the forest near villages. These ghosts (spirits of the dead) are said to trip people traveling alone in the forest, taking their souls when they fall. Children are frequently cautioned that the spirit of a grandparent or another ancestor who is lonely may call out their name in the middle of
the night. If they answer, then in a matter of days, they will die and their spirit will join that of the grandparent who called to them.

At present, Moskonas generally consider themselves Christians, and small churches may be found in a large number of the more populous villages. Most Moskonas belong to either the Evangelical Alliance Church (GPKAI) or the Catholic Church.

### 1.2.7 Kinship

The Moskona kinship system is a modified Hawaiian system with kinship terms, distinguishing two generations. Ego distinguishes relatives on the basis of gender and generation, but also distinguishes seniority in sibling terms. There is an additional term extending two generations above Ego and a term one generation below. The term two generations above Ego is the reciprocal term medina 'grandparent/grandchild', used by Ego and a grandparent. It may also be applied to ancestors beyond grandparents' generation. The term one generation below indicates 'child'. Sibling terms are classificatory, that is children of father's siblings have the same terms as Ego's siblings, 'brother' and 'sister'. Female siblings of Ego's mother and father are referred to as mosu 'mother', but only the male siblings of Ego's father are referred to as mekew 'father'. The male siblings of Ego's mother are referred to in a phrase which means 'mother's brother', a term for brother which also includes an indication of seniority. Seniority for siblings one generation above Ego may be expressed (if necessary) through words which are not kinship terms, such as the qualifying words ejena 'woman', indicating 'older female sibling', orna 'man', indicating 'older male sibling', or oysura 'youngest child', indicating 'younger male/female sibling'.
Moskonas use their clan name or 'descent group name' as their surname. There is no Moskona word for 'clan', but a person may use the phrase 'my people' (dadin isnok), the expression invariably meaning 'members of his clan'. These clans include the Orocomna, Isba, Faan, Mosum, Mefen, Miristim, Sumui, Siama, Frasa, Sasior, Aigingin, Yamara, Yorkohog, Artua and Asmorom clans. Membership in a clan provides an individual a sense of identity and a network through which loyalities are built. Clan members are the primary resource for goods for brideprice payments.

### 1.2.8 Education

Although speakers of Moskona in more isolated regions tend to be monolingual, people are multilingual in border areas where they come into frequent contact with other languages, such as Meyah, Sougb or more distantly Maybrat, and when attending school, gain proficiency in Indonesian, the national language. The level of skill for the neighboring language may be low-level, that is, comprehension only, as they may understand, but not be able to respond in that language. In interaction with speakers of nearby languages, each person speaks his own language.

The Indonesian government has established primary schools (SD) in Moyeba, Meyerga and Merdei, staffing them with teachers who are Papuans, but from outside the language group. Children from villages with no school must move to one of these villages,
boarding with relatives, in order to attend school. As a result, entrance into the first grade is usually not begun until the age of nine or ten years old. The official language of instruction in the SDs (primary schools) is a standardized version of Malay, Bahasa Indonesia. Since village children are not familiar with Bahasa Indonesia, typically two years are required to complete first grade. Education beyond the sixth grade must be done in a coastal town, usually requiring a student to live there exclusively for up to three years at a time, as the cost of returning to the village is prohibitive. The education of a student who travels outside for education is frequently supported by the community, which provides the money required for school fees and materials. In return, the student is expected to give back to the community through some form of service.
The literacy rate in outlying villages, away from these three large villages is very low, with women having the highest percentage rate of illiteracy. Although a few booklets and the New Testament are available in the language, interest in literacy on the village level is not high.

### 1.3 The language

Unlike some groups in the Bird's Head, the Moskona do not identify their language by their individual clan name. The name 'Moskona' is used by the Moskona people to identify themselves and by extension their language. The name Moskona is likely a fused form, composed of mos 'people group' plus the deictic no-ka 'this' in which the consonants $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ have metathesized (§2.5.2.2). A number of exonyms of unknown origin, such as Meninggo, Meningo, Sabena and Meyah (Wurm and Hattori 1981, Voorhoeve 1975b) have been used in the past to refer to the Moskona.

### 1.3.1 Linguistic classification

Moskona is a Papuan language of the East Bird's Head family. The languages of the Bird's Head form seven separate linguistic groups: the West Bird's Head Family, Abun, Maybrat, Mpur, Mansim-Hatam, the South Bird's Head Family and the East Bird's Head Family (Reesink 2005:187). The East Bird's Head Family is composed of three languages: Moskona and Meyah, which are the closest genetically ( $80 \%$ cognate), and Sougb, which is only $30 \%$ cognate with Meyah and Moskona (Reesink 2002a:10, Voorhoeve 1989:92).

As an isolate family, the East Bird's Head languages are unrelated to languages outside the Bird's Head peninsula (Voorhoeve 1989:93), and within the Bird's Head, relations are distant or tenuous at best, as Mpur, Mansim-Hatam and Abun are not clearly related to each other or any other group (Reesink 2004:31). The West Bird's Head languages, which are genetically related to the North Halmahera Family (Voorhoeve 1989:90), are only very distantly related to the East Bird's Head languages. The South Bird's Head languages are a subgroup of the Trans New Guinea Phylum (Voorhoeve 1989:79), and thus unrelated genetically to the East Bird's Head languages.

Within the East Bird's Head family, Moskona, Meyah and Sougb are not mutually intelligible, having distinct language boundaries with villages which may be clearly
designated Moskona-speaking, Meyah-speaking or Sougb-speaking. Despite high cognate percentages and a large number of similar syntactic structures between Moskona and Meyah, the mutual intelligibility level is very low, due to differences in the morphological shape of forms with the same meaning. These differences may include segmental disparities and accent placement with a mismatch in pronominal prefixes adding to comprehension difficulties. A more extensive comparison of Moskona and Meyah is given in Appendix A.

At present no reconstructive work for the East Bird's Head languages has taken place. However, Reesink (1998, 2002a, 2005) has compared Meyah and Sougb data from Swadesh lists and other basic vocabulary items, demonstrating a number of sound correspondences which indicate a common heritage.

### 1.3.2 Typology

Moskona displays a number of features which typify Papuan languages in general, a number of features which are more typical of languages in the Bird's Head and a number of features which are specific to the East Bird's Head languages.
Like many Papuan languages, the consonant and vowel systems of Moskona are simple. However, its consonantal system is distinctive as the plosives lack symmetry due to the absence of a voiceless bilabial stop phoneme. The syllable patterns are simple (C)V(C), and the prosodic system is pitch accent. The verbal morphology, which is relatively simple compared to many other Papuan languages, has subject marking, but only reciprocal object marking, and unlike typical Papuan languages, also has aspect and mode markers. Moskona, like many Papuan languages, also exhibits nominal classificatory systems, as nouns are classified by a set of classificatory verbs (used existentially), a set of numeral classifiers, a set of sortal noun classifiers, and a possession strategy which distinguishes alienable and inalienable nouns. Possession phrases have the order possessor-possessed, an order consonant with Papuan languages in general, and also found in many Bird's Head languages. And like many Papuan languages, Moskona makes extensive use of serial verb constructions to signal a number of semantic and grammatical relations.
As in many languages of the Bird's Head, word order in Moskona is SVO, with prepositions and verbal prepositions introducing peripheral arguments. Negators occur clause-finally, a feature which has areal significance in the Bird's Head and nearby islands. And emotional-state constructions are the primary means for expression of emotion and cognitive processes.
Like other East Bird's Head (EBH) languages, root-initial segments are identifying features of Moskona word classes, as verbs and the (subclass of) inalienable nouns have a non-high vowel root-initially, alienable nouns begin with $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and all other classes have some other consonant as their root-initial segment. Pronouns in Moskona distinguish single, dual and plural, but lack the inclusive/exclusive opposition found in the other East Bird's Head languages. Instrument is cross-referenced on verbs, and like other EBH languages, there are two verbal adjuncts which change valence and meaning.

There are several features which are unique to Moskona itself. Moskona is unique in the behavior of the reciprocal pronoun, which is unmarked syntactically, even when functioning as a peripheral argument. Also unique is the subclass of kinship nouns, having its own set of prefixes, with some kinship nouns having properties similar to inalienable nouns, but others having properties similar to alienable nouns. Numeral classifiers, found also in Meyah, Moskona's closest relative, but uncommon in Papuan languages in general, occur on numerals one through three.

### 1.3.3 Dialects

There are two major dialects in the Moskona language, ${ }^{1}$ which are distinguished by their preferences for certain phonemes and word patterns. The northern dialect is typified by the Moyeba village and the southern dialect by the Meyerga village. ${ }^{2}$ The majority of data in examples given in this study are from the northern dialect. All speakers consider the two dialects as distinct, as each dialect area views their particular pronunciation as a component of their identity, and they establish the origin of a speaker by means of his pronunciation preferences. Yet, they frequently and unconsciously use the phonological forms of the other dialect.

The features which distinguish the dialects are all on the phonological and phonotactic level, involving dialectal preferences for particular phonemes and for a type of word pattern. And in a few cases there are lexical differences.

### 1.3.3.1 Bilabial phonemes

Although the phoneme inventories are almost identical, a basic phonological difference exists between the dialects in the phoneme inventories, namely the voiced labial phonemes $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{b} / .^{3}$ The Meyerga dialect lacks any bilabial plosive phoneme. Many words which in the Moyeba dialect have the voiced bilabial stop /b/, in the Meyerga dialect have the phoneme $/ \mathrm{w} /$, such as the verb meaning 'link (together)':

| Moyeba: | /ob/ | $[\mathrm{ob}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Meyerga: | /ow/ | $[\mathrm{ow}]$ |

In the Meyerga dialect the voiced bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is realized as [b] wordinitially and following a nasal, as [b] alternating with [w] preceding a plosive consonant, as [w] intervocalically and word-finally, and in all other environments the voiced bilabial

[^0]fricative $[\beta]$ and labiovelar approximant [w] alternate, as presented in (1). Examples of data in the Meyerga dialect in (2) illustrate these realizations.


Meyerga dialect forms:
(2)

| [búa] | /wúa/ | 'you.SG' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [big] | /wig/ | '2SG-hear' |
| [ ćmba] | /émwa/ | 'rotten' |
| [otúmbomba] | /otúmwomwa/ | 'hollow' |
| [éßax] ~ [ع́wax] | /éwah/ | 'live' |
| [ $\varepsilon$ bdína] ~ [zwdína] | /ewdina/ | '2SG-grandparent' |
|  | /éwca/ | 'cracked' |
|  | /ébri/ | 'cracked' |
| [ ¢́swa] ~ [ $\varepsilon$ sßa] | /éswa/ | 'uncover' |
| [ow] | /ow/ | 'dig (hole)' |

The occurrence of the voiced bilabial plosive $/ \mathrm{b} /$ in the Moyeba dialect and the voiced bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ in the Meyerga dialect may be compared in (3).
(3) Moy: /obáda/ [oßáda] ~ [obáda] 'carry under arm'

Mey: /owáda/ [oßáda] ~ [owáda]

Moy: /óbder/ [óbd $\varepsilon_{\delta}$ ] 'curse at'
Mey: /ówder/ [óß $\mathrm{d}_{\varepsilon_{\circ}}$ ] ~[ówd $\varepsilon_{\circ}$ ]

| Moy: | /émba/ [Émba] | 'rotten' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mey: | lémwa/ [ćmba] |  |

### 1.2.3.2 Syllabification of /w/

Speakers of the Meyerga dialect have an alternative pronunciation of words with the approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ occurring (word medially) preceding a stop, in which the phoneme $/ \mathrm{w} /$ has been expanded, yielding the syllable shape $w u C$. The root-final /a/ may or may not be elided and the accent placement frequently shifts to the final syllable. This phenomenon may be observed in:

| okówga | [okówga] ~ [okówug] | 'leaf stem' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| méwda | [méwda] ~ [méwud] | 'landslide' |
| metéwka | [metéwka] ~ [metéwuk] | 'squash' |
| éwga | [ $\varepsilon$ wga] ~ [ $\varepsilon$ wúg] | '(be) torn' |
| éswa | ['̇swa] ~ [esúwa] | 'uncover' |
| méwka | [méwka] ~ [mewúk] | 'mango' |
| mówga | [mówga] ~ [mowúg] | '(a) boil' |

From a historical perspective, the voiced labiovelar approximant /w/ quite likely has its origin in the phoneme $/ \mathrm{b} /$, as is evidenced by Moskona words with a root-final $/ \mathrm{w} /$, whose Meyah cognates have a root-final voiced bilabial stop. The bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ having undergone fortition, as observed in the voiced bilabial fricative allophone [ $\beta$ ] in the Moyeba dialect. Several pairs of Moskona and Meyah cognates which demonstrate this relationship may be seen in the list below.

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| medéw | 'sago' | medéb | 'sago' |
| eféyu $\sim$ eféw | 'pattern' or 'color' | eféb | 'color' |
| eféwa | 'plantlife' | efebî | 'plant matter' |
| mekékew | 'bamboo' | mekekéba | 'bamboo' |
| étew | 'much.more' | etéb | 'big' |
| egéwa | 'crooked' | egéb | 'bent' |

### 1.2.3.3 Phoneme preferences

In addition to the difference in bilabial phonemes, there are some dialectal differences which are based on segmental preferences. The preference always involves two phonetically similar segments, such as the consonants $/ \mathrm{s} /$ and $/ \mathrm{c} /$, $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and $/ \mathrm{f} /$, or the vowels $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /$. These preferences are not predictable and do not form a broad generalization.

Consonants
Speakers of the Moyeba dialect have a preference for the phoneme /c/ in some words, which speakers of the Meyerga dialect pronounce with /s/. The following list illustrates some of these preferences.

| Moyeba | Meyerga |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ahácum | ahásum | 'inactive' |
| éwec | éwes | 'cavity' |
| aháycen | ahîsen | 'play around' |
| écen | ésen | 'distant' |
| cic | sis | 'past' |
| ecícif | esísif | 'step back' |
| êcicka | esiska | drop, let fall |
| écah | ésah | 'put' |
| écir | ésir ~ésra | 'fall (down)' |
| égec | éges | 'to chisel' |
| écim | ésim | 'fall over' |
| ówoc | ówos | 'skin' |
| ohócut | ohósut | 'listen' |
| óyckur | óskur | 'bad' |
| écha | esha | 'search for (known loc.)' |
| ec | es | 'press on' |
| écha | ésha | '(away) from' |
| éc(e) $k a$ | eséka | 'poke (with finger)' |
| edécka | edéska | 'release' |

This preference is not observed in all words. The choice of phoneme for some words in both dialects is invariant. The following words have an identical phoneme choice:

| cíyja | 'five' | ecîra | 'walk' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dec | 'same place as' | eséki | 'fix' |
| déci | 'slowly' | eséter | 'much more' |
| ec | 'buy' | esísok | 'EXPN' |
| éska | 'sting' | úcur | 'quiet' |

There are a few words in which speakers of the Moyeba dialect use the voiced bilabial stop /b/, but speakers of the Meyerga dialect allow an alternation with the voiceless bilabial fricative /f/, as in (8).
(8)

| Moyeba | Meyerga |
| :--- | :--- |
| ébsned | éfsnad |
| eba | efa $\sim e w a$ |
| ebca $\sim e b i c$ | efc $a$ |
| ebca $\sim e b s a$ | efs $a \sim e w s a$ |

Meyerga
éfsnad
'clean'
'wrap up' 'hub'
'(be) broken off'

Vowels
The Moyeba dialect has many occurrences of $/ \mathrm{a} / \sim / \mathrm{e} /$ word-initially in words that the Meyerga dialect has only $/ \mathrm{a} /$, as in the list below.

> Moyeba
> eh $\sim$ ah
> éhec $\sim$ áhac
> eháda $\sim$ aháda
> ehádef $\sim$ ahádef
> ehérga $\sim$ ahárga
> ehátu $\sim$ ahátu
> ehínga $\sim$ ahínga
> egérag $\sim$ egéreg
> ékar $\sim$ eker

| Meyerga |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ah |  |
| áhac | 'lie' |
| aháda | 'tie' |
| ahádef | 'dismantle' |
| ahárga | 'release' |
| ahátu | 'dry' |
| ahínga | 'surrender' |
| egérag | 'break off' |
| éker | 'weak' |
|  | 'sit' |

### 1.2.3.4 Word structure

One difference in the pronunciation of words corresponds to a preferred word structure for some two syllable words. The Moyeba dialect has a tendency to use a CV.CVC word structure in which the vowel in the final syllable is always a high vowel, $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{u} /$. The Meyerga dialect has a preference for CVC.C $a$ structure, in which the nucleus of the final syllable is always $/ \mathrm{a} /$.

| Moyeba | Meyerga |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mófug | mófga | 'straw stem' |
| edîder | edîdra | 'level' |
| esísig | esîsga | 'ask (a question)' |
| étim | étma | 'arm' |
| óhur | óhra | 'bare' or 'bald' |
| emîki | emka | 'poison' |
| efifir | efîfra | 'flattened |

ébic $\sim$ ébca
ébir
ebiréga
ecîh $\sim$ éch $\sim \sim$ éca
keréneg
ékir
eskíjig
eséjig
mósug
eyaháhir
gijig
gújig
ofómbur
éfca
ébra
ebréga
ésha $\sim$ ésa
kerénga
ékra
eskîjga
eséjga
mósga
eyaháhra
gijga
gújga
ofómbra
'hub'
'head'
'leader'
'be from'
'upon'
'be empty'
‘agree’
'swell'
'hanging bridge'
'block off'
'only'
'underneath'
'lung'

### 1.2.3.5 Lexical forms

There are a few instances where there is little or no morphological similarity between lexical items used in the Moyeba dialect and those with the same meaning used in the Meyerga dialect. A few of these are given in the list in (11).

| Moyeba | Meyerga |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rejéra | dokun | 'and' |
| edéfa | difáha | 'myself' |
| ócruk | edegéjga | 'match.up' |
| es-efer | erewésha | 'young man' |
| ej-efer | ejéwjena | 'young woman' |
| ofyen | ergen | '3DUPos' |

## Chapter 2 Phonology and morphophonemics

### 2.0 Introduction

This chapter is a description of the phonological and morphophonemic systems of Moskona. The segmental system of Moskona is presented in $\S 2.1$ with a detailed description of each individual phoneme, including its allophones, environments and example occurrences. Following each consonant and vowel section, contrastive evidence is given for phonetically similar pairs. The phonotactics of the language are presented in §2.2, detailing the syllable shapes, possible root structures, and consonant and vowel sequences occurring across syllable boundaries. The pitch accent system is presented in $\S 2.3$. The process of reduplication, discussed in $\S 2.4$, is presented separately from other morphophonemic processes, which are given in $\S 2.5$. The final section involves a brief description of the practical orthography in §2.6.

The consonantal system is composed of fifteen consonants with the distinctive absence of a voiceless bilabial plosive. The simple five vowel system is typical of Papuan vowel systems. The shape of a syllable is $(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{C})$, allowing for four canonical syllable patterns, V, CV, VC and CVC. The vast majority of roots consists of two syllables, with all possible combinations of syllable types, but roots comprised of up to four syllables have been observed.

Two salient features of the phonology involve a running speech phenomenon and a complex morphophonemic system. In running speech, there is an elision of a root-final $/ \mathrm{a} /$, somewhat similar to a process found also in the processes of reduplication, cliticization and compounding. The running speech phenomenon results in a consonant cluster in root-final position, yielding a syllable type not observed in slower more precise speech. The morphophonemic system widely affects the vowels of roots, affixes and enclitics.

The phonetic representation of sounds, written within phonetic brackets [ ], will always be symbolized using the IPA. For this chapter only, phonemic representation of speech forms, between slant lines '/ /', will be given in examples, conforming to the focus of this level. Representation of examples in subsequent chapters will be written without slant lines or brackets. In the phonemic representation of text examples, a few concessions to the orthography have been made. They are the voiceless bilabial fricative / $\Phi$ / symbolized as /f/, the voiceless velar fricative $/ \mathrm{x} /$ as $/ \mathrm{h} /$, the alveopalatal affricates / $\overparen{\mathrm{t}} /$ or $/ \mathrm{tç} /$ as $/ \mathrm{c} /$


### 2.1 Phonemes

The Moskona segmental inventory consists of fifteen consonants and five vowels. The following description of the segmental inventory includes rules of allophony and evidence of contrast for phonetically similar segments.

### 2.1.1 Consonants

The consonantal system of Moskona is a simple one, a common feature in most Papuan languages (Foley 1986:55). Due to the morphophonological characteristics of the various word classes, consonants, with the exception of $/ \mathrm{m} /$, are rare in root-initial position. As may be observed in Table 2.1, the plosives have an asymmetrical configuration due to the absence of a voiceless bilabial plosive, although the phone [p] occurs as an allophone of /b/ and in a few loan words.

Table 2.1 Consonant inventory
Consonant phonemes with their allophones given in brackets

|  | Bilabial | Alveolar | Palatal | Velar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plosive, voiceless |  | $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{t}]$ | $\mathrm{c}[\hat{\mathrm{t}}]$ | $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{k}]$ |
| Plosive, voiced | $\mathrm{b}[\mathrm{b}][\beta][\mathrm{p}]$ | $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{d}]$ | $\mathrm{j}[\hat{\mathrm{d}} 3][\mathrm{t}\}][\mathrm{S}]$ | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{g}][\mathrm{y}]$ |
| Fricative | $\mathrm{ff}[\mathrm{\phi}]$ | $\mathrm{s}[\mathrm{s}]$ |  | $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{x}][\mathrm{h}]$ |
| Nasal | $\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{m}]$ | $\mathrm{n}[\mathrm{n}][\mathrm{p}]$ |  |  |
| Flap |  | $\mathrm{r}[\mathrm{r}][\delta]$ |  |  |
| Approximant | $\mathrm{w}[\mathrm{w}][\beta]$ |  | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{j}]$ |  |

### 2.1.1.1 Consonants in all relevant environments

Unless otherwise indicated, the allophones listed for a phoneme occur in all dialects.
Plosives
The plosives are comprised of the stops $/ \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{g} /$ and the affricates $/ \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{j} /$. The affricates are included in the plosive category as they pattern in the same way as the stops, that is, they observe the same syllable positions as do the stops, occurring in onset and coda positions.
/t/
The voiceless alveolar stop, realized as [ t$]$, occurs in onset and coda.

| (1) | [tin] | /tin/ | 'also' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [tómror] | /tómror/ | 'openly' |
|  | [ 5 xot] | /óhot/ | 'say' |
|  | [oskájtok] | /oskáytok/ | 'small (bit)' |
|  | [mitówmat] | /mitówmat/ | 'metal spear' |
|  | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ta] | /éfta/ | 'attach to' |
|  | [ ¢́txa] | /étha/ | 'span over' |
| /k/ |  |  |  |
| The voiceless velar stop, realized as [k], occurs in all syllable onset and coda positions. |  |  |  |
| (2) | [k\&г反́nga] | /kerénga/ | 'upon' |
|  | [mokáxa] | /mokáha/ | 'fly' |
|  | [jik] | /yik/ | 'you.PL-see' |
|  | [عkrîs] | /ekrís/ | 'exceed' |
|  | [ $\varepsilon$ dźska] | /edéska/ | 'release' |
|  | [ ¢́mka] | /émka/ | '(to) poison' |
|  | [ókuk] | /ókuk/ | 'hunt (birds)' |

The rounding influence of the vowel /o/ occasionally spreads to the adjacent $/ \mathrm{k} /$, resulting in the phonetic realization $\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]$, observed in these few words:

| ekók(a) | 'father' | [ $2 \mathrm{kók} \mathrm{ka}] \sim$ [ $2 \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}$ óka] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| okés(a) | 'whole' | [okés] ~ [ok ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ¢́s] $]$ |
| mokés(a) | 'string for loincloth' | [mokés] ~ [ $\operatorname{mok}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ] |
| óked | 'chant' | [óked] ~ [ók ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ¢d] |
| okóka | 'flap' / 'flutter' | [okóka] ~ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{ók}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}$ ] |

/b/
The allophones of the bilabial stop have a complex distribution ${ }^{4}$. The voiced bilabial stop $/ b /$ has these allophones: the voiced bilabial fricative [ $\beta$ ] alternating with the voiced bilabial stop [b] intervocalically and preceding $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and $/ \mathrm{n} /$, the voiceless bilabial stop [p] preceding a voiceless plosive and the voiced bilabial plosive [b] occurring elsewhere. The voiced bilabial plosive occurs in word-final position only rarely.

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& / \mathrm{b} / \rightarrow[\beta] \sim[\mathrm{b}] \quad / \quad \mathrm{V} \ldots \mathrm{~V}  \tag{4}\\
& \text { /r/, /n/ } \\
& \text { [b] / elsewhere }
\end{align*}
$$
\]

|  | /ébna/ | 'signal' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /ébi/ | 'defecate' |
| [ $\varepsilon \beta 3 \mathrm{ax}] \sim[\varepsilon ́ b a \mathrm{x}]$ | /ébah / | 'alive' |
| [ $\varepsilon$ bri] ~ [ $\varepsilon$ ßri] | /ébri/ | 'crack' |
|  | /objéfi/ | 'malign' |
| [عbsita] | /ebsita/ | 'infertile' |
| [ ¢́pka] | /ébka/ | 'crack in two' |
| [ob] | /ob/ | 'snap off s.t.' |

/d/
The voiced alveolar stop /d/, realized as [d], occurs in onset and coda positions.

## (6)


/dif/
/edés/
/ohsúda/
/odrór/
/obáda/
/déke/
'I'
'NUM:2-one’
'search for' 'be dangling'
'carry under arm' 'may'
/g/
The voiced velar stop / g / occurs in syllable onset and coda positions. It is realized as a voiced velar fricative [ x ] alternating with a voiced velar stop [g] intervocalically and as [g] in all other environments.
(7)

|  | [Eヶgém] |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | [ ${ }_{\text {dzug }}$ |
|  | [gug] |
|  | [gúd3ga] |
|  | [jóga] ~ [jója] |
|  | [ogúguj] ~ [ogúyuj] |
|  | [ogá] ~ [oyá] |


| /ergém/ | 'NUM:1-CST' |
| :--- | :--- |
| /jug/ | 'against' |
| /gug/ | 'to' |
| /gújga/ | 'beneath' |
| /yóga/ | 'you.DU' |
| logúguy/ | 'appease' |
| /ogá/ | 'speech' |

The affricates [ tf ] and [ $\overline{\mathrm{d} 3}$ ], symbolized as phonemes $/ \mathrm{c} /$ and $/ \mathrm{j} /$, have a unitary function as evidenced by their occurrence in single slot in syllable onset, as in ciyja 'five' and jug 'against' and in the coda, as in ecîcka 'drop', or in running speech in the second consonant position in a CVCC syllable, such as efémj 'root hairs' or egébj 'cuss out'. Additional evidence may be seen in dialectal variants in which /c/ alternates with the single phoneme $/ \mathrm{s} /$, such as the Moyeba form ocót and the Meyerga form osót 'count' or the Moyeba form ec and the Meyerga form es 'become'.
/c/
The voiceless alveopalatal affricate, realized as [t]], occurs in syllable onset and coda positions.

| [okst¢ its] | /okécic/ | 'point out' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /cúmjog/ | '3 days ago' |
| [ó¢t¢a] | /ófca/ | 'puff (of wind)' |
| [otSúga] | /ocúga/ | 'fifth day' |
| [dets] | /dec/ | 'same location as' |
| [ótstor | /ócko/ | 'daily' |
|  | /cîyja/ | 'five' |
| [ $¢ \mathrm{t}$ ¢ $]$ | /ec/ | 'buy' |
| [ $\mathrm{Etg}^{\text {Ifra] }}$ | /ecíra/ | 'walk' |

/j/
The voiced alveopalatal affricate $/ \mathrm{j}$ / is realized as the voiced alveopalatal affricate [ d 3 ] alternating with the voiceless alveopalatal affricate $[\widehat{t S}]$ and the voiceless alveopalatal fricative [ [ ] . The voiceless alveopalatal affricate $[\boxed{t f}]$ is a shared allophone of $/ \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{j} /$. The voiced alveopalatal affricate occurs in syllable onset and coda.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { /j/ } \quad \rightarrow \quad\left[d_{3}\right] \sim[t] \sim[S] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [odótS] ~ [odódz ~ [odóS] /ódoj/ 'meet accidently' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [ऽiऽह́ma]~ ḑiḑ̧źma] /jijéma/ 'hidden' } \\
& \text { [idédZa] ~ [idét } 5 \text { a] /idéyja/ 'VIS-up-THITHER' }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [óqţig] ~ [óqḑig] /ófjig/ 'help' } \\
& \text { [óḑggun] ~ [óSgun] ~ [ơtggun] /ójgun/ 'cough' }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fricatives

/f/
The voiceless bilabial fricative $/ \mathrm{f} /$, realized as $[\phi]$, occurs in syllable onset and coda positions.

| (10) | [ $¢ \varepsilon \mathrm{n}$ ] | /fen/ | 'from' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ $\widehat{t} \mathrm{~S}$ ] | /éfca/ | 'hub' |
|  | [о́фоф] | /ófof/ | 'run' |
|  | [etóфа] | /eytófa/ | 'forehead' |
|  |  | /éfdec/ | 'spread to' |
|  | [oథúda] | /ofúda/ | 'arrive' |

/s/
The voiceless alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$, realized as [s], occurs in syllable onset and coda.

| (11) | [sáreg] | /sáreg/ | 'metal bracelet' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [osḱf] | /osér(a)/ | 'faint' |
|  | [Émsa] | /emsa/ | 'sniff' |
|  | [ $\varepsilon$ séki] | /eséki/ | 'fix' |
|  | [esísok] | /esísok/ | 'EXPRN' |
|  | [と́ska] | /éska/ | 'sting' |
|  | [ $\dagger$ ¢ $\varepsilon$ ¢gés] | /cergés/ | 'once' |

/h/
The voiceless velar fricative $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is realized as the voiceless velar fricative [x] alternating with the voiceless glottal fricative [h] in all positions. However, [x] is observed more frequently. It occurs in syllable onset and coda.

| $[$ ax $] \sim[$ ah $]$ | /ah/ | 'lie (down)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[$ xad $] \sim[$ had $]$ | /had/ | 'toward' |
| $[$ xun $] \sim[$ hun $]$ | /hun/ | 'camoflaged' |
| $[$ orusóxta $] \sim$ [orusóhta $]$ | /orusóxta/ | 'think' |
| $[$ áxda $] \sim[$ áhda $]$ | /áhda/ | 'itch'/ 'irritated' |
| $[$ bixa $] \sim[$ biha $]$ | /biha/ | 'you.sG-pay' |
| $[$ óxta $] \sim[$ óhta $]$ | /óhta/ | 'suck out' |

Nasals
/m/
The voiced bilabial nasal, realized as [m], occurs in syllable onset and coda.

| [mosú] | /mosú/ | 'mother' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [mówos] | /mówos/ | 'village' |
| $[$ nóma $]$ | nóma/ | 'that (one)' |
| [ósum] | /ósum/ | 'nose'/‘face' |
| $[$ ह́mba $]$ | /émba/ | 'rotten' |
| $[$ [́xum] | /óhma/ | 'tear loose' |
| $[$ otúmba $]$ | /otúmba/ | 'hollow' |

/n/
The voiced alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ occurs in syllable onset and coda. In syllable coda preceding a velar plosive $/ \mathrm{k} /$ or $/ \mathrm{g} /$, it is realized as a voiced velar nasal [ g ] and as [ n ] in all other environments.

| (14) | [nદ́sa] | /nésa/ | 'quickly' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [nomédz] | /noméj] | '(place) yonder' |
|  | [Ebdína] | /ebdína/ | 'press down on' |
|  | [ $\varepsilon \mathrm{n}]$ | /en/ | 'come' |
|  | [enégneg] | /eynégneg/ | 'rock (to \& fro)' |
|  | [ógnunuí] | /ógnunuí/ | 'many' |
|  | [modźnka] | /modénka/ | 'citrus' |
|  | [mongák] | /mongák/ | 'small parrot' |
|  | [ójoga] | /óynga/ | 'fold' |

Flap
/r/
The voiced alveolar flap /r/, which occurs in onset and coda positions, is realized as voiceless alveolar flap [ ${ }_{[ }$] contiguous to voiceless consonants and word finally and as the voiced alveolar flap [ r ] in all other environments. The voiced lateral approximant [l] has been observed to occur in variation with [r] intervocalically, but only rarely, and so is not included as an allophone of $/ \mathrm{r} /$.

| [rud] | /rud/ |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ráxu] | /ráhu/ |
| [غ́ra] | /éra/ |
| [ $\varepsilon$ bri] | /ébri/ |
| [eséter] | /eséter/ |
| [ $\varepsilon \Phi$ ह́mbra] | /efémbra/ |
| [étret] | /étret/ |
| [amókera] | /amókera/ |
|  | /ebirórha/ |
| [igérsa] | /igérsa/ |

'against'
'long time'
'NEG'
'crack'
'much more'
'flank'
'annoy'
'friend-PL'
'skull'
'male (animal)'

## Approximants

The distribution of the approximants $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is limited to intervocalic and syllablefinal positions, with the exception of $/ \mathrm{y} /$, occurring in the pronominal prefixes $y$ - 'DU' and $y i$ - '2PL' (§5.1.5). Unlike the pronominal prefix $i$ - ' 3 PL', the dual prefix $y$ - does not undergo (vocalic) assimilation when attached to verbs or inalienable nouns, evidencing its function as a consonant rather than a vowel. The palatal approximant is also a conditioning factor for an allophone of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{u} /(\S 2.1 .2 .1)$.
/w/
The bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is realized as the voiced bilabial approximant [w] alternating with the voiced bilabial fricative [ $\beta$ ] between two unrounded mid vowels (i.e. $/ \mathrm{e} /$ and $/ \mathrm{e} /$ ) and as [w] in all other environments. (The voiced bilabial fricative [ $\beta$ ] functions as a shared allophone of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{b} /$ and the bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$.)

$$
/ \mathrm{w} / \rightarrow \quad \begin{array}{lll}
{[\mathrm{w}] \sim[\beta]} & / & \mathrm{V}_{\text {unrd }} \\
{[\mathrm{w}]} & / & \mathrm{V}_{\text {unrd }} \\
\text { elsewhere }
\end{array}
$$

| (16) |  | /éwek/ | rounded ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ $\hat{W} \mathrm{~W}$ s] $\sim$ [ $\hat{\beta} \mathrm{\beta s}$ ] | /éwes/ | 'cavity' |
|  | [ówox] | /ówoh/ | 'webbed' |
|  | [Éṫcw] | /étew/ | 'much' |
|  | [mítow] | /mítow/ | 'machete' |
|  | [ówot] | /ówot/ | 'secretion' |
|  | [owóka] | /owóka/ | 'name' |
| /y/ . |  |  |  |
| The palatal approximant $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is realized as [ j$]$ in all environments. |  |  |  |
| (17) | [jek] | /yek/ | 'DU-see' |
|  | [ájok] ~ [ájok] | /áyok / | 'mother (voc.)' |
|  | [jit] | /yit/ | '2PL-eat' |
|  | [ótøj] | /ótuy / | 'tight.packed' |
|  | [о́jфа] | /óyfa/ | 'good' |
| (18) | [eórga] | /eyórga/ | 'lower' |
|  | [édza] | /éyja / | 'go (to)' |

The phone [e], interpreted as a coalescence of [ $\varepsilon$ ] and [j], is represented phonemically as /ey/, as in [eórga] /eyórga/ '(to) lower', and [édza] /éyja / 'go (to)' in (18).

## Loan phoneme /p/

The voiceless bilabial stop, realized as [p], occurs in a few loan words from Eastern Indonesian Malay, used by speakers with frequent contact with Malay. Monolingual speakers use /b/ or /f/.

| (19) | Moskona [pátfur] | /pácur/ | E.I. Malay pacul | Gloss <br> 'hoe' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [paóga] | /paóga/ | paku | 'nail' |
|  | [pénsi¢] | /pensir/ | pensil | 'pencil' |
|  | [pas] | /pas/ | beras | 'rice' |

### 2.1.1.2 Consonantal oppositions

Consonants which are phonetically similar are presented in the following lists in contrastive pairs. Phonemes are given in identical environments where possible, otherwise in analogous environments. Some examples exhibit syllable patterns found in running speech rather than citation forms, but nevertheless demonstrate clear contrast.

Chapter 2
(20) $/ \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{w} /$

| ow <br> ob | 'dig (hole)' <br> 'pluck' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ówra | 'cross through' |
| óbra | 'bark (at)' |

(21) /t/ vs /d/
orót
oród
tin
din
aháda
ahátu
(22) $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{r} /$
or
ot
ot
róga
tóga
oróh
ótoh
mésta
mésra
(23) $/ \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{r} /$
erá
edá
eséd
esér
'THM'
'then'
eséd
'grab'
esér
'pass by'

| dudú <br> rúdud | '1SG-tell' <br> 'by' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ófra | 'lift' |
| ófda | 'arrive' |

(24) $/ \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{c} /$
éti
éciy
cúmjog
tum
éfta
éfca
et
ec
mótga
mócga
(25) $/ \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{j} /$
od
oj
ej
ed
ódgak
ójga
jig
dig
óduy
ójuy
'strike accidently'
'descend into'
'open (mouth)'
‘join'
'torso'
'origin(ate) ${ }^{\prime}$
'LOC'
'1SG-hear'
'front (of person)'
'shove away'
(26) $/ \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{j} /$
ocúja
ojúj(a)
cîyja
jijéma
ókuc
ókuj
ec
ej
'sixth day from now'
'hire' / 'compensate'
'five'
'concealed'
'point out'
'fetch (inan. obj)'
'buy'
'female'
(27) $/ \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{y} /$
ey
ej
ójok
óyok
ójga
óyka
(28) $/ \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{g} /$
gug
kug
eg
ek
ógun
ókun
ófga
ófka
'weave'
'open (mouth)'
'hit'
'insult'
'originated (from)'
'dance'
'to'
‘tick'
'hear'
'see'
'add (on)'
'(out)side'
'bleed'
'cut and stack'
(29) $/ \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{k} /$
oh

ok $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
'emit' / 'give out' <br>
huna <br>
$k u k$

$\quad$

'flee'/ 'run off'
\end{tabular}

(30) $/ \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{f} /$
obúy
ofúy
óbra
ófra
fen
bin
ófod
obáda
(31) /s/ vs /c/
es
ec
decîr
tesi
déci
tesi
'male'
'buy'
'correct'
'below'
'slowly'
'below'

### 2.1.2 Vowels

The vowel system of Moskona, which consists of five vowels with limited allophony, is consistent with vowel systems typical of Papuan languages (cf. Foley 1998:506). An inventory of the vowel phonemes and their allophones is given in Table 2.2. with allophones of each phoneme given in brackets. There are few limitations on where vowels may occur in a word, but the position of mid vowels in roots is limited. The mid vowels /e/ and /o/ occur in root-initial and medial position, but rarely occur in root-final position, which is occupied most frequently by the low vowel /a/. In the following discussion descriptions and phonetic realizations along with environments will be given with each vowel phoneme.

Table 2.2 Vowel inventory

|  | Front | Central | Back |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| High | $\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{i}][\mathrm{I}]$ |  | $\mathrm{u}[\mathrm{u}][\varnothing]$ |
| Mid | $\mathrm{e}[\varepsilon]$ |  | $\mathrm{o}[\mathrm{o}][0]$ |
| Low |  | a |  |

### 2.1.2.1 Vowels in all relevant environments

/i/
In closed syllables preceding a flap $/ \kappa /$, $/ \mathrm{i} /$ is realized as [ I ]. In all other environments [i] occurs. The close front vowel /i/ occurs in all syllable types.

| [erír] | /eyrir(a)/ | 'vibrate' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [ 2 ḑid ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ] | /ejíj(a)/ | 'twist' |
| [îda] | /ida/ | 'who' |
| [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {] }}$ | /éri/ | 'they.PL' |
| [ 2 síwkdzig] | /esîwkjig/ | 'allow' |
|  | /ebekîrka/ | 'stubborn' |
| [tin] | /tin/ | 'also' |

/e/
The mid front vowel /e/ has the phonetic realization [ $\varepsilon$ ]. The open mid front vowel [e], which occurs infrequently and has limited distribution, is interpreted as a coalescence of
the sequence [ $\varepsilon$ ] plus [j]. Words such as [éta] 'take' and [éd3a] 'go (to)' will be written as /éyta/ and /éyja/ respectively. The phoneme /e/ occurs in the syllable nuclei of all syllable types.

| (33) | [ $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{\text {¢ }}$ ] |  | /éfer/ | 'child' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [ ¢́k $\varepsilon$ ] |  | /éke/ | 'collide' |
|  |  |  | /ége/ | 'scoop' |
|  | [mérga] |  | /mérga/ | 'wood' |
|  | [ésaxa] < | [ jjsaxa] | /éysaha/ | 'reach' |
|  | [exa] < | [عjxa] | /éyha/ | 'pay' |

/u/
The close back vowel $/ u /$, when immediately preceding the palatal approximant $/ \mathrm{y} /$, is realized phonetically as [ø] and in all other environments as [u]. It occurs in all syllable nuclei.

| [ódøj] | /óduy/ | 'front' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| [ótøj] | /ótuy/ | 'dense' |
| [múwga] | /múwga/ | 'porch' |
| [xúna] | /húna/ | 'camoflaged' |
| [úsra] | /usra/ | '3PL-enter' |
| $[$ ráxu] | /ráhu/ | 'long time' |
| $[\mathrm{m}$ cu] | /méru/ | 'handle' |
| [motúrka] | /motúrka/ | 'bower bird' |

/o/
The close mid vowel /o/ occurs in all syllable types. Contiguous to velar consonants $/ \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{g} /$ and $/ \mathrm{h} /, / \mathrm{o} /$ is realized as [ o ] alternating with [ $\bigcirc$ ]. In all other environments, it is realized as [o].

```
(35)
[ójsa]
[óko] ~ [óko] ~ [óks]
[osótka]
[márəg] ~ [márog]
[óфoga] ~ [óф’ga]
[óxot] ~ [óxot]
[ \(\varepsilon\) kók] ~ [ \(\varepsilon\) kók]
```

/óysa/
/óko/
/osótka/
/márog/
/ofóga/
/óhot/
/ekók/
'finish'
'thatch a roof'
'marry (male word)'
'arrow'
'cloud'
'say’
'father (voc)'
/a/
The low central vowel/a/ is realized phonetically as [a] and occurs in all syllable types with no allophonic variation.
(36) [と́sta]
[merégax]
[Égak]
[áxaţ]
[tiná]
[áxda]
/ésta/
/merégah/
/égak/
/áhac/
/tiná/
'mid-way'
'leg'
'tie'
'but'
'itch'

### 2.1.2.2 Vocalic oppositions

The following sets of words illustrate the phonemic contrast of similar vowel phonemes in identical and analogous environments.
(37) $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{e} /$

| en <br> in | 'come' <br> 'they.PL-come' |
| :--- | :--- |
| éki | 'weave bamboo' |
| éke | 'almost' |
| éker | 'sit' |
| ékir | 'empty' |
| etkétka | 'very near' |
| etkîtka | 'cracking (sound)' |

(38) $/ \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{o} /$

| uhóbta ohóbta | '3PL-stare 'stare' |
| :---: | :---: |
| óhur | 'lie' |
| óhor | 'urinate' |
| jug | 'against' |
| jog | 'already' |


| móruj | 'wasp' |
| :--- | :--- |
| morója | 'path' |

(39) /a/ vs /e/
ámsa
émsa
ári
éri
mar
mer
(40) $/ \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{o} /$
éker
óker
ok
ek
yeg
yog
(41) $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{i} /$
édi
edá
ah
ih $(a)$
$-m i$
$-m a$
(42) $/ \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{vs} / \mathrm{o} /$

| ah | 'hack' |
| :--- | :--- |
| oh | 'emit' |
| mos | 'fish' |

‘wasp'
'path'
'still'
'sniff'
'week'
'they.PL'
'thing'
'room'
'sit'
'peel off (in strips)'
'bear'
'see'
'you.DU-hear'
'you.DU-bend'
'push back'
'then'
'lie'
'they.PL-pay'
'far-GIV'
'far'

```
áhac 'tie'
óhac 'spit out'
```


### 2.1.2.3 Vowel sequences and syllable nuclei

In vowel plus high vowel sequences, the high vowels are interpreted as approximants, that is, the sequence is a vowel plus an approximant. This interpretation is supported by the nonsyllablic function of the high vowels in the sequence. Interpretation of high vowels as approximants also fits well with the canonical syllable patterns, especially as CVC is a most unambiguous structure.

Within a syllable only vocoid sequences of vowel plus high vowel are attested. All other vowel sequences constitute separate syllables. The vocoid sequences which occur in syllables are presented in Table 2.3. The first vocoid in the sequence is positioned by row, second vocoid in the sequence by column.

Table 2.3 Vocoid sequences

|  | i | e | a | o | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | ii |  |  |  | iu |
| e | ei |  |  |  | eu |
| a | ai |  |  |  | au |
| o | oi |  |  |  | ou |
| u | ui |  |  |  | uu |

These sequences occur only in open syllables, that is, assignment of consonantal status to the high vowels does not produce a syllable with a consonant cluster in the coda. The sequence [ $\varepsilon \mathrm{zi}$ ] has not been observed to occur, but the phone [e], interpreted as the coalescence of the sequence [ $\varepsilon$ i] as in (44), completes the configuration. The vowel plus high vowel sequences are illustrated by examples (43-(52).

| ii | [míi] | /miy/ | 'cloth' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | /cíyja/ | 'five' |
| ei | [ésaxa] | /éysaha/ | 'reach' |
|  | [éta] | /éyta/ | 'take' |
| ai | [áinun] | /áynun/ | 'enduring' |
|  | [máina] | /máyna/ | 'loincloth' |


| (46) | oi | [oiréga] [óika] | /oyréga/ <br> /óyka/ | 'tail' <br> 'dance' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (47) | ui | [osusǿi] [офǿiga] | /osusúy/ /ofúyga/ | 'shudder' 'upper portion' |
| (48) | iu | [etíu] <br> [misíu] | /etíw/ /misíw/ | 'elbow' 'rat' |
| (49) | eu | [ [́tıu] <br> [mékeu] | /étew/ /mékew/ | 'much' <br> 'father' |
| (50) | au | [Éngau] <br> [áxau] | /éngaw/ <br> /áhaw/ | 'crooked' 'descend' |
| (51) | ou | [mítou] [офóua] | /mítow/ /ofówa/ | 'machete' 'beak' |
| (52) | uu | [múuga] <br> [úuos] | /múwga/ /úwos/ | 'porch' <br> '3PL-skin ' |

In the sequence vowel plus high vowel, such as [ui], the first vowel functions as the nucleus, as in ófuy 'egg', illustrated in Figure 2.1.

In instances of high vowel plus high vowel, such as /ui/, in which the second high vowel of the sequence carries an accent, two syllables are formed. No words have been observed with the vowel sequence $/ \mathrm{iu} /$ in which $/ \mathrm{u} /$ carries the accent.
(53) másu.îr 'sandfly'
(54) mocúîr 'mother-PL'

Figure 2.1 Graph of ófuy 'egg'


### 2.2 Phonotactics

This section describes the sequential arrangement of syllable types within monomorphemic roots. A root may consist of a single syllable with the structure (C)VC. The discussion will begin with the canonical syllable types and a presentation of their distribution in roots, followed by a discussion of the phenomenon of running speech and the paragog $-a$. A presentation of those consonant and vowel sequences which may occur across syllable boundaries will conclude the section.

### 2.2.1 Syllable structure

Canonical syllable patterns found in monomorphemic roots are the open syllables: V and CV and the closed syllables: VC and $\mathrm{CVC}^{5}$. The nucleus of a syllable is a single vowel. There are no sequences of two non-high vowels functioning as the nucleus of a syllable, as all vowel sequences occur across syllable boundaries.

[^2]Monomorphemic roots are typically no longer than three syllables. Although there are a few nominal forms which have four syllables, these are interpretable as fused forms whose individual parts are no longer identifiable.

### 2.2.1.1 Syllable shapes and root structures

(i) Monosyllabic morphemes

There are no roots composed of a single vowel. All single vowel morphemes are either enclitics or affixes. All five vowels occur as a type of affix or enclitic.

| V | $-i$ | 'GIV' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i-$ | '3PL' |  |
| $-o$ | 'EMP' |  |
| $-a$ | 'PGE' |  |
| $-i$ | 'PROT' |  |
| $e-$ | 'FOC' |  |
| $u-$ | 'NONVIS' |  |

In roots with the shape VC in which the consonant is an approximant $/ \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{y} /$, only $/ \mathrm{e} /$ and / $/$ / occur as syllable nuclei. (High vowels are excluded due to the fact that all inalienable nouns and verbs have a non-high vowel as the root-initial segment (§3.1.2 and $\S 3.2$ ). Roots with the structure VC, which have a consonant which is not an approximant, have the non-high vowels /e, $o, a /$ as nuclei, but / $\mathrm{a} /$ in this word type is extremely rare.

VC

| ey | 'weave' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ew | 'pass (into)' |
| oy | 'tie on (body)' |
| ow | 'fry' |
| eg |  |
| $e k$ | 'hear' |
| $o k$ | 'inject' |
| of | 'flee' |
| od | 'fell (tree)' |
| $a h$ | 'strike accidently' |

There are very few lexical forms in which the root has the syllable structure CV. All other morphemes with CV shape are prefixes.
(58)


| se | 'definite' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $n i$ | 'for' |
| $d i-$ | '1SG' |
| $b i-$ | '2SG' |

```
mi- '1PL'
yi- '2PL'
no- 'DNR'
```

The most common root structure in monosyllabic morphemes is CVC, with all five vowels occurring in the nucleus.

| CVC | mek | 'pig' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fen | 'from' |  |
| tas | 'again' |  |
| mas | 'rain' |  |
| jig | 'LoC' |  |
| sis | 'past' |  |
| kog | 'ahead' |  |
| ros | 'still' |  |
| kus | 'short span' |  |
| gug | 'to' |  |

(ii) Two syllable roots

Roots with the structure CV.V are uncommon, found only in a few words.
CV.V bú.a
yи́.a 'you.PL'
kú.a 'tea'/ 'infusion'

Roots with the structure CV.CV are common with all vowels occurring in all nuclei.
CV.CV

| dé.ke | 'may' |
| :--- | :--- |
| dá.ka | 'avert' |
| dé.ci | 'slowly' |
| dú. $d u$ | 'incrementally' |
| jé.na | 'precisely' |
| jí.da | 'until' |
| ká.ju | 'bean' / 'peanut' |
| mó.hu | 'broad cloth' |
| rá.hu | 'long time' |
| tí.ma | 'tin (metal)' |
| bú.si | 'k.o. spear' |

Roots with the structure V.CV and V.CVC have all vowels occurring in the nuclei of the second syllable, but the first syllable of V.CV and V.CVC roots is limited to the non-high
vowels /e/ and /o/, illustrating a morphophonological characteristic of verbs (§3.2) and inalienable nouns (§3.1.2). The only instances of /a/ in the initial syllable are recent loans, such as á.ri 'week' and á.ra 'God'.

V.CVC | a.háh |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| é.bah |  |
|  | é.fif |
|  | é.bir |
|  | é.fem |
|  | ó.ked |
|  | o.góh |
|  | ó.gun |

'etched'
'raw'
é.fif 'arrange'
é.bir 'head'
é.fem 'nest'
óked 'sole of foot'
o.góh 'base'
ó.gun 'add'
Roots with the structure VC.CV have nonhigh vowels in the initial syllable. With few exceptions, only $/ \mathrm{a} /$ occurs as the nucleus of the second syllable. Instances of $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{o} / \mathrm{in}$ the second syllable are arguably separate morphemes which have fused to the root (e.g. $i$ (ef) 'near' or $-i$ 'GIV')
(64)
VC.CV
óh. $k a$
ój.ga
ód.ra
áh. $d a$
óh.ma
ék.sa
éc. $k a$
éf.sa
éf.na
'chin'
'originated'
oj.ga
'dangling'
áh.da
'itch'
'go hunting'
'shoulder'
'perforated'
éf.sa 'white'
éf.na 'flat'

| éf.ta | 'attach to' <br> ób.ra |
| :--- | :--- |
| 'bark at' |  |

The root structure CV.CVC is the predominant structure for alienable nouns. Many words with $m a(r)$ 'thing' as the first syllable are earlier forms with $m$ - ' NR ' fused to the root.

| dá.kin | 'vigorously' |
| :--- | :--- |
| má.hat | 'tongs' |
| má.tah | 'shade' |
| má.ken | 'hook' |
| ma.móm | 'overcast sky' |
| má.nir | 'leader' |
| má.rog | 'arrow' |
| mé.def | 'sago' |
| mé.deg | 'bamboo' |

The initial syllable of roots with VC.CVC structure is filled by /e/ or /o/ only. The final syllable may be any vowel.

| éj.meg | 'spine' |
| :--- | :--- |
| én.git | 'make' |
| ób.rer | '(be) sunken' |
| óf.jig | 'help' |
| ók.rok | 'grate (sound) |
| ós.kur | 'bad' |
| óc.ruk | 'match up' |
| ód.gak | 'torso' |

In CVC.CV syllables, the final syllable may be filled by /a/ only, with the exception of a very few forms like máh.ti 'legend', which is likely a collapsed phrase.

| CVC.CV | mós.ta | 'prey' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| máh.ga | 'sm. roof poles' |  |
| már.sa | 'game (meat)' |  |
|  | méb.ka | 'mango' |
|  | mám.ga | 'pestle' |

The first syllable of a CVC.CVC structure may be filled by a mid vowel only, /e/ or /o/, the final syllable by any vowel.

## CVC.CVC

| meb.jéf | 'red biting ant' |
| :--- | :--- |
| meb.gíf | 'fan palm' |
| már.gir | 'small parrot' |
| méb.dah | 'landslide' |
| méf.nef | 'crow' |
| més.kid | 'elephant grass' |
| més.nom | 'corn' |
| més.ter | 'plate' |
| móc.mir | 'earthquake' |
| móc.kur | 'thick stick' |

(iii) Three syllable roots

Many of the three syllable roots are phrases or compounds which have collapsed, and although many of the individual morphemes are no longer identifiable, a few morphemes still have a meaning which may be inferred. ${ }^{6}$

Three syllable roots with a single vowel as initial syllable, having the structure V.CVC.CV or V.CV.CVC are restricted in the vowels which occur in the initial and final syllables. The initial syllable must be either /e/ or /o/. In V.CVC.CV the final syllable must have /a/ as its nucleus.

| V.CV.CVC | e.ge.dîd | 'obstruct' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | o.gú.gar | 'cooled down' |
|  | e.gé.rag | 'weak' / 'soft' |
|  | o.có.hun | 'enveloped' |

[^3]| o.fó.gun | 'old (outdated)' |
| :--- | :--- |
| o.fo.jók | 'poor (person)' |
| o.gú.gen | 'pacify' |
| e.sís.ga | 'ask (a question)' |
| e.fir.na | '(feel) chilled' |
| e.fí.ra | 'leveled' |
| e.géb.ja | 'cuss out' |
| o.dóc.ka | 'reply' |
| o.dúr.na | 'unaware' |
| e.sîr.na | '(be) sick' |
| o.gór.na | 'thirst |
| o.sór.na | 'hunger' |
| o.fóm.sa | 'energy' / 'effort' |
| a.há.tu | 'surrender' |
| a.mó.ka | 'friend' |
| e.jé.ka | 'call out' |
| e.bá.ha | 'drag (with force)' |
| e.cí.ra | 'walk' |
| e.sé.ka | 'poke' |
| e.dé.ga | 'remove' |
| o.cí.ga | 'affect' |
| o.cú.ga | 'fifth day hence' |

Various other three syllable word structures have been observed, but seem to have only a few words with each structure. A few examples of other structures are given below.

| CV.CV.CV | ma.sí.na <br> me.ge.sí | 'rainy season' <br> 'leech' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V.CVC.CVC | o.fór.dor | 'liver' |
| VC.CV.CV | or.sí.ki | 'slippery' |
| CV.CV.VC | me.si.éw | 'comb' |
| CVC.CV.CV | mah.te.réw | 'lattice' |


| (77)CV.CVC.CV | mo.túr.ka <br> ke.rén.ga | 'bower bird' <br> 'upon' |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| (78) $\quad$ CV.CVC.CVC | mo.dóc.kor <br> mi.tów.mat | 'pinworms' <br> 'metal spear' |
| (79) $\quad$ VC.CV.CVC | em.bi.sîf | 'stink' |

Four syllable roots are rare and are interpretable as collapsed phrases, such as edegéjga from edága jig (enough LOC).

```
V.CV.CVC.CV e.de.géj.ga 'match up'
```

The unusual word structure VCC.C $a$ has been observed in three numerals which are composed of a numeral classifier, ers- 'NUM:6 ', ors- 'NUM:10' or ort- 'NUM:9' and the bound numeral root $-a k$ 'two', which has been reduced to $-k$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { érs.ka } & {[\mathrm{crsk}] \sim \text { [érska] }} & \text { 'NUM:6-two' }  \tag{81}\\
\text { órs.ka } & {[\mathrm{orsk}] \sim \text { [órska] }} & \text { 'NUM:10-two' } \\
\text { órt.ka } & {[\text { ortk] ~ [órtka] }} & \text { 'NUM:9-two' }
\end{array}
$$

A few unusual word structures which contain word-initial syllables with consonant clusters in the coda position have been observed. These are possibly fused phrases, parsed as $m$-ebs(a)-(o)t-a (NR-white-stand-PGE) 'white stuff is' and ebs/efs(a)-ka -ir (hub-near-PL) 'central near ones'.

## CVCC.CV <br> VCC.CVC

mébs.ta
'sand'
ebs.kîr '(close) relatives'

### 2.2.1.2 Running speech forms

An extremely common phenomenon in regular running speech is the elision of an unaccented root-final $/ \mathrm{a} /$. These truncated forms occur when connected to other forms (i.e. rarely in isolation), similar to the elision phenomenon also observed in the process of reduplication (§2.4.2), cliticization (§2.5.1.3) and the attachment of the suffixal part of the reciprocal circumfix ( $\$ 2.5 .1 .4$ ).

In roots with the structure VC.C $a$, in which the coda of the first syllable is filled by an approximant, the final /a/ may be elided to yield the running speech syllable (C)VCC, as illustrated in (83).


In roots which have the structure vc.Ca, the unaccented $/ \mathrm{a} /$ may be deleted in running speech if a voiceless consonant occurs in the onset of the second syllable, resulting in the running speech structure VCC, as in:

| of.ca | [ó¢tfa] | [ódt5] | 'to puff' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ob.sa | [óbsa] | [óbs] | 'wipe off' |
| om.ha | [ómxa] | [ómx] | 'snore' |
| ok.sa | [óksa] | [óks] | 'turn over s.t.' |
| ah.ta | [áxta] | [áxt] | 'black(ness)' |

Those roots with the shape VC.C $a$ which have a consonant which is not an approximant in the coda slot of the first syllable and have a voiced consonant occurring in the onset of the second syllable may not be reduced to VCC. An elision would result in forms which are unacceptable, marked with '*' in (85).

| áh.da | *ahd |
| :--- | :--- |
| ój.ga | *ojg |
| és.ba | *esb |
| ér.ma | *erm |

'itch / 'irritate'
'originated'
és.ba *esb 'uncover' / 'reveal'
ér.ma *erm 'stir'
Monomorphemic roots which have the internal structure (V).CVC.Ca, are unaffected by the restrictions placed on roots which have a root-initial vc syllable type. The root-final /a/ may be elided, yielding a form with the structure (V).CVCC.

| mer.ga | [mérga] > [mérg] | 'wood' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| máy.na | [májna] > [majn] | 'loincloth' |
| mah.ta | [máxta] > [maxt] | 'ink' (black stuff) |
| e.fem.ja |  | 'root hair' |

Root-final vowels, such as /e/, /o/, or /i/, or roots where the final /a/ carries the accent, do not undergo final-vowel elision, as in:

| ámsi | 'silently' | ófri | 'spicy' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ébri | 'crack' | está | 'fork (in branch)' |
| eckîi | 'two days ago' | éke | 'collide' |

Roots which have the internal structure CV. $a$, such as the free pronouns bú. $a$ 'you.SG' and yú.a 'you.PL', do not undergo elision of the final /a/ in running speech.

### 2.2.1.2.1 Elision of /w/

In running speech, an intervocalic voiced labial $/ \mathrm{w} /$ (or sometimes $/ \mathrm{b} /$ ) is frequently elided, reducing the root by a syllable, (i.e. a two syllable root is reduced to a single syllable or a three syllable root to two syllables). This occurs in roots with the root-initial structure (C)Vw.VC or (C)V.bVC, in which identical vowels, such as /e/ or / $\mathrm{o} /$, or more rarely $/ \mathrm{u} /$, occur as nuclei in each syllable. The resulting vowel sequence is articulated as a long vowel, which functions as the nucleus of the syllable.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { buwún } \\
\text { 'you.SG' }
\end{array} & \text { [buwún }] \text { reduces to [bu'n }] \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { mebét (a) } \\
\text { 'squash' }
\end{array} & {[\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \mathrm{t}] \sim[\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \mathrm{w} \text { 't }] \text { reduces to }[\mathrm{m} \varepsilon \text { 't }]} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { owókca } \\
\text { 'subunit' }
\end{array} & {[\text { owókt } \overparen{a} \mathrm{a}] \sim[\text { ó'ktfa }]}
\end{array}
$$

### 2.2.1.2.2 Paragoge -a

All words which do not have a root-final /a/, when given in citation form and occasionally in running speech, may have the paragoge vowel /a/, or more rarely /e/, added to the end of the word, noted as $-a$ 'PGE' in examples. However, the addition of a paragoge / a / does not change accent placement or meaning, and the paragoge $-a$ never carries an accent. It is distinctive, in that, no other vowel ( $i$, o or u) may be added to roots in a manner which does not convey meaning change. These other vowels function as grammatical markers: such as $-i$ 'PROT' and $-o$ 'EMP' indicating speaker attitude, or -ey [e] ' Q ', an enclitic marking polar questions.

### 2.2.2 Consonant sequences

There are no consonant clusters which occur in the canonical syllables of Moskona. All consonant sequences occur across syllable boundaries.

### 2.2.2.1 Consonant sequences across syllable boundaries

Table 2.4 gives a summary in chart form of the consonant sequences which have been observed to occur across syllable boundaries. Geminate sequences do not occur, but homorganic sequences may. Examples of all types of sequences are given, meaning that those sequences which are rare, such as those found only in one or two words, are included along with those occurring more frequently. Examples of the types of consonant
sequences which occur across syllable boundaries may be seen in the data given in (91105).

## Table 2.4 Consonant sequences across syllable boundaries

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \rightarrow \\ & \mathrm{C}_{1 \downarrow} \end{aligned}$ | t | k | b | d | g | c | j | f | S | h | m | n | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t |  | tk | - | - | tg | - | - | tf | - | th | tm | tn | tr |
| k | kt | - | - | - | - | kc | - | - | ks | - | km | - | kr |
| b | - | bk | - | bd | bg | bc | bj | - | bs | - | - | bn | br |
| d | - | - | - | - | dg | - | - | - | - | - | dm | dn | dr |
| g | - | - | - | gd | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | gn | gr |
| c | - | ck | - | - | cg | - | - | - | - | ch | cm | - | cr |
| j | - | - | - | - | jg | - | - | - | - | - | jm | jn | jr |
| f | ft | fk | - | fd | fg | fc | fj | - | fs | - | fm | fn | fr |
| S | st | sk | sb | - | sg | - | - | sf | - | sh | sm | sn | Sr |
| h | ht | hk | - | hd | hg | hc | - | - | hs | - | hm | hn | hr |
| m | mt | mk | mb | md | mg | mc | mj | - | ms | mh | - | mn | mr |
| n | - | - | - | nd | ng | - | - | - | - | nh | - | - | - |
| r | rt | rk | - | rd | rg | rc | rj | rf | rs | rh | rm | rn | - |

Consonant sequences
/t.k/
/t.g/
/t.f/
/t.h/
/t.m/
/t.n/
/t.r/
/k.t/
/k.c/
/k.s/
/k.m/
/k.r/
/b.k/
/b.d/
/b.g/
et.kéb.ra
mót.gus
e.te.fa
ét.ha
ét.ma
e.tit.na
ót.ra
ók.ter
o.fók.ca
ék.sa
úk.mor
ék.ris
eb.ké.rek
éb.da
éb.ga
'short'
'middle of night'
'wet'
'join (a bridge)'
'arm'
'pilfer'
'flip forward'
'encircle'
'gather'
'shoulder'
'k.o. poisonous snake' 'exceed'
'crack open'
'knead'
'torn'

| /b.c/ | mib.ca | 'gourd' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /b.j/ | e.géb.ja | 'curse at' |
| /b.s/ | eb.sî.ta | 'infertile' |
| /b.n/ | a.hab.nîna | 'few' |
| /b.r/ | éb.ra | 'spread over' |
| /d.g/ | ód.gak | 'torso' |
| /d.m/ | éd.ma | 'light up' |
| /d.n/ | éd.nem | 'healthy' |
| /d.r/ | o.fód.reg | 'framework' |
| /g.d/ | móg.der | 'parakeet' |
| /g.n/ | óg.nипиi | 'many' |
| /g.r/ | óg.ri | 'straight' |
| /c.k/ | éc.kef | 'escape' |
| /c.g/ | móc.ga | 'mortar' |
| /c.h/ | móc.ha | 'k.o. tree' |
| /c.m/ | móc.mir | 'earthquake' |
| /c.r/ | móc.ra | 'hunger' |
| /j.g/ | éj.gen | 'know' |
| /j.m/ | éj.meg | 'spine' |
| /j.n/ | ój.nif | 'outer limit' |
| /j.r/ | méj.red | 'kapak' |
| /f.t/ | óf.tet | 'scenic' |
| /f.k/ | ófka | 'urge' |
| /f.d/ | éf.dec | 'spread' |
| /f.g/ | yéf.gah | 'you.DU innocent' |
| /f.c/ | óf.ca | 'puff' |
| /f.j/ | méf.jef | 'k.o. biting ant' |
| /f.s/ | éf.sa | 'white' |
| /f.m/ | móf.meg | 'k.o. cloth' |
| /f.n/ | méf.nef | 'crow' |
| /f.r/ | of.rá.ha | 'green' |


| (99) | /s.t/ | és.tak | 'butt' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | /s.k/ | és.ka | 'sting' |
|  | /s.b/ | e.sés.ba | 'carve' |
|  | /s.g/ | es.gén.ga | 'sour' |
|  | /s.f/ | ós.fa | 'leap' |
|  | /s.h/ | mós.hu | 'frog' |
|  | /s.m/ | és.ma | 'take by force' |
|  | /s.n/ | ós.nok | 'person' |
|  | /s.r/ | es.rógu | 'fall by itself' |
| (100) | /h.t/ | áh.ta | 'black' |
|  | /h.k/ | áh.ka | 'scrape' |
|  | /h.d/ | áh.da | 'itch' |
|  | /h.g/ | táh.gur | 'four' |
|  | /h.c/ | móh.ca | 'man-made hole' |
|  | /h.s/ | óh.sa | 'emerge' |
|  | /h.m/ | óh.mef | 'tear loose' |
|  | /h.r/ | óh.rom | 'be quick' |
| (101) | /m.t/ | óm.ta | 'demand publicly' |
|  | /m.k/ | óm. ka | 'sleep deeply' |
|  | /m.b/ | ém.ba | 'rotten' |
|  | /m.d/ | óm.da | 'mate (of set)' |
|  | /m.g/ | óm.ga | 'blurry' |
|  | /m.c/ | mogóm.ca | 'rocky' |
| (102) | /m.j/ | mîm.jah | 'rainbow' |
| (103) | /m.s/ | ám.sa | 'silent' |
|  | /m.h/ | óm.ha | 'snore' |
|  | /m.n/ | óm.nin | 'aim at' |
|  | /m.r/ | tóm.rer | 'exposedly' |
| (104) | /n.d/ | e.fén.da | 'dense' |
|  | /n.g/ | én.git | 'make' |
|  | /n.h/ | me.rén.ha | 'storm' |


| /r.t/ | mór.toh | 'island' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| /r.k/ | or.késa | 'joint' |
| /r.d/ | o.fór.dor | 'liver' |
| /r.g/ | ér.ga | 'left (side)' |
| /r.c/ | fér.cin | 'spices' |
| /r.j/ | ár.ja | 'female fish' |
| /r.f/ | e.jér.fa | 'splash out' |
| /r.s/ | ér.sa | 'slice' |
| /r.h/ | or.húsa | 'drag s.t.' |
| /r.m/ | kár.mom | 'thick metal' |
| /r.n/ | ór.na | 'man' |

### 2.2.2.2 The mbr sequence

Although the consonant sequence $/ \mathrm{mbr}$ / occurs in normal speech, it appears that the voiced bilabial stop $/ \mathrm{b} /$ has evolved as the voiced transition between the bilabial nasal $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and the flap $/ \mathrm{r} /$. Native speakers perceive the presence of a voiced bilabial stop in the sequence and consider it to be integral to the root. But to consider mbr as a licit consonant sequence would produce the syllable type CVCC, which has been observed to occur word-finally in running speech forms, but not word-medially. Therefore, although the $/ \mathrm{b} /$ of the $/ \mathrm{mbr} /$ sequence will be written in this grammar, syllable structures containing the sequence are not included in possible word structures. The sequence $/ \mathrm{mbr} /$ may be observed in only a few words, such as:

| me.rémb.rah | 'rainforest' |
| :--- | :--- |
| e.fémb.ra | 'flank' |
| o.fómb.ra | 'lung(s)' |

### 2.2.3 Vowel Sequences

There are no phonemic vowel sequences within syllables. All vowel sequences occur across syllable boundaries.

### 2.2.3.1 Vowel sequences across syllable boundaries

All instances of vowel sequences across syllable boundaries involve accent placement on the second vowel of the sequence, indicating separate syllables. There is only one type of geminate vowel sequence /e.e/. Examples of these vowel sequences are given in Table2.5.

Table 2.5 Vowel sequences across syllable boundaries

| $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | i | e | a | o | u |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | i | - | ie | ia | io |
| ue |  |  |  |  |  |
| e | - | ee | - |  | - |
| a | - | - | - | - | - |
| o | - | - | - | - | - |
| u | ui | ue | ua | uo | - |

Vowel sequences

| (107) | /i.e/ | me.si.éw e.fi.éyja | 'comb' <br> 'ready-to-eat' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (108) | /i.a/ | e.fi.áh(ta) | 'very sharp' |
| (109) | /i.o/ | mes.ki.óm.ka o.si.óma | 'scorpion' <br> 'play' |
| (110) | /u.e/ | e.du.éc me.ju.én | 'trade a child' 'crocodile' |
| (111) | /e.e/ | né.esa <br> mé.esa | 'not yet' 'enemy' |
| (112) | /u.i/ | e.fé. $y$ u.i mó.ku.is | 'immature-DIM' 'k.o. mushroom' |
| (113) | /u.a/ | bu.ás.ra | 'your.companions' |
| (114) | /u.o/ | e.jú.o <br> e.su.ója | 'flicker' 'navel' / 'umbilical cord' |

### 2.3 Pitch accent system

Pitch accent systems have a position somewhere between tone systems and stress systems. In tone languages, the tones (pitches) are linked to the segmental structure of a word lexically or by rules. In stress systems, the intonation (pitch pattern) is lined up with certain accented syllables in a sentence (Hendriks 1996:202). Pitch accent systems have tones which are lined up with the segmental structure of a word, but affect only the accented structures in a word.

Pitch accent systems have been well documented in New Guinea languages (Hendriks 1996:201). Foley (1986:63) states that the vast majority of Papuan languages have a contrast between a high and a low tone, suggesting a contrast between accented and unaccented syllables, rather than a tonal contrast. The languages within the eastern Bird's Head area are a range of suprasegmental systems. At one end of the suprasegmental spectrum, Abun (Berry and Berry 1999) and Mpur (Odé 1996) have lexical tone. At the opposite end, Hatam has metrical stress (Reesink 1999) and Maybrat lexical stress (Dol 1999). Moskona, Meyah (Gravelle 2004:44) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:194) have pitch accent systems.
There are two types of pitch accent systems. Spreading systems in which one of the tones in a melody is linked to an accented syllable with the melody spreading out over the word. The other type is a culminative system in which a high tone is linked to an accented syllable, while other syllables of the word have low or neutral tone, the accent functioning like the main stress in a stress system (Hendriks 1996:203). The type of pitch accent system employed in Moskona is the 'culminative' system, in which accented syllables are contrasted with unaccented syllables by their higher pitch. There is a single tonal contrast, with high tone occurring on every accented syllable. If the pitch on the accented syllable is always high, the pitch on other syllables tones is more or less predictable once the location of the accent is specified.

Accented syllables will be indicated by an acute accent mark, as in edá 'then'. The absence of an acute accent mark indicates a non-accented syllable. In subsequent chapters, only those roots in which accent is not predictable will be marked.

### 2.3.1 Acoustic and perceptual correlates of accented syllables

The acoustic graphs given in this section are photographs of the waveform or sound wave graphs generated by the acoustic program. ${ }^{7}$ Horizontal dimension indicates time, measured in milliseconds (ms). Amplitude in the sound wave graphs correlates with loudness. The frequency range, displayed along the vertical axis, is between 50 to 240 Hz , although the range of the speaker is between 100 to 240 Hz .
In the lower graphs in each figure, pitch is measured on a logarithmic scale in semitones (st), which are musical intervals, (i.e. 12 semitones to an octave), roughly corresponding

[^4]to human pitch perception. Semitones are used for comparison, as the absolute values of fundamental frequencies for individual speakers are not as relevant to analysis of tone as is the difference between pitches. Thus, semitones yield a more valuable measurement. Graphs of utterances are divided into syllables, with boundaries indicated with vertical lines. On the top of each graph is a phonemic representation of that syllable.

A change of pitch is the main component of accent, giving it relative perceptual prominence, although an accented syllable may be considered a composite of differences, that is, it is typically produced with more intensity or physiological effort than an unaccented syllable, with a higher pitch and usually greater duration than an unaccented syllable. In Figure 2.2 in the utterance buhsud(a) '2SG-search', the accented syllable -sud has higher pitch, longer duration and greater amplitude (loudness) than the unaccented syllable buh- with the lower pitch, shorter duration and lower intensity (amplitude).

Figure 2.2 Graph of buhsúd(a) '2SG-search'


Figure 2.3. Graph of bua bita 'you.SG 2SG-take'


The degree of change in pitch may be great or only slight, such that amplitude may be a stronger indicator of accent. In Figure 2.3 the phrase búa bita 'you.SG 2SG-take', the accented syllable $b u$ - and the unaccented syllable $-a$, comprising of the pronoun búa 'you.SG', differ only by .6 semitones, but by a marked degree of amplitude. The accented syllable, $b i$ - is shorter than the unaccented syllable $-t a$, in bita ' 2 SG-take'. Thus, duration does not always correlate with accent.

### 2.3.2 Accent placement

Moskona is a mixture of quantity-sensitive accent placement, that is, predictable placement, and lexical accent placement, meaning contrastive placement. For those roots on which placement of accent is predictable, the accent typically occurs on the penultimate syllable of the root with only one fixed accent per polysyllabic word. (Running speech forms retain accent placement.)

Accent on single syllable roots:

| (115) | már | 'thing' | míy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ég | 'hear' | 'cloth' |  |
| óf | 'fell (tree)' | óf | 'bite' |
|  | ní | 'for' | ók |
| 'flee' |  |  |  |

Accent placement in two syllable roots:

| (116) | óko | 'puncture' | édi | 'push against' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | éra | 'NEG' | éke | 'collide' |
|  | ébi | 'defecate' | éri | 'they.PL' |
| (117) | yú.a | 'you.PL' | kú.a | 'tea' |
|  | bú.a | 'you.SG' |  |  |
| (118) | déci | 'slowly' | те́си | 'nettleleaf' |
|  | méru | 'handle' |  |  |
| (119) | éyrir | 'vibrate' | éfer | 'child' |
|  | éfej | 'hair' | áhin | 'calm down' |
| (120) | óduy | 'crowded' | о́тиу | 'dull' |
|  | ótuy | 'tightly packed' | ónиу | 'gums' |

Accent placement in three syllable roots:
(121) edîder 'level' mahîna 'husband'
egédid 'obstruct' ednórur 'enervated'
oysúra 'youngest child' ofóga 'cloud'
Many nominal forms which have lexical accent are fused forms of former phrases or compounds. Some of the morphemes are identifiable, but many others are not.

| merém | 'forest' | mesemók | 'water-spirit' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| meyméc | 'barkcloth skirt' | mîtowmat | 'metal spear' |
| mágamer | 'metal griddle' | márkien | 'small parrot |

Frequently words which have lexical accent have a grammatical function, such as:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (123) edá 'then' tiná 'but' erá 'THM' } \\ \text { ogurá 'no' } & \text { erogá 'hence' tinefa 'how' }\end{array}$

### 2.3.2.1 Unaccented roots

There are roots which do not receive an accent, such as the preposition rot 'about' in Figure 2.4 and the verb $e k$ 'see' in Figure 2.5.

Figure 2.4. Graph of dif duduy osos rot-ey '(if) I want (it)'


Figure 2.5. Graph of dif dimek eri 'I will see them'


### 2.3.2.2 Accent placement in affixed forms

Verbal prefixes, including the circumfix, are unaccented and thus have low or neutral pitch, such as $y$ - 'DU', em- 'IRR' in (124), and $i$ - ' 3 PL ' and em- -ima 'RECIP' in (125).
y-em-ókum-a
[jomókuma]
DU-IRR-heavy-PGE
'they.DU would be serious'
i-em-ogów-ima [umogówma]
3PL-RECIP-chop
'they.PL chopped each other'

In Figure 2.6, the attitude enclitic - $i$ 'PROT', attached to the question word tinefa 'how', as the nucleus of the syllable /ti/, carries an accent and raises the pitch of the penultimate syllable. The enclitic -o 'EMP' does not carry an accent.

Figure 2.6. Graph of (mar) tinefio 'thing how?!'


### 2.3.2.3 Accent in reduplicated forms

The reduplicants take an accent if the copied segment of the root carries an accent.
VC.CV
áhta
óska
ébga
ómta
(127) (V).CVC
egés-gés(a) 'scrape'
égи-égи 'drop intermittently.'
éju-éju 'stalk repeatedly’
tók-tók(a) 'all in one place’
tóg-tóg(a) 'various’
(128) V.CV.CV
orówi-ówi 'endeavor repeatedly’
(er)egéj-géj(a) 'wrap repeatedly'
еєе́уи-е́уи 'be many colored'

### 2.4 Reduplication

This section discusses the reduplication process, that is, the way that the reduplicative templates interact with the bases and some of the constraints on the process. This section is organized according to the syllable structure of the roots and the ways in which the various internal structures are affected by reduplication process. Single syllable roots will be presented in $\S 2.4 .1$, followed by two syllable roots in $\S 2.4 .2$, then three syllable roots in $\S 2.4 .3$. Those reduplicants with a pre-specified feature will be presented in §2.4.4, followed a brief discussion of the few instances of multiple reduplication.

Reduplication is a morphophonological process in which a meaning change is made when a reiterated form or repetitive construction is added to a root (Moravesik 1978:301). The reiterated (reduplicated) form or construction is itself an affix which carries grammatical meaning (Marantz 1982), but is distinct in form and function from other bound forms. The reduplication process may be characterized as the attachment of a template to a base. The base is a root. The template is a patterned sequence of consonants and vowels, which is independent of the syllabic patterns of the base to which the template attaches.

In Moskona, the roots which undergo reduplication may be composed of one, two, or three syllables and are usually verbal, although more rarely a noun may undergo reduplication. The reduplicated affixes, designated reduplicants, primarily express iterativity, duration and intensity of degree or quantity, and may be viewed as the operation of a single component, meaning something like multiplicity, as related to the features of quantity and intensity. Quantity and intensity are themselves related, in that the quantity of energy used may indicate the size of the effect (Moravcsik 1978:321). Discussion of the grammatical functions of reduplicants is presented in §3.2.3.

The template "associates" or copies phonemic segments from the base to fill the slots of the template (pattern sequence), such that the phonemic material which composes the reduplicant is identical in part to the phonemic material found in the base. It is the template, not the base structure, which determines what segments of the base are included. If a slot of the template has no segment to copy, (i.e. a consonant or vowel is not found in the base for that position), that position is null. Conversely, the root limits what the template may copy by the availability of its phonemes.

In Moskona, the VCVCV template copies phonemic segments from the base, beginning at the right-most segment and moving to the left. The reduplicated form, the reduplicant, attaches on the right, as reduplicative affixation in Moskona is suffixing. Reduplicated forms are indicated by the abbreviation 'RED' in interlinear glosses.

There are two general constraints which restrict the occurrence of consonant and vowel sequences. The first is a co-occurrence constraint regarding normal word formation and rules. All positions of the template have a pre-attached feature which disallows consonant and vowel sequences which do not occur naturally in the language, such as double consonants or other sequences not occurring across syllable boundaries in nonreduplicated roots. Phonological constraints force the omission of illicit segments until valid sequences are achieved. The second constraint restricts the possible structure of the resulting word. A reduplicant may not increase the number of syllables in a word by more
than one syllable. For example, a single syllable root may become a two syllable word, a two syllable root may become a three syllable form. Greater increases produce ungrammatical forms.

### 2.4.1 Monosyllabic bases

## VC roots

The minimal reduplicative base is a one syllable root, which is always a closed syllable. Only single syllable roots with the structure VC undergo reduplication. The base is completely reduplicated as no violations of sequencing constraints occur. Three positions of the template are unfilled.

| om | V | C | V | C | V | > | V.CVC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ø | Ø | o | m | Ø |  | o.mom |


| $e c-e c$ | [ $\left.\overparen{\varepsilon t \int} \mathrm{Et5}\right]$ | 'press on continuously' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ef-ef | [غ́фغ́¢] | 'wrap up repeatedly' |
| er-er | [érér $]$ | 'stir repeatedly' |
| om-om | [ómóm] | 'enclose continuously' |
| or-or | [órór] | 'hold continuously' |
| $o s-o s$ | [ósós] | 'move horizontally repeatedly' |

### 2.4.2 Disyllabic bases

The disyllabic bases which are monomorphemic may have an open syllable root-finally, such as V.CV, CV.CV and VC.CV, or a closed syllable root-finally, as in V.CVC or VC.CVC.

## V.CV roots

In roots which have the structure V.CV, reduplication of the root yields a three syllable word. No illicit sequences prohibit the complete reduplication of the base. Two positions of the template are unfilled. When the reduplicant attaches, the root-final $/ \mathrm{u} /$ functions consonantally in the vowel sequence $/ \mathrm{u}$ / plus non-high vowel to form a single syllable, such as /we/ or /wo/.

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text { é } \mathrm{gu} & +\quad \begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}>\quad \text { V C. CV.CV }  \tag{131}\\
\emptyset & \varnothing & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{~g} & \mathrm{u} & & \text { e g. we.g u }
\end{array}
$$

| еуи-еgи | [Égwégu] | 'drop intermittently' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| оgи-оgи | [ógwógu] | 'plop intermittently' |
| etu-etu | [Étwétu] | 'drip intermittently' |


| eju-eju | $[\varepsilon \overline{\operatorname{d}} \mathrm{w} \varepsilon \overline{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{u}]$ | 'stalk repeatedly' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eru-eru | $[\varepsilon ́ r w \varepsilon ́ r u]$ | 'peel in strips repeatedly' |

## V.CVC roots

In two syllable roots with the syllable structure V.CVC, the left-most vowel position of the template is not filled, as copying it would increase the affixed form to four syllables V.CV.CV.CVC, such as *egeseges. The licit word has the three syllable structure V.CVC.CVC. Accent occurs on the root and the reduplicant.

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\mathrm{e} & \mathrm{~g} & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}+\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C}  \tag{133}\\
\emptyset & \mathrm{~g} & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{~s} \\
\emptyset
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { V.CVC.CVC } \\
& \text { e.ges.g. e s }
\end{aligned}
$$

| eges-ges | [ $\varepsilon 9$ ǵs ${ }^{\text {ctés] }}$ | 'very high' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eref-ref | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢́́¢ré¢] | 'wind around repeatedly' |
| etef-tef | [ 2 té¢téd] | 'waterlogged' |
| ohas-has | [oxásxás] | 'hop repeatedly' |
| ogur-gur | [ogúrgúr] | 'repeatedly cry in pain' |
| eweg-weg | [عWégwég] | 'dribble' |
| ewer-wer | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ย́rwér] | 'repeated crossing' |

Some roots with a V.CVC pattern which have a labial consonant as the second segment allow only the final two segments of the root to be copied. Possibly due to co-occurrence constraints on consonant sequences, such as /f.f/, /jf/, /gf/, /t.w/, /r.r/, or /s.s/ which do not occur across syllable boundaries, as in (136a), or the initial VC segments are possibly a fused prefix, as in (136b).
e frer

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
+ & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & > & \text { V.CV.CVC }  \tag{135}\\
\emptyset & \varnothing & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{r} & \varnothing & & \text { e.fe.rer }
\end{array}
$$

| a. | ofof-of | [офо́фо́ф] | 'run repeatedly' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ofoj-oj | [óфodzod] $]$ | 'very blunted' |
|  | ofog-og | [óфogog] | 'very sharp/evil' |
| b. | efej-ej | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ $2 ¢ \overline{d 3} \varepsilon \overline{3} 3]$ | 'many dried (things)' |
|  | efer-er | [éфег¢г] | 'many sores' |
|  | ewek-ek | [ع́wekek] | 'many bumpy (things)' |
|  | owot-ot | [ówotot] | 'many overflowing (things)' |
|  | ofor-or | [óфoror] | 'many dehyrated (things)' |

## CV.CV roots

In two syllable roots with the structure CV.C $a$, the entire base is copied, but the final $/ \mathrm{a} /$ segment of the base undergoes syncope before the reduplicant is attached. For purposes of clarity, the final /a/ of the reduplicant is retained in examples, but is rarely uttered in running speech.

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\mathrm{t} & \mathrm{o} & \mathrm{k} & \mathrm{a} & +\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} \\
\emptyset & \mathrm{t} & \mathrm{o} & \mathrm{k} & \mathrm{a}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\text { CVC.CV.CV } \\
\text { tok.to. } \mathrm{ka}
\end{array} \tag{137}
\end{array}
$$

| toka-toka | [tóktóka] | 'bit by bit' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| toga-toga | [tógtóga] | 'various' |
| roga-roga | [rógróga] | 'right away' |

The two syllable root deci with the structure CV.CV does not have /a/ as the final segment and does not lose the final vowel. Three positions of the template are unfilled, as the structural constraint disallows the addition of more than one syllable. Thus four syllable forms, such as *de.ci.e.ci or *de.ci.de.ci, do not occur.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llllllllllll}
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & + & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} & > & \text { CV.CV.CV } \\
\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{e} & \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{i} & & Ø & Ø & \emptyset & \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{i} & & \text { de.ci.c } \mathrm{i}
\end{array} \tag{139}
\end{align*}
$$

## VC.CV roots

In bases which have the structure VC.C $a$, the entire base is copied, but one position of the template is unfilled as the base has no vowel for that position. The root-final /a/ undergoes syncope before the reduplicant is attached. The final consonant of the base combines with the initial two segments of the reduplicant to produce a closed syllable, that is, a CVC syllable. The resulting word has three syllables.

okra-okra [ókrókra] 'grating sound'

Roots with the structure VC.C $a$ which do not have /a/ as the word-final segment, do not undergo syncope of the final segment, nor does the complete VCVCV template copy, as it would produce a word form which would increase the word by more than one syllable, such as *oskioski.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { oski-ki } & \text { [oskíkí] } & \text { 'very bitter' } \tag{142}
\end{array}
$$

## VC.CVC words

The reduplication process in VC.CVC words produces geminate sequences which are illicit, such as /rr/. Thus, those positions on the VCVCV template are not filled, nor does the template copy the entire base, as it would produce ungrammatical forms, such as those marked with $\left(^{*}\right.$ ).

| e | f | r | i | r | + | C | V | C | V | $>$ | VC.CV.CVC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\emptyset$ | $Ø$ | i | r | $\emptyset$ |  | ef.ri. rir |  |  |  |  |  |


| efrir-ir | /е $\Phi$ rirír/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| odror-or | /odrórór $]$ |$\quad$| 'broken in many pieces' |
| :--- |
| 'many dangling (things)' |

### 2.4.3 Tri-syllabic bases

Tri-syllable bases have an open syllable in word-final position. Those roots which have an $/ \mathrm{a} /$ in word-final position undergo syncope of the final /a/ before the reduplicant is attached.

## V.CV.Na roots

In bases which have the structure V.CV.C $(a)$ in which a nasal occurs in the onset of the final syllable of the root, that is, V.CV.Na, such as e.ke.na 'red', the nasal and the rootfinal /a/, undergo syncope before a reduplicant is attached.

| o | k | u | m | + | V | C | V | C | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\varnothing$ | k | u | m | $\varnothing$ |  | V.C V.C V C |  |  |  |
|  | o. $\mathrm{ku} . \mathrm{kum}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| eke-kena | [عkékéna] | 'many red (things)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| esi-sim | [ssísím] | 'fall over repeatedly' |
| oku-kum | [okúkúm $]$ | 'many heavy (things)' |


| ofu-funa | [oфúфúna] | 'twist back and forth' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| osu-sum | [osúsúm] | 'very small face (child)' |
| ofogu-gun | [oфоgúgún] | 'many useless (things)' |

## V.CVC.Ca roots

In bases with the structure V.CVC.Ca, one position in the template is unfilled. The rootfinal /a/ undergoes syncope before the reduplicant is attached.

(147) |  | t | u | m | b | a | + | V | C | V | C | V | $>$ | V.CVC. CVC. CV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| o | m | $\varnothing$ | b | a |  | o.tum. bom. bat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| otumb-omba | [otúmbómba] | 'very hollow' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| erirk-irka | [عrírkírka] | 'many yellow (things)' |
| ohosh-osha | [oxósxósxa] | 'shake repeatedly' |
| mork-orka | [mórkórka] | 'steeply inclined' |

### 2.4.4 Templates with pre-specified features

Some templates may have pre-specified or pre-attached features which override the phoneme of the base in copying for the V or C slot.

### 2.4.4.1 Pre-specified high (front) vowel

For a very small group of roots, the template has the pre-associated feature [+hi] on the first vowel position. The reduplicant retains the frontness or backness of the vowel of the base, changing only its height. Only part of the template is used because the resultant reduplicant may not add more than one syllable to the root.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { ohes-is(a) } & \text { [oxésísa] } & \text { 'agitate repeatedly' } \\
\text { okec-ic(a) } & \text { [okétfítja] } & \text { 'point out repeatedly' } \\
\text { aks-iksa } & \text { [áksíksa] } & \text { 'many tall (things)' }
\end{array} \tag{150}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4.4.2 Pre-specified consonant/m/

There is a special template for a reduplicant functioning as an intensifier, meaning something like 'most' or 'extremely', which typically occurs on adjectival roots. This template, $-\mathrm{VCmV}[-\mathrm{hi}] \mathrm{CV}$, pre-specifies that the left-most consonant will be $/ \mathrm{m} /$ and the
vowels must be non-high. ${ }^{8}$ These are what McCarthy and Prince (1995) call "fixed default segments", or what Marantz (1982:449) calls pre-specified phonemes. When the template associates with the base, the consonant of the base is preempted by the segment $/ \mathrm{m} /$, such as $/ \mathrm{k} /$ is pre-empted by $/ \mathrm{m} /$ in oskukmok in (151). The copied vowel retains the features of backness or frontness as in the base, but loses the feature of [+high], as $/ \mathrm{u} /$ in oskuk is copied as /o/ in the reduplicant -moka. This template is an exception to the size constraint imposed on other reduplicants, in that it allows two syllables to be added to the resulting form. The word-final /a/ of the base elides before the reduplicant is attached. The accent placement remains on the root.

If the left-most vowel position is unfilled, the reduplicant has the structure CVC(a).


The initial vowel of the reduplicant may undergo assimilation to agree with the closest root vowel, such as /o/ assimilates to /e/ as in oftet-emet.

| (153) | o r j u | $m a+\begin{gathered} \mathrm{V} \\ \mathrm{o} \\ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered} \mathrm{u}$ | $\begin{array}{llll} \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{~m} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{VC} \\ \text { or } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CV.CV.CV.CV } \\ & \text { ju. m o. ju. ma } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (154) | ergés-emes(a) <br> ekés-emes(a) <br> oftét-emet(a) <br> orjúm-ojum(a) | 'only one' <br> 'very clear (sky)' <br> 'very scenic' <br> 'many slender (things) | ejgég-emeg(a) <br> egerág-amag(a) <br> ofráh-amah(a) <br> osáh-amah(a) | 'very quiet' <br> 'very weak' <br> 'bright green' <br> 'very lonely' |
|  | eskéyr-amer(a) <br> enîr-amer(a) | 'very clear (water)' 'bright blue' | kósk-amok(a) <br> ohúrk-amok(a) | 'very well' 'very perfect' |

In some words, $/ \mathrm{a} /$ alternates with $/ \mathrm{o} /$, perhaps indicating that the form is regularizing.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { óys-amos }(a) \sim o ́ y s-o m o s  \tag{155}\\
(a) & \text { 'very finished' } \\
\text { ótk-amok }(a) \sim o ́ t k-o m o k(a) & \text { 'very tasty' }
\end{array}
$$

[^5]```
óyf-amof(a) ~óyf-omof(a) 'very good'
kósk-amok(a) ~kósk-omok(a) 'very well’
```

This group of words closely resembles the Meyah words which have undergone a nasal epenthesis (Gravelle 2004:64). They differ in that the Meyah forms involve a complete reduplication of the root, filling all positions in the template with the nasal $-m$ - inserted, whereas the Moskona words have a consonant pre-associated with a slot in the template.

Meyah:

| áhah-m-áhah | 'to pant' |
| :--- | :--- |
| aksa-m-aksa | 'very tall' |

### 2.4.5 Multiple Reduplicants

The morpheme $o k$, one of the possible contractions of oskayt 'small', may undergo reduplication multiple times, as in etkebrokok. Infrequently other adjectival verbs may undergo multiple reduplication, such as osmomsumsa.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { etkébr-ók-ók } & \text { 'many short (items)' }  \tag{156}\\
\text { eferi-ók-ók } & \text { 'many small children' } \\
\text { oskáyt-ók-ók(a) } & \text { 'many small (things)' } \\
\text { osm-óms-úmsa } & \text { 'many strange (things)' }
\end{array}
$$

Once a base is reduplicated to signal plurality, it may be also reduplicated to signal intensity. Moravcsik (1978:312) notes that there are two possible kinds of meaning that may be reinforced by additional reduplication: emphasis and continuity. In its abbreviated form, ok is attached to the reduplicated base oska 'small (item)' multiple times.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{osk}-o ́ s k(a) & \text { 'many small (things)' }  \tag{157}\\
\text { osk-osk-ok-ok(a) } & \text { 'very many small (things)' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.4.6 Verb stems

Verb stems composed of root plus reduplicant may attach inflections or clitics, such as the circumfix em- -im 'RECIP' in (158), attached to the complex verb edis-is 'mismatchRED', then cliticized by the distal enclitic - $m a$ 'far' and its suffix $-i$ 'GIV'.

> Midá noga em-edis-is-im $(a)=m a-i$ ?
> what REL RECIP-mismatch-RED=far-GIV
> 'What is it which is different there?'

[^6]The reduplication process occasionally may be used to derive a member of one grammatical category from another. A few adjectival verbs are derived from nouns, as in:

| éwek | 'bump' | éwek-ek | 'bumpy' (many bumps) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eféja | 'dried' | eféj-éj | 'grainy' or 'many dried things' |
| ofókca | 'gather' | ofókc-ókca | 'gathered things' |
| ofúga | 'blood' | ofg-ófga | 'bloody' (much blood) |

There are words in which the basic form of the root is reduplicated, expressing continual or repetitive activity. A few examples are listed below:

| ofóf(a) | (of-óf) | 'run' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ekíkif | (ekí-kif) | 'turn away from' / 'avoid' |
| okwókwa | (okw-ókwa) | 'flap' / 'flutter' |
| orúruy | (orú-ruy) | 'unrestricted' |
| eréwréw | (eréw-réw) | 'sweat' |

### 2.5 Morphophonemic processes

Morphophonemic processes involve the phonological changes which occur when morphemes are put together. For Moskona these processes primarily involve interaction between vowels at morpheme boundaries and may be subdivided into those processes which occur at morpheme boundaries when a bound form is attached to a free form, such as the attachment of prefixes and clitics, and those which occur at morpheme boundaries when free lexical forms are joined (e.g. compound formation). Those processes concerning the changes occurring with the attachment of bound forms will be presented first, followed by a discussion of the changes occurring in the joining of free forms.

### 2.5.1 Morphophonemic processes and bound forms

There are three morphophonemic processes which occur at the boundaries of roots and affixes. The first process is assimilation and concerns the attachment of three affixes to verbs. The second process is coalescence, and concerns the person-number prefixes which occur on verbs and inalienable nouns and the plural marker which occurs on nouns denoting humans along with some kinship terms. The third process is elision and involves some clitics and suffixes found in deictic morphology.

The reciprocal circumfix does not fall neatly into any one category of morphophonemic processes, and due to its phonological shape as a split morpheme, undergoes all three processes involving bound forms. However, in order to give a more cohesive presentation of the phonological changes which this morpheme undergoes, it will be discussed separately.

Phonetic forms will be given in brackets. Charts will be given at the close of each topic to show the phonetic realization of the various types of change which occur in verb words.

### 2.5.1.1 Assimilation

Assimilation is concerned with the influence of one sound on another and may be total, that is, complete assumption of phonological characteristics, or partial, such as one or two features of the influencing sound are adopted. Assimilation may also be categorized in terms of the location the influencing sound, that is, a change occurs because of the influence of a sound which follows (regressive or anticipatory assimilation) or a change occurs because of the influence of a sound which precedes it (progressive assimilation). A further factor involves proximity, whether the influencing sound is contiguous or not contiguous to the sound undergoing assimilation. All three of these distinctions are involved in describing the assimilation process in Moskona morphophonemics.

## Prefixal assimilation

The vowel /e/ in the verbal prefixes er- 'CAUS', em- 'IRR' and en- 'DUR' undergoes noncontiguous regressive (anticipatory) assimilation, that is, the vowel /e/ in the prefixes partially assimilates to agree in backness with the initial vowel of the root. As all verbs in Moskona have the morphophonological characteristic of a root-initial non-high vowel: /e, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{a} /$, the assimilated prefixal vowel retains its height as a mid vowel. The vowel /e/ assimilates to /o/ when attached to roots with an /o/ initial segment, such as ofjig 'help' in (161). It remains /e/ when attached to roots with /a/ or /e/ root-initially, as in (162) and (163).


If the verb root attaches more than one verbal prefix, such as the irrealis and causative prefixes, as in (164), or the durative and causative prefixes, as in (165), the vowel of each prefix will regressively assimilate to agree in backness with the initial vowel of the root, such as /e/ in em- and er- assimilates to /o/ as in (164). When attaching to a root with a root-initial front vowel, it remains /e/ , as in (165).

| em-er-ofjig | [omoró¢ $\widehat{\text { dig }}$ ] |
| :---: | :---: |
| IRR-CAUS-help <br> 's/he will help (using s.t.)' |  |
|  |  |
| en-er-et | [enerét] |
| DUR-CAUS-eat <br> $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is eating (with s.t.) |  |

Verb roots, such as orsîki 'slippery', eyúk 'crack open', ófjig 'help' and óhas 'hop', which have both front and back vowels, as well as, high and nonhigh vowels in the root, demonstrate that no set of (root) vowels (i.e. class of vowels) is involved in the assimilation of the affixes, thus the operation cannot be considered vowel harmony.

### 2.5.1.2 Coalescence

Coalescence is a fusion or merger of two phonemes into a single unit. In Moskona this involves pronominal prefixes and the plural suffix.

### 2.5.1.2.1 Pronominal prefixes

The pronominal prefixes (§5.1.5) which indicate subject on verbs and possessor on inalienable nouns contain the high vowel /i/ (with the exception of the dual prefix $y$-). The prefix vowel /i/ and the initial vowel of the root coalesce to form a vowel which has the height of the prefix vowel and the frontness or backness of the root vowel. Thus, the prefix vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$, as in $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' or $m i$ - ' 1 PL ', coalesces with the root-initial vowel/e/, as in $e k$ 'see', illustrated in Table 2.6, or the root-initial vowel /a/, as in ah 'hack', illustrated in Table 2.8, to form /i/. The prefix vowel /i/ in $i$ - ' 3 PL' coalesces with the root-initial vowel $/ \mathrm{o} /$, as in $o k$ 'carry', to form $/ \mathrm{u} /$, illustrated in Table 2.7.

When a pronominal prefix is attached to a verb root which has attached a causative, durative or irrealis prefix, the initial vowel of the affixed form coalesces with the prefix vowel. The paradigm in Table 2.6 illustrates assimilation and coalescence of the prefixes on the verb $e k$ 'see'.

Table 2.6 The verb $e k$ 'see' with irrealis and causative prefixes

| PersNum | pron. prefix | phonetic realizatio n | IRR em- | phonetic realizatio n | CAUS er- | phonetic realizatio n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | di-ek | [dik] | di-em-ek | [dimék] | di-er-ek | [dıı́̌k] |
| 2SG | bi-ek | [bik] | bi-em-ek | [bimék] | bi-er-ek | [bıŕ́k] |
| 3SG | $\varnothing$-ek | [ Ek ] | $\varnothing$-em-ek | [ Em ¢́k] | $\varnothing$-er-ek | [ $\varepsilon$ rék] |
| DU | y-ek | [jek] | y-em-ek | [jemék] | y-er-ek | [jerćk] |
| 1PL | mi-ek | [mik] | mi-em-ek | [mimék] | mi-er-ek | [mırék] |
| 2PL | yi-ek | [jik] | yi-em-ek | [jimék] | yi-er-ek | [jırék] |
| 3PL | i-ek | [ik] | i- im-ek | [imék] | i-er-ek | [ırék] |

The paradigm in Table 2.7 illustrates the assimilation and coalescence of the irrealis and causative prefixes for the verb $o k$ 'bear'.

Table 2.7 The verb $o k$ 'bear' with irrealis and causative prefixes

| PersNum | pron. prefix | phonetic realization | IRR em- | phonetic realizatio n | CAUS er- | phonetic realization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | di-ok | [duk] | di-em-ok | [dumók] | di-er-ok | [durók] |
| 2SG | bi-ok | [buk] | bi-em-ok | [bumók] | bi-er-ok | [burók] |
| 3SG | øok | [ok] | $\varnothing$-em-ok | [omók] | $\varnothing$-er-ok | [orók] |
| DU | y-ok | [jok] | y-em-ok | [jomók] | y-er-ok | [jorók] |
| 1PL | mi-ok | [muk] | mi-em-ok | [mumók] | mi-er-ok | [murók] |
| 2PL | yi-ok | [juk] | yi-em-ok | [jumók]] | yi-er-ok | [jurók] |
| 3PL | i-ok | [uk] | i-em-ok | [umók] | i-er-ok | [urók] |

Table 2.8 shows the assimilation and coalescence of prefixes on the verb $a h$ 'hack', a root which has a vowel as its initial segment which is neither front or back.

Table 2.8 The verb $a h$ 'hack' with irrealis and causative prefixes

| PersNum | pron. prefix | phonetic realization | IRR em- | phonetic realization | CAUS er- | phonetic realization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1SG | di-ah | [dix] | di-em-ah | [dimáx] | di-er-ah | [dıráx] |
| 2SG | bi-ah | [bíx] | bi-em-ah | [bimáx] | bi-er-ah | [bıráx] |
| 3SG | $\varnothing$-ah | [áx] | $\varnothing$-em-ah | [عmáx] | $\varnothing$-er-ah | [ [ráx] |
| DU | y -ah | [jax] | y-em-ah | [jemáx] | y-er-ah | [jeráx] |
| 1PL | mi-ah | [míx] | mi-em-ah | [mimáx] | mi-er-ah | [mıráx] |
| 2PL | yi-ah | [jix] | yi-em-ah | [jimáx] | yi-er-ah | [jıráx] |
| 3PL | i-ah | [ix] | i-em-ah | [imáx] | i-er-ah | [ıráx] |

### 2.5.1.2.2 Plural marker

When the suffix -ir 'PL' attaches to nouns denoting humans, such as ejewjena or amoka, or to kinship terms, such as ekoka, eduera, or mokesa, the high front vowel /i/ coalesces with the final $/ \mathrm{a} /$ of the root to form /e/. The plural marker does not coalesce with the root-final vowels which are high, as in $m o s u$, which has $/ \mathrm{u} /$ as a final segment.

| ekók(a)-ir <br> father-PL <br> 'fathers (VOC)' | [ 2 kokér ${ }_{0}$ ] | mosú-ir | [mosuír] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mother-PL |  |
|  |  | 'mothers' |  |
| amóka-ir | [amók $\varepsilon_{0}$ ] | i-ejéwjena-ir |  |
| friend-PL |  | 3PL-yg.woman-PL |  |
| 'friends' |  | 'young women' |  |
| $m$-ok-ésa-ir | [mokéseŗ] | ed-u-éra-ir | [ $\varepsilon$ duérer] |
| NR-sib.s.s.-yg-PL |  | 1SG-sib.op.s.-old. |  |
| 'younger sibling | of same sex’ | 'older siblings of | the opposite sex' |

### 2.5.1.3 Elision

Elision is the omission of sounds in connected speech. The attachment of an enclitic, whether deictic enclitics or attitudinal enclitics used in speech acts, brings about the elision of a root-final $/ \mathrm{a} /$, such as the suffix $-i$ ' $\mathrm{GIV}^{\prime}$ ' attached to the spatial deictic $-m a$ 'far' in (167) or to the demonstrative pronoun noma 'that' in (168).

| (167)mowos=ma-i <br> village=far-GIV <br> 'the village' | [mowósmi] |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| (168)no-ma-i <br> DNR-far-GIV <br> 'that (one)' | [nómi] |

When the polar question marker -ey ' Q ' is attached to the final element in a sentence, the root-final /a/ is elided, as in (168) and (169). Final segments of sentence-final elements which are not $/ \mathrm{a}$ /, such as enomi in (170), are not elided.
(168) $n o-m a=e y$ [nómé]

DNR-far=Q
'that (one)?'
(169) Bua bi-owha éra ogur $(a)=e y$ ? [oguré]
you.SG 2SG-leave DSJ no=Q
'Are you leaving or no?'
(170) Eri i-owha e-no-ma-i=ey? [عnómié]
they.PL 3PL-leave FOC-DNR-far-GIV=Q
'They left then (at that time)?'
When explicit attitude markers $-i$ 'PROT' or $-o$ 'EMP' are attached to a sentence-final element, the root-final /a/ is elided.

| $\operatorname{gur}(a)=i$ | [gurí] |
| :---: | :---: |
| NEG=PROT |  |
| 'not (!)' |  |
| $m-\operatorname{eyj}(a)=0$ | [médzo |
| NR-THITHER=EMP |  |
| 'there (far away)' |  |

When the deictic prefixes $i$ - 'VIS' and $u$ - 'NONVIS' are attached to the directional enclitics en 'HITHER' and eyja 'THITHER' (§5.2.4), the initial /e/ [ $\varepsilon$ ] or /ey/ [e] of the enclitic is elided.
(173) u-en
i-eyj(a)
'HITHER (nonvis)'
[un]
[id3]

When the directional enclitics en 'HITHER' and eyja 'THITHER' are cliticized to elevational deictics $-b a$ and $-d a$, the root-final $/ a /$ of the elevational deictic is elided.

| $i-b a=$ eyja | 'across away (vis)' | [ibéḑa] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $i-b a=e n$ | 'across toward (vis)' | [ibén] |

The final /a/ of the relativizer noga is elided when the directional enclitics en 'HITHER' and eyja 'THITHER' (prefixed for visibility) are attached.
(175) noga=u-eyj(a) '(the one) which is thither (nonvis)' [nogúdz]
nog $a=i-d a=\operatorname{eyj}(a)$ '(the one) which is up thither (vis)' [nogidéd3]

### 2.5.1.4 Reciprocal circumfix em- -ima

The vowel /e/ in em- the prefixal part of the reciprocal circumfix em- -ima 'RECIP' undergoes non-contiguous regressive (anticipatory) assimilation exactly like the causative, durative and irrealis prefixes, that is, the vowel /e/ in the prefixes partially assimilates to agree in backness with the initial vowel of the root, as in (176) and (177). The vowel /i/ of -ima the suffixal part of the reciprocal may undergo assimilation, elision, or coalescence, depending on the syllable structure of the root, as well as the quality of the last vowel in the root.

## Assimilation

The vowel /i/ in -ima, the suffixal part of the reciprocal marker em- -ima, assimilates to agree in backness with the last vowel of the verb in roots which have a consonant as the final segment. If the last vowel of the root is a front vowel, such as ofjig (176), the suffixal vowel will be $/ \mathrm{i} /$; if the last vowel of the root is a back vowel, as in odoc 'meet' (177), the suffixal vowel is realized as $/ \mathrm{u} /$.

i-em-ofjig-im(a) | [umoфdzígim] |
| :--- |
| 3PL-RECIP-help |
| 'they help each other' |

i-er-em-odoc-ima [uromodótfuma]
3PL-CAUS-IRR-meet
'they met with each other'

## Elision

If the final segment of the root is an approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ or $/ \mathrm{y} /$, such as ogow 'chop' in (178), the approximant plus $/ \mathrm{i} /$ sequence is reduced to the approximant, that is, the high vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$ is elided. If the verb has a high vowel, $/ \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{or} / \mathrm{u} /$, as the final segment, such as in edi 'push against' in (179), the $/ \mathrm{i} /$ of the reciprocal is elided.
i-em-ogow-(i)ma [umogówma]

3PL-RECIP-chop
'they chopped (killed) each other'
y-em-edi-(i)ma [jemédima]
DU-RECIP-push.against
'they (two) pushed against each other'

A verb root which ends in the vowel / $\mathrm{a} /$ and has a voiceless consonant in the onset of the syllable, such as efta 'attach (to)' (180), the root-final /a/ will be elided and the reciprocal vowel /i/ remains.

```
y-em-éfta-im(a) [jem\varepsilońवtim]
DU-RECIP-attach.to
    'they (two) touch each other'
```


## Coalescence

A verb root which ends in $/ \mathrm{a} /$ and has a voiced consonant in the onset position of the final syllable, such as ega 'exchange' in (181), the root-final /a/ coalesces with the /i/ of the suffix to form /e/.

```
(181) i-er-em-ega-im(a) [Iremegém]
    3PL-CAUS-RECIP-trade
    'they exchanged with each other'
```

Table 2.9 and Table 2.10 illustrate the morphophonemic processes for the verbs $e k$ 'see' and oyok 'swear at' when inflected for subject and reciprocal object.

Table 2.9 The verb $e k$ 'see' with pronominal prefixes and circumfix

| Pers- <br> Num | RECIP em- -im | phonetic <br> realization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1DU | y-em-ek-ima | [jemékima] |
| 1PL | mi-em-ek-ima | [mimékima] |
| 2PL | yi-em-ek-ima | [jimékima] |
| 3PL | i-em-ek-ima | [imékima] |

Table 2.10 The verb oyok 'swear (at)' with pronominal prefixes and circumfix

| Pers- <br> Num | RECIP em- -im | phonetic <br> realization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1DU | y-em-oyok-ima | [jomojókuma] |
| 1PL | mi-em-oyok-ima | [mumojókuma] |
| 2PL | yi-em-oyok-ima | [jumojókuma] |
| 3PL | i-em-ek-ima | [umojókuma] |

### 2.5.2 Morphophonemic processes between free forms

This section concerns those phonological changes which occur when free lexical forms interact, including some fusional morphology which can be seen in compounds which fuse to form a new lexical entry.

### 2.5.2.1 Morphophonological reduction

A noun compound, composed of two unbound roots, a generic noun plus some type of modifier, may be reduced, producing a single phonological word which is a new lexical item. One or both roots may be reduced, depending on their phonological shape. The root-final /a/ (if present) on the first component is typically elided, such as merga in (182). The modifier component has the characteristic phonological shape V.CVC, such as ewes in (183) or ewek in (185). The root-initial vowels are always non-high and the consonants are always labial. The labial segment may be elided, such that the root-initial vowel and the second vowel (identical vowels) form a long vowel. Thus, in the second root the sequence $\mathrm{V} w, \mathrm{~V} f$, or $\mathrm{V} b$ plus V is reduced to V , a long vowel. In running speech, the resulting long vowel is frequently reduced further to a short vowel.

| (182) | merga <br> tree | efej <br> dried | [mergé ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ] | 'firewood' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (183) | miy <br> cloth | éwes cavity | [mijé's] | 'clothes' |


| (184) | miy <br> water | éwes cavity | [mijés] | 'dugout' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (185) | merga tree | éwek globe | [mergék] | 'seedpod' |
| (186) | mérga <br> tree | ówos skin | [mergós] | 'bark' |
| (187) | miy <br> water | éwet semi-solid | [mijét] | 'riverbank' |
| (188) | mar <br> thing | ebáh(a) <br> (a)live | [mará'x] | 'insect' |

Roots that end in the high vowel/i/retain the vowel when another morpheme is attached, as in:

| (189) | eri-ej <br> they.PL-female | [ $\varepsilon^{\text {rid }}$ 万] | 'they-female' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (190) | meni ówoh <br> banana solid.interior | [menióx] | 'banana flesh' |
| (191) | efi-ah(ta) <br> leaf-black | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢iáx] | 'lush' |
| (192) | efî-etka <br> leaf-split | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢iétka] | 'sharp' |

### 2.5.2.2 Minor morphophonological processes

There are several minor morphophonological processes which are extremely limited, in that they apply to only a few words in a particular category. These processes are the alternation of a glided vowel with a simple vowel and metathesis of syllables.

Word-initially in a few verbs or inalienable noun roots, the sequence /ey/, realized phonetically as [e], has been observed to alternate with /e/ realized as [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon}]$. The alternation process has also been extended to roots with an / / initial segment, alternating / $\mathrm{o} / \mathrm{and}$ /oy/.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { eycîra } \sim \text { ecîra } & \text { 'walk' } & \text { osótka } \sim \text { oysótka } & \text { 'marry' }  \tag{193}\\
\text { esírna } \sim \text { eysîrna } & \text { '(be) sick' } & \text { ósut } \sim \text { óysut } & \text { 'imitate' } \\
\text { éytm } a \sim \text { étma } & \text { 'arm' } & &
\end{array}
$$

## Metathesis

Metathesis is the alteration of the normal sequence of elements. There are a number of words which have been observed to undergo metathesis while retaining their original meaning. Two contiguous segments may be reversed or a sequence may be reordered. In all instances, only internal sequences are affected, that is, the initial and final segments of the root are not included in any metathesis. The first word in each set is the more frequently observed one.

Metathesis of segments

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { éngit } \sim \text { égnit } & \text { 'make' } \\
\text { mebrîs } a \sim \text { merbîsa } & \text { 'carpet of dried leaves' }
\end{array}
$$

Metathesis of sequences of segments

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ebsîta } \sim \text { esîbta } & \text { 'infertile' }  \tag{195}\\
\text { akéb} r a \sim \text { abkéra } & \text { 'startled' } \\
\text { ofúsi } \sim \text { osúfi } & \text { 'easy' / 'lightweight' }
\end{array}
$$

### 2.5.3 Loan words and adaptations

The verbalizer prefix ebe-, used to adapt words from Eastern Indonesian Malay into Moskona, yield stems as in (196). These verbs take most of the same verbal affixation as non-loan verbs.
ebe-skór 'attend school'/ 'study'
ebe-bîsa '(be) able to' bisa '(be) able to'
ebe-júar 'sell' jual 'sell'

There are a very few verbs, such as eráwan 'rebel' in (197) from the Malay word lawan 'oppose', which are adapted with only the initial vowel /e/ of the verbalizer prefix.
(197) Eri i-em-eráwan.
they.PL 3PL-IRR-rebel
'They would rebel.'

Nouns which are borrowed from Malay are usually adapted phonologically, then used without affixation as illustrated in Table 2.11.

Table 2.11 Adapted nouns

| Moskona |  | Malay |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dáwr(a) | 'cookhouse' | dapur | 'cookhouse' |
| béti | 'box or coffin' | peti | 'crate' |
| god (és) | 'ditch' | got | 'gutter' |
| gúsin | 'key' | kunci | 'key' |
| héri | 'helicopter' | heli | 'helicopter' |
| jénder (éwes) | 'window' | jendela | 'window' |
| káju | 'bean', 'peanut' | kacang | 'bean' |
| (mitów) kráwan | 'sword' | kelawan(an) | 'opposition' |
| kasina | 'glass' | kaca | 'glass' |
| sám (ofog) | 'soap' | sabun | 'soap' |

### 2.6 Practical orthography

In describing the Moskona language, this grammatical description uses a phonemic orthography. It differs from the practical orthography in current use which employs some allophones in order to make a more seamless transition to the orthography of the national language, which is Bahasa Indonesia, a dialect of Malay. A few of the differences in the practical orthography are presented below.

The mid front vowel /e/ $[\varepsilon]$ is written as $\underline{\mathrm{e}}$, consistent with the predominant use of the symbol in Indonesian. ${ }^{10}$

| phonemic | orthographic |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| emba | emba | 'rotten' |
| eke | eke | 'almost' |

The velar nasal allophone [ y ] is written as the digraph $\underline{n g}$, as in (199), to conform to the presence of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{y} /$, written as ng in Indonesian.

[^7](199)

| kerenga | kerengga | 'upon' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| modenka | modengka | 'citrus' |
| engaw | enggau | 'crooked' |

The approximants $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ are symbolized as $\underline{\mathrm{w}}$ and y in word-initial and intervocalic positions, but as high vowels in syllable final position, conforming to the Indonesian orthography which symbolizes non-high vowel plus high vowel sequences as vowels only.

| (200)yes yes | 'two males' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| yet | yet | 'they (DU) eat' |
| eyorga | eyorga | 'lower' |
| éysaha | eisaha | 'reach' |
| mayna | maina | 'loincloth' |
| ewes | ewes | 'cavity' |
| etew | eteu | 'much' |
| owra | oura | 'cross through' |

In Table 2.12, the practical orthography as it is currently used in the Moskona language is shown.

Table 2.12 Moskona practical orthography

| Phonemic | Allophonic | Orthographic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| representation | representation | representation |

Consonants

| $/ \mathrm{t} /$ | $[\mathrm{t}]$ | t |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{k} /$ | $[\mathrm{k}]$ | k |
| $/ \mathrm{b} /$ | $[\mathrm{b}, \beta, \mathrm{p}]$ | b |
| $/ \mathrm{d} /$ | $[\mathrm{d}]$ | d |
| $/ \mathrm{g} /$ | $[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{y}]$ | g |
| $/ \mathrm{c} /$ | $[\mathrm{t}]$ | c |
| $/ \mathrm{j} /$ | $[\mathrm{d}], \mathrm{tf}, \mathrm{f}]$ | j |
| $/ \mathrm{f} /$ | $[\phi]$ | f |
| $/ \mathrm{s} /$ | $[\mathrm{s}]$ | s |
| $/ \mathrm{x} /$ | $[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{h}]$ | h |
| $/ \mathrm{m} /$ | $[\mathrm{m}]$ | m |
| $/ \mathrm{n} /$ | $[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y}]$ | $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{ng}$ |
| $/ \mathrm{r} /$ | $[\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{r}]$ | r |
| $/ \mathrm{w} /$ | $[\mathrm{w}, \beta]$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{w}$ |
| $/ \mathrm{y} /$ | $[\mathrm{j}]$ | $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{y}$ |

Vowels

| $/ \mathrm{i} /$ | $[\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}]$ | i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $/ \mathrm{e} /$ | $[\varepsilon]$ | e |
| $/ \mathrm{a} /$ | $[\mathrm{a}]$ | a |
| $/ \mathrm{u} /$ | $[\mathrm{u}, \varnothing]$ | u |
| $/ \mathrm{o} /$ | $[\mathrm{o}, \circ]$ | o |

# Chapter 3 Major word classes 

### 3.0 Introduction

Moskona noun and verb classes exhibit a number of the features which are also found in noun and verb classes in other East Bird's Head languages. Moskona verbs are characterized by the non-high vowels /e, o, a/ occurring root-initially, including adjectives which are a subclass of verbs, features which verbs in East Bird's Head languages share. Moskona alienable and inalienable noun classes are distinguished by possession strategy coupled with a distinctive morphological shape, as are alienable and inalienable nouns in other East Bird's Head languages (cf. Reesink 2002a:9) and some languages of the South Bird's Head family, such as Inanwatan (de Vries 2004:33).

This chapter provides a description of the two major word classes: nouns and verbs. The first section discusses nouns, which are presented in three subsections, common and proper nouns (§3.1.1), alienable and inalienable nouns (§3.1.1.1) and nouns denoting humans (§3.1.1.3). In §3.1.3, a survey of the various types of compound nouns completes the presentation of the noun class. The second half of the chapter presents the verb word (§3.2), starting with the verbal prefixes (§3.2.1) and continues through the subclasses of verbs: transitive (§3.2.2.1), ambitransitive (§3.2.2.2) and intransitive (§3.2.2.3). Functions of verbal reduplication are discussed in $\S 3.2 .3$. The few verb compounds which have been observed are mentioned in §3.2.4.

### 3.1 Nouns

Nouns can be divided into five subclasses: common nouns, proper nouns, alienable nouns, inalienable nouns and nouns which refer to humans. There is some overlapping of the subclasses, as the criteria for the divisions involve two independent ways of categorizing nouns. One set of criteria involves syntactic properties, which form the basis for distinguishing common nouns and proper nouns. The second set involves possession strategy and morphological shape as the means for distinguishing alienable and inalienable nouns and for categorizing nouns which refer to humans.

### 3.1.1 Common and proper nouns

Common nouns may be categorized syntactically as those nouns which may be modified and possessed, that is, they may be the head of a noun phrase. Proper nouns are distinguished from common nouns as they are not possessed, nor may they be modified, but have the same distributional properties as noun phrases (e.g. as subject).

### 3.1.1.1 Common nouns

Common nouns may refer to any member of a class of entities (e.g. any dog, any tree or any house) and as mentioned above may be modified, such as the common noun mod 'house' is modified by the adjectival verb eges 'high' in (1), mitow 'machete' is modified by the numeral orjis 'one' in (2), egak 'leg' is modified by éra 'throbbing' in (3) and the common noun eferiok 'small child', which also denotes a human, is modified by the numeral yergak 'two' in (4). Possession for common nouns which are inalienable is marked by a prefix, such as the prefix $i$ - '3PL' on egak 'leg' in (3). Possession for common nouns which are not inalienable is indicated by a possessive pronoun, such as ofon '3SGPOS' signaling the possessor of yeferiok 'small children' in (4), a common noun which refers to a human.
(1) Dif, sis, di-ebah mod eges...

I past 1SG-live house high
'[As for] me, long ago, I lived in a high house..'
(2) mitow orj-i-es i-er-oh gug-a mekew-eri machete NUM:8-?-one 3PL-CAUS-give.out to-PGE father-PL 'a machete was given to our fathers (village elders)'
(3) (mif) mi-er-ojuj i-egak éra
we.PL 1PL-CAUS-hire 3PL-leg throbbing 'we paid them off [for] their aching feet'
$\begin{array}{lllll}o f(a) & \text { erg-es jera } & \text { ofon } & y \text {-eferiok } & y \text {-erg-ak. } \\ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he} & \text { NUM:1-one with } & \text { 3SGPOS } & \text { DU-sm.child } \\ \text { DU-NUM:1-two }\end{array}$ 'she with her two small children.'

### 3.1.1.2 Proper nouns

Proper nouns are used to identify specific persons, landforms or geographical locations. Proper nouns are polymorphemic and are frequently phrasal, describing some identifying aspect of the referent. A child is named for some characteristic of his father or some event which occurred in his father's lifetime, in order that the person be easily identified with regard to his/her parent, also providing a way for the father to be remembered. Thus, all names identifying humans are phrasal, although they are usually shortened in conversation. Phrasal names, such as Ikomejij (5), Ejiwarsa (6) or Itmokuah (7) are restricted to use within the culture. Moskonas have adopted Malay Christian names (e.g. Petrus, Yonas, Yohanas or Yahya) for use with outsiders.
(5)
I-oko-em-ejij
3PL-foot-IRR-twist
'Their feet would twist'

Ej-(e)i-wars(a)
female-3PL-slick
'Women are bold'

I-et-moku-(eb)ah
3PL-eat-mushroom-raw
'They eat raw mushrooms'
Proper nouns which are names referring to groups of people are morphologically complex, the outcome of collapsed phrases. The noun mos 'people (group)', which has taken on the additional connotation of 'outsider ${ }^{\prime 11}$, is composed of the nominalizer $m$ fused to the inalienable noun owos 'skin', the initial VC segments of the noun having been elided in a process similar to that which forms nominal compounds (cf. §2.5.2.1). The inalienable noun owos 'skin', which frequently has the extended meaning 'body', has been extended further to mean 'people', similar in usage to the Sougb word us 'skin', which has the extended meaning 'relative' (Reesink 2002b:222). The name Moskona [moskóna], used primarily as a designation for the language group, may be composed of mos 'people', plus the spatial deictic $-k a$ 'near' and the noun $o(r) n a$ 'man' or a metathesis of the consonants $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} /$ in no-ka (DNR-near) 'this'. To designate other people groups, the noun mos has been cliticized to a few roots, the meanings and semantic associations of which are no longer identifiable. The accent falls on the root, rather than on mos. Three other groups designated through the cliticization of mos are:

| Mos $=$ mir | 'Maybrat' | [mosmír] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mos $=u i$ | 'Sougb' | [mosuí] |
| Mos $=u r s a$ | 'Hatam' | [mosúrsa] |

The proper noun which denotes the Mpur people $M o(s)=r u m$ [morúm] also appears to be a fused form of the noun mos 'people' with the segment $/ \mathrm{s} /$ elided, plus a root of indeterminate meaning. The noun mos is also used to identify other "outsider" groups, as in mos macog (people gun) 'soldiers' or 'police', mos orna (people man) 'outsider person' (e.g. Indonesian nationals) and mos ogá (people speech) 'outsider’s language' (i.e. the Indonesian national language).

The proper noun referring to the people group immediately to the south of the Moskona area is Marusum 'South Coast People' [marúsum], a collapsed phrase mar i-osum (thing 3PL-nose) meaning 'mute ones', and is based on the phrase osum ofoj ohra (face blunted bare) meaning 'mute'. The inalienable noun osum 'nose' extends to mean 'face'. The Marusum were so called because in contact with the Moskona, they rarely spoke. The Meyah, immediately to the north, are called Miyahir [mijáxır], which is a collapsed form of the phrase miy ah (water lie) '[there] is water', pluralized with -ir 'PL'. Miyah 'Meyah' is the only name for a people group which attaches the plural marker.

[^8]A noun referring to a people group may occur in the same position as a noun phrase, as does Mosuî 'Sougb' the object of fen 'from' in (8), or it may function attributively, such as Mosursa, the name for the Hatam people group, modifies eri 'they' in (9).
Ofa erá en fen Mosui.
s/he THM come from Sougb
'He came from the Sougb (people).'
(9) Osnok no-ma-i erá eri Mosurs(a).
person DNR-far-GIV THM they.PL Hatam
'The person is a Hatam.'
Names of geographic locations or landforms are also phrasal, describing some aspect of the location, as is the name Memeg(a) Iwari Ejmeg 'Iwari’s Spine Mountain' (10), named as the place of origin of the legendary cultural character, Iwari, (also known as Ibori in surrounding languages (Pouwer 1997:163)), or Memeg(a) Armoda 'Armoda Mountain', named for Armoda, another legendary ancestor of the Moskona. In the legend of Isudga, a mythic ancestor of the Moskona, the son of Isudga searches for him on the various river systems, traveling up tributaries of the Isudga River, which is named after this ancestor. These include Miy Of-mer '(He) fells ironwood River', Miy En-inda 'He-works-up-there River' (11), Miy Otka 'Pleasant River', Miy Eninma 'Shining River' and Miy Eyja 'Going River'.
(10) Memeg(a) Iwari Ejmeg
mountain Iwari spine
'Iwari's Ridge Mountain'
Miy En-i-n-da
water do-vIS-?-up
'He works up there River'

### 3.1.2 Alienable and inalienable nouns

Alienable and inalienable nouns are distinguished by their possession strategy and morphological shape. Possession of alienable nouns is expressed syntactically in a possession construction; possession of inalienable nouns is expressed morphologically by a pronominal prefix.

### 3.1.2.1 Alienable nouns

Those nouns which are canonical alienable nouns are not considered to be inherently part of a living entity (e.g. human, animal, or plant). As a result, possession of an alienable noun is an expression of the transitory nature of ownership, a temporary or non-essential dependence, and as such possession may be transferred. Possessive pronouns (§5.1.2) are required for the expression of possession of alienable nouns, such as the alienable noun miy 'water' preceded by the possessive pronoun erin '3PLPOS' in the possessive phrase Erij erin miy '(the) females' water' in (12), or the alienable noun miyes 'clothes' is
preceded by the possessive pronoun buwи ' 2 SGPOS' in the possession phrase buwun miyes 'your clothes' in (13).
eri-ej erin miy erá ekenga.
they.PL-female 3PLPOS water THM restricted
'the females' (drinking) water is prohibited.'

| Merga | owot | em-er-ec | buwun | miyes. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tree | secretion | IRR-CAUS-press.on | 2SGPOS | clothes |

'The tree sap will press on (stain) your clothes.'
Alienable nouns, whether indigenous words or loan words, are not inflected. But those alienable nouns which are not recent loans are characterized by the segment $/ \mathrm{m} /$ fused to the root. This segment may be an old nominalizing prefix $m$-, a fossilized alienable noun class marker or as Reesink (2002a:9) has suggested for Meyah, a fossilized possessive prefix. A few examples of indigenous alienable nouns are given in the list below:

| merah | 'fire' |
| :--- | :--- |
| memega 'mountain' |  |
| maw | 'sun' |
| mow | 'land' |
| miy | 'water' |
| mogom | 'stone' |
| mot | 'night' |
| mosuna | 'covered porch' |

```
mes 'dog'
metrem 'corn'
muy 'sweet potato'
merga \({ }^{12}\) 'wood'
mogosa 'snake'
mitow 'machete'
mudag 'fly'
mosnah 'evening star' (planet)
```

A nominalizing process using $m$ - as a prefix is not currently productive, but evidence for earlier use exists in the correspondence of a few verbs and alienable nouns. Adjectival verbs, such as otgonog '(be) dark', aharga '(be) dry' and efsa '(be) white' have corresponding nominal forms: m-otgonog 'darkness', m-aharga 'dry season' and m-efsa 'white stuff' or 'salt'. Regular intransitive verbs, osorna 'hunger' and ogorna 'thirst' correspond to the abstract nouns m-osorna 'hunger' and m-ogorna 'thirst' and the transitive verb esesba 'carve' corresponds to the alienable noun $m$-esesba 'carved thing' or 'sculpture'. The inalienable noun ofuga 'blood' may attach the prefix $m$ - yielding the alienable noun m-ofuga 'bloodiness'. The contrastive specifier erg-em (NUM:1-CST) '(an)other' may also be seen to attach the prefix to yield the nominal form m-ergem 'the other one'.

Alienable nouns which do not have a fused $m$ - prefix are generally recent loan words describing introduced items or concepts. Loan words, whether from Malay or acquired through contact with surrounding language groups, typically have a root-initial consonant, such as $/ \mathrm{b} /, / \mathrm{d} /, / \mathrm{g} /$, as in the loan words from Malay given in Table 3.1.

[^9]Table 3.1 Loan words from Malay

| Moskona |  | Malay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ban | 'belt' | ban | 'sash' / 'belt' |
| dawr | 'cookhouse' | dapur | 'cookhouse' |
| gam | 'candy' | kemanisan | 'sweets' |
| gusin | 'key' | kunci | 'key' |
| jender | 'window' | jendela | 'window' |
| kaju | 'bean'/ 'peanut' | kacang | 'bean' |
| karon | 'sack' | karung | 'sack' |
| kasina | 'glass (material)' | kaca | 'glass' |
| manir | 'headman' | mandur | 'overseer' |
| paoga | 'nail' | pacu | 'nail' |
| pacur | 'hoe' | pacul | 'hoe' |
| pasa | 'rice' | beras | 'rice' |

A number of other loan words have likely come from contact with nearby Austronesian languages, possibly through Biak-Numfor contact:

| kembis | 'malaria' | karmom | 'thick metal' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sáreg | 'metal bracelet' | itkitka | 'rubber (band)' |
| ariáwun | 'medicinal treatment' | mayna ${ }^{14}$ | 'loincloth' |

Alienable nouns are of indeterminate number unless specified by a quantifier. The alienable nouns memega 'mountain' in (14) and mes 'dog' in (15) may be interpreted as either singular or plural.
(14) Memeg(a) ot ah aynun. mountain stand lie enduring 'The mountain(s) are eternal.'

Mes ogda mow ofor.
dog scoop.w.hand land dehydrated
'The $\operatorname{dog}(\mathrm{s})$ dug [in] the dirt.'

### 3.1.2.2 Inalienable nouns

Those nouns which may be considered canonical inalienable nouns are chiefly composed of nouns referring to body-parts, but also include the spatial relator nouns, some of which

[^10]overlap with the body-part nouns, and another group of nouns with some form of the fused prefix ef-. All inalienable nouns have a root-initial non-high vowel, either /e/ or /o/, making them morphologically similar to verbs and the generic nouns which denote humans. However, no inalienable nouns with a root-initial /a/ have been observed.

Inalienable noun possession requires a pronominal prefix which indexes the person and number of the possessor. As the noun is inherently and obligatorily possessed (by some entity), all inalienable nouns are marked for possession. These pronominal prefixes are identical to the prefixes which inflect verbs for subject person and number (cf. §5.1.5). Table 3.2 illustrates the morphological possession of the inalienable nouns egak 'leg' and osum 'nose' with morphemic and phonetic realizations.

Table 3.2 Possessed inalienable nouns

| Possessor | Possessed Item |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | egak 'leg' |  | osum 'nose' |  |
| 1SG | di-egak | [dígak] | di-osum | [dúsum] |
| 2SG | bi-egak | [bígak] | bi-osum | [búsum] |
| 3SG | $\emptyset$-egak | [́́gak] | ø-osum | [ósum] |
| DU | $y$-egak | [jégak] | y-osum | [jósum] |
| 1PL | mi-egak | [mígak] | mi-osum | [músum] |
| 2PL | yi-egak | [jígak] | yi-osum | [júsum] |
| 3PL | i-egak | [ígak] | i-osum | [úsum] |

In the possession of inalienable nouns by nonhuman entities, such as mek 'pig' (16), miy 'water' (17) and memega 'mountain' (18), the possessor is indicated by the third person singular prefix $\varnothing$, a null morpheme, which marks possession for all third person possessors.
(16) mek ofon
dog tooth
'the pig's tooth'
(18) memega ebrosurs(a)
mountain crown
'the mountain's peak'

Although inalienable nouns are obligatorily inflected for possessor, a possessive phrase may also be used which optionally contains a possessive pronoun, such as ofon '3SGPOS' in (19) or erin '3PLPOS' in (20), a personal pronoun, such as dif 'I' in (21), or both, as in the possessive phrase dif dadin digak in (22) composed of the personal pronoun dif ' I ', the possessive pronoun dadin '1SGPOS' and the prefix di- ' 1 SG ' attached to egak 'leg'.
Meyja ofon egak efrir jog.
table 3 SGPOS leg broken.in.two already
'The table's leg is already broken.'
[D]
(20) Dif di-ek i-osnok erin i-ebirorh(a) ah jig mow I 1SG-see 3PL-person 3PLPOS 3PL-skull lie LOC land 'I saw human skulls [and] (they) lay on the ground.'
(21) Dif di-ebir eke jig meyja

I 1SG-head collide LOC table
'My head collided with the table.'
[D]

> Dif dadin di-egak ecitat. I 1SGPOS 1SG-leg numb 'I my leg is numb.'

### 3.1.2.2.1 Body-part nouns

Body-part nouns in Moskona are nouns which typically refer to a part of a living entity; the majority refer to body-parts of humans or animals, such as:

| ebir | 'head' | ejmeg | 'spine' | oduy | 'front (of torso) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ebrot | 'horn' | etma | 'arm' | ofon | 'tooth' |
| eksa | 'shoulder' | eteyja | 'eye', | okua | 'knee' |
| orkos | 'neck front' | oko | 'foot' | osorojga | 'rib' |
| egak | 'leg' | osuy | 'ear' | osum | 'nose' |

There are also body-part nouns which are associated specifically with plants, such as ofonga 'tendrils' or ofom 'root'. In addition to humans, animals or plants, body parts may also be attributed to inanimate objects, such as water having a spine, as in (17), tables having legs, as in (19), or a mountain having a crown, as in (18). Body-part nouns also include things considered inextricably linked to their possessors, like things produced by the body, such as eytoh 'tears' or efer 'sore' or 'wound', intangible properties of the body, such as ofomsa 'energy' or 'effort', or abstractions, such as owoka 'name' and ofuy 'function'.

### 3.1.2.2.2 Spatial relator nouns

Spatial relator nouns or relational nouns are a group of inalienable nouns which function relationally to express location, that is, they convey a meaning similar to prepositions. They are reference points which are inherent to the reference object, not subject to the viewpoint of the speaker (cf. Frawley 1992:263). Spatial relator nouns occur postpositionally in relation to the (anchor) noun referring to an object. The juxtaposition of the spatial noun and the anchor noun reflects a genitive relationship, similar to that of inalienable possession. In Moskona, spatial relator nouns include a group of body-part nouns and a second group of nouns which are not body-part nouns. Spatial relator nouns have been attested in Oceanic languages (Bowden 1992), in Papuan languages in general and most of languages of the Bird's Head (Reesink 1998:624).

Spatial relator nouns which are body-part nouns:

| Spatial noun | Gloss | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oduy <br> ejmeg | 'front (of torso)' | front/surface |
| efembra | 'spine' | behind/top |
| oko | 'flank' | edge/side |
| okun | 'foot' | base |
| osum | 'chest' | (out)side |
| estak | 'nose' | point |
| oskuj | 'butt' | bottom |
| odog(eg) | 'hindparts' | behind/bottom |
| ofon | 'belly' | surface |
| 'tooth' | tip |  |

Spatial relator nouns which are not body-part nouns:

| ogoh | 'base' | foundation/base |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sidga | 'upperside' | top |
| ofuyga | 'upper extremity' top |  |
| ofomkuy | 'center' | center |
| ewekesa | 'midst' | middle |
| ewes | 'cavity' | interior |

When a spatial relator noun occurs with a noun referring to a human, it is marked for person and number to agree with the head noun. In the prepositional phrase jig i-osnok iewekesa 'in the people's midst' in (23), the spatial relator noun ewekesa 'midst' is inflected with $i$ - '3PL' to agree with the human referents. Spatial relator nouns which occur with non-human objects are always marked with third person singular, a null morpheme, as is ogoh 'base' in (24).
jig i-osnok i-ewekesa
LOC 3PL-person 3PL-midst
'in the people's midst'

> Yua yi-ahrir kuk memeg(a) ogoh.
you.PL 2PL-skirt along mountain base
'You (PL) skirted along the mountain's base.'
[D]
Spatial relator nouns may also occur with noun compounds, reflecting parts of a whole. In (25) the spatial relator noun osum 'nose' is possessed by the noun compound mod mobga 'roof ridge'. In (26) the spatial relator noun ewekesa 'midst' refers to a location inherent to the noun compound merga eferu 'boards'. Noun compounds are bracketed for clarity.

Ofa eker jig [mod mobga] osum
s /he sit LOC house roof.ridge nose
'He sat on the point of the house's roof ridge.'
(26) Ofa edem miyefen jig [merga eferu] ewekesa. s /he hide money LOC wood segment midst 'She hid the money in between the boards.' ( wood segment $=$ board $) \quad[\mathrm{D}]$

The notion '(at) the side' is expressed by two separate spatial relator nouns. For broad or flat areas, the spatial relator noun okun 'side', as in (27), is used. For narrow or linear areas, efembra 'flank', as in (28), is used.

No-ma-i en-ah had mod okun.
DNR-far-GIV DUR-lie toward house side
'That is toward the side of the house.'

| Ofa | éysaha | jig | Miy Mas | efembra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | reach | LOC | water rain | flank |

'she reached the Rain River's edge'
The spatial relator noun ewes 'cavity' is not a body-part noun, but occurs as the generic component in many body-part terms, such as osum ewes (nose cavity) 'nostril', oska ewes (crotch cavity) 'vagina' and osuy ewes (ear cavity) 'inner ear'. It also refers spatially to the hollow interior of various inanimate objects, such as mod 'house' in (29) or merga 'wood' in (30).

Dif di-ebe-skor jig mod ewes.
I 1SG-LOAN-study LOC house cavity
'I studied in the house.'

| ergog y-eg i-ogá | jig | merga | ewes | no-ma-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.DU DU-hear 3PL-speech | LOC | wood | cavity | DNR-far-GIV |
| 'They heard their voices in the tree hollow' |  |  |  |  |

There are two spatial relator nouns which express the notion 'middle' or 'mid-point' of an indeterminate area. The spatial relator nouns tahaysa 'middle' and ofomkuy 'center' are used with things of indeterminate quantity or mass, such as ofomkuy refers to a location in water, as in (31), tahaysa 'middle' refers to a location in the sky area (to indicate time of day) as in (32) or to a location in a town area, as in (33).
merga ofoj edeses jig miy ofomkuy.
wood blunted many LOC water center
'there are many logs in the center of the river.'
Mif mi-et mar-mosorn(a) ni maw tahays(a).
we.PL 1PL-eat thing-hunger on sun middle
'We ate food at mid-day.' (hunger thing $(\mathrm{s})=$ food)
Dif di-ek mesesba jig mow kota tahays(a).
I 1SG-see carving LOC land town middle
'I saw the carving in the middle of the town area.'
A spatial relator noun, such as ofomkuy 'center' in (34), may also occur as the object of a preposition, although it refers to a part of the whole.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Miy efen(a) ewes aksa erá ah jig ofomkuy. } & \text { afor } \\
\text { water spirit cavity tall THM lie LOC } & \text { center } \\
\text { 'The river's spirit (deep part) is in its center.' } \tag{TT}
\end{array}
$$

### 3.1.2.2.3 Inalienable nouns with $e f$ -

There is a small group of nouns, included in the subclass of inalienable nouns by reason of morphological shape, whose present forms have the sequence non-high vowel plus labial consonant, /ef/ or /ow/, fused to a verbal element. ${ }^{15}$ The origins of some verbal roots are easily identifiable, such as the transitive verb eru 'peel in strips' in ef-eru 'a segment (of s.t.)', the transitive verb ef 'wrap (up)' in ef-ef 'flap'(of tree) or 'lid', or the transitive verb ed 'strike' in ef-ed 'medicinal treatment' or 'drug'. (Striking with strips of bark is considered a type of medicinal treatment.) In words such as ef-em 'nest' or 'pouch' (of a marsupial) which are based on the transitive verb om 'enclose', the vowel of the root has harmonized with the front vowel of the prefix. Evidence from Meyah

[^11]cognate ofók 'bud' suggests the inalienable noun owok '(out)growth' (meaning 'sprouted or 'budded thing') also is a form fused with the old prefix ef-. In these latter cases, the consonant of the prefix has changed to $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and the vowel has harmonized with the back vowel of the root, such as ow- as in ow-ok. A few of these nouns are laid out in table form in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3 Inalienable nouns with $e f$ -

| Noun | Gloss | Verb | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ef-eru | 'segment of s.t.' | eru | 'peel in strips' |
| ef-ef | 'flap' | ef | 'distrbute' |
| ef-ed | 'drug', | ed | 'strike' |
| ef-em | 'pouch' | $o m$ | 'enclose', |
| ow-ok | 'outgrowth' | $o k$ | 'bear s.t.' |

### 3.1.3 Nouns referring to humans

Nouns referring to humans include a group of generic nouns denoting humans and the kinship terms.

### 3.1.3.1 Generic nouns denoting humans

Generic nouns denoting humans combine a property which distinguishes alienable nouns with one which distinguishes inalienable nouns. They have a root-initial non-high vowel, like the inalienable nouns, but employ a syntactic possession strategy, like the alienable nouns. That is, generic nouns denoting humans are not inflected for possessor (e.g. *diefer 'my child' is unacceptable), but require a possessive pronoun in a possession construction (§6.3.1), such as the possessive pronoun dadin '1SGPOS' in the possession phrase dadin isnok 'my people' in (35), or the possessive pronoun ergen '3DUPOS' in the possession phrase ergen yefer in (36).
(35) Dif di-esejah mar-mosorn(a)ni dadin i-osnok. I 1SG-prepare thing-hunger for 1SGPOS 3PL-person 'I prepared food for my people (relatives).'
$y$-ek ergen y-efer no-ma-i
they.DU 3DUPOS DU-child DNR-far-GIV
'(they) saw their child'
The generic nouns denoting humans: osnok 'person', ${ }^{16}$, orna 'man', ejena 'woman', efer 'child', es 'male, ej 'female', as well as the more age-defined generic nouns eferiok 'small child' and orenekena 'elderly person' (from the collapsed phrase orna ekena (man

[^12]red)) are inflected for person and number of referent through a pronominal prefix, such as di- '1SG' attached to eferiok 'small child' in (37) or $i$ - '3PL' attached to ejena 'woman' in (38). These are the same set of prefixes which indicate subject on verbs.

## (37) Kus noga di-eferiok..

short.span REL 1SG-small.child
'When I was a small child....'
(38) Eri i-ejen(a) i-odog jig..
they.PL 3PL-woman 3PL-pregnant LOC
'(If/when) they the women are pregnant...'
Titles of position or office are also nouns which denote humans. These nouns, such as the loan word manir '(tribal) leader' (from Malay mandur 'overseer') and ebreg 'leader' or 'head person', are possessed through a possession phrase, such as erin ebreg 'their leader'. The noun ebreg 'leader' in the phrase erin ebreg is inflected with the prefix for third person singular, a null morpheme, indicating the person and number of the referent. Titles for non-traditional positions, such as Guru 'teacher' or Pendeta 'pastor', are borrowed from Malay and are possessed syntactically with a possessive pronoun, as in the phrase erin Pendeta (3PLPOS pastor) 'their pastor'.

### 3.1.3.2 Kinship terms

In Moskona, kinship terms are not a subcategory of inalienable nouns, as they are in a number of languages in the Bird's Head. ${ }^{17}$ Moskona kinship terms have non-high vowelinitial roots like the inalienable nouns, but they are distinct from inalienable nouns in that they have their own unique set of prefixes. These prefixes bear some resemblance to the pronominal prefixes which index possessor on inalienable nouns, but in a process which is unique to this set of prefixes, the root undergoes syncope when attaching a prefix.

The possession strategy for kinship terms includes morphological and syntactic expressions. However, the morphological expression of possession is not symmetrical throughout kinship terms. That is, for some terms, the prefixes indicate only first or second person, but not number. For yet others, only number of possessor but not person is expressed. A few terms are inflected for both person and number. The reason for the retention of a distinctive first or second person possessor prefix may be that those forms are still used vocatively as well as referentially, and some prefixes are clearly conflated forms. The nominalizer prefix $m$ - occurs on all kinship terms which are not distinguished for person or number, and possession for these terms is expressed syntactically through a possessive pronoun in a possession phrase.
Some kinship terms are classificatory in that they may refer to a number of different relationships. Moskona allows for the application of a kinship term to other relationships

[^13]besides the basic one, for example a generational extension allows the term for Ego's siblings to extend to father's brother's children, which are therefore classificatory siblings of Ego. Another generational extension in Moskona involves the reciprocal expression for grandparental terms.

The kinship terms will be presented in the order: consanguineal kinship terms two generations above Ego, one generation above Ego, then one generation below, then Ego's generation, followed by two generations below. Lastly, affinal kinship terms are presented.

### 3.1.3.2.1 Consanguineal kinship terms

In earlier times, pronominal prefixes may have been used to mark possessor for all kinship terms, such as those which mark all kinship terms in Meyah (Gravelle 2004:124), but at present only vestigial prefixes remain for many of the consanguineal terms. This treatment of kinship nouns could be a consequence of a process in language change that transforms prefixed kinship nouns (like the inalienably possessed nouns) in the direction of non-prefixing kinship nouns (like the alienably possessed nouns).

## Two generations above and below Ego

The consanguineal kinship term for two generations above and below Ego is a reciprocal term, composed of the bound root -edina 'grandparent' or 'grandchild' and a prefix. Gender of speaker or referent is irrelevant. Second person singular possession is marked by the prefix eb-' $2 \mathrm{SG}^{\prime}$ ', which is similar only in its bilabial consonant to the pronominal prefix $b i$ - ' 2 SG', which attaches to verbs and inalienable nouns. The root-initial vowel /e/ elides when the second person singular prefix is attached. In all other instances, including a first person possessor, the general term m-edina is used and possession is expressed through a possession phrase, such as mifin medina 'our grandparent/grandchild' or dadin medina 'my grandparent/grandchild', as in (39).

| eb-edina | [zbdîna | '2SG-grandparent'/ 'grandchild' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m-edina | [medina $]$ | 'grandparent'/ 'grandchild' |

Dif dadin m-edina erá, owok(a)
I Samson.
I 1SGPOS NR-grandpar. THM name
'I my grand parent, his name is Samson.'

## Consanguineal terms for one generation above Ego

Vocative terms for parents are monomorphemic and no possessor affixes are identifiable. Although at one time the vocative terms were distinguished from referential terms, they now appear to be losing the distinction, as they may also be used referentially. When
functioning vocatively, they are not possessed; when functioning referentially, possession is expressed through a possessive phrase.

The terms of address for parents are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { ekok } & \text { 'father' } \\
\text { ayok } & \text { 'mother' }
\end{array}
$$

Referential terms for parents have a root-initial $m$ - fused to the root, as do alienable nouns. Possession of a referential parent term is expressed through a possessive phrase, such as mifin mosu-ir (1PLPOS mother-PL) 'our mothers' or dadin mekew (1SGPOS father) 'my father'. Referential parent terms for are:

| mekew |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| mosu | 'father', |
| 'mother' |  |

Terms for Ego's father's brothers and sisters are expressed as noun phrases composed of the term for 'father' plus a qualifying noun which indicates age with respect to the biological father. The qualifying noun may be orna 'man' to indicate 'elder' or oysura 'youngest child' to indicate 'younger', as in the vocative term ekok orna 'father's older brother' or the referential term mekew oysura 'father's younger brother'. Ego's father's sisters are indicated similarly in a noun phrase composed of the term mosu 'mother' plus a qualifying noun which indicates age with respect to Ego's biological father. The qualifying noun may be ejena 'woman', meaning 'elder', or the noun oysura 'youngest child' meaning 'younger', as in the phrase mosu ejena 'father's older sister'.

Terms for Ego's mother's brothers are also expressed as noun phrases. The age qualifying noun indicating elder sibling is orna 'man', as in mosu orna 'mother's older brother', or for a younger sibling, the noun oysura is used, as in mosu oysura 'mother's younger brother'. Terms for Ego's mother's sisters are expressed as a word composed of the enclitics -esa 'younger' and -era 'older' used in sibling terms attached to the term mosu 'mother', as in mosu-esa 'mother's younger sister'.

## One generation below Ego

The only kinship term one generation below Ego is the noun efer 'child', a generic noun which does not distinguish gender. To denote possession, a possessive phrase with a possessive pronoun is employed, such as yefyen efer 'our (DU) child'. To indicate dual or plural referents, the term may be prefixed with the pronominal prefixes $y$ - 'DU' or $i$ - 'PL',


Because the term efer 'child' is gender neutral, when necessary to specify the sex of the child a phrase is used. The nouns es 'male' or $e j$ 'female', nouns which denote humans and indicate gender, are joined to efer (cf. §3.1.4.1.6), as in efer es (child male) 'son' and efer ej (child female) 'daughter'. The nouns in the phrase may each be prefixed for number and person, such as $y$ - 'DU' attached to efer 'child' and es 'male' in (40).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y \text {-efer } & y \text {-es } & y \text {-erg-ak. } \\
\text { DU-child } & \text { DU-male } & \text { DU-NUM:1-two } \\
\text { 'two sons' } & \tag{T23}
\end{array}
$$

## Ego's generation

Consanguineal kinship terms for Ego's generation are polymorphemic nouns which are composed of a pronominal prefix, a bound root indicating the sex of the referent with respect to the sex of the possessor, plus a suffix -esa 'younger' or -era 'older', which specifies the age of the referent with regard to possessor. The prefixes distinguishing the person and number of the possessor for siblings of the same sex are not isomorphic with the prefixes which distinguish person and number of possessor for siblings of the opposite sex.

Sibling terms are applied to all children who are the offspring of father and father's brothers. Children of mother's siblings and children of father's sisters are not considered relatives, as they belong to a different clan, the clan of their biological father.

## Same sex siblings

Terms for siblings of the same sex are composed of the bound root otk- 'sibling same sex' (glossed as 'sib.s.s' in examples) which attaches a suffix expressing age relative to the possessor -esa 'younger' (yg.) or -era 'older' (old.), which may then be prefixed for agreement with the possessor. Evidence from the prefix ed- '1SG' which attaches to forms denoting opposite sex siblings suggests that the phoneme $/ \mathrm{t} /$ in otk- is a vestigial morpheme which has been incorporated into the root, as first person singular possessor is not indicated on the word. The corresponding Meyah root -oko 'sibling same sex' may be marked for possessor with ed- '1SG' (Gravelle 2004:127), further supporting the hypothesis the /t/ in otk- was initially part of a prefix.

The patterns for marking possession for same sex sibling terms are mixed. Dual and plural possessors are marked morphologically, but singular possessors are indicated syntactically. The pronominal prefixes indicating possessor for dual and plural are identical to pronominal prefixes indicating possessor on inalienable nouns, as in:

| $\boldsymbol{y}$-otk-era | (DU-sib.s.s.-old) | [jotkéra] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{y}$-otk-esa | (DU-sib.s.s.-yg) | [jotkésa] |
| $\boldsymbol{m i}$-otk-era | (1PL-sib.s.s.-old) | [mutkéra] |
| $\boldsymbol{m i}$-otk-esa | (1PL-sib.s.s.-yg) | [mutkésa] |
| $\boldsymbol{y i}$ i-otk-era | (2PL-sib.s.s.-old) | [jutkéra] |
| $\boldsymbol{y i}$ i-otk-esa | (2PL-sib.s.s.-yg) | [jutkésa] |
| $\boldsymbol{i}$ i-otk-era | (3PL-sib.s.s.-old) | [utkéra] |

(3PL-sib.s.s.-yg) [utkésa]
Although the root otk- has an initial non-high vowel like inalienable nouns, possession for singular is expressed with a possession phrase. A male referring to his older brother would use the possession phrase, dadin otk-era (1SGPOS sib.s.s-old) 'my older brother' or referring to the younger brother of a male addressee, buwun otk-esa (2SGPOS sib.s.s.-yg) 'your younger brother'. Sibling terms which are inflected to agree with the person and number of the possessor may optionally co-occur with a possessive pronoun, such as mifin mutkesa 'our younger sibling same sex'.

Frequently in narrative texts, the alternative root $o k$ - 'sibling same sex' occurs. This root plus a suffix specifying relative age -esa or -era may be prefixed with $m$ - ' NR ', as in the forms m-ok-era (NR-sib.s.s.-old) 'older one' [moḱ́ra] and m-ok-esa (NR-sib.s.s.-yg) 'younger one' [mokésa]. This form reflects a more generic way of referring to the relationship between siblings, as the possessor is rarely given.

## Opposite sex sibling

The terms for siblings of the opposite sex are composed of the root ew- 'sibling opposite sex' (glossed as 'sib.op.s.' in examples), which attaches a suffix reflecting age relative to the speaker -esa 'younger' or -era 'older'. First person singular possession is marked by the prefix ed- ' 1 SG ', the only prefix which distinguishes both person and number, attached to the opposite sex sibling form. It is similar only in its voiced alveolar consonant to the pronominal prefix $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' found on verbs and inalienable nouns. When the pronominal prefixes are attached, the initial vowel of the root/e/ elides, as in the forms:

| ed-ew-era | (1SG-sib.op.s.-old) | [عduéra] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ed-ew-esa | (1SG-sib.op.s.-yg) | [عduésa] |

Possession is marked both morphologically and syntactically on forms which are not first person singular. Second person singular and second person dual possession are marked by a single prefix ey- ' $2 \mathrm{SG} / 2 \mathrm{DU}$ '. It is similar to the dual prefix $y$-, which marks possession on inalienable nouns, only by the presence of the approximant $/ \mathrm{y} /$. In order to distinguish between singular and dual possessors, a possession phrase is used, such as buwun eywesa 'your (SG) younger sibling opposite sex' or yogyen eywesa 'your (DU) younger sibling opposite sex'. These possessed terms are:

| ey-ew-esa | $(2 \mathrm{SG} / 2 \mathrm{DU}$-sib.op.s.-yg $)$ | [ejuésa] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ey-ew-era | $(2 \mathrm{SG} / 2 \mathrm{DU}$-sib.op.s.-old $)$ | [ejuéra] |

The prefix $m i$ - marks the term for all other possessors and is synonymous with the first person plural prefix mi- which marks possessor on inalienable nouns. The vowel of the prefix and root-initial vowel coalesce to form $/ \mathrm{i} /$. These possessed terms are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { mi-ew-era } & \text { (1PL,3SG,3DU,3PL-sib.op.s.-old) } & \text { [miwéra] } \\
\text { mi-ew-esa } & \text { (1PL,3SG,3DU,3PL-sib.op.s.-yg) } & \text { [miwésa] }
\end{array}
$$

To distinguish person and number with this prefix, a possession phrase is used consisting of a possessive pronoun plus the kinship term, such as mifin miwesa 'our (PL) younger sibling opposite sex' or ergen miwera 'their (DU) older sibling opposite sex'.

There is an additional kinship term efedes 'classificatory sibling' used for those of Ego's generation whose fathers are brothers. The term does not distinguish sex or relative age. It is based on the numeral ed-es 'NUM:2-one', which categorizes extended surfaces, such as clearings or village areas. (Villages are typically made up of brothers who live together in one locale.) This term has the old prefix ef- ' $3 S_{G}$ ' fused to the root, as do a few inalienable nouns (§3.1.2.2.3). For the kinship term efedes 'classificatory sibling' possession is expressed morphologically with the same set of prefixes which indicate possessor on inalienable nouns, such as $y$ - 'DU' attached to efedes in (41).
(41) Erewesha no-ma-i dokun ejewjena no-ma-i y-efedes.
young.man DNR-far-GIV and young.woman DNR-far-GIV DU-c.sibling
'The young man and the young woman are (classificatory) siblings.' [D]

## Generic consanguineal kinship nouns

There are two other general terms relating to kinship, ebskir 'relatives', (referring to father, his brother and his brother's children), composed of the noun efsa $\sim e b s a$ 'hub', the proximal deictic $-k a$, plus the plural marker $-i r$, as in (42) and ofuy 'ancestral line' or 'lineage' as in (43). Possession for these terms is expressed syntactically with a possessive pronoun, as is also the word fam 'clan', borrowed from the Malay word fam 'family name', as in erin fam Orocomna 'their (PL) Orocomna clan'.

Ofa ofon ebskir, eri i-ot i-eregejg(a) ofa. s/he 3SGPOS relatives they.PL 3PL-stand 3PL-surround s/he 'He his relatives, they were standing around him.'

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ofuy er-esha } & \text { raja } & \text { Daud } \\
\text { lineage CAUS-from } & \text { king } & \text { David } \\
\text { 'King David's ancestral line' } \tag{TT}
\end{array}
$$

### 3.1.3.2.2 Affinal kinship terms

## Spouse terms

There is no generic term for spouse. The noun roots -ahina 'male spouse' and -ohena 'female spouse' are prefixed with the nominalizer $m$ - to form terms which are used referentially:

```
m-ahina 'husband'
m-ohena 'wife'
```

Possession of referential affinal kinship terms for spouse is expressed through a possession phrase, utilizing a possessive pronoun, as in the phrase dadin mahina 'my husband'. Since the Moskona are polygamous, they have phrasal terms indicating the order of multiple wives, such as mohena ejena 'first (older) wife' and mohena oysura 'second (younger) wife', using ejena '(adult) woman' and oysura 'youngest child' as qualifying nouns in noun phrases.

The vocative spouse terms appear to be fading from use, and currently may also be used referentially, as in the possession phrase buwun ethina 'your husband'. When used vocatively, possession is expressed morphologically, by attaching the prefix ed- '1SG' to the root. The initial vowel of the root elides when the prefix is added.

| ed-ahina | [عtxína $]$ | 'my husband (vocative)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ed-ohena | $[$ हtxéna $]$ | 'my wife (vocative)' |

## Ego's parents-in-law

Affinal kinship terms for the parents of Ego's spouse specify sex of the referent with respect to the sex of the speaker. If the parent-in-law is the same sex as the speaker, he/she calls the in-laws: mogen 'parent in-law', a reciprocal term; if the parent-in-law is the opposite sex, he/she calls his/her in-laws: mosu-esa [mosuésa] 'parent-in-law', a form which is homophonous with Ego's mother's younger sister. Possession is expressed in a possession phrase with a possessive pronoun, such as dadin mogen 'my (same sex) parent-in-law' or buwun mosu-esa 'your SG (opposite sex) parent-in-law'.

## Siblings of Ego's spouse

Terms for siblings of Ego's spouse specify sex with respect to the sex of the possessor. The root for sibling-in-law of the same sex is -efina. The root for sibling-in-law of the opposite sex is -ewisa. (The term -ewisa could be considered a composite of ew- 'sibling opposite sex' and -isa with a meaning like 'affinal', but there is little justification for this parsing, as the segment -isa occurs nowhere else.) Possession of terms for siblings of Ego's spouse is morphological for first person singular possessor, but syntactic for all other possessors. The prefix ed- '1SG' indicates possessor for first person singular on both same sex and opposite sex sibling-in-law roots. The initial vowel of the root /e/ elides when the prefix is attached. All other possession is expressed in a possession phrase, such as buwun mefina 'your (SG) sibling-in-law same sex', or erin muisa 'their (PL) sibling-inlaw opposite sex'.

| ed-efina | [عdфína] | '1SG-sib-in-law same sex' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m-efina | [meфina] | 'sib-in-law same sex' |


| ed-ewisa | [zduísa] | '1SG sib-in-law opposite sex' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| m-ewisa | [muísa] | 'sib-in-law opposite sex' |

### 3.1.3.2.3 Plural marker on kinship terms

Kinship terms may be inflected for plurality with the suffix -ir 'PL'. ${ }^{18}$ The suffix-initial vowel /i/ coalesces with /a/, the final vowel of the root, to become /e/, as in medina-ir 'grandparents' in (44), or m-efina-ir 'siblings-in-law same sex' [meф́ner]. The root retains the accent when affixed. The kinship term efer 'child' may not be inflected for plurality, as it is also a generic noun which denotes a human and requires a pronominal prefix to indicate the person and number of the referent.
(44) Ofa osot mahti gug m-edina-ir. [mediner]
he/she count legend to NR-grandpar.-PL
'He recounted the legend to his grandchildren.'
However, there are a few nouns denoting humans which may be inflected with the plural suffix -ir, such as: amoka-ir [amók $\varepsilon_{\rho}$ ] 'friends' and miyaht(a)-ir [mijáxtIr] 'wealthy (people)'. The nouns ejewjena 'young woman' and erewesha 'young man', which are marked with a pronominal prefix to indicate person and number of the referent, may also attach the plural marker -ir 'PL', as in:

| $i-e j$ | (3PL-young.woman-PL) |  | 'young women' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i-erewesha-ir | (3PL-young.man-PL) | [Irewésxer] | 'young men' |

All nouns which refer to non-humans, animals as well as inanimate objects, are indeterminate of number and thus not marked for plural. This treatment of animals is in contrast to Meyah, which marks plurality on nouns referring to dogs and pigs, grouping them with humans.

The plural marker is morphologically identical to the plural marker found in Sougb (Reesink 2002b:221) and Meyah (Gravelle 2004:130), two other East Bird's Head languages.

### 3.1.4 Noun compounds

A noun compound is a syntactic unit which is composed of free morphemes which function independently in other circumstances (Crystal 1997:78). In Moskona, noun compounding is an extremely productive process, allowing a few lexical items to

[^14]combine so as to refer to objects or concepts for which there is no single morpheme. Noun compounds are distinguished semantically and syntactically. A compound noun is distinguished semantically as a single lexical unit, which identifies a single item or concept and tends to have meanings which are non-compositional or unpredictable. Almost all abstract notions in Moskona are expressed in compounds, such as mar-okum (thing-heavy) 'difficulty' or mar-ofoj (thing-blunted) 'complaint'. Syntactically, noun compounds are distinct from noun phrases (§6.1), in that it is not possible to insert a pause or parenthetical material between the components, nor may the second member of a compound be relativized (cf. Anderson and Keenan 1985:45). Morphophonologically, noun compounds which have an inalienable noun or adjectival verb as the second component may undergo a reduction process which is specific to noun compounds. Phonologically, both roots receive an accent and in running speech, a paragoge $-a$ 'PGE' may not occur following the first component. The meaning of the compound is not predictable and may have a more specific meaning than one of its components or be entirely different than the combined meaning. The first component of a noun compound is always a noun. The second component may be either a noun or a verb. As members of the noun class, noun compounds may occur as the subject or object of a verb, or as the object of a preposition.
The majority of noun compounds are of three types and are distinguished by the semantic relationship of the components to each other. The relationships are modified-modifier, generic-specifier and whole-part. No compounds have been observed in which both components have equal status.

### 3.1.4.1 Noun plus noun compounds

In noun plus noun compounds, the second component N 2 may modify the first component N 1 , as in a generic-specifier relationship, or N 2 may be a part or portion of N1.

### 3.1.4.1.1 Generic-specifier noun compounds

Frequently, a noun from a generic semantic class is the first component of a genericspecifier noun compound, reflecting a lack of intraspecific distinction (i.e. lack of specific-level terms in the same domain, as between members of a species) (See Croft 1990:183). In Moskona, a generic noun, such as mem 'bird', as in (45) and (46), mos 'fish', as in (48), or mes 'dog', as in (47), serves as the head of the compound with the second member delimiting the first.
mem-mojuy
bird-lowland
'cassowary'
mem-kokar ${ }^{19}$
bird-crow
'chicken'

[^15]mes-naw
dog-meow
'cat'
mos-i-osnok
fish-3PL-person
'k.o. (flesh-colored) fish’

To form a term for a large foreign animal, the specific noun mek 'pig', as the largest indigenous animal, has been substituted as a semantic class name for large mammals and used as the first component with a Malay word as second component, as in:

| Moskona |  | Malay |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| mek-sapi | 'cow' | sapi | 'cow' |
| mek-kuda | 'horse' | kuda | 'horse' |
| mek-rusa | 'deer' | rusa | 'deer' |

## Mar compounds with nominal specifier

The generic noun mar 'thing' plus a noun forms a compound in which mar is delimited by a more specific noun, such as osum 'nose' in (49), ogá 'speech' in (50), or efena 'spirit' in (51).
mar-osum 'loaned item' (fronted item)
thing-nose
mar-ogá 'voice' or 'message'
thing-speech
mar-efena 'image' or 'picture'
thing-spirit

### 3.1.4.1.2 Whole-part compounds

Whole-part compounds are those compounds in which the second component N 2 is a part or portion of the first noun N1. Second components of a whole-part compound are in a genitive relationship to the first member, that is, N 2 , which is frequently an inalienable noun, is a part of N 1 , as in (52-55). In natural speech, N 2 is typically reduced, resulting in a single phonological word (cf. §3.1.4.3).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { merah-ofoga } \\
\text { fire-cloud }
\end{array} & \text { 'smoke' } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { ohka-efej } \\
\text { chin-hair }
\end{array} & \text { 'beard' } \\ \tag{53}
\end{array}
$$

```
egak-ofuy 'toe'
leg-extremity
mow-ewes 'hole (in ground)'
land-cavity
```


### 3.1.4.1.3 Associative compounds

A much smaller group of noun compounds is based on associative semantic relationships. That is, they name the associative purpose, as in (56-59), or some characteristic perceived to be shared, as in (60-62). The nature of the compound is more unitary than compositional.
(56) miy-egak
cloth-leg
'pants' (clothing which covers the legs)
mod-mejga
house-fence
'animal pen'
medew-mesew
sago.pith-leaf.container
'container for sago meal'
(60) mod-miy
house-water
'ground house' (house built on the ground near water)
(61) oko-m-ej
foot-NR-female
'calf' (of leg) (lower/slimmer section of the leg)
(62) oko-o(r)na
foot-man
'thigh' (upper/thicker section of the leg)

### 3.1.4.1.4 Compounds for introduced items

The noun mos 'people' which designates groups of people, has the extended meaning 'outsider' and extends further to yield the meaning 'foreigner thing', as in (63-65), occurring with common nouns to specify an introduced or foreign item. These compounds form a phonological unit, with the accent placement on the noun root.

| meserf(a)-mos spoon-foreign 'metal spoon' | [mesér mos $^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| meresa-mos <br> sugarcane-foreign 'sugar' | [merésmos] |
| mesina-mos stringbag-foreign 'tote bag' | [mesinamos] |

### 3.1.4.1.5 Noun compounds with gender words

Compound nouns formed with the nouns es 'male' and ej 'female' joined with the noun efer 'child', as in (66) and (67), comprise a single phonological unit with the unitary meanings 'male child' or 'son' and 'female child' or 'daughter', and are used only when the sex of the child must be specified. (The nouns es and ej are not gender markers and may be inflected for person and number of referent, such as $y$-es (DU-male) 'two males' or $i$-ej (3PL-female) 'females (PL)'.)
(66) efer-ej [ $\varepsilon \varnothing \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \overline{\bar{〕} 3}]$
child-female
'daughter'

(67) | efer-es |
| :--- |
| child-male |
| 'son' |

In compounds in which the words es 'male' and ej 'female' precede efer 'child', they form a different lexical item, the noun compounds:

female-child
'young woman (marriageable age)'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { es-efer } \quad[\varepsilon ́ s \varepsilon ф \varepsilon r]  \tag{69}\\
& \text { male-child } \\
& \text { 'young man (marriageable age)' }
\end{align*}
$$

The nouns es 'male' and $e j$ 'female' may also join with a few inanimate objects which are specifically associated with either men or women, such as mosork(a)-ej 'female toilet hole' or $\operatorname{mirg}(a)$-es 'male sleeping area'.

### 3.1.4.1.6 Pronominal compounds with gender words

Pronominal compounds, composed of a third person pronoun plus a noun denoting gender, are always expressed as a single phonological word. The third person singular pronoun ofa 's/he', as in (70) and (71), or third person plural pronoun eri 'they (PL)', as in (72) and (73), are the first component with the nouns es 'male' and ej 'female' as second component. The second component delimits the pronoun, which is gender neutral, clarifying the referent. The third person dual pronoun ergog has not been observed to form pronominal compounds with the nouns es 'male' and ej 'female'. Instead, the pronominal prefix $y$ - 'DU' attaches to $e s$ 'male' or $e j$ 'female', as in $y$-es 'males (DU)'.
ofa-es
[оФ́́s]
s/he-male
'he'
71) $\quad o f a-e j$
[оФ́์đ3]
s/he-female
'she'
they..PL-male
'they (PL) males'
eri-ej
[عrîd3]
they.PL-female
'they (PL) females'
The plural pronoun eri 'they..PL' may also attach a noun compound which has incorporated a noun denoting gender, such as ej-efer 'young woman' in (74), to further delimit those referred to by the pronoun.

> eri-ej-efer they..PL-female-child 'they young women'

### 3.1.4.2 Noun plus verb compounds

Noun plus verb compounds are usually the modified-modifier type. The second component, the verb, carries no inflectional prefixes. Each compound expresses a single concept. Although the word order for noun plus verb compounds is the same as for subject-predicate constructions, these compounds may function as the subject or as the object of clause, as in Ofa or meserfa-ofog. (s/he hold spoon-pointed) 'He held/used a fork'. A few modified-modifier compounds are given in (75-80).
(75) mow-ewet
land-semi-solid
'clay'
(76) miy-efeyu
water-immature
'pool'
(77) $\operatorname{merg}(a)-o f o g$
wood-pointed
'splinter'
(78) merga-ofoj
wood-blunted
'stump, log'
(79) miy-ofog
water-pointed
'wave'
(80) eteyja-ebah
eye-live
'life'

## Mar compounds as modified-modifier

To express many concrete items, especially many introduced items, the generic noun mar 'thing' is employed as the first component in a modified-modifier compound, as in (8184). The use of mar 'thing' as the first component provides a way to refer to generic or abstract concepts, as in (85-88).

| mar-ahta <br> thing-black | 'ink' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mar-ebah <br> thing-live | 'insect' |

(82) mar-efeyu 'paper currency' thing-patterned
mar-ofor 'spices' thing-dehydrated

| mar-okecic <br> thing-point.out | 'sign' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mar-ofoj <br> thing-blunted | 'complaint' |

mar-efsa 'salt'
thing-white
mar-okum 'difficulty'
thing-heavy

A generic word with the meaning 'thing' is used extensively to form compounds in the other East Bird's Head languages, mar 'thing' in Meyah (Gravelle 2004:133) and ar 'thing' in Sougb (Reesink 2002b).

### 3.1.4.3 Morphological reduction in noun compounds

There are a number of compounds which undergo an optional morphophonological process which is specific to noun compounds. This process, which is based on the phonological shape of the second component, involves the optional elision of the first two segments of the second component. The second member of the compound, which may be either a noun or verb, characteristically has the nonhigh vowels /e/ or /o/ initially, plus a labial consonant/f/, /b/ or /w/, as in efej 'dried (out)', owos 'skin', or ewes 'cavity'. When a compound undergoes this process, the first two segments of N 2 may coalesce into a long vowel, or may be elided. A compound, such as mar-ebah 'insect' [mar $\varepsilon$ हax], is
 The resulting word retains the accent of the second member, which becomes the new accented syllable of the word.

There are a number of former compounds which have been completely lexicalized following this pattern. The noun mergéj 'firewood' has been reduced from merga-efej (wood-dried), as in (89). The current word for 'goods' or 'possessions' is marot from the mar compound mar-owot (90). The compound mac-ogá (thunder-speech) has been reduced to macog 'gun' (91). When a phrase such as merga efej (wood dried) occurs, the meaning is 'dried wood', not 'firewood'. Lexicalized forms have a single accent and unique nominal meanings.
merga-efej $>$ mergej [mérged ${ }_{3}$ ]
tree-dried.out 'firewood'
mar-owot $>$ marot $\sim$ marowot $\quad$ [márot]
thing-secretion 'goods'
mac-ogá $\quad>$ macog [mátfog]
thunder-speech 'gun'

### 3.2 Verbs

Verbs are a class of words in Moskona which express actions, processes and states. Verbs as a word class are distinguished from other classes of words by three morphological properties: 1) verbs have a root-initial non-high vowel $e, o$, or $a, 2$ ) verbs may host the verbal prefixes (subject, aspectual, modality and causative) and 3) verbs may attach the reduplicative suffixes. Adjectives (§3.2.2.3.3) are not distinguished from verbs, but are a subcategory of intransitive verbs, distinguished syntactically, as they may also serve as modifiers in a noun phrase. There are two morphological processes which occur in verbs. The first is prefixal, encompassing the inflectional categories, the second is reduplicative.

### 3.2.1 Inflectional and derivational categories

Moskona has a verbal morphology with seven categories of affixation marked on the root. Five categories are inflectional and two are derivational. The inflectional categories include subject (as pronominal prefix), mode (as irrealis marker), aspect (as durative marker), reciprocal object / peripheral argument (as pronominal circumfix) and iterative or continuous aspect (as reduplicative suffixes). The derivational categories are causative (as causative marker) and verbalizer (as loan prefix).

The order of morphemes within the transitive verb word is: pronominal prefix, mode or aspect prefix, causative prefix and circumfix. Reduplicants (RED) are suffixes. Due to its limited distribution (only on loan words) the loan prefix is not included in the order given below. Intransitive verbs do not allow the reciprocal circumfix, of course. Co-occurrence restrictions will be discussed below.

> SubjP (IRR/DUR) (CAUS) (Cfx) Vrt (RED)

### 3.2.1.1 Pronominal prefixes

The pronominal prefixes indexing subject obligatorily occur on verbs and are identical to the prefixes which occur on inalienable nouns indicating the possessor (§3.1.2.2, §5.1.5). At morphological boundaries, the vowels of the prefixes and initial vowels of roots coalesce. The morphophonemic process which occurs at these boundaries is discussed in $\S 2.5 .1 .2 .1$. The pronominal prefixes are:

| SG | 1 | $d i-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | $b i-$ |
|  | 3 | $\varnothing$ |
| DU |  | $y-$ |
| PL | 1 | $m i-$ |
|  | 2 | $y i-$ |
|  | 3 | $i-$ |

Pronominal prefixes indicate subject on transitive verbs, such as $i$ - ' 3 PL' attached to the transitive verb ahac 'tie (up)' in (92), on intransitive verbs, such as mi- '1PL' attached to the regular intransitive verb oyka 'dance' in (93), and on adjectival verbs functioning predicatively, such as di- ' 1 SG ' attached to the adjectival verb aksa 'tall' in (94).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Edá, eri i-ahac } & \operatorname{mogos}(a) & \text { no-ma-i } & \text { [íxaţ] } \\
\text { then they.PL 3PL-tie snake } & \text { DNR-far-GIV } &  \tag{92}\\
\text { 'Then, they tied up the snake' } & &
\end{array}
$$

mif mi-oyka
[mújka]
we.PL 1PL-dance
'We danced'

Dif di-aksa.
I 1SG-tall
'I am tall.'
The dual forms have undergone conflation, such that they no longer distinguish person in the prefixes, although all three persons are distinguished in the personal pronouns. Thus, a personal pronoun, such as ergog 'they.DU' in (95) or yoga 'you.DU' in (96), in addition to $y$ - 'DU' is necessary to distinguish person for a dual subject.

Ergog y-etka merga no-ma-i.
[jétka]
they.DU DU-split wood DNR-far-GIV
'They (two) split the wood.'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Yoga y-er-oduy ofa }  \tag{96}\\
& \text { you.DU DU-CAUS-front s/he } \\
& \text { 'You (two) bring him' }
\end{align*}
$$

Third person singular is marked with $\varnothing$, a phonologically null morpheme. Thus, verb roots, such as ok 'flee' and osok 'climb up' in (97) and ebisa 'cry' in (98), are inflected for person (third) and number (singular).
(97) Yom ok osok tum mohega

Yom flee climb.up onto mohega.tree
'Yom fled [and] climbed up on the mohega tree'
(98) ofa ebisa esebra
s/he cry continuously
'he cried all the time'

The third person singular prefix $\varnothing$ is used to index subjects which are inanimate or animate and nonhuman, regardless of number. The inanimate subject ofom 'root' is indicated on the verb $a h$ 'lie' in (99) with third person singular $\varnothing$. Verbs which have subjects which are larger animals (e.g. dogs, pigs, or deer) are also typically inflected with third person singular, such as the verb osta 'chase' in (100). But occasionally, such as when animals are treated as human in legends, verbs may be inflected with a different pronominal prefix, such as $i$ - '3PL' marked on eker 'sit' in (101).
(99) Merga no-ma-i, ofon ofom ah etkebra.
wood DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS root lie short
'The tree, its roots are shallow.'
(100) Mes osta mekrus esebra
dog chase deer continuous
'The dogs chased the deer on and on'
(101) Misi dokun ofon amok(a)-ir noga i-en-eker.... bandicoot and 3SGPOS friend-PL REL 3PL-DUR-sit 'The bandicoot and his friends who were staying...'

When adjectival verbs function as modifiers in a noun phrase, they are marked for person and number to agree with the head noun. These pronominal prefixes are identical to the pronominal prefixes which signal subject on verbal predicates. For example, in (102) the adjectival verb ofojok '(be) poor' is marked with third person plural prefix $i$ - to agree with the person and number of the noun i-osnok '3PL-person', which denotes a human.

| (102) | $\boldsymbol{i}$-osnok | $\boldsymbol{i}$-ofojok |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL-person | 3PL-poor |
|  | 'poor people' |  |

### 3.2.1.2 Pronominal circumfix

The reciprocal marker 'RECIP' is a circumfix, composed of a prefixal part em- and a suffixal part $-\operatorname{im}(a)$ functioning together. (The morphophonemic processes which the circumfix undergoes are discussed in §2.5.1.4.) The circumfix is a morphological means of referring to reciprocal arguments which are peripheral, as in (103), or a reciprocal object functioning as undergoer, as in (104). The reciprocal marker replaces the pronoun mogum '(to/for/with) each other' (§5.1.1), which encodes an object or peripheral argument such as goal, source, or beneficiary. The reciprocal pronoun and the reciprocal circumfix are mutually exclusive, as an object or peripheral argument may only be indicated once in a clause.
(103) Eri i-em-or-ima.
they.PL 3PL-RECIP-hold
'They handed (the things) to each other.'
(104) Dif di-ek i-osnok i-em-ogow-ima jig pasar. I 1SG-see 3PL-person 3PL-RECIP-chop LOC market 'I saw people killing each other in the market.'
[D]
Although the prefixal part of the reciprocal circumfix is homophonous with the irrealis marker em- 'IRR', it may be distinguished by morphological order, as its position in relation to the causative marker clarifies its identity. The prefixal part of the reciprocal marker occurs following the causative marker er- 'CAUS', as in (105), in contrast to the irrealis marker em- 'IRR' which occurs preceding the causative marker er-, as in (106). The reciprocal circumfix and the irrealis marker may co-occur, as in (107) and (108), with the order being: irrealis marker em- 'IRR', causative marker er- 'CAUS', followed by the prefixal part of the circumfix em-.
(105) Eri i-er-em-ec-ima jig mowos efca.
they.PL 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-press.on LOC village hub
'They gathered in the village center.' (cause to press e.o. = gather)
(106) Owot em-er-ec buwun miyes.
secretion IRR-CAUS-press.on 2SGPOS clothes
'The [tree] secretion (sap) will press on (stain) your clothes.'
(107) i-em-er-em-ogow-ima

3PL-IRR-CAUS-RECIP-chop
'they would chop each other (with s.t.).'
(108) Bua bi-em-er-em-etejen(a)-im(a) buaha rot i-erg-em
you.SG 2SG-IRR-CAUS-RECIP-compare 2SGRX about 3PL-NUM:1-CST
edak.
NEG.DEON
'You shouldn't compare yourself with others.'
The circumfix may co-occur with the durative marker en- 'DUR', as in (109), and with the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR', as in (110).
(109) Eri-orna i-en-em-ed-ima rot miy okow. they.PL-man 3PL-DUR-RECIP-strike about cloth debt 'Men were attacking each other over cloth debt.'
(110) Eri i-em-em-ef-ima.
they.PL 3PL-IRR-RECIP-shoot
'They would shoot [arrows] (at) each other.'
The prefixal part of the reciprocal marker em- has an abbreviated form: m-. This allomorph $m$ - has been observed to occur only when affixed to the second verb in a serial verb construction (§9.1.2.7.1), such as ejga 'graze' in (111).
(111) Ergog y-eke m-ejga-ima..
they.DU DU-crash RECIP-graze
'The two crashed [and] grazed each other.'

### 3.2.1.3 Mode morpheme em- 'IRR'

The notion of irrealis is expressed grammatically as a mode morpheme, the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR', to express non-reality or possibility, such as future (e.g. intended) or hypothetical events. Verbs which are not marked for irrealis carry an inherent realis modality, indicating that the events of the proposition are factual and may be interpreted as either present or past.
The irrealis marker em- 'IRR', which may occur on transitive and regular intransitive verbs, follows a pronominal prefix and precedes the causative prefix er- 'CAUS', as on the transitive verb etka 'split' in (112) and on to the intransitive verb owha 'leave' in (113), but may not co-occur with the durative (aspect) marker en-.
(112) (mif) mi-em-er-etka mergej
we.PL 1PL-IRR-CAUS-split firewood
'we will split firewood (with s.t.)'
(113) Ofa em-owha fen cumjog
s/he IRR-leave from three.days.ago
'He would have left three days ago.'
The irrealis marker expresses the hypothetical when it occurs with a temporal adverb denoting a time past, such as the temporal adverbial cumjog 'three days ago' in (113), which eliminates the interpretation that the event is future.
As all negative clauses fall into the category of irrealis, the irrealis morpheme em- 'IRR' obligatorily occurs on verbs in all negated verbal clauses, such as the verb efieyja 'ready to eat' in the declarative clause negated with éra ' NEG ' in (114), the verb osotka 'marry' in (115), a clause expressing negated possibility with néesa 'not yet' and the verb oruskej 'grasp' in the deontic clause negated with edak 'NEG.DEON' in (116).
tiná em-efieyj(a) nes-is éra.
but IRR-ready.to.eat quickly-RED NEG
'but it wasnt ready to eat quickly.'
[T23]

Dif di-em-osotka m-ej néesa.
I 1SG-IRR-marry NR-female not.yet 'I (male) am not married yet.'
[T16]
(116) I-eferiok i-em-oruskej mioha efej edak. 3PL-sm.child 3PL-IRR-grasp cinders dried NEG.DEON 'Small children shouldn't handle (cold) cinders.'

It may be noted that in the East Bird's Head languages, Sougb also requires the irrealis marker on verbs in negated clauses (Reesink 2002b:204), but Meyah, although genetically closer than Sougb, requires the durative marker on negated clauses (Gravelle 2004:102).

An abbreviated form of the irrealis marker $m$ - occurs in negative imperative clauses or prohibitives (§12.7.5) with edak 'NEG.DEON', when the subject is second person singular, as in (117-119).) The obligatory person-number subject marking on the verb is neutralized, the subject being expressed by the personal pronoun bua 'you.SG' only.
(117) Bua m-ogá edak!
you.SG IRR-speech NEG.DEON
'Don't be noisy!'
[D]
(118) Bua m-og néesa edak!
you.SG IRR-write not.yet NEG.DEON
'Don't write yet!'
(119) Bua m-or edak!
you.SG IRR-hold NEG.DEON
'Don't handle (it)!'
Those clauses negated with edak with second person dual or second person plural subjects have a pronominal prefix indicating subject and the full form of the irrealis marker em- marked on the verb, as in (120), and may be interpreted as expressing either negative imperative or mitigated negative imperative, as indicated by the two glosses.

> Yoga y-em-ecira jig maeken(a) edak.
> you.DU DU-IRR-walk LOC garden NEG.DEON
> 'You (two) should not walk to the garden.'
> 'Don't you (two) walk to the garden.'
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Clauses negated with edak which have the second person singular prefix marking subject on the verb have the full form of the irrealis marker em- 'IRR', as in (121), expressing a mitigated negative imperative.
(121) Bua bi-em-ecira tusus edak.
you.SG 2SG-IRR-walk to.and.fro NEG.DEON
'You shouldn't wander around.'

### 3.2.1.4 Durative aspect

The durative marker en- 'DUR' is quite possibly a grammaticalization of the verb en 'come', as cross-linguistic evidence suggests that verbs of movement undergo phonological reduction and semantic change to form aspect markers (C. Lehmann 1993:324, Aikhenvald 2006:30). In so doing, the grammaticalized form has taken on the aspectual meaning 'be in process'.

The durative marker en- occurs on transitive and regular intransitive verbs, such as the transitive verb or 'build' in (122) or the regular intransitive verb ok 'flee' in (123).
(122) eri i-en-or mod jig ...
they.PL 3PL-DUR-build house LOC
'they were building the house in ...'
(123) y-efer no-ma-i y-en-ok jig...

DU-child DNR-far-GIV DU-DUR-flee LOC
'the two kids were fleeing to ...'
The durative aspect marker may not occur with stative type verbs, such as adjectival verbs or quantifier verbs, since progressive aspect involves a dynamic event, one which inherently involves change (Bybee et al 1994:126, Croft 1991:82). Nor does the durative marker co-occur with the mode morpheme em- 'IRR', as durative or progressive events are expressions of factual modality and fall into realis mode. The durative marker has not been observed to co-occur with reduplicated transitive or intransitive verbs, which express iterative aspect.
Durative aspect, which relates a predicate to the time interval over which it occurs, has two possible internal structures regarding the time interval: telic, having an endpoint, and atelic, with no terminal point built into it (Comrie 1976:44). The durative aspect marker en- expresses both of these: the telic as progressive aspect and the atelic as habitual aspect.

## Habitual aspect

The durative marker en- 'DUR' expressing habitual aspect, asserts that the event regularly takes place and lasts an extended period of time. When the durative marker expresses a habitual or normative state, it is usually independent or almost independent of time
reference. The durative marker attached to the verb ot 'stand' in (124) indicates that the state 'wearing clothes' is a habitual or normative state. In (125) the durative marker attached to the verb et 'eat' indicates that the eating of snake (meat) is a normative event for men. (The eating of snake is taboo for women and children.)
(124) Kus no-kef, mif mi-en-ot jig miyes. short.span DNR-here we.PL 1PL-DUR-stand LOC clothes 'Nowadays, we wear clothes.' (stand in clothes = wear clothes)
(125) edá, eri-orna gijga erá i-en-et.
then they.PL-man just THM 3PL-DUR-eat 'then, the (adult) men only eat it.'

Progressive aspect
When the durative marker expresses progressive aspect, the action is on-going at the time of reference, whether only at the time of the speech event, or extended in time preceding and following the speech event. Attached to ot 'stand' in (126) and ogos 'die' in (127), the durative marker signals the (on-going) action of the time of reference, but in (128) attached to ah 'lie' and engit 'make', it signals a pre-existing condition which will have an extended effect.
(126) Mek or-i-es en-ot tusus jig miskoh. pig NUM:7-?-one DUR-stand to.and.fro LOC house.underside 'A pig is roaming around under the house.'
(127) Ofa esir jig merg(a) ofog erogá en-ogos. s/he fall LOC wood pointed hence DUR-die 'He fell on the pointed stick, so he is dying.'
[D]
(128) Malaria no-ma-i en-ah jig i-ofuga erá en-engit i-osum malaria DNR-far-GIV DUR-lie LOC 3PL-blood THM DUR-make 3PL-nose obrer.
sunken.in
'The malaria [which is] in their blood is making their faces sunken.'
Motion verbs, such as eyja 'go' and en 'come', which have an inherent extended aspect may also attach the durative marker when the progressive nature of the event is in focus, such as en- attached to eyja 'go' in (129).
(129) Kus $=k e f$ erá, mif mi-en-eyja jig miy mojum(a). short.span=here THM we.PL 1PL-DUR-go LOC water ocean '[As for] now, we are going to the ocean.'
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The classificatory verbs $a h$ 'lie', eker 'sit', ot 'stand' and ebah 'live' require the durative marker to indicate habitual aspect, such as en- attached to ot 'stand' in (130), or progressive aspect, such as en- attached to ot 'stand' in (131).
(130) moduah-a tin en-ot=ma-i ros.
k.o.tree-PGE also DUR-stand=far-GIV still
'The moduah tree also is still there.'
(131) Buwun miy no-ma-i en-ot sesoj.

2SGPOS water DNR-far-GIV DUR-stand last.in.order
'Your [glass of] water is the last one.'
Continuous or progressive aspect may also expressed in an aspectual SVC (§9.1.2.5) with a posture verb occurring as the minor verb.

### 3.2.1.5 Causative marker

The causative marker er- 'CAUS' is quite possibly a grammaticalized form of the transitive verb or 'hold', which has extended meanings which include 'handle', 'touch', 'use', 'manipulate' or 'steer'. ${ }^{20}$ The causative morpheme er- 'CAUS' has two functions: it derives causative verbs and it cross-references a manipulative object on the verb, a combination of functions which is not unknown cross-linguistically (Croft 1991:242). However, in the other languages of the East Bird's Head, only a single function has been observed for the grammatical marker, that of cross-referencing instrument on the verb (Reesink 2002a:28).

### 3.2.1.5.1 Morphological causative

As a productive morphological causative, the prefix er-may attach to both intransitive and transitive verbs, indicating that the agent is conceptually more directly tied to the event, that is, the agent is directly responsible for the effect of the event.

If the verb is an adjectival verb, that is stative, the prefix er-derives the inchoative meaning 'cause to become $X$ ', such as the adjectival verb efna '(be) flat' in (132) prefixed with er-derives the meanings 'become flat' or 'flatten', as in (133), the adjectival verb ahaysa '(be) hard', as in (134), takes on the meaning 'become hard' or, as in the SVC in (135), 'become strong'.
(132) Mow no-ma-i efna jog.
land DNR-far-GIV flat already
'The ground is already flat.'

[^16](133) Dif di-er-efna mow no-ma-i. I 1SG-CAUS-flat land DNR-far-GIV 'I flattened the ground.'
(134) Marefen ofom ahays(a) jog. grass root hard already
'The grass' roots are already hard (firmly set).'
[D]
(135) ofa et mar er-ahays(a) roga s/he eat thing CAUS-hard first 'she eats [so] (she) hardens (becomes strong) first'

If the causative prefix attaches to a regular intransitive verb, the resulting form becomes transitive with a meaning like 'cause to X ' or 'be made to X '. For example, the verb ot 'stand', as in (136), when prefixed with er- 'CAUS' takes on the meaning 'cause to stand with' or 'accompany', as in (137). The intransitive verb oh 'sink down', as in (138), when prefixed with the causative prefix er-, derives the meaning 'be made to sink down in' or 'mix with', as in (139). If the intransitive verb ah 'lie', as in (140), attaches the causative prefix, it derives the meaning 'cause to lie' or 'extend', as in (141).
(136) ofon efer oysur(a) no-mis-i erá en-ot jig Miy 3SGPOS child last.child DNR-former-GIV THM DUR-stand LOC water

Mas ewet
rain semi.solid
'her youngest child was standing on the bank of the Rain River'
(137) edá bi-er-ot dif jef
then 2SG-CAUS-stand I DEON
'then (you) should accompany me'
(138) Merga oh.
wood sink.down
'The tree sunk down [slowly].'
[D]
(139) Bua bi-em-esah mar er-oh-roh dadin edak.
you.SG 2SG-IRR-put thing CAUS-sink.in-RED 1SGPOS NEG.DEON
'You shouldn't put it [so] it sinks down in (mixes with) my stuff.'
(140) Ejena esiwkjig miyes ah jig mofun esebra woman allow clothes lie LOC vine continuous 'The woman leaves the clothes [so] (they) lie on the vine (clothesline) all the time'
(141) Ofa er-ah-rah mofun rot ember ${ }^{21}$
s/he CAUS-lie-RED vine about bucket
'he extends the vine (rope) for the bucket'
The causative prefix attached to a transitive verb indicates that the subject/agent $(\mathrm{Y})$ acts on the object/undergoer ( Z ), yielding the meaning ' $Y$ causes $Z$ to be $X(e d)$ '. This can be seen in the transitive verbs, egedid 'obstruct', as in (142), compared with the causative form er-egedid meaning 'cause to be obstructed' or 'pinch', as in (143), efta 'attach to', as in (144), compared with er-efta 'cause to be attached to' or in this context 'landed', as in (145), os 'move horizontally (over)', as in (146), compared with er-os 'cause to move horizontally over' or 'spread', as in (147), and enin 'measure', as in (148), compared with $e r$-enin 'cause to be measured', 'test', or 'try', as in (149). The causative prefix erattached to a transitive verb does not cause the resulting form to change class.
(142) Mesina orokec egedid midohes jug stringbag large obstruct doorway against 'The big string bag obstructed the doorway [blocking him off]'
(143) Merga eferu no-ma-i er-egedid di-etma.
wood segment DNR-far-GIV CAUS-obstruct 1SG-arm
'The boards caused my hand to be obstructed.' or
'The boards pinched my hand.'
(144) Perangko no-ma-i efta enpelop ${ }^{22}$ gijga. stamp DNR-far-GIV attach.to envelope only
'The stamps attached to the envelope.'
(145) ergog y-er-eft(a) jig mowah
they.DU DU-CAUS-attach.to LOC forest
'they (two) caused [their dugout] to attach to [land] at the forest' or
'they landed [their dugout] at the forest'
(146) Merga ofom os mow kuk modeg.
wood root move.horiz. land along yard
'The tree roots moved horizontally (crawled) over the ground along the yard.'

[^17](147) Bua bi-er-os mebgif efef jig mer. you.SG 2SG-CAUS-move.horiz. fan.palm flap LOC room '(You) cause the palm branches to move horizontally in the room.' or 'Spread out the palm branches in the room.'
(148) Ofa enin mowuj rot sokomow roga $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ measure housepost about beginning first 'First he measured the houseposts at the beginning'
(149) Ofa em-er-enin néesa.
s/he IRR-CAUS-measure not.yet
'He didn't cause it to be measured yet.' or 'He didn't test it yet.'

The causative marker er-may attach to a few inalienable nouns to form transitive verbs, such as the inalienable noun omna 'cheek', deriving the verb er-omna 'accompany', as in (150), and the inalienable noun oduy 'front', deriving the verb er-oduy 'cause to front' meaning 'lead s.o.', as in (151).
(150) Bua bi-er-omna dif.
you.SG 2SG-CAUS-cheek I
'Accompany me.'
[D]
(151) Ofa er-oduy eferiok no-ma-i gug dif.
s/he CAUS-front sm.child DNR-far-GIV goal I
'He led the small child to me.'
The causative prefix may be added to the verbs en 'do' (152) and engit 'make' (153) when they are expressing causation, increasing the responsibility of the agent for the event.
(152) Ofa erá noga er-en mes ogos.
s/he THM REL CAUS-do dog die
'he was [the one] who caused the dog [so] he died.'
(153)

| I-osnok | meren | jig | mer ewes | no-ma-i, erogá | er-engit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person | lake | LOC | room cavity | DNR-far-GIV hence | CAUS-make |

dif di-efenen.
I 1SG-hot
'Lots of people were in the room, so [the room] made me [so] I was hot.' [D]

### 3.2.1.5.2 Cross-referencing instrument

The manipulative object or instrument, an intermediate entity in the causative chain between the subject/agent and the object/undergoer, is cross-referenced on the verb by the causative prefix er- 'CAUS'. The instrument, in Moskona as well as other EBH languages (and Hatam), occurs as the object of a manipulative verb, such as or 'hold' in (154) and (155), in an instrument serial verb construction (§9.1.2.6). Thus, an instrument is not expressed as a peripheral argument introduced by a preposition and does not occur under the same simple predicate with the object acted upon.

In (154), er- attached to ojok 'hit' signals that mamga 'pestle', the object of the manipulative verb or 'hold', is the instrument. In (155), the prefix er- on the verb ed 'strike' in the first clause and ogrer 'threaten' in the second clause cross-references the instrument mitowmat 'metal spear'.
(154) (ofa) or-a mamga er-ojok memned ebir s/he hold-PGE pestle CAUS-hit cockatoo head '(he) hit the cockatoo's head with a pestle'
[T24]
(155) Ofa or mitowmat ni er-ed dif, tiná er-ogrer $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hold metal.spear for CAUS-strike I but CAUS-threaten
gijga.
only
'He held a metal spear to strike me with, but only threatened with it.'
The reference to an instrument is understood if the instrument has been made explicit in a previous clause, such as merga 'wood', object of the verb og 'bend' in the first clause in (156), is understood to be the instrument referenced on the verb ob 'break off' in the second clause.

| Bua | bi-og merga | ni $\quad$ di-er-ob | mok. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you 2SG-bend wood for | 1SG-CAUS-break.off | pandanus |  |
| 'Bend (to break off) a stick for me to pick pandanus with.' |  |  |  |

### 3.2.1.6 Verbalizer prefix

The verbalizer prefix ebe- 'LOAN' adapts loan words from Malay into the lexicon, enabling them to act as verb stems, which may then attach various inflectional prefixes, such as the pronominal prefix $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' in (157). The loan prefix does not co-occur with the causative marker, nor have forms attaching the loan prefix been observed to undergo reduplication. There is frequently a mismatch between the word categories or subcategories of borrowed words and the Moskona form. For example, the verbalizer prefix ebe- attached to the intransitive Malay verb tempel 'adhere' yields the transitive
stem ebe-temper 'paste (on)', or the verbalizer prefix ebe- attached to the Malay noun sekolah 'school' forms the verb stem ebe-skor 'teach, study, attend school'.

Dif di-ebe-skor jig mod i-ebe-skor ewes.
I 1SG-LOAN-school LOC house 3PL-LOAN-school cavity
'I study in the school house.'
[D]
Although oh 'emit' is frequently used to express the concept of 'selling', the form ebejuar, an adaptation of the Malay verb jual 'sell', has become common, as in (158).

Ofa ecira ni mot ebe-juar efeg(a) ni miyefen.
s /he walk on night LOAN-sell body for money
'She [prostitute] walks at night to sell her body for money.'
The verbalizer prefix corresponds to the form (e)bV which has been identified by Reesink (2002:16) as a verbalizer in the East Bird's Head languages of Meyah and Sougb, as well as Hatam and the nearby languages of Mpur and Abun.

### 3.2.2 Categories of verbs

Based on syntactic criteria, there are three categories of verbs distinguished in Moskona: transitive, intransitive and ambitransitive, paralleling the verb categories found in the East Bird's Head languages, Meyah (Gravelle 2004:84) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:202).

### 3.2.2.1 Transitive verbs

Although transitive verbs may be distinguished from regular intransitive verbs syntactically, in that they take two core arguments: subject and object, they are also distinguished morphologically, as transitive verbs may attach the reciprocal circumfix em- -ima 'RECIP' to signal a reciprocal object, such as the circumfix attached to the transitive verb eser 'pass' in (159) which now may only take the peripheral argument jig pasar. Transitive verbs are further distinguished from adjectival verbs, an intransitive subcategory, in that they may not modify a noun.
(159) I-osnok i-em-eser-ima jig pasar. 3PL-person 3PL-RECIP-pass LOC market 'People pass each other in the market.'

If the object of a transitive verb has been previously stated or can be easily inferred from the context, it may be omitted., such as marsa ofoga 'game meat', the object of the verb $e k$ 'impale' in (160), is expressed lexically in the first clause, but is implicit as the object of the verb ah 'lie' in the final clause.
(160)

| Dif di-ek | mars(a) | ofoga jig | merga, erogá | dif | di-oruskej |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 1SG-impale | game | flesh LOC | wood | hence | I | 1SG-grasp

merga ofoj rot ni di-ah jig merah.
wood end about for 1SG-lie LOC fire
'I skewer the game meat on the stick, so I grasp the end of the stick to roast it on the fire.'

However, if the object is unspecified, as when it is out of focus, then the word mar 'thing' is provided as a generic object, as in (161).
(161) erogá ofa oyok mar rot hence $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ swear thing about 'so she swore (something) as a result'

A small group of transitive verbs which can function as main predicates may also serve to express a prepositional relationship, as minor or secondary verbs in a complex predicate (§9.2), such as esha '(be) from' is the main predicate in (162), but expresses a prepositional relationship in (163). The transitive verbs esha '(be) from', etkebra '(be) short', éysaha 'reach', osuj '(be) upon' and okuk '(be) like' have prepositional functions.
(162) Bua bi-esha jida ekir.
you.SG 2SG-from until empty
'Take (it) until its gone.'
(163) Eri i-ot er-eyj(a) esen esha dif.
they.PL 3PL-stand CAUS-go.to far from I
'they stood rather far from me.'
In addition to taking a nominal object, some transitive verbs take a clausal object, that is, they may function as complement-taking predicates (CTPs). CTPs which express speech events, such as the transitive verb ejeka 'call out' in (164), take clausal complements introduced by the complementizer ohot 'say'. CTPs which express mental or cognitive events, such as eskijig 'agree' in (165), take complements introduced by rot 'about'. Verbs of immediate perception and manipulation, such as $e k$ 'see' in (166), take paratactic complements, which are unmarked by a complementizer, but add a separate proposition to the matrix clause.
(164) Edá, eri i-ejeka gug ofa ohot, [bi-ofjig mif] then they.PL 3PL-call.out to s/he say 2SG-help we.PL 'Then, they called to him, "help us"' (direct quote)

| yi-eskijig rot $\quad[$ eri | i-en | skod | dif | gijga $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2PL-agree about they.PL | 3PL-come to | I | only |  |
| 'you (two) agreed that they should come to me' |  |  |  |  |

(166) (ofa) ek mogos [em-ogos éra]
s/he saw snake IRR-die NEG
'he saw the snake; (it) wasn't dead'
'he saw that the snake wasn't dead'

### 3.2.2.2 Ambitransitive verbs

There are a few hybrid verbs which do not fall into a single category. These are ambitransitive verbs, which may function transitively, taking an object argument, or intransitively, typically occurring with a peripheral argument. When functioning intransitively, they have the morphological limitation that they may not be reduplicated to express iterative aspect. Ambitransitive verbs tend to be more morphologically simple, as very few of these verbs have inflectional affixes beyond the pronominal prefixes.

The posture verbs (ah 'lie', eker 'sit' and ot 'stand'), which primarily express a position of physical orientation, fall into this group. The posture verb ah 'lie' most frequently functions intransitively with a peripheral argument, as in (167), but may also function transitively with a meaning like 'lay (s.t.)', as in (168).
(167) Bua bi-ah jig mer no-ma-i. you.SG 2SG-lie LOC room DNR-far-GIV 'Lie (down) in that room.'

Ofa ah mesnom jig merah. s /he lie corn LOC fire 'He lays (roasts) the corn on the fire.'

The posture verb eker 'sit' functions most commonly as an intransitive verb, with the extended meaning 'reside', as in (169). When functioning transitively, eker takes on the meaning 'await s.t.', but retains the lexical component of physical position, as in (170).
(169) Dadin ayok erá eker jig mowos ros. 1SGPOS mother THM sit LOC village still 'My mother still resides in the village.'
(170) Ofa eker dekikir dif jig mow no-kef. s/he sit anticipatingly I LOC land DNR-here 'He awaited me expectantly at this place.'

In addition to the posture verbs, there are a few other verbs which may function intransitively or transitively. The ambitransitive verbs eti 'suffer (pain)' in (171), er
'hang' in (172), ahisen 'play' in (173), ergerna 'hail' in (174) and ow 'link' in (175) function as intransitive and transitive verbs, as illustrated in examples (a) and (b) for each verb.

Intransitive Transitive
(171) a. Ofa eti esebra. s/he suffer continuous 'He suffers all the time.'
b. Ofa eti ebir efef. s/he suffer head ache 'He suffers [in his] head [so] (his head) aches.' (has a headache)
(172) a. Mod no-ma-i er jig mow. b.Bua bi-er miyes tum baog. house DNR-far-GIV hang LOC land you.SG 2SG-hangclothes on nail 'The house hangs to the ground.'(sags) 'You hung the clothes on the nail.'
(173) a. Eri i-ahisen rot bara. they.PL 3PL-play about ball
b. Eri i-ahisen mokres.
they.PL 3PL-play guitar 'They play the guitar.'
(174) a. Osnok erg-es egerna-mena. person NUM:1-one hail-RED 'Someone hails loudly.'
b. Ofa egerna efer no-ma-i. s/he hail child DNR-far-GIV 'She hails (welcomes) the child.'
(175) a. Etma efer no-kef ow jog. b. Ofa ow mosga jig miy. arm sore DNR-here link already s/he link bridge LOC water 'The sore on his hand has healed already.' 'He linked the bridge on the river.'

In the other languages of the East Bird's Head, Meyah generally has a greater number of verbs functioning ambitransitively (Gravelle 2004:92), but for Sougb Reesink lists only three ambitransitive verbs (Reesink 2002b:202).

### 3.2.2 3 Intransitive verbs

Intransitive verbs are verbs which describe a property, state, or situation, involving only one participant. The intransitive category may be subdivided by syntactic and morphological criteria into three types: regular intransitive verbs, detransitivized verbs and adjectival verbs. The inclusion of adjectives as a subclass of intransitive verbs is a feature shared with other East Bird's Head languages (Reesink 2002a:6).

### 3.2.2.3.1 Regular intransitive verbs

Regular intransitive verbs may only function predicatively, denoting processes, positions or activities. They are distinguished from transitive verbs syntactically, in that they take only a subject argument, and distinguished morphologically in that they cannot by affixed by the reciprocal circumfix. Regular intransitive verbs use reduplication to express
iterative aspect, differentiating them from adjectival verbs which employ reduplication to indicate plurality and intensity. Typical regular intransitive verbs may be seen in antonym pairs, such as:

| ecira <br> ofof | 'walk' <br> 'run' | ahaw <br> osok | 'descend' <br> 'climb up' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| esir <br> eciga | 'fall down', | ebisa <br> efagigo | 'cry' |

Regular intransitive verbs frequently express a resulting state or effect, such as the intransitive verb ogos 'die' in (176), or the intransitive verb eyrir 'vibrate' in (177), introduced by erogá 'hence'.
(176) (ofa) ojok yefyen ayok, erogá ogos jog $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hit 2DUPOS mother hence die already 'he hit their mother, so she died'
(177) Mafif ok merga efeg(a), erogá eyrir tusus. wind bear wood body hence vibrate to.and.fro 'The wind carried (blew against) the tree trunk, so it vibrated back and forth.' [D]

Regular intransitive verbs may occur as the restricting clause in a relative clause, such as ahaw 'descend' in (178), but do not function attributively in a noun phrase.
(178) Bua bi-orot moroj noga ahaw jig pasar.
you.SG 2SG-go.with path REL descend LOC market
'Follow the path which descends to the market.'

### 3.2.2.3.2 Detransitivized verbs

Some verbs which function as intransitive forms appear to be clearly derived from extant transitive verbs by the attachment of the spatial enclitic -ef 'near', with the visibility prefixes $i$ - / $u$ - occurring optionally. As a type of semantic passive, the agent is not expressed, only the undergoer is present. (cf. Foley and Van Valin 1985:322) The subject of a detransitivized verb is often the object of the transitive verb, thus subjects of detransitivized verbs are patients. In a clause like Eri ukuk srad-efi, 'They rolled up the document' in (179), the object of the transitive verb okuk 'roll up' is the subject of the detransitivized form okukif in (180).
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(179) Eri i-okuk srad-efi. [ókuk]
they.PL 3PL-roll.up document-leaf
'They rolled up the document.'
[D]
(180) Srad-efi erá okuk=i-ef. [ókukíф]
document-leaf THM roll.up=VIS-near
'The document rolled up.'

The final /a/ of verb roots, such as eyorga 'lower' in (181) and oksa 'turn over' in (183), undergoes syncope before the clitic $-e f$ is attached, as in (182) and (184).
(181) Dif di-eyorga mesina. [eórga]

I 1SG-lower stringbag
'I lowered the stringbag.' [D]
(182) Dif di-eyorg(a)=i-ef jig kursi. [eorgí申]

I 1SG-lower=VIS-near LOC chair
'I lowered into the chair.' [D]
(183) ofa oksa meni noga oyna jig merah [óksa]
s/he turn.over banana REL cook LOC fire
'she turned over the bananas which (she) cooked on the fire' [D]
(184) edá (ergog) y-oks(a)-ef somus [oksé $\phi]$
then they.DU DU-turn.over back
'then they turned back around'

Detransitivized verbs may attach a reduplicant before attaching the spatial clitic, such as the verb oynginga 'fold repeatedly' in (185).
(185) Ofa ah rot miyes, erogá miyes no-ma-i oyng-ing=i-ef. s/he lie about clothes hence clothes DNR-far-GIV fold-RED-VIS-near 'He laid down in his clothes, so the clothes folded repeatedly (wrinkled).' [D]

The list in Table 3.4 gives a few additional transitive verbs and the intransitives derived from them.

Table 3.4 Derived intransitive verbs

|  | Transitive | Intransitive |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ahada | 'release s.t.' | ahad $(a)=e f$ | 'loosened' |
| ejij | 'twist s.t.' | ejij=i-ef | 'spiral' |
| esha | '(away) from' | esh $(a)=e f$ | 'get away'(escape) |
| esis | 'exclude' | esis $=e f$ | '(be) lost' |
| oduy | 'front/face s.t.' | oduy- $=i=$-ef | 'curl up' |
| og | 'bend s.t.', | og=i-ef | 'bend' |
| ohma | 'tear loose', | ohm $(a)=e f$ | 'tore loose' |
| oksa | 'over turn s.t.' | oks $(a)=e f$ | 'turn over' |
| osorsa | 'melt s.t.' | osors $(a)=e f$ | 'liquify' |

### 3.2.2.3.3 Adjectival verbs

Adjectival verbs, as a subcategory of intransitive verbs, have a root-initial non-high vowel: $e, o$, or $a$. They are distinguished from regular intransitive verbs, in that, although they may function predicatively, they are most commonly used as modifiers of a head noun in a noun phrase.

When functioning predicatively, all adjectival verbs are obligatorily inflected with a pronominal prefix indexing subject, such as the prefix di- ' 1 SG ' attached to the descriptive adjectival verb ofojok '(be) poor' in (186), or the prefix $y$ - 'DU' attached to the descriptive adjectival verb orokec '(be) large' in (187).

> Dif di-ofojok nom.
> I 1SG-poor VER
> 'I'm truly poor.'
y-efer no-ma-i y-orokec jog
DU-child DNR-far-GIV DU-large already
'The (two) children were already large (grown).'
Adjectival verbs with non-human subjects are always inflected with third person singular, a null morpheme, such as the resultative adjectival verb efej '(be) dried' with the subject merga owoka 'outgrowth' or 'sticks' in (188) or the descriptive adjectival verb etu '(be a) short interval' with the inalienable noun osum 'nose' as subject in (189). Only rarely is an adjectival verb with an inalienable noun as subject inflected to agree in person and number with the possessor, as is obrer 'sunken in' in (190).
(188) merga owok(a) efej
wood outgrowth dried
'the stick(s) are dried out.'
(189) Bi-osum etu edegejg(a) mef osum.

2SG-nose short.interval match.up bat nose
'Your nose is stubby [and] (it) matches a bat's nose.'
Eri-orna ekena i-efeg(a) i-obrer.
they.PL-man red $\quad$ 3PL-body 3PL-sunken.in
'The old men's bodies are sunken (shrivelled).' (red man = elderly)

When adjectival verbs are negated, they are obligatorily inflected with the irrealis marker em- 'IRR', such as the descriptive adjectival verb otka 'tasty' in (191).
(191) marsa ofoga no-kef em-otka néesa eke.
game meat DNR-here IRR-tasty not.yet almost
'this game meat is not quite tasty yet.'
Because adjectival verbs express states, not processes, they may not be inflected with the durative aspect marker en- 'DUR', as durative aspect reflects a dynamic event. Some adjectival verbs may be inflected with the causative prefix er- 'CAUS' to derive an inchoative meaning (§3.2.1.5.1).

When used attributively in a noun phrase, adjectival verbs are inflected to agree with the person and number of the noun which they modify, such as the adjectival verb osmoms '(be) strange' in (192), is prefixed with $i$ - '3PL', agreeing with eri 'they.PL', a pronoun referring to humans. Adjectival verbs modifying nouns which refer to nonhuman entities are inflected with third person singular, a null morpheme, such as the adjectival verb aksa 'tall', modifying marefen 'grass' in (193).
(192) eri i-osmo(m)s noga i-ebah ji(g)=kef
they.PL 3PL-strange REL 3PL-live LOC=here
'the strange ones (foreigners) who live here'
[D]
(193) Bua bi-or bi-egak bi-er-efna marefen aksa fen moroj.
you.SG 2SG-hold 2SG-leg 2SG-CAUS-flat grass tall from path
'Flatten the tall grass with your foot away from the path.'
[D]

### 3.2.2.3.3.1 Intensification of adjectival verbs

Intensification of adjectival verbs is primarily accomplished morphologically by the use of two different reduplicative templates: the $\mathrm{VC}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{VCV}$ template for intensification of quality and the VCVCV template for intensification of quantity. When an adjectival verb functions predicatively, the reduplicant construction $-\mathrm{VC}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{VC}(\mathrm{V})$ may be attached to indicate an intensification of quality. In color adjectival verbs, such as ekena 'red' in (194) or ofraha 'green' in (195), the reduplicant signals intensification of brightness. On descriptive adjectival verbs and resultative adjectival verbs, such as the descriptive
adjectival verbs eskeyra 'clear' in (196) and otka 'tasty' in (197), or the resultative adjectival verb okorek '(be) sunken' in (198), the reduplicant signals an increase in the degree.
(194) $\operatorname{Mok}(t a)$ no-ma-i erá, owos eken-amena.
pandanus DNR-far-GIV THM skin red-RED
'The pandanus, its skin is bright red.'
(195) Mewet no-ma-i owos ofrah-amah(a) ros.
squash DNR-far-GIV skin green-RED still
'The squashes' skin is still bright green.'
[D]
(196) Miy no-ma-i erá, efen(a) eskeyr-amera gijga water DNR-far-GIV THM spirit clear-RED only 'The water, its depths are just very clear.'
(197) Ofa or fercin er-eska marsa ofoga ni otk-omok.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hold spices CAUS-sprinkle game flesh for tasty-RED
'She sprinkles the game meat with spices so it is tasty.'
(198) Bar ah rahu, erogá okorek-mek.
ball lie long.time hence sunken-RED
'The ball sat a long time, so it is very deflated.'
[D]
Adjectival verbs utilize reduplication to signal intensification of quantity using the -VCVCV template. That is, the reduplicant adds the meaning 'many' (for countable things) or 'much' (for measurable things) to the adjectival verb, such as the reduplicated descriptive adjectival verb okukum 'many heavy (items)' in (199), or the reduplicated color adjectival verb ekekena 'much red (material)' in (200). An adjectival verb may be intensified for quantity whether it modifies a head noun in a noun phrase or whether it functions predicatively. The reduplicated resultative adjectival verbs ofojoj 'many blunted (items)' in (201) and efejej 'many dried (items)' in (202) modify a head noun. The reduplicated descriptive adjectival verb oskoska '(be) many small (items)' in (203) and the color adjectival verb erirka 'yellow' in (204) function predicatively.

> ofa ok mar oku-kum
> s/he bear thing heavy-RED
> 'she carried many of heavy things'
(200) I-eferiok i-ahisen rot bara jig mow eke-ken(a).

3PL-sm.child 3PL-play about ball LOC land red-RED
'The small children play ball in the clearing.'
(much red land = large area cleared of vegetation)
(201) Ofa ecira ah eyrir kuk mogom ofoj-oj
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ walk lie vibrate along stone blunted-RED
'he walks [and] skirts along the boulders' (blunted rock = boulder)
(202) m-efsa efej-ej

NR-white dried-RED
'salt granules' (dried white thing $=$ salt $)$
[D]
(203) Bua bi-ocunga mergej owok osk-osk(a)
you.SG 2SG-snap.in.pieces firewood outgrowth small-RED
'Snap the firewood sticks [so] they are many small (pieces).'
(204) Modenk(a) no-ma-i ofon owos noga erirk-irk(a). citrus DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS produce REL yellow-RED 'The citrus (tree) has many fruit which are yellow.'

### 3.2.2.3.3.2 Color adjectival verbs

The color system of Moskona distinguishes six colors, placing it in Stage V of the color terms continuum introduced by Berlin and Kay (1969), who suggest that there are eleven basic color terms, organized hierarchically as:

```
white < red < green < blue < brown < purple/pink
black yellow orange/grey
```

Any stage of the color terms which has a color anywhere on the hierarchy also has all color terms (and distinctions) which occur to the left of it. Berlin and Kay suggest that these eleven color terms act as focal points for all basic color words and arose in the sequence indicated by the hierarchy. The colors distinguished in Moskona are:

```
ahta 'black' (ranging from black to extremely dark colors)
efsa \({ }^{23}\) 'white' (ranging from white to pale yellow and green)
ekena 'red' (ranging from red to brown)
erik 'yellow' (ranging from yellow to orange)
ofraha 'green'
enira 'blue'
```

The color adjectival verbs are distinguished from descriptive adjectival verbs in that they do not occur in a degree verb serialization. However, color adjectival verbs may be modified by the phrase ofoms (a) ahta 'black core', denoting a darker shade of the color, as is the color adjectival enira 'blue' in (205). (The color efsa 'white' is an exception, as it is intensified by the unique suffix -ned $\sim-n a d$ 'very'.)

[^18]| Bua buwun | miyes | no-ma-i | erá | enir(a) | ofoms(a) | ahta. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SGPOS | clothes | DNR-far-GIV | THM | blue | core | black

Color adjectival verbs may be used predicatively, such as enira '(be) blue' in (205), or ofraha 'green' and ekena 'red' in (206) and may also as the modifier of a head noun in a noun phrase, such as erirka 'yellow' modifying egak 'leg' in (207).
Mohus erá, ofon efej ofrah(a) dokun ekena.
lg.parrot THM 3SGPOS hair green and red
'The large parrot, his feathers are green and red.'
Maw eyj egak erirk-irk(a)
sun toss leg yellow-RED
'The sun throws off lots yellow rays'
As predicates, color adjectival verbs are not marked for causative; rather a verbal construction composed of the verb ec 'become' plus a color verb is used to indicate a change in state, as in (208).
mar ec ekena noga okuk ofuga.
thing become red REL like blood
'the thing became red which was like blood'

### 3.2.2.3.3.3 Descriptive adjectival verbs

Descriptive adjectival verbs denote a value (good, bad, etc.), dimension (big, thick, etc.) or property (soft, heavy, etc.) and many can be illustrated in antonym pairs:

| oyfa | 'good' | okucka | 'narrow' | orokec | 'big' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oskur | 'bad' | otoh | 'wide' | oska | 'small' |
| ofusi | 'light-weight' | aharga | 'dry' | ofres | 'simple' |
| okum | 'heavy' | etefa | 'wet' | ahaysa | 'hard' |
| ofom | 'hot' | efenga | 'thin' | aksa | 'tall' |
| ogugar | 'cold' | ofusum | 'thick' | etkebra | 'short' |

Descriptive adjectival verbs are distinguished syntactically from other adjectival verbs, in that they can occur as the major verb in a degree verb serialization (§9.1.2.7.3), a type of ambient SVC, in which they are intensified by a generic intensification verb, eseter 'much more' or etew 'much'. For example, the descriptive adjectival verb aksa '(be) tall' is intensified by eseter in (209), or otuy 'tightly packed' is intensified by etew '(be) much' in (210).
(209) Ofon owock(a) aksa eseter
s/he sprout tall much
'It's sprouts (branches) were very tall.'
(210) Medeg efca otuy etew. bamboo hub tight.packed much
'The stand of bamboo is really dense.'
Descriptive adjectival verbs when functioning as predicates are obligatorily inflected to indicate subject, such as oyfa '(be) good' is inflected with di- ' 1 SG ' in (211), or okum '(be) heavy' in (212) is inflected with third person singular, reflecting its nonhuman subject.
(211) tiná kus no-kef erá di-oyf-omof.
but short.span DNR-here THM 1SG-good-RED 'but now (I) am good (healthy).'
(212) Karmom no-ma-i okum eseter. thick.metal DNR-far-GIV heavy much.more 'The metal was very heavy.'
[D]
Descriptive adjectival verbs may modify a head noun, such as the adjectival verb ofufom 'hot' modifies miy 'water' in (213), or otoh 'wide' modifies mudua 'mat' in (214).
(213) Miy ofufom no-ma-i efet di-etma. water hot DNR-far-GIV scorch 1SG-hand 'The hot water burned my hand.'
(214) Bua bi-er-os mudua otoh.
you.SG 2SG-CAUS-move.horiz. mat wide
'Spread out the wide mat.'

### 3.2.2.3.3.4 Resultative adjectival verbs

Resultative adjectives denote states which are the result of a process, such as:

| obrer | '(be) sunken in' | efej | '(be) dried (out)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ofoj | '(be) blunted' | ebka | '(be) cracked (apart)' |
| ofor | '(be) dehydrated' | efieyja | '(be) ready to eat' |
| oforsa | '(be) charred' | efrir | '(be) broken in pieces' |
| oysa | '(be) finished' | egiger | '(be) cooled down' |

Resultative adjectival verbs may be used predicatively in a clause, such as obrer '(be) sunken' in (215), and when negated, are obligatorily inflected with the irrealis marker em-, as is efieyja 'ready to eat' in (216).
(215) Efer no-ma-i obrer-ah. child DNR-far-GIV sunken.in-INTS
'The child is quite sunken in.' (i.e. his body is shrunken)

| tiná | em-efieyja | nes-is éra. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| but IRR-ready.to.eat | quickly-RED | NEG |
| 'but (it) wasn't ready to eat very quickly' |  |  |

Resultative adjectival verbs may function attributively in a noun phrase, such as ekenga 'restricted', modifying mar 'thing' in (217), or ofor 'dehydrated', modifying gura 'sugar' in (218).
(217) Bua m-et mar ekenga edak.
you.SG IRR-eat thing restricted NEG.DEON
'Don't eat the prohibited thing.'
(218) Eferiok omna gur(a) ofor.
sm.child lick sugar dehydrated
'The child licked the granulated sugar.'
[D]

### 3.2.2.3.3.5 Adjectival verb intensifier -ah(a)

The suffix $-a h(a)$ 'INTS', which signals greater degree, is an intensifier occurring on adjectival verbs only, such as the descriptive adjectival verb efena 'new' in (219).
(219) Dif di-ec miyes i-egak efen(a)-ah no-kef jig pasar. I 1SG-buy clothes 3PL-leg new-INTS DNR-here LOC market 'I bought these quite new pants in the market.'

The adjectival intensifier $-a h(a)$ has been observed to occur on adjectival verbs, such as:

| efi-ah | 'quite sharp' |
| :--- | :--- |
| efieyj-ah | 'quite ready-to-eat' |
| obrer-ah | 'quite sunken in' |
| ofog-ah | 'quite pointed' |
| ohur-ah | 'quite bare' |
| okurg(a)-ah | 'quite coarse (texture)' |
| oskur-ah | 'quite bad' |

The suffix $-(w) i$ 'DIM' indicates a lesser degree or intensity of the quality. In my data, it occurs on only these descriptive adjectival verbs:

| efeyu-wi | 'slightly immature' |
| :--- | :--- |
| ahta-wi | 'a little black' (soiled) |
| ahtuk-wi | 'a bit dirty' |

### 3.2.2.3.3.6 Adjectival qualifier susuy

There is one qualifier word susuy 'other kind', which has a consonant-initial root, distinguishing it from adjectival verbs. It is morphologically simple and takes no affixation. The qualifier susuy 'other kind' is reduplicated in its base form and only functions as a modifier, filling the same position in a noun phrase as adjectival verbs, such as modifying the personal pronoun eri 'they.PL' in (220), or the noun isnok 'people' in (221).
(220) Ofa eyj miyefen noga orokec gug eri susuy. $\mathrm{s} /$ he toss money REL big to they.PL other.kind
'He loaned a lot of money to those other kinds (of people)' (i.e. outsiders) [D]

| Ofa | or-a | ariawun | er-emk(a) | i-osnok | susuy ${ }^{24}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | hold-PGE | medicinal.treatmt | CAUS-poison | 3PL-person | other.kind |
| 'He poisoned other kinds of people | [not relatives] with a drug.' | [T15] |  |  |  |

### 3.2.2.3.3.7 Indefinite quantifier verbs

Indefinite quantifiers are adjectival concepts which vaguely describe totalities, focusing on the aggregate rather than the individual (Croft 1991:134). In Moskona indefinite quantifiers are almost entirely verbal. As a subcategory of adjectival verbs, indefinite quantifier verbs function both predicatively and attributively in noun phrases. (Definite quantities are expressed through a numeral, discussed in §6.3.4.1.) Indefinite quantifier verbs have nearly all the same properties as all adjectival verbs, that is, they have a rootinitial non-high vowel ( $e, a$, or $o$ ), attach inflectional prefixes for subject and mode, do not take an aspectual prefix, but differ in that they only rarely undergo reduplication. Most of the indefinite quantifier verbs are morphologically simple, and many have a reduplicated form as their root. Many of these quantifiers, which describe the extent of an item or event, are synonyms or near synonyms of each other, as in the following list:

| ognunиi | 'many'(same kind) | eseter | '(be) much more (than)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| edes | 'many'(different kind) | ahabnina | 'few' / 'little bit' |
| etew | 'much' | eyneyna | 'lots' / 'many' |
| orokec | 'large' / 'lots' | oskaytok $^{25}$ | 'small (bit)' |

[^19]Indefinite quantifier verbs, such as eseter 'much more' in (222), may function as the main predicate in a clause, or as a minor verb in a SVC (§9.1.2.7.3), such as eseter modifying ognипиi 'many' in (223). When negated, they are obligatorily affixed with the irrealis marker em-, as is edeses in (224).
(222) Mek no-ma-i, ofon ewes eseter.
pig DNR-far-GIV 1 SGPOS fat much.more
'The pig, his fat is a lot' (i.e. has a lot of fat)

| Mar no-ma-i romreg ognunui eseter. | es |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing DNR-far-GIV all many | much.more |
| 'All those things were very many.' |  |

(224) I-osnok u-ofojok erá, erin marotot em-edes-es éra.

3PL-person 3PL-poor THM 3PLPOS goods IRR-many-RED NEG
'[As for] poor people, their goods aren't many.'
The indefinite quantifier etew 'much' has been observed to take the causative prefix when functioning as the minor verb in an ambient SVC, as in (225). No other indefinite quantifier verbs have been observed to attach the causative prefix.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Dif di-eteyj(a) omg-omga er-etew jig }  \tag{225}\\
& \text { I 1SG-eye blurred-RED CAUS-much } \\
& \text { I LOC }  \tag{T23}\\
& \text { 'My eyes are already } \\
& \text { 'My blurred, (it) is a lot.' }
\end{align*}
$$

When functioning attributively in a noun phrase, an indefinite quantifier verb occurs preceding a demonstrative, such as edeses 'many' precedes the demonstrative pronoun nomi 'that' in (226).
(226) Mar edeses no-ma-i, ofa orusoht(a) jig ofaha oduy ewes-a thing many DNR-far-GIV s/he think LOC 3SGRX front cavity-RED 'Those many things, he thought in his own heart' [T27]

Like other adjectival verbs, when an indefinite quantifier verb modifies a noun referring to a human, it requires a pronominal prefix agreeing with the person and number of the noun modified, such as ognипиi in (227) is prefixed with $i$ - ' 3 PL'. Indefinite quantifier verbs modifying nouns which refer to non-humans are prefixed with the third person singular marker, a null morpheme, such as ognunui 'many' modifying motur 'star' in (228) and oskaytok 'small' modifying mar 'thing' in (229).

[^20](227) i-osnok i-ognunui dokun i-oyf-omof tin i-en-ebah... 3PL-person 3PL-many and 3PL-good-RED also 3PL-DUR-live 'many good people also were living....'
(228) Dif di-ek motur ognunui ot jig meybaga I 1SG-see star many stand LOC sky 'I see many stars in the sky'
(229) Mar oskaytok-ok no-mis-i noga eyet jig egak... thing small-RED DNR-former-GIV REL adhere LOC leg 'The little bits which adhered to his leg...'

There is an indefinite quantifier which has a root-initial non-high vowel like the verbs, but takes no prefixes. The indefinite quantifier owos 'bundled' or 'collection' expresses the characteristic of a physical grouping, collection, or bundle of things, which may or may not be heterogeneous. The quantifier owos modifies nouns, such as membef 'spinach' in (230), and in a manner SVC as a minor verb, it modifies the entire preceding clause, as in (231) and (232).

| Dif di-oduy | os-os | rot | membef | owos |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| I | 1SG-front | move.horiz.-RED | about | spinach | bundled

$\operatorname{nog}(a)-i-d a-i \quad$ gijga.
REL-VIS-up-GIV only
'I only want the bundle of spinach which is up here.'
(front moves horizontally = want)
(231) Orna ciyja eri i-en mar owos
man five they.PL 3PL-do thing bundled
'The five men, they do things, (it) is in groups'
'The five men, they do things (work) as a group.'
(232)

| edá (eri) romreg | i-ebah-a | owos |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then they.PL all | 3PL-live-PGE | bundled |
| 'then (they) all live, (it) is in groups' |  |  |
| 'then they all live as a group' |  |  |

The indefinite quantifier enia 'some other' only modifies nouns, such as isnok 'people' in (233), and may not function predicatively. When modifying a noun referring to a human, it is not inflected to agree with the person and number of the noun. This lack of inflection contrasts it with the Meyah cognate eneya 'some', an indefinite quantifier verb which takes pronominal prefixes, agreeing with the person and number of the nominal referent (Gravelle 2004:87).

Efer no-ma-i, ofa ahr-ahra rot i-osnok enia. child DNR-far-GIV s/he associate-RED about 3PL-person some.other 'The child, he is friendly with some other people.'

### 3.2.3 Functions of the reduplicative process in verbs

The reduplicative process interacts with each verb category on the basis of its inherent aspectual status (Aktionsart) to modify its meaning. Those roots which are reduplicated are primarily verbs. On regular intransitive and transitive verbs, the function is aspectual, that is, it is iterative for intransitive verbs and continuous for transitive verbs. In adjectival verbs, reduplication serves to intensify the degree of the quality or quantity.

### 3.2.3.1 Iterative aspect

Iterative aspect involves a punctual event repeated on a single occasion (Comrie 1976:42), distinguishing it from habitual aspect in which an action is repeated on several different occasions. Habitual aspect (§3.2.1.4) is expressed in Moskona only through the prefix en- 'DUR', which never occurs on an intransitive verb which has been reduplicated. Reduplication expresses iterative action for regular intransitive verbs. Those regular intransitive verbs, such as ohas 'hop' in (234), which express punctual aspect through events involving a single cycle, are not durative or on-going in any way (i.e. have a clear end point), thus when a single cycle intransitive verb attaches a reduplicant, it expresses iterative aspect. The reduplicated intransitive verb etu 'short interval' in (235) indicates that there are multiple cycles of the action (dripping) in a single event, or the reduplication of ewer in (236) exemplifies a punctual event repeated on a single occasion.
(234) Moshu-a no-ma-i ohas-has tusus jig miteyj-eyja. frog-PGE DNR-far-GIV hop-RED to.and.fro LOC swamp-RED 'The frogs hop to and fro repeatedly in the swampy areas.'
(235) Dif di-eg miy okow etu-etu jig mod ejmeg. I 1SG-hear water foot short.interval-RED LOC house spine 'I heard water dripping behind the house.' (water's foot = drop of water) [D]

Eferiok no-ma-i, ofon eytoh ewer-wer. small.child DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS tears cross-RED 'The small child, his tears crossed (fell) repeatedly.'

A detransitivized verb, such as oyngif 'fold' in (237), may also express iterativity when reduplicated. Oyngingif has the meaning 'fold many times' or '(be) wrinkled'.

Ofa ah rot miyes, erogá miyes no-ma-i oyng-ing=i-ef. s /he lie about clothes hence clothes DNR-far-GIV fold-RED-VIS-near 'He laid down (slept) in his clothes, so the clothes folded many times

Iterative aspect for a transitive verb is expressed primarily through adverbs (§4.2.1.1), adverbial phrases (§4.1.3.2) or serial verb constructions (§9.1.2.7.2). There are a few examples in Moskona of reduplicated transitive verbs, such as the transitive verb er 'stir' in (238). When reduplicated with miy 'water' as its object, it refers to swimming.
(238) Ofa or merga er-et ohka ni er-er miy. $\mathrm{s} /$ he hold wood CAUS-support chin for stir-RED water 'He supported his chin with the wood to swim.'

Reduplication of a transitive verb root occurs before inflectional affixes are added. The reciprocal circumfix attaches around the entire root complex. The verb eregejga 'wrap around' in (239) is reduplicated to indicate iterative action, the reciprocal morpheme em--ima attaching on either side of the suffixed form.
(239) Ofonga em-eregejg-ejg-im(a).
tendril RECIP-wrap.around-RED
'The tendrils wrapped around and around each other.'

### 3.2.3.2 Continuous aspect

Those verbs which have an unchanging activity throughout the cycle express continuous aspect through reduplication, in contrast to multiple cycles of a single event. Verbs expressing unchanging situations, like ah 'lie' in (240), oyka 'dance' in (241) or ahda 'itch' in (242), in which all phases of the cycle are the same, the status being unchanged throughout, are reckoned as closer to continuative when reduplicated.
Dif di-ek miy mojma odog ah-ah.
I 1SG-see water ocean belly lie-RED
'I saw the surface of the ocean was lying (flat).'
(241) Eri i-oyk-oyka eyja er-os mon(a) esif.
they.PL 3PL-dance-RED go.to CAUS-move.horiz. day open
'They danced continuously until dawn.'
[D]
(242) Daud egak efer-a oskaytok ec efer noga ahd-ahda.

David leg sore-PGEsmall become sore REL itch-RED
'David's small sore on his leg became an constant irritation.'
The reduplicated transitive verbs ohosha 'shake' or 'sway' (243), om 'enclose' (244) and omka 'sleep deeply' in (245), express continuous aspect rather than iterative. Reduplicated regular intransitive verbs may attach the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR', as does omkomka in (245).
(243) Ofa ecira ohosh-osha efega.
s /he walk shake-RED body
'She walks [and] continuously sways her body.'

(245) Ofa em-omk-omka rahasis.
s/he IRR-sleep.deeply-RED entire.day
'He would sleep continuously all day.'

### 3.2.3.3 Intensification of degree and quantity

The primary strategy Moskona employs to intensify adjectival verbs is through a reduplicative suffix (§3.2.2.3.3.1), either the reduplicative template -VCVCV to signal intensification of the quantity or the reduplicant construction $-\mathrm{VC}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{VC}(\mathrm{V})$ to signal intensification of quality.

A few inalienable nouns, like efi 'liquid' and ofuga 'blood', may be reduplicated to derive adjectival verbs, which have been observed to function only attributively in noun phrases, such as ofgofga 'bloody' modifying marsa 'game (meat)' in (246).

| efi | 'liquid' $>$ | efi- $f i$ | 'liquified' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ofuga | 'blood' $>$ | ofg-ofga | 'bloody' |

mars(a) ofg-ofga [óфgóфga]
game blood-RED
'bloody game (meat)'

### 3.2.4 Verb compounds

Moskona has only a few verb compounds. Compounds are defined as consisting of (at least) two separate elements from open lexical classes which function syntactically as a single lexical unit. Compounds are distinguished from phrases, in that their meaning may be semantically non-compositional, that is, other than the sum of their parts. They may form a single phonological word, having only one contrastive accent per syntactic unit. They are unitary forms, as it is not possible to insert parenthetical material or a pause between their components, nor is it possible for a component to be modified individually. The first component of a verb compound is always a verb; the second component may be either a noun or a verb.

### 3.2.4.1 Verb-noun compounds

Although the constituent order of verb-noun compounds is the same as that found in transitive clauses, the first component typically is an intransitive verb and the second a noun. This syntactic violation gives evidence to the nature of the compound as a unitary construction. Most of these compounds have been formed from a verb-plus-peripheral argument sequence which has elided the preposition. The list of verb-noun compounds below is fairly comprehensive.
(247) ah-miy
[áxmij]
lie-water
'bathe'
(248) oj-miy
[ódz3mij]
descend.in-water
'drown'
ah-mersa [áxmersa]
lie-floor
'sleep on the floor'
(250) oyka-mersa [óykemersa]
dance-floor
'dance indoors'
(251) er-of-mow
[oróфmow]
CAUS-slap-land
'dance outdoors'
ah-m-es
[áxmes]
lie-NR-male
'marry' (female term)
Verb-noun verbal compounds act as intransitive verbs and may attach the prefixes which occur on intransitive verbs, such as em- attached to oyka-mersa in (253). When negated, a verb compound is obligatorily inflected with the mode morpheme em- 'IRR', as is ah-miy in (254) and ah-mes in (255).
(253) Eri-ej-a, eri-es-a i-or i-etma, i-em-oyka-mers(a) they-female-PGE they-male-PGE 3PL-hold 3PL-arm 3PL-IRR-dance.floor
esebra.
continuous
'Women, men holding arms, would dance inside continuously.'
(254) Ofa owos esis [rot] eri noga i-em-ah-miy éra. s/he skin hurt about they.PL REL 3PL-IRR-bathe NEG 'He is annoyed at those who don't bathe.' (skin hurt = annoyed)

Ej-efer no-ma-i, ofa em-ah-m-es néesa. female-child DNR-far-GIV s/he IRR-lie-NR-male not.yet 'The young woman, she isn't married yet.'

### 3.2.4.2 Verb-verb compounds

Verb-verb compounds, which originally were constituents of serial verb constructions, are restricted to commonly associated actions which express culturally-recognized events with idiosyncratic meanings, such as om-ecicka (enclose-drop) in (256), meaning 'place/hang a pot', esah-owos (put-bundle) meaning 'prepare s.t.' in (257), or ot-ohobta (stand-stare) 'observe' in (258). These verb-verb compounds are mid-way on the continuum between serial constructions and fused verbs. They are more tightly knit than serial verb constructions in Moskona, as they are single phonological words, such as osoynga [osúnga] 'sever by folding', composed of os 'sever' and oynga 'fold'. All SVCs are composed of phonologically independent verbs.
(256) Eri i-om-ecick(a) mitos osoror jig merah.
they.PL 3PL-enclose-drop pot suspended LOC fire
'They hung the pot [so] it was suspended over the fire.' (enclose-drop = hang)
(257) eri i-esah-owos rot
they.PL 3PL-put-bundle about
'they prepared for (it)' (place group $=$ prepare $)$
(258) Eri i-osra ruruy ni i-ot-ohobta ${ }^{26}$ eri noga ...
they.PL 3PL-enter unrestrict. for 3PL-stand-stare they.PL REL
'They freely entered to observe those who ....' (stand stare = observe) [D]

[^21]
## Chapter 4 Minor word classes

### 4.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the minor word classes, which are distinguished primarily on syntactic criteria. They are closed and fairly small, though new members may be added occasionally. The largest of these classes is the adverb class (§4.1), the discussion of which also includes the adverbials. The next class presented is the numeral class ( $\S 4.2$ ) and includes a discussion of the numeral and sortal noun classifiers and non-enumerative usages for numerals. Prepositions are presented in $\S 4.3$. The classes of conjunctions (§4.4) and question words (§4.4), which are quite small, are really groupings of words which function similarly.

### 4.1 Adverbs and adverbials

Adverbs are a distinct lexical class based on syntactic and morphological criteria. They can modify predicates, clauses or whole sentences, but cannot be the head of a noun phrase, are not inflected for agreement and may be negated only when functioning as a non-verbal predicate. The clausal position of adverbs is fairly inflexible with the notable exception of focus adverbs.

Adverbs are monomorphemic and may be distinguished from the noun and verb classes on the basis of their root-initial segment, a segment which is a consonant which is not $/ \mathrm{m} /$. This consonant-initial characteristic of adverbs instantiates a morphophonological feature of EBH languages in which a root-initial segment serves as an identifying feature of the word class. Reesink lists the adverbs of Sougb (2002b:234) as consonant-initial with only two exceptions. Meyah adverbs, with the exception of a few temporal adverbs, are consonant-initial also (Gravelle 2004:154).

Adverbials, in contrast to adverbs, are polymorphemic. They include the temporal and ordinal adverbials. The temporal adverbials are composed of temporal nouns and temporal noun compounds. The ordinal adverbials are forms derived from numerals. Adverbials are included in this discussion because they occur in some of the same positions as adverbs and have functions similar to temporal adverbs.

### 4.1.1 Adverb formation

There is strong evidence in Moskona that adverbs have been grammaticalized from verbs. The grammaticalization process occurs as the second verb in a serial verb construction undergoes a change in its word class through loss of the root-initial non-high vowel. (Root-initial non-high vowels are a major morphophonological characteristic of verbs (cf. $\S 3.2$ ). The resulting (adverb) form has a consonant-initial root which is not $/ \mathrm{m} /$, a morphological characteristic of the minor word classes. In the serial verb construction in (1), the second verb okog 'precede' has become the adverb kog 'ahead' in (2).

```
Dif di-ecira di-okog.
I 1SG-walk 1SG-precede
'I walked [and] preceded (them).'
```

(2) Dif di-ecira kog.

I 1SG-walk ahead 'I walked ahead.'

A number of adverb forms and corresponding verb forms exist concurrently. The following list illustrates a few of these.

| Verb |  | Adverb |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| okog | 'precede' | kog | 'ahead', |
| okoska | 'be diligent' | koska | 'well' |
| odokun | 'accompany' | dokun | 'additional(ly)' |
| oturur | 'to connect' | turur | 'connected(ly)' |
| orocinin | 'go to limit' | rocinin | 'limited' |
| orohroh | 'caused to sink (into)' | rohroh 'mixed in' |  |

The adverbs and adverbials will be discussed with regards to their syntactic position: first verb-phrase adverbs, followed by clause-final adverbs. Then, temporal adverbials will be introduced, followed by verbal adjuncts.

### 4.1.2 Adverb categories

Adverbs may be categorized by the scope of their effect. Those adverbs whose scope is the verb alone occur post-verbally, that is, inside the clause core or verb phrase (cf. Binnick 1991:303); those whose scope is the entire clause occur clause-finally. In addition, the clause-final adverbs may be grouped by their position: either preceding the negative adverb or following the negative adverb. Only one adverb whose scope is an entire sentence occurs in clause-initial position.

### 4.1.2.1 Verb-phrase adverbs

The semantic scope of the verb-phrase adverb is the narrowest. All verb-phrase adverbs, as members of the verb phrase, immediately follow the verb, allowing only the object argument and its modifiers as intervening material, as the verb and its object form a unit. Peripheral arguments occur following verb-phrase adverbs. This supports Dryer's (1988:99) proposition that semantic units tend to occur contiguously. Verb-phrase adverbs may be subcategorized according to semantic function, as manner, locative, epistemic, focus and temporal adverbs.

### 4.1.2.1.1 Manner adverbs

Given the origin of manner adverbs, it is not surprising that they occur immediately following the verb. Manner adverbs modify the meaning of the verb and answer the question, "how?" with regards to the verb. Some adverbs, such as $d u d u$ 'incrementally' or rokrok 'expectantly', are lexically reduplicated forms, that is, their single forms are no longer in use. A few manner adverbs are listed below.

| dakin | 'vigorously' | rokrok | 'expectantly' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| deci | 'slowly' | rud | 'ultimately', |
| dekikir | 'anticipatingly' | ses | 'mistakenly' |
| dudu | 'incrementally' | sohoha | 'unintentionally' |
| huna | 'camoflaged' | somus | 'back (to original state/location)' |
| jena | 'precisely' | terir | 'exactly' |
| jijema | 'concealed' | togtog | 'different(ly)' |
| koska | 'well' | tomrer | 'exposedly' |
| nesa | 'quickly' | tomror | 'intently' |
| rir | 'skirting perimeter' | turur | 'connected(ly)' |

Manner adverbs typically occur adjacent to the intransitive verb, but precede any prepositional phrase which is not included in the clause core, such as tomrer 'exposedly' follows the intransitive verb ohsa 'emerge' in (3).

Mogosga, eri i-ohsa tomrer fen mow (ew)es. earthworms they.PL 3PL-emerge exposedly from land cavity 'The earthworms, they came out in the open from holes in the ground.' [D]

Manner adverbs immediately follow the object of a transitive verb, such as dakin 'vigorously' follows arogá '(traditional) song' the object of of 'sing' in (4), but manner adverbs precede a peripheral argument, such as somus 'back' in (5) precedes the indirect object ofa 's/he', introduced by gug 'to'.
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(4) Ofa of arogá dakin.
s /he sing song vigorously
'He sings the song vigorously.'
[D]
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Dif di-edena } & \text { miyefen ofuy } & \text { somus } & \text { gug } & \text { ofa. } \\ \text { I } & \text { 1SG-exchange } & \text { money } & \text { sm.rd } & \text { back } & \text { to }\end{array}$ s/he
'I gave him the change in coins.' (small round money $=$ coin $)$

### 4.1.2.1.2 Locative adverbs

Locative adverbs, along with the spatial deictics (§5.2), are locative words which answer the question, "where?". Although most locative adverbs have a single function, a few may be used in other ways. The locative adverbs are:

| gujga | 'beneath' |
| :--- | :--- |
| hadefa | '(some)where' |
| rewrew | 'along the way' |
| rohog | 'behind' / 'place departed from' |
| tok | 'specific location' or 'spot' |
| toktoga | 'respect(ive) place(s)' |
| teraw | 'above' |
| tesi | 'below' |

Locative adverbs occur preceding those prepositional phrases which function as peripheral arguments, such as the locative adverb teraw 'above' precedes the locative phrase jig miy odog in (6) or the locative adverb rohog 'place departed from' precedes the prepositional phrase jig erin mod miy in (7).

| Kawar-miy | ewer $\quad$ teraw | jig | miy | odog. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vehicle-water | pass | above | LOC | water | belly |
| 'The ship passes above on the water's suface.' |  |  |  |  |  |

(7) Eri no-ma-i i-eker rohog jig erin mod miy.
s/he DNR-far-GIV 3PL-sit place.departed LOC 3PLPOS house water
'She stayed behind at the ground house.'
(water house = house on ground)
A few locative adverbs may follow a locative prepositional phrase when the verb, like eker 'sit', meaning 'remain' or 'reside', requires a location, such as the locative adverb rewrew 'along the way' follows the locative phrase jig moroj in (8) or the locative adverb teraw 'above' follows the phrase jig merga esta in (9).

| Ofa | eker | jig | moroj | rewrew=mej. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | sit | LOC | path | along.the.way=remote |

'He remained on the path along the way there.'
(9) (ofa) eker jig merga está teraw.
s /he sit LOC wood fork above
'she resided in the fork of the tree above.'

A locative adverb, such as toktoga 'respective place(s)' in (10), may follow the object of a transitive verb, but may also be governed by the generic preposition jig 'LOC', as in (11).
ofa egeja dokun ogow ofoga toktog(a)
s/he slit.open and chop flesh respect.place
'he slit (her) open and chopped up her flesh [to] the respective places.' [T7]

| Fanin efi-etka | erá | er-ebr $(a)$-i-ma | jig | toktog $(\boldsymbol{a})$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bottle sharp-split | THM | CAUS-spread.over-?-far | LOC | respect.place |
| 'The sharp bottle [splinters] were scattered everywhere.' | [D] |  |  |  |

The locative adverbs teraw 'above' and tesi 'below', in addition to serving as adverbs, may be the complement of the generic preposition jig, as is teraw in (12), and may themselves function as prepositions (cf. §7.2.13).
(12) Ofa osok éysaha jig teraw i-da-eyj(a)
s/he climb.up reach LOC above VIS-up-THITHER
'She climbed up [and] arrived at above up there.'

### 4.1.2.1.3 Epistemic adverbs

Epistemic adverbs are verb-phrase adverbs which indicate the degree of truth in the clause. They give the speaker's evaluation as to the truth or factuality of a state or event (Givón 1984:79). The epistemic adverbs are:

```
decirma 'definite(ly)'
decir 'real(ly)' or 'correct(ly)'
```

The adverb decirma is possibly composed of the adverb decir 'correct' plus the distal enclitic -ma 'far', but has taken on the meaning 'definitely'. Epistemic adverbs occur immediately following the verb in an intransitive clause, such as decir 'correct(ly)' extended to mean 'really' in (13), or immediately following an object in a transitive clause, such as decirma 'definitely' in (14). In a non-verbal clause, they may modify a nominal predicate, such as decirma modifies the proper noun Yahyah in (15), or decir
modifies the common noun miyefen 'money' (16). However, they may not directly modify a noun within a noun phrase, but rather must occur in a relative clause, as does decir in (17).
(13) Dif di-eskijig decir

I 1SG-agree correct
'I really agreed'
(14) Ofa oduy ohta dompet decir. s/he front suck wallet correct
'He really forgot his wallet.' (front suck $=$ forget)
(15) No-ma-i Yahyah decirma.

DNR-far-GIV Yahyah definitely
'That is Yahyah definitely.'
(16) No-kef miyefen decir éra. DNR-here money correct NEG 'This isn't real money.'
(17) Mar noga decir erá, dif di-en-og jig srad-efi no-kef. thing REL correct THM I 1SG-DUR-write LOC document-leaf DNR-here 'The thing that is correct, I am writing in this letter.'
[TT]

The epistemic modal adverb smen 'maybe' or 'perhaps', as in (18), differs from the other epistemic adverbs in that it has been observed to occur only in the frame position. It may be related to the Hatam modal adverb mmo 'perhaps', which occurs clause-initially (Reesink 1999:72). The adverb smen is quite possibly a collapsed phrase whose components are (e)c 'become' and (e)m-en (IRR-do) 'will do' or a loan word. It is also unique phonologically, as there are only three other words with a CCVC syllable structure occurring in the language, two of which are adapted loan words (See footnote §2.2.1).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Smen, mar erg-em er-efca } & \text { ofa. } \\
\text { perhaps thing NUM:1-CST CAUS-delay } & \text { s/he } \\
\text { "Perhaps, something delayed him.' } & \tag{T14}
\end{array}
$$

### 4.1.2.1.4 Focus adverbs

Semantically, focus adverbs draw attention to the item they follow and can occur in more than just one position in the clause. Their syntactic position depends upon the scope of their application. If modifying nominal elements, they immediately follow the noun phrase; if modifying a predicate, they occur immediately following the verb (and its object), but always preceding clause-final adverbs. The focus adverbs parallel the functions of adverbs with similar meanings in other languages of the Bird's Head, such as

Meyah (Gravelle 2004:157 and p.c.), Hatam (Reesink 1999:73) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:236), in that they form a distinct group of adverbs modifying both predicates and nouns. The focus adverbs are:

| gijga | 'only' (also 'just' or 'merely') |
| :--- | :--- |
| tin | 'also' (also 'even') |
| ruruy | 'unrestricted' (with nouns as 'any') |
| romreg | 'entirely' (modifying nouns as 'all') |
| sis | 'past' (also 'previously') |
| tas | 'again' (also 'more' and 'else') |
| toga | 'different(ly)' (contrasting respectively) |

The focus adverb gijga 'only' or 'just' also expresses the concept of 'merely' or 'little more than what is specified' and may modify a noun, such as eri-orna 'men' in (19), as well as a predicate, such as i-ahamow tum in (20).
(19) Eri-orna gijga erá noga i-est(a) mok. they.PL-man only THM REL 3PL-suck pandanus
'Only (adult) men are [the ones] who eat pandanus.'
Enia i-ahamow tum gijga.
some.other 3PL-vomit on(to) only
'Some others just vomited.'
The focus adverb ruruy 'unrestricted(ly)' may modify a verb, such as the transitive verb osot '(re)count' in (21), or a noun, such as isnok 'people' in (22).
(21) Ofa osot i-erg-em i-efena ruruy.
s/he count 3PL-NUM:1-CST 3PL-character unrestrict.
'He recounted unrestrictedly (gossiped) about others' characters.'

| I-osnok | ruruy | i-em-osra | jig | lapangan |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | edak. |  |  |  |  |
| 1PL-person | unrestrict. | 3PL-IRR-enter | LOC field | NEG.DEON |  |
| '(Just) anyone shouldn't enter the (playing) field.' |  |  |  |  |  |

The focus adverb romreg 'all' or 'entirely' modifies the intransitive verb eyma 'dry up' in (23) and the noun osnok 'person' in (24). It may even stand in the position of the head noun, functioning as the subject argument, as in (25).
(23) Kus noga maharga erá, miy noga etma eyma romreg. short.span REL dry.season THM water REL arm dry.up all
'[During] the dry season, rivers that are tributaries dry up entirely.' [D]

[^22]ergog y-oduk i-osnok romreg ni i-okog jig
they.DU DU-order 3PL-person all for 3PL-precede LOC
'they ordered everyone to go ahead'

Erogá, romreg i-et mar-mosorn(a) m-ejer-im(a).
hence all 3PL-eat thing-hunger RECIP-be.with 'So (then), all ate the food together.'

The focus adverb tas 'again' modifies the intransitive verb eska 'sprinkle' in (26) and the noun mona 'day' in (27).

Bua bi-or mefsa ofor bi-er-eska tas, roga. you.SG 2SG-hold salt dehydrated 2SG-CAUS-sprinkle again first 'Sprinkle again with the salt, please.'
(27) Ni mona tas-men, ofa orusohta... on day again-RED $\mathrm{s} /$ he think
'At a later time, he thought...'
The adverb toga 'different(ly)' or 'dissimilar to' in (28) modifies the transitive verb or 'build' and in (29) modifies the noun (ow)oksa 'subunit'.

Ergog y-em-ah jig mod efeyu noga y-or toga fen they.DU DU-IRR-lie LOC house immature REL DU-build different from
mod noga orokec.
house REL large
'They slept in the little house which they built differently than the house which was big.'
[T23]

| Mif mi-esah | mos | no-ma-i | jig | miy | (ow)oksa | toga. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we.PL 1PL-put fish | DNR-far-GIV | LOC | water sub.unit | different |  |  |
| 'We put those fish in a different pond.' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [T29] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Reduplication of toga 'different' to tog-toga 'different kinds' or 'varied', as in (30), indicates a kind of intensification rather than a signal of the plurality of the modified noun.

| Mem | erge-erg-em | ok | ofuy | noga | tog-toga. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| bird | NUM:I-RED-CST | bear | egg | REL | different-RED |

'Various birds lay different kinds of eggs.'
[D]

### 4.1.2.1.5 Temporal adverbs

Temporal adverbs can be categorized semantically into three groups: frequency adverbs, duration adverbs and time interval adverbs.

Frequency temporal adverbs
Frequency adverbs refer to the number of times an event occurred. With the exception of raok 'usually', all of these adverbs are lexically reduplicated forms, having single forms which are no longer used.

| raok $\sim$ rok | 'usually' |
| :--- | :--- |
| titir | 'constantly, |
| regreg | 'habitually' |
| tutum | 'often' |

The frequency adverb tutum occurs post-verbally, following the object noun phrase meni nomi 'the bananas' in the initial clause of the sentence in (31).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Dif di-eyja } & \text { di-ek meni } & \text { no-ma-i } & \text { tutum, erogá em-ofom. }  \tag{31}\\
\text { I 1SG-go } 1 \text { 1SG-see banana } & \text { DNR-far-GIV often so IRR-ripe } \\
\text { 'I go [and] look at the bananas often, so [later] they will be ripe.' }
\end{array} \text { [D] }
$$

Duration temporal adverbs
Duration adverbs indicate the duration of the described event, specifying the length of time. The duration adverbs guguy 'all at once' and rogrog 'immediately' are lexically reduplicated forms. The duration adverb rahasis 'all day long' or 'the whole day' is possibly a fused compound composed of an earlier word for 'day', as seen by comparison to forms such as Meyah rahah 'morning' and Hatam lah 'day', plus the verb esis 'exclude'. The duration temporal adverbs are:

| rogrog | 'immediately' |
| :--- | :--- |
| rahu | 'long time' |
| siska | 'temporarily', |
| rahasis | 'all day long' |
| guguy | 'all at once' |
| hah | 'shortly' |

Duration adverbs follow the verb and its object, but precede a clause-final adverb, such as guguy 'all at once' precedes the clause-final adverb roga 'first' in (32).

Dif di-ec mar guguy roga, erogá di-owha jig mod. I 1SG-buy thing all.at.once first hence 1SG-leave LOC house 'After I bought the things all at once, so (then) I left for home.'

The temporal durative adverb rogrog 'immediately' in (33) follows the prepositional phrase jig maekena 'in the garden', which modifies the direct object and the spatial deictic idi 'up there'.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Bua bi-ok membef jig maeken(a) } & \text { i-da-i } & \text { rogrog. }  \tag{33}\\
\text { you.SG } & \text { 2SG-snap.off spinach LOC garden } & \text { VIS-up-GIV } & \text { immediately } \\
\text { 'Pick the spinach in the garden up there immediately.' } & \\
\text { [T29] }
\end{array}
$$

Time-interval temporal adverbs
The time interval adverbs describe the interval of time in which an action is asserted to have taken place. They are:

| ebi | 'recently' |
| :--- | :--- |
| kog | 'ahead' |
| sokomow ${ }^{28}$ | '(in/at) beginning' |

As verb-phrase adverbs, the time interval adverbs sokomow 'at first' (34) and kog 'ahead' (35) occur post-verbally following the object of the verb and preceding a clause-final adverb, such as edak 'NEG.DEON' in (35).

(34) | Ofa | ojok | dif | sokomow. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he hit I | I |  |  |
| 'He hit me first.' |  |  |  |

(35) Bua m-et mar kog edak.
you.SG IRR-eat thing ahead NEG.DEON
'Don't eat ahead (of others).'

### 4.1.2.2 Clause-final adverbs

Clause-final adverbs form three groups on the basis of syntactic position with relation to the negative adverb. They are the pre-negative adverbs, the negative adverbs and the post-negative adverbs.

[^23]
### 4.1.2.2 1 Pre-negative clause-final adverbs

The three clause-final adverbs which precede the negative adverb express phasal aspect. They are:

| $j o g$ | 'already' | (completive aspect) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| roga | 'first'or 'before' | (incompletive aspect) |
| ros | 'still' | (durative aspect) |

The clause-final adverb jog 'already', which follows the verb-phrase temporal adverb sis 'past', but precedes the negative adverb éra ' NEG ' in (36), conveys the notion 'not yet', but in a different sense than the negative adverb néesa 'not yet', which negates possibilities.

| Dif di-em-odu mar no-ma-i | sis | jog | éra |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I 1SG-IRR-tell thing | DNR-far-GIV | past | already | NEG |
| 'I hadn't told that already much earlier.' |  |  |  |  |

'I hadn't told that already much earlier.'
The occurrence of a clause-final adverb (or sentence-final aspect morpheme), translatable as 'already', is a feature shared by Moskona and many other languages in the Bird's Head, such as Meyah, Sougb, Hatam, Moi, Tehit, Maybrat, Abun and Mpur (Reesink 1998:617)

### 4.1.2.2.2 Negative adverbs

There are three negative adverbs, whose scope is the whole clause. They occur in clausefinal position, always following any pre-negative adverbs and preceding any postnegative adverbs. A distinction is made between declarative and imperative negative adverbs, as éra 'NEG' negates declarative clauses and edak 'NEG.DEON' negates imperative clauses. The negator éra has the allomorph gurá, which occurs when the negative adverb éra functions as a disjunctive coordinator (§11.3.6), averting possible ambiguity. The clause-final position of the negator is an areal and typological feature of Bird's Head languages (Reesink 1998:617) and has a widespread distribution throughout a number of languages in Papua and the Moluccas (Reesink 2002c:245, Klamer 2004:243). This clause-final position is uncommon in the world's languages (Dryer 1988:102), as SVO languages nearly always place the negator preverbally (Dryer 1988:99). The negative adverbs are:

| éra $\sim$ gurá | 'NEG' |
| :--- | :--- |
| edak | 'NEG.DEON' |
| néesa | 'not yet' |

The clause-final position is illustrated in (37) as the negative adverb néesa 'not yet', a negation of potential, follows the verb ebah 'live'; in (38) the negative adverb éra 'NEG' occurs at the end of the initial clause in the sentence; in (39) the negative adverb edak follows the clause-final adverb roga 'first'.
Kus noga Moskona sis, i-osnok meren i-em-ebah néesa....
short.span REL Moskona past 3PL-person lake 3PL-IRR-live not.yet
'[During] earlier times in the Moskona area, before there were many people...'
[T19]
(38) Mif mi-em-osiom rot bar no-ma-i éra, esha efena okwef fen. we.PL 1PL-IRR-play with ball DNR-far-GIV NEG from air leak from 'We aren't playing with that ball because the air leaked out.' [D]
(39) Bua bi-em-osra jig mod roga edak you.SG 2SG-IRR-enter LOC house first NEG.DEON 'You shouldn't enter the house first'
[T20]
The independent negative utterance ogurá 'no' occurs extra-clausally, preceding (40) or following (41) the main clause.

Ogurá, bua erá noga bi-eset ofa.
no you.SG THM REL 2SG-prick s/he
'No, you are [the one] who pricked him.'
[D]
(41) Menejog, ogurá.
tomorrow no
'Tomorrow, no.'
[T25]
The negative adverbs in Moskona bear a great deal of morphological similarity to the negative adverbs in several languages in the East Bird's Head area. The Moskona negative adverb éra 'NEG' bears strong morphological similarity to the Sougb negative adverb (e)ro 'not' (Reesink 2002b:237) and the negative adverb bar 'not' in the (almost extinct) language Mansim (Reesink 2002a:298). The Moskona variant gurá 'NEG' and the independent negative utterance ogurá 'no' have a great degree of similiarity to the Meyah negative adverb gurú 'not' (Gravelle 2004:156). The negative adverb néesa 'not yet' also is morphologically similar to the Meyah negative adverb enesi 'not yet' (Gravelle 2004:156).

### 4.1.2.2.3 Post-negative clause-final adverbs

The post-negative clause-final adverbs express both aspectual and modal notions. The adverb deke expresses permissive mood, the adverb eke expresses inchoative aspect, the adverb eri expresses uncertainty mode, the adverb jef expresses deontic mode and the adverb nom expresses both veracity (strong assertion) and ability. The post-negative clause-final adverbs are:

```
deke 'may'
eke 'almost' / 'not quite'
se ~ ris 'certainly'
eri 'probably'
jef 'DEON'
nom 'VER'
```

Post-negative clause-final adverbs occur at the end of simple clauses, but almost never occur sentence-medially, (with the exception of jef). That is, they do not occur at the end of the first clause of a complex sentence. When occurring sentence-finally, the scope of a post-negative clause-final adverb is the clause which immediately precedes it, as in the conjoined clause in (42), the scope of the clause-final adverb eri 'might' or 'possibly' extends only to the immediately preceding clause. Or in the conjoined clause in (43) the clause-final adverb jef 'DEON' has as its scope only the second clause of the sentence.

Dif di-et morgik, erogá di-en-orkoh rot=kef eri.
I 1SG-eat matoa hence 1SG-DUR-choke about=here probably 'I ate the matoa, so I'm probably choking because of it now.'

| Bua | bi-edesk(a) | mar | ahacum, edá | bi-orot | dif | jef. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-release | thing | inactive then | 2SG-go.with | I | DEON |

'Release it [so] it is inactive (i.e. let it sit), then (you should) go with me.'
[D]

The modal adverb se 'certainly'only occurs with verbs which have attached the modal prefix em- 'IRR', as in (44). The modal adverb se is morphologically similar to the Meyah adverb si 'STATUS' (Gravelle 2004:266) and the Sougb sentence-final morpheme -s ‘CERT’ (Reesink 2002b:200).

```
mar noga oyf-omof erg-em em-éysaha kereng(a) bua se.
thing REL good-RED NUM:1-CST IRR-reach upon you.SG certainly
'another thing which is good will certainly happen to you.'
[T7]
```

The clause-final adverb nom 'VER' has two functions: abilitative mode, translatable as 'can' or 'able to', as in (45), and status or epistemic mode, which strongly asserts the veracity of the statement, as in (46), translatable as 'truly'.

Mar no-ma-i erá, mif mi-et nom thing DNR-far-GIV THM we.PL 1PL-eat VER
'These things, we can eat'
Ayok, dif di-oduy efef rot bua nom! mother I 1SG-front ache about you VER 'Mother, I really love you!' (front ache = love)

The clause-final adverb eke 'almost' or 'not quite', having a phasal connotation, occurs following the clause-final adverb jog 'already', as in (47) and the negative adverb néesa 'not yet', as in (48).

Miy éysaha jig di-oku jog eke. water reach LOC 1SG-knee already almost 'The water is already almost up to my knees.'

| Marsa ofog | no-kef | em-otka | néesa | eke |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| game | meat | DNR-here | IRR-tasty | not.yet almost |

'This game meat is not quite tasty yet'

### 4.1.2.3 Intensification of adverbs

Adverbs may be intensified through two means: morphologically through reduplication and syntactically by the indefinite quantifier verbs etew 'much' (50) and eseter 'much more' (49).

| Efer no-ma-i, ofa ofof dakin |
| :--- |
| child DNR-far-GIV s/he run vigorously |


| 'The kid, he runs really energetically.' |
| :--- |

(50) Orna noga eker sis etew jog erá man REL sit past much already THM
'The man who lived much earlier'
[T13]
Reduplication in a few adverbs, such as deci 'slowly' in (51), ebi 'recently' in (52) and nesa 'quickly' in (53) denote an increase in intensity or degree.
(51) Orna ekena no-ma-i ecira deci-ci.
man red DNR-far-GIV walk slowly-RED
'The old man walks very slowly.' (red man = elderly man)
Ofa owha eybi-bi=kef.
s/he leave recently-RED=here
'He left quite recently.'
53) Ejena ofra mitos ofonga no-ma-i nes-is. woman lift pot tendril DNR-far-GIV quickly-RED 'The woman lifted the pot handle very quickly,'

Other adverbs, such as $d u d u$ 'surreptitiously', regreg 'habitually', rogrog 'immediately', tektek 'incrementally', tusus 'to-and-fro' and tutum 'often' are lexically reduplicated forms, that is, they have as a base form a reduplicated root. The unreduplicated form is no longer used as such.

### 4.1.3 Adverbials

There are two groups of words which have adverbial functions, the temporal adverbials, generally members of the alienable noun class, and the ordinal adverbials, which are derived from numerals.

### 4.1.3.1 Temporal adverbials

Temporal adverbials are nominals, such as nouns or noun compounds, which function adverbially. They are distinguished from adverbs, in that they may be modified by a determiner. Temporal adverbials are not introduced by a preposition, distinguishing them from temporal peripheral arguments, which are obligatorily introduced by a preposition.

Temporal adverbials may occur immediately following the object of a transitive verb, such as meregah 'on the way' immediately follows mif 'we.PL' in (54), or immediately following a peripheral argument, such as tisef follows mes in (55), but always occurs preceding a clause-final adverb, such as néeki 'yesterday' precedes éra 'NEG' and ecki 'day before yesterday' precedes jog 'already' in (56).
mot em-ed mif meregah
night IRR-strike we.PL on.the.way
'night will strike (overtake) us on the way'
(55) Bua bi-em-ecira rot mes tisef edak, esha Ari ekenga. you.SG 2SG-IRR-walkabout dog today NEG.DEON from Sunday restricted 'You shouldn't go walking with your dogs today, because Sunday is a restricted day.'

| Ofa em-éysaha néeki éra, tiná | ecki | jog. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/he IRR-reach yesterday | NEG but day.before.yesterday | already |
| 'He didn't arrive yesterday, but the day before yesterday.' | [T23] |  |

Temporal adverbials have a wider scope of effect with greater distributional freedom, and as such may occur in the temporal frame, as does motah 'morning' in (57).

> Motah=kef, $\quad$ mif mi-en keradi.
> morning=here we.PL 1PL-do
> 'This morning, we work.'

The temporal adverbial meregah 'on the way' or 'part way' occurs post-verbally in (58), but also may be governed by the generic preposition jig 'LOC', as in (59).
ergog $y$-osturur tas, edá $y$-éysaha meregah.. they.DU DU-continue.on again then DU-reach on.the.way 'they (two) continued on again, then reaching part way..'

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { ofa ok-a éysaha jig } & \text { meregah... } \\
\text { s/he flee-PGE reach LOC } & \text { on.the.way } \\
\text { 'he fled [and] on the way....' } \tag{T5}
\end{array}
$$

The temporal adverbial kus 'short span (of time)' may not occur alone, but functions as the head of a temporal phrase. It may be modified by nominals, such as merenha 'storm' in (60), nominalized temporal adverbs, such as no-ecki (DNR-day.before.yesterday) in (61), or frequently by demonstrative pronouns, such as nokef 'this' in (62), but may not be quantified or qualified by an adjectival verb, nor may it be possessed.

Merga no-ma-i esim kus merenha néeki.
wood DNR-far-GIV fall.over short.span windstorm yesterday 'The tree fell over [during] the storm yesterday.'
Dif di-en fen dadin mowos kus no-ecki.

I 1SG-come from 1SGPOS village short.span DNR-day.before.yesterday 'I came from my village [during] the day before yesterday.'
erogá kus no-kef, mif mi-en-ot jig miyes hence short.span DNR-here we.PL 1PL-DUR-stand LOC clothes 'so at present, we wear clothes.' (stand in clothes = wear clothes)

The time interval adverbials, like the time interval adverbs, describe the interval of time in which an action is asserted to have taken place. These temporal nouns may refer to
parts or points in a 24 hour period, as well as spans of time. The following lists are not comprehensive.

Time interval adverbials referring to parts or points in a 24 hour period:

| motah | 'morning' |
| :--- | :--- |
| mot | 'night' |
| motgus | 'middle of the night' |
| mona | 'day' |
| men(a) efenen | 'pre-dawn' |
| men(a) esif | 'daytime' |
| men(a) erir | 'dawn' |
| maw osha | 'evening' or 'sundown' |

Although the terms mona 'day', motah 'morning' and mot 'night' are monomorphemic, all other terms referring to parts of a 24 hour period involve at least two morphemes. The term motgus 'middle of the night' is possibly composed of mot 'night' and kus 'short span (time)'. Most other terms are phrases involving mona 'day' or its lexical variant mena, such as men(a) eyrir (day vibrates) 'dawn' or men(a) esif (day open) 'daytime'. The noun maw 'sun' is also the head of temporal phrases, such as maw osha (sun (be) slackened) 'sundown', or maw tahaysa (sun middle) 'mid-day'.

Time interval adverbials referring to days are:

| menejog | 'tomorrow' or 'next day' |
| :--- | :--- |
| néeki | 'yesterday' |
| tisef | 'today' |

Adverbials referring to longer intervals of time:

| ari | 'week' |
| :--- | :--- |
| merahaysa | 'year' or 'Christmas(es)' |
| mona | 'day' or 'indeterminate span of time' |
| meregah | 'on the way' or 'midway' |

The demonstrative pronouns (no)kef 'this' and (no)ma 'that' also function as temporal adverbials, meaning 'now' and 'then' (See §5.4).

### 4.1.3.2 Ordinal adverbials

Moskona no longer has an opposition between cardinal and ordinal numbers. However, the ordinal prefix ocu- 'ORD' is found in the temporal ordinal adverbials, the multiplicative numeral adverbials and the temporal frequency adverbials, as well as in a
few inalienable nouns, such as oycura 'youngest child' [ojtfúra] and ocu(o)kog 'firstborn' (ORD-precede) [otfúkog]. The ordinal prefix ocu-bears close phonological resemblence to the Meyah prefix ju-used in ordinators for first, second and third (Gravelle 2004:142).

### 4.1.3.2 1 Temporal ordinal adverbials

A remnant of the ordinal prefix exists in adverbials used for temporal reference, such as
 (a)k-i (ORD-two-GIV) 'day before yesterday' in which the prefix mid back vowel /o/ has been replaced with a front mid vowel /e/. The temporal adverbials men-ejog (day-next) 'tomorrow' and néeki 'yesterday', however, do not evidence the presence of the ordinal prefix. The temporal ordinal adverbials are composed of a fragment of the ordinal prefix and a fragment of a numeral, which at present have become fused, such that only parts of their original morphemic composition remain. The forms and meanings of a few morphemes, such as the final morpheme -na in ocuna (seventh day), are not retrievable at all.

These adverbials are used to tally days, denoting the number of days distant from the speech event. The tally of days future is expressed contrastively to that of days past. However, some of the forms for days past have begun to merge with terms for days future and are no longer distinct, such as the term ocuna 'seventh day from now' or 'four days ago'. For designating future days, the day of the speech event is included in the tally, unlike English which begins the tally on the day following the speech event. Table 4.1 summarizes the current forms.

Several of the temporal adverbials appear to have undergone the diachronic process whereby verbs lose their root-initial vowel to become adverbs (§4.1.1). Forms such as (o) cu-(o)m-jog becoming cum-jog 'third day ago' and (o)cu-grah becoming cugrah 'fifth day ago' evidence this process.

Table 4.1 Temporal ordinal adverbials

| Future | morphemes <br> temporal <br> adverb(ial) |  | phonetic <br> realization | free <br> translation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| mona-ejog | day-next | $[$ ménedुóg] | 'second day hence' |  |

### 4.1.3.2.2 Multiplicative numeral adverbials

The multiplicative numeral adverbials indicate frequency of occurrence (i.e. how many times). They are composed of the ordinator prefix ocu- 'ORD', a fragment of the generic numeral classifier prefix erg-, plus a numeral root -es 'one', -ak 'two' or -om 'three'. Multiplicative numeral adverbials, such as ojugak 'twice' in (63), occur in the same clausal position as verb-phrase adverbs.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Mem-kokar em-oys(a) mar } & \text { oju-(er)g-ak } & \text { néesa. } \\
\text { bird-crow IRR-call.out thing } & \text { ORD-NUM:1-two } & \text { not.yet } \\
\text { 'The chicken didn't crow twice yet.' } \tag{TT}
\end{array}
$$

There are two forms of the ordinal prefix ocu-existing concurrently and expressed in two distinct morphological shapes for the multiplicative numeral adverbials. In the first variant, the affricate $/ \mathrm{c} /[\mathrm{t} \overline{\mathrm{J}}]$ of the ordinal morpheme ocu- 'ORD' has been voiced to yield the variant oju-[odzu]. With this variant, the initial two segments /er/ of the generic numeral classifier prefix erg- 'NUM:1' have been elided.

| oju-(er)g-es | (O | 'once' | [odЗ3ugés] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oju-(er)g-ak | (ORD-NUM:1-two) | 'twice' | [oḑ3ugák] |
| oju-(er)g-om | (ORD-NUM:1-three) | 'thrice' | [ođ̧3ugóm] |

In the second variant, the vowels of the ordinal prefix have been elided, leaving only the affricate $c$-.

| c-erg-es | (ORD-NUM:1-one) | 'once' | [ $\dagger$ ¢ $\varepsilon$ grés] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c-erg-ak | (ORD-NUM:1-two) | 'twice' | [tJergák] |
| c-erg-om | (ORD-NUM:1-three) | 'thrice' | [ţergóm] |

Either of the variants may occur post-verbally, such as cergak in (64), following any direct object, indirect object and any of their modifiers. The multiplicative numeral adverbial ojuges 'once' has a non-numerical meaning, translatable as 'momentarily' or 'later on', and may also occur in an adverbial phrase preceding a conjunction introducing a clause, as in (65).
(64) Ofa em-et mar c-erg-ak edak.
s/he IRR-eat thing ORD-NUM:1-two DEON.NEG
'He shouldn't eat twice.'
(65) Ejena no-ma-i, ofa ormetim; oju-(er)g-es edá, ofa woman DNR-far-GIV s/he lose.conscious. ORD-NUM:1-one then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
efena er-ec-ef somus.
spirit CAUS-press.on-near back
'The woman, she lost consciousness; then later, she regained consciousness.'

### 4.1.3.2.3 Temporal frequency adverbials

The temporal frequency adverbials are composed of the $c$ - variant of the ordinal prefix ocu- 'ORD', the generic numeral classifier erg- 'NUM:1', plus a bound numeral, such as -es 'one' in (66a) and (66b), or a bound root, such as -em 'CST' in (67a) and (67b), or -aha 'QUAN' in (68).
a. $\quad$-erg-es-is
ORD-NUM:1-one-RED
'frequently'

b. c-erg-es-mes ORD-NUM:1-one-RED 'once only'
b. c-erg-erg-em

ORD-NUM:1-RED-CST
'several (other) times'

Temporal frequency adverbials occur in the same position as the temporal adverbs, such as cergergem [t〕ergérgém] 'several (other) times' in (69) follows the peripheral argument mohena.

| Ofa | esir | rot | i-erg-em | erin | mohena | no-ma-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | fall.down | with | 3PL-NUM:1-CST | 3PLPOS | wife | DNR-far-GIV |

c-erg-erg-em.
ORD-NUM:1-CST
'He committed adultery with those others' wives several times.'

### 4.1.4 Verb adjuncts kerenga and jug

The spatial preposition kerenga 'upon', as in (70), and the adversative non-spatial preposition jug 'against', as in (71), with origins in the verbs ekerenga 'be upon' and ocuk 'block', may function as verbal particles or adjuncts.
(70) Miy ofog er-em-efta-ima kerenga mortoh no-ma-i. water pointed CAUS-RECIP-attach.to upon island DNR-far-GIV 'The waves touched each other upon the island.'
mofuna masha efi ebga somus jug miy m-erg-em vine k.o.bamboo leaf torn back against water NR-NUM:1-CST 'the bamboo leaf vine was torn [so that] it [fell] back against the river's other side.'

Their function as adjuncts is distinguished primarily semantically, in that the verb plus adjunct construction forms an idiomatic unit, whose meaning cannot easily be found from the sum of its parts. Some adjunct constructions maintain the same semantic domain as the verb, but shift the focus or add an additional component to the meaning; however, these changes are not predictable. The following list gives a comparison of the verb's primary meaning to the idiomatic meaning produced by the construction.

| Verb | Gloss | Adjunct unit | Gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| esisga | 'ask (a question)' | esisga jug <br> eyeg-ejga jug | 'question'/ 'interrogate' |
| eyeg-ejga | 'watch' | okues jug |  |
| okues | 'coax' | 'persuade' |  |
| of | 'close (off)' | ofjug | 'shut up' |
| ek | 'see' | ekjug | 'examine'/ 'supervise' |

Some adjunct constructions change the semantic import of the verb more radically, as the lists below illustrate in comparing the primary meaning of the verb with the idiomatic meaning in the adjunct construction.

| Verb | Gloss | Adjunct unit | Gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eyja | 'go (to)' | eyj(a) kerenga | 'extend (influence) over' |
| eker | 'sit' | eker kerenga | 'rest' |
| éysaha | 'reach' | éysaha kerenga | 'happen upon'/ 'appear to' |
| omed | 'anticipate' | omed jug | 'on alert for' |
| $e n^{29}$ | 'do'('say') | en jug | 'forbid' |
| emesa | 'fear' | emesa jug | 'repent' or 'regret' |
| éysaha | 'reach' | éysaha jug | 'preempt' |

The verb adjuncts function grammatically to transitivize intransitive verbs, such as the posture verbs ah 'lie', eker 'sit' and ot 'stand', but unlike the Meyah verb adjuncts keingg and joug (Gravelle 2004:160), the adjuncts in Moskona retain some portion of their lexical content, so that the idiomatic units incorporate to a degree some of the semantic features of the adjuncts, whether they occur with intransitive or transitive verbs. For example, the verb adjunct jug incorporates the notion of 'preventing something' when added to the verb ot 'stand' in (75).

These verb adjuncts are independent words, that is, they are not phonologically bound to the verbs which they affect. They occur adjacent to the verb they modify, as jug immediately follows esisga 'question' in (72) and ot 'stand' in (75). However, there may also be intervening material, such as the verb-phrase adverb, tutum in (73) or the object mif in (74).
(72) Eri noga méesa i-esisga jug ergog.
they.PL REL enemy 3PL-ask against they.DU
'They who were the enemy questioned them.'
(73) Bua bi-ek tutum jug Markus.
you.SG 2SG-see often against Marcus
'You often watch over Marcus.'
miy mojum ofog edi mif jug.
water ocean pointed push.back we.PL against
'the ocean waves overwhelm us' (miy mojum ofog = ocean wave)
Ergog y-ot jug Isisge
they.DU DU-stand against Isisge
'They (two) guarded Isisge (from leaving).'

[^24]The verb adjuncts may occur following a verb which is part of a serial verb construction, such as the verb adjunct jug in the serial verb construction of m-en-ima (close RECIPcome) in (76), retaining the semantic notion of 'obstruction'.

| Orna | ekena | no-ma-i, | ofon estak erá of | m-en-i(ma) | jug. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| man red | DNR-far-GIV | 3SGPOS butt | THM close RECIP-do | against |  |
| 'The old man, his anus was closed shut.' (red man $=$ elderly man) | $[\mathrm{T} 10]$ |  |  |  |  |

Verb adjunct constructions may also function as complement-taking predicates, such as orusohta jug in (77) or esisga jug in (78), takes a complement clause introduced by the complementizer ohot 'saying'.


$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Ergog } & \text { y-esisga jug } & \text { ohot } & \text { [ergen mahina erá } & \text { y-ewer } & \text { jig }  \tag{78}\\
\text { they.DU DU-ask against } & \begin{array}{ll}
\text { saying }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3DUPOS } & \text { husband THM } \\
\text { DU-pass.by }
\end{array} & \text { LOC } \\
\text { no-kef=ey?] } & & \\
\text { DNR-here=Q } \\
\text { 'They (two) questioned [them] whether their husbands had passed here.' [T10] }
\end{array}
$$

Verb adjuncts functioning similarly are also found in three languages adjacent to Moskona. Meyah has the adjuncts keingg 'ADV' and joug 'ADV' (Gravelle 2004:160), Hatam has the verbal adjuncts ser 'keep out' and kep 'hold on to' (Reesink 1999:73), and Sougb has dougwo 'block/keep out' and deb(in) 'hold on to’ (Reesink 2002b:237).

### 4.2 Numerals, classifiers and quantifiers

This section discusses the morphological and distributional properties of numerals, numeral classifiers, sortal noun classifiers and some indefinite quantifiers.

The numerals are a closed class with their own morphology and syntactic structures. Numerals one, two and three are morphologically complex words, being composed of a numeral classifier prefix plus a numeral, which is a bound, form to yield a phonological and morphological unit, the numeral word. The numeral classifiers categorize nouns in terms of shape, size and physical properties. The existence of numeral classifiers in numerals one through three serves as a criterion to distinguish the numerals as a class.

The numeral classifiers occur with two other bound roots: a morpheme signaling contrast and a quantifier root.

A second type of classifier, the sortal noun classifier, restricts the domain of the numeral in terms of the sortal categories, as well as individuates the noun and is integral to the noun-numeral construction.

### 4.2.1 Cardinal numbers

The Moskona numeral system may be considered a quinary/quindecimal/decimal/vigesimal system with numerals ciyja 'five', setka 'ten' and koram 'fifteen' serving as bases for expressions below twenty and isnok 'twenty' as the base for numeral expressions twenty and above. The bases combine with a primitive numeral one to four (i.e. those numerals in which no fragment of another numeral may be found) and with each other to form additive numeral expressions up to twenty.

The system has numeral bases with recognizable links to the body, possibly indicating that at one time it was a hands-and-feet system, in which counting moved from the left hand to the right, then switched to one foot, then the other (cf. de Vries 1998:410). These links may be seen in the fused forms for five, ten, fifteen and twenty, where the rootinitial vowels elided as the phrase reduced in a process similar to that seen in adverb formation (cf. §4.1.1), and word-medial segments either elided or reduced. The numeral base ciyja 'five' may be the fused phrase (e)ti (eke)j 'hand divide up', utilizing the old root eti ${ }^{30}$ 'hand', (the phrase having reduced in a process like: eti ekej $>$ tiykej $>$ tiyja $>$ ciyja). The numeral base setka 'ten' appears to be the fused phrase (o)s(nok) etka 'person split', the numeral base koram 'fifteen', a fused form of the phrase (o)ko er-em (foot NUM:4-CST) '(an)other foot' and the expression for 'twenty' i-osnok 'person(s)'. The spatial relator noun okun 'side', which joins the smaller numeral to the preceding base, is an overt morphemic expression of addition, modifying the number it follows. ${ }^{31}$ The conjunction dokun 'and' and the transitive verb ogun 'add on' appear to be related to it semantically and phonologically. Gestures or the touching of points on the body do not accompany use of the numerals in direct counting, as is obligatory in tally systems.

### 4.2.1.1 Numerals below twenty

The numeral expressions for 'one', 'two' and 'three' are definite quantifier verbs, beginning with the non-high vowels /e, a, o/, a morphological shape which is consistent with other verbs. They are morphologically complex, being composed of the numeral

[^25]classifier prefix erg- 'NUM:1' plus a numeral. Numerals four and five are monomorphemic (i.e. they do not attach a numeral classifier), are not verbal and have a consonant as their root-initial segment. Numerals six through nine are constructions composed of the base ciyja 'five' plus a numeral one through four with the link for addition in final position.

Numerals one through ten:

| erg-es | 'one' |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| erg-ak | 'two' |  |
| erg-om | 'three' |  |
| tahgur |  |  |
| ciyja | 'four' |  |
|  | 'five' |  |
| ciyja erg-es okun |  |  |
| ciyja erg-ak okun |  |  |
| ciyja erg-om okun | (five one side) | 'six' |
| ciyja tahgur okun | (five two side) | 'seven' |
| s-etka | (five three side) | 'eight' |
|  | (five four side) | 'nine' |
|  | (person-split) | 'ten' |

Numerals eleven through fourteen are constructions formed by the addition of numerals one through four to the numeral base setka 'ten' and linked with okun.
setka erges okun
ten one side
one $\quad$ 'eleven'

Numerals sixteen through nineteen are formed by the addition of a numeral one through four to the base koram 'fifteen' and followed by okun.

| (81) | koram <br> fifteen | erges <br> one | okun <br> side |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (82) | koram <br> fifteen | tahgur <br> four | okun <br> side | 'nineteen',

The numeral expression for twenty employs a construction based on the noun osnok 'person':

| i-osnok | i-erg-es | 'twenty' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person | 3PL-NUM:1-one |  |

It is not clear why $i$ - ' 3 PL' is prefixed to the noun osnok in the numeral expression for twenty. It is possibly because Moskona speakers consider third person plural to be the non-referential form. When asked for the name of an item which is an inalienable noun or for a verb root, third person plural is always given.

### 4.2.1.2 Numerals above twenty

Numerals from twenty-one to thirty-nine are numeral constructions in which the base isnok irges (one person) 'twenty' combines with other bases and a primitive numeral one through four.

| (84) | i-osnok <br> 3PL-person | i-erges erges okun <br> 3PL-one one side | 'twenty-one' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (85) | i-osnok <br> 3PL-person | i-erges ciyja erges okun <br> 3PL-one five one side | 'twenty-six' |
| (86) | i-osnok <br> 3PL-person | i-erges setka okun <br> 3PL-one ten side | 'thirty' |
| (87) | i-osnok <br> 3PL-person | irges setka ciyja ergak okun <br> 3PL-one ten five two side | 'thirty-seven' |

The numeral expressions for 'forty' and above, as in (88-90), employ the sortal classifier ibah 'HUMAN' in a classifier-numeral construction.

| (88) | i-osnok | ibah | $i$-erg-ak | 'forty' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3PL-person | HUMAN | 3PL-NUM:1-two |  |
| (89) | i-osnok | ibah | ciyja | 'one hundred' |
|  | 3PL-person | HUMAN | five |  |
| (90) | i-osnok | ibah | setka | 'two hundred' |
|  | 3PL-person | HUMAN | ten |  |

Although the traditional form isnok ibah ciyja 'one hundred' is used by people over thirty, the more frequent form rad 'hundred', adapted from the Malay word ratus
'hundred', is increasingly used by younger people. The Malay word ribu 'thousand' has been borrowed to express 'thousand'. When dealing with currency, Malay number words are always used.

In the expression for the operation of addition, the generic numeral classifier erg- ' $\mathrm{NUM}: 1$ ' is used in arithmetic operations involving numerals one to three, as in (91). The conjunction dokun 'and' (92) links numerals. The verb ec 'become' or éysaha 'reach' indicates the total.
(91) Erg-es dokun erg-ak ec erg-om. NUM:1-one and NUM:1-two become NUM:1-three 'One plus two is three.'
Ibah ciyja dokun ibah ciyja romreg éysaha ibah setka.
HUMAN five and HUMAN five all reach
'five (people) and five (people) all totals ten (people).'

### 4.2.2 Numerals as definite quantifiers

The numerals used in quantifying nouns are the same set used in counting. Syntactically, numerals occur post-nominally and follow a sortal classifier (if one is required), as tahgur follows the classifier word efega in (93). Numerals may be used as definite quantifiers of simple nouns and pronouns, such as ciyja tahgur 'nine' modifies mesta 'moon' in (94) or mirgom 'three' modifies mif 'we. PL' in (95).

```
mes efeg(a) tahgur
    dog ANIMAL four
    'four dogs'
    mesta ciyja tahgur
    moon five four
    'nine months'
mif mi-erg-om
    we.PL 1PL-NUM:1-three
    'we three' or 'we are three'
```

When modifying a noun referring to a human, the definite quantifier verbs are marked with a pronominal prefix, such as mi- '1PL' marking ergom 'three' in (95), $i$ - '3PL' marking ergom 'three' in (96), or $y$ - 'DU' marking ergak 'two' in (97), to indicate the person and number of the head noun.
dadin i-efer i-erg-om.
1SGPOS 3PL-child 3PL-NUM:1-three
'my three children'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ergen efer } \quad \text { y-erg-ak }  \tag{97}\\
& \text { 3DUPOS child } \quad \text { DU-NUM:1-two } \\
& \text { 'their (DU) two children.' }
\end{align*}
$$

When modifying a noun which refers to a nonhuman (i.e. animal or inanimate object), the definite quantifier verbs are marked with third person singular, a null morpheme, as is ergak 'two', which modifies mok estak 'cup' in (98).
jig mok estak erg-ak
LOC bowl butt
NUM:1-two
'in two cups'

Nouns such as mebsta 'sand', miy 'water' or marefen 'grass' may not be quantified by numerals, only by indefinite quantifier verbs, such as etew 'much' or oskaytok 'small (bit)'.

### 4.2.3 Names of days of the week

The days of the week are enumerated rather than named under an earlier system used mostly by older people. The enumerated days are treated as proper nouns, distinct from temporal adverbials, such as ocu-ak-i 'second day ago', which are affixed with the ordinal prefix and denote increments of time from the speech event. The first day of the week is considered to be Monday. The names of the days of the week are:

| Mona erges | 'Day One' | (Monday) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mona ergak | 'Day Two' | (Tuesday) |
| Mona ergom | 'Day Three' | (Wednesday) |
| Mona tahgur | 'Day Four' | (Thursday) |
| Mona ciyja | 'Day Five' | (Friday) |
| Mona ciyja erges | 'Day Six' | (Saturday) |
| Mona ciyja ergak | 'Day Seven' | (Sunday) |

A response to the question, "What day is today?" would be a day name, such as Mona Tahgur in (99).

Mona tisef erá Mona Tahgur.
day today THM day four
'The day today is Day Four.' (Thursday)

Saturday has an additional name, the phonologically adapted noun compound Mona Sawd (day Saturday) from the Malay word Sabtu 'Saturday'. The compound noun Mona Ari (day Sunday) utilizes the noun ari 'Sunday' or 'week' which has been borrowed from Biak ari 'Sunday', an adaptation of the Malay word hari 'day'. Increased contact with the national culture has brought about use of Malay day names with younger people and those dealing regularly with the national culture.

### 4.2.4 Numeral classifiers

Numeral classifiers are not common in Papuan languages, as they are not usually found in languages which have a small number of numerals (Aikhenvald 2000:100), although they have been observed in some languages on the island of Bougainville and among islands east of New Guinea (Terrill 2002:61). Further, numeral classifiers which are fused or partially fused with numerals are generally not found in this part of the world (Aikhenvald 2000:105ff). However, the absence of a mechanism for marking nouns for plurality or indicating plural agreement in Moskona is typologically consistent with languages which have numeral classifiers (Aikhenvald 2000:100, Dik 1997b:143, Dixon 1982:212)

### 4.2.4.1 Numeral composition

The Moskona numerals 'one', 'two' and 'three' are composed of a numeral classifier prefix, such as ed- 'NUM:2' (100a), er- 'NUM:4' (100b), or et- 'NUM:3' (100c), attached to a bound numeral root, forming a constituent with the numeral. The numeral root, which is the head of the construction, may be: -es 'one', -ak 'two' or -om 'three'.

| a. | ed-es | 'NUM:2-one' | [ $\varepsilon$ dés] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. | $e r-a k$ | 'NUM:4-two' | [ $¢$ rák] |
| c. | et-om | 'NUM:3-three | [ tóm] |

These numerals parallel the numeral roots for the Meyah numerals: -ens, -eka, -omu (Gravelle 2002:139). (cf. also Appendix B §2.4.3.)

### 4.2.4.2 Numeral classifier categories

The numeral classifiers divide nouns into eleven categories generally based on the semantic properties of the nouns in each category. There is significant semantic correlation between the numeral classifier categories and the semantic properties of the nouns they distinguish. However, because so many former distinctive categories have conflated, creating a disparity between the properties of the nouns within each category,
it is no longer possible to distinguish the present categories in terms of their properties alone. As a result, as the number of items categorized by a classifier increases, the number of semantic connections between the classifier and the nouns classified grow fewer.

Items introduced into the culture fall into categories according to the perceived properties of the noun. For example, items such as belts and boards, which are perceived as having the properties flat and thick, fall into the category 'flat thick' specified by numeral classifier erf- 'NUM:5', plates and metal griddles fall into the category specified by numeral classifier ers- 'NUM:6', which categorizes the property 'shallow depression' and paper and sheets of metal roofing fall into the category specified by numeral classifier ork- 'NUM:11', which categorizes the property 'thin flat'. Other items which are considered strongly associated with foreigners themselves, such as their measures of time (weeks and years), shirts (a foreign clothing item), guns, or matches fall into the generic numeral classifier class specified by erg- 'NUM:1'.

The numeral classifiers characterize nouns in terms of degrees of dimension, involving both size and shape. ${ }^{32}$ The numeral classifier chart in Table 4.2 lists the class number, morphological forms, distinguishing properties of the numeral classifiers and a few example of nouns from that category.

[^26]Table 4.2 Numeral class chart
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{clll}\text { Class } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Numeral } \\
\text { Classifier }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Category } \\
\text { Property }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Example } \\
\text { Nouns }\end{array} \\
\hline 1 & \text { erg- } & \text { generic } & \text { humans, rivers, rodents } \\
2 & \text { ed- } & \text { extended surfaces } & \text { land, lakes, fires, nests } \\
\hline 3 & \text { et- } & \begin{array}{l}\text { large rounded } \\
\text { leathery-skinned }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { rocks, ridges, hills, } \\
\text { turtles, crocodiles }\end{array} \\
\hline 4 & \text { er- } & \text { thick flat } & \begin{array}{l}\text { bananas, eggs, peanuts, } \\
\text { beans, seeds }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline 5 \& erf- \& winged bark, slabs of <br>

wood, slabs of fruit\end{array}\right\}\)| depressions |
| :--- |

### 4.2.4.2.1 Vestigial animacy marker

There is a vestigial morpheme $-i$ '?' found within various numeral words, that at one time possibly indicated animacy. It is no longer expressed uniformly in all numerals with animate properties due to the conflation of "animate" classes with "inanimate" classes. This vestigial morpheme $-i$ is found in the numerals 'one', 'two' and 'three' of numerals which have attached class 7 numeral classifiers, in numerals 'one' and 'three' of numerals which have attached numeral classifier classes $6,7,8$ and 9 , but only in the
numeral 'one' of the numeral which has attached the class 5 numeral classifier. ${ }^{33}$ The vestigial morpheme $-i$ has coalesced with the vowel of the numeral to form a high vowel, /i/ or /u/, agreeing in backness with the vowel of the numeral, as in or-i-es (NUM:7-?-one) [orís] or or-i-om (NUM:7-?-three) [orúm]. Table 4.3 shows the occurrences of -i in extant numeral forms along with their phonetic representations.

Table 4.3 Vestigial animacy marker in extant forms

| Class | Numeral 'one' | Numeral 'two' | Numeral 'three' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUM:5 | erf-i-es [عгфis] | erf-ak [erфák] | erf-om [عгфо́m] |
| NUM: 6 | ers-i-es [Ersis] | ers-(a)k [ersk] | ers-i-om [ersúm] |
| NUM:7 | or-i-es [orís] | or-i-ak [orik] | or-i-om [orúm] |
| NUM:8 | orj-i-es [or ${ }_{\text {dûis }}$ ] | ors-(a)k [orsk] | orj-i-om [ordzúm] |
| NUM:9 | ort-i-es [ortís] | ort-(a)k [ortk] | ort-i-om [ortúm] |

The vowel of the numeral root $-a k$ 'two' in numeral classes 6,8 and 9 elides when attaching the numeral classifiers. The numeral ers-ak (NUM:6-two) is realized phonologically as [rrsk] and the numeral ort-(a)k (NUM:9-two) as [ortk]. The numeral classifier orj- 'NUM:8' has the allomorph ors- when forming the numeral ors-ak 'NUM:8two' and also evidences the elision of the vowel of the numeral root, yielding the form [orsk].

Numeral words in four Meyah numerals (Gravelle 2004:139 and p.c.) may also be observed to have the phoneme /i/ occurring word-medially, as in or-i-ens 'CL:2-?-one', orj-i-ens 'CL:7-?-one', ers-i-ens 'CL:3-?-one' and erb-i-ens 'CL:8-?-one', suggesting that a possible morpheme for animacy occurred at one time in Meyah numerals also.

### 4.2.4.3 Numeral classifier function

When the numerals one, two, or three quantify a noun, the numeral classifier employed signals that the semantic feature of the category is in focus. The generic numeral classifier erg- 'NUM:1' may be used with any, or almost any noun, replacing the other more specific classifiers when a more precise classifier is uncertain or unimportant. The numeral classifiers also serve to delimit possible referents of nouns. The roots for 'nest' and 'tuber' are homophonous. The numeral classifiers ed- and ork- in (101) eliminate possible confusion.

[^27]| (101) a. | efem ed-om <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> nest 'three nests' nUM-2-three |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

b. efem ork-om
tuber NUM:11-three
'three tubers'

The numeral classifier et- 'NUM:3', is affiliated with the category which includes seeds. The numeral etom 'three' quantifies the noun phrase mesnom efej in (102), focusing on the size property of that category, delimiting the reference to seeds, not whole cobs of corn, which would be expressed with the numeral er-om (NUM:4-three).

| (102) | Ofa er-ef | mesnom efej et-om esis. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | s/he CAUS-distribute corn | dried NUM:3-three exclude |
|  | 'She spread (planted) just three corn kernals.' |  |

When functioning attributively, numerals one, two and three may be affixed with a pronominal prefix to agree with the person and number of the human referent, such as $i$ ' 3 PL ' attached to ergom in (103), signaling the third person plural referent, or mi- ' 1 PL ' in (104), indicating the person and number of the pronoun mif.

```
(103) eri i-erg-om
    they.PL 3PL-NUM:1-three
    'they three'
(104) mif mi-erg-om
    we.PL 1PL-NUM:1-three
    'we three'.
```


### 4.2.5 Sortal classifiers

Noun classification systems are an important typological feature of Papuan languages (Foley 1986:77). One type of nominal classification system is that which utilizes sortal noun classifiers. The sortal classifiers provide the means for categorization of an object by limiting the possible choice of referent. Sortal classifiers are a finite set of generic nouns, (a subcategory of nouns), which have been grammaticalized, that is, undergone a change of meaning to express a "sort" (Denny 1986:299, C. Lehmann 1993:322). A sort categorizes nominal referents as members of a set, denoting some attribute of the nouns to which they refer, designating the item as a unit of that specific class (Aikhenvald 2000:190, Denny 1986:302). Sortal noun classifiers, with the exception of the sortal classifier ibah 'HUMAN', are generic nouns and are independent phonological and morphological words, utilized in sortal noun-numeral constructions. Their occurrence is restricted to co-occurrence with numerals functioning enumeratively, that is, they do not occur with numerals used as specifiers (§4.2.6). They are non-quantitative, that is, they give no unit of measurement and do not function as classifiers in other types of noun phrases.

Sortal classifiers typically describe some inherent property which all the entities in that category share (Aikhenvald 2000:115). The sortal classifier hierarchy illustrated in Diagram 4.1 displays an imposed classificatory hierarchy, which is semantically based and categorizes entities in terms their inherent properties of animacy, function and consistency with noun classes noted in parenthesis. The animate category is subdivided into human and animal. The inanimate category is subdivided into the functions of edible and inedible objects. Edible items (i.e. plant life) are subdivided on the basis of physical hardness: solid (all hard fruits, vegetables and leaves) versus semi-solid fruit and vegetables. Inedible items are subdivided into organic (plants) and inorganic (rocks), including some organic but inedible items (e.g. fruit pits).

## Diagram 4.1 Sortal classifier hierarchy



The sortal noun classifiers begin with a non-high vowel /e, o, a/, like the inalienable nouns. However, unlike the inalienable nouns, when generic nouns are functioning as sortal classifiers, they are not inflected with a pronominal prefix indicating person and number. The sortal noun classifiers which classify non-human entities may be observed to have the same root-initial segment shape of vowel plus a labial consonant, resembling the inalienable nouns which have fused with an old (possessive) prefix $e f-$ - (§3.1.2.2.3).

Table 4.4 lists the sortal noun classifiers. Class 1 classifies only humans. Class 2 classifies all non-human creatures except insects, but includes trees. Class 3 classifies edible plants which are solid or hard, such as edible leaves, tubers and hard-shelled fruit. Class 4 classifies semi-solid or soft fruits, such as bananas. Classes 5 and 6, which are inedible items, combine properties of shape and hardness. Class 5 classifies flat pliable plant matter, and Class 6 classifies hard spherical materials, such as hard seeds, pits or small stones.

Table 4.4 Sortal noun classifiers

| Class | Classifier | Class | Example nouns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ibah | HUMAN | humans |
| 2 | efega | ANIMAL | animals, trees, fish |
| 3 | ewet | SOFT | bananas |
| 4 | owos | VEG | spinach, squashes, hard fruit |
| 5 | efi | FLAT | leaf, paper, bark |
| 6 | ebej | STONE | stones, fruit pits, hard seeds |

The sortal-numeral construction is composed of a sortal classifier, as head of the construction, immediately followed by a numeral, illustrated in (105) by the sortal classifier efeg 'ANIMAL' which precedes the numeral orska 'two', in (106) by the sortal classifier efi 'FLAT' which precedes the numeral tahgur 'four' and in (107) by the sortal classifier owos 'VEG' which precedes the numeral eres 'one'. The sortal classifier distinguishes a sortal-numeral construction from a noun-adjective construction.

| mogos(a) | efeg(a) | ors-ka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| snake | ANIMAL | NUM:8-two |
| 'two snaks, |  |  |

'two snakes'
(107) Ofa orka mebet owos er-es. s/he carry squash VEG NUM:4-one 'She brought one squash.'

Sortal classifiers may stand in the position of the head noun, preceding a numeral, as in the phrase ebej etes in (108), which refers anaphorically to an inedible bean seed. As mentioned above, sortal classifiers do not occur in other types of noun phrases and so may not function as head noun in other types noun phrases, but must be modified by a numeral.
(108) Mem-kokar no-ma-i et ebej et-es bird-crow DNR-far-GIV eat STONE NUM:3-one 'The chicken ate one (bean).'

Both numeral classifiers and sortal classifiers specify entities on the basis of their properties, but may work in concert to further delimit a nominal reference. The numeral classifier et- in et-es (NUM:3-one) categorizes seeds or small round things, but the item may be further distinguished by a sortal classifier. The sortal classifier owos 'VEG' in
(109a), functioning anaphorically, specifies that one (bean) is soft and edible, but the sortal classifier ebej 'STONE' in (109b), specifies that the bean is hard and inedible.
a. owos et-es
VEG NUM:3-one
'one bean' (edible)
b. ebej et-es
STONE NUM:3-one
'one bean (inedible)'

Not all entities are assigned to a noun class. This may be observed in noun-numeral phrases, such as mem er-es (bird NUM:4-one) 'one bird', mem ofuy et-ak (bird egg NUM:3two) 'two bird eggs', mowuj orj-i-om (house pole NUM:8-?-three) 'three house poles' and efem ed-ak (nest NUM:2-two) 'two nests'.

### 4.2.6 Non-enumerative functions of numeral classifiers

Numeral classifiers also function non-enumeratively in indefinite specifiers, contrastive specifiers or interrogative quantifiers.

### 4.2.6.1 Indefinite specifier

The numerals, composed of a numeral classifier prefix plus the numeral es 'one', also have a non-enumerative function. They function as indefinite specifiers, indicating indefinite reference as they introduce an item as new information (i.e. a new participant or topic). They are not indefinite articles, as their occurrence is limited to the introduction of new information, and the presence of numeral classifiers suggests an absence of distinct definite or indefinite articles (cf. Foley 1997:231). In (110), the numeral eres 'one' introduces the alienable noun mejuen 'crocodile' as a new participant into the speech event. The sortal classifier efega 'ANIMAL' is not present since eres is functioning non-enumeratively, contrasting it with the sortal noun-numeral construction in (111), in which the numeral eres 'one' modifies the sortal classifier efega 'ANIMAL'.
(110) Orna no-ma-i, ofa ef mejuen er-es. man DNR-that-GIV s/he spear crocodile NUM:4-one 'The man, he speared a crocodile.'
(111) Mejuen efeg(a) er-es.
crocodile ANIMAL NUM:4-one
'one crocodile'

### 4.2.6.2 Contrastive specifier

To indicate indefinite contrast of the referent with other like entities, a numeral classifier is prefixed to the bound root $-e m$ ' CST ' to form a contrastive specifier. All numeral classifiers may attach to the $-e m$ root to form a contrastive specifier. When the
contrastive specifier modifies a noun, the contrast may indicate contrast with a specific unit, translatable as 'another' or contrast with many units, translatable as 'one of X ' or 'any X '. The numeral classifier ork- 'NUM:11' attached to $-e m$ ' $\mathrm{CST}^{\prime}$ in (112) forms a contrastive specifier which contrasts the specified moroj 'path' with other paths.
(112) Eri i-em-owha rot moroj ork-em.
they.PL 3PL-IRR-leave about path NUM:11-CST
'They would leave on another path.'
The contrastive specifier -em has the allomorph -om when attached to numeral classifiers with a mid back vowel in the prefix, as in or- 'NUM:7', orj- 'NUM:8', ort- 'NUM:9', ors'NUM:10' and ork- 'NUM:11'. This allomorph is homophonous with the numeral -om 'three', such that resulting the numerals and contrastive specifiers are potentially ambiguous. In phrases such as merga or-i-om (tree NUM:7-CST) 'another tree' [mérga orúm] or (tree NUM:7-three) 'three trees’ [mérga orúm], only context provides the necessary semantic information to distinguish the correct choice of meaning. However, when the numeral occurs with a sortal classifier in a sortal noun-numeral construction there is no ambiguity, as in the phrase mod efega or-i-om (house ANIMAL NUM:7-?-three) 'three houses', as sortal classifiers do not occur with numerals used as specifiers.

The numeral prefixed with either the numeral -es 'one' or the contrastive root -em 'CST' (or its allomorph -om) may function anaphorically, taking the place of the head noun, such as, er-es 'NUM:4-one' in (113) replaces the noun for 'seedpod', or or-i-em (NUM:7-?CST) 'another (one)' in (114), stands in the position of the head noun 'dog'.
(113) Ofa eyta er-es ni oked mar. s /he take NUM:4-one for chant thing 'He took one (large seedpod) to speak incantations over.'

| Mes | obra or-i-em. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dog | bark.at | NUM:7-ANIM-CST |
| 'The dog barked at another (dog).' |  |  |

When referring to a human, the contrastive specifier erg-em is inflected with a pronominal prefix, such as $y$ - 'DU' in (115), signaling the person and number of the referent.
(115) Bua éra dif-a noga y-owha, tiná y-erg-em erá y-eker. you.SG or I-PGE REL DU-leave but DU-NUM:1-CST THM DU-sit 'Either you or I are (the one) who leaves, but one of us stays.'
[D]
When modifying the generic noun mar 'thing', the contrastive specifier expresses an indefinite inanimate pronoun, such as 'anything' or 'nothing', as in (116). When inflected
with a pronominal prefix, it serves as an indefinite human pronoun, such as 'anyone' or 'whoever', as in (117).
(116) Dif di-em-en mar erg-em tin éra. I 1SG-IRR-do thing NUM:1-CST also NEG 'I didn't even do anything.'
(117) I-erg-em i-er-ot dif.

3PL-NUM:1-CST 3PL-CAUS-stand I
'Anyone (can) accompany me.'
The contrastive specifier erg-em may may be nominalized by the generic nominalizing prefix $m$ - ' NR ' or the deictic nominalizer no- 'DNR', yielding the nominalized specifiers m-erg-em 'the other one' (118) and no-erg-em 'the other place' (119).
(118) Bua bi-ofra mar no-ka-i efembra m-erg-em=mej. you.SG 2SG-lift item DNR-near-GIV flank NR-NUM:1-CST=remote 'Lift this thing's other side over there.'
[D]

| Ofa | eyja | jig | ok | no-erg-em. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s/he | go | LOC | side | DNR-NUM:1-CST |
| 'He went to the other side (area).' |  |  |  |  | [T28]

A contrastive suffix has also been observed in two languages with close geographic proximity to Moskona, Meyah with the morphologically similar morpheme -ema 'other' (Gravelle 2004:139) and Hatam with the suffix -bihi 'other' (Reesink 1999:60).

### 4.2.6.3 Interrogative quantifier

The numeral classifiers may be prefixed to the bound quantifier - $(a) h a$ 'QUAN' to form an interrogative quantifier. The numeral classifier or- 'NUM:7 in (120) prefixed to -(a)ha yields the interrogative quantifier oriha 'how many' or 'what number of' for nouns in class 7. The numeral classifier ers- 'NUM:6' (121) attaches to -(a)ha to form the interrogative quantity word ersha 'how many' for nouns in class 6.
(120) Mes efega or-i-(a)ha?
dog ANIMAL NUM:7-?-QUAN
'How many dogs are there?'
(121) Bua buwun mem ers-(a)ha?
you.SG 2SGPOS bird NUM:6-QUAN
'How many birds do you have?'

The interrogative quantifier word erg-aha 'how many' may also function as quantity adverbial, as in (122).

| (122) | Bua | bi-osot | srad | efi | no-ma-i | erá | éysaha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | you.SG | 2SG-count | document | leaf | DNR-far-GIV | THM reach |  |

erg-aha jog.
NUM:1-QUAN already
'Count up how many pages there are already.'
[D]

### 4.2.6.4 Distributive quantifier

The distributive quantifier erg-esis (NUM:1-exclude) 'each' or 'separately for each' [ $\varepsilon$ гǵssis] is composed of the generic numeral classifier erg- 'NUM:1' prefixed to the verb esis 'exclude'. It expresses a distributive property, indicating when something applies to each member of a set, as in (123), or one by one (e.g. per head; in each case, etc.) as in (124). This is not a productive construction, as it does not form a distributive quantifier with each individual numeral classifier. The distributive quantifier occurs post-nominally, as do the definite and indefinite quantifiers.
(123) Bua bi-eyh(a) pajak ${ }^{34}$ mesta erg-esis.
you.SG 2SG-pay tax moon NUM:1-exclude
'You paid the tax each month.'
[TT]

| I-osnok 3PL-person | i-erg-esis <br> 3PL-NUM:1-exclude | erá <br> THM | i-eyja <br> 3PL-go | jig LOC | $\begin{align*} & \text { desa }{ }^{35}  \tag{124}\\ & \text { village } \end{align*}$ | ofon <br> 3SGPOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mod jef. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| house | ON |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Each person should go to the desa's house.' [D] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.2.6.5 Reduplication of specifiers and indefinite quantifiers

Contrastive specifiers utilize reduplication to signal intensification of quantity, changing the lexical meaning to 'several (different things)', such as the reduplicated contrastive specifier ergergem in (125), or the reduplicated contrastive specifier edemdem in (126).

[^28]| ergog | y-eyja | y-ebah mesta | erg-erg-em jig | mow erg-em |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.DU | DU-go | DU-live moon | NUM:1-RED-CST LOC | place NUM:1-CST | 'they went [and] lived several months in another place'

[T23]
(126) Mocimbi em-oytut mow ed-em-dem.
earthquake IRR-quake land NUM:2-CST-RED
'The earthquake shakes several places.'
[TT]
The indefinite quantity notion 'anything', expressed as the phrase mar ergem (thing (an)other) may also intensify quantity by reduplicating the word to yield a meaning like 'anything of any kind', as in (127).

| Bua | bi-em-en | mar | erg-e( $\boldsymbol{m}$ )-rgem ekris | di-oduy | edak. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-IRR-do | thing | NUM:1-CST-RED exceed | 1SG-front | NEG.DEON |
| 'You shouldn't do anything (of any kind) against my wishes.' |  |  |  |  |  | [D]

The interrogative quantifier érgaha 'how many' may be reduplicated to yield the indefinite quantifier ergegaha [ergégaxa] 'several' or 'a number of', which has an initial non-high vowel like the indefinite quantifier verbs, but is distinguished from them, in that, it does not function predicatively and so does not attach the irrealis morpheme em'IRR'. When modifying a noun which refers to a human, the reduplicated interrogative quantifier is inflected with a pronominal prefix to agree with the referent, such as $i$ - '3PL' in (128).
(128) I-osnok i-erg-e(r)g-aha noga i-er-omn(a) dif.

3PL-person 3PL-NUM:1-RED-QUAN REL 3PL-CAUS-cheek I
'Several people were [the ones] who accompanied me.' or
'There were several people who accompanied me.'

The quantifier ergákék 'both', composed of the generic numeral classifier erg- 'NUM:1', the numeral -ak 'two' and a reduplicated form $-e k$ 'RED', is an expression based on a numeral, but not part of the numeral system. It modifies a head noun in a noun phrase but does not function predicatively. Because the quantifier ergakek 'both' in (129) modifies a human referent, it attaches the pronominal prefix $y$ - 'DU'.

| Yef y-erg-ak-ek | $y$-eyja | jig | maeken(a). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we.DU DU-NUM:1-two-RED | DU-go | LOC | garden |
| 'We both went to the garden.' |  |  |  |

### 4.3 Prepositions

Moskona has a large inventory of prepositions, forming a closed class of monomorphemic forms which take no affixation. They form a lexical class based on their
function as role markers for peripheral arguments. Their syntactic behavior is discussed in chapter 7, which discusses prepositional phrases.

Prepositions have a root-initial consonant which is not $/ \mathrm{m} /$, providing a morphophonological criterion to distinguish them from verbs and nouns. Some prepositions, like adverbs (§4.1.1), appear to be derived from verbs, which undergo a change in word class through loss of a root-initial vowel. A few examples of extant verbs and the prepositions which have been derived from them may be seen in the list below.

| Verb | Preposition |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| efen | 'be (away) from' | fen | '(away) from' |
| ekerenga | 'be upon' | kerenga | 'upon' |
| odos | 'meet' | dec $\sim$ doc | 'same location as' |
| ocuk | 'block' | jug | 'against' |

Semantically, prepositions may be divided into two subclasses: spatial prepositions and non-spatial prepositions.

The spatial prepositions are:

| dec | 'same location as' or '(in the) presence of' |
| :--- | :--- |
| fen | '(away) from' |
| had | 'toward' |
| kerenga | 'upon' |
| jig | 'LOC' (generic) |
| kuk | 'along' |
| ni | 'at' |
| rejrej | 'around' |
| rud | 'against' |
| rudud | 'by' |
| skod | 'to (s.o. location)' |
| tum | 'on(to) (surface of)' |

The non-spatial prepositions are:
daka 'avert'
gug 'to (goal)'
jera '(along) with'
jida 'until'
jug 'against'
rot 'about' or 'with'
sof 'instead (of)'
ten 'BEN (benefit of)'

```
ni 'for (benefit of)'
ni 'on/at' (specific time/date)
```

Prepositional notions are not expressed solely by prepositions, but are also expressed by a small group of verbal prepositions (§9.2) and the spatial relator nouns (§3.1.2.2.2).

### 4.4 Question words

Question words, which are used to request information, come from several word classes. (Discussed more fully in §12.1.2.) Forms meaning 'who' or 'what' are nominal, the form meaning 'which' is a demonstrative, forms expressing 'when', 'how' and 'where' are adverbial and the word for 'how many' is verbal. The questions words used in information questions are:

| ida | 'who' | human entity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| midá | 'what' | non-human entity |
| echa | 'when' | time |
| hádefa | 'where' | location |
| tinefa | 'how' | manner |
| nefa | 'which' | identity |
| érgaha | 'how many' $\sim$ ' how much' | quantity |
| rot midá | 'why' | reason |

Question words regarding time, location, manner, identity or reason are phrases or words. The question word midá 'what' is composed of the generic nominalizer $m$ - plus the human entity question word ida 'who(ever)'. The proximal deictic -ef attached to the deictic nominalizer prefix no- 'DNR' forms the demonstrative pronoun nef 'which', that may also function interrogatively. The proximal deictic $-e f$ cliticized to the preposition had 'toward' forms hádefa 'where'. (The final $-a$ 'PGE' in these words is optional in running speech.)

| $n(o)-e f-a$ | 'which (one)' | (DNR-near-PGE) | [ n ¢́фа] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| had-ef-a | 'where' | (toward=near-PGE) | [ x dedeф ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $t i-n(o)-e f-a$ | 'how' | (?-DNR-near-PGE) | [tinzфa] |

The interrogative manner word $t i-n e f-a$ 'how' is composed of a prefix $t i$-, unattested in other Moskona forms, plus the question word nef 'which' and an optional -a 'PGE'. The prefix $t i$ - may have as an origin the Hatam nominalizer $t i-/$ si- (Reesink 1999:92), referred to by Reesink (2002a:17) as an areal nominalizer. The prefix ti- is morphologically similar to the prefix tei- which attaches to the root -enefa in the Meyah manner question word teinefa 'how' (Gravelle 2004:165). Maybrat has an interrogative prefix to-, but it refers to location (Dol 1999:116).

Question words which request quantity are composed of the bound quantifier root -aha 'QUAN' prefixed with a numeral classifier prefix, a prefix which is determined by the properties of the noun category.

| a. | erg-aha | 'how many' (generic) | (NUM:1-QUAN) | [ह́rgaxa] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | or-i-aha | 'how many' (mammals) | (NUM:7-?-QUAN) | [oríxa] |
| c. | ers-(a)ha | 'how many' (winged) | (NUM:6-QUAN) | [ह́rsxa] |
| d. | ork-aha | 'how many' (thin flat) | (NUM:11-QUAN) | [orkáxa] |

The temporal quantity word cergáha 'how many times' is composed of the generic numeral classifier prefix erg- 'NUM:1', prefixed with $c$-, a variant of the ordinal prefix $o c u$ - 'ORD' and the quantifier root -aha 'QUAN'.
c-erg-aha 'how many times' (ORD-NUM:1-QUAN) [Tférgáxa]

### 4.5 Conjunctions

Conjunctions are words which link words, phrases or clauses. Coordinating conjunctions, which conjoin units of equal rank, form a small closed class. The aspectual adverb eke 'almost' functions as an enumerator, conjoining words, phrases or clauses, and so is included in the list of conjunctions. The coordinating conjunctions are given in the list below along with the semantic relations which they govern. The syntactic behavior of conjunctions is discussed in $\S 11.3$.

| Conjunction | Gloss | Semantic Relation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dokun | 'and/with' | additive/ conjoining |
| edá | 'then' | sequential |
| eke | 'ENUM' | enumerative |
| tiná | 'but' | adversative |
| éra | 'DSJ' | disjunctive |
| erogá | 'hence' or 'so' | sequential-resultative |

Subordinating conjunctions conjoin units of unequal rank, marking one element as subordinate to the other. Subordinating conjunctions fall into three groups: complementizers, relativizers and adverbializers (Schachter 1985:50). Moskona has two complementizers, ohot 'say', a speech verb which functions as a subordinator for direct and indirect quotes, and rot 'about', a preposition which introduces the complements of cognition, mental or intent verbs. The relativizer noga 'REL' is composed of the deictic nominalizer no- plus the relativizer root -ga. The words which serve as adverbializers in Moskona come from the classes of prepositions and verbal prepositions, as illustrated in the following lists.
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Prepositions as subordinating conjunctions:

| daka | 'avert' | negative purpose |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jida | 'until' | temporal non-overlap |
| jig | ''LOC' | locational |
| jug | 'against' | negative purpose |
| $n i$ | 'for' | purpose |
| sof | 'instead (of)' | replacive |

Verbal prepositions as subordinating conjunctions:

| eke | 'ENUM' | enumeration <br> esha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| erésha | 'be (away) from' | cause/reason |
| okuk | 'by means of' or 'through', | means |
| oromn jen | 'like' | 'according to' / 'as' |

## Chapter 5 Deixis

### 5.0 Introduction

Deictic elements are those forms in a language which are used for pointing to things or places. Utilizing a relative perspective, the basic parameter which characterizes their application is distance from a point of reference, which may be the speaker, a previous utterance or the present time. Thus, deictic elements are closely tied to the speaker in the here-and-now.

This chapter provides a presentation of the deictic elements found in Moskona. Its purpose is to discuss the four dimensions of deixis operating in the language: personal, spatial, textual and temporal deixis, and to provide discussion and illustration for each. Personal deixis is included in this chapter because pronouns are referring expressions which are context sensitive (Croft 1991:127, Anderson and Keenan 1985:260). A description of the personal deixis system begins with the pronoun sets in $\S 5.1$ and concludes with the pronominal affixes in §5.1.6. A discussion of the spatial deixis system opens in $\S 5.2$ with the introduction of the spatial deictic clitics, followed by a presentation of three sets of terms indicating additional dimensions of space. In §5.3, textual deixis is discussed, followed by the added dimension of known information and the deictic focusing element. Temporal deixis as an extension of spatial deixis is introduced in §5.4. The chapter concludes with several non-deictic spatial expressions in §5.5.

### 5.1 Personal Deixis

Pronouns are a finite set of words used to refer to the speaker, the hearer(s) and other persons or entities whose referents are presumed clear to the hearer(s) (Schachter 1985:25). Moskona has four sets of pronouns and one primary set of pronominal prefixes, which are all morphologically related to varying degrees. (Other pronominal prefixes, such as those on kinship terms (§3.1.3.2) and those which occur on a few collapsed emotional-state predicates (§9.3.1.1), are restricted to those specific instances.) The pronoun sets are composed of the personal pronouns, the possessive pronouns, the reflexive pronouns and the exclusive pronouns. Table 5.1 gives an overview of the forms of the pronouns and pronominal prefixes.

Table 5.1 Comprehensive chart of pronominals

|  | Personal | Possessive | Reflexive | Exclusive | Prefix |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG | dif | dadin | difaha | di-esisok | di- |
| 2SG | bua | buwun | buaha | bi-esisok | bi- |
| 3SG | ofa | ofon | ofaha | $\varnothing$-esisok | $\varnothing$ |
| 1DU | yef | yefyen | yefaha | y-esisok | y- |
| 2DU | yoga | yogyen | yogaha | y-esisok | y- |
| 3DU | ergog | ergen | ergaha | y-esisok | y- |
| 1PL | mif | mifmin | mifaha | mi-esisok | mi- |
| 2PL | yua | yuyun | yuaha | yi-esisok | yi- |
| 3PL | eri | erin | eriaha | i-esisok | i- |

The personal pronouns, possessive pronouns and reflexive pronouns distinguish three degrees of number and three persons, yielding nine-person pronoun sets, a type less frequently observed in the world's pronoun systems (Ingram 1978:220), but found in a number of other languages of the Bird's Head (Reesink 1998:620). There is a greater degree of distinction in the pronoun sets than in the pronominal prefixes, (and by extension the exclusive pronouns, which are inflected for referent by the pronominal prefixes), as all dual categories in the pronominal prefixes have collapsed into a single prefix $y$ - 'DU'. The pronoun sets do not distinguish gender, although gender is found in various Papuan stocks. Rather, if necessary to distinguish the gender of a pronominal referent, a compound composed of a third person personal pronoun plus a noun indicating gender, es 'male' or $e j$ 'female', is used (cf. §3.1.4.1.7).

### 5.1.1 Personal pronouns

The personal pronouns are syntactically distinctive from other pronouns, as they may occur as a core grammatical argument (subject or object), a peripheral argument or occasionally possessor in a possession phrase, that is, they may take the place of a noun, or noun phrase referring to a human. (Personal pronouns typically refer to humans, with the exception of anthropomorphic use in legends in which animals take on human traits.) Third person personal pronouns do not distinguish gender, and so are glossed as 's/he' in examples. Third person pronouns are less deictic, acting more like nouns, in that they may be modified by a demonstrative pronoun, such as ergog 'they.DU' modified by the demonstrative pronoun nomi in (1) and in a few limited circumstances they may be modified by a noun (cf. §6.2).

The personal pronouns are:

| SG | 1 | dif |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | bua |
|  | 3 | ofa |
| DU | 1 | yef |
|  | 2 | yoga |
|  | 3 | ergog |
| PL | 1 | mif |
|  | 2 | yua |
|  | 3 | eri |
|  | recip | mogum |

Some of the personal pronouns appear to be morphologically complex. The first person personal pronouns, dif 'I', yef 'we.DU' and mif 'we.PL', references which include the speaker, appear to be composed of a first person pronominal prefix, di- ' 1 SG ', $y$ - 'DU' or $m i-1$ ' 1 ' ' and the proximal deictic -ef 'near'. The vowel /i/ in the prefixes $d i$ - and $m i$ - and the vowel /e/ in the proximal deictic -ef coalesce to produce $/ \mathrm{i} /$ in the pronouns dif and mif. The second and third person dual pronouns yoga 'you.DU' and ergog 'they.DU' appear to be composed of a bound root $-o g(a)$ plus the prefix $y$ - 'DU' for second person dual and the numeral classifier erg- ' $\mathrm{NUM}: 1$ ' for third person dual. (There is little evidence that the dual pronouns are derived from the numeral -ak 'two', although they may share a common ancestry.) The second person personal pronouns bua 'you.SG' and yua 'you.PL' as well as the third person personal pronouns ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ' and eri 'they.PL' may not be broken down further, although it may be noted that eri and the third person plural pronominal prefix $i$ - both contain the high front vowel $/ \mathrm{i}$ /, as does the plural marker -ir 'PL'.

The personal pronouns may occur in all positions filled by noun phrases, serving as core arguments, such as the subject dif 'I' in (1), or the object yef 'we.DU' in (2), or as peripheral arguments, such as ergog 'they.DU' in (1) or yef we.DU' in (3).
(1) Dif di-éysaha dec ergog no-ma-i y-oyom-okum.

I 1SG-reach same.loc.as they.DU DNR-far-GIV DU-request-heavy
'I arrived in the presence of those two arguing.' (request heavy = argue) [D]
(2) masur esk(a) yef esebra er-os men-esif tum. sandfly sting we.DU continuous CAUS-mve.horiz. day-open onto 'sandflies stung us continuously until daylight'

[^29](3) Ofa ecka mostebka efej jig mok estak erg-ak gug yef. s/he dish.up beans dried LOC bowl butt NUM:1-two to we.DU 'She served beans in two bowls to us.'

The reciprocal pronoun mogum appears to be composed of the reciprocal circumfix (e)m--im(a) and the root $-o g$, which is also found in the dual pronouns. The reciprocal pronoun mogum '(to/with/from) each other', used to express mutual actions, may function as an object or an peripheral argument with a semantic role as goal, recipient, source or beneficiary. It is unmarked syntactically as the agent and reciprocal participant are coreferent and have conceptually merged by association, conferring on it core status. (cf. Payne 1997:200, Foley and Van Valin 1985:302). All other peripheral arguments are coded for semantic role by a preposition (§7.0). When serving as a peripheral argument, the reciprocal pronoun occurs in the same clausal position as other peripheral arguments which have similar semantic roles (i.e. following the object). Person and number distinctions are neutralized for mogum, such that it may refer to two people, such as the object in (4) referring to yef 'we.DU', or many people, as in (5) referring to eri 'they.PL' or in (6) referring to mif 'we.PL'.
(4) Yef y-egen mona ofoj mogum esha ni y-owha jig we.DU DU-promise day pre-set each.other from for DU-leave LOC
kota.
town
'We promised a pre-set time to each other in order to leave for town.'
(5) Eri i-ohot mogum ni i-oksomus..
they.PL 3PL-say each.other for 3PL-return
'They said to each other to return...'

mif mi-eyta $\quad$ karet $\quad$| mogum |
| :--- |
| each.other |

we.PL 1PL-take rubber
'we gave rubber (bands) to each other'

### 5.1.2 Possessive pronouns

There are nine possessive pronouns, which distinguish three persons and three degrees of number, to express definite possession. The possessive pronouns appear to have been originally a construction composed of a personal pronoun, a pronominal prefix indicating person and number of the possessor, and a possessive verb. There is no longer a transitive verb, such as en, meaning 'have' or 'possess', and the present forms have become highly fused, containing only fragments of the original components, so that the vowels are not easily explained. As this is a not productive construction, the possessive pronouns will be represented as unitary forms in subsequent discussion.

The possessive pronouns are:

| SG | 1 | da-d-in | dadin | [dádin] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | bi-b-un | buwun | [búwun] |
|  | 3 | of-on | ofon | [óфon] |
| DU | 1 | yef-y-en | yefyen | [jé¢jєn] |
|  | 2 | yog-y-en | yogyen | [jógjen] |
|  | 3 | erg-en ${ }^{37}$ | ergen | [érgen] |
| PL | 1 | mif-m-in ${ }^{38}$ | mifmin | [míqmin] |
|  | 2 | $y u-y$-un ${ }^{39}$ | yuyun | [jujún] |
|  | 3 | eri-in | erin | [Érın] |

Possessive pronouns precede the possessed in a noun phrase, such as dadin in (7) precedes mok 'bowl' or ergen precedes efer 'child' in (8).
Dif dadin mok ah hadef-a?
I 1SGPOS bowl lie where-PGE
'Where is my bowl?' 'Where is my bowl?'
[D]
Y-ek ergen efer no-ma-i, ofa orokec tek-tek jog. DU-see 3DUPOS child DNR-far-GIV she big increment already '(They) saw their child, he was already big (grown) bit by bit.'
[T10]
Possessive pronouns obligatorily have a 'possessed' item and therefore do not occur independently. That is, they are not expressions of 'mine', 'yours' or 'ours', but always occur in a possessive phrase construction, usually imbedded within a larger construction. However, if the head noun is omitted, a possessive pronoun, such as buwun in (9), may stand in its place.
(9) Buwun e-nef=o?!

2SGPOS FOC-which=EMP
'Your (thing) is which (one)?!'
The possessive pronouns, such as yefyen in (10) and (11), may also function predicatively as possessor verbs (§9.8.1.1.2).
(10) Dif dokun dadin mahina yefyen efer.
I and 1SGPOS husband 1DUPOS child
'I and my husband have a child.'

[^30]\[

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Yef yefyen } & \text { i-efer } & \text { i-erg-om. }  \tag{11}\\
\text { we.DU 1DUPOS } & \text { 3PL-child } & \text { 3PL-NUM:1-three } \\
\text { 'We have three children.' or 'our three children.' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

Although possessive pronouns typically refer to an animate entity, such as yefyen refers to humans in (11), they may also refer to an inanimate entity, such as ofon '3SGPOS' refers to srad nomi 'the document' in (12).

(12) | Srad |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| document | no-ma-i | DNR-far-GIV inactive | from | asGPOS | Christmas | THM |

## oysa jog.

finish already
'The document is invalid, because it's year is already up.' (Christmas = year) [D]

### 5.1.3 Reflexive pronouns

There are nine pronouns which have a reflexive function. They are distinct from the reciprocal pronoun. The reflexive pronouns distinguish three persons and three degrees of number, agreeing in person and number with their antecedent. The reflexive pronouns are:

| SG | 1 | dif-aha ${ }^{40}$ | [di¢а́xa] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | bu(a)-aha | [buáxa] |
|  | 3 | of(a)-aha | [офа́ха] |
| DU | 1 | yef-aha | [j¢фа́ха] |
|  | 2 | yog(a)-aha | [jogáxa] |
|  | 3 | erg-aha | [ergáxa] |
| PL | 1 | mif-aha | [miфáxa] |
|  | 2 | $y u(a)-a h a$ | [juáxa] |
|  | 3 | eri-aha | [eriáxa] |

The morphological composition of the reflexive pronouns includes a personal pronoun plus a suffixal part -aha ' RX ', the origin of which may be a fragment of the noun efega 'body' or the intransitive verb ebah 'live', which frequently appears in the abbreviated form -ah when forming a noun compound, such as eteyj(a)-(eb)ah (eye-live) 'life' or

[^31]$m a r-(e b) a h$ (thing-live) 'insect'. The final vowel /a/ in personal pronouns bua, ofa, and yoga elides when the suffix is attached.

A reflexive pronoun may function as the object of a transitive verb, such as ofaha in (13), or as the object of a preposition, that is, as a peripheral argument, such as eriaha in (14). The reflexive may only have the subject argument of the clause as its antecedent.
(13) Ofa edem ofaha, esha méesa i-em-ek ofa. $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hide 3SGRX from enemy 3PL-IRR-see $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ 'He hid himself, because the enemy would see him.'
(14) Eri i-oyna mar-mosorn(a) edeses ni eriah(a).
they.PL 3PL-cook thing-hunger many for 3PLRX
'They cook lots of food for themselves.'
[D]
Reflexive pronouns may function as a reflexive possessive with a meaning like 'one's own (self)', such as buaha buduy in (15) meaning 'one's own front', or ten buaha in (16) meaning 'for one's own benefit' or ah gug yergaha in (17) which indicates 'is their own'

| Bиа <br> you.SG | bi-esah 2SG-put | mar <br> thing | no-ma-i <br> DNR-that-GIV | oromn(a) jena according precise | buaha <br> 2SGRX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bi-oduy |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2SG-front |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Put the (front kno | stuff pre nows $=$ wis | ly acc | ording to you | own desire (where | r you w |

Dif di-odu mar ten buaha.
I 1SG-tell thing BEN 2SGRX
'I told it for your own benefit.'
[D]
(17) Bua bi-eyta mar no-ma-i edak, esha mar no-ma-i you.SG 2SG-take thing DNR-far-GIV NEG.DEON from thing DNR-far-GIV
ah gug y-ergaha.
lie to DU-3DURX
'You shouldn't take that, because the thing is their (two) own (belongs to them).'

The reflexive pronouns also have an emphatic function, such as ofaha reiterates the object argument of-es 'him' in (18).

```
edá i-em-ofra of-es, ofaha, (tin)ogura.
then 3PL-IRR-lift s/he-male 3SGRX, but.not
'then (they) would have lifted (kidnapped) him, himself, but it didn't happen.'
```

[T18]
In order to resolve the referential competition between two participants competing for the same pronoun, the reflexive pronoun ofaha ' 3 SGRX' is used in discourse to distinguish between two main participants. The primary or higher ranked participant is referred to in the narrative as ofaha 'himself/herself', while the secondary participant continues to be referred to with the third person singular personal pronoun ofa 's/he'. In (19) the participants Yom and Ijisir are both mentioned in the first sentence. In the second sentence, ofaha refers to Ijisir, who is the "winner" at the end of the story.


### 5.1.4 Exclusive pronouns

The exclusive pronouns are composed of a pronominal prefix, indicating person and number of referent, attached to what appears to be a fused form of the verbs esis 'exclude' and $o k$ 'bear', producing a new lexical item esisok ${ }^{41}$, which has the meaning '(be) alone' or 'by one's self', glossed in examples as EXPN. The pronominal prefixes attaching to the exclusive pronouns are identical to the prefixes which index subject on verbs and possessor on inalienable nouns. They are distinctive, in that they are the only pronouns with person-number prefixes.

[^32]The exclusive pronouns are:

| SG | 1 | di-esisok | $[$ disisók] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | bi-esisok | $[$ bisisók] |
|  | 3 | ø-esisok | $[$ [sisók] |
| DU |  | $y$-esisok | $[$ isisók] |
| PL | 1 | mi-esisok | $[$ misisók] |
|  | 2 | yi-esisok | $[j i s i s o ́ k]$ |
|  | 3 | $i$-esisok | $[$ isisók] |

Exclusive pronouns may occur following a subject noun or pronoun, such as disisok follows dif in (20), or esisok follows ofa in (21).
(20) Dadin i-osnok romreg i-eyja jig kota, tiná dif di-esisok erá 1SGPOS 3PL-person all 3PL-go LOC town but I 1SG-EXPN THM di-eker.
1SG-sit
'My relatives all went to the city, but I alone stayed.'
(21) Ejena no-ma-i, ofa esisok owha jig maeken(a). woman DNR-far-GIV s/he EXPN leave LOC garden 'The woman, she alone went to the garden.'

As the complement of the generic locative jig 'LOC', as in (22), it has the meaning 'by ones self', indicating 'remaining apart'.

| Efer | no-ma-i, | ofa | ebah | jig | esisok. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| child |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| DNR-far-GIV | s/he |
| :--- | :--- |

'The kid, he lives alone/by himself.'
[T27]

### 5.1.5 Pronominal prefixes

The pronominal prefixes distinguish three degrees of number: single, dual and plural, but have an asymmetrical presentation in distinguishing person. The single and plural categories distinguish three persons, but in the dual category, the forms have conflated to $y$ - 'DU', thus persons are not distinguished. A noun phrase or a personal pronoun, such as ergog 'they.DU' in (23), is necessary to distinguish person.

> ergog y-ebah jig mod erg-es they.DU DU-live LOC house NUM:1-one 'they lived in one house'

Third person singular is marked with $\varnothing$, a null morpheme. This type of situation is common cross-linguistically, as third person refers to non-participants in the speech act (Foley \& Van Valin 1985:288). The pronominal prefixes are:

| SG | 1 | $d i-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | $b i-$ |
|  | 3 | $\varnothing$ |
| DU |  | $y-$ |
| PL | 1 | $m i-$ |
|  | 2 | $y i-$ |
|  | 3 | $i-$ |

The pronominal prefixes occur on verbs and inalienable nouns. They obligatorily index subject on verbs functioning as a predicate, such as $m i$ - ' 1 PL' attached to owha 'leave' in (24a) and bi- '2SG' attached to $e k$ 'see' in (24b). They signal the person and number of the possessor on inalienable nouns, such as di- '1SG' attached to ebir 'head' in (25a) or $i$ '3PL' attached to osum 'nose' in (25b). And they inflect adjectival and definite quantifier verbs to agree with the person and number of a nominal referent, such as $i$ - '3PL' prefixed to ofogog 'evil' in (26a) and $i$ - '3PL'attached to ergom 'three' in (26b).


Morphophonemic processes affecting the pronominal prefixes are discussed in $\S 2.5$.

### 5.1.6 Pronominal circumfix

The reciprocal marker em- -ima '(to/with/from) each other' is a split morpheme. It attaches to a transitive verb and is composed of a prefixal part em- and a suffixal part $i m(a)$, functioning together to refer to a reciprocal object argument, as in (27), or a reciprocal peripheral argument, as in (28). The circumfix may also refer to nonhuman entities, such as ofonga 'tendril' in (29). The reciprocal circumfix may replace the reciprocal pronoun mogum 'each other', but the circumfix and the reciprocal pronoun mogum do not co-occur.
Mif mi-em-emk(a)-ima. [mimémkema]
we.PL 1PL-RECIP-poison
'We poisoned each other.'
[T15]
Eri i-or i-etma mar-m-osorn i-em-er-ost(a)-ima esebra. they.PL 3PL-hold 3PL-arm thing-NR-hunger 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-thrust continuous 'They constantly passed food to each other with their hands.' (hunger thing = food)

Ofonga em-eregejg-ejg(a)-ima. [عmeregeđ̄̄géd̄gema]
tendril RECIP-surround-RED
'The tendrils wrapped around each other.'

### 5.1.7 Indefinite pronouns

A number of words taken from other classes may function as indefinite pronouns. The question word ida 'who' may be used as an indefinite pronoun meaning 'whoever', as in (30).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Ida noga } i \text {-oduy os-os } & \text { ni } & \text { orot eri-a.. } \\
\text { who REL } & \text { 3PL-front move.horiz.-RED } & \text { for } \\
\text { go.with they.PL-PGE }  \tag{TT}\\
\text { 'Whoever that wants to go along with them ...' (front move.horiz. = want) }
\end{array}
$$

The indefinite quantifier enia 'some other', which functions as a modifier in noun phrases, as in (31), may also function as an indefinite pronoun. It may fill the position of a verbal argument, such as subject, as in (32), or it may be relativized as object, as in (33). The referent may be animate or inanimate. The indefinite quantifier enia 'some other' begins with a non-high vowel, like the verbs, but takes no affixation, contrasting with the Meyah cognate eneya 'some' (Gravelle 2004:89) which takes pronominal prefixes agreeing with the person-number category of the nominal referent.
(31) Efer no-ma-i, ofa ahr-ahra rot i-osnok enia. child DNR-far-GIV s/he associate-RED about 3PL-person some.other 'The child is friendly with some other people.'
edá enia i-ahamow tum gijga.
then some.other 3PL-vomit onto only
'then some others (of them) just vomited.'
edá bua bi-et noga enia.
then you.SG 2SG-eat REL some.other
'then you eat some of the other ones.'

The contrastive indefinite specifier erg-em (NUM:1-CST) '(an)other (one)', which contrasts the referent with like entities (§4.2.6.2), may stand in the position of the head noun, functioning as an indefinite pronoun when the head is omitted, as the referent may easily be retrieved from the context. It has a meaning like 'whoever' in (34) or 'other' in (35).

| i-erg-em | i-es(is)ok jera | ofon mohena dokun ofon |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-NUM:1-CST | 3PL-EXPN | with | 3SGPOS | wife and | 3SGPOS |

i-eferiok romreg
3PL-sm.child all
'whoever was alone with his wife and all his small children'
(35) Ofa ocot i-erg-em i-efena ruruy gug i-osnok susuy. $\mathrm{s} /$ he (re)count 3PL-NUM:1-CST 3PL-spirit unrestrict. to 3PL-person other.kind 'He recounted unrestrictedly (gossiped about) others' character to outsiders.' [D]

### 5.2 Spatial deixis

The spatial deictics form a distance-oriented system, in which the middle deictic specifies a distance which is a bit farther from the speaker than the proximal deictic. They designate spatial location relative to that of the speech event, that is, they mark horizontal distance from the speaker. The spatial deixis system distinguishes the four parameters: (a) distal, with a three-way distinction; (b) elevational with two parameters 'across' and 'up'; (c) directional, specifying motion or position towards and away from deictic center, and (d) visibility, either visible or non-visible.

### 5.2.1 Spatial enclitics

The spatial enclitics distinguish three degrees of distance from deictic center: close to speaker, farther from speaker, and remote from speaker. The spatial enclitics are:

| $-e f \sim-k a$ | 'near' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-m a$ | 'far' |
| $-m e j{ }^{42}$ | 'remote' |

The spatial clitics -ef 'near' and $-m e j$ 'remote' have spatial functions only, while the enclitics $-k a$ 'near' and -ma 'far' also have textual functions (cf. §5.3.1).

[^33]Spatial enclitics may attach to verbs, such as $-k a$ 'near' attached to éysaha 'reach' in (35), -ma 'far' attached to ebah 'live' in (36), or $-m e j$ 'remote' attached to ebisa 'cry' in (37). As locative adverbs, they may be glossed 'here', 'there', and 'yonder' respectively.

Eri i-en-éysaha=ka.
[inenésaxaka]
they.PL 3PL-DUR-reach=near
'They are arriving here.'
[D]

Mes en-ebah=ma
[ $\mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ ßáxma]
dog DUR-live=far
'There is a dog there.'
[D]

Y-ebisa=mej erá mar=ey? [jeßísameब̄]
DU-cry=remote THM thing-Q
'Did you (two) yonder cry something?'
Spatial clitics, such as -ma 'far' may also attach to a spatial relator noun, such as okun '(out)side' in (38) or ogoh 'base' in (39), or may attach to a locative adverb, such as teraw 'above' in (40).
(38) Merga en-ah okun=ma.
wood DUR-lie outside=far
'The stick was next to it there.'
(39) No-ma-i en-ah mers(a) ogoh=ma.

DNR-far-GIV DUR-lie floor base=far
'It is under the floor (sleeping place) there.'
(40) Mokaha efca en-ah jig teraw=ma.
housefly group DUR-lie LOC above=far
'Swarms of flies were above there.'

### 5.2.1.1 Spatial compound -kef

The spatial enclitics -ef 'near' and $-k a$ 'near' combine to form the proximal compound $-k e f$ 'here', realized phonetically as $[\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \Phi]$. Statistically, the compound has a much greater frequency than either of the individual enclitics and appears to be replacing the individual use of -ef 'near' or -ka 'near'. The spatial compound -kef will be given unitary treatment in subsequent discussion, as its meaning is not distinguished from its individual components, and will be glossed as 'here' rather than 'near=near'.

When functioning spatially the spatial compound $-k e f$ is cliticized the final element of the clause, such as the verb ah 'lie' in (41) or the nominalized contrastive specifier mergem
＇other＇in（42）．When functioning textually as a determiner，it may be cliticized to the final element of the construction，such as attached to the verb ah＇lie＇as the final element of the relative clause in（43）or attached to the adverbial tok＇specific location＇as in（44）．
（41）ofa ek，tiná merga mesrohur owos en－ah＝kef． s／he see but wood k．o．tree produce DUR－lie＝here ＇she saw，but（unexpectedly）a mesrohur tree seedpod was here．＇

M－ok－era，ofa ot jug miy ewet m－erg－em＝kef． NR－sib．s．s．－old．s／he stand against water semi－solid NR－DET－CST＝here ＇The older brother，he guarded the side of the river bank here．＇［T7］

| Mod noga | en－ah＝kef | roga，esha susuy | em－ah éra． |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| house REL | DUR－lie－here | first from other．kind | IRR－lie | NEG | ＇This house is the one that was first，because there wasn＇t any other（before it）．＇

［D］
（44）Bua m－otusus tok＝kef edak． you．SG IRR－go．to．and．fro spec．location＝here NEG．DEON
＇Don＇t go back and forth［in］this spot here．＇
［D］

## 5．2．1．2 Spatial clitics with generic spatial preposition

The spatial clitics may attach to the generic spatial preposition jig＇LOC＇，which operates as a vague location preposition，to form simple prepositional phrases，such as jigef in （45），jigma in（46）and jigmej in（47）．The resulting form is a single phonological unit． The phonetic realization is presented in brackets．

| Bua bi－en bi－ah$\quad$ jig＝ef． | ［〔̧iḱ́ $\Phi]$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you SG | 2SG－come | 2SG－lie | LOC＝near |


| Bua | bi－esah | mergej jig＝ma． | ［〔るı̂gma］ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you．SG | 2SG－put | firewood | LOC＝far |


| Ofa | orka | mar | no－ma－i | $\boldsymbol{j i g}=\boldsymbol{m e j}$ ． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| she | carry | thing | DNR－far－ | LOC＝remote |  |
| ＇He carried the thing at yonder．＇ |  |  |  |  |  |

Both variants of the proximal clitic may co－occur in the same sentence，such as $-k a$＇near＇ cliticized to the verb $a h$＇lie＇and－ef cliticized to the generic preposition jig＇LOC＇in（48）．

Mok en-ah=ka jig=ef.
bowl DUR-lie=near LOC-near
'The bowl is here at this place.'

### 5.2.1.3 Spatial nouns

The deictic nominalizer prefix no- 'DNR' attaches to the spatial clitics - $k a$ 'near' (49), -ma 'far' (50), or -mej 'remote' (51) to form spatial nouns, which typically occur as the object of the generic preposition jig 'LOC', having a meaning like 'the place here' or 'the place there'. The deictic nominalizer also attaches to the proximal compound -kef 'here', as in (52). (The proximal clitic -ef 'near' is excluded, as no-ef forms the demonstrative pronoun nef 'which (one)').
bua bi-éysaha jug dif jig no-ka-i jog
you.SG 2SG-reach against I LOC DNR-near-GIV already 'you already beat me to (the place) here'

Ofa esisga i-osnok toga jig no-ma.
s/he ask.question 3PL-person different LOC DNR-far
'He asked different people at the place there.'
(51) Ofa ororum jig no-mej.
s/he be.lost LOC DNR-remote
'He was lost (vanished) at the (place) yonder.'
Ergog y-ewer rudud mif jig no-kef
they.DU DU-pass by we.PL LOC NR-here
'They passed by us (at) this place.'
[T10]
The deictic nominalizer no- may also nominalize a temporal adverbial, such as ecki 'two days ago' in (53), occurring as the modifier of kus 'short span'.
(53) Dif di-en fen dadin mowos kus no-ecki jog.

I 1SG-come from 1 SGPOS village short.span DNR-two.days.ago already
'I came from my village [on] the day before yesterday.'
[D]

### 5.2.2 Elevational roots

The elevational roots indicate two degrees of orientation, upwards and across, and are asymmetrical in presentation. The elevational root -ba 'across' indicates an area which is on the same horizontal plane as the speaker. The elevation root - $d a$ 'upward' indicates an orientation which is above the speaker. There is no elevational root indicating a downward orientation.

The elevational roots are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-b a & \text { 'across' } \\
-d a & \text { 'up(ward) }
\end{array}
$$

The elevational roots are morphologically distinct, in that they occur only with a visibility prefix, $i$ - 'VIS' or $u$ - 'NONVIS'. The word may function as a locative adverb, such as ida 'up there' in (54) or iba 'across there' in (55), or may be the complement of a locative preposition, such as ibeyja functioning as the complement of the preposition had 'toward' in (56), and ubeyja as the complement of jig in (57). The elevational roots do not cooccur with the spatial clitics.
(54) Isudga eker jig ofon Isudga ofog i-da. Isudga sit LOC 3SGPOS Isudga mound vIS-up 'Isudga lived on his Isudga hill up there.'
[T27]
(55) Bua bi-eyja bi-osiom had i-ba. you.SG 2SG-go 2SG-play toward VIS-across 'Go [and] play (ball) toward [the area] across there.'
(56) Ofa eyja osiom had i-ba=eyj(a).
s/he go play toward vIS-across=THITHER 'S/he went to play toward [the area] across there.'
(57) Ofa edem mar no-ma-i jig u-ba=eyja.

I hide thing DNR-far-GIV LOC NONVIS-across=THITHER 'She hid that thing at [the place] across there.'

To indicate a downward orientation, the locative adverbs tesi 'below' or gujga 'beneath' must be used, as in (58).
(58) Ofa ome-miy, erogá ebir od mogom noga ah he submerge-water hence head accid.strike stone REL lie
gujga u-теј.
beneath NONVIS-remote
'He dived in the river, so his head accidently struck a rock which lay on the bottom yonder.'

### 5.2.3 Visibility prefixes

There are two prefixes which add the dimension of visibility to spatial clitics and elevational roots:

| $i-$ | 'VIS' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $u-$ | 'NONVIS' |

The deictic prefix $i$ - 'VIS' indicates the object or event is located in a place visible to the speaker and hearer. The prefix $u$ - 'NONVIS' indicates the location of the event is not visible to the speaker or hearer and extends to include locations which are mythical or hypothetical. A prefix for visibility may attach to a spatial clitic, such as $i$ - attached to $-e f$ 'near' in (59), or $u$ - attached to -mej 'remote' in (60). A visibility prefix also may attach to an elevational root, such as $i$ - attached to - da 'upward' in (61), or $-b a$ 'across' in (62). The form *u-ef does not occur, as it would involve contradictory features. These forms function as locative adverbs.

Bua bi-en ok i-ef i-en.
you.SG 2SG-come section VIS-near VIS-HITHER
'Come to the area here.'
[D]
Edá, ergog y-ahaw rot $\operatorname{mog}(a) \quad$ u-mej-a
then they.DU DU-descend about wall NONVIS-remote-PGE
'Then, they descended by the cliff face yonder.'
(61) edá oj jig miy Kuka efi i-da=en gijga. then descend.into LOC water Kuka liquid VIS-up=HITHER only 'then he just descended into the water of the Kuka river up there.'
(62) Bi-odos dif jig mirok ah i-ba.

2SG-meet I LOC jungle lie vIS-across
'Meet me in the jungle [that is] out there.'

### 5.2.4 Directional clitics

Directional clitics specify motion described by an action verb as proceeding toward or away from deictic center, which must be the speaker or a nearby point of reference. They appear to be grammaticalized forms of the motion verbs en 'come' and eyja 'go(to)' as former directional verbs in a serial verb construction. They may be glossed as 'toward the speaker's location/space' or 'HITHER' and 'away from the speaker's location/space' or 'THITHER'. The resultant words function as locative adverbs.

The directional clitics are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text {-eyja } & \text { 'THITHER' } \\
\text {-en } & \text { 'HITHER' }
\end{array}
$$

The directional clitics may attach a visibility prefix, such as $i$ - 'VIS' attached to en in (63), or $u$ - 'NONVIS' attached to en in (64) and eyja in (65).
(63) Bua bi-osra i-en jef-a! [in]
you.SG 2SG-enter VIS-HITHER DEON-PGE
'(you should) Come in here!'
(64) Bua bi-osoka u-en bi-esta mok erg-em you.SG 2SG-climb.up NONVIS-HITHER 2SG-suck pandanus NUM:1-CST
roga.
first $=$ PLEASE [un]
'Come up here [and] eat pandanus, please.' (out of sight of hearer)
(65) Dif di-em-esta mok ewek eweg-weg mow

I 1SG-IRR-suck pandanus thickened dribble-RED land
u-eyj(a)
[ud3]
NONVIS-THITHER
'I would suck (eat) pandanus [so] the pulp dribbled (to) the ground there.' [T14]
The directional clitics may be attached to an elevational root, such as en attached to -da 'up' in (66), eyja attached to -da in (67), or eyja attached to -ba 'across' in (68).
(66) Ofa oj fen i-da=en gijga. [idén] s/he descend.into from VIS-up=HITHER only He just descended from above.
[T30]
(67) Bua bi-esah marsa ofor jig mef i-da=eyj(a) [idédza]
you.SG 2SG-put game dehydrated LOC rack VIS-up=THITHER
'Put the dried game (meat) on the rack up there.'
(68) Merga noga orokec erá en-ot i-ba=eyj(a). [ibédza]
tree REL big THM DUR-stand VIS-across=THITHER 'The tree that is big is over there.'
[D]

In clauses which have a stative verb, such as the verb ot 'stand' which has an existential function in (68), the directional clitic retains the component of marking direction, but the
component of movement has bleached out, thus indicating the area facing towards or facing away from the location of the speaker.

The directional clitics may be prefixed by the generic nominalizer $m$ - ' NR ' to form the locative nouns men 'place HITHER' (69) and (70) and meyja 'place THITHER' (71) and (72) with meanings like 'to/at the place here' and 'to/at the place there'.
(69) Ofa em-eyet m-en se. [men]
s/he IRR-succeed NR-HITHER certainly
'He will follow (to the place) here for certain.'
[TT]
(70) Bua bi-ofra mar no-ka-i ofoj enam m-en. you.SG 2SG-lift thing DNR-near-GIV end half NR-HITHER 'Lift half (side) the thing's end (to the place) here.'
[D]
(71) Ofa eyja ok m-eyj(a). [médza]
s/he go.to section NR-THITHER
'S/he went to the area (at the place) over there.' [D]
(72) Bua bi-eyja jig tesi m-eyj(a).
you.SG 2SG-go LOC below NR-THITHER 'Go below (to the place) over there.'

A nominalized directional clitic, such as meyja, may be prefixed by a visibility marker, forming a word which functions as a locative adverb, as in (73) or (74).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { M-ok-era } & \text { oduk } & \text { m-ok-esa } & \text { ot } & \text { jug } & \text { miy } & \text { ewet }  \tag{73}\\
\text { NR-sib.s.s.-old. } & \text { order } & \text { NR-sib.s.s.-yg. } & \text { stand } & \text { against } & \text { water } & \text { semi.solid }
\end{array}
$$

## m-erg-em i-m-eyj(a).

NR-NUM:1-CST VIS-NR-THITHER
'Older one sent the younger to guard the other bank of the river (to the place) there.'
(74) (eri) i-ed most jig miy Mac ewet erg-em they.PL 3PL-strike victimLOC water Mac semi.solid NUM:1-CST

## i-m-eyj(a).

VIS-NR-THITHER
'they struck victims on the other side of the Mac riverbank over there.' [T7]

### 5.2.5 Relativized spatial deictics

Relativized spatial deictics are formed when a spatial enclitic, elevational root or directional clitic is cliticized to the relativizer $\operatorname{nog} a^{43}$, forming a headless non-verbal relative clause, a single phonological unit. A visibility prefix is required when a spatial deictic element is attached to the relativizer, such as the spatial enclitic $u$-mej 'NONVISremote' in (75), the elevational root $u$-ba 'NONVIS-across' in (76), or the directional clitic u-eyja 'NONVIS-THITHER' in (77). The phonetic realization is noted in brackets.
Ofa eyja jig nog(a)=u-mej. [nogúm $\overline{3}$ ]
he go.to LOC REL=NONVIS-remote
'He went to the place which was yonder.'

Dif dadin ayok of(a)-ej nog(a)=u-ba. [nogúba]
I 1SGPOS mother she-female REL=NONVIS-across
'I my mother is her [the one] who is across there.'

Edá, Armoda ah rot jig ofon mod efeyw no-ma-i
then Armoda lie about LOC 3SGPOS house immature DNR-that-GIV

Armoda efi nog(a)=u-eyj(a) [nogúdz]
Armoda water REL=NONVIS-THITHER
'Then, Armoda laid down in her small house [on] the Armoda tributary which was over there.'

The phonologically fused form functions attributively, modifying a nominal, such as of-ej 'her' in (76), but also functions as a nominal, filling the position of the object of a transitive verb, such as eyta 'take' in (78), as the complement of the generic locative preposition jig 'LOC', as in (79), or as a nominal predicate, as in (80).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Bua } & \text { bi-eyta } & \boldsymbol{n o g}(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{y j}(\boldsymbol{a}) . & \text { [nogubédza] } \\
\text { you.SG } & \text { 2SG-take } & \text { REL=NONVIS-across-THITHER } & \\
\text { 'Take the one which is over there.' }
\end{array}
$$

(79) Bua bi-eyta mar no-ma-i jig nog(a)=i-ba gijga. [nogíba]
you.SG 2SG-take thing DNR-far-GIV LOC REL=VIS-across only
'Just take the thing at the place which is across there.'
[D]

[^34]| Marog | noga | dif dadin erá | nog $(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{i}$ - $\boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{a}=\boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{y j}(\boldsymbol{a})$ | [nogidédza] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| arrow REL I 1SGPOS THM REL=VIS-up-THITHER |  |  |  |  |
| 'The arrow which is my (arrow) is the one which is up there.' | [D] |  |  |  |

### 5.3 Textual deixis

Perceptual space is mapped on to discourse space through the use of spatial deictics functioning textually. These deictics, functioning as demonstrative adjectives, refer to the spatial location and also delimit the object referred to by the noun, conveying a status of definiteness. In their textual function, the deictics may function anaphorically or situationally with reference to the speaker's space and time. For example, the phrase mot nomisi 'former night' as situational deixis may refer to 'yesterday evening' in a conversation between two people, or anaphorically in text, it may refer to a previous mention of 'night' in an earlier part of the discourse.

### 5.3.1 Textual clitics

The first and second degree spatial clitics $-k a$ 'near' and -ma 'far' have an extended textual function, as demonstrative adjectives. The third degree of textual distance is expressed through the textual clitic -mis 'former', replacing the spatial clitic -mej 'remote' which is restricted to spatial reference. The clitic -mis 'former' has only a textual function, indicating a resumed thematic element. The textual clitics are:

| $-k a$ | 'near' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-m a$ | 'far' |
| $-m i s^{44}$ | 'former' |

### 5.3.1.1 Demonstrative clitics

As a demonstrative adjective, a textual deictic may modify the head noun in a noun phrase, cliticized to the last element of the noun phrase, such as $-k a$ 'near' which modifies mow 'place' in (81), -ma 'far' which modifies desa 'village' in (82), -mis 'former' which modifies mot 'night' in (83), or the proximal compound -kef 'here' which modifies ewes in (84).

Edá, mif mow $=\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{a}$ mi-or mod efena.
then we place=near 1PL-build house new
'Then, we [of] this place constructed new houses.'

[^35]| I-osnok | i-erg-aha $\quad$ noga | i-en-ebah jig | desa ${ }^{45}=\boldsymbol{m a - i}$ ? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person | 3PL-NUM:1-QUAN REL | 3PL-DUR-live at | village=far-GIV |
| 'How many people are there who live in that village?' | [D] |  |  |

Dif di-efifa ni mot=mis-a.
I 1SG-chilled on night=former-PGE
'I was chilled last night.'
Ida noga en-ow mow ewes=kef-a?
who REL $\quad$ DUR-dig land cavity=here-PGE
'Who is (the one) who is digging this hole?'

When a textual clitic modifies the head of a relative clause, it is attached to the final element of the relative clause, such as the clitic -mis 'former', modifying ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ', is attached to mif 'we.PL' in (85), or the clitic -mis, modifying mar 'thing', is attached to the verb ohot 'say' in (86).

| Mif | mi-eyja | mi-okuc | gug | ofa | noga | oduk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | mif=mis- i.

Ofon amoka-ir i-oduy efi-fi rot mar noga Agus ohot=mis. 3SGPOS friend-PL 3PL-front liquify-RED about thing REL Agus say=former 'His friends were concerned about those things Agus had said.'
(front liquify = be concerned)
[T29]

### 5.3.1.2 Demonstrative pronouns

The spatial clitics $-k a$ 'near', -ma 'far', the textual clitic -mis 'former' and the spatial compound -kef 'here' are prefixed by the deictic nominalizer prefix no- 'DNR' to form the demonstrative pronouns:

| no-ka | 'this one' | $[$ nóka] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| no-ma | 'that one' | $[$ nóma] |
| no-mis | 'that former one' | $[$ nómis $]$ |
| no-kef | 'this one' | $[$ nók $\varepsilon \Phi]$ |

Demonstrative pronouns replace a noun, such as nomi 'that one' in (87) referring to an earlier participant which is renamed for clarity, and in (88) nokef 'this one' refers back to people recently mentioned.

[^36]Edá, no-ma-i, Ijisir, em-ah jig mek efem(a). then DNR-far-GIV Ijisir IRR-lie LOC pig nest 'Then, that one, Ijisir, slept in the pig's burrow.'
(88) No-kef i-en i-ogow mif. DNR-here 3PL-come 3PL-chop we.PL
'These ones [people] came [and] chopped (killed) us.'
Demonstrative pronouns may function attributively in a noun phrase, such as noka 'this one' modifies mona 'day in (89), noma 'that one' modifies merga 'wood' in (90) and nomis 'that former one' modifies the noun compound mar okum 'difficulty' in (91).

Mona no-ka edá, bi-odos dif jig mirok ah i-ba. day DNR-near then 2SG-meet I LOC jungle lie VIS-across '[On] this day then, meet me at the jungle [which is] over there.' [T17]

> Merga no-ma ah jug mar efeyu noga oskaytok. wood DNR-far lie block thing plant REL small 'The tree blocks the plantlife which is small.'
(91) Ofa oduy os-os rot ofjig eri rot mar s/he front move.horiz.-RED about help they.PL about thing
okum no-mis.
heavy DNR-former
'He wants to help them with that difficulty.' (front move.horizontally = want)
[T29]

As in many Papuan languages, the demonstrative pronouns mark thematic entities rather than functioning as definite articles (cf. de Vries 2006:823). In the sentences in (92), in the first sentence mogos (snake) may be glossed 'a snake' or 'the snake', whereas mogos nomi (snake that) 'the snake' signals that it is a thematic participant in the subsequent sentences.

| I-osnok | i-ecira | jig | mowah, edá | eri | i-er-od |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person | 3PL-walk | LOC | outside then | they.PL | 3PL-CAUS-accid.strike |

mogos. Edá, eri i-osusuy. Edá, eri i-or-a mitow, snake then they.PL 3PL-startled then they.PL 3PL-hold-PGE machete edá i-er-ah-a mogos no-ma-i ogos. Edá, eri i-ahac then 3PL-CAUS-hack-PGE snake DNR-far-GIV die then they.PL 3PL-tie

## mogos no-ma-i.

snake DNR-far-GIV
'[If/when] people travel in the forest, they (may) come upon a snake. Then, they are startled. Then, they take a machete, then hack up the snake [so] it dies. Then, they tie up the snake.'
[T4]
The proximal enclitic -ef 'near', when prefixed with the deictic nominalizer no- forms the demonstrative pronoun nef $[\mathrm{n} \varepsilon \Phi]$ ' which (one)', the vowel/o/ of the prefix eliding. In (93), nef functions attributively in the first clause, modifying mos 'fish', and anaphorically in the second.

Dif di-ek mos ofombra terir ni di-ejgen rot mos
I 1SG-see fish lungs exactly for 1 SG-know about fish
no-ef-a noga oyf-omof dokun no-ef-a noga oskur-ah-a DNR-near-PGE REL good-RED and DNR-near-PGE REL bad-INTS-PGE 'I looked closely at the fishes' gills in order to know which fish was really good and which one was really bad.'
[D]

### 5.3.2 Deictic focus marker $\boldsymbol{e}$ -

The deictic focus prefix $e$ - 'FOC' attaches to a deictic element as a means to highlight the element, increasing its contrastiveness. This type of contrast is handled syntactically in languages such as English with additional modifiers, such as 'very', as in 'this very thing'. The deictic focus prefix may attach to a textual clitic, such as -mis 'former' in (94), or -kef 'here' in (95), or to a demonstrative pronoun, such as noka 'this one' in (96), noma 'that one' in (97) or nokef 'this one' in (98).

| Ofa odu mar e-mis- $i$ | orohuys(a) | se. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he tell thing FOC-former-GIV suddenly | certainly |  |
| 'He certainly told that very thing suddenly.' |  |  |

Maw ojij=i-ef ni mot e-kef.
sun spiral.down=VIS-near for night FOC-here
'The sun is spiraling (going) down for this very night.'
Dif dadin pensil e-no-ka-i.
I 1SGPOS pencil FOC-DNR-near-GIV
'I my pencil is this very one.'

éra.
NEG
'he said to them, because the kid, [was] their very [own] child, then it wasn't appropriate for them to lie down with the kid.' (i.e. have sexual intercourse with him)
[T24]

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Buwun } & \text { i-efer } & \boldsymbol{e}-\boldsymbol{n o} \text {-kef=ey? } \\
\text { 2SG.POS } & \text { 3PL-child } & \text { FOC-DNR-here=Q } \\
\text { 'Are these very (ones) your children?' } \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

The deictic focus prefix $e$ - 'FOC' may attach to the relativizer noga, marking a headless relative clause for contrastive focus, such as the relative clauses noga erirka in (99) and noguba in (100), which function as nominal predicates. A relativized elevational, such as nogida in (101), functioning as the complement of a spatial preposition may also be marked for deictic focus.
(99) Buwun e-noga erirk(a).

2SGPOS FOC-REL yellow
'Yours is [the very one] which is yellow.'
Dif dadin m-ok-era e-nog(a)=u-ba. [Enogú $\beta$ a]
I 1SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-old. FOC-REL=VIS-across
'I my older brother is [the very one] which is right over yonder.' [D]
(101) Ofa ot jig mow ojnif rud e-nog(a)=i-da. [Enogída]
s/he stand LOC land outer.limit against FOC-REL=VIS-up
'He stands on the edge of the land against [the very one] right up there.' [D]

### 5.3.3 Known information suffix $-\boldsymbol{i}$ ' $G I V$,

Once an item has been introduced into a narrative or is assumed to be known to both speaker and hearer, it is considered a known or given piece of information. The suffix $-i$ 'GIV', which signals known information, may attach to textual clitics, such as $-k a$ 'near'
in (102) or -mis 'former' in (103), and to demonstrative pronouns, such as noka 'this one' in (104) and nomis 'that former one' in (105). When the marker for known information $-i$ 'GIV' is attached to the spatial clitics $-k a$ 'near' or $-m a$ 'far', the vowel of the spatial clitic is elided, yielding the phonetic forms [ki] and [mi] respectively.
(102) Ofa ohur ohot e-ka-i, Bua bi-eyja. [عkí]
s/he deceive say FOC-near-GIV you.SG 2SG-go.to
'He lied saying (specifically) this, "You go."' (direct quote)
(103) Ofa eyja em-ah jig mek efem=mis-i. [モфémisi]
s /he go.to IRR-lie LOC pig nest=former-GIV
'He went to lie down in the pig's nest.'
(104) $O f(a)=e s$ no-ka-i mar esebra. [nokí]
s/he-male DNR-near-GIV do thing continuous
'This guy works all the time.'
[D]
(105) Efer noga Iwari ofon no-mis-i, ofa ebisa esebra. child REL Iwari 3SGPOS DNR-former-GIV s/he cry continuous 'The (former) child which was Iwari's, he cried continuously.'

The suffix for known information also occurs on relativized spatial deictics, such as the relativized elevational deictic nogida in (106).
(106) No-ma-i ogurá, tiná nog $(a)=i-d a-i \quad$ gijga. [nogídi]

DNR-that-GIV NEG but REL=VIS-up-GIV only
'That one no, but just the one which is up there.'
[D]
The noun $o k$ 'section' (107) may also be affixed by $-i$ 'GIV', when the referent is indicated by pointing or in the presence of speaker and hearer.

(107) | Bua | bi-esah | mar | no-ma-i | jig | ok-i. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-put thing | DNR-far-GIV | LOC | section-GIV |  |
| 'Put |  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.4 Temporal deixis

The notion of 'near-ness' or 'far-ness' in the spatial deictics can be extended to the temporal domain. The contextual time at which the utterance occurs, (i.e. the present), is the principle reference point for temporal deixis (cf. Frawley 1992:282). In Moskona the spatial expressions are imported directly into the temporal domain with no additional modification, such that sometimes it is impossible to distinguish between spatial and temporal function, such as the spatial clitic -ma 'far', attached to the verb owha 'leave' in
(108), can indicate a "there" not far from the speaker or a "then" not far removed from the present.
(108) Ofa en-owha=ma.
s/he DUR-leave=far
'He was leaving there.'
'He was leaving then.'
[T30]
The spatial compound clitic -kef 'here' is used in the temporal sense to mean 'now', as in (109). The spatial noun nokef 'this place' functions temporally to mean 'this time' or 'right now', as in (110). The spatial clitic $-k a$ attached to the generic preposition jig ' $L O C$ ' in (111), is a constituent of a phrase in a temporal frame.
(109) Bua bi-en bi-ek buwun mes, esha en-ogos=kef. you.SG 2SG-come 2 SG-look 2SG.POS dog from DUR-die=here 'Come look at your dog, because it is dying now.'
(110) Maw edma jog e-no-kef
sun be.visible already FOC-DNR=here
'The sun is already out right now'
(111) Sokomow jig=ka, eri i-orna i-em-ed-im(a) rot miy okow. beginning LOC=near they.PL 3PL-man 3PL-RECIP-strike about cloth debt 'Long ago [from] now, men fought each other over cloth indebtedness.' [T15]

The spatial deictic form $u$-mej, with a temporal meaning 'time remote' may point either forward to the future or backwards to the past from the event time. The presence of the nonvisibility prefix $u$ - 'NONVIS' indicates that the reference is hypothetical or mythical, such as umej in (112) refers to the mythical past. The relativized form nogumej, modifying ari 'week' in (113), points to a future time.
(112) Sis sokomow u-mej erá, jig miy Isudga
past beginning NONVIS-remote THM LOC water Isudga
'Long long ago, on the Isudga River...'
(113) Smen, jig ari nog(a)=u-mej erá, dif di-owha.
maybe LOC week REL=NONVIS-remote THM I 1SG-leave
'Maybe, in a week which is later, I (will) leave.'

### 5.5 Non-deictic directionals

In addition to the deictic terms, there are other lexical units employed in Moskona to indicate location or direction. The three groups of terms are phrases for river direction,
phrases which indicate cardinal directions, and two nouns which refer to location in relation to a person's body.

### 5.5.1 Upstream and downstream

There are three non-deictic directionals which are oriented to river flow, miy efej 'upstream' ~ 'headwaters', as in (114), miy egak 'downstream' (115), and miy owok 'river delta', as in (116).
(114) Miy fen miy efej no-ma-i erá eskeyra.
water from water upstream DNR-far-GIV THM clear
'The water from upstream is clear.'
[D]
(115) Eri i-osok jig miyes ni i-ahaw jig miy egak.
they.PL 3PL-climb.up LOC dugout for 3PL-descend LOC water leg
'They rode in a dugout to go downriver.'
[T27]
(116) Miy or, erogá mif mi-ewer jig miy owok(a).
water ebb hence we 3PL-cross LOC water overflow
'The river ebbed, so we crossed at the delta.'

### 5.5.2 Cardinal directions

Cardinal directions, which are an absolute frame of reference for indicating direction, are expressed as fossilized phrases, functioning as complements of prepositions. The expressions for cardinal directions utilize the sun's position in relation to landforms or to its position in the sky. North is expressed in the phrase maw teraw 'sun above', as in (117), or maw okun 'sun outside', indicating the sun's position in relation to the Arafak mountains which are north of Moskona territory. South is expressed in the phrase maw tesi 'sun below', as in (118), or maw ewet 'sun [on the] shore', indicating the sun's position with regards to the horizon or to the coast, as the area south of Moskona territory is coastal lowlands. East is expressed in the phrase maw éysaha 'sun appear', as in (119), referring to the sun's location at the time of its appearing. West is expressed in the phrase maw esir 'sun falls' or maw eyorga 'sun lowers' as in (120), referring to the sun's position at the time it goes down.
(117) Mesina erá ah had maw teraw.

Mesina THM lie toward sun above
'Mesina village is toward the north.'
(118) Miyega erá ah had maw tesi.

Miyega THM lie toward sun below
'Miyega village is toward the south.'
(119) Mesta osok had maw éysaha. moon climb.uptoward sun appear 'The moon rose toward the east.'
(120) Enia fen maw eyorga.
some.other from sun lowers
'Some others are from the west.'

### 5.5.3 Location relative to position of body (Left and right)

The terms orojuj 'right.side' and erga 'left side' refer to the sides of the body of a person or animal and may not refer to an independent location relative to the orientation of the speaker or hearer. In (121) and (122), orojuj 'right side' modifies etma 'arm', meaning 'the arm/hand of his right side' or 'right hand'.
(121) Ofa enu eri noga i-oduy er-ed ofa erá, i-ot $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ choose they.PL REL 3PL-front CAUS-strike s/he THM 3PL-stand
had etma orojuj, tiná eri noga i-oduy omres rot toward arm right.side but they.PL REL 3PL-front fragile about ofon ogá erá, i-ot had etma erga. 3SGPOS speech THM 3PL-stand toward arm left.side 'He chose those who trusted him, they stood toward his right hand, but they who were uninterested in his message, they stood toward his left hand.' [TT]

| Efer <br> child | no-ma-i, <br> DNR-far-GIV | ofa <br> s/he | $\begin{aligned} & \text { or } \\ & \text { hold } \end{aligned}$ | etma <br> arm | orojuj right.side | $\begin{align*} & \text { noga }  \tag{122}\\ & \text { REL } \end{align*}$ | en-og DUR-write |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| srad-efi=kef. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| document-leaf=here |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'That kid, he used [his] right hand [it is] [the one] that he is writing this letter [with].' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Chapter 6 <br> Noun phrase

### 6.0 Introduction

Noun phrases are constructions which are headed by a noun or pronoun and may function as arguments of a verb in a verbal clause. In non-verbal clauses, they may serve as subject/topics or non-verbal predicates. Noun phrases do not form several distinct types to express possession, description or modification by a relative clause, rather all of these modifiers may occur in a single phrase type. The semantic roles of noun phrases are discussed in §8.3.

This chapter presents the structure of a noun phrase (§6.1), the various elements which may serve as head of a noun phrase (§6.2), and the elements and structures which may function as modifiers of a head noun (§6.3), and includes a discussion of conjoined (§6.4) and appositional noun phrases ( $\S 6.5$ ).

### 6.1 Structure

Moskona is a left-headed language, meaning that the majority of the modifiers of a head noun occur to the right of the noun, concurring with observations by Foley (1998:513) and Reesink (2002a:28) who state that languages of the East Bird's Head are phrasally left-headed. The post-nominal order in Moskona, an Svo language with prepositions, is typologically consistent, as sVo languages are typically post-modifying (Givón 1984:189), as also are prepositional languages (Greenberg 1966:85). Post-nominal modifiers in Moskona are fixed and follow the general order: Adjective - Classifier Numeral - Determiner, an order commonly found in many Papuan languages (Dunn, et al 2002:58, Reesink 1996:8, Greenberg 1966:87). The only modifier which may occur prenominally is the possessor, an order also found in other East Bird's Head languages, such as Sougb (Reesink 1998:622) and Meyah (Gravelle 2004:171). Moskona noun phrase constituents are given below with optional modifiers in parenthesis.

> (possessor) Head (attributive) (quantifier) (relative clause) (specifier) (demonstrative)

Although theoretically there may be up to five modifiers in a noun phrase, it is rare for more than two to co-occur. The two modifiers may include a pre-nominal possessor with a post-nominal modifier, or two post-nominal modifiers. The only exception is a numeral with a required sortal classifier which may co-occur with an additional modifier. More commonly, in line with other Papuan languages, a single modifier is found.

### 6.2 Heads of a noun phrase

The head of a noun phrase, which minimally consists of a single noun, may be identified as a common noun (§3.1.1.1), such as the alienable noun mod 'house' in (1) or the inalienable noun osum 'nose' in (2), a generic noun which denotes a human (§3.1.3.1), such as ejena 'woman' in (3), a kinship term (§3.1.3.2), such as miwera 'older sibling of opposite sex' in (4), or a personal pronoun (§5.1.1), such as eri 'they.PL' in (5).

Common noun - alienable
(1) (mif) mi-or mod eges
we.PL 1PL-build house high 'we built high houses.'

Common noun - inalienable
(2) Ofa ofon osum etu. s/he 3SGPOS nose stubby 'He his nose is stubby.'

Generic noun denoting a human
(3) ofa osotka y-ejena no-ma-i.
s/he marry DU-woman DNR-far-GIV 'he married the (two) women.'

Kinship term
(4) Ofa egerna gug ofon mi-ew-era y-erg-ak.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hail to 3SGPOS 1PL-sib.op.s.-old. DU-NUM:1-two 'She cried out to her two older brothers.'

Personal pronoun

| edá | eri | no-ma-i | i-eker | rohog | erin | mod |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | they.PL | DNR-far-GIV | 3PL-sit | place.departed.from | 3PLPOS | house |

## Compound noun

The head of a NP may be a noun compound (§3.1.4), such as mow-ewet (land-semi-solid) 'clay', mar-mosorna (thing-hunger) 'food', efi-eteyja (leaf-eye) 'vein' in (6), or mok-
estak (bowl-butt) 'cup' in (7). Noun compounds may be modified by the same number and type of modifiers as a simple head.

Mow-ewet ekena no-ma-i en mar-mosorna efi eteyja eke-kena. land-semi.solid red DNR-far-GIV do thing-hunger leaf eye red-RED 'The red (brown) clay causes the eyes (veins) of the leaves to be brown.'
[D]
(7) Bua bi-ot mok-estak ahta no-ma-i roga.
you.SG 2SG-wash bowl-butt black DNR-far-GIV first
'Wash the dirty cup first.'

### 6.2.1 Headless noun phrases

When the head noun can be understood from the context, it may be omitted; thus its modifier may function as a substantive, standing in its position as an anaphoric pronoun, and the construction can function in ways that are typical of noun phrases, such as arguments of verbs or complements of prepositions (cf. Dryer 2004:43). These modifiers may be an adjectival verb, such as ofom in (8), an indefinite quantifier, such as ognunui in (9), a specifier, such as ergem in (10), a possessive pronoun, such as buwun in (11), a numeral, such as eres in (12), or a sortal noun classifier, such as ibah in (13).
(8) Mafif ohosha metebka, erogá ofom romreg esr-ogu jig mow. wind shake cashew hence ripe all fall.freely LOC land 'The wind shook the cashew tree, so all the ripe (fruit) fell to the ground.' [D]

| Ofa | no-ma-i | ogos jog, tiná | i-ognunui erá | i-efena |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | DNR-far-GIV | die | already |  | but | 3PL-many |
| :--- | THM | 3PL-spirit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

(10) Erg-em esha, edá erg-em.

NUM:1-CST from then NUM:1-CST
'After one (thing), then another (thing).'
(11) Mok noga dif dadin erá, efega om-om-om okuk buwun=kef. bowl REL I 1SGPOS THM body resemble-RED-RED like 2SGPOS=here 'The bowl which I have, its body (shape) very much resembles this (one) of yours.'
(12) Ofa eyta er-es ni oked mar jig merga owos no-ma-i. $\mathrm{s} /$ he take NUM:4-one for chant thing LOC wood produce DNR-far-GIV 'He took one (seedpod) to speak magic words over the seedpod.' [T27]

| Ibah | ciyja | dokun | ibah | ciyja | romreg | éysaha | ib | bah |  | setka. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HUMA | five | and | HUMAN | five | all | reach |  | HUMAN |  | ten |
| 'Five | son | plus fi | (person | (in) al | reaches | totals) |  | (pe |  |  |

Interrogative words may also stand in the position of the head, such as the demonstrative pronoun nef 'which', functioning interrogatively, as in (14) where it is the complement of the locative preposition jig 'LOC', or the interrogative word midá 'what', as in (15) and (16) in which the interrogative word is the object argument.
(14) Bua bi-esah mar no-ma-i jig nef-a?
you.SG 2SG-put thing DNR-far-GIV LOC which-PGE
'You put the thing in which (place)?'
[D]
(15) Bua bi-en midá noga rahu erogá bi-en-éysaha=kef?
you.SG 2SG-do what REL long.time hence 2SG-DUR-reach=here 'What were you doing for so long so that you are arriving now?' [D]

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Mes, eri erá i-obra midá } & \text { no-kef-a? }  \tag{16}\\
\text { dog they.PL THM } & \text { 3PL-bark.at } & \text { what } & \text { DNR-here-PGE } \\
\text { 'The dogs, they bark at what now?' }
\end{array}
$$

### 6.3 Modifiers of a head noun

Various items may modify a head noun. All modifiers, except for the possessive pronoun, occur post-nominal.

### 6.3.1 Possessors

Possessors may be a single noun, a personal pronoun or a noun phrase. The order of possessor-possessed, which is the typical order of mainland Papuan languages (Dunn et al. 2002:33), is the fixed order of the possessive phrase found in Moskona. Alienable nouns, which utilize a syntactic possession strategy, will be presented first, followed by inalienable nouns, which utilize a morphological strategy, but allow additional pronominals to make prominent the possessor.

### 6.3.1.1 Alienable possession

Alienable nouns (§3.1.2.1) require a possessive pronoun (§5.1.2), such as mifin 'our.PL' in (17), or ergen 'their.DU' in (18) to express possession, and are not inflected to agree
with the possessor. Personal pronouns, such as dif in (19), may optionally co-occur with possessive pronouns, making the possessor more prominent.

Mifin mowos erá ah esen ros. 1 PLPOS village THM lie distant still 'Our village is still distant.'

Ergog y-owha jig ergen mod. they.DU DU-leave LOC 3DUPOS house 'They (two) left for their house.'

Dif dadin eri-orna i-ebah jig mow no-kef sis jog. I 1SGPOS they.PL-man 3PL-live LOC land DNR-here past already 'I my ancestors lived in this area previously.'
[D]
Generic nouns which denote humans (§3.1.3.1) are inflected for person and number of referent, not for possessor. A possessive pronoun is required to mark possession of these nouns, such as the possessive pronoun ofon ' 3 SGPOS' indicates the possessor of the noun efer 'child' in (20).
Ofa ah muy ewet gug ofon i-efer ni et.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hack sweet.potato semi.solid to 3SGPOS 3PL-child for eat 'She chewed the sweet potato to mush for her children to eat.'

### 6.3.1.2 Inalienable possession

Inalienable nouns (§3.1.2.2) possessed by humans are prefixed with a person-number marker indexing the possessor, such as di- '1SG' prefixed to etma 'hand' in (21) or $i$ '3PL' prefixed to efeg(a) 'body' in (22). The pronominal prefixes which index possessor are identical to the prefixes which inflect verbs for subject (§3.2.1.1).
(21) Dif di-etma efer efeyu efsa erá, mek of sis jog. I 1SG-arm sore patterned white THM pig bite past already 'I the scar on my hand, a pig bit earlier.' (white patterned sore = scar) [D]
(22) Mocra en eri, erogá i-efeg(a) egerag. hunger do they.PL hence 3PL-body weak 'Starvation struck them, so their bodies were weak.'

Inalienable nouns possessed by nonhuman possessors are marked for third person singular possession with a null morpheme, as nonhuman nouns are treated as indeterminate of number. This includes nouns referring to objects closely associated with humans, such as efer 'sore' or 'wound' possessed by etma 'arm' in (21). The nonhuman possessors in possession constructions, such as mek 'pig' (23a), mem 'bird' (23d), mes
'dog' (23c) and osum 'nose' (23f), utilize the same possession strategy as third person singular human possessors, such as ejena 'woman' (23b) and orna 'man' (23e). All are part-whole expressions.
a. mek ebir
pig head 'pig's head'
b. ejena ebir woman head 'woman's head'
c. mes oyrega
dog tail 'dog's tail'
d. mem efembra bird flank 'bird's wing(s)'
e. orna efembra
man flank
'man's side'
f. osum ofon nose tooth 'nose's tip'

This same structure is also used with spatial relator nouns (§3.1.2.2.2), some of which are body-part nouns, such as:
mod ejmeg
house spine
'behind the house'

> moroj efembra
> path flank
> 'side of the path'
> merga orokec ogoh
> wood large base
> 'base of the large tree'

In addition to the pronominal prefix marked on the inalienable noun, the possessor reference may redundantly include a personal pronoun, such as dif in (27), or a possessive pronoun, such as ofon in (28), or both, such as mif mifin in (29). The additional pronouns serve to focus attention on the possessor or express a discourse preference for thematization of a constituent.
(27) Dif di-egak efer-a, erogá di-ecira deci. I 1SG-leg sore-PGE hence 1SG-walk slowly 'I my leg is sore, so I walk slowly.'
(28) Mes ofon ecirf(a) ofog er-ahka di-owos. dog 3SGPOS fingernail pointed CAUS-scrape 1SG-skin 'The dog his sharp claws scratched me.'
(29) Merah et mif mifin mi-etma.
fire eat we.PL 1PLPOS 1PL-arm
'The fire burned our arms.'

A possessed inalienable noun, functioning as the head noun, may be modified by other modifiers which follow the head noun, such as the alienable noun miyes 'clothes' possesses the inalienable noun efi 'liquid' which is modified by the adjectival verb ekena 'red' in (30).

Miyes efi ekena er-efta miyes noga efsa. clothes liquid red CAUS-attach.to clothes REL white 'The clothes' red liquid stains the clothes that are white.'

### 6.3.1.3 Noun phrase as possessor

The possessor may be referred to by a full noun phrase. The spatial relator noun efembra 'flank', translatable as 'side' in (31), is possessed by mar noki 'this thing', a nonhuman possessor, and so is marked with third person singular (a null morpheme). The head noun mar 'thing' is further modified by the nominalized contrastive specifier mergem 'the other one'.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Bua bi-ofra mar no-ka-i } & \text { efembra } & \text { m-erg-em=mej. }  \tag{31}\\
\text { you.SG } 2 \text { 2SG-lift thing DNR-near-GIV } & \text { flank } & \text { NR-NUM:1-CST=remote } \\
\text { 'Lift this thing's other side yonder.' } & &
\end{array}
$$

If the head noun of the noun phrase is human, such as $i$-osnok 'people' in the noun phrase $i$-osnok susuy 'other kinds of people' or 'non-Moskona people' in (32), the possessed inalienable noun, such as $i$-ofoms '(the result of) their energy' or 'their wages', will be marked with a pronominal prefix agreeing with the referent. The third person plural possessive pronoun erin 'their' places the focus on the possessor.

| I-osnok susuy erin | i-ofoms(a) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person other.kind 3PLPOS | 3PL-energy |
| 'Outsider people's wages ...' |  |

Possession noun phrases may occur recursively, that is, a possessed noun can itself be a possessor. But the number of possessors is usually no more than two. In (33) the inalienable noun efej 'hair' is possessed by another inalienable noun ebir 'head', which is in turn possessed by the noun ayok 'mother'. The inalienable body-part noun ejmeg 'spine' in (34) possesses the body-part noun oforna 'bone' yielding the meaning 'pig's back's bones'. The scope of the demonstrative nomi 'that' extends over the entire complex possession noun phrase. The phrase oforna ejmeg (bone spine), meaning 'bone's back', could also be construed to be locative, as in 'behind the bone' because ejmeg also functions as a spatial relator noun. However, in oforna ofon ejmeg (bone 3SGPOS spine) the ambiguity is eliminated by the optional possessive pronoun ofon, in addition to the fixed order possessor-possessed.

Efer no-ma-i ohsud(a) mej fen ayok ebir efej. child DNR-far-GIV search.for louse from mother head hair 'The child looked for lice in (his) mother's hair.'

| Bua | bi-eyta | mek | ejmeg oforna | no-ma-i | gug dif. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-take pig | spine bone | DNR-far-GIV | to I I |  |
| 'Give me the pig's back bones, |  |  |  |  |  |

'Give me the pig's back bones.'
[D]
Recursive possession may also occur with inanimate possessors, such as the topic noun phrase marowok nomi (vegetable that) in (35) is co-referent with the possessor of the subject, the generic noun efi 'leaf' which possesses the inalienable noun ewes 'core'.

Mar(ow)ok no-ma-i, efi ewes ahta, esha mow ofor ahta. vegetable DNR-far-GIV leaf core black from land substance black 'The vegetable (plant), its leaves are black (dark), because the land's substance (soil) is black (fertile).'
[T30]

### 6.3.2 Adjectival modifiers

Adjectives in Moskona are a subclass of intransitive verbs (§3.2.2.3.3), which may function attributively in a noun phrase and are inflected for agreement with the referent, using the same set of pronominal prefixes which indicate subject on verbs, such as the third person plural prefix $i$ - on the adjectival verb osmos '(be) strange' in (36). An adjective modifying a nonhuman referent is marked with third person singular, a null morpheme, such as orokec which modifies mod 'house' in (37). A typical attributive noun phrase contains only one adjective, which immediately follows the head noun, followed by an optional demonstrative, such as the color adjectival verb ekena 'red' which modifies orna 'man' and precedes the demonstrative nomi 'that' in (38).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { eri i-osmos } & \text { noga } & \text { i-ebah jig=kef } \\
\text { they.PL } & \text { 3PL-strange } & \text { REL } & \text { 3PL-live } & \text { LOC=here } \\
\text { 'the strangers who live here' } \tag{TT}
\end{array}
$$

y-osok jig mod orokec
DU-climb.up LOC house large
'(you) climb.up to the main house'
Orna ekena no-m(a)-i ecir(a) deci-ci.
man red DNR-far-GIV walk slowly-RED
'The old man walks slowly.' (red man = elderly man)
If an adjectival verb follows a determiner in a clause, such as ebga 'torn' follows nomi 'that' in (39), the adjectival verb is functioning predicatively, as determiners in Moskona are clear markers of the boundary of a noun phrase.

| Mofun no-ma-i | ebga jog |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| vine DNR-far-GIV torn already |  |
| 'The vine was already torn' |  |

Adjectival verbs modifying nouns may be intensified by reduplication, such as ebgibga 'very torn' in (40) or by the suffix - ah(a) 'INTS' modifying oskur 'bad' in (41).
Mar no-ma-i $\quad$ erá kertas $^{46}$
ebg(a)-ibga.
thing DNR-far-GIV THM paper torn-RED
'That stuff is very torn paper (trash).'
[D]

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { I-osnok } & \text { noga } & \text { i-en mar oskur-ah ... }  \tag{41}\\
\text { 3PL-person REL } & \text { 3PL-do thing bad-INTS } \\
\text { 'People who do very bad things...' }
\end{array}
$$

Head nouns rarely have more than one adjectival attribute. If a noun must be qualified by more than one adjective, they are distributed over the phrase. The relative clause is the grammatical recourse employed if more than one adjectival modifier is necessary. The one which has the more inherent quality will be used attributively and the other relativized, such as oforga 'hardened' is used attributively and orokec 'large' is relativized in (42).


### 6.3.3 Nominal modifers

The head of a noun phrase may also be modified by a few nominals which immediately follow the head noun. However, nouns which function attributively are restricted to alienable or proper nouns. As mentioned in §3.1.2.2, inalienable nouns which follow a noun are in a possessor-possessed relationship. The structure of noun + noun in a noun phrase differs from a noun compound with the internal structure of noun + noun in two ways. In a noun compound (§3.1.4), the second member combines with the first to form a single lexical item, whereas in a noun phrase the second noun functions attributively to narrow the referential scope of the head noun. The second member of a noun compound may not be relativized, whereas a nominal modifier may be relativized and retain the same meaning. In each of the examples in (43), the nominal modifier may be relativized, such as the noun phrase eri Morum in (43 a) has the same meaning as eri noga Morum (they.PL REL Kebar) 'Kebar people', or mofun miosinga in (43 d) may be mofun noga miosinga (vine REL ratan) 'vine which is ratan', and so on.

[^37]| a. | eri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL | Mebar |$\quad$ 'Kebar people/tribe'

The nouns manir 'leader' (44a) and meren 'lake' (44b) may be used attributively, focusing on the component of great size or proportion.
a. merga manir 'huge tree' wood leader
b. i-osnok meren 'multitude of people' 3PL-person lake

If a nominal and adjectival modifier co-occur, the adjectival may be relativized, as in (45). The construction *mofun orokec noga miosing (vine large REL rattan) is nonacceptable.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Ofa ohsud(a) mofun } & \operatorname{miosing}(a) & \text { noga orokec.. } \\
\text { s/he search.for vine } & \text { rattan } & \text { REL large } \\
\text { 'He searched for a rattan vine which was large ...' } \tag{T30}
\end{array}
$$

A few noun phrases which border between phrases and compounds (indicated with brackets) may also function attributively, as does Mod Ari 'church' in (46), orna miyahta 'rich man' in (47), miy mefsa 'saltwater' in (48), and mosu ejena 'big mother' or 'large primary (one)' in (49).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { I-osnok } & \text { [Mod Ari] } & \text { no-ma-i } & \text { erá } & \text { i-eg } & \text { mar.... } \\
\text { 3PL-person house Sunday } & \text { DNR-far-GIV } & \text { THM } & \text { 3PL-hear } & \text { thing } \\
\text { 'The church people heard the thing.... } & & & \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

Efer [orna miyahta] no-ma-i ofon mohena ciyja. child man rich DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS wife five
'The rich guy has five wives.' (colloquial usage: efer = 'guy')

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { mos }[\text { miy } \quad \text { m-efs } a]  \tag{48}\\
& \text { fish water NR-white (salt) } \\
& \text { 'saltwater fish' } \\
& \text { miyefen }[\text { mosu ejena] } \\
& \text { money mother woman } \\
& \text { 'large quantity bills' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.3.4 Quantifiers

The quantifiers which modify a head noun may be definite or indefinite. The definite quantifiers are the numerals and noun-numeral constructions. The indefinite quantifiers are indefinite quantifier verbs. The definite and indefinite quantifiers may not co-occur.

### 6.3.4.1 Numeral modifiers

Numerals (§4.2) modifying a noun which does not require a sortal classifier may occur immediately following the head noun, such as orum 'three' immediately follows mes 'dog' in (50), or the numeral ciyja erges 'six' immediately follows mona 'day' in (51). If an adjective occurs, the numeral follows the adjective, such as the numeral erfom 'three' follows ofusum 'thick' in (52), or the numeral erom 'three' follows ofom 'ripe' in (53), modifying megigra 'pineapple'.

| Mes | or-i-om | no-ma-i | i-et | mar oforna | daka | mes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dog | NUM:7-?-three | DNR-far-GIV | 3PL-eat thing bone | avert | dog |  |

(51) Mona ciyja erg-es ah jug ni ... day five NUM:1-one lie against for there are six days before....
(52) merga owos ofusum erf-om
tree skin thick three
'three thick (pieces of) bark'
[T30]
(53) Bua bi-eyta megigra ofom er-om gug efer no-ma-i. you.SG 2SG-take pineapple ripe NUM:4-three to child DNR-far-GIV 'Give three ripe pineapples to the kid.'

### 6.3.4.2 Sortal noun-numeral constructions

The sortal noun classifiers ( $\S 4.2 .5$ ) denote properties of the entities they classify, and as such may occur as attributive modifiers. A sortal noun plus a numeral forms a sortal noun-numeral construction which may modify a head noun, such as efi tahgur 'LEAF four' modifying kertas 'paper' in (54), efega orum 'ANIMAL' three' modifying mek 'pig' in (55), or owos erak 'VEG two' modifying mebet 'squash' in (56). The sortal nounnumeral construction immediately follows the head noun.

| Ofa eyta kertas | efi | tahgur | gug dif. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he take paper | LEAF four | to I I |  |
| 'He gave me four sheets of paper.' |  |  |  |

Mebet owos er-ak gijga ah jig
squash VEG NO-ma-i.
'Only two squash were there.'

Not all nominals are assigned to a noun class, such as mem 'bird', ofuy 'egg', and mow 'land'. Unassigned nouns, such as mok 'bowl' modified by ergak in (57), do not require a sortal classifier when modified by a numeral.

Ofa ecka mostebka efej jig mok erg-ak gug yef. s/he serve bean dried.out LOC bowl NUM:1-two to we.DU 'She served beans in two bowls to us.'

### 6.3.4.3 Indefinite quantifiers

The indefinite quantifier verbs (§3.2.2.3.4) are a subcategory of adjectival verbs, which may function attributively in a noun phrase, such as ognunui 'many (of same item)' modifies motur 'star' in (58). As constituents of a noun phrase, indefinite quantifiers occur following descriptive or resultative adjectivals, such as edes 'many (kinds of an item)' follows the adjectival verb ofojoj in (59). Indefinite quantifier verbs occur preceding determiners, such as ahabnina 'few' precedes the demonstrative nomi 'that' in (60).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Dif di-ek motur ognunui ot jig meybaga } \\
\text { I 1SG-see star many } & \text { stand LOC sky } \\
\text { 'I saw many stars in the sky.' } & \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

(59) Miy ejena erá, mogom ofoj-oj edes-es ah jug. water woman THM stone blunted-RED many-RED lie against '[As for] the main river, many boulders obstructed it.'

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { marog ahabnina } & \text { no-ma-i }  \tag{60}\\
\text { arrow few } & \text { DNR-far-GIV } \\
\text { 'those few arrows' }
\end{array}
$$

### 6.3.5 Relative clauses as modifiers

A relative clause, introduced by the relativizer noga 'REL', is a restrictive modifier of a head noun. It differs from other modifiers, in that it is an embedded clause. Relative clauses, because they are a type of subordinate clause, are discussed separately in $\S 10.1$. Relative clauses serve to make a modifier prominent, such as the indefinite quantifier verb ognunui in (61) or allow nominals, such as muren ekena 'adult person' in (62) to modify a head noun.
(61) Ergog y-er-en midohes [noga ognunui].
they.DU DU-CAUS-do doorway REL many
'They made doorways which were many.'
(62) eri-(e)j-a [noga murenekena]
they.PL-female-PGE REL adult.person
'women who are (fully) adults'
As a constituent of the noun phrase, a relative clause may be preceded by an adjective, as the relative clause noga enah jig mer nomi follows the adjectival verb ahtukwi 'dirty' in (63), or it may be preceded by a quantifier, such as the numeral yergak precedes the relative clause noga owoka Ejameren dokun Eyjanus in (64).
(63) Ofa em-ek mar ahtuk-wi [noga en-ah jig mer no-ma-i] éra. s /he IRR-see thing dirty-DIM REL DUR-lie LOC room DNR-far-GIV NEG 'She didn't see the rather dirty things which were in the room.' [D]
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text {.. dokun ofon } & \text { mohena y-erg-ak } & \text { [noga owoka } & \text { Eyjameren } & \text { dokun } \\ \text { and } & \text { 3SGPOS } & \text { wife } & \text { DU-NUM:1-two } & \text { REL } & \text { name }\end{array}$ Eyjameren $\begin{aligned} & \text { and }\end{aligned}$

## Eyjanus]'

Eyjanus
'..and his two wives who their names were Eyjameren and Eyjanus' [T24]
A relative clause precedes a demonstrative, which as the final modifier in a noun phrase signals the inclusion of the relative clause in the noun phrase, such as the relative clause
noga ergog yemejgeftima rot precedes nomi 'that' in (65) or in (66) the relative clause noga bua bita gug mif precedes the demonstrative nokef 'this'.

| Mar [noga thing REL | ergog <br> they.DU | y-em-ejgeft-ima <br> DU-RECIP-argue | rot] <br> about | no-ma-i <br> DNR-far-GIV | $\begin{align*} & \text { erá }  \tag{65}\\ & \text { THM } \end{align*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ebra spread.over | kuk <br> along | esebra. <br> continuous |  |  |  |
| 'The thing w increase) | hich they | argued about incr | ed on | nd on.' (sp | $\mathrm{dov}$ |

(66) Mar [noga bua bi-eyta gug mif] no-kef erá romreg thing REL you.SG 2SG-take to we.PL DNR-here THM all
edemejgema.
equal
'(As for) these things which you gave to us (they) are all equal.'

Two relative clauses may modify the same head noun within a single noun phrase. In (67) the relative clauses noga meren 'which were many' and noga ergog yeyj mofga skod eri 'who they sent invitations to' modify the same head noun isnok 'people'. The demonstrative nomisi is the final modifier in the noun phrase.

```
Ergog y-eseki mersa ni i-osnok [noga meren] [noga ergog y-eyj
they.DU DU-fix floor for 3PL-person REL lake REL they.DU DU-toss
mofga skod eri] no-mis-i.
palm.rib to they.PL DNR-former-GIV
'They prepared the (dancing) floor for the multitude of people to whom they had
sent invitations.' (toss palm rib = invite)
    [T9]
```


### 6.3.6 Specifiers

A head noun may be modified by a specifier. Specifiers, as mentioned in $\S 4.2 .6$, are not indefinite articles, but serve to introduce new thematic items into the discourse or to contrast existing ones. The contrastive specifier etem, as the single modifier of the inalienable noun ofuy 'egg' in (68), contrasts it with a known item.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Mem ok ofuy et-em. }  \tag{68}\\
& \text { bird bear egg nUM:3-CST } \\
& \text { 'The bird laid another egg.' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

As constituents of a noun phrase, specifiers may occur following adjectival verbs, such as the contrastive specifier ergem 'another' follows the adjectival verb oskok 'very small' in
(69). They may also occur following a relative clause, such as the indefinite specifier erges in (70) and contrastive specifier ergem in (71) follow a relative clause. Specifiers occur preceding demonstratives, signaling their inclusion in the noun phrase, such as the contrastive specifier ergem precedes the demonstrative nomi in (72). Specifiers do not cooccur with numerals or indefinite quantifiers.
(69) Ofa éysaha kerenga memega osk-ok erg-em. s he reach upon mountain small-RED NUM:1-CST 'He happened upon another very small mountain.'
(70) Miy [noga orokec] erg-es, ofa er-ofra osum ohga rot. cloth REL large NUM:1-one s/he CAUS-lift nose forefront about 'A cloth which was large, he deposited up front [as payment] for it.' [T23]

| Bua | bi-eyta meni | ofog | [noga | i-oyna | jig mitos | no-ma-i] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-take banana | cooked | REL | 3PL-cook | LOC pot | DNR-far-GIV |


| Merah fen | mod | erg-em | no-ma-i | er-efta | mod susuy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| fire from | house NUM:1-CST DNR-far-GIV | CAUS-attach.to | house other.kind |  |  |

tas.
again
'Fire from one of those houses spread further to other houses.'
The noun phrases osnok erges (person one) (73) or ofa erges (s/he one) (74) can function like an indefinite pronoun, with meanings like 'someone' or 'anyone', serving as an expression which is indefinite. A relative clause may follow this non-definite expression, modifying the whole indefinite phrase rather than the head noun alone, such as the relative clause in brackets in (73) which follows the indefinite expression osnok erges 'someone'.
(73) Eri $\quad$ i-ogow osnok erg-es $\quad$ [noga ogow ofon
they.PL 3 3PL-chop person NUM:1-one REL chop 3SGPOS

m-efina].
NR-sib.in.law.s.s
'They killed someone who killed their brother-in-law.'

| Ofa | erg-es | tin | em-oj-miy | éra. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | NUM:1-one | also | IRR-descend.in-water | NEG |
| 'Not even one drown.' |  |  |  |  |

### 6.3.7 Determiners

A head noun may be determined by a spatial enclitic functioning as a demonstrative or by a demonstrative pronoun.

### 6.3.7.1 Spatial enclitics as determiners

The proximal enclitic $-k a$ 'near', the medial enclitic $-m a$ 'far', the proximal compound enclitic -kef 'here' in their function as textual deictics (§5.3.1.1), may modify a head noun. These, plus the textual enclitic -mis 'former', occur as final elements of a noun phrase, and may attach to the head noun itself, such as meyja 'table' in (75) and mot 'night' in (76), to a spatial relator noun, such as ogoh 'base' in (77), or even to the final element of a relative clause, such as osmoms 'strange' in (78).
(75) Mok en-ah jig meyja=ka.
bowl DUR-lie LOC table=near
'The bowl is on this table.'
[D]
Dif di-ek meybagá efeyu oyfa-mofa ni mot=mis.
I 1SG-see sky patterned
'I saw the beautiful sky last night.'
[D]

| No-ma-i | en-ah | mer | ogoh=ma. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DNR-far-GIV | DUR-lie bed | base=far |  | 'It is under the bed.'

(78) Bua m-osusuy rot mar [noga osmoms]=ma-i edak. you.SG IRR-startled about thing REL strange=far-GIV NEG.DEON 'Don't be surprised by these strange things.'

### 6.3.7.2 Demonstrative pronouns

Demonstrative pronouns (§5.3.1.2) as phrase-final constituents of a noun phrase mark the boundary of the noun phrase. The demonstrative pronoun nokef 'this' in (79), occurs as the final item in the noun phrase, modifying the head noun mar 'thing', not mif 'we.PL' which is the last item in the relative clause.

| Mar | [noga bua | bi-eyta | gug mif] | no-kef | erá | romreg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing | REL | you.SG | 2SG-take to | we.PL | DNR-here | THM |

edemejgema.
equal
'These things that you gave us are all equal.'
[T29]
Demonstrative pronouns may occur following adjectival verbs, such as nokef follows efenahah in (80), numerals as in (50), indefinite quantifiers (60), relative clauses (65), and specifiers as in (72),
(80) Dif di-ec miyec i-egak efen-ah-ah no-kef jig pasar.

I 1SG-buy clothes 3PL-leg new-INTS-RED DNR-here LOC market
'I bought these very new pants in the market.' (leg clothes = pants) [D]
If a demonstrative pronoun, such as nokef 'this' in (81), follows a focus adverb like romreg 'all', its function is spatial or temporal, but not textual, that is, it is outside of the noun phrase, as focus adverbs are not constituents of noun phrases.

Mesta ekena enin-ma mowos romreg no-kef.
moon glow light.up-near village all DNR-here
'The moon shines [so] it illuminates the entire village now.'

### 6.3.8 Interrogative words

Interrogative words (§4.4), such as ergaha 'how many', nef 'which', and midá 'what', may modify a head noun. Their position in the noun phrase is the same position as the type of word which the interrogative replaces. The interrogative quantifier ergaha 'how much' or 'how many' replaces a quantity word, such as a numeral or indefinite quantifier, as in (82). The demonstrative pronoun nef, as an interrogative, replaces a demonstrative, as in (83). The interrogative word midá replaces an descriptive element, whether it functions interrogatively (84) or descriptively (85).

I-osnok i-erg-aha noga i-en-ebah jig desa=ma-i?
3PL-person 3PL-NUM:1-QUAN REL 3PL-DUR-live LOC village-far-GIV
'How many people are there who are living in that village?'
(83) Bua bi-ewer jig moroj nef-a?
you.SG 2SG-pass LOC path which-PGE
'You passed on which path?'
Mar noga dif di-edem jig di-etma no-kef erá mar midá?
thing REL I 1SG-hide LOC 1SG-arm DNR-here THM thing what
'The thing which I have hidden in my hand here, the thing is what?' [D]

| Ofa | ofon | amok(a)-ri | tin | i-oduy | os-os | rot | i-en |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | 3SGPOS | friend-PL | also | 3PL-front | move.horiz.-RED |  |  |
| about 3PL-do |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The temporal question word echa 'when' replaces either a temporal adverbial (§4.1.3.1) or numeral, as in (86). Names of days (§4.2.3.2) are compounds composed of a numeral plus the alienable noun mona 'day', so that the response frequently involves a numeral.
(86) Bua bi-en mona echa?
you.SG 2SG-come day when
'When are you coming?'

### 6.4 Noun phrase coordination

In Moskona there are three elements which serve to conjoin noun phrases: the conjunction dokun 'and', the negative adverb éra 'DSJ', serving as a disjunctive conjunction and the clause-final adverb eke 'ENUM', functioning as an enumerator. All of these elements also conjoin clauses (§11.3).

Noun phrases composed of two elements may be conjoined by the conjunction dokun 'and', such as the possessed inalienable nouns dusum ofon 'tip of my nose' and difejej 'my heart' linked in (87), or the nouns tuan 'sir' and Mesakh 'Mesakh' governed by the preposition dec 'same location as' in (88).
(88) Dif di-éysaha dec tuan dokun Mesakh jig pelabuhan ${ }^{47}$.

I 1SG-reach same.loc.as mister and Mesakh LOC port
'I arrived at the same place as mister and Mesakh at the port.'
Two noun phrases may be conjoined by the negative adverb éra ' NEG ', glossed as 'DSJ' when serving as a disjunctive conjunction, such as the pronouns bua and dif in the

[^38]coordinate NP, in (89), the NPs ofon marotot and ofon efer in (90), and the nouns mer and moj in the appositional NP in (91).
(89) Bua éra dif-a noga y-owha, tiná y-erg-em erá y-eker. you.SG DSJ I-PGE REL DU-leave but DU-NUM:1-CST THM DU-sit 'Either you or I are who goes, but one of us stays.'
\[

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { edá } & \text { dif } & \text { di-ejij } & \text { ofon } & \text { marotot éra ofon } & \text { efer }  \tag{90}\\
\text { jig. } \\
\text { then I } & \text { 1SG-take.hostage } & \text { 3SGPOS } & \text { goods } & \text { DSJ } & \text { 3SGPOS } \\
\text { child } & \text { LOC } \\
\text { 'then, I took hostage his goods or his child [for ransom]' } & &
\end{array}
$$
\]

> Eri i-ek merga noga edegejga, mer éra moj. they.PL 3PL-see wood REL match.up ironwood DSJ banyan 'They saw trees which were suitable, ironwood or banyan trees.'

Multiple noun phrases may be conjoined by the disjunctive conjunction éra 'DSJ', as in (92) in which the polar question marker $-e y$ ' Q ' is cliticized to the disjunctive conjunction, to indicate a meaning like 'whether'. When multiple NPs are conjoined, the conjunction is preceded by a pause (signaled by a comma), and occurs preceding each conjoined NP.

| i-en | maekena | romreg | rot mesi, ér $(a)=$ ey moma, ér $(a)=$ ey | meni, |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-do | garden | all | about taro | DSJ $=\mathrm{Q}$ | cassava | DSJ $=\mathrm{Q}$ | banana |

ér $(a)=$ eymuy, ér $(a)=e y m e r e f$, ér $(a)=e y \quad$ mok.
DSJ=Q sweet.potato $\mathrm{DSJ}=\mathrm{Q}$ greens $\mathrm{DSJ}=\mathrm{Q}$ pandanus
'(they) made a garden for everything, whether with taro, or cassava, or bananas, or sweet potatoes, or greens or pandanus.'

Multiple disjunctive items may also be juxtaposed, with the disjunctive conjunction éra 'DSJ' placed immediately before the last item given, as in (93). The noun phrase mek noga ofonon 'pigs which were huge' is appositional, not enumerative.

Ofa em-eyh(a) jera miy, mek, mek noga ofonon, éra miy efem-a. s/he IRR-pay with cloth pig pig REL huge DSJ cloth bolt-PGE 'He would pay with cloth, pigs, pigs which were huge, or bolts of cloth.' [T15]

When more than two noun phrases are listed, the aspectual adverb eke 'almost', functioning as an enumerative conjunction, is employed, and glossed as 'ENUM', implying items of equal status, such as the pronouns bua, dif, and ofa in (94) or nouns mesiga, medefa, mitow, mesi, and mesina in (95). The enumerative conjunction occurs as part of the intonation contour of the noun or noun phrase which precedes it, and a short pause follows the conjunction. It may not be used to enumerate disjunctive items.
(94) Bua eke, dif eke, ofa eke, menejog edá, mif mi-owha jig you.SG ENUM I ENUM s/he ENUM tomorrow then we.PL 1PL-leave LOC

Manokwari.
Manokwari
'You, me, and him, tomorrow then, we leave for Manokwari.'
(95) Mar romreg noga en-ah jig mod, okuk mesiga eke, medefa eke, thing all REL DUR-lie LOC house like ladder ENUM sago ENUM
mitow eke, mesi eke, dokun mesina tin. machete ENUM taro ENUM and stringbag also
'All the things which were in the house, were like the ladder, the sago, the machete, the taro, and the stringbag also.'
[T23]
Only a few examples of listed items have been observed in which the enumerative conjunction has been omitted and juxtaposition alone indicates conjoining as in (96).

(96) \begin{tabular}{l}
Mek ofon ofordor, efenok ofombra, efefej, otkon(a) romreg ah <br>
pig

 3SGPOS liver intestine lungs 

heart intestines all lie
\end{tabular}

### 6.5 Appositional noun phrases

A noun phrase may be followed by an appositional noun phrase, which is unmarked syntactically, that is, they occur in juxtaposition. (Appositional noun phrases are noun phrases which are constituents of the same syntactic level and are coreferential). The appositional noun phrase may add information, such as the proper name Isisge in (97) or the tree names mer 'ironwood' and moj 'banyan' in (98), or as in (99), the appositional noun phrase clarifies which participants.

> Efer orna, Isisge eti ejena noga $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eriefiosga ofon } \\ & \text { child man Isisge force woman REL } \\ & \text { Eriefiosga } 3 \text { 3SG POS } \\ & \text { 'The guy, Isisge forced the woman who was Eriefiosga's mother to ....' }\end{aligned}$ for [T25]

Eri i-ek merga noga edegejga, mer éra moj.
they.PL 3PL-see wood REL match.up ironwood DSJ banyan 'They saw trees which were suitable, ironwood or banyan trees.'

Eri i-oksomus skod misi, eri no-mis-i. they.PL 3PL-return to bandicoot they.PL DNR-former-GIV 'They returned to the bandicoots, those former ones.'

A noun phrase occurring in a thematic frame may also be followed by a resumptive or shadow pronoun, which is co-referential with the topic and fills the position of subject, with a pause between the two. Usually, the resumptive pronoun, such as ofa 'she' in (100), occurs as the subject argument of the verb, but occasionally a resumptive pronoun will follow the object or an indirect object, as in (101).
(100) Tiná ejena no-ma-i, ofa odowa. but woman DNR-far-GIV s/he disinclined 'But the woman, she was disinclined.'
(101) Y-efer no-ma-i y-ejeka gug m-edina, ofa tas. DU-child DNR-far-GIV DU-call.out to NR-grandparent s /he again 'Those (two) kids called again to the ancestor, him.' [T23]

At the beginning of a narrative when participants are introduced, a noun phrase followed by an appositional noun phrase may occur as topic, such as the NP ofon mokesa 'her younger sister' and the proper name Ejines in (102), or the NP orna 'man' followed by the proper name Itmokuah in (103). The resumptive pronoun fills the position of subject.
(102) Ofon m-ok-esa, Ejines, ofa eker mow jig mod efeyu. 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg Ejines s/he sit land LOC house immature 'Her younger sister, Ejines, she lived in the place in a small house.' [T10]
(103) Orna, It-moku-(eb)ah, no-ka-i erá, ofa ecira... man they.eat-mushroom-raw DNR-near-GIV THM s/he walk 'The man, They-ate-raw-mushrooms, this one, he walked ...'

## Chapter 7 Prepositional phrases

### 7.0 Introduction

Prepositional notions in Moskona are expressed by three means: nominally as spatial relator nouns, discussed in (§3.1.2.3), verbally as verbal prepositions, discussed in (§9.2), and prepositionally as prepositional phrases, presented in this chapter.
Moskona has a rich inventory of prepositions which govern all peripheral arguments, with the notable exception of the reciprocal pronoun mogum '(to/with/from) each other' (§5.1.1) which is unmarked syntactically. The choice of preposition indicates the semantic role of the peripheral argument. Prepositions may be broadly categorized by dividing their semantic functions into spatial and non-spatial relations. Spatial prepositions primarily encode the semantic role of location or direction of the noun they introduce, but the meaning of many non-spatial prepositions is not easily captured by a single gloss, as a number of their senses require different translations. The spatial prepositions will be presented first in §7.2, followed by non-spatial prepositions discussed in $\S 7.3$ with regard to the semantic roles which they mark. The three locative adverbs: tesi 'below', teraw 'above' and gujga 'beneath' may serve as spatial prepositions, and the conjunction dokun 'and' may serve as a non-spatial preposition, and so are included in this discussion. Finally, prepositional notions expressed verbally will be mentioned briefly.

### 7.1 Structure

A prepositional phrase consists minimally of a preposition and its complement. Prepositions govern complements which may be noun phrases, such as mebka nomi in (1), demonstrative pronouns, such as nomisi in (2), verb-phrase adverbs, such as terir in (3) or spatial deictics, such as ideyja in (4).
(1) Bua bi-ec medeg rud mebka no-ma-i. you.SG 2SG-press.on bamboo against mango DNR-far-GIV 'Lean the bamboo against that mango tree.'
(2) moroj noga eri i-ewer jig no-mis-i.
path REL they.PL 3PL-pass LOC DNR-former-GIV
'the path which they crossed on there.'
(3) Orna no-mi, ofa ereni esha ni eri i-ejgen rot terir. man DNR-far-GIV s /he mark away for they.PL 3PL-know about exactly 'The man, he made a mark so that they knew [the place] exactly.' [D]
Dif di-ek mar no-ma-i $\quad$ ah jig
I i-da=eyja.
I 1SG-see thing DNR-far-GIV lie LOC
'I saw the thing [which was] up there.'

This same preposition plus complement structure has been observed in languages found in the eastern and central areas of the Bird's Head, including Meyah (Gravelle 2004:189), Sougb (Reesink 2002b:230), Hatam (Reesink 1999:63), Mpur (Odé 2002:69) and Maybrat (Dol 1999:130).
In addition to their function as peripheral arguments, prepositional phrases may also serve as modifiers of a noun phrase when giving the location of a participant rather than that of the event as a whole. For example, the prepositional phrase jig mow nomi 'in that place' modifies isnok in (5) and the prepositional phrase fen Merdey 'from Merdey' modifies the pronoun eri in (6).
(5) I-osnok jig mow no-ma-i erin mod efega ciyja. 3PL-person LOC land DNR-far-GIV 3PLPOS house ANIMAL five 'The people in that place have five houses.'
(6) Eri fen Merdey i-en i-ef i-efer jig Meyerga. they.PL from Merdey 3PL-come 3PL-shoot 3PL-sore LOC Meyerga 'They from Merdey came [and] shot [and] wounded (people) in Meyerga.' [D]

Prepositional phrases may not function as non-verbal predicates, as in a clause like 'The bird was in the tree.', but instead may be adjuncts of the classificatory verbs ah 'lie', eker 'sit', ot 'stand' and ebah 'live', which function existentially, such as the prepositional phrase jig mod is an adjunct of the classificatory verb $a h$ in (7).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Mar erg-em ah jig mod=kef }  \tag{7}\\
& \text { thing NUM:1-CST lie LOC house=here } \\
& \text { 'Something is in the house now' } \tag{T27}
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.2 Spatial prepositions

A spatial preposition locates an object in space with regard to a reference object. The spatial prepositions, with the exception of jig 'LOC', are very exact with regards to the location of the object and its reference object. This orientation is distinguished from spatial relator nouns (§3.1.2.3) which specify a portion of the reference object, (e.g. house's back). The spatial prepositions are given in Table 7.1 below with notation ( $+/-$ ) indicating whether they govern an animate or inanimate noun phrase.

Table 7.1 Spatial prepositions

| Preposition | Gloss | Animate | Inanimate |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| jig | 'Loc' | - | + |
| dec | 'same location as' | + | + |
| fen | 'from' | + | + |
| had | 'toward' | - | + |
| kerenga | 'upon' | + | + |
| kuk | 'along' or (be)side' | - | + |
| ni | 'at' | - | + |
| rejrej | 'around' | - | + |
| rud | 'against' | - | + |
| rudud | 'by' | - | + |
| skod | 'to (s.o. location)' | + | + |
| tum | 'on(to)' | - | + |

### 7.2.1 The preposition jig

The generic locative preposition jig 'LOC' marks simple undifferentiated spatial overlap, that is, it marks near or total spatial overlap of the located object and the reference object, sharing the same general space (cf. Frawley 1992:255). It indicates an endpoint, but is vague as to semantic components, such as degree of overlap. It's precise meaning is derived from the semantic components of the verb, as in the clause Ofa eyja jig kota ( $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ go LOC town) 'He went to town.' where the semantic components of the verb eyja 'go' indicate direction away from the deictic center. The nominals governed by jig are always inanimate.

The generic preposition jig may express a variety of spatial relations, such as 'to' in (8), 'at' in (9), 'into' in (10), or even 'on(to)' in (11) or 'upon' in (12). However, when the semantic components of the verb do not provide sufficient spatial indicators, a more specific locational indicator is used.
(8) Yef y-em-owha jig pasar. we.DU DU-IRR-leave LOC market
'We will leave to the market.'
ergog y-ebah jig mod erg-es
they.DU DU-live LOC house NUM:1-one
'They lived in a house.'
(10) Eri i-esah mon ogos jig burua ewes.
they.PL 3PL-put corpse die LOC box cavity
'They placed the dead body in the coffin.'
Dif di-ek mars(a) ofoga jig merg(a) owok.
I 1SG-inject game flesh LOC wood outgrowth
'I skewered the game meat onto the stick.'

Ejena esiwkjig miyes ah jig mofun esebra. woman allow clothes lie LOC vine continuously 'The woman left the clothes upon the vine (clothesline) continuously.' [D]

As a generic spatial preposition, jig 'LOC' has the widest variety of complements. In addition to noun phrases, as in (8-12), it may also govern spatial nouns, such as the spatial noun no-mej 'there (remote)' in (13), demonstrative pronouns, such as nomi 'that (place)' in (14), locative adverbs, such as teraw 'above' in (15), or spatial relator noun phrases, such as miy odog in (16).

Merga no-ma-i erá noga ot jig no-mej.
wood DNR-far-GIV THMREL stand LOC DNR-remote 'The tree is the one that stands (is) yonder.'
[T27]
(14) Bua bi-er-ef mar-mosorn(a) jig no-ma-i edak. you.SG 2SG-CAUS-distribute thing-hunger LOC DNR-far-GIV NEG.DEON 'You shouldn't plant food (plants) at that place.' [D]
(15) Ofa ahac mesnom oforga osoror jig teraw. s/he tie corn hardened suspended LOC above 'She tied the dried corn [so] it was suspend above.'

Kawar miy ewer teraw jig miy odog.
vehicle water cross above LOC water belly
'The ship crosses above on the water's surface.'
The generic preposition jig may also introduce temporal phrases, such as the temporal adverbial phrase mesta okes erges in (17) or mona sokomow in (18).

Edá, eri i-ora mod no-ma-i jig mesta okes erg-es. then they.PL 3PL-build house DNR-far-GIV LOC moon whole NUM:1-one 'Then, they built the house in one whole month.'
(18) Jig mona sokomow erá, ofa owok(a) oyf-omof, tiná kus=kef... LOC day beginning THM s/he name good-RED but short.span=here 'In the beginning, his reputation was good, but now....'

The generic locative preposition jig in Moskona seems to have a function similar to se 'at, in(to)' in Sougb (Reesink 2002b:230) and ei 'LOC' in Hatam (Reesink 1999:63), in
that it is a generic type of locative. The Meyah preposition gij 'into' with its multiple meanings possibly functions in similar fashion (Gravelle 2004:193).

### 7.2.2 The preposition dec

The spatial preposition dec 'same location as', a static or non-directional preposition, always governs an animate noun or pronoun. If the noun refers to a human, it is best translated 'in the presence of', as in (19), if the noun refers to a nonhuman, it is best translated 'at the exact location of' as in (20).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Ofa ogos dec dif. }  \tag{19}\\
& \text { s/he die same.loc.as I } \\
& \text { 'He died in my presence.' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

Dif di-ofof dec mem kukar no-ma-i ni di-esed.
I 1SG-run same.loc.as bird cluck DNR-far-GIV for 1SG-grab
'I ran to the chicken's (exact) location to grab (it).'

### 7.2.3 The preposition fen

In addition to indicating an ablative relation, as in (21), and indicating material/origin, as in (22), or occasionally cause, as in (23), the preposition fen 'from' is also used as a linker in comparison phrases, whether comparing an attribute, as in (24), or comparing concrete objects, as in (25).
(21) ofa ohas-has fen mogom ofoj no-ma-i skod mogom ofoj $\mathrm{s} /$ he hop-RED from stone blunted DNR-far-GIV to stone blunted
erg-em
NUM:1-CST
'he jumped from boulder to boulder'
(22) eri i-engit merah fen mogug they.PL 3PL-make fire from tinder 'they made fire from tinder'
(23) Miy no-ma-i eyma fen mesta water DNR-far-GIV subside from moon 'The water subsides because of the moon'
(24) Dif di-aksa eseter fen bua.

I 1SG-tall much.more from you.SG
'I'm taller than you.'
(25) Mesin ketik ${ }^{48}$ ofon ofuy erf-i-em fen komputer ${ }^{49}$. machine type 3 SGPOS function NUM:5-?-CST from computer 'The typewriter has a different function than the computer.'

The preposition fen 'from' and verbal preposition esha '(be) from' have equivalent meaning, whether governing an animate or inanimate nouns. (cf. §9.2 verbal prepositions)

### 7.2.4 The preposition had

The spatial preposition had 'toward' or 'in the direction of' governs inanimate nouns only, such as the noun mosorka 'female toilet hole' in (26) or the cardinal direction compound maw esir 'west' in (27). (When animate nouns are the complement, direction is expressed by the spatial preposition skod 'to'.) The preposition had contrasts with the generic preposition jig 'LOC', as in (28), which indicates an endpoint.
(26) jig mocha owos had mosork(a) i-(esi)r-(ji)g-ej.

LOC k.o.tree skin toward toilet.hole 3PL-fall-LOC-fem. 'on the bark [wall] toward the female's toilet hole'
(27) Kota Sorong erá ah had maw esir.
town Sorong THM lie toward sun fall 'Sorong town lies to the west.'
(28) I-osnok Miyáh i-eker jig maw teraw. 3PL-person meyah 3PL-sit LOC sun above 'The Meyah people reside in the north.'

### 7.2.5 The preposition kerenga

The spatial preposition kerenga 'upon' indicates a relationship of an object having a physical orientation above the reference object, usually indicating physical contact, as in (29) and (30). The preposition kerenga also functions figuratively to indicate influence, as in (31).
miy ohr-ohra eyj efir efsa kerenga muy no-ma-i. water splash-RED toss foam white upon sweet.potato DNR-far-GIV the water splashed [and] threw foam over the sweet potatoes.' [D]

[^39](30) Bua bi-or mogom bi-er-ekewen kerenga kertas ${ }^{50}$ efi you.SG 2SG-hold stone 2SG-CAUS-weigh.down upon paper leaf
no-kef.
DNR-here
'Weigh down these papers with the stone.'
(31) Ofa oked mar kerenga merga owok(a).
s/he chant thing upon wood outgrowth
'He chanted over the tree branch.'
[T27]
The preposition kerenga may also serve as a verbal particle or adjunct (§4.1.4), having as a probable common origin the transitive verb ekerenga '(be) upon s.t.'.

### 7.2.6 The preposition kuk

The spatial preposition $k u k$ 'along', indicating proximity following the length of something, governs objects which are inanimate, such as the noun merah 'fire' in (32) or the noun phrase miy nomi 'river' in (33).

Ofa er-ef miyes noga etet kuk merah ni aharg(a). s /he CAUS-distribute clothes REL damp along fire for dry 'She spread out the clothes which were damp next to the fire to dry.' [D]
(ergog) y-osok kuk miy no-ma-i esebra
they.DU DU-climb.up along water DNR-far-GIV continuous
'they ascended continuously along the river.'

### 7.2.7 The preposition $n \boldsymbol{i}$

The spatial preposition $n i$ 'at' which indicates a stationary location or position of proximity, governs inanimate objects, such as the alienable noun maw 'sun' in (34) or the inalienable noun oduy 'front' in (35).
(34) Miyec noga dif di-er-ef ni maw erá aharg(a) jog. clothes REL I 1SG-CAUS-distribute at sun THM dry already 'The clothes which I spread out in the sun are already dry.'
[D]
(35) Bua bi-en bi-ah ni di-oduy.
you.SG 2SG-come 2SG-lie at 1SG-front
'Come lie at my front.'

[^40]
### 7.2.8 The preposition rejrej

The spatial preposition rejrej 'around', which indicates location on all sides of something or encircling a central point, has a reduplicated form as its root and governs both animate and inanimate nouns, such as mod 'house' in (36) and ofa 's/he' in (37).
(36) Ofa ah god ewes rejrej mod.
s/he hack ditch cavity around house
'He dug a ditch around the house.'
[T29]
(37) I-osnok i-ognunui i-en dokun i-eker rejrej ofa. 3PL-person 3PL-many 3PL-come and 3PL-sit around s/he
'Many people came and sat around him.'

### 7.2.9 The preposition rud

The preposition rud 'against', which indicates a vertical orientation with physical contact, governs both animate and inanimate nouns, such as mejga 'fence' in (38) and the pronoun ofa 's/he' in (39).
(38) Ofa ec merga rud mejga.
s/he press.on wood against fence
'He leaned the post against the fence.'
(39) Ofa ec rud ofa.
s/he press.on against s/he
'He leaned against him.'

### 7.2.10 The preposition rudud

The spatial preposition rudud 'by' or 'almost against' has a reduplicated form of the preposition rud 'against' as its root. It governs animate and inanimate nouns, such as mod 'house' in (40) or amok 'friend' in (41).

Y-orna y-erg-ak no-ma-i erá y-owra rudud miy jig
DU-man DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV THM DU-cross by water LOC
no-kef
DNR-here
'The two men crossed by the river here.'
(41) Ergog y-ewer rudud mif
they.DU DU-pass by we.PL
'They passed by us.'

### 7.2.11 The preposition skod

The spatial preposition skod 'to (someone's location)' typically governs animate noun phrases, such as the pronoun bua in (42) or the noun phrase osnok noga ofon mod nomi in (43), although may be used occasionally to refer to the location of an inanimate object, and occurs only with verbs which have a semantic component of motion. The spatial preposition skod 'to', marking location is distinguished from the non-spatial preposition gug 'to' which marks recipient or goal. The preposition skod is morphologically similar to the Sougb collapsed phrase skwada 'its over there' (Reesink 2002b:198) and Meyah preposition skoita 'to' (Gravelle 2004:166).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Dif di-esejah ni } & \text { di-eyja } & \text { skod bua } & \text { jig } & \text { no-mej. } \\
\text { I 1SG-prepare for } & \text { 1SG-go to } & \text { you.SG } & \text { LOC } & \text { DNR-remote } \\
\text { 'I prepared to go to you at (the place) yonder.' } & & \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Ofa eyja edis skod osnok noga ofon mod no-ma-i. }  \tag{43}\\
& \text { s/he go miss to person REL 3SGPOS house DNR-far-GIV } \\
& \text { 'He went directly to the person who owned the house.' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

### 7.2.12 The preposition tum

The spatial preposition tum 'onto' governs nouns referring to objects with a perceived vertical surface. These may be trees (with their components: forks, branches, hollows, fruit), such as mohega 'k.o.tree' in (44), houses, (with their components: walls, rooms) and humans (with their various components: arms, legs, body), such as owos 'skin' extended to mean 'body' in (45).

Yom ok osok tum mohega
Yom flee climb.uponto k.o.tree
'Yom fled [and] climbed up on a mohega tree.'
mar owok er-eft(a) ofon miyes tum owos.
thing outgrowth CAUS-attach.to 3SGPOS clothes onto skin
'the seeds stuck to the clothes on his skin.' (i.e. clothes he was wearing)[D]
The spatial preposition tum 'onto' also has the figurative meaning 'depend on' in (46).
bua bi-ebah tum eri susuy
you.SG 2SG-live onto they.PL other.kinds
'you live on (depend on) other kinds of people (non-clan members).'

### 7.2.13 Locative adverbs as spatial prepositions

The locative adverbs tesi 'below' (47), teraw 'above' (48) and gujga 'beneath' (49) also function prepositionally, in that they may introduce an object which may serve as an adjunct of an intransitive verb (cf. §7.1).

Mes ah tesi meyja ogoh.
dog lie below table base
'The dog laid (was) below the table'
Karmof of teraw mif.
airplane fly above we.PL
'The airplane flew above us.'
(49) Mes eker gujga meyja ogoh.
dog sit beneath table base
'The dog sits (is) underneath the table.'

### 7.2.14 Prepositional phrases with spatial relator nouns

The generic preposition jig 'LOC' is the preposition which most frequently governs spatial relator noun constructions, in which the specific location is expressed by a spatial relator noun, such as the phrase merga eferu ewekesa in (50). However, spatial relator noun constructions may also occur with other prepositions, such as kuk 'along' in (51) and gujga 'beneath' in (49).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { Ofa edem miyefen jig merga eferu } & \text { ewekesa. } \\
\text { s/he hide money LOC wood segment } & \text { midst } \\
\text { 'She hid the money in between the boards.' } & \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

(51) Yua yi-ahrir kuk memeg(a) ogoh.
you.PL 2PL-skirt along mountain base
'You (PL) skirted along the base of the mountain.'

### 7.3 Non-spatial prepositions

The non-spatial prepositions encode peripheral arguments in the roles of time, beneficiary, adversary, cause, goal, recipient, comitative and manner. The non-spatial prepositions are given in Table 7.2 by primary role with a notation ( $+/-$ ) indicating whether they govern an animate or inanimate noun phrase. The semantic roles encoded by each preposition will be discussed under each preposition individually.

Table 7.2 Non-spatial prepositions

| Temporal |  | Animate | Inanimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ni | 'on' (specific time) | - | + |
| jida | 'until' or 'up to' | - | + |
| Purpose / Benefactive |  |  |  |
| $n i$ | 'for' | + | + |
| ten | 'BEN' | + | - |
| Adversative |  |  |  |
| jug | 'against' | + | + |
| daka | 'in aversion' | + | - |
| Goal |  |  |  |
| gug | 'to' | + | - |
| Comitative |  |  |  |
| jera | 'with' | + | + |
| Goal/ Comitative / Causal |  |  |  |
| rot | 'with' or 'about' | + | + |
| Replacive |  |  |  |
| sof | 'instead (of)' | + | + |

### 7.3.1 The preposition $\boldsymbol{n i}$

The temporal preposition $n i$ 'on' marks temporal phrases which indicate a specific time, as on a specific day or date, such as men-esif 'daybreak' in (52), or the name of the day Mona Ergak (53). It is homophonous with the spatial preposition $n i$ 'at' (§7.2.7) and the nonspatial preposition $n i$ 'for' (§7.3.3).

Edá etkebr-ok ni men(a)-esif, edá ergog y-ok... then short-side on day-open then they.DU DU-flee 'Then near [at] daybreak, then they fled....'

To distinguish between the name of a day of the week and an ordinal reference, two different prepositions are used. The preposition $n i$ 'on' governs phrases headed by proper nouns, such as Mona Ergak in (53), but the generic preposition jig 'LOC' is used to indicate the order of a day, as in (54).

Dif di-en $\quad \boldsymbol{n i}$ Mona Erg-ak.
I 1SG-come on day NUM:1-two
'I came on Tuesday.'

```
Dif di-en jig mona erg-ak.
I 1SG-come LOC day NUM:1-two
'I came the second day.'
```


### 7.3.2 The preposition jida

The temporal preposition jida 'until' or 'up to' is possibly a fused verb sequence composed of eyja 'go' and ed 'join' with the paragoge $-a$ 'PGE' added. The initial vowel of the first root having elided, the initial vowel of the second root became high when the compound fused. The preposition jida governs temporal phrases, such as mot 'night' in (55) and mesta erges in (56). The preposition jida is analogous to the verbal sequence eyja er-os (go.to CAUS-move.horiz.) 'up to'.

> Ofa en maekena esebra jida mot.
> s/he do garden continuous until night
> 'She worked in the garden continuously until night.'

| I-osnok meren i-osok | i-oyka | jig | mod | no-ma-i | jida |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-person lake | 3PL-climb.up | 3PL-dance | LOC | house | DNR-far-GIV | until

### 7.3.3 The preposition $n i$

The purpose preposition $n i$ 'for' marks beneficiary when the complement of the preposition is animate, such as ofon mokesa 'his younger sibling' in (57) and eri 'they.PL' in (58), but purpose (or reason) when the complement is inanimate, such as miy efeyu 'pond' in (59). The preposition ni differs from the preposition gug, in that the object of ni is beneficiary, but never recipient or goal. The purpose preposition $n i$ is homophonous with the temporal preposition $n i$ 'on' mentioned in $\S 7.3 .1$. When the complement is animate, the benefactive preposition ten 'BEN' may be substituted with no change of meaning.

> Ofa ec buku efen-ah ni ofon m-ok-esa.
> s/he buy book new-INTENS for 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg
> 'He bought the very new book for his younger brother.'
(58) mod no-ma-i ofon ofuy ni eri noga i-ef mosta... house DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS function for they.PL REL 3PL-shoot prey 'the house has the function (use) for them who shoot prey'
Eri i-ah mow ni miy efeyu.
they.PL 3PL-hack ground for water immature 'They dug out ground for a pond.'

### 7.3.4 The preposition ten

The benefactive preposition ten 'BEN' governs human referents only, indicating beneficiary. The complement may be a pronoun, such as the reflexive pronoun buaha in (60), a noun phrase, such as ofon mohena in (61), or a proper noun, such as Markus in (62). It appears to be fading from use, as very few occurrences are found in my data. In all occurrences of ten 'BEN', the more common preposition $n i$ 'for' may be substituted.
Dif di-odu mar ten buaha.
I $\quad$ 1SG-speak thing BEN
ISGRX
'I am saying it for your own sake (benefit).'
(61) Ofa or mod ten ofon mohena romreg. s/he build house BEN 3SGPOS wife all 'He builds houses for all his wives.'
(62) Dif di-orka mar noga okum ten Markus.

I 1SG-carry thing REL heavy BEN Marcus
'I carried the thing which was heavy for Marcus.'

### 7.3.5 The preposition jug

The nominal complement of jug 'against' in (63) is the recipient of adversarial intent or the negative beneficiary. If no opposition is intended, the recipient is marked by the preposition gug 'to', as in (64).

| Bua | bi-eyta | mar | no-ma-i | jug | dif | ejog | gijga. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-take | thing | DNR-far-GIV | against | I | next | only | 'Give that thing to me (as the) next (person).' (to obviate my anger) [D]


| Bua | bi-eyta | mar | no-ma-i | gug | dif | ejog | gijga. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-take | thing | DNR-far-GIV | to | I | next | only |

'Give that thing to me next.'

The adversative preposition jug, which may govern animate and inanimate nouns, conveys notions of preventing, as in (65), blocking, as in (66), obviating something, or opposing, as in (67) and (68), depending on the context. As mentioned in §4.1.4, the transitive verb ocuk 'block (s.t.)' is a possible origin for the adversative preposition jug.
(65) Ofa or mitow er-ah mosga no-ma-i, erogá ebga jug $\mathrm{s} /$ he hold machete CAUS-hack bridge DNR-far-GIV hence torn against

## m-ok-esa.

NR-sib.s.s.-yg
'He hacked that hanging bridge, so it was torn against (preventing) the younger brother [to return].'
[T7]
(66) Mar ofoga efs(a) om jug memeg(a) ebrosurs.
thing cloud white enclose against mountain crown
'The clouds have closed off the mountain peak (from view).'
(67) Ofa ofof rogrog jug ofon amok(a) no-ma-i.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ run immediate against 3SGPOS friend DNR-far-GIV
'He immediately ran away (in opposition) from that friend of his.' [D]

| Mif erá | mi-en-ebah | jig moroj | no-ma-i | jug | yoga. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we.PL THM | 3PL-DUR-live | LOC path | DNR-far-GIV | against | you.DU |
| 'We were at that path obstructing you two.' |  |  | [T25] |  |  |

### 7.3.6 The preposition daka

The lesser used adversative preposition daka 'avert' indicates a negative benefactive and expresses an intention to avert, pre-empt or forestall the complement, as in (69) and (70). Although it has a meaning somewhat close to that of jug, it may not be substituted for jug. However, jug may replace daka with little change in meaning. The adversative preposition daka and the deontic negative edak quite possibly have the transitive verb edega 'remove' as a common origin.
(69) I-eferiok i-et gamgam daka erin amok(a)-ir. 3PL-small.children 3PL-eat candy avert 3PLPOS friend-PL
'The small children ate the candy away from their friends.' [D]


### 7.3.7 The preposition gug

The nominal governed by the recipient preposition $g u g$ 'to' can be characterized as either the recipient or goal of the action expressed by the verb, as is dif in (71). Syntactically, the complement of gug is the indirect object. Although the complement is typically a noun or pronoun referring to a human, such as the goal dif ' I ' in (72), or the recipient mos macog 'soldiers' in (73), gug may also be used when animals are treated anthropomorphically. The morphologically similar Meyah preposition $g u$ 'at' also indicates goal, but has a broader scope than recipient or goal, expressing a locative role as well (Gravelle 2004:194).
(71) Bua bi-ow miy jig mok estak erg-es gug dif roga. you.SG 2SG-fill water LOC bowl butt NUM:1-one to I first 'Please fill me a cup of water.' ( $\operatorname{rog} a=$ polite command $)$
[D]
(72) dadin ekok dokun ayok y-ohot gug dif....

1SGPOS father and mother DU-say to I
'my father and mother said to me...'
(73) Eri i-ahatu osnok noga ofogog gug mos macog.
they.PL 3PL-surrender person REL evil to people gun
'They surrendered the person who was evil to the soldiers.'
[D]
For the semantically complex transitive verb eyta 'take', the presence of gug 'to' signals that the direction of the action is away from the agent, as in (74), thus conveying the meaning 'give', as there is no dedicated lexical item in Moskona for 'give'. If the preposition gug is not present, the direction is toward the agent, as in (75).
ergog y-eyta mar no-ma-i
they.DU DU-take thing DNR-far-GIV to
to
'they gave the thing to the old man'
ergog y-em-eyta medeg efi orokec...
they.DU DU-IRR-take bamboo leaf large
'they would take large bamboo leaves....'

### 7.3.8 The preposition jera

The preposition jera '(along) with', ${ }^{51}$ expresses comitative relations, as in (76) and (77), but occasionally signals instrument relations, as in (78) and (79).

[^41]| i-ejeujen(a)-ir | i-erg-ak | erá | i-en-ah | jera | ofa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-yg.woman-PL | 3PL-NUM:1-two THM | 3PL-DUR-lie | with | s/he |  |
| 'two young women were lying with him.' |  |  |  |  |  |


| Eri-ej-a | i-esah | mar-mosorn(a) | jera | marowok |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL-female-PGE | 3PL-put | thing-hunger | with | vegetable |

oroh-roh jig mok estak.
mix-RED LOC bowl butt
'They (female) placed the food with the vegetables [so] they mixed in a bowl.'
[D]
(78) Em-eyh(a) jera miy, mek, mek noga ofonon éra miy efema. IRR-pay with cloth pig pig REL huge DSJ cloth nest '(He) would pay with cloth, pigs, pigs which were huge, or bolts of cloth.' [T15]

Mar (eb)ah ogor-gor jera ogá noga oyf-omof.
thing live cry.out-RED with speech REL good-RED
'Insects buzzed with noises which were good (pleasant sounding).'
The preposition jera may also introduce manner adverbial phrases, such as jera oduy oyfomof 'happily' in (80) or the adverbial clause jera oyfomof 'well' in (81), although there are very few occurrences in my data.

> Ofa en mar jera oduy oyf-omof.
> s/he do thing with front good-RED
> 'He does things happily.' (good front = happy)
ofa em-owha jig mod jera oyf-omof
s/he IRR-leave LOC house with good-RED
'she would leave for her house happily.'

### 7.3.9 The preposition rot

Phrases governed by rot 'about' or 'in reference to' express the widest range of semantic roles, marking purpose, goal, comitative, cause, and more rarely, instrument. Most frequently rot may be glossed 'for' or 'with'.

The preposition rot may mark purpose (or benefit) toward which the action is directed, such as the noun mos 'fish' in (82)or the noun isnok 'people' in (83). In these instances, rot may be translated 'for'.

Ofa ah-miy rot mos.
s/he lie-water about fish
'He lies-on-water for fish.' (i.e.fishing)
[D]

| i-er-etka | merga | no-mis-i | rot | i-osnok noga | i-en-eker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3PL-IRR-split | wood | DNR-former-GIV | about 3PL-person REL | 3PL-DUR-sit |  |

jig...
LOC
'(they) split [open] the tree for the people who were living in ....
When the action is directed toward the goal, such as bua 'you.SG' in (84) or irgem 'others' in (85), the preposition rot may be translated generally as 'with' or 'with regard to'.
(84) eri tin i-oduy efef rot bua. they.PL also 3PL-front ache about you.SG 'they also love you' (lit. front aches about you)
[T26]
(85) Bua bi-em-er-em-etejen(a)-ima buaha rot i-erg-em edak. you.SG 2SG-IRR-CAUS-RECIP-compare 2SGRX about 3PL-NUM:1-CST NEG.DEON 'You shouldn't compare yourself (with regard) to others.'

The preposition rot may indicate a comitative relationship, as in (86) and (87). When the action is something done along with the goal, rot may best be translated 'with', as in (88).

Dif erá noga di-esir rot buwun mohena.
I THM REL 1SG-fall.down about 2SGPOS wife
'I am [the one] who fell down (committed adultry) with your wife.'
[D]
(87) eri noga susuy i-ok rot eri jog
they.PL REL other.kind 3PL-flee about they.PL already 'those other kinds (of people) had already fled with them.'
(88) I-eferiok i-ahisen rot bara jig mow eke-ken(a).

3PL-sm.children 3PL-play about ball LOC land red-RED
'The small children played with the ball in the clearing.'
Only a few instances of the preposition rot signaling instrument, such as erin mar okowa in (89), have been found in my data. In (90) the implicit complement of rot was expressed as in the main clause.

Smen, i-osnok i-er-egedid ofa rot erin mar okowa. perhaps 3PL-person 3PL-CAUS-obstruct $\mathrm{s} /$ he about 3PLPOS thing debt 'Possibly, people hindered him with their obligations.'

Ofa of mohusor ni er-ah meg rot. s/he fell k.o.tree for cAUS-hackraft about 'He felled a mohusor tree to hack out (make) a raft with (it).'

The preposition rot may also express a causal relationship, as in (91), (92) and (93), and may be translated 'from' or 'resulting from'.
(91) Ejena no-ma-i, ofa odog (ew)ek rot ofon efer. woman DNR-far-GIV s/he belly bump about 3SGPOS child 'The woman, her belly is rounded from her child.'

Efer no-ma-i, ofa ebi rot mar-(ew)et.
child DNR-far-GIV s/he defecate about thing-semi.solid
'The kid, he defecated from worms.' ( mar ewet = worms)
Dif di-ohes-is di-etma rot mar-(eb)ah.
I 1SG-agitate-RED 1SG-arm about thing-live
'I shook my hand as a result of the insects.' (mar-ebah $=$ insects $)$
In stranded position, the preposition rot has the meaning 'as a result' (of the event)' or 'about (the event), as in (94-95), and always occurs following the verb core.
erogá ofa oyok mar rot.
hence $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ swear thing about
'so she swore about it'
[T7]
Esha ofa eg mar noga okum, erogá efefej okw-okwa rot.
from $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hearthing REL heavy hence heart flutter-RED about
'Because he heard something which was serious, so his heart raced as a result.'
[D]

### 7.3.9.1 Manner prepositional phrases with rot

The preposition rot may also introduce manner adverbial phrases, allowing a broader range of manner expressions than are available through the closed class of adverbs. In a manner phrase, the complement of rot 'about' may be an adverb, such as terir 'exactly' in (96) or deci 'slowly' in (97), a nominal such as oduy 'front' in (98), or the interrogative word tinefa 'how', as in (99).
(96) Mesta ekena eninma, erogá dif di-ek mar rot terir. moon glow illuminate hence I 1SG-see thing about exactly 'The moon was shining brightly, so I saw the thing clearly.'
(97) Yoga y-eker osuj di-ejmeg no-kef rot deci-ci. you.DU DU-sit upon 1SG-spine DNR-here about slowly-RED 'You (two) sit on my back now very carefully.'
(98) Ofa en mar rot oduy oyf-omof.
s/he do thing about front good-RED
'He works happily.' (front good = pleased)

| Ofa | ek jug | efer | no-ma-i | esirn(a) | rot tinefa. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | see against | child | DNR-far-GIV | sick | about how | 'He examined in what way the child was sick.'

[T29]

When the interrogative word midá 'what' is the complement of rot, a manner phrase is not formed, rather the phrase rot midá expresses the interrogative notion 'why', as in (100).
(100) Bua bi-os mofun no-ma-i rot midá? you.SG 2SG-sever vine DNR-far-GIV about what 'Why did you sever the vine?'

### 7.3.10 The preposition sof

The preposition sof 'instead (of)' expresses the semantic role of replacive, as in (101). The preposition is frequently stranded, occurring clause-finally, but the complement is usually recoverable from the immediate context, such as pas 'rice' in (102), or from general knowledge, as in (103).
(101) Ofa orka taksi=kef sof dif.
s/he carry taxi=here instead I
'He is driving this taxi instead of me.'
(102)

| Dif di-odow | pas; | dif | di-os-os | rot | di-et |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 1SG-disinclined | rice | I | 1SG-move.horiz.-RED | about |
|  | 1SG-eat |  |  |  |  |

muy sof.
sweet.potato instead
'I'm not interested in rice; I want to eat sweet potatoes instead.'
[D]
(103) Bua bi-ot jig m-i-egak no-kef sof. you.SG 2SG-stand LOC NR-3PL-leg DNR-here instead 'Wear these pants instead [of something else].' (stand in clothes = wear clothes)

### 7.3.11 Dokun as a preposition

It is a common strategy in languages for conjunctions and comitative adpositions to be identical (J. Payne 1985:29), so it is not surprising that the conjunction dokun 'and' also functions prepositionally to express the semantic role of comitative, as in (104) and (105), and may be glossed 'with'. The conjunction dokun quite possibly has its origins in the transitive verb odokun 'accompany' (ot 'stand' okun '(out)side').

| (104) | Ofa eker s/he sit 'He stayed | dokun and behind wi | ofon $\operatorname{amok}(a)$ <br> 3SGPOS friend <br> th his friend at the | rohog place house.' | departed | jig LOC | mod. house [D] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (105) | Ofa eker $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ sit | jig mod LOC house | no-mis-i <br> DNR-former-GIV | dokun and | ofon 3SGPOS | mohena, wife | jera <br> with |
|  | ofon | m-iw-esa | tin. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3SGPOS | NR-sib.s.s. | -yg also |  |  |  |  |
|  | 'He resided in that house with his wife, along with her younger sister also.' [T7] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 7.4 Stranded prepositions

A preposition is stranded when there is no complement immediately following it. Crosslinguistically this is very rare (Van Valin 2001:88). The complement of the preposition is usually recoverable from the immediate context, such as the complement of jig in (106) is understood as mow noki 'this place' from the main clause, or in (107) it is understood to be buwun bigak 'your leg', the topic of the clause.
(106) Mow no-ka-i erá oyf-omof ni i-er-ef mesi jig. land DNR-near-GIV THM good-RED for 3PL-CAUS-distribute taro LOC 'This place [it] is good for spreading (planting) taro in.' [D]
(107) Buwun bi-egak erá, mohtef eyet jig.

2SGPOS 2SG-leg THM mud adhere LOC
'Your leg, mud adheres to [it].'
[D]
A complement may be elided if it is understood from the context or from general knowledge, such as bua 'you.SG' elided in (108) and mow 'ground' elided in (109).
(108) Bua $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { bi-eg } & \text { mar noga } & \text { mosu odu } & \text { gug. } \\ & \text { you.SG } & \text { 2SG-hear } & \text { thing } & \text { REL } & \text { mother tell to }\end{array}$
'Listen (pay attention) to what [your] mother tells [you].'
[D]
(109) Ergog y-es miyefef kereng(a).
they.DU DU-set barter.cloth upon
'They set (displayed) the bartered cloth on [the ground].'

### 7.5 Prepositional notions expressed verbally

Prepositional notions are not limited to the class of prepositions, but may also be expressed verbally and nominally. A small group of transitive verb roots, compounds and phrases may function to express a prepositional relationship, both spatially, such as esha '(be away) from' in (110), and non-spatially, such as er-esha (CAUS-from) 'by means of' or 'via' in (111). These verbs are minor or secondary verbs in a complex predicate (§9.2) in which they are in a subordinate relationship to the major verb of the predicate.

Ofa ah jig esha mafif
she lie LOC from wind
'he laid on [a rack above the fire] away from the wind.'
(111) Dif di-en esha Sorong er-esha kawar ${ }^{52}$ miy.

I 1SG-come from Sorong CAUS-from vehicle water 'I came from Sorong via the ship.'

When verbal prepositions function as the minor verbs in a complex predicate, as does osuj '(be) upon' in (112) or etkebra '(be) short' in (113), spatial prepositions may cooccur to provide additional spatial reference of the complement.
(112) Ofa ah osuj jig merga jig miy odog. s/he lie upon LOC wood LOC water belly
'He was lying upon a tree on the water's surface.'
(113) Bua bi-eyja etkebra skod mek no-ma-i rot deci-ci. you.SG 2SG-go short to pig DNR-far-GIV about slowly-RED
'Approach that pig slowly.'
Verbs expressing prepositional notions are also found in other Bird's Head languages, such as Meyah in the East Bird's Head (Gravelle 2004:199) and Moi and Tehit in the West Bird's Head (Reesink 1998:615).

[^42]
## Chapter 8 The clause

### 8.0 Introduction

A clause, which is a minimal unit of complete information (Croft 1991:33), is a syntactic unit made up of a predicate, one or more core arguments and any optional peripheral arguments, all of which fall under a single intonation contour (cf. Givón 2001:355). Following Foley and Van Valin (1984:77), the predicate will be considered the nucleus of the clause, which is surrounded by the core, which consists of one or two arguments of the predicate, expressing the grammatical relations subject and object. These will be referred to as the core arguments and are those constituents of a clause which are dependent upon the predicate (cf. Foley and Van Valin 1984:79). Those constituents of a clause which are peripheral, that is nominal elements which do not have a grammatical relation to the predicate, are coded with prepositions. These peripheral arguments occur outside the clause core, that is, in the outermost layer of the clause. The peripheral arguments include nominals such as the locational and temporal settings, as well as other participants (e.g. beneficiaries, goals or instruments).
Moskona has two types of clauses: verbal and non-verbal. Verbal clauses are headed by transitive and intransitive predicates. Non-verbal clauses are headed by a non-verbal predicate. A minimal verbal clause may consist of a single predicate, as subject is obligatorily expressed as a pronominal prefix on the verb and reciprocal object may be expressed as a circumfix. Speech fragments or utterances, such as may be made in a response, like ogurá 'no' or tinogurá 'but not (so)' or gug ofa 'to him', do not contain a main predicate (and are frequently lacking some core arguments) and will not be considered as a complete clause, but rather as elements extracted from a larger context in which some parts are not expressed. Clauses discussed in this section are clauses with simple predicates. Complex predicates, which include serial verb constructions and emotional-state constructions, are discussed in Chapter 9, Complex predicates.
The chapter discussion begins with the frame position (§8.1), followed by a presentation of the order of clausal constituents ( $\$ 8.2$ ). Arguments are discussed in ( $\$ 8.3$ ), after which is a presentation of the two types of clauses, verbal clauses (§8.4.1) and non-verbal clauses (§8.4.2), with illustration of the various forms which each type takes.

### 8.1 Frame position

Moskona is predominantly a topic-prominent language ${ }^{53}$, and thus has a topic or frame position which occurs preceding the clause core, that is, outside of the clause rather than within it (cf. Foley and Van Valin 1985:356). Foley and Van Valin (1984:125) refer to this position as pre-posed topic. Constituents of the frame provide a spatial, temporal or individual (thematic) framework within which the main predication holds (Chafe 1976:50, Haiman 1978:585). In addition to setting or background information, frame constituents limit the applicability of the main predicate to a restricted domain. A typical frame involves the pre-posing of an argument, but it need not have any "selectional relation" to the main predicate in the clause as do subjects, that is, a frame constituent need not have a corresponding anaphor or a grammatical relation to the predicate of the main clause ( Li and Thompson 1976:462). The thematic frame noun phrase miy, mek, mar noga ni irosotka in (1) is not an argument of the predicate owas '(be) strong', nor is isnok noga ufojok in (2) an argument of the verb edeses '(be) many'.
(1) Miy, mek, mar noga ni i-er-osotka, efer owas eseter. cloth pig thing REL for 3PL-CAUS-marry price strong much.more 'Cloth, pigs, things which are [used] for marrying, the price is very high.' [T16]
(2) I-osnok noga i-ofojok erá, erin marotot em-edeses éra.

3PL-person REL 3PL-poor THM 3PLPOS goods IRR-many NEG
'People who are poor, their possession are not many.' [D]
As an indication of the syntactic disconnect of the topic and predicate, the speaker may begin a sentence or clause with a topic noun phrase, but choose a subject which does not agree in person and number with that of the topic, as in (3) in which eri, the third person plural free pronoun in the frame, does not agree in person and number with mif, the first person plural subject.
(3) Eri sis, mif mi-et mofta, mi-ok misomoka, tinogurá. they.PL past we.PL 3PL-eat sugar.palm 3PL-smoke cigarette but.not 'They [of] long ago, we drank palm beer, smoked cigarettes, but not (anymore).'

[^43]All constituents of a frame are set off by a pause following the frame (symbolized by "/" in examples below and by a comma in subsequent examples). Those constituents whose canonical position follows the verb, such as the object argument meri efi nomi in (4), and all peripheral arguments are identifiable as constituents of the frame if they precede the verb. A noun phrase co-referent with the subject argument, which is already in clauseinitial position, that is, it already precedes the verb, may also be recognized as a constituent of a frame if it is followed by a resumptive pronoun, such as the topic noun phrase ejena nomi in (5) is followed by the free pronoun ofa. Or a noun phrase coreferent with the subject may be recognized if it occurs preceding a temporal frame constituent, such as bua 'you.SG' precedes the temporal adverb sokomow 'beginning' in (6), or dif 'I' precedes sis 'past' in (7).

| Edá <br> then | meri efi tree.leaf leaf | no-ma-i, / <br> DNR-far-GIV | eri they.PL | $i$-er-ef <br> 3PL-CAU |  | merah <br> fire |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jida <br> until | harga. <br> ry |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'Then | those leaves, | y spread on | fire u | il dry.' |  |  |

(5) Ejena no-ma-i,/ ofa ah mesi ebes. woman DNR-far-GIV s/he hack cassava cutting 'The woman, she hacked up the cassava cuttings.'

Esha, bua,/ sokomow, bi-ahawah fen ayok dokun ekok... from you.SG beginning 2SG-lazy from mother and father 'The reason is, [as for] you, (if) at first, (you) are lazy with your mother and father ...'

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Dif, sis, / di-em-(eb)ah mod eges(a) ... } \\
\text { I past } & \text { 1SG-IRR-live house high } \\
\text { '[As for] me, long ago, (I) lived in a high house...' } \tag{T14}
\end{array}
$$

The constituents of a frame are noun phrases, adverbs, adverbials or phrases which are linked paratactically to the remainder of the clause. Neither independent or dependent clauses may fill the position of the frame, nor may the frame comprise a complete utterance (cf. Haiman 1978:573). However, frames may include embedded relative clauses, such as the relative clause noga ihicen rot bar igak jig mow ekena nomi in (8), which modifies the pronoun eri.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Eri } & \text { noga } & \text { i-ahisen rot bar i-egak } & \text { jig mow } & \text { eken(a) } & \text { no-ma-i, }  \tag{8}\\
\text { they.PL } & \text { REL } & \text { 3PL-play about ball 3PL-leg } & \text { LOC land } & \text { red } & \text { DNR-far-GIV } \\
\text { eri } & \text { i-ot } \quad \text { jig miyec noga } & \text { eke-kena } & \text { esis. } \\
\text { they.PL } & \text { 3PL-stand LOC clothes REL red-RED exclude } \\
\text { 'They who played football in the clearing, they wore only clothes which were } \\
\text { red.' (stand in clothes = wear clothes) }
\end{array}
$$

In verbal clauses, the frame position may be filled by a temporal, locative, or thematic frame. In non-verbal clauses, the frame position is filled by a topic followed by a nonverbal predicate.

### 8.1.1 Temporal frames

Constituents of a temporal frame make explicit the temporal setting. The most common constituents of a temporal frame are temporal adverbials, such as the temporal adverbial phrase mona ergem tas tin in (9), the temporal phrase kus nomi 'short span (of time)' in (10), or temporal adverbs, such as the temporal frequency adverbial cergesis in (11). Constituents of a temporal frame are never co-referent with a constituent within the clause.
(9) Mona ergem tas tin, / ergog y-éysaha kereng(a)... day NUM:1-CST again also they.DU DU-reach upon
'Another time again also, they (two) happened upon ....'
(10) Kus no-ma-i, ergog y-oduy okum jig ejena noga momha short.span DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-front heavy LOC woman REL insanity
en no-ma-i.
do DNR-far-GIV
'[At] that time, they were upset with the crazy woman.'
(11) C-erg-es-is, / ofa ojgun.

ORD-NUM:1-one-RED s/he cough
'Frequently, he coughs.'
Constituents of a temporal frame may also be introduced by a non-spatial preposition, such as $n i$ 'on' in (12) or the generic preposition jig 'LOC', as in (13).
(12) Ni mona tas-men, / smen mar erg-em ... on day again-RED perhaps thing NUM:1-CST
'On a later day, perhaps another thing...'

| Jig | merahays(a) | sis | jog, $/$ | ej-efer | no-ma-i | etkebra. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LOC | Christmas | past | already | female-child | DNR-far-GIV | short |
| 'In earlier years, the young woman was short.' | [D] |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.1.2 Locative frames

Constituents of a locative frame are noun phrases which may or may not be introduced by a preposition, forming the locative setting for the clause which follows, such as the phrase mod efeg nomi in (14), the phrase mow nomi in (15), or the phrase mow ewes ergesis in (16). If the complement of a preposition with a locative role is fronted, such as maekena nomi in (17), it leaves the preposition "stranded".
(14) Edá, mod efega no-ma-i, eri i-en maeken. then house body DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-do garden 'Then, [in] that yard, they made the garden.' (house body = yard)

Mow no-ma-i, marefew ok-ok eseter. land DNR-far-GIV plant.life small-RED much.more '[In] that place, the small plants were very many.'
(16) Jig mow ewes erg-esis, ofa er-ef mesnom efej LOC land cavity NUM:1-exclude s /he CAUS-distribute corn dried et-om esis. NUM:3-three exclude 'In each hole, she planted just three corn seeds.'
(17) Maekena no-ma-i, yef y-er-ef mar efej romreg garden DNR-far-GIV we.DU DU-CAUS-distribute thing dried all
jig jog.
LOC already
'The garden, we (two) already planted all the seeds [lit. dried things] in [it].'

Only rarely does a prepositional phrase in a location frame have a co-referent NP in the following clause, such as jig merga esta nomi in (18) which is co-referent with the locative peripheral argument jig nomi.

Jig merga está no-ma-i, / mem ers-(a)k en-eker jig no-ma-i. LOC wood fork DNR-far-GIV bird NUM:6-two DUR-sit LOC DNR-far-GIV 'In the tree fork, there are two birds in that (place).'

### 8.1.3 Thematic frames

Although constituents of a thematic frame need not have a grammatical relation to the predicate of the following clause, most commonly, they are coreferent with a core argument or with a peripheral argument which has the semantic role of goal or recipient. The role of the frame constituent is understood by the gap which occurs in the clause, indicating the relationship of the frame constituent to the clause, or by a co-referent pronoun occurring within the clause. (A gap is an omitted argument which the speaker understands the hearer to know either from the context or from previous reference.)

The topic NP, co-referent with the subject pronoun, may be a simple noun phrase, such as memned in (19), a coordinate noun phrase, such as dif dokun dadin amok in (20), or a much more complex construction, such as yeferiok yergak nomi fen ejena noga oyfomof nomisi in (21), in which a prepositional phrase modifies a noun phrase.

Tiná, memned, ofa odow.
but cockatoo s /he disinclined
'But the cockatoo, he was disinclined (to do it).'
(20) Dif dokun dadin amok, yef y-ofof y-er-em-etejen-ima.

I and 1SGPOS friend we.DU DU-run DU-CAUS-RECIP-compare
'I and my friend, we run competing against each other.'
(21) Y-eferiok y-erg-ak no-ma-i fen ejena noga oyf-omof DU-sm.child DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV from woman REL good-RED
no-mis-i, ergog y-esisga jug...
DNR-former-GIV they.DU DU-ask against
'The two kids from the woman who was sane, they questioned .....' [T23]
Constituents of a thematic frame may be co-referent with an object argument, such as the noun phrases muy ebah 'raw sweet potatoes' in (22), mar noki 'these things' in (23) and efer ohur nomi 'the newborn' in (24).
(22) Muy ebah ros, i-osnok i-em-et ér(a) nom. sweetpotato raw still 3PL-person 3PL-IRR-eat NEG VER 'Still raw sweet potatoes, people can't eat.'

Mar no-ka-i, mifin m-edin(a)-ir noga sis, eri osot
thing DNR-near-GIV 1PLPOS NR-grandpar.-PL REL past they.PL count
gug mif
to we.PL
'These things, our grandparents who [lived] earlier, they recounted to us.'

Efer ohur no-ma-i, ofon mosu or miyes er-okuk ofa. child bare DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS mother hold clothes CAUS-roll.up s/he 'The bare child (newborn), his mother wrapped him up with the clothes.' [D]

When an indirect object has been topicalized, it may strand the preposition, as in (25), in which the topicalization of the complement efer nomi 'that kid' leaves the preposition gug 'to' stranded. Goals which are pre-posed are never introduced with a preposition.

| Efer child | no-ma-i, <br> DNR-far-GIV | mif we.PL | mi-esij mar 1PL-talk.about thing | $\begin{align*} & \text { gug, }  \tag{25}\\ & \text { to } \end{align*}$ | tiná <br> but | ofa <br> s/he | eba refuse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rot | mi-ogá | gijga. |  |  |  |  |  |
| about | 1PL-speech | only |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'That kid, we spoke to (him), but he just refused our message.' |  |  |  |  |  |  | D] |

### 8.1.4 Frame constituents marked as thematic elements

The thematic morpheme erá 'THM' follows the constituent which the speaker wishes to assert as an element which is crucial in a narrative or discussion. Constituents of a temporal, locative or thematic frame may be marked as thematic elements. For example, the thematic frame NP isnok noga igos jog in (26), the temporal adverbial tisef in the temporal frame in (27), and the locative frame constituent modok in the second clause in (28) are followed by erá to mark them as thematic elements. The pause which always follows a frame (indicated here by a '/'), occurs following the thematic marker ${ }^{54}$.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { I-osnok } & \text { noga } & \text { i-ogos jog erá, / erin } & \text { i-efega } & \text { etefa. }  \tag{26}\\
\text { 3PL-person } & \text { REL } & \text { 3PL-die already } & \text { THM } & \text { 3PLPOS } & \text { 3PL-body } & \text { wet }
\end{array}
$$ '[As for] the people who have died already, their bodies are wet (decomposed).'

[D]
Tisef erá / mif mi-em-eyja ni mi-ah-miy mojum éra. today THM we.PL 1PL-IRR-go for 1PL-lie-water-bathe ocean NEG '[As for] today, we won't go to bathe (swim) in the ocean.'
[D]

[^44](28) Jig kota, eri i-or mod fen semen, tiná jig modok LOC city they.PL 3PL-build house from cement but LOC mountain.area
erál i-or merga oforga gijga.
THM 3PL-use wood hardened only
'In the city, they build houses from cement, but in the mountains, just wood is used.'

If a thematic frame constituent is marked as a thematic element, the co-referent subject, that is, the resumptive pronoun is never marked.

### 8.1.5 Multiple frames co-occurring

Multiple frames may occur preceding a single clause if more than one piece of background information is necessary, as in the introductions and conclusions of narratives. Multiple frames typically occur in the order: temporal frame, location frame, thematic frame, as in (29), although the order may be inverted, as in (30). More than one temporal frame may occur, as in (30) and (31). Two thematic frames may occur, as in the clause in (32), which concludes a narrative.


| Sokomow, kus | noga | mahti | erá, | memned | dokun | mohus erá, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| beginning short.span REL | legend | THM | cockatoo | and | parrot | THM |

ergog $y$-ebah jig mod erg-es.
they.DU DU-live LOC house NUM:1-one
'Long ago, [during] a legendary time, the cockatoo and the parrot, they lived in one house.'

Mar no-ka-i, mifin m-edin(a)-er noga sis, eri i-osot... thing DNR-near-GIV 1PLPOS NR-gdparent.-PL REL past they.PL 3PL-count 'These things, our ancestors who were [of] long ago, they recounted ...." [T10]

### 8.2 Clause constituent order

Moskona has a rigid SVO word order, which although atypical of the order associated with most Papuan languages (cf. Foley 1998:513), is a typical feature of East Bird's Head languages (Reesink 1998:604). The word order of the clause in Moskona, like other Bird's Head languages, may not have always been SVO, but rather the SOV order which is more prevalent in Papuan languages (Dunn, et al 2002:58, Reesink 2002c:247) ${ }^{55}$. Word order has primarily a grammatical value, that is, word order is a major coding device which functions to distinguish by relative position the three most common elements of verbal clauses, the verb, the subject argument and the object argument. Morphological marking (indexing of subject on the verb) supports this coding.

In the canonical order for simple clauses, the subject occurs pre-verbally and object postverbally. Verb-phrase adverbs (VP-ADV) follow the object argument, preceding any peripheral arguments (PERI), which are expressed by prepositional phrases. Temporal adverbials (T-ADV) occur following peripheral arguments (PERI) and preceding clausefinal adverbs. The constituent CF-ADV (clause-final adverbs) also includes negation adverbs. The basic order of constituents for a simple verbal clause may be summarized as:
(FRAME)(SUBJ) Verb (OBJ) (VP-ADV)(PERI)(T-ADV)(CF-ADV)

[^45]Constituents given in parenthesis are optional satellites. The constituent order of a verbal clause is illustrated in (33) for transitive clauses and in (34) for intransitive clauses. It is unusual for simple clauses to contain more than four optional constituents.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Dif di-em-eyj mar no-kef } & \text { nes }(a) \text {-is } & \text { gug bua } & \text { se. } \\
\text { I 1SG-IRR-toss thing DNR-here quickly-RED } & \text { to you.SG } & \text { certainly } \\
\text { 'I will certainly toss the thing to you very quickly.' } & & & \text { [D] } \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

| Dif dadin | na | jig | no-kef sis | jog. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 1SGPOS | they.PL-man | 3PL-live LOC land | DNR-here past | already |
| 'I my ancestors already lived in this area previously.' (my men = my ancestors) |  |  |  |  |

### 8.3 Arguments

### 8.3.1 Core arguments

Core arguments as constituents of a clause are selected by the verb and are the basic conceptually necessary arguments of the verb (Foley \& Olson 1985:24). Only noun phrases (§6.0) or an element which anaphorically refers to a noun (§3.0) may fill the position of a core argument. The grammatical relation of a core argument may be determined by its position in relation to the predicate, that is, subjects precede the predicate and objects follow. Core arguments may be distinguished from peripheral arguments syntactically by the absence of an introductory preposition.

### 8.3.1.1 Subject arguments

The two main coding devices in Moskona which indicate the grammatical relation subject for transitive and intransitive predicates are word order and cross-referencing. Subject is obligatorily expressed by a pronominal prefix (§5.1.5) which is co-referent with the subject, marking the verb for the person and number of the subject. Word order indicates that the subject, such as the noun phrase mofun ofoga 'pointed vine' in (35) or personal pronoun eri in (36), occurs pre-verbally. The subject commonly has the semantic role of agent, that is, the one doing or causing something, in verbal clauses.
(35) mofun ofoga ocig(a) dif
vine pointed affect I
'a pointed vine struck me'
eri i-ohsud(a) meri efi noga otoh. they.PL 3PL-search.for tree.leaf leaf REL wide 'they searched for leaves which were wide.'

A subject argument, such as buwun mokesa in (37), may be marked as a thematic element with erá 'THM'.
Buwun m-ok-esa erá en-ebah hádefa?
2 SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg THM DUR-live
'Where is your younger brother?'

It is the presence of the obligatory pronominal prefix on the verb which allows a subject to be signaled without being expressed lexically in the clause, and thus the lexical reference to the subject may be omitted once introduced by a noun phrase. The sentence in (38) introduces a narrative. The topic/subject as the personal pronoun dif, has been introduced in the first clause, but is omitted in subsequent clauses, as the first person singular prefix $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' on the verbs en 'do' and ebi 'defecate' express the subject.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Dif, sis, di-em-(eb)ah }  \tag{38}\\
& \text { I mod eges, edá di-en mar mosorka, edá } \\
& \text { earlier 1SG-IRR-live house high then } \\
& \text { 1SG-do thing toilet.hole then }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { di-em-ebi jig mosorka ... } \\
& \text { 1SG-IRR-defecate LOC toilet.hole } \\
& \text { 'I, long ago, (I) lived in a high house, then (I) made a toilet hole [in the floor], } \\
& \text { then (I) defecated in the toilet hole ....' }
\end{aligned}
$$

The subject may also be omitted if understood from context and the selectional restrictions imposed by the verb. For example, the object argument ager 'birdshot' in the first clause in (39) is the subject, (marked by third person singular, a null morpheme) of the detransitivized verb osorsef 'liquify' in the second clause, which is linked by edá 'then'.
(39) Ofa esah ager jig merah, edá $\operatorname{osors}(a)=e f$.
s /he put birdshot LOC fire then liquify=near
'He put the birdshot in the fire, then (it) liquified (melted).'

### 8.3.1.2 Object arguments

The object argument, such as the object mar-mosorna 'food' in (40) and mod efena 'new houses' in (41), is morphologically and syntactically unmarked, thus coded by linear order only. The typical semantic role of the object argument is patient, that is, it is the participant being affected by the action.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Dif di-esejah mar-mosorn }(\boldsymbol{a}) \text { dekikir } \quad \text { ni dadin } \quad \text { i-osnok. }  \tag{40}\\
& \text { I 1SG-prepare thing-hunger } \\
& \text { In.expectation for } \\
& \text { 'I prepared food for my relatives in anticipation (of their arrival).' (my people = } \\
& \text { relatives) }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Mif mi-oyka mi-or mod efena }  \tag{41}\\
& \text { we.PL 1PL-dance 1PL-build house new } \\
& \text { 'We danced [and] built new houses.' } \tag{T14}
\end{align*}
$$

Once the referents of object noun phrases have been introduced by a noun phrase in the initial clause of a paragraph, they may be omitted from subsequent clauses. For example, in (42) the object NP mogos nomi 'the snake', which has been introduced in the initial clause, is the implicit object of the transitive verbs ok 'bear', egeja 'slit open', oyna 'cook' and et 'eat' in subsequent clauses.

| Edá | eri | i-ahac | mogos | no-ma-i. | Eri | i-ok, $i$-owha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | they.PL | 3PL-tie | snake | DNR-far-GIV | they.PL | 3PL-bear 3PL-leave |


| rot jig | mod, edá | i-egeja oys-omusa. | Edá | eri | i-oyna |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| about LOC | house then | 3PL-slit.open finish-RED | then | they.PL | 3PL-cook |


| jig | mosha jida efieyj-a. | Edá, eri-orna gijga erá |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LOC | k.o.tree until ready.to.eat-PGE | then | they.PL-man only | THM |

## i-en-et.

3PL-DUR- eat
'Then they tie up the snake. They carry (it), going to their house, then they gut (it). Then, they cook (it) in a mosha (bark tub) until it's done. Then, only the adult men eat (it).'

```
[T4]
```


### 8.3.2 Peripheral arguments

Peripheral arguments (§7.0), with the notable exception of mogum, are introduced by prepositions to express their semantic role, a necessity as the semantic function of a core argument can be predicted from the selectional restrictions of the verb, but the semantic function of peripheral arguments cannot. Peripheral arguments, such as bua 'you.SG' in (43) and merga 'wood' in (44), are distinguished by prepositional coding from temporal adverbials, which also occur outside the clause core. Peripheral arguments, their roles and the prepositions which mark their roles are discussed separately in chapter 7.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Edá, eri susuy no-ma-i } & \text { i-em-eyta mar gug bua éra. } \\
\text { then they.PL else DNR-far-GIV } & \text { 3PL-IRR-take thing to you.SG } & \text { NEG } \\
\text { 'Then, those other people will not give things to you.' } & & & & & \\
\text { [T26] } \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Bua bi-osok tum merga } & \text { nom=ey? } \\ \text { you.SG } 2 \text { 2G-climb.up onto wood } & \text { VER=Q } \\ \text { 'Can you climb up the tree?' } & \end{array}$ [D]

The reciprocal pronoun mogum '(to/with/from) each other' (§5.1.1) with a semantic role of goal, recipient, source or comitative has a unique status, as it is the only element serving as a peripheral argument which is not introduced by a preposition. The subject and reciprocal participant are co-referent and have conceptually merged by association, conferring core status on the reciprocal pronoun. It occurs in the same position as other peripheral arguments, that is, it follows object arguments, such as mogum follows mar 'thing' in (45) and miyes 'clothes' in (46).
Y-orna y-erg-ak no-ma-i y-esij mar mogum. DU-man DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV DU-talk.about thing each.other 'Those two men discussed something with each other.'
Eri i-er-eg miyes mogum.
they.PL 3PL-CAUS-trade clothes each.other 'They traded clothes with each other.'

### 8.4 Clause types

Clause types are determined by the type of predicate employed. As there are two basic types of predicates, verbal and non-verbal, clauses will be discussed in these terms. Verbal clauses are headed by either transitive or intransitive predicates. Transitive predicates may be headed by a transitive verb or a possessor verb. Intransitive predicates may be headed by regular intransitive verbs, detransitivized verbs, adjectival verbs or quantifier verbs. Intransitive predicates, headed by intransitive verbs, also include a group of classificatory verbs, functioning as existential constructions. Non-verbal clauses are headed by non-verbal predicates, which may be a nominal predicate, such as a noun phrase or headless relative clause, or they may be a numeral, demonstrative, adverb or interrogative word.

### 8.4.1 Verbal clauses

### 8.4.1.1 Transitive predicates

Transitive predicates minimally take two core arguments, a subject (typically as agent) and an object (typically as patient), such as the transitive verb orka 'carry' with the subject ofa 's/he' and object medeg 'bamboo' in (47) or the transitive verb ey 'weave' with the subject mewjef 'biting ants' and object efem 'nest' in (48).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { ofa } & \text { orka } & \text { medeg } \\
\text { s/he } & \text { 2SG-carry } & \text { bamboo } \tag{T23}
\end{array}
$$

'he carried (brought) the bamboo.'

Mewjef ey efem jig mow ewes.
biting.ants weave nest LOC land cavity
'Biting ants build nests in holes in the ground.'
[D]
A minimal transitive predicate consists of a transitive verb inflected for subject with an implicit object, such as ohsuda 'search for' in (49) is inflected for first person singular subject with $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' with the object (it) understood. A minimal transitive verb may also be a verb inflected for subject and reciprocal object, such as the transitive verb obuy 'beat' in (50), marked for subject with $i$ - '3PL' and marked for object with the circumfix em- -ima 'RECIP', the only affix which marks an object.
(49) Du-ohsud(a), tiná di-em-ek éra.

1SG-search.for but 1SG-IRR-see NEG
'I searched for (it), but didn't see (it).'

I-er-em-obuy-ima.
[uromóbujma]
3PL-CAUS-RECIP-beat
'They beat each other (with something).'

### 8.4.1.1.1 The transitive verb eyta

The semantically complex transitive verb eyta 'take/give' involves an item (the object argument) being transferred, but may involve two opposite directions of movement: the object moving toward subject (i.e. take), as in (51), or object moving away from subject (i.e. give), as in (52). The transitive verb eyta 'take' may also be translated 'get' or 'receive' when the object moves toward the subject, as in (53). The transitive verb eyta obligatorily involves two core arguments, and optionally a third peripheral argument, which has the role goal or recipient. The presence of the indirect object, marked with the non-spatial preposition $g u g$ 'to', signals the direction of the movement away from the subject, yielding the meaning 'give'. In a transitive clause governed by the verb eyta, the subject always has the semantic role of agent.

Bua bi-eyta dadin pensil e-no-ma-i=ey?
you.SG 2SG-take 1SGPOS pencil FOC-DNR-far-GIV=Q
'Did you take that particular pencil of mine?'

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Ofa eyta keradi gug ofon efer }  \tag{52}\\
\text { s/he take work to 3SGPOS child } \\
\text { 'He gave work to his child.' } &
\end{array}
$$

| Dif di-eduec | efer | no-ma-i | gug | i-osnok |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 1SG-trade.child | child | DNR-far-GIV | to | 3PL-person | other.kind |
| ni di-er-eyta | $i y-a$. |  |  |  |  |

The transitive verb eyta 'take' may be used abstractly to mean 'do (something)' or 'commit an act', as in (54).
(54) Bua bi-em-eyta mar no-ma-i ér(a) nom!
you.SG 2SG-IRR-take thing DNR-far-GIV NEG VER
'You couldn't have done that!'

### 8.4.1.1.2 Predicative possession

The possessive pronouns, presented in (§5.1.2), may function predicatively. The possessive pronouns appear to have been originally a construction composed of a personal pronoun form, a pronominal prefix and a possessive verb en. In the first person singular possessive pronoun da-d-en '1SGPOS' or 'my', the two initial morpheme fragments $d a$ - and $d$ - correspond to the extant personal pronoun dif 'I' and singular pronominal prefix $d i$ - ' 1 SG ' respectively. However, there is no longer a transitive verb, such as en, meaning 'have' or 'possess'. Although there are numerous similarities to extant personal pronouns and pronominal prefixes in the possessive pronouns, they are not identical, as the possessive forms have become highly fused.
The possessive construction has a structure which is similar to transitive clauses headed by a transitive predicate, consisting of a pre-verbal subject, a verb, and a post-verbal object, such as mif romreg mifmin medina in (55), with mif, the subject, mifmin the verb, and medina the object. Within a NP, as a constituent of a subject argument, the possessive pronouns function as modifiers of the noun head, as in mod ofon mog nomi (house 3SGPOS wall that) 'the house's walls'. However, when the possessive pronouns are used predicatively, as in mod nomi ofon mog (house that 3SGPOS walls) 'the house has walls', the possessor is the subject and the possessed the object. When the possessed in a predicative possession construction is relativized (§10.1.4.2), the possessed is extracted to precede the relativizer, leaving the possessive pronoun stranded, a construction similar to that of relativized object arguments.

As possessor verbs, the possessive pronouns agree with the subject in person and number, such as erin in (56) expresses ' 3 PL ', but possessor verbs are not inflected with a pronominal prefix (§5.1.5) which indexes subject on verbs, but rather reflect the fused form.
(55) Mif romreg mifmin m-edina, Adam dokun Hawa. we all IPLPOS grandparent Adam and Eve 'We all have the ancestor(s), Adam and Eve.'

I-osnok jig mow no-ma-i erin mod efeg erg-om. 1PL-person LOC land DNR-far-GIV 3PLPOS house ANIMAL NUM:1-three 'The people in that place have three houses.'

Because adjectives are also verbal, context is necessary for interpretation of the function of some possessive pronouns. The possessive pronoun may be attributive, such as ofon '3SGPOS'in (57) modifies ebrot, with mekrus 'deer' the NP possessor, ebrot 'horns' the subject, and etkebra 'short', functioning predicatively, yielding the meaning 'the deer's horns are short'. Or a possessive pronoun may be interpreted as predicative, if in (57) the subject is mekrus 'deer', and the object is ebrot modified by the adjectival verb etkebra. In similar fashion, the possessive pronoun dadin in (58) may be interpreted as predicative, with the adjectival verb ahabnina standing in the position of the noun head, or it may be interpreted as attributive, with the possessive pronoun itself standing in the position of the noun head.

Mekrus ofon ebrot etkebra.
deer 2SGPOS horn short
'The deer's horns are short.' or 'The deer has short horns.'
Dif dadin ahabnina.
I 1SGPOS few
'I have few (things).' or 'My (things) are few.'
When they are negated, possessor verbs, such as dadin '1SGPOS' in (59) and erin '3PLPOS' in (60), do not attach the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR', as is obligatory for negation of transitive verbs.
(59) Dif dadin mar erg-em jig mar ofokca no-ma-i éra. I 1SGPOS thing NUM:1-CST LOC thing gather DNR-far-GIV NEG 'I don't have anything in that collection of stuff.'

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Eri } & \text { erin } & \text { mow } & \text { erg-em tin ni } & \text { i-eker kerenga jig éra. } \\
\text { they.PL } & \text { 3PLPOS land } & \text { NUM:1-CST also for } & \text { 3PL-sit upon } & \text { LOC NEG } \\
\text { 'They don't even have any place to rest in.' (sit upon }=\text { rest }) \tag{TT}
\end{array}
$$

When a noun phrase having a possessive pronoun as a constituent is negated, the possessive pronoun is likewise unmarked for irrealis, that is, not marked with em- 'IRR'. For example, in the non-verbal clause in (61), the non-verbal predicate NP buwun mahina, negated by the negative adverb éra, is unmarked.

| Orna | erg-es noga | bua | bi-eker jera | kus | no-kef | erá, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| man | NUM:1-one REL | you.SG | 2SG-sit | with | short.span | DNR-here | THM |

buwun mahina éra.
2SGPOS husband NEG
'The man who you live with right now, [he] is not your husband.' (lit. your husband is not)

### 8.4.1.1.3 Non-referential predicates

In instances when the agent is out of focus, Moskona uses a non-referential third person plural subject as a means to background the agent. This use is analogous to constructions in English which use the third person plural pronoun 'they' non-referentially or constructions in French which use the indefinite pronoun on '(some)one'. In a nonreferential predicate construction, the agent is expressed only by the third person plural pronominal prefix $i$ - '3PL' attached to a transitive verb, such as $i$ - attached to eref 'caused to distribute' meaning 'plant' in (62).

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { Mow } & \text { no-ka-i } & \text { erá } & \text { oyf-omof } & \text { ni } & \text { i-er-ef } \tag{62}
\end{array} \quad \text { mesi } \quad \text { jig. } .
$$

Moskona uses non-referential predicate constructions as a means to foreground the object/undergoer, but without promoting the undergoer to subject status as do passives (cf. Keenan 1985a:247). To make the undergoer/object prominent, it is fronted, as is mar noga oskayt in (63), mitos okow in (64) or mecif 'nettle leaf' in (65), but with a verb which is marked with $i$ - '3PL' functioning non-referentially.

Mar noga oskayt erá i-em-ek okuk orokec.
thing REL small THM 3PL-IRR-see like large
'Things which are small are seen as large.'
(64) Mitos okow erá i-engit fen karmom. pot base THM 3PL-make from thick.metal 'The pot's base was made from heavy metal.'

Mecif i-er-or i-owos ahda. nettle.leaf 3PL-CAUS-hold 3PL-skin irritate 'Nettleleaf is used (on) their skin [so] (it) irritates (it).'

### 8.4.1.2 Intransitive predicates

Intransitive predicates take only one core argument, a subject. For regular intransitive predicates, such as ofof 'run' or éysaha 'reach' in (66), the subject has the semantic role of agent. For detransitivized predicates (§3.2.2.3.2), such as the detransitivized verbs oyngoyngif 'wrinkled' in (67) and ahadéf 'release' in (68), the subject has the semantic role of patient/undergoer.
(66) Ofa ofof nes-is esha ni éysaha sokomow jig miy efembra. $\mathrm{s} /$ he run quickly-RED from for reach beginning LOC water flank 'He ran very quickly in order to arrive first at the water's edge' [D]

Miyes no-ma-i oyng-(o)ing=i-(e)f.
clothes DNR-far-GIV fold-RED=VIS-near
'Those clothes are wrinkled.' (i.e. folded multiple times)
[D]
(68) Mofun no-ma-i ahad(a)=ef fen merga.
vine DNR-far-GIV dismantle=near from wood
'The vine (rope) released from the tree (branch).'
[T18]
A minimal intransitive predicate may consist of a single verb, such as the intransitive verb ogor 'cry in distress' in (69) or eskijig 'agree' prefixed for subject with $i$ - '3PL' in (70).
(69) Mek-sapi ogor.
pig-cow cry.in.distress
'The cow lows.'
(70) Eri i-em-eskijig.
they.PL 3PL-IRR-agree
'They would agree.'

### 8.4.1.2.1 Atmospheric events

Expression of states or events commonly denoting natural or atmospheric phenomena (e.g. the weather) is primarily through an intransitive predicate. The instigating subject, such as the rain (71), the sun (72) or the moon (73), is always an inanimate object. Dummy or empty subjects, like 'it' or 'there', such as are found in subject prominent languages, are not used in expressing a natural or atmospheric event. This feature is typical of topic prominent languages ( Li and Thompson 1976:467).
(71) Mas es.
rain spray
'It is raining.'
'The sun is hot.' (lit. sun is sharp)
Mesta ekena.
moon glow
'The moon shines.' (lit. moon glows)

### 8.4.1.2.2 Experiential physical states

Experiential or physical states may be semi-controlled or uncontrolled. Experiential physical states, which are semi-controllable, such as being sick (74), thirsty (76), hungry (77), chilled (78), wet (75) or hot (79), are expressed as intransitive verbs, attaching the same pronominal prefixes which express subject on all verbs. The subjects of intransitive predicates expressing an experiential physical state are patients, that is, the entity being affected.
(74) Bua bi-esirn(a).
you.SG 2SG-sick
'You are ill.'
Mif mi-ogorn(a).
we.PL 1PL-thirst
'We are thirsty.'
Mif mi-efirna.
we.PL 1PL-chilled
'We were chilled.'
(75) Dif di-ete-tef.

I 1SG-wet-RED
'I'm all wet.'

Dif di-efenen
I 1SG-hot
'I am hot.'

To express an uncontrolled state, reflecting an involuntary experience, such as a nonvolitional entity, like physical illness or disability, acting on an animate one, (i.e. a human), the transitive verb en 'do' marked for third person singular is employed to indicate affectedness, as in examples (80-87). Inanimate or non-volitional entities functioning as actor or agent are a common way of expressing uncontrolled states in Papuan languages (Foley 1986:122, 127). This expression is unlike the other East Bird's Head languages Sougb (Reesink 1998:621; 2002b:208) and Meyah (Gravelle 2004:227) which have a special class of morphologically complex experiential verbs to express these notions.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Moj en } & \text { dif. } \\ \text { shame } & \text { do } & \text { I }\end{array}$
'I am ashamed.'
Momha en ofa. insanity do s/he 'He is crazy.'

M-i-esirn(a) en yua.
NR-3PL-sick do you.PL 'Sickness struck you.'
$M$-efer en mif.
NR-sore do we.PL
'We are afflicted with sores.'
(81) Moros en eri. lameness do they.PL 'They are crippled.'
Mok(eb)ah en ofa.
tree.spirit do s/he
'He has convulsions.'

Mekek en bua.
skin.fungus do you.SG
'You have a skin fungus.'

| Osuy otuy | en | ofa. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ear tight.packed | do | s/he |
| 'He is deaf.' |  |  |

### 8.4.1.2.3 Existential predicates

Many Papuan languages possess a covert nominal classification system in which entities are categorized according to the different verb roots through which they express the concept of existence (Foley 1986:88, Lang 1975), but in the languages of the East Bird's Head, only Moskona and Meyah (Gravelle 2004:223) utilize a set of existential classificatory verb roots, as posture verbs do not appear to function existentially in Sougb (Reesink 2002b:202). These classificatory verbs in existential verb constructions may occur as presentative constructions, yielding a meaning equivalent to 'there is/are'. Thus, the existential expression for a particular entity always occurs with one of these verbs.

The classificatory verbs in Moskona are the posture verbs eker 'sit', ot 'stand' and ah 'lie', and the intransitive verb ebah 'live'. They categorize animate and inanimate entities into four subclasses roughly on the basis of animateness, size and perceived physical orientation:
i) Humans are categorized by the classificatory verb ebah 'live'.
ii) Animate entities, such as birds small or mammals which have a smaller body size, are lower to the ground and are perceived to have a vertical orientation, are classified by the classificatory verb eker 'sit
iii) Animate and inanimate entities which are larger and perceived to have vertical orientation, including larger mammals, trees, houses (which are perceived to have legs), mountains and even celestial bodies, are categorized by the classificatory verb ot 'stand'.
iv) Animate entities which are perceived to have horizontal orientation (and thus closest to the ground), such as snakes or lizards, and insects, as well as all smaller inanimate entities, are categorized by the classificatory verb $a h$ 'lie'.

The physical orientation of the posture verb and the classified entity's actual physical orientation do not always match, as many times all that remains of the original meaning component of position is a generalized existential meaning (cf. Early 2000:86). The nominal categories may be observed with the classificatory verbs in:

Classificatory verb ebah 'live'
(88) I-osnok noga i-ofogog no-ma-i i-ebah jig i-ba. 3PL-person REL 3PL-evil DNR-far-GIV 3PL-live LOC VIS-across 'Those people who are evil are over there.'

Ergog y-en-ebah=kef.
they.DU 3DU-DUR-live=here
'They (two) are here.'

Classificatory verb eker 'sit'

Mem en-eker jig merg(a) está $i-d a=e y j(a)$.
bird DUR-sit LOC wood fork VIS-up=THITHER 'There is a bird is in the tree fork up there.'
(91) Misi ofon efer en-eker jig ofon efema. bandicoot 3SGPOS child DUR-sit LOC 3SGPOS pouch 'The bandicoot's young are in its pouch.'

Classificatory verb ot 'stand'

Merga noga ot jig no-mej erá aksa eseter. wood REL stand LOC DNR-remote THM tall much.more 'The tree which is over yonder is very tall.'

Dif di-ek motur ognunui ot jig meybaga. I 1SG-see star many stand LOC sky
'I saw many stars in the sky.'

Classificatory verb $a h$ 'lie'
(94) Mogosa erá ah jig mogom ewes.
snake THM lie LOC stone cavity
'There are snakes in the rock crevasses.'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Dif dadin mok ah hádefa? }  \tag{95}\\
& \text { I 1SGPOS bowl lie where } \\
& \text { 'I my bowl is where?' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

When classificatory verbs occurring in existential verb constructions, such as ebah 'live' in (96) and ah 'lie' in (97), are negated, the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR' is obligatorily attached to the verb root.
(96) I-osnok meren i-em-ebah néesa

3PL-person lake 3PL-IRR-live not.yet
'there weren't yet many people'
[T19]
(97) Moroj erg-es em-ah éra.
path NUM:1-one IRR-lie NEG
'There wasn't a path.'

### 8.4.1.2.3.1 Classificatory verbs and noun classifiers

Although it is comparatively rare for a language to have three distinct sets of classifiers (Aikhenvald 2000:191), entities in Moskona are categorized by three classifier systems on the basis of semantic features. The classificatory verbs categorize entities according the features of animateness, size, and perceived physical orientation. The numeral classifiers, which reflect a conflation of earlier categories, categorize entities primarily on the basis of perceived physical shape and (sometimes) animateness. The sortal classifiers individuate nominal referents in terms of generic class on the basis of animacy, function, and physical consistency.

The three nominal classification systems overlap somewhat in terms of categorization criteria, that is, animateness, physical shape, and size appear to be common criteria. All three systems allow an entity to change class affiliation when the typical features which categorize it are altered in some way. Use of a different classificatory verb in an existential expression signals a change in these features. Corn, which is growing in the garden, is categorized with the classificatory verb ot 'stand' as in (98), but when it has been plucked, as in (99), its physical orientation has changed, such that it is categorized with the verb $a h$ 'lie'.

| Mesnom | en-ot jig | maeken(a) | no-ma-i. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| corn | DUR-stand LOC | garden | DNR-far-GIV |

'There is corn in the garden.'

| Mesnom | no-ma-i ah jig mod | i-da-eyj(a). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| corn | DNR-far-GIV lie LOC house | VIS-up-THITHER |
| 'The corn was in the house up there.' |  |  |

The semantic features which are in focus determine co-occurrence. In (100), the semantic feature 'humanness' is in focus, as the sortal noun classifier ibah, the numeral classifier erg-, and the classificatory verb ebah all characterize the nominal entity erurna 'men' with this feature.

Eri-orna ibah y-erg-ak noga y-efedes erá
they.PL-man HUMAN DU-NUM:1-two REL DU-c.sibling THM
$y$-ebah jig=kef.
DU-live LOC=here
'The two men who were brothers were here.'
In (101) for the noun mek 'pig', the semantic focus of 'mammal-ness' is signaled by sortal noun classifier efega, which places the nominal referent in the class of animals, the numeral classifier or- which categorizes mammals, and the classificatory verb ot which categorizes entities with perceived vertical orientation.
(101) Mek efeg(a) or-i-ak no-mis-i ot jig miskoh.
pig ANIMAL NUM:7-?-two DNR-former-GIV stand LOC house.base
'Those two pigs are under the house.'
The inanimate entity membef 'spinach' in (102), belonging to the group of edible plants, is distinguished with the sortal noun owos 'VEG', as part of a collection by the numeral classifier ors- 'NUM:10', and as an inanimate entity having horizontal orientation by the classificatory verb $a h$ 'lie'.
(102) Membef owos ors-ak ah jig no-mej.
spinach VEG NUM:10-two lie LOC DNR-remote
'The two [bunches of] spinach are over there.'

### 8.4.2 Non-verbal clauses

The predicate of a non-verbal clause may be a noun phrase, possessive pronoun, a demonstrative pronoun, an adverb or a headless relative clause. However, with few exceptions, non-verbal predicates which are not nominal, that is nouns or headless relative clauses, must have a subject/topic which is marked as a thematic element.

Subject/topic and predicate are juxtaposed, as no overt connector links the parts of the clause, as the predicate is understood from the syntactic structure of the clause. Unlike Meyah, with the copular verb erek 'COP' (Gravelle 2004:230), Moskona has no copular verb.

### 8.4.2.1 Nominal predicates

Structurally, non-verbal clauses with nominal predicates are equative clauses which are asyndetic expressions. Nominal predicates assert that the subject of the clause is a member of a class specified by the nominal predicate, such as ebreg 'leader' in (103), or as having an identity which is identical to the entity of the nominal predicate, such as durega ofuy 'last child' in (104). Nominal predicates may be noun phrases headed by a common noun, a proper noun or a pronoun.
(103) Eri erin manir ebreg
they.PL 3PLPOS large.person leader
'They their main person is the leader'
(104) Dif di-orega ofuy.

I 1SG-tail extremity
'I am the last child.' (lit. tail end)

The demonstrative nomi 'that', occurring as the final element of a subject NP, clearly defines the boundary of the subject in a non-verbal clause, syntactically signaling the second noun phrase, such as osnok ofogog in (105), and merej erges in (106), as the predicate nominal.
(105) Of(a)-es no-ma-i osnok ofogog. s/he-male DNR-far-GIV person evil 'That guy is an evil person.'
(106) Ofa no-ma-i merej erg-es. s/he DNR-far-GIV avenger NUM:1-one 'He is an avenger.'

Nouns denoting humans may function as a nominal predicate when the properties of the noun are either asserted or denied. The noun orna '(adult) man' which asserts the property "adult maleness", as the predicate in (107), is prefixed with the mode marker em- 'IRR', although nominals are not normally marked for irrealis.
(107) Dif di-em-orna se.

I 1SG-IRR-man certainly
'I will be a man certainly.'

### 8.4.2.2 Relative clause predicates

A headless relative clause may also function as a non-verbal predicate, such as noga onoduk bua in (108) or noga mimet éra in (109).
(108) Ofa noga en-oduk bua?
s/he REL DUR-order you.SG
'He is [the one] who is sending you'
(109) mosifef no-ma-i erá noga mi-em-et éra
butterfly DNR-far-GIV THM REL 1PL-IRR-eat NEG
'the butterflies are [the ones] that we don't eat'

### 8.4.2.3 Demonstrative predicates

Demonstrative pronouns may function as a non-verbal predicate, such as nomi in (110), enomi in (111) and enokef in (112).
(110) Ofon mester mogom noga ofa eyta fen m-edina erá 3SGPOS plate stone REL s/he take from NR-grandpar. THM
no-ma-i.
DNR-far-GIV
'His stone plate which he got from his ancestor, [it was] that (one).'
(111) Dadin m-i-egak ewes e-no-ma-i.

1SGPOS NR-3PL-leg cavity FOC-DNR-far-GIV
'My pants are these very (ones).' (migak ewes = pants)
(112) Buwun i-efer e-no-kef=ey?

2SGPOS 3PL-child FOC-DNR-here=Q
'Your children, are [they] these very (ones)?'
[D]

### 8.4.2.4 Adverb predicates

A few verb-phrase adverbs may function as a non-verbal predicate, such as sis 'past' (113), decirma 'definite' as in (114), and toga 'different(ly) in (115). More rarely, a clause-final adverb may function as a non-verbal predicate, such as nom 'VER' in (116).
(113) Mar efi erá sis jog.
thing longtime THM past already
'The old things (traditions), [they] were long ago (past).'
(114) Mar noga dif di-ek no-ma-i erá decirma. thing REL I 1 SG-see DNR-far-GIV THM definite 'The thing that I saw was definite.'
(115) Ofa erg-es no-ma-i erá toga.
s/he NUM:1-one DNR-far-GIV THM different
'He/that one was different.'
[T23]
(116) No-ma-i erá nom.

DNR-far-GIV THM VER
'That (one) is (the) real [one].'

### 8.4.2.5 Interrogative word predicates

As presented in §12.1.2, interrogative words occur in the position of the element which they replace. Interrogative words may function as a non-verbal predicate, such as ida in (117), midá in (118) and nefa in (119). (The subjects/topics have also been marked as thematic elements.)
(117) Bua buwun eb-dina erá ida?
you.SG 2SGPOS 2SG-grandparent THM who
'You your ancestor is who?'
[TT]
(118) Mar no-kef erá midá?
thing DNR-here THM what
'This thing is what?'
(119) Buwun miy erá nef-a?

2SGPOS water THM which-PGE
'Your (glass of) water, [it is] which (one)?'

### 8.4.2.6 Negation of non-verbal predicate

When a non-verbal predicate is negated, the negative adverb follows the final element of the predicate. No constituent of a non-verbal predicate, such as the adverb decir in (120), noun ejena in (121) or relative clause noga Moskona in (122), is marked for irrealis with the marker em-, as are predicates of verbal clauses.
(120) Mar no-ma-i decir ér(a) nom. thing DNR-far-GIV correct NEG VER
'That's not really correct.'
tiná ejena no-kef éra. but woman DNR-here NEG 'but not this woman.'
(122) Ejena erg-es noga Moskona gurá, dokun noga ojga fen... woman NUM:1-one REL moskona NEG and REL originated from 'A woman who was not Moskona, and who originated from ...' [T29]

## Chapter 9 Complex predicates

### 9.0 Introduction

Previous discussion of predicates and clauses focused on simple clauses with simple predicates. This chapter focuses on predicates which are semantically and morphologically complex, that is, composed of two or more separate words, but functioning syntactically as a unit. In Moskona there are two broad categories of complex predicates: those which are composed of two or more verbal parts, and those which are composed of a nominal and verbal constituent. Those which are composed of two or more verbal parts are the serial verb constructions (§9.1) and those constructions with a verbal preposition (§9.2). Those which have a nominal and verbal constituent are the emotional-state constructions (§9.3).

### 9.1 Serial verb constructions

Serial verb constructions are a widely observed phenomenon in Oceania and New Guinea (Aikhenvald 2006:1). Serial verb constructions (SVC) in Moskona contain at least two or more predicates which are not parts of a compound, do not constitute a single phonological or morphological word, nor are they constituents of separate clauses. Grammatically, the verbs in a SVC are morphologically independent words and can be autonomous predicates. As monoclausal syntactic units, SVCs are distinguished from other multi-verb structures, such as subordinate and conjoined predicates, by the absence of clause linkers. Serial verb constructions, as complex predicates in Moskona, share arguments and verbal inflectional categories, and indicate a monoclausal interpretation through the absence of clause-linkers.

In contrast to many sVo languages which have only non-contiguous serialized verb constructions (cf. Foley \& Olson 1985:48), the components of serial verb constructions in Moskona may form two types of constructions: those in which two verbs are contiguous with the internal structure $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{VV}(\mathrm{O})$, that is, no material intervenes between verbs, or those in which the verbs are non-contiguous, with the internal structure $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S} \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{O})$. In constructions in which the verbs are non-contiguous, the subject argument of the second verb is co-referent with the object of the first verb, and thus is expressed only once. This type of argument structure is said to be 'fused' (Aikhenvald 2006:13). An additional type of non-contiguous SVC, an ambient serial verb construction, also occurs which does not share an argument, but rather the second verb modifies the entire event which the first verb denotes.

The verbs in a SVC may be either symmetrical or asymmetrical. Symmetrical constructions consist of two verbs, each chosen from a semantically and grammatically unrestricted class, that is, they are from open classes and are co-ranking verbs. In
asymmetrical SVCs, the head or major verb belongs to an open class, while the subordinate (modifying) verb or minor verb comes from a grammatically or semantically restricted class.

### 9.1.1 Characteristics of SVCs

There are a number of characteristics which distinguish serial verb constructions in Moskona from other multi-verb constructions. The semantic criterion for a serial verb construction is that all events expressed by the predicates are conceived of by speakers as a single complex event, that is, they are viewed as composing two or more facets of one complex event. The syntactic characteristics for serial verb constructions are:
i. shared arguments
ii. monoclausal interpretation (e.g. no pause after verb)
iii. lack of markers of subordination or coordination
iv. shared aspect and mode
v. scope of negation

## i. Shared arguments

Cross-linguistically, shared arguments are defining criteria of serial verb constructions (Aikhenvald 2006:12). In motion (1), aspectual (2), and instrument (3) serial verb constructions, V1 and V2 share a subject argument, indicated by subject markers prefixed to verbs.
(1) Eri i-ecira i-er-omnin dif.
they.PL 3PL-walk 3PL-CAUS-aim.at I
'They walked [and] aimed at me.'
(2) Eri i-eker i-er-efet.
they.PL 3PL-sit 3PL-CAUS-close.proximity
'They were close (to something).'
(3) Bua bi-or merga bi-er-edi mod no-ma-i..
you.SG 2SG-hold wood 2SG-CAUS-push.against house DNR-far-GIV
'Prop up the house with the (piece of) wood ..'
In simultaneous SVCs, as in (4), and cause-effect SVCs, as in (5) and (6), the object argument of V1 is the subject argument of V2.
(4) Eri i-ognипиi i-er-em-egedid-im(a) i-en skod ofa.
they.PL 3PL-many 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-obstruct 3PL-come to $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
'Lots of them hindered each other coming to him.'
(5) Bua bi-er-en mar no-ma-i esir, rot midá?
you.SG 2SG-CAUS-do thing DNR-far-GIV fall about what
'You made that thing [so] it fell, for what reason?' [D]
(6) i-edesk(a) mar noga efi no-ma-i romreg ororum

3PL-release thing REL longtime DNR-far-GIV all be.lost '(they) released all the old things (traditions) [so] they were lost'

## ii. Monoclausal interpretation

In serial constructions, there is no pause following a verb or its object, whether the verbs are contiguous or non-contiguous. Therefore, a clause such as the motion serial verb construction in (7) or the cause-effect serialization construction in (8) has no pause following the first verb or its object argument.
(7) Eri i-ahaw i-of medew jig miteyja ni merahays(a).
they.PL 3PL-descend 3PL-fell sago LOC swamp for Christmas
'They came down [and] felled sago trees in the swamp for Christmas.' [T30]
(8) Dif di-erha gorden osoror jug jendera.

I 1SG-hang curtain suspended against window
'I hung up the curtain, [so] (it) is suspended over the window.'

## iii. Lack of clause linkers

Sentences with clauses linked by conjunctions, such as eda' 'then' in (9), express separate events, whereas complex predicates express a single event, as in (10).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text {....y-efer y-erg-ak no-ma-i } & \boldsymbol{y} \text {-ok, } & \text { edá } & \boldsymbol{y} \text {-edem } y \text {-ergaha... }  \tag{9}\\
\text { DU-child DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV } & \text { DU-flee then } & \text { DU-hide DU-3DURFL } \\
\text { '..the two kids fled, then hid themselves.... }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { edá y-eyja y-edem yergaha. }  \tag{10}\\
& \text { then DU-go DU-hide 2DURFL } \\
& \text { 'then (they) went [and] hid themselves.' } \tag{T23}
\end{align*}
$$

## iv. Shared aspect and mode

In (11) and (12), the durative marker en-, marking both the first and second verbs, indicates shared habitual aspect in the cause-effect serialization clauses.
(11) Ofa en-en jug mar no-ma-i en-osener fen mif. s /he DUR-do against thing DNR-far-GIV DUR-vanish from we.PL 'He forbade those things [so] (they) vanished from us.' [T14]
...mi-en-eker rot Tuhan ogá esis en-oksof mar efi 1PL-DUR-sit about Lord speech exclude DUR-replace thing longtime
no-ma-i.
DNR-far-GIV
'... we are living with the Lord's word exclusively [so] (it) replaces those old
things (traditions).'
[T14]

Both first and second verbs of the cause-effect serial verb construction in (13) are marked with em- 'IRR', indicating a shared modality.

Ejena em-ok efer em-oj.
woman IRR-bear child IRR-descend
'The woman would bear the child (baby) [so] it descended.'

## v. Negation scope

In a serial verb construction, although the negative adverb has the entire construction within its scope, not all verbs are necessarily affected. Affected verbs are obligatorily marked with em- 'IRR'.

One of the verbs in a serial construction may be negated, but the other may not, as in the cause-effect SVCs in (14) and (15), and in the simultaneous SVCs in (16) and (17). This optional marking differs from SVCs in Sougb in which all verbs in the construction are marked for affectedness (Reesink 2002b:250).
...mow no-ma-i
land DNR-far-GIV
lHM
em-en
emar
'... that dirt will not cause those things (cuttings)
(mature)'
(15) Bua bi-em-en mar erg-erg-em ekris di-oduy edak. you.SG 2SG-IRR-do thing NUM:1-RED-CST exceed 1SG-front NEG.DEON 'You shouldn't do anything [so] (it) exceeds my wishes.' [D]
(16) Esha ofa ebrekirk(a) em-eg mar noga ofon ekok odu mar éra. from s/he stubborn IRR-hear thing REL 3SGPOS father tell thing NEG '[The reason is] he is stubborn [and] does not listen to what his father tells (him).'
[D]
(17) Ergog y-oduy ohta y-em-odu gug mar erg-es noga owok(a) they.DU DU-front suck DU-IRR-tell to thing NUM:1-one REL name
medeg éra.
bamboo NEG
'They two forgot [and] did not tell something which its name was bamboo.'

### 9.1.2 Serial verb construction types

Serial verb constructions are a grammatical technique with a wide variety of meanings and functions (Aikhenvald 2006:2). The relationships between verbs in serial constructions may be described on a semantic basis, as the construction expresses a relationship between its components. Moskona has the following types of serial verb constructions:

> simultaneous serialization
> motion serialization
> cause-effect serialization
> aspectual serialization
> instrument serialization
> ambient serialization

### 9.1.2.1 Simultaneous serialization

Simultaneous events are coded in symmetrical serial constructions composed of noncontiguous verbs. The semantic relation between these verbs may be construed to express purpose, but the clausal linker ni 'for', which introduces a purpose clause, as in (18), is absent. The verb er-enin in (19) is the second verb of the SVC, not the predicate of a second clause; thus it expresses an event which is simultaneous.

[D]

Dif di-et morgik owos er-es di-er-enin, tiná
I 1SG-eat matoa produce NUM:4-one 1SG-CAUS-measure but
omta ros.
'I ate a matoa fruit [and] tried it, but it was still sour (unripe).'
The first verb of a simultaneous SVC is always transitive. The second verb may be an intransitive verb, such as ebah 'live' in (20) or owi 'endeavor' or 'intend' in (21).
Edá, bua bi-okuk medes bi-ebah ruruy.
then you.SG 2SG-like barter.child 2SG-live unrestrict.
'Then, you are like a bartered child [and] live however [you can].' [T26]

```
Dif di-en mar di-er-owi ni i-osnok erin i-oduy
I 1SG-do thing 1SG-CAUS-endeavor for 3PL-front 3PLPOS 3PL-front
oyf-omof rot dif.
good-RED about I
'I attempt to do things, so that people are pleased with me.' (lit. their front is
good about me)
[D]
```

The second verb in a simultaneous SVC may be a transitive verb, such as osturur 'connect' in (22), ek 'see' in (23) or omeda 'anticipate' in (24).

| Bua | bi-ahac mofun | no-ma-i | bi-er-em-osturur-ima. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-tie vine | DNR-far-GIV | 2SG-CAUS-RECIP-connect |

Edá, ofa oku mek eweken ek mon eker jig...
then s/he burn pig jaw see corpse sit LOC
'Then, he burned the pig's jaw [and] saw the corpse (ghost) in (him) ...'
(24) Mif mi-en keradi mi-er-omed(a) hah mesta noga ok mas. we.PL 1PL-do work 1PL-CAUS-anticipateshortly moon REL bear rain 'We work [and] expect in a short time the month which brings rain.' [D]

### 9.1.2.2 Motion serialization

Motion serialization constructions, which are quite common in Moskona, are composed of contiguous verbs, such as eyja 'go' and $e k$ 'see' in (25). In rare instances, there may be some intervening material, such as the temporal adverbial motah in (26). Motion SVCs are not an expression of consecutive events as are conjoined clauses, such as the predicates osok and éysaha in (27) linked by the conjunction edá 'then'.
(25) Dif di-eyja di-ek rambutan owos no-ma-i tutum. I 1SG-go.to 1 SG-see k.o.tree produce DNR-far-GIV often 'I went [and] looked at the rambutan fruit often.'
(26) Dif di-eciga motah di-oyna mar-mosorn(a)ni i-eferiok esha ... I 1SG-get.up morning 1SG-cook thing-hunger for 3PL-sm.child from 'After I get up in the morning [and] cook food for the small children...' [D]

$$
\begin{array}{rllllllll}
\text {.. ofa } & \text { osok } & \text { deci, } & \text { edá } & \text { éysaha } & \text { tomrer } & \text { jig } & \text { miy } & \text { odog }  \tag{27}\\
\text { s/he } & \text { climb.up } & \text { slowly } & \text { then } & \text { reach } & \text { openly } & \text { LOC } & \text { water belly }
\end{array}
$$

deci-ci.
slowly-RED
'...he ascended slowly, then openly appeared on the water's surface very slowly.'

A motion SVC is asymmetrical, as the first verb of a motion SVC is restricted to verbs of motion, denoting the inception of the event expressed by the second verb. The motion verbs include verbs, such as eyja 'go(to)', as in (28), osok 'climb up', as in (29), eciga 'get up', as in (30), ofof 'run', as in (31), ok 'flee' as in (32), and a number of others, such as en 'come', ahaw 'descend', osra 'enter', ecira 'walk', oksomus 'return'. The second verb may be transitive or intransitive, but must be an active verb.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { Dif di-eyja } & \text { di-edis } & \text { jig } & \text { dadin mod. } \\
\text { I } & \text { ISG-go } & \text { 1SG-miss } & \text { LOC } & \text { 1SGPOS } \\
\text { 'I wouse } \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

(29) Yef y-osok y-of mekekew ni y-er mesigebra. We.DU DU-climb.up DU-fell lg.bamboo for DU-shave bow 'We went up [and] cut bamboo to make bows.'

Edá mif mi-eciga mi-owha.
Then we.PL 1PL-get.up 1PL-leave
'Then, we got up [and] left.'

| Ofa | ofof | esed | dadin | mesina | fen | di-etma... |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| he run grab | 1SGPOS | stringbag | from | 1SG-arm |  |  |
| 'He ran $[$ and $]$ grabbed my bag from my hand....' |  |  |  |  |  |  |

...kus no-ma-i tin, dif di-ok di-eckef fen. short.span DNR-far-GIV also I 1SG-flee 1SG-escape from
'... [during] that time also, I fled [and] escaped.'
[D]
Similar verb sequences may be found in other languages in the East Bird's Head, such as Meyah (Gravelle 2004:240) which has motion-action sequences which share a subject argument, or Hatam (Reesink 1999:98) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:250) which have a serial verb construction with a motion verb preceding an action verb.

### 9.1.2.3 Grammaticalized directionals

A directional serialization construction with directional elements occurring as verbs in a SVC no longer exists in Moskona, as the motion verbs en 'come' and eyja 'go.to' have
been grammaticalized to form the directional deictics en 'HITHER' and eyja 'THITHER', in a manner similar to the grammaticalization of motion verbs in some Oceanic languages as described by Lichtenberk (1991) and Ross (2004). As directional deictics, they have a distribution similar to locative adverbs in the clause. They may be prefixed by the generic nominalizer $m$-, as in (33) and (34), or they may be attached to an elevational clitic $-b a$ or - da, as in (35). Further discussion of directional deictics may be found in §5.2.4. Directional verb sequences are still found in Meyah (Gravelle 2004:242) and Hatam (Reesink 1999:99), as a motion verb may occur as the second verb of a serial sequence, following a verb expressing the main action of the clause, to function as a directional.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Ofa em-eyet m-en } & \text { se. } \\
\text { s/he IRR-succeed NR-HITHER } & \text { certainly } \\
\text { 'He will follow (to) here for certain.' } \tag{T22}
\end{array}
$$

(34) Bua bi-eyja jig tesi m-eyj(a). you.SG 2SG-go LOC below NR-THITHER
'Go below over there.'
[D]
(35) ergen mi-ew-esa ok okog jig moga i-d(a)=eyj(a).

3DUPOS 1PL-sib.op.s.-yg flee precede LOC wall VIS-up=THITHER
'their younger sister fled [and] preceded (them) at the cliff face up there.'
[T25]

### 9.1.2.4 Cause-effect serialization

In a cause-effect serialization, the first verb indicates the cause or means of the action and the second verb expresses the result, effect, endpoint or goal of the action. Word order is iconic, that is, the verb causing the action occurs before the verb indicating the effect. V1 is always transitive, but V2 may be intransitive, such as efef 'ache' in (36), oj 'descend (into)' in (37) and oysa 'finished' in (38), or transitive, such as ec 'become' in (39) and osuj '(be) upon' in (40). The structure is symmetrical as both verbs come from unrestricted classes, as is common in cause-effect SVCs (Aikhenvald 2006:14). These are "switch-function" (Aikhenvald 2006:14) or "switch-subject" (Bril 2004:4) constructions, as they share an argument which has a separate function for each verb. In English this is handled by the subordinating conjunction 'so'.

Meskiomka erá eska i-owos efef eseter.
scorpion THM sting 3PL-skin ache much.more
'The scorpion stung their skin [so] (it) hurts a lot.'
Ejena em-ok efer em-oj.
woman IRR-bear child IRR-descend
'The woman would bear the child (baby), [so] (it) would descend.'
(38) Bua m-ohojoh miyefen em-oysa tisef edak. you.SG IRR-ruin money IRR-finish today NEG.DEON 'Don't waste money [so] (it) is used up today.'
(39) Eri i-orna i-oduk mif mi-ec mereja. they.PL 3PL-man 3PL-order we.PL 1PL-become avenger 'They, the men ordered us [so] (we) became avengers.'
[T17]
(40) Eri i-esah mogom m-osuj-(i)ma jig mow ed-es. they.PL 3PL-put stone RECIP-upon LOC land NUM:2-one 'They put the stones [so] (they) are upon each other in one place.'

Those verbs which explicitly express causation, such as en 'do' in (41) and (42), eyta 'take' in (43), and engit 'make' in (44), also occur as the first verb in a cause-effect serial construction.
(41) Mogos efena em-er-en mar-mosorna em-owos em-oskur-ah. snake spirit IRR-CAUS-do thing-hunger IRR-produce IRR-bad-INTENS 'The snake's spirit will cause the food (plants) [so] they will produce really badly.'
(42) Maw en dif di-efenen.
sun do I 1SG-be.hot
'The sun caused me [so] I am hot.'
Ofa eyta meber efifr-ef.
s/he take shelf level-near
'He made the shelf [so] it is level.'
Mar okuk no-ma-i engit ofa em-eker kocka jig éra. thing like DNR-far-GIV make s/he IRR-sit well LOC NEG 'Things like that made him [so] he did not wait well there.'

Cause-effect serialization indicates direct causation, as in (45). Cause-effect SVCs are distinguished from sentences with dependent clauses introduced by the conjunction erogá 'hence' which indicate indirect causation, as in (46). They also may be distinguished from dependent purpose clauses introduced by the preposition $n i$ 'for', as in (47).

| Mafif | no-ma- $i$ | engit | kertas | efi | of | toktog(a) | jig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ mow..


| Mes | er-en | mem | kokar no-ma-i | romreg, erogá of | toktog $(a)$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dog | CAUS-do | bird crow | DNR-far-GIV all | hence fly | respect.place |
| 'The dog made all the chickens, so (they) flew off everywhere.' |  |  |  |  |  |

> Ofa engit dif ni di-okockoda. s/he make I for 1SG-stumble 'He made me so (that) I tripped.'

### 9.1.2.5 Aspectual serialization

The use of SVCs to express aspect is a feature which is common to both Papuan (Foley 1986:143) and Oceanic languages (Early 2000:82). In Moskona, continuous (durative) and inceptive aspect may be expressed through aspectual serial constructions. In aspectual serializations, the verbs are contiguous. The relationship is asymmetrical as the first verb is from a restricted class; it is either a posture verb or the motion verb eyja 'go'. The second verb is an unrestricted active verb. Aspectual SVCs conform to the pattern sequence minor verb-major verb, as found in other asymmetrical serial constructions.

The posture verbs ot 'stand', eker 'sit' and ah 'lie' as a distinct group of terms express continuous or progressive aspect. And although these verbs have been partially grammaticalized to perform an aspectual function, their primary component of physical orientation has been reduced, but not completely lost, as physical position is selectional in the choice of posture verb, such as ot 'stand' in (48), eker 'sit' in (49), ah 'lie' in (50) and eker 'sit' in (50). The postural verbs, which are inherently durational, allow their meaning and function to be extended to express continuous aspect (Foley 1986:145, Early 2000:82), specifying a domain of time. These posture verbs are usually translated in Malay with the word sedang 'while' or 'in process'.
(48) Eri i-ot i-eregejg(a) ofa.
they.PL 3PL-stand 3PL-surround $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
'They were (standing) around him.'
[T29]
Eri-(e)j-a, eri i-eker i-er-omed hah masina. they.PL-female they.PL 3PL-sit 3PL-CAUS-anticipate shortly rainy.season 'The women, they are expecting the rainy season shortly.' [D]

Petrus ah omk(a) jig jog.
Petrus lie sleep.deep LOC already
'Petrus was already sleeping deeply.'
[TT]
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Ofon } & \text { m-ok-era-ir } & \text { erá } & \text { i-eker } & \text { i-em-efif-im(a) } & \text { sokomow... } \\ \text { 3SGPOS } & \text { NR-sib.s.s.-old-PL } & \text { THM } & \text { 3PL-sit } & \text { 3PL-RECIP-arrange } & \text { beginning }\end{array}$
'His older brothers were arranged in order earlier....'
[T29]

In addition to active verbs, the posture verb $a h$ 'lie' may precede adjectival verbs, such as aynun 'enduring' in (52), etkebra '(be) short' in (53) and erer 'see-thru' or 'holey' in (54), to express continuous or durative aspect.

Miy no-ma-i ecira ah aynun.
water DNR-far-GIV walk lie enduring
'The river goes on forever.'
ofon ofom ah etkebra
3SGPOS root lie short
'its roots are shallow'
(54) Kasina eferu no-ma-i erá ah er-er nom.
glass segment DNR-far-GIV THM lie see.thru-RED VER
'The glass panes are really see-through (transparent).'
[D]

The motion verb eyja 'go (to)', as in (55) and (56), expresses inceptive aspect in an aspectual SVC, the motion component having been completely bleached away, but quite likely retaining the extension of that property, as in 'go to a place to begin something'. The verbal phrase osok jig (climb.up LOC) 'begin' also expresses the same concept. In a similar manner, the Meyah verb eja 'go' also expresses inceptive, but has also been grammaticalized into the prefix ej- 'INCEP' (Gravelle 2004:240).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Dif di-eyja di-et mar-mosorna efenin=kef. }  \tag{55}\\
& \text { I 1SG-go 1SG-eat thing-hunger recent=here } \\
& \text { 'I just now began to eat the food.' } \tag{D}
\end{align*}
$$

(56) Eri i-osok jig miyes, erogá i-eyja i-ah-miy jig owoksa they.PL 3PL-ride LOC dugout hence 3PL-go 3PL-lie-water LOC subunit
rot mos orokec.
about fish large
'They rode in the dugout, so (they) began to fish in the bay for large fish.' ${ }^{\text {[T17] }}$
The motion verb eyja 'go (to)' also occurs as V1 in a motion SVC (§9.1.2.2), such that the meaning can be ambiguous, yielding two possible interpretations, as in (57).

Edá, eri i-eyja i-or mod jig merga or-i-em tas. then they.PL 3PL-go 3PL-build house LOC wood NUM:7-?-CST again 'Then, they began again to build a house in another tree.' or 'they went again [and] built a house in another tree.'

### 9.1.2.6 Instrument serialization

The function of an instrument SVC is to introduce an instrument argument into the predication, as the core arguments of SVCs are a function of the whole serial construction rather than just one of its verbs (Foley \& Olson 1985:44). The semantic and morphosyntactic properties of V1, the manipulative verb or 'hold', as in (58), or more rarely, eyta 'take', as in (59) or (60), have been reduced, leaving little more than the grammatical specification of instrument. This is more clearly demonstrated in SVCs in which the instrument is not a physical object, such as ogá 'speech' in (61). The object argument of a manipulative verb, which must be a noun, may also be marked as a thematic element with erá 'THM', such as mitok efeyu in (62).
(58) I-osnok no-ma-i i-em-or mogom i-em-er-ed mif rot. 3PL-person DNR-far-GIV 3PL-IRR-hold stone 3PL-IRR-CAUS-hit we.PL about 'The people will strike us with stones for it.'
(59) Ergog y-eyta miyec y-er-em-eg(a)-im(a). they.DU DU-take clothes DU-CAUS-RECIP-trade 'They exchanged clothes.'
(60) Bua bi-eyta mar no-ma-i romreg bi-er-em-ed-ima you.SG 2SG-take thing DNR-far-GIV all 2SG-CAUS-RECIP-join
jig mow erg-es.
LOC land NUM:1-one
'Gather all those things in one place.'
(61) Eri i-or i-ogá i-er-obder ofa.
they.PL 3PL-hold 3PL-speech 3PL-CAUS-insult s/he 'They insulted him with their speech.'
(62) Ofa or mitok efeyu erá er-egej(a) mek-rus owos fen. s/he hold knife immature THM CAUS-slit.open pig-deer skin from 'He slit open the deer's hide with a small knife.' [T27]

In addition to the object argument, the manipulative verb may have a peripheral argument, such as the goal rot miyec 'about/for the dugout' in (63).
(63) Eri i-or mofun rot miyec i-er-ahac merga efega. they.PL 3PL-hold vine about dugout 3PL-CAUS-tie wood body
'They tied the dugout with a vine (rope) to the stump.' [T13]

Structures similar to that of instrument serialization occur in the East Bird's Head languages Meyah (Gravelle 2004:250) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:251), and also in Hatam (Reesink 1999:101), with an instrumental verb sequence in which a manipulative verb precedes the main verb of the clause.

Only rarely is an instrument introduced by a preposition. Foley and Olson (1985:54) suggest that the use of a preposition like rot 'about' to introduce an instrument, as in (64), makes it a peripheral layer constituent (i.e. a peripheral argument) of secondary importance, whereas instruments in an instrument SVC are core layer participants, that is, core arguments of the construction.

| Bua | bi-er-om | bi-owos | rot mohua. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-CAUS-enclose | 2SG-skin | about wide.cloth |
| 'Wrap yourself up with the blanket.' |  |  |  |

### 9.1.2.7 Ambient serialization

Ambient (or event-argument) serial verb constructions are a type of SVC in which there are no shared arguments. The second verb is predicated on the entire event or state denoted by the preceding predication (Aikhenvald 2006:19). Ambient SVCs are composed of a main verb, which carries the lexical content, plus a minor verb, which in some way modifies it. These minor verbs include verbs of manner, time, degree or location. Similar to the ambient SVCs found in Oceanic languages (Aikhenvald 2006:18), the minor verb is always marked for third person singular, a null morpheme. The second verb in many of these constructions has lost much of the potential inflectional morphology or has become grammaticalized to some degree, suggesting that these minor verbs may be developing into adverbs (cf. §4.1.1).
In contrast to non-contiguous SVCs, in which the object argument of the first verb is the subject argument of the second verb, the object argument of the first verb in an ambient SVC is not shared by the second verb. The second verb occurs contiguous to an intransitive first verb, but follows the object of a transitive verb, and always occurs preceding the negative adverb, as does esebra 'continuous' in (65). The clausal position is similar to verb-phrase adverbs.
... ofa em-orusohta mar esebra éra.
s/he IRR-think thing continuous NEG
'..he didn't think about it all the time.'

### 9.1.2.7.1 Manner ambient serialization

The state denoted by a manner verb provides the manner specification for the entire event expressed by the main verb, that is, its function and distribution is similar to a verbphrase manner adverb. Manner verbs are restricted to stative verbs, such as ahaysa 'hard' in (66), owas '(be) strong' in (67), amsa 'silent' in (68), ednorur 'enervated' and efef
'ache' in (69), and are marked for third person singular, a null morpheme. Some of these verbs, such as otka '(be) tasty' in (71), oyfa '(be) good' and oskur '(be) bad' in (72), may function as the main predicate of a simple clause, but others, such as the verb owosi '(be) ordinary' in (70), no longer do so.
(66) Dif di-osoms(a) ahaysa

I 1SG-clutch hard
'I clutched (it) tightly' (lit. I clutch; it is hard)
[T24]
(67) Bua bi-ecira owas okuk no-ma-i you.SG 2SG-walk strong like DNR-far-GIV 'Walk strongly like that' (lit. you walk; it is strong like that)
(68) Méesa no-ma-i i-osra amsa.
enemy DNR-far-GIV 3PL-enter silent
'The enemy entered silently.' (lit. that enemy enters; it is silent)
(69) Ofa ecira ednorur, esha osorn(a) efef.
s/he walk enervated from hunger ache
'He walked weakly because he hungered greatly.' (lit. he walk; he is enervated and he hungers; it aches)
[D]
(70) Eri-orna no-ma-i, eri i-et mofta efi owosi nom. they.PL-man DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-eat sugar.palmliquid ordinary VER 'Those men, truly they ordinarily drink sugar palm wine.' [D]
(71) Ofa odu mar otka-mok esebra.
$\mathrm{s} /$ he tell thing tasty-RED continuous
'He always talks too much.' (otkamok = '(be) indulgent') [D]
(72) Sis, eri i-ebah oyf-omof, tiná kus no-kef erá i-ebah past they.PL 3PL-live good-RED but short.span DNR-here THM 3PL-live
oskur-ah.
bad-RED
'In the past, they lived well (in peace), but during these times (they) live very badly (in emnity). (lit. they live; it is very good. they live; it is very bad)

Mode may be shared by the manner verb, as evidenced by the mode morpheme em- 'IRR' attached to oskur '(be) bad' in (73).

Mogosa efena em-er-en mar-mosorn(a) em-owos em-oskur-ah. snake spirit IRR-CAUS-do thing-hunger IRR-produce IRR-bad-INTS 'The snake's spirit will cause the food (plants) to produce really badly.' (lit. food will produce; it will be very bad)

The minor verb of a manner verb construction may also be marked reciprocally. An abbreviated form of the prefixal part of the reciprocal marker $m$ - occurs on the minor verb, which functions adverbially to indicate the event is performed or experienced reciprocally, such that the minor verbs express the adverbial notion 'simultaneously' or '(do something) together'. Like other manner verb constructions, the first verb may be transitive, such as et 'eat' in (74) and og 'scoop up' in (75), or intransitive, such as of 'closed' in (76) and ecim 'fall over' in (77). The second verb is always transitive.

| Yef | y-et | mar-mosorna | m-orot-ima. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we.DU | DU-eat | thing-hunger | RECIP-go.with |

'We ate the food together.' (lit. we eat food; it goes with e.o.)

```
Ergog y-og mar-mosorn(a) m-ef-im(a).
they.DU DU-scoop.up thing-hunger RECIP-do.in.turns
'They two scooped food (fed) each other in turns.' (they scoop up food; it does
to e.o.)

\section*{romreg of m-en-ima jug.}
all close RECIP-come against
'all were already closed up.' (all were closed; it comes (to) e.o.)
merga esim es m-ejg(a)-im(a) esebra wood fall.over set RECIP-graze continuous 'The trees fell over continuously one after the next' (trees fall over; they graze each other)'

A number of the minor verbs found in these adverbial SVCs no longer function as verbs outside of this construction. These former verbs have become members of minor word classes, by eliding the root-initial vowel. The verb ejera '(be) with', as in (78) has grammaticalized to become the conjunction jera 'with', the verb edec 'be at same place', as in (79), has become the preposition dec 'same location as', and the verb eterir 'be exact', as in (80), has become the adverb terir 'exactly'. The Meyah adverb morototuma 'together' (Gravelle 2004:123), being parsed m-orot-ot-ima, may also have its origins as a minor verb in an adverbial serial construction.
eri i-ah m-ejer(a)-im(a) jig no-ma-i
they.PL 3PL-lie RECIP-be.with LOC DNR-far-GIV
'they laid down together at that place.' (lit.they lie; it is with e.o.)

Yef y-éysaha m-edec-im(a).
we.DU DU-reach RECIP-be.same.loc.as
'We arrived together'(lit. we arrive; it is at the same place as e.o.)'
[D]
(ergog) y-et m-eterir-im(a).
they.DU DU-eat RECIP-be.exact
'they ate at the same time.' (lit. they eat; it is exact as e.o.)
In addition to simple predicates, an emotional-state predicate (§9.3.1) may also function as a manner predicate, such as ejmeg etefa (spine wet) '(be) persistent', in brackets in (81).
```

Efer no-ma-i en mar [ejmeg etefa eseter].
child DNR-far-GIV do thing spine wet much.more
'That guy does things very persistently.' (lit. that guy does things; his spine is
very wet)

### 9.1.2.7.2 Temporal ambient serialization

The temporal verb esebra commonly expresses the adverbial concept of 'always' or 'continuously'. It may be inflected with the aspectual prefix en- 'DUR' to indicate the event is on-going at the time of the speech event, as in (82), or may not share durative aspect with the main verb, as in (83). There is no aspectual adverb expressing 'continuously' or 'always'.
(82) Mar no-ma-i romreg, mi-en-ahatu en-esebra. thing DNR-far-GIV all 1PL-DUR-surrender DUR-continuous
'All those things, we are giving up all the time.'
....erogá mes, eri i-en-obra esebra=kef=ey?
hence dog they.PL 3PL-DUR-bark continuous=here=Q
'..so the dogs, they are barking continuously now?'
[T27]
When the main verb is marked for irrealis, esebra may or may not be marked with em'IRR', as in (84) and (85).
.... ni malaria em-ociga yua esebra éra.
for malaria IRR-affect you.PL continuously NEG
'...so (that) malaria doesn't affect you all the time.'
[T29]
Eferiok no-ma-i, ofa em-ek ofuga esebra.
sm.child DNR-far-GIV s/he IRR-see blood continuous
'The small child, he would see (experience) blood (dysentery) all the time.'

The verbal preposition osuj '(be) upon', as in (86) and (87), and the verbal preposition esha '(be) from', as in (88) and (89), may function as temporal verbs and have distributional properties similar to clause-final adverbs which express phasal aspect, such as roga 'first' or 'before' (§4.1.2.2.1), performing grammatical functions similar to temporal adverbs. As temporal verbs they may be glossed '(be) after(wards)'.

Edá, ofa er-osta Eriefiosga osuj.
then s /he CAUS-pursue Eriefiosga upon
'Then, he pursued Eriefiosga afterwards.'
(87) Eri i-owha jog, erogá mod ejgeg-emeg osuj.
they.PL 3PL-leave already hence house quiet-RED upon 'They had already left, so the house was very quiet afterwards.'
(88) ...edá bi-owha esha, erogá dif di-em-osok jig.
then 2SG-leave from hence I 1SG-IRR-descend LOC '... then after you leave, so I will go down.'

Bua bi-orka mar no-ma-i bi-esah esha, edá bi-en somus you.SG 2SG-carry thing DNR-far-GIV 2SG-put from then2SG-come back 'After you take that [and] put it (away), then come back ...' [D]

### 9.1.2.7.3 Degree ambient serialization

Degree verbs express adverbial concepts, such as intensification, restriction or comparison. The distribution of degree verbs is similar to verb-phrase adverbs, that is, they occur immediately following an intransitive verb or the object argument of a transitive verb.

The indefinite quantifier verbs eseter 'much more' and etew '(be) much' (§3.2.2.3.4) function as generic intensification verbs, as in (90) and (91).

| Mergej no-ma-i $\quad$ aharg-irg(a) | eseter. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| firewood DNR-far-GIV dry-RED | much.more |
| 'That firewood is very dry.' |  |

(91) Eri i-em-en maeken(a) etew éra.
they.PL 3PL-do garden much NEG
'They don't work in the garden a lot.'
[D]

The transitive verb esis 'exclude' in a degree verb serialization expresses the notion 'exclusively' or 'only', as in (92) and in (93).
(mif) mi-en mar no-ma-i esis.
we.PL 1PL-do thing DNR-far-GIV exclude 'we do those thing exclusively.'
(93) Orna no-ma-i em-ok efer-ej éra, tiná ok efer-es esis. man DNR-far-GIV IRR-bear child female NEG but bear child-male exclude 'The man doesn't have daughters, but only has sons.'

The indefinite quantifier verb eseter '(be) much more' may also have a comparative function meaning 'more than', as in (94). A superlative degree is expressed through the verb ekris 'exceed', as in (95) and (96).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { efer owas } & \text { eseter } \\
\text { price } & \text { strong } & \text { much.more } \tag{T16}
\end{array}
$$

'the price is higher.'
(95) Ej-efer no-ma-i, ofon efega oyf-omof ekris.
female-child DNR-far-GIV 3PLPOS body good-RED exceed
'The young woman, her body(figure) is best.'
[D]

| Mar no-ma-i, ofon efer | owas | ekris. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing DNR-far-GIV | 3SGPOS | price | strong | exceed |
| 'That thing, its price is highest.' |  |  |  |  |

[D]
The basic color words ahta 'black', as in (97), efsa 'white', as in (99), and ekena 'red', as in (98), have an occasional colloquial use as intensifiers for descriptive adjectivals.
(97) Mitow no-ma-i erá efi ahta.
machete DNR-far-GIV THM sharp black
'The machete is quite sharp.'
(98) Mek no-ma-i obrer ekena.
pig DNR-far-GIV gaunt red
'The pig is quite gaunt.'
(99) Ofa ofon efega manir efsa.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ 3SGPOS body leader white
'His body is quite large.'

### 9.1.2.8 Adverbial modifier ereyja

The motion verb eyja 'go (to)', inflected with the causative prefix er-, incrementally intensifies or quantifies the stative verb which it precedes, such as esen '(be) far' in (100)
or orokec 'large' in (101), expressing the adverbial notion of 'rather'. (Generic intensification is expressed through the indefinite quantifier verb eseter 'much more'.)

Eri i-ot er-eyja esen esha dif.
they.PL 3PL-stand CAUS-go.to far from I
'They stood rather far from me.'
(101) Merga no-ma-i erá er-eyj(a) orokec.
wood DNR-far-GIV THM CAUS-go.to large
'The tree is rather large.'

### 9.2 Verbal prepositions

Verbal prepositions primarily encode spatial relations, with the notable exception of okuk '(be) like'. They have distributional properties similar to spatial prepositions, performing the same grammatical functions served by prepositions, that is, they serve to encode the semantic role of a peripheral argument, such as dif 'I' introduced by esha in (102). They have been grammaticalized to varying extents, such that some may no longer function as the main verb of a clause. The verbal prepositions er-esha (CAUS-from) 'by means of' in (103) or osuj 'upon' in (104), function as minor verbs only. Others, like the non-spatial verbal preposition okuk '(be) like' in (105), may be the main verb in a clause or function prepositionally, as in (106). Verbal prepositions as minor verbs are not inflected for aspect or modality, nor may they be negated.
(102) Bua bi-es eri no-kef esha dif.
you.SG 2SG-set they.PL DNR-here from I
'Set those ones away from me.'
[D]
(103) Dif di-en fen Sorong er-esha kewar-miy. I 1SG-come from Sorong CAUS-from vehicle-water 'I came from Sorong by ship.'
(104) ofa eyta misomok efi eken(a) tin esah osuj ofog(a) s/he take tobacco leaf red also put upon flesh 'he took brown tobacco leaves also [and] put (them) upon (her) flesh
(105) Ofa em-okuk amok(a)-ir noga etkebr-(t)ok tin éra. s /he IRR-(be)like friend-PL REL near-spot also NEG 'He isn't even like his friends who are close (i.e. close friends).'
(106) Ofa eseki medefa owok okuk mahterew. s/he fix sago outgrowth (be)like lattice 'He forms sago branches like a lattice.'

The verbal preposition orosunun '(up to) limit' or 'until', like the verb éysaha 'reach' in (107), points to an initial boundary point and is analogous to the non-spatial preposition jida 'until'. It may function to encode a temporal peripheral argument, as in (108), or furnishing more specific locational detail, as in (109), may co-occur with the generic locative preposition jig to encode location. It is possibly a fused compound composed of the verbs er-os (CAUS-move.horiz.) and enin 'measure', with the vowels of enin assimilating in backness, as the root-initial vowel raised to $/ \mathrm{u} /$. A variant form orocinin offers a clue to its original composition.

> Mif mi-ebe-skor éysaha Mona Ciyja gijga.
> we.PL 1PL-LOAN-school reach day five only 'We only studied up to Friday.'
(108) Mif mi-ebe-skor er-osunun Mona Ciyja gijga. we.PL 1PL-LOAN-study CAUS-limit day five only 'We studied up to Friday.'
(109) Yua yu-osiom rot bar er-osunun jig no-mej gijga. you.PL 2PL-play about ball CAUS-limit LOC DNR-remote only 'Play ball at the boundary over there.'

The verbal prepositions eresha 'by means of' and okuk 'be like' express prepositional concepts which do not have an equivalent preposition. However, a few other verbal prepositions have a meaning which is nearly synonymous with an analogous preposition, such as the prepositional verb esha '(be) from' in (111) is analogous to the preposition fen 'from' in (110). However, the distributional properties of verbal prepositions differ from prepositions, as very few verbal prepositions may introduce adverbial clauses.
Mioda ahaw fen merga está no-ma-i.
cuscus descend from wood fork DNR-far-GIV
'The cuscus descended from the tree branches.'
(111) Mioda ahaw esha merga está no-ma-i.
cuscus descend from wood fork DNR-far-GIV
'The cuscus descended from the tree branches.'
The intransitive verb osok 'climb up' or 'ascend', plus the generic spatial preposition jig 'LOC' (112) or more rarely the spatial preposition fen 'from' (113), form a verbal preposition construction to introduce a peripheral argument, expressing the meaning 'be concerning' or 'regarding', roughly equivalent to the preposition rot 'about'. The Sougb verb eisaugb 'ascend' (Reesink 2002b:234) and the Meyah verb osok 'climb' (Gravelle 2004:199) also function prepositionally to yield the meaning 'about'.
(112) y-ejena no-ma-i y-esisga jug osok jig ergen mahina DU-woman DNR-far-GIV DU-ask against climb.up LOC 3DUPOS husband 'the women questioned [them] about their husband' [T10]

Dif di-esij mar osok fen Tuhan ofon ogá.
I 1SG-talk.about thing concerning from Lord 3SGPOS speech 'I discussed something from the Lord's Word.'

A verbal preposition construction composed of the intransitive verb eyja 'go (to)' and the inflected verb er-os (CAUS-move.horiz.), as in (114), or the intransitive verb éysaha 'reach', as in (115), may introduce a temporal or locational peripheral argument. These combinations, analogous to the preposition jida 'until', may be translated 'until', 'up to' or 'as far as'.

| (114) | Eri | i-oyk-uka eyja | er-os | mon(a) | esif. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | they.PL | 3PL-dance-RED go.to | CAUS-move.horiz. | day | open | 'They danced on and on until dawn.'

Bua bi-en mar no-ma-i eyja éysaha jig ofof. you.SG 2SG-do thing DNR-far-GIV go.to reach LOC border 'You did that (clearing underbrush) up to the border.'

Verb-like prepositions (i.e. those which have a non-high vowel root-initial) occur in Meyah (Gravelle 2004:190) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:230), functioning in a manner similar to the verbal prepositions of Moskona. Verbs expressing location are also found in West Bird's Head languages, such as Tehit and Moi (Reesink 1998:615), but are inflected for subject when they express prepositional notions in SVCs.

### 9.3 Phrasal constructions incorporating nouns

There are two types of constructions which incorporate nouns to express a single event. They are semantically non-compositional and syntactically restricted. Emotional-state constructions and idiomatic expressions involve a body-part noun as a constituent of the construction, encoding single events which are expressed as single predicates in languages such as English. They are semantically non-compositional, as the sum of the meanings of the individual components do not produce the idiomatic meaning.

### 9.3.1 Emotional-state constructions

The use of body-part nouns in constructions is a major means of encoding mental processes or emotional states, as well as some physical states in the languages of the island of New Guinea (McElhanon 1977). These emotional-state constructions are employed extensively in Moskona for the expression of emotional states as there are no
dedicated lexical items for these concepts. Similar emotional-state constructions, as bodypart noun plus verb constructions, are also used to express emotional states in Meyah (Gravelle 2004:219) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:209).

The emotional-state construction is composed of a nominal constituent, the possessed body-part noun (§3.1.2.1) plus a verbal one. A noun phrase which refers to the possessor frequently functions as topic in a thematic frame. Syntactic evidence for the noun phrase possessor as topic is found in the presence of a focus adverb, such as tin in (116) and (117), occurring between the topic NP which encodes the possessor and the body-part noun as subject. In inalienable possession phrases, focus adverbs may not intervene between the possessor noun phrase and the possessed body-part noun, as in the unacceptable form *orna tin ebir (man also head). Thus, the focus adverb separates the topic NP from the body-part noun which functions as the subject of the emotional-state construction.


As the nominal constituent of the construction, the body-part noun (§3.1.2.2.1) may not be modified, but may be inflected with a pronominal prefix indexing the possessor, such as $y$ - 'DU' in (118). The verb, which may be intransitive, such as oska '(be) acid' in (119), or transitive, such as $e k$ 'see' in (123), is marked with third person singular, a null morpheme, expressing the non-human subject. Verbs as a constituent of the construction, may be inflected with all inflectional affixes which may occur on intransitive (and transitive) verbs respectively. However, these constructions subsume the verbs within them, such that, even though the verb may be transitive outside the construction, the verb may not take an object argument, only a peripheral one. (The reciprocal circumfix, as an object argument marked on the verb and not expressed as an NP, is the only exception, as in (123).) Emotional-state constructions may occur with no complement, as in (119), or may take a peripheral nominal complement, such as the pronoun bua in (120), or a clausal complement, as in (122), but both nominal and clausal complements require a preposition, such as rot 'about', to introduce them. As the first clause in a sentence, an emotional-state construction may be followed by an adverbial clause, such as ofjig eri noga isirna, introduced by $n i$ 'for' in (121).
(118) Yef y-oduy os-os rot marsa ofog no-ma-i. we.DU DU-front move.horizon.-RED about game meat DNR-far-GIV 'We (two) want that game meat.' (front move.horizontally = want) [T24]
(119) Ofa otkon(a) osk(a) ebr(a)-u-kuk.
s/he intestines acid spread.over-?-along
'He was increasingly furious.' (intestine be acid = enraged)
(120) Dif di-oduy efef rot bua nom. I 1SG-front ache about you.SG VER 'I really love you.' (front ache $=$ love )
(121) Ofa oduy er-er ni ofjig eri noga i-esirn(a). s/he front sag.inward-RED for help they.PL REL 3PL-sick 'He is compassionate to help those who are sick.' (front sag inward = be compassionate)
(122) Eri i-oduy orusohta rot ohot mow no-kef erá they.PL 3PL-front think about say land DNR-here THM
oyf-omof ni...
good-RED for
'They consider that this place is good for ....' (front think = have opinion) [D]
(123) Es-efer dokun ej-efer y-oduy em-ek-ima. male-child and female-child DU-front RECIP-see
'The young man and young woman, (they) desire each other.' (front see $=$ desire)

When emotional-state constructions are negated, only the verbal constituent is inflected with the obligatory mode prefix em- 'IRR', such as os 'move horizontally (over)' in (124) or ebri 'crack' in (125). In this regard, Moskona contrasts with Meyah in which the bodypart noun plus verb construction allows verbal inflections on either the nominal or verbal constituent, such as Meyah mi-em-odou os (1PL-IRR-liver rub) 'we will want' (Gravelle 2004:220).
(124) I-osnok i-erg-em, i-oduy em-os-os rot éra. 3PL-person 3PL-NUM:CST 3PL-front IRR-move.horiz.-RED about NEG 'Other people, (they) didn't want it.' (front move horizontally = want) [T27]
(125) Dif di-oduy em-ebri jig néesa.

I 1SG-front IRR-crack LOC not.yet
'I don't understand (it) yet.' (front crack = understand)

The nominal constituent of over 200 of these emotional-state constructions in my data is oduy 'front', the frontal exterior of the body cavity, which is considered to be the seat of emotion. In Papuan languages which use emotional-state constructions, nouns which denote internal organs tend to be used in constructions which indicate behavior which is not observable, whereas nouns referring to external body-parts are used in constructions expressing behavior which is observable (cf. McElhanon 1977:9). At an earlier time, Moskona may have followed this practice, but the emotional-state constructions used currently do not always make a distinction between observable and nonobservable behaviors by the noun in the construction. A few examples representative of these constructions with oduy 'front' are given in the list below.

| oduy aksa | (front tall) | '(be) proud' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oduy eciga <br> oduy efef | (front arise) | '(be) curious' |
| oduy efi | (front ache) | 'feel affection' |
| oduy ejgen | (front liquify) | '(be) anxious' |
| oduy ergak <br> oduy ek | (front twow) | 'desire' or 'will' |
| oduy ogma | (front see) | (be) unsure' |
| (front hoard) | '(besire' forgetful' |  |
| oduy orusohta | (front think) | 'consider' or 'hold opinion' |
| oduy oyfa | (front good) | '(be) pleased' |

Other body-part nouns may also be the nominal constituent of an emotional-state construction, such as oforna 'bone', owos 'skin' and ewek 'gallbladder', as in constructions:

With oforna 'bone'
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { oforna egerag } \\ \text { oforna ahaysa }\end{array} & \text { (bone weak) } & \text { (bone hard) }\end{array}\right)$ '(be) lethargic',$~$ invulnerable',

With owos 'skin'

| owos ewek | (skin thickened) | '(be) callous' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| owos ogugur | (skin shudder) | 'feel revulsion' |
| owos esis | (skin exclude) | '(be) irritated' or 'annoyed' |
| owos osuy | (skin shudder) | '(be) shocked' |

With otkona 'intestines'
otkona oska (intestines acid) '(be) furious' or 'enraged'

With ewek 'gallbladder' (considered to be the seat of intellect)
ewek ahra (gallbladder crunch) '(be) smart' or 'quick to understand'

In addition to physical body-part nouns, Moskona also employs the inalienable noun efena 'spirit' to form mental process or emotional-state constructions, as in:

| efena ebra | (spirit spread over) | '(be) conscious' or 'awake' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| efena egirgir | (spirit blaze) | '(be) nervous' |
| efena eker | (spirit sit) | '(be) accustomed to' |
| efena erecef | (spirit step.back) | '(be) conscious' |

A few body-part nouns, such as ebir 'head' and oduy 'front', are also used to express physical states or responses, such as nausea (128), dizziness (126), drunkenness (127) and a state of breathlessness (i.e. panting) (129).
(126) Ofa ebir ernohuy.
s/he head lightheaded
'He is dizzy.'
(128) Ofa oduy ebjij.
s/he front heave
'He is nauseous.'
(127) Ofa ebir ernof. s/he head dizzy 'He is drunk.'
(129) Ofa oduy efena. s/he front emanate 'He pants.'

There is a tendency among younger speakers to omit the nominal constituent in more common expressions, employing only the verb to express the emotion. In (130) and (131) the body-part noun oduy 'front' has been elided in the emotional-state constructions oduy osos (front move.horizontally) 'want' and oduy efagigow (front rejoice).
(130) Eri noga i-os-os ni i-ot-ohobta televisi
they.PL REL 3PL-move.horizon.-RED for 3PL-stand-watch television
erá deke.
THM may
'Those who want to watch television, may.'
(131) Ofa en mar ni er-efagigow rot ofaha.
s/he do thing for CAUS-joyful about 3SGRX
'He does it to enjoy himself.'
There are also a few emotional-state predicates which employ more than one body-part noun, such as oduy 'front' and efena 'spirit' used together. In (132) the inalienable noun efena 'spirit' possessed by oduy 'front' functions as nominal element and the intransitive verb osusun 'gasp' as the verbal.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { oduy efena ebker } \\
\text { oduy efena orosusun }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (front spirit split.in.two) } \\
\text { (front spirit gasp) }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { 'startled' } \\
\text { 'terrified' }
\end{array} \\
\text { (132) } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Ofa, oduy efena er-osusun rot ewer mosuga } \\
\text { s/he front spirit CAUS-gasp about cross bridge }
\end{array} & \text { no-ma-i. } \\
\text { 'He, (he) was terrified to cross the hanging bridge.' }
\end{array}
$$

[D]

### 9.3.1.1 Collapsed emotional-state predicate

Some emotional-state constructions have collapsed, resulting in new lexical forms. The emotional-state construction otkona oska (intestines acid) 'enraged' has collapsed for some speakers to form a single lexeme otkonoska [otkonóska] 'enraged'. The extant intransitive verb efagigow '(be) joyful', as in (131), is a collapsed form of the emotionalstate construction oduy efega oyka (front body dance), the body-part noun oduy having been elided, while the other two parts have fused. The full emotional-state construction is still used in Meyah as odou efaga oika (liver body dance) '(be) happy' (Gravelle 2004:391).

The predicate structure expressing the concept '(be) innocent' is a collapsed emotionalstate construction, composed of initial fragments of a possessive pronoun (§5.1.2), plus the final two segments of the verb ebah 'live', /ah/. These fragments, which suggest the former presence of a noun (possibly a body-part noun) in the construction, are utilized as person-number markers, such as bu-' 2 SG ' (133), from the possessive pronoun buwun '2SGPOS', yefg- '1DU' (134), from yefyen '1DUPOS', and of- '3SG' (135), from ofon '3SGPOS'. The segments of some of the person-number markers are not completely consistent with the segments in the possessive pronouns, such as the presence of $/ \mathrm{g} /$ in the person-number markers yefg- '1DU' and the presence of $/ \mathrm{y} /$ in yerg- '3DU' suggest an earlier paradigm for the possessive forms. The semantic equivalent in Meyah, the emotional-state predicate odou ebah (front live) '(be) innocent' still functions as an emotional-state predicate (Gravelle 2004:410).

The person-number markers indicating subject on the verb $a h$ '(be) innocent' are:

| Singular |  | Dual |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d u$ - | 1 | yefg- | 1 | mifm- | 1 |
| bu- | 2 | yog- | 2 | yu- | 2 |
| of- | 3 | yerg- | 3 | eri- | 3 |
| Bua | bu-ah |  | nom. |  | [buáx] |
| you.SG | 2SG-inn | ocent | VER |  |  |
| 'You are truly innocent.' |  |  |  |  |  |


| Yef yefg-ah nom. | [jeфgáx] |
| :--- | ---: |
| we.DU 2DU-innocent VER |  |
| 'We two are truly innocent.' |  |
| Ofa of-ah. |  |
| S/he 3SG-innocent |  |
| 'He is innocent.' |  |

of-ah
'He is innocent.'


Some of these forms, such as bu-ah(a), of-ah(a), yuah(a), and eri-aha, are homophonous with the reflexive pronouns (§5.1.3)

### 9.3.2 Idiomatic expressions

There are a number of phrasal constructions, encoding emotional states or activities demonstrating an emotional state, which serve as idiomatic expressions (words which form a unit whose meaning cannot be found from the sum of its members), and which share some features of emotional-state constructions. They are mentioned here because, like emotional-state constructions, they are composed of two parts, a verbal component and a body-part noun. However, in these idiomatic expressions the possessed body-part noun is not subject but object of the predicate. The possessor of the body-part noun is coreferent with the subject, such as $i$ - '3PL' marks possessor on oduy in (136) and owja in (137). The object noun is marked for possession by pronominal prefix, and like emotional-state predicates, may not be determined or modified by a nominal modifier, such as a possessive pronoun, demonstrative pronoun, specifier, numeral, or adjectival verb.
(136) Eri i-em-om i-oduy ni mona noga ofa em-oksomus. they.PL 3PL-IRR-enclose 3PL-front for time REL s/he IRR-return 'They will hope for the time that he will return.' (enclose front = hope) [TT]
(137) I-osnok no-ma-i i-oks(a) i-owj(a) rot ofa. 3PL-person DNR-far-GIV 3PL-over.turn 3PL-lip about s/he 'Those people praised him.' (over turn lip = praise)

A number of these idiomatic expressions are transitioning to verb compounds as evidenced by co-existing forms, such as i-os i-efena [us i申éna] ~i-os-efena [úseфena] (3PL-move.horiz. spirit) 'advise'. A few additional idioms are given below:
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| os ogá <br> grate speech | 'berate' |
| :---: | :---: |
| om eteyja enclose eye | 'pray' |
| om ebir <br> enclose head | 'struggle in/persist in s.t.' |
| os oduy sever front | 'be disappointed'/'regret' |

## Chapter 10 Embedded clauses

### 10.0 Introduction

This chapter presents two types of clauses which may be constituents of a another construction, that is, two types of clauses which may be embedded within another syntactic unit. Relative clauses, presented in $\S 10.1$, may be modifiers of a head noun in a noun phrase and may serve as the subject or object argument in a verbal clause, and complement clauses, presented in $\S 10.2$, may serve as the object argument of a complement-taking predicate. Adverbial clauses, which may also be considered embedded clauses, are not included here, but presented with conjoined clauses in Chapter 11.

### 10.1 Relative Clauses

A relative clause (RC) is a subordinate or dependent clause (Givón 1990:645), which always functions as a constituent of another construction, that is, it may be embedded within a noun phrase as a modifier or may function as a constituent of another clause, such as a core argument.

There are two broad categories of relative clauses which occur in Moskona, restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses. The vast majority of relative clauses in my data are restrictive relative clauses, that is, they restrict the domain of the noun they modify, functioning as anaphoric references and identifiers (cf. Givón 1990:645). Those relative clauses which are non-restrictive add information which the speaker deems useful to the hearer.

### 10.1.1 Restrictive RCs

RCs are composed of a head noun followed by the invariant relativizer noga ${ }^{56}$ 'REL', which introduces a restrictive clause. A head noun, such as subject noun memsa in (1) or the object noun mar in (3) may be relativized to form the typical structure of a RC, as in (2) and (4). The relativizer plus restricting clause are placed in brackets in examples in this section.

[^46](1) memsa em-et mem-kokar efer
k.o.bird.of.prey IRR-eat bird-crow child
'memsa would eat chicks'
[D]
(2)

memsa $\quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}n o g a & \text { em-et mem-kokar efer }] \text {. }\end{array}\right.$
k.o.bird.of.prey REL IRR-eat bird-crow child
'memsa who would eat chicks'
[D]
dif di-ek mar no-ma-i
I 1SG-see thing DNR-far-GIV
'I saw that thing'
mar [noga dif di-ek] no-ma-i
thing REL I 1SG-see DNR-far-GIV
'the thing that I saw.'
A determiner may occur following a RC, marking the boundary of the NP, such as the demonstrative pronoun nomi in (4) or the textual enclitic -mis 'former' in (5).
(5) Mu-eyja mi-okuc gug ofa [noga oduk mif]=mis-i.

1PL-go 1PL-show go s/he REL order we.PL=former-GIV
'We went to show him who sent us.'
[T17]
The post-nominal placement of the restricting clause is typologically consistent with SVO languages (Keenan 1985b:144, W. Lehmann 1986). This placement is also found in other languages in the Bird's Head, such as Meyah (Gravelle 2004:269), Sougb (Reesink 2002b:251), Hatam (Reesink 1999:112), Abun (Berry and Berry 1999:146), and Mpur (Odé 2002:85).

The primary strategy utilized in Moskona to form restrictive RCs is the "gap" type (cf. Comrie 1989:147), one which is most commonly found in languages with rigid word order (Givón 1990:659), such as Moskona. In this strategy, the relative clause is said to be missing one argument, the one which is co-referential with the head noun, that is, the head of the relative clause is interpreted as having the role of the missing argument inside the restrictive clause. As Moskona lacks an explicit means to encode subject or object, word order serves to interpret the role of the absent obligatory argument, such as the object miyes 'clothes' in the RC noga dif diref ni maw in (6), the "gap" in the restricting clause being indicated by ' $\varnothing$ '. Hence, the role of the missing argument is recoverable.

$$
\begin{array}{lcllllll}
\text { Miyes } & {[\text { noga }} & \text { dif } & \text { di-er-ef } & \varnothing & \text { ni maw } & \text { erá } & \text { aharga } \tag{6}
\end{array} \text { jog. } .
$$

### 10.1.2 Heads of a RC

A relativized noun head may be a common noun, such as the generic noun mar 'thing' in (7) or mesina 'string bag' in (8), a proper noun, such as Isisge in (9), or a personal pronoun, such as eri 'they.PL' in (10).
mar [noga efi] no-ma-i romreg ororum thing REL longtime DNR-far-GIV all be.lost 'all the things (traditions) which were old have been lost'
(8) Mesina [noga dadin] erá dif di-oduy ohta string.bag REL 1SGPOS THM I 1SG-front suck 'The string bag which was mine, I forgot'
(9) i-oyka-mers(a) kerenga Isisge [noga ogos] no-mis-i 3PL-dance-floor upon Isisge REL die DNR-former-GIV 'they indoor-danced (in celebration) over the Isisge who died'
(10) eri [noga i-en-owha sokomow] no-mis-i, eri i-eyja ... they.PL REL 3PL-DUR-leave beginning DNR-former-GIV they.PL 3PL-go 'they who had been leaving first, they had already gone ...'
[T14]
The noun head may be omitted, but one of its modifiers, such as the specifier ergem in (11), or an interrogative word, such as midá in (12), may stand in its place as head of the relative clause.
(11) Edá erg-em [noga en-ah jig erga] erá, ofa ejeka okuk ofon.. then NUM:1-CST REL DUR-lie LOC left.side THM s/he call.out like3 SGPOS 'Then the other one who was on his left, he called as his ...'
(12) Yoga y-en midá [noga esebra] no-ma-i?
you.DU DU-do what REL continuousDNR-far-GIV
'You (two) are doing what which is all the time?

### 10.1.3 Predicates in a RC

Any grammatical unit which can function predicatively in an independent clause can function as a predicate in a RC. In addition to verbs, the predicate of a RC can be a nominal, such as ijewjenir in (13), a numeral-classifier construction, such as ibah tahgur in (14), a possessive pronoun, such as buwun or dadin in (15), a specifier standing in the position of a nominal, such as ergem in (16), or an adverb, such as rahu in (17).

| eri-orna | i-eyta eri-ej-a | $[$ noga | i-ejewjen(a)-ir] tin. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they-man | 3PL-take they-female-PGE | REL | 3PL-young.woman-PL also |
| 'the men also took them (females) who were young women.' |  |  |  |

(14) Eri [noga ibah tahgur] no-ma-i i-owha jog. they.PL REL HUMAN four DNR-far-GIV 3PL-leave already 'They who were four, have already left.'
(15) [Noga buwun] erá susuy fen [noga dadin].

REL 2SGPOS THM other.kind from REL 1SGPOS
'The one which is yours is a different kind than the one which is mine.' [D]
(16) Ofa os meres [noga erg-em] tas [noga owoka meres ekena]. s/he cut sugarcane REL NUM:1-CST again REL name sugarcane red 'She cut one more sugarcane which its name was red sugarcane.' [T]
(17) Bua bi-en midá [noga rahu], erogá bi-en-éysaha=kef?
you.SG 2SG-do what REL long.time hence 2SG-DUR-reach=here 'You have been doing what for so long so you are arriving now?'

There are two constructions which have not been observed as predicates in a simple declarative clause that do appear as predicates in a restricting clause. Prepositional phrases, such as fen mogug dokun mester mogom in (18), and purpose clauses, such as ni yosok jig mod orokec in (19), may also function as the restricting clause in a RC.
.. merah [noga fen mogug dokun mester mogom]no-ma-i fire REL from tinder and plate stone DNR-far-GIV
'..the fire which was from the tinder and stone plate'
(19) Eysaha mona [noga ni y-osok jig mod orokec.]
reach day REL for DU-climb.up LOC house large '(It) reached the time for them to go up to the main house.'

Restrictive RCs may be composed of clauses with simple predicates, such as those given in the preceding discussion, but they may also be complex, such as the RC in (20) which contains a complex sentence composed of the simple predicate edegejga followed by the purpose clause ni eri it (for they eat).

| Mar | $[$ noga | edegejga | ni | eri | $\boldsymbol{i}$-et $]$ | erá | megigra, mana, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing | REL | match.up | for | they.PL | 3PL-eat | THM | pineapple | k.o.greens |

meresa.
sugarcane
'Things which are appropriate for them to eat are pineapple, greens and sugarcane.'

As is typical of post-nominal RCs (cf. Keenan 1985b:161), RCs in Moskona have a main verb which is fully inflected, that is, there is no reduction in aspect, mode or subject marking on verbs occurring in a RC, such as the durative aspect marker en- 'DUR' which indicates progressive on the verb og 'gouge' in (21) or the mode marker em- 'IRR' which occurs on the verb ok 'bear' in (22).

| ...m-ok-era | ek | mar | [noga | m-ok-esa | en-og | jig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NR-sib.s.s.-old. | see | thing | REL | NR-sib.s.S.-yg | DUR-gouge | LOC |

mosha owos] no-ma-i...
k.o.tree skin DNR-far-GIV
'...the older sibling saw the thing younger sibling was drawing on the mosha bark...’
(22) Ejena [noga em-ok efer] erá eti efer efef.
woman REL IRR-bear child THM suffer child ache
'The woman who would bear a child suffers child ache (labor pains).' [D]
The predicate of a RC can be negated, such as the intransitive verb esirna 'be sick' in (23) and the nominal predicate Moskona in (24). Predicates of RCs can be independently negated without ambiguity, as only verbs marked with em- 'IRR' are affected, such as the verb ot 'stand' in the RC in (25).
(23) Ofa erá [noga em-esirn(a) éra].
s/he THM REL IRR-sick NEG
'He is [the one] who is not sick.'
Ejena erg-es [noga Moskona gurá]
woman NUM:1-one REL Moskona NEG
'a woman who was not Moskona'

```
(25) Mek ejmeg efenda er jig memeg(a) ejmeg[noga merga em-ot
    pig spine dense grow LOC mountain spine REL wood IRR-stand
    jig éra].
    LOC NEG
    'Thick pig's spine ferns grow on mountain crests where there aren't trees.' [D]
```


### 10.1.4 Syntactic positions relativized

In Moskona, all nominal constituents of a clause, both core and peripheral arguments, as well as genitives and instruments may be relativized in RCs with external heads. Examples of each are presented below.

### 10.1.4.1 Relativized subject

Although some languages do not allow the subjects of transitive verbs to be relativized (Keenan 1985b:159), the subjects of transitive verbs, such as mar midá in (26) and eri in (27), as well as, the subjects of intransitive verbs, such as merga in (28) and moroj in (29) may be relativized.
(26) Mar midá [noga er-en ofa owos en-ofufom]?
thing what REL CAUS-do s/he skin DUR-hot
'What thing is it which is causing his skin to be hot?'
[T29]
(27) Mod no-ma-i ofon ofuy ni eri [noga i-ef mosta]. house DNR-far-GIV 3 SGPOS function for they.PL REL 3PL-shoot prey 'That house has the function [as a platform] for them who shoot prey.' [T3]
(28) Merga [noga orokec] erá en-ot i-ba=eyj(a).
tree REL big THM DUR-stand VIS-across=THITHER
'The tree which is big is over there.'
(29) bi-orot moroj [noga ahaw jig pasar].

2SG-go.with path REL descend LOC market
'you follow the path which descends to the market'

### 10.1.4.2 Relativized object

Object arguments may be relativized, such as the generic noun mar in (31) or the noun ejena 'woman' in (30), leaving a "gap" in the RC, indicated by $\varnothing$.

Ejena [noga momha en ø] erá ofa owha... woman REL insanity do THM s/he leave 'The woman who insanity affected (crazy), she left ...'
(31) Bua bi-eg mar [noga mosu odu ol gug]. you.SG 2SG-hearthing REL mother speak goal. 'You heard what mother told you.'

A shared argument, such as meni owoh 'banana flesh' in (32), which functions as the object of oyna 'cook' and the subject of efieyja '(be) ready to eat', may be extracted leaving the second predicate of the SVC stranded.

| Bua you.SG | bi-ec 2SG-buy | meni owoh banana interior | [noga eri <br> REL they.PL | i-oyna ø <br> 3PL-cook | efieyj(a) ready.to.eat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jog]. |  |  |  |  |  |
| already |  |  |  |  |  |
| 'You bo | ght the b | anana flesh w | ch they alread | had cooked | to readiness. |

When a possessed alienable noun occurs as the head noun of a RC, in a manner similar to relativized object arguments, it is extracted to occur preceding the RC, leaving the possessive pronoun stranded, such as ofon ' 3 SGPOS' in (33) and dadin '1SGPOS' in (34).

Edá, efer [noga Iwari ofon ø] no-mis-i, ofa ebisa esebra. then child REL Iwari 3SGPOS DNR-former-GIV s/he cry continuous 'Then, the child who was Iwari's, he cried continuously.'
[T10]

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Mesina } & {[\text { noga dadin }} & \text { 0 }
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { erá } \quad \text { dif di-oduy ohta.. }
$$

### 10.1.4.3 Relativized peripheral arguments

When the head noun functions as a peripheral argument in the restricting clause, it leaves a "gap" stranding the preposition which encodes its semantic role. Peripheral arguments of a RC may express the roles: goal, such as ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ' in (35), beneficiary, such as ifer 'children' in (36), or location, such as mer 'room' in (37) or moroj 'path' in (38).

Ofa $[$ noga mifin m-edina i-og-i-ef gug $\boldsymbol{\emptyset}$ sis jog]... s/he REL 1PLPOS NR-grandparent 3PL-bend-?-near to past already 'He whom our ancestors bowed to (honored) in the past...' [TT]

I-efer [noga mona ofoj edegejg(a)ni ø] i-ek... 3PL-child REL day pre-set match.up for 3PL-cut.w.bamboo.knife 'The children for whom the appointed time is appropriate, (they)cut...' [D]

Mer-aj erá mer [noga eri-ej-a i-ah jig ø]. room-female THM room REL they.PL-female-PGE 3PL-lie LOC 'The female room is the room that the women sleep in.'
ofa ohsud(a) jig moroj [noga eri i-ewer jig ø] no-mis-i. s/he search.for LOC path REL they.PL 3PL-pass LOC DNR-far-GIV 'he searched (for it) at the path which they had passed on...'
[T7]

### 10.1.4.4 Relativized genitives

A possessor may function as the head noun of a RC whether the possessed is an inalienable noun or an alienable noun. In (39), the pronoun eri is the possessor of i-egak 'their legs' and in (40), osnok is the possessor of mod 'house'.

Ergog y-eyta mar y-er-ojuj eri [noga i-egak éra].
they.DU DU-take thing DU-CAUS-hire they.PL REL 3PL-leg throb
'They (two) paid with something those whose feet throbbed.'
[T25]
Ofa eyja edis skod osnok [noga ofon mod] no-ma-i.
s /he go miss to person REL 3SGPOS house DNR-far-GIV
'He went directly to the person who owned the house.'
[D]

### 10.1.4.5 Relativized instrument

Instruments are primarily expressed in a SVC (§9.1.2.6) as the object argument of a manipulative verb, not as a peripheral argument coded by a preposition. Thus, when they are relativized, the role of the head noun is not coded by a (stranded) preposition as are peripheral arguments. Relativized instruments are not constituents of the restricting clause, thus there is no "gap" to encode its role in the RC. The instrument role is implied and the instrument cross-referenced on the verb of the restricting clause by the causative prefix er-, such as on the verb er-ef (CAUS-shoot) in (41), or on the verb er-osotka (CAUSmarry) in (42), signaling the instrument or means employed in the execution of the action.
(41) Ofa ohsud(a) ofon marog [noga er-ef mek, erogá edis]... s/he search.for 3SGPOS arrow REL CAUS-shoot pig hence miss 'He searched for his arrow (with) which he shot (at) the pig, then missed...' [D]

```
Miy, mek, mar [noga ni i-er-osotka], efer owas eseter.
cloth pig thing REL for 3PL-CAUS-marry price strong much.more
'Cloth, pigs, things (with) which they marry, the price is very high.' [T16]
```

A head noun, serving as the object argument of the manipulative verb or 'hold' in the main clause, may be the implied instrument in the restricting clause, such as meserf ofog 'pointed spoon' in (43), and mesef 'arrow' in (44).
(43) Ofa or meserf ofog erá [noga er-ecka marowok] s/he hold spoon pointed THM REL CAUS-serve vegetable 'She held (used) a pointed spoon (fork), [it was] what she served vegetables with..'

Ofa or mesef erá [noga er-ef mek]. s /he hold arrow THM REL CAUS-shoot pig 'He held (used) an arrow, [it was] what he shot the pig with.'

### 10.1.5 Relativizer unexpressed

The relativizer noga may be optionally unexpressed, yielding a restricting clause which is syntactically embedded in the noun phrase, such as the restricting clause ah emba in (45) or ah esen in (46). Generally, only relativized subject arguments with verbal predicates in the restricting clause may occur without the relativizer. The restricting clause is typically limited to no more than three words. A demonstrative may follow the restricting clause, such as nomi follows ah jig efenok in (47) or enebah in (48), clearly marking the boundary of the noun phrase.

Dif di-odu mar [ah emba] rot ofa. I 1SG-tell thing lie rotten about $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ 'I told something (which) was rotten (negative) about him.'

Eri i-en fen mow [ah esen].
they.PL 3PL-come from land lie distant
'They came from the place (which) was far away.'
di-ek marefen ewet [ah jig efenok] no-ma-i.
1SG-see grass semi-solid lie LOC intestine DNR-far-GIV
'(I) saw the semi-solid (half-digested) grass (which) was in its gut.'
orna ekena [en-ebah] no-ma-i erá, ofa eyta..
man red DUR-live DNR-far-GIV THM s/he take
'the man (who) remained, he took...'

Only one example of a relativized object argument with the relativizer unexpressed was found in my data. This situation may be possible only because the expression of an uncontrolled state (§8.4.1.2.2) requires that the volitional participant, such as ejena 'woman' in (49), be the object/undergoer of the transitive verb en 'do'.

Ejena [momhaen] no-ma-i ojok yefyen ayok, erogá ogos. woman insanity do DNR-far-GIV hit 3DPOS mother hence die 'The woman (who) was crazy hit their mother so she died.' [T23]

### 10.1.6 Behavioral properties

### 10.1.6.1 Multiple restrictive RCs with same head noun

A single head noun may be modified by more than one RC, although instances of more than two RCs modifying the same head have not been attested. The RCs may occur juxtaposed, as in (50) and (51) or be conjoined by a conjunction, such as dokun in (52).
ofa os meres [noga erg-em tas] [noga owoka meres ekena] $\mathrm{s} /$ he sever sugarcane REL NUM:1-CST again REL name sugarcane red 'he cut one more sugarcane (stalk) which its name was red sugarcane.' [T23]
.. of merga[noga orokec] [noga owoka mocod].
fell wood REL large REL name k.o.tree
..(he) felled the large tree which its name was mocod.'

| Ejena erg-es $\quad$ [noga Moskona | gurá $]$ | dokun $[$ noga ojga | fen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| woman NUM:1-one REL | Moskona | NEG and REL originated | from |

mow M(ar)usum] erá eker jig no-mej.
land south.coast THM sit LOC DNR-remote
'A woman who was not Moskona and who originated from the south coast area,
sat yonder.' [T29]

### 10.1.6.2 Recursive embedding of restrictive RCs

A relative clause can be embedded within another relative clause, such as the RC noga oyfomof in (53) modifies the compound eteyj-ah 'life', which is the nominal predicate of the restricting clause headed by the pronoun eri. In (54), the RC noga oyna mar-mosorna is headed by the noun efca which is the nominal predicate in the RC which is headed by the pronoun ofa. In (55), the RC noga oyfomof and the demonstrative pronoun nomi modify ejena, the subject of the RC headed by the noun yefer.

Ofa ohojoh eri [noga erin eyteyj-(eb)ah [noga oyf-amof $]$. s/he ruin they.PL REL 3PLPOS eye-(a)live REL good-RED 'He ruined (for) them what was their life which was very good.' (eye-alive = life)
ofa [noga efca [noga oyna mar-mosorna]] no-mis-i s/he REL group REL cook thing-hunger DNR-far-GIV 'the person who was in the group who cooked the food...'

$$
\begin{array}{lcccl}
\text { y-efer } & \text { [noga ejena } & \text { [noga oyf-omof }] \text { no-ma-i } & \text { ofon }] \\
\text { DU-child } & \text { REL } & \text { woman } & \text { REL } & \text { good-RED DNR-far-GIV }
\end{array} \text { 3SGPOS }
$$

### 10.1.6.3 Extraposed RCs

Relative clauses may also be extraposed, that is, placed away from the head noun, such that the head noun and the RC are not constituents of the same noun phrase. The RC occurs outside of the noun phrase. For example, in (56) the head noun mar is followed by the focus adverb romreg, extraposing the RC noga enah jig mod nomi to the end of the clause, in (57) the nominalized specifier mergem occurs immediately following the nominal compound miy ewet, extraposing the RC noga ofon efer onot jig, and in (58) the head noun erurna is followed by the prepositional phrase jig Moskona sokomow, extraposing the RC noga umor mod-miy néesa.
(56) Edá, ergog y-okues jug gug mar romreg [noga en-ah jig then they.DU DU-coax against to thing all RELDUR-lie LOC
$\boldsymbol{m o d}$ no-ma-i] esha ni...
house DNR-far-GIV from for
'Then, they two persuaded everything which was in that house in order that...'
[T23]
(57) éysaha jig miy ewet m-erg-em [noga ofon efer
reach LOC water semi-solid NR-NUM:1-CST REL 3SGPOS child
en-ot jig] no-ma-i.
DUR- stand DNR-far-GIV
'(when she) reached the river bank's other side which her son was standing on.'

Dif di-osot-a mar rot eri-orna sokomow jig Moskona
I 1SG-count-PGE thing about they.PL-man beginning LOC Moskona
sokomow [noga i-em-or mod-miy néesa],...
beginning REL 3PL-IRR-build house-water not.yet
'I'm recounting about men of long ago in the long ago Moskona area, who before they had built houses on the ground,..'

This extra-positioning seems to be due to the syntactic complexity of the sentence in which the head noun is also modified by a focus adverb, specifier or prepositional phrase. (Focus adverbs (§4.1.2.1.4) may modify a head noun, but are not constituents of the noun phrase.) The noun phrase with a longer relative clause followed by a one of these types of modifiers is syntactically awkward. Thus, the noun head and the restricting RC need not be adjacent if the temporal distance is not too great.

### 10.1.7 Pronoun-retention RCs

In Moskona, a rare but more explicit strategy, pronoun-retention, is sometimes used for identifying the grammatical relation of the head noun. A pronoun copy of the relativized nominal is retained within the RC, and thus, its position or prepositional coding indicates the grammatical relation of the relativized noun phrase. This situation is quite possibly due to co-reference relations across the intervening clause which are strained, thus an anaphoric pronoun is used. For example, the relativized object efer 'child' in (59) is coreferent with the pronoun copy ofa. In (60), the relativized peripheral argument isnok 'people' is co-referent with the pronoun copy eri 'they.PL'.
(60) $y$-eseki mersa ni i-osnok [noga meren] [noga ergog y-eyj mofga DU-fix floor for 3PL-person REL lake REL they.DU DU-toss palm.rib
skod eri] no-mis-i
to they.PL DNR-former-GIV
'They fixed up a (dance) floor for those many people who they had invited.'

Occasionally the noun itself is repeated in the RC, as in (61) where the relativized object noun mar is repeated, or in (62) in which the relativized possessed noun mod is repeated.

Esha ofa ebrekirk(a) em-eg mar [noga ofon ekok odu mar] éra. from $\mathrm{s} /$ he stubborn IRR-hear thing REL 3SGPOS father tell thing NEG 'The reason is [that] he is stubborn not hearing (obeying) what his father tells (him).'

Ergog y-éysaha jig mod [noga ejena Okuskuimi ofon mod]. they.DU DU-reach LOC house REL woman Okuskuimi 3SGPOS house 'They (two) reached the house which the woman Okuskuimi owned.' [T25]

### 10.1.8 Headless RCs

When the referent of the head noun is already known, the head noun may be elided, yielding a headless RC. Givón (1990:683) has asserted that headless RCs are restricting clauses modifying a pronoun which is absent in the surface representation. Thus, a headless RC may fill any position characteristically filled by a noun phrase, such as the subject or object of a verbal clause, as in (63) and (64), the nominal predicate, as in (65), the constituent of a frame, as in (66), the complement of a (verbal) preposition as in (67) or the object of the manipulative verb in an instrument SVC, as in (68). Headless RCs may be modified by a determiner, such as the demonstrative pronoun nomi in (64) and (68). The predicates of the restricting clause of headless RCs are limited to nominal, verbal or adverb predicates. Restrictive RCs composed of other elements, such as prepositional phrases or purpose clauses functioning predicatively, have not been attested.
(63) [Noga m-ok-era] erá edem ofon mohena jig meres(a) efca... REL NR-sib.s.s.-old. THM hide 3SGPOS wife LOC sugarcane group '(The one) who was the older sibling hid his wife in the sugarcane stand..'[T23]
no-ma-i.
DNR-far-GIV
'They swabbed (the one) whose hand was swollen with medicine.' (lit. they hold drug swab that one his arm swell)

Ida [noga en-oduk bua]?
who REL DUR-order you.SG
'Who is (the one) who is sending you?'
[Noga sokomow] erá ofon ofuy ohot raja...
REL beginning THM 3SGPOS meaning say king
'(The one) that was (in) the beginning, its meaning [was] that the king ...'[TT]
os meres okuk [noga ofon y-efer y-odu gug] no-mis-i.. cut sugarcane like REL 3SGPOS DU-child DU-tell to DNR-former-GIV '(She) cut the sugarcane like (the way) which her children told her to.' [T23]
(68) Ofa or [noga efen-ir-ah] er-eg(a) [noga ofon ewes]. s /he hold REL new-?-INTNS CAUS-trade REL 3SGPOS cavity 'He exchanged (the one) which had holes with [the one] which was new.' [D]

Spatial deictics, such as $-m e j$ 'remote' and $-b a$ 'across', may be cliticized to the relativizer noga to forming a headless RC (§5.2.5). This RC may fill the position of nominals, such as the object argument nogubeyja in (70), or noguba in (71), or the complement of the generic preposition jig 'LOC', such as nogumej in (69). However, no occurrences of the compound as a subject argument have been observed.

| Ofa | eyja | jig | nog(a)-u-mej. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he | go.to | LOC | REL-NONVIS-remote |

'He went to the place yonder.'
Bиа bi-eyta nog(a)-u-b(a)=eyj(a).
you.SG 2SG-take REL=NONVIS-across=THITHER
'Take the one over there.'
[T14]
Dif dadin nog(a)-u-ba.
I 1SGPOS REL=NONVIS-across
'I mine is (the one) which is over there.'

### 10.1.8.1 Headless RCs as thematic elements

The thematic marker erá 'THM' follows the constituent which the speaker wishes to indicate as a thematic element. When a headless RC functions as the subject of a verbal clause, as in (72), a constituent of a frame, as in (73), or the subject/topic of non-verbal clause, as in (74), it may be marked by the thematic marker erá. Headless RCs functioning as object arguments may not be marked as thematic elements.
[Noga m-ok-era] erá edem ofon mohena jig meres(a) efca... REL NR-sib.s.s.-old. THM hide 3SGPOS wife LOC sugarcane group '[The one] who was the older sibling hid his wife in the sugarcane stand..'[T23]

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Noga } & \text { decir }] \\
\text { erá, ofa eyta merga orokec fen... } \\
\text { REL correct THM s/he take wood large from } \\
\text { '[As for] the thing that is correct, he takes the large branch from ...' }
\end{array}\right.}
\end{array}
$$

$\left[\begin{array}{lll}\text { Noga } & \text { buwun }] \text { erá } & \text { susuy fen [noga dadin]. } \\ \text { REL } & \text { 2SGPOS THM other.kind from REL 1SGPOS } \\ \text { 'The one which is yours is a different kind than the one which is mine.' [D] }\end{array}\right.$

When a headless RC functions as a nominal predicate, it may be marked for contrastive focus by the deictic focus marker $e$ - 'FOC' prefixed to the relativizer noga, as in (75), (76) and (77).

| Ofa | [e-noga | en-ec | ebreg]. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s/he | FOC-REL | DUR-become | leader |

Nef-a [e-noga buwun]?
which-PGE FOC-REL 2SGPOS
'Which is [the very one] which is yours?'
Ida [e-noga en-et merga owos noga en-ah jig meyja]? who FOC-REL DUR-eat wood produce REL DUR-lie LOC table 'Who is [the very one] who is eating the tree fruit which is on the table.' [D]

### 10.1.9 Non-restrictive RCs

Non-restrictive RCs are parenthetical assertions, conveying background information, judged to be useful to the hearer (Givón 1990:649). Non-restrictive RCs are distinguished from restrictive RCs, in that, they do not restrict the noun phrase which they comment on, such as the RC noga ofa ecira rot mes 'who he traveled with his dogs' in (78) does not restrict or narrow the reference to ergen mahina 'their husband', or the RC noga ogos in (79), which does not narrow the reference to buwun mosu 'your mother'. The nonrestrictive RC owoka Ngati 'his name was Ngati' in (80) is a parenthetical comment, as the name of the worker is incidental to the action of the narrative.
(78) Erogá y-esah rokrok ergen mahina, [noga ofa ecira rot mes].. hence DU-put expectantly 3DUPOS husband REL s/he walk about dog 'So they placed [put aside the food] in anticipation of their husband, who traveled with his dogs ..'
dif di-oduy efef rot buwun mosu, [noga ogos] no-ma-i I 1SG-front ache about 2SGPOS mother REL die DNR-far-GIV 'I loved your mother, who died'

> Edá, tukang erg-em fen Wamena, [owoka Ngati], en.... then craftsman NUM:1-CST from Wamena name Ngati come 'Then another worker from Wamena, (who) his name was Ngati, came...'

### 10.2 Complement clauses

A clause or sentence which functions as an argument of another clause is a complement clause (Noonan 1985:42, T. Payne 1997:313), which in Moskona may be only an object argument. Those predicates, whether simple verbs or complex predicates, which allow their object argument to be a clause are complement-taking predicates (CTPs). Generally, CTPS may be categorized semantically (cf. Givón 1984:117) as simple verbs of utterance, cognition or emotional/mental states, and perception or manipulation.

This section describes the two types of complementation structures found in Moskona, finite complement clauses and paratactic complements. Section 10.2 . 1 will deal with the finite complements, characterized by a complementizer, either ohot 'say' or rot 'about', along with the CTPS which take each type of complement. Section 10.2 .2 will present the paratactic complement constructions, characterized by a lack of complementizer, along with the two types of CTPs which take these complements. Finally, the scope of negation will be discussed as it applies to both types of complementation in $\S 10.2$.3.

### 10.2.1 Finite complements

Finite complements have the same structure as independent clauses, that is, the predicate in the complement governs a set of grammatical relations which are independent of those governed by the matrix predicate. A (pro)nominal subject may be expressed explicitly and need not be co-referential with the subject of the matrix clause, such as the finite complement mafif emed mod nomi rot eri in (81) has a subject NP mafif 'wind' which is expressed directly, and is not co-referential with eri, the subject of the matrix clause. Predicates in finite complement clauses may be independently inflected for aspect and mode, such as the complement verb ed 'strike' in (81) is independently marked for mode by em- 'IRR' or the complement verb ebah 'live' is independently marked for aspect by en- 'DUR' in (82). (Complement clauses are in brackets.)
(81) eri i-emesa rot [mafif em-ed mod no-ma-i rot eri] they.PL 3PL-fear about wind IRR-strike house DNR-far-GIV about they.PL 'they feared that the wind would strike the house with them' (mental state) [T3]

Eriefiosga, ofa ohot, [Dif erá di-en-ebah=kef]. Eriefiosga s/he say I THM 1SG-DUR-live=here
'Eriefiosga, she said, "I am here."' (direct quote:speech)

### 10.2.1.1 Complements marked with ohot

The complementizer ohot 'say', which introduces direct and indirect quote complements, is a grammaticalized form of the extant utterance verb ohot 'say', for which inflectional morphology has been lost in its transition to the grammatical unit QUOTE MARKER. Bird's Head languages Meyah (Gravelle 2004:283), Hatam (Reesink 1999:105), Mpur (Odé 20002:58) and Maybrat (Dol 1999:222) also have a verbal complementizer meaning 'say', which introduces both direct and indirect quotes, as do many other languages, whether Papuan or other types (Schachter 1985:50).

The CTPs which take ohot-complements are typically utterance predicates, such as the utterance verbs ohot 'say' and odu 'tell', but also include manner of speaking verbs, such as egerna 'hail', ejeka 'call (out)', ohur 'deceive'/'mislead', oyom 'request', osot '(re)count', obder 'curse (at)', and oyok 'swear (about)'.

Indirect and direct quote complements are sometimes not easy to distinguish, but may be differentiated by their pronouns and the presence of a pause preceding direct quote complements. Use of a pause is, cross-linguistically, a widespread and iconic means of separating the matrix clause and quote complement (Givón 1990:553). Direct quote complements maintain the deictic center of the original speech act (Dik 1997b:96), such as the second person singular pronominal reference bua 'you.SG' in (83). Indirect quote complements adjust pronominal references to adapt to the deictic center of the speaker, as in (84) in which the pronominal reference has shifted from second person singular address to third person singular ofa 's/he'.

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Ergog y-odu gug ofa ohot, } & {[\text { Bua }} & \text { bi-en } & \text { bi-ah } & \text { osuj } & \text { y-egak }]  \tag{83}\\
\text { they.DU DU-tell to } & \text { s/he say you.SG } & \text { 2SG-come } & \text { 2SG-lie upon } & \text { DU-leg } \\
\text { 'They (two) told him, "Come lie on top of our legs."" (direct quote:speech) }
\end{array}
$$

[T23]
(84) Ofa odu gug ofon efer ohot [ofa en jig mod, esha m-ofog $\mathrm{s} /$ he tell to 3 SGPOS child say $\mathrm{s} /$ he come LOC house from NR-pile
ahta jog].
black already
'She told her child that he (should) come in the house, because it was already dark.' (indirect quote)
[D]

### 10.2.1.1.1 Direct quote complements

Direct quote complements range from short interjections or phrases, such as the direct quote Ayok, néesa in (85), to multi-paragraph discourses. They may include declaratives (86), imperatives (87) or interrogatives (88), because they form mini-discourses.
(85) Ergog y-ohot gug mogen ohot, [Ayok, néesa]. they.DU DU-say to mother.in.law say mother not.yet 'They said to (their) mother-in-law, "Mother, not yet." (direct quote:speech)
[T23]
(86) Edá Ijisir ohur ohot, [Menejog edá, bi-owra jig moroj then Ijisir deceive say tomorrow then 2SG-cross.through LOC path
no-ka-i].
DNR-near-GIV
'So Ijisir misled (him), "Tomorrow, then you (can) walk on this path."' (direct quote:speech)

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { Dif di-ohot, [Bua } & \text { m-engit } & \text { mar no-ma-i } & \text { edak]. }  \tag{87}\\
\text { I } & \text { 1SG-say you.SG } & \text { IRR-make } & \text { thing } & \text { DNR-far-GIV }
\end{array} \text { NEG.DEON }
$$ 'I said, "Don't make that!"' (direct quote:speech)

(88) ofa ejeka gug y-efer no-ma-i ohot, [Moroj noga yoga y-ewer s/he call to DU-childDNR-far-GIV say path REL you.DU DU-pass
jig erá ah hadefa]?
LOC THM lie where
'he called to those (two) guys, "The path which you passed on, where is it?"" (direct quote:speech)
[T23]
Direct quote complements are utilized in speech events, as in the preceding examples, but also in thought or "inner speech", as in (89).
$y$-eferiok no-ma-i y-orusoht(a) jig y-oduy ewes ohot, [Ejena DU-sm.child DNR-far-GIV DU-think LOC DU-front cavity say woman
noga momha en no-ma-i, ofa ogow yefgen mosu jog-a.] REL insanity do DNR-far-GIV s/he chop 1DUPOS mother already-PGE 'Those youngsters thought in their fronts (hearts), "The crazy woman, she killed our mother.' (direct quote:thought)
[T23]
A few idiomatic expressions denoting utterance may function as CTPs, such as om eteyj (enclose eye) 'pray' in (90) takes the direct quote complement Allah dijeka estom gug bua.
(90) Ofa om eteyja ohot, [Ara, dif di-ejeka estom gug bua]. s/he enclose eye say God, I 1SG-call thanks to you.SG 'He prayed, "God, I call out thanks to you."' (direct quote:speech) [TT]

The complementizer ohot may be omitted in direct quote complements, as the deictic orientation of the pronouns and a pause preceding the direct quote signal the change in deictic center. The pronominal reference of the original speech event is retained, such as first person singular pronoun dif ' I ' in (91), and second person singular pronoun bua 'you.SG' in (92). Pause is indicated by "/ ".

Dif di-ohot, / [Estom rot mar noga bua bi-eyta gug dif]. I 1SG-say thanks about thing REL you.SG 2SG-take to I 'I said, "Thanks for the thing you gave me."' (direct quote:speech)

Ofa ejeka jug Eriefiosga, / [Bua bi-en bi-ah ni di-oduy]. s/he call against Eriefiosga you.SG 2SG-come 2SG-lie at 1SG-front 'She summoned Eriefiosga, "Come lie on at my front."' (direct quote:speech)

The complementizer ohot typically occurs immediately preceding a direct quote complement but may be optionally followed by a demonstrative, such as $-k a$ (near) 'this' in (93), which functions as a focusing device for the quote complement.

| Ofa ohur ohot e-ka-i, | [Bua | sota | bi-eyja. $]$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ | deceive say | FOC-near-GIV | you.SG later | 2SG-go |
| 'He lied saying this, "Later, you (can) go."' (direct quote:speech) |  |  |  |  |

### 10.2.1.1.2 Indirect quote complements

Indirect quotes may be distinguished from direct quotes in that the complementizer ohot may not be omitted in indirect quote complements, nor is there a pause preceding an indirect quote complement. Indirect quote complements usually adjust the deictic center to that of the speaker, such that third person rather than first or second person reference is typically used. In (94), the subject pronoun of the indirect quote is ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ', a third person singular reference, rather than dif ' $I$ ', first person singular. In (95) the subject pronoun of the indirect quote is ofa 's/he' rather than bua 'you.SG' second person singular.

| Ara egen gug Abraham ohot | ofa | em-eyta mow | no-mis-i |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| God promise to Abraham say | s/he | IRR-take land | DNR-former-GIV |

romreg gug ofa]
all to $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
'God promised to Abraham that he would give all those places to him.' (indirect
quote)

```
Osnok erg-em eweswa mar ni ofa ohot [ofa no-ma-i em-en
person NUM:1-CST prove thing for s/he say s/he DNR-far-GIV IRR-do
mar no-ma-i éra].
thing DNR-far-GIV NEG
'Another person gave proof for him that he didn't do that.' (indirect quote) [D]
```

The subject NP of an indirect quote complement clause may be omitted if it is coreferential with the subject of the matrix clause, as in (96), where the subject eri has been omitted in the indirect quote.
(96) Eri i-ostah ohot [i-em-eyta mar no-ma-i éra].
they.PL 3PL-deny say 3PL-IRR-take thing DNR-far-GIV NEG
'They denied that they did it.' (indirect quote)
[D]

Indirect quote complements may also be indirect (embedded) questions. Indirect polarquestions are marked clause-finally with the polar question enclitic -ey ' Q ', such as the indirect question in brackets in (97). Indirect information-question complements contain a question word (§4.4), such as hádefa 'where' in (98). These complements correspond to an 'if/whether' interpretation, indicating conditionality or hypotheticality.
ergog y-esisgajug ohot [ergen mahina erá y-ewer jig
they.DU DU-ask against say 3DUPOS husband THM DU-pass LOC
no-kef=ey?]
DNR-here=Q
'they questioned (them), whether/if their husband had passed here.' (indirect
quote:polar-question)
[T10]
odu ohot [y-efer y-erg-ak no-ma-i erá y-eyja hádefa].
tell say DU-child DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV THM DU-go where
'(it) told that the two kids where they went.' (indirect quote:informationquestion)
[T23]

### 10.2.1.2 Complements marked with rot

The preposition rot 'about' is the probable origin of the complementizer rot, a source which is not uncommon cross-linguistically (Dik 1997b:136, Hopper \& Traugott 1993:181, Noonan 1985:47), as the preposition rot encodes goal for nominal complements.

### 10.2.1.2.1 Cognition and mental event CTPS

The CTPs which are predicates of cognition and mental/emotional events take rotcomplements. They may be simple verbs, such as edif 'guess', ejgen 'know' (99), orusohta 'think' (100) and emesa 'fear' (101).

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { ofa em-ejgen rot }[\text { em-et mars(a) ebah éra }] \\
\text { s/he IRR-know about IRR-eat game, raw } & \text { NEG } \\
\text { 'He didn't know not to eat game raw' } \tag{T9}
\end{array}
$$

(100) Bua bi-orusohta rot [buaha erá osnok noga owoka aksa]. you.SG 2SG-think about 2SGRX THM person REL name high 'You consider yourself [to be] someone whose designation is high (important).'
(101) mif mi-em-emesa rot [mi-esij buwun bi-ogá edak]. we.PL 1PL-IRR-fear about 1PL-talk.about 2SGPOS 2SG-speech NEG.DEON 'we shouldn't be afraid to discuss your words (message).' [TT]

When the subject NP of a rot-complement is co-referent with the subject of the matrix predicate, it may be omitted, as is ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ' in (99) and (102) and mif in (101).
(102) ofa emesa tin rot [ek ofon efer noga ot jig midohes] s/he fear also about see 3SGPOS child REL stand LOC doorway 'she feared also to see her child who stood in the doorway'

A number of CTPs denoting emotional/mental states are emotional-state constructions (§9.3.1). These also may take a rot-complement. They include constructions, such as oduy os 'want' in (103), oduy enin 'suspect' in (104), ogá ojga 'decide' in (105) and oduy efifi '(be) anxious' in (106).

| Dif | di-oduy | os-os | rot | [di-em-osotka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 1SG-front | eri-ej-a |  |  |
| move.horiz.-RED | about | 1SG-IRR-marry |  |  |
| they.PL-female-PGE |  |  |  |  |

[^47](104) bua bi-oduy enin rot [dif erá noga di-esir rot buwun you.SG 2 SG-front measure about I THM REL 1SG-fall about 2 SGPOS
mohena].
wife
'you suspected that I was the one who committed adultery with your wife.'
[D]
(105)

| orna | erg-es esisok ogá | ojga | rot | [em-osotka | ofon |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| man | NUM:1-one EXPN speech | originated about | IRR-marry | 3SGPOS |  |

osorojga néesa],
rib not.yet
'a man alone decides that he will not yet marry his fiancée'
(106) Bua bi-oduy efi-fi rot [bi-ofjig eri esebra].
you.SG 2SG-front liquify-RED about 2SG-help they.PL continuous
'You were anxious to help them all the time.' (front liquify = anxious) [TT]

### 10.2.1.2.2 Modality CTPs

Modality predicates, denoting the attitude or intent of the speaker, may take a rotcomplement. The subject NPs of these complement typically are co-referent with the subject of the CTP, and thus a lexical expression is omitted in the complement. CTPS of modality may be simple verbs, such as eskijig 'agree', eba 'refuse', esenek 'prefer', odow '(be) disinclined', as well as erenin 'try' (107), egen 'promise' (108) and eyskir '(be) disinterested (in)' (109).
(107) eri-es i-er-enin rot [i-ef marog].
they.PL-male 3PL-CAUS-measure about 3PL-shoot arrow 'males try to shoot arrows.'
(108) Dif di-egen rot [di-em-engit ni bua se]. I 1SG-promise about 1SG-IRR-make for you.SG certain 'I promised that I would certainly do (it) for you.'
eri i-eysker rot [i-ofjig erin ebreg] they.PL 3PL-disinterested about 3PL-help 3PLPOS leader 'they are not interested to help their leader'

Complements introduced by rot may also be indirect polar-questions, such as the complement in (110) marked with -ey 'Q', or they may be indirect information-questions containing a question-word, such as ida 'who' in (111).
(110) ofa ejgen rot [orna erg-es odos rot ofa=ey]. $\mathrm{s} /$ he know about man NUM:1-one meet about $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}=\mathrm{Q}$ 'he knew whether/if a man (had) met with her.' (polar-question complement)
(111) Ofa enin y-osnok y-erg-ak no-ma-i ni ejgen rot [ida s/he measure DU-person NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV for know about who noga aksa ekris].
REL tall exceed
'he measured those two people in order to know who was tallest.' (informationquestion complement)

Verbs may have more than one distinct sense, and thus may belong to more than one class (Givón 1984:125). An utterance verb, such as esisga 'ask' in (112), may take a rotcomplement if the complement is a non-speech event, that is, not a quote.

Dif di-esisga bua rot [buwun mekew erá ebah hádefa]. I 1SG-ask you.SG about 2SGPOS father THM live where 'I asked you where your father lived.' (information-question complement) [D]

### 10.2.1.3 Complements marked with rot ohot

The simple verbs which take rot-complements are quite possibly the remnants of emotional-state constructions ( $\S 9.3 .1$ ) in which the nominal constituent has been elided, leaving the verbal constituent to carry the entire semantic notion. The preposition rot, being integral for any complement of the construction whether nominal or clausal, is required as complementizer for these predicates to take an indirect quote complement. Thus, both rot and ohot mark the indirect quote complements of cognition (113), mental event (114) and modality (115) complement-taking predicates.

| Dif di-oduy ejgen rot ohot | [mow no-kef | erá | oyf-omof ni |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | 1SG-front | know about say land | DNR-here | THM | good-RED for |

i-or mod jig].
3PL-build house LOC
'It is my opinion that this place is good to build a house in.' (indirect quote) [D]
(114) I-osnok enia i-orusohta rot ohot [ofa ofon miyefen 3PL-person some.other 3PL-think about say s/he 3SGPOS money
eseter].
much.more
'Some other people thought that he had a lot of money.' (indirect quote)
(115) (ofa) orokecic rot ohot [ofa em-eskijig néesa]. s/he point.out about say s/he IRR-agree not.yet 'he indicated that he didn't yet agree.' (indirect quote)

Like indirect quotes of utterance verbs, indirect quote complements of non-utterance verbs do not allow the omission of subject NPs. The pronoun subjects ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ' in (116) and dif 'I' in (117), are not omitted even though they are co-referent with the subject of the matrix predicate.
(116) Ofa odu ofoj rot ohot [ofa em-en somus se]. s/he tell pre-set about say $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ IRR-come back certain 'He pledged that he would certainly come back.' (indirect quote)
(117) Motah no-kef, dif di-ogow ofoj rot ohot [dif di-eyja jig morning DNR-here I 1SG-chop pre-set about say I 1SG-go LOC
pasar], tinagurá.
market but.no
'This morning, I planned to go to the market, but didn't.' (indirect quote) [D]

### 10.2.2 Paratactic complement constructions

Paratactic complement constructions have no formal subordinator to introduce them and are rather rare across languages (Dik 1997b:135). The East Bird's Head languages, Sougb (Reesink 2002b:253) and Meyah (Gravelle 2004:290), also evidence paratactic complement constructions with some immediate perception and manipulation verbs. The subject NP of the complement clause is highly constrained, as it must be co-referent with the object of the matrix clause and is unexpressed lexically, being coded only as a pronominal prefix on the verb.

Paratactic complement constructions have some structural overlap with core-layer serial verb constructions (cf. Noonan 1985:55). Both constructions have a monoclausal interpretation, as there is no pause following the main verb, and the object argument of the main verb is co-referent with the subject of the minor verb. They may be distinguished by their internal structure, as the structure of a SVC is $\mathrm{SVO}=\mathrm{SV}(\mathrm{O})$, (i.e. a
fused argument structure), whereas the internal structure of a paratactic complement construction is $\mathrm{S} V \mathrm{O} V(\mathrm{O})$, with the subject NP of the complement unexpressed. SVCs express a single event, whereas a paratactic complement adds a separate proposition to the matrix clause. The type of main predicate usually makes clear which construction is occurring, although some verbs, such as oduk 'order' in (118), may occur in both SVC and paratactic complement constructions.
(118) Eri-i-orna i-oduk mif-e mi-ec merej-a, they.PL-3PL-man 3PL-order we-PGE 1PL-become avenger-PGE 'Men ordered us, (we) became avengers.' or
'Men ordered us [so] we became avengers'

### 10.2.2.1 Immediate perception CTPS

CTPs which denote immediate (or direct) perception take paratactic complements. These CTPs are simple verbs, such as $e k$ 'see' (119) and eg 'hear' (120), but also include verbs such as eyeg 'look', eyeg-ejga 'watch' (121), ohobta 'stare at' and ogok 'find' (122). In (119), the subject of the paratactic complement yua is coded only as the prefix $y i-$ ' 2 PL ' on the verb $o k$ 'bear'.
(119) Yef y-ek yua no-mis-i [yi-ok mayna efena]
we.DU DU-see you.PL DNR-far-GIV 2PL-bear loincloth new
'We saw you, (you) wore new loincloths.'
'I saw you wore new loincloths.'
(120) Yahyah eg eri [i-odu mar no-mis-i]

Yahyah hear they.PL 3PL-tell thing DNR-former-GIV
'Yahyah heard them, (they) told that thing.'
'Yahyah heard them tell that thing.'
[D]
(121) Dif di-eyeg-ejga efer no-mis-i [ahada mar noga eyet

I 1SG-look-graze child DNR-former-GIV dismantle thing REL stuck
jug kertas efi]
against paper leaf
'I watched that kid, (he) opened the thing which stuck shut the pages.'
'I watched the kid open the thing which stuck the pages shut.'
Dif di-ogok mitow no-ma-i [orgebi jig mohtef ewet].
I 1SG-find machete DNR-far-GIV sunken LOC mud semi.solid
'I discovered the machete, (it) was sunken in the thick mud.'
'I discovered the machete sunken into the thick mud.'

CTPS which denote immediate perception or mental perception, such as $e k$ 'see' in (123), may take a paratactic indirect polar-question complement marked with -ey 'Q' or an indirect information-question complement, with a question word, such as tinefa 'how' in (124) or the phrasal rot tinefa 'why' in (125).
(123) Dif di-em-ek eri [erin mar noga dif di-oduy
I 1SG-IRR-see they.PL 3PLPOS thing REL I 1 SG-front
os-os rot=ey.]
move.horiz.-RED about=Q
'I will see them, do (they) have the thing that I want?'
'I will see whether/if they have what I want.'

Ofa eyeg-ejga tinefa i-osnok meren [i-ekej erin miyefen jig s/he look-graze how 3PL-person many 3PL-divide 3PLPOS money LOC
miyet no-ma-i].
cloth DNR-far-GIV
'He watched, how did the many people divide their money in the cloth?'
'He watched how many people divided their money in(to) the cloth.' [TT]
(125) Ofa ek jug efer no-ma-i [esirn(a)rot tinefa].
s/he see against child DNR-far-GIV sick about how
'He examined the child, (he) was sick how?'
'He examined why the child was sick.'

A perception verb, such as eg 'hear', may take a finite complement when the complement does not express immediate perception, such as the speech event in (126) introduced by the complementizer ohot.
(126) Eri i-eg ohot [i-osnok enia i-ogos]
they.PL 3PL-hear say 3PL-person some.other 3PL-die
'They heard that some other people died' (indirect quote)

### 10.2.2.2 Manipulative CTPS

Manipulative predicates denote the manipulation of one agent, usually animate, by another (cf. Givón 1990:518). The paratactic complement of the manipulative predicate encodes the desired event which the agent of the matrix clause tries to bring about. CTPs denoting manipulation may be verbs such as oduk 'order' in (127), orukres 'encourage' in (128) or esiwkjig 'allow' in (129).
(127) Ofa oduk ofon buasir=mis-i [i-en i-ot kuk modeg]. $\mathrm{s} /$ he order 3SGPOS companion=former-GIV 3PL-come 3PL-stand along yard 'He ordered those buddies of his, (they) came and hide in ambush.'
'He ordered his buddies to come and hide in ambush.' (stand along yard $=$ hide in ambush)
[T18]
(128) Bua m-orukres i-eferiok no-ma-i [i-ecira jig mowah you.SG IRR-encourage 3PL-sm.child DNR-far-GIV 3PL-walk LOC outside
tas] edak.
again NEG.DEON
'Don't encourage the small children, (they) walk in the forest again.'
'Don't encourage the small children to walk in the forest again.'
[D]
(129) Ofa esiwkjig ofon efer esisok [ecira jig mowah]. s/he allow 3SGPOS child EXPN walk LOC outside
'She allowed her child alone, (he) walks in the forest.'
'She allowed her child alone to walk in the forest.'
[D]
A paratactic complement can be both the argument of one clause and at the same time have a third clause as its object argument, such as the perception verb $e k$ 'see' in (130) is both the matrix and the embedded predicate.
(130) ofa esia rot [ek [mogos em-ogos éra]]
s/he surprised about see snake IRR-die NEG
'he was surprised to see the snake wasn't dead.' (mental state and perception)

### 10.2.3 Scope of negation in complementation constructions

Finite complements may be independently negated, such as the verb ejgen, marked by em- 'IRR' in (131), is negated in the finite complement Dif dimejgen éra.
(131) ofa odu gug ofon ayok ohot, [Difdi-em-ejgen éra.] s /he tell to 3SGPOS mother say I 1SG-IRR-know NEG 'he told his mother, "I don't know."' (direct quote)

When a matrix clause is negated, the negative adverb cannot occur between a matrix predicate and its complement, rather it must occur in sentence or matrix-clause final position. The scope of the negative adverb is unambiguous as it extends only to those verbs marked by em- 'IRR'. In (132), the matrix verb ejgen 'know' which is marked by em-, is negated by the negative adverb éra ' NEG ', which follows the complement clause mona noga ofoj enéysaha etkebra.
(132) yua yi-em-ejgen rot $[$ mona noga ofoj en-éysaha etkebra $]$ éra. you.PL 2PL-IRR-know about day REL pre-set DUR-reach short NEG 'You (all) don't know that the season is arriving shortly.'
[D]
In paratactic complement constructions, negative adverbs may occur only sentencefinally. For example, the negative adverbs edak 'NEG.DEON', negating the matrix verb egedid in (133), and néesa 'not yet', negating the complement verb oku in (134), follow the paratactic complement. The scope of the negation extends to only those verbs marked with em- 'IRR'.
(133) Yua yi-em-er-egedid i-eferiok no-ma-i [i-en skod you.PL 2PL-IRR-CAUS-obstruct 3PL-sm.child DNR-far-GIV 3PL-come to
$d i f] \boldsymbol{e d a k}$.
I NEG.DEON
'Don't hinder the little children, (they) come to me.'
'Don't hinder the little children to come to me.'
(134) Dif di-ek eri [i-em-oku merah néesa].

I 1SG-see they.PL 3PL-IRR-burn fire not.yet
'I saw them, (they) hadn't started a fire yet.'
'I saw they hadn't started a fire yet.'

## Chapter 11 <br> Clause combining

### 11.0 Introduction

Clauses may be combined to form sentences which are composed of functionally equivalent clauses (Dik 1997b:189), that is conjoined constructions, or they may be composed of a main clause and a subordinate clause. As a clause conjoining language, Moskona primarily utilizes overt linking devices to conjoin clauses and signal subordinate clauses. A secondary, more limited strategy utilizes juxtaposition.

The presentation of how clauses may be combined will be organized by first a brief discussion of clauses which are linked without an overt linker (§11.1), followed by a survey of the explicit clause-linking devices used in clause combinations (§11.2). The coding of the semantic relationship and syntactic function of each clause-linking device will be illustrated by examples, forming the bulk of the chapter. Finally, a discussion of the phrasal units functioning as complex conjunctions linking sentences will be presented (§11.5).

### 11.1 Clauses linked by juxtaposition

Clauses may be linked through juxtaposition, the relationship between the clauses being inferred. These conjoined clause constructions may be distinguished from serial verb constructions primarily by a pause preceding each clause, as well as each clause having its own intonation contour. Clauses linked through juxtaposition may have the same subject referent, but each predicate has its own object and may be marked for aspect and mood separately. Juxtaposition is employed on a limited basis with predicates of the same syntactic level, typically indicating a list of events or states which may have a vague simultaneous relationship, as in (1), a listing of events illustrative of "the old days", and in (2) a listing of events demonstrating the "modernity" of the speaker. Noun phrases linked paratactically (§6.4) also have been observed to have a similar relation, that is, noun phrases may also occur juxtaposed when listed. A slight pause following the first clause is indicated in written text by a comma.
(1) eri i-ahac, i-ed mosta, i-em-eyta i-efer, i-ejij
they.PL 3PL-tie.up 3PL-strike victim 3PL-IRR-take 3PL-child 3PL-take.hostage
mek, i-et mofta (efi)
pig 3PL-eat palm liquid
'they tied [enemies] up, struck victims, would kidnap children, confiscate pigs, and drink palm wine'

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { mi-osnok } & \text { mi-en-ah-miy, mi-en-ot jig miyes mi-er tofi.. } \\
\text { 1PL-person } & \text { 1PL-DUR-bathe } & \text { 1PL-DUR-Stand } & \text { LOC clothes } & \text { 1PL-wear hat } \\
\text { 'we people bathe, wear clothes, wear hats..' (stand in clothes }=\text { wear clothes) }
\end{array}
$$

[T14]
Clause combinations linked by juxtaposition also may involve two clauses with the same verb, the second clause expanding meaning by giving additional information. In (3) the verb esed 'grab' is repeated in the second clause and the object argument ofa ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ' is expanded by the addition of modifiers erges 'one' and nomi 'that', signaling the participant is new and thematic. In (4), the verb eyha 'pay' is repeated in the second clause and the information or miy, mek 'with cloth, pigs' is added.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Edá mif mi-esed ofa, mi-esed } & \text { ofa erg-es } & \text { no-ma-i. } \\
\text { then we.PL 1PL-grab s/he 1PL-grab } & \text { s/he NUM:1-one } & \text { DNR-far-GIV } \\
\text { 'Then, we grabbed him, grabbed him' } \tag{T17}
\end{array}
$$

| Edá, ofa em-eyha |
| :--- |
| then |
| s/he IRR-pay |

me.PL-PGE 1 mL-wage mi-ofoms(a), or miy, mek, er-eyh(a) | hold cloth pig CAUS-pay |
| :--- |

Sentences composed of clauses in which there is no overt linking device may have a temporal relationship expressed through a clause-final adverb, which typically occurs in the first clause of the sentence. The clause-final adverb roga 'first', as in examples (5-7), indicates a temporal relation of immediate precedence across the clauses. The adverb modifies the predicate of the first clause, but also points to the clause which follows.
(5) Dif di-owha esha ofa roga, ofa em-et mar. I 1SG-leave from s/he first s/he IRR-eat thing 'After I leave him, he will eat.'
(6) edá (eri) i-ofjig eri-orna i-en maekena roga, erogá i-em-ah. then they 3PL-help they.PL-man 3PL-do garden first hence 3PL-IRR-lie 'then after they help the men work in the gardens, so (then) they would sleep.'
(7) mafif em-ed mod no-ma-i rot eri roga, mod em-esim wind IRR-strike house DNR-far-GIV about they.PL first house IRR-fall.over
rot eri.
about they.PL
'after the wind would strike the house with them, the house would fall over with them (in it).'

The verbal preposition esha 'from' functioning as a temporal verb in a SVC (§9.1.2.7.2) also expresses the concept 'after(wards)' and may be used to relate the two clauses temporally, as in (8) and (9).
(8) Bua bi-ewer osuj miy odog no-ma-i esha, yef-a
you.SG 2SG-pass upon water belly DNR-far-GIV from we.DU-PGE
y-ewer jig no-ma-i.
DU-pass LOC DNR-far-GIV
'After you crossed over the river, we crossed there.'
[T23]
(9) ofa oduk ekok ni ok mergej esha, ofa erá okui mitow
$\mathrm{s} /$ he order father for bear firewood from $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ THM pull machete
efeyu fen ebr(a)-(ef)ej
immature from head-hair
'after he sent (his) father to bring firewood, he pulled out a knife from his hair.'
[T27]

### 11.2 Clauses linked by a linking element

The primary clause-combining strategy in Moskona involves explicit linking elements which have their sources in three categories: prepositions, verbal prepositions and conjunctions. Subordinate clauses are introduced by prepositions and verbal prepositions. Coordinate clauses, or clauses which have equal status, are linked by conjunctions. Table 11.1 summarizes these linking elements and their functional glosses.

Table 11.1 Elements linking clauses

| Prepositions |  | Verbal Prepositions |  | Conjunctions |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jida | 'until' | okuk | 'like', 'as' | dokun | 'and' |
| $n i$ | 'to', for' | oromn jen | 'according to' | erogá | 'so then' |
|  |  |  |  | edá | 'then' |
| jug | 'lest' |  |  | 'ent |  |
| daka | 'lest' | orosunun | 'up to (limit)' | tiná | 'but' |
| sof | 'instead' |  |  |  | eke |
| 'ENUM' |  |  |  |  |  |
| jig | 'at' | esha | 'because' | éra | 'DSJ' |

In SVO languages, the linking element tends to be clause-initial, forming a structural unit with the construction which it precedes, and signaling a transition to that clause (Schachter 1985:47, Reesink 2004:1206). These linking elements are invariably found in a fixed position between the clauses which they link, being incorporated into the intonation contour of the clause which they precede.

Some of the same prepositions which encode peripheral arguments (§7.0) may introduce clauses, which act like nominals, taking the position of a noun phrase. Those clauses which lack a pause preceding the preposition fall under a single intonation contour together with the main clause, as they have a more closely integrated structure. Those clauses which have a pause preceding the preposition fall under a separate intonation contour than the clause which precedes it.

Verbal prepositions are true verbs which may function as the main predicate of a verbal clause, such as the verbal preposition esha '(away) from', extended to mean 'remove' in (10). In a complex predicate (§9.2), verbal prepositions function as minor verbs with preposition-like functions, that is, they encode the semantic role of a peripheral argument, such as esha 'from' introduces isnok 'people' in (11).
(10) Bua bi-esha jida ekir.
you.SG 2SG-from until empty
'Remove (them) until (they) are gone.'
ahada midohes esha i-osnok
dismantle doorway from 3PL-person '(he) dismantled the doorway from the people' (opened the door)

In addition to taking nominal objects, some verbal prepositions may also take clausal objects, which take the same position as nominals. These constructions containing a clausal object differ from SVCs, in that SVCs have no markers of coordination or subordination, whereas verbal prepositions function as the subordinating element in the construction. Serial verb constructions share arguments, but those clauses governed by
verbal prepositions do not share arguments with the main clause, nor do they necessarily share aspect and mode. SVCs have a monoclausal interpretation by virtue of a lack of pauses between predicates, but clauses introduced by a verbal preposition may be preceded by a pause, and occur under a separate intonation contour.
The following discussion is structured to present the linking elements oriented around a similar function.

### 11.2.1 Temporal clauses

Temporal clauses add information to the main clause regarding the time of the main clause's event. They may indicate a termination relation, a simultaneous relation or one of temporal overlap.

## Termination temporal clauses

The non-spatial preposition jida 'until', which introduces temporal peripheral arguments, such as mesta erges in (12), may also introduce clauses. Those clauses introduced by jida point to the final boundary of the event in the main clause, that is, signaling its termination, such as ernof in (13), bijgen tum in (14), and oysamos in (15). The subject of the temporal clauses in (13-15) is co-referential with the subject of the main clause, but temporal clauses may also have a subject which is not co-referential with an entity referred to in the main clause, such as ofon efer orokec tum in (16).
(12) i-osok i-oyka jig mod no-ma-i jida mesta erg-es 3PL-climb.up 3PL-dance LOC house DNR-far-GIV until moon NUM:1-one (they) went up and danced in that house for a month

| Eferiok | erg-es | osumiok | jida | ernof. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sm.child | NUM:1-one | whirl | until | dizzy |

sm.child NUM:1-one whirl until dizzy
'A small child whirled around until (he) was dizzy.'
[D]
(14) Bua bi-osot mar efeyu no-ma-i jida bi-ejgen tum. you.SG 2SG-read thing patterned DNR-far-GIV until 2SG-know onto 'Read the writing until you learn it.'
(15) Ergog y-et morgik ec m-ejg(a)-im(a) jida oysa-mos.
they.DU DU-eat matoa press.on RECIP-graze until finish-RED 'They ate the matoa one after another until (they) were all gone.' [D]
(16) Ergog y-ekerjig memeg(a) no-ma-i jida ofon efer orokec tum. they.DU DU-sit LOC mountain DNR-far-GIV until 3SGPOS child large onto 'they (two) stayed on the mountain until her child was fully grown.' [T27]

The verbal preposition orosunun, a fused form most likely composed of the verbs os 'move horizontally (over)' and enin 'measure', may be glossed '(up) to limit' or 'as far as'. It functions prepositionally to code temporal peripheral arguments, as in (17), or locative peripheral arguments, as in (18), and may also link clauses. (Only temporal clauses are attested; no locative clauses have been observed.) The clause dugos 'I died' in (19), introduced by the verbal preposition orosunun '(up to) limit', points toward the termination of the event of the main clause.
(18) Ofa ef mereda er-osunun ofof noga amok(a) ofon. s /he in.turns brush CAUS-limit borderREL friend 3SGPOS 'She cut brush up to the boundary which was her friend's.'
we.PL 1PL-LOAN-study CAUS-limit day five only
'We studied only up to Friday'

> Dif di-oduy ok-ok eseter $\quad$ er-osunun I di-ogos. 'I was so nervous I almost died!' (lit. up to I died) (front flee = nervous)

## Simultaneous clauses

There are two types of temporal clauses which differ from other adverbial-type clauses introduced by prepositions, in that they are structurally parallel to relative clauses, being composed of a noun or nominal-like head governing a restricting clause preceded by the relativizer noga. The structural parallelism between simultaneous temporal clauses and relative clauses is a common feature of Papuan languages (Foley 1986:202).
The generic spatial preposition jig 'LOC' may introduce temporal clauses headed by the generic noun mona 'day', followed by the relativizer noga, which introduces the restricting clause. These clauses express a simultaneous temporal relation. Post-posed simultaneous clauses fall under the same intonation contour as the main clause, and lack a pause preceding the preposition jig, as in (20).

```
Ofa owos osuy rot jig mona noga ofa eg ohot ofon
s/he skin shudder about LOC day REL s/he hearsay 3SGPOS
m-ok-era erá ogos jog.
NR- sib.s.S.-old. THM die already
'He was shocked when he heard that his older brother had already died.' [D]
```

Pre-posed clauses are separated by a pause (indicated by a comma) and have a separate intonation contour, such as mona noga mas es oysa jog in (21). The main clause is linked by the conjunction edá 'then'.
(21) Jig mona noga mas es oysa jog, edá ofa ek maw egak LOC day REL rain spray finished already then s /he see sun leg
ed meren odog.
strike lake belly
'At the time the rain stopped, then he saw the sun's rays strike the lake's surface.'

## Temporal overlap clauses

Clauses expressing temporal overlap are structurally parallel to relative clauses, in that they are headed by the adverbial kus 'short span (of time)' and a restricting clause preceded by the relativizer noga. The adverbial kus occurs in a temporal phrase, such as kus mot '(at) night' in (22), giving temporal information for the main clause. Post-posed temporal clauses headed by kus, as in (23) and (24), lack a pause preceding the head and occur under the same intonation contour as the main clause.
(22) Kus mot erá, mesta ofon efena enin-ma short.span night THM moon 3SGPOS spirit light.up-far '[At] night, the moon's light illuminates.'
(23) Ofa ec miyes kus noga dif di-éysaha jig Jayapura. s/he buy clothes short.span REL I 1SG-reach LOC Jayapura 'He bought the clothes [at] the time I arrived in Jayapura.'
(24) Dif di-ek motur ognunui ot jig mebaga kus noga mesta I 1SG-see star many stand LOC sky short.span REL moon em-edma éra.
IRR-be.visible NEG
'I [can] see lots of stars in the sky [during] the time the moon isn't out.' [D]
Pre-posed temporal overlap clauses give background or setting material, such as the temporal clauses in (25) and (26), and are usually followed by a pause, separating them from the main clause.
(25) Edá, eri tin, kus noga i-odog, eri i-em-en maekena then they.PL also short.span REL 3PL-pregnant they.PL 3PL-IRR-do garden
etew éra,
much.more NEG
'Then, they also, [during] the time that they were pregnant, they didn't work in the garden much'

| Kus | noga | eri | i-ok efer, edá | eri | i-ah | jig |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| short.span | REL | they.PL | 3PL-bear child | then | they.PL | 3PL-lie | LOC |

mod efeyu..
house immature
'[At] the time that they bear a child, then they sleep in a small house...' [T21]
Temporal overlap clauses may be linked to the main clause by the temporal conjunction edá 'then', as in (27) and (28).
(27) Kus noga ofa ek mogos, edá ofa emesa, erogá esisif somus. short.span REL s /he see snake then $\mathrm{s} /$ he fear hence go.back back '[At] the time that he saw the snake, then he was afraid, so (he) backed up.' [T29]

Kus noga efer no-ma-i orna jog, edá ofon mosu... short.span REL child DNR-far-GIV man already then 3SGPOS mother '[At] the time that the child was already a man, then his mother....' [T27]

### 11.2.2 Location clauses

Location clauses, like simultaneous clauses, are structurally parallel to relative clauses, as they are composed of the generic noun mow 'land', which has the extended meaning 'place', governing a restricting clause preceded by the relativizer noga. The generic spatial preposition jig 'LOC' which governs location phrases, such as mow erges in (29), may also introduce a location clause, which takes the same position as a noun phrase. Post-posed location clauses, such as mow noga eri igen mogum 'place that they promised each other' in (30), or mow noga efer en-ebah 'place that the kid was' in (31), lack a pause preceding the preposition and occur under the same intonation contour as the main clause.

| eri | i-er-em-ec-ima | jig | mow | erg-es |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL | 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-press,on | LOC | land | NUM:1-one |

'they assembled in one place.'

| eri | i-er-em-ec-im(a) | jig | mow | noga | eri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL | 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-press.on | LOC | land | REL | they.PL |

## i-egen mogum

3PL-promise each.other
'they assembled at the place that they had promised each other' (cause to press on e.o. $=$ assemble)
[T19]

```
mockej ofoga dokun mamom etew jig mow noga
raincloud cloud and overcast.sky much.more LOC land REL
efer en-ebah
child DUR-live
'The clouds and overcast skies were a lot at the place where the kid was' [T27]
```

Location clauses may be pre-posed, but are typically followed by a pause, as in (32).
(32) Jig mow noga ekok ogok ofon efer erá, kus no-kef, LOC land REL father find 3SGPOS child THM short.span DNR-here en-ah no-ma-i ros. DUR-lie DNR-far-GIV still 'At the place where the father found his child, nowadays, (it) is still there.' [T27]

### 11.2.3 Purpose clauses

The preposition $n i$ 'for' which codes purpose/reason peripheral arguments, such as miy efeyu in (33), also links clauses signaling purpose. Clauses introduced by ni signal the purpose of the agent in the matrix clause or provide a motivation for an event not realized. Typically matrix clauses are active, not stative, as choice or control by the agent of the matrix clause is a required feature (cf. Givón 2001:357).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Eri i-ah mow ni miy efeyu. }  \tag{33}\\
& \text { they.PL 3PL-hack land for water immature } \\
& \text { 'They dug out ground for a pond.' } \tag{T29}
\end{align*}
$$

Clauses which have no pause preceding the preposition tend to have subject/agents which are co-referential with the subject/agent of the main clause, such as the dual subject of the second clause, signaled by the dual prefix $y$ - in (34), the first person plural subject in the second clause indicated by the first person plural prefix $m i$ - in (35), or the dual subject in the second clause, indicated by $y$ - in (36). The preposition $n i$ may be glossed 'to' in these clauses.

Ergog y-eyja ni y-ob mok.
they.DU DU-go for DU-pluck pandanus
'they went to pick pandanus.'

Mif mifin mi-ofuy erá en jug mif ni mi-est(a) mok. we.PL 1PLPOS 1PL-tradition THM do againstwe.PL for 1PL-suck pandanus 'We our traditions forbid us to suck (eat) pandanus.'
ergog noga y-ej y-ahaw ni y-orka miy
they.DU REL DU-female DU-descend for DU-carry water 'they (two) who were females went down to carry water.'

Purpose clauses which have a different agent than the agent in the main clause tend to be preceded by a pause, such as the subject miy 'water' in (37) or the implicit subject bua 'you.SG' in (38).
(37) Ofa ah god ewes, ni miy ofof jig. $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hack ditch cavity for water run LOC 'He dug out a ditch for water to run in.'

Dif di-oksug bua tas, ni bi-ogos rud-a.
I 1SG-finish.off you.SG again for 2SG-die ultimately-PGE 'I (will) eventually give you the final blow, so that you ultimately die.' [T18]

Indirect causation is expressed when a causative verb, such as engit 'make' in (39), is the main verb preceding a purpose clause.

Ofa engit dif, ni di-okockoda.
s /he make I for 1 SG -stumble
'He made me to trip.'
[D]
When $n i$ 'for' links a clause following a CTP (i.e. a predicate which may take a clausal complement), such as egen ofoj 'pledge' in (40) or eskijig 'agree' in (41), the subordinate clause expresses the intent of the agent, but does not function as the object of the predicate, as does the complement clause introduced by the complementizers rot and ohot in (42).
(40) Ofa egen ofoj ni en somus jig ari erg-ak $\mathrm{s} /$ he promise pre-set for come back LOC week NUM:1-two 'She pledged to come back in two weeks.'
(41) Eri i-eskijig ni i-en keradi.
they.PL 3PL-agree for 3PL-do work
'They agreed to work.'
[D]
(42) Ofa egen ofoj rot ohot $\left[\begin{array}{ll}o f a & \text { em-en jig=kef]. }\end{array}\right.$ s /he promise pre-set about say $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ IRR-come LOC=here 'He pledged that he would come here.' (indirect quote)

Adhortative constructions, involving urging or encouraging, employ purpose clauses to express their main action. The verb ohan '?', has an unclear meaning and origin, but is possibly a fusion of the verb sequence oh en (emit do) into a single phonological form,
meaning something like 'allow' or 'join'. (The initial vowel of en has been lowered to $/ \mathrm{a} /$. .) The subject of the main clause is always a second person pronoun: bua 'you.SG' (43) and (45), yoga 'you.DU or yua 'you.PL'(44), whereas the subject of the purpose clause is always a first person pronoun: mif 'we.PL'(44), yef 'we.DU'(43) and (45), or as in (46) indicated by the dual pronominal prefix $y$-.
(43) Bua bi-ohan ni yef y-en mar.
you.SG 2SG-? for we.DU DU-do thing
'Let's do it.' (lit. for we do the thing)
(44) Yua yi-ohan ni mif mi-eyja jig pasar. you.PL 2PL-? for 1PL 1PL-go LOC market 'Let's go to the market.'
(45) Bua bi-ohan ni yef y-ah mar tas roga], esha maw you.SG 2SG-? for we.DUDU-hack thing again first, from sun
efi-ah esir jog.
sharp-INTN fall already
'Let's us hoe again, because the sun's heat has already diminished.'
[D]
Bua bi-ohan ni y-osok y-of mekekew ni y-er you.SG 2SG-? for DU-climb.up DU-fell lg.bamboo for DU-shave mesigebra.
bow
'Let's go up [and] fell large bamboo to shave (make) bows.'
[D]

### 11.2.4 Negative purpose clauses

The adversarial preposition jug 'against', which introduces adversarial goals (§4.3.6), may also introduce a clause coding negative purpose, as in (47) and (48). Clauses which signal negative purpose may not be negated, as their expression of intent is inherently negative.

Bua bi-et mar jug bi-osorn(a) efef.
you.SG 2SG-eat thing against 2SG-hunger ache
'Eat something lest (you) hunger [so] it aches (i.e. be really hungry).'
[D]

Efer no-ma-i et ariawun jug esirn(a).
child DNR-far-GIV eat medicinal.treatmt against sick
'The kid took the medicine lest (he) be sick.'

When jug introduces clauses with a subject/agent different than the matrix clause, it is preceded by a pause, as in (49).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
\text { Bua } & \text { bi-et } & \text { ariawun } & \text { no-ma-i, } & \text { jug } & \text { m-efer } & \text { em-en }  \tag{49}\\
\text { you.SG } & \text { 2SG-eat } & \text { medicinal.treatmt DNR-far-GIV against } & \text { NR-sore } & \text { IRR-do }
\end{array}
$$

bua.
you.SG
'Take the medicine, lest the wound would affect you.'
The adversarial preposition jug 'against' as a verbal adjunct (§4.1.4) is a constituent of an adjunct construction, an idiomatic unit. Adjunct constructions may take a clausal complement, that is, an embedded clause, whereas the preposition jug introduces unembedded clauses, that is, adverbial clauses.

The adversarial preposition daka 'avert', which encodes negative benefactive goals, as in (50), is used infrequently to signal a negative purpose clause, as in (51). Like the adversarial preposition jug 'against', there is no pause preceding it.
(50) Eri no-ma-i i-et mar daka dif.
they.PL DNR-far-GIV 3PL-eat thing avert I
'Those ones ate it away from me.'
(51) Eri i-et mar daka i-osorn(a) efef.
they.PL 3PL-eat thing avert 3PL-hunger ache
'They ate lest (they) hunger [so] it aches.'
[T3]

### 11.2.5 Replacive clauses

The non-spatial preposition sof 'instead (of)', which encodes replacive goals, such as dif ' $I$ ' in (52), also introduces clauses, indicating replacive events, as in (53).
(52) Ofa orka taksi=kef sof dif.
s /he carry taxi=here instead I
'He is driving the taxi instead of me.'

| Ofa erg-em eser, sof en emka, oduk buas(a)-ir i-en |
| :--- |
| s/he NUM:1-CST pass instead do poison order companion-PL | 3PL-do

i-ot (kuk) mowah
3PL-stand along outside
'One of them passed (transgressed), instead of poisoning (the other), (he) sent
his companions to stand outside (ambush him)'

### 11.2.6 Manner clauses

The non-spatial verbal preposition okuk '(be) like' which encodes manner (peripheral) arguments, such as mahterew in (54), also introduces manner clauses, having a simulative function, that is, they indicate the manner in which the event of the preceding clause takes place, such as the manner clause ofa ef mar in (55) and the clause bua buwun in (56) in which the possessive pronoun buwun ' 2 SGPOS' has a predicative function.
(54) Ofa eseki medefa owok okuk mahterew s/he fix sago outgrowth like lattice 'he fixed sago branches like a lattice'
(55) oruskej mesigebr(a) dokun marog okuk ofa ef mar. grasp bow and arrow like $s / h e$ shoot thing '(he) grasp his bow and arrows like he was shooting.'

| Bua   <br> you.SG bi-oruskej 2SG-grasp$\quad$mar <br> thing | no-ma-i | DNR-far-GIV press.on | m-ejg(a)-im(a) | okuk |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RECIP-graze |  |  |  |  |$\quad$ like

The verbal phrase oromna jena 'according to', composed of the verbal preposition oromna plus the verb-phrase adverb jen(a) 'precisely', codes manner arguments, such as mar efeyu ofuy in (57), and may also link manner clauses, such as buaha buduy ejgen 'your own self desires' in (58) and raja Firawn ofon oduy ejgen 'king Firawn desires' in (59).
(57) Eri i-or mod, oromn jen(a) mar efeyu ofuy noga they.PL 3PL-build house follow precise thing’patterned example REL
eri i-ek
they.PL 3PL-see
'They built the house, according to the design example which they (had) seen.'
(58) Bua bi-esah mar no-ma-i, oromn jen(a) buaha bi-oduy you.SG 2SG-put thing DNR-far-GIV follow precise 2SGRX 2SG-front
ejgen.
know
'Put the stuff wherever you desire.' (front know = desire)
(59) Ofa en mar, oromn jen(a) raja ${ }^{58}$ Firawn ofon oduy ejgen. $\mathrm{s} /$ he do thing follow exact king Firawn 3SGPOS front know 'He did things however king Firawn wanted.'

### 11.2.7 Causation clauses

The verbal preposition esha '(be) from', which codes source, (the entity moved away from), such as moroj in (60), also introduces clauses expressing causation. As the cause for the event of the matrix clause, an external or physical factor impels the agent, such as difenen '(I'm) hot' in (61) or osorna efef '(he) hungers [so] (he) aches' in (62). Causation clauses typically express an antecedent event which the agent of the first clause does not control, such as efif eseter 'very chilled' in (63). Causation clauses signaled by esha have the same subject/agent as the main clause, and have no pause preceding the verbal preposition.
(60) ofa ogow merga owok esha moroj s/he chop wood outgrowth from path
'he chopped the tree branches away from the path'
Dif di-oduy efena esha di-efenen.
I 1SG-front emaniate from
1SG-hot
'I pant because I'm hot.'

Ofa ecira ednorur esha osorna efef. $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ walk enervated from hunger ache
'He walks weakly because he hungers [so] he aches (he's starving).'
Eferiok no-ma-i ah oydu(y)(e)d-i-ef esha efif eseter. sm.child DNR-far-GIV lie front-join-?-near from chilled much.more 'The small child is curled up because he is very chilled.'

### 11.2.8 Reason clauses

The verbal preposition esha '(be) from' also signals the relation reason, indicating rationale, such as ofon ebir efef 'his head ached' in (64), and mar nomi ah gug yergaha 'the thing belongs to them' in (65), or explanation by the speaker for the event of the main clause, such as ofa oh efena ros in (66). When esha indicates reason, it is preceded by a pause and occurs under a separate intonation contour.
(64) Ofa et ariawun, esha ofon ebir efef.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ eat medicinal.treatmt from 3SGPOS head ache
'He took medicine, because his head ached.'

[^48](65) Bua bi-em-eyta mar no-ma-i edak, esha mar you.SG 2SG-IRR-take thing DNR-far-GIV NEG.DEON from thing
no-ma-i ah gug y-erg-aha.
DNR-far-GIV lie to DU-3DU-RFL
'You shouldn't take that, because that belongs to them.'
[D]
(66) Ofa em-ogos néesa, esha ofa oh efena ros.
$\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ IRR-die not.yet from $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ emit spirit still 'He is not dead yet, because he still breathes.' (emit spirit = breathe)

Reason clauses tend to express the motivation for events which have been realized (Thompson and Longacre 1985:185). To express an unrealized motivation, the verb must be marked for irrealis marked with em-, such as et 'eat' in (67), ef 'shoot' in (68) and es 'spray' in (69).

| Bua bi-esah | mars ofog jig mef | i-da=eyj(a), | esha | mes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-put |  |  |  |
| game meat |  |  |  |  | LOC rack | VIS-up=THITHER |
| :--- |
| from | dog

(68) Bi-osofs(a) efer no-ma-i, esha ofa em-ef dif eke 2SG-hold.on child DNR-far-GIV from s/he IRR-shoot I almost 'Hold on to the kid, because he is ready to shoot me.'

```
Bua bi-of merga no-ma-i deci-ci, esha owot
you.SG 2SG-fell wood DNR-far-GIV slow-RED from secretion
em-er-es buwun miyes.
IRR-CAUS-spray 2SGPOS clothes
'Fell that tree slowly, because its sap would spray your clothes.' [D]
```

Reason clauses when pre-posed are highly marked, giving them pragmatic prominence. Pre-posed reason clauses are typically followed by a pause, whether conjoined by a conjunction, such as edá 'then' in (70), or juxtaposed, as in (71).
(70) Esha efer no-ma-i emesames, edá ofa ok dokun tas from child DNR-far-GIV fear dog then $\mathrm{s} /$ he flee additional again esebra.
continuous
'Because the child was afraid of the dog, then he fled increasingly.' [T27]
Esha miyefen ofod em-edegejg(a) éra, mif mi-em-ec gamgam
from money surplus IRR-match.up NEGwe.PL 1PL-IRR-buy sweets
decirma éra.
definite NEG
'Because there isn't enough money left over, we definitely aren't buying candy.'

Not all clauses signaling reason are related to the event of the main clause. Some are related to the fact that an act of communication is taking place. Thompson \& Longacre (1985:203) call this type of clause a "speech act clause", as it does not modify the main clause, but rather modifies or qualifies the speech act performed in the utterance of the main clause. In (72) and (73) the reason clause introduced by esha explains the speaker's cognizance of the event or state in the main clause, rather than indicating the reason for the event.

| I-osnok | i-oku | merah | ebi=kef | ros, esha | dif |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| di-esah |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3PL-person | 3PL-burn | fire | recent=here | still from | I | 1SG-put

di-etma jig mofur, tiná ofufom ros.
1SG-arm LOC ashes but hot still
'A fire still burned quite recently, [I know] because I put my hand in the ashes, but they were still warm.'

| Osnok erg-es no-ma-i, ofa ahawah, esha ofa em-en maeken(a) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| person | NUM:1-one DNR-far-GIV | s/he lazy | from | s/he IRR-do garden | person NUM:1-one DNR-far-GIV s/he lazy from s/he IRR-do garden

kocka éra.
well NEG
'That person, he is lazy, [I know] because he doesn't work well in the garden.'
[D]

### 11.3 Conjoined clauses

The conjunction dokun 'and', the aspectual adverb eke '(be) almost' and the disjunctive conjunction éra 'DSJ' conjoin noun phrases; all other conjunctions link clauses only. Clauses linked by conjunctions typically have a pause preceding the conjunction with the conjunction occurring under the intonation contour of the clause it precedes.

### 11.3.1 Conjunction

The conjunction dokun 'and' and the adverb dokun 'additional' share a probable common origin, the transitive verb odokun 'accompany'. The conjunction dokun, suggesting a closed list, primarily conjoins noun phrases of equal status, such as es-efer nomi and
ej-efer nomi in (74), but may also link clauses of equal status. The order of the clauses is not iconic and therefore may be reversed with little effect on meaning, such as the conjoined clauses in (75), and in (76), which have different subjects.
(74) Es-efer no-ma-i dokun ej-efer no-ma-i, ergog.. male-child DNR-far-GIV and female-child DNR-far-GIV they.DU 'The young man and young woman, they...'

| Mofun vine | efca <br> hub | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { erá } & a \\ \text { THM } & \text { li } \end{array}$ | $\text { ah } j$ $\text { lie } L$ | jig memeg(a) oduma LOC mountain hill | dokun <br> and | efega ahaw body descend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| jig | god | (ew)es. |  |  |  |  |
| LOC | ditch | cavity |  |  |  |  |

[T29]


The conjunction dokun also conjoins clauses which have the same subject, such as eri 'they', expressed lexically in the first clause, but as the pronominal prefix $i$ - '3PL' in the second clause in (77), or the subject ergog 'they.DU' expressed lexically in the first clause, but as the pronominal prefix $y$ - 'DU' in the second clause in (78).

| eri | i-em-en maekena <br> they.PL <br> 3PL-IRR-do garden éra dokun | etew érak <br> much NEG and <br> 3PL-IRR-bear | mar <br> thing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| okum éra. |  |  |  |
| heavy NEG |  |  |  |
| 'they didn't work in the garden a lot and didn't carry heavy things.' |  |  |  |

ergog y-og merga dokun y-os mofun
they.DU DU-bend wood and DU-sever vine
'they bent sticks [to break them off] and severed vines.'

### 11.3.2 Temporal succession

The conjunction edá 'then', which indicates a relationship of temporal succession, is used primarily to link successive events. Its origin is quite possibly the verb ed 'join'. The ordering of clauses conjoined with edá is iconic, such that the ordering may not be reversed, as in (79) and (80).
(79) Marefen er eyj(a) ter(aw) merga ofoj no-ma-i, edá mif grass grow go.to above wood blunted DNR-far-GIV then we.PL
mi-em-ek éra.
1PL-IRR-see NEG
'Grass grows over the tree stump, then we don't see it.'
ofa of merga no-ma-i edá esim
s/he fell wood DNR-far-GIV then fall.over
'he felled the tree, then it fell over.'

Multiple clauses conjoined by edá may occur, although the subject referent must be inferred from context. For example, the second clause esah jig mer owoksa 'put it in the side rooms' in (81) has a subject co-referential with the subject of the first clause, but the subject of the third clause edegejga romreg is co-referential with ofoga 'flesh', the object of the first clause.
(81) ofa egeja, dokun ogow ofoga toktog(a), edá esah jig mer s/he slit.open and chop flesh respect.place then put LOC room
owoksa noga ofa eseki no-mis-i, edá edegejga romreg.
subunit REL s/he fix DNR-former-GIV then match.up entire 'he slit (her) open, and chopped up her flesh individually, then placed (it) in the side rooms which he had prepared, then (the flesh) matched up (the rooms) entirely.'

### 11.3.2.1 Conditional clauses

Conditional clauses are inferred as they are not signaled by any type of subordinating morpheme or syntactic marker of conditionality, that is, the protasis is unmarked. The apodosis, which requires an explicit conjunction, is invariably marked by the conjunction edá 'then' as a resumptive clause linker, as in (82) and (83). The marking of apodosis only is possibly the function of a strategy which favors marking the end-point or
conclusion (cf. Traugott 1985:300). The realis/irrealis status of the protasis depends upon whether the apodosis is fulfilled, thus irrealis is weak, not distinguishing between a temporal (when) or conditional (if) interpretation. The conditional interpretation is determined pragmatically, being derived solely from context. Thus, the discussion of these inferred conditionals is subsumed under the heading of the conjunction edá.
(82) dif dadin ayok eyta miyefen gug dif, edá di-owha jig kota. I 1SGPOS mother take money to I then 1SG-leave LOC town 'I (if/when) my mother gives money to me, then I (will) leave for town.'
[D]
(83) I-osnok romreg i-etit erin i-ecirf, edá erin i-efega 3PL-person all 3PL-trim 3PLPOS 3PL-fingernail then 3PLPOS 3PL-body
em-oyf-omof se.
IRR-good-RED certain
'(If/when) people trim their fingernails, then their bodies will certainly be healthy.'
[D]

The illocutionary force of a conditional clause may be different than the main clause which follows, such as a conditional clause followed by an imperative, as in (84).
(84) bua bi-eteyj(a) esi-sim, edá bi-ah jig mer no-ma-i. you.SG 2 SG-eye fall.over-RED then 2 SG-lie LOC room DNR-far-GIV '(If/when) you are sleepy, then lie down in that room.'

Negation of either protasis, as in (85), or apodosis, as in (86), is possible, as both are independent clauses.
(85) Bua bi-et mom uska no-ma-i, edá bua you.SG 2SG-eat cassava single.rooted DNR-far-GIV then you.SG
bi-em-odu owok kus no-ma-i edak.
2SG-IRR-tell forbidden short.span DNR-far-GIV NEG.DEON
'(If/when) you eat single-root cassava, then you shouldn't say a forbidden
(word) [at] that time'

```
Mif mi-em-en jug ergog éra, edá i-osnok romreg
we.PL 1PL-IRR-do against they.DU NEG then 3PL-person all
```

i-em-ejgen rot..
3PL-IRR-know about
'(if) we don't forbid them, then everyone will know that ...'
There are several instances of the verbal preposition okuk '(be) like' marking the protasis. This usage calques on the (Indonesian) Malay word kalau 'if', which introduces conditional clauses, as in (87) and (88).

> Okuk eri-orna i-eyja ni i-em-ef-ima, eri-(e)jena like they.PL-man 3PL-go for 3PL-RECIP-shoot $\begin{aligned} & \text { erá } \\ & \text { they.PL-woman }\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { THM }\end{aligned}$
(88) Okuk miy ofufom ociga osnok ofon etimeda, edá efer efef. like water hot strike person 3SGPOS appendage then soreache 'If hot water strikes the person's appendage, the sore (will) ache.' [D]

### 11.3.3 Result

The conjunction erogá [ $\varepsilon$ rogá] 'hence' or 'so' is a reduced form of the original sequence esha roga [ésxa róga] (from first), with a few speakers still using the full expression as a conjunction. It primarily signals a consequential or result relationship, but retains a component of sequentiality, such that the order of the clauses is iconic. The event of clauses introduced by erogá may be realized, as in (89) and (90), or unrealized, as in (91) and (92). The main clause provides the state of affairs or event which brings about the effect introduced by erogá.

Ofa ef mek no-ma-i rogrog, erogá mek no-ma-i em-of
$\mathrm{s} /$ he shoot pig DNR-far-GIV immediate. hence pig DNR-far-GIV IRR-bite
ofa éra.
s/he NEG
'He shot the pig immediately, so the pig didn't bite him.'
(90) Ejena no-ma-i ebsita, erogá em-ok efer éra. woman DNR-far-GIV infertile hence IRR-bear child NEG 'The woman is infertile, so (she) doesn't have a child.'
[D]

| ergog | $y$-ot | jug | mosgano-ma-i, | erogá | méesa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.DU DU-stand | against | bridge DNR-far-GIV | hence | enemy |  |

i-em-eyet osuj eri (ér)a
3PL-IRR-follow upon they.PL NEG
'they guarded the bridge, so the enemy wouldn't follow them.'
[T7]
ofa okca meni noga oyna jig merah titir, erogá merah
em-et.
IRR-eat
'She constantly turned over the bananas that she cooked over the fire, so the fire would burn them (evenly).'
[D]

### 11.3.4 Contrast

The contrastive conjunction tiná 'but' signals antithetical or contrastive relations, as in (93) or (94).

Morojerg-es em-ah éra, tiná ofa ecira etk(a)-em(a) dudu. path NUM:1-one IRR-lie NEG but s/he walk divide-far incrementally 'There wasn't a trail, but he walked [and] cut through by increments.' [T29]
(94) Orna no-ma-i em-ok efer-ej éra, tiná ok efer-es esis. man DNR-far-GIV IRR-bear child-female NEG but bear child-male exclude 'The man doesn't have daughters, but has sons only.'
[D]

A second type of contrastive relation signaled by tiná 'but' is that of unexpected outcome, such as mohega ebsa rot Yom in (95) and mofun eferu ebga fen mesigebra in (96).
(95) Edá, Yom ok osok tum mohega, tiná mohega ebs(a) rot Yom. then Yom fled climb onto k.o.tree but k.o.tree break.off about Yom 'Then, Yom fled [and] climbed onto the mohega tree, but the mohega tree broke off with Yom (in it).'
(96) Ofa ef mek, tiná mofun eferu ebga fen mesigebra. s/he shoot pig but vine segment torn from bow
'He shot (at) the pig, but the bow string was torn from the bow.'

Although unusual, two clauses introduced by tiná may occur in succession, such as in (97), both clauses expressing an unexpected outcome.

> Ergog y-eker, tiná y-ek, tiná ergen méesa i-éysaha. they.DU DU-sit but DU-see but 3DUPOS enemy 3PL-reach 'They waited, but saw, but their enemy arrived.'
[T10]
The final clause linked by the contrastive conjunction tiná 'but' may be reduced when negated, a "gap" indicating the elided predicate(s), as in (98) negated by éra and (99) negated by néesa.

| Ofa oduy s/he front | os-os <br> move.horiz.-RED | rot ecira éra about walk DSJ | osiom <br> play | jera <br> with | ofon <br> 3SGPOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| uas(a)-ir, | tiná éra. |  |  |  |  |
| companion-PL | but NEG |  |  |  |  |
| He wanted to | alk (travel about) | play with his come | anion | ut d | 't.' [D] |

(99) I-osnok romreg i-osra jig mod no-ma-i jog, tiná ah jig 3PL-person all 3PL-enter LOC house DNR-far-GIV already but lie LOC
erg-ak erá néesa.
NUM:1-two THM not.yet
'Everyone has entered the house, but [there] are two [who have] not yet.' [D]

### 11.3.5 Enumeration

The aspectual verb eke '(be) almost' or 'nearly', glossed as 'ENUM' when functioning as an enumerator, primarily conjoins noun phrases, as in (100), but may also link clauses. As a combinatory-type coordinator, it permits two or more units to be conjoined. The number of predicates enumerated may be as few as two or as many as memory can hold. The enumerator eke may be distinguished from other types of conjunctions, in that it follows each unit which it conjoins, as in (101) and (102), and is part of the intonation contour of the clause which it follows, with a pause following the enumerator (indicated by a comma).
(100) Mar okuk medeg efi eke, mecif efi eke, mokfa efi eke, mar thing like bamboo leaf ENUM nettle leaf ENUM k.o.tree leaf ENUM thing
no-ma-i romreg en-ot no-ma-i ros.
DNR-far-GIV all DUR-stand DNR-far-GIV still
'Things like bamboo leaves, nettle leaves, mokfa leaves, all those things are still there.'
[T25]
(101) Edá ergog y-ok mergej tin eke, y-ohsud(a) mar-mosorn(a) then they.DU DU-bear firewood also ENUM DU-search.for thing-hunger
noga edeses tin eke.

REL many also ENUM
'Then they (two) brought firewood also, searched for much food also' [T23]


### 11.3.6 Disjunction

The negative adverb éra 'NEG' may function as a conjunction which signals disjunction, quite possibly with an original meaning something like: '(if) not (then)'. It most frequently conjoins noun phrases, but may also link clauses. When it functions as a disjunctive conjunction, glossed 'DSJ', its distribution is similar to that of other conjunctions, that is, it occurs immediately preceding the second clause, and is under the same intonation contour as the clause it precedes, as in (103) and (104). In conjoined clauses which have the same subject, the subject of the second clause may not be expressed lexically, as in (105). The conjunction éra is repeated preceding each conjoined clause, as in (106). The use of a negative adverb as alternative or disjunctive coordinator is not uncommon cross-linguistically. For example, Maybrat, a language of the central Bird's Head, also utilizes a negator to indicate disjunction (Dol 1999:261).
(103) Bua bi-eyta mar no-ma-i jug dif ejog gijga, éra dif you.SG 2SG-take thing DNR-far-GIV against I next only DSJ I
di-omta mar no-ma-i gug bua.
1SG-demand thing DNR-far-GIV to you.SG
'Give me that next, or I (will) demand it publicly of you.'
(104) mif mi-ofjig eri-orna dokun eri-(e)jena éra eri-(e)jena we.PL 1PL-help they.PL-man and they.PL-woman DSJ they.PL-woman
i-ahusta mar-mosorn(a) fen i-eferiok.
3PL-withhold thing-hunger from 3PL-sm.child 'we helped the men and women, or the women withheld food from the small children.'
(105) Efer no-mi, ofa ogos jog=ey éra eteyj(a) (eb)ah ros=ey? child DNR-far-GIV s/he die already=Q DSJ eye live still=Q 'That kid, has he already died or (is he) still alive?' (eye live = be alive) [D]
(106) edá i-ohsud(a) mar-(ew)es éra i-ohsud(a) jender-(ew)es éra then 3PL-search.for thing-cavity DSJ 3PL-search.for window-cavity DSJ
i-oko $\operatorname{mog}(a)$.
3PL-puncture wall
'then they looked for a hole or looked for the window or punctured the wall.'

The final clause conjoined by the disjunctive conjunction éra may be reduced when negated, a "gap" signaling the absent predicate in the clause following the conjunction and preceding the negative adverb. In this situation, the negator may not be the negative adverb erá 'NEG', but must be another negative adverb, such as the independent word (o)gurá 'no' in (107), the allomorph gurá 'NEG', as in (108), the negative adverb néesa 'not yet' or the negative adverb edak 'NEG.DEON', as in (109).
(107) Bua bi-owha éra ogur $(a)=$ ey?
you.SG 2SG-leave DSJ no=Q
'Are you leaving or no?'
(108) Dif di-osok jig taksi no-kef jef=ey, éra $\operatorname{gur}(a)=e y$ ?

I 1SG-ride LOC taxi DNR-here DEON=Q DSJ NEG=Q
'Should I go on this taxi or not?'
[D]

```
(109) mi-eyh(a) pajak skod raja tin jef=ey éra edak=ey?
1PL-pay tax to king also DEON=Q DSJ NEG.DEON=Q
'should we also pay taxes to the king or shouldn't (we)?'
```


### 11.3.7 Complex conjunctions

Complex conjunctions are phrasal conjunctions, being composed of combinations of other clause-linking elements, such as a verbal preposition and a conjunction or two conjunctions. They have the same syntactic distribution as the simple conjunctions, as they are preceded by a pause, and occur under the same intonation contour as the clause which they precede.

### 11.3.7.1 Disjunction-sequential

The complex conjunction éra edá (DSJ then) 'otherwise', composed of the disjunctive conjunction éra 'DSJ' and the sequential conjunction edá 'then', links clauses indicating a disjunctive-sequential relationship, as in (110).

| Edá, mif-a mi-esah | meri | or, | éra edá | mif mi-owoka |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then we.PL 1PL-put leaf poisonous.plant | DSJ then we.PL 1PL-name |  |  |  |

sohoha mi-ebah ruruy.
unintentional 1PL-live unrestrict.
'Then, we put (reserve) poisonous leaves [for revenge], otherwise, we have no special designation [and] live however (we want).'

### 11.3.7.2 Contra-expectation

In the context of a set expectations, the contra-expectation conjunction tinogurá 'but not' indicates a reversal or frustration of the expected outcome, as in (111) and (112). It differs only slightly from the contrastive conjunction tiná 'but', which may introduce an unexpected outcome. The contra-expectation conjunction is composed of the contrastive conjunction tiná 'but' plus the free negation word ogurá 'no', forming a single phonological unit, which may be realized as [tinogurá] or [tinagurá].

Dif di-esed-a, tinogurá di-edis.
I 1SG-grab-PGE but.not 1SG-miss
'I grabbed (at it), but unexpectedly missed.'
(112) Dif di-ef mem, tinogurá di-edis.

I 1SG-shoot bird but.not 1SG-miss
'I shot (at) a bird, but unexpectedly missed.'

The contra-expectation conjunction tinogurá may occur as a tag, following a positive clause to indicate reversal, as in (113-115).
(113) y-omut(a) miy okow mogum tinogurá.

DU-demand cloth base each.other but.not
'they (two) publicly demanded Eastern cloth from each other, but didn't (get it).'
[T15]
(114) Mar e-no-kef erá, mi-er-etka mergej, tinogur(a) nom. thing FOC-here THM 1PL-CAUS-split firewood, but.not VER 'This thing, (we) split firewood (with), but unexpectedly can't.' [T23]
(115) Y-efer, mona edegejga ni yoga y-osok i-eyja, tinogurá.

DU-child day match.up for you.DU DU-climb.up VIS-THITHER but.not 'Kids, [its] the appropriate time for you (two) to come up here, but (you haven't).

### 11.3.7.3 Intention

The complex conjunction eshani 'in order to', composed of the verbal conjunction esha 'from' and the preposition $n i$ 'for', expresses the relation intention. The semantic difference between purpose clauses introduced by $n i$ and those introduced by esha ni is slight and in some instances the two are interchangeable, as in the clause introduced by esha $n i$ 'in order to' in (116) and the clause introduced by $n i$ 'for' in (117). The difference is seen in the degree of control or choice which the agent in the matrix clause has over the agent or event of the subordinate clause. In purpose clauses, the agent has a great deal of choice or control, but in intention clauses the agent of the matrix clause may not be able to control the agent, as in (118) and (119), or event of the subordinate clause, as in (120).
(116) Dif di-en mar esha ni di-er-ec mar-mosorn(a). I 1SG-do thing from for 1SG-CAUS-buy thing-hunger 'I do things (work) in order to buy food.'
(117) Dif di-en mar ni di-er-ec mar-mosorn(a). I 1SG-do thing for 1SG-CAUS-buy thing-hunger 'I do things (work) to buy food.'
(118)

Ergog y-ekerni mona noga oyf-omof erg-em esha ni they.DU DU-sit for day REL good-RED NUM:1-CST from for
$y$-er-ec efeyu.
DU-CAUS-press.on patterned
'They waited for another time that was good in order to get revenge'
(119) edá bi-odos dif jig mirok-ah i-ba, esha ni dif then 2SG-meet I LOC jungle-INTS vIS-level from for I
di-oksug bua tas..
1SG-finish.off you.SG again
'then meet me in the deep jungle over there, in order that I (can) later finish you off..'

| Bua | bi-er-ef-a | mesnom | efej eyj(a) | ese-sen | jig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-CAUS-distribute-PGE | corn | dried go.to | far-RED | LOC |

esha ni er oyf-omof.
from for grow good-RED
'Plant the corn seeds rather far apart, in order that they grow well.' [T29]

### 11.3.7.4 Conclusion

The complex conjunction esha edá (from then) 'therefore', composed of the verbal preposition esha 'from' and the conjunction edá 'then', expresses a conclusive relationship, in that, the first clause provides the basis, as in (121) and (122), or motivation, as in (123), for the conclusion in the clause introduced by esha edá.
(121) Mif mi-esejah mar jog, esha edá mif mi-orot eri we.PL 1PL-prepare thing already from then we.PL 1PL-go.with they.PL
jig kota.
LOC town
'we had already prepared, therefore we accompanied them to town.' [D]
(122) Miy no-ma-i erá, efena etkebra gijga, esha edá mif water DNR-far-GIV THM depth short only from then we.PL
mi-ewer-a jig.
1PL-cross-PGE LOC
'The river, it is shallow, therefore we (can) cross it.'
(123) Maw edma jog e-no-kef, esha edá mif mi-owha ni sun be.visible already FOC-DNR-here from then we.PL 1PL-leave for
mi-en maeken(a) gijga.
1PL-do garden only
'The sun is already out right now, therefore we should leave to work in the garden.'
[D]

### 11.4 Conjunctions introducing sentences

Sentences may be introduced by some of the same conjunctions which conjoin clauses. But in contrast to their distribution when linking clauses, conjunctions introducing sentences occur under a separate intonation contour and are followed by a slight pause (symbolized by a comma), separating them from the clause or sentence which they introduce. These conjunctions signal the same relations in linking sentences as they do when conjoining clauses, such as edá 'then' indicating temporal succession, and erogá 'hence' indicating a consequential relationship, as in (124), tiná 'but' signals contrastive relations as in (125), and the complex conjunction esha edá 'therefore' introduces a conclusion, as in (126).
(124) Edá, ofa eyta misomok efi eken(a) tin esah osuj ofog then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ take tobacco leaf red also put upon flesh

| no-mis- $i$ | romreg | tin, edá | edegejga. Erogá, ofa | eyta osum |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DNR-former-GIV | all | also then | match.up | hence | s/he | take | nose |

ofon, dokun efefej erá ofa esah etkebr-ok jig oduy.
tooth and heart THM s/he put short-side LOC front
'Then, he also took brown tobacco leaves [and] placed them on top of all that flesh, then (they) were matched up. So then, he took the tip of her nose, and her heart he placed near his front.'
[T7]
(125) "Bi-osofs(a) efer no-ma-i, esha ofa em-ef dif eke." Tiná 2SG-hold.on child DNR-far-GIV from s/he IRR-shoot I almost but
i-osnok enia i-em-osofs(a) éra.
3PL-person some.other 3PL-IRR-hold.on NEG
"Hold on to that kid, because he is on the verge of shooting me." But no one held on to (him).'
[T23]
(126) edá ejena no-ma-i, ofa ogos jog. Esha edá, m-edina then woman DNR-far-GIV s/he die already from then NR-grandpar.
mejuen odu gug y-efer y-erg-ak no-ma-i.. crocodile speak to DU-child DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV 'then the woman, she died. Therefore, the crocodile ancestor said to the two kids...'

When introducing sentences, the conjunction edá 'then' may be preceded by a temporal phrase, such as ojuges 'once' extended to mean 'a short time (later)', as in (127), or mona
ergem tas 'another day later', as in (128). The temporal phrase and the conjunction are under the same intonation contour.
(127) Edá, ofa em-owha fen. Oj(u)-(er)g-es edá, ofa éysaha jig mod. then s /he IRR-leave from ORD-NUM:1-onethen s /he reach LOC house 'Then, she left from (there). A short time later then, she arrived at home.' [T23]
(128) Mona erg-em tas edá, ergog y-éysaha kerenga meserf(a) tas.. day NUM:1-CST again then they.DU DU-reach upon spoon again 'Another day later then, they happened upon the spoons again ..' [T23]

The verbal preposition esha 'from', which signals the relation reason when conjoining clauses, marks a point or claim in a (logical) argument, when introducing sentences. Like the conjunctions which introduce sentences, the verbal preposition is separated from the sentence by a slight pause and has a separate intonation contour. In (129) the first clause is a command. The following sentence, introduced by esha, gives the supporting argument or reason for the command. In (130), the first clause asks a rhetorical question bua bicha mar midá? 'what are you looking for?', implying 'there is no reason to search'. The clause introduced by the verbal preposition esha offers a reason for the implied assertion, mar noga enah jig mitos nomi erá ekir jog 'what was there is already gone'.
(129) Bua m-owra jig miy owoka no-ma-i edak. Esha you.SG IRR-cross LOC water forbidden DNR-far-GIV NEG.DEON from
miy owoka no-ma-i, bua bi-ek, edá ok mas. water forbidden DNR-far-GIV you.SG 2SG-see then bear rain 'Don't cross by the forbidden pool. Because the forbidden pool, (if/when) you look at (it), then (the evil spirit) brings rain.' [D]

| Bua mar midá? Esha, mar noga en-ah jig mitos |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-look.for thing what from thing REL | DUR-lie LOC pot |

no-ma-i erá ekir jog.
DNR-far-GIV THM empty already
'What are you looking for? Because whatever was in the pot is already gone.'

Multiple points introduced by esha may support an argument, such as the clauses yefer nomi yorna jog 'the kids are already adult men' and ergog yorka marog 'they carry bows' (acting like adult men) in (131) are given as reasons by the mother-in-law, arguing that the mothers of the kids should leave the birthing house (on the ground) to live in the main house.

| (131) | Yoga $y$-osok | i-en | $j(e f)$-a-a. | Esha, | $y$-efer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.DU | DU-climb.up | VIS-HITHER | DEON-PGE-PGE | from | DU-child |

no-ma-i y-orna jog. Esha, ergog y-orka marog.. DNR-far-GIV DU-man already from they.DU DU-carry bow 'You (two) should come up here. [it is] because the kids are already young men. [it is] because they carry bows...'
[T23]

### 11.5 Complex linking phrases

The tail-head linkage strategy, typical of Papuan languages and involving a verb from a previous clause (cf. De Vries 2006:812), is not found in Moskona. Instead, complex linking phrases, which are reduced adverbial clauses, function as conjunctions providing semantic overlap, or propositional continuity between clauses, that is, recapitulation is done through these linking phrases, such that syntactic units form a coherent discourse. (cf. C. Lehmann 1988:211; De Vries 2006:817) The complex linking phrase is composed of the verbal preposition okuk '(be) like', followed by a demonstrative pronoun, such as nomi 'that' or nomisi '(that) former' (132), an optional focus adverb, such as tas 'again' or tin 'also', as in (133), and an optional conjunction, such as edá 'then'(134), tiná 'but' (135), or erogá 'hence' (136). The demonstrative pronoun, marked with -i 'GIV' signaling known or given material, has primarily an anaphoric function, always referring to the proposition of the preceding clause. This referencing is an extended function which is typical of deictics and demonstratives (Hopper and Traugott 1993:178, Greenberg 1985:269). Typically, only three components, and more rarely, four may co-occur, but all five have never been observed to co-occur. Complex linking phrases occur under a separate intonation contour from the clauses they link, and are separated by a slight pause from the clause which follows.
(132) ofa esah mergamorhog jig miy tas, tiná omga jig miy. Okuk s/he put wood decayed LOC water again but submerge LOC water like
no-ma-i tas edá, ofa esah omomka owos ekena jig miy, DNR-far-GIV again then $\mathrm{s} /$ he put breast produce red LOC water tina ok ecira tusus jig miy.
but bear walk to.and.fro LOC water
'she again put a decayed $\log$ in the river, but it sank into the water. Like that [putting s.t. in the river] again then, she put a brown breast seedpod in the river, but (the current) carried (it) back and forth in the river.'
(133) ekok, ofa eyta mosom noga engit fen mek ofon. Okuk no-ma-i father $\mathrm{s} /$ he take ax REL make from pig tooth like DNR-far-GIV
tin, efer no-ma-i, ofa oduy or-or jig
also child DNR-far-GIV s/he front ebb-RED LOC
'the father, he took the ax which he made from pig's teeth. Like that also [taking the pig's teeth ax], the kid, he was confused (about its use)'
[T27]
(front ebb = be confused)
(134) bua bi-ecira owas. Okuk no-ma-i edá, bua bi-ejij dif,
you.SG 2 SG-walk strong like DNR-far-GIV then you.SG 2SG-twist I
edá bi-okog jig jef.
then 2SG-precede LOC DEON
'walk hard. Like that [walking hard] then, you should go around me, then precede (me).'
(135) Mas es etew. Okuk no-ma-i tiná, dif di-owha ni...
rain spray much like DNR-far-GIV but I 1SG-leave for 'It rained a lot. Like that but [although it rained a lot], I left (anyway) for..' [D]
(136) ofa esed mes fen mektin. Okuk no-ma-i erogá, y-ejena
$\mathrm{s} /$ he grab dog from pig also like DNR-far-GIV hence DU-woman
no-ma-i, ergog $y$-osta orna no-ma-i,
DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-chase man DNR-far-GIV
'he also grabbed the dogs away from the pigs. Like that [having grabbed the
dogs] so, the (two) women, they chased the man,'
[T24]
A complex linking phrase may also indicate negation of the preceding proposition, as in (137). However, the verbal preposition okuk is not marked by em- 'IRR' as are verbs affected by negation, indicating that the demonstrative pronoun, not the verbal preposition is the scope of the negation.
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(137) Efer no-ma-i, ofa os mek efej no-ma-i nes-is. Okuk child DNR-far-GIV s/he singe pig hair DNR-far-GIV quickly-RED like no-ma-i néesa edá, ekok oduk efer no-ma-i ni ok DNR-far-GIV not.yet then father send child DNR-far-GIV for bear mergej owok firewood branch
'The kid, he singed the pig's hair very quickly. Like that not yet [before the kid singed the hair from the pig] then, the father sent the kid to bring firewood branches'
[T27]

## Chapter 12 Speech acts

### 12.0 Non-declarative sentence types

The major speech-act types are those which assert, question or command, and correspond with the sentence types declarative, interrogative and imperative, which are mutually exclusive in that they may not be combined. Those speech acts which deny an assertion correspond to the act of negation. Declarative sentences (assertions), which are used to make announcements, conclusions, or state facts, etc. have been presented in chapter 8 , and so will not be discussed here.

The interrogative (§12.1) and imperative (§12.2) sentence types, along with their respective subtypes will be discussed first, then explicit performatives ( $\S 12.3$ ) and imprecatives ( $\S 12.4$ ) will be presented, followed by discussion of the grammatical markers which indicate speaker attitude (§12.5). The chapter will conclude with a discussion of negation (§12.7).

### 12.1 Interrogatives

Moskona retains the basic declarative SVO order for polar and information questions, that is, it does not invert word order for interrogatives. Cross-linguistically this is quite common (Sadock and Zwicky 1985:181, Greenberg 1966:82). There are two basic question types: polar questions and information questions, and two other types which have a non-interrogative function: the reprimand rhetorical question and the indirect question. Polar questions may be broken down into the subcategories: simple polar questions, alternative questions and tag questions. Information questions are subcategorized by the type of interrogative word used in requesting information. The reprimand rhetorical question has a distinctive structure and intonation. The indirect or embedded questions are not questions which seek a response, as they occur as the complement of another clause.

### 12.1.1 Polar questions

Polar questions seek a comment on the truth of a proposition and are characterized by presence of the polar question marker -ey 'Q', which occurs in a fixed position, in that it is cliticized to the final element of the clause, such the demonstrative nokef in (1) or the clause-final adverb nom 'VER' in (2). The polar question marker -ey shares the morphological shape $-\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Y})$ found in other languages in the eastern part of the Bird's Head. These include Meyah (Gravelle 2002:332), Sougb (Reesink 2002b:238), Mpur (Odé 2002:67), Abun (Berry and Berry 1999:102), and Hatam (Reesink 1999:68).
(1) Buwun i-efer e-no-kef=ey?

2SGPOS 3PL-child FOC-DNR-here=Q
'Your children are [they] these particular children?'
[D]
[D]

### 12.1.1.1 Simple polar questions

The most basic type of polar question is the simple polar or YES/NO question. Simple polar questions do not function as imperatives, (i.e. interrogative sentences which have the illocutionary force of a command), as do polar questions in languages such as English (Sadock 1970). Simple polar questions may be positive or negative assertions, such the negated polar question in (3).
(3) Bua bi-em-odocka mar no-ma-i ér $(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?
you.SG 2SG-IRR-reply thing DNR-far-GIV NEG=Q
'Didn't you answer that?'
Simple polar questions, such as 'Are you there?' as in (4), may be answered in the negative by the independent form ogurá 'no', or in the affirmative by ya 'yes' (a response word borrowed from Malay). However, the more traditional affirmative response to a simple polar question is a declarative (positive) clause, an echo of the verb in the question, as in (5).
(4) Bua erá bi-en-ebah no-ma=ey?
you.SG THM 2SG-DUR-live DNR-far=Q
'Are you there?'
(5) Dif erá di-en-ebah=kef.

I THM 1SG-DUR-live=here
'I am here.'

### 12.1.1.2 Alternative questions

Alternative questions are a subtype of polar questions, but differ in that they provide the hearer with alternatives as to the right answer, implying some type of exclusivity (Sadock and Zwicky 1985:178). In coordinate clauses conjoined by the disjunctive conjunction éra 'DSJ', one or two alternatives may be offered. When two alternatives are offered, the final element of each clause is marked by $-e y$, such as the verb (eb)ah 'alive' and clausefinal adverb jog 'already' in (6), or the nouns mes and isnok in the reduced conjoined clauses in (7).
(6) Osnok no-ma-i efena (eb)ah=ey éra ogos jog=ey? person DNR-far-GIV spirit live=Q DSJ die already= Q
'Is the person alive or is he already dead?'

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mes }=\boldsymbol{e y} & \text { éra } \\
\text { i-osnok }=\boldsymbol{e y} ? \\
\text { dog=Q } & \text { DSJ 3PL-person=Q }  \tag{D}\\
\text { '[Is it }] \text { a dog or (is it) human?' }
\end{array}
$$

If only one alternative is offered, the polar question marker may be cliticized to only the final element of the second conjoined clause, such that its scope covers both clauses, as in (8), or it may be attached to the final element of each clause, as in (9).
(8) Bua bi-owha éra ogur $(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?
you.SG 2SG-leave DSJ no=Q
'Are you leaving or not?'
(9) Dif di-osok jig taksi no-kef jef=ey, éra gur $(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?

I 1SG-ride LOC taxi DNR-here DEON=Q DSJ NEG=Q
'Should I go on this taxi or not?'

### 12.1.1.3 Tag questions

Tag questions are confirmation questions which carry a pragmatic expectation of affirmation, that is, agreement. They are composed of a positive clause, followed by the polar question marker -ey 'Q' cliticized to the negative adverb éra 'NEG', as in (10) and (11). The tag question, realized phonologically as [ $\varepsilon$ réj], is separated by a pause from the clause which precedes it. Negatively biased questions, such as 'you haven't eaten, have you?', have not been observed.

Bua bi-ec marowok guguy jig pasar no-mis-i, ér $(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?
you.SG 2SG-buy vegetable all.at.once LOC market DNR-former-GIV NEG=Q 'You bought the vegetables all at once in the market, didn't you?'
[D]

| Eri-ej no-ma-i oduy ejgen rot ohot, | [Difdi-ok | dadin |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL-female | DNR-far-GIV front | know about say | I 1 SG-bear | 1SGPOS |

efer edegejg $\operatorname{nog}-i-d(a)-i, \quad$ ér $(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?]
child match.up REL-VIS-up-GIV NEG=Q
'The women wonder, "I (can) have a child similar to the one up there, can't I?"" (indirect quote)

### 12.1.2 Information questions

Information type questions signal a desire on the part of the speaker to gain information using specific lexical interrogative forms for requesting information and are not marked with the polar question marker. Interrogative words (§4.4) are not obligatorily placed in clause-initial or clause-final position, as is common in some svo languages, but remain "in situ", taking the position of the clausal element under question. The emphatic status of a question is indicated with an attitude marker cliticized to the clause-final element (§12.5) rather than with intonation or word order changes as in languages such as English. To make prominent the question word as subject/topic, it may be marked with the thematic marker erá 'THM'.Verbs in information questions may be marked with verbal inflections for causative er- or durative en-, but inflection with em- 'IRR' has not been observed.

### 12.1.2.1 Human entity

To request information about the identity of a human entity (i.e. a person), the question word ida 'who' is used. It replaces a proper noun, which may be the predicate of a nonverbal clause, as in (12) and (13), the object argument, as in (14), the subject/topic, as in (15), or the object of a preposition, such as skod 'to' in (16).
(12) Bi-owoka ida?

2SG-name who
'What is your name?'
(13) Bua buwun eb-dina erá ida?
you.SG 2SGPOS 2SG-grandpar. THM who
'You your ancestor is who?'
(14) Yua yi-oduy os-os rot esha ni dif di-edesk(a)
you.PL 2PL-front move.horiz.-RED about from for I 1SG-release
ida ni yua?
who for you.PL
'You want that I release who for you?'
(15) Ida noga esha or mod jig=kef?
who REL from build house LOC=here 'Who was [the one] who afterwards built a house here?
mif mi-eyja skod ida tas=o?
we.PL 1PL-go to who again=EMP
'we [should] go to who else?'

The question word ida 'who' and the indefinite pronoun ida, glossed as 'whoever' in (17), are not distinguished, a common circumstance cross-linguistically.
(17) Ida noga i-oduy os-os ni orot eri-a.
who REL 3PL-front move.horiz.-RED for go.with they.PL-PGE
'Whoever wants to (can) go along with them.'
[D]

### 12.1.2.2 Non-human entity

To ask for information about a non-human entity, typically an inanimate object, the question word midá 'what' or phrase mar midá 'what thing' is used. The question word or phrase may occur as subject, as in (18), as object, as in (19) and (20), the subject/topic of a non-verbal clause as in (21), the complement of a verbal preposition (22), or alone as a response, like an interjection, as in (23).

Mar midá éysaha jig=kef?
thing what reach LOC=here
'What's happening here?'
(19) Yoga y-en midá noga esebra no-ma-i?
you.DU DU-do what REL continuousDNR-far-GIV
'You (two) did what all the time then?'
(20) Bua bi-echa mar midá
you.SG 2SG-look.for thing what
'What are you looking for?'
(21) Midá erá no-ma-i=o?
what THM DNR-far=EMP
'What is that?!'
[D]

Mif mi-eyta mar okum okuk midá gug ergog no-kef=o?
we.PL 1PL-take thing heavy like what to they.PL DNR-here=EMP 'We did something serious like what to these two?'

Tuan, midá?
mister what
'Mister, what [do you want]?'

### 12.1.2.3 Quantity questions

A numeral classifier prefix, such as or- in (24), and the bound quantifier root -aha 'QUAN' form an interrogative quantifier, which expresses the notion 'how many' or 'how
much'. It may occur in the position of a quantifier, modifying a head noun, such as eraha modifies owos in (25) and ergaha modifies efef in (26), or it may function as a non-verbal predicate, as in (27).
(24) Mes efega or-i-(a)ha?
dog ANIMAL NUM:7-?-QUAN
'How many dogs (are there)?'
(25) Bua bi-eyta mesogun owos er-aha?
you.SG 2SG-take papaya produce NUM:4-QUAN
'You took how many papaya fruits?'
[D]
(26) Bua bi-eyja jig maekena efef erg-aha jig ari erg-es? you.SG 2SG-go LOC garden instance NUM:1-QUAN LOC week NUM:1-one 'You go to the garden how many times in one week?
[D]
(27) Eri i-aksa erá i-erg-aha?
they.PL 3PL-tall THM 3PL-NUM:1-QUAN
'The tall ones are how many?'
To request information about the number of times an event occurred, the temporal quantity word cergaha 'how many times' is used, replacing a temporal phrase, as in the temporal frame in (28).
(28) C-erg-aha, bua bi-eyja jig Manokwari?

ORD-NUM:1-QUAN you.SG 2SG-go LOC Manokwari
'How many times did you go to Manokwari?'

### 12.1.2.4 Time questions

The question phrase (mona) echa (day away.from) 'when' occurs in the position of a temporal adverbial, as in (29), or the attributive part may occur alone, following a peripheral argument in an intransitive clause, as in (30).
(29) Bua bi-en mona echa?
you.SG 2SG-come day when
'You came when?'
(30) Ofa en fen Jakarta echa?
s/he come from Jakarta when
'He came from Jakarta when?'

### 12.1.2.5 Manner questions

The manner question word tinefa 'how' is used to express a question about the manner in which an event occurred. It takes the clausal position of manner adverbs, that is, it occurs immediately following an intransitive verb, as in (31), or following the object of a transitive verb, as in (32) and (33).
(31) Bua bi-ecira tinefa, erogá bi-éysaha jig no-kef nes-is-a? you.SG 2SG-walk how hence 2SG-reach LOC DNR-here quickly-RED-PGE 'How did you travel, so you got here so fast?'

Bua bi-og mar efeyu tinef(a)=i=o?!
you.SG 2SG-gouge thing patterned how=PROT=EMP
'How did you write the writing (words)?!'

Dif di-engit mar no-ma-i tinef(a) $=i=o$ ?
I 1SG-make thing DNR-far-GIV how=PROT=EMP
'How did I do that?!'

### 12.1.2.6 Location questions

To ask for information about a location, the question word hádefa 'where' is utilized. Its clausal position is the same as locative adverbs or adverbials, as in (34) and (35). It may also take the position of a locative argument, such as the complement of the preposition fen 'from' in (36).

Dif dadin mok ah hádefa?
I 1SGPOS bowl lie where
'I my bowl is where?'
(35) Buwun m-ok-esa erá en-ebah hádefa?

2SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg THM DUR-live where
'Your younger brother is where?'
bua bi-eyta mowuj no-kef fen hádefa?
you.SG 2SG-take pole DNR-here from where
'from where did you get this pole?'
A few speakers use the location question word mayda 'where', a fused phrase composed of the alienable noun mow 'land', plus the classificatory verb ah 'lie' and the human question word ida 'who'. The location question form mayda has not been observed to
function adverbially, but must occur as the complement of the generic preposition jig, as in (37) and (38).

> Ofa eyja jig mayda?
> s/he go LOC where
> 'Where did he go?'
(38) Bua bi-esah mitow jig mayda-i?
you.SG 2SG-put machete LOC where-PROT
'Where did you put the machete?' (its not where it should be)

### 12.1.2.7 Identity questions

The demonstrative pronoun nef 'which' may function as a question word to express the identity question 'which one'. It may stand in the position of the head noun, such as the subject/topic of a nonverbal clause, as in (39), in position of the nominal predicate, as in (40), or as object of a verb, as in (41).
(39) Nef-a e-noga buwun?
which-PGE FOC-REL 2SGPOS
'Which is [the one] that is yours?'
(40) Buwun e-nef-o?

2SGPOS FOC-which-EMP
'Yours is which one?'
Bua bi-eyta nef gug mek no-kef?
you.SG 2SG-take which to pig DNR-here
'You gave which one to the pig?'
[D]
As the modifier of a nominal, such as moroj in (42), it narrows the referential scope of the noun in question, that is, it asks the hearer to select a particular referent from several possibilities. As the modifier of the adverbial, kus 'short span of time' in (43), the demonstrative pronoun nef requests the identity of a specific 'span of time'.
(42) Bua bi-ewer jig moroj nef-a?
you.SG 2SG-pass LOC path which-PGE
'You passed on which path?'
Bua bi-en kus nef-a?
you.SG 2SG-come short.span which-PGE
'When are you coming?'
[D]

When nef 'which' is the object of a spatial preposition, such as the generic spatial preposition jig in (44), it may ask the identity of a location, that is, 'where'.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Bua bi-esah mar no-ma-i jig } & \text { nef-a? } \\
\text { you.SG } & \text { 2SG-put thing } & \text { DNR-far-GIV LOC } & \text { which-PGE } \\
\text { 'At which (place) did you put the thing?' } \tag{D}
\end{array}
$$

### 12.1.2.8 Reason questions

The prepositional phrase rot midá (about what) expresses the reason question 'why'. The reason question phrase occurs in the clausal position of peripheral arguments, that is, it may follow the object in a transitive clause, as in (45), or the verb or verb-phrase adverb in an intransitive clause, as in (46). In a non-verbal clause, it may function as the nonverbal predicate, as in (47). In a serial verb construction, it follows the final element of the construction, as in (48).

| Bua bi-os mofun | no-ma-i rot midá? |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-sever vine | DNR-far-GIV about what |
| 'Why did you cut that vine?' |  |  |

Yua yi-eker jig=kef rahu rot midá?
you.PL 2PL-sit LOC=here long.time about what
'Why did you stay here a long time?'

No-ma-i rot midá?
DNR-far-GIV about what
'Why is that?'
(48) bi-ahac mar no-ma-i ekrer rot midá?

2SG-tie thing DNR-far-GIV loose about what 'why did you tie that [so] it is loose?'

If the question word midá modifies the generic noun mar 'thing' in the prepositional phrase rot mar midá 'about what thing', it requests information about the identity of a non-human entity, rather than asks 'why' (cf. §12.1.2.2).

### 12.1.3 Reprimand rhetorical questions

A rhetorical question is one in which the answer is assumed to be known by the hearer and thus, no answer is expected, but rather it serves to intensify an assertion (T. Payne 1997:354). Rhetorical questions which have the perlocutionary effect of a strong rebuke, that is, as a pejorative expression, have a distinctive structure. They are composed of an interrogative phrase occurring in sentence-initial position, such as the rhetorical reason
question phrase tinefa erogá (how hence), glossed 'wHY' or 'how is it so (that)', as in (49) and (50), the rhetorical purpose question phrase tinefa ni (how for) 'for what (purpose)', as in (51), or the rhetorical time question phrase echa erogá (when hence) 'WHEN', as in (52). Unlike sentences which are introduced by the conjunction erogá 'hence', no pause separates the interrogative phrase from the clause or sentence which follows. The intonation contour begins high and drifts downward throughout the clause.
(49) Tinefa erogá bua bi-oksomus fen dif?!
how hence you.SG 2SG-return from I
'WHY did you return without me?!'
(you shouldn't have returned without me!)
[D]
(50) Tínefa erogá mester no-ma-i ecicka ebri-a?!
how hence plate DNR-far-GIV drop crack-PGE
'wHY did the plate drop [so] it cracked?!'
(the plate shouldn't have dropped so it cracked!)
Tinefa ni bua bi-engit mar no-ma-i?!
how for you.SG 2 SG-make thing DNR-far-GIV
'WHY did you do that?!'
(whatever the reason, what you did was wrong!)
Echa erogá bua bi-em-en keradi tas?!
when hence you.SG 2SG-IRR-dowork again
'WHEN are you going to work again?!'
(you should be working now!)
A reason question with the question phrase rot midá (about what) occurring in clausefinal position, may also indicate a protest or reproach, though mild by comparison to a rhetorical reason question phrase such as tinefa erogá. Although it has the structure as a reason information question, with the phrase rot midá, the perlocutionary effect is rebuke. The interpretation is contextually determined, as is suggested in parenthesis below the English gloss in examples.

| Bua | bi-esma dadin bar rot midá? |
| :--- | :--- |
| you.SG | 2SG-take.by.force 1SGPOS ball about what |
| 'You snatched my ball away for what reason?' |  |
| (you shouldn't have snatched my ball away.) |  |

(54) Bua bi-er-en mar no-ma-i esir rot midá?
you.SG 2SG-CAUS-do thing DNR-far-GIV fall about what
'You made that thing fall for what reason?'
(You shouldn't have made that thing fall.)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Bua bi-ekinga dadin miyes rot midá? }  \tag{55}\\
& \text { you.SG 2SG-tear 1SGPOS clothes about what } \\
& \text { 'Why did you tear my clothes?' } \\
& \text { (you shouldn't have torn my clothes.) }
\end{align*}
$$

### 12.1.4 Indirect questions

Indirect questions typically are embedded as complements of utterance, modality and perception predicates ( $\S 10.2 .2$ ). They may have the form of indirect polar questions or the form of indirect information questions.

Indirect polar questions, expressing an 'if/whether' interpretation, are marked clausefinally with the polar question enclitic -ey 'Q' and frequently have a structure related to the structure used in disjunctive coordination with a reduced predicate in the final clause (§11.3.6), as in (56) or (57). Indirect questions are enclosed in brackets.

| Ofa erá | noga | ogá | ojga | rot ohot | [dif | di-engit | mar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| s/he THM | REL | speech | originated | about say | I | 1SG-make thing |  |

noga oskur jog=ey éra $\operatorname{gur}(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}]$.

REL bad already=Q DSJ NEG=Q
'He is [the one] who decides whether/if I did something which was bad or not.' (speech originated $=$ decide) (indirect quote: polar question)
i-ejgen rot $[$ ofom jog=ey éra $\operatorname{gur}(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}]$.
3PL-know about ripe already=Q DSJ NEG=Q
'(they) know whether/if it is ripe or not.' (indirect quote: polar question) [D]

Indirect information questions contain a question word, such as tinefa 'how', as in (58), ida 'who', as in (59), or hádefa 'where' as in (60).
(58) esij rot [tinefa i-osnok i-eyta eteyj-ah noga efen-ir-ah]. talk.about about how 3PL-person 3PL-take eye-live REL new-?-INTS
(it) explained how do people get life which is very new?
(it) explained how people get life which is very new. (indirect quote: information question)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { ergog } & \text { y-ofof } & \text { y-er-em-enin-ima } & \text { esha } & \text { ni } & y \text {-ejgen rot } \quad \text { ida } \\ \text { they.DU DU-run } & \text { DU-CAUS-RECIP-measure } & \text { from } & \text { for } & \text { DU-know } & \text { about who }\end{array}$
noga ofof owas].
REL run strong
'they ran competing against each other in order to know, who was [the one] who ran strong(er)?'
'they ran competing against each other in order to know [the one] who ran
strong(er).' (indirect quote: information question)
[D]

Dif di-esisga $\quad$ bua rot $\quad$ [buwun mekew $\begin{aligned} & \text { erá } \\ & \text { I ebah } \\ & \text { I hádefa]. } \\ & \text { 'I asked you where does your father live.' } \\ & \text { 'I asked you where your father lived.' (indirect quote: information question) } \\ & \text { [D] }\end{aligned}$

### 12.2 Imperatives

Imperative clauses are used to influence the actions of addressees, such as giving orders, making suggestions or making requests (Sadock and Zwicky 1985:160). Imperative clauses in Moskona typically require an explicit second person pronoun, such as bua 'you.SG', yoga 'you.DU' or yua 'you.PL'. All imperatives, except simple commands, are marked grammatically by an clause-final adverb, which occurs in a fixed position at the end of the sentence. Intonation contour is not a definitive criteria, as an imperative clause may have the same final intonation contour as a declarative clause.

Positive imperatives may take the form of a simple command or may be expressed as a mitigated imperative. Negative imperatives or prohibitives have a single form and will be discussed separately as a matter of negation in $\S 12.7 .5$. Utilization of clause-final adverbs or particles to signal imperative does not appear to be a characteristic of Bird's Head languages, although a few languages, such as Maybrat (Dol 1999:207) and Abun (Berry and Berry 1999:117), have been noted to signal imperatives with a clause-final element.

### 12.2.1 Simple commands

Those positive imperatives which are simple commands are not structurally different than declaratives, as both have the svo word order and are unmarked grammatically. However, declarative sentences allow stative verbs, whereas imperatives do not. Commands may also be distinguished from declaratives through a greater degree of intensity or loudness. All commands involve second person and are immediate future, thus no aspectual or mode markers occur on verbs in imperative clauses. Clauses which are imperative may be simple clauses with simple predicates, such as the compound eyegejga 'watch' in (61) or er-oduy 'lead' in (62), or they may be serial verb constructions, such as the simultaneous SVC in (63).
(61) Yua yi-eyeg-ejga dif!
you.PL 2PL-look-graze I
'Watch me!'

Yoga y-er-oduy ofa!
you.DU DU-CAUS-front s/he
'You (two) bring him!' (cause to front = lead s.o.)
(63) Bua bi-ohot mar bi-er-omnen!
you.SG 2 SG-say thing 2SG-CAUS-repeat
‘Say it again!’ (lit. you say thing repeat)

### 12.2.2 Mitigated imperatives

Mitigated imperatives are imperatives which are not direct commands, but allow the speaker to obliquely affect the actions of the hearer, through suggestion, entreaty, admonishment (adhortative) or permission. In three of the four types of mitigated imperatives, adverbs which have other primary functions signal a type of mitigated imperative. The fourth type, adhortative, is a construction utilizing a purpose clause.

### 12.2.2.1 Suggestions

Suggestions, a type of soft command, are signaled with the clause-final adverb jef 'DEON', placing the hearer under some sort of obligation to comply. As in:
(64) Bua bi-ok medew mesew no-ma-i jef-o!
you.SG 2SG-bear sago.meal leaf.container DNR-far-GIV DEON-EMP 'You should bring the sago leaf container!' (its needed now) [T24]
yua yi-osok i-en jef
you.PL 2PL-climb.up VIS-HITHER DEON
'you (all) should come up here'

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { bua } & \text { erá } & \text { bi-osok } & \text { jig } & \text { merga=kef } & \text { jef! }  \tag{66}\\
\text { you.SG } & \text { THM } & \text { 2SG-climb.up } & \text { LOC } & \text { wood=here } & \text { DEON } \\
\text { 'you should climb up in the tree!' } & &
\end{array}
$$

The focus adverb gijga 'only’ (§4.1.2.1.4) may function informally to form a soft command, taking the place of the clause-final adverb jef 'DEON'. It is not separated from the main clause by a pause, but may be uttered with greater intensity to express urgency. In clauses with a second person subject, context determines whether gijga 'only' has an adverbial function, that is, modifies the predicate, or marks a suggestion, as in examples (67-69).
(67) Bua bi-eyta mar no-ma-i gug dif gijga!
you.SG 2SG-take thing DNR-far-GIV to I only
(You should) give that to me!'
[D]
(68) Bua bi-eker owoh ursa(a) gijga you.SG 2SG-sit solid.interior spot only '(you should) stay in this exact location!'

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Yua yi-eyta mar erg-es-mes } & \text { no-ka-i } & \text { gijga! }  \tag{69}\\
\text { you.PL } & \text { 2PL-take thing } & \text { NUM:1-one-RED } & \text { DNR-near-GIV } \\
\text { only } \\
\text { 'You (all) should take just one of these!' } & &
\end{array}
$$

### 12.2.2.2 Entreaties

Entreaties or polite commands are indicated by the clause-final adverb roga 'first', with a meaning analogous to 'please'. When roga signals a polite command, it may, as in (70) and (71), or may not, as in (72), be preceded by a pause.
bua bi-or mefs(a) ofor bi-er-eska tas, roga.
you.SG 2SG-hold salt dehydrated 2SG-CAUS-sprinkle again first 'sprinkle in salt again, please.'
[D]
(71) Bua bi-osok u-en bi-esta mok erg-em, roga.
you.SG 2SG-climb.up NONVIS-HITHER2SG-suck pandanus NUM:1-CST first
'Come up (to) here [and] eat pandanus, please.' [T20]
Yua yi-or buku ${ }^{59}$ no-kef hah roga.
you.PL 2PL-hold book DNR-here shortly first
'(You all) use this book for the time-being, please.'
[D]

### 12.2.2.3 Permissive

The clause-final adverb deke 'may' or 'be permitted' serves a marker to indicate permission, and may occur as an asserted proposition, as in (73), (74) and (75), or in an interrogative form, as in (76). It has not been observed to co-occur with imperative clause or those which have been negated.
(73) Bua bi-owha deke.
you.SG 2SG-leave may
'You may leave.'

[^49](74) Bua bi-orot dif deke.
you.SG 2SG-go.with I may
'You may accompany me.'
[D]

| Eri | noga | i-os-os | $n i$ | $i$-ot-ahobta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| they.PL | REL | 3PL-move.horiz.-RED | for | 3PL-stand-stare |

televisi erá deke.
television THM may
'They who want to watch television may.'

Dif di-orot bua deke=ey?
I 1SG-go.with you.SG may=Q
'May I accompany you?'

### 12.2.2.4 Adhortative

Adhortatives are formally distinct from regular imperatives, in that they are expressed through an adhortative construction (§11.2.3), composed of the verb ohan, with a meaning like 'join' or 'be together', followed by the non-spatial preposition ni 'for', introducing a subordinate clause. The main clause always has a second person subject, such as yua 'you.PL' in (77), and the subordinate clause, always has a first person subject, such as the first person plural, indicated by the prefix mi- '1PL' in (78). This construction is not found in Moskona's closest genetic relative Meyah, which uses a combination of verbal affixes to produce hortatory sentences (Gravelle 2004:339), nor is it seen in central Bird's Head languages like Abun (Berry and Berry 1999:118) which signals hortative mood with a clause-final particle.

| Yua | yi-ohan ni mif mi-ogow | osnok erg-es noga ofogog |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| you.PL | 2PL-join for we.PL 1PL-chop |  |
| person | NUM:1-one REL | evil |

(78) Yua yi-ohan ni mi-en maeken(a).
you.PL 2PL-join for 1PL-do garden
'Let's do (work in) the garden.'

### 12.3 Explicit performatives

Explicit performatives are sentences in which the specific act performed (such as requesting, reminding, or warning) is expressed by the verb in the sentence (Sadock and

Zwicky 1985:155). Although the structure of performatives is the same as declarative sentences, explicit performatives typically have first person subjects and second person indirect objects (i.e. goals), which may be introduced by the prepositions gug 'to', jug 'against' or kerenga 'upon'. The predicates of explicit performatives may be simple verbs, such as oyom 'request' as in (79), or utilize idiomatic expressions, such as the expressions os efen(a kerenga) (move.horizontally spirit upon) 'remind' in (80) or os efen(a jug) (move.horizontally spirit against) 'admonish' in (81).
(79) dif di-oyom gug bua esha ni bi-ec-ec bi-oduy rot.. I 1SG-request to you.SG from for 2SG-press.on-RED 2 SG-front about 'I request of you in order that you be patient about...'
(press on front $=$ be patient)

| dif di-os | efena | kerenga | yua | rot ohot yua | erá |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | ISG-move.horiz. | spirit | upon | you.PL | about say | you.PL | THM |

yi-okuk mod erg-es
2PL-like house NUM:1-one
'I remind you, "You are like a house"' (move horizon. spirit = remind) [TT]
Dif di-er-os efen(a) jug yua okuk dif

I 1SG-CAUS-move.horiz. spirit against you.PL like I
di-os-efen jug dadin efer
1SG-move.horiz. against 1 SGPOS child
'I admonish you like I admonish my child'

### 12.4 Imprecatives

Imprecatives or curses, which are syntactically a subtype of declarative, are negatively emotional in tone and carry an optative (wish) connotation. They are unmarked syntactically, but uttered with greater intensity than regular declaratives. In (82) the human noun orna 'man', with a focus on the feature of large size, modifies mar 'thing' to form a phrase meaning 'huge thing (creature)' or Mod Ari (house Sunday) 'church' in (83), symbolizing a spiritual power, is the supernatural basis of the curse.

> Mar orna ed bua!
> thing man strike you.SG
> '(May) a huge creature get you!'
> Mod Ari ed bua!
> house Sunday strike you.SG
> '(May) the church strike you!'

There are a few imprecatives which provide evidence that in earlier times verbs were inflected with pronominal prefixes marking both subject and object. These imprecatives which are syntactically frozen have the clause structure S s-o-V O. In (84) and (85), the verb $e d$ 'strike' is inflected with $\emptyset$ ' 3 SG' (a null morpheme), indicating the third person singular subject, and $b i$ - ' 2 SG' indicating the second person singular object. The free personal pronoun bua 'you.SG' also occurs as a possible concession to current argument referencing.

Meki bi-ed bua!
cassowary 2SG-strike you.SG
'(May) the [mythical people-eating] cassowary get you!'

Meg bi-ed bua!
large.creature 2SG-strike you.SG
'(May) a large creature get you!'

### 12.5 Attitude marked sentences

There are two attitude markers, protesting mood marker and emphatic mood marker, which indicate the (rational or emotional) point of view or attitude taken by the speaker toward the proposition. The two markers are not mutually exclusive, in that they may cooccur in same clause, nor do they indicate sentence types, as they may occur with any major sentence type (declarative, interrogative or imperative). A third marker which indicates speaker uncertainty differs from the mood markers, in that it also marks a polar question, and its illocutionary force precludes occurrence in imperative clauses.

The protesting mood marker $-i$ 'PROT' indicates the speaker's non-acceptance of a proposition, which may be interpreted as complaining, protesting or even unbelief. When $-i$ 'PROT' is attached to a root which has a final /a/ segment, the $/ \mathrm{a} /$ is elided, yielding forms such as gura-i in (86) and mida-i in (87), realized as [gurí] and [midí] respectively. It is distinguished from the suffix $-i$ 'GIV’ (§5.3.3), which attaches to deictics to signal known information, in that the protesting mood marker is typically cliticized to the final element of the sentence, which may include a variety of different word classes, such as the quantifier verb etew in (88), the noun mod in (89), the adjectival verb efeyu in (90), or the intransitive verb oksomus in (91).

Tiná yua yi-em-eyta mar no-ma-i tin gur(a)-i! but you.PL 2PL-IRR-take thing DNR-far-GIV also NEG-PROT 'But you didn't even do that!' (protesting)
okuk no-ma-i rot mid(a)=i?
like DNR-far-GIV about what=PROT
'its like that why?!' (protesting)
(88) Dif di-eteyj(a) omg-omga er-etew $=\boldsymbol{i}$
I 3PL-eye blurred-RED CAUS-much=PROT
'I my eyes are increasingly blurry.' (complaining)
(89) Ida noga en-ebah jig mod=i-a?
who REL DUR-live LOC house=PROT-PGE
'Who is [the one] that is in the house?' (protesting)
(90) Ida erá noga en-og mar efeyu=i=ey? who THM REL DUR-gouge thing patterned=PROT=Q 'Who is the one who is writing the writing (words)?' (protesting and uncertain)
[D]
(91) Bua erá Síus noga bi-en-oksomus=i=ey?
you.SG THM sius REL 2SG-DUR-return=PROT=Q
'Are you Síus [the one] who is returning?' (polar Q and unbelief) [D]
The emphatic mood marker $-o$ 'EMP', cliticized to the final element of a clause, intensifies a proposition, indicating a greater degree of emotional involvement by the speaker, as in (92).

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Mif tin mi-oduy efef rot yua romreg=o! }  \tag{92}\\
\text { we.PL also 1PL-front ache about you.PL } & \text { all=EMP } \\
\text { 'We also love you all!' (front ache = love) }
\end{array}
$$

The emphatic mood marker conveys urgency when used with a request (93), a command (94) or a suggestion (95).
(93) Ekok, bua mejuen, bi-ofjig yef=o!
father you.SG crocodile 2 SG-help we.DU=EMP
'Father, you are a crocodile, help us'
(94) Bua bi-eyeg=em $(a)=\boldsymbol{o}$ !
you.SG 2SG-look.at-far=EMP
'You watch out!'
[T27]
(95) Bua bi-er medew efer jef $=\boldsymbol{o}$ ! you.SG 2SG-stir sago.meal child DEON=EMP '(You should) stir the sago porridge!' (or it will burn) $($ sago meal child $=$ sago porridge $)$

When cliticized to a spatial deictic, such as the distal deictic -mej 'remote' in (96) or the nominalized directional clitic eyja 'THITHER' in (97), it intensifies the degree of distance.

When attached to a deictic which modifies a temporal element, such as the fused relative clause nogumej in (98), it indicates extended time.

Yua yi-en-owha=mej=0.
you.PL 2PL-DUR-leave=remote=EMP
'You all are going far far away'
Yua yi-ahrir kuk memeg(a) ogoh m-eyj(a)=0.
you.PL 2PL-skirt along mountain base NR-THITHER=EMP
'You (all) skirted along the base of the mountain way over there.'
(98) Ofa en fen Jakarta jig mesta $\operatorname{nog}(a)=u-m e j=0$.
s /he come from Jakarta LOC moon REL=NONVIS-remote=EMP
'He came from Jakarta in a month long past.'
[D]
The mood markers -i 'PROT' and -o 'EMP' have been observed to co-occur in information questions, attaching to the clause-final element, such as the question words tinefa in (99), ida in (100), or midá in (101), or attached to the demonstrative pronoun noka in (102), yielding questions which express strong non-acceptance or unbelief.

Bua bi-engit mar tinef $(a)=\boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{o}$ ?
you.SG 2SG-make thing how=PROT=EMP
'How are you doing that?!' (I can't believe it)
(100) Bua buwun mosu owoka id(a)=i=o?
you.SG 2SGPOS mother name who=PROT=EMP
'You your mother (her) name is what?!' (unbelief)
(101) $\operatorname{Mid}(a)=\boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{o}$ ?!
what=PROT=EMP
'What?!' (non-acceptance)
(102) Mes-ir no-kef i-obra midá no-ka=i=o?
dog-PL DNR-here 3PL-bark.at what DNR-near=PROT=EMP 'What are these dogs barking at now?!' (I don't like this!)

A speaker may indicate uncertainty about an assertion through the use of a polar question marker -ey 'Q', attached to the final element of a clause. Clauses marked for uncertainty have the formal structure of a polar question (§12.1.1), but have the illocutionary force of a declarative. The enclitic -ey ' $Q$ ' may indicate uncertainty, as in (103), or mark a sentence as a conjecture, as in (104). Context determines whether a question is being asked or whether the speaker is making a dubitative statement, such as okuk yua yuyun-ey 'like you might own (them)' in (105). In coordinate clauses conjoined by éra 'DSJ', the
polar question marker attaches to the final element of each clause, such as the locative $j i g=k e f$ and negative adverb gurá in (106).
(103) Smen, bua erá noga bi-eyta dadin miyes=ey. perhaps you.SG THM REL 2PL-take 1SGPOS clothes=Q 'Perhaps, you might be [the one] who took my clothes.'
(104) Eri i-et morgik, erogá i-en-orkoh rot no-ma-i=ey. they.PL 3PL-eat matoa hence 3PL-DUR-hoarse about DNR-far-GIV=Q 'They ate matoa, so (they) might be hoarse because of it.' [D]
(105) Yua yi-ec mar no-ma-i m-ejg(a)-im okuk yua you.PL 2PL-press.on thing DNR-far-GIV RECIP-graze like you.PL yuyun=ey.
2 PLPOS= Q
'You (all) touched those things in rapid succession like you might own (them).' (press on graze e.o. $=$ rapid succession)
[D]
(106) Smen, mif mi-oksomus jig=kef=ey éra $\operatorname{gur}(a)=\boldsymbol{e y}$. perhaps we.PL 1PL-return LOC-here=Q DSJ NEG=Q
'Perhaps, we might return here or [perhaps] we won't.'

### 12.6 Interjections

Interjections are words which are unproductive in the sense that they don't enter into syntactic relationships with other word classes (Crystal 1997:200), may be complete utterances in themselves (Schachter 1985:58) and are generally informal or colloquial in usage. Interjections may be composed of collapsed phrases, such as ofahmej [офáxmeव̄], meaning something like 'it's not important' or 'forget it', as in (107), or margeym [márgem], with a meaning like 'alright' or 'okay', as in (108). They may also be single syllables with no lexical meaning, such as ey 'hey' used for gaining attention, as in (109), or wah [wax] 'ah' an expression of surprise or shock with a negative connotation, as in (110).
(107) Ofahmej! No-ma-i em-ok owoka gug mif éra. forget.it DNR-far-GIV IRR-bear name to we.PL NEG
'Forget it! It doesn't carry a name (have importance) to us.'
(108) Margeym. Dif di-of merga kosk-amok(a) nom. alright I 1SG-fell wood well-RED VER 'It's alright. I am very well able to chop down the tree.'
(109) Ey! Yoga y-osok i-en jef
hey you.DU DU-climb.up VIS-HITHER DEON
'Hey! (You two should) come up here'
[D]
(110) Wah! Tínefa erogá bua bi-egej(a) mek no-ma-i?
ah how hence you.SG 2SG-slit.open pig DNR-far-GIV ‘Ah! WHY did you slit open the pig?' (you shouldn’t have)

### 12.7 Negation

Negation in Moskona is confined to sentential or standard negation, that is, it is confined to the negation of a clause or sentence. Constituent negation, which is negation of particular constituent of a clause, such as negation of the constituent 'people', the subject of the clause 'no people finished on time', has not been observed. In addition, inherently negative indefinites, with meanings such as 'nothing', 'none' or 'no one', are entirely absent, such that negation of the predicate, that is, clausal negation, is required to negate quantifiers. In (111), the clause may have the additional interpretation 'not many people accompanied me.' Alternatively, if the negation of a quantifier is desired, the main predicate may be relativized and the verbal quantifier may be negated, as in (112) in which the verb orot 'go with' is relativized, and the verbal quantifier ognunui 'many' is negated.
(111) I-osnok i-ognипиi i-em-orot dif éra.

3PL-person 3PL-many 3PL-IRR-go.with I NEG
'Many people didn't accompany me.' or
'Not many people accompanied me.'
(112) I-osnok [noga i-orot dif] i-em-ognunui éra.

3PL-person REL 3PL-go.with I 3PL-IRR-many NEG
'The people who accompanied me were not many.' or
'Not many people accompanied me.'

Declarative and imperative negation are distinguished by the use of éra 'NEG' to indicate negation of an assertion (declarative clause), as in (113) and by the use of edak 'NEG.DEON' to signal a prohibitive (i.e. negative imperative), as in (114). To indicate negation of probability, the negative adverb néesa 'not yet' (115) is employed. The independent negative word ogurá (§12.7.6) may be used as a response, taking the place of a negative clause.
(113) bua bi-em-et mar éra
you.SG 2SG-IRR-eat thing NEG
'you didn't eat (anything)'
(114) bua bi-em-et mar edak
you.SG 2 SG-IRR-eat thing NEG.DEON
'(you) shouldn't eat'
(115) bua bi-em-et mar néesa
you.SG 2SG-IRR-eat thing not.yet
'you didn't eat yet'

### 12.7.1 Position of negative adverbs

Negative adverbs invariably occur in clause-final or sentence-final position, an areal feature of the languages of the Bird's Head (Reesink 2002c:245). Reesink (2002c:247) suggests that the clause-final position of the negator has its origins in an earlier time in which the svo languages of the Bird's Head had the more typical Papuan sov order, placing the negative adverb adjacent to the verb (cf. also §4.1.2.2.2). As a clause-final constituent, the negative adverb follows an object argument, such as éra follows mar nomi in (116), the negative adverb follows verb-phrase adverbs, such as edak follows tusus in (117), or the negative adverb follows peripheral arguments, such as néesa follows jig mod in (118).
(116) eri i-em-eg mar no-ma-i éra. they-PL 3PL-IRR-hear thing DNR-far-GIV NEG 'they didn't hear that'
(117) Bua bi-em-ecira tusus edak.
you.SG 2SG-IRR-walk to.and.fro NEG.DEON 'You shouldn't wander around.'
(118) yef y-em-osok jig mod néesa. we.DU DU-IRR-climb.up LOC house not.yet 'we won't yet go up to the (main) house.'

In a non-verbal clause, the negative adverb immediately follows a nonverbal predicate, such as the adverb éra 'NEG' follows the adverb decir in (119).

| Mar no-ma-i | decir | ér $(\boldsymbol{a})$ | nom. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing DNR-far-GIV | correct | NEG | VER |
| 'That's not really correct.' |  |  |  |

Only post-negative clause-final adverbs (§4.1.2.2.3), such as nom 'VER' in (119) and (120), and the polar question enclitic -ey ' Q ', as in (121), may follow the negative adverb in a clause.
(120) Mow no-ma-i em-esen ér(a) nom.
land DNR-far-GIV IRR-far NEG VER
'That place really isn't far.'
[D]
(121) Bua bi-em-odocka mar no-ma-i ér $(\boldsymbol{a})=\boldsymbol{e y}$ ?
you.SG 2SG-IRR-reply thing DNR-far-GIV NEG=Q
'Didn't you answer that?'

### 12.7.2 Scope of negation

The strategy employed to delineate the scope of negation in verbal clauses is morphological. All verbs which are within the scope of the negation are obligatorily inflected with the irrealis morpheme em- 'IRR', thus relegating verbs which are unmarked as outside the scope of the negation. The scope of negation in multi-clausal sentences or in clauses having complex predicates is therefore rarely ambiguous, as only affected verbs are marked.

In complex sentences in which one clause is subordinate to the other, the scope of the sentence-final adverb may cover either the main clause or the subordinate clause. Thus, the verb of the main clause may be affected, but the verb of the dependent clause may be unaffected or vice versa. For example, in (122) the affected verb edegejga 'match up' in the main clause is marked with em- 'IRR' and thus under the scope of negation, but the verb eyj 'toss' in the following subordinate clause is unmarked and unaffected. In (123) the verb of the dependent clause eskijig 'agree' is marked with em- and affected, whereas the verb $a h$ 'lie' in the main clause is unmarked and unaffected. Similarly, in sentences which have an embedded clause, (e.g. complementation), the matrix verb, such as ejgen 'know' in (124), may be marked for irrealis, and so lie within the scope of negation, but the verb of the complement $a h$ 'lie' be unmarked and unaffected.
(122) Jig no-kef erá, em-edegejga ni bua bi-eyj kertas noga LOC DNR-here THM IRR-match.up for you.SG 2SG-toss paper REL
ebg-ibg(a) ruruy éra.
torn-RED unrestricted NEG
'In this (place), it isn't appropriate for you to toss trash indiscriminately.'
(123) ofa ofon ebra ah ucur esebra, esha ofa em-eskijig éra. s/he 3SGPOS head lie still continuous from s/he IRR-agree NEG 'he his head was still, because he didn't agree.'

```
ofa ofaha em-ejgen rot [merga orokec erá ah jig esisok
s/he 3SGRX IRR-know about wood large THM lie LOC EXPN
eteyj(a)] éra.
eye NEG
'he himself doesn't know that a large piece of wood is in his own eye.' [TT]
```

In sentences composed of coordinate clauses (i.e. clauses of equal status), the scope of negation extends only to the predicate of the clause in which the negator occurs. That is, the scope of a sentence-final negator covers only the clause which it immediately follows. For example, in the paratactic complement clause in (125), the scope of the negative adverb éra 'NEG' extends only to the verb et 'eat' of the final clause, the matrix verb ek 'see' and the verb et 'eat' in the first clause of the complement being unaffected. For each clause of coordinated clauses to be negated, each clause must have a negator and the verb of each clause be marked with em- 'IRR', such as éra 'NEG' occurs at the end of each clause in (126).
(125) kus no-kef, mif mi-ek [mohus erá, ofa et mok, tiná short.span DNR-here we.PL 1PL-see parrot THM s/he eat pandanus but
mem ned erá ofa em-et mok éra.]
bird cockatoo THM s/he IRR-eat pandanus NEG
'nowadays, we see [that] the parrot, he eats pandanus, but the cockatoo, he doesn't eat pandanus.'
eri i-em-en maekena etew éra, dokun i-em-ok mar they.PL 3PL-IRR-do garden much NEG and 3PL-IRR-bear thing
okum éra.
heavy NEG
'they didn't work in the garden a lot and didn't carry heavy things.'
[T21]
In complex sentences in which only one clause is negated is there possible ambiguity regarding the scope of the negation. In these situations, contextual clues aid in interpretation. In the narrative preceding the sentence in (127), two women have been requested to ascend to the main house, thus the context points to an interpretation that the scope of the negation covers only the main verb edegejga 'match up' or 'appropriate', while the verb osok 'climb up' in the dependent clause falls outside of the scope of negation.

```
esha em-edegejg(a) ni yef y-em-osok jig mod néesa.
from IRR-match.up for we.DUDU-IRR-climb.up LOC house not.yet
'because (it) isn't yet appropriate for us to ascend to the house.' [T23]
```

The negation of an emotional-state construction, a type of complex predicate, requires that the irrealis marker attach to the verbal constituent of the construction, such as the verb esis 'hurt' in the construction owos esis 'skin hurt' in (128). Irrealis marking on the nominal constituent of the construction, such as oduy in (129), is grammatically unacceptable.
(128) Eri i-owos em-esis esebra edak.
they.PL 3PL-skin IRR-hurt continuous NEG.DEON
'They shouldn't be annoyed (complain) all the time.'
*ofa em-oduy engaw mar erg-em éra.
s/he IRR-front crooked thing NUM:1-CST NEG
'he didn't regret anything'

### 12.7.3 Negated non-verbal clauses

Non-verbal clauses may be negated with the negative adverb éra 'NEG', the same negative adverb which negates (declarative) verbal clauses. The negative adverbs néesa 'not yet' and edak 'NEG.DEON' do not negate non-verbal clauses. Negation of a nominal predicate is the sole strategy for negating a nominal. In negated non-verbal clauses, the negative adverb functions to deny the property which is asserted, as in the nominal predicates mij 'females' in (130), mar efeyu 'food garbage' in (131), and mergej 'firewood' in (132). Nominals, such as nouns, pronouns and headless relative clauses, or the few adverbs which function as predicates, are not marked for irrealis when negated.

| mif $\quad$ mi-ej | éra |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| we.PL | 1PL-female | NEG |
| 'we aren't women' |  |  |

(131) mar no-ma-i erá mar efeyu éra thing DNR-far GIV THM thing plant.matter NEG 'that's not garbage'
(132) Owas fen eri-orna éra.
strong from they.PL-man NEG
'Not power from men.'

The negative adverb éra 'NEG' may itself function as a non-verbal predicate to negate a subject/topic, as in (133) éra negates duwas 'my strength' or 'capability', so that the clause has the literal meaning 'my capability to swim is truly not'. The negated headless relative clause noga ejmeg owas, subject/topic of the subordinate clause in (134), yields the meaning '[the place] that the strong current is not'.
(133) Dif di-owas ni di-er-a miy ér(a) nom. I 1SG-strength for 1 SG-stir-PGE water NEG VER 'I can't swim.' (lit. I my strength for I stir water (is) not able) (stir water = swim)
(134) Masik ok ofuy jig miy noga ah ucur, esha noga ejmeg mosquito bear egg LOC water REL lie still from REL spine
owas éra.
strength NEG
'Mosquitos lay eggs in water which is still, because [it is the place] that isn't a strong current.' (water's spine $=$ current)

Negation of an adverb serving as a non-verbal predicate, such as rahu in (135), indicates that the state of affairs is denied.
(135) rahu tas éra
long.time again NEG
'not a long time later'
Possessive pronouns, such as dadin in (136) or ofon in (137), which may function as possessor verbs may be negated, but are not prefixed with the irrealis marker em- 'IRR', an indication of their nonverbal nature.
(136) Dif dadin pensil erg-em éra.

I 1SGPOS pencil NUM:1-CST NEG
'I don't have a/any pencils.'
Ejen(a) eken(a) ofon miyefen mosu ejen(a) erg-em éra. woman red 3 SGPOS money mother woman NUM:1CST NEG 'The old woman doesn't have any large bills.' (miyefen mosu ejena $=$ large quantity bills)

### 12.7.4 Tag tinogurá

The contra-expectation conjunction tinogurá 'but not' (§11.3.7.2) may occur as a tag, that is, a proform taking the place of a clause, following a positive clause to signal frustrated action, as in (138) and (139).
(138) Motah no-kef, dif di-ogow ofoj rot dif di-eyja jig pasar, morning DNR-here I 1SG-cut pre-set about I 1SG-go LOC market

## tinogurá.

but.not
'This morning, I planned that I go to the market, but didn't.' (cut pre-set = plan)
[D]
y-omut miy okow mogum, tinogurá.
DU-demand.paymt cloth debt RECIPPRN but.not
'they (two) demanded a payment of cloth (kain timor) debt of each other, but
didn't get it.'
[T15]

### 12.7.5 Prohibitives

Negation of declarative and imperative clauses is distinguished, in that prohibitives or negative imperatives are signaled by the negative adverb edak 'NEG.DEON'. When the subject of a prohibitive clause is second person singular, the pronominal prefix bi- is suppressed, the subject being expressed by the personal pronoun bua 'you.SG' only, and the irrealis marker em-occurs in the abbreviated form $m$ - 'IRR', as in (140) and (141). In a serial verb construction ( $\S 9.1 .1$ ), only the verb with the second person subject, such as ohojoh 'ruin' in (142), has the abbreviated form, although both verbs in the construction share the same mode. The negative adverb néesa 'not yet', as in (143), is the only negative adverb that has been observed to co-occur with edak. Negative imperatives have a single form, although they may be interpreted as stronger or weaker depending upon the intensity in which they are uttered.
(140) Bua m-esek(a) dif edak!
you.SG IRR-poke I NEG.DEON
'Don't touch me!'
[D]
(141) Bua m-ekris dif di-ogá edak.
you.SG IRR-exceed I 1SG-speech NEG.DEON
'Don't oppose my words.'
(142) Bua m-ohojoh miyefen em-oysa tisef edak!
you.SG IRR-ruin money IRR-finished today NEG.DEON
'Don't waste money today!' (lit. don't ruin money [so] it is used up) [D]
(143) Bua m-og néesa edak.
you.SG IRR-gouge not.yet NEG.DEON
'Don't write yet!'
When the subject of a clause negated with edak is second person dual or plural, it is expressed with a personal pronoun and a pronominal prefix on the verb, as in (144), and may be interpreted as either negative imperative or negative deontic.
(144) Yoga y-em-ecira jig maeken(a) edak.
you.DU DU-IRR-walk LOC garden NEG.DEON
'Don't you (two) walk to the garden!' or
'You (two) shouldn't walk in the garden.'

### 12.7.6 Negative response

In response to a polar question, the independent negative word ogurá 'no' is employed, as in (145). The negative adverb néesa 'not yet' has also been observed to occur, standing alone as an independent negative response, separated by a pause and having its own intonation contour, as in (146).
(145) Ogurá. Bua erá noga bi-eset ofa, erogá en-ogos.
no you.SG THM REL 2SG-prick s/he hence DUR-die
'No. You are [the one] who stabbed him, so he is dying.' [D]
(146) Ergog y-ejeka gug ofa ohot, [Néesa. Yef no-ma-i erá okuk they.DU DU-call.out to s/he say not.yet we.DU DNR-far-GIV THM like
merga owock(a)...]
wood sprout
'They (two) called out to her, "Not yet. We are like tree buds...."'(direct quote)
[T23]
The independent negative word ogurá 'no' may function as a proform, taking the place of a clause, as in (147) and (148).
(147) Dif, ogurá.

I no
'[As for] me, no.'
No-ma-i, ogurá!
DNR-far-GIV no
'[As for] that, no!'

### 12.7.7 Lexically negative verbs and adverbs

In addition to standard or sentential negation, the notion of the opposite or denial of a positive assertion may be accomplished through a number of verbs or adverbs which are lexically negative. Lexically negative verbs, such as odow 'disinclined', 'not want' or 'reject', odrurna 'oblivious', 'unaware', ahusta 'withhold', 'hold back', edis 'mismatch', 'not hit target', or 'miss', otu 'be scarce', etc. communicate concepts which are primarily expressed as the negation of an assertion in other languages. Lexically negative verbs, such as odow 'not want' in (149) or ahusta 'withhold' in (150), may not be negated.
(149) Ofa odow mar no-ma-i, erogá eyjgej gijga. s /he disinclined thing DNR-far-GIV hence throw.away only 'He didn't want the thing, so (he) just threw it away.'
(150) eri i-ahusta mom no-ma-i fen i-eferiok no-ma-i they.PL 3PL-withhold cassava DNR-far-GIV from 3PL-sm.child DNR-far-GIV 'they withheld the single-root cassava from the small children' [T11]

Lexically negative adverbs: sohoha 'unintentionally' or 'not deliberately', as in (151), ses 'inadvertently' or 'mistakenly', as in (152), and ruruy 'unrestrictedly' or 'not concurring with the norm', as in (153), also communicate the opposite of a positive assertion.
(151) Dif di-ecira sohoh(a) nom.

I 1SG-walk unintentional VER
'I truly am walking (around) with no forethought.'
'I truly am not walking (around) with any special purpose.'
(152) Dif di-ociga ses.

I 1SG-strike mistakenly
'I struck (it) inadvertently.'
Dif di-eyj di-ofoms(a) jig keradi ruruy I 1SG-toss 1SG-energy LOC work unrestrict. 'I spent effort on the work in vain'

Lexically negative adverbs may also occur in a negated clause, such as sohoha occurs in the negated complement clause in (154).
(154) Dif di-ejgen rot [bua bi-em-osra jig dadin mod=kef I 1SG-know about you.SG 2SG-IRR-enter LOC 1SGPOS house=here sohoh(a) éra.]
unintentional NEG
'I know you didn't enter my house unintentionally.'

## Appendix A <br> Moskona and Meyah, a comparison

### 0.0 Introduction

In the past, Moskona was considered to be a dialect of Meyah, a more widely known East Bird's Head language. This was based on a high cognate percentage (up to $85 \%$ ). But the high cognate percentage and strong parallels in syntax notwithstanding, their mutual intelligibility level is very low, as Moskona speakers are not able to understand speakers of Meyah and vice versa.

Contributing to their low mutual intelligibility is the frequent occurrence of two or more differences in the morphological forms of words which have the same meaning. These differences may take the shape of segmental disparities and/or accent placement. Segmental disparities may involve either consonants or vowels, such as the Moskona verb ogow 'chop' and the Meyah cognate agob 'chop', or even the presence of a separate syllable, as in the Moskona noun efi 'leaf' and its corresponding Meyah form efeyi 'leaf'. Differing accent placement in corresponding forms may be seen in the Moskona verb éysaha 'reach'/'arrive' and the Meyah cognate esagá 'reach'/'arrive' or the Moskona noun eféga 'body' and Meyah efagá 'body. Mismatches of the pronominal prefixes, which signal subject on verbs and possessor on inalienable nouns, also produce comprehension difficulties, such as the Moskona dual pronominal prefix $y$ - 'DU' indicating dual for all persons, in contrast to the Meyah prefixes which distinguish dual in three forms na-'1DU.INC', ma- '1DU.EX' and ge- '2/3DU'. In addition, the prefix $i$ - in Moskona indicates third person plural, but in Meyah second person plural.

The main purpose of this appendix is to give a brief survey of the similarities and differences in the phonologies, morphophonemic processes, morphologies and syntactic structures of Moskona and Meyah.

The discussion begins at the segmental level with comparison of the phonological systems (§1.0) and precedes through morphophonemics (§1.4) to a comparison of the word classes (§2.0). A comparison of the structure and composition of noun phrases ( $\S 3.0$ ) and prepositional phrases ( $\S 4.0$ ) is followed by a discussion of simple clausal structures (§5.0), including classificatory verbs, experiential constructions, and emotional-state constructions. More complex constructions, such as complementation clauses (§6.0) and serial verb constructions (§7.0) are considered, followed by a comparison of the linkers found in combined clauses ( $\S 8.0$ ). The discussion concludes with a brief comparison of interrogatives ( $\S 9.0$ ), commands ( $\S 10.0$ ), and attitude markers (§11.0). Comparison examples will be given in each topic area to illustrate the similarities or differences.

No attempt has been made to postulate proto-forms, although where appropriate, morphological similarities will be noted, nor will an attempt will be made to interpret processes which brought about differences in forms or structures.

Meyah data used for comparison, taken from "Meyah:an east Bird's Head Language of Papua, Indonesia" by Gilles Gravelle (2004) is from a unified dialect which has minor regional differences. The Moskona data in this comparison is primarily from the northern dialect.

### 1.0 Phonologies

The segmental inventories of Moskona and Meyah, are almost identical. The consonants will be discussed first, followed by a comparison of the vowels and their allophones. The suprasegmental systems are both pitch accent, and although quite similar have the major difference of accent placement. A comparison of the morphophonemic processes found in Moskona and Meyah will complete the discussion of the phonological systems.

### 1.1 Segmental inventories

With the exception of the approximants, the segmental inventories are identical, that is, Moskona and Meyah have (almost) identical lists of consonants and vowels.

### 1.1.1 Consonants

The consonantal systems of Moskona and Meyah share an asymmetrical configuration due to the distinctive absence of a voiceless bilabial stop, as illustrated in Table 1. The Moskona segmental inventory has 15 consonants; the Meyah segmental inventory has 13 consonants. The ostensible difference in number is due to the ascribed status of the approximants $/ \mathrm{w} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y} /$, which in Moskona are given phonemic status, but in Meyah are given non-consonantal status, interpreted as glides on vowels, and noted as (w) and (y) in Table 1. A secondary difference in the consonantal inventories is found in the allophones. Moskona and Meyah differ in the allophones of the voiced bilabial stop /b/, the voiceless alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$, the voiced affricate $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and the alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$.
In Table 1 a summary of the consonantal inventories of Moskona and Meyah are given. As a concession to the orthography, the symbols $/ \mathrm{c} /, / \mathrm{j} / \mathrm{/} / \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{/} / \mathrm{h} /, / \mathrm{r} /$, and $/ \mathrm{y} /$ are used to represent the phonemes $/ \overline{\mathrm{ff}} /, / \overline{\mathrm{d}} /, / \Phi /, / \mathrm{x} /, / \mathrm{f} /$, and $/ \mathrm{j} /$ respectively.

Table 1. Summary of the consonantal systems in Moskona and Meyah

|  | labial | alveolar | palatal | velar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| plosives vl. |  | t | c | k |
| vd | b | d | j | g |
| fricatives | f | s |  | h |
| nasals | m | n |  |  |
| flap |  | r |  |  |
| approximants | $\mathrm{w} /(\mathrm{w})$ |  | $\mathrm{y} /(\mathrm{y})$ |  |

The voiceless allophone of the voiced bilabial plosive $/ b /$, $[p]$, has a different environment in Moskona than in Meyah, as [p] in Moskona occurs preceding a voiceless stop, as in (1a), and the voiced plosive [b] occurs word-finally as in (1b). In Meyah, the voiceless bilabial plosive [p] occurs word-finally only, as in (2b), and the voiced plosive [b] occurs preceding a voiceless stop, as in (2a).

Moskona:
$/ \mathrm{b} />[\mathrm{p}] /$ $\qquad$ vl. stop

Meyah:
$/ \mathrm{b} />[\mathrm{p}] /$ $\qquad$ \#
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (2a) } & \text { /obk/ } \\ \text { (2b) } & \text { [agob }] \\ \text { [agób] } \sim \text { [agóp }]\end{array} \begin{aligned} & \text { 'strike' }\end{aligned}$
The voiced palatal affricate $/ \mathrm{j} /$ in Moskona has three variant allophones [ $\overline{\mathrm{d}}] \sim[\mathrm{f}] \sim[\mathrm{tf}]$, as in (3). The Meyah voiced palatal affricate $/ \mathrm{j} /$ has a single phonetic realization [ $\overline{\mathrm{d}}$ ], as in (4).

Moskona:
/ójgun/
[ód3gun] ~ [óSgun] ~ [ótfgun] 'cough'
Meyah:
(4)
/ojgunú/
[ođ̧̄gunú] 'cough'

In Moskona the alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$, do not have palatal allophones, as in (5a and 5b). In Meyah the alveolar nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ and alveolar fricative $/ \mathrm{s} /$ have the allophones $[\mathrm{n}]$ and $\left[\int\right]$ following the palatal approximant ( y ), as in ( 6 a and 6 b ).

Moskona:

| (5a) | /óyna/ | [ójna] | 'cook' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (5b) | /óysa/ | [ójsa] | 'finish' |
| Meyah: |  |  |  |
| $/ \mathrm{n} />$ [n] / (y) |  |  |  |
| (6a) | /oina/ | [ójna] | 'cook' |
|  | /s/ > [S] / (y) _ |  |  |
| (6b) | /óisa/ | [ójJa] | 'finish' |

### 1.1.2 Vowels

Both Moskona and Meyah have a five vowel systems with an identical configuration of vowel phonemes, but differ in the allophones of some vowel phonemes and the environments of other vowel phonemes. The mid front vowel/e/ is realized as $[\varepsilon]$ in both, as in Moskona /ek/ [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon k}$ ] 'see' and Meyah /ek/ [ ck ] 'see'. The high front vowel /i / is realized as [i] and [r] in both, but in Moskona [r] occurs preceding/r/ in closed syllables, and [i] elsewhere, as in (7a). In Meyah, the allophone [r] occurs preceding $/ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{in}$ closed syllables, and [i] elsewhere, as in (8a). Regarding the allophones of the back vowels, in Moskona the high back vowel $/ \mathrm{u} /$ has the allophone [ $\varnothing$ ] occurring preceding the palatal approximant $/ \mathrm{y} /$, and $[\mathrm{u}]$ elsewhere, as in (7b). Meyah has a single phonetic realization of $/ \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{as}[\mathrm{u}]$, as in (8b). In Moskona the mid back vowel /o/ has the allophone variation [o] ~ [ 0 ] contiguous to a velar consonant, and [ o ] elsewhere, as in (7c). The Meyah mid back vowel /o/ has a single phonetic realization [o], as in (8c).

Moskona:
(7)
a. ébir/
/éri/
/éfin/
[ $\varepsilon$ $\beta$ Ir]
'head'
[ $\varepsilon$ ri]
'they.PL'
/éfin/
[ [́ $\Phi$ in]
'flatten'
b. /ósuy/
[ósøj]
'ear'
/jug/

'against'
c. ${ }^{\text {/ékok/ }}$
/ófof/
[と́kok] ~ [ékok]
'father'
[о́фоф]
'run'

Meyah:


### 1.2 Syllable types

Moskona and Meyah have identical canonical syllable types:V, CV, VC, and CVC, as in:

|  | Moskona | Meyah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V | e.bir 'head' | e.bah 'raw' |
| CV | ji.da 'until' | ma.mu 'stone |
| VC | ah.da 'itch' | eb.ga 'torn' |
| CVC | me.deg 'bamboo' | mar.fok 'flower' |

In Moskona there is a phenomenon found in running speech, in which roots with the syllable structure CVC.CV, such as mer.ga 'wood', or the syllable structure VC.CV, such as ah.ta 'black', may elide an unaccented root-final /a/. The resulting syllable types CVCC and VCC are produced, yielding forms such as merg 'wood' and aht 'black'. This phenomenon does not occur in Meyah.

### 1.3 Pitch-accent system

Both Moskona and Meyah are pitch accent languages with only one tone melody. Moskona is a mixture of quantity-sensitive accent placement and lexical accent placement, although the placement of accent on roots is generally predictable, typically occurring on the penultimate syllable of the word. In Meyah accent placement is contrastive, such as root-final placement in efagá 'body' and penultimate placement in ébah 'alive'. Accent placement contributes greatly to the lack of mutual intelligibility between Moskona and Meyah, although their lexicons are approximately $80-85 \%$ cognate. The cognate pairs listed in Table 2 illustrate the difference in accent placement.

## Table 2. Accent placement in a few Moskona and Meyah cognates

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eféga | 'body' | efagá | 'body' |
| cîyja | 'five' | cinja | 'five' |
| ébah | 'alive' | ébah | 'alive' |
| móga | 'wall' | magá | 'cliff face' |
| émba | 'rotten' | embá | 'rotten' |
| órna | 'man' | óna | 'man' |
| eféja | 'hair' | efejî | 'hair' |
| mogósa | 'snake' | magosú | 'snake' |
| meybága | 'sky' | meybagá | 'sky' |
| meméga | 'mountain' | meymagá | 'mountain' |

### 1.4 Morphophonemics

### 1.4.1 Verbal affixation

The morphophonemic processes in Moskona and Meyah are almost parallel. In both Moskona and Meyah vowels of inflectional prefixes assimilate to agree with the most contiguous vowel of the root, that is, whatever root vowel is closest to the affix. These include affixes on verbs, inalienable nouns, the plural suffix and the circumfix.

The vowels of the verbal prefixes which express verbal properties undergo regressive (anticipatory) assimilation which is non-contiguous. The prefix vowel /e/ of the durative prefix en- 'DUR', the irrealis prefix em- 'IRR' and the causative prefix er- 'CAUS' assimilates to agree in backness with the first vowel of the root, such as the causative/instrument prefix er-vowel /e/ assimilates to /o/ to agree in backness with the first vowel of the Moskona verb ofjig in (9) or the Meyah verb ofij in (10). The vowel of the irrealis prefix em-assimilates /e/ to agree with the first vowel of the root on verbs, such as the Moskona verb $e k$ 'see' in (11) or the Meyah verb $e k$ 'see' in (12).

Moskona:

| (9) | er-ofjig |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAUS-help 's/he helps' |  |

Meyah:

| er-ofij | [oróфid $\overline{3}]$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| INSTR-help |  |
| 's/he helps |  |

Moskona:

(11) | em-ek | [ m ék] |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  | IRR-see |
|  | $\mathrm{s} /$ he will see' |

Meyah:

| em-ek | [ mm ह́k] |
| :--- | :--- |
| IRR-see |  |
| 's/he will see' |  |

The vowels of pronominal prefixes, which signal subject on verbs and possessor on inalienable nouns, coalesce with the initial mid vowel of the stem to form a vowel which has the height of the prefix vowel and the frontness or backness of the stem vowel, such as the vowel /i/ in the prefix bi- in (13) coalesces with the first vowel of the Moskona verb root oduk, yielding /u/, or the vowel /i/ of the Meyah prefix bi- in (14) coalesces with the first vowel of the Meyah verb root $o b k$, yielding /u/. Similarly, the vowels of the Moskona prefix $i$-, as in (15), and the Meyah prefix ri-, as in (16), coalesce with the front vowel of the verb root, yielding /i/. The mid vowel /e/ in the Meyah prefix ge-, as in (18), coalesces with the vowel of the root et 'eat' to yield /e/. The Moskona dual marker $y$ - is a consonant and does not undergo assimilation, as in (17).

Moskona:

(13) | bi-oduk(a) [budúk] |
| :--- |
|  |
| 2SG-order |
|  |
| 'you order' |

Moskona:

## (15) i-ek <br> 3PL-see <br> 'they see'

Moskona:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (17) } & \begin{array}{l}\text {-et } \\ \\ \text { DU-eat } \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \quad[\mathrm{jou} \text { (two) eat }]\end{array}$

Meyah:

(14) |  | $b i$-obk | [bubk] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2SG-send |  |
|  | you send' |  |

Meyah:

| (16) | ri-ek | [rik] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL-see |  |
|  | 'they see' |  |

Meyah:

(18) | ge-et [get] |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2DU-eat |
|  | 'you (two) eat' |

The morphophonemic process in Moskona differs slightly from the process in Meyah for those Meyah verbs and inalienable nouns which have the root-initial low vowel /a/. The vowels of these Meyah roots do not undergo coalescence. Rather, the root-initial /a/ overrides the morphophonemic process, eliding the prefix vowel, such as the prefix vowel in di- is elided when attached to the verb aha 'put' in (19) or the inalienable noun aki 'leg' in (20). In Moskona the vowels of pronominal prefixes coalesce with root-initial vowels in all occurrences, such as the prefix vowel in di- and the initial vowel of the verb
ahac in (21) coalesce to form /i/. (No inalienable nouns in Moskona have a root-initial /a/.)

Meyah:

| (19)di-aha <br> 1SG-put <br> 'I put' | [dáxa] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (20) | di-aki <br>  <br> 1SG-leg <br> 'my leg' | [dáki] |

Moskona:

| (21) | di-ahac |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1SG-tie |
|  | 'I tie' |

In Moskona the placement of the vowel/a/ as a central vowel may be artificial, as it is not distinguished from the front vowels in its interaction with the vowels of the prefixes. In Meyah /a/ is distinguished from the front vowels in that it overrides the coalescence process.

### 1.4.2 Plural marker

The plural marker -ir 'PL', which marks plural in both Meyah and Moskona, attaches to nouns denoting humans, such as the Moskona kinship term for younger siblings of the same sex, as in (22), and the Meyah kinship term for younger siblings of the same sex, as in (23). The suffix vowel /i/ coalesces with the root-final /a/, and is realized as $/ \mathrm{e} /[\varepsilon]$.

Moskona:
m-ok-esa-ir
[mokesér]
'NR-sib.s.s-yg-PL'

Meyah:
(23) me-oko-sa-ir [mokosér] '3SG-sib.s.s-yg-PL’

### 1.4.3 Reduplicative processes

Very similar reduplicative processes occur in Moskona and Meyah, yielding a partial reduplication of the last two or three segments of a root, such as the Moskona form egesgesa in (24) and the Meyah form orugrug in (25).

Moskona:
(24) egés-gés(a) 'very high'

Meyah:
(25) orúg-rúg 'very yellow'

There is a template for a reduplicant with pre-specified positions, $(\mathrm{V}) \mathrm{CmV}[-\mathrm{hi}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{V})$, which functions as an intensifier. Reduplicants formed from this template are found in Moskona, such as eskeyramera in (26) and koskamoka in (28), and in Meyah, such as eskeirameira in (27) and onkoskamoka in (29).

Moskona:
eskéyr-amer(a) 'most clear'
Moskona:
(28) kósk-amok(a) 'very well'

Meyah:
(27) eskéyra-meyra 'very healthy'

Meyah:
(29) onkóska-moka 'very beautiful'

### 2.0 Morphology

The grammatical categories in Moskona and Meyah are very similar, as both have two major word classes, verbs and nouns, and several minor classes which include adverbs and adverbials, numerals, prepositions, question words and conjunctions.

### 2.1 Verbs

Moskona and Meyah verb roots share the morphophonological characteristic of a rootinitial non-high vowel, /e, o, or a/, as in the Moskona verb roots $e k$ 'see', ot 'stand', and ahac 'tie', and the Meyah verb roots eker 'sit', ohoda 'dismantle', and agob 'strike'.

Subcategories of verbs for both Moskona and Meyah include transitive and intransitive verbs, with adjectival verbs as a subclass of intransitive. A few ambitransitive verbs also occur in both languages. Moskona has an additional type of intransitive verb, a detransitivized verb in which the subject has the semantic role of undergoer. It is derived by the cliticization of the spatial deictic -ef 'near' to a transitive verb, such as ohmef 'tore loose' in (30). Detransitivized verbs are not found in Meyah.

```
merga ohma=ef
wood tear.loose=near
'the tree tore loose'
```


## Prefixes

The pronominal prefixes indexing subject in Moskona parallel the pronominal prefixes in Meyah, with the exception of the inclusive/exclusive opposition found in Meyah. A comparison of the pronominal prefixes are presented and discussed in personal deixis §2.5.1.4 of this appendix.

Inflectional categories which are common to both languages include durative, indicated by en- 'DUR', irrealis indicated by em- 'IRR', and causative in Moskona/instrument in Meyah indicated by er- 'CAUS'/'INSTR'. The order of inflectional morphemes in the transitive verb word for both Moskona and Meyah is:subject (as pronominal prefix), mode (as irrealis) or aspect (as durative), causative (also signaling an instrument), object (as circumfix, to replace a reciprocal pronoun) and verb root, abbreviated as:

SubjP (IRR/DUR) (CAUS/INSTR) (ObjCfx) Vrt
The subject-irrealis-causative order is illustrated by the prefixes attached to the Moskona verb ef 'do.in.turns' in (31) and the Meyah verb eba 'wrap s.t.' in (32).

Moskona:
(31) i-em-er-ef

3PL-IRR-CAUS-do.in.turns
'(they) would wind s.t. in turns'
Meyah:
ri-em-er-eba
3PL-IRR-INST-wrap
'(they) would wrap s.t.'
In Moskona the verbal prefix indicating irrealis em- 'IRR' is obligatorily attached to the verb in all negated (verbal) clauses, as in (33). Meyah differs in that the prefix indicating durative aspect en- 'DUR' obligatorily occurs on all verbs in negated clauses, as in (34).
(34) Ofa en-et mat guru.
s/he DUR-eat food NEG
'He didn't eat the food.'
The two additional verbal prefixes which occur in Meyah, but have not been observed in Moskona, are the perfective infix $-\mathrm{N}-$, as in $a<\mathrm{N}>g o s$ 'die' in (35), and the inceptive prefix $e j$ - 'INCEP', a grammaticalization of the verb eyja 'go', as in ej-ok (INCEP-bear) 'start carrying' in (36).

Meyah:
(35) Di-a<N>gos jeska ....
$1 \mathrm{SG}<\mathrm{PERF}>$ die from
'After I have died ...'
(36) Di-ej-ok megej

1SG-INCEP-bear firewood
'I started carrying the firewood'

## Suffixes

Pronominal suffixes which index object in Meyah, such as the suffix -ib attached to the verb agob in (37), do not occur in Moskona. Moskona requires a free pronoun, such as bua in (38), to fill the object position.

Meyah:

> di-agob-ib [dagóbib]

1SG-chop-2SG
'I struck you (with a machete)'
Moskona:
dif di-ogow bua
[dúgow]
I 1SG-chop you.SG
'I struck you (with a machete)'
Verbs in Moskona and Meyah may be reduplicated with the reduplicant acting as an affix. For adjectival verbs in Moskona, the reduplicant signals intensification of quantity, such as Moskona adjectival verb orokecec in (39). For adjectival verbs in Meyah, the reduplicant signals intensification of quality, such as the adjectival verb etebeb in (40). For transitive and intransitive verbs, the reduplicant signals continuous or iterative aspect, such as Moskona intransitive verb ahdahda in (41) and Meyah transitive verb ejekjeka in (42).

Moskona:

```
(39) merah orokec-ec
    fire big-RED
    'many big fires'
```

Meyah:
(40) mekeni eteb-teb
garden RED-big
'a very big garden'
Moskona:
(41) ahd-ahda
itch-RED
'constantly itch'
Meyah:
(42) ejek-jeka

RED-ask
'repeatedly ask (s.t.)'

### 2.2 Nouns

The noun classes of Moskona and Meyah have the subcategories alienable and inalienable, with identical phonological and morphological characteristics. That is, alienable nouns, which have a syntactic possession strategy, typically have $m$ - fused to the root, such as the Moskona alienable noun mitow 'machete' in (43) and Meyah alienable noun meiteb 'machete' in (44). Inalienable nouns, which have a morphological possession strategy, have a non-high vowel as the root-initial segment, such as Moskona inalienable noun ebir 'head' in (45) and Meyah inalienable noun ebirfaga 'head' in (46).

Moskona:
(43) $\begin{array}{ll}\text { ofon mitow } \\ & \text { 3SGPOS machete } \\ & \text { 'his/her machete' }\end{array}$

Meyah:
(44) efen meiteb

3SGPOS machete
'his/her machete'

Moskona:
(45) di-ebir

1SG-head
'my head'

Meyah:
(46) di-ebirfaga

1SG-head
'my head'

### 2.3 Adverbs

Adverbs in Moskona and Meyah have similar subcategories which may be grouped by their clausal position and scope of application. Those which have the narrowest scope occur immediately following a verb and its optional object, while those with broader scope occur clause finally.

Those adverbs which occur closest to the verb include the manner adverbs, such as the Moskona adverb terir in (47), and the Meyah adverb koisoisa in (48).

Moskona:
(47) Eri i-ek terir
they.PL 3PL-see exactly
'they see exactly'

Meyah:
(48) Rua ri-ek koisoisa
they.PL 3PL-see clearly
'they see clearly'
Locative adverbs, such as the Moskona adverb teraw in (49) and the Meyah adverb skida in (50), may follow a peripheral argument.

Moskona:
(49) Bua bi-ofra lampu no-ma-i teraw you.SG 2SG-lift lamp DNR-far-GIV above 'Lift the lamp above.'

Meyah:
(50) Ri-ei rerin mod gij mega esta skida

3PL-build 3PLPOS house in tree branch above
'(they) built their houses in the tree branches above.'

Moskona and Meyah have a subgroup of adverbs, the focus adverbs, which may modify nominal, as well as predicate elements. The Moskona focus adverbs with the corresponding Meyah equivalents are:
Moskona
gijga $\quad$ 'only'
tin
ruruy
'also' or 'even'
romreg
sis 'entirely' / 'all'
tas 'any'
toga 'past'
'more' or 'again'

| Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ojgomu | 'only' |
| tein | 'also' |
| rourou | 'arbitrary' |
| nomnaga | 'entirely' / 'all' |
| sismeni | 'past' |
| deika | 'again' |
| toga | 'different' |

The Moskona focus adverb romreg in (51) and the Meyah focus adverb nomnaga in (52), modify a predicate. These same adverbs may also be seen to modify a nominal, such as mif in (53) and mimif in (54).

Moskona:

```
(51) (ofa) en em-oysa-mos romreg jog
    s/he do IRR-finish-RED entirely already
    'he did (it) [so] it was entirely finished up already'
```

Meyah:
(52) Ri-o<m>f mega, fogera em-oysa nomnaga

3PL-PERF-fell tree cause IRR-finish entirely 'we felled the trees, so it would be entirely finished'

## Moskona:

(53) mif romreg mi-ejgen rot... we.PL all 1PL-know about 'we all know that ...'

Meyah:
(54) Mimif nomnaga mi-ejginaga rot...
we.PL.IN all 1PL.IN-know about
'we all know that..'

## Clause-final adverbs

Those adverbs in Moskona and Meyah which have the entire clause as their scope occur in clause-final position, expressing phasal aspect. These are:

| Moskona | Meyah |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jog | 'already' | fob | 'already' |
| roga | 'first' | fog | 'before'/'first' |
| ros | 'still' | ros | 'still' |

The Moskona clause-final adverb jog in (55) and the Meyah clause-final adverb fob in (56) express the semantic notion 'already'.

Moskona:
(55) Ofa et mar jog. s /he eat thing already 'He already ate.'

Meyah:
(56) Ofa et mat fob.
s/he eat food already
'He already ate.'
Moskona and Meyah have modal type clause-final adverbs, which follow a negative adverb if one is present.The clause-final adverbs which have a modal function are:

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| deke | 'may' | -- |  |
| eri | 'probably' | -- |  |
| jef | 'DEON' | jefa | 'should' |
| nom | 'VER' | nom | 'MOD' |
| se | 'certainly' | si | 'certainly' |

Not all of those which occur in Moskona, such as the clause-final adverbs deke 'may' and eri 'probably', have an equivalent form in Meyah. Permission in Meyah is given in the form of an idiomatic command, using ojgomи 'just', as in bua bi-eyja ojgomu (you.SG 2SG-go just) 'just go'.
The Meyah cognates of some Moskona clause-final adverbs do not always perform all of the same functions, as illustrated by the Moskona clause-final adverb nom 'VER' in (57), which has abilitative and epistemic (verity) modal functions. The Meyah adverb nom in (58) has only abilitative mode.

Moskona:

| (57) Mow no-ma-i em-ecen ér(a) | nom. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| land DNR-far-GIV IRR-distant | NEG | VER |  |
|  | 'The place really isn't far.' |  |  |

Meyah:

| Didif di-otunggom | mar ke-uma | nom. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I 1SG-do | thing | NOM-that | ABIL |
| 'I am able to do that.' |  |  |  |

## Negative adverbs

The negative adverbs in Moskona and Meyah, which occur clause or sentence-finally, differ in that Moskona has a larger inventory of negative adverbs. Negative adverbs in Moskona express the negation of a proposition, negation of a possibility, and the negative imperative. Moskona also has an independent negative word. Meyah distinguishes only the negation of a proposition and negation of a possibility, whereas expression of imperative negation requires a phrase. Independent negation in Meyah utilizes the negative adverb which is employed for negation of a proposition.

In the negation of a proposition, Moskona employs éra 'NEG', as in (59), and Meyah uses gиги 'NEG', as in (60).

Moskona:
(59) Dif di-em-ek mar éra.

I 1SG-IRR-see thing NEG
'I didn't see the thing.'

Meyah:
(60) Didif di-en-ek mar guru.

I 1SG-DUR-see thing NEG
'I didn't see the thing.'

In the negation of a possibility, Moskona utilizes the negative adverb néesa 'not yet', as in (61); Meyah uses the negative adverb enesi 'not yet', as in (62).

Moskona:
(61) Ejena no-ma-i em-eyja jig maeken néesa woman DNR-far-GIV IRR-go LOC garden not.yet
'The woman didn't go to the garden yet'
Meyah:
Ri-osnok nomnaga ri-en-en jah Indog Efej enesi 3PL-person all 3PL-DUR-come to Indog Efej not.yet 'All the people had not come to Indog Efej yet.'

In expressing negative imperative, Moskona utilizes the negative adverb edak 'NEG.DEON', as in (63), but Meyah requires the adverbial phrase ojgoти guru, as in (64).

Moskona:
(63) Bua bi-em-et mar edak.
you.SG 2SG-IRR-eat thing NEG.DEON
'You shouldn't eat it.'

Meyah:
(64) Bua bi-en-et mar ojgomи guru
you.SG 2SG-DUR-eat thing only NEG
'You shouldn't eat it.'

To express independent negation, Moskona uses the distinct form ogurá 'no', as in (65). In Meyah the independent negation word is not distinct from the negative adverb guru used to negate propositions, as in (66).

Moskona:
(65) No-ma-i, ogurá!

DNR-far-GIV no
'That (one), no!'

Meyah:
(66) Guru, mosona en-oitij ...
no foreigner DUR-agree
'no, the foreigner has (not) agreed ...'

## Verbal adjuncts

The Moskona spatial preposition kerenga 'over' and the non-spatial jug 'against' function as adjuncts in a verb plus adjunct construction which serves as an idiomatic unit. This type of construction is possibly an areal feature in languages found in the eastern Bird's Head (Reesink 2002:22). The Meyah cognates keingg 'ADV' and joug 'ADV', appear to no longer function as prepositions, having transitioned to verb particles or adjuncts, which are used in idiomatic (or abstract) expressions only. These verb-plusadjunct constructions may be observed in Moskona, as in the verb ot 'stand' plus the preposition kerenga 'over' in (67) or the verb ot 'stand' plus the preposition jug 'against' in (68). In Meyah, the construction is seen in the verb ot 'stand' plus keingg 'ADV' in (69) and ot plus joug 'ADV' in (70).

Moskona:
(67) Eri i-ot kerenga mif
they.PL 3PL-stand over we.PL
'They oppressed us.'
Meyah:
(68) Rua ri-ot keingg mif
they.PL 3PL-stand ADV we.PL
'They oppressed us.'
Moskona:
(69) ofa ot jug efer
s /he stand against child
'he guards the child'
Meyah:
(70) ofa ot joug efesa s/he stand ADV child
'he guards the child'

### 2.4 Numerals

### 2.4.1 Cardinal numbers

The Moskona and Meyah numeral systems are parallel for numeral expressions six through ten. Numerals one through five are unique roots in Moskona and Meyah. Numerals six through nine are constructions composed of the root for 'five' plus a lower numeral. Table 3 gives the parallels of the cardinal number systems in Moskona and Meyah, illustrating numerals one to ten.

Table 3. Cardinal number systems of Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  |  | Meyah |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| erg-es | 'one' |  | eg-ens | 'one' |
| erg-ak | 'two' |  | eg-eka | 'two' |
| erg-om | 'three' |  | org-omu | 'three' |
| tahgur | 'four' |  | tohkuru | 'four' |
| ciyja | 'five' |  | cinja | 'five' |
| ciyja erges okun | (five one side) | 'six' | cinja erfens | 'five (plus) one' |
| ciyja ergak okun | (five two side) | 'seven' | cinja erfeka | 'five (plus) two' |
| ciyja ergom okun | (five three side) | 'eight' | cinja orfomu | 'five (plus) three' |
| ciyja tahgur okun | (five four side) | 'nine' | cinja tohkuru | 'five (plus) four' |
| s-etka | 'ten' |  | s-etka | 'ten' |

The Moskona numeral system uses a construction composed of setka 'ten', a reduced form of the phrase (o)s(nok) etka 'person divided', plus a numeral one through four to express numerals eleven to fourteen. Meyah employs the same construction, and continues it up to nineteen. Moskona has a unique numeral for fifteen, koram 'fifteen', and forms the numerals sixteen through nineteen by the addition of numerals one through four to fifteen. The Moskona and Meyah numeral systems diverge in their expression of the number twenty. Moskona uses a construction, meaning 'one person' to express 'twenty', as in (71). Meyah uses a construction meaning 'two times ten' to express 'twenty', as in (72).

Moskona:

| (71) | i-osnok | i-erg-es |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL-person | 3PL-NUM:1-one |
|  | 'twenty' |  |

Meyah:
(72) setka egeka
ten two
'twenty'

A construction meaning 'two people' is used in Moskona to express 'forty', as in (73), in contrast to Meyah which uses a construction meaning 'four times ten' to express 'forty', as in (74).

Moskona:

| (73) | i-osnok ibah i-erg-ak |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL-person HUMAN | 3PL-NUM:1-two |
|  | 'forty' |  |

Meyah:

(74) | setka tohkuru |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ten |  |  |
|  | 'forty' |  |

### 2.4.2 Ordinal numbers

The Meyah ordinator prefix $j u$ - 'ORD' is utilized to express the order in a sequence of items or events, as in the ordinal numbers one through three, listed in (75b). Moskona no longer has an opposition between cardinal and ordinal numbers, but the ordinator prefix ocu- 'ORD' occurs in the multiplicative numeral adverbials, listed in (75a).
a. Moskona

| ocu-(er)g-es | [oḑ̧ugés] | 'once' | ju-ens | [đ̧Зuéns] | 'first' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ocu-(er)g-ak | [oḑugák] | 'twice' | ju-eka | [ $\overline{\text { ¢ }}$ uéka] | 'sec |
| ocu-(er)g-om | [oḑugóm] | 'thrice' | ju-оти | [¢ЗЗuomú] | 'third' |

### 2.4.3 Numeral classifiers

Both Meyah and Moskona utilize numeral classifiers in the numerals one, two and three, which are definite quantifier verbs. The numeral classifiers attach to bound numeral roots:

| Moskona roots |  | Meyah roots |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - es | 'one' | - ens | 'one' |
| $-a k$ | 'two' | $-e k a$ | 'two |
| $-o m$ | 'three' | $-o m u \quad$ 'three' |  |

Meyah has nine categories of numeral classifiers, Moskona has eleven. There is strong morphological similarity, as well as similarity of semantic categories between Moskona numeral classifiers and the numeral classifiers evidenced within Meyah numerals. Both Moskona and Meyah numeral classifier classes evidence conflation of categories from previously existing classes. The conflation of some numeral classifier categories in Moskona is dissimilar to the conflation of morphologically-related Meyah categories, so
that a particular property categorized by a Moskona numeral classifier does not necessarily correspond to the property of the morphologically similar numeral classifier in Meyah. In order to demonstrate similarity of the numeral classifiers, those category properties which are common to both languages, along with the corresponding numeral classifier morpheme, are given in Table 4.

The disparate properties and the various categories into which they fall demonstrate the manner in which the conflations are divergent. This may be illustrated by comparisons of a few numeral classifier classes and the properties which they categorize. The Moskona numeral classifier or- 'NUM: 7 ' categorizes the property 'mammal', but the numeral classifier or- in Meyah categorizes the broader property 'ground animals'. The nouns:turtles, lizards, and crocodiles are specified by Moskona numeral classifier er'NUM:4' which specifies the category property 'large rounded \& leathery-skinned', but are specified in Meyah by the numeral classifier or-which categorizes 'ground animals'. The property 'stick-like', which is specified by the Meyah numeral classifier erf-, has been subsumed in Moskona in the numeral classifier orj-, which categorizes long flexible things. In Moskona, chickens are specified by ers- 'NUM:6' which categorizes 'winged' creatures, but in Meyah chickens are included in the broader category or- 'ground animals'. Meyah does not have numeral classifiers equivalent to the Moskona numeral classifiers ed- 'small rounded', ort- 'multi-furcated', and ors- 'grouped'.

## Table 4. Numeral classifiers in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Numeral | Category | Numeral | Category |
| Classifier | Properties | Classifier | Properties |
| erg- | human \& generic | $e g-$ | human \& extended surfaces |
| $e d-$ | extended surfaces | -- |  |
| et- | small rounded | ert- | small rounded |
| er- | large rounded | er- | large rounded |
| erf- | thick flat | erb- | thick flat |
| -- |  | erf- | stick-like |
| ork- | thin flat | ork- | thin flat |
| ers- | winged | ers- | above ground animals |
| or- | (large) mammals | or- | ground animals |
| orj- | scaled \& long flexible orj- | scaled \& long flexible |  |
| ort- | multi-furcated | -- |  |
| ors- | grouped | -- |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 2.4.4 Sortal classifiers

Sortal classifiers in Moskona and Meyah are a finite set of generic nouns, (i.e. a subcategory of nouns), which have been grammaticalized. Although there is some overlap in category features between Moskona and Meyah, the properties which are
characterized by the sortal classifiers are divergent. Table 5 lists the sortal classifiers and the classes they categorize for Moskona and Meyah.

Table 5. Sortal classifiers in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| ibah | HUMAN |
| efeg(a) | ANIMAL |
| efi | FLAT |
| ewet | SOFT |
| owos | VEG |
| ebej | STONE |


| Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| of-ogu | HUMAN |
| ef-aga | ANIMAL |
| ef-eyi | FLAT |
| ef-ema | TUBER |
| ef-ebi | FRUIT |
| of-og | ROUND |

The Moskona sortal classifier ibah 'HUMAN' and the Meyah sortal classifier ofogu 'HUMAN' classify only humans. The Moskona classifier efeg 'ANIMAL' classifies all nonhuman creatures except insects, and includes trees. The Meyah classifier efaga 'ANIMAL' classifies warm-blooded animals, and includes houses. Moskona classifier efi 'FLAT' classifies inedible flat/pliable plant matter; Meyah classifier efeyi 'FLAT' classifies edible leafy vegetables and fish. The classifiers for flat things in both Moskona and Meyah have usage extended to introduced items, such as paper and metal roofing. The Moskona classifiers ewet 'SOFT' and owos 'VEG' classify types of edible plant items, such as bananas, spinach, tubers, and fruit, by the degree of softness. Edible plant items in Meyah fall into a number of categories grouped by classifiers such as efema 'TUBER' and efebi 'FRUIT', which differentiate between edible plants growing above or below ground, and the Meyah classifier ofog 'ROUND', which classifies items which are larger, hard, and round, whether edible or inedible. The Moskona classifier ebej 'STONE' classifies hard spherical materials which are inedible, such as hard seeds, pits, or small stones.
Sortal classifiers in Moskona and Meyah form a classifier-numeral construction with the sortal classifier as head, following many, but not all nouns. In the classifier-numeral construction in (76), the Moskona sortal classifier efeg 'ANIMAL' categorizes snakes. In the Meyah classifier-numeral construction in (77), the sortal classifier efaga 'ANIMAL' categorizes pigs.
Moskona:

(76) | mogos(a) | efeg | ors-ka |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | snake | ANIMAL | NUM:8-two |
|  | 'two snakes' |  |  |

Meyah:
(77) $\begin{aligned} & \text { mek efaga or-ingka } \\ & \text { pig ANIMAL NUM:2-two } \\ & \text { 'two pigs' }\end{aligned}$

### 2.4.5 Specifiers

The numeral 'one', most frequently in the generic form erges in Moskona and egens in Meyah, has the additional function in both Moskona and Meyah in introducing new participants in narrative discourse, but does not primarily indicate indefiniteness, as would an indefinite article. Thus, in both Moskona and Meyah specifiers may co-occur with a demonstrative pronoun, such as Moskona nomi 'that' in (78), or Meyah koma 'that' in (79), which marks thematic participants in narratives.

Moskona:
(78) Osnok erg-es no-ma-i, ofa ahah owos ahda. person NUM:1-one DNR-far-GIV s/he scratch skin itch 'The person, he scratched his itchy skin.'

Meyah:

| (79) | gegan eferatefa | eg-ens | ke-uma |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3DUPOS infant | NUM:1-one | NOM-that |
|  | 'they (two) have a baby' |  |  |

### 2.5 Deixis

The personal, spatial and textual deictics of Moskona and Meyah have a great deal of morphological similarity, but differ somewhat in behavioral properties. The personal deixis systems, composed of the various types of pronouns, including the pronominal prefixes, will be discussed first, followed by the spatial deictics and their textual functions.

### 2.5.1 Personal deixis

### 2.5.1.1 Personal pronouns

A number of the equivalent personal pronouns in Moskona and Meyah which are polymorphemic have similar morphological composition. Those pronouns which include the speaker, attach the spatial clitic -ef 'near' to a pronominal prefix, the vowels of the prefixes and clitic coalescing to yield the high vowel/i/. The dual forms, except for first person, have -og 'dual' as a bound root. The first person prefixes, indicating singular and plural in Meyah are reduplicated. Table 6 shows the Moskona and Meyah personal pronoun systems.

Table 6. Free personal pronouns in Moskona and Meyah

| SG |  | Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | di-ef | [di¢] | di-di-ef | [didi $\Phi$ ] |
|  | 2 | bua |  | bua |  |
|  | 3 | ofa |  | ofa |  |
| DU | 1 IN | $y$-ef | [je¢] | na-og-i-ef | [ ${ }_{\text {ági¢ }}$ ] |
|  | 1EX | $\varnothing$ |  | ma-og-i-ef | [mági¢] |
|  | 2 | $y-o g a$ |  | $g-o g a$ |  |
|  | 3 | erg-og |  | g-oga |  |
| PL | 1 IN | mif |  | mi-mi-ef | [mími¢] |
|  | 1EX | $\varnothing$ |  | me-me-ef | [mémeф] |
|  | 2 | yua |  | iwa |  |
|  | 3 | eri |  | rua |  |

The Moskona reciprocal pronoun mogum 'each other' in (80) is a cognate of the Meyah reciprocal pronoun moguma 'each other' in (81).

Moskona:

| (80) | eri <br> they.PL | 3PL-count mar mogum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thing each.other |  |  |,

Meyah:
(81) Rua ri-agot moguma
they.PL 3PL-say each.other
'They talked to each other.'

### 2.5.1.2 Possessive pronouns

The possessive pronouns of Moskona and the possessive pronouns of Meyah also demonstrate parallel composition. Each has a prefixal part, which is morphologically similar to the personal pronouns or pronominal prefixes which attach to inalienable nouns and verbs, plus a fragment of the prefix, and a possible verbal element which indicates possession. The Moskona pronoun yefyen '1DUPOS' may be parsed yef 'we.DU' plus $y$ 'DU' plus the verbal en 'have'. The present forms have fused to such a degree that the vowels are not easily explained. Meyah has an inclusive/exclusive opposition in the possessive pronouns, which Moskona does not have. A comparative list is given in Table 7.

Table 7. Possessive pronouns in Moskona and Meyah

|  |  | Moskona | Meyah |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SG | 1 | da-d-in | de-d-in |
|  | 2 | bu-b-un | be-b-in |
|  | 3 | of-on | ef-en |
| DU | 1 IN | yef-y-en | $n a f-n-a n$ |
|  | 1 EX | $\varnothing$ | maf-m-an |
|  | 2 | yog-y-en | ge-g-an |
|  | 3 | erg-en | ge-g-an |
| PL | 1 IN | mif-m-in | mif-m-in |
|  | 1EX | $\varnothing$ | mef-m-en |
|  | 2 | $y u-y$-un | ye-y-in |
|  | 3 | eri-ø-in | re-ri-n |

### 2.5.1.3 Reflexive pronouns

Moskona distinguishes exclusive and reflexive pronouns. The reflexive pronouns in Moskona also function as emphatic pronouns. The exclusive, reflective and emphatic pronominal functions are performed in Meyah by a single form, the root esinsa. The Meyah reflexive pronouns and the Moskona exclusive forms attach a pronominal prefix identical to the pronominal prefixes which mark subject on verbs. The dual and plural reflexive pronouns in Moskona do not distinguish inclusive and exclusive, but in the comparative lists of the exclusive and reflexive pronouns given Table 8 are listed in the inclusive category.

Table 8. Exclusive and reflexive pronouns in Moskona and Meyah

|  |  | Moskona <br> Exclusive |  |  | Moskona <br> Reflexive | Meyah <br> Exclusive |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG reflexive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Moskona and Meyah pronouns, expressing the exclusive function with the meaning 'alone', occur immediately following the subject, such as the Moskona pronoun esisok, as in (82) and the Meyah reflexive pronoun risinsa in (83).

Moskona:

```
(82) ofa esisok owha jig maekena.
    s/he EXPN leave LOC garden
    'she alone left for the garden.'
```

Meyah:
(83) Rua ri-esinsa ri-odou ebriyi rot.... they.PL 3PL-REF 3PL-liver split concerning 'They alone understood about.....'

To express the meaning 'by one's self', Moskona and Meyah have parallel constructions composed of a preposition plus a pronoun with an exclusive function, such as the Moskona preposition jig 'LOC' plus exclusive pronoun esisok in (84), or the Meyah preposition $g u$ 'at' plus reflexive pronoun bisinsa in (85).

Moskona:
(84) ofa em-eker jig esisok éra.
s/he IRR-sit LOC EXPN NEG
'he didn't stay alone/by himself.'
Meyah:
(85) Bиа bi-en-ah gи bi-esinsa gиги you.SG 2SG-DUR-lie at 2SG-REF NEG 'you (should) not be by yourself.'

A Moskona or Meyah pronouns which expresses reflexivity may have the subject only as an antecedent, such as the Moskona reflexive pronoun ofaha in (86), or the Meyah reflexive pronoun esinsa in (87).

Moskona:
(86) Ofa edem ofaha
s/he hide 3SGRX
'he hid himself'
Meyah:
(87) ofa obu esinsa s/he strike 3SGREF
'he struck himself'

### 2.5.1.4 Pronominal prefixes

The pronominal prefixes which signal subject on verbs or possessor on inalienable nouns in Moskona are morphologically similar to the Meyah prefixes. Prefixes in the singular category (for all persons) are morphologically identical in Moskona and Meyah. In dual and plural categories, Meyah has an inclusive/exclusive opposition which is absent in Moskona. All forms indicating dual in Moskona have conflated to a single form $y$-, whereas in Meyah only the second and third person forms have conflated. In the plural category, the Moskona and Meyah first person forms are identical, but second and third person forms diverge, with Moskona having a consonant in the second person plural and Meyah having a consonant in the third person plural form. Table 9 illustrates these similarities.

Pronominal circumfix em- -ima '(to, with, from) each other', as in (88), which signals reciprocal object in Moskona is morphologically identical to the reciprocal object marker in Meyah, as in (89).

Moskona:

| (88) | Eri <br> they.P$\quad$ i-em-ejij-ima |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 3PL-RECIP-crowd.close |
|  | 'They crowd against each other.' |

Meyah:
(89) Rua ri-em-ejeka-(i)ma
they.PL 3PL-RECIP-ask
'They debate each other.'

## Table 9. Prononimal prefixes in Moskona and Meyah

|  |  | Moskona | Meyah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SG | 1 | $d i-$ | $d i-$ |
|  | 2 | $b i-$ | $b i-$ |
|  | 3 | $Ø$ | $Ø$ |
| DU |  |  |  |
|  | $1(\mathrm{IN})$ | $y-$ | $n a-$ |
|  | $1(\mathrm{EX})$ | $\varnothing$ | $m a-$ |
|  | $2 / 3$ | $y-$ | $g e-$ |
| PL |  |  |  |
|  | $1(\mathrm{IN})$ | $m i-$ | $m i-$ |
|  | $1(\mathrm{EX})$ | $\varnothing$ | $m e-$ |
|  | 2 | $y i-$ | $i-$ |
|  | 3 | $i-$ | $r i-$ |
|  |  |  |  |

### 2.5.2 Spatial Deixis

Moskona and Meyah have sets of spatial clitics indicating three degrees of distance from deictic center. The presence of the (still functional) visibility morphemes $i$ - 'VIS' and $u$ 'NONVIS' in Moskona suggests that the Meyah proximal deictic -if may be a fusion of morphemes such as $i$ - 'VIS' and the spatial clitic -ef 'near', a sequence not yet fused in Moskona. Thus, the spatial deictics $-u m a$ and $-u n j$ may be considered a fusion of $i$ - 'VIS' or $u$ - 'NONVIS' and -oma 'far' or -onj 'remote' ~ 'former'.

The spatial clitics in Moskona and Meyah are:

| Moskona | Meyah | gloss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-e f \sim-k a$ | $-i f$ | i-ef |
| $-m a$ | $-u m a<i-o m a$ | 'near' |
| - -mej | $-u n j<i-o n j$ | 'far' |
|  |  | 'remote' |

In Moskona there are two degrees of elevational orientation only:upwards and across. Indication of downward orientation requires the independent locative tesi 'below'. The Meyah elevational clitics, which indicate the upward and downward opposition, may be fused forms, possibly composed of a visibility marker $i$ - 'VIS', another morpheme $-N$ whose meaning is not identifiable, and the spatial enclitics - $d a$ 'above' and $-b a$ 'below'.

The elevational clitics in Moskona and Meyah are:

| Moskona | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-d a$ | 'up(ward)' | $-i n d a$ |
| $-b a$ | 'across' | 'above' |
| $-i m b a$ | 'below' |  |

In Meyah, the elevational clitics may attach to verbs or nouns, such as mebi 'ground' in (90a) and ofos 'peak' in (90b). In Moskona the elevational clitics may not attach to verbs or nouns, but rather attach to the relativizer noga, as in (91).
(90a) Ofa eyja jah mebi-imba s/he go to ground-below 'He went to the area below.'
(90b) Eyja eifef Ikofoi ofos-inda
go climb Ikofoi peak-above
'(he) went [and] climbed Ikofoi peak above.'

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Dif, dadin ayok of(a)-ej } \quad \operatorname{nog}(a)=u-b a .  \tag{91}\\
\text { I 1SGPOS mother she-female } \quad \text { REL=NONVIS-across } \\
\text { '[As for] me, my mother is her who is (across) over there.' }
\end{array}
$$

In Moskona, locative nouns are composed of the deictic nominalizer no- attached to the spatial clitics, as in (92a), but do not include the elevational clitics. Meyah locative nouns are composed of a locative nominalizer si- and a spatial or elevational clitic, as in (92b).
(92a) Moskona
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { no-ka 'here' } \\ \text { no-ma } & \text { 'there' } \\ \text { no-mej } & \text { 'there remote' }\end{array}$
(92b)
Meyah

| si-ef | 'here' | $[$ si $\phi]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| si-oma | 'there' | $[$ súma $]$ |
| si-onj | 'there distant' | [sundz] |
| si-inda | 'there above' | [sínda] |
| si-imba | 'there below' | [símba] |

In Moskona the spatial deictics, such as -mej 'remote' in (93a) and elevational clitics, such as $-b a$ in (93b) may be cliticized to the relativizer noga to form a phonological unit, which functions as a nominal. An equivalent morphophonological form does not occur in Meyah, which requires a relative clause with a head, such as monuh 'place'.

| (93a) $n o g a=u-m e j$ | $[$ nogum $\overline{3}]$ | 'the place which is there-remote (non-vis)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (93b) noga=u-ba | [nogúba] | 'the place which is across there (non-vis)' |

Simple locative prepositional phrases in Moskona may be formed by the cliticizing of a spatial deictic to the generic preposition jig 'LOC', as in (94). The Meyah spatial deictics do not attach to prepositions.

| $j i g=e f$ | 'at here' |
| :--- | :--- |
| $j i g=m a$ | 'at there' |
| $j i g=m e j$ | 'at there remote' |

The directional verbs eyja 'go' and en 'come' in Moskona have been grammaticalized, forming directional clitics, and may attach a nominalizer prefix $m$ - 'NR', as in (95), or with a visibility prefix $i-/ u$-, as in (96) or cliticized to an elevational deictic -da 'upward' or $-b a$ 'across' as a component of a relativized deictic, as in (97). In Meyah the directional verbs remain a component of a directional serial verb construction, but without a subject prefix, functioning as an adverbial, as in (98).

Moskona:


Moskona:
(96) Bua bi-osra i-en jef-a!
you.SG 2SG-enter VIS-HITHER DEON-PGE
'(you should) Come in here!'

Moskona:

## (97) Bua bi-eyta noga=u-ba=eyj(a) <br> you.SG 2SG-take REL=NONVIS-across=THITHER <br> 'Take the one which is over there.'

Meyah:
3PL-jump-below come/HITHER
'(they) jumped down (to) here'

### 2.5.3 Textual deixis

The proximal $-k a$ 'hear' and distal - $m a$ 'far' spatial deictics in Moskona also function textually, but the third degree of distance -mej 'remote' is replaced by the textual deictic -mis 'former'. In Meyah all three of the spatial deictics have textual functions. Those deictics in Moskona and Meyah which function textually are:

| Moskona |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-k a$ | 'near' / 'this' |
| $-m a$ | 'far'/ / 'that' |
| $-m i s$ | 'that former' |

```
Meyah
-ef 'near' / 'this'
-oma 'away'/ 'that'
-onj 'yonder / 'that former'
```


### 2.5.3.1 Demonstrative pronouns

Demonstrative pronouns are formed in Moskona by the attachment of the deictic nominalizer no- to a textual deictic, as in (99a). In Meyah, demonstrative pronouns are formed by the attachment of the nominalizer ke- to a spatial or elevational clitic, as in (99b).

## (99) <br> a. Moskona

| no-ka | 'this (one)' |
| :--- | :--- |
| no-ma | 'that (one)' |
| no-mis | 'that former (one)' |

b. Meyah

| ke-ef | $[\mathrm{k} \varepsilon \Phi]$ | 'this (one)' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ke-oma | $[\mathrm{kóma}]$ | 'that (one)' |
| ke-onj | $[\mathrm{kond} \overline{3}]$ | 'that distant (one)' |
| ke-inda | $[$ kínda $]$ | 'that above (one)' |
| ke-imba | $[$ kímba $]$ | 'that below (one)' |

## Given information

Information which is known or given is indicated in Moskona by the suffix - $i$ 'GIV' attached to a textual deictic, $-k a,-m a$ or $-m i s$, or to a demonstrative pronoun, such as noka in (100). Given information in Meyah requires the (free) anaphoric demonstrative insa 'ANAP' to precede the demonstrative pronoun, such as kef in (101), but insa does not co-occur with enclitics.

Moskona:

(100) | Medew | no-ka-i | erá | otk-amok | eseter. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | sago | DNR-near-GIV | THM | tasty-RED | more | 'This sago is really very delicious.'

Meyah:
(101) Beda, ri-en-ef mos-ona insa ke-if then 3PL-DUR-shoot foreign-man ANAP NOM-this 'Then, they shot this foreigner.'

Contrastive focus
The Moskona deictic focus prefix $e$ - 'FOC' attaches to a demonstrative, such as noma 'that' in (102), signaling contrastive focus. It appears to be functionally similar to the Meyah clitic $a$ - 'EMP' which attaches to the anaphoric demonstrative insa, as in (103).

Moskona:

```
(102) efer no-m(a)-i erá yogyen efer e-no-m(a)-i
    child DNR-far-GIV THM 2DUPOS child FOC-DNR-far-GIV
    'the kid is your (two's) very child'
```

Meyah:
(103) Me-eka eyta mek ofon a-insa ke-uma gu ofa 3SG-father give pig tooth EMP-ANAP NOM-that to s/he 'His father gave that very (former) pig tooth to him.'

### 3.0 Noun Phrases

Noun phrases in Moskona and Meyah are left headed, with an order of possession which is possessor-possessed. The order of components of a noun phrase in Moskona and Meyah is:

```
(possessor) Head (attributive) (quantifier) (relative clause) (specifier) (demonstrative)
```

This order may be observed in noun phrases with a verbal quantifier, such as the Moskona indefinite quantifier verb edeses 'many' in (104) which follows the noun marog and precedes the demonstrative nomi, or the Meyah quantifier ofoukou 'many' in (105) which follows the noun moconga 'arrow' and precedes the determiner koma.

Moskona:

| (104) | marog edeses  <br> arrow many  <br>  'the many arrows' | no-ma-i <br> DNR-far-GIV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |

Meyah:
(105) moconga ofoukou ke-oma arrow many NOM-that 'the many arrows'

An attributive modifier, such as the Moskona adjectival verb orokec 'large' in (106) or the Meyah adjectival verb eteb 'big' in (107), follows the head noun, but precedes a determiner, which signals the final boundary of the noun phrase.

Moskona:

```
(106) merga orokec no-ma-i
    tree large DNR-far-GIV
    'the large tree'
```

Meyah:
(107) mod eteb ke-oma
house big NOM-that
'the big house'

The possessor-possessed order in Moskona and Meyah may be observed in noun phrases in which a possessive pronoun, such as Moskona ofon '1SGPOS' in (108) and Meyah efen ' 1 SGPOS' in (109), precedes the possessed item.

Moskona:

| (108) ofon maeken |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 3SGPOS garden |
|  | 'his/her garden' |

Meyah:
(109) efen mekeni

3SGPOS garden
'his/her garden'

### 4.0 Prepositional phrases

The structure and composition of prepositional phrases in Moskona and Meyah are isomorphic. The primary difference lies in their inventory of prepositions. Moskona has 12 spatial prepositions, 10 non-spatial prepositions and 9 verbs which function prepositionally. Meyah has 12 spatial and 6 non-spatial prepositions with several appearing to be verbal, that is, several have the morphophonological properties of verbs.

### 4.1 Spatial prepositions

An inventory of the Moskona spatial prepositions with their equivalent Meyah forms are given in Table 10.

## Table 10. Spatial prepositions in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| jig | 'LOC' | gu/gij | 'at' / 'in' |
| dec | 'same location as' | -- |  |
| fen | 'from' | jeska | 'from |
| had | 'toward' | jah | 'to(ward) |
| kerenga | 'upon' | (keingg) | 'upon' |
| kuk | 'along' or (be)side' | desi | 'next to' |
| ni | 'at'/ 'near' | nou | 'on' |
| rejrej | 'around' | rejrej | 'around' |
| rud | 'against' | -- |  |
| rudud | 'by' | doida | 'near' |
| skod | 'to (s.o. loc)' | skoita | 'to (s.o. loc) |
| tum | 'onto' | tumu | 'onto' |

The notion 'against', expressed as rud in Moskona, in Meyah is expressed in the phrase ah jah $g u$ (lie to at) 'lies toward'. The prepositional notion 'same location as', expressed as dec in Moskona, in Meyah is expressed utilizing the spatial relator noun, odou 'front' in a phrase, such as $g u$ ona koma odou (at man that front) 'in front of the man', which may be extended to mean in someone's presence.

The complement of a Moskona or Meyah spatial preposition may be a noun phrase, such as the Moskona noun phrase moroj nomi in (110a) and the Meyah noun phrase moroju koma in (110b), a locative adverb, such as the Moskona adverb teraw in (111a) and the Meyah adverb skida in (111b), or a locative noun, such as the Moskona locative noun nomi in (112a) and the Meyah locative noun suma in (112b).

Moskona:

```
(110a) fen moroj no-ma-i from path DNR-far-GIV 'from the path'
```

Moskona:
(111a) jig teraw LOC above
'(at) above'

Meyah:

| (110b) | jeska moroju $k$-oma |
| :--- | :--- |
| from path NOM-that |  |
|  | 'from the path' |

Meyah:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (111b) } & \text { jah skida } \\ \text { to above } \\ \text { 'to above' }\end{array}$

Moskona:

## (112a) jig no-ma

LOC DNR-far
'at (the place) there'

Meyah:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (112b) } & \text { jah si-oma } \\ \text { to NOM-that } \\ \text { 'to (the place) there' }\end{array}$

### 4.2 Nonspatial prepositions

The inventory of Moskona nonspatial prepositions and the equivalent forms in Meyah are given in Table 11.

## Table 11. Nonspatial prepositions in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ni | 'on' (specific time) | $g u$ | 'on' / 'at' |
| jida | 'until' or 'up to' | -- |  |
| ni | 'for' | nou | 'for' |
| ten | 'BEN' | -- |  |
| jug | 'against' | joug | 'against' |
| daka | 'in aversion' | -- |  |
| jera | 'with' | jera | 'with' |
| gug | 'to (s.o)' | gu | 'at' / 'to' |
| sof | 'instead (of)' | -- |  |
| rot | 'with' or 'about', | rot | 'about' |

The relations expressed by Moskona nonspatial prepositions which have no prepositional equivalent in Meyah are expressed in a variety of ways in Meyah. The notion of 'until', expressed as jida in Moskona, is expressed with the verbal preposition onjoros '(go) until' in Meyah. The benefactive relation, expressed with either ni or ten in Moskona, is only expressed with nou in Meyah. The notion of 'in aversion to' expressed with jug or daka in Moskona, is expressed in Meyah with joug only. To indicate a replacive role, expressed with sof in Moskona, Meyah uses the transitive verb eferwei 'replace'.
Complements of nonspatial prepositions in Moskona and Meyah are typically (pro)nominal, such as uska ohura 'bare crotch', the complement of the Moskona preposition jug in (113), or rufos 'their skin', the complement of the Meyah preposition joug in (114). But the preposition rot 'about' in Moskona and Meyah may also introduce adverbs in phrases which have a manner function, such as rot decici in (115) and rot doskoska in (116).
Moskona:
(113) Eri-ejena sis erá i-ok memes jug i-osk(a) ohura. they-woman past THM 3PL-bear loinskirt against 3PL-crotch bare 'Women of the past wore loinskirts to cover their nakedness.'

Meyah:
(114) Ri-en-oug mofun egema joug ri-ofos tein guru 3PL-DUR-wrap vine other against 3PL-skin also NEG
'They didn't wrap any vines on their bodies either.' (i.e. clothe themselves)
Moskona:
(115) Yoga y-eker osuj di-ejmeg no-kef rot deci-ci. you.DU DU-sit on.top.of 1SG-spine DNR-here about slow-RED 'You two sit on my back very carefully.'

Meyah:
(116) Mi-en-oku ebic rot dosk-oska guru

1PL-DUR-pull center about RED-very NEG
'We don't pull very hard on the center (of the plant).'

### 4.3 Verbal prepositions

Verbal prepositions have properties similar to that of prepositions, encoding the semantic role of a non-core argument. In contrast to verbs which function as the major verb of a predicate, verbal prepositions are not inflected or can they be negated. Those verbs in Moskona and Meyah that function prepositionally are listed in Table 12.

## Table 12. Verbal prepositions in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| esha | '(be) from' | -- |  |
| osuj | 'on top of' | esij | 'on top of' |
| eresha | 'by means of' | oisouska | 'through' |
| etkebra | '(be) near' | -- |  |
| éysaha | 'reach' / 'up to' | onjoros | 'up to' / 'until' |
| okuk | '(be) like' | erek | '(be) like' |
| orosunun | '(be) at limit' | osókeij | '(be) at limit' |

The Moskona verbal prepositions esha '(be) from' and etkebra '(be) near' do not have verbal equivalents in Meyah, but the same prepositional notions are expressed by the analogous prepositions jeska 'from' and doida 'near' in Meyah.

Verbal prepositions, such as the Moskona verbal preposition okuk '(be) like' in (117) or the Meyah verbal preposition erek '(be) like' in (118), occur as a minor verb in a type of serial verb construction.

Moskona:


### 5.0 Clausal structure

Moskona and Meyah are syntactically similar in the order and components of simple clauses. The order of clausal components in a transitive clause is:
(FRAME)(SUBJ) Verb (OBJ) (VP-ADV)(OBL)(T-ADV)(CF-ADV)

### 5.1 Frames

Constituents of the topic or frame position(s) in Moskona and Meyah may include a locative phrase, such as the Moskona phrase jig mod efega nomi in (119) and the Meyah phrase jig suma in (120), a temporal adverb or phrase, such as the Moskona phrase sokomow sis in (121) and the Meyah adverbial ebeibeyaif in (122), and/or a noun phrase as theme, such as the Moskona phrase meri efi nomi in (123) and the Meyah phrase ogufu in (124). A frame constituent may be marked as a thematic element by the morpheme erá 'THM' in Moskona, as in (121), and the topic word berá 'TOP' in Meyah, as in (123).

Moskona:
(119) Jig mod efega no-ma-i, i-en maeken romreg LOC house body DNR-far-GIV 3PL-do garden all 'In the yard, (they) made an entire garden'

Meyah:
(120) Jah si-oma, mos-mei-ir ri-edi (jera) meiteb to NOM-that foreign-water-PL 3PL-strike with machete 'At that place, the coastal ones hit (us with) machetes'

Moskona:
(121) Sokomow sis erá, mif mi-em-ef-ima beginning past THM we 1PL-RECIP-shoot 'Long long ago, we shot each other (with arrows)'

Meyah:
(122) Ebeibeyaif bera, mi-o<n>juj jah mei mojumи now TOP 1PL.IN\{PERF>descend to water ocean 'Now, (we) descended toward the ocean'

Moskona:
(123) meri efi no-ma-i, eri i-er-ef tree.leaf leaf DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-CAUS-distribute 'the leaves, they spread'

Meyah:
(124) ogufu bera, ri-ek
blood TOP 3SP-see
'the blood, they saw'

### 5.2 Classificatory verbs

Both Moskona and Meyah employ a set of classificatory verbs, (e.g. the posture verbs) which covertly categorize nouns on the basis of animateness, humanness, and perceived physical orientation. Moskona and Meyah generally employ the same categories.

Moskona classificatory verbs:
ebah
eker

ot $\quad$\begin{tabular}{l}
'(a)live'

$\quad$

'sit'

$\quad$

human <br>
nonhuman and animate (small)(vertical) <br>
ah

$\quad$ 'lie' $\quad$

nonhuman, animate/inanimate (large) vertical <br>
orientation)
\end{tabular}

Meyah classificatory verbs:

| ofogu | 'flesh' | human |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eker | 'sit' | human |
| ot | 'stand' | non-human (vertical orientation) |
| ah | 'lie' | non-human (horizontal orientation) |

These classificatory verbs function existentially, such as the Moskona verb ebah in (125) and the Meyah verb ofogu 'flesh' in (126), which classify humans.

Moskona:
(125) Ergog y-en-ebah=kef. they.DU 3DU-DUR-live=here 'They (two) are here.'

Meyah:
(126) Ona eg-ens en-ofogu-uma man NUM:1-one DUR-flesh-there 'There was a man'

### 5.3 Experiential constructions

Those constructions in Moskona and Meyah which express (mostly) physiological states and signal an uncontrolled experience, are a type of construction in which an abstract noun, such as moj 'shame' in (127) and (128) is subject of the verb en 'do', which takes on the meaning 'affect'. Meyah allows pronominal suffixes, such as -id in (128), or free pronouns as objects in the construction. Moskona, which does not have suffixes indexing objects, has only free pronouns as objects.

Moskona:
(127) Moj en dif.
shame do I
'I am ashamed.'

Meyah:
(128) moj en-id
shame do-1SG
'I am ashamed'

In Meyah a semi-controllable physiological states in which a non-volitional entity acts on a volitional one (e.g. a human), such as being sick, thirsty, hungry, chilled, wet, or hot, are expressed through the same construction as those which indicate an uncontrolled experience, that is, the abstract noun, such as mogon 'thirst' in (129), fills the position of subject of the verb en 'do'. In Moskona these semi-controllable states are expressed through an intransitive verb, such as ogorna 'thirst' in (130).

Meyah:
(129) mogon en-en rua guru thirst DUR-do they.PL NEG
'they were not thirsty'
Moskona:
(130) Mif mi-em-ogorn(a) éra.
we.PL 1PL-IRR-thirst NEG
'We are not thirsty.'

### 5.4 Emotional-state predicates

Both Moskona and Meyah employ emotional-state constructions extensively. Emotionalstate constructions involve a body-part noun, such as the Moskona inalienable noun oduy 'front' in (131) or the Meyah inalienable noun efemebi 'heart' in (132), as the nominal constituent of a construction which encodes mental processes or emotional states.

Moskona:
(131) Dif di-oduy efef rot bua nom. I 1SG-front ache about you.SG VER 'I really love you.' (front ache = love)

Meyah:

| (132) | Didif | di-efemebi | agei | rot | ofa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | I | 1SG-heart | grab about | S/he |  |

### 6.0 Complementation structures

Moskona and Meyah employ the same two types of complementation constructions:finite complement clauses and paratactic complements. Finite complements which introduce speech events (direct and indirect quotes) are introduced by the complementizer ohot 'say' in Moskona, as in the direct quote in (133), or the complementizer oida 'say' in Meyah, as in the direct quote in (134).

Moskona:
(133)
dif di-odu ohot, [bi-en-owha=m-eyj $(a)=o .$.
I 1SG-tell say 1SG-DUR-leave=NR-THITHER=EMP
'I told (him), "you are leaving to there!"' (direct quote)
Meyah:
(134) Ofa agot oida [didif di-en-eyja jah mekeni deika guru] s/he say say I 1SG-DUR-go to garden more NEG 'He said, "I am not going to the garden again."' (direct quote)

Mental perception predicates, expressed as emotional-state constructions in Meyah, such as odou efeiei 'worry' in (135), may be introduced by oida 'say'. Complement taking predicates (CTP) which are emotional-state constructions in Moskona require the complementizer rot, as in (136).

Meyah:
(135) Odou efei-ei oida [ojona efen tein] front RED-liquid say woman 3SGPOS also '(he) worried that the woman had (one) also'

Moskona:
(136) Bua bi-oduy efi-fi rot [bi-ofjig eri esebra].
you.SG 2 SG-front liquid-RED about 2 SG-help they.PL continuous
'You were anxious to help them all the time.' (front liquid = anxious)

Modality complement-taking predicates (CTP), which indicate the attitude or intent of the speaker, such as the Moskona verb egen 'promise' in (137) or the Meyah verb oitij 'agree' in (138), are introduced by the rot 'about' complementizer.

Moskona:
Dif di-egen rot [di-em-engit ni bua se]. I 1SG-promise about 1SG-IRR-make for you.SG definite 'I promised that I would definitely do (it) for you.'

Meyah:
(138) Pemerintah oitij rot [ocunc (nou) memef desa tein] government agree about point.out for we.PL.INCdgov.rep also 'The government agrees that it choose us [for] us a representative also.'

Indirect quotes, as the complement of a modality CTP, such as the Moskona verb eskijig 'agree' in (139) and the Meyah verb oitij 'agree' in (140), require both the rot and ohot/oida complementizers.

Moskona:
(139) Ofa eskijig rot ohot [dif erá di-en-eyta mar no-ma]. s/he agree about say I THM 1SG-DUR-take thing DNR-far 'He agrees that I am taking that.' (indirect quote)

Meyah:
(140) Ge-oitij rot oida [iwa i-eker]

2/3DU-agree about COMP you.PL 2PL-sit
'They agreed that you (pl) stay.' (indirect quote)
In Moskona and Meyah, CTPs which denote immediate (or direct) perception, such as the Moskona verb $e k$ 'see' in (141) and the Meyah verb $e k$ 'see' in (142) or denote manipulation (e.g. order, allow) take paratactic complements, which are not introduced by a complementizer.

Moskona:
(141) Dif di-ek i-osnok [i-em-ogow-ima jig pasar].

I 1SG-see 3PL-person 3PL-RECIP-chop LOC market
'I saw people, (they) killed each other in the market.'
'I saw people kill each other in the market.'
Meyah:
(142) (mif) mi-ek ri-osnok [ri-en-owowa doida]
we.PL.INC 1PL.INC-see 3PL-person 3PL-DUR-arrive near
'we saw people, (they) were arriving near'
'we saw people arriving near'

### 7.0 Serial verb constructions

Serial verb constructions which occur in Moskona may also be found to a lesser degree in Meyah. Some SVCs which are found in both Moskona and Meyah include motion serialization, cause-effect serialization, aspectual serialization (which employs posture verbs), instrument serialization, and ambient serialization. Grammaticalization has reduced the number of SVCs in common, as the directional verbs (minor verbs in a directional serial construction in Meyah) have been grammaticalized in Moskona, and the verb eyja 'go' a minor verb in a inceptive serial construction in Moskona, has been grammaticalized in Meyah. Although verbal prepositions function as components of serial constructions in Moskona and Meyah, they are included in the discussion of prepositional phrases in §4.3.

Motion serialization constructions occur in both Moskona and Meyah and are composed of a motion verb and an active verb, such as the Moskona motion verb en 'come' and active verb $e k$ 'see' in (143) or the Meyah motion verb eyja 'go and active verb eji 'dig' in (144).

Moskona:
(143) Bua bi-en bi-ek terir mar no-kef koska
you.SG 2SG-come 2SG-see exactly thing DNR-here well
'you came [and] examined these things well'
Meyah:
(144) ri-eyja ri-eji mebi

3PL-go 3PL-dig ground
'they went [and] dug earth'
A directional serialization construction, composed of an active verb plus an uninflected verb of direction (i.e. come or go), still functions in Meyah, as in (145) and (146), but no longer occurs in Moskona. The directional verbs en 'come' and eyja 'go' in Moskona have grammaticalized to form units of the spatial deixis system, the directional clitics en 'HITHER' and eyja 'THITHER'. The directional clitics may be prefixed with the
nominalizer $m$-, as in (147) and (148), or with a visibility prefix $i$ - 'VIS' / $u$ - 'NONVIS', as in (149) and (150), and may be cliticized to the elevational bases -da 'upward' / -da 'across', as in (151) and (152).

Meyah:
(145) ge-oksons en fog 2/3DU-return come already
they have already returned to here

Meyah:
(146) Goga ge-o<N>ku ge-ojuj=imba eyja tein
they.DU 2/3DU<PERF>flee 2/3DU-descend=below go also 'they also fled [and] descended down to there'

Moskona:
(147) Ofa em-eyet m-en se. s/he IRR-succeed NR-HITHER certainly 'He will follow (to) here for certain.'
(148) Bua bi-eyja jig tesi m-eyj(a). you.SG 2SG-go LOC below NR-THITHER 'Go below (to the place) over there.'
(149) Bua bi-osoka u-en bi-esta mok you.SG 2SG-climb.upNONVIS-HITHER 2SG-suck pandanus 'Climb up here [and] suck (eat) pandanus'
(150) mok ewek eweg-weg mow u-eyj(a)
pandanus pulp dribble-RED land NONVIS-THITHER
'the pandanus pulp dribbled (to) the ground there'
(151) Ofa oj fen i-da=en gijga
s/he descend.into from VIS-up=HITHER only
'He just descended from above to here.'
(152) Merga noga orokec erá en-ot i-ba=eyj(a). tree REL big THM DUR-stand vIS-across=THITHER 'The tree that is big is over there.'

Inceptive serial constructions, composed of the verb eyja 'go (to)' as the minor verb plus an active major verb, as in (153), still function in Moskona. But in Meyah, the verb eyja 'go' has been grammaticalized, forming the inceptive prefix ej- 'INCEP', attached to the verb oira 'enter', as in (154).

Moskona:
(153) i-eyja i-ah-miy jig owoksa rot mos orokec 3PL-go.to 3PL-lie-water LOC subunit about fish large '(they) began to fish in the bay for large fish'

Meyah:
(154) Ri-ej-oira gij mei oforga

3PL-INCEP-enter in river delta
'they began to cross the river delta'
In a cause-effect serialization, the first verb indicates the cause or means of the action and the second verb expresses the result, effect or endpoint of the action, such as the verb ogos 'die' indicates the result of the verb ed 'strike' in (155) or agos 'die' indicates the effect of edi 'hit' in the Meyah construction in (156).

Moskona:
(155) Ofa ed mes ogos
s /he strike dog die
'he struck the dog [so] it died'
Meyah:
(156) Edi Saibin agos fob
hit Saibin die already
'(s/he) hit Saibin [so] (s/he) has already died'
The posture verbs, ot 'stand', eker 'sit' and ah 'lie', which are morphologically identical in Moskona and Meyah, express continuous (durative) or progressive aspect in a serialization construction, as in the Moskona serial construction iker imefifim in (157) and the Meyah serial construction ot agob in (158).

Moskona:

```
(157) Ofon m-ok-era-ir erá i-eker i-em-efif-im(a) sokomow...
    3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-old-PL THM 3PL-sit 3PL-RECIP-arrange beginning
    'His older brothers were arranged in order earlier....'
```

Meyah:
(158) mowa ot agob fob
sun stand strike already
'the sun is already beating (down on us)'
The instrument serialization construction, a distinctive of the East Bird's Head languages, is the way in which instruments are introduced into a clause in Moskona and Meyah. It is composed of a manipulative verb and its object followed by the major verb of the construction. The manipulative verbs employed, in Moskona or 'hold', as in (159), and in

Meyah era 'use', as in (160), are most likely reflexes of the same verb, as both have a meaning which involves holding or manipulating something. Their usage extends to meanings such as 'handle', 'steer', 'guide', 'procure', 'feel /touch', etc., as in the Moskona clauses Eri i-em-or-ima (they.PL 3PL-RECIP-hold) 'They handed (s.t.) to each other.' and mototos or mitow. (rust hold machete) 'The rust holds to the machete.' (The machete is rusty.)

Moskona:
(159) Eri i-or mitow i-er-egej merga eferu ok-ok. they.PL 3PL-hold machete 3PL-CAUS-slit wood segment small-RED 'They slit small wood pieces with a machete.'

Meyah:
(160) Mi-era meiteb mi-er-eris efen efeji ke-uma 1PL.INC-use machete 1PL.INC-INST-cut 3SGPOS hair NOM-that 'we cut (off) its fur with a machete'

Ambient SVCs are composed of a main verb, which carries the lexical content, plus a minor verb which in some way modifies it, such as the Moskona adjectival verb ahaysa 'hard' in (161) modifies osomsa 'clutch, or the Meyah cognate verb ahais 'hard' modifies the agei 'hold' in (162).

Moskona:

## (161) Dif di-osoms(a) ahaysa

I 1SG-clutch hard
'I clutched (it) tightly' (lit. I clutch; it is hard)
Meyah:
(162) Didif di-agei ahais

I 1SG-hold hard
'I held (it) firmly' (lit. I hold; it is hard)

### 8.0 Combined Clauses

Moskona and Meyah are clause conjoining languages, which primarily employ explicit linking words or phrases to join clauses. Both utilize linking elements from three categories:prepositions, verbal prepositions and conjunctions, although the functions of the elements in each category are not always parallel.

### 8.1 Conjunctions

Simple conjunctions in Moskona and Meyah are monomorphemic, and have almost parallel functions. Table 13 lists Moskona simple conjunctions and their functional equivalents in Meyah.

Table 13. Simple conjunctions in Moskona and Meyah

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dokun | 'and | noba | 'and' |
| erogá | 'hence' | fogora | 'cause' / 'so' |
| edá | 'then' | beda | 'then' |
| tiná | 'but | tina | 'but' |
| eke | 'ENUM', | ni | 'and' (enumerating) |
| éra | 'DSJ' | era | 'or' |

The equivalent function of the Moskona simple conjunctions and Meyah simple conjunctions is illustrated in the linking of clauses by the Moskona conjunction dokun 'and' (163) and Meyah conjunction noba 'and' (164), which conjoin clauses of equal status.

Moskona:
(163) ergog y-og merga, dokun y-os mofun they.DU DU-fold wood and DU-sever vine 'they bent (broke off) sticks and severed vines.'

Meyah:
(164) En-et mat guru noba eker erek ke-uma ojgomи DUR-eat food NEG and sit like NOM-that just 'he didn't eat the food and just sat like that.'

### 8.2 Prepositions and verbal prepositions as subordinators

Prepositions and verbal prepositions introduce dependent clauses in Moskona and Meyah. Table 14 illustrates those which are equivalent.

Table 14. Prepositions and verbal prepositions as subordinators

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -- | askesi | 'while' |  |
| daka | 'lest' | -- |  |
| esha | 'because' | jeska | 'because' |
| jida | 'until' | onjoros | 'until' |
| jig | 'LOC' | gij | 'in' |
| jug | 'lest' | joug | 'lest' |
| ni | 'for' | nou | 'for' |
| okuk | '(be) like' | erek | '(be) like' |
| sof | 'instead (of)' | -- |  |

The Moskona temporal phrase kus nomi/nomisi (tin) 'that time (also)', which fills a temporal frame position in (165), is functionally equivalent to the Meyah subordinating word askesi 'while' in (166).

## Moskona:

(165) ofa éysaha meregah, kus no-ma-i mitow efeyu ociga.. s /he reach midway short.span DNR-far-GIV machete immature strike.accid. 'he was on the way (at) the time the knife struck ...'

Meyah:
(166) Ke-uma em-orogna askesi ri-efena ebah ros NOM-that IRR-come.out while 3PL-spirit raw still 'That would happen while they were still alive.'

The function of the Moskona verbal preposition esha 'from', as in (167), which introduces a reason by the speaker for the event of the main clause is parallel to the Meyah preposition jeska 'from', as in (168).

Moskona:
(167) Orna no-ma-i, ofa edem ofaha, esha méesa i-em-ah ofa. man DNR-far-GIV s/he hide 3SGRX from enemy 3PL-IRR-hack s/he 'The man, he hid himself, because his enemies would hack (kill) him.'

Meyah:
(168)
Beda, eyja, jeska oina mat efej fob
then go from cook food prepared already
'Then, (she) left, because she had cooked the food [so] it was already prepared.'

### 8.3 Temporal clauses

Moskona and Meyah have a type of temporal clause which is structurally parallel to relative clauses. This type of temporal clause may be introduced in Moskona by the generic preposition jig 'LOC' as in (169) and (170), and in Meyah by the preposition $g u$ 'at', as in (171), or the preposition gij 'in', as in (172). The head of the relative clause is the generic noun mona 'day' in both Moskona and Meyah.

Moskona:
(169) em-owha somus skod ofon mosu jig mona [noga ekok em-éysaha
IRR-leave return to 3SGPOS mother LOC day REL father IRR-reach
néesa.]
not.yet
'he would return to his mother before his father arrived.' (lit. at the time that his
father hadn't yet arrived.)

Meyah:
(170) Etma en-age-geb guru gu mona [ongga etma ke-uma ob hand DUR-RED-bend NEG at day REL hand NOM-that close.over fob]
already
'His hand will not bend at the time the hand has already healed.'
Moskona:
(171) Jig mona [noga mas es oysa jog,] edá ofa ek maw egak LOC day REL rain spray finish already then s /he see sun leg
ed meren odog.
strike lake chest
'At the time the rain stopped, then he saw the sun's rays strike the lake's surface.'

Meyah:
(172) Gij mona [ongga Pendita jeska Amarika,] rua ri-en-osoka in day REL pastor from America they.PL 3PL-DUR-come.down
fob
already
'At the time that the pastor [came] from America, they had already come down'
Another type of clause signaling temporal overlap in Moskona is composed of the adverbial kus 'short.span' as head, followed by a restricting clause which is introduced by the relativizer noga, as in (173). This type is similar, but not identical in structure to temporal clauses in Meyah which are composed of a headless relative clause which is governed by the preposition nou 'on'/ 'at', as in (174).

Moskona:
(173) Kus [noga ofa ek mogos(a)]..
short.span REL s/he see snake
'[At] the time that he saw the snake...'
Meyah:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { (174) } & \text { Nou } & \text { [ongga } & \text { mi-eyja } & \text { mi-eji] } \\ \text { on } & \text { REL } & \text { 1PL.IN-go } & \text { 1PL.IN-dig... } \\ & \text { 'At (the time) that we go [and] dig...' }\end{array}$

### 8.4 Complex conjunctions

Complex conjunctions in Moskona and Meyah are phrasal or polymorphemic (composed of collapsed phrases), but function as syntactic units to conjoin clauses. The Moskona complex conjunctions are listed on the left and Meyah equivalents are listed on the right.

| Moskona |  | Meyah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| tinogurá | 'but.not' | tina guru | 'but no' |
| esha ni | 'in order that/to' | jeskaseda | 'in order to' (jeska-?-(b)eda) |
| esha edá | 'therefore' | jefeda | 'therefore' (je(ska)-beda) |
| éra edá | 'otherwise' | -- |  |

The Meyah equivalent of the Moskona complex conjunction éra edá 'otherwise' would be something like éra beda (or then), but this construction has not been observed in text.

The Moskona complex conjunction esha ni 'in order to/that', signaling the intention of the agent of the matrix clause, as in (175), is parallel to that of the Meyah conjunction jeskaseda 'so that' as in (176).

Moskona:
(175) Eri i-ahasigen jig mowah esha ni i-osnok i-ejgen rot they.PL 3PL-expectorate LOC outside from for 3PL-person 3PL-know about
eri.
they.PL
'They expectorated outside, in order that people know about them.'
Meyah:
(176) Bi-agot ebeirens, jeskaseda di-en-eyja jeska
2SG-say fast so.that 1SG-DUR-go from
'Speak quickly, so that afterwards I (can) leave'

### 8.5 Complex linking phrases

Rather than the tail-head linkage strategy common in other Papuan languages, complex linking phrases are used in Moskona and Meyah to join sentences as syntactic units of a larger discourse. Complex linking phrases are composed of the non-spatial verbal preposition okuk '(be) like' in Moskona and erek '(be) like' in Meyah, a demonstrative pronoun, an optional focus adverb, and an optional conjunction. Table 15 shows the structure of Moskona and Meyah complex linking phrases and possible fillers of the slots in the phrase.

Table 15. Complex linking phrases in Moskona and Meyah
Moskona:

| v.prep. | dem.prn | (adv) | (conj) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| okuk 'like' | nokef | 'this' | tas | 'again' | edá | 'then' |
|  | nomi | 'that' | tin | 'also' | tiná | 'but' |
|  | nomisi | 'former' |  |  | erogá | 'hence' |
|  |  |  |  |  | esha edá | 'therefore' |

Meyah:

| v.prep | dem.prn |  | (adv) |  | (conj) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| erek 'like' | kef | 'this' |  | 'also' | tina guru | 'but not' |
|  | koma | 'that' | deika | 'again' | beda | 'then' |
|  |  |  |  |  | jefeda | 'therefore' |
|  |  |  |  |  | fogora | 'so' |
|  |  |  |  |  | noba | 'and' |

Complex linking phrases occur sentence-initial under a separate intonation contour, such as the Moskona phrase okuk nomi edá in (177) and the Meyah phrase erek koma jefeda in (178).

Moskona:
(177) Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-eyja ni i-ed most(a) like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL 3PL-go for 3PL-strike victim
no-mis-i
DNR-former-GIV
'Then that having happened, they went to spear the victims....'
Meyah:
(178) Erek k-oma jefeda, ri-en-ej-efarur jera mos ona-ir like NOM-that therefore 3PL-DUR-INCEP-work with foreign man-PL 'Therefore that having happened, they began working with the foreigners...'

### 9.0 Interrogatives

Polar questions are marked in Moskona and Meyah with a polar question marker, -ey ' Q ' in Moskona, as in (179), and -ei 'Q' in Meyah, as in (180), on the final element of the sentence.

Moskona:

```
(179) Bua erá bi-en-ebah no-ma-i=ey?
    you.SG THM 2SG-DUR-live DNR-far-GIV=Q
    'Are you there?'
```

Meyah:
(180) Meranghi mei k-oma em-agob $=\boldsymbol{e i}$ spirit water NOM-that IRR-strike=Q 'Will the water spirit strike me?'

For both Moskona and Meyah, the question word in information questions takes the position of the item about which the speaker requests information, such as the Moskona question word midá 'what' in (181) occurs in object position, and the Meyah question word meidu 'what' in (182) occurs as the complement of the preposition gij.

Moskona:
(181) Bua bi-en midá no-ma-i?
you.SG 2SG-do what DNR-far-GIV
'What are you doing there?
Meyah:
(182) Mes-ir ri-oga gij meidu?
dog-PL 3PL-voice in what
'What did the dogs bark at?'

### 10.0 Commands

Commands in Moskona, except for simple commands, are marked grammatically by an adverb, such as jef 'DEON' in (183), which occurs clause or sentence finally. Commands in Meyah are not marked grammatically, with the exception of the idiomatic use of ojgomu 'just', but may be strengthened with the emphatic marker -a 'EMP', as in (184).

Moskona:
(183) yua yi-osok i-en jef
you.PL 2PL-climb.up VIS-HITHER DEON
'you (all) should come up here'
Meyah:
(184) Bi-en-eita erek ke-oma guru-a! 2SG-DUR-take like NOM-that NEG-EMP 'Don't do (it) like that!'

Both Moskona and Meyah use an adverb, the focus adverb gijga 'only' in Moskona, as in (185), and the focus adverb ojgomu 'just' in Meyah, as in (186), to idiomatically mark a soft command.

Moskona:
(185) Bua bi-owha fen mar no-ma-i ahacum gijga. you.SG 2SG-leave from thing DNR-far-GIV inactive only 'You (should) leave that thing [so] it is inactive.'

Meyah:
(186) Ongga oufa bera, bi-eja jeska monuh-if ojgomu

REL good TOP 1SG-go from place-this just
'(The thing) that is good, you (should) leave this place.'
Adhortatives in Moskona are expressed through syntactic construction, which is composed of the verb ohan, meaning something like 'join', inflected for second person, and followed by a purpose construction with a first person dual or plural subject, as in (187). Adhortatives in Meyah are expressed morphologically, by a combination of inflections on the verb, suggesting a future perfective, as in (188).
(187) Yua yi-ohan ni mi-en maeken.
you.PL 2PL-join for 1PL-do garden
'Let's do (work in) the garden.'
(188) Mi-en-em-e<n>ja

1PL.IN-DUR-IRR<PERF>go
'Let's go.' (lit. we will have been going)

### 11.0 Attitude markers

The polar question markers, $-e y$ ' Q ' in Moskona, as in (189) and -ei ' Q ' in Meyah, as in (190), have the additional function of marking a sentence as a conjecture or to indicate speaker uncertainty.

Moskona:
(189) Eri i-et morgik, erogá i-en-orkoh rot no-ma-i=ey. they.PL 3PL-eat matoa hence 3PL-DUR-hoarse about DNR-far-GIV=Q 'They ate matoa fruit, so (they) might be hoarse because of it.'

Meyah:
(190) Oina mat, beda oh gu, tina en-et mat guru=ei cook food then hand.over to but DUR-eat food NEG=Q ' $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ cooked the food, then gave it to (him), but ( $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ ) might not eat it'

Although the morpheme $-i$ 'PROT' to mark protesting in Moskona is morphologically identical to the Meyah - 'PROT', the Moskona marker may only occur sentence-finally, as in (191). The Meyah marker may attach to other constituents of the sentence, such as the subject didif and the negative adverb guru in (192).

Moskona:
(191) Tiná yua yi-em-eyta mar no-ma-i tin gur(a)-i! but you.PL 2PL-IRR-take thing DNR-far-GIV also NEG-PROT 'But you didn't even do that!' (protesting)

Meyah:
(192) Didif-i di-en-ejginaga rot guru-i! I-PROT 1SG-DUR-know about NEG-PROT 'I didn't know about it!'

The attitude marker -o 'EMP' in Moskona, operating on the sentence level, functions to intensify a proposition or signals a greater degree of emotional involvement by the speaker, such as conveying urgency as in (193). It is morphologically identical to the Meyah enclitic -o 'EMP', which also has intensification functions, such as the intensified degree of distance in (194) or the increased intensity of the question in (195).

Moskona:

```
(193) Bua bi-eyege \(=m(a)=\boldsymbol{o}\) !
    you.SG 2SG-look.at=far=EMP
    'You watch out!'
```

Meyah:
(194) Ah jah ke-imba=o!
lie at NOM-below=EMP
'(it) is very far down there!'
Meyah:
(195) Meidu bera en-eker=if=o what TOP DUR-sit=here=EMP 'What is here?!'

The Meyah attitude marker $-a$ 'EMP', which operates on the word level, intensifies a constituent of the clause, as in (196). The phoneme /a/ may be added to a lexical item in Moskona, such as the verb ogow 'chop' in (197a), or omitted with no change in meaning, as in (197b). (Noted as PGE in interlinear glosses.)

Meyah:
(196) Bua bi-eker $=\boldsymbol{a}$
you.SG 2SG-sit=EMP
'(you) stay (here)!'
Moskona:
(197a) Ofa ogow-a merga (197b) Ofa ogow merga

$$
\mathrm{s} / \text { he chop-PGE wood } \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he} \text { chop wood }
$$

'He chopped the wood.' 'He chopped the wood.'

### 12.0 Swadesh List

The following is a list of Moskona and Meyah lexical items based on the Swadesh List. Phonetic forms are included so as to demonstrate to a greater degree the similarities and differences of the lexical forms.

## Moskona Meyah

| all | romreg | [rómreg] | nomnaga | [nómnagá] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and/with | dokun | [dókun] | jera | [ ${ }^{\text {dzzéra] }}$ |
| animal (game) | marsa | [marsa] | marska | [márská] |
| ashes | mofra | [оофга] | mongkoru | [moykorú] |
| at | jig | [ $\overline{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{ig} \mathrm{ig}]$ | gij | [giç] |
| back | ejmeg | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ ${ }_{\text {d }} \mathrm{mzg}$ ] | ejmeg | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon} \overline{\mathrm{dz}} \mathrm{m}$ ég] |
| bad | oskur | [óskur] | oska | [oská] |
| bark | merga owos | [merga owos] | mega ofos | [méga óфоs] |
| from | esha | [esxa] | jeska | [ $\widehat{\mathrm{d} 3}$ ¢ska] |
| stomach | otkon | [ótkon] | otkonu | [otkonú] |
| big | orokec | [orok $\overparen{t g}$ ] | eteb | [ téb] |
| bird | mem | [mem] | mem | [mém] |
| bite | eska 'sting' | [ ̌́ska] | eska | [éska] |
| black | ahta | [axta] | ahta | [áxta] |
| blood | ofuga | [oфuga] | ogofu | [оgoфú] |
| blow <br> (wind) | ofca | [ $\mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{J}$ ] | ofc | [офt'] |
| bone | oforna | [oфогna] | ofora | [офогá] |
| breathe | oh efena | [ox عф¢na] | ahamaha | [áxamáxa] |

Swadesh list

| burn | merah et | [merax et] | mah et | [max $\varepsilon$ t] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| child | efer | [غ́фег] | efesa | [عф¢́sa] |
| cloud | mar ofog | [mar oфog] | mocgoj ofog | [moţgód3 óфog] |
| chilled | mifirna | [miфırna] | meyfina | [meфína] |
| come | en | [En] | en | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon n}$ ] |
| count | osot | [osót] | ofosut | [oфosút] |
| cut | ersa | [érsa] | eris | [erís] |
| day | mona | [mona] | mona | [móná] |
| die | ogos | [ogos] | agos | [agós] |
| dig | ow | [ow] | eji | [ 2 d3í] |
| dirty | ahtahta | [axtáxta] | ahtahta | [áxtaxta] |
| dog | mes | [mes] | mes | [més] |
| do | engit | [éngit] | otumgom | [otúmgom] |
| drink | et | [ Et$]$ | $e j$ | [ E ¢ $\overline{3}]$ |
| dried (out) | efej | [ $ع ¢ \varepsilon$ ¢ $\overline{3}]$ | efej | [ $ع$ ¢́¢ $\overline{d z}$ ] |
| dull | ofoj | [о́фоб3] | ombiya | [ombíja] |
| dust | mow ofuy | [mow ó $¢ \varnothing$ ] | mebi ofou | [mıbí oфów] |
| ear | osuy | [ósøj] | osu | [osú] |
| earth/land | mow | [mow] | mebi | [mebí] |
| eat | et | [ ct$]$ | et | [ ct$]$ |
| egg | mem ofuy | [mem óфøj] | mem ofou | [mém oфów] |
| eye | eteyja |  | eyteyj | [eté ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ] |
| fall | esir | [esír] | esiri | [esicí] |
| far | esen | [esen] | yes | [jes] |
| fat | ewes | [ ¢́wes] | efes | [ ¢́¢ $¢ \mathrm{~s}]$ |
| father | mekew | [mıkéw] | meka | [mıká] |
| fear | emesa | [emésa] | emesa | [عmésa] |
| feather | efej | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢́¢ $\overline{\mathrm{d}}$ ] $]$ | efeji | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ $\varepsilon$ d ${ }^{\text {inj}}$ ] |
| few | ahabnina | [áxabnína] | egekegka | [ ggékegka] |
| fight (strike e.o.) | imedima | [imédima] | rimedima | [rimédima] |


| fire | merah | [meráx] | mah | [max] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fish | mos | [mos] | mos | [mós] |
| five | ciyja |  | cinja | [ tyind ${ }^{\text {cha] }}$ |
| float | etuk | [Étuk] | ofka | [офká] |
| flow | eya | [éja] | eyah | [éja] |
| flower | marowok | [már ówok] | marfok | [márфók] |
| fly | of | [оф] | ofu | [офи́] |
| fog | mockeja | [motjkédza] | mocgoj | [moţ̧ ${ }^{\text {gódz] }}$ |
| foot ?? | egak | [Égak] | aki | [akí] |
| four | táhgur | [táxgur] | tohkuru | [toxgurú] |
| front (of person) | oduy | [ódøj] | odou | [odów] |
| fruit | owos | [ówos] | ofos | [oфоs] |
| take | eyta | [éta] | eyta | [éta] |
| good | oyfa | [о́jфа] | oufa | [ówфa] |
| grass | marefen | [máreф¢n] | marfenen/mof ombra | [márфєnen] <br> [moфоmbrá] |
| green / <br> blue | ofraha | [офгáxa] | ofraha | [офга́ха] |
| guts | efenok | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢́́nok] | otkonu efesa | [otkonú $\varepsilon \not \subset$ śsa] |
| hair | efeja |  | efeji | [ 2 ¢ 2 djú] |
| arm | etma | [Étma] | etma | [ ¢tma] |
| s/he | ofa | [о́фа] | ofa | [офа] |
| head | ebir | [źbır] | ebirfaga | [عbírфagá] |
| hear | eg | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon g}$ ] | eg | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon g}$ ] |
| heart | efembej | [عфémbe¢̧] $]$ | efemebi | [ $\varepsilon ¢ \varepsilon \mathrm{~m}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {bi] }}$ |
| heavy | okum | [ókum] | okum | [ókum] |
| here | ji (g)kef |  | jah sif | [ ${ }_{\text {ḑáx }}$ síq] |
| beat | obui | [obuí] | obu | [obu] |
| hold (on) | oruskej | [oruskéd ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ ] | agei | [áge] |
| how | tinefa | [tíneфа] | teynefa | [ténsфа] |
| hunt | okuk | [okuk] | okuk | [okuk] |


| husband | mahina | [maxina] | mahina | [mahijna] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | dif | [di¢] | didif | [dídi¢] |
| at/on | jig | [ $\overline{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{ig}$ ] | gij | [gidz] |
| kill (chop) | ógow | [ogow] | agob | [agób] |
| know | éjgen | [ 2 ḑ3gen] | egjinaga | [ $\varepsilon$ ḑ3genaga] |
| lake | meren | [merén] | meren | [merén] |
| laugh | oytut | [ójtut] | otut | [otút] |
| leaf | efi | [ $\hat{\text { ¢ }}$ i] | efei | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ејí] |
| left (side) | erga | [Érga] | egris | [egrís] |
| leg | egak | [ $\varepsilon$ gák] | aki | [áki] |
| lie (down) | ah | [ax] | ah | [ax] |
| live | efena ebah | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢́́na ébax] | efeni ebah | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢ $\mathrm{n}^{\text {í }}$ £́bax] |
| long | aksa | [áksa] | aksa | [áksa] |
| louse | meyja | [mē̄za] | mej | [médz] |
| man | orna | [órna] | ona | [óna] |
| many | ognипиi | [ógnunuí] | ofokow | [oфóków] |
| meat <br> (game) | marsa | [mársa] | marska | [márska] |
| mother | mosu | [mosú] | mosu | [mosú] |
| mountain | memega | [mıméga] | meymaga | [memagá] |
| mouth | ohesa | [oxésa] | awesi | [awesí] |
| name | owoka | [owóka] | ofoka | [oфoká] |
| narrow | okúckok | [okut5̄kok] | emeynma | [عménma] |
| near | etkebra | [etkébra] | doyda | [dójda] |
| neck | orkos | [órkos] | orukaga | [orúkagá] |
| new | efena | [عфéna] | efeynah | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢énax] |
| night | mot | [mot] | motu | [motú] |
| nose/face | osuma | [osúma] | osum | [osúm] |
| NEG | éra / gurá | [Éra] / [gurá] | guru | [gurú] |
| stab | odoc | [óduţ] | oduis | [odújs] |


| old / used | efi | [ $\check{\text { ¢ }}$ i] | efeyey | [ $\varepsilon \not \subset$ ејé] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one | erges | [ $\varepsilon$ rgés] | egens | [ g ǵns] |
| (an)other | ergem | [ergém] | egema | [Égema] |
| person | osnok | [ósnok] | osnok | [osnók] |
| play | ahaisen / <br> osioma | [axájsen] <br> [osióma] | ahaysomu | [axájjomu] |
| pull | okuy | [ókuj] | oku | [óku] |
| push/ push back | edi | [ ¢di] | edei | [ deí] |
| rain | mas | [mas] | mos | [mos] |
| red/brown | ekena | [عkéna] | ekeni | [ $\varepsilon \mathrm{k} \varepsilon$ ní] |
| correct | decir |  | tenten | [tentén] |
| right <br> (side) | orojuj | [oród3u ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ] | ognosk | [ognósk] |
| river | miy | [mij] | mey | [me] |
| path/road | moroja | [moródza] | moroju | [moroḑúu] |
| root | ofom | [óфom] | ofom | [офо́m] |
| vine | mofuna | [moфúna] | mofun | [moфún] |
| rotten | emba | [émba] | esemba | [esembá] |
| rub | os | [os] | os | [os] |
| sand | mebsta | [mébsta] | mebsta | [mebstá] |
| say | ohota | [oxóta] | agot | [agót] |
| scratch | ahah | [áxax] | eragrina | [Éragrina] |
| sea | miy mojum | [mij módz̧um] | mey mojum | [me <br> moḑ̌umú] |
| see | ek | [ék] | ek | [ék] |
| seed/dried <br> thing | efej | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢¢ $¢ \overline{\mathrm{~d}}$ ] $]$ | efej |  |
| sew | ob/ow | [ow] | $e b$ | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon b}$ ] |
| sharp | efietka | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢iétka] | efeya | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢éa] |
| short | etkebra/esta mug | [ztkébra] / <br> [éstamug] | estir | [estır] |
| sing | of | [ O ] | of | [ O ] |


| sit/stay | eker | [ kk ह́r] | eker | [ kk ér] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| skin | owos | [ówos] | ofos | [oфоs] |
| sky | meybaga | [mebága] | meybaga | [mebagá] |
| sleep | ah jig | [áx $\overline{\text { duig] }}$ | ah jah/ahca | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [áx } \overline{\mathrm{dy}} \mathrm{ax}] / \\ & \text { [áxtJa] } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| small | oskay | [oskáj] | oskayai/ofoka $i$ | [oskijáj] <br> [oфokáj] |
| smell | emsa | [émsa] | $e g$ | [ Eg ] |
| smoke | merah ofog | [meráx óфog] | mah efeb | [max $\varepsilon$ ¢́́b] |
| smooth | oworsa | [owórsa] | orswos | [orswos] |
| snake | mogosa | [mogósa] | magosu | [magosú] |
| some | enia | [Enía] | eneya | [ £néa] |
| spit | osrof | [ósroф] | os | [os] |
| split | ebri | [Ébri] | ebriyi | [ebrijí] |
| squeeze | ebda | [ébda] | esingh | [esíngx] |
| stand | ot | [ot] | ot | [ot] |
| star | motur | [mótur] | motur | [motúr] |
| stick | mockur | [mottjkur] | moskur | [móskur] |
| stone | mogoma | [mogóma] | тати | [mamú] |
| straight | ohurka | [oxúrka] | aharuka | [axaruká] |
| suck | $e f$ | [ $\varepsilon \Phi$ ] | efa | [ $\varepsilon$ ¢á] |
| sun | maw | [maw] | mowa | [mówa] |
| swell | esejga | [esédz̄ga] | efifij |  |
| swim (stir <br> water) | era miy | [éra mij] | era mei | [éra me] |
| tail | oyrega | [ojréga] | oiraga | [ojrága] |
| that | nomi | [nóma] | koma | [kóma] |
| there (up) | ida | [ída] | inda | [inda] |
| they (PL) | eri | [éri] | rua | [rúa] |
| thick | ewek | [Éwek] | ontumba | [ontúmba] |
| thin | ahabnuk | [áxabnúk] | ahanuka | [axanuka] |
| think | orusohta | [orusóxta] | osujohu | [osuḑ30xú] |


| this | (no)kef | [nókeф] | kef | [kı ${ }^{\text {] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thou | bua | [búa] | bua | [búa] |
| three | ergom | [ergóm] | ogomи | [ogomú] |
| throw/toss | eyj | [ $\mathrm{e} \overline{\mathrm{d}}$ ] $]$ | eij | [ $\mathrm{e} \overline{\text { 3 }}$ ] |
| tie | ahac | [áxaţ] | akid | [akíd] |
| tongue | ornoj | [órno ${ }_{\text {d }}$ ] | arni | [arní] |
| tooth | ofon | [oфоп] | ofon | [oфon] |
| tree | merga | [mérga] | mega | [méga] |
| turn | ekikif | [ekíki¢] | ekikif | [عkíki¢] |
| two | ergak | [ergák] | egeka | [ g ćka] |
| vomit | ahamow | [áxamow] | meysohu | [mefóhu] |
| walk | ecira | [ $\mathrm{tt} \mathrm{tjíra]}$ | ecira | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon t} \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}$ íra] |
| warm | ofufom | [офúфот] | ofowfem | [oфоwфém] |
| wash | ot | [ot] | ot | [ot] |
| water | miy | [mij] | mey | [me] |
| we (PL) | mif | [mi¢] | mimif | [mimiф] |
| wet (moist) | etefa | [عte $\phi$ a] | etefa | [عtะфа] |
| what | midá | [midá] | meydu | [medu] |
| when | echa | [ $\mathrm{\varepsilon tf} \mathrm{xa}$ ] | ecgaho | [ [tJgaxo] |
| where | hádefa | [xádeфа] | sinefa | [sínc¢a] |
| white | $e f s a$ | [ ¢́¢sa] | ebsi | [ebsi] |
| who | ida | [ída] | $i d u$ | [ídu] |
| wide | otoh | [ótox] | efefi | [عф¢фi] |
| wife | mohena | [moxéna] | mohona | [moxóna] |
| wind | mafif | [máфi¢] | mof | [ $\mathrm{mo} \mathrm{\phi}$ ] |
| wing | efémbra | [عф¢mbra] | efembra | [عфعmbra] |
| wipe | osun | [ósun] | osunи | [osunu] |
| with | jéra | [ ${ }_{\text {d3era] }}$ | jéra | [ ${ }_{\text {dzera] }}$ |
| woman | ejéna | [ $\varepsilon$ d ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢na] | ojóna | [od3ona] |
| woods | merembra | [merémbra] | merembrah | [merembrax] |
| worm | mogosga | [mogósga] | mofunfou | [moфunфow] |

## Appendix B

## Texts

This appendix provides ten complete texts by several speakers to illustrate a number of the structures and functions described in the preceding grammar. All texts are primarily narrative, but contain within them some elements of procedural and hortatory discourse.

## Text 1: Women give birth

This text, given in Sentani by Edison Orocomna on October 5, 1995 is about Moskona birthing practices.

Synopsis:
In the past, women gave birth to babies in a small hut built by the woman's husband. Because any blood which comes from a woman's vagina is taboo for men, men do not come in contact with it or even want to see it. So, women gave birth in this separate structure with only other women attending her. The hut, which is usually built in the same clearing as the main house, was built on the ground so that a leaf-lined depression could be made for the baby to "fall into". The birth position was squatting over the depression in the ground, supported by other women. The woman entered the birthing hut when she experienced labor pains. Nettle leaves and pineapple leaves are pressed or scraped across her skin to create a "counter-effect" to labor pain. The umbilical cord was traditionally cut with a freshly made bamboo knife. The upward or downward direction of the cut corresponded to the sex of the child. The woman remained in the hut for at least a month after the baby's birth.

1. Eri i-ejen(a i-odog jig, edá, of(a)-es or mod they.PL 3PL-woman 3PL-pregnant LOC then s/he-male build house
efeyu ni, edá of(a)-ej em-ok efer jig.
immature for then s /he-female IRR-bear child LOC
(If/when) they women are pregnant, then, he builds a small house, for (her), then she will give birth in (it).
2. Edá, ofa eti efef, otigeja eker.
then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ feel.pain ache stand.up sit
Then, she feels [labor] pains [and] (she) straightens upright [and] sits.
3. Edá, eri i-or mecif i-er-or otkona.
then they.PL 3PL-hold nettle.leaf 3PL-CAUS-hold intestines
then, they touch her intestines (belly) with nettle leaves.
4. Ejena em-ok efer em-oj, ok efer ahaw. woman IRR-bear child IRR-descend bear child go.down
The woman would bear the baby [so] it would descend, (she) bears the child [so] she squats.
5. Edá, i-eyja i-eki mekrew.
then 3PL-go 3PL-cut.bamboo bamboo.knife
Then, (they) go [and] cut a bamboo knife.
6. Edá, i-eyja i-er-ers(a) eswoj.
then, 3PL-go 3PL-CAUS-slice navel
Then, (they) begin [and] cut the navel (umbilical cord).
7. I-er-ers(a) osoka, edá of(a)-ej, ahaw, edá of(a)-es. 3PL-CAUS-slice climb.up then s /he-female descend then $\mathrm{s} /$ he-male [if] they cut it [in an] ascending (motion), then [the child is] female; [if in a] descending (motion), then [the child is] male.
8. Edá i-eyja i-os megigra, mofiki, i-en i-efe merah then 3PL-go 3PL-sever pineapple parasite.vine 3PL-do 3PL-lay fire Then, (they) go [and] sever pineapple, parasite vine, (they) make [and] lay a fire.
9. Edá, i-er-efet efer ohur no-ma-i.
then 3PL-CAUS-swab child bare DNR-far-GIV Then, (they) swab the newborn. (bare child = newborn)
10. Megigra i-er-os of-ejena owos efef. pineapple 3PL-CAUS-move.horiz. s/he-woman skin ache Pineapple (leaves) are used to rub the woman's skin (body) [so] it hurts.
11. Edá, eker kerenga mesta okes erg-es jig mod efeyu then sit upon monthentire NUM:1-one LOC house immature no-mis-i ofog ${ }^{60}$, edá em-osok mod, edá eker rot mod. DNR-former-GIV first then IRR-climb.up house then sit about house Then after (she) rests for one whole month in that small [birthing] house, then (she) goes up into the (regular) house, then lives in the house. (sit upon = rest)
12. $O s r u k^{61}$. match.up That's all.
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## Text 2: How tinder was made

This text was given in Sentani by Edison Orocomna on March 29, 1996.

Synopsis:
Before the introduction of matches, Moskona people made tinder from fan palm chips, as a starter for fires. The part of a palm branch closest to the trunk was chipped into small pieces, then dried out using heated leaves. The tinder was then stored in prepared bamboo tubes. To make a fire, shards of earthenware plates were struck together, creating sparks which ignited the tinder.

1. Eri-orna dokun eri-ejena sis, jig Moskona sokomow erá, they.PL-man and they.PL-woman past LOC Moskona beginning THM
eri i-engit merah fen mogug.
they.PL 3PL-make fire from tinder
Men and women of the past, in the Moskona (tribe) of long ago, they made fire from tinder.
2. Eri i-ersa medeg i-eba mebgif efef rot mogug. they.PL 3PL-slicebamboo 3PL-break.off fan.palm flap about tinder They cut bamboo [and] broke off fan palm branches for the tinder.
3. Eri i-egirna toktok jida etew.
they.PL 3PL-scrape bit.by.bit until much
They scraped [small chunks] off a bit at a time, until there was a lot.
4. Edá, eri i-ohsud meri efi noga otoh.
then they.PL 3PL-search tree.leaf leaf REL wide
Then, they searched for tree leaves which were wide.
5. Edá, meri efi no-ma-i, eri i-er-ef ni merah
then tree.leaf leaf DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-CAUS-distribute at fire
jida aharga.
until dry
Then, the leaves, they spread near the fire until they were dry.
6. Edá, eri i-oku meri efi no-ma-i jig merah, edá efena. then they.PL 3PL-burn tree.leaf leaf DNR-far-GIV LOC fire then heated Then, they heated the leaves on the fire, then they were hot.
7. Eri i-ora ni mogug no-mis-i jida mogug no-ma-i they.PL 3PL-hold for tinder DNR-former-GIV until tinder DNR-far-GIV aharga.
dry
They held the leaves on the tinder, until the tinder was dry.
8. Edá, eri i-os jig medeg-a noga eri i-esah-owos they they.PL 3PL-smash LOC bamboo-PGE REL they.PL 3PL-put-group
$r o t=m i s-i$.
about=former-GIV
Then, they tamped (the tinder) into the bamboo tube which they had prepared for (it).
9. Edá, eri i-etka mester mogom efeybra osk-ok, ni eri then they.PL 3PL-split plate stone shard small-RED for they.PL
i-or-a jera mogug no-ma-i, edá i-eges. 3PL-hold-PGE with tinder DNR-far-GIV then 3PL-chisel Then, they split a stone (earthenware) plate [so] it was small shards, so they [could] use it with the tinder, then strike (to start a fire).
10. Edá, merah noga fen mogug dokun mester mogom no-ma-i then fire REL from tinder and plate stone DNR-far-GIV
ewisa jog.
flame already
Then, the fire (spark) which was from the tinder and the stone place flamed up.
11. Edegejga. match.up That's all.

## Text 3: Tree houses

This text was given by Edison Orocomna on March 25, 1996.
Synopsis:
In times past, when life was less secure, Moskonas lived in houses built up in the spreading boughs of ironwood or banyan trees. When people wanted to build a house in a tree, they searched the forest for trees whose boughs were arranged to support a house. When a tree had been chosen, the area immediately below it was cleared of brush, then poles were cut to form the frame of the house. A scaffold was affixed to the tree's trunk for ease of access during building. House-building was usually a project requiring the effort of a number of people, so clan members were pressed into service. While the men constructed the house, the women planted a garden. The usual amount of time required to construct a house was an entire month. The completion of the house was a cause for celebration, so before the various helpers returned home, there was dancing and feasting.

1. Dif di-osot-a mar rot eri-orna sokomow jig Moskona I 1SG-count-PGE thing about they.PL-man beginning LOC moskona

| sokomow noga | i-em-or | mod miy néesa | erá | eri |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| beginning REL | 3PL-IRR-build | house water not.yet | THM | they.PL |

i-ebah jig mowah ros.
3PL-live LOC outside still
I'm recounting about the men of long ago in the Moskona area in former times who before they built houses on the ground, they still lived out in the jungle.
2. Edá eri-orna jerá eri-ejena i-ecira i-ek merga noga then they.PL-man with they.PL-woman 3PL-walk 3PL-see wood REL
edegejga, mer éra moj, i-ek noga está oyfa-mofa. match.up ironwood DSJ banyan 3PL-see REL fork good-RED Then, men [along] with women traveled around [and] saw trees which were suitable, ironwood or banyan trees, they saw ones which had nice spreading boughs.
3. Edá, eri i-en mar esha oysa-mosa. then they.PL 3PL-do thing from finish-RED Then, they did away (cleared the brush) completely.
4. Edá, eri i-og merga oforga, i-og merga ni eri then they.PL 3PL-bend wood dried 3PL-bend wood for they.PL
i-or-a mod tum merga está no-mis-i. 3PL-build-PGE house onto wood fork DNR-former-GIV Then, they bent (to break off) soft saplings, cutting poles for them to build a house upon the boughs of the tree.
5. Edá, eri i-og merga oys-amosa.
then they.PL 3PL-bend wood finish-RED
Then, they bent wood (poles) [so] it was completely finished.
6. Edá, eri i-ahac merga osok tum merga no-mis-i, then they.PL 3PL-tie wood climb.up onto wood DNR-former-GIV
edá i-osok i-or mod jig moj-a está éra mera
then 3PL-climb.up 3PL-build house LOC ironwood-PGE fork DSJ banyan
está.
fork
Then, they tied the poles [so] they [could] climb up those trees, then they went up [and] built the house on the boughs of the ironwood or banyan tree.
7. Edá, eri i-or-a mod no-ma-i jig mesta okes then they.PL 3PL-build-PGE house DNR-far-GIV LOC month whole
erg-es, erogá mod no-ma-i em-oysa-mosa.
NUM:1-one hence house DNR-far-GIV IRR-finish-RED
Then, they built the house for an entire month, so [then] the house would be completed.
8. Oysa-mosa, edá, eri i-oduy. finish-RED then they.PL 3PL-front [When] it was finished, then they fronted (walled) it.
9. Edá, eri i-os medef, i-okuy erin uasir ni then they.PL 3PL-sever sago 3PL-pull.out 3PLPOS companions for
$i$-ed medef, i-ed medef em-oysa-mosa.
3PL-weave sago 3PL-weave sago IRR-finish-RED
Then, they cut sago (branches), drew upon their companions to weave sago, to weave sago (branches) [so] the sago [thatch] would be completed.
10. Edá, eri i-or-a medef no-ma-i i-er-oko mod then they.PL 3PL-hold-PGE sago DNR-far-GIV 3PL-CAUS-thatch house
no-mis-i, i-oko mod no-ma-i i-em-oys-amosa.
DNR-far-GIV 3PL-thatch house DNR-far-GIV 3PL-IRR-finish-RED.
Then, they thatched the house with the sago leaves [and] thatched the house [so] it would be completed.
11. Edá, eri-ejena romreg i-oyna mok(ta), merefa, mesi, meni, then they.PL-womanall 3PL-cook pandanus greens taro bananas
muy ni eri noga i-oko mod no-ma-i, ni eri sweet.potato for they.PL REL 3PL-thatch house DNR-far-GIV for they.PL
i-et daka i-osorna.
3PL-eat avert 3PL-hunger
Then, the women all cooked pandanus, greens, taro, bananas, sweet potatoes for those who were thatching the house to eat, lest they be hungry.
12. Edá, mod efega no-ma-i, eri i-en maeken. then house body DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-do garden Then, [in] the yard of the house, they made a garden. (house body = yard)
13. Jig mod efega no-ma-i, i-en maeken romreg rot mesi, ér(a)-ey LOC house body DNR-far-GIV 3PL-do garden all about taro DSJ-Q
moma, ér(a)-ey meni, ér(a)-ey muy, ér(a)-ey meref, ér(a)-ey cassava DSJ-Q banana DSJ-Q sweet.potato DSJ-Q greens DSJ-Q
mok(ta).
pandanus
In the yard of the house, (they) made an entire garden, whether with taro, or cassava, or bananas, or sweet potatoes, or greens, or pandanus.
14. Edá, mar no-ma-i romreg efem, edá eri i-et-a
then thing DNR-far-GIV all tuber then they.PL 3PL-eat-PGE
oys-amosa.
finish-RED
Then, [when] all those things were (filled out) tubers (ripe), they ate it all up.
15. Edá mod no-ma-i efi, eri i-ahada mod no-ma-i then house DNR-far-GIV worn they.PL 3PL-dismantle house DNR-far-GIV
tas.
again
Then, [if/when] the house was worn out, they again dismantled the house.
16. Edá, eri i-eyja i-or mod jig merga or-i-om tas. then they.PL 3PL-go 3PL-build house LOC wood NUM:7-?-CST again Then, they went again [and] built a house in another tree.
17. Merga or-i-om no-ma-i, eri i-en mar esha. wood NUM:7-?-CST DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-do thing from The other tree, they worked (cleared brush) away from.
18. Edá, eri i-osok i-or mod jig merga está no-ma-i. then they.PL 3PL-climb.up 3PL-build house LOC wood fork DNR-far-GIV Then, they went up [and] built a house in the spreading tree branches.
19. Edá, eri i-eyj mesiga eges jig éysaha jig mow then they.PL 3PL-toss ladder high LOC reach LOC land
$u-m(e) j-a$.
NONVIS-remote-PGE
Then, they tossed a long ladder at (the tree) [so] it reached to the ground below.
20. Edá, mafif éysaha en mod no-ma-i eyrir-a rot eri. then wind reach do house DNR-far-GIV vibrate-PGE about they.PL Then, the wind arrived [and] caused the house [so] it swayed with them (in it).
21. Edá, eri-ejena dokuni-eferiok i-ok jig mow, esha eri then they.PL-woman and 3PL-sm.child 3PL-flee LOC land from they.PL
i-emesa rot mafif em-ed mod no-ma-i rot eri roga, 3PL-fear about wind IRR-strike house DNR-far-GIV about they.PL first
mod em-esim rot eri.
house IRR-fall.over about they.PL
Then, women and small children fled to the ground, because they were afraid that after the wind would strike the house with them (in it), the house would fall over with them (in it).
22. Edá, mod no-ma-i ofon ofuy ni eri noga i-ef then house DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS function for they.PL REL 3PL-shoot
mosta, edá i-ok i-osok i-oyka jig mod no-ma-i.
prey then 3PL-flee 3PL-climb.up 3PL-dance LOC house DNR-far-GIV Then, the house had the use for them who shoot prey, then they fled [and] went up [and] danced in that house.
23. I-osnok meren i-osoka i-oyka jig mod no-ma-i jida mesta 3PL-person lake 3PL-climb.up 3PL-dance LOC house DNR-far-GIV until month
erg-es, es(h)a-roga oysa-mosa, es(h)a-roga em-oys-amos(a). NUM:1-one from-first finish-RED from-first IRR-finish-RED A lot of people went up [and] danced in the house up to one month, so [then] it was finished, so it would have been finished.
24. Edá, eri i-esu-a mar m-ef-im(a) rot, edá
then they.PL 3PL-open-PGE thing RECIP-trade about then
i-em-ahad(a)-ef toktoga.
3PL-IRR-dismantle-near various.places
Then, they open stuff in turns (have a party) as a result, then they would disperse to wherever.
25. Tiná, kus no-kef-a, eri-orna romreg i-em-or mod but short.span DNR-here-PGE they.PL-man all 3PL-IRR-build house
$j i g ~ m e r g a ~ e s t a ́ ~ n o-m a-i ~ t a s ~ e ́ r a . ~$
LOC wood fork DNR-far-GIV again NEG
But, nowadays, all men don't build houses in the tree boughs any longer.
26. Kus no-kef-a erá, eri romreg i-ahaw fen short.span DNR-here-PGE THM they.PL all 3PL-climb.down from mod eges, esha Tuhan ${ }^{62}$ Ara ogá em-okuy eri romreg house long from Lord God speech IRR-pull.out they.PL all
fen mod eges no-ma-i.
from house high DNR-far-GIV
Nowadays, everybody [has] come down from the high houses, because God's words draw all of them from the high houses.

[^51]
## 474 Appendix B

27. Edá, eri i-or-a mod miy jera mow, edá romreg then they.PL 3PL-build-PGE house water with land then all
$i$-ebah-a owos.
3PL-live-PGE group
Then, they built water houses with land, then (they) all live in groups. (water house = house built on the ground near a river or stream)
28. Esha noga sokomow erá, i-erg-em i-es(is)ok jera ofon from REL beginning THM 3PL-NUM:1-CST 3PL-EXPN with 3SGPOS
mohena dokun ofon i-eferiok romreg i-ebah-a mow ed-em. wife and 3SGPOS 3PL-sm.child all 3PL-live-PGE land NUM:2-CST The reason [for this] is long ago, one of them alone with his wife and all his small children lived in a [separate] location.
29. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa erg-em tin esisok ebah jig ofon like DNR-far-GIV then $s /$ he NUM:1-CST also EXPN live LOC 3SGPOS
mow ed-em tin.
land NUM:2-CST also
Then that being so, another person also was alone [and] also lived in his separate location.

## Text 4: Snake capture

This short text was given by Edison Orocomna on January 22, 1996.

Synopsis:
Snakes are not ordinarily hunted, but when discovered, they are killed and their flesh may be eaten for meat. (Obviously, the snake must be a large one.) After being killed, the snake was transported back to the village to be gutted and cleaned, then cooked in a bark container for consumption by men. Snake meat is taboo for all but adult men.

1. I-osnok i-ecira jig mowah, edá eri i-er-od 3PL-person 3PL-walk LOC outside then they.PL 3PL-CAUS-accid.strike
mogos, edá eri i-osusuy.
snake then they.PL 3PL-startle
[When/if] people walked in the forest, they accidently came upon a snake, then they were startled.
2. Edá, eri i-or-a mitow, edá i-er-ah-a mogos(a)
then they.PL 3PL-hold-PGE machete then 3PL-CAUS-hack-PGE snake
no-ma-i ogos.
DNR-far-GIV die
Then, they used a machete, then (with it) hacked up the snake [so] it died.
3. Edá, eri i-ahac mogos(a) no-ma-i.
then they.PL 3PL-tie snake DNR-far-GIV
Then, they tied up the snake.
4. Eri i-ok i-owha rot jig mod, edá i-egeja
they.PL 3PL-bear 3PL-leave about LOC house then 3PL-slit.open
oys-omusa.
finish-RED
They carried (it) [and] went with (it) to [their] house, then gutted it completely.
5. Edá, eri i-oyna jig mosha jida efieyja. then they.PL 3PL-cook LOC k.o.tree until ready.to.eat Then, they cooked (it) in a mosha (bark container) until it was ready to eat.

## 476 Appendix B

6. Edá, eri-orna gijga erá i-en-et, tiná eri-ej-a dokun then they.PL-man only THM 3PL-DUR-eat but they.PL-female-PGE and i-eferiok noga eri-es erá i-em-et éra. 3PL-sm.child REL they.PL-male THM 3PL-IRR-eat NEG Then, the (adult) men only eat (it), but women and small male children do not eat (it).

## Text 5: The cockatoo and the parrot

This story, given by Sius Orocomna in September 2000, allegedly gives the reason behind the eating habits of parrots and cockatoos. It is a story told to children to teach the cultural value of assisting those who ask for help and the consequences of not doing so.

## Synopsis:

The parrot and cockatoo lived together (indicating that they were clan members or somehow allied with each other). The food gathering and preparation project in which the parrot was involved normally required assistance, but at each stage of the preparation, the cockatoo was not inclined to provide assistance. As a result, he was not allowed by the parrot to have any of the prepared food and also suffered the anger of the parrot.

1. Sokomow, kus noga mahti erá, memned dokun mohus erá, beginning short.span REL legend THM cockatoo and parrot THM
ergog $y$-ebah jig mod erg-es.
they.DU DU-live LOC house NUM:1-one
Long ago, during legendary times, the cockatoo and the parrot, they lived in a house.
2. Ergog y-eyjani y-ob mok. they.DU DU-go for DU-pluck pandanus They went to pick pandanus.
3. Okuk no-ma-i edá, mohus, ofa ohot gug memned, Bua like DNR-far-GIV then parrot s/he say to cockatoo you.SG
bi-og merga ni mi-er-ob mok.
2SG-bend wood for 1PL-CAUS-pluck pandanus.
Then that being so, the parrot, he said to the cockatoo, "Break off a stick for us to pick pandanus with."
4. Tiná, memned, ofa odow.
but cockatoo s /he disinclined
But, the cockatoo, he was disinclined (to help).
5. Edá, mohus ofaha eyja, edá og merga.
then parrot 3SGRX go then bend wood
Then, the parrot himself went, then bent (broke off) a stick.
6. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni ob mok, tiná memned, then parrot order cockatoo for pluck pandanus but cockatoo ofa odow. s/he disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to pick the pandanus, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
7. Edá, mohus ofaha ob mok, edá ecira. then parrot 3SGRX pluck pandanus then walk Then, the parrot himself picked the pandanus, then walked (on).
8. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni etka mok owok(a), tiná then parrot order cockatoo for split pandanus fruit but memned, ofa odow. cockatoo s /he disinclined.
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to split the pandanus, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
9. Edá, mohus oduk memned orka mok, tiná memned, ofa then parrot order cockatoo carry pandanus but cockatoo $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
odow.
disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to bring the pandanus, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
10. Edá, mohus, ofa orka mok, edá éysaha jig mod. then parrot s /he carry pandanus then reach LOC house Then, the parrot, he carried the pandanus, then arrived at the house.
11. Edá, mohus, ofa oduk memned ni ok mergej, tiná memned, then parrot $\mathrm{s} /$ he order cockatoo for bear firewood but cockatoo
ofa odow.
s/he disinclined
Then, the parrot, he told the cockatoo to bring the firewood, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
12. Edá, mohus, ofa ok mergej.
then parrot $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ bear firewood
Then, the parrot, he brought the firewood.
13. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni oku merah, tiná memned, ofa then parrot order cockatoo for burn fire but cockatoo $s / h e$ odow.
disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to get a fire going, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
14. Edá, mohus ofaha oku merah.
then parrot 3SGRX burn fire
Then, the parrot himself got the fire going.
15. Edá, mohus oduk memned orka miy, tiná memned, ofa odow. then parrot order cockatoo carry water but cockatoo $\mathrm{s} /$ he disinclined Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to bring water, but the cockatoo, he didn't want to.
16. Edá, mohus, ofaha orka miy.
then parrot 3 SGRX carry water
Then, the parrot himself brought the water.
17. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni oyna mok, tiná memned, ofa then parrot order cockatoo for cook pandanus but cockatoo $s / h e$ odow.
disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to cook the pandanus, but the cockatoo, he didn't want to.
18. Edá, mohus ofaha oyna mok, edá ef(i)eyj(a). then parrot 3 SGRX cook pandanus then ready.to.eat Then, the parrot himself cooked the pandanus, then it was finished cooking.
19. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni ob mamga, tiná memned, ofa then parrot order cockatoo for pluck pestle but cockatoo s/he odow. disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to pluck a pestle, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
20. Edá, mohus ofaha ob mamga then parrot 3SGRX pluck pestle Then, the parrot himself plucked a pestle.
21. Edá, mohus oduk memned ni os mok, tiná memned, then parrot order cockatoo for move.horiz pandanus but cockatoo
ofa odow.
s/he disinclined
Then, the parrot told the cockatoo to grate the pandanus, but the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
22. Edá, mohus ofaha os mok.
then parrot 3SGRX move.horiz. pandanus
Then, the parrot himself grated the pandanus.
23. Edá, memned, ofa em-eyta meserfa ni er-et mok. then cockatoo s/he IRR-take spoon for CAUS-eat pandanus Then, the cockatoo, he would have taken a spoon to eat the pandanus.
24. Tiná, mohus, ofa oduy oj, edá or-a mamga or-ojok but parrot $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ front descend then hold-PGE pestle IRR-whack
memned ebir.
cockatoo head
But, the parrot, he was irritated, then whacked the cockatoo's head with the pestle.
25. Edá, memned, ofa ebisa.
then cockatoo $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ cry
Then, the cockatoo, he cried.
26. Edá, memned, ofa ok éysaha jig meregah. then cockatoo s/he flee reach LOC part.way Then, the cockatoo, he fled [and] reached mid-way.
27. Edá, memnes, ofa ejeka gug memned dokun mohus ohot, then eagle s /he call.out to cockatoo and parrot say
$Y$-ebisa=mej éra mar=ey?
DU-cry=yonder DSJ thing=Q
Then, an eagle, he called out to the cockatoo and parrot, "Are you (two) over there crying or something?
28. Tiná, memned, ofa ohot, Mohus ojok dif rot mok. but cockatoo s/he say parrot whack I about pandanus But, the cockatoo, he said, "The parrot whacked me about the pandanus."
29. Erogá, memnec, ofa ejeka gug memned, Bua bi-ocok hence eagle s/he call.out to cockatoo you.SG 2SG-climb.up
jig=kef ni bi-esta mok.
LOC=here for 2 SG-suck pandanus
So, the eagle, he called out to the cockatoo, "Climb up here to eat pandanus."
30. Tiná, memned, ofa odow.
but cockatoo $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ disinclined
But, the cockatoo, he was disinclined.
31. Mem noga susuy tin, eri i-eyja gug memned, tiná ofa bird REL other.kind also they.PL 3PL-go to cockatoo but s/he
odow.
disinclined
Other kinds of birds also, they went to the cockatoo [to invite him], but he was disinclined.
32. Erogá kus no-kef, mif mi-ek mohus erá, ofa et hence short.span DNR-here we.PL IPL-see parrot THM s/he eat
mok, tiná memned erá ofa em-et mok éra.
pandanus but cockatoo THM s/he IRR-eat pandanus NEG
So nowadays, we see the parrot, he eats pandanus, but the cockatoo, he doesn't eat pandanus.
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33. Ocruk.
match.up
That's enough.

## Text 6: Ijisir and Yom

This story, told by Edison Orocomna on November 9, 1995, is a trickster story about two legendary figures, Ijisir, the trickster, and Yom, his victim. When his trick backfires, Ijisir attacks Yom causing him to die, and thus comes out as winner/hero. Moskonas find this story quite funny.

Synopsis:
Ijisir told Yom about a wild pig's burrow which he thought Yom would find interesting, but secretly planned to hide himself inside the burrow to scare Yom when he arrived to see it. Ijisir had already hidden in the burrow when Yom went to see the pig's burrow the next day. However, Yom had brought his metal spear to spear the pig, but instead of a pig, he speared Ijisir in the belly. Seeing what he had done, Yom fled the scene, escaping to the top of a mohega tree (to escape Ijisir's anger). However, the tree branch he was on broke off and he fell to the ground. At this point, Ijisir (magically) changed himself into a wild pig and bit Yom so that he died.

1. Ijisir dokun Yom, sokomow, Ijisir ohur mar gug Yom. Ijisir and Yom beginning Ijisir lie thing to Yom Ijisir and Yom, long ago, Ijisir spoke deceptively to Yom.
2. Ofa ohur ohot e-k(a)-i, Yom, bua sota bu-eyja, edá bu-ek s/he lie say DNR-near-GIV Yom you.SG later 2SG-go then2SG-see
mar erg-es okuk mek efem(a) em-ah tahays(a).
thing NUM:1-one like pig nest IRR-lie middle
He spoke deceptively like this, "Yom, later you [can] go, then see something like a pig's burrow in the middle [of an area].
3. Eda, no-ma-i, Ijisir em-ah jig mek efem(a).
then DNR-far-GIV Ijisir IRR-lie LOC pig nest
Then, that one, Ijisir intended to lie down in the pig's burrow.
4. Edá, Ijisir ohur ohot, Menejog, edá bu-oyra jig moroj then Ijisir lie say tomorrow then 2SG-cross.through LOC path
no-k(a)-i, edá bu-eyja bu-ek mar efem(a) erg-es em-ah DNR-near-GIV then 2SG-go 2SG-see thing nest NUM:1-one IRR-lie
tahays(a).
middle
Then, Ijisir said deceptively, "Tomorrow, then pass through on this path, then go see the burrow thing in the middle [of an area]."
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5. Eber edá, Yom eciga men-es. fully then, Yom get.up day-become Then that being so, Yom got up early.
6. Edá, Yom em-oyr(a) eyja ek mek efem no-ma-i, tiná Ijisir then Yom IRR-cross.through go see pig nest DNR-far-GIV but Ijisir ohur.

## lie

Then, Yom intended to cross [and] go [and] see the pig's burrow, but Ijisir had spoken deceptively.
7. Eber edá, ofaha eciga men-es eyja em-ah jig mek efem(a) fully then 3 SGRX get.up dawn go IRR-lie LOC pig nest
no-mis-i.
DNR-former-GIV
Then that being so, he (Ijisir) got up early [and] went [and] laid in the pig's burrow.
8. Edá, Yom-a en or mitomat er-ed mek efem(a) then Yom-PGE come hold metal.spear CAUS-strike pig nest
no-ma-i, tiná mitomat ocig(a) Ijisir otkona. DNR-far-GIV but metal.spear accid.strike Ijisir intestines Then, Yom came [and] struck the pig's burrow with a metal spear, but (unexpectedly) the spear struck Ijisir's belly.
9. Edá, Yom ok osok tum mohega, tiná mohega efs(a) rot then Yom flee climb.up onto k.o.tree but k.o.tree crack.off about

Yom.
Yom
Then, Yom fled [and] climbed up a mohega tree, but the tree broke with Yom (in it).
10. Edá, Ijisir, ofa es mek, edá ofa of Yom. then Ijisir $\mathrm{s} /$ he become pig then s /he bite Yom Then, Ijisir, he became a pig, then he bit Yom.
11. Edá, Yom ogos rud-a.
then Yom die ultimately-PGE
Then, Yom died in the end.

## Text 7: Orocomna ancestor

This origin story was given by Sius Orocomna in May 1999. The ancestor of the Orocomna clan (and of many other peoples in the world) is considered to be a woman named Orocomna. The father of her children is not mentioned. This version of the story locates the mother and sons on a mountain called Moginimes. (A different version of the story locates them in an another area called Pandanus Leaves.)

Synopsis:
A woman named Orocomna had two sons. The older son had a wife. His younger brother sketched a lewd picture of the wife on the wall of their new house, above the toilet hole for males. The older son saw the sketch and being quite angry, waited for an opportune time to take revenge. The opportunity came when the two were returning from a raid across the Rain River. After sending the rest of the raiding party on ahead, the older brother told his younger brother to guard the far side of the bridge. He then cut the hanging bridge, stranding the younger brother on the far side. When asked by his mother where his younger brother was, he disavowed knowledge, angering his mother. The mother looked for and found the younger brother, then rather than return to join the older brother, the two of them left the area together. Once in the new area, the mother requested the son to kill her and cut up her body. (In a version by a different speaker, both sons are involved in killing and chopping up the mother.) From the parts of her body come a number of people and people groups.

1. Mahti fen mifmin m-edina Orocomna legend from 1 PLPOS NR-grandpar. Orocomna The legend about our Orocomna ancestor
2. Sis sokomow u-m(e)ja erá, ejena noga owoka Orocomna past beginning NONVIS-yonder THM woman REL name Orocomna
dokun ofon efer-es y-erg-ak.
and 3SGPOS child-male DU-NUM:1-two
In the long ago past, there was a woman named Orocomna and her two sons.
3. M-ok-era owoka Ikowmjij dokun m-ok-esa erá

NR-sib.s.s-old name Ikowmjij and NR-sib.s.s.yg THM
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { owoka } & \text { Irofija } \\ \text { name } & \text { Irofija. }\end{array}$
The older one's name was Ikowmijij and the younger one's name was Irofija.
4. Eri i-ebah jig memeg(a) noga owoka Moginimes. they.PL 3PL-live LOC mountain REL name Moginimes They lived on the mountain that (its) name was Moginimes.
5. Eri i-ebah, tiná éysaha mona ofoj ni eri i-oyna they.PL 3PL-live but arrive day pre-set for they.PL 3PL-cook
mar-mosorna kerenga erin mod efena.
thing-hunger upon their house new
They lived [like ordinary], but the appointed time arrived for them to celebrate their new house. (cook food upon $=$ feast, celebrate)
6. Erogá, m-ok-esa eker, tiná ofa ey m-ok-era ofon
hence NR-sib.s.s.-yg sit but s/he weave NR-sib.s.s.-old 3SGPOS
mohena noga owoka Oyfa-moju oska efena jig mosha owos wife REL name Oyfamoju crotch image LOC k.o.tree skin
had mosork m-(es)ir-(ji)g-es.
toward toilet.hole NR-fall-LOC-male
So, the younger brother sat [over the toilet hole], but sketched a picture of the older brother's wife's crotch on the mosha (bark) next to the male's toilet hole.
7. Erogá, m-ok-era ek mar noga m-ok-esa en-og jig mosha hence NR-sib.s.s-old see thing REL NR-sib.s.s-yg DUR-gougeLOC k.o.tree
owos no-ma-i, erogá ofa oyok mar rot.
skin DNR-far-GIV hence s/he swear thing about
So [then], the older brother saw what the younger one had been drawing on the bark, so he swore as a result.
8. Kus no-ma-i tin, eri i-eyja ni i-ed most(a) jig short.span DNR-far-GIV also they.PL 3PL-go for 3PL-strike victim LOC

Miy Mac ewet erg-em i-mej etkebr-(t)ok mow Mosmir. water rain semi.solid NUM:1-CST VIS-yonder near-spot land Maibrat Also [during] that time, they had gone to spear victims on the other side of the Rain River over there near Maybrat territory.
9. Erogá, eri i-ewer jig mosga noga ah jig Miy Mas ni hence they.PL 3PL-cross LOC bridge REL lie LOC water rain for
$i$-eyja ewet m-erg-em i-mej.
3PL-go.to semi.solid NR-NUM:1-CST VIS-remote
So, they crossed the hanging bridge which was over the Rain River to go to the other side over there.
10. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-eyja ni i-ed most(a) like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL 3PL-go for 3PL-strike victim
no-mis-i oysa jog, edá eri i-oksomus i-ewer jig DNR-former-GIV finish already, then they.PL 3PL-return 3PL-cross LOC
mosga no-mis-i somus.
bridge DNR-former-GIV back
Then that being so, they went to spear victims [so] it was finished, then they returned [and] crossed back over that [same] bridge.
11. Erogá, ergog y-oduk i-osnok romreg ni i-okog jig, esha hence they.DU DU-order 3PL-person all for 3PL-precede LOC from
ergog $y$-ot jug mosga no-ma-i, erogá méesa
they-DU DU-stand against bridge DNR-far-GIV hence enemy
i-em-eyet osuj eri (ér)a.
3PL-IRR-follow after they.PL NEG
So, they sent all the people to go ahead of them, because they guarded the bridge so the enemy would not follow after them.
12. M-ok-era oduk m-ok-esa ot jug miy ewet NR-sib.s.s-old. order NR-sib.s.s-yg stand against water semi-solid
m-erg-em $\quad i$-mej.
NR-NUM:1-CST VIS-remote
The older brother sent the younger one to guard the bank on the opposite side of the river over there.
13. M-ok-era, ofa ot jug miy ewet m-erg-em=kef. NR-sib.s.s.-old. s/he stand against water semi.solid NR-NUM:1-CST=here The older brother, he guarded the river bank on the [opposite] side here.
14. Oj(u)(er)g-es edá, m-ok-era, ofa oduy okum rot mar noga ORD-NUM:1-one then NR-sib.s.s.-old. s/he front heavy about thing REL
m-ok-esa en-og no-mis-i.
NR-sib.s.s.-yg. DUR-gouge DNR-former-GIV
A little later then, the older brother, he had been upset about what the younger brother had been drawing.
15. Esha edá, ofa or-a mitow er-ah mosga no-ma-i, erogá from then $s /$ he hold-PGEax CAUS-hack bridge DNR-far-GIV hence
ebga jug m-ok-esa, ofa ororum jig no-mej.
torn against NR-sib.s.s.-yg s/he lost LOC DNR-remote
Therefore, he hacked [off the vines holding] the bridge with an ax, so it was torn away [so] the younger brother, he was stranded over there.
16. M-ok-era, ofa owha jig mod. NR-sib.s.s.-old. s/he leave LOC house The older brother, he left for home.
17. Eysaha jig mod, erogá ergen mosu esisgajug ofon efer reach LOC house hence 3DUPOS mother ask against 3SGPOS child noga orna ohot, Buwun m-ok-esa erá en-ebah hádefa? REL man say 2SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg THM DUR-live where (When) he arrived at home, so their mother questioned her child who was the older, "Where is your younger brother?"
18. Erogá, ofa odu gug ofon ayok ohot, Dif di-em-ejgen éra. hence $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ tell to 3SGPOS mother say I 1SG-IRR-know NEG So, he told his mother, "I don't know."
19. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ayok, ofa oduy okum, edá ofa oyok mar like DNR-far-GIV then mother $\mathrm{s} /$ he front heavy then $\mathrm{s} /$ he swear thing
tum.
onto
Then that being so, the mother, she was upset, then she swore over it.
20. Erogá, ofa ohsud jig moroj noga eri i-ewer jig no-mis-i. hence s/he search LOC path REL they.PL 3PL-cross LOC DNR-former-GIV So, she searched on the path which they had passed on.
21. Ofa éysaha jig Miy Mas efembra, edá ek, tináofon efer oycur s/he reach LOC water rain flank then see but 3SGPOS child tail
no-mis-i erá en-ot jig Miy Mas ewet enam
DNR-former-GIV THMDUR-stand LOC water rain semi.solid half
$u-m(e) j-a$.
NONVIS-remote-PGE
She arrived at the edge of the Rain River, then saw her youngest child was standing on the far bank of the Rain River yonder.
22. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa esah mebris efi jig miy, tinámiy ok. like DNR-far-GIV then s/he put detritus leaf LOC water but water bear Then that being so, she put dried leaf debris in the river, but the water carried it off.
23. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa esah merga morhog jig miy tas, tiná like DNR-far-GIV then s/he put wood morhog LOC water again but
omga jig miy.
submerge LOC water
Then that being so, she put morhog wood in the water in another attempt, but it sunk in the river.
24. Okuk no-ma-i tas edá, ofa esah omomka owos ekena jig like DNR-far-GIV again then $\mathrm{s} /$ he put breast fruit red LOC
miy, tiná ok ecira tusus jig miy.
water but bear walk to.and.fro LOC water Then that being so again, she put a red breast seedpod in the river, but the water carried it back and forth in the river.
25. Okuk no-ma-i tas edá, ofa ek, tiná merga mesrohur owos like DNR-far-GIV again then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ see but wood mesrohur skin
en-ah=kef.
DUR-lie=here
Then that being so again, she looked, but (unexpectedly) mesrohur tree bark was there.
26. Erogá, ofa eyta, edá edeska jig miy tin, edá ofof jig miy hence $\mathrm{s} /$ he take then release LOC water also then run LOC water
odog, edá éysaha jig miy ewet m-erg-em noga ofon chest then reach LOC water semi.solid NR-NUM:1-CST REL 3SGPOS
efer en-ot jig no-ma-i.
child DUR-stand LOC DNR-far-GIV
So, she took it, then released (it) also into the river, then it floated on the river's surface, then arrived on the riverbank's other side that her child was standing on there.
27. Edá, efer, ofa eyta merga owos no-ma-i, edá esah jig miy then child s/he take wood skin DNR-far-GIV then put LOC water
somus skod ofon ayok.
back to 3SGPOS mother
Then, the kid, he took [hold of] the bark, then put in back in the river [sending it] to his mother.
28. Edá ayok, ofa eyta esah jig miy somus skod ofon efer, then mother $\mathrm{s} /$ he take put LOC water back to 3 SGPOS child
erogá ofa osok jig ni eyja skod ofon ayok. hence $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ climb.up LOC for go to 3SGPOS mother Then, the mother, she took [and] put (it) back in the river [sending it] to her son, so [then] he climbed up on it to go to his mother.
29. Edá, ayok, ofa tin osok jig dokun ofon efer no-ma-i. then mother s/he also climb.up LOC and 3SGPOS child DNR-far-GIV Then the mother, she also climbed up with her son.
30. Ergog y-oj kuk miy odog, y-éysaha jig miy odog they.DU DU-descend along water chest DU-reach LOC water chest

| tahays(a), edá | y-oks $(a)$-ef | somus | $y$-ek, | tiná | memeg(a) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| middle | then | DU-turn.over-near | back | DU-see but | mountain |

Moginimes ah etkebr(a)-ok ros.
Moginimes lie short-small.bit still
They descended along the rivers' surface, (when) they reached the middle of the river, then turned back around [and] looked, but the Moginimes mountain was
still nearby.
31. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ergog y-osturur tas, edá y-éysaha like DNR-far-GIV then they.DU DU-continue.on again then DU-reach
meregah, erogá y-ek somus, tiná memeg(a) no-mis-i ot on.the.way hence DU-see back but mountain DNR-former-GIV stand
esen jog, dokun y-em-ek memeg(a) no-ma-i efega tin éra. far already and DU-IRR-see mountain DNR-far-GIV body also NEG Then that being so, they continued on again, then (when) they reached [farther] along the way, so [then] they looked back, but the mountain was already distant, and they didn't see the base of the mountain either.
32. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ergog y-er-eft(a) jig mowah, edá like DNR-far-GIV then they.DU DU-CAUS-attach.to LOC outside then
ayok oduk ofon efer ni ofa ojuj marefen no-ma-i. mother order 3SGPOS child for s/he trim grass DNR-far-GIV Then that being so, they landed (the dugout) on the riverbank, then the mother told her son to cut back the grass.
33. Edá, ofa ojuj marefen no-ma-i oys-omos(a).
then s /he trim grass DNR-far-GIV finish-RED
Then, he cut back the grass [so] it was finished up.
34. Edá, ayok, ofa oduk ofon efer ni of merga noga then mother s /he order 3SGPOS child for fell wood REL
orokec noga owoka mocod.
large REL name mocod Then, the mother, she told her son to fell a large tree which (its) name was mocod.
35. Ofon efer ohot gug mosu ohot, Dif erá di-em-of merga 3SGPOS child say to mother say I THM 1 SG-IRR-fell wood
noga orokec no-kef ér(a) nom.
REL large DNR-here NEG VER
Her son said to his mother, "I can't fell this tree which is large."
36. Tiná, mosu ohot, Bua bi-of j(ef)a, esha mar noga but mother say you.SG 2SG-fell DEON-PGE from thing REL
oyf-omof(a) erg-em em-éysaha kerenga bua se. good-RED NUM:1-CST IRR-reach upon you.SG definitely But [his] mother said, "You should fell it, because another really good thing will certainly happen to you."
37. Okuk no-ma-i edá, efer no-ma-i, ofa of merga no-ma-i, like DNR-far-GIV then child DNR-far-GIV s/he fell wood DNR-far-GIV
edá esim.
then fall.over
Then that being so, the kid, he felled the tree, then it fell over.
38 Ayok ohot, Bua bi-ah merga no-ma-i owok(a) tin. mother say you.SG 2SG-hack wood DNR-far-GIV branch also [His] mother said, "Hack up the tree's branches also."
39. Okuk no-ma-i edá, efer, ofa ah merga owok(a) tin, edá like DNR-far-GIV then child $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ hack wood branch also then oys-amos(a).
finish-RED
Then that being so, the kid, he hacked up the tree branches, then it was finished.
40. Ayok oduk efer no-ma-i tas ni or mod jig merga mother order child DNR-far-GIV again for build house LOC wood
efega no-ma-i, edá éysaha jig merga okow dokun ofuyga tin. body DNR-far-GIV then reach LOC wood base and upper.part also [His] mother further told her son to build a house in the tree trunk, then it encompassed the base of the tree and the upper section also.
41. Edá, bi-eseki mer owoksa noga edeses tin. then 2SG-fix room subunit REL many also "Then, fix many side rooms also."
42. Edá, ofa en mar noga ayok en-ohot gug efer no-ma-i, edá then $\mathrm{s} /$ he do thing REL mother DUR-say to child DNR-far-GIV then
oysa-mos(a) jog.
finish-RED already
Then, he did what his mother was saying to the kid, then it was completely finished.
43. Ayok oduk ofon efer ni eyta mosom ni er-owi osum mother order 3SGPOS child for take ax for CAUS-pierce nose
ofon.
tooth
[His] mother told her son to take an ax to cut off the tip of her nose.
44. Tiná efer ohot, Ayok, dif di-oduy efef rot bua nom. but child say mother I 1SG-front ache about you.SG VER But the kid said, "Mother, I truly love you."
45. Tiná ayok ohot, Bua bi-ojok dif j(ef)a, esha mar but mother say you.SG 2SG-punch I DEON-PGE from thing
erg-em oyfa-mof em-éysaha kerenga bua se. NUM:1-CST good-RED IRR-reach upon you.SG definitely But [his] mother said, "You should hit me, because something very good will certainly happen to you."
46. Ayok ohot gug efer oj(u)(er)g-es, Bua bi-ogow dif, edá mother say to child ORD-NUM:1-one you.SG 2SG-chop I then

| di-osum | ofon dokun | di-efefej erá | bi-esah | ah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1SG-nose tooth and | 1SG-heart | THM | 2SG-put | lie |

etkebr-ok bua.
short-sm.spot you.SG
[His] mother said to the kid once [again], "Chop (kill) me, then the tip of my nose and my heart place nearby to you."
47. Okuk no-ma-i edá, efer, ofa ogow ofon ayok, edá ogos. like DNR-far-GIV then child s /he chop 3 SGPOS mother then die Then that being so, the kid, he chopped up his mother, then she died.
48. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa egeja dokun ogow ofoga toktog, like DNR-far-GIV then s/he slit.open and chop flesh respect.place
edá esah jig mer owoksa noga ofa eseki no-mis-i, edá then put LOC room subunit REL s/he fix DNR-former-GIV then
edegejg romreg.
match.up entirely
Then that being so, he slit her open and chopped her flesh into each place (pile) respectively, then put it in the side rooms which he had prepared, then it was entirely apportioned.
49. Edá, ofa eyta misomok efi eken(a) tin esah osuj ofog then s/he take tobacco leaf red also put upon flesh
no-mis-i romreg tin, edá edegejg(a).
DNR-former-GIV all also then match.up
Then, he took brown tobacco leaves also [and] placed them on top of all of the [piles] of flesh, then it was apportioned.
50. Erogá, ofa eyta osum ofon dokun efefej erá ofa esah hence $s$ /he take nose tooth and heart THM s/he put etkebr(a)-ok jig oduy.
short-spot LOC front
So, he took the tip of her nose and her heart, he placed near the front of his body.
51. Efer no-ma-i, ofa ah éysaha jig motgus tahays(a). child DNR-far-GIV s/he lie reach LOC midnight middle The kid, he laid down until the middle of the night.
52. Edá, ofa ahasha mar okuk y-ejewjen(a)-ir y-erg-ak y-ah then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ dream thing like DU-yg.woman-PL DU-NUM:1-two DU-lie
dokun ofa.
and $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
Then, he dreamed something like two young women laid with him.
53. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa efena ebra, erogá ofa ek, tiná like DNR-far-GIV then $\mathrm{s} /$ he spirit spread.over hence $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ see but
$y$-ejewjen(a)-ir $\quad y$-erg-ak erá y-en-ah jera ofa=kef. DU-yg.woman-PL DU-NUM:1-two THM DU-DUR-lie with s/he=here Then that being so, he was startled, so he looked, but unexpectedly two young women were lying with him there. (spirit spread over = startled)
54. Erogá, erg-em ah jig erga, erg-em ah jig orojuj. hence NUM:1-CST lie LOC left.side NUM:1-CST lie LOC right.side So, one of them lay at his left side, one of them lay at his right side.
55. Edá, ofa noga en-ah jig orojuj, ofa ejeka okuk ofon mohena. then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ REL DUR-lie LOC right.side $\mathrm{s} /$ he call like 3 SGPOS wife Then, she who who was lying at his right side, he called his wife.
56. Edá erg-em noga en-ah jig erga erá, ofa ejeka okuk ofon then NUM:1-CST REL DUR-lie LOC left.side THM s/he call like 3SGPOS
mi-ew-esa
1PL-sib.s.s.yg
Then, the one who was lying at his left side, he called as his younger sister.
57. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa eciga eyeg-ejga mer no-ma-i romreg, like DNR-far-GIV then s /he get.up look-graze room DNR-far-GIV all
tiná i-osnok meren, eri i-eciga dokun i-ok misomok but 3PL-person lake they.PL 3PL-get.up and 3PL-smoke tobacco ewes eyjuo jig mer owoksa tin no-ma-i romreg. cavity blink LOC room subunit also DNR-far-GIV all Then that being so, he got up [and] observed the entire room, but a crowd of people, they got up and smoked the tobacco [so] it flickered in all the side rooms also
58. Edá, ofa eciga ni osuy eri.
then s /he get.up for greet they.PL Then, he got up to greet them.
59. Edá, eri enia i-owha jog-a, tiná enia erá then they.PL some.other 3PL-leave already-PGE but some.other THM
i-ebah ros.
3PL-live still
Then, some others of them had already left, but some others still remained.
60. Edá, ofa esed eri i-etma okuk no-ma-i, erogá i-en-ejeka then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ grab they.PL 3PL-arm like DNR-far-GIV hence 3PL-DUR-call
$i$-efedes.
3PL-c.sibling
Then, he grabbed their hands like that, so they are called classificatory siblings.
61. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri noga i-en-owha sokomow
like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL REL 3PL-DUR-leave beginning
no-mis-i, eri i-eyja mow noga ah jig esen jog. DNR-former-GIV they.PL 3PL-go land REL lie LOC far already Then that being so, they who were leaving in the beginning, they had already gone to a place which was distant
62. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa eker jig mod no-mis-i dokun like DNR-far-GIV then $\mathrm{s} /$ he sit LOC house DNR-former-GIV and
ofon mohena jera ofon mi-ew-esa tin.
3SGPOS wife with 3SGPOS 1PL-sib.s.s.-yg also
Then that being so, he stayed in the house with his wife and his younger sister also.
63. Ofon mohena no-ma-i erá owoka Or(ojuj)-tu-ej, tiná 3SGPOS wife DNR-far-GIV THM name right.side-?-female but
ofon mi-ew-esa erá owoka Erg(a)-tu-ej.
3SGPOS 1PL-sib.s.s.-yg THM name left.side-?-female
His wife's name was Ortuej, but his younger sister's name was Ergetuej.
64. Edá, ergog noga y-ej y-ehaw ni y-orka miy, tiná then they.DU REL DU-female DU-go.down for DU-carry water but
ergog $y$-eg $i$-ogá jig merga ewes no-ma-i. they.DU DU-hear 3PL-speech LOC wood cavity DNR-far-GIV Then, they (two) who were females went down to carry water, but they (unexpectedly) heard voices inside the tree.
65. Edá, m-ok-esa oduk m-ok-era ni osok eyta mosom then NR-sib.s.s-yg order NR-sib.s.s-old for climb.up take ax
noga ah jig mod ni i-er-etka merga no-mis-i rot REL lie LOC house for 3PL-CAUS-split wood DNR-former-GIV about
i-osnok noga i-en-eker jig merga ewes no-ma-i. 3PL-person REL 3PL-DUR-sit LOC wood cavity DNR-far-GIV The the younger sister told the older one to climb up [and] take the ax which was in the house to split.open the tree for the people who were inside the tree.
66. Edá, ergog y-etka merga no-ma-i rot, tiná i-osnok then they.DU DU-split wood DNR-far-GIV about but 3PL-person
i-ognunui dokun i-oyf-omof(a) tin i-en-ebah edes-es=kef. 3PL-many and 3PL-good-RED also 3PL-DUR-live many-RED=here Then, they (two) split open the tree as a result, but (unexpectedly) lots of good people also were in abundance now.
67. Edá, eri noga susuy i-ok rot eri jog.
then they.PL REL other.kind 3PL-flee about they.PL already Then, the other kinds of people had already run off with them.
68. Tiná, orna ekena [noga] en-ebah no-ma-i erá, ofa eyta ejena but man red REL DUR-liveDNR-far-GIV THM s/he take woman
noga owoka Ergetu-ej, edá ergog y-owha jig ergen mod. REL name Ergetuej then they.PL DU-leave LOC 3DUPOS house But, an older man who was there, he took the woman who was named Ergetuej, then they left for their home.
69. Erogá, orowen jen(a) eri-orna i-eyta eri-ej-a noga hence follow exact they.PL-man 3PL-take they.PL-female-PGE REL
i-ejewjen(a)-ir tin.
3PL-yg.woman-PL also
So, according to [that example] men also take women who are young women.
70. Edá, Irofija, ofa eyta ewek tiná ecka. then Irofija s /he take gallbladder but split.open Then Irofija, he took the gallbladder, but it (unexpectedly) split open.
71. Edá, ofa eyj jig miy, erogá en-es mos orna dokun then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ toss LOC water hence DUR-become outsider man and
mos macog.
outsider gun
Then, he tossed it in the river, so it became the foreigners (Indonesian nationals) and the soldiers.
72. Otkona erá es eri Marusum dokun eri noga
intestine THM become they.PL s.coast.people and they.PL REL
i-owos efsa tin.
3PL-skin white also
The intestines (belly) became the south coast people and also those whose skin was white.
73. Mow noga eri i-en-ebah jig erá, owoka Miy Mas. land REL they.PL 3PL-DUR-live LOC THM name water rain The place where they lived, its name was the Rain River.
74. Mowos noga eri i-en-or mod jig en-ah=ma-i ros. village REL they.PL 3PL-DUR-build house LOC DUR-lie=far-GIV still The village where they built a house is still there.
75. Mok etew dokun moduaha tin en-ot=ma-i ros. pandanus much and k.o.tree also DUR-stand=far-GIV still There are still lots of pandanus and moduaha trees there also.
76. Mar romreg en-ah=ma-i ros éysaha mona tisef. thing all DUR-lie=far-GIV still reach day today Everything is still there up to today.
77. Ocruk
match.up
That's it.

## Text 8: Shaman

Shaman are traditional healers, who may use medicinal treatments involving herbs, or may use items which have spiritual power. Those items with spiritual power may have received power through ritual incantations or have inherent spiritual power. This text, given by Lukas Orocomna in 1990, was transcribed in October 1995.

## Synopsis:

Long ago, if someone was sick, a shaman was sent for to treat the illness. The shaman would give spiritual power to a nettleleaf plant by chanting over it, then place it on the sick person's chest. The shaman scraped up clay, placing it on the sick person's body, symbolically transferring the sickness to the clay. The clay was then hurled away from the person, taking the sickness away as well. If it was suspected that a person was afflicted by a ghost (of a dead person), the shaman burned a pig's jaw to enable him to see the ghost, so he could seize it and chop (or kill) the ghost. The shaman was then paid with various items commonly used as trade goods, such as cloth bought in a store, beads, armbands and pigs.

1. Dif-a, sokomow jig-a, mifin muys(a) obat ${ }^{63}$, eri i-esirna metna, I-PGE beginning LOC-PGE 1PLPOS shaman potion they.PL 3PL-sick where

| edá | i-om | i-ogá | skod ofa, muysa, en oked mar jig, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| then | 3PL-send | 3PL-speech | to | s/he shaman come chant thing LOC |

oked mecif er-or owos ojguna, chant nettle CAUS-hold skin cough
I, long ago there, our potions shaman, [if] they were sick wherever, then they sent word to him, the shaman, [so] he came [and] chanted there, chanted over the nettle leaf, [so] it adhered to the [sick one's] skin [so] he coughed.
2. (Ofa) oker mow-ewet er-og orkos, ahac kereng(a) s/he strip.away land-semi.solid CAUS-gouge neck tie upon
odogeg, esed, er-ofum fen ofa, jida ednem. chest grab CAUS-hurl from s/he until healthy (He) scraped up some clay, drew with it on [the sick one's] neck, tied [the rest of the clay] over his chest, grabbed [and] hurled [the sickness] away from him until he was well.

[^52]
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3. Edá, eri i-em-eyh(a) ofoms(a): miy mos, mera, moftira, mek then they.PL 3PL-IRR-pay wage cloth foreign beads armband pig
er-eyh(a) ofoms(a) em-owha rot. CAUS-pay wage IRR-leave about
Then, they would pay his wages:store cloth, beads, armbands, pigs, paid his wages (with them) [and] he would leave as a result.
4. Mon es, edá oku mek eweken ek mon eker jig
ghost press.on then burn pig jaw see ghost sit LOC
[If/when] a ghost has affected[someone], then [the shaman] burned a pig's jaw [so] he [can] see the ghost there.
5. (ofa) esed eyja ogow
s/he grab go chop
(The shaman) grabbed (it) [and] began to kill it.
6. Edá, eri i-em-eyh(a) ofoms(a) eseter.
then they.PL 3PL-IRR-pay wages much.more
Then, they would pay (him) his high wages.
7. Mek noga orokec, miy efem, mera, miy mos eseter eromd(a) pig REL large cloth bolt beads cloth outsider much counterpart
dokun, i-er-eyh(a) ofoms(a).
and 3PL-CAUS-pay wages
Large pigs, bolts of store cloth, beads, many sets of store cloth, they paid his wages with them.
8. Osruk.
match.up
That's it.

## Text 9: Dog and bandicoot

This is a story, told by Sius Orocomna in October 1999, is about how dogs allegedly came to be carnivores who hunt and eat bandicoots (and other small animals) raw. The continued animosity between dogs and bandicoots is attributed to an original offense by the bandicoots. This is a bedtime story for children.

## Synopsis:

During legendary times, dogs and bandicoots lived together in peace. The dog hunted and brought home birds and pigs, of which they ate only the skins. At a joint feast, the dog invited his relatives and the bandicoot invited his relatives. They ate together (a sign that there was no hostility between them) and danced together. The next day the dogs left for their villages, but the bandicoots remained behind together in the house built for the celebration. As the bandicoots mocked the way the dogs had danced, they were unaware that a single old dog, covered with ashes remained to observe what they were doing. When the old dog left, he joined the other dogs and reported the offense. The dogs who had attended gathered their relatives to take revenge. They returned and killed the bandicoots and carried the bodies off. By accident, the old gap-toothed dog, carrying a bandicoot in his mouth, discovered that the blood of the bandicoot tasted good, so he ate it. The other dogs followed suit and to this day continue the habit of immediately eating whatever they catch in the forest.

1. Mahti fen Mes dokun Misi legend from dog and bandicoot The legend of the dog and the bandicoot
2. Mona erg-em tas erá, mes dokun misi, ergog y-ebah day NUM:1-CST again THM dog and bandicoot they.DU DU-live
mejerem jera oyf-omof.
together with good-RED
[At] another time again, the dog and the bandicoot, they lived together peacefully.
3. Ergog y-em-ejgen mar noga okuk i-oyom-okum éra, dokun they.DU DU-IRR-know thing REL like 3PL-request-heavy NEG and i-osum esis erg-em tin éra. 3PL-nose exclude NUM:1-CST also NEG They didn't know things which were like quarreling, and they weren't hostile about anything either.
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4. Mes, ofa ecira jig mowah, edá ef mem eke, dokun $\operatorname{dog} \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ walk LOC outside then shoot bird ENUM and
mek eke.
pig ENUM
The dog, he traveled about in the forest, then shot birds and pigs.
5. Edá, ofa ok skod misi, edá y-oyna efej(a), erogá then s /he bear to bandicoot then DU-cook hair hence
ergog $y$-em-et.
they.DU DU-IRR-eat
Then, he brought (it) to the bandicoot, then they cooked (scalded) the pelt, so (then) they would eat (it).
6. Mes, ofa em-ejgen rot em-et mars(a) ebah éra.
dog s/he IRR-know about IRR-eat game live NEG The dog, he didn't know to eat live (raw) game (meat).
7. Eysaha mona ofoj ni misi oyna mar-mosorn(a) noga edeses, reach day pre.set for bandicoot cook thing-hunger REL many
y-esua mar-mosorn(a) m-ef-im(a).
DU-open thing-hunger RECIP-do.in.turns
[When] the time arrived for the bandicoot to cook lots of food, they feasted together. (open food in turns $=$ feast $)$
8. Okuk no-ma-i edá, misi ohot gug mesni eyj mofga skod like DNR-far-GIV then bandicoot say to dog for toss straw to
ofon i-osnok noga i-ebah mow madi-madi ni eri i-en 3SGPOS 3PL-person REL 3PL-live land where-RED for they.PL 3PL-come
skod dif rot mar noga di-en-oyna=kef.
to I about thing REL 1SG-DUR-cook=here
Then that being so, the bandicoot said to the dog to invite his relatives who lived in various places "for them to come to me regarding the things which am cooking".
9. Dif tin di-ah mofga skod dadin i-osnok noga i-en-ebah jig I also 1SG-hack straw to 1SGPOS 3PL-person REL 3PL-DUR-live LOC
mow erg-erg-em tin.
land NUM:1-RED-CST also
"I also invited my relatives who also live in various places."
10. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ergog y-or mod noga orokec dokun like DNR-far-GIV then they.DU DU-build house REL large and
$y$-eseki mers(a) noga orokec tin ni i-osnok noga meren noga DU-fix floor REL large also for 3PL-person REL lake REL
ergog y-eyj mofga skod eri no-mis-i.
they.DU DU-toss straw to they.PL DNR-former-GIV
Then that being so, they built a large house and prepared a large floor also for the crowd of people who they had sent invitations to.
11. Edá, ergog y-ok mergej tin eke, y-ohsud mar-mosorn(a) then they.DU DU-bear firewood also ENUM DU-search thing-hunger
noga edeses tin eke.
REL many also ENUM
Then, they also brought firewood, also searched out lots of food.
12. Okuk no-ma-i edá, éysaha mon(a) ofoj ni y-oyna like DNR-far-GIV then reach day pre-set for DU-cook mar-mosorn(a) y-esua mar-mosorn(a) m-ef-im). thing-hunger DU-open thing-hunger RECIP-do.in.turns Then that being so, (when) the appointed time arrived for them to cook the food, they opened food in turns $=$ feast $)$.
13. Eri noga ergog y-eyj mar skod no-ma-i tin, eri they.PL REL they.DU DU-toss thing to DNR-far-GIV also they.PL
i-éysaha jog, eri-orna eke, eri-(e)jena eke, dokun
3PL-reach already they-man ENUM they.PL-woman ENUM and
eri-ej-efer eke, eri-es-efer tin eke. they.PL-female-child ENUM they.PL-male-child also ENUM Those also who they had invited, they arrived, men, women, and also young
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women and young men.
14. Eri i-or-a i-etma, mar-mosorn(a) i-em-er-osta-ima
they.PL 3PL-hold-PGE 3PL-arm thing-hunger 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-thrust
esebra.
continuous
They held arms, [and] food they passed to each other continuously. (i.e. forcefeeding e.o.)
15. Edá, enia i-ahamow tum gijga.
then some.other 3PL-vomit onto only
Then, some others just vomited.
16. Eysaha etkebra ni mot jog, edá eri romreg i-osok jig reach nearby on night already then they.PL all 3PL-climb.up LOC
mod efena no-mis-i ni eri i-oyka-mers(a).
house new DNR-far-GIV for they.PL 3PL-dance-floor
[When it] had nearly reached night, they they all climbed up into the new house for them to dance.
17. Eri i-oyka-mers(a) esebra, edá men(a)-esif tum gijga. they.PL 3PL-dance-floor continuous then day-open onto only They danced on and on, then it was just daylight.
18. Eri i-of yaroga dokuni-en merej ogá esebra gijga. they.PL 3PL-sing song and 3PL-do avenger speech continuous only They just sang songs and played revenge songs continuously
19. Eri i-oyka dokun i-etka i-etma i-er-em-oh-oh-im(a), they.PL 3PL-dance and 3PL-split 3PL-arm 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-adhere-RED
okuk eri-ej-a i-erg-em dokun eri-es i-erg-em, like they.PL-female-PGE 3PL-NUM:1-CST and they.PL-male 3PL-NUM:1-CST
okuk no-ma-i gijga.
like DNR-far-GIV only
They danced and divided up [and] interlocked their arms, like one of the women with one of the men, just like that.
20. Edá, éysaha men-esif jog, okuk no-ma-i ni eri then reach day-open already like DNR-far-GIV for they.PL i-ahad(a)-ef tok-tok jig erin mowos noga eri 3PL-dismantle-near spot-RED LOC 3PLPOS village REL they.PL
i-en-ebah jig.
3PL-DUR-live LOC
Then, [when it] reached dawn, like that [it was time] for them to disperse each respectively to their villages which they lived in.
21. Okuk no-ma-i edá, mes ofon $\operatorname{amok}(a)-i r, ~ e r i ~ t i n ~$ like DNR-far-GIV then dog 3SGPOS friend-PL they.PL also
i-em-owha, dokun misi tin, ofa dokun ofon $\operatorname{amok}(a)$-ir, 3PL-IRR-leave and bandicoot also s/he and 3SGPOS friend-PL
eri i-em-owha.
they.PL 3PL-IRR-leave
Then that being so, the dog's friends, they also would leave, and the bandicoot also, he and his friends, they would leave.
22. Eri i-esed i-etma jera i-oduy efef.
they.PL 3PL-grab 3PL-arm with 3PL-front ache
They grasped arms with affection. (front ache = love)
23. Eri i-ohot, Yi-eteyj(a)-o-o, yi-en-owha $=m e j=o=o$.
they.PL 3PL-say 2PL-eye-EMP-EMP 2PL-DUR-leave=remote=EMP=EMP They said, "[Keep] your eyes [from evil], you are departing [to] way off yonder!"
24. Okuk no-ma-i, mes, eri tin i-ohot, Bua tin like DNR-far-GIV dog they.PL also 3PL-say you.SG also
bi-en-owha=mej=o=o!
2SG-DUR-leave=remote=EMP=EMP
In the same way, the dogs, they also said, "You also are departing [to] way off yonder!
25. Mif tin mi-oduy efef rot yua romreg=o!
we.PL also 1PL-front ache about you.PL all=EMP
We also love you all!"
26. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-ahad(a)-ef tog-tog(a) like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL 3PL-dismantle-near different-RED
no-mis-i em-oysa jog.
DNR-former-GIV IRR-finished already
Then that being so, they dispersed [to] those various places [so] it would be over.
27. Edá, ofa noga efca noga oyna mar-mosorn(a) no-mis-i, ofa then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ REL group REL cook thing-hunger DNR-former-GIV $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
dokun ofon amok(a)-ir enia erá i-eker jig mod noga and 3SGPOS friend-PL some.other THM 3PL-sit LOC house REL
i-en-oyka jig no-mis-i.
3PL-DUR-dance LOC DNR-former-GIV
Then, he who was in the group who cooked the food, he and some other of his friends stayed in the house that they had been dancing in.
28. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-eker rahasis jig mod, tiná eri like DNR-fargiv then they.PL 3PL-sit whole.day LOC house but they.PL
i-eciga ni i-osut mes, eri noga i-oyka rot i-odra 3PL-get.up for 3PL-imitate dog they.PL REL 3PL-dance about 3PL-dangle
ebej et-ak noga eynegneg.
STONE NUM:3-two REL sway
Then that being so, they stayed the whole day in the house, but they got up to imitate the dogs, they who danced with their two testicles which swayed.
29. Eri i-eciga, edá i-eyta morkah ofoj, erogá i-or had they.PL 3PL-get.up then 3PL-take fagot end hence 3PL-hold toward
i-oduy ni i-oyka.
3PL-front for 3PL-dance
They got up, then took fagots, so (then) held (them) toward their fronts to dance.
30. Eri i-osut mar no-ma-i esebra, dokun eri i-otut
they.PL 3PL-imitate thing DNR-far-GIV continuousand they.PL 3PL-laugh
mar rot.
thing about
They imitated that on and on, and they laughed as a result.
31. Eri i-ohot, Mes erá i-oyka okuk no-kef! they.PL 3PL-say dog THM 3PL-dance like DNR-here They said, "The dogs danced like this!"
32. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-em-ek mes noga orna eken(a) like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL 3PL-IRR-see dog REL man red
jog éra.
already NEG
Then that being so, they didn't see the dog who was an old man.
33. Ofa ah jig mofur i-osum.
s/he lie LOC ash 3PL-nose
He laid on a jutting point of the ashes.
34. Mes no-ma-i, ofa ah, tiná ek mar noga misi, eri
dog DNR-far-GIV s/he lie but see thing REL bandicoot they.PL
i-osut no-mis-i.
3PL-imitate DNR-former-GIV
The dog, he lay [there], but saw what the bandicoots, they had imitated.
35. Ofa ek, tináowos moj rot ofon amok(a), eri noga
s/he see but skin shamed about 3SGPOS friend they.PL REL
misi i-osut rot i-odra ebej et-ak eyneg-neg
bandicoot 3PL-imitate about 3PL-dangle STONE NUM:3-two sway
no-mis-i.
DNR-former-GIV
He saw (it), but felt embarrassed for his friends, they who the bandicoots imitated with their two swaying testicles.
36. Mes noga orna ekena no-ma-i, ofa ah eteyj(a) omh(a), tinagurá. dog REL man red DNR-far-GIV s/he lie eye sleep.deep but.not The dog who was an old man, he had slept deeply, but woke up unexpectedly.
37. Ofa eciga deci-ci, erogá ohacic efej rot mofur ofogesis noga ah s/he get.up slow-RED hence shake.off hair about ash pale REL lie
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jig efej.
LOC hair
He got up very slowly, so (then) shook off his fur with the pale ashes which were in his fur.
38. Okuk no-ma-i edá, misi, eri i-osusuy rot.
like DNR-far-GIV then bandicoot they.PL 3PL-startled about Then that having happened, the bandicoots, they were startled as a result
39. Eri i-ohot, Mif mi-ek mar kocka roga.
they.PL 3PL-say we.PL 1PL-see thing well first
They said, "We (should have) looked well first."
40. Mes noga orna ekena no-ma-i, ofa oj jig mesiga deci-ci dog REL man red DNR-far-GIV s/he descend LOC ladder slow-RED
skod mow ni eyet osuj ofon amok(a)-ir noga i-en-owha
to land for follow upon 3SGPOS friend-PL REL 3PL-DUR-leave
kog jig no-mis-i.
ahead LOC DNR-former-GIV
The dog who was an old man, he very slowly descended the ladder to the ground to follow after his friends who had been leaving ahead to that place [their homes].
41. Ofa éysaha jig mow jog, edá ofa ofofosuj ofon amok(a)-ir s/he reach LOC land already then s/he run upon 3SGPOS friend-PL
no-ma-i.
DNR-far-GIV
(When) he reached the ground, then he ran after his friends.
42. Eysaha kereng(a) eri, erogá ofa ohot gug eri no-mis-i reach upon they.PL hence s/he say to they.PL DNR-former-GIV
ohot, Yиа osuj i-ejmeg.
say you.PL upon 3PL-spine.
[When] he came upon them, so he said to them, "You (should) double back.
43. Misi dokun ofon amok(a)-ir noga i-en-eker bandicoot and 3SGPOS friend-PL REL 3PL-DUR-sit

| rohog | jig mod | no-mis- $i$, | eri | i-em-osut |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| place.departed.from | LOC house | DNR-former-GIV | they.PL | 3PL-IRR-mimic |

mif noga mi-oyka, erogá mi-odra ebej et-ak eyneg-neg
we.PL REL 1PL-dance hence 1PL-penis globular NUM:3-two sway
tusus."
to.and.fro
The bandicoot and his friends who are staying behind in the house, they would imitate us who danced so our two testicles swayed back and forth.
44. Okuk no-ma-i edá, mes, eri i-oyok mar esebra. like DNR-far-GIV then dog they.PL 3PL-swear thing continous Then that being so, the dogs, they cursed on and on.
45. Eri i-ohot mogum ni i-oksomus skod misi, eri they.PL 3PL-say each.other for 3PL-return to bandicoot, they.PL
no-mis-i.
DNR-former-GIV
They said to each other to return to the [place of] the bandicoots, them.
46. Mes, eri i-ejeka skod erin i-osnok noga i-en-ebah mod dog they.PL 3PL-call to 3PLPOS 3PL-person REL 3PL-DUR-live house
or-(u)m-orm(a) noga ot jig no-mej.
NUM:7-CST-RED REL stand LOC DNR-remote
The dogs, they called their relatives who lived in several houses which were there yonder.
47. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri romreg i-er-em-es-im(a) jog, like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL all 3PL-CAUS-RECIP-press already
erogá eri romreg i-oksomus skod misi, eri ni i-ogow.
hence they.PL all 3PL-return to bandicoots, they.PL for 3PL-chop Then that having happened, they all gathered, so they all returned to the bandicoots, them to kill (them).
48. Eri i-eyja i-éysaha jog, edá eri i-osot i-osnok they.PL 3PL-go 3PL-reach already then they.PL 3PL-count 3PL-person
ni i-edegejg(a) misi ofon i-osnok no-ma-i.
for 3PL-match.up bandicoot 3SGPOS 3PL-person DNR-far-GIV
They went [and] arrived [there], then they counted up the people to match up to the bandicoots' relatives.
49. Erogá mes, eri i-osok ni i-ojok eri, edá eri hence dog they.PL 3PL-climb.up for 3PL-beat they.PL then they.PL
$i$-ogos romreg.
3PL-die all
So, the dogs, they climbed up [into the house] to beat them, then they died entirely.
50. Edá, mes, eri i-orka eri, erogá i-owha rot jig
then dog they.PL 3PL-carry they.PL hence 3PL-leave about LOC
erin mod.
3PLPOS house
Then, the dogs, they carried them, so (they) left as a result for their houses.
51. Okuk no-ma-i edá, mes noga orna eken(a) no-mis-i, ofa like DNR-far-GIV then dog REL man red DNR-former-GIV $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$
orka misi noga orokec.
carry bandicoot REL large
Then that having happened, the dog who was an old man, he carried a bandicoot who was large.
52. Ofa orka, tiná em-owas éra.
s /he carry but IRR-strong NEG
He carried it, but (he) wasn't strong.
53. Ofa ofon ofon (ew)es tin.
s/he 3SGPOS tooth cavity also
He had gaps in his teeth also.
54. Mes enia i-em-owha fen ofa jog.
dog some.other 3PL-IRR-leave from s/he already
Some other of the dogs would have left him.
55. Ofa or-a ofon er-orka.
s/he hold-PGE tooth CAUS-carry
He carried (it) with his teeth.
56. Eysaha meregah, edesk(a)ni eker rot oduy efenen, erogá reach on.the.way release for sit about front hot hence
em-orka somus.
IRR-carry back
[When] he was on the way, (he) released it to sit for panting, so (then) he would carry it again.
57. Ofa eker, erogá em-omna ornoj rot misi ofuga noga ah s /he sit hence IRR-lick tongue about bandicoot blood REL lie
jig ohac, tiná otk-amok(a).
LOC mouth but tasty-RED
He sat (rested), so he licked his tongue with the bandicoot's blood which was in his mouth, but it tasted really good.
58. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa et ofon misi no-ma-i, edá like DNR-far-GIV then s /he eat 3SGPOS bandicoot DNR-far-GIV then
em-oys-amos(a).
IRR-finished-RED
Then that having happened, he ate his bandicoot, then it would be over with.
59. Erogá, ofa eyet osuj ofon amok(a)-ir noga i-en-okog
hence s/he follow upon 3SGPOS friend-PL REL 3PL-DUR-precede
no-mis-i.
DNR-former-GIV
So, he followed after his friends who were preceding (him).
60. Edá, ofa éysaha kereng(a) eri, erogá eri i-esisga ofa, then $\mathrm{s} /$ he reach upon they.PL hence they.PL 3PL-ask $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$

Buwun misi no-mis-i erá ah hádefa?
2SGPOS bandicoot DNR-former-GIV THM lie where
Then, he came upon them, so they asked him, "Your bandicoot, where is it?"
61. Ofa ohot, Dif di-et jog-a.
s/he say I 1SG-eat already-PGE
He said, "I ate it already."
62. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofon amok(a)-ir tin, eri i-et like DNR-far-GIV then 3SGPOS friend-PL also they.PL 3PL-eat
misi noga i-orka no-mis-i, edá oys-omos. bandicoot REL 3PL-carry DNR-former-GIV then finished-RED Then that having happened, his friends also, they ate the bandicoots which they carried, then it was over.
63. Okuk no-ma-i erogá, mes, eri i-eska mek eke, misi like DNR-far-GIV hence dog they.PL 3PL-chew pig ENUM bandicoot
eke, dokun mar rejrej tin.
ENUM and thing around also
So that having happened, the dogs, they also chew (eat) pigs, bandicoots, and things (animals) of that type.
64. Eri i-em-orka jig mod éra; eri i-et jig mowah they.PL 3PL-IRR-carry LOC house NEG they.PL 3PL-eat LOC outside
esebra gijga.
continuous only
They don't carry (them) to the house; they just eat (them) in the forest all the time.
65. No-ma-i erá ofoj ah ni mes, eri eyja

DNR-far-GIV THM pre.set lie for dog they.PL go
er-os tisef.
CAUS-move.horizon today
That is the pattern for dogs, them up to today.
66. Mar no-ka-i fen mifin medin(a)-ir noga i-en-ebah jig thing DNR-near-GIV from 1PLPOS grandpar.-PL REL 3PL-DUR-live LOC
mow $=$ kef sis jog.
land=here past already
These things were from our ancestors who lived in this place in the past.
67. Eri erá i-en-osot mar no-kef, erogá mif tin they.PL THM 3PL-DUR-count thing DNR-here hence we.PL also mi-en-ejgen rot.
1PL-DUR-know about
They recounted these things, so we also know about (them).
68. Ocruk.
match.up That's it.

## Text 10: Iwari and Iwarsa

Several incidents in this story have parallels in the Ibori stories found in Meyah and Sougb (Miedema and Reesink 2004:95, 100-101). This text was given by Sius Orocomna in January 2000.

Synopsis:
Two brothers Iwarsa and Iwari married two sisters Ejiwar and Ejines. Iwari's wife, Ejiwar gave birth to a male child. Quite soon afterward, the two brothers left for the Sougb area to get goods for a brideprice payment. When their husbands didn't return immediately, the women and their child traveled to the Sougb area looking for their husbands, asking people in three places for information. Each place reported that the men had stopped by and been given food, but it had been months ago. In the fourth location, they came upon the man Inomsoh (Inyom in Sougb, Inonsoha in Meyah) who was mute, deaf, and had a blocked anus. The women married him and lived with him. Once when working in the garden, they found a snake, which they took back to the house, presenting it to the man. The snake leaped up and wound itself around the man, shocking him, so that he was able to hear and speak, and his anus became unblocked. Then the man and his (new) wives held a traditional feast, inviting people from all over, including the Sougb area. When the women's original husbands came to the feast, they realized that the child was theirs and took him and left. The women followed them but were not allowed to take back the child. The women (in despair) turned themselves into two kinds of plants:a treeborn parasite plant and a wild jackfruit tree. (Both of these plants are considered to contain malevolent spirits.)

1. Mahti fen Iwari dokun m-ok-esa Iwars(a). legend from Iwari and NR-sib.s.s.-yg. Iwarsa The legend [is] about Iwari and (his) younger brother Iwarsa.
2. Sis sokomow u-m(e)j-a erá, Iwari dokun Iwars(a), ergog past beginning NONVIS-remote-PGE THM Iwari and Iwars they.DU
y-eker jig memeg(a) noga owoka Iwari Ejmeg.
DU-sit LOC mountain REL name Iwari spine Long ago in the distant past, Iwari and Iwarsa, they lived on a mountain that was named Iwari's Ridge.
3. Edá, ergog y-osotka y-ej-y-efer erg-ak. then they.DU DU-marry DU-female-DU-child NUM:1-two Then, they married two young women.
4. Noga owoka Ejiwar dokun ofon m-ok-esa noga owoka REL name Ejiwar and 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg REL name

Ejines.
Ejines
One was named Ejiwar and her younger sister who was named Ejines.
5. Eri i-ebah jig mow no-ma-i éysaha mesta ciyja tahgur. they.PL 3PL-live LOC land DNR-far-GIV reach month five four They lived in that place up to nine months.
6. Edá, Iwari ofon mohena, Ejiwar, ofa ok efer orna erg-es then Iwari 3SGPOS wife Ejiwar s/he bear child man NUM:1-one
jog.
already
Then, Iwari's wife, Ejiwar, she gave birth to a male child.
7. Edá, ofon m-ok-esa Ejines, ofa eker mow jig mod efeyu. then 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg Ejines s/he sit land LOC house immature Then, her younger sister, Ejines, s/he stayed [on the] ground in the small [birthing] house.
8. Edá m-ok-era, ofa ohot gug ofon m-ok-esa, Bua then NR-sib.s.s.-old s/he say to 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s.-yg. you.SG
bi-osok mod orokec j(ef)a.
2SG-climb.up house large DEON-PGE
Then, the older sister, she said to her younger sister, "You should go up to the large (main stilt) house."
9. Edá, m-ok-esa ohot gug m-ok-era, Kus no-kef, dif
then NR-sib.s.s.-yg. say to NR-sib.s.s.-old short.span DNR-here I
di-em-osok jig mod orokec néesa, esha dif di-eker jig 1SG-IRR-climb.up LOC house large not.yet from I 1SG-sit LOC
no-kef ros.
DNR-here still
Then, the younger sister said to the older one, "Right now, I will not go up to the large house yet, because I still [want to] remain here."
10. Kus no-ma-i tin, ergen mahina Iwari dokun Iwarsa, short.span DNR-far-GIV also 3DUPOS husband Iwari and Iwars(a)
ergog y-owha jig mow Mosui.
they.DU DU-leave LOC land Sougb
Also at that time, their husbands, Iwari and Iwarsa, they left for the Sougb area.
11. Ergen mohen(a) erá y-eker jig mowos no-ma-i. 3DUPOS wife THM DU-sit LOC village DNR-far-GIV Their wives stayed in the village.
12. Ergog y-owha jig mow Mosui ni y-ohsud mar they.DU DU-leave LOC land Sougb for DU-look.for thing
owot-ot ni y-er-ej m-ej okowa.
secretion-RED for DU-CAUS-open NR-female obligation They left for Sougb area to search for lots of goods to pay off the brideprice.
13. Edá, ergen mohena y-eker y-om-um jug moroj, tiná then 3DUPOS wife DU-sit DU-anticipate-RED against path but
ergen mahina y-em-éysaha nes-is kereng(a) ergog éra.
3DUPOS husband DU-IRR-reach quick-RED upon they.DU NEG
Then, their wives were expectantly watching the path, but their husbands didn't quickly appear to them.
14. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ergog y-eker, tiná y-ek ergen efer like DNR-far-GIV then they.DU DU-sit but DU-see 3DUPOS child
no-ma-i, ofa orokec tek-tek jog.
DNR-far-GIV s/he large bit-RED already
That having happened, then they waited, but saw that their child, he had already [become] a bit big(ger).
15. Erogá, ergog y-eyet osuj ergen mahina jig mow noga hence they.DU DU-follow upon 3DUPOS husband LOC land REL
y-en-owha jig no-mis-i.
DU-DUR-leave LOC DNR-former-GIV
So, they followed after their husbands to the area that they had left for.
16. Ergog y-éysaha kereng(a) i-osnok enia jig mow erg-em, they.DU DU-reach upon 3PL-person some.other LOC land NUM:1-CST
edá ergog y-esisga jug ohot ergen mahina erá y-ewer jig
then they.DU DU-ask against say 3DUPOS husband THM DU-pass LOC
no-kef=ey?
DNR-here=Q

They came upon some other people in another place, then they questioned them whether their husbands had passed there.
17. Edá, eri no-ma-i i-ohota gug ergog ohot, Ergog y-ewer then they.PL DNR-far-GIV 3PL-say to they.DU say they.DU DU-pass
rudud mif jig no-kef, edá mif mi-eyta meni, dokun mi-ohum by we.PL LOC DNR-here then we.PL 1PL-take banana and 1PL-uproot

| mom | mi-eyta gug | ergog, erogá | y-en-ek | en-ec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cassava | 1PL-take to | they.DU hence | DU-DUR-see | DUR-become |

$m-e f-(e w) e c, \quad$ está aks(a)-is nog(a)-i-da-i.
NR-distribute-cavity fork tall-RED REL-VIS-up-GIV
Then, they said to them, "They passed by us here, then we gave (them) bananas and uprooted cassava [and] gave to them, so you (can) see [the place where we got the cassava] is becoming an abandoned garden, [and] the forks [of the trees] are very high up there.
18. Edá, y-ejena no-ma-i dokun ergen efer no-ma-i, eri then DU-woman DNR-far-GIV and 3DUPOS child DNR-far-GIV they.PL
i-ecira turur tas, edá i-éysaha kereng(a i-osnok jig 3PL-walk connectedly again then 3PL-reach upon 3PL-person LOC
mow erg-em tas.
land NUM:1-CST again
Then, the two women and their child, they traveled on again, then happened upon people in another place again.
19. Edá, y-ejena no-ma-i y-esisga jug osok jig ergen then DU-woman DNR-far-GIV DU-ask against concerning LOC 3DUPOS
mahina tas.
husband again
Then, the two women asked again regarding their husband.
20. Edá eri, i-osnok no-ma-i i-ohot gug ergog ohot, Y-orna then they-PL 3PL-person DNR-far-GIV 3PL-say to they.DU say DU-man
y-erg-ak no-ma-i erá y-owra rududmif jig no-kef, edá DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV THM DU-cross by we.PL LOC DNR-here then
mif mi-eyta meni, mi-oc meresa, dokun mi-ohum mom tin. we.PL 1PL-take banana 1PL-sever sugarcane and 1PL-uproot cassava also Then, they, the people said to them, "The two men crossed by us here, then we gave (them) bananas, cut off sugarcane, and uprooted cassava also [for them].
21. Edá, mif mi-eyta gug ergog.
then we.PL 1PL-take to they.DU
Then, we gave (cassava) to them.

22 Fen mar-ewes no-ma-i mif mi-er-ef, y-en-ek from thing-cavity DNR-far-GIV we.PL 1PL-CAUS-distribute DU-DUR-see
en-er $\quad a k s-i k s(a) \quad \operatorname{nog}(a)-i-d(a)-i$.
DUR-grow high-RED REL-VIS-up-GIV
From the cuttings we planted, you see [the plants] are growing very tall up there.
( mar-ewes $=$ cuttings from tubers)
23. Edá, ergog y-owha turur y-éysaha kereng(a) i-osnok
then they.DU DU-leave connectedly DU-reach upon 3PL-person
enia tas.
some.other again
Then, they left continuing on, [and] happened upon some people again.
24. Edá, ergog y-esisga ju okuk no-ma-i tas.
then they.DU DU-ask against like DNR-far-GIV again Then, they questioned (the people) again in the same way.
25. Edá, eri i-ohot, Y-es y-erg-ak no-ma-i erá, ergog then they.PL 3PL-say DU-male DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV THM they.DU
$y$-ewer rudud mif jig no-kef.
DU-pass by we.PL LOC DNR-here
Then, they said, "The two males, they passed by us here.
26. Edá, mif mi-ob mesinom dokun mi-ohom mom eke mesi
then we.PL 1PL-pluck corn and 1PL-uproot cassava ENUM taro
eke.
ENUM
Then, we picked corn and uprooted cassava, taro.
27. Edá, mif mi-eyta gug ergog, erogá y-owha rot jog.
then we.PL 1PL-take to they.DU hence DU-leave about already Then, we gave it to them, so they already left as result."
28. Edá, y-ejena no-ma-i, ergog y-owha turur tas,
then DU-woman DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-leave connectedly again
y-éysaha kereng(a) efer, orna ekena noga owoka Inomsoh.
DU-reach upon child man red REL name Inomsoh Then, the two women, they again left continuing on [and] happened upon a guy, the old man whose name was Inomsoh. (Colloq. child = guy/kid)
29. Efer orna ekena no-ma-i, ofon osuy et-ak dokun ohac child man red DNR-far-GIV 3SGPOS ear NUM:3-two and mouth
dokun esták erá romreg of m-en-im(a) jug. and buttock THM all close RECIP-come against The old guy, his two ears and mouth and anus, all were closed off.

30 Edá, ofa eker ofoj ohur gijga.
then s /he sit end bare only
Then, he just sat mutely. (end of tongue bare $=$ mute $)$
31. Okuk no-ma-i edá, orna no-ma-i, ofa osotka y-ejena like DNR-far-GIV then man DNR-far-GIV s/he marry DU-woman
no-ma-i.
DNR-far-GIV
That having happened, then the man, he married the two women.
32. Edá, y-ejena no-ma-i, ergog y-ah efer orna no-ma-i. then DU-woman DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-lie child man DNR-far-GIV Then, the women, they married the guy.
33. Edá, eri i-ebah jig no-ma-i.
then they.PL 3PL-live LOC DNR-far-GIV
Then, they lived in that place.
34. Eri i-en maekena dokuni-or mod noga orokec tin. they.PL 3PL-do garden and 3PL-build house REL large also They made a garden and also built a house which was large.
35. Erogá, y-ejena y-erg-ak no-ma-i y-eyja jig maeken hence DU-woman DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV DU-go LOC garden
ni $y$-ohsud mar-mosorn $(a), ~ t i n a ́ ~ e r g o g ~ y-e ́ y s a h a ~ k e r e n g(a) ~$ for DU-search thing-hunger but they.DU DU-reach upon
mogos(a) noga owoka mogos(a) i-en-esir ofrah(a).
snake REL name snake 3PL-DUR-fall green
So, the two women went to the garden to get food, but they came upon a snake that was named green they-fall-snake.
36. Edá, ergog y-esed, edá y-eba jig meni efi, erogá y-owha then they.DU DU-grab then DU-wrap LOC banana leaf hence DU-leave
rot jig mod.
about LOC house
Then, they caught (it), then wrapped (it) in a banana leaf, so (they) left with it for the house.
37. Eysaha jig mod jog, edá ergog y-eyta mar no-ma-i gug reach LOC house already then they.DU DU-take thing DNR-far-GIV to
orna ekena no-ma-i, erogá ofa ahada koska-moka jig oduy. man red DNR-far-GIV hence s/he dismantle well-RED LOC front [When] (they) reached the house, so they took the thing to the guy, so he opened (it) carefully at his front.
38. $O j(u)$-(er)g-es edá, mogos(a) no-ma-i, ofa ohas-mes, edá ORD-NUM:1-one then snake DNR-far-GIV s/he hop-RED then
er-ef-ref orna ekena no-ma-i, edá ofa ogor takin.
CAUS-wind-RED man red DNR-far-GIV then s/he scream vigorously In a moment then, the snake, he quickly jumped, then wound around the old guy, then he screamed vigorously.
39. Okuk no-ma-i edá, ofa odu mar, ek mar, dokun eg mar tin like DNR-far-GIV then $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ tell thing see thing and hear thing also
jog.
already
Then, that having happened, he (could) speak, see, and also hear.
40. Kus no-ma-i tin, orna ekena noga owoka Inomsoh dokun short.span DNR-far-GIV also man red REL name Inomsoh and ofon mohena y-erg-ak no-ma-i, eri i-oyna mar-mosorn 3SGPOS wife DU-NUM:1-two DNR-far-GIV they.PL 3PL-cook thing-hunger
noga edeses.
REL many
[At] that time also, an old man who was named Inomsoh and his two wives, they cooked up lots of food (for a traditional feast).
41. Erogá, eri i-ah mofga skod i-osnok noga fen (i-ebah hence they.PL 3PL-hack straw to 3PL-person REL from 3PL-live
jig) Mosmir eke, fen Morum eke, fen Miyah eke, fen Mosui LOC Ayamaru ENUM from Kebar ENUM from Meyah ENUM from Sougb
eke, fen Mosurs(a) tin eke.
ENUM from Hatam also ENUM
So, they sent invitations to people who were from (living in) Ayamaru, from Kebar, from Meyah, from Sougb, also from Hatam.
42. Eri romreg i-en ni i-et mar-mosorn(a) dokun
they.PL all 3PL-come for 3PL-eat thing-hunger and
i-oyka-mers(a) tin.
3PL-dance-floor also
They all came to eat food and inside-dance also.
43. Edá, eri no-ma-i i-odu ofoj ni i-éysaha. then they.PL DNR-far-GIV 3PL-tell pre.set for 3PL-reach Then, they pledged to appear.
44. Edá, efer noga Iwari ofon no-mis-i, ofa ebisa esebra. then child REL Iwari 3SGPOS DNR-far-GIV s/he cry continuous Then, [when the Sougb pledged to come] the child who was Iwari's, he cried continuously [as a sign that his father would appear].
45. I-osnok fen mow erg-em i-oruskej, tiná ofa ebisa esebra. 3PL-person from land NUM:1-CST 3PL-handle but s/he cry continuous People from other places held (him), but he cried on and on.
46. Eri no-ma-i i-en i-oyka-mers(a) edá i-oksomus jig they.PL DNR-far-GIV 3PL-come 3PL-dance-floor then 3PL-return LOC
erin mowos.
3PLPOS village
Those ones came [and] inside-danced, then returned to their villages.
47. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri noga fen mow Mosui i-en like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL REL from land Sougb 3PL-come
i-éysaha jig meregah.
3PL-reach LOC on.the.way
Then that having happened, they who were from the Sougb area came [and] reached part way.
48. Edá, efer no-ma-i ebisa tas.
then child DNR-far-GIV cry again Then, the child cried again.
49. $O j(u)-(e r) g$-es edá, eri no-ma-i i-éysaha, erogá ergog ORD-NUM:1-one then they.PL DNR-far-GIV 3PL-reach hence they.DU
y-ek, tiná y-otomta ergen mohena, Ejiwar dokun Ejimes erá DU-see but DU-recognize 3DUPOS wife Ejiwar and Ejimes THM
$i$-en-ebah=kef.
3PL-DUR-live=here
Later then, they arrived, so they (two) saw, but (unexpectedly) recognized their wives Ejiwar and Ejimes were here.
50. Okuk no-ma-i, ergen mohena tin y-otomta ergen mahina like DNR-far-GIV 3DUPOS wife also DU-recognize 3DUPOS husband
no-ma-i tin jog.
DNR-far-GIV also already
That being so, also their wives also had recognized their husbands.
51. Y-efer y-es no-ma-i, ergog $y$-oruskej ergen efer DU-child DU-male DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-handle 3DUPOS child
no-ma-i, edá ebisa osoner.
DNR-far-GIV then cry ceased
The two guys, they handled their child, then he stopped crying.
52. Ergog y-oyka y-éysaha etkebrok ni men-esif, erogá y-en merej they.DU DU-dance DU-reach nearby on day-open hence DU-do avenger
ogá noga okuk no-kef:
speech REL like DNR-here
They danced [and] approached near to daylight, so (they) made revenge songs which were like this:

Di-odruna, di-en-oswos, di-ohot, mes Inomsoh efer eke, 1SG-unaware 1SG-DUR-? 1SG-say male Inomsoh child almost
tiná Iwari efer nom, Iwars(a) efer nom, en-ebisa ahda, en-ebisa but Iwari child VER Iwarsa child VER DUR-cry irritated DUR-cry
$o c k a=k e f$.
bitter=here
I was unaware, I was ?, (I) said, the male, Inomsoh, the child, not quite, but (it) really is Iwari's child, really is Iwarsa's child, crying irritatingly, crying bitterly.
54. Ergog, y-es y-en mar no-ma-i oys-amos, erogá y-ok they.DU DU-male DU-do thing DNR-far-GIV finished-RED hence DU-flee rot ergen efer no-ma-i jig mow ni y-eyja had miyes about 3DUPOS child DNR-far-GIV LOC land for DU-go toward dugout
noga y-esah jig miy.
REL DU-put LOC water
They, the males did that [so] it was finished, so they fled with their child to the ground to go to the dugout which they had placed in the river.
55. Edá, eri i-ahaw jig miy odog.
then they.PL 3PL-descend LOC water chest
Then, they descended onto the river's surface.
56. $O j(u)$-(er)g-es edá, ergen mohena no-ma-i, ergog y-ofof osuj, ORD-NUM:1-one then 3DUPOS wife DNR-far-GIV they.DU DU-run upon
tiná eri i-owha jog.
but they.PL 3PL-leave already
Later then, their wives, they ran after (them), but they had already left.
57. Edá, y-ejena no-ma-i y-ofof kuk mow, y-éysaha kereng(a) then DU-woman DNR-far-GIV DU-run along land DU-reach upon
eri, tiná efer erá, ofa eker jig miyes. they.PL but child THM s/he sit LOC dugout Then, the women ran along the riverbank [and] came upon them, but the child, he sat in the dugout.
58. Erogá, y-ejena y-ot jig mowah y-eyta y-omomk-(of)oj
hence DU-woman DU-stand LOC outside DU-take DU-breast-end
gug efer no-ma-i.
to child DNR-far-GIV
So, the women stood outside [the dugout] [and] gave their nipples to (nursed) the child.
59. Okuk no-ma-i edá, eri i-owha turur tas. like DNR-far-GIV then they.PL 3PL-leave connecting again Then that having happened, they left continuing on again.
60. $O j(u)$-(er)g-es, ergog $y$-ejeka gug ergen mahina no-ma-i, esha ORD-NUM:1-one they.DU DU-call to 3DUPOS husband DNR-far-GIV from
y-em-eyta meni-mokow gug ergen efer no-ma-i. DU-IRR-take banana-cooking to 3DUPOS child DNR-far-GIV Later, they called to their husbands, because (they) would give cooking bananas to their child.
61. Ergog y-ejeka mar okuk no-ma-i esebra. they.DU DU-call thing like DNR-far-GIV continuous They called out things like that on and on.
63. Okuk no-ma-i edá, m-ok-esa noga owoka Ejines erá ofa like DNR-far-GIV then NR-sib.s.s-yg REL name Ejines THM s/he
osok, edá eker jig merga esta teraw. climb.up then sit LOC wood fork above Then that having happened, the younger sister who was named Ejines, she climbed up, then sat in the fork of a tree above.
64. Edá, ofon m-ok-era, ofa eker jig mow. then 3SGPOS NR-sib.s.s-old. s/he sit LOC land Then, her sister, she sat on the ground.
65. $O j(u)$-(er)g-es edá, y-erg-em y-es mar uska noga ORD-NUM:1-one then DU-NUM:1-CST DU-become thing parasite REL
eker jig merga está, edá y-erg-em y-es muhusga sit LOC wood fork then DU-NUM:1-CST DU-become wild.jackfruit noga en-ot mow.
REL DUR-stand land
Later then, one of the two became a parasite plant which is in tree forks, then the other became a wild jackfruit tree which is on the ground.
66. Merga no-ka-i, sokomow erá, mifin m-edin(a)-ir, eri wood DNR-near-GIV beginning THM 1PLPOS NR-grandpar.-PL they.PL
i-oguga gug mar no-kef.
3PL-placate to thing DNR-here
These trees, long long ago, our ancestors, they placated (made offerings) to these things.
67. Mar no-ka-i, mifin m-edin(a)-ir noga sis, eri i-osot thing DNR-near-GIV 1PLPOS NR-grandpar.-PL REL past they.PL 3PL-count
gug mif, erogá mi-en-ejgen rot mar no-ka-i.
to we.PL hence 1PL-DUR-know about thing DNR-near-GIV These things, our ancestors who [lived] earlier, they recounted to us, so (then) we know about these things.
68. Ocruk-a
match.up-PGE
That's it.

Appendix C

## APPENDIX C

## List of source texts

The list below gives the texts used as data resources in the formation of the grammar.
Titles assigned are my own.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Text } \\ \text { number } \end{gathered}$ | Title | Speaker | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { recorded } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Erijena uk ifer Women give birth to children | Edison Orocomna | 10/95 |
| 2 | Tínefa ingit mogug <br> How Charcoal is Made | Edison Orocomna | 3/96 |
| 3 | Mod jig merga esta Houses in trees | Edison Orocomna | 3/96 |
| 4 | Isnok ised mogos People capture a snake | Edison Orocomna | 1/96 |
| 5 | Mem Ned dokun Mohus The cockatoo and the parrot | Sius Orocomna | 2/00 |
| 6 | Ijisir dokun Yom Ijisir and Yom | Edison Orocomna | 11/95 |
| 7 | Mahti fen mifin medina Orocomna Legend of our Orocomna ancestor | Sius Orocomna | 5/00 |
| 8 | Muysa <br> The shaman | Lukas Orocomna | 3/95 |
| 9 | Mahti fen Mes dokun Misi <br> Legend of the Dog and the Bandicoot | Sius Orocomna | 3/99 |
| 10 | Mahti fen Iwari dokun Mokesa Iwarsa The legend of Iwari and Iwarsa | Sius Orocomna | 1/00 |
| 11 | Mif mufjig min maeken Help in garden | Edison Orocomna | 9/95 |
| 12 | Daden ekok edesk mar noga efi Release of the past | Edison Orocomna | 9/95 |
| 13 | Sokomow mif miker ruruy <br> The old ways | Simson Orocomna | 8/96 |
| 14 | Mar mosorka Toilet hole | Ruben Orocomna | 10/95 |


| 15 | Eri in ut mowah Lie in wait | Edison Orocomna | 10/95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Dif dimosotka erija jig pantai <br> Desire to marry coastal woman | Edison Orocomna | 9/95 |
| 17 | Mif mis merej Becoming avengers | Simson Orocomna | 11/95 |
| 18 | Eri umokeg efi jig <br> Poisoning | Moises Orocomna | 10/95 |
| 19 | Medina Orocomna2 Orocomna 2 | Edison Orocomna | 9/95 |
| 20 | Mem ned dokun Mohus 2 Parrot and Cockatoo2 | Edison Orocomna | 11/95 |
| 21 | Kus noga erijena idog When women are pregnant | Edison Orocomna | 1/96 |
| 22 | Mos orna in Coming of foreigners | Semi Orocomna | 9/95 |
| 23 | Mahti Samiwa dokun <br> Samowa <br> Legend of Samiwa and Samowa | Sius Orocomna | 2/99 |
| 24 | Mahti fen It-moku-ah jig Sumuy <br> Legend of Wild mushroom eater | Sius Orocomna | 5/99 |
| 25 | Mahti fen Meders Isba Okeda Legend from Meders Isba Okeda | Sius Orocomna | 7/99 |
| 26 | Bua bimahawah edak Don't be lazy | Edison Orocomna | 1/96 |
| 27 | Mahti fen Isudga Legend of Isudga | Sius Orocomna | 5/99 |
| 28 | Miy efeyu rot mos Fish ponds | Edison Orocomna | 4/96 |
| 29 | Kembis <br> Malaria | Edison Orocomna | 8/95 |

## Appendix D Wordlists

The Moskona-English wordlist, in addition to words and individual morphemes presented in this grammar, includes a number of other forms found in source materials. The list is primarily monomorphemic forms (roots and affixes), but polymorphemic forms, such as the ordinal adverbials and the phrasal expressions for the numerals are also given. Compound forms (i.e. noun and pronoun compounds) are not distinguished from monomorphemic forms. The emotional-state constructions are not included in the Moskona-English wordlist, but will be listed in the English-Moskona wordlist, as there are no dedicated lexical forms which express these concepts.

Alternative forms are listed after the main entry and are separated by a comma. Bound forms, whether roots, clitics or affixes, are marked with a hyphen to indicate their boundedness. Word-class membership is indicated in italics following each entry. Some abbreviations are repeated from the list of abbreviations and conventions at beginning of the book.

## Abbreviations:

| adv. | adverb | num. | numeral |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| att.mkr. | attitude marker | num.cl. | numeral classifier |
| conj. | conjunction | p/n.mkr. | person/number |
| deic. | deictic | prep. | preposition |
| foc.mkr. focus marker | pron. | pronoun |  |
| interj. | interjection | quest. | question word |
| ints. | intensifier | rel. | relativizer |
| mkr. | grammatical marker | spec. | specifier |
| n. | noun | v. | verb |
| nr. | nominalizer |  |  |

Appendix D

```
Moskona-English wordlist
A
ager n. birdshot
ah v. lie (down)
ah v marry (female term)
ah v. hack
ah miy v. bathe
-aha quest. QUANTITY
-aha ints. INTENSIFIER suffix
ahabnina v. few
ahabnuk v. thin
ahac v. tie
ahacic v. agitate
ahacum v. inactive
ahada v.dismantle
ahah}v\mathrm{ . etch
ahahir v.growl (animal)
ahamow v. vomit
aharga v. dry
ahasha v. dream
ahasigen v. expectorate
ahatu v. surrender
ahaw v. descend
ahawah v. lethargic
ahaysa v. hard
ahda v. itch, be irritated
ahin v. calm down
ahinga v. break off with hands
ahisen v. play
ahka v. scrape
ahra v. crunch
ahra v. associate
ahta v. black
ahtuka v. be dirty
ahusta v. withhold
aksa v. be tall
amoka n. friend
amsa v. be silent
Ara n.(loan) God
ari n. week, Sunday
ariawun n. medicinal treatment,drug
arja n. female fish
aroga, yaroga n. song
aynun v. enduring
ayok n. mother (vocative)
```


## Moskona-English wordlist

```
A
ager \(n\). birdshot
ah \(v\). lie (down)
ah \(v\) marry (female term)
ah \(v\). hack
ah miy \(v\). bathe
-aha quest. QUANTITY
-aha ints. INTENSIFIER suffix
ahabnina \(v\). few
ahabnuk \(v\). thin
ac \(v\). tie
ahacic \(v\). agitate
ahacum \(v\). inactive
ahada \(v\). dismantle
ahah \(v\). etch
ahahir \(v\). growl (animal)
amow \(v\). vomit
aharga \(v\). dry
ahasha \(v\). dream
ahasigen \(v\). expectorate
ahatu \(v\). surrender
ahaw \(v\). descend
ahawah \(v\). lethargic
ahda \(v\). itch, be irritated
ahin \(v\). calm down
ahinga \(v\). break off with hands
ahisen \(v\). play
ank v. scrape
ahra \(v\). associate
ahta \(v\). black
ahtuka \(v\). be dirty
ahusta \(v\). withhold
aksa \(v\). be tall
amoka \(n\). friend
Ara n.(loan) God
ari n. week, Sunday
ariawun \(n\). medicinal treatment,drug
arja \(n\). female fish
aynun \(v\). enduring
ayok \(n\). mother (vocative)
```

B
bi- pron. 2SG pron. prefix
bua pron. 2SG personal (you)
buaha pron. 2SG reflexive (yourself)
busia $n$. k.o. arrow
buwun pron. 2 SG possessive

## C

c-, $\mathbf{o c u} \mathbf{-}, \mathbf{0 j u} \mathbf{-} m k r$. ordinator prefix
cergaha quest. how many times
cergak, ojugak num. twice
cergem spec. another time
cergergem spec.. many times
cerges, ojuges spec. once, a moment
cergom num. thrice
ciyja num. five
ciyja erges num. six
cugrah $n$. fifth day ago
cumjog $n$. third day ago

## D

dadin pron. 1SG possessive (my)
daka prep. advert
dakin $a d v$. vigorously
dawr $n$. cookhouse
dec prep. same location as
deci $a d v$. slowly
decir $a d v$ correct, real
decirma $a d v$. definitely
deke $a d v$. may
dekikir $a d v$ expectantly
di- pron. 1SG pron. prefix
dif pron. 1SG personal
difaha pron. 1SG reflexive
dokun conj. and, with
dudu $a d v$. incrementally

## E

e- foc.mkr. contrastive focus prefix
eb- p/n.mkr. 2 SG poss. prefix (kinship)
eba $v$. wrap up
eba $v$. refuse
ebah $v$. live
ebah $v$. be raw
ebaha $v$. yank, jerk on
ebda $v$. knead
ebdin $v$. press down
ebe- $m k r$. verbalizer prefix
ebej $n$. globe (shaped)
ebej $n$. sortal classifier:STONE
ebekirka, ebrekirka $v$. be stubborn
eber $v$. complete
ebes $n$. cutting (of a plant)
ebga $v$. torn
ebi $v$. defecate
ebi $v$. be recent
ebibra $v$. flutter, blink
ebir $n$. head
ebireg $n$. headman, leader
ebirorha $n$. skull
ebisa $v$. cry
ebjij $v$. heave
ebka $v$. be cracked in two
ebna $v$. beckon
ebra $v$. spread
ebri $v$. be split
ebrosursa $n$. crown, pinnacle
ebrot $n$. horn (animal)
ebsa $v$. be broken off
ebsita $v$. infertile, sterile
ebskir $n$. relatives
ec $v$. buy
ec $v$. become
echa quest. when
echa $v$. look for (in known loc.)
eci $n$. penis
ecicka $v$. drop
ecif $v$. back up
eciga $v$. arise, get up
ecira $v$. walk, travel
ecirfa $n$. fingernail
ecka $v$. dish up
ecka $v$. search for
ecka $v$. satiated
eckajog $n$. day after tomorrow
ecki $n$. two days ago
ecu $v$. warn
$\operatorname{ecug}(\mathbf{r a h}) n$. fifth day ago
ed $v$. strike, throw with force
ed $v$. set afire
ed $v$. join
ed- num.cl. class 2
ed- $p / n . m k r$. 1SG poss.prefix (kinship)
edá conj. then
edak $a d v$. NEG.DEON
edega $v$. remove
edegejga $v$. be possible
edegejga, ocruk $v$. match up with
edem $v$. hide
edena $v$. exchange
edes $v$. many
edeska $v$.release
edi $v$. push against
edider $v$. be level
edif $v$. guess
edis $v$. miss, not match up
edma $v$. be visible
ednorur $v$. be enervated
eduec $v$. trade a child
eduera $n$. 1SG older sib. opposite sex
eduesa $n$. 1SG younger sib. opposite sex
eduisa n. 1SG sib-in-law opposite sex
-ef deic. near
ef $v$. shoot, spear
ef $v$. do.in.turns, wind (around)
ef $v$. distribute
ef $v$. clear underbrush
efa $v$. raise animal
efa $v$. whittle
efagigow $v$. be joyful
efca $v$. delay
efca $n$. group, collection
efca $n$. hub
efdec $v$. spread
efed $n$. ingredient
efedes $n$. classificatory sibling
efef $n$. flap
efef $v$. ache
efef, efem $n$. sheath
efef, efew $n$. instance
efefej $n$. heart
efega $n$. body, trunk
efega $n$. sortal classifier:ANIMAL
efej $v$. be dried out
efeja $n$. hair, fur, feather
efem $n$. nest, pouch

```
efema \(n\). ripe tuber
efembra \(n\). flank
efemja \(n\). root hair
efen \(v\). be from
efena \(n\). spirit, image
efena \(v\). new
efenda \(v\). dense
efenen \(v\). hot, warm
efenga \(v\). slender
efenin \(v\). recent
efenok \(n\). intestine
efer \(n\). price
efer \(n\). sore, wound
efer \(n\). child
efer-ej \(n\). daughter
efer-es \(n\). son
eferiok \(n\). small child
eferu \(n\). segment
efet \(v\). swab
efet \(v\). singed, scorched
efeyu \(v\). patterned, designed
efeyu \(v\). immature
efeyu \(n\). plant matter/ plantlife
efi \(v\). used, former
efi \(v\). be liquified
efi \(n\). leaf
efi \(n\). liquid
efi \(v\). be sharp
efi \(n\). sortal classifier:FLAT
efieyja \(v\). be ready to eat
efif \(v\). arrange
efifa, efirna \(v\). feel chilled
efifra \(v\). be leveled
efina \(n\). sibling-in-law same sex
efir \(n\). foam
efna \(v\). flat
efrir \(v\). broken in two
efsa \(v\). white, clean, blank
efta \(v\). contact
eg \(v\). hear
ega \(v\). trade
egak \(n\). leg
ege \(v\). scoop, dip out
egebja \(v\). cuss out
egedid \(v\). squeeze
egeja \(v\). slit open
```

egen $v$. promise
egerag $v$. be delicate, fragile
egerna $v$. hail, cry out
eges $v$. high
eges $v$. chisel
egiger, ogugar $v$. cooled
egirgir $v$. flaming
egu $v$. drop intermittently
ej $n$. female
ej $v$. open (mouth)
ej efer $n$. young woman
ejeka $v$. call (out)
ejena $n$. woman
ejerfa $v$. spill
ejewjena $n$. young woman
ejga $v$. graze, abrade
ejgefta $v$. argue
ejgega $v$. be quieted
ejgen $v$. know
ejij $v$. twist (aside)
ejij $v$. take hostage
ejmeg $n$. spine
ejog $v$. be next
eju $v$. stalk
ejuo $v$. flicker
ek $v$. see
ek $v$. inject
eke conj. almost, ENUMERATOR
eke $v$. collide
ekej $v$. divide (up)
ekena $v$. red, brown
ekena $v$. glow
ekenga $v$. be restricted
eker $v$. sit
ekewen $v$. weigh down
eki $v$. weave bamboo
eki $v$. turn away from, avoid
ekinga $v$. tear
ekir $v$. be empty, void
ekok $n$. father (vocative)
ekrer $v$. be loose
ekris $v$. exceed
-em spec. constrastive specifier
emba $v$. be rotten
embisif $v$. stink
emesa $v$. fear

```
emka v. poison
emsa v. sniff
en v. do
en v.come
en }adv. HITHE
en- mkr. DURATIVE marker
enam n. half
engaw v. be crooked
engit v. make
enia pron. some other
enin v. measure
enin v. light up
enira v. be blue
enu v.choose
er v. stir
er- num.cl. class 4
er v. grow
er v. shave
er v. hang, sag
erá thematic.mkr.
era v. throb
éra, gurá adv. negator
eregejga v. surround
ereni v. mark
eresha v. by means of
erewesha, es efer n. young man
erf- num.cl. class 5
erg- num.cl. class 1
erga v. wear s.t.
erga n. left side
ergaha pron. 3DU reflexive
ergakek v. be both
ergegaha v. be several
ergen pron. 3DU possessive
ergesis v. each
ergog pron. 3DU personal
eri adv. probably
eri pron. 3PL personal
eriaha pron. themselves (PL)
eriaha pron. 3PL reflexive
erija pron. they females
erijena pron. they women
erik, erirka v. be yellow
erin pron. 3PL possessive
eris pron. they (PL) male
```

ernof $v$. be dizzy
ernohuy $v$. be lightheaded
erogá conj. so, hence
ers- num.cl. class 6
ersa $v$. slice
eru $v$. peel in strips
es $v$. press (down), set
es $n$. male
es $v$. spray
es efer $n$. young man
esah $v$. put
esebra $v$. continuous
esed $v$. grab
esejah $v$. prepare
esejga $v$. swell
eseka $v$. poke, touch
eseki $v$. fix, make
esen $v$. distant
esenek $v$. prefer
eser $v$. pass
esesba $v$. be carved
eset $v$. prick
eseter $v$. be much more
esew $n$. multifircate (bananas)
esgenga $v$. taste acid
esha $v$. be from, afterwards
esha edá conj. therefore
esha ni conj. in order to
esia $v$. be surprised
esif $v$. open (up)
esij $v$. talk about
esim $v$. fall over
esir $v$. fall (down)
esirna $v$. be sick
esirna $n$. foam, bubble
esis $v$. exclude
esis $v$. hurt
esisga $v$. ask (a question)
esisif $v$. go back, step back
esisok pron. exclusive pronoun
esiwkjig $v$. allow
eska $v$. sting
eska $v$. sprinkle
eskeyra $v$. clear
eskijig $v$. agree

```
esma \(v\). take by force
esta \(n\). fork
esta \(v\). suck
estak \(n\). butt
estamug \(v\). be short-bodied
estom \(n\). thank, express gratitude
esua \(v\). be curved
esua \(v\). open, reveal
esuoja \(n\). navel, umbilical cord
et \(v\). eat
et \(v\). support
et- num.cl. class 3
etaha \(v\). yell
etefa \(v\). be wet
etejen \(v\). gauge
eterir \(v\). be clear
etet \(v\). be damp
etew \(v\). be much
eteyja \(n\). eye
etha \(v\). join (bridge)
ethina \(n\). husband (vocative)
ethona \(n\). wife (vocative)
eti \(v\). suffer (pain)
eti \(v\). beg
etimeda \(n\). appendage
etit \(v\). trim
etitna \(v\). pilfer
etiw \(n\). elbow
etka \(v\). split
etkebra \(v\). be short
etma \(n\). arm
etu, ecu \(v\). be short interval, stubby
ewegweg \(v\). dribble
ewek \(n\). gallbladder
ewek \(v\). be semi-solid
ewek \(n\). globe, bump
ewek \(v\). be thickened, rounded
eweken \(n\). jaw
ewekesa \(n\). midst
ewen \(v\). weigh
ewer \(v\). cross
ewes \(n\). cavity
ewes \(n\). fat
eweswa \(v\). prove, give evidence
ewet \(v\). semi-solid
ewet \(n\). sortal classifier:SOFT
```

ey- $p / n . m k r$. $2 \mathrm{SG} / 2 \mathrm{DU}$ poss.prefix (kinship)
-ey $m k r$. polar question marker
ey $v$. weave, braid
eya $v$. flow
eyahahir $v$. block off
eyeg $v$. look at
eyenena $v$. be many
eyet $v$. adhere to
eyet $v$. follow after
eyha $v$. pay
eyj $v$. toss
eyja $v$. go (to)
eyjgej $v$. throw away
eyma $v$. dry up
eyma $v$. subside, ebb
eynegneg $v$. sway
eyorga $v$. lower
eyrir, ebrir $v$. vibrate, shimmer
eysa $v$. shine
eysaha $v$. reach, appear
eyskir $v$. be disinterested in
eyta $v$. take
eytej(a) ah $n$. life
eytofa $n$. forehead
eytoh $n$. tears
eywarsa $v$. be smooth
eywera $n$. older sibling of opposite sex
eywesa $n$. younger sibling of opposite sex

## F

fanin $n$. bottle
fen prep. from
fercin $n$. spices

## G

gam n. candy
gijga $a d v$. only
god $n$. ditch
gug prep. to
guguy $a d v$. all at once
gujga $a d v$. beneath
gurá $a d v$. negator
gusin n. key

## H

had prep. toward hadefa quest. where hah $a d v$. shortly huna $a d v$. camoflaged

## I

i- $p / n . m k r$. 3PL pron. prefix
i- $m k r$. VISIBILITY marker
-i $m k r$. GIVEN, known
-i att.mkr. PROTEST marker
ibah $n$. sortal classifier:HUMAN
ida quest. who
igersa $n$. male fish
-ir $m k r$. PLURAL
isnok irgak num. forty
isnok irges num. twenty
itkitka $n$. rubber band

## J

jef $a d v$. DEON
jena $a d v$. precisely
jender $n$. window (loan)
jera prep. with
jida prep. until
jig, met prep. LOC
jijema $a d v$. concealed
jog $a d v$. already
jug prep. against
K
-ka deic. near
kaju $n$. bean
karmom $n$. thick metal
kasina n. glass
kawar $n$. vehicle
-kef deic. here
kembis $n$. malaria
keradi $n$. work
kerenga prep. upon
kertas n.(loan) paper
$\mathbf{k o g} a d v$. ahead
kokar $n$. crow
koram num. fifteen
koska $a d v$. well
kua $n$. tea, infusion
kug $n$. tick
kuk prep. along
kus $n$. short span of time

## M

$\mathbf{m}-n r$. generic nominalizer
-ma deic far
mac $n$. thunder
$\operatorname{macog} n$. gun
maekena $n$. garden
mafif $n$. wind
magamer $n$. griddle (metal)
maharga $n$. dry season
mahat $n$. tongs
mahga $n$. small roof pole
mahina $n$. husband
mahta $n$. shade
mahterew $n$. lattice
mahti $n$. legend
maken $n$. hook
mamga $n$. pestle
mamom $n$. overcast sky
manir $n$. leader
mar $n$. thing
mar-ahta $n$. ink
mar-ebah $n$. insects
mar-efena $n$. image, picture
mar-mosorna $n$. food
mar-ogá $n$. voice, message
mar-osum $n$. loaned thing
marefen $n$. grass
marefew $n$. plantlife
margeym interj. alright, OK
margir $n$. small parrot
markien $n$. k.o. small parrot
$\operatorname{marog} n$. arrow
marotot $n$. goods
marowok $n$. vegetable
marsa n. game (animal)
mas $n$. rain
mas $n$. rain
masha $n$. k.o. bamboo
masik $n$. mosquite (small)
masina $n$. rainy season
masur $n$. sandfly
matah $n$. shade
maw $n$. sun
maw esir $n$. west
maw éysaha $n$. east
maw osha $n$. evening, sundown
maw tahaysa $n$. mid-day
maw teraw, maw okun $n$. north
maw tesi $n$. south
mayna $n$. loincloth
mebdah $n$. landslide
meber $n$. shelf
mebet $n$. squash
mebgif $n$. fan palm tree
mebka $n$. mango
mebris $n$. carpet of dried leaves
mebsta $n$. sand
mecif $n$. nettle
medef $n$. sago
medeg $n$. bamboo
medes $n$. barter child
medew $n$. sago pith, sago meal
medina $n$. grandparent/grandchild
meesa $n$. enemy
mef $n$. rack above fire
mef $n$. abandoned garden
mefera $n$. sores, wounds
mefjef, mebjef $n$. red biting ant
mefnef $n$. crow
mefsa $n$. salt
mefsta $n$. sand
meg $n$. raft
megesi $n$. leech
megigra $n$. pineapple
mej $n$. louse
-mej deic. remote
mejga $n$. fence
mejuen $n$. crocodile
mek $n$. pig
mek-kuda $n$. horse
mek-rusa $n$. deer
mek-sapi $n$. cow
mekek $n$. skin fungus
mekekew $n$. bamboo (large)
mekew $n$. father
meki $n$. tatoo
mekrew $n$. bamboo knife
mem $n$. bird
mem kokar $n$. chicken
mem mojuy $n$. cassowary
membef $n$. spinach
memega $n$. mountain
memes, meymec $n$. barkcloth skirt
memned $n$. cockatoo
memnes $n$. eagle
memsa $n$. k.o. bird of prey
men efenen $n$. dawn
men erir $n$. daylight
men es $n$. dawn
men esif $n$. daylight
menejog $n$. tomorrow
meni $n$. banana
mer $n$. room
mera $n$. bead
merah $n$. fire
merahaysa n. Christmas, year
meraj $n$. female toilet hole
mereda $n$. underbrush
meref $n$. vegetable greens
meregah $a d v$. on the way, part way
merej $n$. avenger
merembrah, merem $n$. forest, jungle
meren $n$. lake
merenha $n$. storm
meresa $n$. sugarcane
meresa mos $n$. sugar
merga $n$. wood, tree
merga eferu $n$. board
merga ofoj $n$. splinter
mergej $n$. firewood
meri $n$. tree leaf (generic)
mersa $n$. floor
meru $n$. handle
mes $n$. dog
mes naw $n$. cat
mesemok $n$. water spirit
meserfa $n$. spoon
mesesba $n$. carving
mesew $n$. leaf contailer
mesi $n$. taro
mesiew $n$. comb
mesiga $n$. ladder
mesigebra $n$. bow
mesina $n$. stringbag mesinom, mesnom $n$. corn meska $n$. breadfruit tree meskereg $n$. wild pandanus tree meskid $n$. elephant grass
meskiomka $n$. scorpion
mesogun $n$. papaya
mesra $n$. walnut tree
mesrahu $n$. platform
mesta $n$. moon
mester $n$. plate
metebka $n$. cashew tree
meybaga $n$. sky
meyj $n$. louse
meyja $n$. table (loan)
mi- $p / n . m k r$. 1PL pron. prefix
mibca $n$. gourd
mida quest. what midohes $n$. doorway
mif pron. 1PL personal
mifaha pron. 1PL reflexive
mifin pron. 1PL possessive
mimjah $n$. rainbow
mioda $n$. cuscus
mioha $n$. cinders
miohes $n$. trap, basket
miosinga $n$. ratan
mirges $n$. male sleeping area
mirok $n$. jungle
-mis deic. former
misamok $n$. cigarette
misi $n$. bandicoot
misirna $n$. illness
misiw $n$. rat
miskoh $n$. house underside
miteyja $n$. swamp
mitok $n$. small knife
mitos $n$. pot
mitow $n$. machete
mitowmat $n$. metal spear
miwera $n$. older sibling of opposite sex
miwesa $n$. younger sibling of opposite sex
$\operatorname{miy} n$. water
miy $n$. cloth
miy ewet $n$. riverbank
Miyah n. Meyah people
miyec $n$. dugout
miyefen $n$. money
miyes $n$. clothes
miyet $n$. cloth, loincloth
mocga n. mortar
mocha $n$. k.o. tree
mocireg $n$. woven armband
mockej $n$. raincloud
mockur $n$. thick stick
mocmir $n$. earthquake
mocra $n$. hunger
$\bmod n$. house
mod mobga $n$. roof ridge
$\bmod$ mejga $n$. animal pen
$\operatorname{modeg} n$. yard
modenka $n$. citrus tree/fruit
modok $n$. mountainous area
modua $n$. k.o. tree (leaves for mats)
modwok $n$. jungle shelter
mofga, mofuga $n$. palm rib
mofmeg $n$. k.o. cloth
mofta $n$. sugar palm tree
mofuna $n$. vine
mofur $n$. ashes
$\operatorname{moga} n$. wall
mogder $n$. parakeet
mogen $n$. parent-in-law, same sex
mogmeg $n$. sarong
mogom $n$. rock, stone
$\operatorname{mogos} n$. snake
mogosga $n$. earthworm
mogug $n$. tinder
mogum pron. reciprocal
mohega $n$. k.o. tree
mohena $n$. wife
mohsa $n$. hole, man-made
mohtef $n$. mud
mohua $n$. wide cloth, blanket
mohun $n$. needle
mohus $n$. parrot
mohusor $n$. k.o. tree
moj $n$. shame
moj $n$. banyan tree

| mojuy $n$. lowland | motah $n$. morning |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | motga $n$. buttress root |
| mok ebah $n$. tree spirit | motgonog $n$. darkness |
| mokaha $n$. housefly | motgus $n$. middle of night |
| mokera $n$. sibling same sex older | mototos $n$. rust, corrosion |
| mokesa $n$. sibling same sex younger | motur n. star |
| mokesa $n$. string for loincloth | moturka $n$. bower bird |
| mokres $n$. guitar | motus $n$. eastern cloth (high value) |
| moksirga $n$. flute | mow $n$. land, place |
| mokta $n$. pandanus | mow-ewes $n$. hole |
| moku n. mushroom | mow-ewet $n$. clay |
| momba $n$. bridge (makeshift) | mowah $n$. outside, forest |
| momha $n$. insanity | mowja, mowuj $n$. housepole |
| mon $n$. corpse, ghost | mowos $n$. village |
| mona, mena $n$. day | muwga $n$. porch |
| morag $n$. arrowhead, notched | muy $n$. sweet potato |
| morgik $n$. matoa tree | muysa $n$. sibling-in-law opposite sex |
| morhog $n$. decayed wood | muysa $n$. shaman |
| morka $n$. cliff |  |
| moroj $n$. path, trail | N |
| mortoh n. island | néeki $n$. yesterday |
| moruj $n$. wasp | neeki n. yesterday |
| Morum n. Kebar (people) | néesa neg. not yet |
| $\boldsymbol{m o s} n$. outsider, foreign thing | nefa deic. which |
| $\boldsymbol{m o s} n$. fish | nesa $a d v$. quickly |
| mosga $n$. hanging bridge | ni prep. for |
| mosgur $n$. teacher | ni prep. on, at |
| mosha $n$. k.o. tree | no- $n r$. deictic nominalizer |
| moshu $n$. frog | noga rel. relativizer |
| mosifef $n$. butterfly | noka, nokef deic. this (one) |
| mositua $n$. turtle | nom $a d v$. truly, able to |
| Moskona $n$. Moskona people | noma deic. that (one) |
| Mosmir n. Ayamaru people | nomis deic. former (one) |
| mosom $n$. ax | 0 |
| mosorka $n$. toilet hole for women | -0 att.mkr. EMPHATIC marker |
| mosorna $n$. hunger | ob v. snap off |
| mosta n. prey | obada $v$. carry under arm |
| mostebka $n$. beans | obder $v$. curse at |
| mosu $n$. mother (referential) | objefi $v$. malign |
| mosuesa $n$. mother's younger sister | obra v. bark at (dog) |
| mosuna $n$. porch (covered) | obra v. be slippery |
| mosurda $n$. net for fishing | obreker $v$. smooth |
| Mosursa n. Hatam people | obrer v. be sunken, shriveled |
| mosusga $n$. k.o. small bamboo | obsa $v$. be exhausted |
| mot $n$. night |  |

obsa, ofsa $v$. wipe off obuda $v$. carry under arm obuy $v$. beat s.t.
ociga $v$. affect accidently
ocko $v$. daily
ocohun $v$. enveloped
ocu-, oju-, c- $m k r$. ordinator prefix
ocuga $n$. fifth day from now
ocugrah $n$. fifth day ago
ocuja $n$. sixth day from now
ocuk $v$. block
ocukog $n$. firstborn child
ocuma $n$. fourth day from now
ocuna $n$. seventh day from now
ocunga $v$. snap in two
od $v$. bend double
od $v$. strike accidently
odgak $n$. torso
odoc $v$. meet
odocka $v$. reply
odog $v$. be pregnant
$\operatorname{odog}(\mathrm{eg}) n$. belly
odokun $v$. accompany
odow $v$. be disinclined
odra $v$. be dangling
odrurna $v$. unaware
odu $v$. tell
oduk $v$. order, command
odum $v$.pound
oduma $n$. hill (small)
oduy $n$. front
oduy $v$. crowded
of $v$. close
of $v$. blow
of $v$. fell (chop down)
of $v$. bite
of $v$. fly
ofa pron. 3SG personal (she/he)
ofej pron. s/he female
ofes pron. s/he male
ofaha pron. 3 SG reflexive (himself)
ofahmej interj. its not important
ofca $v$. puff
ofda $v$. arrive
ofjig $v$. help
ofka $v$. pressure
$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { f o d }} n$. surplus
ofodreg $n$. framework
ofof $v$. run
ofof $n$. border
ofog $v$. accumulation
$\boldsymbol{o f o g} v$. be boiled
$\boldsymbol{o f o g} v$. pointed
ofoga $n$. flesh
ofogog $v$. be evil
ofogun $v$. be old, outdated
$\mathbf{o f o j} v$. be blunted
ofoj $v$. be pre-set
ofoj $n$. end
ofojok $v$. be poor
ofokca $v$. be collected
ofom $n$. root, main/tap
ofom $v$. be ripe
ofombur $n$. lungs
ofomkuy $n$. center
ofomsa $v$. dark color
ofomsa $n$. energy, wage
ofon pron. 3SG possessive (his/hers/its)
ofon $n$. tooth
ofonga $n$. tendril
ofonon $v$. be huge
ofor $v$. be dehydrated
ofor $n$. substance
ofordor $n$. liver
oforga $v$. be hardened
oforna $n$. bone
oforoj $n$. trail (animal)
oforsa $v$. be charred
ofow $n$. beak
ofra $v$. lift
ofraha $v$. be green
ofres $v$. be simple
ofri $v$. be spicy
ofsa, obsa $v$. wipe off
ofta $v$. ingest
oftet $v$. be scenic
oftit $v$. teach
ofufom $v$. be hot (inanimate)
ofufun $v$. entwine
ofuga, ofga $n$. blood
ofum $v$. hurl
ofusi, osufi $v$. be light-weight

```
ofusum v. be thick
ofuy n. tradition
ofuy n. function
ofuy n. digit
ofuy n. egg
ofuyga n. upper extremity
og}v\mathrm{ . bend, remove by bending
og v. gouge
og v. smear
oga n. speech
ogda v. scoop (with hand)
ogder v. curse at
ogemiy v. drowned
ognunui v. be many
0gog v. visit
ogoh n. base, foundation
0goj n. back of neck
ogok v. find
ogom v. decorate
ogor v. cry out (in pain)
ogorga v. be unobstructed
ogorna v. be thirsty
ogos v. die
ogow v. chop
ogrenin v. be stale
ogrer, ogrir v. be thin, emaciated
ogreru v. striped
ogri v. be straight
ogu v. plop intermittently
oguga v. sacrifice
ogugar v. be cooled
ogugen }v\mathrm{ . pacify,
ogugur }v\mathrm{ . shudder
oguguy }v\mathrm{ . appease
oguma v. be compacted
ogun v. add on
oguna v. be ancestral
ogur v. cry out, scream
ogurá neg. no
oh v. emit
oh v. adhere to
oh v. sink in on
oha v. grate, grind, file
ohac v. spit out
ohan v. join (with)
```

ohas $v$. hop
ohduk $v$. check out, examine
ohes $v$. agitate
ohga $n$. forefront
ohka $n$. chin
ohma $v$.tear loose
ohma $v$. go hunting
ohobta $v$. stare
ohobta $v$. watch
ohoha $v$. be boiling
ohojoh $v$. ruin
ohor $v$. urinate
ohorka $v$. perfect
ohosha $v$. shake s.t.
ohosut $v$. listen
ohot $v$. say
ohra $v$ splash, roil
ohrom $v$. be quick
ohsa $v$. emerge
ohsuda $v$. search for (unknown loc.)
ohta $v$. suck
ohur $v$. urinate
ohur $v$. be bare
ohur $v$. deceive
ohurka $v$. be perfect
oj $v$. descend into
oj miy $v$. drown
ojefeg $v$. confiscate
ojga $v$. originated
ojga v. graze, abrade
ojgun $v$. cough
ojium $v$. crowd
ojnif $v$. be (at) outer limit
ojok $v$. hit
ojuj $v$. trim (grass, brush)
ojuj $v$. hire, compensate
ojuy $v$. shove away
ok $v$. flee
ok $v$. bear
ok $v$. smoke (cigarettes)
ok $v$. snap off (with hands)
okecic $v$. point out
oked $n$. sole of foot
oked $v$. chant
okej $n$. beard
oker $v$. peel off

|  | omnin v. aim at |
| :---: | :---: |
| okeyja $v$. pour | omomka $n$. breast |
| oko $v$. puncture | omres $v$. be fragile |
| oko $v$. thatch (roof) | omrug $v$. swallow |
| oko mej $n$. calf | omta $v$.be weary |
| oko ona $n$. thigh | omta $v$. be unripe |
| okockoda $v$. stumble | omta $v$. demand publicly |
| okog $v$. precede | omuy $v$. resound |
| okorek $v$. steal | omuy $v$. be dull |
| okorek $v$ be sunken in | onuy $n$. gums |
| okoska $v$. be well | or $v$. hold, handle, steer, touch |
| okow $n$. debt | or $v$. ebb |
| okow $n$. base, source | or $v$. build |
| okowga $n$. leaf stem | or $n$. poisonous plant |
| okrok $v$. grate (sound) | or- num.cl. class 7 |
| oksa $v$. turn over s.t. | orgebi $v$. be sunken (into s.t.) |
| oksof $v$. replace | orhusa v. drag s.t. |
| oksomus $v$. return | orj- num.cl. class 8 |
| oksug $v$. finish off s.t. | ork- num.cl. class 11 |
| okter $v$. encircle | orka $v$. carry |
| oku $v$. burn | orkesa $n$. joint |
| oku $v$. shoot (gun) | orkoh $v$. be hoarse |
| okua $n$. knee | orkos $n$. neck front |
| okuc $v$. show | orkut $v$. collect |
| okucka $v$. be narrow | orna $n$. man |
| okui v. pull | $\boldsymbol{o r o d} v$. meet accidently |
| okuj $v$. fetch (inan. obj.) | orohuysa $v$. be sudden |
| okuk $v$. hunt birds | orojuj $n$. right side |
| okuk v. roll up | orokec v. large |
| okuk v. be like | oromna $v$. accompany |
| okum $v$. be heavy | ororum $v$. be lost |
| okun v. be (out)side | orot $v$. go with |
| okuru $n$. groin | ors- num.cl. class 10 |
| okwef $v$. leak out | orsaha quest. how many |
| okwokwa $v$. flutter | orsiki $v$. be dull |
| om $v$. close over | ort- num.cl. class 9 |
| om $v$. resemble | oruskej v. grasp |
| omda v. mate (of set) | orusohta $v$. think |
| om-ecicka $v$. suspend | os $v$. move horizontally (over) |
| omeda $v$. anticipate | os $v$. rub, grate |
| omga $v$. be blurred | os $v$. sever |
| omga, omge miy $v$. submerge | osaha $v$. be lonely |
| omha $v$. snore | osener v. disappear, vanish |
| omka $v$. sleep deeply | osfa $v$. leap |
| omna v. lick | osha $v$. be slackened |
| omna $n$. cheek | osioma v. play |

```
oska n. crotch
oska ~ oski v. be acid, bitter
oskay(tok) v. be little (bit)
oski v. be salty
oskuj n. hindparts
oskuk v. be stiff
oskur v. be bad
osmos v. be strange
osnok n. person
osofsa v. hold on to s.t.
osoh v. compensate
osok v. climb up
osok v. begin
osok v. concern
ósoka v. descend
osomsa v. clutch
osoner v. be ceased
osorna v. be hungry
osorojga n. rib, fiancee
osoror v. be suspended
osot v. count
osotka v. marry (male term)
osra v. enter
osta v. thrust
osta v. chase
ostah v. deny
osuj v. be upon, be after
osum n. nose, face
osumiok v. whirl, twirl
osun v. erase
osunun v. reach limit
osusun v, gasp
osusuy v. be startled
osut v. imitate
osuy v. startle
osuy n. ear
ot v. stand
ot v. wash
otgonog v. be dark
otigej v. stand up
otka v. be tasty
otkera n. older sibling same sex
otkesa n. younger sibling same sex
otkona n. intestines
otkonoska v. be enraged
oska \(n\). crotch
oska \(\sim\) oski \(v\). be acid, bitter
oskay(tok) \(v\). be little (bit)
oski \(v\). be salty
oskuj \(n\). hindparts
oskuk \(v\). be stiff
oskur \(v\). be bad
osmos \(v\). be strange
osnok \(n\). person
osofsa \(v\). hold on to s.t.
osoh \(v\). compensate
osok \(v\). climb up
osok \(v\). begin
osok \(v\). concern
ósoka \(v\). descend
osomsa \(v\). clutch
osoner \(v\). be ceased
osorna \(v\). be hungry
osorojga \(n\). rib, fiancee
osoror \(v\). be suspended
osot \(v\). count
osotka \(v\). marry (male term)
osra \(v\). enter
osta \(v\). thrust
osta \(v\). chase
ostah \(v\). deny
osum \(n\). nose, face
osumiok \(v\). whirl, twirl
osun \(v\). erase
osunun \(v\). reach limit
osusun \(v\), gasp
osusuy \(v\). be startled
mitate
osuy \(n\). ear
ot \(v\). stand
ot \(v\). wash
otgonog \(v\). be dark
otka \(v\). be tasty
otkera \(n\). older sibling same sex
otkesa \(n\). younger sibling same sex
otkona \(n\). intestines
otkonoska \(v\). be enraged
```

otoh $v$. be wide
otomta $v$. recognize
otra $v$. flip forward
otu $v$. be scarce
otuj $v$. follow
otumba $v$. be hollow
otusus $v$. go to and fro
otut $v$. laugh
otuy $v$. be tightly packed
ow $v$. fry
ow $v$. dig (hole)
ow $v$. link
owas $v$. be strong
owha $v$. leave
owi $v$. endeavor
owocka $n$. shoot of plant
owoh $v$. be webbed
owok $n$. bud
owoka $n$. name
owoka $n$. overflow
owoka $v$. forbidden
owos $n$. produce
owos $n$. skin
owos $n$. be bundled
owos $n$. sortal classifier:VEG
owosi $v$. be ordinary
owot $n$. secretion
owra $v$. cross through
oyfa $v$. be good
oyka $v$. dance
oykocka $v$. sneak
oyna $v$. cook
oynga $v$. fold
oyok $v$. swear
oyom $v$. request
oyorur $v$. yearn
oyra $v$. pass through
oyrega $n$. tail
oysa $v$. call out
oysa $v$. finished, used up
oysura $n$. youngest child
oytut $v$. quake s.t.

## $\mathbf{P}$

pacur n. (loan) hoe
paoga n.(loan) nail
pasa n. (loan) rice
pensir n.(loan) pencil

## R

rad num. (loan) hundred rahasis $a d v$. whole day rahu $a d v$. long time raok $a d v$. usually regreg $a d v$. habitually rejrej prep. around rewrew $a d v$. along the way roga $a d v$. first, before rogrog $a d v$. immediately rohog $a d v$. behind rohroh $a d v$. mixed in rokrok $a d v$. expectantly romreg $a d v$. entirely, all
ros $a d v$. still rot prep. about rud prep. against rud $a d v$. ultimately rudud prep. by ruruy $a d v$. unrestricted

## S

sam (ofog) $n$. soap
sareg $n$. metal bracelet
se $a d v$. certainly
sen $n$. zinc roofing
ses $a d v$. accidently
setka num. ten sidga $n$. upperside siriaw $n$. beads sis $a d v$. past siska $a d v$. temporarily
skod prep. to (location of)
smen $a d v$. perhaps
sof prep. instead
sohoha $a d v$. unintentionally
sokomow $a d v$. beginning
somus $a d v$. back
sota $a d v$. later (colloquial)
srad $n$. document
susuy adj. other kind

## T

tahaysa $n$. middle
tahgur num. four tas $a d v$. more, again tektek $a d v$. incrementally
ten prep. for (benefit of)
teraw $a d v$. above
terir $a d v$. exactly
tesi $a d v$. below
tima $n$. tin (metal)
tin $a d v$. also
tiná conj. but
tinefa quest. how
tisef $n$. today
titir $a d v$. constantly
toga $a d v$. differently
tok $n$. spot, exact location
toktog $a d v$. respective places
tomrer $a d v$. openly, exposedly
tomror $a d v$. intently
tum prep. onto
turur $a d v$. connectedly
tusus $a d v$. to and fro
tutum $a d v$. often

## $\mathbf{U}$

u- deic. INVISIBILITY marker
uasir $n$. companions
ucur $v$. be quiet
ursa $n$. central location
uska $v$. be wild (not domesticated)

## $\mathbf{Y}$

$\mathbf{y}-p / n . m k r$. DUAL pron. prefix yam $n$. hour
yef pron. we (DU)
yefaha pron. 1DU reflexive
yefyen pron. 1DU possessive yoga pron. 2DU personal yogaha pron. 2DU reflexive yogyen pron. 2DU possessive yua pron. you (PL)
yuaha pron. 2PL reflexive
yuwun pron. 2PL possessive

## English-Moskona wordlist

## A

about rot
above teraw
accidently ses
accompany odokun, oromna accumulation ofog accustomed efena eker ache efef
acid, bitter oska, oski
add on ogun
adhere to oh
adversarial oforna owas
advert daka
affect, befall ociga
again tas
against (nonspatial) jug
against (spatial) rud
agitate ahacic
agitate ohas
agree eskijig
ahead kog
aim at omnin
all at once guguy
allow esiwkjig
almost eke
along kuk
along the way rewrew
already jog
alright, OK margeym
also tin
ancestral oguna
and dokun
another time cergem
anticipate omeda
anxious oduy efi
appease ogugen appendage etimeda
argue ejgefta
arise, get up eciga
arm etma
around rejrej
arrange efif
arrive ofda
arrow marog
arrowhead, notched morag
ashes mofur
ask (a question) esisga
associate ahra
avenger merej
awake efena ebra
ax mosom
Ayamaru people Mosmir

## B

back somus
back up ecif
bad oskur
bamboo (generic) medeg
bamboo (k.o.large) mekekew
bamboo (k.o.small) mosusga
bamboo knife mekrew
banana meni
bandicoot misi
banyan tree moj
bare ohur
bark (at) obra
barter child medes
base, foundation ogoh
base, source okow
bathe ah-miy
bead (generic) mera
beads worn at waist siriaw
bean, peanut kaju
beans (green) mostebka
bear (carry) ok
beard ohka efej
beat (s.o.) obuy
beckon ebna
become ec
beg eti
begin osok
beginning sokomow
belly odog(eg)
below tesi
bend double od
bend to break og
beneath gujga
bird mem
birdshot ager
bit by bit tektek
bite (large animal) of
black ahta
block ocuk
block off eyahahir
blood ofuga, ofga
blow (wind) of
blue enira
blunted ofoj
blurred omga
body, trunk efega
boiled ofog
boiling ohoha
bone oforna
border ofof
both ergakek
bottle fanin
bow mesigebra
bower bird moturk
bowl mok
breadfruit tree meska
break off (with hands) ahinga
breast omomka
bridge (makeshift) momba
broken in two efrir
broken off ebsa
bud owok
build or
bundled owos
burn oku
but tiná
butt estak
butterfly mosifef
buttress root motga
buy ec
by rudud
by means of eresha
C
calf (leg) oko mej call (out) ejeka
callous owos ewek
calm down ahin
camoflaged huna
candy, sweets gam
carry under arm obada
carry, bring orka
carved esesba
carving mesesba
cashew tree, fruit metebka
cassowary mem mojuy
cat mes naw
CAUSATIVE marker er-
cavity ewes
center ofomkuy
central location ursa
certainly se
chant oked
charred oforsa
chase osta
check out, examine ohduk
cheek omna
chicken mem kokar
child efer
child (small) eferiok
chin ohka
chisel eges
choose enu
chop ogow
Christmas, year merahaysa
cigarette misamok
cinders mioha
citrus modenka
classificatory sibling efedes
clay mow ewet
clear (sky) eskeyra
clear away (underbrush) ef
cliff morka
climb up osok
close off of
close over om
cloth miy
cloth, loincloth miyet
clothes miyes
cloud mockej
clutch osomsa
cockatoo memned
collect orkut
collected ofokca

| collide eke | daily ocko |
| :---: | :---: |
| comb mesiew | damp etet |
| come en | dance oyka |
| compacted oguma | dangling odra |
| companions uasir | dark otgonog |
| compassionate oduy er | dark color ofomsa |
| complete eber | darkness motgonog |
| concealed jijema | daughter efer ej |
| concern osok | dawn men efenen, men es |
| confiscate ojefeg | day mona, mena |
| connectedly turur | daylight men erir |
| consider oduy orusohta | daylight men esif |
| conscious efena erecef | debt okow |
| constantly titir | decayed wood morhog |
| contrastive specifier -em | deceive ohur |
| contact efta | decorate ogom |
| continuous esebra | defecate ebi |
| cook oyna | definitely decirma |
| cookhouse dawr | dehydrated ofor |
| cooled (down) egiger, ogugar | delay efca |
| corn mesinom | delicate, fragile egerag |
| corpse, ghost mon | demand publicly omta |
| correct, real decir | dense (vegetation) efenda |
| cough ojgun | deny ostah |
| count osot | descend ahaw |
| cracked in two ebka | descend ósoka |
| craziness momha | descend into oj |
| crocodile mejuen | desire oduy ek |
| crooked engaw | die ogos |
| cross ewer | differently toga |
| crotch oska | dig ow |
| crow mefnef | digit ofuy |
| crowded oduy | dirty ahtuka |
| crown, pinnacle ebrosursa | dish up ecka |
| crunch ahra | disinclined odow |
| cry ebisa | disinterested in eyskir |
| cry out (in pain) ogor | dismantle ahada |
| curse at obder, ogder | distant esen |
| curious oduy eciga | distribute ef |
| curved esua | ditch god |
| cuscus mioda | divide (up) ekej |
| cuss out egebja | dizzy ernof, ebir ernohuy |
| cutting (of a plant) ebes | do en |
|  | document (letter) srad |
| D | dog mes |

```
doorway midohes
drag s.t. orhusa
dream ahasha
dribble ewegweg
dried out efej
drop ecicka
drop by bits ecu
drop intermittently egu
drown oj-miy, ogemiy
drunk ebir ernof
dry aharga
dry season maharga
dry up eyma
dugout miyec
dull omuy
dull orsiki
DURATIVE aspect marker en-
```


## E

each ergesis
eagle mem nes
ear osuy
earthquake mocmir
earthworm mogosga
east maw éysaha
eastern cloth (high value) motus
eat et
ebb or
egg ofuy
elbow etiw
elephant grass meskid
emerge ohsa
emit oh
empty, void ekir
encircle okter
end ofoj
endeavor owi
enduring aynun
enemy meesa
energy, wage ofomsa
enervated ednorur
enraged otkona oska, otkonoska
enter osra
entirely, all romreg
entwine ofufun

ENUMERATOR eke
enveloped ocohun
erase osun
etch ahah
evening star (planet) mosnah
evening, sundown maw osha
evil ofogog
exactly terir
exceed ekris
exchange edena
exclude esis
exclusive pronoun esisok
exhausted obsa
expectantly dekikir
expectantly rokrok
expectorate ahasigen
eye eteyja

## F

fall (down) esir
fall over esim
fan palm tree mebgif
far (deictic) -ma
fat ewes
father (referential) mekew
father (vocative) ekok
fear emesa
feel chilled efifa, efirna
fell (chop down) of
female ej
female (fish) arja
fence mejga
fetch (inan. obj.) okuj
few ahabnina
fifteen koram
fifth day ago cugrah, ecug(rah
fifth day hence ocuga
find ogok
fingernail ecirfa
finish off s.t. oksug
finished, used up oysa
fire merah
firewood mergej
first roga
firstborn child ocukog

| fish mos | fry ow |
| :---: | :---: |
| five ciyja |  |
| fix, make eseki | G |
| flaming egirgir | gallbladder ewek |
| flank efembra | game (animal) marsa |
| flap efef | garden maekena |
| flat efna | garden (abandoned) mef |
| flee ok | gasp osusun |
| flesh ofoga | gauge etejen |
| flicker ejuo | give up ahatu |
| flicker, flutter, blink ebibra | GIVEN, known -i |
| flip forward otra | glass (loan) kasina |
| floor mersa | globe (shaped) ebej |
| flow eya | globe, bump ewek |
| flute moksirga | glow ekena |
| flutter okwokwa | go (to) eyja |
| fly of | go back, step back esisif |
| foam efir | go hunting ohma |
| foam, bubble esirna | go to and fro otusus |
| fold oynga | go with orot |
| follow otuj | God Ara |
| follow after eyet | good oyfa |
| food mar mosorna | goods, possessions marotot |
| foot oko | gouge og |
| for ni | gourd mibca |
| for (benefit of) ten | grab esed |
| forefront ohga | grandparent/grandchild medina |
| forehead eytofa | grasp oruskej |
| forest merembrah, merem | grass marefen |
| forgetful oduy ogma | grate (sound) okra |
| fork esta | grate, grind, file oha |
| former (deic.) -mis | graze, abrade ejga |
| former (deic.) nomis | green ofraha |
| forty isnok irgak | greens, vegetable meref |
| four tahgur | griddle (metal) magamer |
| fourth day ago ocuna | groin okuru |
| fourth day hence ocuma | ground house mod miy |
| fragile omres | group, collection efca |
| framework ofodreg | grow er |
| friend amoka | growl (animal) ahahir |
| frog moshu | guess edif |
| from fen | guitar mokres |
| from (v.) efen | gums onuy |
| from, afterwards (v.) esha front (torso) oduy | gun macog |

```
H
habitually regreg
hack ah
hail, cry out egerna
hair efeja
half enam
handle meru
hang, sag er
hanging bridge mosga
hard ahaysa
hardened oforga
Hatam people mosursa
he of-es
head ebir
headman, leader ebireg
hear eg
heart efefej
heave ebjij
heavy okum
help ofjig
hence, so erogá
here (deictic) -kef
hide edem
hill (small) oduma
hindparts oskuj
hire, compensate ojuj
hit ojok
HITHER (deictic) en
hoarse orkoh
hoe pacur
hold on to s.t. osofsa
hold, handle, steer, touch or
hole mow ewes
hole (man-made) mohca
hollow otumba
hook maken
hop ohas
horn (animal) ebrot
hot (inanimate) ofufom
hot, warm (animate) efenen
hour yam
house mod
house underside miskoh
housefly mokaha
housepole mowja
H
habitually regreg
hack ah
hail, cry out egerna
hair efeja
half enam
handle meru
hang, sag er
hanging bridge mosga
hard ahaysa
hardened oforga
Hatam people mosursa
he of-es
head ebir
headman, leader ebireg
hear eg
heart efefej
heave ebjij
help ofjig
hence, so erogá
here (deictic) -kef
hide edem
hindparts oskuj
hire, compensate ojuj
hit ojok
HITHER (deictic) en
se orkoh
acur
hold on to s.t. osofsa
hold, handle, steer, touch or
hole mow ewes
hole (man-made) mohca
hollow otumba
hook maken
hop ohas
horn (animal) ebrot
hot (inanimate) ofufom
hot, warm (animate) efenen
hour yam
house underside miskoh
housefly mokaha
housepole mowja
```

how tínefa
how many orsaha
how many times cergaha
hub efca
huge ofonon
hundred rad
hunger mosorna
hungry osorna
hunt birds okuk
hurl ofum
hurt esis
husband (referential) mahina
husband (vocative) ethina

## I

illness misirna
image mar efena
imitate osut
immature efeyu
immediately rogrog
in order to esha ni
inactive, invalid ahacum
incrementally dudu
infertile, sterile ebsita
ingest ofta
ink mar ahta
ingredient efed
inject ek
insects mar ebah
instance efef, efew
instead sof
INTENSIFIER suffix -aha
intently tomror
intestine efenok
intestines otkona
INVISIBILITY marker u-
invulnerable oforna ahaysa
IRREALIS marker em-
irritated, annoyed owos esis
island mortoh
itch, (be) irritated ahda

## J

jaw eweken
join eda

| join (bridge) etha | lie ah |
| :---: | :---: |
| join with ohan | life eytej(a) ah |
| joint orkesa | lift ofra |
| joyful efagigow | light up enin |
| jungle mirok | lightheaded ernohuy |
| jungle shelter modwok | light-weight ofusi, osufi like okuk |
| K | link ow |
| k.o. cloth mofmeg | liquid efi |
| k.o. tree mocha | liquified efi |
| Kebar (people) Morum | listen ohosut |
| key gusin | little oskay(tok) |
| kinship prefix 1SG ed- | live ebah |
| kinship prefix 2SG eb- | liver ofordor |
| kinship prefix 2SG/2DU ey- | LOC jig |
| knead ebda | local, originate from ojga |
| knee okua | loincloth mayna |
| knife (small) mitok | lonely osaha |
| know ejgen | long eges long time rahu |
| L | look at eyeg |
| ladder mesiga | look for (known loc.) echa |
| lake meren | loose ekrer lost ororum |
| land, place mow | louse mej |
| landslide mebdah | louse meyj |
| large orokec | love oduy efef |
| later (colloquial) sota | lower eyorga |
| lattice mahterew laugh otut | lowland mojuy |
| leader manir | lungs ofombur |
| leaf efi |  |
| leaf contailer mesew | M |
| leaf stem okowga | machete mitow |
| leak out okwef | make engit |
| leap osfa | malaria kembis |
| leave owha | male (person) es |
| leaves (dried) mebris | male fish igers |
| leech megesi | male sleeping area mirges |
| left side erga | malign objefi |
| leg egak | man orna |
| legend mahti | mango mebka |
| lethargic ahawah, oforna egerag | many edeses, eyenena, ognunui |
| level edider | many times cergergem |
| leveled efifra | mark ereni |
| lick omna | marry (female term) ah |

marry (male term) osotka match up, be possible edegejga, ocruk matoa tree, fruit morgik may deke measure enin medicinal treatment,drug ariawun meet odoc metal bracelet sareg
metal spear mitowmat
Meyah people Miyah
middle tahaysa
middle of night motgus
midst ewekesa
mismatch edis
mixed up rohroh
money miyefen
moon mesta
morning motah
mortar mocga
Moskona people Moskona
mosquite (small) masik
mother mosu
mother (vocative) ayok
mother's younger sister mosuesa
mountain memega
mountainous area modok
mouth ohac
move horizontally os
much etew
much more eseter
mud mohtef
multifircate esew
mushroom moku

## $\mathbf{N}$

nail paoga
name owoka
narrow okucka
nauseous oduy ebjij
navel, umbilical cord esuoja
near ef
neck (back of) ogoj
neck (front) orkos
needle mohun
negative adverb éra, gurá

NEG.DEON adverb edak
nervous efena egirgir
nest, pouch efem
net for fishing mosurda
nettleleaf mecif, mecu
new efena
newly efenin
next ejog
night mot
no ogurá
north maw teraw, maw okun
nose, face osum
not yet néesa
numeral classifier class 1 erg-
numeral classifier class 10 ors-
numeral classifier class 11 ork-
numeral classifier class 2 ed-
numeral classifier class 3 et-
numeral classifier class 4 er-
numeral classifier class 5 erf-
numeral classifier class 6 ers-
numeral classifier class 7 or-
numeral classifier class 8 orj-
numeral classifier class 9 ort-

## 0

often tutum
old, outdated ofogun
on the way, part way meregah
on, at ni
once, a moment cerges, ojuges
only, just gijga
onto tum
open ej
open (up) esif, esu
open, reveal esua
openly, exposedly tomrer
order, command oduk
ordinary owosi
ordinator prefix ocu-, oju-, c-
originate ojga
other kind susuy
outer limit ojnif
outside, forest mowah
outsider, foreign mos

| overcast sky mamom | platform mesrahu play ahisen, osioma |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | pleased oduy oyfa |
| pacify oguguy | plop ogu |
| palm rib mofga, mofuga | point out okecic |
| pandanus mokta | pointed ofog |
| pant oduy efena | poison emka |
| pants miy egak | poisonous plant or |
| papaya mesogun | poke, touch eseka |
| paper (loan) kertas | POLAR QUESTION marker -ey |
| parakeet mogder | poor ofojok |
| parent-in-law, same sex mogen | porch muwga |
| parrot mohus | possessive pron. 1DU yefyen |
| parrot (k.o. small) markien | possessive pron. 1PL mifin |
| parrot (k.o. small) margir | possessive pron. 1SG dadin |
| pass eser | possessive pron. 2DU yogyen |
| pass through owra, oyra | possessive pron. 2PL yuyun |
| ast sis | possessive pron. 2SG buwun |
| path, trail moroj | possessive pron. 3DU ergen |
| patterned, designed efeyu | possessive pron. 3PL erin |
| pay eyha | possessive pron. 3SG ofon |
| peel in strips eru | pot mitos |
| peel off oker | pour okeyj |
| penis eci | precede okog |
| perfect ohurka | precisely jena |
| perhaps smen | prefer esenek |
| person osnok | pregnant odog |
| personal pronoun 1DU yef | prepare esejah |
| personal pronoun 1PL mif | pre-set, pre-appointed ofoj |
| personal pronoun 1SG dif | press (on), set es |
| personal pronoun 2DU yoga | press down ebdin |
| personal pronoun 2PL yua | pressure ofka |
| personal pronoun 2SG bua | prey mosta |
| personal pronoun 3DU ergog | price efer |
| personal pronoun 3PL eri | prick eset |
| personal pronoun 3SG ofa | probably eri |
| pestle mamga | produce owos |
| pig mek | promise egen |
| pilfer etitna | pronominal prefix 1PL mi- |
| pineapple megigra | pronominal prefix 1SG di- |
| pinworms modockor | pronominal prefix 2PL yi- |
| place departed from rohog | pronominal prefix 2SG bi- |
| plant matter/ plantlife efeyu | pronominal prefix 3PL i- |
| plantlife marefew | pronominal prefix DU y- |
| plate mester | PROTEST marker -i |

## 554 Appendix D

proud oduy aksa
prove, give evidence eweswa
puff ofca
pull okui
puncture oko
push against edi
put esah

## Q

quake oytut
QUANTITY marker -aha
quick ohrom
quickly nesa
quiet ucur
quieted ejgega

R
rack above fire mef
raft meg
rain mas
rainbow mimjah
rainy season masina
raise animals efa
rat misiw
ratan miosinga
raw ebah
reach limit osunun
reach, appear éysaha
ready to eat efieyja
recently ebi
RECIPROCAL marker em- -ima
reciprocal pronoun mogum
recognize otomta
red ekena
red biting ant mefjef, mebjef
refuse eba
relatives ebskir
relativizer noga
release edeska
remote (deic) -mej
remove edega
replace oksof
reply odocka
request oyom
resemble om
resound omuy
respective places toktog
restricted ekenga
return oksomus
reverse s.t. osoh
revulsion owos ogugar
rib osorojga
rice pasa
right side orojuj
ripe ofom
ripe tuber efema
rock, stone mogom
roll up okuk
roof pole mahga
room mer
root (fiber) efemja
root (main) ofom
rotten emba
rub, grate os
rubber band itkitka
ruin ohojoh
run ofof
rust, corrosion mototos

## S

sacrifice oguga
sago medef
sago pith, sago meal medew
salt mefsa
salty oski
same location as dec
same time meteririm
sand mebsta, mefsta
sandfly masur
sarong mogmeg
satiated ecka
say ohot
scarce otu
scenic oftet
scoop (with hand) ogda
scoop, dip out ege
scorpion meskiomka
scrape ahka
search for (known loc.) ecka
search for (unknown loc.) ohsuda

```
second day ago ecki
secretion owot
see ek
segment eferu
semi-solid ewet
set afire ed
seventh day from now ocuna
sever os
several ergegaha
shade matah
shake s.t. ohosha
shame moj
sharp efi
shave er
she of-ej
sheath efef, efem
shelf meber
shine eysa
shocked owos osuy
shoot (gun) oku
shoot of plant owocka
shoot, spear ef
short etkebra
short intervals etu
short span of time kus
short-bodied estamug
shortly hah
should, ought (DEON) jef
shoulder eksa
shout oysa
shove away ojuy
show okuc
shudder ogugur
sibling 1SG.op.sex.older eduera
sibling 1SG.op.sex.younger eduesa
sibling same sex older otkera
sibling same sex younger otkesa
sibling.op.s.old. eywera, miwera
sibling.op.s.yg eywesa, miwesa
sibling-in-law (1SG.op.sex) eduisa
sibling-in-law opposite sex muysa
sibling-in-law same sex efina
sick esirna
side, outside okun
silent amsa
```

simple ofres
singed, scorched efet
sink in oh
sit eker
six ciyja erges
sixth day hence ocuja
skin owos
skin fungus mekek
skirt (barkcloth) memes, meymec
skull ebirorha
sky meybaga
slackened osha
sleep deeply omka
slender efenga
slice ersa
slippery obra
slit open egeja
slowly deci
smart ewek ahra
smear og
smoke merah ofoga
smoke (cigarettes) ok
smooth eywarsa
smooth out obreker
snake mogosa
snap in two ocunga
snap off ob
snap off (with hands) ok
sneak oykocka
sniff emsa
snore omha
soap sam(ofog)
sole of foot oked
some other enia
son efer es
song aroga, yaroga
sore, wound efer
sores, wounds mefera
sortal classifier:ANIMAL efega
sortal classifier:FLAT efi
sortal classifier:HUMAN ibah
sortal classifier:SOFT ewet
sortal classifier:STONE ebej
sortal classifier:VEG owos
south maw tesi
speech oga
spices fercin
spicy ofri
spill out ejerfa
spinach membef
spine ejmeg
spirit, image efena
splash, roil ohra
split ebri
split s.t. etka
spoon meserfa
spot, exact location tok
spray es
spread ebra
spread to efdec
sprinkle eska
squash mebet
squeeze egedid
stale ogrenin
stalk eju
stand ot
stand up otigej
star (small) motur
stare ohobta
startle osuy
startled osusuy, oduy efena ebker
steal okorek
stick to eyet
stick (thick) mockur
stiff oskuk
still ros
sting eska
stink embisif
stir er
stir er
storm merenha
straight ogri
strange osmos
strike accidently od
strike, throw with force ed
string for loincloth mokesa
stringbag mesina
striped ogreru
strong owas
stubborn ebekerk, ebrekirk
stubby etu
study (loan) ebeskor
stumble okockoda
submerge omga, omge miy
subside, ebb eyma
substance ofor
suck esta
suck ohta
sudden orohuysa
suffer (pain) eti
suffer, feel pain eti
sugar palm tree mofta
sugarcane meres
sun maw
Sunday mona ari
sunken (into s.t.) orgebi
sunken in okorek
sunken, shriveled obrer
support et
surplus ofod
surprised esia
surround eregejga
suspend om-ecicka
suspended osoror
swab efet
swallow omrug
swamp miteyja
sway eynegneg
swear oyok
sweet potato muy
swell esejga

## T

table meyja
tail oyrega
take eyta
take by force esma
take hostage ejij
talk about esij
tall aksa
taro mesi
taste acid esgenga
tasty otka
tatoo meki
tea, infusion kua
teach oftit

| teacher mosgur | toilet hole (in floor) mosorka |
| :---: | :---: |
| tear (apart) ekinga | tomorrow menejog |
| tear loose ohma | tongs mahat |
| tears eytoh | tooth ofon |
| tell odu | torn ebga |
| temporarily siska | torso odgak |
| ten setka | toss eyj |
| tendril ofonga | toward had |
| terrified oduy efena orosusun | trade (s.t.) ega |
| thanks, gratitude estom | trade a child eduec |
| that (one) noma | tradition ofuy |
| thatch (roof) oko | trail (animal) oforoj |
| THEMATIC marker erá | trap, basket miohes |
| then edá | tree leaf (generic) meri |
|  | tree spirit mok ebah |
| therefore esha eda | trim etit |
| they (PL) males eris | trim (grass, brush) ojuj |
| they (PL) females erija | truly, able to nom |
| thick ofusum | turn away from, avoid eki |
| thick metal (loan) karmom | turn over s.t. oksa |
| thickened, rounded ewek | turtle mositua |
| thigh oko ona | twenty isnok irges |
| thin ahabnuk | twice cergak, ojugak |
| thin, emaciated ogrer, ogrir thing mar | twist ejij |
| think orusohta | U |
| third day ago cumjog | ultimately rud |
| third day hence eckajog | unaware odrurna |
| thirsty ogorna | underbrush mereda |
| this (one) noka, nokef | understand oduy ebri |
| three days ago cumjog | unintentionally sohoha |
| thrice cergom | unobstructed ogorga |
| throb era | unrestricted ruruy |
| throw away eyjgej | unripe omta |
| thrust in osta | unsure oduy ergak |
| thunder mac | until jida |
| tick kug | upon kerenga |
| tie ahac | upon, after osuj |
| tightly packed otuy | upper extremity ofuyga |
| tin tima |  |
| tinder mogug | urinate ohur |
| to (location of s.o.) skod | used, former efi |
| to (s.o.) gug | usually raok |
| to and fro tusus | usually raok |
| today tisef |  |

## V

vanish osener vegetable marowok
vehicle kawar (loan)
verbalizer prefix ebe-
vibrate, shimmer eyrir, ebrir
vigorously dakin
village mowos
vine mofuna
VISIBILITY marker i-
visible edma
voice mar ogá
vomit ahamow

## W

walk, travel ecira
wall moga
walnut tree mesra
want oduy os
warn ecu
wash ot
wasp moruj
watch ohobta
water miy
water spirit mesemok
wear s.t. erga
weary omta
weave bamboo eki
weave, braid ey
webbed owoh
week ari
weigh ewen
weigh down ekewen
well koska
well okoska
west maw esir
wet etefa
what mida
when echa
where hadefa
which nefa
whirl osumiok
whirl around osumiok
white, clean, blank efsa
whittle efa
who ida
whole okes
whole day rahasis
wide otoh
wide cloth, blanket mohua
wife mohena
wife (vocative) ethona
wild (not domesticated) uska
wild pandanus tree meskereg
wind mafif
wind around ef
window jender (loan)
wipe off obsa, ofsa
with jera
withhold ahusta
woman ejena
wood, tree merga
work keradi (loan)
woven armband mocireg
wrap up eba

## Y

yank, jerk on ebaha
yard modeg
yearn oyorur
yell etaha
yellow erik, erirka
yesterday neeki
young man erewesha, es efer
young woman ej efer, ejewjena
youngest child oysura

## Z

zinc roofing sen
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cardinal directions, 228
causation, 314
causation clauses, 383
causative marker, 123
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constituent order, 287
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contrast relations, 390
contrastive focus
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on RC as nominal predicate, 353
days of week, 183
dialect, 9
Meyerga dialect, 9, 11
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direct quotes, 356
directional clitics, 314
directionals, non-deictic, 228
disjunction, 392
distributive quantifier, 194
emotional-state constructions, 329-36
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imprecatives, 419
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juxtaposition, 252, 369
kinship, 98-106
sibling opposite sex, 102
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location clauses, 376
manipulative verb, 126, 319
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morphophonemic processes, 67-78
negation
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in emotional-state constructions, 331, 428
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scope, 426
negative purpose clauses, 380
noun phrases
appositional, 253
as possessor, 237
headless, 233
structure, 231
nouns
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body-part, $92,330,336$
common, 86
compound reduction, 113
$e f$ - fused, 96
inalienable, 91, 232
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noun compounds, 106
people groups, 87
proper, 86
referring to humans, 97
sortal. See numeral classifiers
spatial-relator, 94, 236
numeral classifiers, 184-88, 300
sortal, 300
numerals
as days of week, 183
as definite quantifiers, 181
as modifiers, 243
cardinal numbers, 177
ordinal prefix
in multiplicative numeral adverbials, 172
in temporal frequency adverbials, 173
in temporal ordinal adverbials, 171
orthography, 79
permissives, 416
phonotactics, 35
pitch accent, 51
plural
expressed in adjectivals, 137
plural marker, 71, 105
possession, 346
alienable, 88
inalienable, 91, 235
kinship, 98, 101
predicates
atmospheric events, 296
involuntary states, 297
nominal, 303
non-referential, 295
possessor verb, 293
uncontrolled states, 297
verbal, 291
prepositional phrases
structure, 257
prepositions, 257, 372
non-spatial, 197
spatial, 197, 258
stranded, 276
verbal, 277, See verbal prepositions
prohibitives, 430
pronominal circumfix, 117
pronominal prefixes, 114, 209
pronoun
possessive, 235,236
pronouns
demonstrative, 222, 249
exclusive, 208
indefinite, 211
personal, 202, 236
possessive, 293, See possession
pronoun compounds, 111
reflexive, 206
purpose clauses, 378
questions
alternative, 404
indirect, 359
information, 406-11
polar, 403
question words, 198, 234, 250
tag, 405
reciprocal
circumfix, 74, 210
reduplication, 58, 195
functions, 145
relative clauses
as modifiers in NP, 246
as nominal predicate, 351,353
extraposed, 349
headless, 351
heads, 341
non-restrictive, 353
predicates, 341
relativizer omitted, 347
replacive clauses, 381
reprimand rhetorical questions, 412
result relation, 389
running speech, 42,44
serial verb constructions, 307-29
sortal classifiers, 188-91
spatial compound -kef, 213
spatial deictics
directional clitics, 217
elevationals, 215
enclitics, 212
relativized, 220
visibility prefixes, 217
spatial nouns, 215
specifiers, 247
contrastive, 192
indefinite, 191
suggestions, 415
temporal clauses
overlap, 375
simultaneous, 374
termination, 373
temporal deixis, 226
temporal succession, 387
textual deictics, 221, 249
thematic marker
on frames, 285
on headless RC, 352
on instrument, 319
on subjects, 289
verbal adjuncts, 174
verbal prepositions, 277, 327, 372
verbalizer prefix, 78, 127
verbs
adjectival, 134-42
ambitransitive, 130
classificatory, 122, 300, See existential predicates
detransitivized, 133
indefinite quantifier, 244
intransitive, 132
negative, 432
posture, 130
transitive, 128
verb compounds, 148
visibility prefixes, 218
vowels, 28-34

## Summary

## A grammar of Moskona: An East Bird's Head language of Papua, Indonesia

This book presents a linguistic study of the Moskona language, a Papuan language spoken in the province of West Papua, Indonesia, located in the interior of the eastern Bird's Head on the island of New Guinea.

Chapter one presents a general overview of the people, language and the area, describing the geography, demography, cultural and sociopolitical situations, as well as world view and traditional beliefs of the Moskona. The Moskona language is a member of the East Bird's Head Family. There are two dialects. The linguistic features distinguishing the dialects are primarily phonological and phonotactic.

Chapter two describes the major features of the phonology system, including consonant and vowel phonemes with their phonetic variations, syllable structures, pitch accent system, reduplication, and morphophonemic processes. A prominent feature of the phonology is the asymmetry in the stop phonemes, due to the distinctive absence of a voiceless bilabial plosive. The morphophonemic processes involve assimilation, coalescence and elision.

Chapter three provides a discussion of the major word classes, nouns and verbs. The noun subclasses include common nouns, proper nouns, alienable nouns, inalienable nouns and nouns which refer to humans. There is an overlapping of noun subclasses due to shared properties. A discussion of noun compounds, which are very productive, is also provided. The verb subclasses are transitive, ambitransitive and intransitive, with adjectives and indefinite quantifiers being members of the subclass of intransitive verbs. Seven categories of affixation are marked on the verb root, five of which are inflectional and two are derivational.

Chapter four presents the minor word classes adverbs (and adverbials), numerals and numeral classifiers, sortal noun classifiers, prepositions, question words and conjunctions. The classifier-numeral constructions may function as specifiers to indicate indefinite reference for new information.

Chapter five discusses deixis with a presentation of personal deixis (including personal pronouns, possessive pronouns, reflexive pronouns, exclusive pronouns and pronominal prefixes), spatial deixis (distinguishing four parameters, distal, elevational, directional and visible), and textual deixis (distinguishing three degrees of distance, with markers for focus and known information). Mention is made of the extension of the spatial dimension to temporal deixis.

Chapter six describes the noun phrase, covering noun phrase structure and the elements which may serve as head or as modifiers in a noun phrase. Coordinate and appositional noun phrase structures are also discussed.

Chapter seven provides a listing of the numerous prepositions found in Moskona and the semantic roles indicated by them. Prepositions may be classified as spatial, indicating direction or location, or non-spatial. Non-spatial prepositions may have multiple senses, which may be translated differently depending on the context.

Chapter eight presents the two clause types, verbal and nonverbal clauses. The clause has a frame or topic position which occurs preceding the clause core. Simple verbal clauses have an SVO order. Predicative possession is included as possessive pronouns may function as possessor verbs. Classificatory verbs which are used for expression of existence are also discussed. Non-verbal clauses may have nominals, demonstratives or adverbs as predicates.

Chapter nine deals with complex predicates which include serial verb constructions and emotional-state constructions (body-part noun plus verb constructions). The serial verb construction types found in Moskona are simultaneous, motion, cause-effect, aspectual, instrument and ambient. Verbal prepositions are found in constructions similar to SVCs but these constructions do not share all of the features which characterize SVCs.

Chapter ten presents relative clauses and complement clauses. Relative clauses may have a relativized subject, object, peripheral argument, genitive or instrument. Complement clauses may be finite or paratactic. A discussion of their syntactic properties is provided.

Chapter eleven discusses clause combining. These complex constructions involve more than one clause, and are typically linked by a clause-linker. There are two types of subordinate clauses, temporal and location clauses, which have a structure parallel to relative clauses. Other subordinate clauses are linked by prepositions and verbal prepositions. Coordinate clauses are linked by conjunctions. Complex linking phrases may introduce sentences to provide continuity within a paragraph.

Chapter twelve presents the speech acts. These are the interrogatives (polar and information questions) and the imperatives (simple imperative and mitigated imperatives). Also discussed are the reprimand rhetorical questions and the indirect questions. Two attitude markers (protesting and emphatic) indicate the speaker's point of view. A discussion of negation, its clausal position and its scope is provided.

## Samenvatting

Een grammatica van Moskona: een taal uit de oostelijke Vogelkop van Papoea, Indonesië Dit boek presenteert een taalkundige studie van de Moskonataal, een Papoeataal die gesproken wordt in de provincie West Papoea, Indonesië, in het binnenland van de oostelijke Vogelkop op het eiland Nieuw Guinea.

Hoofdstuk één geeft een algemeen overzicht van de mensen, de taal en het gebied. Het beschrijft de geografie, de demografie, de culturele en sociopolitieke situatie en ook het wereldbeeld en de traditionele geloofsopvattingen van de Moskona. De Moskonataal is een lid van de oostelijke Vogelkopfamilie. Er zijn twee dialecten. De taalkundige kenmerken die deze dialecten van elkaar onderscheiden zijn voornamelijk fonologisch en fonotactisch van aard.

Hoofdstuk twee beschrijft de belangrijkste kenmerken van het fonologisch systeem, waaronder medeklinkers en klinkers met hun fonetische variaties, de structuur van lettergrepen, het systeem van pitch accent, reduplicatie en morfofonemische processen. Een opvallend kenmerk van de fonologie is de asymmetrie in de fonemen voor stops (plofklanken), die wordt veroorzaakt door de opvallende afwezigheid van een stemloze bilabiale plofklank. De morfofonemische processen omvatten assimilatie, samensmelting (coalescence) en elisie.

Hoofdstuk drie levert een discussie over de belangrijkste woordklassen - zelfstandige naamwoorden en werkwoorden. Subklassen van het zelfstandig naamwoord zijn o.a. proper nouns, common nouns, vervreemdbare en onvervreemdbare naamwoorden, en naamwoorden die naar mensen verwijzen.

Vanwege gemeenschappelijke kenmerken is er overlap tussen deze subklassen. Ook wordt een discussie geleverd van samenstellingen - een zeer productief proces.
Subklassen binnen het werkwoord zijn transitief (overgankelijk), ambitransitief (dubbelovergankelijk) en intransitief (onovergankelijk). Bijvoeglijke naamwoorden en indefiniete quantifiers maken deel uit van de subklasse van intransitieve werkwoorden. Zeven soorten affixen verschijnen op de werkwoordsstam; vijf daarvan zijn inflectioneel (vervoegingen en verbuigingen) en twee zijn derivationeel (afleidingen).

Hoofdstuk vier presenteert de kleinere woordklassen van de adverbia (bijwoorden) en adverbialen, telwoorden en numeral classifiers, sortal noun classifiers, voorzetsels, vraagwoorden en voegwoorden. Constructies met een classifier en een telwoord kunnen functioneren als specifiers om indefiniete verwijzingen naar nieuwe informatie te markeren.

Hoofdstuk vijf bespreekt deixis met een presentatie van persoonsdeixis (o.a. persoonlijke voornaamwoorden, bezittelijke voornaamwoorden, wederkerige voornaamwoorden, exclusieve voornaamwoorden en pronominale voorvoegsels), ruimtelijke deixis (met daarin vier parameters: afstand, hoogte, richting en zichtbaarheid) en textdeixis (met
daarin drie graden van afstand, alsmede markeringen voor focus en bekende informatie). Er wordt ook melding gemaakt van de uitbreiding van de ruimtelijke dimensie tot temporele deixis.

Hoofdstuk zes beschrijft de zelfstandige naamwoordgroep (noun phrase). Behandeld worden de structuur van de naamwoordgroep en de elementen die daarin als hoofd of als bepaling kunnen fungeren. Tevens worden gecoördineerde en appositionele structuren van naamwoordgroepen besproken.

Hoofdstuk zeven geeft een lijst van de talloze voorzetsels die in het Moskona voorkomen en de semantische rollen die zij weergeven. Voorzetsels kunnen worden geclassificeerd als ruimtelijk - richting of plaats aanduidend - of als niet-ruimtelijk. Niet-ruimtelijke voorzetsels kunnen meerdere betekenissen hebben, die op verschillende manieren vertaald kunnen worden, afhankelijk van de context.

Hoofdstuk acht presenteert de twee zinstypes: verbaal (werkwoordelijk) en niet-verbaal. Een zin heeft een frame of topicpositie die aan de kern van de zin voorafgaat. Eenvoudige werkwoordelijke zinnen hebben de woordvolgorde SVO. Bezitspredicaten vallen hier ook onder, aangezien bezittelijke voornaamwoorden als bezitswerkwoorden kunnen functioneren. Classificerende werkwoorden die worden gebruikt voor het uitdrukken van bestaan worden ook besproken. In niet-verbale zinnen bestaat het predikaat uit een naamwoord, een aanwijzende voornaamwoord of een bijwoord.

Hoofdstuk negen houdt zich bezig met complexe predikaten zoals serial verb constructies en emotional-state constructies (constructies van een zelfstandig naamwoord dat verwijst naar een lichaamsdeel plus een werkwoord). In Moskona worden de volgende serial verb constructies gevonden: gelijktijdig, beweging, oorzaak-gevolg, aspectueel, instrument en ambient. Werkwoordelijke voorzetsels worden aangetroffen in constructies die op serial verb constructies lijken, hoewel deze constructies niet alle kenmerken vertonen die typerend zijn voor serial verb constructies.

Hoofdstuk tien presenteert relatieve bijzinnen en complement zinnen. Relatieve bijzinnen kunnen een gerelativiseerd onderwerp, lijdend voorwerp, perifeer argument, genitief of instrument hebben. Complement zinnen kunnen finiet of paratactisch zijn. De syntactische eigenschappen van deze zinnen worden ook behandeld.

Hoofdstuk elf bespreekt het samenvoegen van zinnen. Deze complexe constructies omvatten meer dan één zin, en worden meestal verbonden door een clause-linker. Er zijn twee soorten ondergeschikte zinnen: temporele zinnen en locatieve zinnen, die een structuur als die van relatieve bijzinnen hebben. Andere ondergeschikte zinnen worden verbonden via voorzetsels en werkwoordelijke voorzetsels. Nevengeschikte zinnen worden aan elkaar verbonden door middel van voegwoorden. Zinnen kunnen worden geïntroduceerd door complexe verbindende zinsdelen (phrases) om zo continuiteit binnen een alinea te geven.

Hoofdstuk twaalf presenteert de speech acts. Dit zijn de vraagzinnen (zowel ja-nee vragen als informatievragen) en de gebiedende zinnen (zowel eenvoudig als verzacht). Hier worden ook rhetorische-vragen-als-uitbrander en indirecte vragen besproken. Twee houding-markeerders (protest en nadruk) geven het standpunt van de spreker weer. Een discussie van ontkenning wordt ook gegeven, met de positie daarvan in de zin en het bereik ervan.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is a third dialect area which borders the Maybrat area. One text was collected from this area, but speakers from the Meyerga dialect area were able to understand only about $70 \%$ of the words in the text. Some lexical items as well as a few grammatical morphemes from this text appear to be Maybrat forms.
    ${ }^{2}$ There is another village, Morombuy, which triangulates with these two, but which appears to be a mixture of the two. It will not be included, as data from this area is very sketchy.
    ${ }^{3}$ This difference in the use of the bilabial phoneme /b/ and the bilabial approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ causes no difficulty in comprehension between the dialects, possibly because the voiced bilabial fricative $[\beta]$ and voiced bilabial stop [b] occur as allophones of both phonemes in each dialect.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ The Meyerga dialect does not have a bilabial plosive, but does have $[b]$ as an allophone of $/ \mathrm{w} /($ See $\S 1.2 .3 .1)$.

[^2]:    5
    There are four single syllable roots which have been observed to have a consonant cluster with the syllable type CCVC. Two of them are the adapted loan words, srad 'document' or 'writings' from the Malay word surat 'letter' and skor 'school' from Malay sekolah 'school'. The other two words, skod 'to (someone's location)' and smen 'perhaps', appear to be forms resulting from collapsed phrases. CCVC is not included in the canonical syllable types.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ For example, the morpheme mes-, whose origin is untraceable, appears to be a base form used in the names of many types of plant life, such as meska 'breadfruit', meskereg 'wild pandanus', meskid 'elephant grass', mesnom 'corn', mesra 'walnut tree', and mesren 'short coarse grass'. The plant names also appear to have transferred over to items which were made from that plant, as may be observed in words such as mesiew 'comb', mesrahu 'platform', mesiga 'ladder' and mesigebra 'bow'.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ The figures are photographs of the output of the software Speech Analyzer 2.7 developed by JAARS ITS, Waxhaw, NC and copyrighted by SIL International.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In the word ohas-mes 'hop fast', it appears the reduplicant for this form has regularized with the -mes suffixes found on many other roots ending in /es/. The other reduplicated form ohas-has 'hop repeatedly' conforms to the pattern of other words of similar root structure.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ The word oskayt 'small', a collapsed phrase composed of oskay 'small' and tok 'spot' or 'exact location', has many variant forms with multiple reduplicants.: oskok $\sim$ osk-osk $\sim o k-o k \sim o s k-o k-o k$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ The Indonesian orthography uses ' e ' for [ $\varepsilon$ ] (as in ekor 'tail'), [ e$]$ (as in besok 'tomorrow'), and [ $\mathrm{\rho}$ ] (as in teman 'friend').

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ The connotation of 'outsider' for the noun mos 'people group' has been extended to objects which are foreign or introduced, in which case the term mos functions attributively, occurring post-nominally. The adjectival verb osmos 'strange' or 'foreign' is a reduced form of osum mos 'face outsider', the phrase having weakened phonologically in the elision of the $/ \mathrm{u} /$ and $/ \mathrm{m} /$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ Nouns referring to plant life are holistic, indicating the plant itself, its produce, as well as subsequent use of its parts as a raw material.

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ A similar form meaning 'medicine' was noted in Hatam by Reesink (1998:613) as a loan from Biak.
    ${ }^{14}$ Reesink (1998:611) notes a wide distribution throughout the Bird's Head of similar forms meaning 'loincloth'.

[^11]:    ${ }^{15}$ The sequence non-high vowel plus labial is possibly a reflex of an old 3SG possessive prefix ef- (cf. Reesink 2002a:9). The reduction process observed by some noun compounds (§3.1.3.3), in which a vowel plus labial sequence is elided, may give support to the proposal that the sequence was once a prefix.

[^12]:    ${ }^{16}$ The generic noun osnok is likely a fused form of (ow)os nok(a) 'skin this'.

[^13]:    ${ }^{17}$ In the East Bird's Head languages Meyah (Gravelle 2004:124) and Sougb (Reesink 2002b:217), but also in Hatam (Reesink 1999:48) and Maybrat (Dol 1999:92) kinship terms are inalienable nouns.

[^14]:    ${ }^{18}$ The plural marker -ir has an infrequent variant $-r i$, observed in these few words:
    moси-ir ~moси-ri 'mothers'
    mekew-ir ~mekew-ri 'fathers'
    $\operatorname{amok}(a)-i r \sim \operatorname{amok}(a)-r i \quad$ 'friends'

[^15]:    ${ }^{19}$ The form kokar is an adaptation of the Malay word kokok 'crow'.

[^16]:    ${ }^{20}$ Meyah has a morphologically identical prefix for cross-referencing instrument and a corresponding manipulative verb era 'use' (Gravelle 2004:107).

[^17]:    ${ }^{21}$ The word ember is a term borrowed from Malay.
    ${ }^{22}$ The word enpelop 'envelope' is an adapted loan from Malay'

[^18]:    ${ }^{23}$ efs $a \sim$ ebca $\sim$ ewsa 'white'.

[^19]:    ${ }^{24}$ Isnok 'people' generally refers to one's relatives or clan members. Isnok susuy are people outside the clan.

[^20]:    ${ }^{25}$ The indefinite quantifier verb oskaytok is quite likely a word composed of osk(a) 'small', the diminutive - $i$, plus the adverbial tok '(individual) location' or 'spot'.

[^21]:    ${ }^{26}$ Although similar in structure to continuous aspect serialization, the first component of the verb-verb compound ot-ohobta 'observe', may not be replaced by another of the posture verbs.

[^22]:    ${ }^{27}$ The word lapangan is a Malay word.

[^23]:    ${ }^{28}$ The adverb soko-mow is a fused form composed of the verb osok 'climb up' or 'ascend', extended to mean 'begin' and the alienable noun mow 'land' with the extended meaning 'place'. Alternate forms of this adverb are soko-roga 'first time' and serges-roga 'once first'.

[^24]:    ${ }^{29}$ The verb en in the adjunct construction en jug 'forbid' may reflect a borrowing of the Sougb verb en 'say' (Reesink 2002b:203).

[^25]:    ${ }^{30}$ Remnants of the earlier morpheme eti 'hand' are still found in body-part nouns ecirfa 'fingernail', etit 'palm', eti ewek 'elbow' and et(i)ma 'arm'.
    ${ }^{31}$ An alternative addition link is the verb sequence eker osuj 'sit upon', as in ciyja erges eker osuj (five one sit upon) 'six', possibly indicating the placement of a single finger on top of the thumb to "add" one.

[^26]:    ${ }^{32}$ I postulate that there were, at one time, twenty categories, which I have extrapolated from the properties found in the existing categories. Previously, numeral classifiers were divided into the major categories of animate and inanimate. The inanimate category was subdivided into objects and landforms. The animate class was composed of six classes with the properties: human, shelled (insects or crustaceans), scaled, mammalian, winged (flying), and thick-skinned (reptilian). The inanimate objects category was composed of nine classes with properties: treelike (including tree houses), long flexible, bowl-like, thick flat, thin flat, large hard round, small round, grouped, and multi-furcating. The landform category was composed of five classes with properties: shallow hole/depression, hills/ridges, extended surface, branching waterway (tributaries), and large river. The present-day Class 1 is the combined classes of landform: large river, animate: human, and animate: shelled. Class 2 is composed of the single property landform: extended surfaces. Class 3 contains the single property inanimate object: very small round. Class 4 is a combination of the classes inanimate object: hard round and landform: hills or ridges. Class 6 contains earlier classes animate: winged and landform: hills/ridges. Class 7 contains classes animate: mammalian and animate object: trees. Class 8 contains classes animate: scaled and inanimate objects: long flexible. Class 9 contains landform: tributaries and inanimate object: multi-furcated Classes 5, 10, and 11 have not combined with other classes.

[^27]:    ${ }^{33}$ Although one might expect the animacy morpheme to be found in class 1 numerals because humanness is one of the properties of that class, it is not; possibly because numerals 'one' through 'three' are marked for agreement with a human referent by a pronominal prefix, thereby making its presence redundant.

[^28]:    ${ }^{34}$ The word pajak is a Malay word.
    ${ }^{35}$ The word desa 'village' is borrowed from Malay and denotes a village or a village representative assigned by the local government.

[^29]:    ${ }^{36}$ Alternate forms of the third person dual pronoun ergog are yofug and ofug.

[^30]:    ${ }^{37}$ An alternate form for third person dual is ofyen.
    ${ }^{38}$ An alternate form for first person plural possessive pronoun is: mifin.
    ${ }^{39}$ Alternate forms for third person plural possessive pronoun are: yuyun $\sim$ uyun $\sim$ uwun.

[^31]:    ${ }^{40}$ The first person singular reflexive pronoun 'myself' has the alternate forms: duah $\sim$ difeke $\sim$ edefa. The first form duah may have survived from an earlier paradigm, before the present form of the first person singular pronoun. The form difeke, may mean something like 'me too', since eke 'ENUM' is the morpheme used in making lists. And edefa, a fossilized form, from a time when the pronominal prefixes had a VC phonological shape, and attached to -ef 'near' formed the first person singular pronoun. The prefix ed- ' 1 SG ' occurs on some kinship terms. None of the other reflexive pronouns have alternate forms.

[^32]:    41 Alternate forms are eysok $\sim$ esisaok, corresponding to common phonological variations as the alternation of $[\varepsilon]$ and $[\varepsilon j]$ and possible inclusion of the paragoge $-a$.

[^33]:    ${ }^{42}$ Although brief consideration was given to parsing - $m e j$ as $-m a$ and $-e j$, there is no evidence that there are truly two morphemes present. The final two segments of the morpheme do not occur elsewhere in the spatial deixis system, nor is there evidence that $-m e j$ is a nominalized form, prefixed with $m$ - ' NR '. The same reasoning applies to the third degree textual morpheme -mis 'former'. Therefore, the third degree of spatial distinction, as well as, the third degree of textual distinction will be treated as single morphemes.

[^34]:    43 The morpheme no- 'DNR' and the relativizer suffix - ga 'REL' combine to make the relativizer noga 'REL'. The relativizer suffix - ga does not occur independently of the demonstrative nominalizer no- 'DNR', therefore the entire word noga 'REL' will be glossed as though it were a single morpheme.

[^35]:    ${ }^{44}$ The textual clitic -mis 'former', like the spatial clitic -mej 'remote', is a single morpheme. The form -is never occurs in any other context. The textual clitic -mis 'former' is possibly a reduced phrase composed of the spatial clitic -ma 'far' and the adverb sis 'past'.

[^36]:    ${ }^{45}$ The word desa 'village' is a borrowed term from Malay.

[^37]:    ${ }^{46}$ The noun kertas 'paper' is a Malay word.

[^38]:    ${ }^{47}$ The words pelabuhan 'port' and tuan 'mister' are borrowed from Indonesian.

[^39]:    ${ }^{48}$ The noun phrase mesin ketik is borrowed from the Malay noun phrase mesin ketik 'typewriter'.
    ${ }^{49}$ The word komputer is borrowed from Malay which borrowed the term from English.

[^40]:    ${ }^{50}$ The word kertas is borrowed from Malay.

[^41]:    ${ }^{51}$ The preposition rejera 'with' is an infrequently used alternative form.

[^42]:    43 The word kawar 'vehicle' is an adaptation of the Malay word kapal 'ship'.

[^43]:    ${ }^{53}$ Moskona is a language which exhibits many of the defining characteristics of a topic prominent language as described by Li and Thompson (1976). These characteristics are seen in its syntactic coding for topic (§8.1), its lack of passive constructions (§8.4.1.1.3), lack of dummy subjects (e.g. semantically empty subjects like 'there' and 'it') (§8.4.1.2.1), the occurrence of "double" subjects (i.e. its topic and subject are not always co-referent) (§8.1.3), the ability of the predicate to function as a minimal clause (§8.4.1), the control which the topic exerts over co-referential constituent deletion (§8.1.3), and the lack of constraint on what may occur as topic (§8.1.3). However, it also exhibits the feature surface coding for subject (§8.3.1.1), which is found primarily in subject prominent languages.

[^44]:    ${ }^{54}$ The speaker's intent to highlight a thematic participant, signaled by the thematic marker, is handled with loudness, timing and pitch in spoken English.

[^45]:    ${ }^{55}$ Some evidence for this previous order exists in the form of a vestigial pronominal prefix in two variations of a syntactically frozen imprecative: Meg bi-ed bua! (large.creature 2SG-strike you.SG) 'May a large creature get you!' and Meeki bi-ed bua! (cassawary 2SG-strike you.SG) 'May a cassowary get you!'. The constituent structure appears to be S s-o-V O. The third person singular subject is cross-referenced on the verb with ø- a null morpheme. Second person singular object is indexed with the verbal prefix bi-. The free pronoun bua is also given as object, a possible concession to current argument referencing. In Moskona, with the exception of the reciprocal circumfix, there are no extant pronominal affixes indexing object.

[^46]:    ${ }^{56}$ The relativizer noga is composed of the deictic nominalizer no- plus the relativizer root $-g a$.

[^47]:    ${ }^{57}$ The word pantai 'beach' is borrowed from Malay.

[^48]:    ${ }^{58}$ The word raja 'king' is borrowed from Malay

[^49]:    ${ }^{59}$ The word buku 'book' is a loan from Malay.

[^50]:    ${ }^{60}$ The form ofog is alternative to roga 'first'.
    ${ }^{61}$ The verb osruk is an alternative form for edegejga 'match up'.

[^51]:    ${ }^{62}$ Tuhan 'Lord' is borrowed from Malay.

[^52]:    ${ }^{63}$ The word obat 'potion', 'drug' or 'chemical compound' is borrowed from Malay.

