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## Table of Contents (the real thing)

## Intro

Your brain on JavaScript. You're sitting around trying to learn something, but your brain keeps telling you all that learning isn't important. Your brain's saying, "Better leave room for more important things, like which wild animals to avoid and whether naked water skiing is a bad idea." So how do you trick your brain into thinking that your life really depends on learning JavaScript?
Who is this book for? ..... xxiv
We know what you're thinking ..... xxv
Metacognition ..... xxvii
Bend your brain into submission ..... xxix
Read me ..... xxx
The technical review team ..... xxxii
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## the interactive web

## Reacting to the Virtual World

## Tired of thinking of the Web in terms of passive pages?

Been there, done that. They're called books. And they're good for reading, learning... lots of good things. But they're not interactive. And neither is the Web without a little help from JavaScript. Sure, you can submit a form and maybe do a trick here and there with some crafty HTML and CSS coding, but you're really just playing Weekend at Bernie's propping up a lifeless web page. Real live interactivity requires a bit more smarts and a little more work... but it has a much bigger payoff.

|  | (Online) people have needs | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Like talking to a brick wall... nothing happens | 3 |
|  | But JavaScript talks back | 4 |
|  | Lights, camera, interaction! | 6 |
|  | Use the <script> tag to tell the browser you're writing JavaScript | 11 |
|  | Your web browser can handle HTML, CSS, AND JavaScript | 12 |
|  | Man's virtual best friend... needs YOUR help | 15 |
|  | Making iRock interactive | 16 |
|  | Create the iRock web page | 17 |
|  | Test drive | 17 |
|  | JavaScript events: giving the iRock a voice | 18 |
|  | Alerting the user with a function | 19 |
|  | Add the iRock greeting | 20 |
|  | Now let's make the iRock really interactive | 22 |
|  | Interaction is TWO-way communication | 23 |
|  | Add a function to get the user's name | 24 |
| $\theta \Theta \Theta$ inock - The Vroul pet Rock | Instant replay: what just happened? | 27 |
|  | Test drive iRock 1.0 | 28 |

## storing data

## 2

## Everything Has Its Place

In the real world, people often overlook the importance of having a place to store all their stuff. Not so in JavaScript. You simply don't have the luxury of walk-in closets and three-car garages. In JavaScript, everything has its place, and it's your job to make sure of it. The issue is data-how to represent it, how to store it, and how to find it once you've put it somewhere. As a JavaScript storage specialist, you'll be able to take a cluttered room of JavaScript data and impose your will on it with a flurry of virtual labels and storage bins.

Your scripts can store data ..... 34
Scripts think in data types ..... 35
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You can add more than numbers ..... 64
parseInt() and parseFloat() convert text to a number ..... 65
Why are extra donuts being ordered? ..... 66
Duncan discovers donut espionage ..... 70
Use getElementById() to grab form data ..... 71
Validate the web form's data ..... 72
Strive for intuitive user input ..... 77

## exploring the client

## Browser Spelunking

3
Sometimes JavaScript needs to know what's going on in the world around it. Your scripts may begin as code in web pages but they ultimately live in a world created by the browser, or client. Smart scripts often need to know more about the world they live in, in which case they can communicate with the browser to find out more about it. Whether it's finding out the screen size or accessing the browser's snooze button, scripts have an awful lot to gain by cultivating their browser relationship.
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## decision making
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## If There's a Fork in the Road, Take It

Life is all about making decisions. Stop or go, shake or bake, plea bargain or go to trial... without the ability to make decisions, nothing would ever get done. It works the same in JavaScript-decisions allow scripts to decide between different possible outcomes. Decision-making drives the "story" of your scripts, and even the most mundane scripts involve a story of some sort. Do you trust what the user entered and book her a trip on a Sasquatch expedition or do you double-check that maybe she really just wanted to ride a bus to Saskatchewan? The choice is yours to make!
Lucky contestant, come on down! ..... 136
"if" this is true... then do something ..... 138
An if statement evaluates a condition... and then takes action ..... 139
Use if to choose between two things ..... 141
You can make multiple decisions with if ..... 142
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## looping

## At the Risk of Repeating Myself

Some say repetition is the spice of life. Sure, doing something new and interesting is certainly exciting, but it's the little repetitive things that really make it possible to get through the day. Compulsive hand sanitizing, a nervous tick, clicking Reply To All to every freaking message you receive! Okay, maybe repetition isn't always such a great thing in the real world. However, it can be extremely handy in the world of JavaScript. You'd be surprised how often you need a script to run a piece of code several times.
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## functions
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## Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

## If there was an environmental movement within JavaScript,

 it would be led by functions. Functions allow you to make JavaScript code more efficient, and yes, more reusable. Functions are also task-oriented, good at code organization, and excellent problem solvers. Sounds like the makings of a good resume! In reality, all but the simplest of scripts stand to benefit from a functional reorganization. While it's hard to put a number on the carbon footprint of the average function, let's just say they do their part in making scripts as eco-friendly as possible.The mother of all problems ..... 244
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## forms and validation

## \section*{Getting the User to Tell All} <br> You don't have to be suave or sneaky to successfully get information from users with JavaScript. But you do have to be careful. Humans have this strange tendency to make mistakes, which means you can't always count on the data provided in online forms being accurate. Enter JavaScript. By passing form data through the right JavaScript code as it is being entered, you can make web applications much more reliable, and also take some load off of the server. We need to save that precious bandwidth for important things like stunt videos and cute pet pictures.



## wrangling the page
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## Slicing and Dicing HTML with the DOM

Taking control of web page content with JavaScript is a lot like baking. Well, without the mess... and unfortunately, also without the edible reward afterward. However; you get full access to the HTML ingredients that go into a web page, and more importantly, you have the ability to alter the recipe of the page. So JavaScript makes it possible to manipulate the HTML code within a web page to your heart's desire, which opens up all kinds of interesting opportunities all made possible by a collection of standard objects called the DOM (Document Object Model).
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Tracing the adventure story ..... 387

## bringing data to life

## Objects as Frankendata

JavaScript objects aren't nearly as gruesome as the good doctor might have you think. But they are interesting in that they combine pieces and parts of the JavaScript language together so that they're more powerful together. Objects combine data with actions to create a new data type that is much more "alive" than data you've seen thus far. You end up with arrays that can sort themselves, strings that can search themselves, and scripts that can grow fur and howl at the moon! OK, maybe not that last one but you get the idea...

## Data

```
var who; function display(what, when, where)
+
var when; function deliver(who) {
var where;
,
```
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The Math object is an organizational object ..... 434
Generate random numbers with Math.random ..... 436
Turn a function into a method ..... 441
Unveiling the shiny new blog object ..... 442
What do objects really offer YouCube? ..... 443

## creating custom objects

## Having It Your Way with Custom Objects

If it was only that easy, we'd surely have it made. JavaScript doesn't have a money-back guarantee, but you can definitely have it your way. Custom objects are the JavaScript equivalent of a decaf triple shot grande extra hot no whip extra drizzle no foam marble mocha macchiato. That is one custom cup of coffee! And with custom JavaScript objects, you can brew up some code that does exactly what you want, while taking advantage of the benefits of properties and methods. The end result is reusable code that effectively extends the JavaScript language...just for you!


## kill bugs dead
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## Good Scripts Gone Wrong

Even the best laid JavaScript plans sometimes fail. When this happens, and it will, your job is not to panic. The best JavaScript developers are not the ones who never create bugs - those people are called liars. No, the best JavaScript developers are those who are able to successfully hunt down and eradicate the bugs they create. More importantly, top notch JavaScript bug exterminators develop good coding habits that minimize the sneakiest and nastiest of bugs. A little prevention can
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## dynamic data

## 12

## Touchy-Feely Web Applications

The modern Web is a very responsive place where pages are expected to react to the user's every whim. Or at least that's the dream of many web users and developers. JavaScript plays a vital role in this dream through a programming technique known as Ajax that provides a mechanism for dramatically changing the "feel" of web pages. With Ajax, web pages act much more like full-blown applications since they are able to quickly load and save data dynamically while responding to the user in real time without any page refreshes or browser trickery.
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## Advance Praise for Head First JavaScript

"So practical and useful, and so well explained. This book does a great job of introducing a complete newbie to JavaScript, and it's another testament to Head First's teaching style. Out of the other JavaScript books, Head First JazaScript is great for learning, compared to other reference books the size of a phone book."

- Alex Lee, Student, University of Houston
"An excellent choice for the beginning JavaScript developer."
- Fletcher Moore, Web Developer \& Designer, Georgia Institute of Technology
"Yet another great book in the classic 'Head First' style."
- TW Scannell
"JavaScript has long been the client-side engine that drives pages on the Web, but it has also long been misunderstood and misused. With Head First JavaScrift, Michael Morrison gives a straightforward and casy-to-understand introduction of this language, removing any misunderstanding that ever existed and showing how to most effectively use it to enhance your web pages."


## - Anthony T. Holdener III, Web applications developer, and the author of Ajax: The Definitive Guide.

"A web page has three parts - content (HTML), appearance (CSS), and behaviour (JavaScript). Head First $H T M L$ introduced the first two, and this book uses the same fun but practical approach to introduce JavaScript. The fun way in which this book introduces JavaScript and the many ways in which it reinforces the information so that you will not forget it makes this a perfect book for beginners to use to start them on the road to making their web pages interactive."
-Stephen Chapman, Owner Felgall Pty Ltd., JavaScript editor, about.com
"This is the book I ve been looking for to recommend to my readers. It is simple enough for complete beginners but includes enough depth to be useful to more advanced users. And it makes the process of learning fun. This might just be the only JavaScript book you ever need."

- Julie L Baumler, JavaScript Editor, BellaOnline.com


# If you haven't read this book yet, and youre new to JavaSeript, we recommed that you do. 

"Eric and Elisabeth Freeman clearly know their stuff. As the Internet becomes more complex, inspired construction of web pages becomes increasingly critical. Elegant design is at the core of every chapter here, each concept conveyed with equal doses of pragmatism and wit."

- Ken Goldstein, Executive Vice President \& Managing Director, Disney Online
"The Web would be a much better place if every HTML author started off by reading this book."

\author{

- L. David Baron, Technical Lead, Layout \& CSS, Mozilla Corporation http://dbaron.org/
}
"Ive been writing HTML and CSS for ten years now, and what used to be a long trial and error learning process has now been reduced neatly into an engaging paperback. HTML used to be something you could just hack away at until things looked okay on screen, but with the advent of web standards and the movement towards accessibility, sloppy coding practice is not acceptable anymore... from a business standpoint or a social responsibility standpoint. Head Fist HTML with CSS E XHTMIL teaches you how to do things right from the beginning without making the whole process seem overwhelming. HTML, when properly explained, is no more complicated than plain English, and the Freemans do an excellent job of keeping every concept at eye-level."
- Mike Davidson, President \& CEO, Newsvine, Inc.
"Oh, great. You made an XHTMI book simple enough a CEO can understand it. What will you do next? Accounting simple enough my developer can understand it? Next thing you know we'll be collaborating as a team or something."
-Janice Fraser, CEO, Adaptive Path
"This book has humor, and charm, but most importantly, it has heart. I know that sounds ridiculous to eay about a technical book, but I really sense that at its core, this book (or at least its authors) really care that the reader learn the material. This comes across in the style, the language, and the techniques. Learning - real understanding and comprehension - on the part of the reader is cleariy top most in the minds of the Freemans. And thank you, thank you, thank you, for the book's strong, and sensible advocacy of standards compliance. It's great to see an entry level book, that I think will be widely read and studied, campaign so eloquently and persuasively on behalf of the value of standards compliance in web page code. I even found in here a few great arguments I had not thought of - ones I can remember and use when I am asked - as I still am - 'what's the deal with compliance and why should we care?' Ill have more ammo now! I also liked that the book sprinkles in some basics about the mechanics of actually getting a web page live - FTP, web server basics, file structures, etc."

[^0]".Freeman's Head Furst HTML with CSS © XHTML is a most entertaining book for learning how to build a great web page. It not only covers everything you need to know about HTML, CSS, and XHTML, it also excels in explaining everything in layman's terms with a lot of great examples. I found the book truly enjoyable to read, and I learned something new!"

> - Newton Lee, Editor-in-Chief, ACM Computers in Entertainment, http://zuwze.acmeie.org
"My wife stole the book. She's never done any web design, so she needed a book like Head Furt HTMII with CSS \& XHTMIL to take her from beginning to end. She now has a list of web sites she wants to build - for our son's class, our family, ... If I'm lucky, I'll get the book back when she's done."

- David Kaminsky, Master Inventor, IBM
"Beware. If you're someone who reads at night before falling asleep, you'll have to restrict Hoad First HTML with CSS © XHTML to daytime reading. This book wakes up your brain."
- Pauline McNamara, Center for New Technologies and Education, Fribourg University, Switzerland
"The information covered in this book is the same material the pros know, but taught in an educational and humorous manner that doesn't ever make you think the material is impossible to learn or you are out of your element."

> -Christopher Schmitt, Author of The CSS Cookbook and Professional CSS, schmitta christopherorg
"Head First HTMIL with CSS \& XHTMLL is a thoroughly modern introduction to forward-looking practices in Web page markup and presentation. It correctly anticipates readers' puzzlements and handles them just in time. The highly graphic and incremental approach precisely mimics the best way to learn this stuff: make a small change and see it in the browser to understand what each new item means."
-Danny Goodman, author of Dynamic HTML: The Definitive Guide
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To the folks at Netscape who, way back in the last century, dreamed that the Web could be much more than a big online book with a bunch of linked pages that don't do anything.

Of course, they also dreamed up that horrific <blink> tag...dare to dream. just don't get too carried away!
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how to use this book
Intro


In this section we answer the burning question:
"So why DID they put that in a Java Script book?"

## Who is this book for?

If you can answer "yes" to all of these:
(1) Do you have access to a computer with a web browser, a text editor, and an Internet connection?

We'll help you learn how to
(2) Do you want to learn, understand, and remember how to create web pages that are alive with energy, turning the Web into a truly interactive experience?

## write JavaScript code that makes web pages do all kinds of cool things that are impossible with HTML alone.

(3) Do you prefer stimulating dinner party conversation to dry, dull, academic lectures?
this book is for you.

## Who should probably back away from this book?

If you can answer "yes" to any of these:
(1) Are you completely new to creating web pages?
(You don't need to be an HTML guru, but you should understand the basics of how web pages go together with HTML and CSS, and how to post them online.)
This book makes a great sequel
to Head First HTML with
CSS $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ XHTML, so definitely
check it out if you want to
brush up on your HTML
2) Do you hold a ninth degree black belt in Script Fu, and are really looking for a JavaScript reference book?
(3) Are you afraid to try something different? Would you rather have a root canal than mix stripes with plaid? Do you believe that a technical book can't be serious if JavaScript code is anthropomorphized?

[Note from marketing: this book is
for anyone with a credit card.]

## We know what you're thinking

"How can this be a serious JavaScript book?"
"What's with all the graphics?"
"Can I actually learn it this way?"

## We know what your brain is thinking

Your brain craves novelty. It's always searching, scanning, tewiting for something unusual. It was built that way, and it helps you stay alive.
So what does your brain do with all the routine, ordinary, normal things you encounter? Everything it can to stop them from interfering with the brain's real job-recording things that matter. It doesn't bother saving the boring things; they never make it past the "this is obviously not important" filter.
How does your brain know what's important? Suppose you're out for a day hike and a tiger jumps in front of you, what happens inside your head and body?
Nearons fire. Emotions crank up. Chemicals surge.
And that's how your brain knows...

## This must be important! Don't forget it!

But imagine you're at home, or in a library. It's a safe, warm, tiger-free zone. You're studying. Getting ready for an exam. Or trying to learn some tough technical topic your boss thinks will take a week, ten days at the most.
Just one problem. Your brain's trying to do you a big favor. It's trying to make sure that this obviousty non-important content doesn't clutter up scarce resources. Resources that are better spent storing the really big things. Like tigers. Like the danger of fire. Like how you should never have agreed to house sit for your friend with the pet anaronda.
And there's no simple way to tell your brain, "Hey brain, thank you very much, but no matter how dull this book is, and how little I'm registering on the emotional Richter scale right now, I really $d o$ want you to keep this stuff around."


## We think of a "Head First" reader as a learner.

So what does it take to learn something? First, you have to get it, then make sure you don't forget it. It's not about pushing facts into your head. Based on the latest research in cognitive science, neurobiology, and educational psychology, learning takes a lot more than text on a page. We know what turns your brain on.

## Some of the Head First learning principles:

ake it visual Images are far more memorable than words alone, and make learning muc more effective (up to $89 \%$ improvement in recall and transfer studies). It also makes things nore understandable. Put the words within or near the graphics they relate to, rather than on the bottom or on another page, and learners will be up to twice as likely to solve problems related to the content.

Use a conversational and personalized style. In recent studies, students performed up to $40 \%$ better on post-learning tests if the content spoke directly to the reader, using a first-person, conversational style onfocus! rather than taking a formal tone. Tell stories instead of lecturing. Use
(C) (b) casual language. Don't take yourself too seriously. Which would you pay more

onblur!

rather than taking a formal
attention to: a stimulating dinner party companion, or a lecture?
Get the learner to think more deeply. In other words, un
flex your neurons, nothing much happens in your head. Areblems, draw be motivated, engaged, curious, and conclusions, and generge. And for that, you need challenges, exercises; sides of the brain and multiple senses. Get-and keep-the reader's attention. We've all had the "I really want to learn this but I can't stay awake past paqe one" experience. Your brain pays attention to things, that a chnical topic ordinary, interesting, strange, eye-catching, unexpected.Learnickly if it's not.
motions. We now know that your ability to


Touch their emotions. We now know it its emotional content. remember something is care You remember when you feel You remember what you care heart-wrenching stories about a something. No, we're no surprise, curiosity, fun, boy and his dog. We're talking emotions like surprise colve puzzle, "what the...?", and the feeling of "I Ruler that comes when you know something learn something everybody else thinks is hard, or realize you doen't. that "I'm more technical than thou" Bob from engineering doesn't.

## Metacognition: thinking about thinking

If you really want to learn, and you want to learn more quickly and more deeply, pay attention to how you pay attention. Think about how you think. Learn how you learn.

Most of us did not take courses on metacognition or learning theory when we were growing up. We were expected to learn, but rarely taught to learn.
But we assume that if you're holding this book, you really want to learn how to create interactive web pages that sizzle. And you probably don't want to spend a lot of time. If you want to use what you read in this book, you need to remamber what you read. And for that, you've got to understand it. To get the most from this book, or ayy book or learning experience, take responsibility for your brain. Your brain on this content.

The trick is to get your brain to see the new material you're learning as Really Important. Crucial to your well-being. As important as a tiger. Otherwise, you're in for a constant batle, with your brain doing its best to keep the new content from sticking.

So just how DO you get your brain to treat JavaScript
like it was a hungry tiger?
There's the slow, tedious way, or the faster, more effective way. The slow way is about sheer repetition. You obviously know that you are able to learn and remember even the dullest of topics if you keep pounding the same thing into your brain. With enough repetition, your brain says, "This doesn't feel important to him, but he keeps looking at the same thing over and over and oor, so I suppose it must be."
The faster way is to do anything that increases brain activity, especially different types of brain activity. The things on the previous page are a big part of the solution, and they're all things that have been proven to help your brain work in your favor. For example, studies show that putting wonds within the pictures they describe (as opposed to somewhere else in the page, like a caption or in the body text) causes your brain to try to makes sense of how the words and picture relate, and this causes more neurons to fire. More ncurons firing $=$ more chances for your brain to get that this is something worth paying attention to, and possibly recording.

A conversational style helps because people tend to pay more attention when they perceive that they're in a conversation, since they're expected to follow along and hold up their end. The amazing thing is, your brain doesn't necessarily care that the "conversation" is between you and a book! On the other hand, if the writing style is formal and dry, your brain perceives it the same way you experience being lectured to while sitting in a roomful of passive attendees. No need to stay awake.

But pictures and conversational style are just the beginning...

## Here's what WE did:

We used pictures, because your brain is tuned for visuals, not text. As far as your brain's concerned, a picture really is worth a thousand words. And when text and pictures work together, we embedded the text in the pictures because your brain works more effectively when the text is within the thing the text refers to, as opposed to in a caption or buried in the text somewhere.

We used redundancy, saying the same thing in different ways and with different media types, and multiple smses, to increase the chance that the content gets coded into more than one area
 of your brain.
We used concepts and pictures in unexpected ways because your brain is tuned for novelty, and we used pictures and ideas with at least some emotional conteut, because your brain is tuned to pay attention to the biochemistry of emotions. That which causes you to feel something is more likely to be remembered, even if that feeling is nothing more than a little humor, surprise, or interest.
We used a personalized, conversational style, because your brain is tuned to pay more attention when it believes you're in a conversation than if it thinks you're passively listening to a presentation. Your brain does this even when you're reading.
We included more than 80 activities, because your brain is tuned to learn and remember more when you do things than when you read about things. And we made the exercises challenging-yet-do-able, because that's what most people prefer.
We used multiple learning styles, because jou might prefer step-by-step procedures, while someone else wants to understand the big picture first, and someone else just wants to see an example. But regardless of your own learning preference, cerrone bencfits from seeing the same content represented in multiple ways.
We include content for both sides of your brain, because the more of your brain you
 engage, the more likely you are to learn and remember, and the longer you can stay focused. Since working one side of the brain often means giving the other side a chance to rest, you can be more productive at learning for a longer period of time.
And we inchuded stories and exercises that present more than one point of vieze, because your brain is tuned to learn more deeply when it's forced to make evaluations and judgments.
We included challenges, with exercises, and by asking questions that don't always have a straight answer, because your brain is tuned to learn and remember when it has to work at something Think about it - you can't get your body in shape just by watching people at the gym. But we did our best to make sure that when you're working hard, it's on the right things. That you're not spending one extra dendrite processing a hard-to-understand example, or parsing difficult, jargon-laden, or overly terse text.
We used people. In stories, examples, pictures, etc., because, well, because jou're a person. And your brain pays more attention to prople than it does to things.


Here's what YOU can do to bend your brain into submission<br>So, we did our part. The rest is up to you. These tips are a starting point; listen to your brain and figure out what works for you and what doesn't. Try new things.<br>Cut this out and stick it<br>on your refrigerator.

## Slow down. The more you understand,

 the less you have to memorize.Don't just rad. Stop and think. When the book asks you a question, don't just skip to the answer. Imagine that someone really is asking the question. The more deeply you force yous brain to think, the better chance you have of learning and remembering.

## Do the exercises. Write your own notes.

We put them in, but if we did them for you, that would be like having someone else do your workouts for you. And don't just look at the exercises, Use a pencil. There's plenty of evidence that physical activity whill learning can increase the learning

That means all of them. They're not optional sidebars they're part of the core content! Don't skip them.
(4) Make this the last thing you read before bed. Or at least the last challenging thing. Part of the learning iespecially the transler to long-term memory) happens aflor you put the book down. Your brain needs time on its own, to do more processing. If you put in something new during that processing time, some of what you just learned will be lost.
(5) Drink water. Lots of it.

Your brain works best in a nice bath of fluid. Dehydration (which can happen before you ever feel thirsty) decreases cognitive function.

## Talk about it. Out loud.

Speaking activates a different part of the brain. If you're trying to understand something, or increase your chance of remembering it later, say it out loud. Better still, try to explain it out loud to someone clse. You'll learn more quickly, and you might uncover ideas you hadn't known were there when you were reading about it.

## (7) Listen to your brain.

Pay attention to whether your brain is getting overloaded. If you find yourself starting to skim the surface or forget what you just read, it's time for a break. Once you go past a certain point, you won't learn faster by trying to shove more in, and you might even hurt the process.

## (8) Keep it real!

Your brain needs to know that this matters. Get involved with the stories. Make up your own captions for the photos. Groaning over a bad joke is still better than feeling nothing at all.
(9) Just do it!

There's only one way to learn JavaScript: writing a lot of JavaScript code. And that's what you're going to do throughout this book. Don't just skip over the JavaScript exercises-a lot of the learning happens when you solve problems, even unusual ones like Stick Figure Adventure, the Mandango macho movie seat finder, and the YouCube blog. And definitely stick with an exercise and get it working before you move on to the next part of the book. Oh, and if you have an interactive web project you've been dreaming about, don't be afraid to build it as you work through the book and add to your shiny new bag of JavaScript programming tricks.

## Read Me

This is a learning experience, not a reference book. We deliberately stripped out everything that might get in the way of learning whatever it is we're working on at that point in the book. And the first time through, you need to begin at the beginning, because the book makes assumptions about what you've already seen and learned.

## We teach JavaScript on a "need to know" basis.

If you're looking for a history of JavaScript, keep on looking because this book won't help. The goal here is to teach you how to do cool, practical things in JavaScript to amp up the interactivity of web pages, turning them into responsive web applications that people will want to experience. We forego formalities, and only teach the JavaScript concepts you need to know to do real things, in real time. Really.

## We don't cover every hidden nuance of the JavaScript language.

While we could have put every single JavaScript statement, object, event, and keyword in this book, we thought you'd prefer a reasonably portable book that doesn't require a forklift to carry from your desk to the gym. Oh yeah, it's a great workout read, but you might want to invest in a sweat-proof pencil! We focus on the parts of JavaScript you need to know, the ones you'll use 95 percent of the time. And when you're done with this book, you'll have the confidence to go look up that elusive method you need to finish off that killer script you just dreamed up in the shower.
Since JavaScript includes a huge built-in library of reusable code, it's important to understand when you're dealing with standard JavaScript code, as opposed to custom code that you create, Any time you see the word "custom," that means the code is custom built by you, and not a built-in part of JavaScript.

## We encourage you to use more than one browser with this book.

Even though all modern web browsers support JavaScript, there are sometimes subtle differences in how they handie certain javascript code. So, we encourage you to pick at least two up-to-date browsers and test your scripts using them. We've found Firefox to currently be a superior browser for helping track down JavaScript coding errors, but your scripts will ultimately need to run consistently on a variety of different browsers. Don't hesitate to get your friends, family members, co-workers, and highly trained pets to help test out your scripts in their browsers.

## The activities are NOT optional.

The exercises and activities are not add-ons; they' re part of the core content of the book. Some of them are to help with memory, some are for understanding, and some will help you apply what you've learned. Don't skip the exercises. The crossword puzzles are the only thing you don't hrace to do, but they're good for giving your brain a chance to think about the words and terms you've been learning in a different context. And the Page Benders, well those are optional too if you hate the thought of creasing these beautiful pages. But you'll miss out on some fun.

## The redundancy is intentional and important.

One distinct difference in a Head First book is that we want you to really get it. And we want you to finish the book remembering what you've learned. Most reference books don't have retention and recall as a goal, but this book is about learning, so you'll see some of the same concepts come up more than once.

## The examples are as lean as possible.

Our readers tell us that it's frustrating to wade through 200 lines of an example looking for the two lines they need to understand. Most examples in this book are shown within the smallest possible context, so that the part you're trying to learn is clear and simple. Don't expect all of the examples to be robust, or even complete-they are written specifically for learning, and aren't always fully-functional.

We ve placed the complete code for all of the examples on the Web so you can copy and paste it into your text editor and explore. You can also play around with the finished scripts online. You'll find them at:
http://www.headfirstlabs.com/books/hfjs/

## The Brain Power exercises don't have answers.

For some of them, there is no right answer, and for others, part of the learning experience of the Brain Power activities is for you to decide if and when your answers are right. In some of the Brain Power exercises, you will find hints to point you in the right direction. It's your brain...feel its power!
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## 1 the interactive web



Tired of thinking of the Web in terms of passive pages?
Been there, done that. They're called books. And they're good for reading, learning. lots of good things. But they're not interactive. And neither is the Web without a little help from JavaScript. Sure, you can submit a form and maybe do a trick here and there with some crafty HTML and CSS coding, but you're really just playing Weekend at Bernie's propping up a lifeless web page. Real live interactivity requires a bit more smarts and a little more work... but it has a much bigger payoff.

## (Online) people have needs

All right, we know the Web is virtual, but the people on the Web are real people, with real world needs. Needs like searching for a killer meatloal recipe, downloading their favorite song by Meatloaf, or something even as huge as shopping for a new home. Fortunately, the Web differentiates when it comes to prioritizing your needs!


## Like talking to a brick wall... nothing happens

The Web isn't always as responsive as it could be. In fact, it can sometimes feel downright cold and unfeeling, detached from the outside world and unresponsive to the needs of its many users. You would expect that entering data like this would generate some sort of response...but nothing happened. Don't take it personally; the static Web just doesn't know better.


## But JavaScript talks back

JavaScript tlips the switch that turns a web page into an interactive experience. It powers things that can listen to your needs, process your input, and respond to your deepest desires. OK, perhaps that's a stretch, but JavaScript can turn a web page into an interactive application as opposed to a static, lifeless page, and that's a good thing!



## inter <br> Lights, camera, action!

JavaScript sits with HTML and CSS as one of the three pieces of modern Web page construction. HTML provides the structure, CSS adds the style, and JavaScript puts the rubber to the road and makes things happen. Fo find the path to an interactive web page, you must follow the trail of structure (HTML) to style (CSS), and then to action (JavaScript). Similar to CSS, JavaScript code often resides right there in the web page.




## HTML and CSS aren't really interactive

The problem is that HTML and CSS aren't really interactive. There certainly are CSS tricks you can use to manipulate styles in very specific situations, such as mouse hovers over links, but your options are fairly limited if you're using just HTML and CSS.

JavaScript allows you to detect just about anything that takes place in a web page, like a user clicking buttons, resizing the browser window, or entering data into a text field. And since JavaScript is a scripting programming language, you can learn to write code to respond to these user interactions, like performing a calculation, dynamically swapping images on the page, or even validating data.

Don't sweat the JavaScript details, at least not yet.
Although JavaScript is capable of doing all sorts of things, We know you're at the beginning of your journey. Rest assured that events, functions, and many other pieces of the JavaScript puzzle will come together in time. Besides, you're probably further ahead of the game than you realize.

## HTML + CSS + JavaScript $=$ REAL Interactivity




## Use the <script> tag to tell the browser you're writing JavaScript

For now, we're going to put JavaScript directly into an HTML web page, just like you saw on the last page. The first thing you have to do is let the web browser know that we're about to give it JavaScript, instead of HTML.... and that's where the <script> tag comes in.
You can add a <seript> tag anywhere in your HTML, but it's usually best to put it in the <head> of our web page, like this:

there are no Dumb Questions

Q: So anylhing put inside the <script> tag is JavaScript?
A: Not necessarily... the <script> tag tells the browser that a scripting language is coming, but it doesn't have to be JavaScript. The type part, type-"text/ javascript", is what lets the browser know you're about to give it JavaScript specifically.

O :So are there other scripting languages I can use?

A:: Absolutely. Microsoft has a couple of varieties like VBScript (a scripting version of Visual Basic) and their flavor of Ajax, called ASP.NET AUAX. We'll talk more about Ajax in Chapter 12, too. And there are several other scripting languages you can use. But for our purposes, well always use text / javascript in this book.

> Q:
> be in
> $A$ : That's a good catch. You can put <script> elements anywhere in your web page.... but it's generally considered bad practice to put them anywhere but the <head> of your web page, lt's kind of like putting CSS in the middle of a web page... it's usually better to separate the JavaScript out, and the <head> of your page is a perfect place to do that.

## Your web browser can handle HTML, CSS, AND JavaScript

You already know that a web browser knows how to take your HTML and display it. And you've used CSS to tell the browser how to show different parts of your HTML. Think of JavaScript as just another way to talk to the browser... but instead of telling the browser how to display something (like in HTML or CSS), you're giving the
browser some commands to follow:


## there are no Dumb Questions

## Q:

 web browsers run JavaScript code?A:: Web browsers have a special piece of software inside them called a JavaScript interpreter, and its job is to run JavaScript code that appears within a page. This is why you might have heard JavaScript described as an interpreted language, as opposed to a compiled language. Compiled languages. such as $\mathrm{C}++$ or $\mathrm{C} \#$, must be converted by a tool called a compiler into an executable program file. It isn't necessary to compile JavaScript programs because JavaScript code is interpreted directly by the browser.

## Q:

: How do I tell a web page to start running JavaScript code?

A:: Most JavaScript code is run when something takes place within the page, such as the page being loaded or the user clicking a button. A JavaScript mechanism known as an "event" allows you to trigger a piece of JavaScript code when something of interest happens to the page.

Q: problems, is JavaScript safe?

A:1: Yes, for the most part. JavaScript is designed from the ground up to prevent malicious code from causing problems, For example, JavaScript doesn't allow you to read or write files on the user's hard drive. This limitation wipes out the potential for a lot of viruses and similarly evil code. Of course, this doesn't mean you cant write buggy JavaScript code that makes web pages a pain to use, it just means you're unlikely to put users in serious jeopardy with JavaScript. And for the record, browser bugs and crafty hackers have figured out ways to breach JavaScript security in the past, so it's certainly not bulletproof.

O: So about that <script> tag in the House Finder code... Is it HTML or JavaScript?
A: The <script> tag itself is HTML, and its purpose is to provide a way to blend script code with the HTML code. The code appearing inside the <script >tag is JavaScript code. Since the <script> tag is designed to support multiple script
languages, you indicate that the code is JavaScript code by using its type attribute.

Q:: I've seen web pages that have interactivity, such as forms that check to make sure a date is entered correctly, and they seem to do it without JavaScript. Is this possible?
$A$ : Yes. It's possible to get interactivity in web pages without JavaScript, but in many cases it's inefficient and clunky. For example, data validation on forms can be handled on the web server when you submit the form. However, this means you have to submit the entire form and then wait for the server to do the validating and return the results as a new page. You might as well validate the form with paper and pencill JavaScript interactivity occurs entirely within the browser without loading a nev page, eliminating the unnecessary passing of data back and forth to a server. Not only that, but a great deal of what JavaScript has to offer in terms of interactivity cannot be done any other way without third party browser add-ons.

Identify each piece of code of as being part of the standard JavaScript language,
alert
calcPrice
zipCode
var or a custom piece of code created by a programmer for the House Finder web page.

| alert | JavaScript / Custom | onblur | JavaScript / Custom |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| calcPrice | JavaScript / Custom | onclick | JavaScript / Custom |
| zipCode | JavaScript / Custom | findHouses | JavaScript / Custom |
| var | JavaScript / Custom | value | JavaScript / Custom |

Identify each piece of code of as being part of the standard JavaScript language, or a custom piece of code created by a programmer for the House Finder web page.

The pop-up box that indicates an invalid number $\downarrow$ alert TavaScript/Custom ktitle>Bouse Finder</title>

<script type="text/javaacript"> function valldat ekumber // Validate the number alext("flease enter a number.") \(1 k\)

Eunction validatezipCode (valuel
I/ Validate the \(Z 1\) ip code
// If (!iszIpCode(value))
lert ("Please entor a ZIP code in the form XXXXX,") ;
)
function caloprice() ( getmementById("Income"), value * 4; Talert/"You can afford a house that costa up to \(\mathrm{S}^{\prime \prime}\) + maxprice
\(+\quad=\cdot\)

function findthouses (Korm) | (get\&IenentById ("bedrocms"), value;
Var bedrocns - document.getElementById("zip"). value;
ipco
// Display a list of matching houses from the serve Corm, submit ()
)
</soript.
<fhesd)
A custom chunk of code that calculates the house price.
\(\checkmark\)
calcerice JavaScript (Custom)
Sets aside a storage location cbody?
div \(1 d=\) "trane"
<div idv"header">peady to find a new house?</div> div 1d="left"?

</div> naw"ordectorim" action-"-" method"nposp"? <div clage "field">Enter your ansual Income

onblur--validatelfumber (thiz-valua) \(=/\langle/\) /div \(\rangle\) cdiv class""fleld">Enter the number of bedroc <input id="pedroomb" Lypo is.value) "/></div> onblur="validatekumber (thls.value)
<input id="zlp" type-"text" size=")"
priblur="validate21PCode (this,value)" Price"
<input type-"button" value
onclick="calcPrice ();" (\%"Shop for Houses"
<input type-"button" (this.form) ;"/> </form>
</div>
</body>
</htul>


A storage location used to hold the \(Z \mathbb{P}\) code entered by the user.
onblur
JavaScript Custom \(\tau\) Indicates that the user's moved onto the next input fieid. value JavaScript Custom
The current value of the \(Z \mathbb{P}\) code input field. onclick JavaScript Custom

Indicates that the Shop button has been clicked.

\section*{Man's virtual best friend... needs YOUR help}

Fresh off of a successful gig writing HTML and CSS pages, you've been called into your boss's office to see his latest online invention: the iRock. The virtual pet is making waves at all the toy conferences, but beta users are really unhappy with the online pet.

Apparently, the users are clicking on the rock, and expecting something cool to happen...but your boss never thought about that. Now, it's up to you to make the iRock interactive, and get the glory...or go down in flames with the iRock.

Here's the iRock so far.. just some HTML and CSS And that means no interaction with the user \(k\)
\(\theta \theta \theta\)

Users are clicking their iRock


\section*{Making iRock interactive}

Not only is it up to you to make the iRock interactive, but you're going to have to learn some JavaScript along the way: That's okay, though, you'll have that pet rock saying hello in no time.

Here's what you're going to do in the rest of this chapter:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) Create the iRock HTML web page. } \kappa \text { to do this. } \\
& \text { (3) Add a JavaScript alert to make the }<\text { An alert is JavaScript's } \\
& \text { rock greet users when the iRock } \\
& \text { web page is loaded. }
\end{aligned}
\]
(3) Write JavaScript code to ask for the user's name, print out a personalized greeting, and make the rock smile.

You're connecting something the wer does, like clicking the virtual pet rock.
4) Add an event handler so that when users click on the rock, the code you wrote in step 3 runs.
(3) Win the admiration and lavish gratitude of your boss.

\section*{Create the iRock web page}

You couldn't find a much simpler HTML page than the iRock. Go ahead and type this HTML into your favorite editor, and save it as iRock.html. You can download the pet rock images from the Head First Labs web site, at http://www.headfirstlabs.com.

<htmi>
<head>
<title>iRock - The Virtual Pet Rock</title>
</head>
<body>
<div style="margin-top:100px; text-align:center">
<img id="rockImg" src="rock.pnq" alt="iRock" />
</div>
</body>
</html>

irock.html

\section*{Test drive}

Before you go any further, save and test out your iRock web page in your web browser. Make sure yours looks like ours, because we're about to start adding sonne interactivity, JavaScript style.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: is that css in the diviv lag?
A: Sure is, Good cath hbere.
Q:
| thoughtit was r really bad idea toput CSS directly into an HTML page. What gives?
A:
: You've been reading Head First HTML with CSS \& XHTML, haven't you? Yes, you're right, it's usually better to put your CSS in a <style>tag in your page's <head>, or in an extemal stylesheet. But your boss isn't much of a coder, and besides, it makes this first example a lot simpler. But if you want to go ahead and write your own external stylesheet for the iRock, we think that would be pretty cool.

\section*{getting started with events}

\section*{JavaScript events: giving the iRock a voice}

To use JavaScript to greet the user when the page first loads, we'll have to solve two main JavaScript-related problems: knowing when the page finishes loading and knowing how to display a greeting so that the user can see it.

The first problem involves responding to an event (the page load event), while the second problem involves using a built-in JavaScript feature, the "alert" box. Events are JavaScript notifications that let you know when something of interest has happened, such as a page loading (onload) or a button getting clicked (onclick). You can respond to events with your own custom JavaScript code.


The code for the onload event is set using the onload attribute of the <body> tag in the iRock web page
 <body onload="alert('Hello, I am your pet rock.');">

Events are notifications that you can respond to with JavaScript code.

The alert() function tells the browser to display an alert box that greets the user.

\section*{Alerting the user with a function}

A JavaScript alert is a pop-up window, or box, that you can use to display information to the user. Displaying an alert box involves writing code to call the JavaScript a lert () function and passing it the text you want to display. Functions are reusable chunks of JavaScript code that perform common tasks, such as displaying information in a pop-up window.


When you pull it all together, you get a complete line of JavaScript code that calls a function to display greeting text in an alett box:

> alert('Hello, I am your pet rock, ');

\section*{Functions are reusable pieces of code that perform common tasks.}

\section*{Add the iRock greeting}

So to greet users when they load the iRock page, you need to add an on Load event handler, and a greeting by using JavaScript's a lert () function. Add this line of JavaScript into your irock.html page:


The iRock page is now a touch more interactive thanks to an alert box greeting that is displayed in response to the onload event. Load up irock. html in your web browser, and see what happens:


\section*{Dumb Questions}

Q: Where do events come from?
A:
: Although events are initiated by a user, they ultimately come from the browser. For example: a "key press" is an event triggered by the user but the browser must package up information about the event (like which key was pressed) and then pass it along to a function that has been designated to respond to the event.

Q:
: What happens to events that ont have code tied to them?
 hear it, does it make a sound? Same deal with events. If you don't respond to an event, the browser goes about its business and no one is the wiser. In other words, responding or not responding to onload has no bearing on the page actually loading.

Q:
解 you say that JavaScript code belonged in <script> tags?

A:: It usually does. But you can also put it directly in an event handler, like we did with the on load event. And, when you need to run just a single line of JavaScript, like for the Rock, that's often a simpler approach.

Q: Are there other built-in functions like the alert() function?

A:: Yes, lots of them. alert () is just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to builtin reusable JavaScript code. We Il cover a lot of the standard functions as we journey through the features of JavaScript. By the end of the book you'll even be creating your oven custom functions.

Q: Why does the iRock on load code mix quotes and apostrophes?
\(A\) : HTML and JavaScript require you to close a sequence of text before starting another one... unless you use a different delimiter (quote or apostrophe). So in cases where JavaScript code appears in an HTML attribute (text within text). you have to mix quotes and apostrophes to work around this problem. It doesn't matter which ones you use for the attribute or the JavaScript text, but whatever you choose-you'll have to be consistent. Maybe an example of quotes and apostrophes in language will clear things up...according to the iRock. "The user clicked and said, "Hello there."

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Events are used to respond to web page happenings with JavaScript code.
- The onload event is triggered when a page finishes loading
- You respond to the on load event by setting the on load attribute of the <body> tag.
- Functions allow you to bundle JavaScript code into reusable modules.
- Some functions require you to pass them information to complete their task
- The alert () function is a builtin JavaScript function that displays a text message in a small pop-up window.
Match each piece of JavaScript code to what it does.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
onload & Display a text message in a pop-up window \\
() & Terminate a line of JavaScript code \\
alert & Indicate that the web page has finished loading \\
; & Enclose the information passed into a function
\end{tabular}


\section*{Now let's make the iRock}

\section*{really interactive}

You're making some progress toward a more interactive iRock, but there's still more to do before the virtual pet rock is going to win over any customers... remember our check list?

\section*{(1) Create the iRock HTML web page. Done! \\ \(\square\)}
(3) Add a JavaScript alert to make the rock-greet users when-the-Rockweb page is-loaded.
(3) Write JavaScript code to ask for the user's name, print out a personalized greeting, and make the rock smile.

4 Add an event handier so that when users click on the rock, the code you wrote in step 3 runs.
(5) Win the admiration and lavish gratitude of your boss.

\section*{Interaction is TWO-way communication}

Right now, our rock says hi, but doesn't let the user do much with it. We really want the rock to respond to users. With help from a little JavaScript, though, the iRock can be turned into an engaging pet that is surprisingly sociable and downright friendly by changing its facial expression and greeting the owner by name...


Take a guess at writing down the name of the JavaScript event used to respond to a mouse click. onclick

\section*{The onclick event is triggered} whenever the user clicks the mouse on an element on the page-each web page element can have its own unique onclick response code.

\section*{Add a function to get the user's name}

Here's a JavaScript function, all baked up and ready to go. Whenever you see Ready Bake JavaScript, that means you should just type the code in, as-is. But trust us, you'll learn everything about this code before long, and be writing your own functions. code is for a custom function called touchRock (), which prompts the user to enter their name, and then displays a personalized greeting in an alert box. The function also changes the rock image to a smiling iRock. It's all you need to add personalization to the BRock.
prompt) is a function to pop up a box and get a value from the user \(\checkmark\)
``` Just like alert(), every function in JavaScript has a name. This
function's name is touchRock.
function touchRock() ( var userName \(=\) prompt("What is your name?", "Enter your name here.");
```
```
    if (userName) ! Once we have a name, we greet
```
    if (userName) ! Once we have a name, we greet
        alert ("It is good to meet you;" + userName + " ="); the user personally...
        document.getElementById("rockImg").src = "rock_happy.png";
    |
I
C and change the rock image to a smiling rok k.
```

Can you figure out where this function should go in your irock.html page?



\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The user-friendly iRock code is missing a few key code pieces. Your job was to use the magnets to fill in those missing pieces.


\section*{Instant replay: what just happened?}

A little bit of JavaScript triggered a lot of changes, resulting in a more endearing version of the iRock. Let's view an instant replay of what changes were made and how they impact the page.


\section*{Test drive iRock 1.0}

Make sure you've made your version of irock. html look like the one on page 2b, and that you've downloaded both rock mages from Head First Labs (hitp://wow.headfivstlabs,com/books/hfis//. Then, open up your web page, and give the rock a spin:


1 -Create the iRock HTML web-page. \(\leftarrow\) Donel
(3) Adda JavaScript alert to make the rock-greet users when-the inock--web-page-is-loaded.
(3) Write JavaScript code to ask for the user's-name, print out a personalizedgreeting, and make the rock smile.
\(\leftrightarrow\) Here's where
we used the touchRock() function
4) Add an event handler so that when -users-click on-the-rock, the code you wrote in-step- 3 -runs.
\(\longleftarrow\)
We used the onclick event handler for this
(3) Win the admiration and lavish gratitude of your boss.

Boss man's happy.
can a raise and a widescreen monitor be far behind?

\section*{JavaScript}
allows web
pages to DO
THINGS, not
just play show
and tell.


\section*{JavaScripteross}

Take some time to sit back and give your right brain something to do. It's your standard orossword; all of the solution words are from this chapter.

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Across & Down \\
2. The name of a chunk of code that provides the iRock with a & 1. A reusable piece of JavaScript code that performs a common \\
personalized greeting, & task. \\
4. To respond to a mouse click, just set some JavaScript code to & 3. Something just happened and the browser is trying to let you \\
the ...... attribute of an HTML element. & know. \\
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
7. Without this, you might as well just stick with HTML and CSS. & 5. "The feel good online toy of the season." \\
8. To display text to the user, just call the .... function. & 6. Lets you know that a Web page has finished loading.
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}



\section*{2 storing data}

\section*{k \\ * Everything Has Its Place \\ }


In the real world, people often overlook the importance of having a place to store all their stuff. Not so in JavaScript. You simply don't have the luxury of walk-in closets and three-car garages. In JavaScript, everything has its place, and it's your job to make sure of it. The issue is data-how to represent it, how to store it, and how to find it once you've put it somewhere. As a JavaScript storage specialist, you'll be able to take a cluttered room of JavaScript data and impose your will on it with a flurry of virtual labels and storage bins.

\section*{Your scripts can store data}

Just about every script has to deal with data in one way or another, and that usually means storing data in memory. The JavaScript interpreter that lives in web browsers is responsible for setting aside little areas of storage for JavaScript data. It's your job, however, to spell out exactly what the

\section*{data is and how you intend to use it.}


> The information associated with a house search must all be stored within the script that performs the calculations.

The user's name entered into
the iRock page is stored away so that the script can show you a personalized greeting.

Scripts use stored data to carry out calculations and remember information about the user. Without the ability to store data, you'd never find that new house or really get to know your iRock.


\section*{dBRANM POWER}

Think of the different real world pieces of information you deal with on a daily basis. How are they alike? Different? How would you organize those different pieces of data?

\section*{Scripts think in data types}

You organize and categorize real world data into types without even thinking about it: names, numbers, sounds, and so on. JavaScript also categorizes script data into data types. Data types are the key to mapping information from your brain to JavaScript.


JavaScript uses three basic data ty pes: text, number, and boolean.


Text data is really just a sequence of characters, like the name of your favorite breakfast cereal. Text is usually words or sentences, but it doesn't have to be. Also known as strings, JavaScript text always appears within quotes (" ") or apostrophes (' ' ).

\section*{Number}

Numbers are used to store numeric data like the weights and quantities of things. JavaScript numbers can be either integer/whole numbers (2 pounds) or decimals ( 2.5 pounds).



Boolean data is always in one of two possible states-true or false. So you can use a boolean to represent anything that has two possible settings, like a toaster with an On/Off switch. Booleans show up all the time and you can use them to help in making decisions. We'll talk more about that in Chapter 4.

Data types directly affect how you work with data in JavaScript code. For example, alert boxes only display text, not numbers. So numbers are converted to text behind the scenes before they're displayed.




Your job was to find everything that JavaScript could represent, and figure out the type JavaScript would use.



\section*{Constants stay the SAME, variables can CHANGE}

Storing data in JavaScript isn't just about type, it's also about purpose. What do you want to do with the data? Or more specifically, will the data change throughout the course of your script? The answers determine whether you code your data type in JavaScript as a variable or a constant.

\section*{Variable data can change-constant data is fixed.} A variable changes throughout the course of a script, while a constant never changes its value.

\section*{Constant}

Land area of 3.5 million square miles-a constant (unless you wait around long enough for the Earth's tectonic plates to shift).


24 hours in a day-a constant as far as humans are concerned, even though the moon is slowly leaving us.


URL of web page is www.duncansdonuts.com-a constant, unless the donut biz takes a dramatic downturn.

324 total page hits-a variable since users are constantly visiting the page and changing the hit count.

\section*{Variable}

Population of 300 million people-a variable since the U.S. population is still on the rise.

Sunrise at \(6: 43 \mathrm{am}-a\) variable since the sunrise changes every day.




\section*{Tonight's talk: Variable and Constant square off over data storage.}

\section*{Variable:}

When it comes to storing data, I offer the most in flexibility. You can change my value all you want. I can be set to one value now and some other value later-that's what I call freedom.

Sure, but your mule-headed resistance to change just won't work in situations where data has to take on different values over time. For example, a rocket launch countdown has to change as it counts down from 10 to 1 . Deal with that!

Yeah, sure, whatever. How do you get off calling variation a bad thing. Don't you realize that change can be a good thing, especially when you've got to to store information entered by the user, perform calculations, anything like that?

\section*{Constant:}

And I call that flip-flopping! I say pick a value and stick to it. It's my ruthless consistency that makes me so valuable to scripters...they appreciate the predictability of data that always stays the course.

Oh, so you think you're the only data storage option for mission critical applications, huh? Wrong! How do you think that rocket ever got to the launch pad? Because someone was smart enough to make the launch date a constant. Show me a deadline that's a variable and I'll show you a project behind schedule.

I say the more things change, the more they stay the same. And really, why change in the first place? Settle on a good value from the start and leave it alone. Think about the comfort in knowing that a value can never be changed, accidentally or otherwise.

I suppose we'll just have to agree to disagree.
Actually, I've disagreed with you all along.

\section*{Variables start out without a value}

A variable is a storage location in memory with a unique name, like a label on a box that's used to store things. You create a variable using a special JavaScript keyword called var, and the name of the new variable.
A keyword is a word set aside in JavaScript to perform a particular task, The semicolon like creating a variable.


When you create a variable using the var keyword, that variable's initially empty.... it has no value. It's fine for a variable to start off being empty as long as you don't attempt to read its value before assigning it a value. It'd be like trying to play a song on your MP3 player before loading it with music.
 variable stores. Naming the page hit variable x or gerkin wouldn't have been nearly as descriptive.

\section*{Initialize a variable with "="}

You don't have to create variables without an initial value. In fact, it's usually a pretty good idea to give a variable a value when you first create it. That's called initializing a variable. That's just a matter of adding a tiny bit of extra code to the normal variable creation routine:


Unlike its blank counterpart, an initialized variable is immediately ready to be used... it already has a value stored in it. It's like buying a preloaded MP3 player - ready to play right out of the box.


\section*{Constants are resistant to change}

Initializing a variable is all about setting its first value - there's nothing stopping that value from being changed later. To store a piece of data that can never change, you need a constant. Constants are created just like initialized variables, but you use the const keyword instead of var. And the "initial" value becomes a permanent value...constants play for keeps!

This creates a constant
that can't be changed.
Assign a value to the constant.


The name of the constant.

Not all browsers support the const keyword.

The const keyword is fairly new to JavaScript, and not all browsers support it. Be sure to double check your target browsers before releasing JavaScript code that uses const.

The biggest difference between creating a constant and a variable is you have to use the const keyword instead of var. The syntax is the same as when you're initializing a variable. But, constants are often named using all capital letters to make them STANDOUT from variables in your code.

This data will never,
 could get really complicated.


\section*{Constants can't change, at least not without a text editor.}

It's true that constants can't change while a script is running...but there's nothing stopping you from changing the value of a constant where it's first created. So from your script's perspective, a constant is absolutely fixed, but from your perspective, it can be changed by going back to the point where you created the constant. So a tax rate constant can't change while the script is running, but you can change the rate in your initialization code, and the new constant value will be reflected in the script from then on out.


Decide whether each of the following pieces of information should be a variable or a constant, and then write the code to create each, and initialize them (if that's appropriate).


The current temperature, which is initially unknown

The conversion unit from human years to dog years (1 human year \(=7\) dog years)

The countdown for a rocket launch (from 10 to 0)

The price of a tasty donut (50 cents)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Your job was to decide whether each of the following pieces of information should be a variable or a constant, and then write the code to create them, and initialize them when appropriate.

\section*{Exercise Solution}

The current temperature, which is initially unknown

The conversion unit from human years to dog years (1 human year \(=7\) dog years)
The countdown for a rocket launch (from 10 to 0 )

The price of a tasty donut ( 50 cents)
var temp;
The temperature changes all the
time and the value is unknown, so a blank variable is the ticket.
const HUMANTODOG \(=7\);


This conversion rate doesn't change, so it makes perfect sense as a constant.
var countdown \(=10\);

var donutPrice \(=0.50\); or cost DONUTPRICE \(=0.50\);

If the donut price changes, it makes sense as a variable that's initialized to the current price.
 ...or maybe the donut price is fixed, in which case a constant set to the price works better.

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q} If I don't specify the data type of JavaScript data, how does it ever know what the type is?

A:: Unlike some programming languages, JavaScript doesn't allow you to explicitly set the type of a constant or variable. Instead, the type is implied when you set the value of the data. This allows JavaScript variables a lot of flexibility since their data types can change when different values are assigned to them. For example: if you assign the number 17 to a variable named \(x\), the variable is a number. But if you turn around and assign x the text "seventeen", the variable type changes to string.

Q:If the data type of JavaScript data is taken care of automatically, why should I even care about data types?

A:: Because there are plenty of situations where you can't rely solely on JavaScript's automatic data type handling. For example, you may have a number stored as text that you want to use in a calculation. You have to convert the text type to the number type in order to do any math calculations with the number. The reverse is true when displaying a number in an alert box-it must first be converted to text. JavaScript will perform the number-to-text conversion automatically, but it may not convert exactly like you want it to.

Q: Is it OK to leave a variable uninitialized if I don't know what it's value is up front?

\(A\): Absolutely. The idea behind initialization is to try to head off problems where you might try to access a variable when it doesn't have a value. But, there are also times where there's no way to know the value of a variable when you first create it. If that happens, just make sure that the variable gets set before you try to use it. And keep in mind that you can always initialize a variable to a "nothing" value, such as " " for text, 0 for numbers, or false for boolean. This helps eliminate the risk of accidentally accessing uninitialized data.

Q:Is there any trick to knowing when to use a variable and when to use a constant?

A:: While it's easy to just say constants cant change and variables can, there's a bit more to it than that. In many cases you'll start out using variables for everything, and only realize that there are opportunities to make some of those variables into constants later. Even then, it's rare that you'll be able to turn a variable into a constant. More likely, you'll have a fixed piece of text or number that is used in several places, like a repetitive greeting or conversion rate.

Instead of duplicating the text or number over and over, create a constant for it and use that instead. Then if you ever need to adjust or change the value, you can do it in one place in your code.

Q:. What happens to script data when a web page is reloaded?

A:: Script data gets reset to its initial values, as if the script had never been run before. In other words, refreshing a web page has the same effect on the script as if the script was being run for the first time.

\section*{Data}

\section*{types are} established when variable's and constant's values are set.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Script data can usually be represented by one of the three basic data types: text, number, or boolean.
- A variable is a piece of data that can change over the course of a script.
- A constant is a piece of information that cannot change.
- The var keyboard is used to create variables, while cons is used to create constants.
- The data type of a piece of JavaScript data is established when you set the data to a certain value, and for variables the type can change.

\section*{What's in a name?}

Variables, constants, and other JavaScript syntax constructs are identified in scripts using unique names known as identifiers. JavaScript identifiers are like the names of people in the real world, except they aren't as flexible (people can have the same name, but JavaScript variables can't). In addition to being unique within a script, identifiers must abide by a few naming laws laid down by JavaScript:


Each character after the first character can be a letter, an underscore ( \(\_\)), a dollar sign ( \(\mathbf{\$}\) ), or a number.

Spaces and special characters other than and \$ are not allowed in any part of an identifier.

I'm not going to tolerate law breakers when it comes to identifiers.

When you create a JavaScript identifier for a variable or constant, you're naming a piece of information that typically has meaning within a script. So, it's not enough to simply abide by the laws of identifier naming. You should definitely try to add context to the names of your data pieces so that they are immediately identifiable.

Of course, there are times when a simple x does the job - not every piece of data in a script has a purpose that is easily described.

Sheriff J.S. Justice, dedicated lawman.

\section*{Identifiers should be descriptive so that data is easily identifiable, not to mention legal...}

\section*{Legal and illegal variable and constant names}


The pastry wizards over at Duncan's Donuts are trying to decide on a promotional cap design. Unfortunately, they don't realize that some of the designs violate JavaScript's rules for naming identifiers. Mark an \(X\) over the names on the caps that won't cut it in JavaScript.


Your job was to mark an X over the caps that have variable names that won't cut it in JavaScript.

\section*{Exercise Solution}



Sorry, spaces aren't allowed either.


The pound symbol is only going to invoke the wrath of Sheriff Justice.

\section*{Variable names often use CamelCase}

Although there aren't any JavaScript laws governing how you style identifier names, the JavaScript community has some unofficial standards. One of these standards is using CamelCase, which means mixing case within identifiers that consist of more than one word (remember, you can't have spaces in a variable name). Variables usually use lower camel case, in which the first word is all lowercase, but additional words are mixed-case.
num_cake_donuts


Separating multiple words with an underscore in a variable identifier isn't illegal, but there's a better way.

\section*{lowerCamelCase is used to name multiWord variables.}


The first letter of each word except the first is capitalized.
Better... this style is known as camel case, but it still isn't quite right for variables.
numCakeDonuts
Ah, there it is-lower camel
case is perfect for naming variables with multiple words.


\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The identifier magnets have gotten separated from the variables and constants they identify at Duncan's Donuts. Match up the correct magnet to each variable/constant, and make sure you avoid magnets with illegal names. Bonus points: identify each data type.

The number of cups of coffee sold today

> The record holder for most eclairs eaten in a sitting

> The name of the employee of the month

The amount of flour that goes into a single batch of donuts

> The business tax number used to file sales tax



\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The identifier magnets have gotten separated from the variables and constants they identify at Duncan's Donuts. Match up the correct magnet to each variable/constant, and make sure you avoid magnets with illegal names. Bonus points: identify each data type.


\section*{The next big thing (in donuts)}

You may know about Duncan's Donuts, but you haven't met Duncan or heard about his big plan to shake up the donut market. Duncan wants to take the "Hot Donuts" business to the next level...he wants to put it online! His idea is just-in-time donuts, where you place an order online and enter a specific pick-up time, and have a hot order of donuts waiting for you at the precise pick-up time. Your job is to make sure the user enters the required data, as well as calculate the tax and order total.


\section*{Plan the Duncan's Donuts web page}

Processing a just-in-time donut order involves both checking (or validating) the order form for required data, and calculating the order total based upon that data. The subtotal and total are calculated on the fly as the data is entered so that the user gets immediate feedback on the total price. The Place Order button is for submitting the final order, which isn't really a JavaScript issue...we're not worrying about that here.


The subtotal is calculated by multiplying the total number of donuts by the price per donut:

(\# of cake donuts + \# of glazed donuts) \(x\) price per donut


The tax is calculated by multiplying the subtotal by the tax rate:
subtotal \(x\) tax rate


The order total is calculated by adding the subtotal and the tax:

\section*{subtotal + tax}


It looks like Duncan has a fair amount of data to keep track of in his form. Not only does he have to keep up with the various pieces of information entered by the user, but there are also several pieces of data that get calculated in JavaScript code.


What variables and constants will you need to carry out these calculations? What would you name them?


\section*{A first take at the donut calculations}

Duncan tried to write the JavaScript for the calculations himself, but ran into problems. As soon as a user enters a number of donuts, the on-the-fly calculations immediately go haywire. They're coming up with values of \(\$ N a N\), which doesn't make much sense. Even worse, orders aren't getting filled and customers aren't exactly thrilled with Duncan's technological "advancements."


It's time to take a look at the code for the donut script and see exactly what's going on. Look over on the next page (or at the code samples you can download from http:/ /wwre.headfirstlabs.com/books/hfjs/), and see if you can figure out what happened.


This code is called to update the order by calculating the subtotal and total on the fly.

Since the data entered by the user looks OK, there must be something wrong with the constants.

This code submits the order to the server and confirms the order with the user.
<html>
<head>
<title>Duncan's Just-In-Time Donuts</title>
<link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="donuts.css" />
<script type="text/javascript">
function updateOrder() \{
const TAXRATE;
const DONUTPRICE;
var numCakeDonuts = document.getElementById("cakedonuts").value;
var numGlazedDonuts \(=\) document.getElementById("glazeddonuts").value;
var subTotal \(=\) (numCakeDonuts + numGlazedDonuts) * DONUTPRICE;
var tax \(=\) subTotal * TAXRATE;
var total \(=\) subTotal + tax;
document.getElementById("subtotal").value = "\$" + subTotal.toFixed(2);
document.getElementById("tax").value = "\$" + tax.toFixed(2);
document.getElementById("total").value = "\$" + total.toFixed(2);
\}
function placeOrder() \{
// Submit order to server...
form.submit();
\}
</script>
</head>
<body>
<div id="frame">
...
<form name="orderform" action="donuts.php" method="POST">
<div class="field">
\# of cake donuts: <inp\|t type="text" id="cakedonuts" name="cakedonuts" value="" onchange="updateOrder();" />
</div>
<div class="field">
\# of glazed donuts: <input type="text" id="glazeddonuts" name="glazeddonuts" value="" onchange="updateOrder();" />
. .
<div class="field">
<input type="button" value="Place Order" onclick="placeOrder(this.form);" />
</div>
</form>
</div>
</body>
</html>

The order is submitted when the Place Order button is clicked.


The order is updated when either number of donuts changes.

Write down what you think went wrong with Duncan's just-intime donut script code.

Write down what you think went wrong with Duncan's just-intime donut script code.

The two constants, TAXRATE and DONUTPRICE, aren't initialized, which means the calculations that depend on them can't be completed.


\section*{You shouldn't ever uninitialize a constant.}

You can uninitialize a constant by never giving it a value, but it's a very bad idea. When you don't initialize a constant when you create it, that constant ends up in no man's land - it has no value, and even worse, it can't be given one. An uninitialized constant is essentially a coding error, even though browsers don't usually let you know about it.


\section*{Initialize your data...or else}

When you don't initialize a piece of data, it's considered undefined, which is a fancy way of saying it has no value. That doesn't mean it isn't worth anything, it just means it doesn't contain any information... yet. The problem shows up when you try to use variables or constants that haven't been initialized.


The DONUTPRICE constant is uninitialized, which means it has no value. Actually JavaScript has a special value just for this "non-value" state: undefined. It's sort of like how your phone's voice mail will report "no messages" when you don't have any messages - "no messages" is technically still a message but it's purpose is to represent the lack of messages. Same deal with undefined-it indicates a lack of data.

\title{
A piece of data is undefined
}

when it has
no value.


\section*{NaN is NOT a number}

Just as undefined represents a special data condition, there's another important value used to indicate a special case with JavaScript variables: NaN. NaN means Not a Number, and it's what the subTotal variable gets set to since there isn't enough information to carry out the calculation. In other words, you treated a missing value as a number... and got NaN.


\title{
NaN is a value that isn't a number even though you're expecting the value to be one.
}

So solving the NaN problem requires initializing the DONUTPRICE constant when you create it:
```
const DONUTPRICE = 0.50;
```

\section*{\(Q\) : \\ - What does it mean that identifiers must be unique within a script?}

A:: The whole point of identifiers is to serve as a unique name that you can use to identify a piece of information in a script. In the real world, it isn't all that uncommon for people to have the same name... but then again, people have the ability to deal with such "name clashes" and figure out who is who. JavaScript isn't equipped to deal with ambiguity, so it needs you to carefully distinguish different pieces of information by using different names. You do this by making sure identifiers within your script code are all unique.

Q:Does every identifier I create have to be unique, or unique only in a specific script?
\(A\) : Identifier uniqueness is really only important within a single script, and in some cases only within certain portions of a single script. However, keep in mind that scripts for big web applications can get quite large, spread across lots of files. In this case, it becomes more challenging to ensure uniqueness among all identifiers. The good news it that it isn't terribly difficiclt to maintain identifier uniqueness in scripts of your own, provided you're as descriptive as possible when naming them.

Q:: I still don't quite understand when to use camel case and lower camel case. What gives?
A : Camel case (with the first word capitalized) only applies to naming JavaScript objects, which we'll talk about in Chapter 9. Lower camel case applies to variables and functions, and is the same
as camel case, except the first letter in the identifier is lowercase. So camel case means you would name an object Donut, while lower camel case means you would name a function getDonut () and a variable numDonuts. There isn't a cute name for constants-they're just all caps.
Q: Are text and boolean data considered NaN ?

A:: Theoretically, yes, since they definitely aren't numbers. But in reality, no. The purpose of NaN is to indicate that a number isn't what you think it is. In other words, NaN isn't so much a description of JavaScript data in general as it is an error indicator for number data types. You typically only encounter NaN when performing calculations that expect numbers but for some reason are given non-numeric data to work with.

\section*{Meanwhile, back at Duncan's...}

Back at Duncan's Donuts, things have gone from bad to worse. Instead of empty boxes, now there are donuts everywhere - every order is somehow getting overcalculated. Duncan is getting overwhelmed with complaints of donut overload and pastry gouging.


\section*{You can add more than numbers}

In JavaScript, context is everything. Specifically, it matters what kind of data you're manipulating in a given piece of code, not just what you're doing with the data. Even something as simple as adding two pieces of information can yield very different results depending upon the type of data involved.


Adding two numbers does what you might expect - it produces a result that is the mathematical addition of the two values.

\section*{"do" + "nuts" = "donuts"}


Adding two strings also does what you might expect but it's very different than mathematical addition-here the strings are attached end-to-end.

Knowing that strings of text are added differently than numbers, what do you think happens when an attempt is made to add two textual numbers?


Addition, concatenation, what gives?

JavaScript doesn't really care what's in a string of text-it's all characters to JavaScript. So the fact that the strings hold numeric characters makes no difference... string concatenation is still performed, resulting in an unexpected result if the intent was numeric addition.


The result is a string that doesn't look like mathematical addition at all.
Since these are strings and not numbers, they are "added" using string concatenation.

\section*{parselnt() and parseFloat(): converts text to a number}

Despite the addition/concatenation problem, there are legitimate situations where you need to perform a mathematical operation on a number that you've got stored as a string. In these cases, you need to convert the string to a number before performing any numeric operations on it. JavaScript provides two handy functions for carrying out this type of conversion:

\section*{parseInt()}

Give this function a string and it converts the string to an integer

\section*{parseFloat()}

Give this function a string and it converts the string to a floating point (decimal) number

Each of these built-in functions accepts a string and returns a number after carrying out the conversion:


Keep in mind that the parseInt () and parseFloat () functions aren't guaranteed to always work. They're only as good as the information you provide them. They'll do their best at converting strings to numbers, but the idea is that you should be providing them with strings that only contain numeric characters.


Don't worry if this function stuff is still a little confusing.

You'll get the formal lowdown on functions a little later-for now all you really need to know is that functions allow you pass them information and then give you back something in return.

This code is a problem because the s character confuses the function.

Surprise, surprise, the result is Not a Number.

\section*{Why are extra donuts being ordered?}

Take a closer look at the just-in-time donut order form. We should be able to figure out why so many donuts are being accidentally ordered...


We can divide the subtotal by the price for each donut...and the answer is how many donuts are getting ordered.

The order subtotal.
 actually ordered... hmmm.

Remember "1" + "2" \(=\) " 12 "? Looks when you consider that form data is always stored as strings regardless of what it is. Even though numbers are entered into the form fields, from a JavaScript perspective, they're really just text. So we just need to convert the strings to actual numbers to prevent a numeric addition from being misinterpreted as a string concatenation.
```
    Sharpen your pencil
Using the pieces of code below to grab the contents of the donut quantity form fields, write the missing lines of code in Duncan's updateOrder () function so that the donut quantities are converted from strings to numbers.
document.getElementById("cakedonuts").value
```

```
function updateOrder() {
```
function updateOrder() {
    const TAXRATE = 0.0925;
    const TAXRATE = 0.0925;
    const DONUTPRICE = 0.50;
    const DONUTPRICE = 0.50;
    var numCakeDonuts =
    var numCakeDonuts =
    var numGlazedDonuts =
    var numGlazedDonuts =
    if (isNaN(numCakeDonuts))
    if (isNaN(numCakeDonuts))
        numCakeDonuts = 0;
        numCakeDonuts = 0;
    if (isNaN(numGlazedDonuts))
    if (isNaN(numGlazedDonuts))
        numGlazedDonuts = 0;
        numGlazedDonuts = 0;
    var subTotal = (numCakeDonuts + numGlazedDonuts) * DONUTPRICE;
    var subTotal = (numCakeDonuts + numGlazedDonuts) * DONUTPRICE;
    var tax = subTotal * TAXRATE;
    var tax = subTotal * TAXRATE;
    var total = subTotal + tax;
    var total = subTotal + tax;
    document.getElementById("subtotal").value = "$" + subTotal.toFixed(2);
    document.getElementById("subtotal").value = "$" + subTotal.toFixed(2);
    document.getElementById("tax").value = "$" + tax.toFixed(2);
    document.getElementById("tax").value = "$" + tax.toFixed(2);
    document.getElementById("total").value = "$" + total.toFixed(2);
    document.getElementById("total").value = "$" + total.toFixed(2);
}
```

Using the pieces of code below to grab the contents of the donut quantity form fields, write the missing lines of code in Duncan's updateOrder () function so that the donut quantities are converted from strings to numbers.
document.getElementById("cakedonuts").value

Since both numbers are integers, parselnt() is used for the conversion.
function updateOrder() \{
const TAXRATE \(=0.0925\);
const DONUTPRICE \(=0.50\);
var numCakeDonuts =
parselnt(document.getElementByld "Cakedonuts").value);
var numGlazedDonuts =
parselnt(document.getElementByld("glazeddonuts").value);
if (isNaN(numCakeDonuts)) numCakeDonuts \(=0\);
if (isNaN (numGlazedDonuts)) numGlazedDonuts \(=0\);
var subTotal \(=\) (numCakeDonuts + numGlazedDonuts) * DONUTPRICE;
var tax \(=\) subTotal * TAXRATE;
var total \(=\) subTotal + tax;
document.getElementById("subtotal").value \(=\) "\$" + subTotal.toFixed(2);
document.getElementById("tax").value = "\$" + tax.toFixed(2);
document.getElementById("total").value = "\$" + total.toFixed(2);

The to Fixed() function rounds the dollar values to two decimal places.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Although not a strict JavaScript requirement, it's a good coding convention to name constants in ALL UPPERCASE and variables in lowerCamelCase.
- Always initialize constants when you create them, and initialize variables whenever possible.
- When a variable isn't initialized, it remains undefined until a value is eventually assigned to it.
- NaN stands for Not a Number, and is used to indicate that a piece of data is not a number when the expectation is that it should be.
- String concatenation is very different from mathematical addition, even though both use the familiar plus sign (+).
- The built-in parseInt () and parseFloat () functions are used to convert strings to numbers.

\section*{You figured out the problem...}

Duncan is thrilled with the JavaScript code fixes you made. He's finally receiving orders that are accurate.... and business is booming.


Of course, it's risky to assume that a few quick fixes here and there will solve your problems for all eternity. In fact, sometimes the peskiest problems are exposed by unexpected outside forces...

\section*{Duncan discovers donut espionage}

Duncan's got a new problem: a weasel competitor named Frankie. Frankie runs the hotdog business across the street from Duncan, and is now offering a Breakfast Hound. Problem is, Frankie's playing dirty and submitting bogus donut orders with no names. So now we have orders


Duncan is wasting precious time, energy, and donuts filling bogus orders... and he needs you to make sure all the form data has been entered before allowing an order to go through.

\section*{Use getElementByld() to grab form data}

In order to check the validity of form data, you need a way to grab the data from your Web page. The key to to accessing a web page element with JavaScript is the id attribute of the HTML tag:


JavaScript allows you to retrieve a web page element with its ID using a function called getElementById (). This function doesn't grab an element's data directly, but instead provides you with the HTML field itself, as a JavaScript object. You then access the data through the field's value property.
\(\longleftarrow\) Technically, getElementByld() is a method on the document object, and not a function.
document.getElementById ()

Give this method the ID of an element on a web page and it gives you back the element itself, which can then be used to access web data

The getElementByld()
method belongs to
the document object.

\section*{Don't sweat objects, properties, and methods right now.}

JavaScript supports an advanced data type called an object that allows you to do some really cool things. In fact, the JavaScript language itself is really just a bunch of objects. We'll talk a lot more about objects later in the book-for now, just know that a method is a lot like a function, and a property is a lot like a variable.


With this code in hand, you're now ready to check Duncan's form data to make sure the fields aren't empty before accepting an order.

\section*{Validate the web form's data}

You need to check to make sure a name is entered into the donut form. Not entering the number of minutes until pick-up could also be a problem, since the whole point is to provide hot donuts just in time. So, best case, you want to ensure both pieces of data are filled-in and valid.

Checking for empty data in a form field is a matter of checking to see if the form field value is an empty string ("").

document.getElementById("name").value
\(\underbrace{\text { C }}_{\text {rder needs }}\)
If the name field value is an empty string, then you know the order needs to be halted and the user should get asked to enter their name. The same thing goes for the minutes field, except it's also helpful to go a step further and look to see if the data in that field is a number. The built-in isNaN () function is what makes this check possible - you pass it a value and it tells you whether the value is not a number (true) or if it is a number (false).


\section*{An empty string is a clue that a form field has no data.}



\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The placeOrder () function is where the name and pick-up minutes data validation takes place. Use the magnets to finish writing the code that checks for the existence of name and pick-up minutes data, along with making sure that the pick-up minutes entered is a number. You'll need to use each magnet, and some magnets more than once.

```
"pickupminutes"
"pickupminutes"
```

\section*{"name"}


\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The placeOrder () function is where the name and pick-up minutes data validation takes place. Use the magnets to finish writing the code that checks for the existence of name and pick-up minutes data, along with making sure that the pick-up minutes entered is a number. All of the magnets are used, and some are used several times.


\section*{You saved Duncan's Donuts... again!}

The new and improved just-in-time donut form with data validation has put an end to Frankie's pastry espionage, and also made the page more robust for real customers. Using JavaScript to protect the integrity of data entered by the user is a win-win, especially in the cutthroat breakfast biz!


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q:How does the plus sign (+) know to add or concatenate?
A: - Like many things in JavaScript, functionality is determined by context. This means the plus sign takes a look at the two things being "added" and decides whether to numerically add them or concatenate them as text based upon their data types. You already know that "adding" two words means sticking them end-to-end. But problems can occur when you mistakenly assume that you're working with one type of data when it's actually another. That's another reason why it's always a good idea to check to make sure you provide numeric data when you intend numeric addition, and text for text.

\section*{Q: What happens when you attempt to add a string to a number?}

A: Since number-to-string conversion is automatic in JavaScript, mixing the two data types in an addition always results in a string concatenation. So, the number first gets converted to a string, and then the two strings get concatenated. If you intended to add the two numbers, you need to explicitly convert the string to a number using parseInt() or parseFloat().

©What happens if you use parseInt () to convert a string containing a decimal number?
A: : Don't worry, nothing catches on fire. All that happens is that JavaScript assumes you don't care about the fractional part of the number, so it returns only the integer portion of the number.

\section*{Q: How does the id HTML attribute tie web elements to JavaScript code?}

A:Think of the id attribute as the portal through which JavaScript code accesses HTML content. When people say JavaScript code runs on a web page, they don't literally mean the web page itself-they mean the browser. In reality, JavaScript code is fairly insulated from HTML code, and can only access it through very specific mechanisms. One of these mechanisms involves the id attribute, which lets JavaScript retrieve an HTML element. Tagging a web element with an ID allows the element to be found by JavaScript code, opening up all kinds of scripting possibilities.


Q: That's pretty vague. How specifically does JavaScript code access an HTML element?

A: The getElementById() method of the document object is the key to accessing an HTML element from JavaScript, and this method uses the id attribute of the element to find it on the page. HTML IDs are like JavaScript identifiers in that they should be unique within a given page. Otherwise, the getElementById () method would have a tough time knowing what web element to return.

\footnotetext{
Q: I know you said we'll talk more about them in Chapter 9, but objects have already come up a few times. What are they?
}

A: We're jumping ahead a little here, so don't tell anyone. Objects are an advanced JavaScript data type that can combine functions, constants, and variables into one logical entity. A method is just a function that is part of an object, while a property is a variable or constant in an object. On a practical level, JavaScript uses objects to represent just about everything-the browser window is an object, as is the web page document. That's why the getElementById() method must be called through the document object-it's a part of the object, which represents the entire web page. OK, back to Chapter 2...

QI still don't understand the difference between a web page element and its value. What gives?
A: : Web page elements are exposed to JavaScript as objects, which means they have properties and methods you can use to manipulate them. One of these properties is value, which holds the value stored in the element. As an example, the value of a form field is the data entered into the field.

Q: Why is it necessary to know if a value is not a number? Wouldn't it make more sense to see if it is a number?

A:: Good question. What it boils down to is why you care about a value being a number or not. In most cases the assumption is that you're dealing with a number, so it makes sense to check for the exception (the unexpected). By checking for NaN, you're able to make number-handling script code more robust, and hopefully alleviate a weird computation involving a non-number.

\section*{Strive for intuitive user input}

Now that Duncan is no longer putting out fires, he really wants to improve the user experience of the just-in-time donut form. Just as the "hot donuts" sign is intuitive to people passing by his storefront, he wants the online form to be similarly intuitive. Duncan knows that donuts are typically ordered and served in dozens. Very few people order 12 or 24 donutsthey order 1 or 2 dozen donuts. He thinks the donut form should allow users to enter data in the most natural way possible.

Problem is, the current script doesn't take into account the user entering the word "dozen" when specifying the quantity of donuts.


Is it possible for the donut script to allow users to enter either a number or a number and the word "dozen" for ordering by the dozen? How?


\section*{If the user wants a "dozen," multiply by 12!}

The order-by-the-dozen option can be added to the donut script by checking the user input for the word "dozen" before calculating the subtotal. If the word "dozen" appears, just multiply the number by 12 . Otherwise, use the number as-is since it refers to individual donuts.
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The number entered is multiplied by 12 since the word "dozen" appears in the input data.

3 * \(12=36\)


The custom parseDonuts () function is responsible for processing donut quantity input data. It first converts the data to a number, and then checks for the appearance of the word "dozen" in the input data. If "dozen" appears, the number of donuts is multiplied by 12 . Get this recipe at http://werwe. headfirstlabs.com/books/hfis/.
```
function parseDonuts(donutString) { word "dozen" appears
    numDonuts = parseInt(donutString); in the input data.
    if (donutString.indexOf("dozen") != -1)
```
        numDonuts *= 12;
    return numDonuts;
\}


Multiply the number of donuts by 12 .

\section*{Parsing dozens of donuts}

The parseDonuts () function is called in the updateOrder () function, which is when the subtotal and total are calculated from the user-entered data.


\section*{Just-in-time donuts a smashing success!}

Life is good now that Duncan and his just-in-time hot donut idea has been fully realized in a JavaScript-powered page that carefully validates orders entered by the user.


JavaScriptcross
Data isn't always stored in JavaScript code. Sometimes it gets stored in the rows and columns of a crossword puzzle, where it waits patiently for you to uncover it.


\section*{Across}
4. When you set the value of a piece of data upon creating it, you \(\qquad\) it.
6 . The unique name used to reference a piece of data.
7. The JavaScript keyword used to create a variable.
9. 3.14, 11, and 5280 are all this data type.
10. A coding convention that involves naming identifiers with mixed case, as in ThislsMyName.
11. It's not a num-bah.
12. A piece of information whose value can change.
13. An piece of data with an on/off value would be stored as this data type.

\section*{Down}
1. A piece of data whose value cannot change.
2. The data type used to store characters, words, and phrases.
3. When a value isn't set for a variable or constant, the data is considered \(\qquad\)
5. The built-in JavaScript function used to convert a string to an integer.
8. The process of checking to make sure user-entered data is accurate is called \(\qquad\)
10. The JavaScript keyword used to create a constant.

2 JavaScriptcross Solution


Fold the page vertically to line up the two brains and solve the riddle.


What do we all want for our script data?

\section*{Page Benper}

THe It's a meeting of the minds!

\(\qquad\)


> User input is the kind of data that you shouldn't trust. It's just not safe to assume that users will enter data and check to make sure it is 0 K . A more secure storage solution involves using JavaScript.

\section*{3 exploring the client}


Sometimes JavaScript needs to know what's going on in the world around it. Your scripts may begin as code in web pages, but they ultimately live in a world created by the browser, or client. Smart scripts often need to know more about the world they live in, in which case they can communicate with the browser to find out more about it. Whether it's finding out the screen size or accessing the browser's snooze button, scripts have an awful lot to gain by cultivating their browser relationships.

\section*{Clients, servers, and JavaScript}

When you click a hyperlink or type a URL into your web browser, the browser requests the page from a web server, which then delivers the page back to the browser, or web client. JavaScript doesn't enter the picture until just before the browser displays the page. The JavaScript code in the page then works in concert with the web browser to respond to user interactions and modify the page as needed. The part of the web browser that rums JavaScript code is called the JavaScript interpreter.



\section*{ctrrain \\ POWER}

Are there other tasks that make more sense to carry out on the client instead of the server?

\section*{What can a browser do for you?}

Your client web browser is responsible for running JavaScript code, which allows scripts access to the client environment. For example, a script can get the width and height of the browser window, as well as a history of visited web pages, Other interesting browser features that are open to JavaScript include a timing mechanism that works sort of like an alarm clock, and access to cookies, which allow you to store data that hangs around even after you leave a page or close the browser.

\section*{Browser metrics}

Browser metrics indude various measurements associated with the size of the browser window, the viewable web page, and even information about the browser vendor and version number.


\section*{Browser history}

The browser history is the list
 of recent pages visited. You can use JavaScript to access this list of pages and direct the browser to one of them, effectively creating your own browser navigation controls.

\section*{Cookies}

Cookies are like variables that get stored on the user's hard


\section*{Timers}

Timers allow you
to trigger a piece of JavaScript code after a specified amount of time has clapsed. drive by the browser so that they last beyond a single web session. In other words, you can leave a page and come back, and the data's still there.

\begin{abstract}
These features are not all the client has to offer your scripts, but they should give you the idea that there's more to JavaScript than what exists within the page. In fact, there are plenty of situations where it's helpful to look beyond the page and get a little help from the browser.
\end{abstract}

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

\section*{O: \\ So JavaScript is part of the client?}

A:: Yes. Web browsers that support JavaScript come with a JavaScript interpreter that's responsible for reading JavaScript code from a page and then running that code.
Q: If JavaScript code runs on the client, how does it relate to the server?

A: JavaScript code doesn't typically have a direct association with a web server since it runs solely on the client. JavaScript is commonly used to intercept web data as it is relayed from server to browser. However, it's possible to write scripts that request information from the server and then process and display that information on the page. That scripting technique is called Ajax, and we talk about how to use it in Chapter 12.

Q: Does JavaScript allow you to control the client?

A:: Yes and no. Although web browsers allow JavaScript to access certain parts of the client environment, they don't allow JavaScript unlimited freedom for security reasons. For example, most browsers don't allow scripts to open or close windows without the user's approval.

\section*{The Rock is too happy}

Remember the BRock? Your JavaScript was such a success that it got bought out by Alan, a young entrepreneur. But he's called you back in, because there are some problems... Users are unnerved by the iRoek's persistent state of happiness. Sure, we all want our pets to be happy, but the iRock seems to have a severely limited emotional range.


The problem with the iRock has to do with user expectations. The idea behind a virtual pet is that it should be as much like a real pet as possible. Your challenge is to figure out how to improve the behavior of the iRock to make it more realistic. And it seems as if the client web browser may hold some of the solutions to the iRock problem...


\section*{The iRock needs to be more responsive}

Let's consider some possible behaviors for the iRock, with the goal of trying to make the rock more realistic and engaging to the user, not to mention more interactive. Ideally, the iRock should become more responsive to the user as it increases its range of emotional behavior.


Which of these behaviors makes sense for the iRock to have? How would you use JavaScript to implement these behaviors in the iRock script?


\section*{JavaScript lets you know when the user is doing something... and when they're not.}

The idea of a rock that gets lonely is interesting because it prods the user to interact with the rock without guilt overload, and it rewards the user with a positive response from the iRock. The challenge is to somehow use JavaScript to change the emotional state of the iRock over time. The idea is to wait for a certain amount of time, and then change the iRock's state if the user hasn't clicked it and the time clapses.


\section*{Timers connect action to elapsed time}

JavaScript allows you to set timers. A JavaScript timer works like an alarm clock: you tell it how long to wait, and when that amount of time expires, a certain piece of code will run. Unlike an alarm clock, however, JavaScript timers are triggered when a certain amount of time has passed, as opposed to triggering at a certain time. This isn't a problem, it just means you need to think in terms of time delays instead of exact times of day:


The cool thing about JavaScript timers is that they allow you to run any code you want when they expire. Some web pages with regularly changing data use timers to refresh themselves after a certain delay, while others use timers to detect when the user hasn't interacted with the page in a while.

Timers let your run JavaScript code after a certain amount of time has elapsed.

\section*{Breaking down a timer}

The two key pieces to setting a timer in JavaScript is 1) establishing the time delay and 2) letting the timer know what code to run when the delay elapses. The timer starts ticking down from the moment you set it.



\section*{Set a timer with setTimeout()}

The built-in JavaScript function that makes (one-shot) timers possible is setTimeout (). The two pieces of information you'll need for the function are the timer delay and the code to run when the timer expires (available at hitp://wues:headfirstlabs.com/books/hfsd/), but not necessarily in that order. Here's an example:
```
The setTimeout() function
sets a one-shot timer.
```
setTimeout ("alert('Wake up!');", 600000);

The JavaSeript code is
provided to set Timeout() as
a string of text, which is
why it must be inside quotes

The timer delay is 600,000 milliseconds which is 600 seconds, which is 10 minutes.
\({ }^{\circ}\)
Don't ever put commas in a JavaScript number, even if it's a really big one.

This call to the setTimeout () function creates a umer that waits 10 minutes and then displays an alert box.


\section*{A closer look: the setTimeout() function}

Here's the general form that the setTimeout () function takes:


Setting an interval timer is similar to setting a one-shot timer, except you call the set Interval () function instead of set Timeout (). The end resule of setting an interval timer is code that gets run over and over as each interval delay expires:



\section*{Now the iRock gets lonely!}

Be sure you made the changes in your irock. htmi that are detailed above, and give the iRock a spin. The iRock now exhibits loneliness when left alone (not clicked by the user) for five minutes. Granted, this time delay may make the rock seem a bit needy but the idea is to keep the user engaged. Besides, a pet in need is a pet indeed! Or something like that...



\section*{The Browser Exposed}

This week's interview: Confessions of a web client

Head First: Thanks for taking some time out of your busy day to sit down for a chat.

Browser: Busy is right. As if I didn't have my hands full with HTML and CSS, and all the page rendering headaches involved with those two characters, now I have to contend with JavaScript. That's a whole different animal.
Head First: What do you mean? Is JavaScript wild and untamed?

Browser: Uh, no. 1 didn't mean "animal" literally. I just meant that JavaScript brings its own unique set of problems that I have to worry about. Now I have this whole new job of reading JavaScript code, hoping for the life of me that it isn't coded poorly, and then running the code while simultaneously keeping a close eye on HTMI. and CSS.

Head First: I see. How do those three get along?
Browser: Fortunately, that's the least of my problems. Those three guys usually work well together, although occasionally JavaScript will get frisky and mangle some HTML code. Problem is, there usually isn't anything I can do about it because my job is pretty much to do as I'm told.

Head First: So you fashion yourself as somewhat of a "yes man?"
Browser: I suppose that's one way to put it, but it's more accurate to say that I value consistency above all else. I do things by the book. My job is take the code the server gives me and do exactly as it says.
Head First: Even if you know it's wrong?
Browser: I try my best to sort out problems when I see them but that's a tough gig. Besides, that's a topic for another day (Chapter 11). It thought we were going to talk about my role as a web client.

Head First: Oh yeah, I lost track. So what does it mean to be a client?

Browser: Well, it primarily means that I stand on the receiving end of the web page delivery channel, receiving pages after requesting them from the server.
Head First: What does that have to do with JavaScript?
Browser: An awful lot, actually. As I handle all the dirty work of displaying web pages and processing user input, JavaScript is there next to me sticking his nose in and changing things. But that's not all bad. There are lots of neat things JavaScript can do that I wouldn't dare do by myself.

\section*{Head First: Such as?}

Browser: Well, I would never take it upon myself to do anything special when a user hovers the mouse over an image or resizes my window, for example. JavaScript, on the other hand, is all about doing special things. It's no big deal for a script to change the appearance of the page or otherwise shuffle content in response to client changes. And it's OK by me because JavaScript code runs on a page by page basis, so it only impacts a specific page or webs site.
Head First: You talk about JavaScript as if its some other entity. Isn't JavaScript really just you?
Browser: It's both. JavaScript is certainly part of me but you can think of it as its own entity because it can only access the client (me) through a limited interface. In other words, I don't give JavaScript unbridled access to everything about me. That would be a litte irresponsible since I can't control who writes scripts and asks me to run them.
Head First: Got it. Well thanks for clearing up some of this client stuft.

Browser: Glad to help.

\section*{Multiple size screens, multiple complaints}

Alan had barely finished paying you for the iRock's emotional makeover when a new wave of complaints started rolling in from frustrated iRock owners. It seems the size of the iRock isn't very consistent, with some users reporting "shrinking rock syndrome," while others are experiencing an acute fear of "giant partial rock formations." You're the guy Alan trusts, so time to enm-some-mow cath fix the iRock again.


\section*{Use the document object to get the client window's width}

The iRock problem has to do with the fact that the size of the iRock doesn't change when the size of the browser window changes. This might seem like a good thing until you consider the dramatic variation in browser sizes across all computers capable of browsing the Web, including tiny handheld devices and desktop computers with gigantic monitors. You need a way to check the size of the browser window, which can then be used as a measure to resize the rock image.

The client window is only the part of the browser window that displays a web page.


\section*{Use document object properties to set the client window width}

\section*{document}

The document
-bject represents the web page itself.
The client window size is closely associated with the web page, which you access in JavaScript using the document object. This is the same object you used to access elements on the page with the getElementById() method. The body.clientWidthand body.clientHeight properties of the document hold the width and height of the client window.
```
<html>
    chead>
        <title>iRock - The Virtual Pet Rock</title>
        <script type="text/javascript">
            var userName;
            function greetUser() |
                alert('Hello, I am your pet rock.') :
            f
            function touchRock()
                if (userName)
                    alert("I Iike the attention, " + userName + ". Thank you.");
                |
                else !
                    userName - prompt("What is your name?", "Enter your name here,");
                    if (userName)
                            alert ("It is good to meet you, " + userName + ".");
                l
                    document.getElementById("rockImg").src = "rock happy.png";
                setTimeout ("document.getElementById('rockImg').arc - 'rock.png';",
                    5 * 60 * 1000);
        1
        </acript>
    </head>
    <body onload""greetUser();">
                                <div style-"margin-top:100px; text-aliga:center">
            <img id="rockImg" sro="rock.png" alt="iRock" style="cursor:pointer"
                onclick="touchRock();" />
        </div>
    </body>
</html>
```
The body of the document represents
the visible part of the page, including
client height and client width.
there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: So just to be clear, what's the difference between a web client, a browser, a client window, and a browser window? A: A: Yeah, it can be a little contusing. In terms of the Web in general, a browser is referred to as the web client because it's on the client side of the serving of web pages. Within a browser, however, "client" takes on a different meaning, because it refers to the specific area of the browser window where the page appears. So the client window is an area within the browser window that doesn't include the other stuff like titte bars, tool bars, scroll bars, etc.

Q: Why is the client window the preferred measurement to use when resizing the iRock?

A:\(A\) : The client window provides a better measurement for resizing the rock image because it reflects the actual amount of space in which the image is displayed. This eliminates variations that are difficult to account for, such as add-on toolbars and natural differences in browser windows across different plattorms and browser vendors. For example, Safari on the Mac has a different browser window size than Firefox on Windows, even it the displayable part of each-the client vindow-is the same.

\section*{Set the height and width of the iRock image}

Knowing the client window size isn't all that useful in the iRock script without being able to resize the rock image as well. Fortunately, you can tweak the size of an image using JavaScript with a little help from CSS (even if CSS isn't your style). The width and height properties of an image element not only allow you to initially determine how big an image is, but also allow you to dynamically resize the image when needed.

<img id="rockImq" src="rock.png" alt="iRock" ... />


To change the size of the iRock image, just set the width or height property to a value. Setting either property alone will work, because the other property will automatically scale itself to keep the image's same proportions:
document.getElementById("rockImg").style.height = "100px";
\(\uparrow\)
You don't have to set the width. it
will get scaled and stay proportional to
the new height

\section*{The iRock should be sized to the page}

You still don't have a calculation to use to alter the rock image size based upon the client window size. Since the rock size must change in proportion to the client window size, we want to set the rock size as a percentage of the client window size.
But should you base the rock size on the width or height of the client window? Since browsers tend to be more tightly constrained vertically, it's safer to base the rock image size on the height of the client window.



\section*{DULET POINTS}
- The set Timeout () function allows you to create a one-shot timer that triggers JavaScript code after a period of time has elapsed.
- To set a timer that repeats at a certain interval, use set Interval (), which will create an interval timer.
- Always specity timer durations in milliseconds, which are thousandths of a second
- Web page elements have a style object you use to set style properties, such as width and height.
- The client window is the part of the browser window that displays the web page and nothing else.
- You can access the width and height of the client window using the body. cl ientWidth and body. clientHeight properties of the document object.

\section*{Your iRock...evolves!}

With your code changes, the iRock has evolved to adapt to each unique browser environment. Make sure you update your i Rock. html (available at http://icwauheadfirstlabs.com/books/hfis/) to match page 104, and then load it in several browsers and different window sizes. Try it out on your shiny iPhone if you want, too!


Users are no longer reporting problems with their pets, and Alan's just about ready to give you a ton of stock options. Everyone is happy... for now.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}: I still don't get the point of the 100 in the iRuck image size calculation. What's the deal?

\section*{A:}
1. The HTMLICSS code for the iRock page places the rock image 100 pixels down the page so that it isn't jammed against the top of the client window. The calculation accounts for this aesthetic positioning by subtracting the 100 -pixel offset before figuring the rock height as a percentage (90\%) of the client window height. There's nothing magical about 100 pixels, it just happens to position the rock in a good spot on most browsers.

Q:
: Can I change the size of anything I want using the width and height CSS style properties?
A:
: Pretty much. This hopetully is starting to give you a clue as to how powertul JavaScript can be when it comes to manipulating web page content. In the case of the iRock script, it's the power of being able to query the client window for its size and then using it as the basis for changing the size of an image.

Q: Why not just change the iRock immaye size in JavaScript code in the liead of the page, as opposed to using the onload event?

A:: This problem has to do with web page content not getting loaded until the onload event fires. So if your JavaScript code accesses elements on the page, as the iRock code does, you can't run any code earlier than the onload event.


\section*{onresize is triggered when the browser's resized}

In order for the rock image size to maintain its size in proportion to the client window of the browser, your script needs to know when the user resizes their browser window. Browser resizes are communicated using an event called onresize. The onresize event is fired whenever the browser window is resized, and ir's just what you need to catch in order to resize the rock image when the browser window size changes.


To respond to an onres ize event, just assign JavaScript code to the onresize attribute of the <body> tag.


\section*{The onresize event resizes the rock}

Now it's time to reap the rewards of creating a function that resizes the rock image. To resize the rock image in response to a browser window resize, you have to call the resizeRock () function in response to the onresize event:
\(\checkmark\) Triggered when the page first loads.
<body onIoad="resizeRock(); greetUser();"


Triggered when the browser
\(\downarrow\) window is resized.
onresize="resizeRock();"• 1
Now the resizeRock() function is also called any time the browser window is resized.

The iRock's image size now automatically adjusts whenever the user changes the browser window size.

> The onresize event makes it possible to detect and respond to changes in the browser window size.



\section*{Have we met? Recognizing the user}

The iRock size problems are now a thing of the past... but what about when users click the iRock more than once to keep it from feeling lonely? And when they come back to the rock after


Even though the iRock has definitely met its owner at some point in the past, it is somehow forgetting the user's name...

\section*{Every script has a life cycle}

The iRock's memory loss is related to the life cycle of a script,


JavaScript destroys ALL


The script stops, variables when the browser closes or the page reloads. avascript cleans up all variables, and the page is closed


\section*{crRAIN POWER}

So how would you tweak the code to fix the problem of the iRock forgetting the user's name?

\section*{Cookies outlive your script's life cycle}

The problem that we're having with the iRock has a name, and it's called persistence. Or actually it's the lack of persistence. Sometimes you need data that never really goes away. Unfortunately, JavaScript variables are fleeting, and are destroyed the moment the browser closes or the page refreshes, Browser cookies offer a way to store data persistently so that it lasts beyond the life cycle of a script.
A cookie is a piece of data stored by the browser on the user's computer. Cookies are a lot like JavaScript variables except that cookies hang around after you close the browser, reload a page, turn off your computer, remodel your house, ete. This makes cookies a handy option for storing the user's name in the iRock script.


\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: Variable and cookie weigh the importance of persistent data storage}

\section*{Variable:}

I don't understand why we're talking - you don't really have anything to do with JavaScript.

I see where you're headed. You think that I'm somehow a lesser data storage option because I get clobbered every time the browser is closed or a page is reloaded. Still, I am vap accessible... unlike some people.

That may be, but don't you live in tight quarters among a bunch of other cookies?

Well, if the rumors are true, it takes a lot of effort to look up a single cookic... you're all just stored in one big list. What a pain! That's what I mean by inaccessible.

\section*{Cookie:}

Well, you're half right. I definitely do my thing without the help of JavaScript, but 1 still have an important connection to JavaScript, too. 1 provide a way to store data persistently. And as you've probably figured out, JavaScript isn't big on persistence.

Inaccessible? T'm ahways right there in the browser, ready to be called upon at any time.

Yes... and?
\[
x=0
\]

Yes... and:

Well, yeah, us cookies are stored in a big list, but we have unique names, so it's not all that hard to get to us. You just have to know how to break apart the list to find a particular name.

Write down some other kinds of web page data that you might store persistently using cookies.

\author{
User ID, shopping cart contents, geographical location, language
}

\section*{Variable:}

Right, but that's the problem. There are no lists or anything involved in accessing me. Just call my name... and l'll be there!

Permanence is great but it doesn't solve everyday problems. When you really think about it, not all that much data really needs to last forever: In fact, it's usually more efficient to store data temporarily and let it go away when you're finished with it. That's where I come into play. I'm the ultimate temporary storage medium for script data.

Interesting, but how do you think those items got added to the shopping cart to begin with? Most shopping carts rely on me to store temporary data throughout the shopping experience. I'm just as important... maybe even more important. Even if I do tend to forget things a little more quickly.

I think you're right. We solve different problems and really shouldn't ever be competing. Although, I have to admit, I still prefer my case of accessibility over your ability to store things persistently:

\section*{Cookie:}

You don't need to sing. I get the point. But here's the real issue. When you store something in me, I always remember it. It doesn't matter if the browser is closed or the page is reloaded. Im permanent... unless the user chooses to clear out all cookies. But that's another issue.

Whatever... I think you're underestimating how important permanent data storage can be. Haven't you ever been amazed by the magic of returning to a shopping cart days after browsing, only to find everything still there? That's the kind of magic I make possible!

It's starting to sound as if maybe we complement cacti othec. I always saw you as a nemesis.

You couldn't resist a parting jab, ch? I'll take the high road and rest easy knowing that as soon as this page turns you'll forget the entire conversation.

What conversation?


\section*{Cookies have a name and store a valve...and can \\ expire}

A cookie stores a single piece of data under a unique name, much like a variable. Unlike a variable, athough, a cookic can have an expiration date. When this expiration date arrives, the cookie is destroyed. So in reality cookies aren't truly permanent, they just live longer than variables. You can create a cookie without an expiration date, but this makes it act just like a JavaScript variableit gets erased when the browser closes.

Cookies are stored on a user's computer as one big long string of text that is associated with a web site (or domain). Each cookie is separated from the next by a semicolon (i). The semicolons are the key to reading through the cookic list and pulling out a Name
Expires 3/9/2009 The unique name of the cookie.
Expiration date
The date when the cookie expires..
and meets its demise. single cookic.


Reapy Bake
JavaScript
It's okay if you don't get all of this now youll understand it by the time you're through

Here's the code for three cookie helper functions, which allow you to write, read, and erase cookies with ease. Sometimes the wisest approach is to coast on the work of others. Take this recipe (available for download at hittp://wace.houdfirstlabs.com/books/hffs/) and make the most of your homemade cookic functions.
```
function writeCookie(name, value, days) fo the cookie is temporary
    var expires = "*
    // Specifying a number of days makes the cookie persistent
    if (days) l
        var date = new Date();
            L
        date.setTime(date.getTime() + (days * 24 * 60 * 60 * 1000));
        expires = "; expires=" + date,toGMTString();
        l
        1/ Set the cookie to the name, value, and expiration date
        document,cookie = name + "=n + value + expires + "; path=/";
}
    function readCookie(name)
        // Find the specified cookie and return its value
        var searchName= name + "="
        var cookies = document.cookie.split(1,1)
        for(var i=0; i < cookies.length; i++) {
            var c = cookies[i];
            while (c.charAt (0)== ' ')
                c}=c.substring(I, c.length)
            if (c.index0f(searchName) == 0)
                return c.substring(searchName.length, c.length);
        )
        return null;
    1
    function eraseCookie(name) I
        // Erase the specified cookie
        writeCookie(name, "", -1);
    ]
```



Create a blank file, nàme it cookie js, and add this code to the file

\section*{Your JavaScript can live OUTSIDE your web page}

When JavaScript code is stored in its own file, you have to import it into any web page that plans on using the code. So in the case of the cookie functions in cookie. js, you'll need to import them into your iRock.html page. This is done with a variation of the <script> tag:

Don't forget to close with the </script> tag
<script type="text/javascript" src="cookie.js"></script>

The type of the script code is always text/javascript for JavaScript code



\section*{Greet the user with a cookie}

We need a cookie-powered version of the iRock seript that can greet the user with a personal greeting, assuming their name has already been stored in a cookie. If not, the greeting can just fall back on a generic, impersonal greeting. It's the best of both worlds!



\section*{greetllserll is cookie-powered now}

What's really going on in the greetUser () function is a data duct sung by a variable and a cookic. The user's name is read from the cookie and stored in the variable. But you can't count on the cookie holding a name... what if this is the first time the script has run and the user has never entered a name? That's why the code checks to see if the variable really got a name from the cookie - this is the test that determines whether the greeting is personal or generic.

\section*{Don't forget to set the cookie, too}

Reading the iRock cookie is fine and dandy, but you've still got to set the cookie in the first place. The cookie writing should take place in the touchRock () function, which is called when the user clicks the rock image. The touchRock () function already prompts the user to enter a name-now it needs to write that name to a cookic after it's entered.



\section*{Cookies affect browser security}

Although most iRock users are thrilled with cookies as a cure for memory loss, a few users have questioned the security risks of cookies. This is a fair question since personal data often gets stored in cookies, but the reality is that cookies do not pose a security risk....at least not in terms of accessing sensitive data that is stored on your computer. However cookies themselves aren't considered safe for storage, so it's not a good idea to store sensitive data in a cookie

\(1 /\)
\(\vdots\) aterJust because you can, doesn't mean Watch it! you should.
Although you can store anything in a cookie, they aren't terribly secure in terms of how they store data. So it's not a good idea to store sensitive data in a cookie.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}


Are cookies always stored on the user's hard disk?

A:\(A:\) No. But the hard disk is where the vast majority of browsers store cookies, not all browsers have access to a hard disk. For example, some mobile devices use special memory instead of hard disks for persistent data storage. In this case, the browser uses persistent memory to store cookies. Even so, from the perspective of the browser (and your scripts). cookies remember their values regardless of how they are stored behind the scenes.

Q: How do I know if my cookie name is unique?

A:
: Cookie names only have to be unique within a given web page. This is because cookies are stored with respect to the page that created them, including the web site of the page. This means the page is effectively part of the cookie name, at least in terms of uniqueness. Bottom line: just make sure your cookies are unique within a given page or site.


O
Is cookie data shared across different browsers?

A:: No. Every browser maintains its own unique database of cookies, so cookies set in Internet Explorer will not be visible to Firefox or Opera.

Q: It cookies are so handy, why would you ever store data on the server?

A:: First of all, cookies are only good for storing relatively small (less than 4 Kb ) chunks of text. That one important limitation of cookies. Even more significant is the fact that cookies aren't particularly efficient, meaning that you vouldn't want to be constantly reading and writing lots of data to them. This is where a real database comes into play, and databases typically live on the server. So while cookies are great for storing small pieces of data that don't necessarily warrant storage on the server in a database, they aren't a solution for all of your web data needs. And they also aren't exactly ideal for storing sensitive data since they aren't designed with security in mind.

Q: Is there any way to create a truly
permanent cookie?

A:: No. Like it or not, every cookie has an expiration date. The idea behind a cookie is not so much true long-term data storage as it is a means to preserve data in the mid-term. In other words, cookies are good for storing data for days, weeks, and months. It you're dealing with data that must linger for longer periods of time, you may want to store it on the server instead. It's not that a cookie cant store data for years, it's just unlikely that the user wont upgrade computers, reinstall their browser, or otherwise clear out cookie data.

Q:
Enough about cookies... is there any downside to storing JavaScript code in an external file?

A:: Not really. However, keep in mind that the goal with external code is to make it easier to share and maintain the code when it needs to be used in more than one web page. If you're dealing with code that only appears in a single page, you really don't benefit much from moving it to an external file. That is, unless the page is particularly messy and you just want to break up the code for your own sanity.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- A cookie is a piece of text data stored by the browser on the user's computer.
- Cookies allow scripts to store data that survives beyond a single web session.
- Every cookie has an expiration date, after which the cookie is destroyed by the browser.
- Moving script code to an external file is a handy way to make the code more reusable and maintainable.
- Cookies can't access a user's hard disk or spread viruses, but they are capable of storing personal data that has been entered in web pages.

\section*{A world without cookies}

Whether it's security concerns or limited browsers, a few iRock users aren't able to benefit from the cookie-powered iRock because cookies aren't available in their browsers. This presents a big problem because the iRock script assumes everyone has cookie support. It's one thing for the iRock to be dependent on cookies for memory, but it's unacceptable to not at least let cookie-less users know they're missing out on the full iRock experience.


The good news is that the browser has a boolean property you can check to see if cookies are available. The cookieEnabled property is part of the navigator object, which provides JavaScript with information about the browser itself.

```
Sharpen your pencil
Write the missing code to check for cookie support in the
greetUser () and touchRock () functions. Also add the
missing code in touchRock () to let the user know that
cookies aren't available.
```
```
function greetUser() {
```
function greetUser() {
        userName = readCookie("irock_username");
        userName = readCookie("irock_username");
    if (userName)
    if (userName)
        alert("Hello " + userName + ", I missed you.");
        alert("Hello " + userName + ", I missed you.");
    else
    else
        alert('Hello, I am your pet rock.');
        alert('Hello, I am your pet rock.');
}
}
function touchRock() {
function touchRock() {
    if (userName) (
    if (userName) (
        alert("I like the attention, " + userName + ". Thank you.");
        alert("I like the attention, " + userName + ". Thank you.");
    l
    l
    else !
    else !
        userName = prompt("What is your name?", "Enter your name here.");
        userName = prompt("What is your name?", "Enter your name here.");
        if (userName) {
        if (userName) {
            alert("It is good to meet you, " + userName + ".");
            alert("It is good to meet you, " + userName + ".");
            else
            else
        t
        t
    I
    I
    document.getElementById("rockImg").src = "rock_happy.png";
    document.getElementById("rockImg").src = "rock_happy.png";
    setTimeout("document.getElementById('rockImg').,sre = 'rock.png';",
    setTimeout("document.getElementById('rockImg').,sre = 'rock.png';",
        5 * 60 * 1000);
        5 * 60 * 1000);
1
```


\section*{Q: \\ : Can you check for client cookie support based upon the type of browser or the version of the browser?}
 results. You can't really trust what browsets say about themselves when it comes to version information, which makes the navigator. cookieEnabled property the only truly reliable way to check for cookie support.

\section*{Talk to the users... it's better than nothing}

Although there's no good way to simulate cookies when they aren't available, gracefully breaking the bad news to the user is worth an awful lot in terms of user satisfaction.


There are worse things than
being up-front about what
the user is missing out on.


\section*{An iRock fit for a JavaScript king}

You've really put some wear and tear on your JavaScript shoes stepping through all the code necessary for making the iRock a success. With a little help from the client, though, the iRock is now more real emotionally, has lost its sizing inconsistencies, and has even improved its memory!

Browser metrics and CSS style properties give the iRock the ability to conform to its environment.



\section*{JavaScriptcross}

Take some time to sit back and give your right brain something to do. It's your standard crossword; all of the solution words are from this chapter.


Across
5. One-thousandth of a second.
7. I'm responsible for managing the list of cookies.
8. A JavaScript mechanism that allows you to run code after a certain period of time has elapsed.
10. A cookie has a name, a value, and an
11. Use one of these to store a piece of information on the client that you might need later.
12. What you do when you reference external JavaScript code from a Web page.

\section*{Down}
1. This kind of timer runs a piece of code repeatedly.
2. This function allows you to create a one-shot timer.
3. When data hangs around after a script finishes running, it is considered
4. When the browser window is resized, the \(\qquad\) event is fired.
6. Another name for a Web browser.
9. Cookies are incapable of spreading these.

\section*{JavaScriptcross Solution}


\section*{Pagee Bender}

Fold the page vertically to line up the two brains
Why should JavaScript care about the client? and solve the riddle.
\(\square\) \(\longleftarrow\) It's a meeting of the minds!

The client is where JavaScript code is run, which means that JavaScript lives on the browser. This is a positive thing because it means the server has less to worry about, such as storing cookies!

\section*{4 decision making}

\section*{'If There's a Fork in the Road, Take It}


Life is aii about making decisions. Stop or go. shake or bake. plea bargain or go to trial... without the ability to make decisions, nothing would ever get done, It works the same in JavaScript-decisions allow scripts to decide between different possible outcomes. Decision-making drives the "story" of your scripts, and even the most mundane scripts involve a story of some sort. Do I trust what the user entered and book her a trip on a Sasquatch expedition? Or else do I double-check that maybe she really just wanted to ride a bus to Saskatchewan? The choice is yours to make!

\section*{Lucky contestant, come on down!}

On today's episode of the thrilling new game show, Wamna Make a Deal,


\section*{Choices are all about making a decision}

Duh, it's written right there on his card! True, but you're taking for granted the fact that the host can make a decision based on what name appears on the card. That's because he's human, and people excel at processing information and making decisions. If the host was a script, things wouldn't be so easy.


\section*{"if" this is true... then do something}

JavaScript is actually quite adept at processing information and making decisions, and one of the ways this happens is with the if statement. The If statement allows you to make simple decisions, conditionally running a piece of JavaScript code based upon a true/false test.


If you look at the game show example through the lens of a JavaScript if
statement, you end up with code like this:


\section*{An if statement evaluates a condition... and then takes action}

(3) Write the code that gets run if the test condition is true.



\section*{Do this...or else.}

Just when you thought JavaScript had everything covered, something out of the ordinary appears. Actually, choosing between more than one outcome isn't out of the ordinary at all... chocolate or vanilla, decaf or regular, it seems many choices actually involve one thing or another. That's why the if statement can be tweaked to allow for making a decision and then taking one of two possible actions...or sometimes even more that.

\section*{Use if to choose between two things}

A twist on the if statement allows you to choose between two possible outcomes. Back at Wanna AIake a Deal, Eric's struggling to make a decision just like that. Presented with two alternatives, he must choose one or the other.


\section*{multiple \\ You can make \(\backslash\) decisions with if}

Using an if statement to take more than one action means turning it into an if/else statement, which gives you the option of running a different piece of code if the true/false test fails. It's like saying if the test is true, run the first piece of code, and if not (else), run the other piece of code.


Eric chooses Case B, which means the chosenCase variable will be "B." So since the first test condition is false, that will trigger the if/else statement to run the el se code. Unfortunately for Eric, Case B contains donuts, not the stack of money he was hoping for.


\section*{Adding an else to your if statement}

The formatting of an \(i f / e 1\) se statement is very similar to the it statement. Just tack on the keyword el se along with the other piece of code to run if the test condition is false:


To add a second course of action to an if statement, follow these steps:
(1) Place the keyword else after the first action statement.
(3) Indent the next line of code a couple of spaces for readability.
(5) Write the code that gets run if the test condition is false.

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb questions}

Q: Why isn't there a semicolon after the parentheses in an if statement?
\(A\) : The rule in JavaScript is that every statement must end with a semicolon, and the if statement is no exception. However, the if statement isn't just if (Test Condition), it's also the code that gets executed if the condition is true. That code does end with a semicolon. So the if statement does end in a semicolon, if you understand what exactly constitutes an if statement.

Q: What happens when the test condition is false in an if

A: Nothing at all. In this case the value of the test condition literally results in no action being taken.
Q: Is it possible to use more than one el se to choose between more than two possible outcomes?

A:A: Yes. It's certainly possible to structure an iflel se statement to support more than two outcomes, but it inn't as easy as just adding extra else clauses. You end up nesting entire if/else statements within each other, which can quickly get messy if you're making a complex decision with Jots of different outcomes. The if/ else approach isn't wrong, but JavaScript offers a better decisionmaking structure for this situation, the switch/case statement, which you leam about a bit later in this chapter.

\section*{An adventure of epic proportions}

Ellie's writing an interactive adventure story called Stick Figure Adventure. Her project involves decision-making at every turn in the story, and she hopes JavaScript decision making may offer the solution to her problem of putting the adventure online for others to enjoy.


\section*{The adventure setup}

The Stick Figure Adventure story is a series of seene screens, where each scene is an image and a description. More importantly, each scene involves making a decision between one of two paths that move the story along to another scene.


Scenes 2 and 3 have decisions that lead to even more scencs.
Scene 2

\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

Write the code for an \(1 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{el}\) se statement that makes a decision for the first three scenes in Stick Figure Adventure. Hint: A variable named decision already stores the user's choice, while the curScene variable will hold the resulting scene.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Variables drive the story}

Let's take a closer look at the two variables used in Stick Figure Adventure, which are critical in responding to user decisions and then moving the story along accordingly.


The decision and curScene variables work together to store the user's decision, and then use that decision as the basis for moving the story along. This process repeats itself from scene to scene as the story continues to unfold, all thanks to the if/el se statement.

\section*{But part of the story is missing}

The if/else statement works great as the engine for the decision making part of Stick Figure Adventure, but the entire story isn't getting told. Each scene involves both an image and a text description; both the image and text are displayed for a given scene as the story progresses. Changing the current scene number is sufficient to change the scene


With the ability to only run a single piece of code in each part of the if/else statement, youre limited to only one action. In other words, you can't display an image and show a text description.


How would you do more than one thing in response to a decision?

\section*{Compounding your JavaScript efforts}

Ellie needs to be able to do more than one thing in each branch of if/else code. She needs to change both the scene number and the scene description text so that the following two lines of code can move the story along:
 for the new scene to the user.

The challenge is to do more than one thing even though JavaScript only allows you to run a single piece of code. The solution is a compound statement, which lets you frame a chunk of code so that it appears in the script as a single piece of code. Creating a compound statement, is done by surrounding the series of statements with curly braces ( 1 ).
dothis ():
dothat \(1: \quad=3\) statements
doSomethingElse () ;

opening and
    doThis():
closing braces
to enclose
the code.
    \(\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}\)
    dothat iy:
    \(=1\) statement

With one compound statement, it's possible to build \(i f / e l\) se statements that do more than one thing in each action branch:



```
if (chosenDoor == "A") 
```




```
Do more than one thing in each action branch of an if/else statement.
```

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: How exactly does Stick Figure Adventure use variables to drive the stury?
A: At any given moment, the curScene variable contains the current scene number. Each scene shows a scene image and a scene description, and also presents the user with a decision allowing them to choose between one of two scenes. The decision variable contains the user's scene decision, 1 or 2 . When a choice is made, the value of the decision variable is used in conjunction with curScene to determine the new scene. More specifically, the scene image is changed using the value in curScene, and the scene description message is displayed using an alert box.

Q: Why does it matter that a compound statement crunches multiple statements into une?

A:: It matters because many parts of the JavaScript language are structured around the idea of a single statement. It's kind of like how an airline allows you exactly two carry-on items. Nothing prevents you from stuffing a bunch of stuff into those two carry-ons as long as you stick with just the two. So compound statements are like a piece of carry-on luggage in that they allow you to stuff multiple statements into a single "container" that is perceived as a single statement to the rest of the script.

Q: Why don't compound statements end in a semicolon?
A: Semicolons are reserved for individual statements, not compound statements. So single statements that appear within a compound statement must have the trailing semicolon but the compound statement itself does not.
Q: is a tunction a compound statement?

A: Good question! And the answer is yes. You might have noticed that code in a function is surrounded by curly braces. For now you can think of a function as a big compound statement that you can pass data into and out of.


Rewrite the code for the first if/el se decision in Stick Figure Adventure. This time, use compound statements to set both the scene number and the scene description message.


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Use the if statement to conditionally run a single piece of JavaScript code
- The test condition in an if statement must always result in true or false
- Use the iflel se statement to conditionally run one of two different pieces of JavaScript code.
- Use a compound statement to run multiple pieces of JavaScript code in place of a single piece of code.
- Create a compound statement by surrounding multiple individual statements with curly braces ( \((1)\) ).
- Compound statements allow the action parts of if and iflel se statements to do more than one thing

\section*{The adventure begins}

A few compound statements combined with an if/else decision have turned Stick Figure Adventure into the beginnings of an interactive online story. It's well on its way to becoming a fully-functioning online adventure!


\section*{And now, the rest of the adventure}

A single decision hardly makes for an interesting interactive story: But Ellie has plans, including several more scenes that make Stick Figure Adventure considerably more intriguing. Together, these scenes make up a decision tree that you can use to chart the different paths through the story.

\section*{Scene 2}


In addition to adding more scenes to the story with new twists and turns, Ellic has also created a new introductory title seene that appears before the first scene in the story (Scene 1). The title scene (Scene 0) is unique in that it leads to Scene I regardless of whether you choose Decision I or Decision 2. In other words, Scene 0 is not a branch in the story, but instead just the opening credits. The new scenes and openers are ready for you to download at hutp://toweveheadfirstlabs.com/books/hfis/.


\section*{Herain POWER}

What would the Stick Figure Adventure decision tree look like just using if/else statements?

\section*{Tiered decision making with if/else}

Even though each decision within the Stick Figure Adventure decision tree only has two options, Ellie realizes that later decisions are dependent upon earlier ones. For example, to get to Scene 5 from the beginning of the story, the user must follow a specific path:

If (curScene is 2)
If (decision is 1 )
Jump to Scene 4
Else


Just knowing the option chosen by the usar isn't enough information to decide what scene is next. She needs to factor in the current scene. One solution is to use multiple if/else statements in such a way that you first check the current seene, and then take action based upon the user's decision. But this tiered decision-making approach involves an If within an if... a secmingly strange proposition.

That is, until you consider that we make tiered decisions all the time. Have you ever answered the question, "would you like fries with that?" This question rarely follows an order for a salad, the question is based upon an answer you've already provided, such as, "Ill have a cheeseburger." This is a tiered decision because later questions (fires?) are dependent upon the answers to earlier questions (cheeseburger or salad?).

\section*{An if can go inside another if}

It's perfectly legal to place an if within an if in JavaScript. Remember, an if statement is still just a statement, just being used as the action part of another if. In other words, it's OK to follow-up one question with another question. An if within another if is known as a nested if statement.


A quicker way to say order \(=\) order.+ so that the output is salad and fruit or cheeseburger and fries
- Shareren your pencil

Write the decision-making code for Scene 0 and Scene 1 of Stick Figure Adventure, making sure to use nested if and if/else statements when necessary.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
sharpen solution


\section*{Your functions control your pages}

A pair of buttons ("1" and "2") on the Stick Figure Adventure web page is how users move through the story. When they decide to click one of the buttons, the changeScene () function is called to change the scene based upon the decision button that was clicked.


The changescene () function reccives the user decision ("1" or "2") as its only argument. This piece of information is all the function needs to change the scene. Specifically the changeScene () function handles three things:

The web page has two buttons that are used to determine the next scene in the story.

1 Set the cur Scene variable to the new scene number.
(2) Set the message variable to the new scene description text.
(3) Change the scene image based upon the value of curScene, and display the scene description text message.

\section*{Pseudocode lets you map out your adventure}

Ellie has a pretty good general idea of how to build the changeScene () function to implement the Stick Figure Adventure decision tree with JavaScript code. But, the sheer number of decisions can make it a confusing proposition once the coding begins. Sometimes, it's helpful to first write the decision tree in pseudocode, which is a casual, more readable, and also very unoflicial way of expressing scripting code. Once the pseudocode is knocked out, the real JavaScript code will be much clearer and less error-prone to write.


\section*{there are no \\ Dümb Questions}

Q: Pseudococde looks a lot tike Javascrip code. Why bother?

A:: You don't have to bother, but the idea is to simplity the process of converting a complex tree of logic into JavaScript code, and at the same time minimize the risk of making errors. Since pseudocode doesn't have the same level of detail of JavaScript code, you can focus your efforts more on the logic of how one scene leads to another, as opposed to making sure every curly brace and semicolon is in the right spot. Once you're comfortable with the pseudocode, translating it into JavaScript code is fairly automatic.

Q: Do you have to use curly braces when nesting if statements?

AA: No. In fact, if you're only nesting a single if statement within another if statement with no other code, it can be simpler to leave the curly braces off since you technically don't need a compound statement. However, in a complex nesting of if statements, it can be advantageous to use curly braces even when not strictly needed just to make the nesting clearer.

\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The changeScene () function for Stick Figure Adventure is missing a few pieces of code. Use the magnets to finish the missing code from the scene diagram on page 152. Note that not all of the scene decision code is shown-a few scenes have been left out intentionally.
```
function changeScene(option) {
    var message = "";
    ............(curScene m= 0) 1
        curScene = .......;
        message = "Your journey begins at a fork in the road.";
    I
    (curScene == 3) (
        ........(option == 1) \
            curScene = ..u...;
            message = "Sorry, a troll lives on the other side of the bridge and you " +
            "just became his lunch.";
        I
        ........ \
            curScene = .......;
            message = "Yout stare is interrupted by the arrival of a huge troll.";
        l
    I
        *).(curScene == 4) (
        if (option == 1) (
            curScene = .......;
        l
        ............ 
            curScene = ........ ;
            message = "Sorry, you became part of the witch's stew.";
        l
    |
    document.getElementById("sceneimg").src = "scene" + curScene + ".png";
    alert(message);
```
!



\section*{Going on a stick figure adventure}

The Stick Figure Adventure script now reflects the entire decision tree, allowing you to navigate through the story along several different paths. Here's one of them:


\section*{Stick figure inequality}

Unfortunately, Ellie is already encountering a problem with Stick Figure Adventure. After releasing the page to a few friends for testing, a few of them have reported a strange window that displays an empty message. The "ghost window" is isolated to when a new adventure is started after ending a previous one. So the problem is somehow associated with moving to Scene 0 from some other scene.


As it turns out, there's only two seenes that have a path back to Seene 0: Scene 5 and Scene 6. These two scenes lead back to Scene 0 because they represent endings to the story, so it makes sense to start over at the beginning once the story ends. So Ellie isolates the code in the changeScene () function that handles changing from these two scenes back to Scene 0:


Display the seene description text,

which is stored in the message variable.


\section*{\(!=\) Psst, l've got nothing to tell you...}

The problem with the Stick Figure Adventure code is that it always displays an alert box with the scene description message, even when there's no message to display, like with Scene 0 when restarting an adventure. But how can you check to sec if the message variable actually contains scene description text?


The solution involves checking the message variable for empty text ("" before displaying the alert box. Or to put it another way, only display the alert box if the message variable is not equal to an empty string. Granted, that seems like a backwards way of solving the problem, but remember that you're trying to come up with a true/false test for when it's OK to

This is true for any scene other than Scene 6 .

if (curScene \(:=6\) ) alert ("Thankfuliy, you haven't been eaten by the troli.");

Rewrite the code that displays the Stick Figure Adventure scene description message in an alert box, but this time make sure it only displays the alert box if the message actually has text data.

Rewrite the code that displays the Stick Figure Adventure scene description message in an alert box, but this time make sure it
This is true only if \(\qquad\) only displays the alert box if the mossage actually has text data
message contains a
non-empty string if (message ! \(1={ }^{\text {win }}\)
of text
...alent(message):

\section*{Crafting decisions with comparison operators}

Equality and inequality aren't the only comparison operators you're likely to find useful as you continue building test conditions and making decisions in your JavaScript code.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Equality & Inequality \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}
\] \\
Truc if \(\times\) EQUALS \(y\), otherwise. false
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
x \(!=y\) \\
True if \(x\) is UNEQUAL TO \(y\), otherwise false
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Negation
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} \\
& \text { False if } x \text { is true, } \\
& \text { true if } x \text { is false. }
\end{aligned}
\]

JavaScript operators, such as these comparison operators, are used to build expressions, which are chunks of JavaScript code that are somehow combined into a single value. Expressions made out of comparison operators have a boolean (true/false) result, which makes them handy for construction decision making logic using if/else statements.


Greater than or equal to



\section*{\(=\) and \(==\) are very different animals.}

Make sure that when you intend to compare two values for equality you use \(==\), not \(=\). Otherwise, you'll end up assigning one of the values and potentially creating all kinds of new and unusual bugs.

\section*{Dumb Questions}

Q
Why does the negation operator only use a single value?

A:
A: While most comparison operators require two operands, the negation operator requires only one. And its job is very simple: reverse the value of the operand. So, true becomes false and false become true.
Q: I've seen the negation operator used on a value that isn't a comparison. How does that work?
A:
: Code that uses the negation operator on a non-comparison value is taking advantage of a detail regarding how JavaScript determines the "truthiness" of a value. If you use a non-comparison
value in a situation where a comparison is expected, any value other than nu11, 0, or "" will be automatically interpreted as true. In other words, the presence of data is considered a true value from a comparison perspective. So , when you see the negation operator used on a non-comparison value, nu11, 0 , and " " are negated to true, while all other values are negated to false.
O: Hang on, what's null?

A:
1: nuI1 is a special JavaScript value that represents the absence of data. It makes more sense in the context of objects, which are covered in Chapters 9 and 10.

This code is capable of displaying a positive message about Stick Figure Adventure. What values should the four values \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\), and d have to successfully complete the message?
```
var quote = "*;
if (a }!=10
    quote t= "Some guy";
    else
        quote += "I";
    if (b == (a* 3) ) !
        if (c<c (b/6))
        quote t= " don't care for";
        else if (c>= (b/5))
            quote t= " can't remember";
        else
        quote += " love";
    1
    else (
        quote +" " really hates";
    if (!d) {
        quote t= " Stick Eigure";
    l
    else !
    quote += " Rock, Paper, Scissors";
I
    alert(quote + "Adventure!");
```
\(a=\)
    ................
\(\mathrm{b}=\)
    ...............
c \(=\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\mathrm{d}=\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Comments, placeholders, and documentation}

Stick Figure adventure is a good example of a script that has unfinished chunks of code because the story is still being developed. For example. Scenes 8 and 9 are both "to be continued" scenes still awaiting some creative work from Ellie. It can be helpful to flag unfinished areas of code with placeholder notes so that you don't forget to fill in the details later. JavaScript comments make it possible to add notes to code without affecting how the code runs in any way.

The comment text can


\section*{Comments in JavaScript start with //}

A comment created with / / extends from the slashes to the end of the line. To ereate a comment as a plateloldet, just follow the slashes with a note indicating that more code is coming.


Comments aren't just for placeholders. They're more commonly used to document code so that it's better organized and easier to understand. Just because you know how a piece of code works now doesn't mean you'll have such a great memory about it later. And there's always the chance someone else will inherit your code, and they'll certainly benefit from notes about how it works.


The initialization of the curScene variable in Stick Figure Adventure is clearer thanks to a detailed comment. A similar comment could be used to clarify the initialization of the message variable.

Again, a comment


If you need a comment that spans more than one lime, you can
ereate a multiline comment.

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Single-line comments } \\
& \text { start with //, while } \\
& \text { multiline comments } \\
& \text { are enclosed between } \\
& \text { /* and } \% / .
\end{aligned}
\]

A multiline comment can be as long as you want, you just have to start it with \(/ *\) and end it with \(+/\).
```
/* All three of these lines of code are one
    big comment. Seriously, I'm not kidding.
    No joke, this is still part of the comment. */
```
        you are here , 167


\section*{Location, location, location of variables}

As with real estate, location means everything in JavaScript. In this case, the place where the Stick Figure Adventure variables are created matters a lot. In other words, it's no accident that curScene is created outside of the changeScene () function, while message is created inside the function. The reason is because of scope, which controls the life cycle of a variable, as well as what code can access it.

\section*{Scope and context: where data lives}

In, JavaScript, scope refers to the context of data, as in where data lives and how it can be accessed. Depending upon its scope, some data can be scen everywhere in a script, while other data is limited to a specific block of code, such as a function. This an example of two variables who live in very different places:


In this code, x is considered a global variable since it's created outside of any function or other block of code, and therefore can be seen by the entire script. More importantly, x is "alive" for as long as the script is running. Unlike \(x, y\) is a local variable whose visibility is confined to the code within the doSomething () function. Also, y only exists while the doSomething () function is running - it gets created when the function starts, and then destroyed when the function finishes,

So far so good, but where does that leave \(z\), the argument to the doSomething () function? As it turns out, function arguments act just like local variables that have already been initialized. So \(z\) has the same scope as \(y\), meaning it can only be accessed from within the function.

Local variables are created and destroyed as dictated by their scope.

Data visibility is on a "need to know" basis, meaning you should limit accessibility whenever possible. This helps prevent data from getuing inadvertently changed by code that has no business accessing it. In practical terms, this means you should use local variables whenever possible.

Global variables are kept around for the entire life of a seript

How could global and local variables fit into the Stick Figure Adventure code?

\section*{Check your adventure variable score}

Looking back at the Stick Figure Adventure variables with the knowledge of local and global variables, it's now possible to get a better feel for why the variables are created in different places.

The value of the message variable is reset each time through the changeScene() function, so it works fine as a so it works fine
local variable.


The value of the curScene variable must be maintained in between calls to the changescene() function, so it has to be a global variable.


The issue here is the need to preserve the value of a variable outside the scope of the changescene () function. The message variable is cleared at the beginning of the function, so its value doesn't have to be preserved outside of the function. The curScene variable, on the other hand, is checked in several if/else test conditions, so this value has to persist in between calls to the function. Bottom line, message can be created locally but curscene has to be global in this example.

\section*{Where does my data live?}

If scope still has you a little puzzled, it may help to think of different parts of a script as self-contained areas where data can live. For example, the Stick Figure Adventure script has several different scopes that you could use to store away data.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Global, or script } \\
& \text { level, scope. }
\end{aligned}
\]

Local scope


There is only one global scope for creating global variables, and everything else is local. Anything created at the global level can be seen by the entire script, while local data can only be seen and used within its limited scope.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: I have some data. Which type of variable should I use to store it: local or global?
A: The way you're using the data will determine whether it needs to be local or global. But since you asked, the general rule is to try to make all variables local, and only resont to global if local won't work.


\section*{Tonight's talk: Local variable and Global variable discuss the importance of location when finding a home for data}

\section*{Local variable:}

I find it helpful to focus only on what's going on around me. In fact, I couldn't even tell you what's happening outside of the neighborhood where I live, and I quite like it that way.

\section*{Global variable:}

Dude, you really need to expand your world view. Get out and travel a little, check out other parts of the script universe.

While that sounds tempting, I like the security of comfortable surroundings. I rest easy knowing that no one from outside of my little area can get to me.

Ouch! I'm not sure I believe in all this reincarnation business, but I can tell you that I'm every bit as handy for storing data as you are. I just don't put myself out there for everyone to see.

And when a script needs to keep some information private to a certain section of code, it comes to me because of my knack for discretion.

And that's why people still find us both useful.

That's fair And I'll admit that I've been abused and misused a time or two, but the upside of me aluays holding my value through thick and thin has been enough to offset the problems. When a script needs a piece of data that remembers its value and is available everywhere, they come to me.

Sounds great, but T'll take accessibility and persistence over privacy any day:

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: What happens if actual JavaScript code is placed vithin a comment? A: : Nothing! Comments are completely ignored by the JavaScript interpreter, so anything you place vithin a comment is overlooked when the interpreter starts running script code. Knoving this, comments can be used as a means of temporarily disabling pieces of code when trying to track down a problem or trying different coding approaches.

Q:
Can a line of JavaScript code have a single-line comment at the end?
A: ase, he code is stil run because it isn't part of the comment. A single-line comment doesn't necessarily take up an entire line-the comment is just from the / / to the end of the line. So if the / / follows a piece of code, the code will still run just fine.

O:
Q: Why don't comments end with a semicolon?
A statements. Comments are lables that do nothing more than describe or provide additional information about code, sort of like footnotes in a book. The main thing to remember is that the JavaScript interpreter ignores all comments-comments are there for the human brain, not JavaScript.

\section*{Q:} regard to

A: "Script level" is the top level of script code, which is just inside the <script> tag. The significance of "script level" is that it is outside of any function or other block of code, and therefore anything created at "script level" is considered global. This means anything created at "script level' lives for the lite of the script and can be accessed by any code within the page.

\section*{Local variables store temporary information, global variables are stored for the life of the script.}

\section*{Büilinī pôinīis}
- Comments are a great way to remind yourself of code to add later.
- Don't be afraid to use lots of comments to document your code so that it's easier to understand.
- Use a pair of forward slashes (/ /) to start a single-line comment.
- Multiline comments start with /* and end with */.
- Global variables are created at the script level, outside of any function or other body of code, and are kept around for the life of the script.
- Local variables are created (and destroyed) inside a body of code, and can only be accessed within that code.
- Local variables are preferred over global variables because their access is more tightly controlled.

\section*{Choice of five}

Remember Eric, our game show contestant from carlier in the chapter? It seems Eric has polished off his donuts and progressed to a later round of Wanna Make a Deal? Problem is, he now faces a very challenging decision... he must choose between one of five options.



\section*{Nesting if/else can get complicated}

The nested if/el se statements work just fine... but they aren't all that efficient, primarily because they aren't really designed for decision-making that involves more than two possibilities. To see why, work through how many boolean tests take place in the process of choosing the last case, Case E. All five test conditions are evaluated, which is a bit inefficient.


\section*{Switch statements have multiple cases}

JavaScript has a decision-making statement just for making multiple choice decisions. Unlike the if/else statement, which is really better suited to choosing between two things, the switch/case statement allows you to more efficiently choose between any number of things. Let's look at Eric's dilemma through the eyes of a switch/case statement:

\title{
The switch/case statement efficiently chooses between more than two things.
}


Fact or fiction? The switch/case statement can do anything the iflel se statement can do. Exercise

Fact or fiction? The switch/case statement can do anything the iflel se statement can do.

\section*{\(\square\) Fiction}

Unlike iflelse, the test data that controls
a switch/case statement cannot be an expression-it must simply be a piece of data.

\section*{Inside the switch statement}

Now that you've seen a switch/case statement in action, let's break it down and look at the general format for the statement.


\section*{Switch case statements: write your own}

Creating a switch/case statement is admittedly more involved than creating an i 1 /else statement, but it is much more efficient for dealing with more than two possible outcomes. This is the process:
(1) Enclose the test data in parentheses and open the compound statement (1).
(2) Write the case match followed by a colon (:).
(3) Write the code that gets run if there is a match. This can be multiple lines of code-there is no need for a compound statement.
(4) Add a break statement-don't forget the semicolon (; ).
(8) Optionally include a default branch for when there is no match.

(6) Close the compound statement ( t )
there are no Dumb Questions

Q: So a switch/case statement doesn't make a decision using a truelfalse expression? A: Unlike an it or iflelse statement, swi tch/case uses a piece of test data to make its decisions. That's how it supports more than hur oticomes.


\section*{Break for} safety.
Prevent accidental code from getting run by always finishing off each switch-case match with a break statement.

Q: So each case match is just a match on the test data?
A:
: Yes. The idea is that you use a variable as the test data, and then use literal values to carry out each different match.
Q:
What happens if you leave out all the break statements in a switch/case? A: : Unexpected results can occur. The break statements serve as dividers between each section of action code in a switch/case statement. Without them, all of the action code would run as one big chunk, which would defeat the whole purpose of making different decisions. When a match is made in a switch/case statement, the code below the matching case is run until it encounters a break statement. Only then does the switch/case statement exit.



HeadFirst: Glad you're willing to chat with us, So, in one word tell us how you would describe yourself.

\section*{Switch: Choosy.}

Head First: Care to elaborate?
Switch: I make it possible to choose between lots of different things. Although some situations involve simple black and white decisions, there are plenty of situations that require, let's say, more nuance. That's where I come in.

Head First: But people say that If can do the same kind of thing, sometimes with less code?

Switch: That may be truc. And you can cut a piece of wood with a hammer if you whack at it long enough. Personally, I'd rather just use a saw. The reality is that everyone has their specialty, and mine is efficiently choosing between several different things. I don't have any beef with If, but he's a tool better suited for a different job.

Head First: You mention efficiency. Tell us how efficiency figures into what you do.

Switch: Well, I'm structured to make a decision based upon the value of a piece of data, and all I do is compare that piece of data to possible matches to deiermine which code to rum. That's it. I don'i bother trying to evaluate expressions, and I don't require nesting or anything cute like that to choose between multiple outcomes. If you want to make a quick decision based upon a piece of data, I m your guy!
Head First: Tell us about your buddy Break. We've heard you can't get through the day without him?
Switch: That is a fact. Without Break, I'd be in big trouble because I wouldn't have a way to separate the different pieces of action code. Break lets me know when a section of code has finished running so I can
exit without running some other code by accident.
Head First: I see. What about Case, aren't you guys pretty close as well?
Switch: Absolutely. Case and I have a very close relationship, primarily because Case tells me what all the possible matches are for a given piece of test data. Without Case, I would have no basis for making a decision.

Head First: So I get that Case lays out the different possible matches, and you use those matches to determine what to do. But what happens when the test data has no match?

Switch: It depends. If no special code has been added to deal with a "no match" scenario, then nothing happens. However, my good friend Default makes it possible to run a special chunk of code only in the event that no match was found.

Head First: Wow, I didn't realize that. How does Default get along with Case?

Switch: Just fine, actually. They don't step on each other's toes because they never compete for attention. Case handles all the stuff that matches, while Defaut takes care of the situations when nothing at all matches. Just between us, I think Case is actually a little relieved that Default is there because he gets nervous when nothing matches.

Head First: I see. Well, we're about out of time.
Any parting thoughts before you go?
Switch: Sure. Remember that there's nothing worse than indecision. Nobody likes a waffler. Just because there are lots of possibilities doesn't mean you have to throw your hands up and quit. Give me a call and I'll do my best to help you make a decision that works out best for your script.
Sharpen your pencil
Convert the first two scenes of the Stick Figure Adventure code so that it uses the switch/case statement instead of if/else.
# if (curScene -- D) I
# if (curScene -- D) I
    curScene = 1;
    curScene = 1;
    measage =
    measage =
l else if (curScene == 1)
l else if (curScene == 1)
    if (decision =- 1) {
    if (decision =- 1) {
        curScene = 2 "You have arrived at a cute little house in the woods.";
        curScene = 2 "You have arrived at a cute little house in the woods.";
        inessage
        inessage
    elbe
    elbe
        curScene = 3; , standing on the bridge overlooking a peaceful
        curScene = 3; , standing on the bridge overlooking a peaceful
        message
        message
    stream.";
    stream.";
|
|
1

Convert the first two scenes of the Stick Figure Adventure code so that it uses the switch/case statement instead of if/else.
```
if (curScene -- O) I
    curScene = 1; 
    curScene = ny.
    message = "Your journey begins at a fork 1n the road."
else if (curScene == 1) 
    else if (curScene ==
        curScene =2
        curscene = "You have arrived at a cute 1ittle house in the woods.";
    1.
    else (
        curscene = "3;
        message
    Btream.";
    Btre
|
```


\section*{A switchy stick figure adventure: test-drive}

After completely reworking the decision-making logic for Stick Figure Adventure, Ellie is itching to see the result. The changes are immediately noticeable as you navigate through the story...


The story goes on...
Stick Figure Adventure is really just the start of the story. It needs some creative storytelling, a little stick figure artwork, and plenty more JavaScript code to be a truly interesting online application.



\section*{JavaScripteross}

Here's an easy decision. Is it time to take a break and knock out a little crossword puzzle? Of course!


\section*{Across}
1. Writing this first can make it easier to write complex JavaScript code.
4. Use these to document your code.
5. This kind of statement is actually made up of multiple statements.
6. When one if statement is placed within another, it is said to be .......
8. The! = operator tests for this.
10. You can use one of these to help visualize a group of complex decisions.
13. The entire script has access to this kind of variable.

\section*{Down}
2. Do this, .... do that.
3. These kinds of operators have a true/false result.
4. How code is run when it is part of an if-else statement.
7. A statement that allows you to make a decision based upon the value of a piece of data.
9. A variable that has limited scope.
11. This statement allows you to conditionally run a piece of code.
12. Each decision branch inside of a switch statement has one of these.

JavaScriptcross Solution



5 looping

\section*{At the Risk of Repeating Myself}


Some say repetition is the spice of life. Sure. doing something new and interesting is certainly exciting, but it's the little repetitive things that really make it possible to get through the day. Compulsive hand sanitizing, a nervous tick, clicking Reply To All to every freaking message you receive! Okay, maybe repetition isn't always such a great thing in the real world. However, it can be extremely handy in the world of JavaScript. You'd be surprised how often you need a script to run a piece of code several times, and that's where the power of looping really shines. Without loops, you'd be wasting a lot of time cutting and pasting a bunch of wasteful code.

\section*{\(X\) marks the spot}


The first part of the map can be traversed by repeating an action (taking a step) a certain number of times (37). So, taking 37 steps is a matter of repeating a single step 37 times.


So the question is... how does JayaScript make repetition possible?

\section*{Déjà vu all over again...for loops}

Repetition in JavaScript is carried out with loops, which allow you to repeat code. The for loop in particular is great for repeating something a certain amount of known times. For example, for loops are great for counting tasks, such as counting down to zero or counting up to some value.

A for loop consists of four different parts:

For loops let you repeat code a certain number of times.
(1)

\section*{Initialization}

Initialization takes place one time, at the start of a for loop.
(3)

\section*{Test condition}

The test condition checks to see if the loop should continue with another cycle.
(3) Action

The action part of the loop is the code that is actually repeated in each cycle.

\section*{(4) Update}

The update part of the loop updates any loop variables at the end of a cycle.


How do the four steps in a for loop relate to the treasure map example?

\section*{Treasure hunting with a for loop}
for loops work for following the treasure map because they involve a known number of steps. Applying a for loop to the first part of


After 37 cycles through the loop, the loop finishes with x equal to 37 . All this thanks to the four pieces of the for loop puzzle that work together to establish JavaScript repetition.


\section*{Test condition -3}

Only perform another loop cycle if the test evaluates to true, that is, if \(x\) is less than 37 .

Action 3
Call the takeStep () function to take a step.


\section*{Dissect the for loop}

All for loops stick to a consistent format that requires each of the four components to be in specific places. The good news is that there's plenty of flexibility to craft your own custom loops using this format.


Finish the code that first prompts the user to enter a number greater than 0 , and then uses that number as the starting count for a for loop that performs an old movie reel countdown (4, 3, 2, 1, Roll film!). Also, make sure to validate that the number is really greater than 0 before performing the countdown.

\section*{Exercise}

> Prompt the user
> to input a number
var count \(=\) prompt ("Enter a number greater than 0:", "10");
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

Finish the code that first prompts the user to enter a number greater than 0 , and then uses that number as the starting count for a for loop that performs an old movie reel countdown \((4,3,2,1\), Roll film!). Also, make sure to validate that the number is really greater than 0 before performing the countdown.

\section*{Mandango: a macho movie seat finder}

Movie reel countdowns aren't the only way JavaScript loops can be applied to movies. As you may know, most macho men want an empty seat between the occupied ones when watching a movie together. This knowledge has led Seth and Jason to create Mandango, the macho movie seat finder.

The idea is to allow manly buddies to buy movie seats in groups of three so there is always a seat between them. Problem is, Seth and Jason haven't figured out how to make it work... yet.

\section*{First check seat availability}

The challenge facing the guys is to be able to scarch through each
seat in a row, checking for a sequence of three available seats. seat in a row, checking for a sequence of three available seats.



\section*{Cool!}

Three available seats in a row means plenty of manly movic viewing room.



\section*{Looping, HTML, and seat availability}

The general Mandango design makes some sense but it isn't exactly clear how the availability of each seat translates into HTML code.

Each movie seat is shown visually on the Mandango page as an image

Full HTML and images for this example are available at http://www head firstlabs com/books/ hfjs/.
Cluyg ld-"seatI" arc="seat_unavail.png" ait="ünavailatie" />
<img id="seat2" src="seat -avall.png" alt-"Avallable" />
<img idm"seat3" src="seat_unavail.png" alt="unavaile" />
<img id~"seat 4" src-"seat avail.png" alt-"Available" />
Cimg id""seat5" srcm"seat avail.png" alt-"Available" />
<img id="seatS" sic-"eat avail.pnq" alt="Available" />
<ing id="seat6" src""seat_avail.png" alt-r"unallable" />
<img id="seat7" src="seat_unavali.png alt inatobie" />
cimo
<inty id""seat." src="seat_aval.png" ang" alt-"Unavailable" />

Not only do you need to be able to loop through the HTML image elements, you also need a way to store their availability together as boolean variables in JavaScript code.

\section*{Movie seats as variables}

Before you can even think about looping through seats looking for availability, you have to represent the availability of each seat in JavaScript code. The availability of a row of nime seats can be represented by nine boolean variables.


Now you're ready to create a for loop that loops through these nine seats, checking for three in a row that are available.

Hang on, there appears to be a problem. The for loop needs to be able to check the value of a different seat variable each time through the loop. But there isn't a way to do that since each variable has a different name,


If individual variables don't work so well in loops, how could you store information so that it can be looped through?

\section*{Arrays collect multiple pieces of data}

JavaScript lets you store multiple pieces of data in a single variable with a special type of data called an array. An array variable is like a normal variable since it only has one name, but an array has multiple storage locations. Think of an array as being like a storage cubby in your houseit's one piece of furniture with multiple storage locations.
Each item in an array consists of two pieces of information: a value and a unique key that is used to access the value. Keys are often just numbers that start at zero and count up with each item. Numeric keys are known as indexes, making this an indexed array:


Creating an array is similar to creating a normal variable except you have to let JavaScript know you want an array, as opposed to a single unit of storage. In fact, you're really telling JavaScript to create an object.


\section*{Array values are stored with keys}

Object or not, once you've created an array you can start adding and accessing data in it. The key to getting to the data stored in an array is, well, the key! The unique key associated with a piece of data is what you use to access that data. In the case of an indexed array, you just use the index of the array element you want to access.


This code sets the first value of the showTime array to a time of day. If you don't want to manually set each value of an array one at a time, you can initialize the entire array when you first create it.


Wait a minute, this code doesn't involve all that object stuff. What happened? This code sidesteps the formal creation of an empty object by going ahead and building an array (object) from the values it contains. Just list out all of the elements that ge into the array, enclased within square brackets. With the array populated with data, you're ready to use it!

Grab the last value

List out all of the
array values, separated
by commas


Head First: Good to meet you, Array: So I hear you're good at storing multiple pieces of data.

Array: That's true. I'm all about volume. You need a place to store 50 strings of text or 300 numbers, I'm your guy.
Head First: Sounds intriguing, But can't people already store quantities of data in normal variables?

Array: Sure, and people can also walk to work barefoot if they want. Look, there's always more than one way to do things. In this case, I provide a better way to store multiple pieces of information than regular variables.
Head First: Well, I do prefer wearing shoes to work. But how exactly are you better?
Array: Think about it this way. If you're keeping a diary and you write down something every day, how do you keep up with all of those pages after a few years?
Head First: The pages are all right there in the diary. What's the big deal?
Array: You're making a big assumption about the pages being organized together with some sense of connectedness. What if they were just a bunch of random sticky notes theown in a shocbox? That diany would suddenly get a lot tougher to manage.
Head First: Right, but how is storing data in an array like keeping diary pages in a book?
Array: Because I organize the data in such a way that it is very easy to access. For example, if I ask what you wrote in the diary on last June 6th, you would probably tell me to turn to page 124. Same thing with array data, except the page numbers for an array are called keys.

Head First: I ve heard of array indexes, but not keys. What's a key?

Array: Oh, sorry. A key is a general term used to describe a piece of information used to look up a piece of data. An index is just a certain kind of key, a numeric key. So the diary page numbers are not only keys, they are also indexes. If you're talking about looking up data with unique numbers, keys and indexes are really the same thing,
Head First: Got it. 1 guess the thing I still don't understand is what any of this has to do with looping,
Array: Well, not necessarily anything. I'm plenty handy for storing data without loops ever entering the picture. However, I do make it incredibly handy for loops to cycle through a bunch of data.
Head First: How so?
Array: Remember that loops often use numeric counters to control the looping, right? Just use the counter as the index into an array, and voila, you now have a way to cycle through all of the data I have stored away:
Head First: Hang on, you're saying people can use a loop counter as an array index to look up data?
Array: That's exactly what I'm saying,
Head First: That's pretty puwerful!
Array: I know That's why scripts that need to loop through data find me indispensable. In just a few lines of code, you can loop through an entire array of data. It's really quite cool.
Head First: I can imagine. I want to thank you for shining a light on yourself and your connection to loops.

Array: Glad to do it. Look me up any time!

Write code to create a seats array for Mandango, and then loop through the seats in the array, alerting the user to the availability of each seat.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

O.
Is it possible for a for loop to never stop looping?

A:
 it's very possible to create a loop that never exots, destined to cycle on and on to the limits of space and time... or at least until you reload the web page. Infinite loops are considered bad things because they prevent your script from doing anything else-it's the JavaScript equivalent of a locked-up application. Think Windows blue screen. only not quite as ominous
Infinite loops occur when a loop counter either doesn't get updated properly, or when it otherwise never changes to cause the loop's test condition to result in a fal se value. Knoving this, you should ahways double and triple check the test condition and update logic in your for loops very carefully. Oh, and youll know you have an infinite loop on your hands when your script just sits there apparently doing nothing.

Q:I s it possible to use a compound statement as the action part of a for loop?
 simple of looping scenarios, you vill need to use a compound statement. This is because most practical loops end up needing to loop through more than one statement.

\section*{Q:}
: When the loop condition tests false, does the action part of the loop run one last time?

A:: No The disiop ponta for topopony gets executed if the test condition evaluates to true. Once the test condition evaluates to false, the loop immediately exits with no other code getting run.
Q: Do indexed aryys stanys star thefinidexing with o? this behavior and set numeric keys to
any number values you want, although inconventional. Unless there is a very good design decision for not using zero-based indexes, don't do it., it's unconventional behavior and could cause contusion.

\section*{Q:}

Does the data stored in an array always have to be the same type?

A:A: No. notatal Forthe umpesesol looping, it is important tor array data to be of the same type because the whole idea is to loop through a sot of similar data. For example, if you want to loop through an array of scores to calculate an average, it wouldn't make much sense for some of the array entries to be booleans-they should all be numbers in this case. So although arrays can contain values of different types, it's generally a good idea to store data of the same type in arrays, especially when you're storing a collection of like data.

\section*{Sharepen your pencil Solution}

Write code to create a seats array for Mandango, and then loop through the seats in the array, alerting the user to the availability of each seat.


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- for loops repeat a piece of JavaScript code a specific number of times
- The increment ( ++ ) and decrement ( -- ) operators provide a handy way to update loop counters.
- An array allows you to store multiple pieces of data in a single place.
- Although an array holds multiple pieces of information, it has a single variable name
- Indexed arrays are accessed using numeric keys called indexes.
- Indexed arrays work great with loops because they allow you to use a loop counter to loop through array data.

\section*{From JavaScript to HTML}

Mandango seat availability is represented by an array of booleans. So the next step is translating this array into HTML images (which are available at hitp://wowe.headfirstabs.com/books/hfis/) that reflect the seat availability on the Mandango web page.


Although this looks nice, there isn't actually any code to map the array of booleans to visual seat images on the web page. Now this is a problem.


How could you make the connection between the JavaScript seat availability array and the seat images on the Mandango page?

\section*{Visualizing Mandango seats}

To tie the JavaScript array to the HTML images, first make sure the images are laid out in an accessible way, then determine what images are going to be used to represent the different seat states. Let's tackle the last task first.


> 1 Initializate the counter variable i to 0. 9 cycles 3 Check to see if \(i\) is less than the array length (9). If so, move on to Step 3 and continue the cycle through the loop. If not, quit the loop. Run the loop action code, which in this case sets the seat image. Increment \(i\) and go back to Step 2 to possibly start another loop eycle.


\section*{Not so macho seat searching}

With the seats initialized, it's now possible to move on to the seat searching. which is really the point of Mandango. Seth and Jason have determined that it might be better to first get the script finding individual seats before embarking on the eventual three-seat search. This simplifies the immediate task, allowing them to build the application incrementally:

Since they want to search for a single available seat, the first thing the script is going to need is a variable to keep track of the seat selection.

riable, which means it is accessible throughout the seript.


This variable stores the seat selection, and needs to hang around for the life of the script, which means it must be a global variable. So, the findSeat () function, which handles the job of finding a seat for the user, will rely on the selSeat variable for storing the index of the selected seat.


Seth brings up a good question. The selSeat variable stores the seat selection, which is in the range 0 to 8 when a seat has been selected. But you also need to know when a user hasn't chosen any seats yet. A special value can indicate this state of unselection, which can be noted as -1 (no seats selected yet. So selSeat really needs to start out initialized to -1 .


With the seat selection variable in place, we're ready to assemble the findSeat () function. findSeat () will search through each seat in the seats array, find available seats, and then prompt the user to accept or reject each available seat. While it's true that macho guys won't be happy with this initial version of Mandango, it's a step in the right direction!

\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The Mandango findSeat () function is where the user searches for an available seat, and then confirms or denies any seats that are found. Help out Seth and Jason by finishing the missing code with the magnets.
```
function findseat() {
    If
```
\(\qquad\)
```
                >=0) (
            = -1;
            ();
    1
    // Search through all the seats for avallability
    for (var i=0; i< seats.length; i++) i
        // See if the current seat is available
        if (.....................) I
            // Set the seat selection and update the appearance of the seat
                .,..............= i;
                document.getEIementById("seat" + i).
```
\(\qquad\)
``` = "seat_select.png"; document.getElementById("seat" + i).
``` \(\qquad\)
``` = "Your seat"; // Prompt the user to accept the seat var
``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(=\) confirm("Seat \("+(1+1)+"\) is available. Accept?"); ver ££ (...................) // The user rejected the seat, so clear the seat selectiun and keop looking
```
\(\qquad\)
``` \(=-1\);
```

```
                        document,getElementById("seat" + i) +,..........." "Available seat";
                I
        l
        }
    1
```



\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The Mandango findSeat () function is where the user searches for an available seat, and then confirms or denies any seats that are found. Help out Seth and Jason by finishing the missing code with the magnets.


\section*{Test drive: the solo seat finder}

The solo seat searching version of Mandango uses a for loop and an array to allow the user to search for individual available seats.


\section*{Too much of a good thing: endless loops}

Although the Mandango single-seat search technically works at finding an individual seat that is available, there's a problem in that the loop doesn't know when to stop. Even after the user accepts a seat by clicking OK, the script keeps on looping through the remaining available seats.


\section*{Loops always need an exit condition (or two!)}

Since the overzealous seat searching seems to be caused by the loop never ending, Jason thinks a closer look at the for loop in the findseat () function is in order.

The confirm() function prompts the user to answer a yes/no question,
for \((\operatorname{var} i=0 ; i<\) seats. length; \(i++) \quad 1\) returning true (yes) or false (no). // See if the current seat is available
if (seats[1]) I
// set the seat selec/1on and update the appearance of the seat
selSeat = i;
document.getElemeny(ById("seat" + 1).src = "seat_select.png"; document.getElemerftById("seat" + 1).alt = "Your seat"; // Prompt the use \(\downarrow\) to accept the seat var accept \(=\) confirm("Seat \("+(i+1)+"\) is available. Accept?");
if (laccept) 1 ) 1/ The user rejected the seat, so clear the seat selection and keep looking selseat \(=-1\); document.getElementById("seat" + i).src - "seat_avail.png"; document.getElementById("seat" + i).alt = "Available seat";

This is the code that runs
If the user accepts an available when the user does NOT seat, nothing happens and the aecept an available seat.
loop just keeps on trucking.

So when the user clicks Cancel to reject a seat, the se 1 Seat variable is set to -1 (no selection), and the loop continues. However, there's no code at ail for when the user accepts a seat. This is good since it allows the selSeat variable to remember the current seat, but there's nothing stopping the loop from continuing looking for seats.


What needs to happen when the user clicks the OK button to accept the current seat?

\section*{A "break" in the action}

The problem with the Mandango code is that you need to bail out of the loop once the user accepts a seat. One possible fix is to trick the for loop by setting the counter to a value larger than the length of the array.


Although this code is a clever little hack that gets this done, there's a better way that doesn't inwolve monkeying around with the loop counter to trick the loop condition. The break statement is designed specifically for breaking out of a section of code, including loop code.
 do not collect \(\{200\).

When a loop encounters the break statement, the loop immediately ends, ignoring the test condition completely. So the break statement provides you with a handy way to immediately exit a loop, no questions asked.

Closely related to break is the cont inue statement, which bails out of the current loop cycle but doesn't exit the loop itself. In other words, you can use cont inue to force the loop to jump to the next cycle.
Jump out of the current
loop cycle, continuing
with the next one.


Both break and cont inue are extremely usefui in fine-tuning the control of loops, but break offers a solution to Seth and Jason's immediate Mandango looping problem.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q:
: Does the remaining action code in a for loop finish the current cycle when the break statement is used?
\(A\) :
I: No. The break statement forces an immediate end to the loop, completely short-circuiting the normal flow of the loop.

Q:
Why is tinkering with the loop counter to force a loop exit a bad thing? A: : Because you're not really using the loop counter for what it was intended, and therefore run the risk of introducing unusual bugs. Instead of counting through the array elements as expected, you're forcing the counter to an artilicial value beyond the
range of the array just to end the loop. In general, you want to be able to trust that the update part of the loop is the only place where the loop counter gets changed. There are always special cases that anise where tricks are allowed, but this isnt one of themthe break statement handles breaking out of the loop admirably and without any confusion as to what's going on.

\section*{cil}

The for loop in the findSeat () function of Mandango needs some help breaking out when the user accepts a seat. Write the missing lines of code that handle breaking out of the loop, making sure to include a comment to explain how the code works.
```
// Search through all the seats for avallability
for (var i = 0; i < seats.length; i++) !
    // See if the current seat is available
    if (seats[i]) |
        // Set the seat selection and update the appearance of the seat
        selSeat = 1;
        document.getElementById("seat" + i).src = "seat_select.png";
        document,getElementById ("seat" + i).alt = "Your" seat";
        // Prompt the user to accept the seat
        Mar accept = confirm("Seat " + (i + 1) + " is available. Accept?");
```



```
        else f
            () The user rejected the seat, so clear the seat selection and keep looking
            selseat = -1;
            document.getElementById/"seat" + i).src = "seat_avail.png";
            document,getElementById("seat" + i).alt = "Available seat";
        l
    I
1
```

\section*{sharpen solution}

The for loop in the findSeat () function of Mandango needs some help breaking out when the user accepts a seat. Write the missing lines of code that handle breaking out of the loop, making sure to include a comment to explain how the code works.
```
/ Search through all the seats for avallabillit
for (var i = 0; i < seats.length; i++) (
    // See if the current seat is available
    If (seats[i]) (
        // Set the seat selection and update the appearance of the seat
        selseat = i;
        ocument.getElementById("seat" + i).src = "seat select.png";
        cocument.getElementById("seat" + 1).alt = "Your seat";
        // Prompt the user to accept the seat
        var accept = confirm("Seat " + (i + I) + " is avallable. Accept?");
        if (accept) {
            // The user accepted the seat, so we're done
            break;
        ]
        else !
            / The user rejected the seat, so clear the seat selection and keep looking
            selSeat = -1 ;
            docment.getElementById("seat" + i).src = "seat avail.png";
            M,
        t
    I
l
```

\section*{Putting the 'man' in Mandango}

The original intent of Mandango is to allow users to search for available movie seats in groups of three. With the single-seat search now working, Seth and Jason are ready to turn their attention to a truly macho movie seat search. They need a way to check for a series of three available seats.



\section*{Code UP Clase}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A sequence of three seats & If three seats in a \\
is checked using nested if & row are found, set the \\
statements. & selection to the first one.
\end{tabular}
fox (var \(i=0 ; i<\) seata - leng bi ; i+t) 1
// Bee if the current seat pus the next two seats are avatlable
1f (seats [i])
if (seata[i + 1]) 1f (sents \([i+2]\) ) // set the seat selection and update the appearance of the seata docuneat. getElementById("seat" + i).arc = "seat select.png"; docutment.getElementById("Beat" + 1).alt - "Your seat"; dommont.getElemontByIff"soat" \(+(£+1) \mid\).sre \(=\) "soat_soloct.Fng"; docuasent.getElementById "seat" \(+(1+1)) \cdot a 1 t=\) "Your \({ }^{\text {seat" }}\) document, getElementById("peat" \(+(1+2)\) ), aro - "seat oelect.png" dccument.getElementById("seat" + (1+2)).alt - "Your seat";
// Prompt the waer to accept the seats
 if (accopt)
/f The neer argented the sont, no wo're dome
) braaht
elve I
\(/ /\) The naer rejeoted the seats, sa clear the aeat selection and keep looking seiseet - -1 ;
document.getzlementbyIdi"seat" \(+11 \cdot 2 r c-\) "peat_avall.png": document, getElementById\}"seat" + 1).alt - "Avallable seat"; document.getElementById ("seat" + (i +1\()\) ).sre - "seat_avail.png" document.getElementById("seat" \(+(i+1))\)-alt \(=\) "Available seat"

, \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Reminder: This code and all code and images for }\end{aligned}\)
the Mandango example are available at http//www headfirstlabs.com/books/hfjs/.

Change all three seats to the "selected" image so that the user can see which seats are available

If the user rejects the seats, set their images back to "available."

\section*{hoping and wishing for elegance}


\section*{A logical, elegant, well-designed solution with \&\&}

There is a better way to handle the three-seat check in Mandango. The nested if version works but there's room for improvement, and the change primarily involves making the code more elegant.


0


\section*{Boolean operator logic uncovered}

You've already seen several comparison operators, such as \(==\) and <. Most of the comparison operators you've seen compare two values and yield a boolean result. Boolean logic operators also yield a boolean result, but they operate only on boolean values - they perform boolean logical comparisons.


Boolean logic operators can be combined with one another to create more interesting logical comparisons, typically for the sake of making complex decisions.

freeCandy () ;

\footnotetext{
In this example, an AND operator is used to check for a large drink and large popcorn...combo! You get free candy with a combo. Or, there is another path to the free candy thanks to the OR operator - a coupon. So, you can get free candy by ordering a large drink AND large popcorn, OR by presenting a coupon. This kind of decision would be extremely difficult to carry out without the help of boolcan logic operators.
}

> Boolean logic operators can be combined to carry out complex decisions.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}
 difference between a normal boolean operator and a boolean logic operator. What is it?
 operators, which means they always result in a boolean value when they're finished doing their thing. The only difference is the kind of data they operate on. Normal boolean operators work on all kinds of data since they carry out general comparisons such as "equal to," "not equal to," "greater than,"
etc. Boolean logic operators work only on boolean data, and therefore carry out logical comparisons such as AND, OR, and NOT. So boolean logic operators work solely on true/talse information, while normal boolean operators work on all kinds of data.
Q: soi is we NoT popeatara boolean lojic peenator?

A:: Yes. It operates only on a boolean value, so it qualifies as a boolean logic operator. It's also a unary operator since it operates on only one piece of data.

Q:
How do parentheses work with respect to boolean operators? A:
: Parentheses allow you to alter the default order of evaluation of all operators not just boolean operators. Grouping an operation inside parentheses forces that operation to take place before others around it. So, IargeDrink \&\& largePopcorn is forced to take place before the I | operation in the free candy code because it appears within ().



\section*{Finally, a manly seat finder}

Now Mandango correctly searches for a sequence of three available seats, resulting in a movie ticket service that even the toughest of tough guys will appreciate.


\section*{Back to the treasure map}

With Mandango in good shape for the time being, we can return to the search for hidden treasure. Remember the treasure map?


\section*{Looping for just a "while"...until a condition is met}

Although it's possible to create a for loop that walks the second part of the treasure map, there is a better option. Unlike the for loop, which is structured around the notion of a loop counter, the while loop is geared toward looping while a certain condition is met. And that condition doesn't necessarily have anything to do with a loop counter.

\section*{while loops let you repeat code while a certain condition is true.}

A while loop consists of two different parts:


Applying the while loop to the second part of the treasure map results in some surprisingly simple code, at least as compared to a for loop:


\section*{while (trockVisible)}
takeStep() ;
(
Here's how the different parts of this while loop work:
(1) Check to see if the rock is not visible. If not, move on to Step 2 and cycle through the loop. If so, quit the loop.
(2) Run the loop action code, which in this case means running the takeStep () function.

\section*{Breaking down the while loop}

Much simpler in structure than for loops, whil loops must still adhere to a predictable formula:


Rewrite the loop code from the film reel exercise that prompts the user to enter a number greater than 0 , and then uses that number as the starting count for a loop that performs an old movie reel countdown (4, 3, 2, 1. Roll film!). This time use a whil e loop instead of a for loop.
```
var count = prompt("Enter a number greater than 0;", "10");
if (count >0) i
```

```
............................................................................................
```

```
.......................................................................................
..........................................................................................
```

```
I
else
    alert ("The number wasn't greater than 0. No movie for you!");
```

Rewrite the loop code from an earlier exercise that prompts the user to enter a number greater than 0 , and then uses that number as the starting count for a loop that performs an old movie reel countdown (4, 3, 2, 1. Roll film!). This time use a while loop instead of a for loop.


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The break statement immediately breaks out of a loop, skipping any remaining loop code.
- Boolean logic operators allow you to create powerful truelfalse logic for making decisions.
- The whi le loop runs a piece of code as long as a certain test condition remains true.
- Avoid an infinite loop by making sure the test condition is somehow affected by code within the while loop.

\section*{Use the right loop for the job}

The movie reel countdown exercise revealed that for loops and while loops are often capable of solving the same problems. In fact, any for loop can be reconstructed as a while loop using the following form:


\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: For loop and While loop try really hard to}

\section*{repeat themselves}

\section*{For loop:}

Ah, here we are, just a couple of repetitive fellas hanging out together.

\section*{While loop:}

Yep. Although I have to say, I'm not that crazy
about all the different steps involved in making you
work. Seems kinda complicated to me.
I'm not complicated at all, I just add a little more structure for creating certain kinds of loops. When people want to loop using some kind of numeric counter, they find comfort in how easy I make it to initialize and update the counter that controls me.

Sounds kinda vague to me, although I suppose it could work. I like to be more exacting, you know, keep close tabs on what makes me tick. That's why I make a special effort to initialize myself before I even start looping. I also keep myself updated at the end of each loop, just to make sure I keep running as expected. Guess I'm a little compulsive about making sure I repeat like clockwork

I am aware that there are lot of different ways to structure loops. I just like to run a tight ship.

While I applaud your work ethic, you do realize that it's every bit as possible to loop reliably and predictably without all that formal initializating and updating stuff? Besides, I often repeat code in situations where there isn't a need to initialize anything, and the updating takes place right there in the action code. So I'm content to do without the formality and just focus on the looping.

\section*{For loop:}

That's true. The good news is that we both get the job done in our own way. And I can even see where my style is a bit much for a loop with simple logic controls.

You can say that again!

\section*{While loop:}

I suppose it really just comes down to style, and each loop has its own. You like to keep all the loop controls in their place, while Im a little more casual about how I'm controlled.

Now you're talking! I think there's room in this town for both of us after all.

I think there's room in this town... oh, I suppose instincts kicked in there for a moment. Sorry.

No problem at all, I understand. Thanks for the chat.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: The while loop looks pretty simple. Am I missing something?
\(A\) : Not at all. Just keep in mind that simple doesn't necessarily mean weak or limited. In other words, you may be surprised by how powerful while loops can be. Sure, the whit 1 e lonp consists solely of a test condition and a piece of action code, but that's often all you need to do some really slick looping. Especially when you consider that the test condition can be made more interesting thanks to boolean logic operators. Not only that but the action part of the while loop can contain as much code as you want if you use a compound statement.
Q: What happens if I created a while loop that started off while (true) ..., will it work?
\(A:\) Yes, it will...perhaps too well. The problem is that you've just created an infinite loop because the test condition is permanently true. A while loop continues looping until the test condition evaluates to falso , and in this case that time never comes. It's scary to think about how many infinie loops afe funining tightit inis moment as you read this, destined to repeat themselves for ever and ever... and ever... and ever... hey, break out of it!


Q: Is it possible for the loop action code (the code in the parentheses) to never get called?
A: loops. Yes. Both for loops and while true before initially running the action code. So, if for some reason the test condition tails at the get-go, the action code won't run and the loop exits before ever starting.
Q: Can loops be nested inside each other?
A:
: Oh yeah! Nested loops allow more than one level of repetition. This sounds strange right now, but it's quite cool. We explore nested loops later when Mandango grows to search an entire theater!

\section*{Treasure at the end of the loop}

By using a for loop followed up by a while loop, the treasure map can be fully traversed, leading to the treasure at the spot marked X .


Could this be a sign? Your newfound while looping knowledge and movie tickets can only lead back to one thing... Mandango!

sharpen solution


\section*{Movie seat data modeling}

Jason's right. Mandango really needs to be able to handle more rows of seats in order to truly be functional. Thus far the single row of seats has made sense because it cleanly maps to an array of booleans representing the seat availability. To expand the idea to multiple rows of seats requires expanding the array, and that requires another dimension. That's right, we're talking about a two-dimensional array!


> This movie theater has four
rows of seats with nine seats
in each row. Yeah, it's cozy!

We need an array that is \(9 \times 4\) in size to match up with the actual seats, which are four rows with nine seats in each.

\section*{Now there is}
another dimension of array indexes.



\section*{An array of an array: two-dimensional arrays}

You don't need any special glasses or anything to create a two-dimensional array. In fact, creating a two-dimensional array is similar to creating a normal (onedimensional array except that you create multiple sub-arrays as elements of the array. These sub-arrays are what add the second dimension, resulting in a table of data that has rows and columns.


Then create sub-arrays to serve as elements in the outer array. That's two dimensions!
```
var seats = new Array(new Array(9), new Array(9), new Array (9), new Array (9));
```

Four sub-arrays result in
four rows of array data.
In the case of Mandango, we already know the initial values of the array elements, o it makes sense to use a different approach to create the 2-D array, one that involves an array literal. This creates the array and initializes it at the same time-a win-win situation!


\section*{Two keys to access \(2-D\) array data}

Accessing data in a 2-D array is no different than accessing a 1-D array except you have to provide an additional piece of information: the index of the extra array. More specifically, you specify the indexes of the row and the column where the data sits in the array. For example, to grab the value of the fourth seat in the second row of seats, use this code: a row.

Sharepen your pencil
Write code to loop through the seats in the 2-D seats array, alerting the user to the availability of each seat.

\section*{Two-dimensional} arrays allow you to store tabular data.

Looping through an array with more than one dimension involves nesting a loop for each dimension. So, looping through a 2-D array involves a total of two loops, one inside the other. The outer loop cycles through the rows of array data, while the inner loop cycles through the columns within

> Nested loops allow you to iterate through two dimensions of data.


Write code to loop through the seats in the 2-D seats array, alerting the user to the availability of each seat.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: Can arays be more than 2-D?
A: Ves statouph as seme point teon get tricky to visualize the data. Three dimensions can be handy for modeling real-world data such as the \(x-y-z\) coordinate of a point in space. Beyond that, additional dimensions are probably relegated to very isolated situations. When adding another dimension, just think in terms of replacing individual array elements with sub-arrays,

Q:
: Can I add additional data to an array later if 1 initialize it with data upon creation?

A:
: Absolutely You're always free to add more data to an array by assigning new data to an unused array element. In the Mandango example, you could add another row of seats by adding a new sub-array as a lith row (at index 4 in the array). Just assign the sub-array to seats [4]. You can also call the push () method of the Array object to add a new item to the end of an anay.

Q: Do 2-D arrays have to contain the same number of rows?

A: No, not exactly. Just keep in mind that if the rows don't contain the same number of elements, you're setting up a recipe fot looping disaster because nested loops are typically designed to cycle through a consistent sub-array length. So, yes, it's possible to vary the length of 2-D array rows, but it's a risky proposition that's sater to avoid.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Two-dimensional arrays allow you to store rows and columnis of data in tabular structures.
- When accessing an individual piece of data in a 2-D array, you must specify both the row and column of the index.
- Nested loops can be used to iterate through the data in a 2-D array.
- Just like normal arrays, 2-D arrays can be created and initialized from array object literals

\section*{Mandango in 2-D}

Although you've already worked through pieces and parts of the code, moving Mandango from a single row of seats to a full theater of seats involves reworking a great deal of the script code to account for 2-D data.



In what different ways do two-dimensional arrays impact Mandango when it changes to work on an entire theater of seat data? How would you visualize the script code?



\section*{An entire theater of manly seats}

With two dimensions to work with, Seth and Jason are able to take Mandango to the next level and support theater-wide seat searching... with a macho twist! The guys are stoked.

\section*{?}



\section*{JavaScriptcross}

All this talk about seats probably has you itching to go see a movie. Before you leave, do a little mental stretch and take a stab at this crossword puzzle.


\section*{Across}
2. This kind of loop keeps on running code as long as a test condition is true.
4. Use this statement to jump out of the current loop cycle but continue looping.
8. If \(a\) is true or \(b\) is true, then \(a\).. \(b\) is true; otherwise \(a\).. \(b\) is false.
9. A type of data that lets you store multiple pieces of data in a single variable.
10. The part of a loop that contains the code to run repetitively.
11. The part of a loop that gets the loop ready to start.
13. A type of loop that is ideally suited for counting.

\section*{Down}
1. The part of a loop that must have a boolean result.
3. A ... is used to access a value in an array.
5. Boolean ..... operators operate on boolean values and return a boolean result.
6. The part of a loop that is responsible for changing the state of any loop controls.
7. If you want to end a loop immediately, use this statement.
10. If \(a\) is true and \(b\) is true, then \(a \ldots b\) is true; otherwise \(a\)... \(b\)
is false.
12. Accessing an array value using a number requires an ......

\section*{JavaScriptcross Solution}



Some movies are known for having circular plots that are difficult to follow.

There are other movies that use motion and lots of action to attract people. In the end, a movie is just a movie.

\section*{6 functions}

\section*{* \\ * Reduce, Reuse, Recycle}


If there was an environmental movement within JavaScript, it would be led by functions. Functions allow you to make JavaScript code more efficient, and yes, more reusable. Functions are task-oriented, good at code organization, and excellent problem solvers. Sounds like the makings of a good resumel In reality, all but the simplest of scripts stand to benefit from a functional reorganization. While if's hard to put a number on the carbon footprint of the average function, let's just say they do their part in making scripts as eco-friendly as possible.

\section*{The mother of all problems}

When it comes down to it, web scripting is about solving problems. No matter how large the problem, with enough thought and planning, there's always a solution. But what about the really huge problems?


The trick to solving big problems is to break them down into smaller, more manageable problems. And if those problems are still too big, then break them down again.


\footnotetext{
Continue this process again...and again...and again...
}

\section*{Solve big problems by solving small problems}

Continuing to break down the world peace problem into smaller problems, you eventually arrive at a problem that is small enough for JavaScript to handle.


Think of a JavaScript equivalent to the climate control problem, which would involve the scripting equivalent of a thermostat, which is used to control the temperature of an environment. The most basic thermostat would simply have a "heat" button.


\footnotetext{
Note how the thermostat reveals nothing about how the heating is carried out. You press the Heat button and you get heat. Climate control problem solved!
}

\section*{Functions as problem solvers}

The Heat button on the thermostat is the equvalent of a function in JavaScript. The idea is similar to a real-world thermostat - someone requests heat, the function provides it. The details of the heating are handled inside the function, and aren't important to the code calling the function. In this way, you can think of a function as a "black box"

\section*{Functions turn} big problems into small problems. information can flow into it and out of it but what goes on inside is the box's responsibility, and therefore not important to code outside of the box.


Translating the Heat button into JavaScript code involves calling a function called heat () ...


\section*{The nuts and bolts of a function}

When you elect to create a function, you become the problem solver. Greating a function requires you to use a consistent syntax that ties the name of the function with the code that it runs. Following is the syntax for the most basic JavaScript function:


\section*{A function you've already met}

You don't have to look any further than the Mandango macho seat finder (full files available at hittp://wwe.headfirstlabs.com/books/hfis/.) script to find a good example of a function solving a problem - in this case, the problem of initializing movie seat data. Here's how the Mandango problem was broken down:


The initSeats () function is part of the Mandango web page. The function doesn't get called until it is tied to the onload event handler. This causes the function to run when the page loads.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}
O.

How does the naming convention for functions work again?
A: : IowerCamelCase is a convention for naming JavaScript identifiers where the first word in an identifier is all lowercase but additional words are mixed case. So, a function that rates a movie might be called rateMovie (), while a function that kicks out a guy who insists on talking on his mobile phone during a movie might be called removeInappropriateGuy ().
O.
- Are functions always about turning big problems into smaller problems?
A:
: Not necessarily. There are situations where functions are helpful purely as a division of coding labor. In other words, it may be one problem being solved by
several functions working together. In this case, the rationale for dividing up the code into functions is to help divide the work and give each function its own singular purpose. Kind of like how people are given different job titles so that they can each focus on one specific type of task. Such functions may or may not be solving unique problems. but they definitely improve the structure of scripts by dividing up the work.

\(Q\)How do you know when a chunk of code should be placed into a function?

A:: Unfortunately, there is no magical way to know when it makes the most sense to place a piece of code into a function. But there are some signs you can look for as clues. One sign is if you find yourself duplicating a piece of code. Duplicate code
is almost never a good thing because you have to maintain it in more than one place. So duplicate code is a great target for placing in a function. Another sign is a situation where a piece of code grows to become unwieldy, and you're able to make out several logical parts to it. This is a good time to apply the "division of labor" idea to the code, and consider breaking it out into multiple functions.

Q:I thought I remember seeing functions that accepted arguments and then passed data back. Am I missing something?
A: No, not at all. There certainly are functions that both accept and return data. In fact, you're about to see the heat () function turn into one if you hang in there.

The name of a function is extremely important in immediately conveying what the function does. Try your hand at naming these functions, making sure to use lower camel case.

\section*{Exercise}



\section*{Build a better thermostat with more data}

So back to the thermostat...It doesn't know when to stop heating because we never set a target temperature. There simply isn't enough information to solve the problem effectively, which means someone presses the Heat button and they get heat... forever!


Write the code for an improved heat () function that accepts a target temperature and uses it to only generate heat while the current temperature is less than the target temperature.
Exercise Hint: call the hypothetical get Temp () function to get the current temperature.


Write the code for an improved heat () function that accepts a target temperature and uses it to only generate heat while the current temperature is less than the target temperature. Hint: call the hypothetical got Tomp () function to get the current temperature.


\section*{Passing information to functions}

Data is passed into JavaScript functions using function arguments, which are like inputs. Look again at the syntax for functions; see how arguments are placed inside the parentheses when you create a function.

One or more arguments can appear inside the parentheses.


There isn't really a limit on the number of arguments you can pass into a function, although it makes practical sense to try and keep them to within no more than two or three. You can pass just about any piece of data to a function as an argument: a constant (Math . PI), a variable (temp), or a literal (72).

\section*{Function arguments as data}

When data is passed into a function as an argument, it acts as an initialized local variable inside the function. As an example, here the heat () function is getting supplied with a target temperature that is passed to the function as an argument:


Inside the heat () function, the target Temp argument is accessible as if it was a local variable initialized to 72 . Replacing the normal heat () function code with an alert reveals the argument value.


Although function arguments act much like local variables from within a function, changing an argument value inside a function does not affect anything outside of the function. This rule does not apply to objects that are passed as arguments-we'll dig into objects in Chapters 9 and 10 .


\section*{Functions eliminate duplicate code}

In addition to breaking down problems so that they can be solved more easily, functions serve as a great way to eliminate duplicate code by generalizing tasks. A generalized task can be used to eliminate similar code that appears in more than one place. Even though the code may not be identical, in many cases you can generalize it into identical code that can be placed in a function. Then you call the function instead of duplicating similar code.


The specific tasks involve calculating the prices of three different kinds of discounted movie tickets. But these tasks can be generalized into a task that
 involves calculating the price of a ticket based upon any discount percentage.


With a generalized ticket discount function in hand, the other three pieces of code can be rewritten much more efficiently:

```
            BE the Efficiency Expert
    Below is the findSeats() function
    from the Mandango macho Seat finder
    in all its prior glory. Using
        your newfound effciency
        knowledge, circle similar code
        that could be rewritten as a
        generalized, reusable function.
Iunction IindSeats() 1
    // If seats are already selected, Ieinitialize all seats to clear them
    if (selSeat >= 0) t
        selSeat = - ; ;
        initSeats();
    )
    // Soarch through all the seats for availability
    var i = 0, finished = Lalse;
    while (i< seats.length kre !einished) i
        for (var j = 0; j< seats[i]. Iength: j++) (
            // See if the current seat plun the next two seats are available
            if (seats[i][j] 呫 seats[i][j + 1] 5s seats[i][j + 21) i
                // set the seat selection and update the appearance of the seats
                selseat - i * seats[i].length + j;
                document.getElemontById("seat" + (1 + seats[il.length + j)).src = "seat_select.png":
                document.getElementById("seat" + (1 * seats[il.length + j)).alt = "Your seat";
                document.getElementById("seat" + (i * seats[i].length + j + 1)).sIc = "geat_select.png";
                document.getElementById("seat" + (i * seatylil.Iength + j+1)).alt = "Your- seat";
                document.getElementById("seat" + (i v seatg{i].length + j + 2)).src = "seat_select.png";
                document.getElementById("seat" + (i * seats[i].length + j + 2)).alt = "Your seat";
                // Prompt the user to accept the seats
                var accept = confirm("Seats " + (j + 1) + " through " + (j + 3) +
                " in Row " + (i + 1) + " are available. Accept?");
                If (accept) (
                    // The user accepted the seats, so we'1e done (break out of the inmer loop)
                    finished = true;
                    break;
                I
                else I
                    // The user rejected the seats, so clear the seat selection and keep looking
                    selseat = -1;
                    document.getElementById("seat" + (1 + seatslil.length + j)).sre = "seat_avail.png";
                document.qetElementById("seat" + (1 * seats[1].1ength + j)).alt = "Avallable seat";
                document,getElementById("seat" + (i + seats[i].length + j + 1)).src = "seat_avail.prg";
                document.getElementById("seat" + (i * seats[i].length + j + 1)).alt = "Available seat";
                document.get&lementByld("seat" + (i * seats[i].length + j + 2)).src = "seat avail.png";
                document,getElementByIdi"seat" + (i + seats{il.length + j + 2)).alt = "Available seat";
            1
        1
        I
        // Inorement the outer loop counter
        i++?
    )
}
```


\section*{Creating a seat setter function}

Now that the Mandango guys have gotten wind of this efficiency stuff, they're fired up about adding a function to Mandango that makes the seat setting code more efficient (code available at hittp:// urew.headfirstlabs.com/ books/hfis/). In order to write the setSeat () function, however, they really need to figure out what arguments are required. You can isolate the necessary arguments by examining what pieces of information are different in the duplicate code. A closer look at the duplicate parts of the findSeats () function reveals these arguments:

\section*{Seat Number}

The number of the seat to be set.
This is not an array index; it's just the number of the seat if you were to start counting from left to right and top to bottom, starting at 0 .

The find Seatse) attributes are extracted from the duplicated code that will be placed in the function.

\section*{Status}

The status of the seat, as in available, unavailable, and selected. This is used to determine what seat image to display.


The description of the seat status, as in "Available scat", "Unavailable seat", and "Your scat". This is used to set the alt text for the scat images.



\section*{A leaner, cleaner Mandango with functions}

Breaking out similar, duplicate code into the set Seat () function simplifies the code for the findSeats () function considerably. There are now six calls to the setSeat () function, which is a significant improvement in terms of code reuse.


\section*{The setSeat() function makes Mandango even better}

But the setSeat () function doesn't just bencfit findSeats (). It also helps make the initSeats () function more efficient because that function has similar seat setting code as well.
    function initSeats () \((\)
// Initialize the appearance of all seats
    for (var i - D; \(1<\) seats, length; \(1++\) ) i
        for (var \(j=0 ; j<\) aeata[i]. 1ength: \(j++\) )
        if (seatsli][j1)
            // set the seat to available
                // Set the seat to available
document.getElementById("seat" \(+(i\) : seats [i]. length \(+j))\).sra \(=\) "seat avail.png";
                document.getRlementById("seat" \(+(i\), seats \([1] .1 e n g t h+j))\) alt \(=\) "Available seat"; \(\}\)
document.getElementById("seat" \(+(i\) * seats \([i]\) length \(+j)\)
        \(\stackrel{l}{\text { elne }}\)
            elae (
                set the seat to unavallable \(\quad\) of code are turned
                document.getElementById("seat" \(+(i\) * seats[i].length \(+j)), s r e=\) "seat_unavail png"
                document.getElementsyId("seat" \(+(i\) * seats \([1] .1\) ength \(+j)) . a l t=\) "Unavailable seat"
                document, getElementById("seat" +
        \(11^{1}\)

        1
    11
1,1
function initseats i)
    If Initializn the appearance of all seats
    lor (var i -0 ; i < seats. length i ite
                        for (yar - 0, i <acataldit
                                if (zeatsililil)
                                // Set the seat to available
                                setSeat (i . seats[i] to available
                                setSeat (i * seats [i] length + 3. "avail", "Available seat")
            By generalizing the task of
        setting a seat, the setSeat()
        function works great in an
        entirely different context
                                fla
                                else / I set the seat to unavallable 4
                                setSeat(i * seats[i].length \(+j\), "unavail", "Unavailable
seat") ;
                    "; \(\}\)

Two complex lines of code are turned into one relatively function call.
t" \(_{\text {! }}^{\text {; }}\)
, 1

So, a fairly simple function consisting of two lines of code is now used cight times throughout the Mandango script. Not only does this simplify the script code, but it makes the script more maintainable because if you ever need to change how a seat is set, you only have to change the one setSeat () piece of code, as opposed to cight separate pieces. No javaScript coder in their right mind wants to change muitipie pieces of code, when they don't have to. Maintainabilty... it's a good thing.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Functions allow you to turn big problems into small problems, which become much easier to solve.
- Functions provide a mechanism to separate script tasks and then complete them with reusable chunks of code.
- Functions serve as a great way to eliminate duplicate code since the code in a lunction can be reused as many times as you want.
- Arguments allow you to pass data into functions as input for a given task.

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

O:
Is there a limit to the number of arguments that can be passed into a function?

A:: No and yes. No, there isn't a real limit on the number of arguments that can be passed into a function, unless you factor in the limits on computer memory. If you're passing so many arguments that memory becomes an issue, you might want to take a break and rethink what you're doing because it takes an awful lot of arguments to cause a memory problem. The more practical limitation has to do with good design, and that means keeping the number of arguments to a manageable amount just so function calls don't get too ridiculously complicated. It's generally a good idea to not use more than a handful of arguments.

O :
I've learned that functions turn big problems into small problems, divide scripting labour, and eliminate duplicate code. Which is it?
A:
: All of the above. Functions are good at more than one thing, and in many cases the best functions accomplish several goals at once. It's not out of the question to create a function that solves a sub-problem, performs a division-of-labor task, and eliminates duplicate code, all at the same time. In fact, those are three pretty good goals to have when creating any function. But if you must focus on one thing. you will typically want to err on the side of dividing labor, which really means giving every function a singular purpose. If every function excels at one unique thing, your scripts will benefit greatly.

Q: One more time, where do functions go in the header or the body of a web page?
\(A\) : Functions should appear inside the <script> tag within the head of the page, or in an external JavaScript file that is imported into the head of the page.

\(Q:\)If I truly want a function to change the value of an argument, how do I do it?
: Function arguments cant be directly altered, or at least the changes wont carry on outside of the function. So if you want to change a piece of data that has been passed as an argument, you need to retum the changed value from the function. Read on to find out how return values work!


Although Mandango has made some big strides thanks to functions, they aren't faring so well on the climate change front. It seems the JavaScript thermostat still isn't quite working properly, resulting in some frigid users who now long for the old Heat button that never stopped heating.

\section*{The significance of feedback}

Our current thermostat allows you to set the temperature thanks to function arguments but it doesn't report the current temperature. The current temperature is important because it gives you a basis for determining a target temperature. Besides, different thermostats often report different temperatures, even within the same space. What this boils down to is the need for feedback... you need to know the current temperature in order to set a meaningful target temperature.


The thermostat now periodically displays the current temperature as feedback to help assist in determining an optimal temperature.


So we really need a way for JavaScript functions to return information back to the code that called them.

How do you think a function could be coaxed into returning data?

\section*{Returning data from functions}

Returning information from a function involves using the return keyword, followed by the data to be returned. This data is then returned to the code that called the function.

\section*{A return value allows} you to return a piece of
data from a function.
 that the function will exit immediately upon encountering a return. So the return statement not only returns data but also ends a function. As an example, the getTemp () function ends by returning the actual temperature, as read from a sensor.


If you think back carefully, the getTemp () function has already been used in the thermostat code:
```
function heat(targetTemp) {
    while (getTemp() < targetTemp) { The getTemp() function provides
        // Do some heating somehow the value that is used in the test
```

```
}
The return value of the get Temp () function replaces the get Temp () function call and becomes part of the test condition in the while loop.None
```

> The return value of a function replaces the call to the function.

\section*{Many happy return values}

Since the return statement immediately ends a function, you can use it to control the flow of a function, in addition to returning data. Not only that, but it's very common for functions to indicate their success using return values. The heat () function presents an opportunity to do both:


The heat () function demonstrates how a boolean return value can control the flow of a function and also indicate success or failure. For pure flow control, you can use the return statement with no return value at all as a means of bailing out of a function. For example, here's another version of the heat () function that doesn't rely on the return value to indicate success or failure.
```
function heat(targetTemp) {
```

```
The function still ends when
it ends, without the help of
a return statement.
```
The return statement can be used by itself to end a function.

Head First: So I hear that you're pretty slippery, able to get out of just about anything.
Return: That's true. Put me in any function and I'll get out of it in no time. Ill even take a piece of data with me.

Head First: Where do you go when you leave a function?

Return: Well, don't forget that functions are always called by other code, so returning from a function just means returning to the code that called it. And in the case of returning data, it means the data is returned to the code that called the function.

\section*{Head First: How does that work?}

Return: It helps if you think of a function call as an expression that has a result. If the function doesn't return any data, the result of the expression is nothing. But if the function does return data, and many of them do, then the result of the expression is that piece of data.

Head First: So if a function is just an expression, does that mean you can assign the return value of a function to a variable?

Return: No and yes. No, the function itself is not an expression it's the call to the function that is the expression. And yes, you can and often should place a function cail so that the resuit gets assigned to a variabie. That's where the expression comes into play-when a function call is evaluated, it is treated as an expression where the result is the return value of the function.
Head First: I sec. But what happens to the expression when you don't return anything?
Return: If you use me with no return data, then the function returns nothing and the expression is empty:
Head First: Isn't that a problem?

Return: No, not really. You have to remember that people only worry about doing something with function return values when they know the function is capable of returning data. If a function isn't intended to return anything, you shouldn't worry about trying to do anything with a return value.
Head First: Gotcha. So getting back to your escape skills, isn't it a bad idea to keep a function from finishing its natural course of execution?

Return: No, and here's why. Just because a function has a first line and a last line does not mean it is designed to always run every line of code from start to finish. In fact, it's dangerous to even think of a function as having a start and a finish. The "natural" finish of a function could very well be in the middle of the code thanks to some crafty developer putting me in the right place.

Head First: I don't get it. Are you saying that it's normal for some function code to never get called?

Return: I never say never, but I will say that there is usually more than one path through a function, and I often help establish those paths. If something takes place that indicates the function shouldn't continue running, I'm there to give it an carly exit. In other scenarios, a function may rum all the way to its last line of code and exit without ever encountering me, or it may end with me just so 1 can return sone data.

Head First: Oh, I see. You provide options, both in terms of returning data and controlling the flow of execution through a function.

Return: Hey, you're catching on!
Head First: Yeah, I'm quick like that. Thanks for your time.

Return: No worries. I gotta get out of here!


It seems that JavaScript has found itself caught in the middle of a climate change scandal. The people at We're Against Rapid Warming, or WARM, created a script to promote their message about local warming. But the folks at Annoyed but Responsible Citizens Tired of Increasing Cold or ARCTIC, are bent on suppressing WARM's message, and have sabotaged the script code. Your job is to sort out the good code from the bad, and unravel WARM's intended message.


\section*{Getting the status of a seat}

Back at Mandango, Seth and Jason are sick of hearing about climate change, and are ready to make some more improvements to their script code. Some users have reported difficulty in making out the different colors of seats, and would like to be able to click and query any seat for its availability: Sounds as if Mandango needs a new function.


The seat status is a string, such as "available", "unavailable", or "yours".

\section*{getSeatStatus) function Magnets}


The getSeatStatus () function in Mandango is missing some important code that helps it figure out the status of a given seat. The function first checks to see if the seat is part of the series of three selected seats. If not, it looks up the seat in the seat array to see if it is available or unavailable. Use the magnets below to finish the missing pieces of code.


\section*{getSeatStatus() function Magnets Solution}

The getSeatStatus () function in Mandango is missing some important code that helps it figure out the status of a given seat. The function first checks to see if the seat is part of the series of three selected seats. If not, it looks up the seat in the seat array to see if it is available or unavailable. Use the magnets below to finish the missing pieces of code.


\section*{Showing the seat status}

Getting the seat status is handy but allowing the user to query any seat for its status requires a means of showing the seat status when the user clicks a seat. The showSeatStatus () function provides a simple solution to this problem, delegating the dirty work to the getSeatStatus () function that we just wrote.

Pass along the seat number to getSeatStatus() to get the seat status

\section*{function showSeatStatus (seatNum) \{}


\section*{You can link the function to an image}

Wiring this function to a seat image on the Mandango page allows the user
to query the seat for its status by clicking the image. Each image must have its onclick event tied to showSeatStatus (), like this:

<img id="seat23" src="" alt="" onclick="showSeatStatus (23);" />


A click is all it takes to view the status of any seat in an alert box, which is usefuil for amyone who has troubite making out the seat images, and just wants to click an individual seat for its status.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The return statement allows functions to return data back to the code that called them.
- When a piece of data is returned from a function, it stands in for the code that called the function.
- A function can only return a single piece of data.
- The return statement can be used without any data to simply end a function early.

\section*{Repetitive code is never a good thing}

The Mandango script is working pretty well but the guys are starting to worry about maintaining the script over the long haul. In particular, Jason has been doing some research, and has learned that modern web applications often benefit from separating HTML, JavaScript, and CSS code.


\section*{Separate your functionality from your content}

So what's the big deal with mixing code? It obviously works, right? The problem has a lot to do with viewing your JavaScript-powered web pages not as pages, but as applications. And like any good application, JavaScript applications require careful planning and design for long-term success. More to the point, good applications are less buggy and easier to maintain when there is a separation of content, presentation, and functionality. As it stands, Mandango very much represents a murky merger of all three.



This is the JavaScript code that drives the page and makes it interactive. You can think of this part of the page as the part that does things.

\section*{Functionality}
Think about the code separation issue this way. Let's say Seth and Jason find a really slick movie seat management script that they'd like to use instead of their own code. They would need to overhaul Mandango so that it uses the new script code, but they would have to risk screwing up the structure of the page because the JavaScript code is intimately tied to the HTMAL coute. It woutad be mitiob betio if the HTML coúe was isolated, and the JavaScript-to-HTML connection occurred purely in JavaScript.

> Separating functionality from content makes web applications easier to build and maintain.

\section*{Presentation}

This is the CSS part of the page, which dresses up the content and determines its appearance, such as fonts, colors, and even layout.


ERAIN POWER

How would you go about using functions to separate functionality from content in Mandango?

\section*{Functions are just data}

In order to effectively separate code you'll need to understand how functions are wired to events; so far, we ve done this using HTML. attributes. There's another way, which a lot of people consider to be superior to mixing JavaScript and HTML code. This other way of wiring event handlers requires a different view of functions.

Surprisingly enough, functions are really just variables. It's true. The twist is that the function body is the value, while the function name is the variable name. Here's the way you're accustomed to viewing functions:


That code works fine, but here's the same function created in a different way.


This code shows how a function can be created using the same syntax as a variabie, and even consists of the same pieces and parts: a unique identifier (function name) plus a value (function body). When a function body appears by itself without a name, it is known as a function literal.

What makes this revelation about functions so interesting is that it shows that functions can be manipulated like variables. For example, what do you think the following code does?


\section*{Calling or referencing your functions}

When you assign the name of a function to another variable, you're giving that variable access to the body of the function. In other words, you can write code like this to call the same function:


The end result of calling myShowSeatStatus () is the same as calling showSeatStatus () because both functions ultimately reference the same code. For this reason, a function name is also known as a function reference.


The distinction between referencing a function and calling a function has to do with whether you follow the function name with parentheses () Function references appear by themselves, while function calls are always followed by parentheses, and in many cases function arguments.

\section*{A function is really just a variable \\ whose value is a reference to the function body.}


Analyze the following code, and write down what number appears in the alert box.
Exènciide


\section*{exercise solution}

Analyze the following code, and write down what number appears in the alert box.

\section*{Exercise \\ Solution}


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: P. Is separating content really that big of a deal?
A:
. Yes and no For simple applications. it's not necessatily wrong to blend HTML,
CSS, and Javascript code. The bencitis of code separation become significant in more complex applications that involve lots of code. It's much harder to get a handle on the big picture in larger applications, vilich means it's easy to get in trouble when making changes, especially when different kinds of code are all mixed together. By cleanly separating the code, you can feel safer making functional changes without breaking something in the structure or appearance of the page. This also allows people with different areas of expertise to work on the same project.

For example, web designers can work on the structure and presentation of an application without fear of creating errors in functional JavaScript code that they may not understand.
Q: I distinguish a function from a normal variable?
A and a "normal" variable comes a function what you do with the data. The data (code) associated with functions is capable of being executed. You indicate that you want to rum a function by following the function name with parentheses, including arguments if the function requires them.

What's the point of function references?
A
A: Unlike a normal variable which stores its data as a value in an area of memory. functionts sture a refarance to therit code. So the value of a function variable isn't the code itself but a reference to the location in memory where the code is stored. It's kind of like how your mailing address is a reference to your house, not the house itselt.

Functions use references instead of actual values because it is more efficient than storing multiple copies of function code. So when you assign a function to an event handler, as you do in a moment, you're really just assigning a reference to the function code, not the code itself.


But this isn't the only way functions can get called in scripts. Another kind of function known as a callback function can get called without you having anything directly to do with it.

\section*{Crain}

How do you think Mandango could take advantage of callback functions?

\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: Normal function and Callback function}

\section*{confront each other}

\section*{Normal function:}

So you're the guy I keep hearing about, who won't accept local calls. What's with the attitude?

You mean like the browser? Real exotic. I think you're just a little stuck up about those of us who talk with script code on a regular basis.

\section*{Callback function:}

No attitude, I just serve a different purpose. I prefer to only be called from exotic, faraway places.

Look, it's not about who is better or worse. We're all script code, it's just that I give outsiders a means of accessing script code. Without me, you would never know when anything takes place outside of the script.
Boy, that sure would be a loss. Not! Who cares what goes on outside of the script?

You may have a point there. I do like knowing when the page loads or when the user clicks or types something So you're saying ! wouldn't know about those things without you?

That's right. The browser calls me, and in many cases I call you since responding to outside happenings often requires several functions.
Well, I'm glad to hear that we really aren't so different after all.

Yep. So I guess I'll see you around.
Don't call me, l'll call you.

\section*{Events, callbacks, and HTML attributes}

We've been using callback functions all along, which are called by the browser, instead of your own code. The most common use of callback functions is in handling events. Mandango already heavily relies upon callback functions. In fact, event handling functions are the basis of the problem involving the mixing of HTML and JavaScript code.


These callback functions are wired to events in the HTML code
for the Mandango page.


\section*{Wiring events using function references}

Instead of using an HTML attribute to wire a callback function to an event as an event handler, you can assign a function reference directly in JavaScript code. In other words, you don't have to venture into HTML code at all-just set the callback function using a function reference, all


So setting an event handler purely in JavaScript code involves assigning a function reference to an event property of an object. In the case of this onload event handling code, the assignment of the function reference causes the initSeats () function to get called when the event is triggered. Even though this call happens automatically when the event is fired, the effect is this:


The upside to using a function reference to assign an event handler function to an event is that it allows us to cleanly separate JavaScript code from HTML code - there's no need to assign JavaScript code to HTMI.
event attributes.


Now the <body> tag can just be the <body> tag since the function handler is wired purely in JavaScript code. We just have to make sure that the event assignment code gets run as carly as possible, so usually it takes place in the head of the page.

But there's a problem. What happens if we need to pass an argument into an event handler to help it do its job? This isn't a problem with onload in Mandango, but the onclick event needs to pass along the number of the seat that was clicked. Function references offer no means of passing through arguments, so we need another option...

> Function references provide a convenient way to wire event handler functions to events.

\section*{Function literals to the rescue}

The onlick event for seat images in Mandango must call the showSeatStatus () function with an argument (the seat number) indicating the seat that was clicked. Simply assigning a reference to the function won't pass along the argument, which presents a big problem. but there is another way. The solution is to use a function literal as the function reference, and then call the showSeatStatus () function from inside the function literal.


The function literal is used purely as a wrapper around the call to the showSeatStatus () function, but it plays a critical role in allowing us to pass along the appropriate seat number to the function. You can think of the function literal as a nameless function that handles the event. For this reason, function literals are sometimes called anonymous functions.

This code reveals how JavaScript offers an event object that is passed into event handlers, in this case through the evt argument. The event object contains information specific to each different event. In this case we don't inecd to kioun aniy detailed infonmation about die cvent, so it's OK io just not use the evt argument.

\section*{Function literals let you create anonymous event hañder functionis.}
\(\qquad\)

Wire the initSeats () function to the onload event handler, but this time use a function literal instead of a function reference.
Exercise thal instead or a function reterence.


\section*{Where's the wiring?}

There's still an unresolved issue related to event wiring through function literals. We know that the onload event handler can just be assigned in the head of the page inside the <script> tag, just like normal script code. And that works great because the code tied to onload doesn't run until after the page is loaded (when the onload event fires), just as if we had used the old approach of assigning initseats () to the HTML on load attribute of the <body> tag. But where do other function literal event handlers get wired?

The answer goes back to the onload event handler callback function, which serves as a great place to wire all events for a page.

> The onload event handler is an excellent place to initialize all events.


What this code boils down to is that the onload event handler becomes an event initialization function where all other events in a page are set. So the onload event handler not only performs normal start-up duties for the page, such as initializing the seats, but also wires all other event handler callback functions for the application.

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q: Why do callback functions matter? \\ \(A\) : Callback functions are significant because they allow you to react to things that take place outside of your code. Instead of you calling a function from your own code, you create a callback function that is essentially on standby waiting for something to take place so that it can leap into action. When that something takes place, it is the browser's responsibility to let the callback function know it can run. All you do is set the stage by wiring the callback function to a trigger, usually an event. \\ O (Are tailback functions other than event handlers? \\ A:: Yes. We explore another common usage of callback functions in Chapter 12 when they are called to process data sent by the server in a request for data using Ajax. \\ Q. literals. What are they, and why are they such a big deal? \\ A: without a name, kind of like a literal piece of}

\section*{Sharpen your pencil}
data such as a number or string. Function literals are important because they are ideal in situations where you need a quick one-off callback function. In other words, the function is only called once, and not by your code. So you create a function literal and assign it directly to an event property, as opposed to creating a named function and assigning its reference. It's really more of a coding efficiency issue, taking advantage of the fact that you don't need a formally named function in some situations. And dent forget that function literals are really only necessary wien you need to do more than simply reference a function, such as pass an argument to a function.

Finish the missing code in Mandango's new on load event handler function.
```
window.onload = function0 1
    // wire the Find Seat button event
    document.getElementById("findseats") + ..........."............*
    // Wire the seat image events
    document.getElementById ("seat0") . ...........= function(evt) { ...........................);
    document.getelementById("seat1") ............- function(evt) i .............................
    document.getElementById("seat2") . ...........* function(evt) I............................);
    ...
    // Initialize the seat appearances
    ................
;
```

Sharpen your pencil Finish the missing code in Mandango's new on load event handler function.

The entire onload event handler is a function literal.

The findSeats() function is
tied to the onclick event using a function reference
window, onload \(=\) function()
// Wire the Find Sest button event
document.getElementById("findseats"). onclick.. \(=\) findSeats.
if Wire the seat image events

document. getElementById("seat1") . .onclick..- function(evt) ( showSeatStatus(1);....);
document. getElementById ("seat2") . .onclick.. - function(evt) I .showSeatStatus(2);... i;

// Initialize the seat appearances initSeats();

1:


The onlick property for each seat image is accessed to set onclick event handlers.
showSeatStatus() is called from within a function literal so that an argument can be passed into it

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Callback functions are called by the browser in response to things that take place outside of the script.
- Function references can be used to assign functions as if they were variables.
- Function references let you wire event handler functions in JavaScript code without altering HTML code.
- Function literals are nameless functions that are handy in situations when a named function isn't necessary.

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q: Why is the on load event handler in Mandango
created as a function literal?
A: : Because there isn't really any reason to create a named function for it. The function only gets called once, and that's in response to the onload event. We could ve just as easily created a named function and assigned its reference to window . on load, but the connection between callback function and event is clearer when the function is directly tied to the event using a function literal.

O Do the other callback functions have to be wired in the onload event handler?

A:A: Yes. You might think you could wire them directly within the <script>tag in the head of the page. But remember that the content for the page hasnt finished loading at that point. So, all of the getElementById () calls would fall flat on their laces and the event handlers wouldn't get wred. The onload handler guarantees you that the page has loaded.

\section*{A shell of an HTML page}

Separating the JavaScript code from the HTML code in Mandango reveals how truly minimal the structural part of the page becomes. This makes the HTML code much easier to maintain without fear of trampling JavaScript


\section*{functional JavaScript}

\section*{One small step for JavaScript...}

Although we didn't manage to solve world peace, we did take a step in the right direction by using JavaScript to get a handle on climate control. Turning big problems into small problems, focusing on a singularity of purpose, and striving for code reuse are all ways that functions can improve scripts.


And of course, Seth and Jason put the same problem-solving techniques to work by creating a better organized and more maintainable version of Mandango. If nothing else, the world of macho movie-going is at peace...


\section*{JavaScriptcross}

Well, it's that time again. Take a load off and twist your cerebellum around this crossword puzzle.


Across
3. When you assign a function to a variable, you use a function
5. Functions help eliminate this kind of code.
6. You never call this kind of function yourself.
10. A nameless function body.
12. When code is relatively easy to modify, it is considered to have good
13. HTML code represents this part of a Web page.

\section*{Down}
1. ... is at peace with Mandango now.
2. Functions improve the ........... of code so that you don't unnecessarily duplicate it.
4. JavaScript represents this part a Web page.
7. To pass data back from a function, just ...... it
8. A piece of reusable JavaScript code.
9. Functions are good at breaking these down.
11. This is how you pass data into a function.

JavaScriptcross Solution



> Peace is always a tricky proposition. Even with JavaScript code, only the most organized code leads to tranquility and calm. It's not easy to lead a life of comfort, at least in terms of JavaScript.

\section*{7 forms and validation}


You don't have to be suave or sneaky to successfully get information from users with JavaScript. But you do have to be careful. Humans have this strange tendency to make mistakes, which means you can't always count on the data provided in online forms being accurate or valid. Enter JavaScript. By passing form data through the right JavaScript code as it is being entered, you can make web applications much more reliable, and also take some load off of the server. We need to save that precious bandwidth for important things like stunt videos and cute pet pictures.

\section*{Bannerocity: messaging the friendly skies}

Stunt-lowing aviator Howard has turned his love of flying into an aerial banner business, Bannerocity. Howard wants to put a whole new meaning to the term


Fly date
The date on which the banner ad is flown.

\section*{Name}

The customer's name.

\section*{}

The message to be displayed in the aerial banner ad.

The geographical area where the message is to be displayed. Howard flies over a specified ZIP code when showing an ad.

Phone number
The customer's phone number.

\section*{The Bannerocity HTML form}

With a little help from HTML, Howard's first stab at an
online order form for Bannerocity looks great.


Try your hand at writing an order using Howard's HTML order form. Don't worry, you won't be charged for the banner ad!


\section*{When HTML is not enough}

Online forms are only as good as the data entered into them. Howard realizes he's going to need the help of JavaScript to make sure his form data is reliable. And he needs to help clarify to the user what exactly constitutes "good data." For example, without some kind of cue from the Bannerocity page, the user has no clue that there is a \(\overline{3}\)-character limit on the banner ad message. or that the date must be entered as MM/DD/YYYY.


0


With some help from JavaScript, this invalid data can be prevented.

> No, HTML forms don't normally talk!

There's a small problem. All the clever data manipulating JavaScript code in the world won't help Howard until he figures out how to gain access to form data from JavaScript...

\section*{Accessing form data}

In order to access the data that has been entered into a form, it's first necessary to uniquely identify each field in a form. This is handled in The name attribute HTML code, using one of two (or both!) attributes.


The reason for two different identification approaches for form fields has to do with how fields are accessed. The first approach uses the getElementById () function that is used to access any element on a page. This approach works fine but there is a simpler approach that is specific to form fields.

Every form field has a form object that can be passed along to any function that is called to validate form data.
<input 1d="zipcode" name="zipcode" type="text" size="5" onclick="showlt(this.form)"/>
The neat thing about the form object is that it is also an array that holds all of the fields in the form. But the items in the array aren't stored using numeric indexes; instead they are stored using their unique identifier as set in the name attribute! So if the form object is passed into a function as an argument named theForm, the value entered in the ZIP code field can be accessed like this:


This approach to accessing form data is no better or worse than using getElementById (), other than making code easier to read since it involves less of it. The form object provides a shortcut, so you might as well use it.

Q:
Why does an individual form field even have access to the form object?

A:: Sometimes it doesn't, but keep in mind that a form field is capable of calling a validation function that needs access to the data in other form fields. In this case, the form object made available within each field becomes the key to conveniently accessing other form fields. This object is typically passed into the validation function so that the function can quickly grab ary field it needs. The Bannerocity example continues to lean heavily on the form object to access fields in its order form.

Q:
So is value a property of a form field? Does that mean each form field is really an object?
A:
: Yes and yes. Each form field is represented by an object to JavaScript code, and the form object provides a quick and easy way to access such an object for any field in a form. Once you have a form field object in hand by vay of form [ "object name" ] you can then access its value by tacking on the val ue property. Youll learn all about objects in Chapters 9 and 10.


\section*{Knowing when to check form data is dependent upon choosing the correct user input event to handle.}

The answer to the "when" of data validation involves events, and understanding which event lets you know when the user has entered data into a particular field. In other words, the challenge is to respond to the event that is triggered immediately after data has been entered. But the question still remains... which event is it?


\section*{Form field follow a chain of events}

When data is entered into a form, a flurry of events are generated. You can use these events as an entry point for validating data on a field by field basis, But doing so involves taking a look at a typical input sequence and understanding exactly which events are fired... and when.
(1) Select the input field (onfocus).
(2) Enter data into the field.
(3) Leave the input field to move to the next one (onblur/onchange).

4 Select the next input field (onfocus).
(5) Enter data into the field...

\section*{Entering data into a form sets off a chain of interesting JavaScript events.}

The onfocus event is fired when a field first gets
selected for input, while onblur is fired when a field loses imput selection. The onchange event is similar to onblur except that it only gets triggered if the field loses input selection and its contents have been changed.


\section*{Losing focus with onblur}

While there is an argument to be made for using the onchange event for data validation, there is a particular problem in that you can't use it to validate an empty field. The reason is that nothing is present when a form is first loaded, but since the form data hasn't changed either, onchange won't trigger even if a user navigates through empty form fields. The onblur event solves this problem by always being triggered any time the input selection, or focus, leaves a field.

\section*{The onblur event is a perfect trigger for data validation.}


Unlike onchange, onblur gets fired every time a field loses focus, even if the data hasn't been touched. This means onblur is very powerful, but also means you have to be careful about how and when you go about notifying the user of data validation issues. Case in point... the alert box, which can be an easy but risky proposition for validation notification.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: Arent some events generated when the user actually enters form data?
A:
Yes. Several events are generated in response to keypresses, such as onkeypress, onkeyup, onkeydown, etc. While there are certainly situations where il makes sense to respond to these events, they aren't usually a good idea for validating data because the user is typically still in the midst of entering information when these events get triggered. Validating data using these events would be somewhat overbearing. notifying the user of every typo and unfinished piece of data as it is being entered. It's probably better to wait until users leave a field. vhich is an indication that they are finished entering data into it. And this is done by responding to the onblur event.

\section*{Q:} does it mean?

A:: The idea is that onblur is supposed to be the counterpart to onfocus. So if onfocus is fired when an element or form field gains input focus, then onblur is fired when a field loses focus. Even though the word "locus" in this context isn't exactly referring to vision, the word 'bur' is used to indicate a lack of focus. It's a JavaScript play on words that ends up being a little confusing. Just remember that onblur is fired when a field loses focus.

\section*{You can use an alert box for validation messages}

Alert boxes are certainly handy for quickly displaying information to the user, and they happen to represent the most simple form of notification for letting the user know something is wrong with form data. Just call the alert () function while handling the onblur event if a problem is detected with the form data.

Check to see if the
form field is empty.


\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

How many onblur events are generated by the following input sequence? How many onchange events? Don't worry about onfocus.

onblur and onchange face off

\section*{Sharpen your pencil \\ Solution}

How many onblur events are generated by the following input sequence? How many onchange events? Don't worry about onfocus.


Fireside Chats


\section*{Tonight's talk: onblur and onchange discuss when to react to bad form data}

\section*{onblur:}

These days it seems as if scripts are always worried about what the user is up to. 1 guess that's where you and I come in.

\section*{onchange:}

That's something Ive been meaning to talk to you about. Rumor is there has been some empty data floating around and a lot of fingers have been pointing your way:

I'm frankly a little shocked by the accusation. You know I never miss a beat when it comes to notifying a script of data that has changed.

That's true. Nobody is questioning your reliability when data has changed. Problem is, what happens if a form starts off with empty data that never changes?

\section*{onblur:}

That's true, it doesn't make any sense, and neither do some users, but they try to do it just the same.

Calm down, it's OK. It's not your fault. Look, it's not your responsibility to worry about data that never changes. Remember, you're name is onchange.

Let's not get carried away. Like I was saying, it's not your responsibility. So if a script is worried about validating a form to make sure fields aren't empty, it really shouldn't be using you to trigger the validation code.

Hang on, try not to react so much. Even though you may not be ideal for triggering validation code, that doesn't mean scripts aren't sometimes interested in whether data has changed or not. What about a formit that lets people edit data that gets stored away? You would make perfect sense for only allowing a save if the data has truly changed.

Absolutely! So there's no need to keep beating yourself up.

You're welcome. All right, I'd love to chat some more but I have some data to validate... see ya!

\section*{onchange:}

Are you saying a user is capable of trying to submit a form with blank fields? That doesn't make any sense.

OK, so a form starts off blank with empty fields. The user skips entering some of the data and submits the form with the fields still empty... oh man, I think I'm starting to have a panic attack!

But what about the scenario we just talked about where I fail the script miserably on the empty data and the world starts coming apart at the seams?

Well that's a relief, even if it does mean I might not be of use to anyone anymore. Wait, I think I feel the panic coming on again...

Hey, that's true. So you're saying I still have a purpose?

Thanks. That's very reassuring,

\section*{Checking for... something}

Back at Bannerocity, Howard knows that at the very least he needs to be validating the Bannerocity form to make sure all fields have data. But from a JavaScript point of view, this involves looking at things from an odd perspective. More specifically, instead of checking to see if a field has something, you have to check and see if the field doesn't have nothing. In other words, "something" equals "not nothing."

\section*{Something \(=\) Not nothing}

The reason for this counterintuitive thinking is because it's easier to check a form field for emptiness than "fullness." So a first line of defense for data validation involves checking to see if a field is non-empty.


Empty.
Howard's validation function must respond to the onblur event for each form field in order to perform the non-empty validation. For example:


The form field object is passed into the function using the this keyword.

The this keyword is used in this code to reference the form field itself. By passing the form field as an object to the validation function, it gives the function an opportunity to access both the value of the form field as well as the form object that holds all form fields, which is sometimes helpful.

\section*{Validate fields to make sure you have "not nothing"}

Each form field has similar code that wires the onblur event to the validateNonEmpty () function. By tying the onblur event of each field to the function, all of the data on the form gets validated.
```
<input id-"name" name-"name" type-"text" size-"32"
```
    onblur="(alidateNonEmpty(this);"/>

The phone number form field calls the validation function to see if there is a phone number.

The length property reveals the number of characters in a string.

There is no phone number so the function displays an alert return falses \(\begin{aligned} & \text { There is no phone nu } \\ & \text { the function displa } \\ & \text { and returns false }\end{aligned}\)

There is a name so the function simply returns true.

// see if the imput value contains any
if (inputField.value.length -0 ? // The data as invalid, so notify the user alert("please enter a value.") ;
return truet

In this example, the return values of the validateNonEmpty () function aren't used. Their purpose is to communicate back to the calling code the result of the validation: true if the data is OK, or false if it's not. A litule later we'll see how these return values are used to make sure form data is OK before submitting a form to the server for processing,

\section*{A non-empty validation function checks to make sure form fields aren't left empty.}


Can you think of any drawbacks to using an alert box to notify the user of bad form data?

\section*{Validation without aggravating alert boxes}

It didn't take long for Howard to realize that alert boxes aren't ideal for notifying the user of invalid data. He's getting lots of complaints about all of the alert boxes that pop up when users are trying to enter Bannerocity orders. We've all become somewhat conditioned to be annoyed when a pop-up window interrupts the online experience, and data validation is no different,
 even though the alert boxes in this case are trying to be helpful.
Howard's solution is a "passive help system," which doesn't involve alert boxes and therefore doesn't interrupt the flow of data entry. This passive approach to notifying the user does require adding a few new HTML elements to the form, however.


The new HTML help element represents a significant improvement over alert boxes because it doesn't get in the way, yet it still conveys the same information to the user. And all it requires structurally is the addition of an HTML <span> tag that is named to match the form field that it sits next to. This new <span> tag appears in the code for the web page form just below the input field.

A second argument to validateNonEmpty() now passes along the help text element
<input id="phone" name="phone" type="text" size="12"
onblur="validateNonEmpty(this, document.getElementById('phone_help'))" />


With the span element in place that houses the help text, all that's missing is the code that actually displays the help message. And based upon the new second argument to the validateNonErupty () function, there's a good chance that function will be responsible for making sure the help text gets seen by the user.

\section*{A more subtle non-empty validator}

Howard's ingenious passive help message solution reveals itself in a new and improved validateNonEmpty () function that now also handles the task of setting and clearing help messages for a form field.

The help text object is passed into the function as the second argument


Data validation in Bannerocity is now greatly improved thanks to the new passive help approach, which still uses a healthy dose of JavaScript but in a much cleaner way; at least in terms of streamlining the user experience.


\section*{Too much of a good thing}

As it turns out, non-empty validation works great but too much data can be as problematic as not enough. Check out Howard's latest banner,
which reveals a new problem with the Bannerocity order form.


\section*{Size matters...}

The trouble with Bannerocity is that Howard's aerial banner can only hold 32 characters but the message field on the order form has no limit. Sure, it's great that the user gets warned if they don't enter a message at all, but a message that is too long still gets through with no problem. And this is in fact a big problem for Howard!

The user has entered
too mueh text but
Bannerocity doesn't tell
them it's a problem.
)

Enter the banner message: Mandango... the movie seat picker for tough guys!


Attempting to show unlimited text in a limited space doesn't work, and ultimately results in unhappy customers... like Seth and Jason. The solution is to validate the message field so that it has a maximum length. A more customized help message for the new validation is also a good idea
to make sure that users understand the message size limitation.

This banner text is within the 32-character limit.

Enter the banner message: Mandango... macho movie tickets!

The text fits on the banner just fine when it is constrained within the maximum size limit


Write pseudocode that shows how a new Bannerocity length validation function will work, making sure to validate both the minimum and maximum lengths.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

The function arguments minLength and minLength and
max Length would be
If (fieldValue is shorter than min Length \(O R\) fieldValue is longer than max length) set to 1 and 32 for the Bannerocity banner text ...Show the help. text

Write pseudocode that shows how a new Bannerocity length validation function will work, making sure to validate both the minimum and maximum lengths.

Else
..Clear the help text

\section*{Validating the lounth nf data}

The role of the new validateLength () function is to check and see if the value in a form field adheres to certain minimum and maximum lengths. In the case of Bannerocity, the function is primarily used to limit the length of the banner text field, although it does enforce a minimum length of one character as well. It's unlikely that Howard will find a client who wants to fly a letter L by itself, for example, but the main idea is to make sure there are no more than 32 characters and no less than one.

In addition to the minimum and maximum lengths to be enforced by validate Length (), the function also requires two more arguments for the input field to be validated and the help text element used to display a help message. That makes for a total of four arguments to the function.

validateLength (minLength, maxLength, inputField, helpText) ;

maxLength
The maximum amount of text allowed in the input field,

Enter the banner message: \begin{tabular}{|c|} 
Mandango...the movie seat picker for tough guys! \\
Please enter a value 1 to 32 characters in length.
\end{tabular}

\section*{helpText}

The element in which help text is to be displayed.
<input id="message" name="message" type="text" size="32"

<span id="message help" class="help"></span>

The validateLength () function takes the value of the inputField argument and checks to make sure it is at least as long as minLength but no longer than maxLength. If the value is too short or too long, a help message is displayed in the helpText element on the page.

The banner message input field object

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Every form field is accessible as a JavaScript object.
- Within a form field object there is a property called form that represents the entire form as an array of fields.
- The onblur event is fired when the input focus leaves a form field, and is a great way to trigger a data validation function.
- Alert boxes are a very clunky and often annoying way of notifying users of data validation problems.
- A passive approach to validation help is much more intuitive and less of a hassle for users.
- The length property of a string reveals the number of characters in the string.

\section*{Shareren your pencil}

Finish the code for the validateLength () function, making sure to pay close attention to the arguments being passed to it.
function validateLength (minLength, maxLength, inputField, helpText) (
// See if the input value contains at least minLength but no more than max Length characters
// The data is invalid, so set the help.message
................................................................................................................................
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
// The data is OK, so clear the help message
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
1


\section*{Message problem solved}

Howard is relieved that the banner length problem is solved. Short of buying a longer banner, he didn't have any other good options, so attacking the problem at the JavaScript level turned out being a good idea. At least users now know the limitations of Bannerocity banners up front before they order.

The help text now calls out a banner message that exceeds the limit


Enter the banner message: Get your adventure on with Stick Figure Adventure! Please enter a value 1 to 32 characters in length.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q:}
: What's really so wrong with alert box validation? Don't most people realize that an alert box isn't a pop-up ad?

A:1: While it's probably true that most people realize a JavaScript alert box isn't a pop-up ad, it still doesn't eliminate the fact that alert boxes are regarded to be highly intrusive. Anything that requires the user to stop what they're doing and click something in another window is disruptive. So while alert boxes have a place in JavaScript programming, data validation isn't it.
Q: The usage of this in the onblur code for form fields is still confusing. Is the form field an object or is the form itself an object?

A:: The answer is both. Within the context of an HTML element, the this keyword refers to the element as an object. So in the case of a form field, thi s is a reference to the form field object. Within a given form field object, there is a property called form that provides access to the entire form as an object. So when you see this. form in the onblur code tor a form field, what you're really seeing is a reference to the form itsell, as an object.
Thee puipuse of this s. formin the Bannerocity code is to gain access to the help text element that is associated vith a particular input field. Remember that this. form is a reference to the form object, which is also an associative array containing all of the form fields. So you can quickly access a field named my_field using array notation with the code this. form["my_field"]. You could also use getElementById (), but the form approach is a little more concise.

Q: When a help text element is associated within an input field, what is the significance of the name and id attributes of each?

A:: The I d of a help text element is based upon the id/name of its associated input field but it's not exactly the same. More specifically, the help text ID uses the input field ID with the text_help. tacked on to the end. The point of this naming convention is to create a clear and consistent connection between an input field and the element that displays help text for the field. In reality, you can name the help text element IDs anything you want as long as they are unique and get properly passed into the validation functions.


\section*{O.} : Why is it necessary to clear the help message when data validates as being OK in a validation function?

A:: Keep in mind that the point of help. text is to give the user help when there is a problem. It the data entered into a form field checks out OK, there is no problem and therefore no reason to display a help message. And since a help message may already be visible from an earlier validation on the same field, the safe play is to clear the help message any time a field validates with good data.
Q: What happens it a hep pext element isn't provided as an argument to a validation function?

A:: The script searches and searches for the missing element, overheats the page, and leaves a charred mess in the browser. OK, not really. By design, the passive help system in Bannerocity quietly disappears if the text help argument isn't used in a validation function. So the help text for the input field just isn't shown. This means the help text system is designed to be entirely optional. What's nice about this approach is that it allows help text to be used as much or as little as desired; even with individual fields, you aren't forced to add a help text element for every field on a form.
The validation code that checks to see if the htm 1 Text argument is non-null is what allows the help text element to be optional. If the help text element is not nu11, it means the element exists and help text can be displayed. Otherwise, it just does nothing because the element is missing.
O: Doesn't the size attribute of an HTML form field already limit the length of the field?

A:1: The HTML size attribute only limits the physical size of the form field on the page-it has nothing to do vith limiting how much data is entered. As an example, the ZIP code field in Bannerocity has its size attribute set to 5 , which means the field is sized on the page to fit about five characters of text. It is possible to limit the actual length of text in HTML using the maxlengt hatribute, but there is nominlengt h equivalent. A validation function provides the utmost tlexibility in controlling the length of characters that may be entered into a field, although in the case of a ZIP code it would really be better to not only look for five characters of text, but to also make sure that they are five numbers. Maybe this is something Howard should consider adding to Bannerocity...

\section*{Right banner, wrong location}

Howard's online form continues to cause problems despite his best validation efforts. This time a ZIP code has been entered incorrectly, resulting in Howard flying around for several hours over the wrong


\section*{Validating a ZIP code}

Howard's problem has to do with a ZIP code not getting entered properly. At its simplest, a U.S. ZIP code consists of exactly five numbers. So validating a ZIP code can be as simple as making sure the user enters exactly five numbers.,. nothing more and nothing less.


\footnotetext{
Shareren your pencil
Finish the code for the validateZIPCode () function that validates ZIP codes to make sure they are exactly five characters long, as well as numeric.
```
function validateZIPCode(inputField, helpText) I
    // First see if the input value length is anything other than 5
    if
            (.......................................)
                .) {
            // The data is invalid, so set the help message
            if (helpText !- null)
                helpText.innerHTML = "Please enter exactly five digits.";
    }
    // Then see if the input value is a number
    else if (
```

``` ) 1
            // The data is invalid, so set the help message
        if (helpText != null;
            helpText.innerHTML = "Please enter a number.";
    f
    else (
        // The data is OK, so clear the help message
        if (helpText != null)
            helpText.innerHTML = "";
        ......................
    )
}
```
}

Finish the code for the validate2IPCode () function that validates ZIP codes to make sure they are exactly five characters long, as well as numeric.

See if the length of the ZIP code string is anything other than 5 .
```
function validateZIPCode(inputField, helpText) {
    // First see if the input value length is anything other than 5
    if ( inputField.valuelength! }=5\mathrm{ , ;
        // The data is invalid, so set the help message
        If (helpText != null)
            helpText.innerHTML = "Please enter exactly five digits.";
            return false; <... Return false since the length of
        I
        // Then see if the input value is a number
```

```
        // The data is invalid, so set the help message
        if (helpText != null)
            helpText.innerHTML = "Please enter a number.";
            return false; }\leftarrow~\mathrm{ Return false since the ZIP code
            .....................
                                is not a number.
    f
    else I
        // The data is OK, so clear the help message
        if (helpText != null)
            helpText.innerHTML = " ";
        \mathrm{ return truc;}<\ldots...
                Return true to indicate that
                the ZIP code validated OK
```

It isn't always safe to assume postal codes are purely numeric.
If a web form is capable of receiving postal codes for addresses outside of the U.S., then validating for a purely numeric ZIP code won't be such a good idea. This is because plenty of other countries rely on postal codes that contain a mixture of letters and numbers. Additionally, full U.S. ZIP codes actually consist of 9 digits in the form \#\#\#\#\#-\#\#\#\#, in which case the hyphen would make the ZIP code data non-numeric.


The placeorder() function Up Close


\section*{Dumb Questions}

Q: How does the piaceorder () function controi
whether or not the form gets submitted to the server?
A: First off, the ifle 1 se statement in the function is structured so that the conditional involves a validation of every field in the form, which means if any of the form data is invalid, the el se clause vill run. The el se clause only contains a call to the a lert () function, so nothing else happens if the function makes it into this clause. On the other hand, if the data validates OK, the submit () method is called on the form object, which submits the form to the server. So the submission of the form to the server is controlled by calling or not calling the form's submit () method. This method is the JavaScript equivalent of an HTML submit button.

Q: i thought aiert boxes were bad for data validation. Ẅhat's the deal?

A: In many cases they are, but the real issue here is when it's OK to interrupt the flow of a page to display a pop-up message (alert) and require the user to read a message and click OK. Since the Order Banner button is only clicked when the user intends to submit an order, it's worth making sure they know there is a problem with the data. So in this case the problem is severe enough that an alent is appropriate. And don't forget that passive help messages are still displayed to help guide the user to a fix.

\section*{Timing is everything...date validation}

Unfortunately, Howand's ZIP code and form submission validation fixes provide only a fleeting sense of relief because there is now an entirely new problem. He no longer flies over the wrong location thanks to validated ZIP codes, but now he finds himself sometimes flying banners on the wrong date, which is perhaps even worse. Something is amiss with the fly dates that are being entered...

Enter the date for the message to be shown: \(05 / 10 / 2008\)

A typo resulted in a date containing the letter o instead of a 9 it seems no one can type a 9 correctly.

Howard interpreted the letter o as a zero, and flew on the lOth instead of the intended date, the 19th.
Sunday

\section*{Validating a date}

Howard apparently isn't going to get by with just checking to see if the user entered data into the date field - he's going to have to actually check to see if a valid date has been entered. The key to validating a date is deciding on a specific date format, and then enforcing it. A common date format involves specifying the two-digit month, then the two-digit day, then the four-digit year, separated by slashes.


Nailing down a format for a date is the easy part... coming up with code to validate a piece of data against that format is where things get messy. There are some powerful string functions that make it possible to tear a string apart based upon a certain character, such as a forward slash. But it's a fairly complex endeavor breaking apart a string into pieces and then analyzing each piece to make sure it is numeric and that it adheres to a certain length. It's kind of like the ZIP code validation challenge taken to the extreme.
Let's work through the steps of how a date validation function might work:
(1) Break apart the form field value into a collection of substrings, using a forward slash as the basis for separating the string.

(2) Analyze the month substring to make sure it is exactly two characters in length and that it is a number.

(3) Analyze the day substring to make sure it is exactly two characters in length and that it is a number.

4 Analyze the year substring to make sure it is exactly four characters in length and that it is a number.
(5) Ignore any other data following the second forward slash.

While this series of steps isn't necessarily nightmarish from a coding perspective, it seems like an awful lot of work to validate a relatively simple pattern.


\section*{Regular expressions aren't "regular"}

JavaScript happens to have an extremely powerful built-in tool called a regular expression that is designed specifically for matching patterns in text. A regular expression allows you to create a pattern and then apply it to a string of text, searching for a mateh much like a suspect in a police


\section*{Regular expressions define patterns to match}

Just as a pattern for a police lineup might involve the height, hair style, and other physical attributes of a person, a text pattern involves a certain sequence of characters, such as five numbers in a row. Wait a minute, that sounds like a familiar pattern... a ZIP code maybe?

pattern \(=\) \#\#\#\#\#


37205"


Unfortunately, turning the five-digit pattern for a ZIP code into a regular expression isn't all that intuitive. This is because regular expressions rely on a very compact, and also somewhat eryptic syntax for describing patterns of text. I's not casy to inmediately see that this regular expression can be used to match a five-digit ZIP code:



\section*{Regular expressions exposed}

Creating a regular expression is sort of like creating a string literal except that the regular expression appears inside of forward slashes (//) instead of inside quores or apostrophes.


\section*{Regular expressions always start and end with a forward slash.}

Within the expression itself, a collection of special symbols known as metacharacters are used in conjunction with letters and numbers to create highly descriptive text patterns. The good news is that it isn't necessary to know every nuance of the regular expression "language" in order to create practical regular expressions. Following are some of the more commonly used regular expression metacharacters:


Match any character
other than a newline.


Match any alphanumeric (letter or number) character.
Whitespace includes
spaces, tabs, newlines,
and retorns.
Match a whitespace
character.


\footnotetext{
Although these descriptions of the regular expression metacharacters are accurate, the metacharacters are much easier to understand when examined in the context of a real pattern...
}

\section*{Metacharacters represent more than one literal character}


Write a regular expression that matches a full U.S. ZIP code, which takes the form


\section*{Drilling into regular expressions: quantifiers}

Any text that isn't a metacharacter is matched as-is in a regular expression, meaning that / howard/ matches the text "howard" in any part of a string. Additionally, there are some other regular expression constructs called quantifiers that further refine patterns. Quantifiers are applied to sub-patterns that precede them within a regular expression, and provide control over how many times a sub-pattern appears in a pattern.


Preceding sub-pattern must appear \(\mathbf{1}\) or more times.


Preceding sub-pattern must appear 0 or 1 time.


The sub-pattern is required, and can appear any number of times.

The sub-pattern is optional, but can only appear once if it does appear.

Although not technically a quantifier, parentheses are used to group together sub-patterns much as you group together mathematical expressions

\section*{()}

Group characters and/or metacharacters together in a sub-pattern.

\section*{Pattern quantification}

Quantifiers allow regular expressions to be written much more concisely than with metacharacters alone. Instead of repeating sub-patterns explicitly, a quantifier can specify exactly how many times a sub-pattern should appear. As an example, the following pattern matches a ZIP code using quantiliers:


\section*{Quantifiers control the number of times a sub-pattern appears in a regular expression.}

It's possible to get very creative with metacharacters and quantifiers to create quite powerful regular expressions that match just about anything that can appear in a string.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { including an empty string! }
\end{aligned}
\]



Match each regular expression metacharacter or quantifier to what it does within a pattern.
\w

The pattern finishes at the end of the string
The sub-pattorn is required, and can appear any number of times.

Match any alphanumeric (letter or number) character.

Match any character other than a newline.
Match any numeric digit.

The sub-pattern is optional, and can appear any number of times.


Match each regular expression metacharacter or quantifier to what it does within a pattern.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q:
Is a regular expression a string?

A:
 expression more as a description of a string, or at least a description of part of a string. Regular expressions are closely tied to strings, and are used to match patterns of text that appear in strings, but they are not strings themselves.
 to other kinds of data?
A: A: No, regutarepessessons se divined solely for matching patters of characters within a string of text, so they only apply to strings. But that doesn't limit them from being extremely useful in carrying out complex text-matching tasks that would be extremely difficult using strings alone.

Q: What happens if you want to match a metacharacter, such as a dollar sign?

A:: Similar to JavaScript strings, characters with special meaning in regular expressions can be escaped with a backslash. So to match a dollar sign within a regular expression, you would specify the \(\$\) character as \(\backslash \$\). This same rule applies to any other character that has a special meaning within a regular expression, such as ^, *, and +, to name a few. Any character that doesn't have a special meaning can be placed directly in a regular expression with no special formatting.

O :: Do regular expressions have anything to do with data validation? Weren't we originally trying to validate a date form field in Bannerocity?

A:
 regular expressions you will. Yes, the reason for this little detour into regular expressions is to work out a way to validate data with a complex format, such as a date or an email address. Bannerocity still needs plenty of help on the data formatting front, so there will be plenty of opportunities tu apply regular expressions. Just hang in there a little while longer.
Q: Homareregyutereperssions sud in JavaScript?

A:A: Were getting there really! Regular expressions are represented in JavaScript by an object, which supports several methods for using regular expressions to match patterns within strings.


Head First: So you're the one I keep hearing about who is capable of looking into strings and recognizing patterns. Is that true?

Regular Expression: Yes, I'm a code breaker of sorts, able to look at a string of text and immediately pick apart patterns. The CIA could really use a guy like me... but they haven't returned my calls.

Head First: So you have an interest in spying?
Regular Expression: No, I just love looking for patterns in text. In fact, I am a pattern, any pattern. Just give me some parameters about what you're looking for and I'll find it, or at least let you know whether it exists in a string or not.
Head First: Sounds great, but can't the indexOf() method of the String object already handle string searching?

Regular Expression: Please tell me you didn't just go there... that amateur doesn't know the first thing about patterns. Look, if you need a painfully simple search feature that just looks for the word "lame" in a string, then indexOf () is your answer. Otherwise, you're going to quickly realize that indexOf () falls way short of doing anything serions when it comes to analyzing strings.

Head First: But ism't a string satuch a form of pattem matching?
Regular Expression: Yes, and walking to the mailbox is a form of exercise but you don't see it in the Olympics... yet. My point is that a simple string search is really the most simplistic form of pattern matching possible-the pattern is a static word or phrase. Now consider something like a date or a web site URL.
Those are true patterns because although they adhere to strict formats, the specifics of what is being searched for is not static.

Head First: I think I see what you mean. A pattern is a description of text that can appear in a string but not necessarily the text itself?

Regular Expression: Exactly. It's like if I ask you to let me know when a person walks by who is tall, has short hair, and no glasses. That is a description of a person but not an actual person. If a guy named Alan walks by matching that description, we can say that the pattern has been matched. But there could be plenty of other people who also match that description. Without patterns, we wouldn't be able to look for a person based upon a description - we'd have to look for an actual person. So the difference between searching for a specific piece of text using indexof () and matching a pattern using me is the difference between looking for Alan or looking for a person who is tall, has short hair, and no glasses.

Head First: That makes sense now. But how does pattern matching apply to data validation?
Regular Expression: Well, validating data is primarily about making sure data fits a certain preconceived format, or pattern. So my job is to take a pattern and see if a string of text conforms to it. If so, then the data is considered valid Otherwise, the data is bad.

Head First: So is there a different regula expuession for matching different kinds of data?
Regular Expression: Oh yes. And that's really where most of the work takes place in using me to validate data-coming up with a regular expression that successfully models a data format.

Head First: That is very interesting. I appreciate you explaining the role you play in data validation.

Regular Expression: No problem. I often have to explain myself... I suppose that's a behavioral pattern.

\section*{Validating data with regular expressions}

As thrilling as it may be to create regular expressions purely for the sake of seeing patterns in text, we have a pressing need for regular expressions to help validate the date field in Bannerocity and get Howard back in the air: A regular expression in JavaScript is represented by the RegExp object, which includes a method called test () that is the key to using regular expressions for data validation. The test () method checks for the existence of a pattern within a string.

\section*{The test \((\) method of the RegExp object is used to test a string for a regular expression pattern.}


Now all that's missing is the code for the function, which as it turns out, isn't so bad. In fact, the vast majority of this code already appeared in the other validation functions, so validateRegEx () is as much about code reuse as it is about creating an all-purpose regular expression validator.



\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: Why is it necessary to call the validateNonEmpty () function in validateDate () ? Doesn't the regular expression already factor in empty data?

A:1: Yes, the regular expression does inherently validate the date to make sure it isn't empty, and the non-empty validation could be removed and the date would still get validated just fine. However, by first checking to see if data has been entered, the page becomes more intuitive to the user, offering up specific help messages based upon the particular validation problem. If no data has been entered, a different message is displayed then it an invalid date has been entered. The end result is a passive help system that feels as if it guides the user through filling out the form. This subtle usability enhancement seems to be a worthy tradeoff for how little extra code is required.

Q: What if I really want to future-proof my script code? Is that a problem?
A: No, not at all. It's rarely a problem attempting to anticipate future needs and writing code that can adapt to those needs. In the case of Bannerocity, a four-year date field is certainly more futureproof than the two-year version. And keep in mind that if you really wanted to be cratty, you could allow the user to enter only two digits, and then prepend the number of the century to those digits behind the scenes. So the effect on the form is a two-digit year but the date is actually being stored as a four-digit year.


Not all dates are in the format MM/DD/YYYY.
It's not necessarily safe to assume that all users are comfortable entering dates as MM/DD/YYYY. Many parts of the world reverse the months and days so that the format is DD/MM/YYYY.

Control how many times a sub-pattern can appear as a minimum and maximum range.
\[
\{\min , \max \}
\]


Preceding sub-pattern must appear at least \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}\) times in a row but no more than \(\boldsymbol{m a x}\) times.
\(/^{\wedge} \backslash \mathbf{w}\{5,8\} \$ / \quad\) Some passwords allow between five and eight alphanumeric characters, which is perfect for the \(\mathrm{min} / \mathrm{max}\{ \}\) quantifier.

\section*{- Sharepen your pencal}

Rewrite the regular expression used in the validateDate () function so that it allows both 2-digit years and 4-digit years.

Rewrite the regular expression used in the validateDate () function so that it allows both 2-digit years and 4-digit years.


The date regular expression matches 3-digit years as OK not good?

Enter the date for the message to be shown: 03/01/200

\section*{No amount of revisionist history can add JavaScript support to the first through tenth centuries.}

And since it wasn't supported back then, there's no reason to allow users to enter the ycar part of a date in the hundreds. In fact, there's no need to let users order aerial banners at any point in the past if we can possibly help it. So eliminating 3-digit years from the validation code is an important fix, and will help prevent Howard from facing an onslaught of new
Bannerocity data entry problems.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- A regular expression matches a pattern of text in a string, and is enclosed within forward slashes,
- In addition to normal text, regular expressions are built out of metacharacters and quantifiers, which provide careful control over how a text pattem is matched.
- In lavaScript, regular expressions are supported by the builitin RegExp object, but it is rarely seen because regular expressions are typically created as literals:
- The test () method in the RegExp object is used to a test a regular expression pattern on a string of text.

\section*{Eliminating three-digit years with this...or that}

Another very useful metacharacter in the regular expression toolbox is the alternation metacharacter, which looks and works a lot like the logical OR operator in JavaScript. Unlike the JavaScript OR operator, the alternation metacharacter involves only one vertical bar, I, but it does allow a pattern to specify a list of alternate sub-patterns. In other words, the pattern will successfully match if any of the alternate sub-patterns match. This is a lot like the logical OR operator because it's basically saying "this, or this, or this..."
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
this|that & The alternation metacharacter \\
provides a handy way to \\
The pattern matches if the & specify alternate matches. \\
this sub-pattern or the \\
that sub-pattern match.
\end{tabular}
/(red|blue) pi11/ A simple choice of \begin{tabular}{l} 
Multiple possibilities can be \\
two, this pattern \\
matches both "red \\
specified using more than one \\
alternation metacharacter. \\
mill and "blue pill".
\end{tabular}
\(\qquad\)
is this your real number?


Rewrite the regular expression used in the validateDate () function one more time, and this time make sure the year can only be 2 digits or 4 digits, and nothing else.

The alternation metacharacter
 (1) lets the pattern accept both

2 -digit and 4 -digit years.


\section*{Leave nothing to chance}

Howard really likes the new, robust date validator that relies on regular expressions for precise pattern matching. In fact, he likes the validator so much that he wants to move forward and use regular expressions to validate the remaining two fields on the Bannerocity form: the phone


\section*{Can you hear me now? Phone number validation}

From a validation perspective, phone numbers aren't too terribly difficult to grasp because they follow such a rigid format. Of course, without regular expressions, they would still involve a fair amount of string hacking, but regular expressions make phone numbers a breeze to validate. Phone
 numbers in the U.S. conform to the following pattern:

\section*{pattern = \#\#\#-\#\#\#-\#\#\#\#}

Since Howard doesn't plan on flying outside of his local area, it's safe to assume a
By changing the dashes in the phone number pattern to slashes and US phone number format tweaking the number of digits, it becomes apparent that the phone number pattern is very similar to the date pattern.


The phone number pattern is similar to the date pattem except it uses hyphens to separate a different number of digits

The validatePhone () function becomes fairly predictable thanks to the phone number regular expression and the validateRegEx () function.
```
function validatePhone(inputField, helpText) {
    // Eirst see if the input value contains data
    1f (tvalidateNonempty(inputfleld, helpText))
        return false;
    // Then see if the input value is a phone number
    return validateRegEx((^){व(3)--\d/3)--\d/4/$/,
        inputField.value, helpText,
        "Rlease enter a phone number (for example, 123-456-7890).");
```
1

\section*{You've got mail: validating email}

With the phone number validation task nailed down, Howard's final challenge is to validate the email address field on the Bannerocity form. Like any other data, the key to validating an email address is to break the format down to a consistent pattern that can be modeled using a regular expression.

\section*{Pattern \(=\) LocalName@DomainPrefix. DomainSuffix}


That doesn't look too bad -an email address is just three pieces of
Alphanumeric. alphanumeric text with an at symbol (e) and a period thrown in.

Creating a regular expression to match this email pattern is fairly straightforward considering that everything is so predictable.

The period must be escaped
start with one or more alphanumeric characters.
\[
/^{\wedge} \backslash w+@ \backslash w+\backslash . \backslash w\{2,3\} \$ /
\]
The email address must: end with a 2 - or 3
character alphanumeric. since it is a special character in regular expressions.

Although this pattern does the job, something seems amiss. Do all email addresses truly adhere to such a predictable format?

2 or 3 character
alphanumeric.


The email address must


What other variations of the email pattern are possible? Think about all the different email addresses you've ever seen.

\section*{The exception is the rule}

Email addresses are actually more complex than they appear to be at first glance. There are quite a few variations on the basic email format that have to be considered when formulating a reliable email pattern for data validation. Here are some examples of perfectly valid email addresses:


As it turns out, there are several different optional characters that can be sprinkled throughout the parts of an email address that we previously handled as purely alphanumeric. We need a way to incorporate such optional characters into a pattern...

\section*{Matching optional characters from a set}

Another very handy regular feature that directly affects the email address pattern is character classes, which allow you to create tightly controlled sub-patterns within a pattern. More specifically, character classes excel at establishing rules where optional characters play heavily into a sub-pattern. You can think of a character class as a set of rules for matching a single character.


Within a character class, every character listed is considered legal for the character match, kind of like how the alternation between metacharacters lets you build a list of alternate sub-patterns. However, the result of a character class is always a match for a single character unless the character dass is followed by a quantifier. A few examples will help put character classes into perspective."


\section*{Character classes offer an efficient way to control optional characters in a regular expression pattern.}

Character classes are exactly what we need to whip the email address pattern into shape and add email validation to Bamnerocity...


Don't forget to escape special characters in regular expressions.
Watch it!
Characters that have special meaning in regular expressions must be escaped to include the actual character in a regular expression. Escape one of the following characters by preceding it with a backslash ( \()\) : [\^\$.| \(?^{\star+}\) ().

\section*{Constructing an email validator}

It's now possible to create a much more robust pattern for email addresses by factoring in all of the possible optional characters that can appear in the local name and domain name.

This part of the email address can appear one or more times.

\section*{Pattern \(=\) LocalName@DomainPrefix.DomainSuffix}


The period is considered part of the domain suffix.

K
Any 2-, 3-, or 4 -character alphànumeric, preceded by a period.

Keep in mind that there are many different ways to approach the creation of patterns, including the email address pattern. It can be surprisingly tough to create a pattern that successfully addresses every little nuance of a particular data format. We've already experienced how onee the general pattern design is worked out, translating it to an actual regular expression is fairly straightforward.




\section*{JavaScripteross}

Here's a pattern you might recognize... a arossword puzzle! No validation required-just a few answers.


\section*{Across}
1. The JavaScript object that supports regular expressions. 2. Triggered when the data in a form field changes.
4. A handy way to specify optional characters in a regular expression.
7. This object contains all of the individual fields in a form.
9. A special character in a regular expression.
10. A description of a data format.
12. This kind of validation checks to make sure a form field has data.
13. Controls how many times a sub-pattern appears in a regular expression.

\section*{Down}
1. Used to match patterns of text.
3. Do this to form data to make sure it is legit.
5. The method used to match a string with a regular expression.
6. Triggered when the user leaves a form field.
8. HTML attribute that uniquely identifies a field within a form.
11. Handy in many cases but usually not the best way to notify the user about invalid data.

\section*{JavaScriptcross Solution}



\section*{8 wrangling the page}


Taking control of web page content with JavaScript is a lot
like baking. Well, without the mess... and unfortunately, also without the edible reward afterward. However, you get full access to the HTML ingredients that go into a web page, and more importantly, you have the ability to alter the recipe of the page. So JavaScript makes it possible to manipulate the HTML code within a web page to your heart's desire, which opens up all kinds of interesting opportunities all made possible by a collection of standard objects called the DOM (Document Object Model).

\section*{Functional but clumsy...interface matters}

The Stick Figure adventure script from Chapter 4 is a good example of interactive decision making with JavaScript, but the user interface is a bit clumsy, especially by modern web standards. The alert boxes tend to feel tedious to navigate through, and the cryptic option buttons aren't very intuitive, secing as how they are simply labeled 1 and 2 .


\section*{Describing scenes without alert boxes}

The problem with the alert box approach to displaying the scene descriptions is that the text disappears once the user clicks OK. It could be better if the description was displayed directly on the page to get rid of annoying alerts and bring the story into the body of the web page. This is what Ellie wants the Stick Figure Adventure to look like:

The newest set of files for the Stick Figure Adventure are ready for you at http:// www headfirstlabs.com/books/hfjs/.


\section*{\&ronin}

What do you think would be the best way for JavaScript to support the new scene description functionality?

\section*{Creating space on the page with div}

In order to display scene description on the page, we first need to define a physical area on the page as an HTML element before we can get serious about using, JavaScript code. Since the scene description text appears as its own paragraph, a <div> tag should work fine for holding the scene text.


\section*{Accessing HTML elements}

The getElementById() method of the standard document object that we've used quite a lot. It allows you to reach within a page and access an HTML element...as long as that element has a unique ID .
 like div or img. This method returns an array containing all of the elements on the page, in the order that they appear in the HTML.
-The name of the tag itself, without the \(<>\).
var divs = document.getElementsByTagName ("div");

Write JavaScript code to gain access to the orange image in the following HTML body code, first using getElementById (), and then using getElementsByTagName ().


Using getElementById(): \(\qquad\)
Using getElementsByTagName ():


\section*{Getting in touch with your inner HTML.}

OK, the real point of all this HTML element access business is getting to the content stored in an element. You can access HTML elements that are capable of holding text content, such as div and p, by using a property called innerHTML.

\section*{The innerHTML property provides access to all of the content stored in an element.}

 setting HTML content just as much as it is for getting it. The content of an element can be set to a string of HTML text just by assigning the string of text to the element's innerHTML property. The new content replaces any content that previously belonged to the element.
document.getElementById("story").innerHTML =
"You are estrong>motelstrong> alone!";

Element content is set, or in this case replaced, by assigning a string to the innerHTML property.


Assuming the scene description message is already being correctly set based upon decisions made by the user, write the line of code that sets the message text to the scene description element on the Stick Figure Adventure page using innerHTML.

The ID of the seene message <div> is "stene Text.


\section*{An adventure with less interruptions}

The dynamically changing scene description area gives Stick Figure Adventure a smoother and more enjoyable user experience with no pesky alerts.



\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}
: Can luse getElement ById () to access any element on a page?
\(A\) : Yes, but only it the element has its id attribute set to a unique value. The id attribute is absolutely essential to using the getElementById() method.

O
Can innerHTML be used to set the content of any HTML element?

A: No. In order to set the inner HTML" content of an element, the element must be capable of containing HTML content. So in reality, innerHTML is for setting the content of elements like div, span p, and other elerments that act as content containers.


Q:When you set the content of an element with innerHTML what happens to the content?
\(A\) : The innerHTML property always completely overwrites any prior content when you set it. So there is no concept of appending content to innerHTML. although you can get the effect of appending content by concatenating the new content onto the old content, and then assigning the result to innerHTML, like this: elem.innerHTML \(t=\) " This sentence gets appended.

Well, yes, but are web standards really anything to worry about?
It's true, innerHTML was originally created by Microsoft as a proprictary feature for the Internet Explorer browser. Since then, other browsers have adopted innerHTML, and it has become an unofficial standard for quiithly and casily changing the conitenii of webl page clements.
But the fact remains that innerHTML isn't standard. That may not seem like a big deal but the idea behind standards is to make web pages and applications work on as many browsers and platforms as possible. Besides, there is a standards-compliant way of accomplishing the same task that is ultimately more flexible and more powerful, even if it isn't quite as simple. This approach involves the DOM, or Document Object Model, a collection of objects that provide JavaScript with complete and total control over the structure and content of web pages.

\section*{Seeing the forest and the trees: the Document Object Model (DOM)}

The DOM offers a script-friendly view into the structure and content of a web page, which is important if you'd like to use JavaScript to dynamically alter a page. Through the lens of the DOM, a page looks like a hierarchy of elements in the shape of a tree. Each leaf on the tree is a node, which directly relates to each element on a page. When a node appears beneath another node on the tree, it is considered a child of that node.


\section*{Your page is a collection of DOM nodes}

Every node in a DOM tree is classified according to its type. The main node types correspond to structural parts of a page, primarily consisting of element nodes and text nodes.

\section*{DOCUMENT}

The top node in a DOM tree, representing the document itself, and appearing just above the \(h t m l\) element.

\section*{TEXT}

The text content for an element, always stored as a child node beneath an element.

\section*{DOM nodes} are classified according to their node types.

\section*{ELEMENT}

Any HTML, element that corresponds to a tag in HTML code.

\section*{ATTRIBUTE}

An attribute of an element, accessible through an element node, but not present directly in the DOM tree.

Applying node types to the DOM tree for a web page helps to clarify exactly how each piece of a page is perceived by the DOM. Of particular interest is how the TEXT nodes always appear immediately beneath an ELEMENT node as part (or all) of the node's content.


sharpen solution


\section*{Climbing the DOM tree with properties}

Most interactions with the DOM begin with the document object, which is the topmost node in a document's node tree. The document object offers useful methods like getElementById () and getElementsByTagName (), and quite a few properties too. Many of the properties of the document object are available from every node in a tree. Some of these objects even allow you to navigate to other nodes. This means node properties can be used to navigate through the node tree.

\section*{nodeValue}

The value stored in a node, only for text and attribute nodes (not elements).

\section*{childNodes}

Arrays containing all of the child nodes beneath a node, in the order that the nodes appear in the HTML code.

\section*{nodeType}

The type of a node, such as DOCUMENT or TEXT, but expressed as a number.

\section*{firstChild}

The first child node beneath a node.

\section*{Node properties are handy for traversing through nodes in the DOM tree.}

These properties are key to being able to manuver through the document tree to access specific node data. For example, you can use node properties with the getElementById () node access method to quickly isolate a specific node.


The nodeValue property always contains pure text with no additional formatting.
The scene description text in Stick Figure Adventure in Stick Figure Adventure
initially starts out empty.

OK, so maybe that's not the best example, seeing as how the scene desciptioni fexi div stants ouit empty iii Stick Figitic Advenitioc. Bui it should eventually get set to some very compelling text as the story progresses, in which case this code would look much smarter.

\section*{lastChild}

The last child node beneath a node.

The following code is referencing a node in the tree on page 356. Carefully study the code and then circle which node it references.

> document.getElementsByTagName ("body") [0]. childNodes[1].lastchild

The following code is reterencing a node in the tree on page 356 . Carefully study the code and


O :
: What's the difference between getElementById () and getElementsByTagName () in the DOM tree? Why would I choose one over the other?

\section*{A:}
1. The two methods offer different approaches that basically have to do with whether or not your goal is to isolate a single element or a group of similar elements. To isolate a single element. you can't beat getElementById () -just hang an ID on the element and you're good to go.
But if you want to target a group of nodes,
getElementByTagName () is a much better option. For
example, if you wanted to hide all of the images on a page using JavaScript, you would first call getElementsByTagName () and pass it " img" to get all of the image nodes on the page. Then you would change the v is ibili ity CSS style property on each of the image elements to hide them. Oops, we're getting way ahead of ourselves... we get back to the DOM and CSS later in the chapter. For now, just understand that while getElementsByTagName () isn't as popular as getElementById (), it still has its place in special situations.


\section*{Changing node text with the DOM}

If you could safely assume that a node only has one child that holds its text content, then it's possible to simply assign new text content to the child using its nodeValue property. This approach works just fine, again, but only if there's a single child node.
document.getElementById("story").firstChild.nodeValue \(=\) "OK, maybe you are alone.";


But things aren't always so simple. What happens if a node has more than one child? Like this code:


If we replace only the first chitd, the remaining child noden are still there, and we ll get some strange results like these:


\section*{Three (safe) steps for changing node text}

The problem with changing the content of a node by only changing the first child is that it doesn't factor in the prospects of other child nodes. So to change the content of a node, we really should clear all of its children, and then add a new child that contains the new content.
(1) Remove all child nodes.
(8) Create a new text node based upon the new content.
(3) Append the newly created text node as a child node.

We can do this with three DOM methods:

\section*{(1) removeChild()}

Remove a child node from a node; pass in the child node to be removed.

\title{
createTextNode ()
}

Create a text node from a string of text.

\section*{appendChild()}

Add a node as the last child of the node; pass in the child node to be added.

To change the text content in the "you are not alone" example, we have to work through these three steps, first making sure to remove all of the child nodes, then creating a new text node, and finally appending the text node to the paragraph.

var node \(=\) document.getElementById("story");
while (node.firstchild) 1 Remove the first child node until
node. removechild(node.firstchild);
node.appendChild(document.createTextNode("OK, maybe you are alone."));

After removing all the child nodes, append the new text node to the parent node.



\section*{This week's interview: Node discusses the wisdom of DOM trees}

Head First: I'm told you're the smallest unit of storage in a DOM tree, kind of like an atom for HTML content. Is that true?

Node: I'm not sure how atomic I am but yes, I do represent a discrete picce of information in a DOM tree. Think of the DOM as breaking down every web page into tiny bite-sized pieces of information... and I'm that bite-sized portion!
Head First: Why does that matter? I mean, is it really that important to be able to break down a web page into little chunks of data?
Node: It's only important if you care about accessing or altering the information in a web page. Many scripts care about this very thing, in which case the DOM matters quite a lot. But the real reason it matters is because it is quite empowering to be able to disassemble a web page into all of its little pieces and parts.
Head First: Don't you run the risk of losing a part when taking apart a page? Far too many people take something apart only to have pieces left over, and next thing you know they've broken the thing.
Node: No, that's not a problem with the DOM because you don't have to literally take anything apart to access a web page as a tree of nodes. The DOM provides the tree view regardiess of whether you actuaily plan on doing any shaping or pruning to the web data.
Head First: That's a relief. But if I really do want to do some web page pruning, is that where you enter the picture?

Node: Yes. Except that you aren't limited to pruning you're free to add to the tree of web data as well.
Head First: Wow, that's prety amazing. How does that work?
Node: Well, remember that every piece of information
on a page is modeled in the tree as a node. So you can go through me to access anything within a page. Or you can create entirely new pieces of web data using me, and then add them to the tree. The DOM is really quite flexible.
Head First: That's neat. One thing that still confuses me, however, is how you relate to elements. Are you guys really the same person?

Node: Yes, actually we are. But I do take things a step further. Remember that an element is just another way of looking at a tag, such as <div> or <span>. Every element on a page is represented by a node in the document tree, so in that sense element and I are the same. However, I also represent content stored within an element. So the text stored in a <div> is also its own node, stored just beneath the div node in the tree.
Head First: That sounds kinda confusing. How can you tell the difference between elements and their content?
Node: Well, first of all, the content stored within an element, or node, always appears as a child of the node in the DOM tree. And second, all nodes are distinguishable by type: an element node has the ELEMENT node type, while is text content has the TEXT node type.

Head First: So if I want to access the text content of an element, do I just look for the TEXT node type?
Node: Yiun could; just keep in mind drat the nodeType property actually returns a number for earh node type. For example, the TEXT node type is 3 , while ELEMENT is 1. But even that's not really necessary because all you have to do is look to the children of an element node in order to access its content.
Head First: 1 see. Well thanks for your time, and for illuminating the wonders of the DOM tree.

Node: You're very welcome. And if you're ever in the mood for some tree surgery, don't forget to look me up!

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q:: I'm still a little confused about child nodes and how they are organized. For example, how does the childNodes property work?

A:A: When a node contains data within it, the node is considered a parent node and the data within it is perceived by the DOM as child nodes. If the data consists of anything more than raw text data, then it is broken apart into multiple child nodes. The child nodes beneath a parent node appear in the parent's child Nodes property as an array, and their order in the array matches the order that they appear in the HTML code itself. So the first child node in the childNodes array can be accessed using childNodes [0]. The array can

also be looped through to access each of the child nodes.
Q: che code that removes all of the child nodes from a node, how does the while loop test condition work?

A: The while loop test looks like this: while (node.firstchild)
What this test is doing is checking to see if the node still contains a first child node. If there is still a first child node, its presence results in a value of true in the context of the while loop, and the loop continues for another iteration. If there is no first child node, that means there are no children at all. And if that's the case, the code node. First Child results in null, which automatically gets converted to false in the context of the whit le loop. So what's really going in is that the while e loop is looking to see it the first child node is nu11, which is conclusive evidence that there aren't any other child nodes lurking around.

\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The DOM-compliant version of Stick Figure Adventure is missing several pieces of important code. Use the magnets below to finish up the code that changes the node text for the scene text element. Magnets can be used more than once.

you are here ,

\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The DOM-compliant version of Stick Figure Adventure is missing several pieces of important code. Use the magnets below to finish up the code that changes the node text for the scene text element. Magnets can be used more than once.


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Although not a web standard, the innerHTML property provides access to all of the content stored in an element.
- The Document Object Model, or DOM, provides a standardized mechanism of accessing and modifying web page data.
- The DOM looks at a web page like a hierarchical tree of related nodes.
- The DOM alternative to changing web page content with innerHTML involves removing all of the child nodes of an element, and then creating and appending a new child node that contains the new content.

\section*{Standards compliant adventuring}

Boy, that sounds fun! The mark of any good adventure is standards compliance... or not. But it can be a good thing in the context of modern web apps. And more importantly, take a look at the dramatic changes the DOM approach to altering the scene description text have brought to Stick Figure Adventurc...


\section*{improving your options}

\section*{In search of better options}

So now the dynamic scene description text has now been overhauled twice, but those cryptic option buttons still remain in Stick Figure Adventure.
Surely something could be done to make the story navigation a little more engaging and intuitive than choosing between the numbers 1 and 2 !


The numeric option buttons just
 aren't cutting it - they say nothing about the decision facing the user-

A decent improvement to the option buttons would be to change them so that they actually reflect the available decisions. They could include text on the buttons that spell out exactly what the two options are at each point like this:


Come to think of it, there really isn't any reason we have to use form buttons for this-any IITML element that can contain text could Feasibly work. CSS styles could be used to dress them up and make them look more like input controls.

\section*{Crrain \\ pOWER}

How would you implement data-driven options in Stick Figure Adventure so that they display option text specific to each different scene?

\section*{Designing better, cleaner options}

Since the new and improved decision-making options in Stick Figure Adventure are HTML elements that contain text, the DOM can be used to dynamically alter the decision text in each scene. This means each scene will set its decision text along with its description. And it also means the changeScene () function needs two new variables to store this decision text, decision1 and decision2.

Here's how we could go about setting Scene 1 decision text as it transitions to Scene 3 in the changeScene () function:




\section*{sharpen solution}


Dynamic options in Stick Figure Adventure require a new approach to how the options are represented in HTML code. Write code for the new text elements that replace the existing <input > buttons

Hint: The CSS style class for the new elements is named "decision", and the content of the first element is initially set to "Start Game".

Please choose: 112
<input type-"button" id""decinioni" value-"1" oncliex="changescene(1)" /?


\section*{Start Game}


Rewrite the code for dynamic options the two buttons become span elements.
.sspan id="decision!" "class="decision" onclick="changeScene(I)">Start Game</span>
<span id="decision2" class="decision" onelick \(=\) "changeScene(2)"></span>

Replacing node text sounds like a task that would be handy to have in a function.

\section*{Rethinking node text replacement}

All that's missing now in Stick Figure Adventure for the new dynamic decision text is the code that actually sets the text for the new span elements. This code is ultimately doing the exact same thing as the DOM code we wrote earlier in the chapter that dynamically changes the scene description text. In fact, this presents a problem because we now need to carry out the exact same task on three different elements: the scene description and the two scene decisions...


\section*{Replacing node text with a function}

An all-purpose node text replacement function is a handy thing, and not just in Stick Figure Adventure. This type of function operates much like the scene description text replacement code we worked through earlier, except this time the code goes to work on function arguments.


The custom replaceNodeText () function accepts two arguments: the ID of the node whose content is to be replaced and the new text to place in the node. Use this function to change the text content of any element on a page that can hold text. In Stick Figure Adventure, the function allows you to now dynamically change the scene description text and the text for the two decisions at one time..but of course you need to write it first.


Sharepen your pencil
Write the code for the replaceNodeText () function, the all-purpose function for replacing the text within a node that is referenced by ID.

Don't forget that the function accepts two arguments, id and newText.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Dynamic options are a good thing}

The new dynamic text decisions in Stick Figure Adventure are much more intuitive than their cryptic button counterparts.


The new dynamic decisions let the users know exactly what their options are at each point in the story.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: Why are span elements used for the Stick Figure Adventure decisions, as opposed to div elements?
\(A\) : Because the decision elements need to appear side by side, which means they cant be block elements that start on a new line. A di \(v\) is a block element, whereas a span is inline. Inline is what we want for the decisions, so span is the ticket.

Q
- When I create a new node with createTextNode (), where does the node go? A: : Nowhere. When a new text node is first created, it's in limbo, at least with respect to the DOM tree for a given page. It's not until you append the node as a child of another node that it actually gets added to the tree, which then adds it to the page.

Q: Does the content of a text node created with createTextNode () have to be just text? A: A: vest The oud test work the innerHTML, where you can assign text that has tags mixed in with it. When the DOM talks about a text node," it really means pure text with no other tags or formatting tacked on.

\section*{Interactive options are even better}

So the dynamic text decisions in Stick Figure Adventure are an improvement over their cryptic predecessors, but they could still be better. For example, they could highlight when the mouse pointer hovers over them to make it clear that they are clickable.


Highlighting web page content is in fact a CSS issue because it involves tweaking the background color of an element. But the DOM also factors into the highlighting equation because it provides programmatic access to the CSS styles of elements...

\section*{A matter of style: CSS and DOM}

CSS styles are tied to HTML elements, and the DOM provides access to styles through elements (nodes). By using the DOM to tweak CSS styles, it's possible to dynamically manipulate the content presentation. One way that CSS styles are exposed through the DOM is in an element's style class, which is where a group (class) of styles is applied to an element.


\section*{Swapping style classes}

To change the appearance of an element using a completely different style class, just change its style class name to a different CSS style class.

document.getElementById("decisionl").className = "decisioninverse";


Changing the style class of an element using the className property immediately changes the appearance of the element to the new style class. This technique can be used to make dramatic visual changes to elements on a page with relatively little coding effort.

\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

Using the two mouse events onmouseover and onmouseout, add code to the <span> decision elements in Stick Figure Adventure so that they change style classes for a mouse hover highlight effect.
Hint: The "hover" style class is named decisionhover .

> <span id="decision1" class="decision" onclick="changeScene(1)"
>Start Game</span>
<span id="decision2" class="decision" onclick="changeScene (2)"
\(\qquad\)
```
                                    >/span>
```


\section*{Test drive the stylized adventure options}

The user interface for Stick Figure Adventure is now improved thanks to the DOM's ability to change an element's style class on demand. Ellie is


\section*{Q: \\ I don't remember the}
onmouseover and onmouseout events. Âre those standiard events?

A:
A: tes h hata hee ae aboses stamatad JavaScript events that we haven't explored. But the thing about events is how you can react to them even when you don't necessarily know everything about them. In the case of the two mouse events, their names are really all you needed to know to understand that one of them is fired when the mouse pointer hovers over an element. and the other one lires when the mouse pointer moves out of an element.

Q: Why wasn't it necessary to use getElementById () in the code that sets the styie ciass of the decision elements?
A: Every element in JavaScript is an object, and in the HTML code for an element we have access to that object through the th is keyword. So in the Stick Figure Adventure code, the this keyword relerences the node object for the span element. And that's the same object with the className property that accesses its style class. So changing the style class only involves setting this. className.

Q: Style classes are cool but I'd really like to just change one style property. Is thà posssible?
\(A\) : Wow, what intuition! There's a nagging problem with Stick Figure Adventure that Ellie has been eager to resolve. And it just so happens to involve using JavaScript and the DOM to manipulate style properties individually...

\section*{Options gone wrong: the empty button}

It's been there all along, and up until this point Ellie coped with it. But it's time to go ahead and address the weirdness associated with the empty decisions in Stick Figure Adventure. In some scenes there is only one viable decision yet both decision elements are still displayed, like the screenshot here. It's a little unsettling for the user to see an interactive decision element with no information in it.
 of the scenes have empty options.


\section*{A la carte style tweaking}

Sometimes changing the entire style class of an element is just too much. For times when a little more granularity is required, there is the style object. The style object is accessible as a property of a node object, and provides access to individual styles as properties. The visibility style property can be used to show and hide elements. In the HTML for Stick Figure Adventure, the second decision element can be initially hidden using the following code:

> The style property of a node provides access to individual style properties.
<span id="decision2" class="decision" onclick="changeScene (2)"
onmouseover="this.className - 'decisionhover'"
onmouseout \(=\) "this.className \(=\) 'decision'"
style="visibility:hidden">s/span>



\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The className node property makes big style changes by changing the entire style class of a node.
- The sty Ie node property makes small style changes by providing access to individual style properties of a node.
- ACSS style class has nothing to do with a JavaScript class-they are completely different things.
- Elements on a page can be dynamically shown or hidder using the v1sib111 ty style property of the element object.


The display style property can accomplish a similar show/hide effect by setting it to display:none (hide) or display block (show).

\section*{No more bogus options}

Manipulating individual styles using the DOM allows the second decision element to be selectively shown and hidden. The end result is a user interface that makes a lot more sense now that the empty decision elements are gone.


\section*{More options, more complexity}

Ellie envisions the Stick Figure Adventure storyline growing by leaps and bounds to reveal all kinds of interesting new scenes and decisions. There are ways the DOM can factor into helping manage the complexity of a much deeper Stick Figure Adventure narrative.


\section*{Deeper adventure \(=\) Bigger decision tree!}
* The latest version of the Stick Figure Adventure is online and waiting for your coding assistance. Dowmload this at \(h\) ttp: \(/ /\) www headfirstlabscom/books/hfjs/ if you haven't already.
 into big problems without a way to test the decision tree.
As the story continues to unfold with more scenes and decisions, it becomes increasingly difficule to test the logic of the story and make sure every decision path leads to the right place. Stict Figure Adventure is in desperate need of a way to analyze paths through the story.

\section*{Grrain \\ POWER}

What do you think might be the best way to create testing paths through such a monstrous decision tree?

\section*{Tracking the decision tree}

Similar to the history feature in a web browser, which keeps track of the sequence of pages you've visited, a decision history feature in Stick Figure Adventure can be used to test and debug the storyline. The idea is to reveal the series of



What kind of changes are required to the Stick Figure Adventure Web page to support a decision history feature?

\section*{Turn your decision history into HTML}

From an HTML perspective, the code for the decision history isn't too terribly complex: a div element and a paragraph of text for each decision is all that is needed.

Each \(p\) element
contains a decision in
the decision history.
<div id="history"> )

    <p>Decision 1 -> Scene 2 : Little house in the woods. \(</ \mathrm{p}>\)
    \(\langle p>\) Decision \(1 \rightarrow\) Scene 4 : Witch in window. \(\langle/ \mathrm{p}\rangle\)

\section*{</div>}

All that remains is writing some JavaScript to use the DOM to generate the decision history as a collection of nodes.


> A decision history feature in Stick Figure Adventure can be a very useful story debugging tool.

\section*{Manufacturing HTML code}

Creating a new element with the createElement () method only requires the name of the tag. So creating a paragraph (p) element simply means having to call the method with an argument of " p ", making sure to hang on to the resulting element that is created.

\section*{We start off with a new \(p\)} element floating in space.


At this point there's a new paragraph element with no content, and it's not yet part of any page either. So to add the text content to the element, create a text node and then add it as a child of the new p node.


The last step is to add the new paragraph element to the page as a child of the history div element.


By repeating these steps whenever each seene is traversed in Stick Figure
Adventure, a decision history can be created dynamically:

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Any HTML element can be created using the
document object's createElement () method.
To add text content to an element, a child text node must be created and appended to the element.
- By carefully adding and removing nodes in the DOM tree, a web page can be disassembled and reassembled at will.

\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

Add code to the changeScene () function to support the decision history feature in Stick Figure Adventure. Hint: You need to add a new paragraph element with a child text node to the decision history element when the current scene isn't Scene 0, and clear the decision history if the Scene is 0 .
```
function changeScene(decision) f
    // Update the decision history
```
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\square\)
1

\section*{Sharepen your pencil Solution}

Add code to the changeScene () function to support the decision history feature in Stick Figure Adventure. Hint: You need to add a new paragraph element with a child text node to the decision history element when the current scene isn't Scene 0 , and clear the decision history if the Scene is 0 .


\section*{Tracing the adventure story}

The decision history feature in Stick Figure Adventure now makes it possible to carefully track the story logic as it unfolds.


\section*{the neverending story}

\section*{A long strange trip...}

It's time to flex your creative muscle by expanding the Stick Figure Adventure story into something worthy of some serious decision history
debugging. Your stick figure friend is waiting for adventure...

Dream up your very own continuation of the Stick Figure Adventure story, and add code to incorporate it into the Stick Figure Adventure application so that you can share it online as an interactive adventure.

There is no solution to this exercise... just have fun dreaming up adventures!


\section*{JavaScriptcross}

Before you dig too deeply into stick figure story writing, take a moment to experience a little crossword adventure!


\section*{Across}
1. A node appearing below another node in the DOM tree is called a .....
4. The property of a node object used to get its value.
5. Used to set the style class of an element.
7. Call this method to get all of the elements of a certain type, such as div.
10. This type of node holds text content.
11. A DOM node type that equates to an HTML tag.
12. Use this method to add a node to another node as a child.

\section*{Down}
1. Call this method to create an HTML element.
2. The topmost node in a DOM tree.
3. A non-standard way to change the content of an HTML element.
6. A clumsy way to tell an online story.
8. A leaf in a DOM tree of Web page content.
9. Use this property to access individual style properties of an element.
13. Set this attribute on an HTML tag to make it accessible from JavaScript.



A JavaScript programmer must be careful not to get carried away with the DOM. It is certainly handy for accessing HTML
tags. But try not to become a total manipulator, or you may wear out your nodes.

\section*{9 bringing data to life}

\section*{Objects as Frankendata}


JavaScript objects aren't nearly as gruesome as the good doctor might have you think. But they are interesting in that they combine pieces and parts of the JavaScript language together so that they're more powerful together. Objects combine data with actions to create a new data type that is much more "alive" than data you've seen thus far. You end up with arrays that can sort themselves, strings that can search themselves, and scripts that can grow fur and how at the moon! OK, maybe not that last one but you get the idea..

\section*{A JavaScript-powered party}

There's a party, and you're responsible for the invitations. So the first question is what information goes into the perfect party invitation?


A party invitation for JavaScript would model the data as variables and the actions as functions. Problem is, in the real world the ability to separate data and actions doesn't really exist.


In the real world, the invitation card combines data and actions into a single entity, an object.

\section*{Data + actions \(=\) object}

You don't always have to work with data and actions as separate things in JavaScript. In fact, JavaScript objects combine the two into an entirely unique data structure that both stores data and acts on that data. This functionality allows JavaScript to apply real-world thinking to scripts. So you can think in terms of "things" as opposed to separate data and actions.
When you look at the party invitation in terms of a JavaScript object, you get this:

\section*{Object}


Inside the invitation object, data and functions now co-exist and have closer ties than they had outside of the object. More specifically, functions placed within an object can access variables in the object without having to pass the variables into the functions as arguments.


\author{
Objects link \\ variables \\ and functions \\ together inside \\ a storage container.
}

The data within the invitation object is accessible to the functions but hidden from the outside world. So the object serves as a container that stores data and links it to code that can take action on it,

\section*{An object owns its data}

When variables and functions are placed within an object, they are referred to as object members. More specifically, variables are called object properties and functions are called object methods. They still store data and take actions on data, they just do so within the context of a specific object.


\section*{Object member references with a dot}

The dot operator establishes a reference between a property or method and the object to which it belongs. It's kind of like how people's first names tell you who they are, but their last names tell you what family they belong to. Same thing for objects - a property name tells you what the property is, while the object name tells you what object the property belongs to. And the dot operator connects the two together.

Now it's possible to actually put together the data for a JavaScript invitation object using properties and the dot operator:


Keep in mind that since the data and the actions are all part of the same object, you don't have to pass along anything to a method in order for it to be able to use the data. This makes taking an action on the invitation object quite simple:


\section*{The dot operator references a property or method from an object.}

The party invitation is missing an RSVP property that allows invitees to respond with whether they will be coming to the party or not. Write code to add an rsvp property to the Puzzler Ruby invitation (she plans to attend), and then call the sendRSVP () method to send the response.

The party invitation is missing an RSVP property that allows invitees to respond with whether they will be coming to the party or not. Write code to add an rsvp property to the Puzzler Ruby invitation (she plans to attend), and then call the sondRSVP () method to send the response.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q}

What exactly is an object? Does it have a data type?

A:1: Yes, objects have a data type. An object is a named collection of properties and methods. Or put more exactly, objects are a data type. Other data types you've leamed about include number, text, and boolean. These are known as primitive data types because they represent a single piece of information. Objects are considered complex data types because they encompass multiple pieces of data. You can add "object" as a fourth data type to the list of primitive types you already know (number, string, and boolean). So, any object you create or any built-in JavaScript object you use has a data type of object,

\section*{Q:}
: Couldn't l just use global variables and functions instead of object properties and methods? Functions can access global variables just fine, right?

A:: Yes they can. Problem is, there is nothing stopping any other code from accessing the global variables as well. This is problematic because you always want to try and limit data exposure only to code that
truly needs access to the data. This helps prevent the data from getting accidentally changed by other code.
Unfortunately, JavaScript doesn't currently allow you to truly prevent an object property from being accessed by outside code. And there are situations where you specifically want an object property to be accessed directly. However, the idea is that you place data in an object to logically associate it with the object. A piece of data tied to an object has much more context and meaning than a piece of data floating freely in a script (a global variable).
Q: I've seen object notation with the dot operator used several times already. Was I really using objects all this time?

A:: Yes. Youll find that it's actually quite difficult to use JavaScript without using objects, and that's because JavaScript itsell is really one big collection of objects. For example, the a lert () function is technically a method of the window object, which means it can be called vith window alert () . The window object represents the browser window, and doesn't have to be explicitly relerenced as
an object, which is why you can get away with a lert () by itself.
Q: OK, this is really confusing. So you're telling me that functions are really methods?
\(A\) : Yes, although it can get confusing thinking of functions in this manner. You already know that a function is a chunk of code that can be called by other code by name. A method is just a function that has been placed within an object. The confusion arises when you realize that every function actually belongs to an object.

So alert () is both a function and a method, which explains why it can be called as a function or as a method-most methods have to be called as a method using object notation, In reality, every JavaScript function belongs to an object, thereby making it a method. And in many cases this object is the browser's window object. Since this object is assumed to be the default object it no object is specified for a method call, such as alert (), it's OK to think of these methods as functions. Their ownership by the window object is incidental since they have no logical connection to the object.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Objects are a special kind of data structure that combine data with code that acts on the data.
- In practical terms, an object is really just variables and functions combined into a single structure.
- When placed into an object, variables become known as properties, while functions become known as methods.
- Properties and methods are referenced by supplying the name of the object followed by a dot followed by the name of the property or method.

\section*{A blog for cube puzzlers}

On the other end of the party invitation is Ruby, a cube puzzle enthusiast who can't wait to get together with her other puzzler friends. But Ruby has more on her mind than just going to parties-she wants to create a blog where she can share her love of cube puzzles with the world. She's
 ready to start sharing her cubist wisdom on YouCube!


\section*{Deconstructing YouCube}

Ruby currently has a handwritten diary, and she's read enough blogs to know hers will need to consist of dates and text, but she can't figure out how to store


Ruby desperately needs a straightforward way to store and access multiple pairs of information (date + text). This sure sounds an awful lot like what JavaScript objects have to offer...combining multiple pieces of information into a single entity.

\section*{Blog date + Blog body = Blog object}

> A custom object allows the two pieces of blog data to be combined into a single entity.

\section*{Custom objects extend JavaScript}

The JavaScript language includes lots of handy standard objects, several of which we explore later in this chapter. As useful as these objects are, there are times when they simply aren't enough. The YouCube blog is a good example of this limitation since it involves a data storage problem that can't be solved with built-in JavaScript data types.... a custom object is


Custom objects allow you to add features to JavaScript that suit your own specific needs. In Ruby's case, a custom object could model a blog entry, using properties to represent the blog date and body text. Additionally, methods can be used to add behavior to blog entries, making it more intuitive to create and manage them.
In order to bring such a custom object to life, however, we must first find out how custom objects are created...

\section*{Construct your custom objects}

Since objects have data associated with them that must be initialized when an object is created, a special method called a constructor is required to get an object up and running. Every custom object requires its own constructor, which is named the same as the object. The constructor is called to initialize an object upon creation. When creating a custom object, it's your job to write a suitable constructor that brings the object to life.

\section*{A constructor is responsible for creating an object.}
 The constructor part of creating an object looks like a call to a method because that's really what it is. However, it's important to always use the new operator to initiate the creation of an object, as opposed to just calling an object's constructor directly.

> The new operator is used

\section*{What's in a constructor?}

A big part of the constructor's job is establishing the properties of an object, along with their initial values. To create a property within a constructor, you set the property using a JavaScript keyword called this. The this keyword assigns ownership of the property to the object, and also sets its initial value at the same time. The word literally does what it means-you're creating a property that belongs to "this" object, as opposed to just being a local variable within the constructor


The constructor is put together just like any other function

Object properties are created and initialized in a constructor by using object notation (the dot operator) and the this keyword. Without the this keyword, the constructor would not know that you're creating object properties. The result of this constructor is the creation of four properties, which are assigned the four values passed as arguments into the constructor.

The this keyword is the key to creating object properties inside a constructor.
-Sharpen your pencil
Write a constructor for a Blog object that creates and initializes properties for the date and body text of a blog entry.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Bringing blog objects to life}

The Blog object is certainly shaping up but it hasn't actually been created yet. As good as it may seem in theory, it's still just a hypothesis yet to be proven. Remember that the constructor establishes the design of an object but none are physically created until you use the new operator, which then builds the object by calling the constructor. So let's go ahead and create a real live Blog object. \(K\)


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q:: Im contused about object creation. Does the new operator create an object or does the constructor?
\(A\) : Bathit The new opeataror is sepponsible for setting the object creation in motion, and a big part of its job is to make sure the constructor gets called. Just calling a constructor like a function without using the new operator would not create an object, and using the new operator with no constructor would be meaningless.
Q:Does every custom object require a constructor?
\(A\) : Yes. The reason is because the constructor is responsible for creating the
ubject's properties, so willivut a curstructis you wouldn't have any properties. And vithout any properties, you wouldn't have a very meaningful object.

There is an exception to this rule about constructors, and it applies when creating a purely organizational object consisting of a collection of methods that dont act on object properties. In this case, it's technically possible to do without a constructor. But keep in mind that such an object isn't exactly a shining example of good object-oriented programming practices because it's really just a collection of related functions. Even so, JavaScript itself employs an organizational object for grouping together math related tasks, as you leam later in the chapter.

Q: What exactly is this?
\(A\) :
: this is a JavaScript keyword used to refer to an object. More specifically, this references an object from vithin that same object. Yeah, that sounds pretty weird, and slightly schizophrenic. But it makes sense once you wrap your brain around it. To look at it in real world terms, think about what would happen if you lost your watch and someone found it in a room full of people. When they hold the watch up, you would probably yell, "It's my watch!" You used the word "my" to refer to yourself. More importantly, the word "my" is used to clarity that you are the owner of the watch, this works exactly the same way-it implies object ownership. So this. date means that the date property belongs to the object in which the code appears.

sharpen solution


\section*{YouCube 1.0}

Combining the array of Blog objects with some JavaScript code for displaying the blog data yields an initial version of YouCube. Ruby knows her work is not done, but the blog is up and running, and she's happy with the carly results.

The data stored in each Blog object is neatly displayed on the YouCube page.


I like how the Blog object combines the blog date and body text in YouCube.

\title{
Youtube up Close
}
<html>
<head
<title>YouCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers</title>
<script type="text/javascript">
// Blog object constructor
function Blog (body, date)
// Assign the properties
this. body \(=\) body;
this. date \(=\) date
 I

The \(B \log ()\) constructor creates the two blog properties.
// Global array of blog entries

var \(b \log =\) [ new Blog ("Got the new cube I ordered..", "08/14/2008"),
The showBlog() function new Blog("Solved the new cube but of course. .." " "08/19/2008") draws the blog entries to new Blog ("Managed to get a headache toiling..." "08/16/2008") the "blog" div on the page. new Blog ("Found a \(7 \times 7 \times 7\) cube for sale online...", "08/21/2008") \(1 ;\) \(\pm / /\) she
the list of blog entries
Adjust the number of entries to show the full blog, if necessary (!numentries)
nunEntrles \(=\) blog. length;
// Show the blog entries
 If the number of blog entries to show wasn't passed as an argument,
var \(I=0\), blogText \(=" \prime \prime\), show all of the entries
while (i < blog. length \(\left.厶_{6}^{\prime} i<n u m E n t r i e s\right) ~\) i
// Use a gray background for every other blog entry
if (i \& \(2=0\) )
blogText \(+=\) " \(<p\) style='background-color:\#EEEEEE' \(>{ }^{\prime \prime}\); lie \(\leftarrow\) Alternate the background color of the blog
// Generate the formatted blog HTML code blogText \(+=\) "<strong \(>"+b \log [i]+\) date \(+"</ s t r o n g\rangle\langle b r />"+b l o g[i], b o d y+"</ p>" ;\) i++;

// Set the blog HTMI corie on the page IV blog entry code to document.getElementDyId("blog"). innerITML - blogText; 1 </script>
</head>
<body onload="showBlog (5);">
<h3>YouCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers \(\langle/ \mathrm{h} 3\) >
<Imp src="cube.png" alt="YouCube" />
<div id="blog"></div>
<input type="button" id="showall" value="Show All Blog. Entries" onclick-"showBlog();" /> </body>
\(</\) html
Show all blog entries when the button is clicked.

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: Why is the Show All Blog Entries button necessary in YouCube?

\section*{A:} : In the current state of the blog, the button is not necessary at all since there are only four blog entries total. But as the blog grows, it becomes increasingly important to limit the number of entries shown initially on the main YouCube page to keep from overwhelming visitors. So the blog code defaults to only shoving the first five entries. The Show All Blog Entries button overrides this detault by displaying all blog entries.

\section*{Q:}

Why is innerHTML used to show the blog entries instead of DOM methods?

A: Although DOM methods are certainly preferred in terms of web standards compliance, they are fairly unwieldy when it comes to dynamically generating highly formatted HTML code. The reason is because every container tag such as <p> and <strong> has to be created as a parent with child nodes for their content. Inner HTML is a tremendous
convenience in this case, and simplifies the YuuCube code corisiderably.

Q: Why doesn't the Blog object have any methods?

A: Ambition, that's good! The truth is that there are plenty of other aspects of YouCube to work on before Blog methods become a true prionity. But don't worry, methods are definitely part of the long-range plan for YouCube. Methods are an important part of any vell-designed object, and the Blog object is no different.

\section*{A disorderly blog}

YouCube 1.0 looks good but it isn't without is flaws. Ruby has noticed that the blog entries are in the wrong order-they really should appear with the most recent post first. Right now they are displayed in whatever order


\section*{The need for sorting}

Ruby's solution to the blog ordering problem is to sort the blog array by date. Since JavaScript supports looping and comparisons, it should be possible to loop through the blog entries, compare dates to each other, and sort them into reverse chronological order (most recent posts first).
(1) Loop through the blog array.
(2) Compare the date of each Blog object to the next one,
(3) If the next blog entry is more recent than the current entry, swap them.

This blog sorting solution has some merit and sounds like it could work, assuming we can work out the details of comparing blog dates.


\section*{A JavaScript object for dating}

What Ruby needs is the ability to store a date in such a way that it can be compared to other dates. In other words, the date needs to understand that it is a date, and behave accordingly. Wait a minute, that sounds a lot like an object And as it turns out, JavaScript offers a built-in Date object


\section*{The built-in Date \\ object represents a moment in time.}

The Date object represents a specific moment in time, down to the millisecond, and is a standard part of JavaScript. Although the Date object certainly uses properties internally, they are invisible to you, the user of the object. You work with the Date object purely through its methods.
Similar to the Blog object, you create a Date object using the new operator. Here's an example of creating a Date object that represents the current date and time:


Store the newly created
object in a variable.

Within the Date object, time is expressed in milliseconds.


This Date object is created and initialized with the current date and time. Notice that the syntax for creating a Date object is a lot like calling a function or method, and that's because you're actually calling the constructor of the Date object. You can pass the Date () constructor a string argument to specify a date other than the present. For example, this Date object represents the date of the first YouCube blog entry:


\section*{Calculating time}

One of the most powerful features of objects is how they inherently know how to manipulate themselves. For example, think about how tricky it would be to calculate the number of days between two dates on your own. You'd have to somehow convert a date into a number of days from some known reference, making sure to factor in leap years. Or you could just let the Date object do the work for you...check out this function that does the heavy lifting with a couple of Date objects:


This function reveals the power of the Date object in a simple piece of code-a subtraction. All of the complexity associated with calculating the difference between two dates is conveniently buried inside the Date object. Our only concern is the result of the subtraction, which is the number of milliseconds between the two dates. Convert milliseconds to days, round off the result, and we have a handy little function that can be reused any time we need to know the difference between two dates.


Create two Date objects for the first two YouCube blog entries. Then call the getDaysBetween () function, passing in the two Date objects, and displaying the result in an alert box.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Rethinking blog dates}

While it's great that JavaScript offers a Date object that makes it possible to manipulate dates intelligently, the YouCube Blog object currently still stores dates as strings, not Date objects. In order to take advantage of the features made available by the Date object, we need to change the blog so that the blog dates are Date objects.


\section*{An object within an object}

The Blog object is a good example of how objects must often contain other objects. The two properties of the Blog object are actually already objects themselves-both properties are String objects. The String objects don't really look like objects because they are created as object literals by simply quoting a string of text. Date objects aren't as flexible, and must be created using the new operator.
To create a blog date property as a Date object, we must use the new operator to create a new Date while creating the Blog object. If this


This code reveals how a YouCube blog entry is now created as an object that contains two other objects (a String object and a Date object). Of course, we still need to build an array of Blog objects in order to successfully represent all of the YouCube blog entries.


A Date object is created and passed into the Blog() constructor, also using the new operator.

> The new operator creates objects with the help of constructors.

Rewrite the code to create an array of YouCube Blog objects where each date is now a Date object. Feel free to shorten the body text.
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

\section*{Sharpen your pencil Solution}

\author{
Each blog entry is still created as a Blog object
}

Rewrite the code to create an array of YouCube Blog objects where each date is now a Date object. Feel free to shorten the body text.
var. blog \(=[\) new Blog("Got the new cube 1 ordered ", new Date ("OB/14/2008"))
..new Blog."Solved the new cube but of course ".
...new Blog("Managed to get a headache toiling. .". new Date("O8/16/2008"))
...new Blog("Found a \(7 \times 7 \times 7\) cube for sale.".".new Date. ("08/21/2008")) J.

String literals work fine for the body text of each blog entry.

The date for each Blog object is created as a Date object
there are no

> Q: Why is the date in a Date object stored in milliseconds?

> A:: First off, understand that the Date object represents an instant in time. If you could click the Pause button on the universe, you'd have a frozen moment in time. But you wouldn't have any way to tell people when the moment occurred without some kind of reference. So you decide on January 1, 1970 as the arbitrary reference point for your moment in time. Now you need a measurement from this offset. Maybe it's 38 years, 8 months, 14 days, 3 hours, 29 minutes, and 11 seconds, But that's a cumbersome way to keep track of a time offset. It's much easier to stick with a single unit of measurement. one that is capable of representing the
tiniest fractions of time. How about a millisecond? So instead of all those different units of time. you now have 1,218,702,551,000 milliseconds. Yeah, that's a whole bunch of milliseconds but big numbers arent a problem for JavaScript.
Q: Do I have to worry about converting milliseconds when using the Date object?

AA: It depends. The Date object includes several methods for extracting meaningful parts of a date that avoid dealing directly with milliseconds. However, if you need to deal with a difference between two dates, then milliseconds vel almost certainly enter the picture.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The standard JavaScript Date objects represents an instant in time, expressed in milliseconds.
- The Date object includes several methods for accessing the different pieces and parts of a date and time.
- The Date object is smart enough to know how to manipulate dates mathematically, as well as compare dates to each other.
- Like most objects other than String, you create a Date object using the new operator

\section*{Dates aren't useful...for humans}

With the date property of the Blog object converted into a Date object, Ruby is ready to turn her attention back to sorting the blog entries by date. Well, almost ready. It seems she has introduced a new problem in that the dates of the blog entries are now extremely cryptic. Ruby suspects that users won't really care about the time zone of each post, and it will only distract from the YouCube experience. Clearly, the injection of Date objects into YouCube needs to be examined more closely!


\section*{Converting objects to text}

Fortunately, there are no evil forces to blame for the ugly YouCube dates. In fact, it's the very natural forces of JavaScript objects that are responsible for the date formatting - the dates formatted themselves! It works like this; every JavaScript object has a method called toString () that attempts to provide a text representation of the object. The cryptic date is the output of the Date object's default toString () method.

Var blogDate - new Date("08/14/2008");


The slick part of the toString () method is that it automatically comes into play when an object is used in a context where a string is expected. For example, the blog date alert code could be rewritten like this, and result in the exact same outcome:
alert (blogDate);

The alert() function expects a string, so the toString() method is called behind the scenes to provide a string representation of the Date object

\section*{The toString() method provides a string representation of an object.}

Since the a lert () function expects a string, the Date object is smart enough to know that it must provide a string representation of itself. So it calls upon the toString () method to handle the task.

This toString () business wouldn't be a problem except for the fact that YouCube really needs dates to be displayed in an easy-to-read format, such as \(M M / D D / 1711\). Bottom line, it doesn't look as if YouCube will be able to take advantage of the default string representation of the Date object made possible by its toString () method.

\section*{Accessing pieces and parts of a date}

Ruby needs a way to customize the format of a date. The key to custom formatting a Date object is accessing the individual pieces of the date, such as the month, day, and year. Then we can reassemble a date in any format we want. Fortunately, the Date object provides methods for accessing these pieces of information.

The Date object actually supports a lot more methods than these three, providing all kinds of different ways to access the date and time of a Date object. However, these three methods are all we need to whip the YouCube blog dates into shape.


Pay close attention to the values returned by Date methods.
The getMonth() method returns a month as a number between 0 (January) and 11 (December), while getDate() returns the day of the month in the range 1 to 31 .

\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

Fix the cryptic YouCube blog date problem by rewriting the code that formats a blog entry and stores it in the blogText variable. Make sure the blog date is formatted as MM/DD/YYYY. Here's the original version of the code:
```
blogText += "<strong>" + blog[i].date + "</strong><br />" + blog[i].body + "</p>";
```


\section*{Dates make sorting easy}

Now that the blog dates have been successfully converted to Date objects, which are much more suited to sorting than strings, it's time to revisit the blog order. The problem is that the blog entries are currently displayed in the same order that they are stored in the blog array, which isn't necessarily chronological. Most blogs are displayed in reverse chronological order, where the most recent posts appear first in the list of blog entries. Knowing this, it's possible to revisit the original blog sorting strategy:

(3) If the date of the next blog entry is more recent than the current entry, swap the entries:

Although the date comparison part of this strategy certainly looks much less daunting with the help of the Date object, the rest of the plan still involves a fair amount of custom coding. Sorting a sequence of data sure does seem like a common programming problem that has been solved many times before. You hate to reinvent the wheel...


\section*{Arrays as objects}

Could it be that an array is capable of sorting itself? If a date knows how to turn itself into a string, it's not so far-fetched to think that an array might be able to sort itself. For that to be possible, however, an array would have to be an object so that the sorting could take place in a method. And indeed it is. Remember this code from the Mandango script?

\section*{An array is really just an object.}


So the cat's out of the bag, arrays are objects, but does that mean they can sort themselves? Not only do arrays have properties such as length, but they also have methods that act on the array data, bringing it to life. And yes, there's a method named sort () that sorts the data in an array. Let's see how it works;


\section*{Custom sorting an array}

The default behavior of the Array object's sort () method is often not enough. The good news is that the sorting behavior is determined by a comparison function that sort () calls to handle the comparison of each sorted item in an array. You can fine-tune the sort order by providing your own version of this comparison function. Here's an example of what the function typically looks like:


The two arguments are two array items that are being


Sort \(y\) ahead of \(x\).
Your custom compare () function is injected into the array sorting equation when you call the sort () method-just pass a reference to the compare () function into the method.

The array sort is now


Write the code for a custom comparison function named compare () that sorts YouCube blog array entries in reverse chronological order (most recent first). Hint: Blog objects can be subtracted from each other by simply using a minus sign.

These two arguments are Blog objects since the array contains Blog objects.


\section*{Sorting made simple with function literals}

When you think about the role of the array sort comparison function, it's really only used by the sort () method and nothing else. Since it is never called by any of the YouCube seript code, there's really no reason for it to be a named function.

Remember function literals from the thermostat in Chapter 6? The compare () function is an excellent candidate for a function literal because of how it is used. In fact, YouCube blog sorting can be simplified by converting
 the compare () function into a function literal that's passed directly into the sort() method.

1):

As a devout puzzler, Ruby is all about efficiency. And in this case that equates to eliminating an unnecessary named function that is really just a sidekick of the sort () method. Ruby is so bent on efficiency, in fact, that she doesn't see why the comparison function needs to take up three lines of code. Although the organization of JavaScript code doesn't make the code run any differently, in this case the function literal is simple enough that it makes some sense shrinking it to a single line of code.
blog. \(50 r t\) (function (blog1, blog2) i return blog2.date - blog1.date; 1);

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q:Does every object have a toString () method?

A:\(A\) : Yes, Even if you create a custom object and don't give it a toString () method, JavaScript will at least report that it is an object if you use it in a context where a string is expected. Granted, the string won't be very meaninglul but it's up to you to provide a tostring () method for custom objects if you want it to convey meaning about the object.

Q:
How does the sort comparison work between Date objects?

A:
: The goal of a sort comparison function is to return a number whose value controls the sorting of the two arguments. In the case of comparing dates, you want the more recent date to be sorted first. The more recent date is the larger date, so subtracting the second date from the first date achieves the result of sorting recent dates ahead of later dates. This means the second date is sotted above the lirst date only if the second date is larger (the result is greater than 0 ).

Q:
: How does the Array. sort () method know to use a custom comparison function or a default comparison?
\(A\) : This decision is made based upon whether or not an argument is passed into the sort () function. If there is no argument, a default sort comparison is assumed. If an argument is provided, it is interpreted as a function reference and used as the basis for comparing items in the sort. So the comparison function reference is an optional argurnent.

\section*{Ruby and her cubes are happy}

The YouCube blog is now approaching Ruby's vision of a cube puzzle blog that shares her every cubist thought with the universe.


\section*{Searching would be nice}

YouCube is running pretty smoothly but several users have requested a search feature that allows them to search all of the blog posts. Since Ruby plans on eventually having lots of blog posts, she agrees that this could be a very handy feature, especially over the long haul.


Searching the blog array
A search feature for YouCube involves looping through each entry in the
blog array looking for matching text in each blog post.



\section*{Searching within strings: indexOf()}

The indexOf () method allows you to search for a string of text, a substring, within a String object. The substring is passed as an argument to the indexOf() method-since you call the method on a String object, there's no need to pass anything else. The indexOf () method returns the index where the substring is located, or -1 if there is no match.
```
var str = "Got the new cube I ordered. It's a real pearl.";
alert(st.. Indexof("new"));
```


To understand where the number 8 comes from in this example, you have to look at a string very much like it's an array of individual characters.
 result of the method is -1 .


Below is one of Ruby's favorite riddles. Identify the index of each occurrence of the substring
Exercise
"cube" in the riddle string.
"A cubist cubed two cubes and ended up with eight. Was she Cuban?"


\section*{Searching the blog array}

String searching isn't too difficult thanks to the indexof () method of the String object, but Ruby still has an entire blog to search. Her plan is to loop through the array of blog entries, and use the indexof () method to search for a substring within the body text of each blog entry. If there is a match, she wants to display the blog entry in an alert box.
Before writing a function to handle the actual blog search, the YouCube blog needs a text field for the search text, as well as a button for initiating a search.


With the HTML search elements in place, all that's left is to put together the code for the searchBlog () function. Since the function uses an alert to display the search results, there's no need to return any information from it. There also isn't any need for an argument since the function directly reads the search text from the HTML text field.

\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The YouCube searchBlog () function is responsible for looping through the array of blog entries and searching for matching text in a blog body. Help Ruby finish the function by filling in missing code with the magnets. Hint: The matching search result should be displayed with its date in the form \(M M / D D / Y Y Y Y\) inside of square brackets, followed by the blog body text.
```
function searchBlog() {
    wal =d document.getElementById("
                                (...................")-value;
    var
        *)
    for (var i = 0; 1<
                                ; i++)
        // See if the blog entry contains the search text
        if (blog[1]. toLowerCase (). indexOf(searchText.toLowerCase ()) !- -1) {
            alert("[" + (blog[1]. . . % %........................
                Blog(i) date getDate() + "/" + blog[i]. .getFullYear() + "] " +
                blog[i].
                ):
            break;
        I
    I
    // If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    If (i=0
        alert("Sorry, thete are no blog entries contalning the search text.");
1
```



\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The YouCube searchBlog () function is responsible for looping through the array of blog entries and searching for matching text in a blog body. Help Ruby finish the function by filling in missing code with the magnets. Hint: The matching search result should be displayed with its date in the form MM/DD/YYYY inside of square brackets, followed by the blog body text.

First grab the search text from the HTML text field

\section*{function searchBlog ()}

// See if the blog entry contains the search text

break;
            1
    )
    // If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    if \(\langle i=\) blog. length
    alert ("Sorry, there are no blog entries containing the search text.");

If i equals the blog length, it means the for loop has cycled through all of the blog entries without finding a match.

The matching blog entry is formatted with MM/DD/YYYY inside square brackets, followed by the body text.

\section*{Searching works now, too!}

YouCube 2.0 is now complete with a search feature that relies heavily on the search capabilities built into the String object. It's a great example of how objects make data active, in this case turning a string of text (pure data) into an entity that has a behavior (it can search itself). And perhaps more importantly, it kept Ruby from having to invent her own search routine, allowing her to focus on writing her blog.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q:I still don't quite understand how every string is really an object. Is that really true?
\(A\) : Yes. Every single sting in Javascript is an object. If you put your name in quotes in JavaScript code, as in "Ruby", you just created an object. Although it may seem like overkill, the upside to JavaScript treating every string as an object is that every string has the ability to do useful things such as know its own length, search for substrings within itsell, and so lorth.
O : So I get that a string is an object, but it also seems to be a lot like an array with the character indexes and all. Is a string also an array?

A:A: No. A string is most definitely not an array. However, it is true that many of the String methods operate on string data as if it was an array of individual characters. For example, the characters within a string start at index 0 and count up a character at a time as you move through the string. But you can't access a character vithin a string using square brackets ( [ ] ), as you can with an array. So while it does help to think of the characters within a string as being similar to elements in an array, you don't literally work vith a String object the same way you work with an Array object.

Q: Could the searchBlog() function have used charAt () instead of indexOf () for the blog search? A: No To e charati) memend onty searches for a single character, which wouldn't be very helptul in searching the blog for a phrase of text. The indexOf () method searches for a string, not just a single character, and is the best tool for the job in this case.
Q: Is it possible to search a string for more than one occurrence of a search substring?

A:: Yes. The indexof () method defaults to searching for the first occurrence of the search substring. But you can pass in a second, optional argument that tells indexOf () where to start the search. So let's say you're searching for the string "cube" and you've found a match at index 11. You can call indexOf () again with a second argument of 11 , which forces it to start searching at index 12 . So the general solution is to pass the previous search index into the indexOf () method to continue searching throughout a string.

Q: What's the purpose of the two calls to toLowerCase () in the search \(B \log\) () function?
\(A\) : Great question! The answer has to do with the problem of case when searching for text in the blog. If someone searches the blog for the word "cube", they probably want all matches for the word, including "cube", Cube", "CUBE", and any other variations in the case of the word. A simple way to get around this problem is to convert both the search substring and the blog body text to a common case before carrying out the search. Although the searchBlog () function uses toLowerCase (), the toUpperCase () method would work just as well. The point is to remove case from the search entirely.


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The toString () method is used to convert any object to a text representation.
- Arrays and strings are both really just objects, relying on the standard Array and String objects in JavaScript for their methods and data storage.
- The sort () method of the Array object can be used to sort an array in any order you want.
- The indexOf () method in the String object searches for a string of text within another string. returning the index of the search string location.

\section*{A random YouCube}

In the neverending quest to keep users interested in her blog, Ruby has come up with one more addition to YouCube that she thinks her fellow puzzlers will enjoy. She wants to add a Random button that allows visitors to view a blog entry at random.


\section*{The Math object is an organizational object}

To help Ruby add a random feature to YouCube, we desperately need a way to generate random numbers. This involves using a built-in JavaScript object that isn't quite as "alive" as some of the other objects we've used.
The standard Math object is where random numbers can be generated, and it is a unique object in that it doesn't have any data that changes, and no methods that act on internal data.


The Math object is an organizational object, which means it is just a collection of math-related utility methods and constants. There are no variables, which means the Math object maintains no state-you can't use it to store anything. The only data it contains are a few constants such as PI (3.14). The methods in the Math object, however, are quite handy. For one, the random () method generates a random floating point number between 0 and 1 .

The Math object is an organizational object that houses math methods and constants.

Write the results of the following calls to Math methods.
Exercise
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Math.round (Math.PI) & \\
\hline Math. ceiling (Math.PI) & ....................... \\
\hline Math .random() & \(\longrightarrow\) Answers on page 436. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 When math functions collide

Head First: OK, F'm really confused. You're an object, but I'm hearing that you don't really do anything other than hold a bunch of mathematical methods and a few constants. I thought the whole point of objects was to make data active. You know, wrap up some data and then have methods that do cool things with it.
Math: That's what conventional JavaScript wisdom leads people to think, but not all objects are about bringing data to life. It's perfectly acceptable for an object to play the role of organizer, like me.
Head First: But couldn't all those math methods have just been created as standard functions?

Math: Yes, they could've, but you're forgetting that the JavaScript language is built out of objects. So in reality, there's no such thing as a "standard" function.
Head First: But I can create a function outside of an object and it seems to work just fine.

Math: Right, but in reality all functions really are methods because they belong to an object somewhere, even if it's hidden. This helps explain why there are no "standard functions."

Head First: Ah, I sce. It's starting to make morc sense why you contain those math methods.

Math: And don't forget that just because I don't have internal data that gets manipulated by my methods, it doesn't mean I don't play an important role in being an object.

\section*{Head First: What do you mean?}

Math: Well, imagine a group of people who all share a common interest, such as cube puzzles. In many cases, such people organize together so that they can interact with each other about their interest. While math methods aren't exactly as social as people, they do benefit from the organization I provide.

Head First: You mean because they're all related to a common interest.

Math: Yes! And that interest is carrying out mathematical tasks, such as rounding numbers, carrying out trigonometric operations, and generating random numbers.

Head First: You mention generating random numbers. I've heard your numbers aren't truly random. Any truth to the rumor?

Math: I have to confess that no, they are not truly random. And neither are most computer-generated random numbers. My random numbers are "pseudorandom," which is good enough for most situations.

Head First: Pseudorandom, is that like pseudo-science...or pseudocode?

Math: Uh, no and yes. No, nothing at all like pseudo-science. And yes, a litule like pseudocode since pseudocode is intended to represent the idea behind code without actually being code. In the case of pseudorandom numbers, they approximate randomness without truly being random.

Head First: So, can I trust that pacudorandom numbers are sufficiently random for most JavaScript applications?

Math: Yes, and that's a good way to put it: "sufficiently random." You probably wouldn't want to trust pseudorandom numbers for issues involving national security, but they work great for injecting randomness into everyday scripts.
Head First: Got it. Well, thanks for your time...and your honesty regarding random numbers.
Math: Glad to do it...you know I can't lie.


\section*{Generate random numbers with Math.random}

Pseudorandom or not, random numbers generated by the random () method of the Math object are extremely useful in applications such as YouCube that need to make a random selection from a collection of data. The problem is, 上andom () returns a random number in the range 0 and 1 , while Ruby needs a random number that is in the range 0 to the end of blog array. In other words, she needs to generate a random blog index.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q: Why isn't it necessary to create a Math object before using it?}

A:1: Ah, that's a perceptive question, and it touches on a very important concept related to objects. Since the Math object doesn't actually contain data that can change, also known as instance data, there is no need to create an object. Remember that the Math object is just a collection of static methods and constants, so everything that goes into the Math object already exists-there's nothing to create. This val make much more sense in Chapter 10 when you learn the details of object instances and classes.

Q:
What's the difference between the round () and floor () methods of the Math object?

A:
:The round () method rounds the number up or down depending upon its decimal part. For example, Math. round (11.375) results in 11, vile Math. round (11.625) results in 12. The floor () method, on other hand, always rounds dove, no matter what the decimal part is. You can just think of the floor () method as always chopping off the decimal part:

Q: What else can the Math object
do?
\(A:\) Lots of things. Two handy methods that we haven't had the need for yet are min () and max (), which analyze two numbers and retum the lesser or greater of the two. abs () is another very useful Math method-its job is to return a positive number no matter what number you give it.


\section*{Geek Bits}

If you find yourself working on a JavaScript application that desperately needs true random numbers, stop by http://random,org to learn more about how to go beyond the realm of pseudorandom numbers.


Write the code for a random Blog () function that selects a
blog entry at random and then displays it in an alert box. Hint: The blog entry in the alert box can be formatted the same as the search result in searchBlog ().
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)


\section*{Random but still lacking}

Ruby's blog now supports a random blog search feature, which she is very happy about. Users can now view the YouCube blog with a healthy sense of intrigue since they don't know what entry they'll get.


\section*{An object in search of actions}

Ruby's instincts about the YouCube object are dead-on. The behavioral part of the object is extremely lacking, and could use some serious restructuring so that it uses methods to handle blog-specific tasks. Ruby needs methods that add some actions to the Blog objeet!


Study the YouCube code and circle any code you think could be placed within BIog methods; make sure to name each method.
```
function showBlog(numEntries)
    // First sort the blog in reverse chronological order (most recent first)
    blog.sort(function(blog1, blog2) ( return blog2.date - blog1.date; ))
    // Adjust the number of entries to show the full blog, if necessary
    if (!numEntries)
        numEntries = blog.length:
    // Show the blog entries,
    ar i = 0, blogText = "";
    while (i < blog.length 跈 i < numEntries) (
        // Use a gray background for every other blog entry
        if (i & 2-- 0)
            blogText += "<p style='background-color:#EEEEEE'>";
        else
            blogText += "<p>";
        // Generate the formatted blog HTML code
        blogText += "<strong>" + (blog[1].date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" +
            blog[i].date.getDate() + "/n+
            blog[i].date.getFullYear() + "</strong><br />" +
            blog[i].body + "</p>";
        i++;
    l
    // Set the blog HTML code on the page
    document.getElementById("blog").innerATML = blogText;
)
function searchBlog()
    var searchText - document.getElementById("searchtext").value;
    for (var i - 0; i< blog.lenqth; i++)
        // See if the blog entry contains the search text
        if (blog[i].body.toLowerCase().indexof(searchText.toLowerCase()) != -1)
            alert("[" + (blog[1].date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" + blog[i].date.getDate() + "/" +
                blog[i].date.getpullyear () + ") " + blog[i].body);
            break;
        1)
    I
    // If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    if (i == blog.length)
        alert("Sorry, there are no blog entries containing the search text.");
|
function randomBlog()
    // Pick a random number between 0 and blog.length - 1
    var i = Math.floor(Math.random() * blog.length);
    alert("[" + (blog[i].date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" + blog[i].date.getDate() + "/" +
        blog[i].date.getFullYear() + "] " + blog[i].body);
l
```

Study the YouCube code and circle any code you think could be placed within Blog methods; make sure to name each method.
```
Function showRTog(numFntries) i
    / First sort the bloq in reverse chronoloqical order (most recent flrst)
    blog.sort (function(blog1, blog2) (return blog2.date - blog1.date; ));
    // Adjust the number of entries to show the full blog, if necessary
    f (!numEntries)
        numEntries = blog.length; Convert the blog entry
    // Show the blog entries
                                    to formatted HTML
    while (i<< blog.length && i < numEntries)
        // use a gray Dackgrouma for every otner blog entry
            (1&2 & ==0)
            blogText +- "<p style-'background-color:#EEEEEE'>"
        else
            blogText +- "<p>";
        // Generate the formatted blog HTML code
        blogText += "<strong>" + (blog[i].date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" +
            blog[i].date.getDate() + "/" +
            blog[i],body + "</p>*;
        1++;
    )
    // Set the blog HTML code on the page
    document.getElementById("blog").innerHTML = blogText;
|
function searchBlog() (
    var searchText = document.getElementById("searchtext").value;
    for (var i =0; i< blog.length; i++) ;
        // See if the hlog entry contains the search text
        if (blog[i].body. EoLowerCase().index0f(searchText.toLowerCase()) I= -1) (S
```

```
            (blog[i].date.getEullYear() + "1 n + blog[i].body);
            break;
        1
    T
    If If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    if (i = blog.length)
        alert("Sorry, there are no blog entries containing the search text.");
I
function randomBloq()
    // Pick a random number between 0 and blog.length - 1
    var i = Math.floor(Math.random() * blog.length);
    alert(" [" + (blog[i].date.getMonth() + i) + "/""+blog[i].date.getDate() + "/"
        blog[i], date.getFuilYear()+"]"+blog[i].body);
F
```

Blog. toltTML()
Convert a blog entry to
formatted HTML code, which takes a huge burden off of other code that wants to display a cleanly formatted blog.

Blog.containsText()
Not much code but still worthy of a method since a blog entry should be capable of searching its own body for text

Blog.toString() Convert a blog entry to a string, which makes sense to use in any situation where the date is shown in square brackets with the body text beside it

Q.
: How do you know what script code should go into a method?
\(A\) : Well, you have to lirst remind yourself what a method is ideally intended to do, and that is to take some kind of action based upon the state (data) of an object. To some extent, figuring out methods for an object involves figuring out what it is the object is exactly doing, or needs to do. Then focus on empowering objects to do things for themselves.

\footnotetext{
As an example, it makes sense for the Blog object to turn itselt into a string or formatted HTML code since those two actions require access to internal blog data. Similarly, searching for text within a blog entry is an action that should be internal to the Blog object, and therefore makes perfect sense as a method.
O .
So is there an example of an action that the Blog object shouldn't take?
}

\section*{Turn a function into a method}

Now that some pieces of YouCube code have been isolated that would make a good fit as methods of the Blog object, let's take a closer look at converting one of them into a Blog method. The method is containsText (), which takes on the responsibility of searching the body of a blog entry for a substring. Moving the search code to a method primarily involves operating directly on the body property of a Blog object, as opposed to a local variable in the searchBlog () function. These steps help clarify the process:
(1) Declare the method, complete with an argument list, if required, such as the search text argument to containsText ().
(2) Move the existing code to the new method.
(3) Change relevant code to use object properties, such as this.body in the containsText () method.

\(A\) : Actions that are very much outside of the scope of the Blog object could be things like showing or searching the list of blog entries. This is because the Blog object represents a single blog entry. That's why the blog array consists of multiple individual BIog objects. So each individual Blog object doesn't need to concern itsell with a collection of Blog objects. Instead, an individual Blog object should take care of its own business, which involves taking action based solely upon its own date and body text.


Write the code for the Blog object's containsText () method, which is created in the Blog () constructor by assigning a function literal to this-contains'Text.

The method is created by assigning a function literal to a method reference
this containsText : function(text) \{
return (thisbody tol owerCasel) index \(0 f(\) text tol owerCase()) \(I=-1\) )
.
The this keyword is used to create a method similarly to how it is used to create properties.

The code within the method accesses an object property directly using the this keyword

\section*{Unveiling the shiny new blog object}

The other two new blog methods join the containsText () method in a new version of the Blog object that has both properties and behaviors.

```
function Blog(body, date) Create and initialize the properties
    // Assign the properties The taString() method returns
    this, date = date; the blog entry formatted as a
    # Feturn a string representation of the blog entry / string of text
    // Feturn a string Iepios() (
        his.toString - function() getMonth() + 1) + "/" + this.date.getDate() + "/" +
        return " [" + (this.date.getmon, + "] " + this.body;
    1:
    /) Return a formatted HTML representation of lle blog ontry
    this.toHTML = function(highlight) !
        Use a gray backqround as a highliytit, if specified \
        // Use a gray back
        blogHTML += highlight ? "<p &tyle='background-color:#EEEEEE'>" : "<p>";
        // Generate the formatted blog HTML code
        blogHTML += "<strong>" + (this.date.getMonth() + 1) + "/ "</strong><br />" +
            his.date.getDate()
            this.body + "</p>";
            return blogHTML:
        1:
        * search string, or false otherwise the
        Hosee lf the blog body cuntains a (rext)
        is.containsText = function(cext) index0f(text,toLowerCase()) != -1):
        i:
I
```

\section*{What do objects really offer YouCube?}

It's not until the new version of the Blog object (available at http://wwas. headfirstlabs-com/books/hfis/) is plugged into the YouCube script that the real benefits of object-oriented programming are revealed. Now that several important blog-specific tasks are delegated to Blog methods, the script code gets considerably simples:

\section*{The new Blog object simplifies the YouCube script.}
I
```
```
```
// Show the list of blog entries
```
```
// Show the list of blog entries
function showBlog(numEntries) (
function showBlog(numEntries) (
    // First sort the blog in reverse chronological order (most recent first)
    // First sort the blog in reverse chronological order (most recent first)
    blog.sort (function(blogl, blog2) { return blog2.date - blogl.date; });
    blog.sort (function(blogl, blog2) { return blog2.date - blogl.date; });
    // Adjust the number of entries to show the full blog, if necessary
    // Adjust the number of entries to show the full blog, if necessary
    if (!numEntries)
    if (!numEntries)
        numEntries - blog.length;
        numEntries - blog.length;
    // Show the blog entries
    // Show the blog entries
    var i = 0, blogListHTML = "'"
    var i = 0, blogListHTML = "'"
    while (i < blog. Iength a& i < numEntries) [ The totTML() method is entively
    while (i < blog. Iength a& i < numEntries) [ The totTML() method is entively
        blogListHTML += blog[i].toHTML(i %1 2== 0);
        blogListHTML += blog[i].toHTML(i %1 2== 0);
        i++;
        i++;
    }
    }
    // Set the blog HTML code on the page
    // Set the blog HTML code on the page
    document.getElementById("blog").innerHTML = blogListHTML;
    document.getElementById("blog").innerHTML = blogListHTML;
)
)
// Search the list of blog entries for a piece of text
// Search the list of blog entries for a piece of text
function searchBlog() {
function searchBlog() {
    var searchText = document.getElementById("searchtext").value;
    var searchText = document.getElementById("searchtext").value;
    for (var i = 0; i<blog.length; it+) i
    for (var i = 0; i<blog.length; it+) i
        // See if the blog entry contains the search text
        // See if the blog entry contains the search text
            If (blog[i].containsText(searchText))
            If (blog[i].containsText(searchText))
    )
    )
    // If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    // If the search text wasn't found, display a message
    if (i== blog.length)
    if (i== blog.length)
        alert("Sorry, there are no blog entries containing the search text.");
        alert("Sorry, there are no blog entries containing the search text.");
I
I
7/ Display a randomly chosen blog entry
7/ Display a randomly chosen blog entry
function IandomBlog() {
function IandomBlog() {
    // Pick a random number between 0 and blog.length - 1
    // Pick a random number between 0 and blog.length - 1
    var i = Math.floor(Math.random() + blog.length);
    var i = Math.floor(Math.random() + blog.length);
    alert(blog[1]);
    alert(blog[1]);

```
        The contains Text() method
```
```
        The contains Text() method
```

\section*{YouCube 3.0!}

It's been quite a project but Ruby has officially deemed YouCube 3.0 good enough for her to take a break and get back to puzzling. She's also excited about spending some time preparing for that party she was invited to...


\section*{JavaScripteross}

Ruby has been waiting all chapter for this...a puzzle! But it's not a cube puzzle, it's a crossword puzzle. Oh well, you can't have it all.


\section*{Across}
1. Use this String method to search for a string of text.
4. When you place a function in an object, it becomes a .......
6. JavaScript arrays and strings are really
8. Use this to access a member of an object.
11. Nearly random.
13. This method converts any object into a string of text.
14. Ruby's hometown.

\section*{Down}
2. Use this object to work with time.
3. A Math method that rounds down a number.
5. In an object, properties store this.
7. Object properties are created here.
9. A piece of data in an object.
10. Methods allow objects to take these.
12. Call this method to change the order of the items in an array.

\section*{JavaScriptcross Solution}



Search all you want, but it's unlikely that you'll find anything better than a JavaScript object to do things like sort and analyze data. They're even able to randomize numbers without any trouble at all.


If it was only that easy, we'd surely have it made. JavaScript doesn't have a money-back guarantee, but you can definitely have it your way. Custom objects are the JavaScript equivalent of a decaf triple shot grande extra hot no whip extra drizzle no foam marble mocha macchiato. That is one custom cup of coffee! And with custom JavaScript objects, you can brew up some code that does exactly what you want, while taking advantage of the benefits of properties and methods. The end result is reusable object-oriented code that effectively extends the JavaScript language...just for youl

\section*{Revisiting the YouCube Blog methods}

When we last left Ruby, she was quite excited about having created an object-powered blog for writing about her interest in cube puzzles. Athough Ruby did a decent job of creating the Blog object that drives the YouCube blog, she unknowingly missed some key opportunities to apply object-oriented principles to YouCube. More importantly, she didn't fully explore the different ways the Blog object can be made more efficient, more organized, and therefore more maintainable into the future.

The YouCube blog works but it still isn't a shining beacon of object-oriented programming design.

The last tweak Ruby made to the Blog object involved the creation of


The YouCube methods look fine on the surface, but there's a subtle problem...

\section*{Method overload}

Similar to the blog properties, the methods in the Blog object are created inside the constructor using the this keyword. This approach works but it ends up creating a new copy of the methods for every Blog object that is created. So if the blog contains six entries, there are six copies of the three Blog methods.


How could you redesign the Blog object so that the method code doesn't get duplicated in each new object?

\section*{Classes vs. instances}

The duplicate method problem touches on an extremely important concept related to JavaScript objects: the difference between an object class and an object instance. A class is an object description, a template that outlines what an object is made of. An instance is an actual object that has been created from a class. In real world terms, a class is the blueprint for a house, while an object is the house itself. And similar to JavaScript objects, you can build many house instances from a single class (blueprint).

\section*{Object instances}


\section*{Instances are created from classes}

A class describes the properties and methods of an object, while an instance puts real data in the properties and brings them to life. Each instance gets its own copies of properties, which is what allows instances to be uniquely different from one another.

An object class is a template, while an object instance is the thing created from the template.

\section*{Access an instance's properties with "this"}

All the properties we ve dealt with thus far have been instance properties, meaning that they are owned by an instance, and more importantly, each instance gets its own copy. You can easily identify an instance property because it is set in the constructor using the this keyword.
function Blog (body, date) I
this . body \(=\) body;
this.date \(=\) date ;


These are instance properties because they are referenced using the this keyword.

There are also instance methods, but they are a little trickier since they can be owned by an instance or by the class. So far we've only created instance methods that are set using the this keyword, which means they are owned by each instance. This explains why the method code is duplicated in each instance.


\section*{Own once, run many: class-owned methods}

There is another kind of instance method that is owned by the class itself, which means that there is only one copy shared for all instances. This classowned instance method is much more efficient than storing a copy of a method in each and every instance.


When a method is owned by the class, all instances of the class have access to it, and therefore don't have their own copies. This is much more efficient, especially when you consider how many method copies could end up taking up space in an application that creates lots of object instances. In the case of YouCube, three methods (toString (), toHTML (), and containsText ()) would be unnecessarily duplicated for every blog entry that is created.

Of course, we still need a mechanism for assigning the ownership of a method to the class, as opposed to individual instances...

> Storing a method in a class allows all instances to share one copy.

\section*{inside every object exists...}

\section*{Use prototype to work at a class-level}

Classes in JavaScript are made possible in JavaScript thanks to a hidden object called prototype that exists in every object as a property. The prototype object allows you to set properties and methods that are owned at the class level, as opposed to within an instance. In the case of methods, the prototype object is how you establish that a class owns a method.


In this example, the toHTML () method is added to the Blog class itself, as opposed to a specific instance of the class. No matter how many instances of the Blog object we create, there is only one copy of the toHTML () method.

Since the toHTML () method is now part of the Blog class, when the method is called the code that runs is located in the class. However, the method is technically still an instance method because it can be called through an instance object, and it can access instance properties.


If another instance of the Blog object is created and the toHTML () method is called on it, the same code in the class gets rum. That's the beauty of storing a method in a class-store once, run many!


Classes, prototypes, and YouCube
Ruby is a little overwhelmed with all the talk about classes and prototypes, but she has a pretty good sense that YouCube could benefit from rethinking the Blog method storage with the aid of the protatype object.

```
    Sharpen your pencil
```

The Blog code now uses the prototype object to store the methods so that they are owned by the class. Annotate the code and explain what's qoing on.
function \(\operatorname{Blog}\) (body, date) I // Assign the propertiea this.body = body; this. date \(=\) date;

1

Now the constructor focuses
solely on creating and initalizing the properties.
```
// Return a string representation of the blog entry
Blog.protstype.tosting \(=\) function ( \()\)
```
```
        return " (" + (this.date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" +
```
        return " (" + (this.date.getMonth() + 1) + "/" +
        this.date.DetFullYear () + "] " + this.body;
);
```
// Return a formbeted HTML representation of the blog entry Blog.prototype. FoHTME - function (highlight) ! 7) Use a gray background as a highlight, if specified var blogHTML = "" ;
blogHTML \(+=\) highlight ? "<p style='background-color:\#EEEEEE'>" : "<p>";
// Generate the formatted blog ATML code
blogHTML \(+=\) "<strong>" + (this.date.getMonth ()\(+1\rangle+" / "+\) this.date.getDate ()\(+" / n+\) this.date.getFullYear () \(+n</\) strong><br \(/>n+\) this.body \(+n</ \mathrm{p}>{ }^{n}\); return blogHTML;

\section*{A more efficient YouCube}

The YouCube blog now uses class-owned methods to climinate wasteft code, thanks to object prototyping No matter how many Blog instances are created, only one copy of the methods are created since they now reside in the class. The cool thing is that from the YouCube script's


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- A class is a description of an object, while an instance is an actual object that has been created based upon that description.
- A class lays out the properties and methods of an object. while an instance places real data in the properties, giving the methods something to work with.
- The this keyword is used to access an instance from within its own code.
- The prototype object allows methods to be stored in a class, preventing instances from unnecessarily duplicating code.

Q:
I'm still not quite understanding the big picture of classes vs. instances. What's the deal?

A:
 it easier to create and reuse objects. You could create one-off objects as object literals all day long and never have a problem other than wasting a lot of energy unnecessarily. It's wasteful because you'd be duplicating your efforts. Kind of like an architect who insists on redrawing the plans for the same house every time he wants to build it again

Why not create a template that can be used to create as many instances as you want, resulting in a lot less effort? That's where classes enter the picture-create one class. and then use it to create as many instances as needed.
Q:
OK, so classes are about making it easier to create objects that are similar to each other. But what do this and prototype have to do with that?

A:: The this keyword lets you access an instance from within one of its own methods. Its primary usage is in accessing instance properties. So it you want to access a property named x from within a method, you say this . x . If you were to just say

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}
x , the code wouldn't know you were trying to access a property of the instance; it would just think x was a variable. That's why constructors require you to use this when creating and initializing properties.
prototype is a different animal altogether. It provides the mechanism for creating classes. Unlike some other programming languages such as \(\mathrm{C}++\) and Java, JavaScript doesn't truly support classes as a concrete part of the language. Instead, JavaScript uses prototypes to simulate classes. The end result is similar but JavaScript requires you to create
"cases" by manipulating the prototype object, which appears as a hidden" property of every JavaScript object. By storing a property or method in the proton ype object, you effectively make it accessible as part of a class, as opposed to just part of an instance.

\section*{Q: How do constructors fit into the class equation?}

A: Constructors are a very important part of establishing JavaScript classes because they are responsible for creating object instances. You can think of a constructor and a prototype as representing the two major pieces of the JavaScript class puzzle. Constructors take care of setting up
everything tor instances, while prototypes handle everything at the class level. Both entities working in concert give you the ability to do some pretty cool things because there are compelling reasons for positioning some members at the instance level and some at the class level. We continue exploring this issue throughout the chapter.

\section*{O: \\ I'm a little confused by the naming} convention used with objects. Sometimes an object is capitalized, sometimes it's in lower camel case. Is there some rule I'm missing?
\(A\) : The only rule is that class names are capitalized, while instance names are in lower camel case. This is because an instance is really just a variable, and variable identifiers use lower camel case. The inconsistency mainly has to do with the fart that we 've been using the term "object" tartly loosely. To be accurate, however, classes such as Blog should be capitalized, while instances such as blogEnt ry or blog [0] should be in lowerCamelCase.

This naming convention makes sense if you think back to other standard objects that we've used. You might store the current datetime in a variable (instance) called now, which is created from the Date object (class).

\section*{Signing the blog}

Ruby is digging the efficiency and organizational improvements that object-oriented programming, or OOP, has brought to YouCube but she's interested in doing more than just improve the code behind the scenes...
she wants to add a new feature.
 do the trick. Then she can just set the property in the constructor and display it with each blog entry...problem solved!

\section*{- prain \\ POWER}

Should Ruby create the signature as an instance property? Can you think of any reason why this might not be such a good idea?

\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q
: I keep seeing the term objectoriented. What does it mean?

\section*{A}

A: The term object-oriented gets used (and abused) an awful lot in programming circles, and it can mean different things to different people. In general, object-oriented programming (OOP) involves building
sottware out of objects, such as how the Date object is used in the date property of YouCube blog entries.

Most programmers associate OOP with using objects extensively throughout a program. At least in theory, a truly objectoriented program can be broken down into a collection of objects that interact with each
other. There are object-oriented purists out there who will argue that JavaScript doesn' quality as an OOP language. Save yoursell the energy and try to avoid that debate. There are valid arguments on both sides, but at the end of the day nobody wins


\section*{Class properties are shared, too}

Class properties are very similar to class-owned instance methods in that they are owned by the class with a single copy available to all instances. In some ways this is more significant when it comes to data because it means that the property has only one value that is shared by all instances. This is exactly what Ruby is looking for when it comes to the new signature property because there is only one signature for the entire YouCube blog.

is stored once in a
class but accessible to all instances.

Each instance
stores its own instance properties
\(\qquad\)
Blog

\section*{Creating class properties with prototype}

For all the talk about where a class property is stored and the sweeping impact that has on life as we know it, creating a class property is surprisingly mundane. In fact, a single line of code is all it takes;

The class property is accessed using object. (dot) notation.

Class properties don't have to be initialized but in this case it makes sense because the blog author is already known.

One of the most interesting things about this code is what you can't fully appreciate by looking at it by itself-the code doesn't appear inside of a constructor like the code that creates instance properties. The reason is because constructors are used to bring instances to life, and therefore


\section*{Sharpen your pencil}

Write code to display the signature property in an alert box. Hint: Assume the code is located inside a Blog method.

Write code to display the signature property in an alert box.
Hint: assume the code is located inside a Blog method.


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q:Why do you even need to store the YouCube signature in a property? Couldn't it just be entered as part of the body text for each entry?
A: : It's certainly possible to include a signature in every blog entry as part of the body text, but that requires unnecessary time and eflort, assuming there is only one person posting to the blog. It would get tiresome for Ruby to sign every blog entry when there is such a cleaner way to handle the signature using JavaScript. And vho's to say she might accidentally enter a typo and become "Puzzled Ruby"? Not a good thing!

Another option that is more viable is to just use a string literal for the signature vitein forinatining a thog eniliy के HTMAL. This approach works fine but it buries an important piece of data, the signature, down in the blog formatting code where it's difficult to find and maintain. By placing the signature in a class property, you make it easily accessible, and therefore much easier for the blogger to identify and change.

> Q: How would creating a blog entry change if the signature was an instance property?
\(A\) : Remember that each instance of an object gets its own set of instance properties that are initialized in the constructor. If the signature property was an instance property. the \(B \log\) () constructor would need to set it in each and every instance. This wouldn't necessarily be all that big of a coding hassle since the constructor could set the property to the signature string. However, behind the scenes, there would be as many copies of the signature as there are instances, and that would be extremely wasteful. Not only that but it would be possible to change the signature for different instances independently of others.
Q: - So if wonted to modiry YouCub to support multiple bloggers, would i change the signature to an insiance property?
\(A\) : Yes, and that would be a good idea because in a multi-blogger scenario the signature property has the prospect of needing to hold different values for each instance. The best way to handle this would probably be to add an argument to the Blog () constructor that allows the signature string to be passed in. Then use that string to initialize the signature instance property. In other words, handle the
signa ture property exactly the same as the other Blog instance properties.

O:. Class properties seem to work kind of like global variables. How are they different?

A:: Class properties are in fact a lot like global variables since they can be accessed from just about anywhere. Class properties are also created similarly to global variables, at least in terms of where they're createdfrom the main script level outside of other code. Where class properties differ from global variables is in their association wth a class, and therefore with instance objects. This means you always have to access a class property with respect to an instance

Q:: Hang on Cliass properties have to be accessed through an instance?

Q: Even though class properties are created using the prototype object, which stores them in a class, they must be accessed through instances. So a class property is accessed just like an instance property, using the this keyword and object (dot) notation. The difference is really just where the property is stored-in the class (class property) or in a specific instance (instance property)

\section*{Signed and delivered}

With the signature class property created, initialized, and ready to use, Ruby is ready to see it put in action. Looking back at the code that formats a blog entry for display in a browser, the toHTML () method is where the signature enters into the presentation of each blog entry.
```
#log.prototype.toHTML - funictionthighig
    // Use a gray background as a highlight, if specified
```
    var bloghtML \(=\) "";
    bloghtht t- Highlight \(?\) "¢p style='backoground-coloritEpEEEE'>" = "<p>";
    // Generate the formatted blog HTML code
    blogimpet \(+=\) "estrong>" + (this.date,getMonth \((1)+1)+" / "+\) this.date.getDatei) + +


        return bloghtil:
1;


The toltTML() method now formats the signature as part of a blog entry.


\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: Instance and class properties talk data} ownership and secret societies

\section*{Instance property:}

So you're the other guy I've been hearing about. I have to say I don't see why you're here. I do an excellent job of allowing object instances to be unique and keep track of their own property values.

Now that's hard believe. Go on...
o you're saying I wouldn't be the best way to store a secret handshake?

I see. But what about a secret password? Can I store that?

Awesome! So let's get started. I'm starting a secret club and we're both getting our own passwords.

\section*{Class property:}

I'm sure you do, and that's an admirable thing. But did you know that sometimes instances don't want the hassle of keeping up with their own data?

Well, there are situations where a piece of data is common to all instances, kinda like how a secret club has a secret handshake. Each person in the club knows the secret handshake but it's a club-wide handshake. If some gal in the club invents her own secret handshake, it serews everything up. Then some other girl has to top that with her handshake, and before you know it nobody knows the secret handshake anymore because there are lots of them.

That's right. No offense, but in this case the club members just need one handshake, even though all of the people need to know about it.

Maybe. If each person has their own secret password that is personal to them, then yes, you would be excellent for storing secret passwords.

But you don't know the secret handshake...gotcha!

Good one! So what is it? Really. I'm serious...

\section*{Duplicate code is a no-no}

Ruby is at it again. Never one to rest on her laurels, she's decided to look even further at improving the efficiency of the YouCube code. She has spotted some duplicate date formatting code that she's convinced can be eliminated somehow with a crafty application of OOP principles.

<html>
<head>
ct+le>YouCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers</title>
cscript type="text/javascript"
// Blog object constructor
function Blog (body, date)
// Assign the properties
this.body \(=\) body \(1 \mid\) "Nothing going on today.";
this.date \(=\) date || new Date() ;
1
// Return a string representation of the blog entry
Blog.prototype. tosiring Emotion ( \((1)+" / n+\) this.date.getDate () + "/" + returen "
1:
formatted HTMI representation of the blog entry
Return otype.toHTVL \(=\) function(highlight)
Blog.prototype.toHTML = function highilght, if specified
// Use a gray backg
var blogHTML " ""; ; ? "<p style='background-color:\#EEEEEE'>" : "<p>";
blogHTML \(+=\) hlghlight ? "<p style='background-color*EEEEEE"sn
// Generate the formatted blog HTMt code

(this.date.getFullYear ()

return blogHTML;
i.

I/ See if the blog body contains a string of text
og prototype, containsText \(=\) function(text)
return (this.body.indexOf(text) !=-1) ;
1;
(/ Set the blog-wide signature

How could you eliminate the duplicate date formatting code in YouCube?

\section*{A date formatting method}

Ruby thinks a decent solution to the duplicate date formatting code is to add a date formatting method to the Blog object. In order to reuse the code, it must be placed in a function or method, and she might as well go with a method since the BIog object is responsible for formatting dates as part of formatting a blog entry. Or should she?


\section*{Back to the prototype object}

What could be more powerful than taking a pre-existing object and making it even better? As it turns out, there is a way to modify a standard object, and it turns out providing the ultimate option in terms of extending the JavaScript language. The key to extending standard objects, or any JavaScript object for that matter, is the prototype object. We've already used the protot ype object to extend the Blog class with class properties and class-owned methods. Theres nothing stopping is from doing the same kind of extending to built-in JavaScript classes.


\section*{Extending standard objects}

The key to extending an object lies in the prototype object, and every object in JavaScript has one. So you can extend any object by adding properties and methods to its protot ype object, which establishes class properties and class-owned methods. In the case of a buil-in JavaScript object, adding a property or method to its protot ype object means any new instances of the built-in object will have access to the property or method.

\section*{The prototype object allows you to extend built-in JavaScript objects.}



\section*{Custom date object \(=\) better YouCube}

The customized Date object makes YouCube more efficient and extends the features of the built-in object. YouCube also becomes easier to maintain since the date format can now be altered in one location, yet affect the appearance of dates throughout the blog. Granted, OOP improvements to script code don't always present immediate sizzle in the form of visual changes, but they do often result in code that just seems to work better over the long haul.


9/3/2008
Attended a rally outside of a local toy store that stopped carrying cube puzzles. Power to the puzzlers!
by Puzzler Ruby

\section*{9/1/2008}

Went ahead and ordered the scary \(7 \times 7 \times 7\) cube. Starting a mental exercise regimen to prepare. by Puzzler Ruby


Blog dates are now formatted using a custom method of the Date object.

\section*{\(8 / 291220006\)}
low cubers to discuss the prospect of a \(7 \times 7 \times 7\) cube. Mixed feelings. by Puzzler Ruby

8/21/2008
Found a \(7 \times 7 \times 7\) cube for sale online. Yikes! That one could be a beast. by Puzzler Ruby

\section*{8/19/2008 \\ Solved the new cube but of course, now I'm bored and shopping for a new one.} by Puzzler Ruby

\section*{A class can have its own method}

The custom shortFormat () method of the Date object is a classowned instance method, meaning that it has access to instance properties even though it is owned by the class. This is what allows the method to format the date stored within a given instance. It is also possible to create a class method, which is a method owned by a class that cannot access instance properties. Class methods can access class properties, however, such as the signature property in the Blog class.

\section*{Class methods are owned by a class, and can only access class properties.}


Creating a class method is a matter of setting the method to the class without using the prototype object-just assign the method to the class using the class name and object notation.


To access a class property from a class method, you have to drill down to the prototype property.

Since signature is a class property, the class methout has access to it
Since class methods have no association with an instance, you call them by referencing only the class name. This doesn't mean an instance can't call a class method, it just has to do so using the class name.


\section*{Corrrann}

Can you think of any code in YouCube that would make sense as a class method of Blog?


\section*{Rethinking the blog sorter}

This is an intriguing idea because the sort comparison function is definitely playing a role that is specific to the Blog object. Currently, this function is created as a function literal inside the showBlog () function, which is where it is needed.


One of the fundamental concepts of OOP is to encapsulate the functionality of an object within the object itself, meaning that outside code shouldn't be doing work that an object can do for itself. In this case, the comparison of blog entries for sorting purposes could be done inside the object instead of in the showBlog () function. But, can the sort comparison code be placed into a class method of the Blog class? In order to answer this question, we have to figure out if the method requires. access to instance data or methods. That would be a big problem since class methods can't access anything in an instance.

\section*{Examine the sort comparison function}

The only way to know if this will work is to break down the function and see what's going on. Here's the sort comparison function literal with the code formatted more like a normal function:
 into the function as arguments.


Although the function deals directly with blog instances, they are passed in as arguments. This is different than attempting to access a property or method inside an instance through the this keyword, which isn't possible in a class method. So the sort comparison function doesn't need access to anything within an instance, which makes it a perfect candidate for a class method.

In fact, the sort comparison function doesn't even require class properties, although it could do so if necessary because class methods do have access


Rewrite the YouCube blog sort comparison function as a class method of the Blog object that is named blogSorter ().

Rewrite the YouCube blog sort comparison function as a class method of the Blog object that is named blogSorter ().

Blog blogsorter = function(blog), blog2) \(\qquad\)
...return.blog2 2date - ...bogl.date \(\qquad\)
l.
)
The sort comparison method is now a class method of the Blog object named blogSorter().

\section*{Calling a class method}

The benefit of moving the blog sort comparison function to a Blog method becomes a little easier to appreciate when you see the code that calls the method.
function showBlog (numEntries) ( The details of sorting the
// First sort the blog
blog are now delegated
to the blogSorter() class
method of the Blog class.

The beauty of this code is subtle but important. The showBlog () function no longer has to concern itself with how blog entries are sorted. Instead, the details of how blog entries are sorted is handled within the Blog class where it logically belongs.

What's neat is how the sorting is still initinted outside of the Blog clas in the showBlog () function, which makes sense because sorting affects an entire collection of blog instances. But the specifics of how the sorting is carried out with respect to individual blog entries is within the realm of something the Blog class can bandle. Good OOP design often involves a careful orchestration of objects and their surrounding code.


\section*{blog \\ A picture is worth a thousand words}

Ruby continues to be thrilled by the OOP improvements to YouCube but she also knows that users won't necessarily share her enthusiasm because the OOP enhancements have yet to dramatically impact the user experience. She has therefore decided that it's time to add some noticeable sizzle to the blog!


\section*{Incorporating images into YouCube}

Adding image support to the YouCube blog involves figuring out how to incorporate an image into the Blog object in such a way that it doesn't interfere with the way the object already works. This brings up two important questions that will drive the design:
1. What is the best way to store a blog image within the Blog object?
2
How can the blog image be added to YouCube but remain entirely optional?

Regardless of how a blog image is stored, we know that it will ultimately
get fed into an HTML <img> tag so that it can be displayed on the
YouCube web page.


This code tells us that as far as the blog is concerned, an image is really just a string. Sure, the string ultimately references an image file stored somewhere on a web server, but from the perspective of the Blog object, it's just a string.


\section*{An optional blog image}
8. So the blog image gets stored in the Blog object as a string property named image, but the question still remains as to how this property can be added to the blog as a purely optional feature. This question ultimately has to lead back to the constructor, which is where the object is both created and initialized. Surely some special code has to be placed in the
 of the \(B \log ()\) constructor:

Rewrite the YouCube Blog () constructor to support a new image property for storing a blog entry image.

Rewrite the YouCube Blog () constructor to support a new image property for storing a blog entry image.

The image property is created and initialized
to the image argument


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

\section*{O .}

Is it important that the image argument appears last in the Blog () constructor's argument list?

A:: Yes and the exasen bseacese te image is considered an optional part of a blog entry. The real issue here is how you go about passing arguments to functions, especially as it pertains to optional arguments, If a function has two arguments, you have the option of passing both arguments, passing only the first argurment, or passing none of the arguments. There is no way to pass only the second argument.

So when it comes to optional arguments, think about them in terms of being able to leave them off the end of the argument list. Also try to think about arguments in terms of importance, with more important arguments appearing first. Less important arguments that are more likely to be considered optional should appear near the end of the argument list. Since the image argument to \(\mathrm{B} \log ()\) is optional, it must appear last in the argument list where it can be easily left off.

\section*{Adding imagery to YouCube}

The shiny new Blog () constructor with support for images wouldn't be very useful without some blog entries that use it. In order to create a blog entry that supports images, two things have to happen:


Completing these steps results in the following code, which successfully creates a new blog entry that passes an image string into the last argument of the \(B \log ()\) constructor:
```
new Blog("Wow, it took me a couple of weeks but the new cube is finally solved!",
    new Date("09/19/2008"), "oube777.png") The blog image is passed
    The blog image is passed 
```

\section*{Showing the blog image}

Now that a blog entry has been created with an image, there is one last piece of business left for the image enhancement to YouCube. All this talk of constructors and optional arguments wouldn't mean much if the code that displays a blog entry didn't actually factor in the new image property.
This code is located in the toHTML () method. We already know that this method is responsible for formatting the blog as HTML, code, except now it has to take into account whether or not the image property has a meaningful value. What's really going on is that there are two different ways of displaying a blog entry, one with an image and one without, and the existence of an image is what determines which way the blog gets displayed.
```
Sharepen your pencal
The Blog object's toHTML () method is missing a piece of code that will allow optional images to be displayed. Write the piece of missing code, and annotate what it does.
if (
``` \(\qquad\)
``` ) 1
    blogHTML \(+=\) "<strong>" + this.date.shortFormat () +
    "</atrong><br /><table><tr><td>eimg arc='" + this.image +
    " \(/></ t d><t d\) style='vertical-align:top'>" + this.body + " \(</ t d></ t r></ t a b l e><e m>"+\)
    this.signature + " \(</ e m\rangle\langle/ p\rangle\) "
1
else
    blogHTML \(+=\) "<strong>" + this.date.shortFormat ()\(+"</\) strong><br \(/>"+\) this.body +
    "<br /><em>" + this.signature + "</em></p>";
1
```



You know the drill...boxes and words. Fill 'ern inl


\section*{Across}
2. A real live object with its own data.
4. The operator used to create object instances.
6. The signature in YouCube is one of these.

9 . Software that is designed using objects.
11. A keyword that references an object from within its own
code.
12. An object that inherits properties and methods from another object.

\section*{Down}
1. The object equivalent of a function.
3. The blog sort comparison code in YouCube is one of these.
5. When a piece of data is placed in an object, it is called
a .........
7. Every object has one of these objects hidden within it.
8. A template used to create instances of an object.
10. Object notation uses these to access properties and methods.

\section*{JavaScripteross Solution}



Objects add so many cool things to scripts that it can be hard to pick one thing. It's true, some objects outclass
others and make it even tougher, but in the end the answer is clear.

\section*{11 kill bựs clead}

\section*{* Good Scripts Gone Wrong}


Even the best laid JavaScript plans sometimes fail. When this happens, and it will, your job is not to panic. The best JavaScript developers are not the ones who never create bugs-those people are called liars. No, the best JavaScript developers are those who are able to successfully hunt down and eradicate the bugs they create. More importantly, top notch JavaScript bug exterminators develop good coding habits that minimize the sneakiest and nastiest of bugs. \(\boldsymbol{A}\) little prevention can go a long way. But bugs happen, and you'll need an arsenal of weapons to combat them...

\section*{Real-world debugging}

It's a shocking fact of snack food life... a chocolate bar can contain up to 60 bug pieces. As scary as that little tidbit of information may be, there's no reason to fear bugs in JavaScript code. JavaScript code can be more tightly controlled than chocolate processing equipment. There's even a taskforce devoted solely to the removal of JavaScript bugs.

\section*{18351: \\ BUG SCENE INVESTIGATORS}

It's Bug Scene Investigators, or BSI, as those in the business refer to them. Owen has recently joined BSI as a JavaScript investigator, and is eager to prove himself and help rid the Web of JavaScript bugs.


\section*{The case of the buggy IQ calculator}

The first case across Owen's desk is an IQ calculator script that's part of a page that calculates an average IQ from an array of IQs, and then groups users together whose results are similar. So the script is given an array of numbers, and then calculates an average and indicates the intelligence of that average.
6 The files for Owen are available at http://wwwheadfirstlabs.com/ books/hfjs/:

Owen has been informed that this script is quite buggy: Unfortunately, no other information has been provided beyond, "it doesn't work."


\section*{Try different browsers}

Owen figures that running the problematic script through a few different browsers might help shine some light on the problem. He starts out with Internet Explorer...

> Double-clicking the yellow sign in the bottom left corner of IE opens an error window.


Internet Explorer reports an error when the page first loads but Owen isn't sure he can trust it. A quick look at the code for the script shows that the variable iqs exists, but the IE browser shows it doesn't. Knowing that browsers aren't always accurate when reporting errors, he decides to press on with the Safari browser...


The igs variable is defined in the code, so \(\mid E\) 's error doesn't appear to make any sense.

If you count down the lines of code starting at 1 , Safari points to an error on a line of code that initially appears to be OK.

Safari points out that the error is on entirely different line of code, which doesn't immediately appear to Owen to have anything wrong with it. So he decides to take a stab at locating the error with Opera...


Something is strange here. Opera mentions a different line number but it's clearly referring to the same line of code as Safari, which is actually good news for Owen. But he still doesn't see anything wrong with the code. So he decides to try one more option, Firefox...


2

Firefox is pretty help ful
Firefox
Firefox of the bug. in pinpointing the nature

Firefox has yet another take on the line number of the problematic code.



What coding error has Owen uncovered with the help of his army of web browsers?

\section*{Firefox to the rescue}

Given how specific Firefox is in describing the bug, Owen decides to dig a little further using Firefox. So he clicks the link in the Firefox error console window, and it takes him to the line just before the suspicious line of code.

\section*{Firefox is widely considered the best debugging browser around, at least for the time being.}


Geek Bits

Not only does Firefox have excellent built-in bug detection capabilities, but it also has a debugger plug-in called Firebug that takes debugging to an entirely different level. The Firebug debugger for Firefox can be downloaded for free at http:/www.getfirebug.com/.

Don't worry about the
second error for now-let's
take them one at a time.

lator</title>
Following the link opens the code for the
Web page, including a Eitle>3BI Came If IQ Calculate

Web page, including
highlight just before
the suspicious code.
function showioclass(data)
alert( \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Click}\) ok to begin io calculation, * document. getElementById("outpat"). inner colon
3
function ealergclass(deta)
// Calculate the average iq
var average \(=0\);
for
average \(=0\), \(i<\) data. length \(i++\) ) (
average - Math datalil
average = Math. Eloor(average / data, length) ;
If return the classification of the average io
if (average \(~\)
This is the code \(\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { if (average e classification of the average } 10 \\ & \text { return people who should kill their tyg-, }\end{aligned}\) causing the proble

bine in
return
(average \(<70\) )
return "people who ahoul
else if (average < 81) \(\{\)
return "people who should consider brain excesses",

By analyzing the Firefox error message, Owen's figured out that Safari is actually right about the line number (25). Firefox highlights and mentions line 24 but correctly shows the code on line 25 . More importantly, Firefox explains exactly what is wrong with the code, which is a simple problem yet deceptively easy to overlook.

The if statement is missing parentheses around the test condition

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

Q:I can't figure out how to view the error console in my browser. How do I open it?

A:
Unfortunately, every browser is different, and some make it a challenge to find the lavaScript error console. For example. Satari on the Mac only allows access to the error console from the Debug menu, which is disabled by default. You have to issue the following command (write it on one line, with no carriage return) in the Terminal application to change the settings and enable the Debug menu:
defaults write com.apple.Safari
IncludeDebugMenu 1
Check the documentation for your specific browser to find out how to open the error console and view script errors. The error console in Firetox is opened by selecting Error Console from the Tools menu.
Q: What makes Firefox so special?
 capabilities. It simply outperforms other browsers when it comes to assessing script errors and pointing you in the right direction for finding them. This isn't to say some other browser may come along and do a better job at some point in the future, but Firefox has proven itself a very capable browser for debugging pages containing JavaScript code.
Q:
What error was Internet Explorer talking about?
\(A\) : There's not really any way to know for sure. The reason is because the error reported by Internet Explorer has to do with the script code not loading property, which is the result of an error encountered by the JavaScript interpreter. You know the code is not loading properly because the iqs variable is being reported as "undefined," even though the code clearly shows the iqs variable getting created. So the only way it can be a problem is if some other error is somehow preventing the script from fully loading.
And that begs the question... is there some other error in the script. and what does "undefined" really mean?

\section*{Debugging on easy street}

Owen is pretty excited about isolating the bug in the IQ calculator script so quickly. And with such a simple coding fix to make, he figures he'll be able to cruise along in this job and make BSI detective in no time.


Is there a chance Owen has gotten an early dose of overconlidence? He really needs to test the newly repaired script before taking the rest of the day off...


\section*{The bug report isn't always the bug source}

Unfortunately, Owen isn't finished with the IQ calculator case because Firefox is still complaining, except now it's a completely different problem. While he's tempted to stick with the same tactic of trusting Firefox's assessment implicitly, this time Owen has his doubts about the validity of the reported bug.

```
                                    BE the JawSeripe Inteppreter
                                    Your job is to play JavaScript interpreter and follow the trail of curly braces in
<html>
    <head>
    <title>BSI Case 1; IQ Calculator</title>
    <acript type="text/javascript">
        var iqs = [113,97,86,75,92, 105, 146,77,64, 114, 165,96,97,88,108 ];
        function showIQClass(data) I
            alert("Click OK to begin IQ calculation."):
            document.getElementById("output"), innerHTML, = "You are dealing with <em>" +
                calcIQClass(data) + "</em>.";
            I
            function calcIQClass(data)
            // Calculate the average If
            var average = 0;
            for (var i = 0; i < data.length; i++) (
            average += datali]:
            average = Math.floor(average / data.length);
            // Return the classification of the average IQ
            if (average < 20) i
                return "people who should kill their tvs";
            !
            lse if (avarage < 50) (
                return "people who should really hit the books";
            )
            1se if (average < 70) (
                return "people who should hit the books";
            |
            1se if (averag < 81) {
                return "people who should consider brain exeroises";
            )
            else if (average < 91) (
                return "people who could be considered dull";
            l
            else if (average < 111) (
                return "people of average intelligence";
            f
            lse if (average < 121) ;
                :etuzn "people of superiov inte11igence";
            el=
            lse if (average < 141) {
                return "people of very superior intelligence";
            else
            else (
                return "geniuses":
            1)
        </script>
    </head>
    <body onload="showIOclasa(iqa) ;">
        <img src="brain.png" alt="brain" />
        <br />
        <div id="output">Ready to calculate the average IQ.</div>
    </body>
    </html>

```


\section*{Variables gone willd undefined}

Owen can't seem to catch a break as the stream of IQ calculator bugs just keeps on flowing. Now Firefox is reporting that a variable is "not defined, which sounds sort of like the bogus error Internet Explorer reported early on. Except this time the undefined variable is named averag, not iqs.

Notice that the second error has now gone away. Sometimes fixing one bug will naturally resolve more than one error


Write down what you think "undefined" means in the context of the latest bug in Owen's investigation.
"Undefined" refers to a variable that has either not been created (using var) or that has been created but not yet assigned a value Either way, the problem is that the variable is being referenced even though it has no value

\section*{Sometimes it's the simple things}

In this case "undefined" definitely refers to a variable that has been used without having been created, although in this case it's by accident. The only reason the variable is undefined is because of a typo that results in the JavaScript interpreter thinking it is an entirely new variable.

\section*{Something as simple as a ty po can often wreak havoc on a script.}


\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

O:
Q: \(1 s\) there a difterence between "undefined" and "not defined"?

A:. No. They mean exactly the same thing it's just that some browsers use one term and some use the other. Consider the tvo terms to be entirely interchangeable.
Q:
so is there a difference between "undefined" and null?

A:: That one's a little trickier. Yes, on a very technical level there is a difference between 'undefined' and null. but not really enough to worry about. Unlike nu11, "undefined" is not a value that you should ever think about assigning to a variable. There is an undef ined data type that variables automatically assume when they have yet to be assigned a value. On the other hand, variables are never automatically set to null. However, it's sometimes a good idea to set object variables to null as an initialization step to make sure it is clear an object has not yet been created.

Nitty gritty technical details aside. the main thing to know about "undefined" and null is that they both convert to fal se when placed in a boolean context, such as the test condition of an if statement. That's why code such as if (someobject) is often used to check if an object has been created before attempting to access its members.

Q: I still don't quite understand how a typo somehow turned the average variable into undefined. How did that happen?
A: Even though a variable named average had already been created and initialized, JavaScript has no ability to make the connection between averag and average just because they have nearly the same name. The variable averag could just as easily be named shazbot or lederhosen for all JavaScript cares. Which is to say that JavaScript interprets
it as an entirely new variable. And since this new variable has yet to be assigned a value, it's a big problem trying to compare something to it in an If statement. It's like trying to write a movie review before you've decided what movie to watch.
Q: Are you kidding me? I make typo mistakes all the time in my word processor and it doesn't break everything. Why is JavaScript so sensitive?

A:: Take a deep breath and commit these four very important and insightful words to memory: GET USED TO IT. We're not writing scripts for people, ve're writing them for machines, and machines are anything but forgiving regardless of what language you're scripting in. Even one character in the wrong place can send a script over the edge. There is some flexibility in the whitespace surrounding JavaScript code, such as spaces and newlines, but the code itsell must be very exact.

\section*{Crunching the intelligence numbers}

With the typo bug under control, the IQ calculator script now works properly; calculating an average IQ from an array and then displaying the result as a text classification. Owen can close this case and bask in the glory of a job well done... but for how long?


\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Although most browsers provide an error console that provides information about JavaScript errors, they can't always be trusted as completely accurate.
- Even though browsers often yield sketchy error information, they do usually provide valuable clues about where to start looking for trouble.
- Curly braces around blocks of code are a common source of bugs-be careful to always match opening and closing braces,
- Simple typographical errors are easy to make but not necessarily easy to find-always check the naming of identifiers.

\section*{The case of the radio call-in bugs}


\section*{Opening up the investigation}

Before firing up the radio call-in page in a browser, Owen thinks it's worth taking a quick look at the code (available at hittp://www.hradfirstlabs.com/ books/hfis/) and getting a feel for how it is put together. Maybe something will jump out that is obviously wrong, or maybe he'll at least gain some understanding of how the code is supposed to work.


Call the checkWmer!? function when the Call button is clicked.

say what? syntax errors


\section*{A question of syntax validation (Bug \#1)}

With a general idea of how the code is supposed to work in mind, it's time to turn to Firefox and see what actually happens when the radio call-in script is run. Similar to other errors we've seen, Firefox immediately reports a syntax error, which is a coding error that violates the rules of the JavaScript language.


Syntax errors always result in a browser notification of some sort, assuming the browser is set to report errors. This gives us a very important jumpstart in tracking down errors.

\section*{Careful with those strings}

Firefox pinpointed a line of code with a string concatenation, which is a clue to analyze the line of code very carefully. The code calls the alert () function with several string literals concatenated with the caller and callNum variables.


Pairing up quotes is critical in JavaScript code.
Quotes must always appear in pairs, otherwise JavaScript wouldn't be able to tell when a string ends and another one begins. In the case of the radio call-in code, one of the string literals in the string concatenation is missing its trailing quote. This is definitely a syntax error since it confuses JavaScript about where the string ends.

To fix the bug, just add the missing quote to the end of the string:


\section*{Quotes, apostrophes, and consistency}

Missing quotes are only half the story when it comes to tracking down quote-related errors in strings. Since JavaScript and HTML equally support both quotes and apostrophes for enclosing strings (JavaScript) and attributes (HTML), it's critical to be consistent when mixing the two.


This approach to using quotes for HTML attributes and apostrophes enclose Java Script to enclose Javascript strings within an attribute for JavaScript strings within attributes works perfectly fine, and is a good idea. However, it is also possible in HTML to reverse the two, as this code reveals::
<input type- 'button' value- 'Call'

The modern XHTML web page standard doesn't allow apostrophes (to be used to enclose attributes.
 onclick='checkwinner(this.form, document.getElementById("caller"), value, 7)' />


Now apostrophes are used for the HTML attributes and quotes are used for the JavaScript strings.

The idea here is to stick with quotes for one type of code, and apostrophes for the other type. And since the modern version of HTML, XHTML, requires quotes around attributes, it makes sense to stick with quotes around attributes and apostrophes around the JavaScript strings inside attributes.

But a problem arises when you specifically need a quote or an apostrophe but you've already committed to one of them as the string enclosing character, or string boundary. Consider the following code:


What happens when you specifically need a quote or an apostrophe character in a string that is enclosed using the same kind of character?

\section*{When a quote isn't a quote, use escape characters}

A common bug involves using a quote or apostrophe as a character within a string but having it interpreted as a string boundary. So the alert code we just saw is a syntax error because the JavaScript interpreter can't figure out which apostrophes are boundaries and which are real apostrophes. Fortunately, there is an easy way to declare that a character is a "real" character. It's called an escape character, and it involves placing a backslash ( \(\mathbf{~}\) ) in front of the character to be used literally:
```

alert('It\'s so exciting!');

```

Now the apostrophe has been escaped, and JavaScript knows without a doubt that we really want an apostrophe character in the string, as opposed to declaring the end of the string. Of course, we couldive dodged the escape by changing the string boundary to quotes.


That works fine, but what about this code:
alert ("They said, "you've won!"");

The string contains literal quotes and a literal apostrophe, so escape is the only option available. In such a scenario, it's usually safer to escape all of the literal characters, even though the apostrophe could get by without it.


> Escape characters are used to specify literal characters in strings.

Fix the quotes and apostrophes in the following code snippets, using escape characters whenever possible.
```

var message - 'Hey, she's the winner!';

```
var response \(=\) "She said, "I can't believe I won.""
<input type="button" value="Winner" onclick="givePrize("Ruby");" />

Fix the quotes and apostrophes in the following code snippets, using escape characters whenever possible.

The
var message \(=\) 'Hey, she's the winner!';
var message = 'Hey, shel's the winnerl'
apostrophe doesn't have to be escaped since it appears within a string surrounding by quotes.
var response \(=\) "She said, "I can't belleve I won."" .rar response = "She said Lul can!'t believel won wa <input type="button" value="Winner" onclick="givePrize("Ruby");" /> <input type ="button" value \(=\) "Winner" onclick \(=\) "givePrize (Ruby")"," \(/>\)

Escape characters won't work in this ease since this is a JavaScript string inside an HTML attribute.
Mixing quotes and apostrophes solves the problem.

\section*{Undefined isn't just for variables (Bug \#2)}

One bug is taken care of but Owen knows his work is not finished. The radio call-in script now starts up fine with no errors, but a click of the Call button to enter a caller is all it takes to reveal another problem. And this one seems to have something to do with the checkWinner () function.


\section*{The usual suspects: the checklist}

With some debugging experience under his belt, Owen decides to run through his newly constructed checklist of common JavaScript errors.

\(\square\) Unmatched or missing curly braces.
\(\square\) Unmatched or missing parentheses.Misnamed identifier from typo.
\(\square\) Quote or apostrophe misuse.
\(\square\) Some entirely new kind of problem.


\section*{Everyone's a winner (Bug \#3)}

With the pesky "undefined" typo bug taken care of, the radio call-in script is still triggering errors. The good news is that the browser is no longer reporting any problems. But the bad news is that every caller is now a winner-the script is even declaring them the correct caller number even when they aren't. That's a lot of prizes to give away if Owen doesn't come up with a fix!


\section*{Alert box debugging}

We know that the test for the winning number takes place by comparing the call Num variable to the winningNum argument to checkWinner() function. But this code appears to be OK... we really need a way to look a little closer at what's going on with the call Num variable.


\section*{Alert boxes can serve as a debug watch window.}

As it turns out, alert boxes aren't just for displaying pop-up information to end users. They can also be useful purely on the development side of JavaScript code as temporary watch windows for looking at variables. Not only that, but alerts can be used to make sure a certain section of code is getting called as expected. In this case, we need to use an alert simply for keeping an eye on the calINum variable.


\section*{Watching variables with alert}

A watch is a debugging term that refers to constantly watching a variable as a program runs. An alert provides a primitive watch that isn't exactly a constant view of a variable but can still
be very helpful. An alert can be used as a watch anywhere in JavaScript code where a variable is in question.
```

alert (cal1Num);
If (callMum = vinningliun) (M, " , cal1Num + "... today\'
slert foll
wifnet (");
form.zutmit():

```

Owen realizes that the radio call-in script is somehow seeing cal 11 Num and winningNum as equal, even though callNum is showing up as NaN

Something isn't right. callNum should be set to the
 just before the if statement. While it's already confusing that callNum is coming up as NaN , he decides to move the alert just inside the if statement to see if anything changes.

 figured it out.

The callinum variable is now showing up set to 7 just after the if test conditional.

With the alert watch confirming that callNum is somehow miraculously getting set to 7 within a single line of code, what do you think is the cause of the bug? What has Owen figured out?

Head First: I have to admit, Ive heard mixed things about you. People say you can be really annoying. Yet I hear you could very well be a debugger's best friend. Can you enlighten us on who the real alert is?

Alert: Those first people are crazy: I'm a wonderful guy. I'm also pretty simple you give me some information to display, and boom, I pop up and display it. That's it. Where's the harm in that?

Head First: I guess it's the "pop-up" part. Pop-ups have gotten a bad rep as of late with all the ridiculous ads that sometimes pop up everywhere.

Alert: Oh, I gotcha. Yeah, I can see where that could get really annoying. But you can't go around blaming a hammer for a dimwitted carpenter who doesn't know how to use it. See what I mean?

Head First: So you're saying that any bad things I've heard about you have to do with you being misused?

Alert: There you go, Like I said, I just do as I'm told. You tell me to pop up a whole bunch of times with silly ads, I'll do it. I'm not saying I'll like it, but I don't really have a choice in the matter. Hey, I thought you were going to ask me about my contribution to the world of debugging.

Head First: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I have heard some really good things about how you help JavaScript developers track down bugk in their code. How do you do it?
Alert: It's pretty simple, really: Let's say there's a variable that has gone haywire, getting set to some value that makes no sense. The programmer is freaking out, overcaffeinated, you know what I mean, and desperately needs a way to take a peek at the variable at different places in the script to sec how it's getting changed. So she asks me to pop up and show the variable.
Head First: But how are you able to show the variable change value at different points in a script? That sounds difficult.

Alert: Not at all. All you do is call me multiple times, with each call at a different point in the script.

Head First: I see. Have you ever rum into any problems when helping out as a debugging tool.
Alert: Well, I have to admit that I'm not so good at popping up debugging information when there is a piece of code getting run a bunch of times, like in a loop.

Head First: Why is that?
Alert: Well, remember that I am a pop-up window, so I have to be clicked to go away. If I'm popping up a bunch of times, that's a whole lot of clicks.
Head First: That makes sense. I also hear that you can be handy even when there isn't data to be looked at.
Alert: Oh yes. There are plenty of situations where it isn't quite clear if or when a piece of code is getting called. A quick call to me within the code will let you know if the code is really getting called. I become somewhat of an alarm just to let you know if code is called.

Head First: In all of these debugging scenarios, are you telling me you are just temporary?
Alert: Oh, absolutely: And I don't mind. It's not like I don't have my permanent role as well-I just do the debugging thing on the side as a little public service.

Head First: Well, I appreciate you taking the time to explain your role in bug detection. I look forward to seeing you around.


\section*{Bad logic is legal but buggy}

Owen has honed in on a logic error, an error that is perfectly legal according to the rules of JavaScript but entirely wrong in terms of what it is intended to do. In this case, \(=\) is used instead of \(==\), which means winningNum is getting assigned to callNum instead of being compared to it. Subtle? Yes. But still highly problematic.

The code that "looked" OK carlier turned out to have a subtle bug that was difficult to spot )


The real problem with this error is that it doesn't trip up the browser and generate an error like the syntax errors. The JavaScript interpreter didn't complain because an assignment "returns" the value being assigned, in this case winningNum, which is then automatically converted to true (non-zero) in the if test condition. In other words, the code is perfectly legal even though it didn't do what we wanted it to.


\section*{Logic errors ilike to fiy beiow the radar.}

What makes logic errors such a pain to deal with is that they often don't reveal themselves the way that syntax errors do. Although a script error in a browser may seem a bit deflating, it's really a blessing in disguise because it's a bug that has been detected for you. Logic errors don't violate any JavaScript syntax rules, so they are often tougher to detect.

On the other hand, logic errors do sometimes result in a script error while a script is running, For example, if a logic error results in a variable not getting initialized, an "undefined" crror will show up when the seript tries to reference the variable. So sometimes a logic error will spare you the suffering of an exhausting bug hunt.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- Syntax errors involve code that violates JavaScript language rules, and are usually caught by the JavaScript interpreter.
- Strings must be carefully enclosed within matching quotes or apostrophes.
- Quotes and apostrophes should be mixed (but still in matching pairs) in HTML event handler attributes that contain JavaScript code.
- Alert boxes offer a primitive but useful option for watching variables throughout a script.
- It's a common error to accidentally code a lest condition with = when you really mean -

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}

O:
Are escape characters only used for escaping quotes and apostrophes?
A: No, there are several escape characters supported by JavaScript. For example, you can use \(\backslash t\) to insert a tab into a string. Similarly, a newline is represented by the \(\backslash n\) escape character. And a literal backslash must also be escaped with \(\backslash \backslash\).

One place where escape characters can be used effectively is in formatting the text displayed in an alert box. You can use \(\backslash t\) and \(\backslash \mathrm{n}\) to align text using tabs and control how it flows onto new lines.

\section*{Q: \\ What's the deal with the limitation} on escape characters in HTML attributes?

A:H: The limitation has to do with the fact that HTML attributes aren't subject to the rules of JavaScript, at least not when it comes to the characters used to bound an attribute value. So while it's fine to escape a character within a JavaScript string that is enclosed in an HTML attribute, it can't be the same character used to enclose the attribute.

If this is still confusing, think about it like this. HTML sees an attribute simply as a value that must appear between quotes or apostrophes. Nothing more. So whichever boundary character you use to start the
attribute. HTML assumes the next one it encounters is its matching partner that closes the attribute value. This happens because HTML doesn't process the attribute value for JavaScript escape characters.

Escape characters do still work within an HTML attribute, provided they don't conflict vith the character used to enclose the attribute. This is because the attribute value does eventually get interpreted as JavaScript code, assuming ve're talking about an event handler attribute.


Q:: Aren't there fancier debuggers for JavaScript that provide detailed control over the debugging process?

A:: Yes, there are a few out there. And it's not a bad idea at all to investigate them further and consider trying one out. However, understand that good coding habits coupled with the debugging techniques explored in this chapter will go a long way toward helping you create error-free scripts.

Q: What exactly is happening when JavaScript code tries to reference an undefined variable or function?

A:: Remember that an undefined variable is a variable that has either not been created or that has been created but not set to anything. In both cases the value stored in the variable is unknown, or more specifically. it is undefined. So attempting to read that value and do something meaningtul with it makes no sense, which is why JavaScript generates an error.

A similar situation occurs with functions when a function is called but the JavaScript interpreter can't find a function by that name. The function is undefined, which means that calling it makes no sense-there's nothing to vall. Again, Javascript conniders it an ernor because there is no way to meaningtully execute the code.

Q: What's the deal with the callNum variable turning up as NaN before the if test condition in the radio call-in script?
\(A\) : We still don't know. Although it does tell us that something is still amiss in the script code. So it's important to keep sleuthing for more bugs...

\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: Syntax error and Logic error share their love}

\section*{of poor scripting}

\section*{Syntax error:}

Hey, I've heard of you. I hear you're pretty sneaky: But what I'm wondering is if you enjoy a really badly written script as much as 1 do?

I disagree. I like scripts that are a trainwreck right there in plain view. That's where I excel. You sprinkle a few of me throughout a script, and it's guaranteed to make a browser squeal in pain.

I can appreciate your twisted way of seeing things, but the problem is that you still allow a script to run. That's no good to me. I like to stop it dead in its tracks.

It would, but we're unfortunately pretty limited in terms of how much damage we can do. Sure, it's fun to screw up a page and keep it from working right, but I hate that we can't get access to anything else. Boy, what fun I could have with a hard drive full of important data!

\section*{Logic error:}

Oh yeah. There's nothing better than a script that looks fine on the surface, but just barely out of sight are all kinds of strange problems.

But what fun is that? Everybody knows a sneak attack is much more effective. You know, lull them into thinking everything is OK, and then slowly start revealing little problems here and there. If you do a good enough job, they'll be questioning whether or not their browser is even working right.

You've got a point there. It's a shame I haven't figured out a good way to reveal myself by stopping a script like you do. Or even better, crash the whole browser in a big puff of smoke. That would be cool!

Oh man, that would be incredible. You sure there isn't an angle for us to get in there?

\section*{Syntax error:}

Nah, the JavaScript interpreter has us locked down pretty tight.

No, how does it work?

How do you get away with that?

I have a good one sorta like that where people forget to end each JavaScript statement with a semicolon. It's great because the interpreter will let it slide if the statements are on lines by themselves. But eventually some cocky programmer will try to "optimize" the code and combine the statements onto a single line, and that's when I show up. That one never gets old-I always get a good laugh!

I love it because if the interpreter does notice, then 1 get to spring into action and shock them with an error. Hey. I'm starting to realize we should think about teaming up-I think we could do more damage that way.

\section*{Logic error:}

Well, there's still plenty of fun to be had. Did I tell you about my little trick with \(=\) and \(==\) ?

It's great. The programmer means to type \(==\) to compare two values, but they accidentally type \(=\) and it does an assignment instead. It's hilarious because they'll go hours without seeing the problem. And the JavaScript interpreter is none the wiser because the code is still technically legit.

Oh, I have loads of them. It's a fine line I walk being just inside the law but still able to stir up trouble.

Hey, that reminds me of one more I have to share. I love it when people decide to change the arguments to a function after they've written the function. It never fails-they'll forget to change all of the calls to the function, which all are supposed to be updated to match the new arguments. If all gocs well, the interpreter won't notice and they'll get unexpected results from the bad arguments.

\footnotetext{
I agrec. Let's get started.
}

I'm right behind you!

\section*{Everyone's a loser! (Bug \#4)}

Owen is starting to realize that this debugging stuff is not as easy as he once thought. With the if statement logic error fixed, now the script never declares a winner. So we went from everyone being a winner to everyone now being a loser. Some people's self-esteem will definitely be affected by this bug if Owen doesn't get it fixed quickly:


\section*{Overwhelmed by annoying alerts}

Owen attempts to use alerts to put a watch on the cal INum variable and try to figure out what's going on. However, he runs into a problem in that it gets tedious having to wade through so many alert boxes en route to the seventh caller. He's tried using several alerts in different parts of the code, but he's just getting overwhelmed with so many less than helpful pop-up


\section*{Browser debugging consoles can help}

Most browsers have a debugging console, really an error console, that is responsible for displaying errors that occur in a script. Error consoles are very useful for finding out when something goes wrong in a script, and in many cases helping to diagnose exactly what went wrong. Firefox in particular has proven to have an excellent error console.


\section*{Build a custom console for debugging}

The idea of a custom debug console might sound intimidating at first, but all it really has to do is display text when asked. The key is that the console must display the debug information directly on the page, not in an alert box. A separate pop-up window could be used as long as it doesn't require the user to click OK, but it's simpler and just as effective to show the debug messages directly on the page.



\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The code for the debugging console is missing a few pieces. Fill in the blanks with the code magnets to finish building the DebugConsole object.


\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The code for the debugging console is missing a few pieces. Fill in the blanks with the code magnets to finish building the DebugConsole object.


\section*{Debug your debugger}

Owen can't wait to put the new debug console through its paces to find out what's still wrong with the radio call-in script. So he imports the debug. js file into the page and creates the DebugConsole object in the head of the page.
```

<script type="text/javascript">
    // Debug console global variable
    var console = new DebugConsole();
                    N
```

This code creates the
DebugConsole object as a global variable in the head of the page

Unfortunately, things don't go as planned. When he first attempts to use the debug console, Owen learns that he has compounded his problems by introducing an entirely new bug of his own into the new debug console.


The line of code that generates the error is just trying to append a child node (div) to the body of the document, which shouldn't be a problem.
document body, appendChild(console);
Something else is amiss, although it definitely appears to have something to do with the new DebugConsole object.
brain
POWER
What could possibly be wrong with this code to cause the document body to somehow end up empty?

\section*{Waiting on the page}

The problem with the debug console has to do with the timing of how a page loads and when seript code has access to the body of the page.

The head of the page is loaded before the body, so none of the body content is available at this point.


Since the head of a page is loaded before the body, any script code that runs directly in the head of the page must be careful not to attempt to access any HTML elements that are in the body of the page. This may seem like a strange restriction, but it makes sense when you consider that not all that much code is typically run in the head of the page.



\section*{The peskiest errors of all: runtime}

The unloaded document body problem is an example of a runtime error - an error that only shows its face in certain conditions while a script is actually running. Sometimes runtime errors only surface under very specific circumstances, such as a certain type of user input or a certain number of loop iterations taking place. Runtime errors are often the toughest errors of all to find because they are so difficult to predict. Sometimes it's a challenge just reproducing a runtime error when someone else encounters it.

> Runtime errors occur only because of specific conditions that take place while a script is running.


\section*{The JavaScript bug trifecta}

Along with runtime errors, two other errors we saw earlier round out the JavaScript bug trifecta: syntax errors, logic errors, and runtime errors. Any of these kinds of errors are capable of manifesting themselves in any script, often at the same time! Understanding their differences is an important part of being able to successfully find and eradicate them.

\section*{Syntax error}


Write down the type of error for each of the following error descriptions.

\section*{Exercise}

Missing parentheses around the test condition of an if statement.
Forgetting to initialize a counter variable to 0 .
Creating a loop that loops beyond the last element in an array.
\(\qquad\)

Forgetting to close a function with a closing curly brace.


\section*{When watching isn't enough}

Sometimes watching a variable creates more questions than answers. Why is call Num not a number? Why is it not getting incremented? What's the point of this debug console if it only confirms what you already know...that there's a problem. So how do we go about finding out specifically what it is?


\section*{Comments as temporary code disablers}

Hiding executable code inside comments is an extremely handy way to disable the code while debugging. This allows code to be selectively pulled out of the execution of a script without actually deleting the code. Think of commenting out code as a means of subtracting lines or chunks of code as needed to help isolate a bug,


\section*{Problem solved....sort of}

By switching to single-line comments, it becomes possible to be more selective about the code that is disabled. If the line of code that increments the callNum variable is added back, the ca 11 Num variable starts working as it should. So one of the remaining lines of disabled code is causing the problem.


Write down what's wrong with the callNum bug in the debug console, along with how to fix it

Another variable named call Num is accidentally created as a loaal variable .using var. inside the checkWimer() function So the call Num local variable "hides" the callNum global variable, creating a a subtle problem to detect Since the loal variable inn't intialzed inerementing it and then comparing it to the winning number rewults in "not a number". The fix is to just remove the lime inside the function that ereates the loal callN Nom yariable vith yar

Killing the live of cope that creates the local variable obliterates Bug *4.
```
// Increment the call number
Har enl1NHum,
++callNum;
By removing the line of code that
accidentally creates a local variable named
callNum, the function uses the global
                                    callNum variable, as originally intended.
```

\section*{The dangers of shadowy variables}

The callNum bug in the radio call-in script is an example of a shadow variable, which is a variable that accidentally hides another variable with the same name. The problem arises when a local variable is created with the same name as a global variable. JavaScript creates the local variable and gives it precedence in the local block of code. So any changes made to the local variable do not carry over to the global variable - the local variable effectively casts a shadow over the global variable, temporarily hiding it from the script.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

Q: When commenting out code to track down bugs, how do I know how much code to disable?

\section*{A:}
exp a adgment call hat youll get better at as you get more experienced with JavaScript debugging. However, it's never wrong to err on the side of disabling most, if not all of the code near a problematic area of a script. And if a really nasty problem arises, don't hesitate to disable all of the script code on a page. And also don't forget to temporarily remove any import tags that pull external code into the page.

There is another approach that can work if you've already isolated a bug to a particular section of script code. This approach involves disabling a line of code at a time until the bug goes away. So instead of disabling everything and slowly enabling code until the bug
appears, you slowly disable the code a line at a time until the bug disappears. The former approach works better if you're clueless about where a bug is located, while the latter approach works better if you've isolated the bug's location to some degree.
Q: What if I intend to create a shadow variable? Is it OK then? \(A\) : That's like asking if you intend to break your leg, is it OK? And the answer is no. Just because you deliberately bring pain and suffering onto yourself doesn't somehow make it acceptable. Besides, there's plenty of hurt to go around in debugging code that is intended to work perfectly, so you shouldn't be gunning to up the risk factor deliberately. So the real answer to the question is that shadow variables introduce such contusion and sneakiness in JavaScript code that they should be avoided in all situations.

\section*{Case closed!}

With a healthy dose of patience and some help from his new debugging skills., Owen closes the case and bags a promotion to JavaScript Detective at Bug Scene Investigators.




\section*{JavaScriptcross}

Before you turn your newfound respect of bugs into an ant farm purchase, try your hand at this puzzle.


Across
2. Use this to quickly take a peek at a variable. 4. Use apostrophes mixed with these when placing JavaScript strings in HTML attributes.
7. The special window browsers use to display errors.
10. An error that violates JavaScript language rules.
12. An error that gives the wrong result despite being perfectly legal in JavaScript.
13. A variable that hasn't been assigned a value is
14. The custom object Owen created to battle bugs.

\section*{Down}
1. Use these to temporarily disable code.
3. Make one of these on a variable name and there will be problems.
5. The current Web browser of choice for JavaScript debugging.
6. Miss one of these surrounding a block of code and you'll have trouble.
8. The number of bug fragments allowed in a chocolate bar. 9. An error that only reveals itself when a script is running.
11. Use this to code special characters in strings.

\section*{Javascriptcross Solution}


\section*{12 dynamic data}


The modern \(\mathbf{\text { viveb }}\) is a very responsive piace where pages are expected to react to the user's every whim. Or at least that's the dream of many web users and developers. JavaScript plays a vital role in this dream through a programming technique known as Ajax that provides a mechanism for dramatically changing the "feel" of web pages. With Ajax, web pages act much more like full-blown applications since they are able to quickly load and save data dynamically while responding to the user in real time without any page refreshes or browser trickery.

\section*{Yearning for dynamic dała}

Remember Ruby, cube puzzle afficionado and blogger? Ruby loves her JavaScript-powered YouCube blog but she is frustrated with having to edit the HTML file for the entire page just to add new entries. She'd like to be able to somehow separate the blog entries from the HTML code that describes the blog page, frecing her up to focus on the blog content itself.

> Adding new hlog entries to YouCube shouldn't require editing the web page.


Ruby's files for the data-

With the help of JavaScript, raw blog data is dynamically merged with HTML code to generate a final YouCube page that looks identical to the original. But this data-driven page is assembled from separate parts: the structural page and the blog data. With the blog data broken out into its own file, Ruby is free to manipulate the blog content separate from the HTML, CSS, and JavaScript code for the web page.


driven pages are available at http://wwwheadfirstlabs

Ruby is onto something. A version of her blog that separates blog content from web page structure involves dynamic data, data that is fed into a page dynamically as the page is processed by the browser. Web pages built out of dynamic data are known as data-driven pages because the page really just defines a structure that gets filled out by the data. In other
words, the data is in charge of the page's content.

JavaScript is responsible for processing the blog data and blending it into a final HTML Web page.

The web page contains HTML code for web page structure plus \(\qquad\) avaseript code for incorporating dynamic blog data into the page.


\section*{A data-driven YouCube}


\section*{Ajax is all about communication}

Ajax makes dynamic data possible by allowing for tiny "conversations" between the client web browser and web server. More specifically, a script is able to ask the server for some data, like a collection of blog entries, and the server delivers it using Ajax. The script then takes the blog data and dynamically incorporates it into the page.

\section*{Ajax allows a web page to dynamically receive data from a web server.}


What does "XML" mean in the context of blog data? How do you think it helps with dynamic data?

\section*{An HTML for everything: XML}

The "ML" in HTML stands for markup language, and it refers to the fact that HTML, uses tags and attributes to create hypertext (the "HT"). Just as HTML is used to create hypertext web pages, XML is another markup language that is used to create, well, anything you want. That's what the " X " means - anything! The idea is that there are all kinds of data that could benefit from being stored as tags and attributes. So why not extend the reach of markup languages to solve other data problems?

\section*{XML is a markup language used to format any kind of data.}
 application of XML to spell out the specifics of the tags and attributes that represent the specific data.

\section*{XML lets you tag YOUR data YOUR way}

The real beauty of XML is that it can turn anyone into a custom tagmaker by using a little tag and attribute alchemy to cook up an entirely custom markup language for any purpose. There are certainly lots of existing XML languages that have already been created to solve lots of different problems, and it's not a bad idea to use one of those if it happens to fit your needs. But creating a custom markup language of your very own is a tough temptation to resist.

Similar to HTML code, this XML code consists of a hierarchy of elements.


Even though you've never seen this example XML markup language, which is entirely custom, the descriptive tags make it possible to decipher the data. Even more importantly, the tags are very specific to the data being stored-it just makes sense to use a tag named <director> when storing the director of a movie!


\section*{XML is just text}

Similar to HTML, XMIL data is just text, which means it is stored in a normal plain text file. However, XML files are named with a .xml file extension, as opposed to the .html or .htm extensions used in HTML files.

\section*{XML + HTML = XHTML}

They may have different file extensions but XML and HTML have a very important connection, and it's called XHTML. XHTML is a modern version of HTML, that follows the stricter rules of XMI. For example. every starting tag in an XHTML web page must have a closing tag. HTML plays fast and loose with its syntax, meaning that you can get away without pairing up tags such as \(\langle\mathrm{p}\rangle\) and </p>. XHTML isn't so forgiving, and requires such tags to always appear as matched pairs.

\section*{XHTML is a version of HTML that adheres to the more rigid syntax rules of XML.}

\section*{HTML}

This is a paragraph of text in HTML. <p>
 the start or end of a paragraph.

\section*{XHTML}
sp>This is a paragraph of text in XHTML. \(K / \mathrm{P}>\) Tags containing content must always
appear as matched pairs in XHTML.

Another important difference between HTML and XHTML involves empty tags, such as \(\langle\mathrm{br}\rangle\), which must be coded with a space and then a
forward slash at the end to indicate that there is no closing tag.

\section*{HTML}


\section*{XHTML}

This is just a sentence. <br \(\beta>\)
A space and forward slash are
A space and forward slash are
required in all empty tags in XHTML

One more important distinction between HTML and XHTML is that XHTML requires all attribute values to be enclosed in quotes.

HTML


\section*{XHTML}


All XHTML attribute values must appear within quotes.

Although XHTML doesn't direetly factor into Ruby's immediate needs in terms of modeling blog data in XML, it does illuminate some of the most important syntax rules of XML, which apply to all XML-based languages, including Ruby's custom blog data language.

\section*{Fireside Chats}


\section*{Tonight's talk: HTML and XML drop the dime on web data}

The latest version of HTML has been reformulated using XML, and is called XHTML

You know, you've really made things confusing for me . Here 1 am the backbone of the Web, and now many people are confused about me thanks to you.

But you're still no good without me because browsers only display HTML code. They don't even know what to make of you.

How is that possible? Who cares about data with no appearance?

All that stuff can be seen thanks to me-it's all right there on the Web.

\section*{XML:}

It's not my fault that you have tunnel vision, always thinking about web pages. I broadened my mind, and in doing so I can represent any kind of data.

Hey, I'm a mysterious fella. The truth is I'm a man without a face-all substance and no appearance I need you when it comes time to reveal myself.

Wow, you really don't get out much, do you? The rest of the world operates on data that can't be seen the majority of the time. Bank transactions, political polls, weather conditions, you name it.

That's true, but how do you think it gets stored before it makes it io a web browser? Not as paragraphs and tables, I can tell you that. It often gets stored using me because I provide lots of structure and context - 1 make data easy to process.

Absolutely! I have no concept of what data looks like. Instead, I focus on what it means. As long as people keep using web browsers, I'll continue to need your help displaying the data I represent.

That's a huge relief!

\section*{XML and the YouCube blog data}

XHTML is a great application of XML that is rapidly improving the structure and reliability of web pages. With respect to the YouCube blog, however, Ruby needs a custom XML language that models her specific blog data. This requires assessing the different data required of the blog, and considering how it might fit into the context of hierarchical XML tags.


Invent your own XML language for storing a blog, and use the language to code a blog entry. Items such as title, date, author, and the entry itself should be considered.

The entire blog blog?
is contained stitle> YouCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers</title>

<blog> tag.

The collection of blog entries is stored within the <entries>
tag.

> Dumb Questions

Q: Why not just store blog data as regular unformatted text? A:
: You could but then it would put a huge burden on the script code to sift through the data and try to break it apart into separate blog entries with their own dates and bodies. XML adds a predictable structure to data so that you can easily distinguish between separate fields of data, such as uniquive blog emtries with their owin dates aind bodies, not to mention the title and author of the blog itself.

0 blog data?
A:
: It isn't strictly necessary but it does make the data format more structured and easier to understand. For example, without the <entries>tag in the previous blog data, it would be impossible to tell that the blog format is capable of supporting multiple <entry> tags but only one <title> and <author>tag. The <entries> tag implies that there is a collection of multiple blog entries, which gives the data more structure and makes it more. obvious how the data should be used.

: Ajax was once taken to be an acronym for Asynchronous JavaScript And XML, so XML was at one point directly tied to Ajax. That acronym is now considered passe, as the role of Ajax has widened to not always require XML as part of the equation. But the reality is that xat still formis the basis of most Ajaza applicationis because it provides such a great mechanism for modeling data.

As we find out later in the chapter, there is a connection between Ajax and XML in the way that JavaScript supports Ajax. JavaScript doesn't lock you into using XML as a data format for carrying out Ajax requests and responses, but it does make them much easier when handling all but the most trivial of data. So although Ajax purists may claim that XML and Ajax have no real connection to one another, in practical terms they usually go hand in hand. The old acronym still rings true most of the time even if it has fallen out of favor. We'll explore the "asynchronous" part of the acronym a bit later.


\section*{Injecting YouCube with Ajax}

With a shiny new XMIL blog document in hand, Ruby is ready to dynamically load it into the YouCube page with the help of Ajax.


The server receives the request and gets to work creating a response.


The Request
Ajax revolves around the concept of requests and responses as the means of carrying out the communication of data between the client browser and the server.



\section*{JavaScript to the Ajax rescue: XMLHttpRequest}

JavaScript includes a built-in object called XMLHt \(t\) pRequest that is used to initiate Ajax requests and handle Ajax responses. This object is fairly complex, containing several different methods and properties that work together to support Ajax.


\section*{XMLHttpRequest is pretty complex}

The XMLHt Request is incredibly powerful and also surprisingly flexible. But with that power and flexibility comes complexity, meaning that even the most basic of Ajax requests requires a fair amount of JavaScript code. This is thanks in part to browser inconsistencies, but it also doesn't help that the different options available for fine-tuning the object's behavior can be confusing when all you really need to do is quickly move some data dynamically.
As an example, consider that the following code is necessary just to create an XMLHttpRequest object that will work across a variety of browsers:

> The XMLHttpRequest object is powerful but also somewhat of a pain to use.
```
Var request = null;
if (window.XMLHttpRequest) (
    try f
        request = new XMLHttpRequest ();
        | catch(e) ;
        request = null;
    f
// Now try the Activex (IE) version
| else if (window. ActivexObject) (
    try 1
        request - new ActiveXObject("Msxml2.xMLHTTP");
    // Try the older ActiveX object for older versions of IE 
    | catch(e) &
        #try I
            request = new ActiveXObject ("Microsoft.XML.HTTP");
        | catch(e) {
        request = null;
        l
            The try-catch statement is an advanced JavaScript
            error-handling mechanism that allows a script to
                gracefully deal with runtime errors
```


The code has to try a few different approaches to creating the XMLHttpRequest object because some browsers (IE) support it differently.

differences.

> Geek Bits
> The problem with creating an XMLHt tpRequest object is that browsers must provide their own must provide their own
implementations of the object. The good news is that the methods and properties are consistent across all browsers-it's across all browsers-it's
the object creation that has to factor in browser

After the XMLHt tpRcquent object is created, it's time to set the request
handler function and then open the request.
request. onreadystatechange \(=\) handler ; This is the custom function that is called when the server responds to the request.
request.open(type, url, true); // always asynchronous (true)

> Opening the request gets it ready to be sent, and also determines what kind of request it is (GET or POST).

\footnotetext{
When opening a request, you must specify the type ("GET" or "POST"), as well as the server URL and whether or not the request is asynchronous.
An asynchronous request takes place in the background without
making a script wait, so pretty much all Ajax requests are asynchronous.
}

\section*{Of gets and posts}

The type of an Ajax request is very important, and reflects not only what is being sent to the server, but also the intent of the request. One type of request, also known as a request method, is GET, which is used primarily to retrieve data from the server without affecting anything on the server. The other type of request, POST, typically involves sending data to the server, after which the state of the server usually changes somehow in response to the data that was sent.

The two types of requests used with \(A_{j}\) ax are GET and POST, the same ones used when submitting HTML forms.

\section*{GET}

Used for data retrieval that doesn't change anything on the server. Small amounts of data can still be sent to the server in the URL if necessary. GET is perfect for retrieving the blog data from an XML file on the server.

\section*{POST}

Used to send data to the server that somehow causes a change in the state of the server, such as saving data to a database. Data can still be returned in a response. POST is ideal for a task such as dynamically adding a new blog entry to the blog using a web form.


\section*{Get or Post? A request with XMLHttpRequest}

After deciding on a request type and specifying it when opening the request, it's finally time to send the request to the server for processing. The specific code to submit a request varies according to whether the request is a GET or a POST.


\section*{Make XMLHttpRequest less painful}

Although the XMLHttpRequest object is incredibly powerful, it comes with a fairly steep learning curve, as you no doubt already realize. Not only that, but it requires a certain amount of "boilerplate" code that has to go into every Ajax application that uses it. For this reason, lots of third party libraries have been created to make it easier to use the XMLHttpRequest object. Many of these libraries extend the features of JavaScript, which is great but requires even more learning,

For this reason, a helpful strategy for YouCube is to create a minimal custom object that serves as a convenient assistant to XMLHt tpRequest allowing us to focus purely on doing things with Ajax, as opposed to wrestling with the XMLHt tpRequest object or mastering some third party library. This custom object, AjaxRequest, takes a minimalist approach to making the XMLHt tpRequest object more usable.

In addition to the send () method, which we delve into in a moment, the constructor for AjaxRequest is where Ajax is dramatically simplified as compared to using the XMLHt tpRequest object alone. This is all it takes to create an AjaxRequest object that is capable of initiating Ajax requests in any modern browser:

The constructor for AjaxRequest automatically


\section*{The custom}

AjaxRequest object eases the pain of making \(A_{j a x}\) requests.


\section*{JavaScript Magnets}

The custom AJ axRequest object wraps up the standard XMLHt tpRequest object, providing a much simpler intertace tor sending Ajax requests and handling their responses. Problem is, the send () method of the AjaxRequest object is missing a few key pieces of code. Use the magnets to finish the code for the method.
```
AjaxRequest.prototype,send = function(type, url, hander, postDatalype, postbata)
    If (this.request != null)
        // Kill the previous reques
        this,request.abort ();
        // Tack on a dummy parameter to override browser caching
        ur1 += "2dummy=" + new Date(),getTime() %
        txy 1
            th1s,request.onreadystatechange = ........................*
            ths,request.open( , true); // always asynchronous (true)
            If (type.toLowerCase() == "get") ।
            // Send a GET request: no data involved
            this.request, send (................);
            | else |
                // Send a post request; the last argument is data
                    this.request.setRequestHeader("Content-Type",
```
\(\qquad\)
```
                this, request.send/.
```
\(\qquad\)
```
            l
            catch(e) 
            alelt("Ajax error communicating with the server.)n" + "Details: - + el;
            j
    l
    I
```
handler


\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

The custom AjaxRequest object wraps up the standard XMLHt tpRequest object, providing a much simpler interface for sending Ajax requests and handling their responses, Problem is, the send () method of the AjaxRequest object is missing a few key pieces of code. Use the magnets to finish the code for the method.


\section*{Making sense of an Ajax request}

The custom AjaxRequest object consists of a constructor and several methods, one of which is particularly useful. The send () method is used to prepare and issue an Ajax request to a server in a single call. All Ajax requests issued using send () are either GET or POST requests, which correspond to HTML form submission requests. The difference is that an Ajax request doesn't require a complete reload of a page.
send(type, url, handler, postDataType, postData)

\section*{type}

The type of the request, GET or POST,

\section*{url}

The URL of the server (blog. xml in the case of YouCube). Data can be packaged into this URL if necessary.

\section*{postDataType}

The type of data being sent (only for POSTs, not required for GETs).


The callback function used to handle the response.

\section*{postData}

The data to be sent (only for POSTs, not required for GETs, POST data can be submitted in several different formats.

All Ajax requests involve these same pieces of information, although GET requests skip the last two arguments, which are optional. So the first three arguments to send () are the most important, and are sufficient for most simple Ajax requests. As an example, the following call to send () uses the first three arguments to request (GET) XML data from a file named movies xmi on the server:


\section*{request \\ The wolters the server's court}

When the send () method is called on an AjaxRequest object, an Ajax request is sent to the server, and the web page is left to go about its business while the server processes the request. This is where the asynchronous part of Ajax really shines. If the request was synchronous, the page would be frozen, unable to do anything until the server returns with a response, But since the request takes place asynchronously, the page isn't halted and the user experience isn't stalled.

\(\AA_{n}\) asynchronous \(\AA_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ax}\)
request takes place
without freezing a page while it waits for the request to be processed by a server.

Just because the page inn't frozen while a request is being processed doesn't necessarily mean the user can actually do anything productive. It all depends on the specific page. In the case of YouCube, successfully viewing the blog is entirely dependent on getting the blog data back from the server in an Ajax response. So in this case the user experience is tied to the Ajax response.

\section*{BULLET POINTS}
- The XMLHt tpRequest object is the standard object for carrying out Ajax requests but it can be somevhat messy to use.
- The custom AjaxRequest object serves as a convenient way to use Ajax without having to deal
directly with XMLHt tpRequest.
- Ajax requests always fall into one of two types, GET or POST, which is determined by the data being sent to the server, as well as how the data affects the server.
- The send () method of the AjaxRequest object

\section*{there are no \\ Dumb Questions}
dynamic data

OIs the A jaxRequest object necessary for carrying out Ajax requests? A: sperfectly fine to use the XMLHt tpRequest object directly to issue Ajax requests and handle their responses. But why would you when there is a much easier way thanks to the AjaxRequest object? The Aj axRequest object doesn't do anything earth-shattering-it's just a convenience object that helps simplify the lask of using Ajax by taking care of the "busy work" involved in assembling Ajax requests.
Q
: How is an Ajax request/response any different than an HTTP request response?
 used by web browsers to retrieve HTML web pages from web servers. Ajax requests and responses are very similar to HTTP requests and responses except for a couple of key differences: the Ajax versions can
occur at any time and don't necessarily involve the delivery of HTML data. In fact, one of the huge benefits of Ajax is that it can be used to request any kind of data.

It's a big deal that Ajax can handle any kind of data, but it's also the size of the data that matters as much as anything. Ajax isn't limited to handling an entire page or document of data at a time. In fact, it's really geared toward the delivery of little bite-sized pieces of data. In doing so, Ajax allows a page to dynamically modity itself by requesting little chunks of data and then incorporating it into the page. And all of this happens without the page ever having to be reloaded.
Q: So Ajax makes it possible to dynamically assemble a web page in pieces?

A:: Yes! That's the main idea behind Ajax. But it's more than just assembling a page from pieces. It's also about the timing of when this assembly occurs. Ajax requests
and responses take place in real time, often without interrupting the usability of a page. In other words, users aren't stuck waiting for an entire page to reload when all that needs to be updated is one small section of the page. That section of the page can be loading in the "background" while someone continues 10 read and interact with other parts of the page.

\section*{Q: What do GET and POST have to} do with all this?
1. GET and POST determine the specifics of how an Ajax request is handled by the server. However, they aren't any different in terms of being able to dynamically request data of any type at any time-all of the Ajax benefits apply to both request types. The main distinction between GET and POST has to do with whether or not the server undergoes a change in state based upon the data, such as storing it in a database. It so, a POST is in order. Othervise, go with GET.

Math eath Ajax-related piece of code to what it does.
\(\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { XMLHttpRequest } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Retrieves data without changing } \\
\text { anything on the server. }\end{array} \\
\text { GET } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Submits an Ajax request to the server, } \\
\text { resulting in a response. }\end{array} \\
\text { send () } \\
\text { Sends data to the server, somehow } \\
\text { resulting in a change on the server. }\end{array}\right\}\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
The standard JavaScript object that \\
makes Ajax possible.
\end{tabular}


\section*{Interactive pages start with a request object}

Regardless of how Ajax is being used or what kind of data it is attempting to access, any Ajax communication of data begins with a request. So Ruby's first task in turning YouCube into a data-driven application is to issue an Ajax request for the XML file containing the blog data.


Write code to create an AjaxRequest object, and then use it to submit a request for XML blog data.

> Write code to create an AjaxRequest object, and then use it to submit a request for XML blog data.

\section*{Call me when you're done}

Once an Ajax request is sent, the browser's role changes-it's not waiting for a response from the server. But because Ajax requests are typically carried out asynchronously, the user can continue interacting with the page while the browser waits for the response behind the seenes. In other words, the Ajax request doesn't halt the page while the request is being processed on the server. Once the request is finished being processed on the server, its response is handled in JavaScript code using a callback function, the request handler.

> The client script handles the response to an \(A_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{ax}\) request using a custom callback function.


\section*{Handling a response...seamlessly}

The custom request handler callback function, handleRequest () in this case, is called once an Ajax request finishes. In addition to signaling that a request has completed successfully, this function's job is to take action based upon the response data returned by the server.


\section*{Methods of the AjaxRequest object have access to the Ajax response data.}

The request handler function provides access to the data passed back in an Ajax response through two methods of the AjaxRequest object, getResponseText () and getResponseXML ().


Only one of these methods has access to viable data for any given response, meaning that the format of the data determines which method shouid be used. So qetResponseXMIL () shouid be used if the response data is XML, in which case getResponseText () won't return meaningful data. The same is true in the reverse if the data is raw text, as opposed to structured XML code.

Get the data in an Ajax response as structured XML code.

\section*{- CPRRANN}

Knowing that XML code is structured a lot like HTML code, how could you access the XML blog data in the request handler?


\section*{The get Text() Function Up Clase}

The custom get Text () function handles the drudgery of drilling into an element (node) in the DOM tree and pulling out all of its content.
```
function getText (elem) {
    vat text = "**
    if (elem) (
        if (elem.childNodes) (
        The element argument
                                contains the element whose
                                content is to be extracted.
                                Loop through all of the
        -element's child nodes.
            for (var i =0; i < elem.childNodes.length; it+) ,
                var child = elem.childNodes[i];
                if (child.nodeValue)
                    text += child.nodeValue;}\mathrm{ Add the child's content
                    if (child.childNodes)
                    if (child.childNodes[0],nodeValue)
                        text +- child.childiNodes{0].nodeValue;
                1) If there are child nodes
                )
        1. Return the text variable,
                                    within a child node, just
                                    grab the text content from
                                    the first one and move on
    )
    return text;
                                which contains all of the
                                element's child content.
l
```

Given that the XML. response data has already been stored in a variable named xm1 Data, write code to set the signature of the YouCube blog to the name stored in the <author> XML tag.

\section*{Ajax responses exposed}


\section*{Handiing Ajax Responses}

This week's interview:
The handleRequest() Ajax request handler fesses up

Head First: We hear that you're pretty good at responding to Ajax requests. What does that involve?
handleRequest(): When an Ajax request goes down, I get tapped to handle the response, which often contains data sent from the server. My job is to first make sure the request was carried out OK on the server, and if that checks out, then I get to dig through the data and take care of integrating it into the web page if necessary.

Head First: So you actually get called when a request finishes?
handleRequest(): Oh yeah. In fact, I get called several times throughout the request process, but most of the time people are only interested in me doing something at the very end.

Head First: I see. And how do you know when that is?
handleRequest(): Well, the AjaxRequest object has a couple of methods I can call to check the state and status of the request to make sure it has finished without any problems.
Head First: Once that happens, how do you know what to do?
handleRequest(): Well, it's really not up to me. Remember, I'm a custom function, so I'm different in every application.
Head First: Why is that?
handleRequest(): Because different applications use response data in different ways - it's entirely applicationspecific. And so am I.

Head First: Wait a minute, you mean someone has to write you over again for every application?
handleRequest(): That's right. It only makes sense because a shopping cart application would process its Ajax responses very differently than a blog, for example. Ajax makes sure I get called once the server finishes with the request, and from then on everything is completely custom.
Head First: So part of building an Ajax-powered web page is creating a custom request handler?
handleRequest(): Absolutely. That's where most of the real work in an Ajax application takes place.

Head First: That's very enlightening, I appreciate it. handleRequest(): I'm always happy to respond.

```
function handleRequest () I
    if (ajaxReq.getReadyState() -- 4 && ajaxReq.getStatus() -- 200) (
        // Store the XML response data
        var xmlData = ajaxReq.getResponseXML().getElementsByTagName ("blog")[0];
        // Set the blog-wide signature
        Blog.prototype.5ignature = "by " + getText(xm1Data.getElementsByTagName("author") [0]);
        // Create the array of Blog entry objects
        var entries = xmlData.getElementsByTagName("entry");
        for (var i = 0; i < entries.length; i++) {
            // Create the blog entry
            blog.push(new Blog(getText (entries[i].getElementsByTagName ("body") [01),
                new Date(getText(entrles[i].getElementsByTagName ("date")[0])),
                getText(entries[i].getElementsByTagName("imaqe") [0])));
        |
        // Show the blog
        showBlog(5);
    1
l
```


Your job is to play JavaScript annotator and add lots of annotations to explain exactly what is going on in the handleRequest() function. 7 is a magic number - are there 7 things that lead to a successful request?

Set the blog signature to the content in the <author> tag.
 // Store the XML response data

There is only one <blog> tag in the XML data, so grab the first item in the array returned by getElements By TagName().
        // Set the blog-wide signature
        Blog.prototype.signature - "by " + getText(xmlData.getElementsByTagName("author") [01);
        // Create the array of Blog entry objects Get all of the entry elements, which
        // Create the array of Blog entry objects
Var entries \(=\) xmlData.getElementsByTagName ("entry");
        for (var \(i=0\); \(i<\) entries.length; \(i++\) ) \(i\)
                                hold the blog entries. It isn't necessary
        to get the entries element when we
        // Create the blog entry can go directly to each entry.
            blog.push (new Blog (getText (entries [1].getElementsByTagName ("body") [0]),
                new Date (getText (entries[1].getElementsBy'TagName ("date") (0])),
getText (entries[i].getElementsByTagName ("image") [01))):
                new Date (getText (entries[1].getElementsByTagName ("date")
getText (entries[i].getElementsByTagName ("image") (0])));
        1
        // Show the blog
        showBlog (5);
    ;

Make sure the Ajax request has completed successfully by checking its state and status.
        var xmlData \(=\) ajaxReq.getResponseXML ().getElementsByTagName ("blog") 101 ;
        for (var \(1=0 ; 1<\) entries
// Create the blog entry

to display the five lateet blog entries on the page.


Create a new blog object for the entry and add it to the end of the array using the Array object's push() method.

1 Theate an-AjauRequest objeet
(3) Jenten-GET regtest to retrime the blogneml file from the server:
(3)


YouCube is driven by its data
1
Ruby is thrilled with the Ajax makeover of YouCube (it's saving her a ton of time) but she does have a nagging usability concern related to what



Q: The last YouCube blog entry contained an HTML <strong> tag. How is that possible in XML code? A: : kind of data. In this case, knowing that the body of a blog entry is getting injected into a web page, it is technically possible to include HTML tags that affect how the body appears on the page. In other words, the body content of a particular blog entry can contain HTML tags that are passed along as special formatting nodes in the XML code. This is a tairly tricky prospect, however, since we'd have to reconstruct the HTML. formatting nodes in the HTML code for the page when injecting the XML data into the page. Instead of going down that path, the YouCube code elects to just pull the text content out of any HTML tags, leaving the formatting behind. Ruby is still free to add HTML formatting tags to blog content, possibly for a future version of YouCube, but they are ignored for formatting purposes. Their text does remain, which is a good thing.

Q: How does the ready state and staus of an A Ajax response work?
A: These tiou propecties uthimately come fromi the XMLHt tpRequest object, and their job is to keep track of the state of the request, such as (0) uninitialized or (4) loaded, as well as the status of the request, such as 404 (not found) or 200 (OK). It's certainly possible to track these properties closely but it's not necessary. All you need to know is that an Ajax request has completed successtully if the state is 4 (loaded) and the status is 200 (OK). That's why the handleRequest () function only leaps into action if both of these conditions have been met.

\section*{Dysfunctional buttons}

Although the Ajax overhaul of YouCube has primarily taken place behind the scenes, out of the view of YouCube users, there is apparently a user interface issue that has come to light. More specifically, it seems the buttons on the page aren't quite working as they should.
View a Random Blog Entry

For some reason, the buttons aren't working all of the time, and the blog isn't visible when it happens.

\section*{Broken buttons = Unhappy users}
 0

\section*{The buttons need data}

The problem with the YouCube buttons is that they are only applicable when blog data is available. And since the blog data is now loaded from an external XML file, there will be a period of time, usually very brief, where the page has no data. During this period, the buttons make no sense at all and are only confusing users.


\section*{Disabling the buttons is an excellent solution.}

Disabling the buttons while the blog data is loading is a simple and elegant way to solve the button problem. Since the Ajax request to load the blog data is issued when the page first loads, the buttons can start out disabled and can be enabled in the handleRequest () function, which is when we know the Ajax request has finished.

To actually carry out the disabling, we need to use the disabled attribute of the <input> tag. This tag must be set to "disabled" in HTML code to disable a button. Conversely, it must be set to fal se in JavaScript code to enable a button element.




\section*{JavaScript Magnets Solution}

Use the magnets to finish the code in the YouCube page so that the blog buttons are disabled until the blog data finishes loading. You'll need to use some of these magnets more than once.
```
<html>
    <head>
        ctitle>YonCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers</title>
        <soript type="text/javascript" srow"ajax.ja">></script>
    <script type""text/javascript" src="date.js"> </script>
    <acript type="text/javascript">
            **
            function handleRequest () ( - ) क5 ajaxReq.getStatus() -- 200) (1)
            if {ajaxReq.getReadyState()
                    i/ Enable the blog buttons
    ```

```

            1
            I
            </script>
    </head>
    <body onload="loadBlog(1:">
        <n3>YouCube - The Blog for Cube Puzzlers</h3>
            <img src="cube.png" alt="YouCube" i>
            <")
            disabled " "disabled" onclick="searchBlog();" />
            cinput type-"text" id="searchtext" name="searchtext" value="" />
            <div id="blog"></div>
    ```

```

            <input type="button"
                disabled = "disabled" onclick""showBlog();" />
            input type="button" id="viewrandom" value="V1ew a Random Blog Entry"
            disabled - "disabled" onclick="randomBlog();" />
    c/body
    </html>
    ```

\section*{Time-saving web-based blog additions}

YouCube is now driven by dynamic data but Ruby has yet to fully reap the reward. The true benefit of dynamic data in YouCube won't come home to her until she has the ability to use a web-based interface for adding blog entries. Instead of editing an XML file to add to the blog, she wants to be able to just enter a new entry on a Web page and have it saved to the server.


\section*{Edit code + Upload files = No fun!}

Ruby envisions a web page just for her that allows her to post a new blog entry by simply filling out a form. She could always be a click away from updating her blog, and all she'd need is a browser. No text editors, no FTP clients, just her cube puzzling enthusiasm.


The new add blog page uses form fields for the three pieces of blog entry data.

How could Ajax be used to add XML blog entries through a web page user interface?

\section*{Writing blog data}

When thinking of a blog addition in terms of Ajax, it's possible to imagine an Ajax POST request that sends along the new blog entry data to the server, after which the server writes the data to the blog. xml file as a new blog entry. The Ajax response doesn't really need to do anything in this case since there is nothing to return.


\section*{PHP to the rescue...this time}

A scripting language called PHP offers everything we need to write blog data to an XMIL file on the server. The real task involved is to read the XML file, then add the new blog entry to the existing entries, and then write all of the blog entries back out to the original file. But it all goes back to receiving the new blog entry data on the server as an Ajax request from the client browser.

PHP is a scripting technology that can carry out tasks on the server.


\section*{Q: Dol have to use PHP to wite flies on the server?}

A: No, not at all. There are all kinds of technologies out there for writing server scripts. You have Perl (CGI) and Java servlets to name a few, and they can do all of the same things that PHP can do. So if you're more comfortable with one of these other technologies, by all means use it to create the server-side component of your Ajax applications.

On the server side of YouCube, a PHP script handles the details of adding a new blog entry to the XML blog data that is stored in the file blog.xmL.

Load the raw XML data into the frawBlog variable.


Check to see if the blog file exists
<? php
Sfilename \(=\) "blog. \(\mathrm{xml}{ }^{\prime \prime}\);
If (file_exists(Sfilename)) ( // Load the blog entries from the XML file SrawBlog - file_get_contents(\$filename);
-
else
// Create an empty XML document
StawBlog \(=\) "<2xml verslon=\"1.0\" encoding-\"utf-8\" \(\quad \gg\) "
?
title>";
\$rawBlog ,= "<author>Puzzler Ruby</author><entrles></entries></blog>"; ; \(\mathrm{xml}=\) new SimpleXmiElement ( \((\) rawBlog) ;
// Add the new blog entry as a child node
sentry \(=\) Sxml->entries->addchild("entry");
\$entry->addchild("date", \$_REQUEST["date"]),
Sentry->addChild""body", stri
if (\$ REQUEST["image") != "")
Sentry->addChild("image", S_REQUESTI"Image"]);
// Write the entire blog to the file
Sfile \(=\) fopen (Sfilename, ' \({ }^{\prime}\) ') ); fwrite(sfile, \(\$ x \mathrm{ml}\)->asXML()); Overwrite the blog file fclose(sfile): with the new blog data
?)

If the blog file doesn't exist, create an empty XML blog document

Add the new blog entry as a child node within the XML data structure.
data structure, which is a lot like a DOM
tree in JavaSeript


\section*{there are no}

This PHP script is stored in the file addblogentry.php.

Q: Can I get away with using Ajax without having to use a program on the server at all?

A:1. In some cases yes but in most cases no. Keep in mind that all but the most simple Ajax requests involve the server receiving data from the client and then doing something with it such as looking up something in a database or writing something to a file or database. The main YouCube blog page is a good example of an Ajax request that is simple enough to
not require any server scripting. Most Ajax applications aren't so lucky, so in most cases you will need to do some degree of coding on the server. The real issue is whether or not the server can just send back an entire file, as is the case with blog xml , or if it has to somehow process data and do something with it on the server, such as write it. The good news is that the kinds of scripts required on the server for many Ajax applications are quite simple, and can often be figured out without a mastery of a server scripting technology.

\section*{PHP has needs, too}

Unlike JavaScript, which is inherently supported in modern browsers, PHP support isn't ahways a foregone conclusion on the server. So before you go posting PHP files to your Web server, it's probably worth checking with your system administrator or Web hosting service to see if PHP is supported. If it isn't, you'll need to do all you can to get it added, or possibly find a different Web server. The PHP script for YouCube simply won't work unless PHP is supported on the server.


Support for PHP on your web server is the first hurdle. The second hurdle is liguring out where to place PHP files on the server. In many cases it's OK to place PHP files in the same folder as your HTML web pages and external JavaScript files. However, some PHP installations are pickicr and require PHP scripts to be stored in a special folder. Again, this is a question that can be answered by a system administrator.


Once you've figured out where the PHP file should be placed on your web server, you're ready to copy it there and continue building the YouCube blog addition web page.

\title{
Running a PHP \\ script may require \\ some tweaks to your Web server.
}

Even if it doesn't, you may be able to install it yourself or coax an administrator into installing it You'll definitely need it for Ajax!

\section*{Feeding data to the PHP script}

With PHP working on the server and the PHP script file in place, we can more closely examine what the PHP script needs in order to write data to an XML file on the server. This will help us arrive at a design for the Ajax request that provides the server exactly what it needs to carry out the task.

The PHP script is expecting the data for a new blog entry, which we know consists of at least two pieces of information, and potentially three.

> Data is fed to the PHP script through an \(\AA_{\mathrm{jax}}\) request.



The challenge is then to come up with a design for the blog addition web page that first presents a user interface for entering a new blog entry, and then gathers that information and shutles it to the server in an Ajax request. The good news it that we don't really need to do anything in response to the request other than maybe confirming that the new blog entry has been saved successfully:
sharpen solution


\section*{Getting it up: Posting blog data to the server}

An Ajax POST request is a little more involved than a GET request because it requires sending data to the server. Although the POST request supports different ways of packaging up data for the server, the trusted technique of URL encoding the data fields works just fine. This technique is the same one that browsers use to pass fields of data to a server in the URL of a web page, and is distinguished by the ampersand characters ( \(\varepsilon\) ) that are used to separate each piece of data.


This data format requires each piece of data to have its name and value separated by an equal sign \(\langle-\rangle\), and then each name/value pair is separated from other data by an ampersand ( \(\varepsilon\) ). The format is called URL encoded, and has its own data type that gets set as the data type of the Ajax POST request.


This is the official data type of URL encoded data, and must be specified as part of the POST request.

With the blog entry data formatted into the URL-encoded format and the data type of the POST request, we're ready to put together the request code and send the data to the server so that it can be saved to the blog.xml file.


\section*{there are no Dumb Questions}

\section*{Q:}
: If the YouCube blog addition script doesn't require any data from the server in the Ajax request, why bother handling the request at all?
A:
\(A\) : The reason is because knowing that a request has completed is still very important. So even though we don't need the server to return any data in response to the request, we still very much need to know if and ven the request has successfully completed. That's what allows the script to know when to display the alert that confirms the new blog entry addition.

\section*{Q:}
: Could a GET request also be used in the blog addition script?
A: Yes, technically it could. It's still possible to send data along to the server in a GET request, but you have to specify it directly in the URL of the request. That's not really the problem-the problem is that GET isn't intended to be used in situations where the state of the server is changing. And in this case the state of the server is definitely changing due to it writing a new blog entry to the blog. \(x m l\) file. So a POST request is the right approach if for no other reason than because it clearly indicates the intent of the communication to the server.

Q:Q: Since it takes time for the server to process the Ajax request and save the blog entry, is there a problem if the Add button gets clicked again before the request finishes?
A:
Yes, it is a problem. Each click of the Add button cancels the current Ajax request and issues a new one. Although that may very well be the goal of someone clicking it twice, the user interlace would be much clearer if the option to click the button is simply removed while the request is being processed. So the code to add a new blog entry should disable the Add button while the Ajax request is taking place, and then enable it again once the request has finished. Small touches like this to the user interface of a javaScript application can go a long way toward making it more intuitive and easier to use, resulting in happier users.

\section*{O .}
: What happens to the spaces in the blog data that gets formatted into a URL encoded string? That seems to sometimes be a problem with URLs. \(\mathrm{A}:\) The spas som ip peeman problem in this case because Ajax automatically handles processing the data and making sure it gets to the server in a suitable format.

Q:
Since the image is optional in the blog, does it always have to be passed along to the server when adding a new blog entry?

A:A: No, it doesn't have to be. But keep in mind that there's nothing wrong with sending an empty piece of data where there is no value following the equal sign in the URL. encoded string, like this:
"date= . . body= . . . simage="
In this example, the image data field is still sent to the server even though it doesnt actually contain any data. This is where the PHP script on the server shines, because it is smart enough to know that the image field is empty, and therefore the new blog entry doesnt have an image.




\section*{Blogging made easy}

Ruby can't believe how much of a difference it makes being able to update her blog without having to open a file, edit code, and upload the file to the server. Not only is her blog now truly data-driven, she is feeling a lot more inspired to write some new blog data!

The page confirms that the blog entry was successfully added.


\section*{Making YouCube more, uh, usable}

You don't become a third-degree cube puzzle black belt without a serious attention to detail. So it's not terribly surprising that Ruby wants to make the blog addition page absolutely perfect. And Ruby has learned that
Ajax applications are known for their attention to detail when it comes to usability. So she wants to make some improvements to the usability of her new page so that it's on par with modern Web pages.


\section*{Auto-fill fields for your users}

If you recall, the format of YouCube blog dates is MM/DD/YYYY, which means we need to make sure to format the current date in the auto-filled date form field to the same format. So we need some code to format the current date as MM/DD/YYYY.


How would you share the shortFormat () code across both YouCube pages?

\section*{Repetitive task? How about a function?}

Sharing JavaScript code across multiple web pages involves breaking the code out into its own file, or module, and then importing this file into each of the pages. We've seen this done already with the Aj axRequest object, which is stored in the file ajax.js, and then imported with the following line of code:


The shortEormat () method of the Date object can accomplish a similar goal by being placed into a file named date.js, and then imported into each of the YouCube web pages.

\section*{The familiar}
<script> tag is used to import JavaScript code that is stored in external files.

A similar <script> tag that was used for the Ajax code can then be used in each of the YouCube pages to import the script code stored in date-js.



The sàme Jüvaseript code is shared in two places thanks to storing the code in an external file.

It's almost never a bad idea to break reusable JavaScript code into its own file that can be shared in more than one place.


\section*{Sharpen your pencil \\ Solution}

The date field is set
Write the code for a function named initForm () that is called in the on load event handler of the YouCube addition script. The function must initialize the date field with the current date, and then set the input focus to the body field.

...documentgetElement Byld "date") value \(=\) (new Date(0) shortFormat0:
Set the invut focus \(\rightarrow\) documentgetElementByld "body") foow()
to the body field.
\}

\section*{Blog productivity soars}

Ruby has finally reached utter satisfaction with the YouCube blog. Her blog is both data-driven and user-friendly thanks to Ajax and a ruthless commitment to detail that only a master puzzler could muster.

The input focus is set to the body when the page first loads.


\section*{YouCube - The Blog f}

Gevest the Wige
10nIL2008 finally filitbed warking an the bleg scipt
Whew, Im fin
10442008
Im melly lowing forwarl to this pornte party at the end of the monith
Im meily looking
by Puwfer Ruby


Javascripteross
Are you feeling dynamic? How about some data to go with that perky attitude? Crossword data, that is. Get to it!


\section*{Across}
2. A server scripting technology that complements JavaScript in Ajax applications.
3. The server's answer to an Ajax request.
5. This kind of function gets called when an Ajax request is finished.
8 . This technology can be used to Web pages much more responsive.
9. This kind of data makes Web pages much more interesting.
10. The standard JavaScript object used to support Ajax functionality.
12. A request type that usually just requests data from the server.

\section*{Down}
1. The ML in HTML stands for ...... language. 2. A request type that usually involves a state change on the server.
4. The method of the AjaxRequest object used to issue a request.
6 . The custom object created to simplify the use of Ajax.
7. The X in XML stands for this.
10. This makes <blog>, <author>, and <entry> possible.
11. When an Ajax application asks the server for data.


\(A_{j a x}\) has given Ruby so much, it's a hard thing to nail down. There's dynamic data for one thing, which has made cube blogging a lot easier. Ruby can now talk about her cube puzzles with ease.

\section*{Where do you go from here?}

Well you've made it through Head First JavaScript, and are ready to continue on your journcy of creating interactive user experiences with JavaScript and beyond...but where should you go next? Here are a few things we think you might be interested in as you take your next steps building and creating applications for the wild world wide web.

\section*{TRY the Head First JavaScript Forum}

Exasperated over expressions? Overwhelmed by operators? Or are you just curious to share your latest JavaScript creation with the Head First community? Stop in for a spell at the Head First JavaScript forum at Head First Labs (http: / /wowze.headfirstlabs.com) and join in one of the discussions...or start a new one!

\section*{READ another book}


You've got the essentials down, so get ready to dig deeper into the ins and outs of more advanced JavaScript.
JavaScript the Definitive Guide
JanaScript © DHTMI Cookbook


\section*{LEARN more from other sites}

\section*{Quirksmode zewzu.quirksmode.org}

Unfortunately, different browsers sometimes have their own way of doing things with JavaScript. Get the scoop on JavaScript browser inconsistencics at Quiinsinode.


Mozilla JavaScript Reference
http://developer:mozilla.org/en/docs/JavaScript
It won't be long at all until you're venturing off the beaten bath and need to find out more about built-in JavaScript objects. Explore every nook and cranny of JavaScript with Mozilla's online reference.

\section*{Prototype JavaScript Framework} http://wewze.prototypejs.org
Tempted to try a third-party library of reusable code to take JavaScript to a whole new level? Prototype is one of the best, and it's completely free!
```


[^0]:    -Robert Neer, Director of Product Development, Movies.com

